Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.policy

2005-01 - 2005-04

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2005-01-01 through 2005-04-30

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.policy.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 5,717

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 1

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Problem for asthma patients

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 01:43:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104543784.292495.316510@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101568

Oh. I forgot to call you a jerkwad.

BOL. bb

2. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 01:48:27 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <LjnBd.9907$wi2.963@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101569

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1104531566.677857.126570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Mike said: """Everyone is entitled to an opinion, Brian."""
>
> Reeaally???
>
> """We wouldn't have anywhere near as much fun if we could only give
> opinions on what we have personal experience in! 8^)"""
>
> Then lotsa people on RRAP owe Len Anderson an apology.
>
> When I see those apologies, I'll stop pointing out that "true blue" Jim
> has never served his country in any capacity, yet has availed himself
> to the finest education and standard of living in a system where the
> freedom to do so were paid for with the blood of other people's sons.
> Happy New Year.
>

So...wait just a minute. Are you saying that anyone who does not serve his country in any capacity (you'd have to define in any capacity, by the way) is not supposed to live in the United States? Because, in the United States we--regardless of *military* service to the country or not--DO avail ourselves of whatever is available, be that a good education, a good job, a good doctor, a good teacher, a good whatever.

That the freedoms we enjoy are here, because of the military service of others, is greatly appreciated by most--there are those that are just plain idiots (and Jim is not one of them). And, to read your comment above without having paid attention to this thread, seems to say that anyone who doesn't meet your standards of service should just roll up and die? Surely I am mistaken in my interpretation of your comment, above.

Kim W5TIT

3. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 01:49:38 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20041231204938.10298.00000323@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 101570

In article <7LqdnS7sd-dHXk_cRVn-jA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <_d-dnVZgTb5Xo0_cRVn-qA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>> So...now you've got this "instant gratification" thing going again?
>>>
>>> Yes sir! Just like you have your thing going again.
>>
>> My "thing" has been "going" all the while...no problems. :-)
>
> Okay, good enough.

"Good enough?" :-)

>> So has this ridiculous "necessity" of amateur radio to pass a morse
>> test in order to "qualify" for HF privileges for over a half century.
>
>> A mere 51 years I did not even have to pass any special test to
>> operate an HF transmitter outputting more power than any ham
>> is supposed to have (in 1953 that was 15 KW from the old Press
>> Wireless transmitters, went to 40 KW with the Collins rigs of
>> 1955). No morse test needed. Didn't even have the MOS for
>> Fixed Station Transmitters, was MOS for microwave radio relay.
>
> Did you just walk in off the street and operate the transmitters with
>no instruction, training, or supervision?

There were no "streets" on Tsukishima Island in Tokyo in the 1950s.
Those were eventually put in when both the USA and USAF vacated
the island by the 1960s.

We didn't "walk in" either since the billet was a half hour shuttle bus
trip from the transmitter station prior to assignment. Seven months of
Signal School were completed in Fort Monmouth...but that was only
on very basics of HF receivers-transmitters since the majority of that
MOS's school was on microwave radio relay and most of those were
using pulse code modulation (principally pulse-position modulation).

The intended microwave radio relay system hadn't arrived yet and
would not be there until the next year...and the only schooling we
got was a super-condensed two-week formal course taught by the
two General Electric field engineers stuck in their civilian assignment.

[Army Signal Office didn't give the commercial GE equipment a military designation until 1955] The Korean War had interrupted a few more permanent installation intentions and this was one of them.

When IN the military, one does what one is told to do. Period. You either know your basics or you don't. If you don't you get re-assigned and the re-assignment is not negotiable. So, us microwave types got less than a one day of informal instruction, some real hands-on training by the NCOIC (Non-Commissioned Officer In Charge) and left the rest up to the "trick chief" (team supervisor, usually E-5, and there were four teams on a rotating schedule). Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV, was assigned there about a month before I was and assigned to another team, same duties.

There really isn't a lot of smarts necessary to tune up a 1940s era transmitter, whether 1 KW or 15 KW. All the finals were tube type and it was "dip the plate, peak the grid" kind of thing after peaking the driver stages. Always, always, always remember to neutralize that final...all of which seemed to be push-pull power triode things. We had an 833 bottle on a stand at the central control console where failure to neutralize on a QSY caused plate overheating which in turn softened the glass envelope until air pushed in and caused all the overload relay and breakers in that transmitter to trip. Radio circuit went dead and such outage is a definite NO-NO when the assignment is to maintain communications 24/7.

Transmitters, per se, were not the complicated things to operate besides being 7 feet tall with lethal voltages inside. The FSK exciters for the RTTY radio circuits needed to be fine-tuned even though they had quartz crystal control. That proceedure needed the distant receiver site to tune up on the carrier and send a zero beat over the telephone circuit, then apply Fox Test keying and adjust the "spread" or Space frequency for as close to 850 cycles as possible (carrier zero beat was on Mark frequency) using an ears-only audio beat with a standard 850 cycle loudspeaker tone. Receivers frequency standards would then measure everything again and put it on the order-wire (dedicated TTY loop) shared with us and Control. If Control liked the numbers, they would remove the Fox Test and put "traffic" on it...if not, they would ask all to do it again. We rarely repeated anything. Each team would do anywhere from 6 to 12 QSYs per shift (approximate) on the RTTY circuits. We also had preset-tuned SSB transmitters which QSYed in a minute, replacing the most cranky of SSB stuff designed before WW2. A full QSY, from order-wire notification to frequency standards okay, took 3 to 4 minutes with RTTY.

At the Tuskushima Island site, primary AC power depended on commercial Japanese 50-cycle input. That tended to be erratic and at least one power outage happened each week. When that happened, the single power man in the diesel generator wing had to get at least 350 KWe supplied and the team on duty had to

check out every single "up" transmitter all over again. Frequency standards went into a tizzy because they had to check out every single frequency to make sure it was where it was supposed to be. That meant an average of 30 different radio circuits with 30 different carriers and different modulations. Control had to hop and tell the torn tape relay crew to reset all the p-tapes on the transmitting distributors...which involved at least a 100 different TTY p-tape machines. A mere 5-minute outage would result in losing over two hours total traffic capability. NOT good...but we didn't get chewed for something not our fault. The newer Kashiwa site had its own 600 KWe generators and we were spared those damn power outages.

NONE of what I described was taught in Signal School, not even for Field Radio MOSs (which required morsemanship ability even if it wasn't used in the field in Korea). It could all be "taught" by laying hands on the working equipment and doing it like the sergeants described how to do it.

If anyone knew more than basics of electronics/radio, they got up-graded, perhaps transferred to the VHF and UHF radio relay which used frequency-multiplexed audio handling 4 voice channels per radio circuit. None of that was taught to microwave MOSs so we learned how on-the-job, verbally and by the TMs (Technical Manuals) which were excellent then on giving theory of How Things Work from a practical operations perspaective. Those of us who kept our minds open, willing to learn, had a wonderful opportunity to see how the Big Time in communications of the 1950s operated and stayed operating. When the TTY traffic is 220,000 messages a month, it IS the big time...and the station I was assigned to was only the 3rd largest in the Army Command and Administrative Network (ACAN).

No, we didn't just "walk in from the street" and start in. We were told what to do by those who actually did it, and most of those would explain more detail and theory if one really showed an interest. After three years on that assignment I had a wonderful crash course in real HF radio plus the beginning of microwaves and wound up an E-5 doing some of the explaining of procedure and theory behind it all. Wouldn't have missed it for the world, even with the threat of WW3 hanging around for everyone to get nervous about.

>> But, in 2004, U.S. radio amateurs MUST still pass a morse test
>> to "qualify" for operating an amateur radio transmitter on HF. No
>> other radio service (other than certain Maritime radio services)
>> require morsemanship testing.
>
> Yes, that is pretty much the case.

WHY is that? There's no real reason for keeping that old test in there.

All that morse test satisfies is the egos of the olde-tyme hammes
who will never have to take another morse test in their lives (if they
renew on-time). THEY had to do it so everyone else MUST do so.
Ptui.

> My whole point is that if you want to be a Ham with HF access at this
>time, that is a hoop you have to jump through.

Fine. Be a lemming or sheep.

I'm just advocating a change in the radio regulations. Without any
personal needs, desires, or ego. I don't need any Titles or Status
or a bunch of alphanumerics to "sign behind or in front of my name.

Been there, done that a long time ago, and a little while ago (early
this year), all without any legal requirement to pass some morse test.

>> If that morse test is so absolutely "needed," then the definition of the
>> ARS should be changed in Part 97 to "Archaic Radiotelegraphy
>> Service" for the USA.
>
> No need to fixate on the Morse code test.

Then why DO you?

Why do you wish to CONTROL newcomers by keeping that morse
test? Folks will think you have a Hoop Holder complex, somebody
trying to be a guru or knowitall about "radio."

If someone LIKES morse code operations, fine say I let them do
their thing. But, to keep on enforcing an antiquated regulation
necessity about taking a morse test is, to me, downright stupid.

There's lots more to amateur radio than trying to recreate the 1920s
and 1930s "pioneering days" by keeping old, out-of-date tests.

Happy New Year on this last day of the 4th year into the new
millennium...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 02:15:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104545755.433892.129180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101571

""" What is the logic behind your answer?"""

Must my answer confrom to liberal logic?

> As you seem to believe, character does count.

> So, Michael, please name one liberal (or "democrat") organization
that
> promotes character in boys and yound men?

I know lots of Democrats that support the Boy Scouts, and lots of
independents.

Wunnerful. I know lots of people, too! That is NOT THE ISSUE!

Why was the BSA booed at the """DNC Convention""" while they brought
out the American Flad and recited the Pledge of Allegiance?

Why???

"""You take what SOME people who align themselves with the
Democrats do and apply that to the whole."""

ABSO-FRIGGIN-LUTELY!!! Every Democrat has to accept responsibility for
that act.
Were they Mujahadeen???

And >>>I<<< take what happened at the DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL
____CONVENTION 0___ to represent the Democratic >>>PARTY!!!<<<

If you don't like it, find a new party.

"""That is a losing game, as there are segments of Republicans that are

similarly fringy."""

Oh, my. People that believe in God. Wonder if Jews beieve in God?
Wonder if Palistinians believe in God? Wonder if Methodists believe in
God? Wonder if Rev Jim believes in God?

It's just so awful that there are still luddites that believe in God.

NYT says "God is Dead!"

But we must have a Palestinian State because Allah wants it.

> I wish you the very best of luck on that one, and I do hope that you
> succeed.

"""So it is okay to be corrupt as long as you are a Republican.
Good to
see where exactly you are coming from."""

WTF did that come from? Must be somekindof Al Franken spagmasm???
Wonder where Geneen Giraffala weighed in on that one???

Anyhow, you failed to name a single >>>liberal<<< youth organization
that promotes character. And I know why that is. It's so friggin easy
to know why that is.

C'mon liberal Mike. Ask me why it's so easy to know that. C'mon, ask
why!!!

- Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, I noticed that you failed to list any liberal organization that
was pro character building.

"Reply"

Oh, my! 2004 becoming 2005. My 10YO Cub Scouts/America son just got
an Eddie Bauer 0 deg sleeping bag. And he earned his just "readyman"
badge and knows the basics of first aid. Maybe someday he'll hike-in
and save your liberal ass.

5. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 02:46:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104547564.477397.255250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101572

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <7LqdnS7sd-dHXk_cRVn-jA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> In article <_d-dnVZgTb5Xo0_cRVn-qA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>
> >>>> So...now you've got this "instant gratification" thing going
again?
> >>>
> >>> Yes sir! Just like you have your thing going again.
> >>
> >> My "thing" has been "going" all the while...no problems. :-)
> >
> > Okay, good enough.
>
> "Good enough?" :-)

Good enough, indeed!

I wonder what Mikes "war" contributions were?

> >> So has this ridiculous "necessity" of amateur radio to pass a
morse
> >> test in order to "qualify" for HF privileges for over a half
century.
> >
> >> A mere 51 years I did not even have to pass any special test to
> >> operate an HF transmitter outputting more power than any ham
> >> is supposed to have (in 1953 that was 15 KW from the old Press
> >> Wireless transmitters, went to 40 KW with the Collins rigs of
> >> 1955). No morse test needed. Didn't even have the MOS for
> >> Fixed Station Transmitters, was MOS for microwave radio relay.
> >
> > Did you just walk in off the street and operate the transmitters
with
> >no instruction, training, or supervision?
>
> There were no "streets" on Tsukishima Island in Tokyo in the
1950s.
> Those were eventually put in when both the USA and USAF vacated
> the island by the 1960s.

Damned USAF. Always trying to find a civilized way to do sumptin.

> We didn't "walk in" either since the billet was a half hour
shuttle bus
> trip from the transmitter station prior to assignment.

Shush! We'll have none of this no point A, point B nonsense.

Transmitting sites are always wherever you happen to be.

Seven months of
> Signal School were completed in Fort Monmouth...but that was only
> on very basics of HF receivers-transmitters since the majority of
that
> MOS's school was on microwave radio relay and most of those were
> using pulse code modulation (principally pulse-position
modulation).

Len, dammit, none of this pulse crappola. It was either CW or it was
RTTY. Doan confuse us widda facts.

> The intended microwave radio relay system hadn't arrived yet and
> would not be there until the next year...and the only schooling we
> got was a super-condensed two-week formal course taught by the
> two General Electric field engineers stuck in their civilian
assignment.
> [Army Signal Office didn't give the commercial GE equipment a
> military designation until 1955] The Korean War had interrupted a
> few more permanent installation intentions and this was one of
them.
>
> When IN the military, one does what one is told to do. Period.
You
> either know your basics or you don't. If you don't you get
re-assigned
> and the re-assignment is not negotiable. So, us microwave types
> got less than a one day of informal instruction, some real
hands-on
> training by the NCOIC (Non-Commissioned Officer In Charge) and
> left the rest up to the "trick chief" (team supervisor, usually
E-5, and
> there were four teams on a rotating schedule). Gene Rosenbaum,
> N2JTV, was assigned there about a month before I was and assigned
> to another team, same duties.
>
> There really isn't a lot of smarts necessary to tune up a 1940s
era
> transmitter, whether 1 KW or 15 KW. All the finals were tube type
> and it was "dip the plate, peak the grid" kind of thing after
peaking
> the driver stages. Always, always, always remember to neutralize
> that final...all of which seemed to be push-pull power triode
things.

> We had an 833 bottle on a stand at the central control console
> where failure to neutralize on a QSY caused plate overheating
which
> in turn softened the glass envelope until air pushed in and caused
> all the overload relay and breakers in that transmitter to trip.
Radio
> circuit went dead and such outage is a definite NO-NO when the
> assignment is to maintain communications 24/7.
>
> Transmitters, per se, were not the complicated things to operate
> besides being 7 feet tall with lethal voltages inside. The FSK
> exciters for the RTTY radio circuits needed to be fine-tuned even
> though they had quartz crystal control. That proceedure needed
> the distant receiver site to tune up on the carrier and send a
zero
> beat over the telephone circuit, then apply Fox Test keying and
> adjust the "spread" or Space frequency for as close to 850 cycles
> as possible (carrier zero beat was on Mark frequency) using an
> ears-only audio beat with a standard 850 cycle loudspeaker tone.
> Receivers frequency standards would then measure everything
> again and put it on the order-wire (dedicated TTY loop) shared
> with us and Control. If Control liked the numbers, they would
> remove the Fox Test and put "traffic" on it...if not, they would
> ask all to do it again. We rarely repeated anything. Each team
> would do anywhere from 6 to 12 QSYs per shift (approximate) on
> the RTTY circuits. We also had preset-tuned SSB transmitters
> which QSYed in a minute, replacing the most cranky of SSB
> stuff designed before WW2. A full QSY, from order-wire
notification
> to frequency standards okay, took 3 to 4 minutes with RTTY.
>
> At the Tuskushima Island site, primary AC power depended on
> commercial Japanese 50-cycle input. That tended to be erratic
> and at least one power outage happened each week. When that
> happened, the single power man in the diesel generator wing had
> to get at least 350 KWe supplied and the team on duty had to
> check out every single "up" transmitter all over again. Frequency
> standards went into a tizzy because they had to check out every
> single frequency to make sure it was where it was supposed to be.
> That meant an average of 30 different radio circuits with 30
different
> carriers and different modulations. Control had to hop and tell
the
> torn tape relay crew to reset all the p-tapes on the transmitting
> distributors...which involved at least a 100 different TTY p-tape
> machines. A mere 5-minute outage would result in losing over
> two hours total traffic capability. NOT good...but we didn't get
> chewed for something not our fault. The newer Kashiwa site had
> its own 600 KWe generators and we were spared those damn
> power outages.

>
> NONE of what I described was taught in Signal School, not even
> for Field Radio MOSs (which required morsemanship ability even
> if it wasn't used in the field in Korea). It could all be
"taught" by
> laying hands on the working equipment and doing it like the
> sergeants described how to do it.
>
> If anyone knew more than basics of electronics/radio, they got up-
> graded, perhaps transferred to the VHF and UHF radio relay which
> used frequency-multiplexed audio handling 4 voice channels per
> radio circuit. None of that was taught to microwave MOSs so we
> learned how on-the-job, verbally and by the TMs (Technical
Manuals)
> which were excellent then on giving theory of How Things Work
> from a practical operations perspaective. Those of us who kept
our
> minds open, willing to learn, had a wonderful opportunity to see
how
> the Big Time in communications of the 1950s operated and stayed
> operating. When the TTY traffic is 220,000 messages a month, it
> IS the big time...and the station I was assigned to was only the
3rd
> largest in the Army Command and Administrative Network (ACAN).
>
> No, we didn't just "walk in from the street" and start in. We
were
> told what to do by those who actually did it, and most of those
would
> explain more detail and theory if one really showed an interest.
After
> three years on that assignment I had a wonderful crash course in
> real HF radio plus the beginning of microwaves and wound up an E-5
> doing some of the explaining of procedure and theory behind it
all.
> Wouldn't have missed it for the world, even with the threat of WW3
> hanging around for everyone to get nervous about.

Stoppit, dammit, stoppit!!!

I'm just sick and tired of you tellin' it like it was. The truth doan
count for sh*t aroun here anyways!

Now if you have a magazine like QST to relate real radio stories, well
then there might be sum dat lissen.

Guys like Jimmy Who dat doan know sh*t about real commitment, real
sacrifice. Only know about ENG101 and if the government loans are
going through. Forget about Fuchu and DaNang. They gots more
important tings to do so dey can tell us about how it really was.

> >> But, in 2004, U.S. radio amateurs MUST still pass a morse test
> >> to "qualify" for operating an amateur radio transmitter on HF.

Sadly true. Gotta makesure you not Taliban.

No
> >> other radio service (other than certain Maritime radio
services)
> >> require morsemanship testing.
> >
> > Yes, that is pretty much the case.

Taliban welcome to FAA license.

> WHY is that? There's no real reason for keeping that old test in
> there.
>
> All that morse test satisfies is the egos of the olde-tyme hammes
> who will never have to take another morse test in their lives (if
they
> renew on-time). THEY had to do it so everyone else MUST do so.
> Ptui.

Hey, Mister!!! If the Taliban can master the Morris Code, so can you.

Only then are you worthy to wageth war on the hypocphyphal.

> > My whole point is that if you want to be a Ham with HF access at
this
> >time, that is a hoop you have to jump through.

Wonder if our Twin-Trade Tower Terrs were accomplished codesters?

> Fine. Be a lemming or sheep.
>
> I'm just advocating a change in the radio regulations. Without
any
> personal needs, desires, or ego. I don't need any Titles or
Status
> or a bunch of alphanumerics to "sign behind or in front of my
name.
>
> Been there, done that a long time ago, and a little while ago
(early
> this year), all without any legal requirement to pass some morse
test.
>
>
> >> If that morse test is so absolutely "needed," then the
definition of the
> >> ARS should be changed in Part 97 to "Archaic Radiotelegraphy
> >> Service" for the USA.

Thot it was the Ars?

> > No need to fixate on the Morse code test.
>
> Then why DO you?

indeed?

> Why do you wish to CONTROL newcomers by keeping that morse
> test? Folks will think you have a Hoop Holder complex, somebody
> trying to be a guru or knowitall about "radio."
>
> If someone LIKES morse code operations, fine say I let them do
> their thing. But, to keep on enforcing an antiquated regulation
> necessity about taking a morse test is, to me, downright stupid.
>
> There's lots more to amateur radio than trying to recreate the
1920s
> and 1930s "pioneering days" by keeping old, out-of-date tests.
>
> Happy New Year on this last day of the 4th year into the new
> millennium...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

On this last day of the 4th year of the new millenium, I can only add,
"didit!"
All of the rest of amateur radio should be embarassed.

bb/N0iMD

6. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 02:48:47 UTC
From: ken8038 <Ken.kjk@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1104547727.009435.77360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.shortwave

First let me say your post gave me probably my last great laugh of
2004. I love the bung-holio reference (I get it). While you were at it,
you should have added record collectors to your tirade. Or as my (then
17) daughter put it when I dragged her to a record collector show a few
years ago; "The ratio of men to women vs. the number of pony-tails is
way out of whack here". ---Ken
Pope Fullmonty the 6th wrote:
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> while in a pique of
> post-xmas cheer wrote in message
>
news:20041230023508.08032.00002684@mb-m07.aol.salons.space.monkey.gleeps.pulling.levers.on
.slots.at.caesars.lv.com...
> > Seems Time Magazine has a beef with Amateur Radio. This week's
issue
> > (December 27) has an article on "blogs" on page 109. In the
article
> > the following sentence will surely grab the attention of Ham Radio
> > operators and stamp collectors - "Before this year, blogs were a
> > curiosity, a cult phenomenon, a faintly embarrassing hobby on the
> > order of HAM RADIO and stamp collecting."
>
> Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa.................
> HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA !!!
>
> Hey have you been to any hamfests lately? (look around) :)
> Been listening to 14.313 of late? (KJI from Indiana
> is back on in the afternoon again, stirrin up things in the
> truly unique way that only the 'kind jewish indian' can!)
> How about 75 meters at night?
>
> Hint: 14.313 is "re-starting again" folks!
>
> I can name a few other freq's but U know them already.
>
> "..faintly embarassing" they say? BOY OH BOY DID THEY
> EVER GET THAT NAIL NAILED IN STRAIGHT AN
> TIGHT INO THE WALL JOIST ! I don't admit to anyone
> that I have a ham license, even some family.
>
> As for stamp collecting, never been to one of those
> shows, but if those stamp guys are as bung-holeo-retentive
> as are the guys I've seen at train shows, I can understand 100%.
>

> Now with all that said, I got me a Zip-Zap for X-mas.
> Now leave me be whilst I torment the cat... ;)
>
> --------
>
> "A professional politician is a professionally dishonorable man.
> In order to get anywhere near high office, he has to make
> so many compromises and submit to so many humiliations
> that he becomes indistinguishable from a streetwalker."
> - H. L. Mencken
>
> "Erections lasting more than four hours means you've been
> watching entirely too much tsunami coverage on TV"
> - Dave in alt.flonk.the.monkey

7. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 02:58:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104548288.227769.304910@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101574

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > But, in 2004, U.S. radio amateurs MUST still pass a morse test
> > to "qualify" for operating an amateur radio transmitter on HF.
No
> > other radio service (other than certain Maritime radio services)
> > require morsemanship testing.
>
>
> Since you opened the door, let's do some further comparisons of the
ARS to
> other services:
>
> * We purposely operate using as little power as possible (QRP), they
don't

Wonder where the sales figures are WRT amplifiers?

> * We have antenna measuring contests with home-built antennas, they
don't

Name the last antenna measuring conest that you were at?

> * We conduct emergency comms when other services are down, they're
down

Name the last commercially comms that were down that you stood in for.

> * We don't have to operate on pre-assigned frequencies, they do

Absolutely no one has to operate on any designated frequency when an
emergency is taking place. Thought you were a ham an knew that.

> * We have on-the-air contests (lots of them), they can't

BFD

> * We can vary our power from 0 to 2KW, they can't

No we can't.

> * We exchange post cards after a QSO, they don't

They could.

> * We're frequency-agile with a VFO, they aren't

You assume too much. Way too much.

> * We have swapmeets ("ham fests"), they don't

They swap yachts.

You swap mailboxes so's you can collect sall signs. Idiot.

> * We can build our own equipment, they can't

Why can't they?

> * We operate for the fun of it, they don't

Liar.

> * We have radio club meetings, they don't

Liar.

> * We can ragchew for hours, they can't

Liar.

> * We can operate at will, they can't

Liar.

> * We go on DXpeditions, they don't

Liar.

> * We're licensed, you're not

Liar.

> No 73 for you, Jeff KH6O
>
> --
> Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
> Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System
CPO MailBoxIdiot is our first line of defense?

God help us.

8. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 02:59:07 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104548347.364180.119980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101575

liar

9. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 03:01:24 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104548484.499277.130840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101576

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote
>
> :
> : * We purposely operate using as little power as possible (QRP),
they don't
> : * We have antenna measuring contests with home-built antennas, they
don't
> : * We conduct emergency comms when other services are down, they're
down
> : * We don't have to operate on pre-assigned frequencies, they do
> : * We have on-the-air contests (lots of them), they can't
> : * We can vary our power from 0 to 2KW, they can't
> : * We exchange post cards after a QSO, they don't
> : * We're frequency-agile with a VFO, they aren't
> : * We have swapmeets ("ham fests"), they don't
> : * We can build our own equipment, they can't
> : * We operate for the fun of it, they don't
> : * We have radio club meetings, they don't
> : * We can ragchew for hours, they can't
> : * We can operate at will, they can't
> : * We go on DXpeditions, they don't
> : * We're licensed, you're not
> :
>
> With the exception of the first and the last item, everything you
list can
> also be claimed by freebanders as part of their hobby. Do the math,
chief
> lecturer, 14-out-of-16 ain't too shabby.

Jeff doan know the diff betw 14 and 16. Either age is legal for
marryin where he's from.

10. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 03:11:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104549063.170587.36320@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101578

Steve, for whatever cosmic you and I have gotten along for the past couple of weeks. But it isn't for the behavio[u]r that you've just exhibited.

Is there any chance in hell that you and Len can find some common ground?

Dances with Wolves and all that?
Private email is good for this. Thanks, bb

11. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 03:11:52 UTC
From: Pope Fullmonty the 6th <trickahbmedkoojss@uwisc.edu>
Message-ID: <d880a$41d614fa$d1cc5612$24913@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 101577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:rIudnUUh1_X3XEjcRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
> YOu realize that *your* post might be used as evidence of that
> "slightly embarrassing bit?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
^^^^
D U H . . . . . !

(---> Would someone please go an get Homer Simpson
above a big box of pink glazed doughnuts for New Year's?
I'll re-imburse you too :). I'm on the way out the door
to Times Square, just across the River. If you happen
to see a guy on da tube in a black leather trenchcoat with
the red scarf and a green sequined top-hat...YOU SAW
THE POPE F.M. 6th! Congratulations! and a Happy New
Year !)

12. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 03:12:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104549156.229425.42330@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101579

No it don't. And you ain't in the know.

Tnx for playin.

bb

13. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 03:26:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104550005.810149.99540@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101580

K4YZ wrote:
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Let 'em have it, Folks!
>
> My response:
>
> Sir,
>
> Page 109 of the December 27th issue of "TIME" made the statement
> that "blogs" "...were a faintly embarrassing hobby on the order of
> Ham Radio and stamp collecting".
>
> As you read this, Amateur (Ham) Radio operators are providing
> critical disaster relief communications in the Indian Ocean in the
wake
> of the disasterous tsunami waves that wiped out tens-of-thousands of
> lives.

To Time Magazine:

Rev Jim has gotten something right WRT amateur radio. He's tried, and
tried, and tried, over the past several years but to put it bluntly,
Rev Jim is a total eff-ing screwup.

May I refer Time Magazine to W5YI for sumptin close to the truth WRT
the amatuer radio service. Jim actually means well, but his ego is
outta conrol. tnx.

> Amateur Radio remains one of this nation's critical disaster relief
> assets. It is a critical tool for FEMA, The Red Cross, The Salvation
> Army, and countless state and local governments. Amateur Radio
> operators put in thousands of manhours in the wake of the Septermber
> 11th attacks, helping to fill in crucial communications gaps in New
> York City.
>
> Amateur Radio has been lauded in the Federal Register on numerous
> occassions for contributions to the Department of State as it has
often
> been the only link between disaster-struck outposts and the United
> States.
>
> The Armed Forces, under the auspcies of the Military Affiliate
> Radio System, employs thousands of Amateur Radio volunteers to
provide

> domestic and deployed health and welfare resources for our military
> personnel.
>
> The United States Coast Guard routinely depends on Amateurs to
> provide crucial links between Search And Rescue facilities and ships
in
> distress on the high seas.
>
> Amateur Radio even plays a part in the space program as it is an
> intergral part of the International Space Station. Thousands of
> students around the world have been able to communicate with the
crews
> of the ISS through a program called ARISS: Amateur Radio on the
> International Space Station.
>
> And, oh yes..we manage to have fun along the way!
>
> An immediate apology is not only due, it's expected.
>
> Respectfully yours,
>
> Steven J Robeson, LPN
> Amateur Radio Station K4YZ
> 151 12th Avenue Northwest
> Winchester, TN 37398

Sumbuddy needs to apologize for Rev Jim's lack of reverendom. He's
what's wrong with amateur radio today.

14. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 03:28:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104550097.900384.105250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.shortwave

You should introduce your daughter to one of my older sons.

15. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 03:53:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104551584.970798.204520@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101582

Kim wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1104531566.677857.126570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Mike said: """Everyone is entitled to an opinion, Brian."""
> >
> > Reeaally???
> >
> > """We wouldn't have anywhere near as much fun if we could only give
> > opinions on what we have personal experience in! 8^)"""
> >
> > Then lotsa people on RRAP owe Len Anderson an apology.
> >
> > When I see those apologies, I'll stop pointing out that "true blue"
Jim
> > has never served his country in any capacity, yet has availed
himself
> > to the finest education and standard of living in a system where
the
> > freedom to do so were paid for with the blood of other people's
sons.
> > Happy New Year.
> >
>
> So...wait just a minute. Are you saying that anyone who does not
serve his
> country in any capacity (you'd have to define in any capacity, by the
way)
> is not supposed to live in the United States?

***That isn't what I said, but do you argue that I'm not entitled to my
own opinion?***

> Because, in the United States

Is Kim going to tell ---me--- about America???

Please allow me to assume the position.

> we--regardless of *military* service to the country or not--DO avail
> ourselves of whatever is available, be that a good education, a good
job, a
> good doctor, a good teacher, a good whatever.

Indeed many do.

They take and they take, good whatever.

Good everythig!!!

Do you count yourself among those???

> That the freedoms we enjoy are here, because of the military service
of
> others, is greatly appreciated by most--

Huge, huge appreciations. Yellow magnet ribbons and all....

there are those that are just plain
> idiots (and Jim is not one of them).

Why do you say that Jim is not?

As a matteer of fact, I think Jim IS one of the idiots.

And, to read your comment above
> without having paid attention to this thread, seems to say that
anyone who
> doesn't meet your standards of service should just roll up and die?

If you like you can read it that way.

But that is not what I meant. Meanwhile, Len, who has served is
supposed to roll up and die.

Why is that???

Surely
> I am mistaken in my interpretation of your comment, above.
>
> Kim W5TIT

To be -perfectly- clear, I think Jim is a welfare freeloader to avail
hisself to the freedom to go to USA engineering schools, to avail
hisself to a career in engineering, and then to comment on how bad life
in America is without ***ever*** having done a Goddamned thing to risk
even a split fingernail, all the while putting down Len Anderson who
has contributed more to America than a single Jim/N2EY fingernail.
Kim, you did ask.

bb

16. Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 04:18:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104553114.249741.135320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101583

Hey, Len. Happy New Year.

I think it's been about a decade since I joined you on RRAP. I think Jim/KH2D was exiting at that point, and I never did see his stuff on RRAP. I guess time flies when your fighting bad guys. I thought things were actually turing around lately, but the closet libs decided it was time to exit the closets after the election. I guess MoveOn dot Org gave them the strength to belittle their fellow man. Rich people know more than everyone else. In your face and all that.

Anyway, I've responded to several of the Mike/Jim postings of late, and I'm really saddened that we have such sorry-assed citizens. Jim sorely wants to compare America to a falling Roman Empire, and with all of the help that he can muster, it just might come true. I'd like to kick his liberal behind, but I'd end up getting butt-f***ed by his constituents at the county workhouse when it was all over with. I sure wish he'd invite them over to his house for a New Year's Eve party so they could get all of the hedonism out of their systems.

Oh, well. What can you expect from people who have absolutley ***nothing*** invested in America? Yellow magnet ribbons on their trunks??? Yep, they support the troops. Hi, hi!!! Yellow magnets!!!

Support America when it really didn't matter. Oooh Ahh and all that. Wonder how many gallons of desalinated IO water they've drank in their lifetimes?

Oh, never mind. Kelly is gonna jump in here with all his military experince. Har de har, har.

17. Re: Problem for asthma patients

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 04:20:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104553241.426148.145190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101584

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N2EY wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <NipAd.1167$Cc.351@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> "KØHB"
> > > <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >> Then they can engage in whatever wild passionate
> > > >> sex they see fit to, as long as it's legal.
> > > >
> > > >What sort of sex should >not< be legal (presuming employed
> non-asthmatic
> > > >consenting adults in the privacy of their own Studebaker)?
> > > >
> > > Pretty much anything, I would think.
>
> Oh wait, I think my answer was kind of ambiguous there.
>
> I say there are very few if any sex-related things that responsible
> consenting adults want to do that should not be legal. (note the word
> "responsible"...)
> > >
> > > However, I can well understand the outrage of those who have to
pay
> and pay for
> > > the consequences of others' irresponsible behavior.
> >
> > Do you have some insider knowledge of irresponsible behavior on the
> part
> > of sexually active asthma sufferers?
> >
> Nope!
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim simply has no knowledge of anything.

18. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 04:38:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104554290.336735.69820@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101585

Indeed. Len is being paid by the contra-ARRL subversives. And he has nothing to do with the counter-sniper-subversive-counter-sniper-sniper operations that the ARRL field DXCC checkers are conducting. You'll know them by their yellow magnetic ribbons stuck to their trunks.

Meanwhile, Jimmy Carter is planning a counter-desert offensive defense to test automotive oil additives for the info-mercials that take over all of the freqs adjacent to the PBS freqs on the public airwaves. Gives Jim something to do between his anti-American postings.

19. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 05:30:29 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050101003029.21658.00000487@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 101586

In article <1104531566.677857.126570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>Mike said: """Everyone is entitled to an opinion, Brian."""
>
>Reeaally???
>
>"""We wouldn't have anywhere near as much fun if we could only give
>opinions on what we have personal experience in! 8^)"""
>
>Then lotsa people on RRAP owe Len Anderson an apology.

Amateur morsemen are far superior to professionals in radio.
They have nice Titles and federal call signs and all kinds of
good stuff washed into their brains like a henna rinse. They
apologize to NO one. :-)

>When I see those apologies, I'll stop pointing out that "true blue" Jim
>has never served his country in any capacity, yet has availed himself
>to the finest education and standard of living in a system where the
>freedom to do so were paid for with the blood of other people's sons.

He "served in 'other' ways." :-(

He knew all about the space business without having worked
in it. Good trick, even if he wasn't rated in soldering to NPC-200.

He knew all about USSR military aircraft too, even though he
didn't shoot any Bears for naval intelligence (another non-
serviceman did that).

He has a BSEE and an MSEE, double-degreed, supposedly in
an electronics industry but won't say which one, but he can do
morse code at high rate...which makes him far superior as a
2004 radio person.

Whoopee. If an NCTA mentions degrees, yet another "superior"
calls night college classes something derogatory, implying they
are for some kind of civics for citizenship candidates or are
classes for remedial English. If I mention that my wife has TWO
Masters Degrees, that "superior" person calls the University of
Illinois at Urbana a "correspondence school." And my wife gets
a personal insult because she is married to me, all courtesy of
that medically-discharged veteran of "seven hostile actions."
Late in the year this "healthcare professional" told me to go have
a stroke. :-)

Yet another "superior" (perhaps the radio god of all) claims actual Department of State employment yet doesn't get into the socio-political "cures and remedies" spouted by all those other PCTA "superiors" who've never had any diplomatic experience or politics or of economics (beyond their personal account stuff) but regularly spout All About it. Never mind that national issues on economics or politics don't have much effect on radio regulations or ham radio. That most-superior person claims he was "in" Vietnam but can't or won't describe any duties "in" Vietnam or that they involved radio of any kind. All he do is diss and cuss at the person he doesn't personally like. He IS the superior one. He say so.

Now we've got Mike Coslo, the self-styled "moderator" who wants this newsgroup to become a nice little private chat room for all the PCTA "buddies" and to heck with Schleck and his guidelines to what this newsgroup is about. Coslo also "serves in 'other' ways."

>Happy New Year.

Okay, this is almost Day One of the year 2005 and I'm writing during some Rose Parade coverage on TV, wondering if the Pasadena weather will clear enough for a nice spectacle. This holiday's weather here is very iffy.

The Rose Parade is an enterprise with a $10 million budget and one amateur has claimed that ham radio was "essential" to the organizers. It never was, but that's another one of many myths that grew and prospered with the fantasyland folk who get way too far into a hobby and lose their grip on reality.

This private chat room called rec.radio.amateur.policy doesn't look like a good place to actually discuss "policy" in re ham radio. All have to mantra-mumble selected sayings from the Church of St. Hiram (HQ in Newington) and Believe in the efficacy of morsemanship. All discussion is pointless. It's all a trash can of insults on the persons holding no-code-test advocacy.

Morse code uber alles! Microphones delenda est!

Happy 2005. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

20. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 06:49:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1104562165.335250.293590@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101587

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <1104531566.677857.126570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >Mike said: """Everyone is entitled to an opinion, Brian."""
> >
> >Reeaally???
> >
> >"""We wouldn't have anywhere near as much fun if we could only give
> >opinions on what we have personal experience in! 8^)"""
> >
> >Then lotsa people on RRAP owe Len Anderson an apology.
>
> Amateur morsemen are far superior to professionals in radio.
> They have nice Titles and federal call signs and all kinds of
> good stuff washed into their brains like a henna rinse. They
> apologize to NO one.

"Amateur morsemen" are far superior to professionals in AMATEUR
radio.

This forum is about AMATEUR radio...Not "professional", military,
Public Service, PLMRS, etc etc etc.

> >When I see those apologies, I'll stop pointing out that "true blue"
Jim
> >has never served his country in any capacity, yet has availed
himself
> >to the finest education and standard of living in a system where the
> >freedom to do so were paid for with the blood of other people's
sons.
>
> He "served in 'other' ways."
>
> He knew all about the space business without having worked
> in it. Good trick, even if he wasn't rated in soldering to
NPC-200.

I am sure he can cut-and-paste as well as you do, Lennie, however
I bet Jim's far more competent and knowledgeable in "space business"
than you without the URL's to click on.

> He knew all about USSR military aircraft too, even though he
> didn't shoot any Bears for naval intelligence (another non-
> serviceman did that).

He sure does...And funny you should mention that since it was YOU
that brought up being threatened by Mr Tupolev's turboprop wonder
despite the fact it wasn't in servce until YOU were out of theater!

> He has a BSEE and an MSEE, double-degreed, supposedly in
> an electronics industry but won't say which one, but he can do
> morse code at high rate...which makes him far superior as a
> 2004 radio person.

When matched against an UNlicensed ex-radio technician
wannabe...Sure!

> Whoopee. If an NCTA mentions degrees, yet another "superior"
> calls night college classes something derogatory, implying they
> are for some kind of civics for citizenship candidates or are
> classes for remedial English. If I mention that my wife has TWO
> Masters Degrees, that "superior" person calls the University of
> Illinois at Urbana a "correspondence school."

She obviously doesn't have the requisite skills to get you into
therapy or counselling, ergo I doubt she has the credentials you cite.

> And my wife gets
> a personal insult because she is married to me, all courtesy of
> that medically-discharged veteran of "seven hostile actions."
> Late in the year this "healthcare professional" told me to go have
> a stroke.

No..I said PLEASE go have a stroke. And I haven't insulted your
wife. I believe I ahve said she has some special intestinal fortitude
for being able to sleep next to you.

> Yet another "superior" (perhaps the radio god of all) claims
actual
> Department of State employment yet doesn't get into the socio-
> political "cures and remedies" spouted by all those other PCTA
> "superiors" who've never had any diplomatic experience or politics
> or of economics (beyond their personal account stuff) but
regularly
> spout All About it.

Lessee...Dave Heil spent a life career in the State Department and
YOU didn't...Dave was actually AT those places we only read about or
watched on TV. And Lennie was...In Japan at a rear-area radio relay
station for a couple of years in the 50's...

> Never mind that national issues on economics
> or politics don't have much effect on radio regulations or ham
radio.

Absolutely, without contradiction, one of the most assinine
assertions you've ever made, Lennie.

Economics has been one of the MAJOR driving factors behind
numerous impacts on the Amateur Service!

> That most-superior person claims he was "in" Vietnam but can't
> or won't describe any duties "in" Vietnam or that they involved
> radio of any kind. All he do is diss and cuss at the person he
> doesn't personally like. He IS the superior one. He say so.

Uhhh...Seems he's not lied about any of his duties to me, Lennie.

On the otherhand, we have you, an ex-Army radio meachanic claiming
to have handled over "2.1 million" bits of traffic until the
mathematics of your silly claim were laid bare.

Then you tried to dance around it.

And let's not forget how glorious YOUR Army career was simply by
having been in a unit that had suffered KIA's three years before you
were ever there.

> Now we've got Mike Coslo, the self-styled "moderator" who wants
> this newsgroup to become a nice little private chat room for all
the
> PCTA "buddies" and to heck with Schleck and his guidelines to
> what this newsgroup is about. Coslo also "serves in 'other'
ways."

Mike hasn't suggested that there be a moderator.

He HAS urged civility and cooperation.

Of course we know that with you here, Lennie, that's about as
likely to happen as my Brittney fantasies.

> >Happy New Year.
>
> Okay, this is almost Day One of the year 2005 and I'm writing
> during some Rose Parade coverage on TV, wondering if the
> Pasadena weather will clear enough for a nice spectacle. This
> holiday's weather here is very iffy.

Oh, as long as YOU are there, Lennie, there will ALWAYS be a
"spectacle" in Kalifornication!

> The Rose Parade is an enterprise with a $10 million budget and
> one amateur has claimed that ham radio was "essential" to the
> organizers. It never was, but that's another one of many myths
> that grew and prospered with the fantasyland folk who get way too
> far into a hobby and lose their grip on reality.
>
> This private chat room called rec.radio.amateur.policy doesn't
look
> like a good place to actually discuss "policy" in re ham radio.
All
> have to mantra-mumble selected sayings from the Church of St.
> Hiram (HQ in Newington) and Believe in the efficacy of
> morsemanship. All discussion is pointless. It's all a trash can
> of insults on the persons holding no-code-test advocacy.

No..we only insult those who ARE insulting.

That would be you.

You're a documented liar and antagonist.

> Morse code uber alles! Microphones delenda est!

You're a fool, Anderson.
> Happy 2005.

It could be.

Steve, K4YZ

21. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 06:51:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1104562316.866973.137820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.shortwave

Pope Fullmonty the 6th wrote:

Snipped.

Just another anonymous, gonadless coward.

Steve, K4YZ

22. Re: The FCC Break their own rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 13:21:52 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41d5cf9e$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101562

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:41CB9E18.BAAC192@earthlink.net...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > news:cuvyxnansvbet.i976cb5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> > > On Thu, 23 Dec 2004 18:45:19 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > >
> > > >>> (a) it's not an FCC rule and is open to court interpretation.
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>What do you mean it's not an FCC rule?? I think you better look again
try
> > > >>47
> > > >>USC 326 or Title 47 of the United States Code (Telegraphs, Telephones,
and
> > > >>Radiotelegraphs), Chapter 5 (WIRE OR RADIO COMMUNICATION), Subchapter
3
> > > >>(SPECIAL PROVISIONS RELATING TO RADIO), Part 1 (General Provisions),
> > > >>Subsection 326 (Censorship)
> > > >
> > > > You didn't quote an FCC Rule (which are codified in Title 47 of
> > > > the Code of Federal Regulations). You quoted a Federal statute
> > > > (which is codified in the U.S. Code). THEY "AIN'T" THE SAME !!
> > >
> > So that's means no one should have to abide by Section 301 sense it's
not a
> > FCC rule. right?
> > and secondly where in any of my fucking post did I state I'm a lawyer?
> > NOWHERE! So my in my fucking opinion don't put words in my mouth.
>
>
> Phil asked you the following:
>
> "Where do you practice Communications Law, Todd?"
>
> You replied:
>
> "As for your question I have been very active in the micro broadcasting
> movement and I'm very knowledgeable when it comes to radio law. I am
> current working with a member of the House Subcommittee on
> Telecommunication in regards to the FCC and the licensing processing
> including filing windows and waivers."
>

> You didn't really provide an answer to Phil's question. Now you've
> resorting to multiple occurrences of the "eff" word in defending the
> indefensible. You've done so in barely corherent sentences. Do you
> really want to argue communications law with an expert in the field?
>
> There is really a heuristic approach you could try, Todd. Go ahead an
> push the envelope on the free speech issue on the air and see if the ax
> falls on you. If it never does, your theory is right or the feds aren't
> paying any attention to you right now.

Oh, I plan to, come January I will be running a program on the ham bands.
I'll let you all know when and time.

Todd N9OGL

> By the way, what does the micro broadcasting "movement" have to do with
> amateur radio? I'm set on sticking with the amateur radio "movement".
>
> Dave K8MN
>

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

23. Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 14:03:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050101090327.21593.00000453@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 101590

In article <8nFAd.6478$qf5.4136@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>The rules used to say "No retests
>within 30 days" so that would mean that they changed
>the versions every 30 days.

Not necessarily!

As K2ASP points out, there were several versions. The chances of someone getting the same version twice in a row were pretty slim under such a system, and even if they did, it was a month ago.

>Now, if they only had
>two versions, one could wait 60 days and see the
>exact same test they had before. I don't suppose
>the examiners had kept track to see if anyone actually
>did that. Or more likely they didn't worry about it.

As I expererienced it, the 30 day stuff was simply part of a much bigger system. Worked like this:

Tests were given here in Philly Monday through Wednesday. For a kid in school, you had to wait for summer vacation or a school holiday that wasn't also a govt. holiday. Playing hooky to take a radio exam just wasn't in the cards for most of us. Working folks had it even tougher because they usually had to take at least a half-day off work.

Because of the MWF situation, if you flunked a test you couldn't come back for at least 5 weeks.

Those of us lucky enough to live close to an FCC exam point just took the subway into town. Those in more remote areas often had a much longer and more difficult trip.

What all of this did was to stop people from just going to the FCC office day after day until they got enough correct answers to pass. Instead, people tended to be as prepared as possible before taking the tests. They weren't really that hard if you knew something about amateur radio.

73 de Jim, N2EY

24. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 14:03:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050101090329.21593.00000454@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 101589

In article <jNSdnQGeQYinMkjcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>The pubs have found the way to clean up congress:
>
>http://tinyurl.com/5w3ct
>
Fascinating!

I wonder why they want to change that standard?

73 de Jim, N2EY

25. Re: Mode/Band Use in 1961

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 14:23:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050101092305.06587.00001893@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 101591

>Subject: Re: Mode/Band Use in 1961
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 12/31/2004 10:20 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20041231112053.06515.00000479@mb-m15.aol.com>

>Remember Cecil, W5DXP/W6RCA? His take on the problem was that all
>"recreational" drugs should be legalized for adults. But if someone was
>caught
>providing them to minors, or caused problems while under the influence, the
>penalties would be much higher than today. IOW, if you want to get high, go
>ahead, but if you cause something bad to happen, don't try to use the drugs
>as
>an excuse.

Uh huh...And that won't work.

We have rules like that for alcohol and firearms yet people still insist on
being stupid with them.

The problem with the laws are that we WON'T use them to their full extent.

Personally, I believe that we have more than enough laws to punish people
who use those weapons illegally or improperly. Yet everytime there is some
tragedy with a firearm, we go through yet another knee-jerk reaction of
insisting that we take away the guns rather than enforce the laws on the books.

Same with alcohol. It's only been in the last 10-15 years that we've
finally decided to drop the hammer on alcohol abuse and DUI, but even then it's
not unusual to find people who are still behind the wheel after four, five or
more DUI's.

So...as I see it the ONLY benefit to legalizing drugs would be the
potential tax revenues. But then we'll only spend those revenues building
rehab facilites or more prisons to house the offenders, so what's the use?

73

Steve, K4YZ

26. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 15:11:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <dq-dnVmoWLUjIEvcRVn-2g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101592

bb wrote:

> """ What is the logic behind your answer?"""
>
> Must my answer confrom to liberal logic?
>
>
>>As you seem to believe, character does count.
>
>
>>So, Michael, please name one liberal (or "democrat") organization
>
> that
>
>>promotes character in boys and yound men?
>
>
> I know lots of Democrats that support the Boy Scouts, and lots of
> independents.
>
> Wunnerful. I know lots of people, too! That is NOT THE ISSUE!
>
> Why was the BSA booed at the """DNC Convention""" while they brought
> out the American Flad and recited the Pledge of Allegiance?
>
> Why???
>
> """You take what SOME people who align themselves with the
> Democrats do and apply that to the whole."""
>
> ABSO-FRIGGIN-LUTELY!!! Every Democrat has to accept responsibility for
> that act.
> Were they Mujahadeen???
>
> And >>>I<<< take what happened at the DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL
> ____CONVENTION 0___ to represent the Democratic >>>PARTY!!!<<<
>
> If you don't like it, find a new party.
>
> """That is a losing game, as there are segments of Republicans that are
>
> similarly fringy."""
>
> Oh, my. People that believe in God. Wonder if Jews beieve in God?

> Wonder if Palistinians believe in God? Wonder if Methodists believe in
> God? Wonder if Rev Jim believes in God?
>
> It's just so awful that there are still luddites that believe in God.
>
> NYT says "God is Dead!"
>
> But we must have a Palestinian State because Allah wants it.
>
>
>>I wish you the very best of luck on that one, and I do hope that you
>>succeed.
>
>
> """So it is okay to be corrupt as long as you are a Republican.
> Good to
> see where exactly you are coming from."""
>
> WTF did that come from? Must be somekindof Al Franken spagmasm???
> Wonder where Geneen Giraffala weighed in on that one???
>
> Anyhow, you failed to name a single >>>liberal<<< youth organization
> that promotes character. And I know why that is. It's so friggin easy
> to know why that is.
>
> C'mon liberal Mike. Ask me why it's so easy to know that. C'mon, ask
> why!!!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Mike, I noticed that you failed to list any liberal organization that
> was pro character building.
>
> "Reply"
>
> Oh, my! 2004 becoming 2005. My 10YO Cub Scouts/America son just got
> an Eddie Bauer 0 deg sleeping bag. And he earned his just "readyman"
> badge and knows the basics of first aid. Maybe someday he'll hike-in
> and save your liberal ass.
>

Brian, get help.

- Mike KB3EIA -

27. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 15:28:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1104593337.677609.60100@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101593

These are the numbers of amateur licenses held by individuals on the
stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of December 31, 2004:

Novice - 29,765 (decrease of 19,564)
Technician - 265,534 (increase of 60,140)
Technician Plus - 54,152 (decrease of 74,708)
General - 138,287 (increase of 25,610)
Advanced - 77,948 (decrease of 21,834)
Extra - 106,087 (increase of 27,337)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 319,686 (decrease of 14,568)

Total all classes - 671,773 (decrease of 3019)
73 es HNY de Jim, N2EY

28. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 15:29:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050101102915.09630.00000328@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 101594

In article <1104501200.751471.160430@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "W2KRP"
<mark@w2krp.com> writes:

>Here ya go Jim:
>
>http://www.time.com/time/press_releases/article/0,8599,1009842,00.html

Thanks, Mark!

73 es HNY de Jim, N2EY

29. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 15:40:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <rr-dnfpvsOj8WUvcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101595

bb wrote:

> """ What is the logic behind your answer?"""
>
> Must my answer confrom to liberal logic?

As opposed to your logic? Is your logic conservative logic?

>
>>As you seem to believe, character does count.
>
>
>>So, Michael, please name one liberal (or "democrat") organization
>
> that>
>>promotes character in boys and yound men?

Do the republicans own the Boy scouts?

>
> I know lots of Democrats that support the Boy Scouts, and lots of
> independents.
>
> Wunnerful. I know lots of people, too! That is NOT THE ISSUE!

The issue is that republicans are considering puttin through
legislation that weakens ethics rules.

> Why was the BSA booed at the """DNC Convention""" while they brought
> out the American Flad and recited the Pledge of Allegiance?
>
> Why???
>
> """You take what SOME people who align themselves with the
> Democrats do and apply that to the whole."""
>
> ABSO-FRIGGIN-LUTELY!!! Every Democrat has to accept responsibility for
> that act.
> Were they Mujahadeen???

> And >>>I<<< take what happened at the DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL
> ____CONVENTION 0___ to represent the Democratic >>>PARTY!!!<<<
>
> If you don't like it, find a new party.

Ain't my party, Brian!

> """That is a losing game, as there are segments of Republicans that are
>
> similarly fringy."""
>
> Oh, my. People that believe in God. Wonder if Jews beieve in God?
> Wonder if Palistinians believe in God? Wonder if Methodists believe in
> God? Wonder if Rev Jim believes in God?

So all you have to do is to Believe in God, and whatever else you do is
okay?

> It's just so awful that there are still luddites that believe in God.
>
> NYT says "God is Dead!"
>
> But we must have a Palestinian State because Allah wants it.
>
>
>>I wish you the very best of luck on that one, and I do hope that you
>>succeed.
>
>
> """So it is okay to be corrupt as long as you are a Republican.
> Good to
> see where exactly you are coming from."""
>
> WTF did that come from? Must be somekindof Al Franken spagmasm???
> Wonder where Geneen Giraffala weighed in on that one???

It is what you are saying. I note that Republicans are considering
legislation to weaken the ethics rules, and you answer that Democrats
don't support the Boy Scouts, and that Republicans believe in God.

You never even addressed the original question.

So YES, you ARE saying that it is okay to be corrupt as long as you are
Republican!

> Anyhow, you failed to name a single >>>liberal<<< youth organization
> that promotes character. And I know why that is. It's so friggin easy
> to know why that is.
>
> C'mon liberal Mike. Ask me why it's so easy to know that. C'mon, ask
> why!!!

HAH, Good one Brian. I am not liberal. I am independent, registered and
otherwise. I take my political philosophy from what is out there, and
think about it, rather than just do what "my party" tells me to.

So from your other postings, I can tell you that in some respects you
are what to the left of my philosophy. You don't know what all my
positions are because they can't be looked up by party platform.

So whats it like being a liberal by comparison.

I'm interested in all politicians being ethical, not just Republicans. Is that a bad thing? Is is a good idea? Might it be better to think about it rather than respond by accusing me of not supporting the Boy scouts, being a liberal, or that

> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Mike, I noticed that you failed to list any liberal organization that
> was pro character building.

Yeah, the Boy scouts.

I don't see anything about the Boy scouts being a republican or conservative organization.

> Oh, my! 2004 becoming 2005. My 10YO Cub Scouts/America son just got
> an Eddie Bauer 0 deg sleeping bag. And he earned his just "readyman"
> badge and knows the basics of first aid. Maybe someday he'll hike-in
> and save your liberal ass.

Brian, spare me the foaming at the mouth stuff. If you want to believe that the Boy scouts are the reason that it is okay for the Republicans to soften up the ethics rules. Then so be it, I'll accept that as your answer. I was in the Boy scouts, My son was in the Cub souts and Boy Scouts. I occasionally make presentations to the local Boy Scouts as part of their meetings or to get interest going for possible merit badge work. I have been asked and am considering being a merit badge counseler.

I might suggest going back and reading the Scout oath and Scout law.

- Mike KB3EIA -

30. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 15:49:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <6ZWdnWUBBtgMW0vcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101596

N2EY wrote:

> In article <jNSdnQGeQYinMkjcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>The pubs have found the way to clean up congress:
>>
>>http://tinyurl.com/5w3ct
>>
>
> Fascinating!
>
> I wonder why they want to change that standard?

The immediate purpose is to get Tom DeLay out of his ethics situation.

- Mike KB3EIA -

31. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 15:51:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <6ZWdnWQBBthhW0vcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Pope Fullmonty the 6th wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:rIudnUUh1_X3XEjcRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>
>>YOu realize that *your* post might be used as evidence of that
>>"slightly embarrassing bit?
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
> ^^^^
> D U H . . . . . !
>
> (---> Would someone please go an get Homer Simpson
> above a big box of pink glazed doughnuts for New Year's?
> I'll re-imburse you too :). I'm on the way out the door
> to Times Square, just across the River. If you happen
> to see a guy on da tube in a black leather trenchcoat with
> the red scarf and a green sequined top-hat...YOU SAW
> THE POPE F.M. 6th! Congratulations! and a Happy New
> Year !)

You didn't get it, did you?

- Mike KB3EIA -

32. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 16:04:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Ef6dnUM9lNmFV0vcRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101598

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> These are the numbers of amateur licenses held by individuals on the
> stated dates:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329
> Technician - 205,394
> Technician Plus - 128,860
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of December 31, 2004:
>
> Novice - 29,765 (decrease of 19,564)
> Technician - 265,534 (increase of 60,140)
> Technician Plus - 54,152 (decrease of 74,708)
> General - 138,287 (increase of 25,610)
> Advanced - 77,948 (decrease of 21,834)
> Extra - 106,087 (increase of 27,337)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 319,686 (decrease of 14,568)
>
> Total all classes - 671,773 (decrease of 3019)
> 73 es HNY de Jim, N2EY

So Jim, filtering out the big drop due to the "honeydo" Technicians dropping out, what are the numbers? When we see that big 14.5K drop in Technicians, I would assume that some of the drop was due to upgrading, but most to that dropoff.

All in all, when considering that big deficit, it looks like we could be climbing out of that hole eventually?

- Mike KB3EIA -

33. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 17:29:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104600541.189811.142790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101599

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > """ What is the logic behind your answer?"""
> >
> > Must my answer confrom to liberal logic?
>
> As opposed to your logic? Is your logic conservative logic?

In most respects. I have voted for levy's for programs which help
addicted people.

> >>As you seem to believe, character does count.
> >
> >
> >>So, Michael, please name one liberal (or "democrat") organization
> >
> > that>
> >>promotes character in boys and yound men?
>
> Do the republicans own the Boy scouts?

That isn't the point.

The point is that at the Democratic National Convention, the Boy Scouts
were booed while performing a flag ceremony and reciting the Pledge of
Allegiance. It was televised.

> > I know lots of Democrats that support the Boy Scouts, and lots of
> > independents.
> >
> > Wunnerful. I know lots of people, too! That is NOT THE ISSUE!
>
> The issue is that republicans are considering puttin through
> legislation that weakens ethics rules.

So stop them.

> > Why was the BSA booed at the """DNC Convention""" while they
brought
> > out the American Flad and recited the Pledge of Allegiance?
> >
> > Why???
> >
> > """You take what SOME people who align themselves with the
> > Democrats do and apply that to the whole."""

> >
> > ABSO-FRIGGIN-LUTELY!!! Every Democrat has to accept responsibility
for
> > that act.
> > Were they Mujahadeen???
>
> > And >>>I<<< take what happened at the DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL
> > ____CONVENTION 0___ to represent the Democratic >>>PARTY!!!<<<
> >
> > If you don't like it, find a new party.
>
> Ain't my party, Brian!

Ah, yes. An Independant. Why are the Indies always hitching rides on
that platform?

> > """That is a losing game, as there are segments of Republicans that
are
> >
> > similarly fringy."""
> >
> > Oh, my. People that believe in God. Wonder if Jews beieve in God?
> > Wonder if Palistinians believe in God? Wonder if Methodists
believe in
> > God? Wonder if Rev Jim believes in God?
>
> So all you have to do is to Believe in God, and whatever else you do
is
> okay?

Huh???

You brought up "fringies." Right now that means the Christians. You
know, people who attend church. Declaring ones faith and attending
church somehow makes a person bad.

> > It's just so awful that there are still luddites that believe in
God.
> >
> > NYT says "God is Dead!"
> >
> > But we must have a Palestinian State because Allah wants it.
> >
> >>I wish you the very best of luck on that one, and I do hope that
you
> >>succeed.
> >
> >
> > """So it is okay to be corrupt as long as you are a Republican.
> > Good to
> > see where exactly you are coming from."""
> >

> > WTF did that come from? Must be somekindof Al Franken spagmasm???
> > Wonder where Geneen Giraffala weighed in on that one???
>
> It is what you are saying. I note that Republicans are considering
> legislation to weaken the ethics rules, and you answer that Democrats

> don't support the Boy Scouts, and that Republicans believe in God.
>
> You never even addressed the original question.
>
> So YES, you ARE saying that it is okay to be corrupt as long as you
are
> Republican!

Absolutely not.

> > Anyhow, you failed to name a single >>>liberal<<< youth
organization
> > that promotes character. And I know why that is. It's so friggin
easy
> > to know why that is.
> >
> > C'mon liberal Mike. Ask me why it's so easy to know that. C'mon,
ask
> > why!!!
>
> HAH, Good one Brian. I am not liberal. I am independent, registered
and
> otherwise. I take my political philosophy from what is out there, and

> think about it, rather than just do what "my party" tells me to.

Wow! By declaring a party, the conservative voter need not even see
the ballot. They must vote the party line.

I hadn't known that. Thanks for a lesson in civics and the
rightiousness of being Independant.

FYI, having core values and beliefs doesn't bind you vote for poor
candidates, unless you are offered only poor candidates to vote for.
Many unfortunate voters find themselves voting for "the lesser of two
evils." As a result, many conservative voters stay home and the left
takes over.

> So from your other postings, I can tell you that in some respects
you
> are what to the left of my philosophy. You don't know what all my
> positions are because they can't be looked up by party platform.
>
> So whats it like being a liberal by comparison.

Sweet. Apparently I'm all things to all people. ;^)

> I'm interested in all politicians being ethical, not just
Republicans.
> Is that a bad thing? Is is a good idea? Might it be better to think
> about it rather than respond by accusing me of not supporting the Boy

> scouts, being a liberal, or that

Ethics is a good thing. Politics is something else.

> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > Mike, I noticed that you failed to list any liberal organization
that
> > was pro character building.
>
> Yeah, the Boy scouts.

Good answer. Hi!

> I don't see anything about the Boy scouts being a republican or
> conservative organization.

The organization teaches self reliance, personal achievement and
personal responsibility among other things. They constantly work on
civic projects which rely upon volunteerism and donations, not taxes
and more government workers.

The BSA doesn't have a welfare merit badge.

> > Oh, my! 2004 becoming 2005. My 10YO Cub Scouts/America son just
got
> > an Eddie Bauer 0 deg sleeping bag. And he earned his just
"readyman"
> > badge and knows the basics of first aid. Maybe someday he'll
hike-in
> > and save your liberal ass.
>
> Brian, spare me the foaming at the mouth stuff.

It's just like the "Morse Code will someday save us all" froth.

Somehow I thought it just might ring a bell in here. Guess not, sorry
to have even tried.

If you want to believe
> that the Boy scouts are the reason that it is okay for the
Republicans
> to soften up the ethics rules. Then so be it, I'll accept that as
your
> answer. I was in the Boy scouts, My son was in the Cub souts and Boy
> Scouts. I occasionally make presentations to the local Boy Scouts as
> part of their meetings or to get interest going for possible merit
badge
> work. I have been asked and am considering being a merit badge
counseler.

I brought up the 1996 incident where the Democrats booed the BSA at their national convention. I said nothing about the Republicans.

Why you continue with your charade that the BSA is giving the Republicans a pass on ethics is beyond me.

> I might suggest going back and reading the Scout oath and Scout law.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Thanks, I will.

On my honor I will do my best To do my duty to God and my country And to obey the Scout law; To help other people at all times; To keep myself physically strong, mentally awake, and morally straight.

34. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 17:35:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104600901.794763.169430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101500

Hmmm? Doesn't look like an apology.

Anyway, if Jim and Kelly hadn't put forth the idea that they have served, all this wouldn't be an issue.

35. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 18:42:24 UTC
From: tianli <look@china.org>
Message-ID: <10tdrs0sm9g3j68@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 101501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> You didn't get it, did you?
>

I removed my ham radio callsign license plates from my car years ago - LOL!

36. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 18:48:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <K8ydnTLF-tL2bUvcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101502

```
bb wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>
>>>""" What is the logic behind your answer?"""
>>>
>>>Must my answer confrom to liberal logic?
>>
>> As opposed to your logic? Is your logic conservative logic?
>
> In most respects. I have voted for levy's for programs which help
> addicted people.
>
>
>>>>As you seem to believe, character does count.
>>>
>>>
>>>>So, Michael, please name one liberal (or "democrat") organization
>>>
>>>that>
>>>
>>>>promotes character in boys and yound men?
>>
>>Do the republicans own the Boy scouts?
>
>
> That isn't the point.
>
> The point is that at the Democratic National Convention, the Boy Scouts
> were booed while performing a flag ceremony and reciting the Pledge of
> Allegiance. It was televised.

That's nice, Brian. Why are you bothering me about the Democrats? I
ain't one!

>
>>>I know lots of Democrats that support the Boy Scouts, and lots of
>>>independents.
>>>
>>>Wunnerful. I know lots of people, too! That is NOT THE ISSUE!
>>
>> The issue is that republicans are considering puttin through
>>legislation that weakens ethics rules.
```

>
>
> So stop them.

I can't. But I can note what they are doing as long as it remains legal.

>
>>>Why was the BSA booed at the """DNC Convention""" while they
>
> brought
>
>>>out the American Flad and recited the Pledge of Allegiance?
>>>
>>>Why???
>>>
>>>"""You take what SOME people who align themselves with the
>>>Democrats do and apply that to the whole."""
>>>
>>>ABSO-FRIGGIN-LUTELY!!! Every Democrat has to accept responsibility
>
> for
>
>>>that act.
>>>Were they Mujahadeen???
>>
>>>And >>>I<<< take what happened at the DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL
>>>____CONVENTION 0___ to represent the Democratic >>>PARTY!!!<<<
>>>
>>>If you don't like it, find a new party.
>>
>> Ain't my party, Brian!
>
>
> Ah, yes. An Independant. Why are the Indies always hitching rides on
> that platform?

How's that? My voting record has been about 65-70 percent Republican.
The party has taken a decidedly liberal shift as of late though

>
>>>"""That is a losing game, as there are segments of Republicans that
>
> are
>
>>>similarly fringy."""
>>>
>>>Oh, my. People that believe in God. Wonder if Jews beieve in God?
>>>Wonder if Palistinians believe in God? Wonder if Methodists
>
> believe in
>

>>>God? Wonder if Rev Jim believes in God?
>>
>> So all you have to do is to Believe in God, and whatever else you do
>
> is
>
>>okay?
>
>
> Huh???

> You brought up "fringies." Right now that means the Christians. You
> know, people who attend church. Declaring ones faith and attending
> church somehow makes a person bad.

I think I see something here, Brian. I write something, and you see something else. If I meant Christians, I would have said Christians. Not all Christians are Republicans. My family is for the most part, devout Christians and they are not Republicans.

In your effort to make this so Black and white, you put words in my mouth. Don't, if you want to discuss things in a rational manner.

Republican fringe groups:

Neocons - Neocons are liberals that have had waaaay too much coffee and have a nasty attitude for it. They are liberalizing the Republican party at exactly the right time for their purposes. At this time, a lot of the republican faithful are so polarized against Democrats that if the party platform were to become a clone of FDR's New deal, they would rally behind it.

The far right nasty groups. These take many forms, such as the new south people that want history "revised", to the people that want to rewrite science under the guise of their religion. Don't tell me this is dissing Christians. This is ideology. This is the same thing as when the Commies in Russia decided that genetics was not compatible with their stupid workers revolution. They believed that will power could allow animals to change themselves in amazingly short period of time. Fact is it was suppression of fact, and they eventually paid for it.

Now are their fringe groups on the Democrat side? You betcha. They have the "alternative sexual reality groups, they have the academic left, they have them to match the pubs, just on the other side of the spectrum.

What I am telling you is that your party is being hijacked by liberals. And enough Pubs are going to go along with that.

>
>>>It's just so awful that there are still luddites that believe in
>
> God.
>

>>>NYT says "God is Dead!"
>>>
>>>But we must have a Palestinian State because Allah wants it.
>>>
>>>
>>>>I wish you the very best of luck on that one, and I do hope that
>
> you
>
>>>>succeed.
>>>
>>>
>>>"""So it is okay to be corrupt as long as you are a Republican.
>>>Good to
>>>see where exactly you are coming from."""
>>>
>>>WTF did that come from? Must be somekindof Al Franken spagmasm???
>>>Wonder where Geneen Giraffala weighed in on that one???
>>
>> It is what you are saying. I note that Republicans are considering
>>legislation to weaken the ethics rules, and you answer that Democrats
>
>
>>don't support the Boy Scouts, and that Republicans believe in God.
>>
>> You never even addressed the original question.
>>
>> So YES, you ARE saying that it is okay to be corrupt as long as you
>
> are
>
>>Republican!
>
>
> Absolutely not.
>
>
>>>Anyhow, you failed to name a single >>>liberal<<< youth
>
> organization
>
>>>that promotes character. And I know why that is. It's so friggin
>
> easy
>
>>>to know why that is.
>>>
>>>C'mon liberal Mike. Ask me why it's so easy to know that. C'mon,
>
> ask

>
>>>why!!!
>>
>> HAH, Good one Brian. I am not liberal. I am independent, registered
>
>> and otherwise. I take my political philosophy from what is out there, and
>>think about it, rather than just do what "my party" tells me to.
>
>
> Wow! By declaring a party, the conservative voter need not even see
> the ballot. They must vote the party line.

Seems that way sometimes.

> I hadn't known that. Thanks for a lesson in civics and the
> rightiousness of being Independant.

Any time.

> FYI, having core values and beliefs doesn't bind you vote for poor
> candidates, unless you are offered only poor candidates to vote for.
> Many unfortunate voters find themselves voting for "the lesser of two
> evils." As a result, many conservative voters stay home and the left
> takes over.

And vice versa. Don't vote, don't bitch!

>
>> So from your other postings, I can tell you that in some respects
>
> you
>
>>are what to the left of my philosophy. You don't know what all my
>>positions are because they can't be looked up by party platform.
>>
>> So whats it like being a liberal by comparison.
>
>
> Sweet. Apparently I'm all things to all people. ;^)
>
>
>> I'm interested in all politicians being ethical, not just
>
> Republicans.
>
>>Is that a bad thing? Is is a good idea? Might it be better to think
>>about it rather than respond by accusing me of not supporting the Boy
>
>
>>scouts, being a liberal, or that
>
>

> Ethics is a good thing. Politics is something else.
>
>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>Mike, I noticed that you failed to list any liberal organization
>
> that
>
>>>was pro character building.
>>
>> Yeah, the Boy scouts.
>
>
> Good answer. Hi!
>
>
>> I don't see anything about the Boy scouts being a republican or
>>conservative organization.
>
>
> The organization teaches self reliance, personal achievement and
> personal responsibility among other things. They constantly work on
> civic projects which rely upon volunteerism and donations, not taxes
> and more government workers.
>
> The BSA doesn't have a welfare merit badge.
>
>
>>>Oh, my! 2004 becoming 2005. My 10YO Cub Scouts/America son just
>
> got
>
>>>an Eddie Bauer 0 deg sleeping bag. And he earned his just
>
> "readyman"
>
>>>badge and knows the basics of first aid. Maybe someday he'll
>
> hike-in
>
>>>and save your liberal ass.
>>
>> Brian, spare me the foaming at the mouth stuff.
>
> It's just like the "Morse Code will someday save us all" froth.

Who you having the discussion with, Brian? I never have and never will say that. I think I'm on record as saying something to the effect that if and when the test goes away, I'll get up in the morning, have my

coffee and go on with my life without missing a beat.

> Somehow I thought it just might ring a bell in here. Guess not, sorry
> to have even tried.
>
> If you want to believe
>
>>that the Boy scouts are the reason that it is okay for the
>
> Republicans
>
>>to soften up the ethics rules. Then so be it, I'll accept that as
>
> your
>
>>answer. I was in the Boy scouts, My son was in the Cub souts and Boy
>>Scouts. I occasionally make presentations to the local Boy Scouts as
>>part of their meetings or to get interest going for possible merit
>
> badge
>
>>work. I have been asked and am considering being a merit badge
>
> counseler.
>
> I brought up the 1996 incident where the Democrats booed the BSA at
> their national convention. I said nothing about the Republicans.
>
> Why you continue with your charade that the BSA is giving the
> Republicans a pass on ethics is beyond me.
>

You brought it up as the reply to my post. Was it a total non sequitur
sort of thing, such as if you were to say. It's a nice day, and I
answered "Yeah, but Richard Nixon was a crook"?

>
>> I might suggest going back and reading the Scout oath and Scout law.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> Thanks, I will.
>
> On my honor I will do my best To do my duty to God and my country And
> to obey the Scout law; To help other people at all times; To keep
> myself physically strong, mentally awake, and morally straight.

Don't forget the Scout law!

- Mike KB3EIA -
>

37. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 19:14:36 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <aktdt05s5b9k38ibpmlfocsp4lds10viao@4ax.com>
Source record: 101503

On 31 Dec 2004 06:31:51 GMT, lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21) wrote:

>However, a lot of hams
> go on and on, terribly full of themselves, on implying that Their
> accomplishment is academic PhD level stuff. :-) [ptui...]

Ah, but to them it is an academic, PhD level stuff. <smile>

Tony

38. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 19:46:30 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <q6DBd.5308$JC2.3230@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101504

>
>
>>Because, in the United States
>
>
> Is Kim going to tell ---me--- about America???
>
> Please allow me to assume the position.

"Thank you sir, may I have another?!"
>
>

39. Enigmas wrapped in dichotomies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 20:00:06 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <ajDBd.5323$JC2.1551@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101505

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20050101092305.06587.00001893@mb-m24.aol.com...

:
: So...as I see it the ONLY benefit to legalizing drugs would be
: ..... more prisons to house the offenders, so what's the use?
:

Gather, o' my chirren, and ponder the wisdom......

.....what offenders?

Hark ye, to the mystery of the logic of the righteous!

M.A.N.

40. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 20:10:53 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <htDBd.10982$qf5.9614@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101506

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1104545755.433892.129180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

: ABSO-FRIGGIN-LUTELY!!! Every Democrat has to accept responsibility for
: that act.

Verily it shall be thus, as soon as Every Republican hath accepted
responsibility for the acts of Richard Nixon and Spiro Agnew. Will the
lower-ethics law be applied retroactively to those sinners? Thou hath
spaken, so shall it be.

M.A.N.

41. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 20:14:35 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7vSdndeufbM3mUrcRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

tianli wrote:
>>You didn't get it, did you?
>>
>
>
> I removed my ham radio callsign license plates from my car years ago - LOL!
>

NOw just hand in your ticket, and return to the world of kewl! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

42. Re: Problem for asthma patients

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 20:33:42 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050101153342.05880.00000315@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 101509

In article <1104367832.304005.317120@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>Please, Len, we're having meaningful Extra discourse.

Sorry. :-)

43. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 20:33:45 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050101153345.05880.00000316@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 101508

In article <1104562165.335250.293590@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>Lenof21 wrote:
>> In article <1104531566.677857.126570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
>"bb"
>> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>
>> >Mike said: """Everyone is entitled to an opinion, Brian."""
>> >
>> >Reeaally???
>> >
>> >"""We wouldn't have anywhere near as much fun if we could only give
>> >opinions on what we have personal experience in! 8^)"""
>> >
>> >Then lotsa people on RRAP owe Len Anderson an apology.
>>
>> Amateur morsemen are far superior to professionals in radio.
>> They have nice Titles and federal call signs and all kinds of
>> good stuff washed into their brains like a henna rinse. They
>> apologize to NO one.
>
>"Amateur morsemen" are far superior to professionals in AMATEUR
>radio.
>
>This forum is about AMATEUR radio...Not "professional", military,
>Public Service, PLMRS, etc etc etc.

Really? :-)

Then cite your "credentials" as a political pundit.

Cite your "credentials" as a national economist.

Cite your "credentials" as a "space business worker."

Don't have any of the above, do you? Yet you've discussed all
those things in HERE, in this forum...which you blabber that it
is "all about amateur radio." :-)

Tsk. Starting off the new year with the usual hypocrisy.

Oh, I understand...as a "healthcare professional" you took an
oath of Hipocrisy! :-)

>I am sure he can cut-and-paste as well as you do, Lennie, however
>I bet Jim's far more competent and knowledgeable in "space business"

>than you without the URL's to click on.

Tsk. Rocketdyne, formerly a Division of Rockwell International (now purchased by Boeing), and EOS (Electro-Optical Systems), a Division of Xerox were both engaged in the space business and both presented me with salary checks for many years...all for doing things IN the space business. The IRS and California Franchise Tax Board verified that the forms they received (separately, forwarded by employers) did indeed indicate I was IN the space business...as did the FBI, DCASS, and some other alphabet soup agencies.

No "cut and paste" in any of that, sonny. I've laid hands on an SSME on a test stand and been through a Shuttle being assembled...held many an unmanned spacecraft electronics package being assembled and tested in a clean room...not to mention meeting the first shuttle astronauts John Young and Bob Crippen.

So, what does "Jim" do? He won't say anything more than he "works in vehicular transportation" according to an ECFS comment on the FCC website.

So, what does the Avenging Angle do? NOTHING in the "space business," never has. At best he exaggerates his "engineering" job as a Purchasing Agent for a set-top box maker! Lasted less than a half year. Tsk.

>> He knew all about USSR military aircraft too, even though he
>> didn't shoot any Bears for naval intelligence (another non-
>> serviceman did that).
>
>He sure does...And funny you should mention that since it was YOU
>that brought up being threatened by Mr Tupolev's turboprop wonder
>despite the fact it wasn't in servce until YOU were out of theater!

Tsk. My military service obligation ended in 1960...with receipt of my Honorable Discharge. But, having actually worked IN the aerospace industry for a long time before that year and for years afterwards, it might be easy to slip up on who flies what where when making casual mentions of things among these aerospace gurus of self-defined "experience."

>> He has a BSEE and an MSEE, double-degreed, supposedly in
>> an electronics industry but won't say which one, but he can do
>> morse code at high rate...which makes him far superior as a
>> 2004 radio person.
>
>When matched against an UNlicensed ex-radio technician
>wannabe...Sure!

Tsk. There you go talking trash again. You can't stop, can you?

You are one sick little puppy, always always mean-mouthing the
person (and relations) of anyone disagreeing with you.

>> Whoopee. If an NCTA mentions degrees, yet another "superior"
>> calls night college classes something derogatory, implying they
>> are for some kind of civics for citizenship candidates or are
>> classes for remedial English. If I mention that my wife has TWO
>> Masters Degrees, that "superior" person calls the University of
>> Illinois at Urbana a "correspondence school."
>
>She obviously doesn't have the requisite skills to get you into
>therapy or counselling, ergo I doubt she has the credentials you cite.

Poor baby...mentally deranged and still crawling the newsgroup,
are you? It's a given that the insane are the last ones to admit
they are nuts.

Tsk. The Avenging Angle has claimed all he has to do is pick up
a telephone and "have authorities commit another" just because
you have an LPN whatsis. So far you haven't done that, not with
me, not with Brian Burke. Because you DON'T have any such
authoritiy as a nurse. You CANNOT do it, yet you keep on saying
so. That's nuts.

>> And my wife gets
>> a personal insult because she is married to me, all courtesy of
>> that medically-discharged veteran of "seven hostile actions."
>> Late in the year this "healthcare professional" told me to go have
>> a stroke.
>
>No..I said PLEASE go have a stroke.

Oh, my, that makes it "all right," does it? :-)

PLEASE cite the where and when of your "seven hostile actions,"
mighty warrior (of fantasyland).

PLEASE cite the government regulation that establishes "MARS
>>IS<< amateur radio!"

You can't do any of those things, can you?

That's because they are NOT related to this newsgroup general
subject matter. You think that the whole of this newsgroup is to
give you some open forum to insult and demean anyone who
doesn't agree with your fantastic opinions. You are the sicko.

>Lessee...Dave Heil spent a life career in the State Department and
>YOU didn't...Dave was actually AT those places we only read about or
>watched on TV.

We are all still waiting for the cite of the Avenging Angle's mighty
"seven hostile actions" he claims he had.

We are all still waiting for the cite of "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio"
from the mighty warrior and regulator of everything in his fantasies.

>And Lennie was...In Japan at a rear-area radio relay
>station for a couple of years in the 50's...

Three years, sicko. Three.

Before your existance on earth, grasshopper. Powerful HF
transmitters...3 1/2 dozen of them...13 microwave radio relay
terminals, each carrying 24 voice channels. [just to name a
few things] Nothing carrying any on-off morse mode traffic.

You haven't done a thing in military radio comparable to that.

Poor baby. Feel left out, do you? Can't blame you for getting
angry...someone comes along who has done MORE than you
beginning BEFORE your time and it spoils the heck out of your
bragging and fantasy claims.

>> Never mind that national issues on economics
>> or politics don't have much effect on radio regulations or ham
>radio.
>
>Absolutely, without contradiction, one of the most assinine
>assertions you've ever made, Lennie.
>
>Economics has been one of the MAJOR driving factors behind
>numerous impacts on the Amateur Service!

Someone has "impacted" you and it sounds like damage to your
thinking apparatus. Poor sicko.

More tsk. "MAJOR impact?" :-)

Amateur radio is a radio activity engaged in WITHOUT pecuniary
interest! [that's an old FCC definition of "amateur radio" and
explains the use of the word "amateur" in the service title]

Sunnuvagun!

Another avowed PCTA and super morseman claims he can
make a "Type 7" radio for just $100 and "recycled parts."
Doesn't sound like some kind of "MAJOR impact" to the nation,
more like a personal decision on his part.

Does Alan Greenspan get your input? :-)

>On the otherhand, we have you, an ex-Army radio meachanic claiming
>to have handled over "2.1 million" bits of traffic until the
>mathematics of your silly claim were laid bare.
>
>Then you tried to dance around it.

Tsk. Was NOT a "radio meachanic" nor even a "mechanic." :-)

E-5, Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance
Supervisor, MOS 281.6 under the old MOS numbering system.
Also doing Fixed Station Transmitters Operations and
Maintenance Supervision prior to arrival of the microwave radio
relay systems.

Army station ADA was only the third largest radio station in the
Army Command and Administrative Network (ACAN) yet it
handled 220,000 messages a month average in 1955, something
printed in the Stars and Stripes military newspaper of that year.
Since ADA was in operation 24/7 and there were so many radio
circuits active at any one time, all I did was estimate how many
messages were transmitted per operating team shift (there were
four teams on a rotating shift basis). Since the swing and mid-
night shifts didn't have resident Officers In Charge, the "trick
chief" (team supervisor) bore the direct responsibility to see that
communications were maintained. They were.

NO ONE "laid bare" any of my numbers (which were never
claimed as anything more than an estimate since they were
not logged as such but the operational radio circuits were
definitely logged to the minute over the TTY order-wire.

I say ESTIMATE solely because some of the radio circuits
carried several multiplexed channels. The SSB transmitters
each had two voice channels plus 6 to 12 TTY channels. Some
transmitters had four time-multiplexed TTY channels done with
frequency-shift keying for the lot. ADA kept increasing its
capacity in three years, from only 36 transmitters in 1953 to 43
at the beginning of 1956. It grew more up to 1963 when the
USAF was given operational control of the Central Honshu HF
communicaitons. That ceased in 1978 when the facility was
closed and all given to the Japanese government; USAF had
other communications means to keep worldwide comms going.

>And let's not forget how glorious YOUR Army career was simply by
>having been in a unit that had suffered KIA's three years before you
>were ever there.

Tsk. You are still one SICK puppy.

The 8235th Army Unit, a Signal Battalion, lost 23 total, 19 at the
beginning of July, 1950, the other four in years following. Hardy
Barracks (the billet for most of the 8235th) was named for
Corporal Elmer Hardy, one of the Signalmen killed in that July
transport crash in Korea. Camp Tomlinson was the new name
for the Kashiwa transmitters site, given during a dedication in
1956, honoring one of the officers killed in that July crash.

I still honor those Signalmen who lost their lives...they were
members of MY Signal unit that I served in. I've stood Retreat
more than once to honor those Signalmen and other Army
soldiers during the Korean War and for the on-going continual

Truce period from July 1953 to the present.

You don't like that I honor the men of my Army unit. Tsk. Go and report me to Hal and Ted Barker of the Korean War Project. Go and report me to the Secretary of the Army...or even the Secretary of Homeland Security (whoever that might be now). Go and report me to "authorities" and "have me committed" as you claim you can do with your nursing title, all in a single telephone call.

Better yet, have yourself committed and save all that insulting personal trash tossing you seem to do constantly with anyone who disagrees with you.

You be one Class A Sicko with oak leaf clusters. Get help.

Don't have a cow.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

44. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 21:04:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050101160455.09630.00000330@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 101510

In article <Ef6dnUM9INmFV0vcRVn-qA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> These are the numbers of amateur licenses held by individuals on the
>> stated dates:
>>
>> As of May 14, 2000:
>>
>> Novice - 49,329
>> Technician - 205,394
>> Technician Plus - 128,860
>> General - 112,677
>> Advanced - 99,782
>> Extra - 78,750
>>
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>>
>> Total all classes - 674,792
>>
>> As of December 31, 2004:
>>
>> Novice - 29,765 (decrease of 19,564)
>> Technician - 265,534 (increase of 60,140)
>> Technician Plus - 54,152 (decrease of 74,708)
>> General - 138,287 (increase of 25,610)
>> Advanced - 77,948 (decrease of 21,834)
>> Extra - 106,087 (increase of 27,337)
>>
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 319,686 (decrease of 14,568)
>>
>> Total all classes - 671,773 (decrease of 3019)
>> 73 es HNY de Jim, N2EY
>
> So Jim, filtering out the big drop due to the "honeydo" Technicians
>dropping out, what are the numbers?

You see them above, Mike.

Of course some "honeydo" Techs, Novices and Tech Pluses are dropping out. And
some of the older hams are dying off. But beyond that it's all speculation.

>When we see that big 14.5K drop in
>Technicians, I would assume that some of the drop was due to upgrading,

>but most to that dropoff.

There's no way to tell from the data.

Remember that the number of Tech Pluses is dropping for three reasons:

1) Licenses allowed to expire
2) Upgrades to General and Extra
3) Tech Pluses renewed as Technicians

If the rules are simply left as-is, there will be no more Tech Pluses in 5 years and 4 months from now. They will all have gone one of the three routes listed above.

> All in all, when considering that big deficit, it looks like we could
>be climbing out of that hole eventually?

We were told in 1990 that dropping the code test for Tech would cause growth. We got some growth in the short term. Then we were told in the late 1990s that dropping 13 and 20 wpm, and simplifying the writtens, would cause growth. Again we got some growth in the short term.

In neither case did we get long term growth.

Perhaps the problem isn't the tests?

73 de Jim, N2EY

45. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 21:06:23 UTC
From: tianli <look@china.org>
Message-ID: <10te4a543fnot27@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 101511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> NOw just hand in your ticket, and return to the world of kewl! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

And let you have all the pleasure - NO WAY!

46. Re: Problem for asthma patients

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 21:25:15 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050101162515.12265.00000512@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 101513

In article <1104367832.304005.317120@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>Please, Len, we're having meaningful Extra discourse.

Sorry. :-)

47. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 21:25:18 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050101162518.12265.00000513@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 101512

In article <1104562165.335250.293590@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>Lenof21 wrote:
>> In article <1104531566.677857.126570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
>"bb"
>> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>
>> >Mike said: """Everyone is entitled to an opinion, Brian."""
>> >
>> >Reeaally???
>> >
>> >"""We wouldn't have anywhere near as much fun if we could only give
>> >opinions on what we have personal experience in! 8^)"""
>> >
>> >Then lotsa people on RRAP owe Len Anderson an apology.
>>
>> Amateur morsemen are far superior to professionals in radio.
>> They have nice Titles and federal call signs and all kinds of
>> good stuff washed into their brains like a henna rinse. They
>> apologize to NO one.
>
>"Amateur morsemen" are far superior to professionals in AMATEUR
>radio.
>
>This forum is about AMATEUR radio...Not "professional", military,
>Public Service, PLMRS, etc etc etc.

Really? :-)

Then cite your "credentials" as a political pundit.

Cite your "credentials" as a national economist.

Cite your "credentials" as a "space business worker."

Don't have any of the above, do you? Yet you've discussed all
those things in HERE, in this forum...which you blabber that it
is "all about amateur radio." :-)

Tsk. Starting off the new year with the usual hypocrisy.

Oh, I understand...as a "healthcare professional" you took an
oath of Hipocrisy! :-)

>I am sure he can cut-and-paste as well as you do, Lennie, however
>I bet Jim's far more competent and knowledgeable in "space business"

>than you without the URL's to click on.

Tsk. Rocketdyne, formerly a Division of Rockwell International (now purchased by Boeing), and EOS (Electro-Optical Systems), a Division of Xerox were both engaged in the space business and both presented me with salary checks for many years...all for doing things IN the space business. The IRS and California Franchise Tax Board verified that the forms they received (separately, forwarded by employers) did indeed indicate I was IN the space business...as did the FBI, DCASS, and some other alphabet soup agencies.

No "cut and paste" in any of that, sonny. I've laid hands on an SSME on a test stand and been through a Shuttle being assembled...held many an unmanned spacecraft electronics package being assembled and tested in a clean room...not to mention meeting the first shuttle astronauts John Young and Bob Crippen.

So, what does "Jim" do? He won't say anything more than he "works in vehicular transportation" according to an ECFS comment on the FCC website.

So, what does the Avenging Angle do? NOTHING in the "space business," never has. At best he exaggerates his "engineering" job as a Purchasing Agent for a set-top box maker! Lasted less than a half year. Tsk.

>> He knew all about USSR military aircraft too, even though he
>> didn't shoot any Bears for naval intelligence (another non-
>> serviceman did that).
>
>He sure does...And funny you should mention that since it was YOU
>that brought up being threatened by Mr Tupolev's turboprop wonder
>despite the fact it wasn't in servce until YOU were out of theater!

Tsk. My military service obligation ended in 1960...with receipt of my Honorable Discharge. But, having actually worked IN the aerospace industry for a long time before that year and for years afterwards, it might be easy to slip up on who flies what where when making casual mentions of things among these aerospace gurus of self-defined "experience."

>> He has a BSEE and an MSEE, double-degreed, supposedly in
>> an electronics industry but won't say which one, but he can do
>> morse code at high rate...which makes him far superior as a
>> 2004 radio person.
>
>When matched against an UNlicensed ex-radio technician
>wannabe...Sure!

Tsk. There you go talking trash again. You can't stop, can you?

You are one sick little puppy, always always mean-mouthing the
person (and relations) of anyone disagreeing with you.

>> Whoopee. If an NCTA mentions degrees, yet another "superior"
>> calls night college classes something derogatory, implying they
>> are for some kind of civics for citizenship candidates or are
>> classes for remedial English. If I mention that my wife has TWO
>> Masters Degrees, that "superior" person calls the University of
>> Illinois at Urbana a "correspondence school."
>
>She obviously doesn't have the requisite skills to get you into
>therapy or counselling, ergo I doubt she has the credentials you cite.

Poor baby...mentally deranged and still crawling the newsgroup,
are you? It's a given that the insane are the last ones to admit
they are nuts.

Tsk. The Avenging Angle has claimed all he has to do is pick up
a telephone and "have authorities commit another" just because
you have an LPN whatsis. So far you haven't done that, not with
me, not with Brian Burke. Because you DON'T have any such
authoritiy as a nurse. You CANNOT do it, yet you keep on saying
so. That's nuts.

>> And my wife gets
>> a personal insult because she is married to me, all courtesy of
>> that medically-discharged veteran of "seven hostile actions."
>> Late in the year this "healthcare professional" told me to go have
>> a stroke.
>
>No..I said PLEASE go have a stroke.

Oh, my, that makes it "all right," does it? :-)

PLEASE cite the where and when of your "seven hostile actions,"
mighty warrior (of fantasyland).

PLEASE cite the government regulation that establishes "MARS
>>IS<< amateur radio!"

You can't do any of those things, can you?

That's because they are NOT related to this newsgroup general
subject matter. You think that the whole of this newsgroup is to
give you some open forum to insult and demean anyone who
doesn't agree with your fantastic opinions. You are the sicko.

>Lessee...Dave Heil spent a life career in the State Department and
>YOU didn't...Dave was actually AT those places we only read about or
>watched on TV.

We are all still waiting for the cite of the Avenging Angle's mighty
"seven hostile actions" he claims he had.

We are all still waiting for the cite of "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio"
from the mighty warrior and regulator of everything in his fantasies.

>And Lennie was...In Japan at a rear-area radio relay
>station for a couple of years in the 50's...

Three years, sicko. Three.

Before your existance on earth, grasshopper. Powerful HF
transmitters...3 1/2 dozen of them...13 microwave radio relay
terminals, each carrying 24 voice channels. [just to name a
few things] Nothing carrying any on-off morse mode traffic.

You haven't done a thing in military radio comparable to that.

Poor baby. Feel left out, do you? Can't blame you for getting
angry...someone comes along who has done MORE than you
beginning BEFORE your time and it spoils the heck out of your
bragging and fantasy claims.

>> Never mind that national issues on economics
>> or politics don't have much effect on radio regulations or ham
>radio.
>
>Absolutely, without contradiction, one of the most assinine
>assertions you've ever made, Lennie.
>
>Economics has been one of the MAJOR driving factors behind
>numerous impacts on the Amateur Service!

Someone has "impacted" you and it sounds like damage to your
thinking apparatus. Poor sicko.

More tsk. "MAJOR impact?" :-)

Amateur radio is a radio activity engaged in WITHOUT pecuniary
interest! [that's an old FCC definition of "amateur radio" and
explains the use of the word "amateur" in the service title]

Sunnuvagun!

Another avowed PCTA and super morseman claims he can
make a "Type 7" radio for just $100 and "recycled parts."
Doesn't sound like some kind of "MAJOR impact" to the nation,
more like a personal decision on his part.

Does Alan Greenspan get your input? :-)

>On the otherhand, we have you, an ex-Army radio meachanic claiming
>to have handled over "2.1 million" bits of traffic until the
>mathematics of your silly claim were laid bare.
>
>Then you tried to dance around it.

Tsk. Was NOT a "radio meachanic" nor even a "mechanic." :-)

E-5, Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance
Supervisor, MOS 281.6 under the old MOS numbering system.
Also doing Fixed Station Transmitters Operations and
Maintenance Supervision prior to arrival of the microwave radio
relay systems.

Army station ADA was only the third largest radio station in the
Army Command and Administrative Network (ACAN) yet it
handled 220,000 messages a month average in 1955, something
printed in the Stars and Stripes military newspaper of that year.
Since ADA was in operation 24/7 and there were so many radio
circuits active at any one time, all I did was estimate how many
messages were transmitted per operating team shift (there were
four teams on a rotating shift basis). Since the swing and mid-
night shifts didn't have resident Officers In Charge, the "trick
chief" (team supervisor) bore the direct responsibility to see that
communications were maintained. They were.

NO ONE "laid bare" any of my numbers (which were never
claimed as anything more than an estimate since they were
not logged as such but the operational radio circuits were
definitely logged to the minute over the TTY order-wire.

I say ESTIMATE solely because some of the radio circuits
carried several multiplexed channels. The SSB transmitters
each had two voice channels plus 6 to 12 TTY channels. Some
transmitters had four time-multiplexed TTY channels done with
frequency-shift keying for the lot. ADA kept increasing its
capacity in three years, from only 36 transmitters in 1953 to 43
at the beginning of 1956. It grew more up to 1963 when the
USAF was given operational control of the Central Honshu HF
communicaitons. That ceased in 1978 when the facility was
closed and all given to the Japanese government; USAF had
other communications means to keep worldwide comms going.

>And let's not forget how glorious YOUR Army career was simply by
>having been in a unit that had suffered KIA's three years before you
>were ever there.

Tsk. You are still one SICK puppy.

The 8235th Army Unit, a Signal Battalion, lost 23 total, 19 at the
beginning of July, 1950, the other four in years following. Hardy
Barracks (the billet for most of the 8235th) was named for
Corporal Elmer Hardy, one of the Signalmen killed in that July
transport crash in Korea. Camp Tomlinson was the new name
for the Kashiwa transmitters site, given during a dedication in
1956, honoring one of the officers killed in that July crash.

I still honor those Signalmen who lost their lives...they were
members of MY Signal unit that I served in. I've stood Retreat
more than once to honor those Signalmen and other Army
soldiers during the Korean War and for the on-going continual

Truce period from July 1953 to the present.

You don't like that I honor the men of my Army unit. Tsk. Go and report me to Hal and Ted Barker of the Korean War Project. Go and report me to the Secretary of the Army...or even the Secretary of Homeland Security (whoever that might be now). Go and report me to "authorities" and "have me committed" as you claim you can do with your nursing title, all in a single telephone call.

Better yet, have yourself committed and save all that insulting personal trash tossing you seem to do constantly with anyone who disagrees with you.

You be one Class A Sicko with oak leaf clusters. Get help.

Don't have a cow.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

48. Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-01 21:49:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050101164934.06144.00000311@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 101514

In article <20041229202036.21518.00002366@mb-m11.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 12/29/2004 6:04 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20041229070405.16303.00000504@mb-m02.aol.com>
>>
>>In article <20041228175251.09790.00001666@mb-m20.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve
>>Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?
>>>>From: N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>>Date: 12/28/2004 1:59 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>Message-id: <1104263974.778985.175600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
>>
>>>>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>>>> >Subject: Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?
>>>>> >From: N2EY@AOL.COM
>>>>> >Date: 12/27/2004 12:12 PM Central Standard Time
>>>>> >Message-id: <1104171162.509916.76610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
>>>>
>>>>> >K4YZ wrote:
>>>>> >> N2EY wrote:

>>>>> >Note that the income taxes a married couple pay when both work are
>>>>> >greater than the sum of the income taxes of two single working
>>>>people
>>>>> >making the same money and living together.
>>>>
>>>>Which was partly fixed by Carter and then unfixed by Ronald "family
>>>>values" Reagan.
>>>
>>>Yeah...fixed by Mr. 15% Inflation Carter. Uh huh...I remember. He
>>>enacted
>>>a 17.5% one time parity raise for the Armed Forces, then taxed the
>>>bee-jeebers out of us.
>>
>>WHOA!
>>
>>Let's look at exactly what happened in that time period!
>>
>>First off, the govt. started deficit spending in the '60s to pay for LBJ's
>>"Great Society", the Vietnam war, and the "space race". This deficit

>spending
>>and other fiscal changes resulted in rising inflation and interest rates.
>>
>>Nixon and Ford tried to fight inflation with price and wage controls.
>>(Remember
>>"WIN buttons"?). Didn't work - all that it did was delay the problem and
>make
>>it worse.
>
> Ironic, then, that the last time the federal budget was balanced was
>during the Nixon administration, isn't it...???

Not ironic at all. They used short-term fixes to delay the problem. Remember that Nixon took over in Jan 1969, before the inflation really began to take off. And long before the oil crises.

>>In 1973 we got the OPEC boycott, and when it ended gasoline prices were
>>doubled. Which affected *all* energy costs, and all businesses that use
>>energy,
>>and fed inflation like - throwing gasoline on a fire.
>
> We see that now...Price a gallon of milk or a pound of a decent cut of
>beef lately?

Sure - but how much are they in inflation-adjusted dollars compared to 30-40 years ago?

How much of your income goes to pay for food, and how much for other things?

>>> Exactly. Again, it's part of the tail that the healthcare hound dog
>>>get's
>>>to chase. And MANY of the "maladies" that patients suffer is due to seeing
>>>"Doc A" about one problem and "Doc B" for another.
>>
>>I'm not in the health care field, but even I know about drug interactions.
>>
>>>>Whenever I go to the doc, there's always a form allowing release of
>>>>medical info to other healthcare personnel and institutions "just in
>>>>case". I always agree and sign it, on the theory they should have the
>>>>info and I have nothing to hide.
>>>
>>>That's because you're smarter than the average bear, BooBoo, and
>>>probably have "real insurance" that requires your PMD to manage your care.

>>Naw, just common sense.
>
>THERE'S your problem! (said with a wink and an acknowledging grin) YOU
>actually USE it...These other folks are just looking to get what they can
>just BECAUSE they can.

Then how do you explain folks like Rush Limbaugh?

Some folks would say that ol' Rush was the victim of his addictions, and should be treated as a sick person, not a criminal.
>
>>>Of course it's usually narcotics...You can always tell the real
>>>abusers...They eat the narcs like M&M's, then wind up stopping the
>>>intestinal
>>>tract. Then they develop a bowel obstrcution for which they ahve to go to
>>>surgery. And of course surgery means more meds...See where this goes...???
>>
>>Round and round....
>
> Getting dizzy yet?
>
> I have always believed that if I am to be the kind of Nurse that I want
>to
>be, I must advocate for the patient, but I must also educate the patient as
>to
>what is in their best interests (realizing, of course, that you can't make
>that
>horse drink...) even when I am telling them something they may not want to
>hear.

You mean like "illegal drugs are bad for you"? What a concept!

>I am absolutely amazed at the numbers of people (even many "educated"
>people) who will look you in the eye as you're trying to give them the
>information they need to make the best decision, yet will start if off with
>somethig like "Yeah, well, My Aunt Jenny said...". Of course Aunt Jenny's
>NOT
>a health care person, but since what Aunt Jenny said IS what they want to
>hear,
>the effort was just wasted...

No, it's not wasted.

For one thing, it protects you against lawsuit. Not 100%, but better than clamming up.

For another, it may, just may, erode Aunt Jenny's credibility somewhat.

>Of course Mr Nephew or Ms Neice will be back in
>the ER in short order wondering why they aren't feeling any better.

The real problem, as I see it, is that too many people don't understand the difference between the kind of medical problems that medicine can do wonders about (such as treating infections) and the kind of medical problems that can really only be cured or prevented by "lifestyle changes".

Or to put it another way, that avoiding a problem by taking care of oneself is much better than expecting medicine to fix it. IOW, the best EMS system/trauma unit in the world isn't as good as not getting into a drunken-driving accident in the first place.

>>>Inappropriate ER usage dropped by 53% and collections improved by a
>>>quantum leap because we weren't wasting time on what were charity cases.
>No
>>>one didn't get to see a doctor, either, since the local docs would work out
>>>payments for the truly indigent.
>>>
>>> Then the ACLU got involved. We were "inconvieniencing" the patients.
>>
>>@#$%^!
>
> Much better! =)

Don't just blame the ACLU. There's also the system that would allow them to
win.
>
>>Of course you were inconveniencing them! Being responsible for oneself is
>>inconvenient!
>
> I don't know why...! ! ! ! (In reality, I do, but I'd "offend" a lot of
>those idiots who won't do it!)
>
>>>>> Get Social Security back to what it was supposed to
>>>>be..."Security" for
>>>>> people in thier latter years against retirement. Not a freeloaders
>>>>ticket to
>>>>> the Pot-O-Gold.
>>>>
>>>>What about people who really are disabled?

>>>>That's a start. But who gets to make the critical judgements, as in
>>>>Person A is really disabled but Person B isn't?
>>>
>>> Medical Review Boards. Make these people show up at a prescribed time
>>>with copies of their records in hand.
>>
>>Makes sense. Of course those Boards will be under constant attack and
>>lawsuits
>>by those denied benefits. A cottage industry will arise to specifically
>>challenge their rulings.
>
> No doubt.
>
>>> Step three might be "bounties" for persons accused of and subsequently
>>>found guilty of fraud.
>>
>>I think that was done, too.
>
> I think a bounty of 10% on cheaters would be an adequate incentive.

NO. One third, same as the lawyers get.

>> If someone was convicted of fraud but then later won
>>on
>>appeal, they'd go after the paper and the agencies in a big way for
>>"distress"
>>and "defamation".
>
> I am sure that we could establish adequate parameters to ensure that
>those
>with reasonable doubt could be excluded.
>
>>And someone willing to play the game might not be that humiliated.
>
> I know I would be.

But you wouldn't play that game!

Some folks might actually make it into a point of honor.

> I feel bad enough getting pulled over for having a
>heavy foot! (Thank God for "Emergency" tags and that Star-of-Life insignia!)

Some (not me) might say that using those insignia to get out of speeding tickets when you are not genuinely involved in an emergency situation is "using the system".....

>>I recall that in some places there were anti-prostitution efforts that
>>focused
>>on the *customers* rather than the *workers*, so to speak. Pictures and
>names
>>in the paper and all. I dunno how well those programs fared.
>>
>>--
>>
>>This all relates to amateur radio in a very basic way:
>>
>>The abuses mentioned by Steve and I are all the result of a mindset that
>>focuses on "rights" to the exclusion of *responsibilites*. Many of us see
>>proposed reductions in the standards of the ARS as a form of that mindset.
>
> Point and set.
>
Thought you'd agree!

73 de Jim, N2EY

49. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 01:33:36 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <33p1f0F41srm2U1@individual.net>
Source record: 101515

"Lenof21" <lenof21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20041231013151.10298.00000318@mb-m25.aol.com...
> In article <33jvd7F4091tvU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
> <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>
> >Hello, Len
> >
> >That commercial license wasn't a particularly big deal, except that you
were
> >expected to memorize the "band plan", as it were, for VHF television. I
had
> >to laugh, no problem with the video or audio carrier nor the allotted 6
MHz
> >per channel space. First question, I think, was "what is the frequency
of
> >the video carrier of channel 6 television in the United States?". Well,
I
> >guessed they couldn't all be that bad, so I flipped a couple of pages,
put
> >my finger down, and examined the question by my finger. "What is the
color
> >burst frequency?". Ah, simple. 3.58 MHz .... oops, all of the 4 answers
> >started with 3.579 .....
>
> NTSC color subcarrier is exactly 3.579545454545454545454545....
> MHz. :-)
>
> Frankly speaking, I don't give a damn about that FCC field office test
> I took in Chicago in March, 1956. It DID allow me to work at some
> broadcast stations and earn a bit of money. I don't remember that
> four-part test for a 1st 'Phone as being exclusively about
broadcasting.
> Maybe it changed later. Irrelevant.
>
> A whole lot of changes have taken place in radio and electronics in
> the last almost 49 years.
>
> >So, I had to take it a second time and this time I simply memorized the
> >splits and took a good hard look at how tightly various frequencies were
> >specified. Then it was easy.
>
> I took mine just once. Everything. My "Q&A" book was a borrowed
> Regulations set then printed up in loose-leaf form. All I did was

> memorize what seemed to be important regulations. The theory I'd
> already learned from the military experience, high-power HF trans-
> mitters plus VHF, UHF, microwave radio relay. No real problem.
>
> >Not exactly IEEE stuff.
>
> It was never intended to be such...any more than the amateur
> written test is some kind of academic accomplishment.
>
> >The commercial telegraph license and radar endorsement were also not very
> >difficult. Such brain-strainers as "why do you avoid long horizontal
> >sections of waveguide".
>
> Why would you? :-)
>
> >A commercial license is not a noble title indicating a licensee is
> >"superior" to all other human beings (amateurs included) LOL
>
> I've never stated that nor implied it was. However, a lot of hams
> go on and on, terribly full of themselves, on implying that Their
> accomplishment is academic PhD level stuff. :-) [ptui...]
>
> Since 1958 I've been working in the microwaves, topping out at
> the top of Ka Band (25 GHz) with only a brief time with some
> 2mm wavelength stuff where the waveguide had to be coin silver
> electro-deposited on a polished copper mandrel (due to RF
> surface conduction being too high a resistance with ordinary
> silver plated guide...too much loss). I think of that lil-bitty guide
> stuff as my "first SMT" exposure... :-)
>
> A couple good reasons why amateur operations aren't widespread
> at microwaves, particularly above X Band (greater than 12 GHz)
> are Co$t of guide, flanges, measuring equipment, and RF sources;
> there's no "magical" round-the-world bounce off the ionosphere as
> with HF; so few amateurs know what they're doing at those very
> short wavelengths (nearly all the present-day record setters have
> commercial/military microwave experience).
>
> One big plus at microwaves is that antenna gain can be terrific
> due to beam-forming. Very little power is needed. Sure, there's
> no "skip" at those frequencies, it's all line-of-sight, but eventually
> there's going to be humans out there, far away. HF techniques
> won't be good for interplanetary QSOs. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Hello, Len

I don't know how many amateurs are going to even get much above 1 GHz. As you point out, high power is not necessary due to high gain antennas being easy to make (or, perhaps, purchase). The problem might be in the aiming.

I got a bit lucky when I put in my Direct Tv system some years ago. Running the coax and feeding into the house was easy; the antenna pointing became a bit more problematic, both due to a bad compass and a poor choice of help.

I invited a couple of friends over. Since they weren't hams and I didn't have (as I now do) a cordless phone with both a base and remote (that is *great* for work on the antenna), nor did we have cell phones, I needed one guy to watch the set and report signal strength and holler to the second guy in the kitchen who would holler to me on the roof. I checked the mount to set up and verify the supporting rod was indeed vertical, set the elevation, and, using a compass, set the direction of the antenna. They reported nothing. I went to check the compass again as the elevation was easy to set. I noticed that the needle was *not* pointing where it should be. I know that from my porch, the North star sits exactly in line with the back of my neighbor's house and I was in the proper position, albeit higher, and North should be exactly in line with the end of my neighbor's house. The needle should have pointed a bit East of that, but was pointing considerably towards the East. Sigh. I came back down and got the manual as it shows the deviation from true North. I went back on the roof, pointed the N on the compass exactly towards the neighbor's house, and carefully looked across the compass to see where the antenna should point (with the proper true headings from the installation manual). I made the adjustment to the antenna and yelled down. I tried for a couple of minutes and got no response from below.

Irritated, I packed up the tools and went back down - and found everyone drinking my beer!!!! Sigh ... ok, I went in to the television to turn it off - and there was a signal indication of 85! I pulled out the credit card and called Direct Tv. Bam! On came the whole 9 yards. Further testing the following day revealed that I could not get a better signal. Lucky indeed. BTW, by the next day, I had run coax both upstairs to the bedroom and also into the kitchen, so I only needed one helper. He could watch the signal strength in the kitchen and yell directly out the window to me. I chose my helper a bit more judiciously ;)

What does that have to do with 10 GHz transmission? Even purchasing equipment, someone is going to have to be able to aim the darn thing (gain comes at the expense of beamwidth, naturally) - and have some idea of local topography if they wish to take advantage of either natural or man-made objects. Of course, if the object is moonbounce, taking a dish which will develop the proper gain (and I'm guessing here - likely approaching 30 dBi), that thing is going to have to be fairly accurately pointed.

My Morse key has two settings: up and down. I can handle that, but some folks might not be able to handle something a bit more complex than that (although many can).

Interplanetary QSOs might prove *very* interesting. You certainly can't call CQ. You'd have to set up pre-arranged transmit times. Both could transmit information at a given time and the both wait .... and wait ... and wait whilst the electromagnetic radiation made its' way at the speed of light for a number of minutes (or hours, depending upon how close the planet - likely mars - was at the time). Obviously, mars is quite close to

us only at certain times and even then is what - 35 million miles away?
Don't forget to adjust for Doppler shift as the planets are moving closer or
farther away. Narrow bandwidths can give you better signal to noise ratio,
but narrow bandwidths can also make that Doppler shift a lot more difficult
to deal with. I doubt wideband FM would cut the mustard due to a *huge*
increase in noise with the much larger bandwidth over narrow bandwidth
modes.

I don't think I'm likely to be around by the time ordinary folks can take a
trip to mars .... ;)

Dang, where'd I put my Morse key?
:))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

50. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 03:24:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1104636282.839709.7160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101516

bb wrote:
> """ What is the logic behind your answer?"""
>
> Must my answer confrom to liberal logic?
>
> > As you seem to believe, character does count.
>
> > So, Michael, please name one liberal (or "democrat") organization
> that
> > promotes character in boys and yound men?
>
> I know lots of Democrats that support the Boy Scouts, and lots of
> independents.
>
> Wunnerful. I know lots of people, too! That is NOT THE ISSUE!
>
> Why was the BSA booed at the """DNC Convention""" while they brought
> out the American Flad and recited the Pledge of Allegiance?
>
> Why???
>
> """You take what SOME people who align themselves with the
> Democrats do and apply that to the whole."""
>
> ABSO-FRIGGIN-LUTELY!!! Every Democrat has to accept responsibility
for
> that act.
> Were they Mujahadeen???
>
> And >>>I<<< take what happened at the DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL
> ____CONVENTION 0___ to represent the Democratic >>>PARTY!!!<<<
>
> If you don't like it, find a new party.
>
> """That is a losing game, as there are segments of Republicans that
are
>
> similarly fringy."""
>
> Oh, my. People that believe in God. Wonder if Jews beieve in God?
> Wonder if Palistinians believe in God? Wonder if Methodists believe
in
> God? Wonder if Rev Jim believes in God?
>

> It's just so awful that there are still luddites that believe in God.
>
> NYT says "God is Dead!"
>
> But we must have a Palestinian State because Allah wants it.
>
> > I wish you the very best of luck on that one, and I do hope that
you
> > succeed.
>
> """So it is okay to be corrupt as long as you are a Republican.
> Good to
> see where exactly you are coming from."""
>
> WTF did that come from? Must be somekindof Al Franken spagmasm???
> Wonder where Geneen Giraffala weighed in on that one???
>
> Anyhow, you failed to name a single >>>liberal<<< youth organization
> that promotes character. And I know why that is. It's so friggin
easy
> to know why that is.
>
> C'mon liberal Mike. Ask me why it's so easy to know that. C'mon,
ask
> why!!!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Mike, I noticed that you failed to list any liberal organization that
> was pro character building.
>
> "Reply"
>
> Oh, my! 2004 becoming 2005. My 10YO Cub Scouts/America son just got
> an Eddie Bauer 0 deg sleeping bag. And he earned his just "readyman"
> badge and knows the basics of first aid. Maybe someday he'll hike-in
> and save your liberal ass.

I don't give a rat's patooie about your mindless little joust with Coslo here but I do care about the Boy Scouts. Or I used to and I have some insghts on the topic you don't have Burke. Which might explain a few things which go on 'way over your head as usual.

My senior brother of two of 'em was the CEO of the local BSA Council about five years ago and engineered the merger of our suburban Council, the one we both grew up in, with the next-over failing big city council. That noteworthy maneuver raised hackles galore out here in our lilly white Rebublican WASP 'burbs of course but it saved what was left of the broken city council, a seemingly impossible management coup given the politics involved.

Which in turn got the attention of the mahouts who rule scouting at the national level. He was forwith invited to join the national board of directors. I asked him why he declined the invitation. I can't quote his words directly but they went something like: "I have no interest in trying to work with a bunch of Dallas 'silent majority' type Bible-pounders hellbent on imposing their "visions" on scouting."

'Bye

w3rv

Weblos
Star Scout, 100% secular troop (try to find one of those today)
Seven year camper (Eddie Bauer bags? Bwaaahaha! A couple 50 cent itchy WW2 army surplus wool blankets)
God & Country medal
Order of the Arrow
43 merit badges (including the radio badge. Had to know the code and had to build a real radio that worked, no kits allowed.)
Junior Assistant Scoutmaster
Explorer Post Advisor

. . . Yadda, yadda . .

51. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 04:04:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050101230454.06016.00001796@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 101517

>Total all classes - 671,773 (decrease of 3019)
>73 es HNY de Jim, N2EY

Yep the No-Code CBplussers were right, Dumbing Down of Ham Radio will cause the RANKS to GROW.

52. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 04:21:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3u-dneOomIMm60rcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101518

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
> "Lenof21" <lenof21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20041231013151.10298.00000318@mb-m25.aol.com...
>
>>In article <33jvd7F4091tvU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
>><james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Hello, Len
>>>
>>>That commercial license wasn't a particularly big deal, except that you
>
> were
>
>>>expected to memorize the "band plan", as it were, for VHF television. I
>
> had
>
>>>to laugh, no problem with the video or audio carrier nor the allotted 6
>
> MHz
>
>>>per channel space. First question, I think, was "what is the frequency
>
> of
>
>>>the video carrier of channel 6 television in the United States?". Well,
>
> I
>
>>>guessed they couldn't all be that bad, so I flipped a couple of pages,
>
> put
>
>>>my finger down, and examined the question by my finger. "What is the
>
> color
>
>>>burst frequency?". Ah, simple. 3.58 MHz .... oops, all of the 4 answers
>>>started with 3.579 .....
>>
>> NTSC color subcarrier is exactly 3.579545454545454545454545....
>> MHz. :-)

>>
>> Frankly speaking, I don't give a damn about that FCC field office test
>> I took in Chicago in March, 1956. It DID allow me to work at some
>> broadcast stations and earn a bit of money. I don't remember that
>> four-part test for a 1st 'Phone as being exclusively about
>
> broadcasting.
>
>> Maybe it changed later. Irrelevant.
>>
>> A whole lot of changes have taken place in radio and electronics in
>> the last almost 49 years.
>>
>>
>>>So, I had to take it a second time and this time I simply memorized the
>>>splits and took a good hard look at how tightly various frequencies were
>>>specified. Then it was easy.
>>
>> I took mine just once. Everything. My "Q&A" book was a borrowed
>> Regulations set then printed up in loose-leaf form. All I did was
>> memorize what seemed to be important regulations. The theory I'd
>> already learned from the military experience, high-power HF trans-
>> mitters plus VHF, UHF, microwave radio relay. No real problem.
>>
>>
>>>Not exactly IEEE stuff.
>>
>> It was never intended to be such...any more than the amateur
>> written test is some kind of academic accomplishment.
>>
>>
>>>The commercial telegraph license and radar endorsement were also not very
>>>difficult. Such brain-strainers as "why do you avoid long horizontal
>>>sections of waveguide".
>>
>> Why would you? :-)
>>
>>
>>>A commercial license is not a noble title indicating a licensee is
>>>"superior" to all other human beings (amateurs included) LOL
>>
>> I've never stated that nor implied it was. However, a lot of hams
>> go on and on, terribly full of themselves, on implying that Their
>> accomplishment is academic PhD level stuff. :-) [ptui...]
>>
>> Since 1958 I've been working in the microwaves, topping out at
>> the top of Ka Band (25 GHz) with only a brief time with some
>> 2mm wavelength stuff where the waveguide had to be coin silver
>> electro-deposited on a polished copper mandrel (due to RF
>> surface conduction being too high a resistance with ordinary

>> silver plated guide...too much loss). I think of that lil-bitty guide
>> stuff as my "first SMT" exposure... :-)
>>
>> A couple good reasons why amateur operations aren't widespread
>> at microwaves, particularly above X Band (greater than 12 GHz)
>> are Co$t of guide, flanges, measuring equipment, and RF sources;
>> there's no "magical" round-the-world bounce off the ionosphere as
>> with HF; so few amateurs know what they're doing at those very
>> short wavelengths (nearly all the present-day record setters have
>> commercial/military microwave experience).
>>
>> One big plus at microwaves is that antenna gain can be terrific
>> due to beam-forming. Very little power is needed. Sure, there's
>> no "skip" at those frequencies, it's all line-of-sight, but eventually
>> there's going to be humans out there, far away. HF techniques
>> won't be good for interplanetary QSOs. :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
> Hello, Len
>
> I don't know how many amateurs are going to even get much above 1 GHz. As
> you point out, high power is not necessary due to high gain antennas being
> easy to make (or, perhaps, purchase). The problem might be in the aiming.

That is a big part of it. Even if a lot of hams were there, it would be
pretty much a sked only comms.

This leads to prospective users having a need to work in pairs or
teams. It will not be about making QSO's with multiple people, you will
be wanting to put your equipment together, and try it out. So right
away, the users will need to be interested in mainly putting a station
together and using it a few times, then moving along to the next goal.

And of course, the major attraction of these GHz and up frequencies is
that they DON'T go very far. You have to be the type of user that
doesn't care to make long distance QSO's!

Despite the ARRL's promotion and record keeping, for AIAP's these
frequencies are *very* local.

- Mike KB3EIA -

53. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 04:28:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <WOKdnaBB-JH_5UrcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

tianli wrote:

>>NOw just hand in your ticket, and return to the world of kewl! 8^)
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> And let you have all the pleasure - NO WAY!

Well Okay, but you had your chance!

- Mike KB3EIA -

54. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 04:34:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050101233411.290$9O@newsreader.com>
Source record: 101520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> tianli wrote:
>
> >>NOw just hand in your ticket, and return to the world of kewl! 8^)
> >>
> >>- Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >
> >
> > And let you have all the pleasure - NO WAY!
>
> Well Okay, but you had your chance!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
No codes on line one.
```

55. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 06:17:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41D791B1.A5D2C23D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101521

Lenof21 wrote:
>
> In article <1104531566.677857.126570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

> Amateur morsemen are far superior to professionals in radio.

They might be or they might not be. So far, you're the only fellow making such a claim. Radio amateurs who use morse may or may not be superior to professionals in radio who use morse. They are certainly superior in the use of morse code to professionals in radio who don't know morse code.

> They have nice Titles and federal call signs and all kinds of
> good stuff washed into their brains like a henna rinse. They
> apologize to NO one. :-)

Titles? I know of no titles. I do have a federally issued call sign. Don't you?

> >When I see those apologies, I'll stop pointing out that "true blue" Jim
> >has never served his country in any capacity, yet has availed himself
> >to the finest education and standard of living in a system where the
> >freedom to do so were paid for with the blood of other people's sons.
>
> He "served in 'other' ways." :-(

You don't believe that to be possible?

> He knew all about the space business without having worked
> in it. Good trick, even if he wasn't rated in soldering to NPC-200.

Do you have information that he didn't work in it?

> He knew all about USSR military aircraft too, even though he
> didn't shoot any Bears for naval intelligence (another non-
> serviceman did that).

He knew that the ones you wrote about didn't exist at the time of your service.

> He has a BSEE and an MSEE, double-degreed, supposedly in
> an electronics industry but won't say which one...

What's that to you?

> ...but he can do
> morse code at high rate...which makes him far superior as a

> 2004 radio person.

Being able to handle morse certainly gives him more tools at his
disposal than a radio amateur who is unable to send or receive morse.

> Yet another "superior" (perhaps the radio god of all) claims actual
> Department of State employment...

Yeah! Remember how, according to you, I didn't appear on any list of
key employees until I offered proof in the form of several archived
urls?
After that, the "Key Officers of Foreign Service Posts" list became
telephone contact lists to you. Nobody disputes that at the time of my
"claimed" Foreign Service assignments, I actually appeared on the ham
bands from those various places. Do you know anyone who travels to
various countries, staying for two or three years and operating on the
ham bands during that time just so he can fake a claim to Department of
State employment? Gosh, but you're a loon, Len.

> ...yet doesn't get into the socio-
> political "cures and remedies" spouted by all those other PCTA
> "superiors" who've never had any diplomatic experience or politics
> or of economics (beyond their personal account stuff) but regularly
> spout All About it.

I was never told that there was a requirement set out by you which had
to be met. :-)

> Never mind that national issues on economics
> or politics don't have much effect on radio regulations or ham radio.

> That most-superior person claims he was "in" Vietnam but can't
> or won't describe any duties "in" Vietnam or that they involved
> radio of any kind.

I claim that I was in Vietnam because I was in Vietnam. My duties, as
previously stated here, did have to do with radio of some kind. Those
duties could be found on the web by anyone with any ability at using a
search engine.

> All he do is diss and cuss at the person he
> doesn't personally like. He IS the superior one. He say so.

As a radio amateur, I'm much superior to you. I've had an amateur radio
license for 41 years. You have none. You have never had one. Even the
guy who received his ticket in last week's mail is superior to you in
amateur radio. If you find that to be a problem, you'd better get used
to it.
> All discussion is pointless. It's all a trash can
> of insults on the persons holding no-code-test advocacy.

That's a sweeping generalization, Leonard. Most of that stuff is
reserved for you alone. You grate on folks.

Dave K8MN

56. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 13:51:15 UTC
From: Sciz <WB3KTT@aol.com>
Message-ID: <33tft0pn88ucku7lfl206cori3nt3rnil6@4ax.com>
Source record: 101522

On 02 Jan 2005 04:04:54 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>>Total all classes - 671,773 (decrease of 3019)
>>73 es HNY de Jim, N2EY
>
>Yep the No-Code CBplussers were right, Dumbing Down of Ham Radio will cause the
>RANKS to GROW.

The decrease is more likely due to Ye Olde Farhtz like Dick Carroll
finally becoming silent keys...

57. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 14:37:44 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <YGTBd.62144$k25.58699@attbi_s53>
Source record: 101523

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20041230023508.08032.00002684@mb-m07.aol.com...
> Seems Time Magazine has a beef with Amateur Radio. This week's issue
> (December 27) has an article on "blogs" on page 109. In the article
> the following sentence will surely grab the attention of Ham Radio
> operators and stamp collectors - "Before this year, blogs were a
> curiosity, a cult phenomenon, a faintly embarrassing hobby on the
> order of HAM RADIO and stamp collecting." Letters to the editor can
> be sent to letters@time.com.
>
> Let 'em have it, Folks!

Better hold your mail until TIME empties their mailbox on Monday.
ak

The original message was received at Sun, 2 Jan 2005 13:36:06 GMT
from mr4.ash.ops.us.uu.net [198.5.241.89]

----- The following addresses had permanent fatal errors -----
<mail11146@vpop4.pop.net>

----- Transcript of session follows -----
popdeliver: mailbox is full
554 <mail11146@vpop4.pop.net>... Service unavailable

58. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 14:44:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050102094459.23348.00002714@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 101524

```
>Subject: Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase
>From: lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21)
>Date: 1/1/2005 2:33 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20050101153345.05880.00000316@mb-m19.aol.com>
>
>In article <1104562165.335250.293590@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
><k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>
>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>> In article <1104531566.677857.126570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
>>"bb"
>>> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>>
>>> >Mike said: """Everyone is entitled to an opinion, Brian."""
>>> >
>>> >Reeaally???
>>> >
>>> >"""We wouldn't have anywhere near as much fun if we could only give
>>> >opinions on what we have personal experience in! 8^)"""
>>> >
>>> >Then lotsa people on RRAP owe Len Anderson an apology.
>>>
>>> Amateur morsemen are far superior to professionals in radio.
>>> They have nice Titles and federal call signs and all kinds of
>>> good stuff washed into their brains like a henna rinse. They
>>> apologize to NO one.
>>
>>"Amateur morsemen" are far superior to professionals in AMATEUR
>>radio.
>>
>>This forum is about AMATEUR radio...Not "professional", military,
>>Public Service, PLMRS, etc etc etc.
>
> Really? :-)
>
> Then cite your "credentials" as a political pundit.
>
> Cite your "credentials" as a national economist.
>
> Cite your "credentials" as a "space business worker."
>
> Don't have any of the above, do you? Yet you've discussed all
> those things in HERE, in this forum...which you blabber that it
> is "all about amateur radio." :-)
```

>
> Tsk. Starting off the new year with the usual hypocrisy.

Not at all, Lennie...Just feeding YOUR hipocracy back to you.

YOU are the one who likes to take threads in wild and serpentine rants,
then when you get cornered, claim that this forum is about RADIO POLICY, taking
the role of the "moderator" you claim this newsgroup doesn't have.

Of course you ALWAYS drop the AMATEUR part of it.

So...break out the salt and pepper...a bit of garlic or sage if you
prefer, and dig in.

>>I am sure he can cut-and-paste as well as you do, Lennie, however
>>I bet Jim's far more competent and knowledgeable in "space business"
>>than you without the URL's to click on.
>
> Tsk. Rocketdyne, formerly a Division of Rockwell International
> (now purchased by Boeing), and EOS (Electro-Optical Systems),
> a Division of Xerox were both engaged in the space business and
> both presented me with salary checks for many years...all for
> doing things IN the space business. The IRS and California
> Franchise Tax Board verified that the forms they received
> (separately, forwarded by employers) did indeed indicate I was
> IN the space business...as did the FBI, DCASS, and some other
> alphabet soup agencies.

Getting checks from any of the aforementioned employers no more means you
were in the "space business" than my having been in the nursing profession
means I slept with Florence Nightengale.

The janitors, the housekeepers, the security guys and the yardsmen all got
W-2's from those companies. I guess we can claim THEY were "in the space
business".

> No "cut and paste" in any of that, sonny. I've laid hands on an
> SSME on a test stand and been through a Shuttle being
> assembled...held many an unmanned spacecraft electronics
> package being assembled and tested in a clean room...(SNIP)

First of all, I am not your son, Lennie. All the testosterone you could
accumulate in a lifetime wouldn't even begin to fill my father's shoes.

I am sure you DID "lay (your) hands" on all that hardware, Lennie...

...when no one was looking and you were sure you'd not be seen. Kinda
like the guy in the crowded bar who "accidentally" cops a feel of the
waitress...Just to see if he can get away with it.

>.....not to
> mention meeting the first shuttle astronauts John Young and
> Bob Crippen.

And by the way...I've met Senator John Glenn and Alan Sheppard at different aviation events...does that mean I get my picture up on a wall at NASA now too...??? Of course THEY flew two programs BEFORE the Shuttle...Oh my!

> So, what does "Jim" do? He won't say anything more than he
> "works in vehicular transportation" according to an ECFS
> comment on the FCC website.

What does it matter? How does it pertain to AMATEUR RADIO POLICY...???

> So, what does the Avenging Angle do? NOTHING in the "space
> business," never has. At best he exaggerates his "engineering"
> job as a Purchasing Agent for a set-top box maker! Lasted less
> than a half year. Tsk.

Sorry, Lennie...your "facts" STILL aren't facts! And I NEVER claimed ANY "engineering" job anywhere.

My ONLY "claim" while I worked in Georgia was to have had access to materials that proved your on-going rants were inaccurate. References were cited. Sorry it hurt so bad. Didn't need a BSEE to bust your bubbles.

>>> He knew all about USSR military aircraft too, even though he
>>> didn't shoot any Bears for naval intelligence (another non-
>>> serviceman did that).
>>
>>He sure does...And funny you should mention that since it was YOU
>>that brought up being threatened by Mr Tupolev's turboprop wonder
>>despite the fact it wasn't in servce until YOU were out of theater!
>
> Tsk. My military service obligation ended in 1960...with receipt
> of my Honorable Discharge. But, having actually worked IN the
> aerospace industry for a long time before that year and for years
> afterwards, it might be easy to slip up on who flies what where
> when making casual mentions of things among these aerospace
> gurus of self-defined "experience."

Your "obligation" ended in 1960.

Your tour in Japan ended in 1956.

You were never any closer to any Russian "bear" than visits to the zoo.

And I LOVE your "excuse" for your "slipups"...! ! ! You actually try to pass off the fact that by having HAD your alleged experience, you could be expected to screw up! WhoooooHoooo!

>>> an electronics industry but won't say which one, but he can do
>>> morse code at high rate...which makes him far superior as a
>>> 2004 radio person.
>>
>>When matched against an UNlicensed ex-radio technician
>>wannabe...Sure!
>

> Tsk. There you go talking trash again. You can't stop, can you?

It's not trash if it's true, Lennie, and so far you've not provided
anything that invalidates my assertions.

You ARE an ex-technician.

You do NOT have any practical experience in radio operation outside of a
laboratory (other than CB radio and some hokey story of using the ship-to-shore
radio on a friend's yacht).

You do NOT have a valid Amateur Radio operator or station license. FCC
records do verify your claim of holding a GROL, however that very same license
stipulates >>right on the back<< that you may NOT operate ANY radio
transmitter that does not have a valid FCC station license or STA.

SO...That leaves you with 40 channels on 27Mhz (a few extra if ya wanna
count the R/C channels), 5 channels at 151/156Mhz, 14 channels at 462/467Mhz,
and whatever you can eek out with the parameters that Part 15 allows you.

Whew...>>I'm<< impressed...

> You are one sick little puppy, always always mean-mouthing the
> person (and relations) of anyone disagreeing with you.

So far with very few exceptions, I've not been proven wrong. And not at
all by you.

>>> calls night college classes something derogatory, implying they
>>> are for some kind of civics for citizenship candidates or are
>>> classes for remedial English. If I mention that my wife has TWO
>>> Masters Degrees, that "superior" person calls the University of
>>> Illinois at Urbana a "correspondence school."
>>
>>She obviously doesn't have the requisite skills to get you into
>>therapy or counselling, ergo I doubt she has the credentials you cite.
>
> Poor baby...mentally deranged and still crawling the newsgroup,
> are you? It's a given that the insane are the last ones to admit
> they are nuts.

I guess that explains YOUR unwillingness to seek the help.

> Tsk. The Avenging Angle has claimed all he has to do is pick up
> a telephone and "have authorities commit another" just because
> you have an LPN whatsis. So far you haven't done that, not with
> me, not with Brian Burke. Because you DON'T have any such
> authoritiy as a nurse. You CANNOT do it, yet you keep on saying
> so. That's nuts.

No, >>I<< don't keep saying so.

YOU keep saying so.

And deny what you will. I've proved you wrong before, and it will continue
to happen as long as you keep making stupid assertions in the face of facts to
the contrary.

>>> And my wife gets
>>> a personal insult because she is married to me, all courtesy of
>>> that medically-discharged veteran of "seven hostile actions."
>>> Late in the year this "healthcare professional" told me to go have
>>> a stroke.
>>
>>No..I said PLEASE go have a stroke.
>
> Oh, my, that makes it "all right," does it?

Sure it does.

You've made several very vile and disgusting "suggestions" tempered with
an "emoticon" that's supposed to make it "humourous".

> PLEASE cite the where and when of your "seven hostile actions,"
> mighty warrior (of fantasyland).

> PLEASE cite the government regulation that establishes "MARS
> >>IS<< amateur radio!"
>
> You can't do any of those things, can you?

Whelp, Lennie...I explained my comments vis-a-vis MARS on several
occassions, and you ignored that. I refuse to discuss when and where I was
with the Armed Forces for other reasons that are none of your business....

But I DO love seeing you get torqued because you can't have your way!

> That's because they are NOT related to this newsgroup general
> subject matter. You think that the whole of this newsgroup is to
> give you some open forum to insult and demean anyone who
> doesn't agree with your fantastic opinions. You are the sicko.

It doesn't matter what they are "related to", Lennie.

It only matters that by NOT discussing them when you are demanding that I
do that makes it fun!

>>Lessee...Dave Heil spent a life career in the State Department and
>>YOU didn't...Dave was actually AT those places we only read about or
>>watched on TV.
>
> We are all still waiting for the cite of the Avenging Angle's mighty
> "seven hostile actions" he claims he had.

We were discussing Dave Heil's tours of duty in the State Department...Not
where >>I<< have been...

Poor redirect on your part...Not even enough to make ya blink!

>>And Lennie was...In Japan at a rear-area radio relay
>>station for a couple of years in the 50's...
>
> Three years, sicko. Three.

Two...three...five...doesn't matter. You STILL weren't in Japan when the Tu-95 went into service for the Soviet Union in the Pacific theater.

> Before your existance on earth, grasshopper. Powerful HF
> transmitters...3 1/2 dozen of them...13 microwave radio relay
> terminals, each carrying 24 voice channels. [just to name a
> few things] Nothing carrying any on-off morse mode traffic.

Grasshopper?

Nope...Have flown a Weedhopper, though...Not heavy enough on the controls for me...

And not a one of those "3 1/2 dozen" transmitters were built by you or owned by you. You were one person in an Army detachment that provided radio mechanic services for those transmitters.

Your poximity to those transmitters no more made you qualified as a "radio operator" than my association with rotary winged aircraft in the Marines made me a helo pilot.

> You haven't done a thing in military radio comparable to that.

No, thank God...Bored to death in one spot for three years...Are you nuts? Wait...that was a rhetorical question...you ARE nuts. My bad.

> Poor baby. Feel left out, do you? Can't blame you for getting
> angry...someone comes along who has done MORE than you
> beginning BEFORE your time and it spoils the heck out of your
> bragging and fantasy claims.

Naaaaaah.....I did things and got to go places in the Marines that all of the radio transmitters in the Army couldn't stack up to! I went to WESTPAC not once, but four times. Got to play with radios, Amateur and military in-and-out of theater. Made HF phone patches while airmobile and actually got to meet many of the Japanese and Filippino hams I had met on the air.

Also got to meet hams in several Central and South American countires. Got a "bennie ride" in the back seat of an F4-J out of Pax River to the Nimitiz. Also got several backseat rides in OV-10's and crewed CH53A and D Sea Stallions. Taught ECM/DECM.

So, Lennie...You go RIGHT AHEAD and try to convince me and this NG how disappointed I was that I didn't spend MY military career parked in one place!

And to top it off, I got to carry my Ham gear to many of those places I went to...So I guess it IS possible to have your cake and eat it too!

>>> Never mind that national issues on economics
>>> or politics don't have much effect on radio regulations or ham
>>radio.

>>
>>Absolutely, without contradiction, one of the most assinine
>>assertions you've ever made, Lennie.
>>
>>Economics has been one of the MAJOR driving factors behind
>>numerous impacts on the Amateur Service!
>
> Someone has "impacted" you and it sounds like damage to your
> thinking apparatus. Poor sicko.
>
> More tsk. "MAJOR impact?"
>
> Amateur radio is a radio activity engaged in WITHOUT pecuniary
> interest! [that's an old FCC definition of "amateur radio" and
> explains the use of the word "amateur" in the service title]
>
> Sunnuvagun!

Hmmmmmmm....You're right...Amateur Radio IS a radio activity without
"pecuniary interest"...

However recent industry movements such as BPL, the UPS 220mhz escapade,
Wi_fi, etc etc etc DO "impact" Amateur Radio.

Those industries are making their move for ECONOMIC reasons...So, again, I
was right, Lennie..ECONOMICS makes an impact on Amateur Radio, whether it's my
money or theirs.

> Another avowed PCTA and super morseman claims he can
> make a "Type 7" radio for just $100 and "recycled parts."
> Doesn't sound like some kind of "MAJOR impact" to the nation,
> more like a personal decision on his part.

And the decisions the FCC makes over BPL >>>WILL<<< impact Amateur Radio.

>>On the otherhand, we have you, an ex-Army radio meachanic claiming
>>to have handled over "2.1 million" bits of traffic until the
>>mathematics of your silly claim were laid bare.
>>
>>Then you tried to dance around it.
>
> Tsk. Was NOT a "radio meachanic" nor even a "mechanic."

I was being as complimentary as possible. You WERE a radio mechanic.

> E-5, Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance
> Supervisor, MOS 281.6 under the old MOS numbering system.
> Also doing Fixed Station Transmitters Operations and
> Maintenance Supervision prior to arrival of the microwave radio
> relay systems.

Uh huh...Radio mechanic.

And "...doing Fixed Station Transmitters Operations and Maintenence
Supervision prior to the arrival of the microwave radio realy systems"...?!?!

THAT sounds to me like "REAL 'supervisors' realized what a self-promoting
putz I was and stuffed me somewhere that I could be watched and do little
damage".

>Army station ADA...(SNIPPED)

Usual puffery deleted. Same pitiful effort to embellish your miserably
lame "radio operating" portfolio. Nothing new.

>>And let's not forget how glorious YOUR Army career was simply by
>>having been in a unit that had suffered KIA's three years before you
>>were ever there.
>
> Tsk. You are still one SICK puppy.

Nope.

I never once even REMOTELY suggested that MY associations with Marines who
were combat veterans or KIA somehow reflected on me in such a way as to have
been my contributions or sacrifices.

YOU did.

> The 8235th Army Unit, a Signal Battalion...(SNIP)

Yeahyeah....same stuff.

> I still honor those Signalmen who lost their lives...

You honor no one except Leonard H. Anderson.

If you honored those men, you'd spin out a new thread apologizing for
having used their sacrifices to YOUR betterment without reservation or
diversion.

> You don't like that I honor the men of my Army unit. Tsk.

I'd be tickled to death if I thought you did. You don't. You have, on
more than one occassion, been caught trying to use someone else's sacrifices to
YOUR benefit.

That's NOT "honoring" them.

> Go and report me to Hal and Ted Barker of the Korean War Project.
> Go and report me to the Secretary of the Army...or even the
> Secretary of Homeland Security (whoever that might be now).
> Go and report me to "authorities" and "have me committed" as
> you claim you can do with your nursing title, all in a single
> telephone call.

Lennie, You were never in the Korean War.

You were never more than a rear area radio mechanic. You were never much
more than that in your "professional" career.

You NEED to be commited. Your outbursts, your deceit, your
misrepresentations and your obsessive-compulsive conduct all spell "sick".

> Better yet, have yourself committed and save all that insulting
> personal trash tossing you seem to do constantly with anyone
> who disagrees with you.

It ain't trash if it's true, Lennie. And so far we've not seen anything
from you to prove otherwise.

It's 2005...You're still not a licensed Amateur Radio operator but you're
still antagonising people who are. More proof of who the "sick" one is.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

59. Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 16:24:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41D82025.8288B3FA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101526

"THE HINDU India's National Newspaper
Thursday, Dec 30, 2004
Hams lend a helping hand.
Amateur ham radio enthusiasts are playing an unusual but important role in tsunami relief work, reports SHONALI MUTHALALY
When four amateur radio operators headed to the Andaman and Nicobar islands a few weeks ago, armed with only basic equipment, backpacks and sun blocks, they had no idea that their fun hobby would soon become the islands' only lifeline.
"They went because it's a `high value' country for hams (amateur radio operators) since you need special permission from the Government to operate there," says Gopal Madhavan, an avid ham and one of the members of the governing council of the Amateur Radio Society of India. "The last time a ham operated in the Andamans was about 25 years ago."
The team, which came from across India, left Chennai on December 3 on their `DXpedition' (basically ham slang for an expedition to any foreign country). "They met here for a cup of tea before they left... There was a great amount of enthusiasm," says Gopal, carefully tuning his buzzing radio, alive with their voices crackling all the way from the islands.
"They went to Port Blair, set up the equipment ï¿½ all DXpeditions carry radios and dismantled antennas, which can be set up later with guy ropes ï¿½ and contacted about 35,000 hams across the world."
Then, the earthquake began, followed by tidal waves, which swept over the small islands.
"They were actually in operation when the tremors began. Bharthi, the team leader, was talking to an Australian on the radio. He says her voice suddenly rose by a few octaves and she yelled `tremor'. Then, her radio went dead."
The islands were devastated by the quake and crashing waves. Phones died, the electricity went out and life in Andaman and Nicobar came to a stunned halt. On the airwaves too, there was a loud silence, as hams across the world held their breath, wondering whether the team had survived. However, about two hours later, unbelievably, they were back on the air.
"Every other form of communication was down. They were the only link from the Andamans to the mainland for several hours after the disaster," says Gopal, adding that the tsunamis had engulfed the island, paralysing all machinery and communication systems. The ham radio however, which was operating from a tower, was in working order, although the team did have to scavenge for batteries to get it operating again since it had been running on electricity.
In the tradition of hams, the team stayed on to help, the DXpedition was converted into an emergency network and hams from across the world, especially India, swung into action. Two more people headed to the

islands to support the emergency network, and with help from the Indian Army, which is providing the hams with food, camping and batteries, the station on Port Blair continues to operate, while another station has been set up at Car Nicobar, which has been practically obliterated by the catastrophe."

Dave K8MN

60. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 16:38:23 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104683903.331050.203210@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.shortwave

mopeydopey on the preparation H customer hotline

61. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 16:59:43 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101528

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1104551584.970798.204520@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Kim wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1104531566.677857.126570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Mike said: """Everyone is entitled to an opinion, Brian."""
> > >
> > > Reeaally???
> > >
> > > """We wouldn't have anywhere near as much fun if we could only give
> > > opinions on what we have personal experience in! 8^)"""
> > >
> > > Then lotsa people on RRAP owe Len Anderson an apology.
> > >
> > > When I see those apologies, I'll stop pointing out that "true blue"
Jim
> > > has never served his country in any capacity, yet has availed himself
> > > to the finest education and standard of living in a system where the
> > > freedom to do so were paid for with the blood of other people's sons.
> > > Happy New Year.
> > >
> >
> > So...wait just a minute. Are you saying that anyone who does not serve
his
> > country in any capacity (you'd have to define in any capacity, by the
way)
> > is not supposed to live in the United States?
>
> ***That isn't what I said, but do you argue that I'm not entitled to my
> own opinion?***
>

Anyone is entitled to their opinion, and I deny no one that. However, in
general, one cannot expect to express things without discourse on them. So,
if you are taking offense that I would seek clarification for what you are
saying, then to add or disagree with it--then you're offense is duly noted.

> >
> > Because, in the United States
>
> Is Kim going to tell ---me--- about America???
>
```

> Please allow me to assume the position.
>

You really do seem rather argumentative. And, in my opinion (may Kim tell you about Kim, even?) to assume an argumentative (if not rather childish) position (or, perhaps that is not what you meant by "position") leads me to believe that this reply-post is an exercise in futility.

> >
> > we--regardless of *military* service to the country or not--DO avail
> > ourselves of whatever is available, be that a good education, a good
> job, a
> > good doctor, a good teacher, a good whatever.
>
> Indeed many do.
>
> They take and they take, good whatever.
>
> Good everythig!!!
>
> Do you count yourself among those???
>

What in the world do you mean, "among those"? I seek good everything and anything. What do you want me to do? Strive to be a failure?! Your question is startling.

> >
> > That the freedoms we enjoy are here, because of the military service
> of
> > others, is greatly appreciated by most--
>
> Huge, huge appreciations. Yellow magnet ribbons and all....
>

Is your comment somewhat resentful of the ribbons, moreover the sentiment to support our troops?! Again, your startling.

>
> there are those that are just plain
> > idiots (and Jim is not one of them).
>
> Why do you say that Jim is not?
>

Oh, wait. Let me communicate back to you the same way you communicated to me: are you saying I am not entitled to my opinion? Hey, you opened that friggin' door, now close it.

>
> As a matteer of fact, I think Jim IS one of the idiots.
>

Oh, and here, let me demonstrate how much more human I am capable of being than you: You are entitled to your opinion about Jim--or anyone else. BUT, I do not share your opinion.

>
> And, to read your comment above
> > without having paid attention to this thread, seems to say that
> anyone who
> > doesn't meet your standards of service should just roll up and die?
>
> If you like you can read it that way.
>

Well, I don't "like" to think that anyone would believe like that. There are those who serve their nation through military means, there are those that support them in any and every way they can; there are those who remain here at home and help their families; there are those who go to their jobs every day to keep the inner-workings (and the reason for living in such a wonderful country, by the way) moving; there are those who serve their nation politically; and,

then, there are those who sponge off any opportunity, until it all dries up. For anyone to have a scholarship in this country, they had to meet criteria--set forth by the governing body of that scholarship. For anyone to have availed themselves of any oppportunity to get as top-notch an education, or as top-notch an opportunity, as they could possibly get is for them to have participated in their own destiny, rather than letting things just happen to them. I don't think you're capable of understanding where it is I would go with this...so I'll not bother.

>
> But that is not what I meant. Meanwhile, Len, who has served is
> supposed to roll up and die.
>
> Why is that???
>
> Surely
> > I am mistaken in my interpretation of your comment, above.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> To be -perfectly- clear, I think Jim is a welfare freeloader to avail
> hisself to the freedom to go to USA engineering schools, to avail
> hisself to a career in engineering, and then to comment on how bad life
> in America is without ***ever*** having done a Goddamned thing to risk
> even a split fingernail,
>

You know what? Screw your ever-loving split fingernail theory. You are one of those who seem (and note I've said "seem") to be of the opinion that only those who have served in the United States military are entitled to all the benefits of HAVING BEEN BORN in the USA. You see? That's all it takes for entitlement here: being born in the USA, or becoming a US citizen (although,

and here's a drift to another thread for you--if you can handle it: there are those who are NOT from this country who come over here with their little weenie green cards, suck up what they can from the United States, send it back home, and then go back home themselves...or go on to becoming a US citizen but are pretty darned slinky folks...in my opinion).

> all the while putting down Len Anderson who
> has contributed more to America than a single Jim/N2EY fingernail.
> Kim, you did ask.
>
> bb
>

Uh huh. I did ask. And, you came back with this argument initially that--paraphrasing--were you not entitled to your opinion. You are entitled. You are entitled to believe that the ground a military person walks on is sacred and that no one else is "entitled" to the same benefits from the United States as a person who has served in the military. You are entitled to believe that we don't all, in some form or other, contribute to the integrity, continuance, and strength of the United States--some of us by just damned getting up out of bed every morning and going to some dorky job where a damned good portion of our income goes to supporting the military, and the government, and the "other" people (you know...those who are not worthy)...

So, yeah. I asked. And, I should have known better...

Kim W5TIT

62. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 18:58:36 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <33qumgF422s6sU1@individual.net>
Source record: 101529

"Sciz" <WB3KTT@aol.com> wrote in message
news:33tft0pn88ucku7lfl206cori3nt3rnil6@4ax.com...
> On 02 Jan 2005 04:04:54 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:
>
> >>Total all classes - 671,773 (decrease of 3019)
> >>73 es HNY de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Yep the No-Code CBplussers were right, Dumbing Down of Ham Radio will
cause the
> >RANKS to GROW.
>
> The decrease is more likely due to Ye Olde Farhtz like Dick Carroll
> finally becoming silent keys...

And yet another wannabe putting what would likely be an old-timer's call (as
former WA3RJX was issued to me in 1971) in his headers (the WB3 call which
may well have never been issued).

LOL

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA (former WN2CJV, WB2OSP, WA3RJX, N2JH)

63. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 19:29:18 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050102142918.21488.00000483@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 101530

In article <33h7qdF3us5u6U1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
<james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20041229000005.06260.00000349@mb-m26.aol.com...
>> In article <BL-dnb_9TdtWf0zcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>> >KA4NMA was in an accident recently and used his handheld on two meters
>> >to call for help.
>> >
>> > And I thought that there was no use for the things since we all have
>> >cell phones now.
>>
>> Wow! If the ARRL said it, it MUST be true! :-)
>>
>> There are approximately 100 MILLION cell phone subscriptions in the
>> USA. That means at least 100 million cellular telephone handsets in
>> operation. How many radio amateurs have VHF+ HTs? As a guess,
>> cell phones outnumber ham HTs about 200:1 or greater.
>>
>> Cell phones can dial into 911 services directly. No wait for a "patch"
>> through another amateur.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Hello, Len
>
>Wait for a patch? If you mean I have to hit 4 buttons on my HT to bring up
>the dial tone, then I guess I wait a few seconds for a patch :))

Sorry, Jim, an HT doesn't count as "real ham radio" equipment
to some of these folk in here (remember tRoll and his "shack on
a belt" phrase?). I know of the ease at which modern VHF and
UHF repeaters can access the telephone system. Lots of the
staid, olde-tyme raddio morsemen can't think of anything but the
old telephone coupler/"patch" gadget for linking Rx/Tx with a phone.

>Seriously, when I was on Guam, a phone call to the states was $12.00 (in
>1968) for the first 3 minutes and 4 bucks a minute thereafter. The hamshack
>worked just fine.

Agreed. But, this isn't 1968 anymore...sad to say, 1968 was 26 years
ago and most college grads of the Class of 2004 weren't even born
yet. :-)

>As you point out, the cellphones have replaced ham radio.

Not quite. Few "civilians" (those not even concerned with amateur radio or not knowing anything about it) have (almost literally) embraced cellular telephony since the 1970s. Very few of those non-amateurs ever thought about "ham radio" as anything for emergencies, let alone casual, personal communications. But, as of 2003 the Census Bureau of the USA said that one in three Americans have cell phone subscriptions. It isn't "replacement," it is "embracement" or "displacement."

Just from store sales and observation of ordinary people in markets or on sidewalks, in cars in motion, it should be obvious that there are at least a 100 MILLION of those little two-way radios interfaced with the telephone infrastructure.

>What did that sergent run up on his cell phone calling back home from Iraq?
>Was it $1,700 or $17,000? But, of course, this is very good as it is
>making a lot of money for the "right" folks LOL.

Tsk. The INTERNET and the DSN interconnection are more a fact of life in the U.S. military everywhere now. That E-5 in Iraq probably didn't use any cell phone to call home but rather used a computer (personal laptop or government issue) and did e-mail.

DSN is good for direct voice communications (with the right permission) since it handles both voice and data and is an integral part of military land communications from Corps and higher. Given access to a DSN, I can call direct to a Special Services hotel at or near the Hardy Barracks (on-base is military, off-base is civilian) for reservations or whatever. That's just an example; the rest of Tokyo is way too expensive. :-) The DSN "directory" is fairly big now, has been growing for at least six years.

>I believe there is a repeater in Iraq and can and has been used, but I'm not
>too sure about 3rd party agreements and stuff and absolutely don't want to
>run afoul of certain government agencies (of which the FCC wouldn't even
>make a blip on my list of which agencies and how much concern LOL).

No real need for "repeaters" there. The DSN can handle what is needed, no sweat. If there's any prohibitions about use, that's a security concern having nothing to do with international or national regulations.

Look back on news video from Iraq of the past two years and you will see LOTS and LOTS of computers being used, both for direct military communications and for personnel-pleasure comms. The PC has become a standard communications AND publications tool (displacing a need for all those paper-bound military documents in small units...a great convenience for them). The last remaining center for morse code cognition in the USA for military and government personnel, at Fort Huachuca, uses computer terminals with three commercial morse training

programs (forgot to note the names as used in 2002). Signal
School at Fort Gordon, GA, has some fair general-use audio-
visual computer programs (interactive, can be used off-line) for
the major land forces radios and the "plugger" (AN/PSN-11
GPS receiver operational since at least 1990). There are two
available training programs for the common-use SINCGARS, one
of them originated by the USMC.

What I'm leading to is the VoIP, Voice over Internet Protocol,
is being standardized in the industry right now (it exists already
but not with a common standard). Once that gets going, voice
communication costs will drop for long distances for individuals,
no more than today's Internet subscribership.

>I guess what sometimes is disappointing is the extreme views - either that
>amateur radio is the answer to everything and that amateur radio is the
>answer to nothing and is antiquated. Neither view is correct, in my humble
>opinion.

Extremes are hardly ever correct. One problem with radio amateurs
getting all their communications knowledge from amateur radio
publications is that they fall into an extreme category, blinded a bit
by the ham pubs very much biased in favor of ham methods.

There is a fantastic resource of communications other than amateur
radio in the United States. Cell phones top the list for the simple
reason that there are millions of them and they are almost as simple
to use as an ordinary wired phone. "Long-distance" service, clear as
any local call, is a given nowadays and we can all look at near-instant
video (with audio) from faraway places on the globe (geostationary
orbit "parking places" for comm sats is now filled, has been for a
couple years). The guy who first explained a viable communications
satellite system (science-fiction novelist Arthur C. Clarke) is still
alive and living in Sri Lanka. Time marches on and the radio world
has not been stuck in the standards and practices of the 1930s.

There's really NO place for radiotelegraphy in the bigger world of
communications today...except as a fun thing to do for those who
like to do it in amateur radio.

>Meanwhile, I'm getting ready for New Year's eve. Nope, not going anywhere.
>Too many drunks will be on the road.
>
>Have a safe and happy New Year!

Thank you and you too...so far, so good, at least to day 2 of 2005. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

64. Re: Problem for asthma patients

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 19:29:18 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050102142918.21488.00000484@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 101531

In article <20041229212730.06386.00000341@mb-m22.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <ongAd.667$Cc.277@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" ><groupk0hb@earthlink.net> writes:
>
>>Should there be bold caution labels affixed to all inhalers warning that >>"ONLY
>>TAME AND PLATONIC SEX IS ALLOWED WHILE USING THIS PRODUCT"?
>>
>Hans,
>
>Isn't "PLATONIC SEX" an oxymoron? Like "tight slacks", "jumbo shrimp", >"nondairy creamer", etc.?

Freudian slip? Picking phrases such as "tight slacks" and "jumbo shrimp" and "nondairy creamer?" in the same sentence? :-)

Tsk. You guys are looking for love in the wrong places...

Let me know the Part 97 paragraph equating "sex" with amateur radio... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

65. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 19:31:44 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050102143144.21945.00002727@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 101532

>And yet another wannabe putting what would likely be an old-timer's call (as
>former WA3RJX was issued to me in 1971) in his headers (the WB3 call which
>may well have never been issued).
>
>LOL

What's sad is these No-Code CBplussers know they can NEVER be an EQUAL to REAL HAMS. They will all ways be referred to as WELFARE HAMS no matter how many CALLSIGNS they BUY.

66. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 20:09:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104696574.793825.251270@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101533

Dave, you'll kindly explain the refutation. And here's your chance to go on a dxpedition where your skills would be highly valued. But there's an element of personal danger involved, so nevermind.
Sayonara, bb

67. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 20:57:14 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <KeZBd.11611$qf5.4981@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101534

Todd Daugherty wrote:
> Sometime in January N9OGL well begin to broadcast his program in the Amateur
> band. The time, start up date and frequency has not yet been determined.
> However, it will probably be in the 15 or 10 meter band. I'll keep you all
> posted.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Sure....

In any event "broadcasting" a program over radio is way less
efficient compared to posting that program's material
on a web page. A web site is always available, and can
be accessed world wide. And it doesn't clog the radio
spectrum that was allocated for 2 way comms.

68. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 21:38:49 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <33r82oF41ur64U1@individual.net>
Source record: 101535

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:KeZBd.11611$qf5.4981@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > Sometime in January N9OGL well begin to broadcast his program in the
Amateur
> > band. The time, start up date and frequency has not yet been determined.
> > However, it will probably be in the 15 or 10 meter band. I'll keep you
all
> > posted.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Sure....
>
> In any event "broadcasting" a program over radio is way less
> efficient compared to posting that program's material
> on a web page. A web site is always available, and can
> be accessed world wide. And it doesn't clog the radio
> spectrum that was allocated for 2 way comms.

Hello, Bob

Too obvious a solution for some. Then again, some folks may think that
others simply enjoy hearing their voice ;)

Perhaps a rule should be made. Anyone broadcasting stuff for amateurs (such
as the ARRL) can only broadcast it in Morse. That would cut the bandwidth
;)

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

69. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 21:44:40 UTC
From: "K4ZDH\"Just Call Me Bill\"" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <cXZBd.15602$RH4.655@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101536

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41d80cc1$1_1@127.0.0.1...

: Sometime in January N9OGL well begin to broadcast his program in the
Amateur
: band. The time, start up date and frequency has not yet been determined.
: However, it will probably be in the 15 or 10 meter band. I'll keep you all
: posted.
:
: Todd N9OGL
:

Please let me know when you start. I'd love to exchange QSLs with you.

"Bill"

70. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-02 22:54:33 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <33rcgoF43ephcU1@individual.net>
Source record: 101537

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20050102143144.21945.00002727@mb-m06.aol.com...
> >And yet another wannabe putting what would likely be an old-timer's call
(as
> >former WA3RJX was issued to me in 1971) in his headers (the WB3 call
which
> >may well have never been issued).
> >
> >LOL
>
> What's sad is these No-Code CBplussers know they can NEVER be an EQUAL to
REAL
> HAMS. They will all ways be referred to as WELFARE HAMS no matter how many
> CALLSIGNS they BUY.

The problem is that I can't define a "real ham". Perhaps you can?

There are folks running moonbounce - and it would take me a bit of studying to get to their level - not so much on the electronics, but the rotation of the earth and motion of the moon in its' orbit.

There are others that deal in pretty high frequencies. Seems I remember a couple of guys doing moonbounce on 24 GHz. Not a simple problem.

There is some truth buried in most posts, but it gets hidden by our own standards of belief - along with the usual flames.

I've had some interesting conversations off group with a number of folks that get involved with flames. For the most part, they simply deal back what they were dealt. I think it might be better if we found common ground, rather than point out differences.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

71. Re: ARRL GOES AFTER JACK GERRITSEN EX-KG6IRO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 00:31:05 UTC
From: john wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <41D89249.60802@erols.com>
Source record: 101538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

I agree. I also have a memory when in the 60s the ARRL pushed incentive licensing. That ARRL/FCC BS cost me some frequency spectrum usage on 80 through 15 meters that I previously had worked hard to obtain by getting my ham license. In my opinion they always have been a bunch of self centered individuals who had an agenda. I have been licensed for 45 years. Fortunately I wised up about the ARRL 44 1/2 years ago.

Psychiatrist to Hams wrote:

>Anybody who gives a dime to the ARRL is stupid.
>
>Same for anybody who believes the ARRL has ham radio's
>best interests in mind.
>
>Look for a major investigation into corruption, fraud, waste,
>abuse, greed, & criminality at the FCC in 2005.
>
>ARRL & FCC are both enemies of ham radio.
>
>
>Dr Ham
>
>
>
>
>

72. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 01:13:49 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <uX0Cd.19150$Gj2.5294@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 101539

"K4ZDH"Just Call Me Bill"" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:cXZBd.15602$RH4.655@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41d80cc1$1_1@127.0.0.1...
>
> : Sometime in January N9OGL well begin to broadcast his program in the
> Amateur
> : band. The time, start up date and frequency has not yet been determined.
> : However, it will probably be in the 15 or 10 meter band. I'll keep you
> all
> : posted.
> :
> : Todd N9OGL
> :
>
> Please let me know when you start. I'd love to exchange QSLs with you.
>
> "Bill"

How many callsigns did you "imp" before this one?

(How many happen to know who it belongs to?)

J
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 01:34:01 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <33rlroF4339d9U1@individual.net>
Source record: 101540

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:uX0Cd.19150$Gj2.5294@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
>
> "K4ZDH"Just Call Me Bill"" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
> news:cXZBd.15602$RH4.655@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> > news:41d80cc1$1_1@127.0.0.1...
> >
> > : Sometime in January N9OGL well begin to broadcast his program in the
> > Amateur
> > : band. The time, start up date and frequency has not yet been
determined.
> > : However, it will probably be in the 15 or 10 meter band. I'll keep you
> > all
> > : posted.
> > :
> > : Todd N9OGL
> > :
> >
> > Please let me know when you start. I'd love to exchange QSLs with you.
> >
> > "Bill"
>
>
> How many callsigns did you "imp" before this one?
>
> (How many happen to know who it belongs to?)
>
> J

Hello, Jerry

Um ... not a big deal .. with a look up of over 9,000 - might we gently
assume it is someone associated with the FCC that also happens to have an
amateur license?

<VBG>

Jerry, please be advised (and I think you don't need the advisement) that
many folks on the rec.radio.amateur.policy group as well as rec.radio.cb
group are not exactly the sharpest knives in the drawer .... :))

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

74. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 04:34:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41D8CB53.F852D10F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101542

bb wrote:
>
> Dave, you'll kindly explain the refutation. And here's your chance to
> go on a dxpedition where your skills would be highly valued. But
> there's an element of personal danger involved, so nevermind.
> Sayonara, bb

Don't be coy, "bb". Tell us about how all the cell phones don't stop functioning. Tell us about amateur radio's role in an emergency. I want to hear once again about how all of the infrastructure is never destroyed.
The story I posted is in direct conflict with the song sung by you and your newsgroup mentor.

Dave K8MN

75. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 04:38:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41D8CC48.F3C5B9C6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101543

robert casey wrote:
>
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > Sometime in January N9OGL well begin to broadcast his program in the Amateur
> > band. The time, start up date and frequency has not yet been determined.
> > However, it will probably be in the 15 or 10 meter band. I'll keep you all
> > posted.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Sure....
>
> In any event "broadcasting" a program over radio is way less
> efficient compared to posting that program's material
> on a web page. A web site is always available, and can
> be accessed world wide. And it doesn't clog the radio
> spectrum that was allocated for 2 way comms.

If Todd does crank up his "broadcast", he's set himself up by calling it
a "broadcast".

It appears that Todd has stepped in the bucket.

Dave K8MN

76. N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 05:45:04 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41d80cc1$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101525

Sometime in January N9OGL well begin to broadcast his program in the Amateur band. The time, start up date and frequency has not yet been determined. However, it will probably be in the 15 or 10 meter band. I'll keep you all posted.

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

77. Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 06:21:42 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.61.0501030618480.117@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 101544

On Thu, 30 Dec 2004, Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote
> > WRONG: Volunteer (charitable) work only gets 14 cents per mile.
>
> Which, assuming you're in a 28% tax bracket, only works out to a tax savings of
> $.04 per mile. You better have a damned economical car or you're losing money
> on that deal!

If you're in the 28% bracket, that means that your income is over $70k (for a single person, more for married) and that further means that you're not a "cheap" enough person to be volunteering in this hobby! :-)

78. Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 06:23:26 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.61.0501030622150.117@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 101545

On Thu, 29 Dec 2004, K4YZ wrote:
> D. Stussy wrote:
> > On Wed, 29 Dec 2004, bb wrote:
> > > What about "volunteer" doesn't the FCC understand?
> > >
> > > And if they're worried about their gas money, I think they can
> claim 31
> > > cents per mile on their federal income tax returns for travel
> incurred
> > > while volunteering.
> >
> > WRONG: Volunteer (charitable) work only gets 14 cents per mile.
> >
> > You couldn't even get that correct.
>
> Direct jump to "Snide Mode".
>
> Guess you missed the part where he said "...I think"...indicating
> that even he wasn't sure and was acknowledging that in advance.

Maybe so, but he wasn't even close. Had he said $0.12/mile, which was an OLD rate for this, I wouldn't have been as snide.

79. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 08:44:54 UTC
From: radio-operator@telus.net
Message-ID: <1104741894.345296.313480@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101546

Dave,

Do not bother replying to this clown. He is trolling. I kinda feel
sorry for him anyway, he probably has no hobbies, no culture and likely
no life to speak of.

Lloyd

80. website hosting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 13:43:57 UTC
From: forall2see_2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <0501031343573765@news.usenetmonster.com>
Source record: 101723

here is the place that I host my websites with .
If your still looking for a good host check out
http://frontpage-web-hosting.org

81. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 17:48:44 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41d8b11e$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101541

Hello, "Bill"

I don't know where your located but the program will probably be during the evening hours around 9.00 to 9.30 PM CST. We will have both National and International Amateur Radio Information as well as local Amateur Information like nets ect, ect.

Todd N9OGL

"K4ZDH"Just Call Me Bill"" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message news:cXZBd.15602$RH4.655@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41d80cc1$1_1@127.0.0.1...
>
> : Sometime in January N9OGL well begin to broadcast his program in the
> Amateur
> : band. The time, start up date and frequency has not yet been determined.
> : However, it will probably be in the 15 or 10 meter band. I'll keep you
all
> : posted.
> :
> : Todd N9OGL
> :
>
> Please let me know when you start. I'd love to exchange QSLs with you.
>
> "Bill"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

82. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 18:31:26 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050103133126.06144.00000337@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 101548

In article <rOydnTe-CLcygUncRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> Len, for some reason you are double posting. Though you might want to
>know...

I'm not responsible for that. AOL is responsible since they had
messed up the bits on my account. AOL was notified and they
cleared up things but apparently resent old posts waiting to go.

I just send them. If you no like them, hit the ENTER key and go
to the next message.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

83. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 18:31:26 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050103133126.06144.00000336@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 101549

In article <cr0657fcs1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> But, in 2004, U.S. radio amateurs MUST still pass a morse test
>> to "qualify" for operating an amateur radio transmitter on HF. No
>> other radio service (other than certain Maritime radio services)
>> require morsemanship testing.
>
>Since you opened the door, let's do some further comparisons of the ARS to
>other services:
>
>* We purposely operate using as little power as possible (QRP), they don't

Heh heh heh. Crock of something. All one has to do is listen to the HF bands during contests and observe the S-Meter readings.

Tsk. The military has built-in "QRP" (equivalent) controls to HF through UHF transceivers and has done so since at least 1989.

>* We have antenna measuring contests with home-built antennas, they don't

Most other radio services use already-measured antennas with professional installations plus more measurements after installations. No "contests" needed. :-)

>* We conduct emergency comms when other services are down, they're down

Another crock. Tsk. You should see some of the urban emergency services' communications facilities, their training plans, listen to their on-air exercises and drills.

Better yet, live through a real, live emergency and see how the entire network can operate with "work-arounds." Case in point: The 1994 Northridge Earthquake in Los Angeles where all the public safety and utility companies' were "netted" together to keep things going. The only thing "down" was buildings, poles, etc., but the emergency power was there and working...even though the primary AC power to 10 million was cut off for hours.

>* We don't have to operate on pre-assigned frequencies, they do

Tsk. Ham repeaters "operate on pre-assigned frequencies." :-)

>* We have on-the-air contests (lots of them), they can't

"They can't?!?" Why should "they?"

>* We can vary our power from 0 to 2KW, they can't

Please, make a QSO with 0 KW RF output. I dare ya. :-)

>* We exchange post cards after a QSO, they don't

Wow! [a big Ben Stein "wow..."]

Post cards from the edge? :-)

I know of no non-amateur radio licensee who has a "QSL Buro."

>* We're frequency-agile with a VFO, they aren't

Not all of you. A few of you "own" a frequency. :-)

>* We have swapmeets ("ham fests"), they don't

Non-ham licensees have NO NEED of "ham fests." :-)

>* We can build our own equipment, they can't

Untrue, even in broadcasting service. Get details on studio
electronics in broadcasting sometime.

The major reason that there's so little "homebuilding" with other
(non-ham) radio services is CO$T. Cheaper to buy ready-made
than to homebrew.

>* We operate for the fun of it, they don't

First thing you've written that is close to the truth...

>* We have radio club meetings, they don't

WRONG. The very first radio club is the Radio Club of America,
incorporated 1909 (five years before the ARRL and before every
other local/national radio club here). RCA is still alive and meeting
but they've gone away from amateurism. They have a website with
lots of informative, historical data there.

>* We can ragchew for hours, they can't

You don't listen to "Talk Radio" do you? :-)

Tsk. Almost every radio service (other than broadcasting) has a
form of "ragchewing," including the military.

>* We can operate at will, they can't

As long as you don't operate ON Will, it's okay...unless you are
an MD. You can operate WITH a Will if you are an attorney. :-)

>* We go on DXpeditions, they don't

Cook and Magellan had amateur radio licenses? Columbus?
Vasco de Gama?

Hams "discover" the undiscovered country? I don't think so.

>* We're licensed, you're not

WRONG! I have several licenses. :-)

I just don't have an amateur radio license.

I could show you my poetic license ability but then I'd have to
bill you for services. :-)

>No 73 for you, Jeff KH6O

Tsk. No "best regards?" Not even an "88?" :-)

Lecture on those numbers, sweetums. Close your classroom door
on the way out. Bye....

LenAnderson@ieee.org

84. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 18:31:27 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050103133127.06144.00000338@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 101600

In article <XNidneo8zYzdhkncRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>
>Misquote, Len. Jim wrote "reporting". In that he is correct. Since so
>many (most?) people have a cell phone, it stands to reason that the
>initial report is more likely to be made by a person using a cell phone.

Here's a "plain simple fact:" I replied to J.P.Miccolis' message
and quoted his EXACT WORDS.

Ain't no "misquote."

Now you go read my reply and, if you have arguments, you can
go off and argue with the U.S. Census Bureau, NASA, the FCC,
and, for emotional finger-pointing, the families of the astronauts
killed in the shuttle burn-up. Whoever.

Just don't give us this bullsnit about "misquoting."

>> I don't think so. That's a fantasy brag far above the membership
>> agitprop of the ARRL.
>
> 'Cept it was a misquote.

Except it was NOT a "misquote."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

85. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 18:31:28 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050103133128.06144.00000339@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 101547

In article <20041230083025.05880.00000292@mb-m19.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <u4Sdna0-N_kkXE_cRVn-uA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>> In article <BL-dnb_9TdtWf0zcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>>http://www.arrl.org/?news_list_off=15
>>>>
>>>>KA4NMA was in an accident recently and used his handheld on two meters
>>>>to call for help.
>>>>
>>>> And I thought that there was no use for the things since we all have
>>>>cell phones now.
>>>
>>> Who said that?
>>
>>Certainly Lenover21 and William have said words to that effect.
>
>If they did say such things, they're just plain wrong. Not that either one
>will ever admit to it ;-)

Tsk. The "massager" (of raw license data) is ALWAYS correct and
ALWAYS the judge of what is "right" and what is "wrong." :-)

So...there's a dispute with the U.S. Census Bureau on the number
of cell phone subscribers in the USA? [about 100 million]

Tsk. Naturally our regular is more correct than the lawful, official
source of population data in the USA. All others disagreeing with
him are "just plain wrong."

>And that's a plain, simple fact. Doesn't mean ham radio plays no role at all,
>just that in many places and situations, a cell phone will make a 911 call
>that used to be made by a ham with a handheld.

So...a "ham with a handheld" was the previous source of "911 calls?"

That's strange because the "911" emergency number is intended to
be used solely with the telephone infrastructure. There's no (and
never was) any provision in the U.S. amateur radio regulations to
tie "911" (or even an equivalent) into any Public Safety

communications.

Those who are able to contact "911" through amateur radio repeaters
can do so solely because the repeater controller has added such
features to the repeater. Not all repeaters are so equipped every
place in the country.

>Agreed! But a lot of that isn't about the mountains - it's about the customer
>density and how fast they are building up the cell networks.

Four years ago my wife and I drove back to the midwest. We had
not done so (together or separately) since more than ten years
past. The number of quite obvious Cell Sites seen just from the
highway were astounding in comparison to the past decade.

Here's a "plain simple fact:" The U.S. Census Bureau reported that
the number of cellular telephone subscribers in the USA amounted
to one in three citizens two years ago. At approximately 300 million
population, that is 100 million subscribers. [at least one cell phone
for each subscriber... :-)]

Denial that the cellular telephone system is BIG doesn't say much
for your credibility. It is FAR bigger than the number of U.S. hams
with handheld transceivers.

>Remember when the shuttle burned up on reentry, and volunteers went out in
>search of shuttle pieces? Turned out that ham radio was better suited to the
>search parties' comm needs than cell phones were.

Tsk, tsk. Sinning by omission.

Here's a plain, simple fact: The shuttle's re-entry debris "footprint"
was nearly a thousand miles long, mostly over the sunbelt area of
the USA.

The MAJORITY of debris recovery groups were or were under the
guidance of government personnel. [as it should be considering
the STS is a government project]

The ARRL (who regularly slants "news" highly in favor of amateurs)
did not point out that a FEW areas used radio amateurs for help
in locating shuttle debris. [go argue with NASA if there is
personal disagreement with that statement]

THOUSANDS of non-radio-amateur citizens helped in searching
for shuttle re-entry debris. Quite a few more than hams. You do
a disservice to those citizens by not acknowledging their voluntary
contributions of time and effort.

Yes, SOME radio amateurs did help in the shuttle debris recovery
effort. Agreed. However, to say that "amateur radio was better
suited" to that is a dumb thing to say considering the higher number
of already-available, already-proven capability of government and
public safety agency handheld transceivers used every day.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

86. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 18:31:29 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050103133129.06144.00000340@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 101601

In article <33rcgoF43ephcU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
<james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>I've had some interesting conversations off group with a number of folks
>that get involved with flames. For the most part, they simply deal back
>what they were dealt. I think it might be better if we found common ground,
>rather than point out differences.

Heh heh...the "common ground" is usually just capitulation to those
which could use the Latin phrase "Primus Inter Pares" as their motto.
["First Among Equals"] :-)

As to license numbers, the regular poster of those uses massaged
data as if the massaging, whether by hisself or others, is somehow
"truth in numbers." Not quite. The raw data is available from the
FCC...if anyone has high-speed interconnection to the 'net to get
their massive files. Several sites provide such raw data, such as
www.hamdata.com. Those numbers don't agree with what the
regular poster posts. Raw data numbers are usually higher than
the massaged numbers. [for self-agitprop purposes, it would be
logical to use the higher number rather than lower]

The rationalizations for using "massaged" data have been and no
doubt will continue to be great. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

87. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 19:19:33 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050103141933.06525.00000348@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 101606

In article <33rcgoF43ephcU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
<james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>I've had some interesting conversations off group with a number of folks
>that get involved with flames. For the most part, they simply deal back
>what they were dealt. I think it might be better if we found common ground,
>rather than point out differences.

Heh heh...the "common ground" is usually just capitulation to those
which could use the Latin phrase "Primus Inter Pares" as their motto.
["First Among Equals"] :-)

As to license numbers, the regular poster of those uses massaged
data as if the massaging, whether by hisself or others, is somehow
"truth in numbers." Not quite. The raw data is available from the
FCC...if anyone has high-speed interconnection to the 'net to get
their massive files. Several sites provide such raw data, such as
www.hamdata.com. Those numbers don't agree with what the
regular poster posts. Raw data numbers are usually higher than
the massaged numbers. [for self-agitprop purposes, it would be
logical to use the higher number rather than lower]

The rationalizations for using "massaged" data have been and no
doubt will continue to be great. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

88. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 19:19:34 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050103141934.06525.00000349@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 101603

In article <20041230083025.05880.00000292@mb-m19.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <u4Sdna0-N_kkXE_cRVn-uA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>> In article <BL-dnb_9TdtWf0zcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>>http://www.arrl.org/?news_list_off=15
>>>>
>>>>KA4NMA was in an accident recently and used his handheld on two meters
>>>>to call for help.
>>>>
>>>> And I thought that there was no use for the things since we all have
>>>>cell phones now.
>>>
>>> Who said that?
>>
>>Certainly Lenover21 and William have said words to that effect.
>
>If they did say such things, they're just plain wrong. Not that either one
>will ever admit to it ;-)

Tsk. The "massager" (of raw license data) is ALWAYS correct and
ALWAYS the judge of what is "right" and what is "wrong." :-)

So...there's a dispute with the U.S. Census Bureau on the number
of cell phone subscribers in the USA? [about 100 million]

Tsk. Naturally our regular is more correct than the lawful, official
source of population data in the USA. All others disagreeing with
him are "just plain wrong."

>And that's a plain, simple fact. Doesn't mean ham radio plays no role at all,
>just that in many places and situations, a cell phone will make a 911 call
>that used to be made by a ham with a handheld.

So...a "ham with a handheld" was the previous source of "911 calls?"

That's strange because the "911" emergency number is intended to
be used solely with the telephone infrastructure. There's no (and
never was) any provision in the U.S. amateur radio regulations to
tie "911" (or even an equivalent) into any Public Safety

communications.

Those who are able to contact "911" through amateur radio repeaters
can do so solely because the repeater controller has added such
features to the repeater. Not all repeaters are so equipped every
place in the country.

>Agreed! But a lot of that isn't about the mountains - it's about the customer
>density and how fast they are building up the cell networks.

Four years ago my wife and I drove back to the midwest. We had
not done so (together or separately) since more than ten years
past. The number of quite obvious Cell Sites seen just from the
highway were astounding in comparison to the past decade.

Here's a "plain simple fact:" The U.S. Census Bureau reported that
the number of cellular telephone subscribers in the USA amounted
to one in three citizens two years ago. At approximately 300 million
population, that is 100 million subscribers. [at least one cell phone
for each subscriber... :-)]

Denial that the cellular telephone system is BIG doesn't say much
for your credibility. It is FAR bigger than the number of U.S. hams
with handheld transceivers.

>Remember when the shuttle burned up on reentry, and volunteers went out in
>search of shuttle pieces? Turned out that ham radio was better suited to the
>search parties' comm needs than cell phones were.

Tsk, tsk. Sinning by omission.

Here's a plain, simple fact: The shuttle's re-entry debris "footprint"
was nearly a thousand miles long, mostly over the sunbelt area of
the USA.

The MAJORITY of debris recovery groups were or were under the
guidance of government personnel. [as it should be considering
the STS is a government project]

The ARRL (who regularly slants "news" highly in favor of amateurs)
did not point out that a FEW areas used radio amateurs for help
in locating shuttle debris. [go argue with NASA if there is
personal disagreement with that statement]

THOUSANDS of non-radio-amateur citizens helped in searching
for shuttle re-entry debris. Quite a few more than hams. You do
a disservice to those citizens by not acknowledging their voluntary
contributions of time and effort.

Yes, SOME radio amateurs did help in the shuttle debris recovery
effort. Agreed. However, to say that "amateur radio was better
suited" to that is a dumb thing to say considering the higher number
of already-available, already-proven capability of government and
public safety agency handheld transceivers used every day.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

89. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 19:19:34 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050103141934.06525.00000350@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 101604

In article <XNidneo8zYzdhkncRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>
>Misquote, Len. Jim wrote "reporting". In that he is correct. Since so
>many (most?) people have a cell phone, it stands to reason that the
>initial report is more likely to be made by a person using a cell phone.

Here's a "plain simple fact:" I replied to J.P.Miccolis' message
and quoted his EXACT WORDS.

Ain't no "misquote."

Now you go read my reply and, if you have arguments, you can
go off and argue with the U.S. Census Bureau, NASA, the FCC,
and, for emotional finger-pointing, the families of the astronauts
killed in the shuttle burn-up. Whoever.

Just don't give us this bullsnit about "misquoting."

>> I don't think so. That's a fantasy brag far above the membership
>> agitprop of the ARRL.
>
> 'Cept it was a misquote.

Except it was NOT a "misquote."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

90. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 19:19:35 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050103141935.06525.00000351@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 101602

In article <rOydnTe-CLcygUncRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> Len, for some reason you are double posting. Though you might want to
>know...

I'm not responsible for that. AOL is responsible since they had
messed up the bits on my account. AOL was notified and they
cleared up things but apparently resent old posts waiting to go.

I just send them. If you no like them, hit the ENTER key and go
to the next message.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

91. Re: No anticipated change in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 19:19:36 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050103141936.06525.00000352@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 101605

In article <cr0657fcs1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> But, in 2004, U.S. radio amateurs MUST still pass a morse test
>> to "qualify" for operating an amateur radio transmitter on HF. No
>> other radio service (other than certain Maritime radio services)
>> require morsemanship testing.
>
>Since you opened the door, let's do some further comparisons of the ARS to
>other services:
>
>* We purposely operate using as little power as possible (QRP), they don't

Heh heh heh. Crock of something. All one has to do is listen to the HF bands during contests and observe the S-Meter readings.

Tsk. The military has built-in "QRP" (equivalent) controls to HF through UHF transceivers and has done so since at least 1989.

>* We have antenna measuring contests with home-built antennas, they don't

Most other radio services use already-measured antennas with professional installations plus more measurements after installations. No "contests" needed. :-)

>* We conduct emergency comms when other services are down, they're down

Another crock. Tsk. You should see some of the urban emergency services' communications facilities, their training plans, listen to their on-air exercises and drills.

Better yet, live through a real, live emergency and see how the entire network can operate with "work-arounds." Case in point: The 1994 Northridge Earthquake in Los Angeles where all the public safety and utility companies' were "netted" together to keep things going. The only thing "down" was buildings, poles, etc., but the emergency power was there and working...even though the primary AC power to 10 million was cut off for hours.

>* We don't have to operate on pre-assigned frequencies, they do

Tsk. Ham repeaters "operate on pre-assigned frequencies." :-)

Radio amateurs are obliged BY LAW to stay WITHIN their allocated bands. See Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R.

>* We have on-the-air contests (lots of them), they can't

"They can't?!?" Why should "they?"

Broadcasters have "sweeps" periods...which have more at
stake than winning fancy certificates.

>* We can vary our power from 0 to 2KW, they can't

Please, make a QSO with 0 KW RF output. I dare ya. :-)

[Yes, I've heard that "CW gets through when nothing else will"
but ham transmitters need SOME kind of electric power... :-)]

>* We exchange post cards after a QSO, they don't

Wow! [a big Ben Stein "wow..."]

Post cards from the edge? :-)

I know of no non-amateur radio organization who has a "QSL Buro."

>* We're frequency-agile with a VFO, they aren't

Not all of you. A few of you "own" a frequency. :-)

International Civil Aviation regulations (also FAA) allow aircraft to
change any communications frequency they need over the
entire civil aviation band.

Several HF-using radio services are allowed to change frequencies
as needed to continue communications. See ALE (Automatic
Link Establishment) as done by government agencies...or the
maritime radio services on HF or on VHF in harbor and inland
waterways. [just a few examples]

>* We have swapmeets ("ham fests"), they don't

Non-ham licensees have NO NEED of "ham fests." :-)

>* We can build our own equipment, they can't

Untrue, even in broadcasting service. Get details on studio
electronics in broadcasting sometime.

The major reason that there's so little "homebuilding" with other
(non-ham) radio services is CO$T. Cheaper to buy ready-made
than to homebrew.

>* We operate for the fun of it, they don't

First thing you've written that is close to the truth...

>* We have radio club meetings, they don't

WRONG. The very first radio club is the Radio Club of America,
incorporated 1909 (five years before the ARRL and before every
other local/national radio club here). RCA is still alive and meeting

but they've gone away from amateurism. They have a website with lots of informative, historical data there.

>* We can ragchew for hours, they can't

You don't listen to "Talk Radio" do you? :-)

Tsk. Almost every radio service (other than broadcasting) has a form of "ragchewing," including the military.

>* We can operate at will, they can't

As long as you don't operate ON Will, it's okay...unless you are an MD. You can operate WITH a Will if you are an attorney. :-)

>* We go on DXpeditions, they don't

Cook and Magellan had amateur radio licenses? Columbus? Vasco de Gama?

Hams "discover" the undiscovered country? I don't think so.

>* We're licensed, you're not

WRONG! I have several licenses. :-)

I just don't have an amateur radio license.

I could show you my poetic license ability but then I'd have to bill you for services. :-)

>No 73 for you, Jeff KH6O

Tsk. No "best regards?" Not even an "88?" :-)

Lecture on those numbers, sweetums. Close your classroom door on the way out. Bye....

LenAnderson@ieee.org

92. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 19:53:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41D9A2C1.9040605@psu.edu>
Source record: 101607

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <rOydnTe-CLcygUncRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>> Len, for some reason you are double posting. Though you might want to
>>know...
>
>
> I'm not responsible for that. AOL is responsible since they had
> messed up the bits on my account. AOL was notified and they
> cleared up things but apparently resent old posts waiting to go.

Why would they resent your old posts? 8^)

> I just send them. If you no like them, hit the ENTER key and go
> to the next message.

So what you're saying is that you *don't* want to know, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA

93. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 20:21:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41D9A958.4000909@psu.edu>
Source record: 101608

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <XNidneo8zYzdhkncRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>> Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>>
>>Misquote, Len. Jim wrote "reporting". In that he is correct. Since so
>>many (most?) people have a cell phone, it stands to reason that the
>>initial report is more likely to be made by a person using a cell phone.
>
>
> Here's a "plain simple fact:" I replied to J.P.Miccolis' message
> and quoted his EXACT WORDS.

Do you mean when Jim posted:

Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
911-type
Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.

and you wrote:

Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"

> Ain't no "misquote."

Jim wrote:

Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
911-type
Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.

and you wrote:

Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"

Where exactly did Jim say that Hams used to do the 911 communications?

> Now you go read my reply and, if you have arguments, you can
> go off and argue with the U.S. Census Bureau, NASA, the FCC,
> and, for emotional finger-pointing, the families of the astronauts
> killed in the shuttle burn-up. Whoever.

I read your reply. I don't understand why you think that I should
bother all those people when Jim wrote:

Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
Jim >911-type reporting that we hams used to do.

and you wrote:

Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"

> Just don't give us this bullsnit about "misquoting."

Is it bullsnit when Jim wrote:

>
>>> I don't think so. That's a fantasy brag far above the membership
>>> agitprop of the ARRL.

A brag that was never written.

>> 'Cept it was a misquote.
>
>
> Except it was NOT a "misquote."

It would appear to me, that it is very much a misquote.

Here however is an exact quote that is quite applicable:

> I just send them. If you no like them, hit the ENTER key and go
> to the next message.

If you don't like my messages, I suggest you do the same.

- Mike KB3EIA -

94. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-03 21:23:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41D9B7D8.5000307@psu.edu>
Source record: 101609

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <XNidneo8zYzdhkncRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>> Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>>
>>Misquote, Len. Jim wrote "reporting". In that he is correct. Since so
>>many (most?) people have a cell phone, it stands to reason that the
>>initial report is more likely to be made by a person using a cell phone.
>
>
> Here's a "plain simple fact:" I replied to J.P.Miccolis' message
> and quoted his EXACT WORDS.

No, no you didn't. You made a mistake. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

95. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 01:05:21 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050103200521.06525.00000353@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 101610

In article <cr0657fcs1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> But, in 2004, U.S. radio amateurs MUST still pass a morse test
>> to "qualify" for operating an amateur radio transmitter on HF. No
>> other radio service (other than certain Maritime radio services)
>> require morsemanship testing.
>
>Since you opened the door, let's do some further comparisons of the ARS to
>other services:

HEY! You left the door open again! Can't you get it right?

NONE of your itmes in this artificial "comparison" can justify
the continuation of the morse code test for U.S. amateur radio
licenses.

It seems you are bereft from any actual exposure to anything
but amateur radio and past USCG experience.

>* We purposely operate using as little power as possible (QRP), they don't

That's a SUPPOSITION which isn't borne out by actual practice.

A fairly standard RF power output for HF hams is about 100
Watts (CW), courtesy of ready-manufactured (offshore) HF
transceivers. VHF-UHF handhelds run about 5 W RF out.

Power outputs vary, of course, but the average HF rig output
is in the neighborhood of 100 Watts.

It's fair to say that some contesters run "a bit more" than two
KiloWatts. That's an under-the-table subject, not ever to be
mentioned in public because of the anal-retentive shouting and
hollering done at the evil, despicable CB crowd, all of whom
are said to be operating "illegally." It's supposed to be some
kind of "virtue" that all (hardly any exceptions) U.S. radio amateurs
are "law-abiding" God-fearing Republican-leaning true blue boy scout
types...at least all those who admit they are law-abiding, God-
fearing, Republican-leaning, true-blue Amurrican hams. :-)

Broadcasters can't run more than 50 KW into their antennas, by
regulations (ERP through antenna pattern shaping does not signify
power INTO any antenna). They are allowed that becasue their
signal may be heard by millions of listeners at the same time.

Private and commercial small boat owners will use less than 50 W
on VHF and, if they go into deep waters, tend towards the 20 W
PEP SSB transceivers on HF.

PLMRS vehicle transmitters seldom reach 50 W output on VHF
to UHF, are usually lower than 25 W. That includes public
safety radio services (that's police, fire, etc.), utility companies,
tramsportation (delivery trucks, big rigs), railroad radio (more
extensive in the continental USA than in Hawaii) and all the small
businesses using radio. The same is true for civil aviation on
VHF, and very few aviation HF transmitters reach 100 W.

Cellular telephone handsets seldom go above 4 W peak RF
output. There are a mere 100+ MILLION of those operating on
the bottom of L-Band (1 GHz, give or take). [there were at least
100 million cell phone subscribers two years ago according to the
U.S. Census Bureau and each subscriber would have 1 phone at
a very minimum] I could go into the direct satellite HTs but then
I'm going far above the acumen of illustrious amateur morsemen
with their teeny tuna tin two transistor transmitters using 2N2222s..

Personal communications radio service includes the Family Radio
Service transceivers, all of which operate below 5 Watts output
with digital modulation. Those cost less than $100 a pair and
require no license, therefore no test. I don't have any EIA figures
on those, but any graybeard Lecturer could turn that up, couldn't
they? :-)

While not strictly for communications, only telecommand, the
R/C radio service transmitters number in the hundreds of thousands,
are NOT licensed, are enjoyed by hundreds of thousands. Their
RF power outputs rarely exceed 1 Watt.

[CB, never requiring a test since 1958 to operate below 30 MHz, is
purposely left out to avoid causing emotional trauma to anal-
retentive, certificate-loving, "fully authorized by the federal
government" emergency minute-morsemen of the CW persuasion]

So...can YOU make communications worldwide ANY TIME YOU
DESIRE via ham bands. Not really. The ionosphere has yet to
be informed of the truly divine mission of the amateur radio hobbyist,
grandmaster-class radio "expert" who is superior to all professionals.
Not with a pair of 2N2222s connected to a battery.

>* We conduct emergency comms when other services are down, they're down

Tsk. The non-amateur emergency communications are SELDOM
"down." If they couldn't be UP when required, all those government
agencies wouldn't have them.

In January,1994 the Los Angeles area radio amateurs were NOT
there, johnny-on-the-spot after the Northridge Earthquake struck.
The government agencies, medical services, and utilities were
UP and on-the job, drilled and prepared for emergencies, especially

the loss of prinary electrical power to the entire area. Even the supposedly "useless" (to some rabid hamfanatics) telephone infrastructure was operating...with on-line "floating" battery power that they've had since the 1930s.

>* We don't have to operate on pre-assigned frequencies, they do

Oh, dear, that's a "plus?" You had best consult your USCG data on how many HF frequencies are available to USE by anyone in deep water. You ought to look in the cockpit of a general aviation light aircraft and note the number of non-pre-assigned frequencies a pilot can USE at their discretion. [Hint: They are invariably a pair of switch selectors, the left one in MHz increments, the right one in 50 KHz to smaller increments, depending on the model and age. Had you been on the mainland, you could look into an LAPD or NYPD vehicle and see a selector switch for up to six different frequencies...fire departments have similar multiple-frequency selectors.

If everything in radio involves playing with tuning, then I could understand this comparison's validity. As it is, all those NON-amateur radio USERS are NOT using those radios to play with, engage in "radiosport" contests, or generally go for a HOBBY activity.

>* We have on-the-air contests (lots of them), they can't

Currently, Los Angeles area broadcasters have 2 contests in progress. They've had as many as 5 going on at as many stations in the past. I think the California Lottery "Big Spin" program is still running (half-hour, maximum prize about $50 thou or so) once a week...on one of the independent TV stations. The program "Jeopardy" is still running although it isn't live (taped, about 4 to 5 "days" shot in a single day); a software engineer named Ken Jennings recently won $2.5 million in that long-running quiz show. Defiinitely a CONTEST! "Wheel of Fortune" follows that show on ABC-TV, a rather low-brow (for intellectuals) CONTEST involving large sums of money. :-)

>* We have swapmeets ("ham fests"), they don't

Tsk. Another UNTRUTH. Find out what goes on with "Antique Roadshow" sometime. :-) [not strictly 'broadcast' but the cable channel is relayed via microwave radio...:-)]

COMDEX in Las Vegas does have a swapmeet of sorts, involved in the latest consumer electronics products. WESCON, the electronics industry western electronics convention (alternating years between San Francisco and Anaheim locations) is as big as COMDEX and is involved in radio, but seldom with amateur radio.

>* We have radio club meetings, they don't

As one of the "they," I still manage at least one IEEE special seminar event of interest once every two months. The IEEE is kind of small at a mere 250,000 members worldwide and the Los Angeles chapter is only a few thousand. Some of those "meetings" involve voting in addition to presenting information. I'm not counting some of the other professional associations which have regular meetings...some are aligned to the IEEE and some are not...too many for my needs now.

>* We can ragchew for hours, they can't

Yes, you can discuss the whichness of the what, but few radio club meetings go beyond the usual fraternal-order gatherings mainly bossed by local self-styled fraternal movers and shakers who like being in control (at meetings they are SOMEBODY).

But, you've failed to argue a basic point (beyond the terrible need to test for morsemanship for an HF license) in that U.S. amateur radio was never, and isn't much more than a HOBBY radio activity. Amateur radio is NOT primarily any kind of emergency service (never was even if the regs said that the capability is there). It is NOT a broadcaster serving thousands of service area listeners (cannot be one legally, but that's another area of discussion).

You've failed to pick up on K4YZ's exhortations that amateur radio is NOT like any other radio service. By that logic, you have no validity in coming up with this sub-thread.

The ONLY other radio service comparing to amateur radio in the USA is the radio control radio service (see Part 95). Radio controllers don't fantasize they are advancing any state of the vehicle art or that they can "take over when all other vehicles fail." Radio controllers are just hobbyists enjoying what they do, for fun, for recreation. Model aircraft radio controllers have a national organization headquartered in Ohio and their membership totals reach 175,000 or slightly more than the membership of the ARRL. The Academy of Model Aeronautics also has a museum at the HQ plus a large flying field (comparable to the league's museum but includes newer exhibits and the W1AW station but bigger in size). AMA's membership magazine is "MAN," for Model Airplane News. AMA has done their legal lobbying, too, and got all those R/C channels on/around 72 MHz exclusively for radio control purposes. They've gotten more since 1979 than the ARRL achieved in new amateur radio frequency bands. :-)

You've got to change your image to the rest of the world, get some publicity beyond the rather incestuous ham-only audience. Running off with "We've got, you ain't" nyah-nyah-ism looks quite puerile, very childlike. Not a good attitude to present to the public by a "university lecturer," is it? :-)

Do not worry. No space aliens have been spotted. The code test is still there with all sorts of heroic morsemen ready to Save the World through radiotelegraphy when they invade. Hollywood might even make a movie about that!

Please SHUT the door now. Good lad. Here, have a cookie.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

96. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 01:20:45 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <gdmCd.38324$8e5.9529@fed1read07>
Source record: 101611

As a football star sed when asked about all the restrictions placed on the players

Well you get on with what you have to get on with and win football games

Same applies to all facets of life I suppose.

Just get on with the Morse code and win HF privileges

Doesn't make any difference whether the code is outdated or whatever -- it is the requirement

--
Caveat Lector

Someone wrote:>
> NONE of your itmes in this artificial "comparison" can justify
> the continuation of the morse code test for U.S. amateur radio
> licenses.
>

97. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 01:56:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050103205644.21488.00000486@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 101612

In article <41D9A958.4000909@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>Lenof21 wrote:
>> In article <XNidneo8zYzdhkncRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>> Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"

>>>Misquote, Len. Jim wrote "reporting". In that he is correct. Since so
>>>many (most?) people have a cell phone, it stands to reason that the
>>>initial report is more likely to be made by a person using a cell phone.

That's it, Mike. Of course there was a time, not so many years ago, when cell phones weren't very common, but hams with mobile rigs were.

>> Here's a "plain simple fact:" I replied to J.P.Miccolis' message
>> and quoted his EXACT WORDS.

Looks like a misquote on Len's part.

>Do you mean when Jim posted:

>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
>Jim >911-type
>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.

>and you wrote:
>
>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"

>> Ain't no "misquote."

Sure is. But I don't think Len will admit it, Mike.
>
>Jim wrote:
>
>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
>Jim >911-type
>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.
>
>and you wrote:
>
>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"

>Where exactly did Jim say that Hams used to do the 911 communications?

Not in any post I can recall.

>> Now you go read my reply and, if you have arguments, you can
>> go off and argue with the U.S. Census Bureau, NASA, the FCC,
>> and, for emotional finger-pointing, the families of the astronauts
>> killed in the shuttle burn-up. Whoever.

Looks like Len is telling you not to differ with *him*, Mike. Looks like he's trying to tell you to stop pointing out his misquote.

>I read your reply. I don't understand why you think that I should
>bother all those people when Jim wrote:
>
>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
>Jim >911-type reporting that we hams used to do.
>
>and you wrote:
>
>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>
>> Just don't give us this bullsnit about "misquoting."

Looks like a misquote by Len from here.

>Is it bullsnit when Jim wrote:

>>>> I don't think so. That's a fantasy brag far above the membership
>>>> agitprop of the ARRL.

> A brag that was never written.

I kinda missed ya on that one, Mike.

>>> 'Cept it was a misquote.

>> Except it was NOT a "misquote."

Of course it *was* a misquote by Len, but he won't admit it.

>It would appear to me, that it is very much a misquote.

>Here however is an exact quote that is quite applicable:

>> I just send them. If you no like them, hit the ENTER key and go
>> to the next message.

>If you don't like my messages, I suggest you do the same.

Sounds like good advice. Of course the author of that one won't take his own advice...

73 de Jim, N2EY

98. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 02:23:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104805413.143669.30250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101613

"""Don't be coy, "bb". Tell us about how all the cell phones don't stop
functioning."""

They don't? Ever???

"""Tell us about amateur radio's role in an emergency."""

They should do whatever they can with whatever they've got.

"""I want to hear once again about how all of the infrastructure is
never
destroyed."""

Sometimes it is, sometimes it isn't. Why do you say, "never?"

"""The story I posted is in direct conflict with the song sung by you
and
your newsgroup mentor."""

Dave K8MN

Sorry, David, but I'm not very musical. I did sing "RockyRaccoon" once
after ingesting some bad branch water. I feel much better now.

99. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 02:27:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104805657.039325.47210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101614

Interesting. Dave trolls, and bb is accused of trolling. Gotta love
the way things work on RRAP.

100. FLIP/FLOP (Was: Character counts)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 02:55:53 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <ZAnCd.16677$RH4.567@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101615

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:jNSdnQGeQYinMkjcRVn-og@adelphia.com...
: The pubs have found the way to clean up congress:
:
: http://tinyurl.com/5w3ct
:

WASHINGTON - House Republicans suddenly reversed course Monday, deciding to retain a tough standard for lawmaker discipline and reinstate a rule that would force Majority Leader Tom DeLay to step aside if indicted by a Texas grand jury.

M.A.N.
--
The Gods Must Be Friggin' CRAZY!

101. Re: FLIP/FLOP (Was: Character counts)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 03:15:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <S8WdnQbXyvSml0fcRVn-hw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101616

Mel A. Nomah wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:jNSdnQGeQYinMkjcRVn-og@adelphia.com...
> : The pubs have found the way to clean up congress:
> :
> : http://tinyurl.com/5w3ct
> :
>
> WASHINGTON - House Republicans suddenly reversed course Monday, deciding to
> retain a tough standard for lawmaker discipline and reinstate a rule that
> would force Majority Leader Tom DeLay to step aside if indicted by a Texas
> grand jury.

Excellent! That they reversed that indictment rule is a surprise, but
face it, they were getting a huge black eye over this

> M.A.N.
> --
> The Gods Must Be Friggin' CRAZY!

Yes, indeed!

- Mike KB3EIA -

102. Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 03:21:50 UTC
From: radio-operator@telus.net
Message-ID: <d318809e.0501031921.3d469992@posting.google.com>
Source record: 101617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

This picture has been floating around the net lately, it made me laugh. Based on what I have been reading the picture may be somewhat accurate......

http://www3.telus.net/~homac/morsecodecoffin.jpg

103. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 09:47:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050104044751.12009.00002542@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 101619

>Subject: Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn'
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 1/3/2005 7:56 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20050103205644.21488.00000486@mb-m11.aol.com>
>
>In article <41D9A958.4000909@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>
>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>> In article <XNidneo8zYzdhkncRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>>>> Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>
>>>>Misquote, Len. Jim wrote "reporting". In that he is correct. Since so
>>>>many (most?) people have a cell phone, it stands to reason that the
>>>>initial report is more likely to be made by a person using a cell phone.
>
>That's it, Mike. Of course there was a time, not so many years ago, when cell
>phones weren't very common, but hams with mobile rigs were.
>
>>> Here's a "plain simple fact:" I replied to J.P.Miccolis' message
>>> and quoted his EXACT WORDS.
>
>Looks like a misquote on Len's part.

Not a misquote, Jim...A deliberate attempt to >>misdirect<<. You'd expect
LESS from Lennie...???

Lennie was trying to make it appears as though you claimed Amateurs were
the one's doing 9-1-1 communications...NOT your intent of reporting emergency
situations >>TO<< 9-1-1.

>>Where exactly did Jim say that Hams used to do the 911 communications?
>
>Not in any post I can recall.

Dinn't happen. Yet another manifestation of Lennie's altered reality.

Stupid. Transparent. Unfortuntely he think's it's a brick wall no one
can see through.

Silly Lennie.

73

Steve, K4YZ

104. Re: No anticipated change in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 10:12:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1104833520.267896.9440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101620

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <cr0657fcs1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
(Jeffrey Herman)
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> But, in 2004, U.S. radio amateurs MUST still pass a morse test
> >> to "qualify" for operating an amateur radio transmitter on HF.
No
> >> other radio service (other than certain Maritime radio services)
> >> require morsemanship testing.
> >
> >Since you opened the door, let's do some further comparisons of the
ARS to
> >other services:
> >
> >* We purposely operate using as little power as possible (QRP), they
don't
>
> Heh heh heh. Crock of something. All one has to do is listen
> to the HF bands during contests and observe the S-Meter readings.

Please, Lennie..tell us all about your SWLing activites on ANY
band. Got bored listening to LAX ATIS or AWOS...???

> Tsk. The military has built-in "QRP" (equivalent) controls to HF
> through UHF transceivers and has done so since at least 1989.

Not all of them, Lennie.

> >* We have antenna measuring contests with home-built antennas, they
don't
>
> Most other radio services use already-measured antennas with
> professional installations plus more measurements after
> installations. No "contests" needed.

"Other radio services" are not interested in improving antenna
efficiency. Indeed, many antenna systems are installed with the intent
to attenuate radiation in certain patterns!

> >* We conduct emergency comms when other services are down, they're
down
>
> Another crock. Tsk. You should see some of the urban

> emergency services' communications facilities, their training
> plans, listen to their on-air exercises and drills.

And Leonard H. Anderson once again makes a really assinine comment
in the face of contemporary, independently reported fact to the
contrary.

> Better yet, live through a real, live emergency and see how the
> entire network can operate with "work-arounds." Case in point:
> The 1994 Northridge Earthquake in Los Angeles where all the
> public safety and utility companies' were "netted" together to
> keep things going. The only thing "down" was buildings, poles,
> etc., but the emergency power was there and working...even
> though the primary AC power to 10 million was cut off for hours.

Here we have Lennie once again retelling his tale of single-handed
stay-at-home heroism of an event that happend over a decade ago.

Of course it's the ONLY example he has to use.

And the really ironic part is that Lennie's the one who's always
lambasitng us (Amateurs) for "living in the past", etc.

At least it's a bit more "modern" than his tales of Korean War era
heroism in a rear area Army radio relay station is the mid fifties.

> >* We don't have to operate on pre-assigned frequencies, they do
>
> Tsk. Ham repeaters "operate on pre-assigned frequencies."

They are not "assigned", Lennie.

> Radio amateurs are obliged BY LAW to stay WITHIN their
> allocated bands. See Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R.

Uh huh. Bands YOU are not authorized to use, I might add!

> >* We have on-the-air contests (lots of them), they can't
>
> "They can't?!?" Why should "they?"
>
> Broadcasters have "sweeps" periods...which have more at
> stake than winning fancy certificates.
>
> >* We can vary our power from 0 to 2KW, they can't
>
> Please, make a QSO with 0 KW RF output. I dare ya.

Almost close to a funny, Lennie!

> [Yes, I've heard that "CW gets through when nothing else will"
> but ham transmitters need SOME kind of electric power...]
>
> >* We exchange post cards after a QSO, they don't

>
> Wow! [a big Ben Stein "wow..."]
>
> Post cards from the edge? :-)
>
> I know of no non-amateur radio organization who has a "QSL Buro."
>
> >* We're frequency-agile with a VFO, they aren't
>
> Not all of you. A few of you "own" a frequency.

Uhhhhhh....None of us "own" a frequency.

Not even GROL licensed ex-technicians with no station license.

> International Civil Aviation regulations (also FAA) allow aircraft
to
> change any communications frequency they need over the
> entire civil aviation band.

Those ARE assigned frequencies. And they may NOT change to ANY
frequency over the ENTIRE civil aviation band, Lennie.

> Several HF-using radio services are allowed to change frequencies
> as needed to continue communications. See ALE (Automatic
> Link Establishment) as done by government agencies...or the
> maritime radio services on HF or on VHF in harbor and inland
> waterways. [just a few examples]

Again...Discreet, assigned frequencies by international
convention.

> >* We have swapmeets ("ham fests"), they don't
>
> Non-ham licensees have NO NEED of "ham fests."
>
> >* We can build our own equipment, they can't
>
> Untrue, even in broadcasting service. Get details on studio
> electronics in broadcasting sometime.

Sorry...broadcast facilities MUST use FCC type accepted gear,
Lennie.

A REAL "radio professional" would know that.

> The major reason that there's so little "homebuilding" with other
> (non-ham) radio services is CO$T. Cheaper to buy ready-made
> than to homebrew.

"Cheaper" than the FCC fine which would accompany the use of
non-type accepted equipment.

> >* We operate for the fun of it, they don't
>
> First thing you've written that is close to the truth...
>
> >* We have radio club meetings, they don't
>
> WRONG. The very first radio club is the Radio Club of America,
> incorporated 1909 (five years before the ARRL and before every
> other local/national radio club here). RCA is still alive and
meeting
> but they've gone away from amateurism. They have a website with
> lots of informative, historical data there.

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....1909...Pre-dates the ARRL by 6 years...How
does this fit in to your rants about US relying on ARRL, Lennie...???

> >* We can ragchew for hours, they can't
>
> You don't listen to "Talk Radio" do you?

Participants on "talk radio" do it via telephone, not two way
radio.

> Tsk. Almost every radio service (other than broadcasting) has a
> form of "ragchewing," including the military.
>
> >* We can operate at will, they can't
>
> As long as you don't operate ON Will, it's okay...unless you are
> an MD. You can operate WITH a Will if you are an attorney. :-)

It's amazing what you will append with a smiley, Lennie. You're
really impressed with yourself, aren't you...???

> >* We go on DXpeditions, they don't
>
> Cook and Magellan had amateur radio licenses? Columbus?
> Vasco de Gama?

> Hams "discover" the undiscovered country? I don't think so.

Sure they have. And before Leonard H. Anderson was old enough to
stick his banana-peeler into his diaper and wonder why the pudding
tasted so bad.

> >* We're licensed, you're not
>
> WRONG! I have several licenses.
>
> I just don't have an amateur radio license.

That's the first thing YOU have gotten right, Lennie.
>
> I could show you my poetic license ability but then I'd have to

> bill you for services.

If it's over $1.25, you're ripping folks off.

> >No 73 for you, Jeff KH6O
>
> Tsk. No "best regards?" Not even an "88?" :-)
>
> Lecture on those numbers, sweetums. Close your classroom door
> on the way out. Bye....

Be still my heart..could Lennie TRULY be leaving...???
Naaaaaah....No one is THAT lucky!

Steve, K4YZ

105. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 15:43:03 UTC
From: Bob Schreibmaier <k3ph@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <J9Wdnav0J6YVJEfcUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 101621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Funny, yes. Accurate, no.

In article <d318809e.0501031921.3d469992@posting.google.com>,
radio-operator@telus.net says...
>
>
>This picture has been floating around the net lately, it made me
>laugh. Based on what I have been reading the picture may be somewhat
>accurate......
>
>http://www3.telus.net/~homac/morsecodecoffin.jpg

--
+---+
| Bob Schreibmaier K3PH | E-mail: k3ph@ptd.net |
| Kresgeville, PA 18333 | http://www.dxis.org |
+---+

106. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 15:49:13 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41DABAF9.8040001@psu.edu>
Source record: 101622

N2EY wrote:
> In article <41D9A958.4000909@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <XNidneo8zYzdhkncRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>
>>>>> Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>>>>
>>
>>>>Misquote, Len. Jim wrote "reporting". In that he is correct. Since so
>>>>many (most?) people have a cell phone, it stands to reason that the
>>>>initial report is more likely to be made by a person using a cell phone.
>>>
>>
> That's it, Mike. Of course there was a time, not so many years ago, when cell
> phones weren't very common, but hams with mobile rigs were.

Sure enough. That a person might make a 911 call using a cell phone is
not the issue. That having cell phones make 911 calls is perhaps the
best use of the things. but it still isn't the issue.

>>> Here's a "plain simple fact:" I replied to J.P.Miccolis' message
>>> and quoted his EXACT WORDS.
>>
>>
> Looks like a misquote on Len's part.
>
>
>>Do you mean when Jim posted:
>
>
>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
>>Jim >911-type
>>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.
>
>
>>and you wrote:
>>
>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>

>
>>> Ain't no "misquote."
>>
>
> Sure is. But I don't think Len will admit it, Mike.

You didn't write "communications anywhere in there. There is also a
world of difference between "911 type reporting" (which is a quote) and
"do the 911 communications", which is what you were quoted as saying.

A person using a cell phone to report a 911 type situation is not
"doing the 911 communications". They are reporting a situation. Same as
if you called using an HT or a phone booth.

>>Jim wrote:
>>
>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
>>Jim >911-type
>>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.
>>
>>and you wrote:
>>
>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>
>
>>Where exactly did Jim say that Hams used to do the 911 communications?
>
>
> Not in any post I can recall.

'Cuz you didn't.

>
>>> Now you go read my reply and, if you have arguments, you can
>>> go off and argue with the U.S. Census Bureau, NASA, the FCC,
>>> and, for emotional finger-pointing, the families of the astronauts
>>> killed in the shuttle burn-up. Whoever.
>>
>
> Looks like Len is telling you not to differ with *him*, Mike. Looks like he's
> trying to tell you to stop pointing out his misquote.

I still want to know why those people would want to talk to me about a
misquote. 8^)

And I apologize in advance to anyone who would like me to shut up! 8^)

>>I read your reply. I don't understand why you think that I should
>>bother all those people when Jim wrote:
>>
>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
>>Jim >911-type reporting that we hams used to do.
>>

>>and you wrote:
>>
>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>>
>>
>>> Just don't give us this bullsnit about "misquoting."
>>
>
> Looks like a misquote by Len from here.
>
>
>>Is it bullsnit when Jim wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>> I don't think so. That's a fantasy brag far above the membership
>>>>> agitprop of the ARRL.
>>>>
>
>> A brag that was never written.
>
>
> I kinda missed ya on that one, Mike.

You made no fantasy brag. You wrote a simple statement that cell phones have largely replaced Hams calling in emergency reports. That is simply true.

>>>> 'Cept it was a misquote.
>>>
>
>
>>> Except it was NOT a "misquote."
>>
>
> Of course it *was* a misquote by Len, but he won't admit it.

Admission is not required.

>
>>It would appear to me, that it is very much a misquote.
>
>
>>Here however is an exact quote that is quite applicable:
>
>
>>>I just send them. If you no like them, hit the ENTER key and go
>>>to the next message.
>>
>
>>If you don't like my messages, I suggest you do the same.

>
>
> Sounds like good advice. Of course the author of that one won't take his own
> advice...

107. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 18:30:49 UTC
From: Shining One <mostlysunny65@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <33389$41dae1b8$d1cc5a7b$946@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 101623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

This got me 2 wondering, do hams still send those
obsolete ascii-character type nudie pics to
each other via teletype like they used to do
30+ years ago? (or do they just put then up
on web pages now like everyone else...?)

"Bob Schreibmaier" <k3ph@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:J9Wdnav0J6YVJEfcUSdV9g@ptd.net...
> Funny, yes. Accurate, no.
>
> In article <d318809e.0501031921.3d469992@posting.google.com>,
> radio-operator@telus.net says...
> >
> >
> >This picture has been floating around the net lately, it made me
> >laugh. Based on what I have been reading the picture may be somewhat
> >accurate......
> >
> >http://www3.telus.net/~homac/morsecodecoffin.jpg
>
> --
> +---+
> | Bob Schreibmaier K3PH | E-mail: k3ph@ptd.net |
> | Kresgeville, PA 18333 | http://www.dxis.org |
> +---+
>

108. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 18:57:15 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050104135715.09630.00000344@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 101624

In article <20050103205644.21488.00000486@mb-m11.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(the paragon of Truth and Virtue, he say) writes:

>In article <41D9A958.4000909@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>writes:
>
>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>> In article <XNidneo8zYzdhkncRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>>>> Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>
>>>>Misquote, Len. Jim wrote "reporting". In that he is correct. Since so
>>>>many (most?) people have a cell phone, it stands to reason that the
>>>>initial report is more likely to be made by a person using a cell phone.
>
>That's it, Mike. Of course there was a time, not so many years ago, when cell
>phones weren't very common, but hams with mobile rigs were.

...must have been in a galaxy far, far away from this one. :-)

Lovely NON-applicable factoid, doesn't explain anything. Of
course there were radio amateurs with mobile radios "not so
many years ago." There still are.

But, there are NO facts presented that those mobile radios
were used in emergencies. If they were, there are NO facts
presented as to any percentage of "emergency operations"
relative to normal amateur radio hobby use.

>>> Here's a "plain simple fact:" I replied to J.P.Miccolis' message
>>> and quoted his EXACT WORDS.
>
>Looks like a misquote on Len's part.

Tsk. J.P. "never said that." ? :-)

Of course he never said that. He WROTE it. :-)

>>Do you mean when Jim posted:
>
>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
>>Jim >911-type
>>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.
>
>>and you wrote:
>>

>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>
>>> Ain't no "misquote."
>
>Sure is. But I don't think Len will admit it, Mike.

Tsk, tsk. The "911" communications system was never designed
around radio amateurs.

[this is beginning to sound like another wish-fulfillment statement
done in here by another, "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur
radio!" :-)]

>>Jim wrote:
>>
>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
>>Jim >911-type
>>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.
>>
>>and you wrote:
>>
>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>
>>Where exactly did Jim say that Hams used to do the 911 communications?
>
>Not in any post I can recall.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Verbatim copying of actual, posted statements
just a few days ago "can't be recalled?"

Bad case of short-term memory loss. See a real MD.

>>> Now you go read my reply and, if you have arguments, you can
>>> go off and argue with the U.S. Census Bureau, NASA, the FCC,
>>> and, for emotional finger-pointing, the families of the astronauts
>>> killed in the shuttle burn-up. Whoever.
>
>Looks like Len is telling you not to differ with *him*, Mike. Looks like he's
>trying to tell you to stop pointing out his misquote.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk. Ol' George (Orwell) should have been around
to see this parody of "1984." :-)

J.P. denies having said something (or wrote) and Coslo keeps
trying to stick his hockey puck in here, and both are having a
fun time pretending to be honorable and virtuous paragons of
truth, justice, and the ARRL way.

Seems like there SHALL BE NO ARGUMENTS WITH PCTA
EXTRAS!!! Ever. :-)

>>If you don't like my messages, I suggest you do the same.
>

>Sounds like good advice. Of course the author of that one won't take his own
>advice...

Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk. J.P. didn't take such advice. :-)

Coslo keeps jumping in on others postings, acting like an immoderate
moderator in an unmoderated forum.

It's a Fantasyland. The "911" emergency call system was NOT
established with or for amateur use, yet the two paragons keep
trying to say it was and that hams DID "911" comms (even though
it did not exist then).

Twilight Zone. Lost in Space. Outer Limits.

Jeff Herman said that hams can do communications with zero power
output.

J.P. implied that ham HTs were the only practical means of comms
among shuttle burn-up debris searching. [all the rest of those
thousands and thousands of government and agency HTs were
apparently inadequate in the Fantasyland]

This is getting to be like Laugh-In but without the humor...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

109. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 18:57:16 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050104135716.09630.00000345@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 101625

One problem with using "massaged" numbers is that those massagers seldom show their justification for such massaging. As an example, the data from www.hamdata.com for January 1, 2005, and January 1, 2004 is given following, as Hamdata totalled it -

In the left blocks, in one year's time, there have been 12,203 license class changes. Total number of licensed amateurs is not affected by that. For the same period, there were 17,282 new amateurs, but 19,065 are expired and no longer licensed. As far as the overall license totals go, that means a 1,783 DROP in numbers. Not a big thing and might be ascribed to normal attrition rates.

The one thing the regular poster wants to downplay is the number of Technician Class licensees. Those have been continually growing and now make up (within 0.02%) two-fifths of all licensees. That growth rate is, by far, the biggest of all classes, amounting to nearly 10 thousand a year. So much for the alleged "drop due to end of grace period." :-) That allegation turned out to be false.

Class totals can be compared from Hamdata numbers based on January 1 of 2005 growth/decline relative to January 1, 2004:

Technician 289,868 (39.98% of total) (growth of 9,902)
Technician Plus 60,664 (8.37% of total) (decline of 9,326)
Novice 35,894 (4.95% of total) (decline of 4,117)
General 146,668 (20.23% of total) (growth of 846)
Advanced 83,424 (11.51% of total) (decline of 1,566)
Extra 108,537 (14.97% of total) (growth of 1,768)

All excepting
club calls 725,055 (decline of
2,493)

Note: Rounding of percentages to one-hundredths decimals results in 100.01% instead of 100.00%.

All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace period. There is no necessity (nor sense) to eliminate those in the grace period from those in the normal 10-year license period from any class totals.

To repeat, the allegation that there is a "big drop" in Technician Class numbers is WRONG. Raw data doesn't show that. Implying that the allegation still exists is merely compounding the wrongness.

To paraphrase McLuhan, the medium is the massage.

Someone is kneading to bake bad bread.

LenAnderson@ieee.org.

110. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 18:57:16 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050104135716.09630.00000346@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 101626

In article <41D9A958.4000909@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>If you don't like my messages, I suggest you do the same.

Now, now, don't get testy. :-)

You aren't following the Moderator Manual, just doing 5 Corrections
per Minute for someone else's posting. Real Moderators can do
20, not just 5. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org.

111. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 20:23:39 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41da26ea$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101618

Hello again everyone!!

I had finished the first program and I will air it tomorrow night (January 4) at 9.00 pm CST on 28.550 MHz

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

112. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 22:10:57 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <RvECd.640$%e1.546@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101627

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Hello again everyone!!
>
> I had finished the first program and I will air it tomorrow night (January
> 4) at 9.00 pm CST on 28.550 MHz
>

Great choice of band.....

Not only is propagation rare at night on ten, it's now
at the bottom of the sunspot cycle which means rare
propagation even in daytime......

One positive thing is that you won't be bothering
anyone beyond a hundred miles around your QTH. ;-)

113. Re: No anticipated change in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 22:28:42 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <uMECd.692$%e1.311@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101628

>>
>> Please, make a QSO with 0 KW RF output. I dare ya.
>
>
> Almost close to a funny, Lennie!

Wonder if "Echolink" would qualify, but the ham using the
computer tied to the internet isn't using the RF spectrum
in the usual sense. But that would be 0 KW.... Unless
he links to a repeater in some city on teh other side
of the world.
>

>>
>>>* We exchange post cards after a QSO, they don't
>>
>> Wow! [a big Ben Stein "wow..."]
>>
>> Post cards from the edge? :-)
>>
>> I know of no non-amateur radio organization who has a "QSL Buro."

Some CB or freebanders do exchange cards, though I don't
know if they use callbooks or what to get each other's
addresses. And not be found by the Funny Cookie Corporation.
>>
>>
>>>* We're frequency-agile with a VFO, they aren't
>>
>> Not all of you. A few of you "own" a frequency.
>

>
>> International Civil Aviation regulations (also FAA) allow aircraft
>
> to
>
>> change any communications frequency they need over the
>> entire civil aviation band.
>
>
> Those ARE assigned frequencies. And they may NOT change to ANY
> frequency over the ENTIRE civil aviation band, Lennie.
>
>

>> Several HF-using radio services are allowed to change frequencies
>> as needed to continue communications. See ALE (Automatic
>> Link Establishment) as done by government agencies...or the
>> maritime radio services on HF or on VHF in harbor and inland
>> waterways. [just a few examples]
>
>
> Again...Discreet, assigned frequencies by international
> convention.

Even CB sets come with 40 different frequencies the users
can select at will. Not VFO though.
>
>
>>>* We have swapmeets ("ham fests"), they don't
>>
>> Non-ham licensees have NO NEED of "ham fests."

SOme CB clubs have flea markets that look a lot like
hamfests.
>>
>>
>>>* We can build our own equipment, they can't
>>
>> Untrue, even in broadcasting service. Get details on studio
>> electronics in broadcasting sometime.

That's not the transmitter. The transmitter and
antenna is the only part the FCC cares about, from
a technical viewpoint. There's a bunch of other
rules about nontechnical aspects, but nothing that
much cares about studio equipment.
>
>
> Sorry...broadcast facilities MUST use FCC type accepted gear,
> Lennie.
>
> A REAL "radio professional" would know that.
>
>
>> The major reason that there's so little "homebuilding" with other
>> (non-ham) radio services is CO$T. Cheaper to buy ready-made
>> than to homebrew.
>
>
> "Cheaper" than the FCC fine which would accompany the use of
> non-type accepted equipment.

Other services are not likely to build radios when
they can buy what they need from off the shelf approved
equipment. For a lot less money. For them a radio

is a box that does something useful to get something they
want done.
>
>
>>>* We operate for the fun of it, they don't
>>
Kids on CB seem to have fun fooling around.
Not that that is a feature of that service....

>> First thing you've written that is close to the truth...
>>
>>

>
>>>* We can ragchew for hours, they can't
>>
>> You don't listen to "Talk Radio" do you?
>
>
> Participants on "talk radio" do it via telephone, not two way
> radio.
>
>
>> Tsk. Almost every radio service (other than broadcasting) has a
>> form of "ragchewing," including the military.

I doubt that a police dept would want their cops
ragchewing over their radios....
>>
>>

>
>
>>>* We're licensed, you're not
>>
>> WRONG! I have several licenses.
>>
>> I just don't have an amateur radio license.
>
>
> That's the first thing YOU have gotten right, Lennie.

Just get the damm license, you seem to know enough
to get it without much study, Len.
>
>> I could show you my poetic license ability but then I'd have to
>> bill you for services.
>
>
> If it's over $1.25, you're ripping folks off.

I think the DMV revoked his poetic license.
>
>
:-)

114. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 22:36:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1104878196.175120.274040@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101629

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <33rcgoF43ephcU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
> <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >I've had some interesting conversations off group with a number of
folks
> >that get involved with flames. For the most part, they simply deal
back
> >what they were dealt. I think it might be better if we found common
ground,
> >rather than point out differences.
>
> Heh heh...the "common ground" is usually just capitulation to
those
> which could use the Latin phrase "Primus Inter Pares" as their
motto.
> ["First Among Equals"] :-)

Do you see other posters here as equals, Len?
>
> As to license numbers, the regular poster of those

Do you mean me, Len?

You seem to be unable to refer to me by first name or callsign. Why is
that?

> uses massaged data

What do you mean by "massaged data", Len?

The plain, simple fact is that there is a brief, clear explanation of
the numbers I post - each time they are posted. They are the number of
current FCC amateur licenses held by *individuals*.

Which means that club, military, RACES and other station-only licenses
are not included. Also, licenses which are expired but in the grace
period are not included.

Only currently-licensed individual amateurs are listed in the totals I
post.

> as if the massaging, whether by hisself or others, is somehow
> "truth in numbers."

Is there some problem with posting the number of currently-licensed
individual amateurs, and leaving out station-license-only entries? Is

there a problem with leaving out expired-but-in-the-grace-period
licenses?

> Not quite. The raw data is available from the
> FCC...if anyone has high-speed interconnection to the 'net to get
> their massive files.

Have you done that, Len?

> Several sites provide such raw data, such as
> www.hamdata.com. Those numbers don't agree with what the
> regular poster posts.

That's because they include expired and station-only licenses.

I've explained this before, but apparently you don't understand it.

> Raw data numbers are usually higher than
> the massaged numbers. [for self-agitprop purposes, it would be
> logical to use the higher number rather than lower]

Then it seems you are the one wanting to use them for "self-agitprop
purposes".

> The rationalizations for using "massaged" data have been and no
> doubt will continue to be great. :-)

I don't use "massaged" data. What you see is exactly what the posts say
it is: the total number of current FCC amateur licenses held by
individuals.

Why do you have a problem with that, Len?

Jim, N2EY

115. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 22:53:04 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <340l62F44gdotU1@individual.net>
Source record: 101630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Perhaps you haven't heard of slow scan television? There are a number of methods of transmitting color pictures without the need for ascii character type graphics. The very first personal computers had very crude (or only ascii character) graphics. Why folks think that ham radio has stood still for 30 or 40 or 50 years beats the heck out of me ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Shining One" <mostlysunny65@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:33389$41dae1b8$d1cc5a7b$946@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> This got me 2 wondering, do hams still send those
> obsolete ascii-character type nudie pics to
> each other via teletype like they used to do
> 30+ years ago? (or do they just put then up
> on web pages now like everyone else...?)
>

116. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 23:17:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1104880629.405873.129580@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101631

Kim wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1104551584.970798.204520@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> > Kim wrote:
> > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1104531566.677857.126570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> > > > Mike said: """Everyone is entitled to an opinion, Brian."""

> > > > Reeaally???

> > > > """We wouldn't have anywhere near as much fun if we could only
give
> > > > opinions on what we have personal experience in! 8^)"""

> > > > Then lotsa people on RRAP owe Len Anderson an apology.

I think Len owes many more apologies to other rrappers than he is
owed....

> > > > When I see those apologies, I'll stop pointing out that "true
blue"
> > > > Jim

Would that be me?

> > > > has never served his country in any capacity,

Not in *any* capacity? Obviously that's false.

> > > > yet has availed himself
> > > > to the finest education and standard of living in a system
where the
> > > > freedom to do so were paid for with the blood of other people's
sons.
> > > > Happy New Year.

Can't be referring to me. "Finest education and standard of living"?

> > > So...wait just a minute. Are you saying that anyone who does not
serve
> > > his
> > > country in any capacity (you'd have to define in any capacity, by
the
> > > way)is not supposed to live in the United States?
> >
> > ***That isn't what I said, but do you argue that I'm not entitled

to my
> > own opinion?***
>
> Anyone is entitled to their opinion, and I deny no one that.
However, in
> general, one cannot expect to express things without discourse on
them. So,
> if you are taking offense that I would seek clarification for what
you are
> saying, then to add or disagree with it--then you're offense is duly
noted.

Well said, Kim, but also consider this: An opinion can be based on facts, or it can be based on falsehoods. It can be developed by sound reasoning, or by faulty logic.

Also, the term "serve his country in any capacity" has to be defined clearly. Does it mean only uniformed military service? That would leave out a lot of people like police, firefighters, EMS.
>
> > > Because, in the United States
> >
> > Is Kim going to tell ---me--- about America???
> >
> > Please allow me to assume the position.

> You really do seem rather argumentative. And, in my opinion (may Kim
tell
> you about Kim, even?) to assume an argumentative (if not rather
childish)
> position (or, perhaps that is not what you meant by "position") leads
me to
> believe that this reply-post is an exercise in futility.

Depends on your purpose, Kim. If you expect to convince "William" that he is mistaken about some things, it's probably futile. OTOH, if you want to point out his errors, express your own opinion and some facts, it's not futile at all.

> > > we--regardless of *military* service to the country or not--DO
avail
> > > ourselves of whatever is available, be that a good education, a
good
> > > job, a good doctor, a good teacher, a good whatever.

> > Indeed many do.

> > They take and they take, good whatever.

> > Good everythig!!!

> > Do you count yourself among those???

> What in the world do you mean, "among those"? I seek good everything
and
> anything. What do you want me to do? Strive to be a failure?! Your
> question is startling.

There's a big difference in simply taking and paying one's way.

> > > That the freedoms we enjoy are here, because of the military
service
> > > of others, is greatly appreciated by most--

> > Huge, huge appreciations. Yellow magnet ribbons and all....

> Is your comment somewhat resentful of the ribbons, moreover the
sentiment to
> support our troops?! Again, your startling.

It should also be remembered that while military service is appreciated
and praiseworthy, our country and its freedoms, wealth and way of life
are not due solely to military service or military actions. Although
firefighters are important, they don't build houses - they only protect
them.

> > > there are those that are just plain
> > > idiots (and Jim is not one of them).

If you mean me, you have my thanks, Kim.

> > Why do you say that Jim is not?
>
> Oh, wait. Let me communicate back to you the same way you
communicated to
> me: are you saying I am not entitled to my opinion? Hey, you opened
that
> friggin' door, now close it.

> > As a matteer of fact, I think Jim IS one of the idiots.

Why?

> Oh, and here, let me demonstrate how much more human I am capable of
being
> than you: You are entitled to your opinion about Jim--or anyone else.
BUT,
> I do not share your opinion.

> > > And, to read your comment above
> > > without having paid attention to this thread, seems to say that
> > > anyone who
> > > doesn't meet your standards of service should just roll up and
die?
> >
> > If you like you can read it that way.

> Well, I don't "like" to think that anyone would believe like that.
There
> are those who serve their nation through military means, there are
those
> that support them in any and every way they can; there are those who
remain
> here at home and help their families; there are those who go to their
jobs
> every day to keep the inner-workings (and the reason for living in
such a
> wonderful country, by the way) moving; there are those who serve
their
> nation politically; and,
>
> then, there are those who sponge off any opportunity, until it all
dries up.

Very well said, Kim. I agree 100%.

> For anyone to have a scholarship in this country, they had to meet
> criteria--set forth by the governing body of that scholarship. For
anyone
> to have availed themselves of any oppportunity to get as top-notch an
> education, or as top-notch an opportunity, as they could possibly get
is for
> them to have participated in their own destiny, rather than letting
things
> just happen to them. I don't think you're capable of understanding
where it
> is I would go with this...so I'll not bother.

Well, I have some experience with scholarships, so let me add this:

The scholarships I had for undergraduate education were based on
academic achievement alone, as was my admission to the university I
attended. I also had National Direct Student Loans, all of which were
paid back on-time, with interest. I also worked full-time during school
breaks and part-time during the school year (if you can call 35+
hours/wk "part time") in order to pay for things not covered by
scholarship or loan.

Graduate education funding came from two sources: my pocket and my
employer, who would reimburse some of the cost of tuition *after* the
course was successfully completed. Taking one graduate course at a
time, the reimbursement worked out to about 40% of the tuition. Books,
fees and other costs were on me.

> > But that is not what I meant. Meanwhile, Len, who has served is
> > supposed to roll up and die.

When has anyone told Len to do that?

It is Len who has told people here to "shut up", said their days are
numbered, etc.

> > Why is that???

> > > Surely
> > > I am mistaken in my interpretation of your comment, above.

> > > Kim W5TIT

> > To be -perfectly- clear, I think Jim is a welfare freeloader to
avail
> > hisself to the freedom to go to USA engineering schools, to avail
> > hisself to a career in engineering, and then to comment on how bad
life
> > in America is without ***ever*** having done a Goddamned thing to
risk
> > even a split fingernail,

Can't be talking about me, then.

> You know what? Screw your ever-loving split fingernail theory. You
are one
> of those who seem (and note I've said "seem") to be of the opinion
that only
> those who have served in the United States military are entitled to
all the
> benefits of HAVING BEEN BORN in the USA. You see? That's all it
takes for
> entitlement here: being born in the USA, or becoming a US citizen
(although,
> and here's a drift to another thread for you--if you can handle it:
there
> are those who are NOT from this country who come over here with their
little
> weenie green cards, suck up what they can from the United States,
send it
> back home, and then go back home themselves...or go on to becoming a
US
> citizen but are pretty darned slinky folks...in my opinion).

Should only those with military service be allowed to express opinions?
go to engineering school? vote? express opinions?

> > all the while putting down Len Anderson who
> > has contributed more to America than a single Jim/N2EY fingernail.

How does anyone know what our relative contributions "to America"
really are?

Is uniformed military service the only thing that counts?

Does it make everything Len writes here somehow OK?

> > Kim, you did ask.
> >
> > bb

> Uh huh. I did ask. And, you came back with this argument initially
> that--paraphrasing--were you not entitled to your opinion. You are
> entitled. You are entitled to believe that the ground a military
person
> walks on is sacred and that no one else is "entitled" to the same
benefits
> from the United States as a person who has served in the military.
You are
> entitled to believe that we don't all, in some form or other,
contribute to
> the integrity, continuance, and strength of the United States--some
of us by
> just damned getting up out of bed every morning and going to some
dorky job
> where a damned good portion of our income goes to supporting the
military,
> and the government, and the "other" people (you know...those who are
not
> worthy)...

It seems a lot of us are "not worthy".

What about police, firefighters, EMS and other people who have no
military service?

Or doctors, nurses, and other health-care people who risk infection
from incurable diseases on the job?

Or plain ol' working people, with no military service, who do dangerous
but essential things to keep the lights on, the water flowing, etc.?
The wires on the poles didn't put themselves up there, and when there's
a problem, the workers don't get to wait for a nice day to fix them.
>
> So, yeah. I asked. And, I should have known better...
>
> Kim W5TIT

I'll sum it up this way: Each and every person makes some sort of
contribution to a community/society, and is also a burden of some sort
too. Some people give more than they take, others take more than they
give. To judge someone, you need to know the sum total of what they
give and take, not just one item.

The person calling others "welfare freeloaders" doesn't know what he's
writing about. His opinion is not based on facts, and was developed
with faulty logic.

Of course he's entitled to any opinion he wants. Just as people are
entitled to believe that the earth is flat and/or less than 7000 years
old. Or that the moon landings were staged. Or any of many other things
that are simply not true.

73 de Jim, N2EY

117. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-04 23:31:34 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050104183134.00252.00000444@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 101632

In article <XmZAd.7418$qf5.4059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> I was transmitting RF on HF, VHF, UHF, and microwaves in the
>> 1950s. Again in the 1960s, then in the 1970s (including LF and
>> VLF), and in the 1980s and 1990s. Earlier this year (which
>> includes the 2000s) I was talking on HF from a sailboat.
>>
>> I've done it in the military, in civilian life, for the U.S. government
>> and for private business (a little of which includes my partner-
>> ship in a private business), and with local utilities...not to mention
>> on land, in the air, and on water.
>>
>>> Not a single second of "operating" time...Just another Uncle Sam Green
>>>radio mechanic.
>>
>> "Logs" are only required by lumber companies.
>>
>> The only non-amateur "logging" required is in radio broadcasting (which
>> I've been doing for WREX-TV, WMCW, WRRR) and (formerly) for
>> certain communications conducted by corporations (RCA EAS Division).
>> Those have been duly noted on the back of my First Class Radio-
>> Telephone (Commercial) Radio Operator License and signed off by
>> the Chief Engineers or responsible Staff Engineer...and witnessed by
>> an FCC Field Office when the License was up for renewal.
>
>Thus Len should have enough smarts to handle the amateur
>writtens, up to extra. And spend a few weeks to learn 5wpm code,
>and he could get the ham license. Otherwise he's an amateur
>troll... :-)

Everyone seems to be of the fixed mindset (imaginary department)
that I am desiring to obtain an amateur radio license. Not true.

My continuing advocacy is simply the removal of the morse code
test requirement for any FCC-issued radio operator license.

Since removal or retention of that morse code test element falls
under the radio amateur POLICY subject matter, I am here for
such advocacy...as well as in other venues.

Nearly all individual correspondents in here are heavily focussed
on their own personal experiences and viewpoints (as well as
emphasizing their own alleged "expertise," aka bragging points).
They have difficulty in the concept of debate on a SUBJECT rather
than personality fights involving denigrating personalities who

don't agree with them.

Debating a SUBJECT rather than the personalities of the debaters should not normally be difficult. Unfortunately, the rather extreme polarization of individuals with thin emotional skins tends to destroy debates, rendering them to mere personality fights that are all too common in here.

I am not shy on expressing displeasure at the countless personal attacks posted here in "response" from polarized individuals who abhor anyone with opinions differing from theirs. As a semi-professional writer in addition to being a professional electronics design engineer (of some experience in radio communications of many kinds), my replies to such biased, personally-insulting individuals is a rather easy task. Such provides a bit of personal "entertainment" as well; if I abhor anything it is the rigid mindset of the extremely polarized self-righteous individuals who cannot tolerate (ever) any opinion other than their own. :-)

Well over two decades ago I became an advocate of elimination of the morse code test for a license. Not for myself, despite how "strange" that may appear to nearly everyone else. It is a SUBJECT which can stand on its own. That's not so among what seems to be the majority of radio amateurs in here. :-) By all the millions of words posted by all, especially those long-time licensees of the morse persuasion, there is NO debate. All shall continue for newcomers as it did for those old-timers when they entered amateur radio long ago. Those same individuals want to squelch debate fully off and misdirect all message content with perjorative postings on personalities of their "opponents." They have an imaginary territorial imperative that must be protected at all costs.

An example of the misdirection into personal pejoratives are nearly all the postings of "K4YZ" in here. Case in point: My description of the operations of the then-3rd-largest Army radio station in ACAN a half century ago. I did that to illustrate the "plain, simple fact" that the U.S. military did NOT plan on using morse code mode for fixed, point-to-point long-distance message handling back a half century ago. Such messaging made up the overwhelming bulk of military "traffic" worldwide at those times...as it does now. But now such "traffic" is found on DSN terminals which rarely use any HF radio for network linking.

"K4YZ" lacked such military radio communications experience, despite serving considerably longer than I did. He was ignorant of military communications history and even modern small-unit radio communications of today. He tried to misdirect my statements as personal bragging, trying to lie about military occupation specialty, and a host of other ugly statements, all of which was a number of lies originated by him. Not even close to debate, just a lot of meaningless personal insults from him.

>> Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY activity, engaged in for
>> personal pleasure and not for pecuniary compensation. As such it
>> is NOT any sort of "life saving" or "emergency" radio service.
>> It, like most any other human activity, CAN be used in emergencies
>> but the Basis and Purpose as outlined in Part 97.1 Definitions
>> does NOT establish itself as either an "emergency service" nor as
>> some kind of vital radio communications activity needed by the
>> nation. Really.
>
>Emergency comms is listed as one of several reasons the FCC
>does ham radio licenses and allocates the bandwidth for us.

The FCC does not allocate bandwidth for "emergency comms"
in amateur radio other than the Alaskan emergency frequency.
FCC allocates several frequencies and bands intended solely for
emergency use, nearly all in coordination with international
radio communication agreements. Those are not in "ham bands."

The five definitions of amateur radio activities in Part 97, Title 47
C.F.R., are simple and most extremely general in subject. You
can read into those anything you want but let's face the facts
that most radio service part definitions are general in nature and
almost always politically motivated. "Political" in that they
provide some kind of baseline for disputes and competition with
other radio services as well as in the curious patois of the legal
profession.

Now for "emergency comms," a look into Parts 1 and 2 of Title 47
C.F.R. (as well as repetition of mention in other Parts) will reveal
that there is NO prohibition against anyone using any frequency or
any mode to perform REAL emergency communications. Self-
styled "radio cops" will come unglued at that, I suppose, but then
they were never properly glued down to begin with...

Did the majority of amateur radio hobbyists get into ham radio
BECAUSE of the "emergency comms" ability? I doubt it. Acronym
ARS doesn't stand for "Amergency Radio Service." Can amateur
radio be used for emergency communications? Of course. It has
been used that way in the past. However, there are many bands
and frequencies other than ham radio ones allocated for that purpose
and there are hundreds of thousands of radios already used by
Public Safety Radio Services expressly for such emergency and
protective use.

>No all hams will have working equipment in a regional
>disaster, but some will. And ham radio doesn't require
>infrastructure (like cell phones do) to work.

Careful. That is starting to drift off into the usual emotional fantasy
wish-fulfillment kind of thing that so many do. Think a moment.

Police agencies, fire departments, medical installations do not
have direct tie-ins with amateur radio. Neither do utility

companies, transport industries, contractor businesses and all
other entities which are DIRECTLY affected with emergencies.
Military and National Guard units have a nebulous tie-in through
MARS, but MARS frequencies are just outside ham bands. The
military has adequate communications means on its own and can
cope with large local and regional emergency aid. Yes, all those
agencies can be contacted by telephone...but the telephone is
part of the existing non-amateur infrastructure. :-)

There are several solutions already practiced by all those agencies.
The Greater Los Angeles area has had a working Disaster center for
a decade, already proven in several local emergencies (including the
Northridge Earthquake). That ties in ALL local government agencies
who regularly practice drilling and checking out of disaster-coping
plans; that assumes that SOME of the infrastructure already survives
(including the telephone system with its cell sites). The state of
California has the ECS, Emergency Communications System, and
the ECS will accept any system, any person as a volunteer. Since
California has already experienced a tsunami nearly destroying a
northern seacoast town, planning and drilling includes such disasters
in addition to the wildfires and earthquakes. The federal government
has SHARES (for "shared resources") which makes use of roughly a
thousand federal HF stations nationwide as well as around the world.

Of those groupings and of many other local, regional emergency
groupings, the ARE an infrastructure. In order for local amateur radio
operators and equipment to be effective, they must operate WITH
that infrastructure, that existing organization. They must learn to
integrate with dozens of existing local and regional agencies who
all have their own non-amateur radio equipment.

The "infrastructure" fails? No. It is there and survives. Yes, that
infrastructure can be altered by a disaster but it is far more adaptable
and robust than individual radio amateur hobbyists. Hams have to
learn and accept working with other agencies, not to day-dream
about being some lone hero saving the whatever.

>A car that survived will provide 12V power, which most
>rigs are designed to run off of. And you also need hams
>outside the disaster area to talk to.

Okay, lets consider a REAL emergency, such as a tsunami hitting
a coastal community. Some of the first communications out of that
area is an initial damage assessment, medical aid, requests for
earth-moving equipment, transportation of various kinds, perhaps
requests for food supplies (there could be other things). "Health
and welfare" messages of a personal nature are LOW on the priority
list. Can any ham inside or outside an emergency area handle all
the various items contained in that initial messaging with any ease
AND accuracy? Probably not. The existing agencies want
communications that are accurate, clear, that will get through NOW.
Initial emergency aid requests are immediate. Lives may be at

stake in that immediacy. That wouldn't be some kind of Field Day contest in a local park outing kind of thing.

Medical workers IN an emergency area will want to talk to medical workers outside of the area, directly to avoid any mistakes in requests for supplies or other medical aid. They can talk "medical." If there is earth-moving equipment needed to push back damage, those specialists will want to talk outside to other specialists. They can talk "bulldozer." And so forth, for all other occupations that would be of aid. Individual hams wouldn't have the knowledge to effect clear, error-free communications with ease for everything that is needed. Those that DO have the knowledge must be able to communicate directly with their counterparts outside of the area. Those could use their OWN radios and radio networks. Those exist. Amateur radio isn't the only radio resource and there is no guarantee that it would survive better than other radio services' equipment. The reverse is more likely true. The scenario of the lone ham hero saving the village is wonderful, emotionally-stirring fiction, but it remains fiction. Real emergencies aren't fictitious. They need aid agencies who can work together, plan together, drill together, and keep on practicing.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

118. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-05 02:52:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ypKdnfgRGtDNy0bcRVn-vQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101634

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <20050103205644.21488.00000486@mb-m11.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (the paragon of Truth and Virtue, he say) writes:
>
>
>>In article <41D9A958.4000909@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>writes:
>>
>>
>>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <XNidneo8zYzdhkncRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>>>>> Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>>
>>>>>Misquote, Len. Jim wrote "reporting". In that he is correct. Since so
>>>>>many (most?) people have a cell phone, it stands to reason that the
>>>>>initial report is more likely to be made by a person using a cell phone.
>>
>>That's it, Mike. Of course there was a time, not so many years ago, when cell
>>phones weren't very common, but hams with mobile rigs were.
>
>
> ...must have been in a galaxy far, far away from this one. :-)
>
> Lovely NON-applicable factoid, doesn't explain anything. Of
> course there were radio amateurs with mobile radios "not so
> many years ago." There still are.
>
> But, there are NO facts presented that those mobile radios
> were used in emergencies. If they were, there are NO facts
> presented as to any percentage of "emergency operations"
> relative to normal amateur radio hobby use.
>
>
>
>>>> Here's a "plain simple fact:" I replied to J.P.Miccolis' message
>>>> and quoted his EXACT WORDS.
>>
>>Looks like a misquote on Len's part.
>
>
> Tsk. J.P. "never said that." ? :-)

>
> Of course he never said that. He WROTE it. :-)
>
>
>
>>>Do you mean when Jim posted:
>>
>>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
>>>Jim >911-type
>>>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.
>>
>>>and you wrote:
>>>
>>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>>
>>>> Ain't no "misquote."
>>
>>Sure is. But I don't think Len will admit it, Mike.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk. The "911" communications system was never designed
> around radio amateurs.
>
> [this is beginning to sound like another wish-fulfillment statement
> done in here by another, "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur
> radio!" :-)]
>
>
>>>Jim wrote:
>>>
>>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
>>>Jim >911-type
>>>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.
>>>
>>>and you wrote:
>>>
>>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>>
>>>Where exactly did Jim say that Hams used to do the 911 communications?
>>
>>Not in any post I can recall.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Verbatim copying of actual, posted statements
> just a few days ago "can't be recalled?"
>
> Bad case of short-term memory loss. See a real MD.
>
>
>>>> Now you go read my reply and, if you have arguments, you can

>>>> go off and argue with the U.S. Census Bureau, NASA, the FCC,
>>>> and, for emotional finger-pointing, the families of the astronauts
>>>> killed in the shuttle burn-up. Whoever.
>>
>>Looks like Len is telling you not to differ with *him*, Mike. Looks like he's
>>trying to tell you to stop pointing out his misquote.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk. Ol' George (Orwell) should have been around
> to see this parody of "1984." :-)
>
> J.P. denies having said something (or wrote) and Coslo keeps
> trying to stick his hockey puck in here, and both are having a
> fun time pretending to be honorable and virtuous paragons of
> truth, justice, and the ARRL way.

I'll admit, I am having fun.

> Seems like there SHALL BE NO ARGUMENTS WITH PCTA
> EXTRAS!!! Ever. :-)

Oh dear, I know at least Jim and myself love a good row! I like
accurate quotes tho'!

>
>
>>>If you don't like my messages, I suggest you do the same.
>>
>>Sounds like good advice. Of course the author of that one won't take his own
>>advice...
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk. J.P. didn't take such advice. :-)
>
> Coslo keeps jumping in on others postings, acting like an immoderate
> moderator in an unmoderated forum.

Don't you just *hate it* when that happens?

> It's a Fantasyland. The "911" emergency call system was NOT
> established with or for amateur use, yet the two paragons keep
> trying to say it was and that hams DID "911" comms (even though
> it did not exist then).

Good point. No one said that though.

> Twilight Zone. Lost in Space. Outer Limits.
>
> Jeff Herman said that hams can do communications with zero power
> output.
>
> J.P. implied that ham HTs were the only practical means of comms
> among shuttle burn-up debris searching. [all the rest of those

> thousands and thousands of government and agency HTs were
> apparently inadequate in the Fantasyland]

Where on earth did he say that? Another of these "quotes"?

Hams used VHF HF, and an internet link during the debris search. I
don't know if they used UHF or not

> This is getting to be like Laugh-In but without the humor...

It is there, you just have to look for it!

- Mike KB3EIA -

119. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-05 03:48:55 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <HsJCd.989$%e1.297@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Private wrote:
> This picture has been floating around the net lately, it made me
> laugh. Based on what I have been reading the picture may be somewhat
> accurate......
>
> http://www3.telus.net/~homac/morsecodecoffin.jpg

It's been a while since I have used a straight key, but the
handle on that key looks to be installed upsidedown....

120. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-05 07:16:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1104909392.236536.231290@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101636

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Lenof21 wrote:
> > In article <33rcgoF43ephcU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
> > <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:
> >
> > >I've had some interesting conversations off group with a number of
> folks
> > >that get involved with flames. For the most part, they simply
deal
> back
> > >what they were dealt. I think it might be better if we found
common
> ground,
> > >rather than point out differences.
> >
> > Heh heh...the "common ground" is usually just capitulation to
> those
> > which could use the Latin phrase "Primus Inter Pares" as their
> motto.
> > ["First Among Equals"] :-)
>
> Do you see other posters here as equals, Len?
> >
> > As to license numbers, the regular poster of those
>
> Do you mean me, Len?
>
> You seem to be unable to refer to me by first name or callsign. Why
is
> that?

To call you by your given name would be to treat you with dignity
and respect, and we all know Lennie is incapable of that.

To address you by your callsign would be to acknowledge that you
have something he doesn't have...And again, Lennie can't do that.

> > uses massaged data
>
> What do you mean by "massaged data", Len?
>
> The plain, simple fact is that there is a brief, clear explanation of
> the numbers I post - each time they are posted. They are the number
of
> *current* FCC amateur licenses held by *individuals*.

>
> Which means that club, military, RACES and other station-only
licenses
> are not included. Also, licenses which are expired but in the grace
> period are not included.
>
> Only currently-licensed individual amateurs are listed in the totals
I
> post.

Simple. Plain English is not to Lennie's liking. For it is by
creative wordsmitihing and deception that he can hide his own failures
and inadequacies. And he has plenty of both.

> > The rationalizations for using "massaged" data have been and no
> > doubt will continue to be great. :-)
>
> I don't use "massaged" data. What you see is exactly what the posts
say
> it is: the total number of current FCC amateur licenses held by
> individuals.
>
> Why do you have a problem with that, Len?
As I said....

73

Steve, K4YZ

121. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-05 08:14:45 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <UINCd.39267$8e5.37922@fed1read07>
Source record: 101637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

RE:
http://www3.telus.net/~homac/morsecodecoffin.jpg

Folks this is the famous Dracula key -- used in the Blood Island DXpedition
off of the Transylvania Coast (;-)
Call BL�OD/YO
QRV - Night time only
QSL to Vlad Tepes, One QT, Translvania, Romania

-�-� ��-- ��-�-- ��-- ---- ---- ---

122. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-05 13:40:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41DBEE3B.5050302@psu.edu>
Source record: 101638

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Lenof21 wrote:
>
>>In article <33rcgoF43ephcU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
>><james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>>I've had some interesting conversations off group with a number of
>>
> folks
>
>>>that get involved with flames. For the most part, they simply deal
>>
> back
>
>>>what they were dealt. I think it might be better if we found common
>>
> ground,
>
>>>rather than point out differences.
>>
>> Heh heh...the "common ground" is usually just capitulation to
>
> those
>
>> which could use the Latin phrase "Primus Inter Pares" as their
>
> motto.
>
>> ["First Among Equals"] :-)
>
>
> Do you see other posters here as equals, Len?
>
>> As to license numbers, the regular poster of those
>
>
> Do you mean me, Len?
>
> You seem to be unable to refer to me by first name or callsign. Why is
> that?
>
>
>> uses massaged data

>
>
> What do you mean by "massaged data", Len?
>
> The plain, simple fact is that there is a brief, clear explanation of
> the numbers I post - each time they are posted. They are the number of
> *current* FCC amateur licenses held by *individuals*.
>
> Which means that club, military, RACES and other station-only licenses
> are not included. Also, licenses which are expired but in the grace
> period are not included.
>
> Only currently-licensed individual amateurs are listed in the totals I
> post.
>
>
>> as if the massaging, whether by hisself or others, is somehow
>> "truth in numbers."
>
>
> Is there some problem with posting the number of currently-licensed
> individual amateurs, and leaving out station-license-only entries? Is
> there a problem with leaving out expired-but-in-the-grace-period
> licenses?
>
>
>> Not quite. The raw data is available from the
>> FCC...if anyone has high-speed interconnection to the 'net to get
>> their massive files.
>
>
> Have you done that, Len?
>
>
>> Several sites provide such raw data, such as
>> www.hamdata.com. Those numbers don't agree with what the
>> regular poster posts.
>
>
> That's because they include expired and station-only licenses.
>
> I've explained this before, but apparently you don't understand it.
>
>
>> Raw data numbers are usually higher than
>> the massaged numbers. [for self-agitprop purposes, it would be
>> logical to use the higher number rather than lower]
>
>
> Then it seems you are the one wanting to use them for "self-agitprop

> purposes".
>
>
>> The rationalizations for using "massaged" data have been and no
>> doubt will continue to be great. :-)
>
>
> I don't use "massaged" data. What you see is exactly what the posts say
> it is: the total number of current FCC amateur licenses held by
> individuals.
>
> Why do you have a problem with that, Len?
>
> Jim, N2EY
>

All numbers are *interpreted*. That a poster here chooses to use the word "massaged" which has a different connotation than interpreted, it is just another situation akin to your nonexistent quote "Hams used to do the 911 communications."

Raw data is just that. It signifies very little. Take the "grace period" for example. It should ONLY be included as a separate part of an interpretation. Same goes for club calls and a few other types of license. Even orphan classes such as Novice and to a lesser extent Advanced must be approached with caution, du to statistical difference in likelihood of activity. You do well to separate them.

My point is that using all numbers without differentiation will certainly lead to unclear results that the reader would have to do their own interpretation with.

- Mike KB3EIA -

123. Another Big Len Mistake: Operating in the Grace Period

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-05 13:55:40 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1104933340.938202.59820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101639

Lenof21 wrote:
> One problem with using "massaged" numbers is that those massagers
> seldom show their justification for such massaging.

To whom do you refer, Len?

> As an example,
> the data from www.hamdata.com for January 1, 2005, and January 1,
> 2004 is given following, as Hamdata totalled it -
>
> In the left blocks, in one year's time, there have been 12,203
license
> class changes. Total number of licensed amateurs is not affected
> by that. For the same period, there were 17,282 new amateurs, but
> 19,065 are expired and no longer licensed. As far as the overall
> license totals go, that means a 1,783 DROP in numbers. Not a
> big thing and might be ascribed to normal attrition rates.

Reducing the test requirements was supposed to produce *growth*. It
hasn't.
>
> The one thing the regular poster wants to downplay is the number
> of Technician Class licensees.

To whom do you refer, Len?

> Those have been continually growing
> and now make up (within 0.02%) two-fifths of all licensees.

Why is that significant? After all, there are only three classes of
license available to new licensees or upgrades.

> That
> growth rate is, by far, the biggest of all classes, amounting to
> nearly 10 thousand a year.

And it's quite understandable, because:

1) Most new hams start out as Technicians
2) FCC has been renewing Technician Pluses as Technicians since April
15, 2000
3) A Novice who passes Element 2 gets a Technician

Reason 2), all by itself, guarantees a stead influx of thousands of
licenses to the Technician totals each year. In a little more than 5
years, if the rules don't change, there will be no more Technician
Pluses at all. Every one will have either renewed as a Technician,

upgraded or expired.

So we should really look at the sum of Technicians and Technician Pluses to understand what's going on, because they are so closely linked. And that sum didn't grow very much in 2004.

> So much for the alleged "drop due to
> end of grace period." :-) That allegation turned out to be
false.

Who alleged that, Len? Who are you quoting?
>
> Class totals can be compared from Hamdata numbers based on
> January 1 of 2005 growth/decline relative to January 1, 2004:

Only one year. Can you make the same comparisons going back to, say,
2000?
>
> Technician 289,868 (39.98% of total) (growth of
9,902)
> Technician Plus 60,664 (8.37% of total) (decline of
9,326)
> Novice 35,894 (4.95% of total) (decline of
4,117)
> General 146,668 (20.23% of total) (growth of
846)
> Advanced 83,424 (11.51% of total) (decline of
1,566)
> Extra 108,537 (14.97% of total) (growth of
1,768)
>
> All excepting
> club calls 725,055
(decline of
> 2,493)
>
> Note: Rounding of percentages to one-hundredths decimals
> results in 100.01% instead of 100.00%.

And the significance of all these numbers is?

--

Here comes a *big* mistake on Len's part:

> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their
grace
> period.

Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires, he or she *cannot* legally operate until the license is renewed and that renewal appears in the FCC database.

To quote FCC rules:

"97.21(b) A person whose amateur station
license grant has expired may apply to
the FCC for renewal of the license grant
for another term during a 2 year filing
grace period. The application must be
received at the address specified above
prior to the end of the grace period.
Unless and until the license grant is
renewed, no privileges in this Part are
conferred."

Last sentence says it all:

"Unless and until the license grant is
renewed, no privileges in this Part are
conferred."

This isn't some fine point of the rules that's subject to
interpretation. FCC amateur licenses have 10 year terms, and
if a license is allowed to expire, the licensee *cannot*
legally operate until the license is renewed. Period.

Do you agree or disagree, Len?

> There is no necessity (nor sense) to eliminate those in the
> grace period from those in the normal 10-year license period from
> any class totals.

Sure there is - their licenses are expired and they cannot operate.

If you want to include expired-but-in-the-grace-period licensees in the
totals, go right ahead. But be sure to indicate that you are doing so,
unless *you* want to "massage" the numbers.

The numbers I post twice each month are the totals of *unexpired*
licenses held by *individuals*. That's clear (to people who can
understand plain English) in every one of my post of license totals.
Nothing "massaged" about them.
>
> To repeat, the allegation that there is a "big drop" in Technician
> Class numbers is WRONG. Raw data doesn't show that. Implying
> that the allegation still exists is merely compounding the
wrongness.

Who made such an allegation, Len?

It should be noted that the sum of *unexpired* Technicians and
Technician Pluses *has* declined since May of 2000.

Jim, N2EY

124. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-05 15:55:38 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41db3994$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101633

Hello, well tonight's broadcast is over. I'll probably rebroadcast it
tomorrow night on 20 meters

Todd N9OGL

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:RvECd.640$%e1.546@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> > Hello again everyone!!
> >
> > I had finished the first program and I will air it tomorrow night
(January
> > 4) at 9.00 pm CST on 28.550 MHz
> >
>
> Great choice of band.....
>
> Not only is propagation rare at night on ten, it's now
> at the bottom of the sunspot cycle which means rare
> propagation even in daytime......
>
> One positive thing is that you won't be bothering
> anyone beyond a hundred miles around your QTH. ;-)
>

125. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-05 17:25:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1104945941.156065.271490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101640

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Lenof21 wrote:
> > In article <20050103205644.21488.00000486@mb-m11.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> > writes:

> >>In article <41D9A958.4000909@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo
<mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> >>writes:

> >>>Lenof21 wrote:

> >>>>In article <XNidneo8zYzdhkncRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> >>>>> Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"

> >>>>Misquote, Len. Jim wrote "reporting". In that he is correct.
Since so
> >>>>many (most?) people have a cell phone, it stands to reason that
the
> >>>>initial report is more likely to be made by a person using a
cell phone.

> >>That's it, Mike. Of course there was a time, not so many years ago,
when cell
> >>phones weren't very common, but hams with mobile rigs were.

> > ...must have been in a galaxy far, far away from this one. :-)

> > Lovely NON-applicable factoid, doesn't explain anything. Of
> > course there were radio amateurs with mobile radios "not so
> > many years ago." There still are.

> > But, there are NO facts presented that those mobile radios
> > were used in emergencies. If they were, there are NO facts
> > presented as to any percentage of "emergency operations"
> > relative to normal amateur radio hobby use.

I don't think there are any facts that would convince Len.

> >>>> Here's a "plain simple fact:" I replied to J.P.Miccolis'
message
> >>>> and quoted his EXACT WORDS.

> >>Looks like a misquote on Len's part.

> > Tsk. J.P. "never said that." ? :-)

> > Of course he never said that. He WROTE it. :-)

Wrong again, Len

> >>>Do you mean when Jim posted:

> >>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most
of the
> >>>Jim >911-type
> >>>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.

> >>>and you wrote:

> >>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"

> >>>> Ain't no "misquote."

> >>Sure is. But I don't think Len will admit it, Mike.

> > Tsk, tsk. The "911" communications system was never designed
> > around radio amateurs.

> > [this is beginning to sound like another wish-fulfillment
statement
> > done in here by another, "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur
> > radio!" :-)]

> >>>Jim wrote:

> >>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most
of the
> >>>Jim >911-type
> >>>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.

> >>>and you wrote:

> >>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"

> >>>Where exactly did Jim say that Hams used to do the 911
communications?

> >>Not in any post I can recall.

> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. Verbatim copying of actual, posted statements
> > just a few days ago "can't be recalled?"

> > Bad case of short-term memory loss. See a real MD.

> >>>> Now you go read my reply and, if you have arguments, you can
> >>>> go off and argue with the U.S. Census Bureau, NASA, the FCC,
> >>>> and, for emotional finger-pointing, the families of the
astronauts
> >>>> killed in the shuttle burn-up. Whoever.

> >>Looks like Len is telling you not to differ with *him*, Mike. Looks
like he's
> >>trying to tell you to stop pointing out his misquote.

> > Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk. Ol' George (Orwell) should have been around
> > to see this parody of "1984." :-)

You mean the way you deny history, Len?

> > J.P. denies having said something (or wrote) and Coslo keeps
> > trying to stick his hockey puck in here, and both are having a
> > fun time pretending to be honorable and virtuous paragons of
> > truth, justice, and the ARRL way.

> I'll admit, I am having fun.

> > Seems like there SHALL BE NO ARGUMENTS WITH PCTA
> > EXTRAS!!! Ever. :-)

> Oh dear, I know at least Jim and myself love a good row! I like
> accurate quotes tho'!

If you like accuracy, stay away from Len ;-)

> >>>If you don't like my messages, I suggest you do the same.

> >>Sounds like good advice. Of course the author of that one won't
take his own
> >>advice...

> > Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk. J.P. didn't take such advice. :-)

> > Coslo keeps jumping in on others postings, acting like an
immoderate
> > moderator in an unmoderated forum.

> Don't you just *hate it* when that happens?

Wonder where you learned that , Mike?

> > It's a Fantasyland. The "911" emergency call system was NOT
> > established with or for amateur use, yet the two paragons keep
> > trying to say it was and that hams DID "911" comms (even though
> > it did not exist then).

> Good point. No one said that though.

> > Twilight Zone. Lost in Space. Outer Limits.

> > Jeff Herman said that hams can do communications with zero power
> > output.

Where?

> > J.P. implied that ham HTs were the only practical means of comms
> > among shuttle burn-up debris searching. [all the rest of those
> > thousands and thousands of government and agency HTs were
> > apparently inadequate in the Fantasyland]

> Where on earth did he say that? Another of these "quotes"?

> Hams used VHF HF, and an internet link during the debris search. I
> don't know if they used UHF or not

What I *did* say was that ham radio was much more effective than cell
phones in that effort.

But don't take my word for it. Read what someone who *was there* wrote:

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/5be7f564cbf4f819?dmode=so
urce

Was Len there, searching for shuttle debris? I don't think so.

> > This is getting to be like Laugh-In but without the humor...

> It is there, you just have to look for it!
Indeed. ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

126. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-05 18:09:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1104948546.014419.204310@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101641

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Lenof21 wrote:
> >
> >>In article <33rcgoF43ephcU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
> >><james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:

> >>>I've had some interesting conversations off group with a number of
> > folks
> >>>that get involved with flames. For the most part, they simply
deal
> > back
> >>>what they were dealt. I think it might be better if we found
common
> > ground,
> >>>rather than point out differences.
> >>
> >> Heh heh...the "common ground" is usually just capitulation to
> > those
> >> which could use the Latin phrase "Primus Inter Pares" as their
> > motto.
> >> ["First Among Equals"] :-)

> > Do you see other posters here as equals, Len?

> >> As to license numbers, the regular poster of those

> > Do you mean me, Len?

> > You seem to be unable to refer to me by first name or callsign. Why
is
> > that?

> >> uses massaged data

> > What do you mean by "massaged data", Len?

> > The plain, simple fact is that there is a brief, clear explanation
of
> > the numbers I post - each time they are posted. They are the number
of
> > *current* FCC amateur licenses held by *individuals*.

> > Which means that club, military, RACES and other station-only
licenses
> > are not included. Also, licenses which are expired but in the grace
> > period are not included.

> > Only currently-licensed individual amateurs are listed in the
totals I
> > post.

> >> as if the massaging, whether by hisself or others, is somehow
> >> "truth in numbers."
>
> > Is there some problem with posting the number of currently-licensed
> > individual amateurs, and leaving out station-license-only entries?
Is
> > there a problem with leaving out expired-but-in-the-grace-period
> > licenses?
>
> >> Not quite. The raw data is available from the
> >> FCC...if anyone has high-speed interconnection to the 'net to
get
> >> their massive files.

> > Have you done that, Len?

> >> Several sites provide such raw data, such as
> >> www.hamdata.com. Those numbers don't agree with what the
> >> regular poster posts.

> > That's because they include expired and station-only licenses.

> > I've explained this before, but apparently you don't understand it.

> >> Raw data numbers are usually higher than
> >> the massaged numbers. [for self-agitprop purposes, it would be
> >> logical to use the higher number rather than lower]

> > Then it seems you are the one wanting to use them for
"self-agitprop
> > purposes".
>
> >> The rationalizations for using "massaged" data have been and no
> >> doubt will continue to be great. :-)
>
> > I don't use "massaged" data. What you see is exactly what the posts
say
> > it is: the total number of current FCC amateur licenses held by
> > individuals.
> >
> > Why do you have a problem with that, Len?
> >
> > Jim, N2EY

> All numbers are *interpreted*. That a poster here chooses to use the
> word "massaged" which has a different connotation than interpreted,
it
> is just another situation akin to your nonexistent quote "Hams used
to
> do the 911 communications."

Agreed, Mike.

Len has posted here that it's legal for a ham to operate with a license that's expired but in the grace period. That's simply not true.

You'd think Len, who claims to know so much about radio, and who tells us how the regulations should be changed, would at least know a simple, basic fact about license terms and expirations.

No interpretation necessary on operating with an expired license, just read 97.21(b)
>
> Raw data is just that. It signifies very little. Take the "grace
> period" for example. It should ONLY be included as a separate part of an
> interpretation. Same goes for club calls and a few other types of
> license. Even orphan classes such as Novice and to a lesser extent
> Advanced must be approached with caution, du to statistical difference
> in likelihood of activity. You do well to separate them.

If someone wants to include expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses in the total, and identifies the totals as including such licenses, that's fine. Where the "massaging" happens is when such pertinet details are left out.

It's like that picture of Hanoi Jane and John Kerry at the antiwar rally, sitting a couple of rows apart. Some folks like to leave out the pertinent fact that it was taken *two years before* Jane went to North Vietnam...

> My point is that using all numbers without differentiation will
> certainly lead to unclear results that the reader would have to do their
> own interpretation with.
>
It's simply a matter of including all the pertinent facts. And getting them right.

Of course it's also possible that Len has gotten so desperate for attention that he's intentionally posting untrue things (like the legality of operating with an expired license mistake) just to get a response. After all, how does it affect *him* if some ham reads his words, thinks they're true, and operates illegally?

73 de Jim, N2EY

127. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-05 18:40:53 UTC
From: Joe O'Connell <w7kqu@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MMednRCZ7-ExqUHcRVn-jQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 101642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

These were not really pictures,but rather the "image" was made entirely by characters on a "real"teletype machine keyboard.In the '60s
there were a number of these would show up at various times.Xmas themes around the holiday,some pretty good images of Playboy centerfolds also made the rounds.
These had nothing to do with computers,the person making the image would cut a tape on his machine.The image could be sent without interuption.This was real RTTY,no computers.
I would think a few old timers would still have some tapes in their collection.
Joe
W7KQU

128. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-05 21:03:54 UTC
From: spam.for.the@buerotiger.de
Message-ID: <34331qF46e7paU4@individual.net>
Source record: 101643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

In rec.radio.amateur.dx Joe O'Connell <w7kqu@comcast.net> wrote:
> These were not really pictures,but rather the "image" was made entirely by
> characters on a "real"teletype machine keyboard.In the '60s
> there were a number of these would show up at various times.Xmas themes
> around the holiday,some pretty good images of Playboy centerfolds also made
> the rounds.
> These had nothing to do with computers,the person making the image would cut
> a tape on his machine.The image could be sent without interuption.This was
> real RTTY,no computers.
> I would think a few old timers would still have some tapes in their
> collection.

You can find them in:
news:rec.arts.ascii
news:alt.ascii-arts

Peter Lemken
DF5JT
Berlin

--
"Gentlemen, you can't fight in here, this is the War Room!"

129. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-05 21:10:33 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <dJYCd.1492$%e1.560@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101644

>
>
> Okay, lets consider a REAL emergency, such as a tsunami hitting
> a coastal community. Some of the first communications out of that
> area is an initial damage assessment, medical aid, requests for
> earth-moving equipment, transportation of various kinds, perhaps
> requests for food supplies (there could be other things). "Health
> and welfare" messages of a personal nature are LOW on the priority
> list.

Yes, and hams can handle that low priority stuff to offload
the more important communications links. "I took care of
the mundane boring stuff so the heroes could save the lives"...

Can any ham inside or outside an emergency area handle all
> the various items contained in that initial messaging with any ease
> AND accuracy? Probably not.
>
> Medical workers IN an emergency area will want to talk to medical
> workers outside of the area, directly to avoid any mistakes in requests
> for supplies or other medical aid. They can talk "medical."

If such came up, the ham would give the doctor the mic and let
him talk directly. To another doctor at another ham shack
using the mic there. THis doesn't happen very often. and
thinking about it much borders on fantasy. But the FCC
says do whatever helps in an emergency.

And so forth, for all other occupations that would be of
> aid. Individual hams wouldn't have the knowledge to effect clear,
> error-free communications with ease for everything that is needed.

Nothing says that the ham is the only one allowed to use
the microphone or operate the radio. Usually the ham should
be around to help if something breaks, as "control operator"
but he need not be a control freak.

> Those that DO have the knowledge must be able to communicate
> directly with their counterparts outside of the area. Those could use
> their OWN radios and radio networks. Those exist. Amateur radio
> isn't the only radio resource and there is no guarantee that it would
> survive better than other radio services' equipment. The reverse is
> more likely true.

True, but sometimes at random the ham radio survives and the
others don't. Not real often, but why forbid it?

The scenario of the lone ham hero saving the village
> is wonderful, emotionally-stirring fiction, but it remains fiction. Real
> emergencies aren't fictitious.

It doesn't happen that often, and when it did it's mostly an initial
call for help. In which case the aid agencies come to the rescue
and take over.

They need aid agencies who can work
> together, plan together, drill together, and keep on practicing.
>

130. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-05 22:56:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41DC70A1.6307B643@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101645

Lenof21 wrote:
>
> In article <XmZAd.7418$qf5.4059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

> >Thus Len should have enough smarts to handle the amateur
> >writtens, up to extra. And spend a few weeks to learn 5wpm code,
> >and he could get the ham license. Otherwise he's an amateur
> >troll... :-)
>
> Everyone seems to be of the fixed mindset (imaginary department)
> that I am desiring to obtain an amateur radio license. Not true.

Your story changes from month-to-month and year-to-year. Sometimes you
have no interest in obtaining an amateur radio license. At other times
you've indicated a decades-long interest in amateur radio. It can't be
both ways.

> My continuing advocacy is simply the removal of the morse code
> test requirement for any FCC-issued radio operator license.

There aren't many services for which a morse exam is required, are
there?
Has anyone requested your services as an advocate?

> Since removal or retention of that morse code test element falls
> under the radio amateur POLICY subject matter, I am here for
> such advocacy...as well as in other venues.
>
> Nearly all individual correspondents in here are heavily focussed
> on their own personal experiences and viewpoints (as well as
> emphasizing their own alleged "expertise," aka bragging points).
> They have difficulty in the concept of debate on a SUBJECT rather
> than personality fights involving denigrating personalities who
> don't agree with them.

What I've seen from you, Leonard, is that your focus is based upon your
personal experiences and viewpoints. You seem to emphasize your own
alleged "expertise" (aka bragging points). You have difficulty debating
rather than engaging in the denigration of those who don't agree with
you.
Maybe you're thin-skinned.

> Debating a SUBJECT rather than the personalities of the debaters
> should not normally be difficult. Unfortunately, the rather extreme
> polarization of individuals with thin emotional skins tends to destroy

> debates, rendering them to mere personality fights that are all too
> common in here.

What's an "emotional skin"?

> I am not shy on expressing displeasure at the countless personal
> attacks posted here in "response" from polarized individuals who
> abhor anyone with opinions differing from theirs.

No, you seem always to have strewn rose petals in the path of those
whose opinion differs from yours. :-)

> As a semi-
> professional writer in addition to being a professional electronics
> design engineer (of some experience in radio communications of
> many kinds)...

Is this some of your "alleged expertise"?

> ...my replies to such biased, personally-insulting
> individuals is a rather easy task.

You don't make it look easy.

> Such provides a bit of personal
> "entertainment" as well...

I find your attempts entertaining, Leonard.

> ...if I abhor anything it is the rigid mindset
> of the extremely polarized self-righteous individuals who cannot
> tolerate (ever) any opinion other than their own. :-)

Have you ever expressed tolerance for the code testing opinions of those
who do not agree with you? :-)

> Well over two decades ago I became an advocate of elimination of
> the morse code test for a license.

The world loves an uninvolved, self-appointed advocate.

> Not for myself, despite how
> "strange" that may appear to nearly everyone else.

...and there is near unanimity that it appears strange for you to be
advocating change in something in which you are uninvolved.

> It is a SUBJECT
> which can stand on its own.

Then why, pray tell, does it need assistance from you?

> That's not so among what seems to be
> the majority of radio amateurs in here. :-) By all the millions of
> words posted by all, especially those long-time licensees of the morse
> persuasion, there is NO debate. All shall continue for newcomers

> as it did for those old-timers when they entered amateur radio long
> ago.

That is simply false. When most of us passed the Extra exam, the code exam speed was 20 wpm. Now the speed is 5 wpm. The old entry level exam speed was 5 wpm. There is currently an entry level exam with no morse exam.

> Those same individuals want to squelch debate fully off and
> misdirect all message content with perjorative postings on personalities
> of their "opponents." They have an imaginary territorial imperative
> that must be protected at all costs.

...and you've never, ever made pejorative (not perjorative) postings on personalities, eh Leonard?

> An example of the misdirection into personal pejoratives are nearly
> all the postings of "K4YZ" in here. Case in point: My description
> of the operations of the then-3rd-largest Army radio station in
> ACAN a half century ago. I did that to illustrate the "plain, simple
> fact" that the U.S. military did NOT plan on using morse code
> mode for fixed, point-to-point long-distance message handling back
> a half century ago. Such messaging made up the overwhelming
> bulk of military "traffic" worldwide at those times...as it does now.
> But now such "traffic" is found on DSN terminals which rarely use
> any HF radio for network linking.

That's all misdirection. Radio amateurs aren't part of the Army, nor do they handle bulk message traffic. What has any of that to do with amateur radio?

> "K4YZ" lacked such military radio communications experience,
> despite serving considerably longer than I did. He was ignorant of
> military communications history and even modern small-unit radio
> communications of today.

What has any of that to do with amateur radio?

> He tried to misdirect my statements as
> personal bragging, trying to lie about military occupation specialty,
> and a host of other ugly statements, all of which was a number of
> lies originated by him. Not even close to debate, just a lot of
> meaningless personal insults from him.

He tried to misdirect your misdirecting statements about what the Army did a half-century ago? To set the record straight, Steve just insulted you? You never insulted him, his jobs, his military service?

> >Emergency comms is listed as one of several reasons the FCC
> >does ham radio licenses and allocates the bandwidth for us.
>
> The FCC does not allocate bandwidth for "emergency comms"
> in amateur radio other than the Alaskan emergency frequency.

You're wrong, though I'm not surprised. The FCC has allocated specific frequencies for hurricane traffic or other emergencies any number of times. In 1983, the Commission authorized specific stations to conduct communications between an amateur station on Grenada and both State Department the U.S. military on 14.351 MHz outside the 20m amateur band. I was one of 'em.

> >No all hams will have working equipment in a regional
> >disaster, but some will. And ham radio doesn't require
> >infrastructure (like cell phones do) to work.
>
> Careful. That is starting to drift off into the usual emotional fantasy
> wish-fulfillment kind of thing that so many do. Think a moment.
>
> Police agencies, fire departments, medical installations do not
> have direct tie-ins with amateur radio. Neither do utility
> companies, transport industries, contractor businesses and all
> other entities which are DIRECTLY affected with emergencies.
> Military and National Guard units have a nebulous tie-in through
> MARS, but MARS frequencies are just outside ham bands. The
> military has adequate communications means on its own and can
> cope with large local and regional emergency aid. Yes, all those
> agencies can be contacted by telephone...but the telephone is
> part of the existing non-amateur infrastructure. :-)

:-) indeed. The Marshall County WV ARES comm van is equipped with amateur radio and county police/fire/medical/SAR radio equipment. ARES members have been trained in the use of the equipment and have been authorized to use it. The ARES group is funded by the county and is counted upon by the county.

Dave K8MN

131. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 00:45:37 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.i9v5s15.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101646

On Wed, 05 Jan 2005 21:10:33 GMT, robert casey wrote:

> Can any ham inside or outside an emergency area handle all
>> the various items contained in that initial messaging with any ease
>> AND accuracy? Probably not.
>>
>> Medical workers IN an emergency area will want to talk to medical
>> workers outside of the area, directly to avoid any mistakes in requests
>> for supplies or other medical aid. They can talk "medical."
>
>If such came up, the ham would give the doctor the mic and let
>him talk directly. To another doctor at another ham shack
>using the mic there. THis doesn't happen very often. and
>thinking about it much borders on fantasy. But the FCC
>says do whatever helps in an emergency.

We do that ROUTINELY in our quarterly hospital communication drills, where all intra- and inter-hospital communications (800 MHz systems) go down for an hour and the only comms are via ham radio (locally 2-m voice, 2-m packet, and 3/4-m SSTV). JACO (or whatever the acronym is - the hospital accreditation agency) now requires all hospitals to have backup communications by ham radio installed in the EOC or the ER or both (we have it in both) with licensed personnel on call, either on staff or volunteers, as a condition of continuing accreditation- at least here in the Pacific Northwest.

My "regular" volunteer assignment is as the voice operator for the inter-hospital net at the local (major) Med Center and we have facilities for having medical personnel talk "medical" to other medical personnel. Most of the traffic, though, is status info - reports of beds occupied/available, resources needed, etc. Anything of a record nature goes by packet. Graphics go by SSTV.

We've had two "live" events where the hospital was taken off the electric grid because of maintenance on the latter, and we stood standby watch for two 8-hour shifts. Fortunately nothing adverse happened, but we were ready and able to take over on a minute's notice.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

132. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 01:00:35 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.i9v6gz7.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101647

On Wed, 05 Jan 2005 22:56:30 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:

>> Police agencies, fire departments, medical installations do not
>> have direct tie-ins with amateur radio. Neither do utility
>> companies, transport industries, contractor businesses and all
>> other entities which are DIRECTLY affected with emergencies.

Strange. These are all "served agencies" of our ARES/RACES
operation here in Washington County (suburban Portland). Why are
they "served agencies" with installed equipment and assigned
operators if they have no tie-in with us?

>> Military and National Guard units have a nebulous tie-in through
>> MARS, but MARS frequencies are just outside ham bands. The
>> military has adequate communications means on its own and can
>> cope with large local and regional emergency aid. Yes, all those
>> agencies can be contacted by telephone...but the telephone is
>> part of the existing non-amateur infrastructure. :-)
>
>:-) indeed. The Marshall County WV ARES comm van is equipped with
>amateur radio and county police/fire/medical/SAR radio equipment. ARES
>members have been trained in the use of the equipment and have been
>authorized to use it. The ARES group is funded by the county and is
>counted upon by the county.

Similarly here in WashCo. Plus we are trained and authorized to
handle the served agencies' overflow traffic on our ham facilities
in the event that RACES is activated.

Amazing what someone who is not involved in the day-to-day nitty
gritty of amateur emergency communication training and operations
would want us to believe.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

133. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 02:30:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050105213021.06095.00000273@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 101648

Sent 9:29 PM EST Jan 5 2005

Is usenet working?

134. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 02:45:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1104979519.413118.171490@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101649

N2EY wrote:
> Sent 9:29 PM EST Jan 5 2005
>
> Is usenet working?

Shows up on google but not AOL

135. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 02:51:13 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <P6udncJ3wuk9OkHcRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101650

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <20050103205644.21488.00000486@mb-m11.aol.com>,
>
> n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>
>>>writes:
>
>
>>>>In article <41D9A958.4000909@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo
>
> <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>
>>>>writes:
>
>
>>>>>Lenof21 wrote:

<snip>

>>> Lovely NON-applicable factoid, doesn't explain anything. Of
>>> course there were radio amateurs with mobile radios "not so
>>> many years ago." There still are.
>
>
>>> But, there are NO facts presented that those mobile radios
>>> were used in emergencies. If they were, there are NO facts
>>> presented as to any percentage of "emergency operations"
>>> relative to normal amateur radio hobby use.
>
>
> I don't think there are any facts that would convince Len.

prolly not.

>
>>>>>> Here's a "plain simple fact:" I replied to J.P.Miccolis'
>
> message
>
>>>>>> and quoted his EXACT WORDS.
>

>
>>>>Looks like a misquote on Len's part.
>
>
>>> Tsk. J.P. "never said that." ? :-)
>
>
>>> Of course he never said that. He WROTE it. :-)
>
>
> Wrong again, Len

And I wonder where the "never said that" quote came from. Was that
supposed to be me?

>
>>>>>Do you mean when Jim posted:
>
>
>>>>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most
>> of the
>
Jim >911-type
>>>>>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.
>
>
>>>>>and you wrote:
>
>
>>>>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>
>
>>>>>> Ain't no "misquote."
>
>
>>>>Sure is. But I don't think Len will admit it, Mike.
>
>
>>> Tsk, tsk. The "911" communications system was never designed
>>> around radio amateurs.
>
>
>>> [this is beginning to sound like another wish-fulfillment
>
> statement
>
>>> done in here by another, "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur
>>> radio!" :-)]
>
>
>>>>>Jim wrote:

>
>
>>>>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most
>
> of the
>
>>>>>Jim >911-type
>>>>>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.
>
>
>>>>>and you wrote:
>
>
>>>>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>
>
>>>>>Where exactly did Jim say that Hams used to do the 911
>
> communications?
>
>
>>>>Not in any post I can recall.
>
>
>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Verbatim copying of actual, posted statements
>>> just a few days ago "can't be recalled?"
>
>
>>> Bad case of short-term memory loss. See a real MD.
>
>
>>>>>> Now you go read my reply and, if you have arguments, you can
>>>>>> go off and argue with the U.S. Census Bureau, NASA, the FCC,
>>>>>> and, for emotional finger-pointing, the families of the
>>>>>> astronauts killed in the shuttle burn-up. Whoever.
>
>>>>Looks like Len is telling you not to differ with *him*, Mike. Looks
>>>>like he's trying to tell you to stop pointing out his misquote.
>
>
>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk. Ol' George (Orwell) should have been around
>>> to see this parody of "1984." :-)
>
>
> You mean the way you deny history, Len?
>
>
>>> J.P. denies having said something (or wrote) and Coslo keeps
>>> trying to stick his hockey puck in here, and both are having a
>>> fun time pretending to be honorable and virtuous paragons of

>>> truth, justice, and the ARRL way.
>
>
>> I'll admit, I am having fun.
>
>
>>> Seems like there SHALL BE NO ARGUMENTS WITH PCTA
>>> EXTRAS!!! Ever. :-)
>
>
>> Oh dear, I know at least Jim and myself love a good row! I like
>>accurate quotes tho'!
>
>
> If you like accuracy, stay away from Len ;-)
>
>
>>>>>If you don't like my messages, I suggest you do the same.
>
>
>>>>Sounds like good advice. Of course the author of that one won't
>
> take his own
>
>>>>advice...
>
>
>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk. J.P. didn't take such advice. :-)
>
>
>>> Coslo keeps jumping in on others postings, acting like an
>>> immoderate moderator in an unmoderated forum.

Jim, I'm beginning to think that Len takes umbrage at my posts. Just
imagine! A poster making posts within a thread! Especially "jumping in
on others postings" (an actual quote) in a thread that I started.

My goodness, the nerve of some hockey pucks!! 8^)

>
>> Don't you just *hate it* when that happens?

>
> Wonder where you learned that , Mike?

Why SNL of course!

>
>>> It's a Fantasyland. The "911" emergency call system was NOT
>>> established with or for amateur use, yet the two paragons keep
>>> trying to say it was and that hams DID "911" comms (even though
>>> it did not exist then).

>
>
>> Good point. No one said that though.
>
>
>>> Twilight Zone. Lost in Space. Outer Limits.

Twilight Zone - awesome show. A few episodes kind of date, but still
highly watchable. A+

Lost in Space - Bleh, although I thought June Lockhart was pretty sharp
in her aluminum foil space suit. C-

Outer Limits - The intro can still give me goosebumps. I loved it when I
was a kid. Now some of the episodes seem a little uneven. B+

>
>>> Jeff Herman said that hams can do communications with zero power
>>> output.
>
>
> Where?

I think he is referring to the article in QST about communications
without radiated energy. I'm extremely skeptical, but who knows what can
happen in the quantum world? Who would have figured that you can tell
what the spin of a split particle could be affected by the measurement
of the other particle that it was split from, without any connection.

Note that no radiated energy does not imply no energy used.

>
>>> J.P. implied that ham HTs were the only practical means of comms
>>> among shuttle burn-up debris searching. [all the rest of those
>>> thousands and thousands of government and agency HTs were
>>> apparently inadequate in the Fantasyland]
>
>
>> Where on earth did he say that? Another of these "quotes"?
>
>
>> Hams used VHF HF, and an internet link during the debris search. I
>>don't know if they used UHF or not
>
>
> What I *did* say was that ham radio was much more effective than cell
> phones in that effort.

NO question about that.

> But don't take my word for it. Read what someone who *was there* wrote:
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/5be7f564cbf4f819?dmode=

source
>
> Was Len there, searching for shuttle debris? I don't think so.
>
>
>>> This is getting to be like Laugh-In but without the humor...
>
>
>> It is there, you just have to look for it!
>
> Indeed. ;-)
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

- Mike KB3EIA -

136. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 02:53:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <P6udnf13wumpNUHcRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101651

N2EY wrote:

> Sent 9:29 PM EST Jan 5 2005
>
> Is usenet working?

UR RST 599, OM.

- Mke KB3EIA -

137. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 04:03:45 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <BM2Dd.1567$Pm6.1309@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101652

"Lenof21" <lenof21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20050104135716.09630.00000345@mb-m20.aol.com...

: All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
: period.

When a license in your country expires, it is expired without operation
beyond that date. Inside the grace period allows only renewal without
examination repitition, not operations.

Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte sends

138. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 12:15:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105013747.502511.140180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101653

Lenof21 wrote:

> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their
grace
> period. There is no necessity (nor sense) to eliminate those in
the
> grace period from those in the normal 10-year license period from
> any class totals.

Absolutely, positively, without fear of contradiction WRONG!

The "grace period" (as it pertains to Amateur Radio application)
is that period of time between the EXPIRATION of your license, and the
point of time afterwhich you must re-examine for licensure.
In this case, two years.

Steve, K4YZ

139. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 12:18:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105013928.369114.129060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101654

From: Mike Coslo <mco...@adelphia.net> - Find messages by this author
Date: Wed, 05 Jan 2005 21:53:41 -0500
Local: Wed, Jan 5 2005 6:53 pm
Subject: Re: Test

N2EY wrote:
>> Sent 9:29 PM EST Jan 5 2005

>> Is usenet working?

>UR RST 599, OM.

"YOU'RE FIVE NINE MARY-LAND, BUT CAN YOU REPEAT YOUR NUMBER AND
CALL...???"

I always love those guys.

Steve, K4YZ

140. Re: Problem for asthma patients

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 12:30:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105014655.782626.196960@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101655

Lenof21 Jan 2, 11:29 am show options

>Let me know the Part 97 paragraph equating "sex" with amateur radio...

Sorry, Your Creepiness...That's a Church of St Hiram Bloodbrother
Secret. If ya ain't a member, you don't get to find out.

You ain't a member...So you're just going to have to pout.
Steve, K4YZ

141. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 13:12:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105017142.115709.34050@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101656

K4YZ wrote:
> From: Mike Coslo <mco...@adelphia.net> - Find messages by this author
> Date: Wed, 05 Jan 2005 21:53:41 -0500
> Local: Wed, Jan 5 2005 6:53 pm
> Subject: Re: Test
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >> Sent 9:29 PM EST Jan 5 2005
>
> >> Is usenet working?

AOL has been behaving strangely. Postings can be made but not seen.
This is after some days of multiple postings from the same author, old
posts reappearing after being marked "read", etc.

Which is why you're seeing this from Google.
>
> >UR RST 599, OM.
>
> "YOU'RE FIVE NINE MARY-LAND, BUT CAN YOU REPEAT YOUR NUMBER AND
> CALL...???"
>
> I always love those guys.

Yep. Another is the habit of repeating the *other guy's* exchange, and
asking "QSL?"

Which is one more reason I'm mostly on CW. None of that nonsense.
73 de Jim, N2EY

142. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 14:54:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105023243.240121.121840@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101657

K4YZ wrote:
> Lenof21 wrote:
>
> > All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their
> grace
> > period. There is no necessity (nor sense) to eliminate those in
> the
> > grace period from those in the normal 10-year license period
from
> > any class totals.
>
> Absolutely, positively, without fear of contradiction WRONG!
>
> The "grace period" (as it pertains to Amateur Radio application)
> is that period of time between the EXPIRATION of your license, and
the
> point of time afterwhich you must re-examine for licensure.
> In this case, two years.

PS...I wonder what snivveling excuse His Putziness will use to
slither out from under THIS faux pas!

He CERTAINLY won't use the words "I was wrong" or some similar
manifestation! Watch and wonder, Kids! It's bound to be colorful!
73

Steve, K4YZ

143. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 16:50:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41DD6C3E.3080905@psu.edu>
Source record: 101658

robert casey wrote:

>>
>>
>> Okay, lets consider a REAL emergency, such as a tsunami hitting
>> a coastal community. Some of the first communications out of that
>> area is an initial damage assessment, medical aid, requests for
>> earth-moving equipment, transportation of various kinds, perhaps
>> requests for food supplies (there could be other things). "Health
>> and welfare" messages of a personal nature are LOW on the priority
>> list.
>
>
> Yes, and hams can handle that low priority stuff to offload
> the more important communications links. "I took care of
> the mundane boring stuff so the heroes could save the lives"...

Perhaps we should ask the people who are on the ends of that health and welfare, low priority comms how low of priority those are?

Like "Hello Mother and father, I am alive and healthy, but in need of a new passport."

How important is that? On the global scale, not to much, but critically important to the people involved....

- Mike KB3EIA -

144. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 17:20:22 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <345ae8F4735qrU1@individual.net>
Source record: 101659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Joe O'Connell" <w7kqu@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:MMednRCZ7-ExqUHcRVn-jQ@giganews.com...
> These were not really pictures,but rather the "image" was made entirely by
> characters on a "real"teletype machine keyboard.In the '60s
> there were a number of these would show up at various times.Xmas themes
> around the holiday,some pretty good images of Playboy centerfolds also
made
> the rounds.
> These had nothing to do with computers,the person making the image would
cut
> a tape on his machine.The image could be sent without interuption.This was
> real RTTY,no computers.
> I would think a few old timers would still have some tapes in their
> collection.
> Joe
> W7KQU
>

Hello, Joe

Been there, done that - both from KG6AAY on Guam and in the various teletype rooms in the Navy back in the 60s. Around Christmas time, you'd see a lot of santa and the reindeer done in ascii graphics.

As to real RTTY, believe me you wouldn't want to be in one of those small rooms on a ship. Metal deck, metal bulkheads, metal overhead, and over a dozen teletypes clanking away. It was loud.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

145. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 17:49:46 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@aolwebcstman.com>
Message-ID: <crjthh$99e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 101660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:345ae8F4735qrU1@individual.net...
>
> Hello, Joe
>
> Been there, done that - both from KG6AAY on Guam and in the various
> teletype
> rooms in the Navy back in the 60s. Around Christmas time, you'd see a lot
> of santa and the reindeer done in ascii graphics.
>
> As to real RTTY, believe me you wouldn't want to be in one of those small
> rooms on a ship. Metal deck, metal bulkheads, metal overhead, and over a
> dozen teletypes clanking away. It was loud.
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

Did you ever work for Admiral Hans K0HB? He was the greatest
radioman admiral in the navy, according to him.

73,

Lloyd

146. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 18:58:14 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.i9wkd2c.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101664

On 6 Jan 2005 04:15:47 -0800, K4YZ wrote:

>> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their
>> grace period.

>Absolutely, positively, without fear of contradiction WRONG!
>
>The "grace period" (as it pertains to Amateur Radio application)
>is that period of time between the EXPIRATION of your license, and the
>point of time afterwhich you must re-examine for licensure.
>In this case, two years.

The only time that a licensee can continue to operate after the
license has expired is if an application for renewal was timely made
and that application has not yet been acted upon.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

147. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 20:03:32 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050106150332.06260.00000398@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 101661

In article <41DBEE3B.5050302@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>All numbers are *interpreted*. That a poster here chooses to use the
>word "massaged" which has a different connotation than interpreted, it
>is just another situation akin to your nonexistent quote "Hams used to
>do the 911 communications."
>
> Raw data is just that. It signifies very little.

"Raw data" is supplied by the FCC. You know, the agency in the USA
that actually GRANTS those amateur radio licenses. All that data is
found in huge data files, complete with the datafield identification so that
anyone can tally up what they want to tally.

Taking a look at Novice class licensees, anyone can see that the totals
for that class have been steadily dropping for years and years. No
"rocket science" intellect is needed to see that. Those who got started
in amateur radio via a Novice class license don't like that, but the fact
is there. No interpretation needed.

The original no-code-test Technician class license was responsible
for the overall amateur license increase in numbers, ever since that
class was first allocated 13 years ago. The Technician class license
of today has almost 40% of all licensees, far above any other class.
[at the present growth rate it might exceed 40% this month] That is
something the PCTAs vainly try to dispute.

Joe Speroni seems to be the first one disputing that no-code class.
Since the last Restructuring the no-longer-issued-new Technician Plus
class license was no longer allowed to be called a "no-code" license
due to the FCC changing renewals of the Tech Plus to Tech. Speroni
is a definite PCTA type. :-) J.P.Miccolis is another definte PCTA and
made much about Technician class licensees can never be called
"no-code" because of that renewal class change. :-)

One big problem with that (besides the PCTA unable to face reality) is
that the definitely-no-code-test Technician class licensees outnumbered
150K prior to Restructuring. That class total has never stopped growing
(at a rate more than other classes) since it began. The raw data from
the FCC contains enough information on all licensees to show whether
or not a Technician class licensee took a code test or not. PCTAs don't
seem to want to extract that. It refutes their claims.

There isn't any evidence that all those previous-Technician-class-who-
never-took-a-code-test are all "dropping out of ham radio" at the end of
the grace period on their first renewals. That was loudly and repeatedly

trumpeted by the PCTA, even Joe Speroni on the AH0A statistics web-
site. Most of them are still there, have renewed. What now? :-)

>Take the "grace
>period" for example. It should ONLY be included as a separate part of an
>interpretation.

WHY? The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license period...and
for two more years into that grace period.

There are many, many reasons, all valid, for being unable to renew
prior to the last day of the 10-year period. You created an artificial
thing there with your particular interpretation.

>Same goes for club calls and a few other types of
>license.

Club calls, as of 1 January 2005, numbered 9,329. I did not include
them in the grand total of 734,384. My posted total was 725,055 for
all classes. Club calls represent 1.27% of all call signs.

In truth, the military call signs, what few there are, were included in
the grand total. If you feel that their numbers are so overwhelmingly
important, just go to Hamdata.com and get them. They post that
data, too.

The raw data from the FCC has ALL that information.

> My point is that using all numbers without differentiation will
>certainly lead to unclear results that the reader would have to do their
>own interpretation with.

Your sentence structure is something up with which most won't put.

:-)

"Interpretation" and "massaging" raw data (classic case is the Speroni
stat-lumping of Tech and Tech+ after restructuring) seems to be a
necessity with the pro-code-test-advocate and the status-quoist who
is vainly trying to hang onto the past long after change has happened.

One bad little number from Hamdata.com: Those failing to renew any
license class in 2004 numbere 19,065. There were only 17,282 new
licensees so the delta is a -1,783. The ranting PCTAs will probably
rationalize that as "class changes" which would not apply...there were
12,203 of those and they do not apply to experiations or brand-new
licensees.

A few PCTAs have gone as far to say that "hardly any" of the brand-new
licensees went to Technician class. :-) The raw data indicates that
they did, against the ardent wishes of the PCTA. It's all in the FCC raw
data. You just have to sort it out. That takes work. PCTAs don't want
to do that, they want to obscure the raw data with their own massaging

and sound like gurus.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

148. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 20:19:39 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <v3hDd.2194$Pm6.2156@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101662

"Lenof21" <lenof21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20050106150332.06260.00000398@mb-m26.aol.com...

:
: The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
: midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license period...and
: for two more years into that grace period.
:

Untrue. (Some would say an outright lie) They are certainly prohibited from
operating their amateur radio station without supervision, since they
possess no valid operator license.

Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte sends

149. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 20:43:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <RuOdnQHSlYZ4P0DcRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101663

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <41DBEE3B.5050302@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>All numbers are *interpreted*. That a poster here chooses to use the
>>word "massaged" which has a different connotation than interpreted, it
>>is just another situation akin to your nonexistent quote "Hams used to
>>do the 911 communications."
>>
>> Raw data is just that. It signifies very little.
>
>
> "Raw data" is supplied by the FCC. You know, the agency in the USA
> that actually GRANTS those amateur radio licenses. All that data is
> found in huge data files, complete with the datafield identification so that
> anyone can tally up what they want to tally.
>
> Taking a look at Novice class licensees, anyone can see that the totals
> for that class have been steadily dropping for years and years. No
> "rocket science" intellect is needed to see that. Those who got started
> in amateur radio via a Novice class license don't like that, but the fact
> is there. No interpretation needed.
>
> The original no-code-test Technician class license was responsible
> for the overall amateur license increase in numbers, ever since that
> class was first allocated 13 years ago. The Technician class license
> of today has almost 40% of all licensees, far above any other class.
> [at the present growth rate it might exceed 40% this month] That is
> something the PCTAs vainly try to dispute.
>
> Joe Speroni seems to be the first one disputing that no-code class.
> Since the last Restructuring the no-longer-issued-new Technician Plus
> class license was no longer allowed to be called a "no-code" license
> due to the FCC changing renewals of the Tech Plus to Tech. Speroni
> is a definite PCTA type. :-) J.P.Miccolis is another definte PCTA and
> made much about Technician class licensees can never be called
> "no-code" because of that renewal class change. :-)
>
> One big problem with that (besides the PCTA unable to face reality) is
> that the definitely-no-code-test Technician class licensees outnumbered
> 150K prior to Restructuring. That class total has never stopped growing
> (at a rate more than other classes) since it began. The raw data from
> the FCC contains enough information on all licensees to show whether
> or not a Technician class licensee took a code test or not. PCTAs don't

> seem to want to extract that. It refutes their claims.
>
> There isn't any evidence that all those previous-Technician-class-who-
> never-took-a-code-test are all "dropping out of ham radio" at the end of
> the grace period on their first renewals. That was loudly and repeatedly
> trumpeted by the PCTA, even Joe Speroni on the AH0A statistics web-
> site. Most of them are still there, have renewed. What now? :-)
>
>
>>Take the "grace
>>period" for example. It should ONLY be included as a separate part of an
>>interpretation.
>
>
> WHY? The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
> midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license period...and
> for two more years into that grace period.

There is a difference. The ex-license holder is prohibited from
operating. The callsign is saved, and the ex-license holder will not
have to retest if they renew within that time, but they are no longer
permitted their privileges

> There are many, many reasons, all valid, for being unable to renew
> prior to the last day of the 10-year period. You created an artificial
> thing there with your particular interpretation.

What is artificial about the loss of operating privileges?

>
>
>>Same goes for club calls and a few other types of
>>license.
>
>
> Club calls, as of 1 January 2005, numbered 9,329. I did not include
> them in the grand total of 734,384. My posted total was 725,055 for
> all classes. Club calls represent 1.27% of all call signs.
>
> In truth, the military call signs, what few there are, were included in
> the grand total. If you feel that their numbers are so overwhelmingly
> important, just go to Hamdata.com and get them. They post that
> data, too.
>
> The raw data from the FCC has ALL that information.
>
>
>
>> My point is that using all numbers without differentiation will
>>certainly lead to unclear results that the reader would have to do their
>>own interpretation with.

>
>
> Your sentence structure is something up with which most won't put.
>
> :-)

It is not backwards. Raw numbers = unclear results = reader
interpretation. Seems like I put them in the right order. 8^)

> "Interpretation" and "massaging" raw data (classic case is the Speroni
> stat-lumping of Tech and Tech+ after restructuring) seems to be a
> necessity with the pro-code-test-advocate and the status-quoist who
> is vainly trying to hang onto the past long after change has happened.

THen explain how including ex-hams is *not* doing massaging of the
numbers? They cannot operate, all they can do is have some bit of
convenience if and when they do renew.

> One bad little number from Hamdata.com: Those failing to renew any
> license class in 2004 numbere 19,065. There were only 17,282 new
> licensees so the delta is a -1,783. The ranting PCTAs will probably
> rationalize that as "class changes" which would not apply...there were
> 12,203 of those and they do not apply to experiations or brand-new
> licensees.

> A few PCTAs have gone as far to say that "hardly any" of the brand-new
> licensees went to Technician class. :-) The raw data indicates that
> they did, against the ardent wishes of the PCTA. It's all in the FCC raw
> data. You just have to sort it out. That takes work. PCTAs don't want
> to do that, they want to obscure the raw data with their own massaging
> and sound like gurus.

My own interpretation of the numbers is that there were a lot of
Technicians that did not renew their licenses, leading to a big falloff.
My suspicion is that they did not renew because they had been inactive
for years. Some of the activities that were attractive to them at time
of licensing are better served by other mediums such a cell phones, and
there will always be a certain number who will find a new hobby not
interesting enough to keep them in it. Of course there might be some
mortality reasons, and no doubt a few miscellaneous reasons.

But it happened regardless of the the reason(s).

Many people want to apply spin to the drop-off.

Some will say it is because dumbing down the tests didn't attract more
people to amateur radio. That seems like a strange reason to me, because
first I don't believe that the requirements have been "dumbed down".
Secondly, it is a negative reason anyhow, presupposing that a person is
thinking about becoming a ham, and that they know that the tests were
"harder" before their interest, so to spite themselves, they won't get
the license. That is pretty weird.

Others will say that it is because the Morse code requirement is too hard, causing people to become discouraged. Now I am absolutely sure that some people do indeed become discouraged at the learning effort and may drop out. I don't doubt that some did at the Technician level also. But there is no way that some 19,000 did.

My spin, or lack thereof is that times changed, and what attracted those people to Ham radio no longer attracts them. Probably the cell phone. Maybe some found that surfing the internet was a lot more fun and less challenging.

My final analysis of the situation is that it is encouraging in spite of the change. That we had 19K+ Hams fall out of the ranks, yet are close to making up for it is not a bad thing. It is too bad they didn't stay, but if they don't wanna be Hams, there isn't any way we can stop them! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

150. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 21:45:24 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <UjiDd.2595$W32.1709@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101665

Todd Daugherty wrote:
> Hello, well tonight's broadcast is over. I'll probably rebroadcast it
> tomorrow night on 20 meters
>

Take the tape of it and encode it to an mp3
file, then post it on your web site. Then everyone
can listen at their convenience. And you won't
be tying up ham band bandwidth.

151. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-06 22:57:55 UTC
From: Joe O'Connell <w7kqu@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <tL2dnXEQppcQX0DcRVn-og@giganews.com>
Source record: 101666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Hi Jim,
Also been there,was the Operations Officer on a USCG ship and would occaisionly fill a seat in the Radio Room on RTTY and the 500 Kc cw position when the radiomen were shorthanded.
just to help out.
73
Joe
W7KQU

152. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 00:00:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105056049.926253.31850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101667

Lenof21 wrote:
> >Take the "grace
> >period" for example. It should ONLY be included as a separate part
of an
> >interpretation.
>
> WHY? The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything
after
> midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license
period...and
> for two more years into that grace period.

That's simply not true, Len. The holder of an expired FCC amateur radio license cannot legally operate until the license has been renewed.

This is the second time I've seen you state that mistake here in the past few days. An expired FCC amateur license carries *no* operating privleges.

IS there some reason you persist in this obvious error?

> There are many, many reasons, all valid, for being unable to renew
> prior to the last day of the 10-year period.

Such as?

FCC allows renewal from 90 days before the expiration date. Renewal can be done online, or the appropriate forms can be downloaded or ordered well in advance and the renewal done by mail.

In any event, even if someone cannot renew before the license expires, they cannot legally operate while in the grace period.

> You created an artificial
> thing there with your particular interpretation.
Have you read 97.21(b)? It's pretty clear on the subject.

153. No More Technology Jail USA With Bandwidth-Based Spectrum Management

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 01:01:37 UTC
From: radioadventure@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050106200137.00405.00002507@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 101668

Dear USA hams,

Recent developments and the difficulty of testing new methods of communications in the ham bands in USA are part of the technology jail we have built ourselves into with existing FCC rules. The ham bands used to be a place for pioneering the airwaves, but we presently have to go through strange contortions to work with new concepts in communications.

Now, there are some who want to build new and different technology jails into Bandwidth-Based Spectrum Management rulemaking for USA. These jails take the form of innocent-sounding exceptions to spectrum management based upon bandwidth alone. In some cases, they want to harken back to mode restrictions, in other cases they want to fence off certain protocols or operating procedures.

Many of the newer methods of communication technology make use of "handshaking", auto-transponding, or ARQ communication techniques. These are important techniques that can be applied to almost any emission type or signal method to enhance the ability for hams to dodge QRM, avoid interference from locally generated RFI noise, and even mitigate the interference from BPL. Yes, they can be applied to "Phone, Image, Data, Morse-CW, etc". They are presently in use by many other HF spectrum users, but relatively few hams. Eventually, these techniques will become commonplace in amateur equipment and routinely used by hams without a second thought.

Some say "the sky is falling", and they advocate carving out a chunk, or the creation of a "technology jail", on each band in the HF spectrum for these techniques. That is not only short-sighted, it is counterproductive for USA's Amateur Radio Service. Other countries that we communicate with on HF do not have rules with such "technology jails" in their amateur spectrum like we have in USA. Indeed, our neighbor to the north, Canada, has built no protocol prisons. We co-exist every day with Canadians on HF.

To carve out small chunks of such restricted subbands would put us back in the dark ages of the days when we had to work split (half-duplex) with other countries... hey, come to think of it... that's the way it is right now on 40m and 80m!

Let's break free of the amateur radio dark ages' technology jail and abolish it forever.

Eliminate all mode, protocol, and operating-procedure exceptions from new Bandwidth-Based Spectrum Management rule proposals.

ARQ has nothing to do with bandwidth! ARQ can exist at any bandwidth... including very narrow bandwidth... even slow Morse CW. ARQ can exist as a data mode, a phone mode, or an image mode. Protocols, operating procedures, and modes can be changed like the flavor-of-the-month... at the click of a mouse!
Example: PACTOR 1, PACTOR 2, PACTOR 3, etc. etc. etc.

The Pactor card is being played as a boogie-man scare tactic. It is not relevant to Bandwidth-Based Spectrum Management. IARU Bandplans are the place for discussions of Pactor. Perhaps if there was to be a new committee comprised of a wider cross-section of enthusiasts for a variety of amateur practices, this pitfall could be avoided.

Bandwidth-Based Spectrum Management must be "protocal-neutral" and "mode-neutral" to be fair, equitable, and provide a non-invasive framework for the future of communications technology in the Amateur Radio Service.

We all realize it is common in congress when they are writing a new law, to allow "riders" on the bills that are passed. The riders often have nothing to do with the original bill. But, this sort of politicking is counterproductive when it comes to the long-overdue need for technological advancement in the Amateur Radio Service. A "Pactor Rider" on the Bandwidth-Based Spectrum Management issue only serves to divide us.

Those who want to develop rulemaking about ARQ and automation have every right to do so in a separate proposal. But jamming it into the bandwidth issue simply isn't the right way to go about it.

I don't fault some the proponents who propagate restrictive technology jails. Many of them have never known the freedom USA hams had before they were built in the mid-20th century. The vicious cycle of continuing these restrictions was started within the ARRL's committee. The ARRL adhoc committee was mainly concerned with data communications and couldn't help being a Special Interest group... it was chocked full of specialists and experts in digital data communications.

But if we are all to benefit from change, we need more hams to be involved with these rulemaking proposals who can look beyond their Special Interest and be fair for everyone. Let's put away the microscope of Special Interest and get out the wide angle telescope. Change is on the horizon... marching toward us.

Change happens. Technological change is inevitable. Let's provide an open un-restrictive framework for technological change in the Amateur Radio Service.

Why are some operators afraid of Bandwidth-Based Spectrum Management?

One of the answers is:

Our present system encourages the use of brute force KILOWATTS to rule the airwaves!

Bandwidth-Based Spectrum Management levels the playing field, so it might be seen as a threat to big guns, loosening the tight grip of "frequency management by kilowatt fiat".

Through the routine use of spectrum-efficient, time-efficient, and power-efficient methods, it will be possible for the common amateur station to co-exist more equally, and eventually evolve from a world of QRO dinosaurs.

By the use of several standard emission bandwidths: 500Hz, 3kHz, and 10kHz, we can fit many more QSOs into our HF bands. Modern HF radios with brickwall filters enable more signals in the same band. Under the thumb of our olde 20th century mode-based frequency bands, many parts of our HF bands are dormant, while other parts of the same band are packed to the brim. It is not efficient, and we need to make use of all the available spectrum to both accommodate the traffic and to distribute activity so that amateurs maintain valuable spectrum. Use it or lose it.

By allowing any mode with 500Hz nominal bandwidth throughout the entire band, and allowing 80% of the band to be used by 3kHz nominal bandwidth signals, we not only expand within our useful spectrum, but we increase our ability to pioneer new modulation methods and communicate internationally. By devoting parts of our largest and least-used HF bands to 10kHz bandwidth, we make space for experimental signal types, wideband SSB, AM, FM, digital voice, time-division-multiplex, wideband digital voice, OFDM, email, high resolution images, high definition television, and simultaneous multimedia.

Management of HF Spectrum can be handled as a Communications Engineering issue.

It need not be difficult or highly charged with opinion and infighting.

To begin with, the word "mode" needs to be thrown out of the equation, along with the "mode war" that has been brewing.

It is extremely difficult to technically categorize what a "mode" is these days (in the traditional sense) anyway, and the olde definitions simply do not apply anymore.

Using simple math, it is easy to compute the proper spectrum distribution to include various bandwidth signals, accommodated by each band.

Here is an example of one simple way to use Radio Communications Engineering, to enable equitable planning for at least 200 simultaneous QSOs in a typical 350kHz-wide Amateur HF band:

A sub-band 50kHz wide at the lower part of the band provides space for 100 QSOs (comm channels) at 500Hz bandwidth.
100ch x 0.5kHz = 50kHz

A sub-band 300kHz wide at the upper part of the band provides space
for 100 QSOs (comm channels) at 3kHz bandwidth.
100ch x 3kHz = 300kHz

Obviously, on HF, there will be spectrum re-use due to skip zones,
spacial distribution of stations, and propagation. Also, signals not
consuming the entire 500Hz or 3kHz allotted comm channel will make
even more QSOs possible. For purpose of example, lets say this would
lead conservatively to an estimated 33% more QSOs. One possible use
for some of this extra 33% "free" band space could be to provide about
50kHz for comm channel bandwidths of 10kHz. The wider comm channel
bandwidth portions could carry legacy AM signals, more advanced
high-content signals, very robust low-content signals, "time-shared"
or multiplexed signals, or many variations of future experimental modes.

Another key ingredient that can be supplied to fit into the equation,
by analysis, is the actual percent of signal bandwidth distribution of
amateur activity on the air. This could be combined with
extrapolations based upon bandwidth trends over the past 20 years, to
plan for the next 10 years or so.

With a good dose of "fair and equitable" thrown in, it would seem that
a "blue ribbon panel" from a wide variety of Amateur practice could be
convened to help sort out the parts of the spectrum planning equation
that are not easily handled by the simple math.

Are we as ham radio operators in USA, falling behind other countries
technologically? Yes. Let's plan together so that we can enjoy the
freedom in USA to progress technologically... a freedom that many
other countries' hams already enjoy.

Some of us patriotic USA hams seek the freedom that other countries'
hams already have. We don't want to be left in the dust
technologically due to antiquated FCC rules.

73---Bonnie KQ6XA
http://www.qsl.net/kq6xa/freqplan

.

154. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 01:50:49 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <ZVlDd.2559$v76.1170@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101670

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41dde52b$1_2@127.0.0.1...

: I think since we have the internet we really don't
: need amateur radio or broadcast services because
: all of that could be done on web and thus, would free
: a lot of spectrum up.
:
: Todd N9OGL

Sounds like a plan. You go first.

M.A.N.
--
The Gods Must Be Friggin' CRAZY!

155. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 02:22:12 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <346a65F47d3sgU1@individual.net>
Source record: 101671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@aolwebcstman.com> wrote in message
news:crjthh$99e$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>
>
> Did you ever work for Admiral Hans K0HB? He was the greatest
> radioman admiral in the navy, according to him.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>
>

Hello, Lloyd

Now that you mention it, a typhoon hit Saipan (I'm guessing around 80 miles from Guam) and took out the U.S. Coast Guard tower. This was sometime around 1968. A chief and another man or two were sent to Saipan to try and re-establish communications with Guam.

I was in the shack at KG6AAY (Naval communications receiver site) and heard "Sparkplug" (the Navy station on Guam) trying to contact "Sparkplug1" (the men sent to Saipan to re-establish communications). After a number of exchanges where the Guam site kept saying "you are loud, but garbled" (someone didn't know how to turn down the rf gain, I assume), I broke in. Given the circumstances, the FCC would not have objected. I made contact with "Sparkplug1" on Saipan as KG6AAY.

Within a matter of minutes, the command came down for the ham shack to pass the traffic directly to Commander, Naval Forces Marianas. Our commanding officer, Captain Delany (not sure if I got the name spelled correctly), appeared in the shack and secured 3 hams from all other duties as they were to rotate duty amongst themselves and maintain 24 hour communications with Saipan until he ordered otherwise. The hams maintained communications until repairs were made to the Coast Guard installation.

The guy on the other end (on Saipan) was Hans.
I have a lot of respect for that gentleman.

So what have you done that would allow you to think that Hans didn't do much?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

156. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 02:51:54 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105066314.701095.291270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101672

On the emergency vehicle runs into the evacuating town of Valmeyer Illinois, during the 93' Mississippi flood, a ham accompanied each run because EMS radio communications wouldn't cover the area below the bluffs. But the amateur could communicate from below the bluffs up to a repeater on the opposite bank of the river in Missouri, and the ham at the dispatch could relay messages.

BTW, the town didn't make it.

But how often do you have a 500yr flood? (rhetorical question - K8MN need not apply).

bb

157. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 03:52:51 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@aolwebcstman.com>
Message-ID: <crl0rr$q87$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 101673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:346a65F47d3sgU1@individual.net...
>
> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@aolwebcstman.com> wrote in message
> news:crjthh$99e$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>>
>>
>> Did you ever work for Admiral Hans K0HB? He was the greatest
>> radioman admiral in the navy, according to him.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Lloyd
>>
>>
>>
>
> Hello, Lloyd
>
> Now that you mention it, a typhoon hit Saipan (I'm guessing around 80
> miles
> from Guam) and took out the U.S. Coast Guard tower. This was sometime
> around 1968. A chief and another man or two were sent to Saipan to try
> and
> re-establish communications with Guam.
>
> I was in the shack at KG6AAY (Naval communications receiver site) and
> heard
> "Sparkplug" (the Navy station on Guam) trying to contact "Sparkplug1" (the
> men sent to Saipan to re-establish communications). After a number of
> exchanges where the Guam site kept saying "you are loud, but garbled"
> (someone didn't know how to turn down the rf gain, I assume), I broke in.
> Given the circumstances, the FCC would not have objected. I made contact
> with "Sparkplug1" on Saipan as KG6AAY.
>
> Within a matter of minutes, the command came down for the ham shack to
> pass
> the traffic directly to Commander, Naval Forces Marianas. Our commanding
> officer, Captain Delany (not sure if I got the name spelled correctly),
> appeared in the shack and secured 3 hams from all other duties as they
> were
> to rotate duty amongst themselves and maintain 24 hour communications with

> Saipan until he ordered otherwise. The hams maintained communications
> until
> repairs were made to the Coast Guard installation.
>
> The guy on the other end (on Saipan) was Hans.
> I have a lot of respect for that gentleman.
>
> So what have you done that would allow you to think that Hans didn't do
> much?
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

Blahhh Blahhhh Blahhhh, Have heard "Admiral" Hans tell that
story down at the Legion many times. Each time the exploits
get bigger, and the story gets longer!

ROTFLMAO!

73,

Lloyd

158. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 03:56:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1105070208.460089.193840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101674

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > From: Mike Coslo <mco...@adelphia.net> - Find messages by this
author
> > Date: Wed, 05 Jan 2005 21:53:41 -0500
> > Local: Wed, Jan 5 2005 6:53 pm
> > Subject: Re: Test
> >
> > N2EY wrote:
> > >> Sent 9:29 PM EST Jan 5 2005
> >
> > >> Is usenet working?
>
> AOL has been behaving strangely.

Uhhh . . when *wasn't* AOL strange . . ?

> Postings can be made but not seen.
> This is after some days of multiple postings from the same author,
old
> posts reappearing after being marked "read", etc.
>
> Which is why you're seeing this from Google.
> >
> > >UR RST 599, OM.
> >
> > "YOU'RE FIVE NINE MARY-LAND, BUT CAN YOU REPEAT YOUR NUMBER AND
> > CALL...???"
> >
> > I always love those guys.
>
> Yep. Another is the habit of repeating the *other guy's* exchange,
and
> asking "QSL?"
>
> Which is one more reason I'm mostly on CW. None of that nonsense.
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

b.

159. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 04:40:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41DE1278.1B4C7819@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101675

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> ... Hollingworth and his gestopo stomping on free speech.

His what?

> Do get me wrong I'm all for ham radio but I am not for this crap of
> ham radio operators or the FCC gestopo atempts to control the content of
> amateur radio stations.

You want us to get you wrong? I'm sold. Watch out for those "gestopo
atempts".

You never did tell me about this "micro broadcasting movement".

Dave K8MN

160. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 05:24:39 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <r2pDd.2555$%e1.700@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101676

>
> But how often do you have a 500yr flood? (rhetorical question - K8MN
> need not apply).
>
Seems about once every 20 years.....

161. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 05:35:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050107003528.12009.00002596@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 101677

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: N2EY@AOL.COM
>Date: 1/6/2005 6:00 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1105056049.926253.31850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
>
>
>Lenof21 wrote:
>> >Take the "grace
>> >period" for example. It should ONLY be included as a separate part
>of an
>> >interpretation.

>> WHY? The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything
>after
>> midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license
>period...and for two more years into that grace period.

>That's simply not true, Len. The holder of an expired FCC amateur radio
>license cannot legally operate until the license has been renewed.
>
>This is the second time I've seen you state that mistake here in the
>past few days. An expired FCC amateur license carries *no* operating
>privleges.
>
>IS there some reason you persist in this obvious error?

I told ya... His Worminess has yet laid his long-tailed ignorance to bare
in a house full of rocking chairs.

>> There are many, many reasons, all valid, for being unable to renew
>> prior to the last day of the 10-year period.

>Such as?

Death? Coma? Prisoner-of-War (about the ONLY valid reason >>I<< can
think of...) ?

>FCC allows renewal from 90 days before the expiration date. Renewal can
>be done online, or the appropriate forms can be downloaded or ordered
>well in advance and the renewal done by mail.

>In any event, even if someone cannot renew before the license expires,
>they cannot legally operate while in the grace period.

>> You created an artificial
>> thing there with your particular interpretation.

>Have you read 97.21(b)? It's pretty clear on the subject.

Not to mention the number of times "Enforcement Letters" have been published in QST from the FCC...Seems Riley & Company have the same opinion...If your license "expires" on December 31st and you are operating on January 1st, YOU have a problem!

Come on, Lennie...Suck it it...it ain't that hard to do...Be a man..Own up to your GLARING error and move on...

73

Steve, K4YZ

162. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 10:47:29 UTC
From: The Socialist <big_smurf@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3477m1F48mssgU1@individual.net>
Source record: 101679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

robert casey wrote:
> Private wrote:
>
>> This picture has been floating around the net lately, it made me
>> laugh. Based on what I have been reading the picture may be somewhat
>> accurate......
>>
>> http://www3.telus.net/~homac/morsecodecoffin.jpg
>
>
> It's been a while since I have used a straight key, but the
> handle on that key looks to be installed upsidedown....

It must have been a while, really. The handle is installed correctly.

163. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 16:32:20 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41dde52b$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101669

You know W1AW could do the same thing. Heck the internet solves everything! maybe we should get rid of ham radio? after all everything you can do on ham radio you can do on the internet. Get rid of ham radio and we wouldn't have to worry about Hollingworth and his gestopo stomping on free speech. I'm sure all the ham bands we have can but sold off and used for some other service. Do get me wrong I'm all for ham radio but I am not for this crap of ham radio operators or the FCC gestopo atempts to control the content of amateur radio stations. I think since we have the internet we really don't need amateur radio or broadcast services because all of that could be done on web and thus, would free a lot of spectrum up.

Todd N9OGL

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:UjiDd.2595$W32.1709@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > Hello, well tonight's broadcast is over. I'll probably rebroadcast it
> > tomorrow night on 20 meters
> >
>
> Take the tape of it and encode it to an mp3
> file, then post it on your web site. Then everyone
> can listen at their convenience. And you won't
> be tying up ham band bandwidth.
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

164. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 17:25:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.i9yaqo2.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101680

On 7 Jan 2005 06:32:52 -0800, Carl wrote:

>I have always thought that "broadcast" is NOT allowed on amateur bands.
>Where am I mistaken?

You aren't.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

165. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 17:48:29 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <crmi1d$6lj$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 101681

robert casey wrote:
> Yes, and hams can handle that low priority stuff to offload
> the more important communications links. "I took care of
> the mundane boring stuff so the heroes could save the lives"...

Our last hurricane (1992) was a direct hit upon the island of
Kauai. All normal comms between Kauai County and the rest of the
state were wiped out. The ONLY comms between the governor here
in Honolulu and the mayor of Kauai was via amateur radio. Not
only H&W traffic was passed, but more importantly, safety of
life traffic, too.

Amateur radio is part of each county's emergency plan to the point
where ham stations are set up in each police and fire station.
Licensing exams are given regularly to police and firemen. Also,
every hospital has a station.

The state thinks so highly of amateur radio that our statewide
repeater system rides on the state-owned microwave backbone
which connects each county (island).

So, when normal communications go down, all the counties (islands)
of state are neatly tied together via ham radio.

Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

166. Amatuer Radio in the News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 18:05:33 UTC
From: "Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c48dfbd59fae5e5989690@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101682

Currently there are some 25 to 30 hams operating in various parts of the Andaman & Nicobar Islands, reports VU2MUE, Sandeep Baruah. On December 29th the Ministry of Communications & Information Technology Department of Telecommunications WPC Wing, Amateur Cell issued authorization to operate in the Andaman & Nicobar Islands to all Indian Ham Radio stations. The authorization was granted on December 29th and is for "Radio Amateurs" to "assist the Civil Authorities in handling the messages pertaining to the disaster at Andaman & Nicobar Islands". Those VU stations participating in operations from VU4 "shall inform" the "Ministry as per Amateur Service Rules".

Ham Radio volunteers have been providing helping hands to the Andaman and Nicobar administration and assisting different governmental and non-governmental agencies by coordinating rescue and relief operations locally. VU2CPV, Pravin Valera, who is a Police Official, has been operating from the APWD Guesthouse. Another ham station has been established at the Naval Airbase in Campbell Bay. VU3UTG, Parimal, is also operating from the APWD Guesthouse. Yesterday VU2JOS, Jose Jacob, was operating from a Relief Camp Ramakrishnapur, Little Andaman. Later yesterday he moved and is now operating from a new location at Hut Bay. VU2LIC, Ram is operating from Port Blair. VU2LIC and VU2CPV were QRV on 7095. VU2LFA, is also QRV from Port Blair.

The Tribune, an Indian on line news source, has another nice article about Amateur Radio in the Andaman Islands and the role played by VU2SON, Sonia Gandhi at http://www.tribuneindia.com/2005/20050105/nation.htm#23

167. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 18:46:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105123617.852572.272400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101683

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: N2EY@AOL.COM
> >Date: 1/6/2005 6:00 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1105056049.926253.31850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
> >Lenof21 wrote:

> >> WHY? The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything
> >> after
> >> midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license
> >> period...and for two more years into that grace period.

> >That's simply not true, Len. The holder of an expired FCC amateur
radio
> >license cannot legally operate until the license has been renewed.

> >This is the second time I've seen you state that mistake here in the
> >past few days. An expired FCC amateur license carries *no* operating
> >privleges.

> >IS there some reason you persist in this obvious error?

> I told ya... His Worminess has yet laid his long-tailed ignorance to
bare
> in a house full of rocking chairs.

It's just a mistake, Steve. Len Anderson obviously doesn't understand
97.21(b).

> >> There are many, many reasons, all valid, for being unable to
renew
> >> prior to the last day of the 10-year period.

> >Such as?

> Death? Coma? Prisoner-of-War (about the ONLY valid reason
>>I<< can
> think of...) ?

Well, someone could be ill, or busy with work, family, volunteer
activities,
travel, moving, natural disaster, military service, etc.

FCC doesn't care. If a ham lets his/her amateur license expire, they
can't legally operate until it is renewed. Such renewal is valid when
it shows up
in the FCC database - you don't have to wait for the paper license to
show

up.

All of this is *extremely basic* regulatory stuff, clearly stated in
97.21(b), not somebody's opinion or interpretation.

> >FCC allows renewal from 90 days before the expiration date. Renewal
can
> >be done online, or the appropriate forms can be downloaded or
ordered
> >well in advance and the renewal done by mail.
>
> >In any event, even if someone cannot renew before the license
expires,
> >they cannot legally operate while in the grace period.

> >> You created an artificial
> >> thing there with your particular interpretation.

> >Have you read 97.21(b)? It's pretty clear on the subject.

> Not to mention the number of times "Enforcement Letters" have been
> published in QST from the FCC...Seems Riley & Company have the same
> opinion...If your license "expires" on December 31st and you are
operating on
> January 1st, YOU have a problem!

Only until it's renewed.

What is most interesting is the fact that someone like
Len, who has told us how the regulations should be
changed for so many years, should be so ignorant of such
a basic rule from Part 97.

73 de Jim, N2EY

168. Re: Any good Hamstuff from Santa?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 20:31:39 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050107153139.21658.00000511@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 101684

In article <20041231095021.21925.00002802@mb-m06.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Some kind of Humorless Ham full of Angries) writes:

>>>>Did Santa bring you any kewl new Ham related items?
>>>
>>>A nice calendar from CQ.
>>
>> ...your days are numbered!
>
> As they are for all of us.

Ahem. :-) Isn't that the PURPOSE of a calendar? :-)

> Luckily, Jim's numbers, statistically, are a heck of a lot bigger than
>yours.

Tsk. INCORRECT. WRONG.

The size of date numbers on calendars are strictly a function of the PRINTER/PUBLISHER...and/or whoever pays for the complimentary calendar...or the ludicrous soul who actually pays a vendor to "buy" a calendar.

Statistics don't have much to do with calendars. Calendars are rather well-ordered, organized, not much open to interpretation.

Good luck on your attempts to understand the larger world of reality all around you. [it's a tough job but other folks have...]

BTW, what ham call does this "Santa" have and why is it giving away "kewl hamstuff?" Does the FTC know about this?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

169. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 20:31:39 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050107153139.21658.00000512@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 101685

In article <v3hDd.2194$Pm6.2156@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Gri¿½mwï¿½tch thï¿½ ï¿½nfliï¿½ppï¿½bliï¿½" <Gri¿½mwï¿½tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> writes:

>"Lenof21" <lenof21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20050106150332.06260.00000398@mb-m26.aol.com...
>
>: The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
>: midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license period...and
>: for two more years into that grace period.
>:
>Untrue. (Some would say an outright lie) They are certainly prohibited from
>operating their amateur radio station without supervision, since they
>possess no valid operator license.

Tsk. Ye of little faith. :-)

Now what did I write that was UNTRUE? Hmmm?

"The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license period."

Was anything written about "operating an amateur radio station?"
No. :-) They could have a valid commercial license and not be
prohibited from using that. Note: A commercial license does not
allow operation IN the amateur bands...just like an amateur license
does NOT allow operation outside of amateur bands.

ALL of Title 47 C.F.R. applies to ALL USA citizens. Yes, that
includes amateur radio licensees. :-) In that you will find that, if
there is a REAL emergency situation, there is NO prohibition
against anyone using any frequency, any mode for help. True.
Ain't gonna be no Raddio Polizei saying "papers please" while
wearting leather topcoats and armbands in a REAL emergency.

Some argumentative amateur word-twister is going to pop in and
shout/holler "this is an amateur radio newsgroup!" Well, if so, why
is all the Chat Room nonsense going on between "regulars" in here
which is NOT about amateur radio? :-)

The rationalizations abound about that. Suddenly anyone with a
ham license can "justify" their Chat Room gabbling because they
once took a small test and got a certificate of "federal authority!"
Somehow I don't think the Chat Room regulars would accept an
FDA official talking about pork production...even though they would
have a real HAM license. :-)

I guess the "only ones who count" in here are the Regulars, the life-stylers, those who eat-breathe-sleep amateur radio. They are NEVER wrong. Their words are TRVTH itself, engraved in eternal marble. Their shall be no discourse with them...of course.

It's a religious thing. Something like "for the League, God, and Country" (in that order).

"Once a ham, always a ham." [it's like the murine corpse]

Get with the program, Grum.

...or admit you've almost plagiarized Dr. Dobbs' good journal name.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

170. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-07 20:56:05 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41de22fa$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101678

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:41DE1278.1B4C7819@earthlink.net...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> > ... Hollingworth and his gestopo stomping on free speech.
>
> His what?
>
> > Do get me wrong I'm all for ham radio but I am not for this crap of
> > ham radio operators or the FCC gestopo atempts to control the content of
> > amateur radio stations.
>
> You want us to get you wrong? I'm sold. Watch out for those "gestopo
> atempts".
>
> You never did tell me about this "micro broadcasting movement".

What do you want to know about the micro-broadcasting movement???

I myself joined the movement in 1998 after I had applied six times for a
license with waivers for a community that didn't have a service. The FCC
wouldn't consider my applications or waivers (which the Federal courts have
told them repeatly that they (FCC) "must consider waivers" So my opinion of
the FCC is that they are nothing buts LIARS, and are below pond scum.

Todd N9OGL

> Dave K8MN
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

171. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 00:20:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <6IKdnSB1mtunukLcRVn-sg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101686

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <v3hDd.2194$Pm6.2156@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Grï¿½mwï¿½tch
> thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½" <Grï¿½mwï¿½tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> writes:
>
>
>>"Lenof21" <lenof21@aol.com> wrote in message
>>news:20050106150332.06260.00000398@mb-m26.aol.com...
>>
>>: The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
>>: midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license period...and
>>: for two more years into that grace period.
>>:
>>Untrue. (Some would say an outright lie) They are certainly prohibited from
>>operating their amateur radio station without supervision, since they
>>possess no valid operator license.
>
>
> Tsk. Ye of little faith. :-)
>
> Now what did I write that was UNTRUE? Hmmm?
>
> "The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
> midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license period."
>
> Was anything written about "operating an amateur radio station?"
> No. :-)

Apparently you said that an amateur with an expired license was given
carte blanche to do *anything* after their license expired.

Maybe that is why so many allowed there licenses to expire. Now they
are not prohibited from doing anything! Kewl!

- Mike KB3EIA -

172. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 00:33:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <MImdncauYdHct0LcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101687

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> robert casey wrote:
>
>>Yes, and hams can handle that low priority stuff to offload
>>the more important communications links. "I took care of
>>the mundane boring stuff so the heroes could save the lives"...
>
>
> Our last hurricane (1992) was a direct hit upon the island of
> Kauai. All normal comms between Kauai County and the rest of the
> state were wiped out. The ONLY comms between the governor here
> in Honolulu and the mayor of Kauai was via amateur radio. Not
> only H&W traffic was passed, but more importantly, safety of
> life traffic, too.
>
> Amateur radio is part of each county's emergency plan to the point
> where ham stations are set up in each police and fire station.
> Licensing exams are given regularly to police and firemen. Also,
> every hospital has a station.
>
> The state thinks so highly of amateur radio that our statewide
> repeater system rides on the state-owned microwave backbone
> which connects each county (island).
>
> So, when normal communications go down, all the counties (islands)
> of state are neatly tied together via ham radio.

And yet that seems so hard for some peope to grasp.

- Mike KB3EIA -

173. Re: ARS License Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 00:54:34 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <uYCdnRSbYYzWskLcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101688

Lenof21 wrote:

> In article <v3hDd.2194$Pm6.2156@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Grï¿½mwï¿½tch
> thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½" <Grï¿½mwï¿½tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> writes:
>
>
>>"Lenof21" <lenof21@aol.com> wrote in message
>>news:20050106150332.06260.00000398@mb-m26.aol.com...
>>
>>: The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
>>: midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license period...and
>>: for two more years into that grace period.
>>:
>>Untrue. (Some would say an outright lie) They are certainly prohibited from
>>operating their amateur radio station without supervision, since they
>>possess no valid operator license.
>
>
> Tsk. Ye of little faith. :-)
>
> Now what did I write that was UNTRUE? Hmmm?

You wrote in an earlier message:

Lenof21> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in
their grace
Lenof21> period. There is no necessity (nor sense) to eliminate those
in the
Lenof21> grace period from those in the normal 10-year license period from
Lenof21> any class totals.

Then you appear to have modified it to say:

> "The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
> midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license period."
>
> Was anything written about "operating an amateur radio station?"
> No. :-)

Yes, because you wrote the above mentioned quote in an earlier message

> They could have a valid commercial license and not be
> prohibited from using that. Note: A commercial license does not
> allow operation IN the amateur bands...just like an amateur license
> does NOT allow operation outside of amateur bands.

So. They *are* prohibited from operating in the amateur bands. That is
significantly different than "not being prohibited from doing anything"

> ALL of Title 47 C.F.R. applies to ALL USA citizens. Yes, that
> includes amateur radio licensees. :-) In that you will find that, if
> there is a REAL emergency situation, there is NO prohibition
> against anyone using any frequency, any mode for help. True.
> Ain't gonna be no Raddio Polizei saying "papers please" while
> wearting leather topcoats and armbands in a REAL emergency.

Emergency comms is in another ongoing thread. Do you believe that we are
trying to argue that expired licensees can't use any frequencies during
an emergency? I don't recall anyone saying that.

> Some argumentative amateur word-twister is going to pop in and
> shout/holler "this is an amateur radio newsgroup!" Well, if so, why
> is all the Chat Room nonsense going on between "regulars" in here
> which is NOT about amateur radio? :-)

Hehe, Word twisting? Commercial licenses and Emergency operations
dictated by an expired license?

> The rationalizations abound about that. Suddenly anyone with a
> ham license can "justify" their Chat Room gabbling because they
> once took a small test and got a certificate of "federal authority!"
> Somehow I don't think the Chat Room regulars would accept an
> FDA official talking about pork production...even though they would
> have a real HAM license. :-)

> I guess the "only ones who count" in here are the Regulars, the
> life-stylers, those who eat-breathe-sleep amateur radio. They are
> NEVER wrong. Their words are TRVTH itself, engraved in eternal
> marble. Their shall be no discourse with them...of course.

In that you are incorrect. I don't mind having a good discussion, and
even enjoy a good argument. But I do expect a good argument with proper
give and take. Some times I am wrong, and some times right. But your
case would be better served if you were to simply admit your mistakes
and move on to good debate.

> It's a religious thing. Something like "for the League, God, and Country"
> (in that order).
>
> "Once a ham, always a ham." [it's like the murine corpse]
>
> Get with the program, Grum.
>
> ...or admit you've almost plagiarized Dr. Dobbs' good journal name.

Let's do good discussions with proper give and take. Anything else
risks irrelevancy.

- Mike KB3EIA -

174. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 00:58:05 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <348pkeF449dd5U1@individual.net>
Source record: 101689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

```
"Lloyd" <Lloyd@aolwebcstman.com> wrote in message
news:crl0rr$q87$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:346a65F47d3sgU1@individual.net...
> >
> > "Lloyd" <Lloyd@aolwebcstman.com> wrote in message
> > news:crjthh$99e$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Did you ever work for Admiral Hans K0HB? He was the greatest
> >> radioman admiral in the navy, according to him.
> >>
> >> 73,
> >>
> >> Lloyd
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Hello, Lloyd
> >
> > Now that you mention it, a typhoon hit Saipan (I'm guessing around 80
> > miles
> > from Guam) and took out the U.S. Coast Guard tower. This was sometime
> > around 1968. A chief and another man or two were sent to Saipan to try
> > and
> > re-establish communications with Guam.
> >
> > I was in the shack at KG6AAY (Naval communications receiver site) and
> > heard
> > "Sparkplug" (the Navy station on Guam) trying to contact "Sparkplug1"
(the
> > men sent to Saipan to re-establish communications). After a number of
> > exchanges where the Guam site kept saying "you are loud, but garbled"
> > (someone didn't know how to turn down the rf gain, I assume), I broke
in.
> > Given the circumstances, the FCC would not have objected. I made
contact
> > with "Sparkplug1" on Saipan as KG6AAY.
> >
> > Within a matter of minutes, the command came down for the ham shack to
```

> > pass
> > the traffic directly to Commander, Naval Forces Marianas. Our
commanding
> > officer, Captain Delany (not sure if I got the name spelled correctly),
> > appeared in the shack and secured 3 hams from all other duties as they
> > were
> > to rotate duty amongst themselves and maintain 24 hour communications
with
> > Saipan until he ordered otherwise. The hams maintained communications
> > until
> > repairs were made to the Coast Guard installation.
> >
> > The guy on the other end (on Saipan) was Hans.
> > I have a lot of respect for that gentleman.
> >
> > So what have you done that would allow you to think that Hans didn't do
> > much?
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
>
>
>
> Blahhh Blahhhh Blahhhh, Have heard "Admiral" Hans tell that
> story down at the Legion many times. Each time the exploits
> get bigger, and the story gets longer!
>
> ROTFLMAO!
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>
>
Hello, Lloyd

Please remember that this is not a story from Hans - I was there.

My thoughts are this: most of us respond in an emergency in any way we can. Back around 1971, I was at a creek and there were a bunch of us swimming. One kid started hollering for help. I was around 23 years old at the time (he was 17). When no one was responding (there were kids closer to him), I dove in and pulled him out. No, I was not trained but knew I could hold my breath for a couple of minutes under water so I wasn't worried. I came up underneath the guy, grabbed him, and started doing a sidestoke towards shore. No big deal; nothing in the papers and I doubt the other folks had any idea of what happened. I simply responded as most of us would.

About 10 years back, a big snowstorm hit. It had houses buried up to the second floor in Brockport (near to Rochester). A bunch of snowmobilers aided in the rescue.

No biggie. I think we make too big a deal of the whole thing. Hans responded and did a lot for many folks. He does have some documentation to that effect. I wish we could get off the subject.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

175. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 01:13:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105146806.269702.137670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101690

> > But how often do you have a 500yr flood? (rhetorical question -
K8MN
> > need not apply).

> Seems about once every 20 years.....
That's just the confidence interval.

176. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 01:15:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105146946.743420.238430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101691

Jeff, so all of the Coast Guard comms were wiped out, too?

177. Re: ARS License Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 04:20:11 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050107232011.21488.00000500@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 101692

In article <uYCdnRSbYYzWskLcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>So. They *are* prohibited from operating in the amateur bands. That is
>significantly different than "not being prohibited from doing anything"

Of course it is. However, commercial band users MAY operate
in ham bands which are not allocated exclusively for amateurs. :-)

For that matter, the federal government seldom requires "licensing"
of radio operators, civilian or military, whether in or outside of
amateur bands.

>> ALL of Title 47 C.F.R. applies to ALL USA citizens. Yes, that
>> includes amateur radio licensees. :-) In that you will find that, if
>> there is a REAL emergency situation, there is NO prohibition
>> against anyone using any frequency, any mode for help. True.
>> Ain't gonna be no Raddio Polizei saying "papers please" while
>> wearting leather topcoats and armbands in a REAL emergency.
>
>Emergency comms is in another ongoing thread. Do you believe that we are
>trying to argue that expired licensees can't use any frequencies during
>an emergency? I don't recall anyone saying that.

So, have the court clerk read back the court transcript. :-)

Don't get your legal briefs in a twist.

>> Some argumentative amateur word-twister is going to pop in and
>> shout/holler "this is an amateur radio newsgroup!" Well, if so, why
>> is all the Chat Room nonsense going on between "regulars" in here
>> which is NOT about amateur radio? :-)
>
> Hehe, Word twisting? Commercial licenses and Emergency operations
>dictated by an expired license?

So, in here, what is different with everyone else? Lots of gabble about
politics, economics, business activities none of the gabblers have been
in, the divinity of morsemanship ability above all other amateur skills.

> In that you are incorrect. I don't mind having a good discussion, and
>even enjoy a good argument. But I do expect a good argument with proper
>give and take.

Not much in public evidence to support your claims. :-)

PCTAs demand capitulation to them by all NCTA. That's been implied
for as long as the League has been around. :-)

>Some times I am wrong, and some times right. But your
>case would be better served if you were to simply admit your mistakes
>and move on to good debate.

When I see "good debate" in here, I'll flag you down, run it up the pole,
prolly take out a billboard.

See...you are falling into the gruff "just capitulate to us PCTA" and
be nice attitude. :-)

> Let's do good discussions with proper give and take. Anything else
>risks irrelevancy.

Hey...you no like my NCTA tone, have me locked out of the newsgroup.

Don't worry, several others will call me names, usually names in
languages they don't know well. :-)

You ARE moderator, aren't you? Didn't Paul Schleck vacate the job
and make you Chief of Attitude Protocol? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

178. Re: ARS License Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 06:13:05 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050108011305.05880.00000333@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 101693

In article <uYCdnRSbYYzWskLcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>, Lenof21 writes:

<addendum to what was sent prior>

>> In that you are incorrect. I don't mind having a good discussion, and
>>even enjoy a good argument. But I do expect a good argument with proper
>>give and take.
>
> Not much in public evidence to support your claims. :-)
>
> PCTAs demand capitulation to them by all NCTA. That's been implied
> for as long as the League has been around. :-)
>
>>Some times I am wrong, and some times right. But your
>>case would be better served if you were to simply admit your mistakes
>>and move on to good debate.

A long time ago, in a galaxy far, far away, I thought so, too. Noooo,
in here good old KH2D pretty well set that straight for the PCTA side.
Must bin around 8+ years ago... :-)

Much better than the current crop of PCTApparatchiks.

Yourself included, by the way.

What is my "case," counsellor? Is this the Righteous Supreme Court
of Hamdom? Are you serving torts with coffee during recess? Does
the Court Gift Shop sell little souvenirs such as glit-framed pictures
of famous telegraph keys (with their own accent lights)? Maybe they
sell voodoo dolls of hated NCTA complete with complimentary pins?

My "case" seems to vary with whoever thin-skinned is injured the most.
To one I made a burn-in-hell-for-eternity mistake by NOT getting an
extra "out of the box" long ago. Tsk. He thinks that is utter and complete
failure to complete a Life Promise and shows moral turpitude (or something
that smells strongly, maybe like paint thinner).

Another says I can ONLY "show interest in radio" by getting an amateur
radio license FIRST. [no real reason given except he was bereft of any
comback and was trying to wing a reply] Well, that's just too #$%^!!!! bad
since I made that decision back 48 years ago, got a First Phone and
started working in broadcasting, using it. Only one other seems to
have a working time machine for regular trips back there...and before.

> When I see "good debate" in here, I'll flag you down, run it up the pole,
> prolly take out a billboard.

NO, repeat NO "debate" in here is really possible with anyone not-licensed-in-any-amateur-service correspondent. That's been proved so many times over by public example in 8 years, that it shouldn't need any comment.

This forum is EXCLUSIVELY for already-licensed amateurs to talk about getting INTO amateur radio? Of course. League has all the answers, just Follow The Law, do like everyone else did, and shuthehellup. Simple. Morse code testing is The Law. All must Obey the Law.

Nobody EVER should think heretical thoughts about Changing The Law.

Heresy! Blasphemy! [bite thy tongues, irreverent ones]

Tsk. Some of us do think the Law ought to be changed here and there.

Better call the Department of Homeland Security! The future looks full of tension and anxiety for the Antique Radiotelegraphy Society (ARS).

So, no like to work with raw data on U.S. amateur radio statistics?

Afraid to see the trends showing the changing interests in the hobby?

Like for the last dozen years?

Don't worry. JPM will be the savior, showing numbers that ease your worries, calm your anxieties. He is NEVER wrong. He say so...mebbe.

Meanwhile, rail and rant at all those who don't think quite like you do, Mike. Obviously we are all "mistaken" and "in error" (for that good old "plain simple fact'). You will be vindicated. Nothing the NCTA can possibly be right, can it? [some of us are so nice and obliging that we deliberately make errors...all to make you satisfied...hehehehehehh]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

179. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 06:22:22 UTC
From: Terry <Really@nospam>
Message-ID: <crnu3k$3sn$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 101694
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

My thoughts are this: most of us respond in an emergency in any way we can. Back around 1971, I was at a creek and there were a bunch of us swimming. One kid started hollering for help. I was around 23 years old at the time (he was 17). When no one was responding (there were kids closer to him), I dove in and pulled him out. No, I was not trained but knew I could hold my breath for a couple of minutes under water so I wasn't worried. I came up underneath the guy, grabbed him, and started doing a sidestoke towards shore. No big deal; nothing in the papers and I doubt the other folks had any idea of what happened. I simply responded as most of us would.

About 10 years back, a big snowstorm hit. It had houses buried up to the second floor in Brockport (near to Rochester). A bunch of snowmobilers aided in the rescue.

No biggie. I think we make too big a deal of the whole thing. Hans responded and did a lot for many folks. He does have some documentation to that effect. I wish we could get off the subject.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

===

Good idea. Getting off the subject, that is. There is no singular "Lloyd" posting these comments...Lloyd is a fictional character whose name has been used to foment comment after comment by parties with special interests. (aka Stalker AB8MQ)
At last count there were no less than four "Lloyds" in these groups. Take your pick...which Lloyd do you prefer?
Lloyd exists in name only.

180. Landshark's hot cock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 07:20:31 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105168831.137047.278910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.aol, rec.radio.amateur.policy

Landshark and I were jacking off together on the telephone. Sharkie said "lets both eat our cum" soooo I said Ok.

181. Re: ARS License Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 10:38:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105180717.169640.61290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101696

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <uYCdnRSbYYzWskLcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>, Lenof21 writes:

> My "case" seems to vary with whoever thin-skinned is injured the
most.
> To one I made a burn-in-hell-for-eternity mistake by NOT getting
an
> extra "out of the box" long ago. Tsk. He thinks that is utter
and complete
> failure to complete a Life Promise and shows moral turpitude (or
something
> that smells strongly, maybe like paint thinner).

You said you would.

The law was changed accordingly.

You have subsequently done nothing but make excuses.

In short, you lied. No big deal. You do it all the time.

> Another says I can ONLY "show interest in radio" by getting an
amateur
> radio license FIRST.

Another lie.

No one has ever said that. What HAS been said is that you have no established basis from which to make INFORMED opinons on Amateur Radio practice and policy since you do NOT have any practical experience as an Amateur.

> [no real reason given except he was bereft of any
> comback and was trying to wing a reply]

Yet another blatant lie.

You ahve been given REAMS of reasons. You just choose to ignore all of them in preference to your own EXCUSES.

> Well, that's just too #$%^!!!! bad
> since I made that decision back 48 years ago, got a First Phone
and
> started working in broadcasting, using it. Only one other seems
to
> have a working time machine for regular trips back there...and
before.

Uh huh.

Lennie, YOU are the ONLY one in this forum who routinely discusses ANY form of radio communication more than 10 years old.

Those of us who ARE licensed and ARE active in the hobby discuss current events and future plans.

> > When I see "good debate" in here, I'll flag you down, run it up the pole,
> > prolly take out a billboard.
>
> NO, repeat NO "debate" in here is really possible with anyone not-licensed-
> in-any-amateur-service correspondent. That's been proved so many times
> over by public example in 8 years, that it shouldn't need any comment.

You're right...It doesn't need any comment. When it comes to YOU, all "debate" will be in your favor, on subjects YOU want to discuss, and only within parameters YOU establish.

Sucks to be you.

And you'd be ABLE to enter into more of those discussions IF you had some experience from which to draw upon.

A tour of duty in postwar Japan in the 50's is NOT "current events", nor is it even marginally relevent.

> This forum is EXCLUSIVELY for already-licensed amateurs to talk about
> getting INTO amateur radio? Of course. League has all the answers,
> just Follow The Law, do like everyone else did, and shuthehellup. Simple.

Actually, exchange "Lennie" for "League", and you have exactly summated all of your posts in this forum.

> Morse code testing is The Law. All must Obey the Law.

I see...You have a problem with obeying the law. That's obvious, of course.

> Nobody EVER should think heretical thoughts about Changing The Law.

Not at all...Just have a reasonable reason for changing it. "Just because everyone else is" is not a reason.

> Heresy! Blasphemy! [bite thy tongues, irreverent ones]
>
> Tsk. Some of us do think the Law ought to be changed here and there.

So do those of us who ARE licensed Amateurs. We've said so repeatedly. But since YOU disagree with OUR opinions, all we get is hateful bile and distortions from you.

> Better call the Department of Homeland Security! The future looks
> full of tension and anxiety for the Antique Radiotelegraphy Society (ARS).
> ---
>
> So, no like to work with raw data on U.S. amateur radio statistics?

So far, that's what Jim Miccolis HAS been doing. Real people with real lcienses...Not club, military, RACES or other categories that don't reflect true numbers of users.

It doesn't take a degree in statistics to follow Jim's numbers.

> Afraid to see the trends showing the changing interests in the hobby?
>
> Like for the last dozen years?
>
> Don't worry. JPM will be the savior, showing numbers that ease your
> worries, calm your anxieties. He is NEVER wrong. He say so...mebbe.
>
> Meanwhile, rail and rant at all those who don't think quite like you do,
> Mike. Obviously we are all "mistaken" and "in error" (for that good old
> "plain simple fact'). You will be vindicated. Nothing the NCTA can
> possibly be right, can it? [some of us are so nice and obliging that we
> deliberately make errors...all to make you satisfied...hehehehehehh]

So...you admit to making deliberate errors.
Why am I not surprised?

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

182. Re: Landshark's hot cock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 14:54:14 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <dpsvt0h0nfv94qu73dj57apm3l3iv713sp@4ax.com>
Source record: 101697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 7 Jan 2005 23:20:31 -0800, "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Landshark and I were jacking off together on the telephone. Sharkie
>said "lets both eat our cum" soooo I said Ok.

Does your employer know that your using their network to post forges
to this newsgroup?

I know, silly question, because of course they do...

(hint) I just told them..

183. License expiring, help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 15:01:21 UTC
From: jay <americanidol42004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105196481.000006.283180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101698

Hi, i was licensed in '95 and went off the air around '98. Although i havnt been on the air, i am really planning getting back into it ASAP. Could somebody refresh my memory on how to renew my license before it expires? All help is Greatly appreciated, thanks.

184. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 15:23:18 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <GVSDd.9461$5R.4566@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:dpsvt0h0nfv94qu73dj57apm3l3iv713sp@4ax.com...
> On 7 Jan 2005 23:20:31 -0800, "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Landshark and I were jacking off together on the telephone. Sharkie
>>said "lets both eat our cum" soooo I said Ok.
>
> Does your employer know that your using their network to post forges
> to this newsgroup?
>
> I know, silly question, because of course they do...
>
> (hint) I just told them..

Now watch as "one" comes to defend this person.

OrgAbuseName: ALGX Abuse Role Account
OrgAbusePhone: +1-240-616-2155
OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@algx.net

OrgName: Internet Allegiance, Inc.
OrgID: IALG
Address: 1950 Stemmons Freeway
City: Dallas
StateProv: TX
PostalCode: 75207
Country: US

185. Re: ARS License Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 16:22:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ipGdnWfYs_oklX3cRVn-3A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101700

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <uYCdnRSbYYzWskLcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>
>>So. They *are* prohibited from operating in the amateur bands. That is
>>significantly different than "not being prohibited from doing anything"
>
>
> Of course it is. However, commercial band users MAY operate
> in ham bands which are not allocated exclusively for amateurs. :-)

There is a world of difference between that statement and your
statement that Hams were free to operate during the two year period
after their license expires.

> For that matter, the federal government seldom requires "licensing"
> of radio operators, civilian or military, whether in or outside of
> amateur bands.

So what?

>
>>> ALL of Title 47 C.F.R. applies to ALL USA citizens. Yes, that
>>> includes amateur radio licensees. :-) In that you will find that, if
>>> there is a REAL emergency situation, there is NO prohibition
>>> against anyone using any frequency, any mode for help. True.
>>> Ain't gonna be no Raddio Polizei saying "papers please" while
>>> wearting leather topcoats and armbands in a REAL emergency.
>>
>>Emergency comms is in another ongoing thread. Do you believe that we are
>>trying to argue that expired licensees can't use any frequencies during
>>an emergency? I don't recall anyone saying that.
>
>
> So, have the court clerk read back the court transcript. :-)

Check, check.... is this microphone on?......OK....

> Lenof21> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
> Lenof21> period. There is no necessity (nor sense) to eliminate those in the
> Lenof21> grace period from those in the normal 10-year license period from
> Lenof21> any class totals.

> Don't get your legal briefs in a twist.

Glad you feel that way! 8^)

>
>>> Some argumentative amateur word-twister is going to pop in and
>>> shout/holler "this is an amateur radio newsgroup!" Well, if so, why
>>> is all the Chat Room nonsense going on between "regulars" in here
>>> which is NOT about amateur radio? :-)
>>
>> Hehe, Word twisting? Commercial licenses and Emergency operations
>>dictated by an expired license?
>
>
> So, in here, what is different with everyone else? Lots of gabble about
> politics, economics, business activities none of the gabblers have been
> in, the divinity of morsemanship ability above all other amateur skills.

What is different is that there is (or should be) an expectation of the
initial premise being true. It is simply not true that:

Lenof21> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in
Lenof21> their grace period. There is no necessity (nor sense) to
Lenof21> eliminate those in the grace period from those in the normal
Lenof21> 10-year license period from any class totals.

You appear to want us to simply allow you to be "right", regardless of
your incorrect statements.

No thanks! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

186. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 16:36:32 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <k_TDd.46954$8e5.42580@fed1read07>
Source record: 101701
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In an emergency there are those that stand around wondering what to do and waiting for someone to tell them what to do

Then there is the folks that see something needs to be done quickly -- and do it

The first bunch then criticizes and pulls a post mortem as to how it should have been done

Have seen this in the Military, the Steel Mills, in Ham Radio, and every day life -- many times

Kudos to Hans and onions to the criticizers and do-nothingers

Caveat Velico

--
Caveat Lector

187. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 17:24:51 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <DHUDd.9479$5R.5401@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:4350u09tjam92rpb5flmj40fgafqd5p35n@news.easynews.com...
> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:23:18 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>news:dpsvt0h0nfv94qu73dj57apm3l3iv713sp@4ax.com...
>>> On 7 Jan 2005 23:20:31 -0800, "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Landshark and I were jacking off together on the telephone. Sharkie
>>>>said "lets both eat our cum" soooo I said Ok.
>>>
>>> Does your employer know that your using their network to post forges
>>> to this newsgroup?
>>>
>>> I know, silly question, because of course they do...
>>>
>>> (hint) I just told them..
>>
>>Now watch as "one" comes to defend this person.
>>
>>OrgAbuseName: ALGX Abuse Role Account
>>OrgAbusePhone: +1-240-616-2155
>>OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@algx.net
>>
>>
>>OrgName: Internet Allegiance, Inc.
>>OrgID: IALG
>>Address: 1950 Stemmons Freeway
>>City: Dallas
>>StateProv: TX
>>PostalCode: 75207
>>Country: US
>>
>>
>>
>
> He's not from Dallas...

I know that, but the Company's headquarters is.

Landshark
```

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

188. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 17:33:07 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <k360u0hk1i7bck0s576c8d2p57to7kulmh@4ax.com>
Source record: 101703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 17:24:51 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>news:4350u09tjam92rpb5flmj40fgafqd5p35n@news.easynews.com...
>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:23:18 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>>news:dpsvt0h0nfv94qu73dj57apm3l3iv713sp@4ax.com...
>>>> On 7 Jan 2005 23:20:31 -0800, "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Landshark and I were jacking off together on the telephone. Sharkie
>>>>>said "lets both eat our cum" soooo I said Ok.
>>>>
>>>> Does your employer know that your using their network to post forges
>>>> to this newsgroup?
>>>>
>>>> I know, silly question, because of course they do...
>>>>
>>>> (hint) I just told them..
>>>
>>>Now watch as "one" comes to defend this person.
>>>
>>>OrgAbuseName: ALGX Abuse Role Account
>>>OrgAbusePhone: +1-240-616-2155
>>>OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@algx.net
>>>
>>>
>>>OrgName: Internet Allegiance, Inc.
>>>OrgID: IALG
>>>Address: 1950 Stemmons Freeway
>>>City: Dallas
>>>StateProv: TX
>>>PostalCode: 75207
>>>Country: US
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> He's not from Dallas...
```

>
> I know that, but the Company's headquarters is.
>
> Landshark

The ISP that his company uses headquaters is in Dallas..

He's not, nor is his company..

Anyway, got anything scheduled for the BBQ?
We smoked a goose that was brined in a honey brine for christmas...

189. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 18:35:37 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZJVDd.9489$5R.5750@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:k360u0hk1i7bck0s576c8d2p57to7kulmh@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 17:24:51 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>news:4350u09tjam92rpb5flmj40fgafqd5p35n@news.easynews.com...
>>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:23:18 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>>>news:dpsvt0h0nfv94qu73dj57apm3l3iv713sp@4ax.com...
>>>>> On 7 Jan 2005 23:20:31 -0800, "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Landshark and I were jacking off together on the telephone. Sharkie
>>>>>>said "lets both eat our cum" soooo I said Ok.
>>>>>
>>>>> Does your employer know that your using their network to post forges
>>>>> to this newsgroup?
>>>>>
>>>>> I know, silly question, because of course they do...
>>>>>
>>>>> (hint) I just told them..
>>>>
>>>>Now watch as "one" comes to defend this person.
>>>>
>>>>OrgAbuseName: ALGX Abuse Role Account
>>>>OrgAbusePhone: +1-240-616-2155
>>>>OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@algx.net
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>OrgName: Internet Allegiance, Inc.
>>>>OrgID: IALG
>>>>Address: 1950 Stemmons Freeway
>>>>City: Dallas
>>>>StateProv: TX
>>>>PostalCode: 75207
>>>>Country: US
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
```

>>>
>>> He's not from Dallas...
>>
>> I know that, but the Company's headquarters is.
>>
>> Landshark
>
> The ISP that his company uses headquaters is in Dallas..
>

Agreed

> He's not, nor is his company..
>

Yup

> Anyway, got anything scheduled for the BBQ?

Nah, raining like a bitch here today.

> We smoked a goose that was brined in a honey brine for christmas...

Damn! I'll bet it was great!!
If it stops raining, I'll go Pheasant hunting tomorrow. I'll
soak the bird in a brown sugar, Bourbon brine then smoke them or slow cook
them in the BBQ.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

190. Re: License expiring, help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 19:17:09 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <rrydnbtIGcYorH3cRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 101705

"jay" <americanidol42004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105196481.000006.283180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Hi, i was licensed in '95 and went off the air around '98. Although i
> havnt been on the air, i am really planning getting back into it ASAP.
> Could somebody refresh my memory on how to renew my license before it
> expires? All help is Greatly appreciated, thanks.
>

Go to the FCC Universal Licensing System site and sign up. Then when you are in the 90 day window prior to your expiration date, you can renew on line.

Or you can download the forms, fill them in, and mail it in.

Or you can request the ARRL or W5YI process it for you for a fee. If you are a member of ARRL, I believe they will do it for free.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

191. Re: License expiring, help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 19:31:41 UTC
From: Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.01.08.19.40.05.381404@spamsucks.net>
Source record: 101706

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 14:17:09 -0500, Dee Flint wrote:

> Or you can request the ARRL or W5YI process it for you for a fee. If you
> are a member of ARRL, I believe they will do it for free.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Yup, ARRL did it for me for free, been a member since I got my ticket in 94. They mailed me the stuff, I filled it out and sent it in to them. Got my renewal about 2 weeks later. Easy as pie!

192. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 20:16:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050108151629.04650.00000001@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 101708

In article <1104547564.477397.255250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>Lenof21 wrote:
>> In article <7LqdnS7sd-dHXk_cRVn-jA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >
>> >> In article <_d-dnVZgTb5Xo0_cRVn-qA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>> >>
>> >>>> So...now you've got this "instant gratification" thing going
>again?
>> >>>
>> >>> Yes sir! Just like you have your thing going again.
>> >>
>> >> My "thing" has been "going" all the while...no problems. :-)
>> >
>> > Okay, good enough.
>>
>> "Good enough?" :-)
>
>Good enough, indeed!
>
>I wonder what Mikes "war" contributions were?

A few cents pocket change into a Salvation ARMY bucket? :-)

>> There were no "streets" on Tsukishima Island in Tokyo in the 1950s.
>> Those were eventually put in when both the USA and USAF vacated
>> the island by the 1960s.
>
>Damned USAF. Always trying to find a civilized way to do sumptin.

Well, the 16th Communications Squadron on the other half of
Tsukishima Island lived there full time. Eventually even those hardy
souls went looking for better places to exist.

>> We didn't "walk in" either since the billet was a half hour shuttle bus
>> trip from the transmitter station prior to assignment.
>
>Shush! We'll have none of this no point A, point B nonsense.

Sorry. Scotty hadn't installed the Transporter yet. :-)

>Transmitting sites are always wherever you happen to be.

Heh. When tossing out about 450 KW of assorted HF RF, ain't too
many others wanting to live in that vicinity.

>Len, dammit, none of this pulse crappola. It was either CW or it was
>RTTY. Doan confuse us widda facts.

Well, that's how it goes. Radar be a pulse thingy. [somehow I'm sure
that Jeffie from the Islands will try to claim that Hawaii pioneered radar
to spot invading Japanese aircraft...and that every fire and police station
has a radar set just for that... :-)]

Police departments in the USA helped pioneer mobile VHF FM prior to
WW2, enough so that land armor forces had "channelized" push-button
select VHF FM radios in Yurp and foot soldiers had the old walkie-
talkie backpack VHF transceiver. FM. Voice. Like 1943 and out of
the Chicago Motorola works. SSB, the commercial variety of 12 KHz
BW carrying 4 voice-grade channels, was already linking WW2 troops
via HF to the mainland...the old clankety 60 WPM Teletypes riding about
8 at a time on 6 KHz worth of that SSB. Kind of "double frequency
multiplexing" which had been going on since the beginning of the 1930s.
[hams pioneered all that by writing it up in QST, didn't they?]

>> Wouldn't have missed it for the world, even with the threat of WW3
>> hanging around for everyone to get nervous about.
>
>Stoppit, dammit, stoppit!!!
>
>I'm just sick and tired of you tellin' it like it was. The truth doan
>count for sh*t aroun here anyways!

Seems to be the truth, doesn't it? :-)

>Now if you have a magazine like QST to relate real radio stories, well
>then there might be sum dat lissen.

Well, we can always vacation in Hawaii and drop in any police and
fire station...they all have ham stations according to Jeffie and MUST
have old copies of QST lying around.

>Guys like Jimmy Who dat doan know sh*t about real commitment, real
>sacrifice. Only know about ENG101 and if the government loans are
>going through. Forget about Fuchu and DaNang. They gots more
>important tings to do so dey can tell us about how it really was.

They are Very Important People. They say so themselves, are
without error. They know "Real truth."

>> >> But, in 2004, U.S. radio amateurs MUST still pass a morse test
>> >> to "qualify" for operating an amateur radio transmitter on HF.
>
>Sadly true. Gotta makesure you not Taliban.

Screening. Profiling. Those who no code test have "no interest" in
radio according to one such "profiler." :-)

>Taliban welcome to FAA license.

Who else but FAA approved, certified, qualified, sanctified USA
flight schools taught the 9-11 hijackers how to fly?

>Hey, Mister!!! If the Taliban can master the Morris Code, so can you.

I suppose so. But, not wanting to bother shows that I am "un-interested"
in radio (one profiler) or am "too dumb" to pass one (many others), and
generally morally incompetent to exist in the shining presence of mighty
macho morsemen.

>Wonder if our Twin-Trade Tower Terrs were accomplished codesters?

Doubt it. Several U.S. government agencies allowed them in and to
attend flight schools here. Didn't hear about any secret terrorist plans
to wipe out any CW radio museums.

>> >> If that morse test is so absolutely "needed," then the definition of
the
>> >> ARS should be changed in Part 97 to "Archaic Radiotelegraphy
>> >> Service" for the USA.
>
>Thot it was the Ars?

Ars longa.

>On this last day of the 4th year of the new millenium, I can only add,
>"didit!"
>All of the rest of amateur radio should be embarassed.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

193. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 20:16:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050108151630.04650.00000002@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 101707

In article <MImdncauYdHct0LcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
>> robert casey wrote:
>>
>>>Yes, and hams can handle that low priority stuff to offload
>>>the more important communications links. "I took care of
>>>the mundane boring stuff so the heroes could save the lives"...
>>
>> Our last hurricane (1992) was a direct hit upon the island of
>> Kauai. All normal comms between Kauai County and the rest of the
>> state were wiped out. The ONLY comms between the governor here
>> in Honolulu and the mayor of Kauai was via amateur radio. Not
>> only H&W traffic was passed, but more importantly, safety of
>> life traffic, too.
>>
>> Amateur radio is part of each county's emergency plan to the point
>> where ham stations are set up in each police and fire station.
>> Licensing exams are given regularly to police and firemen. Also,
>> every hospital has a station.
>>
>> The state thinks so highly of amateur radio that our statewide
>> repeater system rides on the state-owned microwave backbone
>> which connects each county (island).
>>
>> So, when normal communications go down, all the counties (islands)
>> of state are neatly tied together via ham radio.
>
> And yet that seems so hard for some peope to grasp.

Tsk. Some grasp at straws. Especially the strawmen.

First of all, a search fails to turn up ANY evidence that "all normal
comms" were disabled on any island of Hawaii in 1992. Note: That
search includes the words 'normal communications.'

Searching on the Hawaii state government web information turns up
thousands of interesting bits of information on Hawaii, its police and
fire departments (some quite detailed, nice photos, etc.), laws in
process, various agencies, school system, and so forth. So much so
that it became unproductive to check out each search hit to verify the
items of Lecturer Herman's statements.

There is NO mention found that "policemen and firemen" are all given examinations IN amateur radio nor that amateur radio equipment is set up in each police and fire station. If that were so widespread as claimed, then each department would have featured that on their web pages, included it in law proceeding remarks/comments, and so forth. There's nothing from the Administration side of Hawaii government to indicate that happening. Not all hospitals are available on the web but the statement that "ALL hospitals have ham stations" is a bit over the top.

I'm open to some evidence other than the usual RACES propaganda to verify any of this "university lecturer's" claims. The state of Hawaii is
not a small one; it is important, a focus point for our Asian neighbors in the Pacific. Hawaii is generally considered "remote" by mainlanders and therefore those who wish to embellish a few truths seem to think they can get away with it, of not being checked out. Like some other fraternal order propaganda, telling folks what they want to hear will dissuade them from finding out it it is truth or not.

The state of Hawaii has an Interstate Highway System. It is fudging the truth a bit to claim one can drive that system to any other state...without another means to span part of the Pacific Ocean. I would suppose that a junior college instructor could call themselves a "part of the University of
Hawaii system" and that they "lecture on mathematics" if they hold classes under that instructor. But, the same "mathematics lecturer" (on the scale of Roger Penrose?) once claimed that the ARRL Amateur's Handbook was on a "bestseller" listing in the USA...and the American Bookseller's Association (ABA) couldn't verify that at all. :-)

Folks, we are getting into a huge embellishment project here with all these straw structures. In other venues it would be called LYING. In here it is apparently an Orwellian kind of Newspeak.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

194. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 20:30:54 UTC
From: The Grim Reaper <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub>
Message-ID: <1105216251.5ef09c06c25d699f9f44f6efbf43855c@teranews>
Source record: 101709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Landshark wrote:
>> We smoked a goose that was brined in a honey brine for christmas...
>
> Damn! I'll bet it was great!!

Those are hard to get into a bong.

195. Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 21:12:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050108161232.21593.00000482@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 101710

In article <n-2dnX_xZLNaPUjcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <20041228103917.23348.00002538@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>>
>>
>>>And not just "asthma" patients, but COPD'ers
>>
>>
>> I have to ask...
>>
>> What's COPD stand for?
>>
>>
>>>who smell of cigarette smoke,
>>>"back pain" patients who exacerbated their "disabling" back pain while
>riding
>>>their motorcycle or out drinking all night, or diabetics who present in DKA
>>>who refuse to comply with their MD's plan-of-care.
>>
>>
>> OK, fine - but let's take that a step farther....
>>
>> The consensus of opinion among all the MD's I've talked to says the
>following
>> as a general plan-of-care:
>>
>> 1) Do not use illegal drugs of any kind
>>
>> 2) Do not use tobacco of any kind
>>
>> 3) Get your weight down to at least where the charts say "average"
>>
>> 4) Eat a healthy diet
>>
>> 5) Use seatbelts, helmets, eye/hearing protection and all other protective
>> devices
>> where appropriate
>>
>> How many people do all 5 consistently? How much public and private money is
>> spent because so many people don't?

>>
>>
>>> People who have bonafide needs should get the care they need. Those
>who
>>>have needs but refuse to do what is necessary to "get fixed" yet demand
>that
>>>"we" do "something" to get them better need to be given the boot and a
>>>referal to a funeral home director with pre-paid plans.
>>>
>>
>> The problem is, how do you differentiate those groups when they show up in
>the
>> ER?
>
> Here is one for ya Jim:
>
> People who engage in risky behavior, such as rock climbing, bungee
>jumping, contact sports, mountain climbing, skateboarding, and other
>dangerous activity are doing so with knowledge of their potential
>injury/death.

One could include risky activities like dealing with high voltages, climbing towers and other structures to raise antennas, etc.

>Why should society have to foot the bill when one of these
>idiots gets injured and can no longer support themselves. They made a
>presumably sober decision to do this.

OK so far.

>note that this is a little tongue in cheek, but I do have a bit of a
>moral issue with this.

What moral issue? Here's how it works:

Insurance companies have developed ways to figure out the risk factors and how they affect the chances those behaviors will result in payouts. And they affix surcharges to their premiums to compensate.

For example, life insurance policies usually have a "tobacco rate" and a "no tobacco rate". Homeowners' policies usually require an extra-cost rider if you have a pool. Etc.

Same principle could be applied.

--

Now here's a disturbing trend to consider:

One of the newest ideas in health care is the "specialty hospital". These are for-profit non-teaching medical facilities, often owned by the docs who work there, that focus on particular illnesses. Cardiology is a favorite.

The trick is that they do not accept any federal or state reimbursement - private insurance only. So they don't have to follow govt."guidelines" in many areas. They don't have ERs and they don't accept "walk-ins", only referrals with good insurance.

So what they get are the pick of the patients - those who can pay, are compliant, and who have good documentation. They don't have to cost-shift, so their prices are competitive.

Guess what happens to the "regular" hospitals?

73 de Jim, N2EY

196. 97.21 (b)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 21:31:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050108163153.21658.00000525@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 101711

Lenof21 wrote:

> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
> period.

Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
he or she *cannot* legally operate until the is renewed.

To quote FCC rules:

"97.21(b) A person whose amateur station license grant has expired
may apply to the FCC for renewal of the license grant for another term
during a 2 year filing grace period. The application must be received
t the address specified above prior to the end of the grace period.
Unless and until the license grant is renewed, no privileges in this Part
are conferred."

Last sentence says it all: "Unless and until the license grant is renewed,
no privileges in this Part are conferred."

This isn't some fine point of the rules that's subject to interpretation.
FCC amateur licenses have 10 year terms, and if a license is allowed
to expire, the licensee *cannot* legally operate until the license is renewed.

Do you agree or disagree, Len?

> There is no necessity (nor sense) to eliminate those in the
> grace period from those in the normal 10-year license period from
> any class totals.

Sure there is - their licenses are expired and they cannot operate.

If you want to include expired-but-in-the-grace-period licensees in
the totals, go right ahead. But be sure to indicate that you are doing
so, unless *you* want to "massage" the numbers.

The numbers I post twice each month are the totals of *unexpired*
licenses held by *individuals*. That's clear (to people who can
understand plain English) in every one of my post of license totals.
Nothing "massaged" about them.

> To repeat, the allegation that there is a "big drop" in Technician
> Class numbers is WRONG. Raw data doesn't show that.

What "raw data" have you examined, Len?

"hamdata.com" isn't "raw data".

Have you downloaded the FCC database? Processed it yourself?
Or do you simply take the numbers from a trusted website, same as
I do?

>Implying that the allegation still exists is merely compounding the wrongness.

Who made such an allegation, Len?

The sum of *unexpired* Technicians and Technician Pluses *has* declined since
May of 2000.

Jim, N2EY

197. Re: ARS License Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 22:31:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050108173101.10365.00000001@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 101712

In article <uYCdnRSbYYzWskLcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Lenof21 wrote:
>
>> In article <v3hDd.2194$Pm6.2156@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>"Grï¿½mwï¿½tch
>> thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½" <Grï¿½mwï¿½tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> writes:
>>
>>
>>>"Lenof21" <lenof21@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>news:20050106150332.06260.00000398@mb-m26.aol.com...
>>>
>>>: The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
>>>: midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license
>period...and
>>>: for two more years into that grace period.
>>>:
>>>Untrue. (Some would say an outright lie) They are certainly prohibited
>from
>>>operating their amateur radio station without supervision, since they
>>>possess no valid operator license.
>>
>> Now what did I write that was UNTRUE? Hmmm?
>
> You wrote in an earlier message:
>
>Lenof21> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in
>their grace
>Lenof21> period. There is no necessity (nor sense) to eliminate those
>in the
>Lenof21> grace period from those in the normal 10-year license period from
>Lenof21> any class totals.
>
>Then you appear to have modified it to say:
>
>
>> "The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
>> midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license period."
>>
>> Was anything written about "operating an amateur radio station?"
>> No. :-)
>
>Yes, because you wrote the above mentioned quote in an earlier message

>> They could have a valid commercial license and not be
>> prohibited from using that.

Do commercial licenses have 10 year terms and 2 year grace periods?

>> Note: A commercial license does not
>> allow operation IN the amateur bands...just like an amateur license
>> does NOT allow operation outside of amateur bands.

In any event, a license holder *is* prohibited from doing something
when the license is in the grace period.

>So. They *are* prohibited from operating in the amateur bands. That is
>significantly different than "not being prohibited from doing anything"

>> ALL of Title 47 C.F.R. applies to ALL USA citizens. Yes, that
>> includes amateur radio licensees. :-) In that you will find that, if
>> there is a REAL emergency situation, there is NO prohibition
>> against anyone using any frequency, any mode for help.

Doesn't change the fact that a licensee with a license in the
grace period is prohibited from operating an amateur station
in a non-emergency situation.

>> I guess the "only ones who count" in here are the Regulars, the
>> life-stylers, those who eat-breathe-sleep amateur radio. They are
>> NEVER wrong. Their words are TRVTH itself, engraved in eternal
>> marble. Their shall be no discourse with them...of course.
>
> In that you are incorrect. I don't mind having a good discussion, and
>even enjoy a good argument. But I do expect a good argument with proper
>give and take. Some times I am wrong, and some times right. But your
>case would be better served if you were to simply admit your mistakes
>and move on to good debate.

Agreed. Len made a mistake about 97.21(b), but he seems reluctant to
admit it.

Think about *why*

73 de Jim, N2EY

198. Re: 97.21 (b)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 23:36:59 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ia0mln3.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101714

On 08 Jan 2005 21:31:53 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>Lenof21 wrote:
>
>> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
>> period.
>
>Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
>he or she *cannot* legally operate until the is renewed.

Unless the renewal has been applied for before expiration. Then,
the license privileges continue until the FCC acts upon the application.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

199. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-08 23:40:28 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050108184028.21658.00000526@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 101713

In article <1104551584.970798.204520@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>Kim wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1104531566.677857.126570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Mike said: """Everyone is entitled to an opinion, Brian."""
>> >
>> > Reeaally???
>> >
>> > """We wouldn't have anywhere near as much fun if we could only give
>> > opinions on what we have personal experience in! 8^)"""
>> >
>> > Then lotsa people on RRAP owe Len Anderson an apology.
>> >
>> > When I see those apologies, I'll stop pointing out that "true blue" Jim
>> > has never served his country in any capacity, yet has availed himself
>> > to the finest education and standard of living in a system where the
>> > freedom to do so were paid for with the blood of other people's sons.
>> > Happy New Year.
>>
>> So...wait just a minute. Are you saying that anyone who does not serve his
>> country in any capacity (you'd have to define in any capacity, by the way)
>> is not supposed to live in the United States?
>
>***That isn't what I said, but do you argue that I'm not entitled to my
>own opinion?***
>
>> Because, in the United States
>
>Is Kim going to tell ---me--- about America???

Yes, she are and has... :-)

>Please allow me to assume the position.

That quaint service phrase may be lost on all the civilians...

>> we--regardless of *military* service to the country or not--DO avail
>> ourselves of whatever is available, be that a good education, a good job, a
>> good doctor, a good teacher, a good whatever.
>
>Indeed many do.
>
>They take and they take, good whatever.

>
>Good everythig!!!
>
>Do you count yourself among those???
>
>> That the freedoms we enjoy are here, because of the military service of
>> others, is greatly appreciated by most--
>
>Huge, huge appreciations. Yellow magnet ribbons and all....
>
>there are those that are just plain
>> idiots (and Jim is not one of them).
>
>Why do you say that Jim is not?
>
>As a matteer of fact, I think Jim IS one of the idiots.

Nah. Prolly has a good IQ and all that, but along the way he got
mentally sidetracked on things in reality. He gots "turf disease,"
wherein hanging out daily in some group, being portentiously and
pretensiously veddy correct in everything he say makes him a
"guru"...well, at least in his own eyes. Inside, he gots a mean streak
wherever someone don't think super-highly of his opinions...which are
many and varied about nearly everything.

>And, to read your comment above
>> without having paid attention to this thread, seems to say that
>anyone who
>> doesn't meet your standards of service should just roll up and die?
>
>If you like you can read it that way.
>
>But that is not what I meant. Meanwhile, Len, who has served is
>supposed to roll up and die.

The correct "supposeds" are "have a stroke" or "have a heart attack."

The first one was wished by a claimed "health professional." Must
have been one of those who took a hypocrite's oath instead of a
Hippocratic one.

>Why is that???

Simple reason: In this venue, anyone who doesn't love, honor, and
cherish morse code testing, can just go off and die. :-)

>Surely
>> I am mistaken in my interpretation of your comment, above.
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>
>To be -perfectly- clear, I think Jim is a welfare freeloader to avail

>hisself to the freedom to go to USA engineering schools, to avail
>hisself to a career in engineering, and then to comment on how bad life
>in America is without ***ever*** having done a Goddamned thing to risk
>even a split fingernail, all the while putting down Len Anderson who
>has contributed more to America than a single Jim/N2EY fingernail.
>Kim, you did ask.

That may be a bit rough, but it is cutting close.

Folkses who is full o' themselves are most especially Very Important
Peoples that should never ever be harmed, lest such harm hurt their
divine, noble, righteous thoughts within.

Their country owes THEM a living and they need do nothing for it other
than act anal-retentively lawful...and superior to others not as "gifted" as
they be.

You have to understand something of their motivations to see where they
are coming from (mostly the fantasies of their own imaginations). If you
served with the USAF in some distant land, they think that you HAD to
ask the FCC for legal counsel FIRST before operating any radio there...
and then they get pissed at you for obeying your real orders from the
USAF instead of them. <shrug>

Those fine superiors in here are all, naturally, morsemen tested at high
rates code cognition before the federal government. They are superior
supermen and anything they say is the Absolute Truth. No one else
can deny that Absolute lest they be buried in a veritable avalanche of
smug superiorness of hate mail. They will LIE, cheat, maybe even
steal, but whatever they do is Terribly Correct, Righteous.
They are the PCTA extras. That should say it all.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

200. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 00:20:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105230025.761249.244350@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101715

Lenof21 wrote:

>
> Those fine superiors in here are all, naturally, morsemen tested
at high
> rates code cognition before the federal government. They are
superior
> supermen and anything they say is the Absolute Truth. No one else
> can deny that Absolute lest they be buried in a veritable
avalanche of
> smug superiorness of hate mail. They will LIE, cheat, maybe even
> steal, but whatever they do is Terribly Correct, Righteous.
> They are the PCTA extras. That should say it all.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Yup, they couldn't interrupt their educations for military service,
they had more important things to do. Then they put down those who did
serve, then who then spent many years in night school to finally get
their education completed.

They talk and talk and talk about their service to their country, but
never say what that service entailed.

Who's that climbing the antenna mount? Why, it's Rev Jim. Hi!
Get ready for another sermon.

201. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 00:34:47 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <H__Dd.3$8Z1.0@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The Grim Reaper" <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub> wrote in message
news:1105216251.5ef09c06c25d699f9f44f6efbf43855c@teranews...
> Landshark wrote:
>>> We smoked a goose that was brined in a honey brine for christmas...
>>
>> Damn! I'll bet it was great!!
>
> Those are hard to get into a bong.

ROTFLMAO!!!! That was too funny!

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

202. Re: 97.21 (b)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 00:41:52 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <aav0u0hjkg7pq77nn1jnb8f8evedjrl7ho@4ax.com>
Source record: 101717

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:36:59 -0800 (PST), "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:

>On 08 Jan 2005 21:31:53 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>>> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
>>> period.
>>
>>Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
>>he or she *cannot* legally operate until the is renewed.
>
> Unless the renewal has been applied for before expiration. Then,
> the license privileges continue until the FCC acts upon the application.

Oh oh. An exception to the rule rears its ugly head.....

How could the J & M tag team possibly miss that one? :)

73, Leo.

203. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 01:59:08 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1105235948.147913.5790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101718

N2EY wrote:
> Sent 9:29 PM EST Jan 5 2005
>
> Is usenet working?

It's certainly working here today via Google and my ISP. Earlier today
I responded to a thread authored by Coslo in the dot antennas group and
the bloomin' thing came up in the group within *seconds*! Astounding!
'Twas almost chat room and instant messaging speed.

That was only once earlier today, none of it is very stable so far and
the glitches are annoying.

w3rv

204. Re: 97.21 (b)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 02:16:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UIidndWfQZKMCX3cRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101719

Leo wrote:
> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:36:59 -0800 (PST), "Phil Kane"
> <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>
>
>>On 08 Jan 2005 21:31:53 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
>>>>period.
>>>
>>>Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
>>>he or she *cannot* legally operate until the is renewed.
>>
>> Unless the renewal has been applied for before expiration. Then,
>> the license privileges continue until the FCC acts upon the application.
>
>
> Oh oh. An exception to the rule rears its ugly head.....

The exception that proves the rule, Leo.

Certainly such a procedure would be necessary, as there is a finite
period that it takes the FCC to grant the renewed license, and a finite
period of time that it takes to get to them. So a person that renews
their license on the last day will always go beyond the deadline,
especially if they mail their renewal in.

> How could the J & M tag team possibly miss that one? :)

On the other hand, if the person waits one day *after* the license
expires, it is a different story, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

205. 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 04:51:35 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050108235135.06417.00000001@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 101720

In article <aav0u0hjkg7pq77nn1jnb8f8evedjrl7ho@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
writes:

>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:36:59 -0800 (PST), "Phil Kane"
><Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>
>>On 08 Jan 2005 21:31:53 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>>
>>>> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
>>>> period.
>>>
>>>Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
>>>he or she *cannot* legally operate until the is renewed.
>>
>> Unless the renewal has been applied for before expiration. Then,
>> the license privileges continue until the FCC acts upon the application.
>
>Oh oh. An exception to the rule rears its ugly head.....
>
>How could the J & M tag team possibly miss that one? :)

Happy New Year, Leo...

Sure enough, the PCTA extras in here disregard the subject in order
to lambaste (and generally make nasty to) any NCTA about anything.
:-)

Doesn't matter if the NCTA are right or wrong (deliberately so in the
case suddenly become front-page news of sorts)...all NCTA are
targets of opportunity to be DISCREDITED by any means possible.

The "tag team" seems to think that all hams are always active on-
the-air as good "service personnel" (or something) during their valid
license period. Never mind that there are absences from the "service"
for many different reasons. The "grace period" insures that such
absence will not allow any licensee to lose their (apparently) so precious
tribal identification of a call sign. The call sign is "who we are" to
quote
one licensee in here some years ago. That would be especially true
for the Vanity calls. Licensees POSSESS their calls and those become
very, very personal.

In the USA I might even suggest that the FDA (Food and Drug
Administration) step in and help the FCC literally brand the granted

call sign on the physical person of the licensee, perhaps by a tatoo. That might complete the process of the New Identity within the Tribe. [why not? the FDA here certifies real hams ... :-)]

The "new identity" is important since it bestows a rank/status/privilege down here in a land without royals, no fancy titles or whatever. For example, a Rhode Islander could, under older rules, suddenly become a "resident" of Hawaii without even leaving the mainland. The arranger of that was able to retitle hisself from junior college math teacher to "mathematics lecturer in the university system." Neither one "did anything wrong" according to them. But, a whole bunch of very phony "radio club" calls got tossed in the dumpster by the FCC not too long ago. Another fantasylander thought he had much more clout in the real world than the U.S. aremed forces when he admonished another about "permission to operate a radio" from Somalia when that individual served in the military there. Yet another fantasylander keeps on bragging about his military record ("seven hostile actions" without ever saying where or when) and then trying to tear down others' real military records. Tsk. Then we have the classic knowitall who never served at all making like he knows more than Janes about USSR air power just because a real veteran slipped up once in a message. There's still another "serviceman" of the amateur corps who dines with aircraft carrier captains and looks down his nose at those who've done real military HF communications much more than he will have done in his lifetime. It's quite a collection!

Note: All those wonderful braggarts are PCTA extra. They can say anything, write anything, do anything in here and are absolved from ANY criticism. Should they be taken to task, the task-taker is soundly objected to with endearing personal perjoratives having little to do with the subject.

It's a plain simple fact that all NCTA in here are always wrong, no matter what they write on anything. No one who is of the NCTA persuasion should bother debating the subject in here. The matter is closed and all NCTA are forever wrong, incorrect, and probably have underarm odor.

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

206. New To Radio? Beware of Leonard H. Anderson!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 07:43:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105256620.564014.151200@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101722

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <aav0u0hjkg7pq77nn1jnb8f8evedjrl7ho@4ax.com>, Leo
<nomail@noway.com>
> writes:
>
> >On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:36:59 -0800 (PST), "Phil Kane"
> ><Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
> >
> >>On 08 Jan 2005 21:31:53 GMT, N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >>>Lenof21 wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their
grace
> >>>> period.
> >>>
> >>>Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
> >>>he or she *cannot* legally operate until the is renewed.
> >>
> >> Unless the renewal has been applied for before expiration. Then,
> >> the license privileges continue until the FCC acts upon the
application.
> >
> >Oh oh. An exception to the rule rears its ugly head.....
> >
> >How could the J & M tag team possibly miss that one? :)
>
> Happy New Year, Leo...

Snip to...

> It's a plain simple fact that all NCTA in here are always wrong,
no matter
> what they write on anything. No one who is of the NCTA persuasion
> should bother debating the subject in here. The matter is closed
and all
> NCTA are forever wrong, incorrect, and probably have underarm
odor.

Fifty eight lines of USENET bandwidth wasted in yet another effort to twist, dodge, and otherwise evade the fact that, ONCE AGAIN, Leonard H. Anderson had stuck his foot into matters for which he has no experience or qualifications.

Furthermore, despite his ALLEGED "professional" career, he is obviously poorly versed in matters of radiocommunications regulatory matters, and any "guidance" he may offer to anyone in such matters must be given the closest and most intense scrutiny lest the unwary be led astray.

Steve, K4YZ

207. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 10:44:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105267484.008608.77010@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101724

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Folks, we are getting into a huge embellishment project here with all these
> straw structures. In other venues it would be called LYING. In here it is
> apparently an Orwellian kind of Newspeak.

The ONLY thing "Orwellian" here is the idea that YOU represent any kind of honest, trustworthy or otherwise reputable point of view.

There's a LIAR here, alright, and it's Leonard H. Anderson. His mistruths and deceit are well documented in Google.

I'll give you this, Lennie...For a putz that routinely "embellished" his Army "career" with the sacrifices made by soldiers who died 3 years before you were ever inducted, you certainly have some huge cajones accusing others of "embellishment".

Face it, Lennie...As long as you continue to lie, deceive and misrepresent the facts, you will have your nose rubbed in your misdeeds in this forum.

You are not honest.
You are not trustworthy.

And THAT'S a fact.

Steve, K4YZ

208. Re: 97.21 (b)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 13:12:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050109081242.11141.00000004@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 101725

Lenof21 wrote:

>All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
>period.

Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
he or she *cannot* legally operate until the is renewed.

To quote FCC rules:

"97.21(b) A person whose amateur station license grant has expired
may apply to the FCC for renewal of the license grant for another term
during a 2 year filing grace period. The application must be received
at the address specified above prior to the end of the grace period.
Unless and until the license grant is renewed, no privileges in this Part
are conferred."

Last sentence says it all: "Unless and until the license grant is renewed,
no privileges in this Part are conferred."

But Len wrote:

"All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
period."

Not *some* licensees, or licensees who have sent in a renewal, but *ALL*
licensees.

That's clearly not true.

Do you agree or disagree, Len?

209. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 13:35:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105277744.944531.6540@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101726

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
> "Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:uX0Cd.19150$Gj2.5294@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > "K4ZDH"Just Call Me Bill"" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
> > news:cXZBd.15602$RH4.655@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> > > news:41d80cc1$1_1@127.0.0.1...
> > >
> > > : Sometime in January N9OGL well begin to broadcast his program
in the
> > > Amateur
> > > : band. The time, start up date and frequency has not yet been
> determined.
> > > : However, it will probably be in the 15 or 10 meter band. I'll
keep you
> > > all
> > > : posted.
> > > :
> > > : Todd N9OGL
> > > :
> > >
> > > Please let me know when you start. I'd love to exchange QSLs
with you.
> > >
> > > "Bill"
> >
> >
> > How many callsigns did you "imp" before this one?
> >
> > (How many happen to know who it belongs to?)
> >
> > J
>
>
>
> Hello, Jerry
>
> Um ... not a big deal .. with a look up of over 9,000 - might we
gently
> assume it is someone associated with the FCC that also happens to
have an

> amateur license?
>
> <VBG>
>
> Jerry, please be advised (and I think you don't need the advisement)
that
> many folks on the rec.radio.amateur.policy group as well as
rec.radio.cb
> group are not exactly the sharpest knives in the drawer .... :))
>
>
>
> Best regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

It's been discussed here before, but the only problem appears when you use another person's callsign in an amateur radio transmission. It's use anywhere else is not "4ID" and is not a violation of any kind. Or so I'm lead to believe.

210. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 13:40:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105278016.116806.21470@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101727

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 7 Jan 2005 06:32:52 -0800, Carl wrote:
>
> >I have always thought that "broadcast" is NOT allowed on amateur
bands.
> >Where am I mistaken?
>
> You aren't.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

With one exception.

211. Re: Any good Hamstuff from Santa?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 13:53:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105278791.774392.62850@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101728

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <20041231095021.21925.00002802@mb-m06.aol.com>,
k4yz@aol.com (Some
> kind of Humorless Ham full of Angries) writes:
>
> >>>>Did Santa bring you any kewl new Ham related items?
> >>>
> >>>A nice calendar from CQ.
> >>
> >> ...your days are numbered!
> > >
> > > As they are for all of us.
>
> Ahem. :-) Isn't that the PURPOSE of a calendar? :-)

A julian calendar would make that more obvious. Some don't realize
that their days are numbered if the numbers keep repeating every 30
days, or so. A new least on life or something like that.

Hop Sing go with Chinee calendar, where we will transition from year of
monkey to year of rooster on your Feb 9th. No year of ham in Chinee
calendar.

212. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 15:11:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VIOdnbuKxIQi1HzcRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101729

Lenof21 wrote:

> In article <aav0u0hjkg7pq77nn1jnb8f8evedjrl7ho@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
> writes:
>
>
>>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:36:59 -0800 (PST), "Phil Kane"
>><Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On 08 Jan 2005 21:31:53 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
>>>>period.
>>>>
>>>>Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
>>>>he or she *cannot* legally operate until the is renewed.
>>>
>>> Unless the renewal has been applied for before expiration. Then,
>>> the license privileges continue until the FCC acts upon the application.
>>
>>Oh oh. An exception to the rule rears its ugly head.....
>>
>>How could the J & M tag team possibly miss that one? :)
>
>
> Happy New Year, Leo...
>
> Sure enough, the PCTA extras in here disregard the subject in order
> to lambaste (and generally make nasty to) any NCTA about anything.
> :-)

Is disagreement with you making nasty to you?

Is pointing out a mistake making nasty to you?

> Doesn't matter if the NCTA are right or wrong (deliberately so in the
> case suddenly become front-page news of sorts)...all NCTA are
> targets of opportunity to be DISCREDITED by any means possible.

hmm, sounds like a conspiracy..... 8^)

> The "tag team" seems to think that all hams are always active on-
> the-air as good "service personnel" (or something) during their valid
> license period.

Who said that? I have a post in answer to you that would indicate that
I definitely do *not* think that way.

> Never mind that there are absences from the "service"
> for many different reasons. The "grace period" insures that such
> absence will not allow any licensee to lose their (apparently) so precious
> tribal identification of a call sign. The call sign is "who we are" to
> quote
> one licensee in here some years ago. That would be especially true
> for the Vanity calls. Licensees POSSESS their calls and those become
> very, very personal.

> In the USA I might even suggest that the FDA (Food and Drug
> Administration) step in and help the FCC literally brand the granted
> call sign on the physical person of the licensee, perhaps by a tatoo.
> That might complete the process of the New Identity within the Tribe.
> [why not? the FDA here certifies real hams ... :-)]

> The "new identity" is important since it bestows a rank/status/privilege
> down here in a land without royals, no fancy titles or whatever. For
> example, a Rhode Islander could, under older rules, suddenly become
> a "resident" of Hawaii without even leaving the mainland. The arranger
> of that was able to retitle hisself from junior college math teacher to
> "mathematics lecturer in the university system." Neither one "did
> anything wrong" according to them. But, a whole bunch of very phony
> "radio club" calls got tossed in the dumpster by the FCC not too long
> ago. Another fantasylander thought he had much more clout in the real
> world than the U.S. aremed forces when he admonished another about
> "permission to operate a radio" from Somalia when that individual served
> in the military there. Yet another fantasylander keeps on bragging about
> his military record ("seven hostile actions" without ever saying where or
> when) and then trying to tear down others' real military records. Tsk.
> Then we have the classic knowitall who never served at all making like
> he knows more than Janes about USSR air power just because a real
> veteran slipped up once in a message. There's still another "serviceman"
> of the amateur corps who dines with aircraft carrier captains and looks
> down his nose at those who've done real military HF communications
> much more than he will have done in his lifetime. It's quite a collection!
>
> Note: All those wonderful braggarts are PCTA extra. They can say
> anything, write anything, do anything in here and are absolved from ANY
> criticism. Should they be taken to task, the task-taker is soundly
> objected to with endearing personal perjoratives having little to do with
> the subject.
>
> It's a plain simple fact that all NCTA in here are always wrong, no matter
> what they write on anything. No one who is of the NCTA persuasion
> should bother debating the subject in here. The matter is closed and all

> NCTA are forever wrong, incorrect, and probably have underarm odor.

Interesting post. It doe not negate the fact that you have been wrong on several occasions. We all are at times. But it isn't "all NCTA's, or even NCTNA's. But that is okay. No damage done.

You post indicates that you are pretty upset about this. Don't be mad at yourself. Being wrong on a matter just means that the next time you won't be.

Chin up! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

213. Re: 97.21 (b)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 15:13:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VIOdnbqKxISO13zcRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101730

N2EY wrote:

> Lenof21 wrote:
>
>
>>All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
>>period.
>
>
> Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
> he or she *cannot* legally operate until the is renewed.
>
> To quote FCC rules:
>
> "97.21(b) A person whose amateur station license grant has expired
> may apply to the FCC for renewal of the license grant for another term
> during a 2 year filing grace period. The application must be received
> at the address specified above prior to the end of the grace period.
> Unless and until the license grant is renewed, no privileges in this Part
> are conferred."
>
> Last sentence says it all: "Unless and until the license grant is renewed,
> no privileges in this Part are conferred."
>
> But Len wrote:
>
> "All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
> period."
>
> Not *some* licensees, or licensees who have sent in a renewal, but *ALL*
> licensees.
>
> That's clearly not true.
>
> Do you agree or disagree, Len?

Maybe we should go easy on Len, Jim. He seems pretty upset.

- Mike KB3EIA -

214. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 15:23:51 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <j0g2u0lpu4blghiji4or645top8kj4ah0q@4ax.com>
Source record: 101731

On 09 Jan 2005 04:51:35 GMT, lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21) wrote:

>In article <aav0u0hjkg7pq77nn1jnb8f8evedjrl7ho@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:36:59 -0800 (PST), "Phil Kane"
>><Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>>
>>>On 08 Jan 2005 21:31:53 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>>>
>>>> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
>>>> period.
>>>>
>>>>Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
>>>>he or she *cannot* legally operate until the is renewed.
>>>
>>> Unless the renewal has been applied for before expiration. Then,
>>> the license privileges continue until the FCC acts upon the application.
>>
>>Oh oh. An exception to the rule rears its ugly head.....
>>
>>How could the J & M tag team possibly miss that one? :)
>
> Happy New Year, Leo...

Thanks - you too, Len!
>
> Sure enough, the PCTA extras in here disregard the subject in order
> to lambaste (and generally make nasty to) any NCTA about anything.
> :-)

Some even enter a batch of posts on a variety of subjects, apparently
hoping to get sonething on the hook.......what's the word for that
again...? :)

>
> Doesn't matter if the NCTA are right or wrong (deliberately so in the
> case suddenly become front-page news of sorts)...all NCTA are
> targets of opportunity to be DISCREDITED by any means possible.
>
> The "tag team" seems to think that all hams are always active on-
> the-air as good "service personnel" (or something) during their valid
> license period. Never mind that there are absences from the "service"

> for many different reasons. The "grace period" insures that such
> absence will not allow any licensee to lose their (apparently) so precious
> tribal identification of a call sign. The call sign is "who we are" to
>quote
> one licensee in here some years ago. That would be especially true
> for the Vanity calls. Licensees POSSESS their calls and those become
> very, very personal.

That's true even more so up here in the (currently) frozen North -
licenses and call signs are issued to Canadian hams for life, no
renewal required. The call sign is protected for a year after you SK,
to allow a family member to qualify and acquire it.

No bureaucracy, no renewals, no paperwork, no grace period required -
unless you break the law and lose it, or move to a new province (the
call sign doesn't follow you around the country like they do in the
States), you'll expire long before it does :)

>
> In the USA I might even suggest that the FDA (Food and Drug
> Administration) step in and help the FCC literally brand the granted
> call sign on the physical person of the licensee, perhaps by a tatoo.
> That might complete the process of the New Identity within the Tribe.
> [why not? the FDA here certifies real hams ... :-)]

A good application for the microchip, perhaps - technology proven in
the Veterinary world for quite a few years now....?

>
> The "new identity" is important since it bestows a rank/status/privilege
> down here in a land without royals, no fancy titles or whatever. For
> example, a Rhode Islander could, under older rules, suddenly become
> a "resident" of Hawaii without even leaving the mainland. The arranger
> of that was able to retitle hisself from junior college math teacher to
> "mathematics lecturer in the university system." Neither one "did
> anything wrong" according to them. But, a whole bunch of very phony
> "radio club" calls got tossed in the dumpster by the FCC not too long
> ago. Another fantasylander thought he had much more clout in the real
> world than the U.S. aremed forces when he admonished another about
> "permission to operate a radio" from Somalia when that individual served
> in the military there. Yet another fantasylander keeps on bragging about
> his military record ("seven hostile actions" without ever saying where or
> when) and then trying to tear down others' real military records. Tsk.
> Then we have the classic knowitall who never served at all making like
> he knows more than Janes about USSR air power just because a real
> veteran slipped up once in a message. There's still another "serviceman"
> of the amateur corps who dines with aircraft carrier captains and looks
> down his nose at those who've done real military HF communications
> much more than he will have done in his lifetime. It's quite a collection!

It sure is.....engineers who have problems with basic math,
thinly-veiled trolls looking to provoke fights.....

It's a wonderful microcosm in here indeed. Of what, specifically, I'm
not quite sure! :)

>
> Note: All those wonderful braggarts are PCTA extra. They can say
> anything, write anything, do anything in here and are absolved from ANY
> criticism. Should they be taken to task, the task-taker is soundly
> objected to with endearing personal perjoratives having little to do with
> the subject.

Ipso facto, with a few exceptions - there are several OMs here who are
quite civil, knowledgeable and conversational, and do not possess the
NetNanny gene.....

>
> It's a plain simple fact that all NCTA in here are always wrong, no matter
> what they write on anything. No one who is of the NCTA persuasion
> should bother debating the subject in here. The matter is closed and all
> NCTA are forever wrong, incorrect, and probably have underarm odor.

.....and skinned knuckles! :)

Note that it isn't always a PCTA / NCTA thing, though - we've seen
recent battles between folks of a similar stripe, simply because they
disagree on some arcane point. These disputes are just as vitriolic,
nasty and profane as any of the 'standard' ones here in the group!

But, after all, what's more important than Always Being Right About
Everything? :) :) :)

>
> :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

73, Leo

215. Re: ARS License Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 15:27:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UvKdnd-_9tzA0HzcRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101732

N2EY wrote:

> In article <uYCdnRSbYYzWskLcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <v3hDd.2194$Pm6.2156@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>
>>"Grï¿½mwï¿½tch
>>
>>>thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½" <Grï¿½mwï¿½tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Lenof21" <lenof21@aol.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:20050106150332.06260.00000398@mb-m26.aol.com...
>>>>
>>>>: The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
>>>>: midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license
>>
>>period...and
>>
>>>>: for two more years into that grace period.
>>>>:
>>>>Untrue. (Some would say an outright lie) They are certainly prohibited
>>
>>from
>>
>>>>operating their amateur radio station without supervision, since they
>>>>possess no valid operator license.
>>>
>>> Now what did I write that was UNTRUE? Hmmm?
>>
>> You wrote in an earlier message:
>>
>>Lenof21> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in
>>their grace
>>Lenof21> period. There is no necessity (nor sense) to eliminate those
>>in the
>>Lenof21> grace period from those in the normal 10-year license period from
>>Lenof21> any class totals.

>>
>>Then you appear to have modified it to say:
>>
>>
>>
>>> "The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
>>> midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license period."
>>>
>>> Was anything written about "operating an amateur radio station?"
>>> No. :-)
>>
>>Yes, because you wrote the above mentioned quote in an earlier message
>
>
>>> They could have a valid commercial license and not be
>>> prohibited from using that.
>
>
> Do commercial licenses have 10 year terms and 2 year grace periods?

And if so, should you be required to include them in your ARS license
numbers? 8^)

>
>>> Note: A commercial license does not
>>> allow operation IN the amateur bands...just like an amateur license
>>> does NOT allow operation outside of amateur bands.
>
>
> In any event, a license holder *is* prohibited from doing something
> when the license is in the grace period.

Yes, and that is operation within the privileges of their expired license.

>
>>So. They *are* prohibited from operating in the amateur bands. That is
>>significantly different than "not being prohibited from doing anything"
>
>
>>> ALL of Title 47 C.F.R. applies to ALL USA citizens. Yes, that
>>> includes amateur radio licensees. :-) In that you will find that, if
>>> there is a REAL emergency situation, there is NO prohibition
>>> against anyone using any frequency, any mode for help.
>
>
> Doesn't change the fact that a licensee with a license in the
> grace period is prohibited from operating an amateur station
> in a non-emergency situation.
>
>
>>> I guess the "only ones who count" in here are the Regulars, the

>>> life-stylers, those who eat-breathe-sleep amateur radio. They are
>>> NEVER wrong. Their words are TRVTH itself, engraved in eternal
>>> marble. Their shall be no discourse with them...of course.
>>
>> In that you are incorrect. I don't mind having a good discussion, and
>>even enjoy a good argument. But I do expect a good argument with proper
>>give and take. Some times I am wrong, and some times right. But your
>>case would be better served if you were to simply admit your mistakes
>>and move on to good debate.
>
>
> Agreed. Len made a mistake about 97.21(b), but he seems reluctant to
> admit it.

Note that the position he is taking is modifying. I've worked with a few
who do this. They really hate being wrong, but when they are proven
wrong, they slowly modify their stance so that eventually they either
agree with you, or " you just didn't understand" what they were saying
in the first place

> Think about *why*

A couple possibilities:

1. He was genuinely wrong. He made an incorrect statement, and is
embarrassed about it. Some people absolutely *hate* being incorrect on
anything.

2. He is making deliberate incorrect statements simply to invoke others
in arguments. This could be an entertainment issue, or perhaps a
loneliness thing.

- Mike KB3EIA -

216. Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 15:38:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DvednSpJN4Jo0nzcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101733

N2EY wrote:

> In article <n-2dnX_xZLNaPUjcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <20041228103917.23348.00002538@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>
>>(Steve
>>
>>>Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>And not just "asthma" patients, but COPD'ers
>>>
>>>
>>>I have to ask...
>>>
>>>What's COPD stand for?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>who smell of cigarette smoke,
>>>>"back pain" patients who exacerbated their "disabling" back pain while
>>
>>riding
>>
>>>>their motorcycle or out drinking all night, or diabetics who present in DKA
>>>>who refuse to comply with their MD's plan-of-care.
>>>
>>>
>>>OK, fine - but let's take that a step farther....
>>>
>>>The consensus of opinion among all the MD's I've talked to says the
>>
>>following
>>
>>>as a general plan-of-care:
>>>
>>>1) Do not use illegal drugs of any kind
>>>

>>>2) Do not use tobacco of any kind
>>>
>>>3) Get your weight down to at least where the charts say "average"
>>>
>>>4) Eat a healthy diet
>>>
>>>5) Use seatbelts, helmets, eye/hearing protection and all other protective
>>>devices
>>>where appropriate
>>>
>>>How many people do all 5 consistently? How much public and private money is
>>>spent because so many people don't?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> People who have bonafide needs should get the care they need. Those
>>
>>who
>>
>>>>have needs but refuse to do what is necessary to "get fixed" yet demand
>>
>>that
>>
>>>>"we" do "something" to get them better need to be given the boot and a
>>>>referal to a funeral home director with pre-paid plans.
>>>>
>>>
>>>The problem is, how do you differentiate those groups when they show up in
>>
>>the
>>
>>>ER?
>>
>> Here is one for ya Jim:
>>
>> People who engage in risky behavior, such as rock climbing, bungee
>>jumping, contact sports, mountain climbing, skateboarding, and other
>>dangerous activity are doing so with knowledge of their potential
>>injury/death.
>
>
> One could include risky activities like dealing with high voltages, climbing
> towers and other structures to raise antennas, etc.

One could include *not being underweight*. Believe it or not, I have
heard a researcher prescribe just this for everyone.

And what about gray areas. My ice hockey is an incredible aerobic
activity. This is good. But it is also a lot of high speed physical
contact with people armed with clubs. Not so good, and I have had
numerous injuries while playing.

>>Why should society have to foot the bill when one of these
>>idiots gets injured and can no longer support themselves. They made a
>>presumably sober decision to do this.
>
>
> OK so far.
>
>
>>note that this is a little tongue in cheek, but I do have a bit of a
>>moral issue with this.
>
>
> What moral issue? Here's how it works:

The moral issue is that eventually those insurance companies and the
people who would control us will do just that. Eventually, people that
engage in "risky activities" will find themselves uninsurable.

"Life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness as long as it carries no
physical risk"

> Insurance companies have developed ways to figure out the risk factors and how
> they affect the chances those behaviors will result in payouts. And they affix
> surcharges to their premiums to compensate.
>
> For example, life insurance policies usually have a "tobacco rate" and a "no
> tobacco rate". Homeowners' policies usually require an extra-cost rider if you
> have a pool. Etc.
>
> Same principle could be applied.

To everything. It is like the health industry wanting to get hold of
every possible test that could predict what we are likely to expire of
so that they don't have to insure us for that.

> --
>
> Now here's a disturbing trend to consider:
>
> One of the newest ideas in health care is the "specialty hospital". These are
> for-profit non-teaching medical facilities, often owned by the docs who work
> there, that focus on particular illnesses. Cardiology is a favorite.

> The trick is that they do not accept any federal or state reimbursement -
> private insurance only. So they don't have to follow govt."guidelines" in many
> areas. They don't have ERs and they don't accept "walk-ins", only referrals
> with good insurance.

So.... I know..... VOUCHERS to the rescue!!!!!

> So what they get are the pick of the patients - those who can pay, are
> compliant, and who have good documentation. They don't have to cost-shift, so
> their prices are competitive.
>

> Guess what happens to the "regular" hospitals?

I wonder when we are going to get back to debtor's prisons again.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

217. Re: 97.21 (b)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 15:45:56 UTC
From: potatoe speeches <boinkemindapooper50@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e0301$41e151cb$d1cc4e71$16453@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 101734

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20050108163153.21658.00000525@mb-m14.aol.com...
> Lenof21 wrote:
>
> > All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
> > period.
>
> Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
> he or she *cannot* legally operate until the is renewed.

I've listened to bootleggers on 20 and 75 Meters which have
been "operating" therin for over 15+ years.

Even more on 2 Meters local, mostly seems to be
weed-whacker pilots conversing in spanish.

FCC couldn't give a rat's posterior.

218. Petitioning the FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 16:05:29 UTC
From: "Airy R. Bean" <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <34d37sF49s5nhU1@individual.net>
Source record: 101735
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I understand that Yankland has discontinued its Novice class
of licence, almost contemporaneously with Britland starting
the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence
scheme. As this scheme is little more than a bad joke, it being
possible to obtain a licence no later than two days after having
heard of it despite having no technical knowledge at all, is it
appropriate to petition the FCC to make it a felony for any
Yankland hams to QSO with an M3/CB Fools' Licensee on
the Ham Bands?

Unless we take a stand _NOW_ against this blatant CBisation
of Ham Radio, we may find that we are all treated as CBers
by our national governments in years to come.

Are we proud of being hams with all that is implied by the
efforts to get that licence, or are we no more than CBers,
and content to let the rot develop further?

Act now! Refuse to QSO with the M3/CBers and
petition the FCC to make it a felony!

219. Re: Petitioning the FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 16:19:44 UTC
From: David Edmonds <dedmonds73@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <AQcEd.101$UQ.7@newsfe6-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 101736
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Airy R. Bean wrote:

> I understand that Yankland has discontinued its Novice class
> of licence, almost contemporaneously with Britland starting
> the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence
> scheme. As this scheme is little more than a bad joke, it being
> possible to obtain a licence no later than two days after having
> heard of it despite having no technical knowledge at all, is it
> appropriate to petition the FCC to make it a felony for any
> Yankland hams to QSO with an M3/CB Fools' Licensee on
> the Ham Bands?

You'll get no friends by calling the USA any other name...

> Unless we take a stand _NOW_ against this blatant CBisation
> of Ham Radio, we may find that we are all treated as CBers
> by our national governments in years to come.

He's ran out of alcohol again and started on the machine oil by the
looks of things! Please, anyone thinking of taking this guy seriously,
please search for 'Airy R Bean' on google and see what you're taking on!

> Are we proud of being hams with all that is implied by the
> efforts to get that licence, or are we no more than CBers,
> and content to let the rot develop further?

Beware - Airy R Bean - or Gareth Alun Evans, G4SDW, uses the word 'we'
in very slack ways - he really should say 'I personally' as no-one in
the UK wishes to be part of his 'we'.

> Act now! Refuse to QSO with the M3/CBers and
> petition the FCC to make it a felony!

Get your petition started old bean - would you like members of the
uk.radio.amateur newsgroup to visit you to sign your paper petition for you?

Maybe we could bring you some beer at the same time?

David.

220. Re: Petitioning the FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 16:58:23 UTC
From: James Bond <justmereally@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <crrnrflea1@sparta.btinternet.com>
Source record: 101737
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

why dont you just shut up, go get a life or just crawl into a little hole somewhere and die?

i used to carry on like this when i was 10. no, in fact, i wasnt even that bad.

do you suffer from some sort of mental illness? attention deficit hyperactivity syndrome springs to mind, you carry on just right for that.

dr. x

221. Re: Petitioning the FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 17:25:07 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <TNdEd.47857$8e5.17994@fed1read07>
Source record: 101738
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No look him up on QRZ -- more than 10,000 inquires
That tell the story ???
G4SDW that is
More hits than the ARRL president !!!!

So the infamous outnumber the famous
Quit feeding him

--
Caveat Lector

"James Bond" <justmereally@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:crrnrflea1@sparta.btinternet.com...
> why dont you just shut up, go get a life or just crawl into a little hole
> somewhere and die?
>
>
> i used to carry on like this when i was 10. no, in fact, i wasnt even that
> bad.
>
>
> do you suffer from some sort of mental illness? attention deficit
> hyperactivity syndrome springs to mind, you carry on just right for that.
>
>
> dr. x
>

222. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 17:46:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E16DF3.8FA0B37A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101739

Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:41DE1278.1B4C7819@earthlink.net...
> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >
> > > ... Hollingworth and his gestopo stomping on free speech.
> >
> > His what?
> >
> > > Do get me wrong I'm all for ham radio but I am not for this crap of
> > > ham radio operators or the FCC gestopo atempts to control the content of
> > > amateur radio stations.
> >
> > You want us to get you wrong? I'm sold. Watch out for those "gestopo
> > atempts".
> >
> > You never did tell me about this "micro broadcasting movement".
>
> What do you want to know about the micro-broadcasting movement???

Is it made up of scofflaw types who have determined that the FCC has no
authority to regulate broadcasting? What is the legal standing of this
"movement". Why does the "movement" receive no play in the several
leading newsmagazines or on TV news shows? Is the objective of the
"movement" to take to the airwaves in defiance of the FCC?

Those questions will do for the moment.

> I myself joined the movement in 1998 after I had applied six times for a
> license with waivers for a community that didn't have a service. The FCC
> wouldn't consider my applications or waivers (which the Federal courts have
> told them repeatly that they (FCC) "must consider waivers" So my opinion of
> the FCC is that they are nothing buts LIARS, and are below pond scum.

You're quite sure that what you interpret as the FCC's non-consideration
of your applications wasn't consideration and rejection? Was it that
your applications and requests for waivers were for something quite
outside the regulations?

I'm sure that if you include terms like "LIARS" and "below pond scum" in
your communications with the FCC, you'll get some special attention.

You might want to consider that amateur radio might not be for you,
Todd.
There seems to be some confusion in your mind as to its basis and

purpose.
It is not a broadcasting service.

If you invest in a 100mw Part 15 AM transmitter and find yourself a nice clear spot on the BCB, you can play announcer to your heart's content.

Dave K8MN

223. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 17:49:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050109124906.00824.00000001@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 101740

In article <20050108235135.06417.00000001@mb-m25.aol.com>, lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21) writes:

>In article <aav0u0hjkg7pq77nn1jnb8f8evedjrl7ho@4ax.com>, Leo
><nomail@noway.com>
>writes:
>
>>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:36:59 -0800 (PST), "Phil Kane"
>><Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>>
>>>On 08 Jan 2005 21:31:53 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>>>
>>>> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
>>>> period.
>>>>
>>>>Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
>>>>he or she *cannot* legally operate until it is renewed.
>>>
>>> Unless the renewal has been applied for before expiration. Then,
>>> the license privileges continue until the FCC acts upon the application.

I did not know that! Thanks, Phil.

Amend my statement to read:

Once an amateur's license expires, he or she *cannot* legally operate until it is renewed. If renewal has been applied for before expiration, the license privileges continue until the FCC acts upon the application.

However, it proves my point: *All* amateurs with expired licenses cannot continue to operate in the grace period. Only those who have applied for renewal *before the license actually expired* and whose applications have not yet been processed by FCC can do so.

Where in Part 97 is this documented?

>>Oh oh. An exception to the rule rears its ugly head.....
>>
>>How could the J & M tag team possibly miss that one? :)
>
> Sure enough, the PCTA extras in here disregard the subject in order
> to lambaste (and generally make nasty to) any NCTA about anything.
> :-)

It is *you* who are disregsarding the subject, Len.

Can *all* amateurs with expired licenses legally operate while in the grace
period, Len? That's what you claimed. It isn't so.

Do you retract your statement or stand by it, Len?

> Doesn't matter if the NCTA are right or wrong (deliberately so in the
> case suddenly become front-page news of sorts)...all NCTA are
> targets of opportunity to be DISCREDITED by any means possible.

Not at all, Len.

Your statement about operation in the renewal period was clearly wrong.
97.21(b) proves that. Has nothing to do with code testing at all, but has
everyhting to do with a basic understanding of Part 97.

Your statements err on the side of breaking the law rather than observing it.
>
> The "tag team" seems to think that all hams are always active on-
> the-air as good "service personnel" (or something) during their valid
> license period.

Where do you get that?

> Never mind that there are absences from the "service"
> for many different reasons.

Such as?

> The "grace period" insures that such
> absence will not allow any licensee to lose their (apparently) so precious
> tribal identification of a call sign.

That's not the issue. The issue is whether operating with an
expuired-but-in-the-grace-period license is legal. You've written that it is
legal for all amateurs. Part 97 says it isn't.

> The call sign is "who we are" to
> quote
> one licensee in here some years ago.

Who was that?

> That would be especially true
> for the Vanity calls. Licensees POSSESS their calls and those become
> very, very personal.

Is that a problem? Perhaps it is a sore point with you because you do not have
an amateur radio call sign.
>
> In the USA I might even suggest that the FDA (Food and Drug
> Administration) step in and help the FCC literally brand the granted
> call sign on the physical person of the licensee, perhaps by a tatoo.
> That might complete the process of the New Identity within the Tribe.
> [why not? the FDA here certifies real hams ... :-)]

Perhaps USDA could certify your posts as "100% pure bull", too....;-) ;-)

The plain, simple fact is that Len Anderson simply made a mistake on 97.21(b).
But he won't admit it.

224. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 18:22:57 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050109132257.00824.00000002@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 101741

In article <VIOdnbuKxIQi1HzcRVn-gA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Lenof21 wrote:

>> It's a plain simple fact that all NCTA in here are always wrong, no
matter
>> what they write on anything. No one who is of the NCTA persuasion
>> should bother debating the subject in here. The matter is closed and all
>> NCTA are forever wrong, incorrect, and probably have underarm odor.
>
> Interesting post. It doe not negate the fact that you have been wrong
>on several occasions.

ALL such occasions, Mikey. :-)

It's a plain simple fact. :-)

>We all are at times.

Never if you are a PCTA!

PCTA are Keepers of the Flame (and of flame wars against NCTA).

PCTA are the Only sources of TRVTH in hamme raddio.

They keep on telling each other that...and being to believe it. Tsk.

> You post indicates that you are pretty upset about this.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHhahahahahahahah

It's a plain simple fact...your posting indicates you are trying to mask
someone else's comment or applying some weird salesman oil that
you think will calm waters or you are just so damn dumb you can't
understand sarcasm when it's served up to you on gleaming silver.
I'll bet on the latter.

Everything went about 50K feet over your head.

You might research "401 alarm" a bit and understand. But, QST
didn't print anything about it so I doubt you would know it. It would
be allied with the code word "wildfire." Perhaps even "agricultural
station." :-)

>at yourself. Being wrong on a matter just means that the next time you
>won't be.

Silly boy, trying reverse sarcasm doesn't work either.

The plain simple fact is that I - or any NCTA - can post ANYTHING,
whether right, wrong, or off the wall, and the plain simple factotum
in here will go into a prissy negative critique, perhaps even a prolonged
hissy-fit of superiority. :-)

That's the plain simple facts of content of THIS newsgroup.

"Discussion" is out. Personal denigration is IN. For a prime-cut
example, see the Avenging Angle's posting this same morning.
That paragon of perpetual personal pejoratives whacked off more
of his PR charisma for the PCTA cause...and you LIKE that...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

225. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 18:25:13 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <3f934cb9a65b1771adcbf9e4998148a3@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 101742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <crnu3k$3sn$0@pita.alt.net>
"Terry" aka Lardass Lloydie Davies, N0VFP <Rea...@nospam> whined:
>
> Good idea. Getting off the subject, that is.

That's what you do best, little Lloydie, since you are too
stupid and lazy to upgrade from no-code tech and have been one
for over 10 years now.

> There is no singular "Lloyd"
> posting these comments...Lloyd is a fictional character whose
name has been
> used to foment comment after comment by parties with special
interests. (aka
> Stalker AB8MQ)

BWHAHAHAHA..you give yourself away again, stupid. Mentally ill
Lloydie sees Roger (ab8mq) everywhere!
He thinks the many people who hand him his fat ass is stalking
him, too. But, then, he thinks sci-fi robots are real and plays
with dolls.

> At last count there were no less than four "Lloyds" in these
groups. Take
> your pick...which Lloyd do you prefer?

Only becuase Lardass Lloyd hides behind Altopia and other
sockpuppets. He's too stupid not to change something that gives
him away every time.

> Lloyd exists in name only.

Try harder, fatty........
N0VFP
Lloyd Davies
710 S Clinton St Apt 202
Athens, AL 35611-3582
(256)233-4396

lloyd_vfp@msn.com
brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com
n0vfp@bellsouth.net

226. Re: Petitioning the FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 18:37:07 UTC
From: tox <nospam@nospamming.co.com>
Message-ID: <nReEd.134$4J5.64@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 101743
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
news:TNdEd.47857$8e5.17994@fed1read07...
> No look him up on QRZ -- more than 10,000 inquires
> That tell the story ???
> G4SDW that is
> More hits than the ARRL president !!!!
>

For hits, Professor Bean, is only second to Ebay...

Regards
tox

227. Re: Petitioning the FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 19:45:57 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <VRfEd.2171$KJ2.930@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101745
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Airy R. Bean wrote an obvious troll:

>
> Act now! Refuse to QSO with the M3/CBers and
> petition the FCC to make it a felony!
>

Rates an s5 on my trollmeter. Or should it be t5?

228. Re: Petitioning the FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 19:47:33 UTC
From: Rob Gibson <astroknutt-turtle@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <crs1ol$nf7$1@hercules.btinternet.com>
Source record: 101746
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
news:TNdEd.47857$8e5.17994@fed1read07...
> No look him up on QRZ -- more than 10,000 inquires
> That tell the story ???
> G4SDW that is
> More hits than the ARRL president !!!!
>
> So the infamous outnumber the famous
> Quit feeding him
>
> --
> Caveat Lector
>

Yes, please stop feeding him. Hopefully he'll starve then.

229. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 19:55:19 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <J7gEd.126654$z62.60272@fe09.lga>
Source record: 101747

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41e1826c$1_3@127.0.0.1...
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:41E16DF3.8FA0B37A@earthlink.net...
>> If you invest in a 100mw Part 15 AM transmitter and find yourself a nice
>> clear spot on the BCB, you can play announcer to your heart's content.
>
> Part 15 device are never have nor are attended for broadcasting. Part 15
> device are short range as a matter of fact part 15 device are suppose to
> have the range to cover a person's yard. I know it can be said that a part
> 15 device can go farther, however part 15 was not attended for that
> purpose.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Funny story: I used to use a Part 15 device on CB channel 14, 27125 kHz, to practice CW with my niece. She lived approx. 15 linear miles away and we were able to copy each other FB through some pretty "CBish" QRM.

BTW, the trick to remaining legal while "getting out" with a Part 15 device is to get the device itself as high as humanly/safely possible. The power and key cables were then brough down into the shack. A seperate high performance rcvr rounded out the package. No coax loss because...no coax. Just comply with the ERP restrictions and let your height be your might. Fond memories indeed.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

230. The Fate of "Shortwave."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 20:05:54 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <C8gEd.965$SS6.207@trnddc07>
Source record: 101748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nope. As soon as the HF users reach a certain level of decline,
the FCC will auction-off the HF band for use in WI-FI local
broadband networking, telling the hams to enjoy "2 meters and down."
Count on it.

tianli wrote:
>>I don't know about MW, but SW has been a dinoaur in Western countries
>>for decades now...and I wouldn't have it any other way. That's
>>precisely what's kept it interesting all these years.
>
>
> I agree! Less flame-thrower interference also.
> Maybe one day we will experience the bands as they were before the cold war
> and proliferation of high powered SW transmitters.
>
>

231. Re: The Fate of "Shortwave."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 20:17:26 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1105301846.035032.299800@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave, rec.radio.amateur.policy

DS - The so called "Greater Good" ~ RHF

.

232. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 20:24:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <45OdndiSMM11D3zcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101900

Leo wrote:

> On 09 Jan 2005 04:51:35 GMT, lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21) wrote:
>
>
>>In article <aav0u0hjkg7pq77nn1jnb8f8evedjrl7ho@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>
>>>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:36:59 -0800 (PST), "Phil Kane"
>>><Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On 08 Jan 2005 21:31:53 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
>>>>>>period.
>>>>>
>>>>>Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
>>>>>he or she *cannot* legally operate until the is renewed.
>>>>
>>>> Unless the renewal has been applied for before expiration. Then,
>>>> the license privileges continue until the FCC acts upon the application.
>>>
>>>Oh oh. An exception to the rule rears its ugly head.....
>>>
>>>How could the J & M tag team possibly miss that one? :)
>>
>> Happy New Year, Leo...
>
>
> Thanks - you too, Len!
>
>> Sure enough, the PCTA extras in here disregard the subject in order
>> to lambaste (and generally make nasty to) any NCTA about anything.
>> :-)
>
>
> Some even enter a batch of posts on a variety of subjects, apparently
> hoping to get sonething on the hook.......what's the word for that

> again...? :)

To imagine anyone on Usenet would do that. 8^)

>
>> Doesn't matter if the NCTA are right or wrong (deliberately so in the
>> case suddenly become front-page news of sorts)...all NCTA are
>> targets of opportunity to be DISCREDITED by any means possible.
>>
>> The "tag team" seems to think that all hams are always active on-
>> the-air as good "service personnel" (or something) during their valid
>> license period. Never mind that there are absences from the "service"
>> for many different reasons. The "grace period" insures that such
>> absence will not allow any licensee to lose their (apparently) so precious
>> tribal identification of a call sign. The call sign is "who we are" to
>>quote
>> one licensee in here some years ago. That would be especially true
>> for the Vanity calls. Licensees POSSESS their calls and those become
>> very, very personal.
>
>
> That's true even more so up here in the (currently) frozen North -
> licenses and call signs are issued to Canadian hams for life, no
> renewal required. The call sign is protected for a year after you SK,
> to allow a family member to qualify and acquire it.

That is a good way to do it.

> No bureaucracy, no renewals, no paperwork, no grace period required -
> unless you break the law and lose it, or move to a new province (the
> call sign doesn't follow you around the country like they do in the
> States), you'll expire long before it does :)

And in the end it is just a different system, some parts a lot better,
like lifetime license, and some parts worse, such as the mandatory
callsign by district (though some will prefer that)

>
>> In the USA I might even suggest that the FDA (Food and Drug
>> Administration) step in and help the FCC literally brand the granted
>> call sign on the physical person of the licensee, perhaps by a tatoo.
>> That might complete the process of the New Identity within the Tribe.
>> [why not? the FDA here certifies real hams ... :-)]
>
>
> A good application for the microchip, perhaps - technology proven in
> the Veterinary world for quite a few years now....?
>
>
>> The "new identity" is important since it bestows a rank/status/privilege
>> down here in a land without royals, no fancy titles or whatever. For
>> example, a Rhode Islander could, under older rules, suddenly become

>> a "resident" of Hawaii without even leaving the mainland. The arranger
>> of that was able to retitle hisself from junior college math teacher to
>> "mathematics lecturer in the university system." Neither one "did
>> anything wrong" according to them. But, a whole bunch of very phony
>> "radio club" calls got tossed in the dumpster by the FCC not too long
>> ago. Another fantasylander thought he had much more clout in the real
>> world than the U.S. aremed forces when he admonished another about
>> "permission to operate a radio" from Somalia when that individual served
>> in the military there. Yet another fantasylander keeps on bragging about
>> his military record ("seven hostile actions" without ever saying where or
>> when) and then trying to tear down others' real military records. Tsk.
>> Then we have the classic knowitall who never served at all making like
>> he knows more than Janes about USSR air power just because a real
>> veteran slipped up once in a message. There's still another "serviceman"
>> of the amateur corps who dines with aircraft carrier captains and looks
>> down his nose at those who've done real military HF communications
>> much more than he will have done in his lifetime. It's quite a collection!
>
>
> It sure is.....engineers who have problems with basic math,
> thinly-veiled trolls looking to provoke fights.....

Yeah, there are all kinds in here..........

> It's a wonderful microcosm in here indeed. Of what, specifically, I'm
> not quite sure! :)

Some people used to call me MicroCosm........ 8^)

>
>> Note: All those wonderful braggarts are PCTA extra. They can say
>> anything, write anything, do anything in here and are absolved from ANY
>> criticism. Should they be taken to task, the task-taker is soundly
>> objected to with endearing personal perjoratives having little to do with
>> the subject.
>
>
> Ipso facto, with a few exceptions - there are several OMs here who are
> quite civil, knowledgeable and conversational, and do not possess the
> NetNanny gene.....
>
>
>> It's a plain simple fact that all NCTA in here are always wrong, no matter
>> what they write on anything. No one who is of the NCTA persuasion
>> should bother debating the subject in here. The matter is closed and all
>> NCTA are forever wrong, incorrect, and probably have underarm odor.
>
>
> .....and skinned knuckles! :)

Here's a challenge, Leo, if you're up to it.

Name the PCTA's in here (active) that have called NCTA's by such names.
I'll give you a start:

Steve is pretty famous for name calling.

And since this subthread turned into a Mike and Jim "tag-team" thing,
where do our names show up in that list? When have I called anyone a
nasty name? I don't think Jim ever has either.

note: I will admit the one time I called Len "Lennie". No insult was
intended, but he didn't like it, so I stopped.

> Note that it isn't always a PCTA / NCTA thing, though - we've seen
> recent battles between folks of a similar stripe, simply because they
> disagree on some arcane point. These disputes are just as vitriolic,
> nasty and profane as any of the 'standard' ones here in the group!

Agreed. So it is more about personalities than PCTA/NCTA/NCTNA in my
estimation.

> But, after all, what's more important than Always Being Right About
> Everything? :) :) :)

Most of the time, if I think I am wrong about something, I'm not likely
to argue about it. YMMV! 8^)

It is pretty much a voluntary thing to post in this group. I don't know
of anyone that is required by law or employment to be here. If people
are thin skinned, they might want to examine why they hang out in such a
rough neighborhood. Otherwise, make a mistake, note it, and get on with
life.

- Mike KB3EIA -

233. Re: Petitioning the FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 20:26:15 UTC
From: Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI <g3vki@turner-smith.co.uk>
Message-ID: <34diasF4a8meuU1@individual.net>
Source record: 101901
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

David Edmonds wrote:

> Airy R. Bean wrote:
>
>> I understand that Yankland has discontinued its Novice class
>> of licence, almost contemporaneously with Britland starting
>> the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence
>> scheme. As this scheme is little more than a bad joke, it being
>> possible to obtain a licence no later than two days after having
>> heard of it despite having no technical knowledge at all, is it
>> appropriate to petition the FCC to make it a felony for any
>> Yankland hams to QSO with an M3/CB Fools' Licensee on
>> the Ham Bands?
>
>
> You'll get no friends by calling the USA any other name...
>
>> Unless we take a stand _NOW_ against this blatant CBisation
>> of Ham Radio, we may find that we are all treated as CBers
>> by our national governments in years to come.
>
>
> He's ran out of alcohol again and started on the machine oil by the
> looks of things! Please, anyone thinking of taking this guy seriously,
> please search for 'Airy R Bean' on google and see what you're taking on!
>
>> Are we proud of being hams with all that is implied by the
>> efforts to get that licence, or are we no more than CBers,
>> and content to let the rot develop further?
>
>
> Beware - Airy R Bean - or Gareth Alun Evans, G4SDW, uses the word 'we'
> in very slack ways - he really should say 'I personally' as no-one in
> the UK wishes to be part of his 'we'.
>
>> Act now! Refuse to QSO with the M3/CBers and
>> petition the FCC to make it a felony!
>
>
> Get your petition started old bean - would you like members of the
> uk.radio.amateur newsgroup to visit you to sign your paper petition for
> you?
>

> Maybe we could bring you some beer at the same time?
>
> David.
What a waste of beer! How about giving it to a more deserving cause,
like ME?
--
;>)
73 de Frank Turner-Smith G3VKI - mine's a pint.
http://turner-smith.co.uk

234. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 20:54:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <PeednQKYvsiEB3zcRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101903

N2EY wrote:

> In article <20050108235135.06417.00000001@mb-m25.aol.com>, lenof21@aol.com
> (Lenof21) writes:
>
>
>>In article <aav0u0hjkg7pq77nn1jnb8f8evedjrl7ho@4ax.com>, Leo
>><nomail@noway.com>
>>writes:
>>
>>
>>>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:36:59 -0800 (PST), "Phil Kane"
>>><Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On 08 Jan 2005 21:31:53 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace
>>>>>>period.
>>>>>
>>>>>Nope. Wrong. You are mistaken. Once an amateur's license expires,
>>>>>he or she *cannot* legally operate until it is renewed.
>>>>
>>>> Unless the renewal has been applied for before expiration. Then,
>>>> the license privileges continue until the FCC acts upon the application.
>
>
> I did not know that! Thanks, Phil.
>
> Amend my statement to read:
>
> Once an amateur's license expires, he or she *cannot* legally operate until it
> is renewed. If renewal has been applied for before expiration, the license
> privileges continue until the FCC acts upon the application.

A good point, but not really an issue in this case. Once you think
about it, that sort of thing is mandatory. There is always a lag between
the application and issuance, So that lag would apply for the last day
of a persons license privileges.

Just like the IRS doesn't get the April 15th tax returns on April 15th.
What is important is that they are postmarked by then. If the mail takes
a week to get it to them, you are still covered.

> However, it proves my point: *All* amateurs with expired licenses cannot
> continue to operate in the grace period. Only those who have applied for
> renewal *before the license actually expired* and whose applications have not
> yet been processed by FCC can do so.
>
> Where in Part 97 is this documented?

That is a procedural issue that simply addresses what would otherwise
become a paradox. If you had to have your renewed license in hand by the
end of the ten year license period, that would mean that you would have
to have the application in some time before that.

How long before that? two weeks? a month? a year? If a date is set, who
is responsible if the FCC is backlogged and they don't get the renewed
license to you.

Would the new license expire ten years from the new issuance date, or
would it be a ten year plus X days, weeks, or months.

My point is that that procedure is what makes the most sense because it
sets a date by which you must have your renewal in by, not a date by
which you must have the renewed license back by.

Much much easier.

>
>>>Oh oh. An exception to the rule rears its ugly head.....
>>>
>>>How could the J & M tag team possibly miss that one? :)
>>
>> Sure enough, the PCTA extras in here disregard the subject in order
>> to lambaste (and generally make nasty to) any NCTA about anything.
>> :-)
>
>
> It is *you* who are disregsarding the subject, Len.
>
> Can *all* amateurs with expired licenses legally operate while in the grace
> period, Len? That's what you claimed. It isn't so.

I would postulate that an amateur who has sent in their license renewal
by the expiry date *is not* operating on an expired license.

My precedent for this is what happened when I upgraded to General and
Extra. I operated with my new privileges after the test and before the
wallpaper arrived. In that case, I just noted my new status with my
callsign with the proper suffix.

> Do you retract your statement or stand by it, Len?
>

>
>> Doesn't matter if the NCTA are right or wrong (deliberately so in the
>> case suddenly become front-page news of sorts)...all NCTA are
>> targets of opportunity to be DISCREDITED by any means possible.
>
>
> Not at all, Len.
>
> Your statement about operation in the renewal period was clearly wrong.
> 97.21(b) proves that. Has nothing to do with code testing at all, but has
> everyhting to do with a basic understanding of Part 97.
>
> Your statements err on the side of breaking the law rather than observing it.
>
>> The "tag team" seems to think that all hams are always active on-
>> the-air as good "service personnel" (or something) during their valid
>> license period.
>
>
> Where do you get that?

Darned if I know! If you or I said half the things attributed to us, we
would be saying some strange things indeed!

>> Never mind that there are absences from the "service"
>> for many different reasons.
>
>
> Such as?
>
>
>> The "grace period" insures that such
>> absence will not allow any licensee to lose their (apparently) so precious
>> tribal identification of a call sign.
>
>
> That's not the issue. The issue is whether operating with an
> expuired-but-in-the-grace-period license is legal. You've written that it is
> legal for all amateurs. Part 97 says it isn't.
>
>
>> The call sign is "who we are" to
>> quote
>> one licensee in here some years ago.
>
>
> Who was that?
>
>
>> That would be especially true
>> for the Vanity calls. Licensees POSSESS their calls and those become

>> very, very personal.
>
> Is that a problem? Perhaps it is a sore point with you because you do not have
> an amateur radio call sign.

And what dies it have to do with what, I dunno...
>
>> In the USA I might even suggest that the FDA (Food and Drug
>> Administration) step in and help the FCC literally brand the granted
>> call sign on the physical person of the licensee, perhaps by a tatoo.
>> That might complete the process of the New Identity within the Tribe.
>> [why not? the FDA here certifies real hams ... :-)]
>
>
> Perhaps USDA could certify your posts as "100% pure bull", too....;-) ;-)
>
> The plain, simple fact is that Len Anderson simply made a mistake on 97.21(b).
> But he won't admit it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

235. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 22:05:18 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ia2d0u2.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101908

On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 15:54:49 -0500, Mike Coslo wrote:

>Just like the IRS doesn't get the April 15th tax returns on April 15th.
>What is important is that they are postmarked by then. If the mail takes
>a week to get it to them, you are still covered.

In Oregon, all voting is by mail-in paper ballot which must be
received by the county election system no later than 8 pm on
Election Day. Postmark does not count. For the procrastinators
among us, though, there are convenient drop-boxes at well-publicized
locations, and depositiing a ballot in them constitutes "reception".

>Would the new license expire ten years from the new issuance date, or
>would it be a ten year plus X days, weeks, or months.

Ten years from the new issuance date.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

236. Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 22:23:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050109172306.09981.00000005@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 101904

In article <DvednSpJN4Jo0nzcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:

>> In article <n-2dnX_xZLNaPUjcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>N2EY wrote:

>>>>In article <20041228103917.23348.00002538@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>(Steve
>>>>Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>>>>>And not just "asthma" patients, but COPD'ers
>>>>I have to ask...
>>>>
>>>>What's COPD stand for?

>>>>OK, fine - but let's take that a step farther....
>>>>
>>>>The consensus of opinion among all the MD's I've talked to says the
>>>
>>>following
>>>
>>>>as a general plan-of-care:
>>>>
>>>>1) Do not use illegal drugs of any kind
>>>>
>>>>2) Do not use tobacco of any kind
>>>>
>>>>3) Get your weight down to at least where the charts say "average"
>>>>
>>>>4) Eat a healthy diet
>>>>
>>>>5) Use seatbelts, helmets, eye/hearing protection and all other protective
>>>>devices
>>>>where appropriate
>>>>
>>>>How many people do all 5 consistently? How much public and private money
>is
>>>>spent because so many people don't?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> People who have bonafide needs should get the care they need. Those
>>>

>>>who
>>>
>>>>>have needs but refuse to do what is necessary to "get fixed" yet demand
>>>
>>>that
>>>
>>>>>"we" do "something" to get them better need to be given the boot and a
>>>>>referal to a funeral home director with pre-paid plans.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>The problem is, how do you differentiate those groups when they show up in
>>>
>>>the
>>>
>>>>ER?
>>>
>>> Here is one for ya Jim:
>>>
>>> People who engage in risky behavior, such as rock climbing, bungee
>>>jumping, contact sports, mountain climbing, skateboarding, and other
>>>dangerous activity are doing so with knowledge of their potential
>>>injury/death.

>> One could include risky activities like dealing with high voltages,
>> climbing towers and other structures to raise antennas, etc.

>One could include *not being underweight*. Believe it or not, I have
>heard a researcher prescribe just this for everyone.

You mean everybody should be underweight? I agree - it's been shown
scientifically to be healthier.

>And what about gray areas. My ice hockey is an incredible aerobic
>activity. This is good. But it is also a lot of high speed physical
>contact with people armed with clubs. Not so good, and I have had
>numerous injuries while playing.

I've been a runner for more than 23 years and I've yet to have a
running-related injury that required medical care.

>>>Why should society have to foot the bill when one of these
>>>idiots gets injured and can no longer support themselves. They made a
>>>presumably sober decision to do this.

>> OK so far.

>>>note that this is a little tongue in cheek, but I do have a bit of a
>>>moral issue with this.

>> What moral issue? Here's how it works:

>The moral issue is that eventually those insurance companies and the
>people who would control us will do just that. Eventually, people that

>engage in "risky activities" will find themselves uninsurable.

>"Life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness as long as it carries no
>physical risk"

Yep.

>> Insurance companies have developed ways to figure out the risk factors and
>> how
>> they affect the chances those behaviors will result in payouts. And they
>> affix
>> surcharges to their premiums to compensate.

>> For example, life insurance policies usually have a "tobacco rate" and a
>> "no
>> tobacco rate". Homeowners' policies usually require an extra-cost rider if
>> you have a pool. Etc.

>> Same principle could be applied.
>
> To everything. It is like the health industry wanting to get hold of
>every possible test that could predict what we are likely to expire of
>so that they don't have to insure us for that.

And that's where the right to privacy comes into play. Also freedom from discrimination - if a person't susceptibility to a certain disease is due to heredity and not their own choice, that is.

We already have this sort of thing in other areas. Consider the building industry. If you want to put up almost any structure that people will live or do business in, you have to meet the applicable building and safety codes. Otherwise you won;t be able to get the required permits, loans, or insurance.

Even if you want to modify your own existing building, not following the codes can get you in big trouble, legally and financially. For example, if you do your own wiring but don't follow the code, and your house burns down because of it, your insurer can usually refuse to cover the loss.
>> --

>> Now here's a disturbing trend to consider:

>> One of the newest ideas in health care is the "specialty hospital". These
>> are
>> for-profit non-teaching medical facilities, often owned by the docs who
>> work
>> there, that focus on particular illnesses. Cardiology is a favorite.
>
>> The trick is that they do not accept any federal or state reimbursement -
>> private insurance only. So they don't have to follow govt."guidelines" in
>> many
>> areas. They don't have ERs and they don't accept "walk-ins", only referrals
>> with good insurance.
>

> So.... I know..... VOUCHERS to the rescue!!!!!

At first I didn't understand what you meant. Then it dawned on me about
private-school vouchers...

>> So what they get are the pick of the patients - those who can pay, are
>> compliant, and who have good documentation. They don't have to cost-shift,
>> so
>> their prices are competitive.
>>
>> Guess what happens to the "regular" hospitals?

Just like parochial and private vs. public schools...

> I wonder when we are going to get back to debtor's prisons again.....

I wonder if some folks ever *really* understood Dickens' little book?

73 de Jim, N2EY

237. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 22:37:41 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105310261.464425.221980@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.aol, rec.radio.amateur.policy

so are you, get a mirror and find out, sissy.

238. Re: Mode/Band Use in 1961

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 22:38:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050109173822.16716.00000001@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 101906

In article <20050101092305.06587.00001893@mb-m24.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Mode/Band Use in 1961
>>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>>Date: 12/31/2004 10:20 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20041231112053.06515.00000479@mb-m15.aol.com>
>
>>Remember Cecil, W5DXP/W6RCA? His take on the problem was that all
>>"recreational" drugs should be legalized for adults. But if someone was
>>caught
>>providing them to minors, or caused problems while under the influence, the
>>penalties would be much higher than today. IOW, if you want to get high, go
>>ahead, but if you cause something bad to happen, don't try to use the drugs
>>as an excuse.
>
> Uh huh...And that won't work.

Is what we have in place now working? People who want them seem to have no problem getting their hands on illegal drugs. Heck, how long did Rush Limbaugh abuse *legal* drugs (in an illegal way) before being caught?
>
>We have rules like that for alcohol and firearms yet people still insist
>on being stupid with them.

Of course. People are stupid with gasoline and electricity, too. Also motor vehicles of all kinds.
>
>The problem with the laws are that we WON'T use them to their full
>extent.

I agree 100%! That's the key to Cecil's idea: Enforce the laws based on *outcomes* of someone's behavior.

>Personally, I believe that we have more than enough laws to punish
>people
>who use those weapons illegally or improperly. Yet everytime there is some
>tragedy with a firearm, we go through yet another knee-jerk reaction of
>insisting that we take away the guns rather than enforce the laws on the
>books.

Let's get this straight:

The solution to firearms abuse isn't banning firearms. Instead, the solution is to have serious and swift punishment for the *abuse* of firearms.

And I agree 100%.

So then it follows logically:

The solution to drug abuse isn't banning drugs. Instead, the solution is to have serious and swift punishment for the *abuse* of drugs.

> Same with alcohol. It's only been in the last 10-15 years that we've
>finally decided to drop the hammer on alcohol abuse and DUI, but even then
>it's
>not unusual to find people who are still behind the wheel after four, five or
>more DUI's.

Because the laws aren't perfect, and there's a long history of classifying alcohol related highway deaths as "accidents".
>
> So...as I see it the ONLY benefit to legalizing drugs would be the
>potential tax revenues. But then we'll only spend those revenues building
>rehab facilites or more prisons to house the offenders, so what's the use?

Remember that about 85 years ago, ethanol was banned as a beverage in the USA. Rather than curbing the consumption and abuse of ethanol, banning it made the problem worse, and created/empowered new levels of organized crime.

73 de Jim, N2EY

239. Unfriendly Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 22:41:10 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@nomoresezam.com>
Message-ID: <crsboqdpk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 101905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I tole a guy on the repeater I saw a mexican guy selling CB linear amplifiers at the Huntsville flea market today and the guy got pissed off I said it on ham radio. There to much fighting on ham radio these days.

Lloyd

240. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 22:42:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ia2eqy3.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101909

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 04:14:18 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:

>The ["microbroadcasting"] movemen began due to lack of community progams,
>consolidation of the airwaves.

Nah - the movement always existed - I chased pirate radio stations
before you were born, run by folks whose main raison d'etre was to
try to pull the FCC's chain, and the folks who trained me chased
pirate radio stations during WW-II.

>I think the whole purpose of the movement was to change the system which it
>did with the introduction of Low Power FM.

The ONLY reason [note the emphasis] that there is a "Low Power FM"
service is that Judge Claudia Wilkin of the Federal District Court
for the Northern District of California, Oakland Division was
refusing to enjoin Stephen Dunifer and his alter-ego "Free Radio
Berkeley" from transmitting without authorization unless the FCC
started that service, and she sat on that ruling for two years,
while other judges issued such injunctions without any delay.

The FCC blinked first just to get her off the dime, so to speak.

> I myself joined the movement in 1998

Todd Come Lately

> after I had applied six times for a
> license with waivers for a community that didn't have a service. The FCC
> wouldn't consider my applications or waivers (which the Federal courts
> have told them repeatly that they (FCC) "must consider waivers"

[See above]

> So my opinion of the FCC is that they are nothing buts LIARS, and
> are below pond scum.

All because they decided that you didn't quailfy for a waiver....

>> You're quite sure that what you interpret as the FCC's non-consideration
>> of your applications wasn't consideration and rejection? Was it that
>> your applications and requests for waivers were for something quite
>> outside the regulations?

>The Federal Communication Commission dismissed my application because
>according to them I didn't file during a filing window. However under
>47 CFR 1.3 the FCC could of consider my waiver. Because under 47 CFR
>1.3 the FCC may consider waivers at anytime if good cause is shown. In

>my waiver I was going to provide a television service to a community that
>doesn't have a TV service.

Notice your own words -- MAY consider. Not must consider. And even
if they did consider, they are under no obligation to grant said
waiver - especially of filing windows. In that regard, if everyone
else has to wait for a window YOU have to wait for one as well. What
makes your "good cause" more gooder than the next person's "good
cause"?

>Which In my humble opinion would constitute a good cause.

Not in the more qualified opinions of your "elders and betters" of
the Commission.

Sorry, Buddy, that won't fly in the real world of broadcast regulation.

>> I'm sure that if you include terms like "LIARS" and "below pond scum" in
>> your communications with the FCC, you'll get some special attention.

"Very" special attention. There's a special file for such.

>No it was only after the fact that they didn't consider my waiver I started
>calling them liars. They are liars because they would go to court against
>pirate radio operators and tell a judge that all a person had to do was
>apply for a license and ask for a waiver. But the reality they wouldn't
>consider applications or waiver and the FCC dismissing my application
>proves that the FCC are nothing but worthless LIARS.

Namecalling will get you very far in this business, friend.

Anyone can ask for a waiver - no lie there. Whether the waiver will
be granted or not depends on what the applicant wants the Commission
to waive.

Take your losses like a man and try again, this time getting
everything right. Then they'll get to the nitty-gritty about
whether you qualify to be permitted to operate a station or not.

With the attitudes which you have exhibited here, don't be surprised
if they decide that you are not.

I feel sorry for the Congressrep whom you are attempting to "advise"
on this matter of broadcast regulation.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

241. I've started a blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 22:43:15 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e0df10$1_4@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101721

I have started a blog page I call the voice.

http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

242. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-09 22:51:47 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ia2f6b4.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101910

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 05:33:35 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:

>I would have no problem with Part 15 device except I think the one thing
>holding part 15 device back is that some community tight restriction on
>antenna heights.

What makes you think that those same restrictions would not apply to
a LPFM station or do you plan to operate this station without
complying with local zoning and use restriction ordinances?

Anyhow, one can always put a Part 15 device on top of an existing
structure - whether it's a building, a grain elevator, a lamp post,
whatever you can get the owner's permission for. Feed it with an
803.11(b) link (another Part 15 device) - no license needed for either.
Put up several around town.

Make technology work for you, rather than tilting at windmills.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

243. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 00:25:42 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <47SdnYaeAOnLUHzcRVn-jQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 101913

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Phil,
> There are some communities that will not allow you put Part 15 devices.

So just how do these communites disallow part 15 devices, since there are tons of part 15 devices in use by people everywhere everyday? Just where do these communities get their authority to regulate part 15 devices?

244. Re: Unfriendly Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 00:44:53 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <9ekEd.83164$k25.11675@attbi_s53>
Source record: 101914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@nomoresezam.com> wrote in message
news:crsboqdpk0@pita.alt.net...
> I tole a guy on the repeater I saw a mexican guy selling CB linear
> amplifiers at the Huntsville flea market today and the guy got
> pissed off I said it on ham radio. There to much fighting on ham
> radio these days.
>
> Lloyd

http://members.aol.com/intwg/trolls.htm#WIAT

An Internet "troll" is a person who delights in sowing discord on the Internet. He (and it is usually he) tries to start arguments and upset people.

Trolls see Internet communications services as convenient venues for their bizarre game. For some reason, they don't "get" that they are hurting real people. To them, other Internet users are not quite human but are a kind of digital abstraction. As a result, they feel no sorrow whatsoever for the pain they inflict. Indeed, the greater the suffering they cause, the greater their 'achievement' (as they see it). At the moment, the relative anonymity of the net allows trolls to flourish.

Trolls are utterly impervious to criticism (constructive or otherwise). You cannot negotiate with them; you cannot cause them to feel shame or compassion; you cannot reason with them. They cannot be made to feel remorse. For some reason, trolls do not feel they are bound by the rules of courtesy or social responsibility.

Perhaps this sounds inconceivable. You may think, "Surely there is something I can write that will change them." But a true troll can not be changed by mere words.

245. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 01:35:34 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050109203534.19590.00000006@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 101918

In article <dq-dnVmoWLUjIEvcRVn-2g@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Brian, get help.

Mikey, help yourself.

1. Remember you are not the Official Moderator in here (Jimmie is).

2. Remember what this newsgroup is about (it is all about PCTAs
venting anger and frustration against NCTA).

3. Remember to remember. Don't forget.

4. If you stray from the prescribed path, a licensed nurse will call
authorities to have you "committed."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

246. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 01:35:35 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050109203535.19590.00000007@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 101916

In article <rr-dnfpvsOj8WUvcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> It is what you are saying. I note that Republicans are considering
>legislation to weaken the ethics rules, and you answer that Democrats
>don't support the Boy Scouts, and that Republicans believe in God.
>
> You never even addressed the original question.

So...what is the Official Pro-Code-Test Advocates' position on
USA Amateur Radio Policy?

Must all amateurs be morse tested and of one particular Party?

What is Riley Hollingsworth's take on all that? Will Mikey Powell
have him write it up in some monthly List of Ham Nasties?

Be a good scout. Try for the photography badge. Get some focus.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

247. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 01:35:35 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050109203535.19590.00000008@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 101920

In article <6ZWdnWUBBtgMW0vcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <jNSdnQGeQYinMkjcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>>The pubs have found the way to clean up congress:
>>>
>>>http://tinyurl.com/5w3ct
>>>
>>
>> Fascinating!
>>
>> I wonder why they want to change that standard?
>
> The immediate purpose is to get Tom DeLay out of his ethics situation.

What has that to do with Amateur Radio Policy in the USA?

Did DeLay's name come up on Riley Hollingsworth's latest List?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

248. Re: Mode/Band Use in 1961

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 01:35:36 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050109203536.19590.00000009@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 101917

In article <20050109173822.16716.00000001@mb-m14.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>Remember that about 85 years ago, ethanol was banned as a beverage in the >USA.

Wrong, completely WRONG.

There's nothing in the 18th Amendment (which went into force in 1920) which says "ethanol."

Paragraph 1 of the 18th Amendment says "After one year from the ratification of this article, the manuafacture, sale, or transportation of intoxicating liquors within, the transportation thereof into, or the exportation thereof from the United Staates and all territory subject to the jurisdiction thereof for beverage purposes is hereby prohibited."

Note that the word "beverage" doesn't show up until the last line, almost an afterthought.

Absolutely nothing mentioned "ethanol."

That Amendment was repealed 13 years later.

>Rather than curbing the consumption and abuse of ethanol, banning it made the >problem worse, and created/empowered new levels of organized crime.

You tellum, Mr. Crime Fighter.

What all of that has to do with "amateur radio" or "mode/band use in 1961" is unknown.

None of Prohibition happened when you existed. Why are you so concerned about it? It isn't the FCC's or NTIA's job to enforce anything about alcohol. Try the ATF.

There are several other newsgroups that just love to talk about such things. Try those venues, okay?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

249. Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 01:35:38 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050109203538.19590.00000010@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 101919

In article <20050109172306.09981.00000005@mb-m18.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(N2EY) writes:

>In article <DvednSpJN4Jo0nzcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>
>>> In article <n-2dnX_xZLNaPUjcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>
>>>>>In article <20041228103917.23348.00002538@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>>(Steve
>>>>>Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>>>>>>And not just "asthma" patients, but COPD'ers
>>>>>I have to ask...
>>>>>
>>>>>What's COPD stand for?
>
>>>>>OK, fine - but let's take that a step farther....
>>>>>
>>>>>The consensus of opinion among all the MD's I've talked to says the
>>>>
>>>>following
>>>>
>>>>>as a general plan-of-care:
>>>>>
>>>>>1) Do not use illegal drugs of any kind
>>>>>
>>>>>2) Do not use tobacco of any kind
>>>>>
>>>>>3) Get your weight down to at least where the charts say "average"
>>>>>
>>>>>4) Eat a healthy diet
>>>>>
>>>>>5) Use seatbelts, helmets, eye/hearing protection and all other
>protective
>>>>>devices
>>>>>where appropriate
>>>>>
>>>>>How many people do all 5 consistently? How much public and private money
>>is

>>>>>spent because so many people don't?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> People who have bonafide needs should get the care they need. Those
>>>>
>>>>who
>>>>
>>>>>have needs but refuse to do what is necessary to "get fixed" yet demand
>>>>
>>>>that
>>>>
>>>>>"we" do "something" to get them better need to be given the boot and a
>>>>>referal to a funeral home director with pre-paid plans.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>The problem is, how do you differentiate those groups when they show up
>in
>>>>
>>>>the
>>>>
>>>>>ER?
>>>>
>>>> Here is one for ya Jim:
>>>>
>>>> People who engage in risky behavior, such as rock climbing, bungee
>>>>jumping, contact sports, mountain climbing, skateboarding, and other
>>>>dangerous activity are doing so with knowledge of their potential
>>>>injury/death.
>
>>> One could include risky activities like dealing with high voltages,
>>> climbing towers and other structures to raise antennas, etc.
>
>>One could include *not being underweight*. Believe it or not, I have
>>heard a researcher prescribe just this for everyone.
>
>You mean everybody should be underweight? I agree - it's been shown
>scientifically to be healthier.
>
>>And what about gray areas. My ice hockey is an incredible aerobic
>>activity. This is good. But it is also a lot of high speed physical
>>contact with people armed with clubs. Not so good, and I have had
>>numerous injuries while playing.
>
>I've been a runner for more than 23 years and I've yet to have a
>running-related injury that required medical care.

Tsk. 23 years and you've never heard of Jim Fixx' "Complete Book
of Running."

Fixx died of a heart attack...while out running.

>>>>Why should society have to foot the bill when one of these
>>>>idiots gets injured and can no longer support themselves. They made a
>>>>presumably sober decision to do this.
>
>>> OK so far.
>
>>>>note that this is a little tongue in cheek, but I do have a bit of a
>>>>moral issue with this.
>
>>> What moral issue? Here's how it works:
>
>>The moral issue is that eventually those insurance companies and the
>>people who would control us will do just that. Eventually, people that
>>engage in "risky activities" will find themselves uninsurable.
>
>>"Life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness as long as it carries no
>>physical risk"
>
>Yep.

And in here, if anyone is against morse code testing, they are
told to bug off and go have a stroke.

>> Insurance companies have developed ways to figure out the risk factors and
>>> how
>>> they affect the chances those behaviors will result in payouts. And they
>>> affix
>>> surcharges to their premiums to compensate.
>
>>> For example, life insurance policies usually have a "tobacco rate" and a
>>> "no
>>> tobacco rate". Homeowners' policies usually require an extra-cost rider if
>>> you have a pool. Etc.
>
>>> Same principle could be applied.
>>
>> To everything. It is like the health industry wanting to get hold of
>>every possible test that could predict what we are likely to expire of
>>so that they don't have to insure us for that.
>
>And that's where the right to privacy comes into play. Also freedom from
>discrimination - if a person't susceptibility to a certain disease is due to
>heredity and not their own choice, that is.

Brain-deadness isn't necessarily a disease, nor is the attitude some
folks to have thinking a policy pub is a neat lil Chat Room all for
them to talk about anything...because they are here all the time. :-)

>We already have this sort of thing in other areas. Consider the building
>industry. If you want to put up almost any structure that people will live or
>do business in, you have to meet the applicable building and safety codes.
>Otherwise you won;t be able to get the required permits, loans, or insurance.

Building codes are quite different from morse codes. :-)

Morse code can be removed yet amateur radio won't fall down.

>Even if you want to modify your own existing building, not following the
>codes
>can get you in big trouble, legally and financially. For example, if you do
>your own wiring but don't follow the code, and your house burns down because
>of
>it, your insurer can usually refuse to cover the loss.

Are you afraid U.S. amateur radio will fall down if morse code testing
is removed.

>>> Now here's a disturbing trend to consider:
>
>>> One of the newest ideas in health care is the "specialty hospital". These
>>> are
>>> for-profit non-teaching medical facilities, often owned by the docs who
>>> work
>>> there, that focus on particular illnesses. Cardiology is a favorite.
>>
>>> The trick is that they do not accept any federal or state reimbursement -
>>> private insurance only. So they don't have to follow govt."guidelines" in
>>> many
>>> areas. They don't have ERs and they don't accept "walk-ins", only
>referrals
>>> with good insurance.
>>
>> So.... I know..... VOUCHERS to the rescue!!!!!
>
>At first I didn't understand what you meant. Then it dawned on me about
>private-school vouchers...

So, it's no longer about politics in here or the national economics,
all are supposed to talk about the School Systems?

>>> So what they get are the pick of the patients - those who can pay, are
>>> compliant, and who have good documentation. They don't have to cost-shift,
>>> so
>>> their prices are competitive.
>>>
>>> Guess what happens to the "regular" hospitals?
>
>Just like parochial and private vs. public schools...

Tsk. You are so parochial.

>> I wonder when we are going to get back to debtor's prisons again.....
>
>I wonder if some folks ever *really* understood Dickens' little book?

I'm SURE you will now take two weeks and give us a dissertation
right from Jimmie's Compleat Book of Dickens. Prolly beats Jim

Fixx...

Jimmie is such a little dickens...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

250. Re: Unfriendly Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 01:45:27 UTC
From: Rax Tech Svcs <RTS@rtspgmsvcs.org>
Message-ID: <crsmhr$35h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 101921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@nomoresezam.com> wrote in message
news:crsboqdpk0@pita.alt.net...
>I tole a guy on the repeater I saw a mexican guy selling CB linear
> amplifiers at the Huntsville flea market today and the guy got
> pissed off I said it on ham radio. There to much fighting on ham
> radio these days.
>
> Lloyd

Hi Lloyd,

Do you still have a webcast? When is your next show scheduled?

73 de Jerry
RTS, Inc.

.

251. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 02:13:27 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ia2oif6.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101923

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:34:14 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:

>> The ONLY reason [note the emphasis] that there is a "Low Power FM"
>> service is that Judge Claudia Wilkin of the Federal District Court
>> for the Northern District of California, Oakland Division was
>> refusing to enjoin Stephen Dunifer and his alter-ego "Free Radio
>> Berkeley" from transmitting without authorization unless the FCC
>> started that service, and she sat on that ruling for two years,
>> while other judges issued such injunctions without any delay.
>
>NO the only reason low power FM was created was due to the continued growth
>of pirate radio.

You are telling me "NO" when I was the one who prepared all the
paperwork for the Dunifer case, read every filing, and sat at the
table for each and every hearing?

What planet are you living on, fella? That was MY case.

>It's really shame to since Dunifer can apply for
>license since the Radio Broadcast Preservation Act of 2000 bars all pirates
>from getting a license.

You must have made a typo - Mister Dunifer cannot be granted a
license. Plain fact. The choice was his.

>> > So my opinion of the FCC is that they are nothing buts LIARS, and
>> > are below pond scum.
>>
>> All because they decided that you didn't quailfy for a waiver....

>There's a difference at a denial and a dismissal....and the courts have also
>told the FCC that they must take a serious look at waivers. That includes
>the reason while there is a denial of the waiver so that person can file a
>appeal in the US court of Appeal. .

Most probably the waiver was denied and the application was dismissed.
The net result was that you didn't get the license.

>> Notice your own words -- MAY consider. Not must consider. And even
>> if they did consider, they are under no obligation to grant said
>> waiver - especially of filing windows. In that regard, if everyone
>> else has to wait for a window YOU have to wait for one as well. What
>> makes your "good cause" more gooder than the next person's "good
>> cause"?
>
>yes but they must either grant and deny a license. and waiver may be

>consider at anytime filing windows don't apply.

Please explain this in English. Also regard your own word "may".
Even if they did consider it, it can be denied. What part of the
process do you fail to understand?

Don't feel too bad. Some of the waivers that I have applied for on
behalf of clients have been granted, some have been denied. It's a
crapshoot and a regular part of the regulatory game. Get used to it.

>I also think that by
>dismissing my the commission has hurt this community by not consider the
>waiver for a "community that has no local television service"

Your thoughts and the Commission;s obligations are two different
things, it appears.

>So where is the public interest? Others? what others?

Every other applicant who missed the filing window.

>Not that many people in this community can afford to apply for a license
>or could care less to apply for a license.

Ah, in other words the community isn't really panting for the station.
Mister Daugherty wants the station..... Now the picture becomes clearer.

>> Namecalling will get you very far in this business, friend.
>>
>> Anyone can ask for a waiver - no lie there. Whether the waiver will
>> be granted or not depends on what the applicant wants the Commission
>> to waive.
>
>Again they have to grant or deny a application and show good cause why it's
>in the public interest to deny the license and waiver.

Missing the filing window is prima facie "good cause" for returning
the applicatin as defective, which apparently they did. You were
free to appeal to the full Commission and from there to the U S
Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. By not doing so, you
lost your chnace to get the decision reversed.

And you are misinterpreting the "public interest" requirement. The
Comm Act mandates the Commission to make a finding that the public
interest will be served before granting a license. It does not
mandate the Commission to show why a dismissal is in the public
interest, only that the dismissal must be according to the established
Rules and other applicable law.

Looks like you are unlettered in Federal Administrative Law as well.

Stay warm, Todd. It's cold out there.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

252. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 02:22:06 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ia2owu7.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101922

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 10:06:55 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:

>> So just how do these communites disallow part 15 devices, since there
>> are tons of part 15 devices in use by people everywhere everyday?
>> Just where do these communities get their authority to regulate part 15
>> devices?
>>
>This community does and what we are talking about is location placement on
>top of lamp poles ect, ect and what they ban by ordiniances they make those
>who to do something like that pay and hefty fees.

Ah, now we're getting down to some nitty-gritty issues.

Did you think that you would be able to put something up on
non-private property without paying hefty fees?

What would stop you from putting the device on the roof of the
tallest building in town? The fees for the use permit?

If the city REALLY wanted the station, they have the power to waive
those fees. What does that tell you?

And if the FCC was to grant you the authorization for your LP
station, would that disappear the above problems like magic?
PRB-1 does not apply to anything but an amateur radio antenna
support structure.

How did we get back to ham radio ???

Welcome to the world of zoning and permits for communication
facilities.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

253. Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 02:27:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <msudndu6oNS_dXzcRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101924

N2EY wrote:
> In article <DvednSpJN4Jo0nzcRVn-uA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>
>
>>>In article <n-2dnX_xZLNaPUjcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>
>
>>>>>In article <20041228103917.23348.00002538@mb-m03.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>>>
>>>>(Steve
>>>>
>>>>>Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>>And not just "asthma" patients, but COPD'ers
>>>>>
>>>>>I have to ask...
>>>>>
>>>>>What's COPD stand for?
>
>
>>>>>OK, fine - but let's take that a step farther....
>>>>>
>>>>>The consensus of opinion among all the MD's I've talked to says the
>>>>
>>>>following
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>as a general plan-of-care:
>>>>>
>>>>>1) Do not use illegal drugs of any kind
>>>>>
>>>>>2) Do not use tobacco of any kind
>>>>>
>>>>>3) Get your weight down to at least where the charts say "average"
>>>>>

>>>>>4) Eat a healthy diet
>>>>>
>>>>>5) Use seatbelts, helmets, eye/hearing protection and all other protective
>>>>>devices
>>>>>where appropriate
>>>>>
>>>>>How many people do all 5 consistently? How much public and private money
>>
>>is
>>
>>>>>spent because so many people don't?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> People who have bonafide needs should get the care they need. Those
>>>>
>>>>who
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>have needs but refuse to do what is necessary to "get fixed" yet demand
>>>>
>>>>that
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>"we" do "something" to get them better need to be given the boot and a
>>>>>>referal to a funeral home director with pre-paid plans.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>The problem is, how do you differentiate those groups when they show up in
>>>>
>>>>the
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>ER?
>>>>
>>>> Here is one for ya Jim:
>>>>
>>>> People who engage in risky behavior, such as rock climbing, bungee
>>>>jumping, contact sports, mountain climbing, skateboarding, and other
>>>>dangerous activity are doing so with knowledge of their potential
>>>>injury/death.
>
>
>
>>>One could include risky activities like dealing with high voltages,
>>>climbing towers and other structures to raise antennas, etc.
>
>
>>One could include *not being underweight*. Believe it or not, I have

>>heard a researcher prescribe just this for everyone.
>
>
> You mean everybody should be underweight? I agree - it's been shown
> scientifically to be healthier.

For rats.

>>And what about gray areas. My ice hockey is an incredible aerobic
>>activity. This is good. But it is also a lot of high speed physical
>>contact with people armed with clubs. Not so good, and I have had
>>numerous injuries while playing.
>
>
> I've been a runner for more than 23 years and I've yet to have a
> running-related injury that required medical care.

Running is certainly safer than Ice hockey; there are some injuries
that can happen in it also. Another odd thing around here is that
occasionally a runner will be running in a trance state and get hit by a
car when they run in front of it. I've had to stand on the brakes
occasionally to avoid a runner that is somewhere past the wall.

>>>>Why should society have to foot the bill when one of these
>>>>idiots gets injured and can no longer support themselves. They made a
>>>>presumably sober decision to do this.
>
>
>>>OK so far.
>
>>>>note that this is a little tongue in cheek, but I do have a bit of a
>>>>moral issue with this.
>
>
>
>>>What moral issue? Here's how it works:
>
>
>>The moral issue is that eventually those insurance companies and the
>>people who would control us will do just that. Eventually, people that
>>engage in "risky activities" will find themselves uninsurable.
>
>
>>"Life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness as long as it carries no
>>physical risk"
>
>
> Yep.

A nation of "pussies" emerges.

>>>Insurance companies have developed ways to figure out the risk factors and
>>>how they affect the chances those behaviors will result in payouts. And they
>>>affix surcharges to their premiums to compensate.
>
>
>>>For example, life insurance policies usually have a "tobacco rate" and a
>>>"no
>>>tobacco rate". Homeowners' policies usually require an extra-cost rider if
>>>you have a pool. Etc.
>
>
>>>Same principle could be applied.
>>
>> To everything. It is like the health industry wanting to get hold of
>>every possible test that could predict what we are likely to expire of
>>so that they don't have to insure us for that.
>
>
> And that's where the right to privacy comes into play. Also freedom from
> discrimination - if a person't susceptibility to a certain disease is due to
> heredity and not their own choice, that is.

Of course those who think that they don't want to insure you because of
your smoking would really really like lots of other things about you.
And this is a good time for them to chip away at your privacy rights.

> We already have this sort of thing in other areas. Consider the building
> industry. If you want to put up almost any structure that people will live or
> do business in, you have to meet the applicable building and safety codes.
> Otherwise you won;t be able to get the required permits, loans, or insurance.
>
> Even if you want to modify your own existing building, not following the codes
> can get you in big trouble, legally and financially. For example, if you do
> your own wiring but don't follow the code, and your house burns down because of
> it, your insurer can usually refuse to cover the loss.

Not insuring violations makes sense to me, but I do have a problem if
the human being is treated the same way.

>>>Now here's a disturbing trend to consider:
>
>
>
>>>One of the newest ideas in health care is the "specialty hospital". These
>>>are
>>>for-profit non-teaching medical facilities, often owned by the docs who
>>>work
>>>there, that focus on particular illnesses. Cardiology is a favorite.
>>
>>>The trick is that they do not accept any federal or state reimbursement -
>>>private insurance only. So they don't have to follow govt."guidelines" in
>>>many

>>>areas. They don't have ERs and they don't accept "walk-ins", only referrals
>>>with good insurance.
>>
>> So.... I know..... VOUCHERS to the rescue!!!!!
>
>
> At first I didn't understand what you meant. Then it dawned on me about
> private-school vouchers...

Natch!

>
>>>So what they get are the pick of the patients - those who can pay, are
>>>compliant, and who have good documentation. They don't have to cost-shift,
>>>so
>>>their prices are competitive.
>>>
>>>Guess what happens to the "regular" hospitals?
>
>
> Just like parochial and private vs. public schools...
>
>
>> I wonder when we are going to get back to debtor's prisons again.....
>
>
> I wonder if some folks ever *really* understood Dickens' little book?

People forget. Should events continue on their present path, they may
be reintroduced to the concepts.

- Mike KB3EIA

254. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 02:47:55 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <t_udnTvhrPRBcXzcRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101925

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 15:54:49 -0500, Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>Just like the IRS doesn't get the April 15th tax returns on April 15th.
>>What is important is that they are postmarked by then. If the mail takes
>>a week to get it to them, you are still covered.
>
>
> In Oregon, all voting is by mail-in paper ballot which must be
> received by the county election system no later than 8 pm on
> Election Day. Postmark does not count. For the procrastinators
> among us, though, there are convenient drop-boxes at well-publicized
> locations, and depositiing a ballot in them constitutes "reception".

Sounds like a great way to vote.

>
>>Would the new license expire ten years from the new issuance date, or
>>would it be a ten year plus X days, weeks, or months.
>
>
> Ten years from the new issuance date.

Right of course. I was using a hypothetical situation if there was not
the sort of rule there is now.

- Mike KB3EIA -

255. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 02:53:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <jLSdndxgSdyPc3zcRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101926

Lenof21 wrote:

> In article <rr-dnfpvsOj8WUvcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>> It is what you are saying. I note that Republicans are considering
>>legislation to weaken the ethics rules, and you answer that Democrats
>>don't support the Boy Scouts, and that Republicans believe in God.
>>
>> You never even addressed the original question.
>
>
> So...what is the Official Pro-Code-Test Advocates' position on
> USA Amateur Radio Policy?

Is the no-code testing concept the center of your being?

> Must all amateurs be morse tested and of one particular Party?

Do you identify yourself as a person who is at the core, all about no
code test?

> What is Riley Hollingsworth's take on all that? Will Mikey Powell
> have him write it up in some monthly List of Ham Nasties?

Perhaps you could find out for us?

> Be a good scout. Try for the photography badge. Get some focus.

Seriously though Len. The Morse code issue issue really isn't all that
important. The Morse code hard-core have pretty much left the group. No
use identifying yourself with the issue any more.

- Mike KB3EIA -

256. Re: Unfriendly Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 03:24:26 UTC
From: Anonymous <mix@itys.net>
Message-ID: <09093b6e68aaad0b85c855cf8eb8232e@itys.net>
Source record: 101927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
In article <crsboq$dpk$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <L...@nomoresezam.com> wrote:
>
> I tole a guy on the repeater I saw a mexican guy selling CB
linear
> amplifiers at the Huntsville flea market today and the guy got
> pissed off I said it on ham radio. There to much fighting on
ham
> radio these days.
>
> Lloyd

Mongolloyd make good sentences!
--
Tor
```

257. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 03:34:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050109223436.06358.00000006@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 101928

In article <VIOdnbuKxIQi1HzcRVn-gA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Lenof21 wrote:
>

>> Sure enough, the PCTA extras in here disregard the subject in order
>> to lambaste (and generally make nasty to) any NCTA about anything.
>> :-)
>
> Is disagreement with you making nasty to you?
>
> Is pointing out a mistake making nasty to you?

Apparently, *any* disagreement with Len is
interpreted as "making nasty" by him.

>> Doesn't matter if the NCTA are right or wrong (deliberately so in the
>> case suddenly become front-page news of sorts)...all NCTA are
>> targets of opportunity to be DISCREDITED by any means possible.
>
> hmm, sounds like a conspiracy..... 8^)

Can *all* amateurs with expired-but-in-the-grace-period
operate an amateur station *legally*?

>> The "tag team" seems to think that all hams are always active on-
>> the-air as good "service personnel" (or something) during their valid
>> license period.

>Who said that? I have a post in answer to you that would indicate that
>I definitely do *not* think that way.

Nor I. In fact, I gave several reasons why a ham might not renew in the
90 day window.

>> Never mind that there are absences from the "service"
>> for many different reasons. The "grace period" insures that such
>> absence will not allow any licensee to lose their (apparently) so
>> precious
>> tribal identification of a call sign. The call sign is "who we are" to
>> quote
>> one licensee in here some years ago. That would be especially true
>> for the Vanity calls. Licensees POSSESS their calls and those become
>> very, very personal.

Is that a bad thing?
>
>> In the USA I might even suggest that the FDA (Food and Drug
>> Administration) step in and help the FCC literally brand the granted
>> call sign on the physical person of the licensee, perhaps by a tatoo.
>> That might complete the process of the New Identity within the Tribe.
>> [why not? the FDA here certifies real hams ... :-)]

Now who's "making nasty"?
>
>> The "new identity" is important since it bestows a rank/status/privilege
>> down here in a land without royals, no fancy titles or whatever.

What land is that?

>> For
>> example, a Rhode Islander could, under older rules, suddenly become
>> a "resident" of Hawaii without even leaving the mainland.

What relevance does that have to amateurs with expired licenses and
their operating privileges?

>> The arranger
>> of that was able to retitle hisself from junior college math teacher to
>> "mathematics lecturer in the university system."

Was that true or not?

>> Neither one "did
>> anything wrong" according to them. But, a whole bunch of very phony
>> "radio club" calls got tossed in the dumpster by the FCC not too long
>> ago.

And what relevance does that have to amateurs with expired licenses and
their operating privileges?

>> Another fantasylander thought he had much more clout in the real
>> world than the U.S. aremed forces when he admonished another about
>> "permission to operate a radio" from Somalia when that individual served
>> in the military there.

And what relevance does that have to amateurs with expired licenses and
their operating privileges?

>> Yet another fantasylander keeps on bragging
>> about
>> his military record ("seven hostile actions" without ever saying where
>or
>> when) and then trying to tear down others' real military records. Tsk.

The problem is?

>> Then we have the classic knowitall who never served at all making like
>> he knows more than Janes about USSR air power just because a real
>> veteran slipped up once in a message.

Hmm...

Does that mean a civilian must never correct a mistake made by a military
veteran? If so, why not?

>> There's still another
>> "serviceman"
>> of the amateur corps who dines with aircraft carrier captains and looks
>> down his nose at those who've done real military HF communications
>> much more than he will have done in his lifetime.

It sounds like no one can measure up to Len's standards of what
a person must be to point out his mistakes.

>> It's quite a collection!

>> Note: All those wonderful braggarts are PCTA extra.

I think Len is mistaken about that.

>> They can say
>> anything, write anything, do anything in here and are absolved from ANY
>> criticism.

By whom?

>> Should they be taken to task, the task-taker is soundly
>> objected to with endearing personal perjoratives having little to do
>> with the subject.

Does this include phrases like

'shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel'?

Do any of the above people have names?
Amateur radio callsigns?

Is there some reason Len cannot bring himself to call people
by their names or calls?

>> It's a plain simple fact that all NCTA in here are always wrong, no
>> matter
>> what they write on anything.

That's clearly untrue.

>> No one who is of the NCTA persuasion
>> should bother debating the subject in here. The matter is closed and
>> all
>> NCTA are forever wrong, incorrect, and probably have underarm odor.

More nonsense from Len.

>Interesting post. It doe not negate the fact that you have been wrong
>on several occasions. We all are at times. But it isn't "all NCTA's, or
>even NCTNA's. But that is okay. No damage done.
>

>You post indicates that you are pretty upset about this. Don't be mad
>at yourself. Being wrong on a matter just means that the next time you
>won't be.
>
> Chin up! 8^)
>
Good advice, Mike. But it seems that Len simply cannot tolerate
having his mistakes pointed out.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

258. Re: Mode/Band Use in 1961

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 03:49:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105328965.474481.200040@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101930

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <20050109173822.16716.00000001@mb-m14.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >Remember that about 85 years ago, ethanol was banned as a beverage
in the
> >USA.
>
> Wrong, completely WRONG.
>
> There's nothing in the 18th Amendment (which went into force in
1920)
> which says "ethanol."

You are correct! My mistake.

> Paragraph 1 of the 18th Amendment says "After one year from the
> ratification of this article, the manuafacture, sale, or
transportation of
> intoxicating liquors within, the transportation thereof into, or
the
> exportation thereof from the United Staates and all territory
subject
> to the jurisdiction thereof for beverage purposes is hereby
prohibited."
>
> Note that the word "beverage" doesn't show up until the last line,
almost
> an afterthought.

It's still there.

Were there any intoxicating liquors banned other than those containing
ethanol?
>
> Absolutely nothing mentioned "ethanol."

Were there any intoxicating liquors banned other than those containing
ethanol?

Was it not ethanol that *defined* whether a beverage was an
"intoxicating liquor" or not?

> That Amendment was repealed 13 years later.

Think about *why* it was repealed.
>
> >Rather than curbing the consumption and abuse of ethanol, banning it made the
> >problem worse, and created/empowered new levels of organized crime.
>
> You tellum, Mr. Crime Fighter.
>
Is that statement untrue?

> What all of that has to do with "amateur radio" or "mode/band use in 1961"
> is unknown.

Then answer this question, Len:

You previously wrote:

"All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace period."

Is that true?

Or did you make a mistake, Len?

Note that you wrote "all licensees", not just those who had submitted renewals to FCC prior to their license expiration date.

259. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 04:21:29 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ia2uft8.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101931

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 12:50:14 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:

>> You must have made a typo - Mister Dunifer cannot be granted a
>> license. Plain fact. The choice was his.
>
>The judge stated that dunifer and his bunch was barred from operating any
>broadcast equipment until they first obtain a license from the FCC.

In your own words: " the Radio Broadcast Preservation Act of 2000
bars all pirates from getting a license."

What part of that do you not understand?

>Which boils back to what all the pirates were say....why should I spend the
>time and money to try to obtain a license which apparently the FCC doesn't
>want to give me.

Want to be a criminal - be a criminal. "Don't do the crime if you
can't do the time"....

>> Please explain this in English. Also regard your own word "may".
>> Even if they did consider it, it can be denied. What part of the
>> process do you fail to understand?
>
>Sec. 1.3 Suspension, amendment, or waiver of rules.
>
> The provisions of this chapter may be suspended, revoked, amended,
>or waived for good cause shown, in whole or in part, at any time by the
>Commission, subject to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure
>Act and the provisions of this chapter. Any provision of the rules may
>be waived by the Commission on its own motion or on petition if good
>cause therefor is shown.

What part of the word "MAY" do you not understand?

>> Missing the filing window is prima facie "good cause" for returning
>> the application as defective, which apparently they did.

>The United States court have stated that "the FCC MUST consider waivers"
>(WAIT RADIO v FCC 1969) "The FCC must take a serious and hard look at waiver
>request" Turro v FCC (1989)

Denying your request does not mean that they did not take a "serious
and hard look at [your] waiver request". As I said before - sometimes
they grant it, sometumes they deny it. That's how the game is played.

I say again:

You were free to appeal to the full Commission and from there to the U S Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. By not doing so, you lost your chance to get the decision reversed.

This is going nowhere. It is not applicable to ham radio, and I've said my piece about it here. If you don't want to listen to what I tell you, it's your loss.

Stay warm, Todd. It's cold out there.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

260. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 05:38:17 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <RZGdnTGWpJg1hX_cRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 101934

Todd Daugherty wrote:

>
> This community does and what we are talking about is location placement on
> top of lamp poles ect, ect and what they ban by ordiniances they make those
> who to do something like that pay and hefty fees.
>
> Todd N9OGL

I might comment on this if I had a clue about what you are attempting to
say. If you can't put forth your ideas any better than this, no wonder
the FCC denied your petition, they probably didn't know what you were
talking about either.

261. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 05:41:58 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <RZGdnTCWpJgJhH_cRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 101936

Phil Kane wrote:

>
>>Not that many people in this community can afford to apply for a license
>>or could care less to apply for a license.
>
>
> Ah, in other words the community isn't really panting for the station.
> Mister Daugherty wants the station..... Now the picture becomes clearer.
>

And the FCC is big and mean just because he wants his station and they won't let him have it. BWWWWWWWWWAAAAAAAAAAAAA!!!! Big, bad, mean ole FCC. They won't let Toddyboy have his license so that means they are liars.

262. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 05:57:50 UTC
From: marika <marika5000@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105336670.307403.93640@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101935
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.legends.lester-mosley, rec.radio.amateur.policy

Todd Daugherty wrote:

>
> NO the only reason low power FM was created was due to the continued
growth
> of pirate radio.

Strange.... But, it is permanent right?

mk5000

Neither is possible without acceptance as the starting point,
"because without acceptance, you are living on the periphery
of your life. There at the edges, you can't fully
enjoy the good stuff or do anything about the rest."

~ Victoria Moran ~

263. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 06:57:14 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 101937

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
> The state of Hawaii has an Interstate Highway System. It is fudging the
> truth a bit to claim one can drive that system to any other state...without
> another means to span part of the Pacific Ocean.

It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal interstate
highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in California: It
begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet it's
yet it's still an "interstate."

> I would suppose that a junior college instructor could call themselves
> a "part of the University of
> Hawaii system" and that they "lecture on mathematics" if they hold
> classes under that instructor.

The semester begin tomorrow -- I'll be teaching a calculus class at the
university campus (which is not a "junior college"), 8:30-9:20 MWF,
Keller Hall room 403. The class is full but I'll let you register,
if you think you can handle the class.

> But, the same "mathematics lecturer"
> (on the scale of Roger Penrose?) once claimed that the ARRL Amateur's
> Handbook was on a "bestseller" listing in the USA...and the American
> Bookseller's Association (ABA) couldn't verify that at all. :-)

Go back and read my complete post, paying attention to the phrase
"...technical best seller."

> Folks, we are getting into a huge embellishment project here with all these
> straw structures. In other venues it would be called LYING. In here it is
> apparently an Orwellian kind of Newspeak.

Accusing me of lying? Are you sure you want to commit libel?

No 73 for you,
Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

264. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 07:51:53 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <crtc6ppin1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 101938

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
> First of all, a search fails to turn up ANY evidence that "all normal
> comms" were disabled on any island of Hawaii in 1992. Note: That
> search includes the words 'normal communications.'

What the heck are you searching? Try something like "Oahu Civil Defense
RACES hurricane Iniki." Do you need your hand held, too?

> Not all hospitals are available on the web
> but the statement that "ALL hospitals have ham stations" is a bit over
> the top.

Over the top of what? It's called the Health Comm Network, a
subcommittee of the Emergency Preparedness Committee, Healthcare
Association of Hawaii. The net connects Oahu Civil Defense, Blood Bank
of Hawaii, Castle Hospital, Kaiser Hospital, Kapiolani Hospital, Kuakini
Hospital, Pali Momi Hospital, Queen's Hospital, St. Francis-Liliha
Hospital, St. Francis-West Hospital, and Shriner's Hospital.

> I'm open to some evidence other than the usual RACES propaganda
> to verify any of this "university lecturer's" claims. The state of Hawaii
> is not a small one; it is important, a focus point

"...focal point..."

> for our Asian neighbors in
> the Pacific. Hawaii is generally considered "remote" by mainlanders
> and therefore those who wish to embellish a few truths seem to think
> they can get away with it, of not being checked out. Like some other
> fraternal order propaganda, telling folks what they want to hear will
> dissuade them from finding out it it is truth or not.

Whatever. Do a Google search on "disaster radio in hawaii" to learn all
the good things amateurs do over here.

Still no 73 for you,
Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

265. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 10:14:18 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e1826c$1_3@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101744

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:41E16DF3.8FA0B37A@earthlink.net...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:41DE1278.1B4C7819@earthlink.net...
> > > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > >
> > > > ... Hollingworth and his gestopo stomping on free speech.
> > >
> > > His what?
> > >
> > > > Do get me wrong I'm all for ham radio but I am not for this crap of
> > > > ham radio operators or the FCC gestopo atempts to control the
content of
> > > > amateur radio stations.
> > >
> > > You want us to get you wrong? I'm sold. Watch out for those "gestopo
> > > atempts".
> > >
> > > You never did tell me about this "micro broadcasting movement".
> >
> > What do you want to know about the micro-broadcasting movement???
>
> Is it made up of scofflaw types who have determined that the FCC has no
> authority to regulate broadcasting? What is the legal standing of this
> "movement". Why does the "movement" receive no play in the several
> leading newsmagazines or on TV news shows? Is the objective of the
> "movement" to take to the airwaves in defiance of the FCC?
>
> Those questions will do for the moment.

The movemen began due to lack of community progams, consolidation of the
airwaves. As for news magazines an TV news shows there have a number of
article in the papers (Wall Street Journal, Reason Magazine as well as
other) as for news on television it has be talked about on both CNN and FOX.
I think the whole purpose of the movement was to change the system which it
did with the introduction of Low Power FM.

> > I myself joined the movement in 1998 after I had applied six times for a
> > license with waivers for a community that didn't have a service. The FCC
> > wouldn't consider my applications or waivers (which the Federal courts
have
> > told them repeatly that they (FCC) "must consider waivers" So my opinion
of

> > the FCC is that they are nothing buts LIARS, and are below pond scum.
>
> You're quite sure that what you interpret as the FCC's non-consideration
> of your applications wasn't consideration and rejection? Was it that
> your applications and requests for waivers were for something quite
> outside the regulations?
>
The Federal Communication Commission dismissed my application because according to them I didn't file during a filing window. However under 47 CFR 1.3 the FCC could of consider my waiver. Because under 47 CFR 1.3 the FCC may consider waivers at anytime if good cause is shown. In my waiver I was going to provide a television service to a community that doesn't have a TV service. Which In my humble opinion would constitute a good cause.

> I'm sure that if you include terms like "LIARS" and "below pond scum" in
> your communications with the FCC, you'll get some special attention.

No it was only after the fact that they didn't consider my waiver I started calling them liars. They are liars because they would go to court against pirate radio operators and tell a judge that all a person had to do was apply for a license and ask for a waiver. But the reality they wouldn't consider applications or waiver and the FCC dismissing my application proves that the FCC are nothing but worthless LIARS.

> You might want to consider that amateur radio might not be for you,
> Todd.
> There seems to be some confusion in your mind as to its basis and
> purpose.
> It is not a broadcasting service.
>
Not true, Amateurs may run Information Bulletins so, amateur's are not completely banned from some for a Broadcasting. There is of course a difference between Broadcasting and Information Bulletins. Broadcast are programs directed either relayed to the general public. while information bulletin are bulletins directed to the amateur community with information dealing with amateur radio. A bulletin according to Webster is a piece of information directed to the public or a group. So you see some form of "Broadcasting" is allow. My progam which I run on 10 meter deals with issues and information concerning Amateur Radio.

> If you invest in a 100mw Part 15 AM transmitter and find yourself a nice
> clear spot on the BCB, you can play announcer to your heart's content.

Part 15 device are never have nor are attended for broadcasting. Part 15 device are short range as a matter of fact part 15 device are suppose to have the range to cover a person's yard. I know it can be said that a part 15 device can go farther, however part 15 was not attended for that purpose.

Todd N9OGL

> Dave K8MN
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

266. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 11:33:35 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e1939e$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101902

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:J7gEd.126654$z62.60272@fe09.lga...
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41e1826c$1_3@127.0.0.1...
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:41E16DF3.8FA0B37A@earthlink.net...
> >> If you invest in a 100mw Part 15 AM transmitter and find yourself a
nice
> >> clear spot on the BCB, you can play announcer to your heart's content.
> >
> > Part 15 device are never have nor are attended for broadcasting. Part 15
> > device are short range as a matter of fact part 15 device are suppose to
> > have the range to cover a person's yard. I know it can be said that a
part
> > 15 device can go farther, however part 15 was not attended for that
> > purpose.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Funny story: I used to use a Part 15 device on CB channel 14, 27125 kHz,
to
> practice CW with my niece. She lived approx. 15 linear miles away and we
> were able to copy each other FB through some pretty "CBish" QRM.

Part 15 power range depends o the frequency and band for example on the AM band it is 100 miliwatts while on the FM broadcast band it's 250 microvolt per meter at 3 meters. on 13 MHz. the power is 10,000 microvolts per meter at 30 meters.

> BTW, the trick to remaining legal while "getting out" with a Part 15
device
> is to get the device itself as high as humanly/safely possible. The power
> and key cables were then brough down into the shack. A seperate high
> performance rcvr rounded out the package. No coax loss because...no coax.
> Just comply with the ERP restrictions and let your height be your might.
> Fond memories indeed.

I would have no problem with Part 15 device except I think the one thing holding part 15 device back is that some community tight restriction on antenna heights.

Todd N9OGL

> --
> Vy 73 de Bert

> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384
> QRP ARCI #11782
>
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

267. Re: Character counts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 12:26:38 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105359998.957045.105930@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101939

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <6ZWdnWUBBtgMW0vcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >
> >> In article <jNSdnQGeQYinMkjcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>
> >>
> >>>The pubs have found the way to clean up congress:
> >>>
> >>>http://tinyurl.com/5w3ct
> >>>
> >>
> >> Fascinating!
> >>
> >> I wonder why they want to change that standard?
> >
> > The immediate purpose is to get Tom DeLay out of his ethics
situation.
>
> What has that to do with Amateur Radio Policy in the USA?

Goes to the mindset of the administration in power.
>
> Did DeLay's name come up on Riley Hollingsworth's latest List?

Perhaps you'd like something more directly connected to FCC rules and
regulations for the amateur radio service:

Lenof21 previously wrote:

> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in
> their grace period.

That's simply not true.

To quote FCC rules:

"97.21(b) A person whose amateur station license grant has expired may
apply to the FCC for renewal of the license grant
for another term during a 2 year filing grace period. The application
must be received at the address specified above prior to the end of the
grace period.
Unless and until the license grant is renewed, no privileges in this

Part are conferred."

Last sentence says it all: "Unless and until the license grant is renewed, no privileges in this Part are conferred."

FCC amateur licenses have 10 year terms, and if a license is allowed to expire without a renewal application being filed, the licensee *cannot* legally operate until the license is renewed.

Do you agree or disagree, Len?

You also wrote:

> There is no necessity (nor sense) to eliminate those in the
> grace period from those in the normal 10-year license period > from any class totals.

Sure there is - their licenses are expired and they cannot operate.

If you want to include expired-but-in-the-grace-period licensees in the totals, go right ahead. But be sure to indicate that you are doing so, unless *you* want to "massage" the numbers.

268. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 12:28:20 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <p7s4u0t722hftqc6c6svqccmum7283hcqj@4ax.com>
Source record: 101940

On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 15:24:07 -0500, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>Leo wrote:
>
>> On 09 Jan 2005 04:51:35 GMT, lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21) wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <aav0u0hjkg7pq77nn1jnb8f8evedjrl7ho@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:36:59 -0800 (PST), "Phil Kane"
>>>><Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On 08 Jan 2005 21:31:53 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>>>>>
>snip

>> Some even enter a batch of posts on a variety of subjects, apparently
>> hoping to get sonething on the hook.......what's the word for that
>> again...? :)
>
> To imagine anyone on Usenet would do that. 8^)

.....found it - "Trolling" - that's the word I was looking for! :)

>snip

>>
>>> Note: All those wonderful braggarts are PCTA extra. They can say
>>> anything, write anything, do anything in here and are absolved from ANY
>>> criticism. Should they be taken to task, the task-taker is soundly
>>> objected to with endearing personal perjoratives having little to do with
>>> the subject.
>>
>>
>> Ipso facto, with a few exceptions - there are several OMs here who are
>> quite civil, knowledgeable and conversational, and do not possess the
>> NetNanny gene.....
>>
>>

>>> It's a plain simple fact that all NCTA in here are always wrong, no matter
>>> what they write on anything. No one who is of the NCTA persuasion
>>> should bother debating the subject in here. The matter is closed and all
>>> NCTA are forever wrong, incorrect, and probably have underarm odor.
>>
>>
>> .....and skinned knuckles! :)
>
> Here's a challenge, Leo, if you're up to it.
>
> Name the PCTA's in here (active) that have called NCTA's by such names.
>I'll give you a start:
>
> Steve is pretty famous for name calling.

Great idea! - that would certainly get a nice warm flamefest going,
wouldn't it?

Request respectfully declined. :)

>
> And since this subthread turned into a Mike and Jim "tag-team" thing,
>where do our names show up in that list? When have I called anyone a
>nasty name? I don't think Jim ever has either.
>
> note: I will admit the one time I called Len "Lennie". No insult was
>intended, but he didn't like it, so I stopped.

Hmmm - maybe you're one of the exceptions? :)

Your pal was doing just fine too, until he decided to start using
profanities in his posts. To claim that another is speaking
"bull$#!+" is to directly infer that they are a "bull$#!+ter".

Acgeed that this example does not meet your specification of "PCTA vs
NCTA", but as I said earlier it's more about personalities than it is
about affiliation.

And character.

YMMV.

>snip
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

73, Leo

269. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 15:12:53 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e1c703$1_4@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101911

Phil,
There are some communities that will not allow you put Part 15 devices.
There's a big difference between LPFM and part 15, LPFM is consider a legal
radio system while some community don't grasp what part 15 devices are.

Todd N9OGL
"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ia2f6b4.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 05:33:35 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> >I would have no problem with Part 15 device except I think the one thing
> >holding part 15 device back is that some community tight restriction on
> >antenna heights.
>
> What makes you think that those same restrictions would not apply to
> a LPFM station or do you plan to operate this station without
> complying with local zoning and use restriction ordinances?
>
> Anyhow, one can always put a Part 15 device on top of an existing
> structure - whether it's a building, a grain elevator, a lamp post,
> whatever you can get the owner's permission for. Feed it with an
> 803.11(b) link (another Part 15 device) - no license needed for either.
> Put up several around town.
>
> Make technology work for you, rather than tilting at windmills.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

270. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 15:34:14 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e1cc04$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101912

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ia2eqy3.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 04:14:18 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> >The ["microbroadcasting"] movemen began due to lack of community
progams,
> >consolidation of the airwaves.
>
> Nah - the movement always existed - I chased pirate radio stations
> before you were born, run by folks whose main raison d'etre was to
> try to pull the FCC's chain, and the folks who trained me chased
> pirate radio stations during WW-II.
True, "pirate radio" has been around since the advent of regulations. but
what became the modern grassroot movement didn't begin until the mid 80's.

> >I think the whole purpose of the movement was to change the system which
it
> >did with the introduction of Low Power FM.
>
> The ONLY reason [note the emphasis] that there is a "Low Power FM"
> service is that Judge Claudia Wilkin of the Federal District Court
> for the Northern District of California, Oakland Division was
> refusing to enjoin Stephen Dunifer and his alter-ego "Free Radio
> Berkeley" from transmitting without authorization unless the FCC
> started that service, and she sat on that ruling for two years,
> while other judges issued such injunctions without any delay.

NO the only reason low power FM was created was due to the continued growth
of pirate radio. the FCC would shut down two pirate stations and three more
would come on the air. It's really shame to since Dunifer can apply for
license since the Radio Broadcast Preservation Act of 2000 bars all pirates
from getting a license.

> The FCC blinked first just to get her off the dime, so to speak.
>
> > I myself joined the movement in 1998
>
> Todd Come Lately
>
> > after I had applied six times for a
> > license with waivers for a community that didn't have a service. The FCC
> > wouldn't consider my applications or waivers (which the Federal courts
> > have told them repeatly that they (FCC) "must consider waivers"
>
> [See above]

>
> > So my opinion of the FCC is that they are nothing buts LIARS, and
> > are below pond scum.
>
> All because they decided that you didn't quailfy for a waiver....
There's a difference at a denial and a dismissal....and the courts have also
told the FCC that they must take a serious look at waivers. That includes
the reason while there is a denial of the waiver so that person can file a
appeal in the US court of Appeal. .

> >> You're quite sure that what you interpret as the FCC's
non-consideration
> >> of your applications wasn't consideration and rejection? Was it that
> >> your applications and requests for waivers were for something quite
> >> outside the regulations?
>
> >The Federal Communication Commission dismissed my application because
> >according to them I didn't file during a filing window. However under
> >47 CFR 1.3 the FCC could of consider my waiver. Because under 47 CFR
> >1.3 the FCC may consider waivers at anytime if good cause is shown. In
> >my waiver I was going to provide a television service to a community that
> >doesn't have a TV service.
>
> Notice your own words -- MAY consider. Not must consider. And even
> if they did consider, they are under no obligation to grant said
> waiver - especially of filing windows. In that regard, if everyone
> else has to wait for a window YOU have to wait for one as well. What
> makes your "good cause" more gooder than the next person's "good
> cause"?

yes but they must either grant and deny a license. and waiver may be
consider at anytime filing windows don't apply. I also think that by
dismissing my the commission has hurt this community by not consider the
waiver for a "community that has no local television service" So where is
the public interest? Others? what others? Not that many people in this
community can afford to apply for a license or could care less to apply for
a license.

> >Which In my humble opinion would constitute a good cause.
>
> Not in the more qualified opinions of your "elders and betters" of
> the Commission.
>
> Sorry, Buddy, that won't fly in the real world of broadcast regulation.
>
> >> I'm sure that if you include terms like "LIARS" and "below pond scum"
in
> >> your communications with the FCC, you'll get some special attention.
>
> "Very" special attention. There's a special file for such.

> >No it was only after the fact that they didn't consider my waiver I
started
> >calling them liars. They are liars because they would go to court against
> >pirate radio operators and tell a judge that all a person had to do was
> >apply for a license and ask for a waiver. But the reality they wouldn't
> >consider applications or waiver and the FCC dismissing my application
> >proves that the FCC are nothing but worthless LIARS.
>
> Namecalling will get you very far in this business, friend.
>
> Anyone can ask for a waiver - no lie there. Whether the waiver will
> be granted or not depends on what the applicant wants the Commission
> to waive.

Again they have to grant or deny a application and show good cause why it's
in the public interest to deny the license and waiver.

> Take your losses like a man and try again, this time getting
> everything right. Then they'll get to the nitty-gritty about
> whether you qualify to be permitted to operate a station or not.
>
> With the attitudes which you have exhibited here, don't be surprised
> if they decide that you are not.
>
> I feel sorry for the Congressrep whom you are attempting to "advise"
> on this matter of broadcast regulation.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>
>

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

271. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 16:01:02 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <2FxEd.3316$li4.3122@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101941

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu...

:
: Accusing me of lying? Are you sure you want to commit libel?
:

According to Funk et Wagnall's, something about "grossly unflattering
utterance". OK I can commit that. Watch this.

JEFFREY IS AN IDIOT!

Now all the idiots will sue me for libel.

Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte sends

272. u.s. band structure...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 16:03:28 UTC
From: larry <larya@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <UL6dnR8fpPtUOn_cRVn-iw@rogers.com>
Source record: 101942

Greetings and happy new year...

I realise that this question might be a bit overkill since both your goverment and mine, I am Canadian, are both discussing the morse code hf requirement... but please bear (possible spelling) with me...

I am trying to get back onto hf cw but am running into a problem... my cw is yucky... and I have forgotten the u.s. cw only subbands and ... is there still a novice subband... It used to be from 3700 to 3750 and there was one of 40 meters... I want to use the slower speed cw as code practice...

Does anyone know if there is a website with lists the various ham bands and the u.s. subband breakdown... I need to bring my code speed up, both sending and receiving....

Larry ve3fxq

273. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 16:06:55 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e1d3ae$1_3@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101915

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@this.net> wrote in message
news:47SdnYaeAOnLUHzcRVn-jQ@gbronline.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> > Phil,
> > There are some communities that will not allow you put Part 15 devices.
>
> So just how do these communites disallow part 15 devices, since there
> are tons of part 15 devices in use by people everywhere everyday?
> Just where do these communities get their authority to regulate part 15
> devices?
>
This community does and what we are talking about is location placement on
top of lamp poles ect, ect and what they ban by ordiniances they make those
who to do something like that pay and hefty fees.

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

274. Re: u.s. band structure...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 16:10:04 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <wNxEd.50481$8e5.45778@fed1read07>
Source record: 101943

http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/allocate.html

--
Caveat Lector

"larry" <larya@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:UL6dnR8fpPtUOn_cRVn-iw@rogers.com...
> Greetings and happy new year...
>
> I realise that this question might be a bit overkill since both your
> goverment and mine, I am Canadian, are both discussing the morse code hf
> requirement... but please bear (possible spelling) with me...
>
> I am trying to get back onto hf cw but am running into a problem... my cw
> is
> yucky... and I have forgotten the u.s. cw only subbands and ... is there
> still a novice subband... It used to be from 3700 to 3750 and there was
> one
> of 40 meters... I want to use the slower speed cw as code practice...
>
> Does anyone know if there is a website with lists the various ham bands
> and
> the u.s. subband breakdown... I need to bring my code speed up, both
> sending and receiving....
>
> Larry ve3fxq
>
>

275. FCC: Broadband Power Line Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 17:41:03 UTC
From: Paul <invalid@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <10u5fhi4jquk7d4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 101944

7 January 2005
Source: http://www.access.gpo.gov/su_docs/aces/fr-cont.html

[Federal Register: January 7, 2005 (Volume 70, Number 5)]
[Rules and Regulations]
[Page 1360-1379]
From the Federal Register Online via GPO Access [wais.access.gpo.gov]
[DOCID:fr07ja05-20]

==========

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 15

[ET Docket No. 04-37 and ET Docket No. 03-104; FCC 04-245]

Broadband Power Line Systems

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document adopts new requirements and measurement guidelines for a new type of carrier current system that provides access to broadband services using electric utility companies' power lines. This new technology offers the potential for the establishment of a significant new medium for extending broadband access to American homes and businesses. Given that power lines reach virtually every residence and business in every community and geographic area in this country, Access BPL service could be made available nearly everywhere. This new broadband delivery medium could also serve to introduce additional competition to existing cable, DSL, and other broadband services. We believe these actions will promote the development of BPL systems by removing regulatory uncertainties for BPL operators and equipment manufacturers while ensuring that licensed radio services are protected from harmful interference.

DATES: Effective February 7, 2005, except for Sec. Sec. 15.615(a) through (e) which contain information collection requirements that are not effective until approved by the Office of Management and Budget. The FCC will publish a document in the Federal Register announcing the effective date for those sections.

Final Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Analysis

This document contains modified information collection requirements. The Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public to comment on the information collection requirements contained in this R&O as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Public and agency comments are due March 8, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the information collection requirements should be addressed to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the Secretary, a

[[Page 1361]]

copy should be submitted to Leslie Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554, or via Internet to Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov <mailto:Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov>, and to Kristy L. LaLonde, OMB
Desk Officer, 10234 NEOB, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503 or via the Internet to Kristy_L._LaLonde@omb.eop.gov <mailto:Kristy_L._LaLonde@omb.eop.gov> or via fax at (202) 395-5167.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anh Wride, Office of Engineering and Technology, (202) 418-20577, e-mail: Anh.Wride@fcc.gov <mailto:Anh.Wride@fcc.gov>, TTY (202) 418-2989.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Report and Order, ET Docket No. 04-37 and ET Docket No. 03-104, FCC 04-245, adopted October 14, 2004, and released October 28, 2004. The full text of this document is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room CY-A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. The complete text of this document also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Qualex International, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554. The full text may also be downloaded at: http://www.fcc.gov <http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/leaving.cgi?from=leavingFR.html&log=linklog&to=http://www.fcc.gov>.
Alternate

formats are available to persons with disabilities by contacting Brian Millin at (202) 418-7426 or TTY (202) 418-7365.

Congressional Review Act

The Commission will send a copy of this Report & Order, in a report to be sent to Congress and the General Accounting Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, see 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).

Summary of Report and Order

1. In the Report and Order, the Commission adopted new rules for Access Broadband over Power Line (Access BPL) systems, a new type of carrier current technology that provides access to high speed broadband services using electric utility companies' power lines. This new technology offers the potential for the establishment of a significant new medium for extending broadband access to American homes and businesses. Given that power lines reach virtually every residence and business in every community and geographic area in this country, Access BPL service could be made available nearly everywhere. This new broadband delivery medium could also serve to introduce additional competition to existing cable, DSL, and other broadband services. In addition, the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) has undertaken a significant effort to both study Access BPL technology, including its operating characteristics and interference potential, and to make specific recommendations to the Commission for policies to encourage its implementation and to manage its interference potential. Our staff has worked closely with NTIA on this matter and the policy decisions and rules we adopted reflect that cooperation and embody many of NTIA's recommendations.
2. Along with NTIA, we recognize the concerns of authorized radio service users in both the private and government sectors for the need to ensure that radio frequency (RF) energy from BPL signals on power lines does not cause harmful interference to licensed radio services. The record and our investigations indicate that BPL network systems can generally be configured and managed to minimize and/or eliminate this harmful interference potential. Our goals in developing the rules for Access BPL are to provide a framework that will both facilitate the rapid introduction and development of BPL systems and protect licensed radio services from harmful interference. Specifically, we adopted: new operational requirements for Access BPL to promote avoidance and resolution of harmful interference; new administrative requirements to aid in identifying Access BPL installations; and specific measurement guidelines and certification requirements to ensure accurate and repeatable evaluations of emissions from Access BPL and all other carrier current systems. We believe these actions will promote the development of BPL systems by removing regulatory uncertainties for BPL operators and equipment manufacturers while ensuring that licensed radio services are protected from harmful interference.

Part 15--Existing Rules

3. Carrier current systems use alternating current (AC) electric power lines to carry communications by coupling very low power RF signals onto the AC electric wiring. Traditionally, these systems have included amplitude modulated (AM) radio systems on school campuses and devices intended for the home, such as intercom systems and remote controls for electrical appliances and lamps. Carrier current systems operate on an unlicensed basis under part 15 of the Commission's rules. As a general condition of operation, part 15 devices may not cause harmful interference to authorized radio services and must accept any interference that they receive.
4. The part 15 rules for carrier current systems currently specify

radiated and conducted emission limits for devices operating below 30 MHz and above 30 MHz. Carrier current systems operating from 9 kHz to 30 MHz are subject to radiated emission limits on emissions from any part of the wiring or power network connected to the RF power source. For carrier current systems that contain their fundamental emission within the standard AM broadcast band of 535 to 1705 kHz and are intended to be received using standard AM broadcast receivers, there is no limit on conducted emissions. All other carrier current systems operating below 30 MHz are subject to a conducted emission limit only within the AM broadcast band. Carrier current devices operating above 30 MHz must meet the radiated emission limits of Sec. 15.109(a), (b) or (g) for digital devices, which are further divided into two types. Class A equipment includes devices marketed for use in a commercial, industrial or business environment, excluding devices which are marketed for use by the general public or are intended to be used in the home. Class B equipment includes devices marketed for use in a residential environment, notwithstanding use in commercial, business and industrial environments. The rules require Access BPL systems to comply with the limits for Class A or B devices depending on whether they are marketed for use in a commercial, industrial or business environment on the one hand or for use by the general public or in the home on the other. Under this Class A/Class B regime, Access BPL systems that operate on medium voltage lines external to residential environments are considered Class A devices. Carrier current devices that do not operate on frequencies below 30 MHz are subject to the general conducted emission limits below 30 MHz. The existing part 15 rules also address power line carrier systems, which are low-speed carrier current systems operating between 10 kHz and 490 kHz, used by an electric public utility entity for protective relaying, telemetry, etc., for general supervision of the power system. Because of their specialized use and operating frequency range, power line carrier systems are not subject to specific emission limits as are general carrier current systems.
5. The Commission believes that the widespread introduction of Access BPL service would further our goals for broadband service consistent with the challenges indicated. This new

[[Page 1362]]

technology offers the potential to give rise to a major new medium for broadband service delivery. Services provided on Access BPL could offer high speed Internet and data communications that compete with, complement, or extend the broadband services provided on existing media. Given the ubiquitous nature of the electric power network, Access BPL could conceivably also offer these services to virtually every element of the broadband market, including residential, institutional, and commercial users. In addition, it is possible that Access BPL could provide a means to expedite the availability of broadband Internet service to consumers and business in rural and other underserved areas. We also find that encouraging the deployment of the technology in the United States will support globalization of products

and services, promote continued U.S. leadership in broadband technology, and bring important benefits to the American public.

6. The Commission understand the significant concerns of licensed radio service users about the potential for Access BPL services to cause harmful interference to their operations. It is our intention to ensure that Access BPL operations do not become a source of harmful interference to licensed radio services. Based on extensive research, analyses, and practical experience, the Commission also continues to believe that the interference concerns of licensed radio users can be adequately addressed and that Access BPL systems will be able to operate successfully on an unlicensed, non-harmful interference basis under the part 15 model. In this regard, we find that the harmful interference potential from Access BPL systems operating in compliance with the existing part 15 emission limits for carrier current systems is low in connection with the additional rules we adopted. From the information provided by our field tests, the tests conducted by NTIA, theoretical predictions by NTIA and ARRL, and experience of the several tests of Access BPL systems, we observe that the potential for any harmful interference is limited to areas within a short distance of the power lines used by this technology. As emphasized by NTIA's Phase 1 study and comments, interference can be rapidly eliminated through various means should it occur. We pointed out to the individual amateurs commenting in this proceeding that the definition of ``harmful interference'' as used in Sec. 15.5 of the rules is set forth in Sec. 2.1 of the rules. We disagree with ARRL's position that there is no reason to act now in this proceeding and that we should delay our decision on rules for Access BPL to provide more time to develop rules to prevent this technology from causing harmful interference. As indicated, the broadband service capabilities of Access BPL systems offer important opportunities for establishing a new medium for broadband access and for introducing new competition in the broadband market. We believe that it is important to set forth rules that will promote this service now, rather than delay. In addition, the record provided in response to the Inquiry and the NPRM, including the extensive studies conducted by NTIA, is more than sufficient to assure us that the rules we adopted will adequately protect licensed services from harmful interference. While some cases of harmful interference may be possible from Access BPL emissions at levels up to the part 15 limits, we agree with NTIA that the benefits of Access BPL service warrant acceptance of a small and manageable degree of interference risk.

7. As stated in the NPRM, we believe that, on balance, the benefits of Access BPL for bringing broadband services to the public are sufficiently important and significant so as to outweigh the limited potential for increased harmful interference that may arise. Moreover, the Commission continue to believe that cases where interference may occur or where its possible occurrence would impact critical services can be addressed through additional regulatory measures. These additional measures will generally require Access BPL operators to reduce emissions or avoid operation on certain frequencies in order to

protect licensed services, to use equipment that can alter its operation by changing operating frequencies to eliminate interference, to make available information that will assist the public in identifying locations where Access BPL operations are present, and to provide notice to radio users before commencing local BPL operations. In this way, the new rules provide effective means for preventing any interference and will ensure that any instances of interference that may occur can be quickly identified and resolved. We emphasize that Access BPL systems will continue be treated as unlicensed part 15 devices and as such will be subject to the conditions that they not cause harmful interference and that they cease operation if they do cause such interference, as required by our rules. As discussed in paragraph 50, of the Report and Order, except for a few specific frequencies that are reserved for international aeronautical safety operations, we do not believe that excluding BPL operations from frequencies used by any specific service, such as the low VHF TV bands, is necessary or appropriate. Rather, we believe requiring BPL equipment to have the capability to avoid any locally used frequency is the most effective approach to ensuring that harmful interference to licensed operations is avoided.
8. The Commission is amending its part 15 rules with changes intended to facilitate the deployment of Access BPL technology while protecting licensed users of the spectrum. Specifically, we are: defining Access BPL for purposes of our rules; maintaining the existing part 15 emission limits for carrier current systems for Access BPL; requiring that Access BPL devices employ adaptive interference mitigation techniques; requiring that Access BPL system operators provide information on the areas where their systems are installed and other technical parameters in a central data base that would be accessible by the public; and adopting specific measurement guidelines for both Access BPL and other carrier current systems to ensure that measurements are made in a consistent manner and provide for repeatable results in determining compliance with our rules.

Definition of Access BPL

9. The Commission adopted a modified version of the proposed definition of Access BPL that includes changes as suggested by the commenting parties. In this regard, we agree that the definition of Access BPL should not include the low-speed power line carrier systems used by electric utilities as defined in our rules. Transmissions on these systems have very short duty cycles that pose very low interference potential as opposed to the constant operation that characterizes Access BPL. We also agree that the definition for Access BPL should limit the low frequency cut-off to above 1.705 MHz, which is the upper frequency for the AM broadcast band. We agree that the definition for Access BPL should not include power lines located within a customer's premises or within a utility's own premises. These lines generally carry low voltage power, are not under the ownership or integral control of the power service operator, are isolated from the medium voltage lines by a distribution transformer such that a bypass

device must be used to reach them with BPL signals, and pose lower potential as sources of interference because their emissions are attenuated

[[Page 1363]]

by the structure in which they are located. We also see no need to limit ownership or control of BPL operations to electric utility operators. We believe that an independent BPL provider can take the same steps and precautions as an electric utility operator in working with its equipment vendor, the power system, and licensed radio users to ensure that an Access BPL system does not cause harmful interference and to resolve any interference. We also see no need to specifically mention aerial or underground lines in the definition. Furthermore, we note that the record in this proceeding only addresses Access BPL systems operating over medium voltage and low voltage lines. Because the high voltage lines are located physically higher, can carry very high voltages, and have different configurations as well as characteristics with respect to potential harmful interference, we are excluding them from the definition for Access BPL at this time. Access BPL systems intended for high voltage lines can however operate under the requirements for experimental licensing in part 5 of the Commission rules.
10. We therefore are amending Sec. 15.3 of the rules to include the following definition for Access BPL:

Access Broadband Over Power Line (Access BPL). A carrier current system installed and operated on an electric utility service as an unintentional radiator that sends radio frequency energy on frequencies between 1.705 MHz and 80 MHz over medium voltage lines or low voltage lines to provide broadband communications and is located on the supply side of the utility service's points of interconnection with customer premises. Access BPL does not include power line carrier systems as defined in Sec. 15.3(t) of this part or In-House BPL systems as defined in Sec. 15.3(gg) of this part.

11. While we are not generally addressing rules for In-House BPL systems, except for measurement procedures, we do find it useful and appropriate to set forth a definition of such systems in the rules. As NTIA and Southern point out, specifying a definition of In-House BPL systems will fully define all forms of BPL and help to clarify the differences between Access BPL and In-House systems. We find that the definition of In-House BPL suggested by NTIA properly identifies these systems. Accordingly, we adopted the following definition for In-House BPL:

In-House Broadband Over Power Line (In-House BPL). A carrier current system, operating as an unintentional radiator, that sends radio frequency energy to provide broadband communications on frequencies between 1.705 MHz and 80 MHz over low-voltage electric power lines that are not owned, operated or controlled by an electric service provider. The electric power lines may be aerial

(overhead), underground, or inside walls, floors or ceilings of user premises. In-House BPL devices may establish closed networks within a user's premises or provide connections to Access BPL (as defined in Sec. 15.3(ff) of this part) networks, or both.

We also encourage industry efforts to develop standards for In-House BPL systems and devices that are complementary to and compatible with Access BPL operations.
12. Access BPL Systems Above 80 MHz. We agree with Corridor that Access BPL systems operating in higher regions of the spectrum, such as the Corridor Access BPL system at 5.8 GHz, should not be subject to the rules adopted herein for Access BPL systems operating in the HF and low VHF spectrum. We find that the record in this proceeding does not provide sufficient information regarding Access BPL operating in spectrum above 80 MHz, hence a decision regarding this type of Access BPL technology cannot be effectively rendered at the present time; however, Access BPL systems not covered in the above definition are subject to existing applicable part 15 rules for carrier current systems. However, we will monitor the development of Access BPL systems that operate on frequencies above 80 MHz and may consider additional requirements for Access BPL systems operating above 80 MHz in a future rulemaking if appropriate.

Emission Limits

13. General Emission Limits. The Commission continues to believe that it is appropriate to apply the existing part 15 radiated emission limits to Access BPL systems. We are not persuaded by the arguments of ARRL and others representing licensed spectrum users that the current emission limits are insufficient to limit the general interference potential of these systems. The 0 dB[mu]V/m limit suggested by the ARRL is typically below the noise floor in the HF and low VHF bands and would be unnecessarily and prohibitively restrictive for Access BPL operators. Along with NTIA, we conclude that the current emission limits will restrict Access BPL systems to very low emitted power levels in comparison to the signals of licensed radio operations. The effect of these limits will be to constrain the harmful interference potential of these systems to relatively short distances from the power lines that they occupy. In fact, in most cases the level of emissions from Access BPL systems will be at or close to the noise floor at distances beyond a hundred meters of an installed power line. We recognize that some radio operations in the bands being used for Access BPL, such as those of Amateur radio licensees, may occur at distances sufficiently close to power lines as to make harmful interference a possibility. We believe that those situations can be addressed through interference avoidance techniques by the Access BPL provider such as frequency band selection, notching, or judicious device placement; the rules we are specifying facilitate such solutions. We do not see evidence that BPL operation will significantly contribute RF energy to generally raise the background noise level. In addition, because power lines inherently can radiate significant noise emissions as noted by

NTIA and ARRL, good engineering practice is to locate sensitive receiver antennas as far as practicable from power lines. This practice will also help prevent interference from Access BPL emissions. In fact, as stated by NTIA, power line noise emissions at frequencies up to 800 MHz may actually be reduced as Access BPL systems are deployed. Furthermore, we see no need to impose a strict band-pass filtering on Access BPL, and we deny Echelon's request in this regard.

14. Although we agree with ARRL that Access BPL on overhead lines is not a traditional point-source emitter, we do not believe that Access BPL devices will cause the power lines to act as countless miles of transmission lines all radiating RF energy along their full length. First, the part 15 emission limits for carrier current systems have proven very effective at controlling interference from such systems. Also, for the reasons indicated by PPL Telcom, we believe that the design and configuration of Access BPL systems will be inconsistent with the development of cumulative emissions effects for nearby receivers. Moreover, the NTIA Phase 1 Study and our own field measurements of Access BPL installations indicate that these systems are not efficient radiators, nor are their emissions cumulative such that they permeate areas in which they are located. Rather, we find that emissions from Access BPL systems tend to dissipate after a short distance from a coupler along a line, and then remain relatively the same for some distance. Along the line there also may be multiple points where emissions may be relatively higher but within the part 15 limits. However, because the signal level decreases significantly with distance perpendicular from the line, the potential for interference also decays

[[Page 1364]]

rapidly with distance from the line. To ensure that the effects of the power line as a radiator are taken into consideration when testing for compliance with our part 15 rules, the measurement procedures we adopted for Access BPL systems, as discussed in the Report and Order, specify that emission measurements are to be made at several specific distances from the Access BPL equipment source, and that measurements are to be taken parallel to the power line to find the maximum emissions from the BPL system.

15. Notwithstanding our decision on emission limits, we do recognize that Access BPL systems present concerns for licensed users in the HF and low VHF bands, given the propagation characteristics of RF signals in the range of frequencies being used for these systems, the diversity of users of these frequencies, and the fact that Access BPL devices will be installed at many locations in an area. While we conclude, that the likelihood that interference from Access BPL operations will occur is low at the signal levels allowed under the current part 15 emission limits, such interference could occur in limited situations despite the intentions of BPL operators. Moreover, even if interference were to occur to amateur operations at the distances indicated by the ARRL, as recommended by NTIA, there are additional interference mitigation techniques that we are requiring of

BPL providers to address such interference potential. We believe that such steps should be taken, particularly in those cases where the occurrence of interference would affect critical services or where interference could be anticipated to occur.
16. We find no need to subject Access BPL equipment to a conducted emission limit that would apply for compliance measurement purposes before the equipment is shipped and installed. We note that Access BPL manufacturers already test their equipment for the proper power levels in a laboratory as part of their manufacturing procedures, and in any case, the radiated emissions from a representative model of equipment would be measured in-situ at three sites as part of the equipment authorization process. We therefore find that requiring conducted emission tests in the laboratory would be a redundant and unnecessary procedure.
17. The Class A limits are appropriate because Access BPL devices are not marketed to the general public and operate on the medium voltage power lines as commercial facilities. Those portions of Access BPL systems that operate above 30 MHz on the low-voltage power lines from the distribution transformer to a residence and all in-house wiring connected to a BPL device are subject to Class B radiated emission limits. The Class B limits are appropriate for these operations because they are located within residential environments and are marketed for use by the general public. Although Access BPL systems are required to comply with the less stringent Class A limits, operators will nonetheless have a strong incentive to exercise the utmost caution in installing and operating their systems to avoid harmful interference and ensure uninterrupted service to their customers, given that there is significant investment in the deployment of the service. We do not find that a 10 dB increase in the allowable emissions levels is warranted or desirable for systems that can reduce emissions by 40 dB in selected bands, as suggested by Satius. We believe that it is important that Access BPL systems comply with the emission limits across their entire operating range in order to minimize the potential for interference in all bands, not just those where interference may be more likely at a particular location.
18. Other Protection Measures. We agree with NTIA and the parties representing public safety agencies that critical Federal Government and other services specified by NTIA and public safety warrant additional protection. These services, including national defense, maritime distress and safety, aeronautical navigation and communications, emergency response, radioastronomy, and others provide important safety and research services whose functions would be afforded additional protection against possible interference from Access BPL operations. We agree with and adopted NTIA's approach for addressing additional protection to critical Federal Government and other radio operations. The excluded frequency bands amount only to a total of 1731 kHz, or 2% of the spectrum within the 1.7-80 MHz band. The exclusion zones are relatively few, on only the 2173.5 to 2190.5 kHz global maritime distress signaling band with prohibited distances of 1 km from coast station facilities, and 73.0-74.6 MHz band used by

the ten Very Long Baseline Array facilities of radio astronomy observatories with prohibited distances of 29 km and 11 km for Access BPL systems using overhead medium voltage power lines and other Access BPL implementations, respectively. We agree with NTIA that the potential for interference from Access BPL to the critical services in exclusion zones is somewhat greater for transmissions carried on overhead medium voltage lines than other Access BPL implementations, i.e., transmissions carried on underground lines or low voltage lines. In this regard, emissions from underground power lines are generally attenuated by the earth materials in which they are buried, while emissions from low voltage lines are generally lower because such lines are generally used only for short feeder links from a transformer to a customer service location and these lines are more closely spaced with an accompanying neutral line--and in fact are often twisted together with the neutral line. The close spacing--together with the shorter length--reduces radiated RF emissions relative to those from overhead medium voltage lines. In addition, the requirement to contact and work with the Federal Government in the 53 consultation areas is not generally expected to result in major impact on Access BPL operators' flexibility to use specific frequency bands. We therefore find that avoiding operation on the frequencies excluded under these restrictions and requirements will not be burdensome for Access BPL operators and manufacturers in order to protect distress and safety communications. Indeed, several manufacturers and Access BPL operators have indicated that they are capable of, and already do, notch out certain frequency bands. We disagree with Ameren, PLCA, and Southern that the mandatory consultation provisions imposed on Access BPL operators impose burdens on Access BPL operators not borne by other unlicensed broadband operators without countervailing benefits. For example, in part 76, we require that cable operators conduct measurements annually to ensure that signal leakage does not create interference risks. Moreover, the distributive nature and other technical characteristics of Access BPL pose somewhat higher potential for interference than point-source wireless broadband systems that warrant additional protective measures. In addition, the consultation actions will benefit Access BPL operators by leading them to select frequencies at the beginning of their service so as to avoid interference to critical services that might have to be corrected later. Accordingly, we adopted NTIA's list of consultation areas, excluded bands, and exclusion zones to which Access BPL equipment must adhere. For all other radio communication operations not addressed in these special provisions, radio operators have the opportunity to inform local BPL operators of the

[[Page 1365]]

pertinent details of their operations and BPL operators have the opportunity to apply that information as appropriate to prevent interference.

19. With regard to the consultation areas, we will require Access BPL operators to provide notification to the parties listed as Federal Government contact points, as designated in the rules, for the area in

which their systems will operate at least 30 days prior to initiation of service. The notification shall include: the name of the Access BPL provider; the frequencies of the Access BPL operation; the postal zip codes served by the Access BPL operation; the manufacturer of and type of Access BPL equipment being deployed (i.e., FCC ID); point of contact information (both telephone and e-mail address); and the proposed or actual date of initiation of Access BPL operation. We will also require that systems located in consultation areas that were in operation prior to the effective date of these rules provide this notice to the appropriate contact point within 45 days of that date. NTIA has indicated that it plans to arrange to have information made available to BPL operators on Federal Government operations. We expect parties to consult in good faith to ensure that no harmful interference is caused to licensed operations and that any constraints on BPL deployments are minimized to those necessary to avoid harmful interference.

20. As indicated in the NPRM, we believe that the risk of harmful interference to State and local public safety services, i.e., EMS, fire, law enforcement, and emergency management agencies from Access BPL operations is essentially low. In general, we believe that a properly designed and operated Access BPL system will pose little interference hazard to services such as aeronautical, maritime and public safety that are designed to operate with relatively high signal-to-noise ratios. In analyzing the potential for harmful interference to public safety systems, we took into account the fact that low-level part 15 signals from Access BPL devices attenuate rapidly as the distance from the power line increases; and that most public safety systems are designed so that mobile and portable units receive a signal level significantly above the noise floor. From an interference analysis standpoint, this latter characteristic distinguishes public safety systems from amateur radio stations using high-sensitivity receivers to receive signals from transmitters often thousands of miles away. However, it is foreseeable that under certain rare circumstances a public safety unit could: (a) Operate in close proximity to a power line carrying Access BPL transmissions at a location where field strength is near the part 15 limit; (b) be tuned to a frequency radiated by an Access BPL device; and (c) be receiving a weak signal from a distant, or obstructed, public safety base station. In general, potential harmful interference under these conditions would be limited to public safety units operating on systems using low-band VHF channels (25-50 MHz). We therefore conclude that the interference protections set forth will be adequate to foreclose harmful interference to public safety systems except perhaps under such unusual circumstances.

21. However, we also conclude that public safety systems merit additional protection because of the often critical and/or safety-of-life nature of the communications they provide. Given the importance and nature of public safety communications, we believe it is necessary to require Access BPL systems to notify the public safety agencies in their local areas, i.e., State and local police, fire, emergency medical, any special emergency coordinators, call box operators, and other entities that are eligible for public safety licenses under Sec.

90.20 of the rules. This advance notification will provide public safety operators with an opportunity to assess whether there are portions of its geographic area of responsibility about which it should make special arrangements with the Access BPL operator in order to avoid interference. Consistent with our decision on notifications for Federal Government consultation areas, we will require that this notification be provided to local public safety agencies at least 30 days prior to a system's initial operation, the activation of any major extensions of the system, or any changes in its operating characteristics, i.e., transmitting frequencies. The notification shall include: (1) The name of the Access BPL provider, (2) the frequencies of the Access BPL operation, (3) the postal zip codes served by the Access BPL operation, (4) the manufacturer of and type of Access BPL equipment being deployed (i.e., FCC ID), (5) point of contact information (both telephone and e-mail address), and (6) the proposed or actual date of initiation of Access BPL operation. We will also require that systems in operation prior to the effective date of these rules provide this notice to local public safety agencies within 45 days of that date.
22. We do not see a need to establish Access BPL-free zones around airports, military bases, hospitals, police stations and fire stations, as requested by NAC/Amherst. To the extent that these services warrant special protection, they will be afforded protection through the excluded bands, exclusion zones and consultation areas specified by NTIA. We similarly do not find that amateur radio frequencies warrant the special protection afforded frequencies reserved for international aeronautical and maritime safety operations. We note that in many instances amateur frequencies are used for routine communications and hobby activities. While we recognize that amateurs may on occasion assist in providing emergency communications, we believe that the general part 15 provisions and the specific provisions being adopted for Access BPL operations are sufficient to protect these amateur operations.

Interference Mitigation

23. We continue to believe that it is important that Access BPL systems include capabilities that allow them to modify their operations to mitigate or avoid instances of harmful interference that may arise. These capabilities will allow Access BPL system operators to resolve interference found to occur at specific locations or in specific areas of their plant in an expeditious manner and without disrupting service to their broadband service subscribers. We agree with NTIA that Access BPL operators would have strong incentives to voluntarily implement such equipment and operating practices. We also agree with NTIA that, notwithstanding these incentives, it is necessary that we adopt requirements for interference mitigation capabilities to ensure that any interference can be resolved quickly without the need to address the tension that might arise over the possible disruption of service to BPL subscribers if mitigation capabilities were not available. The concerns of those commenting parties who argue that the mitigation

requirements would not be sufficient to protect their operations from interference by BPL operations are misplaced. That protection will be provided by: (1) The emissions limits for Access BPL systems; (2) the provisions for consultation areas, excluded bands, and exclusion zones; and (3) the requirement that Access BPL systems not cause interference, as set forth above. The mitigation requirements are intended to ensure that Access BPL systems are designed with features that support interference mitigation, both during initial installation, if sensitive local communications systems are identified in advance, and after installation, when the newly required operational

[[Page 1366]]

capabilities will allow Access BPL system operators to expeditiously resolve any instances of interference that may occur, without the need to cease operations and thereby disrupt the broadband data services they provide to their subscribers.

24. Accordingly, we have adopted requirements that Access BPL systems incorporate capabilities to modify their systems' operations and performance to mitigate or avoid potential harmful interference to radio services and to deactivate specific units found to actually cause harmful interference that cannot be remedied through modification of their operations as proposed, but with certain modifications. Our approach in specifying these requirements is to provide Access BPL equipment manufacturers and operators with flexibility to design and implement a broad range of products and system designs to meet particular service and operational needs while ensuring that systems have the capabilities to make operational changes to avoid any interference that may arise. The specific provisions of the mitigation requirements and the comments that concern them are addressed below. We also see no basis for subjecting Access BPL systems to requirements for addressing interference complaints that are different and more stringent than our procedures for addressing interference from other types of unlicensed devices. In this regard, we will continue to subject Access BPL systems to the procedures of Sec. 15.5(c) of the rules. Under this rule, parties who believe they are experiencing interference from an unlicensed device are first expected to bring the matter to the attention of the operator of the unlicensed device. If that action does not resolve the interference, the party may then seek intervention by the Commission.

25. To be more specific, in the event a BPL interference complaint is filed by a licensee with the Commission, the Commission will contact the complainant and/or the BPL provider to determine if they have first attempted to resolve the interference complaint among themselves. If they have not made such an attempt, the complaint will be forwarded to the BPL provider for action and the complainant notified that they will be contacted by the BPL provider concerning their interference complaint. The Commission may periodically monitor the resolution process to ensure that the parties are working in good faith and making appropriate progress in resolving the interference complaint. If the parties have attempted to address the complaint but the matter remains

unresolved, the Commission, through its Enforcement Bureau with assistance from the Office of Engineering and Technology will review the complaint and take appropriate action. In general, the Commission will contact the BPL operator and request information on what steps they have taken to address the licensee's complaint. If these actions are deemed insufficient to resolve the interference complaint, the Commission will instruct the BPL operator to take immediate remedial actions, such as ``notching'' or avoiding specific frequencies, or ceasing operations. In specific instances, the Commission may undertake field testing and measurements to address interference complaints and determine the most appropriate remedial action.

26. Frequency Avoidance. We conclude that the most appropriate approach regarding mitigation techniques that involve altering system operation is to require that Access BPL systems incorporate the capability to avoid the use of specific frequency bands. The ability to alter a system's operation to notch-out transmissions from specific frequencies where interference is occurring is a necessary feature for resolving interference without disrupting service to BPL subscribers. While other mitigation capabilities, such as adaptive or commanded power control, are desirable system features that can serve to reduce interference potential, they generally would provide a much lower degree of benefit in eliminating interference than frequency avoidance. Limiting the requirement for operational modification capabilities to frequency avoidance is also consistent with our intent to minimize the impact of these requirements on manufacturers and system operators so as preserve their flexibility to design products and systems that will best meet the needs of Access BPL subscribers.

27. In considering specifications for the notching requirement, we find that the most important consideration is to ensure that the notch provides enough filtering to effectively reduce the potential for interference. Our experience in examining the field performance of various Access BPL operations indicates that at frequencies below 30 MHz, a notching capability that provides at least a 20 dB reduction of Access BPL emissions below the current applicable part 15 emission limits is adequate to resolve interference occurrences that might result to mobile reception from such operations. In this regard, we will generally assume that a 20 dB notch is sufficient to resolve any harmful interference that might occur to mobile operations, given the low signal levels allowed under the part 15 emission limits and the fact that a mobile transceiver can readily be re-positioned to provide some separation from the Access BPL operation. The interference potential from emissions at this low level would be limited to a very short range from an Access BPL device or a power line on which Access BPL transmissions are carried. We also believe that notching at this level with some distance separation will generally avoid interference to fixed operations, including those that use more sensitive receivers. Above 30 MHz we believe that a notching capability of at least 10 dB is sufficient to provide the same level of protection, given the more stringent part 15 emission limits that apply to Access BPL transmissions above 30 MHz and the increased attenuation of emissions

that occurs from propagation losses as the frequency of operation increases. With regard to NAS/CORF's requests for protection of radioastronomy, we note that special protections are provided for radioastronomy in the exclusion zones and consultation requirements for Access BPL.

28. We do not believe it is necessary to specify the bandwidth over which the notching capability must function. Rather, we will adopt the more general requirement that Access BPL systems must be capable of avoiding transmissions in any frequency band or bands in order to eliminate any instances of interference with the operations of licensed radio services. We therefore are amending our rules to require that Access BPL devices have the capability to reduce emissions by at least 20 dB below the part 15 emission limits in frequency bands below 30 MHz and 10 dB below those limits in frequency bands 30 MHz and above. We believe that these provisions adequately set forth the structure of the required capabilities for modifying the operation of an Access BPL system. We are not specifying requirements for use of the frequency avoidance capabilities. Rather, we believe that system operators should have the discretion to use this capability or any other alternative available to them as they might deem appropriate to resolve specific situations involving interference that they may encounter in the course of their operations.

29. We do not find any justification for a requirement that Access BPL operators notch the frequencies of any or all of those services that use frequencies in the HF and low VHF

[[Page 1367]]

bands. We believe that the emission limits, consultation areas, excluded bands, exclusion zones, and the requirement that Access BPL systems not cause interference, are generally sufficient to control the interference potential of these systems. The required notching capability will enable a system operator to address any specific instances of interference that might otherwise arise. We also decline to adopt a rule requiring transmission of identification codes. As NTIA states, such codes could increase the potential for interference from Access BPL operations. We also do not believe that it would be practicable for ARINC or any other operator to identify an Access BPL system as the source of interference and contact its operator on a real time basis to resolve the interference. As NTIA indicates in its letter of September 24, 2004, no practical method has been identified for Access BPL systems to transmit an identifying code. We believe that the Access BPL notification requirements will provide sufficient information to locate and mitigate interference.

30. Shut Down Requirement. We continue to believe that Access BPL equipment and systems should have the capability to deactivate individual system components. This feature will allow systems to deactivate limited portions of their plant so that localized interference problems can be addressed without affecting service to all of their subscribers. As a secondary benefit, the shut-down feature will allow system operators to rapidly diagnose whether their

operations are causing reported interference. We are also requiring that the shut-down feature in individual devices be remote-controllable from the central system operations facility or other appropriate location. This will allow rapid response to resolve interference in any emergency or other urgent situation that might arise. We also agree with Progress Energy the required shut-down capability should be manually controlled. Moreover, we have no record on which to base a decision on the conditions under which an automated capability would be activated. We also recognize that, depending on how it would be triggered, an automated shut-down capability could unnecessarily have detrimental effects on a power utility service's operations in addition to disrupting broadband service to its Access BPL customers.

31. It is not our intention that a service shut-down be the first step in a system operator's response to a valid interference complaint. As suggested by several of the commenting parties, we would anticipate that shut-down would be a last resort when all other efforts to satisfactorily reduce interference have failed. We disagree with Ameren that the shut-down requirement will add unnecessary costs and complexity to Access BPL equipment. As NTIA and our own field testing indicate, most Access BPL systems and equipment already include the capability to shut down specific components of their operation. Accordingly, we are requiring that Access BPL systems incorporate features that will allow the deactivation of individual components on a remote-controlled basis, to be implemented. We reiterate, however, that the Commission, through a duly authorized representative, is the sole authority that may direct an Access BPL operator to cease operating any of its devices to eliminate interference.

Access BPL Notification and Database Requirements

32. We believe that the Access BPL notification and database requirements proposed in the NPRM are appropriate and sufficient to ensure that any potential interference to licensed services from BPL operations can be adequately identified and quickly addressed. The primary intent of our notification and database requirements is to ensure that licensed users of the spectrum have a publicly accessible and centralized source of information on BPL operations to determine whether there may be Access BPL operations on particular frequencies within their local area so that any incident of harmful interference can be resolved should it occur. The information contained in the notification database need only be sufficient to determine whether there may be a BPL operation in the local area, the nature of the BPL operations, whether the BPL system is operating on frequencies that could potentially be a source of harmful interference to the licensed user and to identify an appropriate contact person who can work directly with the complainant to resolve the harmful interference if it is determined to be caused by the local BPL operations. Additional or more detailed relevant information needed by a radio operator could be requested via the contact person indicated in the data base, as appropriate.

33. We therefore are adopting rules that will require the BPL

industry to establish within July 6, 2005, a centralized publicly accessible Access BPL notification database. We note that two organizations have indicated their willingness to perform this task and that the issue of ``independence'' of the database manager has been raised by some of the commenting parties. The responsibilities and duties of the database manager are to maintain complete, accurate and timely records of FCC-mandated information. We are not requiring, as some parties have suggested, that the database manager be involved in, monitor, or manage the interference resolution process. The party responsible for avoiding interference is clearly the Access BPL operator and his responsibilities are clearly set forth in the existing procedures under Sec. 15.5(c) of the Commission rules. We therefore do not find that the database manager need be an ``independent'' third-party with no relationship to the BPL or utility industry and are not adopting such a requirement.

34. With regard to the information to be included in the database, we adopted rules that will require the Access BPL operator to provide the BPL industry designated database manager with the following information 30 days prior to initiation of any operation or service: (1) The name of the Access BPL provider; (2) the frequencies of the Access BPL operation; (3) the postal zip codes served by the specific Access BPL operation; (4) the manufacturer and type of Access BPL equipment being deployed (i.e., FCC ID); (5) point of contact information (both telephone and e-mail address) for interference inquiries and resolution; and (6) the proposed/or actual date of Access BPL operation. The database manager shall be required to enter this information into the publicly accessible database within 3 business days of receipt. This will allow some period of time for the database manager and BPL provider to address any questions with regard to information submitted and to ensure that information entered into the database is correct. We believe that the above information provides sufficient specificity for identifying potential interference while at the same time avoiding valid concerns that sensitive information on critical infrastructure not be revealed.

35. We believe that using zip codes, as suggested by Southern, would sufficiently identify the area of Access BPL deployment without revealing specific sensitive information and would facilitate a more organized approach to identification and resolution of harmful interference. We note that zip codes are easily understood and can be identified by both licensees and BPL operators. With regard to those parties that request more comprehensive information, we do not find that benefits of providing such information in the database would

[[Page 1368]]

outweigh the substantial cost of collecting and reporting this additional information. We note, for example, that NTIA's proposals to require information on modulation types, number of carriers, range of transmission duty cycle, minimum and maximum carrier spacing, symbol rates per carrier, etc., would provide little additional guidance on whether interference were being caused in a particular instance as

compared to the more simple requirement of identifying BPL operating frequencies. In addition, we are requiring that BPL equipment must be certified and therefore more detailed technical information will be available through our equipment authorization files for those parties desiring such information. We also are not requiring Access BPL operators to have multi-lingual contact persons. We believe that requiring both telephone and e-mail contact information is sufficient to address interference inquiries. We are also not requiring that telephone contact positions be staffed 24 hours per day and seven days a week. We believe that our emission requirements and other mitigation rules will ensure that interference is generally avoided. We believe that telephone contact staffing during normal business hours is sufficient and also note that e-mail would generally allow interference reports to be filed at any time.

36. We expect the Access BPL operators and licensees to cooperate in good faith to identify and resolve instances of harmful interference. We require the notification database for Access BPL operators to notify the operation of its devices and systems to facilitate the speedy resolution of interference. Speedy resolution of interference will not result if the database information on Access BPL deployments is abused and the BPL operators are deluged with frivolous interference complaints. We expect the Access BPL operators to take every complaint of interference seriously and to diagnose the possible cause of interference quickly. At the same time, we expect the complainant to have first taken reasonable steps to confirm that interference rather than a receiver system malfunction is occurring and, to the extent practicable, to determine that the interference source is located outside the complainant's premises. We expect both parties to cooperate to determine a mutually acceptable schedule to diagnose and resolve the interference complaint, recognizing that the Access BPL operator may have to prioritize any complaints of interference that it receives (e.g., from a public safety agency). With regard to public safety operations, however, we will require that the BPL operator respond to complaints of harmful interference from public safety users within 24 hours; the BPL provider shall be required to immediately cease the operations causing the public safety complaint if it fails to respond to such complaint within 24 hours. Any complaints of interference that are not resolved in accordance with the mutually agreed schedule may be filed with the Commission along with the particulars of the interference case. Upon receipt of the interference complaint, the Commission will investigate the complaint and take action against the Access BPL operator if it is found to be causing harmful interference. If, on the other hand the Commission uses its resources to investigate an interference complaint that is found to be frivolous, the Commission will impose appropriate sanctions for abuse of its administrative process.

Measurement Guidelines

Access BPL Systems

37. We find the extensive measurement and modeling efforts presented in the NTIA Phase 1 Study and the Technical Appendix to NTIA's comments to be highly useful in our efforts to develop appropriate measurement procedures for Access BPL. The scientific engineering in those submissions clarifies the interference potential of Access BPL on radio reception and the recommended techniques for measurement of Access BPL emissions provide us with a well thought-out plan on which to base our decisions on measurement issues. Our decision, takes into account NTIA's research and adopts a modified version of its recommendations.
38. We find that our proposed measurement procedure for testing Access BPL systems including the presence and testing of all of their electronic components to be reasonable as each component is part of the Access system of that installation. We do not agree with Southern that the testing should be limited to three representative signal injection points. Southern believes that the highest levels of emissions on overhead systems are found at the signal injection point, and it states that the biggest variable affecting emissions is impedance mismatch between the signal injection system and the power system at the point of injection, which could be a coupler or a repeater. We agree with Southern that each injection point affects the radiated emissions. However, Southern's suggestion of selecting only representative signal injection points precludes the presence of other components, e.g., booster, concentrator, extractor, etc. if they should be together at an installation to make up the complete Access BPL system. Our requirement for a typical installation takes into account the topology of the power lines and of all Access BPL devices at that installation, thus choosing only representative injection points, as Southern recommends, does not cover the installation as a whole. Accordingly, we will keep our proposed in-situ requirements for including and testing all components of an Access BPL system. We also find that our measurement procedure for testing Access BPL systems in-situ at three typical underground locations along a number of radials consistent with testing other part 15 carrier current devices. The selection of three typical underground installations is a streamlined procedure, compared to testing each and every installation, as recommended by some parties; therefore we do not agree with Main.Net that only one underground location should be tested. We discuss below the issues with respect to measuring radiated emissions from Access BPL systems on overhead power lines.
39. Measurement Distance. Despite the stated aversion of NTIA and ARRL to distance extrapolation, we recognize that at many in-situ test locations, it may not be possible or practicable to measure at the proposed fixed distances of 10 and 3 meters. If a 10-meter distance places the measurement antenna on a roadway, safety may dictate increasing the distance to, e.g., 14 meters in order to position the testers out of harm's way. Hence, we expect that distance extrapolation will be necessary for in-situ testing. We note that NTIA's latest computer modeling results show that the variation of field strength with distance is consistent with the existing part 15 distance extrapolation when used with the slant range distance to the power line as was proposed in Appendix C of the NPRM. We also note that although

the ARRL and ARINC recommend the use of a 20 dB per decade extrapolation factor rather than the existing 40 dB per decade in part 15 for frequencies below 30 MHz, Ameren states that it has determined the characteristics of the fields near the line support the case for assuming a 40 dB per decade decay rate of the field away from the line and recommends the use of the existing 40 dB per decade extrapolation factor. Given the lack of conclusive

[[Page 1369]]

experimental data pending large scale Access BPL deployments, we will continue the use of the existing part 15 distance extrapolation factors in our rules, but with the slant range rather than horizontal distance. If new information becomes available that alternative emission limit/distance standards or extrapolation factors would be more appropriate, we will revisit this issue at another time.

40. Receive Antenna Height and Correction Factor. NTIA expresses a possible need for ``adjustments'' to measured data due to three factors: (1) Effect of antenna height, (2) effect of distance (extrapolation methods), and (3) effect of using an H-field sensing antenna to predict E-fields in the near-field region. However, NTIA initially provided a specific recommendation regarding only one of these issues--correction for the effect of antenna height. Our modeling suggests that there is a linkage between these factors. We believe that all three areas must be considered together in order to develop appropriate measurement procedures. Furthermore, NTIA's recommendation for a 5 dB correction factor is based on a constant measurement antenna height of 1 meter. On the other hand, for frequencies above 30 MHz, our current measurement guidelines require varying the receive antenna height from 1 to 4 meters, hence higher peaks at a higher antenna height would be found with our test procedure, obviating the need for a height correction factor at those frequencies. However, we recognize that NTIA's method of keeping the antenna height constant and applying a height correction factor is aimed at simplifying the measurement procedure; hence, this might be an alternative testing procedure that BPL providers may actually prefer. The Commission's rules have historically allowed the use of alternative methods for compliance measurements, based on good engineering practices. In deference to NTIA's extensive work culminating in the NTIA recommendations in this proceeding, we will adopt NTIA's recommendations for antenna height and correction factor as an alternative method within the measurement guidelines of Appendix C of the Report and Order. We note however that the methods are mutually exclusive, i.e., the BPL tester must choose either the NTIA alternative method or the FCC method, and cannot mix and match the two.

41. Type of Antenna Used for Testing. Given NTIA's concurrence with the use of a magnetic loop antenna for emission measurements below 30 MHz and electric field sensing antennas above 30 MHz, we are adopting our proposal to use these antenna types in Access BPL emission measurements. This decision is consistent with the use of such antennas for testing other types of part 15 devices.

42. Effects of Power Lines on the Radiated Emissions of a BPL Device. We are concerned that NTIA's recommendation for performing field strength measurements all along a 1200-meter section of the connected power line wiring would be difficult and burdensome for Access BPL system operation. In this regard, we note that such a process could be time-consuming and would require many individual measurements, when power wiring may be many miles long, and the interval between measurements may have to be a small fraction of a wavelength in order to ensure that the true peak is captured. It is clear from the modeling results presented by NTIA that the maximum emission from the system often occurs further down-line from the coupler than the one-wavelength maximum distance proposed in Appendix C of the NPRM. However, it is also clear from the NTIA data that the true maximum is not significantly larger than the maximum that would be found over the limited search space that we proposed. We understand the concerns of Ameren, Southern, and other BPL providers regarding an overly large number of necessary measurements, which could increase the costs of compliance testing. We therefore believe that the approach in our proposed measurement guidelines strikes an appropriate balance in avoiding a potentially very large number of measurements by allowing the use of the mid-band frequency in determining measurement distances down-line for a given frequency band of operation. We also note that, at each of the five specified down-line points, measurements must be made at all operating frequencies of the Access BPL device, in order to find the peaks.

43. We concur with NTIA's recommendation that measurements be made sequentially with the Access BPL devices operating at all frequencies at which they are capable. This is consistent with existing part 15 requirements, and with our proposed measurement guidelines. It is important that radiated emissions be measured at all operating frequencies to find the peaks. We also concur with NTIA's recommendation that measurements be made using the maximum possible BPL device output power and operational duty factor. We disagree with Progress Energy that emission measurements should be performed with the Access BPL equipment power levels set for normal operations at that site, and not at the maximum levels. Testing at the BPL maximum output power and operational duty factor is necessary to ensure identification of the maximum field strength that the device is capable of generating. The measurement report and operating instructions must clearly state the maximum output power and duty factor settings necessary to certify that the installed device will comply with our limits. However, because the same device might be used on either overhead or underground power lines having different radiating properties, we are not requiring that the device be modified to prevent operation at higher power levels and duty cycle settings. Furthermore, Access BPL devices must comply with our limits upon power-up following a fault condition, or during a start-up operation after a shut-off procedure, by the use of a non-volatile memory, or some other method, to immediately restore previous settings with programmed notches and excluded bands. This is necessary to avoid the situation where programmed protection schemes, such as

excluded bands and notches, have to be restored manually, thus leaving protected licensed services vulnerable during the time delay caused by a manual re-programming procedure.

44. Based on the foregoing, we believe that our proposed measurement guidelines that require selection of fractional wavelengths based on mid-band frequency for down-line measurements strike an appropriate balance between the need to ensure compliance with the rules and practical considerations of the burden and degree of measurement difficulty placed on system operators, and that our requirements for testing at maximum output power and operational duty factor and requirement for clear identification of maximum compliant operating levels will ensure that devices comply under all conditions. Accordingly, we are adopting the measurement guidelines in Appendix C of the NPRM, modified to incorporate some of NTIA's recommendations, as discussed in the Report and Order.

45. Selection of Representative Installations. Although we concur with NTIA that the selection of typical Access BPL installations for in-situ measurements must be made in a careful manner, taking into account the various configurations of the power lines to select a typical, representative installation, we will not require specific criteria for site selection process, because this may limit the number of test sites which may actually be more typical in a specific provider's service area than those recommended by NTIA.

[[Page 1370]]

We find that our proposed guidelines for three typical overhead installations and three typical underground installations are reasonable to cover a number of test sites in deployment. We also find that by requiring Access BPL devices to be certified by the equipment manufacturer, the concerns of Progress Energy regarding Access BPL installation sites with multiple vendors' equipment no longer exist because the responsibility for site selection to test for equipment certification purposes rests with the Access BPL manufacturer and not with the utility. We are however recommending that the utility operator verify that each representative Access BPL site complies following the installation of a separately certified Access BPL equipment. In such cases, the selection of the test site should be based on the characteristics of the installation and not on vendor's equipment types. Additionally, we concur with Southern and UPLC that NTIA's recommendation in the NTIA Phase 1 Study of requiring a representative power line of 600 meters devoid of discontinuities is impractical, because of the difficulty of finding such a line. Accordingly, in the absence of more specific input, we will not require the selection of such a specific type of power line.

46. Other requirements. We find that NTIA's recommendations regarding the various reporting requirements for the test report are satisfied by our adoption of the certification procedure for Access BPL equipment authorization. Information regarding the test conditions, spectrum distribution and other relevant technical specifications will be required in the certification report for the equipment, which will

be accessible through our equipment authorization database. We further find that NTIA's recommendation to embody requirements such as measurement distance, measurement bandwidth, etc. directly into the rules and not merely as guidelines, would not be consistent with our current practice of including measurement specifications in a separate guideline.

In-House Carrier Current Systems

47. We note that although CISPR is continuing to work on addressing emission issues that will apply to In-House BPL, no final recommendation has been adopted. We also note that most commenters in this proceeding address Access BPL, and not In-House BPL, issues. Measurements along the service wire leading to the house have been proposed because this wire can be one of the conduits for radiation coming from In-House BPL devices. We are sympathetic to HomePlug's concerns, however. To address HomePlug's concerns, we will allow measurements to be made at three different points along the wire, where the highest radiated emissions are found; these points would not need to be associated with specific wavelengths of the device's operating frequencies, if the installation under test does not include a service wire with a sufficient length for the required measurements. Moreover, testing is required on only one side of the service wire because radiation is nearly symmetrical on either side of the wire. The test report must provide documentation explaining the test configuration. As for the required clear space along the service wire, the guidelines do allow the test to be performed at 3 meters with a distance extrapolation factor when a 10-meter clearance is not available, hence we would expect that most residence configurations would not pose any clearance problem. Accordingly, we partially grant HomePlug's request and hereby adopt the guidelines for In-House BPL and all other in-house types of carrier current systems in Appendix C of this Report and Order.

Equipment Authorization

48. Upon careful consideration of the record, we find that Access BPL systems are not typical unintentional radiators, and that emission measurements for such systems in-situ are critical in determining their interference potential. We are persuaded by NTIA that the newness of the Access BPL measurement procedures warrants review of measurement reports. We therefore conclude that the Certification procedure is appropriate for this new technology to allow us to maintain oversight until additional operational experience is obtained from its wide deployment. While we appreciate NTIA's concerns for assigning responsibility with respect to Access BPL compliance, we do not find that the operator, rather than the Access BPL equipment manufacturer, should bear the burden of the certification requirement. Since a system operator does not control the manufacture of the equipment, it will not be in a position to control production to ensure that each unit marketed conforms to the unit tested for compliance. We believe that

the legal and business relationship between the system operator and the BPL manufacturer will be sufficient to ensure that Access BPL equipment installed on a power line be in compliance with our rules. We do, however, strongly recommend that operators perform initial installation and subsequent periodic testing on their systems in order to ensure that the systems maintain compliance with our emission limits.

49. Based on the foregoing, we are subjecting Access BPL to the certification procedure to be carried out by the equipment manufacturer. We are also clarifying that we are retaining the verification procedure for all carrier current systems other than Access BPL, because the verification procedure has been adequate to ensure that other types of carrier current systems comply with the part 15 rules.

50. We also specify that Access BPL certification will be initially performed by the Commission. In General Docket No. 98-68, we established the requirements for Telecommunication Certification Bodies (TCBs) that are allowed to approve equipment in the same manner as the Commission. In that proceeding, we stated that while we intended to use TCBs to certify a broad range of equipment, we found that certain functions should continue to be performed by the Commission. The functions included certifying new or unique equipment for which the rules or requirements do not exist or for which the application of the rules is not clear. Because Access BPL is a new technology and many questions about the application of the rules may arise, we believe that TCBs should not be permitted to certify Access BPL systems or approve permissive changes to Access BPL systems until the Chief of the Office of Engineering and Technology acting under the existing delegated authority announces that TCBs may certify Access BPL systems.

Miscellaneous

51. Transition Period and Grandfathering of Existing Access BPL Equipment. We note that the major differences between the existing part 15 rules for carrier current systems and the newly adopted rules in subpart G for Access BPL are (1) the type of equipment authorization procedure--Verification for existing carrier current systems, Certification for Access BPL systems; (2) the requirement for interference mitigation techniques and avoidance of excluded bands and exclusion zones for Access BPL systems; and (3) the requirement for an Access BPL database concurrent with consultation with licensed spectrum users. Insofar as existing deployed Access BPL systems can satisfy (2) and (3) by working with licensed spectrum users to avoid co-channel operations, and by being listed in the Access BPL database, the requirements of (1) can be satisfied by having compliance test data

[[Page 1371]]

available for inspection during the transition period. We clarify that after the transition period, all Access BPL devices that are manufactured, imported, marketed or installed shall comply with the requirements specified in subpart G of part 15, including certification

of the equipment.
52. We believe that it would be an undue burden on those operators who have deployed Access BPL systems to require their systems to come into compliance with the rules adopted herein, as long as the deployed equipment does not cause harmful interference and the operator takes the necessary steps to eliminate occurrences of harmful interference. We agree with Progress Energy that once a system has been installed and is operating within the limits and requirements in place when it was installed, the system should be allowed to remain in operation. We will, of course, require that all Access BPL systems and equipment comply with the non-interference rule of part 15, that is, there is not transition period for compliance with the emission limits, which we are not changing. We find that Access BPL equipment should be allowed a transition period for compliance with new rules, in the manner that we typically provide for other part 15 devices. This will minimize economic hardships on manufacturers by allowing them, during the transition period, to continue producing and selling existing equipment while modifying their products to meet the new requirements. If an Access BPL device does not cause harmful interference, it can continue to operate until its natural replacement, unless the equipment is subsequently modified, at which time it must be brought into compliance with the new rules. We believe that a transition time frame of 18 months is adequate as this represents the typical high tech equipment life cycle. Accordingly, we are adopting a cut-off date of 18 months from the date of publication of this Report and Order. All Access BPL devices that are manufactured, imported, marketed or installed July 7, 2006 shall comply with the requirements specified in subpart G of this part, including certification of the equipment. Access BPL equipment manufactured, imported, marketed and installed prior to this date shall comply with the requirements that were in effect immediately prior to the effective date of this Report and Order.
53. Separate Rule Part for Access BPL Systems. NTIA and IEEE 802.18 advocate the creation of a new, dedicated rule part or a separate subpart of part 15 for Access BPL systems, because many of the adopted rules will be unique to Access BPL. We find that the complete separation of the rules for Access BPL equipment from part 15 inadvisable due to possible confusion and repetition of requirements in two places, as Access BPL equipment must comply with the general requirements for unlicensed devices of subparts A-C of the existing part 15 rules. We do, however, find that requirements specific to Access BPL equipment warrant the creation of a separate subpart of part 15. Accordingly, we are adding subpart G to part 15 of our rules which will contain unique requirements for Access BPL equipment, with cross reference to other applicable subparts.
54. Motions and Requests. We have received several motions and requests for additional extensions of time and for reiteration of proposals to take into account information added to the record since the NPRM. We are generally considering the substance of these motions and requests as filed comments, and denying the specific procedural remedies requested, as they offer no new information or arguments

sufficient to justify procedural delays, nor do they raise issues beyond those already explicitly or implicitly included in the record and capable of full consideration in this Order.

Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

55. As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (``RFA''),\1\ an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (``IRFA'') was incorporated in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making (``NPRM'') in this proceeding, ET Docket Nos. 04-37 & 03-104. The Commission sought written public comment on the proposals in the NPRM, including comment on the IRFA. This Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (``FRFA'') conforms to the RFA.\2\

\1\ See 5 U.S.C. 603. The RFA, see 5 U.S.C. 601-612, has been amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), Pub. L. 104-121, Title II, 110 Stat. 857 (1996).
\2\ See 5 U.S.C. 604.

A. Need for, and Objectives of, the Report and Order

56. By this action, the Commission amends part 15 of the rules for radio frequency (RF) devices regarding Access Broadband over Power Line (Access BPL), a new type of carrier current system that operates on an unlicensed basis under part 15. Access BPL systems use existing electrical power lines as a transmission medium to provide high-speed communications capabilities by coupling RF energy onto the power line. Given that power lines reach virtually every residence and business in every community and geographic area in this country, Access BPL service could be made available nearly everywhere. This new broadband delivery medium could also serve to introduce additional competition to existing cable, DSL, and other broadband services. At the same time, we recognize the concerns of authorized radio services in both the private and government sectors for the need to ensure that RF energy from BPL signals on power lines does not cause harmful interference to licensed radio services. Our goals in developing the rules for Access BPL therefore are to provide a framework that will both facilitate the rapid introduction and development of BPL systems and protect licensed radio services from harmful interference. Specifically, we adopted in the Report and Order: (1) New operational requirements for Access BPL to promote avoidance and resolution of harmful interference, (2) new administrative requirements to aid in identifying Access BPL installations; and (3) specific measurement guidelines and certification requirements to allow accurate and repeatable evaluations of emissions from Access BPL and all other carrier current systems. These actions will further the development of BPL systems by removing regulatory uncertainties for BPL operators and equipment manufacturers and facilitate the continued deployment of these new broadband networks while ensuring that licensed radio services are protected from harmful interference. The record and our investigations indicate that BPL

network systems can generally be configured and managed to minimize and/or eliminate this interference potential.

B. Summary of Significant Issues Raised by Public Comments in Response to the IRFA

57. No comments were filed in response to the IRFA.

C. Description and Estimate of the Number of Small Entities to Which the Rules Will Apply

58. The RFA directs agencies to provide a description of, and, where feasible, an estimate of, the number of small entities that may be affected by the rules adopted herein.\3\ The RFA generally defines the term ``small entity'' as having the same meaning as the terms ``small business,'' ``small organization,'' and ``small governmental jurisdiction.'' \4\ In addition, the term

[[Page 1372]]

``small business'' has the same meaning as the term ``small business concern'' under the Small Business Act.\5\ A ``small business concern'' is one which: (1) Is independently owned and operated; (2) is not dominant in its field of operation; and (3) satisfies any additional criteria established by the Small Business Administration (SBA).\6\

\3\ 5 U.S.C. 604(a)(3).
\4\ 5 U.S.C. 601(6).
\5\ 5 U.S.C. 601(3) (incorporating by reference the definition of ``small-business concern'' in the Small Business Act, 15 U.S.C. 632). Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 601(3), the statutory definition of a small business applies ``unless an agency, after consultation with the Office of Advocacy of the Small Business Administration and after opportunity for public comment, establishes one or more definitions of such term which are appropriate to the activities of the agency and publishes such definition(s) in the Federal Register.''
\6\ 15 U.S.C. 632.

---

59. The rules adopted in the Report and Order pertain to manufacturers of unlicensed communications devices. The appropriate small business size standard is that which the SBA has established for radio and television broadcasting and wireless communications equipment manufacturing. This category encompasses entities that primarily manufacture radio, television, and wireless communications equipment.\7\ Under this standard, firms are considered small if they have 750 or fewer employees.\8\ Census Bureau data for 1997 indicate that, for that year, there were a total of 1,215 establishments \9\ in this category.\10\ Of those, there were 1,150 that had employment under 500, and an additional 37 that had employment of 500 to 999. The percentage of wireless equipment manufacturers in this category is

approximately 61.35%,\11\ so the Commission estimates that the number of wireless equipment manufacturers with employment under 500 was actually closer to 706, with an additional 23 establishments having employment of between 500 and 999. Given the above, the Commission estimates that the great majority of wireless communications equipment manufacturers are small businesses.

\7\ NAICS code 334220.
\8\ Id.
\9\ The number of ``establishments'' is a less helpful indicator of small business prevalence in this context than would be the number of ``firms'' or ``companies,'' because the latter take into account the concept of common ownership or control. Any single physical location for any entity is an establishment, even though that location may be owned by a different establishment. Thus, the numbers given may reflect inflated numbers of businesses in this category, including the numbers of small businesses. In this category, the Census breaks-out data for firms or companies only to give the total number of such entities for 1997, which was 1,089.
\10\ U.S. Census Bureau, 1997 Economic Census, Industry Series: Manufacturing, ``Industry Statistics by Employment Size,'' Table 4, NAICS code 334220 (issued August 1999).
\11\ Id. Table 5, ``Industry Statistics by Industry and Primary Product Class Specialization: 1997.''

D. Description of Projected Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance Requirements

60. Although a large number of part 15 radio frequency devices are already required to be authorized under the Commission's Certification, Declaration of Conformity, or Verification procedures as a prerequisite to marketing and importation, the adopted rules add a slight amount of new testing and reporting requirements, to ensure protection of licensed spectrum users from harmful interference. These requirements include the proposed technical requirement for adaptive interference mitigation capabilities and the proposed notification of Access BPL systems in a database similar to the one required for existing Power Line Carrier systems. The major differences between the existing part 15 rules for carrier current systems and the newly adopted rules in subpart G for Access BPL are (1) the type of equipment authorization procedure,--Verification for existing carrier current systems, Certification for Access BPL systems; (2) the requirement for interference mitigation techniques and avoidance of excluded bands and exclusion zones for Access BPL systems; and (3) the requirement for an Access BPL database concurrent with consultation with licensed spectrum users. Because Access BPL systems operate in the High Frequency (HF) and in the low Very High Frequency (VHF) of the spectrum, they must co-exist with numerous private and governmental authorized radio services. As such, they present concerns for these licensed users, given the

propagation characteristics of radio frequency signals in these ranges of frequencies, the diversity of users of these frequencies, and the fact that Access BPL devices will be installed at many locations in an area, primarily over unshielded power lines. However, the record and our own investigations indicate that BPL network systems can generally be configured and managed to minimize and/or eliminate this interference potential, through the use of consultation with licensed services and identification of installed Access BPL equipment in a database, as well as the adoption of precise measurement procedures. The adopted certification procedure for Access BPL systems will therefore help provide a more detailed record of their characteristics toward this objective.

61. Although the adopted rules do somewhat increase the reporting and recordkeeping requirements for Access BPL systems, the benefit of ensuring protection to critical systems operated by law enforcement groups, government users and emergency operations outweighs this small cost that will permit the growth of Access BPL in the shared spectrum.

E. Steps Taken To Minimize Significant Economic Impact on Small Entities, and Significant Alternatives Considered

62. The RFA requires an agency to describe any significant alternatives that it has considered in reaching its proposed approach, which may include the following four alternatives: (1) The establishment of differing compliance or reporting requirements or timetables that take into account the resources available to small entities; (2) the clarification, consolidation, or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements under the rule for small entities; (3) the use of performance, rather than design standards; and (4) an exemption from coverage of the rule, or any part thereof, for small entities.

63. In the Report and Order, we maintained the existing part 15 emission limits, which are applicable to all part 15 devices, including BPL. We have adopted new measurement guidelines for BPL and existing carrier current systems, to assist manufacturers and testing entities to follow clearer and more precise measurement procedures in the testing of BPL and carrier current systems (CCS), which will help in eliminating confusion and repetitive and costly compliance testing. Although we changed the equipment authorization procedure from Verification to Certification for Access BPL systems, this is because Access BPL systems operate in a different environment than other unlicensed part 15 devices and to avoid overburdening the information that would otherwise be required to be submitted into the Access BPL database. We have adopted a simple Access BPL database format for the notification of Access BPL systems, rather than a complex one with all-inclusive and more comprehensive information. We have narrowed down the list of absolutely necessary licensed entities that Access BPL providers must consult with prior to operating in their bands, as well as the list of exclusion zones and excluded frequency bands in which Access BPL are prohibited from operating. We have provided a generous time frame for a transition period, thus allowing existing systems to

continue to operate, as long as they do not cause harmful interference to other authorized radio services. Finally, the rules will

[[Page 1373]]

apply equally to large and small entities. Therefore, there is no inequitable impact on small entities.
64. We believe that the rules adopted are equitable, balancing the critical needs of licensed radio users for protection against harmful interference, with facilitating the development of Access BPL by removing regulatory uncertainties. For the reasons stated we find that the rule changes contained in this Report and Order will not present a significant economic burden to small entities.

Report to Congress

65. The Commission will send a copy of the Report and Order, including this FRFA, in a report to Congress pursuant to the Congressional Review Act.\12\ In addition, the Commission will send a copy of the Report and Order, including the FRFA, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the SBA.\13\

\12\ See 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).
\13\ See 5 U.S.C. 604(b).

Ordering Clauses

66. Pursuant to the authority contained in Sections 4(i), 301, 302, 303(e), 303(f), and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Sections 154(i), 301, 302, 303(e), 303(f), and 303(r), part 15 of the Commission's Rules are amended, as specified in Appendix B in the Report and Order, effective 30 days after Federal Register publication, except for Sec. Sec. 15.615(a) through (e) which contains information collection requirements that are not effective until approved by the Office of Management and Budget. The FCC will publish a document in the Federal Register announcing the effective date for those sections.
67. The Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, shall send a copy of this Report and Order, including the Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 15

Communications equipment, Radio.

Federal Communications Commission.
William F. Caton,
Deputy Secretary.

Rule Changes

0
For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission amends 47 CFR part 15 as follows:

PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES

0
1. The authority citation for part 15 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 302, 303, 304, 307 and 544A.

0
2. Section 15.3 is amended by adding paragraphs (ff) and (gg) to read as follows:

Sec. 15.3 Definitions.

* * * * *
(ff) Access Broadband over Power Line (Access BPL). A carrier current system installed and operated on an electric utility service as an unintentional radiator that sends radio frequency energy on frequencies between 1.705 MHz and 80 MHz over medium voltage lines or over low voltage lines to provide broadband communications and is located on the supply side of the utility service's points of interconnection with customer premises. Access BPL does not include power line carrier systems as defined in Sec. 15.3(t) or In-House BPL as defined in Sec. 15.3(gg).
(gg) In-House Broadband over Power Line (In-House BPL). A carrier current system, operating as an unintentional radiator, that sends radio frequency energy by conduction over electric power lines that are not owned, operated or controlled by an electric service provider. The electric power lines may be aerial (overhead), underground, or inside the walls, floors or ceilings of user premises. In-House BPL devices may establish closed networks within a user's premises or provide connections to Access BPL networks, or both.

0
3. Section 15.15 is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

Sec. 15.15 General technical requirements.

* * * * *
(b) Except as follows, an intentional or unintentional radiator must be constructed such that the adjustments of any control that is readily accessible by or intended to be accessible to the user will not cause operation of the device in violation of the regulations. Access BPL equipment shall comply with the applicable standards at the control adjustment that is employed. The measurement report used in support of an application for Certification and the user instructions for Access BPL equipment shall clearly specify the user-or installer-control settings that are required for conformance with these regulations.
* * * * *

0
4. Section 15.31 is amended by revising paragraph (f)(5), to read as follows:

Sec. 15.31 Measurement standards.

* * * * *
(f) * * *
(5) Measurements shall be performed at a sufficient number of radials around the equipment under test to determine the radial at which the field strength values of the radiated emissions are maximized. The maximum field strength at the frequency being measured shall be reported in the equipment authorization report. This paragraph shall not apply to Access BPL equipment on overhead medium voltage lines. In lieu thereof, the measurement guidelines established by the Commission for Access BPL shall be followed.
* * * * *

0
5. Section 15.37 is amended by adding paragraph (m) to read as follows:

Sec. 15.37 Transition provisions for compliance with the rules.

* * * * *
(m) All Access BPL devices that are manufactured, imported, marketed or installed on or after July 7, 2006, shall comply with the requirements specified in subpart G of this part, including certification of the equipment.

0
6. Section 15.101 is amended by revising the table in paragraph (a) to read as follows:

Sec. 15.101 Equipment authorization of unintentional radiators.

(a) * * *

Type of device	Equipment authorization required
TV broadcast receiver	Verification.
FM broadcast receiver	Verification.
CB receiver	Declaration of Conformity or Certification.
Superregenerative receiver	Declaration of Conformity or Certification.

[[Page 1374]]

Scanning receiver	Certification.
Radar detector	Certification.
All other receivers subject to part 15	Declaration of Conformity or

Certification.
TV interface device..... Declaration of Conformity or
Certification.
Cable system terminal device..... Declaration of Conformity.
Stand-alone cable input selector switch. Verification.
Class B personal computers and Declaration of Conformity or
Certification.\1\
peripherals.
CPU boards and internal power supplies Declaration of Conformity or
Certification.\1\
used with Class B personal computers.
Class B personal computers assembled Declaration of Conformity.
using authorized CPU boards or power
supplies.
Class B external switching power Verification.
supplies.
Other Class B digital devices & Verification.
peripherals.
Class A digital devices, peripherals & Verification.
external switching power supplies.
Access Broadband over Power Line (Access Certification.
BPL).
All other devices..... Verification.
---

* * * * *

0
7. Part 15 is amended by adding a new Subpart G, to read as follows:

Subpart G--Access Broadband Over Power Line (Access BPL)

Sec.
15.601 Scope.
15.603 Definitions.
15.605 Cross reference.
15.607 Equipment authorization of Access BPL equipment.
15.609 Marketing of Access BPL equipment.
15.611 General technical requirements.
15.613 Measurement procedures.
15.615 General administrative requirements.

Sec. 15.601 Scope.

This subpart sets out the regulations for Access Broadband over
Power Line (Access BPL) devices operating in the 1.705-80 MHz band over
medium or low voltage lines.

Sec. 15.603 Definitions.

(a) Excluded Band: A band of frequencies within which Access BPL
operations are not permitted.

(b) Exclusion Zone: A geographical area within which Access BPL operations are not permitted in certain frequency bands.
(c) Consultation. The process of communication between an entity operating Access BPL and a licensed public safety or other designated point of contact for the purpose of avoiding potential harmful interference.
(d) Consultation area: A designated geographical area within which consultation with public safety users or other designated point of contact is required before an Access BPL may be operated at designated frequencies.
(e) Low Voltage power line. A power line carrying low voltage, e.g., 240/120 volts from a distribution transformer to a customer's premises.
(f) Medium Voltage power line. A power line carrying between 1,000 to 40,000 volts from a power substation to neighborhoods. Medium voltage lines may be overhead or underground, depending on the power grid network topology.
(g) Access BPL Database. A database operated by an industry-sponsored entity, recognized by the Federal Communications Commission and the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA), containing information regarding existing and planned Access BPL systems, as required in Sec. 15.615(a) of this chapter.

Sec. 15.605 Cross reference.

(a) The provisions of subparts A and B of this part apply to Access BPL devices, except where specifically noted. The provisions of subparts C through F of this part do not apply to Access BPL devices except where specifically noted.
(b) The requirements of this subpart apply only to the radio circuitry that is used to provide carrier current operation for the Access BPL device. Other aspects of the operation of an Access BPL device may be subject to requirements contained elsewhere in this chapter. In particular, an Access BPL device that includes digital circuitry that is not used solely to enable the operation of the radio frequency circuitry used to provide carrier current operation also is subject to the requirements for unintentional radiators in subpart B of this part.

Sec. 15.607 Equipment authorization of Access BPL equipment.

Access BPL equipment shall be subject to Certification as specified in Sec. 15.101.

Sec. 15.609 Marketing of Access BPL equipment.

The marketing of Access BPL equipment must be directed solely to parties eligible to operate the equipment. Eligible parties consist of AC power line public utilities, Access BPL service providers and associates of Access BPL service providers. The responsible party, as defined in Sec. 2.909 of this chapter, is responsible for ensuring that the equipment is marketed only to eligible parties. Marketing of

the equipment in any other manner may be considered grounds for revocation of the grant of certification issued for the equipment.

Sec. 15.611 General technical requirements.

(a) Conducted emission limits. Access BPL is not subject to the conducted emission limits of Sec. 15.107.
(b) Radiated emission limits. (1) Medium voltage power lines. (i) Access BPL systems that operate in the frequency range of 1.705 kHz to 30 MHz over medium voltage power lines shall comply with the radiated emission limits for intentional radiators provided in Sec. 15.209.
(ii) Access BPL systems that operate in the frequency range above 30 MHz over medium voltage power lines shall comply with the radiated emission limits provided in Sec. 15.109(b).
(2) Low voltage power lines. Access BPL systems that operate over low-voltage power lines, including those that operate over low-voltage lines that are connected to the in-building wiring, shall comply with the radiated emission limits provided in Sec. 15.109(a) and (e).
(c) Interference Mitigation and Avoidance. (1) Access BPL systems shall incorporate adaptive interference mitigation techniques to remotely reduce power and adjust operating frequencies, in order to avoid site-specific, local use of the same spectrum by licensed services. These techniques may include adaptive or ``notch'' filtering, or complete avoidance of frequencies, or bands of frequencies, locally used by licensed radio operations.
(i) For frequencies below 30 MHz, when a notch filter is used to avoid interference to a specific frequency band, the Access BPL system shall be

[[Page 1375]]

capable of attenuating emissions within that band to a level at least 20 dB below the applicable part 15 limits.
(ii) For frequencies above 30 MHz, when a notch filter is used to avoid interference to a specific frequency band, the Access BPL system shall be capable of attenuating emissions within that band to a level at least 10 dB below the applicable part 15 limits.
(2) Access BPL systems shall comply with applicable radiated emission limits upon power-up following a fault condition, or during a start-up operation after a shut-off procedure, by the use of a non-volatile memory, or some other method, to immediately restore previous settings with programmed notches and excluded bands, to avoid time delay caused by the need for manual re-programming during which protected services may be vulnerable.
(3) Access BPL systems shall incorporate a remote-controllable shut-down feature to deactivate, from a central location, any unit found to cause harmful interference, if other interference mitigation techniques do not resolve the interference problem.

Sec. 15.613 Measurement procedures.

Compliance measurements for Access BPL shall be made in accordance with the Guidelines for Access BPL systems specified by the Commission.

Sec. 15.615 General administrative requirements.

(a) Access BPL Database. Entities operating Access BPL systems shall supply to an industry-recognized entity, information on all existing Access BPL systems and all proposed Access BPL systems for inclusion into a publicly available data base, within 30 days prior to initiation of service. Such information shall include the following:
(1) The name of the Access BPL provider.
(2) The frequencies of the Access BPL operation.
(3) The postal zip codes served by the specific Access BPL operation.
(4) The manufacturer and type of Access BPL equipment and its associated FCC ID number, or, in the case of Access BPL equipment that has been subject to verification, the Trade Name and Model Number, as specified on the equipment label.
(5) The contact information, including both phone number and e-mail address of a person at, or associated with, the BPL operator's company, to facilitate the resolution of any interference complaint.
(6) The proposed/or actual date of Access BPL operation.
(b) The Access BPL database manager shall enter this information into the publicly accessible database within three (3) business days of receipt.
(c) No notification to the Commission is required.
(d) A licensed spectrum user experiencing harmful interference that is suspected to be caused by an Access BPL system shall inform the local BPL operator's contact person designated in the Access BPL database. The investigation of the reported interference and the resolution of confirmed harmful interference from the Access BPL system shall be successfully completed by the BPL operator within a reasonable time period according to a mutually acceptable schedule, after the receipt of an interference complaint, in order to avoid protracted disruptions to licensed services. The Access BPL operator shall respond to complaints of harmful interference from public safety users within 24 hours. With regard to public safety complaints, the BPL provider shall be required to immediately cease the operations causing such complaint if it fails to respond within 24 hours.
(e) Consultation with public safety users. An entity operating an Access BPL system shall notify and consult with the public safety users in the area where it plans to deploy Access BPL, at least 30 days prior to initiation of any operation or service. This entity shall design or implement the Access BPL system such that it does not cause harmful interference in those frequencies or bands used by the public safety agencies in the area served by the Access BPL system. The notification shall include, at a minimum, the information in paragraph (a) of this section.
(f) Federal government spectrum users and other radio service users. An entity operating an Access BPL system shall ensure that, within its Access BPL deployment area, its system does not operate on any frequencies designated as excluded bands or on identified

frequencies within any designated exclusion zones.
(1) Excluded Bands. To protect Aeronautical (land) stations and aircraft receivers, Access BPL operations using overhead medium voltage power lines are prohibited in the frequency bands listed in Table 1. Specifically, such BPL systems shall not place carrier frequencies in these bands.

Table 1.--Excluded Frequency Bands

Frequency band
2,850-3,025 kHz
3,400-3,500 kHz
4,650-4,700 kHz
5,450-5,680 kHz
6,525-6,685 kHz
8,815-8,965 kHz
10,005-10,100 kHz
11,275-11,400 kHz
13,260-13,360 kHz
17,900-17,970 kHz
21,924-22,000 kHz
74.8-75.2 MHz

(2) Exclusion zones. Exclusion zones encompass the operation of any Access BPL system within 1 km of the boundary of coast station facilities at the coordinates listed in Tables 2 and 2.1. Exclusion zones also encompass the operation of Access BPL systems using overhead medium voltage power lines within 29 km of the coordinates for the ten Very Long Baseline Array facilities listed in Allocation US311. Exclusion zones further encompass the operation of Access BPL systems using overhead low voltage power lines or underground power lines within 11 km of the coordinates for the ten Very Long Baseline Array facilities listed in Allocation US311. Within the exclusion zones for coast stations, Access BPL systems shall not use carrier frequencies within the band of 2173.5-2190.5 kHz. Within the exclusion zone for Very Long Baseline Array radio astronomy observatories, Access BPL systems shall not use carrier frequencies within the 73.0-74.6 MHz band.
(i) Existing coast station facilities. Access BPL systems shall not operate in the frequency band 2,173.5-2,190.5 kHz, within 1 kilometer (km) of the boundary of coast station facilities at the coordinates listed in Tables 2 and 2.1. BPL operators planning to deploy Access BPL devices at these frequencies in areas within these exclusion zones as defined above shall consult with the appropriate point of contact for these coast stations to ensure harmful interference is prevented at these facilities.
Point of contact: Commandant (CG 622), U.S. Coast Guard, 2100 2nd Street, SW., Washington, DC 20593-0001, Telephone: (202) 267-2860, e-mail: cgcomms@comdt.uscg.mil <mailto:cgcomms@comdt.uscg.mil>.

Table 2.--Exclusion Zones for U.S. Coast Guard Coast Stations

Locale	Latitude	Longitude
Group Guam	13[deg]35'23'' N	144[deg]50'24'' E
GANTSEC	18[deg]18'00'' N	65[deg]46'59'' W
Puerto Rico	18[deg]28'11'' N	66[deg]07'47'' W
Honolulu	21[deg]18'21'' N	157[deg]53'23'' W
Group Key West	24[deg]33'35'' N	81[deg]47'59'' W
Trumbo Point CG Base	24[deg]33'58'' N	81[deg]47'57'' W
Miami	25[deg]37'28'' N	80[deg]23'07'' W
Everglades Park	25[deg]50'10'' N	81[deg]23'13'' W
Group Saint Petersburg (Everglades).	25[deg]51'00'' N	81[deg]23'24'' W
Station Ft. Lauderdale	26[deg]05'21'' N	80[deg]06'40'' W
Station Ft. Myers Beach	26[deg]27'34'' N	81[deg]57'15'' W
Group Miami (Ft. Pierce)	27[deg]27'36'' N	80[deg]18'36'' W
Station Ft. Pierce	27[deg]27'50'' N	80[deg]18'27'' W
Group Corpus Christi	27[deg]42'01'' N	97[deg]16'11'' W
Group Corpus Christi	27[deg]42'06'' N	97[deg]16'45'' W
ESD Saint Petersburg	27[deg]45'21'' N	82[deg]37'32'' W
Group Saint Petersburg	27[deg]46'11'' N	82[deg]37'47'' W
Station Port O'Connor	28[deg]26'03'' N	96[deg]25'39'' W
S. Padre Island	28[deg]26'22'' N	97[deg]09'56'' W
Freeport	28[deg]55'59'' N	95[deg]16'59'' W
Group Galveston (Freeport)	28[deg]56'24'' N	95[deg]17'59'' W
Station YANKEETOWN	29[deg]01'51'' N	82[deg]43'39'' W
Station Ponce De Leon Inlet	29[deg]03'50'' N	81[deg]55'01'' W
Group New Orleans (Grand Isle)	29[deg]15'53'' N	89[deg]57'26'' W
Galveston	29[deg]19'59'' N	94[deg]46'18'' W
Kapalan	29[deg]20'04'' N	94[deg]47'17'' W
Sabine	29[deg]43'42'' N	93[deg]52'14'' W
New Orleans	30[deg]01'17'' N	90[deg]07'24'' W
Panama City	30[deg]10'01'' N	85[deg]45'04'' W
Group Mobile (Panama City)	30[deg]10'12'' N	85[deg]45'36'' W
ANT Jacksonville Beach	30[deg]17'16'' N	81[deg]24'10'' W
Pensacola	30[deg]20'24'' N	87[deg]18'17'' W
Group Mayport	30[deg]23'10'' N	81[deg]26'01'' W
Group Mayport	30[deg]23'24'' N	81[deg]25'48'' W
Ft. Morgan	30[deg]39'07'' N	88[deg]03'12'' W
Tybee Lighthouse	32[deg]01'15'' N	80[deg]50'39'' W
Point Loma Lighthouse	32[deg]39'56'' N	117[deg]14'34'' W
Point Loma	32[deg]40'07'' N	117[deg]14'14'' W
Activities San Diego	32[deg]43'59'' N	117[deg]11'13'' W
Group Charleston (Sullivan's Island).	32[deg]45'00'' N	79[deg]49'47'' W
Sullivan's Island Lights	32[deg]45'02'' N	79[deg]50'03'' W
Group Charleston	32[deg]46'25'' N	79[deg]56'37'' W
Group San Diego	32[deg]52'48'' N	118[deg]26'23'' W

San Pedro..... 33[deg]45'00'' N 118[deg]15'58'' W
Group Fort Macon..... 33[deg]53'24'' N 78[deg]01'48'' W
Point Mugu..... 33[deg]59'32'' N 119[deg]07'18'' W
Group LA/Long Beach..... 34[deg]07'11'' N 119[deg]06'35'' W
Channel Island..... 34[deg]09'17'' N 119[deg]13'11'' W
Station Oxnard Channel Island. 34[deg]09'43'' N 119[deg]13'19'' W
Group Ft. Macon..... 34[deg]41'48'' N 76[deg]40'59'' W
Group Cape Hatteras..... 35[deg]13'59'' N 75[deg]31'59'' W
Group Cape Hatteras..... 35[deg]15'35'' N 75[deg]31'48'' W
Morro Bay (Cambria)..... 35[deg]31'21'' N 121[deg]03'31'' W
San Clemente Island..... 32[deg]50'24'' N 118[deg]23'15'' W
Point Pinos..... 36[deg]38'12'' N 121[deg]56'06'' W
CAMSLANT..... 36[deg]43'47'' N 76[deg]01'11'' W
Group Hampton Roads..... 36[deg]53'01'' N 76[deg]21'10'' W
Point Montara..... 37[deg]31'23'' N 122[deg]30'47'' W
Point Montara Lighthouse..... 37[deg]32'09'' N 122[deg]31'08'' W
Group San Francisco..... 37[deg]32'23'' N 122[deg]31'11'' W
Group San Francisco..... 37[deg]48'34'' N 122[deg]21'55'' W
Point Bonita..... 37[deg]49'00'' N 122[deg]31'41'' W
Group Eastern Shores..... 37[deg]55'47'' N 75[deg]22'47'' W
Group Eastern Shore..... 37[deg]55'50'' N 75[deg]22'58'' W
CAMSPAC..... 38[deg]06'00'' N 122[deg]55'48'' W
Point Arena Lighthouse..... 38[deg]57'18'' N 124[deg]44'28'' W
Point Arena..... 38[deg]57'36'' N 123[deg]44'23'' W
Group Atlantic City..... 39[deg]20'59'' N 74[deg]27'42'' W
Activities New York..... 40[deg]36'06'' N 74[deg]03'36'' W
Activities New York..... 40[deg]37'11'' N 74[deg]04'11'' W
ESD Moriches Hut..... 40[deg]47'19'' N 72[deg]44'53'' W
Group Moriches..... 40[deg]47'23'' N 72[deg]45'00'' W

[[Page 1377]]

Group Humboldt Bay..... 40[deg]58'41'' N 124[deg]06'31'' W
Group Humboldt Bay..... 40[deg]58'47'' N 124[deg]06'35'' W
Trinidad Head..... 41[deg]03'15'' N 124[deg]09'02'' W
Group Long Island Sound..... 41[deg]16'12'' N 72[deg]54'00'' W
Station New Haven..... 41[deg]16'12'' N 72[deg]54'06'' W
Station Brant Point..... 41[deg]17'21'' N 70[deg]05'31'' W
Group Woods Hole..... 41[deg]17'23'' N 70[deg]04'47'' W
Station Castle Hill..... 41[deg]27'46'' N 71[deg]21'42'' W
Group Woods Hole..... 41[deg]17'29'' N 70[deg]401'07'' W
Boston Area..... 41[deg]40'12'' N 70[deg]31'48'' W
Station Provincetown..... 42[deg]01'48'' N 70[deg]12'42'' W
Eastern Point..... 42[deg]36'24'' N 70[deg]39'26'' W
Cape Blanco..... 42[deg]50'16'' N 124[deg]33'52'' W
Group North Bend..... 43[deg]24'16'' N 124[deg]13'22'' W
Group North Bend..... 43[deg]24'35'' N 124[deg]14'23'' W
Cape Elizabeth..... 43[deg]33'28'' N 70[deg]12'00'' W
Group South Portland..... 43[deg]38'24'' N 70[deg]15'00'' W
Group South Portland..... 43[deg]38'45'' N 70[deg]14'51'' W
Group SW Harbor..... 44[deg]16'19'' N 68[deg]18'27'' W

Group Southwest Harbor..... 44[deg]16'48'' N 68[deg]18'36'' W
Fort Stevens, Oregon..... 46[deg]09'14'' N 123[deg]53'07'' W
Group Astoria..... 46[deg]09'29'' N 123[deg]31'48'' W
Group Astoria..... 46[deg]09'35'' N 123[deg]53'24'' W
La Push..... 47[deg]49'00'' N 124[deg]37'59'' W
Station Quillayute River..... 47[deg]54'49'' N 124[deg]38'01'' W
Port Angeles..... 48[deg]07'59'' N 123[deg]25'59'' W
Group Port Angeles..... 48[deg]08'24'' N 123[deg]24'35'' W
Juneau (Sitka)..... 57[deg]05'24'' N 135[deg]15'35'' W
Kodiak..... 57[deg]40'47'' N 152[deg]28'47'' W
Valdez (Cape Hinchinbrook).... 60[deg]26'23'' N 146[deg]25'48'' W

Note: Systems of coordinates comply with NAD 83.

Table 2.1.--Exclusion Zones for Maritime
Public Coast Stations
[Points of Contact Are Identified in the
Commission's License Database]

Licensee name Location
Latitude Longitude

Shipcom LLC..... Marina Del Ray, CA....
33[deg]56'21'' N 118[deg]27'14'' W
Globe Wireless..... Rio Vista, CA.....
38[deg]11'55'' N 121[deg]48'34'' W
Avalon Communications Corp..... St. Thomas, VI.....
18[deg]21'19'' N 64[deg]56'48'' W
Globe Wireless..... Bishopville, MD.....
38[deg]24'10'' N 75[deg]12'59'' W
Shipcom LLC..... Mobile, AL.....
30[deg]40'07'' N 88[deg]10'23'' W
Shipcom LLC..... Coden, AL.....
30[deg]22'35'' N 88[deg]12'20'' W
Globe Wireless..... Pearl River, LA.....
30[deg]22'13'' N 89[deg]47'26'' W
Globe Wireless..... Kahalelani, HI.....
21[deg]10'33'' N 157[deg]10'39'' W
Globe Wireless..... Palo Alto, CA.....
37[deg]26'44'' N 122[deg]06'48'' W
Globe Wireless..... Agana, GU.....
13[deg]29'22'' N 144[deg]49'39'' E

Note: Systems of coordinates comply with NAD 83.

(ii) New or relocated Coast stations. In the unlikely event that a new or relocated coast station is established for the 2.173.5-2.190.5 kHz band at a coordinate not specified in Table 2 or 2.1, Access BPL

operations in that frequency band shall also be excluded within 1 km of the new coast station facility;
(iii) Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) radio astronomy observatories. Access BPL systems using overhead medium voltage power lines shall not operate in the frequency band 73.0-74.6 MHz, within 29 km of the coordinates of the ten (10) Very Long Baseline Array facilities listed in 47 CFR 2.106, Note US311. Access BPL systems using overhead low voltage power lines or underground power lines shall not operate in the 73.0-74.6 MHz band within 11 km of those coordinates.
(3) Consultation areas. Access BPL operators shall provide notification to the appropriate point of contact specified below regarding Access BPL operations at any frequencies of potential concern in the following consultation areas, at least 30 days prior to initiation of any operation or service. The notification shall include, at a minimum, the information in paragraph (a) of this section. We expect parties to consult in good faith to ensure that no harmful interference is caused to licensed operations and that any constraints on BPL deployments are minimized to those necessary to avoid harmful interference.
(i) For frequencies in the 1.7-30 MHz frequency range, the areas within 4 km of facilities located at the following coordinates:
(A) The Commission's protected field offices listed in 47 CFR 0.121, the point-of-contact for which is specified in that section;
(B) The aeronautical stations listed in Tables 3a and 3b;
(C) The land stations listed in Tables 4 and 5;

[[Page 1378]]

(ii) For frequencies in the 1.7-38.25 MHz frequency range, the areas within 4 km of facilities located at the coordinates specified for radio astronomy facilities in 47 CFR 2.106, Note US 311.
(iii) For frequencies in the 1.7-80 MHz frequency range, the area within 1 km of the Table Mountain Radio Receiving Zone, the coordinates and point of contact for which are specified in 47 CFR 21.113(b).
(iv) For frequencies in the 1.7-30 MHz frequency range, the areas within 37 km of radar receiver facilities located at the coordinates specified in Table 6.
Point of contact: U.S. Coast Guard HQ, Division of Spectrum Management CG-622, 2100 Second St., SW., Rm. 6611, Washington, DC 20593, Tel: (202) 267-6036, Fax: (202) 267-4106, e-mail: jtaboada@comdt.uscg.mil <mailto:jtaboada@comdt.uscg.mil>.

Table 3a.--Consultation Area Coordinates for Aeronautical (OR) Stations (1.7-30 MHz)

Command name	Location	Latitude	Longitude
Washington	Arlington, VA		

38[deg]51'07'' N 77[deg]02'15'' W
Cape Cod..... Cape Cod, MA.....
41[deg]42'00'' N 70[deg]30'00'' W
Atlantic City..... Atlantic City, NJ.....
39[deg]20'59'' N 74[deg]27'42'' W
Elizabeth City..... Elizabeth City, NC....
36[deg]15'53'' N 76[deg]10'32'' W
Savannah..... Savannah, GA.....
32[deg]01'30'' N 81[deg]08'30'' W
Miami..... Opa Locka, FL.....
25[deg]54'22'' N 80[deg]16'01'' W
Clearwater..... Clearwater, FL.....
27[deg]54'27'' N 82[deg]41' 29'' W
Borinquen..... Aguadilla, PR.....
18[deg]18'36'' N 67[deg]04' 48'' W
New Orleans..... New Orleans, LA.....
29[deg]49'31'' N 90[deg]02' 06'' W
Traverse City..... Traverse City, MI.....
44[deg]44'24'' N 85[deg]34'54'' W
San Diego..... San Diego, CA.....
32[deg]43'33'' N 117[deg]10' 15'' W
Sacramento..... McCllelan AFB, CA.....
38[deg]40'06'' N 121[deg]24'04'' W
Astoria..... Warrenton, OR.....
46[deg]25'18'' N 123[deg]47' 46'' W
North Bend..... North Bend, OR.....
43[deg]24'39'' N 124[deg]14'35'' W
Barbers Point..... Kapolei, HI.....
21[deg]18'01'' N 158[deg]04'15'' W
Kodiak..... Kodiak, AK.....
57[deg]44'19'' N 152[deg]30'18'' W
Houston..... Houston, TX.....
29[deg]45'00'' N 95[deg]22'00'' W
Detroit..... Mt. Clemens, MI.....
42[deg]36'05'' N 82[deg]50'12'' W
San Francisco..... San Francisco, CA.....
37[deg]37'58'' N 122[deg]23'20'' W
Los Angeles..... Los Angeles, CA.....
33[deg]56'36'' N 118[deg]23'48'' W
Humboldt Bay..... McKinleyville, CA.....
40[deg]58'39'' N 124[deg]06'45'' W
Port Angeles..... Port Angeles, WA.....
48[deg]08'25'' N 123[deg]24'48'' W
Sitka..... Sitka, AK.....
57[deg]05'50'' N 135[deg]21'58'' W

Note: Systems of coordinates conform to NAD 83.

Point of contact: ARINC, 2551 Riva Road, Annapolis, MD 21401, Tel: 1-800-633-6882, Fax: (410) 266-2329, e-mail: arincmkt@arinc.com <mailto:arincmkt@arinc.com>, http://www.arinc.com <http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/leaving.cgi?from=leavingFR.html&log=linklog&to=http://www.arinc.com>

.

Table 3b.--Consultation Area Coordinates for Aeronautical Receive Stations (1.7-30 MHz)

Locale	Latitude	Longitude
Southampton, NY	40[deg]55'15'' N	72[deg]23'41'' W
Molokai, HI	21[deg]12'23'' N	157[deg]12'30'' W
Oahu, HI	21[deg]22'27'' N	158[deg]05'56'' W
Half Moon Bay, CA	37[deg]39'00'' N	122[deg]41'00'' W
Pt. Reyes, CA	38[deg]06'00'' N	122[deg]56'00'' W
Barrow, AK	71[deg]17'24'' N	156[deg]48'12'' W
Guam	13[deg]25'00'' N	144[deg]44'57'' E
(note: Eastern N Hemisphere)		
NY Comm Center, NY	40[deg]46'48'' N	73[deg]05''46'' W
Cedar Rapids, IA	42[deg]02'05.0'' N	91[deg]38'37.6'' W
Beaumont, CA	33[deg]54'27.1'' N	116[deg]59'49.1'' W
Fairfield, TX	31[deg]47'02.6'' N	96[deg]47'03.0'' W
Houston, TX	29[deg]36'35.8'' N	95[deg]16'54.8'' W
Miami, FL	25[deg]49'05'' N	80[deg]18'28'' W

Note: Systems of coordinates conform to NAD 83.

Point of contact: U.S. Coast Guard HQ, Division of Spectrum Management CG-622, 2100 Second St., SW., Rm. 6611, Washington, DC 20593, Tel: (202) 267-6036, Fax: (202) 267-4106, e-mail: jtaboada@comdt.uscg.mil <mailto:jtaboada@comdt.uscg.mil>.

Table 4.--Consultation Area Coordinates for Land Stations, Set 1 (1.7-30 MHz)

Command name	Location	Latitude	Longitude
COMMSTA Boston	Maspee, MA	41[deg]24'00'' N	70[deg]18'57'' W

[[Page 1379]]

Camslant	Chesapeake, VA	36[deg]33'59'' N	76[deg]15'23'' W
COMMSTA Miami	Miami, FL	25[deg]36'58'' N	80[deg]23'04'' W
COMMSTA New Orleans	Belle Chasse, IA		

29[deg]52'40'' N 89[deg]54'46'' W
Camspac..... Pt. Reyes Sta, CA.....
38[deg]06'00'' N 122[deg]55'48'' W
COMMSTA Honolulu..... Wahiawa, HI.....
21[deg]31'08'' N 157[deg]59'28'' W
COMMSTA Kodiak..... Kodiak, AK.....
57[deg]04'26' N 152[deg]28'20'' W
Guam..... Finegayan, GU.....
13[deg]53'08'' N 144[deg]50'20'' E

Note: Systems of coordinates conform to NAD 83.

Point of contact: COTHEN Technical Support Center, COTHEN Program Manager, Tel: (800) 829-6336.

Table 5.--Consultation Area Coordinates for Land Stations, Set 2 (1.7-30 MHz)

Site name	Latitude	Longitude
Albuquerque, NM	35[deg]05'02'' N	105[deg]34'23'' W
Arecibo, PR	18[deg]17'26'' N	66[deg]22'33'' W
Atlanta, GA	32[deg]33''06 N	84[deg]23'35'' W
Beaufort, SC	34[deg]34'22'' N	76[deg]09'48'' W
Cape Charles, VA	37[deg]05'37'' N	75[deg]58'06'' W
Cedar Rapids, IA	42[deg]00'09'' N	91[deg]17'39'' W
Denver, CO	39[deg]15'45'' N	103[deg]34'23'' W
Fort Myers, FL	81[deg]31'20'' N	26[deg]20'01'' W
Kansas City, MO	38[deg]22'10'' N	93[deg]21'48'' W
Las Vegas, NV	36[deg]21'15'' N	114[deg]17'33'' W
Lovelock, NV	40[deg]03'07'' N	118[deg]18'56'' W
Memphis, TN	34[deg]21'57'' N	90[deg]02'43'' W
Miami, FL	25[deg]46'20'' N	80[deg]28'48'' W
Morehead City, NC	34[deg]34'50'' N	78[deg]13'59'' W
Oklahoma City, OK	34[deg]30'52'' N	97[deg]30'52'' W
Orlando, FL	28[deg]31'30'' N	80[deg]48'58'' W
Reno, NV	38[deg]31'12'' N	119[deg]14'37'' W
Sarasota, FL	27[deg]12'41'' N	81[deg]31'20'' W
Wilmington, NC	34[deg]29'24'' N	78[deg]04'31'' W

Note: Systems of coordinates conform to NAD 83.

Point Of Contact: ROTHR Deputy Program Manager, (540) 653-3624.

Table 6.--Consultation Area Coordinates for Radar Receiver Stations (1.7-30 MHz)

Latitude/Longitude
18[deg]01' N/66[deg]30' W

28[deg]05' N/98[deg]43' W
36[deg]34' N/76[deg]18' W

Note: Systems of coordinates conform to NAD 83.

[FR Doc. 05-246 Filed 1-6-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

276. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 17:50:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050110125056.12239.00000002@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 101945

In article <1104600901.794763.169430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>Hmmm? Doesn't look like an apology.
>
>Anyway, if Jim and Kelly hadn't put forth the idea that they have
>served, all this wouldn't be an issue.

Hmmm...they might have had jobs as caterer's assistants
and served meals? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

277. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 17:50:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050110125057.12239.00000003@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 101946

In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal interstate
>highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in California: It
>begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet it's
>yet it's still an "interstate."

Ooooo...going to lecture me about my local geography? :-)

Try Interstate FIVE as an illustration...begins at the Canadian border
goes all the way south through Washington, Oregon, California, ends
at the Mexican border. Over a kilomile long, takes two days to drive
at lawful speeds. Been there, done that, many times.

Gee, if I wanted a LECTURE on highways, I'd go to the AAA website
of my local auto club office...they give out free maps to us members.

>The semester begin tomorrow -- I'll be teaching a calculus class at the
>university campus (which is not a "junior college"), 8:30-9:20 MWF,
>Keller Hall room 403. The class is full but I'll let you register,
>if you think you can handle the class.

Oh, wow.... (a big Ben Stein "wowwww....")

So awfully nice of you, Mr. Petty Chief Ossifer. I'll just go on down to
the nearest ATM, withdraw a few thousand, cross the I-5 over to
Bob Hope Airport and fly over to the Islands...JUST...for the "pleasure"
of hearing you "lecture" on The Calculus! Oh frabjous joy...

>Go back and read my complete post, paying attention to the phrase
>"...technical best seller."

Go for it! "TECHNICAL" best seller! What year? And who was the
compiler of that gem of information? [was it a bookstore in Newington?]
You might try the ABA or some other book industry associations first
to verify some nonsense claim. Or take off your blinders. Whichever
is easiest.

I've only been USING technical books since 1960 and my first job
assignment as an electronics engineer responsible for new design.
The Green Bible, the Blue Bible, Millman & Taub, Henney, Petersen
and Weldon, Floyd Gardner, lots of names which are very familiar
in my small 30+ foot total length personal bookshelf right above the
immediate computer desk here. Since I already write for money
and know several authors (who work at that full time) plus book
store owners-managers, I have some acquaintenceship with the

professional book associations. Now, if you wish to LECTURE me
on "best sellers" of anything, I will invite you to pass gas on a
shuttle flight ("go take a flying fart") between islands.

===

I think you ought to get back to verifying the claim that the Islands
have ham stations at every police and fire station...or whatever you
wrote. [if you also "lecture" in English, somebody rated you wrong]

You might remind the state government to update their web pages
to show those gems of information...or is that "sensitive" info
for certain people's eyes only? :-)

Riiiight...I can just see a Billion-dollar tourist industry "relying" on ham
radio in Times of Troubles to keep their many lines of communications
going during all those natural disasters that beset the Islands all the
time. Riiiight...and there's no military on the Islands other than the
USCG...not even the USARPAC with their Army callsign ADA. :-)

>Accusing me of lying? Are you sure you want to commit libel?

Accuse a MATHEMATICS LECTURER of lying? Heavens no!

Let's just say you don' know no bettah, blalah. :-)

I wouldn't do such terrible things to a kind soul who got a Rhode
Island ham his own "FCC mailing address" in Hawaii.

By the way, how is Mike Deignan? Still busy with all those "ham
clubs" he had callsigns for?

Don't take any wooden pineapples...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

278. Re: The Fate of "Shortwave."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 18:32:10 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <KSzEd.96576$uM5.39560@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 101947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:C8gEd.965$SS6.207@trnddc07...
> Nope. As soon as the HF users reach a certain level of decline,
> the FCC will auction-off the HF band for use in WI-FI local
> broadband networking, telling the hams to enjoy "2 meters and down."
> Count on it.
>

Parts of the HF spectrum will always be protected for military and emergency communications.

The remainder isn't very much spectrum for broadband purposes, especially considering the high noise levels and possibility of interference from just about anywhere in the world.

Building compact, efficent antennas is a real problem at HF, and using higher power with inefficent antennas hardly seems like a good solution for a battery powered portable..

Frank Dresser

279. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 18:50:14 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e1f9f5_4@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101929

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ia2oif6.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:34:14 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> >> The ONLY reason [note the emphasis] that there is a "Low Power FM"
> >> service is that Judge Claudia Wilkin of the Federal District Court
> >> for the Northern District of California, Oakland Division was
> >> refusing to enjoin Stephen Dunifer and his alter-ego "Free Radio
> >> Berkeley" from transmitting without authorization unless the FCC
> >> started that service, and she sat on that ruling for two years,
> >> while other judges issued such injunctions without any delay.
> > >
> > >NO the only reason low power FM was created was due to the continued
> growth
> > >of pirate radio.
> >
> > You are telling me "NO" when I was the one who prepared all the
> > paperwork for the Dunifer case, read every filing, and sat at the
> > table for each and every hearing?
> >
> The pirate movement continued to grow long after dunifer.

> What planet are you living on, fella? That was MY case.
>
> >It's really shame to since Dunifer can apply for
> > >license since the Radio Broadcast Preservation Act of 2000 bars all
> pirates
> > >from getting a license.
> >
> > You must have made a typo - Mister Dunifer cannot be granted a
> > license. Plain fact. The choice was his.

The judge stated that dunifer and his bunch was barred from operating any
broadcast equipment until they first obtain a license from the FCC.

> >> > So my opinion of the FCC is that they are nothing buts LIARS, and
> >> > are below pond scum.
> > >>
> > >> All because they decided that you didn't quailfy for a waiver....
> > >
> > >There's a difference at a denial and a dismissal....and the courts have
> also
> > >told the FCC that they must take a serious look at waivers. That includes
> > >the reason while there is a denial of the waiver so that person can file
> a

> >appeal in the US court of Appeal. .
>
> Most probably the waiver was denied and the application was dismissed.
> The net result was that you didn't get the license.

Which boils back to what all the pirates were say....why should I spend the time and money to try to obtain a license which apparently the FCC doesn't want to give me.

> >> Notice your own words -- MAY consider. Not must consider. And even
> >> if they did consider, they are under no obligation to grant said
> >> waiver - especially of filing windows. In that regard, if everyone
> >> else has to wait for a window YOU have to wait for one as well. What
> >> makes your "good cause" more gooder than the next person's "good
> >> cause"?
> >
> >yes but they must either grant and deny a license. and waiver may be
> >consider at anytime filing windows don't apply.
>
> Please explain this in English. Also regard your own word "may".
> Even if they did consider it, it can be denied. What part of the
> process do you fail to understand?

Sec. 1.3 Suspension, amendment, or waiver of rules.

The provisions of this chapter may be suspended, revoked, amended, or waived for good cause shown, in whole or in part, at any time by the Commission, subject to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act and the provisions of this chapter. Any provision of the rules may be waived by the Commission on its own motion or on petition if good cause therefor is shown.

Cross Reference: See subpart C of this part for practice and procedure involving rulemaking

> Don't feel too bad. Some of the waivers that I have applied for on
> behalf of clients have been granted, some have been denied. It's a
> crapshoot and a regular part of the regulatory game. Get used to it.
>
> >I also think that by
> >dismissing my the commission has hurt this community by not consider the
> >waiver for a "community that has no local television service"
>
> Your thoughts and the Commission;s obligations are two different
> things, it appears.
>
> >So where is the public interest? Others? what others?
>
> Every other applicant who missed the filing window.
>
> >Not that many people in this community can afford to apply for a license
> >or could care less to apply for a license.

>
> Ah, in other words the community isn't really panting for the station.
> Mister Daugherty wants the station..... Now the picture becomes clearer.

No the cost and up keep of a station is something that not everyone can
afford. and again The majority of people in this community could careless
about broadcasting. they are more worried about other things.

> >> Namecalling will get you very far in this business, friend.
> >>
> >> Anyone can ask for a waiver - no lie there. Whether the waiver will
> >> be granted or not depends on what the applicant wants the Commission
> >> to waive.
> >
> >Again they have to grant or deny a application and show good cause why
it's
> >in the public interest to deny the license and waiver.
>
> Missing the filing window is prima facie "good cause" for returning
> the applicatin as defective, which apparently they did. You were
> free to appeal to the full Commission and from there to the U S
> Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. By not doing so, you
> lost your chnace to get the decision reversed.

The United States court have stated that "the FCC MUST consider waivers"
(WAIT RADIO v FCC 1969) "The FCC must take a serious and hard look at waiver
request" Turro v FCC (1989)
.
> And you are misinterpreting the "public interest" requirement. The
> Comm Act mandates the Commission to make a finding that the public
> interest will be served before granting a license. It does not
> mandate the Commission to show why a dismissal is in the public
> interest, only that the dismissal must be according to the established
> Rules and other applicable law.
>
> Looks like you are unlettered in Federal Administrative Law as well.
>
> Stay warm, Todd. It's cold out there.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

280. Re: Packet Ops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 19:24:43 UTC
From: "Richard L. Tannehill" <w7rtrick@firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <41E2D67B.BC5FA62@firstinter.net>
Source record: 101948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Carl R. Stevenson" wrote:
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:3F464EA0.1050004@psu.edu...
> >
> > But, to answer your paragraph, why would anyone take a group of kids to
> > an amateur club meeting? It's a completely different environment than
> > they would be comfortable in. And there is usually business to take care
> > of at a meeting that would be mind numbing for kids to listen to, like
> > finances, membership issues, etc. If you really wanted to get them
> > interested, you would get a few amateurs to meet with the kids on the
> > kids turf, like a classroom, the local YMCA, places like that. The kids
> > would be comfortable, only hams who ar interested in public outreach
> > would be involved, and a program could be put together that would keep
> > the kids involved and interactive.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
>
> I think that getting kids involved with local ham clubs is an excellent
> idea.
> It will socialize them with the older, more experienced hams that can
> "elmer" them, show them that there is order and organization, expose
> them to programs and activities, etc.
>
> The KEY is finding the RIGHT ham club that will treat the kids the way
> they be deserve to be treated as the future of amateur radio, not a club
> that will shun and ridicule them as Cindy has described.
>
> Carl - wk3c

Indeed that is the case Carl....I suggest she organize an
Explorer Post there, built around hi-tech electronics and
ham radio. We've had one for nearly 30 years here in the
Phoenix area; Explorer Post 599. We've sent hundreds of
kids on to careers in engineering, computers, and related
technical fields. Exploring is open to both males and
females, ages 14-20, as both youth members and adult
advisors. You can make of it what you want, without all the
"wrong" kind of old white males intimidating your kids.

Rick T.
W7RT
Committee Chairman,

Explorer Post 599

281. Re: The Fate of "Shortwave."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 19:28:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41E2D74A.3070103@psu.edu>
Source record: 101949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Dresser wrote:
> "David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:C8gEd.965$SS6.207@trnddc07...
>
>>Nope. As soon as the HF users reach a certain level of decline,
>>the FCC will auction-off the HF band for use in WI-FI local
>>broadband networking, telling the hams to enjoy "2 meters and down."
>>Count on it.
>>
>
>
> Parts of the HF spectrum will always be protected for military and emergency
> communications.
>
> The remainder isn't very much spectrum for broadband purposes, especially
> considering the high noise levels and possibility of interference from just
> about anywhere in the world.
>
> Building compact, efficent antennas is a real problem at HF, and using
> higher power with inefficent antennas hardly seems like a good solution for
> a battery powered portable..

Indeed, Frank. I wonder if many of those people who would propose to use HF as if was the new frontier of digital communications know exactly what they are dealing with.

HF is an unruly beast, where sections can be entirely shut down depending on solar activity, or a small signal can sometimes be propagated across the world. In addition, it has nowhere near the bandwidth capacity of the higher frequencies. And finally, the ham sections are such a small portion of the HF spectrum, that it would not make much difference if they went away or stayed.

Most modern "wireless" apps *need* the characteristics of GHz + frequencies.

- Mike KB3EIA -

282. Re: Packet Ops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 19:30:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41E2D7EE.3040903@psu.edu>
Source record: 101750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Richard L. Tannehill wrote:
> "Carl R. Stevenson" wrote:
>
>>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
>>news:3F464EA0.1050004@psu.edu...
>>
>>>But, to answer your paragraph, why would anyone take a group of kids to
>>>an amateur club meeting? It's a completely different environment than
>>>they would be comfortable in. And there is usually business to take care
>>>of at a meeting that would be mind numbing for kids to listen to, like
>>>finances, membership issues, etc. If you really wanted to get them
>>>interested, you would get a few amateurs to meet with the kids on the
>>>kids turf, like a classroom, the local YMCA, places like that. The kids
>>>would be comfortable, only hams who ar interested in public outreach
>>>would be involved, and a program could be put together that would keep
>>>the kids involved and interactive.
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>
>>I think that getting kids involved with local ham clubs is an excellent
>>idea.
>>It will socialize them with the older, more experienced hams that can
>>"elmer" them, show them that there is order and organization, expose
>>them to programs and activities, etc.
>>
>>The KEY is finding the RIGHT ham club that will treat the kids the way
>>they be deserve to be treated as the future of amateur radio, not a club
>>that will shun and ridicule them as Cindy has described.
>>
>>Carl - wk3c
>
>
> Indeed that is the case Carl....I suggest she organize an
> Explorer Post there, built around hi-tech electronics and
> ham radio. We've had one for nearly 30 years here in the
> Phoenix area; Explorer Post 599. We've sent hundreds of
> kids on to careers in engineering, computers, and related
> technical fields. Exploring is open to both males and
> females, ages 14-20, as both youth members and adult
> advisors. You can make of it what you want, without all the
> "wrong" kind of old white males intimidating your kids.
```

Holy smoke! did a server burp somewhere? This message, at least Carl's and my own post, are way old!

- Mike KB3EIA -

283. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 19:31:50 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e203b3$1_4@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101932

Well then again like what Judge Randolph said in Greg Ruggerio v. FCC (2003)

"No one has a First Amendment right to a license," Red Lion Broad. Co. v.
FCC, 395 U.S. 367, 389 (1969), and it follows that no one has a First
Amendment
right to apply for a license."

Then why apply????

Todd N9OGL

284. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 19:34:57 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e2046e$1_4@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101933

Yes, we kind of went off subject here.

Todd N9OGL

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ia2uft8.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 12:50:14 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> >> You must have made a typo - Mister Dunifer cannot be granted a
> >> license. Plain fact. The choice was his.
> >
> >The judge stated that dunifer and his bunch was barred from operating any
> >broadcast equipment until they first obtain a license from the FCC.
>
> In your own words: " the Radio Broadcast Preservation Act of 2000
> bars all pirates from getting a license."
>
> What part of that do you not understand?
>
> >Which boils back to what all the pirates were say....why should I spend
the
> >time and money to try to obtain a license which apparently the FCC
doesn't
> >want to give me.
>
> Want to be a criminal - be a criminal. "Don't do the crime if you
> can't do the time"....
>
> >> Please explain this in English. Also regard your own word "may".
> >> Even if they did consider it, it can be denied. What part of the
> >> process do you fail to understand?
> >
> >Sec. 1.3 Suspension, amendment, or waiver of rules.
> >
> > The provisions of this chapter may be suspended, revoked, amended,
> >or waived for good cause shown, in whole or in part, at any time by the
> >Commission, subject to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure
> >Act and the provisions of this chapter. Any provision of the rules may
> >be waived by the Commission on its own motion or on petition if good
> >cause therefor is shown.
>
> What part of the word "MAY" do you not understand?
>
> >> Missing the filing window is prima facie "good cause" for returning
> >> the application as defective, which apparently they did.

>
> >The United States court have stated that "the FCC MUST consider waivers"
> >(WAIT RADIO v FCC 1969) "The FCC must take a serious and hard look at
waiver
> >request" Turro v FCC (1989)
>
> Denying your request does not mean that they did not take a "serious
> and hard look at [your] waiver request". As I said before - sometimes
> they grant it, sometumes they deny it. That's how the game is played.
>
> I say again:
>
> You were free to appeal to the full Commission and from there to the U S
> Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. By not doing so, you
> lost your chance to get the decision reversed.
>
> This is going nowhere. It is not applicable to ham radio, and I've
> said my piece about it here. If you don't want to listen to what I
> tell you, it's your loss.
>
> Stay warm, Todd. It's cold out there.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>

285. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 20:58:32 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Y%BEd.3421$C52.2704@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101751

>>>NO the only reason low power FM was created was due to the continued
>
> growth
>
>>>of pirate radio.
>>

>
> The pirate movement continued to grow long after dunifer.
>

Today with the Internet and web sites and streaming audio,
doing a pirate radio station seems kinda pointless. You
can get your message and music out, and save on FCC fines,
with the 'net. Or lease time on a starving AM station in
your city if you're dead set on using radio. Though the
'net would be more effective to distribute your message.

286. Re: u.s. band structure...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 21:04:54 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <W5CEd.3424$C52.2197@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101752

larry wrote:

> Greetings and happy new year...
>
> I realise that this question might be a bit overkill since both your
> goverment and mine, I am Canadian, are both discussing the morse code hf
> requirement... but please bear (possible spelling) with me...
>
> I am trying to get back onto hf cw but am running into a problem... my cw is
> yucky... and I have forgotten the u.s. cw only subbands and ... is there
> still a novice subband... It used to be from 3700 to 3750 and there was one
> of 40 meters... I want to use the slower speed cw as code practice...
>
One way would be to just hunt for other slower CW ops calling
CQ. Where you hear CW being used is most likely the CW
subband. Of course this doesn't always work out if, say
you're in the USA and on 40m hearing foreign operators
outside the USA subband for that mode....

287. Re: The Fate of "Shortwave."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 21:12:43 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <fdCEd.3426$C52.2129@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Frank Dresser wrote:
>
>> "David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> news:C8gEd.965$SS6.207@trnddc07...
>>
>>> Nope. As soon as the HF users reach a certain level of decline,
>>> the FCC will auction-off the HF band for use in WI-FI local
>>> broadband networking, telling the hams to enjoy "2 meters and down."
>>> Count on it.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Parts of the HF spectrum will always be protected for military and
>> emergency
>> communications.
>>
>> The remainder isn't very much spectrum for broadband purposes, especially
>> considering the high noise levels and possibility of interference from
>> just
>> about anywhere in the world.
>>
>> Building compact, efficent antennas is a real problem at HF, and using
>> higher power with inefficent antennas hardly seems like a good
>> solution for
>> a battery powered portable..
>
>
> Indeed, Frank. I wonder if many of those people who would propose to
> use HF as if was the new frontier of digital communications know exactly
> what they are dealing with.

About the only feature of HF vs UHF or microwave is that distant
nodes or stations can directly talk to each other without
supporting infrastructure (phone lines or Internet). Same
thing that attracts ham radio operators. Though the fact that
a pair of users will hog the same bandwidth world-wide is not
such a hot feature.... Unless digital shortwave broadcasting
is desired, forget it. And how well will digital handle QSB
and QRM and QRN? Assuming the modulation method is designed
to cope with such...
>
> HF is an unruly beast, where sections can be entirely shut down

> depending on solar activity, or a small signal can sometimes be
> propagated across the world. In addition, it has nowhere near the
> bandwidth capacity of the higher frequencies. And finally, the ham
> sections are such a small portion of the HF spectrum, that it would not
> make much difference if they went away or stayed.
>
> Most modern "wireless" apps *need* the characteristics of GHz +
> frequencies.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>

288. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 21:41:55 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41E2F6A3.3020000@psu.edu>
Source record: 101757

robert casey wrote:
>
>>>> NO the only reason low power FM was created was due to the continued
>>>
>>
>> growth
>>
>>>> of pirate radio.
>>>
>>>
>
>>
>> The pirate movement continued to grow long after dunifer.
>>
>
> Today with the Internet and web sites and streaming audio,
> doing a pirate radio station seems kinda pointless. You
> can get your message and music out, and save on FCC fines,
> with the 'net. Or lease time on a starving AM station in
> your city if you're dead set on using radio. Though the
> 'net would be more effective to distribute your message.

All true, Robert. But then you wouldn't be a Pirate, would ya? 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

289. Project Diana - 59 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 21:44:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105393455.658478.184340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101754

http://www.eagle.ca/~harry/ba/eme/

http://www.eham.net/articles/9988
Many of those involved in the project were hams.

73 de Jim, N2EY

290. Re: u.s. band structure...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 21:46:39 UTC
From: larry <larya@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <6audnTCmCMCnZX_cRVn-sA@rogers.com>
Source record: 101755

thank you for your responce...
larry

"Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
news:wNxEd.50481$8e5.45778@fed1read07...
> http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/allocate.html
>
> --
> Caveat Lector
>
>
>
> "larry" <larya@rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:UL6dnR8fpPtUOn_cRVn-iw@rogers.com...
> > Greetings and happy new year...
> >
> > I realise that this question might be a bit overkill since both your
> > goverment and mine, I am Canadian, are both discussing the morse code hf
> > requirement... but please bear (possible spelling) with me...
> >
> > I am trying to get back onto hf cw but am running into a problem... my
cw
> > is
> > yucky... and I have forgotten the u.s. cw only subbands and ... is there
> > still a novice subband... It used to be from 3700 to 3750 and there was
> > one
> > of 40 meters... I want to use the slower speed cw as code practice...
> >
> > Does anyone know if there is a website with lists the various ham bands
> > and
> > the u.s. subband breakdown... I need to bring my code speed up, both
> > sending and receiving....
> >
> > Larry ve3fxq
> >
> >
>
>

291. Re: The Fate of "Shortwave."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 21:47:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41E2F7F5.1020608@psu.edu>
Source record: 101756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

robert casey wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> Frank Dresser wrote:
>>
>>> "David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>> news:C8gEd.965$SS6.207@trnddc07...
>>>
>>>> Nope. As soon as the HF users reach a certain level of decline,
>>>> the FCC will auction-off the HF band for use in WI-FI local
>>>> broadband networking, telling the hams to enjoy "2 meters and down."
>>>> Count on it.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Parts of the HF spectrum will always be protected for military and
>>> emergency
>>> communications.
>>>
>>> The remainder isn't very much spectrum for broadband purposes, especially
>>> considering the high noise levels and possibility of interference
>>> from just
>>> about anywhere in the world.
>>>
>>> Building compact, efficent antennas is a real problem at HF, and using
>>> higher power with inefficent antennas hardly seems like a good
>>> solution for
>>> a battery powered portable..
>>
>>
>>
>> Indeed, Frank. I wonder if many of those people who would propose
>> to use HF as if was the new frontier of digital communications know
>> exactly what they are dealing with.
>
>
> About the only feature of HF vs UHF or microwave is that distant
> nodes or stations can directly talk to each other without
> supporting infrastructure (phone lines or Internet). Same
> thing that attracts ham radio operators. Though the fact that
> a pair of users will hog the same bandwidth world-wide is not
> such a hot feature.... Unless digital shortwave broadcasting
> is desired, forget it. And how well will digital handle QSB

> and QRM and QRN? Assuming the modulation method is designed
> to cope with such...

Well, digital error checking can take care of that..... again and again
and again and again, until it works, or more likely, times out.

Great, as long as you don't mind a slow connection. Of course, maybe
that is why BPL is at DSL speeds instead of cable modem speeds.

- Mike KB3EIA -

292. Re: u.s. band structure...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-10 22:29:45 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <g906u092iqiqj9oh1c4ons0nqggs7nv97p@4ax.com>
Source record: 101758

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 11:03:28 -0500, "larry" <larya@rogers.com> wrote:

Hi Larry,

The ARRL has the information that you're looking for - you can
download a chart showing all of the US ham bands and subband
allocations at the following site:

http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/bands.html

Good luck!

73, Leo

>Greetings and happy new year...
>
>I realise that this question might be a bit overkill since both your
>goverment and mine, I am Canadian, are both discussing the morse code hf
>requirement... but please bear (possible spelling) with me...
>
>I am trying to get back onto hf cw but am running into a problem... my cw is
>yucky... and I have forgotten the u.s. cw only subbands and ... is there
>still a novice subband... It used to be from 3700 to 3750 and there was one
>of 40 meters... I want to use the slower speed cw as code practice...
>
>Does anyone know if there is a website with lists the various ham bands and
>the u.s. subband breakdown... I need to bring my code speed up, both
>sending and receiving....
>
>Larry ve3fxq
>

293. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 01:25:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <opedna65PeW9tn7cRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101759

```
Leo wrote:
> On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 15:24:07 -0500, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Leo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On 09 Jan 2005 04:51:35 GMT, lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21) wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>In article <aav0u0hjkg7pq77nn1jnb8f8evedjrl7ho@4ax.com>, Leo <nomail@noway.com>
>>>>writes:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:36:59 -0800 (PST), "Phil Kane"
>>>>><Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 08 Jan 2005 21:31:53 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>
>>snip
>
>
>>>Some even enter a batch of posts on a variety of subjects, apparently
>>>hoping to get sonething on the hook.......what's the word for that
>>>again...? :)
>>
>> To imagine anyone on Usenet would do that. 8^)
>
>
> .....found it - "Trolling" - that's the word I was looking for! :)
```

Here is a bit of a question. I had started a number of these threads recently in order to get some newsgroup related activities going, because in December the group simply was losing ground to the wild and

whacky adventures of some West Virginia hams, and some others of
indeterminate origin, but of the sort to turn us into a clone of
r.r.a.misc. So far, It has had its intended effect, to get some
discussion going.

So was that a troll?

>
>>snip
>
>
>>>> Note: All those wonderful braggarts are PCTA extra. They can say
>>>> anything, write anything, do anything in here and are absolved from ANY
>>>> criticism. Should they be taken to task, the task-taker is soundly
>>>> objected to with endearing personal perjoratives having little to do with
>>>> the subject.
>>>
>>>
>>>Ipso facto, with a few exceptions - there are several OMs here who are
>>>quite civil, knowledgeable and conversational, and do not possess the
>>>NetNanny gene.....
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> It's a plain simple fact that all NCTA in here are always wrong, no matter
>>>> what they write on anything. No one who is of the NCTA persuasion
>>>> should bother debating the subject in here. The matter is closed and all
>>>> NCTA are forever wrong, incorrect, and probably have underarm odor.
>>>
>>>
>>>.....and skinned knuckles! :)
>>
>> Here's a challenge, Leo, if you're up to it.
>>
>> Name the PCTA's in here (active) that have called NCTA's by such names.
>>I'll give you a start:
>>
>> Steve is pretty famous for name calling.
>
>
> Great idea! - that would certainly get a nice warm flamefest going,
> wouldn't it?
>
> Request respectfully declined. :)

>
>> And since this subthread turned into a Mike and Jim "tag-team" thing,
>>where do our names show up in that list? When have I called anyone a
>>nasty name? I don't think Jim ever has either.
>>
>> note: I will admit the one time I called Len "Lennie". No insult was

>>intended, but he didn't like it, so I stopped.
>
>
> Hmmm - maybe you're one of the exceptions? :)
>
> Your pal was doing just fine too, until he decided to start using
> profanities in his posts. To claim that another is speaking
> "bull$#!+" is to directly infer that they are a "bull$#!+ter".

I admit, that was a bit odd. I'm known to drop the very occasional off colored word, but only for effect.

> Acgeed that this example does not meet your specification of "PCTA vs
> NCTA", but as I said earlier it's more about personalities than it is
> about affiliation.
>
> And character.

Well sure.

- Mike KB3EIA -

294. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 01:45:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105407925.960696.185730@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101760

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Like "Hello Mother and father, I am alive and healthy, but in need
of a
> new passport."
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I'm sure the State Department will send one right over, on Mom and
Dad's say so.

295. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 01:52:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105408362.817564.244890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101761

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> Don't take any wooden pineapples...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

You crack me up; wooden pineapples...

Have you ever seen those rediculous giant fork and spoon carvings from
the PI?

296. Re: Project Diana - 59 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 01:55:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <pISdnYjU4_iDr37cRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101762

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> http://www.eagle.ca/~harry/ba/eme/
>
> http://www.eham.net/articles/9988
> Many of those involved in the project were hams.

I enjoyed it immensely, Jim. Thanks for the post!

- Mike KB3EIA -

297. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 01:57:20 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <4oGEd.3790$pZ4.629@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101763

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ia2oif6.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...

:
: You are telling me "NO" when I was the one who prepared all the
: paperwork for the Dunifer case, read every filing, and sat at the
: table for each and every hearing?
:
: What planet are you living on, fella? That was MY case.
:

OM Phil,

Attached find a sample of his work from his new "blog" page. Do you wonder why his applications are not viewed with favor?

Ciao!

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire
--

Following from his "blog" ---- enjoy!

Written by Todd Daugherty N9OGL

On February 8, 2002 the United States Court of Appeal ruled the Radio Broadcast Preservation Act (RBPA-2000) was unconstitutional (Greg Ruggiero vs. FCC 2002) then to a shock the court of appeals overturned it's own decision ruling that the RBPA of 2000 was constitutional. (Greg Ruggiero Vs FCC 2003). I'm writing this "paper" because I feel that there was something that should have been said but wasn't and since I tell it as it is I will say it. There is also a problem with the recent ruling (Greg Ruggiero Vs FCC 2003) which I've also added to this paper.

The first comes from that Bitch Judge Karen LeCraft Henderson who stated in the 2002 ruling

"By contrast, the License restriction here applies to the entire class of those who as of the time of their license application have unlawfully engaged in LPFM broadcasting. Further the restriction substantially furthers the plain intent of the Congress which believed that "the operation of an unlicensed station demonstrates a lack of commitment to follow the basic rules and regulations which are essential to having a broadcast service that serves the public, and those individuals or groups should not be permitted

to receive a licenses in the LPFM service." H.R. Rep. No. 506 at 8 (2000). What could be more reasonable or logical than to suspect that those who ignored the commission LPFM broadcast regulation in the past are likely to do so in the future and therefore to head them off."

First, you must not have to be very intelligent to be a fucking judge of the court of appeal.it seems if they can let this dumb ass bitch and her little bitch whore buddies on the bench then anyone can..

"What could be more reasonable or logical than to suspect that those who ignored the commission LPFM broadcast regulation in the past are likely to do so in the future and therefore to head them off."

Did I fucking miss something here??? Listen bitch the FCC NEVER had any LPFM rules prior to the passing of the LPFM rules in 1999. You and your fucking whores in the Court of Appeal are the stupidest mother fuckers on the planet. For years the FCC went around telling you that all a person had to do was fill out an application and ask for a waiver..well guest what you fucking sluts THE FCC FUCKING LIED TO YOU!!! The FCC has NEVER considered application and waiver for LPFM ..and you stupid fucks believed them all these years. That's why a lot of people went on the air..because the FCC wouldn't consider applications and waivers for a low power service. Bitch my group applied six times for a license with waiver and that is why we went pirate.because the FCC wouldn't even consider are application or are waiver for a community with no service..and by the way bitch.the two congressman who wrote that bill and were the chief sponsor of the RBPA of 2000 are no longer in congress because they were voted out by the people of their state because of that shit.

And now.2003 for the king bitch whore Ginsburg.

"In 1999 the Commission proposed to modify its low-power Radio rules and sought public comment upon whether it should "create two classes of low power radio service, both of which would operate in the existing FM radio band: a 1000- watt primary service and a 100-watt secondary service." Id. at p 1. The Commission also sought comment upon whether it should establish "a third, 'microradio' class of low power radio service that would operate in the range of 1 to 10 watts." Id. at p 1."

The commission proposed?? That's a bunch of shit the FCC didn't propose it someone else did in a Petition for Rulemaking.that's a bunch of fucking shit quit smoking your fucking crack.

"The Congress ultimately responded to the Commission's decision by enacting the Radio Broadcast Preservation Act of 2000 (RBPA), Pub. L. No. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762, s 632,"

The Congress responded not out of concern of what the FCC was doing but by the huge load of cash they were getting from the National Association of Broadcasters (NAB). My dickhead congressman John Shitless (Shinkus)

Got paid $14,000 from the NAB for the RBPA but that's ok..I'm going make sure that son of bitch mother fucker isn't elected again!

" We reject also Ruggiero's claim that the character qualification is overinclusive because it prohibits all pirates, including those good pirates who stopped broadcasting illegally when ordered to do so, and those "former pirates [who] subsequently have become model citizens," from obtaining a license. All unlicensed LPFM broadcasters violated the Communications Act. Any unlicensed broadcasting demonstrates a willful disregard of the most basic rule of federal broadcasting regulation."

Then perhaps " former pirates" like WBCQ shouldn't have a license..and tell me you stupid fuck...when did the disregard to the legal process become legal..You stupid fuck head has basically given the FCC the go ahead to disregard not only the judiciary process but the Administrative Procedure Act (APA). Your stupid thinking is regardless to what a federal judge or an administrative judge says a pirate is a pirate regardless to what legal challenge they may bring and regardless of any fact or findings. Your fucking retarded thinking is that a pirate is guilty regardless of any evidence.well fuck, why do we do that with murders..Hell, Bob Butt much killed Joe Dickless but hey there's evidence he not guilty.fuck he's guilty regardless of any evidence. That the same fucking shit you did with pirates then it should apply to any other crime. Perhaps Mr. Ginsburg you should stop kissing congress and the federal government ass.

And now a few words from my favorite dickhead.Judge Randolph.

"There is in short no chilling effect and Ruggiero therefore

cannot invoke the overbreadth doctrine."

This has to be one of the stupidest mother fucker on the planet...No chilling effect??? How about the ability to allow an agency to disregard any legal proceeding as prescribed under the APA not to mention your next stupid fucks comment..

"No one has a First Amendment right to a

license," Red Lion Broad. Co. v. FCC, 395 U.S. 367, 389

(1969), and it follows that no one has a First Amendment

right to apply for a license."

Then why apply??? If no one has a "right" as you put it to apply for a license then why have a licensing system?? Just like the fuck stupid bastard you are; you've created one of the biggest loopholes a pirate would love! Because like you said no one has a right to apply for a license..thus, a person really shouldn't have to apply since there is no right. Despite what you and your little bitch whore buddies in the NAB may think as the secretary of commerce Herbert Hoover once stated The First Amendment and radio fall hand in hand..and it stupid fucks like you in the court of appeal that are destroying not only free speech but destroying the legal process.

As for the U.S. Supreme Court..there just as fuck stupid as these fucking idiots..you know at one time I had great respect for the U.S. Supreme Court. But now..by allowing this stupid fucks to destroy the legal process and basically misread Red Lion Broadcasting and bend it to their own fucking

will I think the U.S. Supreme Court has got to fuck hell and my respect is now gone. Because they along with those stupid fuck in the U.S. Court of Appeal has failed to grasp reality of what is really go on in the world.

Todd Daugherty

Taylorville, Illinois

December 2003

298. Re: Project Diana - 59 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 02:11:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105409512.587488.322250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101764

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.eagle.ca/~harry/ba/eme/
>
> http://www.eham.net/articles/9988
> Many of those involved in the project were hams.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Ahh, yes. Hams doing non-ham stuff.
I wonder if they calculated the distance to the moon correctly?

299. K1MAN snags another naive recruit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 02:15:45 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <lFGEd.3880$li4.3789@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101765

Seen at N9OGL's "blog".

This ought to be a fun.

M.A.N.

"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

Excerpt from N9OGL "blog" follows.

- -
- -

12:37 PM

Glenn Baxter said...
N9OGL is invited to appear as a special guest for an UNEDITED
interview on IARN Amateur Talk Radio, hosted by Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.,
and aired over K1MAN by IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network,
and sponsored by AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association

73 and GL de
K1MAN.

8:44 AM

Todd Daugherty said...
Hello Glenn,

Sure I'll enjoy being on your program. Email me at

toddaug@consolidated.net and I'll give you my number

and my avalibility.

de N9OGL

300. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 05:34:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050111003430.06767.00000012@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 101768

In article <aktdt05s5b9k38ibpmlfocsp4lds10viao@4ax.com>, Tony VE6MVP
<tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com> writes:

>On 31 Dec 2004 06:31:51 GMT, lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21) wrote:
>
>>However, a lot of hams
>> go on and on, terribly full of themselves, on implying that Their
>> accomplishment is academic PhD level stuff. :-) [ptui...]
>
>Ah, but to them it is an academic, PhD level stuff. <smile>

...and so is an Ohm's Law of Resistance formula with one
unknown. Some need Java calculator programs on their
PCs in order to solve such difficult "rocket science." Sheesh.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

301. Re: Project Diana - 59 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 05:34:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050111003430.06767.00000013@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 101769

In article <1105409512.587488.322250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> http://www.eagle.ca/~harry/ba/eme/
>>
>> http://www.eham.net/articles/9988
>> Many of those involved in the project were hams.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Ahh, yes. Hams doing non-ham stuff.
>I wonder if they calculated the distance to the moon correctly?

Well, Brian, Jimmie done took the post bus out of Monmouth
often enough, could see the big bedspring radar antennas at
The Labs (there were 3 laboratory installations along the
highway). I'm sure he has contributed his Oral History
recording for the archives as have all the veterans of Project
Diana. [hand salute!]

Maybe he forgot to mention the First Ham in Space! According
to tonight's Jeopardy program clues, Ham the Chimp was the
first "American" in space. :-)

Oook, oook!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

302. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 07:06:02 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <uVKEd.4161$C52.139@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101770

Mike Coslo wrote:

>
>
> > All true, Robert. But then you wouldn't be a Pirate, would ya? 8^)
>

Nah. Besides setting up a pirate radio station is roughly
equivalent to flushing cherry bombs (M80s) down the toilets
in junior high school. Pisses off the authorities but
otherwise serves no real purpose.....
>

303. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 07:08:54 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <aYKEd.4164$C52.216@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101771

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Well, this really isn't about pirate radio. Somehow it had gotten into the
> debate.

True, but broadcasting on the ham bands is also illegal.
And is probably just as ineffective for getting your
message across.

304. testing...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 08:06:46 UTC
From: portvue@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1105430806.519202.83460@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101773

this is a test...this is only a test.

305. Re: ARS License Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 14:32:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105453920.198705.91220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101774

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY wrote:

> > In article <uYCdnRSbYYzWskLcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> >Lenof21 wrote:

> >>In article <v3hDd.2194$Pm6.2156@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> >>"Grümwîtch
> >>thë Ünflãppåblê"
<Grümwîtch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> writes:

> >>>"Lenof21" <lenof21@aol.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:20050106150332.06260.00000398@mb-m26.aol.com...

> >>: The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything
after
> >>: midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license
> >>: period...and
> >>: for two more years into that grace period.
> >>:
> >>Untrue. (Some would say an outright lie) They are certainly
prohibited> >>
> >>from
> >>operating their amateur radio station without supervision, since
they
> >>possess no valid operator license.

> >> Now what did I write that was UNTRUE? Hmmm?

The untrue part is that a license holder isn't prohibited from
doing anything.

> >> You wrote in an earlier message:

> >>Lenof21> All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in

> >>Lenof21> their grace
> >>Lenof21> period. There is no necessity (nor sense) to eliminate
those
> >>Lenof21> in the
> >>Lenof21> grace period from those in the normal 10-year license
period from
> >>Lenof21> any class totals.

> >>Then you appear to have modified it to say:

> >>> "The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything after
> >>> midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license
period."

> >>> Was anything written about "operating an amateur radio
station?"
> >>> No. :-)

> >>Yes, because you wrote the above mentioned quote in an earlier
message

> >>> They could have a valid commercial license and not be
> >>> prohibited from using that.

> > Do commercial licenses have 10 year terms and 2 year grace periods?

> And if so, should you be required to include them in your ARS license

> numbers? 8^)

Doesn't matter. The quote was that they are "not prohibited from doing
anything"

> >>> Note: A commercial license does not
> >>> allow operation IN the amateur bands...just like an amateur
license
> >>> does NOT allow operation outside of amateur bands.

Irrelevant

> > In any event, a license holder *is* prohibited from doing something
> > when the license is in the grace period.

> Yes, and that is operation within the privileges of their expired
license.

> >>So. They *are* prohibited from operating in the amateur bands. That
is
> >>significantly different than "not being prohibited from doing
anything"

Yep. Len is flat-out wrong - again.

> >>> ALL of Title 47 C.F.R. applies to ALL USA citizens. Yes, that
> >>> includes amateur radio licensees. :-) In that you will find
that, if
> >>> there is a REAL emergency situation, there is NO prohibition
> >>> against anyone using any frequency, any mode for help.

> > Doesn't change the fact that a licensee with a license in the
> > grace period is prohibited from operating an amateur station
> > in a non-emergency situation.

> >>> I guess the "only ones who count" in here are the Regulars, the
> >>> life-stylers, those who eat-breathe-sleep amateur radio. They
are

> >>> NEVER wrong. Their words are TRVTH itself, engraved in eternal
> >>> marble. Their shall be no discourse with them...of course.

> >>In that you are incorrect. I don't mind having a good discussion,
and
> >>even enjoy a good argument. But I do expect a good argument with
proper
> >>give and take. Some times I am wrong, and some times right. But
your
> >>case would be better served if you were to simply admit your
mistakes
> >>and move on to good debate.

> > Agreed. Len made a mistake about 97.21(b), but he seems reluctant
to
> > admit it.

> Note that the position he is taking is modifying.

You mean evading.

> I've worked with a few
> who do this. They really hate being wrong, but when they are proven
> wrong, they slowly modify their stance so that eventually they either

> agree with you, or " you just didn't understand" what they were
saying
> in the first place

That's called "evading".
>
> > Think about *why*
>
> A couple possibilities:
>
> 1. He was genuinely wrong.

That's been proven already.

> He made an incorrect statement, and is
> embarrassed about it. Some people absolutely *hate* being incorrect
on
> anything.

That's Len to a capital L. Particularly when the person pointing out
the error is someone he considers inferior.

> 2. He is making deliberate incorrect statements simply to invoke
others
> in arguments.

That's not inconsistent with 1).

> This could be an entertainment issue, or perhaps a
> loneliness thing.

Possibly.

Or perhaps it's an attempt to bring others down to his level, get them fighting with each other, etc.

Consider that Len is not a ham, has never been one, and probably never will be one. He isn't even very knowledgeable about Part 97, as illustrated by his ignorance of 97.21(b).

So why is he preaching to the FCC and the online world about how ham radio should be? He won't tell us his motivation.
73 de Jim, N2EY

306. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 17:08:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105463304.061161.36610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101775

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Leo wrote:
> > On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 15:24:07 -0500, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> > wrote:

> >>Leo wrote:

> >>>On 09 Jan 2005 04:51:35 GMT, lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21) wrote:

> >>>>In article <aav0u0hjkg7pq77nn1jnb8f8evedjrl7ho@4ax.com>, Leo
<nomail@noway.com>
> >>>>writes:

> >>>>>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:36:59 -0800 (PST), "Phil Kane"
> >>>>><Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:

> >>>>>>On 08 Jan 2005 21:31:53 GMT, N2EY wrote:

> >>>>>>>Lenof21 wrote:

> >>snip

> >>>Some even enter a batch of posts on a variety of subjects,
apparently
> >>>hoping to get sonething on the hook.......what's the word for that
> >>>again...? :)

> >> To imagine anyone on Usenet would do that. 8^)

> > .....found it - "Trolling" - that's the word I was looking for! :)

> Here is a bit of a question. I had started a number of these threads

> recently in order to get some newsgroup related activities going,
> because in December the group simply was losing ground to the wild
and
> whacky adventures of some West Virginia hams, and some others of
> indeterminate origin, but of the sort to turn us into a clone of
> r.r.a.misc. So far, It has had its intended effect, to get some
> discussion going.

> So was that a troll?

Not that I can see.

> >>snip

> >>>> Note: All those wonderful braggarts are PCTA extra.

Is one of them Mike Deignan? If so, Len is wrong *again*,
because ol' Mike was/is for the elimination of the Morse Code test.

At least that's what he wrote in his comments to the FCC.

> >>>> They can say
> >>>> anything, write anything, do anything in here and are absolved
from ANY
> >>>> criticism. Should they be taken to task, the task-taker is
soundly
> >>>> objected to with endearing personal perjoratives having little
to do with
> >>>> the subject.

> >>>Ipso facto, with a few exceptions - there are several OMs here who
are
> >>>quite civil, knowledgeable and conversational, and do not possess
the
> >>>NetNanny gene.....

Len obviously isn't one of them ;-)

> >>>> It's a plain simple fact that all NCTA in here are always wrong,
no matter
> >>>> what they write on anything. No one who is of the NCTA
persuasion
> >>>> should bother debating the subject in here. The matter is
closed and all
> >>>> NCTA are forever wrong, incorrect, and probably have underarm
odor.

> >>>.....and skinned knuckles! :)

> >> Here's a challenge, Leo, if you're up to it.

> >> Name the PCTA's in here (active) that have called NCTA's by such
names.
> >>I'll give you a start:
> >>
> >> Steve is pretty famous for name calling.

> > Great idea! - that would certainly get a nice warm flamefest going,
> > wouldn't it?

> > Request respectfully declined. :)

> >> And since this subthread turned into a Mike and Jim "tag-team"
thing,
> >>where do our names show up in that list? When have I called anyone
a
> >>nasty name? I don't think Jim ever has either.

> >> note: I will admit the one time I called Len "Lennie". No insult
was
> >>intended, but he didn't like it, so I stopped.

> > Hmmm - maybe you're one of the exceptions? :)

> > Your pal was doing just fine too, until he decided to start using
> > profanities in his posts.

Do you mean me, Leo?

If so - when have I called Len a nasty name? Or anyone else here?
Specific instances, please.

> > To claim that another is speaking
> > "bull$#!+" is to directly infer that they are a "bull$#!+ter".

Actually it would be "to imply". The listener/reader draws the
inference.

> I admit, that was a bit odd. I'm known to drop the very occasional
off
> colored word, but only for effect.

"Sometimes the pool-pah defies the efforts to describe it".

I think if you compare the nasty names Len has called me, with the
names I have
called him, you will see a distinct contrast. Unless you consider "Len"
and "Mr. Anderson" to be nasty names.

> > Acgeed that this example does not meet your specification of "PCTA
vs
> > NCTA", but as I said earlier it's more about personalities than it
is
> > about affiliation.
> >
> > And character.
>
> Well sure.
>
Some people take the correction of their mistakes as an insult. I
don't.

73 de Jim, N2EY

307. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 17:38:05 UTC
From: J T <jt@envirolloydman.com>
Message-ID: <cs12oh$87l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 101776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
news:k_TDd.46954$8e5.42580@fed1read07...
> In an emergency there are those that stand around wondering what to do and
> waiting for someone to tell them what to do
>
> Then there is the folks that see something needs to be done quickly -- and
> do it
>
> The first bunch then criticizes and pulls a post mortem as to how it
> should have been done
>
> Have seen this in the Military, the Steel Mills, in Ham Radio, and every
> day life -- many times
>
> Caveat Lector

Perhaps you could function as Hans's
straight man down at the Legion Hall?

J T

308. Re: Petitioning the FCC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 17:43:38 UTC
From: Starr <Starr@proxima.net>
Message-ID: <cs138b$98a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 101778
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Airy R. Bean" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:34d37sF49s5nhU1@individual.net...
> I understand that Yankland has...

Lol. Good old Gareth. A credit to amateur radio as always.

309. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 17:43:41 UTC
From: Carl <kb9rvb@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1105465421.505098.113050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101777

bb wrote:
> Phil Kane wrote:
> > On 7 Jan 2005 06:32:52 -0800, Carl wrote:
> > >I have always thought that "broadcast" is NOT allowed on amateur
> bands.
> > >Where am I mistaken?
> > You aren't.
> > -- 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> With one exception.

The "one exception" is "Communications directly related to the immediate safety of human life or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations to broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means of communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the event." The "one exception" is not "broadcasting to amateur operators."

Other than the safety exception, Section 97.113 "prohibits amateur stations from engaging in any form of broadcasting or in any activity related to program production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes." Section 97.3 defines the term "broadcasting," in the context of the amateur service, as "a transmission intended for reception by the general public, either direct or delayed."

What N9OGL and K1MAN contend is "legal" broadcasting is defined by the FCC in in Section 97.3 as "an Information Bulletin." It is a message directed "only to amateur operators" and consists "solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service. The control operator of the station transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur service."

To label information bulletins as "broadcasting" is just wrong. The responsibility of the control operator to determine appropriate subject matter for one-way communications should not be construed to allow one-way commentary on non-radio issues (such as baseball) just because radio operators are interested in baseball.

"Section 97.111(b) provides for one-way communications. In summary, auxiliary, beacon, space and stations in distress are specifically authorized to make certain one-way transmissions. Additionally, an amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way communications:
1. Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;
2. Brief transmissions necessary for establishing two-way

communications with other stations;
3. Telecommand;
4. Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;
5. Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving
proficiency in, the international Morse code;
6. Transmissions necessary to disseminate an information bulletin; and
7. Telemetry."

310. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 18:37:33 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e34877_4@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101766

someone else posted that. that from a old newgroup feed
Todd n9ogl

"Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:4oGEd.3790$pZ4.629@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.ia2oif6.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>
> :
> : You are telling me "NO" when I was the one who prepared all the
> : paperwork for the Dunifer case, read every filing, and sat at the
> : table for each and every hearing?
> :
> : What planet are you living on, fella? That was MY case.
> :
>
> OM Phil,
>
> Attached find a sample of his work from his new "blog" page. Do you
wonder
> why his applications are not viewed with favor?
>
> Ciao!
>
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire
> --
>
>
> Following from his "blog" ---- enjoy!
>
>
> Written by Todd Daugherty N9OGL
>
>
> On February 8, 2002 the United States Court of Appeal ruled the Radio
> Broadcast Preservation Act (RBPA-2000) was unconstitutional (Greg Ruggiero
> vs. FCC 2002) then to a shock the court of appeals overturned it's own
> decision ruling that the RBPA of 2000 was constitutional. (Greg Ruggiero

Vs
> FCC 2003). I'm writing this "paper" because I feel that there was
something
> that should have been said but wasn't and since I tell it as it is I will
> say it. There is also a problem with the recent ruling (Greg Ruggiero Vs
FCC
> 2003) which I've also added to this paper.
>
>
> The first comes from that Bitch Judge Karen LeCraft Henderson who stated
in
> the 2002 ruling
>
>
> "By contrast, the License restriction here applies to the entire class of
> those who as of the time of their license application have unlawfully
> engaged in LPFM broadcasting. Further the restriction substantially
furthers
> the plain intent of the Congress which believed that "the operation of an
> unlicensed station demonstrates a lack of commitment to follow the basic
> rules and regulations which are essential to having a broadcast service
that
> serves the public, and those individuals or groups should not be permitted
> to receive a licenses in the LPFM service." H.R. Rep. No. 506 at 8 (2000).
> What could be more reasonable or logical than to suspect that those who
> ignored the commission LPFM broadcast regulation in the past are likely to
> do so in the future and therefore to head them off."
>
>
> First, you must not have to be very intelligent to be a fucking judge of
the
> court of appeal.it seems if they can let this dumb ass bitch and her
little
> bitch whore buddies on the bench then anyone can..
>
>
> "What could be more reasonable or logical than to suspect that those who
> ignored the commission LPFM broadcast regulation in the past are likely to
> do so in the future and therefore to head them off."
>
>
> Did I fucking miss something here??? Listen bitch the FCC NEVER had any
LPFM
> rules prior to the passing of the LPFM rules in 1999. You and your fucking
> whores in the Court of Appeal are the stupidest mother fuckers on the
> planet. For years the FCC went around telling you that all a person had to
> do was fill out an application and ask for a waiver..well guest what you
> fucking sluts THE FCC FUCKING LIED TO YOU!!! The FCC has NEVER considered
> application and waiver for LPFM ..and you stupid fucks believed them all
> these years. That's why a lot of people went on the air..because the FCC

> wouldn't consider applications and waivers for a low power service. Bitch
my
> group applied six times for a license with waiver and that is why we went
> pirate.because the FCC wouldn't even consider are application or are
waiver
> for a community with no service..and by the way bitch.the two congressman
> who wrote that bill and were the chief sponsor of the RBPA of 2000 are no
> longer in congress because they were voted out by the people of their
state
> because of that shit.
>
>
> And now.2003 for the king bitch whore Ginsburg.
>
>
> "In 1999 the Commission proposed to modify its low-power Radio rules and
> sought public comment upon whether it should "create two classes of low
> power radio service, both of which would operate in the existing FM radio
> band: a 1000- watt primary service and a 100-watt secondary service." Id.
at
> p 1. The Commission also sought comment upon whether it should establish
"a
> third, 'microradio' class of low power radio service that would operate in
> the range of 1 to 10 watts." Id. at p 1."
>
>
> The commission proposed?? That's a bunch of shit the FCC didn't propose it
> someone else did in a Petition for Rulemaking.that's a bunch of fucking
shit
> quit smoking your fucking crack.
>
>
> "The Congress ultimately responded to the Commission's decision by
enacting
> the Radio Broadcast Preservation Act of 2000 (RBPA), Pub. L. No. 106-553,
> 114 Stat. 2762, s 632,"
>
>
> The Congress responded not out of concern of what the FCC was doing but by
> the huge load of cash they were getting from the National Association of
> Broadcasters (NAB). My dickhead congressman John Shitless (Shinkus)
>
>
> Got paid $14,000 from the NAB for the RBPA but that's ok..I'm going make
> sure that son of bitch mother fucker isn't elected again!
>
>
> " We reject also Ruggiero's claim that the character qualification is
> overinclusive because it prohibits all pirates, including those good
pirates

> who stopped broadcasting illegally when ordered to do so, and those
"former
> pirates [who] subsequently have become model citizens," from obtaining a
> license. All unlicensed LPFM broadcasters violated the Communications Act.
> Any unlicensed broadcasting demonstrates a willful disregard of the most
> basic rule of federal broadcasting regulation."
>
>
> Then perhaps " former pirates" like WBCQ shouldn't have a license..and
tell
> me you stupid fuck...when did the disregard to the legal process become
> legal..You stupid fuck head has basically given the FCC the go ahead to
> disregard not only the judiciary process but the Administrative Procedure
> Act (APA). Your stupid thinking is regardless to what a federal judge or
an
> administrative judge says a pirate is a pirate regardless to what legal
> challenge they may bring and regardless of any fact or findings. Your
> fucking retarded thinking is that a pirate is guilty regardless of any
> evidence.well fuck, why do we do that with murders..Hell, Bob Butt much
> killed Joe Dickless but hey there's evidence he not guilty.fuck he's
guilty
> regardless of any evidence. That the same fucking shit you did with
pirates
> then it should apply to any other crime. Perhaps Mr. Ginsburg you should
> stop kissing congress and the federal government ass.
>
>
> And now a few words from my favorite dickhead.Judge Randolph.
>
>
> "There is in short no chilling effect and Ruggiero therefore
>
>
> cannot invoke the overbreadth doctrine."
>
>
> This has to be one of the stupidest mother fucker on the planet...No
> chilling effect??? How about the ability to allow an agency to disregard
any
> legal proceeding as prescribed under the APA not to mention your next
stupid
> fucks comment..
>
>
> "No one has a First Amendment right to a
>
>
> license," Red Lion Broad. Co. v. FCC, 395 U.S. 367, 389
>
>

> (1969), and it follows that no one has a First Amendment
>
>
> right to apply for a license."
>
>
> Then why apply??? If no one has a "right" as you put it to apply for a
> license then why have a licensing system?? Just like the fuck stupid
bastard
> you are; you've created one of the biggest loopholes a pirate would love!
> Because like you said no one has a right to apply for a license..thus, a
> person really shouldn't have to apply since there is no right. Despite
what
> you and your little bitch whore buddies in the NAB may think as the
> secretary of commerce Herbert Hoover once stated The First Amendment and
> radio fall hand in hand..and it stupid fucks like you in the court of
appeal
> that are destroying not only free speech but destroying the legal process.
>
>
> As for the U.S. Supreme Court..there just as fuck stupid as these fucking
> idiots..you know at one time I had great respect for the U.S. Supreme
Court.
> But now..by allowing this stupid fucks to destroy the legal process and
> basically misread Red Lion Broadcasting and bend it to their own fucking
> will I think the U.S. Supreme Court has got to fuck hell and my respect is
> now gone. Because they along with those stupid fuck in the U.S. Court of
> Appeal has failed to grasp reality of what is really go on in the world.
>
>
> Todd Daugherty
>
>
> Taylorville, Illinois
>
>
> December 2003
>
>
>
>

311. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 19:01:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050111140132.09981.00000011@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 101782

In article <1104600901.794763.169430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>Hmmm? Doesn't look like an apology.
>
>Anyway, if Jim and Kelly hadn't put forth the idea that they have
>served, all this wouldn't be an issue.

Hmmm...they might have had jobs as caterer's assistants
and served meals? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

312. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 19:01:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050111140133.09981.00000013@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 101779

In article <aktdt05s5b9k38ibpmlfocsp4lds10viao@4ax.com>, Tony VE6MVP
<tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com> writes:

>On 31 Dec 2004 06:31:51 GMT, lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21) wrote:
>
>>However, a lot of hams
>> go on and on, terribly full of themselves, on implying that Their
>> accomplishment is academic PhD level stuff. :-) [ptui...]
>
>Ah, but to them it is an academic, PhD level stuff. <smile>

...and so is an Ohm's Law of Resistance formula with one
unknown. Some need Java calculator programs on their
PCs in order to solve such difficult "rocket science." Sheesh.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

313. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 19:01:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050111140133.09981.00000012@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 101780

In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal interstate
>highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in California: It
>begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet it's
>yet it's still an "interstate."

Ooooo...going to lecture me about my local geography? :-)

Try Interstate FIVE as an illustration...begins at the Canadian border
goes all the way south through Washington, Oregon, California, ends
at the Mexican border. Over a kilomile long, takes two days to drive
at lawful speeds. Been there, done that, many times.

Gee, if I wanted a LECTURE on highways, I'd go to the AAA website
of my local auto club office...they give out free maps to us members.

>The semester begin tomorrow -- I'll be teaching a calculus class at the
>university campus (which is not a "junior college"), 8:30-9:20 MWF,
>Keller Hall room 403. The class is full but I'll let you register,
>if you think you can handle the class.

Oh, wow.... (a big Ben Stein "wowwww....")

So awfully nice of you, Mr. Petty Chief Ossifer. I'll just go on down to
the nearest ATM, withdraw a few thousand, cross the I-5 over to
Bob Hope Airport and fly over to the Islands...JUST...for the "pleasure"
of hearing you "lecture" on The Calculus! Oh frabjous joy...

>Go back and read my complete post, paying attention to the phrase
>"...technical best seller."

Go for it! "TECHNICAL" best seller! What year? And who was the
compiler of that gem of information? [was it a bookstore in Newington?]
You might try the ABA or some other book industry associations first
to verify some nonsense claim. Or take off your blinders. Whichever
is easiest.

I've only been USING technical books since 1960 and my first job
assignment as an electronics engineer responsible for new design.
The Green Bible, the Blue Bible, Millman & Taub, Henney, Petersen
and Weldon, Floyd Gardner, lots of names which are very familiar
in my small 30+ foot total length personal bookshelf right above the
immediate computer desk here. Since I already write for money
and know several authors (who work at that full time) plus book
store owners-managers, I have some acquaintenceship with the

professional book associations. Now, if you wish to LECTURE me
on "best sellers" of anything, I will invite you to pass gas on a
shuttle flight ("go take a flying fart") between islands.

===

I think you ought to get back to verifying the claim that the Islands
have ham stations at every police and fire station...or whatever you
wrote. [if you also "lecture" in English, somebody rated you wrong]

You might remind the state government to update their web pages
to show those gems of information...or is that "sensitive" info
for certain people's eyes only? :-)

Riiiight...I can just see a Billion-dollar tourist industry "relying" on ham
radio in Times of Troubles to keep their many lines of communications
going during all those natural disasters that beset the Islands all the
time. Riiiight...and there's no military on the Islands other than the
USCG...not even the USARPAC with their Army callsign ADA. :-)

>Accusing me of lying? Are you sure you want to commit libel?

Accuse a MATHEMATICS LECTURER of lying? Heavens no!

Let's just say you don' know no bettah, blalah. :-)

I wouldn't do such terrible things to a kind soul who got a Rhode
Island ham his own "FCC mailing address" in Hawaii.

By the way, how is Mike Deignan? Still busy with all those "ham
clubs" he had callsigns for?

Don't take any wooden pineapples...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

314. Re: Project Diana - 59 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 19:01:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050111140134.09981.00000014@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 101781

In article <1105409512.587488.322250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> http://www.eagle.ca/~harry/ba/eme/
>>
>> http://www.eham.net/articles/9988
>> Many of those involved in the project were hams.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Ahh, yes. Hams doing non-ham stuff.
>I wonder if they calculated the distance to the moon correctly?

Well, Brian, Jimmie done took the post bus out of Monmouth
often enough, could see the big bedspring radar antennas at
The Labs (there were 3 laboratory installations along the
highway). I'm sure he has contributed his Oral History
recording for the archives as have all the veterans of Project
Diana. [hand salute!]

Maybe he forgot to mention the First Ham in Space! According
to tonight's Jeopardy program clues, Ham the Chimp was the
first "American" in space. :-)

Oook, oook!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

315. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 19:08:47 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e34fc9$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101767

Well, this really isn't about pirate radio. Somehow it had gotten into the
debate.
Todd N9OGL
"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:Y%BEd.3421$C52.2704@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> >>>NO the only reason low power FM was created was due to the continued
> >
> > growth
> >
> >>>of pirate radio.
> >>
>
> >
> > The pirate movement continued to grow long after dunifer.
> >
>
> Today with the Internet and web sites and streaming audio,
> doing a pirate radio station seems kinda pointless. You
> can get your message and music out, and save on FCC fines,
> with the 'net. Or lease time on a starving AM station in
> your city if you're dead set on using radio. Though the
> 'net would be more effective to distribute your message.
>

316. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 20:37:49 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <xOWEd.4385$pZ4.903@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101783

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20050111140133.09981.00000013@mb-m18.aol.com...

: ...and so is an Ohm's Law of Resistance formula with one
: unknown. Some need Java calculator programs on their
: PCs in order to solve such difficult "rocket science." Sheesh.

Is there an echo in here?
.......Is there an echo in here?

LenOver,

Your crapola is hard enuf to read once, let alone 2-3 times. Take a course
in computer basics.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

317. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 22:16:20 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e37bbe$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101772

not true information bulletins which no matter how you look at it is a
"form" of broadcast is legal. As long as the programs or information is
amateur radio related.
Todd N9OGL

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:aYKEd.4164$C52.216@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> > Well, this really isn't about pirate radio. Somehow it had gotten into
the
> > debate.
>
> True, but broadcasting on the ham bands is also illegal.
> And is probably just as ineffective for getting your
> message across.
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

318. Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 22:48:24 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ia64co0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101784

N2EY asked what the rule authorization was for operation of an amateur statsion on an expired license if renewal was made in a timely manner.

Section 1.62(a) of the FCC Rules (not a Part 97 Rule) says:

§1.62 Operation pending action on renewal application.

(a)(1) Where there is pending before the Commission at the time of expiration of license any proper and timely application for renewal of license with respect to any activity of a continuing nature, in accordance with the provisions of Section 9(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act, such license shall continue in effect without further action by the Commission until such time as the Commission shall make a final determination with respect to the renewal application. No operation by any licensee under this section shall be construed as a finding by the Commission that the operation will serve the public interest, convenience, or necessity, nor shall such operation in any way affect or limit the action of the Commission with respect to any pending application or proceeding.

Lots of words for a simple concept.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

319. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-11 23:37:49 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ia66n13.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101785

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 01:57:20 GMT, Mel A. Nomah wrote:

>: You are telling me "NO" when I was the one who prepared all the
>: paperwork for the Dunifer case, read every filing, and sat at the
>: table for each and every hearing?
>:
>: What planet are you living on, fella? That was MY case.
>:
>
>OM Phil,
>
>Attached find a sample of his work from his new "blog" page. Do you wonder
>why his applications are not viewed with favor?

"Beautiful". That says it all.

At least Dunifer, who used the same language to the agents who
nabbed him, was smart enough to get the services of a top-notch
National Lawyers Guild attorney (Luke Hiken - whom I respect very
much even though we were on opposite side sof the case) who
presented his arguments in a much more literate and acceptable
manner.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

320. Re: ARS License Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 00:12:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050111191253.00916.00000010@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 101786

In article <1105453920.198705.91220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
(James Psychochief Miccolis, Kommandant uf
das Neugruppen Waffe) writes:

>Or perhaps it's an attempt to bring others down to his level, get them
>fighting with each other, etc.

Tsk, tsk, I'm not needed for such infighting. You lads do right
fine all by yourselves. :-)

>Consider that Len is not a ham, has never been one, and probably
>never will be one. He isn't even very knowledgeable about Part 97,
>as illustrated by his ignorance of 97.21(b).
>
>So why is he preaching to the FCC and the online world about
>how ham radio should be? He won't tell us his motivation.

Well, Herr Gruppekommandant, it's time to "show you my papers"
and confess all -

Way back in prehistory of 1952 (der kommandant didn't exist
then), I had these terrible thoughts of Patriotism and stuff,
hadn't been to the sacred halls of ivy yet, and thought to volunteer
my body for Army service.

Ya see, as a poor ignorant soul, nobody had told me my body is
SO precious that I shouldn't put it in harm's way like volunteering
for the military when there was an actual War (shudders) On. We
had several hams in my home town but nobody thought to Elmer
me on being "able to serve in 'other' ways." That was the first
mistake.

The second mistake was the Army assigning me to Signal School
for a rarely-heard-of MOS called Microwave Radio Relay. (remember
that 1952 was, after all, "prehistory") Hams all knew that there was
only black magic above 30 MHz and that no REAL hams found it
useful. The rest of the radio world didn't matter...only REAL hams
knew what was good in radio and what was not.

The Army did a third mistake! They assigned me to an Army radio
station in Tokyo. One of those "small" places with only about 36
HF transmitters that was in operation 24/7 and serving the Far
East Command Headquarters. Microwave radio relay equipment
installation had been delayed by a year or so so I had to learn all
about high-power HF transmitters, how to operate them, how to do
maintenance on them, how to fix them when they went bad. Plus
the essentials of HF networking, TTY-RTTY, the original SSB, along

with VHF and UHF radio relay operation and maintenance that the Army eventually replaced with microwaves.

In the midst of all that, I compounded the mistake by trying to LEARN and do better at what I did. Shame on me. I should have read the ARRL publications a lot more than I had. The Army wasn't using any morse code whatsoever in carrying massive message traffic across the Pacific! Maybe the ARRL was already falling down on the Lobbying job because they had NO effect on the Signal Office, USA! Lots of very olde-tyme hammes were sitting around shaking their heads at the stupidity of the U.S. military for not using more morse code mode in the 1950s! [it's a wonder hams didn't march on the Pentagon to demand More CW!]

Tsk. The Mistakes didn't stop. I got a commercial radio operator's license so that I could make some money in broadcasting before moving to the sunbelt (I choice of Florida or California depending on the art school). I've been told that I NEED TO GET A HAM LICENSE FIRST in order to SHOW INTEREST IN RADIO! Except I remained ignorant since nobody TOLD ME that back then.

So, getting accepted at Art Center School of Design (to be an industrial illustrator), I'm also working at Hughes Aircraft Company doing environmental testing. More new techniques to learn and be good at, but, unfortunately, ARRL didn't have any useful literature to Elmer me in that. Morsemanship wasn't needed in environmental testing, or in radar sets (HAC El Segundo made military airborne radars then). It might shock some to know that 20 WPM morse skill isn't needed for 10g vibration testing and the temperature extremes aren't found in ham shacks, except maybe Antarctica where hams were supposedly the only link to the outside world (according to the League). Had I become a ham and advanced to Extra, I no doubt would have KNOWN all those things just by the license grant (an epiphany) but I had to listen to other, non-hams, some with degrees, some without, all working IN the aerospace industry.

Well, since HAC wasn't pioneering any morsemanship on HF methods, the usual aerospace halts and groans caused a halt in some salaries. I moved over to Ramo-Wooldridge and work in Electronic Warfare systems (such as on the Quail decoy missle, a weird little MacDonnell airbreather that could electronically imitate one or more B-52s). No morsemanship needed there at all, not even anything on HF! [must have been an oversight of both Simon Ramo and Dean Wooldridge when they got Thompson Products backing to start what would eventually grow into TRW] I'm sure that Simon, Dean, and the USAF wasn't listening to ARRL advice on radio as to what was the "best" for all. More mistakes and more prominent ones too!

In late 1958 several more mistakes happened. I changed major to electrical engineering from illustration. I was way to confused to continue on an absolute straight-and-narrow immaculate path of

life and decided to be an engineer instead of illustrator (an artist who depicts things as they really are). The FCC created the Class C and D Citizens Band Service, a TOTALLY HORRIBLE MISTAKE by them that shall be cursed to the end of time by all REAL hams, the morsemen of the apocaleptic. Imagine! NO morse code skill needed for ordinary citizens to get ON HF radio, not even any TEST at all! Even worse was an individual changing their career goals before their education is completed! HORRORS.

Oh, the mistakes get worse. I bought a Johnson Viking CB transceiver and got a CB license...and could do only about 8 WPM morse, if that. Several tried to verbally horse-whip me on that but I got away. Worked great in the all-aluminum body '53 Austin-Healey sports car, tooled around southern Cal talking to other mistake-prone evil grownups who used HF without a valid morse test! American-made CB radios! Talk about prehistoric times! Law-breakers all, no shame, sort of like the Old West.

I know its hard to believe but USA university curricula do NOT require any morsemanship or being-licensed-in-amateur-radio-to show-interest-in-radio!!! Lots of college students in both day and night classes were interested but, sadly, without that REQUISITE ham license FIRST in order to show their interest. BIG mistake. Done by all the little colleges out here...UCLA, USC, Berkeley, you know, tha small ones without the ivy all over.

Tsk. More mistakes beginning early, like high-fidelity music interest since high school. Instead of wanting to listen to good sound, I should have worked very hard at perceiving the "music of morse" (monotonal, aperiodic). My contemporaries liked to hear the false music of symphonies and jazz bands. Shame on us. We knew no better than to trust our own senses.

Then personal computing! Absolutely NO relationship to morse or pioneering HF radio by working DX with morsemanship. We hedonistic number-loving infidels went beyond the limited ranges of "73" and "599" to enable the PC boom to explode in the late 1970s. We should have spent our time in monastaries of morse copying the treasures of Hiram's writings and REAL morse code, not the graven images of source or assembler code of the false gods such as the ACM or the IBM of Armonk. We sinned mightily and forsake the divine ordained religious leaders at the holy city of Newington.

Yes, we infidels denigrating the True Calling should have worked our morsemanship and pioneered the airwaves for Telstar, microwaves across the continents, the communications satellites giving us near-instant communications across the globe, the Deep Space Network, Men ON the Moon televised live, the Internet, the cellular telephone...all of which use absolutely NO morsemanship to devise or build or perfect. We all had FALSE MOTIVATION.

Yes, it's a mighty CONSPIRACY against the amateur morsemen, begun before most of them existed, deliberately kept up to humiliate them and keep them from perfecting the Antique Radiotelegraphic Society (ARS) of the United States. We are all WORKING AGAINST YOU MORSEMEN in a titanic struggle for power (but only in news-groups) and THREATENING YOUR MIGHTY EGOS!

It was all a mistake. An evil, antichrist-sort of mistake, compounded many times, deliberately aimed at all those mighty macho morse-men in this newsgroup. We have defiled your divine wishes, holy fathers. We ask no penance, no absolution for our sins (or sines).

We answer to a Higher Order, not to your demands. Go thee and perform auto-intercourse.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

321. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 01:27:58 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050111202758.05425.00000016@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 101787

In article <xOWEd.4385$pZ4.903@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> writes:

>Your crapola is hard enuf to read once, let alone 2-3 times. Take a course >in computer basics.

It's the fault of that wonderful ISP called AOL and their very latest softwares...at THEIR end, not here. AOL has been advised. Again.

BTW, I've done commercial and amateur computer programming in addition to electronic design engineering and was a member of the ACM in the past, though always with the IEEE since '73.

You are welcome to file TOS charges against me with AOL any time you wish. TOS = Terms Of Service. Tell them that the cancer man doesn't like me. Let us see if cancer can spread.

Meanwhile on your "computer suggestion," go shove it up your I/O port.

With fondest regards,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

322. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 01:27:58 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050111202758.05425.00000017@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 101788

In article <1105463304.061161.36610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM writes:

>Some people take the correction of their mistakes as an insult. I
>don't.

Tsk. You don't even "take" them. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

323. Mixed-Mode Operation on Voice Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 02:30:22 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZY%Ed.16048$iC4.5722@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101789

http://www.uspacket.org/mixmode.htm

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

324. Re: Mixed-Mode Operation on Voice Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 02:44:40 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ia6fag5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101790

On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 02:30:22 GMT, Charles Brabham wrote:

>http://www.uspacket.org/mixmode.htm

Interesting article. Very near the front is a patent inaccuracy:

"Probably the most well-known mixed-mode operation occurs in
police vehicles, where data is squirted across to the patrol car
on voice channels. The data is usually information about a person,
a vehicle, or a location and is displayed in a small screen in the
cruiser."

Please don't tell that to my public safety communications clients
who all use separate dedicated channels for voice and data, albeit
in the same general frequency range. It will only confuse them....
<ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

325. Re: Mixed-Mode Operation on Voice Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 04:34:42 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <CN1Fd.16223$iC4.4095@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101792

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ia6fag5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 02:30:22 GMT, Charles Brabham wrote:
>
>>http://www.uspacket.org/mixmode.htm
>
> Interesting article. Very near the front is a patent inaccuracy:
>
> "Probably the most well-known mixed-mode operation occurs in
> police vehicles, where data is squirted across to the patrol car
> on voice channels. The data is usually information about a person,
> a vehicle, or a location and is displayed in a small screen in the
> cruiser."
>
> Please don't tell that to my public safety communications clients
> who all use separate dedicated channels for voice and data, albeit
> in the same general frequency range. It will only confuse them....
> <ggg>

The level of police tech varies quite a bit from place to place. Many places do use the mixed-mode system I described. I didn't characterize it as the latest development or anything like that, as I don't keep up with the latest police tech.

So - it is "inaccurate" where you live, but things tend to vary... Most of it's not at all like the old home QTH.

Charles, N5PVL

326. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 05:25:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105507550.339027.209780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101793

Although his gross errors vis-a-vis radio regulations have been
out there for a week now, Lennie can't seem time to retract his error
and apologize for it, like a MAN would.

However he DOES have time to gin-up yet another diversion AROUND
it.

Leonard H. Anderson is a liar.

Leonard H. Anderson is not trustworthy.

Steve, K4YZ

Len of 21 wrote:

> In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
(Jeffrey Herman)
> writes:
>
>
> >It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal
interstate
> >highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in California:
It
> >begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet
it's
> >yet it's still an "interstate."
>
> Ooooo...going to lecture me about my local geography?

He might as well. You've made errors on geography, topography,
aerography, and a handful of OTHER -phy's before.

> Try Interstate FIVE as an illustration...begins at the Canadian
border
> goes all the way south through Washington, Oregon, California,
ends
> at the Mexican border. Over a kilomile long, takes two days to
drive
> at lawful speeds. Been there, done that, many times.

Moving those bales of grass to supplement that income, Lennie?
Don't get caught!

> Gee, if I wanted a LECTURE on highways, I'd go to the AAA website
> of my local auto club office...they give out free maps to us
members.

I get FREE maps without ANY "membership" from MapQuest.
Step-by-step instructions, if I wanted them.

> >The semester begin tomorrow -- I'll be teaching a calculus class at
the
> >university campus (which is not a "junior college"), 8:30-9:20 MWF,
> >Keller Hall room 403. The class is full but I'll let you register,
> >if you think you can handle the class.
>
> Oh, wow.... (a big Ben Stein "wowwww....")

I am sure YOU make Ben Stein look like a hula dancer.

> So awfully nice of you, Mr. Petty Chief Ossifer.

Ahhhhhhhhh! There's MORE of that "I respect veterans" stuff
Lennie's always bragging on hisself about!~

He BRAGS about it...doesn't do it...

> I'll just go on down to
> the nearest ATM, withdraw a few thousand, cross the I-5 over to
> Bob Hope Airport and fly over to the Islands...JUST...for the
"pleasure"
> of hearing you "lecture" on The Calculus! Oh frabjous joy...

A >>few<< thousand...?!?! Sheesh, Lennie...even I can get to and
back from Hawaii for less than ONE thousand, and still have a couple
bucks for a decent night's lodgings and local cuisine.

What's YOUR problem?

> >Go back and read my complete post, paying attention to the phrase
> >"...technical best seller."
>
> Go for it! "TECHNICAL" best seller! What year? And who was the
> compiler of that gem of information? [was it a bookstore in
Newington?]
> You might try the ABA or some other book industry associations
first
> to verify some nonsense claim. Or take off your blinders.
Whichever
> is easiest.

I can gaurantee you the name on the book is NOT "Leonard H.
Anderson!"

An unknown. Not even recognized in his own peer-group, let alone
the shelves of B.Dalton Bookseller or Barnes & Noble! Forced to
"write" some dubiously original items for an Amateur Radio journal
(since defunct) to get his name in print.

Some "professional".

> I've only been USING technical books since 1960...

WHOA THERE YOUR SCUMMINESS ! ! !

What happened to all those magnificent years as the World's
Greatest Military Communicator! from 1953 to 1956...?!?!

You just memorized all those manuals and never again opened one
up...?!?!

> ....and my first job
> assignment as an electronics engineer responsible for new design.

Lessee...YOU stated that you went to night school for 14 years to
earn a degree.

NO ONE is titled an "electronics engineer" without at LEAST an
Bachelor's Degree.

So...we have you in the Armed Forces from 1953 to 1956 (Active
Duty). You've enthralled us with a litany of other petty jobs in that
four year span from 56 to 60 before, NONE of which included enough
college to get you a Bachelor's in ANY discipline, let alone electrical
engineering.

NOW you're insinuating that you were an "electrical engineer" in
1960...?!?!

And one allegedly responsible for "new design"...?!?!

The math and your previous rants don't add up, Putzman!

> The Green Bible, the Blue Bible, Millman & Taub, Henney, Petersen
> and Weldon, Floyd Gardner, lots of names which are very familiar
> in my small 30+ foot total length personal bookshelf right above
the
> immediate computer desk here. Since I already write for money
> and know several authors (who work at that full time) plus book
> store owners-managers, I have some acquaintenceship with the
> professional book associations.

I am sure you have many, many pretty books...You MIGHT even take
them down once in a while to look a the pictures. Dust them off
occassionally with Mrs Putzman's correspondence courses, no doubt?

> Now, if you wish to LECTURE me
> on "best sellers" of anything, I will invite you to pass gas on a
> shuttle flight ("go take a flying fart") between islands.

More of that "professional respect" stuff that Lennie brags about.

He doesn't DO it, mind you, but he DOES BRAG about it!

> I think you ought to get back to verifying the claim that the
Islands
> have ham stations at every police and fire station...or whatever
you
> wrote. [if you also "lecture" in English, somebody rated you

wrong]

"...or whatever you wrote".

Uh huh. Trying to shoot from the hip again, Lennie...?!?! That
only works in John Wayne movies, and YOU ain't John Wayne!

> You might remind the state government to update their web pages
> to show those gems of information...or is that "sensitive" info
> for certain people's eyes only? :-)
>
> Riiiight...I can just see a Billion-dollar tourist industry
"relying" on ham
> radio in Times of Troubles to keep their many lines of
communications
> going during all those natural disasters that beset the Islands
all the
> time. Riiiight...and there's no military on the Islands other
than the
> USCG...not even the USARPAC with their Army callsign ADA.

Somehow you KNOW he had to work in a refrence to "ADA".

> >Accusing me of lying? Are you sure you want to commit libel?
>
> Accuse a MATHEMATICS LECTURER of lying? Heavens no!
>
> Let's just say you don' know no bettah, blalah.

So far, the one who doesn't "know no bettah"...is the ALLEGED
"radio professional" who doesn't seem to know some very basic things
about radio regulations.

> I wouldn't do such terrible things to a kind soul who got a Rhode
> Island ham his own "FCC mailing address" in Hawaii.
>
> By the way, how is Mike Deignan? Still busy with all those "ham
> clubs" he had callsigns for?

One things for certain...

You STILL don't have the basic requirements to be the control
operator of ANY club station.

> Don't take any wooden pineapples...

We WOULD, however, like to suggest you increase your daily intake
of Geritol.

Steve, K4YZ

327. Re: New To Radio? Beware of Leonard H. Anderson!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 05:26:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105507593.456620.13390@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101794

Although his gross errors vis-a-vis radio regulations have been
out there for a week now, Lennie can't seem time to retract his error
and apologize for it, like a MAN would.

However he DOES have time to gin-up yet another diversion AROUND
it.

Leonard H. Anderson is a liar.

Leonard H. Anderson is not trustworthy.

Steve, K4YZ

Len of 21 wrote:

> In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
(Jeffrey Herman)
> writes:
>
>
> >It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal
interstate
> >highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in California:
It
> >begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet
it's
> >yet it's still an "interstate."
>
> Ooooo...going to lecture me about my local geography?

He might as well. You've made errors on geography, topography,
aerography, and a handful of OTHER -phy's before.

> Try Interstate FIVE as an illustration...begins at the Canadian
border
> goes all the way south through Washington, Oregon, California,
ends
> at the Mexican border. Over a kilomile long, takes two days to
drive
> at lawful speeds. Been there, done that, many times.

Moving those bales of grass to supplement that income, Lennie?
Don't get caught!

> Gee, if I wanted a LECTURE on highways, I'd go to the AAA website
> of my local auto club office...they give out free maps to us
members.

I get FREE maps without ANY "membership" from MapQuest.
Step-by-step instructions, if I wanted them.

> >The semester begin tomorrow -- I'll be teaching a calculus class at
the
> >university campus (which is not a "junior college"), 8:30-9:20 MWF,
> >Keller Hall room 403. The class is full but I'll let you register,
> >if you think you can handle the class.
>
> Oh, wow.... (a big Ben Stein "wowwww....")

I am sure YOU make Ben Stein look like a hula dancer.

> So awfully nice of you, Mr. Petty Chief Ossifer.

Ahhhhhhhhh! There's MORE of that "I respect veterans" stuff
Lennie's always bragging on hisself about!~

He BRAGS about it...doesn't do it...

> I'll just go on down to
> the nearest ATM, withdraw a few thousand, cross the I-5 over to
> Bob Hope Airport and fly over to the Islands...JUST...for the
"pleasure"
> of hearing you "lecture" on The Calculus! Oh frabjous joy...

A >>few<< thousand...?!?! Sheesh, Lennie...even I can get to and
back from Hawaii for less than ONE thousand, and still have a couple
bucks for a decent night's lodgings and local cuisine.

What's YOUR problem?

> >Go back and read my complete post, paying attention to the phrase
> >"...technical best seller."
>
> Go for it! "TECHNICAL" best seller! What year? And who was the
> compiler of that gem of information? [was it a bookstore in
Newington?]
> You might try the ABA or some other book industry associations
first
> to verify some nonsense claim. Or take off your blinders.
Whichever
> is easiest.

I can gaurantee you the name on the book is NOT "Leonard H.
Anderson!"

An unknown. Not even recognized in his own peer-group, let alone
the shelves of B.Dalton Bookseller or Barnes & Noble! Forced to
"write" some dubiously original items for an Amateur Radio journal
(since defunct) to get his name in print.

Some "professional".

> I've only been USING technical books since 1960...

WHOA THERE YOUR SCUMMINESS ! ! !

What happened to all those magnificent years as the World's
Greatest Military Communicator! from 1953 to 1956...?!?!

You just memorized all those manuals and never again opened one
up...?!?!

> ....and my first job
> assignment as an electronics engineer responsible for new design.

Lessee...YOU stated that you went to night school for 14 years to
earn a degree.

NO ONE is titled an "electronics engineer" without at LEAST an
Bachelor's Degree.

So...we have you in the Armed Forces from 1953 to 1956 (Active
Duty). You've enthralled us with a litany of other petty jobs in that
four year span from 56 to 60 before, NONE of which included enough
college to get you a Bachelor's in ANY discipline, let alone electrical
engineering.

NOW you're insinuating that you were an "electrical engineer" in
1960...?!?!

And one allegedly responsible for "new design"...?!?!

The math and your previous rants don't add up, Putzman!

> The Green Bible, the Blue Bible, Millman & Taub, Henney, Petersen
> and Weldon, Floyd Gardner, lots of names which are very familiar
> in my small 30+ foot total length personal bookshelf right above
the
> immediate computer desk here. Since I already write for money
> and know several authors (who work at that full time) plus book
> store owners-managers, I have some acquaintenceship with the
> professional book associations.

I am sure you have many, many pretty books...You MIGHT even take
them down once in a while to look a the pictures. Dust them off
occassionally with Mrs Putzman's correspondence courses, no doubt?

> Now, if you wish to LECTURE me
> on "best sellers" of anything, I will invite you to pass gas on a
> shuttle flight ("go take a flying fart") between islands.

More of that "professional respect" stuff that Lennie brags about.

He doesn't DO it, mind you, but he DOES BRAG about it!

> I think you ought to get back to verifying the claim that the
Islands
> have ham stations at every police and fire station...or whatever
you
> wrote. [if you also "lecture" in English, somebody rated you

wrong]

"...or whatever you wrote".

Uh huh. Trying to shoot from the hip again, Lennie...?!?! That only works in John Wayne movies, and YOU ain't John Wayne!

> You might remind the state government to update their web pages
> to show those gems of information...or is that "sensitive" info
> for certain people's eyes only? :-)
>
> Riiiight...I can just see a Billion-dollar tourist industry "relying" on ham
> radio in Times of Troubles to keep their many lines of communications
> going during all those natural disasters that beset the Islands all the
> time. Riiiight...and there's no military on the Islands other than the
> USCG...not even the USARPAC with their Army callsign ADA.

Somehow you KNOW he had to work in a refrence to "ADA".

> >Accusing me of lying? Are you sure you want to commit libel?
>
> Accuse a MATHEMATICS LECTURER of lying? Heavens no!
>
> Let's just say you don' know no bettah, blalah.

So far, the one who doesn't "know no bettah"...is the ALLEGED "radio professional" who doesn't seem to know some very basic things about radio regulations.

> I wouldn't do such terrible things to a kind soul who got a Rhode
> Island ham his own "FCC mailing address" in Hawaii.
>
> By the way, how is Mike Deignan? Still busy with all those "ham
> clubs" he had callsigns for?

One things for certain...

You STILL don't have the basic requirements to be the control operator of ANY club station.

> Don't take any wooden pineapples...

We WOULD, however, like to suggest you increase your daily intake of Geritol.

Steve, K4YZ

328. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 07:13:47 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <L64Fd.5192$C52.4931@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101795

Todd Daugherty wrote:

>
> Of course you have never heard my program which is nothing but amateur radio
> information and only amateur radio information..
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>

Don't call it "broadcast" then. Say "information bulletins"
and everything is cool, as long as the material is nothing but
amateur radio information and only amateur radio information..

329. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 07:26:18 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ui4Fd.4249$KJ2.3399@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101796

Phil Kane wrote:

> N2EY asked what the rule authorization was for operation of an
> amateur statsion on an expired license if renewal was made in a
> timely manner.
>
> Section 1.62(a) of the FCC Rules (not a Part 97 Rule) says:
>
> ï¿½1.62 Operation pending action on renewal application.
>
> (a)(1) Where there is pending before the Commission at the
> time of expiration of license any proper and timely application
> for renewal of license with respect to any activity of a
> continuing nature, in accordance with the provisions of Section
> 9(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act, such license shall
> continue in effect without further action by the Commission
> until such time as the Commission shall make a final
> determination with respect to the renewal application. No
> operation by any licensee under this section shall be construed
> as a finding by the Commission that the operation will serve
> the public interest, convenience, or necessity, nor shall such
> operation in any way affect or limit the action of the
> Commission with respect to any pending application or
> proceeding.
>
> Lots of words for a simple concept.
>

My translation to English: If you send in the renewal
before your license's expiration date, you can continue
to use the privs of the license until the FCC says
that they ain't gonna renew it. I suppose the postmark
(back in the old days of paper snail mail) would determine
that you sent it before the license was to expire. One
probably should do a return receipt to show to the FCC
field guy that you did mail it and that they got it.
But that just says that you mailed *something*, not
that you sent the right form filled out right.

If you're late sending it in, you have to stay off
the air until you get the new license in teh mail
(or at least when you show in the FCC database as
having been renewed).

Yes?

330. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 10:59:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105527542.063689.91830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101797

robert casey wrote:
> Phil Kane wrote:
>
> > N2EY asked what the rule authorization was for operation of an
> > amateur statsion on an expired license if renewal was made in a
> > timely manner.
> >
> > Section 1.62(a) of the FCC Rules (not a Part 97 Rule) says:
> >
> > §1.62 Operation pending action on renewal application.
> >
> > (a)(1) Where there is pending before the Commission at the
> > time of expiration of license any proper and timely
application
> > for renewal of license with respect to any activity of a
> > continuing nature, in accordance with the provisions of
Section
> > 9(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act, such license shall
> > continue in effect without further action by the Commission
> > until such time as the Commission shall make a final
> > determination with respect to the renewal application. No
> > operation by any licensee under this section shall be
construed
> > as a finding by the Commission that the operation will serve
> > the public interest, convenience, or necessity, nor shall
such
> > operation in any way affect or limit the action of the
> > Commission with respect to any pending application or
> > proceeding.
> >
> > Lots of words for a simple concept.

Thanks, Phil!

Seems to me that key words are:

"proper and timely application for renewal of license"

"activity of a continuing nature, in accordance with the provisions of
Section 9(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act,"

Lots of room for interpretation there?

For example, if I mail in a renewal the day before expiration, is that
"timely"?

> My translation to English: If you send in the renewal
> before your license's expiration date, you can continue
> to use the privs of the license until the FCC says
> that they ain't gonna renew it. I suppose the postmark
> (back in the old days of paper snail mail) would determine
> that you sent it before the license was to expire.

See above about "timely".

> One
> probably should do a return receipt to show to the FCC
> field guy that you did mail it and that they got it.
> But that just says that you mailed *something*, not
> that you sent the right form filled out right.

Exactly! And how does anyone know it's "proper" until the Commission
rules?

I bet the occasional old Form 610 still shows up at FCC Hq. for a
renewal.
>
> If you're late sending it in, you have to stay off
> the air until you get the new license in teh mail
> (or at least when you show in the FCC database as
> having been renewed).
>
> Yes?

That's how I see it. But with online renewal and the database, you
don't need to wait for the mail.

But what is the interpretation of "activity of a continuing nature"?
Obviously a broadcaster or safety service transmitter that is on-air
24/7 would be covered. But most amateur operation is of an
intermittent, unscheduled, unprogrammed nature - would it be considered
"activity of a continuing nature" if the ham is on the air on an
irregular schedule?

--

So it appears that, in *some* cases (where a renewal application was
previously filed), a ham with an expired license may be able to operate
legally in the grace period.
But definitely not in all cases.

73 de Jim, N2EY

331. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 12:58:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105534735.663111.36820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101798

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <1105463304.061161.36610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
N2EY@AOL.COM
> writes:
>
> >Some people take the correction of their mistakes as an insult. I
> >don't.
>
> Tsk. You don't even "take" them.

Leonard H, Anderson has expressed yet another lie.

Why does he do this?

What causes a person with his alleged years of education and
experience to intentionally make untruthful statements in a public
forum...?!?!? ESPECIALLY a forum that is automatically archived and
immediately retrievable?

What an I D I O T ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

332. Re: ARS License Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 13:26:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050112082607.18828.00000049@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 101799

>Subject: Re: ARS License Number
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 1/11/2005 6:12 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20050111191253.00916.00000010@mb-m24.aol.com>
>
>In article <1105453920.198705.91220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
>N2EY@AOL.COM
>(James Psychochief Miccolis, Kommandant uf
>das Neugruppen Waffe) writes:

Yet more of Lennie's self-defeating belittlements.

>>Or perhaps it's an attempt to bring others down to his level, get them
>>fighting with each other, etc.
>
> Tsk, tsk, I'm not needed for such infighting. You lads do right
> fine all by yourselves

Lennie ! PLEASE! You're ALL ABOUT being disruptful, antagonizing and
arrogant!

>>Consider that Len is not a ham, has never been one, and probably
>>never will be one. He isn't even very knowledgeable about Part 97,
>>as illustrated by his ignorance of 97.21(b).
>>
>>So why is he preaching to the FCC and the online world about
>>how ham radio should be? He won't tell us his motivation.
>
> Well, Herr Gruppekommandant, it's time to "show you my papers"
> and confess all.

More self-defeating belittlements.

> Way back in prehistory of 1952 (der kommandant didn't exist
> then), I had these terrible thoughts of Patriotism and stuff,
> hadn't been to the sacred halls of ivy yet, and thought to volunteer
> my body for Army service.

No doubt you DO consider patriotism as being terrible. Your grossly
disgusting self-promoting on the sacrifices of Soldiers who died three years
before you were in the service are prime example.

> Ya see, as a poor ignorant soul, nobody had told me my body is
> SO precious that I shouldn't put it in harm's way like volunteering
> for the military when there was an actual War (shudders) On. We
> had several hams in my home town but nobody thought to Elmer
> me on being "able to serve in 'other' ways." That was the first

> mistake.

Ahhhhhh.....so here we have yet ANOTHER attempt to polish your own brass
by associating yourslef with the Army DURING the war.

YOU WEREN'T EVEN IN THE ARMY THEN!

> The second mistake was the Army assigning me to Signal School
> for a rarely-heard-of MOS called Microwave Radio Relay. (remember
> that 1952 was, after all, "prehistory") Hams all knew that there was
> only black magic above 30 MHz and that no REAL hams found it
> useful. The rest of the radio world didn't matter...only REAL hams
> knew what was good in radio and what was not.

Ahhhyes, the "Microwave Radio Relay". Lennie the Radio Mechanic. Assigned
to an outpost that WASN'T configured for the stuff youw ere trained on, just so
they could get you out of the way.

> The Army did a third mistake! They assigned me to an Army radio
> station in Tokyo. One of those "small" places with only about 36
> HF transmitters that was in operation 24/7 and serving the Far
> East Command Headquarters. Microwave radio relay equipment
> installation had been delayed by a year or so so I had to learn all
> about high-power HF transmitters, how to operate them, how to do
> maintenance on them, how to fix them when they went bad. Plus
> the essentials of HF networking, TTY-RTTY, the original SSB, along
> with VHF and UHF radio relay operation and maintenance that the
> Army eventually replaced with microwaves.

You were never a "radio operator", according the the MOS'es you've cited,
Lennie.

You were just a radio mechanic. And I doubt you had much to do with THAT
either.

> In the midst of all that, I compounded the mistake by trying to LEARN
> and do better at what I did.

And you made a life-long career of it...Mostly by riding the coat-tails of
others who DID "do better".

> Shame on me. I should have read the ARRL publications a lot more than I
had.

Guess what I found in the Army MARS station on Okinawa, Lennie...???

The WHOLE SET of ARRL publications.

> The Army wasn't using
> any morse code whatsoever in carrying massive message traffic
> across the Pacific! Maybe the ARRL was already falling down on
> the Lobbying job because they had NO effect on the Signal Office,
> USA! Lots of very olde-tyme hammes were sitting around shaking
> their heads at the stupidity of the U.S. military for not using more

> morse code mode in the 1950s! [it's a wonder hams didn't march
> on the Pentagon to demand More CW!]

Dunno why you keep trying to work some unfounded rants about Morse Code
use into your already dubiously factual posts, Lennie.

> Tsk. The Mistakes didn't stop. I got a commercial radio operator's
> license so that I could make some money in broadcasting before
> moving to the sunbelt (I choice of Florida or California depending on
> the art school).

But you've not been involved in "broadcasting", Lennie. Unless sitting on
your TV remote or garage door opener counts.

> I've been told that I NEED TO GET A HAM LICENSE
> FIRST in order to SHOW INTEREST IN RADIO! Except I remained
> ignorant since nobody TOLD ME that back then.

That's not what you've been told, but keep on lying in public, Lennie...

>So, getting accepted at Art Center School of Design...(SNIP)

>Well, since HAC wasn't pioneering any morsemanship on HF...(SNIP)

>In late 1958 several more mistakes happened...(SNIP)

>Oh, the mistakes get worse. I bought a Johnson Viking CB
> transceiver and got a CB license...(SNIP)

THAT explains a lot.

>...and could do only about 8 WPM morse, if that.

A lie. Then. Now. Tomorrow.

>I know its hard to believe but USA university curricula do NOT
> require any morsemanship or being-licensed-in-amateur-radio-to
> show-interest-in-radio!!! ...(SNIP)

Lying again, but hey, it's all you really ARE good at.

>Tsk. More mistakes beginning early, like high-fidelity music
> interest since high school....(SNIP)

I can almost see you in a Zoot Suit or with your hair greased back at the
Sock Hop.

> Instead of wanting to listen to good
> sound, I should have worked very hard at perceiving the "music
> of morse" (monotonal, aperiodic). My contemporaries liked to
> hear the false music of symphonies and jazz bands. Shame on
> us. We knew no better than to trust our own senses.

On Contraire, Lennie! Your senses of self-promotion, deceit and cheating
worked well for you! Hey, you got away withn it! Be proud!

Of course that's why you WON'T go take an Amateur exam...you KNOW they
won't be bought off or will "look the other way" when you can't pass a closed
book test.

>Then personal computing!

Ahhh yes...Every antagonist get's his own pulpit. RRAP is Lennie's.

> Yes, we infidels denigrating the True Calling should have worked our
> morsemanship and pioneered the airwaves for Telstar, microwaves
> across the continents, the communications satellites giving us
> near-instant communications across the globe, the Deep Space
> Network, Men ON the Moon televised live, the Internet, the cellular
> telephone...all of which use absolutely NO morsemanship to devise
> or build or perfect. We all had FALSE MOTIVATION.

Your only "motivation", and stated over and over by YOU, was money.

Really twits your bolts that we do all those things free!

> Yes, it's a mighty CONSPIRACY against the amateur morsemen,
> begun before most of them existed, deliberately kept up to humiliate
> them and keep them from perfecting the Antique Radiotelegraphic
> Society (ARS) of the United States. We are all WORKING AGAINST
> YOU MORSEMEN in a titanic struggle for power (but only in news-
> groups) and THREATENING YOUR MIGHTY EGOS!

So far, Your Scumbaginess, YOU are the only one with any demonstrated ego
issues.

> It was all a mistake. An evil, antichrist-sort of mistake, compounded
> many times, deliberately aimed at all those mighty macho morse-
> men in this newsgroup. We have defiled your divine wishes, holy
> fathers. We ask no penance, no absolution for our sins (or sines).

I sincerely doubt you can tell a sine wave from an astrological sign
without a book in front of you or a URL to click on.

> We answer to a Higher Order, not to your demands. Go thee and
> perform auto-intercourse.

Always the professional.

Steve, K4YZ

333. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 13:30:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050112083002.18828.00000050@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 101800

>Subject: Re: 24 GHz woes?
>From: lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21)
>Date: 1/11/2005 7:27 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20050111202758.05425.00000016@mb-m01.aol.com>
>
>In article <xOWEd.4385$pZ4.903@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Mel A.
>Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> writes:
>
>>Your crapola is hard enuf to read once, let alone 2-3 times. Take a course
>>in computer basics.
>
> It's the fault of that wonderful ISP called AOL and their very latest
> softwares...at THEIR end, not here. AOL has been advised. Again.

It has absolutely nothing to do with AOL.

It has to do with your reams-long rants and self-promoting diatribes.

> BTW, I've done commercial and amateur computer programming in
> addition to electronic design engineering and was a member of the
> ACM in the past, though always with the IEEE since '73.

I am sure the Church of IEEE aprpeciated it.

> You are welcome to file TOS charges against me with AOL any
> time you wish. TOS = Terms Of Service. Tell them that the
> cancer man doesn't like me. Let us see if cancer can spread.

In your case, we could only hope.

> Meanwhile on your "computer suggestion," go shove it up your
> I/O port.

More professional expression, followed by...

> With fondest regards

A blatant lie and a rip-off of Hans.

Steve, K4YZ

334. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 15:32:07 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <XpbFd.5012$pZ4.1775@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101801

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1105527542.063689.91830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

: So it appears that, in *some* cases (where a renewal
: application was previously filed), a ham with an expired
: license may be able to operate legally in the grace
: period.

After all the indignant and self-righteous heat you've applied to LenOver on this issue, it appears you were >equally ignorant< of the content of Part 97.

97.21(a)(3)(ii) puts it this way:

"When the application has been received by the FCC
on or before the license expiration date, the license
operating authority is continued until the final disposition
of the application."

Been there in the rules for decades, and is commonly known among most people who are even slightly conversant with Part 97.

Didn't you catch on to this when KV4FZ stayed on the air for years after expiration while his renewal was being litigated?

Of course, Herb being an accomplished Morse operator, you'd probably cut him more slack than you cut for LenOver (not that either deserves any).

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

335. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 16:08:39 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <bYbFd.5385$li4.2676@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101802

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1105527542.063689.91830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

: Lots of room for interpretation there?

Interpret this:

97.21(a)(3)(ii)

"When the application has been received by the FCC
on or before the license expiration date, the license
operating authority is continued until the final disposition
of the application."

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

336. Re: Mixed-Mode Operation on Voice Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 17:44:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ia7kyo0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101803

On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 04:34:42 GMT, Charles Brabham wrote:

>> Please don't tell that to my public safety communications clients
>> who all use separate dedicated channels for voice and data, albeit
>> in the same general frequency range. It will only confuse them....
>> <ggg>
>
>The level of police tech varies quite a bit from place to place. Many places
>do use the mixed-mode system I described. I didn't characterize it as the
>latest development or anything like that, as I don't keep up with the latest
>police tech.
>
>So - it is "inaccurate" where you live, but things tend to vary...

That's very true. Our clients are almost all major city and county
law enforcement agencies across the US who barely have enough voice
channels capacity for what they need to do, let alone share some of
them for mixed-use.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

337. Re: Liberal K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 18:21:29 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <JUdFd.5573$li4.2251@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"via Userbeam Remailer" <remailer@userbeam.net> wrote in message
news:f0a9d55a14685c69f80af80d7257a8fc@userbeam.net...
: why dont you get a clue hans fore you shoot
: you stoopid mouth off bout social security
: you liberal kerry jerk
:
: ORIS
:

Hansl is down at the VFW.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

338. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 18:45:21 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e49bc8$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101791

"Carl" <kb9rvb@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:1105465421.505098.113050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> bb wrote:
> > Phil Kane wrote:
> > > On 7 Jan 2005 06:32:52 -0800, Carl wrote:
> > > >I have always thought that "broadcast" is NOT allowed on amateur
> > bands.
> > > > >Where am I mistaken?
> > > You aren't.
> > > -- 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> > With one exception.
>
> The "one exception" is "Communications directly related to the
> immediate safety of human life or the protection of property may be
> provided by amateur stations to broadcasters for dissemination to the
> public where no other means of communication is reasonably available
> before or at the time of the event." The "one exception" is not
> "broadcasting to amateur operators."
>
> Other than the safety exception, Section 97.113 "prohibits amateur
> stations from engaging in any form of broadcasting or in any activity
> related to program production or news gathering for broadcasting
> purposes." Section 97.3 defines the term "broadcasting," in the context
> of the amateur service, as "a transmission intended for reception by
> the general public, either direct or delayed."
>
> What N9OGL and K1MAN contend is "legal" broadcasting is defined by the
> FCC in in Section 97.3 as "an Information Bulletin." It is a message
> directed "only to amateur operators" and consists "solely of subject
> matter of direct interest to the amateur service. The control operator
> of the station transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for
> determining that the subject matter is of direct interest to the
> amateur service."
>
> To label information bulletins as "broadcasting" is just wrong. The
> responsibility of the control operator to determine appropriate subject
> matter for one-way communications should not be construed to allow
> one-way commentary on non-radio issues (such as baseball) just because
> radio operators are interested in baseball.

Of course you have never heard my program which is nothing but amateur radio
information and only amateur radio information..

Todd N9OGL

339. testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 18:46:42 UTC
From: FTIV <do_not_reply@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_b2dnfnuC_EP7XjcRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 101805

this is a test. this is only a test.

340. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 19:32:39 UTC
From: Carl <kb9rvb@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1105558359.625325.183340@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101806

Todd Daugherty wrote:
> Of course you have never heard my program which is nothing but
amateur radio
> information and only amateur radio information..
> Todd N9OGL

And what I wrote was this ...
> > What N9OGL and K1MAN contend is "legal" broadcasting is defined by
the
> > FCC in in Section 97.3 as "an Information Bulletin." It is a
message
> > directed "only to amateur operators" and consists "solely of
subject
> > matter of direct interest to the amateur service. The control
operator
> > of the station transmitting an information bulletin is responsible
for
> > determining that the subject matter is of direct interest to the
> > amateur service."
> >
> > To label information bulletins as "broadcasting" is just wrong. The
> > responsibility of the control operator to determine appropriate
subject
> > matter for one-way communications should not be construed to allow
> > one-way commentary on non-radio issues (such as baseball) just
because
> > radio operators are interested in baseball.

If it is labeled "broad" -cast, it is intended for a _wider_ audience,
defined by the FCC as the general population. If it is information
narrowly aimed at radio hams, then it is "Information Bulletin" and
should be labeled as such.

That is a distinction with a difference.

341. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 20:44:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050112154437.11141.00000019@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 101807

In article <33p1f0F41srm2U1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
<james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>"Lenof21" <lenof21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20041231013151.10298.00000318@mb-m25.aol.com...

>> NTSC color subcarrier is exactly 3.579545454545454545454545....
>> MHz. :-)

With the correct integer divisor and correct integer multiplier, it
comes out to 500 KHz fairly exact. Most TV stations of the old
days had color subcarrier generators that were beat against
WWV on HF. It was picked for that very reason. Smart pickers
even back then.

>Irritated, I packed up the tools and went back down - and found everyone
>drinking my beer!!!! Sigh ... ok, I went in to the television to turn it
>off - and there was a signal indication of 85! I pulled out the credit card
>and called Direct Tv. Bam! On came the whole 9 yards. Further testing the
>following day revealed that I could not get a better signal. Lucky indeed.
>BTW, by the next day, I had run coax both upstairs to the bedroom and also
>into the kitchen, so I only needed one helper. He could watch the signal
>strength in the kitchen and yell directly out the window to me. I chose my
>helper a bit more judiciously ;)

Heh. You are probably too young to get involved in a post-war
event called the "beer can vertical." Back when cans were made
of solderable materials, some WW2-age guys had beer parties
to get the cans, solder them together to make a thick vertical
radiator. By the end of one such "vertical," the soldering started
to get rather out of line.

I was a young teener at that time and never did like beer. Still
don't. Don't mix beer and ham construction projects, especially
with a group that doesn't know what they are doing. Never heard
of a "beer can vertical" that stayed up. :-)

>What does that have to do with 10 GHz transmission? Even purchasing
>equipment, someone is going to have to be able to aim the darn thing (gain
>comes at the expense of beamwidth, naturally) - and have some idea of local
>topography if they wish to take advantage of either natural or man-made
>objects. Of course, if the object is moonbounce, taking a dish which will
>develop the proper gain (and I'm guessing here - likely approaching 30 dBi),
>that thing is going to have to be fairly accurately pointed.

At S-Band (2 to 4 GHz), engineer-author-ham George O. Smith
calculated that a KiloWatt into a 30 db gain dish at S-Band could

honk into Mars with the receiver using another 30 db gain dish
and running wide-spread (850 Hz) FSK RTTY. Over all distances
in planetary orbits and within seeing distance (planetary occlusion
would be obviously prohibitive). Smith was at Harry Diamond Labs
during WW2 designing/debugging proximity fuses as well as the
long-running series of "Venus Equilateral" stories involving a radio
relay asteroid between Earth and Venus and Mars. In the 1940s,
of course...we've learned that the other planets aren't hospitable.

>My Morse key has two settings: up and down. I can handle that, but some
>folks might not be able to handle something a bit more complex than that
>(although many can).

I've met some hams who can't work a single-unknown variable
algebra problem yet proclaim themselves to be "designers."
They might need a four-week course class to learn to set a
VCR or DVD recorder. :-)

>Interplanetary QSOs might prove *very* interesting. You certainly can't
>call CQ. You'd have to set up pre-arranged transmit times. Both could
>transmit information at a given time and the both wait .... and wait ... and
>wait whilst the electromagnetic radiation made its' way at the speed of
>light for a number of minutes (or hours, depending upon how close the
>planet - likely mars - was at the time). Obviously, mars is quite close to
>us only at certain times and even then is what - 35 million miles away?
>Don't forget to adjust for Doppler shift as the planets are moving closer or
>farther away. Narrow bandwidths can give you better signal to noise ratio,
>but narrow bandwidths can also make that Doppler shift a lot more difficult
>to deal with. I doubt wideband FM would cut the mustard due to a *huge*
>increase in noise with the much larger bandwidth over narrow bandwidth
>modes.

The Deep Space Network out of JPL done solved a lot of those
problems long ago. Using lower powers than seemed practical
with bandwidths that seemed impractical in the 50s.

All the numbers are available: Path loss, antenna gain, bandwidth,
just plug them into an equation or nomograph (or search for a
"program" also called a Java aplet somebody tossed together).

The major problem is TIME DELAY and trying to adjust traditional
methods to meet future needs. There's a discernable audio pause
when talking to someone via a comm sat sitting in geosynchronous
orbit and it isn't even at a tenth the distance to the moon. It's 2 1/2
seconds (give or take) doing a Lunar "QSO." Obviously the old
tradition has to be tossed for interplanetary stuff and so the avowed
ham morsemen will have their keys pried out of cold, dead
terrestrial fingers.

Besides, just who is going to deliver the QSLs saying "UR SIG
599!" ? :-)

>I don't think I'm likely to be around by the time ordinary folks can take a
>trip to mars .... ;)

I'm optimistic. :-) Having worked IN the field of electronics and communications for a mere half century, the changes to all kinds of comms have been so vast as to be overpowering the imagination of even science-fiction writers (George O. Smith didn't think servos could keep tracking the planets, used humans to do it in his 1940s stories).

Back in 1954, 1.8 GHz communications equipment was considered very high-tech. Special vacuum tubes, coaxial resonators, wave-guide based bandpass filters. Big, expensive, fussy to tune. 50 years later we have consumer electronics cordless phones operating at 2 GHz and the 5.8 GHz units' cost hovering close to $75 over-the-counter, plug-and-play. One in three Americans has a cellular telephone subscription now and those operate around 1 GHz and some cell phones have digital cameras built in.

Look for VOIP to make inroads on the traditional wired telephone service making hash out of the old, reliable, trustworthy "long-distance" calls for ordinary citizens. All them old 'phone gabbers will have a ball denouncing that damn upstart Voice Over Internet Protocal and hollering "you can't send telephone calls over the Internet!" :-)

>Dang, where'd I put my Morse key?
>:))

Yup. Don't be late for the ham party where everyone recreates the grand old "pioneer" days of the 1920s and 1930s with the morse-Vail "code." Not for me. I love the future just around the corner just like I've enjoyed all the new developments over the last half century.

Remember what Brian Burke said: "Morse code gets through when everything else does..."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

342. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 22:23:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105568635.667979.182180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101808

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1105527542.063689.91830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> : So it appears that, in *some* cases (where a renewal
> : application was previously filed), a ham with an expired
> : license may be able to operate legally in the grace
> : period.
>
> After all the indignant and self-righteous heat you've applied to
LenOver on
> this issue, it appears you were >equally ignorant< of the content of
Part
> 97.

What "indignant and self-righteous heat"?

Len claimed that *all* amateurs with expired licenses could *legally*
continue to operate in the grace period. Nothing about renewals being
filed, etc. I
simply pointed out that he was mistaken on that point - but he won't
admit it.

If a ham with an expired license followed Len's claim and operated with
an expired-but-in-the-grace-period license without a pending
pre-expiration renewal application, s/he'd be violating Part 97.

By comparison, after it was pointed out to me that the rules allow
continued operation if a renewal is filed, I amended my original
statements.

If a ham with an expired license followed my claim and did not operate
with
an expired-but-in-the-grace-period license, there would be no violation
of Part 97. If the ham had applied for renewal before expiration, s/he
would
miss out on operating when in fact it would be legal, but that's erring
on the
side of safety.

> 97.21(a)(3)(ii) puts it this way:
>
> "When the application has been received by the FCC
> on or before the license expiration date, the license
> operating authority is continued until the final disposition
> of the application."

Yes, it does. And that's why I amended my statement.

It could be argued that what 97.21(a) (3) (ii) says is that if
you renew before the expiration date, the license never really
expires in the first place.

> Been there in the rules for decades, and is commonly known among most
people
> who are even slightly conversant with Part 97.

So is the fact that hams *cannot* legally operate in the grace period
with an expired license if no renewal application has been filed. But
Len claims *all*
hams can legally operate in the grace period. That's simply not so. Yet
he
won't admit it.

Len gets very upset when someone like me points out his errors.

> Didn't you catch on to this when KV4FZ stayed on the air for years
after
> expiration while his renewal was being litigated?

Sure. But he applied for renewal long before the expiration date.
>
> Of course, Herb being an accomplished Morse operator, you'd probably
cut him
> more slack than you cut for LenOver (not that either deserves any).

Not at all - just the opposite. Herb, being a licensed amateur
operator, should
get *less* slack, because he should have known better.

Len's ignorance isn't the problem; it's his resistance to accepting his
mistakes and his attacks on those who point them out.
Thanks for clearing up that point about renewals.

73 de Jim, N2EY

343. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-12 23:28:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050112182843.07099.00000009@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 101809

In article <20050111202758.05425.00000017@mb-m01.aol.com>, lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21) writes:

>In article <1105463304.061161.36610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
>N2EY@AOL.COM
>writes:
>
>>Some people take the correction of their mistakes as an insult. I
>>don't.
>
> Tsk. You don't even "take" them. :-)

Gee, Len, you must be talking to the mirror again.

Do you still think that it's perfectly legal for *all* amateurs to operate with expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses?

344. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 00:22:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Hvqdned2DI-6InjcRVn-hw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101810

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> So it appears that, in *some* cases (where a renewal application was
> previously filed), a ham with an expired license may be able to operate
> legally in the grace period.
> But definitely not in all cases.

I would disagree somewhat.

An application for renewal of a license means that the license is not expired as long as it is sent in on time.

Assuming that there is no reason for not granting a renewed license, it will be granted, I hope I'm correct.

If you send your IRS forms in by April 15th, it is considered sending them in on time, even though the IRS has not received them. Send it in on April 16th, and you could have a problem. It is late.

Seems a pretty simple thing to me. Send in your renewal by the expiry date of your license, and your license continues.

Send it in the day after the license expires, and the license remains expired until they re-issue it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

345. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 00:33:53 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <p92dnXaRQsZyXHjcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101811

Mel A. Nomah wrote:

> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1105527542.063689.91830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> : So it appears that, in *some* cases (where a renewal
> : application was previously filed), a ham with an expired
> : license may be able to operate legally in the grace
> : period.
>
> After all the indignant and self-righteous heat you've applied to LenOver on
> this issue, it appears you were >equally ignorant< of the content of Part
> 97.
>
> 97.21(a)(3)(ii) puts it this way:
>
> "When the application has been received by the FCC
> on or before the license expiration date, the license
> operating authority is continued until the final disposition
> of the application."

Mel, we've been discussing this for a while after Leo brought it up
some days ago.

Until the F.C.C. can receive and grant renewals immediately from all
Hams, there will have to be such a rule. Otherwise they would have to
determine some time lag, say a week, and then tell you you have to
calculate the number of days in ten years minus 1 week. Remember it will
be different for many hams, because it depends on how many leap years
there have been since you got your ticket, and whether you got your
ticket before the leap day if you got the ticket during a leap year.

A lot easier to just say "Send your renewal in by the ten year expiry
date, and you're covered".

> Been there in the rules for decades, and is commonly known among most people
> who are even slightly conversant with Part 97.
>
> Didn't you catch on to this when KV4FZ stayed on the air for years after
> expiration while his renewal was being litigated?

That is an aberration, as the system is designed more for lawful users
than scofflaws.

> Of course, Herb being an accomplished Morse operator, you'd probably cut him
> more slack than you cut for LenOver (not that either deserves any).

- Mike KB3EIA -

346. Re: Project Diana - 59 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 01:10:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105578609.625032.242020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101812

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <1105409512.587488.322250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> http://www.eagle.ca/~harry/ba/eme/
> >>
> >> http://www.eham.net/articles/9988
> >> Many of those involved in the project were hams.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Ahh, yes. Hams doing non-ham stuff.
> >I wonder if they calculated the distance to the moon correctly?
>
> Well, Brian, Jimmie done took the post bus out of Monmouth
> often enough, could see the big bedspring radar antennas at
> The Labs (there were 3 laboratory installations along the
> highway). I'm sure he has contributed his Oral History
> recording for the archives as have all the veterans of Project
> Diana. [hand salute!]

Q: If a ham has no operating priveleges, is (s)he still a ham?

> Maybe he forgot to mention the First Ham in Space! According
> to tonight's Jeopardy program clues, Ham the Chimp was the
> first "American" in space. :-)
>
> Oook, oook!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

What was his callsign?

347. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 01:24:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105579466.746835.298880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101813

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1105527542.063689.91830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > : So it appears that, in *some* cases (where a renewal
> > : application was previously filed), a ham with an expired
> > : license may be able to operate legally in the grace
> > : period.
> >
> > After all the indignant and self-righteous heat you've applied to
> LenOver on
> > this issue, it appears you were >equally ignorant< of the content
of
> Part
> > 97.
>
> What "indignant and self-righteous heat"?

The usual.

> Len claimed that *all* amateurs with expired licenses could *legally*
> continue to operate in the grace period. Nothing about renewals being
> filed, etc. I
> simply pointed out that he was mistaken on that point - but he won't
> admit it.
>
> If a ham with an expired license followed Len's claim and operated
with
> an expired-but-in-the-grace-period license without a pending
> pre-expiration renewal application, s/he'd be violating Part 97.
>
> By comparison, after it was pointed out to me that the rules allow
> continued operation if a renewal is filed, I amended my original
> statements.

At what point is anyone else allowed to amend their statements?

> If a ham with an expired license followed my claim and did not
operate
> with
> an expired-but-in-the-grace-period license, there would be no
violation
> of Part 97. If the ham had applied for renewal before expiration,
s/he

> would
> miss out on operating when in fact it would be legal, but that's
erring
> on the
> side of safety.

Sounds rather punitive.

> > 97.21(a)(3)(ii) puts it this way:
> >
> > "When the application has been received by the FCC
> > on or before the license expiration date, the license
> > operating authority is continued until the final disposition
> > of the application."
>
> Yes, it does. And that's why I amended my statement.

Is this an admission that you were (gulp) wrong? 8(

> It could be argued that what 97.21(a) (3) (ii) says is that if
> you renew before the expiration date, the license never really
> expires in the first place.

You are welcome to argue it, but please do so from the antenna mount.

> > Been there in the rules for decades, and is commonly known among
most
> people
> > who are even slightly conversant with Part 97.
>
> So is the fact that hams *cannot* legally operate in the grace period
> with an expired license if no renewal application has been filed. But
> Len claims *all*
> hams can legally operate in the grace period. That's simply not so.
Yet
> he
> won't admit it.

(gulp)

> Len gets very upset when someone like me points out his errors.

There are no others like you. You are unique. You are Miccolis.

> > Didn't you catch on to this when KV4FZ stayed on the air for years
> after
> > expiration while his renewal was being litigated?
>
> Sure. But he applied for renewal long before the expiration date.

And a renewal was granted on or about the expiration date?

> > Of course, Herb being an accomplished Morse operator, you'd
probably

> cut him
> > more slack than you cut for LenOver (not that either deserves any).
>
> Not at all - just the opposite.

Hi, hi!

Herb, being a licensed amateur
> operator, should
> get *less* slack, because he should have known better.

Less slack, more flak.

Just how much flak did you give KV4FZ???

None.

> Len's ignorance isn't the problem;

Nor is it Jim's problem.

it's his resistance to accepting his
> mistakes and his attacks on those who point them out.

Indeed.

> Thanks for clearing up that point about renewals.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Mel's swell.

348. Flaming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 02:18:55 UTC
From: Ham Guy <HGl211@aol.com>
Message-ID: <knlbu05aqd7dgsvvc2b9vvv2qv3sfor5q8@4ax.com>
Source record: 101815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

THE THIRTEEN COMMANDMENTS OF FLAMING:

Flames should be witty, insulting, interesting, funny, caustic, or sarcastic, but NEVER, EVER, should they be boring. The Thirteen Commandments of Flaming

1. Make things up about your opponent: It's important to make your lies sound true. Preface your argument with the word "clearly." "Clearly, Fred Flooney is a liar, and a dirtball to boot."

2. Be an armchair psychologist: You're a smart person. You've heard of Freud. You took a psychology course in college. Clearly, you're qualified to psychoanalyze your opponent. "Polly Purebred, by using the word 'zucchini' in her posting, shows she has a bad case of penis envy."

3. Cross-post your flames: Everyone on the net is just waiting for the next literary masterpiece to leave your terminal! From the AppleII RoundTable to X-10 Powerhouse RoundTable, they're all holding their breath until your next flame. Therefore, post everywhere.

4. Conspiracies abound: If everyone's against you, the reason can't *possibly* be that you're an obnoxious sh??head. There's obviously a conspiracy against you, and you will be doing the entire net a favor by exposing it.

5. Lawsuit threats: This is the reverse of Rule #4 (sort of like the Yin & Yang of Flaming). Threatening a lawsuit is always considered to be in good form. "By saying that I've posted to the wrong group, Bertha has libeled me, slandered me, and sodomized me. See you in court, Bertha."

6. Force them to document their claims: Even if Harry Hoinkus states outright that he likes tomato sauce on his pasta, you should demand documentation. If Newsweek hasn't written an article on Harry's pasta preferences, then Harry's obviously lying.

7. Use foreign phrases: French is good, but Latin is the lingua franca of flaming. You should use the words "ad hominem" at least three times per article. Other favorite Latin phrases are "ad nauseum," "veni, vidi, vici," and "fetuccini alfredo."

8. Tell 'em how smart you are: Why use intelligent arguments to convince them you're smart when all you have to do is tell them? State that you're a member of Mensa, or Mega, or Dorks of America. Tell them the scores you received on every exam since high school. "I got an 800

on my SATs, LSATs, GREs, MCATs, and I can also spell the word 'premeiotic' ." Stick a bunch of impressive initials on your sig, and make that sig at least 10 lines long, to show people how Really Powerful you are. Loudly point out their spelling errors.

9. Accuse your opponent of censorship. It is your right as an American citizen to post whatever the hell you want to the net (as guaranteed by the 37th Amendment, I think). Anyone who tries to limit your cross-posting or move a flame war to email is either a communist, a fascist, or both.

10. Doubt their existence: You've never actually seen your opponent, have you? And since you're the Center Of The Universe and Chief Net-Cop, you should have seen them by now, shouldn't you? Therefore, THEY DON'T EXIST! This is the beauty of flamers' logic.

11. Lie, cheat, steal, leave the toilet seat up. Who cares! You're probably a fat, pimply-faced, manipulative computer-nerd with no social skills, and your opponent is worse. Besides, if you were getting laid at all by anything other than the cheap hookers down the street, you wouldn't be flaming anyway.

12. When in doubt, insult: If you forget the other 12 rules, remember this one. At some point during your wonderful career as a Flamer you will undoubtedly end up in a flame war with someone who is better than you. This person will expose your lies, tear apart your arguments, make you look generally like a bozo. At this point, there's only one thing to do: INSULT THE DIRTBAG!!! "Oh yeah? Well, your mother does strange things with vegetables."

13. Last, but not least, threaten to tell their sysadmin or postmaster all about them. You can always hope that they are newbie enough to feel threatened by this, unless they had a younger brother or sister who was always tattling to Mommy.

EXAMPLE...for the Rookie Flamer

Dear Fred,

I object to your use of the word "dear." It shows you are a condescending, sexist pig. Also, the submissive tone you use shows that you like to be tied down and flagellated with licorice whips.

>While I found your article "The Effect of Belly-Button Lint > on
> Western Thought" to be extremely thought-provoking,

"Thought-provoking?" I had no idea you could think, you rotting piece of swamp slime.

> it really shouldn't have been posted in rec.scuba.

Your attempts constitute nothing less than censorship. There is a conspiracy against me. You, Riff Raff, and Simon Sinister have been constantly harassing me by email. This was an ad hominem attack! I

have therefore cross-posted this to alt.flame, rec.nude, comp.graphics, and rec.arts.wobegon. I have also copied this whole correspondence to your sysadmin/postmaster, so he can delete your account.

> Perhaps you should have posted it in misc.misc.

It is my right, as granted in the Bill of Rights, the Magna Carta, the Bible and the Koran, to post where ever I want to. Or don't you believe in those documents, you damn fascist? Perhaps if you didn't spend so much time sacrificing virgins and infants to Satan, you would have realized this.

> Your article would be much more appropriate there.

Can you document this? I will only accept documents notarized by my attorney, and signed by you in blood. Besides, you don't really exist anyway, you AI project, you.

At all times, remember what the original meanings of the word "flamer" were: 1) a loud, obnoxiously gay man, and 2) a person who lights their farts for amusement.

349. Re: ARS License Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 02:31:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E5DD12.88781CAC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101814

Lenof21 wrote:

> To one I made a burn-in-hell-for-eternity mistake by NOT getting an
> extra "out of the box" long ago. Tsk. He thinks that is utter and complete
> failure to complete a Life Promise and shows moral turpitude (or something
> that smells strongly, maybe like paint thinner).

It doesn't matter much right now. This is one of those periods in which
you claim you have no intention of obtaining an amateur radio license.
Back when you made your boast, you were apparently set on getting a ham
ticket. In a few weeks, that could all change again.

> Another says I can ONLY "show interest in radio" by getting an amateur
> radio license FIRST.

Steve's got you pegged pretty well, Leonard. You tell more lies than
Richard Nixon. You've proclaimed a decades-long "interest" in amateur
radio. Trouble is, you've never been interested enough to sit an exam
which would provide an amateur radio license.

> [no real reason given except he was bereft of any
> comback and was trying to wing a reply] Well, that's just too #$%^!!!! bad
> since I made that decision back 48 years ago, got a First Phone and
> started working in broadcasting, using it.

That's just super, Len. Feel free to go back to work in broadcasting.
That isn't amateur radio.

Only one other seems to
> have a working time machine for regular trips back there...and before.
> NO, repeat NO "debate" in here is really possible with anyone not-licensed-
> in-any-amateur-service correspondent.

It certainly isn't given your clumsy style and grating manner.

> This forum is EXCLUSIVELY for already-licensed amateurs to talk about
> getting INTO amateur radio? Of course. League has all the answers,
> just Follow The Law, do like everyone else did, and shuthehellup. Simple.

I don't recall anyone telling you to shut the hell up. I do recall you
directing such a statement to me. What was it you called me--feldwebel?

Dave K8MN

350. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 02:42:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E5DFF7.10DFF9BF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101816

Lenof21 wrote:
>
> In article <1104551584.970798.204520@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >Kim wrote:
> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1104531566.677857.126570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> >As a matteer of fact, I think Jim IS one of the idiots.
>
> Nah. Prolly has a good IQ and all that, but along the way he got
> mentally sidetracked on things in reality. He gots "turf disease,"
> wherein hanging out daily in some group, being portentiously and
> pretensiously veddy correct in everything he say makes him a
> "guru"...well, at least in his own eyes. Inside, he gots a mean streak
> wherever someone don't think super-highly of his opinions...which are
> many and varied about nearly everything.

Why, Leonard! You seem to be writing about someone very much like YOU.

> Folkses who is full o' themselves are most especially Very Important
> Peoples that should never ever be harmed, lest such harm hurt their
> divine, noble, righteous thoughts within.

Again, this sounds much like you.

> Their country owes THEM a living and they need do nothing for it other
> than act anal-retentively lawful...and superior to others not as "gifted" as
> they be.

Now I'm assuming that you're still writing about Jim. Where did you
come up with the idea that he believes anything like what you've
written? After all, he works for a living.

> You have to understand something of their motivations to see where they
> are coming from (mostly the fantasies of their own imaginations). If you
> served with the USAF in some distant land, they think that you HAD to
> ask the FCC for legal counsel FIRST before operating any radio there...
> and then they get pissed at you for obeying your real orders from the
> USAF instead of them. <shrug>
>
> Those fine superiors in here are all, naturally, morsemen tested at high
> rates code cognition before the federal government. They are superior
> supermen and anything they say is the Absolute Truth. No one else
> can deny that Absolute lest they be buried in a veritable avalanche of

> smug superiorness of hate mail. They will LIE, cheat, maybe even
> steal, but whatever they do is Terribly Correct, Righteous.
> They are the PCTA extras. That should say it all.

The above reads like something written in the dayroom of a mental
hospital, Leonard. Get a grip on yourself, man!

Dave K8MN

351. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 04:09:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E5F46D.FC805506@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101817

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
> writes:
>
> >It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal interstate
> >highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in California: It
> >begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet it's
> >yet it's still an "interstate."
>
> Ooooo...going to lecture me about my local geography? :-)

Well, you didn't seem to know about how how things worked.

> Try Interstate FIVE as an illustration...begins at the Canadian border
> goes all the way south through Washington, Oregon, California, ends
> at the Mexican border. Over a kilomile long, takes two days to drive
> at lawful speeds. Been there, done that, many times.

The point was about a part of the Interstate highway system which never
leaves a particular state.

> Gee, if I wanted a LECTURE on highways, I'd go to the AAA website
> of my local auto club office...they give out free maps to us members.

You don't want to get one; you want to give one.

> Go for it! "TECHNICAL" best seller! What year? And who was the
> compiler of that gem of information? [was it a bookstore in Newington?]
> You might try the ABA or some other book industry associations first
> to verify some nonsense claim. Or take off your blinders. Whichever
> is easiest.

Do you have any information to the contrary, Leonard, or are you just
blowing smoke?

> I've only been USING technical books since 1960 and my first job
> assignment as an electronics engineer responsible for new design.

To quote you, "Why must you always live in the past"?

> The Green Bible, the Blue Bible, Millman & Taub, Henney, Petersen
> and Weldon, Floyd Gardner, lots of names which are very familiar
> in my small 30+ foot total length personal bookshelf right above the
> immediate computer desk here. Since I already write for money
> and know several authors (who work at that full time) plus book
> store owners-managers, I have some acquaintenceship with the

> professional book associations. Now, if you wish to LECTURE me
> on "best sellers" of anything, I will invite you to pass gas on a
> shuttle flight ("go take a flying fart") between islands.

So because you have a shelf full of books and since you've written for
money, Jeffrey's claim can't possibly be true. Does that sum it up?
I am happy to hear that you have a personal bookshelf though.

Dave K8MN

352. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 05:45:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105595110.272301.236930@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101818

Dave Heil wrote:

>Get a grip on yourself, man!

Let's say he DOES "get a grip on (him)self", Dave...What does
Lennie do with the other three fingers...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

353. Re: Flaming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 11:06:00 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <sCsFd.13481$wi2.4537@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Ham Guy" <HGl211@aol.com> wrote in message
news:knlbu05aqd7dgsvvc2b9vvv2qv3sfor5q8@4ax.com...
> THE THIRTEEN COMMANDMENTS OF FLAMING:
>
> Flames should be witty, insulting, interesting, funny, caustic, or
> sarcastic, but NEVER, EVER, should they be boring.

Well it looks like every one of the flamers here needs to hang it up then...

None of them get past rule one.

Charles, N5PVL

354. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 11:15:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050113061542.07099.00000011@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 101820

In article <p92dnXaRQsZyXHjcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Until the F.C.C. can receive and grant renewals immediately from all
>Hams, there will have to be such a rule. Otherwise they would have to
>determine some time lag, say a week, and then tell you you have to
>calculate the number of days in ten years minus 1 week. Remember it will
>be different for many hams, because it depends on how many leap years
>there have been since you got your ticket, and whether you got your
>ticket before the leap day if you got the ticket during a leap year.

Remember too that there's a 90 day limit in the other direction - if you send
in a renewal too early (more than 90 days before expiration) they send it back.

I'm not sure why that 90-day rule exists. For many years you could get
a simultaneous renewal whenever you modified. I was a ham for a long time
before I ever actually "renewed" because every upgrade and address change
resulted in a renewed license too.

Perhaps the vanity call system stopped the simultaneous renewals.

73 de Jim, N2EY

355. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 11:50:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105617034.659121.301590@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101821

N2EY wrote:

> Perhaps the vanity call system stopped the simultaneous renewals.

It's that expensive, state-of-the-art data system they installed!

Reminds me of the time clocks where I work...Used to be that you
took a card, slid it into the clock, it "puched" your card, and you got
got paid for teh time you worked.

Now we have the KRONOS system (Reminds me of the science fiction
movie of the same name...stops across everything) If you "punch in"
one minute before your "block", they have to pay you for the previous
15 minute block. If you punch out one minute AFTER your "block", they
ahve to pay you for the next 15 minute increment.

Of course what's happening is that we have a cluster around the
clock. And people are in such a panic at the end of the shift that
stuff "get's done" just to get to the clock "on time".

Technology doesn't always solve problems (ie: record keeping for
the FCC) It more often than not creates more than it solves.
73

Steve, K4YZ

356. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 12:30:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050113073034.24384.00000020@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 101822

In article <1105617034.659121.301590@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> Perhaps the vanity call system stopped the simultaneous renewals.
>
>It's that expensive, state-of-the-art data system they installed!

How do you know this, Steve?

If a ham happens to move right at the time of renewal, the system can handle it.
So that's not the problem.

My speculation on vanity calls is based on the fact that a new vanity call issuance generates a renewal. That way, the fee doesn't have to be pro-rated.

But I don't know why nonvanities couldn't be renewed when a ham moves or upgrades. That would reduce FCC paperwork.

--

One effect of the old system was that it tended to make the number of amateurs appear larger than it really was.

73 de Jim, N2EY

357. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 12:48:14 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <i6uFd.17245$iC4.10821@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101823

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:41E5F46D.FC805506@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey
Herman)
> > writes:
> >
> > >It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal
interstate
> > >highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in California: It
> > >begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet
it's
> > >yet it's still an "interstate."
> >
> > Ooooo...going to lecture me about my local geography? :-)
>
> Well, you didn't seem to know about how how things worked.
>

I think there's a difference in highways; as in "intrastate" and
"interstate." I believe it's intrastate if it stays inside the boundary of
a state and "interstate" if it goes outside the boundary of a state.

Kim W5TIT

358. Re: ARS License Number

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 17:48:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105638484.591799.105320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101824

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <1105453920.198705.91220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
N2EY@AOL.COM
> (James Psychochief Miccolis, Kommandant uf
> das Neugruppen Waffe)

Paging Mr. Godwin....

> writes:
>
> >Or perhaps it's an attempt to bring others down to his level, get
them
> >fighting with each other, etc.
>
> Tsk, tsk, I'm not needed for such infighting. You lads do right
> fine all by yourselves. :-)

The bull elephant shows the herd his t[u]sks as a display of
dominance...;-)

> >Consider that Len is not a ham, has never been one, and probably
> >never will be one. He isn't even very knowledgeable about Part 97,
> >as illustrated by his ignorance of 97.21(b).

Do you still think that *all* hams with licenses that are expired but
in the grace period can legally operate their amateur radio stations,
Len?

> >So why is he preaching to the FCC and the online world about
> >how ham radio should be? He won't tell us his motivation.

> Well, Herr Gruppekommandant, it's time to "show you my papers"
> and confess all -

Paging Mr. Godwin....
>
> Way back in prehistory of 1952 (der kommandant didn't exist
> then),

Mr. Godwin

> I had these terrible thoughts of Patriotism and stuff,
> hadn't been to the sacred halls of ivy yet, and thought to
volunteer
> my body for Army service.

That's laudable, Len.

> Ya see, as a poor ignorant soul, nobody had told me my body is
> SO precious that I shouldn't put it in harm's way like
volunteering
> for the military when there was an actual War (shudders) On.

Body but not mind?

> We
> had several hams in my home town but nobody thought to Elmer
> me on being "able to serve in 'other' ways." That was the first
> mistake.

Tell us, Len: Is joining the uniformed military the one and only way a
citizen can serve our country? Or are there other ways?

> The second mistake was the Army assigning me to Signal School
> for a rarely-heard-of MOS called Microwave Radio Relay. (remember
> that 1952 was, after all, "prehistory")

Not at all.

So you learned radio on the taxpayer's dime.

> Hams all knew that there was
> only black magic above 30 MHz and that no REAL hams found it
> useful.

Where do you get that? It's pure nonsense. Real hams were exploring
frequencies above 30 Mc. before even *you* were born...

> The rest of the radio world didn't matter...only REAL hams
> knew what was good in radio and what was not.

> The Army did a third mistake! They assigned me to an Army radio
> station in Tokyo. One of those "small" places with only about 36
> HF transmitters that was in operation 24/7 and serving the Far
> East Command Headquarters. Microwave radio relay equipment
> installation had been delayed by a year or so so I had to learn
all
> about high-power HF transmitters, how to operate them, how to do
> maintenance on them, how to fix them when they went bad. Plus
> the essentials of HF networking, TTY-RTTY, the original SSB, along
> with VHF and UHF radio relay operation and maintenance that the
> Army eventually replaced with microwaves.

All by yourself?

Or were there a couple of hundred other Army personnel there, some with
a lot more experience, to teach you and guide the way?

Did you have to buy books and materials, etc.?

> In the midst of all that, I compounded the mistake by trying to
LEARN
> and do better at what I did. Shame on me. I should have read the
> ARRL publications a lot more than I had. The Army wasn't using

> any morse code whatsoever in carrying massive message traffic
> across the Pacific! Maybe the ARRL was already falling down on
> the Lobbying job because they had NO effect on the Signal Office,
> USA! Lots of very olde-tyme hammes were sitting around shaking
> their heads at the stupidity of the U.S. military for not using
more
> morse code mode in the 1950s! [it's a wonder hams didn't march
> on the Pentagon to demand More CW!]

How does that relate to your not being a ham but wanting to tell us How
Ham Radio Should Be?

> Tsk. The Mistakes didn't stop. I got a commercial radio
operator's
> license so that I could make some money in broadcasting before
> moving to the sunbelt (I choice of Florida or California depending
on
> the art school).

Commercial radioTELEPHONE license. I got one too - at age 18.

> I've been told that I NEED TO GET A HAM LICENSE
> FIRST in order to SHOW INTEREST IN RADIO!

Who said that? Not me.

> Except I remained
> ignorant since nobody TOLD ME that back then.

You do seem proud of your ignorance.

> So, getting accepted at Art Center School of Design (to be an
> industrial illustrator), I'm also working at Hughes Aircraft
Company
> doing environmental testing.

Now? I thought you were retired.

(you did use the present tense)

> More new techniques to learn and be
> good at, but, unfortunately, ARRL didn't have any useful
literature
> to Elmer me in that. Morsemanship wasn't needed in environmental
> testing, or in radar sets (HAC El Segundo made military airborne
> radars then). It might shock some to know that 20 WPM morse skill
> isn't needed for 10g vibration testing and the temperature
extremes
> aren't found in ham shacks, except maybe Antarctica where hams
> were supposedly the only link to the outside world (according to
> the League).

Where do you get all this misinformation?

> Had I become a ham and advanced to Extra, I no
> doubt would have KNOWN all those things just by the license
> grant (an epiphany) but I had to listen to other, non-hams, some
> with degrees, some without, all working IN the aerospace industry.

Is all this blather leading somewhere?

> Well, since HAC wasn't pioneering any morsemanship on HF
> methods, the usual aerospace halts and groans caused a halt in
> some salaries. I moved over to Ramo-Wooldridge and work in
> Electronic Warfare systems (such as on the Quail decoy missle,
> a weird little MacDonnell airbreather that could electronically
> imitate one or more B-52s). No morsemanship needed there at
> all, not even anything on HF! [must have been an oversight of
> both Simon Ramo and Dean Wooldridge when they got Thompson
> Products backing to start what would eventually grow into TRW]
> I'm sure that Simon, Dean, and the USAF wasn't listening to
> ARRL advice on radio as to what was the "best" for all. More
> mistakes and more prominent ones too!

It seems you are going to impose your resume on us yet again.
>
> In late 1958 several more mistakes happened. I changed major
> to electrical engineering from illustration.

Why? Weren't you any good at illustration?

> I was way to confused to
> continue on an absolute straight-and-narrow immaculate path of
> life and decided to be an engineer instead of illustrator (an
artist
> who depicts things as they really are). The FCC created the Class
> C and D Citizens Band Service, a TOTALLY HORRIBLE MISTAKE
> by them that shall be cursed to the end of time by all REAL hams,
> the morsemen of the apocaleptic. Imagine! NO morse code skill
> needed for ordinary citizens to get ON HF radio, not even any TEST
> at all! Even worse was an individual changing their career goals
> before their education is completed! HORRORS.

Do you *really* think 27 MHz cb was a good idea?

> Oh, the mistakes get worse. I bought a Johnson Viking CB
> transceiver and got a CB license

Bought? With all that radio knowledge, and your commercial license,
you settled for an appliance rather than building a radio?

>...and could do only about 8 WPM
> morse, if that.

You seem to have skipped over when you tried to learn Morse Code.
Funny,
in the deluge of details, that little tidbit gets lost.

btw, way back in 1951 the FCC created two classes of amateur license
that
required only 5 wpm Morse code. Yet you never got either a Technician
or
a Novice license, even though the Technician was specifically meant for

those interested in the "world above 30 Mhz".

> Several tried to verbally horse-whip me on that but
> I got away.

Several of what?

> Worked great in the all-aluminum body '53 Austin-
> Healey sports car, tooled around southern Cal talking to other
> mistake-prone evil grownups who used HF without a valid morse
> test! American-made CB radios! Talk about prehistoric times!
> Law-breakers all, no shame, sort of like the Old West.

So you admit to violating FCC regulations for the Citizens Radio
Service?

> I know its hard to believe but USA university curricula do NOT
> require any morsemanship or being-licensed-in-amateur-radio-to
> show-interest-in-radio!!! Lots of college students in both day
and
> night classes were interested but, sadly, without that REQUISITE
> ham license FIRST in order to show their interest. BIG mistake.
> Done by all the little colleges out here...UCLA, USC, Berkeley,
> you know, tha small ones without the ivy all over.

Did you graduate from any of those, Len?

> Tsk. More mistakes beginning early, like high-fidelity music
> interest since high school. Instead of wanting to listen to good
> sound, I should have worked very hard at perceiving the "music
> of morse" (monotonal, aperiodic). My contemporaries liked to
> hear the false music of symphonies and jazz bands. Shame on
> us. We knew no better than to trust our own senses.

What does this have to do with amateur radio policy?
>
> Then personal computing! Absolutely NO relationship to morse
> or pioneering HF radio by working DX with morsemanship.

So why do you mention it? Doesn't even involve radio.

> We
> hedonistic number-loving infidels went beyond the limited ranges
of
> "73" and "599" to enable the PC boom to explode in the late 1970s.

How did *you* do that?

Did you work for Bill Gates? Steve Jobs? IBM?

> We should have spent our time in monastaries of morse copying
> the treasures of Hiram's writings and REAL morse code, not the
> graven images of source or assembler code of the false gods such
> as the ACM or the IBM of Armonk. We sinned mightily and forsake
> the divine ordained religious leaders at the holy city of
Newington.

Well, Len, my computer experience goes back to 1972, writing software
that had to be punched on cards for use in mainframes. I went to school
in the very building where the first high-speed general-purpose
electronic digital computer, ENIAC, was designed and built. For the US
Army, btw. I actually got to see, pick up and examine pieces of ENIAC
when they showed up back at the U in 1976.
>
> Yes, we infidels denigrating the True Calling should have worked
our
> morsemanship and pioneered the airwaves for Telstar, microwaves
> across the continents, the communications satellites giving us
> near-instant communications across the globe, the Deep Space
> Network, Men ON the Moon televised live, the Internet, the
cellular
> telephone...all of which use absolutely NO morsemanship to devise
> or build or perfect. We all had FALSE MOTIVATION.

"We"? Did you build all those things?

> Yes, it's a mighty CONSPIRACY against the amateur morsemen,
> begun before most of them existed, deliberately kept up to
humiliate
> them and keep them from perfecting the Antique Radiotelegraphic
> Society (ARS) of the United States. We are all WORKING AGAINST
> YOU MORSEMEN in a titanic struggle for power (but only in news-
> groups) and THREATENING YOUR MIGHTY EGOS!

I think the only one here with such a mighty ego is yourself, Len. Or
should I say nocwtest/averyfine/averyfineman/lenof21/lenover21? (And
those are just the AOL screen names you admit to using).
>
> It was all a mistake. An evil, antichrist-sort of mistake,
compounded
> many times, deliberately aimed at all those mighty macho morse-
> men in this newsgroup. We have defiled your divine wishes, holy
> fathers. We ask no penance, no absolution for our sins (or
sines).
>
> We answer to a Higher Order, not to your demands.

All that verbiage, and yet you avoid the question completely.

So I was 100% correct: Len is not a ham, has never been one, and
probably
never will be one. He isn't even very knowledgeable about Part 97, as
illustrated by his ignorance of 97.21(b).

But he continually preaches to the FCC and the online world about how ham radio should be.

He won't tell us his motivation.

> Go thee and perform auto-intercourse.

Gee, Len, that's really "professional". Really makes people see the logic of your arguments. Perhaps you should put that into your next comments to the FCC.

359. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 18:12:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41E6BA22.8030506@psu.edu>
Source record: 101826

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY wrote:
>
>
>>Perhaps the vanity call system stopped the simultaneous renewals.
>
>
> It's that expensive, state-of-the-art data system they installed!
>
> Reminds me of the time clocks where I work...Used to be that you
> took a card, slid it into the clock, it "puched" your card, and you got
> got paid for teh time you worked.
>
> Now we have the KRONOS system (Reminds me of the science fiction
> movie of the same name...stops across everything) If you "punch in"
> one minute before your "block", they have to pay you for the previous
> 15 minute block. If you punch out one minute AFTER your "block", they
> ahve to pay you for the next 15 minute increment.
>
> Of course what's happening is that we have a cluster around the
> clock. And people are in such a panic at the end of the shift that
> stuff "get's done" just to get to the clock "on time".

Yoiks! Have they noticed the loss of productivity?

- Mike KB3EIA -

360. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 18:13:47 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <vTyFd.5576$KJ2.2445@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101825

>
>
> I think there's a difference in highways; as in "intrastate" and
> "interstate." I believe it's intrastate if it stays inside the boundary of
> a state and "interstate" if it goes outside the boundary of a state.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

It's more to do with who funded the construction of
what highway. The Interstates were federally funded
(about 90%, 10% by the state that whatever interstate
highway is in). Before the Interstates were built,
long distance driving was a real PITA. That the
Dept of Defense partially funded the Interstates so
they would be able to get convoys of troops and stuff
to somewhere. Intersections with local roads were
designed so if a bridge was bombed, traffic could
still get thru (go on the off ramp and then across
the local road and back on on the on ramp).

Railroads worked well in WWII, but our mainland
for the most part wasn't bombed. Take out a railroad
bridge and things get bottled up for a while.

361. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 18:21:11 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <cs6e6n$d3e$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 101828

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:
>>It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal interstate
>>highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in California: It
>>begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet it's
>>yet it's still an "interstate."

> Ooooo...going to lecture me about my local geography? :-)

You bet. You falsely claimed as fact that an interstate must connect
two or more states, and I provided a counterexample proving you wrong.
But instead of saying, "Thanks, Jeff, for correcting me", you try to
run away from your error by taking us on a tour of I-5:

> Try Interstate FIVE as an illustration...

One example is not enough to prove a proposition true, especially when
a counterexample exists.

I've noticed you do this a lot -- when a claim of your's has been proven
false, instead of acknowledging your mistake, you attack the responder
and go off on some unrelated tangent:

> begins at the Canadian border
> goes all the way south through Washington, Oregon, California, ends
> begins at the Canadian border
> goes all the way south through Washington, Oregon, California, ends
> at the Mexican border. Over a kilomile long, takes two days to drive
> at lawful speeds. Been there, done that, many times.

And here comes the sarcastic remark:

> Gee, if I wanted a LECTURE on highways, I'd go to the AAA website
> of my local auto club office...they give out free maps to us members.

You're too easy to predict.

Also, it's not "your" local geography -- I grew up in San Clemente,
fought wildland fires all over the Southland while on the SCFD and
CDF, and currently own a home in Ventura County (VC property tax web
site will verify that).

Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

362. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 18:24:36 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <E1zFd.6011$C52.2432@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101827

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> The state of Hawaii has an Interstate Highway System. It is fudging the
>> truth a bit to claim one can drive that system to any other state...without
>> another means to span part of the Pacific Ocean.
>
>
> It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal interstate
> highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in California: It
> begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet it's
> yet it's still an "interstate."
>

3 digit numbered Interstate highways are usually short spurs
and circle routes. Built to bypass cities drivers are not
looking to stop in, or to connect to other Interstate or
local highways. The numbering pattern is NXX, where XX
is the number of a long Interstate highway, and N to
give each spur or circle its own name inside the same
state. Circles usually get even numbered Ns, and spurs
odd numbered Ns. Also odd numbered XX Interstates usually run
north/south, and even numbered XX Interstates east/west.
They put the low numbered XX Interstates in the southwest,
as the Northeast had the low numbered old US route roads
(cuts down on user confusion). AFAIK, there's no Interstate
666, Bible Belters would think it was the "Highway to hell" ;-)
Or an Interstate 13....

363. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 18:32:18 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <cs6eriddt1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 101830

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:
>>The semester begin tomorrow -- I'll be teaching a calculus class at the
>>university campus (which is not a "junior college"), 8:30-9:20 MWF,
>>Keller Hall room 403. The class is full but I'll let you register,
>>if you think you can handle the class.

> Oh, wow.... (a big Ben Stein "wowwww....")

There you go again. You make a false claim, then you're proven wrong
(go to http://myuhportal.hawaii.edu to view all the classes I'm teaching
this semester), yet instead of acknowledging your mistake, you attack the
responder:

> So awfully nice of you, Mr. Petty Chief Ossifer. I'll just go on down to
> the nearest ATM, withdraw a few thousand, cross the I-5 over to
> Bob Hope Airport and fly over to the Islands...JUST...for the "pleasure"
> of hearing you "lecture" on The Calculus! Oh frabjous joy...

Heck, I'll even set up an online course just for you, for free. I'd be
interested in seeing if you could pass such a class. How about it, Len?
Do you think you could handle calculus? We could post your homework and
exam scores here on the NG to show everyone how smart you are.

Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

364. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 18:35:47 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7czFd.6014$C52.479@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101829

>
> The semester begin tomorrow -- I'll be teaching a calculus class at the
> university campus (which is not a "junior college"), 8:30-9:20 MWF,
> Keller Hall room 403. The class is full but I'll let you register,
> if you think you can handle the class.
>

Oh Calculus. I enjoyed it so much I took it twice! :-)
Oh I learned enough to do exam problems to pass, but
I can't say that I actually learned anything enough
to actually use it to solve a real world problem.

I once could do (intergral)(csc x^3)/(tan^2 x^4 +1) dx
but what would you use that on?

Oh and proofs. What a waste of time proofs were. And
you never had to do any on tests. I must have missed the day
of class where they taught how to do proofs and how
to read them. And why you should care. It seemed to
be just so much BS, as the only real proof of something
is what gets a right answer on a test.

365. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 18:40:02 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <cs6fa2djj1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 101831

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>me
>my
>Been there, done that, many times.
>I
>I'd
>my
>us
>I'll
>I've
>my
>I
>I
>I
>I
>I
>I

You do appear to love yourself quite a bit.

Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

366. Highway to hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 19:00:27 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <fzzFd.5866$pZ4.3647@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101832

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:E1zFd.6011$C52.2432@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

: AFAIK, there's no Interstate 666, Bible Belters would think it was
: the "Highway to hell" ;-)

Our here we have US-666 coming up across the reservation out of Gallup and heading out into Utah somewhere. Deadly stretch south of the NM border and indeed probably is the "highway to hell" for many of its intoxicated user-victims.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

367. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 20:48:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 101834

In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>Uh huh. I did ask. And, you came back with this argument initially
>that--paraphrasing--were you not entitled to your opinion. You are
>entitled.

Incorrect. Brian Burke was specifically talking about those in
here who belong to the "PCTA extra" persuasion and who
continually try to put those who are against the morse code test.

Those "PCTA extras" persist in claiming turf on who should
direct EVERYTHING about U.S. amateur radio - including all
federal regulations thereof - just because of tenure as an
amateur or because they feel they "own" the hobby and are
somehow "entititled" to be of the noble calling of amateurism.

PCTA extras imply they are "superior" about everything and
are busy trying to shove out the NCTAs from this newsgroup.

>You are entitled to believe that the ground a military person
>walks on is sacred and that no one else is "entitled" to the same benefits
>from the United States as a person who has served in the military.

You are off on your own fantasy there. Brian Burke served in the
USAF, overseas. I served in the US Army, also overseas, and
specifically in radio communications. It is safe to say that we are
both proud of serving our country.

What has grown into this overlong thread is a bunch of NON-
servers who imply that they are "superior" in all respects to those
of us who served but who are not favoring any morse code test for
U.S. amateur radio licenses. Further, those same NON-servers
imply/claim that Their knowledge of the military and government
is "superior" to Brian and myself.

It gets worse when an ex-serviceman (a PCTA extra) brags of
his "seven hostile actions" yet cannot identify their where or
when, AND has NO claimed experience in any specific radio
communications, AND falsely accuses others of "dishonoring"
their military unit members. That individual has NO displayed
knowledge of military radio communications, networks, or
regulations thereof (see the specious claim that "MARS
>>IS<< amateur radio" instead of the Department of Defense).
On top of that this individual continually uses Yiddish pejoratives
as personal insults despite having no knowledge of Yiddish nor
of central European languages.

>You are
>entitled to believe that we don't all, in some form or other, contribute to
>the integrity, continuance, and strength of the United States--some of us by
>just damned getting up out of bed every morning and going to some dorky job
>where a damned good portion of our income goes to supporting the military,
>and the government, and the "other" people (you know...those who are not
>worthy)...

Well, you claim, solely by birthright, that you are "entitled" to MORE
than those of us who spent years in the military, serving our country
the best way we could? All because you did NOT serve yourself?

That's a remarkable claim of "citizenship" which you wear. It is not
an article that I wish to put on at any time.

About the only thing that can be said about the extreme polarization
in here is that the PCTA extras must certainly believe they are so
damned "superior" in radio BECAUSE they took and passed a
civilian amateur radio morse code test. Yes, that's the ticket.
Moresemen are always "superior." They say so all the time. All
others must bow down to them. They are royalty.

But, they are still AMATEURS in radio. Try to remember that.

Even when those PCTA extras expound on socio-political issues
that don't even come close to U.S. amateur radio or its policy,
they remain superior and aloof to all NCTA.

>So, yeah. I asked. And, I should have known better...

Quite true.

But, you have aligned yourself with the PCTA extra mob in here.
As a friendly suggestion, wear some armor next time and the
severity of newsgroup wounds won't cause so much apparent
psychological trauma.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

368. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 20:48:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050113154857.24384.00000025@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 101833

In article <20050112182843.07099.00000009@mb-m29.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>Do you still think that it's perfectly legal for *all* amateurs to operate with
>expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses?

"Operate" what? :-)

Tsk. Operate on a patient? [need lots of qualifications from government on that, but not from FCC]

Operate a motor vehicle? [need a state driver's license to do that legally]

Operate a high-power broadcast transmitter? [most broadcast stations don't need any FCC-tested licensed radio operators nowadays and the amateur radio license didn't apply to that anyway...]

Operate a small boat radio transceiver? [you need to check Part 90 of Title 47 C.F.R. - include the HF bands - before opening your keyboard...some licensing required but it isn't amateur radio kind]

Operate any RF emitter of high or low power while in the performance of a Department of Defense contract? [civilian radio operator license does not apply there]

Tsk. Jimmie, you best go back and read ALL of what was written and try, try very hard, to discern when someone is using sarcasm or pulling your leg. :-)

Tsk, tsk. Do you have a valid Expounding-Guru license valid for giving us all the gracious benefit of "cures" to modern national socio-poliitcal problems? You do that all the time in this amateur radio policy forum. Those Guru opinions aren't even close to being about amateur radio...not even when you shake your fists and stamp your feet saying "they apply! they apply!" :-)

You haven't even figured out what "401 Alarm" means yet or where it is from... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

369. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 21:01:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E6E193.C36ACEFF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101835

Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:41E5F46D.FC805506@earthlink.net...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey
> Herman)
> > > writes:
> > >
> > > >It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal
> interstate
> > > >highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in California: It
> > > >begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet
> it's
> > > >yet it's still an "interstate."
> > >
> > > Ooooo...going to lecture me about my local geography? :-)
> >
> > Well, you didn't seem to know about how how things worked.
> >
>
> I think there's a difference in highways; as in "intrastate" and
> "interstate." I believe it's intrastate if it stays inside the boundary of
> a state and "interstate" if it goes outside the boundary of a state.

That's nice, Kim. I don't know of anything called the "Intrastate
Highway System". If it has a red, white and blue sign with I-something,
I can assure you that it isn't part of the "Intrastate".

Dave K8MN

370. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 21:01:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41E6E1A5.5060500@psu.edu>
Source record: 101836

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <20050112182843.07099.00000009@mb-m29.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
>
>>Do you still think that it's perfectly legal for *all* amateurs to operate
>
> with
>
>>expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses?
>
>
> "Operate" what? :-)
>
> Tsk. Operate on a patient? [need lots of qualifications from government
> on that, but not from FCC]
>
> Operate a motor vehicle? [need a state driver's license to do that legally]
>
> Operate a high-power broadcast transmitter? [most broadcast stations
> don't need any FCC-tested licensed radio operators nowadays and the
> amateur radio license didn't apply to that anyway...]
>
> Operate a small boat radio transceiver? [you need to check Part 90
> of Title 47 C.F.R. - include the HF bands - before opening your
> keyboard...some licensing required but it isn't amateur radio kind]
>
> Operate any RF emitter of high or low power while in the performance
> of a Department of Defense contract? [civilian radio operator license
> does not apply there]

Is that your point? That Jim didn't specify "what"?

good one!

- Mike KB3EIA -

371. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 21:22:41 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <BEBFd.5955$pZ4.1359@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101837

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20050113154857.24384.00000025@mb-m03.aol.com...

:
: You haven't even figured out what "401 Alarm" means yet or where
: it is from... :-)
:

Nothing to "figure out", LenOver. Since no password is required by usenet,
no 401 Alarm will sound even for your nonsensical 401 Alarm.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

372. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 21:42:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105652543.517537.162850@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101838

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
(Jeffrey Herman)
> writes:
>
>
> >It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal
interstate
> >highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in California:
It
> >begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet
it's
> >yet it's still an "interstate."
>
> Ooooo...going to lecture me about my local geography? :-)

Because everyone wants to be a geographer!

At first, I just thought everyone wanted to be a weatherman, but no.
They want the prize.

373. Re: Project Diana - 59 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 21:46:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105652786.239791.181020@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101839

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <1105409512.587488.322250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> http://www.eagle.ca/~harry/ba/eme/
> >>
> >> http://www.eham.net/articles/9988
> >> Many of those involved in the project were hams.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Ahh, yes. Hams doing non-ham stuff.
> >I wonder if they calculated the distance to the moon correctly?
>
> Well, Brian, Jimmie done took the post bus out of Monmouth
> often enough, could see the big bedspring radar antennas at
> The Labs (there were 3 laboratory installations along the
> highway). I'm sure he has contributed his Oral History
> recording for the archives as have all the veterans of Project
> Diana. [hand salute!]
>
> Maybe he forgot to mention the First Ham in Space! According
> to tonight's Jeopardy program clues, Ham the Chimp was the
> first "American" in space. :-)
>
> Oook, oook!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

But Len, did Ham the Chimp have a license?

374. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 21:53:17 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <h5CFd.6578$li4.6226@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101840

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:cs6eriddt1@news.hawaii.edu...

:
: Heck, I'll even set up an online course just for you, for free. I'd be
: interested in seeing if you could pass such a class. How about it, Len?
: Do you think you could handle calculus? We could post your homework and
: exam scores here on the NG to show everyone how smart you are.
:

LenOver will spin-cycle awhile b4 answering.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

375. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 22:03:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105653817.214246.85150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101841

robert casey wrote:

> Before the Interstates were built,
> long distance driving was a real PITA.

So I've been told.

> That the
> Dept of Defense partially funded the Interstates so
> they would be able to get convoys of troops and stuff
> to somewhere. Intersections with local roads were
> designed so if a bridge was bombed, traffic could
> still get thru (go on the off ramp and then across
> the local road and back on on the on ramp).

Whole bunch of factors involved, like the Autobahns in Germany
and the success of toll roads like the Pennsylvania Turnpike.

Eisenhower was a big proponent of the interstate highway system.

There was also the concerted effort of the automakers, oil and
rubber companies, and even the concrete industry to get more
Americans to own and drive cars and trucks. They went so far
as to intentionally buy up and destroy transit systems (such
as the Pacific Electric in the Los Angeles area) so that
people would drive cars.

> Railroads worked well in WWII, but our mainland
> for the most part wasn't bombed. Take out a railroad
> bridge and things get bottled up for a while.

Bombing bridges, particularly narrow ones like most RR spans,
is singularly difficult without special weapons. Highway bridges
are at least as vulnerable as RR bridges to such attacks.
73 de Jim, N2EY

376. Re: 401 Alarm... Was [Re: 97.21 (b)]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 22:13:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105654413.726401.301270@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101842

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <20050112182843.07099.00000009@mb-m29.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >Do you still think that it's perfectly legal for *all* amateurs to
operate
> with
> >expired-but-in-the-grace-period licenses?
>
> "Operate" what? :-)

Their amateur radio stations.
>
> Tsk.

Poor baby.

> Jimmie, you best go back and read ALL of what was written
> and try, try very hard, to discern when someone is using sarcasm
> or pulling your leg. :-)

You were caught in a mistake and now you're trying to tap dance
out of it. Too bad, Len, won't work.

> Tsk, tsk. Do you have a valid Expounding-Guru license valid for
> giving us all the gracious benefit of "cures" to modern national
> socio-poliitcal problems? You do that all the time in this
amateur
> radio policy forum. Those Guru opinions aren't even close to being
> about amateur radio...not even when you shake your fists and
> stamp your feet saying "they apply! they apply!" :-)

Where have I written that? You're misquoting again, Mr. Anderson. But
truth and reality aren't your strong suits anyway.

> You haven't even figured out what "401 Alarm" means yet or where
> it is from... :-)

Sure I have. Didn't even have to look it up. I do remember the
Apollo space program.

Say, you have an anniversary coming up, Len. Five years since you
told us you were going for Extra. Not that anybody cares.

377. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 22:19:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105654765.489429.325910@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101843

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > So it appears that, in *some* cases (where a renewal application
was
> > previously filed), a ham with an expired license may be able to
operate
> > legally in the grace period.
> > But definitely not in all cases.
>
> I would disagree somewhat.

> An application for renewal of a license means that the license is
not
> expired as long as it is sent in on time.

Well, that's one way to look at it.
>
> Assuming that there is no reason for not granting a renewed license,
it
> will be granted, I hope I'm correct.

That's correct in more than 99% of cases in the ARS.

> If you send your IRS forms in by April 15th, it is considered
sending
> them in on time, even though the IRS has not received them. Send it
in
> on April 16th, and you could have a problem. It is late.

But does anyone *really* get gigged by IRS for being a day or two late?
Most folks won't take the chance to find out.

> Seems a pretty simple thing to me. Send in your renewal by the
expiry
> date of your license, and your license continues.

Sure - *if* the FCC gets it before then. And if it's correct - if you
forgot to fill out certain blocks, they might reject it.
>
> Send it in the day after the license expires, and the license
remains
> expired until they re-issue it.
>
Yep.

Or do the modern thing and renew online. Takes a few minutes and you
know they got it, because your renewal shows up in the database.
73 de Jim, N2EY

378. Re: Flaming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-13 22:52:40 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <moWdnU3KX5z3ZnvcRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 101844

```
..
>
> "Ham Guy" <HGl211@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:knlbu05aqd7dgsvvc2b9vvv2qv3sfor5q8@4ax.com...
> > THE THIRTEEN COMMANDMENTS OF FLAMING:
> >
> > Flames should be witty, insulting, interesting, funny, caustic, or
> > sarcastic, but NEVER, EVER, should they be boring

Very well thought out and interesting post ham guy .......

73 Tom Popovic KI3R BelleVernon PA
```

379. Amateur radio lets no opportunity go un-squandered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 00:17:33 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <xcEFd.6132$pZ4.5378@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101845

http://tinyurl.com/69goz

Enjoy.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

380. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 00:50:23 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ia9zbz3.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101847

On 13 Jan 2005 03:50:34 -0800, K4YZ wrote:

>Of course what's happening is that we have a cluster around the
>clock. And people are in such a panic at the end of the shift that
>stuff "get's done" just to get to the clock "on time".

When I worked for the now-departed-but-not-missed Douglas Aircraft Company, the hourly employees lined up at the clock some 10 minutes before the end of the shift (union-negotiated "wash-up time"), and no one punched out before the shift-end time. We engineers did not have to punch the clock, but we had to fill out a "daily time sheet" which served the same function.

At other employers, the custom developed of filling out the sheets a whole day (or for some of us, week) at a time because we knew what "they" wanted our time to be charged to.....

America, America....

>Technology doesn't always solve problems (ie: record keeping for
>the FCC) It more often than not creates more than it solves.

Especially when the prigramming for the system which has to run it is contracted out to the "private sector" rather than done in-house by folks who know what the goal is and have to live with the results themselves.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

381. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 00:59:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105664390.763834.52660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101846

N2EY wrote:
> In article <p92dnXaRQsZyXHjcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >Until the F.C.C. can receive and grant renewals immediately from all

> >Hams, there will have to be such a rule. Otherwise they would have
to
> >determine some time lag, say a week, and then tell you you have to
> >calculate the number of days in ten years minus 1 week. Remember it
will
> >be different for many hams, because it depends on how many leap
years
> >there have been since you got your ticket, and whether you got your
> >ticket before the leap day if you got the ticket during a leap year.
>
> Remember too that there's a 90 day limit in the other direction - if
you send
> in a renewal too early (more than 90 days before expiration) they
send it back.

Do you know of a single instance of this happening?

> I'm not sure why that 90-day rule exists. For many years you could
get
> a simultaneous renewal whenever you modified. I was a ham for a long
time
> before I ever actually "renewed" because every upgrade and address
change
> resulted in a renewed license too.

They're trying to get us to read the directions.

We say we're trainable. They're just checking.

> Perhaps the vanity call system stopped the simultaneous renewals.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I think it was the sequential call system that stopped the simultaneous
renewals. ;))

382. Re: Highway to hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 01:10:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105665013.743162.100760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101848

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:E1zFd.6011$C52.2432@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> : AFAIK, there's no Interstate 666, Bible Belters would think it was
> : the "Highway to hell" ;-)
>
> Our here we have US-666 coming up across the reservation out of
Gallup and
> heading out into Utah somewhere. Deadly stretch south of the NM
border and
> indeed probably is the "highway to hell" for many of its intoxicated
> user-victims.
>
> M.A.N.

I always enjoy driving on State Hwy 73.

383. Re: Amateur radio lets no opportunity go un-squandered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 01:15:47 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105665347.355756.239220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101849

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> http://tinyurl.com/69goz
>
> Enjoy.
>
> M.A.N.

I read where there was no PacSat traffic out of there.

Welp, now that the USA got kicked out of Indonesia for being (gulp)
American, wonder if we take the Motorola phone with us?

384. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 01:24:11 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <%aFFd.1829$2e7.1173@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101850

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:41E6E193.C36ACEFF@earthlink.net...
> Kim wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:41E5F46D.FC805506@earthlink.net...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
(Jeffrey
> > Herman)
> > > > writes:
> > > >
> > > > >It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal
> > interstate
> > > > >highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in
California: It
> > > > >begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet
> > it's
> > > > >yet it's still an "interstate."
> > > >
> > > > Ooooo...going to lecture me about my local geography? :-)
> > >
> > > Well, you didn't seem to know about how how things worked.
> > >
> >
> > I think there's a difference in highways; as in "intrastate" and
> > "interstate." I believe it's intrastate if it stays inside the boundary
of
> > a state and "interstate" if it goes outside the boundary of a state.
>
> That's nice, Kim. I don't know of anything called the "Intrastate
> Highway System". If it has a red, white and blue sign with I-something,
> I can assure you that it isn't part of the "Intrastate".
>
> Dave K8MN

Y'know, Dave, you're one sorry-assed bastard. I post something to be just
making an observation and you, oh...well, never mind. I've never seen you
post anything unless it involves being a smartass. Take a look at the other
posts...such as the one from Robert Casey. But then, it'd probably bore
you, since he's being informative and conducting a nice excahnge in
communication.

Kim W5TIT

385. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 01:38:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <h6ydnRRkAvo0v3rcRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101851

N2EY wrote:
> In article <p92dnXaRQsZyXHjcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Until the F.C.C. can receive and grant renewals immediately from all
>>Hams, there will have to be such a rule. Otherwise they would have to
>>determine some time lag, say a week, and then tell you you have to
>>calculate the number of days in ten years minus 1 week. Remember it will
>>be different for many hams, because it depends on how many leap years
>>there have been since you got your ticket, and whether you got your
>>ticket before the leap day if you got the ticket during a leap year.
>
>
> Remember too that there's a 90 day limit in the other direction - if you send
> in a renewal too early (more than 90 days before expiration) they send it back.
>
>
> I'm not sure why that 90-day rule exists. For many years you could get
> a simultaneous renewal whenever you modified. I was a ham for a long time
> before I ever actually "renewed" because every upgrade and address change
> resulted in a renewed license too.
>
> Perhaps the vanity call system stopped the simultaneous renewals.

Perhaps. What I would *really like* would be the ability to renew my
license for say thirty years. That way I wouldn't have to worry about
forgetting about it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

386. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 01:51:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050113205118.29181.00000010@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 101852

In article <1105409512.587488.322250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> http://www.eagle.ca/~harry/ba/eme/
>>
>> http://www.eham.net/articles/9988
>> Many of those involved in the project were hams.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Ahh, yes. Hams doing non-ham stuff.
>I wonder if they calculated the distance to the moon correctly?

Well, Brian, Jimmie done took the post bus out of Monmouth often enough, could see the big bedspring radar antennas at The Labs (there were 3 laboratory installations along the highway). I'm sure he has contributed his Oral History recording for the archives as have all the veterans of Project Diana. [hand salute!]

Maybe he forgot to mention the First Ham in Space! According to tonight's Jeopardy program clues, Ham the Chimp was the first "American" in space. :-)

Oook, oook!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

387. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 02:06:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050113210644.29515.00000061@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 101853

In article <h5CFd.6578$Ii4.6226@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> writes:

>"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
>news:cs6eriddt1@news.hawaii.edu...
>
>: Heck, I'll even set up an online course just for you, for free. I'd be
>: interested in seeing if you could pass such a class. How about it, Len?
>: Do you think you could handle calculus? We could post your homework and
>: exam scores here on the NG to show everyone how smart you are.
>
>LenOver will spin-cycle awhile b4 answering.

Anonymous Man of Cancer, I answered that with the following post
on 11 January 2005 -

======

In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal interstate
>highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in California: It
>begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet it's
>yet it's still an "interstate."

Ooooo...going to lecture me about my local geography? :-)

Try Interstate FIVE as an illustration...begins at the Canadian border
goes all the way south through Washington, Oregon, California, ends
at the Mexican border. Over a kilomile long, takes two days to drive
at lawful speeds. Been there, done that, many times.

Gee, if I wanted a LECTURE on highways, I'd go to the AAA website
of my local auto club office...they give out free maps to us members.

>The semester begin tomorrow -- I'll be teaching a calculus class at the
>university campus (which is not a "junior college"), 8:30-9:20 MWF,
>Keller Hall room 403. The class is full but I'll let you register,
>if you think you can handle the class.

Oh, wow.... (a big Ben Stein "wowwww....")

So awfully nice of you, Mr. Petty Chief Ossifer. I'll just go on down to
the nearest ATM, withdraw a few thousand, cross the I-5 over to
Bob Hope Airport and fly over to the Islands...JUST...for the "pleasure"
of hearing you "lecture" on The Calculus! Oh frabjous joy...

>Go back and read my complete post, paying attention to the phrase
>"...technical best seller."

Go for it! "TECHNICAL" best seller! What year? And who was the
compiler of that gem of information? [was it a bookstore in Newington?]
You might try the ABA or some other book industry associations first
to verify some nonsense claim. Or take off your blinders. Whichever
is easiest.

I've only been USING technical books since 1960 and my first job
assignment as an electronics engineer responsible for new design.
The Green Bible, the Blue Bible, Millman & Taub, Henney, Petersen
and Weldon, Floyd Gardner, lots of names which are very familiar
in my small 30+ foot total length personal bookshelf right above the
immediate computer desk here. Since I already write for money
and know several authors (who work at that full time) plus book
store owners-managers, I have some acquaintenceship with the
professional book associations. Now, if you wish to LECTURE me
on "best sellers" of anything, I will invite you to pass gas on a
shuttle flight ("go take a flying fart") between islands.

===

I think you ought to get back to verifying the claim that the Islands
have ham stations at every police and fire station...or whatever you
wrote. [if you also "lecture" in English, somebody rated you wrong]

You might remind the state government to update their web pages
to show those gems of information...or is that "sensitive" info
for certain people's eyes only? :-)

Riiiight...I can just see a Billion-dollar tourist industry "relying" on ham
radio in Times of Troubles to keep their many lines of communications
going during all those natural disasters that beset the Islands all the
time. Riiiight...and there's no military on the Islands other than the
USCG...not even the USARPAC with their Army callsign ADA. :-)

>Accusing me of lying? Are you sure you want to commit libel?

Accuse a MATHEMATICS LECTURER of lying? Heavens no!

Let's just say you don' know no bettah, blalah. :-)

I wouldn't do such terrible things to a kind soul who got a Rhode
Island ham his own "FCC mailing address" in Hawaii.

By the way, how is Mike Deignan? Still busy with all those "ham
clubs" he had callsigns for?

Don't take any wooden pineapples...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

======

You should hope Jeffie has a computer course you can take to learn to download postings.

Most kindest regards, Cancer Man

LenAnderson@ieee.org

388. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 03:25:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E73B3C.DF5D6293@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101854

Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:41E6E193.C36ACEFF@earthlink.net...
> > Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:41E5F46D.FC805506@earthlink.net...
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
> (Jeffrey
> > > Herman)
> > > > > writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal
> > > interstate
> > > > > >highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in
> California: It
> > > > > >begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet
> > > it's
> > > > > >yet it's still an "interstate."
> > > > >
> > > > > Ooooo...going to lecture me about my local geography? :-)
> > > > >
> > > > Well, you didn't seem to know about how how things worked.
> > > >
> > >
> > > I think there's a difference in highways; as in "intrastate" and
> > > "interstate." I believe it's intrastate if it stays inside the boundary
> of
> > > a state and "interstate" if it goes outside the boundary of a state.
> >
> > That's nice, Kim. I don't know of anything called the "Intrastate
> > Highway System". If it has a red, white and blue sign with I-something,
> > I can assure you that it isn't part of the "Intrastate".
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Y'know, Dave, you're one sorry-assed bastard.

I'll bet you say that to all the fellows. Don't think your sweet
talking will win me over.

> I post something to be just
> making an observation and you...

...pointed out that there is no "Intrastate".

> oh...well, never mind. I've never seen you
> post anything unless it involves being a smartass. Take a look at the other
> posts...such as the one from Robert Casey. But then, it'd probably bore
> you, since he's being informative and conducting a nice excahnge in
> communication.

Do you have additional information about the "Intrastate" Highway
System?

Dave K8MN

389. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 03:28:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E73BF0.74B63182@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101855

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1105409512.587488.322250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> http://www.eagle.ca/~harry/ba/eme/
> >>
> >> http://www.eham.net/articles/9988
> >> Many of those involved in the project were hams.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >Ahh, yes. Hams doing non-ham stuff.
> >I wonder if they calculated the distance to the moon correctly?
>
> Well, Brian, Jimmie done took the post bus out of Monmouth
> often enough, could see the big bedspring radar antennas at
> The Labs (there were 3 laboratory installations along the
> highway). I'm sure he has contributed his Oral History
> recording for the archives as have all the veterans of Project
> Diana. [hand salute!]
>
> Maybe he forgot to mention the First Ham in Space! According
> to tonight's Jeopardy program clues, Ham the Chimp was the
> first "American" in space. :-)

That reminds me--I wonder how Mike Coslo's gas bag launch project is
going. How high will "Leonard" go?

Dave K8MN

390. Re: Project Diana - 59 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 03:46:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050113224636.11507.00000014@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 101856

In article <1105409512.587488.322250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> http://www.eagle.ca/~harry/ba/eme/
>>
>> http://www.eham.net/articles/9988
>> Many of those involved in the project were hams.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Ahh, yes. Hams doing non-ham stuff.
>I wonder if they calculated the distance to the moon correctly?

Brian, they didn't have to calculate anything. Having taken and passed the U.S. amateur radio license code test, they knew intrinsically all about the Space Business and pioneering of the radio arts. [Hams had invented astronomy, you see...]

Legend has it that when J.P. was Serving His Country teaching the poor ignorant children of Redbank all about mort's code, he would jog along the highway leading to Fort Monmouth. He might have given the GIs on the post bus the one-finger salute but he could smile to himself as he passed Coles, Evans, and Squier Laboratories...hams were busy inside keeping our country safe, serving the Signal Corps with the very best CW equipment there could be. Those hams KNEW all about the planetary positions given out in daily bulletins from W1AW.

Without hams we could only fish around and beef...

Did dit

LenAnderson@ieee.org

391. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 05:19:45 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <RDIFd.6487$C52.6193@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101857

>
> Riiiight...I can just see a Billion-dollar tourist industry "relying" on ham
> radio in Times of Troubles to keep their many lines of communications
> going during all those natural disasters that beset the Islands all the
> time. Riiiight...and there's no military on the Islands other than the
> USCG...not even the USARPAC with their Army callsign ADA. :-)
>
>
Well Hawaii did get hit by a big hurricane about 10 years ago.
Some of the smaller islands took it the hardest, and hams
on 2 meters were the only surviving communications for a
short while. Between islands. Doesn't happen every day though....

392. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 07:26:06 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <cs7s6e$92l$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 101858

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
> I think you ought to get back to verifying the claim that the Islands
> have ham stations at every police and fire station...or whatever you
> wrote.

You're on your own now. I mentioned that our Oahu hospitals had amateur stations manned by licensed hospital staff. But because of your inability to use a search engine you doubted those stations existed. I verified the fact by providing a site for you, which you apparently devoured:

> You might remind the state government to update their web pages

...but instead of saying "Thanks, Jeff; you were correct and I was wrong again," you hide your error by resorting to sarcasm:

> [if you also "lecture" in English, somebody rated you wrong]

Always the same thing -- you're proved wrong but instead of admitting your error you play the attack again.

One wonders how many times you've been divorced; I can't imagine that any woman would remain with you for long.

Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

393. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 07:49:52 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <cs7tj0$9pl$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 101859

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

>>news:20041231013151.10298.00000318@mb-m25.aol.com...
>>> NTSC color subcarrier is exactly 3.57954545454545454545454545....
>>> MHz. :-)

> With the correct integer divisor and correct integer multiplier, it
> comes out to 500 KHz fairly exact.

"Fairly exact"? What's that mean?

Any repeating decimal can be written as a rational number, a/b.
That particular decimal above is exactly 315/88: Set that decimal
equal to N. Since two digits repeat, multiply both sides of the
equation by 10^2; we now have: 100N = 357.9545454... Next, subtract
the first equation from this second one; all the repeating 54 pairs
cancel due to this subtraction, giving us 99N = 354.375, a
terminating decimal. To eliminate the decimal point, multiply
both sides by 1000: 99000N = 354375. Now divide both sides by
99000: N = 354375/99000. This fraction simplifies to N = 315/88.
Hence, that original repeating decimal, 3.579545454... is exactly
equal to 315/88.

Note that if you have a repeating decimal with 3 repeating digits,
you'd multiple both sides of the initial equation by 10^3, etc.

Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

394. Len caught in a RRAP trap (was Re: No anticipated changes...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 08:29:24 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <cs7vt4$b0o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 101860

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

>Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> writes:

>>"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
>>> Heck, I'll even set up an online course just for you, for free. I'd
>>> be interested in seeing if you could pass such a class. How about it,
>>> Len? Do you think you could handle calculus? We could post your
>>> homework and exam scores here on the NG to show everyone how smart
>>> you are.

>>LenOver will spin-cycle awhile b4 answering.

> Anonymous Man of Cancer, I answered that with the following post
> on 11 January 2005 -

My offer above of providing you a free online calculus course
was made this morning, 13 January. You're caught again in another
cover up.

This one will go down in the RRAP history books: Len answering a 1/13
post on 1/11.

I've set the expiration date of this article for 2007 so that folks
can enjoy seeing Len humiliated for a couple of years.

Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

395. Re: Flaming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 09:10:56 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <theletter_k_andthenumeral_4_doh@unclaimedmysteries.net>
Message-ID: <A0MFd.6141$KJ2.5097@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Ham Guy wrote in part:

> THE THIRTEEN COMMANDMENTS OF FLAMING:
>
> Flames should be witty, insulting, interesting, funny, caustic, or
> sarcastic, but NEVER, EVER, should they be boring. The Thirteen
> Commandments of Flaming
>

You forgot HITLER!

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

T. Boozer wrote in rolltidefan.net: "That dude that took the picture,
Corry Smith, is a bigtime aubie. Notice he named the pic
'BRIANDENNEHYstadium' Screw him!"

396. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 11:30:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050114063033.05425.00000042@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 101862

In article <20050111202758.05425.00000016@mb-m01.aol.com>, lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21) writes:

>In article <xOWEd.4385$pZ4.903@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Mel A. >Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> writes:
>
>>Your crapola is hard enuf to read once, let alone 2-3 times. Take a course >>in computer basics.
>
> It's the fault of that wonderful ISP called AOL and their very latest
> softwares...at THEIR end, not here. AOL has been advised. Again.

"Softwares"? Is that like President Bush's "internets"?
>
> BTW, I've done commercial and amateur computer programming in
> addition to electronic design engineering and was a member of the
> ACM in the past, though always with the IEEE since '73.

Yet you're using AOL through a dialup, just like me and many others. Except our postings don't show up three times in the same day. Only yours.

> You are welcome to file TOS charges against me with AOL any
> time you wish. TOS = Terms Of Service.

TS, Eliot ;-) ;-)

> Tell them that the
> cancer man doesn't like me. Let us see if cancer can spread.
>
> Meanwhile on your "computer suggestion," go shove it up your
> I/O port.

IOW, you don't know what's wrong, huh Len?

397. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 11:30:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050114063040.05425.00000043@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 101863

In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim" ><mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
>
>>Uh huh. I did ask. And, you came back with this argument initially
>>that--paraphrasing--were you not entitled to your opinion. You are
>>entitled.
>
> Incorrect. Brian Burke

N0IMD

> was specifically talking about those in
> here who belong to the "PCTA extra" persuasion and who
> continually try to put those who are against the morse code test.

"continually try to put those who are against the morse code test"? Put them where?
>
> Those "PCTA extras"

Who are they, Len? Specifically? Use callsigns because names aren't necessarily unique.

> persist in claiming turf on who should
> direct EVERYTHING about U.S. amateur radio - including all
> federal regulations thereof - just because of tenure as an
> amateur or because they feel they "own" the hobby and are
> somehow "entititled" to be of the noble calling of amateurism.

Where, specifically, has this been claimed? By whom?

Perhaps you and N0IMD have very thin skins...;-)
>
> PCTA extras imply they are "superior" about everything and
> are busy trying to shove out the NCTAs from this newsgroup.

Really? You're the only person here I've seen tell another to "shut the hell up".

You imply your own superiority in all things here ;-) ;-)

>>You are entitled to believe that the ground a military person
>>walks on is sacred and that no one else is "entitled" to the same benefits
>>from the United States as a person who has served in the military.

You're also entitled to believe that the moon is made of cheese. Or that the earth is flat.

Or that your lifelong avoidance of Morse Code has some relevance to amateur radio policy.

> You are off on your own fantasy there.

Naw, Len, she hit the nail really square.

> Brian Burke served in the
> USAF, overseas. I served in the US Army, also overseas, and
> specifically in radio communications. It is safe to say that we are
> both proud of serving our country.

As you should be. Others feel the same way about *their* military service, too.

But is the military the only way a citizen can serve our country? Or are there other ways? Does it have to be "overseas"?

> What has grown into this overlong thread is a bunch of NON-
> servers who imply that they are "superior" in all respects to those
> of us who served but who are not favoring any morse code test for
> U.S. amateur radio licenses. Further, those same NON-servers
> imply/claim that Their knowledge of the military and government
> is "superior" to Brian and myself.

"Superior in all respects"? Who has claimed that? Where have they claimed it?

Seems to me you just take any opposition to your opinions as an insult.

> It gets worse when an ex-serviceman (a PCTA extra)

Who?

> brags of
> his "seven hostile actions" yet cannot identify their where or
> when,

N0IMD won't give any details about his /T5 operation, yet you accept it as fact. "Leo" won't even identify himself, but you have no qualms that his claims are valid.

Yet you question a fellow serviceman's military experience. Odd.

> AND has NO claimed experience in any specific radio
> communications, AND falsely accuses others of "dishonoring"
> their military unit members.

Maybe he's just pulling your leg, Len. ;-)

You know, the way you "pull his leg" by calling him "nursie", "murine" and many other names, and referring to him in the wrong gender.

> That individual has NO displayed
> knowledge of military radio communications, networks, or
> regulations thereof (see the specious claim that "MARS

> >>IS<< amateur radio" instead of the Department of Defense).

Is he entitled to that opinion, Len? Or are only opinions you agree with allowed to exist?

> On top of that this individual continually uses Yiddish pejoratives
> as personal insults despite having no knowledge of Yiddish nor
> of central European languages.

Perhaps he's just using sarcasm to make a point, Len ;-) ;-)

Did you know that Colin Powell is fluent in Yiddish? It's a fact.

Len, you go on and on about Morse Code and Morse Code tests even though you have no demonstrated practical knowledge of either. ;-) ;-) ;-)

>>You are
>>entitled to believe that we don't all, in some form or other, contribute to
>>the integrity, continuance, and strength of the United States--some of us by
>>just damned getting up out of bed every morning and going to some dorky job
>>where a damned good portion of our income goes to supporting the military,
>>and the government, and the "other" people (you know...those who are not
>>worthy)...

Little sarcasm there, in case you missed it, Len. But Kim got it right - again.
>
> Well, you claim, solely by birthright, that you are "entitled" to MORE
> than those of us who spent years in the military, serving our country
> the best way we could? All because you did NOT serve yourself?

More? Where do you get that, Len? Kim just is saying that everyone contributes. Don't you agree?

Or don't "non-servers" count for anything at all? Perhaps you would like to call them "unpersons".
>
> That's a remarkable claim of "citizenship" which you wear. It is not
> an article that I wish to put on at any time.

I see. You think some citizens are more equal than others. Oink, oink ;-) ;-) ;-)

W4NTI served our country in Southeast Asia. In the military, during a war. Yet you threatened him with your "ounces of pressure" post.

K8MN served our country in Southeast Asia. In the military, during a war. He also had a long career serving our country in the State Department. Yet you repeatedly insult him because of his last name, denied his knowledge of State Department communications, and have told him to "shut the hell up" in your classic "feldwebel" post.

KH6O served our country in the Coast Guard. (I don't know if he's still active-duty). Yet you don't consider maritime communications on the largest ocean of the planet to be "big time radio". He's also a teacher at the

university level. Yet you repeatedly insult him and his service, most clearly in the your classic "sphincter" post.

There's a lot more...
>
> About the only thing that can be said about the extreme polarization
> in here is that the PCTA extras must certainly believe they are so
> damned "superior" in radio BECAUSE they took and passed a
> civilian amateur radio morse code test.

They're superior to you in Morse Code skill, Len. And in amateur radio experience. The rest of the difference is a matter of opinion. I'm superior to you in many ways. You are superior to me in some other ways (tapdancing around the facts, for example).

Deal with it, pink boy ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

However, you've shown that no matter what military service, education, employment or other accomplishment someone has, you'll make fun of them and their accomplishments if they dare to disagree with you. You'll even say they are lying about the accomplishments, without any evidence.

Of course you're not a stickler for evidence anyway, as shown by your demanding an age limit of 14 years for amateur radio licenses, without any evidence that the licensing of young people causes problems. And without any demonstrated practical experience with young people ("teeners", you call them?)

So there's no point in anyone mentioning their education, military/government service, employment, etc., around you, because you'll just insult it and say it's inferior.

> Yes, that's the ticket.
> Moresemen are always "superior." They say so all the time.

"Moresemen"? You mean "Morris men"?

> All
> others must bow down to them. They are royalty.

Or maybe they're using sarcasm and leg-pulling on you, Len. ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

Can't you deal with a little strong opposition? ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

> But, they are still AMATEURS in radio. Try to remember that.

And you're not one of them. Your Extra is still in its box.
>
> Even when those PCTA extras expound on socio-political issues
> that don't even come close to U.S. amateur radio or its policy,
> they remain superior and aloof to all NCTA.

Tug, tug! ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

Here's a clue, Len: You're not the newsgroup moderator.

>>So, yeah. I asked. And, I should have known better...

> Quite true.

> But, you have aligned yourself with the PCTA extra mob in here.
> As a friendly suggestion, wear some armor next time and the
> severity of newsgroup wounds won't cause so much apparent
> psychological trauma.

Somehow I think Kim's a bit tougher than you are, Len. ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

Oh, btw - your buddy Mike Deignan is *against* code testing. At least that's what he told FCC back in 1999.

398. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 11:52:29 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105703549.278806.136240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101864

Kim wrote:

> Y'know, Dave, you're one sorry-assed bastard. I post something to be
just
> making an observation and you, oh...well, never mind. I've never
seen you
> post anything unless it involves being a smartass. Take a look at
the other
> posts...such as the one from Robert Casey. But then, it'd probably
bore
> you, since he's being informative and conducting a nice excahnge in
> communication.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim, he's not like that on the air. Maybe Dave should go back to
giving out signal reports.

399. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 12:05:24 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <32dfu0lkmuttc6hshmdodo1brpqrjmc6a3@4ax.com>
Source record: 101865

On 14 Jan 2005 11:30:40 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>><mail@nomail.com> writes:
>>
>snip

>Who are they, Len? Specifically? Use callsigns because names aren't necessarily
>unique.

Such as you do with Kim's, which I see has been (as always) removed
from this thread......

People who live in glass houses..... :)

>remainder of blather snipped

73, Leo

400. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 12:44:33 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <R8PFd.13859$wi2.6766@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101866

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:41E73B3C.DF5D6293@earthlink.net...
>
>
> Do you have additional information about the "Intrastate" Highway
> System?
>
> Dave K8MN

Depends on which "Intrastate" Highway you're speaking of, "Dave":

http://www.co.palm-beach.fl.us/mpo/pdf/FDOT_Publications/fihs%20modal%20needs%20plan.pdf
will get you some information on the Florida Intrastate Highway system;

http://www.rtpnet.org/ncatr/htf_summary.html mentions something for North
Carolina;

If you'll take a look at fourth bullet on
http://www.goodtimber.net/directions.html, they actually WARN of not getting
confused between the INTRAstate and the INTERstate;

In the second paragraph of SB 1166 on
http://www.calcog.org/_private/SB%201166.htm, the last line mentions their
INTERstate and INTRAstate highway system;

I am sure there's more to the search at
http://www.altavista.com/web/results?itag=wrx&q=intrastate&kgs=1&kls=0, but
I don't have time to satisfy your curiosity.

No, I don't tell all the fellas they're sorry-assed bastards. Only the
sorry-assed bastards. So far, you are in a minority of...let me count...oh,
yeah, ONE.

Kim W5TIT

401. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 12:46:24 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <AaPFd.13860$wi2.11605@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101867

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1105703549.278806.136240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Kim wrote:
>
> > Y'know, Dave, you're one sorry-assed bastard. I post something to be
> just
> > making an observation and you, oh...well, never mind. I've never
> seen you
> > post anything unless it involves being a smartass. Take a look at
> the other
> > posts...such as the one from Robert Casey. But then, it'd probably
> bore
> > you, since he's being informative and conducting a nice excahnge in
> > communication.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim, he's not like that on the air. Maybe Dave should go back to
> giving out signal reports.
>

Well, he'd need to learn the difference between an interstate and an
intrastate first...

Kim W5TIT

402. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 12:53:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105707213.433791.45670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101868

Leo wrote:
> On 14 Jan 2005 11:30:40 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com
> >(Len Over 21) writes:
> >
> >>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
> >><mail@nomail.com> writes:
> >>
> >snip
>
> >Who are they, Len? Specifically? Use callsigns because names aren't
necessarily
> >unique.
>
> Such as you do with Kim's, which I see has been (as always) removed
> from this thread......

Nope:

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/dfa2c03c6f99156c?dmode=so
urce

> People who live in glass houses..... :)
>
See the post above.

73 de Jim, N2EY

403. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 13:41:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41E7CC08.60101@psu.edu>
Source record: 101869

Dave Heil wrote:
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>In article <1105409512.587488.322250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
>><billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>>http://www.eagle.ca/~harry/ba/eme/
>>>>
>>>>http://www.eham.net/articles/9988
>>>>Many of those involved in the project were hams.
>>>>
>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>>Ahh, yes. Hams doing non-ham stuff.
>>>I wonder if they calculated the distance to the moon correctly?
>>
>> Well, Brian, Jimmie done took the post bus out of Monmouth
>> often enough, could see the big bedspring radar antennas at
>> The Labs (there were 3 laboratory installations along the
>> highway). I'm sure he has contributed his Oral History
>> recording for the archives as have all the veterans of Project
>> Diana. [hand salute!]
>>
>> Maybe he forgot to mention the First Ham in Space! According
>> to tonight's Jeopardy program clues, Ham the Chimp was the
>> first "American" in space. :-)
>
>
> That reminds me--I wonder how Mike Coslo's gas bag launch project is
> going. How high will "Leonard" go?
>
> Dave K8MN

It is going okay. I just stopped writing about it here since it was
"impossible to do" 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

404. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 14:15:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105712116.210562.63730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101870

Mike Coslo wrote:

> What I would *really like* would be the ability to renew my
> license for say thirty years. That way I wouldn't have to worry about

> forgetting about it.

Interesting concept - renew for your expected lifetime?

IIRC, Japanese amateur radio operator licenses never expire, and
Canadian amateur licenses expire when the licensee is 125 years old. Or
something like that. In any event, their license terms are so long that
they outlive the licensee. One problem with doing that sort of thing
here is being able to reissue callsigns.

73 de Jim, N2EY

405. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 14:30:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41E7D7A3.1010409@psu.edu>
Source record: 101871

N2EY wrote:
> In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
>
>>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>><mail@nomail.com> writes:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Uh huh. I did ask. And, you came back with this argument initially
>>>that--paraphrasing--were you not entitled to your opinion. You are
>>>entitled.
>>
>> Incorrect. Brian Burke
>
>
> N0IMD
>
>
>> was specifically talking about those in
>> here who belong to the "PCTA extra" persuasion and who
>> continually try to put those who are against the morse code test.
>
>
> "continually try to put those who are against the morse code test"? Put them
> where?
>
>> Those "PCTA extras"
>
>
> Who are they, Len? Specifically? Use callsigns because names aren't necessarily
> unique.

Much easier to not put a name or face on the evil "PCTA Extras". Makes
it a lot easier to demonize them. Fact is, of the Extras in here who are
PCTA, there are a lot of different personalities, from abrasive to mild
mannered.

One cannot stereotype a spectrum easily.

>
>> persist in claiming turf on who should
>> direct EVERYTHING about U.S. amateur radio - including all
>> federal regulations thereof - just because of tenure as an

>> amateur or because they feel they "own" the hobby and are
>> somehow "entititled" to be of the noble calling of amateurism.
>
>
> Where, specifically, has this been claimed? By whom?
>
> Perhaps you and N0IMD have very thin skins...;-)
>
>> PCTA extras imply they are "superior" about everything and
>> are busy trying to shove out the NCTAs from this newsgroup.
>
>
> Really? You're the only person here I've seen tell another to "shut the hell
> up".

Let us not forget the recent offers for one poster to engage in
fornication with himself, and for another to stick something up his "I/O
port". All in response to civil posts.

> You imply your own superiority in all things here ;-) ;-)
>
>
>>>You are entitled to believe that the ground a military person
>>>walks on is sacred and that no one else is "entitled" to the same benefits
>>
>>>from the United States as a person who has served in the military.
>
>
> You're also entitled to believe that the moon is made of cheese. Or that the
> earth is flat.
>
> Or that your lifelong avoidance of Morse Code has some relevance to amateur
> radio policy.
>
>
>> You are off on your own fantasy there.
>
>
> Naw, Len, she hit the nail really square.
>
>
>> Brian Burke served in the
>> USAF, overseas. I served in the US Army, also overseas, and
>> specifically in radio communications. It is safe to say that we are
>> both proud of serving our country.
>
>
> As you should be. Others feel the same way about *their* military service, too.
>
>
> But is the military the only way a citizen can serve our country? Or are there

> other ways? Does it have to be "overseas"?

There are other, direct ways of doing this.

>> What has grown into this overlong thread is a bunch of NON-
>> servers who imply that they are "superior" in all respects to those
>> of us who served but who are not favoring any morse code test for
>> U.S. amateur radio licenses. Further, those same NON-servers
>> imply/claim that Their knowledge of the military and government
>> is "superior" to Brian and myself.
>
>
> "Superior in all respects"? Who has claimed that? Where have they claimed it?
>
> Seems to me you just take any opposition to your opinions as an insult.
>
>
>> It gets worse when an ex-serviceman (a PCTA extra)
>
>
> Who?
>
>
>> brags of
>> his "seven hostile actions" yet cannot identify their where or
>> when,

BTW, except in the case of a dishonorable discharge, there are no
ex-Marines. just ones who are retired or not on active duty.

>
> N0IMD won't give any details about his /T5 operation, yet you
> accept it as fact. "Leo" won't even identify himself, but you have
> no qualms that his claims are valid.

> Yet you question a fellow serviceman's military experience. Odd.

Depends on which side of the Morse code issue they are on. In fact,
considering the number of insults hurled at various people who have done
Military service and happen to be PCTA, I would have to conclude that
Len does *not*' show respect for military service.

>
>> AND has NO claimed experience in any specific radio
>> communications, AND falsely accuses others of "dishonoring"
>> their military unit members.
>
>
> Maybe he's just pulling your leg, Len. ;-)
>
> You know, the way you "pull his leg" by calling him "nursie", "murine" and many
> other names, and referring to him in the wrong gender.

>
>
>> That individual has NO displayed
>> knowledge of military radio communications, networks, or
>> regulations thereof (see the specious claim that "MARS
>> >>IS<< amateur radio" instead of the Department of Defense).
>
>
> Is he entitled to that opinion, Len? Or are only opinions you agree with
> allowed to exist?

We all know that answer.

>
>> On top of that this individual continually uses Yiddish pejoratives
>> as personal insults despite having no knowledge of Yiddish nor
>> of central European languages.
>
>
> Perhaps he's just using sarcasm to make a point, Len ;-) ;-)
>
> Did you know that Colin Powell is fluent in Yiddish? It's a fact.
>
> Len, you go on and on about Morse Code and Morse Code tests even though
> you have no demonstrated practical knowledge of either. ;-) ;-) ;-)

As in all things, lack of experience makes it easier to form a strong
opinion.

>
>>>You are
>>>entitled to believe that we don't all, in some form or other, contribute to
>>>the integrity, continuance, and strength of the United States--some of us by
>>>just damned getting up out of bed every morning and going to some dorky job
>>>where a damned good portion of our income goes to supporting the military,
>>>and the government, and the "other" people (you know...those who are not
>>>worthy)...
>>
>
> Little sarcasm there, in case you missed it, Len. But Kim got it right - again.
>
>> Well, you claim, solely by birthright, that you are "entitled" to MORE
>> than those of us who spent years in the military, serving our country
>> the best way we could? All because you did NOT serve yourself?
>
>
> More? Where do you get that, Len? Kim just is saying that everyone contributes.
> Don't you agree?
>
> Or don't "non-servers" count for anything at all? Perhaps you would like to
> call them "unpersons".

>
>> That's a remarkable claim of "citizenship" which you wear. It is not
>> an article that I wish to put on at any time.
>
>
> I see. You think some citizens are more equal than others. Oink, oink ;-) ;-)
> ;-)
>
> W4NTI served our country in Southeast Asia. In the military, during a war. Yet
> you threatened him with your "ounces of pressure" post.
>
> K8MN served our country in Southeast Asia. In the military, during a war. He
> also had a long career serving our country in the State Department. Yet you
> repeatedly insult him because of his last name, denied his knowledge of State
> Department communications, and have told him to "shut the hell up" in your
> classic "feldwebel" post.
>
> KH6O served our country in the Coast Guard. (I don't know if he's still
> active-duty). Yet you don't consider maritime communications on the largest
> ocean of the planet to be "big time radio". He's also a teacher at the
> university level. Yet you repeatedly insult him and his service, most clearly
> in the your classic "sphincter" post.

Speaking of the Coast Guard, I wonder how much guts it takes to go out
in one of those rollers and spend a little quality time upside down,
while trying to rescue someone. That has to be an *intense* Sphincter
pucker moment!

> There's a lot more...
>
>> About the only thing that can be said about the extreme polarization
>> in here is that the PCTA extras must certainly believe they are so
>> damned "superior" in radio BECAUSE they took and passed a
>> civilian amateur radio morse code test.
>
>
> They're superior to you in Morse Code skill, Len. And in amateur radio
> experience. The rest of the difference is a matter of opinion. I'm superior to
> you in many ways. You are superior to me in some other ways (tapdancing around
> the facts, for example).
>
> Deal with it, pink boy ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
> However, you've shown that no matter what military service, education,
> employment or other accomplishment someone has, you'll make fun of them and
> their accomplishments if they dare to disagree with you. You'll even say they
> are lying about the accomplishments, without any evidence.
>
> Of course you're not a stickler for evidence anyway, as shown by your demanding
> an age limit of 14 years for amateur radio licenses, without any evidence that
> the licensing of young people causes problems. And without any demonstrated

> practical experience with young people ("teeners", you call them?)
>
> So there's no point in anyone mentioning their education, military/government
> service, employment, etc., around you, because you'll just insult it and say
> it's inferior.
>
>
>> Yes, that's the ticket.
>> Moresemen are always "superior." They say so all the time.
>
>
> "Moresemen"? You mean "Morris men"?
>
>
>> All
>> others must bow down to them. They are royalty.
>
>
> Or maybe they're using sarcasm and leg-pulling on you, Len. ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
> Can't you deal with a little strong opposition? ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

I think we already knew that. If a person shows no ability to engage in
debate without remaining civil, then they can't handle any opposition at
all.

>
>> But, they are still AMATEURS in radio. Try to remember that.
>
>
> And you're not one of them. Your Extra is still in its box.
>
>> Even when those PCTA extras expound on socio-political issues
>> that don't even come close to U.S. amateur radio or its policy,
>> they remain superior and aloof to all NCTA.
>
>
> Tug, tug! ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
> Here's a clue, Len: You're not the newsgroup moderator.
>
>
>>>So, yeah. I asked. And, I should have known better...
>>
>
>> Quite true.
>
>
>> But, you have aligned yourself with the PCTA extra mob in here.
>> As a friendly suggestion, wear some armor next time and the
>> severity of newsgroup wounds won't cause so much apparent

>> psychological trauma.
>
>
> Somehow I think Kim's a bit tougher than you are, Len. ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)
> ;-) ;-)

psychological trauma?

>
> Oh, btw - your buddy Mike Deignan is *against* code testing. At least that's
> what he told FCC back in 1999.
>

- Mike KB3EIA -

406. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 14:38:43 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iab1oj5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 101874

On 14 Jan 2005 06:15:16 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>IIRC, Japanese amateur radio operator licenses never expire, and
>Canadian amateur licenses expire when the licensee is 125 years old. Or
>something like that. In any event, their license terms are so long that
>they outlive the licensee. One problem with doing that sort of thing
>here is being able to reissue callsigns.

What many Administrations do is to issue as lifetime operator
license and a station license renewable by paying a fee and
getting a validation sticker just like an auto license plate.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

407. Don't feed the trolls!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 14:45:56 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <b4RFd.5712$jH6.1276@fe09.lga>
Source record: 101872

Just a reality check. This morning I checked the newsgroup and seven threads appeared. Of the seven, four were responses to Len.

If you don't like seagull dropping on your car when it's parked at the marina, don't feed them. They will eventually go away. That's why the sign says "Don't feed the seagulls."

The subject line says it all. He WILL eventually go away.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

408. Re: Len caught in a RRAP trap (was Re: No anticipated changes...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 14:46:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105714018.413798.188900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101873

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> I've set the expiration date of this article for 2007 so that folks
> can enjoy seeing Len humiliated for a couple of years.

Now Jeff! You KNOW that Lennie gives us PLENTY of stuff with which
to humiliate him over!

We are still having a pretty good giggle over his NG faux pas over
license expirations and the subsequent attempts to wriggle out from
under it. Wudda been a lot easier (and would have garnered some
respect) to just say "Ooopsss....I was wrong."

But...You know how it is for pathological liars...gotta lie for
lying's sake!

73

Steve, K4YZ

409. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 17:46:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105724776.736255.282350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101875

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com
> > (Len Over 21) writes:

> >>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
> >><mail@nomail.com> writes:

> >>>Uh huh. I did ask. And, you came back with this argument
initially
> >>>that--paraphrasing--were you not entitled to your opinion. You
are
> >>>entitled.
> >> Incorrect. Brian Burke

> > N0IMD

> >> was specifically talking about those in
> >> here who belong to the "PCTA extra" persuasion and who
> >> continually try to put those who are against the morse code test.

> > "continually try to put those who are against the morse code test"?
Put them
> > where?

> >> Those "PCTA extras"

> > Who are they, Len? Specifically? Use callsigns because names aren't
necessarily
> > unique.

> Much easier to not put a name or face on the evil "PCTA Extras".
Makes
> it a lot easier to demonize them.

Very true, Mike.

But I think Len just doesn't like to use our callsigns.

> Fact is, of the Extras in here who are
> PCTA, there are a lot of different personalities, from abrasive to
mild
> mannered.

Same goes for NCTAs.

For example, I've had many detailed discussions with K2UNK, yet there's never a harsh word, even though we're poles apart on most amateur radio policy issues. Heck, K2UNK is a *director* of NCI!

> One cannot stereotype a spectrum easily.

That's why Len denies there is a spectrum. All are blamed for what someone
supposedly did decades ago.

> >> persist in claiming turf on who should
> >> direct EVERYTHING about U.S. amateur radio - including all
> >> federal regulations thereof - just because of tenure as an
> >> amateur or because they feel they "own" the hobby and are
> >> somehow "entititled" to be of the noble calling of amateurism.

> > Where, specifically, has this been claimed? By whom?

> > Perhaps you and N0IMD have very thin skins...;-)

> >> PCTA extras imply they are "superior" about everything and
> >> are busy trying to shove out the NCTAs from this newsgroup.

> > Really? You're the only person here I've seen tell another to "shut the hell
> > up".

> Let us not forget the recent offers for one poster to engage in
> fornication with himself,

That was me. And it wasn't an offer, it was a command. ;-)

> and for another to stick something up his "I/O
> port". All in response to civil posts.

For Len, "civil" equals "agrees with Len". All else is uncivil.

> > You imply your own superiority in all things here ;-) ;-)

> >>>You are entitled to believe that the ground a military person
> >>>walks on is sacred and that no one else is "entitled" to the same benefits
> >>>from the United States as a person who has served in the military.

> > You're also entitled to believe that the moon is made of cheese. Or that the
> > earth is flat.

> > Or that your lifelong avoidance of Morse Code has some relevance to amateur
> > radio policy.

> >> You are off on your own fantasy there.

> > Naw, Len, she hit the nail really square.

> >> Brian Burke served in the
> >> USAF, overseas. I served in the US Army, also overseas, and
> >> specifically in radio communications. It is safe to say that we
are
> >> both proud of serving our country.

> > As you should be. Others feel the same way about *their* military
service, too.

> > But is the military the only way a citizen can serve our country?
Or are there
> > other ways? Does it have to be "overseas"?

> There are other, direct ways of doing this.

What about indirect ways?

> >> What has grown into this overlong thread is a bunch of NON-
> >> servers who imply that they are "superior" in all respects to
those
> >> of us who served but who are not favoring any morse code test for
> >> U.S. amateur radio licenses. Further, those same NON-servers
> >> imply/claim that Their knowledge of the military and government
> >> is "superior" to Brian and myself.

> > "Superior in all respects"? Who has claimed that? Where have they
claimed it?

> > Seems to me you just take any opposition to your opinions as an
insult.

> >> It gets worse when an ex-serviceman (a PCTA extra)

> > Who?

> >> brags of
> >> his "seven hostile actions" yet cannot identify their where or
> >> when,

> BTW, except in the case of a dishonorable discharge, there are no
> ex-Marines. just ones who are retired or not on active duty.

I've heard that.

> > N0IMD won't give any details about his /T5 operation, yet you
> > accept it as fact. "Leo" won't even identify himself, but you have
> > no qualms that his claims are valid.

> > Yet you question a fellow serviceman's military experience. Odd.

> Depends on which side of the Morse code issue they are on.

Exactly. Like education, employment, and other accomplishments, they
drop out of the equation.

> In fact,
> considering the number of insults hurled at various people who have

done
> Military service and happen to be PCTA, I would have to conclude that

> Len does *not*' show respect for military service.

Unless the person is NCTA. And sometimes not even then.

> >> AND has NO claimed experience in any specific radio
> >> communications,

This is not true. The person being described here, if it's K4YZ,
has lots of "claimed experience in specific radio communications".
For example, I've worked him on 40 meters.

> >> AND falsely accuses others of "dishonoring"
> >> their military unit members.

> > Maybe he's just pulling your leg, Len. ;-)

> > You know, the way you "pull his leg" by calling him "nursie",
"murine" and many
> > other names, and referring to him in the wrong gender.

> >> That individual has NO displayed
> >> knowledge of military radio communications, networks, or
> >> regulations thereof (see the specious claim that "MARS
> >> >>IS<< amateur radio" instead of the Department of Defense).

> > Is he entitled to that opinion, Len? Or are only opinions you agree
with
> > allowed to exist?

> We all know that answer.

Will Len answer that simple question with a direct answer?
>
> >> On top of that this individual continually uses Yiddish
pejoratives
> >> as personal insults despite having no knowledge of Yiddish nor
> >> of central European languages.

> > Perhaps he's just using sarcasm to make a point, Len ;-) ;-)

> > Did you know that Colin Powell is fluent in Yiddish? It's a fact.

> > Len, you go on and on about Morse Code and Morse Code tests even
though
> > you have no demonstrated practical knowledge of either. ;-) ;-) ;-)

> As in all things, lack of experience makes it easier to form a strong

> opinion.

Like whether people under 14 are capable of being responsible amateur
radio
operators.

> >>>You are
> >>>entitled to believe that we don't all, in some form or other,
contribute to
> >>>the integrity, continuance, and strength of the United
States--some of us by
> >>>just damned getting up out of bed every morning and going to some
dorky job
> >>>where a damned good portion of our income goes to supporting the
military,
> >>>and the government, and the "other" people (you know...those who
are not
> >>>worthy)...

> > Little sarcasm there, in case you missed it, Len. But Kim got it
right - again.

> >> Well, you claim, solely by birthright, that you are "entitled" to
MORE
> >> than those of us who spent years in the military, serving our
country
> >> the best way we could? All because you did NOT serve yourself?

> > More? Where do you get that, Len? Kim just is saying that everyone
contributes.
> > Don't you agree?

> > Or don't "non-servers" count for anything at all? Perhaps you would
like to
> > call them "unpersons".

> >> That's a remarkable claim of "citizenship" which you wear. It is
not
> >> an article that I wish to put on at any time.

> > I see. You think some citizens are more equal than others. Oink,
oink ;-) ;-)
> > ;-)

> > W4NTI served our country in Southeast Asia. In the military, during
a war. Yet
> > you threatened him with your "ounces of pressure" post.

> > K8MN served our country in Southeast Asia. In the military, during
a war. He
> > also had a long career serving our country in the State Department.
Yet you
> > repeatedly insult him because of his last name, denied his
knowledge of State
> > Department communications, and have told him to "shut the hell up"
in your
> > classic "feldwebel" post.

Of the people named in this post, K8MN has probably the most experience
in military and government service. Yet Len shows no respect for him.

I recall a series of postings some years back where K8MN described using Morse Code to "synchronize" (as in coordinate) RTTY operations for the State Department - as a State Department staffer. Len simply refused to accept that such things were done in the time frame K8MN describes. Never mind that K8MN was there and Len wasn't.

> > KH6O served our country in the Coast Guard. (I don't know if he's still
> > active-duty). Yet you don't consider maritime communications on the largest
> > ocean of the planet to be "big time radio". He's also a teacher at the
> > university level. Yet you repeatedly insult him and his service, most clearly
> > in the your classic "sphincter" post.
>
> Speaking of the Coast Guard, I wonder how much guts it takes to go out
> in one of those rollers and spend a little quality time upside down,
> while trying to rescue someone. That has to be an *intense* Sphincter

> pucker moment!

One of my favorite images of the USCG shows a stormy coastline in the Pacific Northwest. Vessels of all descriptions are heading for the harbor as fast as they can - except for the USCG ones, which are headed out into the storm the others are fleeing.

Kinda says it all.

Mike, if you haven't read KH6O's writings about his experiences, do a search and have yourself a treat.

> > There's a lot more...

> >> About the only thing that can be said about the extreme polarization
> >> in here is that the PCTA extras must certainly believe they are so
> >> damned "superior" in radio BECAUSE they took and passed a
> >> civilian amateur radio morse code test.

> > They're superior to you in Morse Code skill, Len. And in amateur radio
> > experience. The rest of the difference is a matter of opinion. I'm superior to
> > you in many ways. You are superior to me in some other ways (tapdancing around
> > the facts, for example).

> > Deal with it, pink boy ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

> > However, you've shown that no matter what military service, education,

> > employment or other accomplishment someone has, you'll make fun of
them and
> > their accomplishments if they dare to disagree with you. You'll
even say they
> > are lying about the accomplishments, without any evidence.

> > Of course you're not a stickler for evidence anyway, as shown by
your demanding
> > an age limit of 14 years for amateur radio licenses, without any
evidence that
> > the licensing of young people causes problems. And without any
demonstrated
> > practical experience with young people ("teeners", you call them?)

> > So there's no point in anyone mentioning their education,
military/government
> > service, employment, etc., around you, because you'll just insult
it and say
> > it's inferior.
>
> >> Yes, that's the ticket.
> >> Moresemen are always "superior." They say so all the time.
>
> > "Moresemen"? You mean "Morris men"?

> >> All
> >> others must bow down to them. They are royalty.

> > Or maybe they're using sarcasm and leg-pulling on you, Len. ;-) ;-)
;-) ;-)
> >
> > Can't you deal with a little strong opposition? ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
> I think we already knew that. If a person shows no ability to engage
in
> debate without remaining civil, then they can't handle any opposition
at
> all.

Actually, Len doesn't even try to engage in debate here. That's why I
don't take him seriously.

> >> But, they are still AMATEURS in radio. Try to remember that.

> > And you're not one of them. Your Extra is still in its box.

> >> Even when those PCTA extras expound on socio-political issues
> >> that don't even come close to U.S. amateur radio or its policy,
> >> they remain superior and aloof to all NCTA.

> > Tug, tug! ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-) ;-)

> > Here's a clue, Len: You're not the newsgroup moderator.

> >>>So, yeah. I asked. And, I should have known better...

> >> Quite true.

> >> But, you have aligned yourself with the PCTA extra mob in here.
> >> As a friendly suggestion, wear some armor next time and the
> >> severity of newsgroup wounds won't cause so much apparent
> >> psychological trauma.

> > Somehow I think Kim's a bit tougher than you are, Len. ;-) ;-) ;-)
;-) ;-) ;-)
> > ;-) ;-)

> psychological trauma?

I guess Len is traumatized whenever someone disagrees with him....;0 ;0

> >
> > Oh, btw - your buddy Mike Deignan is *against* code testing. At
least that's
> > what he told FCC back in 1999.

73 de Jim, N2EY

410. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 17:49:43 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105724983.652156.296390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101876

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 14 Jan 2005 06:15:16 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >IIRC, Japanese amateur radio operator licenses never expire, and
> >Canadian amateur licenses expire when the licensee is 125 years old.
Or
> >something like that. In any event, their license terms are so long
that
> >they outlive the licensee. One problem with doing that sort of thing
> >here is being able to reissue callsigns.
>
> What many Administrations do is to issue as lifetime operator
> license and a station license renewable by paying a fee and
> getting a validation sticker just like an auto license plate.

The problem with that scheme is that you still have to remember to
renew the thing, and it still takes admin work.

In Japan, the station license needs to be renewed annually and costs
120 yen, last time I looked. There's an enormous difference between the
number of JA operator and station licenses as a result.
73 de Jim, N2EY

411. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-14 23:47:22 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <7cmgu0hnlek4sp8pi0m536jbspg6egqir5@4ax.com>
Source record: 101877

On 14 Jan 2005 04:53:33 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>Leo wrote:
>> On 14 Jan 2005 11:30:40 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>> >In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> >(Len Over 21) writes:
>> >
>> >>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>> >><mail@nomail.com> writes:
>> >>
>> >snip
>>
>> >Who are they, Len? Specifically? Use callsigns because names aren't
>necessarily
>> >unique.
>>
>> Such as you do with Kim's, which I see has been (as always) removed
>> from this thread......
>
>Nope:
>
>http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/dfa2c03c6f99156c?dmode=s
ource
>
>> People who live in glass houses..... :)
>>
>See the post above.

My apologies - you are correct, it's there!
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

412. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 01:10:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105751414.330501.187070@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101878

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

One problem with doing that sort of thing
> here is being able to reissue callsigns.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Yeh, the reusable callsign is a hot button at the FCC.
Powell has a special committee looking into it.

413. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 01:11:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1105751489.101358.283920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101879

Leo wrote:
> On 14 Jan 2005 04:53:33 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >
> >Leo wrote:
> >> On 14 Jan 2005 11:30:40 GMT, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) wrote:
> >>
> >> >In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
> >lenover21@aol.com
> >> >(Len Over 21) writes:
> >> >
> >> >>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
"Kim"
> >> >><mail@nomail.com> writes:
> >> >>
> >> >snip
> >>
> >> >Who are they, Len? Specifically? Use callsigns because names
aren't
> >necessarily
> >> >unique.
> >>
> >> Such as you do with Kim's, which I see has been (as always)
removed
> >> from this thread......
> >
> >Nope:
> >
>
>http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/dfa2c03c6f99156c?dmode=s
ource
> >
> >> People who live in glass houses..... :)
> >>
> >See the post above.
>
> My apologies - you are correct, it's there!

Spoken like a gentleman, sir!

No problem, Leo. Kim's call appears only once or twice in that long
post. Easy to miss.
73 de Jim, N2EY

> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> 73, Leo

414. Re: Project Diana - 59 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 01:21:38 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105752098.825126.220390@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101880

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <1105409512.587488.322250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> http://www.eagle.ca/~harry/ba/eme/
> >>
> >> http://www.eham.net/articles/9988
> >> Many of those involved in the project were hams.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Ahh, yes. Hams doing non-ham stuff.
> >I wonder if they calculated the distance to the moon correctly?
>
> Brian, they didn't have to calculate anything. Having taken
> and passed the U.S. amateur radio license code test, they
> knew intrinsically all about the Space Business and pioneering
> of the radio arts. [Hams had invented astronomy, you see...]
>
> Legend has it that when J.P. was Serving His Country teaching
> the poor ignorant children of Redbank all about mort's code,
> he would jog along the highway leading to Fort Monmouth. He
> might have given the GIs on the post bus the one-finger salute
> but he could smile to himself as he passed Coles, Evans, and
> Squier Laboratories...hams were busy inside keeping our
> country safe, serving the Signal Corps with the very best CW
> equipment there could be. Those hams KNEW all about the
> planetary positions given out in daily bulletins from W1AW.
>
> Without hams we could only fish around and beef...
>
> Did dit
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Hams invented RADAR, too, but are not allowed to actually use the mode.

415. ASUNCION & SANTA INES Isles DX-pedition on 7040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 03:07:10 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <cX%Fd.5787$tx5.1280@fe09.lga>
Source record: 101881

XF1K is on 7040 for all those interested. Just worked him w/3 Watts. GL
es...

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

416. Re: K1MAN snags another naive recruit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 04:21:29 UTC
From: "Roscoe P. Phartgassz" <cwdeanarer0@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c8e90$41e89a5d$d1cc79f8$23743@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 101882

"Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:lFGEd.3880$Ii4.3789@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> Seen at N9OGL's "blog".
> This ought to be a fun.

...either a newbie that don't know about
the past amusing exploits of the 'Boy Broadcaster'
from the Icebox State, or it's just another old 14.313 veteran
wishing for the good ole days of the Herbie Baby
Phone Patch Wars and/or the Mike & Judy Show
with ole Raincoat Charlie.(bless him R.C. and the "BARF
Cannonball Song" - remember that one folks ? :)

417. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 05:35:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E8AB34.77A965C3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101883

Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:41E73B3C.DF5D6293@earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > Do you have additional information about the "Intrastate" Highway
> > System?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Depends on which "Intrastate" Highway you're speaking of, "Dave":
>
> http://www.co.palm-beach.fl.us/mpo/pdf/FDOT_Publications/fihs%20modal%20needs%20plan.pdf
> will get you some information on the Florida Intrastate Highway system;
>
> http://www.rtpnet.org/ncatr/htf_summary.html mentions something for North
> Carolina;
>
> If you'll take a look at fourth bullet on
> http://www.goodtimber.net/directions.html, they actually WARN of not getting
> confused between the INTRAstate and the INTERstate;
>
> In the second paragraph of SB 1166 on
> http://www.calcog.org/_private/SB%201166.htm, the last line mentions their
> INTERstate and INTRAstate highway system;
>
> I am sure there's more to the search at
> http://www.altavista.com/web/results?itag=wrx&q=intrastate&kgs=1&kls=0, but
> I don't have time to satisfy your curiosity.

I know. You don't even have enough time to read the posts. Allow me to
reinsert a statement I made to you the other day:

"If it has a red, white and blue sign with I-something,
I can assure you that it isn't part of the 'Intrastate'."

Intrastate highways weren't under discussion (except by you).

> No, I don't tell all the fellas they're sorry-assed bastards. Only the
> sorry-assed bastards. So far, you are in a minority of...let me count...oh,
> yeah, ONE.

Oh, I've read the nice things you've said to various folks here over the
years. W4NTI and Larry Roll have been on your hit parade for ages. You
don't have to play like I'm someone special.

By the way, aren't you, Alec Baldwin and Clint Eastwood all supposed to be gone?

Dave K8MN

418. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 05:39:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E8AC23.B0D54849@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101884

Kim wrote:
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1105703549.278806.136240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Kim wrote:
> >
> > > Y'know, Dave, you're one sorry-assed bastard. I post something to be
> > just
> > > making an observation and you, oh...well, never mind. I've never
> > seen you
> > > post anything unless it involves being a smartass. Take a look at
> > the other
> > > posts...such as the one from Robert Casey. But then, it'd probably
> > bore
> > > you, since he's being informative and conducting a nice excahnge in
> > > communication.
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> >
> > Kim, he's not like that on the air. Maybe Dave should go back to
> > giving out signal reports.
> >
>
> Well, he'd need to learn the difference between an interstate and an
> intrastate first...

It seems that you entered the conversation with the confusion when you
responded after Jeffery Herman wrote:

It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal
interstate highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in
California: It begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the
state yet it's yet it's still an "interstate."

By the way, the Yahoo url with all of the swell information about
intrastate telephone calls was most helpful.

Dave K8MN

419. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 05:41:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E8ACA4.C33ADE3C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101885

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >>In article <1105409512.587488.322250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
> >><billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>>>http://www.eagle.ca/~harry/ba/eme/
> >>>>
> >>>>>>http://www.eham.net/articles/9988
> >>>>>>Many of those involved in the project were hams.
> >>>>
> >>>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>>
> >>>Ahh, yes. Hams doing non-ham stuff.
> >>>I wonder if they calculated the distance to the moon correctly?
> >>
> >> Well, Brian, Jimmie done took the post bus out of Monmouth
> >> often enough, could see the big bedspring radar antennas at
> >> The Labs (there were 3 laboratory installations along the
> >> highway). I'm sure he has contributed his Oral History
> >> recording for the archives as have all the veterans of Project
> >> Diana. [hand salute!]
> >>
> >> Maybe he forgot to mention the First Ham in Space! According
> >> to tonight's Jeopardy program clues, Ham the Chimp was the
> >> first "American" in space. :-)
> >
> >
> > That reminds me--I wonder how Mike Coslo's gas bag launch project is
> > going. How high will "Leonard" go?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> It is going okay. I just stopped writing about it here since it was
> "impossible to do" 8^)

Don't let 'em grind you down, Mike.

If you don't stencil "LEONARD" on the first one, justice will not have
been done. A web site with corroborating photos will be a must.

Dave K8MN

420. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 05:47:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E8ADE4.9D199B62@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101886

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com

> Speaking of the Coast Guard, I wonder how much guts it takes to go out
> in one of those rollers and spend a little quality time upside down,
> while trying to rescue someone. That has to be an *intense* Sphincter
> pucker moment!

It has to be much more intense than the sphincter-tightening moment
which comes about as a result of artillery shelling one didn't
experience (see the classic "sphincter post" by Len Anderson). :-)

Dave K8MN

421. Re: Don't feed the trolls!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 05:49:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E8AE87.D4B3A752@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101887

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> Just a reality check. This morning I checked the newsgroup and seven threads
> appeared. Of the seven, four were responses to Len.
>
> If you don't like seagull dropping on your car when it's parked at the
> marina, don't feed them. They will eventually go away. That's why the sign
> says "Don't feed the seagulls."
>
> The subject line says it all. He WILL eventually go away.

Bert, I love an optimist but I have a feeling that this is one lonely seagull. He sits for days, hoping that more cars will be parked in the lot.

Dave K8MN

422. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 06:11:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050115011128.00916.00000018@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 101888

In article <cs7tj0$9pl$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>>news:20041231013151.10298.00000318@mb-m25.aol.com...
>>>> NTSC color subcarrier is exactly 3.579545454545454545454545....
>>>> MHz. :-)
>
>> With the correct integer divisor and correct integer multiplier, it
>> comes out to 500 KHz fairly exact.
>
>"Fairly exact"? What's that mean?

Heh heh heh...as "exact" as you can calibrate it to some
accepted standard of frequency.

Hint: It isn't W1AW. :-)

>Any repeating decimal can be written as a rational number, a/b.
>That particular decimal above is exactly 315/88: Set that decimal
>equal to N. Since two digits repeat, multiply both sides of the
>equation by 10^2; we now have: 100N = 357.9545454... Next, subtract
>the first equation from this second one; all the repeating 54 pairs
>cancel due to this subtraction, giving us 99N = 354.375, a
>terminating decimal. To eliminate the decimal point, multiply
>both sides by 1000: 99000N = 354375. Now divide both sides by
>99000: N = 354375/99000. This fraction simplifies to N = 315/88.
>Hence, that original repeating decimal, 3.579545454... is exactly
>equal to 315/88.
>
>Note that if you have a repeating decimal with 3 repeating digits,
>you'd multiple both sides of the initial equation by 10^3, etc.

Suggestion: Why don't you expound over in the math newsgroup?

On the other hand, how would you get a "fairly exact" color burst
oscillator on-frequency and keep it that way?

You have to understand the ELECTRONICS part in order to do
that. That ties into "RADIO." Get the connection? It's peachy
keen to know all about continuing fractions and stuff but let's
look at the number "88." Factoring it out results in an 11.
If you are generating, say a color burst frequency, that 11
would be in the numerator, not the denominator. That implies
a MULTIPLIER of 11. [ever have to build a frequency

multiplier?] A multiplication of 11 is a bit hard to do even
though GE (among others) solved it with tubes back about a
half century or so in the past. [I can tell you how they did it
even if it isn't explained in the ARRL Handbook]

Hint: 56.818 181 818 ... KHz is involved. How?

The numbers only APPEAR to relate, but the numbers aren't
oscillating and generating RF. Try to stay focussed HERE.

So...how much RF have you done up at Ku-Band territory?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

423. Re: ASUNCION & SANTA INES Isles DX-pedition on 7040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 07:54:19 UTC
From: FTIV <do_not_reply@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <V4Cdnf81NO22UXXcRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 101889

Thanks for the update--but I'm too late :-(

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:cX%Fd.5787$tx5.1280@fe09.lga...
> XF1K is on 7040 for all those interested. Just worked him w/3 Watts. GL
> es...
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384
> QRP ARCI #11782
>
>

424. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 09:40:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105782034.977382.76220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101890

Dave Heil wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> > N2EY wrote:
> > > In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com
>
> > Speaking of the Coast Guard, I wonder how much guts it
takes to go out
> > in one of those rollers and spend a little quality time upside
down,
> > while trying to rescue someone. That has to be an *intense*
Sphincter
> > pucker moment!
>
> It has to be much more intense than the sphincter-tightening moment
> which comes about as a result of artillery shelling one didn't
> experience (see the classic "sphincter post" by Len Anderson).

Ahhhhyes! And there's always his posts about being under the
threat of the Tu-95...And let's not forget his 2.2 million messages he
sigle handedly passed!

73

Steve, K4YZ

425. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 09:44:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105782272.912905.258700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101891

N2EY said:
>In Japan, the station license needs to be renewed annually and costs
>120 yen, last time I looked. There's an enormous difference between
the
>number of JA operator and station licenses as a result.
>73 de Jim, N2EY

I'd recheck the math, Jim. 120 yen isn't even $1.00 I doubt that
the Japanese governent is quite that generous!
Perhaps Y120K...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

426. N8WWM..child abuser..felon...repeater jammer..ordered to see head shrink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 13:34:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115083431.729$7o_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 101892
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N8WWM Doug Adair"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
>other people also think you are a mentally
> screwed up hunk of keyclown garbage.
>
You have a lot of nerve calling anyone mentally screwed up, Doug.

Questions:

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

427. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 14:35:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050115093552.14219.00000016@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 101893

>Subject: Re: Operation with Expired License
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 1/13/2005 6:30 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20050113073034.24384.00000020@mb-m03.aol.com>
>
>In article <1105617034.659121.301590@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
><k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>> Perhaps the vanity call system stopped the simultaneous renewals.
>>
>>It's that expensive, state-of-the-art data system they installed!
>
>How do you know this, Steve?

I read, Jim. I read the FCC's press releases about ULS down for hardware
installations...I read about ULS down for software changes.

>If a ham happens to move right at the time of renewal, the system can handle
>it.
>So that's not the problem.
>
>My speculation on vanity calls is based on the fact that a new vanity call
>issuance generates a renewal. That way, the fee doesn't have to be pro-rated.
>
>But I don't know why nonvanities couldn't be renewed when a ham moves or
>upgrades. That would reduce FCC paperwork.

It's that expensive, state-of-the-art data system they have, Jim.

73

Steve, K4YZ

428. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 15:37:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105803475.731269.284350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101894

Len Over 21 wrote:

> >Note that if you have a repeating decimal with 3 repeating digits,
> >you'd multiple both sides of the initial equation by 10^3, etc.
>
> Suggestion: Why don't you expound over in the math newsgroup?

We can always tell when Lennie's feeling "cramped"...as in someone
else has the temerity to DEMONSTRATE the skills Lennie BRAGS about.
Sheeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

429. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 16:36:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050115113659.29181.00000012@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 101895

\

These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held by
individuals on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of January 14, 2005:

Novice - 29,620 (decrease of 19,709)
Technician - 263,663 (increase of 58,269)
Technician Plus - 53,742 (decrease of 75,118)
General - 138,083 (increase of 25,610)
Advanced - 77,798 (decrease of 21,984)
Extra - 106,100 (increase of 27,350)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 319,405 (decrease of 14,849)

Total all classes - 671,006 (decrease of 3786)

Note that these totals do not include licenses that have expired but are in the
grace period. They also do not include club, military, RACES or other
station-only licenses.

73 de Jim, N2EY

430. Re: 24 GHz woes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 16:58:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E94B53.672D7BB8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101896

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > >Note that if you have a repeating decimal with 3 repeating digits,
> > >you'd multiple both sides of the initial equation by 10^3, etc.
> >
> > Suggestion: Why don't you expound over in the math newsgroup?
>
> We can always tell when Lennie's feeling "cramped"...as in someone
> else has the temerity to DEMONSTRATE the skills Lennie BRAGS about.
> Sheeesh.

You'll note that Len hasn't bothered to entertain folks in rec.radio.former.professional or alt.war-stories.half-century.

He often tells others that they "aren't the moderator in here". It doesn't stop him from attempting to be "the moderator in here". Fact is, Len's a tired old piranha in his eighth decade. He has, depending upon the season of the year, a decades-old interest in amateur radio or no interest in obtaining an amateur radio license.

He chides others for "living in the past" but regales us with tales from his military experiences of fifty plus years ago or from his working days. Stories from others with equal or more experience are dismissed and ridculed.

He writes of denigration and insult by others but he dishes out heaping helpings of it toward others. A few things remain clear:

1. Len isn't part of amateur radio; he has no amateur radio license.

2. Len isn't likely to obtain an amateur radio license with or without a morse code exam--ever.

3. Len has some sort of issue with those who have accomplishments in amateur radio and who are proud of them. These people, he defines as "overly proud".

4. Nobody can have more experience or knowledge than Len in radio, electronics or the military.

5. Len is the only person on the planet who knows what "message knuckles" are.

Dave K8MN

431. Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 17:30:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050115123052.19590.00000028@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 101897

In article <20041230011207.06525.00000333@mb-m24.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20041229070405.16303.00000504@mb-m02.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>(N2EY) writes:
>
> <all that learned talk of economics, socio-political whatsit out...>
>
>>This all relates to amateur radio in a very basic way:
>
> Unfortunately, it does NOT.

Yes it does! ;-)

> All it points out is that you are using
> this newsgroup as a general chat room to talk about ANY subject
> instead of focussing on amateur radio policy.

I think you don't like the fact that it does relate.

>>The abuses mentioned by Steve and I are all the result of a mindset that
>>focuses on "rights" to the exclusion of *responsibilites*. Many of us see
>>proposed reductions in the standards of the ARS as a form of that mindset.
>
> Many of "you" want to enforce your personal desires on everyone
> else

You mean by supporting continued code testing for an amateur radio license?

If so, then what's the problem? Your demands that the code test be removed mean
that *you* "want to enforce your personal desires on everyone else"

> and think "you" are some kind of Keepers of a Covenant (of
> some imagined god-inspired "service").

Not at all, Len. We simply think that dropping the code test would be a
lowering of standards.

Is there something wrong with that?

> All because you met some
> test requirements long ago, established by other Keepers of an even
> older Covenant and are firm Believers in the Church of St. Hiram.

You sure do pontificate on other's motivations.

> As usual, you olde-tymers are caught in the territorial imperative
> emotionalism of a personal activity and want to enforce your

> personal mindsets on all others.

What's your suggestion, Len?

Should amateur radio become like cb? You were a cber, once. You said it was a lot of fun. Are you still a cber? Or did that service stop being fun for you?

You've told us about your home and how much it is worth and how close you are to a gated community of homes costing much more. Would you like it if someone wanted to change the zoning in ways that might make your property less valuable, reduce your enjoyment of it, etc.? I bet you would be caught in a territorial imperative emotionalism of a personal activity and want to enforce your
personal mindset on others.

> Not a good thing since the FCC
> is not chartered by law to be a reflection on "your" personal desires
> nor in the maintenance of a living museum of amateur radio antiquity.

That's *your* mindset speaking

> Insofar as radio regulations go, the "ARS" does not stand for
> Archaic Radiotelegrphy Service.

Then why do you use the term?

> Removal of the morse test does
> NOT "dumb anything down"

That's not what I wrote.

I wrote that it would lower the standards. And it would.

Besides, amateurs *do* use Morse Code extensively. Therefore, it makes sense for a test of basic Morse Code skill to be part of license qualifications.

It's really that simple.

> but rather makes the amateur hobby
> more open,

In case you didn't notice, Len, there's been a no-code-test class of amateur radio license here in the USA for almost 14 years.

> freeing it from all the tight confines of an imagined
> "amateur profession" with all the rigid, inflexible standards and
> practices that date back to seven decades ago.

Where do you get that, Len? Do you think hams stopped using Morse Code seven decades ago? You're wrong about that.
>
> "You" don't own anything but your own radio equipment in amateur
> radio.

Never claimed to. But that's more than you own ;-)

What I and other amateurs *do* have is something to lose. If changes in the rules mess up amateur radio, then we have lost something. Do you think we don't have the right to preserve what we think is valuable?

> "You" do not have any "power" to prevent non-amateurs from
> communicating with their government on federal laws and regulations.

That's right. Nor has anyone I know of tried to do that. You can spam ECFS all you want, Len. That's the right of *every* interested party.

OTOH, I'm not the one telling others to "shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel". You are.

> "You" olde-tymers have no claim over others on "rights" or anything
> else.

What does that mean? Are you telling me to shut up?

> Try to conduct yourself appropriately when faced with reality.

Whose "reality", Len? You mean your opinions and mindset, that you want to impose on everyone else?

Here's a clue: *Everyone* has a right to their opinions. And a right to express their opinions. That means you - and me. That means folks who are oldtimers and newcomers, "servers" and "nonservers", licensed and not licensed, etc.

432. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 20:10:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050115151018.14903.00000011@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 101898

"Total Tech/TechPlus - 319,405 (decrease of 14,849)

Total all classes - 671,006 (decrease of 3786)"

Yep the Dumbing Down is the way to go.

433. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 21:50:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105825833.122771.173440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101899

Dave Heil wrote:

> You
> don't have to play like I'm someone special.
>
> Dave K8MN

You're not.

But you are a little too smug for most people's tastes. People get the impression that you think you're someone special.

434. Re: Don't feed the trolls!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-15 22:31:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050115173101.11507.00000023@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 101950

In article <41E8AE87.D4B3A752@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Bert Craig wrote:
>>
>> Just a reality check. This morning I checked the newsgroup and seven
>threads
>> appeared. Of the seven, four were responses to Len.
>>
>> If you don't like seagull dropping on your car when it's parked at the
>> marina, don't feed them. They will eventually go away. That's why the sign
>> says "Don't feed the seagulls."
>>
>> The subject line says it all. He WILL eventually go away.
>
>Bert, I love an optimist but I have a feeling that this is one lonely
>seagull. He sits for days, hoping that more cars will be parked in the
>lot.
>
I am reminded of the seagulls portrayed in the film "Finding Nemo". Their only
lines are "Mine! Mine! Mine! Mine!"

73 de Jim, N2EY

435. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 01:23:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E9C1C5.580D97AE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101951

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > You
> > don't have to play like I'm someone special.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> You're not.

Yes, I am Dave K8MN

> But you are a little too smug for most people's tastes.

Do you mean that you find me too smug for your tastes or have you taken
a poll? If you speak only for yourself and you believe me to be too
smug for your tastes, I can live with that.

> People get the
> impression that you think you're someone special.

People? Name them.

Dave K8MN

436. Re: Don't feed the trolls!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 01:24:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41E9C1EC.A3B7FEA7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101952

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <41E8AE87.D4B3A752@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Bert Craig wrote:
> >>
> >> Just a reality check. This morning I checked the newsgroup and seven
> >threads
> >> appeared. Of the seven, four were responses to Len.
> >>
> >> If you don't like seagull dropping on your car when it's parked at the
> >> marina, don't feed them. They will eventually go away. That's why the sign
> >> says "Don't feed the seagulls."
> >>
> >> The subject line says it all. He WILL eventually go away.
> >>
> >Bert, I love an optimist but I have a feeling that this is one lonely
> >seagull. He sits for days, hoping that more cars will be parked in the
> >lot.
> >
> I am reminded of the seagulls portrayed in the film "Finding Nemo". Their only
> lines are "Mine! Mine! Mine! Mine!"

chortle

Dave K8MN

437. Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 01:24:31 UTC
From: FTIV <do_not_reply@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <EL2dnY3j5LfNX3TcRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 101953

Could someone please provide me with an update as to what happened to Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ?

438. Re: Amateur radio lets no opportunity go un-squandered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 02:58:59 UTC
From: Sarge <sarge43@NOSPAMev1.net>
Message-ID: <10ujm3p18pq9f4d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 101954

We should pack up everything and everyone and get out of there. Then turn
the darned sat back on.
NEVER should have gone there anyways.

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1105665347.355756.239220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> I read where there was no PacSat traffic out of there.
>
> Welp, now that the USA got kicked out of Indonesia for being (gulp)
> American, wonder if we take the Motorola phone with us?
>

439. Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 03:56:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101956

N2EY wrote:
> In article <20041230011207.06525.00000333@mb-m24.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
>
>>In article <20041229070405.16303.00000504@mb-m02.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>> <all that learned talk of economics, socio-political whatsit out...>
>>
>>>This all relates to amateur radio in a very basic way:
>>
>> Unfortunately, it does NOT.
>
>
> Yes it does! ;-)
>
>
>> All it points out is that you are using
>> this newsgroup as a general chat room to talk about ANY subject
>> instead of focussing on amateur radio policy.
>
>
> I think you don't like the fact that it does relate.

In the end, Who cares? It is our newsgroup, and if you and I want to
talk about politics or an obscure Kert Vonnegut story, or if Bria wants
to talk about the boy scouts, or if Len wants to talk about sphinctors,
then "it's all good, man". I like the little side trips. It allows us to
get to know each other better.

>
>>>The abuses mentioned by Steve and I are all the result of a mindset that
>>>focuses on "rights" to the exclusion of *responsibilites*. Many of us see
>>>proposed reductions in the standards of the ARS as a form of that mindset.
>>
>> Many of "you" want to enforce your personal desires on everyone
>> else
>
>
> You mean by supporting continued code testing for an amateur radio license?
>
> If so, then what's the problem? Your demands that the code test be removed mean
> that *you* "want to enforce your personal desires on everyone else"
>

>
>> and think "you" are some kind of Keepers of a Covenant (of
>> some imagined god-inspired "service").
>
>
> Not at all, Len. We simply think that dropping the code test would be a
> lowering of standards.
>
> Is there something wrong with that?
>
>
>> All because you met some
>> test requirements long ago, established by other Keepers of an even
>> older Covenant and are firm Believers in the Church of St. Hiram.
>
>
> You sure do pontificate on other's motivations.

Every time that Len speaks od Saint Hiram, it reminds me of the old
cartoon Fearless Fly. Mild mannered Hiram Fly was his alter ego.

Why all the fuss over a fly? 8^)

>> As usual, you olde-tymers are caught in the territorial imperative
>> emotionalism of a personal activity and want to enforce your
>> personal mindsets on all others.
>
>
> What's your suggestion, Len?
>
> Should amateur radio become like cb? You were a cber, once. You said it was a
> lot of fun. Are you still a cber? Or did that service stop being fun for you?
>
> You've told us about your home and how much it is worth and how close you are
> to a gated community of homes costing much more.

Which is odd considering the rest of us aren't supposed to talk about
off topic matters!

> Would you like it if someone
> wanted to change the zoning in ways that might make your property less
> valuable, reduce your enjoyment of it, etc.? I bet you would be caught in a
> territorial imperative emotionalism of a personal activity and want to enforce
> your
> personal mindset on others.
>
>
>> Not a good thing since the FCC
>> is not chartered by law to be a reflection on "your" personal desires
>> nor in the maintenance of a living museum of amateur radio antiquity.
>
>
>

> That's *your* mindset speaking
>
>
>> Insofar as radio regulations go, the "ARS" does not stand for
>> Archaic Radiotelegrphy Service.
>
>
> Then why do you use the term?
>
>
>> Removal of the morse test does
>> NOT "dumb anything down"
>
>
> That's not what I wrote.
>
> I wrote that it would lower the standards. And it would.

Bingo! From my limited observations, the testing regimen as it exists
today is not dumbed down from what it used to be. Every once in a while
someone trots out an old test question that leaves a lot of us stumped.
But it's just different, not harder.

But to argue that elimination of Element one is not lowering the
standards is just plain wrong. Doesn't matter if you think it is the
right thing to do or not, it is most assureadly lowering the standards.

And I don't think lowering standards is ever the right thing to do.

> Besides, amateurs *do* use Morse Code extensively. Therefore, it makes sense
> for a test of basic Morse Code skill to be part of license qualifications.
>
> It's really that simple.

>
>> but rather makes the amateur hobby
>> more open,
>
>
> In case you didn't notice, Len, there's been a no-code-test class of amateur
> radio license here in the USA for almost 14 years.

And..... How many of those people that took the no-code tests just
allow thier licenses to expire?

The no-code license allows priveliges in most of the amateur
allocations. HF is just a small part of our portion of the spectrum.

>> freeing it from all the tight confines of an imagined
>> "amateur profession" with all the rigid, inflexible standards and
>> practices that date back to seven decades ago.
>
>

> Where do you get that, Len? Do you think hams stopped using Morse Code seven
> decades ago? You're wrong about that.
>
>> "You" don't own anything but your own radio equipment in amateur
>> radio.
>
>
> Never claimed to. But that's more than you own ;-)
>
> What I and other amateurs *do* have is something to lose. If changes in the
> rules mess up amateur radio, then we have lost something. Do you think we
> don't have the right to preserve what we think is valuable?

And now we just may be approaching motive?

>
>> "You" do not have any "power" to prevent non-amateurs from
>> communicating with their government on federal laws and regulations.
>
>
> That's right. Nor has anyone I know of tried to do that. You can spam ECFS all
> you want, Len. That's the right of *every* interested party.
>
> OTOH, I'm not the one telling others to "shut the hell up, you little USMC
> feldwebel". You are.

Or to insert things in some sort of I/O port; or to engage in self
fornication.

>> "You" olde-tymers have no claim over others on "rights" or anything
>> else.

> What does that mean? Are you telling me to shut up?
>
>
>>Try to conduct yourself appropriately when faced with reality.
>
>
> Whose "reality", Len? You mean your opinions and mindset, that you want to
> impose on everyone else?
>
> Here's a clue: *Everyone* has a right to their opinions. And a right to express
> their opinions. That means you - and me. That means folks who are oldtimers and
> newcomers, "servers" and "nonservers", licensed and not licensed, etc.

Right on down to th ehumblest little Feldwebel and hocky puck. ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

440. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 04:18:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <uvadnS8K_PiydnTcRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101957

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>
> lenover21@aol.com
>
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>
>>>>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>>>><mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
>
>>>>>Uh huh. I did ask. And, you came back with this argument
>>>>> initially
>>>>>that--paraphrasing--were you not entitled to your opinion. You
>>>>> are entitled.
>>>>
>>>> Incorrect. Brian Burke
>
>>>N0IMD
>
>
>>>> was specifically talking about those in
>>>> here who belong to the "PCTA extra" persuasion and who
>>>> continually try to put those who are against the morse code test.
>
>
>>>"continually try to put those who are against the morse code test"?
>
>>> Put them where?
>
>
>>>> Those "PCTA extras"
>
>
>>>Who are they, Len? Specifically? Use callsigns because names aren't
>
> necessarily
>

>>>unique.
>
>
>>Much easier to not put a name or face on the evil "PCTA Extras".
>>Makes it a lot easier to demonize them.
>
>
> Very true, Mike.
>
> But I think Len just doesn't like to use our callsigns.

Because I'm a PCTA Extra,(KB3EIA) and so are you,(N2EY) and so is
Dave,(K8MN) and Steve,(K4YZ) and Dan (W4NTI).

Now if THAT isn't a diverse group, I don't know what is. TRying to put
us all in one "Olde tyme Hammes" group is doomed to failure.

>
>>Fact is, of the Extras in here who are
>>PCTA, there are a lot of different personalities, from abrasive to
>> mild mannered.
>
>
> Same goes for NCTAs.
>
> For example, I've had many detailed discussions with K2UNK, yet there's
> never a harsh word, even though we're poles apart on most amateur radio
> policy issues. Heck, K2UNK is a *director* of NCI!

Yes, same here, although I believe I got him pretty irratated a few
times. THat's okay, because I'm an irratating person some times! 8^)

>
>> One cannot stereotype a spectrum easily.
>
>
> That's why Len denies there is a spectrum. All are blamed for what
> someone supposedly did decades ago.

Perhaps there was some sort of prenatal terror induced by a Ham?

>>>> persist in claiming turf on who should
>>>> direct EVERYTHING about U.S. amateur radio - including all
>>>> federal regulations thereof - just because of tenure as an
>>>> amateur or because they feel they "own" the hobby and are
>>>> somehow "entititled" to be of the noble calling of amateurism.
>
>
>>>Where, specifically, has this been claimed? By whom?
>
>
>>>Perhaps you and N0IMD have very thin skins...;-)

>
>
>>>> PCTA extras imply they are "superior" about everything and
>>>> are busy trying to shove out the NCTAs from this newsgroup.
>
>
>>>Really? You're the only person here I've seen tell another to "shut
>>> the hell up".
>
>
>> Let us not forget the recent offers for one poster to engage in
>>fornication with himself,
>
>
> That was me. And it wasn't an offer, it was a command. ;-)

Isn't it okay to refuse an immoral command?? hehe ;^)

>>and for another to stick something up his "I/O
>>port". All in response to civil posts.
>
>
> For Len, "civil" equals "agrees with Len". All else is uncivil.

My lack of civility must be distressing indeed.

>>>You imply your own superiority in all things here ;-) ;-)
>
>>>>>You are entitled to believe that the ground a military person
>>>>>walks on is sacred and that no one else is "entitled" to the same
>>>>> benefits
>>>>>from the United States as a person who has served in the military.
>
>
>>>You're also entitled to believe that the moon is made of cheese. Or
>>> that the earth is flat.
>
>
>>>Or that your lifelong avoidance of Morse Code has some relevance to
>>> amateur radio policy.
>
>
>>>> You are off on your own fantasy there.
>
>
>>>Naw, Len, she hit the nail really square.
>
>
>>>> Brian Burke served in the
>>>> USAF, overseas. I served in the US Army, also overseas, and
>>>> specifically in radio communications. It is safe to say that we

>>>> are both proud of serving our country.
>
>
>>>As you should be. Others feel the same way about *their* military
>>> service, too.
>
>>>But is the military the only way a citizen can serve our country?
>
>>> Or are there other ways? Does it have to be "overseas"?
>
>
>> There are other, direct ways of doing this.

> What about indirect ways?

Sure, but I'm surprised that these good folk can't figure out other
direct ways that are not specifically serving in the military.

- Mike KB3EIA -

441. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 04:19:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <uvadnS4K_PjBdnTcRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101958

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>
> lenover21@aol.com
>
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>
>>>>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>>>><mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
>
>>>>>Uh huh. I did ask. And, you came back with this argument
>>>>> initially
>>>>>that--paraphrasing--were you not entitled to your opinion. You
>>>>> are entitled.
>>>>
>>>> Incorrect. Brian Burke
>
>>>N0IMD
>
>
>>>> was specifically talking about those in
>>>> here who belong to the "PCTA extra" persuasion and who
>>>> continually try to put those who are against the morse code test.
>
>
>>>"continually try to put those who are against the morse code test"?
>
>>> Put them where?
>
>
>>>> Those "PCTA extras"
>
>
>>>Who are they, Len? Specifically? Use callsigns because names aren't
>
> necessarily
>

>>>unique.
>
>
>>Much easier to not put a name or face on the evil "PCTA Extras".
>>Makes it a lot easier to demonize them.
>
>
> Very true, Mike.
>
> But I think Len just doesn't like to use our callsigns.

Because I'm a PCTA Extra, (KB3EIA) and so are you, (N2EY) and so is
Dave, (K8MN) and Steve, (K4YZ) and Dan (W4NTI).

Now if THAT isn't a diverse group, I don't know what is. TRying to put
us all in one "Olde tyme Hammes" group is doomed to failure.

>
>>Fact is, of the Extras in here who are
>>PCTA, there are a lot of different personalities, from abrasive to
>> mild mannered.
>
>
> Same goes for NCTAs.
>
> For example, I've had many detailed discussions with K2UNK, yet there's
> never a harsh word, even though we're poles apart on most amateur radio
> policy issues. Heck, K2UNK is a *director* of NCI!

Yes, same here, although I believe I got him pretty irritated a few
times. THat's okay, because I'm an irritating person some times! 8^)

>
>> One cannot stereotype a spectrum easily.
>
>
> That's why Len denies there is a spectrum. All are blamed for what
> someone supposedly did decades ago.

Perhaps there was some sort of prenatal terror induced by a Ham?

>>>> persist in claiming turf on who should
>>>> direct EVERYTHING about U.S. amateur radio - including all
>>>> federal regulations thereof - just because of tenure as an
>>>> amateur or because they feel they "own" the hobby and are
>>>> somehow "entititled" to be of the noble calling of amateurism.
>
>
>>>Where, specifically, has this been claimed? By whom?
>
>
>>>Perhaps you and N0IMD have very thin skins...;-)

>
>
>>>> PCTA extras imply they are "superior" about everything and
>>>> are busy trying to shove out the NCTAs from this newsgroup.
>
>
>>>Really? You're the only person here I've seen tell another to "shut
>>> the hell up".
>
>
>> Let us not forget the recent offers for one poster to engage in
>>fornication with himself,
>
>
> That was me. And it wasn't an offer, it was a command. ;-)

Isn't it okay to refuse an immoral command?? hehe ;^)

>>and for another to stick something up his "I/O
>>port". All in response to civil posts.
>
>
> For Len, "civil" equals "agrees with Len". All else is uncivil.

My lack of civility must be distressing indeed.

>>>You imply your own superiority in all things here ;-) ;-)
>
>>>>>You are entitled to believe that the ground a military person
>>>>>walks on is sacred and that no one else is "entitled" to the same
>>>>> benefits
>>>>>from the United States as a person who has served in the military.
>
>
>>>You're also entitled to believe that the moon is made of cheese. Or
>>> that the earth is flat.
>
>
>>>Or that your lifelong avoidance of Morse Code has some relevance to
>>> amateur radio policy.
>
>
>>>> You are off on your own fantasy there.
>
>
>>>Naw, Len, she hit the nail really square.
>
>
>>>> Brian Burke served in the
>>>> USAF, overseas. I served in the US Army, also overseas, and
>>>> specifically in radio communications. It is safe to say that we

>>>> are both proud of serving our country.
>
>
>>>As you should be. Others feel the same way about *their* military
>>> service, too.
>
>>>But is the military the only way a citizen can serve our country?
>
>>> Or are there other ways? Does it have to be "overseas"?
>
>
>> There are other, direct ways of doing this.

> What about indirect ways?

Sure, but I'm surprised that these good folk can't figure out other
direct ways that are not specifically serving in the military.

- Mike KB3EIA -

442. Re: Dogie N8WWM posts more vile bile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 10:51:08 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105872668.762026.247920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.fags,soc.culture.african.american,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Steveo wrote:
> You -is- sick, Doug.
>
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > I guess she IS walking the dog!
> >
> > begin 666 Mrs_Steveo.jpg
> > [46240 bytes]
> > end
> >
> > begin 666 Mrs_Steveo2.jpg
> > [31915 bytes]
> > end
> >
> > ----------------------------------------
> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > More than 120,000 groups
> > Unlimited download
> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
The Only animals I have sex with are Nigger Men.
```

443. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 13:05:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050116080536.08246.00000038@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 101960

In article <1105782272.912905.258700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>N2EY said:
>>In Japan, the station license needs to be renewed annually and costs
>>120 yen, last time I looked. There's an enormous difference between
>>the
>>number of JA operator and station licenses as a result.
>>73 de Jim, N2EY

>I'd recheck the math, Jim. 120 yen isn't even $1.00 I doubt that
>the Japanese governent is quite that generous!
>Perhaps Y120K...?!?!

Could be, Steve, I'm a little rusty on exchange rates.

In any event, while JA operator licenses are free and last longer than the licensee, station licenses are a yearly renewal. Only an active amateur with his/her own station bothers with a station license, because one's operator license allows a JA ham to use someone else's station anyway. And a ham with only an operator license can always get a new station license (new callsign, though).

Japan is a very interesting case study in the no-code-test argument. Japan has had no-code-test ham licenses *with some HF privileges* for several decades now. This fact is cited as the reason why there were so many hams in Japan relative to the size of the country. Even though Japan has less than half the US population, the number of JA hams (station licenses, not just operator licenses) exceeded the number of US hams more than 30 years ago. Since more than 90% of JA hams have no-code-test licenses, no-code-test proponents used to hold up Japan as an example of what the US should do. (Japan used a rather torturous argument to get around the treaty - their no-code-test ham licenses are QRP on HF, and Japan is an island, so the claim is that there's no interference problem.

But since about 1995, JA ham license numbers have been in a downward spiral. Not just total number of station licenses but number of new licenses, operator and station. Some say the decline is due to factors like the poor state of the Japanese economy and/or the popularity of other electronics communications like the internet, cell phones, etc.

IIRC, Japan still has code-testing for all but the fourth class license, even though the treaty changed more than 18 months ago.

73 de Jim, N2EY

444. Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 14:45:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050116094552.21858.00000039@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 101961

>Subject: Re: Problem for boaters and APRS?
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 1/15/2005 9:56 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>
>
>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <20041230011207.06525.00000333@mb-m24.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:

>> I think you don't like the fact that it does relate.

>In the end, Who cares? It is our newsgroup, and if you and I want to
>talk about politics or an obscure Kert Vonnegut story, or if Bria wants
>to talk about the boy scouts, or if Len wants to talk about sphinctors,
>then "it's all good, man". I like the little side trips. It allows us to
>get to know each other better.

Absolutely, Mike. I don't think I ever conciously thought of it that way,
but you're quite right (about getting to know each other better)

And seems to me I remember the name of the NG being something to do with
"Amateur Radio". Ironic then that we don't find any record of THIS Leonard H.
Anderson being a licensed Amateur. (There was another fellow who is now SK...I
don't think you were here when I pointed it out and Lennie went BESERK over the
idea that it MIGHT be him! Heaven forbid!)

>>> All because you met some
>>> test requirements long ago, established by other Keepers of an even
>>> older Covenant and are firm Believers in the Church of St. Hiram.
>>
>>
>> You sure do pontificate on other's motivations.
>
> Every time that Len speaks od Saint Hiram, it reminds me of the old
>cartoon Fearless Fly. Mild mannered Hiram Fly was his alter ego.
>
> Why all the fuss over a fly? 8^)

The only fly Lennie ought to be concerned with is the one he keeps leaving
unzipped in a public forum...There's laws against that!

>>> As usual, you olde-tymers are caught in the territorial imperative
>>> emotionalism of a personal activity and want to enforce your
>>> personal mindsets on all others.
>>

>>
>> What's your suggestion, Len?
>>
>> Should amateur radio become like cb? You were a cber, once. You said it was
>a
>> lot of fun. Are you still a cber? Or did that service stop being fun for
>you?
>>
>> You've told us about your home and how much it is worth and how close you
>are
>> to a gated community of homes costing much more.
>
> Which is odd considering the rest of us aren't supposed to talk about
>off topic matters!

Of course, Mike! YOU aren't Lennie! If you were, you, and ONLY you would be allowed to act as the moderator of an unmoderated newsgroup, would be allowed to discuss anything you wanted to irregardless of thread topic (without expectation of redirect to the topic) and YOU and ONLY you would be allowed to denigrate, disparge and otherwise verbally insult and abuse others regardless of how they treat you and then claim to be the "vicitm" whenit got fed back to you!

>>> Removal of the morse test does
>>> NOT "dumb anything down"
>>
>>
>> That's not what I wrote.
>>
>> I wrote that it would lower the standards. And it would.
>
> Bingo! From my limited observations, the testing regimen as it exists
>today is not dumbed down from what it used to be. Every once in a while
>someone trots out an old test question that leaves a lot of us stumped.
>But it's just different, not harder.

Ummmmm.....I think the questions ARE harder, but it's exponentially easier to pass since you DON'T have to KNOW the material! Just read through the Q&A a few times! You'll pass! (eventually!)

> But to argue that elimination of Element one is not lowering the
>standards is just plain wrong. Doesn't matter if you think it is the
>right thing to do or not, it is most assureadly lowering the standards.
>
> And I don't think lowering standards is ever the right thing to do.

But that's exactly what we do when we publish the test questions AND answers!

>> In case you didn't notice, Len, there's been a no-code-test class of
>amateur
>> radio license here in the USA for almost 14 years.

>
> And..... How many of those people that took the no-code tests just
>allow thier licenses to expire?
>
> The no-code license allows priveliges in most of the amateur
>allocations. HF is just a small part of our portion of the spectrum.

Actually it's just a hair over 4% if you include 160 meters. A grand total
of 3.75Mhz of bandwidth plus the five 60 meter "channels". The six meter band
alone is wider than all of our MFHF spectrum.

>>> freeing it from all the tight confines of an imagined
>>> "amateur profession" with all the rigid, inflexible standards and
>>> practices that date back to seven decades ago.

If all of Lennie's other misrepresentations and deceit weren't enough,
that paragraph right there would be enough to convince any other person who is
even marginally well informed on Amateur Radio matters that Lennie is an idiot
and antagonist of monumental proportion.

>>> "You" do not have any "power" to prevent non-amateurs from
>>> communicating with their government on federal laws and regulations.
>>
>>
>> That's right. Nor has anyone I know of tried to do that. You can spam ECFS
>all
>> you want, Len. That's the right of *every* interested party.

What was the final count..?!?! 17 "responses" to various commenters, most
of which were "cookie cutter insults" on the motives or character of the
respondents?

>> OTOH, I'm not the one telling others to "shut the hell up, you little USMC
>> feldwebel". You are.
>
> Or to insert things in some sort of I/O port; or to engage in self
>fornication.

Lennie just wishes he could remember the last time he engaged in ANY
mutually gratifying sexual act. As for the "self" part, I am sure he wished he
remembered WHERE the parts were to fulfill same!

>>> "You" olde-tymers have no claim over others on "rights" or anything
>>> else.
>
>> What does that mean? Are you telling me to shut up?

That's a Lenniesque effort to bring us down to his level. Lennie can't
bring himself to take the same tests every current new Amateur takes, so he
must bring all 600K+ of us down to him!

>>>Try to conduct yourself appropriately when faced with reality.
>>

>>
>> Whose "reality", Len? You mean your opinions and mindset, that you want to
>> impose on everyone else?
>>
>> Here's a clue: *Everyone* has a right to their opinions. And a right to
>express
>> their opinions. That means you - and me. That means folks who are oldtimers
>and
>> newcomers, "servers" and "nonservers", licensed and not licensed, etc.
>
> Right on down to the humblest little Feldwebel and hocky puck. ;^)

Ich bin keine Feldwebel. Ich bin ein Hauptmann. Viele Danke!

73

Steve, K4YZ

445. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 14:47:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050116094734.21978.00000036@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 101962

>Subject: Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while
>From: "bb" billybeeper@juno.com
>Date: 1/15/2005 3:50 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1105825833.122771.173440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
>
>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> You
>> don't have to play like I'm someone special.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>You're not.
>
>But you are a little too smug for most people's tastes. People get the
>impression that you think you're someone special.

He is.

73

Steve, K4YZ

446. Re: WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 17:51:15 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105897875.529070.196040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101963
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.culture.african.american.business,alt.culture.african.american.history,alt.fuck.niggers

U Know Who wrote:
> Wonderful. Now we have racism.

GILLILAND'S ISLE

SIT RIGHT BACK AND YOU'LL HEAR A TALE, A TALE OF A NUTLESS TWIT! WHO POSTS IN ALL THE NEWSGROUPS, TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT. TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT.

HIS FIRST NAME IS FRANKIE, A KEYCLOWN ASSHOLE! HE SAT DOWN AT HIS KEYBOARD, SO HE COULD TROLL. SO HE COULD TROLL.

THE NEWSGROUP STARTED GETTING ROUGH, HE WANTED STEVEO PARKS! IF NOT FOR HELP FROM HIS KEYCLOWN QUEEN, 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST. 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST.

STEVEO MET 'OLE FRANKIE AT A PETRO TRUCK STOP........WITH TWISTIE, AND U KNOW WHO........ LANCER,,,WHO'S STEVEO'S WIFE! THE LANDFAG,, THE GRIM REAPER,,,,,,,, YES THEY'RE ALL THERE..........THERE ON GILLILAND'S ISLE!!!!!!!!

447. Re: K1MAN snags another naive recruit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 18:06:06 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41e9d9d4$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101955

"Roscoe P. Phartgassz" <cwdeanarer0@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c8e90$41e89a5d$d1cc79f8$23743@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
> news:lFGEd.3880$Ii4.3789@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > Seen at N9OGL's "blog".
> > This ought to be a fun.
>
> ...either a newbie that don't know about
> the past amusing exploits of the 'Boy Broadcaster'
> from the Icebox State, or it's just another old 14.313 veteran
> wishing for the good ole days of the Herbie Baby
> Phone Patch Wars and/or the Mike & Judy Show
> with ole Raincoat Charlie.(bless him R.C. and the "BARF
> Cannonball Song" - remember that one folks ? :)
>

No, I'm not a newbie and I am somewhat aware of his past exploits and no,
I'm not from the 14.313 group. But if his wishes to have me on his program
then I'll be more then happy to be on there.

Todd N9OGL
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

448. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 20:31:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050116153103.06358.00000031@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 101964

In article <uvadnS8K_PiydnTcRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>>
>> lenover21@aol.com
>>
>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>>>>><mail@nomail.com> writes:

>>>>>>Uh huh. I did ask. And, you came back with this argument
>>>>>> initially
>>>>>>that--paraphrasing--were you not entitled to your opinion. You
>>>>>> are entitled.

>>>>> Incorrect. Brian Burke

>>>>N0IMD

>>>>> was specifically talking about those in
>>>>> here who belong to the "PCTA extra" persuasion and who
>>>>> continually try to put those who are against the morse code test.

>>>>"continually try to put those who are against the morse code test"?
>>>> Put them where?

Ever notice how Len avoids direct questions?

>>>>> Those "PCTA extras"

>>>>Who are they, Len? Specifically? Use callsigns because names aren't
>>>>necessarily unique.

>>>Much easier to not put a name or face on the evil "PCTA Extras".
>>>Makes it a lot easier to demonize them.

>> Very true, Mike.

>> But I think Len just doesn't like to use our callsigns.

>Because I'm a PCTA Extra,(KB3EIA) and so are you,(N2EY) and so is
>Dave,(K8MN) and Steve,(K4YZ) and Dan (W4NTI).

And Brian, W3RV. Plus many others, some departed.

>Now if THAT isn't a diverse group, I don't know what is. TRying to put
>us all in one "Olde tyme Hammes" group is doomed to failure.

Nonetheless, Len tries to.

>>>Fact is, of the Extras in here who are
>>>PCTA, there are a lot of different personalities, from abrasive to
>>> mild mannered.

>> Same goes for NCTAs.

>> For example, I've had many detailed discussions with K2UNK, yet there's
>> never a harsh word, even though we're poles apart on most amateur radio
>> policy issues. Heck, K2UNK is a *director* of NCI!

>Yes, same here, although I believe I got him pretty irratated a few
>times. THat's okay, because I'm an irratating person some times! 8^)

Yet even when irritated, I cannot recall K2UNK ever calling people names or
turning a difference of opinion into a personal attack. The closest thing to it
was when we were discussing highway speed limits and Bill referred to "safety
Nazis" as one source of the 55 mph rule. And IIRC he apologized for that,
later.

>>> One cannot stereotype a spectrum easily.

>> That's why Len denies there is a spectrum. All are blamed for what
>> someone supposedly did decades ago.

> Perhaps there was some sort of prenatal terror induced by a Ham?

Could be. Len appears to have been bested in a debate by KH2D, and so now takes
out his vengenance on all who resemble KH2D in any way that's significant to
Len.

>>>>> persist in claiming turf on who should
>>>>> direct EVERYTHING about U.S. amateur radio - including all
>>>>> federal regulations thereof - just because of tenure as an
>>>>> amateur or because they feel they "own" the hobby and are
>>>>> somehow "entititled" to be of the noble calling of amateurism.

>>>>Where, specifically, has this been claimed? By whom?

>>>>Perhaps you and N0IMD have very thin skins...;-)

>>>>> PCTA extras imply they are "superior" about everything and
>>>>> are busy trying to shove out the NCTAs from this newsgroup.

>>>>Really? You're the only person here I've seen tell another to "shut
>>>> the hell up".

>>>Let us not forget the recent offers for one poster to engage in
>>>fornication with himself,

>> That was me. And it wasn't an offer, it was a command. ;-)

>Isn't it okay to refuse an immoral command?? hehe ;^)

How would I know? I'm supposedly a "nonserver", remember?

>>>and for another to stick something up his "I/O
>>>port". All in response to civil posts.

>> For Len, "civil" equals "agrees with Len". All else is uncivil.

Let us not forget the post where Len made fun of a Coast Guard
radio operator (the classic "sphincter post").

Also, there's the classic where Len talksed about W4NTI "filling the sight
target" and how it would only take "ounces of pressure"...

>My lack of civility must be distressing indeed.

?? You're arguably the most civil poster here, Mike.

>>>>You imply your own superiority in all things here ;-) ;-)

>>>>>>You are entitled to believe that the ground a military person
>>>>>>walks on is sacred and that no one else is "entitled" to the same
>>>>>> benefits
>>>>>>from the United States as a person who has served in the military.

>>>>You're also entitled to believe that the moon is made of cheese. Or
>>>> that the earth is flat.

>>>>Or that your lifelong avoidance of Morse Code has some relevance to
>>>> amateur radio policy.

>>>>> You are off on your own fantasy there.

>>>>Naw, Len, she hit the nail really square.

Kim and I disagree on many things. But every so often she really nails
one point or another. Like the one above.

>>>>> Brian Burke served in the
>>>>> USAF, overseas. I served in the US Army, also overseas, and
>>>>> specifically in radio communications. It is safe to say that we
>>>>> are both proud of serving our country.

>>>>As you should be. Others feel the same way about *their* military
>>>> service, too.

>>>>But is the military the only way a citizen can serve our country?

>>>> Or are there other ways? Does it have to be "overseas"?

>>> There are other, direct ways of doing this.

>> What about indirect ways?

>Sure, but I'm surprised that these good folk can't figure out other
>direct ways that are not specifically serving in the military.

To do so would be to admit that someone like me is right about something.

73 de Jim, N2EY

449. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 21:10:01 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <JKAGd.8372$C52.1229@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101965

WA8ULX wrote:
> "Total Tech/TechPlus - 319,405 (decrease of 14,849)
>
> Total all classes - 671,006 (decrease of 3786)"
>
>
> Yep the Dumbing Down is the way to go.

That why you're still here :-)

450. DO YOU WANT TO OBTAIN MANY DOLLARS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 21:17:17 UTC
From: darting@latinmail.com
Message-ID: <e12fe651.0501161317.74f0b6cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 101966

YOU WANT TO OBTAIN MANY DOLLARS ?
I've been trying to figure out the way to make extra money in the internet, checking news groups and ads. It's not easy but I try to keep an open mind to opportunities. In these websites you would find messages like the one described further below. The message got me thinking:This is just a chain, I don't buy it. But, what if it works? It's not something new. People have been doing it for decades and I've never heard of anyone that have acctually made some money out of it. But, what if it works? When you really think obout it, this is a real job. I finally made up my mind and here I go. If you made up yuour mind too, or if you're still giving it a little more thought, keep reading. It won't be easy. Putting this message on every single website that you can think of, like news, newsgroups and ads will be necessary. The more you do it, the better for you (and for me too, of course), that's why it's a real job. It'll take several hours of advertising and promoting.
This chain is different because it doesn't deppend on five or ten persons to whom the masseges would have to be sent by tradditional mail. With the internet, the message is sent worldwide, available to thousands and someone (like me) it's going to go for it. Finally, did you think how much you're going to learn from this kind of task so you can use this knowledge on future jobs or projects?
Now my name is the last one on the list, where, if you decide to go on, you'll put yours at the end leaving my name as the one before last. I wish you good luck, because part of this luck is for me too.
This is the message I found:
"A few days back, when I was visiting news websites, just like you are now, I saw an article, very similiar to this one, saying you can make thousands of dollars in just a few weeks by investing only US$6ï¿½ï¿½! I thougt: "Oh no! Another fraud?" But just like most of us, I got very curious and I kept reading. The article showed six names and addresses for you to send US$1ï¿½ï¿½. Then, you have to write your name at the end of the list replacing the one on #6 and send the article to at least 200 "newsgroups" (there are thousands of these all around the world). There's no trick, that was it.
The big difference between this chain system and others is that you have a list of six members instead of five. This means that your average proffit will be fifteen times higher!!! After thinking it through with my friends, I decided to give it a try. After all, I had nothing to lose but six stamps and US$6ï¿½ï¿½, right?
I was worried about the whole thing being legal, so I contacted the Correo Central de Chile and they confirmed this chain is completely legal!!
So I invested my US$6ï¿½ï¿½.....GUESS WHAT!!!... seven days later, I

started to recieve money by mail!!! I was really surprised!!! I still thought this would end in a few days, but the money kept comming!!!
The first week I made from US$20ï¿½ï¿½ to US$30ï¿½ï¿½. By the end of the second week, I had made a total of US$1.000ï¿½ï¿½!!!!! By the third week I got more than US$10.000ï¿½ï¿½ and I was getting more. This is my fourth week and I've made over US$41.000ï¿½ï¿½ and It's still comming (my family spend the time opening the envelopes and I spend my time finding "newsgroups"). This is getting serious!!!
At the end, it really paid the US$6ï¿½ï¿½ and six stamps investment. I sure spent more on lotto!!!
Let me explain how it works, and most of all, the reason why it works so well. Make sure to print a copy of this article RIGHT NOW so you can get all the information needed.
It's a very simple 3 step process:
STEP Nï¿½1: Get six pieces of paper and write this down on everyone: "PLEASE INCLUDE ME IN YOUR ADDRESS LIST OR E-MAIL." Now get six US$1ï¿½ï¿½ bills. Then, fold the papers and put the one dollar bill in each so it won't be seen through the envelope. It'll be better if you cover the bill with a dark color paper so it won't be stolen. At the end you'll have six sealed envelopes with six one dollar bills enclolsed. By doing this you're creating a "service" which makes it ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!!!
Send the envelopes to the following addresses:

1. Oscar Javier Rodrï¿½guez z
CR 31 # 45 - 23 barrio el triunfo, Villavicencio Colombia

2. Jorge Balcï¿½zar
Convento San Juan de los Lagos Nï¿½ 36, Jardines de Santa Mï¿½nica, C.P. 54050, Tlalnepantla, Estado de Mexico, Mï¿½XICO

3. Marco Vinicio Gramajo Leal
2a. Avenida 4-66 Zona 1, Mazatenango Suchitepequez, Guatemala, Centro Amï¿½rica.

4. Ricardo Portillo Arteaga
Calle Gonzalo de Berceo Nï¿½ 52 piso 2ï¿½ letra B, codigo postal 26005, Logroï¿½o - La Rioja, Espaï¿½a.

5. Javier Piï¿½eros Barreto
Carrera 72 No 62 f 33 sur, manzana 2 entrada 3 apto 102, Urbanizaciï¿½n Calabria, Bogota Colombia,

6. JAVIER DARIO ARENAS
Apartado postal : 243003
ADPOSTAL: Palmira - Valle Del Cauca - Colombia
Email: adarting@hotmail.com o darting@latimail.com

STEP2: Remove name #1 of the top of the list and move the other names one number up (#6 becomes #5 and so on), add your name and address as #6. REMEMBER, YOU MUST REMOVE #1 NOT #6, MODIFY THE NUMBER ON THE REMAINING NAMES AND ADD YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS TO THE LIST AS #6.

STEP3: Change all those things you consider to be changed in this article, but try to keep it as close to the original as possible. Now put your article on at least 200 "newsgroups" (there are more than 24.000 groups). Just search in google.com by the keyword "newsgroups" and you'll find more than 56.000 items. You just need 200, but the more you put the article on line, the more money you'll get!!
These are instructions to get into the "Newusgroups":
Nï¿½1 Since you must have your own article, there's no need to write it all over again. Just select the whole text and use the "copy" function from the "edit" menu.
Nï¿½2 Create a "notepad" file and "paste" the text, also using this function from the "edit" menu. Now you have the text as a notepad file and you can add your name and address as #6.
Nï¿½3 Save the text as a .txt file. This will make It easier for you to make chages on the text whenever you want.
FOR THOSE WHO USE NETSCAPE
Nï¿½4 In the Netscape browser go to "window" and select "netscapeNews". Then, select "options" from the menu and then selct "show all Newsgroups" so you can get the list of all the "Newsgroups" in the server. Click on any of the newsgroups, and then click on "TO NEWS", it should appear at the top on the left. This will lead you to the messages box.
Nï¿½5 Fill in that space. That will be the title everyone will see when visiting any group.
Nï¿½6 Copy your article and paste it there. Go back to the Newsgroup and "TO NEWS" and you'll be creating and pasting inside the program or "posting".
Nï¿½7 Click on "send" AND YOU'RE DONE!..CONGRATULATIONS!!
TO THOSE WHO USE INTERNET EXPLORER
Step Nï¿½4 Go to Newsgroups and select "Post an article".
Step Nï¿½5 Load the article
Step Nï¿½6 Repeat step Nï¿½6 for the Netscape users.
Step Nï¿½7 Press "Post"
THAT'S ALL! All you have to do is search for different Newsgroups. After a few loads, It'll take like 30 seconds to put the article in each newsgroup!
Eventually you might want to get a P.O Box ‘cause you're gonna get a great ammount of envelopes. You can also use a nickname as long as the address is correct. MAKE SURE THAT ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now, let's check the numbers:
Supose I only get 5 answers out of 200 sent messages (which is a really low example), then I made US$5ï¿½ï¿½ with my name as #6 on the list. Now, if everyone who sent me US$1ï¿½ï¿½ contact a minimum of 200 Newsgroups too, all of them with my name as #5 on the list, and just five answer to the 5 original messages, I'll get US$25ï¿½ï¿½. This 25 persons put my name as #4 on a minimum of 200 Newsgroups getting just 5 answers I'd be makin another US$125ï¿½ï¿½. Then, 125 persons put my name as #3 on a minimum of 200 Newsgroups, again getting just 5 answers, I'd be getting another US$625ï¿½ï¿½ and so on!! With my name as #2 I'll get US$3.125ï¿½ï¿½, and as #1, US$15.625!!!

Now, as I said before, getting only 5 answers is extreeme, the real average of answers is 20 to 30, so DO THE MATH!!!
Once your name is out of the list, get the last ad on the newsgroup and send the US$6ï¿½ï¿½ to the names listed and put yours as #6 and start all over!!
Just remember: Thousands of people all around the world surf the Internet every day reading the article just like you and me! So I think many of them wont see a problem on investing US$6ï¿½ï¿½ to see if it really works. I know that some will think, "what if no one answers?" No way!!! What are the chances that will happen, when there are millions of honest persons (like us) who need to pay bills, who are looking for financial independence and who are willing to give it a shot.
You can also do this by getting at least 200 e-mails. With this system, the probbability of success is 5% to 15%. Eather way you do it, always remember to be honest and I promaise you It will work.
Just make sure to print this article NOW. Try to keep a list to track all those who sent you money. Keep checking the newsgroups so you can see if everyone is playing fair. REMEMER, HONESTY ES THE BEST WAY TO GET WHAT YOU WANT. I've heard of people who sent the message with their names on the list but without sending the money and they hardly recieved any money back.
TIME IS IMPORTANT! Don't wait more than seven days from the moment you see this article! Let's follow the rules so we can all win! Don't forget to mention this extra money on your tax declaration. THANK YOU AND GOOD LUCK!

451. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 21:52:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050116165245.05425.00000064@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 101969

In article <1104805657.039325.47210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>Interesting. Dave trolls, and bb is accused of trolling. Gotta love
>the way things work on RRAP.

Brian, this newsgroup be DIFFERENT than any other newsgroup.

Everything gets redefined into what the PCTA extras want. It's
"their" hang-out, "their" little chat room where everything and
anything NOT involving morse code can be talked about, solved,
and all those against the morse code test can be defamed and
denigrated at their pleasure.

The PCTA extras are "superior." They get angry into hissy-fits
when some of us don't kiss their divine I/O ports.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 16 Jan 05.

452. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 21:52:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050116165246.05425.00000065@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 101967

In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>> The state of Hawaii has an Interstate Highway System. It is fudging the
>> truth a bit to claim one can drive that system to any other state...without
>> another means to span part of the Pacific Ocean.
>
>It's paid for with federal funds, thus it's part of the federal interstate
>highway system. It's no different from Interstate 405 in California: It
>begins in LA and ends in San Diego -- it never leaves the state yet it's
>yet it's still an "interstate."

Wow! Is that a "requirement of radio knowledge" to be a "superior' radio amateur?

Is there a "WAI" (Worked All Interstates) for mobile hams?

>> I would suppose that a junior college instructor could call themselves
>> a "part of the University of
>> Hawaii system" and that they "lecture on mathematics" if they hold
>> classes under that instructor.
>
>The semester begin tomorrow -- I'll be teaching a calculus class at the
>university campus (which is not a "junior college"), 8:30-9:20 MWF,
>Keller Hall room 403. The class is full but I'll let you register,
>if you think you can handle the class.

Me handle your class? Why should I do that?

Can't you handle it all by yourself? Tsk.

>> But, the same "mathematics lecturer"
>> (on the scale of Roger Penrose?) once claimed that the ARRL Amateur's
>> Handbook was on a "bestseller" listing in the USA...and the American
>> Bookseller's Association (ABA) couldn't verify that at all. :-)
>
>Go back and read my complete post, paying attention to the phrase
>"...technical best seller."

Tsk, tsk, tsk. YOU go back and point at the date and place it was stated that the ARRL Handbook became a "technical best seller." [sorry, the ARRL press releases, advertisements, etc. do NOT count]

>Accusing me of lying? Are you sure you want to commit libel?

In here? :-)

Heavens no. In here the PCTA extras redefine words and the
radio world and everything else into their own little fantasyland
desires. They are the "superiors."

"Libel" in here means to say bad things about morsemanship
and say bad things like trying to eliminate the amateur radio
license morse code test. In ANY OTHER NEWSGROUP you
would be guilty of lying. Not here. :-)

BTW, have you worked out the little problem in synchronizing
oscillator frequencies yet? Remember that numbers don't do
the RF generation. Real hardware does.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted 16 Jan 05

453. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 21:52:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050116165247.05425.00000066@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 101968

In article <1104805413.143669.30250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>"""Don't be coy, "bb". Tell us about how all the cell phones don't stop
>functioning."""
>
>They don't? Ever???

Herr Kommandant lives in an on-off world, either key down or key up.
He can't seem to negotiate understanding the in-between. :-)

>"""Tell us about amateur radio's role in an emergency."""
>
>They should do whatever they can with whatever they've got.

Correct. That would be logical.

However, das Kommandant bin a morseman for four decades
and can't understand that the amateurs "will" take over when
the government-commercial infrastructure fails in an emergency.
Some say that the infrastructure "always" fails. :-)

>"""I want to hear once again about how all of the infrastructure is
>never destroyed."""
>
>Sometimes it is, sometimes it isn't. Why do you say, "never?"

Der Kommandant ich bin pissed, he troll and troll his boot but
all his bouyancy tanks are flooded.

>"""The story I posted is in direct conflict with the song sung by you
>and
>your newsgroup mentor."""
>
>Dave K8MN
>
>Sorry, David, but I'm not very musical. I did sing "RockyRaccoon" once
>after ingesting some bad branch water. I feel much better now.

Der Kommandant wants to be Daddy Warbucks and have everyone
sing "Tomorrow" from the musical "Annie."

Unfortunately, the sun DID come out, and many years before. But,
struggling to work Frenchmen out of band, he was too busy to
notice.

Und zo, kleine newsgroupies, der Kommandant keeps snarling
black for white all the time as if he were In Charge. He has NO

verification that all amateurs can do as the ARRL states that all amateurs do, hasn't claimed he has done it hisself, and therefore tries to shift gears in his own shiftless way by going to extremes.

It's the usual old, tired bullshit by the PCTA extras: Attack the messenger when they can't send a reply on the subject.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 16 Jan 05

454. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-16 21:52:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050116165247.05425.00000067@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 101970

In article <gdmCd.38324$8e5.9529@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com>
writes:

>As a football star sed when asked about all the restrictions placed on the
>players
>
>Well you get on with what you have to get on with and win football games

Tsk. Hannibal, you've stuck your pigskin in the wrong aperture
again. [try a little cilantro...]

>Same applies to all facets of life I suppose.
>
>Just get on with the Morse code and win HF privileges

Tsk, tsk. Only if one is an AMATEUR and too afraid to attempt
changing the law...and defying the Great Gods of Newington's
BoD. :-)

>Doesn't make any difference whether the code is outdated or whatever -- it
>is the requirement

Oh, my...such a "superior" mind you have, Hannibal!

IT'S THE LAW! IT'S THE LAW! :-)

Poor feller bin too busy dining on his ego so long he forgot that
folkses can CHANGE THE LAW!!!

Maybe his thinking (such that it was) was distracted by hearing
that "superiors" can work Frenchmen out of band? We should all
cut slack...he be afraid to show his real name or callsign (if he has
one) and must use the "courage" of a pseudonym.

I love those reasoned and thinking responses on subjects...too
bad there aren't any.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 16 Jan 05

455. A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 00:33:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050116193302.11507.00000024@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 101971

In article <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <20041230011207.06525.00000333@mb-m24.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:

>>>In article <20041229070405.16303.00000504@mb-m02.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>>(N2EY) writes:

>>>>This all relates to amateur radio in a very basic way:

>>> Unfortunately, it does NOT.

>> Yes it does! ;-)

>>> All it points out is that you are using
>>> this newsgroup as a general chat room to talk about ANY subject
>>> instead of focussing on amateur radio policy.

>> I think you don't like the fact that it does relate.

>In the end, Who cares?

Len obviously cares a lot.

>It is our newsgroup, and if you and I want to
>talk about politics or an obscure Kert Vonnegut story, or if Bria wants
>to talk about the boy scouts, or if Len wants to talk about sphinctors,
>then "it's all good, man".

I don't know if "it's all good", but that doesn't really matter. This is an
unmoderated newsgroup, and while Len may want to be the moderator, he just
isn't.

>I like the little side trips. It allows us to
>get to know each other better.

Perhaps that's what bothers Len so much.

>>>>The abuses mentioned by Steve and I are all the result of a mindset that
>>>>focuses on "rights" to the exclusion of *responsibilites*. Many of us see
>>>>proposed reductions in the standards of the ARS as a form of that mindset.

>>> Many of "you" want to enforce your personal desires on everyone
>>> else

>> You mean by supporting continued code testing for an amateur radio license?

>> If so, then what's the problem? Your demands that the code test be removed
>> mean
>> that *you* "want to enforce your personal desires on everyone else"

>>> and think "you" are some kind of Keepers of a Covenant (of
>>> some imagined god-inspired "service").

>> Not at all, Len. We simply think that dropping the code test would be a
>> lowering of standards.

>> Is there something wrong with that?

>>> All because you met some
>>> test requirements long ago, established by other Keepers of an even
>>> older Covenant and are firm Believers in the Church of St. Hiram.

>> You sure do pontificate on other's motivations.

>Every time that Len speaks od Saint Hiram, it reminds me of the old
>cartoon Fearless Fly. Mild mannered Hiram Fly was his alter ego.

I don't know that one?

> Why all the fuss over a fly? 8^)

See below.

>>> As usual, you olde-tymers are caught in the territorial imperative
>>> emotionalism of a personal activity and want to enforce your
>>> personal mindsets on all others.

>> What's your suggestion, Len?

>> Should amateur radio become like cb? You were a cber, once. You said it
>> was a
>> lot of fun. Are you still a cber? Or did that service stop being fun for
>> you?

>> You've told us about your home and how much it is worth and how close you
>> are to a gated community of homes costing much more.

>Which is odd considering the rest of us aren't supposed to talk about
>off topic matters!

We're supposed to do as Len says, not as Len does. Unless we support the
elimination of code testing, in which case we can do almost anything and it's
OK with Len.

>> Would you like it if someone
>> wanted to change the zoning in ways that might make your property less
>> valuable, reduce your enjoyment of it, etc.? I bet you would be caught in
>> a
>> territorial imperative emotionalism of a personal activity and want to
>> enforce your
>> personal mindset on others.

>>> Not a good thing since the FCC
>>> is not chartered by law to be a reflection on "your" personal desires
>>> nor in the maintenance of a living museum of amateur radio antiquity.

>> That's *your* mindset speaking

>>> Insofar as radio regulations go, the "ARS" does not stand for
>>> Archaic Radiotelegrphy Service.

>> Then why do you use the term?

Nobody else does.

>>> Removal of the morse test does
>>> NOT "dumb anything down"

>> That's not what I wrote.

>> I wrote that it would lower the standards. And it would.

>Bingo! From my limited observations, the testing regimen as it exists
>today is not dumbed down from what it used to be. Every once in a while
>someone trots out an old test question that leaves a lot of us stumped.
>But it's just different, not harder.

I disagree, but see below for the discussion.

>But to argue that elimination of Element one is not lowering the
>standards is just plain wrong. Doesn't matter if you think it is the
>right thing to do or not, it is most assureadly lowering the standards.

Yep. Particularly since hams still use Morse Code.

>And I don't think lowering standards is ever the right thing to do.

All depends what results you want.

>> Besides, amateurs *do* use Morse Code extensively. Therefore, it makes
>> sense
>> for a test of basic Morse Code skill to be part of license qualifications.

>> It's really that simple.

>>> but rather makes the amateur hobby
>>> more open,

>> In case you didn't notice, Len, there's been a no-code-test class of
>> amateur
>> radio license here in the USA for almost 14 years.

>And..... How many of those people that took the no-code tests just
>allow thier licenses to expire?

Nobody really knows. One reason the number of Techs/TechPluses is shrinking is
expirations like that. Another is upgrades. In another 5 years and 3-1/2
months, all the Tech Pluses will be gone.

>The no-code license allows priveliges in most of the amateur
>allocations. HF is just a small part of our portion of the spectrum.

Yep.

>>> freeing it from all the tight confines of an imagined
>>> "amateur profession" with all the rigid, inflexible standards and
>>> practices that date back to seven decades ago.

>> Where do you get that, Len? Do you think hams stopped using Morse Code
>> seven decades ago? You're wrong about that.

>>> "You" don't own anything but your own radio equipment in amateur
>>> radio.

>> Never claimed to. But that's more than you own ;-)

>> What I and other amateurs *do* have is something to lose. If changes in the
>> rules mess up amateur radio, then we have lost something. Do you think we
>> don't have the right to preserve what we think is valuable?

>And now we just may be approaching motive?

Yep. Notice how Len never describes in detail what he thinks the rules for the ARS should be. It's like a Zen exercise - he'll tell you what he thinks amateur radio license requirements should not be, but he won't tell you what he thinks they should be.

>>> "You" do not have any "power" to prevent non-amateurs from
>>> communicating with their government on federal laws and regulations.

>> That's right. Nor has anyone I know of tried to do that. You can spam ECFS
>> all
>> you want, Len. That's the right of *every* interested party.

>> OTOH, I'm not the one telling others to "shut the hell up, you little USMC
>> feldwebel". You are.

>Or to insert things in some sort of I/O port; or to engage in self
>fornication.

Don't forget telling W4NTI he fills the target...

>>> "You" olde-tymers have no claim over others on "rights" or anything
>>> else.

>> What does that mean? Are you telling me to shut up?

>>>Try to conduct yourself appropriately when faced with reality.

>> Whose "reality", Len? You mean your opinions and mindset, that you want to
>> impose on everyone else?
>
>> Here's a clue: *Everyone* has a right to their opinions. And a right to
>>express
>> their opinions. That means you - and me. That means folks who are oldtimers
>>and

>> newcomers, "servers" and "nonservers", licensed and not licensed, etc.
>
> Right on down to th ehumblest little Feldwebel and hocky puck. ;^)
>
Yep.

And now for the Very Basic Concept:

In the early days of radio, operation of any sort of radio set required considerable technical knowledge and operating skills. The level required was so high, and the equipment so fussy, that "radio operator" quickly became a speciality in itself. Professional radio operators did it for money, amateur radio operators did it for fun, but the skills and knowledge were needed to get the equipment to work at all. That's ultimately why licenses were required - to make sure those on the air had needed skills and knowledge.

Even operating a receiver took a lot of skill.

Over time, technological progress improved radio sets in all sorts of ways. Cost came down, reliability went up, all sorts of technical benchmarks were met and surpassed.

Some improvements were aimed at making the sets perform better. Other focused on reducing the level of skill needed to operate them. Compare a BC receiver from the early 1920s with one from the late 1930s, and the differences are striking. What was once a large, expensive, complex device running on batteries and requiring careful adjustment of multiple controls just to hear a local broadcast became a small box with only two controls.

Similar things happened in "two-way" radio, but over a longer time span. The goals were similar: improve the technical performance, and reduce the level of skill needed to operate them.

In the latter area, the ultimate goal was to completely eliminate the need for a skilled radio operator. In order for this to happen, operations were channelized, automation was incorporated to a high degree, and modes were chosen that did not require special operator skills.

A prime example of this is the land mobile radio services, using VHF/UHF FM voice. The first-generation sets were expensive and complex by the standards of the time - but almost anyone could use the sets. Push to talk, volume, squelch. Maybe a four-position channel selector. No tuning, no adjustments, clear FM audio. And no radio operator.

Almost all radio services have gone in the direction of "no radio operator needed", for the obvious reasons. Radio to them is a tool, not an end in itself. If the maritime folks could replace "Sparks" with an automatic system, they'd do it just to save Sparks' salary and benefits.

This is where amateur radio diverges sharply from other services, and becomes unique in many ways. Removing the skilled radio operator would eliminate what we're all about, which is "radio for its own sake". That's why so many hams want to keep the standards high. Because if they are lost, what's left isn't

amateur radio.

It's a very basic concept, this business of the skilled radio operator. Most if not all of the other radio services have eliminated them, or are trying to do so. Yet it's precisely what we hams aspire to be!

And it's precisely what Len either doesn't understand, or understands and wants to destroy.

73 de Jim, N2EY

456. Re: N8WWM..child abuser..felon...repeater jammer..ordered to see head shrink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 02:13:43 UTC
From: Nisyros Nyiragongo <rolf183@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <350la7F4efqieU1@individual.net>
Source record: 101972
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050115083431.729$7o_-_@newsreader.com...
> N8WWM Doug Adair"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
> >other people also think you are a mentally
> > screwed up hunk of keyclown garbage.
> >
> You have a lot of nerve calling anyone mentally screwed up, Doug.
>
> Questions:
>
> 1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?
>
> 2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?
>
> 3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?
>
> 4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?
>
> 5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
> up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?
>
> Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

very poor. you get a D- in Insulting 101. Not even Troll Grade.

457. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 02:43:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <GdCdnTREIb3Ru3bcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101973

N2EY wrote:
> In article <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <20041230011207.06525.00000333@mb-m24.aol.com>,
>>
>>lenover21@aol.com
>>
>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>
>>>>In article <20041229070405.16303.00000504@mb-m02.aol.com>,
>>
>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>
>>>>(N2EY) writes:
>
>
>>>>>This all relates to amateur radio in a very basic way:
>
>
>>>> Unfortunately, it does NOT.
>
>
>
>>>Yes it does! ;-)
>
>
>>>> All it points out is that you are using
>>>> this newsgroup as a general chat room to talk about ANY subject
>>>> instead of focussing on amateur radio policy.
>
>
>
>>>I think you don't like the fact that it does relate.
>
>
>>In the end, Who cares?
>
>
> Len obviously cares a lot.

>
>
>>It is our newsgroup, and if you and I want to
>>talk about politics or an obscure Kert Vonnegut story, or if Bria wants
>>to talk about the boy scouts, or if Len wants to talk about sphinctors,
>>then "it's all good, man".
>
>
> I don't know if "it's all good", but that doesn't really matter. This is an
> unmoderated newsgroup, and while Len may want to be the moderator, he just
> isn't.
>
>
>>I like the little side trips. It allows us to
>>get to know each other better.
>
>
> Perhaps that's what bothers Len so much.
>
>
>>>>>The abuses mentioned by Steve and I are all the result of a mindset that
>>>>>focuses on "rights" to the exclusion of *responsibilites*. Many of us see
>>>>>proposed reductions in the standards of the ARS as a form of that mindset.
>
>
>>>> Many of "you" want to enforce your personal desires on everyone
>>>> else
>
>
>
>>>You mean by supporting continued code testing for an amateur radio license?
>
>
>>>If so, then what's the problem? Your demands that the code test be removed
>>>mean
>>>that *you* "want to enforce your personal desires on everyone else"
>
>
>
>>>> and think "you" are some kind of Keepers of a Covenant (of
>>>> some imagined god-inspired "service").
>
>
>
>>>Not at all, Len. We simply think that dropping the code test would be a
>>>lowering of standards.
>
>
>
>>>Is there something wrong with that?

>
>
>
>>>> All because you met some
>>>> test requirements long ago, established by other Keepers of an even
>>>> older Covenant and are firm Believers in the Church of St. Hiram.
>
>
>
>>>You sure do pontificate on other's motivations.
>
>
>>Every time that Len speaks od Saint Hiram, it reminds me of the old
>>cartoon Fearless Fly. Mild mannered Hiram Fly was his alter ego.
>
>
> I don't know that one?

http://www.geocities.com/fearlessfly2003/

He was part of the Milton the Monster show. There was trend at that
time, for goofy superheros.

>
>> Why all the fuss over a fly? 8^)
>
>
> See below.
>
>
>>>> As usual, you olde-tymers are caught in the territorial imperative
>>>> emotionalism of a personal activity and want to enforce your
>>>> personal mindsets on all others.
>
>
>>>What's your suggestion, Len?
>
>
>
>>>Should amateur radio become like cb? You were a cber, once. You said it
>>>was a
>>>lot of fun. Are you still a cber? Or did that service stop being fun for
>>>you?
>
>
>>>You've told us about your home and how much it is worth and how close you
>>>are to a gated community of homes costing much more.
>
>
>>Which is odd considering the rest of us aren't supposed to talk about
>>off topic matters!

>
>
> We're supposed to do as Len says, not as Len does. Unless we support the
> elimination of code testing, in which case we can do almost anything and it's
> OK with Len.

He probably wouldn't find it very interesting around here then!
>
>>>Would you like it if someone
>>>wanted to change the zoning in ways that might make your property less
>>>valuable, reduce your enjoyment of it, etc.? I bet you would be caught in
>>>a
>>>territorial imperative emotionalism of a personal activity and want to
>>>enforce your
>>>personal mindset on others.
>
>
>
>>>> Not a good thing since the FCC
>>>> is not chartered by law to be a reflection on "your" personal desires
>>>> nor in the maintenance of a living museum of amateur radio antiquity.
>
>
>
>>>That's *your* mindset speaking
>
>
>
>>>> Insofar as radio regulations go, the "ARS" does not stand for
>>>> Archaic Radiotelegrphy Service.
>
>
>
>>>Then why do you use the term?
>
>
> Nobody else does.
>
>
>>>> Removal of the morse test does
>>>> NOT "dumb anything down"
>
>
>
>>>That's not what I wrote.
>
>
>
>>>I wrote that it would lower the standards. And it would.
>

>
>>Bingo! From my limited observations, the testing regimen as it exists
>>today is not dumbed down from what it used to be. Every once in a while
>>someone trots out an old test question that leaves a lot of us stumped.
>>But it's just different, not harder.
>
>
> I disagree, but see below for the discussion.
>
>
>>But to argue that elimination of Element one is not lowering the
>>standards is just plain wrong. Doesn't matter if you think it is the
>>right thing to do or not, it is most assureadly lowering the standards.
>
>
> Yep. Particularly since hams still use Morse Code.
>
>
>>And I don't think lowering standards is ever the right thing to do.
>
>
> All depends what results you want.
>
>
>>>Besides, amateurs *do* use Morse Code extensively. Therefore, it makes
>>>sense
>>>for a test of basic Morse Code skill to be part of license qualifications.
>
>
>
>>>It's really that simple.
>
>
>>>> but rather makes the amateur hobby
>>>> more open,
>
>
>
>>>In case you didn't notice, Len, there's been a no-code-test class of
>>>amateur
>>>radio license here in the USA for almost 14 years.
>
>
>>And..... How many of those people that took the no-code tests just
>>allow thier licenses to expire?
>
>
> Nobody really knows. One reason the number of Techs/TechPluses is shrinking is
> expirations like that. Another is upgrades. In another 5 years and 3-1/2
> months, all the Tech Pluses will be gone.

Regardless of the specific reasons, they allowed their licenses to
lapse. If the no-code test system were any kind of success, there would
not be a falloff like that.

>>The no-code license allows priveliges in most of the amateur
>>allocations. HF is just a small part of our portion of the spectrum.
>
> Yep.

So the big question is why aren't those bands crowded with the
Technicians? It is worth noting that the 6 meter band is open to
Technicians also. So they can get some HF like action also. But they by
and large don't.

Code test good or code test bad, elimination of it will probably not
bring anything to the ARS.

>
>>>> freeing it from all the tight confines of an imagined
>>>> "amateur profession" with all the rigid, inflexible standards and
>>>> practices that date back to seven decades ago.
>
>
>>>Where do you get that, Len? Do you think hams stopped using Morse Code
>>>seven decades ago? You're wrong about that.
>
>
>>>> "You" don't own anything but your own radio equipment in amateur
>>>> radio.
>
>
>>>Never claimed to. But that's more than you own ;-)
>
>
>>>What I and other amateurs *do* have is something to lose. If changes in the
>>>rules mess up amateur radio, then we have lost something. Do you think we
>>>don't have the right to preserve what we think is valuable?
>
>
>>And now we just may be approaching motive?
>
>
> Yep. Notice how Len never describes in detail what he thinks the rules for the
> ARS should be. It's like a Zen exercise - he'll tell you what he thinks amateur
> radio license requirements should not be, but he won't tell you what he thinks
> they should be.

If I were to hazard a deduction, I would have to say that from
everything I have seen, he is more interested in the destruction of
Amateur radio than anything else. I had concluded as much before, but
the diatribe of a few days ago was especially telling, in the ARS
license numbers thread, where he starts out with

Lenof21> Well, Herr Gruppekommandant, it's time to "show you my papers"
Lenof21> and confess all -

Lots of analysis fodder.

>>>>"You" do not have any "power" to prevent non-amateurs from
>>>> communicating with their government on federal laws and regulations.
>
>
>>>That's right. Nor has anyone I know of tried to do that. You can spam ECFS
>>>all
>>>you want, Len. That's the right of *every* interested party.
>
>
>>>OTOH, I'm not the one telling others to "shut the hell up, you little USMC
>>>feldwebel". You are.
>
>
>>Or to insert things in some sort of I/O port; or to engage in self
>>fornication.
>
> Don't forget telling W4NTI he fills the target...

That is one I would like to forget.

>
>>>> "You" olde-tymers have no claim over others on "rights" or anything
>>>> else.
>
>
>>>What does that mean? Are you telling me to shut up?
>
>
>>>>Try to conduct yourself appropriately when faced with reality.
>
>
>>>Whose "reality", Len? You mean your opinions and mindset, that you want to
>>>impose on everyone else?
>>
>>>Here's a clue: *Everyone* has a right to their opinions. And a right to
>>>express
>>>their opinions. That means you - and me. That means folks who are oldtimers
>>>and
>>>newcomers, "servers" and "nonservers", licensed and not licensed, etc.
>>
>> Right on down to th ehumblest little Feldwebel and hocky puck. ;^)
>>
>
> Yep.
>
> And now for the Very Basic Concept:
>

> In the early days of radio, operation of any sort of radio set required
> considerable technical knowledge and operating skills. The level required was
> so high, and the equipment so fussy, that "radio operator" quickly became a
> speciality in itself. Professional radio operators did it for money, amateur
> radio operators did it for fun, but the skills and knowledge were needed to get
> the equipment to work at all. That's ultimately why licenses were required - to
> make sure those on the air had needed skills and knowledge.
>
> Even operating a receiver took a lot of skill.
>
> Over time, technological progress improved radio sets in all sorts of ways.
> Cost came down, reliability went up, all sorts of technical benchmarks were met
> and surpassed.
>
> Some improvements were aimed at making the sets perform better. Other focused
> on reducing the level of skill needed to operate them. Compare a BC receiver
> from the early 1920s with one from the late 1930s, and the differences are
> striking. What was once a large, expensive, complex device running on batteries
> and requiring careful adjustment of multiple controls just to hear a local
> broadcast became a small box with only two controls.
>
> Similar things happened in "two-way" radio, but over a longer time span. The
> goals were similar: improve the technical performance, and reduce the level of
> skill needed to operate them.
>
> In the latter area, the ultimate goal was to completely eliminate the need for
> a skilled radio operator. In order for this to happen, operations were
> channelized, automation was incorporated to a high degree, and modes were
> chosen that did not require special operator skills.
>
> A prime example of this is the land mobile radio services, using VHF/UHF FM
> voice. The first-generation sets were expensive and complex by the standards of
> the time - but almost anyone could use the sets. Push to talk, volume, squelch.
> Maybe a four-position channel selector. No tuning, no adjustments, clear FM
> audio. And no radio operator.
>
> Almost all radio services have gone in the direction of "no radio operator
> needed", for the obvious reasons. Radio to them is a tool, not an end in
> itself. If the maritime folks could replace "Sparks" with an automatic system,
> they'd do it just to save Sparks' salary and benefits.
>
> This is where amateur radio diverges sharply from other services, and becomes
> unique in many ways. Removing the skilled radio operator would eliminate what
> we're all about, which is "radio for its own sake". That's why so many hams
> want to keep the standards high. Because if they are lost, what's left isn't
> amateur radio.
>
> It's a very basic concept, this business of the skilled radio operator. Most if
> not all of the other radio services have eliminated them, or are trying to do
> so. Yet it's precisely what we hams aspire to be!

>
> And it's precisely what Len either doesn't understand, or understands and wants
> to destroy.

There isn't much I can add to that, Jim. Well said.

- Mike KB3EIA -

458. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 03:02:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <v-2dncx7TO0st3bcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 101974

N2EY wrote:

> In article <uvadnS8K_PiydnTcRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>>>
>>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>
>>>
>>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>
>
>>>>>>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>>>>>><mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
>
>
>>>>>>>Uh huh. I did ask. And, you came back with this argument
>>>>>>>initially
>>>>>>>that--paraphrasing--were you not entitled to your opinion. You
>>>>>>>are entitled.
>
>
>>>>>>Incorrect. Brian Burke
>
>
>
>>>>>N0IMD
>
>
>>>>>>was specifically talking about those in
>>>>>>here who belong to the "PCTA extra" persuasion and who

>>>>>>continually try to put those who are against the morse code test.
>
>
>
>>>>>"continually try to put those who are against the morse code test"?
>>>>>Put them where?
>
>
> Ever notice how Len avoids direct questions?
>
>
>>>>>>Those "PCTA extras"
>
>
>
>>>>>Who are they, Len? Specifically? Use callsigns because names aren't
>>>>>necessarily unique.
>
>
>>>>Much easier to not put a name or face on the evil "PCTA Extras".
>>>>Makes it a lot easier to demonize them.
>
>
>>>Very true, Mike.
>
>
>
>>>But I think Len just doesn't like to use our callsigns.
>
>
>>Because I'm a PCTA Extra,(KB3EIA) and so are you,(N2EY) and so is
>>Dave,(K8MN) and Steve,(K4YZ) and Dan (W4NTI).
>
>
> And Brian, W3RV. Plus many others, some departed.

Oh yes, and each addition makes it harder to group us as one "type".

>
>>Now if THAT isn't a diverse group, I don't know what is. TRying to put
>>us all in one "Olde tyme Hammes" group is doomed to failure.
>
>
> Nonetheless, Len tries to.
>
>
>>>>Fact is, of the Extras in here who are
>>>>PCTA, there are a lot of different personalities, from abrasive to
>>>>mild mannered.
>
>

>>>Same goes for NCTAs.
>
>
>>>For example, I've had many detailed discussions with K2UNK, yet there's
>>>never a harsh word, even though we're poles apart on most amateur radio
>>>policy issues. Heck, K2UNK is a *director* of NCI!
>
>
>>Yes, same here, although I believe I got him pretty irratated a few
>>times. THat's okay, because I'm an irratating person some times! 8^)
>
>
> Yet even when irritated, I cannot recall K2UNK ever calling people names or
> turning a difference of opinion into a personal attack. The closest thing to it
> was when we were discussing highway speed limits and Bill referred to "safety
> Nazis" as one source of the 55 mph rule. And IIRC he apologized for that,
> later.
>
>
>>>> One cannot stereotype a spectrum easily.
>
>
>
>>>That's why Len denies there is a spectrum. All are blamed for what
>>>someone supposedly did decades ago.
>
>
>> Perhaps there was some sort of prenatal terror induced by a Ham?
>
>
> Could be. Len appears to have been bested in a debate by KH2D, and so now takes
> out his vengenance on all who resemble KH2D in any way that's significant to
> Len.
>
>
>>>>>>persist in claiming turf on who should
>>>>>>direct EVERYTHING about U.S. amateur radio - including all
>>>>>>federal regulations thereof - just because of tenure as an
>>>>>>amateur or because they feel they "own" the hobby and are
>>>>>>somehow "entititled" to be of the noble calling of amateurism.
>
>
>
>>>>>Where, specifically, has this been claimed? By whom?
>
>
>
>>>>>Perhaps you and N0IMD have very thin skins...;-)
>
>

>
>>>>>>PCTA extras imply they are "superior" about everything and
>>>>>>are busy trying to shove out the NCTAs from this newsgroup.
>
>
>>>>>Really? You're the only person here I've seen tell another to "shut
>>>>>the hell up".
>
>
>
>>>>Let us not forget the recent offers for one poster to engage in
>>>>fornication with himself,
>
>
>
>>>That was me. And it wasn't an offer, it was a command. ;-)
>
>
>>Isn't it okay to refuse an immoral command?? hehe ;^)
>
>
> How would I know? I'm supposedly a "nonserver", remember?
>
>
>>>>and for another to stick something up his "I/O
>>>>port". All in response to civil posts.
>
>
>
>>>For Len, "civil" equals "agrees with Len". All else is uncivil.
>
>
> Let us not forget the post where Len made fun of a Coast Guard
> radio operator (the classic "sphincter post").
>
> Also, there's the classic where Len talksed about W4NTI "filling the sight
> target" and how it would only take "ounces of pressure"...
>
>
>>My lack of civility must be distressing indeed.
>
>
> ?? You're arguably the most civil poster here, Mike.

And occasionally, that can be incredibly irritating to some people! ;^)

>>>>>You imply your own superiority in all things here ;-) ;-)
>
>
>>>>>>>You are entitled to believe that the ground a military person
>>>>>>>walks on is sacred and that no one else is "entitled" to the same

>>>>>>>benefits
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>from the United States as a person who has served in the military.
>
>
>>>>>You're also entitled to believe that the moon is made of cheese. Or
>>>>>that the earth is flat.
>
>
>>>>>Or that your lifelong avoidance of Morse Code has some relevance to
>>>>>amateur radio policy.
>
>
>>>>>>You are off on your own fantasy there.
>
>
>>>>>Naw, Len, she hit the nail really square.
>
>
> Kim and I disagree on many things. But every so often she really nails
> one point or another. Like the one above.

Of course she is correct in this case. The "service" argument is too
often thrown out as a way to stifle discussion. No one wants to look
like they don't support our servicemen and women, so more often than
not, the person just shuts up rather than risk the "unpatriotic" moniker
about to be thrust on them.

>
>>>>>>Brian Burke served in the
>>>>>>USAF, overseas. I served in the US Army, also overseas, and
>>>>>>specifically in radio communications. It is safe to say that we
>>>>>>are both proud of serving our country.
>
>
>
>>>>>As you should be. Others feel the same way about *their* military
>>>>>service, too.
>
>
>>>>>But is the military the only way a citizen can serve our country?
>
>
>
>>>>>Or are there other ways? Does it have to be "overseas"?
>
>
>>>> There are other, direct ways of doing this.
>
>
>>>What about indirect ways?

>
>
>>Sure, but I'm surprised that these good folk can't figure out other
>>direct ways that are not specifically serving in the military.
>
> To do so would be to admit that someone like me is right about something.

Ahh, but you are.

- Mike KB3EIA -

459. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 03:35:22 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105932922.162342.105570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101975

N2EY wrote:
> In article <uvadnS8K_PiydnTcRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >>>N2EY wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
> >>
> >> lenover21@aol.com
> >>
> >>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
> >>>
> >>>>>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
"Kim"
> >>>>><mail@nomail.com> writes:
> >>>
> >>>>>Uh huh. I did ask. And, you came back with this argument
> >>>>> initially
> >>>>>that--paraphrasing--were you not entitled to your opinion. You
> >>>>> are entitled.
> >>>
> >>>>> Incorrect. Brian Burke
> >>>
> >>>>N0IMD
> >>>
> >>>>> was specifically talking about those in
> >>>>> here who belong to the "PCTA extra" persuasion and who
> >>>>> continually try to put those who are against the morse code
test.
> >>>
> >>>>"continually try to put those who are against the morse code
test"?
> >>>> Put them where?
> >>>
> >>> Ever notice how Len avoids direct questions?
Ever notice how Miccolis does?

I do every day.

460. Re: Amateur radio lets no opportunity go un-squandered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 03:42:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105933375.895104.278200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101976

Sarge wrote:
> We should pack up everything and everyone and get out of there. Then
turn
> the darned sat back on.
> NEVER should have gone there anyways.
>
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1105665347.355756.239220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > I read where there was no PacSat traffic out of there.
> >
> > Welp, now that the USA got kicked out of Indonesia for being (gulp)
> > American, wonder if we take the Motorola phone with us?

Yeh, we shouldov gone there, and we should leave on the last day of the
ultimatum.

Why?

Because I've yet to see a muslim government that give's a shit about
their people, but the people will remember who helped them. The guys
with the little American flag on their uniforms.

Not Miccolis.

461. Re: Len caught in a RRAP trap (was Re: No anticipated changes...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 03:52:24 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105933944.405153.175890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101977

K4YZ wrote:
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> > I've set the expiration date of this article for 2007 so that folks
> > can enjoy seeing Len humiliated for a couple of years.
>
> Now Jeff! You KNOW that Lennie gives us PLENTY of stuff with which
> to humiliate him over!
>
> We are still having a pretty good giggle over his NG faux pas over
> license expirations and the subsequent attempts to wriggle out from
> under it. Wudda been a lot easier (and would have garnered some
> respect) to just say "Ooopsss....I was wrong."
>
> But...You know how it is for pathological liars...gotta lie for
> lying's sake!

Reminds me of the time a RRAPper said that "MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
Radio."

Am I wrong?

462. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 04:11:19 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105935079.099524.69940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101978

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <1104805657.039325.47210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
"bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >Interesting. Dave trolls, and bb is accused of trolling. Gotta
love
> >the way things work on RRAP.
>
> Brian, this newsgroup be DIFFERENT than any other newsgroup.

No kidding.

> Everything gets redefined into what the PCTA extras want. It's
> "their" hang-out, "their"

No, no. "Thier."

> little chat room where everything and
> anything NOT involving morse code can be talked about, solved,
> and all those against the morse code test can be defamed and
> denigrated at their pleasure.

Yep, they all want to be geographers, weathermen, and spacemen.

> The PCTA extras are "superior." They get angry into hissy-fits
> when some of us don't kiss their divine I/O ports.

It's so sad.

463. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 04:39:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050116233908.07099.00000018@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 101979

In article <GdCdnTRElb3Ru3bcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> We're supposed to do as Len says, not as Len does.

Y'all are? Well, heck, why not...you demand Obediance to the
old standards and practices in a radio hobby...and have for years
without going along with any change.

>> Unless we support the
>> elimination of code testing, in which case we can do almost anything and
it's
>> OK with Len.
>
> He probably wouldn't find it very interesting around here then!

On the contrary...:-)

If the code test were eliminated, I wouldn't bother to be here. :-)

>Code test good or code test bad, elimination of it will probably not
>bring anything to the ARS.

Tsk. The only thing the code test requirement did was to form
the ARS as the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society. :-)

Plus a lot of puerile nyah-nyahs from those who could do morse
at high rate having playground glee at talking down to those who
couldn't. :-)

> If I were to hazard a deduction, I would have to say that from
>everything I have seen, he is more interested in the destruction of
>Amateur radio than anything else. I had concluded as much before, but
>the diatribe of a few days ago was especially telling, in the ARS
>license numbers thread, where he starts out with

Oh, my, aren't you the most Self-Righteous One! :-)

How does the elimination of the morse code test for a U.S. amateur
radio license, any class, suddenly "Destroy the ARS?"

Tsk. You should be reporting me to the Secretary of Homeland
Security or the Attorney General for all this "destruction!"

Yup, lots of Morsemen would be faced with "destruction" of the
ARS AS THEY KNOW IT if the code test were eliminated.
Woe! Great weeping and gnashing of gums on that.

>> Don't forget telling W4NTI he fills the target...
>
> That is one I would like to forget.

Not to worry. You simply can't remember that a PCTA extra
said the same to me, years ago, and relatively recently.

>> Almost all radio services have gone in the direction of "no radio operator
>> needed", for the obvious reasons. Radio to them is a tool, not an end in
>> itself. If the maritime folks could replace "Sparks" with an automatic
system,
>> they'd do it just to save Sparks' salary and benefits.

Tsk. The "autoalarm" was already in-place on many ships prior to
1941...including the North Atlantic fabled in much earlier tales of
morsemanship.

How many NON-essential crewmen are there on ocean-going
vessels, now or in the past four decades? Hint: Not many.

>> It's a very basic concept, this business of the skilled radio operator.
Most if
>> not all of the other radio services have eliminated them, or are trying to
do
>> so. Yet it's precisely what we hams aspire to be!
>>
>> And it's precisely what Len either doesn't understand, or understands and
wants
>> to destroy.
>
> There isn't much I can add to that, Jim. Well said.

Putting aside your own personal hatred of a newsgroup opponent,
you COULD have looked at the past history of the larger world of
radio communications and - if at all possible (but unlikely in here) -
dispassionately agreed with the larger world of radio.

"Skilled radio operator" does NOT mean what it did in the 1920s
and 1930s when morsemanship was needed. This is 80 to 70
years later, remember?

Tsk. The elimination of the morse "skill" was already starting
in the 1940s. Those who were self-righteous about THEIR mighty
morsemanship had blinders on and couldn't see it. All those
"sparks" and their mighty macho morsemanship "skills" were
being displaced/downsized/nonessential on ocean-going vessels
by the 1960s. [today's maritime radio services use voice by VHF
of HF SSB and Data on HF...both of which require NO morsemanship
whatsoever]

If you wish to buy into mythos of morsemanship, fine. But, trying
to convince everyone in the new millennium that this is really the
1920s and 1930s in radio sounds remarkably stupid. "Dumbed-
down" to reality, in fact.

Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society is what you are in. Enjoy.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 16 Jan 05

464. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 04:53:21 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105937601.226322.270250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101980

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <1104805413.143669.30250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
"bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >"""Don't be coy, "bb". Tell us about how all the cell phones don't
stop
> >functioning."""
> >
> >They don't? Ever???
>
> Herr Kommandant lives in an on-off world, either key down or key
up.
> He can't seem to negotiate understanding the in-between. :-)

Yet they obsess over being able to decipher the information contained
in the spaces.

> >"""Tell us about amateur radio's role in an emergency."""
> >
> >They should do whatever they can with whatever they've got.
>
> Correct. That would be logical.
>
> However, das Kommandant bin a morseman for four decades
> and can't understand that the amateurs "will" take over when
> the government-commercial infrastructure fails in an emergency.
> Some say that the infrastructure "always" fails. :-)

If they're awake.

> >"""I want to hear once again about how all of the infrastructure is
> >never destroyed."""
> >
> >Sometimes it is, sometimes it isn't. Why do you say, "never?"
>
> Der Kommandant ich bin pissed, he troll and troll his boot but
> all his bouyancy tanks are flooded.

He boot get muddy. Muss wear patent leather jackboot.

> >"""The story I posted is in direct conflict with the song sung by
you
> >and
> >your newsgroup mentor."""
> >

> >Dave K8MN
> >
> >Sorry, David, but I'm not very musical. I did sing "RockyRaccoon"
once
> >after ingesting some bad branch water. I feel much better now.
>
> Der Kommandant wants to be Daddy Warbucks and have everyone
> sing "Tomorrow" from the musical "Annie."

He can want.

> Unfortunately, the sun DID come out, and many years before. But,
> struggling to work Frenchmen out of band, he was too busy to
> notice.

"CQ out of band Frenchmen, CQ out of band Frenchmen, CQ out of band
Frenchmen, this is K8MN, K8MN, K8MN listening on 6 Meters."

> Und zo, kleine newsgroupies, der Kommandant keeps snarling
> black for white all the time as if he were In Charge. He has NO
> verification that all amateurs can do as the ARRL states that all
> amateurs do, hasn't claimed he has done it hisself, and therefore
> tries to shift gears in his own shiftless way by going to
extremes.

Still pissed about not being able to rack up 40,000 qso's while in
vietnam.

> It's the usual old, tired bullshit by the PCTA extras: Attack the
> messenger when they can't send a reply on the subject.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Posted on 16 Jan 05

Miccolis is ignoring the messenger.

465. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 04:57:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105937834.068239.120620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101981

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >Subject: Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a
while
> >From: "bb" billybeeper@juno.com
> >Date: 1/15/2005 3:50 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1105825833.122771.173440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
> >
> >
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >> You
> >> don't have to play like I'm someone special.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >You're not.
> >
> >But you are a little too smug for most people's tastes. People get
the
> >impression that you think you're someone special.
>
> He is.

Thinks he is.

466. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 04:59:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105937973.895121.129770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101982

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > > You
> > > don't have to play like I'm someone special.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > You're not.
>
> Yes, I am Dave K8MN

Your smugness is noted. But you're not special.

> > But you are a little too smug for most people's tastes.
>
> Do you mean that you find me too smug for your tastes or have you
taken
> a poll? If you speak only for yourself and you believe me to be too
> smug for your tastes, I can live with that.

Best of Luck.

> > People get the
> > impression that you think you're someone special.
>
> People? Name them.
People on RRAP that think you're full of yourself.

467. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 05:02:10 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050117000210.25923.00000014@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 101984

In article <1105932922.162342.105570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <uvadnS8K_PiydnTcRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >
>> >> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>N2EY wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>> >>
>> >> lenover21@aol.com
>> >>
>> >>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>> >
>> >>>>>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>,
>"Kim"
>> >>>>><mail@nomail.com> writes:
>> >
>> >>>>>Uh huh. I did ask. And, you came back with this argument
>> >>>>> initially
>> >>>>>that--paraphrasing--were you not entitled to your opinion. You
>> >>>>> are entitled.
>> >
>> >>>>> Incorrect. Brian Burke
>> >
>> >>>>N0IMD
>> >
>> >>>>> was specifically talking about those in
>> >>>>> here who belong to the "PCTA extra" persuasion and who
>> >>>>> continually try to put those who are against the morse code
>test.
>> >
>> >>>>"continually try to put those who are against the morse code
>test"?
>> >>>> Put them where?
>> >
>> >> Ever notice how Len avoids direct questions?
>> >
>>Ever notice how Miccolis does?

>
>I do every day.

Careful, Brian...remember that J.P. "serves his country" (by being
a super-special amateur morseman).

If you say nasty to him or Coslo, you will be accused of TRYING
TO DESTROY HAM RADIO!!!! :-)

Heh. All their expertise and "radio operator skills" go in the toilet
when trying to defend the need for morse code testing. They
resort to defamation/denigration/disassembly of those wanting to
eliminate the morse code test, all on the person but not the
subject. QED.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 16 Jan 05

468. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 05:02:11 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050117000211.25923.00000015@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 101983

In article <1105935079.099524.69940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <1104805657.039325.47210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
>"bb"
>> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>
>> >Interesting. Dave trolls, and bb is accused of trolling. Gotta
>love
>> >the way things work on RRAP.
>>
>> Brian, this newsgroup be DIFFERENT than any other newsgroup.
>
>No kidding.

Yes...[he said, stating the obvious]...this newsgroup is still stuck
in the 1920s-1930s time warp of the "skilled radio operator" era
when the "skilled" were skilled in morsemanship.

>> Everything gets redefined into what the PCTA extras want. It's
>> "their" hang-out, "their"
>
>No, no. "Thier."

Ooops, my bad. :-) [I should have included their spelling skill
in writing "amatuer" too...]

>> little chat room where everything and
>> anything NOT involving morse code can be talked about, solved,
>> and all those against the morse code test can be defamed and
>> denigrated at their pleasure.
>
>Yep, they all want to be geographers, weathermen, and spacemen.

They are SO skilled in everything they talk about OUTSIDE of
radio subjects... :-)

>> The PCTA extras are "superior." They get angry into hissy-fits
>> when some of us don't kiss their divine I/O ports.
>
>It's so sad.

True enough. Grown men (apparently, at least physically) gettting
all wound up and hissy-fitting about how they are such vital
assets to the nation because they are morsemen. Sometimes
I think of their actions as "asset-retentive." :-)

Tsk. FCC better keep that code test in the regulations or they will "destroy" amateur radio!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 16 Jan 05

469. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 05:05:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1105938343.248514.287640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101985

N2EY wrote:

> But since about 1995, JA ham license numbers have been in a downward
spiral.
> Not just total number of station licenses but number of new licenses,
operator
> and station. Some say the decline is due to factors like the poor
state of the
> Japanese economy and/or the popularity of other electronics
communications like
> the internet, cell phones, etc.

Wow! Until now, you've presented the numbers as purely an American
failure.

> IIRC, Japan still has code-testing for all but the fourth class
license, even
> though the treaty changed more than 18 months ago.
Ooooh! Aaaah!

not Miccolis

470. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 05:52:30 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <csfjqu$820$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 101986

N2EY <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote:
>Japan is a very interesting case study in the no-code-test argument. Japan has
>had no-code-test ham licenses *with some HF privileges* for several decades
>now. This fact is cited as the reason why there were so many hams in Japan
>relative to the size of the country. Even though Japan has less than half the
>US population, the number of JA hams (station licenses, not just operator
>licenses) exceeded the number of US hams more than 30 years ago. Since more
>than 90% of JA hams have no-code-test licenses, no-code-test proponents used to
>hold up Japan as an example of what the US should do. (Japan used a rather
>torturous argument to get around the treaty - their no-code-test ham licenses
>are QRP on HF, and Japan is an island, so the claim is that there's no
>interference problem.

That's called the 4th class license, limited to 10w output, for operation
between 21-30 MHz, and below 8 MHz.

Their 1st class license still requires 3 minutes of copy at 12 wpm,
their 2nd class license requires 2 minutes at 9 wpm, and their 3rd
class requires 2 minutes at 5 wpm. See the JARL web site.

73, Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

471. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 07:12:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105945922.489906.19940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101988

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <1105935079.099524.69940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> In article <1104805657.039325.47210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> >"bb"
> >> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >Interesting. Dave trolls, and bb is accused of trolling. Gotta
> >love
> >> >the way things work on RRAP.
> >>
> >> Brian, this newsgroup be DIFFERENT than any other newsgroup.
> >>
> >No kidding.
>
> Yes...[he said, stating the obvious]...this newsgroup is still
stuck
> in the 1920s-1930s time warp of the "skilled radio operator" era
> when the "skilled" were skilled in morsemanship.

No, he refuted, [pointing out the MORE obvious]...The ONLY person in
thbis forum who is "stuck" ANYwhere is Lennie the Liar H. Anderson.

He's the ONLY person (unlicensed, at that) who continues to cite
ANY regulations past 1991.

> >> Everything gets redefined into what the PCTA extras want. It's
> >> "their" hang-out, "their"
> >>
> >No, no. "Thier."
>
> Ooops, my bad. :-) [I should have included their spelling skill
> in writing "amatuer" too...]

Hey, Mr "focussed", YOU have no business pointing out ANYone's
typos.

> >> little chat room where everything and
> >> anything NOT involving morse code can be talked about, solved,
> >> and all those against the morse code test can be defamed and
> >> denigrated at their pleasure.
> >>
> >Yep, they all want to be geographers, weathermen, and spacemen.

>
> They are SO skilled in everything they talk about OUTSIDE of
> radio subjects...

Sorry, Lennie, as you are so fond of pointing out (when it's
convienient to YOU to do so...) this IS and UNMODERATED newsgroup.

> >> The PCTA extras are "superior." They get angry into hissy-fits
> >> when some of us don't kiss their divine I/O ports.
> >
> >It's so sad.
>
> True enough. Grown men (apparently, at least physically) gettting
> all wound up and hissy-fitting about how they are such vital
> assets to the nation because they are morsemen. Sometimes
> I think of their actions as "asset-retentive."

No one here cites their morse skills as "vital assets", Lennie.

> Tsk. FCC better keep that code test in the regulations or they
will
> "destroy" amateur radio!

Only people like YOU can do that, Lennie, and people like ME will
do thier best to keep scum like you from doing it.

Steve, K4YZ

472. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 07:15:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105946140.336252.144310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101989

Lenof21 wrote:

> Heh. All their expertise and "radio operator skills" go in the
toilet
> when trying to defend the need for morse code testing. They
> resort to defamation/denigration/disassembly of those wanting to
> eliminate the morse code test, all on the person but not the
> subject. QED.

So far, all of the "defamation" comes from YOU, Lennie...YOU
provide all of the "fuel" for that fire!

So, Mr Professional Radio Engineer...tell us all about the
legalities of operating past the expiration date of the license...You
STILL ahve not acknowledged your error or retracted it. What's your
problem?

Steve, K4YZ

473. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 08:10:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105949435.501202.69120@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101990

Len Over 21 wrote:

> If you wish to buy into mythos of morsemanship, fine. But, trying
> to convince everyone in the new millennium that this is really the
> 1920s and 1930s in radio sounds remarkably stupid. "Dumbed-
> down" to reality, in fact.

You keep rehashing this "1920's to 1930's" crap, Lennie, but ahve yet to provide even one iota of documentation as to anyone saying any such thing.

> Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society is what you are in. Enjoy.

An altered state of reality is what YOU are in Lennie. Seek TRUE profesional help, not a correspondence course trained wannabe like Mrs Lennie.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

474. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 12:30:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050117073037.12239.00000049@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 101991

In article <csfjqu$820$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>N2EY <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote:
>>Japan is a very interesting case study in the no-code-test argument. Japan
>has
>>had no-code-test ham licenses *with some HF privileges* for several decades
>>now. This fact is cited as the reason why there were so many hams in Japan
>>relative to the size of the country. Even though Japan has less than half
>the
>>US population, the number of JA hams (station licenses, not just operator
>>licenses) exceeded the number of US hams more than 30 years ago. Since more
>>than 90% of JA hams have no-code-test licenses, no-code-test proponents used
>to
>>hold up Japan as an example of what the US should do. (Japan used a rather
>>torturous argument to get around the treaty - their no-code-test ham
>licenses
>>are QRP on HF, and Japan is an island, so the claim is that there's no
>>interference problem.
>
>That's called the 4th class license, limited to 10w output, for operation
>between 21-30 MHz, and below 8 MHz.

Which means they don't get 30, 20 or 17 meters, but *do* get all the other bands that are worldwide exclusive amateur.

>
>Their 1st class license still requires 3 minutes of copy at 12 wpm,
>their 2nd class license requires 2 minutes at 9 wpm, and their 3rd
>class requires 2 minutes at 5 wpm. See the JARL web site.

Agreed - and they haven't dropped those requirements even though the treaty changed a year and a half ago.

73 de Jim, N2EY

475. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 13:14:16 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <ISOGd.2742$2e7.1734@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 101992

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20050116193302.11507.00000024@mb-m10.aol.com...
> In article <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> In article <20041230011207.06525.00000333@mb-m24.aol.com>,
> >lenover21@aol.com
> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >>>In article <20041229070405.16303.00000504@mb-m02.aol.com>,
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >>>(N2EY) writes:
>
> >>>>This all relates to amateur radio in a very basic way:
>
> >>> Unfortunately, it does NOT.
>
> >> Yes it does! ;-)
>
> >>> All it points out is that you are using
> >>> this newsgroup as a general chat room to talk about ANY subject
> >>> instead of focussing on amateur radio policy.
>
> >> I think you don't like the fact that it does relate.
>
> >In the end, Who cares?
>
> Len obviously cares a lot.
>
> >It is our newsgroup, and if you and I want to
> >talk about politics or an obscure Kert Vonnegut story, or if Bria wants
> >to talk about the boy scouts, or if Len wants to talk about sphinctors,
> >then "it's all good, man".
>
> I don't know if "it's all good", but that doesn't really matter. This is
an
> unmoderated newsgroup, and while Len may want to be the moderator, he just
> isn't.
>
> >I like the little side trips. It allows us to
> >get to know each other better.
>

I couldn't follow who posted what above, but I think whoever said "It allows us to get to know each other better" may need just a little bit of a reality check. This is an "online" venue, and I don't believe that this allows for getting to "know" anyone. OK, maybe slightly...and then only in a few cases.

For instance, the internet, chat rooms, and newsgroups are the greatest playground for playing Devil's Advocate or even downright antagonism, or inciting "a riot" so to speak! I know I can get certain people going in a heart beat of a stroke of a few keys of my keyboard, and they'll look pretty darned idiotic to most who may have been taking them seriously...

In a short, don't ever think that this (the internet) is the medium of humanism, or personalization, or "knowing" others. It just ain't so...

Kim W5TIT

476. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 13:30:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050117083049.11141.00000060@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 101993

In article <20050116233908.07099.00000018@mb-m29.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <GdCdnTRElb3Ru3bcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo ><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>>> We're supposed to do as Len says, not as Len does.
>
> Y'all are?

That's the way you behave here, Len. You don't walk your talk.

> Well, heck, why not...you demand Obediance to the
> old standards and practices in a radio hobby...and have for years
> without going along with any change.

I've "gone along" with many changes. That doesn't mean I must go along with changes I think are a bad idea.

>>> Unless we support the
>>> elimination of code testing, in which case we can do almost anything and
>>> it's OK with Len.

>> He probably wouldn't find it very interesting around here then!

> On the contrary...:-)

> If the code test were eliminated, I wouldn't bother to be here. :-)

I think you would be. You'd be pushing for the introduction of an age requirement, elimination of license classes, or some other bad idea.

It's what you do.

>>Code test good or code test bad, elimination of it will probably not
>>bring anything to the ARS.

> Tsk. The only thing the code test requirement did was to form
> the ARS as the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society. :-)

How?

> Plus a lot of puerile nyah-nyahs from those who could do morse
> at high rate having playground glee at talking down to those who
> couldn't. :-)

>>If I were to hazard a deduction, I would have to say that from
>>everything I have seen, he is more interested in the destruction of
>>Amateur radio than anything else. I had concluded as much before, but

>>the diatribe of a few days ago was especially telling, in the ARS
>>license numbers thread, where he starts out with
>
> Oh, my, aren't you the most Self-Righteous One! :-)
>
> How does the elimination of the morse code test for a U.S. amateur
> radio license, any class, suddenly "Destroy the ARS?"

Simple, Len. It would lower the standards still more.

> Tsk. You should be reporting me to the Secretary of Homeland
> Security or the Attorney General for all this "destruction!"
>
> Yup, lots of Morsemen would be faced with "destruction" of the
> ARS AS THEY KNOW IT if the code test were eliminated.
> Woe! Great weeping and gnashing of gums on that.

Seems like you're saying we shouldn't try to preserve something we
think is good.

You've told us you were a cber way back in the 1950s, Len. You said it
was a lot of fun. Are you still a cber? Or did you lose interest in that radio
service? If so, why?

Do you think the Amateur Radio Service should become like cb?

>>> Don't forget telling W4NTI he fills the target...

>> That is one I would like to forget.

> Not to worry. You simply can't remember that a PCTA extra
> said the same to me, years ago, and relatively recently.

Who wrote that to you, Len? Refresh our memories. Point us to the posting where
someone wrote that to you.

Was it W4NTI who wrote it to you, or someone else?

>>> Almost all radio services have gone in the direction of "no radio operator
>>> needed", for the obvious reasons. Radio to them is a tool, not an end in
>>> itself. If the maritime folks could replace "Sparks" with an automatic
>>> system, they'd do it just to save Sparks' salary and benefits.
>
> Tsk. The "autoalarm" was already in-place on many ships prior to
> 1941...including the North Atlantic fabled in much earlier tales of
> morsemanship.

Of course. But all the Auto-Alarm did was get Sparks' attention. Handling the
emergency still required skilled radio operators on ship and shore.

> How many NON-essential crewmen are there on ocean-going
> vessels, now or in the past four decades? Hint: Not many.

That means radio operators were essential for most of the past four decades.

>>> It's a very basic concept, this business of the skilled radio operator.
>>> Most if
>>> not all of the other radio services have eliminated them, or are trying to
>>> do so. Yet it's precisely what we hams aspire to be!

>>> And it's precisely what Len either doesn't understand, or understands and
>>> wants to destroy.

>>There isn't much I can add to that, Jim. Well said.
>
> Putting aside your own personal hatred of a newsgroup opponent,

Who would that be, Len?

And why would that person be hated?

Here's a clue: Mike doesn't hate you. I don't hate you. We simply disagree with you.

> you COULD have looked at the past history of the larger world of
> radio communications

We just did. Eliminating the need for skilled operators has been a prime goal in radio design since Fessenden's time. Because those operators cost their employers money.

> and - if at all possible (but unlikely in here) -
> dispassionately agreed with the larger world of radio.

What does that mean? Should we eliminate the operators from the ARS?

> "Skilled radio operator" does NOT mean what it did in the 1920s
> and 1930s when morsemanship was needed.
> This is 80 to 70 years later, remember?

Do you mean that Morse Code skill stopped being needed about 1940? History proves that's simply not true, Len.

> Tsk. The elimination of the morse "skill" was already starting
> in the 1940s.

Starting in other radio services, yes. But Morse Code skill was still needed outside of amateur radio for many decades after 1940.

> Those who were self-righteous about THEIR mighty
> morsemanship had blinders on and couldn't see it.

Who were they, Len?

> All those
> "sparks" and their mighty macho morsemanship "skills" were
> being displaced/downsized/nonessential on ocean-going vessels
> by the 1960s.

That's a long time after the 1920s and 1930s, Len. And Morse Code was still in use on HF and MF in maritime services long after that time.

> [today's maritime radio services use voice by VHF
> of HF SSB and Data on HF...both of which require NO morsemanship
> whatsoever]

That's true. Because the shipping companies wanted to be rid of the need for radio operators.

> If you wish to buy into mythos of morsemanship, fine.

Gee thanks, Len.

> But, trying
> to convince everyone in the new millennium that this is really the
> 1920s and 1930s in radio sounds remarkably stupid. "Dumbed-
> down" to reality, in fact.

It's clear you either don't understand what the amateur radio service is all about, Len. Or maybe you just want to destroy it.

You're like the person who wants roads paved through all parks and wilderness areas, so that people with RVs can go camping in places that today are only accessible to backpackers who walk in.

Or the person who thinks highly processed food is better.

I remember a time when all "labor saving devices" and "new technologies" were viewed as "good" and "progress", and supposedly had no downsides. That was a very popular view in the '50s and '60s.

The truth was often exactly the opposite.

For example, after decades of preaching the "scientific advantages" of bottle-feeding babies, the experts reversed themselves and said that the good old fashioned method was better - for both mom and baby.

After decades of "labor saving devices" and fast food, more and more Americans are overweight - even though the charts have been adjusted upwards. Now the experts tell us we need *lots* of aerobic exercise to be healthy.

The nocode license was supposed to cause a revolution in amateur radio technology - but it didn't.

Etc.

> Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society is what you are in. Enjoy.

Wednesday is January 19, Len. Five years!

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Posted on 16 Jan 05

Gee - you still can't get AOL to work right? I'd think an old-tyme computer expert like you would have it all figured out by now.

477. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 14:03:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105970587.844662.118590@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101994

Len Over 21 wrote:

> IT'S THE LAW! IT'S THE LAW!

You DO seem to have a problem with the concept of "obeying the
law".

I am sure you ahd a LOT of problems throughout your life following
rules...It's robably why you were never anything more than an "also
ran" in the profession of "radio engineering", and absolutely a NOTHING
in Amateur Radio.

> I love those reasoned and thinking responses on subjects...too
> bad there aren't any.

You didn't complete the sentence.

The PROPER response was "...too bad there aren't any from
Lenover21"

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

478. Re: HOW I BECAME WEALTHY WITH PAYPAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 15:06:36 UTC
From: DodgeCroft <omiles@xcapewithus.com>
Message-ID: <1105974396.258645.269710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101995

Summer Holidays

Some great destinations are available though out Europe and the Mediterranean. Summer is just around the corner and winter is waning. Come visit www.xcapewithus.com to book hotels in all of our supported regions. We offer additional discounts on group bookings and featured areas. See our homepage to view top offers on Prague, Mallorca, Barcelona, Madrid, Salou, Tenerife, Cyprus and more. We offer confirmations on bookings within 24 hours pending availability.

These are our Special offer Pages for you convenience:

http://www.barcelona.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Barcelona, Spain
http://www.madrid.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Madrid, Spain
http://www.salou.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Salou, Spain
http://www.tenerife.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Tenerife, Spain
http://www.cyprus.xcapewithus.com Hotels in South Cyprus
http://www.florence.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Florence, Italy
http://www.milan.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Milan, Italy
http://www.venice.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Venice, Italy
http://www.prague.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Prague, Czech Republic
http://www.rome.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Rome, Italy
http://www.algarve.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Algarve, Portugal
http://www.benidorm.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Benidorm, Spain
http://www.bruges.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Bruges, Belgium
http://www.brussels.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Brussels, Belgium
http://www.costa-del-sol.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Costa del Sol, Spain
http://www.majorca.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Majorca - Mallorca, Spain
http://www.mallorca.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Mallorca -Majorca, Spain
http://www.paris.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Paris, France
http://www.ibiza.xcapewithus.com Hotels in Ibiza, Spain

479. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 15:38:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1105976322.289293.79980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 101996

bb wrote:
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> > >But you are a little too smug for most people's tastes. People
get
> the
> > >impression that you think you're someone special.
> >
> > He is.
>
> Thinks he is.

No, >>he<< doesn't.

But a lot of people worldwide who have benefitted from his
knowledge and skills do. ie: me.

73

Steve, K4YZ

480. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 15:51:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050117105145.11141.00000063@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 101997

In article <ISOGd.2742$2e7.1734@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>I couldn't follow who posted what above, but I think whoever said "It allows
>us to get to know each other better"

That was Mike, KB3EIA

>may need just a little bit of a reality
>check. This is an "online" venue, and I don't believe that this allows for
>getting to "know" anyone. OK, maybe slightly...and then only in a few
>cases.
>
>For instance, the internet, chat rooms, and newsgroups are the greatest
>playground for playing Devil's Advocate or even downright antagonism, or
>inciting "a riot" so to speak! I know I can get certain people going in a
>heart beat of a stroke of a few keys of my keyboard, and they'll look pretty
>darned idiotic to most who may have been taking them seriously...
>
>In a short, don't ever think that this (the internet) is the medium of
>humanism, or personalization, or "knowing" others. It just ain't so...
>
>Kim W5TIT

I agree in some ways, Kim, and disagree in others. And it's not a new
phenomenon - it goes back at least to the days of the landline telegraph
operators.

I've met more than a few folks "in person" after first encountering them
online, or on the air. In some cases, the personality you meet on line or
on air is very much the same as the one you meet in person. In others,
the personalities are very different. There's really no 100% sure way to
know ahead of time. The person who is a real %^&# online may be
very nice in person, and vice versa.

But I have found that it's usually the case that the online or onair
personality
isn't *that* much different. There are exceptions, of course, but if a person
acts like a complete @#$% online, there's a very good chance you won't
like their "in person" personality either.

Just IMHO

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

481. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 15:51:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050117105145.11141.00000062@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 101998

In article <v-2dncx7TO0st3bcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:

>> In article <uvadnS8K_PiydnTcRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:

>>>>>N2EY wrote:

>>>>>>In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>>>>lenover21@aol.com

>>>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>>>>In article <3MVBd.5277$F67.3241@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>>>>>>><mail@nomail.com> writes:

>>>>>>>>Uh huh. I did ask. And, you came back with this argument
>>>>>>>>initially
>>>>>>>>that--paraphrasing--were you not entitled to your opinion. You
>>>>>>>>are entitled.

>>>>>>>Incorrect. Brian Burke

>>>>>>N0IMD

>>>>>>>was specifically talking about those in
>>>>>>>here who belong to the "PCTA extra" persuasion and who
>>>>>>>continually try to put those who are against the morse code test.

>>>>>>"continually try to put those who are against the morse code test"?
>>>>>>Put them where?

>> Ever notice how Len avoids direct questions?

N0IMD does too. But he demands that others answer his questions.

Doesn't work that way.

>>>>>>>Those "PCTA extras"

>>>>>>Who are they, Len? Specifically? Use callsigns because names aren't
>>>>>>necessarily unique.

>>>>>Much easier to not put a name or face on the evil "PCTA Extras".
>>>>>Makes it a lot easier to demonize them.

>>>>Very true, Mike.

>>>>But I think Len just doesn't like to use our callsigns.

>>>Because I'm a PCTA Extra,(KB3EIA) and so are you,(N2EY) and so is
>>>Dave,(K8MN) and Steve,(K4YZ) and Dan (W4NTI).

>> And Brian, W3RV. Plus many others, some departed.
>
> Oh yes, and each addition makes it harder to group us as one "type".

(moment of silence for N0BK and W0EX)

Let us also recall that Cecil, W5DXP/W6RCA, was an ardent nocodetest Advanced
here for years. Then, after the 2000 restructuring, he got his
Extra, stopped posting here - and IIRC, renounced his NCI membership
and stated that keeping Element 1 was the right thing to do.

>>>Now if THAT isn't a diverse group, I don't know what is. TRying to put
>>>us all in one "Olde tyme Hammes" group is doomed to failure.

>> Nonetheless, Len tries to.

>>>>>Fact is, of the Extras in here who are
>>>>>PCTA, there are a lot of different personalities, from abrasive to
>>>>>mild mannered.
>
>>>>Same goes for NCTAs.

>>>>For example, I've had many detailed discussions with K2UNK, yet there's
>>>>never a harsh word, even though we're poles apart on most amateur radio
>>>>policy issues. Heck, K2UNK is a *director* of NCI!

>>>Yes, same here, although I believe I got him pretty irratated a few
>>>times. THat's okay, because I'm an irratating person some times! 8^)

>> Yet even when irritated, I cannot recall K2UNK ever calling people names or
>> turning a difference of opinion into a personal attack. The closest thing
>> to it
>> was when we were discussing highway speed limits and Bill referred to
>> "safety
>> Nazis" as one source of the 55 mph rule. And IIRC he apologized for that,
>> later.

OTOH, all you have to do is disagree with Len and he'll go berserk...;-)

>>>>> One cannot stereotype a spectrum easily.

>>>>That's why Len denies there is a spectrum. All are blamed for what
>>>>someone supposedly did decades ago.

>>> Perhaps there was some sort of prenatal terror induced by a Ham?

>> Could be. Len appears to have been bested in a debate by KH2D, and so now
>> takes
>> out his vengenance on all who resemble KH2D in any way that's significant
>> to Len.

>>>>>>>persist in claiming turf on who should
>>>>>>>direct EVERYTHING about U.S. amateur radio - including all
>>>>>>>federal regulations thereof - just because of tenure as an
>>>>>>>amateur or because they feel they "own" the hobby and are
>>>>>>>somehow "entititled" to be of the noble calling of amateurism.

>>>>>>Where, specifically, has this been claimed? By whom?

>>>>>>Perhaps you and N0IMD have very thin skins...;-)

>>>>>>>PCTA extras imply they are "superior" about everything and
>>>>>>>are busy trying to shove out the NCTAs from this newsgroup.

>>>>>>Really? You're the only person here I've seen tell another to "shut
>>>>>>the hell up".

>>>>>Let us not forget the recent offers for one poster to engage in
>>>>>fornication with himself,

>>>>That was me. And it wasn't an offer, it was a command. ;-)

>>>Isn't it okay to refuse an immoral command?? hehe ;^)

>> How would I know? I'm supposedly a "nonserver", remember?

>>>>>and for another to stick something up his "I/O
>>>>>port". All in response to civil posts.

>>>>For Len, "civil" equals "agrees with Len". All else is uncivil.

>> Let us not forget the post where Len made fun of a Coast Guard
>> radio operator (the classic "sphincter post").

>> Also, there's the classic where Len talksed about W4NTI "filling the sight
>> target" and how it would only take "ounces of pressure"...

>>>My lack of civility must be distressing indeed.

>> ?? You're arguably the most civil poster here, Mike.

>And occasionally, that can be incredibly irritating to some people! ;^)

I have seen that in action.

>>>>>>You imply your own superiority in all things here ;-) ;-)

>>>>>>>>You are entitled to believe that the ground a military person
>>>>>>>>walks on is sacred and that no one else is "entitled" to the same
>>>>>>>>benefits
>>>>>>>>from the United States as a person who has served in the military.

>>>>>>You're also entitled to believe that the moon is made of cheese. Or
>>>>>>that the earth is flat.

>>>>>>Or that your lifelong avoidance of Morse Code has some relevance to
>>>>>>amateur radio policy.

>>>>>>>You are off on your own fantasy there.

>>>>>>Naw, Len, she hit the nail really square.

>> Kim and I disagree on many things. But every so often she really nails
>> one point or another. Like the one above.

>Of course she is correct in this case. The "service" argument is too
>often thrown out as a way to stifle discussion. No one wants to look
>like they don't support our servicemen and women, so more often than
>not, the person just shuts up rather than risk the "unpatriotic" moniker
>about to be thrust on them.

Indeed. As if supporting our troops means the military and the administration
can do no wrong.

>>>>>>>Brian Burke served in the
>>>>>>>USAF, overseas. I served in the US Army, also overseas, and
>>>>>>>specifically in radio communications. It is safe to say that we
>>>>>>>are both proud of serving our country.

>>>>>>As you should be. Others feel the same way about *their* military
>>>>>>service, too.

Like in the USCG

>>>>>>But is the military the only way a citizen can serve our country?

>>>>>>Or are there other ways? Does it have to be "overseas"?

>>>>> There are other, direct ways of doing this.

>>>>What about indirect ways?

>>>Sure, but I'm surprised that these good folk can't figure out other
>>>direct ways that are not specifically serving in the military.

>> To do so would be to admit that someone like me is right about something.

> Ahh, but you are.

73 de Jim, N2EY

482. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 16:57:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41EBEE6C.7A6DFD91@earthlink.net>
Source record: 101999

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > > You
> > > > don't have to play like I'm someone special.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > You're not.
> >
> > Yes, I am Dave K8MN
>
> Your smugness is noted. But you're not special.

There are those who would disagree with you, "bb". My mother, my wife, my son, my daughter, my friends, those for whom I've done small favors, the IRS, the DXers for whom I have provided a new country, new band country or new mode country and a number of others who think I'm special. If I'm not special to you, that's okay.

> > > But you are a little too smug for most people's tastes.
> >
> > Do you mean that you find me too smug for your tastes or have you
> taken
> > a poll? If you speak only for yourself and you believe me to be too
> > smug for your tastes, I can live with that.
>
> Best of Luck.

You didn't have an answer?

> > > People get the
> > > impression that you think you're someone special.
> >
> > People? Name them.

> People on RRAP that think you're full of yourself.

Name them. I can help you start your list:

There's you. There's Len. There's Kim. There's the local guy who forgets to take his lithium and who has issues. You take it from there.

Dave K8MN

483. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 17:24:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41EBF4C2.751D778D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102050

Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> Content Control
> I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at 9.00 pm
> CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the amateur
> radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying;

You've provided no evidence that amateur radio is dying.

> The reason it's dying
> isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the reason it's dying
> is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's are under the
> belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur station.

No one from the FCC has stopped by to tell me that the content of my
amateur radio station is under investigation. Since I've come back to
the U.S., the content of my amateur radio station has been steadily
increasing. Even my XYL has given up on trying to control the content.

> As a
> matter of fact Mr. Hollingsworth of the FCC wanted me to let him know when I
> was going on the air so he QSL me. The QSL he's talking about is in the form
> of a warning letter, a C&D, NOV, or a NOF. Section 326 of the Communication
> Act of 1934 is a statue that prohibits the FCC from controlling the content
> of ANY radio stations. It also prohibits the FCC from creating rules and
> regulations which would suppress free speech on the radio.

There are any number of things which can "control" your right to free
speech. There are regulatory things, like the fact that amateur radio
isn't a broadcast service and like the fact the Commission has obscenity
regs. You can't say things which will incite panic. Your civil right
to free speech becomes abridged where it infringes upon the rights of
another. You seemed to have missed those facts.

> The FCC of course
> under other rules and regulations can regulate obscene and indecent
> material. Now there are many out there including the ARRL who believe that
> this rule doesn't apply to amateur radio.

Let me get this straight--You think that the ARRL believes the FCC has
no power to regulate obscene and indecent speech? You surely can't
believe that.

> It of course is not true, this
> statue along with all the other sections of the Communication Act apply in
> one shape or another to amateur radio and the FCC.

Now you're saying that the FCC has oversight for regulating obscenity
and indency propagated BY the FCC? Where does the "statue" come into
play?

(snip)

> For Amateur radio
> to grow, Amateur's are going to have to adapt to new idea's.

Those apostrophes are tricky, huh?

> The problem is
> the ARRL, their members and the FCC which tries to suppress or control the
> content.

So the ARRL and their running dog members are in collusion with the FCC
to control content?

> So I'm broadcasting in an amateur band at night when it's not in
> use. Big fricking deal at lease I using it.

I "using" it too. Truck drivers are sometimes using it too. Did you
realize that broadcasting is prohibited by radio amateurs whether it is
during the day or during the night. Did you realize that the 10m band
during solar maxima can be open all night to various parts of the
world? Did you know that there are propagation modes in which 10m can
open during the night over a distance even during periods of solar
minima?

> I mean so what if broadcasting
> information to the amateur radio community which may be a small value to the
> Amateurs.

If broadcasting by radio amateurs is illegal and of small value, why do
it?

> So what if I'm on there for a hour and half voice my opinion about
> problems and issues in amateur radio.

The "so what" has already been addressed. Are you trying to get your
license yanked?

> At lease I have a opinion and I'm not
> some drone of the ARRL who believes everything the ARRL says.

I'm a life member of the ARRL and I don't believe in everything done by
the ARRL. Then again, I don't broadcast my views on the ham bands. Do
you think the ARRL will do anything differently based upon your illegal
broadcasts?

> That's the
> reason I'm broadcasting to voice my opinion's about issues in amateur radio,
> to let people hear what I'm thinking instead of that idle dribble we call
> ham radio.

That's the spirit, Todd. Give Mr. Hollingsworth all the ammunition he needs for yanking that license. Amateur radio needs more guys like you. No more "idle dribble"!

> I'm a strong believer in free speech and I believe there are
> problems in amateur radio and feel my voice needs to be heard.

So why are you broadcasting on a dead band?

Dave K8MN
"If Todd broadcasts on a dead band, is there a sound?"

484. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 17:31:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050117123100.06417.00000015@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 102051

In article <20050117000210.25923.00000014@mb-m26.aol.com>, lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21) writes:

>>> Ever notice how Len avoids direct questions?
>>
>>Ever notice how Miccolis does?
>>
>>I do every day.

Yes, Brian and Len do avoid direct questions - every day.
>
> Careful, Brian...remember that J.P. "serves his country" (by being
> a super-special amateur morseman).

Well, if you think so. I don't recall ever claiming that.

I do recall asking whether the only way someone could serve our country was by joining the uniformed military services. So far, Len and Brian have avoided that direct question.

> If you say nasty to him or Coslo, you will be accused of TRYING
> TO DESTROY HAM RADIO!!!! :-)

So you admit to "saying nasty". Tsk, tsk.

> Heh. All their expertise and "radio operator skills" go in the toilet
> when trying to defend the need for morse code testing.

"Go in the toilet"? How so?

We pose valid arguments but you avoid them.

> They
> resort to defamation/denigration/disassembly of those wanting to
> eliminate the morse code test, all on the person but not the
> subject.

"defamation/denigration/disassembly"? How so?

Do we call you names? Tell you to shut up? Call you "anal-retentive" or "Republican"?

Or is that *your* game?

485. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 18:05:09 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <csguol$35i$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 102052

In article <20050116165246.05425.00000065@mb-m01.aol.com>,
Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>writes:
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> Posted 16 Jan 05

Answering my same article twice? You replied to it on the 11th with
a different reply. How odd. You're like one of those homeless folks
mumbling to yourself.

I'm still amused about you claiming to have replied to my January
13th post on the 11th, though. Pretending to be a clairvoyant?

So what about my offer made on the 13th? I'll set up a free
online calculus course just for you and we'll post your homework
and exam scores on this NG so everyone can be impressed with your
work. So far, though, you're getting an F.

You never answered my question from last week: How many ex-wives
do you have?

Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

486. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 18:49:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41EC08C8.9060800@psu.edu>
Source record: 102053

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <GdCdnTRElb3Ru3bcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>>We're supposed to do as Len says, not as Len does.
>>
>
> Y'all are? Well, heck, why not...you demand Obediance to the
> old standards and practices in a radio hobby...and have for years
> without going along with any change.

Wrong attributes, Len.

>
>>>Unless we support the
>>>elimination of code testing, in which case we can do almost anything and
>>
> it's
>
>>>OK with Len.
>>
>> He probably wouldn't find it very interesting around here then!
>
>
> On the contrary...:-)
>
> If the code test were eliminated, I wouldn't bother to be here. :-)
>
>
>
>
>>Code test good or code test bad, elimination of it will probably not
>>bring anything to the ARS.
>
>
> Tsk. The only thing the code test requirement did was to form
> the ARS as the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society. :-)
>
> Plus a lot of puerile nyah-nyahs from those who could do morse
> at high rate having playground glee at talking down to those who
> couldn't. :-)

I haven't experienced that. If they are "talking down to you, perhaps
there is another reason?

>
>> If I were to hazard a deduction, I would have to say that from
>>everything I have seen, he is more interested in the destruction of
>>Amateur radio than anything else. I had concluded as much before, but
>>the diatribe of a few days ago was especially telling, in the ARS
>>license numbers thread, where he starts out with
>
>
> Oh, my, aren't you the most Self-Righteous One! :-)

Deduction does not make a person self-righteous. and last time I
checked, there was no law against desiring the destruction of the ARS.

> How does the elimination of the morse code test for a U.S. amateur
> radio license, any class, suddenly "Destroy the ARS?"

It does not suddenly destroy the ARS. What it does is probably acquire
another group of people who are similar to the people that were enticed
by the no-code Technician test, who will simply drop out. One needs a
good interest level to learn Morse code. These people are likely to
stick with the program. So as attrition takes out the Olde Tymers, and
the new group simply loses interest and goes on to video games or
whatever, the ARS goes away eventually with a wimper.

Will this happen? I dunno, but there is some plausibility to it.

> Tsk. You should be reporting me to the Secretary of Homeland
> Security or the Attorney General for all this "destruction!"

Wanna engage in civil discussion of the Morse code issue, or do you
want to go off on wild tangents with statements like that?

> Yup, lots of Morsemen would be faced with "destruction" of the
> ARS AS THEY KNOW IT if the code test were eliminated.
> Woe! Great weeping and gnashing of gums on that.

And not a problem at all for you.

>
>
>>>Don't forget telling W4NTI he fills the target...
>>
>> That is one I would like to forget.
>
>
> Not to worry. You simply can't remember that a PCTA extra
> said the same to me, years ago, and relatively recently.

So a second incident excuses the first?

>
>
>>>Almost all radio services have gone in the direction of "no radio operator
>>>needed", for the obvious reasons. Radio to them is a tool, not an end in
>>>itself. If the maritime folks could replace "Sparks" with an automatic

>>
> system,
>
>>>they'd do it just to save Sparks' salary and benefits.
>>
>
> Tsk. The "autoalarm" was already in-place on many ships prior to
> 1941...including the North Atlantic fabled in much earlier tales of
> morsemanship.
>
> How many NON-essential crewmen are there on ocean-going
> vessels, now or in the past four decades? Hint: Not many.
>
>
>
>>>It's a very basic concept, this business of the skilled radio operator.
>>
> Most if
>
>>>not all of the other radio services have eliminated them, or are trying to
>>
> do
>
>>>so. Yet it's precisely what we hams aspire to be!
>>>
>>>And it's precisely what Len either doesn't understand, or understands and
>>
> wants
>
>>>to destroy.
>>
>> There isn't much I can add to that, Jim. Well said.
>
>
> Putting aside your own personal hatred of a newsgroup opponent,
> you COULD have looked at the past history of the larger world of
> radio communications and - if at all possible (but unlikely in here) -
> dispassionately agreed with the larger world of radio.

I there are perhaps 3 people in this world that I dislike enough that a person might term it hatred. You are most definitely NOT one of them. I am ready to have civil debate. Are you?

> "Skilled radio operator" does NOT mean what it did in the 1920s
> and 1930s when morsemanship was needed. This is 80 to 70
> years later, remember?

Nope, I'm a new ham. I don't remember much on the subject more than 5 years ago.

> Tsk. The elimination of the morse "skill" was already starting
> in the 1940s. Those who were self-righteous about THEIR mighty
> morsemanship had blinders on and couldn't see it. All those
> "sparks" and their mighty macho morsemanship "skills" were
> being displaced/downsized/nonessential on ocean-going vessels
> by the 1960s. [today's maritime radio services use voice by VHF
> of HF SSB and Data on HF...both of which require NO morsemanship
> whatsoever]

> If you wish to buy into mythos of morsemanship, fine. But, trying
> to convince everyone in the new millennium that this is really the
> 1920s and 1930s in radio sounds remarkably stupid. "Dumbed-
> down" to reality, in fact.

Same old argument. It is a valid mode, despite its age. So much of what we are using is pretty old technology. SSB is old. FM is old. Even digital modes are hardly new stuff

> Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society is what you are in. Enjoy.

I hope to enjoy it as much as you do your interest in Ham radio.

487. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 19:33:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41EC12F0.1060809@psu.edu>
Source record: 102054

Kim wrote:
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20050116193302.11507.00000024@mb-m10.aol.com...
>
>>In article <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <20041230011207.06525.00000333@mb-m24.aol.com>,
>>>
>>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>
>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>>>>In article <20041229070405.16303.00000504@mb-m02.aol.com>,
>>>>
>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>>
>>>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>>
>>>>>>This all relates to amateur radio in a very basic way:
>>>>>
>>>>> Unfortunately, it does NOT.
>>>>
>>>>Yes it does! ;-)
>>>
>>>>> All it points out is that you are using
>>>>> this newsgroup as a general chat room to talk about ANY subject
>>>>> instead of focussing on amateur radio policy.
>>>>
>>>>I think you don't like the fact that it does relate.
>>>
>>>In the end, Who cares?
>>
>>Len obviously cares a lot.
>>
>>
>>>It is our newsgroup, and if you and I want to
>>>talk about politics or an obscure Kert Vonnegut story, or if Bria wants
>>>to talk about the boy scouts, or if Len wants to talk about sphinctors,
>>>then "it's all good, man".
>>

>>I don't know if "it's all good", but that doesn't really matter. This is
>
> an
>
>>unmoderated newsgroup, and while Len may want to be the moderator, he just
>>isn't.
>>
>>
>>>I like the little side trips. It allows us to
>>>get to know each other better.
>>
>
> I couldn't follow who posted what above, but I think whoever said "It allows
> us to get to know each other better" may need just a little bit of a reality
> check. This is an "online" venue, and I don't believe that this allows for
> getting to "know" anyone. OK, maybe slightly...and then only in a few
> cases.

No reality check needed, Kim. If a person is honest and straightforward, then it comes across. If a person uses various "personas" fro their posting, then that also comes across. If a person needs to disguise themselves, if they feel comfortable tossing brickbats at another, disregarding that there is a human on the other side, and that Usenet is not a sort of insulting text game that they play with their computers, then that also tells a lot about the person.

It is like people claiming that alcohol causes them to do evil and antisocial things. Sorry, but they were already evil and antisocial. The alcohol simply allowed them to shift the blame.

> For instance, the internet, chat rooms, and newsgroups are the greatest
> playground for playing Devil's Advocate or even downright antagonism, or
> inciting "a riot" so to speak!

Because the person is like that. I know a lot about people that incite major antagonism in newsgroups, even if they are civil in person.

> I know I can get certain people going in a
> heart beat of a stroke of a few keys of my keyboard, and they'll look pretty
> darned idiotic to most who may have been taking them seriously...
>
> In a short, don't ever think that this (the internet) is the medium of
> humanism, or personalization, or "knowing" others. It just ain't so...

I don't use it to determine anothers personality, but I can determine true personality from it.

YMMV.

- Mike KB3EIA -

488. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 19:54:27 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050117145427.19590.00000035@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 102056

In article <ISOGd.2742$2e7.1734@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
<mail@nomail.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20050116193302.11507.00000024@mb-m10.aol.com...
>> In article <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>> >N2EY wrote:
>> >> In article <20041230011207.06525.00000333@mb-m24.aol.com>,
>> >lenover21@aol.com
>> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> >>>In article <20041229070405.16303.00000504@mb-m02.aol.com>,
>> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> >>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>> >>>>This all relates to amateur radio in a very basic way:
>>
>> >>> Unfortunately, it does NOT.
>>
>> >> Yes it does! ;-)
>>
>> >>> All it points out is that you are using
>> >>> this newsgroup as a general chat room to talk about ANY subject
>> >>> instead of focussing on amateur radio policy.
>>
>> >> I think you don't like the fact that it does relate.
>>
>> >In the end, Who cares?
>>
>> Len obviously cares a lot.
>>
>> >It is our newsgroup, and if you and I want to
>> >talk about politics or an obscure Kert Vonnegut story, or if Bria wants
>> >to talk about the boy scouts, or if Len wants to talk about sphinctors,
>> >then "it's all good, man".
>>
>> I don't know if "it's all good", but that doesn't really matter. This is
>an
>> unmoderated newsgroup, and while Len may want to be the moderator, he just
>> isn't.
>>
>> >I like the little side trips. It allows us to

>> >get to know each other better.
>>
>
>I couldn't follow who posted what above, but I think whoever said "It allows
>us to get to know each other better" may need just a little bit of a reality
>check. This is an "online" venue, and I don't believe that this allows for
>getting to "know" anyone. OK, maybe slightly...and then only in a few
>cases.

Astute observation, Kim! :-)

The "getting to know each other better" phrase is part of the 'standard
boilerplate' of PC phrasing done to make the writer appear like they
know much more than they do, yet tolerate all others in some
curious fashion of the nobility. [i.e., they are "better" because they
tolerate the "inferiors" :-)]

>For instance, the internet, chat rooms, and newsgroups are the greatest
>playground for playing Devil's Advocate or even downright antagonism, or
>inciting "a riot" so to speak! I know I can get certain people going in a
>heart beat of a stroke of a few keys of my keyboard, and they'll look pretty
>darned idiotic to most who may have been taking them seriously...

Heh heh heh. The beauty of computer-modem communications
have been demonstrated time and time again since ARPANET
evolved to allow messaging, thus creating the original USENET
(acronym for 'university network').

Isolated by time and space, anyone can "let their hair down" and
feel free to vent all their frustrations, spleens, and (sometimes)
waste-management organs on others. They can do so in the
apparent "safety" of the time and space isolation provided by the
computer-modem linkage. None of it is real-time communications.

The examples are ripe/rife in this newsgroup when it comes to
highly-polarized issues. :-)

>In a short, don't ever think that this (the internet) is the medium of
>humanism, or personalization, or "knowing" others. It just ain't so...

Those few of us who had been a part of the "social" Bulletin
Board Systems (as I had beginning 20 years ago) learned very
quickly that the computer personna of some individuals was
quite different from the in-person one.

Computer-modem communications is only slightly less devoid of
the very impersonal radiotelegraphy contact that offers none of
the sensory clues of in-person acquaintenceship...no sight, sound,
or anything else about the other person. With the computer-modem
linkage one gets to see the other's ability to put words together (in
varying degreees of coherency), some slight picturing of education
experience.

What everyone can get is much of the slanted propagandizing of various organizations and groups, parroted phrasing repeated by some others as if they were the blessed sayings of the divine. That is carried over to all forms of beliefs from hobbies to politics. Some of the Believers can get Outraged at any negativisms of the Belief System they have bought (or been psychologically purchased) into and seem to want to Fight To The Death about it. Computer-modem communications allows them to express their Compleat Anger quickly...and so the infamous Flame Wars begin.

The unfortunate side of the coin is that the same technology that allows widespread textual communications also allows storage of all the communiques for a long time, reproduction of content possible (in many cases) by anyone with access. Those that dared to speak their mind "in public" should remember that their words - en toto - are still out there and could be retrieved for some "moot court" proceedings by Outraged "barracks lawyers" in a newsgroup. Such is a many-edged sword waiting to be drawn by anyone...it can cut every which way and results only in more useless energy-expenditure by all concerned.

Anyone with some experience, practice, and observation of the various human beans roaming the planet can do "button-pressing" of others. Those who are pressed may escalate their frustrations and anger into kiloton explosive rages. Those can smoolder for years and erupt into conflagrations of righteous fire (with brimstone) at any moment. Examples abound in this newsgroup as well as many others.

The curiosity (to me) is the steadfast Righteousness of some in their Beliefs, specifically in a hobby activity done (supposedly) for individual enjoyment and general recreation. The Believers MUST triumph their Causes or (apparently) die trying to do so. Sort of a Masada falling/failing again and again and again. :-)

Given enough exposure over years of messaging by Believers (and "heretics"), one gets a glimpse of the Believers' states of mind no longer fettered by in-person facades or necessities of social or employment-hierarchy behavior. Unafraid of direct face-to-face confrontation (and possible physical retribution), they can Vent. Perhaps it is a catharsis to vent. Such is difficult to do in-person without danger of direct harm. Messaging by computer lets us look behind the in-person behavioral mask...but only somewhat. Their reaction to comments in opposition to them tells us more. Adding different catalysts lets us see even more reactions. Given time their personal dossier almost writes itself. :-)

So...I'd say we DO have a means to see inside others' thinking (or lack of it) which is not quite possible in-person. On the other hand, we have no body language responses nor tone of voice nor facial expressions present on in-person encounters.

One thing for sure: The common Territorial Imperative will lead many to Claim Turf for themselves and "buddies." They "own" what goes on and what should go on...even if they have no separate authority to do so. They tenaciously hold fast to their Turf (especially the Beliefs therein) since it is "theirs." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 17 Jan 05

489. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 19:54:28 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050117145428.19590.00000036@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 102055

In article <1105937601.226322.270250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> In article <1104805413.143669.30250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
>"bb"
>> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>
>> >"""Don't be coy, "bb". Tell us about how all the cell phones don't stop
>> >functioning."""
>> >
>> >They don't? Ever???
>>
>> Herr Kommandant lives in an on-off world, either key down or key up.
>> He can't seem to negotiate understanding the in-between. :-)
>
>Yet they obsess over being able to decipher the information contained
>in the spaces.

Perhaps they hear things that aren't there?

>> >"""Tell us about amateur radio's role in an emergency."""
>> >
>> >They should do whatever they can with whatever they've got.
>>
>> Correct. That would be logical.
>>
>> However, das Kommandant bin a morseman for four decades
>> and can't understand that the amateurs "will" take over when
>> the government-commercial infrastructure fails in an emergency.
>> Some say that the infrastructure "always" fails. :-)
>
>If they're awake.

:-)

>> >"""I want to hear once again about how all of the infrastructure is
>> >never destroyed."""
>> >
>> >Sometimes it is, sometimes it isn't. Why do you say, "never?"
>>
>> Der Kommandant ich bin pissed, he troll and troll his boot but
>> all his bouyancy tanks are flooded.
>
>He boot get muddy. Muss wear patent leather jackboot.

Well, that too. I was thinking more on the order of "Das Boot"
as in U-Boot (German pronunciation is very close to the English
"boat"). He be trolling under water.

>
>> >"""The story I posted is in direct conflict with the song sung by you
>> >and
>> >your newsgroup mentor."""
>> >
>> >Dave K8MN
>> >
>> >Sorry, David, but I'm not very musical. I did sing "RockyRaccoon" once
>> >after ingesting some bad branch water. I feel much better now.
>>
>> Der Kommandant wants to be Daddy Warbucks and have everyone
>> sing "Tomorrow" from the musical "Annie."
>
>He can want.

No need. He IS. :-)

>> Unfortunately, the sun DID come out, and many years before. But,
>> struggling to work Frenchmen out of band, he was too busy to
>> notice.
>
>"CQ out of band Frenchmen, CQ out of band Frenchmen, CQ out of band
>Frenchmen, this is K8MN, K8MN, K8MN listening on 6 Meters."
>
>> Und zo, kleine newsgroupies, der Kommandant keeps snarling
>> black for white all the time as if he were In Charge. He has NO
>> verification that all amateurs can do as the ARRL states that all
>> amateurs do, hasn't claimed he has done it hisself, and therefore
>> tries to shift gears in his own shiftless way by going to extremes.
>
>Still pissed about not being able to rack up 40,000 qso's while in
>vietnam.

Really? Who was he "racking" with over there?

>> It's the usual old, tired bullshit by the PCTA extras: Attack the
>> messenger when they can't send a reply on the subject.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> Posted on 16 Jan 05
>
>Miccolis is ignoring the messenger.

Nah. J.P. puts on his habit from time to time and tries to strike
knuckles with her ruler. Doesn't hit anything but the desktop but
the slapping sound gets irritating.

J.P. must be very busy "serving his country" in those "other ways."

Salute.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 17 Jan 05

490. Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 21:56:10 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41eb613f$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 101987

Content Control
I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at 9.00 pm CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the amateur radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying; The reason it's dying isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the reason it's dying is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's are under the belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur station. As a matter of fact Mr. Hollingsworth of the FCC wanted me to let him know when I was going on the air so he QSL me. The QSL he's talking about is in the form of a warning letter, a C&D, NOV, or a NOF. Section 326 of the Communication Act of 1934 is a statue that prohibits the FCC from controlling the content of ANY radio stations. It also prohibits the FCC from creating rules and regulations which would suppress free speech on the radio. The FCC of course under other rules and regulations can regulate obscene and indecent material. Now there are many out there including the ARRL who believe that this rule doesn't apply to amateur radio. It of course is not true, this statue along with all the other sections of the Communication Act apply in one shape or another to amateur radio and the FCC. Let me enlighten you on a little history that starts in the 1980's. In the 1980's and 1990's packet radio was a big thing. Let me tell you when I first got into amateur radio I thought packet was neat. I mean I would stay up all night and play on packet till the sun came up and then head off to work. This of course was in 1991-1992 when I had first gotten my license. But, there was one thing I noticed; I had gotten into the local BBS system to read the latest messages and then I went through the network and got into the BBS over in Australia and guess what? It was the same crap. Many people believe think packet radio died from the speed in reality packet died because of the content. The same will happen eventually to amateur radio. I mean who would spend the time and money on getting a ham license when every thing a amateur radio operator can do a person can do on the internet. I mean don't get me wrong talking all over the world on the radio is neat and all; but you can also do that on the internet. I mean would a average person spend the time and money to get a ham license to talk on the radio to give their name, location, power output, antenna height, and weather report to some smock over seas or get on the internet where content control isn't a problem. I guess what I'm getting at is for amateur radio to really grow amateurs must get rid of this idea of content control. Because what's really going to happen is their going to loose to technologies which don't have that restriction. For Amateur radio to grow, Amateur's are going to have to adapt to new idea's. The problem is the ARRL, their members and the FCC which tries to suppress or control the content. So I'm broadcasting in an amateur band at night when it's not in use. Big fricking deal at lease I using it. I mean so what if broadcasting information to the amateur radio community which may be a small value to the Amateurs. So what if I'm on there for a hour and half voice my opinion about

problems and issues in amateur radio. At lease I have a opinion and I'm not some drone of the ARRL who believes everything the ARRL says. That's the reason I'm broadcasting to voice my opinion's about issues in amateur radio, to let people hear what I'm thinking instead of that idle dribble we call ham radio. I'm a strong believer in free speech and I believe there are problems in amateur radio and feel my voice needs to be heard.
Todd N9OGL

491. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-17 23:47:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106005623.807300.241330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102058

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <ISOGd.2742$2e7.1734@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
> <mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >news:20050116193302.11507.00000024@mb-m10.aol.com...
> >> In article <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> >I couldn't follow who posted what above, but I think whoever said
"It allows
> >us to get to know each other better" may need just a little bit of a
reality
> >check. This is an "online" venue, and I don't believe that this
allows for
> >getting to "know" anyone. OK, maybe slightly...and then only in a
few
> >cases.
>
> Astute observation, Kim!

Actaully a very cynical observation.

> The "getting to know each other better" phrase is part of the
'standard
> boilerplate' of PC phrasing done to make the writer appear like
they
> know much more than they do, yet tolerate all others in some
> curious fashion of the nobility. [i.e., they are "better" because
they
> tolerate the "inferiors" :-)]

A lot of adjectives come to mind over this bit of bileish tripe,
but "Scrooge" fits it best.

But coming from a guy who couldn't laid in a cat house if he had a
$100 taped to his forehead, why should I have been surprised to see
something as deceitful as " 'standard boilerplate' of PC phrasing..."

It was nothing of the sort.

> >In a short, don't ever think that this (the internet) is the medium
of
> >humanism, or personalization, or "knowing" others. It just ain't
so...
>

> Those few of us who had been a part of the "social" Bulletin
> Board Systems (as I had beginning 20 years ago) learned very
> quickly that the computer personna of some individuals was
> quite different from the in-person one.

That's only because you populate the wrong forums and happen to BE
one of those perersons who has a NEED to be something other than what
you are.

> Computer-modem communications is only slightly less devoid of
> the very impersonal radiotelegraphy contact that offers none of
> the sensory clues of in-person acquaintenceship...no sight, sound,
> or anything else about the other person. With the computer-modem
> linkage one gets to see the other's ability to put words together
(in
> varying degreees of coherency), some slight picturing of education
> experience.
Rest of assinine post snipped. What a putz. Again.

Steve, K4YZ

492. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 00:27:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zoednV6uE-BwynHcRVn-sw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102059

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <ISOGd.2742$2e7.1734@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
> <mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>>news:20050116193302.11507.00000024@mb-m10.aol.com...
>>
>>>In article <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>In article <20041230011207.06525.00000333@mb-m24.aol.com>,
>>>>
>>>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>>
>>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>>>>>In article <20041229070405.16303.00000504@mb-m02.aol.com>,
>>>>
>>>>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>>>>
>>>>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>
>>>>>>>This all relates to amateur radio in a very basic way:
>>>
>>>>> Unfortunately, it does NOT.
>>>
>>>>>Yes it does! ;-)
>>>
>>>>> All it points out is that you are using
>>>>> this newsgroup as a general chat room to talk about ANY subject
>>>>> instead of focussing on amateur radio policy.
>>>
>>>>>I think you don't like the fact that it does relate.
>>>
>>>>In the end, Who cares?
>>>
>>>Len obviously cares a lot.
>>>
>>>
>>>>It is our newsgroup, and if you and I want to

>>>>talk about politics or an obscure Kert Vonnegut story, or if Bria wants
>>>>to talk about the boy scouts, or if Len wants to talk about sphinctors,
>>>>then "it's all good, man".
>>>
>>>I don't know if "it's all good", but that doesn't really matter. This is
>>
>>an
>>
>>>unmoderated newsgroup, and while Len may want to be the moderator, he just
>>>isn't.
>>>
>>>
>>>>I like the little side trips. It allows us to
>>>>get to know each other better.
>>>
>>I couldn't follow who posted what above, but I think whoever said "It allows
>>us to get to know each other better" may need just a little bit of a reality
>>check. This is an "online" venue, and I don't believe that this allows for
>>getting to "know" anyone. OK, maybe slightly...and then only in a few
>>cases.
>
>
> Astute observation, Kim! :-)
>
> The "getting to know each other better" phrase is part of the 'standard
> boilerplate' of PC phrasing done to make the writer appear like they
> know much more than they do, yet tolerate all others in some
> curious fashion of the nobility. [i.e., they are "better" because they
> tolerate the "inferiors" :-)]

If you wanted to state that I "tolerate" you, I guess you could say
that without me getting riled. But to try to say I am tolerating
"inferiors", well that is just not the case. There isn't anyone in here
that I consider inferior.

>>For instance, the internet, chat rooms, and newsgroups are the greatest
>>playground for playing Devil's Advocate or even downright antagonism, or
>>inciting "a riot" so to speak! I know I can get certain people going in a
>>heart beat of a stroke of a few keys of my keyboard, and they'll look pretty
>>darned idiotic to most who may have been taking them seriously...
>
>
> Heh heh heh. The beauty of computer-modem communications
> have been demonstrated time and time again since ARPANET
> evolved to allow messaging, thus creating the original USENET
> (acronym for 'university network').
>
> Isolated by time and space, anyone can "let their hair down" and
> feel free to vent all their frustrations, spleens, and (sometimes)
> waste-management organs on others.

Yup. It also shows a lot about the person that they are. DO people
think that comparing others with the Nazis or to call each other an
endless barrage of names is not showing a personality trait?

It is.

> They can do so in the
> apparent "safety" of the time and space isolation provided by the
> computer-modem linkage. None of it is real-time communications.

> The examples are ripe/rife in this newsgroup when it comes to
> highly-polarized issues. :-)

Ain't that the Truf?

>
>>In a short, don't ever think that this (the internet) is the medium of
>>humanism, or personalization, or "knowing" others. It just ain't so...
>
>
> Those few of us who had been a part of the "social" Bulletin
> Board Systems (as I had beginning 20 years ago) learned very
> quickly that the computer personna of some individuals was
> quite different from the in-person one.

But that does not mean that the computer persona is not a part of the
person's whole persona.

It is. If the personas are radically different, it simply shows that
there are some issues that should be dealt with.

> Computer-modem communications is only slightly less devoid of
> the very impersonal radiotelegraphy contact that offers none of
> the sensory clues of in-person acquaintenceship...no sight, sound,
> or anything else about the other person. With the computer-modem
> linkage one gets to see the other's ability to put words together (in
> varying degreees of coherency), some slight picturing of education
> experience.
>
> What everyone can get is much of the slanted propagandizing of
> various organizations and groups, parroted phrasing repeated by
> some others as if they were the blessed sayings of the divine.
> That is carried over to all forms of beliefs from hobbies to politics.
> Some of the Believers can get Outraged at any negativisms of the
> Belief System they have bought (or been psychologically
> purchased) into and seem to want to Fight To The Death about it.
> Computer-modem communications allows them to express their
> Compleat Anger quickly...and so the infamous Flame Wars begin.
>
> The unfortunate side of the coin is that the same technology that
> allows widespread textual communications also allows storage
> of all the communiques for a long time, reproduction of content
> possible (in many cases) by anyone with access.

Some of us would think that is a good thing. I do.

> Those that
> dared to speak their mind "in public" should remember that their
> words - en toto - are still out there and could be retrieved for some
> "moot court" proceedings by Outraged "barracks lawyers" in a
> newsgroup. Such is a many-edged sword waiting to be drawn by
> anyone...it can cut every which way and results only in more
> useless energy-expenditure by all concerned.
>
> Anyone with some experience, practice, and observation of the
> various human beans roaming the planet can do "button-
> pressing" of others. Those who are pressed may escalate their
> frustrations and anger into kiloton explosive rages. Those can
> smoolder for years and erupt into conflagrations of righteous fire
> (with brimstone) at any moment. Examples abound in this
> newsgroup as well as many others.
>
> The curiosity (to me) is the steadfast Righteousness of some in
> their Beliefs, specifically in a hobby activity done (supposedly) for
> individual enjoyment and general recreation. The Believers MUST
> triumph their Causes or (apparently) die trying to do so. Sort of
> a Masada falling/failing again and again and again. :-)
>
> Given enough exposure over years of messaging by Believers
> (and "heretics"), one gets a glimpse of the Believers' states of mind
> no longer fettered by in-person facades or necessities of social or
> employment-hierarchy behavior. Unafraid of direct face-to-face
> confrontation (and possible physical retribution), they can Vent.
> Perhaps it is a catharsis to vent. Such is difficult to do in-person
> without danger of direct harm. Messaging by computer lets us
> look behind the in-person behavioral mask...but only somewhat.
> Their reaction to comments in opposition to them tells us more.
> Adding different catalysts lets us see even more reactions. Given
> time their personal dossier almost writes itself. :-)
>
> So...I'd say we DO have a means to see inside others' thinking
> (or lack of it) which is not quite possible in-person. On the other
> hand, we have no body language responses nor tone of voice nor
> facial expressions present on in-person encounters.

Good to see you agree. That doesn't stop an astute person from making
deductions based on what other people write.

> One thing for sure: The common Territorial Imperative will lead many
> to Claim Turf for themselves and "buddies." They "own" what goes
> on and what should go on...even if they have no separate authority
> to do so. They tenaciously hold fast to their Turf (especially the
> Beliefs therein) since it is "theirs." :-)

- Mike KB3EIA -

493. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 00:39:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <wdGdnXUVPPp9x3HcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102060

N2EY wrote:

> In article <ISOGd.2742$2e7.1734@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
> <mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
>
>>I couldn't follow who posted what above, but I think whoever said "It allows
>>us to get to know each other better"
>
>
> That was Mike, KB3EIA
>

Lil 'ol me!

>
>>may need just a little bit of a reality
>>check. This is an "online" venue, and I don't believe that this allows for
>>getting to "know" anyone. OK, maybe slightly...and then only in a few
>>cases.
>>
>>For instance, the internet, chat rooms, and newsgroups are the greatest
>>playground for playing Devil's Advocate or even downright antagonism, or
>>inciting "a riot" so to speak! I know I can get certain people going in a
>>heart beat of a stroke of a few keys of my keyboard, and they'll look pretty
>>darned idiotic to most who may have been taking them seriously...
>>
>>In a short, don't ever think that this (the internet) is the medium of
>>humanism, or personalization, or "knowing" others. It just ain't so...
>>
>>Kim W5TIT
>
>
> I agree in some ways, Kim, and disagree in others. And it's not a new
> phenomenon - it goes back at least to the days of the landline telegraph
> operators.
>
> I've met more than a few folks "in person" after first encountering them
> online, or on the air. In some cases, the personality you meet on line or
> on air is very much the same as the one you meet in person. In others,
> the personalities are very different. There's really no 100% sure way to
> know ahead of time. The person who is a real %^&# online may be
> very nice in person, and vice versa.

Although most of the time, you can still find the person out.

> But I have found that it's usually the case that the online or onair
> personality
> isn't *that* much different. There are exceptions, of course, but if a person
> acts like a complete @#$% online, there's a very good chance you won't
> like their "in person" personality either.

It they didn't have those particular thoughts and feelings, they
wouldn't write them.

> Just IMHO

It is not only MHO, it is a fact.

Much can be learned by those who sit back , watch, and listen.

I think Kim might be thinking that I am acting the chat-room romantic.
(correct me if I'm wrong, Kim)

But our writing tells much about us. When we purposely set out to
decieve, we are eventually outed.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

- Mike KB3EIA -

494. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 00:40:35 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7WYGd.9810$C52.2142@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102061

>>So why are you broadcasting on a dead band?
>>
>
> I'm "broadcasting" on a dead band so not to cause harmful interference.
>
>

Aside from hams within about 50 miles of you, who's
gonna be able to hear it? Still say the Internet is
a better tool for this....

The rules on "content" just say "no pecuniary interest",
no "broadcast of material other than stuff hams and
noone else would be interested in" but 2 way or multi
ham nets can talk about anything they want except offensive
or indecent material or anything that would be pecuniary.
Also no false distress calls and such either.

495. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 00:48:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <wdGdnXQVPPpWwXHcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102062

bb wrote:

> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>In article <1104805657.039325.47210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
>
> "bb"
>
>><billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>
>>
>>>Interesting. Dave trolls, and bb is accused of trolling. Gotta
>
> love
>
>>>the way things work on RRAP.
>>
>> Brian, this newsgroup be DIFFERENT than any other newsgroup.
>
>
> No kidding.
>
>
>> Everything gets redefined into what the PCTA extras want. It's
>> "their" hang-out, "their"
>
>
> No, no. "Thier."
>
>
>> little chat room where everything and
>> anything NOT involving morse code can be talked about, solved,
>> and all those against the morse code test can be defamed and
>> denigrated at their pleasure.
>
>
> Yep, they all want to be geographers, weathermen, and spacemen.

I do NOT want to be a weatherman. The other two would be nice though.

>> The PCTA extras are "superior." They get angry into hissy-fits
>> when some of us don't kiss their divine I/O ports.

Only time I ever got angry in here was when Brian (W3RV) caught me in a
weak moment.

> It's so sad.

Life is that way some times!

- Mike KB3EIA -

496. Come on down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 01:44:58 UTC
From: Radio Ron <RadioRon@Mymail.net>
Message-ID: <1106073635.118242094c6b76010649b0b4531e6316@teranews>
Source record: 102097

Nobody seems to care about amateur radio including the hams themselves.If you question what I say,just hangout on 75 meters and just listen to the foul mouth bigots and the racial slurs that you hear.It isn't any different than down where the mud ducks roam(11 meters).I will say this the only amateurs I hear who are courteous,practice good operating procedure and talk radio are on the AM net. N9OGL needs to change his operating frequency to 27.555.Somebody might be listening there. 73's

497. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 02:05:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106013913.492376.40940@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102064

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > >But you are a little too smug for most people's tastes. People
> get
> > the
> > > > >impression that you think you're someone special.
> > >
> > > He is.
> >
> > Thinks he is.
>
> No, >>he<< doesn't.
>
> But a lot of people worldwide who have benefitted from his
> knowledge and skills do. ie: me.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, you're free to worship in your own way.

498. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 02:20:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050117212032.00824.00000028@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 102065

In article <wdGdnXUVPPp9x3HcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <ISOGd.2742$2e7.1734@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>> <mail@nomail.com> writes:

>>>I couldn't follow who posted what above, but I think whoever said "It
>>>allows us to get to know each other better"

>> That was Mike, KB3EIA

>Lil 'ol me!

>>>may need just a little bit of a reality
>>>check. This is an "online" venue, and I don't believe that this allows for
>>>getting to "know" anyone. OK, maybe slightly...and then only in a few
>>>cases.

>>>For instance, the internet, chat rooms, and newsgroups are the greatest
>>>playground for playing Devil's Advocate or even downright antagonism, or
>>>inciting "a riot" so to speak! I know I can get certain people going in a
>>>heart beat of a stroke of a few keys of my keyboard, and they'll look
>>>pretty darned idiotic to most who may have been taking them seriously...

>>>In a short, don't ever think that this (the internet) is the medium of
>>>humanism, or personalization, or "knowing" others. It just ain't so...

>>>Kim W5TIT

>> I agree in some ways, Kim, and disagree in others. And it's not a new
>> phenomenon - it goes back at least to the days of the landline telegraph
>> operators.

>> I've met more than a few folks "in person" after first encountering them
>> online, or on the air. In some cases, the personality you meet on line or
>> on air is very much the same as the one you meet in person. In others,
>> the personalities are very different. There's really no 100% sure way to
>> know ahead of time. The person who is a real %^&# online may be
>> very nice in person, and vice versa.

>Although most of the time, you can still find the person out.

If you wait long enough, their patterns become clear.

>> But I have found that it's usually the case that the online or onair
>> personality

>> isn't *that* much different. There are exceptions, of course, but if a
>> person
>> acts like a complete @#$% online, there's a very good chance you won't
>> like their "in person" personality either.

>It they didn't have those particular thoughts and feelings, they
>wouldn't write them.

>> Just IMHO

>It is not only MHO, it is a fact.

>Much can be learned by those who sit back , watch, and listen.

>I think Kim might be thinking that I am acting the chat-room romantic.
>(correct me if I'm wrong, Kim)

>But our writing tells much about us. When we purposely set out to
>decieve, we are eventually outed.

That's one way to look at it. Here's another: What does it say about a
person whose online personality appears to be different from their
"in person" one? Which is an act, and which is real? And why bother
with the deception?

73 de Jim, N2EY

499. Re: No anticipated change in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 04:58:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050117235838.25387.00000041@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 102066

In article <uMECd.692$%e1.311@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> That's the first thing YOU have gotten right, Lennie.
>
>Just get the damm license, you seem to know enough
>to get it without much study, Len.

Tsk. It hasn't been my intention to "get a damn license." :-)

I can't see such a personal identification as applying to my
advocacy to remove the morse code test from any test.
The subject of morse code testing should stand by itself,
without all the hoopla over test-passing.

The reality of the radio world is that morse code mode is
either dead or dying or was never born in every other radio
service but amateur radio hobby activity. Even then, morse
code is used only by a minority of those licensed as radio
amateurs.

The attempt to "justify" (realistic word is rationalize) the
morse code test is specious. It serves no real purpose to
anyone desiring an amateur radio license...other than to act
as an "initiation rite" that is kept only because so many others
in the past were required to take that test. The federal
government is NOT obliged to maintain fraternal order
initiation rites. That is something for membership groups,
not something for anything codified into law as regulations.

The argument maintenance of the long-timers boils down to
(via brainwashing by even longer-timers) them having to take
the morse test, therefore all others have to take it also. That
would be valid only if the ARS were an Amateur Radiotelegraphy
Service. It is not.

The morse code test in test element 1 is considered by the FCC
as inapplicable to their need to determine the licensing
qualification of amateur radio license applicants. It remains
(apparently) under pressure by the long-timers and the ARRL
(not the oldest radio club) keeping it in regulations...because
they all feel that it is "necessary" (they had to take a morse
test, therefore all others have to).

Some morse code devotees consider the test necessary to
"preserve and protect" manual telegraphy skills. The FCC is
not chartered as either a historic preservation agency nor as an

academic one. Its lawful activity is simply to regulate ALL U.S. civil radio.

Unless there has been some covert activity to circumvent the Constitution of the United States, all U.S. citizens have the right to "petition their government with their grievances." In smaller words that means they can comment to any agency of the government about any laws or regulations made by that government. "Membership" in any particular agency's activity is NOT required.

Apparently, some in here seem to think that ONLY licensed radio amateurs "should" comment on amateur regulations or that any who so comment are "wishing to get a license." I do not so "wish." That is NOT a "requirement" nor is there any "motivation" to do so. The elimination of the morse code test is simply long overdue and should be done for the benefit of ALL citizens, not some aging fraternity boys wanting to keep an initiation rite forced upon others for no reason but their own personal desires. Those individuals are NOT a regulatory agency at all despite their implications.

The elimination OR the retention of morse code testing can be discussed on its own merits, not the "accomplishments" of a few who cannot justify their side of the discussion.

You would do better to copy the methods of others and attempt defamation of the person of those wishing to eliminate the code test. That IS the way of those PCTA extras found in here.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 17 Jan 05

500. Re: Pecuniary Interest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 06:58:16 UTC
From: FTIV <Do_Not_Reply@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XMGdnTuZ2bsVLnHcRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 102068

Who cares?

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41eca902$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> Pecuniary interest
> I read a lot of articles about one K1MAN and how many amateur radio
> operators feel, including the FCC about Glenn Baxter "broadcast" and how
> there's some "Pecuniary interest" in his broadcast; mainly referring to
his
> website www.k1man.com. But many don't talk about W1AW and the American
Radio
> Relay League (ARRL) and it "Pecuniary interest". Like the fact the ARRL on
> their web site not only does it whore itself out to amateur's to join as
> members. But, also make amateur pay a HIGH membership fee which continues
to
> increase. But they also on their website offer for sale books and other
> stuff. Now many out there would say well that is a non-profit organization
> and that money is used to support the ARRL and support amateur radio. No
> matter in my humble opinion if it's a non-profit organization or a
> for-profit; commerce is commerce. So no matter if it's K1MAN or W1AW
> "Pecuniary interest" should apply regardless of who it is. I mean paying
$39
> dollars for a "club" or should I say "Inc." is in my humble opinion isn't
> worth it. I mean what the hell they have done for me.....NOTHING! The only
> place I see that money going is in the pocket of their bosses. So what do
> you get for that $39??? A crappy magazine which is bias and half of the
> magazine is advertisements and it's only good as toilet paper. Hell, you
> would be better off supporting your local ham club which would address
> issues that affect you. For $39 dollars I would want something more out of
> club that would benefit me and I'm not talking some crappy magazine. Now
I'm
> not saying that K1MAN is any better but before these "die hard" ARRL
member
> start getting on these newsgroups and message boards look inside yourself
> and ask yourself what has the ARRL done for me??? The Amateur have over
the
> last 20-25 years lost bands. The league isn't pushing hard enough for
> changes regarding BPL or PRB-1. Instead the ARRL would send the paid
lackey
> Hollingsworth to suppress free speech on the air. The ARRL is known for
> their anti-no-code stand back in 1983. They are known for petitioning the
> FCC for some rules change that is stupid. But to me it's the ARRL pushing
> onto its members the idea that there is no free speech on the radio and
> their "Legal Advisor" and formal FCC henchman Phil Kane gets on these

> newsgroups and boards to spread the ARRL propaganda and lies about speech
on
> the airways.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
>
>

501. test message rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 10:01:06 UTC
From: bait@infosynaptics.com
Message-ID: <csimp2siu103@reader01.singnet.com.sg>
Source record: 102069

Just a quick test post for the sole purpose of spreading this email address.
Only some random garbage below. Nothing for you to see here, please move along :)

For all of you loyal Extreme fans who followed their career from the late
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=307&item=4067616570&r
1980's through the mid 1990's, maybe you would like to add this gem to your
CD collection. It is their 3rd release in 1992 entitled III Sides To Every
in the search Extreme III Sides 1992 and look for the one that has the photo

d=1

Story. It is found on Ebay in the Music/CDs/Metal/Other category and type
Thanks for looking rock fans!
of the album as you scroll through the list with a starting price of $2.50.

Hormel Trappitus
bait@infosynaptics.com

View Spam trap mailbox in real time: http://zipurl.org/4g0c3

502. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 10:54:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ECEAE5.7F69BCE7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102070

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1104805657.039325.47210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

> Brian, this newsgroup be DIFFERENT than any other newsgroup.

You may be gaining some insight, Leonard. If this newsgroup wasn't
different from any other newsgroup, there'd be no need to have more than
one newsgroup.

> Everything gets redefined into what the PCTA extras want. It's
> "their" hang-out, "their" little chat room where everything and
> anything NOT involving morse code can be talked about, solved,
> and all those against the morse code test can be defamed and
> denigrated at their pleasure.

You're leaving something out: It is a place where you can hang out,
rant endlessly on something in which you play no part and snipe at
amateur radio and radio amateurs.

> The PCTA extras are "superior."

It probably just seems that way to a guy with an inferiority complex.

> They get angry into hissy-fits
> when some of us don't kiss their divine I/O ports.

I don't even know where my divine I/O port is.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Posted on 16 Jan 05.

It's a shame about all the problems there in the Anderson home comm
center.

Dave K8MN

503. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 11:20:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ECF0B4.ACDEA687@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102071

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <1104805413.143669.30250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >"""Don't be coy, "bb". Tell us about how all the cell phones don't stop
> >functioning."""
> >
> >They don't? Ever???
>
> Herr Kommandant lives in an on-off world, either key down or key up.
> He can't seem to negotiate understanding the in-between. :-)

Herr Kommandant? Godwin invoked. You lose.
You certainly haven't described me. As one who enjoys playing music,
particularly blues and jazz, I'm quite at home with flatted notes. I
can also tell the subtle differences in a variety of prigs.

> >"""Tell us about amateur radio's role in an emergency."""
> >
> >They should do whatever they can with whatever they've got.
>
> Correct. That would be logical.

Quite right, Spock.

> However, das Kommandant bin a morseman for four decades
> and can't understand that the amateurs "will" take over when
> the government-commercial infrastructure fails in an emergency.

Are you certain that the above reads the way you intended?

> Some say that the infrastructure "always" fails. :-)

Hardwired communications infrastructure is much more likely to fail.
All cellular phones interface to wire lines at some point.

> >"""I want to hear once again about how all of the infrastructure is
> >never destroyed."""
> >
> >Sometimes it is, sometimes it isn't. Why do you say, "never?"
>
> Der Kommandant ich bin pissed, he troll and troll his boot but
> all his bouyancy tanks are flooded.

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean, Leonard.

> >"""The story I posted is in direct conflict with the song sung by you
> >and
> >your newsgroup mentor."""
> >
> >Dave K8MN
> >
> >Sorry, David, but I'm not very musical. I did sing "RockyRaccoon" once
> >after ingesting some bad branch water. I feel much better now.
>
> Der Kommandant wants to be Daddy Warbucks and have everyone
> sing "Tomorrow" from the musical "Annie."
>
> Unfortunately, the sun DID come out, and many years before. But,
> struggling to work Frenchmen out of band, he was too busy to
> notice.
>
> Und zo, kleine newsgroupies, der Kommandant keeps snarling
> black for white all the time as if he were In Charge. He has NO
> verification that all amateurs can do as the ARRL states that all
> amateurs do, hasn't claimed he has done it hisself, and therefore
> tries to shift gears in his own shiftless way by going to extremes.

Is this your attempt to appear demented?

> It's the usual old, tired bullshit by the PCTA extras: Attack the
> messenger when they can't send a reply on the subject.

Excuse me, Leonard, but it certainly looks as if that is precisely what you have done. My words to "bb" were about a subject. You have drifted off on a sea of German phrases.

Dave K8MN

504. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 11:31:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ECF3A3.F9380097@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102072

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> >
> > >>N2EY wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>In article <20041230011207.06525.00000333@mb-m24.aol.com>,
> > >>
> > >>lenover21@aol.com
> > >>
> > >>>(Len Over 21) writes:
> > >
> > >
> > >>>>In article <20041229070405.16303.00000504@mb-m02.aol.com>,
> > >>
> > >>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> > >>
> > >>>>(N2EY) writes:

> > >>The no-code license allows priveliges in most of the amateur
> > >>allocations. HF is just a small part of our portion of the spectrum.
> > >
> > > Yep.
> >
> > So the big question is why aren't those bands crowded with the
> > Technicians? It is worth noting that the 6 meter band is open to
> > Technicians also. So they can get some HF like action also. But they by
> > and large don't.

Many coded Techs are quite active on 6m. Most no code Techs are not.
In fact, most of the no code Techs I encounter are on 2m or 70cm
repeaters only. Once almost never hears them on simplex frequencies.
They stick to FM and aren't heard using SSB or CW on 2m or 70cm. Around
here, most no code Techs are not active radio club members. Most do not
partcipate in ARES groups. Most are not members of the ARRL. To sum it
up, it seems that most no code Techs are about 2m FM repeater use.

Dave K8MN

505. Re: Amateur radio lets no opportunity go un-squandered

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 11:41:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ECF58B.B0A843D6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102073

bb wrote:

> Because I've yet to see a muslim government that give's a shit about
> their people...

What a perfectly dreadful statement. Then again, most of your
experience
has been in a country without a government, no?

> ...but the people will remember who helped them. The guys
> with the little American flag on their uniforms.

...among others.

> Not Miccolis.

Can you think of others who have not helped them? Are there others who
are helping them? Are you quite certain that "Miccolis" hasn't helped?

Dave K8MN

506. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 11:48:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ECF71E.3284FEC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102074

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <GdCdnTRElb3Ru3bcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >> We're supposed to do as Len says, not as Len does.
>
> Y'all are? Well, heck, why not...you demand Obediance to the
> old standards and practices in a radio hobby...and have for years
> without going along with any change.

No one here has gone along with any change in amateur radio? How did
you come to be in possession of this rare informational gem?

> If the code test were eliminated, I wouldn't bother to be here. :-)

It was reduced to 5 wpm. Your presence wasn't reduced by the same
percentage. :-) :-)

> >Code test good or code test bad, elimination of it will probably not
> >bring anything to the ARS.
>
> Tsk. The only thing the code test requirement did was to form
> the ARS as the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society. :-)

That seems to be a song sung by the Archaic Newsgroup Haunter. :-)

> Plus a lot of puerile nyah-nyahs from those who could do morse
> at high rate having playground glee at talking down to those who
> couldn't. :-)

You really should see someone about that complex you have. :-)

> Putting aside your own personal hatred of a newsgroup opponent,
> you COULD have looked at the past history of the larger world of
> radio communications and - if at all possible (but unlikely in here) -
> dispassionately agreed with the larger world of radio.

Personal hatred? I don't hate you, Len. In fact, I rather pity you.

> Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society is what you are in. Enjoy.

That's incorrect. The Amateur Radio Service is what we're in. You
aren't.

Dave K8MN

507. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 12:04:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ECFAFF.8CE24F6B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102075

bb wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > In article <1104805413.143669.30250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> "bb"
> > <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

> He boot get muddy. Muss wear patent leather jackboot.

> "CQ out of band Frenchmen, CQ out of band Frenchmen, CQ out of band
> Frenchmen, this is K8MN, K8MN, K8MN listening on 6 Meters."

> Still pissed about not being able to rack up 40,000 qso's while in
> vietnam.

> Miccolis is ignoring the messenger.

You don't come off as being rational of late, "bb".

Dave K8MN

508. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 12:07:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ECFBB9.DF88E6AA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102076

Lenof21 wrote:
>
> In article <1105932922.162342.105570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> In article <uvadnS8K_PiydnTcRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>N2EY wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
> >> >>
> >> >> lenover21@aol.com
> >> >>
> >> >> >>>>(Len Over 21) writes:

> Careful, Brian...remember that J.P. "serves his country" (by being
> a super-special amateur morseman).

Did Jim ever write anything like that, Leonard, or is it something you
claim?

> If you say nasty to him or Coslo, you will be accused of TRYING
> TO DESTROY HAM RADIO!!!! :-)

Say nasty? Why would you do that?

> Heh. All their expertise and "radio operator skills" go in the toilet
> when trying to defend the need for morse code testing. They
> resort to defamation/denigration/disassembly of those wanting to
> eliminate the morse code test, all on the person but not the
> subject. QED.

"They" do? What are you doing, Leonard?

Dave K8MN

509. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 12:24:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ED001B.CECF9A38@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102077

Lenof21 wrote:
>
> In article <1105935079.099524.69940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> In article <1104805657.039325.47210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> >"bb"
> >> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

> True enough. Grown men (apparently, at least physically) gettting
> all wound up and hissy-fitting about how they are such vital
> assets to the nation because they are morsemen. Sometimes
> I think of their actions as "asset-retentive." :-)

Don't be surprised, Len. I've seen grown men obsess over things in
which they are not even involved, spending countless hours of their
lives bellowing over issues involving areas in which they aren't even
participants; things which don't effect them in the least. Go figure!

Dave K8MN

510. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 12:29:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ED012B.847B3F7A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102078

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> In article <20050116165246.05425.00000065@mb-m01.aol.com>,
> Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
> >In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
> >writes:
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > Posted 16 Jan 05
>
> Answering my same article twice? You replied to it on the 11th with
> a different reply. How odd. You're like one of those homeless folks
> mumbling to yourself.

Careful Jeff! Len has two homes, no mortgages and a comfortable income.
You don't have to be homeless to mumble to yourself.

The Anderson home comm center has glitches from time to time. It is, of
course, all the fault of AOL.

Dave K8MN

511. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 12:37:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106051821.623255.10070@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102079

Dave Heil wrote:
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > In article <20050116165246.05425.00000065@mb-m01.aol.com>,
> > Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
> > >In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
(Jeffrey Herman)
> > >writes:
> > >
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > > Posted 16 Jan 05
> >
> > Answering my same article twice? You replied to it on the 11th with
> > a different reply. How odd. You're like one of those homeless folks
> > mumbling to yourself.
>
> Careful Jeff! Len has two homes, no mortgages and a comfortable
income.
> You don't have to be homeless to mumble to yourself.

It's amazing how well a guy can do riding on other's coattails.

> The Anderson home comm center has glitches from time to time. It is,
of
> course, all the fault of AOL.

And, of course, those overly proud, patriotically pontificating
"Mighty Morsemen" who have held him back from really being able to be
all he could be in "radio".

73

Steve, K4YZ

512. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 12:46:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ED04E3.B4633242@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102080

Lenof21 wrote:
>
> In article <ISOGd.2742$2e7.1734@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
> <mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >news:20050116193302.11507.00000024@mb-m10.aol.com...
> >> In article <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >N2EY wrote:
> >> >> In article <20041230011207.06525.00000333@mb-m24.aol.com>,
> >> >lenover21@aol.com
> >> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >>
> >> >>>In article <20041229070405.16303.00000504@mb-m02.aol.com>,
> >> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> >>>(N2EY) writes:
> >>
> >> >>>>This all relates to amateur radio in a very basic way:
> >>
> >> >>> Unfortunately, it does NOT.
> >>
> >> >> Yes it does! ;-)
> >>
> >> >>> All it points out is that you are using
> >> >>> this newsgroup as a general chat room to talk about ANY subject
> >> >>> instead of focussing on amateur radio policy.
> >>
> >> >> I think you don't like the fact that it does relate.
> >>
> >> >In the end, Who cares?
> >>
> >> Len obviously cares a lot.
> >>
> >> >It is our newsgroup, and if you and I want to
> >> >talk about politics or an obscure Kert Vonnegut story, or if Bria wants
> >> >to talk about the boy scouts, or if Len wants to talk about sphinctors,
> >> >then "it's all good, man".
> >>
> >> I don't know if "it's all good", but that doesn't really matter. This is
> >an
> >> unmoderated newsgroup, and while Len may want to be the moderator, he just
> >> isn't.

> >>
> >> >I like the little side trips. It allows us to
> >> >get to know each other better.
> >>
> >
> >I couldn't follow who posted what above, but I think whoever said "It allows
> >us to get to know each other better" may need just a little bit of a reality
> >check. This is an "online" venue, and I don't believe that this allows for
> >getting to "know" anyone. OK, maybe slightly...and then only in a few
> >cases.
>
> Astute observation, Kim! :-)
>
> The "getting to know each other better" phrase is part of the 'standard
> boilerplate' of PC phrasing done to make the writer appear like they
> know much more than they do, yet tolerate all others in some
> curious fashion of the nobility. [i.e., they are "better" because they
> tolerate the "inferiors" :-)]
>
> >For instance, the internet, chat rooms, and newsgroups are the greatest
> >playground for playing Devil's Advocate or even downright antagonism, or
> >inciting "a riot" so to speak! I know I can get certain people going in a
> >heart beat of a stroke of a few keys of my keyboard, and they'll look pretty
> >darned idiotic to most who may have been taking them seriously...
>
> Heh heh heh. The beauty of computer-modem communications
> have been demonstrated time and time again since ARPANET
> evolved to allow messaging, thus creating the original USENET
> (acronym for 'university network').

"Source: Jargon File (4.3.1, 29 Jun 2001)

Usenet /yoos'net/ or /yooz'net/ n. [from `Users' Network'; the original spelling was USENET, but the mixed-case form is now widely preferred] A distributed bboard (bulletin board) system supported mainly by Unix machines. Originally implemented in 1979-1980 by Steve Bellovin, Jim Ellis, Tom Truscott, and Steve Daniel at Duke University, it has swiftly grown to become international in scope and is now probably the largest decentralized information utility in existence. As of early 1996, it hosts over 10,000 newsgroups and an average of over 500 megabytes (the equivalent of several thousand paper pages) of new technical articles, news, discussion, chatter, and flamage every day (and that leaves out the graphics...)."

Don't you get anything right, Len?

> What everyone can get is much of the slanted propagandizing of
> various organizations and groups, parroted phrasing repeated by
> some others as if they were the blessed sayings of the divine.
> That is carried over to all forms of beliefs from hobbies to politics.

You left out "individuals", Len. We've seen countless examples of your output concerning amateur radio, a hobby in which you do not participate.

> Some of the Believers can get Outraged at any negativisms of the
> Belief System they have bought (or been psychologically
> purchased) into and seem to want to Fight To The Death about it.
> Computer-modem communications allows them to express their
> Compleat Anger quickly...and so the infamous Flame Wars begin.

You Get Outraged Regarding Morse Testing. You Snipe At The ARRL. You Snipe At Radio Amateurs. You Want To Fight About It. You Express Your Anger. You Partipate In Flame Wars (But Not In Amateur Radio).

> The unfortunate side of the coin is that the same technology that
> allows widespread textual communications also allows storage
> of all the communiques for a long time, reproduction of content
> possible (in many cases) by anyone with access. Those that
> dared to speak their mind "in public" should remember that their
> words - en toto - are still out there and could be retrieved for some
> "moot court" proceedings by Outraged "barracks lawyers" in a
> newsgroup. Such is a many-edged sword waiting to be drawn by
> anyone...it can cut every which way and results only in more
> useless energy-expenditure by all concerned.

An usual term, "the unfortunate side of the coin". I'm sure that the Google archives are, for you, the unfortunate side of the coin.

> Anyone with some experience, practice, and observation of the
> various human beans roaming the planet can do "button-
> pressing" of others. Those who are pressed may escalate their
> frustrations and anger into kiloton explosive rages. Those can
> smoolder for years and erupt into conflagrations of righteous fire
> (with brimstone) at any moment. Examples abound in this
> newsgroup as well as many others.

Yeah, watch that "smooldering".
>
> The curiosity (to me) is the steadfast Righteousness of some in
> their Beliefs, specifically in a hobby activity done (supposedly) for
> individual enjoyment and general recreation.

How is that any more curious than one who is not involved in a hobby who maintains a Righteousness In His Beliefs over a hobby activity in which he is not involved?

Dave K8MN

513. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 12:50:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ED05B9.10D76D7D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102081

Lenof21 wrote:
>
> In article <1105937601.226322.270250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> In article <1104805413.143669.30250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> >"bb"
> >> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

> Perhaps they hear things that aren't there?

Perhaps you just don't hear the things which are there.

Dave K8MN

514. Re: No anticipated change in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 13:16:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ED0BC1.5CCC0C7A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102082

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <uMECd.692$%e1.311@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >> That's the first thing YOU have gotten right, Lennie.
> > >
> > >Just get the damm license, you seem to know enough
> > >to get it without much study, Len.
> >
> > Tsk. It hasn't been my intention to "get a damn license." :-)

That depends upon when you post.

> I can't see such a personal identification as applying to my
> advocacy to remove the morse code test from any test.
> The subject of morse code testing should stand by itself,
> without all the hoopla over test-passing.

That's odd. The subject of testing should stand without hoopla over
passing the test? Very, very odd.

> The reality of the radio world is that morse code mode is
> either dead or dying or was never born in every other radio
> service but amateur radio hobby activity.

The reality is that amateur radio is the very activity in which the
morse code test remains. Morse code use is very much alive in amateur
radio.

> Even then, morse
> code is used only by a minority of those licensed as radio
> amateurs.

Many thousands use morse code daily within amateur radio.

> The attempt to "justify" (realistic word is rationalize) the
> morse code test is specious.

The reasons for attempting to remove morse testing are specious.

> It serves no real purpose to
> anyone desiring an amateur radio license...other than to act
> as an "initiation rite" that is kept only because so many others
> in the past were required to take that test.

That claim is specious.

> The federal
> government is NOT obliged to maintain fraternal order
> initiation rites. That is something for membership groups,
> not something for anything codified into law as regulations.

That claim is specious.

> The argument maintenance of the long-timers boils down to
> (via brainwashing by even longer-timers) them having to take
> the morse test, therefore all others have to take it also. That
> would be valid only if the ARS were an Amateur Radiotelegraphy
> Service. It is not.

Your statment is specious.

> The morse code test in test element 1 is considered by the FCC
> as inapplicable to their need to determine the licensing
> qualification of amateur radio license applicants. It remains
> (apparently) under pressure by the long-timers and the ARRL
> (not the oldest radio club) keeping it in regulations...because
> they all feel that it is "necessary" (they had to take a morse
> test, therefore all others have to).

What a specious statement!

> Some morse code devotees consider the test necessary to
> "preserve and protect" manual telegraphy skills. The FCC is
> not chartered as either a historic preservation agency nor as an
> academic one. Its lawful activity is simply to regulate ALL U.S.
> civil radio.

Another specious statement.

> Unless there has been some covert activity to circumvent the
> Constitution of the United States, all U.S. citizens have the right
> to "petition their government with their grievances." In smaller
> words that means they can comment to any agency of the
> government about any laws or regulations made by that government.
> "Membership" in any particular agency's activity is NOT required.

You continue to make specious claims. You've petitioned your government
by using terms like: "Judging from the suppressed outrage of
long-tenured amateurs on the so-called free upgrade, one is tempted to
add 'where they belong!' but that is unkind and shouldn't be said.
Nonetheless, it is quite evident that class distinction is alive and
firmly entrenched in United States Amateur Radio." and "That satisfies
the hide-bound long-tenured's need to keep Technicians in the
no-code-test ghetto."
Your government is free to take no action on your statements. No one
here is required to give credence to your statements or even to read
your statments. No one is obligated to refrain from making light of
your claims, from ridiculing your claims or from taking heated issue
with your claims.

> Apparently, some in here seem to think that ONLY licensed radio
> amateurs "should" comment on amateur regulations or that any
> who so comment are "wishing to get a license." I do not so "wish."

I don't mind at all that you've commented to the FCC. I don't have to accept your crap here though. I'm free to reject your ideas. I do. I'm free to reject your manner. I do. As to whether you desire an amateur radio license, such as an "Extra right out of the box", that depends upon which phase of the moon or which year we're in. You've said you do. You've said you don't. It doesn't matter. You've commented to your government. Now provide us a document which guarantees your right to have us to sit idly by while you expound here.

> That is NOT a "requirement" nor is there any "motivation" to do so.

Requirement? No. Motivation? You have not been motivated despite your claimed, decades-long interest in amateur radio.

> The elimination of the morse code test is simply long overdue and
> should be done for the benefit of ALL citizens, not some aging
> fraternity boys wanting to keep an initiation rite forced upon others
> for no reason but their own personal desires. Those individuals
> are NOT a regulatory agency at all despite their implications.

Sorry. Specious.

> The elimination OR the retention of morse code testing can be
> discussed on its own merits, not the "accomplishments" of a few
> who cannot justify their side of the discussion.

Can we discuss your lack of accomplishments in amateur radio? In the use of Morse code? Shall we discuss your use of terms like "Der Kommandant" or "feldwebel"? Do you use those terms to justify your side of the "discussion"?

> You would do better to copy the methods of others and attempt
> defamation of the person of those wishing to eliminate the code test.
> That IS the way of those PCTA extras found in here.

But not the way of those using the "Der Kommandant", "feldwebel", "Avenging Angle", "puts on his habit from time to time and tries to strike
knuckles with her ruler", "Church of St. Hiram" terms? Should those ways be copied?

You're a riot, Len.

Dave K8MN

515. Re: Pecuniary Interest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 13:32:18 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Cd8Hd.3272$2e7.238@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102083

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41eca902$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> But to me it's the ARRL pushing
> onto its members the idea that there is no free speech on the radio and
> their "Legal Advisor" and formal FCC henchman Phil Kane gets on these
> newsgroups and boards to spread the ARRL propaganda and lies about speech
> on
> the airways.
>

Another one for (from?) the funny farm.

Charles, N5PVL

516. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 13:38:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ED116E.233D3F36@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102084

Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:41EBF4C2.751D778D@earthlink.net...
> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > >
> > > Content Control
> > > I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at 9.00
> pm
> > > CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the amateur
> > > radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying;
> >
> > You've provided no evidence that amateur radio is dying.
>
> Ham radio in about 10 to 15 years will be dead because technology and the
> free flow of content will surpass amateur radio.

You've still provided no evidence that amateur radio is dying, Todd.

> No matter what you might think that's a reality.

It does matter what I think. You've still provided no evidence to
support your claim.

> Amateurs seem to believe that amateur radio should
> be the idle dribble we call amateur radio.

For God's sake, Todd, the word is "drivel" and I don't see your
"broadcast" as being better stuff.

> For amateur radio to grow
> amateurs are going to have to change. Amateur radio has to look at the
> future and not the short term future.

So the future is that we should all become micro-broadcasters on dead
bands?

> > > The reason it's dying
> > > isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the reason it's
> dying
> > > is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's are under
> the
> > > belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur station.
> >
> > No one from the FCC has stopped by to tell me that the content of my
> > amateur radio station is under investigation. Since I've come back to
> > the U.S., the content of my amateur radio station has been steadily

> > increasing. Even my XYL has given up on trying to control the content.
> >
>
> Not yet anyway.....but the FCC has gone after or discourage people for their
> content in the past. ME, K1MAN, the Liberty Net just to name a few.

So, we're not discussing the content of an amateur radio station but of
the content of individuals? What do you, K1MAN and the Liberty Net
contain? Seriously, Todd, I think that if the FCC is going after you,
K1MAN and the Liberty Net, it is doing something right.

> > > As a
> > > matter of fact Mr. Hollingsworth of the FCC wanted me to let him know
> when I
> > > was going on the air so he QSL me. The QSL he's talking about is in the
> form
> > > of a warning letter, a C&D, NOV, or a NOF. Section 326 of the
> Communication
> > > Act of 1934 is a statue that prohibits the FCC from controlling the
> content
> > > of ANY radio stations. It also prohibits the FCC from creating rules and
> > > regulations which would suppress free speech on the radio.
> >
> > There are any number of things which can "control" your right to free
> > speech. There are regulatory things, like the fact that amateur radio
> > isn't a broadcast service and like the fact the Commission has obscenity
> > regs. You can't say things which will incite panic. Your civil right
> > to free speech becomes abridged where it infringes upon the rights of
> > another. You seemed to have missed those facts.
>
> > > The FCC of course
> > > under other rules and regulations can regulate obscene and indecent
> > > material. Now there are many out there including the ARRL who believe
> that
> > > this rule doesn't apply to amateur radio.
> >
> > Let me get this straight--You think that the ARRL believes the FCC has
> > no power to regulate obscene and indecent speech? You surely can't
> > believe that.
>
> That was a misprint which I'm planning to fix.

It seems to be a misstatement shrouded in a misprint.

> There are many in the ARRL
> which believes that Free Speech doesn't apply to amateur radio.

Are you speaking of Directors? staffers? members? Who in the ARRL who
don't believe such?

> Nor, the
> statue that forbids the FCC from controlling content of ANY radio and

> forbids the FCC from making any statue or regulation which supress speech
> apply to amateur radio.

Todd, the word you are searching for is "statute", not "statue". I
don't believe that there is a statute forbidding the FCC to control
obscenity, indecency any other misuse of your amateur radio station.

> > > It of course is not true, this
> > > statue along with all the other sections of the Communication Act apply
> in
> > > one shape or another to amateur radio and the FCC.
> >
> > Now you're saying that the FCC has oversight for regulating obscenity
> > and indency propagated BY the FCC? Where does the "statue" come into
> > play?
>
> No, the point I was trying to make which like I said will be fixed on the
> website. The point I was making is that there are some in the ARRL that
> believe that certain statues don't apply to amateur radio but they in
> reality they do.

"Statute", Todd and I disagree with you.

> > > The problem is
> > > the ARRL, their members and the FCC which tries to suppress or control
> the
> > > content.
> >
> > So the ARRL and their running dog members are in collusion with the FCC
> > to control content?
>
> Again the there are some in the ARRL that believes that the FCC can control
> the content of amateur radio stations.

I'll assume that you mean the content of transmissions from an amateur
radio station. Those in the ARRL who believe that the FCC can control
the content of transmissions are correct.

> > > So I'm broadcasting in an amateur band at night when it's not in
> > > use. Big fricking deal at lease I using it.
> >
> > I "using" it too. Truck drivers are sometimes using it too. Did you
> > realize that broadcasting is prohibited by radio amateurs whether it is
> > during the day or during the night. Did you realize that the 10m band
> > during solar maxima can be open all night to various parts of the
> > world? Did you know that there are propagation modes in which 10m can
> > open during the night over a distance even during periods of solar
> > minima?
> >
> First off my "broadcast" are programs directed towards the amateurs radio
> community.

If they're "broadcasts", it doesn't matter.

> 10 meters with the solor maxima at it peak around here begins
> closing down around 9 to 10 PM.

Sometimes it does. Sometimes it doesn't. Sometimes the band is open
round the clock. That really doesn't matter. You can't broadcast any
time.

> > > I mean so what if broadcasting
> > > information to the amateur radio community which may be a small value to
> the
> > > Amateurs.
> >
> > If broadcasting by radio amateurs is illegal and of small value, why do
> > it?
> >
>
> Information bulletin are not illegal.

I didn't write anything about informational bulletins. I wrote
"broadcasting" which is a term you have used.

> Any information no matter how
> important to one person may be of small or little vaule to another person.

No kidding!

> > > So what if I'm on there for a hour and half voice my opinion about
> > > problems and issues in amateur radio.
> >
> > The "so what" has already been addressed. Are you trying to get your
> > license yanked?
> >
> Again information bulletin are not illegal. The problem is the idea that the
> public doesn't listen to amateur radio.

Not much of the public is listening to amateur radio. Almost no one is
listening to 10m when the band is closed.

> So although Information bulletins
> are directed towards the amateur radio community the public does listen.

And?

> There is a gray line there.

There is?

> > > At lease I have a opinion and I'm not
> > > some drone of the ARRL who believes everything the ARRL says.
> >
> > I'm a life member of the ARRL and I don't believe in everything done by
> > the ARRL. Then again, I don't broadcast my views on the ham bands. Do
> > you think the ARRL will do anything differently based upon your illegal

> > broadcasts?
>
> Why won't you broadcast your view??

...because I'm not permitted to broadcast. I value my amateur license.

> are you for content control??

Yes, most assuredly.

> There is
> one thing many amateur have to remember...Amateur radio is a hobby.

I think "many amateur" remember that.

> Someone
> who takes their hobby to serious has no real life.

...and someone who doesn't take his hobby seriously has no real hobby.
Many things have been done in a half-assed manner because the doer's
attitude is, "it's only a hobby".

> W1AW is "broadcasting" so if I should stop so should they.

So, you equate the value of what you are doing to what W1AW is doing?

> > > That's the
> > > reason I'm broadcasting to voice my opinion's about issues in amateur
> radio,
> > > to let people hear what I'm thinking instead of that idle dribble we
> call
> > > ham radio.
> >
> > That's the spirit, Todd. Give Mr. Hollingsworth all the ammunition he
> > needs for yanking that license. Amateur radio needs more guys like you.
> > No more "idle dribble"!
>
> And with you and the ARRL by his side Free Speech will be supressed.

Suppressed? I don't think so. Controlled? Yes. What is it that you
don't feel you can do on the air as a ham, Todd? Is it that you can't
use potty language, or that you can't make money or that you can't play
music?

> > > I'm a strong believer in free speech and I believe there are
> > > problems in amateur radio and feel my voice needs to be heard.
> >
> > So why are you broadcasting on a dead band?
> >
> I'm "broadcasting" on a dead band so not to cause harmful interference.

Your pilot light seems a little dim, Todd.

Dave K8MN

517. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 13:49:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ED1382.5F2CC650@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102085

Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:7WYGd.9810$C52.2142@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > >>So why are you broadcasting on a dead band?
> > >>
> > >
> > > I'm "broadcasting" on a dead band so not to cause harmful interference.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Aside from hams within about 50 miles of you, who's
> > gonna be able to hear it? Still say the Internet is
> > a better tool for this....
> >
>
> Well so could amateur radio.

So could amateur radio what?

> Let's get rid of amateur radio all together and
> all go to the internet.

Why should we do that?

>All the stuff the amateur do can all be done on the
> internet.

Really? I don't believe you. Can I work meteor scatter on the
internet?
Can I work 160m DXCC on the internet? Can I use the internet in an
emergency when the phone lines are down?

> I sure all the amateur bands could be used for another service.

"I sure" they could be too. Why would they be?

> Think about it if all of the amateurs move to the internet they wouldn't
> have to worry about BPL.

"I believe that everythin' should be made legal. Then we woulnd't have
no crime"

--Brother Dave Gardener

Dave K8MN

518. Re: Pecuniary Interest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 13:57:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ED155E.158DB54D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102086

Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> Pecuniary interest
> I read a lot of articles about one K1MAN and how many amateur radio
> operators feel, including the FCC about Glenn Baxter "broadcast" and how
> there's some "Pecuniary interest" in his broadcast; mainly referring to his
> website www.k1man.com. But many don't talk about W1AW and the American Radio
> Relay League (ARRL) and it "Pecuniary interest". Like the fact the ARRL on
> their web site not only does it whore itself out to amateur's to join as
> members. But, also make amateur pay a HIGH membership fee which continues to
> increase. But they also on their website offer for sale books and other
> stuff. Now many out there would say well that is a non-profit organization
> and that money is used to support the ARRL and support amateur radio. No
> matter in my humble opinion if it's a non-profit organization or a
> for-profit; commerce is commerce. So no matter if it's K1MAN or W1AW
> "Pecuniary interest" should apply regardless of who it is. I mean paying $39
> dollars for a "club" or should I say "Inc." is in my humble opinion isn't
> worth it. I mean what the hell they have done for me.....NOTHING! The only
> place I see that money going is in the pocket of their bosses. So what do
> you get for that $39??? A crappy magazine which is bias and half of the
> magazine is advertisements and it's only good as toilet paper. Hell, you
> would be better off supporting your local ham club which would address
> issues that affect you. For $39 dollars I would want something more out of
> club that would benefit me and I'm not talking some crappy magazine. Now I'm
> not saying that K1MAN is any better but before these "die hard" ARRL member
> start getting on these newsgroups and message boards look inside yourself
> and ask yourself what has the ARRL done for me??? The Amateur have over the
> last 20-25 years lost bands. The league isn't pushing hard enough for
> changes regarding BPL or PRB-1. Instead the ARRL would send the paid lackey
> Hollingsworth to suppress free speech on the air. The ARRL is known for
> their anti-no-code stand back in 1983. They are known for petitioning the
> FCC for some rules change that is stupid. But to me it's the ARRL pushing
> onto its members the idea that there is no free speech on the radio and
> their "Legal Advisor" and formal FCC henchman Phil Kane gets on these
> newsgroups and boards to spread the ARRL propaganda and lies about speech on
> the airways.

Todd, I can't accept that the lines above are the conclusions that a
rational and thinking man reaches. Either you're as dumb as you come
off or you're ribbing us.

Dave K8MN

519. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 14:25:15 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41ec4912$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102057

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:41EBF4C2.751D778D@earthlink.net...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >
> > Content Control
> > I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at 9.00
pm
> > CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the amateur
> > radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying;
>
> You've provided no evidence that amateur radio is dying.

Ham radio in about 10 to 15 years will be dead because technology and the
free flow of content will surpass amateur radio. No matter what you might
think that's a reality. Amateurs seem to believe that amateur radio should
be the idle dribble we call amateur radio. For amateur radio to grow
amateurs are going to have to change. Amateur radio has to look at the
future and not the short term future.

> > The reason it's dying
> > isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the reason it's
dying
> > is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's are under
the
> > belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur station.
>
> No one from the FCC has stopped by to tell me that the content of my
> amateur radio station is under investigation. Since I've come back to
> the U.S., the content of my amateur radio station has been steadily
> increasing. Even my XYL has given up on trying to control the content.
>

Not yet anyway.....but the FCC has gone after or discourage people for their
content in the past. ME, K1MAN, the Liberty Net just to name a few.

>
> > As a
> > matter of fact Mr. Hollingsworth of the FCC wanted me to let him know
when I
> > was going on the air so he QSL me. The QSL he's talking about is in the
form
> > of a warning letter, a C&D, NOV, or a NOF. Section 326 of the
Communication
> > Act of 1934 is a statue that prohibits the FCC from controlling the
content

> > of ANY radio stations. It also prohibits the FCC from creating rules and
> > regulations which would suppress free speech on the radio.
>
> There are any number of things which can "control" your right to free
> speech. There are regulatory things, like the fact that amateur radio
> isn't a broadcast service and like the fact the Commission has obscenity
> regs. You can't say things which will incite panic. Your civil right
> to free speech becomes abridged where it infringes upon the rights of
> another. You seemed to have missed those facts.

> > The FCC of course
> > under other rules and regulations can regulate obscene and indecent
> > material. Now there are many out there including the ARRL who believe
that
> > this rule doesn't apply to amateur radio.
>
> Let me get this straight--You think that the ARRL believes the FCC has
> no power to regulate obscene and indecent speech? You surely can't
> believe that.

That was a misprint which I'm planning to fix. There are many in the ARRL
which believes that Free Speech doesn't apply to amateur radio. Nor, the
statue that forbids the FCC from controlling content of ANY radio and
forbids the FCC from making any statue or regulation which supress speech
apply to amateur radio.
.
> > It of course is not true, this
> > statue along with all the other sections of the Communication Act apply
in
> > one shape or another to amateur radio and the FCC.
>
> Now you're saying that the FCC has oversight for regulating obscenity
> and indency propagated BY the FCC? Where does the "statue" come into
> play?

No, the point I was trying to make which like I said will be fixed on the
website. The point I was making is that there are some in the ARRL that
believe that certain statues don't apply to amateur radio but they in
reality they do.

> (snip)
>
> > For Amateur radio
> > to grow, Amateur's are going to have to adapt to new idea's.
>
> Those apostrophes are tricky, huh?
>
> > The problem is
> > the ARRL, their members and the FCC which tries to suppress or control
the
> > content.

>
> So the ARRL and their running dog members are in collusion with the FCC
> to control content?

Again the there are some in the ARRL that believes that the FCC can control
the content of amateur radio stations.

> > So I'm broadcasting in an amateur band at night when it's not in
> > use. Big fricking deal at lease I using it.
>
> I "using" it too. Truck drivers are sometimes using it too. Did you
> realize that broadcasting is prohibited by radio amateurs whether it is
> during the day or during the night. Did you realize that the 10m band
> during solar maxima can be open all night to various parts of the
> world? Did you know that there are propagation modes in which 10m can
> open during the night over a distance even during periods of solar
> minima?
>
First off my "broadcast" are programs directed towards the amateurs radio
community. 10 meters with the solor maxima at it peak around here begins
closing down around 9 to 10 PM.
> > I mean so what if broadcasting
> > information to the amateur radio community which may be a small value to
the
> > Amateurs.
>
> If broadcasting by radio amateurs is illegal and of small value, why do
> it?
>

Information bulletin are not illegal. Any information no matter how
important to one person may be of small or little vaule to another person.

> > So what if I'm on there for a hour and half voice my opinion about
> > problems and issues in amateur radio.
>
> The "so what" has already been addressed. Are you trying to get your
> license yanked?
>
Again information bulletin are not illegal. The problem is the idea that the
public doesn't listen to amateur radio. So although Information bulletins
are directed towards the amateur radio community the public does listen.
There is a gray line there.

> > At lease I have a opinion and I'm not
> > some drone of the ARRL who believes everything the ARRL says.
>
> I'm a life member of the ARRL and I don't believe in everything done by
> the ARRL. Then again, I don't broadcast my views on the ham bands. Do
> you think the ARRL will do anything differently based upon your illegal
> broadcasts?

Why won't you broadcast your view?? are you for content control?? There is one thing many amateur have to remember...Amateur radio is a hobby. Someone who takes their hobby to serious has no real life.W1AW is "broadcasting" so if I should stop so should they.

> > That's the
> > reason I'm broadcasting to voice my opinion's about issues in amateur radio,
> > to let people hear what I'm thinking instead of that idle dribble we call
> > ham radio.
>
> That's the spirit, Todd. Give Mr. Hollingsworth all the ammunition he
> needs for yanking that license. Amateur radio needs more guys like you.
> No more "idle dribble"!

And with you and the ARRL by his side Free Speech will be supressed.

> > I'm a strong believer in free speech and I believe there are
> > problems in amateur radio and feel my voice needs to be heard.
>
> So why are you broadcasting on a dead band?
>
I'm "broadcasting" on a dead band so not to cause harmful interference.

> Dave K8MN
> "If Todd broadcasts on a dead band, is there a sound?"
>

520. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 14:37:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <aJ6dnQD7I9GPgnDcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102087

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> Lenof21 wrote:
>
>>In article <1105932922.162342.105570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
>><billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <uvadnS8K_PiydnTcRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>>>>>>
>>>>>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>
>> Careful, Brian...remember that J.P. "serves his country" (by being
>> a super-special amateur morseman).
>
>
> Did Jim ever write anything like that, Leonard, or is it something you
> claim?

Jim never said the "serves his country", at least followed with the
rest of that sentence. I wonder what the deal is with a qoute followed
by an statement in paren? An inferred quote? OY!

>
>> If you say nasty to him or Coslo, you will be accused of TRYING
>> TO DESTROY HAM RADIO!!!! :-)
>
```

>
> Say nasty? Why would you do that?

My deduction is taken from what he has written that is *not* the saying nasty part. The pejoratives and insults are just part of the background noise.

>> Heh. All their expertise and "radio operator skills" go in the toilet
>> when trying to defend the need for morse code testing. They
>> resort to defamation/denigration/disassembly of those wanting to
>> eliminate the morse code test, all on the person but not the
>> subject. QED.
>
>
> "They" do? What are you doing, Leonard?

- Mike KB3EIA -

521. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 14:46:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <b5OdnfB8UZDWvHDcRVn-1Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102088

Dave Heil wrote:

> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>In article <1104805413.143669.30250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
>><billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>
>>
>>>"""Don't be coy, "bb". Tell us about how all the cell phones don't stop
>>>functioning."""
>>>
>>>They don't? Ever???
>>
>> Herr Kommandant lives in an on-off world, either key down or key up.
>> He can't seem to negotiate understanding the in-between. :-)
>
>
> Herr Kommandant? Godwin invoked. You lose.
> You certainly haven't described me. As one who enjoys playing music,
> particularly blues and jazz, I'm quite at home with flatted notes. I
> can also tell the subtle differences in a variety of prigs.
>
>
>>>"""Tell us about amateur radio's role in an emergency."""
>>>
>>>They should do whatever they can with whatever they've got.
>>
>> Correct. That would be logical.
>
>
> Quite right, Spock.
>
>
>> However, das Kommandant bin a morseman for four decades
>> and can't understand that the amateurs "will" take over when
>> the government-commercial infrastructure fails in an emergency.
>
>
> Are you certain that the above reads the way you intended?
>
>
>> Some say that the infrastructure "always" fails. :-)
>
>

> Hardwired communications infrastructure is much more likely to fail.
> All cellular phones interface to wire lines at some point.
>
>
>>>"""I want to hear once again about how all of the infrastructure is
>>>never destroyed."""
>>>
>>>Sometimes it is, sometimes it isn't. Why do you say, "never?"
>>
>> Der Kommandant ich bin pissed, he troll and troll his boot but
>> all his bouyancy tanks are flooded.
>
>
> I have no idea what that is supposed to mean, Leonard.
>
>
>>>"""The story I posted is in direct conflict with the song sung by you
>>>and
>>>your newsgroup mentor."""
>>>
>>>Dave K8MN
>>>
>>>Sorry, David, but I'm not very musical. I did sing "RockyRaccoon" once
>>>after ingesting some bad branch water. I feel much better now.
>>
>> Der Kommandant wants to be Daddy Warbucks and have everyone
>> sing "Tomorrow" from the musical "Annie."
>>
>> Unfortunately, the sun DID come out, and many years before. But,
>> struggling to work Frenchmen out of band, he was too busy to
>> notice.
>>
>> Und zo, kleine newsgroupies, der Kommandant keeps snarling
>> black for white all the time as if he were In Charge. He has NO
>> verification that all amateurs can do as the ARRL states that all
>> amateurs do, hasn't claimed he has done it hisself, and therefore
>> tries to shift gears in his own shiftless way by going to extremes.
>
>
> Is this your attempt to appear demented?

Occasionally I get some spam mail that kind of reads like that..

>
>> It's the usual old, tired bullshit by the PCTA extras: Attack the
>> messenger when they can't send a reply on the subject.

WoW! I would never have expected to see that statement tacked onto the
end of a vicious personal attack!

>
> Excuse me, Leonard, but it certainly looks as if that is precisely what
> you have done. My words to "bb" were about a subject. You have drifted
> off on a sea of German phrases.

If I did't know better, I would say that Len doesn't like you very
much......

- Mike KB3EIA -

522. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 14:47:21 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <wJOdnWsBHvh4v3DcRVn-1Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102089

Todd Daugherty wrote:

>
> Of course you have never heard my program which is nothing but amateur radio
> information and only amateur radio information..
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
So how about providing a schedule of your "information bulletins" so we
can tune in?

523. Re: Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 14:51:32 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <wJOdnWoBHvicuXDcRVn-1Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102090

FTIV wrote:

> Could someone please provide me with an update as to what happened to Roger
> Wiseman, AB8MQ?
>
>
>
Who the hell cares?

524. Re: Pecuniary Interest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 14:55:38 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <BdydnX0uNfGLuHDcRVn-uQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102091

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Pecuniary interest
> I read a lot of articles about one K1MAN and how many amateur radio
> operators feel, including the FCC about Glenn Baxter "broadcast" and how
> there's some "Pecuniary interest" in his broadcast; mainly referring to his
> website www.k1man.com. But many don't talk about W1AW and the American Radio
> Relay League (ARRL) and it "Pecuniary interest". Like the fact the ARRL on
> their web site not only does it whore itself out to amateur's to join as
> members. But, also make amateur pay a HIGH membership fee which continues to
> increase.

Uh, just how does the ARRL *MAKE* anyone pay a high membership fee? If
you feel it is too high, you don't have to join. If you join, it is by
your own choice.

But they also on their website offer for sale books and other
> stuff.

Do they advertise their web site on the air as K1MAN does?

525. Re: Pecuniary Interest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 14:56:31 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <BdydnXwuNfHNuHDcRVn-uQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102092

Dave Heil wrote:

>>the airways.
>
>
> Todd, I can't accept that the lines above are the conclusions that a
> rational and thinking man reaches. Either you're as dumb as you come
> off or you're ribbing us.
>
> Dave K8MN

I think he is dumb as they come.

526. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 16:22:40 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <kJaHd.1207$Ju1.840@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102093

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41eb613f$1_2@127.0.0.1...
: Content Control
: I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at 9.00 pm
: CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the amateur
: radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying; The reason it's dying
: isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the reason it's
dying
: is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's are under the
: belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur station.

Todd,

I saw some of your "content" on your blogsite. (Cut and pasted below) Is
this the kind of "content" you want to put on the ham bands?

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

- - - - N9OGL COMMENTS CUT/PASTED FROM HIS BLOG FOLLOW - - - -

Written by Todd Daugherty N9OGL

On February 8, 2002 the United States Court of Appeal ruled the Radio
Broadcast Preservation Act (RBPA-2000) was unconstitutional (Greg Ruggiero
vs. FCC 2002) then to a shock the court of appeals overturned it's own
decision ruling that the RBPA of 2000 was constitutional. (Greg Ruggiero Vs
FCC 2003). I'm writing this "paper" because I feel that there was something
that should have been said but wasn't and since I tell it as it is I will
say it. There is also a problem with the recent ruling (Greg Ruggiero Vs FCC
2003) which I've also added to this paper.

The first comes from that Bitch Judge Karen LeCraft Henderson who stated in
the 2002 ruling

First, you must not have to be very intelligent to be a fucking judge of the
court of appeal.it seems if they can let this dumb ass bitch and her little
bitch whore buddies on the bench then anyone can..

Did I fucking miss something here??? Listen bitch the FCC NEVER had any LPFM
rules prior to the passing of the LPFM rules in 1999. You and your fucking
whores in the Court of Appeal are the stupidest mother fuckers on the
planet. For years the FCC went around telling you that all a person had to
do was fill out an application and ask for a waiver..well guest what you

fucking sluts THE FCC FUCKING LIED TO YOU!!! The FCC has NEVER considered application and waiver for LPFM ..and you stupid fucks believed them all these years. That's why a lot of people went on the air..because the FCC wouldn't consider applications and waivers for a low power service. Bitch my group applied six times for a license with waiver and that is why we went pirate.

And now.2003 for the king bitch whore Ginsburg.

The commission proposed?? That's a bunch of shit the FCC didn't propose it someone else did in a Petition for Rulemaking.that's a bunch of fucking shit quit smoking your fucking crack.

The Congress responded not out of concern of what the FCC was doing but by the huge load of cash they were getting from the National Association of Broadcasters (NAB). My dickhead congressman John Shitless (Shinkus)

Got paid $14,000 from the NAB for the RBPA but that's ok..I'm going make sure that son of bitch mother fucker isn't elected again!

Then perhaps " former pirates" like WBCQ shouldn't have a license..and tell me you stupid fuck...when did the disregard to the legal process become legal..You stupid fuck head has basically given the FCC the go ahead to disregard not only the judiciary process but the Administrative Procedure Act (APA). Your stupid thinking is regardless to what a federal judge or an administrative judge says a pirate is a pirate regardless to what legal challenge they may bring and regardless of any fact or findings. Your fucking retarded thinking is that a pirate is guilty regardless of any evidence.well fuck, why do we do that with murders..Hell, Bob Butt much killed Joe Dickless but hey there's evidence he not guilty.fuck he's guilty regardless of any evidence. That the same fucking shit you did with pirates then it should apply to any other crime. Perhaps Mr. Ginsburg you should stop kissing congress and the federal government ass.

And now a few words from my favorite dickhead.Judge Randolph.

This has to be one of the stupidest mother fucker on the planet...No chilling effect??? How about the ability to allow an agency to disregard any legal proceeding as prescribed under the APA not to mention your next stupid fucks comment..

Despite what
you and your little bitch whore buddies in the NAB may think as the secretary of commerce Herbert Hoover once stated The First Amendment and radio fall hand in hand..and it stupid fucks like you in the court of appeal that are destroying not only free speech but destroying the legal process.

As for the U.S. Supreme Court..there just as fuck stupid as these fucking idiots..you know at one time I had great respect for the U.S. Supreme Court. But now..by allowing this stupid fucks to destroy the legal process and basically misread Red Lion Broadcasting and bend it to their own fucking will I think the U.S. Supreme Court has got to fuck hell and my respect is now gone. Because they along with those stupid fuck in the U.S. Court of

Appeal has failed to grasp reality of what is really go on in the world.

Todd Daugherty
Taylorville, Illinois
December 2003

527. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 17:07:34 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41ec6f1d$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102063

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:7WYGd.9810$C52.2142@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> >>So why are you broadcasting on a dead band?
> >>
> >
> > I'm "broadcasting" on a dead band so not to cause harmful interference.
> >
> >
>
> Aside from hams within about 50 miles of you, who's
> gonna be able to hear it? Still say the Internet is
> a better tool for this....
>

Well so could amateur radio. Let's get rid of amateur radio all together and all go to the internet. All the stuff the amateur do can all be done on the internet. I sure all the amateur bands could be used for another service. Think about it if all of the amateurs move to the internet they wouldn't have to worry about BPL.

> The rules on "content" just say "no pecuniary interest",
> no "broadcast of material other than stuff hams and
> noone else would be interested in" but 2 way or multi
> ham nets can talk about anything they want except offensive
> or indecent material or anything that would be pecuniary.
> Also no false distress calls and such either.
>
Pecuniary Interest means some kind of business or financial gain.This however is all true because the FCC has changed the rules to stuff that used to be consider "pecuniary intrest" and now is legal for amateurs. If amateurs aren't allowed to have pecuniary interest then W1AW shouldn't be allowed on the air because of it's pecuniary interest.

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

528. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 17:22:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118122216.11141.00000073@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 102094

In article <340l62F44gdotU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
<james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Perhaps you haven't heard of slow scan television? There are a number of
>methods of transmitting color pictures without the need for ascii character
>type graphics. The very first personal computers had very crude (or only
>ascii character) graphics. Why folks think that ham radio has stood still
>for 30 or 40 or 50 years beats the heck out of me ...

Ahem, Jim, the first thing that comes to mind is "morse code." :-)

Cheers,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 18 Jan 05

529. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 17:34:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ED4894.98429F3A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102095

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <340l62F44gdotU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
> <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> > The very first personal computers had very crude (or only
> > ascii character) graphics. Why folks think that ham radio has stood still
> >for 30 or 40 or 50 years beats the heck out of me ...
>
> Ahem, Jim, the first thing that comes to mind is "morse code." :-)

Then again, that's the first thing to come to your mind when someone
writes of helium balloons or of amateur radio in an emergency or of the
ARRL, or of military communications. :-) :-)

Dave K8MN

530. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 18:23:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106072634.399299.226020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102096

Dave Heil wrote:
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <340l62F44gdotU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
> > <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:
> >
> > > The very first personal computers had very crude (or only
> > > ascii character) graphics. Why folks think that ham radio has
stood still
> > >for 30 or 40 or 50 years beats the heck out of me ...
> >
> > Ahem, Jim, the first thing that comes to mind is "morse code."
:-)
>
> Then again, that's the first thing to come to your mind when someone
> writes of helium balloons or of amateur radio in an emergency or of
the
> ARRL, or of military communications.

I can see Lennie at the Shrink's office now.

"Mr Anderson, I will give you a word and you tell me the first
word that comes to mind...Ready?.....'Breast' "

"Morse Code".

"Army"

"Morse Code"

"Wife"

"Morse Code"

"Hamburger"

"Morse Code"

"Career"

"Morse Code"

"Morse Code"

"Suicide"

"I think we know what your problem is, Mr. Anderson."
73

Steve, K4YZ

531. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 18:56:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BcOdnQqd5ddAxnDcRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102098

Dave Heil wrote:
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>In article <340l62F44gdotU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
>><james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>> The very first personal computers had very crude (or only
>>>ascii character) graphics. Why folks think that ham radio has stood still
>>>for 30 or 40 or 50 years beats the heck out of me ...
>>
>> Ahem, Jim, the first thing that comes to mind is "morse code." :-)
>
>
> Then again, that's the first thing to come to your mind when someone
> writes of helium balloons or of amateur radio in an emergency or of the
> ARRL, or of military communications. :-) :-)

And there you have it. Somehow or another, every thread seems to get
turned into Morse code.

Morse code is like my socks. I like to wear them, but I don't always
want to talk about my socks.

Oddly enough, there are some who only want to talk about socks.

Or Morse code.

- Mike KB3EIA -

532. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 18:57:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106074640.521235.43190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102099

```
Mike Coslo wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >>In article <1104805413.143669.30250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
"bb"
> >><billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
> >>
> >>
> >>>"""Don't be coy, "bb". Tell us about how all the cell phones don't
stop
> >>>functioning."""
> >>>
> >>>They don't? Ever???
> >>
> >> Herr Kommandant lives in an on-off world, either key down or key
up.
> >> He can't seem to negotiate understanding the in-between. :-)
> >>
> >>
> > Herr Kommandant? Godwin invoked. You lose.
> > You certainly haven't described me. As one who enjoys playing
music,
> > particularly blues and jazz, I'm quite at home with flatted notes.
I
> > can also tell the subtle differences in a variety of prigs.
> >
> >
> >>>"""Tell us about amateur radio's role in an emergency."""
> >>>
> >>>They should do whatever they can with whatever they've got.
> >>
> >> Correct. That would be logical.
> >>
> >>
> > Quite right, Spock.
> >
> >
> >> However, das Kommandant bin a morseman for four decades
> >> and can't understand that the amateurs "will" take over when
> >> the government-commercial infrastructure fails in an emergency.
> >>
> >>
```

> > Are you certain that the above reads the way you intended?
> >
> >
> >> Some say that the infrastructure "always" fails. :-)
> >
> >
> > Hardwired communications infrastructure is much more likely to
fail.
> > All cellular phones interface to wire lines at some point.
> >
> >
> >>>"""I want to hear once again about how all of the infrastructure
is
> >>>never destroyed."""
> >>>
> >>>Sometimes it is, sometimes it isn't. Why do you say, "never?"
> >>
> >> Der Kommandant ich bin pissed, he troll and troll his boot but
> >> all his bouyancy tanks are flooded.
> >
> >
> > I have no idea what that is supposed to mean, Leonard.
> >
> >
> >>>"""The story I posted is in direct conflict with the song sung by
you
> >>>and
> >>>your newsgroup mentor."""
> >>>
> >>>Dave K8MN
> >>>
> >>>Sorry, David, but I'm not very musical. I did sing "RockyRaccoon"
once
> >>>after ingesting some bad branch water. I feel much better now.
> >>
> >> Der Kommandant wants to be Daddy Warbucks and have everyone
> >> sing "Tomorrow" from the musical "Annie."
> >>
> >> Unfortunately, the sun DID come out, and many years before.
But,
> >> struggling to work Frenchmen out of band, he was too busy to
> >> notice.
> >>
> >> Und zo, kleine newsgroupies, der Kommandant keeps snarling
> >> black for white all the time as if he were In Charge. He has NO
> >> verification that all amateurs can do as the ARRL states that
all
> >> amateurs do, hasn't claimed he has done it hisself, and
therefore
> >> tries to shift gears in his own shiftless way by going to

extremes.
> >
> >
> > Is this your attempt to appear demented?
>
> Occasionally I get some spam mail that kind of reads like that..
>
> >
> >> It's the usual old, tired bullshit by the PCTA extras: Attack
the
> >> messenger when they can't send a reply on the subject.
>
> WoW! I would never have expected to see that statement tacked onto
the
> end of a vicious personal attack!

I don't know WHY you wouldn't expect it, Mike!

Lennie's whole schtick is about being able to be a victim.

His whole career has been about being second place. Even when
he's been at the ehad of his class, he's attacked any and all arond him
as being "against" him. That's why he's never been at the head of the
class for long. That's why his only "professional" writings were in an
Amateur Radio journal.

> > Excuse me, Leonard, but it certainly looks as if that is precisely
what
> > you have done. My words to "bb" were about a subject. You have
drifted
> > off on a sea of German phrases.

And pseudo-German at that. It's Lennie's way of inferring that we
are Nazis, Brownshirts, etc etc etc. He's said as much before.

> If I did't know better, I would say that Len doesn't like you very
> much......

Lennie doesn't like anyone, Mike, and that INCLUDES Lennie. He
has no dignity or self-respect. A man that did wouldn't allow himself
to be so readily made a fool of over and over and over again. But he
DOES, and that alone speaks volumes of the character (or should I say
LACK of character) of the person.

73

Steve, K4YZ

533. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 18:57:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BcOdnQWd5dewwXDcRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102100

K4YZ wrote:

> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <340l62F44gdotU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
>>><james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>> The very first personal computers had very crude (or only
>>>>ascii character) graphics. Why folks think that ham radio has
>
> stood still
>
>>>>for 30 or 40 or 50 years beats the heck out of me ...
>>>
>>> Ahem, Jim, the first thing that comes to mind is "morse code."
>
> :-)
>
>>Then again, that's the first thing to come to your mind when someone
>>writes of helium balloons or of amateur radio in an emergency or of
>
> the
>
>>ARRL, or of military communications.
>
>
> I can see Lennie at the Shrink's office now.
>
> "Mr Anderson, I will give you a word and you tell me the first
> word that comes to mind...Ready?.....'Breast' "
>
> "Morse Code".
>
> "Army"
>
> "Morse Code"
>
> "Wife"
>
> "Morse Code"
>

> "Hamburger"
>
> "Morse Code"
>
> "Career"
>
> "Morse Code"
>
> "Morse Code"
>
> "Suicide"
>
> "I think we know what your problem is, Mr. Anderson."

HOWWWLLL! Post of the week, Steve!!!!!

- Mike KB3EIA -

534. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 19:00:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BcOdnQSd5ddFwXDcRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102101

Dave Heil wrote:

> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>In article <1104805657.039325.47210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
>><billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
>
>
>> Brian, this newsgroup be DIFFERENT than any other newsgroup.
>
>
> You may be gaining some insight, Leonard. If this newsgroup wasn't
> different from any other newsgroup, there'd be no need to have more than
> one newsgroup.
>
>
>> Everything gets redefined into what the PCTA extras want. It's
>> "their" hang-out, "their" little chat room where everything and
>> anything NOT involving morse code can be talked about, solved,
>> and all those against the morse code test can be defamed and
>> denigrated at their pleasure.
>
>
> You're leaving something out: It is a place where you can hang out,
> rant endlessly on something in which you play no part and snipe at
> amateur radio and radio amateurs.
>
>
>> The PCTA extras are "superior."
>
>
> It probably just seems that way to a guy with an inferiority complex.
>
>
>> They get angry into hissy-fits
>> when some of us don't kiss their divine I/O ports.
>
>
> I don't even know where my divine I/O port is.

If it is what I think it is, I got news for everyone. Mine is output
only! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA

535. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 19:02:40 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iaiskg0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102108

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 13:38:54 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:

>> Amateurs seem to believe that amateur radio should
>> be the idle dribble we call amateur radio.
>
>For God's sake, Todd, the word is "drivel" and I don't see your
>"broadcast" as being better stuff.

Now, now, Dave. Todd is self-proclaimed educated and an advisor to
legislators at the seat of government on the laws and future of
"micro- broadcasting". Surely he knows how to dribble the
information out....

>> The reason it's dying isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service.
>> No the reason it's dying is content control. Amateur radio
>> is dying because amateur's are under the belief that the
>> FCC can control the content of an amateur station.

I'm under the belief that the sun rises in the morning and sets in
the evening. Some things we *-just-* have to take on faith, it
seems.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

536. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 19:09:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118140925.06417.00000016@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 102106

In article <1105937973.895121.129770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>> > People get the
>> > impression that you think you're someone special.
>>
>> People? Name them.
>People on RRAP that think you're full of yourself.

Careful, Brian. Poop Dave the 1st gets spells of voiding hisself in
here periodically. Today (18th) he really voided. Whatever he
was full of seems to have spilled all over in here...

Remember also that we ordinary mundanes must NOT, under any
circumstances, say anything bad about PCTA extras or even dare
to oppose their viewpoints. They RULE in here.

This newsgroup works on democratic principles. We can say
anything we like...as long as we say it according to what the PCTA
extras approve. After all, "they serve their country in OTHER ways."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 18 Jan 05

537. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 19:09:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118140926.06417.00000017@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 102104

In article <41ECFBB9.DF88E6AA@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <1105932922.162342.105570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
>> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>
>> >N2EY wrote:
>> >> In article <uvadnS8K_PiydnTcRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >>>N2EY wrote:
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>>>In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>> >> >>
>> >> >> lenover21@aol.com
>> >> >>
>> >> >>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> Careful, Brian...remember that J.P. "serves his country" (by being
>> a super-special amateur morseman).
>
>Did Jim ever write anything like that, Leonard, or is it something you
>claim?

Which "Jim?"

Don't mighty macho morsemen "serve their country in OTHER ways?"

:-)

>
>> If you say nasty to him or Coslo, you will be accused of TRYING
>> TO DESTROY HAM RADIO!!!! :-)
>
>Say nasty? Why would you do that?

Say what?

Tsk. Mighty macho morsemen seem to think that removal of the
morse code test is "destroying ham radio!"

Tsk, tsk. I'll bet you do. You seem to want to CONTROL all to your way of thinking...ONLY your way...which is also what you've been brainwashed into thinking by some kind of organization headquartered in Newington.

You sure couldn't have learned your dictatorial ways in the State Department. State isn't quite that bad, despite what a lot of U.S. citizens (and a bunch of foreigners) think of it.

>"They" do? What are you doing, Leonard?

I'm TRYING to argue against the morse code test. You are trying to squelch such arguments by misdirection into defamation of any who are against the morse code test.

I'd say that is against democratic principles. You wouldn't. You control amateur radio because you are a participant. You claim "turf" and want to exclude non-participants...even just TALK about elimination of the morse code test.

Anyplace else that would be called "dictatorship." :-)

Yup, the World According To Dave is all about Beliefs in the Church of St. Hiram, Pope Dave the 1st as emperor. Obey Him! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 18 Jan 05

538. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 19:09:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118140927.06417.00000018@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 102105

In article <aJ6dnQD7l9GPgnDcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <1105932922.162342.105570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
>>><billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>In article <uvadnS8K_PiydnTcRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>>
>>>>
>>> Careful, Brian...remember that J.P. "serves his country" (by being
>>> a super-special amateur morseman).
>>
>>
>> Did Jim ever write anything like that, Leonard, or is it something you
>> claim?
>
> Jim never said the "serves his country", at least followed with the
>rest of that sentence. I wonder what the deal is with a qoute followed
>by an statement in paren? An inferred quote? OY!

Tsk. Don't YOU "serve your country in other ways?"

What are those "other" ways?

Are you preserving and protecting morse code for posterity like a
national treasure?

Or are you just preserving and protecting your posterior by running
around saying "I never said that and neither did anyone else?" :-)

>>
>>> If you say nasty to him or Coslo, you will be accused of TRYING
>>> TO DESTROY HAM RADIO!!!! :-)
>>
>>
>> Say nasty? Why would you do that?
>
> My deduction is taken from what he has written that is *not* the saying

>nasty part. The pejoratives and insults are just part of the background
>noise.

HYPOCRISY ALERT!

Tsk. Tsk. Tsk. PCTA extra Double Standard.

OK, let us work with your paranoia. Anyone against the morse code
test for a license is "destroying amateur radio!"

So...U.S. amateur radio is all about morse code and taking the morse
code test?

That seems very odd. In fact, it seems irrational...to normal folks.

But, to the irrational, plus those with such thin skins to be sorely
wounded by the slightest negativism against morse code, being
against the morse code test is "destroying amateur radio!"

I'm sure you feel obliged to sync your phants with those irrationalists.

>> "They" do? What are you doing, Leonard?

Trying to argue for the elimination of the morse code test. :-)

Tsk. There's so much background noise about personalities in
here it's almost impossible to get back to the subject.

Now, you go back to "serving your country in those OTHER ways."

Your country thanks you.

Did dit.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 18 Jan 05

539. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 19:09:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118140929.06417.00000020@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 102102

In article <41ED012B.847B3F7A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>
>> In article <20050116165246.05425.00000065@mb-m01.aol.com>,
>> Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>> >In article <crt90anme1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey
>Herman)
>> >writes:
>> >
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> > Posted 16 Jan 05
>>
>> Answering my same article twice? You replied to it on the 11th with
>> a different reply. How odd. You're like one of those homeless folks
>> mumbling to yourself.
>
>Careful Jeff! Len has two homes, no mortgages and a comfortable income.

You betcha. :-)

According to Poop Dave the 1st, I have "no motivation." Tsk.

Well, my wife and I have only one automobile. That is sufficient
motivation for most. Not to Poop Dave the 1st...nor to J.P. (who
serves his country in 'other' ways).

I won't pay tithe to the Church of St. Hiram nor kiss the ring of Poop
Dave. [no motivation to Obey His Magnificence you see]

>You don't have to be homeless to mumble to yourself.

Poop Dave the 1st is really voiding hisself in here today (18th). :-)

>The Anderson home comm center has glitches from time to time.

Only when the PCTA extras try to get in it and stamp out any
talk of eliminating the morse code test. Poor things are still
stuck worshiping the graven images of radiotelegraphy.

>It is, of course, all the fault of AOL.

It is? I don't think so. I think it is really due to the dictatorial
demands of the PCTA extras who rule out any hope of mentioning
anything They don't like.

Foolish me, believing in democratic principles. "CW" rules and always will. All must "motivate" themselves to be good lil morsemen in order to "prove themselves to the ham community."

Poop Dave the 1st has so ordered...and voided...again.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 18 Jan 05

540. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 19:09:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118140929.06417.00000019@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 102103

In article <ypKdnfgRGtDNy0bcRVn-vQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Lenof21 wrote:
>> In article <20050103205644.21488.00000486@mb-m11.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> (the paragon of Truth and Virtue, he say) writes:
>>
>>
>>>In article <41D9A958.4000909@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>>>
>>>>In article <XNidneo8zYzdhkncRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>>>>> Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>>>
>>>>>>Misquote, Len. Jim wrote "reporting". In that he is correct. Since so
>>>>>>many (most?) people have a cell phone, it stands to reason that the
>>>>>>initial report is more likely to be made by a person using a cell phone.
>>>
>>>That's it, Mike. Of course there was a time, not so many years ago, when
>cell
>>>phones weren't very common, but hams with mobile rigs were.
>>
>>
>> ...must have been in a galaxy far, far away from this one. :-)
>>
>> Lovely NON-applicable factoid, doesn't explain anything. Of
>> course there were radio amateurs with mobile radios "not so
>> many years ago." There still are.
>>
>> But, there are NO facts presented that those mobile radios
>> were used in emergencies. If they were, there are NO facts
>> presented as to any percentage of "emergency operations"
>> relative to normal amateur radio hobby use.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>> Here's a "plain simple fact:" I replied to J.P.Miccolis' message
>>>>> and quoted his EXACT WORDS.

>>>
>>>Looks like a misquote on Len's part.
>>
>>
>> Tsk. J.P. "never said that." ? :-)
>>
>> Of course he never said that. He WROTE it. :-)
>>
>>
>>
>>>>Do you mean when Jim posted:
>>>
>>>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
>>>>Jim >911-type
>>>>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.
>>>
>>>>and you wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>>>
>>>>> Ain't no "misquote."
>>>
>>>Sure is. But I don't think Len will admit it, Mike.
>>
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. The "911" communications system was never designed
>> around radio amateurs.
>>
>> [this is beginning to sound like another wish-fulfillment statement
>> done in here by another, "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur
>> radio!" :-)]
>>
>>
>>>>Jim wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most of the
>>>>Jim >911-type
>>>>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.
>>>>
>>>>and you wrote:
>>>>
>>>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
>>>
>>>>Where exactly did Jim say that Hams used to do the 911 communications?
>>>
>>>Not in any post I can recall.
>>
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Verbatim copying of actual, posted statements
>> just a few days ago "can't be recalled?"

>>
>> Bad case of short-term memory loss. See a real MD.
>>
>>
>>>>> Now you go read my reply and, if you have arguments, you can
>>>>> go off and argue with the U.S. Census Bureau, NASA, the FCC,
>>>>> and, for emotional finger-pointing, the families of the astronauts
>>>>> killed in the shuttle burn-up. Whoever.
>>>
>>>Looks like Len is telling you not to differ with *him*, Mike. Looks like
>he's
>>>trying to tell you to stop pointing out his misquote.
>>
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk. Ol' George (Orwell) should have been around
>> to see this parody of "1984." :-)
>>
>> J.P. denies having said something (or wrote) and Coslo keeps
>> trying to stick his hockey puck in here, and both are having a
>> fun time pretending to be honorable and virtuous paragons of
>> truth, justice, and the ARRL way.
>
> I'll admit, I am having fun.
>
>> Seems like there SHALL BE NO ARGUMENTS WITH PCTA
>> EXTRAS!!! Ever. :-)
>
> Oh dear, I know at least Jim and myself love a good row! I like
>accurate quotes tho'!

Tsk. You two "row" your boat all on dry land.

Fix your leaks and you can try it in the water.

>>>>If you don't like my messages, I suggest you do the same.
>>>
>>>Sounds like good advice. Of course the author of that one won't take his
>own
>>>advice...
>>
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk. J.P. didn't take such advice. :-)
>>
>> Coslo keeps jumping in on others postings, acting like an immoderate
>> moderator in an unmoderated forum.
>
> Don't you just *hate it* when that happens?
>
>> It's a Fantasyland. The "911" emergency call system was NOT
>> established with or for amateur use, yet the two paragons keep
>> trying to say it was and that hams DID "911" comms (even though

>> it did not exist then).
>
> Good point. No one said that though.

It was all written, not said. :-)

Let's see...the 911 emergency call system was implemented
on the telephone infrastructure. It wasn't implemented through
amateur radio. Really.

Yes, some amateur repeater operators have added the ability to
access the TELEPHONE SYSTEM 911 calling. At least I am
told this. :-)

So, by the miraculous wish-fulfillment properties of the self-
righteous, you now want to infer/imply/never-say-outright (or
whatever you do or claim) that amateur radio is responsible for
the creation and continuance of the 911 emergency calling
system?

>> Twilight Zone. Lost in Space. Outer Limits.
>>
>> Jeff Herman said that hams can do communications with zero power
>> output.

"CW gets through when nothing else will."

Gosh, that sounds like "CW" doesn't even need electricity! :-)

Breakthrough in physics! :-)

>> J.P. implied that ham HTs were the only practical means of comms
>> among shuttle burn-up debris searching. [all the rest of those
>> thousands and thousands of government and agency HTs were
>> apparently inadequate in the Fantasyland]
>
> Where on earth did he say that? Another of these "quotes"?

According to the rec.radio.amateur.policy newsgroup postings.

Tsk. Is AOL giving you trouble also?

> Hams used VHF HF, and an internet link during the debris search. I
>don't know if they used UHF or not

You were THERE? Along the debris footprint? Did you find anything?

Or didn't you just repeat the tale told to you? Which is it?

NASA doesn't say that hams were the ONLY ones looking for debris.

Well, I should cut you some slack. With all that "serving your
country in OTHER ways," it must make you tired and grouchy.
Get some rest. You will feel better.

>> This is getting to be like Laugh-In but without the humor...
>
> It is there, you just have to look for it!

Yes, it is there. But the "humor" isn't funny.

Problem is, "Laugh-In" is a defunct TV show.

Lots of the myths of morse have been defunct longer...but they still
live on like ghouls or zombies. You have ghoul friends?

Continue to "serve your country in those OTHER ways," good soldier.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 18 Jan 05

541. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 19:18:58 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iaitbm1.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102109

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 16:22:40 GMT, Mel A. Nomah wrote:

>Todd,
>
>I saw some of your "content" on your blogsite. (Cut and pasted below) Is
>this the kind of "content" you want to put on the ham bands?

>- - - - N9OGL COMMENTS CUT/PASTED FROM HIS BLOG FOLLOW - - - -
>
>Written by Todd Daugherty N9OGL
>
>
>On February 8, 2002 the United States Court of Appeal ruled the Radio
>Broadcast Preservation Act (RBPA-2000) was unconstitutional (Greg Ruggiero
>vs. FCC 2002) then to a shock the court of appeals overturned it's own
>decision ruling that the RBPA of 2000 was constitutional. (Greg Ruggiero Vs
>FCC 2003). I'm writing this "paper" because I feel that there was something
>that should have been said but wasn't and since I tell it as it is I will
>say it. There is also a problem with the recent ruling (Greg Ruggiero Vs FCC
>2003) which I've also added to this paper.
>
>And now.2003 for the king bitch whore Ginsburg.

[balance ejected into barf-bag and discarded]

To quote Ginsburg, J. from the 2003 ruling: "This is nonsense on
stilts".

A wonderful turn of phrase.

Dit-dit-dit-dah-di-dah.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

542. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 19:29:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106076558.970068.70060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102107

Dave Heil wrote:

> > Heh heh heh. The beauty of computer-modem communications
> > have been demonstrated time and time again since ARPANET
> > evolved to allow messaging, thus creating the original USENET
> > (acronym for 'university network').
>
> "Source: Jargon File (4.3.1, 29 Jun 2001)
>
> Usenet /yoos'net/ or /yooz'net/ n. [from `Users' Network'; the
original
> spelling was USENET, but the mixed-case form is now widely preferred]
A
> distributed bboard (bulletin board) system supported mainly by Unix
> machines. Originally implemented in 1979-1980 by Steve Bellovin, Jim
> Ellis, Tom Truscott, and Steve Daniel at Duke University, it has
swiftly
> grown to become international in scope and is now probably the
largest
> decentralized information utility in existence. As of early 1996, it
> hosts over 10,000 newsgroups and an average of over 500 megabytes
(the
> equivalent of several thousand paper pages) of new technical
articles,
> news, discussion, chatter, and flamage every day (and that leaves out
> the graphics...)."
>
> Don't you get anything right, Len?

Why should he start today, Dave?

It's yet another claim of his "seniority" in another
technically-related "career" that he's obviously NOT very competent at.

We're STILL awaiting his retraction of his assertions about the
legality of operating a radio station beyond the expiration date of the
license.

Odd he'd make that claim since EVERY federal examination, Amateur
or Commercial, has questions specifically addressing the subject of
license terms and limits.

> > What everyone can get is much of the slanted propagandizing of
> > various organizations and groups, parroted phrasing repeated by
> > some others as if they were the blessed sayings of the divine.
> > That is carried over to all forms of beliefs from hobbies to

politics.
>
> You left out "individuals", Len. We've seen countless examples of
your
> output concerning amateur radio, a hobby in which you do not
> participate.

Nor is he very knowledgeable. Example after example of his
INcompetence abound.

> > Some of the Believers can get Outraged at any negativisms of the
> > Belief System they have bought (or been psychologically
> > purchased) into and seem to want to Fight To The Death about it.
> > Computer-modem communications allows them to express their
> > Compleat Anger quickly...and so the infamous Flame Wars begin.
>
> You Get Outraged Regarding Morse Testing. You Snipe At The ARRL.
You
> Snipe At Radio Amateurs. You Want To Fight About It. You Express
Your
> Anger. You Partipate In Flame Wars (But Not In Amateur Radio).

We're also still awating his delivery of evidence to support his
claim of dishonesty of the ARRL in general and the Board members in
particular.

I am also curios to see his supporting evidence of HIS claim of
radio services specifically enacted for "purely recreational" uses.
That's not been forthcoming either.

He also got off on a tangent trying to humiliate me over Civil Air
Patrol and started in on CAP's AT-6 and other alleged warbird fleet
that I was an "ace aviator" of... (yet another field of knowledge for
which Lennie has no experience...) I am still waiting for his
supporting evidence on THOSE claims... CAP's only "warbird" remenants
are an O-1 Birddog on a pedestel outside it's offices in Alabama.

> > The unfortunate side of the coin is that the same technology
that
> > allows widespread textual communications also allows storage
> > of all the communiques for a long time, reproduction of content
> > possible (in many cases) by anyone with access. Those that
> > dared to speak their mind "in public" should remember that their
> > words - en toto - are still out there and could be retrieved for
some
> > "moot court" proceedings by Outraged "barracks lawyers" in a
> > newsgroup. Such is a many-edged sword waiting to be drawn by
> > anyone...it can cut every which way and results only in more
> > useless energy-expenditure by all concerned.
>
> An usual term, "the unfortunate side of the coin". I'm sure that the
> Google archives are, for you, the unfortunate side of the coin.

As were Deja before it, etc etc etc...As I said in another post,
Lennie is his own worst enemy.

> > Anyone with some experience, practice, and observation of the
> > various human beans roaming the planet can do "button-
> > pressing" of others. Those who are pressed may escalate their
> > frustrations and anger into kiloton explosive rages. Those can
> > smoolder for years and erupt into conflagrations of righteous
fire
> > (with brimstone) at any moment. Examples abound in this
> > newsgroup as well as many others.
>
> Yeah, watch that "smooldering".

Seems to me that Lennie is the only one with any "smooldering"
going on.

By the way, under Lennie's own "Rules of Engagement", typos
represent "anger" or "madness"...Funny then that he'd suffer a case of
typoglycemia about "smoldering"... ! !

> > The curiosity (to me) is the steadfast Righteousness of some in
> > their Beliefs, specifically in a hobby activity done
(supposedly) for
> > individual enjoyment and general recreation.
>
> How is that any more curious than one who is not involved in a hobby
who
> maintains a Righteousness In His Beliefs over a hobby activity in
which
> he is not involved?

Even more so where there is not a single line of federal
regulation within any of the FCC's rules and regulations that addresses
"hobby activity" or "general recreation" within Part 97. That it may
be used for such is obvious, however there are people who view
Emergency Medical Services, criminal forensics and domestic abuse
intervention advocacy as "hobbies" too. His "point" about "hobby" is
irrelevent..in spades...

73

Steve, K4YZ

543. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 19:49:33 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118144933.01270.00000047@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 102110

In article <BcOdnQqd5ddAxnDcRVn-tA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <340l62F44gdotU1@individual.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON"
>>><james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>> The very first personal computers had very crude (or only
>>>>ascii character) graphics. Why folks think that ham radio has stood still
>>>>for 30 or 40 or 50 years beats the heck out of me ...
>>>
>>> Ahem, Jim, the first thing that comes to mind is "morse code." :-)
>>
>>
>> Then again, that's the first thing to come to your mind when someone
>> writes of helium balloons or of amateur radio in an emergency or of the
>> ARRL, or of military communications. :-) :-)
>
> And there you have it. Somehow or another, every thread seems to get
>turned into Morse code.
>
> Morse code is like my socks. I like to wear them, but I don't always
>want to talk about my socks.

"Morse code is like your socks?!?"

That is indeed strange!

Shall we "sock it to you?" [defunct TV show phrase]

Do you use a straight sock or do you have bugs in your shoes?

> Oddly enough, there are some who only want to talk about socks.

You have to quit hanging around those foot fetishists.

Are you demanding all who take a U.S. amateur radio license test
wear socks?

Why?

Maybe you want restaurants to change their "no shoes, no service"
signs to include "no morse code ability, no service?"

How long have you had this obsession about socks?

Do you like to use the phrase "that socks?"

You are most strange.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted 18 Jan 05

544. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 19:49:35 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118144935.01270.00000048@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 102111

In article <1104948546.014419.204310@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
N2EY@AOL.COM writes:

>Of course it's also possible that Len has gotten so desperate for
>attention that he's intentionally posting untrue things (like the
>legality of operating with an expired license mistake) just to get a
>response.

"Desperately?!?"

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHEHEHEHEHEHEH.

Excuse me, yer lordship, lost control there... Bwhaha...oops.

>After all, how does it affect *him* if some ham reads his
>words, thinks they're true, and operates illegally?

HAMS rule amateur radio.

FCC doesn't require commissioners or staff to hold amateur radio
licenses in order to regulate U.S. amateur radio.

Ergo, J.P. logic has it that NO HAM SHOULD OBEY THE FCC
IF THEY DON'T HAVE HAM LICENSES!

Poop Dave the 1st would claim the FCC isn't "motivated."

The Coslonaut (heading for outer space) only wants to talk
about socks. Is he really a sock-tucker?

The Avenging Angle keeps nurturing his murderous hatred.

The University Lecturer wants to talk about highways.

This newsgroup be gettin' FUN-EEEE! :-)

Too bad the PCTA extras aren't talking about amateur radio
or the morse code test issue.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 18 Jan 05

545. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 19:59:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106078384.527805.113120@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102112

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <ypKdnfgRGtDNy0bcRVn-vQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >Lenof21 wrote:
> >> In article <20050103205644.21488.00000486@mb-m11.aol.com>,
> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> (the paragon of Truth and Virtue, he say) writes:
> >>
> >>
> >>>In article <41D9A958.4000909@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo
<mjc5remove@psu.edu>
> >>>writes:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Lenof21 wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>In article <XNidneo8zYzdhkncRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>>
> >>>>>> Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
> >>>
> >>>>>Misquote, Len. Jim wrote "reporting". In that he is correct.
Since so
> >>>>>many (most?) people have a cell phone, it stands to reason that
the
> >>>>>initial report is more likely to be made by a person using a
cell phone.
> >>>
> >>>That's it, Mike. Of course there was a time, not so many years
ago, when
> >cell
> >>>phones weren't very common, but hams with mobile rigs were.
> >>
> >>
> >> ...must have been in a galaxy far, far away from this one. :-)
> >>
> >> Lovely NON-applicable factoid, doesn't explain anything. Of
> >> course there were radio amateurs with mobile radios "not so
> >> many years ago." There still are.
> >>
> >> But, there are NO facts presented that those mobile radios
> >> were used in emergencies. If they were, there are NO facts
> >> presented as to any percentage of "emergency operations"

> >> relative to normal amateur radio hobby use.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>>> Here's a "plain simple fact:" I replied to J.P.Miccolis'
message
> >>>>> and quoted his EXACT WORDS.
> >>>
> >>>Looks like a misquote on Len's part.
> >>
> >>
> >> Tsk. J.P. "never said that." ? :-)
> >>
> >> Of course he never said that. He WROTE it. :-)
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>>Do you mean when Jim posted:
> >>>
> >>>>Jim >What *has* happened is that cell phones do much if not most
of the
> >>>>Jim >911-type
> >>>>Jim >reporting that we hams used to do.
> >>>
> >>>>and you wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>Lenover21>Really? "Hams used to do the 911 communications?"
> >>>
> >>>>> Ain't no "misquote."
> >>>
> >>>Sure is. But I don't think Len will admit it, Mike.
> >>
> >>
> >> Tsk, tsk. The "911" communications system was never designed
> >> around radio amateurs.
> >>
> >> [this is beginning to sound like another wish-fulfillment
statement
> >> done in here by another, "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur
> >> radio!" :-)]

No "wish fulfillment", Lennie.

> > Oh dear, I know at least Jim and myself love a good row! I like
> >accurate quotes tho'!
>
> Tsk. You two "row" your boat all on dry land.
>
> Fix your leaks and you can try it in the water.

Leonard, YOU have absolutely NO BUSINESS redressing ANYONE about
"fixing leaks".

Your rants in this forum are so frought with holes that if you
were the Titanic, you would have sunk before the lookouts in the crow's
nest had a chance to say "ICE Berrrrrrr........"

> >> It's a Fantasyland. The "911" emergency call system was NOT
> >> established with or for amateur use, yet the two paragons keep
> >> trying to say it was and that hams DID "911" comms (even though
> >> it did not exist then).
> >
> > Good point. No one said that though.
>
> It was all written, not said.

Yes, it was. And you continue to quote out-of-context.

> Let's see...the 911 emergency call system was implemented
> on the telephone infrastructure. It wasn't implemented through
> amateur radio. Really.

The IN-CONTEXT parts that you continue to snip in order to make it
work for you is that PRIOR to the proliferation of the cellphone,
Amateur Radio was one of the principal methods of interface with 9-1-1
for the delivery of emergency calls.

It remains an important part of that network. Almost every agency
of Federal, state and local government has some provision for the
implementation of Amateur-supported alternatives to both the cellphone
and landline communications nets.

This is not "wish fulfillment" or fantasy. It is documented fact.

> Yes, some amateur repeater operators have added the ability to
> access the TELEPHONE SYSTEM 911 calling. At least I am
> told this.

And BEING told this is the only way you'd know.

Embarrassing, wot, that some elementary and middle school kids
have more practical knowledge on some aspects of "emergency comms" than
an octogenarian "radio professional", eh...???

> So, by the miraculous wish-fulfillment properties of the self-
> righteous, you now want to infer/imply/never-say-outright (or
> whatever you do or claim) that amateur radio is responsible for
> the creation and continuance of the 911 emergency calling
> system?

Nope...that's a "wish fulfillment" opportunity on YOUR part,
Lennie.

> >> Twilight Zone. Lost in Space. Outer Limits.
> >>

> >> Jeff Herman said that hams can do communications with zero
power
> >> output.
>
> "CW gets through when nothing else will."
>
> Gosh, that sounds like "CW" doesn't even need electricity!

> Breakthrough in physics!

The "breakthrough in physics" will come when they can create an
energy source that can get you off your fat gluteus and into a test
session like you siad you'd do FIVE YEAR AGO.

> >> J.P. implied that ham HTs were the only practical means of
comms
> >> among shuttle burn-up debris searching. [all the rest of those
> >> thousands and thousands of government and agency HTs were
> >> apparently inadequate in the Fantasyland]
> >
> > Where on earth did he say that? Another of these "quotes"?
>
> According to the rec.radio.amateur.policy newsgroup postings.
>
> Tsk. Is AOL giving you trouble also?

He never said any such thing...except in your warped efforts to
try and twist what WAS said.

> > Hams used VHF HF, and an internet link during the debris search. I
> >don't know if they used UHF or not
>
> You were THERE? Along the debris footprint? Did you find
anything?
>
> Or didn't you just repeat the tale told to you? Which is it?
>
> NASA doesn't say that hams were the ONLY ones looking for debris.

No, they weren't.

However the radio spectrum from 136Mhz to 156Mhz was the prefered
method of ground team communications, and much of that between 144Mhz
to 148Mhz.

> Well, I should cut you some slack. With all that "serving your
> country in OTHER ways," it must make you tired and grouchy.
> Get some rest. You will feel better.

Professional mental health counselling would help YOU,
Lennie...and NOT that K-Tel, correspondence course stuff Mommy does.

Oh...I forgot...No kids..Sorry..That was ANOTHER of yet a few dozen disciplines for which you have no practical experience.

> >> This is getting to be like Laugh-In but without the humor...
> >
> > It is there, you just have to look for it!
>
> Yes, it is there. But the "humor" isn't funny.

We ahve yet to see any from you, Lennie...except for some bizzare, self-titillating stuff you seem to enjoy for some resaon.

> Problem is, "Laugh-In" is a defunct TV show.

You don't seem to have a grip on that though, Lennie.

For the longest time your AOL "profile" imagined you as the scruffy character played by Arte Johnson who got clobbered by Ruth Buzzy's "bag lady" character when he made inappropriate suggestions to her.

Seems to me that you've made a career of making inappropriate suggestions to people on a whole range of subjects and you seem to ENJOY getting clobbered for your stupidity.

> Lots of the myths of morse have been defunct longer...but they
still
> live on like ghouls or zombies. You have ghoul friends?

You do. Roll over an give her a big wet one, Lennie. And tell her it's almost noon...take off the blinders.

> Continue to "serve your country in those OTHER ways," good
soldier.

How do YOU "continue to serve your country", Lennie? Yet another epoch ended in 1956?

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

546. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 20:03:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <tb2dnavBPooW9nDcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102113

K4YZ wrote:
> By the way, under Lennie's own "Rules of Engagement", typos
> represent "anger" or "madness"...

In my case it is forgetting to use the spell checker. My speling is bad whether I'm angey or in a state of bliss!

> Funny then that he'd suffer a case of typoglycemia about "smoldering"... ! !

HAR!. Steve, yer on a roll! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

547. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 20:11:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <tICdnRDG1frL8HDcRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102114

Lenof21 wrote:

> In article <1104948546.014419.204310@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> N2EY@AOL.COM writes:
>
>
>>Of course it's also possible that Len has gotten so desperate for
>>attention that he's intentionally posting untrue things (like the
>>legality of operating with an expired license mistake) just to get a
>>response.
>
>
> "Desperately?!?"

Umm, no. "desperate"

> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHEHEHEHEHEHEH.
>
> Excuse me, yer lordship, lost control there... Bwhaha...oops.
>
>
>>After all, how does it affect *him* if some ham reads his
>>words, thinks they're true, and operates illegally?
>
>
> HAMS rule amateur radio.
>
> FCC doesn't require commissioners or staff to hold amateur radio
> licenses in order to regulate U.S. amateur radio.
>
> Ergo, J.P. logic has it that NO HAM SHOULD OBEY THE FCC
> IF THEY DON'T HAVE HAM LICENSES!

?!?!?

> Poop Dave the 1st would claim the FCC isn't "motivated."
>
> The Coslonaut (heading for outer space) only wants to talk
> about socks. Is he really a sock-tucker?
>
> The Avenging Angle keeps nurturing his murderous hatred.
>
> The University Lecturer wants to talk about highways.

That part wasn't too bad, I got a chuckle out of it.

> This newsgroup be gettin' FUN-EEEE! :-)

We cudden't do it witout ya! 8^)

> Too bad the PCTA extras aren't talking about amateur radio
> or the morse code test issue.

Start a thread about the Morse code issue.

Personally, I don't have anywhere near as high an interest in Morse code
as you do.

548. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 20:13:29 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iaivuh2.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102118

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 15:03:24 -0500, Mike Coslo wrote:

>> Funny then that he'd suffer a case of typoglycemia about "smoldering"... ! !
>
>HAR!. Steve, yer on a roll! 8^)

There have been times that my "soldering" has resulted in something
"smoldering".....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

549. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 20:14:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <tICdnRPG1fqA83DcRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102115

Lenof21 wrote:

> You are most strange.

I think it's the company I keep. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

550. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 20:14:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106079276.858923.178350@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102116

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <1104948546.014419.204310@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> N2EY@AOL.COM writes:
>
> >Of course it's also possible that Len has gotten so desperate for
> >attention that he's intentionally posting untrue things (like the
> >legality of operating with an expired license mistake) just to get a
> >response.
>
> "Desperately?!?"
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHEHEHEHEHEHEH.
>
> Excuse me, yer lordship, lost control there... Bwhaha...oops.

You lose control EVERYwhere, Lennie. We expect it.

> >After all, how does it affect *him* if some ham reads his
> >words, thinks they're true, and operates illegally?
>
> HAMS rule amateur radio.
>
> FCC doesn't require commissioners or staff to hold amateur radio
> licenses in order to regulate U.S. amateur radio.

Which has absolutely NO bearing on YOUR assertion, and failure to
retract same, about the legality of operating after the end of the term
of license.

You have previously claimed to be a "radio professional".

Your posts herein present evidence to the contrary.

> Ergo, J.P. logic has it that NO HAM SHOULD OBEY THE FCC
> IF THEY DON'T HAVE HAM LICENSES!

A logical person would realize that a perosn is NOT a Ham if they
don't have a license.

Surprising that you didn't catch this, Lennie, since YOU are IN
that category.

> Poop Dave the 1st would claim the FCC isn't "motivated."
>
> The Coslonaut (heading for outer space) only wants to talk
> about socks. Is he really a sock-tucker?

Hmmmm....Yet ANOTHER example of Lennie initiating diminutives when
not the recipient of same from that person.

Why does Lennie continue to present evidence that supports
everyone ELSES contention that he's a spiteful and deceitful creep?

> The Avenging Angle keeps nurturing his murderous hatred.

If anyone, least of all me, was nuturing "murderous hatred"
against you, Lennie, you would have long ago been relegated to "curious
footnote" in the history of this newsgroup. The opportunities and the
technology are just too numerous and available to have not availed
themselves to your overdue demise.

> The University Lecturer wants to talk about highways.

And he IS a university lecturer. And YOU were...?!?!

> This newsgroup be gettin' FUN-EEEE!

Help, Lennie...seek HELP...And NOT from spouses' who's certificate
are endorsed "K-Tel Skool of Sigh-Kyatry"

> Too bad the PCTA extras aren't talking about amateur radio
> or the morse code test issue.

We do. This is not the ONLY forum in which we can express
ourselves. Since we HAVE Amateur licenses, we can actually discuss
AMATEUR matters ON-THE-AIR!

You, on the otherhand, and with the exceptions of cellphones,
cordless exensions, and CB radio, cannot.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

551. Re: Handheld works, Cell Phone Doesn't

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 20:18:34 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <tICdnRLG1fqE8nDcRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102117

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Yes, it is there. But the "humor" isn't funny.
>
> Problem is, "Laugh-In" is a defunct TV show.
>
> Lots of the myths of morse have been defunct longer...but they still
> live on like ghouls or zombies. You have ghoul friends?
>
> Continue to "serve your country in those OTHER ways," good soldier.

Replying a second time to an old post? BTW, I'm not a soldier.

- Mike KB3EIA -

552. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 20:22:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102119

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <41ECFBB9.DF88E6AA@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
>
>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <1105932922.162342.105570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
>>><billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>In article <uvadnS8K_PiydnTcRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>In article <20050113154854.24384.00000023@mb-m03.aol.com>,
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>(Len Over 21) writes:
>>>>
>>>> Careful, Brian...remember that J.P. "serves his country" (by being
>>> a super-special amateur morseman).
>>>
>>Did Jim ever write anything like that, Leonard, or is it something you
>>claim?
>
>
> Which "Jim?"
>
> Don't mighty macho morsemen "serve their country in OTHER ways?"

>
> :-)
>
>
>>> If you say nasty to him or Coslo, you will be accused of TRYING
>>> TO DESTROY HAM RADIO!!!! :-)
>>
>>Say nasty? Why would you do that?
>
>
> Say what?
>
> Tsk. Mighty macho morsemen seem to think that removal of the
> morse code test is "destroying ham radio!"
>
> Tsk, tsk. I'll bet you do. You seem to want to CONTROL all to
> your way of thinking...ONLY your way...which is also what you've
> been brainwashed into thinking by some kind of organization
> headquartered in Newington.
>
> You sure couldn't have learned your dictatorial ways in the State
> Department. State isn't quite that bad, despite what a lot of
> U.S. citizens (and a bunch of foreigners) think of it.
>
>
>
>>"They" do? What are you doing, Leonard?
>
>
> I'm TRYING to argue against the morse code test. You are trying
> to squelch such arguments by misdirection into defamation of any
> who are against the morse code test.

Hmm, you possibly could:

Start a Morse code thread

Try to refrain from the pejoratives

You never know what might happen.

Then again, I suspect you enjoy things just the way they are. 8^)

> I'd say that is against democratic principles. You wouldn't. You
> control amateur radio because you are a participant. You claim
> "turf" and want to exclude non-participants...even just TALK about
> elimination of the morse code test.
>
> Anyplace else that would be called "dictatorship." :-)
>
> Yup, the World According To Dave is all about Beliefs in the Church
> of St. Hiram, Pope Dave the 1st as emperor. Obey Him! :-)

>

.....shudder.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

553. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 20:31:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106080319.845093.252590@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102120

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <aJ6dnQD7l9GPgnDcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> > Jim never said the "serves his country", at least followed with the

> >rest of that sentence. I wonder what the deal is with a qoute
followed
> >by an statement in paren? An inferred quote? OY!
>
> Tsk. Don't YOU "serve your country in other ways?"
>
> What are those "other" ways?
>
> Are you preserving and protecting morse code for posterity like a
> national treasure?
>
> Or are you just preserving and protecting your posterior by
running
> around saying "I never said that and neither did anyone else?"

What ways are YOU serving, Lennie?

Filling sandbags at one mysterious "diaster" in 19 70-something
was the LAST contribution we were treated to.

Of course, considering your embittered and belittling rants
against anyone who dares to do anything that doesn't involve an
exchange of coin-of-the-realm for thier services, one could
successfully argue that by staying out of our way was, in it's own
twisted way, a "service". You'd just get your feelings trampelled on
when no one immediately recognized your infinite wisdom on yet ANOTHER
discipline in which you have no practical experience and you'd then be
on yet another rantfest.

> So...U.S. amateur radio is all about morse code and taking the
morse
> code test?
>
> That seems very odd. In fact, it seems irrational...to normal
folks.

Actually, YOU are the ONLY one in this forum trying to make that
assertion!

That you are neither an Amateur licensee, nor have you ever been
one is the really irrational part.

> Tsk. There's so much background noise about personalities in
> here it's almost impossible to get back to the subject.

There's nothing to discuss. Lennie.

Nothing else said in this forum will affect the decisions to be made in Washington.

> Now, you go back to "serving your country in those OTHER ways."

In what ways do YOU serve your country, Lennie?

Please do not tell us about all those battlefield sacrifices in 1950. You weren't there. If you suffered so much as a scuffed knee in the Army, it was from being down on them trying to convince SOMEone of your value to the Army.

As we can see, it was ineffectual.

> Your country thanks you.

Yours would thank you, Lennie, if we could find something in the last 50 years to thank you FOR.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

554. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 20:37:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <puadncjkCv0O7nDcRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102121

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <aJ6dnQD7l9GPgnDcRVn-pg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

<some snippage for clarity>

>>>
>>>> Careful, Brian...remember that J.P. "serves his country" (by being
>>>> a super-special amateur morseman).
>>>
>>>
>>>Did Jim ever write anything like that, Leonard, or is it something you
>>>claim?
>>
>> Jim never said the "serves his country", at least followed with the
>>rest of that sentence. I wonder what the deal is with a qoute followed
>>by an statement in paren? An inferred quote? OY!
>
>
> Tsk. Don't YOU "serve your country in other ways?"

Well, I'm off sick today. But otherwise yes.

> What are those "other" ways?

You could try to find out

> Are you preserving and protecting morse code for posterity like a
> national treasure?

No. I don't have anything to do with Morse code.

> Or are you just preserving and protecting your posterior by running
> around saying "I never said that and neither did anyone else?" :-)

That is a job much better done by others.

>>>> If you say nasty to him or Coslo, you will be accused of TRYING
>>>> TO DESTROY HAM RADIO!!!! :-)
>>>
>>>
>>>Say nasty? Why would you do that?
>>
>> My deduction is taken from what he has written that is *not* the saying
>>nasty part. The pejoratives and insults are just part of the background
>>noise.
>

>
> HYPOCRISY ALERT!
>
> Tsk. Tsk. Tsk. PCTA extra Double Standard.
>
> OK, let us work with your paranoia. Anyone against the morse code
> test for a license is "destroying amateur radio!"

Incorrect. There is a world of difference between yourself and people
such as Bill Sohl and Carl Stevenson.

> So...U.S. amateur radio is all about morse code and taking the morse
> code test?

> That seems very odd. In fact, it seems irrational...to normal folks.

As it should.

> But, to the irrational, plus those with such thin skins to be sorely
> wounded by the slightest negativism against morse code, being
> against the morse code test is "destroying amateur radio!"
>
> I'm sure you feel obliged to sync your phants with those irrationalists.
>
>
>
>>>"They" do? What are you doing, Leonard?
>
>
> Trying to argue for the elimination of the morse code test. :-)

Well start some Morse code threads! 8^) I might even drop in on them
from time to time.

> Tsk. There's so much background noise about personalities in
> here it's almost impossible to get back to the subject.

I believe that is your job.

555. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 20:46:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106081190.412517.314800@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102122

Len Over 21 wrote:

>After all, "they serve their country in OTHER ways."

Still waiting to see in what "other ways" Lennie serves HIS
country.

SINCE the assassination of President Kennedy would be nice, since
we can't seem to get Lennie out of 1953.

Reminds me...A contemporary music group called "Bowling for Soup"
has a side-splitter of a song called "1985". It's about a woman named
Debbie who's "...preoccupied with 1985". Some alternative lyrics with
Debbie's name exchanged with Lennie's and "1955" for 1985"...I'm gonna
have to work on that and get back to the group...It outta be good!
Steve, K4YZ

556. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 20:50:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106081435.453633.200720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102123

Dave Heil wrote:
> Lenof21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <1105935079.099524.69940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"bb"
> > <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
> >
> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >> In article
<1104805657.039325.47210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> > >"bb"
> > >> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
>
> > True enough. Grown men (apparently, at least physically)
gettting
> > all wound up and hissy-fitting about how they are such vital
> > assets to the nation because they are morsemen. Sometimes
> > I think of their actions as "asset-retentive." :-)
>
> Don't be surprised, Len. I've seen grown men obsess over things in
> which they are not even involved, spending countless hours of their
> lives bellowing over issues involving areas in which they aren't even
> participants; things which don't effect them in the least. Go
figure!

WHOA!

I thought that loud noise I heard was a sonic boom, but it was
Dave's hammer hitting Lennie's nail square on the head! Heard it all
the way in Tennessee!

Nice job!

73

Steve, K4YZ

557. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 21:00:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106082057.183332.50850@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102124

N2EY wrote:
> In article <1105782272.912905.258700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"K4YZ"
> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>
> >N2EY said:
> >>In Japan, the station license needs to be renewed annually and
costs
> >>120 yen, last time I looked. There's an enormous difference between
> >>the
> >>number of JA operator and station licenses as a result.
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> >I'd recheck the math, Jim. 120 yen isn't even $1.00 I doubt that
> >the Japanese governent is quite that generous!
> >Perhaps Y120K...?!?!
>
> Could be, Steve, I'm a little rusty on exchange rates.

One of my biggest regrets from my FIRST tour to Japan (1980) was
not buying up a bunch of Yen and burying it in a box somewhere!...It
was over Y400 to the USD then! Next time I was back (only 6 months
later) it was down to Y220 to Y250 to the USD. When I was last there
(1990) it was Y105 to Y120 to the USD. It peaked briefly at Y144 then
dropped again. I think it'be been pretty much stable in the low to mid
100's since then.

The reciprocal (JA) license was around Y48,000 in 1981. Pretty
steep! I was just tickled with my KA6 call for free!

73

Steve, K4YZ

558. Pecuniary Interest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 21:14:37 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41eca902$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102067

Pecuniary interest
I read a lot of articles about one K1MAN and how many amateur radio operators feel, including the FCC about Glenn Baxter "broadcast" and how there's some "Pecuniary interest" in his broadcast; mainly referring to his website www.k1man.com. But many don't talk about W1AW and the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) and it "Pecuniary interest". Like the fact the ARRL on their web site not only does it whore itself out to amateur's to join as members. But, also make amateur pay a HIGH membership fee which continues to increase. But they also on their website offer for sale books and other stuff. Now many out there would say well that is a non-profit organization and that money is used to support the ARRL and support amateur radio. No matter in my humble opinion if it's a non-profit organization or a for-profit; commerce is commerce. So no matter if it's K1MAN or W1AW "Pecuniary interest" should apply regardless of who it is. I mean paying $39 dollars for a "club" or should I say "Inc." is in my humble opinion isn't worth it. I mean what the hell they have done for me.....NOTHING! The only place I see that money going is in the pocket of their bosses. So what do you get for that $39??? A crappy magazine which is bias and half of the magazine is advertisements and it's only good as toilet paper. Hell, you would be better off supporting your local ham club which would address issues that affect you. For $39 dollars I would want something more out of club that would benefit me and I'm not talking some crappy magazine. Now I'm not saying that K1MAN is any better but before these "die hard" ARRL member start getting on these newsgroups and message boards look inside yourself and ask yourself what has the ARRL done for me??? The Amateur have over the last 20-25 years lost bands. The league isn't pushing hard enough for changes regarding BPL or PRB-1. Instead the ARRL would send the paid lackey Hollingsworth to suppress free speech on the air. The ARRL is known for their anti-no-code stand back in 1983. They are known for petitioning the FCC for some rules change that is stupid. But to me it's the ARRL pushing onto its members the idea that there is no free speech on the radio and their "Legal Advisor" and formal FCC henchman Phil Kane gets on these newsgroups and boards to spread the ARRL propaganda and lies about speech on the airways.

Todd N9OGL

559. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 22:32:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ED8E96.4CAF737F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102126

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 13:38:54 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >> Amateurs seem to believe that amateur radio should
> >> be the idle dribble we call amateur radio.
> >
> >For God's sake, Todd, the word is "drivel" and I don't see your
> >"broadcast" as being better stuff.
>
> Now, now, Dave. Todd is self-proclaimed educated and an advisor to
> legislators at the seat of government on the laws and future of
> "micro- broadcasting". Surely he knows how to dribble the
> information out....

But, Phil, if one dribbles, shouldn't care be taken so as not to dribble
on one's self?

> >> The reason it's dying isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service.
> >> No the reason it's dying is content control. Amateur radio
> >> is dying because amateur's are under the belief that the
> >> FCC can control the content of an amateur station.
>
> I'm under the belief that the sun rises in the morning and sets in
> the evening. Some things we *-just-* have to take on faith, it
> seems.

I wanna know how your new career as henchman is working out? Does a
henchman gig pay well?

Dave K8MN

560. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 22:46:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41ED91B3.2C2C6F4B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102127

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:

> > I'd say that is against democratic principles. You wouldn't. You
> > control amateur radio because you are a participant. You claim
> > "turf" and want to exclude non-participants...even just TALK about
> > elimination of the morse code test.
> >
> > Anyplace else that would be called "dictatorship." :-)
> >
> > Yup, the World According To Dave is all about Beliefs in the Church
> > of St. Hiram, Pope Dave the 1st as emperor. Obey Him! :-)
> >
>
> ....shudder.........

Oh, this was one of Len's more polite and rational posts, Mike. At least in this one, I'm not painted as a Nazi.

Dave K8MN

561. Re: No anticipated change in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 22:49:22 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <SngHd.810$PA2.410@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102128

>>
>>Just get the damm license, you seem to know enough
>>to get it without much study, Len.
>
>
> Tsk. It hasn't been my intention to "get a damn license." :-)
>
>
>
> The morse code test in test element 1 is considered by the FCC
> as inapplicable to their need to determine the licensing
> qualification of amateur radio license applicants. It remains
> (apparently) under pressure by the long-timers and the ARRL
> (not the oldest radio club) keeping it in regulations...because
> they all feel that it is "necessary" (they had to take a morse
> test, therefore all others have to).
>

Morse was a treaty requirement. Which has gone away.
It's just that the FCC hasn't gotten around to changing
the rules on it yet. They're more concerned about
wardrobe malfunctions and whatnot.....

562. Re: Pecuniary Interest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 22:55:57 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <1ugHd.815$PA2.272@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102129

Charles Brabham wrote:

> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41eca902$1_2@127.0.0.1...
>
>>But to me it's the ARRL pushing
>>onto its members the idea that there is no free speech on the radio and
>>their "Legal Advisor" and formal FCC henchman Phil Kane gets on these
>>newsgroups and boards to spread the ARRL propaganda and lies about speech
>>on
>>the airways.
>>
>
>
> Another one for (from?) the funny farm.

Not our funny farm!
>
> Charles, N5PVL
>
>
Once, when some hams were doing maintenance on a repeater
atop the local hospital, one needed a nature break (trip to the
bathroom). He walks down the emergency stairway to the top
floor of the hospital, which turned out to be the wacko
ward. You can go in, but the door locks are configured to
not let anyone out again....

563. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-18 23:43:43 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iaj5kv0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102131

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 22:32:49 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:

>I wanna know how your new career as henchman is working out? Does a
>henchman gig pay well?

Depends on the size of the hench one uses....

I guess that I missed my career. I shouda' been a warlord instead
of a henchman. Whenever I hear "warlord" in a news broadcast I
flash on the character in "Terry and the Pirates" with the pigtail
and headband swinging a hatchet....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

564. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 00:24:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Z-6dndKSDPudNHDcRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 102132

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:41ECF3A3.F9380097@earthlink.net...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>> N2EY wrote:
>> > In article <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>> >

[snip]

>>
>> So the big question is why aren't those bands crowded with the
>> Technicians? It is worth noting that the 6 meter band is open to
>> Technicians also. So they can get some HF like action also. But they by
>> and large don't.
>
> Many coded Techs are quite active on 6m. Most no code Techs are not.
> In fact, most of the no code Techs I encounter are on 2m or 70cm
> repeaters only. Once almost never hears them on simplex frequencies.
> They stick to FM and aren't heard using SSB or CW on 2m or 70cm. Around
> here, most no code Techs are not active radio club members. Most do not
> partcipate in ARES groups. Most are not members of the ARRL. To sum it
> up, it seems that most no code Techs are about 2m FM repeater use.
>
> Dave K8MN

I am happy to report that at least in our club we have some very active Tech no codes participating in a wide variety of activities. Two of our club officers are no codes. One of the hams who was instrumental in getting a local antenna ordinance changed was a no code tech (unfortunately he passed away shortly thereafter). I've heard several on FM simplex and sideband. Quite a few participate in our public service events. So there are some who choose to explore other avenues of ham radio besides the repeater.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

565. Re: Pecuniary Interest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 00:33:56 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vZadnWCOcIS_NnDcRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 102133

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@this.net> wrote in message
news:BdydnX0uNfGLuHDcRVn-uQ@gbronline.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
>> Pecuniary interest
>> I read a lot of articles about one K1MAN and how many amateur radio
>> operators feel, including the FCC about Glenn Baxter "broadcast" and how
>> there's some "Pecuniary interest" in his broadcast; mainly referring to
>> his
>> website www.k1man.com. But many don't talk about W1AW and the American
>> Radio
>> Relay League (ARRL) and it "Pecuniary interest". Like the fact the ARRL
>> on
>> their web site not only does it whore itself out to amateur's to join as
>> members. But, also make amateur pay a HIGH membership fee which continues
>> to
>> increase.
>
> Uh, just how does the ARRL *MAKE* anyone pay a high membership fee? If you
> feel it is too high, you don't have to join. If you join, it is by your
> own choice.
>
> But they also on their website offer for sale books and other
>> stuff.
>
> Do they advertise their web site on the air as K1MAN does?
>

How anyone can consider the membership fee "high" is beyond me. Plain old
magazine subscriptions cost as much as the yearly membership fee that the
ARRL charges and that's just for a magazine.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

566. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 01:21:23 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106097683.644474.181030@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102134

If you need to hear your voice try a tape recorder.

567. Re: No anticipated change in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 01:21:54 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050118202154.11141.00000086@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 102135

In article <20050117235838.25387.00000041@mb-m17.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <uMECd.692$%e1.311@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert casey ><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
>>> That's the first thing YOU have gotten right, Lennie.
>>
>>Just get the damm license, you seem to know enough
>>to get it without much study, Len.
>
> Tsk. It hasn't been my intention to "get a damn license." :-)

At one time you said it *was* your intention, Len. So the above is obviously and provably untrue - unless you were lying back then.

January 19, 2000, you said otherwise, Len. You said you were "going for Extra right out of the box". You haven't done it.

Perhaps you changed your mind.

> I can't see such a personal identification as applying to my
> advocacy to remove the morse code test from any test.

Others can see what you cannot or will not admit.

> The subject of morse code testing should stand by itself,
> without all the hoopla over test-passing.

Agreed!

> The reality of the radio world is that morse code mode is
> either dead or dying or was never born in every other radio
> service but amateur radio hobby activity.

Morse Code is extensively used by radio amateurs, however. Today, in 2005. It's a big part of amateur radio - today.

An amateur radio license authorizes its holder to operate amateur radio stations - not the stations of any other licensed radio service. Conversely, *only* an amateur radio license authorizes its holder to operate amateur radio stations - no other radio license does so. Therefore, it is logical that the requirements for an amateur radio license should consist primarily of skills and knowledge connected with the amateur radio service.

> Even then, morse
> code is used only by a minority of those licensed as radio
> amateurs.

If you consider the total number of amateur radio licensees, that's probably true, because of the enormous number of fourth-class Japanese amateurs.

However, if you consider *FCC licensed* radio amateurs, that statement may or may not be true. Repeated polls and surveys on the subject have shown that a majority of US hams do indeed use Morse Code at least some of the time.

Even if your claim is true, Morse Code *is* used by a large percentage of FCC licensed radio amateurs. Were license requirements to be limited to only those things done by a majority of radio amateurs, there would be almost no test at all for an amateur radio license.

> The attempt to "justify" (realistic word is rationalize) the
> morse code test is specious.

In your opinion. Others have very different opinions.

> It serves no real purpose to
> anyone desiring an amateur radio license...other than to act
> as an "initiation rite" that is kept only because so many others
> in the past were required to take that test.

Your opinion again.

The popularity and use of Morse Code in amateur radio is a sound reason for there to be a test for it as part of the license process. The required testing level has been reduced to a very basic level, and accomodations added, so it is not a barrier to the vast majority of those seeking an amateur radio license.

> The federal
> government is NOT obliged to maintain fraternal order
> initiation rites.

It is only your opinion that the test is such.

> That is something for membership groups,
> not something for anything codified into law as regulations.

> The argument maintenance of the long-timers boils down to
> (via brainwashing by even longer-timers) them having to take
> the morse test, therefore all others have to take it also.

No, it doesn't.

The argument boils down to the idea that amateurs use the code, therefore a test for it is reasonable.

Amateur radio is unique among radio services in that licensed amateurs have unequalled freedom to design, build, repair, and operate radio equipment, without undue certification requirements. Technical knowledge, skill, experience and education are among the bases and purposes of the ARS. Morse Code facilitates these goals because equipment for the mode can be simple or complex, highly effective, and use a wide variety of technologies.

> That
> would be valid only if the ARS were an Amateur Radiotelegraphy

> Service. It is not.

Radiotelegraphy is a large part of the Amateur Radio Service.

> The morse code test in test element 1 is considered by the FCC
> as inapplicable to their need to determine the licensing
> qualification of amateur radio license applicants.

That's what FCC said in 1999 - more than 5 years ago. But they have chosen not to remove it yet, despite many proposals to do so.

Perhaps they have changed their mind.

Nor have most other countries chosen to remove it, even though the treaty requirement was removed more than 18 months ago. Oddly enough, Japan retains its code testing for 3 of its 4 amateur radio license classes.

> It remains
> (apparently) under pressure by the long-timers and the ARRL
> (not the oldest radio club) keeping it in regulations..

How do you know this is true? Who in the FCC told you their reasons?

More important, though, is the fact that the commentary to FCC by *individuals* has shown majority support for continuation of code testing. This was true in the comments to 98-143, and in the total comments to the 18 or so proposals on the subject filed with FCC since July 2003.

> because
> they all feel that it is "necessary" (they had to take a morse
> test, therefore all others have to).

Perhaps *some* feel that way. Not all.

I don't feel that way.

> Some morse code devotees consider the test necessary to
> "preserve and protect" manual telegraphy skills.

Is that a bad thing?

> The FCC is
> not chartered as either a historic preservation agency nor as an
> academic one. Its lawful activity is simply to regulate ALL U.S.
> civil radio.

Regulation includes the protection and preservation of important resources. That's why we have national parks and wilderness areas. One aspect of amateur radio *is* similar to that of the national parks and wilderness areas.

> Unless there has been some covert activity to circumvent the
> Constitution of the United States, all U.S. citizens have the right
> to "petition their government with their grievances."

That's right. *ALL* citizens. That includes those who are licensed,
and those who are not.

> In smaller
> words that means they can comment to any agency of the
> government about any laws or regulations made by that government.
> "Membership" in any particular agency's activity is NOT required.

Who ever said it was?

The important and unanswered question is: why is someone who is not
a licensed radio amateur, nor a manufacturer of amateur radio equipment,
nor otherwise involved in amateur radio other than newsgroup postings, so
obsessed with the regulations for an amateur radio license?

> Apparently, some in here seem to think that ONLY licensed radio
> amateurs "should" comment on amateur regulations or that any
> who so comment are "wishing to get a license."

Who, Len? Be specific.

> I do not so "wish."

Maybe not now, but five years ago tomorrow you said something very different.

> That is NOT a "requirement" nor is there any "motivation" to do so.

That's *your* problem.

> The elimination of the morse code test is simply long overdue and
> should be done for the benefit of ALL citizens, not some aging
> fraternity boys wanting to keep an initiation rite forced upon others
> for no reason but their own personal desires.

That's simply your opinion, plus some gratuitous insults thrown in. Nothing
more.

> Those individuals
> are NOT a regulatory agency at all despite their implications.

Who, Len? Be specific.

> The elimination OR the retention of morse code testing can be
> discussed on its own merits, not the "accomplishments" of a few
> who cannot justify their side of the discussion.

Then do so, rather than simply deriding those who disagree with you.

> You would do better to copy the methods of others and attempt
> defamation of the person of those wishing to eliminate the code test.

??

What in the world does that mean?

Seems that you are *requesting* that I "attempt defamation of the person" of
others. Including you.

Why should I do that?

> That IS the way of those PCTA extras found in here.

Who, Len? Give some examples. But be prepared to also see references to how *you* have behaved towards those who disagree with you.

568. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 01:31:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <1c2dncOakbj5IXDcRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102136

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Every Saturday from 9:00PM to 10:00PM CST on 28.555

You obviously don't want to be heard very far.

569. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 02:36:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106102213.763878.303990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102137

K4YZ wrote:
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> >After all, "they serve their country in OTHER ways."
>
> Still waiting to see in what "other ways" Lennie serves HIS
> country.

What other ways are necessary? I think military service is quite
enough.

570. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 02:41:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106102515.253324.324540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102138

I guess it's funnier when Mark Morgan does it.

571. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 05:23:35 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1106112215.850573.44050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102140

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 22:32:49 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >I wanna know how your new career as henchman is working out? Does a
> >henchman gig pay well?
>
> Depends on the size of the hench one uses....

A size nine hench seems to work best for me in most venues. But a size twelve hench seems to work better when dealing with twistoids like this Daugherty thing.

> I guess that I missed my career. I shouda' been a warlord instead
> of a henchman. Whenever I hear "warlord" in a news broadcast I
> flash on the character in "Terry and the Pirates" with the pigtail
> and headband swinging a hatchet....

Yeah, I can see him in my mind's eye but I can't for the life of me dredge up his name.

Hotshot Charlie, Connie the Coolie . . The DRAGON LADY!

Talk about "content" . . . !
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

572. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 05:55:07 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <%CmHd.10409$pZ4.9942@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102142

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41ed8c76$1_1@127.0.0.1...

: Mel I didn't post that someone else did...you found that in the comment
: section of the blog.
: Todd N9OGL

I don't know who posted it on your blogsite, but all the evidence is that
YOU wrote it, and now it's shown up to haunt you.

So answer the query ---- "Is that the sort of content you think ought to be
allowed on amateur radio channels?"

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

573. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 05:57:22 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <6FmHd.10410$pZ4.1090@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102143

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41edf0d7$1_2@127.0.0.1...

:
: All amateurs should move to the internet
:

Sounds like a plan. You move your broadcast there, and we'll all follow.
If we don't show up on time, start without us.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

574. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 06:02:53 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050119010253.06767.00000042@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 102147

In article <tb2dnavBPooW9nDcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> By the way, under Lennie's own "Rules of Engagement", typos
>> represent "anger" or "madness"...
>
> In my case it is forgetting to use the spell checker. My speling is bad

>whether I'm angey or in a state of bliss!
>
>
>> Funny then that he'd suffer a case of typoglycemia about "smoldering"... !
>!
>
>
>HAR!. Steve, yer on a roll! 8^)

Tsk. He slipped on his butt-er.

What "medical terminology" is "typoglycemia?"

What is "angey?"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 18 Jan 05

575. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 06:02:54 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050119010254.06767.00000043@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 102144

In article <41ED91B3.2C2C6F4B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Oh, this was one of Len's more polite and rational posts, Mike. At
>least in this one, I'm not painted as a Nazi.

Tsk. You don't need "paint." You IS.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 18 Jan 05

576. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 06:02:55 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050119010255.06767.00000044@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 102145

In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> I'm TRYING to argue against the morse code test. You are trying
>> to squelch such arguments by misdirection into defamation of any
>> who are against the morse code test.
>
> Hmm, you possibly could:
>
> Start a Morse code thread

I have.

> Try to refrain from the pejoratives

Sorry. Someone insults me, I give 'em back lots more.

So far, in this Din of Inequity, the few, the proud (of themselves),
the murines (eyewash) wan't to pummel and pound on any
NCTA...especially using personal pejoratives.

> You never know what might happen.

Sorry, sweetums, I've seen what has already happened. So have
you and everyone else accessing this newsgrope.

> Then again, I suspect you enjoy things just the way they are. 8^)

You are WRONG. The PCTA just can't take opposing views
on the morse code test. They get so very bitchy and angry
and demand to get all who argue against the retention that it
becomes a repeated comedy of brainwashing phrases by them.

No problem on my replying in kind to the PCTA...if I have the
time to spend on their trite, tired, tintabulation of olde-tyme
phrases. I'm busy with lots of other things, but I can find time
once in a while to puncture their arrogant balloons of old, stale,
hot air.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 18 Jan 05

577. Re: No anticipated change in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 06:02:58 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050119010258.06767.00000046@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 102148

In article <SngHd.810$PA2.410@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Morse was a treaty requirement. Which has gone away.
>It's just that the FCC hasn't gotten around to changing
>the rules on it yet. They're more concerned about
>wardrobe malfunctions and whatnot.....

"Wardrobe malfunctions?" You mean like the "socks" that the Coslonaut was wanting to talk about? Oh, my.

Strange, but there's no mention of "socks" on the FCC pages.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 18 Jan 05

578. Re: No anticipated change in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 06:02:58 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050119010258.06767.00000045@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 102149

In article <20050118202154.11141.00000086@mb-m02.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
(Nun of the Above) writes:

>In article <20050117235838.25387.00000041@mb-m17.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <uMECd.692$%e1.311@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert
>casey
>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>>>> That's the first thing YOU have gotten right, Lennie.
>>>
>>>Just get the damm license, you seem to know enough
>>>to get it without much study, Len.
>>
>> Tsk. It hasn't been my intention to "get a damn license." :-)
>
>At one time you said it *was* your intention, Len. So the above is obviously
>and provably untrue - unless you were lying back then.

Tsk. Bad on me...but bad on you.

First you say I "lie" about things, then you demand I hold to those
"lies." Are you sure you know which way is up?

>January 19, 2000, you said otherwise, Len. You said you were "going for
>Extra right out of the box". You haven't done it.
>
>Perhaps you changed your mind.

Perhaps you didn't know YOU were the extra I "got." :-)

>> I can't see such a personal identification as applying to my
>> advocacy to remove the morse code test from any test.
>
>Others can see what you cannot or will not admit.

That's good. You can't. Maybe those "others" will tell you.

>> The subject of morse code testing should stand by itself,
>> without all the hoopla over test-passing.
>
>Agreed!
>
>> The reality of the radio world is that morse code mode is
>> either dead or dying or was never born in every other radio
>> service but amateur radio hobby activity.

>
>Morse Code is extensively used by radio amateurs, however. Today, in 2005.
>It's a big part of amateur radio - today.

How big is "big?" Tsk. You talk in inferences.

Name SPECIFICS. Give the EXACT number of radio amateurs
using morse code. Show your work.

>If you consider the total number of amateur radio licensees, that's probably
>true, because of the enormous number of fourth-class Japanese amateurs.

Tsk. First you say I "lie" then you think I'm telling the truth.

You do NOT know which is what.

>However, if you consider *FCC licensed* radio amateurs, that statement may or
>may not be true. Repeated polls and surveys on the subject have shown that a
>majority of US hams do indeed use Morse Code at least some of the time.

Name ALL those polls. Show your work.

>Even if your claim is true, Morse Code *is* used by a large percentage of FCC
>licensed radio amateurs. Were license requirements to be limited to only
>those
>things done by a majority of radio amateurs, there would be almost no test at
>all for an amateur radio license.

Whoa! Are you saying "the majority of radio amateurs" do NOT do
much?

Tsk. What "polls" indicate that?

>> The attempt to "justify" (realistic word is rationalize) the
>> morse code test is specious.
>
>In your opinion. Others have very different opinions.

Well, you keep insisting my opinions are "wrong" and "in error."

Tsk. Tsk. You can't have it your way. [this ain't Burger King]

>> It serves no real purpose to
>> anyone desiring an amateur radio license...other than to act
>> as an "initiation rite" that is kept only because so many others
>> in the past were required to take that test.
>
>Your opinion again.

Why are you doing this nyah-nyah "it's my opinion?"

EVERYONE does "opinions" in here.

You keep calling my opinions "wrong" and "in error." :-)

>The popularity and use of Morse Code in amateur radio is a sound reason for
>there to be a test for it as part of the license process. The required testing
>level has been reduced to a very basic level, and accomodations added, so it
is
>not a barrier to the vast majority of those seeking an amateur radio license.

Who was talking "barriers?" :-)

There isn't ANY other mode/modulation test for radio amateurs in the
USA, hasn't been since the first radio regulating agency was formed.

Not only that, that "vast majority" of yours is nonsense. Those very
numbers you spout periodically don't show that. You might try taking
off your blinders and looking to see how many NO-CODE Technicians
are new licensees.

>> The federal
>> government is NOT obliged to maintain fraternal order
>> initiation rites.
>
>It is only your opinion that the test is such.

Oh, no, you're not going into your schtick of "I am wrong" again,
are you?

Your vaudeville act got the hook long ago. Retire it into the
wings and work up a new routine.

>> That is something for membership groups,
>> not something for anything codified into law as regulations.
>
>> The argument maintenance of the long-timers boils down to
>> (via brainwashing by even longer-timers) them having to take
>> the morse test, therefore all others have to take it also.
>
>No, it doesn't.

Tsk, tsk, TSK. "Your opinion." :-) :-) :-)

>The argument boils down to the idea that amateurs use the code, therefore a
>test for it is reasonable.

Tsk, tsk, TSK. More amateurs use VOICE (by polls). There's never
been any amateur test for voice modes.

>Amateur radio is unique among radio services in that licensed amateurs have
>unequalled freedom to design, build, repair, and operate radio equipment,
>without undue certification requirements. Technical knowledge, skill,
>experience and education are among the bases and purposes of the ARS. Morse
>Code facilitates these goals because equipment for the mode can be simple or
>complex, highly effective, and use a wide variety of technologies.

And that justifies keeping the morse code test. Uh huh.

Name how "morse code facilitates those 'goals'". Show your work.

>> That
>> would be valid only if the ARS were an Amateur Radiotelegraphy
>> Service. It is not.
>
>Radiotelegraphy is a large part of the Amateur Radio Service.

Voice communications is much larger. Tsk, tsk.

>> The morse code test in test element 1 is considered by the FCC
>> as inapplicable to their need to determine the licensing
>> qualification of amateur radio license applicants.
>
>That's what FCC said in 1999 - more than 5 years ago. But they have chosen not
>to remove it yet, despite many proposals to do so.

They don't want to fight wars with olde-tymers. Would be a scandal
to see the elderly be such victims of seeing the amateur world AS
THEY KNOW IT destroyed before their old eyes.

>Perhaps they have changed their mind.

Perhaps you are mistaken!

Try reading FCC 90-53. :-)

>Nor have most other countries chosen to remove it, even though the
>treaty requirement was removed more than 18 months ago. Oddly
>enough, Japan retains its code testing for 3 of its 4 amateur radio
>license classes.

Are you going to get a Japanese ham license, benjo-san?

>> It remains
>> (apparently) under pressure by the long-timers and the ARRL
>> (not the oldest radio club) keeping it in regulations..
>
>How do you know this is true? Who in the FCC told you their reasons?

How do you know this isn't true? Who in the FCC told you otherwise?

>More important, though, is the fact that the commentary to FCC by
individuals
>has shown majority support for continuation of code testing. This was true in
>the comments to 98-143, and in the total comments to the 18 or so proposals
>on the subject filed with FCC since July 2003.

You read them ALL? Would you testify as to such an opinion in
court under penalty of perjury?

>> because
>> they all feel that it is "necessary" (they had to take a morse
>> test, therefore all others have to).
>
>Perhaps *some* feel that way. Not all.

A lot more than SOME, sweetums.

>I don't feel that way.

Nobody gives a fignewton what you "feel" Nun of the Above.

>> Some morse code devotees consider the test necessary to
>> "preserve and protect" manual telegraphy skills.
>
>Is that a bad thing?

Is morse code "state of the art?" :-)

>> The FCC is
>> not chartered as either a historic preservation agency nor as an
>> academic one. Its lawful activity is simply to regulate ALL U.S.
>> civil radio.
>
>Regulation includes the protection and preservation of important
>resources. That's why we have national parks and wilderness
>areas. One aspect of amateur radio *is* similar to that of the
>national parks and wilderness areas.

Well then, have Congress transfer U.S. amateur radio licensing
to the National Park Service!

>> Unless there has been some covert activity to circumvent the
>> Constitution of the United States, all U.S. citizens have the right
>> to "petition their government with their grievances."
>
>That's right. *ALL* citizens. That includes those who are licensed,
>and those who are not.

Really? I thought the PCTA extras in here were all for the
suppression of the Constitution of the United States. They sure
give that impression.

>> In smaller
>> words that means they can comment to any agency of the
>> government about any laws or regulations made by that government.
>> "Membership" in any particular agency's activity is NOT required.
>
>Who ever said it was?

Poop Dave the 1st. He demands, you demand "motivation."

>The important and unanswered question is: why is someone who is not
>a licensed radio amateur, nor a manufacturer of amateur radio equipment,
>nor otherwise involved in amateur radio other than newsgroup postings, so
>obsessed with the regulations for an amateur radio license?

See? YOU demand "motivation" plus rulings on the admissability
of any commentary!

Tsk. You got admitted to the wrong Bar.

>> Apparently, some in here seem to think that ONLY licensed radio
>> amateurs "should" comment on amateur regulations or that any
>> who so comment are "wishing to get a license."
>
>Who, Len? Be specific.

OH? Am I - again - REQUIRED to state, for the record, something?

You must have short-term memory loss. Poor baby.

>> I do not so "wish."
>
>Maybe not now, but five years ago tomorrow you said something very different.

Tsk. Five years ago you couldn't read any better than you do now.
Which is to say rather poorly.

Gosh. FIFTY-FIVE years ago I said "differently." Are you going to
handcuff me and charge me with a felony something?

>> That is NOT a "requirement" nor is there any "motivation" to do so.
>
>That's *your* problem.

Why is it my "problem?"

Is anyone "defective" or "morally wrong" for not wanting to do as you
demand?

>> The elimination of the morse code test is simply long overdue and
>> should be done for the benefit of ALL citizens, not some aging
>> fraternity boys wanting to keep an initiation rite forced upon others
>> for no reason but their own personal desires.
>
>That's simply your opinion, plus some gratuitous insults thrown in. Nothing
>more.

Poor baby. Strong language and differing opinions causing you
psychological trauma?

Maybe you should turn off your computer and go do some DX on HF
with CW...you know, like the "real hams" are supposed to do.

>> Those individuals
>> are NOT a regulatory agency at all despite their implications.
>
>Who, Len? Be specific.

Poop Dave the 1st. The Avenging Angle of Dearth. That's two.

>> The elimination OR the retention of morse code testing can be
>> discussed on its own merits, not the "accomplishments" of a few
>> who cannot justify their side of the discussion.
>
>Then do so, rather than simply deriding those who disagree with you.

See? There you go again, not justifying anything but adding all
those pejoratives about persons not agreeing with you.

>Seems that you are *requesting* that I "attempt defamation of the person" of
>others. Including you.

Nooooo...you ARE attempting to defame anyone not agreeing with you.

Quod erat demonstrandum.

>Why should I do that?

Who knows? A dermatologist-psychologist would determine your skin
is WAY too thin to stand the rigors of opposing opinions.

>> That IS the way of those PCTA extras found in here.
>
>Who, Len? Give some examples. But be prepared to also see references to how
>*you* have behaved towards those who disagree with you.

I've named them, sweetums. Now stop waving that court order around
and show your shield. Tsk. You radio kopps sure don't know procedure.

["book 'em, Danno..."]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 18 Jan 05

579. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 06:02:59 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050119010259.06767.00000047@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 102146

In article <1106102213.763878.303990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> >After all, "they serve their country in OTHER ways."
>>
>> Still waiting to see in what "other ways" Lennie serves HIS
>> country.
>
>What other ways are necessary? I think military service is quite
>enough.

The Avenging Angle "will always be a marine." :-)

The Angle of Dearth does more...he tries to get folks he no
like off of public venues and robs them of free speech.

He's been in those "seven hostile actions" so he fights with
his four neurons, thinking up nasties.

An amateur. [not speaking about radio there...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 18 Jan 05

580. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 07:33:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106119984.240337.213040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102003

Lenof21 wrote:

> He's been in those "seven hostile actions" so he fights with
> his four neurons, thinking up nasties.
Then I exceed yours by three. Thanks.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

581. Re: No anticipated change in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 07:36:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106120215.841292.227460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102004

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <20050118202154.11141.00000086@mb-m02.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (Nun of the Above) writes:
>
> >In article <20050117235838.25387.00000041@mb-m17.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com
> >(Len Over 21) writes:
> >
> >>In article <uMECd.692$%e1.311@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
robert
> >casey
> >><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >>
> >>>> That's the first thing YOU have gotten right, Lennie.
> >>>
> >>>Just get the damm license, you seem to know enough
> >>>to get it without much study, Len.
> >>
> >> Tsk. It hasn't been my intention to "get a damn license." :-)
> >
> >At one time you said it *was* your intention, Len. So the above is
obviously
> >and provably untrue - unless you were lying back then.
>
> Tsk. Bad on me...but bad on you.
>
> First you say I "lie" about things, then you demand I hold to
those
> "lies." Are you sure you know which way is up?
>
> >January 19, 2000, you said otherwise, Len. You said you were "going
for
> >Extra right out of the box". You haven't done it.
> >
> >Perhaps you changed your mind.
>
> Perhaps you didn't know YOU were the extra I "got."

I knew you had a thing for guys.

But we also knew then, and know now, that you are a pathological
liar.

You proved it before January 2000, you've re-proved it every month since, and I have no doubt you'll continue to prove it in the future.

It's about the only thing you've done consistently throughout your life.

That's why you were then, are now, and shall continue to be a putz.

Embrace it.

Steve, K4YZ

582. Re: Come on down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 07:37:48 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <356h5pF4jmqenU1@individual.net>
Source record: 102005

QRZ?

with all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Radio Ron" <RadioRon@Mymail.net> wrote in message
news:1106073635.118242094c6b76010649b0b4531e6316@teranews...
> Nobody seems to care about amateur radio including the hams themselves.If
> you question what I say,just hangout on 75 meters and just listen to the
> foul mouth bigots and the racial slurs that you hear.It isn't any
different
> than down where the mud ducks roam(11 meters).I will say this the only
> amateurs I hear who are courteous,practice good operating procedure and
talk
> radio are on the AM net. N9OGL needs to change his operating frequency to
> 27.555.Somebody might be listening there. 73's
>
>

583. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 07:58:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106121481.942726.308390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102006

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
> >> I'm TRYING to argue against the morse code test. You are
trying
> >> to squelch such arguments by misdirection into defamation of
any
> >> who are against the morse code test.
> >
> > Hmm, you possibly could:
> >
> > Start a Morse code thread
>
> I have.

Actually, in most cases, you take threas on other topics and try
to insert a Morse Code redirect.

People don't want to discuss Morse Code testing anymore because
most people (those who read something OTHER than their own work...)
know that the issue is moot at this point. Nothing else stated in this
forum will change Federal policy.

> > Try to refrain from the pejoratives
>
> Sorry. Someone insults me, I give 'em back lots more.

Yet another monumental lie.

Neither Jim Miccolis nor Mike Coslo do anything of the kind, yet
you pour it over Jim like molasses, and you've started in on Mike.

You did it to me, you'v done it to EVERYone who's ever dared to
suggest that YOUR opinion doesn't match theirs.

You do it on a whim, then cry foul when it gets fed back to YOU.

> So far, in this Din of Inequity, the few, the proud (of
themselves),
> the murines (eyewash) wan't to pummel and pound on any
> NCTA...especially using personal pejoratives.

Sorry Lennie...it's the level at which you function, and I'd hate
to leave you out of the conversations.

> > You never know what might happen.
>
> Sorry, sweetums...(SNIP)

Point made.

I have yet to see Mike refr to you by anything other than your
expressed preferred names.

Thanks for proving my point right here in THIS post (as if I would
have had to wait long anyway.....)

> (UNSNIP)...I've seen what has already happened. So have
> you and everyone else accessing this newsgrope.

And they just got a prime example of why everyone else in this
newsgoup is justified in their opinion of you and why you are a
disreputable liar.

Thanks.

> > Then again, I suspect you enjoy things just the way they are. 8^)
>
> You are WRONG.

>>IF<< Mike had been wrong, you would have continued to address
him with out the insulting diminutives and "endearments".

> The PCTA just can't take opposing views
> on the morse code test. They get so very bitchy and angry
> and demand to get all who argue against the retention that it
> becomes a repeated comedy of brainwashing phrases by them.

Actually the comedy comes from watching a pathological liar
continue to make excuses for his lies and misdeeds, only to get caught
up in even MORE lies and misdeeds.

> No problem on my replying in kind to the PCTA...if I have the
> time to spend on their trite, tired, tintabulation of olde-tyme
> phrases.

Then why the continued use of diminutives against people who do
not do it to you?

Why do you insist that you ONLY do it to people who do it to you,
when there's almost a decades worth of evidence to the contrary with
Jim Miccolis alone, and now you have started in on Mike Coslo.

> I'm busy with lots of other things...(SNIP)

No you're not. There's not one iota of any evidence in any other
forum of a single contructive effort with your name attached to it.

You're an egotistical, mean old man with nothing left to do in his
life except make other people as miserable as you are.

> (UNSNIP)...but I can find time
> once in a while to puncture their arrogant balloons of old, stale,
> hot air.

You can TRY, but so far all you've done is prove what WE have been saying all along.

Leonard H. Anderson is a liar.

Leonard H. Anderson is untrustworthy.

Leonard H. Anderson is deceitful.

And it's Leonard H. Anderson who provides the proof of the accusations.

Thanks.

You've single handedly done more to undermine your "advocacy" than any other VALID arguments could ahve done.

Who wants to be associated with an issue that is so voiciferously and embarassingly represented by the likes of you...??? Just do the world a favor and stay away from such issues as finding an AIDS vaccine, cures for cancer, or preventing birth defects.
Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

584. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 13:18:02 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41ed8c76$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102125

Mel I didn't post that someone else did...you found that in the comment
section of the blog.
Todd N9OGL
"Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:kJaHd.1207$Ju1.840@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41eb613f$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> : Content Control
> : I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at 9.00
pm
> : CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the amateur
> : radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying; The reason it's
dying
> : isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the reason it's
> dying
> : is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's are under
the
> : belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur station.
>
> Todd,
>
> I saw some of your "content" on your blogsite. (Cut and pasted below) Is
> this the kind of "content" you want to put on the ham bands?
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire
>
>
> - - - - N9OGL COMMENTS CUT/PASTED FROM HIS BLOG FOLLOW - - - -
>
> Written by Todd Daugherty N9OGL
>
>
> On February 8, 2002 the United States Court of Appeal ruled the Radio
> Broadcast Preservation Act (RBPA-2000) was unconstitutional (Greg Ruggiero
> vs. FCC 2002) then to a shock the court of appeals overturned it's own
> decision ruling that the RBPA of 2000 was constitutional. (Greg Ruggiero
Vs
> FCC 2003). I'm writing this "paper" because I feel that there was
something

> that should have been said but wasn't and since I tell it as it is I will
> say it. There is also a problem with the recent ruling (Greg Ruggiero Vs
FCC
> 2003) which I've also added to this paper.
>
> The first comes from that Bitch Judge Karen LeCraft Henderson who stated
in
> the 2002 ruling
>
> First, you must not have to be very intelligent to be a fucking judge of
the
> court of appeal.it seems if they can let this dumb ass bitch and her
little
> bitch whore buddies on the bench then anyone can..
>
> Did I fucking miss something here??? Listen bitch the FCC NEVER had any
LPFM
> rules prior to the passing of the LPFM rules in 1999. You and your fucking
> whores in the Court of Appeal are the stupidest mother fuckers on the
> planet. For years the FCC went around telling you that all a person had to
> do was fill out an application and ask for a waiver..well guest what you
> fucking sluts THE FCC FUCKING LIED TO YOU!!! The FCC has NEVER considered
> application and waiver for LPFM ..and you stupid fucks believed them all
> these years. That's why a lot of people went on the air..because the FCC
> wouldn't consider applications and waivers for a low power service. Bitch
my
> group applied six times for a license with waiver and that is why we went
> pirate.
>
> And now.2003 for the king bitch whore Ginsburg.
>
>
> The commission proposed?? That's a bunch of shit the FCC didn't propose it
> someone else did in a Petition for Rulemaking.that's a bunch of fucking
shit
> quit smoking your fucking crack.
>
>
> The Congress responded not out of concern of what the FCC was doing but by
> the huge load of cash they were getting from the National Association of
> Broadcasters (NAB). My dickhead congressman John Shitless (Shinkus)
>
>
> Got paid $14,000 from the NAB for the RBPA but that's ok..I'm going make
> sure that son of bitch mother fucker isn't elected again!
>
>
> Then perhaps " former pirates" like WBCQ shouldn't have a license..and
tell
> me you stupid fuck...when did the disregard to the legal process become

> legal..You stupid fuck head has basically given the FCC the go ahead to
> disregard not only the judiciary process but the Administrative Procedure
> Act (APA). Your stupid thinking is regardless to what a federal judge or
an
> administrative judge says a pirate is a pirate regardless to what legal
> challenge they may bring and regardless of any fact or findings. Your
> fucking retarded thinking is that a pirate is guilty regardless of any
> evidence.well fuck, why do we do that with murders..Hell, Bob Butt much
> killed Joe Dickless but hey there's evidence he not guilty.fuck he's
guilty
> regardless of any evidence. That the same fucking shit you did with
pirates
> then it should apply to any other crime. Perhaps Mr. Ginsburg you should
> stop kissing congress and the federal government ass.
>
>
> And now a few words from my favorite dickhead.Judge Randolph.
>
>
> This has to be one of the stupidest mother fucker on the planet...No
> chilling effect??? How about the ability to allow an agency to disregard
any
> legal proceeding as prescribed under the APA not to mention your next
stupid
> fucks comment..
>
>
> Despite what
> you and your little bitch whore buddies in the NAB may think as the
> secretary of commerce Herbert Hoover once stated The First Amendment and
> radio fall hand in hand..and it stupid fucks like you in the court of
appeal
> that are destroying not only free speech but destroying the legal process.
>
>
> As for the U.S. Supreme Court..there just as fuck stupid as these fucking
> idiots..you know at one time I had great respect for the U.S. Supreme
Court.
> But now..by allowing this stupid fucks to destroy the legal process and
> basically misread Red Lion Broadcasting and bend it to their own fucking
> will I think the U.S. Supreme Court has got to fuck hell and my respect is
> now gone. Because they along with those stupid fuck in the U.S. Court of
> Appeal has failed to grasp reality of what is really go on in the world.
>
>
> Todd Daugherty
> Taylorville, Illinois
> December 2003
>
>

>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

585. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 13:51:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Icedna1SmpFq-HPcRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102007

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <tb2dnavBPooW9nDcRVn-gw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>By the way, under Lennie's own "Rules of Engagement", typos
>>>represent "anger" or "madness"...
>>
>> In my case it is forgetting to use the spell checker. My speling is bad
>
>
>>whether I'm angey or in a state of bliss!
>>
>>
>>
>>>Funny then that he'd suffer a case of typoglycemia about "smoldering"... !
>>
>>!
>>
>>
>>HAR!. Steve, yer on a roll! 8^)
>
>
> Tsk. He slipped on his butt-er.
>
> What "medical terminology" is "typoglycemia?"

None that I know of. It is one of those words similar to what
Blackguard once used in here, "Diarrhetoric".

A neologism, as it were.

Another name for such words is "sniglets", especially if of the
humorous variety. You enjoy wordplay, try it out. Wikipedia has some
examples:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sniglet

> What is "angey?"

I'll explain that too..... Some times when making a comment about
spelling, a person purposely slips in a few typos of their own on
purpose, such as "angey" and "speling".

- Mike KB3EIA -

586. Re: N9OGL to begin broadcast in Amateur Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 14:22:19 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41ed9a48$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102130

Every Saturday from 9:00PM to 10:00PM CST on 28.555

Todd N9OGL

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@this.net> wrote in message
news:wJOdnWsBHvh4v3DcRVn-1Q@gbronline.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> >
> > Of course you have never heard my program which is nothing but amateur
radio
> > information and only amateur radio information..
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
> >
> So how about providing a schedule of your "information bulletins" so we
> can tune in?
>
>

587. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 14:40:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <rpGdnUxtc5LA7HPcRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102008

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Like I said why should someone spend the time and money to talk to some
> moron on the radio when they can do it on the internet??? If you were go on
> the streets and ask people what would they do. Get a ham license or get on
> the internet the majority would say internet. No matter how easy the FCC
> makes the licensing process ham radio will not continue to grow unless
> changes are made. Those changes are technology, and content .

Ahh, there is the problem. You make the fairly common mistake of assuming that all Hams want to do is talk to each other. While there is no doubt that there are those who just want to yak with someone hundreds of miles away about their hemorrhoids, or about how leeburuls will be the ruination of the country, there are a lot of us who like building things, learning about radio, working with or against propagation, all the things that make Ham radio Ham radio.

Now to address your specific questions and statements:

>> Like I said why should someone spend the time and money to talk to
>>some moron on the radio when they can do it on the internet???

I don't want to talk to morons at all.

> If you were go on
>> the streets and ask people what would they do. Get a ham license or get on
>> the internet the majority would say internet.

True, but it is irrelevant. A lot of people want to do things that the internet has, but Ham radio doesn't, such as shopping, news, porn, or hanging out in chat rooms, trying to entice young ladies into illicit affairs.

OTOH, Ham radio offers things that the internet does not.

>> No matter how easy the FCC
>> makes the licensing process ham radio will not continue to grow unless
>> changes are made.

Here I more or less agree, but for apparent different reasons. Somewhere, somehow, we have all fallen into trap that everything has to be made "easy". We have reached the point where a technically competent person is becoming some sort of "geek god", that speaks a strange language" and gets the rest of the world out of their technical problems. "Just show me what button to press" has become the battle cry of the technically incompetent, as we sink further into the pop culture/ reality tv world of the celebration of ignorance.

My experience is that it is not possible to ever make anything easy
enough for most people. The less to learn, the less they will want to
learn. Do we want to attract people who want to learn as little as possible?

> No matter how easy the FCC
>> makes the licensing process ham radio will not continue to grow unless
>> changes are made. Those changes are technology, and content .

Content? It sounds as if you are saying that if we want to "compete"
with the Internet, we have to become like the internet? That is a recipe
for quick oblivion.

So let us expand your vision. As the amateur bands fill up with people
like you and K1MAN, available space for the rest of us to actually
operate our own stations will radically diminish. Your ideas are
actually tantamount to having every inch of the amateur bands taken over
by "broadcasting" by whatever fringe elements that want to get their
message out there.

No thanks! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

588. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 14:41:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <rpGdnU9tc5I47HPcRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102009

Lenof21 wrote:

> In article <41ED91B3.2C2C6F4B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
>
>>Oh, this was one of Len's more polite and rational posts, Mike. At
>>least in this one, I'm not painted as a Nazi.
>
>
> Tsk. You don't need "paint." You IS.

So you are saying that Dave is a Nazi?

- Mike KB3EIA -

589. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 15:03:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <aMydneilLoNf63PcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102010

Lenof21 wrote:

> In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>
>>> I'm TRYING to argue against the morse code test. You are trying
>>> to squelch such arguments by misdirection into defamation of any
>>> who are against the morse code test.
>>
>> Hmm, you possibly could:
>>
>> Start a Morse code thread
>
>
> I have.
>
>
>> Try to refrain from the pejoratives
>
>
> Sorry. Someone insults me, I give 'em back lots more.

So when have I insulted you? You aren't considering disagreement as insulting are you?

> So far, in this Din of Inequity, the few, the proud (of themselves),
> the murines (eyewash) wan't to pummel and pound on any
> NCTA...especially using personal pejoratives.

Bill Sohl seems to have escaped that fate when he posts here.

>> You never know what might happen.
>
>
> Sorry, sweetums, I've seen what has already happened. So have
> you and everyone else accessing this newsgrope.

Chicken and egg syndrome? Given the history of posters here, I would suspect that if you were to adopt a different strategy, there would be some posts that would be provoking. However, if ignored, that stuff goes away.

>
>> Then again, I suspect you enjoy things just the way they are. 8^)

>
>
> You are WRONG.

Seriously? You don't enjoy tweaking people? So another description of what you are doing is that you are engaging in something that you hate to do, in the interests of eliminating the Morse code test, in a Usenet newsgroup? Are you making some sort of Quixotic sacrifice in a Usenet newsgroup in an attempt to eliminate Morse code testing?

> The PCTA just can't take opposing views
> on the morse code test. They get so very bitchy and angry
> and demand to get all who argue against the retention that it
> becomes a repeated comedy of brainwashing phrases by them.

> No problem on my replying in kind to the PCTA...if I have the
> time to spend on their trite, tired, tintabulation of olde-tyme
> phrases. I'm busy with lots of other things, but I can find time
> once in a while to puncture their arrogant balloons of old, stale,
> hot air.

All the arguments are old and we've heard them a lot. From both sides.

- Mike KB3EIA

590. Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 15:43:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <d9SdnXtfGqOOHXPcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102011

Hey all,

I'm having an situation with my Keyer/Key setup.

Every once in a while, I'll get a double when I shouldn't. It's usually on the dit side.

The key is a home-brew Iambic. The radio is an IC-745. THe contacts have been cleaned, to no avail.

Anyone have a similar situation or know if this may have been an issue with the 745? I'm going to try a few experiments, but any help is appreciated.

- Mike KB3EIA -

591. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 17:14:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106154869.881452.166550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102012

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <1104948546.014419.204310@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> N2EY@AOL.COM writes:
>
> >Of course it's also possible that Len has gotten so desperate for
> >attention that he's intentionally posting untrue things (like the
> >legality of operating with an expired license mistake) just to get a
> >response.
>
> "Desperately?!?"

No, Len. "Desperate".

> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHEHEHEHEHEHEH.
>
> Excuse me, yer lordship, lost control there... Bwhaha...oops.

We'll wait while you go freshen up, Len. ;-)

You do appear to be desperate for attention.

> >After all, how does it affect *him* if some ham reads his
> >words, thinks they're true, and operates illegally?

> HAMS rule amateur radio.

You seem to think so, but you're mistaken. Just as you are mistaken
about the legality of FCC-licensed radio amateurs operating amateur
radio stations while their amateur radio licenses are expired but in
the grace period.

> FCC doesn't require commissioners or staff to hold amateur radio
> licenses in order to regulate U.S. amateur radio.

That's true. Those people *are* required to have other qualifications,
though, and to be appointed to their positions.

You're neither a radio amateur nor part of the FCC. And you never have
been, Len. You don't have the qualifications to be either.

> Ergo, J.P. logic has it that NO HAM SHOULD OBEY THE FCC
> IF THEY DON'T HAVE HAM LICENSES!

Nope. Nowhere do I say that. You're simply mistaken, Len.
What I will say is that *you* do not "rule amateur radio", Len.

592. Re: No anticipated change in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 17:23:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106155407.369131.57090@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102014

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <20050118202154.11141.00000086@mb-m02.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> writes:
>
> >In article <20050117235838.25387.00000041@mb-m17.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com
> >(Len Over 21) writes:
> >
> >>In article <uMECd.692$%e1.311@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
robert
> >casey
> >><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >>
> >>>> That's the first thing YOU have gotten right, Lennie.
> >>>
> >>>Just get the damm license, you seem to know enough
> >>>to get it without much study, Len.
> >>
> >> Tsk. It hasn't been my intention to "get a damn license." :-)
> >
> >At one time you said it *was* your intention, Len.

5 years ago today.

> >So the above is obviously
> >and provably untrue - unless you were lying back then.
>
> Tsk. Bad on me...but bad on you.

No, Len. You are mistaken - I'm not.

>
> First you say I "lie" about things,

Where?

You were mistaken when you wrote:

"It hasn't been my intention to "get a damn license." :-)"

because it *was* your stated intention, five years ago today.

> then you demand I hold to those
> "lies."

I don't demand anything of you, Len. But I do comment on your mistakes, errors, logical inconsistencies, and general idiotic/immature/abusive behavior here and elsewhere.

Rest of Len's useless drivel snipped.

593. Five Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 17:25:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106155559.779968.296620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102013

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/6d38aef5bc8a1830?dmode=source

594. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 17:31:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050119123118.25923.00000020@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 102015

In article <41ED04E3.B4633242@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <ISOGd.2742$2e7.1734@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
>> <mail@nomail.com> writes:
>>
>> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>> >news:20050116193302.11507.00000024@mb-m10.aol.com...
>> >> In article <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >N2EY wrote:
>> >> >> In article <20041230011207.06525.00000333@mb-m24.aol.com>,
>> >> >lenover21@aol.com
>> >> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >>>In article <20041229070405.16303.00000504@mb-m02.aol.com>,
>> >> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> >> >>>(N2EY) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >>>>This all relates to amateur radio in a very basic way:
>> >>
>> >> >>> Unfortunately, it does NOT.
>> >>
>> >> >> Yes it does! ;-)
>> >>
>> >> >>> All it points out is that you are using
>> >> >>> this newsgroup as a general chat room to talk about ANY subject
>> >> >>> instead of focussing on amateur radio policy.
>> >>
>> >> >> I think you don't like the fact that it does relate.
>> >>
>> >> >In the end, Who cares?
>> >>
>> >> Len obviously cares a lot.
>> >>
>> >> >It is our newsgroup, and if you and I want to
>> >> >talk about politics or an obscure Kert Vonnegut story, or if Bria wants
>> >> >to talk about the boy scouts, or if Len wants to talk about sphinctors,
>> >> >then "it's all good, man".
>> >>
>> >> I don't know if "it's all good", but that doesn't really matter. This is

>> >an
>> >> unmoderated newsgroup, and while Len may want to be the moderator, he
>just
>> >> isn't.
>> >>
>> >> >I like the little side trips. It allows us to
>> >> >get to know each other better.
>> >>
>> >
>> >I couldn't follow who posted what above, but I think whoever said "It
>allows
>> >us to get to know each other better" may need just a little bit of a
>reality
>> >check. This is an "online" venue, and I don't believe that this allows
>for
>> >getting to "know" anyone. OK, maybe slightly...and then only in a few
>> >cases.
>>
>> Astute observation, Kim! :-)
>>
>> The "getting to know each other better" phrase is part of the 'standard
>> boilerplate' of PC phrasing done to make the writer appear like they
>> know much more than they do, yet tolerate all others in some
>> curious fashion of the nobility. [i.e., they are "better" because they
>> tolerate the "inferiors" :-)]
>>
>> >For instance, the internet, chat rooms, and newsgroups are the greatest
>> >playground for playing Devil's Advocate or even downright antagonism, or
>> >inciting "a riot" so to speak! I know I can get certain people going in a
>> >heart beat of a stroke of a few keys of my keyboard, and they'll look
>pretty
>> >darned idiotic to most who may have been taking them seriously...
>>
>> Heh heh heh. The beauty of computer-modem communications
>> have been demonstrated time and time again since ARPANET
>> evolved to allow messaging, thus creating the original USENET
>> (acronym for 'university network').
>
>"Source: Jargon File (4.3.1, 29 Jun 2001)
>
>Usenet /yoos'net/ or /yooz'net/ n. [from `Users' Network'; the original
>spelling was USENET, but the mixed-case form is now widely preferred] A
>distributed bboard (bulletin board) system supported mainly by Unix
>machines. Originally implemented in 1979-1980 by Steve Bellovin, Jim
>Ellis, Tom Truscott, and Steve Daniel at Duke University, it has swiftly
>grown to become international in scope and is now probably the largest
>decentralized information utility in existence. As of early 1996, it
>hosts over 10,000 newsgroups and an average of over 500 megabytes (the
>equivalent of several thousand paper pages) of new technical articles,
>news, discussion, chatter, and flamage every day (and that leaves out

>the graphics...)."
>
>Don't you get anything right, Len?

now you've done it...

>> What everyone can get is much of the slanted propagandizing of
>> various organizations and groups, parroted phrasing repeated by
>> some others as if they were the blessed sayings of the divine.
>> That is carried over to all forms of beliefs from hobbies to politics.
>
>You left out "individuals", Len. We've seen countless examples of your
>output concerning amateur radio, a hobby in which you do not
>participate.

Yep.
>
>> Some of the Believers can get Outraged at any negativisms of the
>> Belief System they have bought (or been psychologically
>> purchased) into and seem to want to Fight To The Death about it.
>> Computer-modem communications allows them to express their
>> Compleat Anger quickly...and so the infamous Flame Wars begin.
>
>You Get Outraged Regarding Morse Testing. You Snipe At The ARRL. You
>Snipe At Radio Amateurs. You Want To Fight About It. You Express Your
>Anger. You Partipate In Flame Wars (But Not In Amateur Radio).
>
>> The unfortunate side of the coin is that the same technology that
>> allows widespread textual communications also allows storage
>> of all the communiques for a long time, reproduction of content
>> possible (in many cases) by anyone with access.

Why is that unfortunate?

>> Those that
>> dared to speak their mind "in public" should remember that their
>> words - en toto - are still out there and could be retrieved for some
>> "moot court" proceedings by Outraged "barracks lawyers" in a
>> newsgroup.

I know - Len has been proved to be mistaken many times by means
of his posts being retrieved from archive. You'd think he'd be more careful
about what he writes....

>> Such is a many-edged sword waiting to be drawn by
>> anyone...it can cut every which way and results only in more
>> useless energy-expenditure by all concerned.

Why is it useless if it proves a point?

>An usual term, "the unfortunate side of the coin". I'm sure that the
>Google archives are, for you, the unfortunate side of the coin.

Yep.
>
>> Anyone with some experience, practice, and observation of the
>> various human beans roaming the planet can do "button-
>> pressing" of others. Those who are pressed may escalate their
>> frustrations and anger into kiloton explosive rages. Those can
>> smoolder for years and erupt into conflagrations of righteous fire
>> (with brimstone) at any moment. Examples abound in this
>> newsgroup as well as many others.
>
>Yeah, watch that "smooldering".

Len has more buttons than anyone.
>>
>> The curiosity (to me) is the steadfast Righteousness of some in
>> their Beliefs, specifically in a hobby activity done (supposedly) for
>> individual enjoyment and general recreation.
>
>How is that any more curious than one who is not involved in a hobby who
>maintains a Righteousness In His Beliefs over a hobby activity in which
>he is not involved?
>
Bingo.

btw, it's been exactly five years since Len said he was going for Extra right
out of the box.

(check Google - it's hilarious)

He said maybe he'd make it, maybe he wouldn't. He hasn't made it. Yet.

Perhaps we should all clap our hands about that. ;-) ;-) ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

595. Re: Five Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 18:52:49 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <g0yHd.234$wr3.150@fe09.lga>
Source record: 102017

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1106155559.779968.296620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/6d38aef5bc8a1830?dmode=
source
>

Oops! ;-)

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

596. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 19:05:18 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iakncu1.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102018

On 18 Jan 2005 21:23:35 -0800, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>> Depends on the size of the hench one uses....
>
>A size nine hench seems to work best for me in most venues. But a size
>twelve hench seems to work better when dealing with twistoids like this
>Daugherty thing.

Set at how many figs per newton?

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

597. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 19:12:29 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1106161949.308019.35890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Steveo wrote:
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> > On 07 Jan 2005 13:30:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> > >> On 07 Jan 2005 02:52:34 GMT, Steveo
<moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> > >> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> > >> >> Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been
really
> > >> >> wierd, it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
> > >> >>
> > >> >Nah, we've had warmer weather and rain/sleet/slush lately. Did
plow
> > >> >from midnight till 9 this morning tho..needed a big azz'd
squeegee
> > >> >instead of a plow.. You're right, very strange weather
patterns.
> > >> >
> > >> >How did your SW radio Christmas present go over?
> > >> >
> > >> I gave it to him, but didn't notice someone had soldered the
contacts
> > >> shut on the mode switch. It still works, I just need to track
down a
> > >> new switch for it. He's having a ball with it, likes it even
better
> > >> than the videos games he got..
> > >>
> > >Cool..now you've created a monster! :D
> >
> > Hopefully the right kind.. get him away from the computers and
video
> > games and into radio..
> >
> Yes. But we all know what a radio jones feels like for new gear. I
like
> that idea for a gift. 10-4
```

IMPROVE THE CB, HUH......FIRST OF ALL YOU'D HAVE TO TKE YOUR CB AND
SHOVE IT UP FRANK'S FAT ASS.........THATS A START.

598. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 19:19:53 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1106162393.317091.170660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:07:57 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com>
wrote
> in <x2lHd.124146$K7.66569@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:
>
>
>
SIT RIGHT BACK AND YOU'LL HEAR A TALE, A TALE OF A NUTLESS TWIT! WHO
POSTS IN ALL THE NEWSGROUPS, TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT. TO SPREAD HIS
SILLY SHIT.

HIS FIRST NAME IS FRANKIE, A KEYCLOWN ASSHOLE! HE SAT DOWN AT HIS
KEYBOARD, SO HE COULD TROLL. SO HE COULD TROLL.

THE NEWSGROUP STARTED GETTING ROUGH, HE WANTED STEVEO PARKS! IF NOT FOR
HELP FROM HIS KEYCLOWN QUEEN, 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST. 'OLE FRANKIE
WOULD BE LOST.

STEVEO MET 'OLE FRANKIE AT A PETRO TRUCK STOP........WITH TWISTIE, AND
U KNOW WHO........ LANCER,,,WHO'S STEVEO'S WIFE! THE LANDFAG,, THE GRIM
REAPER,,,,,,,, YES THEY'RE ALL THERE..........THERE ON GILLILAND'S
ISLE!!!!!!!!

599. Re: Come on down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 20:07:31 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41edeac8$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102139

Maybe W1AW should move down to 11 meters too
10-4 good buddy
N9OGL

"Radio Ron" <RadioRon@Mymail.net> wrote in message
news:1106073635.118242094c6b76010649b0b4531e6316@teranews...
> Nobody seems to care about amateur radio including the hams themselves.If
> you question what I say,just hangout on 75 meters and just listen to the
> foul mouth bigots and the racial slurs that you hear.It isn't any
different
> than down where the mud ducks roam(11 meters).I will say this the only
> amateurs I hear who are courteous,practice good operating procedure and
talk
> radio are on the AM net. N9OGL needs to change his operating frequency to
> 27.555.Somebody might be listening there. 73's
>
>
>

600. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 20:28:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41EEC290.F5DE7930@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102020

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Lenof21 wrote:
>
> > In article <41ED91B3.2C2C6F4B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:
> >
> >
> >>Oh, this was one of Len's more polite and rational posts, Mike. At
> >>least in this one, I'm not painted as a Nazi.
> >
> >
> > Tsk. You don't need "paint." You IS.
>
> So you are saying that Dave is a Nazi?

It looks that way to me. Len doesn't play well with others.

Dave K8MN

601. Re: Five Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 20:32:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41EEC389.AD882E3B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102021

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/6d38aef5bc8a1830?dmode=source

"I'm going for Amateur Extra "out of the box." Maybe I'll
make it, maybe not. The personal point is irrelevant."

--Leonard H. Anderson

Happy anniversary, Len!

Dave K8MN

602. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 20:33:22 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41edf0d7$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102141

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:41ED1382.5F2CC650@earthlink.net...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >
> > "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > news:7WYGd.9810$C52.2142@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > >>So why are you broadcasting on a dead band?
> > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm "broadcasting" on a dead band so not to cause harmful
interference.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Aside from hams within about 50 miles of you, who's
> > > > gonna be able to hear it? Still say the Internet is
> > > > a better tool for this....
> > > >
> > >
> > > Well so could amateur radio.
> >
> > So could amateur radio what?

All amateurs should move to the internet

> > Let's get rid of amateur radio all together and
> > all go to the internet.
> >
> > Why should we do that?

Because someone said I should have my information bulletin on the web. Since
that's the solution then maybe we should ALL go the web and the FCC auction
off all the spectrum we have.

> >All the stuff the amateur do can all be done on the
> > internet.
> >
> > Really? I don't believe you. Can I work meteor scatter on the
> > internet?
> > Can I work 160m DXCC on the internet? Can I use the internet in an
> > emergency when the phone lines are down?

With the advancement of the internet with BPL and wireless. I'm sure some
moron will come up with a way so the internet will remain on while
phonelines are down. The majority of amateur don't contest.

> > I sure all the amateur bands could be used for another service.
>
> "I sure" they could be too. Why would they be?

well like I said the solution as one person put it is to put stuff like
information bulletins on the internet.

> > Think about it if all of the amateurs move to the internet they wouldn't
> > have to worry about BPL.
>
> "I believe that everythin' should be made legal. Then we woulnd't have
> no crime"
>
> --Brother Dave Gardener
>
> Dave K8MN
>

603. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 21:02:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <y5GdnfX3_J1JV3PcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102022

Dave Heil wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <41ED91B3.2C2C6F4B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Oh, this was one of Len's more polite and rational posts, Mike. At
>>>>least in this one, I'm not painted as a Nazi.
>>>
>>>
>>> Tsk. You don't need "paint." You IS.
>>
>> So you are saying that Dave is a Nazi?
>
>
> It looks that way to me. Len doesn't play well with others.

Hmm, some things are not to be said even in jest. I guess Mr. Godwin
just handed you a free lifetime pass.

- Mike KB3EIA -

604. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 21:24:56 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41edfcef$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102000

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:41ED116E.233D3F36@earthlink.net...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:41EBF4C2.751D778D@earthlink.net...
> > > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Content Control
> > > > I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at
9.00
> > pm
> > > > CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the
amateur
> > > > radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying;
> > >
> > > You've provided no evidence that amateur radio is dying.
> >
> > Ham radio in about 10 to 15 years will be dead because technology and
the
> > free flow of content will surpass amateur radio.
>
> You've still provided no evidence that amateur radio is dying, Todd.

Well Dave where or should I say what do you see amateur's doing in 10 to
15??? Me, I think if ham radio is still around it will be the same old
boring shit that has been going on these last 20 to 25 years. Here's
something to think about why should someone get a ham license when you can
talk all over the world on the internet? Why will amateur radio die? because
technology is passing ham radio up and I know you old ham operator don't
want it hear it. But the reality is ham radio is getting to the point were
it is no longer really needed.

> > No matter what you might think that's a reality.
>
> It does matter what I think. You've still provided no evidence to
> support your claim.

Like I said why should someone spend the time and money to talk to some
moron on the radio when they can do it on the internet??? If you were go on
the streets and ask people what would they do. Get a ham license or get on
the internet the majority would say internet. No matter how easy the FCC
makes the licensing process ham radio will not continue to grow unless
changes are made. Those changes are technology, and content .

> > Amateurs seem to believe that amateur radio should
> > be the idle dribble we call amateur radio.
>
> For God's sake, Todd, the word is "drivel" and I don't see your
> "broadcast" as being better stuff.

You've never hear my broadcast therefor you can't justify that statement.

> > For amateur radio to grow
> > amateurs are going to have to change. Amateur radio has to look at the
> > future and not the short term future.
>
> So the future is that we should all become micro-broadcasters on dead
> bands?

No, and I information bulletins are legal

> > > > The reason it's dying
> > > > isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the reason
it's
> > dying
> > > > is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's are
under
> > the
> > > > belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur station.
> > >
> > > No one from the FCC has stopped by to tell me that the content of my
> > > amateur radio station is under investigation. Since I've come back to
> > > the U.S., the content of my amateur radio station has been steadily
> > > increasing. Even my XYL has given up on trying to control the
content.
> > >
> >
> > Not yet anyway.....but the FCC has gone after or discourage people for
their
> > content in the past. ME, K1MAN, the Liberty Net just to name a few.
>
> So, we're not discussing the content of an amateur radio station but of
> the content of individuals? What do you, K1MAN and the Liberty Net
> contain? Seriously, Todd, I think that if the FCC is going after you,
> K1MAN and the Liberty Net, it is doing something right.

Well, like I've stated before perhaps W1AW should be shut down too.

> > > > As a
> > > > matter of fact Mr. Hollingsworth of the FCC wanted me to let him
know
> > when I
> > > > was going on the air so he QSL me. The QSL he's talking about is in
the
> > form
> > > > of a warning letter, a C&D, NOV, or a NOF. Section 326 of the
> > Communication

> > > > Act of 1934 is a statue that prohibits the FCC from controlling the
> > content
> > > > of ANY radio stations. It also prohibits the FCC from creating rules
and
> > > > regulations which would suppress free speech on the radio.
> > >
> > > There are any number of things which can "control" your right to free
> > > speech. There are regulatory things, like the fact that amateur radio
> > > isn't a broadcast service and like the fact the Commission has
obscenity
> > > regs. You can't say things which will incite panic. Your civil right
> > > to free speech becomes abridged where it infringes upon the rights of
> > > another. You seemed to have missed those facts.
> > >
> > > > The FCC of course
> > > > under other rules and regulations can regulate obscene and indecent
> > > > material. Now there are many out there including the ARRL who
believe
> > that
> > > > this rule doesn't apply to amateur radio.
> > >
> > > Let me get this straight--You think that the ARRL believes the FCC has
> > > no power to regulate obscene and indecent speech? You surely can't
> > > believe that.
> > >
> > That was a misprint which I'm planning to fix.
>
> It seems to be a misstatement shrouded in a misprint.
>
> > There are many in the ARRL
> > which believes that Free Speech doesn't apply to amateur radio.
>
> Are you speaking of Directors? staffers? members? Who in the ARRL who
> don't believe such?
>
Phil Kane Dec 22 2004, 12:02 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.K...@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> - Find messages by
this author
Date: Wed, 22 Dec 2004 12:02:19 -0800 (PST)
Local: Wed, Dec 22 2004 12:02 pm
Subject: Re: The FCC Break their own rules
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

On Wed, 22 Dec 2004 06:11:04 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:

>I hear all this crap about K1MAN violating the FCC rules but the FCC
>violates their own rules..

>Sec. 326. - Censorship

>Nothing in this chapter shall be understood or construed to give the
>Commission the power of censorship over the radio communications or signals
>transmitted by any radio station, and no regulation or condition shall be
>promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall interfere with the right
>of free speech by means of radio communication

(a) it's not an FCC rule and is open to court interpretation.

(b) look up the case law based on that section and see why there is
no "free speech" right in an amateur license.

--
Phil Kane

> > Nor, the
> > statue that forbids the FCC from controlling content of ANY radio and
> > forbids the FCC from making any statue or regulation which supress
speech
> > apply to amateur radio.
>
> Todd, the word you are searching for is "statute", not "statue". I
> don't believe that there is a statute forbidding the FCC to control
> obscenity, indecency any other misuse of your amateur radio station.
>
> > > > It of course is not true, this
> > > > statue along with all the other sections of the Communication Act
apply
> > in
> > > > one shape or another to amateur radio and the FCC.
> > >
> > > Now you're saying that the FCC has oversight for regulating obscenity
> > > and indency propagated BY the FCC? Where does the "statue" come into
> > > play?
> >
> > No, the point I was trying to make which like I said will be fixed on
the
> > website. The point I was making is that there are some in the ARRL that
> > believe that certain statues don't apply to amateur radio but they in
> > reality they do.
>
> "Statute", Todd and I disagree with you.
>
>
> > > > The problem is
> > > > the ARRL, their members and the FCC which tries to suppress or
control
> > the
> > > > content.
> > >

> > > So the ARRL and their running dog members are in collusion with the
FCC
> > > to control content?
>
> > Again the there are some in the ARRL that believes that the FCC can
control
> > the content of amateur radio stations.
>
> I'll assume that you mean the content of transmissions from an amateur
> radio station. Those in the ARRL who believe that the FCC can control
> the content of transmissions are correct.
>
> > > > So I'm broadcasting in an amateur band at night when it's not in
> > > > use. Big fricking deal at lease I using it.
> > >
> > > I "using" it too. Truck drivers are sometimes using it too. Did you
> > > realize that broadcasting is prohibited by radio amateurs whether it
is
> > > during the day or during the night. Did you realize that the 10m band
> > > during solar maxima can be open all night to various parts of the
> > > world? Did you know that there are propagation modes in which 10m can
> > > open during the night over a distance even during periods of solar
> > > minima?
> > >
> > First off my "broadcast" are programs directed towards the amateurs
radio
> > community.
>
> If they're "broadcasts", it doesn't matter.

The problem with information bulletin and broadcasts is the grey line
between them.

>
> > 10 meters with the solor maxima at it peak around here begins
> > closing down around 9 to 10 PM.
>
> Sometimes it does. Sometimes it doesn't. Sometimes the band is open
> round the clock. That really doesn't matter. You can't broadcast any
> time.
>
> > > > I mean so what if broadcasting
> > > > information to the amateur radio community which may be a small
value to
> > the
> > > > Amateurs.
> > >
> > > If broadcasting by radio amateurs is illegal and of small value, why
do
> > > it?
> > >

> >
> > Information bulletin are not illegal.
>
> I didn't write anything about informational bulletins. I wrote
> "broadcasting" which is a term you have used.
>
> > Any information no matter how
> > important to one person may be of small or little vaule to another
person.
>
> No kidding!
>
> > > > So what if I'm on there for a hour and half voice my opinion about
> > > > problems and issues in amateur radio.
> > >
> > > The "so what" has already been addressed. Are you trying to get your
> > > license yanked?
> > >
> > Again information bulletin are not illegal. The problem is the idea that
the
> > public doesn't listen to amateur radio.
>
> Not much of the public is listening to amateur radio. Almost no one is
> listening to 10m when the band is closed.

Not true there are a lot of the public listening to amateur radio, more then
what you think. I picked that band so not to cause harmful interference or
have some licensed CB Operator aka ham operator claiming they were on
frequency and I was causing interference.

> > So although Information bulletins
> > are directed towards the amateur radio community the public does listen.
>
> And?
>
> > There is a gray line there.
>
> There is?

Very much so.

> > > > At lease I have a opinion and I'm not
> > > > some drone of the ARRL who believes everything the ARRL says.
> > >
> > > I'm a life member of the ARRL and I don't believe in everything done
by
> > > the ARRL. Then again, I don't broadcast my views on the ham bands.
Do
> > > you think the ARRL will do anything differently based upon your
illegal
> > > broadcasts?

> >
> > Why won't you broadcast your view??
>
> ...because I'm not permitted to broadcast. I value my amateur license.
>
> > are you for content control??
>
> Yes, most assuredly.
>
> > There is
> > one thing many amateur have to remember...Amateur radio is a hobby.
>
> I think "many amateur" remember that.
>
> > Someone
> > who takes their hobby to serious has no real life.
>
> ...and someone who doesn't take his hobby seriously has no real hobby.
> Many things have been done in a half-assed manner because the doer's
> attitude is, "it's only a hobby".
>
> > W1AW is "broadcasting" so if I should stop so should they.
>
> So, you equate the value of what you are doing to what W1AW is doing?

Sure :)

> > > > That's the
> > > > reason I'm broadcasting to voice my opinion's about issues in
amateur
> > radio,
> > > > > to let people hear what I'm thinking instead of that idle dribble we
> > call
> > > > > ham radio.
> > >
> > > That's the spirit, Todd. Give Mr. Hollingsworth all the ammunition he
> > > needs for yanking that license. Amateur radio needs more guys like
you.
> > > No more "idle dribble"!
> >
> > And with you and the ARRL by his side Free Speech will be supressed.
>
> Suppressed? I don't think so. Controlled? Yes. What is it that you
> don't feel you can do on the air as a ham, Todd? Is it that you can't
> use potty language, or that you can't make money or that you can't play
> music?

I don't use obscene and indecent material on the air nor do I play music or
make money.

> > > > I'm a strong believer in free speech and I believe there are
> > > > problems in amateur radio and feel my voice needs to be heard.
> > >
> > > So why are you broadcasting on a dead band?
> > >
> > I'm "broadcasting" on a dead band so not to cause harmful interference.
>
> Your pilot light seems a little dim, Todd.

Todd N9OGL

> Dave K8MN
>

605. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 21:37:56 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41edfff9$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102001

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.iaiskg0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 13:38:54 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >> Amateurs seem to believe that amateur radio should
> >> be the idle dribble we call amateur radio.
> >
> >For God's sake, Todd, the word is "drivel" and I don't see your
> >"broadcast" as being better stuff.
>
> Now, now, Dave. Todd is self-proclaimed educated and an advisor to
> legislators at the seat of government on the laws and future of
> "micro- broadcasting". Surely he knows how to dribble the
> information out....
No you dumb ass I'm mainly a person from his district who has concerns and
like any other citizen of this country can petition the government. You
shouldn't of sleep through goverment class or you would of learned that.
Secondly, my issues had to due with the licensing process not
micro-broadcasting. I will say this Philly I know a number in the
"micro-broadcasting" movement who would say a lot of the shit that you
posted on this newgroup about the movement is that...shit.Oh I'm sorry your
the big man during the Dunifer case but there were other cases and there are
still is cases going on.

Todd N9OGL

> >> The reason it's dying isn't because the lack of amateurs in the
service.
> >> No the reason it's dying is content control. Amateur radio
> >> is dying because amateur's are under the belief that the
> >> FCC can control the content of an amateur station.
>
> I'm under the belief that the sun rises in the morning and sets in
> the evening. Some things we *-just-* have to take on faith, it
> seems.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>

606. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 21:43:44 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41ee0156$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102002

Well that's not what i'm broadcasting..and since you haven't hear my
broadcast you can not justify say that.
perhaps you've been on the CB band too much or 80 meters.
Todd N9OGL
"Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:%CmHd.10409$pZ4.9942@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41ed8c76$1_1@127.0.0.1...
>
> : Mel I didn't post that someone else did...you found that in the comment
> : section of the blog.
> : Todd N9OGL
>
> I don't know who posted it on your blogsite, but all the evidence is that
> YOU wrote it, and now it's shown up to haunt you.
>
> So answer the query ---- "Is that the sort of content you think ought to
be
> allowed on amateur radio channels?"
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire
>
>
>
>

607. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 22:00:45 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <hMAHd.938$cZ1.557@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102023

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 22:32:49 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>I wanna know how your new career as henchman is working out? Does a
>>henchman gig pay well?
>
>
> Depends on the size of the hench one uses....
>
> I guess that I missed my career. I shouda' been a warlord instead
> of a henchman. Whenever I hear "warlord" in a news broadcast I
> flash on the character in "Terry and the Pirates" with the pigtail
> and headband swinging a hatchet....
>

I've heard that Genghus Kong rode a Harley......

608. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 22:05:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119170539.180$o5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 102024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"N8WWM Doug Adair Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> If you need to hear your voice try a tape recorder.
>
They taped you the last time you made the rain report for jamming
repeaters, you loser felon freak.

609. RAC Bulletin - Industry Canada Posts Responses to RAC Recommendations on Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-19 23:25:26 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <egqtu09a1v3e4ngo6k691o7tiv68rufhak@4ax.com>
Source record: 102025

The following bulletin was sent out today by the Radio Amateurs of Canada HQ:

>>>>>

Industry Canada has analyzed the responses to the public consultation called under Canada Gazette Notice DGRB-003-04. A summary of the comments received and the individual replies are now available on the Industry Canada web site at

http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08280e.html.

Amateurs should note that while the responses heavily favoured deletion of the Morse Qualification as a requirement for access to the HF bands, Industry Canada still has to make and announce a decision on Morse retention or deletion. Until a decision to delete Morse is announced, amateurs who do not hold the Morse Qualification may not operate on the HF bands below 30 MHz.

>>>>>

It's almost over up here now - decision time is just around the corner!

73, Leo

610. "That's Horneigh - accent umlaut over the -eigh"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 00:12:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106179954.186163.194120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102026

K4YZ wrote:
> the
> scruffy character played by Arte Johnson who got clobbered by Ruth
> Buzzy's "bag lady" character when he made inappropriate suggestions >
to her.

Classic show, Laugh-In. Actually caused some phrases to enter the language ("you bet your bippy"). Also introduced comedy greats such as Lily Tomlin ("one ringy dingy...") and Flip Wilson, who would later have his own show.

The characters you describe were named Gladys Ormphby (Ruth Buzzi) and Tyrone F. Horneigh (Arte Johnson).

Typical routine:

[Gladys is sitting on bench, Tyrone sits down next to her and she scoots over nervously to get away from him]

Tyrone: "Would you call my face ruggedly handsome?"

[Gladys bashes him over the head with purse]

Tyrone: "Would you call my body sensuously attractive?"

[Gladys hits him with her purse again]

Tyrone: "Would you call my next of kin?" [Tyrone falls off bench]

--

Of course the show had its roots in vaudeville and burlesque, and drew on a variety of sources, such as "Pigmeat" Markham ("sock it to me" and "here come da judge"). "Gladys" was developed by Ruth Buzzi, when she was in an off-Broadway show with a minor part as a cleaning lady, and was actually a cleaning lady offstage to make ends meet.

Flip Wilson's show, which was sort of descended from Laugh-In, gave us the computer term "WYSIWYG". It was derived from a catchphrase used by Wilson's character "Geraldine Jones", who would often declare "What you see is what you get" and "when you're hot, you're hot".
73 de Jim, N2EY

611. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 00:40:15 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <P5DHd.3725$2e7.1916@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102027

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:41EC12F0.1060809@psu.edu...
> Kim wrote:
> >
> > I couldn't follow who posted what above, but I think whoever said "It
allows
> > us to get to know each other better" may need just a little bit of a
reality
> > check. This is an "online" venue, and I don't believe that this allows
for
> > getting to "know" anyone. OK, maybe slightly...and then only in a few
> > cases.
>
> No reality check needed, Kim. If a person is honest and
> straightforward, then it comes across. If a person uses various
> "personas" fro their posting, then that also comes across. If a person
> needs to disguise themselves, if they feel comfortable tossing brickbats
> at another, disregarding that there is a human on the other side, and
> that Usenet is not a sort of insulting text game that they play with
> their computers, then that also tells a lot about the person.
>

Well, your experiences have been entirely different from mine, then, Mike.
Long, long before I ever discovered the "newsgroupie" thingy, my husband and
I had discovered "chat" rooms. We were participants in many, many venues
and, in several, were even clannish to the extent of forming parties and
get-togethers wherein travel was often involved to get to the area where we
were all to meet and have dinners/lunches, whatever.

In nearly all cases, the people we met in person were vastly, and I do mean
VASTLY, different from their personalities online. I would say that, of
about or around 100 people, 2, maybe 3, (not including ourselves) were
"normal." Not to insult anyone, but I would define normal as in: relative
to our frame of nature, background, etc. What we considered proper and
presentable was mostly different from the folks we met. In fact, it was
meeting the folks that drove us from the whole "enjoyment" we THOUGHT we
were having with these chat rooms...LMAO!

>
> It is like people claiming that alcohol causes them to do evil and
> antisocial things. Sorry, but they were already evil and antisocial. The
> alcohol simply allowed them to shift the blame.
>

Oh, I wholeheartedly believe that. However, I believe that it was the nature of the "incognito" status that maybe shaded how we really thought people were. I mean, what one may find humorous in the text venue, may turn out to be outright obnoxious in person. The apparent sweetest person on the planet Earth, online, becomes in person, the most nearly evil person one's ever known.

Even I, as crass as I am (and I am) have manners in public and do not take pride in embarrassing people and generally know the line. Many we met were oblivious to society boundaries and were quite embarrassing to be around.

>
> > For instance, the internet, chat rooms, and newsgroups are the greatest
> > playground for playing Devil's Advocate or even downright antagonism, or
> > inciting "a riot" so to speak!
>
> Because the person is like that. I know a lot about people that incite
> major antagonism in newsgroups, even if they are civil in person.
>

MMMmmm mmmm, gotta disagree there. Why? Because, here in this newsgroup, I probably have a reputation for being pretty antagonistic. In person, I am very deliberate, cautious, calculating and very, very mindful of keeping a group of people, who may lean toward being argumentative, on the friendly and "get along with each other" end. If you knew me in person, as many do, you'd be told by others that I am one of the most cohesive building partners anyone's ever met. I am also generally in a position of listening, collaboration, and leadership--and take great pride in being very good at it.

Here, to hell with all that. In fact, it has in the past been a great tension reliever. I don't generally care about anyone on this newsgroup because I've taught myself not to care about people I really don't know--and I really don't know anyone here except, maybe, Cecil...and he's a great guy from what I met of him.

>
> > I know I can get certain people going in a
> > heart beat of a stroke of a few keys of my keyboard, and they'll look
pretty
> > darned idiotic to most who may have been taking them seriously...
> >
> > In a short, don't ever think that this (the internet) is the medium of
> > humanism, or personalization, or "knowing" others. It just ain't so...
>
> I don't use it to determine anothers personality, but I can determine
> true personality from it.
>
> YMMV.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Yes, my mileage definitely varies. I've been way too exposed to way too many people in the past, who were internet "friends" until I met them...good Lord thank God they're many, many, many miles away.

Kim W5TIT

612. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 00:42:24 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <Q7DHd.3727$2e7.935@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102028

Excellent post, Len.

"Lenof21" <lenof21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20050117145427.19590.00000035@mb-m06.aol.com...
> In article <ISOGd.2742$2e7.1734@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>, "Kim"
> <mail@nomail.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >news:20050116193302.11507.00000024@mb-m10.aol.com...
> >> In article <qPOdncsFOY54eHTcRVn-og@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >N2EY wrote:
> >> >> In article <20041230011207.06525.00000333@mb-m24.aol.com>,
> >> >lenover21@aol.com
> >> >> (Len Over 21) writes:
> >>
> >> >>>In article <20041229070405.16303.00000504@mb-m02.aol.com>,
> >> >n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> >> >>>(N2EY) writes:
> >>
> >> >>>>This all relates to amateur radio in a very basic way:
> >>
> >> >>> Unfortunately, it does NOT.
> >>
> >> >> Yes it does! ;-)
> >>
> >> >>> All it points out is that you are using
> >> >>> this newsgroup as a general chat room to talk about ANY subject
> >> >>> instead of focussing on amateur radio policy.
> >>
> >> >> I think you don't like the fact that it does relate.
> >>
> >> >In the end, Who cares?
> >>
> >> Len obviously cares a lot.
> >>
> >> >It is our newsgroup, and if you and I want to
> >> >talk about politics or an obscure Kert Vonnegut story, or if Bria
wants
> >> >to talk about the boy scouts, or if Len wants to talk about
sphinctors,
> >> >then "it's all good, man".
> >>

> >> I don't know if "it's all good", but that doesn't really matter. This
is
> >an
> >> unmoderated newsgroup, and while Len may want to be the moderator, he
just
> >> isn't.
> >>
> >> >I like the little side trips. It allows us to
> >> >get to know each other better.
> >>
> >
> >I couldn't follow who posted what above, but I think whoever said "It
allows
> >us to get to know each other better" may need just a little bit of a
reality
> >check. This is an "online" venue, and I don't believe that this allows
for
> >getting to "know" anyone. OK, maybe slightly...and then only in a few
> >cases.
>
> Astute observation, Kim! :-)
>
> The "getting to know each other better" phrase is part of the 'standard
> boilerplate' of PC phrasing done to make the writer appear like they
> know much more than they do, yet tolerate all others in some
> curious fashion of the nobility. [i.e., they are "better" because they
> tolerate the "inferiors" :-)]
>
> >For instance, the internet, chat rooms, and newsgroups are the greatest
> >playground for playing Devil's Advocate or even downright antagonism, or
> >inciting "a riot" so to speak! I know I can get certain people going in
a
> >heart beat of a stroke of a few keys of my keyboard, and they'll look
pretty
> >darned idiotic to most who may have been taking them seriously...
>
> Heh heh heh. The beauty of computer-modem communications
> have been demonstrated time and time again since ARPANET
> evolved to allow messaging, thus creating the original USENET
> (acronym for 'university network').
>
> Isolated by time and space, anyone can "let their hair down" and
> feel free to vent all their frustrations, spleens, and (sometimes)
> waste-management organs on others. They can do so in the
> apparent "safety" of the time and space isolation provided by the
> computer-modem linkage. None of it is real-time communications.
>
> The examples are ripe/rife in this newsgroup when it comes to
> highly-polarized issues. :-)
>

> >In a short, don't ever think that this (the internet) is the medium of
> >humanism, or personalization, or "knowing" others. It just ain't so...
>
> Those few of us who had been a part of the "social" Bulletin
> Board Systems (as I had beginning 20 years ago) learned very
> quickly that the computer personna of some individuals was
> quite different from the in-person one.
>
> Computer-modem communications is only slightly less devoid of
> the very impersonal radiotelegraphy contact that offers none of
> the sensory clues of in-person acquaintenceship...no sight, sound,
> or anything else about the other person. With the computer-modem
> linkage one gets to see the other's ability to put words together (in
> varying degreees of coherency), some slight picturing of education
> experience.
>
> What everyone can get is much of the slanted propagandizing of
> various organizations and groups, parroted phrasing repeated by
> some others as if they were the blessed sayings of the divine.
> That is carried over to all forms of beliefs from hobbies to politics.
> Some of the Believers can get Outraged at any negativisms of the
> Belief System they have bought (or been psychologically
> purchased) into and seem to want to Fight To The Death about it.
> Computer-modem communications allows them to express their
> Compleat Anger quickly...and so the infamous Flame Wars begin.
>
> The unfortunate side of the coin is that the same technology that
> allows widespread textual communications also allows storage
> of all the communiques for a long time, reproduction of content
> possible (in many cases) by anyone with access. Those that
> dared to speak their mind "in public" should remember that their
> words - en toto - are still out there and could be retrieved for some
> "moot court" proceedings by Outraged "barracks lawyers" in a
> newsgroup. Such is a many-edged sword waiting to be drawn by
> anyone...it can cut every which way and results only in more
> useless energy-expenditure by all concerned.
>
> Anyone with some experience, practice, and observation of the
> various human beans roaming the planet can do "button-
> pressing" of others. Those who are pressed may escalate their
> frustrations and anger into kiloton explosive rages. Those can
> smoolder for years and erupt into conflagrations of righteous fire
> (with brimstone) at any moment. Examples abound in this
> newsgroup as well as many others.
>
> The curiosity (to me) is the steadfast Righteousness of some in
> their Beliefs, specifically in a hobby activity done (supposedly) for
> individual enjoyment and general recreation. The Believers MUST
> triumph their Causes or (apparently) die trying to do so. Sort of
> a Masada falling/failing again and again and again. :-)

>
> Given enough exposure over years of messaging by Believers
> (and "heretics"), one gets a glimpse of the Believers' states of mind
> no longer fettered by in-person facades or necessities of social or
> employment-hierarchy behavior. Unafraid of direct face-to-face
> confrontation (and possible physical retribution), they can Vent.
> Perhaps it is a catharsis to vent. Such is difficult to do in-person
> without danger of direct harm. Messaging by computer lets us
> look behind the in-person behavioral mask...but only somewhat.
> Their reaction to comments in opposition to them tells us more.
> Adding different catalysts lets us see even more reactions. Given
> time their personal dossier almost writes itself. :-)
>
> So...I'd say we DO have a means to see inside others' thinking
> (or lack of it) which is not quite possible in-person. On the other
> hand, we have no body language responses nor tone of voice nor
> facial expressions present on in-person encounters.
>
> One thing for sure: The common Territorial Imperative will lead many
> to Claim Turf for themselves and "buddies." They "own" what goes
> on and what should go on...even if they have no separate authority
> to do so. They tenaciously hold fast to their Turf (especially the
> Beliefs therein) since it is "theirs." :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Posted on 17 Jan 05

613. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 00:51:16 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <8gDHd.3728$2e7.764@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102029

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:wdGdnXUVPPp9x3HcRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
> N2EY wrote:
>
>
> > But I have found that it's usually the case that the online or onair
> > personality
> > isn't *that* much different. There are exceptions, of course, but if a
person
> > acts like a complete @#$% online, there's a very good chance you won't
> > like their "in person" personality either.
>
> It they didn't have those particular thoughts and feelings, they
> wouldn't write them.
>
> > Just IMHO
>
> It is not only MHO, it is a fact.
>

Having come from one line of great debaters (when I was in school) you'd be hard pressed to "know" the real Kim coming out of a debate arena--and I consider this newsgroup as a great arena for debate. And, my--IMHO--6th Grade teacher went about enhancing our debating abilities by making us take an opposing point of view from one we'd rather have taken. So, I learned to play Devil's Advocate and have--in my adult life--been known to appear to be thinking along the lines of one way but what I was actually doing was testing the convictions of another's beliefs. I actually (for real) enjoy that very much--for real.

So, I have written things here that are quite, quite different from how I really feel. Why? Testing the waters, bringing out the worst and/or best in someone, etc. The one thing I have never lied about is that I never lie. (GRIN)

>
> Much can be learned by those who sit back , watch, and listen.
>
> I think Kim might be thinking that I am acting the chat-room romantic.
> (correct me if I'm wrong, Kim)
>

Don't know that you need correcting, Mike, in fact I haven't thought much about your acting (uh, no pun intended).

>
> But our writing tells much about us. When we purposely set out to
> decieve, we are eventually outed.
>
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

Hmmmm, isn't that rather psychotic of you, Mike. OOoops, I mean, er,
analytical...LMAO!!!!

Kim W5TIT

614. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 01:30:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050119203051.00824.00000035@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 102030

In article <1106082057.183332.50850@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <1105782272.912905.258700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
>"K4YZ"
>> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>>
>> >N2EY said:
>> >>In Japan, the station license needs to be renewed annually and
>costs
>> >>120 yen, last time I looked. There's an enormous difference between
>> >>the
>> >>number of JA operator and station licenses as a result.
>> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> >I'd recheck the math, Jim. 120 yen isn't even $1.00 I doubt that
>> >the Japanese governent is quite that generous!
>> >Perhaps Y120K...?!?!
>>
>> Could be, Steve, I'm a little rusty on exchange rates.
>
>One of my biggest regrets from my FIRST tour to Japan (1980) was
>not buying up a bunch of Yen and burying it in a box somewhere!...It
>was over Y400 to the USD then! Next time I was back (only 6 months
>later) it was down to Y220 to Y250 to the USD. When I was last there
>(1990) it was Y105 to Y120 to the USD.

So if you'd bought $100 worth of yen in 1980 (40,000 yen) and then converted it
back to dollars in 1990 when the rate was 110, those 40,000 yen would be worth
$363.

I did better than that in the stock market back about then....;-)

>It peaked briefly at Y144 then
>dropped again. I think it'be been pretty much stable in the low to mid
>100's since then.

:-(

>The reciprocal (JA) license was around Y48,000 in 1981.

Over $100!

>Pretty
>steep! I was just tickled with my KA6 call for free!

This may be one reason for the popularity of nonresident aliens getting US callsigns...

73 de Jim, N2EY

615. Re: 3815

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 01:31:45 UTC
From: nasal nick <pav&#65533;oesta7@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <67624$41ef0ad2$d1cc4e3f$28254@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 102031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"m II" <C@In.The.Hat> wrote in message
news:s2oHd.101921$nN6.88735@edtnps84...
>
> 3815 khz LSB
>
> 07:31 GMT
>
> Morons talking about getting killed by the natives in Mexico because of
> being white. A blue eyed moron is speaking.

True, we do have our share of abject white trash and
lower class trailerhouse dwellers on various places across 75 Meters.
Been that way for years. Their ranks seem to have thinned
out a tad however over the past years, with R.H. cracking
down on some of the more nefarious places on the bands.
You can still hear a few of these throwbacks to a more 'ignorant time'
in American history on ham radio. One place that comes
to mind is 3860 at 8:00 PM eastern. At that time every weeknight
something calling itself the 'Eastern Regional Patriot Net' fires
up to share the latest paranoia stories and short-wave radio pabulum
that's making the rounds. (black helicopters, contrails, nanobots in
flu shots, water fluoridation and Ishkabibble Mohammed Patel what
runs the Dunkin-Donuts-for-Allah is fair game for all manner of rumor grist
and fear mongering - think of it as the Liberty Net on steroids...)
Most notable on this freq. is a guy who checks into this Net each night
allegedly from KY with the 'callsign' of "Wolfman Jack". No valid ham
radio call given for several months. (some suspect he's a former member
of the KY militia who's a friend of kookball Steve Andersen of United
Patriot Radio fame, although this is likely more ham radio BS) So dear
SWL's, if you want your nightly dose of deep-fried KookinSchlong breaded
sausages on a bed of grits with cornbread on the side, be sure to toon into
3860 at 8PM EST nightly. You'll feel like your right back in Porky's Bar in
the Backwaters of the Bayou with the good-ole-boys. (Note: tinfoil hats,
old construction worker Dickey and/or red plad shirts are highly
recommended, although some nights it's better than 3 old wimmen in
pink nightgowns and slippers and hair curlers chattin over the backyard
fence...right out of Monty Python TV Series...)

616. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 01:37:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <t_GdnVkhGPP8lnLcRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102032

Kim wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:41EC12F0.1060809@psu.edu...
>
>>Kim wrote:
>>
>>>I couldn't follow who posted what above, but I think whoever said "It
>
> allows
>
>>>us to get to know each other better" may need just a little bit of a
>
> reality
>
>>>check. This is an "online" venue, and I don't believe that this allows
>
> for
>
>>>getting to "know" anyone. OK, maybe slightly...and then only in a few
>>>cases.
>>
>>No reality check needed, Kim. If a person is honest and
>>straightforward, then it comes across. If a person uses various
>>"personas" fro their posting, then that also comes across. If a person
>>needs to disguise themselves, if they feel comfortable tossing brickbats
>>at another, disregarding that there is a human on the other side, and
>>that Usenet is not a sort of insulting text game that they play with
>>their computers, then that also tells a lot about the person.
>>
>
>
> Well, your experiences have been entirely different from mine, then, Mike.
> Long, long before I ever discovered the "newsgroupie" thingy, my husband and
> I had discovered "chat" rooms. We were participants in many, many venues
> and, in several, were even clannish to the extent of forming parties and
> get-togethers wherein travel was often involved to get to the area where we
> were all to meet and have dinners/lunches, whatever.
>
> In nearly all cases, the people we met in person were vastly, and I do mean
> VASTLY, different from their personalities online. I would say that, of
> about or around 100 people, 2, maybe 3, (not including ourselves) were
> "normal." Not to insult anyone, but I would define normal as in: relative
> to our frame of nature, background, etc. What we considered proper and

> presentable was mostly different from the folks we met. In fact, it was
> meeting the folks that drove us from the whole "enjoyment" we THOUGHT we
> were having with these chat rooms...LMAO!

Yeah that probably would be disappointing. I have very little
experience in chat rooms, but it seems that many people in them are
trying to impress other people in some fashion.

>
>>It is like people claiming that alcohol causes them to do evil and
>>antisocial things. Sorry, but they were already evil and antisocial. The
>>alcohol simply allowed them to shift the blame.
>>
>
>
> Oh, I wholeheartedly believe that. However, I believe that it was the
> nature of the "incognito" status that maybe shaded how we really thought
> people were. I mean, what one may find humorous in the text venue, may turn
> out to be outright obnoxious in person. The apparent sweetest person on the
> planet Earth, online, becomes in person, the most nearly evil person one's
> ever known.

And a person with severe conflicts.

> Even I, as crass as I am (and I am) have manners in public and do not take
> pride in embarrassing people and generally know the line. Many we met were
> oblivious to society boundaries and were quite embarrassing to be around.
>
>
>>>For instance, the internet, chat rooms, and newsgroups are the greatest
>>>playground for playing Devil's Advocate or even downright antagonism, or
>>>inciting "a riot" so to speak!
>>
>>Because the person is like that. I know a lot about people that incite
>>major antagonism in newsgroups, even if they are civil in person.
>>
>
>
> MMMmmm mmmm, gotta disagree there. Why? Because, here in this newsgroup, I
> probably have a reputation for being pretty antagonistic. In person, I am
> very deliberate, cautious, calculating and very, very mindful of keeping a
> group of people, who may lean toward being argumentative, on the friendly
> and "get along with each other" end. If you knew me in person, as many do,
> you'd be told by others that I am one of the most cohesive building partners
> anyone's ever met. I am also generally in a position of listening,
> collaboration, and leadership--and take great pride in being very good at
> it.

And I don't doubt that a bit. I purposely don't pick up on the things
that would seem obvious. We send out other and subtle clues

> Here, to hell with all that. In fact, it has in the past been a great
> tension reliever. I don't generally care about anyone on this newsgroup
> because I've taught myself not to care about people I really don't know--and
> I really don't know anyone here except, maybe, Cecil...and he's a great guy
> from what I met of him.

So I've heard from all accounts. My limited impression of Cecil is that
he is most likely very genial, has a good sense of humor, and a bit
stubborn (that last was obvious! 8^)

>
>>> I know I can get certain people going in a
>>>heart beat of a stroke of a few keys of my keyboard, and they'll look
>
> pretty
>
>>>darned idiotic to most who may have been taking them seriously...
>>>
>>>In a short, don't ever think that this (the internet) is the medium of
>>>humanism, or personalization, or "knowing" others. It just ain't so...
>>
>>I don't use it to determine anothers personality, but I can determine
>>true personality from it.
>>
>>YMMV.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> Yes, my mileage definitely varies. I've been way too exposed to way too
> many people in the past, who were internet "friends" until I met them...good
> Lord thank God they're many, many, many miles away.

- Mike KB3EIA -

617. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 01:50:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <T86dnVRXPavsk3LcRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102033

Kim wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:wdGdnXUVPPp9x3HcRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>But I have found that it's usually the case that the online or onair
>>>personality
>>>isn't *that* much different. There are exceptions, of course, but if a
>
> person
>
>>>acts like a complete @#$% online, there's a very good chance you won't
>>>like their "in person" personality either.
>>
>>It they didn't have those particular thoughts and feelings, they
>>wouldn't write them.
>>
>>
>>>Just IMHO
>>
>>It is not only MHO, it is a fact.
>>
>
>
> Having come from one line of great debaters (when I was in school) you'd be
> hard pressed to "know" the real Kim coming out of a debate arena--and I
> consider this newsgroup as a great arena for debate. And, my--IMHO--6th
> Grade teacher went about enhancing our debating abilities by making us take
> an opposing point of view from one we'd rather have taken. So, I learned to
> play Devil's Advocate and have--in my adult life--been known to appear to be
> thinking along the lines of one way but what I was actually doing was
> testing the convictions of another's beliefs. I actually (for real) enjoy
> that very much--for real.
>
> So, I have written things here that are quite, quite different from how I
> really feel. Why? Testing the waters, bringing out the worst and/or best
> in someone, etc. The one thing I have never lied about is that I never lie.
> (GRIN)
>
>

>>Much can be learned by those who sit back , watch, and listen.
>>
>>I think Kim might be thinking that I am acting the chat-room romantic.
>>(correct me if I'm wrong, Kim)
>>
>
>
> Don't know that you need correcting, Mike, in fact I haven't thought much
> about your acting (uh, no pun intended).
>
>
>>But our writing tells much about us. When we purposely set out to
>>decieve, we are eventually outed.
>>
>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>
>
> Hmmmm, isn't that rather psychotic of you, Mike. OOoops, I mean, er,
> analytical...LMAO!!!!

Hah! It is possible, I suppose! Am I as I post, or am I a weirdo? Or
does the way I post indicate that I AM a weirdo? I've posted enough in
this newsgroup that an observer should be able to tell a lot about my
actual personality. Granted, I don't try to hide it or blast people for
stress relief, so I should be easy.

- Mike KB3EIA -

618. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 02:33:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41EF188A.4815275F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102034

Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:41ED1382.5F2CC650@earthlink.net...
> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > >
> > > "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > news:7WYGd.9810$C52.2142@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > >>So why are you broadcasting on a dead band?
> > > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm "broadcasting" on a dead band so not to cause harmful
> interference.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Aside from hams within about 50 miles of you, who's
> > > > gonna be able to hear it? Still say the Internet is
> > > > a better tool for this....
> > > >
> > >
> > > Well so could amateur radio.
> >
> > So could amateur radio what?
>
> All amateurs should move to the internet

For what possible purpose? The internet isn't amateur radio.

> > > Let's get rid of amateur radio all together and
> > > all go to the internet.
> >
> > Why should we do that?
>
> Because someone said I should have my information bulletin on the web. Since
> that's the solution then maybe we should ALL go the web and the FCC auction
> off all the spectrum we have.

No, Todd. The suggestion was for you and others who want to play "boy
broadcaster".

> > >All the stuff the amateur do can all be done on the
> > > internet.
> >

> > Really? I don't believe you. Can I work meteor scatter on the
> > internet?
> > Can I work 160m DXCC on the internet? Can I use the internet in an
> > emergency when the phone lines are down?
>
> With the advancement of the internet with BPL and wireless. I'm sure some
> moron will come up with a way so the internet will remain on while
> phonelines are down.

That's pretty unlikely. Not only do the phones go out in summer and
winter storms here, often the power goes with it. Not all of us live in
cities, Todd.

> The majority of amateur don't contest.

Where was anything written about contesting?

> > > I sure all the amateur bands could be used for another service.
> >
> > "I sure" they could be too. Why would they be?
>
> well like I said the solution as one person put it is to put stuff like
> information bulletins on the internet.

I'm not promulgating information bulletins. I suppose that means I'll
stick to the ham bands.

Dave K8MN

619. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 02:35:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41EF18FB.8C1E0378@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102035

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>Lenof21 wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>In article <41ED91B3.2C2C6F4B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >>>writes:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Oh, this was one of Len's more polite and rational posts, Mike. At
> >>>>least in this one, I'm not painted as a Nazi.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Tsk. You don't need "paint." You IS.
> >>
> >> So you are saying that Dave is a Nazi?
> >
> >
> > It looks that way to me. Len doesn't play well with others.
>
> Hmm, some things are not to be said even in jest. I guess Mr. Godwin
> just handed you a free lifetime pass.

You see, Mike, this is just another occasion in which Len has done the
things he says he never does. To balance things out, he often doesn't
do the things he says he'll do.

Dave K8MN

620. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 02:49:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106189351.449973.27330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102036

K4YZ wrote:
> Lenof21 wrote:
>
> > He's been in those "seven hostile actions" so he fights with
> > his four neurons, thinking up nasties.

> Then I exceed yours by three. Thanks.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, I never heard Len claim "four hostile actions."

621. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 02:58:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41EF1E1E.DC2A153E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102037

Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:41ED116E.233D3F36@earthlink.net...
> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:41EBF4C2.751D778D@earthlink.net...
> > > > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Content Control
> > > > > I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at
> 9.00
> > > pm
> > > > > CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the
> amateur
> > > > > radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying;
> > > >
> > > > You've provided no evidence that amateur radio is dying.
> > >
> > > Ham radio in about 10 to 15 years will be dead because technology and
> the
> > > free flow of content will surpass amateur radio.
> >
> > You've still provided no evidence that amateur radio is dying, Todd.
>
> Well Dave where or should I say what do you see amateur's doing in 10 to
> 15???

I don't know about all of them, Todd, but I'll likely be doing the same
or similar things to those I'm doing now. Did you mean minutes or
years?

> Me, I think if ham radio is still around it will be the same old
> boring shit that has been going on these last 20 to 25 years.

If you find amateur radio boring, try something new. Take up PSK-31 or
ATV. Brush up on your CW skills. Homebrew some projects or a linear
amp.
Experiment with antennas. If that doesn't work, find a new hobby--maybe
Part 15 broadcaster.

> Here's
> something to think about why should someone get a ham license when you can
> talk all over the world on the internet?

I can talk all over the world on a telephone or cellular phone too. Why would I want to do that? If you find chatting via internet fascinating, take it up for yourself. Don't try to convince me that it is what I want to do.

"Hello? Djarkarta? This is Dave in West Virginia. What's the weather like over there?"

> Why will amateur radio die?

You haven't yet made a case that it is dying.

> because
> technology is passing ham radio up and I know you old ham operator don't
> want it hear it.

Why? Because technology isn't passing it up? I'm using Buck Rogers stuff in the shack now that wasn't dreamed of ten years ago. It didn't exist on the market until a couple of years ago. The specs are better than any government-owned radio equipment I used over an entire Department of State career.

> But the reality is ham radio is getting to the point were
> it is no longer really needed.

...by you.

> > > No matter what you might think that's a reality.
> >
> > It does matter what I think. You've still provided no evidence to
> > support your claim.
>
> Like I said why should someone spend the time and money to talk to some
> moron on the radio when they can do it on the internet???

If talking to morons is your game, I say it doesn't matter how or where you do it.

> If you were go on
> the streets and ask people what would they do. Get a ham license or get on
> the internet the majority would say internet.

And? That sounds like, "But Dad, all the kids are getting one"!
As my Pop used to say, "If all the kids had horse shit sandwiches, would you want one"?

> No matter how easy the FCC
> makes the licensing process ham radio will not continue to grow unless
> changes are made. Those changes are technology, and content .

You can't believe how silly that sounds, Todd.

> > > Amateurs seem to believe that amateur radio should
> > > be the idle dribble we call amateur radio.
> >
> > For God's sake, Todd, the word is "drivel" and I don't see your

> > "broadcast" as being better stuff.
>
> You've never hear my broadcast therefor you can't justify that statement.

I've read your stuff here, Todd. You're semi-literate at best. If I
add that to your uninformed views, I can't find much to entice me into
listening to your "broadcast". I'd just as soon listen to guys
comparing notes on non-functioning body parts on 75m.

> > > For amateur radio to grow
> > > amateurs are going to have to change. Amateur radio has to look at the
> > > future and not the short term future.
> >
> > So the future is that we should all become micro-broadcasters on dead
> > bands?
>
> No, and I information bulletins are legal

Why, then, have you been writing about broadcasts?

> > So, we're not discussing the content of an amateur radio station but of
> > the content of individuals? What do you, K1MAN and the Liberty Net
> > contain? Seriously, Todd, I think that if the FCC is going after you,
> > K1MAN and the Liberty Net, it is doing something right.
>
> Well, like I've stated before perhaps W1AW should be shut down too.

W1AW isn't breaking the regs.

> > > There are many in the ARRL
> > > which believes that Free Speech doesn't apply to amateur radio.
> >
> > Are you speaking of Directors? staffers? members? Who in the ARRL who
> > don't believe such?
> >
> Phil Kane Dec 22 2004, 12:02 pm show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.K...@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> - Find messages by
> this author
> Date: Wed, 22 Dec 2004 12:02:19 -0800 (PST)
> Local: Wed, Dec 22 2004 12:02 pm
> Subject: Re: The FCC Break their own rules
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse
>
> On Wed, 22 Dec 2004 06:11:04 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> >I hear all this crap about K1MAN violating the FCC rules but the FCC
> >violates their own rules..
>
> >Sec. 326. - Censorship

>
> >Nothing in this chapter shall be understood or construed to give the
> >Commission the power of censorship over the radio communications or signals
> >transmitted by any radio station, and no regulation or condition shall be
> >promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall interfere with the right
> >of free speech by means of radio communication
>
> (a) it's not an FCC rule and is open to court interpretation.
>
> (b) look up the case law based on that section and see why there is
> no "free speech" right in an amateur license.
>
> --
> Phil Kane

And you believe that Phil Kane works for the ARRL?

> > > First off my "broadcast" are programs directed towards the amateurs
> radio
> > > community.
> >
> > If they're "broadcasts", it doesn't matter.
>
> The problem with information bulletin and broadcasts is the grey line
> between them.

What's the gray line?

> > Not much of the public is listening to amateur radio. Almost no one is
> > listening to 10m when the band is closed.
>
> Not true there are a lot of the public listening to amateur radio, more then
> what you think.

But you just told us that amateur radio is dying. Now you'd have us
believe that large segments of the public are playing SWL on a dead 10m
band in the late evening?

> I picked that band so not to cause harmful interference or
> have some licensed CB Operator aka ham operator claiming they were on
> frequency and I was causing interference.

You're a regular rocket scientist.

> > > And with you and the ARRL by his side Free Speech will be supressed.
> >
> > Suppressed? I don't think so. Controlled? Yes. What is it that you
> > don't feel you can do on the air as a ham, Todd? Is it that you can't
> > use potty language, or that you can't make money or that you can't play
> > music?
>
> I don't use obscene and indecent material on the air nor do I play music or
> make money.

Let me ask the question again: What is it that you don't feel you can do on the air as a ham, Todd? What kinds of things would you air over your station which the FCC says you can't now do?

Dave K8MN

622. Re: 3815

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 03:27:55 UTC
From: m II <C@In.The.Hat>
Message-ID: <%yFHd.36604$06.11392@clgrps12>
Source record: 102038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

nasal nick wrote:

> it's better than 3 old wimmen in
> pink nightgowns and slippers and hair curlers chattin over the backyard
> fence

It just doesn't get better than that...as long as they don't do certain
things in my general direction.

mike

623. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 04:49:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050119234947.00824.00000036@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 102039

In article <aMydneilLoNf63PcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Lenof21 wrote:
>
>> In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>
>>>> I'm TRYING to argue against the morse code test. You are trying
>>>> to squelch such arguments by misdirection into defamation of any
>>>> who are against the morse code test.
>>>
>>> Hmm, you possibly could:
>>>
>>> Start a Morse code thread
>>
>>
>> I have.
>>
>>
>>> Try to refrain from the pejoratives
>>
>>
>> Sorry. Someone insults me, I give 'em back lots more.
>
> So when have I insulted you? You aren't considering disagreement as
>insulting are you?
>
>
>> So far, in this Din of Inequity, the few, the proud (of themselves),
>> the murines (eyewash) wan't to pummel and pound on any
>> NCTA...especially using personal pejoratives.
>
>Bill Sohl seems to have escaped that fate when he posts here.
>
>>> You never know what might happen.
>>
>>
>> Sorry, sweetums, I've seen what has already happened. So have
>> you and everyone else accessing this newsgrope.
>
> Chicken and egg syndrome? Given the history of posters here, I would

>suspect that if you were to adopt a different strategy, there would be
>some posts that would be provoking. However, if ignored, that stuff goes
>away.

Given the "history of posters here," especially the PCTA extras,
your good idea is merely impractical bullshit.

PCTA extras all pretend to be "superior" and let everyone know it.

>>> Then again, I suspect you enjoy things just the way they are. 8^)
>>
>>
>> You are WRONG.
>
>Seriously? You don't enjoy tweaking people?

The "tweaking" is easy for me to do. Most of the postings I do
simply "write themselves." :-)

You seem to forget that I've had a long career of working in electronics.
Electrons (in electronics) do NOT get influenced by human desires.
All humans who work with electrons have to play be electron's rules.
I enjoy that kind of work. It appears cold, hard, logical but can be
quite creative once you learn electron's rules. Once that is done, they
CAN follow human orders.

The odd part of human nature is that the PCTA extras think that all
must follow Their rules, obey them, etc., and some get quite
fanciful in imagining the "motivations" of the NCTA.

>So another description of
>what you are doing is that you are engaging in something that you hate
>to do, in the interests of eliminating the Morse code test, in a Usenet
>newsgroup?

Incorrect. Your speculation is nonsense.

You forget that many more readers follow what goes on in here.
They, too, can see/read the propaganda nonsense about the
nobility and efficacy of morse code and the alleged "necessity"
of the amateur radio morse code test. Those readers need a bit
of boost on the reasons AGAINST it. Myself and others have
presented opposing reasons for that code test.

>Are you making some sort of Quixotic sacrifice in a Usenet
>newsgroup in an attempt to eliminate Morse code testing?

No. If you will bother to read the 18 petitions at the FCC you
would see that it isn't some Cervantes fictional character
exercise...but, some of the proponents of the code test are
indeed human windmills whirling in dead air.

>> The PCTA just can't take opposing views
>> on the morse code test. They get so very bitchy and angry

>> and demand to get all who argue against the retention that it
>> becomes a repeated comedy of brainwashing phrases by them.
>
>> No problem on my replying in kind to the PCTA...if I have the
>> time to spend on their trite, tired, tintabulation of olde-tyme
>> phrases. I'm busy with lots of other things, but I can find time
>> once in a while to puncture their arrogant balloons of old, stale,
>> hot air.
>
>All the arguments are old and we've heard them a lot. From both sides.

Poor baby. You seem distressed. Or perhaps your lordship
wishes better entertainment?

Here is a suggestion for your lordship: Use your amateur radio
license and operate your mighty transceiver on the air instead of
accessing this newsgroup. Chat with other human beings (or what
passes for same).

Or, your lordship could take the lead and open up a real Chat Room
all about morse code on amateur radio and how wonderful it is and
that morsemanship should be done by all the "superior" beings. You
could develop a real following and become a Name in Chat Room
circles, get known, develop a "rep." Or even a website where you
could post "awards" given by the certificate makers and praise
yourself for being so damn good.

This is NOT a "Chat Room" for idle gossip and cracker-barrel
philosophy by a few regulars who have grown overly full of themselves.
Even though they think they own the place (and can therefore dictate
who gets to sit in), they don't. True. They don't even when their
feathers get very ruffled by opposing opinions. (poor things...)

Morse code is an old, primitive communications mode. The first
morse code was used in 1844...on a commercial wired system, not
on radio (radio had yet to be proved to exist). Early radio used on-
off keying codes because that was the ONLY practical way to
communicate given that there were no vacuum tubes and certainly
no transistors. Primitive stuff that was first demonstrated in 1896.
What passes for truisms about morse code "superiority" as
spouted by the ARRL was formed in the 1930s, seven decades ago.
Of course the reasons to maintain morse code testing are OLD.

The silly part of it is that so many olde-tymers insist that the old
is "best" and that nothing should change. They can't devise any
good reasons to keep that code test, nothing new during the seven
decades they've tried. All those reasons are tired, trite, cliches'
and the reasons against them are true, familiar, easy to repeat.
Again, refutation of the pro-code-test-advocates' "reasons" almost
"write themselves."

The only thing "new" with the PCTA extras is their propensity for "replying" by personal insults against the NCTA. All NCTA are "inferior" to them and their mighty accomplishments with morse. In short, they are so full of themselves that they cannot accept any others' inputs. They are stuffed and over-stuffed. Some go to the bursting point. Two former PCTA extras in here may have done just that. Other PCTA extras are expected to follow.

Perhaps you should go to Church more often...such as to the Church of St. Hiram, the patron saint of Newington. There you could mass with other morsemen and celebrate the mode in chorus with a heavenly choir. Wash your brain regularly lest all the old, tired, trite cliches' fall out. By all means, exercise your morsemanship regularly to be ready for the Second Coming of Aliens so you can defeat them via morse code and thereby Save the World. After all, you are "superior" through morse code.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 19 Jan 05

624. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 05:14:49 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <d7HHd.650$YD5.163@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102040

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41ee0156$1_2@127.0.0.1...

.
: perhaps you've been on the CB band too much or 80 meters.
: Todd N9OGL

I think perhaps YOU have been around CB RF too much. Maybe
you need the protection of --->

http://molelog.molehill.org/blox/HowStuffWorks/Crap/TinfoilHat.writeback

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

625. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 08:24:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106209455.814282.294480@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102041

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <aMydneilLoNf63PcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >Lenof21 wrote:
> >
> >> In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>> I'm TRYING to argue against the morse code test. You are
trying
> >>>> to squelch such arguments by misdirection into defamation of
any
> >>>> who are against the morse code test.
> >>>
> >>> Hmm, you possibly could:
> >>>
> >>> Start a Morse code thread
> >>
> >>
> >> I have.
> >>
> >>
> >>> Try to refrain from the pejoratives
> >>
> >>
> >> Sorry. Someone insults me, I give 'em back lots more.
> >
> > So when have I insulted you? You aren't considering disagreement as

> >insulting are you?
> >
> >
> >> So far, in this Din of Inequity, the few, the proud (of
themselves),
> >> the murines (eyewash) wan't to pummel and pound on any
> >> NCTA...especially using personal pejoratives.
> >
> >Bill Sohl seems to have escaped that fate when he posts here.
> >
> >>> You never know what might happen.
> >>

> >>
> >> Sorry, sweetums, I've seen what has already happened. So have
> >> you and everyone else accessing this newsgrope.
> >
> > Chicken and egg syndrome? Given the history of posters here, I
would
> >suspect that if you were to adopt a different strategy, there would
be
> >some posts that would be provoking. However, if ignored, that stuff
goes
> >away.
>
> Given the "history of posters here," especially the PCTA extras,
> your good idea is merely impractical bullshit.

In your very first sentence of reply, Lennie, you prove Mike
correct.

The "impracticality" comes from YOUR unwillingness to stop lying,
deceiving and being antagonistic. It's just not in what little bit of
a character you have to do....

And your use of emphatic profanity to try and "make your point"
only further serves to demonstrate just how angry you get when someone
makes such a well said and valid observation.

You've been bested once again, Lennie, and it cuts to the core.
Bravo, Mike

Steve, K4YZ

626. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 08:26:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106209585.989188.322810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102042

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Lenof21 wrote:
> >
> > > He's been in those "seven hostile actions" so he fights with
> > > his four neurons, thinking up nasties.
>
> > Then I exceed yours by three. Thanks.
> >
> > Putz.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, I never heard Len claim "four hostile actions."

However he did insist I had only four neurons firing.
That still exceeds him by three.

Steve, K4YZ

627. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 08:30:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106209842.254258.15220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102043

bb wrote:
> I guess it's funnier when Mark Morgan does it.

Brian, we are going to have to get you to learn how to quote the
person you are replying to on the new Google.

Your "replies", without some sort of background as to their intent
and purpose, are meaningless.

73

Steve, K4YZ

628. Re: A Very Basic Concept

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 08:39:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106210383.116137.140260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102044

N2EY wrote:

> btw, it's been exactly five years since Len said he was going for
Extra right
> out of the box.
>
> (check Google - it's hilarious)

I don't know if "hilarious" was the right adjective, Jim.

I think "pitiful" is more like it.

I am sure that you, like I, have seen people with NO experience in
ANY discipline of radio communication, take a week or two of study and
walk away from a VE session with a CSCE in hand for a new license.

Pity that, then, that a person with Lennie's alleged education and
experience hasn't been able to accomplish it in five YEARS, let alone
weeks.

> He said maybe he'd make it, maybe he wouldn't. He hasn't made it.
Yet.

Oh, I don't thing there was ever ANY doubt as to Lennie's
inability to take and pass an Amateur examination.

> Perhaps we should all clap our hands about that. ;-) ;-) ;-)
Absolutely.

73

Steve, K4YZ

629. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 08:44:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106210680.416192.66860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102045

N2EY wrote:
> In article <1106082057.183332.50850@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"K4YZ"
> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> In article
<1105782272.912905.258700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> >"K4YZ"
> >> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >N2EY said:
> >> >>In Japan, the station license needs to be renewed annually and
> >costs
> >> >>120 yen, last time I looked. There's an enormous difference
between
> >> >>the
> >> >>number of JA operator and station licenses as a result.
> >> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> >I'd recheck the math, Jim. 120 yen isn't even $1.00 I doubt
that
> >> >the Japanese governent is quite that generous!
> >> >Perhaps Y120K...?!?!
> >>
> >> Could be, Steve, I'm a little rusty on exchange rates.
> >
> >One of my biggest regrets from my FIRST tour to Japan (1980) was
> >not buying up a bunch of Yen and burying it in a box somewhere!...It
> >was over Y400 to the USD then! Next time I was back (only 6 months
> >later) it was down to Y220 to Y250 to the USD. When I was last
there
> >(1990) it was Y105 to Y120 to the USD.
>
> So if you'd bought $100 worth of yen in 1980 (40,000 yen) and then
converted it
> back to dollars in 1990 when the rate was 110, those 40,000 yen would
be worth
> $363.
>
> I did better than that in the stock market back about then....;-)

And I know a lot of folks who were doing real well until 1989.

> >It peaked briefly at Y144 then
> >dropped again. I think it'be been pretty much stable in the low to
mid
> >100's since then.
>
> :-(
>
> >The reciprocal (JA) license was around Y48,000 in 1981.
>
> Over $100!

Yeppers.

> >Pretty
> >steep! I was just tickled with my KA6 call for free!
>
> This may be one reason for the popularity of nonresident aliens
getting US
> callsigns...

Why? Wouldn't do them any good. Those "KA" calls in Japan are
only good for persons covered under SOFA...(S)tatus (O)f (F)orces)
(A)greement. Joe or Jane American visiting in Japan did not qualify
for an AMRS (not to be confused with MARS) assignment. Joe Nonresident
Licensee certainly wouldn't qualify either!

73

Steve, K4YZ

630. Re: Five Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 09:21:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106212906.959350.294100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102046

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/6d38aef5bc8a1830?dmode=so
urce
>
> "I'm going for Amateur Extra "out of the box." Maybe I'll
> make it, maybe not. The personal point is irrelevant."
>
> --Leonard H. Anderson
>
> Happy anniversary, Len!

The "personal point" was his lack of character then, and his lack
of character now.

>From a bit farther down in that same post:

>I'm another "old man," a retired professional in
>radio-electronics engineering. Some of those tenured
>old men of US ham radio don't seem to want anyone
>with practical, professional and academic skill in radio
>and electronics technology.

Ironic, considering Lennie's penchant for antagonism, that he
wouldn't just go ahead and get the license just to prove the "old men
of US ham radio" wrong.

Of course the ONLY "old man" holding Lennie back >>IS<< Lennie!

>They seem to fear those
>who have industry-proven capabilities because that
>doesn't fit into their standards...or because they are
>insecure in not really knowing what goes on behind
>the front-panel of their factory-built equipment.

The only one having a problem with "fear" is the old man who won't
take a couple of hours to go get the license. Of course that's because
Lennie KNOWS he can't live up to ANY standards...Those "standards" of
those "old men" operating that factory built equipment that he insists
that no one but he understands, or the standards of the "old men" at
the FCC who set the "standards" of the test that he insists he can pass
blindfolded with one arm tied behind his back.

>They have difficulty in trying to put down those who know
>practical theory so they try to make fun of them

>instead...

Oh, make NO mistake, Lennie...we have NO PROBLEM putting a putz
like you "down". YOU make it SOOOOOOOO easy to do!

As for OTHERS who posses those skills and knowledge who ARE
licensed (and ACTIVE!), they ARE welcomed and much respected. Lennie
could be too...Might even find that modicum of respect and recognition
he couldn't muster in "professional" radio.
But we (and especially HE) will never know!

73

Steve, K4YZ

631. Re: RAC Bulletin - Industry Canada Posts Responses to RAC Recommendations on Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 10:15:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106216119.221566.165060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102047

Leo wrote:
> The following bulletin was sent out today by the Radio Amateurs of
> Canada HQ:

Great stuff, Leo! Thanks for posting it.

After following the link and reading, I see a couple of interesting points:

1) The expressed support for reduction of code testing in Canada is overwhelming. A clear mandate from those who commented.

2) The proposal, if I understand it correctly, does not completely eliminate Morse Code testing. Instead, it offers alternative ways of getting a license, with or without a code test.

3) The comment period was only 60 days long, and only about 150 people (mostly already-licensed amateurs, I think) commented.

It's interesting to note that the various code test reduction/elimination proposals here in the USA have had a very different response.

73 de Jim, N2EY

> Industry Canada has analyzed the responses to the public consultation

> called under Canada Gazette Notice DGRB-003-04. A summary of the
> comments received and the individual replies are now available on the

> Industry Canada web site at
>
>
http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08280e.html.
>
> Amateurs should note that while the responses heavily favoured
> deletion of the Morse Qualification as a requirement for access to
the
> HF bands, Industry Canada still has to make and announce a decision
on
> Morse retention or deletion. Until a decision to delete Morse is
> announced, amateurs who do not hold the Morse Qualification may not
> operate on the HF bands below 30 MHz.
>

> >>>>>
>
> It's almost over up here now - decision time is just around the
> corner!
>
> 73, Leo

632. Re: No anticipated change in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 11:18:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106219932.595398.71080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102048

Lenof21 wrote:
> In article <20050118202154.11141.00000086@mb-m02.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (Nun of the Above) writes:

"Nun of the Above"...?!?!

More of your "I don't use pejoratives that aren't directed at
me"...???

> >Perhaps they have changed their mind.
>
> Perhaps you are mistaken!
>
> Try reading FCC 90-53.

Ahhhh.... A document that's 15 years old now...Just because you
can read it "today" does NOT make it "today's" policy or position,
Lennie.

> >Nor have most other countries chosen to remove it, even though the
> >treaty requirement was removed more than 18 months ago. Oddly
> >enough, Japan retains its code testing for 3 of its 4 amateur radio
> >license classes.
>
> Are you going to get a Japanese ham license, benjo-san?

Now you are calling Jim a commode, Lennie?

WHERE has Jim made any such inference to YOU...???

> >Regulation includes the protection and preservation of important
> >resources. That's why we have national parks and wilderness
> >areas. One aspect of amateur radio *is* similar to that of the
> >national parks and wilderness areas.
>
> Well then, have Congress transfer U.S. amateur radio licensing
> to the National Park Service!

Lennie, YOU have made references to Amateur Radio being like our
national parks systems...Are you now retracting that opinion?

> >That's right. *ALL* citizens. That includes those who are licensed,
> >and those who are not.
>
> Really? I thought the PCTA extras in here were all for the
> suppression of the Constitution of the United States. They sure

> give that impression.

Gee, Lennie...I counted over 17 "replies" to comments made by you
in various Amateur Radio relevent proceedings wherein YOU did
everything you could to try and sidetrack the comments of people who DO
have licenses and experience in Amateur Radio.

> >> In smaller
> >> words that means they can comment to any agency of the
> >> government about any laws or regulations made by that
government.
> >> "Membership" in any particular agency's activity is NOT
required.
> >
> >Who ever said it was?
>
> Poop Dave the 1st. He demands, you demand "motivation."

To quote YOU, Lennie, "Show your work". WHERE are the DEAMNDS you
insist exist?

> >The important and unanswered question is: why is someone who is not
> >a licensed radio amateur, nor a manufacturer of amateur radio
equipment,
> >nor otherwise involved in amateur radio other than newsgroup
postings, so
> >obsessed with the regulations for an amateur radio license?
>
> See? YOU demand "motivation" plus rulings on the admissability
> of any commentary!

He didn't demand anything.

He asked you a very valid question.

One you tried to dance around. Didn't work.

> >> Apparently, some in here seem to think that ONLY licensed radio
> >> amateurs "should" comment on amateur regulations or that any
> >> who so comment are "wishing to get a license."
> >
> >Who, Len? Be specific.
>
> OH? Am I - again - REQUIRED to state, for the record, something?
>
> You must have short-term memory loss. Poor baby.

No "memory loss".

Simply putting you in the position of having to prove some of your
assinine assertions, the truthful answers to which would, of course,
disprove them!

> >Maybe not now, but five years ago tomorrow you said something very
different.
>
> Tsk. Five years ago you couldn't read any better than you do now.
> Which is to say rather poorly.

I think he reads quite well.

And I am sure you find it quite embarrassing that others are able
to locate can quote YOUR words to YOUR dismay.

> Gosh. FIFTY-FIVE years ago I said "differently." Are you going
to
> handcuff me and charge me with a felony something?

If stupid and arrogant were punishable offenses, you'd be on Death
Row. Of course in California it's "Death By Old Age Or Boredom Row".

> >That's simply your opinion, plus some gratuitous insults thrown in.
Nothing
> >more.
>
> Poor baby. Strong language and differing opinions causing you
> psychological trauma?

Strong language is all you seem to have to offer.

> >> The elimination OR the retention of morse code testing can be
> >> discussed on its own merits, not the "accomplishments" of a few
> >> who cannot justify their side of the discussion.
> >
> >Then do so, rather than simply deriding those who disagree with you.
>
> See? There you go again, not justifying anything but adding all
> those pejoratives about persons not agreeing with you.

What "pejoratives"...???

> >Seems that you are *requesting* that I "attempt defamation of the
person" of
> >others. Including you.
>
> Nooooo...you ARE attempting to defame anyone not agreeing with
you.
>
> Quod erat demonstrandum.

By reminding us of your previously stated opinions and actions
then turning them AGAINST you...?!?!

THAT is NOT "defaming" anyone.

> >Who, Len? Give some examples. But be prepared to also see references
to how
> >*you* have behaved towards those who disagree with you.

>
> I've named them, sweetums. Now stop waving that court order
around
> and show your shield. Tsk. You radio kopps sure don't know
procedure.

You've done nothing of the sort. You've not provided ONE
verifyable, documented quote as supporting "evidence" of your
assertions.

You HAVE provided tons of deceit, lies and "pejoratives" that you
claim others use.

Your "Nun of the Above" reference to Jim Miccolis in the opening
line of this post being of prime example.
Leonard H. Anderson is a putz.

Steve, K4YZ

633. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 12:00:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050120070012.26734.00000034@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 102049

In article <y5GdnfX3_J1JV3PcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> Mike Coslo wrote:

>>>Lenof21 wrote:

>>>>In article <41ED91B3.2C2C6F4B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>>>writes:

>>>>>Oh, this was one of Len's more polite and rational posts, Mike. At
>>>>>least in this one, I'm not painted as a Nazi.

>>>> Tsk. You don't need "paint." You IS.

That was posted on the 60th anniversary of the liberation of Auschwitz. A
message is in there, someplace.

>>> So you are saying that Dave is a Nazi?

>> It looks that way to me. Len doesn't play well with others.

>Hmm, some things are not to be said even in jest. I guess Mr. Godwin
>just handed you a free lifetime pass.

Mike, there's a long history of Len using that sort of imagery when writing
here. Although Len will sometimes use smileys or dismiss it as a joke, it isn't
funny.

As you have experienced yourself, Len considers disagreement with his cherished
views, pointing out his mistakes, or criticizing his behavior here to be an
insult to him personally.

73 de Jim, N2EY

634. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 12:00:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050120070012.26734.00000035@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 102150

In article <41DC70A1.6307B643@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <XmZAd.7418$qf5.4059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert
>casey
>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
>> >Thus Len should have enough smarts to handle the amateur
>> >writtens, up to extra. And spend a few weeks to learn 5wpm code,
>> >and he could get the ham license. Otherwise he's an amateur
>> >troll... :-)
>>
>> Everyone seems to be of the fixed mindset (imaginary department)
>> that I am desiring to obtain an amateur radio license. Not true.
>
>Your story changes from month-to-month and year-to-year. Sometimes you
>have no interest in obtaining an amateur radio license. At other times
>you've indicated a decades-long interest in amateur radio. It can't be
>both ways.

Sure it can, Dave. A person can "have an interest" in something, yet not want
to become part of that group. For example, I have a decades-long interest in
sexy women, but I have never desired to become one!

There's also the case of the person whose interest in something consists of
wanting to destroy it. Such a person will often deny that goal.

73 de Jim, N2EY

635. Re: RAC Bulletin - Industry Canada Posts Responses to RAC Recommendations on Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 12:30:56 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <md8vu05mmrun58ne5csnane01c0o7p5vqs@4ax.com>
Source record: 102151

On 20 Jan 2005 02:15:19 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>Leo wrote:
>> The following bulletin was sent out today by the Radio Amateurs of
>> Canada HQ:
>
>Great stuff, Leo! Thanks for posting it.
>
>After following the link and reading, I see a couple of interesting
>points:
>
>1) The expressed support for reduction of code testing in Canada is
>overwhelming. A clear mandate from those who commented.

I found that quite surprising as well - the original RAC survey (which formed the basis for the recommendations made to IC) showed that around 66% of the Amateur community was in favour of retiring Morse as a mandatory requirement.

The comments to IC on those recommendations were an amazing 123 to 19 in favour of dropping code - a significant increase.
>
>2) The proposal, if I understand it correctly, does not completely
>eliminate Morse Code testing. Instead, it offers alternative ways of
>getting a license, with or without a code test.

Correct - but I suspect that this was an effort by the RAC to try and accomodate the wishes of as much of their membership as possible (which was not too well received, based on the responses...). Actually, they exceeded the request of IC considerably - the question was whether to retain Morse, but the RAC took it a step further and used it as a platform to reform the entire license structure. It is unlikely that this will be done in the short term - to quote IC:

".....the RAC proposal went substantially beyond the issue of Morse code, and made recommendations to modify the existing amateur certificate structure through the introduction of a new certificate (Intermediate) as well as a general increase in the pass level for obtaining the existing basic and advanced certificates. While these recommendations received various levels of support as indicated in the comments received, it is not clear whether each element of the proposal can be entertained without the benefit of a more comprehensive certificate review, and substantial regulatory

amendments to accommodate an additional amateur certificate."

>
>3) The comment period was only 60 days long, and only about 150 people
>(mostly already-licensed amateurs, I think) commented.

That's true - although the opportunity to comment was not limited to
the Amateur community, few (if any) others showed any interest.

60 days is plenty of time for a subject of this level of signifigance
to the public - we get things done efficiently up here! :)

>
>---
>
>It's interesting to note that the various code test
>reduction/elimination proposals here in the USA have had a very
>different response.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

73, Leo

>
>> Industry Canada has analyzed the responses to the public consultation
>
>> called under Canada Gazette Notice DGRB-003-04. A summary of the
>> comments received and the individual replies are now available on the
>
>> Industry Canada web site at
>>
>>
>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/insmt-gst.nsf/en/sf08280e.html.
>>
>> Amateurs should note that while the responses heavily favoured
>> deletion of the Morse Qualification as a requirement for access to
>the
>> HF bands, Industry Canada still has to make and announce a decision
>on
>> Morse retention or deletion. Until a decision to delete Morse is
>> announced, amateurs who do not hold the Morse Qualification may not
>> operate on the HF bands below 30 MHz.
>>
>> >>>>>
>>
>> It's almost over up here now - decision time is just around the
>> corner!
>>
>> 73, Leo

636. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 12:35:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <yrmdnUk7qsY2OHLcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102152

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <aMydneilLoNf63PcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> I'm TRYING to argue against the morse code test. You are trying
>>>>>>> to squelch such arguments by misdirection into defamation of any
>>>>>>> who are against the morse code test.
>>>>
>>>> Hmm, you possibly could:
>>>>
>>>> Start a Morse code thread
>>>
>>>
>>> I have.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Try to refrain from the pejoratives
>>>
>>>
>>> Sorry. Someone insults me, I give 'em back lots more.
>>
>> So when have I insulted you? You aren't considering disagreement as
>>insulting are you?
>>
>>
>>
>>> So far, in this Din of Inequity, the few, the proud (of themselves),
>>> the murines (eyewash) wan't to pummel and pound on any
>>> NCTA...especially using personal pejoratives.
>>
>>Bill Sohl seems to have escaped that fate when he posts here.
>>

>>
>>>> You never know what might happen.
>>>
>>>
>>> Sorry, sweetums, I've seen what has already happened. So have
>>> you and everyone else accessing this newsgrope.
>>
>> Chicken and egg syndrome? Given the history of posters here, I would
>>suspect that if you were to adopt a different strategy, there would be
>>some posts that would be provoking. However, if ignored, that stuff goes
>>away.
>
>
> Given the "history of posters here," especially the PCTA extras,
> your good idea is merely impractical bullshit.
>
> PCTA extras all pretend to be "superior" and let everyone know it.
>
>
>
>>>> Then again, I suspect you enjoy things just the way they are. 8^)
>>>
>>>
>>> You are WRONG.
>>
>>Seriously? You don't enjoy tweaking people?
>
>
> The "tweaking" is easy for me to do. Most of the postings I do
> simply "write themselves." :-)
>
> You seem to forget that I've had a long career of working in electronics.
> Electrons (in electronics) do NOT get influenced by human desires.
> All humans who work with electrons have to play be electron's rules.
> I enjoy that kind of work. It appears cold, hard, logical but can be
> quite creative once you learn electron's rules. Once that is done, they
> CAN follow human orders.
>
> The odd part of human nature is that the PCTA extras think that all
> must follow Their rules, obey them, etc., and some get quite
> fanciful in imagining the "motivations" of the NCTA.
>
>
>>So another description of
>>what you are doing is that you are engaging in something that you hate
>>to do, in the interests of eliminating the Morse code test, in a Usenet
>>newsgroup?
>
>
> Incorrect. Your speculation is nonsense.

Correct, And it was written as such. Problem is, now we are running out
of motives.

> You forget that many more readers follow what goes on in here.
> They, too, can see/read the propaganda nonsense about the
> nobility and efficacy of morse code and the alleged "necessity"
> of the amateur radio morse code test. Those readers need a bit
> of boost on the reasons AGAINST it. Myself and others have
> presented opposing reasons for that code test.
>
>
>>Are you making some sort of Quixotic sacrifice in a Usenet
>>newsgroup in an attempt to eliminate Morse code testing?
>
>
> No. If you will bother to read the 18 petitions at the FCC you
> would see that it isn't some Cervantes fictional character
> exercise...but, some of the proponents of the code test are
> indeed human windmills whirling in dead air.
>
>
>>> The PCTA just can't take opposing views
>>> on the morse code test. They get so very bitchy and angry
>>> and demand to get all who argue against the retention that it
>>> becomes a repeated comedy of brainwashing phrases by them.
>>
>>> No problem on my replying in kind to the PCTA...if I have the
>>> time to spend on their trite, tired, tintabulation of olde-tyme
>>> phrases. I'm busy with lots of other things, but I can find time
>>> once in a while to puncture their arrogant balloons of old, stale,
>>> hot air.
>>
>>All the arguments are old and we've heard them a lot. From both sides.
>
>
> Poor baby. You seem distressed. Or perhaps your lordship
> wishes better entertainment?

Hmm, no distress here.

> Here is a suggestion for your lordship: Use your amateur radio
> license and operate your mighty transceiver on the air instead of
> accessing this newsgroup. Chat with other human beings (or what
> passes for same).
>
> Or, your lordship could take the lead and open up a real Chat Room
> all about morse code on amateur radio and how wonderful it is and
> that morsemanship should be done by all the "superior" beings. You
> could develop a real following and become a Name in Chat Room
> circles, get known, develop a "rep." Or even a website where you
> could post "awards" given by the certificate makers and praise
> yourself for being so damn good.

If I didn't know better, I would think you are being sarcastic and sardonic.

> This is NOT a "Chat Room" for idle gossip and cracker-barrel
> philosophy by a few regulars who have grown overly full of themselves.
> Even though they think they own the place (and can therefore dictate
> who gets to sit in), they don't. True. They don't even when their
> feathers get very ruffled by opposing opinions. (poor things...)

Correct, This is not a chat room.

> Morse code is an old, primitive communications mode. The first
> morse code was used in 1844...on a commercial wired system, not
> on radio (radio had yet to be proved to exist). Early radio used on-
> off keying codes because that was the ONLY practical way to
> communicate given that there were no vacuum tubes and certainly
> no transistors. Primitive stuff that was first demonstrated in 1896.
> What passes for truisms about morse code "superiority" as
> spouted by the ARRL was formed in the 1930s, seven decades ago.
> Of course the reasons to maintain morse code testing are OLD.
>
> The silly part of it is that so many olde-tymers insist that the old
> is "best" and that nothing should change. They can't devise any
> good reasons to keep that code test, nothing new during the seven
> decades they've tried. All those reasons are tired, trite, cliches'
> and the reasons against them are true, familiar, easy to repeat.
> Again, refutation of the pro-code-test-advocates' "reasons" almost
> "write themselves."
>
> The only thing "new" with the PCTA extras is their propensity for
> "replying" by personal insults against the NCTA. All NCTA are
> "inferior" to them and their mighty accomplishments with morse.
> In short, they are so full of themselves that they cannot accept
> any others' inputs. They are stuffed and over-stuffed. Some go to
> the bursting point. Two former PCTA extras in here may have
> done just that. Other PCTA extras are expected to follow.
>
> Perhaps you should go to Church more often...such as to the
> Church of St. Hiram, the patron saint of Newington. There you
> could mass with other morsemen and celebrate the mode in
> chorus with a heavenly choir. Wash your brain regularly lest all
> the old, tired, trite cliches' fall out. By all means, exercise your
> morsemanship regularly to be ready for the Second Coming of
> Aliens so you can defeat them via morse code and thereby
> Save the World. After all, you are "superior" through morse code.

Oh dear. You really don't have a whole lot else to say do you?

So anyhow, how do you feel about the VEC rates increasing?

- Mike KB3EIA

637. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 12:43:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <yrmdnUg7qsbtOnLcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102153

N2EY wrote:

> In article <41DC70A1.6307B643@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
>
>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <XmZAd.7418$qf5.4059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert
>>
>>casey
>>
>>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>>>>Thus Len should have enough smarts to handle the amateur
>>>>writtens, up to extra. And spend a few weeks to learn 5wpm code,
>>>>and he could get the ham license. Otherwise he's an amateur
>>>>troll... :-)
>>>
>>> Everyone seems to be of the fixed mindset (imaginary department)
>>> that I am desiring to obtain an amateur radio license. Not true.
>>
>>Your story changes from month-to-month and year-to-year. Sometimes you
>>have no interest in obtaining an amateur radio license. At other times
>>you've indicated a decades-long interest in amateur radio. It can't be
>>both ways.
>
>
> Sure it can, Dave. A person can "have an interest" in something, yet not want
> to become part of that group. For example, I have a decades-long interest in
> sexy women, but I have never desired to become one!

Speaking of which, did you hear that Heidi Klum is getting married? I
forget the fellows name, but he's a singer. There has to be worse fates
for aguy! 8^)

> There's also the case of the person whose interest in something consists of
> wanting to destroy it. Such a person will often deny that goal.

Well, there are very few motivation options left....

- Mike KB3EIA -

638. Re: RAC Bulletin - Industry Canada Posts Responses to RAC Recommendations on Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 15:11:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106233896.997776.217470@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102154

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> 3) The comment period was only 60 days long, and only about 150
people
> (mostly already-licensed amateurs, I think) commented.

Heaven fobid that a non-licensed person might comment.

Summary

On August 28, 2004, Industry Canada published notice DGRB-003-04 in the Canada Gazette,seeking public comment to the proposal submitted to Industry Canada by the Radio Amateurs of Canada (RAC), entitled, Recommendations from Radio Amateurs of Canada to Industry Canada concerning Morse Code and Related Matters.

In general, this proposal was intended to suggest how the Department might deal with the potential to implement relaxed Morse code requirements made possible as a result of the decision taken at the World Radiocommunication Conference 2003 (WRC-03). This decision gives the regulatory agencies of International Telecommunication Union member states the option of maintaining or removing the existing Morse code requirement for operating on the amateur radio bands below 30 MHz. However, the RAC proposal went substantially beyond the issue of Morse code, and made recommendations to modify the existing amateur certificate structure through the introduction of a new certificate (Intermediate) as well as a general increase in the pass level for obtaining the existing basic and advanced certificates. While these recommendations received various levels of support as indicated in the comments received, it is not clear whether each element of the proposal can be entertained without the benefit of a more comprehensive certificate review, and substantial regulatory amendments to accommodate an additional amateur certificate.

As the RAC's proposal consisted of twelve specific recommendations, we have attempted to gauge the level of public support for each of these elements as a first step in selecting a course of action with respect to the changes that will be made to the structure of the Canadian amateur certificate.

Results of Public Comment

During the 60 day comment period, the department received 150 submissions from individuals or associations (Amateur clubs, Radio

Advisory Board of Canada (RABC) etc.) Where clear support or disagreement for each element was indicated, we attempted to capture and tabulate those opinions (see graphs). However, in many instances, the comments simply indicated agreement or disagreement with the general issue of relaxing the code requirements and this was captured in a separate graph.

This analysis shows that there is overwhelming agreement that Canada should move away from having a Morse code requirement as the sole means of gaining access to the amateur spectrum below 30 MHz. There were 123 comments in favour of relaxed code requirements and only 19 that were clearly opposed. The remaining six comments were either neutral or did not address that issue.

The Department will now formulate a plan to implement the changes that have emerged from this consultation process. The results will be published in a revision to Radiocommunication Information Circular 2, Standards for the Operation of Radio Stations in the Amateur Radio Service (RIC-2), and a notice to that effect will appear in the Canada Gazette at that time.

639. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 15:16:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106234173.487879.293060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102155

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Hey all,
>
> I'm having an situation with my Keyer/Key setup.
>
> Every once in a while, I'll get a double when I shouldn't. It's
usually
> on the dit side.
>
> The key is a home-brew Iambic. The radio is an IC-745. THe contacts
have
> been cleaned, to no avail.
>
> Anyone have a similar situation or know if this may have been an
issue
> with the 745? I'm going to try a few experiments, but any help is
> appreciated.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, that only goes to show that you just can't rely on that new-fangled stuff. What if Paul Revere were waiting for the signal, "One if by land, Two if by sea," and you sent him a double instead of a single. American history would have been changed. Stick to manually formed dits and dahs.

640. Re: RAC Bulletin - Industry Canada Posts Responses to RAC Recommendations on Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 15:54:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UaadnZbOivDfSXLcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102156

Leo wrote:

> On 20 Jan 2005 02:15:19 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>Leo wrote:
>>
>>>The following bulletin was sent out today by the Radio Amateurs of
>>>Canada HQ:
>>
>>Great stuff, Leo! Thanks for posting it.
>>
>>After following the link and reading, I see a couple of interesting
>>points:
>>
>>1) The expressed support for reduction of code testing in Canada is
>>overwhelming. A clear mandate from those who commented.
>
>
> I found that quite surprising as well - the original RAC survey (which
> formed the basis for the recommendations made to IC) showed that
> around 66% of the Amateur community was in favour of retiring Morse as
> a mandatory requirement.
>
> The comments to IC on those recommendations were an amazing 123 to 19
> in favour of dropping code - a significant increase.
>
>>2) The proposal, if I understand it correctly, does not completely
>>eliminate Morse Code testing. Instead, it offers alternative ways of
>>getting a license, with or without a code test.
>
>
> Correct - but I suspect that this was an effort by the RAC to try and
> accomodate the wishes of as much of their membership as possible
> (which was not too well received, based on the responses...).
> Actually, they exceeded the request of IC considerably - the question
> was whether to retain Morse, but the RAC took it a step further and
> used it as a platform to reform the entire license structure. It is
> unlikely that this will be done in the short term - to quote IC:
>
> ".....the RAC proposal went substantially beyond the issue of Morse
> code, and made recommendations to modify the existing amateur
> certificate structure through the introduction of a new certificate

> (Intermediate) as well as a general increase in the pass level for
> obtaining the existing basic and advanced certificates. While these
> recommendations received various levels of support as indicated in the
> comments received, it is not clear whether each element of the
> proposal can be entertained without the benefit of a more
> comprehensive certificate review, and substantial regulatory
> amendments to accommodate an additional amateur certificate."
>
>
>>3) The comment period was only 60 days long, and only about 150 people
>>(mostly already-licensed amateurs, I think) commented.
>
>
> That's true - although the opportunity to comment was not limited to
> the Amateur community, few (if any) others showed any interest.
>
> 60 days is plenty of time for a subject of this level of signifigance
> to the public - we get things done efficiently up here! :)

One has to keep moving, lest they freeze? ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

641. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 15:55:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UaadnZHOivAeSXLcRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102158

bb wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Hey all,
>>
>>I'm having an situation with my Keyer/Key setup.
>>
>>Every once in a while, I'll get a double when I shouldn't. It's
>
> usually
>
>>on the dit side.
>>
>>The key is a home-brew Iambic. The radio is an IC-745. THe contacts
>
> have
>
>>been cleaned, to no avail.
>>
>>Anyone have a similar situation or know if this may have been an
>
> issue
>
>>with the 745? I'm going to try a few experiments, but any help is
>>appreciated.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Mike, that only goes to show that you just can't rely on that
> new-fangled stuff. What if Paul Revere were waiting for the signal,
> "One if by land, Two if by sea," and you sent him a double instead of a
> single. American history would have been changed. Stick to manually
> formed dits and dahs.

hehe, shows the importance of having working equipment, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

642. How High Will Helium Baloons Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 15:56:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106236614.481192.81040@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102157

Looks like somebody cottoned on to what some hams are doing:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6843771

73 de Jim, N2EY

643. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 16:40:39 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <baRHd.13212$ru.522@fed1read07>
Source record: 102159

I have that problem occaisionally with a bencher single paddle key
and increasing the spacing between the points and adding a little more
spring tension solves the problem.

I like the contact spacing paper thin, but when it is too thin -- may cause
a double bounce.

Yes Bencher does make a single paddle key -- looks like the Iambic with two
paddles -- but functions as a single paddle key.

URL:
http://www.bencher.com/radioequip.html#keys
The ST Series
--
Caveat Lector

>> Someone wrote
>>>Hey all,
>>>
>>>I'm having an situation with my Keyer/Key setup.
>>>
>>>Every once in a while, I'll get a double when I shouldn't. It's
>>
>> usually
>>
>>>on the dit side.
>>>
>>>The key is a home-brew Iambic. The radio is an IC-745. THe contacts
>>
>> have
>>
>>>been cleaned, to no avail.
>>>
>>>Anyone have a similar situation or know if this may have been an
>>
>> issue
>>
>>>with the 745? I'm going to try a few experiments, but any help is
>>>appreciated.

644. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 17:20:52 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1106241652.936145.231470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102160

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 18 Jan 2005 21:23:35 -0800, kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> >> Depends on the size of the hench one uses....
> > >
> > >A size nine hench seems to work best for me in most venues. But a
> size
> > >twelve hench seems to work better when dealing with twistoids like
> this
> > >Daugherty thing.
> >
> > Set at how many figs per newton?

HooBoy, I haven't dealt with this one for eons. My set of henches is calibrated in the old units, was made before they switched to figs per newtons and I forget the conversion factors. Something like three figs per date and two newtons per wench so I've been using dates per wench. I'll look it up and get back to you.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

645. Re: RAC Bulletin - Industry Canada Posts Responses to RAC Recommendations on Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 17:42:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106242961.247154.228760@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102161

Leo wrote:
> On 20 Jan 2005 02:15:19 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> >Leo wrote:
> >> The following bulletin was sent out today by the Radio Amateurs of
> >> Canada HQ:

> >Great stuff, Leo! Thanks for posting it.

> >After following the link and reading, I see a couple of interesting
> >points:

> >1) The expressed support for reduction of code testing in Canada is
> >overwhelming. A clear mandate from those who commented.
>
> I found that quite surprising as well - the original RAC survey
(which
> formed the basis for the recommendations made to IC) showed that
> around 66% of the Amateur community was in favour of retiring Morse
as
> a mandatory requirement.

I don't find the results to be a surprise at all, if the RAC survey showed 66% in favour of no Morse test. 66% is a clear majority. Here in the USA the majority of commenters have long favoured keeping the Morse test.
>
> The comments to IC on those recommendations were an amazing 123 to 19
> in favour of dropping code - a significant increase.

It's a significant increase, but there are a number of ways to explain it. For example:

- Comments require more action than replying to a survey
- The majority response to the survey may have caused fewer comments. (If 66% want something, that's a clear majority, so why comment against it?)
- Support of one view is increasing while its opposite decreases.
> >
> >2) The proposal, if I understand it correctly, does not completely
> >eliminate Morse Code testing. Instead, it offers alternative ways of
> >getting a license, with or without a code test.
>
> Correct

That's a *major* difference from "just drop the code test".

I suspect that if a similar proposal* were put forth here in the lower provinces, the support for it would be much greater than the simple "just drop the code test" ones we've seen.

* meaning a proposal where code testing was kept as an option, which could be avoided by an additional written test, or a higher grade on the existing written test. Not just "drop the code test" proposals.

> - but I suspect that this was an effort by the RAC to try and
> accomodate the wishes of as much of their membership as possible
> (which was not too well received, based on the responses...).

I see it as an attempt to build support by forming a coalition rather than dividing into opposing camps. Similar method to the ARRL proposal, but a better implementation, IMHO.

> Actually, they exceeded the request of IC considerably - the question
> was whether to retain Morse, but the RAC took it a step further and
> used it as a platform to reform the entire license structure. It is
> unlikely that this will be done in the short term - to quote IC:
>
> ".....the RAC proposal went substantially beyond the issue of Morse
> code, and made recommendations to modify the existing amateur
> certificate structure through the introduction of a new certificate
> (Intermediate) as well as a general increase in the pass level for
> obtaining the existing basic and advanced certificates. While these
> recommendations received various levels of support as indicated in
the
> comments received, it is not clear whether each element of the
> proposal can be entertained without the benefit of a more
> comprehensive certificate review, and substantial regulatory
> amendments to accommodate an additional amateur certificate."

I see that as simple common sense along the lines of "let's do the big job and get it done, rather than a little bit here and a little bit there". RAC took the opportunity to fix a bunch of problems at once.

I suspect that if the question were simply keep Morse/drop Morse, the results would have been far less decisive. By doing it the way they did, RAC can present a clear majority opinion to IC.

That's the smart way to do this sort of thing. Come up with a proposal that garners lots of support in surveys, *then* present it to the government. Makes their job easy - just do what the majority wants.

> >3) The comment period was only 60 days long, and only about 150
people
> >(mostly already-licensed amateurs, I think) commented.
>
> That's true - although the opportunity to comment was not limited to
> the Amateur community, few (if any) others showed any interest.

That's to be expected, since (IIRC) Canada already has a nocodetest amateur license. Almost anyone who is really interested in amateur radio in a positive way, is not a manufacturer of products for the ham market, and who is knowledgeable about the regulatory process, probably already has a license.

IOW, you probably don't see a lot of people saying they really want an amateur radio license but the code test prevents them. Same as here. Those people may or may not exist, but if they do exist, they're not commenting to the FCC or to IC.

> 60 days is plenty of time for a subject of this level of signifigance
> to the public - we get things done efficiently up here! :)

Another thing the lower provinces could learn....;-)

But only about 150 comments from 44,000(?) licensees is a pretty small showing. That, to me, is the biggest surprise.

> >---
> >
> >It's interesting to note that the various code test
> >reduction/elimination proposals here in the USA have had a very
> >different response.

So I'll ask this question:

If the comments to FCC on the various proposals show that a clear majority of *individuals who bother to comment* want the code test to stay, what should FCC do? IOW, should FCC go with the majority or ignore them?

73 de Jim, N2EY

646. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 17:50:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106243429.226215.16010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102162

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY wrote:

> > In article <41DC70A1.6307B643@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:

> >>Lenof21 wrote:

> >>>In article <XmZAd.7418$qf5.4059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
robert
> >>casey
> >>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >>
> >>>>Thus Len should have enough smarts to handle the amateur
> >>>>writtens, up to extra. And spend a few weeks to learn 5wpm code,
> >>>>and he could get the ham license. Otherwise he's an amateur
> >>>>troll... :-)
> >>>
> >>> Everyone seems to be of the fixed mindset (imaginary
department)
> >>> that I am desiring to obtain an amateur radio license. Not
true.
> >>
> >>Your story changes from month-to-month and year-to-year. Sometimes
you
> >>have no interest in obtaining an amateur radio license. At other
times
> >>you've indicated a decades-long interest in amateur radio. It
can't be
> >>both ways.
> >
> >
> > Sure it can, Dave. A person can "have an interest" in something,
yet not want
> > to become part of that group. For example, I have a decades-long
interest in
> > sexy women, but I have never desired to become one!
>
> Speaking of which, did you hear that Heidi Klum is getting married?

Yes. *Again*. She was married before, split with that guy and took up
with an Italian business tycoon. Said tycoon dumped her when she got in
the family way with his kid.

> I
> forget the fellows name, but he's a singer.

Seal. Seems a decent sort.

> There has to be worse fates for a guy! 8^)

Heck yes. But upon reading some of the publicity, it looks like one of
those intense/burnout things that celebrities are so good at. Like
Billy Bob Thornton/Angelina Jolie, Bennifer, Britney and her
husband-of-the-week, etc.

Nothing new there, Liz Taylor was doing that stuff a half century ago.
The difference today is that the cycle time is even faster and a lot of
them don't even make it to the altar.
>
> > There's also the case of the person whose interest in something
consists of
> > wanting to destroy it. Such a person will often deny that goal.

> Well, there are very few motivation options left....

Logic dictates that if you have a given set of explanations and all but
one are eliminated, the one that is left must be true.
73 de Jim, N2EY

647. Re: Five Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 18:08:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41EFF397.4060201@psu.edu>
Source record: 102163

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/6d38aef5bc8a1830?dmode=source
>
>
> "I'm going for Amateur Extra "out of the box." Maybe I'll
> make it, maybe not. The personal point is irrelevant."
>
> --Leonard H. Anderson
>
> Happy anniversary, Len!
>
> Dave K8MN

Too bad no one thought to bake a cake!

- Mike KB3EIA -

648. Re: Do our cells communicate in Morse?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 18:20:03 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <nDSHd.949$YD5.219@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:hxGHd.637$YD5.346@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...

: http://www.primidi.com/2005/01/12.html

Sounds like something you and Larry Roll cooked up, in preparation for
lobbying AMA to require all MD's copy 20WPM code!

"Morse code is a simple, effective and clear method of
communication and now scientists believe that cells in
our body may also be using patterns of signals to switch
genes on and off. The discovery may have major
implications for the pharmaceutical industry as the
signalling molecules that are targeted by drugs may
have more than one purpose. The number of �dots and
dashes� being used by each signal could have different
purposes, all of which could be modified by a drug."

You need to go back down to the VFW club, Hansl, and increase your dosage.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

649. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 18:27:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41EFF800.9010809@psu.edu>
Source record: 102165

K4YZ wrote:
> Lenof21 wrote:
>
>>In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>
>>>> I'm TRYING to argue against the morse code test. You are
>>>
> trying
>
>>>> to squelch such arguments by misdirection into defamation of
>>>
> any
>
>>>> who are against the morse code test.
>>>
>>> Hmm, you possibly could:
>>>
>>> Start a Morse code thread
>>
>> I have.
>
>
> Actually, in most cases, you take threas on other topics and try
> to insert a Morse Code redirect.

Like every thread in the group.

I wonder what the difference is between the rest of us having a conversation, where the topic drifts a bit, as they are wont to do, and just about every post that Len makes, which attempts to veer the topic to Morse testing?

> People don't want to discuss Morse Code testing anymore because
> most people (those who read something OTHER than their own work...)
> know that the issue is moot at this point. Nothing else stated in this
> forum will change Federal policy.

Right.

>
>>> Try to refrain from the pejoratives
>>
>> Sorry. Someone insults me, I give 'em back lots more.

>
>
> Yet another monumental lie.
>
> Neither Jim Miccolis nor Mike Coslo do anything of the kind, yet
> you pour it over Jim like molasses, and you've started in on Mike.

I noticed that! 8^)

> You did it to me, you'v done it to EVERYone who's ever dared to
> suggest that YOUR opinion doesn't match theirs.
>
> You do it on a whim, then cry foul when it gets fed back to YOU.
>
>
>> So far, in this Din of Inequity, the few, the proud (of
>
> themselves),
>
>> the murines (eyewash) wan't to pummel and pound on any
>> NCTA...especially using personal pejoratives.
>
>
> Sorry Lennie...it's the level at which you function, and I'd hate
> to leave you out of the conversations.
>
>
>>> You never know what might happen.
>>
>> Sorry, sweetums...(SNIP)
>
>
> Point made.
>
> I have yet to see Mike refr to you by anything other than your
> expressed preferred names.

Remember, I did call him Lennie once. But he didn't like that, so I
went to calling him Len. My bad!

> Thanks for proving my point right here in THIS post (as if I would
> have had to wait long anyway.....)
>
>
>> (UNSNIP)...I've seen what has already happened. So have
>> you and everyone else accessing this newsgrope.
>
>
> And they just got a prime example of why everyone else in this
> newsgoup is justified in their opinion of you and why you are a
> disreputable liar.

>
> Thanks.
>
>
>>> Then again, I suspect you enjoy things just the way they are. 8^)
>>
>> You are WRONG.
>
>
>>>IF<< Mike had been wrong, you would have continued to address
>>
> him with out the insulting diminutives and "endearments".
>
>
>> The PCTA just can't take opposing views
>> on the morse code test. They get so very bitchy and angry
>> and demand to get all who argue against the retention that it
>> becomes a repeated comedy of brainwashing phrases by them.
>
>
> Actually the comedy comes from watching a pathological liar
> continue to make excuses for his lies and misdeeds, only to get caught
> up in even MORE lies and misdeeds.
>
>
>> No problem on my replying in kind to the PCTA...if I have the
>> time to spend on their trite, tired, tintabulation of olde-tyme
>> phrases.
>
>
> Then why the continued use of diminutives against people who do
> not do it to you?
>
> Why do you insist that you ONLY do it to people who do it to you,
> when there's almost a decades worth of evidence to the contrary with
> Jim Miccolis alone, and now you have started in on Mike Coslo.
>
>
>> I'm busy with lots of other things...(SNIP)
>
>
> No you're not. There's not one iota of any evidence in any other
> forum of a single contructive effort with your name attached to it.
>
> You're an egotistical, mean old man with nothing left to do in his
> life except make other people as miserable as you are.
>
>
>> (UNSNIP)...but I can find time
>> once in a while to puncture their arrogant balloons of old, stale,

>> hot air.
>
>
> You can TRY, but so far all you've done is prove what WE have been
> saying all along.
>
> Leonard H. Anderson is a liar.
>
> Leonard H. Anderson is untrustworthy.
>
> Leonard H. Anderson is deceitful.
>
> And it's Leonard H. Anderson who provides the proof of the
> accusations.
>
> Thanks.
>
> You've single handedly done more to undermine your "advocacy" than
> any other VALID arguments could ahve done.
>
> Who wants to be associated with an issue that is so voiciferously
> and embarassingly represented by the likes of you...??? Just do the
> world a favor and stay away from such issues as finding an AIDS
> vaccine, cures for cancer, or preventing birth defects.
> Putz.

You have a point there, Steve. It is hard to convince a person that your argument is correct when you dish out abuse with your argument. one is always tempted to disregard all the good parts of the argument with the bad.

While it is always a good idea to not confuse the messenger with the message, which I always try to avoid, such a "debating" style is one of the best ways to hinder the message. It just means that you have to wade through the insults and other brickbats to find the message.

- Mike KB3EIA -

650. Re: Come on down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 18:40:25 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <csotup$t65$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 102166

Radio Ron <RadioRon@Mymail.net> wrote:
>Nobody seems to care about amateur radio including the hams themselves.If
>you question what I say,just hangout on 75 meters and just listen to the
>foul mouth bigots and the racial slurs that you hear.It isn't any different

Your brush is too broad. There are over 600 kilohams in the US. You're hearing at most 100 of them, which amounts to 0.00017 (seventeen hundred-thousandths), or 0.017% (seventeen thousandths percent). That's not bad at all. Show us any other group that has such a low percentage of bad boys.

Even if there were 1000 of them, that still amounts to only 0.0017 or 0.17% of entire amateur population. The problem is that if you so much as sneeze on HF, half the world can possibly hear you; that factor is what makes their behavior stand out. If their QSOs were occurring on VHF, no one except locals would take notice.

Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

651. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 19:12:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050120141204.16603.00000051@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 102168

In article <41EEC290.F5DE7930@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> > Tsk. You don't need "paint." You IS.
>>
>> So you are saying that Dave is a Nazi?
>
>It looks that way to me. Len doesn't play well with others.

Poop Dave the 1st is "superior" to all others, doesn't have to
"play" with them.

Ave, Imperator

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 20 Jan 05 - Coronation Day

652. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 19:12:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050120141205.16603.00000052@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 102167

In article <20050105213021.06095.00000273@mb-m23.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>Subject: Test
>From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>Date: 06 Jan 2005 02:30:21 GMT
>
>Sent 9:29 PM EST Jan 5 2005
>
>Is usenet working?

Obviously not. Did you use the proper ARRL-approved procedure and correct prosigns?

The above message appeared on Coronation Day (20 Jan 05) download of this newsgroup from AOL.

Had there been observation of the Newsgroup NEWS on AOL you would have seen the announcement (by AOL) that they had "technical difficulties" in interfacing to INTERNET newsgroups. On that same AOL NEWS section there is NO indication that AOL will be dropping newsgroup connections to the INTERNET in "early 2005."

Suggestion: Connect to DARPANET directly and use morse code mode communications. After all, "CW gets through when nothing else will, right?"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 20 Jan 05 - Coronation Day

653. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 19:12:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050120141205.16603.00000053@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 102169

In article <1106189351.449973.27330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>> > He's been in those "seven hostile actions" so he fights with
>> > his four neurons, thinking up nasties.
>
>> Then I exceed yours by three. Thanks.
>>
>> Putz.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve, I never heard Len claim "four hostile actions."

The Avenging Angle of Dearth has had hundreds of hostile actions.
All in here. :-)

That seems to be the only place he can point to and be accurate.

All of those other "hostile actions" must have happened in
firefights between his alternate personalities.

It's a wonder he hasn't picked up the 'phone and used his "health
professional qualifications" to order authorities to pick up those
militant personalities. [he thinks he is "authorized" to do such]

Of course, the Great Radio Operator used "CW" in all those
military "hostile actions," saving his squad of recon marines
time and time again...at some point in time not yet on the clocks
of NIST or on calendars...and on frequencies which are all "secret"
to civilians and the rest of the government. [so secret that if he
tells us he has to kill himself?]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 20 Jan 05 - Coronation Day

654. A poll of lurkers (was Len's Apology)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 19:39:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F00895.CFF36A1F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102170

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <aMydneilLoNf63PcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >Lenof21 wrote:
> >
> >> In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> >>> You never know what might happen.
> >>
> >>
> >> Sorry, sweetums, I've seen what has already happened. So have
> >> you and everyone else accessing this newsgrope.
> >
> > Chicken and egg syndrome? Given the history of posters here, I would
> >suspect that if you were to adopt a different strategy, there would be
> >some posts that would be provoking. However, if ignored, that stuff goes
> >away.
>
> Given the "history of posters here," especially the PCTA extras,
> your good idea is merely impractical bullshit.

But that's what you post now. If changing your tactics didn't work,
you'd be no worse off than you are now.

> PCTA extras all pretend to be "superior" and let everyone know it.

All licensed radio amateurs; Techs, Generals and Extras; are your
superiors in that they have been issued amateur radio licenses. That is
something you don't have. Ah, but I forgot--this is your week not to
desire such a license.

> >>> Then again, I suspect you enjoy things just the way they are. 8^)
> >>
> >>
> >> You are WRONG.
> >
> >Seriously? You don't enjoy tweaking people?
>
> The "tweaking" is easy for me to do. Most of the postings I do
> simply "write themselves." :-)

...and they have that look. :-) :-)

> You seem to forget that I've had a long career of working in electronics.
> Electrons (in electronics) do NOT get influenced by human desires.

Have you noticed that your posts here have about the same effect upon
readers of r.r.a.p? Almost no one has been influenced by your human
desires as pertain to amateur radio.

> The odd part of human nature is that the PCTA extras think that all
> must follow Their rules, obey them, etc., and some get quite
> fanciful in imagining the "motivations" of the NCTA.

One of more odd bits of human nature has you attributing beliefs to all
PCTA Extras as if we all had the exact same thoughts and experiences.

> >So another description of
> >what you are doing is that you are engaging in something that you hate
> >to do, in the interests of eliminating the Morse code test, in a Usenet
> >newsgroup?
>
> Incorrect. Your speculation is nonsense.
>
> You forget that many more readers follow what goes on in here.
> They, too, can see/read the propaganda nonsense about the
> nobility and efficacy of morse code and the alleged "necessity"
> of the amateur radio morse code test. Those readers need a bit
> of boost on the reasons AGAINST it. Myself and others have
> presented opposing reasons for that code test.

Wow! Talk about speculation! How about it, lurking, never-posting
readers of r.r.a.p? Let us know if you support the self-appointed
advocate for morse code test removal of the group. Tell us if you
believe his method is effective. Let us hear if you have been swayed by
his posts.

> >Are you making some sort of Quixotic sacrifice in a Usenet
> >newsgroup in an attempt to eliminate Morse code testing?
>
> No. If you will bother to read the 18 petitions at the FCC you
> would see that it isn't some Cervantes fictional character
> exercise...but, some of the proponents of the code test are
> indeed human windmills whirling in dead air.

That's the same kind of charm you turned on for a couple of your
submissions to the Commission. Do you think your words swayed anyone
there or might they have thought you a crackpot?

> >All the arguments are old and we've heard them a lot. From both sides.
>
> Poor baby. You seem distressed. Or perhaps your lordship
> wishes better entertainment?

Are you trying to sway him to accepting your view, Len? Do you think
your comment above has been effective?

> Here is a suggestion for your lordship: Use your amateur radio
> license and operate your mighty transceiver on the air instead of
> accessing this newsgroup. Chat with other human beings (or what
> passes for same).

Do you think your charm offensive is working, Leonard?

> Or, your lordship could take the lead and open up a real Chat Room
> all about morse code on amateur radio and how wonderful it is and
> that morsemanship should be done by all the "superior" beings. You
> could develop a real following and become a Name in Chat Room
> circles, get known, develop a "rep." Or even a website where you
> could post "awards" given by the certificate makers and praise
> yourself for being so damn good.

You're outdoing yourself. What panache! What skill!

> This is NOT a "Chat Room" for idle gossip and cracker-barrel
> philosophy by a few regulars who have grown overly full of themselves.

You're a regular, Len.

> Even though they think they own the place (and can therefore dictate
> who gets to sit in), they don't. True. They don't even when their
> feathers get very ruffled by opposing opinions. (poor things...)

Do your feathers get ruffled by opposing opinions?

> They are stuffed and over-stuffed. Some go to
> the bursting point. Two former PCTA extras in here may have
> done just that. Other PCTA extras are expected to follow.

Your words about the dead are a tribute to your personal magnetism.

> Perhaps you should go to Church more often...such as to the
> Church of St. Hiram, the patron saint of Newington. There you
> could mass with other morsemen and celebrate the mode in
> chorus with a heavenly choir. Wash your brain regularly lest all
> the old, tired, trite cliches' fall out. By all means, exercise your
> morsemanship regularly to be ready for the Second Coming of
> Aliens so you can defeat them via morse code and thereby
> Save the World. After all, you are "superior" through morse code.

Yes, Len, your people skills rival those of Carl. Yessir, you really
have Mike on the verge of changing his mind. I'm sure the throng of
lurkers is cheering wildly.

Dave K8MN

655. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 19:43:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F0097A.96ED6EEE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102171

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <41DC70A1.6307B643@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Lenof21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <XmZAd.7418$qf5.4059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert
> >casey
> >> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >Thus Len should have enough smarts to handle the amateur
> >> >writtens, up to extra. And spend a few weeks to learn 5wpm code,
> >> >and he could get the ham license. Otherwise he's an amateur
> >> >troll... :-)
> >>
> >> Everyone seems to be of the fixed mindset (imaginary department)
> >> that I am desiring to obtain an amateur radio license. Not true.
> >>
> >Your story changes from month-to-month and year-to-year. Sometimes you
> >have no interest in obtaining an amateur radio license. At other times
> >you've indicated a decades-long interest in amateur radio. It can't be
> >both ways.
>
> Sure it can, Dave. A person can "have an interest" in something, yet not want
> to become part of that group. For example, I have a decades-long interest in
> sexy women, but I have never desired to become one!

Good one!

> There's also the case of the person whose interest in something consists of
> wanting to destroy it. Such a person will often deny that goal.

Perhaps Len's interest in amateur radio is like my interest in
professional football. I have no desire to play, but I like to watch.

Dave K8MN

656. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 19:56:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F00C8C.9EEDE3C5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102172

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20050105213021.06095.00000273@mb-m23.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >Subject: Test
> >From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
> >Date: 06 Jan 2005 02:30:21 GMT
> >
> >Sent 9:29 PM EST Jan 5 2005
> >
> >Is usenet working?
>
> Obviously not. Did you use the proper ARRL-approved procedure
> and correct prosigns?

Do you do that?

> The above message appeared on Coronation Day (20 Jan 05)
> download of this newsgroup from AOL.

If this was inauguration day for John Kerry, would it have been
Coronation Day?

> Had there been observation of the Newsgroup NEWS on AOL
> you would have seen the announcement (by AOL) that they
> had "technical difficulties" in interfacing to INTERNET
> newsgroups. On that same AOL NEWS section there is NO
> indication that AOL will be dropping newsgroup connections
> to the INTERNET in "early 2005."
>
> Suggestion: Connect to DARPANET directly and use morse
> code mode communications. After all, "CW gets through
> when nothing else will, right?"

Tell us again what "usenet" stands for, Leonard.

Dave K8MN

657. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 21:31:14 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org>
Message-ID: <41f023f8$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 102173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Frank Gilliland" <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote in message

<snip the pinko Frank Silliland>

SIT RIGHT BACK AND YOU'LL HEAR A TALE, A TALE OF A NUTLESS TWIT! WHO POSTS IN ALL THE NEWSGROUPS, TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT. TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT.

HIS FIRST NAME IS FRANKIE, A KEYCLOWN ASSHOLE! HE SAT DOWN AT HIS KEYBOARD, SO HE COULD TROLL. SO HE COULD TROLL.

THE NEWSGROUP STARTED GETTING ROUGH, HE WANTED STEVEO PARKS! IF NOT FOR HELP FROM HIS KEYCLOWN QUEEN, 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST. 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST.

STEVEO MET 'OLE FRANKIE AT A PETRO TRUCK STOP........WITH TWISTIE, AND U KNOW WHO........ LANCER,,,WHO'S STEVEO'S WIFE! THE LANDFAG,, THE GRIM REAPER,,,,,,,, YES THEY'RE ALL THERE..........THERE ON GILLILAND'S ISLE!!!!!!!!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

658. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 21:32:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F02328.D83B8485@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102174

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <41EEC290.F5DE7930@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> > Tsk. You don't need "paint." You IS.
> >>
> >> So you are saying that Dave is a Nazi?
> >
> >It looks that way to me. Len doesn't play well with others.
>
> Poop Dave the 1st is "superior" to all others, doesn't have to
> "play" with them.

So, in addition to being a Nazi, I'm a "Poop"?

As I said earlier, Len doesn't play well with others.

> Ave, Imperator

> Posted on 20 Jan 05 - Coronation Day

translation: Len's guy lost.

Dave K8MN

659. Re: Do our cells communicate in Morse?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 21:42:02 UTC
From: Wonderful Superior Phil <who@philiswho.net>
Message-ID: <csp8j6$p2f$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:nDSHd.949$YD5.219@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:hxGHd.637$YD5.346@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>
> : http://www.primidi.com/2005/01/12.html
>
> Sounds like something you and Larry Roll cooked up, in preparation for
> lobbying AMA to require all MD's copy 20WPM code!
>
> "Morse code is a simple, effective and clear method of
> communication and now scientists believe that cells in
> our body may also be using patterns of signals to switch
> genes on and off. The discovery may have major
> implications for the pharmaceutical industry as the
> signalling molecules that are targeted by drugs may
> have more than one purpose. The number of 'dots and
> dashes' being used by each signal could have different
> purposes, all of which could be modified by a drug."
>
> You need to go back down to the VFW club, Hansl, and increase your dosage.
>
> M.A.N.
> --

Hans was telling that yarn down at the Legion Hall last week.
ROTFLMAO!

660. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 22:46:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106261161.513063.37470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102176

N2EY wrote:
> In article <41DC70A1.6307B643@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Lenof21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <XmZAd.7418$qf5.4059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
robert
> >casey
> >> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >Thus Len should have enough smarts to handle the amateur
> >> >writtens, up to extra. And spend a few weeks to learn 5wpm code,
> >> >and he could get the ham license. Otherwise he's an amateur
> >> >troll... :-)
> >>
> >> Everyone seems to be of the fixed mindset (imaginary
department)
> >> that I am desiring to obtain an amateur radio license. Not
true.
> >>
> >Your story changes from month-to-month and year-to-year. Sometimes
you
> >have no interest in obtaining an amateur radio license. At other
times
> >you've indicated a decades-long interest in amateur radio. It can't
be
> >both ways.
>
> Sure it can, Dave. A person can "have an interest" in something, yet
not want
> to become part of that group. For example, I have a decades-long
interest in
> sexy women, but I have never desired to become one!
So instead you became an amateur radio license. I see.

661. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 22:50:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106261442.768257.25330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102177

Dave Heil wrote:
> Lenof21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <1105935079.099524.69940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"bb"
> > <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
> >
> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >> In article
<1104805657.039325.47210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> > >"bb"
> > >> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
>
> > True enough. Grown men (apparently, at least physically)
gettting
> > all wound up and hissy-fitting about how they are such vital
> > assets to the nation because they are morsemen. Sometimes
> > I think of their actions as "asset-retentive." :-)
>
> Don't be surprised, Len. I've seen grown men obsess over things in
> which they are not even involved, spending countless hours of their
> lives bellowing over issues involving areas in which they aren't even
> participants; things which don't effect them in the least. Go
figure!
>
> Dave K8MN

s/b "affect"

I've always enjoyed reading how someone else's callsign affects
Miccolis. Makes him do strange things.

662. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-20 22:54:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106261658.566900.276060@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102178

"""
Brian, we are going to have to get you to learn how to quote the
person you are replying to on the new Google.

Your "replies", without some sort of background as to their intent
and purpose, are meaningless.

73

Steve, K4YZ
"""

Steve, I am able to view the thread as a "tree" in google. When doing
so it becomes painfully obvious who is responding to whom. Besides,
most of what is posted here is meaningless.

tnx, bb

663. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 00:12:56 UTC
From: jonz <overhere@overthere.com>
Message-ID: <41f04960$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 102179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"I AM NOT N8WWM !" <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org> wrote in
message news:41f023f8$1@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>
> <snip the pinko Frank Silliland>
>
> SIT RIGHT BACK AND YOU'LL HEAR A TALE, A TALE OF A NUTLESS TWIT! WHO
> POSTS IN ALL THE NEWSGROUPS, TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT. TO SPREAD HIS
> SILLY SHIT.
>
> HIS FIRST NAME IS FRANKIE, A KEYCLOWN ASSHOLE! HE SAT DOWN AT HIS
> KEYBOARD, SO HE COULD TROLL. SO HE COULD TROLL.
>
> THE NEWSGROUP STARTED GETTING ROUGH, HE WANTED STEVEO PARKS! IF NOT FOR
> HELP FROM HIS KEYCLOWN QUEEN, 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST. 'OLE FRANKIE
> WOULD BE LOST.
>
> STEVEO MET 'OLE FRANKIE AT A PETRO TRUCK STOP.....WITH TWISTIE, AND
> U KNOW WHO..... LANCER,,,WHO'S STEVEO'S WIFE! THE LANDFAG,, THE GRIM
> REAPER,,,,,,,, YES THEY'RE ALL THERE.....THERE ON GILLILAND'S
> ISLE!!!!!!!!
>

you may not be n8wwm, but you ARE a shouting, blathering, useless oxygen
thief, who would`nt know from shit about anything that happened more than
six feet radius from your own dung hill!!!! do us all a favour and go neck
youself .....fucking imbecile...jonz
>
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

664. Re: Do our cells communicate in Morse?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 00:39:58 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <buidndOtU5_90m3cRVn-og@centurytel.net>
Source record: 102180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:hxGHd.637$YD5.346@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>
> : http://www.primidi.com/2005/01/12.html
>
> Sounds like something you and Larry Roll cooked up, in preparation for
> lobbying AMA to require all MD's copy 20WPM code!

Isn't Larry Roll really known as yodoc? I think he told me once that his
father was/is Bill Shockley!

665. Re: A poll of lurkers (was Len's Apology)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 01:17:57 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050120201757.00916.00000031@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 102181

In article <41F00895.CFF36A1F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <aMydneilLoNf63PcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>> >Lenof21 wrote:
>> >
>> >> In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>> >>> You never know what might happen.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Sorry, sweetums, I've seen what has already happened. So have
>> >> you and everyone else accessing this newsgrope.
>> >
>> > Chicken and egg syndrome? Given the history of posters here, I
>would
>> >suspect that if you were to adopt a different strategy, there would be
>> >some posts that would be provoking. However, if ignored, that stuff goes
>> >away.
>>
>> Given the "history of posters here," especially the PCTA extras,
>> your good idea is merely impractical bullshit.
>
>But that's what you post now. If changing your tactics didn't work,
>you'd be no worse off than you are now.
>
>> PCTA extras all pretend to be "superior" and let everyone know it.
>
>All licensed radio amateurs; Techs, Generals and Extras; are your
>superiors in that they have been issued amateur radio licenses.

There you have it. In Poop Dave the 1st's idea of the radio
world, the amateurs are "superior" while the professionals
are "inferior."

>That is
>something you don't have. Ah, but I forgot--this is your week not to
>desire such a license.

Tsk. There is NO requirement for newsgroup access to possess
an amateur radio license.

Tsk, tsk. There is NO requirement for access to the FCC requiring
an amateur radio license in order to comment on amateur radio
matters.

You make such fanciful imaginings, then pretend that whoever you
are trying to impugn really does what you say.

>> >>> Then again, I suspect you enjoy things just the way they are. 8^)
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> You are WRONG.
>> >
>> >Seriously? You don't enjoy tweaking people?
>>
>> The "tweaking" is easy for me to do. Most of the postings I do
>> simply "write themselves." :-)
>
>...and they have that look. :-) :-)

Poor baby. Never made much money writing for money?

Oh, that's RIGHT...you are an AMATEUR and don't desire to
make money! My apologies at forgetting your lofty, noble
attitudes...your attitude got hidden in all that "superiority."

>> You seem to forget that I've had a long career of working in electronics.
>> Electrons (in electronics) do NOT get influenced by human desires.
>
>Have you noticed that your posts here have about the same effect upon
>readers of r.r.a.p? Almost no one has been influenced by your human
>desires as pertain to amateur radio.

Haven't you noticed that YOU are NOT winning any points in here,
heavenly fodder?

All you seem to be doing is being nasty to anyone who comments
about anything in here. :-)

>> The odd part of human nature is that the PCTA extras think that all
>> must follow Their rules, obey them, etc., and some get quite
>> fanciful in imagining the "motivations" of the NCTA.
>
>One of more odd bits of human nature has you attributing beliefs to all
>PCTA Extras as if we all had the exact same thoughts and experiences.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...not "EXACT," heavenly fodder. :-)

>> >So another description of
>> >what you are doing is that you are engaging in something that you hate
>> >to do, in the interests of eliminating the Morse code test, in a Usenet
>> >newsgroup?
>>

>> Incorrect. Your speculation is nonsense.
>>
>> You forget that many more readers follow what goes on in here.
>> They, too, can see/read the propaganda nonsense about the
>> nobility and efficacy of morse code and the alleged "necessity"
>> of the amateur radio morse code test. Those readers need a bit
>> of boost on the reasons AGAINST it. Myself and others have
>> presented opposing reasons for that code test.
>
>Wow! Talk about speculation! How about it, lurking, never-posting
>readers of r.r.a.p? Let us know if you support the self-appointed
>advocate for morse code test removal of the group. Tell us if you
>believe his method is effective. Let us hear if you have been swayed by
>his posts.

You should really leave the polling to the ARRL. That would get
you biased results that would make you proud. :-)

>> >Are you making some sort of Quixotic sacrifice in a Usenet
>> >newsgroup in an attempt to eliminate Morse code testing?
>>
>> No. If you will bother to read the 18 petitions at the FCC you
>> would see that it isn't some Cervantes fictional character
>> exercise...but, some of the proponents of the code test are
>> indeed human windmills whirling in dead air.
>
>That's the same kind of charm you turned on for a couple of your
>submissions to the Commission. Do you think your words swayed anyone
>there or might they have thought you a crackpot?

Oooooo! You are now a PR Judge as well? :-)

Funny you should mention "crackpot" but then I guess it takes one
to think all his "enemies" are like that...

>> >All the arguments are old and we've heard them a lot. From both sides.
>>
>> Poor baby. You seem distressed. Or perhaps your lordship
>> wishes better entertainment?
>
>Are you trying to sway him to accepting your view, Len? Do you think
>your comment above has been effective?

Tsk. It's better than repeated calls of "Putz" on his person. :-)

>> Here is a suggestion for your lordship: Use your amateur radio
>> license and operate your mighty transceiver on the air instead of
>> accessing this newsgroup. Chat with other human beings (or what
>> passes for same).
>
>Do you think your charm offensive is working, Leonard?

No, but then it isn't the blatently OFFENSIVE attitude you have...

>> Or, your lordship could take the lead and open up a real Chat Room
>> all about morse code on amateur radio and how wonderful it is and
>> that morsemanship should be done by all the "superior" beings. You
>> could develop a real following and become a Name in Chat Room
>> circles, get known, develop a "rep." Or even a website where you
>> could post "awards" given by the certificate makers and praise
>> yourself for being so damn good.
>
>You're outdoing yourself. What panache! What skill!

Ah, but the words are TRUE...and that makes you ANGRY.

Well, word-wise, you are still an AMATEUR.

>> This is NOT a "Chat Room" for idle gossip and cracker-barrel
>> philosophy by a few regulars who have grown overly full of themselves.
>
>You're a regular, Len.

I've been a regular Len since I was first named.

If my regularity isn't up to par, I just drop in here for some equivalent
Ex-Lax. Does the job every time. :-)

>> Even though they think they own the place (and can therefore dictate
>> who gets to sit in), they don't. True. They don't even when their
>> feathers get very ruffled by opposing opinions. (poor things...)
>
>Do your feathers get ruffled by opposing opinions?

Don't have feathers, heavenly fodder.

If I did, it wouldn't bother me. THAT is what galls you, isn't it?

You should really see a health professional to have all that gall
removed.

>> They are stuffed and over-stuffed. Some go to
>> the bursting point. Two former PCTA extras in here may have
>> done just that. Other PCTA extras are expected to follow.
>
>Your words about the dead are a tribute to your personal magnetism.

Tsk. I didn't say anything about the "dead."

If I would speak of the "dead" that would be a short form for
"dead-brained," that attribute of all those AMATEURS who insist
that the morse code test must be kept forever and ever.

>> Perhaps you should go to Church more often...such as to the
>> Church of St. Hiram, the patron saint of Newington. There you
>> could mass with other morsemen and celebrate the mode in
>> chorus with a heavenly choir. Wash your brain regularly lest all
>> the old, tired, trite cliches' fall out. By all means, exercise your
>> morsemanship regularly to be ready for the Second Coming of

>> Aliens so you can defeat them via morse code and thereby
>> Save the World. After all, you are "superior" through morse code.
>
>Yes, Len, your people skills rival those of Carl. Yessir, you really
>have Mike on the verge of changing his mind. I'm sure the throng of
>lurkers is cheering wildly.

Tsk. Another bout of Nasties from the Dale Carneige drop-out.

"Carl" who? The pro in electronics who helped change S25.5 by
going to Geneva, Switzerland, for WRC-03? That "Carl" is also
an Amateur Extra. Trouble is, he is an NCTA and that REALLY
gets your robes flying, heavenly fodder.

Tsk, tsk, fewer and fewer are swearing by the words of ARRL and
rather swearing AT the code propaganda of the ARRL. You poor
self-righteous olde-tymers are soon going to lose your bragging
rights about morsemanship being essential to your self-important
rank/status/privilege in U.S. amateur radio.

NOBODY is kissing your ring, heavenly fodder. They aren't even
bothering to tell you to kiss their posterior either. One can't swear
effectively to so much QRM from the PCTA extra bull pen. Just
noise. Self-righteous noise about their nobility and devotion to some
imaginary "service." Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

666. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 01:17:59 UTC
From: lenof21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050120201759.00916.00000032@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 102182

In article <41EFF800.9010809@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>> I'm TRYING to argue against the morse code test. You are
>>>>
>> trying
>>
>>>>> to squelch such arguments by misdirection into defamation of
>>>>
>> any
>>
>>>>> who are against the morse code test.
>>>>
>>>> Hmm, you possibly could:
>>>>
>>>> Start a Morse code thread
>>>
>>> I have.
>>
>>
>> Actually, in most cases, you take threas on other topics and try
>> to insert a Morse Code redirect.
>
> Like every thread in the group.
>
>
> I wonder what the difference is between the rest of us having a
>conversation, where the topic drifts a bit, as they are wont to do, and
>just about every post that Len makes, which attempts to veer the topic
>to Morse testing?

You can always talk about DEFUNCT 30-year-past TV shows.

That has NOTHING to do with ham radio. Won't ruffle your overly
sensitive "feathers," will it?

Won't be about AMATEUR RADIO at all, but then what you really
want is a nice little cozy Chat Room where you be all gemutlich

in talking about whatever you PCTA extras want...and then you
can make nasty to anyone who objects to all the non-ham-radio
talk. You "own" this newsgroup because you are in it, right?

>
>> People don't want to discuss Morse Code testing anymore because
>> most people (those who read something OTHER than their own work...)
>> know that the issue is moot at this point. Nothing else stated in this
>> forum will change Federal policy.
>
> Right.

"Right" what?

Tsk. Since you can't get others' opinions they way YOU like them,
or that of the PCTA extras, you want to make more nasty?

>>>> Try to refrain from the pejoratives
>>>
>>> Sorry. Someone insults me, I give 'em back lots more.
>>
>>
>> Yet another monumental lie.
>>
>> Neither Jim Miccolis nor Mike Coslo do anything of the kind, yet
>> you pour it over Jim like molasses, and you've started in on Mike.
>
>I noticed that! 8^)

Awwww. Feeling hurt and agreeing with that wonderful Avenging
Angle of Dearth who loves to use Yiddish pejoratives in "signing
off" to others he no like?

Tsk. Poor spoiled little babies. Can't make the world in your
imagined images.

>> I have yet to see Mike refr to you by anything other than your
>> expressed preferred names.
>
> Remember, I did call him Lennie once. But he didn't like that, so I
>went to calling him Len. My bad!

You should get "Yiddish for Dummies" and study it. That way you
can call your newsgroup opponents by four-letter names that
no one (you think) will understand...because you probably don't
understant Yiddish either.

>> Thanks for proving my point right here in THIS post (as if I would
>> have had to wait long anyway.....)
>>
>>
>>> (UNSNIP)...I've seen what has already happened. So have
>>> you and everyone else accessing this newsgrope.

>>
>>
>> And they just got a prime example of why everyone else in this
>> newsgoup is justified in their opinion of you and why you are a
>> disreputable liar.
>>
>> Thanks.

Wow! "Disreputable liar" is NOT a pejorative! :-)

And the Angle of Dearth knows what EVERYONE ELSE's opinions
ARE!

Tsk, tsk. You PCTA extras have out-Orwelled Orwell in redefining
the language!

>> Actually the comedy comes from watching a pathological liar
>> continue to make excuses for his lies and misdeeds, only to get caught
>> up in even MORE lies and misdeeds.

Tsk. "Pathological liar" is an endearment? :-)

>> No you're not. There's not one iota of any evidence in any other
>> forum of a single contructive effort with your name attached to it.

Gosh...I'm "pregnant with ideas" but the "contructions" haven't
started yet. :-)

>> You're an egotistical, mean old man with nothing left to do in his
>> life except make other people as miserable as you are.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. More "endearments." :-)

Awfully good PR there, "winning friends" for morsemanship and
ham radio as you guys "know it."

Peoples must be in large queues waiting to sign up for amateur
radio after seeing the warm, friendly "brotherhood" freelings
exhibited in here. :-)

>>
>>> (UNSNIP)...but I can find time
>>> once in a while to puncture their arrogant balloons of old, stale,
>>> hot air.
>>
>> You can TRY, but so far all you've done is prove what WE have been
>> saying all along.
>>
>> Leonard H. Anderson is a liar.

Another endearment!

>> Leonard H. Anderson is untrustworthy.

Yet ANOTHER!

>> Leonard H. Anderson is deceitful.

WOW! Three in a row!!!

Yessir, heavenly fodder sure had it pinned when he talked about
swinging opinions in here. :-)

>> And it's Leonard H. Anderson who provides the proof of the
>> accusations.
>>
>> Thanks.
>>
>> You've single handedly done more to undermine your "advocacy" than
>> any other VALID arguments could ahve done.
>>
>> Who wants to be associated with an issue that is so voiciferously
>> and embarassingly represented by the likes of you...??? Just do the
>> world a favor and stay away from such issues as finding an AIDS
>> vaccine, cures for cancer, or preventing birth defects.
>> Putz.
>
> You have a point there, Steve. It is hard to convince a person that
>your argument is correct when you dish out abuse with your argument. one
>is always tempted to disregard all the good parts of the argument with
>the bad.

Riiiiight...the Angle of Dearth is very busy working on AIDS vaccines,
cures for cancer, and preventing birth defects. Doctor Avenger can't
be bothered with discussing anything in normal ways...it is His way
or the highway.

> While it is always a good idea to not confuse the messenger with the
>message, which I always try to avoid, such a "debating" style is one of
>the best ways to hinder the message. It just means that you have to wade
>through the insults and other brickbats to find the message.

Was there a "message" there? :-)

Oh, yes, there was:

Do everything that the PCTA extras say to do. Swear allegiance to
the ARRL and for everything it stands for. Insult everyone and every-
thing that deviates from that divine and noble viewpoint. No deviates
allowed! That's the ticket!

Never ever admit to PCTA extra Double Standards. None exist in
the PCTA extra hypocritical imagination. Follow the PCTA extra
orders and directives explicitly...for they are ultra-pure and always
right.

Gotta love it! Far better than a defunct 30-years-ago TV show!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

667. Re: Do our cells communicate in Morse?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 01:19:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <YumdnUXPg4IAxW3cRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mel A. Nomah wrote:

> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:hxGHd.637$YD5.346@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>
> : http://www.primidi.com/2005/01/12.html
>
> Sounds like something you and Larry Roll cooked up, in preparation for
> lobbying AMA to require all MD's copy 20WPM code!

Mel, you should ask Hans whether he is Pro code test, or anti code
test.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

668. Re: How High Will Helium Baloons Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 01:21:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <YumdnUTPg4JjxW3cRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102184

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Looks like somebody cottoned on to what some hams are doing:
> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6843771

Quick! Someone tell them it won't work!! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

669. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 01:27:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <YumdnUfPg4Lwx23cRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102185

Dave Heil wrote:

> N2EY wrote:
>
>>In article <41DC70A1.6307B643@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>writes:
>>
>>
>>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <XmZAd.7418$qf5.4059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert
>>>
>>>casey
>>>
>>>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>
>>>>Thus Len should have enough smarts to handle the amateur
>>>>writtens, up to extra. And spend a few weeks to learn 5wpm code,
>>>>and he could get the ham license. Otherwise he's an amateur
>>>>troll... :-)
>>>>
>>>> Everyone seems to be of the fixed mindset (imaginary department)
>>>> that I am desiring to obtain an amateur radio license. Not true.
>>>
>>>Your story changes from month-to-month and year-to-year. Sometimes you
>>>have no interest in obtaining an amateur radio license. At other times
>>>you've indicated a decades-long interest in amateur radio. It can't be
>>>both ways.
>>
>>Sure it can, Dave. A person can "have an interest" in something, yet not want
>>to become part of that group. For example, I have a decades-long interest in
>>sexy women, but I have never desired to become one!
>
>
> Good one!
>
>
>>There's also the case of the person whose interest in something consists of
>>wanting to destroy it. Such a person will often deny that goal.
>
>
> Perhaps Len's interest in amateur radio is like my interest in
> professional football. I have no desire to play, but I like to watch.

But do you hate football at the same time?

- Mike KB3EIA -

670. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 01:46:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <2NqdnSnoffOVwm3cRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102186

Len Anderson wrote:

> In article <41EFF800.9010809@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>Lenof21 wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>> I'm TRYING to argue against the morse code test. You are
>>>>>
>>>trying
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> to squelch such arguments by misdirection into defamation of
>>>>>
>>>any
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> who are against the morse code test.
>>>>>
>>>>> Hmm, you possibly could:
>>>>>
>>>>> Start a Morse code thread
>>>>
>>>> I have.
>>>
>>>
>>>Actually, in most cases, you take threas on other topics and try
>>>to insert a Morse Code redirect.
>>
>> Like every thread in the group.
>>
>>
>> I wonder what the difference is between the rest of us having a
>>conversation, where the topic drifts a bit, as they are wont to do, and
>>just about every post that Len makes, which attempts to veer the topic

>>to Morse testing?
>
>
> You can always talk about DEFUNCT 30-year-past TV shows.

Yes indeed. Kinda fun at times.

> That has NOTHING to do with ham radio. Won't ruffle your overly
> sensitive "feathers," will it?

Sometimes it does, sometimes it doesn't.

Question:

Do you oppose time honored traditions such as "rag chewing"? Same thing.

> Won't be about AMATEUR RADIO at all, but then what you really
> want is a nice little cozy Chat Room where you be all gemutlich
> in talking about whatever you PCTA extras want...and then you
> can make nasty to anyone who objects to all the non-ham-radio
> talk. You "own" this newsgroup because you are in it, right?

What an odd statement about a Usenet newsgroup.

>
>>>People don't want to discuss Morse Code testing anymore because
>>>most people (those who read something OTHER than their own work...)
>>>know that the issue is moot at this point. Nothing else stated in this
>>>forum will change Federal policy.
>>
>> Right.
>
>
> "Right" what?

Right, SIR!......? 8^)

> Tsk. Since you can't get others' opinions they way YOU like them,
> or that of the PCTA extras, you want to make more nasty?

I haven't started making "nasty" to begin with, as far as I can see.

Tell me what I am doing that is making "nasty", and I'll see if I can
modify it so it doesn't displease you.

>
>>>>> Try to refrain from the pejoratives
>>>>
>>>> Sorry. Someone insults me, I give 'em back lots more.
>>>
>>>
>>>Yet another monumental lie.
>>>
>>>Neither Jim Miccolis nor Mike Coslo do anything of the kind, yet

>>>you pour it over Jim like molasses, and you've started in on Mike.
>>
>>I noticed that! 8^)
>
>
> Awwww. Feeling hurt and agreeing with that wonderful Avenging
> Angle of Dearth who loves to use Yiddish pejoratives in "signing
> off" to others he no like?

Hey, sometimes I agree with you!

> Tsk. Poor spoiled little babies. Can't make the world in your
> imagined images.

>
>
>>>I have yet to see Mike refr to you by anything other than your
>>>expressed preferred names.
>>
>> Remember, I did call him Lennie once. But he didn't like that, so I
>>went to calling him Len. My bad!
>
>
> You should get "Yiddish for Dummies" and study it. That way you
> can call your newsgroup opponents by four-letter names that
> no one (you think) will understand...because you probably don't
> understant Yiddish either.

I understand a little Yiddish. I don''t call people four letter names
though.

>
>>>Thanks for proving my point right here in THIS post (as if I would
>>>have had to wait long anyway.....)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> (UNSNIP)...I've seen what has already happened. So have
>>>> you and everyone else accessing this newsgrope.
>>>
>>>
>>>And they just got a prime example of why everyone else in this
>>>newsgoup is justified in their opinion of you and why you are a
>>>disreputable liar.
>>>
>>>Thanks.
>
>
> Wow! "Disreputable liar" is NOT a pejorative! :-)

ummm....

> And the Angle of Dearth knows what EVERYONE ELSE's opinions
> ARE!
>
> Tsk, tsk. You PCTA extras have out-Orwelled Orwell in redefining
> the language!

ummm....

>
>
>>>Actually the comedy comes from watching a pathological liar
>>>continue to make excuses for his lies and misdeeds, only to get caught
>>>up in even MORE lies and misdeeds.
>
>
> Tsk. "Pathological liar" is an endearment? :-)

ummm....

>
>
>>>No you're not. There's not one iota of any evidence in any other
>>>forum of a single contructive effort with your name attached to it.
>
>
> Gosh...I'm "pregnant with ideas" but the "contructions" haven't
> started yet. :-)
>
ummm....
>
>>>You're an egotistical, mean old man with nothing left to do in his
>>>life except make other people as miserable as you are.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. More "endearments." :-)

ummm....

> Awfully good PR there, "winning friends" for morsemanship and
> ham radio as you guys "know it."
>
> Peoples must be in large queues waiting to sign up for amateur
> radio after seeing the warm, friendly "brotherhood" freelings
> exhibited in here. :-)
>
>
>>>> (UNSNIP)...but I can find time
>>>> once in a while to puncture their arrogant balloons of old, stale,
>>>> hot air.
>>>
>>>You can TRY, but so far all you've done is prove what WE have been
>>>saying all along.
>>>

>>>Leonard H. Anderson is a liar.
>
>
> Another endearment!
>
>
>>>Leonard H. Anderson is untrustworthy.
>
>
> Yet ANOTHER!
>
>
>>>Leonard H. Anderson is deceitful.
>
>
> WOW! Three in a row!!!
>
> Yessir, heavenly fodder sure had it pinned when he talked about
> swinging opinions in here. :-)

ummm..... Gee, are you sure you shouldn't have addressed your post to
Steve

>
>>>And it's Leonard H. Anderson who provides the proof of the
>>>accusations.
>>>
>>>Thanks.
>>>
>>>You've single handedly done more to undermine your "advocacy" than
>>>any other VALID arguments could ahve done.
>>>
>>>Who wants to be associated with an issue that is so voiciferously
>>>and embarassingly represented by the likes of you...??? Just do the
>>>world a favor and stay away from such issues as finding an AIDS
>>>vaccine, cures for cancer, or preventing birth defects.
>>>Putz.
>>
>> You have a point there, Steve. It is hard to convince a person that
>>your argument is correct when you dish out abuse with your argument. one
>>is always tempted to disregard all the good parts of the argument with
>>the bad.
>
>
> Riiiiight...the Angle of Dearth is very busy working on AIDS vaccines,
> cures for cancer, and preventing birth defects. Doctor Avenger can't
> be bothered with discussing anything in normal ways...it is His way
> or the highway.

Hey, a comment on my part of the post! Kewl! You read his post
completely different than I did.

>
>> While it is always a good idea to not confuse the messenger with the
>>message, which I always try to avoid, such a "debating" style is one of
>>the best ways to hinder the message. It just means that you have to wade
>>through the insults and other brickbats to find the message.
>
>
> Was there a "message" there? :-)
>
> Oh, yes, there was:
>
> Do everything that the PCTA extras say to do. Swear allegiance to
> the ARRL and for everything it stands for. Insult everyone and every-
> thing that deviates from that divine and noble viewpoint. No deviates
> allowed! That's the ticket!

> Never ever admit to PCTA extra Double Standards. None exist in
> the PCTA extra hypocritical imagination. Follow the PCTA extra
> orders and directives explicitly...for they are ultra-pure and always
> right.
>
> Gotta love it! Far better than a defunct 30-years-ago TV show!

One thing for sure. I am starting to have a harder and harder time
following you! But keep at it, and I'm sure I'll eventually catch up. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

671. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 01:51:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <jY6dnfs4XPGa_W3cRVn-ow@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102187

Caveat Lector wrote:

> I have that problem occaisionally with a bencher single paddle key
> and increasing the spacing between the points and adding a little more
> spring tension solves the problem.

I'll try that. There is an adjustment spring, but perhaps it is a
little weak and should be replaced.

> I like the contact spacing paper thin, but when it is too thin -- may cause
> a double bounce.
>
> Yes Bencher does make a single paddle key -- looks like the Iambic with two
> paddles -- but functions as a single paddle key.

That sort of key sounds logical to me. Although I'm starting to "get"
the Iambic, it still seems a little strange. I should probable see if I
et a little better before switching again.

Thanks, Mike KB3EIA -

672. Re: RAC Bulletin - Industry Canada Posts Responses to RAC Recommendations on Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 02:12:52 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1106273572.655346.208700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102188

bb wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > 3) The comment period was only 60 days long, and only about 150
> people
> > > (mostly already-licensed amateurs, I think) commented.
>
> Heaven fobid that a non-licensed person might comment.
Yeah, yassuh, that would twist yo' massa's knickers really good.

673. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 02:19:33 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41f06287_8@rover.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 102189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

JONZ.......WELCOME TO OUR CB GROUP!
R U INTO MUTUAL JACK-OFF SESSIONS?
ANYWAY, BE SURE TO "CUM" TO ONE OF OUR CLUB "MEATS"

YOU'LL LOVE IT! (wink,wink)

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

674. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 02:32:20 UTC
From: moparholic <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41f06a83$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 102190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Are you kidding???????

I know 'ole Jon......He'll suck the fucking chrome off a goddam trailer hitch!! Jerking off hell!!!!!!

JONZ is the perfect cum receptical!!!!!!!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

675. Re: How High Will Helium Baloons Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 02:48:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050120214815.08246.00000065@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 102191

In article <YumdnUTPg4JjxW3cRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> Looks like somebody cottoned on to what some hams are doing:
>> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6843771
>
>
> Quick! Someone tell them it won't work!! ;^)

"If it happens, it must be possible"

73 de Jim, N2EY

676. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 02:48:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050120214815.08246.00000064@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 102192

In article <41EFF800.9010809@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> Lenof21 wrote:
>>
>>>In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>>> I'm TRYING to argue against the morse code test. You are
>>>>> trying to squelch such arguments by misdirection into defamation of
>>>>> any who are against the morse code test.

That's simply not true. K0HB, K2ASP, and K2UNK are all against the Morse Code test. Yet neither KB3EIA nor I "defame" any of them. (There are many more examples)

Unless simple disagreement constitutes defamation.

>>>> Hmm, you possibly could:

>>>> Start a Morse code thread

>>> I have.

>> Actually, in most cases, you take threas on other topics and try
>> to insert a Morse Code redirect.

> Like every thread in the group.

>I wonder what the difference is between the rest of us having a
>conversation, where the topic drifts a bit, as they are wont to do, and
>just about every post that Len makes, which attempts to veer the topic
>to Morse testing?

No problem there. The interesting thing is that Len also wanders all over the map of topics as well.

>> People don't want to discuss Morse Code testing anymore because
>> most people (those who read something OTHER than their own work...)
>> know that the issue is moot at this point. Nothing else stated in this
>> forum will change Federal policy.
>
> Right.

Some if not all of us are simply waiting for the next step. There are almost 20 proposals before FCC. We may see even more - I could write one myself! (So could anyone else, of course).

FCC will probably put them all together into an NPRM, ask for comments, etc. That cycle will probably take at least a year, cosidering how long 98-143 took (it appeared in mid-1998, reply comments closed in early 1999, and the rules changes were announced late in 1999, becoming effective in April 2000). At that rate, if FCC announced a restructuring NPRM tomorrow, we might see a decision about June of 2006 and changes (if any) effective later that year.

Just an educated guess on my part.
>>
>>>> Try to refrain from the pejoratives
>>>
>>> Sorry. Someone insults me, I give 'em back lots more.

Len uses pejoratives regardless of whether anyone has used them on him.

It would be interesting to go back in Google and find out who called who what, and when....

>> Yet another monumental lie.

>> Neither Jim Miccolis nor Mike Coslo do anything of the kind, yet
>> you pour it over Jim like molasses, and you've started in on Mike.

>I noticed that! 8^)

>> You did it to me, you'v done it to EVERYone who's ever dared to
>> suggest that YOUR opinion doesn't match theirs.

>> You do it on a whim, then cry foul when it gets fed back to YOU.

>>> So far, in this Din of Inequity, the few, the proud (of
>>> themselves),
>>> the murines (eyewash) wan't to pummel and pound on any
>>> NCTA...especially using personal pejoratives.

>> Sorry Lennie...it's the level at which you function, and I'd hate
>> to leave you out of the conversations.

>>>> You never know what might happen.

>>> Sorry, sweetums...(SNIP)

>> Point made.

>> I have yet to see Mike refr to you by anything other than your
>> expressed preferred names.

>Remember, I did call him Lennie once. But he didn't like that, so I
>went to calling him Len. My bad!

>> Thanks for proving my point right here in THIS post (as if I would
>> have had to wait long anyway.....)
>
>>> (UNSNIP)...I've seen what has already happened. So have
>>> you and everyone else accessing this newsgrope.
>

>> And they just got a prime example of why everyone else in this
>> newsgoup is justified in their opinion of you and why you are a
>> disreputable liar.
>>
>> Thanks.

Mike, here's how it works:

Len believes in group guilt. Works like this:

Steve calls Len a putz. Repeatedly.
Steve is a PCTA Extra who posts to rrap.
Other PCTA Extras who post to rrap have not stopped Steve from calling Len a putz. Some have asked Steve to stop calling Len a putz, but Steve has refused, and still calls Len a putz. Repeatedly.

Therefore, (in Len's mind), all PCTA Extras who post to rrap are guilty of calling Len a putz. And Len thinks himself justified in calling all PCTA Extras who post to rrap any name he wants. Including "Nazi".

It does not matter to Len whether the other PCTA Extras who post to rrap have actually ever called Len a putz. Nor does it matter to Len if they agree or disagree with Steve calling Len a putz. To Len, all PCTA Extras who post to rrap are guilty of calling Len a putz.

See how it works?

Some (not me) might argue that if someone is going to pronounced guilty of calling Len a putz, then they might as well call Len a putz in reality.

But I don't buy that argument at all. I've never called Len a putz, despite all the names he's called me. I don't recall you ever calling Len a putz either, Mike.

Personally, I disagree with calling Len or anybody else on rrap a putz. Or a Nazi, jackbooted thug, elitist, or other pejorative names or diminutives.

>>>> Then again, I suspect you enjoy things just the way they are. 8^)

>>> You are WRONG.

I think you're dead-on right, Mike.

>>>>IF<< Mike had been wrong, you would have continued to address
>>>>him with out the insulting diminutives and "endearments".

>>> The PCTA just can't take opposing views
>>> on the morse code test.

Sure we can. Ask K0HB, K2UNK, K2ASP, to name just a few.

>>> They get so very bitchy and angry
>>> and demand to get all who argue against the retention that it
>>> becomes a repeated comedy of brainwashing phrases by them.

Now let's see...who acts the most "bitchy and angry" in here?

>> Actually the comedy comes from watching a pathological liar
>> continue to make excuses for his lies and misdeeds, only to get caught
>> up in even MORE lies and misdeeds.

>>> No problem on my replying in kind to the PCTA...if I have the
>>> time to spend on their trite, tired, tintabulation of olde-tyme
>>> phrases.

>> Then why the continued use of diminutives against people who do
>> not do it to you?

>> Why do you insist that you ONLY do it to people who do it to you,
>> when there's almost a decades worth of evidence to the contrary with
>> Jim Miccolis alone, and now you have started in on Mike Coslo.

>>> I'm busy with lots of other things...(SNIP)

>> No you're not. There's not one iota of any evidence in any other
>> forum of a single contructive effort with your name attached to it.

>> You're an egotistical, mean old man with nothing left to do in his
>> life except make other people as miserable as you are.

>>> (UNSNIP)...but I can find time
>>> once in a while to puncture their arrogant balloons of old, stale,
>>> hot air.

>> You can TRY, but so far all you've done is prove what WE have been
>> saying all along.
>>
>> Leonard H. Anderson is a liar.
>>
>> Leonard H. Anderson is untrustworthy.
>>
>> Leonard H. Anderson is deceitful.
>>
>> And it's Leonard H. Anderson who provides the proof of the
>> accusations.
>>
>> Thanks.
>>
>> You've single handedly done more to undermine your "advocacy" than
>> any other VALID arguments could ahve done.
>>
>> Who wants to be associated with an issue that is so voiciferously
>> and embarassingly represented by the likes of you...??? Just do the
>> world a favor and stay away from such issues as finding an AIDS
>> vaccine, cures for cancer, or preventing birth defects.
>> Putz.

Uh-oh. Now we're all guilty (in Len's mind). Again.

>You have a point there, Steve. It is hard to convince a person that
>your argument is correct when you dish out abuse with your argument. one

>is always tempted to disregard all the good parts of the argument with
>the bad.

And that's true on both sides!

>While it is always a good idea to not confuse the messenger with the
>message, which I always try to avoid, such a "debating" style is one of
>the best ways to hinder the message. It just means that you have to wade
>through the insults and other brickbats to find the message.

The problem is that even if you ignore the insults and brickbats, you don't really get any meaningful responses from Len. For example, Len previously stated (in the context of US amateur radio license numbers) that:

"All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their grace period."

Now, it was repeatedly pointed out to him that this is simply not true.

Licensees who submitted a valid renewal application before the license expired can continue to operate, but those who did not cannot legally operate until they submit a renewal application *and* FCC approves it.

But will Len admit his mistake? Nope. Instead, he heaps abuse and insults on the messengers for daring to point it out.

One wonders how, or if, Len will respond to K2ASP's posts about amateur radio supplying emergency communications for hospitals in the Pacific Northwest...

73 de Jim, N2EY

677. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 03:39:21 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <JP_Hd.14243$ru.313@fed1read07>
Source record: 102193

Most of the CW ops I know prefer the Iambic keys.

I just spent many hours on a Navy straight key so kept with what felt comfortable.

--
Caveat Lector

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:jY6dnfs4XPGa_W3cRVn-ow@adelphia.com...
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>
>> I have that problem occaisionally with a bencher single paddle key
>> and increasing the spacing between the points and adding a little more
>> spring tension solves the problem.
>
> I'll try that. There is an adjustment spring, but perhaps it is a little
> weak and should be replaced.
>
>> I like the contact spacing paper thin, but when it is too thin -- may
>> cause a double bounce.
>>
>> Yes Bencher does make a single paddle key -- looks like the Iambic with
>> two paddles -- but functions as a single paddle key.
>
> That sort of key sounds logical to me. Although I'm starting to "get" the
> Iambic, it still seems a little strange. I should probable see if I et a
> little better before switching again.
>
> Thanks, Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

678. More email forging from the used cock suckers at Usenet Zone bone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 03:51:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120225115.166$n5_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 102194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,alt.binaries.news-server-comparison

Do they only let gay men post over there?

"moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> Are you kidding???????
>
> I know 'ole Jon......He'll suck the fucking chrome off a goddam trailer
> hitch!! Jerking off hell!!!!!!
>
> JONZ is the perfect cum receptical!!!!!!!
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

679. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 04:54:10 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106283250.487248.308990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

nope...2nd place to mopeydopey

680. Re: How High Will Helium Baloons Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 04:59:10 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1106283550.074027.162870@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102196

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > Looks like somebody cottoned on to what some hams are doing:
> > http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6843771
>
>
> Quick! Someone tell them it won't work!! ;^)

I'll pass on your troll for now Michael. But I *will* be back later . .
bank it.

> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

681. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 06:03:13 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.61.0501210557270.134@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 102198

On Wed, 12 Jan 2005, robert casey wrote:
> Phil Kane wrote:
> > N2EY asked what the rule authorization was for operation of an
> > amateur statsion on an expired license if renewal was made in a
> > timely manner.
> >
> > Section 1.62(a) of the FCC Rules (not a Part 97 Rule) says:
> >
> > ï¿½1.62 Operation pending action on renewal application.
> >
> > (a)(1) Where there is pending before the Commission at the
> > time of expiration of license any proper and timely application
> > for renewal of license with respect to any activity of a
> > continuing nature, in accordance with the provisions of Section
> > 9(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act, such license shall
> > continue in effect without further action by the Commission
> > until such time as the Commission shall make a final
> > determination with respect to the renewal application. No
> > operation by any licensee under this section shall be construed
> > as a finding by the Commission that the operation will serve
> > the public interest, convenience, or necessity, nor shall such
> > operation in any way affect or limit the action of the
> > Commission with respect to any pending application or
> > proceeding.
> >
> > Lots of words for a simple concept.
> >
>
> My translation to English: If you send in the renewal
> before your license's expiration date, you can continue
> to use the privs of the license until the FCC says
> that they ain't gonna renew it. I suppose the postmark
> (back in the old days of paper snail mail) would determine
> that you sent it before the license was to expire. One
> probably should do a return receipt to show to the FCC
> field guy that you did mail it and that they got it.
> But that just says that you mailed *something*, not
> that you sent the right form filled out right.
>
> If you're late sending it in, you have to stay off
> the air until you get the new license in teh mail
> (or at least when you show in the FCC database as
> having been renewed).
>

> Yes?

Not quite but very close. Note: One might also retain privilege in certain circumstances while an appeal to a denial of a renewal is outstanding. The decision to deny the renewal doesn't become final until the period to appeal it has expired, or the appeal (and its further recourse) is exhausted. [Or at least such is true with the licensing and other procedures of other federal agencies under the APA.]

682. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 09:09:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106298596.059200.35900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102199

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Posted on 20 Jan 05 - Coronation Day

I think we can see where Lennie's position on the Democratic
process lies...And his opinion of it...

Steve, K4YZ

683. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 09:13:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106298832.798101.183160@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102200

bb wrote:
> """
> Brian, we are going to have to get you to learn how to quote the
> person you are replying to on the new Google.
>
> Your "replies", without some sort of background as to their intent
> and purpose, are meaningless.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> """
>
> Steve, I am able to view the thread as a "tree" in google. When
doing
> so it becomes painfully obvious who is responding to whom. Besides,
> most of what is posted here is meaningless.

Meaningless or not, it's still a bit confusing to tell who's
responding to who/what/why, etc.

I found the way...I find that when you first sign in and "repond"
to a post in Google, it shows the responded-to post. However if you
try to go directly to another repsonse, it won't do it.

I just hit the "sign out" link, then re-enter...A bit laborious,
but it allows others to see in what context I am responding. Even when
it's meaningless! =)

73

Steve, K4YZ

684. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 09:21:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106299260.658850.77990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102201

Dave Heil wrote:
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <20050105213021.06095.00000273@mb-m23.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> > (N2EY) writes:
> >
> > >Subject: Test
> > >From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
> > >Date: 06 Jan 2005 02:30:21 GMT
> > >
> > >Sent 9:29 PM EST Jan 5 2005
> > >
> > >Is usenet working?
> >
> > Obviously not. Did you use the proper ARRL-approved procedure
> > and correct prosigns?
>
> Do you do that?

Oh Heaven Forbid!

> > The above message appeared on Coronation Day (20 Jan 05)
> > download of this newsgroup from AOL.
>
> If this was inauguration day for John Kerry, would it have been
> Coronation Day?

Of course not!

Personally, I wish we could have had it rebroadcast all over the
world.

That "We, The People" business was done all over again, and once
again we decided the leadership of the most powerful nation on Earth
without firing a single shot!

All the "shots' fired were of the verbal kind...the people were
allowed to decide for themsleves, and their opinions solicited in the
form of a ballot.

Many didn't get what they hoped for, but they will move on to the
NEXT election.

> > Had there been observation of the Newsgroup NEWS on AOL
> > you would have seen the announcement (by AOL) that they
> > had "technical difficulties" in interfacing to INTERNET

> > newsgroups. On that same AOL NEWS section there is NO
> > indication that AOL will be dropping newsgroup connections
> > to the INTERNET in "early 2005."
> >
> > Suggestion: Connect to DARPANET directly and use morse
> > code mode communications. After all, "CW gets through
> > when nothing else will, right?"
>
> Tell us again what "usenet" stands for, Leonard.

And tell us about operating with an expired license again
too...That was too funny!

73

Steve, K4YZ

685. Re: Five Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 09:27:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106299658.538559.300040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102202

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
>
>>http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/6d38aef5bc8a1830?dmode=source
> >
> >
> > "I'm going for Amateur Extra "out of the box." Maybe I'll
> > make it, maybe not. The personal point is irrelevant."
> >
> > --Leonard H. Anderson
> >
> > Happy anniversary, Len!
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Too bad no one thought to bake a cake!

Oh, there's CAKE alright, Mike.

It's all over Lennie's face!
Or is that CROW...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

686. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 11:33:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F0E84C.F0CA4D8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102203

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > N2EY wrote:
> >
> >>In article <41DC70A1.6307B643@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >>writes:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Lenof21 wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>In article <XmZAd.7418$qf5.4059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert
> >>>
> >>>casey
> >>>
> >>>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >>>
> >>>>>Thus Len should have enough smarts to handle the amateur
> >>>>>writtens, up to extra. And spend a few weeks to learn 5wpm code,
> >>>>>and he could get the ham license. Otherwise he's an amateur
> >>>>>troll... :-)
> >>>>
> >>>> Everyone seems to be of the fixed mindset (imaginary department)
> >>>> that I am desiring to obtain an amateur radio license. Not true.
> >>>
> >>>Your story changes from month-to-month and year-to-year. Sometimes you
> >>>have no interest in obtaining an amateur radio license. At other times
> >>>you've indicated a decades-long interest in amateur radio. It can't be
> >>>both ways.
> >>
> >>Sure it can, Dave. A person can "have an interest" in something, yet not want
> >>to become part of that group. For example, I have a decades-long interest in
> >>sexy women, but I have never desired to become one!
> >
> >
> > Good one!
> >
> >
> >>There's also the case of the person whose interest in something consists of
> >>wanting to destroy it. Such a person will often deny that goal.
> >
> >
> > Perhaps Len's interest in amateur radio is like my interest in

> > professional football. I have no desire to play, but I like to watch.
>
> But do you hate football at the same time?

Only when the Steelers lose. ;-)

Dave K8MN

687. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 12:11:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106309498.754942.193040@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102204

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > >But you are a little too smug for most people's tastes.
People
> > get
> > > the
> > > > > > >impression that you think you're someone special.
> > > > >
> > > > > He is.
> > > >
> > > Thinks he is.
> > >
> > No, >>he<< doesn't.
> >
> > But a lot of people worldwide who have benefitted from his
> > knowledge and skills do. ie: me.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, you're free to worship in your own way.

You need to learn the difference between "worship" and
"appreciate", Brian.

Steve, K4YZ

688. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 12:14:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050121071417.13916.00000041@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 102205

In article <41F0097A.96ED6EEE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> A person can "have an interest" in something, yet not want
>> to become part of that group. For example, I have a decades-long interest
>> in sexy women, but I have never desired to become one!
>
>Good one!
>
I've been lucky enough to know some great ones ;-)

>> There's also the case of the person whose interest in something consists of
>> wanting to destroy it. Such a person will often deny that goal.
>
>Perhaps Len's interest in amateur radio is like my interest in
>professional football. I have no desire to play, but I like to watch.

There's more to that statement than you know, Dave.

IMHO, most people who watch professional football support it and enjoy it in
one way or another. For example, they buy tickets, paraphenalia, cheer on
"their team", etc. Even the person who simply sits at home and watches the game
on broadcast TV has some small effect, because they affect the ratings and the
ratings determine revenue. Etc. All without wanting to become players.

Of course, a lot of fans would love to actually play the game, but they just
don;t have the talent to do so.

But of course there are *some* people whose interest in football is negative.
Haven't you ever known someone who watched the games but complained the whole
way through, booing and yelling at the TV set, shouting out epithets and
wanting to fire the coaches every couple of plays? Sometimes the worst
offenders in this area are folks who once actually played the game, who will
tell you of their great accomplishments on the gridiron - over and over and
over, even if you don't ask them. Now they simply spectators who live in the
past.

--

One more game for the Eagles to win before....

73 de Jim, N2EY

689. Re: A poll of lurkers (was Len's Apology)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 12:21:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F0F385.46D90F5F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102206

Len Anderson wrote:
>
> In article <41F00895.CFF36A1F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <aMydneilLoNf63PcRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >Lenof21 wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >> >> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >> >
> >> >>> You never know what might happen.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Sorry, sweetums, I've seen what has already happened. So have
> >> >> you and everyone else accessing this newsgrope.
> >> >
> >> > Chicken and egg syndrome? Given the history of posters here, I
> >would
> >> >suspect that if you were to adopt a different strategy, there would be
> >> >some posts that would be provoking. However, if ignored, that stuff goes
> >> >away.
> >>
> >> Given the "history of posters here," especially the PCTA extras,
> >> your good idea is merely impractical bullshit.
> >
> >But that's what you post now. If changing your tactics didn't work,
> >you'd be no worse off than you are now.
> >
> >> PCTA extras all pretend to be "superior" and let everyone know it.
> >
> >All licensed radio amateurs; Techs, Generals and Extras; are your
> >superiors in that they have been issued amateur radio licenses.
>
> There you have it. In Poop Dave the 1st's idea of the radio
> world, the amateurs are "superior" while the professionals
> are "inferior."

There you have it. Len misses a couple of key phrases. I didn't write
of the "radio world", Leonard. I wrote "licensed radio amateurs" and

"amateur radio licenses".

> >That is
> >something you don't have. Ah, but I forgot--this is your week not to
> >desire such a license.
>
> Tsk. There is NO requirement for newsgroup access to possess
> an amateur radio license.

You're correct. Your posts here are proof of that.

> Tsk, tsk. There is NO requirement for access to the FCC requiring
> an amateur radio license in order to comment on amateur radio
> matters.

You've commented to the FCC.

> You make such fanciful imaginings, then pretend that whoever you
> are trying to impugn really does what you say.

Something like that, Leonard, old boy. I just imagine that you have no amateur radio license and *poof*, it comes to pass--you really have no amateur radio license.

> >> >Seriously? You don't enjoy tweaking people?
> >>
> >> The "tweaking" is easy for me to do. Most of the postings I do
> >> simply "write themselves." :-)
> > >
> > >...and they have that look. :-) :-)
> >
> Poor baby. Never made much money writing for money?

What does that have to do with your output? You'd think a professional writer would be embarrassed by some of the style and substance errors you make.

> Oh, that's RIGHT...you are an AMATEUR and don't desire to
> make money!

How you drift! I enjoy making money, Leonard. I don't make money for operating an amateur radio station. You're a former professional. You can't make any money operating an amateur radio station. You see, we have something in common. Do you have an amateur radio station?

> My apologies at forgetting your lofty, noble
> attitudes...your attitude got hidden in all that "superiority."

Apology accepted.

> >> You seem to forget that I've had a long career of working in electronics.
> >> Electrons (in electronics) do NOT get influenced by human desires.
> > >
> > >Have you noticed that your posts here have about the same effect upon

> >readers of r.r.a.p? Almost no one has been influenced by your human
> >desires as pertain to amateur radio.
>
> Haven't you noticed that YOU are NOT winning any points in here,
> heavenly fodder?

No, I haven't.

> All you seem to be doing is being nasty to anyone who comments
> about anything in here. :-)

"Leo's" been commenting about "anything" in here recently. I'm not
"being nasty" to "Leo". Hans has commented about "anything" in here.
I'm not being nasty to Hans. Besides, you've admitted to "making
nasty". Aren't you being just a tad two-faced? :-) :-)

> >> The odd part of human nature is that the PCTA extras think that all
> >> must follow Their rules, obey them, etc., and some get quite
> >> fanciful in imagining the "motivations" of the NCTA.
> >
> >One of more odd bits of human nature has you attributing beliefs to all
> >PCTA Extras as if we all had the exact same thoughts and experiences.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...not "EXACT," heavenly fodder. :-)

There you have it, Foghorn.

> >> Incorrect. Your speculation is nonsense.
> >>
> >> You forget that many more readers follow what goes on in here.
> >> They, too, can see/read the propaganda nonsense about the
> >> nobility and efficacy of morse code and the alleged "necessity"
> >> of the amateur radio morse code test. Those readers need a bit
> >> of boost on the reasons AGAINST it. Myself and others have
> >> presented opposing reasons for that code test.
> >
> >Wow! Talk about speculation! How about it, lurking, never-posting
> >readers of r.r.a.p? Let us know if you support the self-appointed
> >advocate for morse code test removal of the group. Tell us if you
> >believe his method is effective. Let us hear if you have been swayed by
> >his posts.
>
> You should really leave the polling to the ARRL. That would get
> you biased results that would make you proud. :-)

Maybe you should explain why I should leave informal polling of an
internet newsgroup to the ARRL. I'm still waiting to view the
outpouring of support you receive from the lurker element here.

> >> >Are you making some sort of Quixotic sacrifice in a Usenet
> >> >newsgroup in an attempt to eliminate Morse code testing?
> >>
> >> No. If you will bother to read the 18 petitions at the FCC you

> >> would see that it isn't some Cervantes fictional character
> >> exercise...but, some of the proponents of the code test are
> >> indeed human windmills whirling in dead air.
> >
> >That's the same kind of charm you turned on for a couple of your
> >submissions to the Commission. Do you think your words swayed anyone
> >there or might they have thought you a crackpot?
>
> Oooooo! You are now a PR Judge as well? :-)

Yeah, and a pretty darned good one. :-) :-)

> Funny you should mention "crackpot" but then I guess it takes one
> to think all his "enemies" are like that...

Are you my enemy, Len? I believed you were just a run of the mill
crackpot.

> >> >All the arguments are old and we've heard them a lot. From both sides.
> >>
> >> Poor baby. You seem distressed. Or perhaps your lordship
> >> wishes better entertainment?
> >
> >Are you trying to sway him to accepting your view, Len? Do you think
> >your comment above has been effective?
>
> Tsk. It's better than repeated calls of "Putz" on his person. :-)

Sure is. I've seen no indication that Mike is a putz. :-)

> >> Here is a suggestion for your lordship: Use your amateur radio
> >> license and operate your mighty transceiver on the air instead of
> >> accessing this newsgroup. Chat with other human beings (or what
> >> passes for same).
> >
> >Do you think your charm offensive is working, Leonard?
>
> No, but then it isn't the blatently OFFENSIVE attitude you have...

There it is, an admission from you that your tactics don't work.

> >> Or, your lordship could take the lead and open up a real Chat Room
> >> all about morse code on amateur radio and how wonderful it is and
> >> that morsemanship should be done by all the "superior" beings. You
> >> could develop a real following and become a Name in Chat Room
> >> circles, get known, develop a "rep." Or even a website where you
> >> could post "awards" given by the certificate makers and praise
> >> yourself for being so damn good.
> >
> >You're outdoing yourself. What panache! What skill!
>
> Ah, but the words are TRUE...and that makes you ANGRY.

Those words you wrote--they're true? Really? I'm angry? Really?
I'm awfully sorry, Len, they aren't true and I'm not angry.

> Well, word-wise, you are still an AMATEUR.

Word-wise? Do you consider yourself a PROFESSIONAL, word-wise? :-)

> >> This is NOT a "Chat Room" for idle gossip and cracker-barrel
> >> philosophy by a few regulars who have grown overly full of themselves.
> >
> >You're a regular, Len.
>
> I've been a regular Len since I was first named.

Oh, I don't believe that. There's something that sets you apart from
any other Len I've ever encountered. That aside, you ARE a regular here
and you seem full of yourself and there's seemingly no end to your
homespun views of the motivations of others.

> If my regularity isn't up to par, I just drop in here for some equivalent
> Ex-Lax. Does the job every time. :-)

Apparently, it does not. :-)

> >> Even though they think they own the place (and can therefore dictate
> >> who gets to sit in), they don't. True. They don't even when their
> >> feathers get very ruffled by opposing opinions. (poor things...)
> >
> >Do your feathers get ruffled by opposing opinions?
>
> Don't have feathers, heavenly fodder.

Then what makes you believe that others who post here have feathers?

> If I did, it wouldn't bother me.

If you had feathers, it wouldn't bother me either.

> THAT is what galls you, isn't it?

That you don't have feathers?

> You should really see a health professional to have all that gall
> removed.

Is this about the feathers thing?

> >> They are stuffed and over-stuffed. Some go to
> >> the bursting point. Two former PCTA extras in here may have
> >> done just that. Other PCTA extras are expected to follow.
> >
> >Your words about the dead are a tribute to your personal magnetism.
>
> Tsk. I didn't say anything about the "dead."

Then why not tell us to whom you referred? Who are the two former PCTA Extras?

> If I would speak of the "dead" that would be a short form for
> "dead-brained," that attribute of all those AMATEURS who insist
> that the morse code test must be kept forever and ever.

But a guy who isn't a participant in amateur radio and who decrees that morse code testing in amateur radio should be eliminated--he's not "dead-brained"?

> >> Perhaps you should go to Church more often...such as to the
> >> Church of St. Hiram, the patron saint of Newington. There you
> >> could mass with other morsemen and celebrate the mode in
> >> chorus with a heavenly choir. Wash your brain regularly lest all
> >> the old, tired, trite cliches' fall out. By all means, exercise your
> >> morsemanship regularly to be ready for the Second Coming of
> >> Aliens so you can defeat them via morse code and thereby
> >> Save the World. After all, you are "superior" through morse code.
> >
> >Yes, Len, your people skills rival those of Carl. Yessir, you really
> >have Mike on the verge of changing his mind. I'm sure the throng of
> >lurkers is cheering wildly.
>
> Tsk. Another bout of Nasties from the Dale Carneige drop-out.

Wouldn't I have to drop in before I could drop out?

> "Carl" who? The pro in electronics who helped change S25.5 by
> going to Geneva, Switzerland, for WRC-03? That "Carl" is also
> an Amateur Extra.

That's the guy. He liked using the "Nazi" and "jackbooted thug" terms too.

> Trouble is, he is an NCTA and that REALLY
> gets your robes flying, heavenly fodder.

Robes? What robes, Foghorn?

> Tsk, tsk, fewer and fewer are swearing by the words of ARRL and
> rather swearing AT the code propaganda of the ARRL. You poor
> self-righteous olde-tymers are soon going to lose your bragging
> rights about morsemanship being essential to your self-important
> rank/status/privilege in U.S. amateur radio.

Are you related to Barbara Boxer?

> NOBODY is kissing your ring, heavenly fodder. They aren't even
> bothering to tell you to kiss their posterior either. One can't swear
> effectively to so much QRM from the PCTA extra bull pen. Just
> noise. Self-righteous noise about their nobility and devotion to some
> imaginary "service." Tsk.

You really do rant like one of the homeless.

Dave K8MN

690. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 12:27:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F0F557.544ECF3F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102207

Len Anderson wrote:
>
> In article <41EFF800.9010809@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu> writes:
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> Lenof21 wrote:
> >>
> >>>In article <YsadnYdiwoWH7XDcRVn-iA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> You can always talk about DEFUNCT 30-year-past TV shows.
>
> That has NOTHING to do with ham radio.

Neither do you.

> Won't ruffle your overly
> sensitive "feathers," will it?

What's with the "feathers" thing, Leonard? Too many years in your
Foghorn Leghorn persona?

> Won't be about AMATEUR RADIO at all, but then what you really
> want is a nice little cozy Chat Room where you be all gemutlich
> in talking about whatever you PCTA extras want...and then you
> can make nasty to anyone who objects to all the non-ham-radio
> talk. You "own" this newsgroup because you are in it, right?

So far, the only person admitting to "making nasty" is you.

> Tsk. Since you can't get others' opinions they way YOU like them,
> or that of the PCTA extras, you want to make more nasty?

This is the output of a PROFESSIONAL wordsmith?

> Tsk. Poor spoiled little babies. Can't make the world in your
> imagined images.

You seem to be having a tough sell in making amateur radio in your
imagined image, Leonard.

> >> I have yet to see Mike refr to you by anything other than your
> >> expressed preferred names.
> >
> > Remember, I did call him Lennie once. But he didn't like that, so I
> >went to calling him Len. My bad!
>
> You should get "Yiddish for Dummies" and study it. That way you

> can call your newsgroup opponents by four-letter names that
> no one (you think) will understand...because you probably don't
> understant Yiddish either.

I don't get it, Len. Because Mike hasn't referred to you as other than "Len" or "Lennie", you suggest that he get "Yiddish for Dummies" and study it? You want him to call you Yiddish names?

Dave K8MN

691. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 13:24:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106313845.782475.200670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102208

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > """
> > Brian, we are going to have to get you to learn how to quote the
> > person you are replying to on the new Google.
> >
> > Your "replies", without some sort of background as to their intent
> > and purpose, are meaningless.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> > """
> >
> > Steve, I am able to view the thread as a "tree" in google. When
> doing
> > so it becomes painfully obvious who is responding to whom.
Besides,
> > most of what is posted here is meaningless.
>
> Meaningless or not, it's still a bit confusing to tell who's
> responding to who/what/why, etc.
>
> I found the way...I find that when you first sign in and "repond"
> to a post in Google, it shows the responded-to post. However if you
> try to go directly to another repsonse, it won't do it.
>
> I just hit the "sign out" link, then re-enter...A bit laborious,
> but it allows others to see in what context I am responding. Even
when
> it's meaningless! =)
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Each posting in google has a "reply" button below it. Using this reply button doesn't quote text. Above in the header is a "show options" button. When I invoke the options, there is an identical "reply" button. This reply does quote text, but I don't always remember to go there first.

692. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 13:27:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106314051.093463.155950@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102209

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > >But you are a little too smug for most people's tastes.
> People
> > > get
> > > > the
> > > > > >impression that you think you're someone special.
> > > > > >
> > > > > He is.
> > > > >
> > > > Thinks he is.
> > > >
> > > No, >>he<< doesn't.
> > > >
> > > But a lot of people worldwide who have benefitted from his
> > > knowledge and skills do. ie: me.
> > > >
> > > 73
> > > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > Steve, you're free to worship in your own way.
> >
> You need to learn the difference between "worship" and
> "appreciate", Brian.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Adore?

693. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 13:28:47 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106314127.434299.160910@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102210

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > N2EY wrote:
> >
> >>In article <41DC70A1.6307B643@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >>writes:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Lenof21 wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>In article
<XmZAd.7418$qf5.4059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert
> >>>
> >>>casey
> >>>
> >>>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >>>
> >>>>Thus Len should have enough smarts to handle the amateur
> >>>>writtens, up to extra. And spend a few weeks to learn 5wpm
code,
> >>>>and he could get the ham license. Otherwise he's an amateur
> >>>>troll... :-)
> >>>>
> >>>> Everyone seems to be of the fixed mindset (imaginary
department)
> >>>> that I am desiring to obtain an amateur radio license. Not
true.
> >>>
> >>>Your story changes from month-to-month and year-to-year.
Sometimes you
> >>>have no interest in obtaining an amateur radio license. At other
times
> >>>you've indicated a decades-long interest in amateur radio. It
can't be
> >>>both ways.
> >>>
> >>Sure it can, Dave. A person can "have an interest" in something,
yet not want
> >>to become part of that group. For example, I have a decades-long
interest in
> >>sexy women, but I have never desired to become one!
> >

> >
> > Good one!
> >
> >
> >>There's also the case of the person whose interest in something
consists of
> >>wanting to destroy it. Such a person will often deny that goal.
> >
> >
> > Perhaps Len's interest in amateur radio is like my interest in
> > professional football. I have no desire to play, but I like to
watch.
>
> But do you hate football at the same time?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Some people hate entire teams, most are merely aggravated by individual
players.

694. Re: RAC Bulletin - Industry Canada Posts Responses to RAC Recommendations on Morse Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 13:34:24 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106314464.694950.241140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102211

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > > 3) The comment period was only 60 days long, and only about 150
> > people
> > > (mostly already-licensed amateurs, I think) commented.
> >
> > Heaven fobid that a non-licensed person might comment.

> Yeah, yassuh, that would twist yo' massa's knickers really good.

On obviously failed attempt to celebrate Martin Luther King, Jr's
birthday.

695. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 13:35:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106314548.041203.187950@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102212

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > >But you are a little too smug for most people's tastes.
> > People
> > > > > get
> > > > > > the
> > > > > > > >impression that you think you're someone special.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > He is.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Thinks he is.
> > > > >
> > > > No, >>he<< doesn't.
> > > > >
> > > > But a lot of people worldwide who have benefitted from his
> > > > knowledge and skills do. ie: me.
> > > > >
> > > > 73
> > > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > Steve, you're free to worship in your own way.
> > >
> > You need to learn the difference between "worship" and
> > "appreciate", Brian.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Adore?

I think that's between you and Dave, don't you...?!?!
Steve, K4YZ

696. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 13:37:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106314676.312170.255520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102213

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > """
> > > Brian, we are going to have to get you to learn how to quote the
> > > person you are replying to on the new Google.
> > >
> > > Your "replies", without some sort of background as to their
intent
> > > and purpose, are meaningless.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > """
> > >
> > > Steve, I am able to view the thread as a "tree" in google. When
> > doing
> > > so it becomes painfully obvious who is responding to whom.
> Besides,
> > > most of what is posted here is meaningless.
> >
> > Meaningless or not, it's still a bit confusing to tell who's
> > responding to who/what/why, etc.
> >
> > I found the way...I find that when you first sign in and "repond"
> > to a post in Google, it shows the responded-to post. However if
you
> > try to go directly to another repsonse, it won't do it.
> >
> > I just hit the "sign out" link, then re-enter...A bit laborious,
> > but it allows others to see in what context I am responding. Even
> when
> > it's meaningless! =)
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Each posting in google has a "reply" button below it. Using this
reply
> button doesn't quote text. Above in the header is a "show options"
> button. When I invoke the options, there is an identical "reply"
> button. This reply does quote text, but I don't always remember to

go
> there first.

I do! That's why anyone who cares to follow doesn't have to go
find the flow tree to keep up with the conversation.

73

Steve, K4YZ

697. The Space Business Has Its Problems Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 13:45:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106315113.589334.225600@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102215

Communications satellite fails:

http://www.news.com.au/common/story_page/0,4057,11986013^401,00.html

http://www.spacetoday.net/Summary/2750

Prof spends 18 years on experiment that goes to Saturn, somebody
forgets to turn it on:

http://apnews.myway.com//article/20050120/D87O3NAO0.html
"Nobody's Perfect!"

73 de Jim, N2EY

698. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 13:46:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106315191.740076.121750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102214

Dave Heil wrote:
> Len Anderson wrote:

> > > Remember, I did call him Lennie once. But he didn't like
that, so I
> > >went to calling him Len. My bad!
> >
> > You should get "Yiddish for Dummies" and study it. That way you
> > can call your newsgroup opponents by four-letter names that
> > no one (you think) will understand...because you probably don't
> > understant Yiddish either.
>
> I don't get it, Len. Because Mike hasn't referred to you as other
than
> "Len" or "Lennie", you suggest that he get "Yiddish for Dummies" and
> study it? You want him to call you Yiddish names?

I believe Mike even apologized for it, having seen my use of it.

Unfortunately for Mike, Lennie never sees anyone doing "the right
thing". That way it always give him the "right" to claim victim
status.

And Lennie..For a guy who's always on other people's cases for
typos, I think you'd try harder to be more "undertanting". As for what
"putz" stands for, Lennie, I think it fits you like a glove...Or would
"like a condom" be a better analogy...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

699. The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 14:25:22 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <mh8Id.21814$iC4.11981@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102216

Something occurred to me recently that I thought I'd share... It has to do with the Feds, the ARRL, BPL and ARESCOM / WL2K.

Note how the ARRL was getting some traction early on with the BPL deal by taking a rational, scientific approach to the problem. Remember the video of Ed Hare driving around listening to BPL hash? Being above commercial concerns, amateur radio operators were in a good position to comment on technical matters with no taint of commercial motivation or greed. We spoke from an old, well-respected reputation.

Then things seemed to go wrong in a murky sort of way. - In the end, Ham Radio was dissed by the FCC as not being relevant enough to protect from BPL interference.

To me, this says that somebody within the federal government who wanted to boost BPL decided to pull a few strings and ham radio obligingly tripped over those strings.

I was thinking about how the federal government sometimes handles problems and wondered if the Department of Homeland Security grants may have been a successful "trojan horse" weapon that we are currently suffering the effects of.

It strikes me funny that all within a year or so, the feds (DHS) would throw millions of dollars at amateur radio through the DHS grants, and then the same feds (FCC) turn around and say our work is so irrelevant that it does not merit protection from inteference. To me, they are all "the feds" because of the heirarchy of command there.

With its deep pockets, it seems to me that the DHS grants handed out were a very cheap and effective way for the federal government to:

A: Eliminate the Amateur community's "detatched, objective" status by throwing money at the problem, depending on us to discredit ourselves by the way we react to the existence of that sudden influx of money.

B: Throw the ARRL and the amateur community into disarray, making the organized, concerted effort against BPL that was building much less likely to jell into something effective.

C: Produce a dependency upon the federal government that did not exist before, bringing new, long-lived federal control over our activities that previously did not exist.

We were starting to be a problem, so the federal government threw money at us. Soon we were tainted with greed, discredited, dependent, disorganized and fighting each other instead of BPL.

Cheap at any price, especially when you're spending somebody else's money to start with, eh?

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

700. Re: The Space Business Has Its Problems Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 14:41:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106318513.919953.184930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102217

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Communications satellite fails:

Probably some "radio professional" had his hands "inside the box"
and dropped his dust pan...I mean multimeter...

> Prof spends 18 years on experiment that goes to Saturn, somebody
> forgets to turn it on:

Even Novices and No-Code Techs know to turn the radio on!
73

Steve, K4YZ

701. Re: K1MAN snags another naive recruit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 14:45:51 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <zA8Id.20120$EG1.10290@attbi_s53>
Source record: 102218

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41e9d9d4$1_1@127.0.0.1...
>
> "Roscoe P. Phartgassz" <cwdeanarer0@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c8e90$41e89a5d$d1cc79f8$23743@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >
> > "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
> > news:lFGEd.3880$Ii4.3789@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > Seen at N9OGL's "blog".
> > > This ought to be a fun.
> >
> > ...either a newbie that don't know about
> > the past amusing exploits of the 'Boy Broadcaster'
> > from the Icebox State, or it's just another old 14.313 veteran
> > wishing for the good ole days of the Herbie Baby
> > Phone Patch Wars and/or the Mike & Judy Show
> > with ole Raincoat Charlie.(bless him R.C. and the "BARF
> > Cannonball Song" - remember that one folks ? :)
> >
>
>
> No, I'm not a newbie and I am somewhat aware of his past exploits and no,
> I'm not from the 14.313 group. But if his wishes to have me on his program
> then I'll be more then happy to be on there.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

FCC Database:
Call Sign K1MAN Radio Service HA -
Dates Grant 10/17/1995 Expiration 10/17/2005

Perhaps October 17 should be a special ham holiday. Call it the 14275
freedom day?

ak

702. FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 15:54:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106322884.751029.157910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102219

http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6852019/

73 de Jim, N2EY

703. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 16:52:23 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <braId.1677$YD5.1658@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102220

```
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1106314676.312170.255520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
:
: bb wrote:
: > K4YZ wrote:
: > > bb wrote:
: > > > """
: > > > Brian, we are going to have to get you to learn how to quote the
: > > > person you are replying to on the new Google.
: > > >
: > > > Your "replies", without some sort of background as to their
: intent
: > > > and purpose, are meaningless.
: > > >
: > > > 73
: > > >
: > > > Steve, K4YZ
: > > > """
: > > >
: > > > Steve, I am able to view the thread as a "tree" in google. When
: > > doing
: > > > so it becomes painfully obvious who is responding to whom.
: > Besides,
: > > > most of what is posted here is meaningless.
: > >
: > > Meaningless or not, it's still a bit confusing to tell who's
: > > responding to who/what/why, etc.
: > >
: > > I found the way...I find that when you first sign in and "repond"
: > > to a post in Google, it shows the responded-to post. However if
: you
: > > try to go directly to another repsonse, it won't do it.
: > >
: > > I just hit the "sign out" link, then re-enter...A bit laborious,
: > > but it allows others to see in what context I am responding. Even
: > when
: > > it's meaningless! =)
: > >
: > > 73
: > >
: > > Steve, K4YZ
: >
: > Each posting in google has a "reply" button below it. Using this
: reply
```

: > button doesn't quote text. Above in the header is a "show options"
: > button. When I invoke the options, there is an identical "reply"
: > button. This reply does quote text, but I don't always remember to
: go
: > there first.
:
: I do! That's why anyone who cares to follow doesn't have to go
: find the flow tree to keep up with the conversation.
:
: 73
:
: Steve, K4YZ
:

What conversation?

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

704. Re: Hooray Hooray, FCC Chairman Resigns!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 17:36:23 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <r4bId.19561$IV5.8530@attbi_s54>
Source record: 102221

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1106322884.751029.157910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6852019/
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

http://apnews.myway.com/article/20050121/D87OIUJG0.html
Jan 21, 11:35 AM (ET)
By TED BRIDIS
(AP) Federal Communications Commission Chairman Michael Powell speaks at
the National Press Club in...
. . .
"Powell, a champion of deregulation who critics say is too pro-big business,
rose from commissioner to chairman when Bush took office in 2001. His term
was to run until 2007."
. . .
Powell led the Republican-dominated FCC in easing decades-old rules
governing ownership of newspapers and television and radio stations.
. . .
- - - - - - -
No mention was made of his selling out to the power companies in support of
BPL.
Now, can anyone worse be nominated for FCC Chairman?

ak

705. Re: How High Will Helium Baloons Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 17:39:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41F13E5C.2050906@psu.edu>
Source record: 102222

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Looks like somebody cottoned on to what some hams are doing:
>>>http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6843771
>>
>>
>> Quick! Someone tell them it won't work!! ;^)
>
>
> I'll pass on your troll for now Michael. But I *will* be back later . .
> bank it.
>

I'm trolling when I answer Jim's email? I stopped discussing the matter
in this group.

- Mike KB3EIA -

706. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 17:51:31 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41F14123.10203@psu.edu>
Source record: 102224

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6852019/
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

I hear Jimmy Swaggart is next in line.....;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

707. Powells leaving now you FREE Loading CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 17:55:02 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050121125502.10954.00000177@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 102223

can say so long to the FREE HAM TICKETS

708. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 18:05:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106330753.418735.66680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102225

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6852019/
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> I hear Jimmy Swaggart is next in line.....;^)
>
Eric Idle has a song about the FCC. 3.1 MB download:

WARNING! CONTAINS STRONG LANGUAGE AND ENOUGH POLITICAL CONTENT TO
OFFEND ALMOST EVERYONE!

http://www.pythonline.com/plugs/idle/index.shtml
click the download link

73 de Jim, N2EY

709. Re: Powells leaving now you FREE Loading CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 18:16:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106331392.115354.61970@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102226

WA8ULX wrote:
> can say so long to the FREE HAM TICKETS

Well, let's see.....

"Secret" tests replaced by VE system and published polls:
1983-1984, Reagan administration.

Element 3 split into 3A and 3B sections: 1987, Reagan Administration

"Medical" code test waivers created at the request of a foreign King:
1990, Papa Bush Administration.

Technician loses its code test: 1991, Papa Bush Administration

Restructuring closes off three of six license classes, reduces code
testing to 5 wpm and written testing: 2000, Clinton Administration

S25.5 code test requirement made optional: 2003, current Bush
administration.

Changes to license test requirements since current Bush administration:
None. (but we did get BPL)

710. Re: Powells leaving now you FREE Loading CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 18:21:38 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <SKbId.1797$YD5.984@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102227

Oh, good! Also, maybe wardrobe malfunctions be legalized again?

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

711. Re: Five Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 20:23:18 UTC
From: skinshedder <dayeveeeed4@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dd6e3$41f164fb$d1cc7ae2$3248@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 102228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1106155559.779968.296620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/6d38aef5bc8a1830?dmode=so
urce
>

...from the above

"When 99-412 goes into real restructuring in April, it
won't change some of those tenured old men of US
ham radio. They will be bitter, boastful, and express
contempt of newcomers, some of which will have the
exact same government-granted rank/status/privilege
as all those old men who claimed they "worked" for
theirs. Human nature doesn't change. Neither have
they."

Lenny my boy, all things 'ham radio' move like
maple syrup in Maine in February. This is as
much a constant of life issues as is Ohm's Law
and Income Taxes. I still hear hams on HF
outgassing about crap from 20 years ago as
if it happened yesterday. (This is known also as
the S.O.S.D.D. Principal - also common to other
"hobbies" like Model Trains, Golf, Equestrian
competitions and all-male Country Clubs)
What else can we say, it's human nature in all
of it's humorous facets. Always good for a
laugh. The Jerry Springer Show on the street
and in the waiting room.

Tell ja what.....save this post for 5 Years
and at that time, I'll bet you 6 dozen Dunkin Donuts
(and I'll buy!) that the same bunch of dirty old men are still
sending each other dirty nudie pics to each other
via Slo-Scan on HF and dumping a handfull of
pennies into the kitty at the club meeting then
taking 2 or 3 doughnuts and 2 refills of coffee.
(....assuming they put anything $$$ at all in the
kitty...the damm chain-smoking cheap bastards!)

xoxo
Pete the Wholesale Tea Man in Rochelle Park :)

712. Re: The Space Business Has Its Problems Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 20:35:45 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <BIdId.3170$cZ1.2843@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102229

>
>
> Prof spends 18 years on experiment that goes to Saturn, somebody
> forgets to turn it on:
>

Not the first time someone screwed up. Seems that weeks after
Voyager 2 was launched it was expecting messages from JPL.
Hearing nothing, it figured that its primary command
receiver was broken, and switched to a backup receiver.
Well, the problem was that the expected message was never
sent. There was a risk that if they allow Voyager to switch
back to the primary receiver the switch circuit might break
and then become completely deaf. Later the backup receiver's
automatic frequency control circuit fails (a filter loop cap
shorted to ground it seems).

But space probes are designed to still be able to work and
get at least some data back if some screwups happen.

713. This weekend on the N9OGL Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 21:06:52 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f09bb2$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102197

On this weekend show.
This weekend on the N9OGL show...

The death of Amateur Radio

and

The FCC: Do they REALLY serve the public interest

This weekend on 28.555 MHz at 6.00 PM and 9.00PM CST

Todd N9OGL

714. Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 22:37:13 UTC
From: thebigbiker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <259623f9.0501211437.1b7e49a8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 102230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Hello fellow motorists & ham radio operators.

Here is a piece of legislation that is of interest to both of our communities. It is House Bill 1045 that has been passed by the House Judiciary Committee as of yesterday (1/20).

The Definition of "Radar Jamming Device" is pretty broad.

Here is is below:

(2) FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, "RADAR JAMMING DEVICE"MEANS ANY ACTIVE OR PASSIVE DEVICE, INSTRUMENT, MECHANISM, OR EQUIPMENT THAT IS DESIGNED OR INTENDED TO INTERFERE WITH, DISRUPT, OR SCRAMBLE THE RADAR OR LASER THAT IS USED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND PEACE OFFICERS TO MEASURE THE SPEED OF MOTOR VEHICLES. "RADAR JAMMING DEVICE" INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO DEVICES COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS "JAMMERS" OR "SCRAMBLERS".

Passive device can be interpreted pretty broadly especially used in conjunction with the word "disrupt". A solid black car without its front tag could be a passive device that would jam laser signals as defined from part 2. How about a radar detector ? Usage of a radar detector would basically cause you to slow down and interfere with a LEO's chance to extract revenue from you since when he measures your speed, you are going the limit. Sounds far fetched ? Laws are known to be liberally (sic) interpreted in the gov't favor.

For the Ham Radio community. Think about, you are driving down the road, ragchewing with someone on the 2 meter. You happen to drive by a CSP speed trap or even better yet, Fairplay PD speed trap. The officer did not get a speed on you but notices the array of antennas on your vehicle. He decides to pull you over and do a little inquiry. As defined in section 3, your 2 meter rig is confiscated by the officer. Well, guess what, you get spend some money to get your rig back if you get it back. If you do, what kind of condition will it be in. They would have no incentive to take care of the property while in their "care".

Here is Section 3.

(3) RADAR JAMMING DEVICES ARE SUBJECT TO SEIZURE BY ANY PEACE OFFICER AND MAY BE CONFISCATED AND DESTROYED BY ORDER OF THE COURT IN WHICH A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION IS CHARGED.

This is bad legislation.

Please contact your "representative" or senator at
http://www.leg.state.co.us

715. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 23:33:35 UTC
From: "James C. Reeves" <jcnospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <brWdnd6LK8TTDGzcRVn-2w@comcast.com>
Source record: 102232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

You missed the "...designed or intended to..." part. So your example of a black car without it's front tag is neither designed or intended to jam laser signals. It's just a car with it's front tag missing (which probably is illegal all by itself)

Additionally, a radar detector does not jam or scramble radar signals either...it only tells the occupant that there there. So that doesn't apply.

A HAM radio rig also does not fall into the category of "designed or intended" either. Even if it did scramble the radar signal, it's purpose is not "designed or intended" to do so, so doesn't fall into that category.

The code reads clearly to me. Hopefully the cop that is applying the law has better comprehension as to what the law says than you do!

"The Big Biker" <thebigbiker@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:259623f9.0501211437.1b7e49a8@posting.google.com...
> Hello fellow motorists & ham radio operators.
>
> Here is a piece of legislation that is of interest to both of our
> communities. It is House Bill 1045 that has been passed by the House
> Judiciary Committee as of yesterday (1/20).
>
> The Definition of "Radar Jamming Device" is pretty broad.
>
> Here is is below:
>
> (2) FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, "RADAR JAMMING DEVICE"MEANS ANY
> ACTIVE OR PASSIVE DEVICE, INSTRUMENT, MECHANISM, OR EQUIPMENT THAT IS
> DESIGNED OR INTENDED TO INTERFERE WITH, DISRUPT, OR SCRAMBLE THE RADAR
> OR LASER THAT IS USED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND PEACE OFFICERS
> TO MEASURE THE SPEED OF MOTOR VEHICLES. "RADAR JAMMING DEVICE"
> INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO DEVICES COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS
> "JAMMERS" OR "SCRAMBLERS".
>
> Passive device can be interpreted pretty broadly especially used in
> conjunction with the word "disrupt". A solid black car without its
> front tag could be a passive device that would jam laser signals as
> defined from part 2. How about a radar detector ? Usage of a radar
> detector would basically cause you to slow down and interfere with a
> LEO's chance to extract revenue from you since when he measures your
> speed, you are going the limit. Sounds far fetched ? Laws are known to
> be liberally (sic) interpreted in the gov't favor.

>
> For the Ham Radio community. Think about, you are driving down the
> road, ragchewing with someone on the 2 meter. You happen to drive by a
> CSP speed trap or even better yet, Fairplay PD speed trap. The officer
> did not get a speed on you but notices the array of antennas on your
> vehicle. He decides to pull you over and do a little inquiry. As
> defined in section 3, your 2 meter rig is confiscated by the officer.
> Well, guess what, you get spend some money to get your rig back if you
> get it back. If you do, what kind of condition will it be in. They
> would have no incentive to take care of the property while in their
> "care".
>
> Here is Section 3.
>
> (3) RADAR JAMMING DEVICES ARE SUBJECT TO SEIZURE BY ANY PEACE OFFICER
> AND MAY BE CONFISCATED AND DESTROYED BY ORDER OF THE COURT IN WHICH A
> VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION IS CHARGED.
>
> This is bad legislation.
>
> Please contact your "representative" or senator at
> http://www.leg.state.co.us

716. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 23:35:51 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <rlgId.2033$r27.1884@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"The Big Biker" <thebigbiker@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:259623f9.0501211437.1b7e49a8@posting.google.com...
:
: (2) FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, "RADAR JAMMING DEVICE"MEANS ANY
: ACTIVE OR PASSIVE DEVICE, INSTRUMENT, MECHANISM, OR EQUIPMENT THAT IS
: DESIGNED OR INTENDED TO INTERFERE WITH, DISRUPT, OR SCRAMBLE THE RADAR
: OR LASER THAT IS USED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND PEACE OFFICERS
: TO MEASURE THE SPEED OF MOTOR VEHICLES. "RADAR JAMMING DEVICE"
: INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO DEVICES COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS
: "JAMMERS" OR "SCRAMBLERS".
:
: Passive device can be interpreted pretty broadly especially used in
: conjunction with the word "disrupt". A solid black car without its
: front tag could be a passive device that would jam laser signals as
: defined from part 2. How about a radar detector ? Usage of a radar
: detector would basically cause you to slow down and interfere with a
: LEO's chance to extract revenue from you since when he measures your
: speed, you are going the limit. Sounds far fetched ? Laws are known to
: be liberally (sic) interpreted in the gov't favor.

Key phrase here is "EQUIPMENT THAT IS DESIGNED OR INTENDED TO INTERFERE
WITH, DISRUPT, OR SCRAMBLE"

None of your paranoid ramblings are "DESIGNED OR INTENDED", thus there is no
case.

Similarly, a 2M radio is not a jamming device and would not be confiscated.

Perhaps you need protection from one of these

--->
http://molelog.molehill.org/blox/HowStuffWorks/Crap/TinfoilHat.writeback

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

717. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-21 23:45:15 UTC
From: skinshedder <dayeveeeed4@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5b986$41f1941a$d1cc5be4$9540@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 102233

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1106322884.751029.157910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6852019/
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

....but what people want to know is if there are
more bare titties shown at this Year's Super Bowl
haltime show, will the far right monkeys be rattling
the bars on their cages and throwing feces at the
fcc because they were 'forced' to see a tittie on national television.....?

(think about it a minute...they got all worked up
in a frothing lather when J.J. showed her bedazzled
breastie nipple on the Super Bowl halftime
show...but you didn't hear jack shi+ from joe sixpack
when the Abu Graib photos were released to the
news media.....GO FIGURE..... (grin)

718. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 00:39:42 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <2583v0h3lcpkfnvhojrgs3t7psb7egvpg2@4ax.com>
Source record: 102234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 18:33:35 -0500, "James C. Reeves"
<jcnospam@nospam.com> wrote:

>You missed the "...designed or intended to..." part. So your example of a
>black car without it's front tag is neither designed or intended to jam
>laser signals. It's just a car with it's front tag missing (which probably
>is illegal all by itself)

Except that at least two provinces don't have a front license plate.

Tony

719. RE:Steveo Beaten Badly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 00:58:31 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106355511.543133.121000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Something in my ass!!??

That makes it all worthwhile.I love fruits and veggies in my man-puss
love hole!

Steveo
moparholic@hotmail.com

720. AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 01:03:59 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106355839.621731.145790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102236
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but I'm not.I
have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only used it
to jerk off to.
I still think one day Doug will love me.
I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
I love you Doug and I always will.

Steveo
moparholic@hotmail.com

721. Re: RE:Steveo Beaten Badly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 01:18:31 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f1aa8e$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106355511.543133.121000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Something in my ass!!??
>
> That makes it all worthwhile.I love fruits and veggies in my man-puss
> love hole!
>
> Steveo
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
I stuffed a whole 30 pound pumpkin in my rectum once. I have a large rear
end.

722. Re: A poll of lurkers (was Len's Apology)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 01:30:22 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <71v2v09lcopd1fn7jjgekbv0mhhfpott5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 102240

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 19:39:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Wow! Talk about speculation! How about it, lurking, never-posting
>readers of r.r.a.p? Let us know if you support the self-appointed
>advocate for morse code test removal of the group. Tell us if you
>believe his method is effective. Let us hear if you have been swayed by
>his posts.

To take the three questions in order...

1. Yes, I support the contention that the code test should be removed.

2. No, I do not believe that his method is effective. However, I also
basically believe that no other method would be any more effective
than his, which is one of the reasons why I'm mainly a lurker here and
rarely post.

3. No, I have not been swayed by his posts. In fact, I already
believed it was time to do away with code testing back in the
mid-1970's, which was twenty years before I ever discovered this
newsgroup and its various inhabitants.

John Kasupski, KC2HMZ
Tonawanda, New York

723. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 01:40:13 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Dr2dnRPbKclnM2zcRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102238

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6852019/
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>
>> I hear Jimmy Swaggart is next in line.....;^)
>>
>
> Eric Idle has a song about the FCC. 3.1 MB download:
>
> WARNING! CONTAINS STRONG LANGUAGE AND ENOUGH POLITICAL CONTENT TO
> OFFEND ALMOST EVERYONE!
>
> http://www.pythonline.com/plugs/idle/index.shtml
> click the download link

Now THAT is funny!

- Mike KB3EIA -

724. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 02:10:22 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106359822.445573.89940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102241

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >But you are a little too smug for most people's tastes.
> > > People
> > > > > > get
> > > > > > the
> > > > > > >impression that you think you're someone special.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > He is.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Thinks he is.
> > > > >
> > > > No, >>he<< doesn't.
> > > > >
> > > > But a lot of people worldwide who have benefitted from his
> > > > knowledge and skills do. ie: me.
> > > > >
> > > > 73
> > > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > Steve, you're free to worship in your own way.
> > >
> > You need to learn the difference between "worship" and
> > "appreciate", Brian.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Adore?
>
> I think that's between you and Dave, don't you...?!?!
> Steve, K4YZ

Adoration is close to worship. But I don't think that's what's between
Dave and me.

725. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 02:23:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106360591.776464.180180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102242

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>
> > I have that problem occaisionally with a bencher single paddle key
> > and increasing the spacing between the points and adding a little
more
> > spring tension solves the problem.
>
> I'll try that. There is an adjustment spring, but perhaps it is a
> little weak and should be replaced.
>
> > I like the contact spacing paper thin, but when it is too thin --
may cause
> > a double bounce.
> >
> > Yes Bencher does make a single paddle key -- looks like the Iambic
with two
> > paddles -- but functions as a single paddle key.
>
> That sort of key sounds logical to me. Although I'm starting to
"get"
> the Iambic, it still seems a little strange. I should probable see if
I
> et a little better before switching again.
>
> Thanks, Mike KB3EIA -

Indeed they are strange. That's why I'm not big on lambics, but I do
enjoy a trappist on ocassion. My preferences lean toward wheats and
pales, and kolsch if I want a lager.

726. Re: Powells leaving now you FREE Loading CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 02:26:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106360788.000089.192580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102243

WA8ULX wrote:
> can say so long to the FREE HAM TICKETS
Bruce, then its a good thing you got in before the door slammed shut.

727. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 02:30:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106361042.284735.209550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102244

skinshedder wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1106322884.751029.157910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6852019/
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> ....but what people want to know is if there are
> more bare titties shown at this Year's Super Bowl
> haltime show, will the far right monkeys be rattling
> the bars on their cages and throwing feces at the
> fcc because they were 'forced' to see a tittie on national
television.....?
>
> (think about it a minute...they got all worked up
> in a frothing lather when J.J. showed her bedazzled
> breastie nipple on the Super Bowl halftime
> show...but you didn't hear jack shi+ from joe sixpack
> when the Abu Graib photos were released to the
> news media..........GO FIGURE..... (grin)

Dan Rather's handler is the one that got the photo's and released them
to Al Jazeer. Once they broke the story, she ran them on CBS.

728. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 02:35:41 UTC
From: "Daniel J. Stern" <dastern@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.58.0501212135200.5928@alumni.engin.umich.edu>
Source record: 102245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Sat, 22 Jan 2005, Tony VE6MVP wrote:

> Except that at least two provinces don't have a front license plate.

...but Colorado does, and that's where the law is proposed. What's your point?

729. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 02:44:04 UTC
From: kingfish <spam_trap_void@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <U5jId.1500$rc4.945@fe07.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 102246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

the best way to combat technology is with technology.

that's what's happened to radar and laser. Time for law enforcement to come up with new technology, not a new law.

that's what happens when the hunter gets captured by the game.

730. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 02:48:52 UTC
From: Voice of freedom <VoiceFreedom@freedom.naa>
Message-ID: <Xns95E5C9B38CB0DVoiceFreedomfreedomn@206.124.0.13>
Source record: 102248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"kingfish" <spam_trap_void@yahoo.com> wrote :

> the best way to combat technology is with technology.
>
> that's what's happened to radar and laser. Time for law enforcement to
> come up with new technology, not a new law.
>
> that's what happens when the hunter gets captured by the game.
>

Not even. This country is rapidly becoming a totalitarian police state, and
for what? It won't make us safer, all it will do is allow the government to
fleece us like sheep for bucks, because we went 5 MPH over the speed limit.

--
A Voice Of Freedom in the
United States of America

731. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 02:48:57 UTC
From: "Dave C." <mdupre@sff.net>
Message-ID: <35dt8pF4jjbjmU1@individual.net>
Source record: 102247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"kingfish" <spam_trap_void@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:U5jId.1500$rc4.945@fe07.usenetserver.com...
> the best way to combat technology is with technology.
>
> that's what's happened to radar and laser. Time for law enforcement to
> come up with new technology, not a new law.
>
> that's what happens when the hunter gets captured by the game.

The cops already have all the technology they need. Those cruisers they
drive are plenty strong enough to mow down all the speed limit signs. -Dave

732. Re: How High Will Helium Baloons Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 03:00:59 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1106362859.906932.325310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102249

Mike Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Looks like somebody cottoned on to what some hams are doing:
> >>>http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6843771
> >>
> >>
> >> Quick! Someone tell them it won't work!! ;^)
> >
> >
> > I'll pass on your troll for now Michael. But I *will* be back later
. .
> > bank it.
> >
>
>
> I'm trolling when I answer Jim's email?

This ain't an e-mail circuit.

> I stopped discussing the matter
> in this group.

Uhh . . why . . ?

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

733. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 03:05:13 UTC
From: tetraethylleadREMOVETHIS@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <VI2dndaB-750X2zcRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 102300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In article <brWdnd6LK8TTDGzcRVn-2w@comcast.com>, James C. Reeves wrote:
> You missed the "...designed or intended to..." part. So your example of a
> black car without it's front tag is neither designed or intended to jam
> laser signals. It's just a car with it's front tag missing (which probably
> is illegal all by itself)

The problem with intent, is that it is an interpetation initially made on the spot by the officer. I am sure you'll be able to argue successfully in court at a cost in dollars and time that some device or some object or lack there of in/on your vehicle wasn't there with design or intent to defeat a police taxation device.

> Additionally, a radar detector does not jam or scramble radar signals
> either...it only tells the occupant that there there. So that doesn't
> apply.

Passive devices don't scramble or jam. A radar detector is a passive device. It listens, it does not broadcast. (if designed correctly) It's about how one interpets the legislation, which is the OP's point.

734. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 03:08:11 UTC
From: tetraethylleadREMOVETHIS@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <VI2dndGB-74GXmzcRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 102301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In article <rlgId.2033$r27.1884@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> Key phrase here is "EQUIPMENT THAT IS DESIGNED OR INTENDED TO INTERFERE
> WITH, DISRUPT, OR SCRAMBLE"
>
> None of your paranoid ramblings are "DESIGNED OR INTENDED", thus there is no
> case.

And yet we have all these cases where people who weren't drug dealers
lost the cash they were carrying because an officer felt it might be drug
money.

735. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 03:39:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <oeWdnSE9FJ9LV2zcRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102302

Mike Coslo wrote:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>> Eric Idle has a song about the FCC. 3.1 MB download:
>>
>> WARNING! CONTAINS STRONG LANGUAGE AND ENOUGH POLITICAL CONTENT TO
>> OFFEND ALMOST EVERYONE!
>>
>> http://www.pythonline.com/plugs/idle/index.shtml
>> click the download link
>
>
> Now THAT is funny!

Bad form I suppose, to reply to my own post, but this whole thing got me to thinking.

The ol' law of unintended consequences is catching up with the F.C.C.

The Janet Jackson "wardrobe malfunction" was certainly bad enough. That we should have been annoyed and disgusted is not an argument. There is a place for stuff like that, and it isn't a superbowl halftime show.

But in typical republicanesque overreaching fashion, the F.C.C. decided to overreach, just like in the early '90's.

They could have fined CBS a reasonable amount of money, which would have prompted them to be sure to clean up their act. Instead, they speak of fining every station that carries an offending signal, and threatening all manner of dire consequences on those who would scoff public decency. As well as fining CBS the better part of a megabuck.

The evil Howard Stern even gets his butt fired from Clear Channel.

While this may please some with how Tough we can get on these evil sinners, what is the consequence?

Howard now has a show on satellite radio. While his show has always been an unmitigated piece of crap, he is now under even less constraints.

Next, the draconian fines that may be levied on stations that carry obscene language or visuals is causing stations to be very cautious about what they carry. Cases in point are stations that would not air Saving Private Ryan. Whether you think that was a political stunt or not, the pervious time that the movie was shown, there *were* a lot of complaints about the language. What is a prudent company to do?

So now we have people making nasty little songs ridiculing the F.C.C.
on the internet, and there is talk of more television stations making
the switch to paid tv or pay per view instead of the old free tv paradigm.

Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network television. I
watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the networks. THe
only other TV I watch is on the History channel.

I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR, I'm
listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm not the
only one either.

The unintended consequence? The F.C.C. is unintentionally providing a
powerful incentive for stations to move to venues that the F.C.C. does
not have jurisdiction over, and therefore failing to accomplish their
goal. They may clean up what is left of the "public" airways, but there
won't be many people listening.

TLOUC's in action!

- Mike KB3EIA -

736. Re: How High Will Helium Baloons Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 03:50:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7ZCdnSOXVujlUGzcRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102303

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Looks like somebody cottoned on to what some hams are doing:
>>>>>http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6843771
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Quick! Someone tell them it won't work!! ;^)
>>>
>>>
>>>I'll pass on your troll for now Michael. But I *will* be back later
>
> . .
>
>>>bank it.
>>>
>>
>>
>> I'm trolling when I answer Jim's email?
>
>
> This ain't an e-mail circuit.
>
>
>>I stopped discussing the matter
>>in this group.
>
>
> Uhh . . why . . ?

I'll answer this one, but let me bow out of any more.

There just wasn't much reason to continue the discussions. Whereas I wanted some discussion about possibilities, a lot of what I got was in the form of how things that were being done on a regular basis -

couldn't be done.

So what do I do? An awful lot of people might get sarcastic and snide about it, but I prefer not to. Sometimes discretion is gritting your teeth and moving on.

If I launch a balloon, and it goes to 50 Kfeet, then I suppose it means I'm a failure, and if I report a 100Kfeet launch and successful retrieval, I guess I'm rubbing it in.

Obviously it was naivety for me to expect a response other than what I received, don't you agree? 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

737. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 04:03:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <hpmdnQgS57DpTWzcRVn-2g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102304

bb wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Caveat Lector wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I have that problem occaisionally with a bencher single paddle key
>>>and increasing the spacing between the points and adding a little
>
> more
>
>>>spring tension solves the problem.
>>
>> I'll try that. There is an adjustment spring, but perhaps it is a
>>little weak and should be replaced.
>>
>>
>>>I like the contact spacing paper thin, but when it is too thin --
>
> may cause
>
>>>a double bounce.
>>>
>>>Yes Bencher does make a single paddle key -- looks like the Iambic
>
> with two
>
>>>paddles -- but functions as a single paddle key.
>>
>> That sort of key sounds logical to me. Although I'm starting to
>
> "get"
>
>>the Iambic, it still seems a little strange. I should probable see if
>
> I
>
>>et a little better before switching again.
>>
>> Thanks, Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Indeed they are strange. That's why I'm not big on lambics, but I do

> enjoy a trappist on ocassion. My preferences lean toward wheats and
> pales, and kolsch if I want a lager.

I must confess to a weakness for the Chimay line. I was working at MIT offsite a few years back, and there was a wonderful restaurant in Cambrige Square - The Wurst House - where we would go for dinner often. We'd often split a bottle, one per two people. Unfortunately, the Wurst house is gone...8^(

Otherwise, I like a good wheat such as Franziskaner. A Kolsch is also enjoyed.

I haven't made a pale in a while, in fact the first one I did was an accident. I something like tripled the amount of hops in a brew I made. Almost threw the batch away after the first swig. By the time I was finished with the batch, I was a true believer in Hops!

I also brewed my first successful wheat beer this fall. I am pretty pleased with it. Light and a good bit of flavor at the same time. A partial mash.

If you ever do make it to Dayton, we should exchange a few bottles.

- Mike KB3EIA -

738. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 04:04:48 UTC
From: "James C. Reeves" <jcnospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <tvCdneiFx8F8TWzcRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 102305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Brent P" <tetraethylleadREMOVETHIS@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:VI2dndaB-750X2zcRVn-3A@comcast.com...
> In article <brWdnd6LK8TTDGzcRVn-2w@comcast.com>, James C. Reeves wrote:
>> You missed the "...designed or intended to..." part. So your example of
>> a
>> black car without it's front tag is neither designed or intended to jam
>> laser signals. It's just a car with it's front tag missing (which
>> probably
>> is illegal all by itself)
>
> The problem with intent, is that it is an interpetation initially made on
> the spot by the officer. I am sure you'll be able to argue successfully
> in court at a cost in dollars and time that some device or some object or
> lack there of in/on your vehicle wasn't there with design or intent to
> defeat a police taxation device.
>
>> Additionally, a radar detector does not jam or scramble radar signals
>> either...it only tells the occupant that there there. So that doesn't
>> apply.
>
> Passive devices don't scramble or jam. A radar detector is a passive
> device. It listens, it does not broadcast. (if designed correctly) It's
> about how one interpets the legislation, which is the OP's point.
>
>

Nothing to interpret. A radar detector is not "designed or intended" to
"scramble" radar signals. Neither is a missing tag. The wording is as
clear as it can be. It seems to me that the problem here is not
"interpretation", but "comprehension".

739. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 04:08:02 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iap1te3.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102306

On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 22:39:04 -0500, Mike Coslo wrote:

>They could have fined CBS a reasonable amount of money, which would
>have prompted them to be sure to clean up their act. Instead, they speak
>of fining every station that carries an offending signal, and
>threatening all manner of dire consequences on those who would scoff
>public decency. As well as fining CBS the better part of a megabuck.

As may have been mentioned before, the FCC does not have a "hook" on
a network or program provider, only on stations which are licensees
or are transmitting signals without a license.

The "CBS" which was hit by the forfeiture was the Owned and Operated
(O&O) stations. Failure to levy a penalty on the non-O&Os would give
rise to a defense claim of selective enforcement, which wouldn't
stand up but would stir up the pot and cost the Feds more than the
amount taken in as penalties. Some organizations would do that just
in spite.

Frankly, anything less than the big bucks penalties would be ignored
as the equivalent of a mosquito bite and/or paid out and ignored as
"the cost of doing business".

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

740. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 04:38:37 UTC
From: tetraethylleadREMOVETHIS@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <25udnRh0QdhQRWzcRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 102307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In article <tvCdneiFx8F8TWzcRVn-tQ@comcast.com>, James C. Reeves wrote:

> Nothing to interpret. A radar detector is not "designed or intended" to
> "scramble" radar signals. Neither is a missing tag. The wording is as
> clear as it can be. It seems to me that the problem here is not
> "interpretation", but "comprehension".

INTENDED TO INTERFERE WITH, DISRUPT, OR SCRAMBLE

One could argue the radar detector does interfere with police usage of
the device. Take out the words PASSIVE and INTERFERE WITH and the worries
go away.

741. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 05:28:34 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <1vo3v0dikte46imgvh8k2m8s21689et5ut@4ax.com>
Source record: 102308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 21:35:41 -0500, "Daniel J. Stern"
<dastern@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>> Except that at least two provinces don't have a front license plate.
>
>...but Colorado does, and that's where the law is proposed. What's your
>point?

My point being, umm, I dunno now. Oh yeah, missing a front license
plate isn't illegal in Alberta and Quebec.

Thus that nice reflective surface for the laser to work doesn't exist
for us.

Trouble is I've gotten stopped at checkpoints, at the same location
three consecutive nights while in British Columbia, just because I
didn't have a front license plate. They'd take one look while walking
to my vehicle and tell me to pull over while they checked my papers.

Tony

742. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 05:47:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <45KdnTYIINlFdGzcRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102309

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6852019/
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Wonder which BPL intity he will wind up with in a nice cushy high paying job as his reward for pushing BPL?

743. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 05:53:58 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <45KdnTElINn7dmzcRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Voice of freedom wrote:

> "kingfish" <spam_trap_void@yahoo.com> wrote :
>
>
>>the best way to combat technology is with technology.
>>
>>that's what's happened to radar and laser. Time for law enforcement to
>>come up with new technology, not a new law.
>>
>>that's what happens when the hunter gets captured by the game.
>>
>
>
> Not even. This country is rapidly becoming a totalitarian police state, and
> for what? It won't make us safer, all it will do is allow the government to
> fleece us like sheep for bucks, because we went 5 MPH over the speed limit.
>

So why are you going 5 mph over the speed limit?

744. Re: FCC Chairman to Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 06:31:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050122013125.24384.00000052@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 102312

In article <oeWdnSE9FJ9LV2zcRVn-hA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network television. I
>watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the networks. THe
>only other TV I watch is on the History channel.
>
> I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR, I'm
>listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm not the
>only one either.

Just tune in to ARRL channel. That will tell you ALL the news
you need to hear.

Keep that brain washed pure and proper...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 22 Jan 05
LenAnderson@ieee.org

745. Re: A poll of lurkers (was Len's Apology)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 06:31:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050122013126.24384.00000053@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 102311

In article <41F00895.CFF36A1F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>All licensed radio amateurs; Techs, Generals and Extras; are your
>superiors in that they have been issued amateur radio licenses.

I present my posterior to your superior... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted 22 Jan 05
LenAnderson@ieee.org

746. Re: The Space Business Has Its Problems Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 07:23:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050122022315.29181.00000031@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 102313

In article <1106315113.589334.225600@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
N2EY@AOL.COM writes:

>Communications satellite fails:
>
>http://www.news.com.au/common/story_page/0,4057,11986013^401,00.html
>
>http://www.spacetoday.net/Summary/2750
>
>
>Prof spends 18 years on experiment that goes to Saturn, somebody
>forgets to turn it on:

Oh, my, Professor Goddard, WHAT would you have the Euro space
folks DO?

Lend them a hand with your vast spacecraft experience! [even the
half-vast will do because you have so much of it]

Tsk. Did the Nun of the Above fail to read the latest IEEE
Spectrum? The one featuring the Gravity Probe B instrumentation
and successful on-going flight? They have the world's most
precision gyroscopes on board.

No? Aren't you a working professional in electronics engineering?
The SPECTRUM is the membership magazine of the IEEE, the
Institute for Electrical and Electronic Engineers. I read it free as
I am a Life Member of the IEEE (Life Members of IEEE don't have
to pay any dues or any one-time charges).

Well, then, you must not have seen the well-publicized pictures
of the Huygens. They were in the major newspapers.

>http://apnews.myway.com//article/20050120/D87O3NAO0.html
>"Nobody's Perfect!"

So, you "write off" yet-another spectacular space probe because
one part of it did not function correctly? Tsk. What do you have
to say of the TWO Mars Rovers still exploring the Martian surface?
I'd say that NASA is doing splendidly in the so-far-longest-delay
telecommand "radio control" of those two rovers. You don't say
squat.

Are you pissed that NASA doesn't use "CW" for radio comms?
Is that it?

Maybe you should instruct them to use a microphone in series with a transmitter lead line, like your idle, Reggie Fessenden. Gosh, what a clever method. Was that AM method adopted by anyone else for voice transmission? No? How could they fail to do so? Everyone else must be stupid, right? You tellum.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted 22 Jan 05
LenAnderson@ieee.org

747. Re: A poll of lurkers (was Len's Apology)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 07:23:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050122022317.29181.00000032@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 102314

In article <71v2v09lcopd1fn7jjgekbv0mhhfpott5b@4ax.com>, John Kasupski
<kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:

>On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 19:39:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Wow! Talk about speculation! How about it, lurking, never-posting
>>readers of r.r.a.p? Let us know if you support the self-appointed
>>advocate for morse code test removal of the group. Tell us if you
>>believe his method is effective. Let us hear if you have been swayed by
>>his posts.

Hello John. :-)

The heavenly fodder wishes to both troll and make nasty to another
in his "asking of questions."

>To take the three questions in order...
>
>1. Yes, I support the contention that the code test should be removed.

I say Good on You!

>2. No, I do not believe that his method is effective. However, I also
>basically believe that no other method would be any more effective
>than his, which is one of the reasons why I'm mainly a lurker here and
>rarely post.

"Effective," e-schmective...

Da heavenly fodder hasn't come close to being effective in doing
anything but reinforcing his image of the prussian offizier busy
mouthing the party line of the Church of St. Hiram.

>3. No, I have not been swayed by his posts. In fact, I already
>believed it was time to do away with code testing back in the
>mid-1970's, which was twenty years before I ever discovered this
>newsgroup and its various inhabitants.

The same for me but a bit earlier....like two decades before.

Back when I volunteered for U.S. Army duty, I really believed
that "radio expertise" required morsemanship skills. Lucking
out in being assigned to a large Army HF communications
station - and finding out they did NOT use a bit of morse code
anywhere on HF - I learned better. [that was in the 1950s]

Perhaps an oddity, the OIC (Officer in Charge) of ADA trans-
mitters, Capt. William Boss (apt surname) was a ham and the
maintenance NCOIC had both radiotelephone and radiotelegraph
commercial operator licenses in addition to an amateur radio
license.

Oh, yes, such "isn't about ham radio, is it" as some say,
especially those who have never served their country in the
military or, if they did, never did any REAL communications
duty at a large HF station. [the Angle of Dearth is one of those]

War stories of military days seem to fall into two categories in
here: Fanciful, non-detailed braggadoccio or sea-going "radio
room adventures." Nothing wrong with the latter as far as I'm
concerned, but they seldom have 30+ high-power HF transmitters
working at the same time on a ship, not even on a carrier.

A half-dozen teleprinters in a large radio room with steel walls,
deck, and overhead do indeed make a racket as Jim Hampton
wrote. Wait until you get 200+ teleprinters working in a medium-
sized torn-tape relay room. Now, THAT is NOISE...and that is
how it was on the 2nd floor of the Chuo Kogyo Control for Army
station ADA...and ADA was only the 3rd largest Army station at
the time. :-)

That was a half century ago in my experience. Since then, I've
never encountered any civilian, commercial, or government/military
station that used or required morse code mode communications...
other than some amateurs and a few ships. A decade ago, the
major communications modes for ships was voice, by VHF or
SSB, or by data-teleprinter.

The pro-code-test-advocates (PCTA) seem to think that morseman-
ship is soooooo necessary that the FCC *must* test for it in order
to get a ham license for use below 30 MHz. Well, it may BE a
necessity...all those morsemen need to have playmates on their
little reserved slices of ham bands...their sandboxes aren't full yet
and more and more have signed off permanently. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 22 Jan 05
LenAnderson@ieee.org

748. Re: FCC Chairman to Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 08:00:27 UTC
From: FTIV <Do_Not_Reply@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PoudnSeUJf6GlW_cRVn-3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 102315

Screw the ARRL...I wonder how many years it will be before they declare bankruptcy?

"Len Anderson" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message news:20050122013125.24384.00000052@mb-m03.aol.com...
> In article <oeWdnSE9FJ9LV2zcRVn-hA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
> > Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network television. I
> >watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the networks. THe
> >only other TV I watch is on the History channel.
> >
> > I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR, I'm
> >listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm not the
> >only one either.
>
> Just tune in to ARRL channel. That will tell you ALL the news
> you need to hear.
>
> Keep that brain washed pure and proper...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Posted on 22 Jan 05
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

749. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 08:50:50 UTC
From: Bob Lutz <no-email@no_spam_please.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.01.22.08.50.48.774701@blutz>
Source record: 102316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 22:53:58 -0700, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> So why are you going 5 mph over the speed limit?

So that there is a minimum of impact when I am ran over by traffic doing
10+ or worse.

Dunno how it is where you are, but thats pretty much what a lot of people
do.

750. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 11:26:55 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106393215.694773.267820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102317
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Steveo wrote:
> The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but I'm
not.I
> have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only used
it
> to jerk off to.
> I still think one day Doug will love me.
> I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
> I love you Doug and I always will.
>
> Steveo
> moparholic@hotmail.com

Alas, you are doomed. Your proclaimed love is without meaning to
heterosexuals such as We, your Lords the AKC.

751. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 11:27:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1106393243.593838.293050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102318

Caveat Lector wrote:
> Most of the CW ops I know prefer the Iambic keys.
>
> I just spent many hours on a Navy straight key so kept with what felt

> comfortable.

Last year I sat in on a "huge gun" multi-op CW DX contest station operation for a few hours and somewhat to my amazement all positions were using single-paddle Kent keys. I thought for years that iambic paddles ruled. Wrong. Which got me to thinking . . .

I've been using "iambic" paddles since around 1965 when I built one out of a pair of back-to-back J-38 type straight keys. After all these years it's finally dawning on me that I've never done much if any real "squeeze keying", I'm still using paddles like I usta use bugs. So I plan to equip myself with a single paddle "electric bug" and give it a whirl.

Begs a question in my mind . . is real iambic squeeze keying actually more like mythology than it is fact? Have we been behaving like sheep or is it just us old farts who cut our teeth on bugs . . ?

> --
> Caveat Lector

w3rv

>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:jY6dnfs4XPGa_W3cRVn-ow@adelphia.com...
> > Caveat Lector wrote:
> >
> >> I have that problem occaisionally with a bencher single paddle key
> >> and increasing the spacing between the points and adding a little
more
> >> spring tension solves the problem.
> >
> > I'll try that. There is an adjustment spring, but perhaps it is a
little
> > weak and should be replaced.
> >
> >> I like the contact spacing paper thin, but when it is too thin --
may
> >> cause a double bounce.
> >>

> >> Yes Bencher does make a single paddle key -- looks like the Iambic
with
> >> two paddles -- but functions as a single paddle key.
> >
> > That sort of key sounds logical to me. Although I'm starting to
"get" the
> > Iambic, it still seems a little strange. I should probable see if I
et a
> > little better before switching again.
> >
> > Thanks, Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >

752. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 11:50:24 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106394624.934117.55420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102319
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but I'm
> not.I
> > have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only used
> it
> > to jerk off to.
> > I still think one day Doug will love me.
> > I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
> > I love you Doug and I always will.
> >
> > Steveo
> > moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> Alas, you are doomed. Your proclaimed love is without meaning to
> heterosexuals such as We, your Lords the AKC.

NO WAIT! You don't understand at all! All the time I made threats was all bullCrap! I made threats because my love was not being returned!

Listen please.....just for this time,OK?

The sex with Frank was only for fun, thats all. You can ask Frank.We both just wanted to have some fun.....no love, just fucking. We both feel that way. Landshark and Twistie have their thing going.....they asked ME to join in. It was just fucking and nothing more.I will give them all up for you! Listen to me!! I LOVE YOU!!!!!!!!!!!

I promise this. if you would just return my love I will change!Doug, I know you're straight. But PLEASE LISTEN!!!!!

I LOVE YOU AND ONLY YOU!

I will change, I promise! I'll get my license. You and me can talk on 2 meters together. We'll laugh at twistie and the rest of them. I WILL STOP THE KEYCLOWN GAMES!

We can talk on the repeaters and simplex together.I even rat out auctions! I WILL DO ANYTHING TO BE WITH YOU!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

753. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 12:17:39 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <DvrId.59557$Z%.40472@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 102320
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106394624.934117.55420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>> > The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but I'm
>> not.I
>> > have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only used
>> it
>> > to jerk off to.
>> > I still think one day Doug will love me.
>> > I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
>> > I love you Doug and I always will.
>> >
>> > Steveo
>> > moparholic@hotmail.com
>>
>> Alas, you are doomed. Your proclaimed love is without meaning to
>> heterosexuals such as We, your Lords the AKC.
>
> NO WAIT! You don't understand at all! All the time I made threats was
> all bullCrap! I made threats because my love was not being returned!
>
> Listen please.....just for this time,OK?
>
> The sex with Frank was only for fun, thats all. You can ask Frank.We
> both just wanted to have some fun.....no love, just fucking. We both
> feel that way. Landshark and Twistie have their thing going.....they
> asked ME to join in. It was just fucking and nothing more.I will give
> them all up for you! Listen to me!! I LOVE YOU!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> I promise this. if you would just return my love I will change!Doug, I
> know you're straight. But PLEASE LISTEN!!!!!
>
> I LOVE YOU AND ONLY YOU!
>
> I will change, I promise! I'll get my license. You and me can talk on 2
> meters together. We'll laugh at twistie and the rest of them. I WILL
> STOP THE KEYCLOWN GAMES!
>
> We can talk on the repeaters and simplex together.I even rat out
> auctions! I WILL DO ANYTHING TO BE WITH YOU!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

Damn Doug, N8WWM, you really have lost it haven't you? Staying up all night forging is testament to your fucked up mental status. Seen your kids lately?

754. Re: FCC Chairman to Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 13:45:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106401514.167891.154500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102321

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <oeWdnSE9FJ9LV2zcRVn-hA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
> > Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network television.
I
> >watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the networks.
THe
> >only other TV I watch is on the History channel.
> >
> > I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR, I'm
> >listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm not
the
> >only one either.
>
> Just tune in to ARRL channel. That will tell you ALL the news
> you need to hear.
>
> Keep that brain washed pure and proper...

I am sure there was a point to this, but, as usual, Lennie leaves
us with a sarcastic swipe and not quite all of his "points" are sharp.
Steve, K4YZ

755. Re: A poll of lurkers (was Len's Apology)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 13:56:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106402202.176139.185270@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102322

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <71v2v09lcopd1fn7jjgekbv0mhhfpott5b@4ax.com>, John
Kasupski
> <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:
>
> >On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 19:39:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>Wow! Talk about speculation! How about it, lurking, never-posting
> >>readers of r.r.a.p? Let us know if you support the self-appointed
> >>advocate for morse code test removal of the group. Tell us if you
> >>believe his method is effective. Let us hear if you have been
swayed by
> >>his posts.
>
> Hello John.
>
> The heavenly fodder wishes to both troll and make nasty to another
> in his "asking of questions."

Oh, not "nasty" at all.

You perceive yourself as Don Quixote. We're just interested in
seeing if your rants actually have any support or if you're just the
slovenly, bile spitting idiot we all think you are.

So far, it seems "not".

> >To take the three questions in order...
> >
> >1. Yes, I support the contention that the code test should be
removed.
>
> I say Good on You!

Boy...isn't THAT a surprise...?!?!

> >2. No, I do not believe that his method is effective. However, I
also
> >basically believe that no other method would be any more effective
> >than his, which is one of the reasons why I'm mainly a lurker here
and
> >rarely post.
>
> "Effective," e-schmective...
>

> Da heavenly fodder hasn't come close to being effective in doing
> anything but reinforcing his image of the prussian offizier busy
> mouthing the party line of the Church of St. Hiram.

Lennie's ineffectiveness as a cheerleader is pretty well summed up
in the character of that paragraph.

> >3. No, I have not been swayed by his posts. In fact, I already
> >believed it was time to do away with code testing back in the
> >mid-1970's, which was twenty years before I ever discovered this
> >newsgroup and its various inhabitants.
>
> The same for me but a bit earlier....like two decades before.

Uh huh...Was that before you allegedly learned code to 10 WPM or
after?

(I say it's yet another "LennieLie". I don't think you could tap
out SOS if it was etched in scars on your arms.

> Back when I volunteered for U.S. Army duty...(SNIP)

More of his "I Was A Rear Area Radio Clerk War Hero" rants.

> The pro-code-test-advocates (PCTA) seem to think that morseman-
> ship is soooooo necessary that the FCC *must* test for it in order
> to get a ham license for use below 30 MHz. Well, it may BE a
> necessity...all those morsemen need to have playmates on their
> little reserved slices of ham bands...their sandboxes aren't full
yet
> and more and more have signed off permanently.

And we hope and pray YOUR QRT date is just around the same corner!
Steve, K4YZ

756. Re: The Space Business Has Its Problems Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 14:02:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106402545.280115.261740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102323

Len Anderson wrote:

> Maybe you should instruct them to use a microphone in series
> with a transmitter lead line, like your idle, Reggie Fessenden.
> Gosh, what a clever method. Was that AM method adopted by
> anyone else for voice transmission? No? How could they fail to
> do so? Everyone else must be stupid, right? You tellum.

It's MY assertion that that was one of those satellites you
alleged to "have (your) hands in"...Was too lazy to find a trash can
for that dust pan you were carrying so you dumped it in the first place
you could brush it in to...

Lennie, how many of those NASA folks are beating down your door to
get you out of retirement to help them fix thier problems????
Steve, K4YZ

757. Re: FCC Chairman to Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 14:18:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <d76dnVTrwcZM_W_cRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102324

K4YZ wrote:
> Len Anderson wrote:
>
>>In article <oeWdnSE9FJ9LV2zcRVn-hA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>
>>
>>
>>> Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network television.
>
> I
>
>>>watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the networks.
>
> THe
>
>>>only other TV I watch is on the History channel.
>>>
>>> I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR, I'm
>>>listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm not
>
> the
>
>>>only one either.
>>
>> Just tune in to ARRL channel. That will tell you ALL the news
>> you need to hear.
>>
>> Keep that brain washed pure and proper...
>
>
> I am sure there was a point to this, but, as usual, Lennie leaves
> us with a sarcastic swipe and not quite all of his "points" are sharp.
> Steve, K4YZ

When you find a point, let me know.

- Mike KB3EIA -

758. Re: Five Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 14:21:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106403713.858441.14040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

skinshedder wrote:

>...the damm chain-smoking cheap bastards!)
>
> xoxo
> Pete the Wholesale Tea Man in Rochelle Park

Hey Pete...Your mommy said hurry home...Daddy's gonna be gone
ALLLLLLL night and she put clean sheets on the bed just for the two of
you!

Steve, K4YZ

759. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 14:21:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106403715.889581.281970@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102326

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>Caveat Lector wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>I have that problem occaisionally with a bencher single paddle key
> >>>>>and increasing the spacing between the points and adding a little
> >
> > more
> >
> >>>>>spring tension solves the problem.
> >>
> >> I'll try that. There is an adjustment spring, but perhaps it is a
> >>little weak and should be replaced.
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>I like the contact spacing paper thin, but when it is too thin --
> >
> > may cause
> >
> >>>>>a double bounce.
> >>>
> >>>>>Yes Bencher does make a single paddle key -- looks like the Iambic
> >
> > with two
> >
> >>>>>paddles -- but functions as a single paddle key.
> >>
> >> That sort of key sounds logical to me. Although I'm starting to
> >
> > "get"
> >
> >>the Iambic, it still seems a little strange. I should probable see
if
> >
> > I
> >
> >>et a little better before switching again.
> >>
> >> Thanks, Mike KB3EIA -
> >

> >
> > Indeed they are strange. That's why I'm not big on lambics, but I
do
> > enjoy a trappist on ocassion. My preferences lean toward wheats
and
> > pales, and kolsch if I want a lager.
>
> I must confess to a weakness for the Chimay line. I was working at
MIT
> offsite a few years back, and there was a wonderful restaurant in
> Cambrige Square - The Wurst House - where we would go for dinner
often.
> We'd often split a bottle, one per two people. Unfortunately, the
Wurst
> house is gone...8^(

Chimay is something special. I don't know how they came upon the "Red, White, and Blue" theme, but I like that too. For some reason I've retained about two cases of bottles in my garage for refill, but I'm not sure that I'll cork them. I believe that I can get a special european bottle cap that will fit them,

> Otherwise, I like a good wheat such as Franziskaner. A Kolsch is
also
> enjoyed.

Most of the wheats I've had are domestic with the exception of the few days I spent at Ramstein awaiting to go into Somalia.

> I haven't made a pale in a while, in fact the first one I did was an

> accident. I something like tripled the amount of hops in a brew I
made.
> Almost threw the batch away after the first swig. By the time I was
> finished with the batch, I was a true believer in Hops!

When I stick to noble hops and don't add them too late, i.e., after the last 15 minutes of the boil in my opinion, I can handle a lot of bitterness. I just get tired of all the overhopped, green grassy-tasting, APAs.

> I also brewed my first successful wheat beer this fall. I am pretty
> pleased with it. Light and a good bit of flavor at the same time. A
> partial mash.

Wheats and pales are my favorites, and wheats really hit the spot after cutting the grass. I've concentrated on wine making over the last 1.5 years, and my beer selection is non-existant. My son and I brewed up some "stone ale" with everything we had left over. It's still in the carboys.

> If you ever do make it to Dayton, we should exchange a few bottles.
>

> - Mike KB3EIA -

I'd like that.

Since moving to Ohio, I always have to play Dayton by ear. It always lands in my first spraying contract. I managed to get that weekend off last year because of a lack of overtime money. But then it coincided with a Scout campout. For me, April made it easier to get to.

Hey, if you want to bring me up to speed on your balloon project, drop me a note to my real email acount, myfirstandlastname@juno.com.

bb

760. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 14:38:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106404718.839759.20610@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102327

```
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >But you are a little too smug for most people's
tastes.
> > > > People
> > > > > > get
> > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > >impression that you think you're someone special.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > He is.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Thinks he is.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, >>he<< doesn't.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But a lot of people worldwide who have benefitted from his
> > > > > > knowledge and skills do. ie: me.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, you're free to worship in your own way.
> > > >
> > > > You need to learn the difference between "worship" and
> > > > "appreciate", Brian.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Adore?
> >
> > I think that's between you and Dave, don't you...?!?!
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Adoration is close to worship. But I don't think that's what's
between
> Dave and me.
```

That's "...Dave and I.". Not "...Dave and me."

But again, that's between youse guys. Persoanlly, I respect and admire what he's done...Operated legally from numerous DX locations, then shared his experiences with all.

73

Steve, K4YZ

761. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 14:41:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106404903.679150.32940@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102328

D. Stussy wrote:

> Not quite but very close.

Hey Dieter...Nothing about how Bill is cheating Amateur Radio and
how you're gonna save us from him...?!?!
I'm impressed!

Steve, K4YZ

762. Dalton Hamfest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 14:50:28 UTC
From: Phil has 26 PhD Degrees <Lloyd@superdaleksnet.org>
Message-ID: <cstp7h$7n0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

i be at the dalton hamfest but dont know how to get table to sell
all of my stuff.....anyone know how to get table...need one real
bad.....tks

73,

Lloyd

763. Re: FCC Chairman to Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 14:59:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F26A44.E32D8B39@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102330

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Len Anderson wrote:
> > In article <oeWdnSE9FJ9LV2zcRVn-hA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> >
> > > Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network television.
> I
> > >watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the networks.
> THe
> > >only other TV I watch is on the History channel.
> > >
> > > I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR, I'm
> > >listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm not
> the
> > >only one either.
> >
> > Just tune in to ARRL channel. That will tell you ALL the news
> > you need to hear.
> >
> > Keep that brain washed pure and proper...
>
> I am sure there was a point to this, but, as usual, Lennie leaves
> us with a sarcastic swipe and not quite all of his "points" are sharp.
> Steve, K4YZ

Isn't this just another post in his continuing campaign toward removal
of the morse code test in amateur radio? I thought it a fine example of
Leonard's method of civil debate.

There's a chance, though, that this marks a new direction for Len. It
could be that he has decided to change his methods. This may mark a
turning point in his efforts. It might be a first effort toward that
end.
The final result indicates that he is having a few problems in the
implementation.

Dave K8MN

764. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 15:04:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F26B83.7E6042E9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102331

bb wrote:

> Since moving to Ohio, I always have to play Dayton by ear.

I've generally used the sheet music, though I improvise a bit on the chorus.

If you'd like to discuss it further, drop me a note to my real email acount, lastnamefirstmi@hotmailcom.

Dave K8MN

765. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 15:09:15 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <v0uId.15693$ru.2259@fed1read07>
Source record: 102332

Ah interesting on the single paddle ops at the big contest station. Quite the opposite in several contest stations here.

Some comments on Iambic keying.

Iambic ops claim "Overall, single lever paddles take more mechanical motion to send characters than an iambic paddle."

"Expert ops can send continuously without leaving their fingers off the paddles, so perhaps it is best described as a touch-squeeze keying technique."

Be aware there are two different modes of Iambic operation - type A and type B. When a squeeze is released during an element (dot or dash), type "B" adds the opposite element. Type "A" just finishes the element in progress and does not produce a following alternate element. For example, in Type "A" Iambic, a squeeze release during the "dah" in the letter A will produce "dit dah" (A). In Type "B" Iambic, a squeeze release during the "dah" in the letter A will produce "dit dah dit" (R). That is if the left paddle is still depressed at the half-way point of the dah.

My buddy - a top contester sez "So Iambic takes delicate practice, but after a while the finger-brain activity becomes second nature and it will be as easy as single paddle or straight key sending, but a lot less tiring and it is probably the fastest keying method."

I am a single paddle guy, so can't attest to the Iambic preference. but I am not a top contester (;-)

For those interested in where Iambic came from, here is the best opinions.

Iamb dates back to ancient Greek poetry. Iamb is a term from literature meaning a two-syllable rhythm. An "iamb" consists of two syllables. It may be two separate words, one word with two syllables, or even a portion of a multi-syllable word. But the important thing is that each of the two syllables has a different "accent." Sometimes the accent is called a SHORT/LONG. That means, the first word comes quickly off the mouth when spoken; the second word actually sounds for a longer time. So somewhere along the line someone dubbed the squeeze key method of sending Morse characters as Iambic, perhaps because of the short/long (dit/dah) aspect.

Caveat Lector

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1106393243.593838.293050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Caveat Lector wrote:

>> Most of the CW ops I know prefer the Iambic keys.
>>
>> I just spent many hours on a Navy straight key so kept with what felt
>
>> comfortable.
>
> Last year I sat in on a "huge gun" multi-op CW DX contest station
> operation for a few hours and somewhat to my amazement all positions
> were using single-paddle Kent keys. I thought for years that iambic
> paddles ruled. Wrong. Which got me to thinking . . .
>
> I've been using "iambic" paddles since around 1965 when I built one out
> of a pair of back-to-back J-38 type straight keys. After all these
> years it's finally dawning on me that I've never done much if any real
> "squeeze keying", I'm still using paddles like I usta use bugs. So I
> plan to equip myself with a single paddle "electric bug" and give it a
> whirl.
>
> Begs a question in my mind . . is real iambic squeeze keying actually
> more like mythology than it is fact? Have we been behaving like sheep
> or is it just us old farts who cut our teeth on bugs . . ?
>
>> --
>> Caveat Lector
>
> w3rv
>
>
>>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:jY6dnfs4XPGa_W3cRVn-ow@adelphia.com...
>> > Caveat Lector wrote:
>> >
>> >> I have that problem occaisionally with a bencher single paddle key
>> >> and increasing the spacing between the points and adding a little
> more
>> >> spring tension solves the problem.
>> >
>> > I'll try that. There is an adjustment spring, but perhaps it is a
> little
>> > weak and should be replaced.
>> >
>> >> I like the contact spacing paper thin, but when it is too thin --
> may
>> >> cause a double bounce.
>> >>
>> >> Yes Bencher does make a single paddle key -- looks like the Iambic
> with
>> >> two paddles -- but functions as a single paddle key.
>> >

>> > That sort of key sounds logical to me. Although I'm starting to
> "get" the
>> > Iambic, it still seems a little strange. I should probable see if I
> et a
>> > little better before switching again.
>> >
>> > Thanks, Mike KB3EIA -
>> >
>> >
>

766. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 15:09:47 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <%0uId.22104$eT5.20205@attbi_s51>
Source record: 102333

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5remove@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:41F14123.10203@psu.edu...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6852019/
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> I hear Jimmy Swaggart is next in line.....;^)

I would find Swaggart much more preferable than the dumbbell commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy. The first requirement for FCC chief should be NO law degree. Some brains and some technical comprehension would be a plus.

ak

>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

767. Re: A poll of lurkers (was Len's Apology)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 15:16:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F26E64.906266E0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102334

Len Anderson wrote:
>
> In article <71v2v09lcopd1fn7jjgekbv0mhhfpott5b@4ax.com>, John Kasupski
> <kc2hmz@wzrd.com> writes:
>
> >On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 19:39:23 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>Wow! Talk about speculation! How about it, lurking, never-posting
> >>readers of r.r.a.p? Let us know if you support the self-appointed
> >>advocate for morse code test removal of the group. Tell us if you
> >>believe his method is effective. Let us hear if you have been swayed by
> >>his posts.
>
> Hello John. :-)
>
> The heavenly fodder wishes to both troll and make nasty to another
> in his "asking of questions."

When you ask questions, is it trolling or "making nasty"? You've
already admitted to deliberately "making nasty", haven't you?

I'm polling, not trolling.

> >To take the three questions in order...
> >
> >1. Yes, I support the contention that the code test should be removed.
>
> I say Good on You!
>
> >2. No, I do not believe that his method is effective. However, I also
> >basically believe that no other method would be any more effective
> >than his, which is one of the reasons why I'm mainly a lurker here and
> >rarely post.
>
> "Effective," e-schmective...
>
> Da heavenly fodder hasn't come close to being effective in doing
> anything but reinforcing his image of the prussian offizier busy
> mouthing the party line of the Church of St. Hiram.

He said that he didn't believe your method was effective, Len.

> >3. No, I have not been swayed by his posts. In fact, I already
> >believed it was time to do away with code testing back in the
> >mid-1970's, which was twenty years before I ever discovered this

> >newsgroup and its various inhabitants.
>
> The same for me but a bit earlier....like two decades before.
>
> Back when I volunteered for U.S. Army duty, I really believed
> that "radio expertise" required morsemanship skills. Lucking
> out in being assigned to a large Army HF communications
> station - and finding out they did NOT use a bit of morse code
> anywhere on HF - I learned better. [that was in the 1950s]
>
> Perhaps an oddity, the OIC (Officer in Charge) of ADA trans-
> mitters, Capt. William Boss (apt surname) was a ham and the
> maintenance NCOIC had both radiotelephone and radiotelegraph
> commercial operator licenses in addition to an amateur radio
> license.
>
> Oh, yes, such "isn't about ham radio, is it" as some say,
> especially those who have never served their country in the
> military or, if they did, never did any REAL communications
> duty at a large HF station. [the Angle of Dearth is one of those]
>
> War stories of military days seem to fall into two categories in
> here: Fanciful, non-detailed braggadoccio or sea-going "radio
> room adventures." Nothing wrong with the latter as far as I'm
> concerned, but they seldom have 30+ high-power HF transmitters
> working at the same time on a ship, not even on a carrier.
>
> A half-dozen teleprinters in a large radio room with steel walls,
> deck, and overhead do indeed make a racket as Jim Hampton
> wrote. Wait until you get 200+ teleprinters working in a medium-
> sized torn-tape relay room. Now, THAT is NOISE...and that is
> how it was on the 2nd floor of the Chuo Kogyo Control for Army
> station ADA...and ADA was only the 3rd largest Army station at
> the time. :-)
>
> That was a half century ago in my experience. Since then, I've
> never encountered any civilian, commercial, or government/military
> station that used or required morse code mode communications...
> other than some amateurs and a few ships. A decade ago, the
> major communications modes for ships was voice, by VHF or
> SSB, or by data-teleprinter.
>
> The pro-code-test-advocates (PCTA) seem to think that morseman-
> ship is soooooo necessary that the FCC *must* test for it in order
> to get a ham license for use below 30 MHz. Well, it may BE a
> necessity...all those morsemen need to have playmates on their
> little reserved slices of ham bands...their sandboxes aren't full yet
> and more and more have signed off permanently. :-)

He said he hadn't been swayed by your posts, Len. Did you treat him to the several paragraphs of blather for a reason?

John has posted here quite a number of times so he hardly counts as a lurker. He has previously made his views on morse testing known. If we discount him, we're left with an army of.....hmmmm...precisely.....uh... no lurkers who have spoken up to say that they support your methods or that they've been swayed by your posts. If we do decide to count John, he states that he does not support your methods and that he has not been swayed by your posts.

The lack of response from lurkers seems to indicate that there are not an many people reading and not commenting as you believe.

Dave K8MN

768. Re: A poll of lurkers (was Len's Apology)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 15:18:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F26EC6.327830F9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102335

Len Anderson wrote:
>
> In article <41F00895.CFF36A1F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >All licensed radio amateurs; Techs, Generals and Extras; are your
> >superiors in that they have been issued amateur radio licenses.
>
> I present my posterior to your superior... :-)

As far as I can tell, Len, you've been presenting your posterior here
for years. :-) :-) :-)

Dave K8MN

769. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 15:18:34 UTC
From: twistiehed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106407113.975980.180860@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102336
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

U Know Who wrote:
> "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1106394624.934117.55420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >> > The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but
I'm
> >> not.I
> >> > have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only
used
> >> it
> >> > to jerk off to.
> >> > I still think one day Doug will love me.
> >> > I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
> >> > I love you Doug and I always will.
> >> >
> >> > Steveo
> >> > moparholic@hotmail.com
> >>
> >> Alas, you are doomed. Your proclaimed love is without meaning to
> >> heterosexuals such as We, your Lords the AKC.
> >
> > NO WAIT! You don't understand at all! All the time I made threats
was
> > all bullCrap! I made threats because my love was not being
returned!
> >
> > Listen please.....just for this time,OK?
> >
> > The sex with Frank was only for fun, thats all. You can ask
Frank.We
> > both just wanted to have some fun.....no love, just fucking. We
both
> > feel that way. Landshark and Twistie have their thing
going.....they
> > asked ME to join in. It was just fucking and nothing more.I will
give
> > them all up for you! Listen to me!! I LOVE YOU!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > I promise this. if you would just return my love I will
change!Doug, I
> > know you're straight. But PLEASE LISTEN!!!!!
> >

> > I LOVE YOU AND ONLY YOU!
> >
> > I will change, I promise! I'll get my license. You and me can talk
on 2
> > meters together. We'll laugh at twistie and the rest of them. I
WILL
> > STOP THE KEYCLOWN GAMES!
> >
> > We can talk on the repeaters and simplex together.I even rat out
> > auctions! I WILL DO ANYTHING TO BE WITH YOU!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
>
> Damn Doug, N8WWM, you really have lost it haven't you? Staying up all
night
> forging is testament to your fucked up mental status. Seen your kids
lately?

DUDE FUCK YOU. STAY THE FUCK OUT OF OUR ISSUES. HEY STEVEO,FUCK U 2 !!
YES WE WERE ALL ONLY FUCKING BUT YOU DIDN'T HAVE TO SAY THAT SHIT
HERE.YOU WASN'T ALL THAT GOOD OF A FUCK ANYWAY. YOUR COCK COULDN'T EVEN
FILL MY ASS AND YOU DIDN'T EVEN SWOLLOW ALL OF MY LOAD.

770. Re: RE:Steveo Beaten Badly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 15:22:16 UTC
From: twistiehed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106407336.326025.195620@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Gilliland wrote:
<fuck silliland>

I'm getting sick of steveo and his bullshit.

he's all in love with that ham and know he tells the world me and landshark and frank was "just a fuck". well fuck him. we should kick him out of the club.

771. The Cassini Probe and Lennie Anderson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 16:06:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106409975.146732.56420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102338

Seems the Cassini probe has landed on Titan and found it covered
in liquid methane...

Three billion bucks to go find what Lennie's wife has lived with
for who-knows-how-long...???

Lennie's been covered in it for years! Usually has to wipe some
off before his wife will kiss him goodnight Only on Earth we call it
flatulance!

If a Titanian ever comes to Earth and runs out of "air", we can
always send him to Lennie's house! Lotsa free methane floating around
there, no doubt!

73

Steve, K4YZ

772. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 16:16:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050122111617.29515.00000114@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 102339

In article <oeWdnSE9FJ9LV2zcRVn-hA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>>> Eric Idle has a song about the FCC. 3.1 MB download:

>>> WARNING! CONTAINS STRONG LANGUAGE AND ENOUGH POLITICAL >>> CONTENT TO
OFFEND ALMOST EVERYONE!

>>> http://www.pythonline.com/plugs/idle/index.shtml
>>> click the download link

>> Now THAT is funny!

I thought so. ~30 years ago, he did a song called "They Won't Play This Song On
The Radio" (or something close to that) which sounds like it's about to go over
the edge, but never does.

>Bad form I suppose, to reply to my own post, but this whole thing got
>me to thinking.

Not bad form at all if it got you thinking. Which was my intent.

>The ol' law of unintended consequences is catching up with the F.C.C.

Maybe.

Remember that the key features of this administration are short-term,
simplistic thinking and red-herring, hot-button issues.

>The Janet Jackson "wardrobe malfunction" was certainly bad enough. That
>we should have been annoyed and disgusted is not an argument. There is a
>place for stuff like that, and it isn't a superbowl halftime show.

Agreed.

I think what was most offensive about that whole thing was not what was
actually shown, but rather that it was shown without any warning, at a time
when it was completely unexpected.

That's why I included the warning when I posted the link, above.

>But in typical republicanesque overreaching fashion, the F.C.C. decided
>to overreach, just like in the early '90's.

>They could have fined CBS a reasonable amount of money, which would
>have prompted them to be sure to clean up their act. Instead, they speak
>of fining every station that carries an offending signal, and
>threatening all manner of dire consequences on those who would scoff
>public decency. As well as fining CBS the better part of a megabuck.

Phil Kane, K2ASP, has spoken to that issue, and I defer to his expertise on the issue.

>The evil Howard Stern even gets his butt fired from Clear Channel.

Howard is a different issue.

First off, there's nothing on his show that you don't also hear on Oprah, Springer, Dr. Phil, Dr. Laura, or any of those sorts of shows. The main difference is that HS is a target and they're not.

>While this may please some with how Tough we can get on these evil
>sinners, what is the consequence?
>
>Howard now has a show on satellite radio. While his show has always
>been an unmitigated piece of crap, he is now under even less constraints.

Whatever you think of HS, you have to give him credit for certain things:

1) He gets incredible ratings wherever he is on the air.

2) He revolutionized morning "adult rock" drivetime radio. I know this firsthand, because Philadelphia was one of the very first cities his show was syndicated to.

Before HS, morning "adult rock" drivetime radio followed a very strict formula in almost all markets. The "morning zoo" formula had some sort of DJ, with maybe a sidekick or two. They would play records and canned comedy bits, then break for news, sports, weather, traffic and commercials. The content was always local and the talent varied all over the map.

An unshakeable article of the formula was that morning drivetime radio had to be locally generated. When HS first came to Philly 20+ years ago, the "[rpfessionals in radio" said he was doomed to failure because Philadelphians would not listen to a New York-based station. Worse, HS did not follow the formula at all. Yet he quickly took near-top place in the ratings, second only to the all-news/traffic/weather/sports station. Philadelphians simply punch one button to get the traffic or whatever from KYW, then back to HS for "entertainment".

3) He branched out into other media venues, which was unheard of before HS.

4) HS has held up to the light what really makes the broadcast media tick. It's all about ratings, because ratings drive advertising revenue. At the same time he drives production cost *down* because it's cheaper for local stations to buy his show than to produce their own.

Ironically, his success is due to strict adherence to "republican" values of a free market and competition. His defense has always come down to a single

point: Millions of people *choose to* listen to him, yet only a few complain.

Now HS will move to satellite radio, and take tens of millions of people with him.

>Next, the draconian fines that may be levied on stations that carry
>obscene language or visuals is causing stations to be very cautious
>about what they carry. Cases in point are stations that would not air
>Saving Private Ryan. Whether you think that was a political stunt or
>not, the pervious time that the movie was shown, there *were* a lot of
>complaints about the language. What is a prudent company to do?

Yep. Note the peculiarly American fixation on language and sex as objectionable, but not violence.

>So now we have people making nasty little songs ridiculing the F.C.C.
>on the internet, and there is talk of more television stations making
>the switch to paid tv or pay per view instead of the old free tv paradigm.

But is the song really "nasty"?
>
>Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network television. I
>watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the networks. THe
>only other TV I watch is on the History channel.

The Simpsons is pure genius, probably the best show on TV in a generation. That it survives on Fox is even more ironic.

>I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR, I'm
>listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm not the
>only one either.

Exactly.

>The unintended consequence? The F.C.C. is unintentionally providing a
>powerful incentive for stations to move to venues that the F.C.C. does
>not have jurisdiction over, and therefore failing to accomplish their
>goal. They may clean up what is left of the "public" airways, but there
>won't be many people listening.

Perhaps that's the goal!

Consider what has happened to broadcasting in general in the past couple of decades. AM BC radio, once king, is now a backwater compared to FM BC radio. Deregulation has homogenized both of them. Neither is a big moneymaker nor job-creator, because the markets are saturated and there's a limit to advertising revenue. The receiver technology is mature and there are no ongoing user fees. BC TV is in a similar state, compounded by the limping move to HDTV.

Into this mess comes satellite radio, internet and cable TV, BPL, etc. All promise lots of new jobs and revenue sources. Plus the FCC doesn't have the headaches of trying to regulate them.

Some folks are cheering that Mr. Powell is leaving FCC, but ya gotta wonder who will replace him.

>
>TLOUC's in action!
>
TLUOCs?

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>

773. Re: K1MAN snags another naive recruit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 16:57:50 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f1b2d5$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102239

Well we will have to see. I'm unable to pick him up here in central
Illinois. I'm also still waiting for his call.

Todd N9OGL
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:zA8Id.20120$EG1.10290@attbi_s53...
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41e9d9d4$1_1@127.0.0.1...
> >
> > "Roscoe P. Phartgassz" <cwdeanarer0@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c8e90$41e89a5d$d1cc79f8$23743@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > >
> > > "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
> > > news:lFGEd.3880$Ii4.3789@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > Seen at N9OGL's "blog".
> > > > This ought to be a fun.
> > >
> > > ...either a newbie that don't know about
> > > the past amusing exploits of the 'Boy Broadcaster'
> > > from the Icebox State, or it's just another old 14.313 veteran
> > > wishing for the good ole days of the Herbie Baby
> > > Phone Patch Wars and/or the Mike & Judy Show
> > > with ole Raincoat Charlie.(bless him R.C. and the "BARF
> > > Cannonball Song" - remember that one folks ? :)
> > >
> >
> >
> > No, I'm not a newbie and I am somewhat aware of his past exploits and
no,
> > I'm not from the 14.313 group. But if his wishes to have me on his
program
> > then I'll be more then happy to be on there.
> >
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
>
>
> FCC Database:
> Call Sign K1MAN Radio Service HA -
> Dates Grant 10/17/1995 Expiration 10/17/2005

>
> Perhaps October 17 should be a special ham holiday. Call it the 14275
> freedom day?
>
> ak
>
>
>
>

774. Radio-Mart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 17:23:59 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <jJmdnYKnq_M8EW_cRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102340

This guy is a real piece of work. He has a Collins 30L-1 linear amp on ebay. In the description he states: "The amplifier is in fully operational condition, the tubes all test good, I did replace one tube to obtain full rated output and made sure the tubes were neutralized."

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745848993

I emailed him to ask just how this was accomplishes as triode tubes in grounded grid operation do not require any neturalization and there is no procedure in the 30L-1 manual to neturalize the amp.

His reply was: "I was doing an FT-101 at the same time, yes I know you cannot neutralize a Linear.. my typo"

Yea right. The guy throws in all kinds of hype about how he has owned and used something for years when it is something he recently purchased on ebay, how he has done complete alignments, ect., ect. ect. I doubt the guy even knows what doing an alignment is.

"yes I know you cannot neutralize a Linear.."

Wonder what he thinks is in the final stage of that FT-101 if it isn't a linear amp, and if you cannot neutralize a linear then why is he neutralizing the final linear amp in the FT-101?

775. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 17:26:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <jJmdnb2nq_P_EG_cRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Bob Lutz wrote:

> On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 22:53:58 -0700, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>
>>So why are you going 5 mph over the speed limit?
>
>
> So that there is a minimum of impact when I am ran over by traffic doing
> 10+ or worse.
>
> Dunno how it is where you are, but thats pretty much what a lot of people
> do.
>
If they all drove over a cliff would you follow?

776. Re: FCC Chairman to Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 17:28:29 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <jJmdnbynq_NTEG_cRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102342

Mike Coslo wrote:

> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Len Anderson wrote:
>>
>>> In article <oeWdnSE9FJ9LV2zcRVn-hA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network television.
>>
>>
>> I
>>
>>>> watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the networks.
>>
>>
>> THe
>>
>>>> only other TV I watch is on the History channel.
>>>>
>>>> I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR, I'm
>>>> listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm not
>>
>>
>> the
>>
>>>> only one either.
>>>
>>>
>>> Just tune in to ARRL channel. That will tell you ALL the news
>>> you need to hear.
>>>
>>> Keep that brain washed pure and proper...
>>
>>
>>
>> I am sure there was a point to this, but, as usual, Lennie leaves
>> us with a sarcastic swipe and not quite all of his "points" are sharp.
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

> When you find a point, let me know.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
It's on the top of lennyboy's head.

777. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 17:50:54 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <2owId.5665$rp1.3648@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102343

>
> I would find Swaggart much more preferable than the dumbbell commissioner
> Kathleen Q. Abernathy. The first requirement for FCC chief should be NO law
> degree. Some brains and some technical comprehension would be a plus.
>

No, someone who actually understands the physics of radio
could never run the Funny Cookie Corporation. You need
someone who writes screwball regulations like "A radio
station will not be allowed to own the translator
(repeater) it uses to get heard in another area".
Why would that matter?

778. Re: The Cassini Probe and Lennie Anderson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 17:52:34 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <CpwId.5666$rp1.5008@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102344

K4YZ wrote:

> Seems the Cassini probe has landed on Titan and found it covered
> in liquid methane...
>

The probe was called "Huygens". Carried by Cassini.

779. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 17:56:16 UTC
From: Voice of freedom <VoiceFreedom@freedom.naa>
Message-ID: <Xns95E66F67B5524VoiceFreedomfreedomn@206.124.0.13>
Source record: 102345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net> wrote :

> Voice of freedom wrote:
>
>> "kingfish" <spam_trap_void@yahoo.com> wrote :
>>
>>
>>>the best way to combat technology is with technology.
>>>
>>>that's what's happened to radar and laser. Time for law enforcement to
>>>come up with new technology, not a new law.
>>>
>>>that's what happens when the hunter gets captured by the game.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Not even. This country is rapidly becoming a totalitarian police state,
>> and for what? It won't make us safer, all it will do is allow the
>> government to fleece us like sheep for bucks, because we went 5 MPH
>> over the speed limit.
>>
>
> So why are you going 5 mph over the speed limit?

'Cause I'm a big time crimimal, you moron. Studies have found that people
tend to go the best speed for the road they're on anyway, there don't even
need to be speed limits. Speed limits are a totalitarian way of raking in
bucks by fleecing people.

--
A Voice Of Freedom in the
United States of America

780. Re: Come on down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 19:49:41 UTC
From: Ham Guy <BLM555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5m95v01u4hqe781a2jht5uokr1ckstpm8p@4ax.com>
Source record: 102346

On 20 Jan 2005 18:40:25 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Radio Ron <RadioRon@Mymail.net> wrote:
>>Nobody seems to care about amateur radio including the hams themselves.If
>>you question what I say,just hangout on 75 meters and just listen to the
>>foul mouth bigots and the racial slurs that you hear.It isn't any different
>
>Your brush is too broad. There are over 600 kilohams in the US. You're
>hearing at most 100 of them, which amounts to 0.00017 (seventeen
>hundred-thousandths), or 0.017% (seventeen thousandths percent). That's
>not bad at all. Show us any other group that has such a low percentage
>of bad boys.
>
>Even if there were 1000 of them, that still amounts to only 0.0017 or
>0.17% of entire amateur population. The problem is that if you so much
>as sneeze on HF, half the world can possibly hear you; that factor is
>what makes their behavior stand out. If their QSOs were occurring on VHF,
>no one except locals would take notice.
>
>Jeff KH6O

I don't think you appreciate the psychology of the situation. Try this
experiment; Take a plain, white piece of 8 1/2" by 11" printer paper.
Using a black magic marker, make a dot about the size of a dime on one
side of the paper. Now, show the paper to several people and ask them
what the first thing is that they notice. The majority of them will
say that the first thing they notice is the black dot.
That dot only covers roughly one half of one percent of the total
surface area of the paper, yet most people notice the blemish first.
They seldom notice that the rest of the paper is untouched.

So it is with ham radio. Outsiders see the blemishes on 75 and 20
meters, yet they don't see that the vast majority of other aspects are
untouched. First impressions mean a lot, and ratios of good-to-bad
operators are meaningless in this respect.

781. Usenet zone email forge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 20:06:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050122150604.333$3x_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 102347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> remember this?
>
> begin 666 stev-landshk.jpg
> [59719 bytes]
> end
>
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

782. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 20:09:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050122150909.333$y1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 102348
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but I'm
> not.I
> > have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only used
> it
> > to jerk off to.
> > I still think one day Doug will love me.
> > I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
> > I love you Doug and I always will.
> >
> > Steveo
> > moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> Alas, you are doomed. Your proclaimed love is without meaning to
> heterosexuals such as We, your Lords the AKC.
>
Still posting gay shit to the radio groups, while forging me, Doug. You
really are scum.

Now answer the questions:

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

783. Re: The Cassini Probe [Was Re: The Cassini Probe and...]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 20:09:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050122150949.11141.00000113@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 102349

In article <CpwId.5666$rp1.5008@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Seems the Cassini probe has landed on Titan and found it covered
>> in liquid methane...
>
>The probe was called "Huygens". Carried by Cassini.

Please...do not correct a PCTA extra. That is a no-no in here.

The European Space Agency project is being well-publicized in
all major newspapers and a great deal of information (in detail)
on it available at NASA websites.

An excellent PDF file about an on-going Terran environment
experiment is Gravity Probe B designed to examine part of
Albert Einstein's General Relativity Theory. Considerable
information is found at http://einstein.stanford.edu

At the bottom of that home page on "GP-B" is a link to a 1.6 MB
PDF which explains the mission in clear, concise terms with
excellent graphics. Look for the link to "Gravity Probe B
Companion." For those who have gone beyond the auto mechanic
school of radio operation, the links at the top left of the site marked
"engineering" describe the processes of making the world's
roundest spheres (for the precision gyroscopes) and the cement-
mixer-sized 4-layer Dewar flask that keeps the science package
at 1.8 degrees Kelvin.

As to the alleged claim about LIQUID methane in my personal
environment, that is quite wrong. The liquid form of methane can
only exist at temperatures rather well below the coldest found on
Earth. The "critic" seems familiar only with the GASEOUS form
of methane. [may the aldehydes be with him...] To use a
colloquial term, that critic is "a gas." Peee-uuuu. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 22 Jan 05
LenAnderson@ieee.org

784. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 20:30:35 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <aIyId.30244$SK6.3306@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 102350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"The Big Biker" <thebigbiker@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:259623f9.0501211437.1b7e49a8@posting.google.com...
> Hello fellow motorists & ham radio operators.
>
> Here is a piece of legislation that is of interest to both of our
> communities. It is House Bill 1045 that has been passed by the House
> Judiciary Committee as of yesterday (1/20).
>
> The Definition of "Radar Jamming Device" is pretty broad.
>
> Here is is below:
>
> (2) FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, "RADAR JAMMING DEVICE"MEANS ANY
> ACTIVE OR PASSIVE DEVICE, INSTRUMENT, MECHANISM, OR EQUIPMENT THAT IS
> DESIGNED OR INTENDED TO INTERFERE WITH, DISRUPT, OR SCRAMBLE THE RADAR
> OR LASER THAT IS USED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND PEACE OFFICERS
> TO MEASURE THE SPEED OF MOTOR VEHICLES. "RADAR JAMMING DEVICE"
> INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO DEVICES COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS
> "JAMMERS" OR "SCRAMBLERS".
>
> Passive device can be interpreted pretty broadly especially used in
> conjunction with the word "disrupt". A solid black car without its
> front tag could be a passive device that would jam laser signals as
> defined from part 2. How about a radar detector ? Usage of a radar
> detector would basically cause you to slow down and interfere with a
> LEO's chance to extract revenue from you since when he measures your
> speed, you are going the limit. Sounds far fetched ? Laws are known to
> be liberally (sic) interpreted in the gov't favor.
>
> For the Ham Radio community. Think about, you are driving down the
> road, ragchewing with someone on the 2 meter. You happen to drive by a
> CSP speed trap or even better yet, Fairplay PD speed trap. The officer
> did not get a speed on you but notices the array of antennas on your
> vehicle. He decides to pull you over and do a little inquiry. As
> defined in section 3, your 2 meter rig is confiscated by the officer.
> Well, guess what, you get spend some money to get your rig back if you
> get it back. If you do, what kind of condition will it be in. They
> would have no incentive to take care of the property while in their
> "care".
>
> Here is Section 3.
>
> (3) RADAR JAMMING DEVICES ARE SUBJECT TO SEIZURE BY ANY PEACE OFFICER

> AND MAY BE CONFISCATED AND DESTROYED BY ORDER OF THE COURT IN WHICH A
> VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION IS CHARGED.
>
> This is bad legislation.
>
> Please contact your "representative" or senator at
> http://www.leg.state.co.us

Yep,

And if the sonuvabitch confiscates equipment that happens to be purchased with DOD funds and assigned to me as part of an agency I am involved in, then I will merely contact USAF CID and make that hick cop think his a$$ was fried to a crisp by the time they get thru with him! Most of these cops think "Ah'm th' law 'roun' h'yar, boy,and what ah says goes. So you just hand over that CB reddio thar before I slap you in jail thar, you heah, boy?"

As to seizing Amateur or other radio equipment I am licensed to use, I would use the existing laws to not only
retrieve the equipment, but sue to recover ALL monies/expenses incurred in the act--probably causing the stupid cop to lose his job. And to quote a famous Warner Bros cartoon, "He don' know me vewwy well, do he!". LOL!

I sue the state and the cop if it were the last thing I ever did!!! Hang the expense--which I believe I would recover anyway. Hey, I am retired and can expend whatever time it takes! GRRRRRRRRR!

J

785. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 20:47:49 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106426869.149438.313820@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102351
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Steveo wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but I'm
> > not.I
> > > have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only
used
> > it
> > > to jerk off to.
> > > I still think one day Doug will love me.
> > > I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
> > > I love you Doug and I always will.
> > >
> > > Steveo
> > > moparholic@hotmail.com
> >
> > Alas, you are doomed. Your proclaimed love is without meaning to
> > heterosexuals such as We, your Lords the AKC.
> >
> Still posting gay shit to the radio groups, while forging me, Doug.
You
> really are scum.
>
Wrong, butthead. I have not ever forged you on any NG anywhere.
However, you are just now starting to find out how it goes when you do,
fool. AKC aint finished with you yet, sunshine.

Having said that, thank you for all the laughs. It is so funny to watch
you spin wheels impotently.
PS My bowl is meerschaum, yours is petro toilet

786. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 21:27:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <H_udnfVpDoWwWG_cRVn-qw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102352

N2EY wrote:
> In article <oeWdnSE9FJ9LV2zcRVn-hA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>>>Eric Idle has a song about the FCC. 3.1 MB download:
>
>
>>>>WARNING! CONTAINS STRONG LANGUAGE AND ENOUGH POLITICAL >>> CONTENT TO
>
> OFFEND ALMOST EVERYONE!
>
>
>>>>http://www.pythonline.com/plugs/idle/index.shtml
>>>>click the download link
>
>
>
>>>Now THAT is funny!
>
>
> I thought so. ~30 years ago, he did a song called "They Won't Play This Song On
> The Radio" (or something close to that) which sounds like it's about to go over
> the edge, but never does.
>
>
>>Bad form I suppose, to reply to my own post, but this whole thing got
>>me to thinking.
>
>
> Not bad form at all if it got you thinking. Which was my intent.
>
>
>>The ol' law of unintended consequences is catching up with the F.C.C.
>
>
> Maybe.
>

> Remember that the key features of this administration are short-term,
> simplistic thinking and red-herring, hot-button issues.
>
>
>>The Janet Jackson "wardrobe malfunction" was certainly bad enough. That
>>we should have been annoyed and disgusted is not an argument. There is a
>>place for stuff like that, and it isn't a superbowl halftime show.
>
>
> Agreed.
>
> I think what was most offensive about that whole thing was not what was
> actually shown, but rather that it was shown without any warning, at a time
> when it was completely unexpected.
>
> That's why I included the warning when I posted the link, above.
>
>
>>But in typical republicanesque overreaching fashion, the F.C.C. decided
>>to overreach, just like in the early '90's.
>
>
>>They could have fined CBS a reasonable amount of money, which would
>>have prompted them to be sure to clean up their act. Instead, they speak
>>of fining every station that carries an offending signal, and
>>threatening all manner of dire consequences on those who would scoff
>>public decency. As well as fining CBS the better part of a megabuck.
>
>
> Phil Kane, K2ASP, has spoken to that issue, and I defer to his expertise on the
> issue.

>
>>The evil Howard Stern even gets his butt fired from Clear Channel.
>
>
> Howard is a different issue.
>
> First off, there's nothing on his show that you don't also hear on Oprah,
> Springer, Dr. Phil, Dr. Laura, or any of those sorts of shows. The main
> difference is that HS is a target and they're not.

I don't think I've ever heard Dr Laura ever ask anyone to show her
their boobs!!

>
>>While this may please some with how Tough we can get on these evil
>>sinners, what is the consequence?
>>
>>Howard now has a show on satellite radio. While his show has always
>>been an unmitigated piece of crap, he is now under even less constraints.

>
>
> Whatever you think of HS, you have to give him credit for certain things:
>
> 1) He gets incredible ratings wherever he is on the air.
>
> 2) He revolutionized morning "adult rock" drivetime radio. I know this
> firsthand, because Philadelphia was one of the very first cities his show was
> syndicated to.
>
> Before HS, morning "adult rock" drivetime radio followed a very strict formula
> in almost all markets. The "morning zoo" formula had some sort of DJ, with
> maybe a sidekick or two. They would play records and canned comedy bits, then
> break for news, sports, weather, traffic and commercials. The content was
> always local and the talent varied all over the map.
>
> An unshakeable article of the formula was that morning drivetime radio had to
> be locally generated. When HS first came to Philly 20+ years ago, the
> "[rpfessionals in radio" said he was doomed to failure because Philadelphians
> would not listen to a New York-based station. Worse, HS did not follow the
> formula at all. Yet he quickly took near-top place in the ratings, second only
> to the all-news/traffic/weather/sports station. Philadelphians simply punch one
> button to get the traffic or whatever from KYW, then back to HS for
> "entertainment".
>
> 3) He branched out into other media venues, which was unheard of before HS.
>
> 4) HS has held up to the light what really makes the broadcast media tick. It's
> all about ratings, because ratings drive advertising revenue. At the same time
> he drives production cost *down* because it's cheaper for local stations to buy
> his show than to produce their own.
>
> Ironically, his success is due to strict adherence to "republican" values of a
> free market and competition.

Correction Jim. *Conservative* values, not Republican values.
Conservatives are an endangered species.

> His defense has always come down to a single
> point: Millions of people *choose to* listen to him, yet only a few complain.

Frankly, I find him boring

> Now HS will move to satellite radio, and take tens of millions of people with
> him.

Yup, his presence will be a shot in the arm for satellite radio.

>>Next, the draconian fines that may be levied on stations that carry
>>obscene language or visuals is causing stations to be very cautious
>>about what they carry. Cases in point are stations that would not air
>>Saving Private Ryan. Whether you think that was a political stunt or

>>not, the pervious time that the movie was shown, there *were* a lot of
>>complaints about the language. What is a prudent company to do?
>
>
> Yep. Note the peculiarly American fixation on language and sex as
> objectionable, but not violence.

>
>>So now we have people making nasty little songs ridiculing the F.C.C.
>>on the internet, and there is talk of more television stations making
>>the switch to paid tv or pay per view instead of the old free tv paradigm.
>
>
> But is the song really "nasty"?

Seems that way to me! I know I never said that phrase to anyone without
being ready for a fight. Not friendly talk.

>>Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network television. I
>>watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the networks. THe
>>only other TV I watch is on the History channel.
>
>
> The Simpsons is pure genius, probably the best show on TV in a generation. That
> it survives on Fox is even more ironic.

Fox network and Fox news seem to act almost like two different entities.
The Network seems to put on shows that offend the more priggish among
us, and the News group seems to attract them.

I'm sure that the other networks would be very happy for Fox to drop
the Simpsons. Probably start a bidding war to pick them up.

>
>>I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR, I'm
>>listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm not the
>>only one either.
>
>
> Exactly.
>
>
>>The unintended consequence? The F.C.C. is unintentionally providing a
>>powerful incentive for stations to move to venues that the F.C.C. does
>>not have jurisdiction over, and therefore failing to accomplish their
>>goal. They may clean up what is left of the "public" airways, but there
>>won't be many people listening.
>
>
> Perhaps that's the goal!

> Consider what has happened to broadcasting in general in the past couple of
> decades. AM BC radio, once king, is now a backwater compared to FM BC radio.

That is what happens when you broadcast garbage. In our area, we have
three main stations. One does carry ESPN sports, and I'll listen to Jim
Rome occasionally and Steelers games. The other two carry the EXACT same
programming, with gems like Savage Nation and some weird screechy lady
at night who is too creepy for me to stay on frequency to find out who
she is. Not too many local businesses advertise on that one, as it seems
most of the commercials are Canadian! I kid you not!

If you want to hear some of Michael Savage's compassionate conservatism,
go to:

http://tinyurl.com/5ywcw

and check out the Michael Savage, Tsunami no tragedy clip.

FM around here is not a lot better

> Deregulation has homogenized both of them. Neither is a big moneymaker nor
> job-creator, because the markets are saturated and there's a limit to
> advertising revenue. The receiver technology is mature and there are no ongoing
> user fees. BC TV is in a similar state, compounded by the limping move to HDTV.
>
> Into this mess comes satellite radio, internet and cable TV, BPL, etc. All
> promise lots of new jobs and revenue sources. Plus the FCC doesn't have the
> headaches of trying to regulate them.
>
> Some folks are cheering that Mr. Powell is leaving FCC, but ya gotta wonder who
> will replace him.
>
>
>>TLOUC's in action!
>>
>
> TLUOCs?

Sorry, transposed to of the letters.

The Law Of Untended Consequences.

787. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 22:27:30 UTC
From: ai8o <ai8o@LexComInc.net>
Message-ID: <csuk0l$1bjv$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 102353

"> I would find Swaggart much more preferable than the dumbbell commissioner
> Kathleen Q. Abernathy.

The first requirement for FCC chief should be NO law
> degree.

>>>>>>Some brains and some technical comprehension would be a plus.<<<<<<<

I think you have hit the nail on the head here.
The FCC commissioners are all WASH DC political hacks. LOTS of
"connections", but NO TECHNICAL experience.

IF you're going to write regulations for a very technical subject area, you
have to have the technical expertise and ability to understand what the
consequences of your rules and regulations are. Technical competence, NOT
the fact that you were a legislative assistant to a senator from North
Dakota, or on the board of zoning appeals, will make you effective.
Otherwise, you will be BS'ed by lobbyists that have an axe to grind, and you
will make poor regulatory decisions with poor outcomes, because you can't
forsee the unexpected consequences of you new regulations.

Abernathy is NOT stupid.
She is just a technically illiterate lawyer.
She doesn't understand RF,propagation or antenna theory, consequently, BPL
which is touted to bring the internet to the rural masses, sounds wonderful.

I should be the next FCC commisioner.

Dan
AI8O

788. Re: The Space Business Has Its Problems Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-22 23:45:33 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <xABId.54582$Ta2.39683@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 102354

In article <1106318513.919953.184930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

| N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
| > Communications satellite fails:
|
| Probably some "radio professional" had his hands "inside the box"
| and dropped his dust pan...I mean multimeter...
|
| > Prof spends 18 years on experiment that goes to Saturn, somebody
| > forgets to turn it on:
|
| Even Novices and No-Code Techs know to turn the radio on!

Yes, but the radio isn't usually millions of miles away by the time
they notice it's not on ...

In any event, this sort of thing happens closer to Earth too. Many
R/C gliders have been lost because somebody put them n the winch
(basically a motorized bungee) and launched the plane without ever
turning on the receiver in the plane. What happens next generally
depends on how well the plane was trimmed and how stable it is --
ranging from flying off never to be seen again to making a lawn dart
out of itself ...

And I'll bet some ham has put a transponder or repeater or something
onto a balloon and launched it, forgetting to turn the stuff on first :)

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com
`Once the toothpaste is out of the tube, it's hard to get it back in.'
-- H.R. Haldeman

789. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 00:28:07 UTC
From: Troublemaker <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <R7KdnefXDqQLcm_cRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 102355
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

For the love of all that is human - stop cross-posting this unrelated bullshit in MTT!

790. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 00:30:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050122193049.29515.00000115@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 102356

In article <H_udnfVpDoWwWG_cRVn-qw@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <oeWdnSE9FJ9LV2zcRVn-hA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>Mike Coslo wrote:

>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>>>>>Eric Idle has a song about the FCC. 3.1 MB download:

>>>>>WARNING! CONTAINS STRONG LANGUAGE AND ENOUGH POLITICAL >>>>>CONTENT TO
OFFEND ALMOST EVERYONE!

>>>>>http://www.pythonline.com/plugs/idle/index.shtml
>>>>>click the download link

>>>>Now THAT is funny!

>> I thought so. ~30 years ago, he did a song called "They Won't Play This
>> Song On
>> The Radio" (or something close to that) which sounds like it's about to go
>> over the edge, but never does.

>>>Bad form I suppose, to reply to my own post, but this whole thing got
>>>me to thinking.

>> Not bad form at all if it got you thinking. Which was my intent.

>>>The ol' law of unintended consequences is catching up with the F.C.C.

>> Maybe.

>> Remember that the key features of this administration are short-term,
>> simplistic thinking and red-herring, hot-button issues.

>>>The Janet Jackson "wardrobe malfunction" was certainly bad enough. That
>>>we should have been annoyed and disgusted is not an argument. There is a
>>>place for stuff like that, and it isn't a superbowl halftime show.

>> Agreed.

>> I think what was most offensive about that whole thing was not what was
>> actually shown, but rather that it was shown without any warning, at a
>> time when it was completely unexpected.

>> That's why I included the warning when I posted the link, above.

>>>But in typical republicanesque overreaching fashion, the F.C.C. decided
>>>to overreach, just like in the early '90's.

>>>They could have fined CBS a reasonable amount of money, which would
>>>have prompted them to be sure to clean up their act. Instead, they speak
>>>of fining every station that carries an offending signal, and
>>>threatening all manner of dire consequences on those who would scoff
>>>public decency. As well as fining CBS the better part of a megabuck.

>> Phil Kane, K2ASP, has spoken to that issue, and I defer to his expertise on
>> the issue.

>>>The evil Howard Stern even gets his butt fired from Clear Channel.

>> Howard is a different issue.

>> First off, there's nothing on his show that you don't also hear on Oprah,
>> Springer, Dr. Phil, Dr. Laura, or any of those sorts of shows. The main
>> difference is that HS is a target and they're not.

>I don't think I've ever heard Dr Laura ever ask anyone to show her
>their boobs!!

No, but we she does/has done is worse. Her show basically consists of
her lecturing people about how they have to adopt her values in order
for their lives to work.

What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she doesn't/hasn't lived
up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, she insists
on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or even Ed.D.
She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.

Lots of other examples.

>>>While this may please some with how Tough we can get on these evil
>>>sinners, what is the consequence?

>>>Howard now has a show on satellite radio. While his show has always
>>>been an unmitigated piece of crap, he is now under even less constraints.

>> Whatever you think of HS, you have to give him credit for certain things:

>> 1) He gets incredible ratings wherever he is on the air.

>> 2) He revolutionized morning "adult rock" drivetime radio. I know this
>> firsthand, because Philadelphia was one of the very first cities his show
>> was syndicated to.

>> Before HS, morning "adult rock" drivetime radio followed a very strict
>> formula
>> in almost all markets. The "morning zoo" formula had some sort of DJ, with
>> maybe a sidekick or two. They would play records and canned comedy bits,
>> then
>> break for news, sports, weather, traffic and commercials. The content was
>> always local and the talent varied all over the map.

>> An unshakeable article of the formula was that morning drivetime radio had
>> to
>> be locally generated. When HS first came to Philly 20+ years ago, the
>> "professionals in radio" said he was doomed to failure because
>> Philadelphians
>> would not listen to a New York-based station. Worse, HS did not follow the
>> formula at all. Yet he quickly took near-top place in the ratings, second
>> only
>> to the all-news/traffic/weather/sports station. Philadelphians simply punch
>> one
>> button to get the traffic or whatever from KYW, then back to HS for
>> "entertainment".
>>
>> 3) He branched out into other media venues, which was unheard of before >>
HS.

>> 4) HS has held up to the light what really makes the broadcast media tick.
>> It's
>> all about ratings, because ratings drive advertising revenue. At the same
>> time
>> he drives production cost *down* because it's cheaper for local stations to
>> buy his show than to produce their own.

>> Ironically, his success is due to strict adherence to "republican" values
>> of a free market and competition.

>Correction Jim. *Conservative* values, not Republican values.
>Conservatives are an endangered species.

You are correct, sir! My mistake.

>> His defense has always come down to a single
>> point: Millions of people *choose to* listen to him, yet only a few
>>complain.

>Frankly, I find him boring

Millions don't - that's the key.

>> Now HS will move to satellite radio, and take tens of millions of people
>> with him.

>Yup, his presence will be a shot in the arm for satellite radio.

For Sirius radio, anyway.

>>>Next, the draconian fines that may be levied on stations that carry
>>>obscene language or visuals is causing stations to be very cautious
>>>about what they carry. Cases in point are stations that would not air
>>>Saving Private Ryan. Whether you think that was a political stunt or
>>>not, the pervious time that the movie was shown, there *were* a lot of
>>>complaints about the language. What is a prudent company to do?

>> Yep. Note the peculiarly American fixation on language and sex as
>> objectionable, but not violence.

>>>So now we have people making nasty little songs ridiculing the F.C.C.
>>>on the internet, and there is talk of more television stations making
>>>the switch to paid tv or pay per view instead of the old free tv paradigm.

>> But is the song really "nasty"?

>Seems that way to me! I know I never said that phrase to anyone without
>being ready for a fight. Not friendly talk.

Not at all friendly!

But if that word is OK for Vice President Dick Cheney to use in public, why not
Eric Idle?

>>>Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network television. I
>>>watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the networks. THe
>>>only other TV I watch is on the History channel.

>> The Simpsons is pure genius, probably the best show on TV in a generation.
>> That it survives on Fox is even more ironic.

>Fox network and Fox news seem to act almost like two different entities.
>The Network seems to put on shows that offend the more priggish among
>us, and the News group seems to attract them.

Same principle as HS: It's all about ratings.

>I'm sure that the other networks would be very happy for Fox to drop
>the Simpsons. Probably start a bidding war to pick them up.

Which is why Fox hangs on to the show.

>>>I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR, I'm
>>>listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm not the
>>>only one either.

>> Exactly.

>>>The unintended consequence? The F.C.C. is unintentionally providing a
>>>powerful incentive for stations to move to venues that the F.C.C. does
>>>not have jurisdiction over, and therefore failing to accomplish their
>>>goal. They may clean up what is left of the "public" airways, but there
>>>won't be many people listening.

>> Perhaps that's the goal!

>> Consider what has happened to broadcasting in general in the past couple of
>> decades. AM BC radio, once king, is now a backwater compared to FM BC
>> radio.

>That is what happens when you broadcast garbage. In our area, we have
>three main stations. One does carry ESPN sports, and I'll listen to Jim
>Rome occasionally and Steelers games. The other two carry the EXACT same
>programming, with gems like Savage Nation and some weird screechy lady
>at night who is too creepy for me to stay on frequency to find out who
>she is. Not too many local businesses advertise on that one, as it seems

>most of the commercials are Canadian! I kid you not!

Weird!

But I'm mostly used to major markets. Here in Philly, AM was king when I was a kid, right up into the '70s. FM existed all the way back to the early '50s, but was the province of classical, educational and other special-interest radio.

Then progressive stations began to show up on FM, and shatter the Top 40 AM formula to bits. They played entire album sides, cutting-edge comedy like Firesign Theatre, had live interviews and even performances. (Somewhere I have a reel-to-reel tape of Jackson Browne, when he was almost unknown, performing live at the Main Point in Bryn Mawr - recorded from an FM station about 1971).

Both the technical quality and the programming quality of FM in those days pulled a lot of people away from AM.

>If you want to hear some of Michael Savage's compassionate conservatism,
>go to:
>
>http://tinyurl.com/5ywcw
>
>and check out the Michael Savage, Tsunami no tragedy clip.

I'll take a listen.
>
>FM around here is not a lot better
>
>> Deregulation has homogenized both of them. Neither is a big moneymaker nor
>> job-creator, because the markets are saturated and there's a limit to
>> advertising revenue. The receiver technology is mature and there are no
>> ongoing
>> user fees. BC TV is in a similar state, compounded by the limping move to
>> HDTV.

>> Into this mess comes satellite radio, internet and cable TV, BPL, etc. All
>> promise lots of new jobs and revenue sources. Plus the FCC doesn't have the
>> headaches of trying to regulate them.
>>
>> Some folks are cheering that Mr. Powell is leaving FCC, but ya gotta wonder
>> who will replace him.

>>>TLOUC's in action!

>> TLUOCs?

>Sorry, transposed to of the letters.
>
>The Law Of Untended Consequences.

Yep!

All as a result of short-term focus and diversion by hot-button red-herring issues.

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Kokava aro, kokava tua, te igoa o te akuaku, erua"

791. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 00:50:33 UTC
From: Aratzio <a6ahlyv02@sneakemail.com>
Message-ID: <j5t5v0lqq5641o4cf898jp67c71hb5vktg@4ax.com>
Source record: 102357
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 19:28:07 -0500, "Troublemaker"
<surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> got double secret probation because:

>For the love of all that is human - stop cross-posting this unrelated
>bullshit in MTT!
>

And if they do not, will you be stomping your foot and being very
cross with them?

792. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 01:07:21 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <cNCId.23285$eT5.15757@attbi_s51>
Source record: 102358

"ai8o" <ai8o@LexComInc.net> wrote in message
news:csuk0l$1bjv$1@news3.infoave.net...
>
> "> I would find Swaggart much more preferable than the dumbbell
commissioner
> > Kathleen Q. Abernathy.
>
> The first requirement for FCC chief should be NO law
> > degree.
>
> >>>>>>Some brains and some technical comprehension would be a
plus.<<<<<<<
>
> I think you have hit the nail on the head here.
> The FCC commissioners are all WASH DC political hacks. LOTS of
> "connections", but NO TECHNICAL experience.
>
> IF you're going to write regulations for a very technical subject area,
you
> have to have the technical expertise and ability to understand what the
> consequences of your rules and regulations are. Technical competence, NOT
> the fact that you were a legislative assistant to a senator from North
> Dakota, or on the board of zoning appeals, will make you effective.
> Otherwise, you will be BS'ed by lobbyists that have an axe to grind, and
you
> will make poor regulatory decisions with poor outcomes, because you can't
> forsee the unexpected consequences of you new regulations.
>
>
> Abernathy is NOT stupid.

Depends on how you define stupid, I suppose.

> She is just a technically illiterate lawyer.
> She doesn't understand RF,propagation or antenna theory, consequently, BPL
> which is touted to bring the internet to the rural masses, sounds
wonderful.
>
> I should be the next FCC commisioner.

I could vote for that, Dan. I'm an ex-8lander living in NC also. I didn't
keep my 2x1 call, however.

ak

793. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 01:08:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <46udnR94-vK_ZG_cRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102359

N2EY wrote:

>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>I don't think I've ever heard Dr Laura ever ask anyone to show her
>>their boobs!!
>
>
> No, but we she does/has done is worse. Her show basically consists of
> her lecturing people about how they have to adopt her values in order
> for their lives to work.

I see where you are going. I don't quite equate the two things as equal, but agree that the show and here are something else. Some of what she says is correct, but her delivery and sanctimony make you achingly want to disagree with her always.

Heh, sounds like a friend of ours!

> What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she doesn't/hasn't lived
> up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, she insists
> on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or even Ed.D.
> She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.

I asked a colleague who is a psychologist about Dr Laura, and what he thought of that sort of thing. He replied "A good rule of thumb is that if a person is called "Dr and their first name" they probably aren't the best person to listen to. Dr. Laura, Dr. Phil, Dr. Nick...

The woman has a checkered past for sure, by here present standards, she also appears to have occasional "episodes", after which she feels the need to get a little more shrill in her approach.

The strange thing is that she has not learned the lesson that you can improve your morals and values, but *you* have to do it, and someone virtually screaming at you probably *won't* get you to do it. Oddly enough, the early Dr. Laura probably knew that already

> Lots of other examples.
>
>
>>>>While this may please some with how Tough we can get on these evil
>>>>sinners, what is the consequence?
>
>
>>>>Howard now has a show on satellite radio. While his show has always
>>>>been an unmitigated piece of crap, he is now under even less constraints.

>
>
>
>>>Whatever you think of HS, you have to give him credit for certain things:
>
>
>
>>>1) He gets incredible ratings wherever he is on the air.
>
>
>
>>>2) He revolutionized morning "adult rock" drivetime radio. I know this
>>>firsthand, because Philadelphia was one of the very first cities his show
>>>was syndicated to.
>
>
>
>>>Before HS, morning "adult rock" drivetime radio followed a very strict
>>>formula
>>>in almost all markets. The "morning zoo" formula had some sort of DJ, with
>>>maybe a sidekick or two. They would play records and canned comedy bits,
>>>then
>>>break for news, sports, weather, traffic and commercials. The content was
>>>always local and the talent varied all over the map.
>
>
>>>An unshakeable article of the formula was that morning drivetime radio had
>>>to
>>>be locally generated. When HS first came to Philly 20+ years ago, the
>>>"professionals in radio" said he was doomed to failure because
>>>Philadelphians
>>>would not listen to a New York-based station. Worse, HS did not follow the
>>>formula at all. Yet he quickly took near-top place in the ratings, second
>>>only
>>>to the all-news/traffic/weather/sports station. Philadelphians simply punch
>>>one
>>>button to get the traffic or whatever from KYW, then back to HS for
>>>"entertainment".
>>>
>>>3) He branched out into other media venues, which was unheard of before >>
>
> HS.
>
>
>>>4) HS has held up to the light what really makes the broadcast media tick.
>>>It's
>>>all about ratings, because ratings drive advertising revenue. At the same
>>>time
>>>he drives production cost *down* because it's cheaper for local stations to
>>>buy his show than to produce their own.

>
>
>
>>>Ironically, his success is due to strict adherence to "republican" values
>>>of a free market and competition.
>
>
>>Correction Jim. *Conservative* values, not Republican values.
>>Conservatives are an endangered species.
>
>
> You are correct, sir! My mistake.
>
>
>>>His defense has always come down to a single
>>>point: Millions of people *choose to* listen to him, yet only a few
>>>complain.
>
>
>>Frankly, I find him boring
>
>
> Millions don't - that's the key.
>
>
>>>Now HS will move to satellite radio, and take tens of millions of people
>>>with him.
>
>
>>Yup, his presence will be a shot in the arm for satellite radio.
>
>
> For Sirius radio, anyway.
>
>
>>>>Next, the draconian fines that may be levied on stations that carry
>>>>obscene language or visuals is causing stations to be very cautious
>>>>about what they carry. Cases in point are stations that would not air
>>>>Saving Private Ryan. Whether you think that was a political stunt or
>>>>not, the pervious time that the movie was shown, there *were* a lot of
>>>>complaints about the language. What is a prudent company to do?
>
>
>
>>>Yep. Note the peculiarly American fixation on language and sex as
>>>objectionable, but not violence.
>
>
>
>>>>So now we have people making nasty little songs ridiculing the F.C.C.

>>>>on the internet, and there is talk of more television stations making
>>>>the switch to paid tv or pay per view instead of the old free tv paradigm.
>
>
>
>>>But is the song really "nasty"?
>
>
>>Seems that way to me! I know I never said that phrase to anyone without
>>being ready for a fight. Not friendly talk.
>
>
> Not at all friendly!
>
> But if that word is OK for Vice President Dick Cheney to use in public, why not
> Eric Idle?

Or the performer at the inaugural concert for kids who spouted out
"America is the best F*****n' country in the world!"

Wow, talk about a conundrum! Here you have a person swearing, but doing
it patriotically!

>
>>>>Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network television. I
>>>>watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the networks. THe
>>>>only other TV I watch is on the History channel.
>
>
>
>>>The Simpsons is pure genius, probably the best show on TV in a generation.
>>>That it survives on Fox is even more ironic.
>
>
>>Fox network and Fox news seem to act almost like two different entities.
>>The Network seems to put on shows that offend the more priggish among
>>us, and the News group seems to attract them.
>
>
> Same principle as HS: It's all about ratings.
>
>
>>I'm sure that the other networks would be very happy for Fox to drop
>>the Simpsons. Probably start a bidding war to pick them up.
>
>
> Which is why Fox hangs on to the show.
>
>
>>>>I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR, I'm
>>>>listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm not the

>>>>only one either.
>
>
>
>>>Exactly.
>
>
>
>>>>The unintended consequence? The F.C.C. is unintentionally providing a
>>>>powerful incentive for stations to move to venues that the F.C.C. does
>>>>not have jurisdiction over, and therefore failing to accomplish their
>>>>goal. They may clean up what is left of the "public" airways, but there
>>>>won't be many people listening.
>
>
>
>>>Perhaps that's the goal!
>
>
>>>Consider what has happened to broadcasting in general in the past couple of
>>>decades. AM BC radio, once king, is now a backwater compared to FM BC
>>>radio.
>
>
>>That is what happens when you broadcast garbage. In our area, we have
>>three main stations. One does carry ESPN sports, and I'll listen to Jim
>>Rome occasionally and Steelers games. The other two carry the EXACT same
>>programming, with gems like Savage Nation and some weird screechy lady
>>at night who is too creepy for me to stay on frequency to find out who
>>she is. Not too many local businesses advertise on that one, as it seems
>>most of the commercials are Canadian! I kid you not!
>
>
> Weird!
>
> But I'm mostly used to major markets. Here in Philly, AM was king when I was a
> kid, right up into the '70s. FM existed all the way back to the early '50s, but
> was the province of classical, educational and other special-interest radio.
>
> Then progressive stations began to show up on FM, and shatter the Top 40 AM
> formula to bits. They played entire album sides, cutting-edge comedy like
> Firesign Theatre, had live interviews and even performances. (Somewhere I have
> a reel-to-reel tape of Jackson Browne, when he was almost unknown, performing
> live at the Main Point in Bryn Mawr - recorded from an FM station about 1971).

Now you have me reminiscing. WRSC FM in the late 60's, early 70's was a
State College sation that was very progressive. They did the albums,
theme nights, and real music all the time. I tuned in to this as a
freshman in high school, and was hooked immediately. While my classmates
were listening to the Grass Roots, 1910 Fruitgum Company, and Gary

Puckett and the Union Gap, I was doing Hendrix, Led Zappelin, Cream and
other non teeny stuff.

> Both the technical quality and the programming quality of FM in those days
> pulled a lot of people away from AM.
>
>
>>If you want to hear some of Michael Savage's compassionate conservatism,
>>go to:
>>
>>http://tinyurl.com/5ywcw
>>
>>and check out the Michael Savage, Tsunami no tragedy clip.
>
>
> I'll take a listen.
>
>>FM around here is not a lot better
>>
>>
>>>Deregulation has homogenized both of them. Neither is a big moneymaker nor
>>>job-creator, because the markets are saturated and there's a limit to
>>>advertising revenue. The receiver technology is mature and there are no
>>>ongoing
>>>user fees. BC TV is in a similar state, compounded by the limping move to
>>>HDTV.
>
>
>
>>>Into this mess comes satellite radio, internet and cable TV, BPL, etc. All
>>>promise lots of new jobs and revenue sources. Plus the FCC doesn't have the
>>>headaches of trying to regulate them.
>>>
>>>Some folks are cheering that Mr. Powell is leaving FCC, but ya gotta wonder
>>>who will replace him.
>
>
>
>>>>TLOUC's in action!
>
>
>
>>>TLUOCs?
>
>
>>Sorry, transposed to of the letters.
>>
>>The Law Of Untended Consequences.
>
>

> Yep!
>
> All as a result of short-term focus and diversion by hot-button red-herring
> issues.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> "Kokava aro, kokava tua, te igoa o te akuaku, erua"

I'll bite... What is?

- mike KB3EIA -

794. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 01:19:21 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@mail.com>
Message-ID: <1106443160.998990.136200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102360
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

Aratzio wrote:
<SNIP my sweet>
Hi There Aratzio:
You sound kind of like a hottie.....I'd love to feel you deep inside my
man-puss. PLEASE Tell Me About YOUR Hot Fuck-Stick!!

795. Re: How High Will Helium Baloons Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 01:27:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1106443629.879489.112970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102361

Mike Coslo wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Looks like somebody cottoned on to what some hams are doing:
> >>>>>http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6843771
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> Quick! Someone tell them it won't work!! ;^)
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I'll pass on your troll for now Michael. But I *will* be back
later
> >
> > . .
> >
> >>>bank it.
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >> I'm trolling when I answer Jim's email?
> >
> >
> > This ain't an e-mail circuit.
> >
> >
> >>I stopped discussing the matter
> >>in this group.
> >
> >
> > Uhh . . why . . ?
>
> I'll answer this one, but let me bow out of any more.
>

> There just wasn't much reason to continue the discussions. Whereas I

> wanted some discussion about possibilities, a lot of what I got was
in
> the form of how things that were being done on a regular basis -
> couldn't be done.
>
> So what do I do? An awful lot of people might get sarcastic and
snide
> about it, but I prefer not to. Sometimes discretion is gritting your
> teeth and moving on.
>
> If I launch a balloon, and it goes to 50 Kfeet, then I suppose it
means
> I'm a failure, and if I report a 100Kfeet launch and successful
> retrieval, I guess I'm rubbing it in.
>
> Obviously it was naivety for me to expect a response other than what
I
> received, don't you agree? 8^)

I couldn't agree more. With all of it. This NG has been around for well over 10 years and it is what it is and always has been, an online harpoon target range and you oughta known what was gonna happen. Any post anybody tosses out around here is an invitation to get skewered for jollies - or for serious in occasional instances. Your nice guyism has been duly noted but don't expect reciprosity when you toss out an absoutely irresistable target like your balloon thing. Then comes the post-facto petulism problem but never mind . .
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

796. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 02:14:31 UTC
From: Troublemaker <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5oSdnT9g5rsalW7cRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 102362
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

"Aratzio" <a6ahlyv02@sneakemail.com> wrote in message
news:j5t5v0lqq5641o4cf898jp67c71hb5vktg@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 19:28:07 -0500, "Troublemaker"
> <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> got double secret probation because:
>
>>For the love of all that is human - stop cross-posting this unrelated
>>bullshit in MTT!
>>
>
> And if they do not, will you be stomping your foot and being very
> cross with them?

Nah - I guess I was just too lazy to bother setting up a filter so this
cross-posted, ass-loving bullshit doesn't show on my newsreader. No longer a
problem. Have an ass-licking day! :)

797. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 02:19:57 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iaqrh90.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102367

On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 17:27:30 -0500, ai8o wrote:

>The FCC commissioners are all WASH DC political hacks. LOTS of
>"connections", but NO TECHNICAL experience.

Technical experience by itself isn't necessary if the Commissioner(s) has/have an Engineering Assistant whose job is to absorb the technical stuff and lay it out in a manner that the Commissioner can understand and act on.

The good Commissioners have all had such folks on their staff. None of the current ones do, although I could be wrong in regard to Commissioners Copps and Adelstein, the only two with brains.

Several decades ago a former colleague of mine started a movement to get the Communications Act changed to mandate that at least one Commissioner have a degree in engineering or physics or be a Registered Professional Engineer. Although most of the engineers supported that, it went absolutely nowhere.

The last "engineer" Commissioner was George Sterling in the late 1940s. During WW-II he had headed up the Radio Intelligence Division which chased German and Japanese spies using radio. He was also the last Commissioner who was a licensed ham during his time at the agency.

Common sense and a good grasp of what communication regulation should be is what is really needed. Rubber stamps can be obtained at any office supply store.

Two more electron's worth....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

798. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 02:31:35 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iaqs0n4.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102366

On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 15:09:47 GMT, King Zulu wrote:

>The first requirement for FCC chief should be NO law
>degree. Some brains and some technical comprehension would be a plus.

One of the best, if not THE best Chairman that the FCC had was
Dick Wiley (Nixon appointee-mid 1970s) who was a top-notch lawyer
before coming to the Commission. He had an engineering assistant
to whom he could turn to explain the issues, and Dick was sharp
enough to listen and understand what he was being told.

I got to brief him about a special project involving TV technical
standards for which I was heading up the field measurements and
analysis portion, and from his many questions he seemed to understand
what was going on the first time.

After his term was over, he went back to his old law firm (Wiley,
Rein, and Fielding) where he still is.

I wish we could get him back. He would have made short shrift of
the BPL business.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

799. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 02:32:53 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iaqs2t5.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102363

On 22 Jan 2005 16:16:17 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>Some folks are cheering that Mr. Powell is leaving FCC, but ya gotta
>wonder who will replace him.

Rumors in the industry come up with a name of a Texas state
regulator, that person being eons worse than Mister Michael.

There are two sitting Commissioners either of whom would make an
excellent Chairman, but being Democrats they don't have a chance of
a snowball in hell.

The other two sitting Commissioners would scare me were either of
them installed as Chairman. It's not because they are Republicans -
two of the best Chairmen in my memory were Republicans. It's because
they scare me....

My two electron's worth, based on serving under about ten different
Chairmen and meeting half of them in person.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

800. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 02:38:24 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iaqsc06.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102365

On 23 Jan 2005 00:30:49 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she doesn't/hasn't lived
>up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, she insists
>on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or even Ed.D.
>She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.

It is in Physiology. We in the ba.broadcast group usually refer to
her as "the Quactor".

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

801. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 02:45:35 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iaqsnz7.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102364

On 22 Jan 2005 06:38:38 -0800, K4YZ wrote:

>> Adoration is close to worship. But I don't think that's what's
>> between Dave and me.
>
>That's "...Dave and I.". Not "...Dave and me."

Wrong. Drop the "Dave and" and see what's left grammatically.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

802. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 03:04:15 UTC
From: Laura Bush murdered her boy friend <xeton2001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106449455.026521.163600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Stop the quibbling. You're just a sick pedal-phile who doesn't want to be caught breaking the law. How many people have you murdered so far with your speeding?

803. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 03:17:38 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <2r6dnYzw04WigW7cRVn-3A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Laura Bush murdered her boy friend and Ted Kennedy in a drunken stupor
drove his car off a bridge and on purpose left a young woman to drown wrote:

> Stop the quibbling. You're just a sick pedal-phile who doesn't want to
> be caught breaking the law. How many people have you murdered so far
> with your speeding?
>

Go back to your political groupies dearie, this technical stuff is way
over your little bleached blonde head.

804. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 03:28:51 UTC
From: "James C. Reeves" <jcnospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <d9adnWd57pRphG7cRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 102369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Brent P" <tetraethylleadREMOVETHIS@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:25udnRh0QdhQRWzcRVn-sA@comcast.com...
> In article <tvCdneiFx8F8TWzcRVn-tQ@comcast.com>, James C. Reeves wrote:
>
>> Nothing to interpret. A radar detector is not "designed or intended" to
>> "scramble" radar signals. Neither is a missing tag. The wording is as
>> clear as it can be. It seems to me that the problem here is not
>> "interpretation", but "comprehension".
>
> INTENDED TO INTERFERE WITH, DISRUPT, OR SCRAMBLE
>
> One could argue the radar detector does interfere with police usage of
> the device. Take out the words PASSIVE and INTERFERE WITH and the worries
> go away.
>
>

One can argue anything...usually at $300 an hour tough.

805. Re: This weekend on the N9OGL Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 03:45:32 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <csv6ks$g80$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 102371

Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:
>On this weekend show.
>This weekend on the N9OGL show...
>The death of Amateur Radio
>and
>The FCC: Do they REALLY serve the public interest
>This weekend on 28.555 MHz at 6.00 PM and 9.00PM CST

Unusual choice of frequency and times given where we're
currently at in the sun spot cycle (unless your goal
is for no one to hear you!).

73, Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

806. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 04:50:21 UTC
From: Aratzio <a6ahlyv02@sneakemail.com>
Message-ID: <37b6v0dt84c5pepj4a8e783mj0bin3cmol@4ax.com>
Source record: 102372
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 21:14:31 -0500, "Troublemaker"
<surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> got double secret probation because:

>
>"Aratzio" <a6ahlyv02@sneakemail.com> wrote in message
>news:j5t5v0lqq5641o4cf898jp67c71hb5vktg@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 19:28:07 -0500, "Troublemaker"
>> <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> got double secret probation because:
>>
>>>For the love of all that is human - stop cross-posting this unrelated
>>>bullshit in MTT!
>>>
>>
>> And if they do not, will you be stomping your foot and being very
>> cross with them?
>
>Nah - I guess I was just too lazy to bother setting up a filter so this
>cross-posted, ass-loving bullshit doesn't show on my newsreader. No longer a
>problem. Have an ass-licking day! :)
>

Now that was not hard.

Where the hell is Bullis?

807. Re: This weekend on the N9OGL Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 05:09:10 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <Ys2dnZDYUMsrqm7cRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102374

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:
>
>>On this weekend show.
>>This weekend on the N9OGL show...
>>The death of Amateur Radio
>>and
>>The FCC: Do they REALLY serve the public interest
>>This weekend on 28.555 MHz at 6.00 PM and 9.00PM CST
>
>
> Unusual choice of frequency and times given where we're
> currently at in the sun spot cycle (unless your goal
> is for no one to hear you!).

I think that's the point, he really doesn't want anyone to hear just how
stupid he really is.

808. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 05:28:18 UTC
From: tetraethylleadREMOVETHIS@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <mfidnbgCP71vqG7cRVn-1w@comcast.com>
Source record: 102373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In article <d9adnWd57pRphG7cRVn-tA@comcast.com>, James C. Reeves wrote:

> One can argue anything...usually at $300 an hour tough.

That cop just takes the radar detector. The citizen pays the $300 an hour
to argue it wasn't passively interfering.

809. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 08:17:41 UTC
From: john and steph <hintonz@tpg.com.au>
Message-ID: <41f35dff$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 102376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106283250.487248.308990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> nope...2nd place to mopeydopey
>

don`t know from shit dumb mo fo jonz

810. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 09:59:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1106474364.799140.253850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Daniel J. Stern wrote:
> On Sat, 22 Jan 2005, Tony VE6MVP wrote:
>
> > Except that at least two provinces don't have a front license
plate.
>
> ...but Colorado does, and that's where the law is proposed. What's
your
> point?

Pennsylvania, the fifth most populous state in the U.S. is another
one-tag state so a PA driver is in "trouble" when he passes thru CO??
That's the point.

Beyond that assume some ham with a vehicle that looks like a porcupine
or a mobile antenna farm gets pulled over in CO and the cop sees a heap
of frederally licensed radios in the vehicle and confiscates the whole
pile on the spot thru sheer technical ignorance on his part.
Remind me to stay the hell outta CO . .

w3rv

811. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 11:05:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1106478351.069500.94610@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102379

Caveat Lector wrote:
> Ah interesting on the single paddle ops at the big contest station.
Quite
> the opposite in several contest stations here.

Some of it might involve the demographics of that particular crew, it's
a bunch of geezers.

> Some comments on Iambic keying.
>
> Iambic ops claim "Overall, single lever paddles take more mechanical
motion
> to send characters than an iambic paddle."

But only if the user actually using iambic keying with a twin-paddle
key. Which I don't think I'm doing. It's all deeply buried unconcoius
reflexes, it just "happens". Looney!

> "Expert ops can send continuously without leaving their fingers off
the
> paddles, so perhaps it is best described as a touch-squeeze keying
> technique."

OK . .

> Be aware there are two different modes of Iambic operation - type A
and type
> B. When a squeeze is released during an element (dot or dash), type
"B" adds
> the opposite element. Type "A" just finishes the element in progress
and
> does not produce a following alternate element. For example, in Type
"A"
> Iambic, a squeeze release during the "dah" in the letter A will
produce "dit
> dah" (A). In Type "B" Iambic, a squeeze release during the "dah" in
the
> letter A will produce "dit dah dit" (R). That is if the left paddle
is still
> depressed at the half-way point of the dah.

I was aware of all of all this four decades ago. Problem is that
there's a huge difference between "being aware of" and actually digging
into it and learning how to do it. Which I never much bothered with
then and haven't even thought about until very recently.

Ball = Dropped. Thanks for the details on Modes A & B, they'll help.

> My buddy - a top contester sez "So Iambic takes delicate practice,
but after
> a while the finger-brain activity becomes second nature and it will
be as
> easy as single paddle or straight key sending, but a lot less tiring
and it
> is probably the fastest keying method."

Manual keying during contests is rapidly becoming an anachronism given the current crop of computer logging programs. Virtually all of the CW transmitted by the serious contesters during the contests is coming out of their programmed keyboard function keys. Even worse, depending on yer perspective, the current thrust is toward getting away from having to remember what function key transmits what and just mousing & clicking on the required line of text on the tube. No J-38s, no bugs, no paddles, no nuttin', just the mouse . . how tiring is THAT?? Maybe paddles are another piece which have seen their day and are on their way onto the collector's shelves as far as CW contesting goes.

> I am a single paddle guy, so can't attest to the Iambic preference.
but I am
> not a top contester (;-)

This bunch of paddle slappers is a collection of world-class DX contesters. Puzzlement.

> For those interested in where Iambic came from, here is the best
opinions.
>
> Iamb dates back to ancient Greek poetry. Iamb is a term from
literature
> meaning a two-syllable rhythm. An "iamb" consists of two syllables.
It may
> be two separate words, one word with two syllables, or even a portion
of a
> multi-syllable word. But the important thing is that each of the two
> syllables has a different "accent." Sometimes the accent is called a
SHORT/
> LONG. That means, the first word comes quickly off the mouth when
spoken;
> the second word actually sounds for a longer time. So somewhere along
the
> line someone dubbed the squeeze key method of sending Morse
characters as
> Iambic, perhaps because of the short/long (dit/dah) aspect.

Right outta ninth grade poerty class, iambic pentameter and all that . . Di DAH, di DAH, di DAH . .

. . humpf . . ! Heh . . .

At this late date I'm compelled to go back and review the way my fingers are creating the dots n' dashes which crawl up the coax and out

to into the ether. Give modes A & B a fair, hard look forty years late,
try a single lever paddle on the air where it really matters, etc.
Worthwile winter project.

> Caveat Lector

w3rv

812. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 13:16:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106486177.808245.213510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102380

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY wrote:
> >Mike Coslo wrote:

> >>I don't think I've ever heard Dr Laura ever ask anyone to
> >>show her their boobs!!

> > No, but we she does/has done is worse. Her show basically > >
consists of
> > her lecturing people about how they have to adopt her
> > values in order for their lives to work.
>
> I see where you are going. I don't quite equate the two
> things as equal,
> but agree that the show and here are something else. Some of > what
she
> says is correct, but her delivery and sanctimony make you
> achingly want to disagree with her always.

Agreed - but some of what she says is dead wrong too.
>
> Heh, sounds like a friend of ours!

In many ways!!
>
> > What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she
> > doesn't/hasn't lived
> > up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, > > she
insists
> > on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or > > even
Ed.D.
> > She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.

Phil Kane, K2ASP, informs us in another post that her degree
is in physiology. That's a fur piece from what she does.
>
> I asked a colleague who is a psychologist about Dr Laura,
> and what he
> thought of that sort of thing. He replied "A good rule of
> thumb is that
> if a person is called "Dr and their first name" they
> probably aren't the
> best person to listen to. Dr. Laura, Dr. Phil, Dr. Nick...

Dr. No...

> The woman has a checkered past for sure, by here present
> standards, she
> also appears to have occasional "episodes", after which she > feels
the
> need to get a little more shrill in her approach.

That's just one aspect. Consider the whole "I am my kid's mom"
nonsense, compared to how she raises *her* kid...

>The strange thing is that she has not learned the lesson that
> you can
> improve your morals and values, but *you* have to do it, and
> someone
> virtually screaming at you probably *won't* get you to do
> it. Oddly
> enough, the early Dr. Laura probably knew that already

The sad part is that she has created such a following of
people who need *real* help. For all his blather, no one
really takes HS that seriously.
>
> > Lots of other examples.

> >>>>While this may please some with how Tough we can get on
> >>>>these evil
> >>>>sinners, what is the consequence?
>
> >>>>Howard now has a show on satellite radio. While his show has
> >>>>always
> >>>>been an unmitigated piece of crap, he is now under even less
> >>>>constraints.

> >>>Whatever you think of HS, you have to give him credit for certain
things:

> >>>1) He gets incredible ratings wherever he is on the air.
>
> >>>2) He revolutionized morning "adult rock" drivetime radio. I know
this
> >>>firsthand, because Philadelphia was one of the very first cities
his show
> >>>was syndicated to.

> >>>Before HS, morning "adult rock" drivetime radio followed a very
strict
> >>>formula
> >>>in almost all markets. The "morning zoo" formula had some sort of
DJ, with
> >>>maybe a sidekick or two. They would play records and canned comedy
bits,
> >>>then
> >>>break for news, sports, weather, traffic and commercials. The
content was

> >>>always local and the talent varied all over the map.
>
> >>>An unshakeable article of the formula was that morning drivetime
radio had
> >>>to
> >>>be locally generated. When HS first came to Philly 20+ years ago,
the
> >>>"professionals in radio" said he was doomed to failure because
> >>>Philadelphians
> >>>would not listen to a New York-based station. Worse, HS did not
follow the
> >>>formula at all. Yet he quickly took near-top place in the ratings,
second
> >>>only
> >>>to the all-news/traffic/weather/sports station. Philadelphians
simply punch
> >>>one
> >>>button to get the traffic or whatever from KYW, then back to HS
for
> >>>"entertainment".
> >>>
> >>>3) He branched out into other media venues, which was unheard of
before >>
> >
> > HS.
> >
> >
> >>>4) HS has held up to the light what really makes the broadcast
media tick.
> >>>It's
> >>>all about ratings, because ratings drive advertising revenue. At
the same
> >>>time
> >>>he drives production cost *down* because it's cheaper for local
stations to
> >>>buy his show than to produce their own.

> >>>Ironically, his success is due to strict adherence to "republican"
values
> >>>of a free market and competition.
>
> >>Correction Jim. *Conservative* values, not Republican values.
> >>Conservatives are an endangered species.

> > You are correct, sir! My mistake.
>
> >>>His defense has always come down to a single
> >>>point: Millions of people *choose to* listen to him, yet only a
few
> >>>complain.
> >

> >
> >>Frankly, I find him boring
> >
> >
> > Millions don't - that's the key.
> >
> >
> >>>Now HS will move to satellite radio, and take tens of millions of
people
> >>>with him.
> >
> >
> >>Yup, his presence will be a shot in the arm for satellite radio.
> >
> >
> > For Sirius radio, anyway.
> >
> >
> >>>>Next, the draconian fines that may be levied on stations that
carry
> >>>>obscene language or visuals is causing stations to be very
cautious
> >>>>about what they carry. Cases in point are stations that would not
air
> >>>>Saving Private Ryan. Whether you think that was a political stunt
or
> >>>>not, the pervious time that the movie was shown, there *were* a
lot of
> >>>>complaints about the language. What is a prudent company to do?
> >
> >
> >
> >>>Yep. Note the peculiarly American fixation on language and sex as
> >>>objectionable, but not violence.
>
> >
> >>>>So now we have people making nasty little songs ridiculing the
F.C.C.
> >>>>on the internet, and there is talk of more television stations
making
> >>>>the switch to paid tv or pay per view instead of the old free tv
paradigm.
> >
> >
> >
> >>>But is the song really "nasty"?
> >
> >
> >>Seems that way to me! I know I never said that phrase to anyone
without

> >>being ready for a fight. Not friendly talk.
> >
> >
> > Not at all friendly!
> >
> > But if that word is OK for Vice President Dick Cheney to use in
public, why not
> > Eric Idle?
>
>Or the performer at the inaugural concert for kids who spouted out
> "America is the best F*****n' country in the world!"

He's just following the VP's example!
>
> Wow, talk about a conundrum! Here you have a person swearing,
> but doing it patriotically!

"the last refuge of scoundrels"

> >>>>Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network
television. I
> >>>>watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the networks.
THe
> >>>>only other TV I watch is on the History channel.
> >
> >
> >
> >>>The Simpsons is pure genius, probably the best show on TV in a
generation.
> >>>That it survives on Fox is even more ironic.
> >
> >
> >>Fox network and Fox news seem to act almost like two different
entities.
> >>The Network seems to put on shows that offend the more priggish
among
> >>us, and the News group seems to attract them.
> >
> >
> > Same principle as HS: It's all about ratings.
> >
> >
> >>I'm sure that the other networks would be very happy for Fox to
drop
> >>the Simpsons. Probably start a bidding war to pick them up.
> >
> >
> > Which is why Fox hangs on to the show.
> >
> >
> >>>>I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR, I'm

> >>>>listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm
not the
> >>>>only one either.
> >
> >
> >
> >>>Exactly.
> >
> >
> >
> >>>>The unintended consequence? The F.C.C. is unintentionally
providing a
> >>>>powerful incentive for stations to move to venues that the F.C.C.
does
> >>>>not have jurisdiction over, and therefore failing to accomplish
their
> >>>>goal. They may clean up what is left of the "public" airways, but
there
> >>>>won't be many people listening.
> >
> >
> >
> >>>Perhaps that's the goal!
> >
> >
> >>>Consider what has happened to broadcasting in general in the past
couple of
> >>>decades. AM BC radio, once king, is now a backwater compared to FM
BC
> >>>radio.
> >
> >
> >>That is what happens when you broadcast garbage. In our area, we
have
> >>three main stations. One does carry ESPN sports, and I'll listen to
Jim
> >>Rome occasionally and Steelers games. The other two carry the EXACT
same
> >>programming, with gems like Savage Nation and some weird screechy
lady
> >>at night who is too creepy for me to stay on frequency to find out
who
> >>she is. Not too many local businesses advertise on that one, as it
seems
> >>most of the commercials are Canadian! I kid you not!
> >
> >
> > Weird!
> >
> > But I'm mostly used to major markets. Here in Philly, AM was king

when I was a
> > kid, right up into the '70s. FM existed all the way back to the
early '50s, but
> > was the province of classical, educational and other
special-interest radio.
> >
> > Then progressive stations began to show up on FM, and shatter the
Top 40 AM
> > formula to bits. They played entire album sides, cutting-edge
comedy like
> > Firesign Theatre, had live interviews and even performances.
(Somewhere I have
> > a reel-to-reel tape of Jackson Browne, when he was almost unknown,
performing
> > live at the Main Point in Bryn Mawr - recorded from an FM station
about 1971).
>
> Now you have me reminiscing. WRSC FM in the late 60's,
> early 70's was a
> State College sation that was very progressive. They did the albums,
> theme nights, and real music all the time. I tuned in to this as a
> freshman in high school, and was hooked immediately. While my
> classmates
> were listening to the Grass Roots, 1910 Fruitgum Company, and Gary
> Puckett and the Union Gap, I was doing Hendrix, Led Zappelin,
> Cream and other non teeny stuff.

In my time it was WMMR, but the same basic story.

Point is, over time the experimental/progressive elements disappeared
and were replaced by a formula. Homogenized just like Top 40 radio was.

>
> > Both the technical quality and the programming quality of FM in
> > those days pulled a lot of people away from AM.
> >
> >
> >>If you want to hear some of Michael Savage's compassionate
conservatism,
> >>go to:
> >>
> >>http://tinyurl.com/5ywcw
> >>
> >>and check out the Michael Savage, Tsunami no tragedy clip.

> > I'll take a listen.
>
> >>FM around here is not a lot better
> >>
> >>
> >>>Deregulation has homogenized both of them. Neither is a big

moneymaker nor
> >>>job-creator, because the markets are saturated and there's a limit
to
> >>>advertising revenue. The receiver technology is mature and there
are no
> >>>ongoing
> >>>user fees. BC TV is in a similar state, compounded by the limping
move to
> >>>HDTV.
> >
> >
> >
> >>>Into this mess comes satellite radio, internet and cable TV, BPL,
etc. All
> >>>promise lots of new jobs and revenue sources. Plus the FCC doesn't
have the
> >>>headaches of trying to regulate them.
> >>>
> >>>Some folks are cheering that Mr. Powell is leaving FCC, but ya
gotta wonder
> >>>who will replace him.
> >
> >
> >
> >>>>TLOUC's in action!
> >
> >
> >
> >>>TLUOCs?
> >
> >
> >>Sorry, transposed to of the letters.
> >>
> >>The Law Of Untended Consequences.
> >
> >
> > Yep!
> >
> > All as a result of short-term focus and diversion by hot-button
red-herring
> > issues.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > "Kokava aro, kokava tua, te igoa o te akuaku, erua"
>
> I'll bite... What is?
>
Do you know who Thor Heyerdahl was?

73 de Jim, N2EY

813. Re: The Cassini Probe [Was Re: The Cassini Probe and...]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 13:59:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106488765.160678.49770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102381

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <CpwId.5666$rp1.5008@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
robert casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >> Seems the Cassini probe has landed on Titan and found it covered
> >> in liquid methane...
> >
> >The probe was called "Huygens". Carried by Cassini.
>
> Please...do not correct a PCTA extra. That is a no-no in here.

No...it's only a "no-no" when the correction is in itself
incorrect.

I got it backwards...my bad.

(That's called an acknolwedgement of error, Lennie...You should
try it someday...You've made so many from which to choose)

> As to the alleged claim about LIQUID methane in my personal
> environment, that is quite wrong. The liquid form of methane can
> only exist at temperatures rather well below the coldest found on
> Earth. The "critic" seems familiar only with the GASEOUS form
> of methane. [may the aldehydes be with him...] To use a
> colloquial term, that critic is "a gas." Peee-uuuu.

Oh, I KNOW what temperatures methane liquifies at.

And I know what a cold piece of work you are.

And my reversal of the probes names notwithstanding, you're STILL
a putz, Lennie...

Steve, K4YZ

814. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 14:33:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106490822.865037.204720@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102383

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 22 Jan 2005 06:38:38 -0800, K4YZ wrote:
>
> >> Adoration is close to worship. But I don't think that's what's
> >> between Dave and me.
> >
> >That's "...Dave and I.". Not "...Dave and me."
>
> Wrong. Drop the "Dave and" and see what's left grammatically.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Congrats, Phil. It's usually Dave that pops in here with the grammar lessons.

815. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 14:35:35 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106490935.581771.12160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102382

N2EY wrote:
> In article <41F0097A.96ED6EEE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> A person can "have an interest" in something, yet not want
> >> to become part of that group. For example, I have a decades-long
interest
> >> in sexy women, but I have never desired to become one!
> >
> >Good one!
> >
> I've been lucky enough to know some great ones ;-)
Joan of Arc, Mother Theresa?

816. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 14:43:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106491400.193275.238570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102384

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 23 Jan 2005 00:30:49 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
> >What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she doesn't/hasn't
lived
> >up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, she
insists
> >on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or even Ed.D.
> >She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.
>
> It is in Physiology. We in the ba.broadcast group usually refer to
> her as "the Quactor".
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Academia is full of folks who insist upon being called "doctor," and
I'm not talking about medical schools. Jim doesn't seem to mind it.

As far as her moral values, perhaps she discovered them later in life
than did our precious N2EY. I guess God doesn't allow for mistakes or
late learners.

817. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 14:54:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106492049.053000.263150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102385

Dave Heil wrote:
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > Some say that the infrastructure "always" fails. :-)
>
> Hardwired communications infrastructure is much more likely to fail.

Hi! I'm sure the Chase and Bank One have switched over to digital HF
networks moving their financial data around.

> All cellular phones interface to wire lines at some point.
>
> Dave K8MN

My wired phones worked just fine during the Christmas ice storm. The
adjacent wires carrying power didn't. It's all so confusing.

818. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 15:30:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050123103040.04201.00000051@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 102386

In article <1106299260.658850.77990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >
>> > In article <20050105213021.06095.00000273@mb-m23.aol.com>,
>n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
>> > (N2EY) writes:
>> >
>> > >Subject: Test
>> > >From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY)
>> > >Date: 06 Jan 2005 02:30:21 GMT
>> > >
>> > >Sent 9:29 PM EST Jan 5 2005
>> > >
>> > >Is usenet working?
>> >
>> > Obviously not. Did you use the proper ARRL-approved procedure
>> > and correct prosigns?
>>
>> Do you do that?
>
>Oh Heaven Forbid!
>
>> > The above message appeared on Coronation Day (20 Jan 05)
>> > download of this newsgroup from AOL.
>>
>> If this was inauguration day for John Kerry, would it have been
>> Coronation Day?
>
>Of course not!
>
>Personally, I wish we could have had it rebroadcast all over the
>world.

I thought it was - satellites and all that.

>That "We, The People" business was done all over again, and once
>again we decided the leadership of the most powerful nation on Earth
>without firing a single shot!

Which is one of the true strengths of the USA.

>All the "shots' fired were of the verbal kind...the people were
>allowed to decide for themsleves, and their opinions solicited in the

>form of a ballot.

Yep. While the election process isn't perfect, and can be greatly improved,
it's better than most of the alternatives.

>Many didn't get what they hoped for, but they will move on to the
>NEXT election.

Yep. And that's a good thing.

>> > Had there been observation of the Newsgroup NEWS on AOL

Why should I do that?

>> > you would have seen the announcement (by AOL) that they
>> > had "technical difficulties" in interfacing to INTERNET
>> > newsgroups.

AOL is run by "professionals", right?

>> > On that same AOL NEWS section there is NO
>> > indication that AOL will be dropping newsgroup connections
>> > to the INTERNET in "early 2005."

Who said there was?

>> > Suggestion: Connect to DARPANET directly and use morse
>> > code mode communications. After all, "CW gets through
>> > when nothing else will, right?"

Who said that? Not me.

>> Tell us again what "usenet" stands for, Leonard.
>
>And tell us about operating with an expired license again
>too...That was too funny!
>
Here it is again:

Lenof21: "All licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating in their
grace period."

Simply not true, if the licensee hasn't applied for renewal before the
expiration date.

It's good to see a post where you don't call Len a putz, Steve.

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Kokava aro, kokava tua, te igoa o te akuaku, erua"

819. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 15:57:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F3C900.C040C708@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102387

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > > Some say that the infrastructure "always" fails. :-)
> >
> > Hardwired communications infrastructure is much more likely to fail.
>
> Hi! I'm sure the Chase and Bank One have switched over to digital HF
> networks moving their financial data around.

You think so? I don't. I'm guessing that their data moves via phone lines. If the phone lines aren't there, it'll move when there are phone lines. That's like what happens in my local grocery from time to time. The phone lines are down and the store can't sell West Virginia lottery tickets. People are told to come back later when the line is up.

> > All cellular phones interface to wire lines at some point.

> My wired phones worked just fine during the Christmas ice storm. The
> adjacent wires carrying power didn't. It's all so confusing.

I can understand your confusion, Brian. The power lines carry electricity. The telephone lines carry telephone conversations. The gas lines carry natural gas. Did you have any further questions?

Dave K8MN

820. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 16:02:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <jYKdnWTXaNYRV27cRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102388

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>>>I don't think I've ever heard Dr Laura ever ask anyone to
>>>>show her their boobs!!
>
>
>>>No, but we she does/has done is worse. Her show basically > >
>>> consists of
>>>her lecturing people about how they have to adopt her
>>>values in order for their lives to work.
>>
>>I see where you are going. I don't quite equate the two
>>things as equal,
>>but agree that the show and here are something else. Some of
>>> what she says is correct, but her delivery and sanctimony make you
>>achingly want to disagree with her always.
>
>
> Agreed - but some of what she says is dead wrong too.

Of course! Just in case you didn't see the "letter to Dr. Laura, I'll post it here:

Dear Dr. Laura,

Thank you for doing so much to educate people regarding God's law. I have learned a great deal from you, and I try to share that knowledge with as many people as I can. When someone tries to defend the homosexual lifestyle, for example, I simply remind him that Leviticus 18:22 clearly states it to be an abomination. End of debate. I do need some advice from you, however, regarding some of the specific laws and how to best follow them.

When I burn a bull on the altar as a sacrifice, I know it creates a pleasing odor for the Lord (Lev. 1:9). The problem is my neighbors. They claim the odor is not pleasing to them. How should I deal with this?

I would like to sell my daughter into slavery, as it suggests in Exodus 21:7. In this day and age, what do you think would be a fair price for her?

I know that I am allowed no contact with a woman while she is in her period of menstrual uncleanliness (Lev. 15:19-24). The problem is, how do I tell? I have tried asking, but most women take offense.

Lev. 25:44 states that I may buy slaves from the nations that are around us. A friend of mine claims that this applies to Mexicans but not Canadians. Can you clarify?

I have a neighbor who insists on working on the Sabbath. Exodus 35:2 clearly states he should be put to death. Am I morally obligated to kill him myself?

A friend of mine feels that even though eating shellfish is an abomination (Lev. 10:10), it is a lesser abomination than homosexuality. I don't agree. Can you settle this?

Lev. 20:20 states that I may not approach the altar of God if I have a defect in my sight. I have to admit that I wear reading glasses. Does my vision have to be 20/20, or is there some wiggle room here?

I know you have studied these things extensively, so I am confident you can help. Thank you again for reminding us that God's word is eternal and unchanging.

Hey! Why can't we own Canadians? ;^)

>>Heh, sounds like a friend of ours!
>
>
> In many ways!!
>
>>>What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she
>>>doesn't/hasn't lived
>>>up to the very values she preaches to others. For example,
>>> sheinsists on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD,
>>>Psy. D or even Ed.D.
>
>>>She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.
>
>
> Phil Kane, K2ASP, informs us in another post that her degree
> is in physiology. That's a fur piece from what she does.

When a person wants to have strong unshakable conviction, it is very important that they don't know a lot about the subject.

>>I asked a colleague who is a psychologist about Dr Laura,
>>and what he thought of that sort of thing. He replied "A good rule of
>>thumb is that if a person is called "Dr and their first name" they
>>probably aren't the best person to listen to. Dr. Laura, Dr. Phil, Dr. Nick...
>
>
> Dr. No...
>

>
>>The woman has a checkered past for sure, by here present
>>standards, she also appears to have occasional "episodes", after which she
>> feels the need to get a little more shrill in her approach.
>
>
> That's just one aspect. Consider the whole "I am my kid's mom"
> nonsense, compared to how she raises *her* kid...

She wasn't very respectful of her mother either, as it turns out.

>>The strange thing is that she has not learned the lesson that
>>you can improve your morals and values, but *you* have to do it, and
>>someone virtually screaming at you probably *won't* get you to do
>>it. Oddly enough, the early Dr. Laura probably knew that already
>
>
> The sad part is that she has created such a following of
> people who need *real* help. For all his blather, no one
> really takes HS that seriously.

Masochists have to turn to someone?

>>>Lots of other examples.
>

<some snippage>

>>Now you have me reminiscing. WRSC FM in the late 60's,
>>early 70's was a
>>State College sation that was very progressive. They did the albums,
>>theme nights, and real music all the time. I tuned in to this as a
>>freshman in high school, and was hooked immediately. While my
>>classmates
>>were listening to the Grass Roots, 1910 Fruitgum Company, and Gary
>>Puckett and the Union Gap, I was doing Hendrix, Led Zappelin,
>>Cream and other non teeny stuff.
>
>
> In my time it was WMMR, but the same basic story.
>
> Point is, over time the experimental/progressive elements disappeared
> and were replaced by a formula. Homogenized just like Top 40 radio was.

And of course, it becomes bland and boring. CLever paradigm they had.
Problem is, it is a bad paradigm.

>>>Both the technical quality and the programming quality of FM in
>>>those days pulled a lot of people away from AM.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>If you want to hear some of Michael Savage's compassionate

>> conservatism, go to:
>>>>
>>>>http://tinyurl.com/5ywcw
>>>>
>>>>and check out the Michael Savage, Tsunami no tragedy clip.
>
>
>>>I'll take a listen.
>>
>>>>FM around here is not a lot better
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Deregulation has homogenized both of them. Neither is a big
>>>>>moneymaker nor job-creator, because the markets are saturated and
>>>>>there's a limit to advertising revenue. The receiver technology is
>>>>>mature and there are no ongoing user fees. BC TV is in a similar state,
>>>>>compounded by the limping move to HDTV.

You left out an important part, Jim. Let us take AM for example. It is now the purveyor of Cranky talk radio. While the people who want to listen to that sort of thing are simply wild about their shows, most people are not. So while the marketing drove itself in the new direction, a lot of people simply stopped tuning in at all. Less listeners. Bad idea to turn off half of your listener base, but there you go!

It is the same thing as the reality based TV show craze. That was the final straw in my shift away from network TV. Those who like REality shows just LOVE them. Many of the rest of us find it odd that people would want to sit around and watch shows glorifying "average".

But now even these shows are wearing thin. The trend of less people watching network TV will probably accelerate.

Hey, I have an idea! How about some quality programming? Put on a few science shows. Maybe some shows glorifying real heroes instead of crack smoking entertainers. Don't show the same ten movies over and over again - how many times do we need to see Kindergarten Cop, She-Devil, or Dirty Dancing? Those movies are often on multiple channels at the same time on any given weekend. My XYL is content to watch Dirty dancing over and over, but I think she has a thing for Patrick Swayze. 8^)

The audience is there, all they have to do is attract them with dome decent programming.

Remember the "21st Century" show with Walter Cronkite? That commercial with putting the baby chick in the insulating container, then dropping it into the boiling water, after which it was retrieved unharmed, entranced me as a child.

>>>>>Into this mess comes satellite radio, internet and cable TV, BPL,
>>>>> etc. All promise lots of new jobs and revenue sources. Plus the FCC
>>>>doesn't have the headaches of trying to regulate them.

Ah, the unintended consequences! Who is going to satisfy the Prigs need
for getting the trash off the airways?

<some more snippage>

>>>All as a result of short-term focus and diversion by hot-button
>>>red-herring issues.

>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>>"Kokava aro, kokava tua, te igoa o te akuaku, erua"
>>
>> I'll bite... What is?
>>
>
> Do you know who Thor Heyerdahl was?

Yeah, he was the Kon-Tiki guy. I'm not up on my Norwegian tho! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

821. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 16:12:35 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <T1QId.3327$r27.1849@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102389

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20050123103040.04201.00000051@mb-m22.aol.com...

:
: "Kokava aro, kokava tua, te igoa o te akuaku, erua"
:

He-k□ te ma'ea: "Kumao-□".

M.A.N.

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

822. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 16:42:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F3D3BB.32A4EBA7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102390

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:

> Of course! Just in case you didn't see the "letter to Dr. Laura, I'll
> post it here:
>
> Dear Dr. Laura,

> When I burn a bull on the altar as a sacrifice, I know it creates a
> pleasing odor for the Lord (Lev. 1:9). The problem is my neighbors. They
> claim the odor is not pleasing to them. How should I deal with this?

I suggest moving. My neighbors never object to the aroma of barbecued
beef, grilled over an open fire, fueled with hickory.

> Hey! Why can't we own Canadians? ;^)

They are on the endangered species list and are in short supply. There
is, on the other hand, a surplus of Mexicans.

> When a person wants to have strong unshakable conviction, it is very
> important that they don't know a lot about the subject.

There is a strong temptation to take this back into the morse code test
wars.

> >>>>>Deregulation has homogenized both of them. Neither is a big
> >>>>>moneymaker nor job-creator, because the markets are saturated and
> >>>>>there's a limit to advertising revenue. The receiver technology is
> >>>>>mature and there are no ongoing user fees. BC TV is in a similar state,
> >>>>>compounded by the limping move to HDTV.

AM broadcast radio is basically a wasteland. Spinning the dial in the
daytime from here shows wall-to-wall talk except for low powered station
in the Wheeling-Steubenville area which plays easy listening hits of the
'40s through the '70s. It can be heard here during the day but not at
night. The nights reveal a few music stations, including one in Canada.
Our local Clear Channel powerhouse, WWVA (which runs talk radio during
the day) sells its time to fundamentalist preachers except for Saturdays
when it airs the Jamboree.

I quit limping as regards HDTV last year. I went for it full bore. Neither of the two local TV stations is using enough power to reach me and I'm only 25-40 miles away. They aren't yet transmitting HDTV, just digital TV. Remember that I'm at a little over 1500 foot though. I installed a new TV antenna and modest preamp and use quality RG-6 coaxial cable. I receive Pittsburgh CBS, ABC and Fox affiliate HDTV along with PBS HDTV from Cambridge, Ohio and Morgantown, WV. I get sporadic HDTV signal from the Pittsburgh NBC affiliate. When it ups its power, I'll have the major networks covered. The received picture is phenomenal.

> You left out an important part, Jim. Let us take AM for example. It is
> now the purveyor of Cranky talk radio. While the people who want to
> listen to that sort of thing are simply wild about their shows, most
> people are not.

I like Glenn Beck, who mixes conservative talk with humor. I sometimes listen to Rush or to Hannity to balance the left-leaning stuff from NPR.

> So while the marketing drove itself in the new
> direction, a lot of people simply stopped tuning in at all. Less
> listeners. Bad idea to turn off half of your listener base, but there
> you go!

There's room for both music and talk on AM and FM The AM band is much better for cross country driving. Yeah, I know, there's always satellite radio. I'm not paying to listen to the radio in my car.

> It is the same thing as the reality based TV show craze. That was the
> final straw in my shift away from network TV. Those who like REality
> shows just LOVE them.

I find little of reality in them but much of stupidity. We find that we watch perhaps four shows from the big three networks. We have Dish Network here though if they keep jacking the prices, I'll investigate their rival or scale back.

> Many of the rest of us find it odd that people
> would want to sit around and watch shows glorifying "average".

You'll remember my line as used concerning amateur radio testing, "Strive to be mediocre!"

> But now even these shows are wearing thin. The trend of less people
> watching network TV will probably accelerate.

There's always a market for good drama, good comedy, good music and good discussion. The trouble is, it is a small market.

> Hey, I have an idea! How about some quality programming? Put on a few
> science shows. Maybe some shows glorifying real heroes instead of crack
> smoking entertainers. Don't show the same ten movies over and over again
> - how many times do we need to see Kindergarten Cop, She-Devil, or Dirty
> Dancing? Those movies are often on multiple channels at the same time on
> any given weekend. My XYL is content to watch Dirty dancing over and

> over, but I think she has a thing for Patrick Swayze. 8^)

There ya have it but who's going to watch it.

> The audience is there, all they have to do is attract them with dome
> decent programming.

I don't think the audience is there in large enough numbers, Mike.

> Remember the "21st Century" show with Walter Cronkite? That commercial
> with putting the baby chick in the insulating container, then dropping
> it into the boiling water, after which it was retrieved unharmed,
> entranced me as a child.

I'm old enough to remember "Omnibus" and "Wide, Wide World". I was enthralled with "Watch Mr. Wizard"--enough to whine my parents into getting me two of Don Herbert's science experiment books.

There's some quality television available today though. Philadelphia vs. Atlanta and Pittsburgh beating the Patriots for the second time.

Dave K8MN

823. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 17:30:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050123123050.25387.00000090@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 102391

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.iaqsc06.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 23 Jan 2005 00:30:49 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she doesn't/hasn't lived
>>up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, she insists
>>on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or even Ed.D.
>>She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.
>
> It is in Physiology.

Which is not even closely related.

> We in the ba.broadcast group usually refer to
> her as "the Quactor".

Bwaahaahaa! Good one!

The sad part is that many of her listeners think she really is qualified.

I think there was an episode of "Frasier" where he has to deal with a quack radio "doctor", who asks him to write the foreword in her new book.

73 de Jim, N2EY

824. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 18:36:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050123133642.25923.00000045@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 102392

In article <T1QId.3327$r27.1849@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>news:20050123103040.04201.00000051@mb-m22.aol.com...
>
>:
>: "Kokava aro, kokava tua, te igoa o te akuaku, erua"
>:
>
>He-kï¿½ te ma'ea: "Kumao-ï¿½".

HAW!

I'll translate mine if you translate yours!

73 de Jim, N2EY

825. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 19:15:44 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <AJSId.3673$YD5.2762@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102393

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20050123133642.25923.00000045@mb-m26.aol.com...
: In article <T1QId.3327$r27.1849@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>, "Mel A.
: Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> writes:
:
: >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
: >news:20050123103040.04201.00000051@mb-m22.aol.com...
: >
: >:
: >: "Kokava aro, kokava tua, te igoa o te akuaku, erua"
: >:
: >
: >He-k te ma'ea: "Kumao-".
:
: HAW!
:
: I'll translate mine if you translate yours!
:
: 73 de Jim, N2EY

Roughly translated from rapanui, it means: "The rocks said 'OK, Fine'"

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

826. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 19:22:28 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <y6idneM-zcAWZG7cRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 102394

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1106492049.053000.263150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> > Some say that the infrastructure "always" fails. :-)
>>
>> Hardwired communications infrastructure is much more likely to fail.
>
> Hi! I'm sure the Chase and Bank One have switched over to digital HF
> networks moving their financial data around.
>
>> All cellular phones interface to wire lines at some point.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> My wired phones worked just fine during the Christmas ice storm. The
> adjacent wires carrying power didn't. It's all so confusing.
>

Not when you know that wired phone systems and designs are so old fashioned
that they get their power through the telephone line itself rather than the
power distribution grid and that many of the phone companies still have
their emergency generators to supply power when the power grid does go down.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

827. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 19:35:47 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <ct0uajhqk1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 102395

Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
> Ham
>Radio was dissed by the FCC as not being relevant enough to protect from BPL
>interference.

That's an awfully strong statement -- please provide proof that the FCC
actually made that proclamation.

73, Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

828. Re: Come on down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 19:54:14 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <ct0vd6ida1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 102396

Ham Guy <BLM555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>(Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

>>Radio Ron <RadioRon@Mymail.net> wrote:
>>>Nobody seems to care about amateur radio including the hams themselves.If
>>>you question what I say,just hangout on 75 meters and just listen to the
>>>foul mouth bigots and the racial slurs that you hear.It isn't any different

>>Your brush is too broad. There are over 600 kilohams in the US. You're
>>hearing at most 100 of them, which amounts to 0.00017 (seventeen
>>hundred-thousandths), or 0.017% (seventeen thousandths percent). That's
>>not bad at all. Show us any other group that has such a low percentage
>>of bad boys.
>>Even if there were 1000 of them, that still amounts to only 0.0017 or
>>0.17% of entire amateur population. The problem is that if you so much
>>as sneeze on HF, half the world can possibly hear you; that factor is
>>what makes their behavior stand out. If their QSOs were occurring on VHF,
>>no one except locals would take notice.
>>
>>Jeff KH6O

>I don't think you appreciate the psychology of the situation.

Oh, I do. You said "nobody seems to care" which would indicate that
100% of the amateur community and the FCC have turned their backs to
our problems; that is completely false. Recall your logic 101 class:
To prove that "nobody cares" is false, it only takes one person who
does care -- that fact that you do care invalidates your own claim!

> Try this
>experiment; Take a plain, white piece of 8 1/2" by 11" printer paper.
>Using a black magic marker, make a dot about the size of a dime on one
>side of the paper. Now, show the paper to several people and ask them
>what the first thing is that they notice. The majority of them will...

Your experiment coincides with my statement that if you so much as
sneeze on HF, half the world could possibly hear you. The riff-raff
are a tiny fraction of the amateur community, yet their bad behavior
on HF does present a bad image for the rest of us. But again, you
can't conclude that "nobody seems to care."

One thing is for sure, even the riff-raff can learn enough code to get
an HF license.

73, Jeff KH6O
--

Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

829. Re: The Cassini Probe [Was Re: The Cassini Probe and...]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 20:04:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050123150439.11141.00000118@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 102397

In article <1106488765.160678.49770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>Len Anderson wrote:
>> In article <CpwId.5666$rp1.5008@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
>robert casey
>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>No...it's only a "no-no" when the correction is in itself
>incorrect.

Tsk. You mean like "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"

>I got it backwards...my bad.

You don't have it backwards, forwards, or sideways. You just
don't have it.

>(That's called an acknolwedgement of error, Lennie...You should
>try it someday...You've made so many from which to choose)

You've never acknowledged that the Department of Defense
directs MARS.

You've never acknowledged the when and where of those
"seven hostile actions."

You've never acknowledged that the University of Illinois is
NOT a correspondence school.

You've never acknowledged anything close to working military
communications in a long service with the U.S. military. You've
never acknowledged any working knowledge of common small-
unit military radios in use during that U.S. military service.

You've never acknowledged any working knowledge of Yiddish
yet you freely use at least one Yiddish pejorative in many public
postings.

You've never acknowledged the real reason for your very short
"career in electronics engineering" as a purchasing agent for a
set-top-box maker that lasted less than half a year.

You've never acknowledged that the U.S. military did NOT make
you any sort of pilot of any military aircraft despite implying that
you were licensed as a private pilot before entering the military.

You've never acknowledged many, many mistakes you've made
in here, constantly over several years.

You've never acknowledged any knowledge of radio-electronics
circuitry behind the front panels of amateur radios, yet you claim
to be knowledgeable about "radio."

>
>> As to the alleged claim about LIQUID methane in my personal
>> environment, that is quite wrong. The liquid form of methane can
>> only exist at temperatures rather well below the coldest found on
>> Earth. The "critic" seems familiar only with the GASEOUS form
>> of methane. [may the aldehydes be with him...] To use a
>> colloquial term, that critic is "a gas." Peee-uuuu.
>
>Oh, I KNOW what temperatures methane liquifies at.

Then write it. Demonstrate that methane can exist in liquid form
anywhere on the Earth's surface.

>And I know what a cold piece of work you are.

98.6 F average.

>And my reversal of the probes names notwithstanding, you're STILL
>a putz, Lennie...

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Calling others the equivalent of "penis head" is not
considered "civil" and that is certainly not "reasonable discourse."

Tell us again how your warm, witty, and civil manner attracts all
those non-radio people into amateur radio and the hundreds (?)
or dozens (?) or a few (?) you have "mentored" into ham radio.

Tell us again of your vast experience in the aerospace industry
from which you can use as a reference to "correct" everyone else
and lends credence to your credentials as a space biz guru.

Tell us again of how you can simply pick up a telephone and
call authorities (by virtue of your importance as a "medical
professional") to come and have "anyone" picked up and
committed (to a mental institution).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 23 Jan 05
LenAnderson@ieee.org

830. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 20:04:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050123150441.11141.00000119@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 102398

In article <1105123617.852572.272400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
N2EY@AOL.COM writes:

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>> >From: N2EY@AOL.COM
>> >Date: 1/6/2005 6:00 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1105056049.926253.31850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
>> >Lenof21 wrote:
>
>> >> WHY? The license holder isn't prohibited from doing anything
>> >> after
>> >> midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license
>> >> period...and for two more years into that grace period.
>
>> >That's simply not true, Len. The holder of an expired FCC amateur
>radio
>> >license cannot legally operate until the license has been renewed.
>
>> >This is the second time I've seen you state that mistake here in the
>> >past few days. An expired FCC amateur license carries *no* operating
>> >privleges.
>
>> >IS there some reason you persist in this obvious error?
>
>> I told ya... His Worminess has yet laid his long-tailed ignorance to
>bare
>> in a house full of rocking chairs.
>
>It's just a mistake, Steve. Len Anderson obviously doesn't understand
>97.21(b).

Tsk, tsk, tsk. The Nun of the Above simply didn't understand
that his/her/it leg was pulled. [androgynous behavior makes
gender identification difficult]

>> >> There are many, many reasons, all valid, for being unable to
>renew
>> >> prior to the last day of the 10-year period.
>
>> >Such as?
>
>> Death? Coma? Prisoner-of-War (about the ONLY valid reason
>>>I<< can
>> think of...) ?

>
>Well, someone could be ill, or busy with work, family, volunteer
>activities,
>travel, moving, natural disaster, military service, etc.
>
>FCC doesn't care. If a ham lets his/her amateur license expire, they
>can't legally operate until it is renewed. Such renewal is valid when
>it shows up
>in the FCC database - you don't have to wait for the paper license to
>show
>up.
>
>All of this is *extremely basic* regulatory stuff, clearly stated in
>97.21(b), not somebody's opinion or interpretation.

Tsk.

>What is most interesting is the fact that someone like
>Len, who has told us how the regulations should be
>changed for so many years, should be so ignorant of such
>a basic rule from Part 97.

Tsk. The Nun of the Above, who designs and builds his own
"state of the art" vacuum tube based radios in the 1990s, is
seemingly unaware of solid-state technology having overtaken
vacuum-state some three decades prior.

The Nun of the Above has constantly made his old-time radio
heroes to be pioneers of "firsts" despite absolutely NO radio
broadcasting transmitter copying the AM adaptation of Reggie
Fessenden done in public demonstration on 1906 (special
carbon microphone in series with antenna lead of spark trans-
mitter). Yet the Nun wishes to rap all knuckles with his/her/it
ruler when such pioneering radio heroism isn't met with
enthusiastic acclaim.

The Nun of the Above constantly refers to old regulations,
including those made by government radio regulating agencies
which no longer existed after 1934. The Nun also applies
his/her/it's ruler to knuckles which do not recognize his/her/it's
truism that morse code testing is a "necessity" for all new
amateur licensees' privileges of operating below 30 MHz on
amateur radio bands. All simply MUST do so...as it has always
been done...no good reasons given.

That striking ruler is again applied whenever an NCTA dares to
question his/her/it's claims of the efficacy of morse code mode
over and above all other modes (which it isn't, but that is besides
the point to any PCTA).

The Nun of the Above is an ultra-strict literalist who is unable to
find a life beyond the newsgroup nor employment in radio-related

industry and disavowing any relationship to professionalism in the radio-electronics industry.

Must be some sort of Catholic thing. What's troubling all these heavenly fodders is lots and lots of individuals nailing theses on the doors of the Church of St. Hiram saying that morse code testing must GO and make the "church" open to all citizens who have an interest...an interest and not a desire to dumb themselves down with old, archaic requirements that have no usefulness.

Ave, Imperator!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted on 23 Jan 05
LenAnderson@ieee.org

831. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 20:04:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050123150444.11141.00000120@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 102399

In article <1106486177.808245.213510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
N2EY@AOL.COM writes:

>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY wrote:
>> >Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> >>I don't think I've ever heard Dr Laura ever ask anyone to
>> >>show her their boobs!!
>
>> > No, but we she does/has done is worse. Her show basically > >
>consists of
>> > her lecturing people about how they have to adopt her
>> > values in order for their lives to work.
>>
>> I see where you are going. I don't quite equate the two
>> things as equal,
>> but agree that the show and here are something else. Some of > what
>she
>> says is correct, but her delivery and sanctimony make you
>> achingly want to disagree with her always.
>
>Agreed - but some of what she says is dead wrong too.
>>
>> Heh, sounds like a friend of ours!
>
>In many ways!!

Who is this "Dr. Laura?" A licensed radio amateur?

Is she talking about amateur radio?

>>
>> > What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she
>> > doesn't/hasn't lived
>> > up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, > > she
>insists
>> > on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or > > even
>Ed.D.
>> > She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.
>
>Phil Kane, K2ASP, informs us in another post that her degree
>is in physiology. That's a fur piece from what she does.

Tsk. Ruler-spanking time, Nun of the Above. That's "far," not
'fur.'

How is all of that justification for anything in AMATEUR RADIO
POLICY matters?

Broadcasting is NOT allowed in amateur radio (except for special
dispensation to the Church of St. Hiram and W1AW).

>> I asked a colleague who is a psychologist about Dr Laura,
>> and what he
>> thought of that sort of thing. He replied "A good rule of
>> thumb is that
>> if a person is called "Dr and their first name" they
>> probably aren't the
>> best person to listen to. Dr. Laura, Dr. Phil, Dr. Nick...
>
>Dr. No...
>
>> The woman has a checkered past for sure, by here present
>> standards, she
>> also appears to have occasional "episodes", after which she > feels
>the
>> need to get a little more shrill in her approach.
>
>That's just one aspect. Consider the whole "I am my kid's mom"
>nonsense, compared to how she raises *her* kid...

Child-raising is a major topic in amateur radio policy matters?

It must be. Two self-righteous PCTA extras are talking about it
as if they were pediatricians of long experience in working with
all kinds of children. Either that or they are self-righteously
talking down to others as if those others are all "children."

>>The strange thing is that she has not learned the lesson that
>> you can
>> improve your morals and values, but *you* have to do it, and
>> someone
>> virtually screaming at you probably *won't* get you to do
>> it. Oddly
>> enough, the early Dr. Laura probably knew that already
>
>The sad part is that she has created such a following of
>people who need *real* help. For all his blather, no one
>really takes HS that seriously.

tsk. Lots of PCTA extras have graven images of morse code
as the god of all radio...as if the radio world takes them
seriously.

>>
>> > Lots of other examples.
>
>> >>>>While this may please some with how Tough we can get on
>> >>>>these evil

>> >>>>sinners, what is the consequence?
>>
>> >>>>Howard now has a show on satellite radio. While his show has
>> >>>>always
>> >>>>been an unmitigated piece of crap, he is now under even less
>> >>>>constraints.
>
>> >>>Whatever you think of HS, you have to give him credit for certain
>things:
>
>> >>>1) He gets incredible ratings wherever he is on the air.
>>
>> >>>2) He revolutionized morning "adult rock" drivetime radio. I know
>this
>> >>>firsthand, because Philadelphia was one of the very first cities
>his show
>> >>>was syndicated to.
>
>> >>>Before HS, morning "adult rock" drivetime radio followed a very
>strict
>> >>>formula
>> >>>in almost all markets. The "morning zoo" formula had some sort of
>DJ, with
>> >>>maybe a sidekick or two. They would play records and canned comedy
>bits,
>> >>>then
>> >>>break for news, sports, weather, traffic and commercials. The
>content was
>> >>>always local and the talent varied all over the map.
>>
>> >>>An unshakeable article of the formula was that morning drivetime
>radio had
>> >>>to
>> >>>be locally generated. When HS first came to Philly 20+ years ago,
>the
>> >>>"professionals in radio" said he was doomed to failure because
>> >>>Philadelphians
>> >>>would not listen to a New York-based station. Worse, HS did not
>follow the
>> >>>formula at all. Yet he quickly took near-top place in the ratings,
>second
>> >>>only
>> >>>to the all-news/traffic/weather/sports station. Philadelphians
>simply punch
>> >>>one
>> >>>button to get the traffic or whatever from KYW, then back to HS
>for
>> >>>"entertainment".
>> >>>
>> >>>3) He branched out into other media venues, which was unheard of

>before >>
>> >
>> > HS.
>> >
>> >
>> >>>4) HS has held up to the light what really makes the broadcast
>media tick.
>> >>>It's
>> >>>all about ratings, because ratings drive advertising revenue. At
>the same
>> >>>time
>> >>>he drives production cost *down* because it's cheaper for local
>stations to
>> >>>buy his show than to produce their own.
>
>> >>>Ironically, his success is due to strict adherence to "republican"
>values
>> >>>of a free market and competition.
>>
>> >>Correction Jim. *Conservative* values, not Republican values.
>> >>Conservatives are an endangered species.
>
>> > You are correct, sir! My mistake.
>>
>> >>>His defense has always come down to a single
>> >>>point: Millions of people *choose to* listen to him, yet only a
>few
>> >>>complain.
>> >
>> >
>> >>Frankly, I find him boring
>> >
>> >
>> > Millions don't - that's the key.
>> >
>> >
>> >>>Now HS will move to satellite radio, and take tens of millions of
>people
>> >>>with him.
>> >
>> >
>> >>Yup, his presence will be a shot in the arm for satellite radio.
>> >
>> >
>> > For Sirius radio, anyway.
>> >
>> >
>> >>>>Next, the draconian fines that may be levied on stations that
>carry
>> >>>>obscene language or visuals is causing stations to be very

>cautious
>> >>>>about what they carry. Cases in point are stations that would not
>air
>> >>>>Saving Private Ryan. Whether you think that was a political stunt
>or
>> >>>>not, the pervious time that the movie was shown, there *were* a
>lot of
>> >>>>complaints about the language. What is a prudent company to do?
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>>Yep. Note the peculiarly American fixation on language and sex as
>> >>>objectionable, but not violence.
>>
>> >
>> >>>>So now we have people making nasty little songs ridiculing the
>F.C.C.
>> >>>>on the internet, and there is talk of more television stations
>making
>> >>>>the switch to paid tv or pay per view instead of the old free tv
>paradigm.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>>But is the song really "nasty"?
>> >
>> >
>> >>Seems that way to me! I know I never said that phrase to anyone
>without
>> >>being ready for a fight. Not friendly talk.
>> >
>> >
>> > Not at all friendly!
>> >
>> > But if that word is OK for Vice President Dick Cheney to use in
>public, why not
>> > Eric Idle?
>>
>>Or the performer at the inaugural concert for kids who spouted out
>> "America is the best F*****n' country in the world!"
>
>He's just following the VP's example!

All that is part of AMATEUR RADIO POLICY?

Remarkable waste of space in here.

>> Wow, talk about a conundrum! Here you have a person swearing,
>> but doing it patriotically!
>
>"the last refuge of scoundrels"

Are you referring to the PCTA or the NCTA?

>
>> >>>>Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network
>television. I
>> >>>>watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the networks.
>THe
>> >>>>only other TV I watch is on the History channel.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>>The Simpsons is pure genius, probably the best show on TV in a
>generation.
>> >>>That it survives on Fox is even more ironic.
>> >
>> >
>> >>Fox network and Fox news seem to act almost like two different
>entities.
>> >>The Network seems to put on shows that offend the more priggish
>among
>> >>us, and the News group seems to attract them.
>> >
>> >
>> > Same principle as HS: It's all about ratings.
>> >
>> >
>> >>I'm sure that the other networks would be very happy for Fox to
>drop
>> >>the Simpsons. Probably start a bidding war to pick them up.
>> >
>> >
>> > Which is why Fox hangs on to the show.
>> >
>> >
>> >>>>I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR, I'm
>> >>>>listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm
>not the
>> >>>>only one either.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>>Exactly.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>>>The unintended consequence? The F.C.C. is unintentionally
>providing a
>> >>>>powerful incentive for stations to move to venues that the F.C.C.
>does
>> >>>>not have jurisdiction over, and therefore failing to accomplish
>their

>> >>>>goal. They may clean up what is left of the "public" airways, but
>there
>> >>>>won't be many people listening.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>>Perhaps that's the goal!
>> >
>> >
>> >>>Consider what has happened to broadcasting in general in the past
>couple of
>> >>>decades. AM BC radio, once king, is now a backwater compared to FM
>BC
>> >>>radio.
>> >
>> >
>> >>That is what happens when you broadcast garbage. In our area, we
>have
>> >>three main stations. One does carry ESPN sports, and I'll listen to
>Jim
>> >>Rome occasionally and Steelers games. The other two carry the EXACT
>same
>> >>programming, with gems like Savage Nation and some weird screechy
>lady
>> >>at night who is too creepy for me to stay on frequency to find out
>who
>> >>she is. Not too many local businesses advertise on that one, as it
>seems
>> >>most of the commercials are Canadian! I kid you not!
>> >
>> >
>> > Weird!
>> >
>> > But I'm mostly used to major markets. Here in Philly, AM was king
>when I was a
>> > kid, right up into the '70s. FM existed all the way back to the
>early '50s, but
>> > was the province of classical, educational and other
>special-interest radio.
>> >
>> > Then progressive stations began to show up on FM, and shatter the
>Top 40 AM
>> > formula to bits. They played entire album sides, cutting-edge
>comedy like
>> > Firesign Theatre, had live interviews and even performances.
>(Somewhere I have
>> > a reel-to-reel tape of Jackson Browne, when he was almost unknown,
>performing
>> > live at the Main Point in Bryn Mawr - recorded from an FM station
>about 1971).

>>
>> Now you have me reminiscing. WRSC FM in the late 60's,
>> early 70's was a
>> State College sation that was very progressive. They did the albums,
>> theme nights, and real music all the time. I tuned in to this as a
>> freshman in high school, and was hooked immediately. While my
>> classmates
>> were listening to the Grass Roots, 1910 Fruitgum Company, and Gary
>> Puckett and the Union Gap, I was doing Hendrix, Led Zappelin,
>> Cream and other non teeny stuff.
>
>In my time it was WMMR, but the same basic story.

Isn't "WMMR" a BROADCASTING STATION license callsign?

Why is that talked about in an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY
newsgroup?

>Point is, over time the experimental/progressive elements disappeared
>and were replaced by a formula. Homogenized just like Top 40 radio was.

Has this newsgroup changed to popular music discussion?

Does Paul Schleck know about this?

Is W1AW now broadcasting (error, that should be "bulletinizing")
popular music for the benefit of radio amateurs?

>
>>
>> > Both the technical quality and the programming quality of FM in
>> > those days pulled a lot of people away from AM.
>> >
>> >
>> >>If you want to hear some of Michael Savage's compassionate
>conservatism,
>> >>go to:
>> >>
>> >>http://tinyurl.com/5ywcw
>> >>
>> >>and check out the Michael Savage, Tsunami no tragedy clip.
>
>> > I'll take a listen.
>>
>> >>FM around here is not a lot better
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>Deregulation has homogenized both of them. Neither is a big
>moneymaker nor
>> >>>job-creator, because the markets are saturated and there's a limit
>to
>> >>>advertising revenue. The receiver technology is mature and there

>are no
>> >>>ongoing
>> >>>user fees. BC TV is in a similar state, compounded by the limping
>move to
>> >>>HDTV.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>>Into this mess comes satellite radio, internet and cable TV, BPL,
>etc. All
>> >>>promise lots of new jobs and revenue sources. Plus the FCC doesn't
>have the
>> >>>headaches of trying to regulate them.
>> >>>
>> >>>Some folks are cheering that Mr. Powell is leaving FCC, but ya
>gotta wonder
>> >>>who will replace him.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>>>TLOUC's in action!
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>>TLUOCs?
>> >
>> >
>> >>Sorry, transposed to of the letters.
>> >>
>> >>The Law Of Untended Consequences.
>> >
>> >
>> > Yep!
>> >
>> > All as a result of short-term focus and diversion by hot-button
>red-herring
>> > issues.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> > "Kokava aro, kokava tua, te igoa o te akuaku, erua"
>>
>> I'll bite... What is?
>>
>Do you know who Thor Heyerdahl was?

Yes, a Scandinavian who worked in balsa wood. :-)

What has that to do with the on-going waste of space in the
newsgroup?

Did you wish to get Dr. Laura on a slow raft across the Pacific? Tsk. I thought the Nun of the Above took vows of celibacy as well as economy ("recycling" electronic parts for $100 etc). Naughty, naughty.

Kon-Tiki, no washee...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Posted 23 Jan 05
LenAnderson@ieee.org

832. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 20:12:32 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <ct10fg$j2o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 102250

On 23 Jan 2005 00:30:49 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she doesn't/hasn't lived
>up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, she insists
>on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or even Ed.D.
>She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.

The "D" stands for "doctor." One can be a doctor of mathematics, doctor
of chemistry, doctor of medicine, doctor of psychology, et cetera. All
can use the title "doctor." Heck, even a lawyers can use that title due
their degree being a Juris Doctor ("Doctor of Law").

I'm sure you called some of your professors "Doctor" and never gave
it a second thought.

73, Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

833. Re: Come on down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 20:31:31 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <DQTId.3696$r27.477@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102251

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:ct0vd6ida1@news.hawaii.edu...

:
: One thing is for sure, even the riff-raff can learn enough code to get
: an HF license.
:
: 73, Jeff KH6O
:

As you so aptly demonstrate.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

834. Re: This weekend on the N9OGL Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 22:19:54 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f34fd1$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102375

Well by next weekend I plan to move it down to 20 meters. I had to put my 20
meter antenna back up.

Todd N9OGL
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:csv6ks$g80$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:
> >On this weekend show.
> >This weekend on the N9OGL show...
> >The death of Amateur Radio
> >and
> >The FCC: Do they REALLY serve the public interest
> >This weekend on 28.555 MHz at 6.00 PM and 9.00PM CST
>
> Unusual choice of frequency and times given where we're
> currently at in the sun spot cycle (unless your goal
> is for no one to hear you!).
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O
>
> --
> Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
> Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

835. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 23:02:53 UTC
From: "James C. Reeves" <jcnospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <odidnVbPBtyAsGncRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 102252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Brent P" <tetraethylleadREMOVETHIS@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:mfidnbgCP71vqG7cRVn-1w@comcast.com...
> In article <d9adnWd57pRphG7cRVn-tA@comcast.com>, James C. Reeves wrote:
>
>> One can argue anything...usually at $300 an hour tough.
>
> That cop just takes the radar detector. The citizen pays the $300 an hour
> to argue it wasn't passively interfering.
>
>

If he gets a lawyer it will cost him $300 an hour, plus court costs. Well, maybe $200 an hour. It will be cheaper to buy a new radar detector.

I can't see that happening though. I guess only time will tell if it happens or not.

836. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 23:14:10 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iasdjm8.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102254

On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 15:57:04 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:

>> Hi! I'm sure the Chase and Bank One have switched over to digital HF
>> networks moving their financial data around.
>
>You think so? I don't. I'm guessing that their data moves via phone
>lines. If the phone lines aren't there, it'll move when there are phone
>lines.

My guess is that their mainframe stuff uses buried fiber optic
circuits. In 1988 I got the nickel tour of the then-new Union
Pacific Railroad Control Center in Omaha. The Comm chief actually
showed me where two circuits emerged on two sides of the building,
one running via St. Louis and the other running via Kansas City. In
vital data circuits, redundancy is the name of the game.

>I can understand your confusion, Brian. The power lines carry
>electricity. The telephone lines carry telephone conversations. The gas
>lines carry natural gas.

Ah!! Good point.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

837. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 23:16:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iasdmo9.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102256

On 23 Jan 2005 06:33:42 -0800, bb wrote:

>> >That's "...Dave and I.". Not "...Dave and me."
>>
>> Wrong. Drop the "Dave and" and see what's left grammatically.

>Congrats, Phil. It's usually Dave that pops in here with the grammar
>lessons.

I've been married mucho long time to a lady who shouts corrections
at the radio or TV. I gotta' keep up.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

838. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 23:19:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <yYidnQzReOmWrGncRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102253

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> On 23 Jan 2005 00:30:49 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she doesn't/hasn't lived
>>up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, she insists
>>on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or even Ed.D.
>>She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.
>
>
>
> The "D" stands for "doctor." One can be a doctor of mathematics, doctor
> of chemistry, doctor of medicine, doctor of psychology, et cetera. All
> can use the title "doctor." Heck, even a lawyers can use that title due
> their degree being a Juris Doctor ("Doctor of Law").

> I'm sure you called some of your professors "Doctor" and never gave
> it a second thought.

I'd bet he didn't call them Doctor followed by their first name!

And the other thing is, at least with all the PhD's I work with, asking any one of them to comment outside their field gets the answer prefaced with a "well, it isn't my field of expertise" or something to that effect.

Dr Laura is shelling out "advice" that is not related to her field of expertise.

- Mike KB3EIA -

839. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requeirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 23:20:26 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iasdu2a.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102255

On 23 Jan 2005 06:35:35 -0800, bb wrote:

>> For example, I have a decades-long interest in sexy women, but I
>> have never desired to become one!

>> I've been lucky enough to know some great ones ;-)

>Joan of Arc, Mother Theresa?

He's obviously never known Flora O'Brien, a friend of my mother, who
when I was growing up was the sexiest woman around although she
didn't realize it. Had she lived, she would have been 100 by now....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

840. Re: K1MAN snags another naive recruit!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 23:31:02 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f3607c$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102377
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: r.gif

Mel A. Nomah Jan 10, 6:15 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Highto...@cosmos.net> - Find messages by this
author
Date: Tue, 11 Jan 2005 02:15:45 GMT
Local: Mon, Jan 10 2005 6:15 pm
Subject: K1MAN snags another naive recruit!
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

Seen at N9OGL's "blog".

This ought to be a fun.

M.A.N.

"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

Excerpt from N9OGL "blog" follows.

- -
- -

12:37 PM

Glenn Baxter said...
N9OGL is invited to appear as a special guest for an UNEDITED
interview on IARN Amateur Talk Radio, hosted by Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.,
and aired over K1MAN by IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network,
and sponsored by AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association

73 and GL de
K1MAN.

8:44 AM

Todd Daugherty said...
Hello Glenn,

Sure I'll enjoy being on your program. Email me at

todd...@consolidated.net and I'll give you my number

and my avalibility.

de N9OGL

Reply

K1MAN snags another naive recruit..... how am I naive???

Todd N9OGL

http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

todddaug@consolidated.net

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: r.gif]

841. Re: This weekend on the N9OGL Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 23:36:02 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <E_ednUgVjNQuq2ncRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102257

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Well by next weekend I plan to move it down to 20 meters. I had to put my 20
> meter antenna back up.
>
Great! Can't wait for a good laugh.

842. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-23 23:37:49 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <E_ednUsVjNS0qmncRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> Daniel J. Stern wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 22 Jan 2005, Tony VE6MVP wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Except that at least two provinces don't have a front license
>
> plate.
>
>>...but Colorado does, and that's where the law is proposed. What's
>
> your
>
>>point?
>
>
> Pennsylvania, the fifth most populous state in the U.S. is another
> one-tag state so a PA driver is in "trouble" when he passes thru CO??
> That's the point.

No, if your state does not require a front plate, then other states that
do, honor your states one tag law. It ain't rocket surgery.

843. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 00:03:12 UTC
From: "Daniel J. Stern" <dastern@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.58.0501231902050.10421@alumni.engin.umich.edu>
Source record: 102259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Sun, 23 Jan 2005, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> if your state does not require a front plate, then other states that do,
> honor your states one tag law. It ain't rocket surgery.

Surely isn't. Or if you're *that* worried about it, get a front plate for your car in your one-plate state. I did that in Michigan (cost all of $5) on the theory that it would avoid problems when travelling to Ontario, but subsequent experience taught me I didn't have any problem driving Michigan cars with one OR two plates in Ontario.

844. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 00:04:03 UTC
From: "James C. Reeves" <jcnospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <wcadne7P17zvpmncRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 102260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
news:E_ednUsVjNS0qmncRVn-pA@gbronline.com...
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>> Daniel J. Stern wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 22 Jan 2005, Tony VE6MVP wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Except that at least two provinces don't have a front license
>>
>> plate.
>>
>>>...but Colorado does, and that's where the law is proposed. What's
>>
>> your
>>
>>>point?
>>
>>
>> Pennsylvania, the fifth most populous state in the U.S. is another
>> one-tag state so a PA driver is in "trouble" when he passes thru CO??
>> That's the point.
>
> No, if your state does not require a front plate, then other states that
> do, honor your states one tag law. It ain't rocket surgery.
>

Apparently to some participating in this thread, it is! This is really,
REALLY simple, you're right! I'm amazed at some of the posts on this one.
Simple comprehension lost?

845. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 00:35:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050123193537.19064.00000073@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 102261

In article <yYidnQzReOmWrGncRVn-qA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> On 23 Jan 2005 00:30:49 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>>>What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she doesn't/hasn't lived
>>>up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, she insists
>>>on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or even Ed.D.
>>>She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.

>> The "D" stands for "doctor." One can be a doctor of mathematics, doctor
>> of chemistry, doctor of medicine, doctor of psychology, et cetera.

My favorite is doctor of electrical engineering.

>> All can use the title "doctor."

Yes, they can. But most that I know do not *require* it, outside their field of
expertise.

>> Heck, even a lawyers can use that title due
>> their degree being a Juris Doctor ("Doctor of Law").

But they don't usually do that.

> > I'm sure you called some of your professors "Doctor" and never gave
> > it a second thought.

Sure - because they were functioning in their field of expertise.

>I'd bet he didn't call them Doctor followed by their first name!

Not a one.

>And the other thing is, at least with all the PhD's I work with, asking
>any one of them to comment outside their field gets the answer prefaced
>with a "well, it isn't my field of expertise" or something to that effect.

BINGO!

Heck, you can ask most Ph.D EEs about house wiring, and most of them will
preface their remarks that way, because it *isn't* their field of EE.

>Dr Laura is shelling out "advice" that is not related to her field of
>expertise.

Exactly!

73 de Jim, N2EY

846. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 01:02:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106528551.850309.110290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102262

N2EY wrote:
>
> >Dr Laura is shelling out "advice" that is not related to her field
of
> >expertise.
>
> Exactly!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Now one has to have an advanced degree in "Commonsensology" to be able to advocate such progressive ideas as faithfullness to marriage vows, a responsiblity to raise your children as a parent and not as their buddy, and forgiveness of those who've offended you. So sad.

847. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 01:11:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106529092.689784.176670@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102263

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 15:57:04 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >> Hi! I'm sure the Chase and Bank One have switched over to digital
HF
> >> networks moving their financial data around.
> >
> >You think so? I don't. I'm guessing that their data moves via
phone
> >lines. If the phone lines aren't there, it'll move when there are
phone
> >lines.
>
> My guess is that their mainframe stuff uses buried fiber optic
> circuits. In 1988 I got the nickel tour of the then-new Union
> Pacific Railroad Control Center in Omaha. The Comm chief actually
> showed me where two circuits emerged on two sides of the building,
> one running via St. Louis and the other running via Kansas City.
In
> vital data circuits, redundancy is the name of the game.
But Dave says they're likely to fail.

848. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 01:17:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106529424.501494.166440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102264

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Since moving to Ohio, I always have to play Dayton by ear.
>
> I've generally used the sheet music, though I improvise a bit on the
> chorus.

twit

849. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 02:04:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106528999.977743.170540@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102265

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > > Some say that the infrastructure "always" fails. :-)
> > >
> > > Hardwired communications infrastructure is much more likely to
fail.
> >
> > Hi! I'm sure the Chase and Bank One have switched over to digital
HF
> > networks moving their financial data around.
>
> You think so? I don't.

A radio circuit is as likely to fail, or more so. It is also subject
to interference and interception.

> I'm guessing that their data moves via phone
> lines. If the phone lines aren't there, it'll move when there are
phone
> lines. That's like what happens in my local grocery from time to
time.
> The phone lines are down and the store can't sell West Virginia
lottery
> tickets. People are told to come back later when the line is up.

They just want repeat customers.

> > > All cellular phones interface to wire lines at some point.
>
> > My wired phones worked just fine during the Christmas ice storm.
The
> > adjacent wires carrying power didn't. It's all so confusing.
>
> I can understand your confusion, Brian. The power lines carry
> electricity. The telephone lines carry telephone conversations. The
gas
> lines carry natural gas. Did you have any further questions?
>
> Dave K8MN

As a matter of fact, if the downed trees cause power failures, and the
telephone lines are on the same poles and are downed, why does one have

phone service but no power?

850. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 02:17:35 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <zZudnY8l4-3dwGncRVn-hQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102266

N2EY wrote:

> In article <yYidnQzReOmWrGncRVn-qA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>
>>>On 23 Jan 2005 00:30:49 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she doesn't/hasn't lived
>>>>up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, she insists
>>>>on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or even Ed.D.
>>>>She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.
>
>
>
>>>The "D" stands for "doctor." One can be a doctor of mathematics, doctor
>>>of chemistry, doctor of medicine, doctor of psychology, et cetera.
>
>
> My favorite is doctor of electrical engineering.

Does that mean they can fix a Hi-Fi?

851. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 02:41:31 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iasn57c.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102267

On 24 Jan 2005 00:35:37 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>> All can use the title "doctor."
>
>Yes, they can. But most that I know do not *require* it, outside
>their field of expertise.

My brother-in-law has a PhD in Physiology (his field of expertise is geriatic physiology). His "daytime" job is as a profusionist - the expert who operates the heart-lung bypass machine during open-heart surgery. For many years he was a professor and then department head in his field at a famous teaching hospital.

In class, he was "Professor". In academic meetings he was "Doctor". In the operating room he was "Mister" (he wasn't an MD - he knew too much for that!) although he was usually referred to by his first name. And yes, all the docs, including the anesthesiologists, asked him for advice on how much and what kind of anesthesia to administer.

>> Heck, even a lawyers can use that title due
>> their degree being a Juris Doctor ("Doctor of Law").

Yup, I got one of those. AFAIK there are no US law schools which grant a Batchelor of Laws degree any more.

>But they don't usually do that.

Rumor has it that the only place in the US that does is a certain part of Michigan but I don't have any first-hand info to prove that.

In the Eastern hemisphere lawyers are referred to as "Doctor" but only if they have the graduate degree (in most countries there law is an undergraduate degree program).

As an aside, the British do not call American PhD holders "Doctor" because the attitude there is that the US schools hand the degree out like candy. In a recent BBC broadcast about Condoleeza Rice, she was referred to as "Miss Rice" continuously.

Similarly, a dentist there is called "Mister" even though the coursework and training is as rigorous as that of a medical doctor.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

852. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 02:59:59 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iasnzzd.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102268

On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 19:17:35 -0700, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

>> My favorite is doctor of electrical engineering.
>
>Does that mean they can fix a Hi-Fi?

Some can, some can't!!

Several of my classmates from engineering school went on to get a
Doctorate in Electrcal Engineering (DEE) degree. It just involves a
hell of a lot of theoretical coursework and a research dissertation.

That path never interested me - I'm too much of a practical, rather
than a research, engineer.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

853. HAARP Show on TONIGHT's Coast to Coast AM 01/23-24

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 04:03:58 UTC
From: Freddie M <fredm90042@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7795d$41f473b3$d1cc5a2d$13322@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 102269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

TONIGHT ON COAST TO COAST AM WITH ART BELL. . .

-HAARP- www.coasttocoastam.com

Guy Cramer
Dr. Joseph Resnick

Inventors Guy Cramer (superforce.com / hyperstealth.com)
and Dr. Joseph Resnick (NAT2DTC / NxGen BodyArmor)
will be sharing their expertise in the area of the HAARP.

The official purpose of Project HAARP which is based in
Alaska, is said to be for studying the ionosphere in order
to "understand and use it to enhance communications
and surveillance systems for both civilian and defense
purposes." Yet, over the years allegations have
arisen that HAARP is involved in everything from
weather modification to mind control.
Guy Cramer has a new article posted which concludes
that in addition to HAARP, there is another
huge ionospheric array in Alaska. The page also contains
photos, maps, and recommended links from Cramer
and Dr. Joseph Resnick.

http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/01/23.html

854. Re: HAARP Show on TONIGHT's Coast to Coast AM 01/23-24

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 04:22:06 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <OJ_Id.4122$r27.1721@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Freddie M" <fredm90042@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7795d$41f473b3$d1cc5a2d$13322@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...

: ....over the years allegations have
: arisen that HAARP is involved in ... mind control.

This will protect
you: --->http://molelog.molehill.org/blox/HowStuffWorks/Crap/TinfoilHat.writ
eback

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

855. Re: This weekend on the N9OGL Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 04:22:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F477A7.4736B658@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102270

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> > Well by next weekend I plan to move it down to 20 meters. I had to put my 20
> > meter antenna back up.
> >
> Great! Can't wait for a good laugh.

If Todd is using his computer clock for appearing on the bands, it might
be tough to catch his "broadcast".

Dave K8MN

856. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 04:24:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F47845.594C0275@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102272

bb wrote:
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
> > On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 15:57:04 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > >> Hi! I'm sure the Chase and Bank One have switched over to digital
> HF
> > >> networks moving their financial data around.
> > >
> > >You think so? I don't. I'm guessing that their data moves via
> phone
> > >lines. If the phone lines aren't there, it'll move when there are
> phone
> > >lines.
> >
> > My guess is that their mainframe stuff uses buried fiber optic
> > circuits. In 1988 I got the nickel tour of the then-new Union
> > Pacific Railroad Control Center in Omaha. The Comm chief actually
> > showed me where two circuits emerged on two sides of the building,
> > one running via St. Louis and the other running via Kansas City.
> In
> > vital data circuits, redundancy is the name of the game.
> But Dave says they're likely to fail.

I have? I don't recall ever considering that radio amateurs would
attempt to pass financial data for bands in time of emergency.

It looks as if you're still confused.

Dave K8MN

857. Re: Morse key/keyer question (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 04:25:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F4786C.4D676AB8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102273

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > Since moving to Ohio, I always have to play Dayton by ear.
> >
> > I've generally used the sheet music, though I improvise a bit on the
> > chorus.
>
> twit

Tweet.

Dave K8MN

858. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 04:29:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F4794E.CB41E096@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102274

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Some say that the infrastructure "always" fails. :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > Hardwired communications infrastructure is much more likely to
> fail.
> > >
> > > Hi! I'm sure the Chase and Bank One have switched over to digital
> HF
> > > networks moving their financial data around.
> >
> > You think so? I don't.
>
> A radio circuit is as likely to fail, or more so.

Explain how that might be, given that one needs wires or, as Phil Kane
pointed out, fiber optic line.

> It is also subject
> to interference and interception.

And?

> > > My wired phones worked just fine during the Christmas ice storm.
> The
> > > adjacent wires carrying power didn't. It's all so confusing.
> >
> > I can understand your confusion, Brian. The power lines carry
> > electricity. The telephone lines carry telephone conversations. The
> gas
> > lines carry natural gas. Did you have any further questions?

> As a matter of fact, if the downed trees cause power failures, and the
> telephone lines are on the same poles and are downed, why does one have
> phone service but no power?

I suppose you'll have to take that up with your power company and
telephone company, Brian. I'd have to care more about your personal
situation to spend any time researching it for you.

Dave K8MN

859. N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 05:37:54 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <SQ%Id.4080$YD5.1998@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102275

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41f34fd1$1_1@127.0.0.1...

: Well by next weekend I plan to move it down to 20 meters.

14.275 would be a good spot.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

860. Re: Come on down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 06:19:19 UTC
From: Ham Guy <BLM555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j39v0tjp44t19sqcrfpm8ho6s39pevm5c@4ax.com>
Source record: 102276

On 23 Jan 2005 19:54:14 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>>>Even if there were 1000 of them, that still amounts to only 0.0017 or
>>>0.17% of entire amateur population. The problem is that if you so much
>>>as sneeze on HF, half the world can possibly hear you; that factor is
>>>what makes their behavior stand out. If their QSOs were occurring on VHF,
>>>no one except locals would take notice.
>>>
>>>Jeff KH6O
>
>
>>I don't think you appreciate the psychology of the situation.
>
>Oh, I do. You said "nobody seems to care" which would indicate that

I never wrote that. "Radio Ron" wrote that.

>100% of the amateur community and the FCC have turned their backs to
>our problems; that is completely false. Recall your logic 101 class:
>To prove that "nobody cares" is false, it only takes one person who
>does care -- that fact that you do care invalidates your own claim!
>
>> Try this
>>experiment; Take a plain, white piece of 8 1/2" by 11" printer paper.
>>Using a black magic marker, make a dot about the size of a dime on one
>>side of the paper. Now, show the paper to several people and ask them
>>what the first thing is that they notice. The majority of them will...
>
>Your experiment coincides with my statement that if you so much as
>sneeze on HF, half the world could possibly hear you. The riff-raff
>are a tiny fraction of the amateur community, yet their bad behavior
>on HF does present a bad image for the rest of us. But again, you
>can't conclude that "nobody seems to care."

Again, *I* never wrote that. There are quite a few hams that care
about the on-air activities of the riff-raff that pervade the 75m and
20m bands. They may, however, disagree on how to address the problem,
or may just like to bitch about it while listening with a morbid
curiosity each evening.

>One thing is for sure, even the riff-raff can learn enough code to get
>an HF license.
>
>73, Jeff KH6O

Agreed, but please attribute your replies properly. I never said
"nobody seems to care" in the message I posted. That was the poster
"Radio Ron"

861. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 06:29:48 UTC
From: Ham Guy <BLM555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7059v0toe6fst744cd131kdcq5fghmt09t@4ax.com>
Source record: 102277

On 23 Jan 2005 20:12:32 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>On 23 Jan 2005 00:30:49 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>>What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she doesn't/hasn't lived
>>up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, she insists
>>on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or even Ed.D.
>>She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.
>
>
>The "D" stands for "doctor." One can be a doctor of mathematics, doctor
>of chemistry, doctor of medicine, doctor of psychology, et cetera. All
>can use the title "doctor." Heck, even a lawyers can use that title due
>their degree being a Juris Doctor ("Doctor of Law").
>
>I'm sure you called some of your professors "Doctor" and never gave
>it a second thought.
>
>73, Jeff KH6O

Unless they *insist* on being addressed as "Doctor", as was the case
with former Homeland Security official Laura Callahan.

862. Re: HAARP Show on TONIGHT's Coast to Coast AM 01/23-24

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 09:49:06 UTC
From: twistiehed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106560146.754977.222400@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102278
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Freddie M wrote:
> TONIGHT ON COAST TO COAST AM WITH ART BELL. . .
>
> -HAARP- www.coasttocoastam.com
>
> Guy Cramer
> Dr. Joseph Resnick
>
> Inventors Guy Cramer (superforce.com / hyperstealth.com)
> and Dr. Joseph Resnick (NAT2DTC / NxGen BodyArmor)
> will be sharing their expertise in the area of the HAARP.
>
> The official purpose of Project HAARP which is based in
> Alaska, is said to be for studying the ionosphere in order
> to "understand and use it to enhance communications
> and surveillance systems for both civilian and defense
> purposes." Yet, over the years allegations have
> arisen that HAARP is involved in everything from
> weather modification to mind control.
> Guy Cramer has a new article posted which concludes
> that in addition to HAARP, there is another
> huge ionospheric array in Alaska. The page also contains
> photos, maps, and recommended links from Cramer
> and Dr. Joseph Resnick.
>
> http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/01/23.html

One time I was packing Steveo so hard his piles started vibrating and
it sounded like a harp.

863. Re: The Cassini Probe [Was Re: The Cassini Probe and...]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 11:34:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106566488.497279.282800@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102280

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1106488765.160678.49770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"K4YZ"
> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>
> >Len Anderson wrote:
> >> In article <CpwId.5666$rp1.5008@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> >robert casey
> >> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >No...it's only a "no-no" when the correction is in itself
> >incorrect.
>
> Tsk. You mean like "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"
>
> >I got it backwards...my bad.
>
> You don't have it backwards, forwards, or sideways. You just
> don't have it.

Sure I do.

I have an Amateur Radio Extra Class license...You don't. You
profess to know about Amateur Radio, yet have no practical experience
in it.

I have an FAA Airman's Certificate and have currency in 3 types.
You have NO certificate of any type and no time in anything with wings
except as a paying passenger.

I have multiple licenses as a healthcare provider. You have none,
although you somehow insinuate "experience" by virtue of sleeping with
a person with a diploma from K-Tel, Ronco, or some other such place.
(And regardless of where it's from, sleeping with it's owner does NOT
make it YOUR diploma!)

> >(That's called an acknolwedgement of error, Lennie...You should
> >try it someday...You've made so many from which to choose)
>
> You've never acknowledged that the Department of Defense
> directs MARS.

Sure I have. Several times over. You keep ignoring it.

> You've never acknowledged the when and where of those
> "seven hostile actions."

They were and are none of your business. They exceed YOUR "hostile actions" by seven. Crawling drunk through a benjo ditch doesn't count for you, Lennie.....

> You've never acknowledged that the University of Illinois is
> NOT a correspondence school.

The University of Illinois does have extension courses.

> You've never acknowledged anything close to working military
> communications in a long service with the U.S. military. You've
> never acknowledged any working knowledge of common small-
> unit military radios in use during that U.S. military service.

Sure I have. Again, YOU ignore it. And YOU did not have but 3 years of "service with the U. S. Military). I beat that many times over.

> You've never acknowledged any working knowledge of Yiddish
> yet you freely use at least one Yiddish pejorative in many public
> postings.

Nor do I have a "working knowledge" of Japanese, Serbo-Coratian, or Tagalog, yet I can speak enough words of each to ask for help, find a cop and get drunk. I can speak conversationalist German and Spanish.

You speak...What...???

Please tell us just how competent YOU are in Yiddish. (use of a search engine notwithstanding).

> You've never acknowledged the real reason for your very short
> "career in electronics engineering" as a purchasing agent for a
> set-top-box maker that lasted less than half a year.

I never said I was an engineer. And you still don't have the facts of my employment there correct.

I stated several times over my reason for leaving nursing for a while in 2000. Everyone else that's been in here any length of time knows...except you...why is that? And I was there much longer that the "less than half a year" you keep falsely reitrating.

Also, I did not work for a "set top box maker". The company I DID work for occupied the opposite end of a building from that company (ANTEC). We rented space on their servers. You've also been told that over and over yet keep trying to make an issue out of it.

Why do you keep lying over and over, Lennie? E V E R Y O N E here sees it. Why do you keep humiliating yourself?

> You've never acknowledged that the U.S. military did NOT make
> you any sort of pilot of any military aircraft despite implying
that
> you were licensed as a private pilot before entering the military.

I never said I was a military aviator. You are welcomed to try and find ANY quote ANYwhere that says otherwise. And I WAS licensed before I went on active duty. My initial Airman's certificate was signed in August 1974. I went on active duty in September 1974.

Again, facts you've been provided with over and over, but seem to want to lie about over and over.

> You've never acknowledged many, many mistakes you've made
> in here, constantly over several years.

Unlike you, Lennie, I don't keep making the same mistakes over and over.

> You've never acknowledged any knowledge of radio-electronics
> circuitry behind the front panels of amateur radios, yet you claim
> to be knowledgeable about "radio."

I AM knowledgeable about "radio". Do I have a doctorate level degree in engineering...??? Of course not. But then I don't need it, either. I've built radios from scratch and from kits...I was paid by Uncle Sam for 2 decades to maintain aircraft (and some ground) radios. I am the owner/operator of a federally licensed radio station.

> >> As to the alleged claim about LIQUID methane in my personal
> >> environment, that is quite wrong. The liquid form of methane
can
> >> only exist at temperatures rather well below the coldest found
on
> >> Earth. The "critic" seems familiar only with the GASEOUS form
> >> of methane. [may the aldehydes be with him...] To use a
> >> colloquial term, that critic is "a gas." Peee-uuuu.
> >
> >Oh, I KNOW what temperatures methane liquifies at.
>
> Then write it. Demonstrate that methane can exist in liquid form
> anywhere on the Earth's surface.
>
> >And I know what a cold piece of work you are.
>
> 98.6 F average.

Not in your heart.

> >And my reversal of the probes names notwithstanding, you're STILL
> >a putz, Lennie...
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Calling others the equivalent of "penis head" is
not
> considered "civil" and that is certainly not "reasonable
discourse."

We've seen the results of YOUR "civil discourse", Lennie.

I may be crude and unrelenting in my exchanges with you, but I am not a liar, and at the end of the day, it's all about character.

> Tell us again how your warm, witty, and civil manner attracts all
> those non-radio people into amateur radio and the hundreds (?)
> or dozens (?) or a few (?) you have "mentored" into ham radio.

I've already done that.

I still DO it.

YOU have been asked over and over and over, by more than a few persons, to tell this forum how many people YOU ahve mentored in ANY radio hobby...CB, Amateur, SWLing, etc.

So far, the answer is zero.

> Tell us again of your vast experience in the aerospace industry
> from which you can use as a reference to "correct" everyone else
> and lends credence to your credentials as a space biz guru.

So far the references YOU have provided have only borne out that you were less than all you could be.

> Tell us again of how you can simply pick up a telephone and
> call authorities (by virtue of your importance as a "medical
> professional") to come and have "anyone" picked up and
> committed (to a mental institution).

Out of context, but I suggest you do some reading, Lennie.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

A pathological liar of monumental proportions. A putz for all times.

Steve, K4YZ

864. Re: The Cassini Probe [Was Re: The Cassini Probe and...]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 11:34:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106566492.342769.232100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102281

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1106488765.160678.49770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"K4YZ"
> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>
> >Len Anderson wrote:
> >> In article <CpwId.5666$rp1.5008@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> >robert casey
> >> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >No...it's only a "no-no" when the correction is in itself
> >incorrect.
>
> Tsk. You mean like "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"
>
> >I got it backwards...my bad.
>
> You don't have it backwards, forwards, or sideways. You just
> don't have it.

Sure I do.

I have an Amateur Radio Extra Class license...You don't. You
profess to know about Amateur Radio, yet have no practical experience
in it.

I have an FAA Airman's Certificate and have currency in 3 types.
You have NO certificate of any type and no time in anything with wings
except as a paying passenger.

I have multiple licenses as a healthcare provider. You have none,
although you somehow insinuate "experience" by virtue of sleeping with
a person with a diploma from K-Tel, Ronco, or some other such place.
(And regardless of where it's from, sleeping with it's owner does NOT
make it YOUR diploma!)

> >(That's called an acknolwedgement of error, Lennie...You should
> >try it someday...You've made so many from which to choose)
>
> You've never acknowledged that the Department of Defense
> directs MARS.

Sure I have. Several times over. You keep ignoring it.

> You've never acknowledged the when and where of those
> "seven hostile actions."

They were and are none of your business. They exceed YOUR "hostile actions" by seven. Crawling drunk through a benjo ditch doesn't count for you, Lennie.....

> You've never acknowledged that the University of Illinois is
> NOT a correspondence school.

The University of Illinois does have extension courses.

> You've never acknowledged anything close to working military
> communications in a long service with the U.S. military. You've
> never acknowledged any working knowledge of common small-
> unit military radios in use during that U.S. military service.

Sure I have. Again, YOU ignore it. And YOU did not have but 3 years of "service with the U. S. Military). I beat that many times over.

> You've never acknowledged any working knowledge of Yiddish
> yet you freely use at least one Yiddish pejorative in many public
> postings.

Nor do I have a "working knowledge" of Japanese, Serbo-Coratian, or Tagalog, yet I can speak enough words of each to ask for help, find a cop and get drunk. I can speak conversationalist German and Spanish.

You speak...What...???

Please tell us just how competent YOU are in Yiddish. (use of a search engine notwithstanding).

> You've never acknowledged the real reason for your very short
> "career in electronics engineering" as a purchasing agent for a
> set-top-box maker that lasted less than half a year.

I never said I was an engineer. And you still don't have the facts of my employment there correct.

I stated several times over my reason for leaving nursing for a while in 2000. Everyone else that's been in here any length of time knows...except you...why is that? And I was there much longer that the "less than half a year" you keep falsely reitrating.

Also, I did not work for a "set top box maker". The company I DID work for occupied the opposite end of a building from that company (ANTEC). We rented space on their servers. You've also been told that over and over yet keep trying to make an issue out of it.

Why do you keep lying over and over, Lennie? E V E R Y O N E here sees it. Why do you keep humiliating yourself?

> You've never acknowledged that the U.S. military did NOT make
> you any sort of pilot of any military aircraft despite implying
that
> you were licensed as a private pilot before entering the military.

I never said I was a military aviator. You are welcomed to try and find ANY quote ANYwhere that says otherwise. And I WAS licensed before I went on active duty. My initial Airman's certificate was signed in August 1974. I went on active duty in September 1974.

Again, facts you've been provided with over and over, but seem to want to lie about over and over.

> You've never acknowledged many, many mistakes you've made
> in here, constantly over several years.

Unlike you, Lennie, I don't keep making the same mistakes over and over.

> You've never acknowledged any knowledge of radio-electronics
> circuitry behind the front panels of amateur radios, yet you claim
> to be knowledgeable about "radio."

I AM knowledgeable about "radio". Do I have a doctorate level degree in engineering...??? Of course not. But then I don't need it, either. I've built radios from scratch and from kits...I was paid by Uncle Sam for 2 decades to maintain aircraft (and some ground) radios. I am the owner/operator of a federally licensed radio station.

> >> As to the alleged claim about LIQUID methane in my personal
> >> environment, that is quite wrong. The liquid form of methane
can
> >> only exist at temperatures rather well below the coldest found
on
> >> Earth. The "critic" seems familiar only with the GASEOUS form
> >> of methane. [may the aldehydes be with him...] To use a
> >> colloquial term, that critic is "a gas." Peee-uuuu.
> >
> >Oh, I KNOW what temperatures methane liquifies at.
>
> Then write it. Demonstrate that methane can exist in liquid form
> anywhere on the Earth's surface.
>
> >And I know what a cold piece of work you are.
>
> 98.6 F average.

Not in your heart.

> >And my reversal of the probes names notwithstanding, you're STILL
> >a putz, Lennie...
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Calling others the equivalent of "penis head" is
not
> considered "civil" and that is certainly not "reasonable
discourse."

We've seen the results of YOUR "civil discourse", Lennie.

I may be crude and unrelenting in my exchanges with you, but I am
not a liar, and at the end of the day, it's all about character.

> Tell us again how your warm, witty, and civil manner attracts all
> those non-radio people into amateur radio and the hundreds (?)
> or dozens (?) or a few (?) you have "mentored" into ham radio.

I've already done that.

I still DO it.

YOU have been asked over and over and over, by more than a few
persons, to tell this forum how many people YOU ahve mentored in ANY
radio hobby...CB, Amateur, SWLing, etc.

So far, the answer is zero.

> Tell us again of your vast experience in the aerospace industry
> from which you can use as a reference to "correct" everyone else
> and lends credence to your credentials as a space biz guru.

So far the references YOU have provided have only borne out that
you were less than all you could be.

> Tell us again of how you can simply pick up a telephone and
> call authorities (by virtue of your importance as a "medical
> professional") to come and have "anyone" picked up and
> committed (to a mental institution).

Out of context, but I suggest you do some reading, Lennie.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

A pathological liar of monumental proportions. A putz for all
times.

Steve, K4YZ

865. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 12:41:54 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <m26Jd.19127$wi2.10606@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102282

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20050123193537.19064.00000073@mb-m12.aol.com...
> In article <yYidnQzReOmWrGncRVn-qA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
> >Dr Laura is shelling out "advice" that is not related to her field of
> >expertise.
>
> Exactly!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

"Dr" Laura is a buffoon...man, I can't stand that woman!

Kim W5TIT

866. Re: The Cassini Probe [Was Re: The Cassini Probe and...]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 13:20:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050124082007.04201.00000055@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 102283

In article <1106566488.497279.282800@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>> Tsk. You mean like "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"
>>
>> >I got it backwards...my bad.
>>
>> You don't have it backwards, forwards, or sideways. You just
>> don't have it.
>
>Sure I do.
>
>I have an Amateur Radio Extra Class license...You don't. You
>profess to know about Amateur Radio, yet have no practical experience
>in it.

Len also has no experience in radio regulation, yet professes to tell all what
the regulations for the Amateur Radio Service should be.

Everyone is allowed to have an opinion, of course. But there's a big difference
between an opinion and an informed opinion...
>
>I have an FAA Airman's Certificate and have currency in 3 types.
>You have NO certificate of any type and no time in anything with wings
>except as a paying passenger.

Correct me if I'm mistaken, Steve - but doesn't that sort of certification
require a certain number of hours in order to renew?

>I have multiple licenses as a healthcare provider.

Again correct me if I'm mistaken, Steve - but doesn't that sort of licensing
require a certain number of continuing education credits in order to renew?

IOW, it's not just what you know - it's also about what you continue to learn.

>> You've never acknowledged that the University of Illinois is
>> NOT a correspondence school.
>
>The University of Illinois does have extension courses.
>
>> You've never acknowledged anything close to working military
>> communications in a long service with the U.S. military. You've
>> never acknowledged any working knowledge of common small-
>> unit military radios in use during that U.S. military service.
>
>Sure I have. Again, YOU ignore it. And YOU did not have but 3

>years of "service with the U. S. Military). I beat that many times
>over.

More to the point: Of what importance is that knowledge in amateur radio
policy?

>> You've never acknowledged any working knowledge of Yiddish
>> yet you freely use at least one Yiddish pejorative in many public
>> postings.
>
>Nor do I have a "working knowledge" of Japanese, Serbo-Coratian, or
>Tagalog, yet I can speak enough words of each to ask for help, find a
>cop and get drunk. I can speak conversationalist German and Spanish.

Dang, that's more languages than I know at that level.

>You speak...What...???
>
>Please tell us just how competent YOU are in Yiddish. (use of a
>search engine notwithstanding).
>
>> You've never acknowledged the real reason for your very short
>> "career in electronics engineering" as a purchasing agent for a
>> set-top-box maker that lasted less than half a year.
>
>I never said I was an engineer. And you still don't have the facts
>of my employment there correct.

What does it matter? If someone disagrees with Len, there's no possible
employment or education they could have that Len would find significant, except
to make fun of it. Look at K8MN's resume - then see how Len treats him.

>I stated several times over my reason for leaving nursing for a
>while in 2000. Everyone else that's been in here any length of time
>knows...except you...why is that? And I was there much longer that the
>"less than half a year" you keep falsely reitrating.
>
>Also, I did not work for a "set top box maker". The company I DID
>work for occupied the opposite end of a building from that company
>(ANTEC). We rented space on their servers. You've also been told that
>over and over yet keep trying to make an issue out of it.

See above.

>> You've never acknowledged that the U.S. military did NOT make
>> you any sort of pilot of any military aircraft despite implying
>> that
>> you were licensed as a private pilot before entering the military.
>
>I never said I was a military aviator. You are welcomed to try and
>find ANY quote ANYwhere that says otherwise. And I WAS licensed before
>I went on active duty. My initial Airman's certificate was signed in
>August 1974. I went on active duty in September 1974.

Please don't confuse Len with facts...;-)

>Again, facts you've been provided with over and over, but seem to
>want to lie about over and over.
>
>> You've never acknowledged many, many mistakes you've made
>> in here, constantly over several years.

Nor does Len. Shall we discuss 97.21(b)? That's an amateur radio issue.
>
>Unlike you, Lennie, I don't keep making the same mistakes over and
>over.
>
>> You've never acknowledged any knowledge of radio-electronics
>> circuitry behind the front panels of amateur radios, yet you claim
>> to be knowledgeable about "radio."
>
>I AM knowledgeable about "radio". Do I have a doctorate level
>degree in engineering...??? Of course not. But then I don't need it,
>either. I've built radios from scratch and from kits...I was paid by
>Uncle Sam for 2 decades to maintain aircraft (and some ground) radios.
>I am the owner/operator of a federally licensed radio station.

Besides, no matter what knowledge you claim, Len would make fun of it.

Remember when I was challenged about my knowledge of the Smith chart, and I
wrote some sample problems and their solutions? Didn't make any difference to
Len.

>> >> As to the alleged claim about LIQUID methane in my personal
>> >> environment, that is quite wrong. The liquid form of methane
>can
>> >> only exist at temperatures rather well below the coldest found
>on
>> >> Earth. The "critic" seems familiar only with the GASEOUS form
>> >> of methane. [may the aldehydes be with him...] To use a
>> >> colloquial term, that critic is "a gas." Peee-uuuu.
>> >
>> >Oh, I KNOW what temperatures methane liquifies at.
>>
>> Then write it. Demonstrate that methane can exist in liquid form
>> anywhere on the Earth's surface.

>> >And I know what a cold piece of work you are.
>>
>> 98.6 F average.
>
>Not in your heart.
>
>> >And my reversal of the probes names notwithstanding, you're STILL
>> >a putz, Lennie...

You were going good there, Steve, but then you had to toss in that word...
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Calling others the equivalent of "penis head" is
>> not
>> considered "civil" and that is certainly not "reasonable
>> discourse."
>
>We've seen the results of YOUR "civil discourse", Lennie.

Let's see - "shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel" - that's a Lenism.
How about "Nazi" and "jackbooted thug"? Lenisms too.

>I may be crude and unrelenting in my exchanges with you, but I am
>not a liar, and at the end of the day, it's all about character.

Or you could say you were just pulling Len's leg. That's what he says....

Just don't take him so seriously. Nobody else does.

73 de Jim, N2EY

867. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 13:30:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050124083059.29459.00000035@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 102284

In article <7059v0toe6fst744cd131kdcq5fghmt09t@4ax.com>, Ham Guy
<BLM555@yahoo.com> writes:

>On 23 Jan 2005 20:12:32 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>wrote:
>
>>On 23 Jan 2005 00:30:49 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>>>What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she doesn't/hasn't lived
>>>up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, she insists
>>>on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or even Ed.D.
>>>She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.
>>
>>
>>The "D" stands for "doctor." One can be a doctor of mathematics, doctor
>>of chemistry, doctor of medicine, doctor of psychology, et cetera. All
>>can use the title "doctor." Heck, even a lawyers can use that title due
>>their degree being a Juris Doctor ("Doctor of Law").
>>
>>I'm sure you called some of your professors "Doctor" and never gave
>>it a second thought.
>>
>>73, Jeff KH6O
>
>Unless they *insist* on being addressed as "Doctor", as was the case
>with former Homeland Security official Laura Callahan.

Exactly!

Back in my days at University, the profs who got the most respect were those
who didn't demand being addressed by specific titles.

One thing common to all of talk radio is that the host or an assistant has
his/her finger on the cutoff button all the time. Nobody dares to disagree with
the "expert" for fear of being cut off. At least HS is honest about it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

868. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 16:12:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106583132.051623.13310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102285

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:

> > > Did you have any further questions?
>
> > As a matter of fact, if the downed trees cause power failures, and
the
> > telephone lines are on the same poles and are downed, why does one
have
> > phone service but no power?
>
> I suppose you'll have to take that up with your power company and
> telephone company, Brian. I'd have to care more about your personal
> situation to spend any time researching it for you.
>
> Dave K8MN

Then your offer to answer further questions was disingenuous. Much
like the rest of your coments.

869. Re: The Cassini Probe [Was Re: The Cassini Probe and...]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 19:09:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106593784.913231.199810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102286

N2EY wrote:
> In article <1106566488.497279.282800@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"K4YZ"
> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>
> >> Tsk. You mean like "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"
> >>
> >> >I got it backwards...my bad.
> >>
> >> You don't have it backwards, forwards, or sideways. You just
> >> don't have it.
> >
> >Sure I do.
> >
> >I have an Amateur Radio Extra Class license...You don't. You
> >profess to know about Amateur Radio, yet have no practical
experience
> >in it.
>
> Len also has no experience in radio regulation, yet professes to tell
all what
> the regulations for the Amateur Radio Service should be.

But of course...He's a "professional"...!

> Everyone is allowed to have an opinion, of course. But there's a big
difference
> between an opinion and an informed opinion...

Ahhhhh, yes. Unfortuntely that's the part our resident
"professional" forgets!

> >I have an FAA Airman's Certificate and have currency in 3 types.
> >You have NO certificate of any type and no time in anything with
wings
> >except as a paying passenger.
>
> Correct me if I'm mistaken, Steve - but doesn't that sort of
certification
> require a certain number of hours in order to renew?

Ummmm....I am not sure what you mean by "renew".

An Airman's certificate is a lifetime grant. The Flight Medical
has to be renewed, and it's duration depends on the class of medical
and the privileges being exercised.

You are required to fly a Biennial Flight Review once every 24
months for the PPL.

As for my flight currency, the FAA only requires that I have three
take-offs and three landings to a full stop before carrying passengers
(other than the aforementioned flight check)

CAP's regulations are a bit stiffer. CAP requires the FAA
standards plus a "Form 5" ride every year in each category. (I can take
a checkride in the Cessna 182 and it's also good for the smaller 172)
Plus I have to complete the written exam on model I fly yearly.

Then if I fly as a Mission Pilot, there are additional
requirements, both in the air and administratively. I do not currently
fly as a Mission Pilot.

> >I have multiple licenses as a healthcare provider.
>
> Again correct me if I'm mistaken, Steve - but doesn't that sort of
licensing
> require a certain number of continuing education credits in order to
renew?

Yu-hup.

> IOW, it's not just what you know - it's also about what you continue
to learn.

Yu-hup. And then there's my BCLS, ACLS, EMS-C, PALS, and other
certifications. All typically require 2 year recertifications. All
are required for me to function as an ER/Trauma Nurse.

> >> You've never acknowledged that the University of Illinois is
> >> NOT a correspondence school.
> >
> >The University of Illinois does have extension courses.
> >
> >> You've never acknowledged anything close to working military
> >> communications in a long service with the U.S. military.
You've
> >> never acknowledged any working knowledge of common small-
> >> unit military radios in use during that U.S. military service.
> >
> >Sure I have. Again, YOU ignore it. And YOU did not have but 3
> >years of "service with the U. S. Military). I beat that many times
> >over.
>
> More to the point: Of what importance is that knowledge in amateur
radio
> policy?

None to policy. However I was a radio OPERATOR in the Marine
Corps (in addition to being a repairman.) More accurately I was the
CHOP for a gateway station and theater net control while on Okinawa.

> >> You've never acknowledged any working knowledge of Yiddish
> >> yet you freely use at least one Yiddish pejorative in many
public
> >> postings.
> >
> >Nor do I have a "working knowledge" of Japanese, Serbo-Coratian, or
> >Tagalog, yet I can speak enough words of each to ask for help, find
a
> >cop and get drunk. I can speak conversationalist German and
Spanish.
>
> Dang, that's more languages than I know at that level.

I >KNOW< Spanish and German (conversational...I'd hate to get drawn
into some "meaningful" conversation!). The other three, as I said,
were just a few words!

I am sure Lennie's "mastery" of "Yiddish" ends with the clicking
of a mouse and use of a search engine.

> >You speak...What...???
> >
> >Please tell us just how competent YOU are in Yiddish. (use of a
> >search engine notwithstanding).
> >
> >> You've never acknowledged the real reason for your very short
> >> "career in electronics engineering" as a purchasing agent for a
> >> set-top-box maker that lasted less than half a year.
> >
> >I never said I was an engineer. And you still don't have the facts
> >of my employment there correct.
>
> What does it matter? If someone disagrees with Len, there's no
possible
> employment or education they could have that Len would find
significant, except
> to make fun of it. Look at K8MN's resume - then see how Len treats
him.

Ironic then that when Lennie provided HIS resume all it did was
give me a place to start in on him. Dumb thing to do when you have
"history" that shouldn't see the light of day!

> >I stated several times over my reason for leaving nursing for a
> >while in 2000. Everyone else that's been in here any length of time
> >knows...except you...why is that? And I was there much longer that
the
> >"less than half a year" you keep falsely reitrating.
> >
> >Also, I did not work for a "set top box maker". The company I DID
> >work for occupied the opposite end of a building from that company
> >(ANTEC). We rented space on their servers. You've also been told
that

> >over and over yet keep trying to make an issue out of it.
>
> See above.

I know, Jim. I guess it's easier to understand why it took Lennie 14 years to complete a "degree"...Poor fella can't remember squat any longer than his last nap.

> >> You've never acknowledged that the U.S. military did NOT make
> >> you any sort of pilot of any military aircraft despite implying
> >> that
> >> you were licensed as a private pilot before entering the
military.
> >
> >I never said I was a military aviator. You are welcomed to try and
> >find ANY quote ANYwhere that says otherwise. And I WAS licensed
before
> >I went on active duty. My initial Airman's certificate was signed
in
> >August 1974. I went on active duty in September 1974.
>
> Please don't confuse Len with facts...;-)

Where in the world did he pull that gem from, Jim...?

Have I EVER said ANYthing that even remotely sounded like "I was a military aviator"...?!? I believe I have been very careful to clearly make that distinction.

> >Again, facts you've been provided with over and over, but seem to
> >want to lie about over and over.
> >
> >> You've never acknowledged many, many mistakes you've made
> >> in here, constantly over several years.
>
> Nor does Len. Shall we discuss 97.21(b)? That's an amateur radio
issue.

And with as many times as he's poured through Part 97 trying to find some "point" to try and MAKE a point of, you'd think he at least would have remembered having seen it "somewhere".

I guess not.

If he'd get over his ARRL phobia and watched the homepage on occassion, he'd also see lots of letters from Riley % Company under "Enforcement Letters" on the very same subject.

> >Unlike you, Lennie, I don't keep making the same mistakes over and
> >over.
> >
> >> You've never acknowledged any knowledge of radio-electronics
> >> circuitry behind the front panels of amateur radios, yet you

claim
> >> to be knowledgeable about "radio."
> >
> >I AM knowledgeable about "radio". Do I have a doctorate level
> >degree in engineering...??? Of course not. But then I don't need
it,
> >either. I've built radios from scratch and from kits...I was paid
by
> >Uncle Sam for 2 decades to maintain aircraft (and some ground)
radios.
> >I am the owner/operator of a federally licensed radio station.
>
> Besides, no matter what knowledge you claim, Len would make fun of
it.

He can make fun of the time of day, if he cares to...the FACTS
remain that Lennie has NONE of the qualifications in any of those
disciplines in which he insinuates he does.

> Remember when I was challenged about my knowledge of the Smith chart,
and I
> wrote some sample problems and their solutions? Didn't make any
difference to
> Len.

Nope.

Early on in our exchanges Lennie made a point of bragging about
how he and his colleagues could offer so much to Amateur Radio.

OK...I suggested he start a discussion on microwave technology.
Of course he THEN started in on how he wasn't going to do for FREE what
he normally "get's paid" for.

I think he made his ulterior motives clear then.

> >> >> As to the alleged claim about LIQUID methane in my personal
> >> >> environment, that is quite wrong. The liquid form of
methane
> >can
> >> >> only exist at temperatures rather well below the coldest
found
> >on
> >> >> Earth. The "critic" seems familiar only with the GASEOUS
form
> >> >> of methane. [may the aldehydes be with him...] To use a
> >> >> colloquial term, that critic is "a gas." Peee-uuuu.
> >> >
> >> >Oh, I KNOW what temperatures methane liquifies at.
> >>
> >> Then write it. Demonstrate that methane can exist in liquid
form

> >> anywhere on the Earth's surface.
>
> >> >And I know what a cold piece of work you are.
> >>
> >> 98.6 F average.
> >
> >Not in your heart.
> >
> >> >And my reversal of the probes names notwithstanding, you're STILL
> >> >a putz, Lennie...
>
> You were going good there, Steve, but then you had to toss in that
word...

Yeah...I know...I'm a human and subject to human frailties like
everyone else.

Well....Like everyone EXCEPT Lennie!

Sorry. My bad.

> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Calling others the equivalent of "penis head"
is
> >> not
> >> considered "civil" and that is certainly not "reasonable
> >> discourse."
> >
> >We've seen the results of YOUR "civil discourse", Lennie.
>
> Let's see - "shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel" - that's a
Lenism.
> How about "Nazi" and "jackbooted thug"? Lenisms too.

The Nazi epithets started in on well before I dropped any pretense
of further civility with His Putziness...(ooops...did it again...)

> >I may be crude and unrelenting in my exchanges with you, but I am
> >not a liar, and at the end of the day, it's all about character.
>
> Or you could say you were just pulling Len's leg. That's what he
says....

Oh! Yeah! That's the ticket!

> Just don't take him so seriously. Nobody else does.

I just want to make sure it stays that way.

In the 1700's and 1800's people used to tell tales that African
natives grew tails in the full moon light and danced in reverence to
Satan. Nothing was further from the truth, however "authoritive
sounding" persons kept promoting it as "truth". Otherwise naieve or
ignorant persons just assumed it was true.

Lennie can sound very convincing. Very little of it is truthful,
but just enough of it is, and that's the hook.

73

Steve, K4YZ

870. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 19:16:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106594192.712956.231530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102287

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1105123617.852572.272400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> N2EY@AOL.COM writes:
>
> >Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >> >From: N2EY@AOL.COM
> >> >Date: 1/6/2005 6:00 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id:
<1105056049.926253.31850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
> >> >Lenof21 wrote:
> > >
> >> >> WHY? The license holder isn't prohibited from doing
anything
> >> >> after
> >> >> midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license
> >> >> period...and for two more years into that grace period.
> > >
> >> >That's simply not true, Len. The holder of an expired FCC amateur
> >radio
> >> >license cannot legally operate until the license has been
renewed.
> > >
> >> >This is the second time I've seen you state that mistake here in
the
> >> >past few days. An expired FCC amateur license carries *no*
operating
> >> >privleges.
> > >
> >> >IS there some reason you persist in this obvious error?
> > >
> >> I told ya... His Worminess has yet laid his long-tailed ignorance
to
> >bare
> >> in a house full of rocking chairs.
> > >
> >It's just a mistake, Steve. Len Anderson obviously doesn't
understand
> >97.21(b).
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. The Nun of the Above simply didn't understand
> that his/her/it leg was pulled. [androgynous behavior makes
> gender identification difficult]

Uh huh...I love how his "revelation" of "humor" comes after two weeks of getting his nose rubbed in his arrogant stupidity.

I get the feeling Lennie was the kid on the block with the only decent football who would snatch it up and run home as soon as it was apparent that he wasn't good at it and was getting beat soundly. He certainly comes off that way.

73

Steve, K4YZ

871. Re: How High Will Helium Baloons Go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 19:30:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050124143043.29459.00000036@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 102289

In article <7ZCdnSOXVujlUGzcRVn-1g@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> If I launch a balloon, and it goes to 50 Kfeet, then I suppose it means

>I'm a failure, and if I report a 100Kfeet launch and successful
>retrieval, I guess I'm rubbing it in.

Congratulations on achieving an attitude of success at 100,000 feet.

LenAnderson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

872. Re: The Cassini Probe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 19:30:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050124143046.29459.00000037@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 102288

In article <1106566488.497279.282800@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<Doctor Strangeglove - Hostile Action Healthcare Hero> writes:

>Len Anderson wrote:
>> In article <1106488765.160678.49770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
>"K4YZ"
>> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>>
>> >Len Anderson wrote:
>> >> In article <CpwId.5666$rp1.5008@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
>> >robert casey
>> >> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>> >No...it's only a "no-no" when the correction is in itself
>> >incorrect.
>>
>> Tsk. You mean like "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"
>>
>> >I got it backwards...my bad.
>>
>> You don't have it backwards, forwards, or sideways. You just
>> don't have it.
>
>Sure I do.

Not even close...

>I have an Amateur Radio Extra Class license...You don't.

You can pick up your Ham Hero Award at the door on your way out.

If you don't see your name on the box, it is in the one labeled
"to whom it may concern."

>You
>profess to know about Amateur Radio, yet have no practical experience
>in it.

Tsk. FCC Commissioners and staff are not required to have
personal amateur radio licenses in order to REGULATE U.S.
amateur radio! [sunnuvagun!]

>I have an FAA Airman's Certificate and have currency in 3 types.

Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not flying.

>I have multiple licenses as a healthcare provider.

Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not health
or medicine.

>You have none,
>although you somehow insinuate "experience" by virtue of sleeping with
>a person with a diploma from K-Tel, Ronco, or some other such place.

Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not diplomas
or correspondence schools nor libelous personal insults alleging
I am "sleeping with" someone other than my wife.

>> You've never acknowledged that the Department of Defense
>> directs MARS.
>
>Sure I have. Several times over. You keep ignoring it.

There is nothing to ignore. You have not acknowledged that
the Department of Defense directs the Military Affiliate Radio
System. You keep insisting that "amateurs are MARS."

>> You've never acknowledged the when and where of those
>> "seven hostile actions."
>
>They were and are none of your business.

In other words, those do not exist. LYING. Braggadoccio.

>They exceed YOUR "hostile actions" by seven.

Impossible. You cannot use imaginary incidents to claim any sort
of "superiority" in anything.

>> You've never acknowledged that the University of Illinois is
>> NOT a correspondence school.
>
>The University of Illinois does have extension courses.

That may be (haven't checked their syllabi). Nonetheless, my
wife earned TWO Masters Degrees from the University of Illinois
at Urbana, attending formal classes ("formal class" refers to being
present IN class). She was aided in that with formal classes at
the University of Wisconsin at Madison.

Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not colleges
or universities nor postgraduate studies.

>> You've never acknowledged anything close to working military
>> communications in a long service with the U.S. military. You've

>> never acknowledged any working knowledge of common small-
>> unit military radios in use during that U.S. military service.
>
>Sure I have.

That's not visible in Google. You have NO expressed familiarity
with any common U.S. military land forces radios OR aircraft
radios by formal name, familiar name, nor the "AN/" number.
You have derided the SINCGARS family of radios (operational
since 1989, approximately quarter-million sets in use worldwide)
and claimed you "could not talk about them due to such
information being classified." In other words, you tried to bullshit
your way through in a common ordinary set of LIES.

>Again, YOU ignore it. And YOU did not have but 3
>years of "service with the U. S. Military).

True! I had 8 years...4 active, 4 in reserves.

>> You've never acknowledged any working knowledge of Yiddish
>> yet you freely use at least one Yiddish pejorative in many public
>> postings.
>
>Nor do I have a "working knowledge" of Japanese, Serbo-Coratian, or
>Tagalog, yet I can speak enough words of each to ask for help, find a
>cop and get drunk. I can speak conversationalist German and Spanish.

Tsk. You put the order of precedence inverted...should have put
"drunk" first in line. :-)

Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not language
or linguistics.

>Please tell us just how competent YOU are in Yiddish. (use of a
>search engine notwithstanding).

Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not ethnic
groups.

>> You've never acknowledged the real reason for your very short
>> "career in electronics engineering" as a purchasing agent for a
>> set-top-box maker that lasted less than half a year.
>
>I never said I was an engineer.

You claimed to "know all about electronics engineering."

>And you still don't have the facts of my employment there correct.

We just don't know the circumstances of why you "left" in
full detail. :-)

Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not human
resources or industry employment practices.

>Also, I did not work for a "set top box maker". The company I DID
>work for occupied the opposite end of a building from that company
>(ANTEC). We rented space on their servers.

So, if it was NOT "Antec," what was the company name?

Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not
corporate structures or business practices.

>> You've never acknowledged that the U.S. military did NOT make
>> you any sort of pilot of any military aircraft despite implying that
>> you were licensed as a private pilot before entering the military.
>
>I never said I was a military aviator. You are welcomed to try and
>find ANY quote ANYwhere that says otherwise. And I WAS licensed before
>I went on active duty. My initial Airman's certificate was signed in
>August 1974. I went on active duty in September 1974.

Fifteen years later, the first of the SINCGARS radios became
operational with the U.S. military. Since then a quarter million
sets have been produced and put into service. You have NO
familiarity with such radios, do not know what a "hop set" is.

>> You've never acknowledged any knowledge of radio-electronics
>> circuitry behind the front panels of amateur radios, yet you claim
>> to be knowledgeable about "radio."
>
>I AM knowledgeable about "radio".

Only AMATEUR radio. Non-commercial, recreational, hobby
activity radio. That is all. However, the physics of electromagnetism
works the same for ALL radio services, is not changed by various
human legislation dictating certain "services" are for certain
applications.

>I am the owner/operator of a federally licensed radio station.

Someone else has to nominate you for the "Who's Who" in the
radio industry.

>> >Oh, I KNOW what temperatures methane liquifies at.
>>
>> Then write it. Demonstrate that methane can exist in liquid form
>> anywhere on the Earth's surface.

You have NOT answered a simple question requiring only four
ASCII characters. In other words, you do NOT know the
temperature of liquid methane. Nor, for that matter, the chemical

composition of methane.

Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not chemistry.

>> >And I know what a cold piece of work you are.
>>
>> 98.6 F average.
>
>Not in your heart.

Perhaps true. I have not had any temperature measurements
of my internal organs.

Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not personal
internal medical conditions.

>I may be crude and unrelenting in my exchanges with you, but I am
>not a liar, and at the end of the day, it's all about character.

Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not personal
vendettas due to your unbalanced, sociopathic tendences or lack
of self-control when others disagree with you.

>> Tell us again how your warm, witty, and civil manner attracts all
>> those non-radio people into amateur radio and the hundreds (?)
>> or dozens (?) or a few (?) you have "mentored" into ham radio.
>
>I've already done that.

No. All you've done is to make non-quantified braggadoccio (also
known as "bragging") without identifying the number or names.

>I still DO it.

More bragging without qualification of the numbers or activity.

>> Tell us again of your vast experience in the aerospace industry
>> from which you can use as a reference to "correct" everyone else
>> and lends credence to your credentials as a space biz guru.
>
>So far the references YOU have provided have only borne out that
>you were less than all you could be.

You have NOT checked any of the references I provided, either
corporate or personal. You cannot claim any "judgement" other
than attempting yet another personal insult...which falls flat...again.

>> Tell us again of how you can simply pick up a telephone and
>> call authorities (by virtue of your importance as a "medical

>> professional") to come and have "anyone" picked up and
>> committed (to a mental institution).
>
>Out of context, but I suggest you do some reading, Lennie.

"Reading" of what? You cannot do what you claim and there is NO legislation anywhere in the USA that "authorizes" you to do that.

Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not KKK activities by "Steve and the boys."

>A pathological liar of monumental proportions. A putz for all
>times.

Tsk. Another personal claim of greatness for yourself. :-)

LenAndeson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

873. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 20:11:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F5567A.D76644DE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102290

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > > Did you have any further questions?
> > >
> > > > As a matter of fact, if the downed trees cause power failures, and
> the
> > > > telephone lines are on the same poles and are downed, why does one
> have
> > > > phone service but no power?
> > >
> > > I suppose you'll have to take that up with your power company and
> > > telephone company, Brian. I'd have to care more about your personal
> > > situation to spend any time researching it for you.

> Then your offer to answer further questions was disingenuous.

Not at all, "bb". I answered your question. I don't guarantee that
you'll like the answers.

> Much like the rest of your coments.

I suppose that your comments indicating confusion over why your
telephone works when your power isn't on are infused with genuine
concern. ;-)

Once again, I've given you good advice. Your power company and your
telephone company will be better equipped than anyone to allay your
worries over the issue.

Dave K8MN

874. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 20:13:21 UTC
From: Xeton2001IsAMoron.20.dwpj65@spamgourmet.com
Message-ID: <1106597601.968560.173720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Care to try again, this time with some intelligence in your post?

Oops, I forgot, that's something you can't include since you don't possess it.

How was your weekend, loser?

875. Re: Colorado HB1045 - Ban Radar/Laser Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 22:04:47 UTC
From: Xeton2001IsAMoron.20.dwpj65@spamgourmet.com
Message-ID: <1106604287.191967.326180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.driving,co.general,boulder.general,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

5,325, but after the first couple of hundred it's hard to keep score.

How many people has Tubby Kennedy murdered by drinking and driving
without a license?

876. Re: Radio-Mart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-24 23:45:33 UTC
From: Leonard Kubiak <ootman1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xMfJd.9159$rp1.1879@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102293

who knows maybee he was a former big time cb radio operator

877. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 01:20:37 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f4cbac$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102279

Don't know what frequency it will be but i'll be there.I'll rebroadcast my
first three talk shows as well as a new one entitled: Do we really need the
ARRL? and the truth of Pirate Radio.

Todd Daugherty
The N9OGL Show
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

"Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:SQ%Id.4080$YD5.1998@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41f34fd1$1_1@127.0.0.1...
>
> : Well by next weekend I plan to move it down to 20 meters.
>
> 14.275 would be a good spot.
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire
>
>
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

878. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 01:51:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <d8-dnQ36pK-BO2jcRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102294

N2EY wrote:
> In article <yYidnQzReOmWrGncRVn-qA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>
>>>On 23 Jan 2005 00:30:49 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she doesn't/hasn't lived
>>>>up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, she insists
>>>>on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or even Ed.D.
>>>>She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.
>
>
>
>>>The "D" stands for "doctor." One can be a doctor of mathematics, doctor
>>>of chemistry, doctor of medicine, doctor of psychology, et cetera.
>
>
> My favorite is doctor of electrical engineering.
>
>
>>>All can use the title "doctor."
>
>
> Yes, they can. But most that I know do not *require* it, outside their field of
> expertise.
>
>
>>>Heck, even a lawyers can use that title due
>>>their degree being a Juris Doctor ("Doctor of Law").
>
>
> But they don't usually do that.
>
>
>>>I'm sure you called some of your professors "Doctor" and never gave
>>>it a second thought.
>
>
> Sure - because they were functioning in their field of expertise.

>
>
>>I'd bet he didn't call them Doctor followed by their first name!
>
>
> Not a one.
>
>
>>And the other thing is, at least with all the PhD's I work with, asking
>>any one of them to comment outside their field gets the answer prefaced
>>with a "well, it isn't my field of expertise" or something to that effect.
>
>
> BINGO!
>
> Heck, you can ask most Ph.D EEs about house wiring, and most of them will
> preface their remarks that way, because it *isn't* their field of EE.

hehe, and sometimes that is a very good thing!

>>Dr Laura is shelling out "advice" that is not related to her field of
>>expertise.
>
>
> Exactly!

Thank heavens they toook her off the air here!

- Mike KB3EIA -

879. 0319358

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 01:52:33 UTC
From: "Phil, a legend in his own mind" <PhilWonderful@mrstinkshit.org>
Message-ID: <ct48od$tc2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

0319358

73,

Lloyd

880. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 02:03:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <R_WdnTxD0qpgNWjcRVn-jw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102296

bb wrote:

> N2EY wrote:
>
>>>Dr Laura is shelling out "advice" that is not related to her field
>
> of
>
>>>expertise.
>>
>>Exactly!
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> Now one has to have an advanced degree in "Commonsensology" to be able
> to advocate such progressive ideas as faithfullness to marriage vows, a
> responsiblity to raise your children as a parent and not as their
> buddy, and forgiveness of those who've offended you. So sad.

As I had said, those are ideas that she has right. But if she was handing out advice as Laura Schlessinger, that would be cool. But I thought she was a psychologist for quite a while when I listened to her. Lots of people are "allowed" to think that.

And remember that she is very judgemental on her show. Judgemental people are in turn judged.

- Mike KB3EIA -

881. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 02:23:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106619833.347771.45740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102297

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Did you have any further questions?
> > > >
> > > > > As a matter of fact, if the downed trees cause power failures,
and
> > the
> > > > > telephone lines are on the same poles and are downed, why does
one
> > have
> > > > > phone service but no power?
> > > >
> > > > I suppose you'll have to take that up with your power company and
> > > > telephone company, Brian. I'd have to care more about your
personal
> > > situation to spend any time researching it for you.
>
> > Then your offer to answer further questions was disingenuous.
>
> Not at all, "bb". I answered your question. I don't guarantee that
> you'll like the answers.
>
> > Much like the rest of your coments.
>
> I suppose that your comments indicating confusion over why your
> telephone works when your power isn't on are infused with genuine
> concern. ;-)
>
> Once again, I've given you good advice. Your power company and your
> telephone company will be better equipped than anyone to allay your
> worries over the issue.
>
> Dave K8MN

twit
```

882. The Passion of the Christ is YOU!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 02:29:28 UTC
From: google_groups_001@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106620168.611565.211890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102298

http://www.free-hoster.com/goodnews << click link for the GOOD NEWS!

883. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 02:37:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ueidncr12uFxLWjcRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102299

Phil Kane wrote:

> On 24 Jan 2005 00:35:37 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>
>>>All can use the title "doctor."
>>
>>Yes, they can. But most that I know do not *require* it, outside
>>their field of expertise.
>
>
> My brother-in-law has a PhD in Physiology (his field of expertise is
> geriatic physiology). His "daytime" job is as a profusionist - the
> expert who operates the heart-lung bypass machine during open-heart
> surgery. For many years he was a professor and then department head
> in his field at a famous teaching hospital.
>
> In class, he was "Professor". In academic meetings he was "Doctor".
> In the operating room he was "Mister" (he wasn't an MD - he knew too
> much for that!) although he was usually referred to by his first
> name. And yes, all the docs, including the anesthesiologists, asked
> him for advice on how much and what kind of anesthesia to administer.
>
>
>>>Heck, even a lawyers can use that title due
>>>their degree being a Juris Doctor ("Doctor of Law").
>
>
> Yup, I got one of those. AFAIK there are no US law schools which
> grant a Batchelor of Laws degree any more.

So are you Dr. Kane, Dr. Phil, or just Citizen Kane?........ Ohhhh,
sorry, I just had to, Phil! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

884. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 03:12:33 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jNmdndKJ4ec3JGjcRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 102401

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41f4cbac$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> Don't know what frequency it will be but i'll be there.I'll rebroadcast my
> first three talk shows as well as a new one entitled: Do we really need
> the
> ARRL? and the truth of Pirate Radio.
>

Since these are opinions pieces (like talk radio) rather than amateur
specific news bulletins, I would say they are on the wrong side of the line
as far as the the FCC rules go.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

885. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 03:14:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1106620144.000402.66920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102400

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > N2EY wrote:
> >
> >>>Dr Laura is shelling out "advice" that is not related to her field
> >
> > of
> >
> >>>expertise.
> >>
> >>Exactly!
> >>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
> > Now one has to have an advanced degree in "Commonsensology" to be
able
> > to advocate such progressive ideas as faithfullness to marriage
vows, a
> > responsiblity to raise your children as a parent and not as their
> > buddy, and forgiveness of those who've offended you. So sad.
>
> As I had said, those are ideas that she has right. But if she was
> handing out advice as Laura Schlessinger, that would be cool. But I
> thought she was a psychologist for quite a while when I listened to
her.
> Lots of people are "allowed" to think that.
>
> And remember that she is very judgemental on her show. Judgemental
> people are in turn judged.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, the way I read it, all will be judged. bb

886. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 03:51:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41F5C1EA.C314A2F0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102402

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:

> > > > > As a matter of fact, if the downed trees cause power failures,
> and
> > > the
> > > > > telephone lines are on the same poles and are downed, why does
> one
> > > have
> > > > > phone service but no power?
> > > >
> > > > I suppose you'll have to take that up with your power company and
> > > > telephone company, Brian. I'd have to care more about your
> personal
> > > > situation to spend any time researching it for you.
> > >
> > > Then your offer to answer further questions was disingenuous.
> >
> > Not at all, "bb". I answered your question. I don't guarantee that
> > you'll like the answers.
> >
> > > Much like the rest of your coments.
> >
> > I suppose that your comments indicating confusion over why your
> > telephone works when your power isn't on are infused with genuine
> > concern. ;-)
> >
> > Once again, I've given you good advice. Your power company and your
> > telephone company will be better equipped than anyone to allay your
> > worries over the issue.

> twit

Tweet.

Dave K8MN

887. Re: Come on down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 04:08:13 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <NCjJd.4699$YD5.2218@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102403

"Radio Ron" <RadioRon@Mymail.net> wrote in message
news:1106073635.118242094c6b76010649b0b4531e6316@teranews...

: Nobody seems to care about amateur radio including the hams themselves.

We hams are no longer viewed by any significant authority as anything more than a motley collection of hobbyists. Sorry: fact of life. Gripe if you wish. It won't change the truth. In fact, many authorities wish we would disappear. We are, at best, "overbearing, unqualified tinkerers," and at worst "overbearing, unqualified tinkerers." Well, we could leave off the word "tinkerer" as the majority of hams have no ability nor desire to tinker. We are in no way, speaking generally, that 'pool of qualified electronics techs' the FCC mentions, although we seem to think we are. Those days are gone.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

888. Re: The Cassini Probe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 04:14:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106626440.069167.27000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102404

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1106566488.497279.282800@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"K4YZ"
> <Doctor Strangeglove - Hostile Action Healthcare Hero> writes:
>
> >Len Anderson wrote:
> >> In article <1106488765.160678.49770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> >"K4YZ"
> >> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >Len Anderson wrote:
> >> >> In article
<CpwId.5666$rp1.5008@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
> >> >robert casey
> >> >> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >No...it's only a "no-no" when the correction is in itself
> >> >incorrect.
> >>
> >> Tsk. You mean like "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"
> >>
> >> >I got it backwards...my bad.
> >>
> >> You don't have it backwards, forwards, or sideways. You just
> >> don't have it.
> >>
> >Sure I do.
>
> Not even close...

Seems I have "it" documented in federal, state and local records.

> >I have an Amateur Radio Extra Class license...You don't.
>
> You can pick up your Ham Hero Award at the door on your way out.

No "hero award", Lennie.

Just one of the MANY things that I DO have that you do not...CAN
NOT have. You talk big about a great many things, but do nothing about
it...Except to try and make things miserable for those who DO choose to
do "it".

> If you don't see your name on the box, it is in the one labeled
> "to whom it may concern."

At least I >>AM<< concerend, Lennie.

You aren't.

> >You
> >profess to know about Amateur Radio, yet have no practical
experience
> >in it.
>
> Tsk. FCC Commissioners and staff are not required to have
> personal amateur radio licenses in order to REGULATE U.S.
> amateur radio! [sunnuvagun!]

Lennie, YOU are NOT an FCC Commissioner.

Discussing the FCC commissioners does not negate the fact that YOU perport to know and have experience in thse many things, yet you obvioulsy DON'T have the knowledge OR experience in them.

FCC commissioners have a duty to perform. You don't.

You're a big mouth. That's all. You know a lot of little trivial things...no more.

> >I have an FAA Airman's Certificate and have currency in 3 types.
>
> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

But not irrelevant as YOUR frequent diversions into matters of airmanship. Another discipline that you shoot your mouth off on and have no eal EXPERIENCE in. It's so obvious that everyone EXCEPT you sees it.

> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not flying.

True, but that never stops you from discussing NAVAIDS, aerial navigation, or a host of other aviation related subjects from which your ONLY experience is a web based search engine.

Nor is it about the US Army in 1953, aerospace, or disaster response, however you frequently deleve into those topics when the mood strikes you.

> >I have multiple licenses as a healthcare provider.
>
> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

But it's 100% relevent in this forum since YOU frequently bring up discussions on the matter. Again...just one more discipline that you run your obviously ill-prepared mouth off on.

> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not health
> or medicine.

Here again we have Lennie TRYING to chastise others for liberties HE takes at will.

Sorry Lennie. It doesn't work that way. If it's OK for you, it
IS "ok" for the rest of us...ESPECIALLY those of us with the
credentials from which to make informed opinions.

> >You have none,
> >although you somehow insinuate "experience" by virtue of sleeping
with
> >a person with a diploma from K-Tel, Ronco, or some other such place.
>
> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
>
> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not diplomas
> or correspondence schools nor libelous personal insults alleging
> I am "sleeping with" someone other than my wife.

I didn't say you were sleeping with anyone BUT your wife. What I
AM saying is that YOU have made so many easily disproven lies in this
forum that only the very gullible or the very very easily fooled
believe any statement by you that your wife holds any of the
credentials you claim she does.

Furthermore, as a healthcare provider myself, I have to question
her competence and credibility if, as you have claimed she does, she
reads any of what you post in here. To allow a person she supposedly
loves to act this way in public, let alone as a credentialed mental
health provider, is unthinkable.

> >> You've never acknowledged that the Department of Defense
> >> directs MARS.
> >
> >Sure I have. Several times over. You keep ignoring it.
>
> There is nothing to ignore. You have not acknowledged that
> the Department of Defense directs the Military Affiliate Radio
> System. You keep insisting that "amateurs are MARS."

That's NOT what I said, but we've already gone over that.

> >> You've never acknowledged the when and where of those
> >> "seven hostile actions."
> >
> >They were and are none of your business.
>
> In other words, those do not exist. LYING. Braggadoccio.

Not lies. None of your business.

> >They exceed YOUR "hostile actions" by seven.
>
> Impossible. You cannot use imaginary incidents to claim any sort
> of "superiority" in anything.

I never claimed "superiority" over anything, Lennie.

That's a mindset YOU have established in order to justify your positioning yourself as the noble defender. You are neither "noble" or "defending" anything. You are no one's advocate save your own despite your self-indulged delusion otherwise.

> >> You've never acknowledged that the University of Illinois is
> >> NOT a correspondence school.
> >
> >The University of Illinois does have extension courses.
>
> That may be (haven't checked their syllabi).

Of course you haven't, Lennie! We'd expect nothing more from you! And "syllabi" is not where you ant to look. The catalog is.

> Nonetheless, my
> wife earned TWO Masters Degrees from the University of Illinois
> at Urbana, attending formal classes ("formal class" refers to
being
> present IN class).

"In residence" means being "IN" class.

> She was aided in that with formal classes at
> the University of Wisconsin at Madison.

You CLAIM she has those credentials. I say if she did, and she has the experience you alledge her to have, she'd smack you upside the head and forbid you from acting this way in public.

She obviously hasn't, so you were either lying about her awareness of your conduct in this forum or you were lying about her education and experience. In either care, you WERE (are) lying.

> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

Right again, but you DO go right on bringing it up. Why is that?

> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not colleges
> or universities nor postgraduate studies.

Then why do YOU keep brining them up, Lennie...?!?! No one here, least of all me, ever cared about where your wife went to school or what street corner you found her on.

> >> You've never acknowledged anything close to working military
> >> communications in a long service with the U.S. military.
You've
> >> never acknowledged any working knowledge of common small-
> >> unit military radios in use during that U.S. military service.
> >
> >Sure I have.
>
> That's not visible in Google. You have NO expressed familiarity
> with any common U.S. military land forces radios OR aircraft

> radios by formal name, familiar name, nor the "AN/" number.
> You have derided the SINCGARS family of radios (operational
> since 1989, approximately quarter-million sets in use worldwide)
> and claimed you "could not talk about them due to such
> information being classified." In other words, you tried to
bullshit
> your way through in a common ordinary set of LIES.

I have NEVER "derided" SINCGARS, Lennie.

I have said they are not relevent to Amateur Radio. That's true.
And every system I ever worked on in the USMC has no application in
Amateur practice. Some Harris RF230's were as close to "Amateur"
application as is possible, however I'd never own one save for use with
CAP.

NO APPLICATION.

And the claims of "classified" and being "unable to discuss" were
YOUR defenses when asked about your alleged "part time" works as an
"engineer". (I can't even say that without losing my composure and
laughing!)

> >Again, YOU ignore it. And YOU did not have but 3
> >years of "service with the U. S. Military).
>
> True! I had 8 years...4 active, 4 in reserves.

OK...I stand corrected on four years active vs three years...My
bad.

However YOUR "reserve" duty was NOT active reserves. You were
still under a formal obligation to return to active duty if called,
however you were not in uniform, you were not standing watches, and you
CERTAINLY were not anywhere near being in harms way. (You weren't even
there when you WERE on acitve duty!)

> >> You've never acknowledged any working knowledge of Yiddish
> >> yet you freely use at least one Yiddish pejorative in many
public
> >> postings.
> >
> >Nor do I have a "working knowledge" of Japanese, Serbo-Coratian, or
> >Tagalog, yet I can speak enough words of each to ask for help, find
a
> >cop and get drunk. I can speak conversationalist German and
Spanish.
>
> Tsk. You put the order of precedence inverted...should have put
> "drunk" first in line. :-)
>
> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
>

> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not language
> or linguistics.

But LENNIE! YOU are the one who is constantly insisting that I
don't have any "working" knowledge of Yiddish just becasue I call you a
(very well deserved) putz.

I dare say there are tousands of words YOU use from other
languages without having a "working knowledge" of the language from
which they spring.

For PRIME example, just how much LATIN do you actually speak?
Whole, meaningingful sentences now...Not just snappy phrases you like
to tack onto your RRAP posts in order to LOOK educated.

> >Please tell us just how competent YOU are in Yiddish. (use of a
> >search engine notwithstanding).
>
> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
>
> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not ethnic
> groups.

Ahhhhhhh....I see!

Yet another nail hit on the head that Lennie wished to get out
from under! Shall I save this post from which to use as retort for
your future suggestions of linguistic incompetence?

> >> You've never acknowledged the real reason for your very short
> >> "career in electronics engineering" as a purchasing agent for a
> >> set-top-box maker that lasted less than half a year.
> >
> >I never said I was an engineer.
>
> You claimed to "know all about electronics engineering."

No, I did not. YOU said I made that claim, and I didn't.

You've been asked before, and I am asking again...WHERE was that
said by me?

> >And you still don't have the facts of my employment there correct.
>
> We just don't know the circumstances of why you "left" in
> full detail.

Anyone who was paying attention to why I left NURSING for a while
in 2000 understands perfectly. I bet Brian Burke can explain it to
you, Lennie.

I LEFT Atlanta to go back to Nursing. It really is THAT simple.

> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
>
> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not human
> resources or industry employment practices.

Then why do YOU keep bringing it up, Lennie...?!?! I don't.

> >Also, I did not work for a "set top box maker". The company I DID
> >work for occupied the opposite end of a building from that company
> >(ANTEC). We rented space on their servers.
>
> So, if it was NOT "Antec," what was the company name?
>
> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
>
> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not
> corporate structures or business practices.

You're right again! Yet YOU keep bringing up your alleged
aerospace contractors and retirement packages! NONE of which (except
in YOUR mind as the mood strikes) are pertinent to AMATEUR RADIO.

> >> You've never acknowledged that the U.S. military did NOT make
> >> you any sort of pilot of any military aircraft despite implying
that
> >> you were licensed as a private pilot before entering the
military.
> >
> >I never said I was a military aviator. You are welcomed to try and
> >find ANY quote ANYwhere that says otherwise. And I WAS licensed
before
> >I went on active duty. My initial Airman's certificate was signed
in
> >August 1974. I went on active duty in September 1974.
>
> Fifteen years later, the first of the SINCGARS radios became
> operational with the U.S. military. Since then a quarter million
> sets have been produced and put into service. You have NO
> familiarity with such radios, do not know what a "hop set" is.

So, Lennie...How did you go from making inappropriate suggestions
of where/how I became an aviator to discussing the entry of SINCGARS to
military service...???

Are you going to acknowledge your error or not?

> >> You've never acknowledged any knowledge of radio-electronics
> >> circuitry behind the front panels of amateur radios, yet you
claim
> >> to be knowledgeable about "radio."
> >
> >I AM knowledgeable about "radio".
>

> Only AMATEUR radio.

Hot diggity dang, Lennie!

Ya think that maybe that's why I participate in an AMATEUR radio
forum...?!?!

> Non-commercial, recreational, hobby activity radio. That is all.

However I HAVE discussed those VERY things in this forum. And
with knowledge AND experience!

By the way...YOU, Mr "Radio Professional", have repeatedly
insisted that there are radio services established strictly for
"recreational" purposes, yet there's not a single bit of substantiating
evidence in >>ANY<< FCC rule or regulation. We've been waiting for you
to cite the federal rule that supports your assertions.

It's only been four years. Can we expect an answer soon?

That IS a "radio" policy issue.

> However, the physics of electromagnetism
> works the same for ALL radio services, is not changed by various
> human legislation dictating certain "services" are for certain
> applications.

No one in this forum EXCEPT you has argued made any assertion
otherwise, Lennie.

> >I am the owner/operator of a federally licensed radio station.
>
> Someone else has to nominate you for the "Who's Who" in the
> radio industry.

Not necessary. Anyone who cares to validate my assertion may
refer to FCC archives. The SAME archives in which you are NOT recorded
as being a station licensee in. (A STATION license being an FCC mandate
in order for your "commercial operator's license" to be effective
with...otherwise it's just one of the same "federal merit badges" you
often refer to....)

> >> >Oh, I KNOW what temperatures methane liquifies at.
> >>
> >> Then write it. Demonstrate that methane can exist in liquid
form
> >> anywhere on the Earth's surface.
>
> You have NOT answered a simple question requiring only four
> ASCII characters. In other words, you do NOT know the
> temperature of liquid methane. Nor, for that matter, the chemical
> composition of methane.
>
> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.

>
> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not
chemistry.

Again correct! However YOU frequently make posts suggestive of
relevence in chemistry, yet it's OK for YOU to do!

> >> >And I know what a cold piece of work you are.
> >>
> >> 98.6 F average.
> >
> >Not in your heart.
>
> Perhaps true. I have not had any temperature measurements
> of my internal organs.

With as many times as you've made a public spectacle of yourself
with your head up your...uhhh..."backdoor", I'd think you'd have first
hand experience in that!

It's one of the things no one would argue with you about!

> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
>
> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not personal
> internal medical conditions.

Absolutely! Lennie, I am BEGINNING to think that MAYBE you are
starting to get the idea of what this forum really is about!

And to prove it, I am going to SAVE this post to my "favorites"
file...and EVERY TIME "Lenover21" or any of your other manifestations
deviates from AMATEUR RADIO POLICY, I am going to use YOUR words to get
YOU back on track!

> >I may be crude and unrelenting in my exchanges with you, but I am
> >not a liar, and at the end of the day, it's all about character.
>
> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
>
> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not personal
> vendettas due to your unbalanced, sociopathic tendences or lack
> of self-control when others disagree with you.

DANG LENNIE! You ARE getting it! And I am ESPECIALLY going to
re-use THAT paragraph EVERYTIME you start to slide off into yet another
of your "Feldwebel", "Nun of the Above", "Coslonaut", "Department of
Hate", etc rants!

Thanks!

> >> Tell us again how your warm, witty, and civil manner attracts
all
> >> those non-radio people into amateur radio and the hundreds (?)

> >> or dozens (?) or a few (?) you have "mentored" into ham radio.
> >
> >I've already done that.
>
> No. All you've done is to make non-quantified braggadoccio (also
> known as "bragging") without identifying the number or names.
>
> >I still DO it.
>
> More bragging without qualification of the numbers or activity.

Unlike you, Lennie, I have no need of doing that.

Your ENTIRE existence in RRAP has been about trying to dazzle us
with your "Been There-Done That" bragging of your own.

We've asked you to provide the name of ONE PERSON who is, this
day, involved in radio communication in ANY phase because of some
guidance you have provided.

So far...A big GOOSE EGG.

> >> Tell us again of your vast experience in the aerospace industry
> >> from which you can use as a reference to "correct" everyone
else
> >> and lends credence to your credentials as a space biz guru.
> >
> >So far the references YOU have provided have only borne out that
> >you were less than all you could be.
>
> You have NOT checked any of the references I provided, either
> corporate or personal. You cannot claim any "judgement" other
> than attempting yet another personal insult...which falls
flat...again.

Sorry Lennie.

Not true.

Your "contract" in Pennsylvania was your undoing as a newsgroup
guru-wannabe.

It's no "personal insult". You were in Pennsylvania. You named
places and dates. I have friends who were in those places during those
dates. One of them knew you. He was less than impressed. He is now
retired from that facility. He DOES have a doctorate in engineering,
and needless to say, I trust his opinions far more than I trust your
"facts".

You shouldn't have let your ego overrun your common sense,
Lennie...

Sorry it spoiled your self-inflated image of yourself, but it
appears that it's not that difficult to do.

> >> Tell us again of how you can simply pick up a telephone and
> >> call authorities (by virtue of your importance as a "medical
> >> professional") to come and have "anyone" picked up and
> >> committed (to a mental institution).
> >
> >Out of context, but I suggest you do some reading, Lennie.
>
> "Reading" of what? You cannot do what you claim and there is
> NO legislation anywhere in the USA that "authorizes" you to do
> that.
>
> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
>
> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not KKK
> activities by "Steve and the boys."

Just one more opportunity to ask you to provide proof of your
assertions, but it will go unanswered and unsubstantiated.

> >A pathological liar of monumental proportions. A putz for all
> >times.
>
> Tsk. Another personal claim of greatness for yourself.

No.

Yet another moment where we're left wondering what ever happened
to you that made you such a vile, spiteful and empty shell of a person,
Lennie.

> LenAndeson@ieee.org
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Liked seeing it so much you had to do it twice, Lennie...???
Not that we're surprised.

Steve, K4YZ

889. Re: The Cassini Probe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 05:04:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106629442.445871.75300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102405

K4YZ wrote:
> Len Anderson wrote:

> > Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not
diplomas
> > or correspondence schools nor libelous personal insults alleging
> > I am "sleeping with" someone other than my wife.
>
> I didn't say you were sleeping with anyone BUT your wife. What I
> AM saying is that YOU have made so many easily disproven lies in this
> forum that only the very gullible or the very very easily fooled
> believe any statement by you that your wife holds any of the
> credentials you claim she does.

I forgot to add:

Your wife very well MAY hold some valid diploma from some
respected educational institution. She may even hold (or held)
licensure as a mental health care worker.

The PROBLEM is that YOU have been caught in SO MANY LIES, over and
over again, WHO CAN BELIEVE A WORD OF WHAT YOU SAY...?!?!?!

It's on YOU and your past conduct, Lennie, and nothing else.

It's your bed, Pal...Slide on in and get comfy...You made it!
Steve, K4YZ

890. Re: 4057816829166799

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 13:04:39 UTC
From: QT <qt@qtnetdxers.net>
Message-ID: <ct5g4hort0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Has anyone observed the pirate operating on 3965 kHz lately?
These groups are related to that entity.

Tnx,

Nat qt

"0000 000666" <73595@33743485.869> wrote in message
news:73595@33743485.869...
>4 2 7 2 6 7 8 4 6 0 0 4 2 0 6 9 7 3 8 7 2 0 3 4 7 1 6 7 6 1 2 0 3 0
> 3 0 7 1 4 4 1 5 9 4 5 5 3 2 8 2 9 1 2 3 5 0 4 2 8 0 3 0 1 7 0 4 7 8
> 6 1 3 7 6 2 2 2 7 6 5 6 8 4 7 1 7 3 1 2 5 9 3 9 0 4 6 0 8 4 9 4 5 2
> 2 2 4 4 5 2 1 0 9 9 4 7 0 2 0 1 5 5 1 8 5 1 2 1 2 1 5 1 6 1 4 8 4 9
> 3 9 1 4 9 1 4 4 8 4 7 8 6 2 4 8 0 9 9 2 1 2 4 6 4 0 8 8 8 2 8 2 2 0
> 7 1 1 1 6 9 5 3 7 2 5 2 0 6 1 0 9 2 3 3 9 7 3 7 6 2 0 4 4 3 4 1 5 6
> 2 1 5 8 5 3 1 0 5 2 6 6 3 5 9 6 9 8 4 2 6 0 4 7 5 9 0 0 0 5 6 3 7 1
> 1 2 5 3 3 0 5 0 7 8 5 6 4 4 5 8 7 1 9 1 8 5 1 9 5 1 5 2 4 2 4 6 5 9
> 0 8 9 5 8 7 4 4 3 9 9 8 7 6 0 7 8 9 2 9 8 8 1 4 0 6 7 4 3 2 4 3 1 4
> 9 9 1 3 4 4 2 3 3 0 0 3 7 9 2 0 9 1 9 1 5 9 8 2 4 1 5 9 5 6 3 4 6 4
> 7 0 9 9 4 2 0 5 5 8 5 8 9 5 0 8 6 6 8 4 9 3 6 4 2 1 1 5 5 7 0 3 8 9
> 3 2 1 3 8 7 1 3 6 0 8 6 8 5 2 7 0 1 0 6 6 2 7 7 7 3 4 8 6 2 7 9 5 9
> 2 3 6 4 7 2 5 6 9 4 1 2 1 2 4 1 8 0 3 6 8 1 9 3 0 6 6 7 5 1 6 8 5 3
> 3 3 6 8 9 5 3 1 8 4 3 2 6 2 3 0 9 1 2 9 4 2 5 0 9 1 2 6 0 7 9 3 1 5
> 2 0 1 5 1 9 0 5 2 7 8 5 7 7 6 9 6 1 2 1 1 2 3 3 8 3 1 8 6 2 4 9 3 5
> 5 5 5 6 0 7 3 9 3 0 6 9 0 2 0 2 4 2 5 7 6 3 0 8 2 7 1 6 7 4 2 2 9 7
> 8 0 5 2 9 8 2 6 8 3 9 9 6 3 1 1 1 7 5 2 5 7 9 7 3 7 1 5 9 1 3 8 1 8
> 0 1 7 3 8 5 6 7 4 3 0 6 4 2 3 9 4 9 7 4 6 0 1 8 6 1 9 0 9 1 8 0 3 5
> 3 1 1 0 8 5 3 9 1 8 1 5 8 5 5 5 9 2 6 0 1 2 1 0 2 1 2 1 1 6 7 5 7 8
> 6 6 4 0 5 6 8 6 2 6 1 8 1 1 1 8 2 2 1 3 3 4 5 6 5 7 2 3 2 9 2 8 5 6

891. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 14:43:01 UTC
From: Carl <kb9rvb@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1106664181.232343.119330@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102408

This legal analysis from the "jailhouse lawyer" who described his
diatribes as "broadcasts." Let's just move on to another subject.

892. Re: 4057816829166799

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 16:03:40 UTC
From: eat the hamburger <ohmylions4@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <adc3e$41f66ddf$d1cc589a$13191@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 102409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"QT" <qt@qtnetdxers.net> wrote in message news:ct5g4hort0@pita.alt.net...
> Has anyone observed the pirate operating on 3965 kHz lately?
> These groups are related to that entity.

The 'answer' to the comment/question above is at the URL below......

http://www.killsometime.com/humor/humor.asp?humor=FWord

Enjoy and BE HAPPY :)

893. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 17:38:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106674714.857688.119690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102410

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1105123617.852572.272400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> N2EY@AOL.COM writes:
>
> >Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >> >From: N2EY@AOL.COM
> >> >Date: 1/6/2005 6:00 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id:
<1105056049.926253.31850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
> >> >Lenof21 wrote:
> > >
> >> >> WHY? The license holder isn't prohibited from doing
anything
> >> >> after
> >> >> midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license
> >> >> period...and for two more years into that grace period.
> > >
> >> >That's simply not true, Len. The holder of an expired FCC amateur
> >> >radio license cannot legally operate until the license has been
> >> >renewed.
> > >
> >> >This is the second time I've seen you state that mistake here in
the
> >> >past few days. An expired FCC amateur license carries *no*
operating
> >> >privleges.
> > >
> >> >IS there some reason you persist in this obvious error?
> > >
> >> I told ya... His Worminess has yet laid his long-tailed ignorance
to
> >> bare in a house full of rocking chairs.
> > >
> >It's just a mistake, Steve. Len Anderson obviously doesn't
understand
> >97.21(b).
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. The Nun of the Above simply didn't understand
> that his/her/it leg was pulled.

Len,

Who are you addressing as "Nun of the Above"? Can't be me.
If you're pulling any body part, it isn't mine and it isn't

a leg.

You simply made a mistake about 97.21(b). Plain as day.
You've repeated it a few times, but you're still mistaken.

> [androgynous behavior makes
> gender identification difficult]

Androgynous behavior by whom?

> >> >> There are many, many reasons, all valid, for being unable to
> >> >> renew prior to the last day of the 10-year period.
> >
> >> >Such as?
> >
> >> Death? Coma? Prisoner-of-War (about the ONLY valid reason
> >>>I<< can
> >> think of...) ?
> >
> >Well, someone could be ill, or busy with work, family, volunteer
> >activities,
> >travel, moving, natural disaster, military service, etc.
> >FCC doesn't care. If a ham lets his/her amateur license expire, they
> >can't legally operate until it is renewed. Such renewal is valid
when
> >it shows up
> >in the FCC database - you don't have to wait for the paper license
to
> >show up.
> >
> >All of this is *extremely basic* regulatory stuff, clearly stated in
> >97.21(b), not somebody's opinion or interpretation.
>
> Tsk.

Yes, Len, one would think you'd know something that basic about
Part 97. But not only are you spouting mistakes, you refuse to
acknowledge them.

> >What is most interesting is the fact that someone like
> >Len, who has told us how the regulations should be
> >changed for so many years, should be so ignorant of such
> >a basic rule from Part 97.
>
> Tsk. The Nun of the Above,

Who is that, Len?

> >who designs and builds his

Nuns are female, Len.

> own
> "state of the art" vacuum tube based radios in the 1990s, is
> seemingly unaware of solid-state technology having overtaken
> vacuum-state some three decades prior.

Well, you're obviously not talking about me, because I've never
said any of my homebrew ham radio projects are "state of the art".

Perhaps you're talking about yourself? No, that can't be it, because
you don't have any homebrew amateur radio projects to show us at all.

In fact, I recall that you had to *buy* a manufactured cb set back
in 1958 or so, despite all your alleged knowledge of
"radio-electronics".

> The Nun of the Above

Who would that be?

> has constantly made his

"his"? Nuns are female.

> old-time radio
> heroes to be pioneers of "firsts" despite absolutely NO radio
> broadcasting transmitter copying the AM adaptation of Reggie
> Fessenden done in public demonstration on 1906 (special
> carbon microphone in series with antenna lead of spark trans-
> mitter).

What are you talking about, Len?

Perhaps you should look up how Fessenden did the 1906 broadcast.
He used an RF alternator, IIRC, not spark.

But you've made so many mistakes about RAF that no one is
surprised when you make another.

> Yet the Nun wishes to rap all knuckles with his/her/it

Nuns are female, Len.

> ruler when such pioneering radio heroism isn't met with
> enthusiastic acclaim.

Well, that leaves me out. I'm against violence, both against
children and those who act like children...

> The Nun of the Above

Who?

> constantly refers to old regulations,
> including those made by government radio regulating agencies
> which no longer existed after 1934.

Which old regulations, Len?

The only regulations I've referred to recently are current ones.
Like 97.21(b). Do you know that one?

> The Nun also applies
> his/her/it's ruler to knuckles which do not recognize his/her/it's
> truism that morse code testing is a "necessity" for all new
> amateur licensees' privileges of operating below 30 MHz on
> amateur radio bands.

Well, whoever this mythical Nun person is, she's right about that!
Until FCC changes the rules, a Morse Code test is a necessity for
all new amateur licensees who want transmitting privileges on the
amateur bands below 30 MHz. FCC won't issue the license without
such a test being passed, and a license is a necessity for *legal*
operation.

Of course, Len, you have no such license. Nor are you particularly
familiar with Part 97.

> All simply MUST do so...as it has always
> been done...no good reasons given.

Operating within the law isn't a good reason?
>
> That striking ruler is again applied whenever an NCTA dares to
> question his/her/it's

Nuns are female, Len.

> claims of the efficacy of morse code mode
> over and above all other modes (which it isn't, but that is
besides
> the point to any PCTA).

Who has claimed that? Not me.

> The Nun of the Above is an ultra-strict literalist who is unable
to
> find a life beyond the newsgroup nor employment in radio-related
> industry and disavowing any relationship to professionalism in
> the radio-electronics industry.

Nuns are employed by the church. I'm not, so you can't be talking about
me.

> Must be some sort of Catholic thing.

Most but not all nuns in the USA are Roman Catholic. Even though I was
brought up in that religion, I'm not part of it now. Nor for a long
long time.

OTOH, your buddy Brian Burke, N0IMD, was raised Roman Catholic and
probably still is. Is he the one you refer to as "Nun of the Above",
Len?

btw, he might like to hear about who you voted for in the 2004 US presidential election.

> What's troubling all these
> heavenly fodders is lots and lots of individuals nailing theses

"Theses"? I think you meant a word that rhymes with "theses", Len, only it begins with the letter F.

"lots and lots of individuals"? How many?

How many think differently?

> on
> the doors of the Church of St. Hiram saying that morse code
> testing must GO and make the "church" open to all citizens who
> have an interest

Perhaps it would be better if people with an interest in changing the rules approached the FCC about it.

Amateur radio is open to all who have enough interest to pass the required tests and get the required license. You obviously aren't one of those people.

> ...an interest and not a desire to dumb themselves
> down with old, archaic requirements that have no usefulness.

Like what "old, archaic requirements"? Written tests? Licenses?

You can't be talking about Morse Code skill as having no usefulness, because it's extremely useful in amateur radio. Therefore, it makes sense that the tests for an amateur radio license would include a Morse Code test.

QED

> Ave, Imperator!

Who do you address that way? Nuns are usually addressed as "Sister" or "Mother" or some other title. At least that's the way it was back when I was Roman Catholic, years and years ago.

As for the Latin, it's one of the languages my ancestors used. They had world-class poetry, art, drama, philosophy, mathematics, formal logic, science, engineering, architecture, public works, a representative form of government, etc. Much of what they accomplished we still use today - even down to most of the alphabet used to write in this newsgroup.

At about the same time in history, *your* ancestors were busy painting their faces blue, howling at the moon and worshipping trees. All they gave us of any value was the names of some of the days of the week.

894. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 17:49:17 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iavnu50.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102414

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 21:37:30 -0500, Mike Coslo wrote:

>So are you Dr. Kane, Dr. Phil, or just Citizen Kane?........ Ohhhh,
>sorry, I just had to, Phil! 8^)

Just call me when the paychecks are delivered..... <ggg>
--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

895. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 17:49:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106675384.787490.168900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102411

Kim wrote:
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20050123193537.19064.00000073@mb-m12.aol.com...
> > In article <yYidnQzReOmWrGncRVn-qA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> > >Dr Laura is shelling out "advice" that is not related to her field
of
> > >expertise.
> >
> > Exactly!
>
> "Dr" Laura is a buffoon...man, I can't stand that woman!
>
I hadn't thought of the word "buffoon" for her, Kim, but
it fits perfectly.

I've seen it written that what LS wants to be is the scolding
parent who says 'do as I say, not as I do'. Her own life is no
example you'd want to hold up. Besides the fooling around with
a married man in the workplace (a la Ms. Lewinsky), there are
those clothing-less photos which she at first claimed were
fake but then had to later admit were real. Some might forgive
those things as youthful indiscretions, but LS doesn't forgive
the youthful indiscretions of others!

Then there's the fake "guests" on her short-lived TV show, the
preaching that moms must not have jobs and always have the
choice to stay home with their kids (but she didn't!)

You probably know many more.

73 de Jim, N2EY

btw, check this out and see if you can guess the author. I thought
you'd get a kick out of it, particularly the "big house" line.

Hint: It was written in 1991.

"Democracy is buying a big house you can't afford with money you don't
have to impress people you wish were dead. And, unlike communism,
democracy does not mean having just one ineffective political party; it
means having two ineffective political parties. ... Democracy is
welcoming people from other lands, and giving them something to hold
onto -- usually a mop or a leaf blower. It means that with proper
timing and scrupulous bookkeeping, anyone can die owing the government
a huge amount of money. ... Democracy means free television, not good

television, but free. ... And finally, democracy is the eagle on the back of a dollar bill, with 13 arrows in one claw, 13 leaves on a branch, 13 tail feathers, and 13 stars over its head -- this signifies that when the white man came to this country, it was bad luck for the Indians, bad luck for the trees, bad luck for the wildlife, and lights out for the American eagle."

896. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 17:53:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106675625.645228.185840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102412

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20050123133642.25923.00000045@mb-m26.aol.com...
> : In article <T1QId.3327$r27.1849@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
"Mel A.
> : Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> writes:
> :
> : >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> : >news:20050123103040.04201.00000051@mb-m22.aol.com...
> : >
> : >:
> : >: "Kokava aro, kokava tua, te igoa o te akuaku, erua"
> : >:
> : >
> : >He-kî te ma'ea: "Kumao-á".
> :
> : HAW!
> :
> : I'll translate mine if you translate yours!
> :
> : 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Roughly translated from rapanui, it means: "The rocks said 'OK,
Fine'"
>
Thank you!

Mine roughly translates to: "When worn out at the front and worn out at the back, make a new one". It was the 'aku-aku' ('guardian spirit', roughly) of a book that had been recopied many times on Easter Island, passed down through many generations.

Imagine the ingenuity of the book's unknown ancient author, to put in that saying so the information would survive.

73 de Jim, N2EY

897. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 17:56:47 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <IMvJd.20965$n_7.8321@fe09.lga>
Source record: 102413

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41f5ecf5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> Where in the FCC rules and regulations does it state that it has to be a
> news bulletin? I think that's where Amateurs have a misunderstanding. The
> term in the FCC rules and regulations state:
>
> 97.3(a)(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur
> operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
> amateur service.
>
> And you must also look at
>
> 97.111(b)(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;
>
> Let look at the bottom one first. "Transmissions necessary to disseminate
> information bulletins" now we all know what transmission means at lease I
> hope you all do so lets look at the ending section of that part
> "disseminate
> information bulletins". Disseminate according to The American Heritage
> Dictionary disseminate means to spread or to be spread. Information
> according to the same dictionary means "Knowledge from study or
> experience.
> (2)Knowledge of an event or situation; Intelligence. (3) A collection of
> facts or data (4) Informing or being informed; communication of Knowledge.
> Lastly a bulletin is according to American Heritage Dictonary is (1) A
> printed or broadcast statement on a matter of public interest (2) A
> periodical, esp. one published by an organization or society
> So with all we can state that 97.111(b)(6) means in layman term A radio
> siganal necessary to spread knowledge as a statement on matters of amateur
> interest something on that lines no where in the FCC rules and regulations
> does it state it has to be NEWS only that it has to be information
> consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur
> service. After reading stuff off of not only this newsgroup but other
> amateur message boards I understand that many amateur's think that a
> information bulletin is a news bulletin but again nowhere in the FCC rules
> does it state that.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:jNmdndKJ4ec3JGjcRVn-og@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>> news:41f4cbac$1_2@127.0.0.1...
>> > Don't know what frequency it will be but i'll be there.I'll rebroadcast
> my
>> > first three talk shows as well as a new one entitled: Do we really need
>> > the
>> > ARRL? and the truth of Pirate Radio.
>> >
>>
>>
>> Since these are opinions pieces (like talk radio) rather than amateur
>> specific news bulletins, I would say they are on the wrong side of the
> line
>> as far as the the FCC rules go.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Todd, I'd be careful if I were you. You must remember that even if you are "technically" correct, If and whan they decide to cast their light upon you, it's all open to interpretation. In the final analysis, their interpretation will be the only one that counts. You might want to tread a tad more carefully.

I hold no opinion as to the correctness of your activities. I just believe that you're playing *against* the home team and there's lotsa cork in those bats.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

898. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 21:54:54 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f5ecf5$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102406

Where in the FCC rules and regulations does it state that it has to be a news bulletin? I think that's where Amateurs have a misunderstanding. The term in the FCC rules and regulations state:

97.3(a)(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service.

And you must also look at

97.111(b)(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;

Let look at the bottom one first. "Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins" now we all know what transmission means at lease I hope you all do so lets look at the ending section of that part "disseminate information bulletins". Disseminate according to The American Heritage Dictionary disseminate means to spread or to be spread. Information according to the same dictionary means "Knowledge from study or experience. (2)Knowledge of an event or situation; Intelligence. (3) A collection of facts or data (4) Informing or being informed; communication of Knowledge. Lastly a bulletin is according to American Heritage Dictonary is (1) A printed or broadcast statement on a matter of public interest (2) A periodical, esp. one published by an organization or society
So with all we can state that 97.111(b)(6) means in layman term A radio siganal necessary to spread knowledge as a statement on matters of amateur interest something on that lines no where in the FCC rules and regulations does it state it has to be NEWS only that it has to be information consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service. After reading stuff off of not only this newsgroup but other amateur message boards I understand that many amateur's think that a information bulletin is a news bulletin but again nowhere in the FCC rules does it state that.

Todd N9OGL
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:jNmdndKJ4ec3JGjcRVn-og@comcast.com...
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41f4cbac$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> > Don't know what frequency it will be but i'll be there.I'll rebroadcast
my
> > first three talk shows as well as a new one entitled: Do we really need
> > the
> > ARRL? and the truth of Pirate Radio.
> >

>
>
> Since these are opinions pieces (like talk radio) rather than amateur
> specific news bulletins, I would say they are on the wrong side of the
line
> as far as the the FCC rules go.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

899. Operating privileges for an ex-ham.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-25 23:54:13 UTC
From: imoldovan@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1106697253.713293.142580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102416

My uncle was a ham operator back in Romania. He moved here in the United States about 15 years ago. He kept his ham licence active for all this years YO6DMY. He wants to start operating again. Does he need to retake the ham exam??? Can he just do a change of address?? Any help would be appreciated. Thank you...

900. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 00:15:25 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iaw5ppa.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102418

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 05:49:21 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:

>You know the easiest way of solving this problem which I'm working on, is a
>petition for rulemaking to clarify what a Information bulletin is. That
>would solve the problem once and for all.

A Petition for Rulemaking is not the proper way to get this ruling.
It would be dismissed out of hand.

Strike One.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

901. Re: Operating privileges for an ex-ham.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 00:22:01 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iaw60pb.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102417

On 25 Jan 2005 15:54:13 -0800, imoldovan@hotmail.com wrote:

>My uncle was a ham operator back in Romania. He moved here in the
>United States about 15 years ago. He kept his ham licence active for
>all this years YO6DMY. He wants to start operating again. Does he need
>to retake the ham exam???

He would have to take a U.S. ham license test to get a U.S. license
if he is now a U.S. citizen or resident. Reciprocity or its CEPT
equivalent would not apply because he is not in the U.S. temporarily.

>Can he just do a change of address??

Most Administrations require an "in country" address.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

902. HAVE YOU HEARD THE GOOD NEWS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 01:08:08 UTC
From: google_groups_web@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106701688.395998.122570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102419

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

Some people believe that belonging to a local church, temple, mosque or synagogue will get them to Heaven.

Others believe that water baptism, obeying the ten commandments or just being a good person will get them to Heaven.

There are many other beliefs as well, but the good news about God's way to Heaven is found in the Holy Bible.

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins. After Jesus was placed in a tomb He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Bible says, "For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life through Christ Jesus our Lord." (Romans 6:23)

This verse in the Bible says, "For ALL HAVE SINNED, and come short of the glory of God." (Romans 3:23)

This verse says, "But God demonstrates his own love for us in this: While we were still sinners, Christ died for us." (Romans 5:8)

In this passage the Bible clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner.
I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Salvation is not a reward but a gift. The Bible says it this way, "For it is by GRACE you have been SAVED, through FAITH and this not from yourselves, it is the GIFT of God." (Ephesians 2:8)

Nothing in the world is more important than your eternal destiny.

The Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you have not yet made a decision to be saved, please do so now before it is too late. The reason why it is so important to be saved now is because you do not know exactly when you will die. You may die prematurely in a traffic accident, terrorist attack or some other way before you get another chance to be saved.

The Bible tells us that we will spend eternity in Heaven or a place of eternal torment called Hell. It would be terrible to die and go to Hell when all you have to do is accept Jesus Christ as your personal Lord and Saviour.

Some people that have already made Jesus Christ their Lord and Saviour worry about losing their salvation. The Bible teaches Christians that we can never lose our salvation no matter what happens.

The Bible says it this way, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."

Yes my friend, Jesus Christ is able to save you and keep you saved.

Please tell your family and friends, thanks!

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.free-hoster.com/goodnews << Passion of the Christ
3. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
4. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
5. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

903. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 01:41:47 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <vzCJd.9937$rp1.3470@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

It took a heck of a long time and a lot of paper to get those tapes right.
Remember how we used to roll them around the fingers? Then hang them on a
peg on the wall? Yep.....dang I've been around a while.

Dan/W4NTI

"Joe O'Connell" <w7kqu@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:MMednRCZ7-ExqUHcRVn-jQ@giganews.com...
> These were not really pictures,but rather the "image" was made entirely by
> characters on a "real"teletype machine keyboard.In the '60s
> there were a number of these would show up at various times.Xmas themes
> around the holiday,some pretty good images of Playboy centerfolds also
> made
> the rounds.
> These had nothing to do with computers,the person making the image would
> cut
> a tape on his machine.The image could be sent without interuption.This was
> real RTTY,no computers.
> I would think a few old timers would still have some tapes in their
> collection.
> Joe
> W7KQU
>
>

904. Re: 4057816829166799

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 01:51:09 UTC
From: Lucky <Lucky@TheBotStopsHere.com>
Message-ID: <10vdtsfi6dmsnee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 102421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"eat the hamburger" <ohmylions4@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:adc3e$41f66ddf$d1cc589a$13191@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "QT" <qt@qtnetdxers.net> wrote in message
> news:ct5g4hort0@pita.alt.net...
>> Has anyone observed the pirate operating on 3965 kHz lately?
>> These groups are related to that entity.
>
> The 'answer' to the comment/question above is at the URL below......
>
> http://www.killsometime.com/humor/humor.asp?humor=FWord
>
> Enjoy and BE HAPPY :)
>
>

Thanks buddy

I needed that! :)

Lucky

905. Did TIME MAGAZINE ever resond to the "embarrassing hobby" comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 01:53:32 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <wKCJd.262$C24.261@attbi_s52>
Source record: 102422

Re: http://www.time.com/time/press_releases/article/0,8599,1009842,00.html

Sunday, Dec. 19, 2004
TIME NAMES PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH 2004 PERSON OF THE YEAR
. . . . "Before this year, blogs were a curiosity, a cult phenomenon, a faintly embarrassing hobby on the order of ham radio and stamp collecting."
. . .

Did anyone at TIME ever respond to the ham criticisms? Or are they stonewalling the comments?

ak

906. Re: HAVE YOU HEARD THE GOOD NEWS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 02:41:19 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <kNOdnWVUePXsnmrcRVn-qg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 102423

google_groups_web@yahoo.com wrote:
> Good News!
Is there a full moon out tonight?

907. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 03:27:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2-udnVuAcJyxk2rcRVn-1A@comcast.com>
Source record: 102424

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41f5ecf5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> Where in the FCC rules and regulations does it state that it has to be a
> news bulletin? I think that's where Amateurs have a misunderstanding. The
> term in the FCC rules and regulations state:
>
> 97.3(a)(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur
> operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
> amateur service.
>
> And you must also look at
>
> 97.111(b)(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;
>
> Let look at the bottom one first. "Transmissions necessary to disseminate
> information bulletins" now we all know what transmission means at lease I
> hope you all do so lets look at the ending section of that part
> "disseminate
> information bulletins". Disseminate according to The American Heritage
> Dictionary disseminate means to spread or to be spread. Information
> according to the same dictionary means "Knowledge from study or
> experience.
> (2)Knowledge of an event or situation; Intelligence. (3) A collection of
> facts or data (4) Informing or being informed; communication of Knowledge.
> Lastly a bulletin is according to American Heritage Dictonary is (1) A
> printed or broadcast statement on a matter of public interest (2) A
> periodical, esp. one published by an organization or society
> So with all we can state that 97.111(b)(6) means in layman term A radio
> siganal necessary to spread knowledge as a statement on matters of amateur
> interest something on that lines no where in the FCC rules and regulations
> does it state it has to be NEWS only that it has to be information
> consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur
> service. After reading stuff off of not only this newsgroup but other
> amateur message boards I understand that many amateur's think that a
> information bulletin is a news bulletin but again nowhere in the FCC rules
> does it state that.
>

Granted I should have said information rather than news. However opinion
pieces are not information.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

908. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 04:39:05 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <35ol7cF4n1ji2U1@individual.net>
Source record: 102425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Dan" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:vzCJd.9937$rp1.3470@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> It took a heck of a long time and a lot of paper to get those tapes right.
> Remember how we used to roll them around the fingers? Then hang them on
> a peg on the wall? Yep.....dang I've been around a while.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

And then the only source was Telex tapes, then that went to glass and the supplies dried up. Then the prepunched papers got brittle and broke and we didn't have them backed up on cassette tape (remember that?)

I still have a few pictures in a scrapbook. The only way to reproduce them these days would be to photograph them, and I should do that before they expire too. I shed a tear the day my 60 year old antique Model 15, 14 Typing Reperf and 15TD went to the tip.

Brad VK2QQ

909. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 05:08:04 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <64SdndU1S-Sst2rcRVn-sg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102426

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Where in the FCC rules and regulations does it state that it has to be a
> news bulletin? I think that's where Amateurs have a misunderstanding. The
> term in the FCC rules and regulations state:
>
> 97.3(a)(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur
> operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
> amateur service.
>
> And you must also look at
>
> 97.111(b)(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;
>
> Let look at the bottom one first. "Transmissions necessary to disseminate
> information bulletins" now we all know what transmission means at lease I
> hope you all do so lets look at the ending section of that part "disseminate
> information bulletins". Disseminate according to The American Heritage
> Dictionary disseminate means to spread or to be spread. Information
> according to the same dictionary means "Knowledge from study or experience.
> (2)Knowledge of an event or situation; Intelligence. (3) A collection of
> facts or data (4) Informing or being informed; communication of Knowledge.
> Lastly a bulletin is according to American Heritage Dictonary is (1) A
> printed or broadcast statement on a matter of public interest (2) A
> periodical, esp. one published by an organization or society
> So with all we can state that 97.111(b)(6) means in layman term A radio
> siganal necessary to spread knowledge as a statement on matters of amateur
> interest something on that lines no where in the FCC rules and regulations
> does it state it has to be NEWS only that it has to be information
> consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur
> service. After reading stuff off of not only this newsgroup but other
> amateur message boards I understand that many amateur's think that a
> information bulletin is a news bulletin but again nowhere in the FCC rules
> does it state that.
>

I'll try to put it into words that hopefully even you can understand.
The spirit of the rule means that the one-way transmissions on the
amateur bands should contain bulletins or information pertaining to
amateur radio and directed only to amateur radio operators and the
subject matter should be that which is of interest to the amateur radio
service. My, that is almost exactly what the rule itself says.
Now quit trying to be a self taught lawyer, you are proving you are very
poor at it. It really doesn't matter how you interpet the rules, what
matters is how the FCC interperts them and if they interpert them

differently than you do, that's your problem.

910. Re: Did TIME MAGAZINE ever resond to the "embarrassing hobby" comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 06:11:15 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <7wGJd.6819$rv.5273@fed1read03>
Source record: 102429

King Zulu wrote:

> Re: http://www.time.com/time/press_releases/article/0,8599,1009842,00.html
>
> Sunday, Dec. 19, 2004
> TIME NAMES PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH 2004 PERSON OF THE YEAR
> . . . . "Before this year, blogs were a curiosity, a cult phenomenon, a
> faintly embarrassing hobby on the order of ham radio and stamp
> collecting." . . .
>
> Did anyone at TIME ever respond to the ham criticisms? Or are they
> stonewalling the comments?
>
> ak

I sent in a comment. never did see a reply. so I resent it again with the "return receipt" function enabled. I know they got it. as to whether they read it or bit-bucketted it is beyond me.

looks as though they may be stonewalling.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

911. Re: Did TIME MAGAZINE ever resond to the "embarrassing hobby" comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 11:09:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106737751.173305.273400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102431

King Zulu wrote:
> Re:
http://www.time.com/time/press_releases/article/0,8599,1009842,00.html
>
> Sunday, Dec. 19, 2004
> TIME NAMES PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH 2004 PERSON OF THE YEAR
> . . . . "Before this year, blogs were a curiosity, a cult
phenomenon, a
> faintly embarrassing hobby on the order of ham radio and stamp
collecting."
> . . .
>
> Did anyone at TIME ever respond to the ham criticisms? Or are they
> stonewalling the comments?

I got an automatic "response" that said they had received my
comments, and that if they were to be published, I would receive an
e-mail notification of when....

I haven't yet!

73

Steve, K4YZ

912. Re: HAVE YOU HEARD THE GOOD NEWS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 11:33:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106739204.991761.284600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102432

google_groups_web@yahoo.com wrote:
> Good News!

> He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary.

More like: A used-to-be virgin Jewish woman named Mary slipped up and forgot what time fo the month it was while fooling around with Joseph's best friend or some other willing male friend.

Mother Nature then went to work.

When faced with the prospect of telling her as-of-yet unconsumated fiancee of her plight, she takes advantage of his pious and unwavering devotion to God to convince him that she is still a virgin and that this pregnancy is an "act of God".

I find this a lot easier to believe than the idea that an omnipotent God, able to know what is going to happen in the future, "gives" his Son to an ignorant peasant girl in an era when the "facts" will be documented haphazardly at best, and allowed to be subject to the interpretations and manipulations of over 20 centuries of "prophets", "priests", "evangelists", "clerics", "rabbis", etc etc etc.

Almost ever major conflict on the face of this planet has been over the deulling ideologies of "ministers of the faith", all of whom teach the same basic tenents: compassion, tolerance, faith, love, understnding, etc...UNLESS, of course, the other guy who is teaching the SAME stuff lives "over there", in which case he OBVIOUSLY is a heathen, wants to murder your brother, rape your sister and steal your land, and should be immediately disposed of.
Good news? Yeah...right.

Steve

913. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 11:49:21 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f6b187$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102415

Hi Burt,

You know the easiest way of solving this problem which I'm working on, is a petition for rulemaking to clarify what a Information bulletin is. That would solve the problem once and for all

Todd N9OGL
> Todd, I'd be careful if I were you. You must remember that even if you are
> "technically" correct, If and whan they decide to cast their light upon you,
> it's all open to interpretation. In the final analysis, their interpretation
> will be the only one that counts. You might want to tread a tad more
> carefully.
>
> I hold no opinion as to the correctness of your activities. I just believe
> that you're playing *against* the home team and there's lotsa cork in those
> bats.
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384
> QRP ARCI #11782
>
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

914. Re: Did TIME MAGAZINE ever resond to the "embarrassing hobby" comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 13:46:43 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@aol.com>
Message-ID: <7bNJd.29676$EG1.20975@attbi_s53>
Source record: 102433

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1106737751.173305.273400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> King Zulu wrote:
> > Re:
> http://www.time.com/time/press_releases/article/0,8599,1009842,00.html
> >
> > Sunday, Dec. 19, 2004
> > TIME NAMES PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH 2004 PERSON OF THE YEAR
> > . . . . "Before this year, blogs were a curiosity, a cult
> phenomenon, a
> > faintly embarrassing hobby on the order of ham radio and stamp
> collecting."
> > . . .
> >
> > Did anyone at TIME ever respond to the ham criticisms? Or are they
> > stonewalling the comments?
>
> I got an automatic "response" that said they had received my
> comments, and that if they were to be published, I would receive an
> e-mail notification of when....
>
> I haven't yet!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I never received even an automatic "response." Me thinks it is time to
resend.

ak

915. Astro Turf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 14:29:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106749762.460133.309490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102434

http://www.salon.com/mwt/feature/2005/01/26/lord/

916. Re: Radio Free Colorado - A new Internet Radio Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 15:06:25 UTC
From: Alabama 4-Wheeler <Als@alsalafowhee.org>
Message-ID: <ct8bl1$ff5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Radio Free Colorado - A new Internet Radio Station
I heard a pirate broadcaster playing the same genre on
6682 khz last night. Was that your opertion?

Alabama 4-Wheeler
"We Don't Care How You Do It Up North"

917. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 16:01:29 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <t9PJd.7542$cZ1.3210@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102436

```
>
> Granted I should have said information rather than news. However opinion
> pieces are not information.
>

There's content that would be opinion on stuff only of
interest to hams, like "I think the FCC should get rid of
code tests" or "Everyone should know code".
```

918. Re: Astro Turf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 16:20:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106756428.494741.285910@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102437

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> http://www.salon.com/mwt/feature/2005/01/26/lord/

Does the book also tell of her lurid affair with a certain "radio professional" who often had his hands "inside" any one of a number of satellites while working in "the aerospace biz"...?!?!
73

Steve, K4YZ

919. Re: Astro Turf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 17:12:30 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106759550.722586.17660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102438

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > http://www.salon.com/mwt/feature/2005/01/26/lord/
>
> Does the book also tell of her lurid affair with a certain "radio
> professional" who often had his hands "inside" any one of a number of
> satellites while working in "the aerospace biz"...?!?!

?? I have no idea..

I thought it was an interesting look at how much some cultural things have changed in 40 years or so. Back when gender roles were much more rigidly and clearly defined, and we were told technology could do no wrong.

73 de Jim, N2EY

920. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 17:36:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106760994.281798.240260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102439

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1106486177.808245.213510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> N2EY@AOL.COM writes:
>
> >Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> N2EY wrote:
> >> >Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >> >> >I don't think I've ever heard Dr Laura ever ask anyone to
> >> >> >show her their boobs!!
> >
> >> > No, but we she does/has done is worse. Her show basically > >
> >consists of
> >> > her lecturing people about how they have to adopt her
> >> > values in order for their lives to work.
> >>
> >> I see where you are going. I don't quite equate the two
> >> things as equal,
> >> but agree that the show and here are something else. Some of >
what
> >she
> >> says is correct, but her delivery and sanctimony make you
> >> achingly want to disagree with her always.
> >
> >Agreed - but some of what she says is dead wrong too.
> >>
> >> Heh, sounds like a friend of ours!
> >
> >In many ways!!
>
> Who is this "Dr. Laura?"

A broadcast radio personality. She's based out your way, Len. Full name
is Laura Schlessinger. You have a lot in common with her.

> A licensed radio amateur?

No. Neither are you.
>
> Is she talking about amateur radio?

No. Neither are you, most of the time here.
>
> >> > What I find interesting about "Dr." Laura is that she
> >> > doesn't/hasn't lived

> >> > up to the very values she preaches to others. For example, > >
she
> >insists
> >> > on being called "Doctor" - but she's not an MD, Psy. D or > >
even
> >Ed.D.
> >> > She's got a Ph.D, but not in human psychology or therapy.
> >
> >Phil Kane, K2ASP, informs us in another post that her degree
> >is in physiology. That's a fur piece from what she does.
>
> Tsk. Ruler-spanking time, Nun of the Above.

Who are you addressing as "Nun of the Above"? Len?

> That's "far," not 'fur.'

> How is all of that justification for anything in AMATEUR RADIO
> POLICY matters?

You sound just like "Dr." Laura, Len. You two really do have a lot in
common.

> Broadcasting is NOT allowed in amateur radio (except for special
> dispensation to the Church of St. Hiram and W1AW).

What W1AW transmits is not broadcasting. And there's no special
dispensation - any amateur radio station that meets the requirements of
Part 97 can do the same or similar transmissions.

But you're not very familiar with Part 97, Len. Particularly 97.21(b)..
>
> >> I asked a colleague who is a psychologist about Dr Laura,
> >> and what he
> >> thought of that sort of thing. He replied "A good rule of
> >> thumb is that
> >> if a person is called "Dr and their first name" they
> >> probably aren't the
> >> best person to listen to. Dr. Laura, Dr. Phil, Dr. Nick...
> >
> >Dr. No...
> >
> >> The woman has a checkered past for sure, by here present
> >> standards, she
> >> also appears to have occasional "episodes", after which she >
feels
> >the
> >> need to get a little more shrill in her approach.
> >
> >That's just one aspect. Consider the whole "I am my kid's mom"
> >nonsense, compared to how she raises *her* kid...
>

> Child-raising is a major topic in amateur radio policy matters?

When someone who has no children demands that FCC not issue amateur
radio licenses to anyone under the age of 14 years, yes.

> It must be. Two self-righteous PCTA extras are talking about it
> as if they were pediatricians of long experience in working with
> all kinds of children.

Laura Schlessinger isn't a pediatrician, nor a child psychologist.
Neither are you, Len.

She is, however, a parent. You aren't.

> Either that or they are self-righteously
> talking down to others as if those others are all "children."

No, that's best left to you and "Dr." Laura.

> >>The strange thing is that she has not learned the lesson that
> >> you can
> >> improve your morals and values, but *you* have to do it, and
> >> someone
> >> virtually screaming at you probably *won't* get you to do
> >> it. Oddly
> >> enough, the early Dr. Laura probably knew that already
> >
> >The sad part is that she has created such a following of
> >people who need *real* help. For all his blather, no one
> >really takes HS that seriously.
>
> tsk. Lots of PCTA extras have graven images of morse code
> as the god of all radio...as if the radio world takes them
> seriously.

I don't take *you* seriously, Len ;-)

> >> > Lots of other examples.
> >
> >> >>>>While this may please some with how Tough we can get on
> >> >>>>these evil
> >> >>>>sinners, what is the consequence?
> >>
> >> >>>>Howard now has a show on satellite radio. While his show has
> >> >>>>always
> >> >>>>been an unmitigated piece of crap, he is now under even less
> >> >>>>constraints.
> >
> >> >>>Whatever you think of HS, you have to give him credit for
certain
> >things:
> >
> >> >>>1) He gets incredible ratings wherever he is on the air.

> >>
> >> >>>2) He revolutionized morning "adult rock" drivetime radio. I
know
> >this
> >> >>>firsthand, because Philadelphia was one of the very first
cities
> >his show
> >> >>>was syndicated to.
> >
> >> >>>Before HS, morning "adult rock" drivetime radio followed a very
> >strict
> >> >>>formula
> >> >>>in almost all markets. The "morning zoo" formula had some sort
of
> >DJ, with
> >> >>>maybe a sidekick or two. They would play records and canned
comedy
> >bits,
> >> >>>then
> >> >>>break for news, sports, weather, traffic and commercials. The
> >content was
> >> >>>always local and the talent varied all over the map.
> >>
> >> >>>An unshakeable article of the formula was that morning
drivetime
> >radio had
> >> >>>to
> >> >>>be locally generated. When HS first came to Philly 20+ years
ago,
> >the
> >> >>>"professionals in radio" said he was doomed to failure because
> >> >>>Philadelphians
> >> >>>would not listen to a New York-based station. Worse, HS did not
> >follow the
> >> >>>formula at all. Yet he quickly took near-top place in the
ratings,
> >second
> >> >>>only
> >> >>>to the all-news/traffic/weather/sports station. Philadelphians
> >simply punch
> >> >>>one
> >> >>>button to get the traffic or whatever from KYW, then back to HS
> >for
> >> >>>"entertainment".
> >> >>>
> >> >>>3) He branched out into other media venues, which was unheard
of
> >before >>
> >> >
> >> > HS.

> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>>4) HS has held up to the light what really makes the broadcast
> >media tick.
> >> >>>It's
> >> >>>all about ratings, because ratings drive advertising revenue.
At
> >the same
> >> >>>time
> >> >>>he drives production cost *down* because it's cheaper for local
> >stations to
> >> >>>buy his show than to produce their own.
> >
> >> >>>Ironically, his success is due to strict adherence to
"republican"
> >values
> >> >>>of a free market and competition.
> >>
> >> >>Correction Jim. *Conservative* values, not Republican values.
> >> >>Conservatives are an endangered species.
> >
> >> > You are correct, sir! My mistake.
> >>
> >> >>>His defense has always come down to a single
> >> >>>point: Millions of people *choose to* listen to him, yet only a
> >few
> >> >>>complain.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>Frankly, I find him boring

> >> > Millions don't - that's the key.

> >> >>>Now HS will move to satellite radio, and take tens of millions
of
> >people
> >> >>>with him.

> >> >>Yup, his presence will be a shot in the arm for satellite radio.

> >> > For Sirius radio, anyway.

There is a rumor of a merger between Sirius and XM, too.

> >> >>>>Next, the draconian fines that may be levied on stations that
> >carry
> >> >>>>obscene language or visuals is causing stations to be very
> >cautious
> >> >>>>about what they carry. Cases in point are stations that would
not
> >air
> >> >>>>Saving Private Ryan. Whether you think that was a political

stunt
> >or
> >> >>>>not, the pervious time that the movie was shown, there *were*
a
> >lot of
> >> >>>>complaints about the language. What is a prudent company to
do?
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>>Yep. Note the peculiarly American fixation on language and sex
as
> >> >>>objectionable, but not violence.
> >>
> >> >
> >> >>>>So now we have people making nasty little songs ridiculing the
> >F.C.C.
> >> >>>>on the internet, and there is talk of more television stations
> >making
> >> >>>>the switch to paid tv or pay per view instead of the old free
tv
> >paradigm.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>>But is the song really "nasty"?
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>Seems that way to me! I know I never said that phrase to anyone
> >without
> >> >>being ready for a fight. Not friendly talk.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Not at all friendly!
> >> >
> >> > But if that word is OK for Vice President Dick Cheney to use in
> >public, why not
> >> > Eric Idle?
> >>
> >>Or the performer at the inaugural concert for kids who spouted out
> >> "America is the best F*****n' country in the world!"
> >
> >He's just following the VP's example!
>
> All that is part of AMATEUR RADIO POLICY?

Yes, Len. There are some hams who think that if Howard Stern can
behave a certain way on the air, so can they, not realizing the
rules are different.

> Remarkable waste of space in here.

You post more non-amateur-radio-policy verbiage here than anyone else,
Len. And unlike Laura Schlessinger, you can't cut off dissenting
voices.

> >> Wow, talk about a conundrum! Here you have a person swearing,
> >> but doing it patriotically!
> >
> >"the last refuge of scoundrels"
>
> Are you referring to the PCTA or the NCTA?

Guess

>>>>Already, I find myself watching virtually *no* network
> >television. I
> >> >>>>watch the Simpsons on Fox, and that is about it for the
networks.
> >THe
> >> >>>>only other TV I watch is on the History channel.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>>The Simpsons is pure genius, probably the best show on TV in a
> >generation.
> >> >>>That it survives on Fox is even more ironic.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>Fox network and Fox news seem to act almost like two different
> >entities.
> >> >>The Network seems to put on shows that offend the more priggish
> >among
> >> >>us, and the News group seems to attract them.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Same principle as HS: It's all about ratings.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>I'm sure that the other networks would be very happy for Fox to
> >drop
> >> >>the Simpsons. Probably start a bidding war to pick them up.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Which is why Fox hangs on to the show.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>>>I get my news from Yahoo!, and if I'm not listening to NPR,
I'm
> >> >>>>listening to internet radio. Next step is satellite radio. I'm
> >not the
> >> >>>>only one either.
> >> >
> >> >

> >> >
> >> >>>Exactly.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>>>The unintended consequence? The F.C.C. is unintentionally
> >providing a
> >> >>>>powerful incentive for stations to move to venues that the
F.C.C.
> >does
> >> >>>>not have jurisdiction over, and therefore failing to
accomplish
> >their
> >> >>>>goal. They may clean up what is left of the "public" airways,
but
> >there
> >> >>>>won't be many people listening.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>>Perhaps that's the goal!
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>>Consider what has happened to broadcasting in general in the
past
> >couple of
> >> >>>decades. AM BC radio, once king, is now a backwater compared to
FM
> >BC
> >> >>>radio.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>That is what happens when you broadcast garbage. In our area, we
> >have
> >> >>three main stations. One does carry ESPN sports, and I'll listen
to
> >Jim
> >> >>Rome occasionally and Steelers games. The other two carry the
EXACT
> >same
> >> >>programming, with gems like Savage Nation and some weird
screechy
> >lady
> >> >>at night who is too creepy for me to stay on frequency to find
out
> >who
> >> >>she is. Not too many local businesses advertise on that one, as
it
> >seems
> >> >>most of the commercials are Canadian! I kid you not!

> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Weird!
> >> >
> >> > But I'm mostly used to major markets. Here in Philly, AM was
king
> >when I was a
> >> > kid, right up into the '70s. FM existed all the way back to the
> >early '50s, but
> >> > was the province of classical, educational and other
> >special-interest radio.
> >> >
> >> > Then progressive stations began to show up on FM, and shatter
the
> >Top 40 AM
> >> > formula to bits. They played entire album sides, cutting-edge
> >comedy like
> >> > Firesign Theatre, had live interviews and even performances.
> >(Somewhere I have
> >> > a reel-to-reel tape of Jackson Browne, when he was almost
unknown,
> >performing
> >> > live at the Main Point in Bryn Mawr - recorded from an FM
station
> >about 1971).
> >>
> >> Now you have me reminiscing. WRSC FM in the late 60's,
> >> early 70's was a
> >> State College sation that was very progressive. They did the
albums,
> >> theme nights, and real music all the time. I tuned in to this as a
> >> freshman in high school, and was hooked immediately. While my
> >> classmates
> >> were listening to the Grass Roots, 1910 Fruitgum Company, and Gary
> >> Puckett and the Union Gap, I was doing Hendrix, Led Zappelin,
> >> Cream and other non teeny stuff.
> >
> >In my time it was WMMR, but the same basic story.
>
> Isn't "WMMR" a BROADCASTING STATION license callsign?
>
> Why is that talked about in an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY
> newsgroup?

Same reason you talk about nonamateur radio subjects here, Len.

Or are you telling us to do as you say, not as you do?

> >Point is, over time the experimental/progressive elements
disappeared
> >and were replaced by a formula. Homogenized just like Top 40 radio

was.
>
> Has this newsgroup changed to popular music discussion?
>
> Does Paul Schleck know about this?
>
> Is W1AW now broadcasting (error, that should be "bulletinizing")
> popular music for the benefit of radio amateurs?

Listen and find out.

> >> > Both the technical quality and the programming quality of FM in
> >> > those days pulled a lot of people away from AM.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>If you want to hear some of Michael Savage's compassionate
> >conservatism,
> >> >>go to:
> >> >>
> >> >>http://tinyurl.com/5ywcw
> >> >>
> >> >>and check out the Michael Savage, Tsunami no tragedy clip.
> >
> >> > I'll take a listen.
> >>
> >> >>FM around here is not a lot better
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>>Deregulation has homogenized both of them. Neither is a big
> >moneymaker nor
> >> >>>job-creator, because the markets are saturated and there's a
limit
> >to
> >> >>>advertising revenue. The receiver technology is mature and
there
> >are no
> >> >>>ongoing
> >> >>>user fees. BC TV is in a similar state, compounded by the
limping
> >move to
> >> >>>HDTV.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>>Into this mess comes satellite radio, internet and cable TV,
BPL,
> >etc. All
> >> >>>promise lots of new jobs and revenue sources. Plus the FCC
doesn't
> >have the
> >> >>>headaches of trying to regulate them.

> >> >>>
> >> >>>Some folks are cheering that Mr. Powell is leaving FCC, but ya
> >gotta wonder
> >> >>>who will replace him.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>>>TLOUC's in action!
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>>TLUOCs?
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>Sorry, transposed to of the letters.
> >> >>
> >> >>The Law Of Untended Consequences.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Yep!
> >> >
> >> > All as a result of short-term focus and diversion by hot-button
> >red-herring
> >> > issues.
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >> > "Kokava aro, kokava tua, te igoa o te akuaku, erua"
> >>
> >> I'll bite... What is?
> >>
> >Do you know who Thor Heyerdahl was?
>
> Yes, a Scandinavian who worked in balsa wood. :-)

Did other things too.

> What has that to do with the on-going waste of space in the
> newsgroup?

You're the authority when it comes to "the on-going waste of space
in the newsgroup", Len. You tell us - you will eventually, anyway,
in verbose, irrelevant, boring detail.

921. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 18:10:37 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <z6qdnf1VHdIvQ2rcRVn-sw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102440

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> well like I stated untill the FCC makes a ruling on the matter where every
> amateur gets the message this problem will never be solved. As for playing
> lawyer it don't take a rocket scientist to read the rules.

But it does take some brains to read the rules and know what they mean,
unfortunately that leaves you out.
Your sentence should read, "it "doesn't" take a rocket....." The word
"doesn't" is the contraction for "does not", "don't" is the contraction
for "do not". I wouldn't banter around anything about someone's IQ if I
were you, as you cannot even use correct grammer.

922. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 19:08:21 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iaxm5xm.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102441

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:05:31 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:

>> A Petition for Rulemaking is not the proper way to get this ruling.
>> It would be dismissed out of hand.
>>
>> Strike One.
>>
>OK what is then???

Consult your local communications attorney admitted to practice
before the FCC.

You are not my client and I'm not going to do the "heavy lifting"
for you.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

923. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 19:52:35 UTC
From: Carl <kb9rvb@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1106769155.654799.208260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102442

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> Granted I should have said information rather than news. However
opinion
> pieces are not information.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

This distinction between "information" and "opinion" is not the same argument as the distinction between "information bulletin" and "broadcast."

We can generally agree that the most common approved use of amateur radio frequencies is one-to-one "transmissions" between hams. A "broadcast" is one-to-many, intended for the general public. The distinction made by the FCC is that "information bulletins," although one-to-many, are intended to be of interest to amateur radio operators.

If the FCC makes a distinction between "information" and "opinion," then ARRL and Amateur Radio Newsline may in fact be outside the pale. Because it is my contention that no such dichotomy is present, I only argue amateur radio transmissions intended as one-to-many focus on items of interest to amateur radio operators, whether the content is "provable fact" or "opinion." To make a distinction between information and opinion is to exclude discussion of alternative technologies of interest to hams: "Is IRLP amateur radio?" "Should code testing remain a criteria for an amateur license?"

I was informed when I got my license of certain "no-no" topics on the air. Later, I discovered that much "no-no" is actually dictated by the repeater owner and that there is, in fact no FCC prohibition for many of those topics.

924. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 20:22:00 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@this.net>
Message-ID: <fsydnTh7LO1sY2rcRVn-sQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102444

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Put
> Hollingsworth dick or it that stupid fat fuck Phil Kane dick back in your
> mouth and shut the hell up you whiney little bitchwhore!

Poor little Toddyboy, now reduced to using the gutter language of jr high schoolers he spies on down at the mall where he picks up these wonderful elocutionary skills.

Post when and what frequency you will be on 20 meters and I'll be sure and give you a call and lets see if you are brave enough to use such language on the air.

925. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 20:42:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050126154257.00916.00000042@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 102446

In article <ueidncr12uFxLWjcRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> Yup, I got one of those. AFAIK there are no US law schools which
>> grant a Batchelor of Laws degree any more.
>
> So are you Dr. Kane, Dr. Phil, or just Citizen Kane?........ Ohhhh,
>sorry, I just had to, Phil! 8^)

[don't ask about a "rosebud" tattoo... :-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

926. Huygens Probe (Was Re: The Cassini Probe)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 20:43:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050126154302.00916.00000043@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 102445

In article <1106626440.069167.27000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<Doctor Strangeglove - Last Hostile Action Hero - just before falling over the
ledge into complete insanity> writes:

>Len Anderson wrote:
>> In article <1106566488.497279.282800@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
>"K4YZ"
>> <Doctor Strangeglove - Hostile Action Healthcare Hero> writes:
>>
>> >Len Anderson wrote:
>> >> In article <1106488765.160678.49770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
>> >"K4YZ"
>> >> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >Len Anderson wrote:
>> >> >> In article
><CpwId.5666$rp1.5008@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
>> >> >robert casey
>> >> >> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >No...it's only a "no-no" when the correction is in itself
>> >> >incorrect.
>> >>
>> >> Tsk. You mean like "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!"
>> >>
>> >> >I got it backwards...my bad.
>> >>
>> >> You don't have it backwards, forwards, or sideways. You just
>> >> don't have it.
>> >
>> >Sure I do.
>>
>> Not even close...
>
>Seems I have "it" documented in federal, state and local records.

"You" have Department of Defense Directives documented in
federal, state, and local records?

Tsk. The DoD has it documented. The DoD directs MARS, not
amateur radio.

>> >I have an Amateur Radio Extra Class license...You don't.
>>
>> You can pick up your Ham Hero Award at the door on your way out.

>
>No "hero award", Lennie.

You didn't even get a nice shiny Raddio Kopp badge? Tsk, tsk.

I guess you are not the one to whom that concerns. :-)

>Just one of the MANY things that I DO have that you do not...CAN
>NOT have. You talk big about a great many things, but do nothing about
>it...Except to try and make things miserable for those who DO choose to
>do "it".

"Do" what? Type snarly, slavering personal insults in a newsgroup?

"Talking BIG?" Tsk. I've already done things poor nursie hasn't.
In radio. And in electronics. For half a century.

>> If you don't see your name on the box, it is in the one labeled
>> "to whom it may concern."
>
>At least I >>AM<< concerend, Lennie.

Then talk to the medal awarders, big chief. Have your name engraved
in that shiny Raddio Kopp badge.

Better luck next year.

>You aren't.

"Aren't" what?

>> Tsk. FCC Commissioners and staff are not required to have
>> personal amateur radio licenses in order to REGULATE U.S.
>> amateur radio! [sunnuvagun!]
>
>Lennie, YOU are NOT an FCC Commissioner.

Tsk. Never said I was. :-)

Not a single FCC commissioner has to have an amateur raido license
in order to regulate U.S. amateur radio.

Sunnuvagun!

Kind of spoils your 'hood rant, donut?

>Discussing the FCC commissioners does not negate the fact that YOU
>perport to know and have experience in thse many things, yet you
>obvioulsy DON'T have the knowledge OR experience in them.

Tsk. Try "purport." Put 'purport' in your I/O port.

Nonetheless, there's NO law that says any FCC commissioner OR
staff must have an amateur radio license in order to regulate U.S.
amateur radio.

>FCC commissioners have a duty to perform. You don't.

None of their "duty" involves getting an amateur radio license in
order to regulate U.S. amateur radio!

Sunnuvagun!

>You're a big mouth.

Tsk. Does that mean the honeymoon is over? :-)

>That's all. You know a lot of little trivial things...no more.

Yeah...Ohm's Law to Maxwell's Equations, plus a lot of radio
and electronics theory which has been applied to design, test,
debug, and field operation of radio-electronic systems...not to
mention a better working knowledge of the English language
(particularly spelling). :-)

Really must get your goat to munching when I've made a fairly
nice living at knowing all those "trivial" things, donut?

>> >I have an FAA Airman's Certificate and have currency in 3 types.
>>
>> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
>
>But not irrelevant as YOUR frequent diversions into matters of
>airmanship. Another discipline that you shoot your mouth off on and
>have no eal EXPERIENCE in. It's so obvious that everyone EXCEPT you
>sees it.

Tsk. I've never claimed "eal" experience in anything. Does that have
to do with aquatic things? "Electric eals?" [shocking...]

>> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not flying.
>
>True, but that never stops you from discussing NAVAIDS, aerial
>navigation, or a host of other aviation related subjects from which
>your ONLY experience is a web based search engine.

You mean like radionavigation aids such as VOR, Localizer,
Glide Slope, Marker Beacons, TACAN, and DME? Worked ON
all those plus the L-Band Transponders (in both civil and military
modes). At RCA and Teledyne Electronics (division in Newbury
Park, CA). Neither corporation has a website devoted to radio-
navigation systems as far as I know.

Gosh and golly...all those radionavigation aids work on radio
frequencies! Sunnuvagun!

>Nor is it about the US Army in 1953, aerospace, or disaster
>response, however you frequently deleve into those topics when the mood
>strikes you.

Tsk. I've worked IN U.S. Army radio communications for three years
solid back a half-century ago...main radio circuit paths on HF all

across the Pacific, Sea of Japan, and other aquatic places. Guess
that doesn't count since you didn't exist then, huh? Never did "QSL"
either since the Army didn't have any "QSL Buro" and frowned on
that sort of ham activity as part of the Army Command and
Administrative Network.

>> >I have multiple licenses as a healthcare provider.
>>
>> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
>
>But it's 100% relevent in this forum since YOU frequently bring up
>discussions on the matter. Again...just one more discipline that you
>run your obviously ill-prepared mouth off on.

I'll bet your licenses look real purty, suitable for framing and all.

Lessee...Band-Aids are a trade name for small bandages and
hams operate in ham bands. That must tie it together in your
mind, no?

>> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not health
>> or medicine.
>
>Here again we have Lennie TRYING to chastise others for liberties
>HE takes at will.

I haven't taken any "will" anywhere except to my safety deposit
box. Need to be an attorney or paralegal to prepare a will.

Tsk. Guess everyone just has to obey the orders of the PCTA
extras in here. [they get sooooo upset when others talk back to
their holinesses...]

>Sorry Lennie. It doesn't work that way. If it's OK for you, it
>IS "ok" for the rest of us...ESPECIALLY those of us with the
>credentials from which to make informed opinions.

Yup...Doctor Strangeglove titles hisself THE authority... :-)

Wow....."credentials!!" [I'll bet they are all put on nice plaques
hung on the walls for friends and neighbors to Ohhh and Ahhh
over...]

>> >You have none,
>> >although you somehow insinuate "experience" by virtue of sleeping
>with
>> >a person with a diploma from K-Tel, Ronco, or some other such place.
>>
>> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
>>
>> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not diplomas
>> or correspondence schools nor libelous personal insults alleging
>> I am "sleeping with" someone other than my wife.

>
>I didn't say you were sleeping with anyone BUT your wife.

Are you saying this newsgroup is about "infidelity?" Strange...

>What I
>AM saying is that YOU have made so many easily disproven lies in this
>forum that only the very gullible or the very very easily fooled
>believe any statement by you that your wife holds any of the
>credentials you claim she does.

Wow! I didn't know my Lamont Cranston Powers (learned while
in the Orient) were that good! Fooled a lot of government agencies
in Illinois, Wisconsin, Washington, and California! For years!

For myself, I guess I also fooled the FBI, CIA, DIA, DCAS, IRS,
and the Franchise Tax Board (of California)!

Wow! I feel so much better now! All that POWER over others!
:-)

>Furthermore, as a healthcare provider myself, I have to question
>her competence and credibility if, as you have claimed she does, she
>reads any of what you post in here. To allow a person she supposedly
>loves to act this way in public, let alone as a credentialed mental
>health provider, is unthinkable.

Tsk. Call the "authorities." Have us both "picked up" and
committed!

It is your DUTY, Doctor Strangeglove!

>> >> You've never acknowledged that the Department of Defense
>> >> directs MARS.
>> >
>> >Sure I have. Several times over. You keep ignoring it.
>>
>> There is nothing to ignore. You have not acknowledged that
>> the Department of Defense directs the Military Affiliate Radio
>> System. You keep insisting that "amateurs are MARS."
>
>That's NOT what I said, but we've already gone over that.

Tsk. Quote: "Sorry Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."

Does Google lie? :-)

>> >> You've never acknowledged the when and where of those
>> >> "seven hostile actions."
>> >
>> >They were and are none of your business.
>>
>> In other words, those do not exist. LYING. Braggadoccio.
>

>Not lies. None of your business.

Ah! They are "secret ops?" Sensitive information? Classified?

No medals for that? Not even an Intelligence Star? Tsk.

The newsgroup's unsung Hero...must be terrible to go through all
that and not be acknowledged the big Hero you are...

>> >They exceed YOUR "hostile actions" by seven.
>>
>> Impossible. You cannot use imaginary incidents to claim any sort
>> of "superiority" in anything.
>
>I never claimed "superiority" over anything, Lennie.

Now, now...all PCTA extras have claimed "superiority" according
to the heavenly fodder.

Gosh. If you are not "superior," then you must be "inferior!"

Sunnuvagun!

>That's a mindset YOU have established in order to justify your
>positioning yourself as the noble defender. You are neither "noble" or
>"defending" anything. You are no one's advocate save your own despite
>your self-indulged delusion otherwise.

Tsk. Never claimed to be a "noble defender." Never been the
defendant in a court either (been a witness once but that doesn't
count). This newsgroup is not a "court" except a lot of folks who've
been admitted to A bar want to make it "moot court." [as a real
attorney what that means]

Tsk, tsk. I've no delusions about HF radio communications. Been
IN it, done the work, have the documentation AND personal witnesses
(all still living). Never once used morse code or had to know morse
code for legal radio transmissions on HF since.

>> >> You've never acknowledged that the University of Illinois is
>> >> NOT a correspondence school.
>> >
>> >The University of Illinois does have extension courses.
>>
>> That may be (haven't checked their syllabi).
>
>Of course you haven't, Lennie! We'd expect nothing more from you!
>And "syllabi" is not where you ant to look. The catalog is.

So...you classify "extension courses" as "correspondence courses"
still? You think a Masters Degree is that easy to obtain? Tsk.

>> Nonetheless, my
>> wife earned TWO Masters Degrees from the University of Illinois

>> at Urbana, attending formal classes ("formal class" refers to being
>> present IN class).
>
>"In residence" means being "IN" class.
>
>> She was aided in that with formal classes at
>> the University of Wisconsin at Madison.
>
>You CLAIM she has those credentials.

Yup. Documentation is in state records of Illinois and Washington.
Paperwork is in storage in the northern house (includes the
framed Degree certificates).

>I say if she did, and she
>has the experience you alledge her to have, she'd smack you upside the
>head and forbid you from acting this way in public.

Heeeeeee. She got a good laugh out of your comment.

>She obviously hasn't, so you were either lying about her awareness
>of your conduct in this forum or you were lying about her education and
>experience. In either care, you WERE (are) lying.

Poor nursie...everyone who talks back to him/her is "lying."

Do your DUTY as a healthcare professional...pick up that phone
and order the authorities to come pick us up and have us
committed!!!

>> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
>
>Right again, but you DO go right on bringing it up. Why is that?
>
>> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not colleges
>> or universities nor postgraduate studies.
>
>Then why do YOU keep brining them up, Lennie...?!?! No one here,
>least of all me, ever cared about where your wife went to school or
>what street corner you found her on.

I "found my wife on a street corner?" Tsk, tsk, tsk.

You should ascribe your personal experiences to everyone else...

>I have NEVER "derided" SINCGARS, Lennie.

Tsk. You didn't even know about it. :-)

The most-produced radio system in the U.S. military, a quarter
million (approximate) sets produced and made operational since
1989.

>I have said they are not relevent to Amateur Radio.

The AN/PRC-119 family operates in the 30 to 88 MHz frequency
range. Uses some modulation and modes not allocated to U.S.
amateurs. Nonetheless it IS a radio.

>And the claims of "classified" and being "unable to discuss" were
>YOUR defenses when asked about your alleged "part time" works as an
>"engineer". (I can't even say that without losing my composure and
>laughing!)

Poor baby...lose control easily off the newsgroup, too?

>However YOUR "reserve" duty was NOT active reserves. You were
>still under a formal obligation to return to active duty if called,
>however you were not in uniform, you were not standing watches, and you
>CERTAINLY were not anywhere near being in harms way. (You weren't even
>there when you WERE on acitve duty!)

I wear a watch. Never stood on one (would break if I did).

Army doesn't "stand watches." I remember some nice ones in a PX
in a neat display case of watches, though.

>But LENNIE! YOU are the one who is constantly insisting that I
>don't have any "working" knowledge of Yiddish just becasue I call you a
>(very well deserved) putz.

Shalom, goyim.

>I dare say there are tousands of words YOU use from other
>languages without having a "working knowledge" of the language from
>which they spring.

"Tousands?" Is that like "bilons and bilons?" :-)

>For PRIME example, just how much LATIN do you actually speak?

When I convert to Catholicsm, I'll let you know. :-)

>Whole, meaningingful sentences now...Not just snappy phrases you like
>to tack onto your RRAP posts in order to LOOK educated.

"Tack?" Don't even use carpet tacks anymore. Isn't "tack" also a
nautical term involving steering of a boat?

>Ahhhhhhh....I see!
>
>Yet another nail hit on the head that Lennie wished to get out
>from under! Shall I save this post from which to use as retort for
>your future suggestions of linguistic incompetence?

"Nail?" You were speaking of "tacks." Please use better focus.

You aren't hammering home any point there...

>Anyone who was paying attention to why I left NURSING for a while
>in 2000 understands perfectly. I bet Brian Burke can explain it to
>you, Lennie.

>
>I LEFT Atlanta to go back to Nursing. It really is THAT simple.

Of course you did. Uh huh. "Nothing else" involved, ey?

>> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not human
>> resources or industry employment practices.
>
>Then why do YOU keep bringing it up, Lennie...?!?! I don't.

Tsk. Okay, you couldn't hack it as a purchasing agent in an
electronics company that "rents servers from Antec." Boo hoo.

>You're right again! Yet YOU keep bringing up your alleged
>aerospace contractors and retirement packages! NONE of which (except
>in YOUR mind as the mood strikes) are pertinent to AMATEUR RADIO.

"Alleged?" "Retirement packages?" I've never alleged any
employment, just stated those employers I'd actually worked for.
My "Retirement package" from industry was exactly one, now
ended with a lump sum payment...the rest was my own personal
planning.

Ahhh...you are angry that some of us ARE retired but YOU must
keep on working! If you suffer, all must suffer! You are the center
of the universe?

>So, Lennie...How did you go from making inappropriate suggestions
>of where/how I became an aviator to discussing the entry of SINCGARS to
>military service...???

Gee...you ought to digitize your Command Pilot wings and glorify
yourself as "Pilot in Command" on a website!

You became an AVIATOR! Wow! You need a good script to make
a movie from! [maybe Leonardo diCaprio could star in a sequel?]

>Are you going to acknowledge your error or not?

"Acknowledge my error?" :-) :-) :-)

>Ya think that maybe that's why I participate in an AMATEUR radio
>forum...?!?!

Is it? I thought you were just venting and venting and venting to
get over your daily frustrations at not being more than you are.

>By the way...YOU, Mr "Radio Professional", have repeatedly
>insisted that there are radio services established strictly for
>"recreational" purposes, yet there's not a single bit of substantiating
>evidence in >>ANY<< FCC rule or regulation. We've been waiting for you
>to cite the federal rule that supports your assertions.

Tsk. You can find them in Part 95, Title 47 Code of Federal
Regulations.

Tsk, tsk. I've mentioned that Part many times in here, in public.

>> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not
>chemistry.
>
>Again correct! However YOU frequently make posts suggestive of
>relevence in chemistry, yet it's OK for YOU to do!

Tsk. I didn't start this thread involving unmanned spacecraft.

Temperature of electronic components has a definite bearing on
components' performance.

>Your ENTIRE existence in RRAP has been about trying to dazzle us
>with your "Been There-Done That" bragging of your own.

Poor baby...still pissed to an extreme point that anyone has done
anything better than you...

>We've asked you to provide the name of ONE PERSON who is, this
>day, involved in radio communication in ANY phase because of some
>guidance you have provided.

>Your "contract" in Pennsylvania was your undoing as a newsgroup
>guru-wannabe.

Tsk. I've never had a "contract" of any kind of employment in
Pennsylvania.

>It's no "personal insult". You were in Pennsylvania. You named
>places and dates. I have friends who were in those places during those
>dates.

Ah, you have at least two friends! Amazing.

>One of them knew you.

Doubtful. You've not identified that person. You've not identified
where that fictitious person worked within that "facility." You
simply fabricated a statement out of your fevered imagination.
In other, pithier words, bullshit.

>Yet another moment where we're left wondering what ever happened
>to you that made you such a vile, spiteful and empty shell of a person,
>Lennie.

Wow...you are really working up a head of steam, Doctor
Strangeglove. Now get a large towel and wipe the froth from
your lips, keyboard, and uniform shirt front. That's a good boy...

By the way, as you were corrected by another, the Titan
lander probe was named "Huygens," not "Cassini." Try to
remember that some time.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenAnderson@ieee.org

927. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 21:03:38 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f73273$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102427

Opinion: A belief or conclusion held with confinfidence but not substantiated by proof (2) A judgement based on special KNOWLEDGE (3) A judgement or estimation

Again nowhere in the FCC rules and regulations does it state that amateur's can not be opinionated. The rules state the"information bulletin" has to be of interest to the amateur radio community. I know amateur who run progams on ATV about what antenna are the best to uses at what frequency....that's also an opinion too. The reason there is no statement about no opinions on the amateur band is as I stated before your getting into both a First Amendment issue and content control issue which the FCC can't regulate under Section 326. I've read on both on here and on other message board and it seem that there is a HUGE misunderstanding of what an information bulletin is and until there is a official statement by the FCC to where ALL amateur's get the message this problem will never be solved.

Todd N9OGL

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message news:2-udnVuAcJyxk2rcRVn-1A@comcast.com...
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41f5ecf5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> > Where in the FCC rules and regulations does it state that it has to be a
> > news bulletin? I think that's where Amateurs have a misunderstanding. The
> > term in the FCC rules and regulations state:
> >
> > 97.3(a)(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur
> > operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
> > amateur service.
> >
> > And you must also look at
> >
> > 97.111(b)(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;
> >
> > Let look at the bottom one first. "Transmissions necessary to disseminate
> > information bulletins" now we all know what transmission means at lease I
> > hope you all do so lets look at the ending section of that part
> > "disseminate
> > information bulletins". Disseminate according to The American Heritage
> > Dictionary disseminate means to spread or to be spread. Information

> > according to the same dictionary means "Knowledge from study or
> > experience.
> > (2)Knowledge of an event or situation; Intelligence. (3) A collection of
> > facts or data (4) Informing or being informed; communication of
Knowledge.
> > Lastly a bulletin is according to American Heritage Dictonary is (1) A
> > printed or broadcast statement on a matter of public interest (2) A
> > periodical, esp. one published by an organization or society
> > So with all we can state that 97.111(b)(6) means in layman term A radio
> > siganal necessary to spread knowledge as a statement on matters of
amateur
> > interest something on that lines no where in the FCC rules and
regulations
> > does it state it has to be NEWS only that it has to be information
> > consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur
> > service. After reading stuff off of not only this newsgroup but other
> > amateur message boards I understand that many amateur's think that a
> > information bulletin is a news bulletin but again nowhere in the FCC
rules
> > does it state that.
> >
>
> Granted I should have said information rather than news. However opinion
> pieces are not information.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

928. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 21:05:31 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f732e4$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102428

OK what is then???

Todd N9OGL

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.iaw5ppa.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 05:49:21 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> >You know the easiest way of solving this problem which I'm working on, is
a
> >petition for rulemaking to clarify what a Information bulletin is. That
> >would solve the problem once and for all.
>
> A Petition for Rulemaking is not the proper way to get this ruling.
> It would be dismissed out of hand.
>
> Strike One.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>

929. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 21:34:31 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f739c0$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102430

well like I stated untill the FCC makes a ruling on the matter where every amateur gets the message this problem will never be solved. As for playing lawyer it don't take a rocket scientist to read the rules. It's not my fault your IQ is that of a 5th grader and you can't fucking read. Put Hollingsworth dick or it that stupid fat fuck Phil Kane dick back in your mouth and shut the hell up you whiney little bitchwhore! As for interpretation of the rules as Hollingsworth stated in a letter to K1MAN " The rules of the Amateur Service are straightforward and easy to understand" (From Re: Amateur radio licenseK1MAN to Glenn Baxter K1MAN from Riley Hollingsworth January 29, 2002) (complete letter at http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2002/0209.html)

Todd N9OGL

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message news:64SdndU1S-Sst2rcRVn-sg@gbronline.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> > Where in the FCC rules and regulations does it state that it has to be a
> > news bulletin? I think that's where Amateurs have a misunderstanding. The
> > term in the FCC rules and regulations state:
> >
> > 97.3(a)(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur
> > operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
> > amateur service.
> >
> > And you must also look at
> >
> > 97.111(b)(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;
> >
> > Let look at the bottom one first. "Transmissions necessary to disseminate
> > information bulletins" now we all know what transmission means at lease I
> > hope you all do so lets look at the ending section of that part "disseminate
> > information bulletins". Disseminate according to The American Heritage
> > Dictionary disseminate means to spread or to be spread. Information
> > according to the same dictionary means "Knowledge from study or experience.
> > (2)Knowledge of an event or situation; Intelligence. (3) A collection of
> > facts or data (4) Informing or being informed; communication of Knowledge.

> > Lastly a bulletin is according to American Heritage Dictonary is (1) A
> > printed or broadcast statement on a matter of public interest (2) A
> > periodical, esp. one published by an organization or society
> > So with all we can state that 97.111(b)(6) means in layman term A radio
> > siganal necessary to spread knowledge as a statement on matters of
amateur
> > interest something on that lines no where in the FCC rules and
regulations
> > does it state it has to be NEWS only that it has to be information
> > consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur
> > service. After reading stuff off of not only this newsgroup but other
> > amateur message boards I understand that many amateur's think that a
> > information bulletin is a news bulletin but again nowhere in the FCC
rules
> > does it state that.
> >
>
> I'll try to put it into words that hopefully even you can understand.
> The spirit of the rule means that the one-way transmissions on the
> amateur bands should contain bulletins or information pertaining to
> amateur radio and directed only to amateur radio operators and the
> subject matter should be that which is of interest to the amateur radio
> service. My, that is almost exactly what the rule itself says.
> Now quit trying to be a self taught lawyer, you are proving you are very
> poor at it. It really doesn't matter how you interpet the rules, what
> matters is how the FCC interperts them and if they interpert them
> differently than you do, that's your problem.
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

930. Ancestral Importance by the Blues Brothers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 21:49:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050126164915.00824.00000050@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 102447

>In article <1104948546.014419.204310@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
>N2EY@AOL.COM writes:

>You can't be talking about Morse Code skill as having no usefulness,
>because it's extremely useful in amateur radio. Therefore, it makes
>sense that the tests for an amateur radio license would include a
>Morse Code test.

Tsk. That only "makes sense" to a PCTA extra. :-)

QED

"Quad-Error Demonstration?" Tsk. You made two basic errors
one involving an impossible logical relation.

> Ave, Imperator!

>Who do you address that way?

All the PCTA extras? Isn't that their salutation? :-)

>Nuns are usually addressed as "Sister"
>or "Mother" or some other title. At least that's the way it was
>back when I was Roman Catholic, years and years ago.

You are a lapsed Catholic?

Oh, yes...you changed denominations and took your Vows
with the Church of St. Hiram!

Sorry, I forgot. :-)

>As for the Latin, it's one of the languages my ancestors used.
>They had world-class poetry, art, drama, philosophy, mathematics,
>formal logic, science, engineering, architecture, public works, a
>representative form of government, etc. Much of what they accomplished
>we still use today - even down to most of the alphabet used to write in
>this newsgroup.

Tsk. They couldn't hold their Empire together, could they? :-)

Got defeated by their own inflexibility and a bunch of barbarians.
Tsk, tsk.

Well, the electronics industry usually acknowledges Guglielmo
Marconi as the first demonstrator of radio as a communications
medium. In 1896. He used morse code with on-off keying. Old
timers seem intent on keeping morsemanship testing well-alive
past 108 years. :-)

Same-o, same-o...those olde-tymers have the same inflexibility
and are being defeated by "barbarians" who've gone on to bigger
and better things in the world of radio.

>At about the same time in history, *your* ancestors were busy painting
>their faces blue, howling at the moon and worshipping trees. All they
>gave us of any value was the names of some of the days of the week.

"My" ancestors? :-)

Who were "my" ancestors? :-)

"My" ancestors went around "painting their faces blue?" :-)

Jimmie (aka "Nun of the Above"), do everyone a favor: Quit singing
the blues. You are off-key and you keep taking sideswipes with
your bug-gy comments about "ancestry." You gonna get paddled.
Probably in iambic pentameter verse. [what could be "verse?" vell,
lotsa tings...:-)]

Just turn blue.

LenAnderson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

931. Re: 5747558502786918

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 22:31:02 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@dalekswebcasters.net>
Message-ID: <ct95ml$o7o$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

They are related to the pirate operting on 3965 khz.

"Mike Terry" <miketerry73@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:ct90v4bth4@hercules.btinternet.com...
> "0000 000666" <67509@26854085.535> wrote in message
> news:67509@26854085.535...
>> 8 1 9 3 6 6 8 3 2 3 2 7 4 4 5 2 1 5 3 0 1 9 3 9 5 8 6 4 0 1 1 8 2 0
>> 2 9 7 0 3 9 3 5 7 7 0 2 9 2 7 3 2 9 3 5 9 8 3 6 3 4 7 4 2 9 5 5 8 2
> etc, etc
>
> Why post this? Please explain.
>
>

932. Re: Huygens Probe (Was Re: The Cassini Probe)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-26 23:56:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106783762.692865.17730@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102449

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1106626440.069167.27000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
"K4YZ" writes:

> >Just one of the MANY things that I DO have that you do not...CAN
> >NOT have. You talk big about a great many things, but do nothing
about
> >it...Except to try and make things miserable for those who DO choose
to
> >do "it".
>
> "Do" what? Type snarly, slavering personal insults in a
newsgroup?
>
> "Talking BIG?" Tsk. I've already done things poor nursie hasn't.
> In radio. And in electronics. For half a century.

But you've not done ANYthing in Amateur Radio except antagonize
this NG, and you've NOT done anything in a great many of the other
disciplines in which you insinuate skill and knowledge.

> >> Tsk. FCC Commissioners and staff are not required to have
> >> personal amateur radio licenses in order to REGULATE U.S.
> >> amateur radio! [sunnuvagun!]
> >
> >Lennie, YOU are NOT an FCC Commissioner.
>
> Tsk. Never said I was.
>
> Not a single FCC commissioner has to have an amateur raido license
> in order to regulate U.S. amateur radio.
>
> Sunnuvagun!
>
> Kind of spoils your 'hood rant, donut?

The only "rant" ruined, Lennie, it the one wherein YOU foolishly
attempt to redirect posts when caught in a corner.

You are not an FCC commissioner. You have no experience from
which to make INFORMED opinions on matters of Amateur Radio policy.

Te Commissioners and the 600K+ licensed Amateurs do.

> >Discussing the FCC commissioners does not negate the fact that YOU
> >perport to know and have experience in thse many things, yet you

> >obvioulsy DON'T have the knowledge OR experience in them.
>
> Tsk. Try "purport." Put 'purport' in your I/O port.

Try resonding like teh adult you allege to be. Responses like
that only further to substantiate my assertions about you.

> Nonetheless, there's NO law that says any FCC commissioner OR
> staff must have an amateur radio license in order to regulate U.S.
> amateur radio.

You still have not made the linkage between the FCC commissioners
and you.

> >FCC commissioners have a duty to perform. You don't.
>
> None of their "duty" involves getting an amateur radio license in
> order to regulate U.S. amateur radio!
>
> Sunnuvagun!

You still have not made the linkage between the FCC commissioners
and you.

> >You're a big mouth.
>
> Tsk. Does that mean the honeymoon is over?

What kind of a low life would marry you, Lennie?

> >That's all. You know a lot of little trivial things...no more.
>
> Yeah...Ohm's Law to Maxwell's Equations, plus a lot of radio
> and electronics theory which has been applied to design, test,
> debug, and field operation of radio-electronic systems...not to
> mention a better working knowledge of the English language
> (particularly spelling).
>
> Really must get your goat to munching when I've made a fairly
> nice living at knowing all those "trivial" things, donut?

So far we've seen nothing that indicates YOU know these things.

The extent of your "knowledge" seems to stop at the end of your
mouse cord, Lennie.

And as for your "spelling" skills, you still manage to make a
handful of typos, and usually the same ones over and over.

You also demonstrate a LACK of skill in the English language,
especially communications issues. This is quite evident because you've
been asked the same questions by numerous posters over and over yet you
refuse to answer the questions.

Personally, I think it's because you simply don't understand the
questions. THAT stems from your being an idiot.

> >> >I have an FAA Airman's Certificate and have currency in 3 types.
> >>
> >> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
> >
> >But not irrelevant as YOUR frequent diversions into matters of
> >airmanship. Another discipline that you shoot your mouth off on and
> >have no eal EXPERIENCE in. It's so obvious that everyone EXCEPT you
> >sees it.
>
> Tsk. I've never claimed "eal" experience in anything. Does that
have
> to do with aquatic things? "Electric eals?" [shocking...]

The ONLY thing shocking in the Anderson home, I am sure.

The "r" I dropped notwithstanding, the context stands. You've
attempted to represent yourself as being knowledgeable about aerial
navigation.

You are neither a licensed aviator or navigator.

> >> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not
flying.
> >
> >True, but that never stops you from discussing NAVAIDS, aerial
> >navigation, or a host of other aviation related subjects from which
> >your ONLY experience is a web based search engine.
>
> You mean like radionavigation aids such as VOR, Localizer,
> Glide Slope, Marker Beacons, TACAN, and DME? Worked ON
> all those plus the L-Band Transponders (in both civil and military
> modes). At RCA and Teledyne Electronics (division in Newbury
> Park, CA). Neither corporation has a website devoted to radio-
> navigation systems as far as I know.
>
> Gosh and golly...all those radionavigation aids work on radio
> frequencies! Sunnuvagun!

They sure do.

And you've tried (and failed) to "teach" us about how they are
employed.

> >Nor is it about the US Army in 1953, aerospace, or disaster
> >response, however you frequently deleve into those topics when the
mood
> >strikes you.
>
> Tsk. I've worked IN U.S. Army radio communications for three
years
> solid back a half-century ago...main radio circuit paths on HF all

> across the Pacific, Sea of Japan, and other aquatic places. Guess
> that doesn't count since you didn't exist then, huh? Never did
"QSL"
> either since the Army didn't have any "QSL Buro" and frowned on
> that sort of ham activity as part of the Army Command and
> Administrative Network.

Geeze, Lennie. While I was on Okinawa in 80, 81, 89 and 90,
various MARS stations on the Island received SWL requests, and I
answered every one of them.

We were using a lot LESS than the multi-kilowatt signals you
purported to using from ADA.

Wassamatta...?!?!? ADA couldn't be heard with all that
power...?!?!

Sheesh!

> >> >I have multiple licenses as a healthcare provider.
> >>
> >> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
> >
> >But it's 100% relevent in this forum since YOU frequently bring up
> >discussions on the matter. Again...just one more discipline that
you
> >run your obviously ill-prepared mouth off on.
>
> I'll bet your licenses look real purty, suitable for framing and
all.

Poor effort to redirect, Lennie.

> >> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not health
> >> or medicine.
> >
> >Here again we have Lennie TRYING to chastise others for liberties
> >HE takes at will.
>
> I haven't taken any "will" anywhere except to my safety deposit
> box. Need to be an attorney or paralegal to prepare a will.

More weak redirect, Lennie?

This is the best you can do???

> >Sorry Lennie. It doesn't work that way. If it's OK for you, it
> >IS "ok" for the rest of us...ESPECIALLY those of us with the
> >credentials from which to make informed opinions.
>
> Yup...Doctor Strangeglove titles hisself THE authority...
>
> Wow....."credentials!!" [I'll bet they are all put on nice

plaques
> hung on the walls for friends and neighbors to Ohhh and Ahhh
> over...]

My "credentials" are in the lives I have touched and made better,
Lennie.

I don't need (although I DO have) plaques for the wall. The
neighbors "oooh" and ahhh" from the positive impacts I made on them and
their families.

> >> >You have none,
> >> >although you somehow insinuate "experience" by virtue of sleeping
> >with
> >> >a person with a diploma from K-Tel, Ronco, or some other such
place.
> >>
> >> Irrelevant insofar as radio is concerned.
> >>
> >> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not
diplomas
> >> or correspondence schools nor libelous personal insults
alleging
> >> I am "sleeping with" someone other than my wife.
> >
> >I didn't say you were sleeping with anyone BUT your wife.
>
> Are you saying this newsgroup is about "infidelity?" Strange...

I am saying it's highly unlikely that anyone with the credentials
and education you suggest your "wife" has would marry an idiot like
you...(or at least STAY married to an idiot like you...)

> >What I
> >AM saying is that YOU have made so many easily disproven lies in
this
> >forum that only the very gullible or the very very easily fooled
> >believe any statement by you that your wife holds any of the
> >credentials you claim she does.
>
> Wow! I didn't know my Lamont Cranston Powers (learned while
> in the Orient) were that good! Fooled a lot of government
agencies
> in Illinois, Wisconsin, Washington, and California! For years!

Yes...You did.

I notice you left Pennsylvania off your list.

THAT is because the folks in Pennsylvania got wise to Lennie
Anderson and sent him packing. Perhaps you learned your lesson after
that, but I doubt it.

> For myself, I guess I also fooled the FBI, CIA, DIA, DCAS, IRS,
> and the Franchise Tax Board (of California)!

No doubt you have. And having filed income tax returns on your
"earnings" doesn't validate your claims of proficiency at your
"craft"...It only means you were smart enough to not tangle with the
taxman.

> >> >> You've never acknowledged that the Department of Defense
> >> >> directs MARS.
> >> >
> >> >Sure I have. Several times over. You keep ignoring it.
> >>
> >> There is nothing to ignore. You have not acknowledged that
> >> the Department of Defense directs the Military Affiliate Radio
> >> System. You keep insisting that "amateurs are MARS."
> >
> >That's NOT what I said, but we've already gone over that.
>
> Tsk. Quote: "Sorry Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."
>
> Does Google lie?

Again you quote one line from 100,000 and try to make THAT an
"argument".

Everyone here EXCEPT you has figured it out, Lennie.

It DOES seem to be ALL you have to "work" with, Lennie.
Lame..REAL lame.

> >> >> You've never acknowledged the when and where of those
> >> >> "seven hostile actions."
> >> >
> >> >They were and are none of your business.
> >>
> >> In other words, those do not exist. LYING. Braggadoccio.
> >
> >Not lies. None of your business.
>
> Ah! They are "secret ops?" Sensitive information? Classified?

Nope...Just not pertinent here...besides, NOT telling you just
tickles me to death that you can't get what you want!

You've point-blank demanded that I tell you. Sorry...ain't
happenin'...

> Tsk, tsk. I've no delusions about HF radio communications. Been
> IN it, done the work, have the documentation AND personal
witnesses
> (all still living). Never once used morse code or had to know
morse
> code for legal radio transmissions on HF since.

And not once for the Amateur Radio Service.

"Communication" on frequencies in the HF part of the spectrum does
NOT qualify you as "experienced" in AMATEUR practices.

> >> >> You've never acknowledged that the University of Illinois is
> >> >> NOT a correspondence school.
> >> >
> >> >The University of Illinois does have extension courses.
> >>
> >> That may be (haven't checked their syllabi).
> >
> >Of course you haven't, Lennie! We'd expect nothing more from you!
> >And "syllabi" is not where you ant to look. The catalog is.
>
> So...you classify "extension courses" as "correspondence courses"
> still? You think a Masters Degree is that easy to obtain? Tsk.

An "extension course" >>IS<< a "correspondence course".

A Master's degree is ANYthing but easy.

But I do not believe that anyone in your household holds one,
ESPECIALLY in any discipline of mental health or social affairs.

> >> Nonetheless, my
> >> wife earned TWO Masters Degrees from the University of Illinois
> >> at Urbana, attending formal classes ("formal class" refers to
being
> >> present IN class).
> >
> >"In residence" means being "IN" class.
> >
> >> She was aided in that with formal classes at
> >> the University of Wisconsin at Madison.
> >
> >You CLAIM she has those credentials.
>
> Yup. Documentation is in state records of Illinois and
Washington.
> Paperwork is in storage in the northern house (includes the
> framed Degree certificates).

And we don't have Mrs. Lennie's full name with which to make the
inquiries to determine the validity of your assertions.

As for the records being in the "northern house", that's the "/T5
Logs" defense...Kinda like the Twinkie Defense, but without the
Twinkie.

> >I say if she did, and she
> >has the experience you alledge her to have, she'd smack you upside
the
> >head and forbid you from acting this way in public.

>
> Heeeeeee. She got a good laugh out of your comment.

She probably gets a good laugh from a bottle of Geritol, Lennie.
Needs a lot of it, being married to a well known and archived liar like
you, no doubt.

> >She obviously hasn't, so you were either lying about her awareness
> >of your conduct in this forum or you were lying about her education
and
> >experience. In either care, you WERE (are) lying.
>
> Poor nursie...everyone who talks back to him/her is "lying."

There's no "talking back" here, Lennie.

You make unfounded allegations. You can't/won't substantiate your
allegations.

You're a liar.

It really is THAT simple.

> >I have NEVER "derided" SINCGARS, Lennie.
>
> Tsk. You didn't even know about it.

Sure I do and did Lennie.

They are NOT pertient to Amateur Radio.

They are your one and only claim to "operation" in taht part of
the spectrum, Lennie.

> The most-produced radio system in the U.S. military, a quarter
> million (approximate) sets produced and made operational since
> 1989.

Made operational for the Armed Forces...NOT for Amateur Radio.

And more ISR radios have been deployed to the Armed Forces in the
last three years than SINCGARS was in the previous 15. (ISR is the
federal spin-off of the Family Radio Service...A-M-A-Z-I-N-G to me that
radios which are essentially rechannelized UHF CB's are more functional
to the Armed Forces than Lennie's vaunted SINCGARS!)

> >I have said they are not relevent to Amateur Radio.
>
> The AN/PRC-119 family operates in the 30 to 88 MHz frequency
> range. Uses some modulation and modes not allocated to U.S.
> amateurs. Nonetheless it IS a radio.

It IS a radio.

It is not AMATEUR Radio.

> >However YOUR "reserve" duty was NOT active reserves. You were
> >still under a formal obligation to return to active duty if called,
> >however you were not in uniform, you were not standing watches, and
you
> >CERTAINLY were not anywhere near being in harms way. (You weren't
even
> >there when you WERE on acitve duty!)
>
> I wear a watch. Never stood on one (would break if I did).
>
> Army doesn't "stand watches."

Sure they do.

> I remember some nice ones in a PX
> in a neat display case of watches, though.

Probably next to the magazine rack where you read about the REAL
soldiers, unlike yourself.

> >But LENNIE! YOU are the one who is constantly insisting that I
> >don't have any "working" knowledge of Yiddish just becasue I call
you a
> >(very well deserved) putz.
>
> Shalom, goyim.

> >Anyone who was paying attention to why I left NURSING for a while
> >in 2000 understands perfectly. I bet Brian Burke can explain it to
> >you, Lennie.
> >
> >I LEFT Atlanta to go back to Nursing. It really is THAT simple.
>
> Of course you did. Uh huh. "Nothing else" involved, ey?

Nope.

> >> Note: This newsgroup is about amateur radio policy, not human
> >> resources or industry employment practices.
> >
> >Then why do YOU keep bringing it up, Lennie...?!?! I don't.
>
> Tsk. Okay, you couldn't hack it as a purchasing agent in an
> electronics company that "rents servers from Antec." Boo hoo.

I "couldn't hack" not being in full-time Nursing again. I needed
a break after I lost my daughter. I got it. It really is THAT simple.

> >You're right again! Yet YOU keep bringing up your alleged
> >aerospace contractors and retirement packages! NONE of which
(except
> >in YOUR mind as the mood strikes) are pertinent to AMATEUR RADIO.
>
> "Alleged?" "Retirement packages?" I've never alleged any

> employment, just stated those employers I'd actually worked for.
> My "Retirement package" from industry was exactly one, now
> ended with a lump sum payment...the rest was my own personal
> planning.

Congratulations on the planning.

Kinda a bummer you did so well on that, yet never amounted to much
as a "regular full time professional engineer", eh...???

> Ahhh...you are angry that some of us ARE retired but YOU must
> keep on working! If you suffer, all must suffer! You are the
center
> of the universe?

Why would I be "angry" about not being retired before I was 50,
Lennie?

So I could sit around looking for ways to be irritating and
annoying like YOU do...?!?!

> >So, Lennie...How did you go from making inappropriate suggestions
> >of where/how I became an aviator to discussing the entry of SINCGARS
to
> >military service...???
>
> Gee...you ought to digitize your Command Pilot wings and glorify
> yourself as "Pilot in Command" on a website!

Still waiting Lennie...

BTW, I don't have "Command Pilot" wings. I am, however, licensed
by the federal government to BE a "pilot-in-command".

> You became an AVIATOR! Wow! You need a good script to make
> a movie from! [maybe Leonardo diCaprio could star in a sequel?]

STILL waiting, Lennie...

Where's the proof to substantiate YOUR claim that I supposedly said
the Armed Forces made me an Aviator?

> >Are you going to acknowledge your error or not?
>
> "Acknowledge my error?"

OK... My bad...there's so MANY of them I should have specified.

Your ERROR about some claim you alledge I made that the Armed
Forces were responsible for my Airman's Certificate.

> >Ya think that maybe that's why I participate in an AMATEUR radio
> >forum...?!?!
>
> Is it? I thought you were just venting and venting and venting to

> get over your daily frustrations at not being more than you are.

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....I'd ask you to cite where I said I was
frustrated at not being more than I am, however I can say that I AM
more than YOU ever were!

> >By the way...YOU, Mr "Radio Professional", have repeatedly
> >insisted that there are radio services established strictly for
> >"recreational" purposes, yet there's not a single bit of
substantiating
> >evidence in >>ANY<< FCC rule or regulation. We've been waiting for
you
> >to cite the federal rule that supports your assertions.
>
> Tsk. You can find them in Part 95, Title 47 Code of Federal
> Regulations.

No, I cannot.

There's not a single radio service nor subpart of any service that
establishes it as being for strictly recreational purposes.

> Tsk, tsk. I've mentioned that Part many times in here, in public.

Yes, You have.

> >Your ENTIRE existence in RRAP has been about trying to dazzle us
> >with your "Been There-Done That" bragging of your own.
>
> Poor baby...still pissed to an extreme point that anyone has done
> anything better than you...

Nope.

Unlike you, I can look to someone else's accomplishments, admire
them. learn from them, and express my appreciation for the deed
accomplished.

You cannot.

And SO far, other than having made it to your age without getting
shot or maimed by the people you've irritated, antagonized, lied to,
deceived, cheated, stole from or otherwise just ticked off, you have
not demonstrated or documented a single thing that I can consider
"worthy" of even the most minimal of respect

> >We've asked you to provide the name of ONE PERSON who is, this
> >day, involved in radio communication in ANY phase because of some
> >guidance you have provided.
>
> >Your "contract" in Pennsylvania was your undoing as a newsgroup
> >guru-wannabe.
>
> Tsk. I've never had a "contract" of any kind of employment in

> Pennsylvania.

That's funny...YOU insisted that you did...Gave dates, places,
etc.

> >It's no "personal insult". You were in Pennsylvania. You named
> >places and dates. I have friends who were in those places during
those
> >dates.
>
> Ah, you have at least two friends! Amazing.

I have a lot more than that.

> >One of them knew you.
>
> Doubtful. You've not identified that person. You've not
identified
> where that fictitious person worked within that "facility." You
> simply fabricated a statement out of your fevered imagination.
> In other, pithier words, bullshit.

Ahhhhh yes...the last resort of the weak mind...Cornered, without
further recourse or options...profanity.

You were incompetent. They got rid of you. No big deal. I am
sure it was not the only time it happened...Just the only case that
could be verified.

> >Yet another moment where we're left wondering what ever happened
> >to you that made you such a vile, spiteful and empty shell of a
person,
> >Lennie.
>
> Wow...you are really working up a head of steam, Doctor
> Strangeglove. Now get a large towel and wipe the froth from
> your lips, keyboard, and uniform shirt front. That's a good
boy...

As I was saying....

Vile...Spiteful...Empty...

> By the way, as you were corrected by another, the Titan
> lander probe was named "Huygens," not "Cassini." Try to
> remember that some time.

I already published an acknowledgement of my error, Lennie.

You have a few of your own due, but I doubt that an adequate
amount of server space is avaiable to handle the load.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
Still impressed with seeing your name twice, eh?

Steve, K4YZ

933. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 00:46:51 UTC
From: Steven Fleckenstein <zpfleck@zitlink.zet>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c620401dd8d72a79896ad@News.Individual.NET>
Source record: 102450

In article <ct0uajhqk1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...

I keep hearing about homeland security grants for ham radio but as an
ARES/RACES EC/RO have never seen it up close and personal.

I've been offered grant money to cover membership drives by the Citizen Corps.
This was useless because it didn't offer money for what I needed which was
portable ham gear and some sort of standard attire for all the members so they
would be recognized as ham geeks by first responders.

My members are mostly retired on fixed income that lack the funds for usable
play toys other than antiques that might have PL.

The county we serve has offered to cover operating expenses for a converted
motor home used as a mobile comm center but internal gov't B.S. has prevented
us from getting even an oil change performed on the beast by the county DPW.
They are always "too busy". It is pure politic B.S. on part of DPW

The best support we have received is filtered down from the local nuke plant
operator. It allowed us to replace 15 year old 2 meter radios with quad band
mobile rigs and a set of ICOM dual band HT's along with antennas, etc.
Then again the cost of this gear could be increased cancer risk and an early
death.

From what I hear homeland security money doesn't go any further than the New
York State SEMO level, never to be seen at where the rubber meets the road.

Good old New York...Albany certainly needs an enema.

934. Re: Huygens Probe (Was Re: The Cassini Probe)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 00:54:42 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iay276n.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102452

On 26 Jan 2005 15:56:02 -0800, K4YZ wrote:

>> So...you classify "extension courses" as "correspondence courses"
>> still? You think a Masters Degree is that easy to obtain? Tsk.
>
>An "extension course" >>IS<< a "correspondence course".

Here's where I have to rain on your parade, Steve.

My first year of grad study at UCLA was in "UCLA extension" status
to avoid very high non-resident tuition. These classes were the
same ones that "regular" status students took. The next year I was
a California resident so I could register for "regular" classes. When
I had completed all my course work ("matriculation" status) I could
petition for conversion of "extension" credits to "regular" credits.

Another type of "extension" courses were those taught off-campus,
either at another institution's or at some company's campus.

AFAIK UCLA never had correspondence courses. I wish that they had.
I was enrolled in my last required course when I had to leave the
area because of job transfer.

I don't know whether they still have that "extension" system.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

935. Re: Astro Turf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 00:57:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050126195742.25923.00000065@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 102451

In article <1106759550.722586.17660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM writes:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > http://www.salon.com/mwt/feature/2005/01/26/lord/
>>
>> Does the book also tell of her lurid affair with a certain "radio
>> professional" who often had his hands "inside" any one of a number of
>> satellites while working in "the aerospace biz"...?!?!
>
>?? I have no idea..
>
>I thought it was an interesting look at how much some cultural things
>have changed in 40 years or so. Back when gender roles were much more
>rigidly and clearly defined, and we were told technology could do no
>wrong.

That's because the ancestors of those gender-gappers were "still painting their faces blue, howling at the moon and worshipping trees!" BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!

So...is this forum about amateur radio policy or is it going to be some kind of Chat Room for talkiing about broad-casting or radio broadcast programs or is it going to be some kind of Elitist thing where ancestors of the Romans are going to elevate themselves to a pedestal of greatness enough though their Empire is long since DEFUNCT?

Tsk. Morsemanship is clearly a mind-bender. One Hostile Action Hero imagines his evil enemies are sleeping with radio personalities while another worships the graven images of the Old Things in radio and declares hisself far more "superior" in ancestry than other European ancestry folk.

We gots an answer. The Nobel-ist Roman of them All has proclaimed his innate "superiority." He gots designer genes! [faded, worn, ripped at the knee from holes in his logic]

OK, everyone down on their knees, paint your faces blue, and worship the Greatest, the Nobel-ist Roman of them All. Ave!

SPQR and all that stuff. :-)

You guys are really, really sick puppies.

LenAnderson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

936. Re: HAVE YOU HEARD THE GOOD NEWS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 01:44:35 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <z9mdnfpNsL4e2mXcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102453

K4YZ wrote:
> google_groups_web@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>Good News!
>
>
>>He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish
>
> woman named Mary.
>
> More like: A used-to-be virgin Jewish woman named Mary slipped up
> and forgot what time fo the month it was while fooling around with
> Joseph's best friend or some other willing male friend.
>
> Mother Nature then went to work.
>
> When faced with the prospect of telling her as-of-yet
> unconsumated fiancee of her plight, she takes advantage of his pious
> and unwavering devotion to God to convince him that she is still a
> virgin and that this pregnancy is an "act of God".
>
> I find this a lot easier to believe than the idea that an
> omnipotent God, able to know what is going to happen in the future,
> "gives" his Son to an ignorant peasant girl in an era when the "facts"
> will be documented haphazardly at best, and allowed to be subject to
> the interpretations and manipulations of over 20 centuries of
> "prophets", "priests", "evangelists", "clerics", "rabbis", etc etc etc.
>
> Almost ever major conflict on the face of this planet has been
> over the deulling ideologies of "ministers of the faith", all of whom
> teach the same basic tenents: compassion, tolerance, faith, love,
> understnding, etc...UNLESS, of course, the other guy who is teaching
> the SAME stuff lives "over there", in which case he OBVIOUSLY is a
> heathen, wants to murder your brother, rape your sister and steal your
> land, and should be immediately disposed of.

There you go, Steve! Too many men make god in their own image, and that
is why we have the religion oriented strife.

A suggestion for the self righteous. Assuming that the Bible is the
exact word of God written, go read about the size of heaven, and the
number of people that are going there, as well as the groups from which
they are from. Illuminating! Then read the Sermon on the mount for a
direct commandment on how you are SUPPOSED to act!!

> Good news? Yeah...right.

As Homer Simpson once asked:

"Can God make a burrito so hot that he can't eat it? ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

937. Re: HAVE YOU HEARD THE GOOD NEWS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 04:29:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050126232905.22732.00000001@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 102454

In article <z9mdnfpNsL4e2mXcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo <the Bully Graham of the newsgroup> writes:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> google_groups_web@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>Good News!
>>
>>
>>>He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish
>>
>> woman named Mary.
>>
>> More like: A used-to-be virgin Jewish woman named Mary slipped up
>> and forgot what time fo the month it was while fooling around with
>> Joseph's best friend or some other willing male friend.
>>
>> Mother Nature then went to work.
>>
>> When faced with the prospect of telling her as-of-yet
>> unconsumated fiancee of her plight, she takes advantage of his pious
>> and unwavering devotion to God to convince him that she is still a
>> virgin and that this pregnancy is an "act of God".
>>
>> I find this a lot easier to believe than the idea that an
>> omnipotent God, able to know what is going to happen in the future,
>> "gives" his Son to an ignorant peasant girl in an era when the "facts"
>> will be documented haphazardly at best, and allowed to be subject to
>> the interpretations and manipulations of over 20 centuries of
>> "prophets", "priests", "evangelists", "clerics", "rabbis", etc etc etc.
>>
>> Almost ever major conflict on the face of this planet has been
>> over the deulling ideologies of "ministers of the faith", all of whom
>> teach the same basic tenents: compassion, tolerance, faith, love,
>> understnding, etc...UNLESS, of course, the other guy who is teaching
>> the SAME stuff lives "over there", in which case he OBVIOUSLY is a
>> heathen, wants to murder your brother, rape your sister and steal your
>> land, and should be immediately disposed of.
>
> There you go, Steve! Too many men make god in their own image, and that

>is why we have the religion oriented strife.

Oh, my, are all you PCTA extras on a Hate Binge?

Or is this "piss-off-all-Christians-and-Jews" part of the new
catechism of the Church of St. Hiram?

Or maybe its a demonstration of the "inherent good will" of
radio amateurs? Geez, if so, you all have a complete and utter
polarity reversal of hate and love.

> A suggestion for the self righteous.

Here's a suggestion for YOU and your self-righteous Hate Group:

Let it be. It has NOTHING to do with radio, let alone amateur
radio.

Or think about that final ride. Will it be "up" with that kind of
HATE boiling over? Or will it be "down?"

>Assuming that the Bible is the
>exact word of God written, go read about the size of heaven, and the
>number of people that are going there, as well as the groups from which
>they are from. Illuminating! Then read the Sermon on the mount for a
>*direct commandment* on how you are SUPPOSED to act!!

I'm waiting for the next Sermon on the Antenna Mount.

You know, where all have to worship at the Church of St. Hiram
and follow the ARRL's ayatollah and everyone love, honor, and
cherish morse code...

>> Good news? Yeah...right.
>
> As Homer Simpson once asked:
>
>"Can God make a burrito so hot that he can't eat it? ;^)

Eat it, Coslo...eat it...

LenAnderson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

938. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 04:42:23 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Pi_Jd.7$Pi.6@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102455

Charles Brabham wrote:
> Something occurred to me recently that I thought I'd share... It has to do
> with the Feds, the ARRL, BPL and ARESCOM / WL2K.
>
> Note how the ARRL was getting some traction early on with the BPL deal by
> taking a rational, scientific approach to the problem. Remember the video of
> Ed Hare driving around listening to BPL hash? Being above commercial
> concerns, amateur radio operators were in a good position to comment on
> technical matters with no taint of commercial motivation or greed. We spoke
> from an old, well-respected reputation.
>
> Then things seemed to go wrong in a murky sort of way. - In the end, Ham
> Radio was dissed by the FCC as not being relevant enough to protect from BPL
> interference.
>
> To me, this says that somebody within the federal government who wanted to
> boost BPL decided to pull a few strings and ham radio obligingly tripped
> over those strings.
>
> I was thinking about how the federal government sometimes handles problems
> and wondered if the Department of Homeland Security grants may have been a
> successful "trojan horse" weapon that we are currently suffering the effects
> of.
>
> It strikes me funny that all within a year or so, the feds (DHS) would throw
> millions of dollars at amateur radio through the DHS grants, and then the
> same feds (FCC) turn around and say our work is so irrelevant that it does
> not merit protection from inteference. To me, they are all "the feds"
> because of the heirarchy of command there.

The government ain't that well organized. Remember that one of the
complaints about 9-11 was that the various intelligence and
investigative agencies did not talk with each other. More often
you get fiefdoms and turf wars.
>
> With its deep pockets, it seems to me that the DHS grants handed out were a
> very cheap and effective way for the federal government to:
>
> A: Eliminate the Amateur community's "detatched, objective" status by
> throwing money at the problem, depending on us to discredit ourselves by the
> way we react to the existence of that sudden influx of money.

But DHS has nothing to do with BPL, so this doesn't follow.
>
> B: Throw the ARRL and the amateur community into disarray, making the

> organized, concerted effort against BPL that was building much less likely
> to jell into something effective.

That wasn't it. What did it was that the commissioners are
lawyers and not technically inclined, and were bullshjtted by
BPL lobbyists.
>

939. Re: HAVE YOU HEARD THE GOOD NEWS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 07:29:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106810968.311712.295130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102456

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <z9mdnfpNsL4e2mXcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo <the
Bully
> Graham of the newsgroup> writes:
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> google_groups_web@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Good News!
> >>
> >>
> >>>He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin
Jewish
> >>
> >> woman named Mary.
> >>
> >> More like: A used-to-be virgin Jewish woman named Mary slipped up
> >> and forgot what time fo the month it was while fooling around with
> >> Joseph's best friend or some other willing male friend.
> >>
> >> Mother Nature then went to work.
> >>
> >> When faced with the prospect of telling her as-of-yet
> >> unconsumated fiancee of her plight, she takes advantage of his
pious
> >> and unwavering devotion to God to convince him that she is still a
> >> virgin and that this pregnancy is an "act of God".
> >>
> >> I find this a lot easier to believe than the idea that an
> >> omnipotent God, able to know what is going to happen in the
future,
> >> "gives" his Son to an ignorant peasant girl in an era when the
"facts"
> >> will be documented haphazardly at best, and allowed to be subject
to
> >> the interpretations and manipulations of over 20 centuries of
> >> "prophets", "priests", "evangelists", "clerics", "rabbis", etc etc
etc.
> >>
> >> Almost ever major conflict on the face of this planet has been
> >> over the deulling ideologies of "ministers of the faith", all of
whom
> >> teach the same basic tenents: compassion, tolerance, faith, love,
> >> understnding, etc...UNLESS, of course, the other guy who is

teaching
> >> the SAME stuff lives "over there", in which case he OBVIOUSLY is a
> >> heathen, wants to murder your brother, rape your sister and steal
your
> >> land, and should be immediately disposed of.
> >
> > There you go, Steve! Too many men make god in their own image, and
that
>
> >is why we have the religion oriented strife.
>
> Oh, my, are all you PCTA extras on a Hate Binge?

Just the opposite, Lennie.

I am tired of the hate. I am tired of people who hide behind the
"sanctity" of religious baubels who are themselves only looking for a
forum from which to spew thier own form of hate, as sugar coated as it
may be.

> Or is this "piss-off-all-Christians-and-Jews" part of the new
> catechism of the Church of St. Hiram?

No "Church of St Hiram", and I don't remember limitins my comments
to just Jews and Christians...

Of which YOU could be NEITHER, Lennie, if you truly prescribe to
any Christian-Judeao tenents.

> Or maybe its a demonstration of the "inherent good will" of
> radio amateurs? Geez, if so, you all have a complete and utter
> polarity reversal of hate and love.

Again you are wrong.

I am just tired of people professing to be one thing, but being
another.

Just like YOU profess to be "interested" in Radio, yet you clearly
can't stand Radio Amateurs...Not just "PCTA Extras"...You clearly hate
anything/everything having to do with it.

> > A suggestion for the self righteous.
>
> Here's a suggestion for YOU and your self-righteous Hate Group:

There's no "hate group" here, unless it's "hate" of those who
pervert religious practice for evil intent.

Do YOU support the perversion of religion for personal or evil
intent, Lennie? It sure seems like it.

> Let it be. It has NOTHING to do with radio, let alone amateur
> radio.

THIS IS NOT A MODERATED FORUM, AND LEONARD H. ANDERSON, WHO HAS
CRIED "FOUL" AT THE PERCEPTION OF BEING MODERATED BEFORE, HAS
ZERO-POINT-ZERO RIGHT OR "AUTHORITY" TO DEMAND THAT ANYONE ELSE DISCUSS
OR NOT DISCUSS >>ANY<< TOPIC.

> Or think about that final ride. Will it be "up" with that kind of
> HATE boiling over? Or will it be "down?"

What does it matter?

If you're Jewish, there is no down. They don't teach about Hell
in their doctrine.

The Catholics teach of different levels of "down". More like a
little sideways and off to the side, and some time spent in Purgatory
for certain kinds of sin can still get you absolved and into Heaven.

> >Assuming that the Bible is the
> >exact word of God written, go read about the size of heaven, and the

> >number of people that are going there, as well as the groups from
which
> >they are from. Illuminating! Then read the Sermon on the mount for a

> >*direct commandment* on how you are SUPPOSED to act!!
>
> I'm waiting for the next Sermon on the Antenna Mount.
>
> You know, where all have to worship at the Church of St. Hiram
> and follow the ARRL's ayatollah and everyone love, honor, and
> cherish morse code...

You're still just upset that YOU are not the one on the cross that
everyone is praising.

They're not "praising" you for good reason. You are without
worthiness.

> >> Good news? Yeah...right.
> >
> > As Homer Simpson once asked:
> >
> >"Can God make a burrito so hot that he can't eat it? ;^)
>
> Eat it, Coslo...eat it...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
Still impressing yourself, Lennie?

Steve, K4YZ

940. Re: Huygens Probe (Was Re: The Cassini Probe)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 07:36:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106811369.441715.295910@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102457

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 26 Jan 2005 15:56:02 -0800, K4YZ wrote:
>
> >> So...you classify "extension courses" as "correspondence
courses"
> >> still? You think a Masters Degree is that easy to obtain?
Tsk.
> >
> >An "extension course" >>IS<< a "correspondence course".
>
> Here's where I have to rain on your parade, Steve.
>
> My first year of grad study at UCLA was in "UCLA extension" status
> to avoid very high non-resident tuition. These classes were the
> same ones that "regular" status students took. The next year I was
> a California resident so I could register for "regular" classes.
When
> I had completed all my course work ("matriculation" status) I could
> petition for conversion of "extension" credits to "regular"
credits.
>
> Another type of "extension" courses were those taught off-campus,
> either at another institution's or at some company's campus.
>
> AFAIK UCLA never had correspondence courses. I wish that they had.
> I was enrolled in my last required course when I had to leave the
> area because of job transfer.
>
> I don't know whether they still have that "extension" system.

Phil, where did you think you were raining on anything?

Where were we discussing UCLA?
What is the price of tea in China?

73

Steve, K4YZ

941. Re: Astro Turf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 10:45:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106822721.827163.20460@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102458

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1106759550.722586.17660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
N2EY@AOL.COM
> writes:
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > http://www.salon.com/mwt/feature/2005/01/26/lord/
> >>
> >> Does the book also tell of her lurid affair with a certain "radio
> >> professional" who often had his hands "inside" any one of a number
of
> >> satellites while working in "the aerospace biz"...?!?!
> >
> >?? I have no idea..
> >
> >I thought it was an interesting look at how much some cultural
things
> >have changed in 40 years or so. Back when gender roles were much
more
> >rigidly and clearly defined, and we were told technology could do no
> >wrong.
>
> That's because the ancestors of those gender-gappers were "still
> painting their faces blue, howling at the moon and worshipping
> trees!" BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!
>
> So...is this forum about amateur radio policy...(SNIP)

What does it matter to you, Lennie?

You're not a lceisned Amateur, so you have no practical experience
from which to draw upon on which to make an informed opinion.

You're NOT an FCC commissioner, ergo have no duty to act.

Your past performance in this forum indicates only a peripheral
exposure to the service, and an even more distant understanding of what
Amateur Radio is about.

> Tsk. Morsemanship is clearly a mind-bender.

Didn't take you long to introduce Morse Code into the equation.

> One Hostile Action
> Hero imagines his evil enemies are sleeping with radio

personalities
> while another worships the graven images of the Old Things in
> radio and declares hisself far more "superior" in ancestry than
> other European ancestry folk.

WHO and WHAT are you taking about, Lennie...?!?!

You get MORE bizarre and more assinine with each passing hour!

> We gots an answer.

And you accuse me of being less qualify and proficient in the use
of the English language! WoooooHoooo!

> The Nobel-ist Roman of them All has
> proclaimed his innate "superiority." He gots designer genes!
> [faded, worn, ripped at the knee from holes in his logic]

And it get's worse.

> OK, everyone down on their knees, paint your faces blue, and
> worship the Greatest, the Nobel-ist Roman of them All. Ave!
>
> SPQR and all that stuff. :-)
>
> You guys are really, really sick puppies.

And you're a documented pathological liar.

Imagine that.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Still so impressed with yourself...????
Two times ZERO is STILL zero.

Steve, K4YZ

942. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 10:57:18 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f7f5d8_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102443

The cloeses "communication attorney" is 200 miles away....oh well I just keep doing my thing :) and this "problem will never be solved.

Todd n9ogl

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.iaxm5xm.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:05:31 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> >> A Petition for Rulemaking is not the proper way to get this ruling.
> >> It would be dismissed out of hand.
> >>
> >> Strike One.
> >>
> >OK what is then???
>
> Consult your local communications attorney admitted to practice
> before the FCC.
>
> You are not my client and I'm not going to do the "heavy lifting"
> for you.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>

943. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 13:55:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106834125.293254.150780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102459

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Len Anderson wrote:
> > In article <1105123617.852572.272400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> > N2EY@AOL.COM writes:
> >
> > >Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > >> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> > >> >From: N2EY@AOL.COM
> > >> >Date: 1/6/2005 6:00 PM Central Standard Time
> > >> >Message-id:
> <1105056049.926253.31850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
> > >> >Lenof21 wrote:
> > >
> > >> >> WHY? The license holder isn't prohibited from doing
> anything
> > >> >> after
> > >> >> midnight of the last day of his/her 10-year-active-license
> > >> >> period...and for two more years into that grace period.
> > >
> > >> >That's simply not true, Len. The holder of an expired FCC
amateur
> > >> >radio license cannot legally operate until the license has been
> > >> >renewed.
> > >
> > >> >This is the second time I've seen you state that mistake here
in
> the
> > >> >past few days. An expired FCC amateur license carries *no*
> operating
> > >> >privleges.
> > >
> > >> >IS there some reason you persist in this obvious error?
> > >
> > >> I told ya... His Worminess has yet laid his long-tailed
ignorance
> to
> > >> bare in a house full of rocking chairs.
> > >
> > >It's just a mistake, Steve. Len Anderson obviously doesn't
> understand
> > >97.21(b).
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. The Nun of the Above simply didn't understand
> > that his/her/it leg was pulled.

>
> Len,
>
> Who are you addressing as "Nun of the Above"? Can't be me.
> If you're pulling any body part, it isn't mine and it isn't
> a leg.

I am sure that the part being pulled and Lennie's sexual identity
crisis are the reasons behind Lennie's lack of offspring.

> > >All of this is *extremely basic* regulatory stuff, clearly stated
in
> > >97.21(b), not somebody's opinion or interpretation.
> >
> > Tsk.
>
> Yes, Len, one would think you'd know something that basic about
> Part 97. But not only are you spouting mistakes, you refuse to
> acknowledge them.

Len has no knowledge of Amateur regulatory matters as he is not a
licensed Amateur.

> > The Nun of the Above
>
> Who would that be?
>
> > has constantly made his
>
> "his"? Nuns are female.

As I was saying...

Lennie keeps leaving us irrefutable evidence that he has some
very...uhhhh....significantly altered perceptions of gender role
assingment.

> > (Unidentified person) constantly refers to old regulations,
> > including those made by government radio regulating agencies
> > which no longer existed after 1934.
>
> Which old regulations, Len?
>
> The only regulations I've referred to recently are current ones.
> Like 97.21(b). Do you know that one?

Obvioulsy not. It's available via numerous on-line resources,
however it's obvious that Lennie has not availed himself of any of
them.

> > All simply MUST do so...as it has always
> > been done...no good reasons given.
>

> Operating within the law isn't a good reason?

It's "not cool" to be law-abiding in Lennie's neighborhood.

> As for the Latin, it's one of the languages my ancestors used.

Lennie's current "schtick" is to try and undermine others posts by claiming they don't have a "working knowledge" of the language of any one specific word they may use.

Ceertainly HE has no such mastery either...It's all just for argument's sake, which is all that Lennie's about any way.

> They had world-class poetry, art, drama, philosophy, mathematics,
> formal logic, science, engineering, architecture, public works, a
> representative form of government, etc. Much of what they
accomplished
> we still use today - even down to most of the alphabet used to write
in
> this newsgroup.
>
> At about the same time in history, *your* ancestors were busy
painting
> their faces blue, howling at the moon and worshipping trees. All they
> gave us of any value was the names of some of the days of the week.

At Lennie's age, he TURNS blue, can properly identify the moon three out of five times, and hikes a leg when he gets anywhere near a tree. Her can usually (but not always) get the days of the week in order if given a jingle to remember them by.

73

Steve, K4YZ

944. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 17:41:24 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <vemdnQb4Aem6t2TcRVn-1w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102460

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Where in the FCC rules and regulations does it state that it has to be a
> news bulletin? I think that's where Amateurs have a misunderstanding. The
> term in the FCC rules and regulations state:
>
> 97.3(a)(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur
> operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
> amateur service.

So Toddyboy, what is your 20 meter schedule? We are waiting so we can
tune in, even make contact. When are you going to post the schedule?

945. Re: The Cassini Probe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 20:21:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050127152138.01270.00000070@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 102463

In article <1106626440.069167.27000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<Doctor Strangeglove, internist to the Antichrist> writes:

>Then why do YOU keep brining them up, Lennie...?!?! No one here,
>least of all me, ever cared about where your wife went to school or
>what street corner you found her on.

Tsk, tsk. Not a nice thing to say, is it?

Is this the "new" amateur's code? Insulting spouses besides
trying to spew hatred on organized religion?

Is that the "new" method of "elmering" folks who might be
interested in getting an amateur radio license? Must be...

Radio amateurs the world over should be grate-full at these
"new" methods of "increasing interest in ham radio." Really
stokes the stove to imply a spouse was a prostie "working
the streets."

Go back to your Antichrist-wannabe slavering with Hatred.
That becomes you more.

LenAnderson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

946. The Huygens Probe (Was Re: The Cassini Probe)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 20:21:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050127152139.01270.00000071@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 102462

In article <1106811369.441715.295910@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<Doctor Strangeglove, internist with the Antichrist> writes:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>> On 26 Jan 2005 15:56:02 -0800, K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> >> So...you classify "extension courses" as "correspondence courses"
>> >> still? You think a Masters Degree is that easy to obtain?
>Tsk.
>> >
>> >An "extension course" >>IS<
>> Here's where I have to rain on your parade, Steve.
>>
>> My first year of grad study at UCLA was in "UCLA extension" status
>> to avoid very high non-resident tuition. These classes were the
>> same ones that "regular" status students took. The next year I was
>> a California resident so I could register for "regular" classes. When
>> I had completed all my course work ("matriculation" status) I could
>> petition for conversion of "extension" credits to "regular" credits.
>>
>> Another type of "extension" courses were those taught off-campus,
>> either at another institution's or at some company's campus.
>>
>> AFAIK UCLA never had correspondence courses. I wish that they had.
>> I was enrolled in my last required course when I had to leave the
>> area because of job transfer.
>>
>> I don't know whether they still have that "extension" system.

Phil, they did up to ten years ago.

Extension courses around L.A. have branched out into the expensive
pay-a-lot postgraduate technology courses with a strange "credit"
system. Makes a fair bit of change for UCLA and several other
universities here, even the "junior" colleges. Those are in the $300
to $600 a day (full day) category. I get lots of spam ads from those
in the mail.

"Regular" (ordinary college credit) extension courses seem to be
dwindling somewhat at the big institutions such as UCLA and USC.
UCLA has a huge campus in Westwood and had poor parking way
back when, one reason I didn't/couldn't go there except a few times.
Smaller institutions were easier to get to such as CSUN, Loyolla,
and even Los Angeles Trade-Tech (which did have college credit
night courses held at outlying high school classrooms).

Most of the aerospace corporations here weren't so interested in all those credentials (suitable for framing) despite what Personnel departments (sorry, "Human Resources") said and did on hiring. Engineering departments managed to get the last word on who could be hired via "second interviews" with prospective hirees. "Credentials" were necessary (apparently) for Management to show das Government on Big Contracts talks. Despite all that the Engineering departments functioned well and still managed to invent and innovate and designs that pushed the envelopes of performance well out of old boundaries.

Ooops, we've departed from the Antichrist's latest Hate Rant. Back to critiques of "Dr. Laura" and cross-coupled evangelists, "CW" beeping amateurs still "pioneering" the short waves using vacuum tube radios.

LenAnderson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

947. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 20:21:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050127152140.01270.00000072@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 102464

In article <1106528551.850309.110290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> >Dr Laura is shelling out "advice" that is not related to her field
>of
>> >expertise.
>>
>> Exactly!
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Now one has to have an advanced degree in "Commonsensology" to be able
>to advocate such progressive ideas as faithfullness to marriage vows, a
>responsiblity to raise your children as a parent and not as their
>buddy, and forgiveness of those who've offended you. So sad.

Seems like Rev. Jim is working up to another Sermon on the Antenna Mount...this time on Credentialism, a seeming necessity for all to state anything about anything in this recreational amateur radio policy newsgroup.

Lately we've all been treated to the rantings of an Antichrist-wannabe (aka Doctor Strangeglove) who wants to ban all religions. That goes farther than some broadcasting program content and opinions thereof.

Apparently Rev. Jim is displeased that anyone has opinions counter to his own ultraconservatism (keeping amateur radio safe and happy with good old fashioned morse code). He claims "authoritiy" by virtue of an old extra class amateur license which, apparently, overrides the First Amendment of the United States Constitution applicable to all other U.S. citizens. [democratic principles in action in amateurism?]

Excuse me, I have to go meet my wife "on the streets." (the street in front of her hairdresser's) Doctor Strangeglove thinks I first met her "on the streets." Wierd, wired Doctor. He is so cross...

OK, everyone back to the Entertainment Newsgroup and reviews of Broadcasting Service program content...

LenAnderson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

948. Re: HAVE YOU HEARD THE GOOD NEWS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 20:21:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050127152142.01270.00000073@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 102461

In article <1106810968.311712.295130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<Doctor Strangeglove, internist with the Antichrist> writes:

>Len Anderson wrote:
>> In article <z9mdnfpNsL4e2mXcRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo <the
>Bully
>> Graham of the newsgroup> writes:
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> google_groups_web@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>Good News!
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin
>Jewish
>> >>
>> >> woman named Mary.
>> >>
>> >> More like: A used-to-be virgin Jewish woman named Mary slipped up
>> >> and forgot what time fo the month it was while fooling around with
>> >> Joseph's best friend or some other willing male friend.
>> >>
>> >> Mother Nature then went to work.
>> >>
>> >> When faced with the prospect of telling her as-of-yet
>> >> unconsumated fiancee of her plight, she takes advantage of his
>pious
>> >> and unwavering devotion to God to convince him that she is still a
>> >> virgin and that this pregnancy is an "act of God".
>> >>
>> >> I find this a lot easier to believe than the idea that an
>> >> omnipotent God, able to know what is going to happen in the
>future,
>> >> "gives" his Son to an ignorant peasant girl in an era when the
>"facts"
>> >> will be documented haphazardly at best, and allowed to be subject
>to
>> >> the interpretations and manipulations of over 20 centuries of
>> >> "prophets", "priests", "evangelists", "clerics", "rabbis", etc etc
>etc.
>> >>
>> >> Almost ever major conflict on the face of this planet has been
>> >> over the deulling ideologies of "ministers of the faith", all of

>whom
>> >> teach the same basic tenents: compassion, tolerance, faith, love,
>> >> understnding, etc...UNLESS, of course, the other guy who is
>teaching
>> >> the SAME stuff lives "over there", in which case he OBVIOUSLY is a
>> >> heathen, wants to murder your brother, rape your sister and steal
>your
>> >> land, and should be immediately disposed of.
>> >
>> > There you go, Steve! Too many men make god in their own image, and
>that
>>
>> >is why we have the religion oriented strife.
>>
>> Oh, my, are all you PCTA extras on a Hate Binge?
>
>Just the opposite, Lennie.

Nah...you just HATE about everything. Tsk, tsk.

>I am tired of the hate.

So is everyone else, apparently. You love to HATE.

Religion is apparently your new-found subject.

>I am tired of people who hide behind the
>"sanctity" of religious baubels who are themselves only looking for a
>forum from which to spew thier own form of hate, as sugar coated as it
>may be.

"Baubels?" :-) "Thier?" :-)

So...you think that all radio amateurs should give up any religious
beliefs? Conventional religions which have been in existance for
a while?

Apparently you want all to Believe in the self-righteous "religions"
in recreational hobby pursuits such as amateur radio? You know,
the one where all "must" love, honor, and obey the morse code
test to prove their "faithfulness and dedication" to the amateur
cause?

>> Or is this "piss-off-all-Christians-and-Jews" part of the new
>> catechism of the Church of St. Hiram?
>
>No "Church of St Hiram", and I don't remember limitins my comments
>to just Jews and Christians...

That makes you an Equal-Opportunity Hater! Marvelous. Hate all
and everything! :-)

>Of which YOU could be NEITHER, Lennie, if you truly prescribe to
>any Christian-Judeao tenents.

"Judeao tenents?" :-) "Prescribe?" :-)

Gosh, I've never imitated a Doctor of Theology to "prescribe"
anything. Did some cleric write you the wrong prescription?
[and you got it filled and got side-effects? must be...]

>I am just tired of people professing to be one thing, but being
>another.

Awwww....poor baby.

Wow, you must REALLY hate yourself, then! :-)

>Just like YOU profess to be "interested" in Radio, yet you clearly
>can't stand Radio Amateurs...Not just "PCTA Extras"...You clearly hate
>anything/everything having to do with it.

Tsk. Doctor Strangeglove flipped out again. Must be filling his stash
with the wrong snitched drugs from the hospital pharmacy (he
apparently "subscribes" to regular doses?).

Tsk, tsk. I was interested enough in radio and electronics to make
it my career of choice a long time ago...even though I could have
made a good living at being an illustrator. Some PCTA extras in
here seem to think I should have followed Their procedure and
become a licensed amateur first. Strange, the U.S. military didn't
think that way and they operated worldwide communications for
decades...successfully. Obviously, to those PCTA extras, the
U.S. military wasn't "interested enough" in radio to do it "right." :-)

>> > A suggestion for the self righteous.
>>
>> Here's a suggestion for YOU and your self-righteous Hate Group:
>
>There's no "hate group" here, unless it's "hate" of those who
>pervert religious practice for evil intent.

So...you are calling the Worship of Morse Code a "pervert religious
practice?"

Tsk, tsk.

>Do YOU support the perversion of religion for personal or evil
>intent, Lennie? It sure seems like it.

Tsk, tsk...I don't support the retention of the morse code test
for any radio operator license examination. :-)

>> Let it be. It has NOTHING to do with radio, let alone amateur
>> radio.
>
>THIS IS NOT A MODERATED FORUM, AND LEONARD H. ANDERSON, WHO HAS
>CRIED "FOUL" AT THE PERCEPTION OF BEING MODERATED BEFORE, HAS
>ZERO-POINT-ZERO RIGHT OR "AUTHORITY" TO DEMAND THAT ANYONE ELSE DISCUSS
>OR NOT DISCUSS >>ANY<< TOPIC.

Whooo-eeee. The Antichrist-wannabe must be on Hate Steroids!

[does the hospital pharmacy actually stock those things or are you
getting them illegally?]

So...you are now campaigning to rename this newsgroup to

rec.radio.anything.goes.because.we.are.pcta.extras?

Must be.

>> Or think about that final ride. Will it be "up" with that kind of
>> HATE boiling over? Or will it be "down?"
>
>What does it matter?
>
>If you're Jewish, there is no down. They don't teach about Hell
>in their doctrine.

Don't tell us...you are now going to say something like
"Sorry, Hans, Judaism >>IS<< amateur radio?" :-)

>The Catholics teach of different levels of "down". More like a
>little sideways and off to the side, and some time spent in Purgatory
>for certain kinds of sin can still get you absolved and into Heaven.

You don't have a Medical Doctorate? You are a Doctorate in
Theology?

Did you attend a Seminary or are you just whacking-off in your
head again?

>> I'm waiting for the next Sermon on the Antenna Mount.
>>
>> You know, where all have to worship at the Church of St. Hiram
>> and follow the ARRL's ayatollah and everyone love, honor, and
>> cherish morse code...
>
>You're still just upset that YOU are not the one on the cross that
>everyone is praising.

???

Were you talking about Bill Cross, formerly with the FCC? Or are
you just crass-cross today more than other days?

Cross your legs, little Stevie, we are short on nails today...

Want some diluted vinegar to slake your thirst? Oh, you are
already full of something and vinegar? [try not to make such a
mess again when you let go...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

949. This just in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 21:18:47 UTC
From: "Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c636a9b589c996a989691@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102465

Technology News Printable View
AOL to Drop Direct Usenet Access
January 26, 2005 10:06 PM EST
NEW YORK - America Online will stop providing direct access to Usenet newsgroups, one of the earliest forums on the Internet for people to discuss a large variety of topics from television shows to software to sex.

The move to drop AOL next month comes as usage of the service has shrunk to fewer than 1,000 users a month. AOL has some 23 million subscribers in the United States alone.

Dropping Usenet will let AOL focus more on more popular community features such as message boards, chat rooms and online journals, said AOL spokeswoman Jay Esmele.

AOL users still would be able to access Usenet groups from other providers, including Google Inc., which packages the newsgroups for free as "Google Groups."

Thousands of Usenet groups exist, covering a range of topics. They go by such names as "alt.tv.amazing-race," a discussion forum for the reality series "The Amazing Race." Though Usenet groups are generally text-based, some exist for exchanging photographs and music files.

950. Re: HAVE YOU HEARD THE GOOD NEWS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 21:46:52 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8LydnUD3KIBB_WTcRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 102466

>
> "Can God make a burrito so hot that he can't eat it? ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

How many 807s can dance on the head of a pin ??????

God Bless 73 Tom Popovic KI3R Belle Vernon Pa.

951. Re: 0994240077616602

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 21:49:52 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@dlloydsgrp.org>
Message-ID: <ctbnlc$b4d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The 3965 khz pirate will utilize a new additional freq. of
7427 khz, starting with the next broadcast."

"0000 000666" <63332@66508483.797> wrote in message
news:63332@66508483.797...
>9 9 0 7 0 0 7 4 3 2 5 3 0 3 9 1 9 6 2 2 6 2 5 1 3 1 0 5 2 4 9 2 4 9
> 0 4 0 7 9 3 0 5 7 0 8 7 2 8 3 5 0 9 7 5 1 1 7 1 7 9 5 6 1 9 6 2 4 6
> 0 3 0 0 9 8 1 7 5 3 5 8 8 5 7 6 1 8 8 8 0 5 8 5 1 0 5 8 2 0 4 2 3 5
> 3 3 3 4 0 9 8 6 7 6 1 5 3 3 4 1 2 4 6 0 0 8 1 6 6 3 6 1 6 0 6 9 3 0
> 4 3 0 2 9 7 9 1 3 2 5 7 4 7 1 0 8 2 9 9 8 5 3 4 6 9 4 3 9 7 4 4 1 4
> 6 1 2 6 2 5 9 8 3 3 5 4 4 3 7 3 2 5 8 5 9 5 5 4 8 5 1 3 9 3 7 6 4 9
> 2 7 5 1 5 8 5 1 3 9 4 0 3 7 5 2 3 5 7 8 9 6 4 1 9 4 5 6 0 9 6 3 7 1
> 5 2 0 0 3 3 0 8 3 4 5 9 6 8 4 4 7 4 0 1 6 0 5 1 6 6 1 3 9 8 5 5 0 5
> 5 4 8 6 2 2 0 8 1 7 6 6 1 3 1 2 5 7 2 1 9 9 7 0 3 7 9 8 2 0 3 8 4 2
> 4 7 4 5 5 6 3 2 3 5 6 4 7 1 2 0 3 2 0 0 3 3 7 2 1 9 2 5 7 7 7 2 5 2
> 8 0 9 1 3 2 6 9 7 4 0 9 5 3 2 5 4 5 8 2 8 0 1 0 5 9 8 3 2 3 6 0 4 5
> 2 7 8 9 6 5 3 7 5 9 1 7 4 5 3 3 7 1 4 9 1 9 9 0 3 1 3 9 2 8 4 4 5 3
> 3 2 8 7 9 4 7 0 1 2 6 4 5 4 5 9 4 7 9 3 8 2 5 1 2 8 0 7 2 5 0 6 7 9
> 4 7 3 1 8 4 3 5 9 8 9 5 8 4 3 8 8 1 0 4 3 2 2 3 9 4 9 0 1 7 9 5 4 2
> 6 3 7 9 8 7 8 8 2 6 2 6 5 1 7 6 5 0 9 7 4 9 2 3 9 3 0 8 8 5 1 4 8 8
> 4 6 5 2 5 8 9 8 4 4 9 2 1 5 2 0 2 7 9 4 0 8 8 0 7 6 5 8 1 3 7 6 0 2
> 8 6 1 8 4 6 3 4 8 4 9 1 4 2 8 3 6 8 2 5 9 9 2 5 8 3 8 5 9 9 8 8 5 0
> 7 0 6 0 4 5 4 3 6 8 5 5 2 2 4 5 8 4 5 0 9 3 4 8 9 4 7 7 2 3 8 9 3 4
> 0 8 0 4 2 6 3 8 2 6 1 7 1 9 1 6 9 0 0 3 8 9 7 6 7 0 0 5 0 4 0 0 2 0
> 4 4 7 8 3 9 4 4 7 5 3 8 2 2 8 2 6 7 2 3 3 9 4 3 5 4 7 5 4 0 5 9 5 3

952. TEst

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 21:58:12 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ctbo5jtme1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102469

Yup, it is a test

953. Re: Funny Morse Code Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-27 23:16:45 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <xDeKd.895$Pi.255@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"nana" <nana@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:35ol7cF4n1ji2U1@individual.net...
>
> "Dan" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:vzCJd.9937$rp1.3470@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> It took a heck of a long time and a lot of paper to get those tapes
>> right. Remember how we used to roll them around the fingers? Then hang
>> them on a peg on the wall? Yep.....dang I've been around a while.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> And then the only source was Telex tapes, then that went to glass and the
> supplies dried up. Then the prepunched papers got brittle and broke and we
> didn't have them backed up on cassette tape (remember that?)
>
> I still have a few pictures in a scrapbook. The only way to reproduce them
> these days would be to photograph them, and I should do that before they
> expire too. I shed a tear the day my 60 year old antique Model 15, 14
> Typing Reperf and 15TD went to the tip.
>
> Brad VK2QQ
>

I think I was the last active ham on RTTY in the mid 80s with a Model 19 set
actually on the air. I replaced it with a TRS80 and some IC chips. Somehow
it just wasn't the same..Especially missing were the LF CR bummpty bump bump
ding ding.

Dan/W4NTI

954. Re: TEst

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 01:34:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1106876055.437242.277760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102471

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Yup, it is a test

What altitude didja hit this time?

955. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 02:53:31 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <eeSdnRd5vt-MLmTcRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102473

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> It will be at 5.00 pm EST, 4.00 pm CST, 3.00 pm MST, 2.00 pm PST between
> 14.225 to 14.350 MHz.
>

Is that Saturday, Sunday, or both, or are we supposed to guess?

956. Lloyd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 03:09:18 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@lloydlloydtwo.com>
Message-ID: <ctcac8kfm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd 3865

Lloyd

957. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 03:52:12 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1IOdnf6oAJNDK2TcRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 102474

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41f73273$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> Opinion: A belief or conclusion held with confinfidence but not
> substantiated by proof (2) A judgement based on special KNOWLEDGE (3) A
> judgement or estimation
>

Please note that in definition 2, you have put the emphasis on the wrong word. The emphasis should be on JUDGEMENT not on knowledge. Note that various and conflicting judgements (opinions) can be formed from the same knowledge.

There is of course no rule that hams cannot be opinionated. There is of course no rule that they cannot discuss their opinions in the course of ordinary two way conversations. However the limited "broadcasting" allowed by part 97 specifies information bulletins. Opinions are not information although of course they may be derived from information.

958. Re: Lloyd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 12:33:18 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@lloydlloydtwo.com>
Message-ID: <ctdbdo$u0a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"john sumner" <johnps1964@aol.com> wrote in message
news:35tqogF4s5hvrU1@individual.net...
>
> Lloyd <Lloyd@lloydlloydtwo.com> wrote in message
> news:ctcac8kfm0@pita.alt.net...
>> Lloyd 3865
>>
>> Lloyd
>>
>>
> And your point is?????
>

Why did you drop r.r.a.p. ?

959. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 12:53:15 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f962c5$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102467

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
news:vemdnQb4Aem6t2TcRVn-1w@gbronline.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> > Where in the FCC rules and regulations does it state that it has to be a
> > news bulletin? I think that's where Amateurs have a misunderstanding.
The
> > term in the FCC rules and regulations state:
> >
> > 97.3(a)(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur
> > operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
> > amateur service.
>
>

It will be at 5.00 pm EST, 4.00 pm CST, 3.00 pm MST, 2.00 pm PST between
14.225 to 14.350 MHz.

Todd N9OGL

> So Toddyboy, what is your 20 meter schedule? We are waiting so we can
> tune in, even make contact. When are you going to post the schedule?
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

960. Re: HAVE YOU HEARD THE GOOD NEWS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 14:25:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106922359.359238.116290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102478

Len Anderson wrote:

> >Just the opposite, Lennie.
>
> Nah...you just HATE about everything. Tsk, tsk.
>
> >I am tired of the hate.
>
> So is everyone else, apparently. You love to HATE.
>
> Religion is apparently your new-found subject.

Lennie, I can see you're in the double-dose scumbag mode today.

Please re-direct your comments to someone who LIKES scumbags...Like
Mrs Lennie, for example.
BTW...do you actually kiss her with those lips?

Steve, K4YZ

961. Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 14:37:58 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ctdio6$rl6$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102479

Hey Len,

There is an ongoing Morse code thread over in rec.radio.swap. I don't know if you check in on that group, but if you want to, they have a good one going! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

962. Re: The Cassini Probe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 14:57:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106924271.795382.264900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102480

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1106626440.069167.27000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
"K4YZ"
> <Doctor Strangeglove, internist to the Antichrist> writes:
>
> >Then why do YOU keep brining them up, Lennie...?!?! No one here,
> >least of all me, ever cared about where your wife went to school or
> >what street corner you found her on.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Not a nice thing to say, is it?

Just keeping up with the Anderson's, Your Scumminess.

> Is this the "new" amateur's code? Insulting spouses besides
> trying to spew hatred on organized religion?

Being married to a pathological liar like you is it's own insult,
Lennie. Nothing else I could say or do can change that.

And putting the mirror up to some organized religions for what
they are is not "hatred". The fact that the majority of wars fought on
this planet are over religious ideology is not "hatred", it's fact.

There are thousands of Clerics, Rabbis, Priests, Ministers,
Shamans and hundreds of other "religious practitioners" who promote
various forms of violence every day.

This practice is not limitied to "foreign" practitioners, either.
Jerry Falwell, Jimmy Swaggart and others have done thier share of muck
raking over the years.

Here in the Chattanooga area, for example, the Seventh Day
Adventists have up several billboards around town chiding other faiths
for worshiping on Sundays when SATURDAY (in thier opinion) is the TRUE
sabbath.

Personally, I think if you're gonna practice ANY faith, EVERYday
should be your sabbath, but that's just me...You're welcome to your own
faith and beliefs...

There is nothing in the Christian Bible that says "Sunday" is the
sabbath. Nor does it say "Saturday".

> Is that the "new" method of "elmering" folks who might be
> interested in getting an amateur radio license? Must be...

This is not Amatuer Radio, Lennie, as you have been constantly
reminded of before.

> Radio amateurs the world over should be grate-full at these
> "new" methods of "increasing interest in ham radio." Really
> stokes the stove to imply a spouse was a prostie "working
> the streets."

Oh...As opposed to YOUR methods, Lennie? Ten years of slamming
anyone and everyone having ANYthing to do with Amateur Radio???

Please, Lennie...You've been asked over and over and over...PLEASE
tell us of YOUR "Elmering" or mentoring achievements in Radio over the
past 50 years...! ! ! !

> Go back to your Antichrist-wannabe slavering with Hatred.
> That becomes you more.

There's nothing "antichrist" about challenging organized religious
"practices" that are counter to the tenents of the faith BEING
practiced.

To suggest that my impatience with those who warp or take
advantage of the human desire to "believe" is foolhearty...

But then, you ARE RRAP's resident fool.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Putz x2 is still a putz.

Steve, K4YZ

963. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 15:26:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106925984.474174.179170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102481

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Hey Len,
>
> There is an ongoing Morse code thread over in rec.radio.swap. I
don't
> know if you check in on that group, but if you want to, they have a
good
> one going! 8^)

Be careful, Mike!

The Rec.Radio.Swap guys might rat us out to the EPA for letting
the bilgewater over the berm!

73

Steve, K4YZ

964. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 18:02:44 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <iNudndGtbJDZHGfcRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102483

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
> Never mind that BPL turns all of the
> house wiring, not just the distribution wiring, into a noise radiator,
> even if you're not a subscriber.
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
What if I don't want BPL signals on my house wiring, which I own,
interfering with radio reception in my house? Can I demand they keep
their BPL signals out of my private wiring?

965. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 18:08:01 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1106935681.948510.246680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102482

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >
> > Ham
> >Radio was dissed by the FCC as not being relevant enough to protect
from BPL
> >interference.
>
> That's an awfully strong statement -- please provide proof that the
FCC
> actually made that proclamation.
>
>From the Report and Order to 04-37, as reported in the ARRL Letter:

BEGIN QUOTE

"We recognize that some radio operations in the bands being used for
Access BPL, such as those of Amateur Radio licensees, may occur at
distances sufficiently close to power lines as to make harmful
interference a possibility,"

"We believe that
those situations can be addressed through interference avoidance
techniques by the Access BPL provider such as frequency band selection,
notching, or judicious device placement."

"In addition, because power lines inherently can radiate significant
noise
emissions as noted by NTIA and ARRL, good engineering practice is to
locate sensitive receiver antennas as far as practicable from power
lines,"

"such noise can often be avoided by carefully
locating their antennas; in many instances an antenna relocation of
only a
relatively short distance can resolve noise interference."

BPL operators would be required to avoid certain bands, such as those
used
for life and safety communications by aeronautical mobile or US Coast
Guard stations. The FCC R&O makes clear, however, that similar rules
will
not apply to the Amateur Service.

"We similarly do not find that Amateur Radio frequencies warrant the
special protection afforded frequencies reserved for international

aeronautical and maritime safety operations," the Commission said.
"While
we recognize that amateurs may on occasion assist in providing
emergency
communications," the FCC added. It described typical amateur operations
as
"routine communications and hobby activities." (

Although some cases of harmful interference may be possible from BPL
emissions at levels up to Part 15 limits, the FCC said, "we agree with
NTIA [National Telecommunications and Information Administration] that
the
benefits of Access BPL service warrant acceptance of a small and
manageable degree of interference risk." The Commission reiterated in
the
R&O its belief that BPL's public benefits "are sufficiently important
and
significant so as to outweigh the limited potential for increased
harmful
interference that may arise."

Further, the new rules spell out the locations of "small geographic
exclusion zones" as well as excluded bands or frequencies--concessions
made primarily at the insistence of the NTIA, which administers radio
spectrum for federal government users--and "coordination areas" where
BPL
operators must "precoordinate" spectrum use. The rules also detail
techniques to measure BPL emissions from system equipment and power
lines.

The FCC said it expects "good faith" on both sides in the event of
interference complaints. While the Commission said it expects BPL
operators to take every interference complaint seriously and to
diagnose
the possible cause of interference quickly, it also suggested that
complainants have responsibilities.

"At the same time, we expect the complainant to have first taken
reasonable steps to confirm that interference, rather than a receiver
system malfunction, is occurring and, to the extent practicable, to
determine that the interference source is located outside the
complainant's premises," the Commission said.

Shutting down a BPL system in response to a valid interference
complaint
"would be a last resort when all other efforts to satisfactorily reduce
interference have failed," the FCC said.

END QUOTE

While the FCC paid lip service to amateur radio's role in public
service communications, they did not see fit to protect the ARS from

BPL interference. Instead, we are supposed to "relocate sensitive receiving antennas" and have
good faith in the BPL providers. Never mind that BPL turns all of the house wiring, not just the distribution wiring, into a noise radiator, even if you're not a subscriber. And never mind that many hams do not live on large unencumbered properties where antenna location can be chosen freely.

Basically the message is that the Administration, through its appointees in the FCC, sees the need for BPL as being more important than the ARS.

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

966. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 19:12:06 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f9bb51$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102475

Sorry Saturday at those times.

Todd N9OGL
"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
news:eeSdnRd5vt-MLmTcRVn-iQ@gbronline.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
>
> > It will be at 5.00 pm EST, 4.00 pm CST, 3.00 pm MST, 2.00 pm PST between
> > 14.225 to 14.350 MHz.
> >
>
>
> Is that Saturday, Sunday, or both, or are we supposed to guess?
>
>

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

967. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-28 19:34:58 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41f9c0ac$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102476

Again, I think the only way to solve this problem as I stated before is to
have the FCC clarify the rules only then will this problem be resolved.
untill then I will continue to inform Amateurs with my opinions about issues
in amateur radio.

Todd N9OGL

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1IOdnf6oAJNDK2TcRVn-jQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41f73273$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> > Opinion: A belief or conclusion held with confinfidence but not
> > substantiated by proof (2) A judgement based on special KNOWLEDGE (3) A
> > judgement or estimation
> >
>
> Please note that in definition 2, you have put the emphasis on the wrong
> word. The emphasis should be on JUDGEMENT not on knowledge. Note that
> various and conflicting judgements (opinions) can be formed from the same
> knowledge.
>
> There is of course no rule that hams cannot be opinionated. There is of
> course no rule that they cannot discuss their opinions in the course of
> ordinary two way conversations. However the limited "broadcasting"
allowed
> by part 97 specifies information bulletins. Opinions are not information
> although of course they may be derived from information.
>
>
>

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

968. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 00:35:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050128193513.04201.00000070@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 102484

In article <iNudndGtbJDZHGfcRVn-rw@gbronline.com>, Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>
>> Never mind that BPL turns all of the
>> house wiring, not just the distribution wiring, into a noise radiator,
>> even if you're not a subscriber.
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>What if I don't want BPL signals on my house wiring, which I own,
>interfering with radio reception in my house? Can I demand they keep
>their BPL signals out of my private wiring?
>
(Standard "I am not a lawyer" disclaimer HERE)

Seems to me that the first thing you'd have to do is *prove* that the BPL is causing you "harmful interference". Then you'd have to let the BPL providers do whatever they can to reduce or eliminate it - and FCC expects you to show "good faith" and cooperate with them. And even if the interference is not eliminated, FCC may or may not force the BPL folks to do anything about it besides trying to solve the problem.

73 de Jim, N2EY

969. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 00:46:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7pydnRxF09lmQWfcRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102485

N2EY wrote:
> In article <iNudndGtbJDZHGfcRVn-rw@gbronline.com>, Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Never mind that BPL turns all of the
>>>house wiring, not just the distribution wiring, into a noise radiator,
>>>even if you're not a subscriber.
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>
>>What if I don't want BPL signals on my house wiring, which I own,
>>interfering with radio reception in my house? Can I demand they keep
>>their BPL signals out of my private wiring?
>>
>
> (Standard "I am not a lawyer" disclaimer HERE)
>
> Seems to me that the first thing you'd have to do is *prove* that the BPL is
> causing you "harmful interference". Then you'd have to let the BPL providers do
> whatever they can to reduce or eliminate it - and FCC expects you to show "good
> faith" and cooperate with them. And even if the interference is not eliminated,
> FCC may or may not force the BPL folks to do anything about it besides trying
> to solve the problem.

And so what then happens when in the normal course of your station
operation, you interfere with your neighbor kid's porn downloads? Same
rules apply?

- Mike KB3EIA -

970. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 00:50:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <bPWdnTZbYKVDQGfcRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102486

K4YZ wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>Hey Len,
>>
>> There is an ongoing Morse code thread over in rec.radio.swap. I
>
> don't
>
>>know if you check in on that group, but if you want to, they have a
>
> good
>
>>one going! 8^)
>
>
> Be careful, Mike!
>
> The Rec.Radio.Swap guys might rat us out to the EPA for letting
> the bilgewater over the berm!

hehe, I'm just trying to help Len out - it seems no one here wants to argue about Morse code testing, and it seems to bother some people.

- Mike KB3EIA -

971. Re: Huygens Probe (Was Re: The Cassini Probe)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 00:52:18 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ib1rf6r.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102487

On 26 Jan 2005 23:36:09 -0800, K4YZ wrote:

>> Here's where I have to rain on your parade, Steve.

>Phil, where did you think you were raining on anything?
>
>Where were we discussing UCLA?

You were discussing "extension" courses as "correspondence"
courses. You wrote:

An "extension course" >>IS<< a "correspondence course".

My discourse on UCLA showed that "extension courses" were NOT
necessarily "correspondence courses" and in fact UCLA (the
mega-university with which I am most familiar) never even had
correspondence courses although it had a vast array of extension
courses.

>What is the price of tea in China?

Never been there although I am a regular tea drinker....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

972. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 01:01:23 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ib1rubs.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102488

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 11:02:44 -0700, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

>What if I don't want BPL signals on my house wiring, which I own,
>interfering with radio reception in my house? Can I demand they keep
>their BPL signals out of my private wiring?

Of course you can demand it. Whether they do so is another matter.

It is identical to the neighbor saying "I want that ham to keep his
radio signals from going through or crossing the space above my
house".

Don't open that can of worms.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

973. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 01:56:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050128205651.09077.00000008@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 102489

In article <7pydnRxF09lmQWfcRVn-qg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> Seems to me that the first thing you'd have to do is *prove* that the BPL
>is
>> causing you "harmful interference". Then you'd have to let the BPL
>providers do
>> whatever they can to reduce or eliminate it - and FCC expects you to show
>"good
>> faith" and cooperate with them. And even if the interference is not
>eliminated,
>> FCC may or may not force the BPL folks to do anything about it besides
>trying
>> to solve the problem.
>
> And so what then happens when in the normal course of your station
>operation, you interfere with your neighbor kid's porn downloads? Same
>rules apply?

Who knows?

As I understand it, the old concepts worked like this:

One of the prime directives of the FCC was to protect the various radio services from interference. This meant both interference between different radio services, and interference from other electrical devices. Licensed radio servics *always* had priority over nonradio electrical devices.

For example, if you had a business that used an RF-based heatsealing machine, and the machine radiated RF that interfered with someone's radio operations, you'd be required to shield it so no harmful interference resulted, or shut down.

In almost all cases, methods of interference abatement have been developed. The RF-based heatsealing machines were shielded to the point that they didn't radiate enough to interfere, and their frequencies of operation chosen to avoid common problems if some RF did leak out.

These rules usually worked OK for point sources of RF in industrial environments. But BPL is neither a point source, nor is it usually meant for industrial environments.

What's different about how FCC has addressed BPL is that the potential for interference is not only obvious, it's been demonstrated - and remediation techniques are very limited, because the power lines make good antennas by their very nature! Yet FCC allows BPL to exist, probably because it's more a political decision than an engineering one.

73 de Jim, N2EY

974. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 02:05:14 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ib1usqu.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102490

On 29 Jan 2005 00:35:13 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>>What if I don't want BPL signals on my house wiring, which I own,
>>interfering with radio reception in my house? Can I demand they keep
>>their BPL signals out of my private wiring?
>>
>(Standard "I am not a lawyer" disclaimer HERE)

I don't have that problem. My disclaimer is that I'm not "your"
(generic plural) lawyer <ggg>

>Seems to me that the first thing you'd have to do is *prove* that the BPL is
>causing you "harmful interference". Then you'd have to let the BPL providers do
>whatever they can to reduce or eliminate it - and FCC expects you to show "good
>faith" and cooperate with them. And even if the interference is not eliminated,
>FCC may or may not force the BPL folks to do anything about it besides trying
>to solve the problem.

Nah - that's a solution to a different problem.

What he wanted is for them to keep the signals out of his house on
demand. PERIOD. No reason need be given.

That's a different bucket of worms.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

975. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 02:32:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <S7GdnUqsS6tdaGfcRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102491

Phil Kane wrote:

> On 29 Jan 2005 00:35:13 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>
>>>What if I don't want BPL signals on my house wiring, which I own,
>>>interfering with radio reception in my house? Can I demand they keep
>>>their BPL signals out of my private wiring?
>>>
>>
>>(Standard "I am not a lawyer" disclaimer HERE)
>
>
> I don't have that problem. My disclaimer is that I'm not "your"
> (generic plural) lawyer <ggg>
>
>>Seems to me that the first thing you'd have to do is *prove* that the BPL is
>>causing you "harmful interference". Then you'd have to let the BPL providers do
>>whatever they can to reduce or eliminate it - and FCC expects you to show "good
>>faith" and cooperate with them. And even if the interference is not eliminated,
>>FCC may or may not force the BPL folks to do anything about it besides trying
>>to solve the problem.
>
>
> Nah - that's a solution to a different problem.
>
> What he wanted is for them to keep the signals out of his house on
> demand. PERIOD. No reason need be given.
>
> That's a different bucket of worms.

Sure enough, Phil. But would this situation include:

Shortwave stations
Domestic broadcast stations
Service comms - police, safety, ambulance, etc
Other folks rf radiators - wireless telephones, wireless
headphones.
And the most prevalent RF - cellphones.
Cosmic rays
The background radiation of the universe

Lots of other RF trespass if we stop to think about it.

Seems that if a person were to concentrate on Amateur radio, they would
be discriminating against one group.

Since there is no way to eliminate all the RF that a person might have on "their" property, perhaps a yard sized Faraday cage is in order? 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

976. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 03:09:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050128220922.06358.00000085@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 102492

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.ib1usqu.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 29 Jan 2005 00:35:13 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>>What if I don't want BPL signals on my house wiring, which I own,
>>>interfering with radio reception in my house? Can I demand they keep
>>>their BPL signals out of my private wiring?
>>>
>>(Standard "I am not a lawyer" disclaimer HERE)
>
> I don't have that problem. My disclaimer is that I'm not "your"
> (generic plural) lawyer <ggg>

HAW!!
>
>>Seems to me that the first thing you'd have to do is *prove* that the BPL is
>>causing you "harmful interference". Then you'd have to let the BPL providers
>>do
>>whatever they can to reduce or eliminate it - and FCC expects you to show
>>"good
>>faith" and cooperate with them. And even if the interference is not
>>eliminated,
>>FCC may or may not force the BPL folks to do anything about it besides
>>trying
>>to solve the problem.
>
> Nah - that's a solution to a different problem.

I see your point - I was addressing the problem if interference did actually happen.

> What he wanted is for them to keep the signals out of his house on
> demand. PERIOD. No reason need be given.

> That's a different bucket of worms.

Yup.

Kinda like the person who objected to the installation of the cable TV coax on the poles at the front of his property. His objection was that the cable carried stuff like the "Playboy Channel". Never mind that he wasn't a cable subscriber, and that the pole line easements predated his ownership of the property - he didn't want his property used to distribute such programs in any way!

Of course you can guess how much legal water that objection held...

73 de Jim, N2EY

977. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 05:30:14 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ib24aew.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102495

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 21:32:32 -0500, Mike Coslo wrote:

>> That's a different bucket of worms.
>
> Sure enough, Phil. But would this situation include:
>
> Shortwave stations
> Domestic broadcast stations
> Service comms - police, safety, ambulance, etc
> Other folks rf radiators - wireless telephones, wireless
> headphones.
> And the most prevalent RF - cellphones.
> Cosmic rays
> The background radiation of the universe
>
> Lots of other RF trespass if we stop to think about it.
>
>Seems that if a person were to concentrate on Amateur radio, they would
>be discriminating against one group.

That's the joy of the trespass laws - the property owner can
discriminate all s/he wants as long as the property is not involved
in commerce or open to the public.

>Since there is no way to eliminate all the RF that a person might have
>on "their" property, perhaps a yard sized Faraday cage is in order? 8^)

Or individual aluminum-foil hats......

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

978. 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 05:35:12 UTC
From: Dracos <Dracos@JSC.org>
Message-ID: <41fb1df1$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 102493

Listen to 3945 kHz LSB

These individuals violate the regulations regarding profanity on the HF band.

They are acting like those CBers

And there's one callsign that should be changed --
N1SOB in Palm Beach, FL.

The group was bad mouthing someone on the Liberty Net named "Victor" (Net Control Station).
Saying that, "Victor is an ass. He thinks he's God and the Net is the best thing since sliced bread."

One station calls his wife a "Real Estate Bitch"
A real family oriented ...he isn't, mmm-kay.

Another station comes in from Connecticut and everyone gets all friendly until he leaves, then they start their trash-talking.

979. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 05:39:17 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ib24phx.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102494

On 29 Jan 2005 03:09:22 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>Kinda like the person who objected to the installation of the cable TV coax on
>the poles at the front of his property. His objection was that the cable
>carried stuff like the "Playboy Channel". Never mind that he wasn't a cable
>subscriber, and that the pole line easements predated his ownership of the
>property - he didn't want his property used to distribute such programs in any
>way!

Too bad if either the deed granted a utility easement (which most deeds
have) or a default easement (equivalent to "squatter's rights") was
created by the utility occupying that area.

As long as the dominent tenement (the easement-holder) is doing what
the easement describes or some other lawful act in furtherance of
same, the servient tenement (the easement-granter) is SOL.

Do not confuse those tenements with a similar-named type of housing
in which I grew up.

>Of course you can guess how much legal water that objection held...

Yup...

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

980. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 08:17:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106986649.013273.129320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102496

Len Anderson wrote:

> Seems like Rev. Jim...(SNIP)

Who is Rev. Jim?

No one here signs anything "Rev. Jim" except you, Lennie.

> Lately we've all been treated to the rantings of an Antichrist-
> wannabe (aka Doctor Strangeglove)

Who's "Antichrist-Wannabe" or "Dr. Strangelove"...???

No one here signs anything like that.

> Apparently Rev. Jim is displeased that anyone has opinions
> counter to his own ultraconservatism (keeping amateur radio
> safe and happy with good old fashioned morse code).

So far the ONLY person expressing any form of angst about having
opinions differed with is you, Lennie.

> Excuse me, I have to go meet my wife "on the streets." (the
> street in front of her hairdresser's) Doctor Strangeglove thinks
> I first met her "on the streets."

I think it's so noble of you to have given her a place to stay and
out of the rain, Lennie. Bravo. And without any sex shared. Double
bravo.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
Still on the 2-for-1 deal, Lennie?

Steve, K4YZ

981. iss qso wed 2feb 1254z on echolink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 08:35:36 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <41fc4aad.3162168@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 102497

iss qso wed 2feb 1254z on echolink
Enter text for message, end with Ctrl-Z or /EX on a blank line :
iss qso wed 2nd feb 2005 1254 utc

i will relay this qso in the amsat conference room at 1254utc
anyone in europe can also listen direct to the iss on the downlink
frequency 145.800 fm the qso should last about 10mins

73 ian

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi

1132 Air Training Corps Squadron (Stalham), Norwich Norfolk England
direct via
GB2ATC.
Contact is a go for Wed 2005-02-02 12:54 UTC 67 deg

Proposed questions for the Air Training Corps:
1. How did you celebrate new years eve?
2. How do you sleep and when?
3. Where you able to see the Tsunami disaster?
4. Who inspired you to become an astronaut?
5. Who were your roll models from space exploration?
6. Which are the largest man man object you can see?
7. What is your favourite experience in space to date?
8. Did you ever want to do anything other than be an astronaut?
9. What do you eat in space and what does it taste like?
10. What is the biggest object you can see over Britain?
11. What type of drinks do you have aboard?
12. What is your favourite view from space?
13. What do you do in your spare time?
14. What tests are you doing at present?
15. What TV can you watch in space?
16. When are you due to arrive back on earth?
17. Would you like to visit another planet?
18. What time zone do you observe in space?
19. What is your height at the moment?
20. What speed are you traveling?

73 Ian G3ZHI

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - many ham radio links

http://www.ukirlp.co.uk

G4NJI IRLP 5200 Echolink 135909
Rotherham simplex 145.2875mhz

GB3XN IRLP 5708 Echolink 153126
Langold 430.925 Mhz

Mobile 0783 338 0578

982. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 09:51:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1106992292.924982.64980@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102499

N2EY wrote:

. . . .

>
> For example, if you had a business that used an RF-based heatsealing
machine,
> and the machine radiated RF that interfered with someone's radio
operations,
> you'd be required to shield it so no harmful interference resulted,
or shut
> down.
>

'Scuse the silly nit-picking here but those unlicensed old open-cabinet induction heat-sealing machines operated around 27 Mhz back when licensed hams also operated on those freqs. If I recall it right problem was that 11M was a shared band and us licensed types had no legal bitch on heat-sealing machine RFI, it was live with it or go play on some other ham band.

But eventually the FCC lowered the boom on the heat-sealing machines. Then they tossed us out of the band and turned it over to the CBers. Which at the time was (temporarily) another licensed service. Ah, the webs "they" weave . . !

. . . .

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

983. Re: 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 12:43:35 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <_tSdnZ8uy_9lGWbcRVn-gA@crocker.com>
Source record: 102498

you can bad mouth them in here all you like and it won't do a damn thing...
record them, track them to verify those are their calls, then give them to
riley.

"Dracos" <Dracos@JSC.org> wrote in message
news:41fb1df1$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net...
> Listen to 3945 kHz LSB
>
> These individuals violate the regulations regarding profanity on the HF
> band.
>
> They are acting like those CBers
>
> And there's one callsign that should be changed --
> N1SOB in Palm Beach, FL.
>
>
> The group was bad mouthing someone on the Liberty Net named "Victor" (Net
> Control Station).
> Saying that, "Victor is an ass. He thinks he's God and the Net is the best
> thing since sliced bread."
>
> One station calls his wife a "Real Estate Bitch"
> A real family oriented ...he isn't, mmm-kay.
>
> Another station comes in from Connecticut and everyone gets all friendly
> until he leaves, then they start their trash-talking.
>
>
>
>

984. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 13:17:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3sKdnaWWLpx_EWbcRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102500

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 21:32:32 -0500, Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>> That's a different bucket of worms.
>>
>> Sure enough, Phil. But would this situation include:
>>
>> Shortwave stations
>> Domestic broadcast stations
>> Service comms - police, safety, ambulance, etc
>> Other folks rf radiators - wireless telephones, wireless
>> headphones.
>> And the most prevalent RF - cellphones.
>> Cosmic rays
>> The background radiation of the universe
>>
>> Lots of other RF trespass if we stop to think about it.
>>
>>Seems that if a person were to concentrate on Amateur radio, they would
>>be discriminating against one group.
>
>
> That's the joy of the trespass laws - the property owner can
> discriminate all s/he wants as long as the property is not involved
> in commerce or open to the public.
>
>
>>Since there is no way to eliminate all the RF that a person might have
>>on "their" property, perhaps a yard sized Faraday cage is in order? 8^)
>
>
> Or individual aluminum-foil hats......

A much better suggestion! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

985. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 13:31:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050129083101.01270.00000075@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 102501

In article <1106986649.013273.129320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>Len Anderson wrote:
>
>> Seems like Rev. Jim...(SNIP)
>
>Who is Rev. Jim?
>
>No one here signs anything "Rev. Jim" except you, Lennie.

Maybe Len *is* Rev. Jim. After all, he's been nocwtest, averyfine, averyfineman, lenof21, lenover21, and probably several more we haven't recognized yet.

>
>> Lately we've all been treated to the rantings of an Antichrist-
>> wannabe (aka Doctor Strangeglove)
>
>Who's "Antichrist-Wannabe" or "Dr. Strangelove"...???
>
>No one here signs anything like that.
>
Perhaps another alias of Len's?

More likely, they're Len's imaginary playmates.

>> Apparently Rev. Jim is displeased that anyone has opinions
>> counter to his own ultraconservatism (keeping amateur radio
>> safe and happy with good old fashioned morse code).
>
>So far the ONLY person expressing any form of angst about having
>opinions differed with is you, Lennie.

Is there something wrong with wanting to keep amateur radio safe and happy? Len seems to think there is. What does that tell you?

As for "ultraconservative" - that's not me at all.

>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>Still on the 2-for-1 deal, Lennie?
>
New York New York - so nice they named it twice...

73 de Jim, N2EY

986. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 13:31:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050129083101.01270.00000076@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 102502

In article <1106992292.924982.64980@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
kelly@dvol.com writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>. . . .
>
>> For example, if you had a business that used an RF-based heatsealing
>> machine,
>> and the machine radiated RF that interfered with someone's radio
>> operations,
>> you'd be required to shield it so no harmful interference resulted,
>> or shut down.

>'Scuse the silly nit-picking here but those unlicensed old open-cabinet
>induction heat-sealing machines operated around 27 Mhz back when
>licensed hams also operated on those freqs. If I recall it right
>problem was that 11M was a shared band and us licensed types had no
>legal bitch on heat-sealing machine RFI, it was live with it or go play
>on some other ham band.

Yep - that was the old ISM band (industrial-scientific-medical). Remember
diathermy machines?

The problem was that those machines were often spectrally unclean. Harmonics
all over the place - including high band VHF mobile. And there was often nobody
in the plant who really understood how they worked or checked up on whether
they were still in the band.

knew an operation in the '60s that had a rather interesting visit from
Philadelphia's Finest because their machine had a harmonic on the dispatch
channel. Commissioner and later Mayor Rizzo was *not* pleased...

>But eventually the FCC lowered the boom on the heat-sealing machines.
>Then they tossed us out of the band and turned it over to the CBers.
>Which at the time was (temporarily) another licensed service. Ah, the
>webs "they" weave . . !

Yup.

btw, Chambersburg dumped their BPL proposal. See the ARRL website - local
opposition caused the town fathers to look elsewhere for broadband.

73 de Jim, N2EY

987. Re: Huygens Probe (Was Re: The Cassini Probe)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 14:08:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107007689.960211.46840@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102503

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 26 Jan 2005 23:36:09 -0800, K4YZ wrote:
>
> >> Here's where I have to rain on your parade, Steve.
>
> >Phil, where did you think you were raining on anything?
> >
> >Where were we discussing UCLA?
>
> You were discussing "extension" courses as "correspondence"
> courses. You wrote:
>
> An "extension course" >>IS<< a "correspondence course".
>
> My discourse on UCLA showed that "extension courses" were NOT
> necessarily "correspondence courses" and in fact UCLA (the
> mega-university with which I am most familiar) never even had
> correspondence courses although it had a vast array of extension
> courses.

And I can show where there are intersections in the United States
where it's OK to make a left turn on red. Does that mean EVERY
intersection facilitates a left turn on red? (Yes...I said left...not
right...)

> >What is the price of tea in China?
>
> Never been there although I am a regular tea drinker....

Iced tea for me, although a hot cup occassionally breaks up the
coffee routine!

73

Steve, K4YZ

988. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 15:31:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050129103101.19064.00000096@mb-m12.aol.com>
Source record: 102504

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.ib24phx.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 29 Jan 2005 03:09:22 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>Kinda like the person who objected to the installation of the cable TV coax
>on
>>the poles at the front of his property. His objection was that the cable
>>carried stuff like the "Playboy Channel". Never mind that he wasn't a cable
>>subscriber, and that the pole line easements predated his ownership of the
>>property - he didn't want his property used to distribute such programs in
>any
>>way!
>
> Too bad if either the deed granted a utility easement (which most deeds
> have) or a default easement (equivalent to "squatter's rights") was
> created by the utility occupying that area.

Utility easement from wayback.

> As long as the dominent tenement (the easement-holder) is doing what
> the easement describes or some other lawful act in furtherance of
> same, the servient tenement (the easement-granter) is SOL.

We had a classic case of this in my old neighborhood, atop RadioTelegraph Hill.

Water company bought up several lots on one street and built an above ground water tank on two of them. Kept the other two lots for expansion if a second tank was ever needed. Fenced the front of the property but not the back.

Property owners who backed up to the vacant water company area were allowed to use the ground but not put up anything permanent. They kept the grass cut and the weeds down, and there was no vandalism.

But after 20 years the water co. had to build a fence and kick the neighbors off, even though they offered to sign legal papers disavowing any claim to the land or right of access to it. Water co. was afraid of establishing a precedent and a default easement, which in PA happens if you allow something for 21 years. Also liability, which was becoming more of an issue in those days (early 1970s).

> Do not confuse those tenements with a similar-named type of housing
> in which I grew up.
>
>>Of course you can guess how much legal water that objection held...
>
> Yup...

>
OK, how about this one - actually had this discussion with a lawyer back in the old analog-cordless-phone days(!):

Drug dealer operates out of a house unsuspected by neighbors because the operation is so well concealed. But the dealer makes a mistake and gets one of those first-generation no-security cordless phones

989. Re: 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 17:26:40 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <kHPKd.20918$wi2.2223@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102505

"Dracos" <Dracos@JSC.org> wrote in message
news:41fb1df1$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net...
> Listen to 3945 kHz LSB
>
> These individuals violate the regulations regarding profanity on the HF
> band.
>
> They are acting like those CBers
>

No. They are acting like amateur radio operators who are stretching the
limits regarding the use of profanity while on the *air* (uh, not just HF).
;)

>
> And there's one callsign that should be changed --
> N1SOB in Palm Beach, FL.
>

And, why is that? N1SOB seems to be a valid call, issued to a "Thomas A.
Whatley." Why would he have to change his callsign?

Kim W5TIT...another ;)

990. Re: 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 18:01:05 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <nLmdnUV7RaLjUmbcRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 102506

"Dracos" <Dracos@JSC.org> wrote in message
news:41fb1df1$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net...
> Listen to 3945 kHz LSB
>
> These individuals violate the regulations regarding profanity on the HF
> band.
>

Check part 97, it only bans indecent and obscene speech. Nothing about vulgarity or profanity. The ARRL publication of the FCC rules cites past FCC cases and opinions as to what constitutes indecent or obscene speech. To summarize very briefly, it is considered obscene or indecent when terms widely considered to be offensive are used to describe sexual activity/organs or excretory activity.

> They are acting like those CBers
>
> And there's one callsign that should be changed --
> N1SOB in Palm Beach, FL.
>
>
> The group was bad mouthing someone on the Liberty Net named "Victor" (Net
> Control Station).
> Saying that, "Victor is an ass. He thinks he's God and the Net is the best
> thing since sliced bread."
>

While vulgar and in bad taste, this isn't obscene or indecent. And it is not profanity.

> One station calls his wife a "Real Estate Bitch"
> A real family oriented ...he isn't, mmm-kay.
>

Again this is vulgar and in bad taste but it hardly fits the definitions of obscene or indecent. And once again, it is not profanity. I certainly would not speak so as I was raised better than that. I wouldn't even say things like this in private and as far as that goes, I avoid even thinking in vulgarities, etc.

> Another station comes in from Connecticut and everyone gets all friendly
> until he leaves, then they start their trash-talking.
>

Best thing to do is avoid such "nets." Much as we dislike them, they are probably on the legal side of that fine line.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

991. Re: dsphsvpjjhnunweo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 18:22:40 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@mrbroadbandguy.net>
Message-ID: <ctgk8lbtm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The 3965 khz pirate will start doing simo broadcasts utilizing Echo Link.
Cool! Wonder if any other pirates have ever attempted a multi-station
coast-to-coast hook up broadcast?

Lloyd

"mwly hbocww" <qblzd@zgirosek.fik> wrote in message
news:aqlss@xodwweky.bgp...
>P P B F T U U A M P Q W Z Y J T M G X A T M H Y A C H K T V F J L H
> O F B I F N X W J W U T P H Z M H S Z O R A Q Y K J U P S F X G L Y
> P R M M N W I I Q Y P P L W I L L Z L B Y V L S L E Y J L J H A A U
> N O Q W W H U L W G I F R U F C W D Y H W K M V T X E B Y F W L U N
> H Q U C C Q I L W Z F B C B F A J B L V W E T B G R H C C B P K S J
> M V A V G X U M Y X O D X X F J T B O M D U D K X G M N Q E W D Z X
> Y G U T T T Q H X O F C X Y E L K H G N S D T E R K J O N J L M Q G
> F J Z W Q X K V Z H T D T E L A R D E L H V W R J J A V V Q B B Z B
> X Q Y H M Y P G B J K M J C Q O N X J J P S T P O P F P R F R O V Q
> W H O M N P V Y C F A S T O Q D Y F V R V J H A Z Y F R M B H J J V
> V X L R V O X W H R K Y U I D Q A Z A H Z A F F R S H Y C Q T X N F
> P J T N F A E P Y Z Y C P Y B Q F B Q L H H D O F F F Z D T E T C Y
> H I Y L Y X L W Z A U B Q A D H L K O P Z U V F U Z Y Y T B X A J V
> M I S X E S Y Z T P Z W W L H L B G G W L A W K Z P M W Q V R C D K
> A I C Y H W N H T K S A W T H C Q T C M D B C P Y S L Q U P A V Y D
> T F A H M T S F U O Z C Q Q V T D Z V F P T X B J S Q K N P O H U P
> P H J H N D V M F M D B F G A B L Q U J R E C I P Q X E X R T M Z C
> U M G P Z M C D N I J O J U E E E V I G D Y X A C U S V H S Y B E E
> R E Q T H E C R S L L W P Q S Y W W W T X Z O P V W H T Y M X Q R O
> K Z S M Q L X C I M S A L P X H J U H Y J C U R V T D T J U I T U B

992. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 18:40:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <U-KdnVAd8oUYR2bcRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102508

Phil Kane wrote:

> On 29 Jan 2005 00:35:13 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>
>>>What if I don't want BPL signals on my house wiring, which I own,
>>>interfering with radio reception in my house? Can I demand they keep
>>>their BPL signals out of my private wiring?
>>>
>>
>>(Standard "I am not a lawyer" disclaimer HERE)
>
>
> I don't have that problem. My disclaimer is that I'm not "your"
> (generic plural) lawyer <ggg>
>
>>Seems to me that the first thing you'd have to do is *prove* that the BPL is
>>causing you "harmful interference". Then you'd have to let the BPL providers do
>>whatever they can to reduce or eliminate it - and FCC expects you to show "good
>>faith" and cooperate with them. And even if the interference is not eliminated,
>>FCC may or may not force the BPL folks to do anything about it besides trying
>>to solve the problem.
>
>
> Nah - that's a solution to a different problem.
>
> What he wanted is for them to keep the signals out of his house on
> demand. PERIOD. No reason need be given.
>
> That's a different bucket of worms.

No,I want them to keep the signal off my house wiring, which I own. My house wiring is connected, at my choosing, to the power system in order to receive the AC supplied by the power companyu for my use, which I pay for. I do not have a need for any BPL signals on my house wiring and I should have the right to demand they not be there. The fact that I own the house wiring should be enough reason for me to say what is or isn't put on that house wiring.

993. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 19:25:24 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ib36yc0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102509

On 29 Jan 2005 01:51:32 -0800, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>'Scuse the silly nit-picking here

Ah!! Something that I can join in with....

>but those unlicensed old open-cabinet
>induction heat-sealing machines operated around 27 Mhz back when
>licensed hams also operated on those freqs. If I recall it right
>problem was that 11M was a shared band and us licensed types had no
>legal bitch on heat-sealing machine RFI, it was live with it or go play
>on some other ham band.
>
>But eventually the FCC lowered the boom on the heat-sealing machines.

The major problem with the old-style heat sealers was the harmonics
which were generated - the fundamental frequency swept upwards as
the plasitic melted and the fifth harmonics fell in the aviation band.

Upon complaint from the FAA backed up by FCC field measurments, the
local FCC Engineer in Charge (now called District Director) has the
legal authority to issue a Cease and Desist Order closing down not
only the machine in question but the entire site until the site was
certified harmonic-free and so verified by an overflight of the
FAA's (notorious) instrumentation plane, usually piloted by a
someone we called - with sufficient reason - "Vertical John". We
always declined to accompany him....

We didn't like to use that authority too often because it would result
in the unemployment of a lot of minimum-wage immigrant employees.

>Then they tossed us out of the band and turned it over to the CBers.
>Which at the time was (temporarily) another licensed service. Ah, the
>webs "they" weave . . !

In reality, it came about when the ITU designated the band 27.12 +/-
160 kHz for "Industrial, Scientific, and Medical" and in came the
heat sealers, diathermy machines, and similar noise generators. That
resulted in 11 meters being turned into an electronic garbage can.
The CBers got it on the basis of "if you can use it for any local
communications through all the garbage, go ahead and do it".

The only reason that any communications can be conducted on that
band now is that all the shielding, bypassing, and grounding
necessary to comply with the harmonic elimination requirement also
keeps the fundamental from being radiated.

A ham for whom I was the "elmer" some 30+ years ago became the test engineer at the Varian Tube division that manufactures the wastebasket-sized tubes for ultra high power uses, and Ray swore that someday he was going to design a test jig that would have all the harmonic suppression but permit the unlimited field radiation allowed for ISM devices on 27.12 MHz, thereby solving the "CB problem" for about one-third of the US.

He never did.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

994. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 19:34:20 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ib37d81.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102510

On 29 Jan 2005 15:31:01 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>OK, how about this one - actually had this discussion with a lawyer
>back in the old analog-cordless-phone days(!):
>
>Drug dealer operates out of a house unsuspected by neighbors because the
>operation is so well concealed. But the dealer makes a mistake and gets
>one of those first-generation no-security cordless phones

And the rest of the question ??

Taking a WAG, in "the old days" it was not unlawful to intercept and
use as evidence the conversations from the base unit of a cordless
phone - no warrant was necessary. The (Federal) ECPA was amended a
number of years ago to require a warrant for the above, same as for
cellphone conversations.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

995. Re: 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 20:16:35 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <DaSKd.1947$S3.1598@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102511

>>
>
>
> Check part 97, it only bans indecent and obscene speech. Nothing about
> vulgarity or profanity. The ARRL publication of the FCC rules cites past
> FCC cases and opinions as to what constitutes indecent or obscene speech.
> To summarize very briefly, it is considered obscene or indecent when terms
> widely considered to be offensive are used to describe sexual
> activity/organs or excretory activity.

You can say any words except for George Carlin's list
of "7 dirty words you can't say on TV". Bad taste isn't
illegal, just not good. Some people never made it out of
junior high school in their minds.....
>
>

996. Re: 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 22:15:02 UTC
From: n1sob <n1fm@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1107036902.304780.147040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102513

Hey Dracos; if you don't like what you hear, spin the VFO

997. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 22:20:26 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050129172026.25923.00000067@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 102514

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.ib37d81.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 29 Jan 2005 15:31:01 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>OK, how about this one - actually had this discussion with a lawyer
>>back in the old analog-cordless-phone days(!):
>>
>>Drug dealer operates out of a house unsuspected by neighbors because the
>>operation is so well concealed. But the dealer makes a mistake and gets
>>one of those first-generation no-security cordless phones
>
> And the rest of the question ??

Hit the send key too fast. Sorry.

> Taking a WAG, in "the old days" it was not unlawful to intercept and
> use as evidence the conversations from the base unit of a cordless
> phone - no warrant was necessary. The (Federal) ECPA was amended a
> number of years ago to require a warrant for the above, same as for
> cellphone conversations.

He claimed that listening in on the conversation was the same as wiretapping, and since there was no warrant for a wiretap, the evidence was all illegally obtained and none of it could be used.

I said that the radio signals were not legally protected in any way, because the Communications Act does not authorize the use of the radio spectrum for illegal purposes. I also said that wiretapping involves connection to the common carrier's equipment, and the customer-phone company contract specified privacy of communications, while the radiating cordless phone is customer-owned and no such privacy is contracted or even implied.

Also, I made the following analogy:

Suppose it is a quiet night in comfortable weather. Everyone in the neighborhood has their windows wide open. Suppose Neighbor A, on his own property, overhears Neighbor B, on *her* own property, discussing a drug deal or other illegal act. Neighbor B doesn't realize how loud she is talking and how well she can be heard across property lines. Is that evidence admissible? Or is Neighbor A supposed to plug his ears and shout "lalala I can't hear you"?

My layman's guess is that, today, such an overheard conversation could only be used to get a warrant.

73 de Jim, N2EY

998. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 22:45:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41FC1236.3151731B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102515

N2EY wrote:

> As for "ultraconservative" - that's not me at all.

You? Not a chance. That's ME. ;-)

Dave K8MN

999. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-29 23:37:08 UTC
From: Chuck O'Neal <cdoneal@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2NGdneugfKh8gGHcRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 102516

What frequency? Will it be on a band where there is any propagation?

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> Hello everyone,
>
> The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
> Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at 4.00pm
> CST
>
> Todd n9ogl
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

1000. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 01:00:37 UTC
From: straydog <advocacy@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.61.0501300055580.17599@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 102517

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> Date: Fri, 28 Jan 2005 11:02:44 -0700
> From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>
>> Never mind that BPL turns all of the
>> house wiring, not just the distribution wiring, into a noise radiator,
>> even if you're not a subscriber. 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
> What if I don't want BPL signals on my house wiring, which I own, interfering
> with radio reception in my house? Can I demand they keep their BPL signals
> out of my private wiring?
>
>

Well, if I were sufficiently resourceful and beligerant, I'd do one or a combination of the following: i) move to some place out in the boonies where the power lines are far away and generate my own electricity. I'm in a retirement house and they had to bring power lines 700 feet to the house and 300 feet from my property line to the nearest source and I seriously looked into purchasing a 10-30 kw diesel generator and giving THEM the finger. ii) unhook your house from the utility and generate your own (might not help for RF radiated from the PLs), iii) explore other modes and frequencies if you get BPL ORM and see if you can live with what is left in your hobby, or iv) change hobbies (birdwatching, beer, etc).

w4pon

1001. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 01:06:12 UTC
From: straydog <advocacy@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.61.0501300106010.17599@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 102518

(see quoted material below).

Yeah, I read that snot below (authored by the FCC) and its all part of the commercialization of ANYthing that can be commercialized. Its all about money and power. Enron/Anderson/Worldcom/etcscams. Export the jobs to India/China, degrade human flesh born in the USA, and it doesn't matter who you vote for, the politicos will listen to the lobbyists not the citizens. Someday they'll figure out how to tax you for the air you breath and the sunlight that falls to earth and we use it to see the landscape and where we are. I read some years ago that some guy in the FCC had the great thought that someday frequency spectrum will be bought and sold on the stock market, futures markets, options. Just like flesh on the block (slavery) years ago.

==========

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Date: 28 Jan 2005 10:08:01 -0800
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse
>
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>
>>> Ham
>>> Radio was dissed by the FCC as not being relevant enough to protect
> from BPL
>>> interference.
>>
>> That's an awfully strong statement -- please provide proof that the
> FCC
>> actually made that proclamation.
>>
>> From the Report and Order to 04-37, as reported in the ARRL Letter:
>
> BEGIN QUOTE
>
> "We recognize that some radio operations in the bands being used for
> Access BPL, such as those of Amateur Radio licensees, may occur at
> distances sufficiently close to power lines as to make harmful
> interference a possibility,"
>

> "We believe that
> those situations can be addressed through interference avoidance
> techniques by the Access BPL provider such as frequency band selection,
> notching, or judicious device placement."
>
> "In addition, because power lines inherently can radiate significant
> noise
> emissions as noted by NTIA and ARRL, good engineering practice is to
> locate sensitive receiver antennas as far as practicable from power
> lines,"
>
> "such noise can often be avoided by carefully
> locating their antennas; in many instances an antenna relocation of
> only a
> relatively short distance can resolve noise interference."
>
> BPL operators would be required to avoid certain bands, such as those
> used
> for life and safety communications by aeronautical mobile or US Coast
> Guard stations. The FCC R&O makes clear, however, that similar rules
> will
> not apply to the Amateur Service.
>
> "We similarly do not find that Amateur Radio frequencies warrant the
> special protection afforded frequencies reserved for international
> aeronautical and maritime safety operations," the Commission said.
> "While
> we recognize that amateurs may on occasion assist in providing
> emergency
> communications," the FCC added. It described typical amateur operations
> as
> "routine communications and hobby activities." (
>
> Although some cases of harmful interference may be possible from BPL
> emissions at levels up to Part 15 limits, the FCC said, "we agree with
> NTIA [National Telecommunications and Information Administration] that
> the
> benefits of Access BPL service warrant acceptance of a small and
> manageable degree of interference risk." The Commission reiterated in
> the
> R&O its belief that BPL's public benefits "are sufficiently important
> and
> significant so as to outweigh the limited potential for increased
> harmful
> interference that may arise."
>
> Further, the new rules spell out the locations of "small geographic
> exclusion zones" as well as excluded bands or frequencies--concessions
> made primarily at the insistence of the NTIA, which administers radio
> spectrum for federal government users--and "coordination areas" where

> BPL
> operators must "precoordinate" spectrum use. The rules also detail
> techniques to measure BPL emissions from system equipment and power
> lines.
>
> The FCC said it expects "good faith" on both sides in the event of
> interference complaints. While the Commission said it expects BPL
> operators to take every interference complaint seriously and to
> diagnose
> the possible cause of interference quickly, it also suggested that
> complainants have responsibilities.
>
> "At the same time, we expect the complainant to have first taken
> reasonable steps to confirm that interference, rather than a receiver
> system malfunction, is occurring and, to the extent practicable, to
> determine that the interference source is located outside the
> complainant's premises," the Commission said.
>
> Shutting down a BPL system in response to a valid interference
> complaint
> "would be a last resort when all other efforts to satisfactorily reduce
> interference have failed," the FCC said.
>
> END QUOTE
>
> While the FCC paid lip service to amateur radio's role in public
> service communications, they did not see fit to protect the ARS from
> BPL interference. Instead, we are supposed to "relocate sensitive
> receiving antennas" and have
> good faith in the BPL providers. Never mind that BPL turns all of the
> house wiring, not just the distribution wiring, into a noise radiator,
> even if you're not a subscriber. And never mind that many hams do not
> live on large unencumbered properties where antenna location can be
> chosen freely.
>
> Basically the message is that the Administration, through its
> appointees in the FCC, sees the need for BPL as being more important
> than the ARS.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

1002. Re: Leonard and "bb" refuted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 01:16:54 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107047814.292823.237530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102519

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Phil Kane wrote:
> > > On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 15:57:04 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > >> Hi! I'm sure the Chase and Bank One have switched over to
digital
> > HF
> > > >> networks moving their financial data around.
> > > >
> > > >You think so? I don't. I'm guessing that their data moves via
> > phone
> > > >lines. If the phone lines aren't there, it'll move when there
are
> > phone
> > > >lines.
> > >
> > > My guess is that their mainframe stuff uses buried fiber optic
> > > circuits. In 1988 I got the nickel tour of the then-new Union
> > > Pacific Railroad Control Center in Omaha. The Comm chief
actually
> > > showed me where two circuits emerged on two sides of the
building,
> > > one running via St. Louis and the other running via Kansas
City.
> > In
> > > > vital data circuits, redundancy is the name of the game.
> > But Dave says they're likely to fail.
>
> I have? I don't recall ever considering that radio amateurs would
> attempt to pass financial data for bands in time of emergency.
>
> It looks as if you're still confused.
>
> Dave K8MN
David, which bands would those be? Joe Walsh? Ronnie Milsap?

1003. Re: 2044530960793247

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 01:18:20 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@secwetwebman.net>
Message-ID: <cthck3uin0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The 3965 khz pirate will simo tonight on Echo Link and the following
hf freqs: 6660, 10800, 12217.

Lloyd

"0000 000666" <01225@75481605.007> wrote in message
news:01225@75481605.007...
>0 0 1 0 3 1 4 7 6 8 7 6 4 8 3 4 2 5 8 9 0 5 3 1 8 3 2 9 2 2 2 2 3 4
> 3 6 5 8 4 2 6 2 8 0 0 1 4 2 7 3 1 7 8 4 9 7 8 1 6 0 4 9 3 8 3 6 5 9
> 4 9 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 6 4 9 9 6 6 8 0 6 5 9 8 2 9 2 2 3 1 6 9 6 5 4 5 7
> 5 7 7 6 9 9 3 3 8 2 9 5 0 0 1 6 9 0 8 9 3 1 2 4 7 2 0 2 6 6 0 2 3 7
> 8 2 6 2 6 5 4 5 1 5 5 3 1 5 3 0 5 6 1 7 0 9 0 0 2 7 7 2 9 0 9 8 3 6
> 0 9 2 5 5 3 0 1 6 2 6 9 3 1 5 4 9 5 4 0 6 6 7 4 8 7 4 8 6 8 5 6 8 7
> 2 3 0 2 4 7 5 0 6 8 2 2 3 2 8 7 2 4 3 0 9 2 7 4 1 4 2 7 1 0 4 3 3 5
> 5 7 3 0 8 9 9 1 1 2 3 9 9 6 4 3 7 3 6 5 7 7 9 0 4 0 0 9 3 4 5 9 2 8
> 0 1 8 9 2 9 1 6 9 1 3 4 4 0 7 0 5 5 8 4 5 3 4 6 3 8 0 8 7 3 7 7 4 5
> 6 6 5 8 3 5 9 6 9 4 6 7 4 2 2 3 6 8 6 1 4 9 9 5 8 6 8 5 4 2 1 1 9 7
> 9 2 2 9 9 2 3 6 9 8 8 2 1 5 0 7 6 5 6 6 1 5 2 9 0 6 2 2 8 1 9 7 4 2
> 6 4 4 0 0 4 8 9 6 9 4 7 7 1 3 4 7 4 9 0 3 0 7 5 2 5 7 1 2 2 4 9 6 8
> 0 6 2 8 6 9 7 0 7 5 2 0 9 9 4 8 9 8 8 6 4 0 1 2 2 4 4 6 4 0 5 4 7 8
> 3 3 7 1 4 5 6 6 5 5 6 0 4 5 9 2 2 4 3 4 6 6 9 1 3 4 1 8 9 9 7 2 3 5
> 3 7 0 9 4 6 5 0 6 9 6 6 2 8 0 5 3 7 1 2 8 5 6 0 3 5 0 0 7 3 6 1 1 6
> 1 5 2 6 5 9 6 1 6 8 0 7 4 3 4 5 5 3 1 2 4 4 7 4 5 5 7 1 7 8 8 8 4 1
> 5 9 1 1 1 7 9 2 4 3 5 9 9 1 2 0 3 7 5 1 1 1 7 9 3 4 7 2 3 1 3 8 1 4
> 9 3 1 9 5 6 3 0 5 3 2 8 4 6 5 9 7 6 1 5 5 4 0 3 6 3 5 0 1 6 4 1 0 6
> 1 5 2 4 6 8 8 8 6 2 4 1 2 2 8 3 8 4 8 8 7 5 1 3 5 3 0 9 5 0 6 6 5 8
> 0 2 6 8 1 3 1 5 5 3 8 3 6 6 8 5 5 6 0 7 9 5 1 9 5 6 9 1 2 5 9 3 8 6

1004. Re: FCC Chairman To Resign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 01:25:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107048309.580284.159560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102521

N2EY wrote:

> Maybe Len *is* Rev. Jim. After all, he's been nocwtest, averyfine,
> averyfineman, lenof21, lenover21, and probably several more we
haven't
> recognized yet.

Don't forget Jimmy Who.

1005. Re: Lardass' asskicking in person (NOW A MUSIC VIDEO !!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 01:49:09 UTC
From: hamfest fatwalk <familobermon73@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <c2d5$41fc3d41$d1cc4ec6$4021@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 102523
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

That's right folks!

Ole Lardass and all of his ham radio
loooser friends are in this new and
amazing music video !!!!!

There is even a SPECIAL GUEST APPERANCE
by Wogie the woozer from West Va and ole KJI
(the "kind jewish indian" ?) Capt Underpants on His
Head himself !!!

It's here--> http://www.rathergood.com/first_drink/

I AM SERIOUS FOLKS ---> YOU HAVE GOT TO SEE THIS !!!

Turn up the volume and prepare to laugh you ass off silly
seein your favorite 75 and 20 Meter microphone clowns
with special backup music provided by the famous 3.865
Spider Monkey R&B Band !

1006. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 02:10:32 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <zZCdnTNUDJn-2WHcRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102524

straydog wrote:
>
>
>
> On Fri, 28 Jan 2005, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>> Date: Fri, 28 Jan 2005 11:02:44 -0700
>> From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>> Subject: Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse
>>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Never mind that BPL turns all of the
>>> house wiring, not just the distribution wiring, into a noise radiator,
>>> even if you're not a subscriber. 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>> What if I don't want BPL signals on my house wiring, which I own,
>> interfering with radio reception in my house? Can I demand they keep
>> their BPL signals out of my private wiring?
>>
>>
>
> Well, if I were sufficiently resourceful and beligerant, I'd do one or a
> combination of the following: i) move to some place out in the boonies
> where the power lines are far away and generate my own electricity. I'm
> in a retirement house and they had to bring power lines 700 feet to the
> house and 300 feet from my property line to the nearest source and I
> seriously looked into purchasing a 10-30 kw diesel generator and giving
> THEM the finger. ii) unhook your house from the utility and generate
> your own (might not help for RF radiated from the PLs), iii) explore
> other modes and frequencies if you get BPL ORM and see if you can live
> with what is left in your hobby, or iv) change hobbies (birdwatching,
> beer, etc).
>
> w4pon

Well, I know the whole thing is a bit far out, but it is fun to dream of
harrasing the BPL folks.

1007. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 02:33:24 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <UHXKd.3337$Ix.2545@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102525

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.iaxm5xm.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:05:31 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
>>> A Petition for Rulemaking is not the proper way to get this ruling.
>>> It would be dismissed out of hand.
>>>
>>> Strike One.
>>>
>>OK what is then???
>
> Consult your local communications attorney admitted to practice
> before the FCC.
>
> You are not my client and I'm not going to do the "heavy lifting"
> for you.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

Amazing, ain't it Phil? Look at all these so called educated folks
discussing the pro and con of "amateur broadcasting"..

Looks like 14.275 has become the new sewer of ham radio. Riley ain't gonna
do squat. You want to get rid of these clowns? Get on freq before they
show up. End of problem.

Dan/W4NTI

1008. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 02:35:47 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <7KXKd.3345$lx.2796@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102526

Help me here please Toad Daugherty.

Why did you get into Ham Radio in the first place? According to your Blog site you got into Packet, liked it a lot....etc. Now your on here yapping about being a wanabee broadcaster. Sorta sounding like K1MAN.......I got a idea....how about you BOTH getting together and running a "broadcast" It will save on tape for all concerned,.

Dan/W4NTI

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message news:41f7f5d8_1@127.0.0.1...
> The cloeses "communication attorney" is 200 miles away....oh well I just
> keep doing my thing :) and this "problem will never be solved.
>
> Todd n9ogl
>
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.iaxm5xm.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:05:31 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>
>> >> A Petition for Rulemaking is not the proper way to get this ruling.
>> >> It would be dismissed out of hand.
>> >>
>> >> Strike One.
>> >>
>> >OK what is then???
>>
>> Consult your local communications attorney admitted to practice
>> before the FCC.
>>
>> You are not my client and I'm not going to do the "heavy lifting"
>> for you.
>>
>> --
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1009. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 02:38:39 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <PMXKd.3368$lx.3203@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102527

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> Hello everyone,
>
> The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
> Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at 4.00pm
> CST
>
> Todd n9ogl

Gawd almighty.....the child broadcaster can't even keep a wire in the air
and he is expecting to make an impression. What a flippin loser.

And don't bother with a comeback Toad....your out of your league.

Dan/W4NTI

1010. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 02:40:17 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <lOXKd.3373$lx.1750@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102528

He is gonna run a barefoot radio to a hunk of wire in a tree (if he is lucky). The QRP stations in Africa will take him out, what a flippin laugh. Like anyone cares about your thoughts eh Toad?

Dan/W4NTI

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message news:41fc3ad0$1_1@127.0.0.1...
> Hello,
> It will be on 20 meters tomorrow at 4.00pm CST
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
> "Chuck O'Neal" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:2NGdneugfKh8gGHcRVn-ow@comcast.com...
>> What frequency? Will it be on a band where there is any propagation?
>>
>> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>> news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
>> > Hello everyone,
>> >
>> > The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
>> > Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at
> 4.00pm
>> > CST
>> >
>> > Todd n9ogl
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
>> News==----
>> > http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>> > 120,000+
>> Newsgroups
>> > ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
>> =----
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----

> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

1011. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 03:41:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <HcmdnbAm5cFFxmHcRVn-rQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102530

Dan wrote:

> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
>
>>Hello everyone,
>>
>>The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
>>Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at 4.00pm
>>CST
>>
>>Todd n9ogl
>
>
>
> Gawd almighty.....the child broadcaster can't even keep a wire in the air
> and he is expecting to make an impression. What a flippin loser.
>
> And don't bother with a comeback Toad....your out of your league.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Maybe Toddy boy is afraid that some of the newsgroup hams will show up
on frequency and challange him and ask him to acutually defend his
claims. Wouldn't supprise me if his antenna is still down tomorrow.
I will be listening.

1012. · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 03:45:23 UTC
From: Google_Usenet_USA@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107056723.814885.143840@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102529

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

Some people believe that belonging to a local church, temple, mosque or synagogue will get them to Heaven.

Others believe that water baptism, obeying the ten commandments or just being a good person will get them to Heaven.

There are many other beliefs as well, but the good news about God's way to Heaven is found in the Holy Bible.

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins. After Jesus was placed in a tomb He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Bible says, "For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life through Christ Jesus our Lord." (Romans 6:23)

This verse in the Bible says, "For ALL HAVE SINNED, and come short of the glory of God." (Romans 3:23)

This verse says, "But God demonstrates his own love for us in this: While we were still sinners, Christ died for us." (Romans 5:8)

In this passage the Bible clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Salvation is not a reward but a gift. The Bible says it this way, "For it is by GRACE you have been SAVED, through FAITH and this not from yourselves, it is the GIFT of God." (Ephesians 2:8)

Nothing in the world is more important than your eternal destiny.

The Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you have not yet made a decision to be saved, please do so now before it is too late. The reason why it is so important to be saved now is because you do not know exactly when you will die. You may die prematurely in a traffic accident, terrorist attack or some other way before you get another chance to be saved.

The Bible tells us that we will spend eternity in Heaven or a place of eternal torment called Hell. It would be terrible to die and go to Hell when all you have to do is accept Jesus Christ as your personal Lord and Saviour.

Some people that have already made Jesus Christ their Lord and Saviour worry about losing their salvation. The Bible teaches Christians that we can never lose our salvation no matter what happens.

The Bible says it this way, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One." (1John 2:1)

Yes my friend, Jesus Christ is able to save you and keep you saved.

Please tell your family and friends, thanks!

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html << Free Online Movies
3. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
4. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
5. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

1013. Re: The Fed's Anti-Ham Trojan Horse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 04:08:43 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ib3v6j2.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102531

On 29 Jan 2005 22:20:26 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>> Taking a WAG, in "the old days" it was not unlawful to intercept and
>> use as evidence the conversations from the base unit of a cordless
>> phone - no warrant was necessary. The (Federal) ECPA was amended a
>> number of years ago to require a warrant for the above, same as for
>> cellphone conversations.
>
>He claimed that listening in on the conversation was the same as wiretapping,
>and since there was no warrant for a wiretap, the evidence was all illegally
>obtained and none of it could be used.

That's what the law is today. Before the ECPA was amended, there
was a loophole that didn't cover transmissions from a cordless base
unit, only the handset. There was an actual court case in Nebraska
where a neighbor picked up a drug deal from a cordless phone base
unit, and the court held in that case that there was no violation
and the evidence was admissible.

Then the ECPA was amended to close that loophole.

>I said that the radio signals were not legally protected in any way, because
>the Communications Act does not authorize the use of the radio spectrum for
>illegal purposes.

Not so - the purpose of the ECPA was to limit the power of the
government to use warrentless intercepted telephone or radio
conversations as mandated by the SCOTUS _Cohen_ case that
established the concept of "expectation of privacy" in
communications.

>I also said that wiretapping involves connection to the
>common carrier's equipment, and the customer-phone company contract specified
>privacy of communications, while the radiating cordless phone is customer-owned
>and no such privacy is contracted or even implied.

No again. Ther term "wiretapping" is applied to any communication
- aural, wire, or radio. IIRC that term is "street slang" and does
not appear in the ECPA.

>Also, I made the following analogy:
>
>Suppose it is a quiet night in comfortable weather. Everyone in the
>neighborhood has their windows wide open. Suppose Neighbor A, on his own
>property, overhears Neighbor B, on *her* own property, discussing a drug deal
>or other illegal act. Neighbor B doesn't realize how loud she is talking and
>how well she can be heard across property lines. Is that evidence admissible?

Sure is, to the limit of admissible hearsay.

>My layman's guess is that, today, such an overheard conversation
>could only be used to get a warrant.

That's because otherwise-inadmissible hearsay is admissible to
establish probable cause but cannot be used at trial.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1014. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 05:14:48 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <b3rov0pgm7a01998k8fm78422mk325gbk5@4ax.com>
Source record: 102532

> You want to get rid of these clowns? Get on freq before they
>show up. End of problem.

Pardon me while I laugh. They will probably stomp all over anyone who
tries to use that frequency.

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1015. Re: 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 06:00:48 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <kK_Kd.3746$Ix.2744@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102533

>
> You can say any words except for George Carlin's list
> of "7 dirty words you can't say on TV". Bad taste isn't
> illegal, just not good. Some people never made it out of
> junior high school in their minds.....
>
>>
>>
THey did come dangerously close to spelling out the
F word on terrestrial broadcast TV on "Saturday Night
Live"....

1016. · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 08:03:57 UTC
From: Google_Usenet_USA@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107072237.422252.160820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102537

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

Some people believe that belonging to a local church, temple, mosque or synagogue will get them to Heaven.

Others believe that water baptism, obeying the ten commandments or just being a good person will get them to Heaven.

There are many other beliefs as well, but the good news about God's way to Heaven is found in the Holy Bible.

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins. After Jesus was placed in a tomb He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Bible says, "For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life through Christ Jesus our Lord." (Romans 6:23)

This verse in the Bible says, "For ALL HAVE SINNED, and come short of the glory of God." (Romans 3:23)

This verse says, "But God demonstrates his own love for us in this: While we were still sinners, Christ died for us." (Romans 5:8)

In this passage the Bible clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Salvation is not a reward but a gift. The Bible says it this way, "For it is by GRACE you have been SAVED, through FAITH and this not from yourselves, it is the GIFT of God." (Ephesians 2:8)

Nothing in the world is more important than your eternal destiny.

The Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you have not yet made a decision to be saved, please do so now before it is too late. The reason why it is so important to be saved now is because you do not know exactly when you will die. You may die prematurely in a traffic accident, terrorist attack or some other way before you get another chance to be saved.

The Bible tells us that we will spend eternity in Heaven or a place of eternal torment called Hell. It would be terrible to die and go to Hell when all you have to do is accept Jesus Christ as your personal Lord and Saviour.

Some people that have already made Jesus Christ their Lord and Saviour worry about losing their salvation. The Bible teaches Christians that we can never lose our salvation no matter what happens.

The Bible says it this way, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One." (1John 2:1)

Yes my friend, Jesus Christ is able to save you and keep you saved.

Please tell your family and friends, thanks!

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html << Free Online Movies
3. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
4. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
5. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

1017. Re: Operating privileges for an ex-ham.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 08:55:51 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95EE27F965B49elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 102538

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in
news:cuvyxnansvbet.iaw60pb.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com:

> On 25 Jan 2005 15:54:13 -0800, imoldovan@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>My uncle was a ham operator back in Romania. He moved here in the
>>United States about 15 years ago. He kept his ham licence active for
>>all this years YO6DMY. He wants to start operating again. Does he need
>>to retake the ham exam???
>
> He would have to take a U.S. ham license test to get a U.S. license
> if he is now a U.S. citizen or resident. Reciprocity or its CEPT
> equivalent would not apply because he is not in the U.S. temporarily.
>
>>Can he just do a change of address??
>
> Most Administrations require an "in country" address.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>

I would have thought that your answer would be more accurate than that,
Phil. The CEPT agreement does impose a non-residence requirement, but FCC
rules don't, only a non-citizenship requirement.

So a YO who's been here for years is not likely to be able to operate under
CEPT. Albeit, it's not clear whether he would have to be non-resident for
tax purposes and/or for immigration purposes, but likely after 15 years he
both has a green card and pays US taxes as a resident (you can't pay taxes
as a non-resident if you are here more than 180 days in the year).

However, if there is a separate reciprocal agreement in place between the
US and YO (and I don't know whether there is) then he can operate on a YO
licence as long as he neither becomes a US citizen nor obtains a US
licence. Being a US resident, rather than a US citizen _only_ disqualifies
him under CEPT, not under a bilateral agreement.

1018. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 09:53:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107078819.251066.248770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102539

Mike Coslo wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>Hey Len,
> >>
> >> There is an ongoing Morse code thread over in rec.radio.swap. I
> >
> > don't
> >
> >>know if you check in on that group, but if you want to, they have a
> >
> > good
> >
> >>one going! 8^)
> >
> >
> > Be careful, Mike!
> >
> > The Rec.Radio.Swap guys might rat us out to the EPA for letting
> > the bilgewater over the berm!
>
> hehe, I'm just trying to help Len out - it seems no one here wants
to
> argue about Morse code testing, and it seems to bother some people.

Yeah...I know! It's going to go eventually, it's just that Lennie
wanted it to go on HIS terms and with everyone rallying around HIS
flag...Didn't happen that way, so now he's gonna pick a fight with
"whoever".

73

Steve, K4YZ

1019. Re: · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 11:31:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107084690.322590.309920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102541

Net Spammer R. L. Grossi said:

> The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in
> the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and
> died for our sins(misdeeds).

That's not what the Bible says. (John 3:16 refers)

This is a prime example of why religion is screwed up.

Here's another Carny barker with HIS story, and everyone rally
around! Jim Jones is dead, so there's room for more!

> He was born in the land
> of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
> named Mary.

We've already gone there.

> This verse in the Bible says, "For ALL HAVE SINNED, and
> come short of the glory of God." (Romans 3:23)

God knows this (that we are all sinners)

Then he gives us "free will" to make the choices he KNOWS we are
going to make, but then punishes us with Hell for doing what he allowed
us to do!

(Please don't tell me "it's his plan and we don't have to
understand...")

> If you have not yet made a decision to be saved, please do
> so now before it is too late. The reason why it is so
> important to be saved now is because you do not know
> exactly when you will die. You may die prematurely in a
> traffic accident, terrorist attack or some other way before
> you get another chance to be saved.

According to the same Bible, God is in control of all of this.
There is no such thing as "premature death" if you believe the Bible
verbatim. God "takes us home" when he's ready.

So...We have a quarter million dead from a tsunami...Enjoying the
sun one minute...Pinned between rubble and rushing water the
next...That musta been pleasant...

Eleven died last week when some despondent idiot parked his SUV on
the tracks.

Hundreds of thousands of Africans are dying of AIDS every year
when their only "sin" was to have been born into families on the
poorest continent on Earth.

> The Bible tells us that we will spend eternity in Heaven or
> a place of eternal torment called Hell.

The Bible was written before the creation of the Internet and
Lennie Anderson's gaining access to it. It may not be eternal, but it
IS "hell", and we didn't have to die to get there........

> It would be terrible
> to die and go to Hell when all you have to do is accept
> Jesus Christ as your personal Lord and Saviour.

So the God that loves us all, gives us free will, KNOWS what we are
going to do, when we are going to do it, and what the outcome will
be...Yet he plans on committing our immortal souls to "hell" for doing
what HE caused to happen?

> Some people that have already made Jesus Christ their Lord
> and Saviour worry about losing their salvation.

Jerry Swaggart...Tammy Faye....Jim Bakker...Jerry Falwell...

> The Bible
> teaches Christians that we can never lose our salvation no
> matter what happens.

MORE silliness....I "accept the Lord", then go out on an axe
murdering rage...I go to Heaven cuz I accept Christian salvation, but a
person who lives "a good life", does for others, and basically is a
good person gets to go to the "smoking" section for having not accepted
"his personal saviour".

> Yes my friend, Jesus Christ is able to save you and keep you
> saved.

THANK-YOU JESUS! (rape a 10 year old) HALLY-LOO-YA LORD! (murder
somone's mother) AMEN BROTHER! (rob a bank...)

> Please tell your family and friends, thanks!
Tell them what?

Steve, K4YZ

1020. Re: HAVE YOU HEARD THE GOOD NEWS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 11:46:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107085567.447894.40890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102542

Len Anderson wrote:

> Apparently you want all to Believe in the self-righteous
"religions"
> in recreational hobby pursuits such as amateur radio? You know,
> the one where all "must" love, honor, and obey the morse code
> test to prove their "faithfulness and dedication" to the amateur
> cause?

Lennie...WHICH drugs are YOU under the influence of when you write
these things...?!?!

> >Just like YOU profess to be "interested" in Radio, yet you clearly
> >can't stand Radio Amateurs...Not just "PCTA Extras"...You clearly
hate
> >anything/everything having to do with it.
>
> Tsk. Doctor Strangeglove flipped out again.

Who is "Dr Strangelove"...???

> Tsk, tsk. I was interested enough in radio...(SNIP)

Usual lame, irrelevent excuses for NOT obtaining an Amateur
license and actually gaining some experience in the radio service you
purport to know enough about to demand changes to.

> >There's no "hate group" here, unless it's "hate" of those who
> >pervert religious practice for evil intent.
>
> So...you are calling the Worship of Morse Code a "pervert
religious
> practice?"

No one, except you, perhaps, is making such a silly suggestion as
Morse Code being a religious practice.

> >Do YOU support the perversion of religion for personal or evil
> >intent, Lennie? It sure seems like it.
>
> Tsk, tsk...I don't support the retention of the morse code test
> for any radio operator license examination.

Let's ask you the same question again, Lennie and see if THIS time
you can answer it:

Do YOU support the perversion of religion for personal or evil
intent?

> >> Let it be. It has NOTHING to do with radio, let alone amateur
> >> radio.
> >
> >THIS IS NOT A MODERATED FORUM, AND LEONARD H. ANDERSON, WHO HAS
> >CRIED "FOUL" AT THE PERCEPTION OF BEING MODERATED BEFORE, HAS
> >ZERO-POINT-ZERO RIGHT OR "AUTHORITY" TO DEMAND THAT ANYONE ELSE
DISCUSS
> >OR NOT DISCUSS >>ANY<< TOPIC.
>
> Whooo-eeee. The Antichrist-wannabe must be on Hate Steroids!

Nope.

Just redirecting your own rhetoric back to you in writing big
enough for you to see.

> >If you're Jewish, there is no down. They don't teach about Hell
> >in their doctrine.
>
> Don't tell us...you are now going to say something like
> "Sorry, Hans, Judaism >>IS<< amateur radio?"

I see you still can't directly address ANY topic.

> >The Catholics teach of different levels of "down". More like a
> >little sideways and off to the side, and some time spent in
Purgatory
> >for certain kinds of sin can still get you absolved and into Heaven.
>
> You don't have a Medical Doctorate? You are a Doctorate in
> Theology?
>
> Did you attend a Seminary or are you just whacking-off in your
> head again?

I really am at a loss as to what you're using for a brain, Lennie.

> >> I'm waiting for the next Sermon on the Antenna Mount.
> >>
> >> You know, where all have to worship at the Church of St. Hiram
> >> and follow the ARRL's ayatollah and everyone love, honor, and
> >> cherish morse code...
> >>
> >You're still just upset that YOU are not the one on the cross that
> >everyone is praising.
>
> ???
>
> Were you talking about Bill Cross, formerly with the FCC? Or are
> you just crass-cross today more than other days?

The topic was YOU, Lennie...I am surprised you passed up a chance
to flatter your favorite subject.

> Cross your legs, little Stevie, we are short on nails today...

What you're short of, Lennie, is a valid argument.

Again.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Putz

Putz

Steve, K4YZ

1021. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 11:53:57 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <KsedneYC86pEV2HcRVn-hA@crocker.com>
Source record: 102540

speaking of da man... where is he, haven't heard him in a while. did his
radio shack timer break again? or maybe he packed up and went with one of
his 'jump teams'???

"Dan" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:7KXKd.3345$Ix.2796@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Help me here please Toad Daugherty.
>
> Why did you get into Ham Radio in the first place? According to your
Blog
> site you got into Packet, liked it a lot....etc. Now your on here
yapping
> about being a wanabee broadcaster. Sorta sounding like K1MAN.......I got
a
> idea....how about you BOTH getting together and running a "broadcast" It
> will save on tape for all concerned,.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41f7f5d8_1@127.0.0.1...
> > The cloeses "communication attorney" is 200 miles away....oh well I just
> > keep doing my thing :) and this "problem will never be solved.
> >
> > Todd n9ogl
> >
> >
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > news:cuvyxnansvbet.iaxm5xm.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> >> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:05:31 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >>
> >> >> A Petition for Rulemaking is not the proper way to get this
ruling.
> >> >> It would be dismissed out of hand.
> >> >>
> >> >> Strike One.
> >> >>
> >> >OK what is then???
> >>
> >> Consult your local communications attorney admitted to practice
> >> before the FCC.
> >>
> >> You are not my client and I'm not going to do the "heavy lifting"
> >> for you.

> >>
> >> --
> >> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

1022. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 12:30:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050130073051.04201.00000075@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 102543

In article <1107078819.251066.248770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>>hehe, I'm just trying to help Len out - it seems no one here wants
>>to argue about Morse code testing, and it seems to bother some people.

I think it's more accurate to say that almost ho one here wants to discuss
anything with Len anymore - because of *his* behavior
>
>Yeah...I know! It's going to go eventually,

Everything is going to go eventually...

>it's just that Lennie
>wanted it to go on HIS terms and with everyone rallying around HIS
>flag...Didn't happen that way, so now he's gonna pick a fight with
>"whoever".

Perhaps that explains his imaginary newsgroup 'friends' ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1023. N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 13:05:23 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102512

Hello everyone,

The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at 4.00pm
CST

Todd n9ogl

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1024. Re: ? ? ? Have You Heard The Good News? ? ? ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 13:45:29 UTC
From: Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com>
Message-ID: <mfopv0l0pvhl2ea144sh67m1kulmdbe07q@4ax.com>
Source record: 102544

On 30 Jan 2005 00:03:57 -0800, Google_Usenet_USA@yahoo.com wrote:

>Good News!
>
>
>Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
>life has ended?

Most likely, you won't be concerned about what happens after you're dead, just like you weren't concerned about your life before you were born.

>Some people believe that belonging to a local church,
>temple, mosque or synagogue will get them to Heaven.
>
>
>Others believe that water baptism, obeying the ten
>commandments or just being a good person will get
>them to Heaven.
>
>
>There are many other beliefs as well, but the good
>news about God's way to Heaven is found in the
>Holy Bible.

What makes you right and everyone else wrong?

>The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in
>the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and
>died for our sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land
>of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
>named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three
>years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died
>on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.
>After Jesus was placed in a tomb He rose from the dead
>three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible
>also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven
>and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour
>will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no
>more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

A man-god, born to a virgin, great healer and teacher, dies, and rises from the dead after a few days to ascend to heaven. This story has been ripped off from dozens of other cultures, many of which pre-date Christianity by several thousand years.

>The Bible says, "For the wages of sin is death, but
>the gift of God is eternal life through Christ Jesus
>our Lord." (Romans 6:23)
>
>
>This verse in the Bible says, "For ALL HAVE SINNED, and
>come short of the glory of God." (Romans 3:23)
>
>
>This verse says, "But God demonstrates his own love for
>us in this: While we were still sinners, Christ died
>for us." (Romans 5:8)
>
>
>In this passage the Bible clearly explains how simple it
>is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you
>confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in
>your heart that God raised him from the dead, you
>WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)
>
>
>You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if
>you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following
>prayer:
>
>Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have
>a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a
>sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I
>believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the
>penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead.
>Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my
>Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for
>forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace.
>Amen.

What sane person could possibly want to worship a god that condemns people to eternal torture simply because they don't believe in him, or because they want more proof of his existence than what's written in an ancient book of fairy tales?

1025. Re: Lardass' asskicking in person (NOW A MUSIC VIDEO !!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 13:52:21 UTC
From: Anonymous <mix@itys.net>
Message-ID: <072b9ddc0042ed66c5e369132f6af1f1@itys.net>
Source record: 102661
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article
<c2d5$41fc3d41$d1cc4ec6$4021@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
"hamfest fatwalk" <familobermo...@hotmail.com> whined:
>
> That's right folks! <bullshit flushed>

This dumbfuck fucks up again! You can't get anything right, can
you?

1026. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 14:41:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <g9udnYo9C52Qb2HcRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102545

K4YZ wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Hey Len,
>>>>
>>>> There is an ongoing Morse code thread over in rec.radio.swap. I
>>>
>>>don't
>>>
>>>
>>>>know if you check in on that group, but if you want to, they have a
>>>
>>>good
>>>
>>>
>>>>one going! 8^)
>>>
>>>
>>>Be careful, Mike!
>>>
>>>The Rec.Radio.Swap guys might rat us out to the EPA for letting
>>>the bilgewater over the berm!
>>
>> hehe, I'm just trying to help Len out - it seems no one here wants
>
> to
>
>>argue about Morse code testing, and it seems to bother some people.
>
>
> Yeah...I know! It's going to go eventually, it's just that Lennie
> wanted it to go on HIS terms and with everyone rallying around HIS
> flag...Didn't happen that way, so now he's gonna pick a fight with
> "whoever".

Bummer, that! I wonder why that is the case? (obviousness alert!) 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1027. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 15:39:55 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8oOdnQiUG-RRYmHcRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102546

N2EY wrote:

> In article <1107078819.251066.248770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>
>
>>>hehe, I'm just trying to help Len out - it seems no one here wants
>>>to argue about Morse code testing, and it seems to bother some people.
>
>
> I think it's more accurate to say that almost ho one here wants to discuss
> *anything* with Len anymore - because of *his* behavior

You mean people don't care for being called Nun of the Above, Rev. Jim, Gunnery Nurse, Nursie, Robust Oberst, Nazi, Avenging Angle, Poop Dave, Some kind of Humorless Ham full of Angries, the paragon of Truth and Virtue, he say, Herr Kommandant, James Psychochief Miccolis, Kommandant uf das Neugruppen Waffe, Herr Gruppekommandant, Mr. Petty Chief Ossifer, das gruppenfuhrer, da Katapult King, La Cucaracha, Herr Gasbag, hockey puck, and fifth wheel on the four morsemen of the apocalypse? Just a small sampling of the names he calls those who would disagree with him. Sorry if I left any out!

Whodathunkit?

If ya don't care about the names - and I don't all that much, the content of the messages is a behavior issue in itself.

No one has to act like that. It is a choice.

Wanna talk to people? Allow them to want to talk to you. If you are going to be unpleasant in the extreme, you'll get a predictable reaction. When we do reply to him, you'll notice it is just reciprocal chain jerking. Big deal.

Must be what he wants.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1028. Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 15:48:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <-vqdnXLLTYtXnGDcRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102547

In another post, I went back through a few weeks messages to find the cute little nicknames that LenOver21 makes up for people. I was pretty impressed with the volume, but I know I didn't get them all. I came up with 21 nicknames.

In the interest of not leaving anyone out who may have received one of his monikers, let's add to the list here:

Nun of the Above
Rev. Jim
Gunnery Nurse
Nursie
Robust Oberst
Nazi
Avenging Angle
Poop Dave
Some kind of Humorless Ham full of Angries
the paragon of Truth and Virtue, he say
Herr Kommandant
James Psychochief Miccolis
Kommandant uf das Neugruppen Waffe
Herr Gruppekommandant
Mr. Petty Chief Ossifer
das gruppenfuhrer
da Katapult King
La Cucaracha
Herr Gasbag
hockey puck
fifth wheel on the four morsemen of the apocalypse

- Mike KB3EIA -

1029. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 16:16:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ed6dndPsWdzrlWDcRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102548

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> "Dan" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:UHXKd.3337$Ix.2545@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>>news:cuvyxnansvbet.iaxm5xm.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>>
>>>On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:05:31 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>> A Petition for Rulemaking is not the proper way to get this ruling.
>>>>> It would be dismissed out of hand.
>>>>>
>>>>> Strike One.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>OK what is then???
>>>
>>> Consult your local communications attorney admitted to practice
>>> before the FCC.
>>>
>>> You are not my client and I'm not going to do the "heavy lifting"
>>> for you.
>>>
>>>--
>>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Amazing, ain't it Phil? Look at all these so called educated folks
>>discussing the pro and con of "amateur broadcasting"..
>>
>>Looks like 14.275 has become the new sewer of ham radio. Riley ain't
>
> gonna
>
>>do squat. You want to get rid of these clowns? Get on freq before they
>>show up. End of problem.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> For your information Dan, my show is not going to be 14.275. Since your are

> slow due to all that inbreeding down there in alabama I get you up to speed
> here. The N9OGL SHOW will be on Sunday at 4.00 pm CST; it was suppose to be
> today however, we got hit by a terrible snow storm. Unlike K1MAN my program
> is only on for a hour/ hour and a half. My program deals with issues in
> amateur radio. So feel free to get your wife/sister to sit around the radio
> and listen to my INFORMATION BULLETIN

But the big question is: What are you going to do if the frequency is
in use at "bulliten time"?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1030. New Lloyd Codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 16:27:45 UTC
From: Lloyd 329 <Lloyd3329@lloyd329.net>
Message-ID: <ctj1t4$s1a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd: T329

Lloyd: If monitoring the 3965 khz pirate, use B280

73,

Lloyd

1031. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 16:40:38 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41fc3ad0$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102522

Hello,
It will be on 20 meters tomorrow at 4.00pm CST

Todd N9OGL

"Chuck O'Neal" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:2NGdneugfKh8gGHcRVn-ow@comcast.com...
> What frequency? Will it be on a band where there is any propagation?
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> > Hello everyone,
> >
> > The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
> > Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at
4.00pm
> > CST
> >
> > Todd n9ogl
> >
> >
> >
> > ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> > ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----
>
>
>

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1032. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 17:04:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41FD13B3.A612C426@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102600

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <1107078819.251066.248770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:

> >it's just that Lennie
> >wanted it to go on HIS terms and with everyone rallying around HIS
> >flag...Didn't happen that way, so now he's gonna pick a fight with
> >"whoever".
>
> Perhaps that explains his imaginary newsgroup 'friends' ;-)

I'm not sure what you mean, Jim. Do you refer to Len's overwhelming
show of support from the multitudes who read r.r.a.p. but who never post
here?

Dave K8MN

1033. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 17:08:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41FD14A7.3F759BC9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102601

Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
> news:HcmdnbAm5cFFxmHcRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
> > Dan wrote:

> > > Gawd almighty.....the child broadcaster can't even keep a wire in the
> air
> > > and he is expecting to make an impression. What a flippin loser.
> > >
> > > And don't bother with a comeback Toad....your out of your league.

> > Maybe Toddy boy is afraid that some of the newsgroup hams will show up
> > on frequency and challange him and ask him to acutually defend his
> > claims. Wouldn't supprise me if his antenna is still down tomorrow.
> > I will be listening.
> >
> No, we got by a big snow storm you fucking assholes

Is this an example of the kinds of things the oppressive FCC is keeping
you from saying on the ham bands, Todd?

By the way, set your computer clock or you'll miss your curtain call.

Dave K8MN

1034. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 17:48:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050130124820.00916.00000045@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 102602

In article <41FD13B3.A612C426@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <1107078819.251066.248770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
>> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>
>> >it's just that Lennie
>> >wanted it to go on HIS terms and with everyone rallying around HIS
>> >flag...Didn't happen that way, so now he's gonna pick a fight with
>> >"whoever".
>>
>> Perhaps that explains his imaginary newsgroup 'friends' ;-)
>
>I'm not sure what you mean, Jim. Do you refer to Len's overwhelming
>show of support from the multitudes who read r.r.a.p. but who never post
>here?

No, Dave, but perhaps those folks should be included.

I meant the cast of characters with made-up names that Len talks about, but who
never post here and whose names and characteristics do not match anyone who
does post here.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1035. · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 18:45:12 UTC
From: Google_Usenet_USA@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107110712.422044.193060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102603

.

1036. Re: Lardass' asskicking in person (NOW A MUSIC VIDEO !!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 19:23:50 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <072b9ddc0042ed66c5e369132f6af1f1@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 102604
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article
<c2d5$41fc3d41$d1cc4ec6$4021@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
"hamfest fatwalk" <familobermo...@hotmail.com> whined:
>
> That's right folks! <bullshit flushed>

This dumbfuck fucks up again! You can't get anything right, can
you?

1037. Re: 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 20:32:33 UTC
From: ag4px@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107117153.857995.83730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102605

Hey Dracos:
I appears the you are a very naive asshole .
and like n1sob says
Spin the damn vfo and shut the hell up.
On the other hand, if you are man enough
join in .
Have you ever heard of the first amendment ?
If not here it is ....

Bill of Rights
Amendment I
Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or
prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of
speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to
assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.
De ag4px

1038. Re: New Lloyd Codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 20:34:49 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <JxbLd.2831$S3.277@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd 329 wrote:
> Lloyd: T329
>
> Lloyd: If monitoring the 3965 khz pirate, use B280
>

I would have selected "QLL" :-)

1039. · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 20:35:50 UTC
From: Google_Usenet_USA@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107117350.590732.97560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102607

.

1040. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 20:45:49 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <3oqdnSCSQ5uA1WDcRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102608

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
> news:HcmdnbAm5cFFxmHcRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
>
>>Dan wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>>>news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Hello everyone,
>>>>
>>>>The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
>>>>Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at
>
> 4.00pm
>
>>>>CST
>>>>
>>>>Todd n9ogl
>>>
>>>
>>>Gawd almighty.....the child broadcaster can't even keep a wire in the
>
> air
>
>>>and he is expecting to make an impression. What a flippin loser.
>>>
>>>And don't bother with a comeback Toad....your out of your league.
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Maybe Toddy boy is afraid that some of the newsgroup hams will show up
>>on frequency and challange him and ask him to acutually defend his
>>claims. Wouldn't supprise me if his antenna is still down tomorrow.
>>I will be listening.
>>
>
> No, we got by a big snow storm you fucking assholes

>
> Todd N9OGL

There you go with that jr highschool language again. Ever wonder why no one takes you seriously?

1041. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 21:19:39 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <X4GdnWvKSIefzGDcRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102609

Todd Daugherty wrote:

>
> It will be at 5.00 pm EST, 4.00 pm CST, 3.00 pm MST, 2.00 pm PST between
> 14.225 to 14.350 MHz.

Why don't you pick a wide spread of frequencies to make it harder to
find your transmissions?

1042. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 21:33:27 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41fc816c$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102534

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
news:HcmdnbAm5cFFxmHcRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
> Dan wrote:
>
> > "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> > news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> >
> >>Hello everyone,
> >>
> >>The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
> >>Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at
4.00pm
> >>CST
> >>
> >>Todd n9ogl
> >
> >
> >
> > Gawd almighty.....the child broadcaster can't even keep a wire in the
air
> > and he is expecting to make an impression. What a flippin loser.
> >
> > And don't bother with a comeback Toad....your out of your league.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> Maybe Toddy boy is afraid that some of the newsgroup hams will show up
> on frequency and challange him and ask him to acutually defend his
> claims. Wouldn't supprise me if his antenna is still down tomorrow.
> I will be listening.
>
No, we got by a big snow storm you fucking assholes

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1043. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 22:00:18 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <YNidneTM9rRYx2DcRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102610

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
> news:HcmdnbAm5cFFxmHcRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
>
>>Dan wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>>>news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Hello everyone,
>>>>
>>>>The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
>>>>Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at
>
> 4.00pm
>
>>>>CST
>>>>
>>>>Todd n9ogl
>>>
>>>
>>>Gawd almighty.....the child broadcaster can't even keep a wire in the
>
> air
>
>>>and he is expecting to make an impression. What a flippin loser.
>>>
>>>And don't bother with a comeback Toad....your out of your league.
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Maybe Toddy boy is afraid that some of the newsgroup hams will show up
>>on frequency and challange him and ask him to acutually defend his
>>claims. Wouldn't supprise me if his antenna is still down tomorrow.
>>I will be listening.
>>
>
> No, we got by a big snow storm you fucking assholes

>
> Todd N9OGL

Anyone hear Toddyboy?

1044. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 22:10:11 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41fc880d$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102535

"Dan" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:UHXKd.3337$Ix.2545@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.iaxm5xm.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> > On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:05:31 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >
> >>> A Petition for Rulemaking is not the proper way to get this ruling.
> >>> It would be dismissed out of hand.
> >>>
> >>> Strike One.
> >>>
> >>OK what is then???
> >
> > Consult your local communications attorney admitted to practice
> > before the FCC.
> >
> > You are not my client and I'm not going to do the "heavy lifting"
> > for you.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> >
>
> Amazing, ain't it Phil? Look at all these so called educated folks
> discussing the pro and con of "amateur broadcasting"..
>
> Looks like 14.275 has become the new sewer of ham radio. Riley ain't
gonna
> do squat. You want to get rid of these clowns? Get on freq before they
> show up. End of problem.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
For your information Dan, my show is not going to be 14.275. Since your are slow due to all that inbreeding down there in alabama I get you up to speed here. The N9OGL SHOW will be on Sunday at 4.00 pm CST; it was suppose to be today however, we got hit by a terrible snow storm. Unlike K1MAN my program is only on for a hour/ hour and a half. My program deals with issues in amateur radio. So feel free to get your wife/sister to sit around the radio and listen to my INFORMATION BULLETIN

Todd N9OGL
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1045. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 22:54:52 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41fc92e4$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102536

Commander Cornhole,

If you haven't figured it out...it's a Information Bulletin (ONE-WAY COMMUNICATION) you don't talk back to it. As for antenna it fell down due to a snow storm.

Todd N9OGL

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message news:HcmdnbAm5cFFxmHcRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
> Dan wrote:
>
> > "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> > news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> >
> >>Hello everyone,
> >>
> >>The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
> >>Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at 4.00pm
> >>CST
> >>
> >>Todd n9ogl
> >
> >
> >
> > Gawd almighty.....the child broadcaster can't even keep a wire in the air
> > and he is expecting to make an impression. What a flippin loser.
> >
> > And don't bother with a comeback Toad....your out of your league.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> Maybe Toddy boy is afraid that some of the newsgroup hams will show up
> on frequency and challange him and ask him to acutually defend his
> claims. Wouldn't supprise me if his antenna is still down tomorrow.
> I will be listening.
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1046. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 22:57:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <41FD665F.7C902B6E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102611

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <41FD13B3.A612C426@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <1107078819.251066.248770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
> >> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
> > >
> >> >it's just that Lennie
> >> >wanted it to go on HIS terms and with everyone rallying around HIS
> >> >flag...Didn't happen that way, so now he's gonna pick a fight with
> >> >"whoever".
> >>
> >> Perhaps that explains his imaginary newsgroup 'friends' ;-)
> > >
> > >I'm not sure what you mean, Jim. Do you refer to Len's overwhelming
> > >show of support from the multitudes who read r.r.a.p. but who never post
> > >here?
>
> No, Dave, but perhaps those folks should be included.

I think they'd have to be. It is odd that the only person who showed up
for that particular poll turned out to be a person who has previously
posted a number of times. At that, he didn't agree with Len's manner.

> I meant the cast of characters with made-up names that Len talks about, but who
> never post here and whose names and characteristics do not match anyone who
> does post here.

Ohhhhh--THOSE folks! Those names are especially reserved for those who
disagree with Len. That's when he breathes life into the well known
N2EY profile.

Dave K8MN

1047. · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-30 23:57:15 UTC
From: Google_Usenet_USA@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107129435.286150.162250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102612

.

1048. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 01:12:40 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107133960.040851.272760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102613

Mike Coslo wrote:
> In another post, I went back through a few weeks messages to find the

> cute little nicknames that LenOver21 makes up for people. I was
pretty
> impressed with the volume, but I know I didn't get them all. I came
up
> with 21 nicknames.
>
> In the interest of not leaving anyone out who may have received one
of
> his monikers, let's add to the list here:
>
> Nun of the Above
> Rev. Jim
> Gunnery Nurse
> Nursie
> Robust Oberst
> Nazi
> Avenging Angle
> Poop Dave
> Some kind of Humorless Ham full of Angries
> the paragon of Truth and Virtue, he say
> Herr Kommandant
> James Psychochief Miccolis
> Kommandant uf das Neugruppen Waffe
> Herr Gruppekommandant
> Mr. Petty Chief Ossifer
> das gruppenfuhrer
> da Katapult King
> La Cucaracha
> Herr Gasbag
> hockey puck
> fifth wheel on the four morsemen of the apocalypse
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, "Avenging Angel" is mine. bb

1049. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 01:31:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050130203113.09687.00000122@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 102614

In article <41FD665F.7C902B6E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <41FD13B3.A612C426@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >N2EY wrote:
>> >>
>> >> In article <1107078819.251066.248770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
>"K4YZ"
>> >> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >it's just that Lennie
>> >> >wanted it to go on HIS terms and with everyone rallying around HIS
>> >> >flag...Didn't happen that way, so now he's gonna pick a fight with
>> >> >"whoever".
>> >>
>> >> Perhaps that explains his imaginary newsgroup 'friends' ;-)
>> >>
>> >I'm not sure what you mean, Jim. Do you refer to Len's overwhelming
>> >show of support from the multitudes who read r.r.a.p. but who never post
>> >here?
>>
>> No, Dave, but perhaps those folks should be included.
>
>I think they'd have to be. It is odd that the only person who showed up
>for that particular poll turned out to be a person who has previously
>posted a number of times. At that, he didn't agree with Len's manner.

>> I meant the cast of characters with made-up names that Len talks about, but
>> who
>> never post here and whose names and characteristics do not match anyone >>
who does post here.
>
>Ohhhhh--THOSE folks! Those names are especially reserved for those who
>disagree with Len. That's when he breathes life into the well known
>N2EY profile.
>
How are we to know who he means by those names? And why can't he simply call
people who disagree with him by their actual first names or callsigns?

AOL is still carrying newsgroups - but what will Len do when that stops?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1050. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 01:51:28 UTC
From: Chuck O'Neal <cdoneal@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <o_2dnbg-Ks1GE2DcRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 102615

Can you be more specific? 20 meters is an entire amateur band. What
specific frequency or range of frequencies?

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41fc3ad0$1_1@127.0.0.1...
> Hello,
> It will be on 20 meters tomorrow at 4.00pm CST
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
> "Chuck O'Neal" <cdoneal@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:2NGdneugfKh8gGHcRVn-ow@comcast.com...
> > What frequency? Will it be on a band where there is any propagation?
> >
> > "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> > news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> > > Hello everyone,
> > >
> > > The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
> > > Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at
> 4.00pm
> > > CST
> > >
> > > Todd n9ogl
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> > News==----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
120,000+
> > Newsgroups
> > > ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> > =----
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
Newsgroups

> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

1051. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 01:56:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <qK6dnXXudc3WDWDcRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102616

bb wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>In another post, I went back through a few weeks messages to find the
>
>
>>cute little nicknames that LenOver21 makes up for people. I was
>
> pretty
>
>>impressed with the volume, but I know I didn't get them all. I came
>
> up
>
>>with 21 nicknames.
>>
>>In the interest of not leaving anyone out who may have received one
>
> of
>
>>his monikers, let's add to the list here:
>>
>>Nun of the Above
>>Rev. Jim
>>Gunnery Nurse
>>Nursie
>>Robust Oberst
>>Nazi

<Strike for inaccurate attribute>
>>Avenging Angle

<back to list>

>>Poop Dave
>>Some kind of Humorless Ham full of Angries
>>the paragon of Truth and Virtue, he say
>>Herr Kommandant
>>James Psychochief Miccolis
>>Kommandant uf das Neugruppen Waffe
>>Herr Gruppekommandant
>>Mr. Petty Chief Ossifer
>>das gruppenfuhrer
>>da Katapult King
>>La Cucaracha

>>Herr Gasbag
>>hockey puck
>>fifth wheel on the four morsemen of the apocalypse
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Mike, "Avenging Angel" is mine. bb

Oops, sorry about that Brian. I suspect there will be a few missed
attributes in the list.

1052. Re: 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 02:58:59 UTC
From: AugieDoggee1 <AugieDoggee1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107140339.856737.276780@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102617

Dracos wrote:
> Listen to 3945 kHz LSB
>
> These individuals violate the regulations regarding profanity on the
HF
> band.
>
> They are acting like those CBers
>
> And there's one callsign that should be changed --
> N1SOB in Palm Beach, FL.
>
>
> The group was bad mouthing someone on the Liberty Net named "Victor"
(Net
> Control Station).
> Saying that, "Victor is an ass. He thinks he's God and the Net is the
best
> thing since sliced bread."
>
> One station calls his wife a "Real Estate Bitch"
> A real family oriented ...he isn't, mmm-kay.
>
> Another station comes in from Connecticut and everyone gets all
friendly
> until he leaves, then they start their trash-talking.

1053. Re: 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 03:03:00 UTC
From: AugieDoggee1 <AugieDoggee1@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107140580.754327.295260@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102618

Get a life boys 3945 is alright spin the VFO if you don't like it
go spend some time with your family they will all be in orlando in
FEBurary if you want to do something about it >>> no life
wantabees Augie Doggee1
Dracos wrote:
> Listen to 3945 kHz LSB
>
> These individuals violate the regulations regarding profanity on the
HF
> band.
>
> They are acting like those CBers
>
> And there's one callsign that should be changed --
> N1SOB in Palm Beach, FL.
>
>
> The group was bad mouthing someone on the Liberty Net named "Victor"
(Net
> Control Station).
> Saying that, "Victor is an ass. He thinks he's God and the Net is the
best
> thing since sliced bread."
>
> One station calls his wife a "Real Estate Bitch"
> A real family oriented ...he isn't, mmm-kay.
>
> Another station comes in from Connecticut and everyone gets all
friendly
> until he leaves, then they start their trash-talking.

1054. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 03:14:21 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <jIKdnWYPLOs7NWDcRVn-sw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102619

Chuck O'Neal wrote:

> Can you be more specific? 20 meters is an entire amateur band. What
> specific frequency or range of frequencies?

If he narrowed it down to say 15 or 20 kcs then he might have to actually show up, but this way he if dosen't show up and when you claim you couldn't find him he could say you just didn't look in the right part of the band. I listened and scanned from 14.200 to 14.350, heard lots of stations in 9 land but never heard toddyboy. Bet he never transmitted.

1055. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 06:19:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107152378.964675.116560@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102622

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>In another post, I went back through a few weeks messages to find
the
> >
> >
> >>cute little nicknames that LenOver21 makes up for people. I was
> >
> > pretty
> >
> >>impressed with the volume, but I know I didn't get them all. I came
> >
> > up
> >
> >>with 21 nicknames.
> >>
> >>In the interest of not leaving anyone out who may have received one
> >
> > of
> >
> >>his monikers, let's add to the list here:
> >>
> >>Nun of the Above
> >>Rev. Jim
> >>Gunnery Nurse
> >>Nursie
> >>Robust Oberst
> >>Nazi
>
> <Strike for inaccurate attribute>
> >>Avenging Angle
>
> <back to list>
>
> >>Poop Dave
> >>Some kind of Humorless Ham full of Angries
> >>the paragon of Truth and Virtue, he say
> >>Herr Kommandant
> >>James Psychochief Miccolis
> >>Kommandant uf das Neugruppen Waffe
> >>Herr Gruppekommandant
> >>Mr. Petty Chief Ossifer

> >>das gruppenfuhrer
> >>da Katapult King
> >>La Cucaracha
> >>Herr Gasbag
> >>hockey puck
> >>fifth wheel on the four morsemen of the apocalypse
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Mike, "Avenging Angel" is mine. bb
>
> Oops, sorry about that Brian. I suspect there will be a few missed
> attributes in the list.

Actually, Mike, you were correct...So was Brian...Brian uses the "Avenging Angel", however Lennie twists an already dumb idea into the more dumberest "Angle".

And you forgot "Brownshirts", "Elitists", "Dr Strangelove" and "Jimmie".

Oh...and let's not forget "Coslonaut".

If Lennie were to spend as much time on Amateur Radio as he does dissing Radio Amateurs, he'd get the recognition he THINKS he deserves now...But, we all know THAT won't happen...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1056. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 11:22:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107170546.906146.213920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102623

K4YZ wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > >>In another post, I went back through a few weeks messages to find
> the
> > >
> > >
> > >>cute little nicknames that LenOver21 makes up for people. I was
> > >
> > > pretty
> > >
> > >>impressed with the volume, but I know I didn't get them all. I
came
> > >
> > > up
> > >
> > >>with 21 nicknames.
> > >>
> > >>In the interest of not leaving anyone out who may have received
one
> > >
> > > of
> > >
> > >>his monikers, let's add to the list here:
> > >>
> > >>Nun of the Above
> > >>Rev. Jim
> > >>Gunnery Nurse
> > >>Nursie
> > >>Robust Oberst
> > >>Nazi
> >
> > <Strike for inaccurate attribute>
> > >>Avenging Angle
> >
> > <back to list>
> >
> > >>Poop Dave
> > >>Some kind of Humorless Ham full of Angries
> > >>the paragon of Truth and Virtue, he say
> > >>Herr Kommandant
> > >>James Psychochief Miccolis

> > >>Kommandant uf das Neugruppen Waffe
> > >>Herr Gruppekommandant
> > >>Mr. Petty Chief Ossifer
> > >>das gruppenfuhrer
> > >>da Katapult King
> > >>La Cucaracha
> > >>Herr Gasbag
> > >>hockey puck
> > >>fifth wheel on the four morsemen of the apocalypse
> > >>
> > >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > >
> > > Mike, "Avenging Angel" is mine. bb
> >
> > Oops, sorry about that Brian. I suspect there will be a few missed
> > attributes in the list.
>
> Actually, Mike, you were correct...So was Brian...Brian uses the
> "Avenging Angel", however Lennie twists an already dumb idea into the
> more dumberest "Angle".

Oh, I missed that. Looks like he he's added a new twist and made it
his own. Probably patentable. Awesome.

1057. Re: new years party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 15:34:13 UTC
From: WA3MOJ@aol.com
Message-ID: <41fe510a@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 102624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I'm singing here on free for all Fridays!

http://www.pgmc.org/

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1058. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 15:39:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107185990.134626.268580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102625

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Actually, Mike, you were correct...So was Brian...Brian uses the
> > "Avenging Angel", however Lennie twists an already dumb idea into
the
> > more dumberest "Angle".
>
> Oh, I missed that. Looks like he he's added a new twist and made it
> his own. Probably patentable. Awesome.

Brian, I know that for what ever reason...maybe it's just to root
for the underdog, you've "sided" with Lennie.

But myself and a dozen other people have been TRYING to tell you
that Lennie is all-about making OTHER people's work HIS own...That's
partly why Lennie was disinvited from working in Pennsylvania, and
partly why you won't find his name as "author" in any professional
"radio"
journals...At least not since 1980.

Lennie can rant and rave about "PCTA Extras" or "Mighty Morsemen"
all he wants, but his circumstances are his OWN doing, and part of that
"doing" was trying to get by on the works of others.
And that now includes you.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1059. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 16:20:29 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <XoWdnZhbkqObxmPcRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102626

Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>
>>
>
> I was on 14.321.90 MHz. I will rebroadcast it tomorrow before I go to
> school. Some guys in mexico hear me :)
>
> Todd N9OGL

So what time? Same frequency + or - 10 khz?

1060. Re: Lardass' asskicking in person (NOW A MUSIC VIDEO !!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 16:59:11 UTC
From: George Busch <WA3MOJ@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41fe63df@x-privat.org>
Source record: 102627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Do you know of any niggers I can give deep throat head to?
>
"hamfest fatwalk" <familobermon73@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:c2d5$41fc3d41$d1cc4ec6$4021@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> That's right folks!
>
> Ole Lardass and all of his ham radio
> loooser friends are in this new and
> amazing music video !!!!!
>
> There is even a SPECIAL GUEST APPERANCE
> by Wogie the woozer from West Va and ole KJI
> (the "kind jewish indian" ?) Capt Underpants on His
> Head himself !!!
>
> It's here--> http://www.rathergood.com/first_drink/
>
> I AM SERIOUS FOLKS ---> YOU HAVE GOT TO SEE THIS !!!
>
> Turn up the volume and prepare to laugh you ass off silly
> seein your favorite 75 and 20 Meter microphone clowns
> with special backup music provided by the famous 3.865
> Spider Monkey R&B Band !
>
>

1061. Roger's Suicide Mission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 17:53:11 UTC
From: Roger Drinks Semen <aa9by@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1107193990.999619.188730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102628
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Great... just fucking great. Now the rag-heads are sending their retards in as suicide bombers. What will they try next, sending Roger Wiseman?? Of course, that would never work because Roger would just blow the first Jew he sees... not "blow-up" just blow.

Headline:
Child with Down Syndrome used in Suicide Attack
31 January 2005

Terrorists used a disabled child as a suicide bomber on election day, Iraqi interior minister Falah al-Naqib said today.

In all, 44 people were killed in a total of 38 bomb attacks on polling stations. Police at the scene of one the Baghdad blasts said the bomber appeared to have Down's syndrome.

Mr Al-Naqib praised an Iraqi citizen who was killed while preventing one suicide bomber from reaching a crowd of people outside a polling station.

http://www.thisislondon.co.uk/news/articles/16278831

[Contact me for info on viewing the webcam focused on Roger's "House"]
- Lloyd #12,423

1062. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 18:29:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050131132903.29459.00000053@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 102629

In article <1107170546.906146.213920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>> > Oops, sorry about that Brian. I suspect there will be a few missed
>> > attributes in the list.
>>
>> Actually, Mike, you were correct...So was Brian...Brian uses the
>> "Avenging Angel", however Lennie twists an already dumb idea into the
>> more dumberest "Angle".
>
>Oh, I missed that. Looks like he he's added a new twist and made it
>his own. Probably patentable. Awesome.

Brian, those tongue-in-cheek satiric appelations were justly earned by all parties. :-)

Actually, "Avenging Angle" applies to nearly all PCTA extras. All are self-righeously Right even if they aren't in a triangle of being oh-so-superior to NCTAs. All who don't worship at the Church of St. Hiram are, to them, heretics and infidels, unworthy of surviving on the same planet as the PCTA. They are all Right Angles but perpendicular to the plane of existance of reality of today.

Much earlier in here I'd made mention of one Elfren Saldivar (hope name is correct), the "Angle of Death" at Glendale Memorial Hospital in this area. He was a nurse who offed a bunch of elderly patients "to ease their suffering." Lots of them. Registered nurse with certificates and everything... Sound familiar? :-)

So...we've got the "forever marine" spending the weekend shouting epithets using pejoratives not in his ethnic experience, always being the "avenger" for the "service." We've got the prissy never-served busy acting like Nun of the Above, rapping the knuckles of any who don't agree with him (or her). We've got the "forever ham" of four decades hamming it up into great "superiority" but who never learned a thing about diplomacy in the State Department "foreign service." We've got the "university lecturer" who survives the terrible storms that regularly destroy everything in Hawaii except the ham rigs and assists other hams in regards to USPS addresses. We have the coslonaut who wanted hearty congratulations for a feat he hasn't yet begun but was upset when he didn't get it. A nice jolly group of down-lookers in their own PCTA "blog" happily giving each other high-fives for being so intrinisically superior to all others. The major "superiority" attribute is cussing out "civilians" not possessing the right ham hobby papers to show to their raddio kopp schutzstaffel.

They can flog each other in this blog for all I care. [some do]
All their little names are familiar to all who look into this blog.
[it long since dropped out as a discussion place, became a chat
room reserved for their highnesses, a group blog] They've
worked hard at earning all those names and should be proud of
them. :-)

Godwin's observation as the catalyst of their being compared to
the national socialist party of 1930s Germany applies in the truest
form. They gas back and forth so much in the group blog that
they need their own chambers. [again deserved by them]

As to amateur radio policy, only the newsgroup name has any
relevation to "ham radio." They ham it up, not on radio, not on
any "airwaves," only on computers, saved from direct physical
harm through time and distance by insulting all who don't agree
with them. Hear them roar! :-)

- 30 -
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1063. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 20:56:02 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41fdc82d$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102620

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
news:YNidneTM9rRYx2DcRVn-sA@gbronline.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> > "Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
> > news:HcmdnbAm5cFFxmHcRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
> >
> >>Dan wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Hello everyone,
> >>>>
> >>>>The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
> >>>>Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at
> >
> > 4.00pm
> >
> >>>>CST
> >>>>
> >>>>Todd n9ogl
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Gawd almighty.....the child broadcaster can't even keep a wire in the
> >
> > air
> >
> >>>and he is expecting to make an impression. What a flippin loser.
> >>>
> >>>And don't bother with a comeback Toad....your out of your league.
> >>>
> >>>Dan/W4NTI
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>Maybe Toddy boy is afraid that some of the newsgroup hams will show up
> >>on frequency and challange him and ask him to acutually defend his
> >>claims. Wouldn't supprise me if his antenna is still down tomorrow.
> >>I will be listening.
> >>

> >
> > No, we got by a big snow storm you fucking assholes
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Anyone hear Toddyboy?
>
>
I was on 14.321.90 MHz. I will rebroadcast it tomorrow before I go to
school. Some guys in mexico hear me :)

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1064. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 20:58:13 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41fdc8af$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102621

Two guys from mexico heard me :)
Todd N9OGL
"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
news:jIKdnWYPLOs7NWDcRVn-sw@gbronline.com...
> Chuck O'Neal wrote:
>
> > Can you be more specific? 20 meters is an entire amateur band. What
> > specific frequency or range of frequencies?
>
> If he narrowed it down to say 15 or 20 kcs then he might have to
> actually show up, but this way he if dosen't show up and when you claim
> you couldn't find him he could say you just didn't look in the right
> part of the band. I listened and scanned from 14.200 to 14.350, heard
> lots of stations in 9 land but never heard toddyboy. Bet he never
> transmitted.
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1065. Re: 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-01-31 22:44:19 UTC
From: KD4GJM <kd4gjm@dslx.net>
Message-ID: <1107211459.691023.247560@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102630

Free thinking people tend to speak freely as well. While some Hams on the frequency can get completely out of control at times, as a group we do try to self police excessive abuse of acceptable speech. If this is not to your satifaction, your more than welcome to go back to your home frequency and listen there.

The legality of the behavior on the frequency has already been addressed by Riley. That is the whole reason this is being debated here. There is nothing illlegal being done. Therefore, no action by Riley has been taken.

If your not happy with the concept of free speech, perhaps you should consider moving to the Peoples Republic of Clinton/Gore. Also known as China. They will be happy to control all of the speech and thoughts that you may be capable of producing.

1066. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 01:51:56 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DsSdnaqD5ccgfWPcRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102631

K4YZ wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>In another post, I went back through a few weeks messages to find
>
> the
>
>>>
>>>>cute little nicknames that LenOver21 makes up for people. I was
>>>
>>>pretty
>>>
>>>
>>>>impressed with the volume, but I know I didn't get them all. I came
>>>
>>>up
>>>
>>>
>>>>with 21 nicknames.
>>>>
>>>>In the interest of not leaving anyone out who may have received one
>>>
>>>of
>>>
>>>
>>>>his monikers, let's add to the list here:
>>>>
>>>>Nun of the Above
>>>>Rev. Jim
>>>>Gunnery Nurse
>>>>Nursie
>>>>Robust Oberst
>>>>Nazi
>>
>><Strike for inaccurate attribute>
>>
>>>>Avenging Angle
>>
>><back to list>

>>
>>>>Poop Dave
>>>>Some kind of Humorless Ham full of Angries
>>>>the paragon of Truth and Virtue, he say
>>>>Herr Kommandant
>>>>James Psychochief Miccolis
>>>>Kommandant uf das Neugruppen Waffe
>>>>Herr Gruppekommandant
>>>>Mr. Petty Chief Ossifer
>>>>das gruppenfuhrer
>>>>da Katapult King
>>>>La Cucaracha
>>>>Herr Gasbag
>>>>hockey puck
>>>>fifth wheel on the four morsemen of the apocalypse
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>Mike, "Avenging Angel" is mine. bb
>>
>>Oops, sorry about that Brian. I suspect there will be a few missed
>>attributes in the list.
>
>
> Actually, Mike, you were correct...So was Brian...Brian uses the
> "Avenging Angel", however Lennie twists an already dumb idea into the
> more dumberest "Angle".
>
> And you forgot "Brownshirts", "Elitists", "Dr Strangelove" and
> "Jimmie".
>
> Oh...and let's not forget "Coslonaut".
>
> If Lennie were to spend as much time on Amateur Radio as he does
> dissing Radio Amateurs, he'd get the recognition he THINKS he deserves
> now...But, we all know THAT won't happen...!

Doesn't appear to like too many Hams, does he?

- Mikie KB3EIA -

1067. From N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 02:20:06 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41fee7e7$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102632

The N9OGL SHOW ANNOUNCEMENT
The following is a list of dates and times the N9OGL show will be aired:

show will be between 14..225 to 13.350MHz

Fridays 7.00pm to 8.00pm CST

Saturday 4.00pm to 5.00pm CST

Sunday 4.00pm to 5.00pm CST

repeat 8.00pm to 9.00pm CST

Todd N9OGL

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1068. Re: From N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 02:21:26 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41fee837$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102633

Sorry correction 14..225 to 14.350 MHz

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41fee7e7$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> The N9OGL SHOW ANNOUNCEMENT
> The following is a list of dates and times the N9OGL show will be aired:
>
> show will be between 14..225 to 13.350MHz
>
>
> Fridays 7.00pm to 8.00pm CST
>
>
> Saturday 4.00pm to 5.00pm CST
>
>
> Sunday 4.00pm to 5.00pm CST
>
>
> repeat 8.00pm to 9.00pm CST
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----
>

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1069. Re: From N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 03:15:42 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <1tstv015e8ikchtj9ndi6ccu649uijdpve@4ax.com>
Source record: 102634

On Mon, 31 Jan 2005 20:20:06 -0600, "Todd Daugherty"
<toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:

>show will be between 14..225 to 13.350MHz

13 MHz sounds like a good round number. :)

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1070. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 06:09:04 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <qMidnW5udOIRvmLcRVn-rQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102635

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
> news:YNidneTM9rRYx2DcRVn-sA@gbronline.com...
>
>>Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
>>>news:HcmdnbAm5cFFxmHcRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dan wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Hello everyone,
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna problems
>>>>>>Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at
>>>
>>>4.00pm
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>CST
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Todd n9ogl
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Gawd almighty.....the child broadcaster can't even keep a wire in the
>>>
>>>air
>>>
>>>
>>>>>and he is expecting to make an impression. What a flippin loser.
>>>>>
>>>>>And don't bother with a comeback Toad....your out of your league.
>>>>>

>>>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Maybe Toddy boy is afraid that some of the newsgroup hams will show up
>>>>on frequency and challange him and ask him to acutually defend his
>>>>claims. Wouldn't supprise me if his antenna is still down tomorrow.
>>>>I will be listening.
>>>>
>>>
>>>No, we got by a big snow storm you fucking assholes
>>>
>>>Todd N9OGL
>>
>>Anyone hear Toddyboy?
>>
>>
>
> I was on 14.321.90 MHz. I will rebroadcast it tomorrow before I go to
> school. Some guys in mexico hear me :)

What were their calls?

1071. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 06:47:58 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <41ff26ee$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102636

I didn't write down their call signs I will rebroadcast the program Thursday and Friday afternoon all I can tell you sport is listen up then maybe you hear me. As for frequency I will not designate any frequency because I don't want some licensed CB Operator or amateur radio operator inbreeds claim they were on that frequency first or claim interference. I scan the band and pick an unused frequency good hunting

Todd N9OGL

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
news:qMidnW5udOIRvmLcRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> > "Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
> > news:YNidneTM9rRYx2DcRVn-sA@gbronline.com...
> >
> >>Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:HcmdnbAm5cFFxmHcRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Dan wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> >>>>>news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Hello everyone,
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna
problems
> >>>>>>Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at
> >>>
> >>>4.00pm
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>CST
> >>>>
> >>>>Todd n9ogl
> >>>>>

> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Gawd almighty.....the child broadcaster can't even keep a wire in the
> >>>
> >>>air
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>and he is expecting to make an impression. What a flippin loser.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>And don't bother with a comeback Toad....your out of your league.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Dan/W4NTI
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Maybe Toddy boy is afraid that some of the newsgroup hams will show up
> >>>>on frequency and challange him and ask him to acutually defend his
> >>>>claims. Wouldn't supprise me if his antenna is still down tomorrow.
> >>>>I will be listening.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>No, we got by a big snow storm you fucking assholes
> >>>
> >>>Todd N9OGL
> >>
> >>Anyone hear Toddyboy?
> >>
> >>
> >
> > I was on 14.321.90 MHz. I will rebroadcast it tomorrow before I go to
> > school. Some guys in mexico hear me :)
>
> What were their calls?
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1072. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 07:43:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107243838.870138.70070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102637

Len Anderson wrote:

> Brian, those tongue-in-cheek satiric appelations were justly
earned
> by all parties.

No one in this group "earned" being insulted by a pathological liar
like you, Lennie.

Rest of self-appreciating anti-Amateur Radio hatred snipped.

> - 30 -

Cute, Lennie, but it still doesn't amke you an "author".
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Thanks

1073. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 08:02:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107244604.981818.115960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102638

Mike Coslo wrote:

> > If Lennie were to spend as much time on Amateur Radio as he does
> > dissing Radio Amateurs, he'd get the recognition he THINKS he
deserves
> > now...But, we all know THAT won't happen...!
>
> Doesn't appear to like too many Hams, does he?

If you'll check out his latest rant in this thread, you'll see
that Lennie doesn't like much of anyone.

He has something ugly to say about each of us... He comes into an
Amateur Radio forum, is ugly to and dishonest in his representations of
Amateurs, then wonders why no one wants to be his pal.
73

Steve, K4YZ

1074. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 08:05:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107244630.546657.9510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102640

Mike Coslo wrote:

> > If Lennie were to spend as much time on Amateur Radio as he does
> > dissing Radio Amateurs, he'd get the recognition he THINKS he
deserves
> > now...But, we all know THAT won't happen...!
>
> Doesn't appear to like too many Hams, does he?

If you'll check out his latest rant in this thread, you'll see
that Lennie doesn't like much of anyone.

He has something ugly to say about each of us... He comes into an
Amateur Radio forum, is ugly to and dishonest in his representations of
Amateurs, then wonders why no one wants to be his pal.
73

Steve, K4YZ

1075. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 08:05:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107244646.006802.10620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102639

Mike Coslo wrote:

> > If Lennie were to spend as much time on Amateur Radio as he does
> > dissing Radio Amateurs, he'd get the recognition he THINKS he
deserves
> > now...But, we all know THAT won't happen...!
>
> Doesn't appear to like too many Hams, does he?

If you'll check out his latest rant in this thread, you'll see
that Lennie doesn't like much of anyone.

He has something ugly to say about each of us... He comes into an
Amateur Radio forum, is ugly to and dishonest in his representations of
Amateurs, then wonders why no one wants to be his pal.
73

Steve, K4YZ

1076. Re: · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 17:38:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107279517.389249.14340@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102642

Ham Guy wrote:

> What sane person could possibly want to worship a god that condemns
> people to eternal torture simply because they don't believe in him,
or
> because they want more proof of his existence than what's written in
> an ancient book of fairy tales?

I can see three aliens sitting in their spacecraft lauging it up
over a few of what ever aliens drink, telling the tale: "...and then
Zork flames this bush with a phaser blast, uses his old ventriloquist
voice and tells this old guy to....."

73

Steve, K4YZ

1077. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 17:40:45 UTC
From: Chuck O'Neal <cdoneal@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <EIidnd2TWLBKI2LcRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 102641

"I scan the band and pick
an unused frequency"

Excellent practice Todd! Congratulations. You are clearly a step above
that nutcase from Maine, K1MAN, who doesn't give a damn who he maliciously
jams when he starts up his crapcasts.

73,
Chuck...

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41ff26ee$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> I didn't write down their call signs I will rebroadcast the program
Thursday
> and Friday afternoon all I can tell you sport is listen up then maybe you
> hear me. As for frequency I will not designate any frequency because I
don't
> want some licensed CB Operator or amateur radio operator inbreeds claim
they
> were on that frequency first or claim interference. I scan the band and
pick
> an unused frequency good hunting
>
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
> news:qMidnW5udOIRvmLcRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >
> > > "Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
> > > news:YNidneTM9rRYx2DcRVn-sA@gbronline.com...
> > >
> > >>Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
> > >>>news:HcmdnbAm5cFFxmHcRVn-rQ@gbronline.com...
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>>Dan wrote:
> > >>>>
> > >>>>

> > >>>>
> > >>>>>"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> > >>>>>news:41fc08d5$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>>Hello everyone,
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>>The N9OGL program is cancelled until tomorrow due to antenna
> problems
> > >>>>>>Sorry about that, it will be up and running tomorrow Sunday, 30 at
> > >>>
> > >>>4.00pm
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>>>>CST
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>>Todd n9ogl
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>Gawd almighty.....the child broadcaster can't even keep a wire in
the
> > >>>
> > >>>air
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>>>and he is expecting to make an impression. What a flippin loser.
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>And don't bother with a comeback Toad....your out of your league.
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>Dan/W4NTI
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>Maybe Toddy boy is afraid that some of the newsgroup hams will show
up
> > >>>>on frequency and challange him and ask him to acutually defend his
> > >>>>claims. Wouldn't supprise me if his antenna is still down tomorrow.
> > >>>>I will be listening.
> > >>>>
> > >>>
> > >>>No, we got by a big snow storm you fucking assholes
> > >>>
> > >>>Todd N9OGL
> > >>
> > >>Anyone hear Toddyboy?
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> >

> > > I was on 14.321.90 MHz. I will rebroadcast it tomorrow before I go to
> > > school. Some guys in mexico hear me :)
> >
> > What were their calls?
> >
> >
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

1078. Has anyone actuially received the latest QRZ Callsign CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 19:33:31 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <10vvmcinb0n0403@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 102643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I ordered mine over 8 days ago and so far not a word from qrz.com except an "order confirmation" on Dec 25 and a charge to our CC of course. The "new CD" was advertised as "available now" on the web site.
--

CJ

1079. Re: Has anyone actuially received the latest QRZ Callsign CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 20:02:58 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <10vvo3uacau9544@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 102644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

s/b Jan 25 NOT Dec 25 - mea culpa...

--

"Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com> wrote in message
news:10vvmcinb0n0403@news.supernews.com...
>
> I ordered mine over 8 days ago and so far not a word from qrz.com except
> an "order confirmation" on Dec 25 and a charge to our CC of course. The
> "new CD" was advertised as "available now" on the web site.
> --
>
> CJ
>
>
>
>
>
>

1080. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 20:24:40 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1107289480.159802.234090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102645

These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held by
individuals on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of January 31, 2005:

Novice - 29,435 (decrease of 19,894)
Technician - 265,739 (increase of 60,435)
Technician Plus - 52,999 (decrease of 75,861)
General - 137,763 (increase of 25,086)
Advanced - 77,523 (decrease of 22,259)
Extra - 106,121 (increase of 27,371)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,738 (decrease of 15,516)

Total all classes - 669,580 (decrease of 4812)

Note that these totals do not include licenses that have expired but
are in the
grace period. They also do not include club, military, RACES or other
station-only licenses.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1081. Re: Has anyone actuially received the latest QRZ Callsign CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 20:28:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107289734.582408.126450@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102646
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Charlie wrote:
> I ordered mine over 8 days ago and so far not a word from qrz.com
except an
> "order confirmation" on Dec 25 and a charge to our CC of course. The
"new
> CD" was advertised as "available now" on the web site.

Why not address this to "QRZ.com", rather than spamming several
Internet Newsgroups that can't help you one bit?

Steve, K4YZ

1082. Re: Has anyone actuially received the latest QRZ Callsign CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 21:26:26 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@hojerkyoulloyd.com>
Message-ID: <ctos4s$eq3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102647
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oh my Oh my, look everyone, one of the malcontent regulars
from r.r.a.p. has arrived on the scene to play NetKKKop.
errrrrrrr, shove your "suggestion" up your ass sideways fruit boy.

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message:
>
> Why not address this to "QRZ.com", rather than spamming several
> Internet Newsgroups that can't help you one bit?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

1083. Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 21:35:57 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ctosnt$10bu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102648

I felt kinda bad about being mean to Len, so I'll try to meet him
halfway with a Morse code topic. So maybe we can ressurect this old one...

I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.

It is most certainly not.

Let us look at the situation.

Is the Dit a "0"?
Is the Dah a "1"?

Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait, what
is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?

It isn't binary, and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.

It's not binary.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1084. Re: Skip Signals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 21:38:11 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107293891.404787.93020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar,misc.transport.trucking,alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jack D. wrote:
> Are they better with/without sunspots? What does high/low pressure
> conditions do to a signal?....Thanks.

Hey Jack D.....R U really that fucking stupid? Tell you what,
"CUM" to medina and fuck me in my ass and tell you all of my radio
secrets!

1085. Re: Has anyone actuially received the latest QRZ Callsign CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 21:46:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107294375.222858.264780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102650
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd wrote:
> Oh my Oh my, look everyone, one of the malcontent regulars
> from r.r.a.p. has arrived on the scene to play NetKKKop.
> errrrrrrr, shove your "suggestion" up your ass sideways fruit boy.
>
>
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message:
> >
> > Why not address this to "QRZ.com", rather than spamming several
> > Internet Newsgroups that can't help you one bit?

Hey Lloydie...! ! ! Your Mommie says Daddy will be away ALLLLL
night! She put clean sheets on her bed JUST FOR YOU!
Have fun, you silly boy!

Steve, K4YZ

1086. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 21:50:25 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <DrGdnSc9bZY0ZGLcRVn-2w@crocker.com>
Source record: 102651

thats funny, the program i am writing represents it very nicely with just
1's and 0's.

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:ctosnt$10bu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> I felt kinda bad about being mean to Len, so I'll try to meet him
> halfway with a Morse code topic. So maybe we can ressurect this old one...
>
> I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
>
> It is most certainly not.
>
> Let us look at the situation.
>
> Is the Dit a "0"?
> Is the Dah a "1"?
>
> Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait, what
> is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?
>
> It isn't binary, and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.
>
> It's not binary.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

1087. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 21:55:46 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050201165546.10657.00000392@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 102653

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,738 (decrease of 15,516)

Total all classes - 669,580 (decrease of 4812)

Yep dumbing Down is really working now.

1088. Questions for N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 21:56:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050201165647.102$wU_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 102652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar,misc.transport.trucking,alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.amateur.policy

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to hav e them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward yo u are, maggot?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

1089. Re: Has anyone actuially received the latest QRZ Callsign CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-01 22:08:47 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <10vvvfpf3cipg92@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 102654
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I'm taking a survey - OK pal????

--

Charlie
Ham Radio - AD5TH
www.ad5th.com
Live Blues Music
www.492acousticblues.com

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1107289734.582408.126450@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Charlie wrote:
>> I ordered mine over 8 days ago and so far not a word from qrz.com
> except an
>> "order confirmation" on Dec 25 and a charge to our CC of course. The
> "new
>> CD" was advertised as "available now" on the web site.
>
> Why not address this to "QRZ.com", rather than spamming several
> Internet Newsgroups that can't help you one bit?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

1090. Re: Has anyone actuially received the latest QRZ Callsign CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 00:32:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107304378.675674.236080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102655
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Charlie wrote:
> I'm taking a survey - OK pal????

My "pals" are generally more "with it" than to put such a
rhetorical question in a forum where it will only bring humiliation on
the author.

Kinda like you were.

Steve

1091. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 00:50:12 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <4n8001lr8dfnm7emcl1s074n9hku43e7as@4ax.com>
Source record: 102656

On Tue, 1 Feb 2005 00:47:58 -0600, "Todd Daugherty"
<toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:

>I didn't write down their call signs I will rebroadcast the program Thursday
>and Friday afternoon all I can tell you sport is listen up then maybe you
>hear me. As for frequency I will not designate any frequency because I don't
>want some licensed CB Operator or amateur radio operator inbreeds claim they
>were on that frequency first or claim interference. I scan the band and pick
>an unused frequency good hunting
>
>
>
>Todd N9OGL
>

You just raised yourself to a higher than acceptable standard ;) You
might be the first ham to broadcast news without taking over a
frequency to do so.

In all sincerity, I commend you for your courtesy. Having been
bombarded by both ARRL and K1MAN while in a QSO, I have a bit of a
chip on my shoulder when it comes to broadcasts on the ham
frequencies. I hope you continue your practice of finding a clear
frequency for your broadcasts. If I hear you some time, I will listen
to it.

Buck
N4PGW

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1092. Re: Has anyone actuially received the latest QRZ Callsign CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 00:53:05 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <v09001thefpboh7o51e44luqhc5aoog2oq@4ax.com>
Source record: 102657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 1 Feb 2005 13:33:31 -0600, "Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com>
wrote:

>
>I ordered mine over 8 days ago and so far not a word from qrz.com except an
>"order confirmation" on Dec 25 and a charge to our CC of course. The "new
>CD" was advertised as "available now" on the web site.

'

Has anyone ever cross-posted to too many groups before?

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1093. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 02:06:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <046dnaHDg4AzqJ3fRVn-sw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102658

Dave wrote:
> thats funny, the program i am writing represents it very nicely with just
> 1's and 0's.

Looking at it that way, all things that can be put into a digital
program are digital, such as photographs, word processing, database, etc.

Let us put it to the test, Dave.
Write out a short sentence, or even a CQ de (your callsign) in binary
format, and let me read it right off the screen. If Morse code is
binary, it will be no problem.

This is a screen readable approximation of me calling CQ

.-.- --.- -.. . -.- -... ...-- . .. .- .--. ... . -.-

it is not binary.

- Mike KB3EIA -

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:ctosnt$10bu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>I felt kinda bad about being mean to Len, so I'll try to meet him
>>halfway with a Morse code topic. So maybe we can ressurect this old one...
>>
>>I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
>>
>>It is most certainly not.
>>
>>Let us look at the situation.
>>
>>Is the Dit a "0"?
>>Is the Dah a "1"?
>>
>>Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait, what
>>is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?
>>
>>It isn't binary, and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.
>>
>>It's not binary.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>

>

1094. Re: Has anyone actuially received the latest QRZ Callsign CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 02:39:10 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <1100fao1ciosp2c@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 102659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I wouldn't know...evidently the news server had no trouble handling it!!!

--

Charlie
Ham Radio - AD5TH
www.ad5th.com
Live Blues Music
www.492acousticblues.com

"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
news:v09001thefpboh7o51e44luqhc5aoog2oq@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 1 Feb 2005 13:33:31 -0600, "Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>I ordered mine over 8 days ago and so far not a word from qrz.com except
>>an
>>"order confirmation" on Dec 25 and a charge to our CC of course. The "new
>>CD" was advertised as "available now" on the web site.
>
> '
> Has anyone ever cross-posted to too many groups before?
>
>
> Buck
> --
> For what it's worth.
>

1095. TO0O on 7014.4 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 03:25:57 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <MMXLd.60261$2O5.35383@fe09.lga>
Source record: 102660

TO0O (Martinique, France) on 7014.4 kHz for all interested souls. GL es...

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

1096. Re: TO0O on 7014.4 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 06:35:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107326157.805522.140260@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102662

Bert Craig wrote:

> TO0O (Martinique, France) on 7014.4 kHz for all interested souls. GL
es...

But...but...but...BERT ! ! !

Doesn't that mean that someone (in the U.S.) wanting to "work" them
has to know MORSE CODE and have an EXTRA class ticket...?!?!

HEAVEN FORBID ! ! ! !
ROTMFFLMMFAO!

73

Steve, K4YZ

PS...Didya get them...???

1097. Re: TO0O on 7014.4 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 07:24:16 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <800101hdhf7hgenv6up4t7923itfhuh2k6@4ax.com>
Source record: 102663

On 1 Feb 2005 22:35:57 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Bert Craig wrote:
>
>> TO0O (Martinique, France) on 7014.4 kHz for all interested souls. GL
>es...
>
>But...but...but...BERT ! ! !
>
>Doesn't that mean that someone (in the U.S.) wanting to "work" them
>has to know MORSE CODE and have an EXTRA class ticket...?!?!
>
>HEAVEN FORBID ! ! ! !
>ROTMFFLMMFAO!
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
>PS...Didya get them...???

No, bootleggers and out-of-band hams can work him too ;)

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1098. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 10:10:19 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4200a79e$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102664

I think that many amateur operator think that; that someone running a information bulletin get on a frequency that's in uses and begins broadcasting. However, this is not true. As I stated I look for a unused frequency and I call three times if the frequency is in uses. I know K1MAN or W1AW doesn't do that. The second problem is Amateur operators who have a problems with information bulletins that are opinionated.which is a different issue.

Todd N9OGL

"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message news:4n8001lr8dfnm7emcl1s074n9hku43e7as@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 1 Feb 2005 00:47:58 -0600, "Todd Daugherty"
> <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:
>
> >I didn't write down their call signs I will rebroadcast the program Thursday
> >and Friday afternoon all I can tell you sport is listen up then maybe you
> >hear me. As for frequency I will not designate any frequency because I don't
> >want some licensed CB Operator or amateur radio operator inbreeds claim they
> >were on that frequency first or claim interference. I scan the band and pick
> >an unused frequency good hunting
> >
> >
> >
> >Todd N9OGL
> >
>
> You just raised yourself to a higher than acceptable standard ;) You
> might be the first ham to broadcast news without taking over a
> frequency to do so.
>
> In all sincerity, I commend you for your courtesy. Having been
> bombarded by both ARRL and K1MAN while in a QSO, I have a bit of a
> chip on my shoulder when it comes to broadcasts on the ham
> frequencies. I hope you continue your practice of finding a clear
> frequency for your broadcasts. If I hear you some time, I will listen
> to it.
>
> Buck
> N4PGW

>
> Buck
> --
> For what it's worth.
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1099. Re: TO0O on 7014.4 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 11:19:07 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <oI2Md.60347$Iw5.19174@fe09.lga>
Source record: 102665

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1107326157.805522.140260@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
>
>> TO0O (Martinique, France) on 7014.4 kHz for all interested souls. GL
> es...
>
> But...but...but...BERT ! ! !
>
> Doesn't that mean that someone (in the U.S.) wanting to "work" them
> has to know MORSE CODE and have an EXTRA class ticket...?!?!
>
> HEAVEN FORBID ! ! ! !
> ROTMFFLMMFAO!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> PS...Didya get them...???

Hi Steve,

Yep, guilty on both counts, hi. I got 'em too! Used an Elecraft K1 at 4 W
w/a Windom up 35 ft.

I'll keep an ear out for ya. :-)

Take care es...

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

P.S. Just worked HC1HLO. That one's kinda special since I've actually
walked around in Santo Domingo, Ecuador. Take it easy, Steve.

1100. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 13:42:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1107351739.742928.107020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102666

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > In article <1107078819.251066.248770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
"K4YZ"
> > <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
> >
> >>>hehe, I'm just trying to help Len out - it seems no one here wants
> >>>to argue about Morse code testing, and it seems to bother some
people.
> >
> > I think it's more accurate to say that almost ho one here wants to
discuss
> > *anything* with Len anymore - because of *his* behavior
>
> You mean people don't care for being called Nun of the Above, Rev.
Jim,
> Gunnery Nurse, Nursie, Robust Oberst, Nazi, Avenging Angle, Poop
Dave,
> Some kind of Humorless Ham full of Angries, the paragon of Truth and
> Virtue, he say, Herr Kommandant, James Psychochief Miccolis,
Kommandant
> uf das Neugruppen Waffe, Herr Gruppekommandant, Mr. Petty Chief
Ossifer,
> das gruppenfuhrer, da Katapult King, La Cucaracha, Herr Gasbag,
hockey
> puck, and fifth wheel on the four morsemen of the apocalypse? Just a
> small sampling of the names he calls those who would disagree with
him.
> Sorry if I left any out!

There's plenty more. Anyone who disagrees with Len gets that treatment,
no matter how they treat Len.
>
> Whodathunkit?
>
> If ya don't care about the names - and I don't all that much, the
> content of the messages is a behavior issue in itself.

Of course.

> No one has to act like that. It is a choice.

> Wanna talk to people? Allow them to want to talk to you. If you are
> going to be unpleasant in the extreme, you'll get a predictable

> reaction. When we do reply to him, you'll notice it is just
reciprocal
> chain jerking. Big deal.
>
> Must be what he wants.
>
No, what Len wants was described very accurately years ago:

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/4772919df09ea659?dmode=so
urce

And more succinctly last year:

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/a2da971c77111562?dmode=so
urce
73 de Jim, N2EY

1101. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 14:03:51 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <iqm101p4783csi0lk8qgv9vqcikpg5o24t@4ax.com>
Source record: 102667

On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 04:10:19 -0600, "Todd Daugherty"
<toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:

>The second problem is Amateur operators who have a
>problems with information bulletins that are opinionated.which is a
>different issue.
>
>Todd N9OGL

Aren't we all? :)

I don't keep up with the League's schedule or frequencies, nor K1MAN's. I find a clear spot and transmit. It seems that many times the frequencies were clear because I was the only one that didn't know the League's schedule. On the other hand, K1MAN tried to take over the GA SSB Net frequency every night at net time. His "research" showed that that was the only logical frequency for his broadcast, which he repeated several times daily. Additionally, there was a station that began broadcasting "code practice" 24 hours a day on the CW QRP calling frequency. I am a Christian and Bible believer, but calling it code practice doesn't justify the means. Several others have come and gone over the 26 years since I have been licensed. Like K1MAN and the League, they started their broadcasts on a set frequency at a set time without regard for QSOs in progress.

Opinionated? Well, like Pavlov's dog, I (we) have been conditioned.

Thanks, again, for your courteous approach.

Buck
N4PGW

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1102. Re: TO0O on 7014.4 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 14:06:15 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <2fn101ppn2f0vs2psa7lcc4a44s0st0283@4ax.com>
Source record: 102668

On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 02:24:16 -0500, Buck <iam@this.site> wrote:

>On 1 Feb 2005 22:35:57 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Bert Craig wrote:
>>
>>> TO0O (Martinique, France) on 7014.4 kHz for all interested souls. GL
>>es...
>>
>>But...but...but...BERT ! ! !
>>
>>Doesn't that mean that someone (in the U.S.) wanting to "work" them
>>has to know MORSE CODE and have an EXTRA class ticket...?!?!
>>
>>HEAVEN FORBID ! ! ! !
>>ROTMFFLMMFAO!
>>
>>73
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>PS...Didya get them...???
>
>
>No, bootleggers and out-of-band hams can work him too ;)
>
>
>Buck

BTW, something just caught my memory, Correct me if I am wrong, but I
believe you said you were going to 'start' the broadcast just before
you leave for school?

Don't the rules require a control operator to be present for the
broadcast? You might double check that.

Buck
Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1103. Re: Has anyone actuially received the latest QRZ Callsign CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 15:40:10 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <uv6Md.44505$eT5.43100@attbi_s51>
Source record: 102669
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1107289734.582408.126450@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Charlie wrote:
> > I ordered mine over 8 days ago and so far not a word from qrz.com
> except an
> > "order confirmation" on Dec 25 and a charge to our CC of course. The
> "new
> > CD" was advertised as "available now" on the web site.
>
> Why not address this to "QRZ.com", rather than spamming several
> Internet Newsgroups that can't help you one bit?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Received my copy of "Volume 24, Winter 2004-2005 QRZ Callsign Databae" last
year sometime. I remember it came in the mail the day after they said it
would be available. The charge showed up later.

ak

1104. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 17:15:30 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <SU7Md.35$sr1.4@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 102670

In article <ctosnt$10bu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>,
Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:

| I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
|
| It is most certainly not.

It most certainly is.
...
| Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait, what
| is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?

Try looking at it at a lower level -- stop looking at the dits and dahs.

Morse code is either on or off. 1 or 0. You're either emitting a
signal, or you're not -- there's no in between.

Looking up what binary means -- http://www.answers.com/binary --

bi na ry (b'n-r) pronunciation
adj.
1. Characterized by or consisting of two parts or components; twofold.

At the lowest level, there's only two components -- on or off, tone or
no tone. It certainly fits the definition.

Considering that `tone' = 1 and `no tone' = 0 ...

Longer periods of 1's = dahs
Shorter periods of 1's = dits
Short period of 0's = space between a dit or a dah.
Longer period of 0's = space between characters.
Even longer period of 0's = space between words.

It's not a particularly efficient binary code, but it *is*, at the
lowest level, binary -- there's only two states. It's certainly not
analog, or tinary, or ...

Now, to be fair, at a higher level, you could say it has four states
-- dit, dah, space between character, space between word. Which would
be quadrary (is that the right word? is it even a real word?) But
that doesn't mean it can't be binary at another level at the same
time.

| It isn't binary, and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.

I'm not sure that the way our brain processes it is relevant. RTTY is
binary (or do you disagree there too?) and yet our brain hardly

processes it's output in a binary manner.

| It's not binary.

If you say so. I doubt I've convinced you, but it's really all a
matter of how you look at it, and if you insist on looking at it in
only one way, nobody's going to convince you otherwise.

Why do I feel like I've just been trolled? :)

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com, AD5RH
What I want is all of the power and none of the responsibility.

1105. Re: Lardass' asskicking in person (NOW A MUSIC VIDEO !!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 17:29:08 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Qc6dnZQbUsBWkJzfRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 102671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"George Busch" <WA3MOJ@aol.com> wrote in news:41fe63df@x-privat.org:

> Do you know of any niggers I can give deep throat head to?

Your mother?

1106. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 17:46:30 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1107366390.007797.253960@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102672

Michael Coslo wrote:
> I felt kinda bad about being mean to Len,

When were you mean to Len, Mike?

Unless you count disagreeing with him and proving him wrong as "being
mean", you've been nothing but nice to him.

> so I'll try to meet him
> halfway with a Morse code topic.

His definition of meeting halfway is that you agree with him 100%.

> So maybe we can ressurect this old one...
>
> I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
>
> It is most certainly not.

Depends how you define "binary".

> Let us look at the situation.
>
> Is the Dit a "0"?

No.

> Is the Dah a "1"?

No.
>
> Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait,
what
> is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?

Key up is "0". Key down is "1". Also known as "space" and "mark",
respectively.
>
> It isn't binary,

Depends on how you define "binary".

> and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.

Different subject.

> It's not binary.
>
Most Morse operators with any skill (that excludes Len) process a

complete character as one "sound". "didahdidit" is recognized as "L", in the same way that when you hear the word "cat", you think of the animal. The Morse operator does not think in terms of dits and dahs any more than a person thinks in terms of the consonant and vowel sounds (phonemes) making up "cat".

Of course *really* skilled Morse ops hear entire words as units of sound. And at some level, they begin to think in Morse, just as fluent speakers of a language think in that language.
Of course Len wouldn't know about that...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1107. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 17:50:02 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <ep8Md.418$uA.173@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 102673

In article <046dnaHDg4AzqJ3fRVn-sw@adelphia.com>,
Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

| Let us put it to the test, Dave.
| Write out a short sentence, or even a CQ de (your callsign) in binary
| format, and let me read it right off the screen. If Morse code is
| binary, it will be no problem.

That's actually a reasonable test. And I shall give you an answer,
though I don't think you expected one. And I'm not Dave.

Here is a binary representation of `CQ DE K' (this gets rather tedious,
so I'll only do the first few characters) :

1011101011100011101110101110000000111010100010001110101110000

And to explain that further --

dit = 1
dah = 111
space between dit/dah = 0
space between letters = 000
space between words = 0000000

So, `CQ DE K' translates to :

C 10111010111
000
Q 1110111010111
0000000
D 1110101
000
E 1
000
K 111010111
000

(the letters and newlines are there *only* to help make it readable.)

To play this back is very simple --

-- Pick a time period -- for example, 1 = 1/10 th of a second.
-- go through the list, going through each chracter --
1 = play a tone for 1/10th of a second
0 = be completely silent for 1/10th of a second

It's really that simple.

If you want a program to do it --

```
#!/usr/bin/perl -w
# C Q D E K B 3 E I A P S E K
my $string = ".-.- --.-\n-.. .\n-.- -... ...-- . .. .-\n.--. ... . -.-" ;
foreach my $c (split (//, $string)) {
if ($c eq ".") { print "10" ; next } ;
if ($c eq "-") { print "1110" ; next } ;
if ($c eq " ") { print "00" ; next } ; # Only two 0s, because the last
# character ended with a 0.
if ($c eq "\n") { print "000000" ; next } ; # ditto, but 6.
}
print "\n" ;
```

And the output of your complete CQ in binary is :

```
10111010111000111011101011100000001110101000100000000
11101011100011101010100010101011101110001000101000101110000000
10111011101000101010001000111010111 0
```

new lines and spaces are added by me only to help it fit on the
screen.

```
| This is a screen readable approximation of me calling CQ
|
| .-.- --.- -.. . -.- -... ...-- . .. .- .--. ... . -.-
```

```
| it is not binary.
```

Binary.

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com, AD5RH
.. Time is the best teacher, unfortunately it kills all of its students.

1108. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 18:18:13 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <FP8Md.27535$xt.6711@fed1read07>
Source record: 102674

Much to do about nothing

Morse code is sounds

Binary in the customary useage is ones and zeroes -- computer stuff.

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)

"Doug McLaren" <dougmc@frenzy.com> wrote in message
news:ep8Md.418$uA.173@fe1.texas.rr.com...
> In article <046dnaHDg4AzqJ3fRVn-sw@adelphia.com>,
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
> | Let us put it to the test, Dave.
> | Write out a short sentence, or even a CQ de (your callsign) in binary
> | format, and let me read it right off the screen. If Morse code is
> | binary, it will be no problem.
>
> That's actually a reasonable test. And I shall give you an answer,
> though I don't think you expected one. And I'm not Dave.
>
> Here is a binary representation of `CQ DE K' (this gets rather tedious,
> so I'll only do the first few characters) :
>
> 10111010111000111011101011100000001110101000100011101011100 0
>
> And to explain that further --
>
> dit = 1
> dah = 111
> space between dit/dah = 0
> space between letters = 000
> space between words = 0000000
>
> So, `CQ DE K' translates to :
>
> C 10111010111
> 000
> Q 1110111010111
> 0000000
> D 1110101
> 000
> E 1
> 000

```
> K 111010111
> 000
>
> (the letters and newlines are there *only* to help make it readable.)
>
> To play this back is very simple --
>
> -- Pick a time period -- for example, 1 = 1/10 th of a second.
> -- go through the list, going through each character --
> 1 = play a tone for 1/10th of a second
> 0 = be completely silent for 1/10th of a second
>
> It's really that simple.
>
> If you want a program to do it --
>
> #!/usr/bin/perl -w
> # C Q D E K B 3 E I A P S E K
> my $string = ".-.- --.-\n-.. .\n-.- -... ...-- . .. .-\n.--. ... . -.-"
> ;
> foreach my $c (split (//, $string)) {
> if ($c eq ".") { print "10" ; next } ;
> if ($c eq "-") { print "1110" ; next } ;
> if ($c eq " ") { print "00" ; next } ; # Only two 0s, because the
> last
> # character ended with a 0.
> if ($c eq "\n") { print "000000" ; next } ; # ditto, but 6.
> }
> print "\n" ;
>
> And the output of your complete CQ in binary is :
>
> 10111010111000111011101011100000001110101000100000000
> 11101011100011101010100010101011101110001000101000101110000000
> 1011101110100010101000100011101011110
>
> new lines and spaces are added by me only to help it fit on the
> screen.
>
> | This is a screen readable approximation of me calling CQ
> |
> | .-.- --.- -.. . -.- -... ...-- . .. .- .--. ... . -.-
>
> | it is not binary.
>
> Binary.
>
> --
> Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com, AD5RH
> .. Time is the best teacher, unfortunately it kills all of its students.
```

1109. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 20:48:49 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KathleenLee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <04f201t5gligt88ibndu52g0ecp90mk896@4ax.com>
Source record: 102675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 1 Feb 2005 12:00:40 -0800, "steveo crusher"
<steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Since mopey has been dumped there is very little strife here?
>AKC rules mopey dopey
>
What the hell happened to my N8WWM???

LUCAS COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
J. BERNIE QUILTER, CLERK
700 ADAMS STREET
TOLEDO, OHIO TIME: 3:51:38 PM
DATE: 2/2/2005

CASE: G -4801 -CR -199606161
TITLE: S/O V DOUGLAS W ADAIR
JUDGE: JAMES D. JENSEN
FILING DATE: 6/12/1996 CASE TYPE: CR
CRIMINAL

MONETARY AMOUNT: DOCKET/PAGE:
ORIGINAL COURT: TAX TYPE:
PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER: STATE OF OHIO NUMBER:

Party Counsel Prosecutor
Defendent 1:
ADAIR DOUGLAS W
5319 SECOR RD.
TOLEDO , OH 43623 MARY S. SWANSON
4199365120
411 N MICHIGAN
TOLEDO , OH 436241606

COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF DEPENDENTS
F4

DATE SEQ EVENT
6/12/1996 1 Title : JRE:CASE ACTIVATION IN FACTS
PARTY : -
5/31/2000 1 Title : WAR:CAPIAS ORDERED PER LCAPD

803-00499 issued by PJ (CAPIAS REQUEST GRANTED)
Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is
ordered
issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
6/1/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORDER FILED & JOURNALIZED
CAPIAS C-174-842
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
6/1/2000 2 Title : EVT:ORDER FILED & JOURNALIZED
CAPIAS C-174-843
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
6/1/2000 3 Title : WAR:CAPIAS ISSUED BY CLERK
C01-02080 issued by 163 (8931) WAR:CAPIAS ISSUED
BY CLERK
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 1 Title : WAR:DEFD'T ARREST
WARR/CAPIAS
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 2 Title : WAR:CAPIAS SERVED ON
DEFENDANT
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 3 Title : HRG:PV HEARING SET
November 17, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 4 Title : WAR:CAPIAS RETURN FILED
SERVED ON 11/13/00
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 5 Title : WAR:CAPIAS RETURN FILED
SERVED ON 11/12/00
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/17/2000 1 Title : BND:SUPERVISED OR BOND
ISSUED
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/17/2000 2 Title : MIS:CASE CONT AT DEF
REQUEST
772-28458 issued by JTS (GENERAL CONTINUANCE FOR
CRIMINAL CA
Dated November 15, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA
CORPE
ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. Defendant,
DOUGLAS
ADAIR present in court. Indigency Hearing held.
MARY S.
SWANSON appointed as counsel.
Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing.
Pursuant to
the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for
Probation
Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at

8:30 a.m.
Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
Sue Swanson
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$
THRU9/23/03
RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL -
PROBATION CONTINUE
Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA
CORPE
ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S.
SWANSON
present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant,
DOUGLAS
ADAIR present in court.
Defendant having admitted to a probation
violation, and
having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in
violation
of probation.
Defendant having been convicted of the offense of
Nonsupport
of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code
Section
2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11,
1997 is
ordered continued with the following added
conditions: 1)
Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant
to seek
mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage
withholding
agreement.
Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution
including
any fees associated with the appointment of
counsel. It is
further ordered that the defendant pay any
supervision fees
associated with being granted probation. Payment
of

financial obligations shall be prioritized as
restitution,
supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned
counsel
fees.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $
THRU9/23/03
SENTENCE C-184-502
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
terminated unsuccesfully
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1110. Looney moparholic@hotmail.com forging some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 21:15:37 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107378937.667261.64790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp
```

1111. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 21:26:30 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <uvCdne031NYS2JzfRVn-vQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 102677

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:046dnaHDg4AzqJ3fRVn-sw@adelphia.com...
> Dave wrote:
> > thats funny, the program i am writing represents it very nicely with
just
> > 1's and 0's.
>
> Looking at it that way, all things that can be put into a digital
> program are digital, such as photographs, word processing, database, etc.
>
> Let us put it to the test, Dave.
> Write out a short sentence, or even a CQ de (your callsign) in binary
> format, and let me read it right off the screen. If Morse code is
> binary, it will be no problem.
>
> This is a screen readable approximation of me calling CQ
>
> .-.- --.- -.. . -.- -... ...-- . .. .- .--. ... . -.-
>
> it is not binary.

1110101110100111011101011100111010100100111010111001011101110111011100111001
11001110000
looks perfectly binary to me

to make it more readable try replacing 1 with - and 0 with spaces to get:
--- - --- - --- --- - --- --- - - - --- - --- - --- --- --- --- --- -
-- ---

1112. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 21:58:34 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ctriea$v4c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102678

Doug McLaren wrote:
> In article <ctosnt$10bu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>,
> Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:
>
> | I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
> |
> | It is most certainly not.
>
> It most certainly is.
> ...
> | Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait, what
> | is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?
>
> Try looking at it at a lower level -- stop looking at the dits and dahs.

At a lower level, anything is digital when you look at it that way. A photograph, digital audio, whatever.

If Morse code was really digital, there would be no need to have a lower level

> Morse code is either on or off. 1 or 0. You're either emitting a
> signal, or you're not -- there's no in between.

Ahh, so the space between the dits and dahs means nothing? There is definitely an "in between" It is how we determine what the words are:

..... is that the number 5, or is it HE or is it SI, or IS or EH?

> Looking up what binary means -- http://www.answers.com/binary --
>
> bi na ry (b'n-r) pronunciation
> adj.
> 1. Characterized by or consisting of two parts or components; twofold.
>
> At the lowest level, there's only two components -- on or off, tone or
> no tone. It certainly fits the definition.
>
> Considering that `tone' = 1 and `no tone' = 0 ...
>
> Longer periods of 1's = dahs
> Shorter periods of 1's = dits
> Short period of 0's = space between a dit or a dah.
> Longer period of 0's = space between characters.
> Even longer period of 0's = space between words.

You have just described more than two states.

> It's not a particularly efficient binary code, but it *is*, at the
> lowest level, binary -- there's only two states. It's certainly not
> analog, or tinary, or ...

Disagree. It isn't analog for sure, but with only a 1 and a zero, it
cant be described. Trying to describe it with 1's and 0's means that you
have to translate it. That longer dah, is not a 1. It cannot be the same
thing as the short dit. If both of them are 1's, the analogy fails

> Now, to be fair, at a higher level, you could say it has four states
> -- dit, dah, space between character, space between word. Which would
> be quadrary (is that the right word? is it even a real word?) But
> that doesn't mean it can't be binary at another level at the same
> time.
>
> | It isn't binary, and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.
>
> I'm not sure that the way our brain processes it is relevant. RTTY is
> binary (or do you disagree there too?) and yet our brain hardly
> processes it's output in a binary manner.
>
> | It's not binary.
>
> If you say so. I doubt I've convinced you, but it's really all a
> matter of how you look at it, and if you insist on looking at it in
> only one way, nobody's going to convince you otherwise.

I'm saying that in order to have Morse code be binary, you have to
digitize it, so to speak. You have to have a clocking action, and a dah
has to either be something other than a "1" if the dit is considered a
"1". If it was truly digital, you wouldn't have to do any of that.

> Why do I feel like I've just been trolled? :)

Isn't that what the group is about? here

- Mike KB3EIA -

1113. Re: TO0O on 7014.4 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 22:06:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107382019.174481.74370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102679

Buck wrote:
> On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 02:24:16 -0500, Buck <iam@this.site> wrote:
>
> >On 1 Feb 2005 22:35:57 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>Bert Craig wrote:
> >>
> >>> TO0O (Martinique, France) on 7014.4 kHz for all interested souls.
GL
> >>es...
> >>
> >>But...but...but...BERT ! ! !
> >>
> >>Doesn't that mean that someone (in the U.S.) wanting to "work" them
> >>has to know MORSE CODE and have an EXTRA class ticket...?!?!
> >>
> >>HEAVEN FORBID ! ! ! !
> >>ROTMFFLMMFAO!
> >>
> >>73
> >>
> >>Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >>PS...Didya get them...???
> >
> >
> >No, bootleggers and out-of-band hams can work him too ;)
> >
> >
> >Buck
>
>
> BTW, something just caught my memory, Correct me if I am wrong, but I
> believe you said you were going to 'start' the broadcast just before
> you leave for school?
>
>
> Don't the rules require a control operator to be present for the
> broadcast? You might double check that.

I'm a bit lost here.

Where in any of these exchanges vis-a-vis TO0O was anything said
about any "broadcasts"...????

Steve, K4YZ

1114. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 22:49:19 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <PNcMd.1544$sr1.1198@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 102680

In article <ctriea$v4c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>,
Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:

| At a lower level, anything is digital when you look at it that way. A
| photograph, digital audio, whatever.

Digital audio is digital if you look at it that way? Cute.

As for a photograph, a black and white photograph could be seen that
way -- after all, at the molecular level, a molecule of pigment is
either there or not there. It's quantized.

But no, not everything is digital. A specific sound isn't digital --
sure, you could approximate it with a digital stream, but ultimately
it's just an approximation, no matter how fast your digital stream is.

| If Morse code was really digital, there would be no need to have a
| lower level

Are you even thinking about what you're saying here?

If there's only one level, then a morse code signal would be just a
constant tone. It's hard to put much information into a constant,
unchanging tone. If you want to transmit some information, you're
going to need to have at least two states to choose from.

| > Morse code is either on or off. 1 or 0. You're either emitting a
| > signal, or you're not -- there's no in between.
|
| Ahh, so the space between the dits and dahs means nothing? There is
| definitely an "in between" It is how we determine what the words are:

The space between the dits and dahs is `off' -- either 1, 3 or 7
zeros. The dits and dahs are `on', either one or three 1s.

Look at my other post where I converted your CQ call to a binary
representation of it.

| ..... is that the number 5, or is it HE or is it SI, or IS or EH?

If you feel that I claimed that the spaces are unimportant, then you
did not understand me very well. Spaces are represented by a number
of zeros, and dits and dahs by a number of ones. Binary.

| > Longer periods of 1's = dahs
| > Shorter periods of 1's = dits
| > Short period of 0's = space between a dit or a dah.
| > Longer period of 0's = space between characters.

| > Even longer period of 0's = space between words.
|
| You have just described more than two states.

Sure -- I was trying to explain how it all boils down to two states.

RTTY usually carries information encoded with BAUDOT. (You do believe that RTTY is digital, right? Even with that 1.5 baud stop bit?) Each BAUDOT character is chosen by 5 bits -- that's 32 states, and then there's the state of the shift, which gives you about 62 states (64 - 2, since two states don't matter.)

So RTTY/BAUDOT uses somewhere between 32 and 64 states. But you do believe that that RTTY and BAUDOT are binary modulations or codes, right?

| > It's not a particularly efficient binary code, but it *is*, at the
| > lowest level, binary -- there's only two states. It's certainly not
| > analog, or tinary, or ...
|
| Disagree. It isn't analog for sure, but with only a 1 and a zero, it
| cant be described.

... but I just did in my other post. It was a bit tedious, but hardly impossible.

| Trying to describe it with 1's and 0's means that you
| have to translate it. That longer dah, is not a 1.

That is correct -- dah is not 1. It's three 1s in a row, followed by at least one 0.

| It cannot be the same thing as the short dit. If both of them are
| 1's, the analogy fails

Sure. The short dit is just a single 1, followed by at least one 0.

| I'm saying that in order to have Morse code be binary, you have to
| digitize it, so to speak. You have to have a clocking action, and a dah
| has to either be something other than a "1" if the dit is considered a
| "1". If it was truly digital, you wouldn't have to do any of that.

Have you looked at your morse code key lately? It has two positions -- on and off. That alone should be enough to let you realize that it's binary.

Things like PSK31 and RTTY/BAUDOT aren't any different, except that the computer does the translation down to the binary level rather than your brain and your finger.

It could be argued that the human brain doesn't easily deal with binary codes. Which would make sense -- many of us had a hard time learning morse code, even at the slowest possible speed. Yet it's only about 40 characters, which shouldn't be hard to memorize at all.

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com, AD5RH
"I found out why my car was humming. It had forgotten the words."

1115. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-02 23:43:23 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <-MOdnXTzANI4-JzfRVn-pg@crocker.com>
Source record: 102681

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:ctriea$v4c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Doug McLaren wrote:
> > In article <ctosnt$10bu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>,
> > Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:
> >
> > | I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
> > |
> > | It is most certainly not.
> >
> > It most certainly is.
> > ...
> > | Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait,
what
> > | is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?
> >
> > Try looking at it at a lower level -- stop looking at the dits and dahs.
>
> At a lower level, anything is digital when you look at it that way. A
> photograph, digital audio, whatever.
>
> If Morse code was really digital, there would be no need to have a lower
> level
>
> > Morse code is either on or off. 1 or 0. You're either emitting a
> > signal, or you're not -- there's no in between.
>
> Ahh, so the space between the dits and dahs means nothing? There is
> definitely an "in between" It is how we determine what the words are:
>
> ..... is that the number 5, or is it HE or is it SI, or IS or EH?
>
> > Looking up what binary means -- http://www.answers.com/binary --
> >
> > bi na ry (b'n-r) pronunciation
> > adj.
> > 1. Characterized by or consisting of two parts or components;
twofold.
> >
> > At the lowest level, there's only two components -- on or off, tone or
> > no tone. It certainly fits the definition.
> >
> > Considering that `tone' = 1 and `no tone' = 0 ...
> >

> > Longer periods of 1's = dahs
> > Shorter periods of 1's = dits
> > Short period of 0's = space between a dit or a dah.
> > Longer period of 0's = space between characters.
> > Even longer period of 0's = space between words.
>
> You have just described more than two states.
>
> > It's not a particularly efficient binary code, but it *is*, at the
> > lowest level, binary -- there's only two states. It's certainly not
> > analog, or tinary, or ...
>
> Disagree. It isn't analog for sure, but with only a 1 and a zero, it
> cant be described. Trying to describe it with 1's and 0's means that you
> have to translate it. That longer dah, is not a 1. It cannot be the same
> thing as the short dit. If both of them are 1's, the analogy fails
>
> > Now, to be fair, at a higher level, you could say it has four states
> > -- dit, dah, space between character, space between word. Which would
> > be quadrary (is that the right word? is it even a real word?) But
> > that doesn't mean it can't be binary at another level at the same
> > time.
> >
> > | It isn't binary, and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.
> >
> > I'm not sure that the way our brain processes it is relevant. RTTY is
> > binary (or do you disagree there too?) and yet our brain hardly
> > processes it's output in a binary manner.
> >
> > | It's not binary.
> >
> > If you say so. I doubt I've convinced you, but it's really all a
> > matter of how you look at it, and if you insist on looking at it in
> > only one way, nobody's going to convince you otherwise.
>
> I'm saying that in order to have Morse code be binary, you have to
> digitize it, so to speak. You have to have a clocking action, and a dah
> has to either be something other than a "1" if the dit is considered a
> "1". If it was truly digital, you wouldn't have to do any of that.

even the 'real' digital modes have a clocking action. how else do you know when one character ends and another starts? the one big oddity of morse is that the characters are unequal lengths so it is not easy to make a simple clock mechanism to decode them like it is for baudot or ascii codes. then of course another oddity is that it is often sent by hand (or at least it used to be) so the timing varies even within a short message making it even harder to decode mechanically. however hscw and very low speed or coherent cw are normally machine encoded and decoded and rely on very exact timing.

1116. Re: Has anyone actuially received the latest QRZ Callsign CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 00:43:17 UTC
From: Bob Reed W2CE <w2ce@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FvudneJ1ffyx6ZzfRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 102682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com> wrote in message
news:10vvmcinb0n0403@news.supernews.com...
>
> I ordered mine over 8 days ago and so far not a word from qrz.com except
an
> "order confirmation" on Dec 25 and a charge to our CC of course. The "new
> CD" was advertised as "available now" on the web site.
> --
>
> CJ

I am very sure that QRZ.com is very much aware that they must not charge
your credit card until shipment has taken place. The fact that they did
tells me that you need to report to them that nothing has been received and
ask them to trace the shipment. They are just too reputable, a break down
in the shipping path has occured.

1117. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 01:03:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107392582.684676.91560@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102683

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Actually, Mike, you were correct...So was Brian...Brian uses the
> > > "Avenging Angel", however Lennie twists an already dumb idea into
> the
> > > more dumberest "Angle".
> >
> > Oh, I missed that. Looks like he he's added a new twist and made
it
> > his own. Probably patentable. Awesome.
>
> Brian, I know that for what ever reason...maybe it's just to root
> for the underdog, you've "sided" with Lennie.
>
> But myself and a dozen other people have been TRYING to tell you
> that Lennie is all-about making OTHER people's work HIS own...That's
> partly why Lennie was disinvited from working in Pennsylvania, and
> partly why you won't find his name as "author" in any professional
> "radio"
> journals...At least not since 1980.
>
> Lennie can rant and rave about "PCTA Extras" or "Mighty Morsemen"
> all he wants, but his circumstances are his OWN doing, and part of
that
> "doing" was trying to get by on the works of others.
> And that now includes you.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

But, but, but...

I've never written for a professional radio journal, so Len can hardly
ride my coattails.

1118. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 01:23:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107393817.627654.132810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102684

Doug McLaren wrote:
> In article <046dnaHDg4AzqJ3fRVn-sw@adelphia.com>,
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
> | Let us put it to the test, Dave.
> | Write out a short sentence, or even a CQ de (your callsign) in
binary
> | format, and let me read it right off the screen. If Morse code is
> | binary, it will be no problem.
>
> That's actually a reasonable test. And I shall give you an answer,
> though I don't think you expected one. And I'm not Dave.
>
> Here is a binary representation of `CQ DE K' (this gets rather
tedious,
> so I'll only do the first few characters) :
>
> 10111010111000111011101011100000001110101000100011101011100 0
>
> And to explain that further --
>
> dit = 1
> dah = 111
> space between dit/dah = 0
> space between letters = 000
> space between words = 0000000
>
> So, `CQ DE K' translates to :
>
> C 10111010111
> 000
> Q 1110111010111
> 0000000
> D 1110101
> 000
> E 1
> 000
> K 111010111
> 000
>
> (the letters and newlines are there *only* to help make it readable.)
>
> To play this back is very simple --
>

```
> -- Pick a time period -- for example, 1 = 1/10 th of a second.
> -- go through the list, going through each chracter --
> 1 = play a tone for 1/10th of a second
> 0 = be completely silent for 1/10th of a second
>
> It's really that simple.
>
> If you want a program to do it --
>
> #!/usr/bin/perl -w
> # C Q D E K B 3 E I A P S E
K
> my $string = ".-.- --.-\n-.. .\n-.- -... ...-- . .. .-\n.--. ... .
-.-" ;
> foreach my $c (split (//, $string)) {
> if ($c eq ".") { print "10" ; next } ;
> if ($c eq "-") { print "1110" ; next } ;
> if ($c eq " ") { print "00" ; next } ; # Only two 0s, because
the last
> # character ended with
a 0.
> if ($c eq "\n") { print "000000" ; next } ; # ditto, but 6.
> }
> print "\n" ;
>
> And the output of your complete CQ in binary is :
>
> 10111010111000111011101011100000001110101000100000000
> 11101011100011101010100010101011101110001000101000101110000000
> 1011101110100010101000100011101011110
>
> new lines and spaces are added by me only to help it fit on the
> screen.
>
> | This is a screen readable approximation of me calling CQ
> |
> | .-.- --.- -.. . -.- -... ...-- . .. .- .--. ... . -.-
>
> | it is not binary.
>
> Binary.
>
> --
> Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com, AD5RH
> .. Time is the best teacher, unfortunately it kills all of its
students.
```

Doug, please perform the same exercise for all variations of the Farnsworth code. Thanks.

1119. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 01:26:40 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107394000.296577.14500@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102685

Doug McLaren wrote:
> In article <ctosnt$10bu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>,
> Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:
>
> | I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
> |
> | It is most certainly not.
>
> It most certainly is.
> ...
> | Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait,
what
> | is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?
>
> Try looking at it at a lower level -- stop looking at the dits and
dahs.
>
> Morse code is either on or off. 1 or 0. You're either emitting a
> signal, or you're not -- there's no in between.
>
> Looking up what binary means -- http://www.answers.com/binary --

Look up what "Morse Code" means. If you can. The FCC no longer has a
definition.

1120. Re: TO0O on 7014.4 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 01:32:49 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <2pv2011ofgbpc4ahos4cv5d0gdkj2ut8pb@4ax.com>
Source record: 102686

On 2 Feb 2005 14:06:59 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Buck wrote:
>> On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 02:24:16 -0500, Buck <iam@this.site> wrote:
>>
>> >On 1 Feb 2005 22:35:57 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >>Bert Craig wrote:
>> >>
>> >>> TO0O (Martinique, France) on 7014.4 kHz for all interested souls.
>GL
>> >>es...
>> >>
>> >>But...but...but...BERT ! ! !
>> >>
>> >>Doesn't that mean that someone (in the U.S.) wanting to "work" them
>> >>has to know MORSE CODE and have an EXTRA class ticket...?!?!
>> >>
>> >>HEAVEN FORBID ! ! ! !
>> >>ROTMFFLMMFAO!
>> >>
>> >>73
>> >>
>> >>Steve, K4YZ
>> >>
>> >>PS...Didya get them...???
>> >
>> >
>> >No, bootleggers and out-of-band hams can work him too ;)
>> >
>> >
>> >Buck
>>
>>
>> BTW, something just caught my memory, Correct me if I am wrong, but I
>> believe you said you were going to 'start' the broadcast just before
>> you leave for school?
>>
>>
>> Don't the rules require a control operator to be present for the
>> broadcast? You might double check that.
>

>I'm a bit lost here.
>
>Where in any of these exchanges vis-a-vis TO0O was anything said
>about any "broadcasts"...????
>
>Steve, K4YZ

OOPS!! This was posted as a followup on a totally different thread.
Sorry..

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1121. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 01:35:23 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <5sv201hfa0nr7hlpteog07kcm2im5g22cl@4ax.com>
Source record: 102688

On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 04:10:19 -0600, "Todd Daugherty"
<toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:

>I think that many amateur operator think that; that someone running a
>information bulletin get on a frequency that's in uses and begins
>broadcasting. However, this is not true. As I stated I look for a unused
>frequency and I call three times if the frequency is in uses. I know K1MAN
>or W1AW doesn't do that. The second problem is Amateur operators who have a
>problems with information bulletins that are opinionated.which is a
>different issue.
>
>Todd N9OGL
>
>
>"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
>news:4n8001lr8dfnm7emcl1s074n9hku43e7as@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 1 Feb 2005 00:47:58 -0600, "Todd Daugherty"
>> <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:
>>
>> >I didn't write down their call signs I will rebroadcast the program
>Thursday
>> >and Friday afternoon all I can tell you sport is listen up then maybe you
>> >hear me. As for frequency I will not designate any frequency because I
>don't
>> >want some licensed CB Operator or amateur radio operator inbreeds claim
>they
>> >were on that frequency first or claim interference. I scan the band and
>pick
>> >an unused frequency good hunting
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >Todd N9OGL
>> >
>>
>>
>> You just raised yourself to a higher than acceptable standard ;) You
>> might be the first ham to broadcast news without taking over a
>> frequency to do so.
>>
>> In all sincerity, I commend you for your courtesy. Having been
>> bombarded by both ARRL and K1MAN while in a QSO, I have a bit of a
>> chip on my shoulder when it comes to broadcasts on the ham
>> frequencies. I hope you continue your practice of finding a clear

>> frequency for your broadcasts. If I hear you some time, I will listen
>> to it.
>>

BTW, something just caught my memory, Correct me if I am wrong, but I believe you said you were going to 'start' the broadcast just before you leave for school?

Don't the rules require a control operator to be present for the broadcast? You might double check that.

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1122. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 01:35:57 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <1efMd.1574$sr1.1128@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 102687

In article <1107393817.627654.132810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
bb <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:

```
| > If you want a program to do it --
| >
| > #!/usr/bin/perl -w
| > # C Q D E K B 3 E I A P S E
| K
| > my $string = ".-.- --.-\n-.. .\n-.- -... ...-- . .. .-\n.--. ... .-.-" ;
| > foreach my $c (split (//, $string)) {
| > if ($c eq ".") { print "10" ; next } ;
| > if ($c eq "-") { print "1110" ; next } ;
| > if ($c eq " ") { print "00" ; next } ; # Only two 0s, because
| the last
| > # character ended with
| a 0.
| > if ($c eq "\n") { print "000000" ; next } ; # ditto, but 6.
| > }
| > print "\n" ;
...
| Doug, please perform the same exercise for all variations of the
| Farnsworth code. Thanks.
```

Um, I'll pass :)

I'm not sure what the value would be, but if you really think it's worthwhile, you can work out the timings yourself, and use my program to print out your 1s and 0s. (perl is available on most operating systems, including Windows, if you need it.)

All you'd have to do is change the `00' and `000000' print statements to include more zeros. (But don't forget that there's already a 0 printed as a part of the last character when calculating the timings.)

In any event, the expected timings for `standard' morse code are well defined -- a dah is 3x as long as a dit, the space between dits and dahs is as long as a dit, the space between characters is 3x as long as a dit, and the space between words is 7x as long as a dit. The Farnsworth method merely makes the 3x and 7x gaps longer.

(My little program uses \n's to indicate the end of a word. This is needed because the `.-.- --.-' notation really has no standard way of indicating the difference between a 3-dit long pause and a 7-dit long pause.)

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com
internet, eh? I hear they have that on computers now.

1123. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 01:36:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107394569.391385.193290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102689

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Key up is "0". Key down is "1". Also known as "space" and "mark",
> respectively.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Odd, the old definition of Morse Code didn't use the terms "0" and "1",
nor "mark" and "space." All the timing was in terms of the length of a
single "dit." Hmmmm? Almost makes one think that Jim don't know Jack
about Morse Code. But he'll tell us otherwise.
Just more Miccollis revisionist history, I guess.

1124. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 01:39:24 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107394764.413310.204770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102690

N2EY wrote:
>
> AOL is still carrying newsgroups - but what will Len do when that
stops?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Maybe Algore will jump in and reinvent the internet.

1125. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 01:48:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107395298.244316.236510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KC8QJP wrote:
> On 1 Feb 2005 12:00:40 -0800, "steveo crusher"
> <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:

Sorry I missed that.

But one county south of there (Wood County) the state troopers stopped an Ohio Supreme Court Justice (the only Democrat on that bench) while driving a government vehicle for weaving and hiccupping. After rendering her license and registration, she sped away. The troopers followed her, observed more weaving, and pulled her over again. She wanted special treatment because of her status in life.

Party girl refused the breathalyzer, but when she finally succumbed, she blew a point 23. Awesome! Maybe she'll do a recruiting poster for the US Navy.

1126. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 02:33:46 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <e4gMd.7523$lx.6651@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102553

Hey Toad,

If you want to be a broadcaster, here is a far out idea.....Get a license
to be a broadcaster.

If you want to express your opinion on ham radio, about ham subjects, here
is a radical thought.......get on the air, engage someone in
conversation....and here it is....express your views. Far out eh?

Dan/W4NTI

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41f9c0ac$1_1@127.0.0.1...
> Again, I think the only way to solve this problem as I stated before is to
> have the FCC clarify the rules only then will this problem be resolved.
> untill then I will continue to inform Amateurs with my opinions about
> issues
> in amateur radio.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:1IOdnf6oAJNDK2TcRVn-jQ@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>> news:41f73273$1_2@127.0.0.1...
>> > Opinion: A belief or conclusion held with confinfidence but not
>> > substantiated by proof (2) A judgement based on special KNOWLEDGE (3) A
>> > judgement or estimation
>> >
>>
>> Please note that in definition 2, you have put the emphasis on the wrong
>> word. The emphasis should be on JUDGEMENT not on knowledge. Note that
>> various and conflicting judgements (opinions) can be formed from the same
>> knowledge.
>>
>> There is of course no rule that hams cannot be opinionated. There is of
>> course no rule that they cannot discuss their opinions in the course of
>> ordinary two way conversations. However the limited "broadcasting"
> allowed
>> by part 97 specifies information bulletins. Opinions are not information
>> although of course they may be derived from information.
>>
>>
>>

>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000
> Newsgroups
> ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1127. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 02:34:37 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <15gMd.7524$lx.4119@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102552

"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
news:b3rov0pgm7a01998k8fm78422mk325gbk5@4ax.com...
>> You want to get rid of these clowns? Get on freq before they
>>show up. End of problem.
>
>
> Pardon me while I laugh. They will probably stomp all over anyone who
> tries to use that frequency.
>
>
> Buck
> --
> For what it's worth.
>

Who is they?

Dan/W4NTI

1128. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 02:36:59 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <f7gMd.7525$Ix.1812@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102550

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41fc880d$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> "Dan" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:UHXKd.3337$Ix.2545@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>> news:cuvyxnansvbet.iaxm5xm.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>> > On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:05:31 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>> >
>> >>> A Petition for Rulemaking is not the proper way to get this ruling.
>> >>> It would be dismissed out of hand.
>> >>>
>> >>> Strike One.
>> >>>
>> >>OK what is then???
>> >
>> > Consult your local communications attorney admitted to practice
>> > before the FCC.
>> >
>> > You are not my client and I'm not going to do the "heavy lifting"
>> > for you.
>> >
>> > --
>> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Amazing, ain't it Phil? Look at all these so called educated folks
>> discussing the pro and con of "amateur broadcasting"..
>>
>> Looks like 14.275 has become the new sewer of ham radio. Riley ain't
> gonna
>> do squat. You want to get rid of these clowns? Get on freq before they
>> show up. End of problem.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
> For your information Dan, my show is not going to be 14.275. Since your
> are
> slow due to all that inbreeding down there in alabama I get you up to
> speed
> here. The N9OGL SHOW will be on Sunday at 4.00 pm CST; it was suppose to
> be
> today however, we got hit by a terrible snow storm. Unlike K1MAN my

> program
> is only on for a hour/ hour and a half. My program deals with issues in
> amateur radio. So feel free to get your wife/sister to sit around the
> radio
> and listen to my INFORMATION BULLETIN
>
> Todd N9OGL
>>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

What a dumbass you are Toad. Just because someone has a 4 call means they are inbreed, eh Toad.....What is it they call 9 landers? Well I have enough class NOT to go there. But just to make sure you understand what I think of you.....put this on for size....FUCK YOU MORON.

Dan/W4NTI

1129. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 02:38:08 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <k8gMd.7526$Ix.2307@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102551

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:ed6dndPsWdzrlWDcRVn-oQ@adelphia.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
>> "Dan" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:UHXKd.3337$Ix.2545@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>>>news:cuvyxnansvbet.iaxm5xm.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>>>
>>>>On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:05:31 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>> A Petition for Rulemaking is not the proper way to get this ruling.
>>>>>>> It would be dismissed out of hand.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Strike One.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>OK what is then???
>>>>
>>>> Consult your local communications attorney admitted to practice
>>>> before the FCC.
>>>>
>>>> You are not my client and I'm not going to do the "heavy lifting"
>>>> for you.
>>>>
>>>>--
>>>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>Amazing, ain't it Phil? Look at all these so called educated folks
>>>discussing the pro and con of "amateur broadcasting"..
>>>
>>>Looks like 14.275 has become the new sewer of ham radio. Riley ain't
>>
>> gonna
>>
>>>do squat. You want to get rid of these clowns? Get on freq before they
>>>show up. End of problem.
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>>

>>
>> For your information Dan, my show is not going to be 14.275. Since your
>> are
>> slow due to all that inbreeding down there in alabama I get you up to
>> speed
>> here. The N9OGL SHOW will be on Sunday at 4.00 pm CST; it was suppose to
>> be
>> today however, we got hit by a terrible snow storm. Unlike K1MAN my
>> program
>> is only on for a hour/ hour and a half. My program deals with issues in
>> amateur radio. So feel free to get your wife/sister to sit around the
>> radio
>> and listen to my INFORMATION BULLETIN
>
>
> But the big question is: What are you going to do if the frequency is in
> use at "bulliten time"?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

He will come on here, bitch and whine, and then wonder why folks tell him
to go screw himself.

Dan/W4NTI

1130. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 02:39:24 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <w9gMd.7527$Ix.6491@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102554

Last I heard he cut a deal with Steve Anderson to be his butt buddy in
prison.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:KsedneYC86pEV2HcRVn-hA@crocker.com...
> speaking of da man... where is he, haven't heard him in a while. did his
> radio shack timer break again? or maybe he packed up and went with one of
> his 'jump teams'???
>
> "Dan" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:7KXKd.3345$Ix.2796@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> Help me here please Toad Daugherty.
>>
>> Why did you get into Ham Radio in the first place? According to your
> Blog
>> site you got into Packet, liked it a lot....etc. Now your on here
> yapping
>> about being a wanabee broadcaster. Sorta sounding like K1MAN.......I
>> got
> a
>> idea....how about you BOTH getting together and running a "broadcast" It
>> will save on tape for all concerned,.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>> news:41f7f5d8_1@127.0.0.1...
>> > The cloeses "communication attorney" is 200 miles away....oh well I
>> > just
>> > keep doing my thing :) and this "problem will never be solved.
>> >
>> > Todd n9ogl
>> >
>> >
>> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>> > news:cuvyxnansvbet.iaxm5xm.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>> >> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:05:31 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> A Petition for Rulemaking is not the proper way to get this
> ruling.
>> >> >> It would be dismissed out of hand.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Strike One.

>> >> >>
>> >> >OK what is then???
>> >>
>> >> Consult your local communications attorney admitted to practice
>> >> before the FCC.
>> >>
>> >> You are not my client and I'm not going to do the "heavy lifting"
>> >> for you.
>> >>
>> >> --
>> >> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

1131. Re: N9OGL SHOW CANCELLED UNTIL TOMORROW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 02:51:02 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <42019229$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102692

I didn't get time because I had to finish some homework.I sorry but school
comes first.But here's the times I will be on

Fridays 7.00pm to 8.00pm CST
Saturday 4.00pm to 5.00pm CST
Sunday 4.00pm to 5.00pm CST
repeat 8.00pm to 9.00pm CST

Todd

"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
news:5sv201hfa0nr7hlpteog07kcm2im5g22cl@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 04:10:19 -0600, "Todd Daugherty"
> <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:
>
> >I think that many amateur operator think that; that someone running a
> >information bulletin get on a frequency that's in uses and begins
> >broadcasting. However, this is not true. As I stated I look for a unused
> >frequency and I call three times if the frequency is in uses. I know
K1MAN
> >or W1AW doesn't do that. The second problem is Amateur operators who have
a
> >problems with information bulletins that are opinionated.which is a
> >different issue.
> >
> >Todd N9OGL
> >
> >
> >"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
> >news:4n8001lr8dfnm7emcl1s074n9hku43e7as@4ax.com...
> >> On Tue, 1 Feb 2005 00:47:58 -0600, "Todd Daugherty"
> >> <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I didn't write down their call signs I will rebroadcast the program
> >Thursday
> >> >and Friday afternoon all I can tell you sport is listen up then maybe
you
> >> >hear me. As for frequency I will not designate any frequency because I
> >don't
> >> >want some licensed CB Operator or amateur radio operator inbreeds
claim
> >they
> >> >were on that frequency first or claim interference. I scan the band
and
> >pick

> >> >an unused frequency good hunting
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Todd N9OGL
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> You just raised yourself to a higher than acceptable standard ;) You
> >> might be the first ham to broadcast news without taking over a
> >> frequency to do so.
> >>
> >> In all sincerity, I commend you for your courtesy. Having been
> >> bombarded by both ARRL and K1MAN while in a QSO, I have a bit of a
> >> chip on my shoulder when it comes to broadcasts on the ham
> >> frequencies. I hope you continue your practice of finding a clear
> >> frequency for your broadcasts. If I hear you some time, I will listen
> >> to it.
> >>
>
>
> BTW, something just caught my memory, Correct me if I am wrong, but I
> believe you said you were going to 'start' the broadcast just before
> you leave for school?
>
>
> Don't the rules require a control operator to be present for the
> broadcast? You might double check that.
>
> Buck
> --
> For what it's worth.
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1132. N9OGL working on a Petition for Rulemaking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 03:04:42 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4201955d$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102693

Idea's for a Petiton for Rulemaking
Now, I've talked offen both here and in my broadcast about content control. So I'm looking for input on a Petition of Rulemaking that I working on that would "fix" the problems. Here's want I looking at:

1. Amend 97.3(a)(10) The definition of Broadcasting. The definition for the term broadcasting is a gereric definition and sometime could be vague.

2. Amend 97.3(a)(25) The definition of Information Bulletin. This definition is also vague. Because there are some ham operaors who transmit information bulletins that is "their opinion" (Some amateurs believe that is illegal and is a form of broadcasting) while there are other amateur radio operators who believe information bulletins are "news bulletins". The next would be is the limitation on the content reasonable that it dosn't violate the First Amendment and Section 326 of the Communication Act of 1934 as Amended. (47 U.S.C. 326)

3.Amend 97.111(b)(6) The rule dealing with information bulletins. Amending of this rule is needed to clarify the changes in 97.3(a) (10) and 97.3(a)(25)

So what do you think????

Todd N9OGL

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1133. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 03:38:15 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ibb8fr2.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102695

On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 17:50:02 GMT, Doug McLaren wrote:

> dit = 1
> dah = 111
> space between dit/dah = 0
> space between letters = 000
> space between words = 0000000
>
>So, `CQ DE K' translates to :
>
> C 10111010111
> 000

In what world is "C" = dit-dah-dit-dah ??? Send that in an
FCC-administered code test and fail.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1134. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 03:39:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <J4GdnTyscsB-AZzfRVn-2g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102694

Doug McLaren wrote:
> In article <046dnaHDg4AzqJ3fRVn-sw@adelphia.com>,
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
> | Let us put it to the test, Dave.
> | Write out a short sentence, or even a CQ de (your callsign) in binary
> | format, and let me read it right off the screen. If Morse code is
> | binary, it will be no problem.
>
> That's actually a reasonable test. And I shall give you an answer,
> though I don't think you expected one. And I'm not Dave.

Sorry about that, Doug.

>
> Here is a binary representation of `CQ DE K' (this gets rather tedious,
> so I'll only do the first few characters) :
>
> 10111010111000111011101011100000001110101000100011101011100 0

-.-. --.- -.. . -.-

Those certainly look different.

> And to explain that further --
>
> dit = 1
> dah = 111
> space between dit/dah = 0
> space between letters = 000
> space between words = 0000000
>
> So, `CQ DE K' translates to :
>
> C 10111010111
> 000
> Q 1110111010111
> 0000000
> D 1110101
> 000
> E 1
> 000
> K 111010111
> 000
>
> (the letters and newlines are there *only* to help make it readable.)

>
> To play this back is very simple --
>
> -- Pick a time period -- for example, 1 = 1/10 th of a second.
> -- go through the list, going through each character --
> 1 = play a tone for 1/10th of a second
> 0 = be completely silent for 1/10th of a second
>
> It's really that simple.
>
> If you want a program to do it --
>
> #!/usr/bin/perl -w
> # C Q D E K B 3 E I A P S E K
> my $string = ".-.- --.-\n-.. .\n-.- -... ...-- . .. .-\n.--. ... . -.-" ;
> foreach my $c (split (//, $string)) {
> if ($c eq ".") { print "10" ; next } ;
> if ($c eq "-") { print "1110" ; next } ;
> if ($c eq " ") { print "00" ; next } ; # Only two 0s, because the last
> # character ended with a 0.
> if ($c eq "\n") { print "000000" ; next } ; # ditto, but 6.
> }
> print "\n" ;
>
> And the output of your complete CQ in binary is :
>
> 10111010111000111011101011100000001110101000100000000
> 11101011100011101010100010101011101110001000101000101110000000
> 1011101110100010101000100011101011110
>
> new lines and spaces are added by me only to help it fit on the
> screen.
>
> | This is a screen readable approximation of me calling CQ
> |
> | .-.- --.- -.. . -.- -... ...-- . .. .- .--. ... . -.-
>
> | it is not binary.
>
> Binary.

I think your explanation kind of proves my point.

You do a pretty good job of showing how a person turns Morse code into digital 1's and 0's. But that does not make Morse code digital any more than doing the same for an image makes a photograph digital. The Morse code had to be translated into binary.

The binary output of that CQ in binary doesn't look like anything that any Ham I know can read. If you are coding a CW reader, the output will be translated into words. If Morse was binary, the op could just read

the string of 1's and 0's.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1135. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 03:57:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <MZudndXjAr2TPJzfRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102696

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>I felt kinda bad about being mean to Len,
>
>
> When were you mean to Len, Mike?
>
> Unless you count disagreeing with him and proving him wrong as "being
> mean", you've been nothing but nice to him.

Well, he probably thinks so!

>
>>so I'll try to meet him
>>halfway with a Morse code topic.
>
>
> His definition of meeting halfway is that you agree with him 100%.

That is certainly possible...
>
>
>>So maybe we can ressurect this old one...
>>
>>I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
>>
>>It is most certainly not.
>
>
> Depends how you define "binary".

One state equals "0" or "off".
The other state equals "1" or "on".

>>Let us look at the situation.
>>
>>Is the Dit a "0"?
>
>
> No.
>
>
>>Is the Dah a "1"?
>

>
> No.
>
>>Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait,
>> what is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?
>
>
> Key up is "0". Key down is "1". Also known as "space" and "mark",
> respectively.

Unfortunately, there are two separate "1" states, and the zero state is not a constant thing.

There is the matter of time. A zero might me the space between letters, or one half of a dit. It might also mean the space between words. All different things.

That Morse code can be turned into binary is not at argument here. It obviously can, just as images, emails and everything else we do on the computer. Are they binary because someone has written a program to turn them into strings of 1's and 0's?

>>It isn't binary,
>
>
> Depends on how you define "binary".
>
>
>>and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.
>
>
> Different subject.

Not really. If you look at the string of 1's and 0's that Doug posted as the binary result of my hypothetical CQ, is that something that you would recognize as that CQ? That string IS binary.

Why does the - and . method of typing out the code convey the information? the dashes and the spaces convey time information to the person looking at them. I'm counting more than two states here.

>
>>It's not binary.
>>
>
> Most Morse operators with any skill (that excludes Len) process a
> complete character as one "sound". "didahdidit" is recognized as "L",
> in the same way that when you hear the word "cat", you think of the
> animal. The Morse operator does not think in terms of dits and dahs any
> more than a person thinks in terms of the consonant and vowel sounds
> (phonemes) making up "cat".
>

> Of course *really* skilled Morse ops hear entire words as units of
> sound. And at some level, they begin to think in Morse, just as fluent
> speakers of a language think in that language.
> Of course Len wouldn't know about that...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

- Mike KB3EIA -

1136. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 04:00:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <U_ednaUjmOx1PJzfRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102697

Dave wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:046dnaHDg4AzqJ3fRVn-sw@adelphia.com...
>
>>Dave wrote:
>>
>>>thats funny, the program i am writing represents it very nicely with
>
> just
>
>>>1's and 0's.
>>
>>Looking at it that way, all things that can be put into a digital
>>program are digital, such as photographs, word processing, database, etc.
>>
>>Let us put it to the test, Dave.
>> Write out a short sentence, or even a CQ de (your callsign) in binary
>>format, and let me read it right off the screen. If Morse code is
>>binary, it will be no problem.
>>
>>This is a screen readable approximation of me calling CQ
>>
>>.-.- --.- -.. . -.- -... ...-- . .. .- .--. ... . -.-
>>
>>it is not binary.
>
>
> 11101011101001110111010111001110101001001110101110010111011101110111001111001
> 11001110000
> looks perfectly binary to me

Now send *that* in a QSO. Using *only* a 0 state or a 1 state. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1137. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 04:13:16 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <wxhMd.2567$uA.673@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 102698

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.ibb8fr2.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>,
Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:

| > C 10111010111
| > 000
|
| In what world is "C" = dit-dah-dit-dah ??? Send that in an
| FCC-administered code test and fail.

Nice catch. I did pass element 1, but I never claimed to be good at
it. In any event, I'd thought I just cut and pasted his CQ string,
but obviously I made a mistake somewhere.

But to retort --

1) The FCC doesn't administer ham radio tests any more
2) The tests are generally receiving, not sending, and
3) You don't need 100% accuracy to pass

:)

(Wow, something actually related to policy!)

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com, AD5RH
MONEY IS THE ROOT OF ALL EVIL! SEND $9.95 FOR MORE DETAILS!

1138. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 04:26:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Ko-dnX6Yx-9-OpzfRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102699

Doug McLaren wrote:

> In article <ctriea$v4c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>,
> Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:
>
> | At a lower level, anything is digital when you look at it that way. A
> | photograph, digital audio, whatever.
>
> Digital audio is digital if you look at it that way? Cute.

Well, my XYL thinks I'm cute. 8^)

> As for a photograph, a black and white photograph could be seen that
> way -- after all, at the molecular level, a molecule of pigment is
> either there or not there. It's quantized.

True enough.

> But no, not everything is digital. A specific sound isn't digital --
> sure, you could approximate it with a digital stream, but ultimately
> it's just an approximation, no matter how fast your digital stream is.
>
> | If Morse code was really digital, there would be no need to have a
> | lower level
>
> Are you even thinking about what you're saying here?
>
> If there's only one level, then a morse code signal would be just a
> constant tone. It's hard to put much information into a constant,
> unchanging tone. If you want to transmit some information, you're
> going to need to have at least two states to choose from.
>
> | > Morse code is either on or off. 1 or 0. You're either emitting a
> | > signal, or you're not -- there's no in between.
> |
> | Ahh, so the space between the dits and dahs means nothing? There is
> | definitely an "in between" It is how we determine what the words are:
>
> The space between the dits and dahs is `off' -- either 1, 3 or 7
> zeros. The dits and dahs are `on', either one or three 1s.
>
> Look at my other post where I converted your CQ call to a binary
> representation of it.

You >>>converted<<<. That is the core of the issue. I have no argument
with what you did or what others have done to take a input of Morse code

and convert it into a digital form.

> | ..... is that the number 5, or is it HE or is it SI, or IS or EH?
>
> If you feel that I claimed that the spaces are unimportant, then you
> did not understand me very well. Spaces are represented by a number
> of zeros, and dits and dahs by a number of ones. Binary.

I completely understand that the spaces are of equal importance to all
the other states.

> | > Longer periods of 1's = dahs
> | > Shorter periods of 1's = dits
> | > Short period of 0's = space between a dit or a dah.
> | > Longer period of 0's = space between characters.
> | > Even longer period of 0's = space between words.
> |
> | You have just described more than two states.
>
> Sure -- I was trying to explain how it all boils down to two states.

Understood. But you have to write software to take that Morse code
signal and convert it or boil it down or whatever.

> RTTY usually carries information encoded with BAUDOT. (You do believe
> that RTTY is digital, right? Even with that 1.5 baud stop bit?) Each
> BAUDOT character is chosen by 5 bits -- that's 32 states, and then
> there's the state of the shift, which gives you about 62 states (64 -
> 2, since two states don't matter.)
>
> So RTTY/BAUDOT uses somewhere between 32 and 64 states. But you do
> believe that that RTTY and BAUDOT are binary modulations or codes,
> right?
>
> | > It's not a particularly efficient binary code, but it *is*, at the
> | > lowest level, binary -- there's only two states. It's certainly not
> | > analog, or tinary, or ...
> |
> | Disagree. It isn't analog for sure, but with only a 1 and a zero, it
> | cant be described.
>
> ... but I just did in my other post. It was a bit tedious, but hardly
> impossible.

I wasn't precise there. You have to time the signal, and assign
multiple 1's and 0's to different parts of the sent signal.

> | Trying to describe it with 1's and 0's means that you
> | have to translate it. That longer dah, is not a 1.
>
> That is correct -- dah is not 1. It's three 1s in a row, followed by
> at least one 0.

So you convert a dah into 3 1's and a zero. This sounds more to me like
a conversion than anything else.

> | It cannot be the same thing as the short dit. If both of them are
> | 1's, the analogy fails
>
> Sure. The short dit is just a single 1, followed by at least one 0.

A 1 and a 0. Like I said it's a conversion.

That it is not a difficult conversion is not the point.

> | I'm saying that in order to have Morse code be binary, you have to
> | digitize it, so to speak. You have to have a clocking action, and a dah
> | has to either be something other than a "1" if the dit is considered a
> | "1". If it was truly digital, you wouldn't have to do any of that.
>
> Have you looked at your morse code key lately? It has two positions
> -- on and off. That alone should be enough to let you realize that
> it's binary.

Just a second ago. I have an Iambic key, so that kind of clouds the
issue. I have a key for dits, a key for dats, and nothing happens if I
don't touch either.

> Things like PSK31 and RTTY/BAUDOT aren't any different, except that
> the computer does the translation down to the binary level rather than
> your brain and your finger.
>
> It could be argued that the human brain doesn't easily deal with
> binary codes. Which would make sense -- many of us had a hard time
> learning morse code, even at the slowest possible speed. Yet it's
> only about 40 characters, which shouldn't be hard to memorize at all.

I had a hard time due to deafness. My XYL would agree with you if you
said I don't listen very well! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1139. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 04:32:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <aNqdnb2VgLHoNJzfRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102555

Dave wrote:

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:ctriea$v4c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>Doug McLaren wrote:
>>
>>>In article <ctosnt$10bu$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>,
>>>Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:
>>>
>>>| I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
>>>|
>>>| It is most certainly not.
>>>
>>>It most certainly is.
>>>...
>>>| Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait,
>
> what
>
>>>| is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?
>>>
>>>Try looking at it at a lower level -- stop looking at the dits and dahs.
>>
>>At a lower level, anything is digital when you look at it that way. A
>>photograph, digital audio, whatever.
>>
>>If Morse code was really digital, there would be no need to have a lower
>>level
>>
>>
>>>Morse code is either on or off. 1 or 0. You're either emitting a
>>>signal, or you're not -- there's no in between.
>>
>>Ahh, so the space between the dits and dahs means nothing? There is
>>definitely an "in between" It is how we determine what the words are:
>>
>>..... is that the number 5, or is it HE or is it SI, or IS or EH?
>>
>>
>>>Looking up what binary means -- http://www.answers.com/binary --
>>>
>>> bi na ry (b'n-r) pronunciation
>>> adj.

>>> 1. Characterized by or consisting of two parts or components;
>
> twofold.
>
>>>At the lowest level, there's only two components -- on or off, tone or
>>>no tone. It certainly fits the definition.
>>>
>>>Considering that `tone' = 1 and `no tone' = 0 ...
>>>
>>>Longer periods of 1's = dahs
>>>Shorter periods of 1's = dits
>>>Short period of 0's = space between a dit or a dah.
>>>Longer period of 0's = space between characters.
>>>Even longer period of 0's = space between words.
>>
>>You have just described more than two states.
>>
>>
>>>It's not a particularly efficient binary code, but it *is*, at the
>>>lowest level, binary -- there's only two states. It's certainly not
>>>analog, or tinary, or ...
>>
>>Disagree. It isn't analog for sure, but with only a 1 and a zero, it
>>cant be described. Trying to describe it with 1's and 0's means that you
>>have to translate it. That longer dah, is not a 1. It cannot be the same
>>thing as the short dit. If both of them are 1's, the analogy fails
>>
>>
>>>Now, to be fair, at a higher level, you could say it has four states
>>>-- dit, dah, space between character, space between word. Which would
>>>be quadrary (is that the right word? is it even a real word?) But
>>>that doesn't mean it can't be binary at another level at the same
>>>time.
>>>
>>>| It isn't binary, and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.
>>>
>>>I'm not sure that the way our brain processes it is relevant. RTTY is
>>>binary (or do you disagree there too?) and yet our brain hardly
>>>processes it's output in a binary manner.
>>>
>>>| It's not binary.
>>>
>>>If you say so. I doubt I've convinced you, but it's really all a
>>>matter of how you look at it, and if you insist on looking at it in
>>>only one way, nobody's going to convince you otherwise.
>>
>>I'm saying that in order to have Morse code be binary, you have to
>>digitize it, so to speak. You have to have a clocking action, and a dah
>>has to either be something other than a "1" if the dit is considered a
>>"1". If it was truly digital, you wouldn't have to do any of that.

>
>
> even the 'real' digital modes have a clocking action. how else do you know
> when one character ends and another starts? the one big oddity of morse is
> that the characters are unequal lengths so it is not easy to make a simple
> clock mechanism to decode them like it is for baudot or ascii codes. then
> of course another oddity is that it is often sent by hand (or at least it
> used to be) so the timing varies even within a short message making it even
> harder to decode mechanically. however hscw and very low speed or coherent
> cw are normally machine encoded and decoded and rely on very exact timing.

I agree Dave. I'm a little familiar with the digital world. The
oddities of Morse that you mention are both the blessing and curse of
the mode. No argument on the ability to convert Morse to digital, and
while the machine sent stuff is not too hard to translate, it is amazing
what the human mind can do when recieving a signal from a person with a
bad fist.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1140. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 04:36:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <IPCdnXCZ_b_3N5zfRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102556

bb wrote:

> Doug McLaren wrote:
>
>>In article <046dnaHDg4AzqJ3fRVn-sw@adelphia.com>,
>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>>
>>| Let us put it to the test, Dave.
>>| Write out a short sentence, or even a CQ de (your callsign) in
>
> binary
>
>>| format, and let me read it right off the screen. If Morse code is
>>| binary, it will be no problem.
>>
>>That's actually a reasonable test. And I shall give you an answer,
>>though I don't think you expected one. And I'm not Dave.
>>
>>Here is a binary representation of `CQ DE K' (this gets rather
>
> tedious,
>
>>so I'll only do the first few characters) :
>>
>> 10111010111000111011101011100000001110101000100011101011100 0
>>
>>And to explain that further --
>>
>> dit = 1
>> dah = 111
>> space between dit/dah = 0
>> space between letters = 000
>> space between words = 0000000
>>
>>So, `CQ DE K' translates to :
>>
>> C 10111010111
>> 000
>> Q 1110111010111
>> 0000000
>> D 1110101
>> 000
>> E 1
>> 000

```
>> K 111010111
>> 000
>>
>>(the letters and newlines are there *only* to help make it readable.)
>>
>>To play this back is very simple --
>>
>> -- Pick a time period -- for example, 1 = 1/10 th of a second.
>> -- go through the list, going through each chracter --
>> 1 = play a tone for 1/10th of a second
>> 0 = be completely silent for 1/10th of a second
>>
>>It's really that simple.
>>
>>If you want a program to do it --
>>
>> #!/usr/bin/perl -w
>> # C Q D E K B 3 E I A P S E
>
> K
>
>> my $string = ".-.- --.-\n-.. .\n-.- -... ...-- . .. .-\n.--. ... .
>
> -.-" ;
>
>> foreach my $c (split (//, $string)) {
>> if ($c eq ".") { print "10" ; next } ;
>> if ($c eq "-") { print "1110" ; next } ;
>> if ($c eq " ") { print "00" ; next } ; # Only two 0s, because
>
> the last
>
>> # character ended with
>
> a 0.
>
>> if ($c eq "\n") { print "000000" ; next } ; # ditto, but 6.
>> }
>> print "\n" ;
>>
>>And the output of your complete CQ in binary is :
>>
>> 10111010111000111011101011100000001110101000100000000
>> 11101011100011101010100010101011101110001000101000101110000000
>> 1011101110100010101000100011101011 10
>>
>>new lines and spaces are added by me only to help it fit on the
>>screen.
>>
>>| This is a screen readable approximation of me calling CQ
```

>>|
>>| .-.- --.- -.. . -.- -... ...-- . .. .- .--. ... . -.-
>>
>>| it is not binary.
>>
>>Binary.
>>
>>--
>>Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com, AD5RH
>>.. Time is the best teacher, unfortunately it kills all of its
>
> students.
>
> Doug, please perform the same exercise for all variations of the
> Farnsworth code. Thanks.

Good point Brian. Farnsworth will have a different representation in
timing. While the human mind will interpret Farnsworth fairly easily,
the software may have some problems?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1141. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 04:46:31 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <H0iMd.1600$sr1.128@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 102557

In article <MZudndXjAr2TPJzfRVn-gA@adelphia.com>,
Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

| > Key up is "0". Key down is "1". Also known as "space" and "mark",
| > respectively.
|
| Unfortunately, there are two separate "1" states, and the zero state is
| not a constant thing.

Your key is either up or down. There is no in between. That alone is
enough to say `binary'.

As for `two seperate 1 states', it's just that one is one 1 state, and
the other is 3 1 states in a row.

| There is the matter of time. A zero might me the space between
| letters, or one half of a dit. It might also mean the space between
| words. All different things.

It's the number of zeros in a row that signifies that.

| That Morse code can be turned into binary is not at argument here. It
| obviously can, just as images, emails and everything else we do on the
| computer.

An image or file on your computer is already binary, pretty much by
definition. But the image you see out your window is not -- it's
analog, and while you can approximate this image with a binary stream,
you can never match it exactly.

| Not really. If you look at the string of 1's and 0's that Doug posted
| as the binary result of my hypothetical CQ, is that something that you
| would recognize as that CQ?

If you played it audibly, yes, you would notice it as a perfectly
timed morse code CQ (my mistake with the C not withstanding.)

Visually, it's not the format that people are used to seeing, so they
don't recognize it at first. Not surprising.

| Why does the - and . method of typing out the code convey the
| information? the dashes and the spaces convey time information to the
| person looking at them. I'm counting more than two states here.

Of course, Morse code is sent as a intermittent tone, RF carrier, or
light. It's *not* sent with strings of periods, dashes and spaces --
that's just a simple way of writing it on paper.

When you look at the tone, carrier or light, the item is either there, or it's not. Two states. Binary. This is not something that can be rationally denied.

However, groups of these two states are combined into five states -- dit, dah, intra-character spaces, intra-word spaces and intra-sentence spaces. This can't really be denied either, and this is how people generally think of Morse code -- dits and dahs.

This is where the argument lies -- the `I see two states -- binary!' people look at the first part -- the carrier itself. The `It's not binary! It's dits and dahs and spaces' people are looking at what the combinations of the binary states give them, and that's how humans generally view it.. Both views are correct, so a claim that one view is wrong is incorrect.

Morse code gives you a way of turning a series of binary states (on or off) into text. ASCII, EBCDIC, BAUDOT, UTF-8 and oodles of others do the same thing. Morse code is just as `binary' as they are, but it just happens to be more suited to human use.

Ultimately, it's a pointless argument, because whatever Morse code is and is not, people use it, and they agree on what sequences indicate what letters (of course, this wasn't always the case, but that's another story), and that's pretty much all that's needed for it to work, and so on that note I'll attempt to remove myself from the discussion.

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com
Math illiteracy affects 8 out of every 5 people.

1142. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 05:12:26 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <ajc301136l3se1fpgq8nv27877eh8r564f@4ax.com>
Source record: 102558

On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 02:34:37 GMT, "Dan"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>
>"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
>news:b3rov0pgm7a01998k8fm78422mk325gbk5@4ax.com...
>>> You want to get rid of these clowns? Get on freq before they
>>>show up. End of problem.
>>
>>
>> Pardon me while I laugh. They will probably stomp all over anyone who
>> tries to use that frequency.
>>
>>
>> Buck
>> --
>> For what it's worth.
>>
>
>Who is they?
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
\

I was thinking this was the thread about the guys that are holding
their own frequency. They are obnoxious and anytime they hear anyone
of frequency not talking to them, they run them off.

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1143. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 05:17:59 UTC
From: Arnie Macy <kt4stnospam@csam.net>
Message-ID: <36dqg2F4v9niuU1@individual.net>
Source record: 102559

"Mike Coslo" wrote in part ...

"In another post, I went back through a few weeks messages to find the cute little nicknames that LenOver21 makes up for people. I was pretty impressed with the volume, but I know I didn't get them all. I came up with 21 nicknames. In the interest of not leaving anyone out who may have received one of his monikers, let's add to the list here:"

Hey Mike,

Lenwayoverthehill21 used to call me all sorts of things from brownshirt to Herr Inspector. Rather humorous, really. I think he blocked me, but just in case he is "listening", I was just promoted again. And yes, I just love my blackberry :-))

KT4ST

1144. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 05:18:00 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <cuiMd.7580$Ix.743@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102560

Michael Coslo wrote:

> I felt kinda bad about being mean to Len, so I'll try to meet him
> halfway with a Morse code topic. So maybe we can ressurect this old one...
>
> I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
>
> It is most certainly not.
>
> Let us look at the situation.
>
> Is the Dit a "0"?
> Is the Dah a "1"?
>
> Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait, what
> is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?
>

It's a form of pulse width modulation. Space between
characters would be a "low" or "0". Characters are
"high" or "1". A dit would be a single "1", dah would
be "1,1,1". Spaces between dits and dahs in the same letter
would be a single "0". Spaces between letters would be
"0,0,0". The typical letter is about 9 zeros or ones
long, and add 3 more zeros for the space on one side.
So the "1" or "0" bit rate is about 12 times the WPM
rate. 5 WPM would be 60 bits a minute, or 1 bit/sec.
20 WPM would be 4 bits/sec. Rather slow compared to
other machine readable/sendable digital modes, but
Morse can be read by ear and requires only simple
equipment. Big selling point back in the vacuum tube
radio era, but not so much today with high tech radio
equipment.

1145. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 05:57:28 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4201bddb$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102561

"Dan" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:e4gMd.7523$Ix.6651@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Hey Toad,
>
> If you want to be a broadcaster, here is a far out idea.....Get a license
> to be a broadcaster.
Tried that seven times with waivers and the FCC wouldn't consider my
application and waiver so my opinion is FUCK YOU, THE FCC AND THE HORSES YOU
ALL RODE ON!!!

Todd N9OGL

> If you want to express your opinion on ham radio, about ham subjects,
here
> is a radical thought.......get on the air, engage someone in
> conversation....and here it is....express your views. Far out eh?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41f9c0ac$1_1@127.0.0.1...
> > Again, I think the only way to solve this problem as I stated before is
to
> > have the FCC clarify the rules only then will this problem be resolved.
> > untill then I will continue to inform Amateurs with my opinions about
> > issues
> > in amateur radio.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:1IOdnf6oAJNDK2TcRVn-jQ@comcast.com...
> >>
> >> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> >> news:41f73273$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> >> > Opinion: A belief or conclusion held with confinfidence but not
> >> > substantiated by proof (2) A judgement based on special KNOWLEDGE (3)
A
> >> > judgement or estimation
> >> >
> >>
> >> Please note that in definition 2, you have put the emphasis on the
wrong
> >> word. The emphasis should be on JUDGEMENT not on knowledge. Note that
> >> various and conflicting judgements (opinions) can be formed from the

same
> >> knowledge.
> >>
> >> There is of course no rule that hams cannot be opinionated. There is
of
> >> course no rule that they cannot discuss their opinions in the course of
> >> ordinary two way conversations. However the limited "broadcasting"
> > allowed
> >> by part 97 specifies information bulletins. Opinions are not
information
> >> although of course they may be derived from information.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> > News==----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000
> > Newsgroups
> > ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---
>
>
>

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1146. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 10:43:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050203054310.25387.00000154@mb-m17.aol.com>
Source record: 102562

In article <MZudndXjAr2TPJzfRVn-gA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>I felt kinda bad about being mean to Len,
>>
>>
>> When were you mean to Len, Mike?
>>
>> Unless you count disagreeing with him and proving him wrong as "being
>> mean", you've been nothing but nice to him.
>
>Well, he probably thinks so!

Mike Deignan had him pegged. Len's really ticked that somewhere, out there,
somebody is having fun with ham radio.

>>>so I'll try to meet him
>>>halfway with a Morse code topic.

>> His definition of meeting halfway is that you agree with him 100%.
>
>That is certainly possible...

It's self-evident...
>>
>>>So maybe we can ressurect this old one...
>>>
>>>I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
>>>
>>>It is most certainly not.

>> Depends how you define "binary".
>
>One state equals "0" or "off".
>The other state equals "1" or "on".

You have to define "state". If "key up" and "key down" are the states, it's
binary. Time isn't the factor you make it - look at how Baudot works.
>
>>>Let us look at the situation.
>>>
>>>Is the Dit a "0"?

>> No.

>>>Is the Dah a "1"?

>> No.
>>
>>>Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait,
>>> what is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?

>> Key up is "0". Key down is "1". Also known as "space" and "mark",
>> respectively.
>
>Unfortunately, there are two separate "1" states, and the zero state is
>not a constant thing.

Doesn't have to be. It's a time code.

>There is the matter of time. A zero might me the space between letters,
>or one half of a dit. It might also mean the space between words. All
>different things.

No. The characters are built from the basic elements, which are key up and key
down, just like, say, Baudot RTTY.
>
> That Morse code can be turned into binary is not at argument here. It
>obviously can, just as images, emails and everything else we do on the
>computer. Are they binary because someone has written a program to turn
>them into strings of 1's and 0's?

Their basic transmission form is binary, same as Morse.

A non-binary code is one that has more than two *transmission* states, like
QPSK. Which is typically implemented as 0, 90, 180 and 270 degrees shift. Four
transmission states rather than two.
>
>>>It isn't binary,

>> Depends on how you define "binary".

>>>and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.
>>
>> Different subject.
>
>Not really. If you look at the string of 1's and 0's that Doug posted
>as the binary result of my hypothetical CQ, is that something that you
>would recognize as that CQ? That string IS binary.

I would recognize it easily.
>
>Why does the - and . method of typing out the code convey the
>information? the dashes and the spaces convey time information to the
>person looking at them. I'm counting more than two states here.

It's not the simplest way, though. It shows the time differently.

>>>It's not binary.

>> Most Morse operators with any skill (that excludes Len) process a
>> complete character as one "sound". "didahdidit" is recognized as "L",
>> in the same way that when you hear the word "cat", you think of the
>> animal. The Morse operator does not think in terms of dits and dahs any
>> more than a person thinks in terms of the consonant and vowel sounds
>> (phonemes) making up "cat".
>>
>> Of course *really* skilled Morse ops hear entire words as units of
>> sound. And at some level, they begin to think in Morse, just as fluent
>> speakers of a language think in that language.
>> Of course Len wouldn't know about that...

The big question is: what does it matter if Morse is binary or not?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1147. Re: N9OGL working on a Petition for Rulemaking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 10:57:12 UTC
From: oingoboingo <leefabruce@wans.net>
Message-ID: <e2985$4202076d$d1cc593e$8304@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 102563

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:4201955d$1_2@127.0.0.1...

> So what do you think????
> Todd N9OGL

.....we think that one k1man is bad enough thank you very much.

1148. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 12:30:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107433842.030713.203700@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102564

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Actually, Mike, you were correct...So was Brian...Brian uses
the
> > > > "Avenging Angel", however Lennie twists an already dumb idea
into
> > the
> > > > more dumberest "Angle".
> > >
> > > Oh, I missed that. Looks like he he's added a new twist and made
> it
> > > his own. Probably patentable. Awesome.
> > >
> > Brian, I know that for what ever reason...maybe it's just to root
> > for the underdog, you've "sided" with Lennie.
> >
> > But myself and a dozen other people have been TRYING to tell you
> > that Lennie is all-about making OTHER people's work HIS
own...That's
> > partly why Lennie was disinvited from working in Pennsylvania, and
> > partly why you won't find his name as "author" in any professional
> > "radio"
> > journals...At least not since 1980.
> >
> > Lennie can rant and rave about "PCTA Extras" or "Mighty Morsemen"
> > all he wants, but his circumstances are his OWN doing, and part of
> that
> > "doing" was trying to get by on the works of others.
> > And that now includes you.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> But, but, but...
>
> I've never written for a professional radio journal, so Len can
hardly
> ride my coattails.

Let's repeat the paragraph in question, Brian, and then YOU can tell ME what YOUR lack of being published in ANY forum has to do with it...

QUOTE:

> >But myself and a dozen other people have been TRYING to tell you
> > that Lennie is all-about making OTHER people's work HIS own...That's
> > partly why Lennie was disinvited from working in Pennsylvania, and
> > partly why you won't find his name as "author" in any professional
> > "radio"
> > journals...At least not since 1980.

UNQUOTE

The statement said that Lennie has a history of taking other people's work. He proved it in his warping of your "endearment". He didn't even give you, his one and only NG "buddy", credit for the work.

So....What does YOUR lack of publishing credits have to do with Lennie's irresponsible and unprofessional behaviour.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1149. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 13:26:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107437205.586155.77070@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:

> Party girl refused the breathalyzer, but when she finally succumbed,
> she blew a point 23. Awesome! Maybe she'll do a recruiting poster
for
> the US Navy.

Why?

Since the late 70's/early 80's the USN and USMC have had some of
the stiffest penalties for it's members who violate DUI laws.

(And please don't try and bring up "Tailhook"...it had nothing to
do with drinking and driving...)

Steve, K4YZ
Well Versed on USN/MC Policies

1150. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 13:26:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107437208.157476.278200@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:

> Party girl refused the breathalyzer, but when she finally succumbed,
> she blew a point 23. Awesome! Maybe she'll do a recruiting poster
for
> the US Navy.

Why?

Since the late 70's/early 80's the USN and USMC have had some of
the stiffest penalties for it's members who violate DUI laws.

(And please don't try and bring up "Tailhook"...it had nothing to
do with drinking and driving...)

Steve, K4YZ
Well Versed on USN/MC Policies

1151. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 15:02:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1107442943.443561.149580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102567

N2EY wrote:
> In article <MZudndXjAr2TPJzfRVn-gA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >> Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >>>I felt kinda bad about being mean to Len,
> >>
> >>
> >> When were you mean to Len, Mike?
> >>
> >> Unless you count disagreeing with him and proving him wrong as
"being
> >> mean", you've been nothing but nice to him.
> >
> >Well, he probably thinks so!
>
> Mike Deignan had him pegged. Len's really ticked that somewhere, out
there,
> somebody is having fun with ham radio.
>
> >>>so I'll try to meet him
> >>>halfway with a Morse code topic.
>
> >> His definition of meeting halfway is that you agree with him 100%.
> >
> >That is certainly possible...
>
> It's self-evident...
> >>
> >>>So maybe we can ressurect this old one...
> >>>
> >>>I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
> >>>
> >>>It is most certainly not.
>
> >> Depends how you define "binary".
> >
> >One state equals "0" or "off".
> >The other state equals "1" or "on".
>
> You have to define "state". If "key up" and "key down" are the

states, it's
> binary. Time isn't the factor you make it - look at how Baudot works.

> >
> >>>Let us look at the situation.
> >>>
> >>>Is the Dit a "0"?
>
> >> No.
>
> >>>Is the Dah a "1"?
>
> >> No.
> >>
> >>>Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait,
> >>> what is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?
>
> >> Key up is "0". Key down is "1". Also known as "space" and "mark",
> >> respectively.
> >
> >Unfortunately, there are two separate "1" states, and the zero state
is
> >not a constant thing.
>
> Doesn't have to be. It's a time code.
>
> >There is the matter of time. A zero might me the space between
letters,
> >or one half of a dit. It might also mean the space between words.
All
> >different things.
>
> No. The characters are built from the basic elements, which are key
up and key
> down, just like, say, Baudot RTTY.
> >
> > That Morse code can be turned into binary is not at argument here.
It
> >obviously can, just as images, emails and everything else we do on
the
> >computer. Are they binary because someone has written a program to
turn
> >them into strings of 1's and 0's?
>
> Their basic transmission form is binary, same as Morse.
>
> A non-binary code is one that has more than two *transmission*
states, like
> QPSK. Which is typically implemented as 0, 90, 180 and 270 degrees
shift. Four

> transmission states rather than two.
> >
> >>>It isn't binary,
>
> >> Depends on how you define "binary".
>
> >>>and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.
> >>
> >> Different subject.
> >
> >Not really. If you look at the string of 1's and 0's that Doug
posted
> >as the binary result of my hypothetical CQ, is that something that
you
> >would recognize as that CQ? That string IS binary.
>
> I would recognize it easily.
> >
> >Why does the - and . method of typing out the code convey the
> >information? the dashes and the spaces convey time information to
the
> >person looking at them. I'm counting more than two states here.
>
> It's not the simplest way, though. It shows the time differently.
>
> >>>It's not binary.
>
> >> Most Morse operators with any skill (that excludes Len) process a
> >> complete character as one "sound". "didahdidit" is recognized as
"L",
> >> in the same way that when you hear the word "cat", you think of
the
> >> animal. The Morse operator does not think in terms of dits and
dahs any
> >> more than a person thinks in terms of the consonant and vowel
sounds
> >> (phonemes) making up "cat".
> >>
> >> Of course *really* skilled Morse ops hear entire words as units of
> >> sound. And at some level, they begin to think in Morse, just as
fluent
> >> speakers of a language think in that language.
> >> Of course Len wouldn't know about that...
>
> The big question is: what does it matter if Morse is binary or not?

. . . finally . . of course not. But you already knew that . .

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1152. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 16:35:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42025255.99AA1D2D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102568

Len Anderson wrote:
>
> In article <1107170546.906146.213920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >> > Oops, sorry about that Brian. I suspect there will be a few missed
> >> > attributes in the list.
> >>
> >> Actually, Mike, you were correct...So was Brian...Brian uses the
> >> "Avenging Angel", however Lennie twists an already dumb idea into the
> >> more dumberest "Angle".
> >
> >Oh, I missed that. Looks like he he's added a new twist and made it
> >his own. Probably patentable. Awesome.
>
> Brian, those tongue-in-cheek satiric appelations were justly earned
> by all parties. :-)

...by the official arbiter of such things--Leonard H. Anderson, a
non-radio amateur.

> Actually, "Avenging Angle" applies to nearly all PCTA extras.

Actually, it doesn't.

> All are self-righeously Right even if they aren't in a triangle of > being oh-so-superior
to NCTAs.

Actually, they aren't. I'm sure it seems that way to an outsider with
an ax to grind.

> All who don't worship at the Church of
> St. Hiram are, to them, heretics and infidels, unworthy of surviving
> on the same planet as the PCTA. They are all Right Angles but
> perpendicular to the plane of existance of reality of today.

Actually, there is no "Church of St. Hiram". You may continue to live
on the planet but you aren't involved in amateur radio.

> Much earlier in here I'd made mention of one Elfren Saldivar (hope
> name is correct), the "Angle of Death" at Glendale Memorial
> Hospital in this area. He was a nurse who offed a bunch of
> elderly patients "to ease their suffering." Lots of them. Registered
> nurse with certificates and everything... Sound familiar? :-)

No, it doesn't sound familiar to anyone here. We don't have a nurse who
has killed any patients.

> So...we've got the "forever marine" spending the weekend shouting
> epithets using pejoratives not in his ethnic experience, always
> being the "avenger" for the "service."

"We've got"? Do you have a Vibroplex in your pocket?

> We've got the prissy never-
> served busy acting like Nun of the Above, rapping the knuckles of
> any who don't agree with him (or her).

Shouldn't that be "message knuckles"?

> We've got the "forever ham"
> of four decades hamming it up into great "superiority" but who
> never learned a thing about diplomacy in the State Department
> "foreign service."

I've not been a ham forever. I've been a ham for over four decades and I'm not quite 56. If I'd been a ham for two days, I'd be your superior in amateur radio. You aren't a radio amateur. I learned plenty about diplomacy in the Foreign Service, not "foreign service" though that was not my area of expertise. Nothing compels me to suffer rude churls. Finally, it is not the "State Department 'foreign service'" but the Foreign Service of the United States. Don't you get anything right?

> We've got the "university lecturer" who survives
> the terrible storms that regularly destroy everything in Hawaii
> except the ham rigs and assists other hams in regards to USPS
> addresses.

Why is "university lecturer" in quotes, Leonard? Are you being forced by your nature to live up to the N2EY profile?

> We have the coslonaut who wanted hearty
> congratulations for a feat he hasn't yet begun but was upset when
> he didn't get it.

Aren't you stretching the truth more than a little? Weren't you, in fact, one who told him that his proposed feat couldn't be done? Didn't you launch into a lengthy diatribe only to have to eat your words when evidence was presented which contradicted your claims?

> A nice jolly group of down-lookers in their own
> PCTA "blog" happily giving each other high-fives for being so
> intrinisically superior to all others.

This isn't a blog, Leonard and no one here has suggested that he is superior to all others.

> The major "superiority" attribute
> is cussing out "civilians" not possessing the right ham hobby
> papers to show to their raddio kopp schutzstaffel.

It isn't that you don't have the right papers, Len. It is that you have no credentials in amateur radio. You aren't a part of amateur radio.

You play no role in amateur radio. You aren't involved in amateur
radio.
Oh yeah, Godwin invoked.

> They can flog each other in this blog for all I care. [some do]

This isn't a blog and you must certainly care. You've shown up here for
a long, long time.

> All their little names are familiar to all who look into this blog.

This isn't a blog and you've generated more "little names" than everyone
else here combined.

> [it long since dropped out as a discussion place, became a chat
> room reserved for their highnesses, a group blog] They've
> worked hard at earning all those names and should be proud of
> them. :-)

This isn't a chat room and it isn't a blog. :-)

> Godwin's observation as the catalyst of their being compared to
> the national socialist party of 1930s Germany applies in the truest
> form. They gas back and forth so much in the group blog that
> they need their own chambers. [again deserved by them]

Well, there you have it.

> As to amateur radio policy, only the newsgroup name has any
> relevation to "ham radio." They ham it up, not on radio, not on
> any "airwaves," only on computers, saved from direct physical
> harm through time and distance by insulting all who don't agree
> with them. Hear them roar! :-)

Nope, you have it wrong again. The rest of us may discuss amateur radio
policy here or on the amateur bands. You may not. The rest of us may
discuss anything on the amateur bands. You may not. By the way, did
you mean that if we were in the room with you, we'd be in danger of
direct physical harm? Did you plan to bore us to death?

Dave K8MN

1153. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 21:08:31 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ibcl273.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102569

On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 04:13:16 GMT, Doug McLaren wrote:

>But to retort --
>
> 1) The FCC doesn't administer ham radio tests any more

Nothing in the Rules says that someone can't be called into an FCC office and administered an individual test if the FCC deems it necessary.

> 2) The tests are generally receiving, not sending, and

Generally but not always. It's up to the examiner.

> 3) You don't need 100% accuracy to pass

You've never taken a test that I administered... <ggg>

The ultimate is to record what the applicant sent and then have the applicant copy it back....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1154. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 21:13:09 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ibcl9x4.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102570

On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 23:57:28 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:

>> If you want to be a broadcaster, here is a far out idea.....Get a license
>> to be a broadcaster.

>Tried that seven times with waivers and the FCC wouldn't consider my
>application and waiver

After several times you didn't get the message, did you ??

>so my opinion is FUCK YOU, THE FCC AND THE HORSES YOU
>ALL RODE ON!!!

Does your mother know that you use such language in public ??

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1155. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 21:26:04 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ctu4tcljs1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102571

Dave Heil wrote:
> Len Anderson wrote:
>
>>In article <1107170546.906146.213920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
>><billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>
>>
>>>>>>Oops, sorry about that Brian. I suspect there will be a few missed
>>>>>attributes in the list.
>>>>
>>>>Actually, Mike, you were correct...So was Brian...Brian uses the
>>>>"Avenging Angel", however Lennie twists an already dumb idea into the
>>>>more dumberest "Angle".
>>>
>>>Oh, I missed that. Looks like he he's added a new twist and made it
>>>his own. Probably patentable. Awesome.
>>
>> Brian, those tongue-in-cheek satiric appelations were justly earned
>> by all parties. :-)
>
>
> ...by the official arbiter of such things--Leonard H. Anderson, a
> non-radio amateur.
>
>
>> Actually, "Avenging Angle" applies to nearly all PCTA extras.
>
>
> Actually, it doesn't.
>
>
>> All are self-righeously Right even if they aren't in a triangle of > being
oh-so-superior to NCTAs.
>
>
> Actually, they aren't. I'm sure it seems that way to an outsider with
> an ax to grind.
>
>
>> All who don't worship at the Church of
>> St. Hiram are, to them, heretics and infidels, unworthy of surviving
>> on the same planet as the PCTA. They are all Right Angles but
>> perpendicular to the plane of existance of reality of today.
>

>
> Actually, there is no "Church of St. Hiram". You may continue to live
> on the planet but you aren't involved in amateur radio.
>
>
>> Much earlier in here I'd made mention of one Elfren Saldivar (hope
>> name is correct), the "Angle of Death" at Glendale Memorial
>> Hospital in this area. He was a nurse who offed a bunch of
>> elderly patients "to ease their suffering." Lots of them. Registered
>> nurse with certificates and everything... Sound familiar? :-)
>
>
> No, it doesn't sound familiar to anyone here. We don't have a nurse who
> has killed any patients.
>
>
>> So...we've got the "forever marine" spending the weekend shouting
>> epithets using pejoratives not in his ethnic experience, always
>> being the "avenger" for the "service."
>
>
> "We've got"? Do you have a Vibroplex in your pocket?
>
>
>> We've got the prissy never-
>> served busy acting like Nun of the Above, rapping the knuckles of
>> any who don't agree with him (or her).
>
>
> Shouldn't that be "message knuckles"?
>
>
>> We've got the "forever ham"
>> of four decades hamming it up into great "superiority" but who
>> never learned a thing about diplomacy in the State Department
>> "foreign service."
>
>
> I've not been a ham forever. I've been a ham for over four decades and
> I'm not quite 56. If I'd been a ham for two days, I'd be your superior
> in amateur radio. You aren't a radio amateur. I learned plenty about
> diplomacy in the Foreign Service, not "foreign service" though that was
> not my area of expertise. Nothing compels me to suffer rude churls.
> Finally, it is not the "State Department 'foreign service'" but the
> Foreign Service of the United States. Don't you get anything right?
>
>
>> We've got the "university lecturer" who survives
>> the terrible storms that regularly destroy everything in Hawaii
>> except the ham rigs and assists other hams in regards to USPS

>> addresses.
>
>
> Why is "university lecturer" in quotes, Leonard? Are you being forced
> by your nature to live up to the N2EY profile?
>
>
>> We have the coslonaut who wanted hearty
>> congratulations for a feat he hasn't yet begun but was upset when
>> he didn't get it.
>
>
> Aren't you stretching the truth more than a little? Weren't you, in
> fact, one who told him that his proposed feat couldn't be done? Didn't
> you launch into a lengthy diatribe only to have to eat your words when
> evidence was presented which contradicted your claims?

Since we're on the subject, who on earth would think I was looking for congratulations on something I hadn't done? That's weird. My ill fated attempt to garner a few ideas in the group was simply that.

It was a failure in that respect. But as in all things, there is good to be taken away from it. Just a little personal practice in dealing with the obtuse or deliberately antagonistic. Those involved may choose which one they want to be.

And for those who think it was some kind of stupidity to expect anything other than obtuseness or deliberate antagonism, the chance was offered to act otherwise. That a person would choose that puts them in league with the bottom dwellers of the group.

Don't like it? Too bad, deal with it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1156. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 21:30:15 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ctu557ljs2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102572

bb wrote:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>Key up is "0". Key down is "1". Also known as "space" and "mark",
>>respectively.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> Odd, the old definition of Morse Code didn't use the terms "0" and "1",
> nor "mark" and "space." All the timing was in terms of the length of a
> single "dit."

As far as I know, Morse code did not "become digital" until some people wanted to make it look as if it was more advanced than it is. Until then it was as you describe.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1157. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 21:40:47 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ctu5ovtvq1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102573

Doug McLaren wrote:

> In article <MZudndXjAr2TPJzfRVn-gA@adelphia.com>,
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
> | > Key up is "0". Key down is "1". Also known as "space" and "mark",
> | > respectively.
> |
> | Unfortunately, there are two separate "1" states, and the zero state is
> | not a constant thing.
>
> Your key is either up or down. There is no in between. That alone is
> enough to say `binary'.

no more than the switch on my furnace makes my furnace digital.

> As for `two seperate 1 states', it's just that one is one 1 state, and
> the other is 3 1 states in a row.

Right, it is translated.

> | There is the matter of time. A zero might me the space between
> | letters, or one half of a dit. It might also mean the space between
> | words. All different things.
>
> It's the number of zeros in a row that signifies that.

In the translation. I don't read morse code that way while I'm
listening. The computer "listens" that way.

> | That Morse code can be turned into binary is not at argument here. It
> | obviously can, just as images, emails and everything else we do on the
> | computer.
>
> An image or file on your computer is already binary, pretty much by
> definition. But the image you see out your window is not -- it's
> analog, and while you can approximate this image with a binary stream,
> you can never match it exactly.
>
> | Not really. If you look at the string of 1's and 0's that Doug posted
> | as the binary result of my hypothetical CQ, is that something that you
> | would recognize as that CQ?
>
> If you played it audibly, yes, you would notice it as a perfectly
> timed morse code CQ (my mistake with the C not withstanding.)
>

> Visually, it's not the format that people are used to seeing, so they
> don't recognize it at first. Not surprising.
>
> | Why does the - and . method of typing out the code convey the
> | information? the dashes and the spaces convey time information to the
> | person looking at them. I'm counting more than two states here.
>
> Of course, Morse code is sent as a intermittent tone, RF carrier, or
> light. It's *not* sent with strings of periods, dashes and spaces --
> that's just a simple way of writing it on paper.
>
> When you look at the tone, carrier or light, the item is either there,
> or it's not. Two states. Binary. This is not something that can be
> rationally denied.

Two states of different length. The radio does not send 0's. It simply
sits there waiting for the key so it can send a carrier of differing
lengths of time.

> However, groups of these two states are combined into five states --
> dit, dah, intra-character spaces, intra-word spaces and intra-sentence
> spaces. This can't really be denied either, and this is how people
> generally think of Morse code -- dits and dahs.
>
> This is where the argument lies -- the `I see two states -- binary!'
> people look at the first part -- the carrier itself. The `It's not
> binary! It's dits and dahs and spaces' people are looking at what the
> combinations of the binary states give them, and that's how humans
> generally view it.. Both views are correct, so a claim that one view
> is wrong is incorrect.
>
> Morse code gives you a way of turning a series of binary states (on or
> off) into text. ASCII, EBCDIC, BAUDOT, UTF-8 and oodles of others do
> the same thing. Morse code is just as `binary' as they are, but it
> just happens to be more suited to human use.
>
> Ultimately, it's a pointless argument, because whatever Morse code is
> and is not, people use it, and they agree on what sequences indicate
> what letters (of course, this wasn't always the case, but that's
> another story), and that's pretty much all that's needed for it to
> work, and so on that note I'll attempt to remove myself from the
> discussion.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1158. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 21:56:44 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <ctu6msnmo1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102574

N2EY wrote:

> In article <MZudndXjAr2TPJzfRVn-gA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>I felt kinda bad about being mean to Len,
>>>
>>>
>>>When were you mean to Len, Mike?
>>>
>>>Unless you count disagreeing with him and proving him wrong as "being
>>>mean", you've been nothing but nice to him.
>>
>>Well, he probably thinks so!
>
>
> Mike Deignan had him pegged. Len's really ticked that somewhere, out there,
> somebody is having fun with ham radio.

Every Day! If I'm not operating, I'm reading or surfing the web to
learn about it. And I venture in here for a little mud wrestling from
time to time too! 8^)

>
>>>>so I'll try to meet him
>>>>halfway with a Morse code topic.
>
>
>
>>>His definition of meeting halfway is that you agree with him 100%.
>>
>>That is certainly possible...
>
>
> It's self-evident...
>
>>>>So maybe we can ressurect this old one...
>>>>

>>>>I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
>>>>
>>>>It is most certainly not.
>
>
>
>>>Depends how you define "binary".
>>
>>One state equals "0" or "off".
>>The other state equals "1" or "on".
>
>
> You have to define "state". If "key up" and "key down" are the states, it's
> binary. Time isn't the factor you make it - look at how Baudot works.

Certainly. But Morse code, which was invented as a human translated
code, does not qualify to me as a 1 or 0 state.

Here is my rationale:

If the key is up, the radio is certainly not sending 0's.

This brings up an interesting paradox...
If the lack of a signal is a 0 state, then when no signal is being
transmitted, you are receiving zeros until someone sends something.

I grant that a dit might be a 1.

If a dah is 3 1's, why do we not send 3 dits. I either hold the key down
longer or press the dah switch on my keyer. It sends out a longer pulse,
not 3 1's.

Describing the signal as how many 1's a signal is, or how many 0's
indicate intercharacter or interword spacing is a method of translating
the varying length Morse code signals into digital format.

>>>>Let us look at the situation.
>>>>
>>>>Is the Dit a "0"?
>
>
>>>No.
>
>
>
>>>>Is the Dah a "1"?
>
>
>
>>>No.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait,

>>>>what is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?
>
>
>
>>>Key up is "0". Key down is "1". Also known as "space" and "mark",
>>>respectively.
>>
>>Unfortunately, there are two separate "1" states, and the zero state is
>>not a constant thing.
>
>
> Doesn't have to be. It's a time code.
>
>
>>There is the matter of time. A zero might me the space between letters,
>>or one half of a dit. It might also mean the space between words. All
>>different things.
>
>
> No. The characters are built from the basic elements, which are key up and key
> down, just like, say, Baudot RTTY.
>
>> That Morse code can be turned into binary is not at argument here. It
>>obviously can, just as images, emails and everything else we do on the
>>computer. Are they binary because someone has written a program to turn
>>them into strings of 1's and 0's?
>
>
> Their basic transmission form is binary, same as Morse.
>
> A non-binary code is one that has more than two *transmission* states, like
> QPSK. Which is typically implemented as 0, 90, 180 and 270 degrees shift. Four
> transmission states rather than two.
>
>>>>It isn't binary,
>
>
>
>>>Depends on how you define "binary".
>
>
>
>>>>and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.
>>>
>>>Different subject.
>>
>>Not really. If you look at the string of 1's and 0's that Doug posted
>>as the binary result of my hypothetical CQ, is that something that you
>>would recognize as that CQ? That string IS binary.
>

>
> I would recognize it easily.
>
>>Why does the - and . method of typing out the code convey the
>>information? the dashes and the spaces convey time information to the
>>person looking at them. I'm counting more than two states here.
>
>
> It's not the simplest way, though. It shows the time differently.
>
>
>>>>It's not binary.
>
>
>
>>>Most Morse operators with any skill (that excludes Len) process a
>>>complete character as one "sound". "didahdidit" is recognized as "L",
>>>in the same way that when you hear the word "cat", you think of the
>>>animal. The Morse operator does not think in terms of dits and dahs any
>>>more than a person thinks in terms of the consonant and vowel sounds
>>>(phonemes) making up "cat".
>>>
>>>Of course *really* skilled Morse ops hear entire words as units of
>>>sound. And at some level, they begin to think in Morse, just as fluent
>>>speakers of a language think in that language.
>>>Of course Len wouldn't know about that...
>
>
> The big question is: what does it matter if Morse is binary or not?

Of course not! I thought it might be something better to talk about
than whether Len thinks we're "jackboot thugs" tho'! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1159. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 22:08:20 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <ohxMd.6111$sr1.5868@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 102575

In article <ctu557ljs2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>,
Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:

| > Odd, the old definition of Morse Code didn't use the terms "0" and "1",
| > nor "mark" and "space." All the timing was in terms of the length of a
| > single "dit."
|
| As far as I know, Morse code did not "become digital" until some people
| wanted to make it look as if it was more advanced than it is. Until then
| it was as you describe.

You're confusing your terms now. Digital and binary are related, but
not the same.

This defintion of `digital data'

http://www.answers.com/main/ntquery?dym=0&cid=1421987736&method=6

definately fits Morse code, both at the `on' and `off' level and at
the `dit' `dah' `short space' `medium space' `long space' level.

Digital also means `having to do with fingers', and Morse code is
usually sent using one's fingers ...

Of course, the terms digital and binary didn't really become commonly
used until computers did. Considering that Morse code was born in
1832 or so, it's not surprising that people weren't thinking of it in
computer terms for a while.

Ultimately, terms are getting confused all over the place. The terms
CW and Morse code are used interchangably, when it's really more
accurate to say that CW is to Morse code as RTTY is to Baudot. But
ultimately it doesn't matter, because however you define it, people
use it to talk to each other and it works.

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com, AD5RH
This is a test of the emergency .signature program. This is only a test.

1160. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 22:09:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050203170921.01270.00000087@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 102576

In article <42025255.99AA1D2D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <Kolonel Klunk uff das uber-amateur staffel> put on his newly cleaned uniform and polished boots, adjusted his monocle and swacked the podium with his riding crop to silence all conversation, get attention to bark out daily orders:

>Nope, you have it wrong again. The rest of us may discuss amateur radio
>policy here or on the amateur bands. You may not.

* ACHTUNG * ACHTUNG * ACHTUNG *

Effective immediately, ALL persons without a valid amateur radio licenses are FORBIDDEN to discuss, write, or even think about amateur radio subjects!

Violators will be arrested, imprisoned, convicted of Crimes Against the State (as soon as the judges finish their DX contacts with illegal Frenchmen), and shot.

There is NO appeal.

>The rest of us may
>discuss anything on the amateur bands. You may not.

<repeat of standing orders effective immediately>

>By the way, did
>you mean that if we were in the room with you, we'd be in danger of
>direct physical harm?

...only from all the PCTA extras shooting themselves in the foot with their arrogant, dictatorial manner! :-)

All sorts of small-caliber projectiles have been ricocheting all over and a distinct danger to all in that "room." :-)

>Did you plan to bore us to death?

No, Kolonel Klunk, you do that SO well. Far be it for me to try and upstage das Grate, Full Dead. :-)

RIP
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1161. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 22:09:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050203170923.01270.00000088@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 102577

In article <1107442943.443561.149580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
kelly@dvol.com writes:

>> The big question is: what does it matter if Morse is binary or not?
>
> . . . finally . . of course not. But you already knew that . .

Quite true. The coslonaut (reaching for the threashold of space
through surplus helium balloons) originally posted a troll message
to liven up this "members-only" chat room cum group blog.

Webster's New World Compact School and Office Dictionary
(1989) has the definition of BINARY as following:

"1. Made up of two parts: double 2. designating or of a number
system in which the base used is two, each number being
expressed by using only two digits, specifically 1 and 0."

As used in all electronics, the first definition is used with an
emphasis on STATE of something, such as on or off, there or
not there. Two-state.

In on-off keying (OOK) CW the carrier is either present or not
there. Two states.

In PSK morse code, the carrier is either phase-shifted or not
phase-shifted. Two states.

In MCW the tone is either there or not there. Two states.

In the original Morse-Vail Telegraph System (beginning 1844),
there was either current flowing in the wire lines or not flowing.
Two states.

In later "polar keying" telegraphy, the current was either flowing
in one direction or flowing in the opposite direction. Two states.
However, such "polar keying" (originally "polarized keying"), those
are implemented as TRINARY since there is the state where no
current is flowing in the loop.

It is not known if Reggie Fessenden invented polarized keying in
1900 (Rev. Jimmie Who will be sure to sermonize on that later),
but polar keying was often used in old teleprinter wired systems.

Morse code is definitely BINARY. Binary does not refer to the
time or duration of maintaining either of two states.

None of that really matters to any policy discussions. It matters greatly to those chat-roomers or morse-bloggers who MUST fill space with all kinds of miscellaneous dreck subjects reveling in the sanctity, efficacy, nobility of an archaic communications system coming up on its 161st anniversary of existance. It's so damn good that every other communications service uses morse code, right? :-)

Meanwhile, let's all give hearty congratulations to the coslonaut for having achieved a 100,000 foot attitude!
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1162. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 22:52:42 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <_WxMd.8140$Ix.2486@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102578

> Nothing in the Rules says that someone can't be called into an FCC
> office and administered an individual test if the FCC deems it
> necessary.
>

An FCC field guy (that you know of) decided that a wife
of a friend of mine needed to be retested on 5WPM code.
At the time (early 70's) the FCC test office rarely if
ever did 5WPM, and the examner had to dig up a 5WPM
paper tape (with punched holes). Seems the tape was
bad and the machine sent trash instead of code, but the
examiner himself didn't know code and decided that she
couldn't copy code and failed her. "Broken tape machine,
yeah sureeee....."

If the tape were played backwards (a code machine my
father used used two spools, a supply reel and take
up reel) it would produce some copyable characters
(A for N) and others would be trash. Someone forgets
to rewind a tape, and later someone else plays it for
a test. Sounds like code to someone who doesn't know
code.

1163. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-03 23:12:11 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4202b08e$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102579

Well Phil, Since I didn't get a broadcast license I guess you fucking ham operator are going to have to deal with me.
As for the language I'm pissed off about the whole deal and I'll continue to be pissed off. Here you have a fucking agency going to court telling a judge that all a person has to do is apply for a license and ask for a waiver. But when a person does apply for a license and a waiver they don't consider it. Bottom line the FCC ARE A BUNCH OF FUCKING LIARS!! so Phil go to your old bosses or perhaps that dumbass Hollingsworth is on here and you both can tell your bosses that I said that they SHOULD EAT SHIT AND DIE!
I often get sick of all these fucking ham operators who bitch and whine about the code issue, the changes in the amateur license structure. These little fucker don't realize how fucking easy they've got it. With that said, just a reminder since I don't have a broadcast license that I'm going to uses the ham bands. So my opinion is you and the FCC is to go fuck yourselves.

Todd N9OGL

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.ibcl9x4.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 23:57:28 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> >> If you want to be a broadcaster, here is a far out idea.....Get a license
> >> to be a broadcaster.
>
> >Tried that seven times with waivers and the FCC wouldn't consider my
> >application and waiver
>
> After several times you didn't get the message, did you ??
>
> >so my opinion is FUCK YOU, THE FCC AND THE HORSES YOU
> >ALL RODE ON!!!
>
> Does your mother know that you use such language in public ??
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1164. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 00:31:53 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ibcuh56.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102580

On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 22:52:42 GMT, robert casey wrote:

>An FCC field guy (that you know of) decided that a wife
>of a friend of mine needed to be retested on 5WPM code.
>At the time (early 70's) the FCC test office rarely if
>ever did 5WPM, and the examner had to dig up a 5WPM
>paper tape (with punched holes). Seems the tape was
>bad and the machine sent trash instead of code, but the
>examiner himself didn't know code and decided that she
>couldn't copy code and failed her. "Broken tape machine,
>yeah sureeee....."

If it's who I think it is - someone who had a history of deciding what other hams "needed" - he was a traffic handler and contester who knew code very well. In that era the only FCC field folks who were not required to be Morse-qualified were the clerical staff.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1165. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 00:36:44 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ibcup87.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102581

On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 17:12:11 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:

>Well Phil, Since I didn't get a broadcast license I guess you fucking ham
>operator are going to have to deal with me.
>As for the language I'm pissed off about the whole deal and I'll continue to
>be pissed off. Here you have a fucking agency going to court telling a judge
>that all a person has to do is apply for a license and ask for a waiver. But
>when a person does apply for a license and a waiver they don't consider it.
>Bottom line the FCC ARE A BUNCH OF FUCKING LIARS!! so Phil go to your old
>bosses or perhaps that dumbass Hollingsworth is on here and you both can
>tell your bosses that I said that they SHOULD EAT SHIT AND DIE!
> I often get sick of all these fucking ham operators who bitch and whine
>about the code issue, the changes in the amateur license structure. These
>little fucker don't realize how fucking easy they've got it. With that said,
>just a reminder since I don't have a broadcast license that I'm going to
>uses the ham bands. So my opinion is you and the FCC is to go fuck
>yourselves.

Such clear, concise, and courteous language ..... shows us all the
level of your maturity and the clarity of your thought proccesses.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1166. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 01:30:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050203203056.05159.00000024@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 102582

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.ibcl273.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 04:13:16 GMT, Doug McLaren wrote:
>
>>But to retort --
>>
>> 1) The FCC doesn't administer ham radio tests any more
>
> Nothing in the Rules says that someone can't be called into an FCC
> office and administered an individual test if the FCC deems it
> necessary.

Bring 'em on! ;-)
>
>> 2) The tests are generally receiving, not sending, and
>
> Generally but not always. It's up to the examiner.
>
Yep. It is possible to pass Element 1 by *sending only*.

>> 3) You don't need 100% accuracy to pass
>
> You've never taken a test that I administered... <ggg>
>
> The ultimate is to record what the applicant sent and then have the
> applicant copy it back....
>
>--

Too easy....

73 de Jim, N2EY

1167. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 01:33:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Yt-dnYihPoVRTZ_fRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102583

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1107442943.443561.149580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com writes:
>
>
>>>The big question is: what does it matter if Morse is binary or not?
>>
>>. . . finally . . of course not. But you already knew that . .
>
>
> Quite true. The coslonaut (reaching for the threashold of space
> through surplus helium balloons) originally posted a troll message
> to liven up this "members-only" chat room cum group blog.

You betchya!

> Webster's New World Compact School and Office Dictionary
> (1989) has the definition of BINARY as following:
>
> "1. Made up of two parts: double 2. designating or of a number
> system in which the base used is two, each number being
> expressed by using only two digits, specifically 1 and 0."

How many states are there in Morse code? On, and Off? Is that all?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1168. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 01:34:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Yt-dnYuhPoW-TJ_fRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102584

robert casey wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>> I felt kinda bad about being mean to Len, so I'll try to meet him
>> halfway with a Morse code topic. So maybe we can ressurect this old
>> one...
>>
>> I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
>>
>> It is most certainly not.
>>
>> Let us look at the situation.
>>
>> Is the Dit a "0"?
>> Is the Dah a "1"?
>>
>> Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait,
>> what is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?
>>
>
> It's a form of pulse width modulation.

Now you're getting there!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1169. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 01:36:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Yt-dnYqhPoUsTJ_fRVn-ig@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102585

N2EY wrote:

> In article <cuvyxnansvbet.ibcl273.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>, "Phil
> Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:
>
>
>>On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 04:13:16 GMT, Doug McLaren wrote:
>>
>>
>>>But to retort --
>>>
>>> 1) The FCC doesn't administer ham radio tests any more
>>
>> Nothing in the Rules says that someone can't be called into an FCC
>> office and administered an individual test if the FCC deems it
>> necessary.
>
>
> Bring 'em on! ;-)
>
>>> 2) The tests are generally receiving, not sending, and
>>
>> Generally but not always. It's up to the examiner.
>>
>
> Yep. It is possible to pass Element 1 by *sending only*.

Can a person request to be tested by sending? My sending has always
outpaced my receiving!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1170. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 02:16:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050203211637.11648.00000010@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 102586

In article <Yt-dnYihPoVRTZ_fRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>Len Anderson wrote:
>> In article <1107442943.443561.149580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
>> kelly@dvol.com writes:
>>
>>
>>>>>The big question is: what does it matter if Morse is binary or not?
>>>
>>>. . . finally . . of course not. But you already knew that . .
>>
>>
>> Quite true. The coslonaut (reaching for the threashold of space
>> through surplus helium balloons) originally posted a troll message
>> to liven up this "members-only" chat room cum group blog.
>
> You betchya!
>
>> Webster's New World Compact School and Office Dictionary
>> (1989) has the definition of BINARY as following:
>>
>> "1. Made up of two parts: double 2. designating or of a number
>> system in which the base used is two, each number being
>> expressed by using only two digits, specifically 1 and 0."
>
>How many states are there in Morse code? On, and Off? Is that all?

Coslo, do you have a reading defect? Here's what I wrote:

==========
Webster's New World Compact School and Office Dictionary
(1989) has the definition of BINARY as following:

"1. Made up of two parts: double 2. designating or of a number
system in which the base used is two, each number being
expressed by using only two digits, specifically 1 and 0."

As used in all electronics, the first definition is used with an
emphasis on STATE of something, such as on or off, there or
not there. Two-state.

In on-off keying (OOK) CW the carrier is either present or not
there. Two states.

In PSK morse code, the carrier is either phase-shifted or not
phase-shifted. Two states.

In MCW the tone is either there or not there. Two states.

In the original Morse-Vail Telegraph System (beginning 1844),
there was either current flowing in the wire lines or not flowing.
Two states.

In later "polar keying" telegraphy, the current was either flowing
in one direction or flowing in the opposite direction. Two states.
However, such "polar keying" (originally "polarized keying"), those
are implemented as TRINARY since there is the state where no
current is flowing in the loop.

It is not known if Reggie Fessenden invented polarized keying in
1900 (Rev. Jimmie Who will be sure to sermonize on that later),
but polar keying was often used in old teleprinter wired systems.

Morse code is definitely BINARY. Binary does not refer to the
time or duration of maintaining either of two states.

None of that really matters to any policy discussions. It matters
greatly to those chat-roomers or morse-bloggers who MUST fill
space with all kinds of miscellaneous dreck subjects reveling in
the sanctity, efficacy, nobility of an archaic communications
system coming up on its 161st anniversary of existance. It's so
damn good that every other communications service uses morse
code, right? :-)
=========

Two states. In any of the states of the United States and in all
the "airwaves" of the universe.

On/Off...1/0...tone/no-tone. Two states.

Now if you want to continue arguing this inapplicable non-discussion,
please go to rec.nonsense.angels.dance.on.pin newsgroup and live
it up.

Morse code is NOT high technology. It was devised out of a need
to use very primitive electrical devices to communicate. Some 52
years after the first morse code was used, very primitive early radio
technology used morse code to enable communication by radio.
[that was in 1896 and began the Mythology of Morse some 109
years ago, before the invention of the multi-element vacuum tube
and well before the first transistor]

Put the subject to rest. RIP.

You've gotten congratulations for achieving a 100,000 foot attitude.
if you need more hire a press agent and get into the national news.

Try not to inhale all that left-over helium.

- 30 -
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1171. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 02:50:32 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <LZWdnfBdfo_IeJ_fRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102587

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Well Phil, Since I didn't get a broadcast license I guess you fucking ham
> operator are going to have to deal with me.
> As for the language I'm pissed off about the whole deal and I'll continue to
> be pissed off. Here you have a fucking agency going to court telling a judge
> that all a person has to do is apply for a license and ask for a waiver. But
> when a person does apply for a license and a waiver they don't consider it.
> Bottom line the FCC ARE A BUNCH OF FUCKING LIARS!! so Phil go to your old
> bosses or perhaps that dumbass Hollingsworth is on here and you both can
> tell your bosses that I said that they SHOULD EAT SHIT AND DIE!
> I often get sick of all these fucking ham operators who bitch and whine
> about the code issue, the changes in the amateur license structure. These
> little fucker don't realize how fucking easy they've got it. With that said,
> just a reminder since I don't have a broadcast license that I'm going to
> uses the ham bands. So my opinion is you and the FCC is to go fuck
> yourselves.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Toddyboy, you just continue to prove what a stupid juvenile little twit
you are. No go tell mommy to get you a glass of warm milk and do your
homework and after you pass through puberty and grow up some, come back
and try to talk with some intellegence.

1172. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 02:51:23 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <LZWdnfNdfo8ReJ_fRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102588

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 17:12:11 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
>
>>Well Phil, Since I didn't get a broadcast license I guess you fucking ham
>>operator are going to have to deal with me.
>>As for the language I'm pissed off about the whole deal and I'll continue to
>>be pissed off. Here you have a fucking agency going to court telling a judge
>>that all a person has to do is apply for a license and ask for a waiver. But
>>when a person does apply for a license and a waiver they don't consider it.
>>Bottom line the FCC ARE A BUNCH OF FUCKING LIARS!! so Phil go to your old
>>bosses or perhaps that dumbass Hollingsworth is on here and you both can
>>tell your bosses that I said that they SHOULD EAT SHIT AND DIE!
>> I often get sick of all these fucking ham operators who bitch and whine
>>about the code issue, the changes in the amateur license structure. These
>>little fucker don't realize how fucking easy they've got it. With that said,
>>just a reminder since I don't have a broadcast license that I'm going to
>>uses the ham bands. So my opinion is you and the FCC is to go fuck
>>yourselves.
>
>
> Such clear, concise, and courteous language ..... shows us all the
> level of your maturity and the clarity of your thought proccesses.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
And he wonders why the FCC didn't consider his application and waiver.

1173. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 03:18:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DbKdnTbLSKn0dJ_fRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102589

Len Anderson wrote:

> In article <Yt-dnYihPoVRTZ_fRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>Len Anderson wrote:
>>
>>>In article <1107442943.443561.149580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
>>>kelly@dvol.com writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>The big question is: what does it matter if Morse is binary or not?
>>>>
>>>>. . . finally . . of course not. But you already knew that . .
>>>
>>>
>>> Quite true. The coslonaut (reaching for the threashold of space
>>> through surplus helium balloons) originally posted a troll message
>>> to liven up this "members-only" chat room cum group blog.
>>
>> You betchya!
>>
>>
>>> Webster's New World Compact School and Office Dictionary
>>> (1989) has the definition of BINARY as following:
>>>
>>> "1. Made up of two parts: double 2. designating or of a number
>>> system in which the base used is two, each number being
>>> expressed by using only two digits, specifically 1 and 0."
>>
>>How many states are there in Morse code? On, and Off? Is that all?
>
>
> Coslo, do you have a reading defect? Here's what I wrote:

I can read.

> ==========
> Webster's New World Compact School and Office Dictionary
> (1989) has the definition of BINARY as following:
>
> "1. Made up of two parts: double 2. designating or of a number
> system in which the base used is two, each number being

> expressed by using only two digits, specifically 1 and 0."

Specifically 1 and 0, indeed.

That is why when we try to make Morse code computer compatible, we interpret the dah or dash as 3 '1's" in length, (followed by a 0) the dit or dot as 1 "1" (followed by a 0, and various numbers of 0's for spaces in between letters or numbers, or words.

> As used in all electronics, the first definition is used with an
> emphasis on STATE of something, such as on or off, there or
> not there. Two-state.

> In on-off keying (OOK) CW the carrier is either present or not
> there. Two states.

No. At least to only two states. Obviously it is either there or not there.

It has a time component that is what carries the information. The "there" or "not thereness" of the signal is one thing. The relationship of one carrier pulse length to other carrier pulse length, and to the intercarrier lack of pulse time is what is important.

> Put the subject to rest. RIP.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1174. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 03:24:06 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1107487446.123288.316260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102590

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1107442943.443561.149580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com writes:

> In later "polar keying" telegraphy, the current was either flowing
> in one direction or flowing in the opposite direction. Two
states.
> However, such "polar keying" (originally "polarized keying"),
those
> are implemented as TRINARY since there is the state where no
> current is flowing in the loop . . .

Welp then that means CW is a tertiary mode. Given a slice of RF spectrum space in which CW is being transmitted there are actually three states: Key down, key up and the noise between up n' down. OYeah, the noise matters as a "state".

> Morse code is definitely BINARY. Binary does not refer to the
> time or duration of maintaining either of two states.
>
> None of that really matters to any policy discussions. It matters
> greatly to those chat-roomers or morse-bloggers who MUST fill
> space with all kinds of miscellaneous dreck subjects reveling in
> the sanctity, efficacy, nobility . . .

Sweetums for God's sake it's drek . . as in "Drek mit Leber" . .

w3rv

1175. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 03:58:28 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4202f373$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102591

hey dumbass that wasn't the reason so why don't you and philly go back to kissing those bastards at the FCC asses.

Todd N9OGL

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message news:LZWdnfNdfo8ReJ_fRVn-uA@gbronline.com...
> Phil Kane wrote:
>
> > On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 17:12:11 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Well Phil, Since I didn't get a broadcast license I guess you fucking ham
> >>operator are going to have to deal with me.
> >>As for the language I'm pissed off about the whole deal and I'll continue to
> >>be pissed off. Here you have a fucking agency going to court telling a judge
> >>that all a person has to do is apply for a license and ask for a waiver. But
> >>when a person does apply for a license and a waiver they don't consider it.
> >>Bottom line the FCC ARE A BUNCH OF FUCKING LIARS!! so Phil go to your old
> >>bosses or perhaps that dumbass Hollingsworth is on here and you both can
> >>tell your bosses that I said that they SHOULD EAT SHIT AND DIE!
> >> I often get sick of all these fucking ham operators who bitch and whine
> >>about the code issue, the changes in the amateur license structure. These
> >>little fucker don't realize how fucking easy they've got it. With that said,
> >>just a reminder since I don't have a broadcast license that I'm going to
> >>uses the ham bands. So my opinion is you and the FCC is to go fuck
> >>yourselves.
> >
> >
> > Such clear, concise, and courteous language ..... shows us all the
> > level of your maturity and the clarity of your thought proccesses.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> And he wonders why the FCC didn't consider his application and waiver.

>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1176. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 03:59:22 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4202f3a9$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102592

WHY DON'T YOU AND YOUR FCC BOYFRIENDS KISS MY ASS!!

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <us@that.net> wrote in message
news:LZWdnfBdfo_IeJ_fRVn-uA@gbronline.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> > Well Phil, Since I didn't get a broadcast license I guess you fucking
ham
> > operator are going to have to deal with me.
> > As for the language I'm pissed off about the whole deal and I'll
continue to
> > be pissed off. Here you have a fucking agency going to court telling a
judge
> > that all a person has to do is apply for a license and ask for a waiver.
But
> > when a person does apply for a license and a waiver they don't consider
it.
> > Bottom line the FCC ARE A BUNCH OF FUCKING LIARS!! so Phil go to your
old
> > bosses or perhaps that dumbass Hollingsworth is on here and you both can
> > tell your bosses that I said that they SHOULD EAT SHIT AND DIE!
> > I often get sick of all these fucking ham operators who bitch and
whine
> > about the code issue, the changes in the amateur license structure.
These
> > little fucker don't realize how fucking easy they've got it. With that
said,
> > just a reminder since I don't have a broadcast license that I'm going to
> > uses the ham bands. So my opinion is you and the FCC is to go fuck
> > yourselves.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Toddyboy, you just continue to prove what a stupid juvenile little twit
> you are. No go tell mommy to get you a glass of warm milk and do your
> homework and after you pass through puberty and grow up some, come back
> and try to talk with some intellegence.
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1177. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 04:07:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4202F504.7CCD96BE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102593

Phil Kane wrote:

> Such clear, concise, and courteous language ..... shows us all the
> level of your maturity and the clarity of your thought proccesses.

Todd can really turn a phrase. He still hasn't filled us in on what the content-controlling FCC is preventing him from doing on the amateur bands.

Dave K8MN

1178. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 04:11:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4202F5F7.250D7655@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102594

Len Anderson wrote:

> Two states. In any of the states of the United States and in all
> the "airwaves" of the universe.

Sorry, old bean. I live in a tri-state area.

Dave K8MN

1179. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 06:08:17 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <IjEMd.8765$Ix.755@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102595

>
> Can a person request to be tested by sending? My sending has always
> outpaced my receiving!
>

99% of people are that way. The FCC found that nobody
ever failed a sending test if they passed a receiving
test. So they decided why bother with sending.

1180. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 06:15:23 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4203138e$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102596

Don't matter I'm going to it anyway!

Todd N9OGL

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4202F504.7CCD96BE@earthlink.net...
> Phil Kane wrote:
>
> > Such clear, concise, and courteous language ..... shows us all the
> > level of your maturity and the clarity of your thought proccesses.
>
> Todd can really turn a phrase. He still hasn't filled us in on what the
> content-controlling FCC is preventing him from doing on the amateur
> bands.
>
>
> Dave K8MN
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1181. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 11:44:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107517443.765235.132430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102597

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <42025255.99AA1D2D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <Kolonel
Klunk uff
> das uber-amateur staffel> put on his newly cleaned uniform and
polished
> boots, adjusted his monocle and swacked the podium with his riding
> crop to silence all conversation, get attention to bark out daily
orders:

Yet more assinine, childish analogies to the Nazis.

Just like we've been saying all along.

> >Nope, you have it wrong again. The rest of us may discuss amateur
radio
> >policy here or on the amateur bands. You may not.
>
> * ACHTUNG * ACHTUNG * ACHTUNG *
>
> Effective immediately, ALL persons without a valid amateur radio
> licenses are FORBIDDEN to discuss, write, or even think about
> amateur radio subjects!

ACHTUNG ACHTUNG ACHTUNG

Effective since the creation of the FRC and the institution of
Amateur Radio licensing, it has been forbidden for unlicensed persons
to use the Amateur Bands.

Another Regulatory matter you skipped over, Lennie.

What's with you, Your Scumminess? Didn't they teach you radio
rules at professional radio school?

> Violators will be arrested, imprisoned, convicted of Crimes
Against
> the State (as soon as the judges finish their DX contacts with
> illegal Frenchmen), and shot.

Not shot, however the FCC has demonstrated a willingness to seek
the incarcertaion of those who violate their rules.

Your age might get you off of jail time, but your income, alleged
summer home, etc, will ensure that the price of the NAL sticks. Too
many assets to claim poverty.

> There is NO appeal.

Sure there is...even for the UNappealing such as yourself.

> >The rest of us may
> >discuss anything on the amateur bands. You may not.
>
> <repeat of standing orders effective immediately>

Repeat of reminder of rules that those unlicensed commissioners
you are always ballyhooing about have enacted and enforce.

Those rules apply even to the UNlicensed (alleged) professionals
such as yourself.

> >By the way, did
> >you mean that if we were in the room with you, we'd be in danger of
> >direct physical harm?
>
> ...only from all the PCTA extras shooting themselves in the foot
> with their arrogant, dictatorial manner!

Not from THIS "PCTA extra". If I dicharge a firearm, I can
promise one and all that whatever I am aiming at will get hit WHERE I
am aiming at.

The first time.

> All sorts of small-caliber projectiles have been ricocheting all
over
> and a distinct danger to all in that "room."

What you "fire" doesn't "ricochet", Lennie...more like "SPLAT".

Malodorous, too.

> >Did you plan to bore us to death?
>
> No, Kolonel Klunk, you do that SO well. Far be it for me to try
and
> upstage das Grate, Full Dead.

Nope...That's your schtick, Lennie.

> RIP
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Oh Lennie! You KNEW how long I've been waiting to see "RIP" over
your name! Thanks!

Steve, K4YZ

1182. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 12:24:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050204072417.06767.00000103@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 102598

In article <ctu6msnmo1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>, Michael Coslo
<mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:

>> In article <MZudndXjAr2TPJzfRVn-gA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:

>>>>>I felt kinda bad about being mean to Len,

>>>>When were you mean to Len, Mike?
>>>>Unless you count disagreeing with him and proving him wrong as "being
>>>>mean", you've been nothing but nice to him.

>>>Well, he probably thinks so!

Whether he thinks at all is unknown. It's clear he has no shame, however,
considering how he behaves here and all the Godwin violations. He and "F%$@
$%#k" Dick Cheney must be buddies.

>> Mike Deignan had him pegged. Len's really ticked that somewhere, out there,
>> somebody is having fun with ham radio.

>*Every Day*! If I'm not operating, I'm reading or surfing the web to
>learn about it. And I venture in here for a little mud wrestling from
>time to time too! 8^)

What *really* ticks him, I suppose, is people having fun with ham radio and
Morse Code...

>>>>>so I'll try to meet him
>>>>>halfway with a Morse code topic.

>>>>His definition of meeting halfway is that you agree with him 100%.

>>>That is certainly possible...

>> It's self-evident...

>>>>>So maybe we can ressurect this old one...

>>>>>I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
>>>>>It is most certainly not.

>>>>Depends how you define "binary".

>>>One state equals "0" or "off".
>>>The other state equals "1" or "on".
>
>> You have to define "state". If "key up" and "key down" are the states, it's
>> binary. Time isn't the factor you make it - look at how Baudot works.

>Certainly. But Morse code, which was invented as a human translated
>code, does not qualify to me as a 1 or 0 state.

>Here is my rationale:

>If the key is up, the radio is certainly not sending 0's.

Doesn't have to.

>This brings up an interesting paradox...
>If the lack of a signal is a 0 state, then when no signal is being
>transmitted, you are receiving zeros until someone sends something.

That's right. And a string of zeroes is interpreted as lots of spaces.

>I grant that a dit might be a 1.

>If a dah is 3 1's, why do we not send 3 dits. I either hold the key down
>longer or press the dah switch on my keyer. It sends out a longer pulse,
>not 3 1's.

It sends three dits with no space between them.

>Describing the signal as how many 1's a signal is, or how many 0's
>indicate intercharacter or interword spacing is a method of translating
>the varying length Morse code signals into digital format.

So?

The basic element is one dit length long. Three of them together in the one
state is a dah.

>>>>>Let us look at the situation.
>>>>>
>>>>>Is the Dit a "0"?

>>>>No.

>>>>>Is the Dah a "1"?

>>>>No.

>>>>>Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait,
>>>>>what is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?

>>>>Key up is "0". Key down is "1". Also known as "space" and "mark",
>>>>respectively.

>>>Unfortunately, there are two separate "1" states, and the zero state is
>>>not a constant thing.

>> Doesn't have to be. It's a time code.
>
>>>There is the matter of time. A zero might me the space between letters,
>>>or one half of a dit. It might also mean the space between words. All
>>>different things.

>> No. The characters are built from the basic elements, which are key up and
>> key down, just like, say, Baudot RTTY.
>>
>>>That Morse code can be turned into binary is not at argument here. It
>>>obviously can, just as images, emails and everything else we do on the
>>>computer. Are they binary because someone has written a program to turn
>>>them into strings of 1's and 0's?
>>
>>
>> Their basic transmission form is binary, same as Morse.
>>
>> A non-binary code is one that has more than two *transmission* states, like
>> QPSK. Which is typically implemented as 0, 90, 180 and 270 degrees shift.
>> Four transmission states rather than two.
>>
>>>>>It isn't binary,

>>>>Depends on how you define "binary".

>>>>>and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.
>>>>
>>>>Different subject.
>>>
>>>Not really. If you look at the string of 1's and 0's that Doug posted
>>>as the binary result of my hypothetical CQ, is that something that you
>>>would recognize as that CQ? That string IS binary.

>> I would recognize it easily.
>>
>>>Why does the - and . method of typing out the code convey the
>>>information? the dashes and the spaces convey time information to the
>>>person looking at them. I'm counting more than two states here.

>> It's not the simplest way, though. It shows the time differently.

>>>>>It's not binary.
>
>>>>Most Morse operators with any skill (that excludes Len) process a
>>>>complete character as one "sound". "didahdidit" is recognized as "L",
>>>>in the same way that when you hear the word "cat", you think of the
>>>>animal. The Morse operator does not think in terms of dits and dahs any
>>>>more than a person thinks in terms of the consonant and vowel sounds
>>>>(phonemes) making up "cat".
>>>>
>>>>Of course *really* skilled Morse ops hear entire words as units of
>>>>sound. And at some level, they begin to think in Morse, just as fluent
>>>>speakers of a language think in that language.

>>>>Of course Len wouldn't know about that...
>>
>>
>> The big question is: what does it matter if Morse is binary or not?
>
>Of course not! I thought it might be something better to talk about
>than whether Len thinks we're "jackboot thugs" tho'! 8^)
>
Who cares what Len thinks? I sure don't. His behavior here has caused most of us to lose whatever respect we might have once had for him. His latest reply to K8MN simply reinforces that once again.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1183. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 12:24:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050204072417.06767.00000104@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 102599

In article <Yt-dnYqhPoUsTJ_fRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <cuvyxnansvbet.ibcl273.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>, "Phil
>> Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:
>>
>>
>>>On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 04:13:16 GMT, Doug McLaren wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>But to retort --
>>>>
>>>> 1) The FCC doesn't administer ham radio tests any more
>>>
>>> Nothing in the Rules says that someone can't be called into an FCC
>>> office and administered an individual test if the FCC deems it
>>> necessary.
>>
>>
>> Bring 'em on! ;-)
>>
>>>> 2) The tests are generally receiving, not sending, and
>>>
>>> Generally but not always. It's up to the examiner.
>>>
>>
>> Yep. It is possible to pass Element 1 by *sending only*.
>
>
> Can a person request to be tested by sending?

It is my understanding that such a test can be administered as an accomodation.
Same as someone might request a flashing light rather than audio tone, or a
pitch other than the usual 750 Hz.

>My sending has always
>outpaced my receiving!
>
Mine too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1184. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 13:31:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107523897.786431.325200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102700

Len Anderson wrote:

> Now if you want to continue arguing this inapplicable
non-discussion,
> please go to rec.nonsense.angels.dance.on.pin newsgroup and live
> it up.
>
> Morse code is NOT high technology. It was devised out of a need
> to use very primitive electrical devices to communicate. Some 52
> years after the first morse code was used, very primitive early
radio
> technology used morse code to enable communication by radio.
> [that was in 1896 and began the Mythology of Morse some 109
> years ago, before the invention of the multi-element vacuum tube
> and well before the first transistor]
>
> Put the subject to rest. RIP.

And here, once again, we have Lennie dead-to-rights in yet another
"Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do" rant.

Lennie will be the first one to yell "You're not a moderator!",
yet he has absolutlely no reservation about telling anyone else to shut
up or to "take it elsewhere".

Never mind that Mike never made such accusations or suggestions to
Lennie.

His right to "freedom of speech" notwithstanding, Lennie has no
business here. He is not a licensed Amateur, has no practical
experience in Amateur Radio, and he frequently demonstrates
incompetence in matters of Amateur practice, policy and regulation.

In short, he's a putz.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1185. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 16:13:22 UTC
From: Ralph E Lindberg <n7bsn@callsign.net>
Message-ID: <n7bsn-C5DE92.08132204022005@individual.net>
Source record: 102701

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.ibcuh56.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>,
"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:

...
>
> If it's who I think it is - someone who had a history of deciding
> what other hams "needed" - he was a traffic handler and contester
> who knew code very well. In that era the only FCC field folks who
> were not required to be Morse-qualified were the clerical staff.
>

If I recall correctly the lady that did my test was a member of the
clerical staff.

From the above, has there been a change in the CW requirement for
field staff? I know when I was offered a Field Engineer job they were
happy I would not have to study CW (I turned it down, the idea of paying
my moving and transfer expenses rankled me)

--

Personal e-mail is the n7bsn but at amsat.org
This posting address is a spam-trap and seldom read
RV and Camping FAQ can be found at
http://www.ralphandellen.us/rv

1186. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-04 19:48:24 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ibec0o0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102702

On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 08:13:22 -0800, Ralph E Lindberg wrote:

> From the above, has there been a change in the CW requirement for
>field staff? I know when I was offered a Field Engineer job they were
>happy I would not have to study CW (I turned it down, the idea of paying
>my moving and transfer expenses rankled me)

AFAIK the "technical agents" (used to be called engineers or technicians) still have to qualify at a minimum of 20 wpm text and 16 wpm code groups. The non-technical agents (used to be called Public Contact Specialists) and the clerical staff do not have to be code-qualified although I know several who are code-qualified from being licensed ham operators or once were monitoring station technicians.

As far as relocation - when I hired on in 1967 they paid my transportation and moving expenses cross-country. It may have changed by the time that you were contacted.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1187. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 01:12:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <S-udnSFn_9kUgJnfRVn-rA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102704

N2EY wrote:
> In article <ctu6msnmo1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>, Michael Coslo
> <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> writes:

<snippage for readability>

>>>Mike Deignan had him pegged. Len's really ticked that somewhere, out there,
>>>somebody is having fun with ham radio.
>
>
>>*Every Day*! If I'm not operating, I'm reading or surfing the web to
>>learn about it. And I venture in here for a little mud wrestling from
>>time to time too! 8^)
>
>
> What *really* ticks him, I suppose, is people having fun with ham radio and
> Morse Code...

Such an odd thing to be so concerned about.......

>
>>>>>>so I'll try to meet him
>>>>>>halfway with a Morse code topic.
>
>
>
>>>>>His definition of meeting halfway is that you agree with him 100%.
>
>
>>>>That is certainly possible...
>
>
>
>>>It's self-evident...
>
>
>
>>>>>>So maybe we can ressurect this old one...
>
>
>>>>>>I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
>>>>>>It is most certainly not.
>
>
>

>>>>>Depends how you define "binary".
>
>
>>>>One state equals "0" or "off".
>>>>The other state equals "1" or "on".
>>
>>>You have to define "state". If "key up" and "key down" are the states, it's
>>>binary. Time isn't the factor you make it - look at how Baudot works.
>
>
>>Certainly. But Morse code, which was invented as a human translated
>>code, does not qualify to me as a 1 or 0 state.
>
>
>>Here is my rationale:
>
>
>>If the key is up, the radio is certainly not sending 0's.
>
>
> Doesn't have to.

>
>>This brings up an interesting paradox...
>>If the lack of a signal is a 0 state, then when no signal is being
>>transmitted, you are receiving zeros until someone sends something.
>
>
> That's right. And a string of zeroes is interpreted as lots of spaces.

And it means that information is being sent with no energy used in the
sending. Hence the paradox. Of course if we are dealing in quantum
matters, there is not as much paradox, except for why it is all 0's
instead of a 1 here and there.

>
>>I grant that a dit might be a 1.
>
>
>>If a dah is 3 1's, why do we not send 3 dits. I either hold the key down
>>longer or press the dah switch on my keyer. It sends out a longer pulse,
>>not 3 1's.
>
>
> It sends three dits with no space between them.

Only after you decide that the signal is digital for the sake of
calling it a binary or digital signal. It isn't sent that way, and when
you listen, you don't think of it that way.

The only time you need to think of it that way is when you decide to do
something with a computer, and need to translate the Morse code signal

into something that the computer will understand.

>
>>Describing the signal as how many 1's a signal is, or how many 0's
>>indicate intercharacter or interword spacing is a method of translating
>>the varying length Morse code signals into digital format.
>
>
> So?
>
> The basic element is one dit length long. Three of them together in the one
> state is a dah.

In the computer it is.

In the human brain it isn't. The human brain decodes the dits and dahs
and interletter and interword spaces quite differently. No 1's or 0's
required

>
>>>>>>Let us look at the situation.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Is the Dit a "0"?
>
>>>>>No.
>
>>>>>>Is the Dah a "1"?
>
>>>>>No.
>
>
>>>>>>Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait,
>>>>>>what is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?
>
>>>>>Key up is "0". Key down is "1". Also known as "space" and "mark",
>>>>>respectively.
>
>
>>>>Unfortunately, there are two separate "1" states, and the zero state is
>>>>not a constant thing.
>
>>>Doesn't have to be. It's a time code.
>>
>>>>There is the matter of time. A zero might me the space between letters,
>>>>or one half of a dit. It might also mean the space between words. All
>>>>different things.
>
>
>>>No. The characters are built from the basic elements, which are key up and
>>>key down, just like, say, Baudot RTTY.
>>>

>>>
>>>>That Morse code can be turned into binary is not at argument here. It
>>>>obviously can, just as images, emails and everything else we do on the
>>>>computer. Are they binary because someone has written a program to turn
>>>>them into strings of 1's and 0's?
>>>
>>>
>>>Their basic transmission form is binary, same as Morse.
>>>
>>>A non-binary code is one that has more than two *transmission* states, like
>>>QPSK. Which is typically implemented as 0, 90, 180 and 270 degrees shift.
>>>Four transmission states rather than two.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>It isn't binary,
>
>>>>>Depends on how you define "binary".
>
>>>>>>and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.
>>>>>
>>>>>Different subject.
>>>>
>>>>Not really. If you look at the string of 1's and 0's that Doug posted
>>>>as the binary result of my hypothetical CQ, is that something that you
>>>>would recognize as that CQ? That string IS binary.
>
>>>I would recognize it easily.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Why does the - and . method of typing out the code convey the
>>>>information? the dashes and the spaces convey time information to the
>>>>person looking at them. I'm counting more than two states here.
>
>
>
>>>It's not the simplest way, though. It shows the time differently.
>
>
>
>>>>>>It's not binary.
>>
>>>>>Most Morse operators with any skill (that excludes Len) process a
>>>>>complete character as one "sound". "didahdidit" is recognized as "L",
>>>>>in the same way that when you hear the word "cat", you think of the
>>>>>animal. The Morse operator does not think in terms of dits and dahs any
>>>>>more than a person thinks in terms of the consonant and vowel sounds
>>>>>(phonemes) making up "cat".
>>>>>
>>>>>Of course *really* skilled Morse ops hear entire words as units of
>>>>>sound. And at some level, they begin to think in Morse, just as fluent

>>>>>speakers of a language think in that language.
>>>>>Of course Len wouldn't know about that...
>>>
>>>
>>>The big question is: what does it matter if Morse is binary or not?
>>
>>Of course not! I thought it might be something better to talk about
>>than whether Len thinks we're "jackboot thugs" tho'! 8^)
>>
>
> Who cares what Len thinks? I sure don't.

I'm not terribly concerned much about his opinions either, although I
have some fun with him from time to time. As do you! 8^)

> His behavior here has caused most of
> us to lose whatever respect we might have once had for him. His latest reply to
> K8MN simply reinforces that once again.

But my main purpose is to get a little traffic on the list that isn't
the bottom feeders type stuff. I enjoy the occasional good row that
develops. I wouldn't mind seeing that continue.

Note that almost everyone disagrees with me, the thread has been
largely civil discussion.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1188. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 01:15:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <spWdnbFHn9ffg5nfRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102703

robert casey wrote:

>
>>
>> Can a person request to be tested by sending? My sending has
>> always outpaced my receiving!
>>
>
> 99% of people are that way. The FCC found that nobody
> ever failed a sending test if they passed a receiving
> test. So they decided why bother with sending.

I don't doubt it. Set me down with a written piece and I can send pretty quickly - easily at the old Extra rate. When ad-libbing, I'm a bit slower. Unfortunately I still fly a little behind the plane when recieving! 8^(

- Mike KB3EIA -

1189. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 02:48:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107571708.283769.109710@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102705

bb wrote:

> Maybe Algore will jump in and reinvent the internet.

I'd just be tickled if he'd "invent" a home address that didn't
have a Tennessee Zip Code!

Maybe something in a 92xxx would suit him. Sure would suit me!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1190. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 04:35:13 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <5YudnUUrXbpuzZnfRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102707

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> WHY DON'T YOU AND YOUR FCC BOYFRIENDS KISS MY ASS!!

Poor Toddyboy.

1191. Re: N9OGL Broadcast moves to 14.275 next weekend M.A.N.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 04:36:44 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <5YudnUQrXbrlzJnfRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102708

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> hey dumbass that wasn't the reason so why don't you and philly go back to
> kissing those bastards at the FCC asses.

The reason they didn't consider you petitions is because it became quite
clear very quickly what a little loony twit you are.

1192. Re: Radio Free Colorado - A new Internet Radio Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 04:40:26 UTC
From: Gary Burke <nospam@noreply.net>
Message-ID: <BE299C4A.7A4B%nospam@noreply.net>
Source record: 102706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 1/26/05 8:06 AM, in article ct8bl1$ff5$0@pita.alt.net, "Alabama
4-Wheeler" <Als@alsalafowhee.org> wrote:

>
> I heard a pirate broadcaster playing the same genre on
> 6682 khz last night. Was that your opertion?
>
>
> Alabama 4-Wheeler
> "We Don't Care How You Do It Up North"
>
>
>
>

Nope.

--G.B.

1193. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 05:56:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42046012.D52FAA14@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102709

Len "Foghorn Lenhorn" Anderson, self-anointed but non-appointed advocate
for something or other, having nothing better to do wrote:
>
> In article <42025255.99AA1D2D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <Kolonel Klunk uff
> das uber-amateur staffel> put on his newly cleaned uniform and polished
> boots, adjusted his monocle and swacked the podium with his riding
> crop to silence all conversation, get attention to bark out daily orders:
>
> >Nope, you have it wrong again. The rest of us may discuss amateur radio
> >policy here or on the amateur bands. You may not.
>
> * ACHTUNG * ACHTUNG * ACHTUNG *

> Effective immediately, ALL persons without a valid amateur radio
> licenses are FORBIDDEN to discuss, write, or even think about
> amateur radio subjects!

> Violators will be arrested, imprisoned, convicted of Crimes Against
> the State (as soon as the judges finish their DX contacts with
> illegal Frenchmen), and shot.

> There is NO appeal.

You'll have to content yourself with carrying out your self-anointed
advocacy for something or other here, Leonard. Your lack of an amateur
radio license prevents you from doing it on the ham bands. You do as
you can, the rest of us will do as we like.

> >The rest of us may
> >discuss anything on the amateur bands. You may not.
>
> <repeat of standing orders effective immediately>

Face it, Leonard, this is your only forum and nobody is paying your
views on the regulation of amateur radio much credence here. Did you
notice the lack of response from those lurkers you wrote of earlier?

> >By the way, did
> >you mean that if we were in the room with you, we'd be in danger of
> >direct physical harm?
>
> ...only from all the PCTA extras shooting themselves in the foot
> with their arrogant, dictatorial manner! :-)

That's funny. When you wrote, "They ham it up, not on radio, not on
any 'airwaves,' only on computers, saved from direct physical
harm through time and distance by insulting all who don't agree

with them." it didn't seem to refer to PCTA Extras doing anything to each other.

> All sorts of small-caliber projectiles have been ricocheting all over
> and a distinct danger to all in that "room." :-)

What room? :-) Do you fancy yourself a large caliber guy? :-)

> >Did you plan to bore us to death?
>
> No, Kolonel Klunk, you do that SO well. Far be it for me to try and
> upstage das Grate, Full Dead. :-)

:-) :-) That doesn't make sense, Leonard. How can I be "saved in time and distance from direct physical harm" from myself? :-) :-)

Enough of that. We still don't have a nurse who has killed patients.

The Marine among us seems to have a pretty solid understanding of how to use the word "putz".

Our "university lecturer" is actually a university lecturer.

Jim isn't female nor a nun. You come off as being the prissy one.

I've not been a ham forever though I'm certain that more than forty years sounds like a long time to one who has never obtained an amateur radio license.

My specialty in the Foreign Service was communications, not diplomacy. If it is diplomacy you want, drop Dr. Rice a line. I should warn you though that she doesn't seem the type to put up with your foolish little old man routine either.

Mike Coslo wrote of sending a helium-filled balloon to 100,000 feet. No wishes for congratulations on a before or after basis were written by him. You did, however, tell him that it couldn't be done. That statement
really came back to bite you in the can. You get quite a number of things wrong.

You have no right to suggest that radio amateurs, posting in an amateur radio newsgroup should be spending their time on the ham bands. Let me explain it to you Sparkie:

newsgroup amateur radio stake in
access access amateur radio

Leonard Anderson x

Radio Amateurs x x x

Have a nice day.

Dave K8MN

1194. Re: Lardass' Suicide attempts from before has left him more brain damaged!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 06:19:41 UTC
From: Lloyd <Nospam@nospam>
Message-ID: <cu1odv$8c9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102710
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Roger Wiseman said
"Yes, fuck up, you shreeched that you drink semen! But we already
knew that since you are a 31 year old VIRGIN!"

49 year old Wussyman proves once again that he cannot spell....

and which masters degree are you pursuing, Wogie? Second grade? Third?

Schwartz is gonna love this....

The four most difficult years in Roger's life was seventh grade.

Then he claims he joined the Air Force. No records are availble to prove
that. No DD 214, no nothing.

As per a Freedom Of Information Request we will all soon know whether Roger
is a liar. Odds are he was mustered out of the Air Force, if he even served,
as a non desireable.

The request takes 60 to 90 days, Rogie. It has been submitted. More will be
forthcoming.

�shreeched� Wasn�t he the guy in a sit com? Screech?

�stuid� Pot calling the kettle black?

�heared� That�s West Virginia for heard.

�assuslted� Rog just makes em� up as he goes along.

�experieince� Oh, DUH!

�abouve� Is this a French word?

�Cosast� Some place by a body of water?

�mebership�, Just a typo, right?

�retqards� Regards or Retards? Who can tell?

�coordinbator� Huh?

�Realy� Really?

�Nitoiced� Keyboard error or stupidity?

1195. Re: Lardass' Suicide attempts from before has left him more brain damaged!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 06:51:30 UTC
From: Weenie Wogie <GlendaleCunt@nospam>
Message-ID: <42046d18$2_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102711
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

(Pssstt! Give me two months and I will self destruct)
Roger Wiseman

"Lloyd" <Nospam@nospam> wrote in message news:cu1odv$8c9$0@pita.alt.net...

Roger Wiseman said
"Yes, fuck up, you shreeched that you drink semen! But we already
knew that since you are a 31 year old VIRGIN!"

49 year old Wussyman proves once again that he cannot spell....

and which masters degree are you pursuing, Wogie? Second grade? Third?

Schwartz is gonna love this....

The four most difficult years in Roger's life was seventh grade.

Then he claims he joined the Air Force. No records are availble to prove
that. No DD 214, no nothing.

As per a Freedom Of Information Request we will all soon know whether Roger
is a liar. Odds are he was mustered out of the Air Force, if he even served,
as a non desireable.

The request takes 60 to 90 days, Rogie. It has been submitted. More will be
forthcoming.

�shreeched� Wasn�t he the guy in a sit com? Screech?

�stuid� Pot calling the kettle black?

�heared� That�s West Virginia for heard.

�assuslted� Rog just makes em� up as he goes along.

�experieince� Oh, DUH!

�abouve� Is this a French word?

�Cosast� Some place by a body of water?

�mebership�, Just a typo, right?

�retqards� Regards or Retards? Who can tell?

�coordinbator� Huh?

�Realy� Really?

�Nitoiced� Keyboard error or stupidity?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1196. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 14:36:03 UTC
From: Ralph E Lindberg <n7bsn@callsign.net>
Message-ID: <n7bsn-CCDBB3.06360305022005@individual.net>
Source record: 102712

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.ibec0o0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>,
"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:

...
>
> As far as relocation - when I hired on in 1967 they paid my
> transportation and moving expenses cross-country. It may have
> changed by the time that you were contacted.
>
Actually I would have had to waive the relocation required by
regulations, since I would have been a transfer from the Navy. I
declined to, they declined to finalize the offer.
I did have to laugh, the manager in question was elated over a budget
increase that amounted to the "pin" money my minor project had. But then
I was DoD under Regan and he wasn't

--

Personal e-mail is the n7bsn but at amsat.org
This posting address is a spam-trap and seldom read
RV and Camping FAQ can be found at
http://www.ralphandellen.us/rv

1197. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 15:18:50 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <4204e514$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 102713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1107395298.244316.236510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> KC8QJP wrote:
>> On 1 Feb 2005 12:00:40 -0800, "steveo crusher"
>> <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Sorry I missed that.
>
> But one county south of there (Wood County) the state troopers stopped
> an Ohio Supreme Court Justice (the only Democrat on that bench) while
> driving a government vehicle for weaving and hiccupping. After
> rendering her license and registration, she sped away. The troopers
> followed her, observed more weaving, and pulled her over again. She
> wanted special treatment because of her status in life.
>
> Party girl refused the breathalyzer, but when she finally succumbed,
> she blew a point 23.
>
I blew 23 no code techs at Dayton last year.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1198. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 15:19:10 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <4204e515$3@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 102714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1107395298.244316.236510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> KC8QJP wrote:
>> On 1 Feb 2005 12:00:40 -0800, "steveo crusher"
>> <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Sorry I missed that.
>
> But one county south of there (Wood County) the state troopers stopped
> an Ohio Supreme Court Justice (the only Democrat on that bench) while
> driving a government vehicle for weaving and hiccupping. After
> rendering her license and registration, she sped away. The troopers
> followed her, observed more weaving, and pulled her over again. She
> wanted special treatment because of her status in life.
>
> Party girl refused the breathalyzer, but when she finally succumbed,
> she blew a point 23.
>
I blew 23 no code techs at Dayton last year.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1199. Re: Lardass' Suicide attempts from before has left him more brain damaged!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 16:05:12 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <1cb0bef0d26d8913b720cf916bca66d7@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 102750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
In article <42046d18$2_2@127.0.0.1>
"Lardass wants to suck the Weenie of Wogie"
<GlendalegetsCunt@nospam> whined under another sockpuppet:
>
>
> (Psssttt! Give me two months and I will self destruct)

You already have, 31 year old VIRGIN! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
BITCH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
BWHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
```

1200. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 16:10:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107619805.103212.155200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102715

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Len Anderson wrote:
>
> > In article <Yt-dnYihPoVRTZ_fRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> >
> >>Len Anderson wrote:
> >>
> >>>In article
<1107442943.443561.149580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
> >>>kelly@dvol.com writes:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>The big question is: what does it matter if Morse is binary or
not?
> >>>>
> >>>. . . finally . . of course not. But you already knew that . .
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Quite true. The coslonaut (reaching for the threashold of
space
> >>>through surplus helium balloons) originally posted a troll
message
> >>>to liven up this "members-only" chat room cum group blog.
> >>
> >> You betchya!
> >>
> >>
> >>>Webster's New World Compact School and Office Dictionary
> >>>(1989) has the definition of BINARY as following:
> >>>
> >>>"1. Made up of two parts: double 2. designating or of a
number
> >>>system in which the base used is two, each number being
> >>>expressed by using only two digits, specifically 1 and 0."
> >>
> >>How many states are there in Morse code? On, and Off? Is that all?
> >
> >
> > Coslo, do you have a reading defect? Here's what I wrote:
>
> I can read.

>
> > ==========
> > Webster's New World Compact School and Office Dictionary
> > (1989) has the definition of BINARY as following:
> >
> > "1. Made up of two parts: double 2. designating or of a
number
> > system in which the base used is two, each number being
> > expressed by using only two digits, specifically 1 and 0."
>
> Specifically 1 and 0, indeed.
>
> That is why when we try to make Morse code computer compatible,

We?

W0EX did not, RIP. He specifically stated that he would send Morse
Code so that computer readers (manned by unworthy no-code Technicians)
could not copy his messages.

Besides, if something is digital, why would you have to try so hard to
make it computer compatible?

we
> interpret the dah or dash as 3 '1's" in length, (followed by a 0) the

> dit or dot as 1 "1" (followed by a 0, and various numbers of 0's for

> spaces in between letters or numbers, or words.
>
> > As used in all electronics, the first definition is used with an
> > emphasis on STATE of something, such as on or off, there or
> > not there. Two-state.
>
> > In on-off keying (OOK) CW the carrier is either present or not
> > there. Two states.
>
> No. At least to only two states. Obviously it is either there or not
there.
>
> It has a time component that is what carries the information. The
> "there" or "not thereness" of the signal is one thing. The
relationship
> of one carrier pulse length to other carrier pulse length, and to the

> intercarrier lack of pulse time is what is important.

Try the null hypothesis. Are you saying the silent periods are
valueless?

1201. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 16:10:54 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107619854.148657.7780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102716

Dave Heil wrote:
> Len Anderson wrote:
>
> > Two states. In any of the states of the United States and in
all
> > the "airwaves" of the universe.
>
> Sorry, old bean. I live in a tri-state area.
>
> Dave K8MN

Twit.

1202. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 16:29:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107620944.206617.191260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102717

K4YZ wrote:
>
> The statement said that Lennie has a history of taking other
> people's work. He proved it in his warping of your "endearment". He
> didn't even give you, his one and only NG "buddy", credit for the
work.

That single act will cause a rift between Len and me that can never be
healed.

> So....What does YOUR lack of publishing credits have to do with
> Lennie's irresponsible and unprofessional behaviour.

Everything. Had I been published in a professional journal, can you be
sure that he would have stolen my work?

1203. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 17:07:06 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107623226.321755.19580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102718

WA8ULX wrote:
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,738 (decrease of 15,516)
>
> Total all classes - 669,580 (decrease of 4812)
>
>
>
> Yep dumbing Down is really working now.

Worked for you. Congrats.

1204. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 17:36:19 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <ou7Nd.29092$xt.13666@fed1read07>
Source record: 102719

Oh gee -- the USA ARS License numbers are decreasing about 2% in 5 years

At that rate in 500 years, we will be a dead dodo (Ain't statistics wundeful wunderful?)

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message news:1107623226.321755.19580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> WA8ULX wrote:
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,738 (decrease of 15,516)
>>
>> Total all classes - 669,580 (decrease of 4812)
>>
>>
>>
>> Yep dumbing Down is really working now.
>
> Worked for you. Congrats.
>

1205. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 17:59:03 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <IP7Nd.29095$xt.6439@fed1read07>
Source record: 102720

Much to do about nothing

Morse is about sounds
Binary in modern use is ones and zeroes in computer stuff

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)

1206. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 18:38:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <HtOdnR-8BLkNj5jfRVn-jQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102721

bb wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Len Anderson wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <Yt-dnYihPoVRTZ_fRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Len Anderson wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article
>
> <1107442943.443561.149580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
>
>>>>>kelly@dvol.com writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The big question is: what does it matter if Morse is binary or
>
> not?
>
>>>>>>. . . finally . . of course not. But you already knew that . .
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Quite true. The coslonaut (reaching for the threashold of
>
> space
>
>>>>> through surplus helium balloons) originally posted a troll
>
> message
>
>>>>> to liven up this "members-only" chat room cum group blog.
>>>>
>>>> You betchya!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>> Webster's New World Compact School and Office Dictionary
>>>>> (1989) has the definition of BINARY as following:
>>>>>
>>>>> "1. Made up of two parts: double 2. designating or of a
>
> number
>
>>>>> system in which the base used is two, each number being
>>>>> expressed by using only two digits, specifically 1 and 0."
>>>>
>>>>How many states are there in Morse code? On, and Off? Is that all?
>>>
>>>
>>> Coslo, do you have a reading defect? Here's what I wrote:
>>
>> I can read.
>>
>>
>>> ==========
>>> Webster's New World Compact School and Office Dictionary
>>> (1989) has the definition of BINARY as following:
>>>
>>> "1. Made up of two parts: double 2. designating or of a
>
> number
>
>>> system in which the base used is two, each number being
>>> expressed by using only two digits, specifically 1 and 0."
>>
>> Specifically 1 and 0, indeed.
>>
>> That is why when we try to make Morse code computer compatible,
>
>
> We?

> W0EX did not, RIP. He specifically stated that he would send Morse
> Code so that computer readers (manned by unworthy no-code Technicians)
> could not copy his messages.

Yeah, I'm not sure what the deal was there.

> Besides, if something is digital, why would you have to try so hard to
> make it computer compatible?

Good point!

>> we interpret the dah or dash as 3 '1's" in length, (followed by a 0) the
>> dit or dot as 1 "1" (followed by a 0, and various numbers of 0's for
>>spaces in between letters or numbers, or words.
>>
>>

>>> As used in all electronics, the first definition is used with an
>>> emphasis on STATE of something, such as on or off, there or
>>> not there. Two-state.
>>
>>> In on-off keying (OOK) CW the carrier is either present or not
>>> there. Two states.
>>
>> No. At least to only two states. Obviously it is either there or not
>
> there.
>
>> It has a time component that is what carries the information. The
>>"there" or "not thereness" of the signal is one thing. The
>> relationship
>>of one carrier pulse length to other carrier pulse length, and to the
>>intercarrier lack of pulse time is what is important.
>
>
> Try the null hypothesis. Are you saying the silent periods are
> valueless?

From what I've seen there is a gender difference as to the worth of
silence! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1207. (",) NEAT MOVIE FLICK ! (it starts up quickly)(awesome introduction)...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 19:01:41 UTC
From: AttWireless_ATTW@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107630101.720745.196910@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102722

Please reply with your comments about the movie.

This video hosted by Dr. Maurice Rawlings,
a noted cardiologist, investigates life after
death and his experiences with patients
that actually saw 'the other side!'

http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram

1208. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 19:38:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050205143806.29459.00000063@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 102723

In article <1107620944.206617.191260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> The statement said that Lennie has a history of taking other
>> people's work. He proved it in his warping of your "endearment". He
>> didn't even give you, his one and only NG "buddy", credit for the work.
>
>That single act will cause a rift between Len and me that can never be
>healed.

Poor Stebie da Avenging Angle sees only the WRONG in his opponents. Tsk.

>> So....What does YOUR lack of publishing credits have to do with
>> Lennie's irresponsible and unprofessional behaviour.
>
>Everything. Had I been published in a professional journal, can you be
>sure that he would have stolen my work?

Brian, you have to realize that any printed article to Stebie is new to him, therefore everything he reads is a "first principles" topic. He imagines all those articles are stand-alone and cannot ever be commented upon...therefore the slightest act of just mentioning them are what he considers plagiarism. :-)

Coupled with his mindset of "all opponents do wrong in anything," he is constantly in outrage that opponents exist.

==========

In printed publications sold all over the USA and worldwide, many are involved in viewing and checking any submitted work. Every publisher is subject to copyright laws and prosecution thereof if court action is taken. Publishers don't want that. Authors don't want that.

Stebie wants to feed on his perceived "wrongness" of fair-use mentions or references of other works. That's just ignorance on his part (or his sense of right/wrong is so warped by hatred of opponents that he cannot tell which is which)...since fair-use mentions and references have long been a part of published papers and articles. [at least over the last century] As to what constitutes fair-use, that is covered in Copyright Law under Title 17, United States Code. So is references and mentions of other published works.

For periodicals, the common convention is for publishers to request "first rights" as part of compensation of authors. That means the publishers have "first dibs" on publishing that work and can reprint that work as many times as they wish. Authors can publish or get published that same work once the publisher-puchaser has printed it the first time. There are many variations on the author compensation and some may include sole proprietorship of an author's work. [pecuniary compensation falls under "work for hire" rules by the IRS and is therefore taxable income...mentioned as a sidelight] Some authors can cut a deal with publishers so that authors have free rein on republishing, but that is rare.

What most readers overlook is that a published work can be changed in many ways. Schematics can be redrawn, diagrams can be done differently, different accompanying photographs used, and text rewritten. That changes the nature of the "work." Determination of whether such work is a "copy" of the original published (and copyrighted) is a very long, studious, and arduous proceeding (not to mention expensive) which is hardly ever done. It isn't worthwhile unless it involves millions of dollars.

The ARRL gets away with a benign sort of plagiarism (but isn't such, per se) by getting ALL rights to publishing (usually) for article in their periodicals. They do reprint periodical articles in changed form in the Handbook and other book-form publications...and the original author gets NO money under that compensation form...and usually is uncredited for the work in the ARRL's republishing. That's not a "slam" at the League but is standard practice by them and has been so for years. It's business...and worthwhile to them...but seldom so to the authors.

Do you think Hartley, Pierce, or Colpitts (or their estates) get anything for all the repeated articles on the whichness of the what in oscillators? Nothing. Nada. Zip. Yet the articles pop up now and then and are found in all kinds of electronics texts. Anyone can mention those names, draw schematics for them, make them, measure them and it is NOT "plagiarism" to do so...unless such work is a direct copy of a previously-published article on same.

In tutorial articles, basic circuits can be explained in a number of ways. Tutorials are far from "first principles" kind of subject and are done for the benefit of readers who wish to further their knowledge of a particular subject. Tutorial articles aren't plagiarism of any sort unless they are an obvious copy of something already published. Each presentation in a tutorial, the explanations, are unique. The uniqueness can be copyrighted.

That's the way part of publishing works and it's been that way for some time. Some hatred-clouded "avengers" want to redefine all rules on uniqueness or writing skill and say their hate-subject is a plagiarist. No proof. Just a hollered pejorative by someone who can't think straight in his rage.

LenAnderson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1209. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 19:38:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050205143807.29459.00000064@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 102724

In article <1107619805.103212.155200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> Len Anderson wrote:
>>
>> > In article <Yt-dnYihPoVRTZ_fRVn-ig@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>> >
>> >
>> >>Len Anderson wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>In article
><1107442943.443561.149580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
>> >>>kelly@dvol.com writes:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>>>>The big question is: what does it matter if Morse is binary or
>not?
>> >>>>
>> >>>>. . . finally . . of course not. But you already knew that . .
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> Quite true. The coslonaut (reaching for the threashold of space
>> >>> through surplus helium balloons) originally posted a troll message
>> >>> to liven up this "members-only" chat room cum group blog.
>> >>
>> >> You betchya!
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>> Webster's New World Compact School and Office Dictionary
>> >>> (1989) has the definition of BINARY as following:
>> >>>
>> >>> "1. Made up of two parts: double 2. designating or of a number
>> >>> system in which the base used is two, each number being
>> >>> expressed by using only two digits, specifically 1 and 0."
>> >>
>> >>How many states are there in Morse code? On, and Off? Is that all?
>> >
>> >
>> > Coslo, do you have a reading defect? Here's what I wrote:
>>
>> I can read.

>>
>> > ==========
>> > Webster's New World Compact School and Office Dictionary
>> > (1989) has the definition of BINARY as following:
>> >
>> > "1. Made up of two parts: double 2. designating or of a number
>> > system in which the base used is two, each number being
>> > expressed by using only two digits, specifically 1 and 0."
>>
>> Specifically 1 and 0, indeed.
>>
>> That is why when we try to make Morse code computer compatible,
>
>We?

Coslonaut is a ham for all hams, all seasons. He is high tech.

>W0EX did not, RIP. He specifically stated that he would send Morse
>Code so that computer readers (manned by unworthy no-code Technicians)
>could not copy his messages.
>
>Besides, if something is digital, why would you have to try so hard to
>make it computer compatible?

It's the only way the coslonaut can become "high-tech?"

After all, he is reaching for the threshold of space via surplus helium balloons carrying amateur radio. [pioneering work, important "science"]

>> we
>> interpret the dah or dash as 3 '1's" in length, (followed by a 0) the
>> dit or dot as 1 "1" (followed by a 0, and various numbers of 0's for
>> spaces in between letters or numbers, or words.
>>
>> > As used in all electronics, the first definition is used with an
>> > emphasis on STATE of something, such as on or off, there or
>> > not there. Two-state.
>>
>> > In on-off keying (OOK) CW the carrier is either present or not
>> > there. Two states.
>>
>> No. At least to only two states. Obviously it is either there or not
there.
>>
>> It has a time component that is what carries the information. The
>> "there" or "not thereness" of the signal is one thing. The relationship
>> of one carrier pulse length to other carrier pulse length, and to the
>> intercarrier lack of pulse time is what is important.
>
>Try the null hypothesis. Are you saying the silent periods are
>valueless?

Coslonaut has gone way too far into reducto ad absurdum regions.
By introducing variables unrelated to the basic principle of
operation, he can expand his definition into a number of dimensions
greater than the number of particles in the entire universe! :-)

But, the use of "intercarrier lack of pulse time" is false. The "inter"
means 'within.' In on-off keying "CW" there is NO carrier to be
"within." The off time is short, long, or of infinite variation in
duration. It's a use of "high-tech bafflegab" for a low-tech subject.

Morse code is a very primitive form of technology 161 years ago
when it first began (as representation of numbers, just numbers).
A few years after the first Morse-Vail Telegraphy debut in 1844,
the representation of English alphabet and some punctuation was
added to the "code." [there is still a dispute of whether or not
co-inventor Alfred Vail actually came up with the addition of the
alphabet, but that is another subject...such is neither proved nor
disproved] Morse code is still a very primitive form of representation
of the western language alphabet, numbers and punctuation,
regardless of the technology level of the equipment used to
communicate in that mode.

Coslonaut might just think that off times have great value...as in
the old hoary expression "silence is golden." If so, he should gilt
himself and be silent, quit trying to make a primitive method into
high technology.

LenAnderson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1210. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 19:38:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050205143808.29459.00000065@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 102725

In article <1107517443.765235.132430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>Len Anderson wrote:
>> In article <42025255.99AA1D2D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <Kolonel Klunk uff
>> das uber-amateur staffel> put on his newly cleaned uniform and polished
>> boots, adjusted his monocle and swacked the podium with his riding
>> crop to silence all conversation, get attention to bark out daily orders:
>
> Yet more assinine, childish analogies to the Nazis.
>
> Just like we've been saying all along.
>
>> >Nope, you have it wrong again. The rest of us may discuss amateur radio
>> >policy here or on the amateur bands. You may not.
>>
>> * ACHTUNG * ACHTUNG * ACHTUNG *
>>
>> Effective immediately, ALL persons without a valid amateur radio
>> licenses are FORBIDDEN to discuss, write, or even think about
>> amateur radio subjects!
>
> ACHTUNG ACHTUNG ACHTUNG
>
> Effective since the creation of the FRC and the institution of
>Amateur Radio licensing, it has been forbidden for unlicensed persons
>to use the Amateur Bands.
>
> Another Regulatory matter you skipped over, Lennie.

Sorry, little feldwebel, but notice that das Kolonel done said "here"
and that can only mean the newsgroup.

No amateur license is needed to access this here newsgroup. :-)

> What's with you, Your Scumminess? Didn't they teach you radio
>rules at professional radio school?

What "professional radio school?" :-)

Tsk, tsk, not all amateur bands are allocated now or in the past
to be exclusively for amateurs. :-)

> Your age might get you off of jail time, but your income, alleged
>summer home, etc, will ensure that the price of the NAL sticks. Too
>many assets to claim poverty.

So...you are still under the impression that this newsgroup is
elite, exclusive, and can only be accessed by licensed amateurs?

I'm not impressed.

> Those rules apply even to the UNlicensed (alleged) professionals
>such as yourself.

Tsk, I have no allegiances with the UN. And, I am licensed as
well as a professional in electronics-radio. TS, boobie.

>> ...only from all the PCTA extras shooting themselves in the foot
>> with their arrogant, dictatorial manner!
>
> Not from THIS "PCTA extra". If I dicharge a firearm, I can
>promise one and all that whatever I am aiming at will get hit WHERE I
>am aiming at.
>
> The first time.

Poor nursie. Must be a paraplegic many times over by now.

Hope you got a good buy on a wheelchair...

> Oh Lennie! You KNEW how long I've been waiting to see "RIP" over
>your name! Thanks!

Tsk, Tsk, TSK! A "healthcare professional" always wishing an
opponent will die! Not a healthy attitude.

You must be a riot around those in ICU, running around and pinching
the I-V tubes and canulas of all those who don't smile at you...

We'll just put you in the same category as Elfren Saldivar the 2nd.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1211. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 19:57:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UpSdnaan2PKQuJjfRVn-1w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102726

Len Anderson wrote:

> Coslonaut might just think that off times have great value...as in
> the old hoary expression "silence is golden."

Thanks Len, but I'll pass on the silence thing. Perhaps you should
filter my messages?

> If so, he should gilt
> himself and be silent, quit trying to make a primitive method into
> high technology.

If you think I'm trying to turn Morse code into high technology, you
have it wrong.

All apologies for being a besetment! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1212. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 20:03:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050205150311.06417.00000065@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 102727

In article <cs7s6e$92l$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>Always the same thing -- you're proved wrong but instead of admitting
>your error you play the attack again.
>
>One wonders how many times you've been divorced; I can't imagine that
>any woman would remain with you for long.

tsk, tsk, tsk...good old PCTA extra Double Standard! :-)

To answer that 14 January message, Jeffie, I was married a long time ago to my first wife but she died. I'm still married to my second wife...my high school sweetheart. Reunited with her after a very long absence and no contact.

What that has to do with hurricane-prone-Hawaii-but-rescued-by-ham-radio-every-time or even anticipated changes in morse requirements boggles the mind. Yours, apparently. :-)

Thanks again for the offer of study of The Calculus. Don't need it since I passed all three courses some time ago (in between wives, hurricanes, and no need for morse code in electronics design engineering work or hobbies). Heck I once used some Calculus in a project report at RCA Corporation...after the fact since the design work didn't require anything fancy like that. Made upper management happy.

Was there anything else? :-)

Did dit.

LenAnderson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1213. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 20:29:25 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <F0aNd.1542$oO.1242@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102728

> Besides, if something is digital, why would you have to try so hard to
> make it computer compatible?
>

Telling "S" from "O" is hard if you don't already
know from looking at other characters what the speed
must be. Something easy for the brain but hard for
computers to do when the sender varies his speed.

1214. (",) NEAT MOVIE FLICK ! (it starts up quickly)(awesome introduction)...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 20:35:21 UTC
From: AttWireless_ATTW@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107635721.893295.135860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102729

Please reply with your comments about the movie.

This video hosted by Dr. Maurice Rawlings,
a noted cardiologist, investigates life after
death and his experiences with patients
that actually saw 'the other side!'

http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram

1215. (",) NEAT MOVIE FLICK ! (it starts up quickly)(awesome introduction)...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 22:08:57 UTC
From: AttWireless_ATTW@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107641337.257436.109990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102730

Please reply with your comments about the movie.

This video hosted by Dr. Maurice Rawlings,
a noted cardiologist, investigates life after
death and his experiences with patients
that actually saw 'the other side!'

http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram

1216. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 22:18:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050205171849.00999.00000035@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 102731

In article <F0aNd.1542$oO.1242@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> Besides, if something is digital, why would you have to try so hard to
>> make it computer compatible?
>>
>
>Telling "S" from "O" is hard if you don't already
>know from looking at other characters what the speed
>must be.

No, it's easy.

"S" is one time unit on, one time unit off, one time unit on, one time unit off, one time unit on. Then at least three time units off.

"O" is three time units on, one time unit off, three time units on, one time unit off, three time units on. Then at least three time units off.

The only true ambiguity is between "E" and "T" sent all by themselves. Unless you know the speed from some other source, there's no way to tell them apart.

>Something easy for the brain but hard for
>computers to do when the sender varies his speed.

Naw, just requires a bit more software.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1217. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 22:44:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42054C65.56C4495C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 102732

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Len Anderson wrote:
> >
> > > Two states. In any of the states of the United States and in
> all
> > > the "airwaves" of the universe.
> >
> > Sorry, old bean. I live in a tri-state area.

> Twit.

Don't be too hard on yourself.

Dave K8MN

1218. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 23:34:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107646443.225809.263890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102733

Caveat Lector wrote:
> Oh gee -- the USA ARS License numbers are decreasing about 2% in 5
years
>
> At that rate in 500 years, we will be a dead dodo (Ain't statistics
wundeful
> wunderful?)

Yep. The sky is falling according to chicken little.

1219. (",) NEAT MOVIE FLICK ! (it starts up quickly)(awesome introduction)...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-05 23:42:29 UTC
From: AttWireless_ATTW@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107646948.968462.208050@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102734

Please reply with your comments about the movie.

This video hosted by Dr. Maurice Rawlings,
a noted cardiologist, investigates life after
death and his experiences with patients
that actually saw 'the other side!'

http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram

1220. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 00:03:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107648223.117793.189100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Party girl refused the breathalyzer, but when she finally
succumbed,
> > she blew a point 23. Awesome! Maybe she'll do a recruiting poster
> for
> > the US Navy.
>
> Why?
>
> Since the late 70's/early 80's the USN and USMC have had some of
> the stiffest penalties for it's members who violate DUI laws.

Apparently, laws were made to be broken.

In addition to sailors, you can count on at least one Ohio Supreme
Court Justice doing just that.

1221. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 00:57:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050205195742.11141.00000152@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 102736

In article <ou7Nd.29092$xt.13666@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com>
writes:

>Oh gee -- the USA ARS License numbers are decreasing about 2% in 5 years

Lessee...4,812/699,580.... Actually it's less than 1% loss in a little under 5
years!
>
>At that rate in 500 years, we will be a dead dodo (Ain't statistics wundeful
>wunderful?)

Yup. How about this one: If a number decreases 2% every 5 years, it will be
down to just about half the original number in 170 years.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1222. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 01:14:55 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <jceNd.1742$oO.1513@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102737

>
> No, it's easy.
>
> "S" is one time unit on, one time unit off, one time unit on, one time unit
> off, one time unit on. Then at least three time units off.
>
> "O" is three time units on, one time unit off, three time units on, one time
> unit off, three time units on. Then at least three time units off.
>

That assumes the sender sends correctly. If he spaces his dahs
too far apart, or runs his dits too close, decoders might get
confused. But only lids would do that.....

1223. (",) NEAT MOVIE FLICK ! (it starts up quickly)(awesome introduction)...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 01:16:01 UTC
From: AttWireless_ATTW@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107652561.009358.172790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102738

Please reply with your comments about the movie.

This video hosted by Dr. Maurice Rawlings,
a noted cardiologist, investigates life after
death and his experiences with patients
that actually saw 'the other side!'

http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram

1224. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 02:03:18 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ibgo1i2.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102740

On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 06:36:03 -0800, Ralph E Lindberg wrote:

> I did have to laugh, the manager in question was elated over a budget
>increase that amounted to the "pin" money my minor project had. But then
>I was DoD under Regan and he wasn't

It's never been a secret that the agency did its work for many years
and up to today on a budget that was less than the paper towel and
toilet paper expenses of DoD. We bitched about that all the time.

Yet, both the FBI and the Secret Service came to us to teach them
how to use simple DF equipment because they were embarrased calling
us out all the time to find radio signals for them, and in that same
time frame, in competition with the military using feeds from the
same Wullenweber antennas as they were using, and string-and-weight
vectors over paper maps, our monitoring folks got fixes which were
several times tighter than the military folks using the whiz-bang
computer systems did.....

Sorry you missed all the fun.... <ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1225. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 02:06:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <x6Sdndi_uMov5pjfRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102739

robert casey wrote:
>
>>
>> No, it's easy.
>>
>> "S" is one time unit on, one time unit off, one time unit on, one time
>> unit
>> off, one time unit on. Then at least three time units off.
>>
>> "O" is three time units on, one time unit off, three time units on,
>> one time
>> unit off, three time units on. Then at least three time units off.
>>
>
>
> That assumes the sender sends correctly. If he spaces his dahs
> too far apart, or runs his dits too close, decoders might get
> confused.

Not only might, but DO.

I think some of the confusion is in the attempts to decode Morse with
computers.

I wonder if the computer programs use the method that Jim states? It
soulw seem that in order to be accurate, there would need to be many
more subdivisions, with the number of on or off states being multiplied
for each component. This might help with the jitter of sending

But only lids would do that.....

umm, that would probably be me..........

- Mike KB3EIA -

1226. Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 02:43:00 UTC
From: morris <morris@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11a61$42058439$d8536ff5$22595@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 102741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her
hubby's "ham radio junk" just to have him pay her more attention..... ;)

---- cut ---

Ex-Wife Auctions Man's $200,000 Baseball Collection
From the News Section of: http://www.voyeurweb.com/main/Main.html

TUSCON, Arizona -- A woman whose husband spent $200,000
on baseball memorabilia took revenge - by divorcing him and selling
it on eBay.

The husband, 42, told his wife, 45, they couldn't
afford kids or a house, and even took money from
her bank account to add (baseball items) to his collection.

The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
according to news media reports.

The couple had been married for 17 years.
When asked about the auction, the wife
said: "I'm giggling."

-- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor

1227. (",) NEAT MOVIE FLICK ! (it starts up quickly)(awesome introduction)...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 02:49:30 UTC
From: AttWireless_ATTW@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107658170.794469.283330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102742

Please reply with your comments about the movie.

This video hosted by Dr. Maurice Rawlings,
a noted cardiologist, investigates life after
death and his experiences with patients
that actually saw 'the other side!'

http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram

1228. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 05:15:55 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <jKhNd.29265$xt.14695@fed1read07>
Source record: 102743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Indeed -- your wife or your rig (baseball cards)
Long Pause
Well
I'm thinking, I'm thinking
Jack Benny

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"morris" <morris@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11a61$42058439$d8536ff5$22595@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
> What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
> radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
> just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her
> hubby's "ham radio junk" just to have him pay her more attention..... ;)
>
> ---- cut ---
>
> Ex-Wife Auctions Man's $200,000 Baseball Collection
> From the News Section of: http://www.voyeurweb.com/main/Main.html
>
> TUSCON, Arizona -- A woman whose husband spent $200,000
> on baseball memorabilia took revenge - by divorcing him and selling
> it on eBay.
>
> The husband, 42, told his wife, 45, they couldn't
> afford kids or a house, and even took money from
> her bank account to add (baseball items) to his collection.
>
> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
> according to news media reports.
>
> The couple had been married for 17 years.
> When asked about the auction, the wife
> said: "I'm giggling."
>
> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out

> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor

1229. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 05:16:19 UTC
From: juanmortime@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050206001619.29459.00000069@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 102744

In article <1107620944.206617.191260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> The statement said that Lennie has a history of taking other
>> people's work. He proved it in his warping of your "endearment". He
>> didn't even give you, his one and only NG "buddy", credit for the work.
>
>That single act will cause a rift between Len and me that can never be
>healed.

Poor Stebie da Avenging Angle sees only the WRONG in his
opponents. Tsk.

>> So....What does YOUR lack of publishing credits have to do with
>> Lennie's irresponsible and unprofessional behaviour.
>
>Everything. Had I been published in a professional journal, can you be
>sure that he would have stolen my work?

Brian, you have to realize that any printed article to Stebie is new
to him, therefore everything he reads is a "first principles" topic.
He imagines all those articles are stand-alone and cannot ever be
commented upon...therefore the slightest act of just mentioning
them are what he considers plagiarism. :-)

Coupled with his mindset of "all opponents do wrong in anything,"
he is constantly in outrage that opponents exist.

==========

In printed publications sold all over the USA and worldwide, many
are involved in viewing and checking any submitted work. Every
publisher is subject to copyright laws and prosecution thereof if
court action is taken. Publishers don't want that. Authors don't
want that.

Stebie wants to feed on his perceived "wrongness" of fair-use
mentions or references of other works. That's just ignorance on
his part (or his sense of right/wrong is so warped by hatred of
opponents that he cannot tell which is which)...since fair-use
mentions and references have long been a part of published papers
and articles. [at least over the last century] As to what constitutes
fair-use, that is covered in Copyright Law under Title 17, United
States Code. So is references and mentions of other published
works.

For periodicals, the common convention is for publishers to request "first rights" as part of compensation of authors. That means the publishers have "first dibs" on publishing that work and can reprint that work as many times as they wish. Authors can publish or get published that same work once the publisher-puchaser has printed it the first time. There are many variations on the author compensation and some may include sole proprietorship of an author's work. [pecuniary compensation falls under "work for hire" rules by the IRS and is therefore taxable income...mentioned as a sidelight] Some authors can cut a deal with publishers so that authors have free rein on republishing, but that is rare.

What most readers overlook is that a published work can be changed in many ways. Schematics can be redrawn, diagrams can be done differently, different accompanying photographs used, and text rewritten. That changes the nature of the "work." Determination of whether such work is a "copy" of the original published (and copyrighted) is a very long, studious, and arduous proceeding (not to mention expensive) which is hardly ever done. It isn't worthwhile unless it involves millions of dollars.

The ARRL gets away with a benign sort of plagiarism (but isn't such, per se) by getting ALL rights to publishing (usually) for article in their periodicals. They do reprint periodical articles in changed form in the Handbook and other book-form publications...and the original author gets NO money under that compensation form...and usually is uncredited for the work in the ARRL's republishing. That's not a "slam" at the League but is standard practice by them and has been so for years. It's business...and worthwhile to them...but seldom so to the authors.

Do you think Hartley, Pierce, or Colpitts (or their estates) get anything for all the repeated articles on the whichness of the what in oscillators? Nothing. Nada. Zip. Yet the articles pop up now and then and are found in all kinds of electronics texts. Anyone can mention those names, draw schematics for them, make them, measure them and it is NOT "plagiarism" to do so...unless such work is a direct copy of a previously-published article on same.

In tutorial articles, basic circuits can be explained in a number of ways. Tutorials are far from "first principles" kind of subject and are done for the benefit of readers who wish to further their knowledge of a particular subject. Tutorial articles aren't plagiarism of any sort unless they are an obvious copy of something already published. Each presentation in a tutorial, the explanations, are unique. The uniqueness can be copyrighted.

That's the way part of publishing works and it's been that way for some time. Some hatred-clouded "avengers" want to redefine all rules on uniqueness or writing skill and say their hate-subject is a plagiarist. No proof. Just a hollered pejorative by someone who can't think straight in his rage.

LenAnderson@ieee.org
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1230. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 05:18:58 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <aNhNd.29266$xt.20668@fed1read07>
Source record: 102745

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
news:20050205195742.11141.00000152@mb-m02.aol.com...
> In article <ou7Nd.29092$xt.13666@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector"
> <CL@barahmas.com>
> writes:
>
>>Oh gee -- the USA ARS License numbers are decreasing about 2% in 5 years
>
> Lessee...4,812/699,580.... Actually it's less than 1% loss in a little
> under 5
> years!
>>
>>At that rate in 500 years, we will be a dead dodo (Ain't statistics
>>wundeful
>>wunderful?)
>
> Yup. How about this one: If a number decreases 2% every 5 years, it will
> be
> down to just about half the original number in 170 years.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Gawd we are doomed
--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

1231. Joined yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 08:24:03 UTC
From: Goetz <goetz@goetz-von.com>
Message-ID: <cu4k73hku1@hercules.btinternet.com>
Source record: 102746

The PMR / Amateur Radio forum at ww.euronium.de now has just under 500 members.

Why not join? Its free and is funded entirely by Radio Amateurs for Radio Amateurs with no pop up ads or commercial backing.

Support your fellow Amateur. You may be able to help someone or someone help you. Go to euronium.de and have a look.

G�etz.

1232. Just Face It Lennie, You're Busted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 11:01:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107687718.775177.243970@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102747

Len Anderson wrote:

Lengthy attempt to divert attention away from his numerous
rantings, lies and decptions SNIPPED

> That's the way part of publishing works and it's been that way for
> some time. Some hatred-clouded "avengers" want to redefine all
> rules on uniqueness or writing skill and say their hate-subject is
> a plagiarist. No proof. Just a hollered pejorative by someone
who
> can't think straight in his rage.

There's only ONE "hatred-clouded "avenger" here Lennie, and that's
you.

Your self proclaimed quest to see to it that Amateur Radio is
formed in your image is well documented.

You'be been routinely caught in your lies.

Your deceptions in this forum are legend.

Sucks to be you.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1233. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 11:17:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107688661.063067.255030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102748

Len Anderson wrote:

> > Oh Lennie! You KNEW how long I've been waiting to see "RIP"
over
> >your name! Thanks!
>
> Tsk, Tsk, TSK! A "healthcare professional" always wishing an
> opponent will die! Not a healthy attitude.

Let's get something straight, Lennie.

We're NOT "opponents". That would suggest that we were mutual combatants, equal to the task of said combat.

You are not remotely qualified to engage me on ANY aspect of any subject except electronics theory, and I've already "given" you that. But that's where your knowledge and "experience" stop. You've been disproven on every other rant you've cared to partake in. You look foolish.

Perhaps YOU fancy yourself an "opponent", but that is all you will ever do.

You're a liar. You're deceitful. You're a loser.

Sucks to be you.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1234. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 11:50:01 UTC
From: Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.02.06.11.49.52.746939@spamsucks.net>
Source record: 102749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:

> There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
> What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
> radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
> just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her
> hubby's "ham radio junk" just to have him pay her more attention..... ;)
>
> ---- cut ---
>
> Ex-Wife Auctions Man's $200,000 Baseball Collection
> From the News Section of: http://www.voyeurweb.com/main/Main.html
>
> TUSCON, Arizona -- A woman whose husband spent $200,000
> on baseball memorabilia took revenge - by divorcing him and selling
> it on eBay.
>
> The husband, 42, told his wife, 45, they couldn't
> afford kids or a house, and even took money from
> her bank account to add (baseball items) to his collection.
>
> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
> according to news media reports.
>
> The couple had been married for 17 years.
> When asked about the auction, the wife
> said: "I'm giggling."
>
> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor

Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is for suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but womens mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR too many marriages go down the toilet for my money.

1235. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 13:31:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <z8GdnT_LNLncgZvfRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom Randy wrote:
> On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:
>
>
>>There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
>>What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
>>radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
>>just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her
>>hubby's "ham radio junk" just to have him pay her more attention..... ;)
>>
>>---- cut ---
>>
>>Ex-Wife Auctions Man's $200,000 Baseball Collection
>>From the News Section of: http://www.voyeurweb.com/main/Main.html
>>
>>TUSCON, Arizona -- A woman whose husband spent $200,000
>>on baseball memorabilia took revenge - by divorcing him and selling
>>it on eBay.
>>
>>The husband, 42, told his wife, 45, they couldn't
>>afford kids or a house, and even took money from
>>her bank account to add (baseball items) to his collection.
>>
>>The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
>>cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
>>according to news media reports.
>>
>>The couple had been married for 17 years.
>>When asked about the auction, the wife
>>said: "I'm giggling."
>>
>>-- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
>>caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
>>it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
>>of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
>>200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
>>in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
>>your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
>
>
>
> Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is for
> suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but womens

> mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR too
> many marriages go down the toilet for my money.

A guy walks into a bar, sits down. Tells the batrender "A round of
drinks on me!"

After getting hs drink, the fellow next to him asks "What's the
occasion Pal?"

"I'm getting married tomorrow! Now I'll be able to get laid any time I
want!!"

The other fellow says "Funny, but that was the reason I got divorced!"

- Mike KB3EIA -

1236. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 13:52:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050206085230.25676.00000040@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 102752

In article <S-udnSFn_9kUgJnfRVn-rA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <ctu6msnmo1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>, Michael Coslo
>> <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> writes:
>
><snippage for readability>
>
>>>>Mike Deignan had him pegged. Len's really ticked that somewhere, out
>>>>there, somebody is having fun with ham radio.

>>>*Every Day*! If I'm not operating, I'm reading or surfing the web to
>>>learn about it. And I venture in here for a little mud wrestling from
>>>time to time too! 8^)

>> What *really* ticks him, I suppose, is people having fun with ham radio and
>> Morse Code...

>Such an odd thing to be so concerned about.......

But that pretty much sums up Len's interest! He's one of those people who
simply can't stand to see others have a good time in Ways Not Authorized By
Len.

>>>>>>>so I'll try to meet him
>>>>>>>halfway with a Morse code topic.

>>>>>>His definition of meeting halfway is that you agree with him 100%.

>>>>>That is certainly possible...

>>>>It's self-evident...

>>>>>>>So maybe we can ressurect this old one...

>>>>>>>I hear lots of Hams declare that Morse code is a binary mode.
>>>>>>>It is most certainly not.

>>>>>>Depends how you define "binary".

Which you haven't done yet.

>>>>>One state equals "0" or "off".
>>>>>The other state equals "1" or "on".

>>>>You have to define "state". If "key up" and "key down" are the states,
>>>>it's binary. Time isn't the factor you make it - look at how Baudot works.

>>>Certainly. But Morse code, which was invented as a human translated
>>>code, does not qualify to me as a 1 or 0 state.

>>>Here is my rationale:

>>>If the key is up, the radio is certainly not sending 0's.

>> Doesn't have to.

Actually it is. "Off" is a string of zeroes.

>>>This brings up an interesting paradox...
>>>If the lack of a signal is a 0 state, then when no signal is being
>>>transmitted, you are receiving zeros until someone sends something.

Why is that a paradox? Morse Code defines a string of more than 8 zeroes as "no
signal".

>> That's right. And a string of zeroes is interpreted as lots of spaces.

>And it means that information is being sent with no energy used in the
>sending. Hence the paradox.

Not at all. The information sent by a long string of zeroes is not unique. It
could mean that no signal is being transmitted. It could also mean that the
transmission media isn't working.

>Of course if we are dealing in quantum
>matters, there is not as much paradox, except for why it is all 0's
>instead of a 1 here and there.

Has to do with noise.

You can send information with practically zero bandwidth, too. Just send a
carrier of known frequency.

>>>I grant that a dit might be a 1.

>>>If a dah is 3 1's, why do we not send 3 dits. I either hold the key down
>>>longer or press the dah switch on my keyer. It sends out a longer pulse,
>>>not 3 1's.

>> It sends three dits with no space between them.

>Only after you decide that the signal is digital for the sake of
>calling it a binary or digital signal. It isn't sent that way, and when
>you listen, you don't think of it that way.

I don't - but some people do. Have you never seen someone copy slow Morse by
writing down dots and dashes?

>The only time you need to think of it that way is when you decide to do
>something with a computer, and need to translate the Morse code signal
>into something that the computer will understand.
>
>>>Describing the signal as how many 1's a signal is, or how many 0's
>>>indicate intercharacter or interword spacing is a method of translating

>>>the varying length Morse code signals into digital format.

>> So?

>> The basic element is one dit length long. Three of them together in the one
>> state is a dah.
>
>In the computer it is.

>In the human brain it isn't. The human brain decodes the dits and dahs
>and interletter and interword spaces quite differently. No 1's or 0's
>required

See above.

>>>>>>>Let us look at the situation.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Is the Dit a "0"?
>>
>>>>>>No.
>>
>>>>>>>Is the Dah a "1"?
>>
>>>>>>No.

>>>>>>>Is the space between characters a "0"? and the Dih a "1"? Oh wait,
>>>>>>>what is the Dah then? Oh, and what about the space between words?
>>
>>>>>>Key up is "0". Key down is "1". Also known as "space" and "mark",
>>>>>>respectively.

>>>>>Unfortunately, there are two separate "1" states, and the zero state is
>>>>>not a constant thing.
>>
>>>>Doesn't have to be. It's a time code.
>>>
>>>>>There is the matter of time. A zero might me the space between letters,
>>>>>or one half of a dit. It might also mean the space between words. All
>>>>>different things.

>>>>No. The characters are built from the basic elements, which are key up and
>>>>key down, just like, say, Baudot RTTY.

>>>>>That Morse code can be turned into binary is not at argument here. It
>>>>>obviously can, just as images, emails and everything else we do on the
>>>>>computer. Are they binary because someone has written a program to turn
>>>>>them into strings of 1's and 0's?

>>>>Their basic transmission form is binary, same as Morse.

>>>>A non-binary code is one that has more than two *transmission* states,
>>>>like
>>>>QPSK. Which is typically implemented as 0, 90, 180 and 270 degrees shift.
>>>>Four transmission states rather than two.

>>>>>>>It isn't binary,
>>
>>>>>>Depends on how you define "binary".
>>
>>>>>>>and the way our noodles process it isn't binary.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Different subject.
>>>>>
>>>>>Not really. If you look at the string of 1's and 0's that Doug posted
>>>>>as the binary result of my hypothetical CQ, is that something that you
>>>>>would recognize as that CQ? That string IS binary.
>>
>>>>I would recognize it easily.
>>
>>>>>Why does the - and . method of typing out the code convey the
>>>>>information? the dashes and the spaces convey time information to the
>>>>>person looking at them. I'm counting more than two states here.

>>>>It's not the simplest way, though. It shows the time differently.

>>>>>>>It's not binary.

>>>>>>Most Morse operators with any skill (that excludes Len) process a
>>>>>>complete character as one "sound". "didahdidit" is recognized as "L",
>>>>>>in the same way that when you hear the word "cat", you think of the
>>>>>>animal. The Morse operator does not think in terms of dits and dahs any
>>>>>>more than a person thinks in terms of the consonant and vowel sounds
>>>>>>(phonemes) making up "cat".

>>>>>>Of course *really* skilled Morse ops hear entire words as units of
>>>>>>sound. And at some level, they begin to think in Morse, just as fluent
>>>>>>speakers of a language think in that language.
>>>>>>Of course Len wouldn't know about that...

The difference is this: You've been ambiguous with definitions, particularly
the definition of "binary".

The transmission media for Morse Code are binary, meaning only two states are
used. On/off, 1/0, key up/key down, mark/space, whatever, still only two
states.

But the encoding/decoding process, be it in hardware, software or wetware, is
more than binary because it encompasses more than two states. The same is true
of, say, Baudot or ASCII RTTY, PSK31, etc. In fact PSK31 has many Morse-like
characteristics, such as variable-length characters.

>>>>The big question is: what does it matter if Morse is binary or not?

>>>Of course not! I thought it might be something better to talk about
>>>than whether Len thinks we're "jackboot thugs" tho'! 8^)

>> Who cares what Len thinks? I sure don't.
>
>I'm not terribly concerned much about his opinions either, although I

>have some fun with him from time to time. As do you! 8^)

I just correct some of his mistakes, and offer proof that he should not be taken seriously. Which seems to enrage him, but that's not my problem.

>> His behavior here has caused most of
>> us to lose whatever respect we might have once had for him. His latest
>> reply to K8MN simply reinforces that once again.
>
>But my main purpose is to get a little traffic on the list that isn't
>the bottom feeders type stuff. I enjoy the occasional good row that
>develops. I wouldn't mind seeing that continue.

I wouldn't mind seeing Len behave himself in a civil manner. But we know that won;t happen as long as anyone disagrees with him, or points out his errors.
>
>Note that almost everyone disagrees with me, the thread has been
>largely civil discussion.
>
Largely but not completely.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1237. Re: Len's terms of endearment list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 14:27:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107700028.299598.57310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102753

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1107517443.765235.132430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
"K4YZ"
> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>
> >Len Anderson wrote:
> >> In article <42025255.99AA1D2D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <Kolonel
Klunk uff
> >> das uber-amateur staffel> put on his newly cleaned uniform and
polished
> >> boots, adjusted his monocle and swacked the podium with his riding
> >> crop to silence all conversation, get attention to bark out daily
orders:
> >
> > Yet more assinine, childish analogies to the Nazis.
> >
> > Just like we've been saying all along.
> >
> >> >Nope, you have it wrong again. The rest of us may discuss
amateur radio
> >> >policy here or on the amateur bands. You may not.
> >>
> >> * ACHTUNG * ACHTUNG * ACHTUNG *
> >>
> >> Effective immediately, ALL persons without a valid amateur
radio
> >> licenses are FORBIDDEN to discuss, write, or even think about
> >> amateur radio subjects!
> >
> > ACHTUNG ACHTUNG ACHTUNG
> >
> > Effective since the creation of the FRC and the institution of
> >Amateur Radio licensing, it has been forbidden for unlicensed
persons
> >to use the Amateur Bands.
> >
> > Another Regulatory matter you skipped over, Lennie.
>
> Sorry, little feldwebel, but notice that das Kolonel done said
"here"
> and that can only mean the newsgroup.
>
> No amateur license is needed to access this here newsgroup.

Nope.

But one sure is necessary to be an active Amateur Radio operator,
hence being able to acquire PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE in BEING an Amateur.

You've aggressively avoided getting a license, yet your lack of
experience routinely leaves you with your wrinkled buns in the air for
all to see.

> > What's with you, Your Scumminess? Didn't they teach you radio
> >rules at professional radio school?
>
> What "professional radio school?"

That's my point, Lennie. You don't have the training.

> Tsk, tsk, not all amateur bands are allocated now or in the past
> to be exclusively for amateurs.

That's not the issue now, is it, Lennie?

The issue is your lack of qualifcations to wield an informed
opinion on Amatuer Radio matters.

> > Your age might get you off of jail time, but your income,
alleged
> >summer home, etc, will ensure that the price of the NAL sticks. Too
> >many assets to claim poverty.
>
> So...you are still under the impression that this newsgroup is
> elite, exclusive, and can only be accessed by licensed amateurs?

We weren't talking about "only" the newsgroup.

Maybe your pretend shrink wife is impressed with your shuffling,
but we know better.

> I'm not impressed.

And you're not very impressive, either.

Big talk. No facts. Frequent errors.

> > Those rules apply even to the UNlicensed (alleged)
professionals
> >such as yourself.
>
> Tsk, I have no allegiances with the UN. And, I am licensed as
> well as a professional in electronics-radio. TS, boobie.

You are not a licensed Amateur. That is a fact.

And I doubt you have ANY "allegiances" to anyone but you, Lennie.

And that incudes the Veterans that you shamefully humiliate with
your pitiful personal use of thier sacrifices for YOUR glorification.

> >> ...only from all the PCTA extras shooting themselves in the
foot
> >> with their arrogant, dictatorial manner!
> >
> > Not from THIS "PCTA extra". If I dicharge a firearm, I can
> >promise one and all that whatever I am aiming at will get hit WHERE
I
> >am aiming at.
> >
> > The first time.
>
> Poor nursie. Must be a paraplegic many times over by now.
>
> Hope you got a good buy on a wheelchair...

The only wheelchair I have ever or will ever have a need for was
my daughters.

She's gone.

I can get one for YOU though. Cheap.

Steve, K4YZ

1238. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 15:11:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107702675.789323.214060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102754

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <cs7s6e$92l$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
(Jeffrey Herman)
> writes:
>
> >Always the same thing -- you're proved wrong but instead of
admitting
> >your error you play the attack again.
> >
> >One wonders how many times you've been divorced; I can't imagine
that
> >any woman would remain with you for long.
>
> tsk, tsk, tsk...good old PCTA extra Double Standard!
>
> To answer that 14 January message, Jeffie, I was married a long
> time ago to my first wife but she died.

Bore her to death with your wit, Lennie?

> I'm still married to my
> second wife...my high school sweetheart. Reunited with her
> after a very long absence and no contact.

I am sure those were the best years of her life.

Now she's got you.

Sucks how life can screw you that way.....

> What that has to do with hurricane-prone-Hawaii-but-rescued-
> by-ham-radio-every-time or even anticipated changes in morse
> requirements boggles the mind. Yours, apparently. :-)
>
> Thanks again for the offer of study of The Calculus. Don't need
> it since I passed all three courses some time ago (in between
> wives, hurricanes, and no need for morse code in electronics
> design engineering work or hobbies).

During which of those 14 years that it took you to complete a 3
year program, Lennie?

> Heck I once used some
> Calculus in a project report at RCA Corporation...

And I am sure they were using some Gold Bond Powder after you had
your hands on it....

> after the
> fact since the design work didn't require anything fancy like
> that. Made upper management happy.

You mean it made them happy that you took the long way around to
"prove" something that a lot less work could accomplish...?!?!

Lennie...WHY do you insist on making such stupid comments when all
you're doing is showing what an idiot you are?

No one cares that you threw some calculus formulas into a project
taht even YOU admit wasn't necessary for...

That's grandstanding, and YOU routinely accuse Amateurs of doing
the same thing!

I am sure the "upper management" guys were just tickled to death
to see you padded a report with lots of useless "work".

> Was there anything else?

Yeah.

Get your head out of your butt.

Your wife doesn't know which end to kiss goodnight or to put a
diaper on.

But considering it's YOU we are talking about, I guess it doesn't
matter...Same/same.

> Did dit.

Did nothing.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Impressed with yourself again, I see...

Problem is you were twice as stupid...

Again!

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1239. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 17:52:05 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <6olc019b27uck3e87s6806mss3bcq78udm@4ax.com>
Source record: 102755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 5 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, "morris" <morris@yahoo.com> wrote:

>There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
>What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
>radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
>just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her
>hubby's "ham radio junk" just to have him pay her more attention..... ;)
>
>---- cut ---
>
>Ex-Wife Auctions Man's $200,000 Baseball Collection
>From the News Section of: http://www.voyeurweb.com/main/Main.html
>
>TUSCON, Arizona -- A woman whose husband spent $200,000
>on baseball memorabilia took revenge - by divorcing him and selling
>it on eBay.
>
>The husband, 42, told his wife, 45, they couldn't
>afford kids or a house, and even took money from
>her bank account to add (baseball items) to his collection.
>
>The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
>cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
>according to news media reports.
>
>The couple had been married for 17 years.
>When asked about the auction, the wife
>said: "I'm giggling."
>
>-- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
>caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
>it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
>of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
>200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
>in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
>your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor

My wife never had to work for my hobby. Before we married we
discussed it. I had been a ham for years before we met. I told her
that I was an Amateur Radio Operator for years before we met and I
would be one long after she's gone. If she couldn't accept that, she
would have to move on. Likewise, I never tried to discourage or
change things about my wife. Those things a person likes and
dislikes, or their hobbies, etc, are part of what makes them the

persons they are. I believe that if we take those things from a person, there will be a hole in their life and they will no longer be the person we met and fell in love with. Of course, it is different if that person changes hobbies, etc. on their own, but it is not good to take it from them.

To include in their budget, support for each other's hobbies is not a bad thing, but to break their budget over any one hobby is not a good thing. My wife never went hungry because I bought a radio.

Buck
N4PGw
--
73 for now
Buck
N4PGW

1240. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 18:35:47 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <7stNd.29333$xt.17700@fed1read07>
Source record: 102756

> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> news:20050205195742.11141.00000152@mb-m02.aol.com...
>> In article <ou7Nd.29092$xt.13666@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector"
>> <CL@barahmas.com>
>> writes:
>>
>>>Oh gee -- the USA ARS License numbers are decreasing about 2% in 5 years
>>
>> Lessee...4,812/699,580.... Actually it's less than 1% loss in a little
>> under 5
>> years!
>>>
>>>At that rate in 500 years, we will be a dead dodo (Ain't statistics
>>>wundeful
>>>wunderful?)
>>
>> Yup. How about this one: If a number decreases 2% every 5 years, it will
>> be
>> down to just about half the original number in 170 years.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
Gawd we are doomed

Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about your Social
Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500 years or so
(pick a decline stat)
G Brush Sed SS is Bank A Rupty in 2042 or so

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?
>
>

1241. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 18:55:52 UTC
From: Lloyd <mrlloyd@mrlloydsoffice.org>
Message-ID: <cu5p69$v1p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
news:6olc019b27uck3e87s6806mss3bcq78udm@4ax.com...
>
> My wife never had to work for my hobby. Before we married we
> discussed it. I had been a ham for years before we met. I told her
> that I was an Amateur Radio Operator for years before we met and I
> would be one long after she's gone. If she couldn't accept that, she
> would have to move on. Likewise, I never tried to discourage or
> change things about my wife. Those things a person likes and
> dislikes, or their hobbies, etc, are part of what makes them the
> persons they are. I believe that if we take those things from a
> person, there will be a hole in their life and they will no longer be
> the person we met and fell in love with. Of course, it is different
> if that person changes hobbies, etc. on their own, but it is not good
> to take it from them.
>
> To include in their budget, support for each other's hobbies is not a
> bad thing, but to break their budget over any one hobby is not a good
> thing. My wife never went hungry because I bought a radio.
>
>
> Buck
> N4PGw
> --
> 73 for now
> Buck
> N4PGW
>

You have Diarrhea Of The Mouth. Even your qrz listing reeks
of Oral Diarrhea.

Lloyd

1242. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 19:37:42 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107718662.895554.108060@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102758

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html << Free Online Movies
3. http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html << The Passion Of The Christ
4. http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html << Animation
5. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
6. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
7. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

1243. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 19:45:24 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107719124.663322.14040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102759

Caveat Lector wrote:

> Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about your Social
> Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500 years or so
> (pick a decline stat)
> G Brush Sed SS is Bank A Rupty in 2042 or so

It's just awful, isn't it?

Ask anyone not already on social security where will it be when they want to retire, and they'll tell you there won't be any left. Common knowledge.

But some measly president comes along and wants to actually do something about it and all we hear is how you can't touch social security. Don't fix sumptin dat ain't broke.

Hi, hi!

Instead of Morse Myths, we should start SS Myths. Where's Aaaron Jones when you need him?

1244. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 19:53:53 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <fGuNd.32069$CM2.10938@fe07.lga>
Source record: 102760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net> wrote in message
news:Xns95F5954DEBB3Ea216b130c132d203@63.218.45.20...
> On Sun 06 Feb 2005 06:50:01a, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in
> message news:pan.2005.02.06.11.49.52.746939@spamsucks.net:
>
> > On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:
> >
> > {snippage}
> >>
> >> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
> >> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
> >> according to news media reports.
> >>
> >> The couple had been married for 17 years.
> >> When asked about the auction, the wife
> >> said: "I'm giggling."
> >>
> >> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> >> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> >> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
> >> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> >> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> >> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
> >> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
> >
> >
> > Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is for
> > suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but womens
> > mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR too
> > many marriages go down the toilet for my money.
> >
>
> I'm glad I'm one of the many exceptions to your rule... With your broad-
> brush view-point, I would recommend that you avoid marriage entirely
> because you're already stacking the deck against yourself.
>
> -=jd=-
> --
> My Current Disposable Email:
> jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net
> (Remove YOUR HAT to reply directly)

I've been with my wife for twenty years because shes my best friend.

B.H.

1245. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 19:57:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Et2dnWD20sMC65vfRVn-2A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102761

N2EY wrote:
> In article <S-udnSFn_9kUgJnfRVn-rA@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:

<snippage>

>>>>>>>Depends how you define "binary".
>
>
> Which you haven't done yet.

<more snippage>
<and a quick rearrangement>

>> The difference is this: You've been ambiguous with definitions, particularly
>> the definition of "binary".

<partial post from webopedia>

http://www.webopedia.com/TERM/B/binary.html

Pertaining to a number system that has just two unique digits. For most purposes, we use the decimal number system, which has ten unique digits, 0 through 9. All other numbers are then formed by combining these ten digits. Computers are based on the binary numbering system, which consists of just two unique numbers, 0 and 1. All operations that are possible in the decimal system (addition, subtraction, multiplication, division) are equally possible in the binary system.

The FreeDictionary has 4 different parts to the definition
http://www.thefreedictionary.com/binary

1. Characterized by or consisting of two parts or components; twofold.
2. Of or relating to a system of numeration having 2 as its base.
3. Chemistry Consisting of or containing only molecules consisting of two kinds of atoms.
4. Of or employing two comparatively nontoxic chemicals that combine to produce a deadly poison: binary weapons; a binary nerve gas.
5. Music Having two sections or subjects.

The Sharpened glossary defines binary as:
http://www.sharpened.net/glossary/definition.php?binary

Binary is a two-digit (Base-2) numerical system, which computers use to store data and compute functions. The reason computers use the binary

system is because digital switches inside the computer can only be set to either on or off, which are represented by a 1 or 0. Though the binary system consists of only ones and zeros, the two digits can be used to represent any number.

So let us get to where I get my definition of binary.

What I call binary is a base 2 numerical system. Morse code is not a base 2 numerical system.

Apparently every one else here defines binary as the "consisting of two parts".

Okay, so let us use *that* definition.

As far as I am concerned, it is contradictory to define Morse as "consisting of two parts, and then shift to a different definition. (base 2)

There is not any ambiguity in that if you are going to use it in a computer, at some point it must be converted to base 2.

Do you follow me?

I don't see any point in saying it is binary because it is in two parts, because despite it being "on" or "off", there is more then just the carrier being on or off, isn't there?

So if everyone wants to say that Morse code is binary, using the two state on and off definition, (despite there being much more than just an on and off state) and *then* suddenly shift to the base 2 definition in order to shoehorn it into a weird 2 definition-definition, well that seems a lot more ambiguous than anything I've written so far.

<rest snipped>

- Mike KB3EIA -

1246. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 20:01:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050206150113.06417.00000066@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 102762

In article <7stNd.29333$xt.17700@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com>
writes:

>> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>> news:20050205195742.11141.00000152@mb-m02.aol.com...
>>> In article <ou7Nd.29092$xt.13666@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector"
>>> <CL@barahmas.com>
>>> writes:

>>>>Oh gee -- the USA ARS License numbers are decreasing about 2% in 5
>>>>years

>>> Lessee...4,812/699,580.... Actually it's less than 1% loss in a little
>>> under 5 years!

>>>>At that rate in 500 years, we will be a dead dodo (Ain't statistics
>>>>wundeful wunderful?)

>>> Yup. How about this one: If a number decreases 2% every 5 years, it will
>>> be down to just about half the original number in 170 years.

> Gawd we are doomed

Yeah!

>Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about your Social
>Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500 years or so
>(pick a decline stat)

I decided a couple decades ago not to depend on SS for retirement. If I get
something from it, great. But I'm not counting on it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1247. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 20:08:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107720496.279086.217700@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102763

N2EY wrote:

> "S" is one time unit on, one time unit off, one time unit on, one
time unit
> off, one time unit on. Then at least three time units off.
>
> "O" is three time units on, one time unit off, three time units on,
one time
> unit off, three time units on. Then at least three time units off.
>
> The only true ambiguity is between "E" and "T" sent all by
themselves. Unless
> you know the speed from some other source, there's no way to tell
them apart.
>
> >Something easy for the brain but hard for
> >computers to do when the sender varies his speed.
>
> Naw, just requires a bit more software.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

What happened to your "1 and 0" and "mark and space" theory?

1248. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 20:10:48 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107720648.655688.229860@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102764

robert casey wrote:
> >
> > No, it's easy.
> >
> > "S" is one time unit on, one time unit off, one time unit on, one
time unit
> > off, one time unit on. Then at least three time units off.
> >
> > "O" is three time units on, one time unit off, three time units on,
one time
> > unit off, three time units on. Then at least three time units off.
> >
>
>
> That assumes the sender sends correctly. If he spaces his dahs
> too far apart, or runs his dits too close, decoders might get
> confused. But only lids would do that.....

The sender may choose to send the code incorrectly, as at least one has
claimed on here.

1249. Re: Just Face It Lennie, You're Busted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 20:22:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050206152201.11094.00000022@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 102765

In article <1107687718.775177.243970@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>Len Anderson wrote:
>
> Lengthy attempt to divert attention away from his numerous
>rantings, lies and decptions SNIPPED

Tsk. Nothing was clipped out, then... :-)

>> That's the way part of publishing works and it's been that way for
>> some time. Some hatred-clouded "avengers" want to redefine all
>> rules on uniqueness or writing skill and say their hate-subject is
>> a plagiarist. No proof. Just a hollered pejorative by someone who
>> can't think straight in his rage.
>
> There's only ONE "hatred-clouded "avenger" here Lennie, and that's
>you.

Poor Stebie, keeps looking in mirror front of his computer thingy
seeing hisself, can't understand what's been said by others...

> Your self proclaimed quest to see to it that Amateur Radio is
>formed in your image is well documented.

"In my image?" All that from wanting to eliminate the morse code
test from license examinations?!? :-)

> You'be been routinely caught in your lies.

["you'be" doobie doo... :-)]

Sorry, not a single pair of "lies" in my closet or dresser. Lots of
shorts and T-shirts in the dresser, though, will those do?

I did have some rather loud-pattern bermuda shorts years ago
and did have one picture of me taken in those...caught
unawares by the photographer at a beach picnic.

> Your deceptions in this forum are legend.

If truth be known, I'm the illegitimate grandson of Hiram Percy
Maxim. Since "X-Men" comix hasn't featured my bio yet, I'll
just put that off to "late publishing schedules." :-)

> Sucks to be you.

Well, I've pumped down a few near-space environment tests to
very low Torr values, but didn't do that by myself (a straw does

that nicely), machinery does it.

> Putz.

Is this where we begin hollering Yiddish epithets at one another
then go have a bagle and schmooze at a Kosher restaurant on
Fairfax? Oy, gevalt!

LenAndersone@ieee.org

"Living in a nudist colony takes all the fun out of Halloween." -
Terry
Moran
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1250. Computer-Read Morse (Was Re: Morse Code Binary?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 20:22:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050206152204.11094.00000023@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 102766

In article <F0aNd.1542$oO.1242@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> Besides, if something is digital, why would you have to try so hard to
>> make it computer compatible?
>
>Telling "S" from "O" is hard if you don't already
>know from looking at other characters what the speed
>must be. Something easy for the brain but hard for
>computers to do when the sender varies his speed.

It is not "hard" for a semi-modern computer to do. All it means
is that the programmer has to write more source code to perform
a task called "rate adaption."

Lay folk take the very easy approach to visualizing what the
computer does (via its programming) as simple decoding of
groups of binary state combinations. That's common
observation. What isn't so obvious is that, with a clock rate
of 20 MHz or better, the computer [program] can test a time
sequence for relative occurances of On versus Off by periodic
sampling.

Segregation of a single O from a single S in morse code can
be done by comparing the time length of the On. That is
different in morse code for an approximately equal character
bit group time. [dashes are supposed to be longer in duration
than dots] At a dot rate of 1 KHz (faster than 100 WPM
equivalent), the repetitive period is 1000 microseconds. The
computer hardware (under program control) can sample that at
a, say, 10 microsecond period. That allows 100 samples of
each dot or dash which can be stored in temporary computer
memory. [that's more memory than is actually needed but this
is just an example] Already knowing the sample rate (from
the hardware and the time of execution of the iterative routine),
another routine can test each sample in turn and accumulate
the relative length of each On versus a character group's total
time length. That would differentiate dashes from dots.

The difficulty for the programmer is setting up a set of rules to
determine the relative lengths. Such does NOT have to be
precisely 3:1 in ratio of dashes to dots. It can be as coarse as
2:1, which would be called "sloppy" manual sending. :-)

Once there has been a differentiation of dashes versus dots, a
variation of the rate-adaptive routine can be used to differentiate

the longer time between character spaces. Again, the routine's testing can use a wide range of time intervals. When spaces between characters are determined, the much-simpler task of decoding character combinations into ASCII (or equivalent) character combinations can be done (probably by a look-up table stored in memory). With that completed, the computer-handleable characters can be displayed on a screen or sent to a paper print device.

I've not done the above but am describing what a programmer acquaintence had already done some years ago using a desktop computer having a 20 MHz clock rate CPU and very moderate RAM for temporary program memory. My (then-new) Icom R-70 was lent to him for some on-air real-time testing on amateur as well as commercial morse code signals (there were very few non-amateur morse signals heard then). With a simple audio-to-digital interface (peak detector with quick fall time), it would "read" every morse signal with a 2:1 dash-dot ratio or better, even in moderate noise. The first few characters of a string of characters might be lost until the rate-adaptive routine adjusted itself, but otherwise was quite acceptible.

You might ask "why" do that at all? Answer: It was someone else's personal intellectual exercise to accomplish a seemingly difficult task. Just a fun task for him and of some interest to others who liked to program. It was a hobby project and it proved what was set out to prove to the programmer.

That morse decoder wasn't carried to any perfection. It could have been developed further to be quicker in rate-adaption, to add more noise-elimination and so forth. There was NO DEMAND for that commercially, nor was it done for prospective program sales in the future. [hardware already existed to do all the rate-adpation and decoding of repetitive teleprinter codings in a single IC a decade or so ago] Program notes and source code printouts filled a large loose-leaf notebook and were freely shown. Of particular note was the flow-diagrams of the various iterative subroutines, much more readable to me than the C source code (maybe it was Pascal?) of the executive program. The same executable program would work fine with modern desktops or portables having 2 GHz clock rates and 100 MHz rate memory fetch cycles...even to using already-established routines to determine real-time hardware execution times necessary to determine word-per-minute equivalent rates.

[portions of this example description were already in this newsgroup a few years ago, principally in reply to Ed Hare and some others]

It is less a matter of "adapting morse code to computers" than it is making the computer adapt to decoding morse. A big question is WHY BOTHER?

Morsemen, hoping to show they are "superior" to mere machines
as well as modern technology, will insist and insist that their human
abilities (honed to a fine edge) are oh-so-much-better than any (hack,
ptui) computer thingy. They can evidently work miracles using
morse code mode communications, far beyond the best that can be
done by all other radio services and commercial communications.
:-)

"Morse code gets through when all other modes do." - Brian Burke

LenAnderson@ieee.org

"Today is the tomorrow you worried about yesterday..." - anon.
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1251. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 20:22:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050206152205.11094.00000024@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 102767

In article <1107700028.299598.57310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>, "K4YZ"
<k4yz@aol.com> writes:

>> > What's with you, Your Scumminess? Didn't they teach you radio
>> >rules at professional radio school?
>>
>> What "professional radio school?"
>
> That's my point, Lennie. You don't have the training.

Tsk, tsk. Such an ignorant addition to having stated that the
University of Illinois is just a "correspondence school." :-)

Well, let's see... none of the classes I took at UCLA, CSUN,
Loyola, or even L.A.Trade-Tech were called "professional radio
school." A BSEE does not stand for "professional radio school."
Practical, on-the-job training with the U.S. Army Signal Corps,
Hughes Aircraft Company, Ramo-Wooldridge Corporation, RCA
Corporation and Teledyne (Electronics Division) did not involve
"professional radio school." [just some examples, not all]

The DCAS (Defense Contract Administrative Services) listings
didn't have me with any "professional radio school." Neither did
the FBI, CIA, DIA, FCC, FAA, IRS or Franchise Tax Board of
California...all of whom were informed of my schooling and
professional job experience. [I'm not sure if the DoE did any
investigating directly for my clearances there (Atomics
International) since they had enough to go on from other
agencies' information]

Nope, never filled out one of those "school" applications as given
on back pages of Popular Mechanics or the Police Gazette.
That means I must be some kind of "wash out" in schooling? :-)

Ah, yes, I have "no experience in radio!" Poor Stebie, going into
a fit of rage because I didn't spend 8 hours per for years doing
ham radio activity like he did in the murine copse or in the hospital.
[the ONLY radio is amateur radio? :-)]

Maybe I'll write MIT or Harvard and see if I can sign up for one of
their "correspondence courses" in "professional radio school?"
On completion they will award me a pretty certificate suitable for
framing?

There ya go! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

"People who live in glass houses should make love in the basement." - Terry Moran
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1252. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 20:25:47 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107721547.097861.156040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102768

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1107619805.103212.155200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
"bb"
> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>
> >Mike Coslo wrote:

> >> That is why when we try to make Morse code computer compatible,
> >
> >We?
>
> Coslonaut is a ham for all hams, all seasons. He is high tech.

He may be high tech, but code didn't make him that way.

> >W0EX did not, RIP. He specifically stated that he would send Morse
> >Code so that computer readers (manned by unworthy no-code
Technicians)
> >could not copy his messages.
> >
> >Besides, if something is digital, why would you have to try so hard
to
> >make it computer compatible?
>
> It's the only way the coslonaut can become "high-tech?"

Then he's heading down a dead end street. Hopefully he finds something
else, like amateur near space exploration.

> After all, he is reaching for the threshold of space via surplus
helium
> balloons carrying amateur radio. [pioneering work, important
> "science"]

Bingo! This is the very same tack that all of the research
universities use to get grant money. They propose to re-study
something that has been studied previously. They get the grant money,
hire a handful of chinese and indian post-grads. They redo the study,
find exactly the same results, then always state that more research is
necessary.

And the government falls for it.

> >Try the null hypothesis. Are you saying the silent periods are
> >valueless?
>
> Coslonaut has gone way too far into reducto ad absurdum regions.

> By introducing variables unrelated to the basic principle of
> operation, he can expand his definition into a number of
dimensions
> greater than the number of particles in the entire universe! :-)

Yep. What if the Navy and Coast Guard had dismissed all of those
"silent periods" while on radio watch?

> But, the use of "intercarrier lack of pulse time" is false. The
"inter"
> means 'within.' In on-off keying "CW" there is NO carrier to be
> "within." The off time is short, long, or of infinite variation
in
> duration. It's a use of "high-tech bafflegab" for a low-tech
subject.

But, but, but....

Len, they're master of it. In one breath, Miccolis says that Morse
Code is ones and zeroes, marks and spaces, then in the next breath,
he's back to the correct definition. A master of deception.

> Morse code is a very primitive form of technology 161 years ago
> when it first began (as representation of numbers, just numbers).
> A few years after the first Morse-Vail Telegraphy debut in 1844,
> the representation of English alphabet and some punctuation was
> added to the "code." [there is still a dispute of whether or not
> co-inventor Alfred Vail actually came up with the addition of the
> alphabet, but that is another subject...such is neither proved nor
> disproved] Morse code is still a very primitive form of
representation
> of the western language alphabet, numbers and punctuation,
> regardless of the technology level of the equipment used to
> communicate in that mode.

Some of these guys were there. They might know Vails actual role...

> Coslonaut might just think that off times have great value...as in
> the old hoary expression "silence is golden." If so, he should
gilt
> himself and be silent, quit trying to make a primitive method into
> high technology.

Now, now, now, Len. The off times must be important. It's the only
way the ARRL can explain away how they can send a supposedly "Morse
Code Exam" at 13-15 WPM and still claim it meets the FCC's 5WPM rate.

And if these guys silenced themselves, then where will we ever get gems
like, "...A morse code exam would be a deterrent to morse code use.
N2EY"

Hi, hi!

1253. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 20:47:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <poadnZ0fldbBH5vfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102769

N2EY wrote:

> In article <7stNd.29333$xt.17700@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com>
> writes:
>
>
>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>>>news:20050205195742.11141.00000152@mb-m02.aol.com...
>>>
>>>>In article <ou7Nd.29092$xt.13666@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector"
>>>><CL@barahmas.com>
>>>>writes:
>
>
>>>>>Oh gee -- the USA ARS License numbers are decreasing about 2% in 5
>>>>>years
>
>
>>>>Lessee...4,812/699,580.... Actually it's less than 1% loss in a little
>>>>under 5 years!
>
>
>>>>>At that rate in 500 years, we will be a dead dodo (Ain't statistics
>>>>>wundeful wunderful?)
>
>
>>>>Yup. How about this one: If a number decreases 2% every 5 years, it will
>>>>be down to just about half the original number in 170 years.
>
>
>>Gawd we are doomed
>
>
> Yeah!
>
>
>>Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about your Social
>>Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500 years or so
>>(pick a decline stat)
>
>
> I decided a couple decades ago not to depend on SS for retirement. If I get
> something from it, great. But I'm not counting on it.

SS is not a retirement plan. It is a mistake to count any money from it a a given.

But I do have a few questions about privatized SS.

What happens if the Stock market tanks the month before your retirement? Unless there are strong protections, (which will end up a huge drain on the 'Guvmint) there may be people who suddenly find themselves poor.

What are the politicians going to raid for extra money?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1254. Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To Heaven in a Freightliner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 20:51:41 UTC
From: Pope Bonzo III <loosewashers321@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6c85$42068399$d1cc5734$381@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 102770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

<Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1107718662.895554.108060@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Good News!

TITLE: "Jesus Jr. - The Country Western Christ"
ARTIST: The Cab City Combo

(What can be said about a bunch of old puds that
continue to mock classic rock and roll and anything
else that occurs to them? Are they WHAT AMERICA
NEEDS NOW? Are they THE NEXT BIG THING?
Or are they fooling themselves and just wasting everybody's
time. You decide!)

Free MP3 download of this song IS HERE!
--> http://music.download.com/cabcitycombo/3600-9022_32-100151557.html

Lyrics

Jesus Jr! His grandpa is the Lord
Jesus Jr! He drives a big white ford
Jesus Jr! He takes his dad's advice
Jesus Jr! The Country Western Christ

Well he was born in Tennessee
Not somewhere out in Galilee
His dad got his at Calvary
And his mom works at the grocery

Jesus Jr! His grandpa is the Lord
Jesus Jr! He drives a big white ford
Jesus Jr! His grandma Mary's joy
Father, Son and the Holy good old boy

Well Junior takes his name in vain
When folks abuse the passing lane
And at his favorite bar on Main
The only thing he'll raise is cain

Jesus Jr! His grandpa is the Lord
Jesus Jr! He drives a big white ford
Jesus Jr! At least he's not a jew
10-4 Satan, his rig is passin you

Now if his wheels get stuck in mud
He'll part that shoulder like the flood
And when he drives on Easter, bud
His left-hand signal's dripping blood...

Jesus Jr! His grandpa is the Lord
Jesus Jr! got mudguards on his big white ford
Jesus Jr! He takes his dad's advice
Jesus Jr! The Country Western Christ
Jesus Jr! The Country Western Christ

1255. Re: Lardass' Suicide attempts from before has left him more brain damaged!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 21:31:14 UTC
From: Queer_Jew_Lloydie <queerjewlloydie@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107725474.043597.192650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102771
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <cu1odv$8c9$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lardassed 31 year old VIRGIN Lloyd" <Nospam@nospam> whined:
>
>
> Roger Wiseman said (bwhahaha..Lardass sees his owner everywhere!)

You see Roger everywhere, don't you, his little fat bitch?
Oh, and my little high school dropout and had to get a G.E.D., you
should focus on your own spelling:

breaddead" - LLoyd hasn't got anything upstairs in the oven

"defenseive" - Lardass is "defensive" of his ignorance

"counter-offenseive" - When LARDASS LLoyds attack

"nights" - Athens ASSHOLE was referring to last "night's" masturbation
session

"I forget to set the playlist in Winamp to repeat!" - Mongolloyd also
"forgets" the use of past tense, maybe he forgot!

"Techincal" - Lloyd just hates those "technical" things

"Dalkes" - Lloyd's granddad remembers when movies became "talkies" too
bad LARDASS learned to Dalk <sic>

"That it!" - When Mongolloyds get real mad, they talk like Tor Johnson

"Woger" - LARDASS closely identifies with Elmer Fudd with his lack of
hair and all

"decide on weather or not" - It's always "stormy weather" with Lloyd
deciding "whether" or not to use correct English

"can not" - Mongolloyd is wondering how he "cannot" seem to get ahead
in life

"is'nt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he is mad?

"PAINFULL" - How LARDASS' bathroom scale feels when he steps on it in
the morning, real "painful"

"I wish for Roger Wisemand" - Lloyd liked thosed thingd ford Rogerd

"alot" - Davies dreams he can communicate in English a lot

"thier" - Lavender Lloyd sure does lisp after a night behind the
dumpster

"dont" - Lloyd says "dont", the rest of the world says "don't"

"Isreal" - Is FATASS Lloyd's retardation for real, or can he blame Israel?

"juist jelous" "extermenate" - A three for one post Athens Asshole axiom

"footting" - What LARDASS doesn't have, an equal "footing" anywhere

"Cant" - Emmanuel?

"th" - When Lloyd gets nervous, he stutte, er stutters

"enfighting" - Lloyd's two brain cells are infighting for control of his stupidity

"YOU STUPID JEW!" - Wasn't Jesus Jewish, so called Christian LLoyd?

"Tonite" - There's nothing in Lloyd's brain "tonight" or any night

"segmant" - What Lloyd's communications are, only sentence "segments"

"I just listening" - Too bad he doesn't listen to his poor English

"buffon" - The Athens, Alabama "buffoon" strikes again!

"museium" - Where LARDASS LLoyd keeps his "muse"

"nastey" - How everybody describes LARDASS' body stench, especially in the ghetto

"loose" - Lloyd's description of his spinchter muscles...

"wont" - Lloyd refuses to learn English, it's Lloyd's "wont"

"awhile" - It will be "a while" before Davies gets a clue

"freinds" - Lloyd's conglomeration of "friends" and "fiends"

"thier" - "There" just isn't any hope for LARDASS Lloyd "ill-educated" Davies

"backstabers" - Lloyd's version of the OJays' hit

"becuase" - Home of the "Deliverance Extras" spelling by LARDASS Lloyd

"obselete" - What LARDASS thinking is to him, others see it as 'obsolete'.....

"belive" - What fools like LARDASS Lloyd "believes"

"thier" - Ill-educated Xtian LARDASS Lloyd shows off his language skills!

"marshalls" - Mongolloyd spell "marshals" real good!

Wisemand - LARDASSd fucksd upd againd

"compay" - Mongolloyd never gets any female "compay," while others do get "company"

" But when we are winning," DUMBFUCK, YOU have NEVER WON anything in your miserable life!

"and do the nasty!" You don't really know what that means, do you, 30 year old VIRGIN?

"It's does" - Mongolloyd use good English

"momnet" - LARDASS Lloyd Davies misses his dead "momnet" for a "moment"

"seriuosly" - Lavender Lad President Mongolloyd wants to be taken "seriously" instead of "seriuosly"

"annouced" - Mongolloyd "announces" his stupidity again

"tonite" - Davies never lets his lack of education stop him from announcing to the world he's a fucking imbecile!

"nite" - When LARDASS Lloyd molests those kids he makes them say "nite, nite" at "night"

"weldare" - Calling Dr. Weldare, LARDASS needs his head out of his FATASS....put it on his welfare bill

"so fuck of" - When Mongolloyds who have man tits talk tough......

"fukcing funney" - Alabama deliverance extra Davies speak

"pictrue" - "Picture" yourself as a Davies Mongolloyd

"isseus" - Davies has "issues" too many to list here

"resortjng" - Is Lloyd from Finland?

"attsxks" - When LARDASS Davies "attacks" he uses illiteracy

"basturds"- What mental illness patient LARDASS Lloyd calls his nurses

"shkt" - Mongolloyd Davies' "Skittles", he likes that "Hershey rainbow"

"law suit" - LARDASS never leaves his HUD apartment with out his law suit

"opinionn" - When LARDASSn postsn whiln drunkn

"intrenet harrasssmint" - Tries to make LARDASS Lloyd's rotting teeth feel fresh

"sneeky" - The teachers always "sneaked" Lloyd through the next grade

"that' wasnet" - LLoyd was out that year of school that they went over English grammar

idiout! - "Lloyid" <sic> strikes out again!

"speling" - Mongolloyd Davies the "spelling" bee champ of the retards!

"reas isseus instaed" - Davies talking out of that cheap beer again

"atttacking" - LARDASS Lloyd stutters when he gets upset.....ttttt.....

"challegne" - When Mongolloyds drink and post

"metnal" - Mongolloyd just doesn't have the "mental" ability to debate......

"debste" - What Lloyd's mommy did to him in the bathtub

"cahllenge" - Mongolloyd speak for "call" and "challenge"

"basturds" - LLoyd ,Amanda's illegitimate "bastard" sure does have a scat fetish

"morsd" - Whend Daviesd drinkd andd postd

"wont" - It is Mongolloyd's "wont" to be stupid, "won't " you let him?

"youd" - Oops, LARDASS did it again!

"poinion" - Must be an Athens Alabama "Deliverance" thing

"Woger Wiseman"- LARDASS Lloyd's got that cocksucker's lisp

"isnt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he slobbers?

"worfh" - Davies' cocksucking lisp has returned

"waset of spaceda" - When Lloyd's only friend Colt 45 malt liquor kicks in

"Oppulant" - The only thing "opulent" in LARDASS is that he is rich in ignorance

"obly" - LARDASS lisp from cocksucking shows when he tries to say his favorite fag artist "Moby"

"wood" - LARDASS LLOYD'S head "would" be made of "wood"

"dserve" - LARDASS lives in that ghetto where they say he sure does "dserve" those pizzas

"frum" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES wonders where his real father is "from"

"DISCUST" - Mongolloyd Davies' command of the English language is "disgusting"

"You worthless coward are scared of debating me." - Mongolloyd make good sentence

"jellous" - Most of LARDASS Lloyd's cellulite body is "jealous" of skinny, in shape people

"It just didn't wok out"
"wok out" - When LARDASS tried to stir fry those nutsacks for pizza topping, Pappa John's terminated him saying he didn't "work out".

"entact" - LARDASS' single brain cell isn't "intact" either.

"empahis" - Notice how LARDASS Lloyd's "emphasis" is always on his fucking stupidity.

"Fuck all fo you" - Christian LARDASS Lloyd showing that Jesus spirit.....

"bllod" - How LARDASS Lloyd spells "blood"

"did'nt" - LARDASS "didn't" do very well in school

"PLEASE by a clue" - LARDASS Lloyd's parents couldn't even "buy" him an education

"extrodinare!" - LARDASS is a moron "Extraordinaire"

"unsubsribe" - Mongolloyd Davies "subscribes" to the theory that ignorance is bliss...he must be in heaven

"Must've struck a cord" - LARDASS confuses his favorite sex fetish of tying his penis with a
light cord and masturbating to the word "chord".

"dumass" - Dumbass Davies trips and falls in shit again.

"Them two bigtime losers!" - Mongolloyd mentally ill Davies makes good sentence!

"straming" - Lardass usually has to wash his sheets from the "stream" of piss
when he wets the bed or not given his filthy HUD apartment

"surpassinf" - LARDASS is always "surpassing" his previous levels of stupidity.

"pleanty" - <guffaw!> "Plenty" of stupidity coming from LARDASS Lloyd.

"Cause i'll have law lock you up and throw away the key!" - Mongolloyd talk tough!

"pome" - When LARDASS WADSWORTHLESS Stubbyfellow writes a "poem"

"Once she here's my show" - Most people hears a show, LARDASS "here's" them!

"forgotton" - LARDASS has "forgotten" what he was taught in special Ed

"I want your seriousness" - LARDASS does his take on "I want your sex"

"I did'nt ask for your fucking grammer checking!" - LARDASS Lloyd revels in his ignorance!

"agrument" - When the voices in LARDASS Lloyd's mentally ill head collide they have an
"argument"

"craetive" - Mongolloyd Davies isn't very "creative" with his
stupidity, however.

"You could have posted it, for we know!" - LARDASS make good sentence!

"bottem" - Mongolloyd likes those little boys' "bottoms" from hanging
with Steve Holsten

"James Wickstrom talked about tonite that out threre, thier Sherriff's
department" - Mongolloyd
shows off those Pulitzer prize writing skills.

"bring yer cents" - Mongolloyd show how he flunked out of school
because he had no "sense"

"You peeks out" - LARDASS Lloyd and stupidity a symbiotic relationship.

"Be thier" - LARDASS Lloyd make good sentence!

"If you pussys have balls you confront me!!! Deep down you are scared
of me. You our jellous
because I am the great almighty Time Lord!!!!
The Time Lord always wins in the end." - Does it really need to be said
about Mongolloyd Davies?

"yerself", "hisself" - LARDASS picked up his language skills or lack
thereof from the
niggers his mommy Amanda serviced.

"I lost TEN POUNDS on my diet simce first of the year!" - Too bad
LARDASS didn't gain any language skills

"The best Amatuer Radio talk show ever!" - Chosen 5 to 1 over literacy
by other ill-educated morons and Mongolloyd

"All of my Daleks have been dispached!" - Too bad some extra brain
neurons haven't, Mongolloyd.

"satillite" - When LARDASS met the space between his ears

"Tuseday" - LARDASS' usual day to wear his pink tutu

"I am 210 punds now!" - LARDASS lies and fucks up again!

"jelous" - LARDASS Lloyd is "jealous" of those who can spell, read, and
write.

"peoples" - LARDASS Lloyd the "people's" choice of the Biggest
L-O-S-E-R!

"curtians" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES uses those "curtains" in his filthy
apartment as a
masturbation rag

"depsised" - Mongolloyd Davies, who the entire real ham community has
"despised" for his lack of ability
to pass a simple 5 wpm code test or General theory

"sicker" - When Lloyd Davies has a mouth full of cum he can't say "sticker"

"liceneses" - Mongolloyd Davies has one of those exclusive "licenses" on stupidity

"isseus" - LARDASS has "issues" with people of intelligence.

"conquor" - Mentally ill LARDASS Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his obesity and mental illness

"crakheads" - Retard Davies make good sentence!

"servent" - Roger Wiseman's willing "servant" makes another gaffe.

"lesibens" - When Benjamins take the wild side...the "lesbians" don't care, though!

"Los Angles" - Mongolloyd has the wrong "angle" about "Los Angeles"

"busses" - Do they take the motored "buses" or do they take the

"thier" - You say "their," Lardass says "thier".... to-may-to, to-mah-to.....

"eductional" - LARDASS Lloyd's writing is "educational" on how severe illiteracy is in his family.

"She sounded like she really whappy to here from me." - Mongolloyd get rejected again!

"This is morning I weigh at 207 pounds. This should prove to you, that I can succeed if I put my
mind to it. I know some your stupid critics can't see that, but I'm not here to please you." -
- LARDASS makes the "huh?" factor rise.

"This looks much more like sexy Lloyd" - Porky Pig lookalike stubby tubby Davies dreams
he looks like a skinny, tall Billy Zane

"I figured you the thought of me owning..." - Lardass dreams the impossible dream of thought

"I took you a long hour and a several minutes..." - Too bad LARDASS Lloyd didn't take and pass English

"I get a laugh over you!" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!

"did'nt" - "Didn't" Lardass ever pass any school subject?

"Proves to show" - Lavender Lloyd proves he is an imbecile

"It' paid off" - Alcoholic LARDASS Lloyd's bar and utility bills never are though.

"I wear if with" - Davies wears his stupidity well

"You need to get al ife and a job." Mongolloyd retardo Davies needs to get English lessons and
not dream of an "Al ife" with "Al Queda"

"I've never fucking pretending attorneys!" - LARDASSclown Davies never had to pretend to be stupid, either!

"...what your life must me like..." - Mongolloyd's life of pizza delivery and trashcan robots is really something
to want, too, isn't it?

"manor" - Lardassclown Davies' "manners" are like those of a hog....

"Christien" - Poor LARDASS Davies, he's shows why ignorance is bliss in the eyes of the Lord.

"reely" - Mongolloyd Davies is "really" stupid, isn't he?

"please don't imposter me more" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!

"distastful" - A description of LARDASSCLOWN DAVIES' eating habits.

"commnet" - Most people make "comments," ill-educated Lloyd Davies makes "commnets".

"None of you refuse to tell me who you are." - Huh, Mongolloyd?

"Millinium" - Even after a "millennium" LARDASS couldn't be smart!

"afriad" - LARDASSclown Davies isn't too smart, I'm "afraid"

"powerfull" - Mongolloyd Davies sure has a "powerful" command of the English language.

"conquor" - Lardass Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his idiocy.

"I always new that your stupidity would trip you up, Mr. Wiseman."
- I just "knew" Llardass Lloyd couldn't pose as "Christy
Davies" without fucking it up and outing himself.

"I have given it some though and decided to come back" - Mongolloyd has never given anything any "thought." (from another "I'm leaving" speech/lie)

"usaul" - As "usual" Lardass lloyd Davies fucks up!

"Secwet Woger " Llardass Llavender Llad Lloyd's been sucking so many cocks he's got a lisp!

"douters" - Even though he has his "doubters", it's
"doubtful" Llardass Lloyd has an I.Q. over 70.

"bypolar" - Mongolloyd Davies is a "bipolar bisexual".

"desperite" - Lardass Lloyd is so "desperate" to win at something, anything.

"situtation" - Most people get in "situations, " but not our total fuck-up Lloyd.

"aholt" - Lardass Lloyd usually gets "a hold" of himself on a Saturday night, since he has no date!

"mysteriuos" - Mongolloyd Davies, "mysterious" survivor of a coat hanger abortion attempt.

"I've did" - Most people say " I did"

"Woger" - When cocksucking, lisping fags try to say " Roger."

"for sometime" - Lardass has been a mentally ill retard "for some time" now.

"mommie's" - When Mongolloyds say "Mommy's" as in "Mommy's house."

"drop out" - Lloyd was a High school and college " dropout."

"backpedalling" - "Backpedaling" is what Lardass does a lot of.

"Maybe two years back" - Mongolloyd speak.

"outted" - Lardass Lloyd's illteracy at work.

"I've riddled Woger for years as Arf! Arf!" - (Mongolloyd is Arf!Arf!)

"self esteme" - One thng is for sure, Lardass has it..."low self esteem," that is.
"short comings" - Lardass has many, many "shortcomings."
"yahoo" - Lardass always goes into the "Yahoo!" gay chat rooms.
"The truth hurts you see" - So does reading your mangling of the English language, Lardass.

"His stupidity can not be disguised for long." - Lardass' stupidity "cannot" be disquised at all.

"entireity" - Lardass is the embodiment of stupidity in its' "entirety."

"bothe" - Lardass is "both" stupid and retarded.

"got hime" - Lardass has got "him" a case of the dumbass!

"evelope" - Mongolloyd pushes the stupidity "envelope" to the max.

"chiicken" - Lardass Lloyd should eat more "chicken" instead of leftover pizzas then he wouldn't be obese.

"dont" - "Don't" ever improve your English skills, Lardass!

"likign" - Huh? More Mongolloyd Ebonics.
"you ignorant spawn of satin" - Lardass ironically confesses his love of wearing lace!

"powerfull" - Mongolloyd's brain cell isn't "powerful" enough to light a christmas light.

"heresay" - Mongolloyd is a fucking idot, and that's no "hearsay."

"glas" - Lardass Lloyd never uses a "glass" to drink his sticky protein.

"freind" - Dicksucking Davies hasn't got a "friend" in the world.
"yu" - Lardass, "you" really should've gotten a better education!
"Got Brother Stair tuned in here to listen to while sleeping tonite!" - Mongolloyd fucks up again!

"I am not a retrard" - Oh, yes, you are, Lardass!
"Got you in another lie!" - We've "caught you" in another fuckup, Dumbass Davies!
"I am not mentally challenged! I have some pontential." - Mongolloyd is in denial about his imbecility and has the "potential" to become an even bigger jackass!

"did'nt" - Mongolloyd "didn't ever pass Spelling.

"soon all your asses are belong to sherrif" - Mongolloyd fantazises about having sex with his local "sheriff"...

"shure" - We are "sure" you are a fucking moron, Llardass Lloyd!!

"proxie servers" "conecting" - Mongolloyd used "proxy servers" when he "connected" to spam Josh's board.

"enfrocement" - Mongolloyd also fucked up when he spoofed caller ID's and spammed boards, and now law "enforcement" is watching him.

"apoligize" - Mongolloyd's parents should "apologize" for ever siring such a mentally ill retard.

"meagre" - Mongolloyd's "meager" brain can't comprehend English.

"goten" - If only Mongolloyd would've "gotten" an education!

"Prepositioned" - Mongolloyd always gets "propositioned" by nouns and pronouns!

"temting" - Mongolloyd is as "tempting" as a root canal.

"accept" - Mongolloyd use the wrong word in the wrong place again!

"normaly" - "Normally" Lardass would be passed out from Two bud lites by now

"red head" - Little Red is shoving his big ole black dickhead in Lardass' fat piehole.

"Aflack" - Mongolloyd fucks up yet again..www.aflac.com
"Dickionary" - Mongolloyd is almost as bad as his queer lover Holsten in obsessing about dicks.

Mongolloyd make good spells!

1256. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 21:53:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107726808.346400.142430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102772

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > In article <7stNd.29333$xt.17700@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector"
<CL@barahmas.com>
> > writes:
> >
> >
> >>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >>>news:20050205195742.11141.00000152@mb-m02.aol.com...
> >>>
> >>>>In article <ou7Nd.29092$xt.13666@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector"
> >>>><CL@barahmas.com>
> >>>>writes:
> >
> >
> >>>>>Oh gee -- the USA ARS License numbers are decreasing about 2% in
5
> >>>>>years
> >
> >
> >>>>Lessee...4,812/699,580.... Actually it's less than 1% loss in a
little
> >>>>under 5 years!
> >
> >
> >>>>>At that rate in 500 years, we will be a dead dodo (Ain't
statistics
> >>>>>wundeful wunderful?)
> >
> >
> >>>>Yup. How about this one: If a number decreases 2% every 5 years,
it will
> >>>>be down to just about half the original number in 170 years.
> >
> >
> >>Gawd we are doomed
> >
> >
> > Yeah!
> >
> >
> >>Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about your
Social

> >>Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500
years or so
> >>(pick a decline stat)
> >
> >
> > I decided a couple decades ago not to depend on SS for retirement.
If I get
> > something from it, great. But I'm not counting on it.
>
> SS is not a retirement plan. It is a mistake to count any money from
it
> a a given.
>
> But I do have a few questions about privatized SS.
>
> What happens if the Stock market tanks the month before your
> retirement?

Must your IRA all be in the market? Retirement investment must include
safer places to park your money.

Look at the Federal retirement system.

Look at your state retirement system.

Look at your teacher's retirement system.

Is is all in the market?

Is it all out of the market?

Or is it a balance of the two?

> What are the politicians going to raid for extra money?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

They'll start running drugs again. Americans love drugs.

1257. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 21:56:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <YfKdnf1WDbkxD5vfRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102773

N2EY wrote:

> In article <F0aNd.1542$oO.1242@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
>
>>>Besides, if something is digital, why would you have to try so hard to
>>>make it computer compatible?
>>>
>>
>>Telling "S" from "O" is hard if you don't already
>>know from looking at other characters what the speed
>>must be.
>
>
> No, it's easy.
>
> "S" is one time unit on, one time unit off, one time unit on, one time unit
> off, one time unit on. Then at least three time units off.
>
> "O" is three time units on, one time unit off, three time units on, one time
> unit off, three time units on. Then at least three time units off.
>
> The only true ambiguity is between "E" and "T" sent all by themselves. Unless
> you know the speed from some other source, there's no way to tell them apart.
>
>
>>Something easy for the brain but hard for
>>computers to do when the sender varies his speed.
>
>
> Naw, just requires a bit more software.

And let's not forget Farnsworth. This will confuse matters a bit. I
have seen CWGet confuse S for O until it "settles in". My guess is that
Farnsworth Morse might be involved.

But it is odd that a binary method requires all that software. ;^)

But I think I have the confusion figured out. What is happening is that
people are starting by defining Morse code as a 2 state on and off
system, and trying to offer proof of that by suddenly changing that to a
base 2 system.

Can anyone offer a proof that does not switch between the two definitions?

I cannot accept Morse as a two part on and off system because there are more than two parts, as is made clear when people try to switch to base 2.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1258. Re: No anticipated changes in Morse Requirement for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 22:00:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107727202.215574.317810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102774

K4YZ wrote:
> Len Anderson wrote:
> > In article <cs7s6e$92l$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
> (Jeffrey Herman)
> > writes:
> >
> > >Always the same thing -- you're proved wrong but instead of
> admitting
> > >your error you play the attack again.
> > >
> > >One wonders how many times you've been divorced; I can't imagine
> that
> > >any woman would remain with you for long.
> >
> > tsk, tsk, tsk...good old PCTA extra Double Standard!
> >
> > To answer that 14 January message, Jeffie, I was married a long
> > time ago to my first wife but she died.
>
> Bore her to death with your wit, Lennie?

I find it sad that you and Jeff would snipe at someone's family in
order to gain an upper hand in one of your petty arguments.

1259. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 22:03:08 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107727387.991338.178750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102775

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html << Free Online Movies
3. http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html << The Passion Of The Christ
4. http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html << Animation
5. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
6. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
7. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

1260. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 22:15:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107728103.415812.101230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102776

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Maybe Algore will jump in and reinvent the internet.
>
> I'd just be tickled if he'd "invent" a home address that didn't
> have a Tennessee Zip Code!
>
> Maybe something in a 92xxx would suit him. Sure would suit me!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Does Al actually live in Tennessee, or is he still hanging around
Washington?

1261. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 23:07:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <M8ednexTpd2HPpvfRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102777

bb wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <7stNd.29333$xt.17700@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector"
>
> <CL@barahmas.com>
>
>>>writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>>>>news:20050205195742.11141.00000152@mb-m02.aol.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <ou7Nd.29092$xt.13666@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector"
>>>>><CL@barahmas.com>
>>>>>writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>Oh gee -- the USA ARS License numbers are decreasing about 2% in
>
> 5
>
>>>>>>>years
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>Lessee...4,812/699,580.... Actually it's less than 1% loss in a
>
> little
>
>>>>>>under 5 years!
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>At that rate in 500 years, we will be a dead dodo (Ain't
>
> statistics
>
>>>>>>>wundeful wunderful?)
>>>

>>>
>>>>>>Yup. How about this one: If a number decreases 2% every 5 years,
>
> it will
>
>>>>>>be down to just about half the original number in 170 years.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Gawd we are doomed
>>>
>>>
>>>Yeah!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about your
>
> Social
>
>>>>Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500
>
> years or so
>
>>>>(pick a decline stat)
>>>
>>>
>>>I decided a couple decades ago not to depend on SS for retirement.
>
> If I get
>
>>>something from it, great. But I'm not counting on it.
>>
>>SS is not a retirement plan. It is a mistake to count any money from
>
> it
>
>>a a given.
>>
>>But I do have a few questions about privatized SS.
>>
>> What happens if the Stock market tanks the month before your
>>retirement?
>
>
> Must your IRA all be in the market? Retirement investment must include
> safer places to park your money.
>
> Look at the Federal retirement system.
>
> Look at your state retirement system.

>
> Look at your teacher's retirement system.
>
> Is is all in the market?
>
> Is it all out of the market?
>
> Or is it a balance of the two?

Greed, that potential bad character that we have tapped and used for good in out semi capitalist system, is an almost irresistible pull on some people.

I know quite a few people who during the mid to late '90's were so impressed by the stock market goings on, that they put all or most of their retirement investments in risky, high yielding investments. A couple invested exclusively in Tech stocks. Guess how much money they have today? (answer - not a whole lot) They won't be retiring early *or* soon.

On the other hand, I was very careful with my retirement investments, and didn't lose anything. All I did was take a hit in my earning rate. Until the bubble burst though, Len wasn't the only person that thinks I'm a dimbulb!

>> What are the politicians going to raid for extra money?
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> They'll start running drugs again. Americans love drugs.

HOWL!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1262. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-06 23:31:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050206183118.00129.00000070@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 102778

In article <YfKdnf1WDbkxD5vfRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <F0aNd.1542$oO.1242@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert
>casey
>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>>
>>>>Besides, if something is digital, why would you have to try so hard to
>>>>make it computer compatible?
>>>>
>>>
>>>Telling "S" from "O" is hard if you don't already
>>>know from looking at other characters what the speed
>>>must be.

>> No, it's easy.

>> "S" is one time unit on, one time unit off, one time unit on, one time unit
>> off, one time unit on. Then at least three time units off.

>> "O" is three time units on, one time unit off, three time units on, one
>> time
>> unit off, three time units on. Then at least three time units off.

>> The only true ambiguity is between "E" and "T" sent all by themselves.
>> Unless
>> you know the speed from some other source, there's no way to tell them
>> apart.

>>>Something easy for the brain but hard for
>>>computers to do when the sender varies his speed.

>> Naw, just requires a bit more software.
>
>And let's not forget Farnsworth. This will confuse matters a bit.

Not at all. Farnsworth spacing only affects the space between letters/numbers,
not the space between dits and dahs. Hence any properly-designed decoder -
hardware, software or wetware - will deal with it easily.

>I
>have seen CWGet confuse S for O until it "settles in".

Then CWGet needs some work. A human operator with basic skills will not make that mistake. dididit doesn't sound anything like dahdahdah.

>My guess is that Farnsworth Morse might be involved.

Naw, just a software problem.

>But it is odd that a binary method requires all that software. ;^)

Who said it was binary?
>
>But I think I have the confusion figured out. What is happening is that
>people are starting by defining Morse code as a 2 state on and off
>system, and trying to offer proof of that by suddenly changing that to a
>base 2 system.

Something like that. Of course a binary system can deal with a lot more numbers than 0 and 1.

>Can anyone offer a proof that does not switch between the two definitions?

Depends on your definitions.

It's like saying a balloon reaches an altitude of 100,000 feet. You have to also say "above what?"

>I cannot accept Morse as a two part on and off system because there are
>more than two parts, as is made clear when people try to switch to base 2.

It's about time.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1263. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 00:31:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050206193121.00824.00000097@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 102779

In article <poadnZ0fIdbBH5vfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about your Social
>>>Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500 years or so
>
>>>(pick a decline stat)

>> I decided a couple decades ago not to depend on SS for retirement. If I get
>> something from it, great. But I'm not counting on it.
>
>SS is not a retirement plan.

Agreed. It's supposed to be a safety net.

>It is a mistake to count any money from it a a given.

Agreed!
>
>But I do have a few questions about privatized SS.
>
>What happens if the Stock market tanks the month before your
>retirement?

You're in trouble.

>Unless there are strong protections, (which will end up a
>huge drain on the 'Guvmint) there may be people who suddenly find
>themselves poor.

There's a basic rule of investment that return and risk are directly connected.
If you want low risk you have to accept less return. One would think that even
a lackluster student like Shrub would understand that concept, but he once
again proves his basic nature as a forty watt bulb in a hundred watt socket.

> What are the politicians going to raid for extra money?

They don't look that far ahead. Heck, they're not even concerned about paying
back the money already borrowed from the SS system.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1264. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 00:41:41 UTC
From: Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.02.07.00.41.34.160307@spamsucks.net>
Source record: 102780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On Sun, 06 Feb 2005 13:53:53 -0600, Brian Hill wrote:

>
> "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns95F5954DEBB3Ea216b130c132d203@63.218.45.20...
>> On Sun 06 Feb 2005 06:50:01a, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in
>> message news:pan.2005.02.06.11.49.52.746939@spamsucks.net:
>>
>> > On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:
>> >
>> > {snippage}
>> >>
>> >> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
>> >> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
>> >> according to news media reports.
>> >>
>> >> The couple had been married for 17 years.
>> >> When asked about the auction, the wife
>> >> said: "I'm giggling."
>> >>
>> >> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
>> >> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
>> >> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
>> >> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
>> >> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
>> >> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
>> >> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
>> >
>> >
>> > Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is for
>> > suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but womens
>> > mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR too
>> > many marriages go down the toilet for my money.
>> >
>>
>> I'm glad I'm one of the many exceptions to your rule... With your broad-
>> brush view-point, I would recommend that you avoid marriage entirely
>> because you're already stacking the deck against yourself.
>>
>> -=jd=-
>> --
>> My Current Disposable Email:
>> jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net
```

>> (Remove YOUR HAT to reply directly)
>
> I've been with my wife for twenty years because shes my best friend.
>
> B.H.

You are certainly an exception and up to about 20 years ago marriages usually worked. But you folks know as well as I do how many shit the bed in the past 20 years. You all know PLENTY of them.

I hope it lasts 20 more.

1265. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 00:59:00 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <n8zNd.33591$aQ6.7813@fe07.lga>
Source record: 102781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Tom Randy" <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.02.07.00.41.34.160307@spamsucks.net...
> On Sun, 06 Feb 2005 13:53:53 -0600, Brian Hill wrote:
>
> >
> > "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net> wrote in message
> > news:Xns95F5954DEBB3Ea216b130c132d203@63.218.45.20...
> >> On Sun 06 Feb 2005 06:50:01a, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in
> >> message news:pan.2005.02.06.11.49.52.746939@spamsucks.net:
> >>
> >> > On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:
> >> >
> >> > {snippage}
> >> >>
> >> >> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
> >> >> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
> >> >> according to news media reports.
> >> >>
> >> >> The couple had been married for 17 years.
> >> >> When asked about the auction, the wife
> >> >> said: "I'm giggling."
> >> >>
> >> >> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> >> >> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> >> >> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
> >> >> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> >> >> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> >> >> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
> >> >> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is
for
> >> > suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but
womens
> >> > mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR
too
> >> > many marriages go down the toilet for my money.
> >> >
> >>
> >> I'm glad I'm one of the many exceptions to your rule... With your
broad-
> >> brush view-point, I would recommend that you avoid marriage entirely
```

> >> because you're already stacking the deck against yourself.
> >>
> >> -=jd=-
> >> --
> >> My Current Disposable Email:
> >> jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net
> >> (Remove YOUR HAT to reply directly)
> >
> > I've been with my wife for twenty years because shes my best friend.
> >
> > B.H.
>
>
> You are certainly an exception and up to about 20 years ago marriages
> usually worked. But you folks know as well as I do how many shit the bed
> in the past 20 years. You all know PLENTY of them.
>
> I hope it lasts 20 more.
>
>
>

Thanks. I understand your attitude. Don't give up though. It really is cool when you find the right one. If you do get lucky, make sure you /she or somebody can cook. The first thing to f--k up a home is bad food!! ;)

B.H.

1266. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 01:20:03 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107739202.983852.86240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102782

^

1267. Speaking of bad food and bad marriages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 02:03:27 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <vsOdnQijmo31UZvfRVn-uw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 102783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Hill wrote:

> Thanks. I understand your attitude. Don't give up though. It really is cool
> when you find the right one. If you do get lucky, make sure you /she or
> somebody can cook. The first thing to f--k up a home is bad food!! ;)
>
> B.H.

Subject: FW: MRE Dinner Date
Importance: Low

This was from a grunt whose date unwittingly asked him to cook for her.
Anyone who has had to eat MREs--or for we graybeards, C-Rats--for months
during deployments will appreciate this.

> ----------
> by Frank Rodgers
> I had a date the other night at my place. On the phone the day before,
> the
>
> girl asked me to "Cook her something she's never had before" for
> dinner.
> After many minutes of scratching my head over what to make, I finally
> settled on something she has DEFINITELY never eaten.
> I got out my trusty case of MRE's. Meal, Ready-to-Eat. Field rations
> that when eaten in their entirety contain 3000+ calories. Here's what
> I
made:
> I took three of the Ham Slices out of their plastic packets, took out
> three of the Pork Chops, three packets of Chicken-a-la-King, and eight
> packets of dehydrated butter noodles and some dehydrated/rehydrated
> rice. I cooked the Ham Slices and Pork Chops in one pan, sauteed in
> shaved garlic and olive oil.
> In another pot, I blended the Chicken a-la-king, noodles, and rice
> together to make a sort of mush that looked suspiciously like
> succotash. I added some spices, and blended everything together in a
> glass pan that I then cooked in the oven for about 35 minutes at 450
> degrees.
> When I took it out, it looked like, well, ham slices, pork chops, and
> a bed of yellow poop. I covered the tops of the meat in the MRE cheese
> (kinda like
> velveeta) and added some green sprinkly thingys from one of my spice
> cans (hey, if it's got green sprinkly thingys on it, it looks fancy
> right?) For dessert, I took four MRE Pound Cakes, mashed 'em up, added

> five packets of cocoa powder, powdered coffee cream, and some water. I
> heated it up and
>
> stirred it until it looked like a sort of chunky gelatinous organism,
> and I sprinkled powdered sugar on top of it.
> Voila--Ranger Pudding.
> For alcoholic drinks, I took the rest of my bottle of Military Special
> Vodka (yes, they DO make a type of liquor named "Military Special"--it
> sells for
>
> $4.35 per fifth) and mixed in four packets of "Electrolytes - 1 each -
> Cherry flavored" (I swear, the packet says that). It looked like an
> eerie kool-aid with sparkles in it (that was the electrolytes I guess...
> could've
> been leftover sand from Egypt).
> I lit two candles, put a vase of wildflowers in the middle, and set
> the table with my best set of Ralph Lauren Academy-series China (that
> shit is flippin EXPENSIVE... my set of 8 place settings cost me over
> $600), and put the alcoholic drink in a crystal wine decanter.
> She came over, and I had some appetizers already made, of MRE
> spaghetti-with-meatballs, set in small cups. She saw the dinner, saw
> the food, and said "This looks INCREDIBLE!!!"
> We dug in, and she was loving the food. Throughout the meal, she kept
> asking me how long it took me to make it, and kept remarking that I
> obviously knew a thing or two about cooking fine meals. She kind of
> balked at the makeshift "wine" I had set out, but after she tried it I
> guess she liked it because she drank four glasses during dinner.
> At the end of the main course, when I served the dessert, she squealed
> with delight at the "Chocolate mousse" I had made. Huh? Chocolate
> what? Okay...
>
> yeah... it's Chocolate Moose. Took me HOURS to make... yup.
> Later on, as we were watching a movie, she excused herself to use my
> restroom. While she was in there, I heard her say softly to herself
> "uh oh"
> and a resounding but petite fart punctuated her utterance of dismay.
> Let the games begin.
> She sprayed about half a can of air freshener (Air Freshener, 1 each,
> Orange scent. Yup. The Army even makes smellgood) and returned to the
> couch, this
>
> time with an obvious pained look.
> After 10 more minutes she excused herself again, and retreated to the
> bathroom for the second time. I could hear her say "What the hell is
> WRONG
>
> with me???," as she again send flatulent shockwaves into the porcelain
> bowl.
> This time, they sounded kinda wet, and I heard the toilet paper roll
> being

>
> employed, and again, LOTS more air freshener.
> Back to the couch. She smiles meekly as she decides to sit on the
> chair instead of next to me. She sits on my chair, knees pulled up to
> her chest,
>
> kind of rocking back and forth slightly. Suddenly, without a word, she
> ROCKETED up and FLEW to the bathroom, slammed the door, and didn't
> come out for 30 minutes.
> I turned the movie up because I didn't want her to hear me laughing so
> hard that tears were streaming down my cheeks.
> She came out with a slightly gray palor to her face, and said "I am
> SOOOOOO sorry. I have NO idea what is wrong with me. I am so
> embarrassed, I can't believe I keep running to your bathroom!!" I gave
> her an Immodium AD, and she finally settled down and relaxed.
> Later on, she asked me again what I had made for dinner, because she
> had enjoyed it so much. I calmly took her into the kitchen and showed
> her all the used MRE bags and packets in the trash can.
> After explaining to her that she had eaten roughly 9,000 calories of
> "Army
>
> food" she turned stark white, looked at me incredulously, and said "I
> ate 9,000 calories or dehydrated food that was made 3 years ago?"
> After I concurred, she grabbed her coat and keys, and took off without
> a
word.
> She called me yesterday. Seems she couldn't shit for 3 days, and when
> she finally did, the smell was so bad, her roommate could smell it
> from down the hall. She also told me she had been working out nonstop
> to combat the high
>
> caloric intake, and that she never wanted me to cook dinner for her
> again,
>
> unless she was PERSONALLY there to inspect the food beforehand.
> It was a fun date. She laughed about it eventually, and said that that
> was
>
> the first time she'd ever crapped in a guy's house on a date. She'd
> been so upset by it she was in tears in the bathroom while I had been
> in tears on the couch.
> I know, I'm an asshole, but it was still a funny night.
>
>
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

1268. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 02:07:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ONCdnXiy5JHNUJvfRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102784

N2EY wrote:
> In article <poadnZ0fIdbBH5vfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>>>Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about your Social
>>>>Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500 years or so
>>
>>>>(pick a decline stat)
>
>
>
>>>I decided a couple decades ago not to depend on SS for retirement. If I get
>>>something from it, great. But I'm not counting on it.
>>
>>SS is not a retirement plan.
>
>
> Agreed. It's supposed to be a safety net.
>
>
>>It is a mistake to count any money from it a a given.
>
>
> Agreed!
>
>>But I do have a few questions about privatized SS.
>>
>>What happens if the Stock market tanks the month before your
>>retirement?
>
>
> You're in trouble.

Indeed. It happened in 2000, it will happen again.

>>Unless there are strong protections, (which will end up a
>>huge drain on the 'Guvmint) there may be people who suddenly find
>>themselves poor.
>
>
> There's a basic rule of investment that return and risk are directly connected.
> If you want low risk you have to accept less return. One would think that even
> a lackluster student like Shrub would understand that concept, but he once
> again proves his basic nature as a forty watt bulb in a hundred watt socket.

Unfortunately, humans often don't act that way. In fact I knew a few
folks that wanted to "get just a little bit more" for their retirement.
Their investment counselors actually allowed them to move their money
from safe investments to risky ones. All for the sake of a few more
dollars a month. Yoiks!

And widespread gambling in the US is testament to that fact.

>
>> What are the politicians going to raid for extra money?
>
>
> They don't look that far ahead. Heck, they're not even concerned about paying
> back the money already borrowed from the SS system.

I guess the money isn't "borrowed" then is it?

The part I look forward to is going to be how they try to blame THAT on
the leeburalls........ 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1269. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 03:07:53 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107745672.978744.71120@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102785

^

1270. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 03:47:33 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-014EDD.19521506022005@newssvr24-ext.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <fGuNd.32069$CM2.10938@fe07.lga>,
"Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote:

> "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns95F5954DEBB3Ea216b130c132d203@63.218.45.20...
> > On Sun 06 Feb 2005 06:50:01a, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in
> > message news:pan.2005.02.06.11.49.52.746939@spamsucks.net:
> >
> > > On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:
> > >
> > > {snippage}
> > >>
> > >> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
> > >> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
> > >> according to news media reports.
> > >>
> > >> The couple had been married for 17 years.
> > >> When asked about the auction, the wife
> > >> said: "I'm giggling."
> > >>
> > >> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> > >> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> > >> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
> > >> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> > >> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> > >> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
> > >> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
> > >
> > >
> > > Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is for
> > > suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but womens
> > > mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR too
> > > many marriages go down the toilet for my money.
> > >
> >
> > I'm glad I'm one of the many exceptions to your rule... With your broad-
> > brush view-point, I would recommend that you avoid marriage entirely
> > because you're already stacking the deck against yourself.
> >
> > -=jd=-
> > --
> > My Current Disposable Email:
> > jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net

> > (Remove YOUR HAT to reply directly)
>
> I've been with my wife for twenty years because shes my best friend.

You found out the secret!

How the hell else are you going to last a long time with someone if they are not your friend. Besides being friends the only other thing required is that you both share the expectations of the marriage like kids, money and who does what.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

1271. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 03:55:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107748527.814134.309260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102787

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > Maybe Algore will jump in and reinvent the internet.
> >
> > I'd just be tickled if he'd "invent" a home address that
didn't
> > have a Tennessee Zip Code!
> >
> > Maybe something in a 92xxx would suit him. Sure would suit
me!
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Does Al actually live in Tennessee, or is he still hanging around
> Washington?

He must be spending some time down here 'cuz every once in a while
they enact the restricted area around his farm in NOTAM's. As if
anyone would WANT to harm Bozo.

Steve, K4YZ

1272. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 04:02:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107748931.062822.263300@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102788

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1107700028.299598.57310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
"K4YZ"
> <k4yz@aol.com> writes:
>
> >> > What's with you, Your Scumminess? Didn't they teach you
radio
> >> >rules at professional radio school?
> >>
> >> What "professional radio school?"
> >
> > That's my point, Lennie. You don't have the training.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Such an ignorant addition to having stated that the
> University of Illinois is just a "correspondence school."

Oh Lennie! I NEVER stated that U of I is "just a correspondence
school".

Yet ANOTHER lie from one who finds it sooooooooooooooo easy to
lie!

> Maybe I'll write MIT or Harvard and see if I can sign up for one
of
> their "correspondence courses" in "professional radio school?"
> On completion they will award me a pretty certificate suitable for
> framing?

If they have correspondence courses, I am sure they will if you
flash the right amount of green or acceptable plastic.

And then you too will have a pretty certificate suitable for
framing.

Just like the rest of us.

Until then, you're still a liar. You're stil a cheat. You're
still deciteful. You're still not licensed nor experienced in the
Amateur Radio Service.

> There ya go!

Yep. There ya go!

> "People who live in glass houses should make love in the
> basement." - Terry Moran

"People who make up stories about thier careers shouldn't give the names of places and dates when those bits of information can be corroborated." - Steve Robeson

1273. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 04:09:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <u6mdnTD_Vvd8dJvfRVn-sw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102789

N2EY wrote:

> In article <YfKdnf1WDbkxD5vfRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <F0aNd.1542$oO.1242@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, robert
>>
>>casey
>>
>>>><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Besides, if something is digital, why would you have to try so hard to
>>>>>make it computer compatible?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Telling "S" from "O" is hard if you don't already
>>>>know from looking at other characters what the speed
>>>>must be.
>
>
>
>>>No, it's easy.
>
>
>
>>>"S" is one time unit on, one time unit off, one time unit on, one time unit
>>>off, one time unit on. Then at least three time units off.
>
>
>
>>>"O" is three time units on, one time unit off, three time units on, one
>>>time
>>>unit off, three time units on. Then at least three time units off.
>
>
>>>The only true ambiguity is between "E" and "T" sent all by themselves.
>>>Unless
>>>you know the speed from some other source, there's no way to tell them

>>>apart.
>
>
>
>>>>Something easy for the brain but hard for
>>>>computers to do when the sender varies his speed.
>
>>>Naw, just requires a bit more software.

hehe, software weenies say that a lot. Problem is not too many believe
them any more....

>>And let's not forget Farnsworth. This will confuse matters a bit.
>
>
> Not at all. Farnsworth spacing only affects the space between letters/numbers,
> not the space between dits and dahs. Hence any properly-designed decoder -
> hardware, software or wetware - will deal with it easily.

like I said...

>
>>I
>>have seen CWGet confuse S for O until it "settles in".
>
>
> Then CWGet needs some work. A human operator with basic skills will not make
> that mistake. dididit doesn't sound anything like dahdahdah.
>
>
>>My guess is that Farnsworth Morse might be involved.
>
>
> Naw, just a software problem.

Some times "just" can be quite a problem

>
>>But it is odd that a binary method requires all that software. ;^)
>
> Who said it was binary?

Quite a few people it would seem.

>>But I think I have the confusion figured out. What is happening is that
>>people are starting by defining Morse code as a 2 state on and off
>>system, and trying to offer proof of that by suddenly changing that to a
>>base 2 system.
>
>
> Something like that. Of course a binary system can deal with a lot more numbers
> than 0 and 1.

Depends on the definition, hehe...

>
>>Can anyone offer a proof that does not switch between the two definitions?
>
> Depends on your definitions.

My other post noted the different definitions. I'll let you check that
out before posting more.

> It's like saying a balloon reaches an altitude of 100,000 feet. You have to
> also say "above what?"

Above sea level. In most cases, that should be a given.

>>I cannot accept Morse as a two part on and off system because there are
>>more than two parts, as is made clear when people try to switch to base 2.
>
>
> It's about time.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1274. Re: Just Face It Lennie, You're Busted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 04:21:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107750066.386741.195400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102790

Len Anderson wrote:

> > There's only ONE "hatred-clouded "avenger" here Lennie, and
that's
> >you.
>
> Poor Stebie, keeps looking in mirror front of his computer thingy
> seeing hisself, can't understand what's been said by others...

I understand perfectly, Lennie.

You are not trustworthy. You are deceitful. You are poorly
prepared in matters pertaining to Amateur Radio.

> > Your self proclaimed quest to see to it that Amateur Radio is
> >formed in your image is well documented.
>
> "In my image?" All that from wanting to eliminate the morse code
> test from license examinations?!?

And you have no other stake in doing that other than to create an
Amateur Radio service that reflects what YOU think it should
be...WITHOUT the virtue of having any vested stake in it.

> Sorry, not a single pair of "lies" in my closet or dresser. Lots
of
> shorts and T-shirts in the dresser, though, will those do?

Lot's of lies from the keyboard of Leonard H. Anderson.

Google archives refer.

> I did have some rather loud-pattern bermuda shorts years ago
> and did have one picture of me taken in those...caught
> unawares by the photographer at a beach picnic.

Now the only "loud" thing is your mouth. Too bad what's coming
out of it is ususally erroneous, deceitful or just plain mean.

> > Your deceptions in this forum are legend.
>
> If truth be known, I'm the illegitimate grandson of Hiram Percy
> Maxim. Since "X-Men" comix hasn't featured my bio yet, I'll
> just put that off to "late publishing schedules."

I have no doubt that you are illegitimate. I can only imagine
what sort of white trash ner-do-well's procreated you.

> > Sucks to be you.
>
> Well, I've pumped down a few near-space environment tests to
> very low Torr values, but didn't do that by myself (a straw does
> that nicely), machinery does it.

I also ahve no doubt that there's a low pressure zone in your
head, Lennie.

That's what a "vacuum" is.

> > Putz.
>
> Is this where we begin hollering Yiddish epithets at one another
> then go have a bagle and schmooze at a Kosher restaurant on
> Fairfax? Oy, gevalt!

You and I shall never schmooze, Lennie.

And I have but one Yiddish epithet to send your way, and it's
"putz".

> "Living in a nudist colony takes all the fun out of Halloween." -
>
Terry
> Moran

At least you'd have everyone "in stitiches" (pardon the pun). No
costume necessary.

Steve, K4YZ

1275. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 04:52:16 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107751936.905673.125580@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102791

^

1276. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 05:33:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050207003351.14151.00000050@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 102793

In article <1107719124.663322.14040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>Instead of Morse Myths, we should start SS Myths. Where's Aaaron Jones
>when you need him?

Sorry, Brian, we can't talk about "SS."

That's a dreaded "nazi" thing that greatly disturbs the Avenging Angle who wasn't born until 10 years after the end of WW2.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

"Time flies like the wind but fruit fllies like bananas." - anon.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1277. Re: Morse code binary?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 05:33:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050207003353.14151.00000051@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 102792

In article <1107721547.097861.156040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>, "bb"
<billybeeper@juno.com> writes:

>Len Anderson wrote:
>> In article <1107619805.103212.155200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
>"bb"
>> <billybeeper@juno.com> writes:
>>
>> >Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> >> That is why when we try to make Morse code computer compatible,
>> >
>> >We?
>>
>> Coslonaut is a ham for all hams, all seasons. He is high tech.
>
>He may be high tech, but code didn't make him that way.

But, but, but...he is a PCTA extra!

Aren't those PCTA extras the pioneering leaders of ham high-tech?

>> >W0EX did not, RIP. He specifically stated that he would send Morse
>> >Code so that computer readers (manned by unworthy no-code Technicians)
>> >could not copy his messages.
>> >
>> >Besides, if something is digital, why would you have to try so hard to
>> >make it computer compatible?
>>
>> It's the only way the coslonaut can become "high-tech?"
>
>Then he's heading down a dead end street. Hopefully he finds something
>else, like amateur near space exploration.

"On the threshold of space!"

<roll up gain on stirring, emotional background music> :-)

>> After all, he is reaching for the threshold of space via surplus helium
>> balloons carrying amateur radio. [pioneering work, important
>> "science"]
>
>Bingo! This is the very same tack that all of the research
>universities use to get grant money. They propose to re-study
>something that has been studied previously. They get the grant money,
>hire a handful of chinese and indian post-grads. They redo the study,

>find exactly the same results, then always state that more research is
>necessary.
>
>And the government falls for it.

Ah...but it is SCIENCE! :-)

>> >Try the null hypothesis. Are you saying the silent periods are
>> >valueless?
>>
>> Coslonaut has gone way too far into reducto ad absurdum regions.
>> By introducing variables unrelated to the basic principle of
>> operation, he can expand his definition into a number of dimensions
>> greater than the number of particles in the entire universe! :-)
>
>Yep. What if the Navy and Coast Guard had dismissed all of those
>"silent periods" while on radio watch?
>
>> But, the use of "intercarrier lack of pulse time" is false. The "inter"
>> means 'within.' In on-off keying "CW" there is NO carrier to be
>> "within." The off time is short, long, or of infinite variation in
>> duration. It's a use of "high-tech bafflegab" for a low-tech subject.
>
>But, but, but....
>
>Len, they're master of it. In one breath, Miccolis says that Morse
>Code is ones and zeroes, marks and spaces, then in the next breath,
>he's back to the correct definition. A master of deception.

Miccolis is a mighty macho moreseman, very high tech, built
his own vacuum tube transmitter in the 1990s, pioneering work
that was! Used "recycled" parts. Didn't cost any more than $100!

>> Morse code is a very primitive form of technology 161 years ago
>> when it first began (as representation of numbers, just numbers).
>> A few years after the first Morse-Vail Telegraphy debut in 1844,
>> the representation of English alphabet and some punctuation was
>> added to the "code." [there is still a dispute of whether or not
>> co-inventor Alfred Vail actually came up with the addition of the
>> alphabet, but that is another subject...such is neither proved nor
>> disproved] Morse code is still a very primitive form of representation
>> of the western language alphabet, numbers and punctuation,
>> regardless of the technology level of the equipment used to
>> communicate in that mode.
>
>Some of these guys were there. They might know Vails actual role...

Heh heh heh. I'm sure they think they were... :-)

However, there IS a website for the Vail Family and much discussion
of what Alfred did insofar as the Morse-Vail Telegraph. The Vail
family bankrolled Sam while he tried (and failed) to improve the inking

system that was the first method of reception - a thin pen marking on paper. No beeps until good old Reggie Fessenden built the first "BFO" using a low-power spark thing...his "heterodyne detector." Ed A. and his SUPERheterodyne didn't show up until 1918.

>> Coslonaut might just think that off times have great value...as in
>> the old hoary expression "silence is golden." If so, he should gilt
>> himself and be silent, quit trying to make a primitive method into
>> high technology.
>
>Now, now, now, Len. The off times must be important. It's the only
>way the ARRL can explain away how they can send a supposedly "Morse
>Code Exam" at 13-15 WPM and still claim it meets the FCC's 5WPM rate.

I still find it strange that the FCC maintains a morse code test for amateur privileges below 30 MHz some 161 years after the first Morse-Vail Telegraph system started up.

>And if these guys silenced themselves, then where will we ever get gems
>like, "...A morse code exam would be a deterrent to morse code use.
>N2EY"
>
>Hi, hi!

Well, I can well imagine that the ARRL would collect all those "gems" in a book for sale on-line (shipping charges extra). Prolly $19.95 at 100 pages. Profit maker. Collectors' item.

I gotta love the hairy and hoary old slogan, "Morse gets through when nothing else will!" That one's been around longer than I have, I think.

Next to that is the "reason" for maintaining that code test "so that American hams can talk to foreign hams in the 'universal language' of morse code!" Like all U.S. amateurs are "supposed" to talk to foreigners instead of the 700+ thousand licensees on U.S. soil?

Oh, yeah, and the ARRL never ever lobbied for anything in regards to morse code and it was "entirely" the FCC's fault in dropping the maximum rate to 5 WPM (allegedly 5, but aperiodic to 15 for individual characters). ARRL is NEVER at fault...it's always the fault of someone else. League and morsemen can do no wrong.

Did dit.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

"Why is the word 'abbreviation' so long?" - anon. question

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1278. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 06:36:42 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107758202.236790.159320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102794

^

1279. Re: Computer-Read Morse (Was Re: Morse Code Binary?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 07:18:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107760731.601685.50900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102795

Len Anderson wrote:

> Morsemen, hoping to show they are "superior" to mere machines
> as well as modern technology, will insist and insist that their
human
> abilities (honed to a fine edge) are oh-so-much-better than any
(hack,
> ptui) computer thingy.

So far, it's true. Do you have a problem with the truth, Lennie?

Oh...wait...That was a rhetorical question...never mind.

> They can evidently work miracles using
> morse code mode communications, far beyond the best that can be
> done by all other radio services and commercial communications.

So far, Lennie, you're the only one saying that.

> "Today is the tomorrow you worried about yesterday..." - anon.

"Today is the tomorrow that Lennie's surprised to have made it to
considering how many people he's lied to and ticked off in his life"
~~ Steve Robeson

Steve, K4YZ

1280. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 07:30:25 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <IOENd.150726$K7.67869@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 102796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

1. I'm 26, a radio nut, and single.
2. I do have female friends who 'spin out' out my hobby.
3. I don't watch TV or listen to domestic radio
4. I agree with the majority of posts that say one accepts thier wife/gf's hobby and they accept yours
5. If this guy lost all his baseball (perhaps he should play cricket) stuff and it came to this he never really had a true relationship with his wife.
6. It's a sad world today, where most guys my age in VK, make sure they have assets listed with lawyers in thier own right before they ever move in with a girl, hence the reason that all-without exception-my mates still live at home with thier parents.
7. He obviously never read the Amateur's Code "never place hobby above work, family and other commitments"

VK3HAG
"Lloyd" <mrlloyd@mrlloydsoffice.org> wrote in message
news:cu5p69$v1p$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
> news:6olc019b27uck3e87s6806mss3bcq78udm@4ax.com...
> >
> > My wife never had to work for my hobby. Before we married we
> > discussed it. I had been a ham for years before we met. I told her
> > that I was an Amateur Radio Operator for years before we met and I
> > would be one long after she's gone. If she couldn't accept that, she
> > would have to move on. Likewise, I never tried to discourage or
> > change things about my wife. Those things a person likes and
> > dislikes, or their hobbies, etc, are part of what makes them the
> > persons they are. I believe that if we take those things from a
> > person, there will be a hole in their life and they will no longer be
> > the person we met and fell in love with. Of course, it is different
> > if that person changes hobbies, etc. on their own, but it is not good
> > to take it from them.
> >
> > To include in their budget, support for each other's hobbies is not a
> > bad thing, but to break their budget over any one hobby is not a good
> > thing. My wife never went hungry because I bought a radio.
> >
> >
> > Buck
> > N4PGw
> > --
> > 73 for now

> > Buck
> > N4PGW
> >
>
>
> You have Diarrhea Of The Mouth. Even your qrz listing reeks
> of Oral Diarrhea.
>
> Lloyd
>
>
>

1281. Re: Operation with Expired License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 07:32:52 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <EQENd.150729$K7.20498@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 102797

Correct, but an Aussie can apply for an "Apparatus Licence" (you must
already hold a Certificate of Proficiency) from 1 day to 5 years.

VK3HAG

"Barry OGrady" <god_freee_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:hborv05g99j7udiptunusk49dlngqr742r@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 06:38:43 -0800 (PST), "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>
> >On 14 Jan 2005 06:15:16 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >>IIRC, Japanese amateur radio operator licenses never expire, and
> >>Canadian amateur licenses expire when the licensee is 125 years old. Or
> >>something like that. In any event, their license terms are so long that
> >>they outlive the licensee. One problem with doing that sort of thing
> >>here is being able to reissue callsigns.
> >
> > What many Administrations do is to issue as lifetime operator
> > license and a station license renewable by paying a fee and
> > getting a validation sticker just like an auto license plate.
>
> Its a bit like that in Australia. An amateur certificate of proficiency,
which
> entitles the holder to hold an amateur license, is for life, but the
license must
> be renewed each year.
>
> >--
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
> -Barry
> ========
> Web page: http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
> Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

1282. Re: ? ? ? Have You Heard The Good News? ? ? ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 07:38:36 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <0WENd.150733$K7.136764@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 102798

And on the seventh day HE gave us Marconi & Tesla.

I go to church every Sunday, when I watch my team play Aussie Rules
football..the only true religion in Australia

And as Kent Brockman once said "Christian, Hindu, Islam..tonight we reveal
which one is the true religion"

PS. Ever notice that Simpsons is about the only show of my generation to
feature Amateur Radio? (Patty & Selma are hams)

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1107279517.389249.14340@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Ham Guy wrote:
>
> > What sane person could possibly want to worship a god that condemns
> > people to eternal torture simply because they don't believe in him,
> or
> > because they want more proof of his existence than what's written in
> > an ancient book of fairy tales?
>
> I can see three aliens sitting in their spacecraft lauging it up
> over a few of what ever aliens drink, telling the tale: "...and then
> Zork flames this bush with a phaser blast, uses his old ventriloquist
> voice and tells this old guy to......"
>
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

1283. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 07:45:40 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!

STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!

1284. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 07:47:53 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1107762473.721627.81200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

YOU'RE A FAG.

1285. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 07:51:08 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07>
Source record: 102801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
>
> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!

Or else what?

1286. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 08:21:04 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107764464.518932.198800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102802

^

1287. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 11:29:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107775795.002772.250520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102803

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > >
> >>N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>In article <7stNd.29333$xt.17700@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector"
> > >
> > <CL@barahmas.com>
> > >
> >>>writes:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
> >>>>news:20050205195742.11141.00000152@mb-m02.aol.com...
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>In article <ou7Nd.29092$xt.13666@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector"
> >>>>><CL@barahmas.com>
> >>>>>writes:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>Oh gee -- the USA ARS License numbers are decreasing about 2%
in
> > >
> > 5
> > >
> >>>>>years
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>Lessee...4,812/699,580.... Actually it's less than 1% loss in a
> > >
> > little
> > >
> >>>>>under 5 years!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>At that rate in 500 years, we will be a dead dodo (Ain't
> > >
> > statistics
> > >

> >>>>>>>wundeful wunderful?)
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>>Yup. How about this one: If a number decreases 2% every 5
years,
> >
> > it will
> >
> >>>>>>be down to just about half the original number in 170 years.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Gawd we are doomed
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Yeah!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about your
> >
> > Social
> >
> >>>>Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500
> >
> > years or so
> >
> >>>>(pick a decline stat)
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I decided a couple decades ago not to depend on SS for retirement.
> >
> > If I get
> >
> >>>something from it, great. But I'm not counting on it.
> >>
> >>SS is not a retirement plan. It is a mistake to count any money
from
> >
> > it
> >
> >>a a given.
> >>
> >>But I do have a few questions about privatized SS.
> >>
> >> What happens if the Stock market tanks the month before your
> >>retirement?
> >
> >
> > Must your IRA all be in the market? Retirement investment must
include

> > safer places to park your money.
> >
> > Look at the Federal retirement system.
> >
> > Look at your state retirement system.
> >
> > Look at your teacher's retirement system.
> >
> > Is is all in the market?
> >
> > Is it all out of the market?
> >
> > Or is it a balance of the two?
>
>
> Greed, that potential bad character that we have tapped and used for

> good in out semi capitalist system, is an almost irresistible pull on

> some people.

I'm sure that greed doens't exist in semi-socialist sytems, so why
don't we just get it over with and switch?

> I know quite a few people who during the mid to late '90's were so
> impressed by the stock market goings on, that they put all or most of

> their retirement investments in risky, high yielding investments. A
> couple invested exclusively in Tech stocks. Guess how much money they

> have today? (answer - not a whole lot) They won't be retiring early
or
> soon.

Were those retirement investments outside of a conventional pension
plan?

> On the other hand, I was very careful with my retirement
investments,
> and didn't lose anything. All I did was take a hit in my earning
rate.
> Until the bubble burst though, Len wasn't the only person that thinks

> I'm a dimbulb!

Aren't you a University of PA employee?

Just how much control do you have over your state employee and school
employee retirement funds?

> >> What are the politicians going to raid for extra money?
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >

> >
> > They'll start running drugs again. Americans love drugs.
>
> HOWL!

Just an idea. I'm sure it's never been tried before.

1288. Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 11:35:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107776110.729152.270720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102804

Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com wrote:
> Good News!
>
> Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
> life has ended?

Yet another unsolicited rendering of religious fervor...Just what the world needs...Yet another "evangelist" to "save" us from someone else's religion.

I am in the wrong business.

Instead of saving lives, I will save souls...Put up an internet "crusade", then evenutally an HF broadcast station from which to solicit tons of donations from sick, dependent and scared people who think if they don't send me $10 right now they are going straight to Hell.

Eventually I can get a real, live satellite program of my own and really rake in the big bucks. Then I can allow myself to be "found out" having the same sex that every other heterosexual male in the world enjoys, take a huge golden parachute severance pay from my now-mega-million "congregation" and retire to some South Pacific island of my own.

Of course then I will have to contend with Dieter Stussy daring me to 'come out and argue the issues' over donations.

I was going to pursue a career in quasi-professional electronics engineering by hijacking others proprietary work, but they told me some idiot in California already tried that schtick...Now they look out for guys like that! My luck...a day late and a dollar short. Wonder who the idiot was...?!?!

Steve in Winchester, TN

Where the Bible Belt meets the Chastity Belt...On the Floor!

1289. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 11:46:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107776787.182051.256490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102805

N2EY wrote:
> In article <poadnZ0fIdbBH5vfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
> >>>Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about your
Social
> >>>Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500
years or so
> >
> >>>(pick a decline stat)
>
> >> I decided a couple decades ago not to depend on SS for retirement.
If I get
> >> something from it, great. But I'm not counting on it.
> >
> >SS is not a retirement plan.
>
> Agreed. It's supposed to be a safety net.
>
> >It is a mistake to count any money from it a a given.
>
> Agreed!
> >
> >But I do have a few questions about privatized SS.
> >
> >What happens if the Stock market tanks the month before your
> >retirement?
>
> You're in trouble.
>
> >Unless there are strong protections, (which will end up a
> >huge drain on the 'Guvmint) there may be people who suddenly find
> >themselves poor.
>
> There's a basic rule of investment that return and risk are directly
connected.
> If you want low risk you have to accept less return. One would think
that even
> a lackluster student like Shrub would understand that concept, but he
once
> again proves his basic nature as a forty watt bulb in a hundred watt
socket.
>
> > What are the politicians going to raid for extra money?

>
> They don't look that far ahead. Heck, they're not even concerned
about paying
> back the money already borrowed from the SS system.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

So Jim thinks that Bush will mandate Tech Stocks as the only investment strategy for SS diversions? How about Gold? OJ futures? Shirley Bush will see the wisdom in futures?

Jim thinks that one could not tailor their SS diversions according to their nearness to retirement?

Jim holds no stock market investments. Jim's pension plan holds no stock market investments. Jim is a 100% treasury note kind of guy. Jim is safe. Jim is smart.

Jim thinks Jim is the only 100 watt bulb around. Jim could get us to the moon and back. Hi!

1290. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 12:41:57 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <nrJNd.38046$uW6.12156@fe07.lga>
Source record: 102806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-014EDD.19521506022005@newssvr24-ext.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <fGuNd.32069$CM2.10938@fe07.lga>,
> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote:
>
> > "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net> wrote in message
> > news:Xns95F5954DEBB3Ea216b130c132d203@63.218.45.20...
> > > On Sun 06 Feb 2005 06:50:01a, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in
> > > message news:pan.2005.02.06.11.49.52.746939@spamsucks.net:
> > >
> > > > On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:
> > > >
> > > > {snippage}
> > > >>
> > > >> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
> > > >> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
> > > >> according to news media reports.
> > > >>
> > > >> The couple had been married for 17 years.
> > > >> When asked about the auction, the wife
> > > >> said: "I'm giggling."
> > > >>
> > > >> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> > > >> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> > > >> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
> > > >> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> > > >> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> > > >> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
> > > >> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is
> for
> > > > suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but
> womens
> > > > mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR
> too
> > > > many marriages go down the toilet for my money.
> > > >
> > >
> > > I'm glad I'm one of the many exceptions to your rule... With your
> broad-
> > > brush view-point, I would recommend that you avoid marriage entirely

> > > because you're already stacking the deck against yourself.
> > >
> > > -=jd=-
> > > --
> > > My Current Disposable Email:
> > > jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net
> > > (Remove YOUR HAT to reply directly)
> >
> > I've been with my wife for twenty years because shes my best friend.
>
> You found out the secret!
>
> How the hell else are you going to last a long time with someone if they
> are not your friend. Besides being friends the only other thing required
> is that you both share the expectations of the marriage like kids, money
> and who does what.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Well it only makes sense. Why live with someone you don't like. Theres got to be some passion. Even when their your friend it's hard work, raising kids and everything else.

B.H.

1291. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 13:55:19 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <awKNd.38225$ye7.18408@fe07.lga>
Source record: 102807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1107762473.721627.81200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> YOU'RE A FAG.
>

YOUR A LANDSHARK.

1292. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 13:57:53 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <AyKNd.38226$JJ7.15170@fe07.lga>
Source record: 102808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
>
> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> >
> > STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
>
> Or else what?
>
>

Or else he'll key up on your ass and engage his reverb unit. :))

B.H.

1293. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 14:02:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050207090200.465$sU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 102809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote:
> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> news:1107762473.721627.81200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > YOU'RE A FAG.
> >
>
> YOUR A LANDSHARK.
>
Nope. He's N8WWM.

http://groups-beta.google.com/groups?q=n8wwm%20felon

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

1294. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 14:27:02 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 102810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
>
> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
>>
>> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
>
> Or else what?

He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

1295. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 18:56:25 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cu8dkokmi1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102811

bb wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>In article <7stNd.29333$xt.17700@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector"
>>>
>>>><CL@barahmas.com>
>>>
>>>>>writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:20050205195742.11141.00000152@mb-m02.aol.com...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>In article <ou7Nd.29092$xt.13666@fed1read07>, "Caveat Lector"
>>>>>>><CL@barahmas.com>
>>>>>>>writes:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Oh gee -- the USA ARS License numbers are decreasing about 2%
>
> in
>
>>>5
>>>
>>>
>>>>years
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Lessee...4,812/699,580.... Actually it's less than 1% loss in a
>>>

>>>little
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>under 5 years!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>At that rate in 500 years, we will be a dead dodo (Ain't
>>>
>>>statistics
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>>wundeful wunderful?)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Yup. How about this one: If a number decreases 2% every 5
>
> years,
>
>>>it will
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>be down to just about half the original number in 170 years.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Gawd we are doomed
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Yeah!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about your
>>>
>>>Social
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500
>>>
>>>years or so
>>>
>>>
>>>>>(pick a decline stat)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I decided a couple decades ago not to depend on SS for retirement.
>>>
>>>If I get
>>>
>>>

>>>>>something from it, great. But I'm not counting on it.
>>>>
>>>>SS is not a retirement plan. It is a mistake to count any money
>
> from
>
>>>it
>>>
>>>
>>>>a a given.
>>>>
>>>>But I do have a few questions about privatized SS.
>>>>
>>>> What happens if the Stock market tanks the month before your
>>>>retirement?
>>>
>>>
>>>Must your IRA all be in the market? Retirement investment must
>
> include
>
>>>safer places to park your money.
>>>
>>>Look at the Federal retirement system.
>>>
>>>Look at your state retirement system.
>>>
>>>Look at your teacher's retirement system.
>>>
>>>Is is all in the market?
>>>
>>>Is it all out of the market?
>>>
>>>Or is it a balance of the two?
>>
>>
>> Greed, that potential bad character that we have tapped and used for
>>good in out semi capitalist system, is an almost irresistible pull on
>>some people.
>
>
> I'm sure that greed doens't exist in semi-socialist sytems, so why
> don't we just get it over with and switch?

Huh? I don't understand.

>
>> I know quite a few people who during the mid to late '90's were so
>>impressed by the stock market goings on, that they put all or most of
>>their retirement investments in risky, high yielding investments. A
>>couple invested exclusively in Tech stocks. Guess how much money they

>>have today? (answer - not a whole lot) They won't be retiring early
>> *or* soon.
>
> Were those retirement investments outside of a conventional pension
> plan?

No. Where I am at, there are two retirement plan options. One is with the state, and the other allows you to "customize" your plan with your investment options.

>
>> On the other hand, I was very careful with my retirement
>> investments,and didn't lose anything. All I did was take a hit in my
>>earning rate.

>>Until the bubble burst though, Len wasn't the only person that thinks
>>I'm a dimbulb!
>
>
> Aren't you a University of PA employee?

Penn State. U of Pa is the one in Philadelphia.

> Just how much control do you have over your state employee and school
> employee retirement funds?

Those two plans. We also have an additional TDA possibility from various companies. On the state plan, there is not a lot you can do. On the other plan, there are more investment options.

>>>> What are the politicians going to raid for extra money?
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>They'll start running drugs again. Americans love drugs.
>>
>> HOWL!
>
>
> Just an idea. I'm sure it's never been tried before.
>

- Mike KB3EIA -

1296. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 20:24:52 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <o8QNd.22797$uc.4977@trnddc03>
Source record: 102812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
> >
> > "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> > news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> >>
> >> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
> >
> > Or else what?
>
> He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb

Just to be sure I've got this straight, N8WWM (Doug Adair) is forging the
real Landshark...and that's his typical M.O.? That's -real- smart.
```

1297. : : : Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven :

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 20:47:20 UTC
From: Good_News_12000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107809240.464804.310130@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102813

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

1298. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 21:44:19 UTC
From: Scan da bands <RK@msn.com>
Message-ID: <4207dceb$0$13954$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 102814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
>>
>> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
>> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
>>>
>>> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
>>
>> Or else what?
>
> He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
>
Someone should slap some sense into that a-hole.
```

1299. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 22:39:10 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga>
Source record: 102815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:o8QNd.22797$uc.4977@trnddc03...
>
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> >
> > "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
> > >
> > > "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >>
> > >> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> > >>
> > >> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Or else what?
> >
> > He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
>
> Just to be sure I've got this straight, N8WWM (Doug Adair) is forging the
> real Landshark...and that's his typical M.O.? That's -real- smart.
>
>

Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
impressed.

B.H.
```

1300. : : : Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven :

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 23:05:29 UTC
From: Good_News_12000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107817529.850619.238320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102816

scroll to the top of the page

1301. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 23:18:43 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <nHSNd.13970$uc.9609@trnddc02>
Source record: 102817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...

> Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> impressed.

Yeah...I think I've butted heads with this butt head before. <sigh>

1302. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 23:47:53 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <AbTNd.34318$vs2.5483@fe06.lga>
Source record: 102818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:nHSNd.13970$uc.9609@trnddc02...
>
> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
> news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
>
> > Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> > impressed.
>
> Yeah...I think I've butted heads with this butt head before. <sigh>
>
>
>

I don't think he has a head. Kind of like that chicken that lived after a decap. Just enough brain stem left to execute motor activity and stir shit on Usenet. :)

B.H.

1303. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 23:49:47 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107820187.076106.73180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102819

Michael Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>bb wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:

> >>>safer places to park your money.
> >>>
> >>>Look at the Federal retirement system.
> >>>
> >>>Look at your state retirement system.
> >>>
> >>>Look at your teacher's retirement system.
> >>>
> >>>Is is all in the market?
> >>>
> >>>Is it all out of the market?
> >>>
> >>>Or is it a balance of the two?
> >>
> >> Greed, that potential bad character that we have tapped and used
for
> >>good in out semi capitalist system, is an almost irresistible pull
on
> >>some people.
> >
> > I'm sure that greed doens't exist in semi-socialist sytems, so why
> > don't we just get it over with and switch?
>
> Huh? I don't understand.

"Social" security. Get it? And some of the greediest people were
socialists.

> >> I know quite a few people who during the mid to late '90's were so
> >>impressed by the stock market goings on, that they put all or most
of
> >>their retirement investments in risky, high yielding investments. A
> >>couple invested exclusively in Tech stocks. Guess how much money
they
> >>have today? (answer - not a whole lot) They won't be retiring early
> >> *or* soon.

> >
> > Were those retirement investments outside of a conventional pension
> > plan?
>
> No. Where I am at, there are two retirement plan options. One is with

> the state, and the other allows you to "customize" your plan with
your
> investment options.

Do they limit your options to somewhat wise, conservative investments?

Or are you options wide open? Probably not.

The Federal Government would likely put limits on the types of
investments you could make with your SS diversion. No gold and
platinum futures, for example. As you graduate to an older age group,
they would probably change the investment vehicles available. Gosh!

But that's just me thinking out loud. I heard from Al Franken that
Bush doesn't want any ideas on this matter.

> >> On the other hand, I was very careful with my retirement
> >> investments,and didn't lose anything. All I did was take a hit in
my
> >>earning rate.
>
> >>Until the bubble burst though, Len wasn't the only person that
thinks
> >>I'm a dimbulb!
> >
> > Aren't you a University of PA employee?
>
> Penn State. U of Pa is the one in Philadelphia.

The point is that you do have a government pension plan.

> > Just how much control do you have over your state employee and
school
> > employee retirement funds?
>
> Those two plans. We also have an additional TDA possibility from
> various companies. On the state plan, there is not a lot you can do.
On
> the other plan, there are more investment options.

TDA? Is that like a supplemental, tax-deferred investment option?
Ohio has a 457, "deferred compensation," plan, which is in addition to
the public employees retirement system.

http://nrsretire.nrsservicecenter.com/nrs/CDA/nrsEmployeeHome/?Site=Ohio

Ohio also has five (5) public employees retirement systems for some
reason.

http://ohio.gov/Retirement.stm

If you want to see something scary, look at the Federal Employees Retirement System. They tell you right up front that SS is "the rest of your retirement." Not the so called safety net that Jim and FDR described it as.

1304. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 23:52:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107820363.429716.186650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102820

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <poadnZ0fIdbBH5vfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >
> > They don't look that far ahead. Heck, they're not even concerned
about paying
> > back the money already borrowed from the SS system.
>
> I guess the money isn't "borrowed" then is it?
>
> The part I look forward to is going to be how they try to blame THAT
on
> the leeburalls........ 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Shouldn't be a problem. For all the democratic years that the fund has
been raided, noone has ever tried to pay it back.

1305. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-07 23:57:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107820643.031159.176550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102821

K4YZ wrote:
>
> "People who make up stories about thier careers shouldn't give
the
> names of places and dates when those bits of information can be
> corroborated." - Steve Robeson

Steve, that reminds me of a guy who slipped up and claimed involvement
in seven hostile actions.

1306. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 00:11:14 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <CsTNd.22062$uc.5201@trnddc09>
Source record: 102822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
news:AbTNd.34318$vs2.5483@fe06.lga...
>
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:nHSNd.13970$uc.9609@trnddc02...
> >
> > "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
> > news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
> >
> > > Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> > > impressed.
> >
> > Yeah...I think I've butted heads with this butt head before. <sigh>
> >
> >
> >
>
> I don't think he has a head. Kind of like that chicken that lived after a
> decap. Just enough brain stem left to execute motor activity and stir shit
> on Usenet. :)

Ah, Mike the Headless Chicken.

http://home.nycap.rr.com/useless/headless_chicken/
```

1307. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 00:40:30 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107823230.635819.23440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Brian Hill wrote:
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:o8QNd.22797$uc.4977@trnddc03...
> >
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> > >
> > > "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > > news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
> > > >
> > > > "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > >>
> > > >> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> > > >>
> > > >> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > Or else what?
> > >
> > > He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
> >
> > Just to be sure I've got this straight, N8WWM (Doug Adair) is
forging the
> > real Landshark...and that's his typical M.O.? That's -real- smart.
> >
> >
>
> Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> impressed.
>
> B.H.

I'd like to google your man-pussy. I'd stroke my cock until it's like a
rock, then plunge it deep into the tunnel of your man love!
```

1308. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 09:21:39 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <3ucmd2-7ko.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 102824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Hill wrote:

>
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
>>
>> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
>> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
>> >
>> > STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
>>
>> Or else what?
>
> Or else he'll key up on your ass and engage his reverb unit. :))

No kidding. Why is it, just about anywhere you go, there's some old hick
who just has to act like he's two on the radio?

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

1309. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 10:12:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
Message-ID: <20050208051259.06291.00000024@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 102825

In article <ONCdnXiy5JHNUJvfRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <poadnZ0fIdbBH5vfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>>>>Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about your
>>>>>Social
>>>>>Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500 years or
>>>>>so (pick a decline stat)

>>>>I decided a couple decades ago not to depend on SS for retirement. If I
>>>>get something from it, great. But I'm not counting on it.

>>>SS is not a retirement plan.

>> Agreed. It's supposed to be a safety net.

>>>It is a mistake to count any money from it a a given.

>> Agreed!

>>>But I do have a few questions about privatized SS.

>>>What happens if the Stock market tanks the month before your
>>>retirement?

>> You're in trouble.

>Indeed. It happened in 2000, it will happen again.

Of course.

>>>Unless there are strong protections, (which will end up a
>>>huge drain on the 'Guvmint) there may be people who suddenly find
>>>themselves poor.

>> There's a basic rule of investment that return and risk are directly
>> connected.
>> If you want low risk you have to accept less return. One would think that
>> even
>> a lackluster student like Shrub would understand that concept, but he once
>> again proves his basic nature as a forty watt bulb in a hundred watt
>> socket.

>Unfortunately, humans often don't act that way. In fact I knew a few
>folks that wanted to "get just a little bit more" for their retirement.
>Their investment counselors actually allowed them to move their money

>from safe investments to risky ones. All for the sake of a few more
>dollars a month. Yoiks!

One reason Social Security was created in the first place was that a large number of people had lost their life savings in the markets of the 1920s. Not just the stock market - the bond markets and even banks played loose and fast.

One of the prime directives of Social Security was that it was the backup, the low-risk survival alternative.

>And widespread gambling in the US is testament to that fact.

There's nothing wrong with gambling *if* you recognize the real chances of winning *and* don't gamble with money you cannot afford to lose.

>>> What are the politicians going to raid for extra money?

>> They don't look that far ahead. Heck, they're not even concerned about
>> paying back the money already borrowed from the SS system.

>I guess the money isn't "borrowed" then is it?

Check this out:

Shrub just submitted his new budget. Not surprisingly, there are all kinds of cuts for domestic programs, and even some for veterans' benefits.

But what's really interesting is that the spending plan only goes out one year - if that far. Previous budgets plan out 5 years so lawmakers can see "longterm" effects and trends. The new budget proposal doesn't show any of that. Heck, according to the news, American troops will be out of Iraq before year's end because there's no money in the budget for them after about October of this year.

Cool, huh?

>The part I look forward to is going to be how they try to blame THAT on
>the leeburalls........ 8^)

I dunno, but they'll find a way. It's always the other party's fault.

Ask Len - he's our resident liberal.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1310. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 12:08:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0gah01l9v60ii0hmo8bqr61tcqh9i1anb7@4ax.com>
Source record: 102826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 06 Feb 2005 12:52:05 -0500, Buck <iam@this.site> wrote:
aught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
>>it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
>>of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
>>200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
>>in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes

>On Sat, 5 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, "morris" <morris@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
>>What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
>>radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
>>just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her

>>The couple had been married for 17 years.
>>When asked about the auction, the wife
>>said: "I'm giggling."
>>
>>-- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
>>c>>your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
>
>
>My wife never had to work for my hobby. Before we married we
>discussed it. I had been a ham for years before we met. I told her
>that I was an Amateur Radio Operator for years before we met and I
>would be one long after she's gone. If she couldn't accept that, she
>would have to move on. Likewise, I never tried to discourage or
>change things about my wife. Those things a person likes and
>dislikes, or their hobbies, etc, are part of what makes them the
>persons they are. I believe that if we take those things from a
>person, there will be a hole in their life and they will no longer be
>the person we met and fell in love with. Of course, it is different
>if that person changes hobbies, etc. on their own, but it is not good
>to take it from them.
>
>To include in their budget, support for each other's hobbies is not a
>bad thing, but to break their budget over any one hobby is not a good
>thing. My wife never went hungry because I bought a radio.

Very wise words, from someone who understands human interaction. Too
often one person or the other in a couple thinks they can "reform" the
other person's bad habits. This only leads to misery and an eventual
breakup. When you sign up to the "for better or worse" deal, that
means you take the whole package, not just the parts you like. My wife

had to deal with my radios, and I had to deal with her smoking (Which fortunately she gave up when she was pregnant). That's is the nature of compromise. You compromise because underneath those surface differences, there is a greater bond of affection which should transcend those surface differences. But it should be everything in moderation. If important things in life are being postponed to address a specific hobby, then it's time for a little introspection.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

>
>Buck
>N4PGw

1311. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 12:16:39 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <4208ADA7.B841F9BD@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 102827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Hall wrote:

> On Sun, 06 Feb 2005 12:52:05 -0500, Buck <iam@this.site> wrote:
> aught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> >>it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
> >>of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> >>200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> >>in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
>
> >On Sat, 5 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, "morris" <morris@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >>There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
> >>What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
> >>radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
> >>just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her
>
> >>The couple had been married for 17 years.
> >>When asked about the auction, the wife
> >>said: "I'm giggling."
> >>
> >>-- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> >>c>>your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
> >
> >
> >My wife never had to work for my hobby. Before we married we
> >discussed it. I had been a ham for years before we met. I told her
> >that I was an Amateur Radio Operator for years before we met and I
> >would be one long after she's gone. If she couldn't accept that, she
> >would have to move on. Likewise, I never tried to discourage or
> >change things about my wife. Those things a person likes and
> >dislikes, or their hobbies, etc, are part of what makes them the
> >persons they are. I believe that if we take those things from a
> >person, there will be a hole in their life and they will no longer be
> >the person we met and fell in love with. Of course, it is different
> >if that person changes hobbies, etc. on their own, but it is not good
> >to take it from them.
> >
> >To include in their budget, support for each other's hobbies is not a
> >bad thing, but to break their budget over any one hobby is not a good
> >thing. My wife never went hungry because I bought a radio.
>
> Very wise words, from someone who understands human interaction. Too
> often one person or the other in a couple thinks they can "reform" the

> other person's bad habits. This only leads to misery and an eventual
> breakup. When you sign up to the "for better or worse" deal, that
> means you take the whole package, not just the parts you like. My wife
> had to deal with my radios, and I had to deal with her smoking (Which
> fortunately she gave up when she was pregnant). That's is the nature
> of compromise. You compromise because underneath those surface
> differences, there is a greater bond of affection which should
> transcend those surface differences. But it should be everything in
> moderation. If important things in life are being postponed to address
> a specific hobby, then it's time for a little introspection.

Just remember that life is uncertain.
You do your best, but still...
Some men marry women,
hoping they won't change.
Some women marry men,
hoping they will.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1312. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 14:17:40 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cuahm4$102g$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102828

N2EY wrote:
> In article <ONCdnXiy5JHNUJvfRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <poadnZ0fIdbBH5vfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>
>>>>>Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about your
>>>>>Social
>>>>>Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500 years or
>>>>>so (pick a decline stat)
>
>
>
>>>>I decided a couple decades ago not to depend on SS for retirement. If I
>>>>get something from it, great. But I'm not counting on it.
>
>
>>>>SS is not a retirement plan.
>
>>>Agreed. It's supposed to be a safety net.
>
>>>>It is a mistake to count any money from it a a given.
>
>>>Agreed!
>
>>>>But I do have a few questions about privatized SS.
>
>
>>>>What happens if the Stock market tanks the month before your
>>>>retirement?
>
>>>You're in trouble.
>
>>Indeed. It happened in 2000, it will happen again.
>
> Of course.
>
>>>>Unless there are strong protections, (which will end up a

>>>>huge drain on the 'Guvmint) there may be people who suddenly find
>>>>themselves poor.
>
>
>>>There's a basic rule of investment that return and risk are directly
>>>connected.
>>>If you want low risk you have to accept less return. One would think that
>>>even
>>>a lackluster student like Shrub would understand that concept, but he once
>>>again proves his basic nature as a forty watt bulb in a hundred watt
>>>socket.
>
>
>>Unfortunately, humans often don't act that way. In fact I knew a few
>>folks that wanted to "get just a little bit more" for their retirement.
>>Their investment counselors actually allowed them to move their money
>
>>from safe investments to risky ones. All for the sake of a few more
>
>>dollars a month. Yoiks!
>
>
> One reason Social Security was created in the first place was that a large
> number of people had lost their life savings in the markets of the 1920s. Not
> just the stock market - the bond markets and even banks played loose and fast.
>
> One of the prime directives of Social Security was that it was the backup, the
> low-risk survival alternative.
>
>
>>And widespread gambling in the US is testament to that fact.
>
>
> There's nothing wrong with gambling *if* you recognize the real chances of
> winning *and* don't gamble with money you cannot afford to lose.
>
>>>> What are the politicians going to raid for extra money?
>
>>>They don't look that far ahead. Heck, they're not even concerned about
>>>paying back the money already borrowed from the SS system.
>
>>I guess the money isn't "borrowed" then is it?
>
> Check this out:
>
> Shrub just submitted his new budget. Not surprisingly, there are all kinds of
> cuts for domestic programs, and even some for veterans' benefits.

The other shoe is dropping now.

> But what's really interesting is that the spending plan only goes out one year
> - if that far. Previous budgets plan out 5 years so lawmakers can see
> "longterm" effects and trends. The new budget proposal doesn't show any of
> that. Heck, according to the news, American troops will be out of Iraq before
> year's end because there's no money in the budget for them after about October
> of this year.
>
> Cool, huh?

Morse code testing will probably be dropped dropped before we are out of
there. That isn't being cynical or anything, just looking at the
situation and history. It would be unconscionable to leave while the
country is in such turmoil. When we do this sort of thing, it is almost
always a many year effort. My estimate is 10 years, assuming no other
involvement in the area.

>>The part I look forward to is going to be how they try to blame THAT on
>>the leeburalls........ 8^)
>
>
> I dunno, but they'll find a way. It's always the other party's fault.
>
> Ask Len - he's our resident liberal.

But it isn't a Morse code testing issue.....

- mike KB3EIA -

1313. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 14:52:32 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cuajng$104o$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102829

bb wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>bb wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>>>>safer places to park your money.
>>>>>
>>>>>Look at the Federal retirement system.
>>>>>
>>>>>Look at your state retirement system.
>>>>>
>>>>>Look at your teacher's retirement system.
>>>>>
>>>>>Is is all in the market?
>>>>>
>>>>>Is it all out of the market?
>>>>>
>>>>>Or is it a balance of the two?
>>>>
>>>> Greed, that potential bad character that we have tapped and used
>
> for
>
>>>>good in out semi capitalist system, is an almost irresistible pull
>
> on
>
>> >>some people.
>>
>>>I'm sure that greed doens't exist in semi-socialist sytems, so why
>>>don't we just get it over with and switch?
>>
>>Huh? I don't understand.

>
>
> "Social" security. Get it? And some of the greediest people were
> socialists.

Greed is not limited to any one group.

>
>>>> I know quite a few people who during the mid to late '90's were so
>>>>impressed by the stock market goings on, that they put all or most
>
> of
>
>>>>their retirement investments in risky, high yielding investments. A
>>>>couple invested exclusively in Tech stocks. Guess how much money
>
> they
>
>>>>have today? (answer - not a whole lot) They won't be retiring early
>>>>*or* soon.
>>>
>>>Were those retirement investments outside of a conventional pension
>>>plan?
>>
>>No. Where I am at, there are two retirement plan options. One is with
>
>
>>the state, and the other allows you to "customize" your plan with
>
> your
>
>>investment options.
>
>
> Do they limit your options to somewhat wise, conservative investments?

No.

> Or are you options wide open? Probably not.

I'm not on the system with lots of options, so I'm mentally paraphrasing
here a bit:

There are a number of options in which you can spread percentages of
your money. Those options run the spectrum from blue chip to high yield,
high risk. Your retirement income is based on your contributions and how
well the investments did.

> The Federal Government would likely put limits on the types of
> investments you could make with your SS diversion.

Ho-boy, another Federally controlled system. 8^)

> No gold and platinum futures, for example.

Probably a good idea.

> As you graduate to an older age group,
> they would probably change the investment vehicles available. Gosh!

One of the people I know that lost a lot of money was thinking that. He
said that two years before retirement, he was going to pull his money
out of the high risk stuff and put it into the "safe" stuff.

> But that's just me thinking out loud. I heard from Al Franken that
> Bush doesn't want any ideas on this matter.
>
>
>>>> On the other hand, I was very careful with my retirement
>>>>investments,and didn't lose anything. All I did was take a hit in
>
> my
>
>>>>earning rate.
>>
>>>>Until the bubble burst though, Len wasn't the only person that
>
> thinks
>
>>>>I'm a dimbulb!
>>>
>>>Aren't you a University of PA employee?
>>
>>Penn State. U of Pa is the one in Philadelphia.
>
>
> The point is that you do have a government pension plan.

Yup.

>
>>>Just how much control do you have over your state employee and
>
> school
>
>>>employee retirement funds?
>>
>> Those two plans. We also have an additional TDA possibility from
>>various companies. On the state plan, there is not a lot you can do.
>
> On
>
>>the other plan, there are more investment options.
>
>
> TDA? Is that like a supplemental, tax-deferred investment option?

> Ohio has a 457, "deferred compensation," plan, which is in addition to
> the public employees retirement system.

Yup, that's it.

> http://nrsretire.nrsservicecenter.com/nrs/CDA/nrsEmployeeHome/?Site=Ohio
>
> Ohio also has five (5) public employees retirement systems for some
> reason.
>
> http://ohio.gov/Retirement.stm
>
> If you want to see something scary, look at the Federal Employees
> Retirement System. They tell you right up front that SS is "the rest
> of your retirement." Not the so called safety net that Jim and FDR
> described it as.

I see they have three different plans, Social Security, a basic benefit
plan and a thrift savings plan. Looks pretty much like a typical plan group.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1314. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 15:21:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107876097.363968.247500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102830

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > "People who make up stories about thier careers shouldn't give
> the
> > names of places and dates when those bits of information can be
> > corroborated." - Steve Robeson
>
> Steve, that reminds me of a guy who slipped up and claimed
involvement
> in seven hostile actions.

Different circumstances.

I once slipped up and thought I could trust a guy that I sent mail
to in confidence, however he took stuff I sent him and disucssed it in
open forum dispite having been told the material was sensitive.

Some trust, eh? Can't even trust a fellow Vet any more...That's
sad.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1315. Re Issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 15:48:04 UTC
From: Joe_Rookie <k6ntl@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1107877684.821390.18840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102831

Check QRZ. KG6IRO has been re issued.

1316. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 15:55:16 UTC
From: kc8ycz <kc8ycz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107878116.802692.106450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102832

1. Can you go without ham radio for 90 days?
Nope wouldn't want to

2. Have you gone more than three days without bathing?
no Couldn't stand the smell of myself if I did

3. Are the clothes you wear dirty and smell?
no but they got some pretty nifty stains on them

4. Do you take your meals in the ham radio shack?
but of course ham is a meal right

5. Have you constructed a separate building behind your home
for ham radio?
no but the thought has crossed my mind. Oh the possibilities

6. Do your neighbors hate you because of TVI?
nope this isn't the "chicken band"

7. Do your kids or grandkids wonder if they have a father
or grandfather?
no but they wonder if they put their head to my ear if they could hear
transmissions from the local repeater

8. Have you ever done a family activity which does not involve
taking your ham radios with you?
Well then it wouldn't be an activity now would it

9. Have you forced your xyl & kids to get their tickets? Be honest.
I tried to force the xyl to get her ticket, found out that a frying pan
makes a good weapon HI

10. Do people stare in awe at your car or truck because it has so
many stupid looking antennas on it?
Show me a stupid antenna and I'll show you my car now thats stupid.

11. Do you come to this forum to bicker with other hams?
Nope it's just one big happy family

1317. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 17:54:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1107885273.635210.161550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102833

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <ONCdnXiy5JHNUJvfRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> > <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> >>N2EY wrote:

> >>>In article <poadnZ0fIdbBH5vfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

> >>>>>Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about
your
> >>>>>Social
> >>>>>Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500
years or
> >>>>>so (pick a decline stat)

> >>>>I decided a couple decades ago not to depend on SS for
retirement. If I
> >>>>get something from it, great. But I'm not counting on it.
> >>>
> >>
> >>>>SS is not a retirement plan.
> >>>
> >>>Agreed. It's supposed to be a safety net.
> >>
> >>>>It is a mistake to count any money from it a a given.
> >>>
> >>>Agreed!
> >>
> >>>>But I do have a few questions about privatized SS.
> >>>
> >>
> >>>>What happens if the Stock market tanks the month before your
> >>>>retirement?
> >>>
> >>>You're in trouble.
> >>
> >>Indeed. It happened in 2000, it will happen again.
> >>
> > Of course.
> >
> >>>>Unless there are strong protections, (which will end up a
> >>>>huge drain on the 'Guvmint) there may be people who suddenly find

> >>>>themselves poor.
> >
> >
> >>>There's a basic rule of investment that return and risk are
directly
> >>>connected.
> >>>If you want low risk you have to accept less return. One would
think that
> >>>even
> >>>a lackluster student like Shrub would understand that concept, but
he once
> >>>again proves his basic nature as a forty watt bulb in a hundred
watt
> >>>socket.
> >
> >
> >>Unfortunately, humans often don't act that way. In fact I knew a
few
> >>folks that wanted to "get just a little bit more" for their
retirement.
> >>Their investment counselors actually allowed them to move their
money
> >
> >>from safe investments to risky ones. All for the sake of a few more

> >
> >>dollars a month. Yoiks!
> >
> >
> > One reason Social Security was created in the first place was that
a large
> > number of people had lost their life savings in the markets of the
1920s. Not
> > just the stock market - the bond markets and even banks played
loose and fast.
> >
> > One of the prime directives of Social Security was that it was the
backup, the
> > low-risk survival alternative.
> >
> >
> >>And widespread gambling in the US is testament to that fact.
> >
> >
> > There's nothing wrong with gambling *if* you recognize the real
chances of
> > winning *and* don't gamble with money you cannot afford to lose.
> >
> >>>> What are the politicians going to raid for extra money?
> >
> >>>They don't look that far ahead. Heck, they're not even concerned

about
> >>>paying back the money already borrowed from the SS system.
> >
> >>I guess the money isn't "borrowed" then is it?
> >
> > Check this out:
> >
> > Shrub just submitted his new budget. Not surprisingly, there are
all kinds of
> > cuts for domestic programs, and even some for veterans' benefits.
>
> The other shoe is dropping now.

Some people I know who voted for him are genuinely shocked. They
actually thought he'd do something way different.

> > But what's really interesting is that the spending plan only goes
out one year
> > - if that far. Previous budgets plan out 5 years so lawmakers can
see
> > "longterm" effects and trends. The new budget proposal doesn't show
any of
> > that. Heck, according to the news, American troops will be out of
Iraq before
> > year's end because there's no money in the budget for them after
about October
> > of this year.
> >
> > Cool, huh?
>
> Morse code testing will probably be dropped dropped before we are out
of
> there.

Time for a new pool?

Then again, it's been 19 months and almost as many proposals
and...nothing from FCC.

> That isn't being cynical or anything, just looking at the
> situation and history. It would be unconscionable to leave while the
> country is in such turmoil.

Why? Didn't you see the "Mission Accomplished" banner?

> When we do this sort of thing, it is almost
> always a many year effort. My estimate is 10 years, assuming no other

> involvement in the area.

I don't think this administration has a clue what they got themselves
into. For years, Reagan & "Shake Hands" Rumsfeld were SH's buddies. Now
SH's gone, thanks to massive US involvement, but the Iraqis sure seem

ungrateful.

And all the claims of weapons of mass destruction and ties to
OBL/terror have been proved to be unfounded.

Old OBL is prolly giggling himself silly, because the USA has spend
over a thousand US lives and billions of dollars to create a
recruiting/training paradise for him. And with SH gone, the USA gets to
take on the role of bad guy in the region. Sure, *we* know that the
motives were good, but somehow those folks sure don't seem to believe
it.

> >>The part I look forward to is going to be how they try to blame
THAT on
> >>the leeburalls........ 8^)

> > I dunno, but they'll find a way. It's always the other party's
fault.
> >
> > Ask Len - he's our resident liberal.
>
> But it isn't a Morse code testing issue.....
>
That's why he'll go on at length about it. He talks about all kinds of
things that have nothing to do with Morse Code testing.

And when somebody *does* try to discuss Morse Code testing in a civil,
rational way with him, he calls the person names and does the old
ad-hominem game.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1318. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 19:24:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050208142433.25923.00000096@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 102834

In article <20050117123100.06417.00000015@mb-m25.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO (N2EY) writes:

>In article <20050117000210.25923.00000014@mb-m26.aol.com>, lenof21@aol.com
>(Lenof21) writes:
>
>>>> Ever notice how Len avoids direct questions?
>>>
>>>Ever notice how Miccolis does?
>>>
>>>I do every day.
>
>Yes, Brian and Len do avoid direct questions - every day.

No one is obliged to answer loaded questions.

However, J.P. has avoided a direct answer to HOW he "serves his country" in the near-same way someone has in the military. So has the coslonaut. All are supposed to "figure that out."

Direct question avoided, sidestepped, disguised by mis-direction onto other things.

>> Careful, Brian...remember that J.P. "serves his country" (by being
>> a super-special amateur morseman).
>
>Well, if you think so. I don't recall ever claiming that.

So...how DO you "serve your country in other ways?" :-)

>We pose valid arguments but you avoid them.

Tsk. FALSE. ERRONEOUS.

Your arguments in favor of retaining the morse code test have been proven false.

You refuse to acknowledge that replies have truth in them. You misdirect by the disguised ad hominem of saying the PCTAs "are full of errors." :-)

>Do we call you names? Tell you to shut up? Call you "anal-retentive" or
>"Republican"?

Yes, you do. In another post you've named me as a "liberal." Used that appelation as a pejorative as if only the political conservatives were the good guys. Adding, in that unique (but

transparent) disguise of an ad hominem attack cum troll bait.

>Or is that *your* game?

No "game." Seeing that reasonable discourse evaporated long
ago amidst the virtual snowstorm of all-subjects-are-suitable-for-
posting blog content in here, all that seems to continue is the
usual PCTA "superiority" pejoratives on the person of NCTAs.

In other words, you are receiving "return fire." Seeing as you
have "served your country in other ways," you should recognize
such military terms...shouldn't you? :-)

Poor baby. Can't take it, can you?

Suggestion: Fire up that state-of-the-art Type 7 and "work" some
contacts. Get on the air. Have fun with ham radio. Try to avoid
"resonable discourse" of the one-way kind by disguised ad
hominems in here...it is tough on conservative morsemen trying
to be oh-so-superior to NCTAs in here. PCTA feelings get hurt
easily.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

.
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1319. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 19:24:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050208142434.25923.00000097@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 102835

In article <1107885273.635210.161550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
N2EY@AOL.COM writes:

>> > Ask Len - he's our resident liberal.
>>
>> But it isn't a Morse code testing issue.....
>>
>That's why he'll go on at length about it. He talks about all kinds of
>things that have nothing to do with Morse Code testing.
>
>And when somebody *does* try to discuss Morse Code testing in a civil,
>rational way with him, he calls the person names and does the old
>ad-hominem game.

Interesting TROLL technique. Yields the first impression that
someone else is ten kinds of badness; i.e., a disguised
ad hominem, done up in righteous flag-waving wrapping.

Tsk. My "liberality" in here is basically about the removal of
morse code testing from the U.S. amateur radio license exam.

Is that some kind of heinous "political liberality?" Is it the
mouthing of some Antichrist? Is it a personal pejorative on
someone? I don't think so.

Retention of the morse code test seems to be ingrained in
the psyche of conservative old-time hams who absolutely
insist on keeping that code test forever and ever. Morse code
mode IS ham radio to some of those morse mavens. They
must remain as a living museum to archaic communications
modes and desire all kinds of "respect" (they are "superior" to
all those that don't want or care for on-off keying modes) and
"recognition of greatness" (because they bought into the
morse myths long ago and can't admit to being deceived).
They see themselves as "leaders" in everything and look down
on all others if those others are against morse code testing.

These die-hard morse mavens (unable to look good in a
Bruce Willis toupee and tee-shirt) call anti-morse-test
advocates as "liberal" in all things because morse testing
and the morse mode is righteous "conservatism"...because
morsemanship is their thing and they are "superior" from that.

Political commentary on national and international politics
belongs in a political newsgroup. Skill in morsemanship does
NOT make for informed expertise on national politics, national

economics, finances, medical expertise, or choo-choo train stuff. Moresemen want to give that impression...but their impressions hardly make a dent in Play-Dough from their righteous hammerings.

On the other hand, morsemen come in here to vent their tumultuous frustrations of everyday life on others and wildly abandon any trace of civility or composure by yelling badness at others (see the Mad-Dog Mattis morseman's outrage). Or they adopt the holier-than-thou personna of the morse minister out to save the heathen souls who will not worship their false idols made of brass from brass-pounding (see Rev. Jimmy Who when not giving a Sermon on the Antenna Mount).

All of those self-righteous morsemen are "superior" because they have lifted themselves by their own bootstraps. They are above all others because of that. All against morse code testing are "inferior" because they do not love morse. As a result we have the rabid flaming personal warfare where the self-righteous morse test advocates must win all arguments by ANY means possible. Their "means" are perfectly acceptible. Replies in opposition are always wrong, always a personal insult. It is the PCTA "holy calling." Holy cow. Sacred cow. Mooo. Booo.

"Reasonable discourse" in here ended years ago.

PCTA in excelsior! [packed in wood chips ready for shipping]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

.
LenAnderson@ieee.org

1320. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 23:23:38 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107905018.451207.14370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102836

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > "People who make up stories about thier careers shouldn't
give
> > the
> > > names of places and dates when those bits of information can be
> > > corroborated." - Steve Robeson
> > >
> > Steve, that reminds me of a guy who slipped up and claimed
> involvement
> > in seven hostile actions.
>
> Different circumstances.
>
> I once slipped up and thought I could trust a guy that I sent
mail
> to in confidence, however he took stuff I sent him and disucssed it
in
> open forum dispite having been told the material was sensitive.
>
> Some trust, eh? Can't even trust a fellow Vet any more...That's
> sad.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

In other words, no hostile actions.

1321. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 23:38:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107905920.680044.49330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102837

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1107885273.635210.161550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> N2EY@AOL.COM writes:
>
> >> > Ask Len - he's our resident liberal.
> >>
> >> But it isn't a Morse code testing issue.....
> >>
> >That's why he'll go on at length about it. He talks about all kinds
of
> >things that have nothing to do with Morse Code testing.
> >
> >And when somebody *does* try to discuss Morse Code testing in a
civil,
> >rational way with him, he calls the person names and does the old
> >ad-hominem game.
>
> Interesting TROLL technique. Yields the first impression that
> someone else is ten kinds of badness; i.e., a disguised
> ad hominem, done up in righteous flag-waving wrapping.

Absolutely the very same "technique" you have employed in this
forum over and over and over and........

> Tsk. My "liberality" in here is basically about the removal of
> morse code testing from the U.S. amateur radio license exam.

And taking liberties with the truth...

> Is that some kind of heinous "political liberality?" Is it the
> mouthing of some Antichrist? Is it a personal pejorative on
> someone? I don't think so.

Of course you don't.

You have no stake in any of what you propose, nor will any of the
changes you propose have any effect on you.

> Retention of the morse code test seems to be ingrained in
> the psyche of conservative old-time hams who absolutely
> insist on keeping that code test forever and ever.

You have no stake in any of what you propose, nor will any of the
changes you propose have any effect on you.

> Morse code
> mode IS ham radio to some of those morse mavens. They

> must remain as a living museum to archaic communications
> modes and desire all kinds of "respect" (they are "superior" to
> all those that don't want or care for on-off keying modes) and
> "recognition of greatness" (because they bought into the
> morse myths long ago and can't admit to being deceived).
> They see themselves as "leaders" in everything and look down
> on all others if those others are against morse code testing.

Here we go with LennieTroll #3764...

> These die-hard morse mavens (unable to look good in a
> Bruce Willis toupee and tee-shirt) call anti-morse-test
> advocates as "liberal" in all things because morse testing
> and the morse mode is righteous "conservatism"...because
> morsemanship is their thing and they are "superior" from that.

But you ARE a "liberal", Lennie.

You show gross disrespect for the Armed Forces by misrepresenting your own service therein and taking the sacrifices of others as your own.

Just like your Hero William Jefferson Clinton, you take liberties with redefining "truth", and any other definition based upon how hard the hammer's being dropped on your head.

Most of the time it's pretty hard since you keep insisting on psoting deceitful and bogus tripe.

> Political commentary on national and international politics
> belongs in a political newsgroup.

Here we have "this is an unmoderated newsgroup"/"you are not a moderator" Lennie Anderson telling us what we can discuss, where we can discuss it, etc.

> Skill in morsemanship does
> NOT make for informed expertise on national politics, national
> economics, finances, medical expertise, or choo-choo train
> stuff.

Nope...but it DOES make us qualified to be licensed Radio Amateurs, a feat you have yet to accomplish.

> Moresemen want to give that impression...but their
> impressions hardly make a dent in Play-Dough from their
> righteous hammerings.

They obviously DO "make an impression" because you feel compelled to keep coming in here and making issue os practices and policies for an avocation in which you are not a participant.

> On the other hand, morsemen come in here to vent their
> tumultuous frustrations of everyday life on others and wildly

> abandon any trace of civility or composure by yelling badness
> at others (see the Mad-Dog Mattis morseman's outrage).

"Morsemen" have a reason to be in an Amateur Radio forum, but who is "Mad-Dog Mattis"...?!?!

> Or they adopt the holier-than-thou personna of the morse minister
> out to save the heathen souls who will not worship their false
> idols made of brass from brass-pounding (see Rev. Jimmy Who
> when not giving a Sermon on the Antenna Mount).

Who is "Reverend Jimmy"...???

What "sermon"...???

> All of those self-righteous morsemen are "superior" because they
> have lifted themselves by their own bootstraps.

Whelp, Your Putziness, that IS what Amateur Radio is all about.

Part 97 refers.

> They are above
> all others because of that. All against morse code testing are
> "inferior" because they do not love morse.

Other than you, who said that, Lennie? Names? Posts? Show your work.

> As a result we have
> the rabid flaming personal warfare where the self-righteous
> morse test advocates must win all arguments by ANY means
> possible. Their "means" are perfectly acceptible. Replies in
> opposition are always wrong, always a personal insult. It is the
> PCTA "holy calling." Holy cow. Sacred cow. Mooo. Booo.

Guess you really got soemthing started, eh Lennie?

More than one poster has, on more than one occassion, redirected the use of such tactics, only to have YOU dig in deeper.

> "Reasonable discourse" in here ended years ago.

You mean "No one kneels down and pays me proper respect"...!?!?

What sis you expect? You're a documented liar. Who can respect that?

> PCTA in excelsior! [packed in wood chips ready for shipping]

You were saying about pejoratives...???

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Putz
Putz

Steve, K4YZ

1322. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 23:38:48 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107905928.055712.318120@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102838

Michael Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > >
> >>bb wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>bb wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>safer places to park your money.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Look at the Federal retirement system.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Look at your state retirement system.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Look at your teacher's retirement system.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Is is all in the market?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Is it all out of the market?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Or is it a balance of the two?
> >>>>
> >>>> Greed, that potential bad character that we have tapped and used
> >>>
> > > for
> > >
> >>>>good in out semi capitalist system, is an almost irresistible
pull
> > >
> > > on
> > >
> >> >>some people.
> >>
> >>>I'm sure that greed doens't exist in semi-socialist sytems, so why
> >>>don't we just get it over with and switch?

> >>
> >>Huh? I don't understand.
> >
> >
> > "Social" security. Get it? And some of the greediest people were
> > socialists.
>
> Greed is not limited to any one group.
>
> >
> >>>> I know quite a few people who during the mid to late '90's were
so
> >>>>impressed by the stock market goings on, that they put all or
most
> >
> > of
> >
> >>>>their retirement investments in risky, high yielding investments.
A
> >>>>couple invested exclusively in Tech stocks. Guess how much money
> >
> > they
> >
> >>>>have today? (answer - not a whole lot) They won't be retiring
early
> >>>>*or* soon.
> >>>
> >>>Were those retirement investments outside of a conventional
pension
> >>>plan?
> >>
> >>No. Where I am at, there are two retirement plan options. One is
with
> >
> >
> >>the state, and the other allows you to "customize" your plan with
> >
> > your
> >
> >>investment options.
> >
> >
> > Do they limit your options to somewhat wise, conservative
investments?
>
> No.
>
> > Or are you options wide open? Probably not.
>
> I'm not on the system with lots of options, so I'm mentally

paraphrasing
> here a bit:
>
> There are a number of options in which you can spread percentages of
> your money. Those options run the spectrum from blue chip to high
yield,
> high risk.

No bonds? Any balanced funds?

Your retirement income is based on your contributions and how
> well the investments did.

Is there a "guaranteed return" selection? Of course, even that won't
work if the government devalues its currency.

> > The Federal Government would likely put limits on the types of
> > investments you could make with your SS diversion.
>
> Ho-boy, another Federally controlled system. 8^)

Oh, I forgot. Social Security is a Township Trustee run system.

> > No gold and platinum futures, for example.
>
> Probably a good idea.
>
> > As you graduate to an older age group,
> > they would probably change the investment vehicles available.
Gosh!
>
> One of the people I know that lost a lot of money was thinking that.
He
> said that two years before retirement, he was going to pull his money

> out of the high risk stuff and put it into the "safe" stuff.

Did he? Or did he think the market was still going good and left it in
riskier investments?

> > But that's just me thinking out loud. I heard from Al Franken that
> > Bush doesn't want any ideas on this matter.
> >
> >
> >>>> On the other hand, I was very careful with my retirement
> >>>>investments,and didn't lose anything. All I did was take a hit in
> >
> > my
> >
> >>>>earning rate.
> >>
> >>>>Until the bubble burst though, Len wasn't the only person that
> >

> > thinks
> >
> >>>>I'm a dimbulb!
> >>>
> >>>Aren't you a University of PA employee?
> >>
> >>Penn State. U of Pa is the one in Philadelphia.
> >
> >
> > The point is that you do have a government pension plan.
>
> Yup.

In Ohio, our Governor has put some political appointees on the
retirement fund board, and he wants to make the fund invest in Ohio,
which is a losing prop.

> >>>Just how much control do you have over your state employee and
> >
> > school
> >
> >>>employee retirement funds?
> >>
> >> Those two plans. We also have an additional TDA possibility from
> >>various companies. On the state plan, there is not a lot you can
do.
> >
> > On
> >
> >>the other plan, there are more investment options.
> >
> >
> > TDA? Is that like a supplemental, tax-deferred investment option?
> > Ohio has a 457, "deferred compensation," plan, which is in addition
to
> > the public employees retirement system.
>
> Yup, that's it.
>
>
> >
http://nrsretire.nrsservicecenter.com/nrs/CDA/nrsEmployeeHome/?Site=Ohio
> >
> > Ohio also has five (5) public employees retirement systems for some
> > reason.
> >
> > http://ohio.gov/Retirement.stm
> >
> > If you want to see something scary, look at the Federal Employees
> > Retirement System. They tell you right up front that SS is "the
rest

> > of your retirement." Not the so called safety net that Jim and FDR
> > described it as.
>
>
> I see they have three different plans, Social Security, a basic
benefit
> plan and a thrift savings plan. Looks pretty much like a typical plan
group.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Looks nothing like the former SCRS. Does Penn State contribute 1% of your income to your plan, then tell you that SS is the rest of it?

Anyway, the only benefit to being in SS is if you have a life changing injury or illness and can no longer work. If you take it to 65 (or 67 depending on the whims of the democratic party) the ROI is slim to none. Savings bonds beat it.

1323. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 23:40:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107906050.384948.76610@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102839

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1107885273.635210.161550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> N2EY@AOL.COM writes:
>
> >> > Ask Len - he's our resident liberal.
> >>
> >> But it isn't a Morse code testing issue.....
> >>
> >That's why he'll go on at length about it. He talks about all kinds
of
> >things that have nothing to do with Morse Code testing.
> >
> >And when somebody *does* try to discuss Morse Code testing in a
civil,
> >rational way with him, he calls the person names and does the old
> >ad-hominem game.
>
> Interesting TROLL technique. Yields the first impression that
> someone else is ten kinds of badness; i.e., a disguised
> ad hominem, done up in righteous flag-waving wrapping.
>
> Tsk. My "liberality" in here is basically about the removal of
> morse code testing from the U.S. amateur radio license exam.

I view that position as conservative. Ronald Reagan wanted to unburden
the citizens of unnecessary government regulations.

1324. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-08 23:45:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107906329.528855.94340@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102840

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "People who make up stories about thier careers shouldn't
> give
> > > the
> > > > names of places and dates when those bits of information can be
> > > > corroborated." - Steve Robeson
> > >
> > > Steve, that reminds me of a guy who slipped up and claimed
> > involvement
> > > in seven hostile actions.
> >
> > Different circumstances.
> >
> > I once slipped up and thought I could trust a guy that I sent
> mail
> > to in confidence, however he took stuff I sent him and disucssed it
> in
> > open forum dispite having been told the material was sensitive.
> >
> > Some trust, eh? Can't even trust a fellow Vet any
more...That's
> > sad.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> In other words, no hostile actions.

In other words, you're wrong.

Brian, we've gotten along very well, lately...even shared some
mutually complimentary words. But if you want to reopen the flood
gate, hey, I've got my snorkle and fins right here...

It's up to you.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1325. Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 00:06:01 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1107907561.317424.231690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I can't wait for dayton this year. When those no good hammie cocksuckers try to buy my 10 meter and amps for cheap I'm going to slap their queer, gay asses back to their local repeater!

I may even drag a couple of hammie's into my booth and shove my massive man stick up their man-puss! Its going to be a hoot!

1326. Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 00:06:03 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1107907563.453530.151590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I can't wait for dayton this year. When those no good hammie cocksuckers try to buy my 10 meter and amps for cheap I'm going to slap their queer, gay asses back to their local repeater!

I may even drag a couple of hammie's into my booth and shove my massive man stick up their man-puss! Its going to be a hoot!

1327. © © © Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven © 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 00:40:14 UTC
From: Good_News_12002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107909614.527647.294790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102843

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed
God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

1328. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 02:16:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107915378.844392.64810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102844

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "People who make up stories about thier careers
shouldn't
> > give
> > > > the
> > > > > names of places and dates when those bits of information can
be
> > > > > corroborated." - Steve Robeson
> > > > >
> > > > Steve, that reminds me of a guy who slipped up and claimed
> > involvement
> > > > in seven hostile actions.
> > > >
> > > Different circumstances.
> > >
> > > I once slipped up and thought I could trust a guy that I
sent
> > mail
> > > to in confidence, however he took stuff I sent him and disucssed
it
> > in
> > > open forum dispite having been told the material was sensitive.
> > >
> > > Some trust, eh? Can't even trust a fellow Vet any
> more...That's
> > > sad.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > In other words, no hostile actions.
>
> In other words, you're wrong.
>
> Brian, we've gotten along very well, lately...even shared some
> mutually complimentary words. But if you want to reopen the flood
> gate, hey, I've got my snorkle and fins right here...
>

> It's up to you.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, you're right as always. ""People who make up stories about thier careers shouldn't give the
names of places and dates when those bits of information can be corroborated." - Steve Robeson"

1329. Re: Ping LenOver21

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 02:20:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107915638.967607.19000@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102845

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > Maybe Algore will jump in and reinvent the internet.
> > >
> > > I'd just be tickled if he'd "invent" a home address that
> didn't
> > > have a Tennessee Zip Code!
> > >
> > > Maybe something in a 92xxx would suit him. Sure would suit
> me!
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Does Al actually live in Tennessee, or is he still hanging around
> > Washington?
>
> He must be spending some time down here 'cuz every once in a
while
> they enact the restricted area around his farm in NOTAM's. As if
> anyone would WANT to harm Bozo.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

We need to put him on FERS and SS. He wouldn't have the money to
travel so much.

1330. © © © Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven © 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 03:21:45 UTC
From: Good_News_12002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107919305.282101.232490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102846

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth
in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and
died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds).
He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a
virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life
for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless
blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for
our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later
as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that
Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept
Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him
in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness
and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is
to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you
confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe
in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you
WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if
you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following
prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have
a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a
sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I
believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the
penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead.
Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my
Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for
forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace.
Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed
God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

1331. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 04:01:13 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7dqdnWKbwlWTFpTfRVn-hg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102847

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <ONCdnXiy5JHNUJvfRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>
>
>>>>>In article <poadnZ0fIdbBH5vfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>
>
>>>>>>>>Suggest you young pups and baby boomers start worrying about
>
> your
>
>>>>>>>>Social
>>>>>>>>Security rather than the demise of Amateur Radio in 170 to 500
>
> years or
>
>>>>>>>>so (pick a decline stat)
>
>
>>>>>>>I decided a couple decades ago not to depend on SS for
>
> retirement. If I
>
>>>>>>>get something from it, great. But I'm not counting on it.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>SS is not a retirement plan.
>>>
>>>>>Agreed. It's supposed to be a safety net.
>>>
>>>>>>It is a mistake to count any money from it a a given.
>>>
>>>>>Agreed!
>>>
>>>>>>But I do have a few questions about privatized SS.

>>>
>>>
>>>>>What happens if the Stock market tanks the month before your
>>>>>retirement?
>>>
>>>>>You're in trouble.
>>>
>>>>Indeed. It happened in 2000, it will happen again.
>>>
>>>Of course.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Unless there are strong protections, (which will end up a
>>>>>huge drain on the 'Guvmint) there may be people who suddenly find
>
>
>>>>>themselves poor.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>There's a basic rule of investment that return and risk are
>
> directly
>
>>>>>connected.
>>>>>If you want low risk you have to accept less return. One would
>
> think that
>
>>>>>even
>>>>>a lackluster student like Shrub would understand that concept, but
>
> he once
>
>>>>>again proves his basic nature as a forty watt bulb in a hundred
>
> watt
>
>>>>>socket.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Unfortunately, humans often don't act that way. In fact I knew a
>
> few
>
>>>>folks that wanted to "get just a little bit more" for their
>
> retirement.
>
>>>>Their investment counselors actually allowed them to move their
>

> money
>
>>>>from safe investments to risky ones. All for the sake of a few more
>
>
>>>>dollars a month. Yoiks!
>>>
>>>
>>>One reason Social Security was created in the first place was that
>
> a large
>
>>>number of people had lost their life savings in the markets of the
>
> 1920s. Not
>
>>>just the stock market - the bond markets and even banks played
>
> loose and fast.
>
>>>One of the prime directives of Social Security was that it was the
>
> backup, the
>
>>>low-risk survival alternative.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>And widespread gambling in the US is testament to that fact.
>>>
>>>
>>>There's nothing wrong with gambling *if* you recognize the real
>
> chances of
>
>>>winning *and* don't gamble with money you cannot afford to lose.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> What are the politicians going to raid for extra money?
>>>
>>>>>They don't look that far ahead. Heck, they're not even concerned
>
> about
>
>>>>>paying back the money already borrowed from the SS system.
>>>
>>>>I guess the money isn't "borrowed" then is it?
>>>
>>>Check this out:
>>>

>>>Shrub just submitted his new budget. Not surprisingly, there are
>
> all kinds of
>
>>>cuts for domestic programs, and even some for veterans' benefits.
>>
>>The other shoe is dropping now.
>
>
> Some people I know who voted for him are genuinely shocked. They
> actually thought he'd do something way different.

Then they are either genuinely stupid or not paying attention. THere
is nothing new here.

>
>>>But what's really interesting is that the spending plan only goes
>
> out one year
>
>>>- if that far. Previous budgets plan out 5 years so lawmakers can
>
> see
>
>>>"longterm" effects and trends. The new budget proposal doesn't show
>
> any of
>
>>>that. Heck, according to the news, American troops will be out of
>
> Iraq before
>
>>>year's end because there's no money in the budget for them after
>
> about October
>
>>>of this year.
>>>
>>>Cool, huh?
>>
>>Morse code testing will probably be dropped dropped before we are out
> > of there.
>
>
> Time for a new pool?
>

Sure. A yes or no, or another dated one?

> Then again, it's been 19 months and almost as many proposals
> and...nothing from FCC.

>
>
>>That isn't being cynical or anything, just looking at the
>>situation and history. It would be unconscionable to leave while the
>>country is in such turmoil.
>
>
> Why? Didn't you see the "Mission Accomplished" banner?

Yep, I thought it might have just been say, ten years premature!

>>When we do this sort of thing, it is almost
>>always a many year effort. My estimate is 10 years, assuming no other
>>involvement in the area.
>
>
> I don't think this administration has a clue what they got themselves
> into. For years, Reagan & "Shake Hands" Rumsfeld were SH's buddies. Now
> SH's gone, thanks to massive US involvement, but the Iraqis sure seem
> ungrateful.

I think a large number of them are grateful, but a large number are
not. Iraq is an artificial country, cobbled together from disparate
groups that have had a tradition of not getting along well. Let us hope
they will catch onto democracy after a few years.

<rest snipped>
- Mike KB3EIA -

1332. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 04:24:50 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <mggOd.5555$oO.449@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Landshark wrote:
> I can't wait for dayton this year. When those no good hammie
> try to buy my 10 meter and amps for cheap

What, we should without question buy overpriced product?

1333. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 04:59:15 UTC
From: Elmer Thud <wabbit@wabbit.net>
Message-ID: <DMgOd.37238$uA.4580@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 102849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

And here I thought you were gonna name a cheap source of pork...

1334. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 05:23:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107926609.381847.87340@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102850

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "People who make up stories about thier careers
> shouldn't
> > > give
> > > > > the
> > > > > > names of places and dates when those bits of information
can
> be
> > > > > > corroborated." - Steve Robeson
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steve, that reminds me of a guy who slipped up and claimed
> > > involvement
> > > > > in seven hostile actions.
> > > > >
> > > > Different circumstances.
> > > >
> > > I once slipped up and thought I could trust a guy that I
> sent
> > > mail
> > > > to in confidence, however he took stuff I sent him and
disucssed
> it
> > > in
> > > > open forum dispite having been told the material was sensitive.
> > > >
> > > Some trust, eh? Can't even trust a fellow Vet any
> > more...That's
> > > > sad.
> > > >
> > > > 73
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > In other words, no hostile actions.
> >
> > In other words, you're wrong.
> >
> > Brian, we've gotten along very well, lately...even shared some

> > mutually complimentary words. But if you want to reopen the flood
> > gate, hey, I've got my snorkle and fins right here...
> >
> > It's up to you.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, you're right as always. ""People who make up stories about
> thier careers shouldn't give the
> names of places and dates when those bits of information can be
> corroborated." - Steve Robeson"

We're back to it Brian...last chance to suck it up and keep things gentlemanly.....

Otherwise tell us about your undocumented, illegal operation from Somalia. It was OK, supposedly, becasue some butter bar gave you the nod, but your butter bar was not a representitive of the UN officers who were in charge at the time.

After that you can get around to providing us the links that corroborate your "Unlicensed devices play a major role in emergency comms" assertion.

Then you can provided us some sort of verification of your claim of having worked the equivilent of DXCC a couple times over.

Those are radio-related issues that you claim expertise, experience or otherwise are knowledgeable of.

So far you've provided us absolutely nothing, and those are just the RADIO related issues.

And if your nose isn't bruised and bloodied enough after that, there are some other issues I will be glad to regurgitate for NG consumption, but we'll wait and see if you decide to exercise some

So...You up to getting your NG butt whupped again, or do you just want to forget that you re-opened a can of worms that folks were just now starting to forget?

Steve, K4YZ

1335. © © © Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven © 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 05:29:18 UTC
From: Good_News_12002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107926958.553144.179260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102851

scroll to the top of the page ^

1336. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 05:41:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107927690.933594.156590@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102852

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <20050117123100.06417.00000015@mb-m25.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >In article <20050117000210.25923.00000014@mb-m26.aol.com>,
lenof21@aol.com
> >(Lenof21) writes:
> >
> >>>> Ever notice how Len avoids direct questions?
> >>>
> >>>Ever notice how Miccolis does?
> >>>
> >>>I do every day.
> >
> >Yes, Brian and Len do avoid direct questions - every day.
>
> No one is obliged to answer loaded questions.

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Getting Lennie to answer "loaded questions" like "when are you
going to get that "Extra lite out of the box" is a LOADED
question...?!?!?!

It was YOUR assertion, Lennie!

And how about other questions like "What evidence do you have of
"dishonesty" of the ARRL BoD?

Which radio service is created by the FCC for "purely recreational
pursuits"?

Nor do we have a "retraction" or other acknowledgement of your
errors (yes..ERROR(S)) of Part 97...

BBWBWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! !

> However, J.P. has avoided a direct answer to HOW he "serves
> his country" in the near-same way someone has in the
> military. So has the coslonaut. All are supposed to "figure
> that out."
>

> Direct question avoided, sidestepped, disguised by mis-
> direction onto other things.

He's answered those several times over.

So far, there is absolutely ZERO "direct" answer from YOU

> >> Careful, Brian...remember that J.P. "serves his country" (by
being
> >> a super-special amateur morseman).
> >
> >Well, if you think so. I don't recall ever claiming that.
>
> So...how DO you "serve your country in other ways?"

How do YOU serve, Lennie...?!?!

You make a point of asking others, but where's YOUR evidence of
having done ANYthing since 1956...?!?!

> >We pose valid arguments but you avoid them.
>
> Tsk. FALSE. ERRONEOUS.
>
> Your arguments in favor of retaining the morse code test have
> been proven false.

No, they have not.

> You refuse to acknowledge that replies have truth in them.
> You misdirect by the disguised ad hominem of saying the
> PCTAs "are full of errors."

To the contrary. Jim has repeatedly acknowledged what he thought
were "valid points" in your arguments.

So far all YOU have done is call him names, attempt to disparge
his character and experience, and avoid answering the same questions
when put to you!

> >Do we call you names? Tell you to shut up? Call you "anal-retentive"
or
> >"Republican"?
>
> Yes, you do. In another post you've named me as a "liberal."
> Used that appelation as a pejorative as if only the political
> conservatives were the good guys. Adding, in that unique (but
> transparent) disguise of an ad hominem attack cum troll bait.

No bait. No troll. Not when it's true.

> >Or is that *your* game?
>
> No "game." Seeing that reasonable discourse evaporated long

> ago amidst the virtual snowstorm of all-subjects-are-suitable-for-
> posting blog content in here, all that seems to continue is the
> usual PCTA "superiority" pejoratives on the person of NCTAs.

The "reasonable discourse" disappeared in a vail of smoke from
profanities and name calling delivered from the keyboard of Leonard H.
Anderson.

Google refers.

> In other words, you are receiving "return fire." Seeing as you
> have "served your country in other ways," you should recognize
> such military terms...shouldn't you?

Ahhhhhhh! Lennie hoping no one remembers his "under fire" and
"under threat of the Russian Bear" posts.

The only "fire" Lennie was under was some Tiki lights of some
Japanese sushi bar just outside his rear area radio staion base.

> Poor baby. Can't take it, can you?
>
> Suggestion: Fire up that state-of-the-art Type 7 and "work" some
> contacts. Get on the air. Have fun with ham radio. Try to avoid
> "resonable discourse" of the one-way kind by disguised ad
> hominems in here...it is tough on conservative morsemen trying
> to be oh-so-superior to NCTAs in here. PCTA feelings get hurt
> easily.

Here's something for YOUR feelings, Lennie....

LEONARD H. ANDERSON IS A KNOWN CHRONIC LIAR AND PROPRIETARY THIEF.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.
Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1337. Re: Re Issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 05:46:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107928012.489091.247410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102853

Joe_Rookie wrote:
> Check QRZ. KG6IRO has been re issued.

Great. Scumbag Gerritsen has a fan club.

But hey...It's LA...The cornflake capital of the United States...Nuts and flakes...

WB6JAC...WA6GWG...KG6IRO...W6NUT...Lennie Anderson...

If figures.

Steve, K4YZ

1338. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 05:55:36 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <kP6dneJCV_UIMZTfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

robert casey wrote:

> Landshark wrote:
>
>> I can't wait for dayton this year. When those no good hammie
>> try to buy my 10 meter and amps for cheap
>
>
> What, we should without question buy overpriced product?

Over priced and crappy. Typical of what a dumb cber would attempt to sell.

1339. Re: Questions for N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 06:42:21 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <hhiOd.336884$Xk.54637@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 102855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar,misc.transport.trucking,alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hey steve
goes okay
I guess you do not wanna speak to me anymore.
is okay.
i don't kick ass.
my early years, 4 and 5, i hid inside of cupboards not to be beaten, by my
dad.
as far as powerless goes. uniquie is ok.

kiss ass
well kissed every one of daddies bar buddies cocks.
did not know why
BUT i learned to know that zeros were nothing to do witrh my life.
.
unfortunately my brother commited suicide
NEVER ASK A QUESTION,
YOU CANNOT STAND THE ANSWER

long life to you
away from me
dug88

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050201165647.102$wU_-_@newsreader.com...
> 1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?
>
>
> 2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?
>
>
> 3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?
>
>
> 4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?
>
>
> 5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to hav e them show
> up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward yo u are,
> maggot?
>
>
> http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>
>

> Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

1340. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 07:40:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107934819.247907.175080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102856

Mike Coslo wrote:

> > I don't think this administration has a clue what they got
themselves
> > into. For years, Reagan & "Shake Hands" Rumsfeld were SH's buddies.
Now
> > SH's gone, thanks to massive US involvement, but the Iraqis sure
seem
> > ungrateful.
>
> I think a large number of them are grateful, but a large number are
> not. Iraq is an artificial country, cobbled together from disparate
> groups that have had a tradition of not getting along well. Let us
hope
> they will catch onto democracy after a few years.

I don't think it's a "hapenin' thing", Mike.

They've got that "olde tymme religion" fervor going on, and now
they also have millons of metric tons of things taht go boom and bang.

They blame their "problems" on the United States or Isreal, but if
we could snap our fingers and vacate Iraq right now, and somehow make
Isreal a "non-issue" for them, then they'd just go back to Shiite-Sunni
fights, Iran/Iraq, etc etc etc.

I think the most impressive election was ours...And why we don't
make more "hay" out of it is beyond me...The most powerful, richest
nation in the world manages to change it's government every 4 years
without firing a shot...Imagine that...

73

Steve, K4YZ

1341. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 07:43:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107935020.906274.45850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102857

k4yz wrote:

> They blame their "problems" on the United States or
Isreal...(SNIP)

Excuse me.... Israel.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1342. Re: © © © Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven © 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 08:13:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107936789.142230.320780@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102858

Good_News_12002@yahoo.com wrote:

> Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have
> a home in Heaven when I die.

Has anyone read the engineering specs on Heaven in the Bible?

It ain't that big. Read Revelation 21:15 through 21:21.

And supposedly only the names of the 12 tribes of Israel are on the walls. Maybe I missed something in there, but most of us don't have any Hebrew blood in us.

Someone needs to get thier stories straight.

I'm still giggling over the pregnant chick and the "I didn't have sex with that woman" story. Guess I know where Bill got it from...It worked before...Guess I can't fault him for trying it!

Steve

1343. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 15:40:50 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <7aqOd.29861$xt.7055@fed1read07>
Source record: 102859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

A friend of mine sells books at swap meets and conventions.
A bedraggled ham approached him and offered him $8 for a $25 book.
My friend replied "Which Chapter do you want ??"

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

1344. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 16:16:59 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cudd20smc1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102860

bb wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:

<snippage>

>>There are a number of options in which you can spread percentages of
>>your money. Those options run the spectrum from blue chip to high
>> yield, high risk.
>
>
> No bonds? Any balanced funds?

Yup. A lot of people don't take that approach though. They listen to the investment mantra that "Over the long term, the market always goes up". Unfortunately their advisor neglects to tell them that what matters is where the stock market is when they retire.

And for whatever reason, they don't figure that out for themselves.

>> Your retirement income is based on your contributions and how
>>well the investments did.
>
>
> Is there a "guaranteed return" selection? Of course, even that won't
> work if the government devalues its currency.

The most conservative investments have an interest rate that gets adjusted every so often.

>
>>>The Federal Government would likely put limits on the types of
>>>investments you could make with your SS diversion.
>>
>> Ho-boy, another Federally controlled system. 8^)
>
>
> Oh, I forgot. Social Security is a Township Trustee run system.
>
>>>No gold and platinum futures, for example.
>>
>>Probably a good idea.
>>
>>
>>>As you graduate to an older age group,
>>>they would probably change the investment vehicles available.
>

> Gosh!
>
>>One of the people I know that lost a lot of money was thinking that.
>> He said that two years before retirement, he was going to pull his money
>>out of the high risk stuff and put it into the "safe" stuff.
>
>
> Did he? Or did he think the market was still going good and left it in
> riskier investments?

He chose to believe that things were going to get better and to stay
the course. By the time he got wise, it was too late.

>>>But that's just me thinking out loud. I heard from Al Franken that
>>>Bush doesn't want any ideas on this matter.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>> On the other hand, I was very careful with my retirement
>>>>>investments,and didn't lose anything. All I did was take a hit in
>>>
>>>my earning rate.
>>>>
>>>>>Until the bubble burst though, Len wasn't the only person that
>>>>>thinks I'm a dimbulb!
>>>>>
>>>>>Aren't you a University of PA employee?
>>>>
>>>>Penn State. U of Pa is the one in Philadelphia.
>>>
>>>
>>>The point is that you do have a government pension plan.
>>
>>Yup.
>
>
> In Ohio, our Governor has put some political appointees on the
> retirement fund board, and he wants to make the fund invest in Ohio,
> which is a losing prop.

Sounds like a bad idea. Not specifically about Ohio, but limiting
investments to a particular area is almost like investing in only the
high risk areas.

>
>>>>>Just how much control do you have over your state employee and
>>>
>>>school
>>>
>>>
>>>>>employee retirement funds?

>>>>
>>>> Those two plans. We also have an additional TDA possibility from
>>>>various companies. On the state plan, there is not a lot you can
>>>> do. On the other plan, there are more investment options.
>>>
>>>
>>>TDA? Is that like a supplemental, tax-deferred investment option?
>>>Ohio has a 457, "deferred compensation," plan, which is in addition
>>>to the public employees retirement system.
>>
>>Yup, that's it.
>>
>>
>>
> http://nrsretire.nrsservicecenter.com/nrs/CDA/nrsEmployeeHome/?Site=Ohio
>
>>>Ohio also has five (5) public employees retirement systems for some
>>>reason.
>>>
>>>http://ohio.gov/Retirement.stm
>>>
>>>If you want to see something scary, look at the Federal Employees
>>>Retirement System. They tell you right up front that SS is "the
>>> rest
>>>of your retirement." Not the so called safety net that Jim and FDR
>>>described it as.
>>
>>
>>I see they have three different plans, Social Security, a basic
>> benefit plan and a thrift savings plan. Looks pretty much like
>>a typical plan group.
>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Looks nothing like the former SCRS. Does Penn State contribute 1% of
> your income to your plan, then tell you that SS is the rest of it?

Nope.

> Anyway, the only benefit to being in SS is if you have a life changing
> injury or illness and can no longer work.

I pretty much agree.

> If you take it to 65 (or 67
> depending on the whims of the democratic party) the ROI is slim to
> none. Savings bonds beat it.

Republicans are in power now. They have assumed the mantle of
responsibility. It is now their whims that count.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1345. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 16:31:21 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cuddspnlg1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102861

K4YZ wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>>I don't think this administration has a clue what they got
>>> themselves
>
>>>into. For years, Reagan & "Shake Hands" Rumsfeld were SH's buddies.
>
> Now
>
>>>SH's gone, thanks to massive US involvement, but the Iraqis sure
>
> seem
>
>>>ungrateful.
>>
>> I think a large number of them are grateful, but a large number are
>>not. Iraq is an artificial country, cobbled together from disparate
>>groups that have had a tradition of not getting along well. Let us
>
> hope
>
>>they will catch onto democracy after a few years.
>
>
> I don't think it's a "hapenin' thing", Mike.

You are probably right. I think the way things are turning out, we just
have to give it the chance.

> They've got that "olde tymme religion" fervor going on, and now
> they also have millons of metric tons of things taht go boom and bang.

Aas usual, religion will once again play a major part in death and
destruction in the world.

> They blame their "problems" on the United States or Isreal, but if
> we could snap our fingers and vacate Iraq right now, and somehow make
> Isreal a "non-issue" for them, then they'd just go back to Shiite-Sunni
> fights, Iran/Iraq, etc etc etc.
>
> I think the most impressive election was ours...And why we don't
> make more "hay" out of it is beyond me...The most powerful, richest
> nation in the world manages to change it's government every 4 years
> without firing a shot...Imagine that...

And let us hope it stays that way. Perhaps as long as we can keep the religion out of guvmint.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1346. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 17:49:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1107971374.401601.191570@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102862

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY wrote:
> >>>In article <ONCdnXiy5JHNUJvfRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>>>N2EY wrote:
> >>>>>In article <poadnZ0fIdbBH5vfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
> >>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
> >>>
> >>>Shrub just submitted his new budget. Not surprisingly, there are
> >>>all kinds of cuts for domestic programs, and even some for
> >>>veterans' benefits.

> >>The other shoe is dropping now.

> > Some people I know who voted for him are genuinely shocked. They
> > actually thought he'd do something way different.

>Then they are either genuinely stupid or not paying attention.

There are people who think the earth is only a few thousand years
old. Literally.

There are people who oppose contraception, even by married people
who cannot support more children.

>THere is nothing new here.

There is for people who ignore history. Like Vietnam.

> >>>But what's really interesting is that the spending plan only goes
> >>>out one year - if that far. Previous budgets plan out 5 years so
> >>>lawmakers can see "longterm" effects and trends. The new budget
> >>>proposal doesn't show any of that. Heck, according to the news,
> >>>American troops will be out of Iraq before year's end because
> >>>there's no money in the budget for them after about October
> >>>of this year.

> >>>Cool, huh?

> >>Morse code testing will probably be dropped dropped before we are
out
> >>of there.

> > Time for a new pool?

> Sure. A yes or no, or another dated one?

Just revive the old one.

> > Then again, it's been 19 months and almost as many proposals
> > and...nothing from FCC.

> >>That isn't being cynical or anything, just looking at the
> >>situation and history. It would be unconscionable to leave while
the
> >>country is in such turmoil.

> > Why? Didn't you see the "Mission Accomplished" banner?

> Yep, I thought it might have just been say, ten years premature!

What if the new government asks the US to leave?

> >>When we do this sort of thing, it is almost
> >>always a many year effort. My estimate is 10 years, assuming no
other
> >>involvement in the area.

> > I don't think this administration has a clue what they got
themselves
> > into. For years, Reagan & "Shake Hands" Rumsfeld were SH's buddies.
Now
> > SH's gone, thanks to massive US involvement, but the Iraqis sure
seem
> > ungrateful.
>
> I think a large number of them are grateful, but a large number are
> not.

Of course. Trouble is, guys like Shrub think that Iraq in 2004 is going to be like France in 1944, with the locals blowing kisses to American tank drivers and no resistance movement. The reality is more like Vietnam in 1964.

> Iraq is an artificial country, cobbled together from disparate
> groups that have had a tradition of not getting along well.

That's putting it mildly. Look at Northern Ireland - those folks are all nominally Christians, and almost identical ethnically.

> Let us hope they will catch onto democracy after a few years.

Whose version of "democracy"? American democracy, where someone can get the most popular votes and still not be elected?

Last night there was an excellent documentary on Frontline about the history of Saudi Arabia. Watch for it - they often rerun such shows. it explains a lot about the region and the USA's role there.

Transcript may be available on their website.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1347. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 18:11:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050209131146.637$CY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 102863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote:
> I can't wait for dayton this year. When those no good hammie
> cocksuckers try to buy my 10 meter and amps for cheap I'm going to slap
> their queer, gay asses back to their local repeater!
>
> I may even drag a couple of hammie's into my booth and shove my massive
> man stick up their man-puss! Its going to be a hoot!
>
I'm gonna bloody a certain repeater jammers face if I see him at Dayton.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

1348. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 20:05:49 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cudqeunga1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 102864

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>In article <ONCdnXiy5JHNUJvfRVn-hg@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>>>
>>>>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>In article <poadnZ0fIdbBH5vfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>, Mike Coslo
>>>>>>><mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Shrub just submitted his new budget. Not surprisingly, there are
>>>>>>all kinds of cuts for domestic programs, and even some for
>>>>>>veterans' benefits.
>>>
>>>
>>>>The other shoe is dropping now.
>>>
>>>
>>>Some people I know who voted for him are genuinely shocked. They
>>>actually thought he'd do something way different.
>>
>>
>>Then they are either genuinely stupid or not paying attention.
>
>
> There are people who think the earth is only a few thousand years
> old. Literally.
>
> There are people who oppose contraception, even by married people
> who cannot support more children.

And no doubt. But anyone who is surprised at the proposals that are
being made shouldn't be. It's who we elected, and it is what they
believe in.

>>THere is nothing new here.
>

>
> There is for people who ignore history. Like Vietnam.

>
>>>>>But what's really interesting is that the spending plan only goes
>>>>>out one year - if that far. Previous budgets plan out 5 years so
>>>>>lawmakers can see "longterm" effects and trends. The new budget
>>>>>proposal doesn't show any of that. Heck, according to the news,
>>>>>American troops will be out of Iraq before year's end because
>>>>>there's no money in the budget for them after about October
>>>>>of this year.
>>
>>>>>Cool, huh?
>>
>>
>>>>Morse code testing will probably be dropped dropped before we are
>>
> out
>>
>>>>of there.
>>
>>
>>>Time for a new pool?
>>
>>
>>Sure. A yes or no, or another dated one?
>>
>>
> Just revive the old one.
>>
>>
>>>Then again, it's been 19 months and almost as many proposals
>>>and...nothing from FCC.
>>
>>
>>>>That isn't being cynical or anything, just looking at the
>>>>situation and history. It would be unconscionable to leave while
>>
> the
>>
>>>>country is in such turmoil.
>>
>>
>>>Why? Didn't you see the "Mission Accomplished" banner?
>>
>>
>>Yep, I thought it might have just been say, ten years premature!
>>
>>

> What if the new government asks the US to leave?

Sounds like time to go!

>>>>When we do this sort of thing, it is almost
>>>>always a many year effort. My estimate is 10 years, assuming no
>>>> other involvement in the area.
>
>
>>> I don't think this administration has a clue what they got
>>> themselves into. For years, Reagan & "Shake Hands" Rumsfeld were
>>> SH's buddies.
>>> Now SH's gone, thanks to massive US involvement, but the Iraqis sure
>>> seem ungrateful.
>>
>>I think a large number of them are grateful, but a large number are
>>not.
>
>
> Of course. Trouble is, guys like Shrub think that Iraq in 2004 is going
> to be like France in 1944, with the locals blowing kisses to American
> tank drivers and no resistance movement. The reality is more like
> Vietnam in 1964.
>
>
>>Iraq is an artificial country, cobbled together from disparate
>>groups that have had a tradition of not getting along well.
>
>
> That's putting it mildly. Look at Northern Ireland - those folks
> are all nominally Christians, and almost identical ethnically.
>
>
>>Let us hope they will catch onto democracy after a few years.
>
>
> Whose version of "democracy"? American democracy, where someone can get
> the most popular votes and still not be elected?
>
> Last night there was an excellent documentary on Frontline about the
> history of Saudi Arabia. Watch for it - they often rerun such shows. it
> explains a lot about the region and the USA's role there.
>
> Transcript may be available on their website.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1349. The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 23:03:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1107990238.904624.123740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102865

Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool. Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:

WA2SI: September 13, 2003
KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
K2UNK: January 1, 2004
K2ASP: March 15, 2004
AA2QA: April 1, 2004
N2EY: April 15, 2004
N3KIP: May 1, 2004
KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
K3LT: September 15, 2004
WK3C: December 30, 2004
N8UZE: July 1, 2005
KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
W5TIT: June 1, 2008

Anybody want to add a prediction?

Or should we start a new one?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1350. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 23:18:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1107991119.389214.227720@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102866

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <1107885273.635210.161550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> N2EY@AOL.COM writes:
>
> >> > Ask Len - he's our resident liberal.
> >>
> >> But it isn't a Morse code testing issue.....
> >>
> >That's why he'll go on at length about it. He talks about all kinds
of
> >things that have nothing to do with Morse Code testing.
> >
> >And when somebody *does* try to discuss Morse Code testing in a
civil,
> >rational way with him, he calls the person names and does the old
> >ad-hominem game.
>
> Interesting TROLL technique. Yields the first impression that
> someone else is ten kinds of badness; i.e., a disguised
> ad hominem, done up in righteous flag-waving wrapping.

Not at all, Len. You've behaved exactly as described so many times
you've become very predictable.

> Tsk. My "liberality" in here is basically about the removal of
> morse code testing from the U.S. amateur radio license exam.

You described the recent second inauguration of the president as
a "coronation". Which leads any reasonable person to believe you
did not vote for him. Lots of other comments on non-amateur-radio
subjects back up that impression.

> Is that some kind of heinous "political liberality?"
> Is it the mouthing of some Antichrist?
> Is it a personal pejorative on
> someone? I don't think so.

Who said it was?

Do you think being called a liberal is a pejorative, Len?

> Retention of the morse code test seems to be ingrained in
> the psyche of conservative old-time hams who absolutely
> insist on keeping that code test forever and ever.

Is that wrong? Is it electropolitically incorrect to think that
at least some Morse Code testing should be retained for an

amateur radio license? I don't think so.

But you act like it is a terribly wrong thing to advocate.

> Morse code
> mode IS ham radio to some of those morse mavens.

So? Ham radio is many different things to many different people. It cannot be much to you, Len, because you've never bothered to even become a ham radio operator.

> They
> must remain as a living museum to archaic communications
> modes and desire all kinds of "respect" (they are "superior" to
> all those that don't want or care for on-off keying modes) and
> "recognition of greatness" (because they bought into the
> morse myths long ago and can't admit to being deceived).
> They see themselves as "leaders" in everything and look down
> on all others if those others are against morse code testing.

There you go, Len, starting with the pejoratives and put-downs and ad-hominem demonization of people who have opinions different than yours.

Just as predicted. You do it every time.

> These die-hard morse mavens (unable to look good in a
> Bruce Willis toupee and tee-shirt) call anti-morse-test
> advocates as "liberal" in all things because morse testing
> and the morse mode is righteous "conservatism"...because
> morsemanship is their thing and they are "superior" from that.

I called you a liberal based on things other than Morse Code testing, Len.
Such as your "coronation" comment, and other things. You also use the term "conservative" as a negative thing, which reinforces the impression.

1351. Re: NMO mount reinforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-09 23:34:33 UTC
From: mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Message-ID: <cue6m9kkp1@puck.litech.org>
Source record: 102867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

k0hb@earthlink.net wrote:
> I have a 3/4-inch NMO mount on the top of one of my vehicles. The
> antenna is starting to tilt toward the rear (maybe I drive too fast)
> due to the thin metal in the trucks' roof. Has anyone found a solution
> to this problem?

Put a plate under the roof, if you're willing to remove the headliner,
or on top of the roof, if you're not, to stiffen that area. I think that
under would be better for multiple reasons, and you've got to get into the
headliner anyway to deal with the cable, don't you? You shouldn't need a
lot of plate -- say 6" square or 6"x12", with the long axis oriented
front-to-back. The NMO mounting nut may be enough, or you may want to use a
couple of fasteners to keep the plate straight.

--
Mike Andrews, KE5DMQ
mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Tired old sysadmin

1352. Re: NMO mount reinforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 00:13:36 UTC
From: Ed <Huckleberry_REMOVE_@bigvalley.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95F8A52D6C51Dspectrumhogstarbandn@207.106.92.175>
Source record: 102868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>> I have a 3/4-inch NMO mount on the top of one of my vehicles. The
>> antenna is starting to tilt toward the rear (maybe I drive too fast)
>> due to the thin metal in the trucks' roof. Has anyone found a
>> solution to this problem?

Temporarily you could loosen the NMO mount ring and look to see if the two tabs which fit UNDER the roof metal are aimed forward and rear. If so, you could simply turn the assembly 90 degrees so that the two tabs now fit under the roof hole right and left side. This is assuming that the tilt was due to the bending of the front to rear part of the hole, but left and right side of the hole still being flat.

Ultimately, some kind of added thin steel plating under the roof hole would be the only thing I can think of at the moment. I've seen some plating added to the top side, which worked, but looked like heck.

Ed K7AAT

1353. © © © Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven © 1005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 00:28:48 UTC
From: Good_News_12004@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107995328.152125.46080@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102869

```
Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth
in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and
died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds).
He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a
virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life
for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless
blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for
our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later
as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that
Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept
Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him
in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness
and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is
to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you
confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe
in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you
WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if
you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following
prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have
a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a
sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I
believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the
penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead.
Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my
Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for
forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace.
Amen.
```

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed
God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get
another chance to be saved because none of us knows
exactly when we will die.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

1354. Re: NMO mount reinforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 00:45:22 UTC
From: Lloyd <mrlloyd@salesboywinner.org>
Message-ID: <cueape$n6m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<k0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1107986227.367228.22340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I have a 3/4-inch NMO mount on the top of one of my vehicles. The
> antenna is starting to tilt toward the rear (maybe I drive too fast)
> due to the thin metal in the trucks' roof. Has anyone found a solution
> to this problem?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Loosen the NMO mount rig. Straighten the antenna but dont
retighten yet. Squeeze a liberal amount of J& B weld into the
hole. Retighten the NMO ring. A simple fix that works every
time.

73,

Lloyd

1355. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 01:13:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107997985.641402.207740@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102871

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > "People who make up stories about thier careers
> > shouldn't
> > > > > give
> > > > > > > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > names of places and dates when those bits of information
> can
> > be
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > corroborated." - Steve Robeson
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Steve, that reminds me of a guy who slipped up and claimed
> > > > > > > > involvement
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > in seven hostile actions.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Different circumstances.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I once slipped up and thought I could trust a guy that I
> > sent
> > > > > > mail
> > > > > > > > > > > > to in confidence, however he took stuff I sent him and
> disucssed
> > it
> > > > > > in
> > > > > > > > > > > > open forum dispite having been told the material was
sensitive.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Some trust, eh? Can't even trust a fellow Vet any
> > > > more...That's
> > > > > > > > > > > > sad.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > 73
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > In other words, no hostile actions.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > In other words, you're wrong.

> > >
> > > Brian, we've gotten along very well, lately...even shared
some
> > > mutually complimentary words. But if you want to reopen the
flood
> > > gate, hey, I've got my snorkle and fins right here...
> > >
> > > It's up to you.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, you're right as always. ""People who make up stories about
> > thier careers shouldn't give the
> > names of places and dates when those bits of information can be
> > corroborated." - Steve Robeson"
>
> We're back to it Brian...last chance to suck it up and keep
things
> gentlemanly.....

Things are gentlemanly. If you wish to change the situation, it's on
you.

Best of Luck.

> Otherwise tell us about your undocumented, illegal operation
from
> Somalia. It was OK, supposedly, becasue some butter bar gave you the
> nod, but your butter bar was not a representitive of the UN officers
> who were in charge at the time.

Obviously you weren't there, or you'd be able to make reference to 8
hostile actions. And I don't reall a single LT, butter bar or not. We
tend to deploy with our experienced people. I did see one reserve
Staff Sargeant, but that was about the lowest rank I saw. He wasn't in
the UN either.

> After that you can get around to providing us the links that
> corroborate your "Unlicensed devices play a major role in emergency
> comms" assertion.

Go get a better quote.

> Then you can provided us some sort of verification of your claim
> of having worked the equivilent of DXCC a couple times over.

Go get a quote for that one, too.

> Those are radio-related issues that you claim expertise,
> experience or otherwise are knowledgeable of.
>

> So far you've provided us absolutely nothing, and those are just
> the RADIO related issues.

My statements can stand on their own.

> And if your nose isn't bruised and bloodied enough after that,
> there are some other issues I will be glad to regurgitate for NG
> consumption, but we'll wait and see if you decide to exercise some

"Bruised and bloody." Interesting choice of words. Are you doing some
kind of violence?

> So...You up to getting your NG butt whupped again, or do you
just
> want to forget that you re-opened a can of worms that folks were just
> now starting to forget?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, I don't believe you've ever given anyone else a "butt whooping."
Perhaps in some fantasy kind of way.

Anyway, when are you going to tell us your 7 war stories?

1356. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 01:19:52 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <vHyOd.7602$ma4.3195@fe09.lga>
Source record: 102872

Never happier to be wrong, hi. :-)

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1107990238.904624.123740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool. Note
> how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:
>
>
> WA2SI: September 13, 2003
> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> K2UNK: January 1, 2004
> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> N2EY: April 15, 2004
> N3KIP: May 1, 2004
> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> K3LT: September 15, 2004
> WK3C: December 30, 2004
> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>
> Anybody want to add a prediction?
>
> Or should we start a new one?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1357. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 01:33:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107999230.661534.73420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102873

Michael Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> <snippage>
>
> >>There are a number of options in which you can spread percentages
of
> >>your money. Those options run the spectrum from blue chip to high
> >> yield, high risk.
> >
> >
> > No bonds? Any balanced funds?
>
> Yup.

Holy Cow! And I thought they only had highly risky investment choices.
At least that's the way you and Jim have made it sound.

> A lot of people don't take that approach though. They listen to the
> investment mantra that "Over the long term, the market always goes
> up".

That's a fact.

> Unfortunately their advisor neglects to tell them that what matters
> is where the stock market is when they retire.

Sounds like you you could open your own Jones office.

> And for whatever reason, they don't figure that out for themselves.

There you go. If you ever get tired of working at the school, you
really could open that Jones office.

> >> Your retirement income is based on your contributions and how
> >>well the investments did.
> >
> >
> > Is there a "guaranteed return" selection? Of course, even that
won't
> > work if the government devalues its currency.
>
> The most conservative investments have an interest rate that gets
> adjusted every so often.

Is that vehicle capable of losing its principle?

> >>>The Federal Government would likely put limits on the types of
> >>>investments you could make with your SS diversion.
> >>
> >> Ho-boy, another Federally controlled system. 8^)
> >
> >
> > Oh, I forgot. Social Security is a Township Trustee run system.
> >
> >>>No gold and platinum futures, for example.
> >>
> >>Probably a good idea.
> >>
> >>
> >>>As you graduate to an older age group,
> >>>they would probably change the investment vehicles available.
> >
> > Gosh!
> >
> >>One of the people I know that lost a lot of money was thinking
that.
> >> He said that two years before retirement, he was going to pull his
money
> >>out of the high risk stuff and put it into the "safe" stuff.
> >
> >
> > Did he? Or did he think the market was still going good and left
it in
> > riskier investments?
>
> He chose to believe that things were going to get better and to stay

> the course. By the time he got wise, it was too late.

Was it really? Why?

> >>>But that's just me thinking out loud. I heard from Al Franken
that
> >>>Bush doesn't want any ideas on this matter.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>> On the other hand, I was very careful with my retirement
> >>>>>>investments,and didn't lose anything. All I did was take a hit
in
> >>>
> >>>my earning rate.
> >>>>
> >>>>>>Until the bubble burst though, Len wasn't the only person that
> >>>>>>thinks I'm a dimbulb!
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Aren't you a University of PA employee?
> >>>>

> >>>>Penn State. U of Pa is the one in Philadelphia.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>The point is that you do have a government pension plan.
> >>
> >>Yup.
> >
> >
> > In Ohio, our Governor has put some political appointees on the
> > retirement fund board, and he wants to make the fund invest in
Ohio,
> > which is a losing prop.
>
> Sounds like a bad idea. Not specifically about Ohio, but limiting
> investments to a particular area is almost like investing in only the

> high risk areas.

Yep.

> >>>>>Just how much control do you have over your state employee and
> >>>
> >>>school
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>employee retirement funds?
> >>>>
> >>>> Those two plans. We also have an additional TDA possibility from
> >>>>various companies. On the state plan, there is not a lot you can
> >>>> do. On the other plan, there are more investment options.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>TDA? Is that like a supplemental, tax-deferred investment option?
> >>>Ohio has a 457, "deferred compensation," plan, which is in
addition
> >>>to the public employees retirement system.
> >>
> >>Yup, that's it.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
http://nrsretire.nrsservicecenter.com/nrs/CDA/nrsEmployeeHome/?Site=Ohio
> >
> >>>Ohio also has five (5) public employees retirement systems for
some
> >>>reason.
> >>>
> >>>http://ohio.gov/Retirement.stm
> >>>
> >>>If you want to see something scary, look at the Federal Employees

> >>>Retirement System. They tell you right up front that SS is "the
> >>> rest
> >>>of your retirement." Not the so called safety net that Jim and
FDR
> >>>described it as.
> >>
> >>
> >>I see they have three different plans, Social Security, a basic
> >> benefit plan and a thrift savings plan. Looks pretty much like
> >>a typical plan group.
> >
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Looks nothing like the former SCRS. Does Penn State contribute 1%
of
> > your income to your plan, then tell you that SS is the rest of it?
>
> Nope.
>
> > Anyway, the only benefit to being in SS is if you have a life
changing
> > injury or illness and can no longer work.
>
> I pretty much agree.
>
> > If you take it to 65 (or 67
> > depending on the whims of the democratic party) the ROI is slim to
> > none. Savings bonds beat it.
>
> Republicans are in power now. They have assumed the mantle of
> responsibility. It is now their whims that count.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, how quickly you wish to forget the democrat legacy. I can't blame you. But apparently I've been brainwashed by the dems for the past 30 years that SS will be bankrupt by the time its my turn to feed at the trough. Ask anyone in their 40's if SS will be there for them when they retire, and you'll not get a lot of positive answers. Or do you disagree?

1358. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 01:49:40 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108000180.840294.130140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102874

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Len Anderson wrote:
> > In article <1107885273.635210.161550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> > N2EY@AOL.COM writes:
> >
> > >> > Ask Len - he's our resident liberal.
> > >>
> > >> But it isn't a Morse code testing issue.....
> > >>
> > >That's why he'll go on at length about it. He talks about all
kinds
> of
> > >things that have nothing to do with Morse Code testing.
> > >
> > >And when somebody *does* try to discuss Morse Code testing in a
> civil,
> > >rational way with him, he calls the person names and does the old
> > >ad-hominem game.
> >
> > Interesting TROLL technique. Yields the first impression that
> > someone else is ten kinds of badness; i.e., a disguised
> > ad hominem, done up in righteous flag-waving wrapping.
>
> Not at all, Len. You've behaved exactly as described so many times
> you've become very predictable.

You've become reclusive. It started about the same time that you said words to the effect that a morse code exam would be an obstacle to morse code use.

> > Tsk. My "liberality" in here is basically about the removal of
> > morse code testing from the U.S. amateur radio license exam.
>
> You described the recent second inauguration of the president as
> a "coronation".

And we were lead to believe that you didn't read all of Len's postings.

> Which leads any reasonable person to believe you
> did not vote for him.

In a two-party system where almost half of the people voted for the other guy, I find it quite easy to think some people didn't vote for Bush.

> Lots of other comments on non-amateur-radio
> subjects back up that impression.

Are you sure that Len votes?

> > Is that some kind of heinous "political liberality?"
> > Is it the mouthing of some Antichrist?
> > Is it a personal pejorative on
> > someone? I don't think so.
>
> Who said it was?
>
> Do you think being called a liberal is a pejorative, Len?

I do. If someone were to call me a liberal, I'd be downright indignant
about it.

> > Retention of the morse code test seems to be ingrained in
> > the psyche of conservative old-time hams who absolutely
> > insist on keeping that code test forever and ever.
>
> Is that wrong? Is it electropolitically incorrect to think that
> at least some Morse Code testing should be retained for an
> amateur radio license? I don't think so.
>
> But you act like it is a terribly wrong thing to advocate.

If only Len could vote a pelican-like bird for you to wear on a
necklace.

> > Morse code
> > mode IS ham radio to some of those morse mavens.
>
> So? Ham radio is many different things to many different people.

Not really. It seems to be mostly one thing to many people.

It
> cannot be much to you, Len, because you've never bothered to even
> become a ham radio operator.

There is that.

> > They
> > must remain as a living museum to archaic communications
> > modes and desire all kinds of "respect" (they are "superior" to
> > all those that don't want or care for on-off keying modes) and
> > "recognition of greatness" (because they bought into the
> > morse myths long ago and can't admit to being deceived).
> > They see themselves as "leaders" in everything and look down
> > on all others if those others are against morse code testing.
>
> There you go, Len, starting with the pejoratives and put-downs and
> ad-hominem demonization of people who have opinions different than

> yours.

But Jim, several Extras absolutely have claimed that it is the ancient mode which gives them their greatness, demanded respect for such, and claimed superiority in all of the radio sciences and arts for this single skill.

So how can you claim it to be perjoritives and ad-hominem demonization of people who have put forth exactly those ideas?

1359. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 01:50:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <fs2dnW3PecHlV5ffRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 102877

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1107990238.904624.123740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool. Note
> how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:
>
>
> WA2SI: September 13, 2003
> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> K2UNK: January 1, 2004
> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> N2EY: April 15, 2004
> N3KIP: May 1, 2004
> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> K3LT: September 15, 2004
> WK3C: December 30, 2004
> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>
> Anybody want to add a prediction?
>
> Or should we start a new one?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

How about making it a "rolling pool"? Once a person's date has passed, they
can choose another and get re-added to the list.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1360. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 02:01:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108000626.179558.42520@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102875

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > >>>Shrub just
>
> >Then they are either genuinely stupid
>
> Of course. Trouble is, guys like Shrub
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Perjoritives? Ad-hominem attacks?

Jim would never, ever engage in such low-down activities.

1361. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 02:37:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108003028.624455.88670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102876

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
Note
> how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:
>
>
> WA2SI: September 13, 2003
> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> K2UNK: January 1, 2004
> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> N2EY: April 15, 2004
> N3KIP: May 1, 2004
> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> K3LT: September 15, 2004
> WK3C: December 30, 2004
> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>
> Anybody want to add a prediction?
>
> Or should we start a new one?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Hmmmm? I note Kim's callsign in there.

1362. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 02:46:45 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <m8ydnWl7XLyLVpffRVn-gQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 102878

Dee Flint wrote:

> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1107990238.904624.123740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool. Note
>>how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:
>>
>>
>>WA2SI: September 13, 2003
>>KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
>>KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
>>K2UNK: January 1, 2004
>>K2ASP: March 15, 2004
>>AA2QA: April 1, 2004
>>N2EY: April 15, 2004
>>N3KIP: May 1, 2004
>>KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
>>WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
>>K3LT: September 15, 2004
>>WK3C: December 30, 2004
>>N8UZE: July 1, 2005
>>KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
>>W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>>
>>Anybody want to add a prediction?
>>
>>Or should we start a new one?
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>
> How about making it a "rolling pool"? Once a person's date has passed, they
> can choose another and get re-added to the list.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Speaking of rolling, where is larry?

1363. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 03:39:47 UTC
From: Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com>
Message-ID: <hnll01lpo36emba7e99g8amjhn426p5rog@4ax.com>
Source record: 102879

On Wed, 09 Feb 2005 21:46:45 -0500, whoever <whoever@wherever> wrote:

>>>Anybody want to add a prediction?
>>>
>>>Or should we start a new one?
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>
>>
>> How about making it a "rolling pool"? Once a person's date has passed, they
>> can choose another and get re-added to the list.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>Speaking of rolling, where is larry?

I think he's joined a cloistered monastery...

1364. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 04:29:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nuCdna-w5LC2fpffRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102880

bb wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>><snippage>
>>
>>>>There are a number of options in which you can spread percentages
>
> of
>
>>>>your money. Those options run the spectrum from blue chip to high
>>>>yield, high risk.
>>>
>>>
>>>No bonds? Any balanced funds?
>>
>>Yup.
>
>
> Holy Cow! And I thought they only had highly risky investment choices.
> At least that's the way you and Jim have made it sound.

Through all this thread, I have noted that there were multiple choices of investments. And my comments have ben that many people are not capable of resisting the "big bucks" risky investments. I account for human nature in my assessments of how people should be treated.

Fact is, an awful lot of people NEED some fiscal restraint. Otherwise they make stupid choices and become a drain on society. It is how it is. It is why people on welfare buy lottery tickets when they should be buying food or paying their rent. It is why people think they can make risky investments, and somehow retire to make more money than when they were working.

>
>>A lot of people don't take that approach though. They listen to the
>> investment mantra that "Over the long term, the market always goes
>>up".
>
> That's a fact.

It sure is. But it is like saying that the average voltage of our household outlet is 0 volts. I'll pass on grabbing bare wires of that 0 volt average system if ya don't mind.

>
>>Unfortunately their advisor neglects to tell them that what matters
>>is where the stock market is when they retire.
>
> Sounds like you you could open your own Jones office.

I'm dense. What's a Jones office?

>
>>And for whatever reason, they don't figure that out for themselves.
>
>
> There you go. If you ever get tired of working at the school, you
> really could open that Jones office.

I'll make an assumption that this "Jones office is some kind of investment outfit. I probably wouldn't make so much money because I wouldn't try to talk people into investing their money in things that I think they would lose it with. But then again, I don't think Mr. investment advisor is my friend.

>
>>>>Your retirement income is based on your contributions and how
>>>>well the investments did.
>>>
>>>
>>>Is there a "guaranteed return" selection? Of course, even that
>
> won't
>
>>>work if the government devalues its currency.
>>
>> The most conservative investments have an interest rate that gets
>>adjusted every so often.
>
> Is that vehicle capable of losing its principle?

No.

>
>>>>>The Federal Government would likely put limits on the types of
>>>>>investments you could make with your SS diversion.
>>>>
>>>> Ho-boy, another Federally controlled system. 8^)
>>>
>>>
>>>Oh, I forgot. Social Security is a Township Trustee run system.
>>>
>>>

>>>>>No gold and platinum futures, for example.
>>>>
>>>>Probably a good idea.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>As you graduate to an older age group,
>>>>>they would probably change the investment vehicles available.
>>>
>>>Gosh!
>>>
>>>
>>>>One of the people I know that lost a lot of money was thinking
>
> that.
>
>>>>He said that two years before retirement, he was going to pull his
>
> money
>
>>>>out of the high risk stuff and put it into the "safe" stuff.
>>>
>>>
>>>Did he? Or did he think the market was still going good and left
>
> it in
>
>>>riskier investments?
>>
>> He chose to believe that things were going to get better and to stay
>
>
>>the course. By the time he got wise, it was too late.
>
>
> Was it really? Why?

He lost his principle. It can happen on that plan.

<snippage for trying to keep track>

>>
>> Republicans are in power now. They have assumed the mantle of
>>responsibility. It is now their whims that count.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Mike, how quickly you wish to forget the democrat legacy. I can't
> blame you. But apparently I've been brainwashed by the dems for the
> past 30 years that SS will be bankrupt by the time its my turn to feed

> at the trough. Ask anyone in their 40's if SS will be there for them
> when they retire, and you'll not get a lot of positive answers. Or do
> you disagree?

There are many different time frames on exactly *when* the system will run out of steam or money. A lot of this depends on how much money is taken out by non-standard usage. (read robbing the till)

I don't care WHO took the money out. Be it Democrat or Republican. One of the side effects of being in power is that when it is your group, you reap the benefits as well as the brickbats.

If all the Pubs can do is continue to blame the Dems for every problem on the face of the planet, then it means that they are *weak*, because they can't do anything about the Democrats even when they are in power. Funny how things work! 8^)

Proud to be an independent. ... - Mike KB3EIA -

1365. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 04:32:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nuCdna6w5LBnfpffRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102881

Dee Flint wrote:

> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1107990238.904624.123740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool. Note
>>how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:
>>
>>
>>WA2SI: September 13, 2003
>>KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
>>KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
>>K2UNK: January 1, 2004
>>K2ASP: March 15, 2004
>>AA2QA: April 1, 2004
>>N2EY: April 15, 2004
>>N3KIP: May 1, 2004
>>KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
>>WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
>>K3LT: September 15, 2004
>>WK3C: December 30, 2004
>>N8UZE: July 1, 2005
>>KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
>>W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>>
>>Anybody want to add a prediction?
>>
>>Or should we start a new one?
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>
> How about making it a "rolling pool"? Once a person's date has passed, they
> can choose another and get re-added to the list.

Kinda takes the fun out of it, Dee. Anyhow, if we did do it again, I
might add a year or so to my prediction.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1366. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 05:01:29 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <JUBOd.8338$wK.7191@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102882

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1107990238.904624.123740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool. Note
>>how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:
>>
>>
>>WA2SI: September 13, 2003
>>KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
>>KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
>>K2UNK: January 1, 2004
>>K2ASP: March 15, 2004
>>AA2QA: April 1, 2004
>>N2EY: April 15, 2004
>>N3KIP: May 1, 2004
>>KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
>>WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
>>K3LT: September 15, 2004
>>WK3C: December 30, 2004
>>N8UZE: July 1, 2005
>>KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
>>W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>>
>>Anybody want to add a prediction?
>>
>>Or should we start a new one?
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>
> How about making it a "rolling pool"? Once a person's date has passed, they
> can choose another and get re-added to the list.
>

Okay, I'll increment 1 day for every day.... I'm sure to
hit it then :-)

1367. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 05:02:15 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <rVBOd.8340$wK.274@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102883

bb wrote:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
>
> Note
>
>>how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:
>>
>>
>>WA2SI: September 13, 2003
>>KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
>>KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
>>K2UNK: January 1, 2004
>>K2ASP: March 15, 2004
>>AA2QA: April 1, 2004
>>N2EY: April 15, 2004
>>N3KIP: May 1, 2004
>>KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
>>WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
>>K3LT: September 15, 2004
>>WK3C: December 30, 2004
>>N8UZE: July 1, 2005
>>KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
>>W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>>
>>Anybody want to add a prediction?
>>
>>Or should we start a new one?
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> Hmmmm? I note Kim's callsign in there.
>

So's mine. ;-)

1368. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 05:03:47 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <TWBOd.8343$wK.2688@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102884

>
>
> Speaking of rolling, where is larry?
>

He's driving a VW microbus with shovels and rakes and implements
of destruction.....

1369. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 05:45:28 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <r6tl01t9ejug7isnvci75apn8i1511udkt@4ax.com>
Source record: 102885

On 9 Feb 2005 15:03:58 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool. Note
>how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:
>
>
>WA2SI: September 13, 2003
>KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
>KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
>K2UNK: January 1, 2004
>K2ASP: March 15, 2004
>AA2QA: April 1, 2004
>N2EY: April 15, 2004
>N3KIP: May 1, 2004
>KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
>WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
>K3LT: September 15, 2004
>WK3C: December 30, 2004
>N8UZE: July 1, 2005
>KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
>W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>
>Anybody want to add a prediction?
>
N4PGW May 22, 2005
Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1370. Brian Burke: Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 07:59:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108022347.585216.133960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102886

> > We're back to it Brian...last chance to suck it up and keep
> things
> > gentlemanly.....
>
> Things are gentlemanly. If you wish to change the situation, it's on
> you.

Not when one of the parties insists on trying to berate the others
in public, Brain. Fights on. It was your deal, so now these are the
card you have to play.

> Best of Luck.

I've not once needed "luck" in dealing with you, Brain. You post,
you make a fool out of yourself, I have fun with it. It's almost too
simple.

> > Otherwise tell us about your undocumented, illegal operation
> from
> > Somalia. It was OK, supposedly, becasue some butter bar gave you
the
> > nod, but your butter bar was not a representitive of the UN
officers
> > who were in charge at the time.
>
> Obviously you weren't there, or you'd be able to make reference to 8
> hostile actions. And I don't reall a single LT, butter bar or not.
We
> tend to deploy with our experienced people. I did see one reserve
> Staff Sargeant, but that was about the lowest rank I saw. He wasn't
in
> the UN either.

Regardless of the grade of officer involved, you had no legal
basis from which to operate a radio transmitter except in the official
line of duty while in Somalia. And please notice I did not say he was
a UN officer...As a matter of fact I pointed that out to you. That's
part of the reason your operation was illegal.

Your operation was bogus from day one.

> > After that you can get around to providing us the links that
> > corroborate your "Unlicensed devices play a major role in emergency
> > comms" assertion.
>
> Go get a better quote.

No better quote to get, Brain. They were your words to start
with.

YOU said that unlicensed devices play a ""major" role in disaster
comms.

Now, I want YOU to back your claim up, or just admit you were just
running off at the mouth for lack of anything impressive to say hoping
that we would just roll over an believe you.

> > Then you can provided us some sort of verification of your
claim
> > of having worked the equivilent of DXCC a couple times over.
>
> Go get a quote for that one, too.

Still don't need one.

You allegedly worked enough countries to get DXCC from HL, then
allegedly did it again from KH. Just like your illegal Somalia
operation, there's not one iota of proof that you did it in EITHER
place.

If you did it, prove it. Otherwise you're just lying.

> > Those are radio-related issues that you claim expertise,
> > experience or otherwise are knowledgeable of.
> >
> > So far you've provided us absolutely nothing, and those are
just
> > the RADIO related issues.
>
> My statements can stand on their own.

Then they "stand" for you being a liar.

> > And if your nose isn't bruised and bloodied enough after that,
> > there are some other issues I will be glad to regurgitate for NG
> > consumption, but we'll wait and see if you decide to exercise some
>
> "Bruised and bloody." Interesting choice of words. Are you doing
some
> kind of violence?

If you consider your reputation and character as being something
worth protecting, yeah, I am. But then it's only because I am hold my
"virtual fist" out in front of me and you continually back up, get a
head of steam up, then run into it full force. Just like the above
paragraphs.

You didn't do those things. Period. Stop lying about it.

> > So...You up to getting your NG butt whupped again, or do you
> just
> > want to forget that you re-opened a can of worms that folks were

just
> > now starting to forget?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, I don't believe you've ever given anyone else a "butt
whooping."
> Perhaps in some fantasy kind of way.
>
> Anyway, when are you going to tell us your 7 war stories?

I don't need to tell "war stories", Brain. Show me someone who
makes a habit of telling "war stories" and I can show you a guy who is
making up half of what he is telling and the other half was probably
the tale of a third person.

Kinda like Lennie and his "2.2 million messages" stories and how
he "served under the threat of the Soviet Bear..." Oh..And we can add
in his "you don't KNOW what it's like to be under incomming artillery
fire..." story. THOSE were PRICELESS!

Now we have Brain telling us about emergency comms, DX operation
from a country where he operated illegally, and supposed DXCC
accomplishments without a single bit of proof.

BTW...I'll throw YOU a bone to chew on...I don't care to discuss
my combat service. As I have admitted before it was wrong for me to
discuss then, and it is now. If YOU want to call me a "liar" for that,
be my guest, however you'd still be wrong. However we STILL have tons
of OTHER things that ARE thoroughly documented, ie: my accomplishments
in Amateur Radio, CAP, etc.

Now...about those T5, HL and KH logs...?!?!?

Steve, K4YZ

1371. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 11:22:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108034565.622929.79170@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102887

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>bb wrote:
> >>
> >>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >><snippage>
> >>
> Through all this thread, I have noted that there were multiple >
choices
> of investments. And my comments have ben that many people are
> not
> capable of resisting the "big bucks" risky investments. I
> account for
> human nature in my assessments of how people should be treated.
>
>Fact is, an awful lot of people NEED some fiscal restraint.
> Otherwise
> they make stupid choices and become a drain on society. It is
> how it is.
> It is why people on welfare buy lottery tickets when they
> should be
> buying food or paying their rent. It is why people think they
> can make
> risky investments, and somehow retire to make more money than
> when they were working.

There's also the fact that investment information isn't always on the
up and up. Despite all the regulations, we still have messes like
Enron. Even if those responsible for the Enron debacle go to jail for a
while, it won't bring back the money investors lost.

> >>A lot of people don't take that approach though. They listen > >>to
the
> >> investment mantra that "Over the long term, the market always goes
> >>up".
> >
> > That's a fact.
>
> It sure is. But it is like saying that the average voltage of
> our
> household outlet is 0 volts. I'll pass on grabbing bare wires
> of that 0

> volt average system if ya don't mind.

Sort of. The problem is that unless you buy nothing but index funds,
you're not investing in "the market". And even if you do buy index
funds, you're investing in a particular index.

Y'know what's funny? The same folks who say we don't need restraint
when investing life savings are the same ones who want to restrain stem
cell research, recreational chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol),
contraception, and a bunch of other things.

> >>>work if the government devalues its currency.
> >>
> >> The most conservative investments have an interest rate that gets
> >>adjusted every so often.
> >
> > Is that vehicle capable of losing its principle?
>
> No.

Principle or principal? There's a big difference.
> >
> >> He chose to believe that things were going to get better and to
stay
> >
> >
> >>the course. By the time he got wise, it was too late.
> >
> >
> > Was it really? Why?
>
> He lost his principle. It can happen on that plan.

He lost both his principle (move to lower risk as you get older) and
his principal (original investment)

> There are many different time frames on exactly *when* the
> system will
> run out of steam or money. A lot of this depends on how much
> money is
> taken out by non-standard usage. (read robbing the till)

Yep. Of course if one administration takes it out and promises
to put it back, and a following administration run by the
other party breaks the promise, who is to blame?
>
> I don't care WHO took the money out. Be it Democrat or
> Republican. One
> of the side effects of being in power is that when it is your
> group, you
> reap the benefits as well as the brickbats.

There's also the concept of responsibility. Which means that
the folks in power, red, blue or purple, cannot simply blame
everything on their predecessors and do nothing to fix the
problems.
>
>If all the Pubs can do is continue to blame the Dems for
>every problem
> on the face of the planet, then it means that they are
>*weak*, because
> they can't do anything about the Democrats even when
> they are in power.
> Funny how things work! 8^)

Exactly! Well said, Mike.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1372. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 11:27:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108034827.240541.197190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102888

Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool. Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:

WA2SI: September 13, 2003
KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
K2UNK: January 1, 2004
K2ASP: March 15, 2004
AA2QA: April 1, 2004
N2EY: April 15, 2004
N3KIP: May 1, 2004
KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
K3LT: September 15, 2004
WK3C: December 30, 2004
N4PGW:May 22, 2005
N8UZE: July 1, 2005
KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
W5TIT: June 1, 2008

Anybody want to add a prediction?

New "rolling rule" (thanks Dee, N8UZE): If your prediction is a year or more in the past, you can add a new one. At this point K2UNK and everyone before him can add a new prediction. All predictions stay on the list.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1373. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 13:03:33 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <FYIOd.28923$wi2.11148@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102889

Thank you, Jim. 'Nuff said.

By the way, I'll stick with 2008.

Kim W5TIT

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1107990238.904624.123740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool. Note
> how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:
>
>
> WA2SI: September 13, 2003
> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> K2UNK: January 1, 2004
> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> N2EY: April 15, 2004
> N3KIP: May 1, 2004
> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> K3LT: September 15, 2004
> WK3C: December 30, 2004
> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>
> Anybody want to add a prediction?
>
> Or should we start a new one?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1374. Speaking of The Pool...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 13:08:18 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <61JOd.28924$wi2.5576@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102890

What IS up with any moves to change things up in amateur radio?

What about BPL?

OK, I am behind the times...also still don't have any radios reconnected...been, uh, maybe three years now. But my hubby and I are both "getting the mike hand feeling back" so maybe soon.

Kim W5TIT

1375. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 13:39:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108042762.960122.31130@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102891

Len Anderson wrote:
> In article <20050117123100.06417.00000015@mb-m25.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.comSPAMNO
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >In article <20050117000210.25923.00000014@mb-m26.aol.com>,
lenof21@aol.com
> >(Lenof21) writes:
> >
> >>>> Ever notice how Len avoids direct questions?
> >>>
> >>>Ever notice how Miccolis does?
> >>>
> >>>I do every day.
> >
> >Yes, Brian and Len do avoid direct questions - every day.
>
> No one is obliged to answer loaded questions.

You and Brian avoid unloaded questions as well. You avoid any question
that might prove you to be mistaken about something.

You've done it time and again. Therefore, no one should feel any
obligation to answer *your* questions.
>
> However, J.P.

Who is "J.P.", Len? No one here uses those initials as a screen name or
signature.

> has avoided a direct answer to HOW he "serves
> his country" in the near-same way someone has in the
> military.

You can't be talking about me, then, because I've never claimed
to ""serves [our] country" in the near-same way someone has in the
military."

What I *have* asked is whether uniformed military service is the
only way someone can serve our country.

> So has the coslonaut.

Who is that, Len?

Is there something wrong with you - some sort of mental illness,
perhaps - that prevents you from calling people by their first names

and/or callsigns? Or are you just behaving like a jackass?

Or is there some other reason? Tell us why you make up insulting names
for
people who only address you as "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".

> All are supposed to "figure that out."
>
> Direct question avoided, sidestepped, disguised by mis-
> direction onto other things.

We have all seen how you make fun of and denigrate others'
military service if they disagree with you. One need only
look at your classic "sphincter post" to see the lack of
regard you have for a military radio operator's service to
our country. So there's no reason for others to open themselves
up to your insults.

> >> Careful, Brian...remember that J.P. "serves his country" (by
being
> >> a super-special amateur morseman).
> >
> >Well, if you think so. I don't recall ever claiming that.
>
> So...how DO you "serve your country in other ways?" :-)

We have all seen how you make fun of and denigrate others'
military service if they disagree with you. One need only
look at your classic "sphincter post" to see the lack of
regard you have for a military radio operator's service to
our country. So there's no reason for others to open themselves
up to your insults.

> >We pose valid arguments but you avoid them.
>
> Tsk. FALSE. ERRONEOUS.

Nope. Absolutely true.

> Your arguments in favor of retaining the morse code test have
> been proven false.

By whom? Where? Certainly not by you, and certainly not here.
>
> You refuse to acknowledge that replies have truth in them.

Of course they have some truth in them. They also have mistakes,
errors and omissions.

> You misdirect by the disguised ad hominem of saying the
> PCTAs "are full of errors." :-)

I don't recall ever saying that PCTAs are full of errors.

> >Do we call you names? Tell you to shut up? Call you "anal-retentive"
or
> >"Republican"?
>
> Yes, you do.

Where? What names have you been called?

> In another post you've named me as a "liberal."

Is it true or not? Your postings here indicate you are. Perhaps I'm
mistaken, and you're just a liberal on some issues.

Let's start with the basics. Who did you vote for in the US
presidential
elections of 2000 and 2004?

> Used that appelation as a pejorative as if only the political
> conservatives were the good guys.

That's your impression. Not my meaning at all.

> Adding, in that unique (but
> transparent) disguise of an ad hominem attack cum troll bait.

Are you insulted by being called a liberal?

> >Or is that *your* game?
>
> No "game."

Seems to be.

> Seeing that reasonable discourse evaporated long
> ago amidst the virtual snowstorm of all-subjects-are-suitable-for-
> posting blog content in here, all that seems to continue is the
> usual PCTA "superiority" pejoratives on the person of NCTAs.

There's plenty of reasonable discourse here on a variety of subjects.
But you and Brian exclude yourselves from it by your behavior.
>
> In other words, you are receiving "return fire."

You return fire without being fired upon.

> Seeing as you
> have "served your country in other ways," you should recognize
> such military terms...shouldn't you? :-)
>
> Poor baby. Can't take it, can you?

It seems you are the one who cannot stand reasonable discourse and
simple, direct questions.
>
> Suggestion: Fire up that state-of-the-art Type 7 and "work" some
> contacts. Get on the air. Have fun with ham radio.

I do all those things. Except I've never claimed that the Southgate Type
7 is "state of the art". Whatever that marketing phrase is supposed to mean.

> Try to avoid
> "resonable discourse" of the one-way kind by disguised ad
> hominems in here...it is tough on conservative morsemen trying
> to be oh-so-superior to NCTAs in here.

What *are* you babbling about, Len?

Since you consider "morsemen" to be "conservative", that makes you an ultraliberal, does it not?

1376. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 14:08:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108044487.343188.263220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102892

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Is there something wrong with you - some sort of mental illness,
> perhaps - that prevents you from calling people by their first names
> and/or callsigns? Or are you just behaving like a jackass?

That was a rhetorical question, wasn't it, Jim? =)

> Or is there some other reason? Tell us why you make up insulting
names
> for
> people who only address you as "Len" or "Mr. Anderson".

I have an answer, but you know my predisposition on the use of
> > All are supposed to "figure that out."
> >
> > Direct question avoided, sidestepped, disguised by mis-
> > direction onto other things.
>
> We have all seen how you make fun of and denigrate others'
> *military* service if they disagree with you. One need only
> look at your classic "sphincter post" to see the lack of
> regard you have for a military radio operator's service to
> our country. So there's no reason for others to open themselves
> up to your insults.

And how can they avoid it, Jim?

Even those who have agreed with His Putziness have come under his
barrage of abuses.

> Let's start with the basics. Who did you vote for in the US
> presidential
> elections of 2000 and 2004?

Jim...you're asking the lyingest putz on the Internet to honestly
reveal his voting choices?

> > Seeing that reasonable discourse evaporated long
> > ago amidst the virtual snowstorm of
all-subjects-are-suitable-for-
> > posting blog content in here, all that seems to continue is the
> > usual PCTA "superiority" pejoratives on the person of NCTAs.
>
> There's plenty of reasonable discourse here on a variety of subjects.
> But you and Brian exclude yourselves from it by your behavior.

I'll cut Brian some temorary slack here...He had been quite civil
in the last few weeks...For some reason he's back to his old misdeeds.
Perhaps it's just a mild relapse that he'll get over.

> > In other words, you are receiving "return fire."
>
> You return fire without being fired upon.

I always get a laugh from Lennie's use of military combat jargon,
yet he (a) never served in a live fire zone and (b) lambastes anyone
else who uses such vernacular.

> > Seeing as you
> > have "served your country in other ways," you should recognize
> > such military terms...shouldn't you? :-)
> >
> > Poor baby. Can't take it, can you?
>
> It seems you are the one who cannot stand reasonable discourse and
> simple, direct questions.

Indeed.

> > Suggestion: Fire up that state-of-the-art Type 7 and "work"
some
> > contacts. Get on the air. Have fun with ham radio.
>
> I do all those things. Except I've never claimed that the Southgate
> Type
> 7 is "state of the art". Whatever that marketing phrase is supposed
to
> mean.
>
> > Try to avoid
> > "resonable discourse" of the one-way kind by disguised ad
> > hominems in here...it is tough on conservative morsemen trying
> > to be oh-so-superior to NCTAs in here.
>
> What *are* you babbling about, Len?
>
> Since you consider "morsemen" to be "conservative", that makes you an
> ultraliberal, does it not?

Not to mention that anyone who has an Extra class license is NOT
"oh-so-superior" to anyone...As a matter of fact, most of us have gone
to great lengths to help or accomodate those who are not Extras or have
any radio background.

Being condescending and mean to neophytes, well, that's a
LennieSchtick. Not one sentence of "Here, let me help you" rhetortic
has eminated from the keyboard of Leonard H. Anderson without also
including some mean, deceptive or otherwise deceitful insults with it.

It's just not in him to do.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1377. Re: Speaking of The Pool...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 14:37:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108046243.808568.104260@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102893

Kim wrote:
> What IS up with any moves to change things up in amateur radio?

There have been about 18 proposals to FCC since July 2003, ranging from "just drop Element 1 and leave everything else alone" to much more involved stuff involving new license classes, etc. At least one group (NCVEC) has submitted *two* proposals.

FCC hasn't done a thing other than assign numbers to the proposals and collect comments.

It seems that the next step will be for FCC to put out an NPRM (Notice of Proposed Rule Making), combining what they think are the best features of the proposals, collect commentary on the NPRM, and then make a decision.

At first I thought FCC would just dump Element 1 when the treaty changed. Now I think we're at least a year, maybe two, from any changes.

Your original 2008 pool prediction may turn out to be the winner!
>
> What about BPL?

FCC put out a bunch of rules that are not as good as we'd like but not as bad as what the BPL folks wanted. ARRL keeps bugging FCC to reconsider, and both ARRL and other amateur groups raise cain whenever there's documented evidence of harmful interference.

There are a few BPL sites in operation. At least one test site shut down, and at least one locale that was considering BPL decided against it. Meanwhile, DSL-, Wi-Fi-, and cable-served areas continue to grow.

Here in Philly the mayor is pushing for the whole city to be Wi-Fi'ed, so everybody can be online boradband-style. Not a bad idea, really, if he can pull it off.

> OK, I am behind the times...also still don't have any radios
> reconnected...been, uh, maybe three years now. But my hubby and I
are both
> "getting the mike hand feeling back" so maybe soon.
>
Good to see you back, Kim.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1378. Henry Amps RIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 17:47:08 UTC
From: Chuck K8CPA <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <hg7n019dn7fcfji0eg5eqs30no2le2s98j@4ax.com>
Source record: 102894

Hi Guys,

I heard the news via the internet... and it's true. Henry amps are no more...:

Here's the e-mail from Mr. Henry Himself:

From: "Ted Henry" <henryradio@earthlink.net>
To: "Chuck Adkins (K8CPA)" <**********@comcast.net>
Subject: Re: Lost your Lease?
Date: Thursday, February 10, 2005 12:23 PM

There were about 15 reasons why we stopped - the primary reason was that the business has lost money for a number of years. The loss of the lease was about 5th down on the many reasons it made no sense to continue the business.

Thanks for your interest.

Ted Shannon Henry

Ted Shannon
HENRY RADIO, INC.
2050 South Bundy Drive Suite 285
Los Angeles, CA 90025

henryradio@earthlink.net
www.henryradio.com
eBay Store: http://stores.ebay.com/Henry-Radio

310-820-1234 ext 310
310-826-7790 FAX

----- Original Message -----
From: Chuck Adkins (K8CPA)
To: henryradio@earthlink.net
Sent: Thursday, February 10, 2005 8:52 AM
Subject: Lost your Lease?

Hi Guys,

why not just find another building? and Continue on?

Makes no sense to stop.

-Chuck K8CPA

1379. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 22:21:45 UTC
From: Alun <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95F9B09E373DEelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.18>
Source record: 102895

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1107990238.904624.123740
@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

> Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool. Note
> how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:
>
>
> WA2SI: September 13, 2003
> KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> K2UNK: January 1, 2004
> K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> N2EY: April 15, 2004
> N3KIP: May 1, 2004
> KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> K3LT: September 15, 2004
> WK3C: December 30, 2004
> N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>
> Anybody want to add a prediction?
>
> Or should we start a new one?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Only four people still in, I see (including one whose date has passed, but
we're less than half way to the next date).

I predict that Canada, Spain and Italy will all make the change before the
US (seems like most other countries already have).

73 de N3KIP

1380. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-10 22:51:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108075890.639191.119230@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102896

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 9 Feb 2005 17:13:05 -0800
Local: Wed, Feb 9 2005 5:13 pm
Subject: Re: Len's terms of endearments list
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:

> > > > > > > "People who make up stories about thier careers
> > shouldn't
> > > > > give
> > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > names of places and dates when those bits of information
> can
> > be
> > > > > > > corroborated." - Steve Robeson

> > > > > > Steve, that reminds me of a guy who slipped up and claimed
> > > > > involvement
> > > > > > in seven hostile actions.

> > > > > Different circumstances.

> > > > > I once slipped up and thought I could trust a guy that I

> > sent
> > > > > mail
> > > > > > to in confidence, however he took stuff I sent him and
> disucssed
> > it
> > > > > in
> > > > > > open forum dispite having been told the material was
sensitive.

> > > > > Some trust, eh? Can't even trust a fellow Vet any
> > > more...That's
> > > > > > sad.

> > > > > 73

> > > > > Steve, K4YZ

> > > > In other words, no hostile actions.

> > > In other words, you're wrong.

> > > Brian, we've gotten along very well, lately...even shared
some
> > > mutually complimentary words. But if you want to reopen the
flood
> > > gate, hey, I've got my snorkle and fins right here...

> > > It's up to you.

> > > 73

> > > Steve, K4YZ

> > Steve, you're right as always. ""People who make up stories about
> > thier careers shouldn't give the
> > names of places and dates when those bits of information can be
> > corroborated." - Steve Robeson"

> We're back to it Brian...last chance to suck it up and keep
things
> gentlemanly.....

Things are gentlemanly. If you wish to change the situation, it's on
you.

Best of Luck.

Poor Stebe. He fantasizes his opponents as all being wrong,
all lying, all unlawful.

> Otherwise tell us about your undocumented, illegal operation
from
> Somalia. It was OK, supposedly, becasue some butter bar gave you the

> nod, but your butter bar was not a representitive of the UN officers
> who were in charge at the time.

Obviously you weren't there, or you'd be able to make reference to 8
hostile actions. And I don't reall a single LT, butter bar or not. We

tend to deploy with our experienced people. I did see one reserve
Staff Sargeant, but that was about the lowest rank I saw. He wasn't in

the UN either.

Stebe has ultimate authority in ham radio. Everywhere.

If He says you be illegal, you are illegal. In his tunnel vision,
that is.

> After that you can get around to providing us the links that
> corroborate your "Unlicensed devices play a major role in emergency

> comms" assertion.

Go get a better quote.

Stebe hasn't done much "emergency comms" stuff, obviously.

But His imagination has! :-)

> Then you can provided us some sort of verification of your claim

> of having worked the equivilent of DXCC a couple times over.

Go get a quote for that one, too.

> Those are radio-related issues that you claim expertise,
> experience or otherwise are knowledgeable of.

> So far you've provided us absolutely nothing, and those are just

> the RADIO related issues.

My statements can stand on their own.

Doesn't matter whether or not anyone provides bona fide references
for Stebe. If'n he say you be wrong, you be wrong! :-)

> And if your nose isn't bruised and bloodied enough after that,
> there are some other issues I will be glad to regurgitate for NG
> consumption, but we'll wait and see if you decide to exercise some

"Bruised and bloody." Interesting choice of words. Are you doing some

kind of violence?

Stebe is Conan the Barbarian in here, master of wanting to do
violence.

> So...You up to getting your NG butt whupped again, or do you
just
> want to forget that you re-opened a can of worms that folks were just

> now starting to forget?

> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, I don't believe you've ever given anyone else a "butt whooping."

Perhaps in some fantasy kind of way.

Ackshully, Stebe said "whupped." Das a TN word which I think
means Stebe's imagination filled out his dream desire for gory
glory and the Prince of Barbarians, conquering all who stand in
his way!

Anyway, when are you going to tell us your 7 war stories?

I think those were "hostile actions." Stebe will say those are all "classified" or somesuch since he can't talk about them in public. :-)

It's the same way with his NOT talking about all those military radios he supposedly used while in the military. He's not mentioned but one and that is really an old commercial rig (which he probably saw briefly at some base MARS station). Stebe said (a long time ago) that all that radio information was "secret" or words to that effect, therefore he "couldn't talk about them in public." :-)

Never mind that nearly ALL of those military radios have been public knowledge for years, information available from many, many public sources. Geez, even before Stebe mumbled his "secret radio" thing, I'd given the link to Army Field Manual FM 24-24 that's been in public dissemination status since 1994. [24-24 is a directory of Signal Devices and similar links are available on various ham radio Internet sites.

Wait a minute. Maybe Soldier of Fortune magazine is going to publish this great war hero's story? In that case, there's prolly a Non-Disclosure Agreement mandating he can't talk about it!

Yeah, that's it. Stebe can't talk about it because of the NDA!

Wow. Funny Fiction time again! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1381. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 00:08:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108080489.112614.286620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102897

Alun wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1107990238.904624.123740
> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
Note
> > how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:
> >
> >
> > WA2SI: September 13, 2003
> > KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
> > KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
> > K2UNK: January 1, 2004
> > K2ASP: March 15, 2004
> > AA2QA: April 1, 2004
> > N2EY: April 15, 2004
> > N3KIP: May 1, 2004
> > KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
> > WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
> > K3LT: September 15, 2004
> > WK3C: December 30, 2004
> > N8UZE: July 1, 2005
> > KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
> > W5TIT: June 1, 2008
> >
> Only four people still in, I see (including one whose date has
passed, but
> we're less than half way to the next date).

N4PGW doesn't show on the above list but he's in.

> I predict that Canada, Spain and Italy will all make the change
before the
> US

Probably.

> (seems like most other countries already have).

Really?

Do you have a list of how many countries still require code testing for
at least one class of amateur radio license, vs. those who have no code
testing?

It would be interesting to see the actual totals. Even better if the number of hams in those countries were included, and growth since the change vs. before the change.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1382. Re: Henry Amps RIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 00:19:44 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <pNSdnY0TUcS_Z5bfRVn-jQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 102898

I thought you turned your license in after you got a so called letter
from Mr. Hollingsworth?

That looks just like this email? Heck it's even got the same time stamp
on it!

From: "Ted Henry" <henryradio@earthlink.net>
To: "NIW2004" niw2004@aol.com
Re: Lost your Lease?
Date: Thursday, February 10, 2005 12:23 PM

There were about 15 reasons why we stopped - the primary reason was that
the business has lost money for a number of years. The loss of the
lease was about 5th down on the many reasons it made no sense to
continue the business.

Thanks for your interest.

Ted Shannon Henry

Ted Shannon
HENRY RADIO, INC.
2050 South Bundy Drive Suite 285
Los Angeles, CA 90025

henryradio@earthlink.net

Chuck K8CPA wrote:

> Hi Guys,
>
> I heard the news via the internet... and it's true. Henry amps are no
> more...:
>
> Here's the e-mail from Mr. Henry Himself:
>
> From: "Ted Henry" <henryradio@earthlink.net>
> To: "Chuck Adkins (K8CPA)" <**********@comcast.net>
> Subject: Re: Lost your Lease?
> Date: Thursday, February 10, 2005 12:23 PM
>
> There were about 15 reasons why we stopped - the primary reason was
> that the business has lost money for a number of years. The loss of
> the lease was about 5th down on the many reasons it made no sense to
> continue the business.
>
> Thanks for your interest.

>
> Ted Shannon Henry
>
> Ted Shannon
> HENRY RADIO, INC.
> 2050 South Bundy Drive Suite 285
> Los Angeles, CA 90025
>
> henryradio@earthlink.net
> www.henryradio.com
> eBay Store: http://stores.ebay.com/Henry-Radio
>
> 310-820-1234 ext 310
> 310-826-7790 FAX
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Chuck Adkins (K8CPA)
> To: henryradio@earthlink.net
> Sent: Thursday, February 10, 2005 8:52 AM
> Subject: Lost your Lease?
>
>
> Hi Guys,
>
> why not just find another building? and Continue on?
>
> Makes no sense to stop.
>
> -Chuck K8CPA
>
>
>
>

1383. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 01:08:37 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <pATOd.1361$Cs5.343@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 102899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1107907561.317424.231690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I can't wait for dayton this year. When those no good hammie
> cocksuckers try to buy my 10 meter and amps for cheap I'm going to slap
> their queer, gay asses back to their local repeater!
>
> I may even drag a couple of hammie's into my booth and shove my massive
> man stick up their man-puss! Its going to be a hoot!
>

Dude you better eat all your beans before you slap me!

1384. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 01:44:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108086279.642242.133230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102900

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > >>bb wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> > >>
> > >><snippage>
> > >>
> > > Through all this thread, I have noted that there were multiple >
> choices
> > of investments. And my comments have ben that many people are
> > not
> > capable of resisting the "big bucks" risky investments. I
> > account for
> > human nature in my assessments of how people should be treated.
> > >
> >Fact is, an awful lot of people NEED some fiscal restraint.
> > Otherwise
> > they make stupid choices and become a drain on society. It is
> > how it is.
> > It is why people on welfare buy lottery tickets when they
> > should be
> > buying food or paying their rent. It is why people think they
> > can make
> > risky investments, and somehow retire to make more money than
> > when they were working.
>
> There's also the fact that investment information isn't always on the
> up and up. Despite all the regulations, we still have messes like
> Enron. Even if those responsible for the Enron debacle go to jail for
a
> while, it won't bring back the money investors lost.

Enron sucked. So now everyone else sucks, too. Maybe your company
sucks.

> > >>A lot of people don't take that approach though. They listen >
>>to
> the
> > >> investment mantra that "Over the long term, the market always
goes
> > >>up".

> > >
> > > That's a fact.
> >
> > It sure is. But it is like saying that the average voltage of
> > our
> > household outlet is 0 volts. I'll pass on grabbing bare wires
> > of that 0
> > volt average system if ya don't mind.
>
> Sort of. The problem is that unless you buy nothing but index funds,
> you're not investing in "the market".

Few index funds are "the market." The SP 500 sure isn't.

And even if you do buy index
> funds, you're investing in a particular index.

So your retirement fund that IS invested in the market won't be there
when you retire. Yeh, right.

> Y'know what's funny? The same folks who say we don't need restraint
> when investing life savings are the same ones who want to restrain
stem
> cell research, recreational chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol),
> contraception, and a bunch of other things.

I've never, ever, ever met anyone who said that you don't need
restraint when investing your life savings. Ever.

So, Jim Miccolis, name those people.

> > >>>work if the government devalues its currency.
> > >>
> > >> The most conservative investments have an interest rate that
gets
> > >>adjusted every so often.
> > >
> > > Is that vehicle capable of losing its principle?
> >
> > No.
>
> Principle or principal? There's a big difference.

The guy in the front office.

> > >> He chose to believe that things were going to get better and to
> stay
> > >
> > >
> > >>the course. By the time he got wise, it was too late.
> > >
> > >
> > > Was it really? Why?

> >
> > He lost his principle. It can happen on that plan.
>
> He lost both his principle (move to lower risk as you get older) and
> his principal (original investment)

I knew a guy who quit a good job. He even had benefits and a
retirement plan. You can't make people stay in jobs they don't like
unless you first rename your country to "East Germany."

> > There are many different time frames on exactly *when* the
> > system will
> > run out of steam or money. A lot of this depends on how much
> > money is
> > taken out by non-standard usage. (read robbing the till)
>
> Yep. Of course if one administration takes it out and promises
> to put it back, and a following administration run by the
> other party breaks the promise, who is to blame?

If you put the senate and house of reps in FERS and SS, they just might
behave more responsibly.

You can blame them or not, but if you make them participate in the
programs that they are screwing, you might like the results better.

> > I don't care WHO took the money out. Be it Democrat or
> > Republican. One
> > of the side effects of being in power is that when it is your
> > group, you
> > reap the benefits as well as the brickbats.
>
> There's also the concept of responsibility. Which means that
> the folks in power, red, blue or purple, cannot simply blame
> everything on their predecessors and do nothing to fix the
> problems.

Aha!

GW Bush is doing somethig to fix your problems.

1385. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 03:08:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5eCdnbA3LdY4vJHfRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102901

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>bb wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>
>>>><snippage>
>>>>
>>Through all this thread, I have noted that there were multiple >
>
> choices
>
>>of investments. And my comments have ben that many people are
>>not
>>capable of resisting the "big bucks" risky investments. I
>>account for
>>human nature in my assessments of how people should be treated.
>>
>>Fact is, an awful lot of people NEED some fiscal restraint.
>>Otherwise
>>they make stupid choices and become a drain on society. It is
>>how it is.
>>It is why people on welfare buy lottery tickets when they
>>should be
>>buying food or paying their rent. It is why people think they
>>can make
>>risky investments, and somehow retire to make more money than
>>when they were working.
>
>
> There's also the fact that investment information isn't always on the
> up and up. Despite all the regulations, we still have messes like
> Enron. Even if those responsible for the Enron debacle go to jail for a
> while, it won't bring back the money investors lost.
>
>
>>>>A lot of people don't take that approach though. They listen > >>to

>
> the
>
>>>>investment mantra that "Over the long term, the market always goes
>>>>up".
>>>
>>>That's a fact.
>>
>>It sure is. But it is like saying that the average voltage of
>>our
>>household outlet is 0 volts. I'll pass on grabbing bare wires
>>of that 0
>>volt average system if ya don't mind.
>
>
> Sort of. The problem is that unless you buy nothing but index funds,
> you're not investing in "the market". And even if you do buy index
> funds, you're investing in a particular index.
>
> Y'know what's funny? The same folks who say we don't need restraint
> when investing life savings are the same ones who want to restrain stem
> cell research, recreational chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol),
> contraception, and a bunch of other things.

Correct. Social engineering and attempts to force their philosophy on
others. Liberals.

>>>>>work if the government devalues its currency.
>>>>
>>>> The most conservative investments have an interest rate that gets
>>>>adjusted every so often.
>>>
>>>Is that vehicle capable of losing its principle?
>>
>>No.
>
> Principle or principal? There's a big difference.
>
>>>> He chose to believe that things were going to get better and to
>>>> stay the course. By the time he got wise, it was too late.
>>>
>>>
>>>Was it really? Why?
>>
>>He lost his principle. It can happen on that plan.
>

> He lost both his principle (move to lower risk as you get older) and
> his principal (original investment)

>
>>There are many different time frames on exactly *when* the
>>system will
>>run out of steam or money. A lot of this depends on how much
>>money is
>>taken out by non-standard usage. (read robbing the till)
>
>
> Yep. Of course if one administration takes it out and promises
> to put it back, and a following administration run by the
> other party breaks the promise, who is to blame?
>
>>I don't care WHO took the money out. Be it Democrat or
>>Republican. One
>>of the side effects of being in power is that when it is your
>>group, you
>>reap the benefits as well as the brickbats.
>
>
> There's also the concept of responsibility. Which means that
> the folks in power, red, blue or purple, cannot simply blame
> everything on their predecessors and do nothing to fix the
> problems.

Correct. That's a great way to lose power quickly. Unfortunately the
Pubs have a track record of doing just that. Too bad.

>>If all the Pubs can do is continue to blame the Dems for
>>every problem
>>on the face of the planet, then it means that they are
>>*weak*, because
>>they can't do anything about the Democrats even when
>>they are in power.
>>Funny how things work! 8^)
>
>
> Exactly! Well said, Mike.

Thanks, Jim.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1386. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 03:11:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <272dnYmix4Huv5HfRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102902

bb wrote:

> If you put the senate and house of reps in FERS and SS, they just might
> behave more responsibly.
>
> You can blame them or not, but if you make them participate in the
> programs that they are screwing, you might like the results better.

Good point and excellent idea Brian!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1387. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 03:18:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <4aidndsy9O-IuZHfRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102903

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Alun wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1107990238.904624.123740
>>@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>>Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
>
> Note
>
>>>how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:
>>>
>>>
>>>WA2SI: September 13, 2003
>>>KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
>>>KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
>>>K2UNK: January 1, 2004
>>>K2ASP: March 15, 2004
>>>AA2QA: April 1, 2004
>>>N2EY: April 15, 2004
>>>N3KIP: May 1, 2004
>>>KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
>>>WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
>>>K3LT: September 15, 2004
>>>WK3C: December 30, 2004
>>>N8UZE: July 1, 2005
>>>KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
>>>W5TIT: June 1, 2008
>>>
>>
>>Only four people still in, I see (including one whose date has
>
> passed, but
>
>>we're less than half way to the next date).
>
>
> N4PGW doesn't show on the above list but he's in.
>
>
>>I predict that Canada, Spain and Italy will all make the change
>

> before the
>
>>US
>
>
> Probably.
>
>
>>(seems like most other countries already have).
>
>
> Really?
>
> Do you have a list of how many countries still require code testing for
> at least one class of amateur radio license, vs. those who have no code
> testing?
>
> It would be interesting to see the actual totals. Even better if the
> number of hams in those countries were included, and growth since the
> change vs. before the change.

I was doing a little web searching myself re how many nations have
removed Morse testing. I didn't have a lot of luck.

My suspicions are that while a number of countries have indeed dropped
the test, "most" is not that accurate.

Certainly it is too soon to get an accurate count of Hams number
changing since dropping the test.

I'm firmly convinced that there are many more important factors in ARS
growth or recession than the Morse code test.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1388. Re: NMO mount reinforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 04:41:10 UTC
From: Ronnie C <rc@ronniescorg.net>
Message-ID: <cuhcvsfho0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<k0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1107986227.367228.22340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I have a 3/4-inch NMO mount on the top of one of my vehicles. The
> antenna is starting to tilt toward the rear (maybe I drive too fast)
> due to the thin metal in the trucks' roof. Has anyone found a solution
> to this problem?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

You would think Hans would say Thank You for the replies but
dont count on it, typical Hans.

1389. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 08:50:51 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108111851.838421.99330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Steveo wrote:
> I'm gonna bloody a certain repeater jammers face if I
> see him at Dayton.

Dayton is for hams. You are an illegal freebander.

1390. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 10:56:03 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108119363.069654.276540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.atlanta

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
<snipped cuz you're an asshole>

HEY CMD BUZZ BUNG HOLE:

Dumb cb'er huh......I run my collins on 11 meters!! What the fuck you think of that!!! Now up here and fuck me in the ass like a good hammie!!!!!!

1391. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 11:47:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211064724.255$9e@newsreader.com>
Source record: 102907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > I'm gonna bloody a certain repeater jammers face if I
> > see him at Dayton.
>
> Dayton is for hams. You are an illegal freebander.
>
It will be up to you to stop me, felon boi. hehehe

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

1392. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 13:06:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108127219.292009.47940@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102908

```
Lennie the liar wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > "People who make up stories about thier careers
> > > shouldn't
> > > > > > give
> > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > names of places and dates when those bits of
information
> > can
> > > > be
> > > > > > > corroborated." - Steve Robeson
>
>
> > > > > > > Steve, that reminds me of a guy who slipped up and
claimed
> > > > > involvement
> > > > > > > in seven hostile actions.
>
>
> > > > > > Different circumstances.
>
>
> > > > > > I once slipped up and thought I could trust a guy that
I
>
> > > sent
> > > > > > mail
> > > > > > > to in confidence, however he took stuff I sent him and
> > disucssed
> > > > it
> > > > > > in
> > > > > > > open forum dispite having been told the material was
> sensitive.
>
>
> > > > > > Some trust, eh? Can't even trust a fellow Vet any
> > > > more...That's
```

> > > > > > sad.
>
>
> > > > > > 73
>
>
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> > > > > In other words, no hostile actions.
>
>
> > > > In other words, you're wrong.
>
>
> > > > Brian, we've gotten along very well, lately...even shared
> some
> > > > mutually complimentary words. But if you want to reopen the
> flood
> > > > gate, hey, I've got my snorkle and fins right here...
>
>
> > > > It's up to you.
>
>
> > > > 73
>
>
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> > > Steve, you're right as always. ""People who make up stories
about
> > > thier careers shouldn't give the
> > > names of places and dates when those bits of information can be
> > > corroborated." - Steve Robeson"
>
>
> > We're back to it Brian...last chance to suck it up and keep
> things
> > gentlemanly.....
>
>
>
> Things are gentlemanly. If you wish to change the situation, it's on
> you.
>
> Best of Luck.
>
> Poor Stebe. He fantasizes his opponents as all being wrong,

> all lying, all unlawful.

Not all, Lennie.

Just those that have PROVEN that they are not being truthful.
Like you and your sidkekick.

> > Otherwise tell us about your undocumented, illegal operation
> from
> > Somalia. It was OK, supposedly, becasue some butter bar gave you
the
>
> > nod, but your butter bar was not a representitive of the UN
officers
> > who were in charge at the time.
>
> Obviously you weren't there, or you'd be able to make reference to 8
> hostile actions. And I don't reall a single LT, butter bar or not.
We
>
> tend to deploy with our experienced people. I did see one reserve
> Staff Sargeant, but that was about the lowest rank I saw. He wasn't
in
>
> the UN either.
>
> Stebe has ultimate authority in ham radio. Everywhere.
>
> If He says you be illegal, you are illegal. In his tunnel vision,
> that is.

Nope. In facts.

Brian had no lawful permission to operate from Somalia. Period.

> > After that you can get around to providing us the links that
> > corroborate your "Unlicensed devices play a major role in emergency
> > comms" assertion.
>
> Go get a better quote.
>
> Stebe hasn't done much "emergency comms" stuff, obviously.

Far, far more than you or Brian, Lennie.

Enought to know that he's not right and you're inexperienced.

> But His imagination has!

I think this meant something, but what?

> > Then you can provided us some sort of verification of your
claim
>

> > of having worked the equivilent of DXCC a couple times over.
>
> Go get a quote for that one, too.
>
>
> > Those are radio-related issues that you claim expertise,
> > experience or otherwise are knowledgeable of.
>
> > So far you've provided us absolutely nothing, and those are
just
>
> > the RADIO related issues.
>
> My statements can stand on their own.
>
> Doesn't matter whether or not anyone provides bona fide references
> for Stebe. If'n he say you be wrong, you be wrong!

So far, there's been NO references.

From you OR him. The TRUTH is that unlicensed radio systems do
NOT play a "major role" in emergency communications.

> > And if your nose isn't bruised and bloodied enough after that,
> > there are some other issues I will be glad to regurgitate for NG
> > consumption, but we'll wait and see if you decide to exercise some
>
> "Bruised and bloody." Interesting choice of words. Are you doing
some
>
> kind of violence?
>
> Stebe is Conan the Barbarian in here, master of wanting to do
> violence.

If I truly wanted to do violence, Lennie, you'd be doing your
postings with a push stick in your teeth.

> > So...You up to getting your NG butt whupped again, or do you
> just
> > want to forget that you re-opened a can of worms that folks were
just
>
> > now starting to forget?
>
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, I don't believe you've ever given anyone else a "butt
whooping."
>
> Perhaps in some fantasy kind of way.
>

> Ackshully, Stebe said "whupped." Das a TN word which I think
> means Stebe's imagination filled out his dream desire for gory
> glory and the Prince of Barbarians, conquering all who stand in
> his way!

Nope.

Just a good old fashioned thrashing. The kind that pathological
liars and cheaters need from time to time. Too bad the law doesn't
allow it, but then again, you'd be doing your postings with a push
stick in your teeth, Lennie.

> Anyway, when are you going to tell us your 7 war stories?
>
> I think those were "hostile actions." Stebe will say those are
all
> "classified" or somesuch since he can't talk about them in
> public.
>
> It's the same way with his NOT talking about all those military
> radios he supposedly used while in the military. He's not
> mentioned but one and that is really an old commercial rig
> (which he probably saw briefly at some base MARS station).
> Stebe said (a long time ago) that all that radio information was
> "secret" or words to that effect, therefore he "couldn't talk
about
> them in public."

No, Lennie...The "I can't talk about it bbecasue it's an on-going
project" tht YOU cited due to your "working part time but retired from
regular hours" routine.

I never said anything about any systems I worked on being
classified or secret.

You're welcome to TRY and find the post wherein you think I did...

> Never mind that nearly ALL of those military radios have been
> public knowledge for years, information available from many,
> many public sources. Geez, even before Stebe mumbled his
> "secret radio" thing, I'd given the link to Army Field Manual
> FM 24-24 that's been in public dissemination status since 1994.
> [24-24 is a directory of Signal Devices and similar links are
> available on various ham radio Internet sites.
>
> Wait a minute. Maybe Soldier of Fortune magazine is going to
> publish this great war hero's story? In that case, there's prolly
> a Non-Disclosure Agreement mandating he can't talk about it!
>
> Yeah, that's it. Stebe can't talk about it because of the NDA!

No, Lennie...The "I can't talk about it bbecasue it's an on-going
project" tht YOU cited due to your "working part time but retired from

regular hours" routine.

I never said anything about any systems I worked on being
classified or secret.

> Wow. Funny Fiction time again!

Oh...you are working up yet another "in comming artillery" story?
Or is this one going to be another Soviet aircraft being danger close?

Yes, Lennie...Someone in here likes to write fiction, but it isn't
me.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Lying Putz @ aol.com

Steve, K4YZ

1393. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 14:19:11 UTC
From: AB2RC <AB2RC@localhost.localdomain>
Message-ID: <slrnd0pfp9.9r3.AB2RC@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 102909

On 2005-02-09, N2EY@AOL.COM <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote:
>
> Anybody want to add a prediction?
>

July 1, 2007 -- The day after the current Technician pool expires

--
Alex / AB2RC
Linux is user friendly, however it is not idiot friendly

1394. Re: The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 16:27:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108139273.020224.323110@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102910

Here's an update on the old "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool. Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already past:

WA2SI: September 13, 2003
KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
K2UNK: January 1, 2004
K2ASP: March 15, 2004
AA2QA: April 1, 2004
N2EY: April 15, 2004
N3KIP: May 1, 2004
KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
K3LT: September 15, 2004
WK3C: December 30, 2004
N4PGW:May 22, 2005
N8UZE: July 1, 2005
AB2RC: July 1, 2007
KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
W5TIT: June 1, 2008

Anybody want to add a prediction?

New "rolling rule" (thanks Dee, N8UZE): If your prediction is a year or more in the past, you can add a new one. At this point K2UNK and everyone before him can add a new prediction. All predictions stay on the list.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1395. Some radio/electronics stuff for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 18:25:49 UTC
From: JB <ac6tk@cybertime.net>
Message-ID: <cuitgp$29iu$2@madmax.keyway.net>
Source record: 102911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Some stuff I'm getting rid of:

http://tekstuff.freespaces.com/

1396. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 19:14:29 UTC
From: Garden Guru <GG@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <420d0415@x-privat.org>
Source record: 102912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:nHSNd.13970$uc.9609@trnddc02...
>
> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
> news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
>
>> Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
>> impressed.
>
> Yeah...I think I've butted heads with this butt head before. <sigh>
>
You had your head up my butt when you were rimming me assclown.

1397. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 19:17:00 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <420D04AC.EA9AC236@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 102915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Garden Guru wrote:

> "Scan da bands" <RK@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:4207dceb$0$13954$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> >
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
> >>>
> >>> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>>>
> >>>> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> >>>>
> >>>> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
> >>>
> >>> Or else what?
> >>
> >> He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
> >>
> > Someone should slap some sense into that a-hole.
> Bring it on pussy, I'll stomp you like a bug.

LMAO at the 'tard.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1398. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 19:17:18 UTC
From: Garden Guru <GG@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <420d04be$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 102913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
news:AbTNd.34318$vs2.5483@fe06.lga...
>
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:nHSNd.13970$uc.9609@trnddc02...
>>
>> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
>> news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
>>
>> > Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
>> > impressed.
>>
>> Yeah...I think I've butted heads with this butt head before. <sigh>
>>
>>
>>
>
> I don't think he has a head. Kind of like that chicken that lived after a
> decap. Just enough brain stem left to execute motor activity and stir shit
> on Usenet. :)
>
> B.H.
>
Your wife gave me head all night. That bitch can suck a golf ball through a
garden hose Brian.

1399. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 19:18:12 UTC
From: Garden Guru <GG@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <420d04f4$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 102914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Scan da bands" <RK@msn.com> wrote in message
news:4207dceb$0$13954$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
>
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
>>>
>>> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
>>>>
>>>> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
>>>
>>> Or else what?
>>
>> He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
>>
> Someone should slap some sense into that a-hole.
Bring it on pussy, I'll stomp you like a bug.
```

1400. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 19:19:02 UTC
From: Garden Guru <GG@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <420d0526$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 102916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
>
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:o8QNd.22797$uc.4977@trnddc03...
>>
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>> >
>> > "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> > news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
>> > >
>> > > "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > >>
>> > >> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
>> > >>
>> > >> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
>> > >
>> > > Or else what?
>> >
>> > He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
>>
>> Just to be sure I've got this straight, N8WWM (Doug Adair) is forging the
>> real Landshark...and that's his typical M.O.? That's -real- smart.
>>
>>
>
> Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> impressed.
>
> B.H.
>
I was impressed at how much cum your wife can swallow Brian.
```

1401. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 19:19:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211141914.403$qP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 102917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com> wrote:
> Garden Guru wrote:
>
> > "Scan da bands" <RK@msn.com> wrote in message
> > news:4207dceb$0$13954$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> > >
> > > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > > news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> > >>
> > >> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > >> news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
> > >>>
> > >>> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> > >>> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >>>>
> > >>>> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> > >>>>
> > >>>> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
> > >>>
> > >>> Or else what?
> > >>
> > >> He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
> > >>
> > > Someone should slap some sense into that a-hole.
> > Bring it on pussy, I'll stomp you like a bug.
>
> LMAO at the 'tard.
>
n8wwm has a new sock puppet now..garden guru. What a twit.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
```

1402. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 19:25:46 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <420D06BA.1910EB00@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 102918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Garden Guru wrote:

> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
> news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
> >
> > "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:o8QNd.22797$uc.4977@trnddc03...
> >>
> >> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> >> news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> >> >
> >> > "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> > news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
> >> > >
> >> > > "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> >> > > news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > >>
> >> > >> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> >> > >>
> >> > >> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
> >> > >
> >> > > Or else what?
> >> >
> >> > He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
> >>
> >> Just to be sure I've got this straight, N8WWM (Doug Adair) is forging the
> >> real Landshark...and that's his typical M.O.? That's -real- smart.
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> > impressed.
> >
> > B.H.
> >
> I was impressed at how much cum your wife can swallow Brian.

One of two things:

1. You are to young to have yet produced the above.
2. You are to old and senile to yet produce the above.

LMAO at the 'tard.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

1403. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 20:08:37 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9h8Pd.17015$wK.5153@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.atlanta

>
> Dumb cb'er huh......I run my collins on 11 meters!! What the f*ck you
> think of that!!! Now up here and f*ck me in the ass like a good
> hammie!!!!!!
>

We'll let the Funny Cookie Corporation do that.....

1404. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 22:45:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <ZuSdndCcg6I7qpDfRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

No I Am Not Him wrote:

> Steveo wrote:
>
>>I'm gonna bloody a certain repeater jammers face if I
>>see him at Dayton.
>
>
> Dayton is for hams. You are an illegal freebander.
>

There is no "freeband".

1405. Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-11 23:39:13 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <BmbPd.9583$oO.5955@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102921

Just was starting to get interested in QRP. Been making many contacts with 1/2 watt on 7040 KHz. But lately it has been worthless due to all the QRM from RTTY stations operating very close to 7040. Why? Why are all the RTTY people meeting so close to 7040 when there is so much free space in around 7090 area?

George - KI4FIA
http://www.MilAirComms.com

1406. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-12 01:37:45 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <J5dPd.30554$xt.23890@fed1read07>
Source record: 102922

Because 7040 kHz is the "RTTY DX" frequency in the ARRL bandplan.

http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/bandplan.html#40m

Whoever designated 7040 kHz as a QRP frequency didn't look at the ARRL band plan.

Have heard suggestions to move the QRP Calling frequency to 7030 kHz -- but that probably has a lot of data stuff too
But suspect the QRP Amateur Radio Club International should do that URL:
http://www.qrparci.org/

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in message news:BmbPd.9583$oO.5955@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Just was starting to get interested in QRP. Been making many contacts
> with 1/2 watt on 7040 KHz. But lately it has been worthless due to all
> the QRM from RTTY stations operating very close to 7040. Why? Why are
> all the RTTY people meeting so close to 7040 when there is so much free
> space in around 7090 area?
>
> George - KI4FIA
> http://www.MilAirComms.com

1407. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-12 01:51:13 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <lidPd.9715$oO.2784@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102923

Caveat Lector wrote:

> Because 7040 kHz is the "RTTY DX" frequency in the ARRL bandplan.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/bandplan.html#40m
>
> Whoever designated 7040 kHz as a QRP frequency didn't look at the ARRL band
> plan.
>

Actually I thought 7040 was a QRP freq before RTTY ever came
around....could be wrong but thought 7040 was QRP for a LOOONG time...
George

1408. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-12 02:27:05 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <ZPdPd.30559$xt.18447@fed1read07>
Source record: 102924

RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
They probably read the ARRL pages for this

It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in message
news:lidPd.9715$oO.2784@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>
>> Because 7040 kHz is the "RTTY DX" frequency in the ARRL bandplan.
>>
>> http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/bandplan.html#40m
>>
>> Whoever designated 7040 kHz as a QRP frequency didn't look at the ARRL
>> band plan.
>>
>
> Actually I thought 7040 was a QRP freq before RTTY ever came
> around....could be wrong but thought 7040 was QRP for a LOOONG time...
> George

1409. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-12 03:16:00 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <QxePd.18896$wK.7990@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102925

Caveat Lector wrote:

> RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
> They probably read the ARRL pages for this
>
> It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out
>

Its to the point where the last few days even operating CW on 40 has been a waste. Twice tonight 20+ minutes into two different QSO's had RTTY coming right on top..... Guess they couldn't hear that the freq was already in use (ya right!)

George

1410. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-12 04:03:34 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <ShfPd.7561$qE5.1632@fe04.lga>
Source record: 102926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Garden Guru" <GG@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:420d04be$1@x-privat.org...
>
> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
> news:AbTNd.34318$vs2.5483@fe06.lga...
> >
> > "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:nHSNd.13970$uc.9609@trnddc02...
> >>
> >> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
> >> news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
> >>
> >> > Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> >> > impressed.
> >>
> >> Yeah...I think I've butted heads with this butt head before. <sigh>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > > I don't think he has a head. Kind of like that chicken that lived after
a
> > > decap. Just enough brain stem left to execute motor activity and stir
shit
> > > on Usenet. :)
> > >
> > > B.H.
> > >
> > Your wife gave me head all night. That bitch can suck a golf ball through
a
> garden hose Brian.
>
>

We all know you have worms so please quit dragging your ass across the
carpet stupid.

B.H.

1411. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-12 14:17:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108217864.076733.324080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102927

GeorgeF wrote:
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>
> > RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
> > They probably read the ARRL pages for this
> >
> > It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out
> >
>
> Its to the point where the last few days even operating CW on 40 has
> been a waste. Twice tonight 20+ minutes into two different QSO's had

> RTTY coming right on top..... Guess they couldn't hear that the freq

> was already in use (ya right!)

In the "Olde Days" a person operating ANY Amateur mode on that
frequeny would have been proficient in Morse Code to at least 13WPM,
the speed at which one reaches true "literacy" in Morse. (Able to
understand what was being sent without having to write down everything
to get it)

Incursions like this will continue, expecially with the spectre of
a true no code Amateur license looming close.

73 and dididididadidah

Steve, K4YZ

1412. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-12 15:52:08 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ICpPd.19431$wK.17059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102928

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1108217864.076733.324080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> GeorgeF wrote:
>> Caveat Lector wrote:
>>
>> > RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
>> > They probably read the ARRL pages for this
>> >
>> > It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out
>> >
>>
>> Its to the point where the last few days even operating CW on 40 has
>> been a waste. Twice tonight 20+ minutes into two different QSO's had
>
>> RTTY coming right on top..... Guess they couldn't hear that the freq
>
>> was already in use (ya right!)
>
> In the "Olde Days" a person operating ANY Amateur mode on that
> frequeny would have been proficient in Morse Code to at least 13WPM,
> the speed at which one reaches true "literacy" in Morse. (Able to
> understand what was being sent without having to write down everything
> to get it)
>
> Incursions like this will continue, expecially with the spectre of
> a true no code Amateur license looming close.
>
> 73 and dididididadidah
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
Exactly...not to mention the fact that IMHO most of the RTTY contesters just
don't give a damn who they clobber.

Tonight is the CW Sprint. 40 will be a total wipe out. However I will have
my RTTY program loaded. And the tape running. One way or another they will
know who they stepped on.

Dan/W4NTI

1413. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-12 15:57:58 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <aIpPd.29352$wi2.27131@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102929

"Dan" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:ICpPd.19431$wK.17059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1108217864.076733.324080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > GeorgeF wrote:
> >> Caveat Lector wrote:
> >>
> >> > RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
> >> > They probably read the ARRL pages for this
> >> >
> >> > It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out
> >> >
> >>
> >> Its to the point where the last few days even operating CW on 40 has
> >> been a waste. Twice tonight 20+ minutes into two different QSO's had
> >>
> >> RTTY coming right on top..... Guess they couldn't hear that the freq
> >>
> >> was already in use (ya right!)
> >>
> > In the "Olde Days" a person operating ANY Amateur mode on that
> > frequeny would have been proficient in Morse Code to at least 13WPM,
> > the speed at which one reaches true "literacy" in Morse. (Able to
> > understand what was being sent without having to write down everything
> > to get it)
> >
> > Incursions like this will continue, expecially with the spectre of
> > a true no code Amateur license looming close.
> >
> > 73 and dididididadidah
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> Exactly...not to mention the fact that IMHO most of the RTTY contesters
just
> don't give a damn who they clobber.
>
> Tonight is the CW Sprint. 40 will be a total wipe out. However I will
have
> my RTTY program loaded. And the tape running. One way or another they
will
> know who they stepped on.

>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

heh heh. Yeppers. That'll learn 'em. Of course, nothing more would be expected from ya there, Dan.

Kim W5TIT

1414. JESUS CHRIST WENT TO THE CROSS SO YOU CAN GO TO HEAVEN - The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-12 18:13:51 UTC
From: Evangelist_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108232031.137717.215370@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102930

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed
God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get
another chance to be saved because none of us knows
exactly when we will die.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

1415. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-12 18:18:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108232308.899004.47170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102931

GeorgeF wrote:
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>
> > RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
> > They probably read the ARRL pages for this
> >
> > It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out
> >
>
> Its to the point where the last few days even operating CW on 40 has
> been a waste. Twice tonight 20+ minutes into two different QSO's had

> RTTY coming right on top..... Guess they couldn't hear that the freq

> was already in use (ya right!)
>
> George

They don't call it QRP for nothing.

Did it occur to you that you and the other QRP ops might be in the
noise?

1416. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-12 18:20:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108232443.254214.181100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102932

Kim wrote:
> "Dan" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ICpPd.19431$wK.17059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1108217864.076733.324080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > GeorgeF wrote:
> > >> Caveat Lector wrote:
> > >>
> > >> > RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
> > >> > They probably read the ARRL pages for this
> > >> >
> > >> > It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it
out
> > >> >
> > >>
> > >> Its to the point where the last few days even operating CW on 40
has
> > >> been a waste. Twice tonight 20+ minutes into two different
QSO's had
> > >
> > >> RTTY coming right on top..... Guess they couldn't hear that the
freq
> > >
> > >> was already in use (ya right!)
> > >
> > > In the "Olde Days" a person operating ANY Amateur mode on
that
> > > frequeny would have been proficient in Morse Code to at least
13WPM,
> > > the speed at which one reaches true "literacy" in Morse. (Able
to
> > > understand what was being sent without having to write down
everything
> > > to get it)
> > >
> > > Incursions like this will continue, expecially with the
spectre of
> > > a true no code Amateur license looming close.
> > >
> > > 73 and dididididadidah
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ

> > >
> > Exactly...not to mention the fact that IMHO most of the RTTY
contesters
> just
> > don't give a damn who they clobber.
> >
> > Tonight is the CW Sprint. 40 will be a total wipe out. However I
will
> have
> > my RTTY program loaded. And the tape running. One way or another
they
> will
> > know who they stepped on.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> heh heh. Yeppers. That'll learn 'em. Of course, nothing more would
be
> expected from ya there, Dan.
>
> Kim W5TIT

He'll send Bruce over.

1417. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-12 20:44:17 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <BUtPd.178$W%5.137@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102935

GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in
news:QxePd.18896$wK.7990@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net:

> Caveat Lector wrote:
>
>> RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
>> They probably read the ARRL pages for this
>>
>> It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out
>>
>
> Its to the point where the last few days even operating CW on 40 has
> been a waste. Twice tonight 20+ minutes into two different QSO's had
> RTTY coming right on top..... Guess they couldn't hear that the freq
> was already in use (ya right!)
>
> George

Thanks to hard work and efforts of the ARRL, new Ham's don't have to know CW anymore. So these RTTY OM's probably didn't know they were QRM'ing anyone. These new ARRL approved "CB'er type Ham's", are good at jamming and causing all sorts of problems on the bands just like you can hear on 11 meters.

The ARRL does have a plan to help solve conflicts like this to reduce future problems, but it hasn't been proposed to the FCC yet:

Anyone caught showing any sign of inteligence, using CW, or not acting like an all around dumb ass, should be fined and have their license revoked.

KB7ADL

1418. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-13 01:22:52 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <MZxPd.473$SO.249@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

>
> There is no "freeband".
>
It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you.
Thus it's not free, so there's no freeband.... :-)

Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that
you, if you have a General or Extra class ham license,
can do legally in the 10 meter ham band?

1419. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-13 01:28:06 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <G2yPd.476$SO.284@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102934

> Its to the point where the last few days even operating CW on 40 has
> been a waste. Twice tonight 20+ minutes into two different QSO's had
> RTTY coming right on top..... Guess they couldn't hear that the freq
> was already in use (ya right!)
>

The RTTY people may not have the receiver audio playing in the
shack, but just looking at the computer monitor for decoded RTTY
messages. SO they may not realize that there's some code coming
in on freq. Changing band conditions and such.

1420. Is Morse Code Dead??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-13 04:20:48 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <AAAPd.30809$xt.16522@fed1read07>
Source record: 102936

If you think or assume so, better read URL:
http://mars.comportco.com/~w5alt/cw-dead.html

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

1421. Re: Is Morse Code Dead??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-13 07:24:29 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <ikvt019ea42mlp2cscjbh30bcth9p1p690@4ax.com>
Source record: 102937

On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 20:20:48 -0800, "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com>
wrote:

>If you think or assume so, better read URL:
>http://mars.comportco.com/~w5alt/cw-dead.html

Howdee Caveat,

That was an interesting article. I am more pro-CW than not but I
can't take the results of those surveys as being very accurate. They
were done by the ARRL on their website so it was limited to those who
visit the League site. Most of those visitors will be League members
(I am one) which consists heavily of contesters (occasionally I
contest, but not often.) So the survey only consists of internet
users that visit the ARRL site. There are very many rag-chewers that
may not visit the League's site or contest.

That being said, I don't believe CW is dead and like the article said,
I don't think code-free amateurs will be the death of Amateur Radio.
I have known many hams that only wanted to play with V/UHF and never
progressed past Tech for that reason. I also know many Amateur Extra
Class hams that used to play on HF that are now exclusively on V/Uhf
or satellite. It is all in the amateur's preference.

It isn't my intent to argue the code vs no code issue or to disagree
with the gist of the article. I am just voicing my opinion about the
accuracy of the survey results.

Thanks for the link. I was interesting reading.

Buck
N4PGW

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1422. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-13 08:55:28 UTC
From: Len <nospam@noemail>
Message-ID: <cun4hquvr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:MZxPd.473$SO.249@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...

>
> There is no "freeband".
>
It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you.
Thus it's not free, so there's no freeband.... :-)

Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that
you, if you have a General or Extra class ham license,
can do legally in the 10 meter ham band?

Odds of getting caught and fined? Miniscule.

Open em' up and have at it.....

1423. The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-13 10:19:35 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <420f2a51$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102939

The Death of Amateur Radio

By

Todd Daugherty N9OGL

I've been asked on the newsgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy
to back up my statements regarding the death of amateur radio and the FCC's suppression of free speech on the radio. Therefore, I've deiced to write this paper on the subject. Now, I know there are amateur radio operators who will not read this article or will write it off as the writes by some crack pot. But one must remember everyone has an opinion; this happens to be mine.

Amateur radio is slowing dying; now many amateurs would disagree with that statement however, this is a harsh reality. Now as I stated above I have been asked to "prove it" so that what I'm attending to do. Amateur radio is dying because it is unable to keep up with commercial services. On February of 2000 I participated in a discussion entitled "What the heck is Packet radio go for anyway" which was started by someone named "Inquisitor" anyway I pointed out that Packet Radio didn't have the variety as the internet. If packet was to grow packet would have to basically compete with the internet. One amateur radio operator Charles Brabham N5PVL made this statement in responds to mine:

N9OGL:" My point is Packet does not have the variety like the internet and when a person comes up with a new idea for packet or a new program idea for packet it is seemed to be frowned upon by other operators. So packet radio will remain in last place behind the Internet, and Wireless systems."

N5PVL: "I hate to be the one to break it to you, but Packet Radio is not a commercial communications network, and so does not "compete" with commercial communications networks in any way.

Packet is for Amateur Radio operators who enjoy digital communications *independent* of commercial communications networks and the Internet.

Of course it's different... It's supposed to be, for a number of reasons. If it offered exactly the same thing as the commercial nets, there would be no reason for it to exist at all.

Try thinking this stuff through, every once in a while."

N9OGL "Variety does not have to be a new idea or program but a BBS with it's own stuff in it and not some Forwarded stuff from other places have BBS systems for just for sale stuff and another BBS for Digital communication idea or
one with General Amateur radio stuff but leave all the for sale stuff in the

for sale BBS."

N5PVL "That's up to the individual BBS SYSOP. My BBS has offered a good variety of info above and beyond the daily bulletins for over a decade now... So what?"

N9OGL "Give the BBS a variety and its own individualism. Stuff on BBS doesn' t have to be just "For Sale" and jokes On Amateur radio you can talk about anything not just radio. But again you have Amateurs who don't want change whether it packet or anything in the Amateur radio service. So Packet will be like ancient modes of communication it will die out because those people will not accept changing the system"

N5PVL "Blah blah blah... Yah yah yah... Too lazy and stupid to do anything yourself, but you have plenty of energy at hand for the purpose of denigrating the efforts of others. Maybe you should just stick your head in the toilet...Flush twice! It's a long way to Washington D.C.!

--

73 DE Charles Brabham, N5PVL
N5PVL @ N5PVL.#NTX.TX.USA.NOAM
http://www.texoma.net/~n5pvl

Now the reason I bring this up is simply that this misguided amateur radio operator WAS trying to prove a point which he could not; simply for two reasons. The first is that most of the BBS systems on packet were on the forwarding system and the vast majority of messages on the BBS systems were all the same. Regardless to what Mr. Brabham said this was a harsh reality. What Mr. Brabham didn't realize was at the time of that post I had been running TWO BBS systems on packet. Most packet operators didn't want no "individuals" running a BBS system and not use the forwarding system. Today, here in Illinois packet radio is nothing more then a vast memory. All the Nodes and BBS systems are gone. Gone for two reasons the first is the BBS operators were running their forwarding system on the user frequency. The second reason is as I stated in my post that there was no variety and all amateur radio operators went to the internet. Packet Radio was a prelude of what will happen to amateur radio. Like N5PVL stated "I hate to be the one to break it to you, but Packet Radio is not a commercial communications network, and so does not "compete" with commercial communications networks in any way." This seems to be the attitude of all amateur radio operators when it comes to competing with other services. For amateur radio to survive they are going to have to compete with the internet or there will be no amateur radio in near future. As I stated on the newsgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy look at it this way. Go to streets of your town as ask the average person on the street if they had a choice between the Internet and Amateur radio which one would they pick? The vast majority of people would pick the internet. The reason is the internet provides a vast variety of information unlike amateur radio. People can talk via email, chat rooms, voice communication and other systems over the internet. With Internet 2 coming out the Internet with grow ever more. Why should someone take the time to get a license to talk to people all over the world via

radio when they can do it on the internet? For amateur radio to grow amateur radio operators are going to have to get out of this not competing attitude. One of the problems that helps propagate this no competing attitude is both the amateur and FCC's view on content control.

Section 326 of the Communication Act of 1934 prohibits the FCC for controlling the content of ANY radio station. This also applies to the amateur radio service. However, this seems NOT to be the case. When I announced on the newsgroup about my Information bulletin I received a post from Riley Hollingsworth the FCC chief enforcer of the amateur radio service. Telling me to let him know when I go on the air so he can send me a "QSL CARD". The QSL card he was of course talking about was a warning letter. This of course is not the first time Mr. Hollingsworth who works for the FCC tried to suppress Free Speech. One of the most known FCC free speech suppression cases is the Liberty Net. Here's an article from Newsline:

"FCC vs. The Liberty Net Riley Hollingsworth and the FCC are questioning if a controversial 75 meter SSB net really has any place on the ham bands. The group is called the Liberty Net. It operates nightly at 3.950 MHZ and is primarily an open discussion or right wing politics and conservative causes. But, in a May 7th letter to Victor Misek, W1WCR,Hollingsworth requests that the Hudson NewHampshire ham review the Basis and Purpose of Amateur Radio as outlined in Section 97.1 of the Commissions rules. He then tells Misek to explain to the Commission how the operation of the Liberty Net can be justified. But it's another Hollingsworth statement that draws the proverbial line in the sand between the FCC and the Liberty Net. Hollingsworth tells Misek - and we quote -- "We are unable to determine how transmissions of this group met the standards of, or contribute to the purpose of, the allocation of frequencies for the Amateur Radio Service." In other words, the FCC appears to be questioning whether the content of communications by those involved in the Liberty Net meet the minimum requisite requirements to be transmitted in the ham radio bands.And Hollingsworth goes even further. He suggests that the Liberty Net might want to consider moving to the Internet or wait to wait and see if the Commission creates a low power FM broadcast service. If it does, the net might then want to apply for a broadcasting license grant. (FCC)

The part one should look at is the part in which Hollingsworth stated that the Liberty Net should look at the internet or apply for a low power FM license. Apparently Mr. Hollingsworth never heard of Section 326. Now Mr. Hollingsworth isn't the only FCC official that has done this; in 1990 the FCC sent letters out to 19 Net and Bulletin stations on 20 meters and of course the ARRL a.k.a. The Amateur Radio Nazi Party deiced to stick their Gestapo free speech suppression nose in it. Stating in ARRL Letter and World Radio "The League maintains that the disputes can resolved by enforcing existing FCC regulations: One-way Broadcast, if they go beyond the accepted norms for such transmissions on the Amateur bands their illegal." So who's to say is the "ACCEPTED NORM"?? The ARRL, why not the FCC could give the ARRL the power and therefore Free speech could be suppressed. The first Amendment bars the government from stomping on free speech, but it doesn't apply to the ARRL which is a national organization from doing it. Who's the

one pushing to K1MAN off the air?? The ARRL and its members. I was asked on the newsgroup to prove how I'm being suppressed. Well, when you have a FCC official threaten you with a warning letter over your Information bulletin which hadn't even begun. Then the idea if suppression of Free Speech by a Federal agency is a primary example of my right to voice my opinion is being suppressed by the FCC.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1424. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-13 16:54:21 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108313661.381903.224060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102940

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> You and Brian avoid unloaded questions as well.

Brian Who?

1425. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-13 17:07:07 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108314427.230729.295800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102941

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> - Find messages by this author
> Date: 9 Feb 2005 17:13:05 -0800
> Local: Wed, Feb 9 2005 5:13 pm
> Subject: Re: Len's terms of endearments list
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse
>
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > > > "People who make up stories about thier careers
> > > shouldn't
> > > > > > give
> > > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > names of places and dates when those bits of
information
> > can
> > > > be
> > > > > > > > corroborated." - Steve Robeson
>
>
> > > > > > > > Steve, that reminds me of a guy who slipped up and
claimed
> > > > > involvement
> > > > > > > > in seven hostile actions.
>
>
> > > > > > > Different circumstances.
>
>
> > > > > > I once slipped up and thought I could trust a guy that
I
>
> > > sent
> > > > > > > mail

> > > > > > to in confidence, however he took stuff I sent him and
> > disucssed
> > > it
> > > > > in
> > > > > > open forum dispite having been told the material was
> sensitive.
>
>
> > > > > > Some trust, eh? Can't even trust a fellow Vet any
> > > > more...That's
> > > > > > sad.
>
>
> > > > > > 73
>
>
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> > > > > In other words, no hostile actions.
>
>
> > > > In other words, you're wrong.
>
>
> > > > Brian, we've gotten along very well, lately...even shared
> some
> > > > mutually complimentary words. But if you want to reopen the
> flood
> > > > gate, hey, I've got my snorkle and fins right here...
>
>
> > > > It's up to you.
>
>
> > > > 73
>
>
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> > > Steve, you're right as always. ""People who make up stories
about
> > > thier careers shouldn't give the
> > > names of places and dates when those bits of information can be
> > > corroborated." - Steve Robeson"
>
>
> > We're back to it Brian...last chance to suck it up and keep
> things

> > gentlemanly.....
>
>
>
> Things are gentlemanly. If you wish to change the situation, it's on
> you.
>
> Best of Luck.
>
> Poor Stebe. He fantasizes his opponents as all being wrong,
> all lying, all unlawful.

Somethings wrong with that guy. But I'd still like to hear his 7
adventure stories. Maybe over beers at the American Legion.

I wonder why he ever shot off his mouth about "seven hostile actions"
if he is so adamant about not talking about it?

1426. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-13 20:22:10 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <SFOPd.36626$C24.29877@attbi_s52>
Source record: 102942

"Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
news:ZPdPd.30559$xt.18447@fed1read07...
> RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
> They probably read the ARRL pages for this
>
> It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out

Good grief! I got the Extra before incentive licensing created sub-bands, just so I didn't have to keep track of anything more than CW and phone bands. Now we have to worry about every bandplan mode allocation? Either the frequency is in use or not in use. (That's for everyone, except K1MAN of course.) If it's not in use, it's free game. For those who don't believe in first-come, first-serve - I have a big amplifier I can turn on as the minimal power needed to complete the contact. ak

p.s. OK - I do try to stay away from the low power PSK frequencies, if I'm not running PSK, and keep my power to under 200 watts in Novice sections and on 30 meters.

1427. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 01:26:31 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1108344391.224551.238420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102943

We definitely don't need another boy broadcaster. We have had to put up with K1MAN for far too long. Think back to the beginnings of ham radio. Everyone wanted to be a broadcaster, so off they went to the broadcast band, and the ham bands were saved for 2-way ham radio communications. No one cares about your opinion. If you insist upon expressing it, engage someone in a normal QSO. Is it that you don't want someone refuting your stupid ideas? Sure, let's get 100,000 hams broadcasting to no one all the time on every frequency. blah blah blah. Do something useful and volunteer at your local kindergarten and express your opinions there. Better yet, do something more useful than that and help the janitor clean the restrooms.

1428. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 03:20:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <KdCdnUThdbCGhI3fRVn-sg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102944

Todd Daugherty wrote:
> The Death of Amateur Radio
>
> By
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL

It is interesting that what you propose to do would hasten your "Death of Amateur Radio" in my opinion.

If we get a few hundred more such as yourself that believe that they need to broadcast their opinions over the amateur bands, more and more Amateurs will find something else to do with their leisure time, as they have no room to transmit as the bands fill up with "bulletin free speech transmissions. All the while transforming the Amateur bands into some sort of mutant version of the AM broadcast band.

You note that you look for a free space to transmit in. So what? K1MAN doesn't. He opens up on whoever is on the frequency and threatens those who don't move. How many more "free speech advocates" will decide that anyone on "their frequency" is an infringement on their free speech?

I wonder if wattage limits are an infringement on a persons free speech? Limiting it limits the number of people who can be reached.

Suggestion that Lib net members use an alternative method of getting their views out is not infringement of their free speech, it is a suggestion. And not a bad one at that. No one is forcing them off the air, just suggesting a better venue for their views.

Death of Amateur Radio? Perhaps you have a bigger part than you realize.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

1429. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 03:41:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108352518.265142.103180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102945

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Poor Stebe. He fantasizes his opponents as all being wrong,
> > all lying, all unlawful.
>
> Somethings wrong with that guy. But I'd still like to hear his 7
> adventure stories. Maybe over beers at the American Legion.
>
> I wonder why he ever shot off his mouth about "seven hostile actions"
> if he is so adamant about not talking about it?

Nothing wrong. Just not appropriate to this forum. And I didn't
"shoot my mouth off", Brian.

Now...back to those T5/KH/HL logs and the DXCC several times over
comments YOU made. Those ARE germane to Amateur Radio even though it's
apparent you can't seem to get your "facts" right.

Steve, K4YZ

1430. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 03:44:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108352669.108069.153320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102946

bb wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > You and Brian avoid unloaded questions as well.
>
> Brian Who?

Exactly.

Now see, if we'd kept it at "Brain", you would have been telling
us who "Brian" is.

You have some real situational awareness issues, Brian. Get them
fixed.

Steve, K4YZ

1431. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 03:59:15 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <421022ac$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102947

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:KdCdnUThdbCGhI3fRVn-sg@adelphia.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > The Death of Amateur Radio
> >
> > By
> >
> > Todd Daugherty N9OGL
>
> It is interesting that what you propose to do would hasten your "Death
> of Amateur Radio" in my opinion.
>
> If we get a few hundred more such as yourself that believe that they
> need to broadcast their opinions over the amateur bands, more and more
> Amateurs will find something else to do with their leisure time, as they
> have no room to transmit as the bands fill up with "bulletin free speech
> transmissions. All the while transforming the Amateur bands into some
> sort of mutant version of the AM broadcast band.

No where in my paper do I state that amateurs should broadcasting. There are some including the FCC who wishes to keep the service to where all you do is give a signal report, location, ect. As I stated in my paper a good example of this was packet radio. Packet is pretty much died around here because all of the content on them was "For Sale" stuff. Packet would of survive if BBS's were set up to cater to certain topics or discussion groups.

> You note that you look for a free space to transmit in. So what? K1MAN
> doesn't. He opens up on whoever is on the frequency and threatens those
> who don't move. How many more "free speech advocates" will decide that
> anyone on "their frequency" is an infringement on their free speech?
>
Information Bulletins are legal no matter what you or anyone believes. Interference which K1MAN is doing is not legal.

> I wonder if wattage limits are an infringement on a persons free
> speech? Limiting it limits the number of people who can be reached.
>
> Suggestion that Lib net members use an alternative method of getting
> their views out is not infringement of their free speech, it is a
> suggestion. And not a bad one at that. No one is forcing them off the
> air, just suggesting a better venue for their views.

The FCC shouldn't even suggest it. Again the FCC is barred from controlling the content of any station. Again if they can have alternative perhaps ALL amateurs should move off the radio spectrum and uses the alternative....the

Internet.

> Death of Amateur Radio? Perhaps you have a bigger part than you
> realize........
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1432. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 05:02:08 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <2qKdnQ-l4Ne3qY3fRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102949

Todd Daugherty wrote:

>
>
> No where in my paper do I state that amateurs should broadcasting. There are
> some including the FCC who wishes to keep the service to where all you do is
> give a signal report, location, ect.

You have some facts to back up that dumb statement? Have some official
quotes from the FCC?

1433. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 05:02:26 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ChWPd.1398$SO.648@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102948

> Suggestion that Lib net members use an alternative method of getting
> their views out is not infringement of their free speech, it is a
> suggestion. And not a bad one at that. No one is forcing them off the
> air, just suggesting a better venue for their views.
>

Actually, packet BBS messages would be a better method. It's
store and forward, and won't clog HF. Anyone (on packet and
any gateways to the 'net) can read your messages if they so
choose. Just no pecuniary interest and no dirty words.

1434. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 05:03:48 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <2qKdnQ6l4NcGqY3fRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103000

Todd Daugherty wrote:

>
>
> Try thinking this stuff through, every once in a while."

I have a suggestion for you, just try thinking once in a while.

1435. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 07:14:12 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4210505d$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103001

It seems that SOME amateur radio operators misunderstood my original post. Somehow this crap about information bulletins and broadcast came up. This paper had NOTHING to do with Information Bulletins, K1MAN or Broadcasting. The main theme of that paper is that if Amateur Radio doesn't change the service will die.

Amateur radio operators, The ARRL, and The FCC think that certain things shouldn't air. This can be proven by the FCC action against the Liberty Net, The FCC actions against 19 NETS and BBS back in 1990 as well as cases of the FCC going after people over content back in the 80's. As well as the death of packet radio. The death of Packet radio was just a prelude of things to come. Amateur's who can't see the facts are blind by their own stupidity.

Amateur radio is going to die, regardless to what anyone think. The reason amateur radio is going to die is because Amateur radio has nothing to offer. The FCC can change the system to where all a person has to do is apply for a license and pay a fee but THAT will not save ham radio unless amateur radio has something to offer. The point of this paper is that amateur operators are going to have to get their heads out of their asses and realize they are going to have to compete against the other service like the internet. If amateur radio is to survive they are going to have to compete. To do that amateur radio is going to have to get rid of some of this idea's regarding different things. As I stated before Packet radio died because of that. People used packet for a long time but like all thing the novelty of it wore off. The reason was packet radio didn't have anything more to offer so people got rid of their TNC's and went to do something else. The same is happening to amateur radio as a whole. The vast majority of stuff amateurs can do is now capable through other services like the internet. Amateur radio is going to have to come up new stuff to offer people to get them into the service.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1436. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 08:31:20 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4210627b_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103002

I do might do that too...Do you know if there are any BBS programs for the
MAC??

Todd

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:ChWPd.1398$SO.648@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> > Suggestion that Lib net members use an alternative method of getting
> > their views out is not infringement of their free speech, it is a
> > suggestion. And not a bad one at that. No one is forcing them off the
> > air, just suggesting a better venue for their views.
> >
>
> Actually, packet BBS messages would be a better method. It's
> store and forward, and won't clog HF. Anyone (on packet and
> any gateways to the 'net) can read your messages if they so
> choose. Just no pecuniary interest and no dirty words.
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1437. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 15:53:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4210C97A.B7DA6D7A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103004

Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> The Death of Amateur Radio
>
> By
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
>
> I've been asked on the newsgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy
> to back up my statements regarding the death of amateur radio and the FCC's
> suppression of free speech on the radio. Therefore, I've deiced to write
> this paper on the subject. Now, I know there are amateur radio operators who
> will not read this article or will write it off as the writes by some crack
> pot.

Well, Todd, I've read the entire thing and I've not written it off "as
the writes by some crack pot". I've written it off "as the writes by
some" special crackpot.

> But one must remember everyone has an opinion; this happens to be mine.

...and you aren't one to allow reality to stand in your way.

> Amateur radio is slowing dying; now many amateurs would disagree with
> that statement however, this is a harsh reality.

Many radio amateurs would disagree with your statement because it has no
basis in fact. Now THAT is harsh reality.

> Now as I stated above I
> have been asked to "prove it" so that what I'm attending to do. Amateur
> radio is dying because it is unable to keep up with commercial services.

Amateur radio isn't a commercial service and isn't in competition with
commercial services. It has no reason to "keep up".

> On
> February of 2000 I participated in a discussion entitled "What the heck is
> Packet radio go for anyway" which was started by someone named "Inquisitor"
> anyway I pointed out that Packet Radio didn't have the variety as the
> internet. If packet was to grow packet would have to basically compete with
> the internet.

Packet radio is not the internet. It has no reason to become like the
internet.

> For amateur radio to survive
> they are going to have to compete with the internet or there will be no
> amateur radio in near future.

Sure, Todd--and amateur astronomy is going to have to compete with
roller blading or there will be no amateur astronomy in the future.

> As I stated on the newsgroup
> rec.radio.amateur.policy look at it this way. Go to streets of your town as
> ask the average person on the street if they had a choice between the
> Internet and Amateur radio which one would they pick? The vast majority of
> people would pick the internet. The reason is the internet provides a vast
> variety of information unlike amateur radio. People can talk via email, chat
> rooms, voice communication and other systems over the internet. With
> Internet 2 coming out the Internet with grow ever more.

Ask the average man on the street to choose between the stamp collecting
and the internet and he'll likely choose the internet. He knows more
about the internet and stamp collecting has no provision for downloading
pirated music or pornography.

Amateur radio has variety of information unlike the internet. People
can talk via their voices, via morse, via keyboard modes, via
television. The two are not the same thing. That's why I'm introducing
Amateur Radio II, aka Amateur Radio Lite. It'll be like amateur radio
but without all of the icky stuff like "RF", "IF", fomulae and morse
code. It'll draw those folks who are "otherwise qualified" and mildly
interested.

> Why should someone
> take the time to get a license to talk to people all over the world via
> radio when they can do it on the internet?

Why would someone take up tightrope walking when there are perfectly
good sidewalks? Why would anyone walk when they can drive a car?

> One of the problems that helps propagate this no competing attitude is both
> the amateur and FCC's view on content control.

Ahhhh. This is where Todd gets into his favorite rant.

> Section 326 of the Communication Act of 1934 prohibits the FCC for
> controlling the content of ANY radio station. This also applies to the
> amateur radio service. However, this seems NOT to be the case.

You've been given free advice from a professional in the field. You've
chosen to ignore the advice because it conflicts with your rather
uneducated view of the regulations.

> When I
> announced on the newsgroup about my Information bulletin I received a post
> from Riley Hollingsworth the FCC chief enforcer of the amateur radio
> service. Telling me to let him know when I go on the air so he can send me a
> "QSL CARD". The QSL card he was of course talking about was a warning
> letter. This of course is not the first time Mr. Hollingsworth who works for

> the FCC tried to suppress Free Speech.

A smarter fellow would have taken the hint which Mr. Hollingsworth
dropped.

> In 1990 the
> FCC sent letters out to 19 Net and Bulletin stations on 20 meters and of
> course the ARRL a.k.a. The Amateur Radio Nazi Party deiced to stick their
> Gestapo free speech suppression nose in it.

I doubt that the ARRL "deiced" anything. Your choice of nicknames
further marks you as a very special crackpot.

> I was asked on
> the newsgroup to prove how I'm being suppressed. Well, when you have a FCC
> official threaten you with a warning letter over your Information bulletin
> which hadn't even begun. Then the idea if suppression of Free Speech by a
> Federal agency is a primary example of my right to voice my opinion is being
> suppressed by the FCC.

You were repeatedly asked which things you were being prevented from
saying over the air via amateur radio. You never bothered to reply.
You've provided the FCC enough ammunition through your public statements
here, to nail your hide to the barn door if you decide that you want to
play boy broadcaster.

Dave K8MN

1438. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 16:03:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4210CBC4.4E7DFB86@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103003

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Amateur radio operators, The ARRL, and The FCC think that certain
> things shouldn't air.

More correctly, most amateur radio operators, the ARRL, the FCC and most
of the public think that certain things shouldn't air. Your choice: To
air or to err.

> Amateur's who can't see the facts are blind by their own
> stupidity.

People who can't spell or construct a sentence should be wary of calling
others "stupid".

> Amateur radio is going to die, regardless to what anyone think.

That's your rant and you're sticking with it, despite what the facts
say.

> The
> reason amateur radio is going to die is because Amateur radio has nothing to
> offer.

There are thousands and thousands who disagree with you, Todd. Maybe
what you really mean is that amateur radio has nothing to offer you.
Feel free to move on. Find another interest.

> The FCC can change the system to where all a person has to do is
> apply for a license and pay a fee but THAT will not save ham radio unless
> amateur radio has something to offer. The point of this paper is that
> amateur operators are going to have to get their heads out of their asses
> and realize they are going to have to compete against the other service like
> the internet.

I find it difficult to carry on a discussion with one who feels that
because his cranium is inserted into his rectum, everyone else is in the
same fix.

Every few months, some boy genius like you shows up in one of the
amateur radio newsgroups like a shooting star, only to burn up on enty
into Earth's atmosphere.

Dave K8MN

1439. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 17:18:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108401480.821956.255040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103006

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>bb wrote:
> >>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>>>bb wrote:
> >>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>>>><snippage>
> >>Fact is, an awful lot of people NEED some fiscal restraint.
> >>Otherwise
> >>they make stupid choices and become a drain on society. It is
> >>how it is.
> >>It is why people on welfare buy lottery tickets when they
> >>should be
> >>buying food or paying their rent. It is why people think they
> >>can make
> >>risky investments, and somehow retire to make more money than
> >>when they were working.

> > There's also the fact that investment information isn't always on
the
> > up and up. Despite all the regulations, we still have messes like
> > Enron. Even if those responsible for the Enron debacle go to jail
for a
> > while, it won't bring back the money investors lost.
> >>
> >>It sure is. But it is like saying that the average voltage of
> >>our
> >>household outlet is 0 volts. I'll pass on grabbing bare wires
> >>of that 0
> >>volt average system if ya don't mind.
> >
> >
> > Sort of. The problem is that unless you buy nothing but index
funds,
> > you're not investing in "the market". And even if you do buy index
> > funds, you're investing in a particular index.
> >
> > Y'know what's funny? The same folks who say we don't need restraint
> > when investing life savings are the same ones who want to restrain
stem
> > cell research, recreational chemicals (except tobacco and
alchohol),
> > contraception, and a bunch of other things.

>
> Correct. Social engineering and attempts to force their philosophy on

> others. Liberals.

??

The folks who want restraints on stem cell research, recreational
chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol), contraception, etc., call
themselves "conservatives".

The plain and simple fact is that any government action is "social
engineering and attempt[ing] to force their philosophy on others". By
definition.

For example, there are tax deductions for home mortgage interest. Such
deductions make home ownership more affordable for millions of people,
and are in effect a subsidy supporting home ownership vs. renting.

> >>>>>work if the government devalues its currency.
>>
> >>>> The most conservative investments have an interest rate that
gets
> >>>>adjusted every so often.
> >>>
> >>>Is that vehicle capable of losing its principle?
> >>
> >>No.
> >
> > Principle or principal? There's a big difference.
> >
> >>>> He chose to believe that things were going to get better and to
> >>>> stay the course. By the time he got wise, it was too late.
>>>
> >>>Was it really? Why?
> >>
> >>He lost his principle. It can happen on that plan.
>
> > He lost both his principle (move to lower risk as you get older)
and
> > his principal (original investment)
> >
> >>There are many different time frames on exactly *when* the
> >>system will
> >>run out of steam or money. A lot of this depends on how much
> >>money is
> >>taken out by non-standard usage. (read robbing the till)
> >
> >
> > Yep. Of course if one administration takes it out and promises
> > to put it back, and a following administration run by the
> > other party breaks the promise, who is to blame?

> >
> >>I don't care WHO took the money out. Be it Democrat or
> >>Republican. One
> >>of the side effects of being in power is that when it is your
> >>group, you
> >>reap the benefits as well as the brickbats.
> >
> >
> > There's also the concept of responsibility. Which means that
> > the folks in power, red, blue or purple, cannot simply blame
> > everything on their predecessors and do nothing to fix the
> > problems.
>
> Correct. That's a great way to lose power quickly. Unfortunately the
> Pubs have a track record of doing just that. Too bad.

But they didn't lose power in 2004. Nor in 1984 or 1988.

The same folks who criticized others for "tax and spend" are now
deep into "borrow and spend".

Seems to me that it's more responsible to pay-as-you-go than to
borrow and let future generations pay for it.

> >>If all the Pubs can do is continue to blame the Dems for
> >>every problem
> >>on the face of the planet, then it means that they are
> >>>*weak*, because
> >>they can't do anything about the Democrats even when
> >>they are in power.
> >>Funny how things work! 8^)

> > Exactly! Well said, Mike.
>
> Thanks, Jim.

It's also interesting to note that some people are insulted if you
call them "liberals", even though their behavior and viewpoints
are exactly that. And some people claim the title "conservative"
yet behave in a very different way.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1440. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 17:23:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108401836.894358.70380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103005

GeorgeF wrote:
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>
> > RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
> > They probably read the ARRL pages for this
> >
> > It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out
>
> Its to the point where the last few days even operating CW on 40 has
> been a waste. Twice tonight 20+ minutes into two different QSO's had

> RTTY coming right on top..... Guess they couldn't hear that the freq

> was already in use (ya right!)
>
Some kind of RTTY contest going on.

Remember too that 40 meters is only 7000-7100 outside of IARU Region 2.

That will change in the next few years but right now a lot of DX is
allowed above 7100. And as we head towards sunspot minimum, folks who
were spread all over the bands above 10 MHz are being pushed lower in
frequency due to lack of propagation. Happens every cycle.

The best solution would be for the bottom 50-75 kc\Hz of each band to
be
made CW-only - by law.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1441. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 17:43:03 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1108402983.702208.60420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103007

For more than 50 years, some hams have been yelling "Ham Radio is Dying!" "Ham Radio is Dying!" They came up with all kinds of bright ideas. Incentive licensing...school clubs...extra-easy study guides...dumbing down the licensing tests...no code licenses...on and on. Why do some hams believe ham radio is dying? They are bored with the hobby themselves! They put together some equipment, strung up antennas, exchanged signal and weather reports, chased certificates, collected QSL cards and after a few years or more of this they ask: "Is this all there is?" Bah Humbug...yup that's about all it is. So what? What more do you want it to be?

1442. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 18:11:30 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <mR5Qd.31114$xt.18096@fed1read07>
Source record: 103008

Instead of predicting "The Death Of Amateur Radio" and hashing over useless statistics --
How about we promote Amateur Radio and mentor new folks?
How many doom sayers here help the hobby with training, elmering, and emergency services ?
In Southern Calif -- we have three classes running at elementary and high schools, lots of Emergency training as well.

I can tell you that Amateur Radio was highly valuable and praised during the Southern Calif fires a few years back -- despite the generalization below of "boring stuff".
Its only boring if you are a bore.

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message news:1108402983.702208.60420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> For more than 50 years, some hams have been yelling "Ham Radio is
> Dying!" "Ham Radio is Dying!" They came up with all kinds of bright
> ideas. Incentive licensing...school clubs...extra-easy study
> guides...dumbing down the licensing tests...no code licenses...on and
> on. Why do some hams believe ham radio is dying? They are bored with
> the hobby themselves! They put together some equipment, strung up
> antennas, exchanged signal and weather reports, chased certificates,
> collected QSL cards and after a few years or more of this they ask: "Is
> this all there is?" Bah Humbug...yup that's about all it is. So what?
> What more do you want it to be?
>

1443. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 19:12:08 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <cK6Qd.31154$xt.30140@fed1read07>
Source record: 103009

Well lets see if these Ham Radio QSO's that I have had -- would be of interest to you:
1. A QSO with a Navy dirigible crew member -- flew in the 1930's airships.
2. A QSO with an archeologist in the Central America -- just discovered new ruins.
3. A WWII Luftwaffe pilot - flew a ME-109
4. QSO with a Swedish Ham -- we talked about Soloman Andre's balloon flight to the North pole -- in 1897
5. A QSO with a British soldier in the Falklands -- we talked about Shackletons ordeal in 1908 and the Falkland war
6. An anthropologist in New Guinea -- we talked about a tribe there that was virtually in the stone age
7. A missionary in the Amazon -- we talked about the tribe he was helping
8. A chap in Australia that was in the outback studying the aborigines
9. A Russian officer in an arctic weather station --- brrr -- we talked about the incredible working conditions there

Lots more "boring stuff"

If the above is of no interest to you I suggest you have very limited interests.

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message news:1108402983.702208.60420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> For more than 50 years, some hams have been yelling "Ham Radio is
> Dying!" "Ham Radio is Dying!" They came up with all kinds of bright
> ideas. Incentive licensing...school clubs...extra-easy study
> guides...dumbing down the licensing tests...no code licenses...on and
> on. Why do some hams believe ham radio is dying? They are bored with
> the hobby themselves! They put together some equipment, strung up
> antennas, exchanged signal and weather reports, chased certificates,
> collected QSL cards and after a few years or more of this they ask: "Is
> this all there is?" Bah Humbug...yup that's about all it is. So what?
> What more do you want it to be?
>

1444. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 19:19:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108408789.058491.313940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103010

Todd Daugherty wrote:
> The Death of Amateur Radio
>
> By
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
>
> I've been asked on the newsgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy
> to back up my statements regarding the death of amateur radio and the
FCC's
> suppression of free speech on the radio. Therefore, I've deiced to
write
> this paper on the subject. Now, I know there are amateur radio
operators who
> will not read this article or will write it off as the writes by some
crack
> pot. But one must remember everyone has an opinion; this happens to
be mine.

OK, let's see what you've got, Todd.

>
> Amateur radio is slowing dying; now many amateurs would disagree
with
> that statement however, this is a harsh reality.

OK - how is it "dying"?

> Now as I stated above I
> have been asked to "prove it" so that what I'm attending to do.
Amateur
> radio is dying because it is unable to keep up with commercial
services.

How do you define "keep up with"?

> On
> February of 2000 I participated in a discussion entitled "What the
heck is
> Packet radio go for anyway" which was started by someone named
"Inquisitor"
> anyway I pointed out that Packet Radio didn't have the variety as the
> internet. If packet was to grow packet would have to basically
compete with
> the internet.

Why?

The internet is pretty much ubiquitous in the USA and other developed countries, if you live where there is reliable telephone service. Broadband access is expanding rapidly and so is mobile access. There's no way hams can match the infrastructure of any internet provider.

> One amateur radio operator Charles Brabham N5PVL made this
> statement in responds to mine:
>
> N9OGL:" My point is Packet does not have the variety like the internet and
> when a person comes up with a new idea for packet or a new program idea for
> packet it is seemed to be frowned upon by other operators. So packet radio
> will remain in last place behind the Internet, and Wireless systems."
>
> N5PVL: "I hate to be the one to break it to you, but Packet Radio is not a
> commercial communications network, and so does not "compete" with commercial
> communications networks in any way.

He's right!

> Packet is for Amateur Radio operators who enjoy digital communications
> *independent* of commercial communications networks and the Internet.

Exactly!
>
> Of course it's different... It's supposed to be, for a number of reasons. If
> it offered exactly the same thing as the commercial nets, there would be no
> reason for it to exist at all.
>
> Try thinking this stuff through, every once in a while."

Sounds like good advice.
>
> Now the reason I bring this up is simply that this misguided amateur radio
> operator WAS trying to prove a point which he could not; simply for two
> reasons.

Looks to me like he proved his point. If you want packet radio to be something other than it is, lead the way by example.

> The first is that most of the BBS systems on packet were on the
> forwarding system and the vast majority of messages on the BBS systems were

> all the same. Regardless to what Mr. Brabham said this was a harsh
reality.
> What Mr. Brabham didn't realize was at the time of that post I had
been
> running TWO BBS systems on packet. Most packet operators didn't want
no
> "individuals" running a BBS system and not use the forwarding system.
Today,
> here in Illinois packet radio is nothing more then a vast memory. All
the
> Nodes and BBS systems are gone. Gone for two reasons the first is the
BBS
> operators were running their forwarding system on the user frequency.
The
> second reason is as I stated in my post that there was no variety and
all
> amateur radio operators went to the internet.

Which proves the point about competition.

> Packet Radio was a prelude of
> what will happen to amateur radio. Like N5PVL stated "I hate to be
the one
> to break it to you, but Packet Radio is not a commercial
communications
> network, and so does not "compete" with commercial communications
networks
> in any way." This seems to be the attitude of all amateur radio
operators
> when it comes to competing with other services.

Because it's true. Amateur radio, or *any* radio service, can only
survive by offering what other services cannot.

I remember a time, perhaps 20 years ago, when a good number of new hams
got their licenses for "honeydo" purposes. Today the same
communications needs are handled by cell phone. Some of those hams are
gone, others discovered that ham radio is more than the reason they
were originally licensed.

> For amateur radio to survive
> they are going to have to compete with the internet or there will be
no
> amateur radio in near future.

Why? I'm both on the air and online. Each medium offers things the
other does not.

> Go to streets of your town as
> ask the average person on the street if they had a choice between the
> Internet and Amateur radio which one would they pick? The vast
majority of

> people would pick the internet.

Of course. Think about *why*.

Also - why must it be one or the other? Why not both?

> The reason is the internet provides a vast
> variety of information unlike amateur radio. People can talk via
email, chat
> rooms, voice communication and other systems over the internet. With
> Internet 2 coming out the Internet with grow ever more.

That's one reason. Here are some more:

1) Most people already know about the internet and what it can do. Many
people do not know amateur radio exists, or have only a vague idea of
what it is.

2) Most people access the internet via a personal computer or a
wireless-enabled PDA-type device like a Blackberry. Those devices have
uses far beyond those of internet access.

3) No license. No antenna. Worldwide access 24/7.

> Why should someone
> take the time to get a license to talk to people all over the world
via
> radio when they can do it on the internet?

Because it's different. And only because it's different.

> For amateur radio to grow amateur
> radio operators are going to have to get out of this not competing
attitude.

Why?

> One of the problems that helps propagate this no competing attitude
is both
> the amateur and FCC's view on content control.
>
> Section 326 of the Communication Act of 1934 prohibits the FCC
for
> controlling the content of ANY radio station. This also applies to
the
> amateur radio service.

Where, exactly, does it prohibit the FCC from controlling content? If
so,
why does Howard Stern have such problems? Why was there such a flap
about
Janet Jackson's "wardrobe malfunction"?

The various courts have repeatedly ruled that content control *is* part
of

FCC's authority. The arguments today are over where the line is, not whether
FCC can draw a line.

> However, this seems NOT to be the case. When I
> announced on the newsgroup about my Information bulletin I received a post
> from Riley Hollingsworth the FCC chief enforcer of the amateur radio
> service. Telling me to let him know when I go on the air so he can send me a
> "QSL CARD". The QSL card he was of course talking about was a warning
> letter.

That was nice of him. He could have just let you break the rules and then
started an enforcement proceeding.

> This of course is not the first time Mr. Hollingsworth who works for
> the FCC tried to suppress Free Speech.

Sorry, unlimited free speech protection does not extend to the radio spectrum.

> One of the most known FCC free speech
> suppression cases is the Liberty Net. Here's an article from Newsline:
>
> "FCC vs. The Liberty Net Riley Hollingsworth and the FCC are questioning if
> a controversial 75 meter SSB net really has any place on the ham bands. The
> group is called the Liberty Net. It operates nightly at 3.950 MHZ and is
> primarily an open discussion or right wing politics and conservative causes.
> But, in a May 7th letter to Victor Misek, W1WCR,Hollingsworth requests that
> the Hudson NewHampshire ham review the Basis and Purpose of Amateur Radio as
> outlined in Section 97.1 of the Commissions rules. He then tells Misek to
> explain to the Commission how the operation of the Liberty Net can be
> justified. But it's another Hollingsworth statement that draws the
> proverbial line in the sand between the FCC and the Liberty Net.
> Hollingsworth tells Misek - and we quote -- "We are unable to determine how
> transmissions of this group met the standards of, or contribute to the
> purpose of, the allocation of frequencies for the Amateur Radio Service."
> In other words, the FCC appears to be questioning whether the content of

> communications by those involved in the Liberty Net meet the minimum
> requisite requirements to be transmitted in the ham radio bands.And
> Hollingsworth goes even further. He suggests that the Liberty Net
might
> want to consider moving to the Internet or wait to wait and see if
the
> Commission creates a low power FM broadcast service. If it does, the
net
> might then want to apply for a broadcasting license grant. (FCC)

How is he wrong? I can't do routine business communications on the
ham bands, either.

> The part one should look at is the part in which Hollingsworth stated
that
> the Liberty Net should look at the internet or apply for a low power
FM
> license. Apparently Mr. Hollingsworth never heard of Section 326.

I think he knows more about it than you do, Todd.

> Now Mr.
> Hollingsworth isn't the only FCC official that has done this; in 1990
the
> FCC sent letters out to 19 Net and Bulletin stations on 20 meters and
of
> course the ARRL a.k.a. The Amateur Radio Nazi Party deiced to stick
their
> Gestapo free speech suppression nose in it.

Ding! Godwin's Law violated. You lose, Todd.

> Stating in ARRL Letter and World
> Radio "The League maintains that the disputes can resolved by
enforcing
> existing FCC regulations: One-way Broadcast, if they go beyond the
accepted
> norms for such transmissions on the Amateur bands their illegal." So
who's
> to say is the "ACCEPTED NORM"??

FCC, of course. And the accepted norm is pretty well-defined for
one-way transmissions:

1) Non-commercial in nature (ever notice how ARRL doesn't use W1AW to
solicit memberships or publication sales?)

2) Of *specific* interest to the *amateur radio* community

3) On a published schedule of transmissions (so everybody has a chance
to know where and when)

Would your transmissions meet all of those criteria?

> The ARRL, why not the FCC could give the
> ARRL the power and therefore Free speech could be suppressed.

What is it that you want to say?

> The first
> Amendment bars the government from stomping on free speech, but it
doesn't
> apply to the ARRL which is a national organization from doing it.
Who's the
> one pushing to K1MAN off the air?? The ARRL and its members.

Nope. It's FCC.

> I was asked on
> the newsgroup to prove how I'm being suppressed. Well, when you have
a FCC
> official threaten you with a warning letter over your Information
bulletin
> which hadn't even begun. Then the idea if suppression of Free Speech
by a
> Federal agency is a primary example of my right to voice my opinion
is being
> suppressed by the FCC.

What is it that you want to say in your bulletin, Todd? How does it
meet the criteria listed above?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1445. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 19:23:29 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cuqtri$m7k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103011

Todd Daugherty wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:KdCdnUThdbCGhI3fRVn-sg@adelphia.com...
>
>>Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>
>>>The Death of Amateur Radio
>>>
>>>By
>>>
>>> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
>>
>>It is interesting that what you propose to do would hasten your "Death
>>of Amateur Radio" in my opinion.
>>
>>If we get a few hundred more such as yourself that believe that they
>>need to broadcast their opinions over the amateur bands, more and more
>>Amateurs will find something else to do with their leisure time, as they
>>have no room to transmit as the bands fill up with "bulletin free speech
>>transmissions. All the while transforming the Amateur bands into some
>>sort of mutant version of the AM broadcast band.

>
> No where in my paper do I state that amateurs should broadcasting.

All they have to do is define their activities as bulletins.

> There are
> some including the FCC who wishes to keep the service to where all you do is
> give a signal report, location, ect.

I haven't heard any of that.

> As I stated in my paper a good example
> of this was packet radio. Packet is pretty much died around here because all
> of the content on them was "For Sale" stuff. Packet would of survive if
> BBS's were set up to cater to certain topics or discussion groups.

Packet was/is so incredibly slow compared to other digital
transmissions. When I became a Ham, I looked at it and decided that at
it's transmission speed, there wasn't a lot of use for it.

>
>>You note that you look for a free space to transmit in. So what? K1MAN
>>doesn't. He opens up on whoever is on the frequency and threatens those
>>who don't move. How many more "free speech advocates" will decide that

>>anyone on "their frequency" is an infringement on their free speech?
>>
>
> Information Bulletins are legal no matter what you or anyone believes.
> Interference which K1MAN is doing is not legal.

So I guess we just define everything as an information bulletin! 8^)

>
>>I wonder if wattage limits are an infringement on a persons free
>>speech? Limiting it limits the number of people who can be reached.
>>
>>Suggestion that Lib net members use an alternative method of getting
>>their views out is not infringement of their free speech, it is a
>>suggestion. And not a bad one at that. No one is forcing them off the
>>air, just suggesting a better venue for their views.
>
>
> The FCC shouldn't even suggest it.

Hollingsworth has often commented on situations that are detrimental to
Ham radio. Certainly the Lib Net is one of those. A parent listening in
on that bunch is not likely to want their children having anything to do
with the hobby.

> Again the FCC is barred from controlling
> the content of any station. Again if they can have alternative perhaps ALL
> amateurs should move off the radio spectrum and uses the alternative....the
> Internet.

Why the one extreme or the other outlook? Suggesting that people make
the Ham bands a pleasant place to operate doesn't make for elimination
of free speech.
>
>>Death of Amateur Radio? Perhaps you have a bigger part than you
>>realize........
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1446. R.R.A.P. Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 20:12:15 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <LK7Qd.5035$Ep2.4145@fe08.lga>
Source record: 103012

Anybody up for a net on 7037 at 0100z?

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

1447. Re: R.R.A.P. Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 20:30:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108413033.105627.14700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103013

Bert Craig wrote:
> Anybody up for a net on 7037 at 0100z?

On Valentine's Day?

73 de Jim, N2EY

..."The Amateur Is Balanced"...

1448. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 21:03:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108415011.821035.107290@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103014

>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > bb wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > bb wrote:
>> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > bb wrote:
>> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> > > > > > I once slipped up and thought I could trust a guy
that I sent mail to in confidence, however he took stuff I sent him and
disucssed
>> > > > > >it in open forum dispite having been told the material was
sensitive.

Poor Stebe. Thought he could get away with some bragging. :-)

"Sensitive info." That's terminology of the DoD in regards
to information that is not discussed in public. So...it is "okay"
to mention some vague generalities of claimed military
experience but the "details are sensitive!" :-)

>> > > > > In other words, no hostile actions.
>>
>> > > > In other words, you're wrong.

Tsk, tsk. "In other words," Stebe was just plain LYING. :-)

>> > > Steve, you're right as always. ""People who make up stories
about
>> > > thier careers shouldn't give the
>> > > names of places and dates when those bits of information can be
>> > > corroborated." - Steve Robeson"
>>
>> > We're back to it Brian...last chance to suck it up and keep
things
>> > gentlemanly.....

Tsk, tsk. Things "suck" on agreeing with Steve. :-)

>> Poor Stebe. He fantasizes his opponents as all being wrong,
> all lying, all unlawful.

>Somethings wrong with that guy. But I'd still like to hear his 7
>adventure stories. Maybe over beers at the American Legion.
>
>I wonder why he ever shot off his mouth about "seven hostile actions"
>if he is so adamant about not talking about it?

Well, Brian, you and me and everyone else reading in here know
that Stebe left the safety off and shot out that brag statement. He
can't admit to being wrong (ever) for fear of losing his self esteem
(in his own eyes) so he keeps pressing and pressing and pressing
on his alleged "rightness" of that claim.

If and only if those "seven hostile actions" were really
"sensitive,"
then he should NOT have admitted any such thing under the DoD
information release guidelines. Just admitting he "took part" in
some
"sensitive" activity is a direct violation of policy.

In all probability, he never took part in any "sensitive" hostile
actions
that he "cannot talk about." He is just making up stories. LYING.

In his latest reply to the quoted above exchanges, he tries to
misdirect
the thread into his charges of your "unlawful operation" on amateur
bands while serving in the USAF in a foreign country. Stebe has NO
authority of any kind to "charge" you with anything in that regard.
If
he had, then he should have contacted the Judge Advocate General
office of the USAF and lodged a formal complaint...which would be
ridiculous since he has NO evidence of any wrongdoing by you. He
did not. Had he done so, he would have been laughed at (a terrible
no-no to him).

But, "face" is everything to him, it seems. He must keep up his
ruff and tuff behavior to prove he is "superior" and therefore will
never
ever admit to wrongdoing of HIS claims. It is always the OTHER
person who "did wrong." :-)

In one way, it is quite funny that he keeps on trying to claim such
"hostile action" experience without any evidence of his
participation.
It gets more hilarious when he says (in another posting) that "it
has
nothing to do with amateur radio!" Tsk, tsk, if it has nothing to
do
with amateur radio, why does he keep posting on that in here? :-)

He ought to just "suck it up" and forget about it. :-)

Well, back to "amateur radio subjects" about discussions of national
economy, national politics, and retirement plans. A valid amateur
radio license must be held to access the newsgroup? :-)

The ham Chat Room is picking up steam...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1449. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 21:08:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108413593.571064.217750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103015

Todd Daugherty wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:KdCdnUThdbCGhI3fRVn-sg@adelphia.com...
> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > > The Death of Amateur Radio
> > >
> > > By
> > >
> > > Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> >
> > It is interesting that what you propose to do would hasten your
"Death
> > of Amateur Radio" in my opinion.
> >
> > If we get a few hundred more such as yourself that believe that
they
> > need to broadcast their opinions over the amateur bands, more and
more
> > Amateurs will find something else to do with their leisure time, as
they
> > have no room to transmit as the bands fill up with "bulletin free
speech
> > transmissions. All the while transforming the Amateur bands into
some
> > sort of mutant version of the AM broadcast band.
>
> No where in my paper do I state that amateurs should broadcasting.

"amateurs should broadcasting"?

> There are
> some including the FCC who wishes to keep the service to where all
you do is
> give a signal report, location, ect.

That's simply not true! I've had many long and enjoyable QSOs on a
variety of subjects, with never a problem on content from FCC. The only
limits on content
were "no pecuniary interest" and keeping it "G-rated".

> As I stated in my paper a good example
> of this was packet radio. Packet is pretty much died around here
because all
> of the content on them was "For Sale" stuff. Packet would of survive
if

> BBS's were set up to cater to certain topics or discussion groups.

Such as?

> > You note that you look for a free space to transmit in. So what?
K1MAN
> > doesn't. He opens up on whoever is on the frequency and threatens
those
> > who don't move. How many more "free speech advocates" will decide
that
> > anyone on "their frequency" is an infringement on their free
speech?
> >
> Information Bulletins are legal no matter what you or anyone
believes.

If the bulletins meet the specific criteria I outlined in another post,
they're legal.

> Interference which K1MAN is doing is not legal.

Agreed!

> > I wonder if wattage limits are an infringement on a persons free
> > speech? Limiting it limits the number of people who can be reached.
> >
> > Suggestion that Lib net members use an alternative method of
getting
> > their views out is not infringement of their free speech, it is a
> > suggestion. And not a bad one at that. No one is forcing them off
the
> > air, just suggesting a better venue for their views.
>
> The FCC shouldn't even suggest it.

Yes, they should, if they see the content and behavior as detrimental
to the ARS. Which they do.

> Again the FCC is barred from controlling
> the content of any station.

That's simply not true.

> Again if they can have alternative perhaps ALL
> amateurs should move off the radio spectrum and uses the
alternative....the
> Internet.

For certain subjects, that's the right medium.
>
> > Death of Amateur Radio? Perhaps you have a bigger part than you
> > realize........
> >
Interesting!

In fact, we're starting to see what may be the "death of the internet" - or at least the death of its potential. Viruses, popups, identity theft and other shenanigans are causing many people I know to become disenchanted with it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1450. Re: R.R.A.P. Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 21:49:56 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <la9Qd.5371$ab3.2766@fe08.lga>
Source record: 103016

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1108413033.105627.14700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
>> Anybody up for a net on 7037 at 0100z?
>
> On Valentine's Day?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> ..."The Amateur Is Balanced"...

Point taken, hi. C u next week.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

1451. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 22:17:56 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4211242d$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103017

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1108413593.571064.217750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:KdCdnUThdbCGhI3fRVn-sg@adelphia.com...
> > > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > > > The Death of Amateur Radio
> > > >
> > > > By
> > > >
> > > > Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> > >
> > > It is interesting that what you propose to do would hasten your
> "Death
> > > of Amateur Radio" in my opinion.
> > >
> > > If we get a few hundred more such as yourself that believe that
> they
> > > need to broadcast their opinions over the amateur bands, more and
> more
> > > Amateurs will find something else to do with their leisure time, as
> they
> > > have no room to transmit as the bands fill up with "bulletin free
> speech
> > > transmissions. All the while transforming the Amateur bands into
> some
> > > sort of mutant version of the AM broadcast band.
> >
> > No where in my paper do I state that amateurs should broadcasting.
>
> "amateurs should broadcasting"?
>
> > There are
> > some including the FCC who wishes to keep the service to where all
> you do is
> > give a signal report, location, ect.
>
> That's simply not true! I've had many long and enjoyable QSOs on a
> variety of subjects, with never a problem on content from FCC. The only
> limits on content
> were "no pecuniary interest" and keeping it "G-rated".
>
> > As I stated in my paper a good example

> > of this was packet radio. Packet is pretty much died around here
> because all
> > of the content on them was "For Sale" stuff. Packet would of survive
> if
> > BBS's were set up to cater to certain topics or discussion groups.
>
> Such as?
>
A BBS with discussion on antenna designing, Another BBS with discussion on
on experimenting. Another BBS with amaeur policy. These are just to name a
few there was a issue CQ VHF that went into greater detail about the set up.
The point is to have all the for sale stuff on one BBS and a diverse of
other BBS on other
subjects. I tried years ago to set something up like that however a few
local amateurs threated to go to the FCC and claim that the system is
interferning with their system.
> > > You note that you look for a free space to transmit in. So what?
> K1MAN
> > > doesn't. He opens up on whoever is on the frequency and threatens
> those
> > > who don't move. How many more "free speech advocates" will decide
> that
> > > anyone on "their frequency" is an infringement on their free
> speech?
> > >
> > Information Bulletins are legal no matter what you or anyone
> believes.
>
> If the bulletins meet the specific criteria I outlined in another post,
> they're legal.
>
The problem is however, that there are amateur radio operators who feel that
information bulletins which deal with amateur radio issues shouldn't be
opinionated and it is those same amateurs operators when the bulletin is
transmitting then begin jamming the Information bulletin because they feel
the transmission is illegal.

> > Interference which K1MAN is doing is not legal.
>
> Agreed!
>
> > > I wonder if wattage limits are an infringement on a persons free
> > > speech? Limiting it limits the number of people who can be reached.
> > >
> > > Suggestion that Lib net members use an alternative method of
> getting
> > > their views out is not infringement of their free speech, it is a
> > > suggestion. And not a bad one at that. No one is forcing them off
> the
> > > air, just suggesting a better venue for their views.

> >
> > The FCC shouldn't even suggest it.
>
> Yes, they should, if they see the content and behavior as detrimental
> to the ARS. Which they do.
>
> > Again the FCC is barred from controlling
> > the content of any station.
>
> That's simply not true.
>
yes and no Under Section 326 of the Communication Act the FCC is barred to control the content of any station. The only content the FCC is allowed to control is obscene and indecent material and that's it.

> > Again if they can have alternative perhaps ALL
> > amateurs should move off the radio spectrum and uses the
> alternative....the
> > Internet.
>
> For certain subjects, that's the right medium.
> >
> > > Death of Amateur Radio? Perhaps you have a bigger part than you
> > > realize........
> > >
> Interesting!
>
> In fact, we're starting to see what may be the "death of the internet"
> - or at least the death of its potential. Viruses, popups, identity
> theft and other shenanigans are causing many people I know to become
> disenchanted with it.
>
I really dout the internet will die. As a matter of fact Internet 2 is now out (well right now only some Universities (206 to be exact) and government agencies have it...it will probably be commercialized in about two to three years.). Internet 2 will have a lot more applications and downloading will be faster. (people will be able to download a full length movie within minutes instead of days) so I really dout the Internet will die anytime soon.

Todd N9OGL

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1452. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 23:24:56 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <4oc211ptgpc60cfn087ampho8k4vmcnpf5@4ax.com>
Source record: 103018

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 14:23:29 -0500, Michael Coslo
<mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:

>> As I stated in my paper a good example
>> of this was packet radio. Packet is pretty much died around here because all
>> of the content on them was "For Sale" stuff. Packet would of survive if
>> BBS's were set up to cater to certain topics or discussion groups.
>
> Packet was/is so incredibly slow compared to other digital
>transmissions. When I became a Ham, I looked at it and decided that at
>it's transmission speed, there wasn't a lot of use for it.

What's better than packet then?

Tony

1453. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 23:27:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108423658.968309.147830@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103019

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
> > > > Poor Stebe. He fantasizes his opponents as all being wrong,
> > > > all lying, all unlawful.
> >
> > Somethings wrong with that guy. But I'd still like to hear his 7
> > adventure stories. Maybe over beers at the American Legion.
> >
> > I wonder why he ever shot off his mouth about "seven hostile
actions"
> > if he is so adamant about not talking about it?
>
> Nothing wrong. Just not appropriate to this forum. And I
didn't
> "shoot my mouth off", Brian.

Some see it that way.

> Now...back to those T5/KH/HL logs and the DXCC several times
over
> comments YOU made. Those ARE germane to Amateur Radio even though
it's
> apparent you can't seem to get your "facts" right.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

My amateur operation in Somalia is none of your concern.

1. you weren't there.
2. you were not my commanding officer.
3. the United Nations was not in my chain of cammand.
4. you are not in my logs.
5. you weren't even a bystander.

Apparently, Somalia is one hostile action that you missed out on. Best
of luck next time.

1454. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 23:43:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108424606.217099.257280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103020

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > bb wrote:
> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > bb wrote:
> >> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > > bb wrote:
> >> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > > > > > > I once slipped up and thought I could trust a guy
> that I sent mail to in confidence, however he took stuff I sent him
and
> disucssed
> >> > > > > > >it in open forum dispite having been told the material
was
> sensitive.
>
> Poor Stebe. Thought he could get away with some bragging. :-)
>
> "Sensitive info." That's terminology of the DoD in regards
> to information that is not discussed in public. So...it is "okay"
> to mention some vague generalities of claimed military
> experience but the "details are sensitive!" :-)

He forgot the golden rule of story telling.

A fairy tale starts out, "Once upon a time..."

A war story starts out, "This is no shit..."

He should have started out with the "Once upon a time..." version.
Maybe the gloved one will offer him a respite at never-never land.

> >> > > > > In other words, no hostile actions.
> >>
> >> > > > In other words, you're wrong.
>
> Tsk, tsk. "In other words," Stebe was just plain LYING. :-)

Could be. Probably. Who knows? Probably not even Steve.

Maybe he's referring to being fitted for a white dinner jacket. Seven
times.

> >> > > Steve, you're right as always. ""People who make up stories
> about
> >> > > thier careers shouldn't give the

> >> > > names of places and dates when those bits of information can
be
> >> > > corroborated." - Steve Robeson"
> >>
> >> > We're back to it Brian...last chance to suck it up and keep
> things
> >> > gentlemanly.....
>
> Tsk, tsk. Things "suck" on agreeing with Steve. :-)

Indeed. By "gentlemanly" he means that you must be intimidated "or
else."

> >> Poor Stebe. He fantasizes his opponents as all being wrong,
> > all lying, all unlawful.
>
> >Somethings wrong with that guy. But I'd still like to hear his 7
> >adventure stories. Maybe over beers at the American Legion.
> >
> >I wonder why he ever shot off his mouth about "seven hostile
actions"
> >if he is so adamant about not talking about it?
>
> Well, Brian, you and me and everyone else reading in here know
> that Stebe left the safety off and shot out that brag statement.
He
> can't admit to being wrong (ever) for fear of losing his self
esteem
> (in his own eyes) so he keeps pressing and pressing and pressing
> on his alleged "rightness" of that claim.

Two wrong "rightnesses" don't make a right. Neither does two dozen.

> If and only if those "seven hostile actions" were really
> "sensitive,"
> then he should NOT have admitted any such thing under the DoD
> information release guidelines. Just admitting he "took part" in
> some
> "sensitive" activity is a direct violation of policy.

Maybe he's waiting for someone to make that "phone call."

> In all probability, he never took part in any "sensitive" hostile
> actions
> that he "cannot talk about." He is just making up stories.
LYING.

Maybe. Probably. Who knows? Probably not even Steve.

> In his latest reply to the quoted above exchanges, he tries to
> misdirect
> the thread into his charges of your "unlawful operation" on
amateur

> bands while serving in the USAF in a foreign country.

Yep. He took his gentilemans gloves off. Such a putz.

Stebe has NO
> authority of any kind to "charge" you with anything in that
regard.

Perhaps it serves to recharge his lithium?

> If
> he had, then he should have contacted the Judge Advocate General
> office of the USAF and lodged a formal complaint...which would be
> ridiculous since he has NO evidence of any wrongdoing by you. He
> did not. Had he done so, he would have been laughed at (a
terrible
> no-no to him).

But, but, but...

the phone is ringing somewhere.

> But, "face" is everything to him, it seems. He must keep up his
> ruff and tuff behavior to prove he is "superior" and therefore
will
> never
> ever admit to wrongdoing of HIS claims. It is always the OTHER
> person who "did wrong." :-)

The Corps didn't teach him that. Prolly why he got discharged.

> In one way, it is quite funny that he keeps on trying to claim
such
> "hostile action" experience without any evidence of his
> participation.

But he participates in amateur radio. Makes everything else he says
legit.

> It gets more hilarious when he says (in another posting) that "it
> has
> nothing to do with amateur radio!" Tsk, tsk, if it has nothing to
> do
> with amateur radio, why does he keep posting on that in here? :-)

Low intelligence?

> He ought to just "suck it up" and forget about it. :-)

He does look like he's got enough belly to suck up a lot misdirection.

> Well, back to "amateur radio subjects" about discussions of
national
> economy, national politics, and retirement plans. A valid amateur
> radio license must be held to access the newsgroup? :-)

Ayup.

How's the SS holding out?

Has the gov't attached any new taxes to the payout?

Have you had to "means test" yet?

> The ham Chat Room is picking up steam...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Toot toot.

1455. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 23:48:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108424935.928355.43140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103021

Dave Heil wrote:
> Todd Daugherty wrote:

> > The
> > reason amateur radio is going to die is because Amateur radio has
nothing to
> > offer.
>
> There are thousands and thousands who disagree with you, Todd. Maybe
> what you really mean is that amateur radio has nothing to offer you.
> Feel free to move on. Find another interest.

Heil actually has a point, smug as it is.

I think what we are seeing is the start of this decade's chicken little
dance.

If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX.

1456. Re: R.R.A.P. Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 23:50:06 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1108425006.414636.65800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103022

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bert Craig wrote:
> > Anybody up for a net on 7037 at 0100z?
>
> On Valentine's Day?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> ..."The Amateur Is Balanced"...

Name one.

1457. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-14 23:57:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108425469.282868.124640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103023

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> GeorgeF wrote:
> > Caveat Lector wrote:
> >
> > > RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
> > > They probably read the ARRL pages for this
> > >
> > > It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out
> >
> > Its to the point where the last few days even operating CW on 40
has
> > been a waste. Twice tonight 20+ minutes into two different QSO's
had
>
> > RTTY coming right on top..... Guess they couldn't hear that the
freq
>
> > was already in use (ya right!)
> >
> Some kind of RTTY contest going on.
>
> Remember too that 40 meters is only 7000-7100 outside of IARU Region
2.
>
> That will change in the next few years but right now a lot of DX is
> allowed above 7100.

Even more is allowed below 7100, from where we sit.

> And as we head towards sunspot minimum, folks who
> were spread all over the bands above 10 MHz are being pushed lower in
> frequency due to lack of propagation. Happens every cycle.

Good point.

> The best solution would be for the bottom 50-75 kc\Hz of each band to
> be
> made CW-only - by law.

Ooops. You just blew it. You were passing out relatively accurate
info up to this point. Your Morsentricity is showing.

1458. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 00:06:58 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108422298.081308.111810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103024

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > You and Brian avoid unloaded questions as well.
> >
> > Brian Who?
>
> Exactly.
>
> Now see, if we'd kept it at "Brain", you would have been telling
> us who "Brian" is.

Jim has never engaged me as "Brain." That is a purely Avenging Angle affectation.

> You have some real situational awareness issues, Brian. Get them
> fixed.

Indeed. I once hallucinated that I was involved in seven hostile actions. Bad clams or something.

1459. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 00:32:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <IqKdnUm9lOG33ozfRVn-sQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103025

Tony VE6MVP wrote:

> On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 14:23:29 -0500, Michael Coslo
> <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote:
>
>
>>>As I stated in my paper a good example
>>>of this was packet radio. Packet is pretty much died around here because all
>>>of the content on them was "For Sale" stuff. Packet would of survive if
>>>BBS's were set up to cater to certain topics or discussion groups.
>>
>> Packet was/is so incredibly slow compared to other digital
>>transmissions. When I became a Ham, I looked at it and decided that at
>>it's transmission speed, there wasn't a lot of use for it.
>
>
> What's better than packet then?

Most everything else about Ham radio! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1460. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 01:31:04 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xdedndsTeYDRwlzfRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 103029

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1108424935.928355.43140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
>> > The
>> > reason amateur radio is going to die is because Amateur radio has
> nothing to
>> > offer.
>>
>> There are thousands and thousands who disagree with you, Todd. Maybe
>> what you really mean is that amateur radio has nothing to offer you.
>> Feel free to move on. Find another interest.
>
> Heil actually has a point, smug as it is.
>
> I think what we are seeing is the start of this decade's chicken little
> dance.
>
> If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX.
>

Oh, Lord. That would be a sight and a half!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1461. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 01:34:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xqydnfiMK4QNzIzfRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103026

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>bb wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>bb wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>><snippage>
>>>>
>>>>Fact is, an awful lot of people NEED some fiscal restraint.
>>>>Otherwise
>>>>they make stupid choices and become a drain on society. It is
>>>>how it is.
>>>>It is why people on welfare buy lottery tickets when they
>>>>should be
>>>>buying food or paying their rent. It is why people think they
>>>>can make
>>>>risky investments, and somehow retire to make more money than
>>>>when they were working.
>>>
>>>There's also the fact that investment information isn't always on
>>
>> the
>>
>>>up and up. Despite all the regulations, we still have messes like
>>>Enron. Even if those responsible for the Enron debacle go to jail
>>
>> for a
>>
>>>while, it won't bring back the money investors lost.
>>>
>>>>It sure is. But it is like saying that the average voltage of
>>>>our
>>>>household outlet is 0 volts. I'll pass on grabbing bare wires

>>>>of that 0
>>>>volt average system if ya don't mind.
>>>
>>>
>>>Sort of. The problem is that unless you buy nothing but index
>
> funds,
>
>>>you're not investing in "the market". And even if you do buy index
>>>funds, you're investing in a particular index.
>>>
>>>Y'know what's funny? The same folks who say we don't need restraint
>>>when investing life savings are the same ones who want to restrain
>
> stem
>
>>>cell research, recreational chemicals (except tobacco and
>
> alchohol),
>
>>>contraception, and a bunch of other things.
>>
>>Correct. Social engineering and attempts to force their philosophy on
>
>
>>others. Liberals.
>
>
> ??
>
> The folks who want restraints on stem cell research, recreational
> chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol), contraception, etc., call
> themselves "conservatives".

Yup, they call themselves conservatives. But in the brave new "you are
with us, or you are agin' us". party, conservatives support spending
money at unprecedented burn rates that are not supported by intake, they
support major increases in government power, and other things that we
used to be told that liberals want to inflict on us.

Lots of true conservatives feel the same. A web search can turn them up.

> The plain and simple fact is that any government action is "social
> engineering and attempt[ing] to force their philosophy on others". By
> definition.
>
> For example, there are tax deductions for home mortgage interest. Such
> deductions make home ownership more affordable for millions of people,
> and are in effect a subsidy supporting home ownership vs. renting.
>
>

>>>>>>>work if the government devalues its currency.
>>>
>>>>>> The most conservative investments have an interest rate that
>
> gets
>
>>>>>>adjusted every so often.
>>>>>
>>>>>Is that vehicle capable of losing its principle?
>>>>
>>>>No.
>>>
>>>Principle or principal? There's a big difference.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>> He chose to believe that things were going to get better and to
>>>>>>stay the course. By the time he got wise, it was too late.
>
> >>>
>
>>>>>Was it really? Why?
>>>>
>>>>He lost his principle. It can happen on that plan.
>>
>>>He lost both his principle (move to lower risk as you get older)
>
> and
>
>>>his principal (original investment)
>>>
>>>
>>>>There are many different time frames on exactly *when* the
>>>>system will
>>>>run out of steam or money. A lot of this depends on how much
>>>>money is
>>>>taken out by non-standard usage. (read robbing the till)
>>>
>>>
>>>Yep. Of course if one administration takes it out and promises
>>> to put it back, and a following administration run by the
>>>other party breaks the promise, who is to blame?
>>>
>>>
>>>>I don't care WHO took the money out. Be it Democrat or
>>>>Republican. One
>>>>of the side effects of being in power is that when it is your
>>>>group, you
>>>>reap the benefits as well as the brickbats.
>>>
>>>

>>>There's also the concept of responsibility. Which means that
>>>the folks in power, red, blue or purple, cannot simply blame
>>>everything on their predecessors and do nothing to fix the
>>>problems.
>>
>>Correct. That's a great way to lose power quickly. Unfortunately the
>>Pubs have a track record of doing just that. Too bad.
>
>
> But they didn't lose power in 2004. Nor in 1984 or 1988.
>
> The same folks who criticized others for "tax and spend" are now
> deep into "borrow and spend".
>
> Seems to me that it's more responsible to pay-as-you-go than to
> borrow and let future generations pay for it.

Absolutely. All deficit spending is eventually paid for. Under
extraordinary conditions, deficit spending *may* be the only way to
survive. But under those conditions, people are usually required to ante
up their share of the money to help. Today, people don't want to help
with their share of funding.

>
>>>>If all the Pubs can do is continue to blame the Dems for
>>>>every problem
>>>>on the face of the planet, then it means that they are
>>>>*weak*, because
>>>>they can't do anything about the Democrats even when
>>>>they are in power.
>>>>Funny how things work! 8^)
>
>
>>>Exactly! Well said, Mike.
>>
>> Thanks, Jim.
>
>
> It's also interesting to note that some people are insulted if you
> call them "liberals", even though their behavior and viewpoints
> are exactly that. And some people claim the title "conservative"
> yet behave in a very different way.

Indeed. Didya ever ever notice how angry some folk get when confronted
with the truth?

I still hold to the left to right spectrum as a continuum, not a bar.
It is a circle, with extreme left and right being virtually
indistinguishable from each other.

Deviation too far from the center is bad, bad, bad. The key is the center.

But learning is soooo hard. Witness the new Democratic party chairman. What were they thinking??? Dr. Dean is an honorable person. But he is just too far to the left for my taste.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1462. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 01:42:35 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108431755.334074.234260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103027

bb wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > Y'know what's funny? The same folks who say we don't need restraint
> > when investing life savings are the same ones who want to restrain
> stem
> > cell research, recreational chemicals (except tobacco and
alchohol),
> > contraception, and a bunch of other things.
>
> I've never, ever, ever met anyone who said that you don't need
> restraint when investing your life savings. Ever.
>
> So, Jim Miccolis, name those people.

Jim didn't tell the truth.

1463. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 01:54:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ibxbmy1.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103028

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 16:17:56 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:

>. I tried years ago to set something up like that however a few
>local amateurs threated to go to the FCC and claim that the system is
>interferning with their system.

I fail to see what the content of your erstwhile BBS had to do with
interference, which is a spectrum-sharing problem.

As all experienced lawyers know all too well, folks threaten to go to
"the authorities" or to "file suit" with no basis in their claim
whatsoever. Ah, the American legal system!!

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1464. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 02:57:09 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9ydQd.998$9J5.629@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103030

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> GeorgeF wrote:
>
>>Caveat Lector wrote:
>>
>>
>>>RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
>>>They probably read the ARRL pages for this
>>>
>>>It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out

Exactly. Maybe the RTTY was operating QRP?

>>

> Remember too that 40 meters is only 7000-7100 outside of IARU Region 2.
>
> That will change in the next few years but right now a lot of DX is
> allowed above 7100. And as we head towards sunspot minimum, folks who
> were spread all over the bands above 10 MHz are being pushed lower in
> frequency due to lack of propagation. Happens every cycle.
>
> The best solution would be for the bottom 50-75 kc\Hz of each band to
> be
> made CW-only - by law.
>
The FCC doesn't want to end up doing this sort of micro-
management. It's better if hams decide (via ARRL or such
group) what subbands are where. And if something needs to
change it doesn't take years to do it. The FCC acknowledges
such as "good amateur practice".

1465. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 03:06:08 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <AGdQd.1003$9J5.752@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103031

>
> Packet was/is so incredibly slow compared to other digital
> transmissions. When I became a Ham, I looked at it and decided that at
> it's transmission speed, there wasn't a lot of use for it.

When packet first came out, it was fun to do. That's when
dial up modems did 1200 baud. But that was 15 years ago.
If the packet BBSes now did 56K or faster (not by modulation
of the audio feeding an FM rig, but skillful modulation of
the carrier itself (an RF modem)) it might still be interesting.

>
>> Again the FCC is barred from controlling
>> the content of any station.

They have the "no pecuniary interest" rule, which is a
regulation on content. Not that I think that that rule is
bad; it protects the ham bands from being taken over
by taxi cab and pizza delivery traffic and such.

But somehow it doesn't have 1st amendment issues.

1466. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 05:09:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108444169.941398.59070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103032

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > You and Brian avoid unloaded questions as well.
> > >
> > > Brian Who?
> >
> > Exactly.
> >
> > Now see, if we'd kept it at "Brain", you would have been
telling
> > us who "Brian" is.
>
> Jim has never engaged me as "Brain." That is a purely Avenging Angle
> affectation.

Who is "Avenging Angle"...???

And if you're refering to me, Brain, I was not the first to call
you "Brain".

> > You have some real situational awareness issues, Brian. Get
> them
> > fixed.
>
> Indeed. I once hallucinated that I was involved in seven hostile
> actions. Bad clams or something.

No doubt you have many excuses, Brian. Get your problems
fixed...soon.

Steve, K4YZ

1467. Re: Brian Burke: Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 05:24:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108445074.347341.36450@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103033

bb wrote:

> My amateur operation in Somalia is none of your concern.

YOU made it EVERYONE'S "concern" when YOU brought it up.

> 1. you weren't there.

I was "there" before you were.

> 2. you were not my commanding officer.

I refused the lobotomy.

> 3. the United Nations was not in my chain of cammand.

What's a "cammand"...??? "A" and "O" aren't even close enough on
the keyboard to be a "typo". Guess you have that Lenniesque "It's A
Typo So He Must be Angry" thing going on.

Are you angry, Brain? You have a right to be, you know...

You keep making stupid assertions in a public forum and then
getting your nose rubbed in them. I'd get angry if I kept getting
tripped up in my own mistakes.

If you'd STOP making those stupid assertions, the rubbing would
stop...Just like it has for the last four weeks.

The US Forces were in Somalia as part of a United Nations mandate.
That put them in charge. Like it or not, they WERE a part of your
"chain-of-command".

> 4. you are not in my logs.

How can I be in something that doesn't exist?

> 5. you weren't even a bystander.

Sure I was. I've been a "bystander" to your constant mistruths,
deceit and flailings in this newsgroup about your DXploits that never
happened...Or should I say didn't happen LEGALLY...???

> Apparently, Somalia is one hostile action that you missed out on.
Best
> of luck next time.

Somalia when YOU were there was one I missed out on. Sorry to
break it to ya, War Hero...You weren't the first Yankee in Somalia. I
doubt you'll be the last.

Steve, K4YZ

1468. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 05:29:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108445345.442507.282020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103034

bb wrote:

> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> > The ham Chat Room is picking up steam...
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Toot toot.

Somehow the vision of you sitting in a tub of Mr Bubble pushing a toy tugboat around going "toot toot" seems to fit. Why am I not surprised?

Steve, K4YZ

1469. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 05:35:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108445755.115519.217080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103035

bb wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > The best solution would be for the bottom 50-75 kc\Hz of each band
to
> > be
> > made CW-only - by law.
>
> Ooops. You just blew it. You were passing out relatively accurate
> info up to this point. Your Morsentricity is showing.

How did he "blow it"...?!?!

He made a suggestion...Not a statement of fact.

The FACT is that despite similarities of various narrow band
modes, many just don't work well in close proximity to each other.
Mode specific subbands would tend to reduce those occurences of
dissimilar mode QRM.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1470. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 10:42:21 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hmkQd.30642$wi2.26441@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 103036

.....Has been greatly exaggerated.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php

1471. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 12:07:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108469265.911406.51490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103037

K4YZ wrote:

> The FACT is that despite similarities of various narrow band
> modes, many just don't work well in close proximity to each other.
> Mode specific subbands would tend to reduce those occurences of
> dissimilar mode QRM.

Forgot to add:

This is not a prctical option, though. What is an "adequate"
amount of bandwidth for a mode today will, undoubtedly be way too much
or way to little tomorrow.

Skilled operators observing "Gentleman's Band Plans" remains the
prefered methodology for this.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1472. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 14:26:38 UTC
From: Weebus RF Meter <voordviktorlb2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c3ed8$4212069f$d1cc566d$29087@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 103038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:cuqtri$m7k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...

> Hollingsworth has often commented on situations that are detrimental to
> Ham radio. Certainly the Lib Net is one of those. A parent listening in
> on that bunch is not likely to want their children having anything to do
> with the hobby.

The Lib Net are a bunch of aging lightweight crackerheads compared
to something called The Eastern Regional Patriot Net.
You can catch these ultra-goofballs right now, every evening at
7:00 PM Central Time (8 PM Eastern) on 3.860 LSB.
This bunch is your genuine core-group of ultra-paranoid misfits
what seems to believes in chemtrails, colloidal-silver, the Protocols of
Zion, Planet X and Aryan purity among other such longtime short-wave
radio crapola, what everyone else knows is both pure bullshit and
the rantings of screwballs. The ERPN itself was started by noneother
than famous UPR Radio goofball Steve Anderson (..currently incarcerated
for firing a fully automatic AK-47 at a Kentucky State Police
officer during a MVA stop) who once broadcast from his home in
Northern Kentucky. Steve's eventual arrest and conviction has
not stopped these fruits and nuts which still meet nightly on 3.860 for
passing of Militia-related "traffic" on a nightly basis, some check-in's
of which have included known Militia members and several others
using both bootleg or invalid made-up ham callsigns. Of late however
check-in's to the ERPN have been sparse or made up of valid ham
radio callsign holders, as the word was out that both RH and a certain
"Homeland Security" type Agency of the US Govt. (hint) has taken recent
'interest' in some of the traffic being passed on this so-called net, or so
it was alleged at a midwestern ham club recently.....

Then again, that's is the consistent & nice thing about your average
right-wing Domestic Kookinschlong...every one of em LOVES to blabber
their openly Seditious and Insurrectionist incitement either thru a
telephone
to a kook call-in short-wave radio show, (..like Alex Jones' daily hit
parade
of paranoia) or from behind a ham radio microphone live and nightly like
they've been doing on the ERPN for several years now. What a bunch
of sloop head dopes, poebuckers and moe-rons! SWL them now boys while
you can, before they operate "dx" later at Guatananamo Bay or some
other similar 'amusing' place. ;)
[viktor-lima-bravo-two...grin....grin...]

1473. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 15:26:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108481168.989536.220310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103039

Todd Daugherty wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1108413593.571064.217750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > > news:KdCdnUThdbCGhI3fRVn-sg@adelphia.com...
> > > > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > > > > The Death of Amateur Radio

> > > > It is interesting that what you propose to do would hasten your
> > > > "Death of Amateur Radio" in my opinion.
> > > >
> > > > If we get a few hundred more such as yourself that believe that
> > > > they
> > > > need to broadcast their opinions over the amateur bands, more
and
> > > > more
> > > > Amateurs will find something else to do with their leisure
time, as
> > > > they
> > > > have no room to transmit as the bands fill up with "bulletin
free
> > > > speech
> > > > transmissions. All the while transforming the Amateur bands
into
> > > > some sort of mutant version of the AM broadcast band.

> > > No where in my paper do I state that amateurs should
broadcasting.

> > "amateurs should broadcasting"?

> > > There are
> > > some including the FCC who wishes to keep the service to where
all
> > > you do is give a signal report, location, ect.

> > That's simply not true! I've had many long and enjoyable QSOs on a
> > variety of subjects, with never a problem on content from FCC. The
only
> > limits on content
> > were "no pecuniary interest" and keeping it "G-rated".

> > > As I stated in my paper a good example
> > > of this was packet radio. Packet is pretty much died around here

> > > because all
> > > of the content on them was "For Sale" stuff. Packet would of
survive
> > > if BBS's were set up to cater to certain topics or discussion
groups.

> > Such as?

> A BBS with discussion on antenna designing, Another BBS with
discussion on
> on experimenting. Another BBS with amaeur policy. These are just to
name a
> few there was a issue CQ VHF that went into greater detail about the
set up.
> The point is to have all the for sale stuff on one BBS and a diverse
of
> other BBS on other subjects.

Sounds good in theory. But in practice, how would that work? Could hams
all over the world, or even all over the USA, access that particular
BBS? If so, how?

> I tried years ago to set something up like that however a few
> local amateurs threated to go to the FCC and claim that the system is
> interferning with their system.

How many years ago? And would it have interfered?

It seems to me that one of the limitations of amateur packet radio is
that
it hasn't evolved much past the 1200 baud/BBS mindset of 20+ years ago.
Heck,
even trailingedge computer types like me have been running 56k dialup
modems for almost a decade!

Wasn't amateur packet originally set up for 1200 baud because you could
use a
voice FM 2 m radio without any mods? You'd think that by now packet
would have
moved to much higher speeds and much higher bands...but that would mean
someone
would actually have to build a radio to do it...

> > > > You note that you look for a free space to transmit in. So
what?
> > > > K1MAN
> > > > doesn't. He opens up on whoever is on the frequency and
threatens
> > > > those
> > > > who don't move. How many more "free speech advocates" will
decide
> > > > that
> > > > anyone on "their frequency" is an infringement on their free

> > > > speech?
> > > >
> > > Information Bulletins are legal no matter what you or anyone
> > > believes.
> >
> > If the bulletins meet the specific criteria I outlined in another
post,
> > they're legal.
> >
> The problem is however, that there are amateur radio operators who
feel that
> information bulletins which deal with amateur radio issues shouldn't
be
> opinionated and it is those same amateurs operators when the bulletin
is
> transmitting then begin jamming the Information bulletin because they
feel
> the transmission is illegal.

Jamming is an enforcement issue.

> > > Interference which K1MAN is doing is not legal.

> > Agreed!

> > > > I wonder if wattage limits are an infringement on a persons
free
> > > > speech? Limiting it limits the number of people who can be
reached.

> > > > Suggestion that Lib net members use an alternative method of
> > > > getting
> > > > their views out is not infringement of their free speech, it is
a
> > > > suggestion. And not a bad one at that. No one is forcing them
off
> > > > the air, just suggesting a better venue for their views.

> > > The FCC shouldn't even suggest it.

> > Yes, they should, if they see the content and behavior as
detrimental
> > to the ARS. Which they do.

> > > Again the FCC is barred from controlling
> > > the content of any station.

> > That's simply not true.

> yes and no Under Section 326 of the Communication Act the FCC is
barred to
> control the content of any station.

I'll ask again: What exact verbiage says that?

> The only content the FCC is allowed to
> control is obscene and indecent material and that's it.

How about commercial content on the ham bands?

How about using radio to help with the commission of crimes?

Are those things allowed under 326?
>
> > > Again if they can have alternative perhaps ALL
> > > amateurs should move off the radio spectrum and uses the
> > > alternative....the Internet.

> > For certain subjects, that's the right medium.
>
> > > > Death of Amateur Radio? Perhaps you have a bigger part than you
> > > > realize........

> > Interesting!
> >
> > In fact, we're starting to see what may be the "death of the
internet"
> > - or at least the death of its potential. Viruses, popups, identity
> > theft and other shenanigans are causing many people I know to
become
> > disenchanted with it.

> I really dout the internet will die.

Me too. But I see its potential dying.

> As a matter of fact Internet 2 is now
> out (well right now only some Universities (206 to be exact) and
government
> agencies have it...it will probably be commercialized in about two to
three
> years.). Internet 2 will have a lot more applications and downloading
will
> be faster. (people will be able to download a full length movie
within
> minutes instead of days) so I really dout the Internet will die
anytime
> soon.

If "internet 2" catches on, it will replace the original.

If you want different content than what is found on current amateur
packet,
why not provide it yourself? Not in competition with the forsale folks,
but
on a different frequency or even band. With much higher speed and more
features?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1474. Re:Q-Signals On Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 16:06:58 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <D6pQd.31638$xt.29747@fed1read07>
Source record: 103040

> Someone wrote;
> Q codes are for morse only. People who use Q codes on voice or text are
> boring.

I agree that generally there is no reason to use Q-codes on voice.

But there are 600,000 + hams in the USA most using Q-Codes on voice even VHF, and ya ain't gonna change that, so I suggest you know the basic ones when they come at you.

Example: New folks coming on repeaters will hear about 5 or 6 commonly used Q-codes - best learn them or wonder what the hell they are talking about. QSL, QSY, QTH, QRM, QRN, QRX, etc

Q-Signals are brevity codes as is the 10 codes. They are useful to increase thruput and clarity, that is why the police and RACES use them on voice. With Hams it is mostly jargon and tradition. Just like the rest of our language -- if ya get my drift - OK.

You will have an impossible task trying to eliminate Q-signals on Ham radio voice modes --- QSL ?

P.S. Do you still say DMV instead of Department Of Motor Vehicles ------
IMI
--
73 de Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

1475. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 17:51:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108489862.325498.76170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103041

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>bb wrote:
> >>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>>bb wrote:
> >>>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>>><snippage>
> >>>>Fact is, an awful lot of people NEED some fiscal restraint.
> >>>>Otherwise
> >>>>they make stupid choices and become a drain on society. It is
> >>>>how it is.
> >>>>It is why people on welfare buy lottery tickets when they
> >>>>should be
> >>>>buying food or paying their rent. It is why people think they
> >>>>can make
> >>>>risky investments, and somehow retire to make more money than
> >>>>when they were working.
> >>>There's also the fact that investment information isn't always on
> >>>the
> >>>up and up. Despite all the regulations, we still have messes like
> >>>Enron. Even if those responsible for the Enron debacle go to jail
> >>>for a
> >>>while, it won't bring back the money investors lost.

> >>>Y'know what's funny? The same folks who say we don't need
restraint
> >>>when investing life savings are the same ones who want to restrain
> >>>stem
> >>>cell research, recreational chemicals (except tobacco and
> >>>alchohol),
> >>>contraception, and a bunch of other things.

> >>Correct. Social engineering and attempts to force their philosophy
on
> >>others. Liberals.
> >
> >
> > ??
> >
> > The folks who want restraints on stem cell research, recreational
> > chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol), contraception, etc., call
> > themselves "conservatives".

>
> Yup, they call themselves conservatives. But in the brave new "you
are
> with us, or you are agin' us". party, conservatives support spending

> money at unprecedented burn rates that are not supported by intake,
they
> support major increases in government power, and other things that we

> used to be told that liberals want to inflict on us.

Except that the "liberals" were pushing tax-and-spend, not
borrow-and-spend.

>Lots of true conservatives feel the same.

But what is a "true conservative"? Or a "true liberal", for that
matter?

Most definitions I see are way too simplified.

For example, I've seen it written that a conservative wants to
control/regulate the individual and decontrol/deregulate the
organizations (govt., business, etc.), and a liberal wants to
control/regulate the organizations and decontrol/deregulate the
individual.

Under that definition, the current administration is conservative!

But another definition says the conservative wants small, hands-off,
pay-as-you-go government (usually defined by spending), and the liberal
wants big, hands-on, borrow-tax-spend activist/social engineering
government.

Under *that* definition, the current administration is liberal!

Yet another definition says conservatives want to keep things as they
are, and liberals want to run around changing things.

You decide what that one is.

> > >A web search can turn them up.
>
> > The plain and simple fact is that any government action is "social
> > engineering and attempt[ing] to force their philosophy on others".
By
> > definition.
> >
> > For example, there are tax deductions for home mortgage interest.
Such
> > deductions make home ownership more affordable for millions of
people,
> > and are in effect a subsidy supporting home ownership vs. renting.
> >

Now - is that liberal or conservative policy? How many self-described "conservatives" would support dumping the home mortgage interest deduction?

> >>Correct. That's a great way to lose power quickly. Unfortunately the
> >>Pubs have a track record of doing just that. Too bad.

> > But they didn't lose power in 2004. Nor in 1984 or 1988.
> >
> > The same folks who criticized others for "tax and spend" are now
> > deep into "borrow and spend".
> >
> > Seems to me that it's more responsible to pay-as-you-go than to
> > borrow and let future generations pay for it.
>
> Absolutely. All deficit spending is eventually paid for.

Or defaulted on.

> Under
> extraordinary conditions, deficit spending *may* be the only way to
> survive. But under those conditions, people are usually required to ante
> up their share of the money to help. Today, people don't want to help

> with their share of funding.

I think they would *if* they felt they were getting something for their money.

Look at what happened during WW2. Government deficit spending went to unimagined levels. It was largely paid for by people buying bonds and paying higher taxes. (Bonds are, of course, deficit spending). Of course if that war was lost, financial policy didn't matter much.

But there was another side: Unemployment vanished! Production soared to incredible levels, and nobody had to worry about losing money if they could do the job. There wasn't much for civilians to spend their money on, because a lot of things were either rationed or unavailable. Buying bonds wasn't just a duty, it was also a form of tax-deferred saving.

And there were paybacks after the war ended. Unlike the way WW1 vets were treated during the Great Depression, WW2 produced the GI Bill, which revolutionized the middle class in the USA. Agencies like the FHA and projects like the interstate highway system not only created jobs, they completely changed the way people lived. Not just veterans, either.

Now - were the GI Bill and all those postwar agencies "liberal" or "conservative"?

> >
> >>>>If all the Pubs can do is continue to blame the Dems for

> >>>>every problem
> >>>>on the face of the planet, then it means that they are
> >>>>*weak*, because
> >>>>they can't do anything about the Democrats even when
> >>>>they are in power.
> >>>>Funny how things work! 8^)
> >
> >
> >>>Exactly! Well said, Mike.
> >>
> >> Thanks, Jim.
> >
> >
> > It's also interesting to note that some people are insulted if you
> > call them "liberals", even though their behavior and viewpoints
> > are exactly that. And some people claim the title "conservative"
> > yet behave in a very different way.
>
> Indeed. Didya ever ever notice how angry some folk get when
confronted
> with the truth?

You mean like Len? He's a textbook example!

> I still hold to the left to right spectrum as a continuum, not a bar.

> It is a circle, with extreme left and right being virtually
> indistinguishable from each other.

In some ways, I agree.
>
> Deviation too far from the center is bad, bad, bad. The key is the
center.

But how is the center defined?

> But learning is soooo hard. Witness the new Democratic party
chairman.
> What were they thinking??? Dr. Dean is an honorable person. But he
is
> just too far to the left for my taste.
>
No, he's just what the Dems need. Here's why:

1) By making him chairman, they pretty much guarantee he won't run for
P or VP in 2008, yet his followers will stay around.

2) He's *not* an inside-the-beltway politician. That's important -
notice how many presidents since LBJ have come from state governor
positions. He's enough of an outsider to shake/wake the Dems up.

3) He's outspoken enough to talk straight and short, rather than "using
too many big words" like Algore and Kerry and Dukakis.

4) He *is* an honorable person, and a smart one. You may not agree with him, but you can respect him.

Is the state that elected Dean composed mostly of "liberals" or "conservatives"?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1476. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 17:59:43 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <jMqQd.31659$xt.18725@fed1read07>
Source record: 103042

Gee is all the below about ARS License numbers ? (;-)

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1108489862.325498.76170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >>>>bb wrote:
>> >>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >>>>>>bb wrote:
>> >>>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >>>>>>><snippage>
>> >>>>Fact is, an awful lot of people NEED some fiscal restraint.
>> >>>>Otherwise
>> >>>>they make stupid choices and become a drain on society. It is
>> >>>>how it is.
>> >>>>It is why people on welfare buy lottery tickets when they
>> >>>>should be
>> >>>>buying food or paying their rent. It is why people think they
>> >>>>can make
>> >>>>risky investments, and somehow retire to make more money than
>> >>>>when they were working.
>> >>>There's also the fact that investment information isn't always on
>> >>>the
>> >>>up and up. Despite all the regulations, we still have messes like
>> >>>Enron. Even if those responsible for the Enron debacle go to jail
>> >>>for a
>> >>>while, it won't bring back the money investors lost.
>> >>>Y'know what's funny? The same folks who say we don't need
> restraint
>> >>>when investing life savings are the same ones who want to restrain
>> >>>stem
>> >>>cell research, recreational chemicals (except tobacco and
>> >>>alchohol),
>> >>>contraception, and a bunch of other things.
>> >>Correct. Social engineering and attempts to force their philosophy
> on
>> >>others. Liberals.

>> >
>> >
>> > ??
>> >
>> > The folks who want restraints on stem cell research, recreational
>> > chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol), contraception, etc., call
>> > themselves "conservatives".
>>
>> Yup, they call themselves conservatives. But in the brave new "you
> are
>> with us, or you are agin' us". party, conservatives support spending
>
>> money at unprecedented burn rates that are not supported by intake,
> they
>> support major increases in government power, and other things that we
>
>> used to be told that liberals want to inflict on us.
>
> Except that the "liberals" were pushing tax-and-spend, not
> borrow-and-spend.
>
>>Lots of true conservatives feel the same.
>
> But what is a "true conservative"? Or a "true liberal", for that
> matter?
>
> Most definitions I see are way too simplified.
>
> For example, I've seen it written that a conservative wants to
> control/regulate the individual and decontrol/deregulate the
> organizations (govt., business, etc.), and a liberal wants to
> control/regulate the organizations and decontrol/deregulate the
> individual.
>
> Under that definition, the current administration is conservative!
>
> But another definition says the conservative wants small, hands-off,
> pay-as-you-go government (usually defined by spending), and the liberal
> wants big, hands-on, borrow-tax-spend activist/social engineering
> government.
>
> Under *that* definition, the current administration is liberal!
>
> Yet another definition says conservatives want to keep things as they
> are, and liberals want to run around changing things.
>
> You decide what that one is.
>
>> > >A web search can turn them up.
>>

>> > The plain and simple fact is that any government action is "social
>> > engineering and attempt[ing] to force their philosophy on others".
> By
>> > definition.
>> >
>> > For example, there are tax deductions for home mortgage interest.
> Such
>> > deductions make home ownership more affordable for millions of
> people,
>> > and are in effect a subsidy supporting home ownership vs. renting.
>> >
> Now - is that liberal or conservative policy? How many self-described
> "conservatives" would support dumping the home mortgage interest
> deduction?
>
>> >>Correct. That's a great way to lose power quickly. Unfortunately
> the
>> >>Pubs have a track record of doing just that. Too bad.
>
>> > But they didn't lose power in 2004. Nor in 1984 or 1988.
>> >
>> > The same folks who criticized others for "tax and spend" are now
>> > deep into "borrow and spend".
>> >
>> > Seems to me that it's more responsible to pay-as-you-go than to
>> > borrow and let future generations pay for it.
>>
>> Absolutely. All deficit spending is eventually paid for.
>
> Or defaulted on.
>
>> Under
>> extraordinary conditions, deficit spending *may* be the only way to
>> survive. But under those conditions, people are usually required to
> ante
>> up their share of the money to help. Today, people don't want to help
>
>> with their share of funding.
>
> I think they would *if* they felt they were getting something for their
> money.
>
> Look at what happened during WW2. Government deficit spending went to
> unimagined levels. It was largely paid for by people buying bonds and
> paying higher taxes. (Bonds are, of course, deficit spending). Of
> course if that war was lost, financial policy didn't matter much.
>
> But there was another side: Unemployment vanished! Production soared to
> incredible levels, and nobody had to worry about losing money if they
> could do the job. There wasn't much for civilians to spend their money

> on, because a lot of things were either rationed or unavailable. Buying
> bonds wasn't just a duty, it was also a form of tax-deferred saving.
>
> And there were paybacks after the war ended. Unlike the way WW1 vets
> were treated during the Great Depression, WW2 produced the GI Bill,
> which revolutionized the middle class in the USA. Agencies like the FHA
> and projects like the interstate highway system not only created jobs,
> they completely changed the way people lived. Not just veterans,
> either.
>
> Now - were the GI Bill and all those postwar agencies "liberal" or
> "conservative"?
>
>> >
>> >>>>If all the Pubs can do is continue to blame the Dems for
>> >>>>every problem
>> >>>>on the face of the planet, then it means that they are
>> >>>>*weak*, because
>> >>>>they can't do anything about the Democrats even when
>> >>>>they are in power.
>> >>>>Funny how things work! 8^)
>> >
>> >
>> >>>Exactly! Well said, Mike.
>> >>
>> >> Thanks, Jim.
>> >
>> >
>> > It's also interesting to note that some people are insulted if you
>> > call them "liberals", even though their behavior and viewpoints
>> > are exactly that. And some people claim the title "conservative"
>> > yet behave in a very different way.
>>
>> Indeed. Didya ever ever notice how angry some folk get when
> confronted
>> with the truth?
>
> You mean like Len? He's a textbook example!
>
>> I still hold to the left to right spectrum as a continuum, not a bar.
>
>> It is a circle, with extreme left and right being virtually
>> indistinguishable from each other.
>
> In some ways, I agree.
>>
>> Deviation too far from the center is bad, bad, bad. The key is the
> center.
>
> But how is the center defined?

>
>> But learning is soooo hard. Witness the new Democratic party
> chairman.
>> What were they thinking??? Dr. Dean is an honorable person. But he
> is
>> just too far to the left for my taste.
>>
> No, he's just what the Dems need. Here's why:
>
> 1) By making him chairman, they pretty much guarantee he won't run for
> P or VP in 2008, yet his followers will stay around.
>
> 2) He's *not* an inside-the-beltway politician. That's important -
> notice how many presidents since LBJ have come from state governor
> positions. He's enough of an outsider to shake/wake the Dems up.
>
> 3) He's outspoken enough to talk straight and short, rather than "using
> too many big words" like Algore and Kerry and Dukakis.
>
> 4) He *is* an honorable person, and a smart one. You may not agree with
> him, but you can respect him.
>
> Is the state that elected Dean composed mostly of "liberals" or
> "conservatives"?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1477. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 19:48:11 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <42125294$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103043

There are many ham radio operators who misunderstand this paper so I'll give a little example. Say I run a store, and in this store is empty boxes for sale. Now often I would get someone to come into my store but no one would buy my boxes. The reason for that is all I offer..the boxes. Now if I had a variety of stuff to offer the business would pickup and I would be able to compete with other stores. The same is true with amateur radio. If amateur radio is to survive in the digital age outdated modes of communication won't cut it. For amateur radio to survive they are going to have to offer something besides an easy way to get a license. Amateurs will have to compete in the sense that they are going to have to offer something that would get people to join the service. Like I said for amateurs to compete in the digital era out dated modes of communication and half ass forms of communication aren't going to cut. Amateur radio will die because amateur radio will have nothing to offer except those half ass modes and outdated communications

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1478. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 20:51:27 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1108500687.609436.188280@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103044

You are a FREAKING moron!!!

1479. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 21:56:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108504587.392467.225120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103045

These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held by
individuals on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of February 14, 2005:

Novice - 29,318 (decrease of 20,011)
Technician - 266,128 (increase of 60,734)
Technician Plus - 52,556 (decrease of 76,304)
General - 137,606 (increase of 24,929)
Advanced - 77,351 (decrease of 22,431)
Extra - 106,192 (increase of 27,442)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,684 (decrease of 15,570)

Total all classes - 669,151 (decrease of 5,641)

Note that these totals do not include licenses that
have expired but are in the grace period. They also
do not include club, military, RACES or other
station-only licenses.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1480. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 22:40:38 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <GTuQd.31695$xt.14274@fed1read07>
Source record: 103046

Hmmm I read something like this in about 1950
Hasn't happened yet in fact increased enormously
Please give a date for the The Death of Amateur Radio
And we will take a dollar for every year it continues --- OK

Interesting that we have dozens of new hams that got a license to beat the
cell phone rates

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:42125294$1_1@127.0.0.1...
>
> There are many ham radio operators who misunderstand this paper so I'll
> give
> a little example. Say I run a store, and in this store is empty boxes for
> sale. Now often I would get someone to come into my store but no one would
> buy my boxes. The reason for that is all I offer..the boxes. Now if I had
> a
> variety of stuff to offer the business would pickup and I would be able to
> compete with other stores. The same is true with amateur radio. If amateur
> radio is to survive in the digital age outdated modes of communication
> won't
> cut it. For amateur radio to survive they are going to have to offer
> something besides an easy way to get a license. Amateurs will have to
> compete in the sense that they are going to have to offer something that
> would get people to join the service. Like I said for amateurs to compete
> in
> the digital era out dated modes of communication and half ass forms of
> communication aren't going to cut. Amateur radio will die because amateur
> radio will have nothing to offer except those half ass modes and outdated
> communications
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups

> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

1481. Re: Re:Q-Signals On Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-15 23:06:43 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108508803.755361.104190@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103047

Caveat Lector wrote:
> > Someone wrote;
> > Q codes are for morse only. People who use Q codes on voice or text
are
> > boring.
>
> I agree that generally there is no reason to use Q-codes on voice.
>
> But there are 600,000 + hams in the USA most using Q-Codes on voice
even
> VHF, and ya ain't gonna change that, so I suggest you know the basic
ones
> when they come at you.

I don't use Q-codes on voice.

But it's a good idea to know them anyway.
>
> Example: New folks coming on repeaters will hear about 5 or 6
commonly used
> Q-codes - best learn them or wonder what the hell they are talking
about.
> QSL, QSY, QTH, QRM, QRN, QRX, etc

Yes.
>
> Q-Signals are brevity codes as is the 10 codes. They are useful to
increase
> thruput and clarity, that is why the police and RACES use them on
voice.

Except in may cases they are *longer* on voice than the equivalent
words. For example, "QSL" is three syllables but "roger" is only two.
"QRX" is three but "wait" is one. Etc.

> With Hams it is mostly jargon and tradition. Just like the rest of
our
> language -- if ya get my drift - OK.

Exactly. I don't use 'em on voice but I'm not going to get upset with
someone who does.
>
> You will have an impossible task trying to eliminate Q-signals on Ham
radio
> voice modes --- QSL ?

roger!

The best way to eliminate their use on voice is simply to set an example. What a concept, huh?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1482. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 00:57:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BOOdnUOQhJj8B4_fRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103048

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:

<more snippage>

>>>>Correct. Social engineering and attempts to force their philosophy
>
> on
>
>>>>others. Liberals.
>>>
>>>
>>>??
>>>
>>>The folks who want restraints on stem cell research, recreational
>>>chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol), contraception, etc., call
>>>themselves "conservatives".
>>
>> Yup, they call themselves conservatives. But in the brave new "you
>
> are
>
>>with us, or you are agin' us". party, conservatives support spending
>>money at unprecedented burn rates that are not supported by intake,
>> they support major increases in government power, and other things that we
>>used to be told that liberals want to inflict on us.
>
>
> Except that the "liberals" were pushing tax-and-spend, not
> borrow-and-spend.

Of course there are differences. At least the olde tyme liberals were
willing to pay for their overspending.

>
>>Lots of true conservatives feel the same.
>
>
> But what is a "true conservative"? Or a "true liberal", for that
> matter?
>
> Most definitions I see are way too simplified.
>
> For example, I've seen it written that a conservative wants to
> control/regulate the individual and decontrol/deregulate the

> organizations (govt., business, etc.), and a liberal wants to
> control/regulate the organizations and decontrol/deregulate the
> individual.
>
> Under that definition, the current administration is conservative!
>
> But another definition says the conservative wants small, hands-off,
> pay-as-you-go government (usually defined by spending), and the liberal
> wants big, hands-on, borrow-tax-spend activist/social engineering
> government.
>
> Under *that* definition, the current administration is liberal!

Yup, as I noted, there are going to be differences.

Think Dixiecrat! Just where did the Dixiecrats go?

> Yet another definition says conservatives want to keep things as they
> are, and liberals want to run around changing things.
>
> You decide what that one is.

>
>>>>A web search can turn them up.
>>
>>>The plain and simple fact is that any government action is "social
>>>engineering and attempt[ing] to force their philosophy on others".
>>> By definition.
>>>
>>> For example, there are tax deductions for home mortgage interest.
>> Such deductions make home ownership more affordable for millions of
>>> people, and are in effect a subsidy supporting home ownership vs. renting.

> Now - is that liberal or conservative policy?

It is an extremely liberal policy.

> How many self-described
> "conservatives" would support dumping the home mortgage interest
> deduction?

Not many (any)..... yet.

>>>>Correct. That's a great way to lose power quickly. Unfortunately
> >>>the Pubs have a track record of doing just that. Too bad.
>
>
>>>But they didn't lose power in 2004. Nor in 1984 or 1988.
>>>
>>>The same folks who criticized others for "tax and spend" are now
>>>deep into "borrow and spend".
>>>
>>>Seems to me that it's more responsible to pay-as-you-go than to

>>>borrow and let future generations pay for it.
>>
>>Absolutely. All deficit spending is eventually paid for.
>
> Or defaulted on.

Pretty much the same thing. Just a matter of how it is "paid for" 8^)

>>Under
>>extraordinary conditions, deficit spending *may* be the only way to
>>survive. But under those conditions, people are usually required to
>> ante up their share of the money to help. Today, people don't want to help
>>with their share of funding.
>
>
> I think they would *if* they felt they were getting something for their
> money.

Odd that at a time of major threat to our way of life, that those who
are benefiting the most appear to need tax cuts!

> Look at what happened during WW2. Government deficit spending went to
> unimagined levels. It was largely paid for by people buying bonds and
> paying higher taxes. (Bonds are, of course, deficit spending). Of
> course if that war was lost, financial policy didn't matter much.

Surely

> But there was another side: Unemployment vanished! Production soared to
> incredible levels, and nobody had to worry about losing money if they
> could do the job. There wasn't much for civilians to spend their money
> on, because a lot of things were either rationed or unavailable. Buying
> bonds wasn't just a duty, it was also a form of tax-deferred saving.

And a way of reducing demand.

> And there were paybacks after the war ended. Unlike the way WW1 vets
> were treated during the Great Depression, WW2 produced the GI Bill,
> which revolutionized the middle class in the USA. Agencies like the FHA
> and projects like the interstate highway system not only created jobs,
> they completely changed the way people lived. Not just veterans,
> either.
>
> Now - were the GI Bill and all those postwar agencies "liberal" or
> "conservative"?

Extremely liberal.

I think you may be leading toward the point that many of the benefits
of modern America may be directly tied to a form of government that is
being dismantled. The liberal approach came after experiments in almost
pure capitalism, with it's boom and bust economies, and with the natural
accumulation of power to just a few of the most aggressive.

If you are, you are correct. Both sides have great ideas. Of course,
I'd think that, cuz I'm just about dead-center. Of course, I think both
sides have ideas that are suicidally stupid too! 8^)

>
>>>>>>If all the Pubs can do is continue to blame the Dems for
>>>>>>every problem
>>>>>>on the face of the planet, then it means that they are
>>>>>>*weak*, because
>>>>>>they can't do anything about the Democrats even when
>>>>>>they are in power.
>>>>>>Funny how things work! 8^)
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Exactly! Well said, Mike.
>>>>
>>>> Thanks, Jim.
>>>
>>>
>>>It's also interesting to note that some people are insulted if you
>>>call them "liberals", even though their behavior and viewpoints
>>>are exactly that. And some people claim the title "conservative"
>>>yet behave in a very different way.
>>
>>Indeed. Didya ever ever notice how angry some folk get when
>> confronted with the truth?
>
>
> You mean like Len? He's a textbook example!
>
>
>>I still hold to the left to right spectrum as a continuum, not a bar.
>
>
>>It is a circle, with extreme left and right being virtually
>>indistinguishable from each other.
>
>
> In some ways, I agree.
>
>> Deviation too far from the center is bad, bad, bad. The key is the
>> center.
>
> But how is the center defined?

Personally I define it as viewing of Government as a good and necessary
thing, with government control of those things that national government
does best, and delegation of the things that state and local governments
do best to their respective sections.

The government that governs best is the one that governs least. It is
important to note that this does not mean that functions once handled by

the Federal government are simply handed off to state governments. That means nothing to the citizen. Federal taxes going down and state and local going up is a null at best, and passing the buck.

Finally, I think a Centrist is a person who THINKS about issues, not simply chants party dogma.

>
>> But learning is soooo hard. Witness the new Democratic party
>> chairman. What were they thinking??? Dr. Dean is an honorable person.
>>But he isjust too far to the left for my taste.
>>
>
> No, he's just what the Dems need. Here's why:
>
> 1) By making him chairman, they pretty much guarantee he won't run for
> P or VP in 2008, yet his followers will stay around.

Yeah, I was going to mention that.....

> 2) He's *not* an inside-the-beltway politician. That's important -
> notice how many presidents since LBJ have come from state governor
> positions. He's enough of an outsider to shake/wake the Dems up.
>
> 3) He's outspoken enough to talk straight and short, rather than "using
> too many big words" like Algore and Kerry and Dukakis.
>
> 4) He *is* an honorable person, and a smart one. You may not agree with
> him, but you can respect him.

Yup. I wasn't quite sure what to think of him until one day I was returning from a business trip, and heard him on NPR in a debate with Ralph Nader. It was so refreshing to listen to a debate where issues were discussed, not the weird dance that the presidential debates have become.

> Is the state that elected Dean composed mostly of "liberals" or
> "conservatives"?

Well, they have a republican governor, representatives are 1 democrat and 2 independents (YAY). I like the way they think!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1483. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 00:59:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BOOdnUKQhJhuB4_fRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103049

Caveat Lector wrote:

> Gee is all the below about ARS License numbers ? (;-)

Not hardly a little bit! Jim and I go off topic once in a while. And you quote long messages for one line comments. It all balances out. TTFN. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1484. Re: Q-Signals On Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 01:12:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <evOdnbOJPf-LA4_fRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102950

Caveat Lector wrote:

>>Someone wrote;
>>Q codes are for morse only. People who use Q codes on voice or text are
>>boring.
>
>
> I agree that generally there is no reason to use Q-codes on voice.
>
> But there are 600,000 + hams in the USA most using Q-Codes on voice even
> VHF, and ya ain't gonna change that, so I suggest you know the basic ones
> when they come at you.

It is jargon, just like RAM, ROM, HDD, CD, DVD, and all the computer alphabet soup.

THe only one that bothers me is when someone says HI HI. The CW use is obviously needed, but if you can't actually laugh at what somone said in conversation, it couldn't be very funny.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1485. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 01:15:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GvudndZgisIUPI_fRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 102951

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:AGdQd.1003$9J5.752@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>
>> Packet was/is so incredibly slow compared to other digital
>> transmissions. When I became a Ham, I looked at it and decided that at
>> it's transmission speed, there wasn't a lot of use for it.
>
> When packet first came out, it was fun to do. That's when
> dial up modems did 1200 baud. But that was 15 years ago.
> If the packet BBSes now did 56K or faster (not by modulation
> of the audio feeding an FM rig, but skillful modulation of
> the carrier itself (an RF modem)) it might still be interesting.
>
>
>>
>>> Again the FCC is barred from controlling
>>> the content of any station.
>
> They have the "no pecuniary interest" rule, which is a
> regulation on content. Not that I think that that rule is
> bad; it protects the ham bands from being taken over
> by taxi cab and pizza delivery traffic and such.
>
> But somehow it doesn't have 1st amendment issues.

That's because there are other venues for that. Freedom of speech doesn't
even enter into it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1486. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 01:28:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <99adnY-MVqQgPI_fRVn-oQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102952

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>news:1108413593.571064.217750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>>>Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:KdCdnUThdbCGhI3fRVn-sg@adelphia.com...
>>>>
>>>>>Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>The Death of Amateur Radio
>
>
>>>>>It is interesting that what you propose to do would hasten your
>>>>>"Death of Amateur Radio" in my opinion.
>>>>>
>>>>>If we get a few hundred more such as yourself that believe that
>>>>>they
>>>>>need to broadcast their opinions over the amateur bands, more
>
> and
>
>>>>>more
>>>>>Amateurs will find something else to do with their leisure
>
> time, as
>
>>>>>they
>>>>>have no room to transmit as the bands fill up with "bulletin
>>>>> free speech transmissions. All the while transforming the Amateur bands
>>>>> into some sort of mutant version of the AM broadcast band.
>
>
>>>>No where in my paper do I state that amateurs should
>>>> broadcasting.

>>>"amateurs should broadcasting"?
>
>
>>>>There are

>>>>some including the FCC who wishes to keep the service to where
>>>> all you do is give a signal report, location, ect.
>
>
>>>That's simply not true! I've had many long and enjoyable QSOs on a
>>>variety of subjects, with never a problem on content from FCC. The
>>> only limits on content
>>>were "no pecuniary interest" and keeping it "G-rated".
>
>
>>>>As I stated in my paper a good example
>>>>of this was packet radio. Packet is pretty much died around here
>>>>because all
>>>>of the content on them was "For Sale" stuff. Packet would of
>>>> survive if BBS's were set up to cater to certain topics or discussion
>>>> groups.
>
>
>>>Such as?
>
>
>>A BBS with discussion on antenna designing, Another BBS with
>> discussion on on experimenting. Another BBS with amaeur policy. These are just to
>> name a few there was a issue CQ VHF that went into greater detail about the
>> set up. The point is to have all the for sale stuff on one BBS and a diverse
>> of other BBS on other subjects.
>
>
> Sounds good in theory. But in practice, how would that work? Could hams
> all over the world, or even all over the USA, access that particular
> BBS? If so, how?

I don't know, but if someone did do something like that on Packet, I
would definitely be interested.

>
>>I tried years ago to set something up like that however a few
>>local amateurs threated to go to the FCC and claim that the system is
>>interferning with their system.
>
>
> How many years ago? And would it have interfered?
>
> It seems to me that one of the limitations of amateur packet radio is
> that
> it hasn't evolved much past the 1200 baud/BBS mindset of 20+ years ago.
> Heck,
> even trailingedge computer types like me have been running 56k dialup
> modems for almost a decade!

Spot on!

> Wasn't amateur packet originally set up for 1200 baud because you could
> use a
> voice FM 2 m radio without any mods? You'd think that by now packet
> would have
> moved to much higher speeds and much higher bands...but that would mean
> someone
> would actually have to build a radio to do it...

Agreed.

>
>>>>>You note that you look for a free space to transmit in. So
>>>>> what? K1MAN doesn't. He opens up on whoever is on the frequency and
>>>>> threatens those who don't move. How many more "free speech advocates" will
>>>>> decide that anyone on "their frequency" is an infringement on their free
>>>>>speech?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Information Bulletins are legal no matter what you or anyone
>>>>believes.
>>>
>>>If the bulletins meet the specific criteria I outlined in another
>>> post, they're legal.
>>>
>>
>>The problem is however, that there are amateur radio operators who
>> feel that information bulletins which deal with amateur radio issues shouldn't
>> be opinionated and it is those same amateurs operators when the bulletin
>> is transmitting then begin jamming the Information bulletin because they
>> feel the transmission is illegal.
>
>
> Jamming is an enforcement issue.
>
>
>>>>Interference which K1MAN is doing is not legal.
>
>
>>>Agreed!
>
>
>>>>>I wonder if wattage limits are an infringement on a persons
>
> free
>
>>>>>speech? Limiting it limits the number of people who can be
>
> reached.
>
>
>>>>>Suggestion that Lib net members use an alternative method of
>>>>>getting

>>>>>their views out is not infringement of their free speech, it is
>
> a
>
>>>>>suggestion. And not a bad one at that. No one is forcing them
>
> off
>
>>>>>the air, just suggesting a better venue for their views.
>
>
>>>>The FCC shouldn't even suggest it.
>
>
>>>Yes, they should, if they see the content and behavior as
>
> detrimental
>
>>>to the ARS. Which they do.
>
>
>>>>Again the FCC is barred from controlling
>>>>the content of any station.
>
>
>>>That's simply not true.
>
>
>>yes and no Under Section 326 of the Communication Act the FCC is
>
> barred to
>
>>control the content of any station.
>
>
> I'll ask again: What exact verbiage says that?
>
>
>>The only content the FCC is allowed to
>>control is obscene and indecent material and that's it.
>
>
> How about commercial content on the ham bands?
>
> How about using radio to help with the commission of crimes?
>
> Are those things allowed under 326?
>
>>>>Again if they can have alternative perhaps ALL
>>>>amateurs should move off the radio spectrum and uses the

>>>>alternative....the Internet.
>
>
>>>For certain subjects, that's the right medium.
>>
>>>>>Death of Amateur Radio? Perhaps you have a bigger part than you
>>>>>realize........
>
>
>>>Interesting!
>>>
>>>In fact, we're starting to see what may be the "death of the
>
> internet"
>
>>>- or at least the death of its potential. Viruses, popups, identity
>>>theft and other shenanigans are causing many people I know to
>
> become
>
>>>disenchanted with it.
>
>
>>I really dout the internet will die.
>
>
> Me too. But I see its potential dying.
>
>
>>As a matter of fact Internet 2 is now
>>out (well right now only some Universities (206 to be exact) and
>> government agencies have it...it will probably be commercialized in about two to
>> three years.). Internet 2 will have a lot more applications and downloading
>> will be faster. (people will be able to download a full length movie
>> within minutes instead of days) so I really dout the Internet will die
>> anytime soon.
>
>
> If "internet 2" catches on, it will replace the original.
>
> If you want different content than what is found on current amateur
> packet,
> why not provide it yourself? Not in competition with the forsale folks,
> but
> on a different frequency or even band. With much higher speed and more
> features?

I would second that, Jim. Todd, I'll go on record noting that you could
be at the vanguard of something that could serve more hams than your
proposed bulletins and eventually be a great part of the service.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1487. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 01:35:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <gradncp6lfPlPo_fRVn-ug@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102953

Caveat Lector wrote:

> Hmmm I read something like this in about 1950
> Hasn't happened yet in fact increased enormously
> Please give a date for the The Death of Amateur Radio
> And we will take a dollar for every year it continues --- OK
>
> Interesting that we have dozens of new hams that got a license to beat the
> cell phone rates

That is why I got my ticket. At least because one of my hobbies is off road 4WD'ing. More often than I care to admit, I get stuck in the woods, and have to call my XYL to let her know I'm going to be late for dinner.

Eventually I found out what fun the hobby is, and went from there.

p.s. Don't you have a name besides your screen name? Seems kinda odd calling you "caveat"! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1488. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 02:13:20 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BE3816AC.34642%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 102955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> From: "Weebus RF Meter" <voordviktorlb2@yahoo.com>
> Organization: voord
> Reply-To: "Weebus RF Meter" <voordviktorlb2@yahoo.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
> Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:26:38 -0500
> Subject: Re: The Death of Amateur Radio
>
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:cuqtri$m7k$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>> Hollingsworth has often commented on situations that are detrimental to
>> Ham radio. Certainly the Lib Net is one of those. A parent listening in
>> on that bunch is not likely to want their children having anything to do
>> with the hobby.
>
> The Lib Net are a bunch of aging lightweight crackerheads compared
> to something called The Eastern Regional Patriot Net.
> You can catch these ultra-goofballs right now, every evening at
> 7:00 PM Central Time (8 PM Eastern) on 3.860 LSB.
> This bunch is your genuine core-group of ultra-paranoid misfits
> what seems to believes in chemtrails, colloidal-silver, the Protocols of
> Zion, Planet X and Aryan purity among other such longtime short-wave
> radio crapola, what everyone else knows is both pure bullshit and
> the rantings of screwballs. The ERPN itself was started by noneother
> than famous UPR Radio goofball Steve Anderson (..currently incarcerated
> for firing a fully automatic AK-47 at a Kentucky State Police
> officer during a MVA stop) who once broadcast from his home in
> Northern Kentucky. Steve's eventual arrest and conviction has
> not stopped these fruits and nuts which still meet nightly on 3.860 for
> passing of Militia-related "traffic" on a nightly basis, some check-in's
> of which have included known Militia members and several others
> using both bootleg or invalid made-up ham callsigns. Of late however
> check-in's to the ERPN have been sparse or made up of valid ham
> radio callsign holders, as the word was out that both RH and a certain
> "Homeland Security" type Agency of the US Govt. (hint) has taken recent
> 'interest' in some of the traffic being passed on this so-called net, or so
> it was alleged at a midwestern ham club recently.....
>
> Then again, that's is the consistent & nice thing about your average
> right-wing Domestic Kookinschlong...every one of em LOVES to blabber
> their openly Seditious and Insurrectionist incitement either thru a
> telephone

> to a kook call-in short-wave radio show, (..like Alex Jones' daily hit
> parade
> of paranoia) or from behind a ham radio microphone live and nightly like
> they've been doing on the ERPN for several years now. What a bunch
> of sloop head dopes, poebuckers and moe-rons! SWL them now boys while
> you can, before they operate "dx" later at Guatananamo Bay or some
> other similar 'amusing' place. ;)
> [viktor-lima-bravo-two...grin....grin...]
>
Now wait, are you saying there really is no Planet X?

1489. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 02:29:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108520957.764591.115750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102954

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > You and Brian avoid unloaded questions as well.
> > > > >
> > > > Brian Who?
> > >
> > > Exactly.
> > >
> > > Now see, if we'd kept it at "Brain", you would have been
> telling
> > > us who "Brian" is.
> >
> > Jim has never engaged me as "Brain." That is a purely Avenging
Angle
> > affectation.
>
> Who is "Avenging Angle"...???
>
> And if you're refering to me, Brain, I was not the first to call
> you "Brain".

True. It was an unusually bright third grader. You follow in fine
tradition.

> > > You have some real situational awareness issues, Brian. Get
> > them
> > > fixed.
> >
> > Indeed. I once hallucinated that I was involved in seven hostile
> > actions. Bad clams or something.
>
> No doubt you have many excuses, Brian. Get your problems
> fixed...soon.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Nurse, heal thyself.

1490. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 02:45:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108521909.110683.281140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102956

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > > The best solution would be for the bottom 50-75 kc\Hz of each
band
> to
> > > be
> > > made CW-only - by law.
> >
> > Ooops. You just blew it. You were passing out relatively accurate
> > info up to this point. Your Morsentricity is showing.
>
> How did he "blow it"...?!?!
>
> He made a suggestion...Not a statement of fact.
>
> The FACT is that despite similarities of various narrow band
> modes, many just don't work well in close proximity to each other.
> Mode specific subbands would tend to reduce those occurences of
> dissimilar mode QRM.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

The fact is that he's got all of the Novice subbands to go play in.

1491. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 02:45:58 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108521958.675563.262570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102957

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > The FACT is that despite similarities of various narrow band
> > modes, many just don't work well in close proximity to each other.
> > Mode specific subbands would tend to reduce those occurences of
> > dissimilar mode QRM.
>
> Forgot to add:
>
> This is not a prctical option, though. What is an "adequate"
> amount of bandwidth for a mode today will, undoubtedly be way too
much
> or way to little tomorrow.
>
> Skilled operators observing "Gentleman's Band Plans" remains the
> prefered methodology for this.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Now you've got the idea.

1492. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 02:47:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108522079.647836.274390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102958

robert casey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > GeorgeF wrote:
> >
> >>Caveat Lector wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
> >>>They probably read the ARRL pages for this
> >>>
> >>>It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out
>
> Exactly. Maybe the RTTY was operating QRP?
>
> >>
>
> > Remember too that 40 meters is only 7000-7100 outside of IARU
Region 2.
> >
> > That will change in the next few years but right now a lot of DX is
> > allowed above 7100. And as we head towards sunspot minimum, folks
who
> > were spread all over the bands above 10 MHz are being pushed lower
in
> > frequency due to lack of propagation. Happens every cycle.
> >
> > The best solution would be for the bottom 50-75 kc\Hz of each band
to
> > be
> > made CW-only - by law.
> >
> The FCC doesn't want to end up doing this sort of micro-
> management. It's better if hams decide (via ARRL or such
> group) what subbands are where. And if something needs to
> change it doesn't take years to do it. The FCC acknowledges
> such as "good amateur practice".

Riley says that "Good Amateur Practice" is enforceable.

No one here believes it, though.

1493. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 02:50:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108522242.373812.183200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102959

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > The ham Chat Room is picking up steam...
> > >
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> > Toot toot.
>
> Somehow the vision of you sitting in a tub of Mr Bubble pushing
a
> toy tugboat around going "toot toot" seems to fit. Why am I not
> surprised?
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You're not suprised because it is your little vision.

It has nothing to do with me.

1494. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 03:08:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108523326.122858.113760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102960

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Indeed. Didya ever ever notice how angry some folk get when
confronted
> with the truth?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Or how quiet they become?

N...@AOL.COM Feb 10, 3:22 am

Y'know what's funny? The same folks who say we don't need restraint
when investing life savings are the same ones who want to restrain stem

cell research, recreational chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol),
contraception, and a bunch of other things.

I asked Jim who these "same folks" were.

Who said we don't need restraint when investing life savings?

Of those who said that, which ones want restraints on stem cell
research, recreational chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol),
contraception, and a bunch of other things?

I think that there are no such people. He's picked up some
broad-spectrum fungicide on Jeanine Giraffalo's show and repeated it.

1495. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 03:13:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108523597.198492.264860@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102961

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> No, he's just what the Dems need. Here's why:
>
> 4) He *is* an honorable person, and a smart one. You may not agree
with
> him, but you can respect him.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Interesting that we "have to" respect Screamin' Dean, but must
disrespect "Shrub."

1496. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 04:10:01 UTC
From: Weebus RF Meter <voordviktorlb2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1807c$4212c794$d1cc5b65$20544@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 102962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Greg" <gfight@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:BE3816AC.34642%gfight@tampabay.rr.com...

> Now wait, are you saying there really is no Planet X?

Unfortunatly.....yes.

We were hoping that there was a Planet X.
This way if there was, when it appears there
would be another group like the Heaven's Gate cultists
that would go and perform some kind of a mass suicide
for our mutual viewing and reading enjoyment of the same.

(see http://www.csicop.org/si/9703/hale.html)

Untill then however, we'll just have to settle for right wing
militialoons that get their sorry ass shot out from under them
or tossed into jail, along with the occasional loon who goes
apeshi+ and shoots up a suburban shopping mall someplace.

(I don't think we'll be seeing another 9/11 for a very long time to come)

Oh well, as Andy Warhol said - "15 Minutes of Fame is our mutual
allocation"

Ciao baby!
xoxoxo

"I like to go to Wal-Mart, find some doofus guy shopping alone, wait until
he isn't looking, toss a Summers Eve douche bag in his cart, get behind
him in line and wait to see the look on his face when the cashier scans
it at the checkout"
- Mollie in alt.sex.lesbians

"You finally found your pacifier; keep sucking on it. Like your hero
Alexander the Homo, the spreader of Greek Syphilisization, you will be
rewarded soon with a mouthfull."
- Susan Cohen the spammer in soc.culture.greek

Funny Network News Moment of the day: Dan Rather,Peter Jennings and Tom
Brokaw couldn't keep a straight face when telling the world that Michael
Jackson
had to go to the ER today because he's got the runs and shi+ his pants in
court.
(I nearly died laughing at this one folks)

1497. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 05:02:05 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <5JKdnbGBvbCsSo_fRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102963

Dee Flint wrote:

> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1108424935.928355.43140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX.
>>
>
>
> Oh, Lord. That would be a sight and a half!
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
ULX would chew toddyboy up and spit him out in a Nu York minute.

1498. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 05:05:51 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <5JKdnbCBvbCwRY_fRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102964

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> There are many ham radio operators who misunderstand this paper so I'll give
> a little example. Say I run a store, and in this store is empty boxes for
> sale. Now often I would get someone to come into my store but no one would
> buy my boxes. The reason for that is all I offer..the boxes. Now if I had a
> variety of stuff to offer the business would pickup and I would be able to
> compete with other stores. The same is true with amateur radio. If amateur
> radio is to survive in the digital age outdated modes of communication won't
> cut it. For amateur radio to survive they are going to have to offer
> something besides an easy way to get a license. Amateurs will have to
> compete in the sense that they are going to have to offer something that
> would get people to join the service. Like I said for amateurs to compete in
> the digital era out dated modes of communication and half ass forms of
> communication aren't going to cut. Amateur radio will die because amateur
> radio will have nothing to offer except those half ass modes and outdated
> communications
>
> Todd N9OGL

????????? How does empty boxes relate to Amateur Radio. I do know of
stores that make quite a bit of money selling empty boxes, so you must
be doing something terribly wrong toddyboy.

1499. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 05:07:36 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <5JKdnbOBvbA8RY_fRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Greg wrote:

>>
>
> Now wait, are you saying there really is no Planet X?
>
Ssssshhhhhh!! Not everyone knows about that.

1500. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 05:09:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <5JKdnbKBvbCJRI_fRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 102966

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
>
>>
>>
>> No where in my paper do I state that amateurs should broadcasting.
>> There are
>> some including the FCC who wishes to keep the service to where all you
>> do is
>> give a signal report, location, ect.
>
>
> You have some facts to back up that dumb statement? Have some official
> quotes from the FCC?
>

I'm still waiting for something that shows the FCC wants to keep
communications on the ham bands to just signal reports and location.

1501. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 05:14:14 UTC
From: Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com>
Message-ID: <ual511d9r7uavtndb6i4tfblk4qr61s1lt@4ax.com>
Source record: 102967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
<AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:55:28 -0500, Len pooped out:
>
>
>>>
>> It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you. Thus it's not free, so
>> there's no freeband.... :-)
>>
>> Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that you, if you have a
>> General or Extra class ham license, can do legally in the 10 meter ham
>> band?
>>
>> Odds of getting caught and fined? Miniscule.
>
>
>Riiiiiight. Go ahead and keep at it then, it's just a matter of time. They
>ARE going after people...

I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...

1502. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 05:58:27 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4212e19c$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102968

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1108481168.989536.220310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1108413593.571064.217750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > > > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:KdCdnUThdbCGhI3fRVn-sg@adelphia.com...
> > > > > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > > > > > The Death of Amateur Radio
>
> > > > > It is interesting that what you propose to do would hasten your
> > > > > "Death of Amateur Radio" in my opinion.
> > > > >
> > > > > If we get a few hundred more such as yourself that believe that
> > > > > they
> > > > > need to broadcast their opinions over the amateur bands, more
> and
> > > > > more
> > > > > Amateurs will find something else to do with their leisure
> time, as
> > > > > they
> > > > > have no room to transmit as the bands fill up with "bulletin
> free
> > > > > speech
> > > > > transmissions. All the while transforming the Amateur bands
> into
> > > > > some sort of mutant version of the AM broadcast band.
>
> > > No where in my paper do I state that amateurs should
> broadcasting.
>
> > "amateurs should broadcasting"?
>
> > > There are
> > > some including the FCC who wishes to keep the service to where
> all
> > > you do is give a signal report, location, ect.
>
> > That's simply not true! I've had many long and enjoyable QSOs on a
> > variety of subjects, with never a problem on content from FCC. The
> only
> > limits on content

> > > were "no pecuniary interest" and keeping it "G-rated".
>
> > > > As I stated in my paper a good example
> > > > of this was packet radio. Packet is pretty much died around here
> > > > because all
> > > > of the content on them was "For Sale" stuff. Packet would of
> survive
> > > > if BBS's were set up to cater to certain topics or discussion
> groups.
>
> > > Such as?
>
> > A BBS with discussion on antenna designing, Another BBS with
> discussion on
> > on experimenting. Another BBS with amaeur policy. These are just to
> name a
> > few there was a issue CQ VHF that went into greater detail about the
> set up.
> > The point is to have all the for sale stuff on one BBS and a diverse
> of
> > other BBS on other subjects.
>
> Sounds good in theory. But in practice, how would that work? Could hams
> all over the world, or even all over the USA, access that particular
> BBS? If so, how?

Well, it could be set up on VHF and HF allow.

> > I tried years ago to set something up like that however a few
> > local amateurs threated to go to the FCC and claim that the system is
> > interferning with their system.
>
> How many years ago? And would it have interfered?

It was a while back, around 1997. would it have interfered?? no, if you know
anything about packet; packet time shares a frequency. This guy and his
little group were nothing more then assholes.

> It seems to me that one of the limitations of amateur packet radio is
> that
> it hasn't evolved much past the 1200 baud/BBS mindset of 20+ years ago.
> Heck,
> even trailingedge computer types like me have been running 56k dialup
> modems for almost a decade!
>
> Wasn't amateur packet originally set up for 1200 baud because you could
> use a
> voice FM 2 m radio without any mods? You'd think that by now packet
> would have
> moved to much higher speeds and much higher bands...but that would mean
> someone

> would actually have to build a radio to do it...

There are radio that allow higher speed packet including 9600 and 56K. The problem with packet here was the user frequency was being over ran by BBS's automatic fowarding and that's what drove off all the users. 1200 baud would work if the network was set up right.

> > > > > You note that you look for a free space to transmit in. So
> what?
> > > > > > K1MAN
> > > > > > doesn't. He opens up on whoever is on the frequency and
> threatens
> > > > > > those
> > > > > > who don't move. How many more "free speech advocates" will
> decide
> > > > > > that
> > > > > > anyone on "their frequency" is an infringement on their free
> > > > > > speech?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Information Bulletins are legal no matter what you or anyone
> > > > > believes.
> > > >
> > > If the bulletins meet the specific criteria I outlined in another
> post,
> > > they're legal.
> > >
> > The problem is however, that there are amateur radio operators who
> feel that
> > information bulletins which deal with amateur radio issues shouldn't
> be
> > opinionated and it is those same amateurs operators when the bulletin
> is
> > transmitting then begin jamming the Information bulletin because they
> feel
> > the transmission is illegal.
>
> Jamming is an enforcement issue.
>
> > > > Interference which K1MAN is doing is not legal.
>
> > > Agreed!
>
> > > > > I wonder if wattage limits are an infringement on a persons
> free
> > > > > speech? Limiting it limits the number of people who can be
> reached.
>
> > > > > Suggestion that Lib net members use an alternative method of
> > > > > getting
> > > > > their views out is not infringement of their free speech, it is
> a

> > > > > suggestion. And not a bad one at that. No one is forcing them
> off
> > > > > the air, just suggesting a better venue for their views.
>
> > > > The FCC shouldn't even suggest it.
>
> > > Yes, they should, if they see the content and behavior as
> detrimental
> > > to the ARS. Which they do.
>
> > > > Again the FCC is barred from controlling
> > > > the content of any station.
>
> > > That's simply not true.
>
> > yes and no Under Section 326 of the Communication Act the FCC is
> barred to
> > control the content of any station.
>
> I'll ask again: What exact verbiage says that?

Well here's the rule read it for yourself
(47 USC 326)
• 326. Censorship
Nothing in this chapter shall be understood or construed to give the
Commission the power of censorship over the radio communications or signals
transmitted by any radio station, and no regulation or condition shall be
promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall interfere with the right
of free speech by means of radio communication.

> > the only content the FCC is allowed to
> > control is obscene and indecent material and that's it.
>
> How about commercial content on the ham bands?
>
> How about using radio to help with the commission of crimes?
>
> Are those things allowed under 326?

Those are under other rules and regulation.

> > > > Again if they can have alternative perhaps ALL
> > > > amateurs should move off the radio spectrum and uses the
> > > > alternative....the Internet.
>
> > > For certain subjects, that's the right medium.
> >
> > > > > Death of Amateur Radio? Perhaps you have a bigger part than you
> > > > > realize........
>
> > > Interesting!

> > >
> > > In fact, we're starting to see what may be the "death of the
> internet"
> > > > - or at least the death of its potential. Viruses, popups, identity
> > > > theft and other shenanigans are causing many people I know to
> become
> > > > disenchanted with it.
>
> > I really dout the internet will die.
>
> Me too. But I see its potential dying.
>
> > As a matter of fact Internet 2 is now
> > out (well right now only some Universities (206 to be exact) and
> government
> > agencies have it...it will probably be commercialized in about two to
> three
> > years.). Internet 2 will have a lot more applications and downloading
> will
> > be faster. (people will be able to download a full length movie
> within
> > minutes instead of days) so I really dout the Internet will die
> anytime
> > soon.
>
> If "internet 2" catches on, it will replace the original.
>
> If you want different content than what is found on current amateur
> packet,
> why not provide it yourself? Not in competition with the forsale folks,
> but
> on a different frequency or even band. With much higher speed and more
> features?

Because the cost would be too much. There is no packet network around here
any more and the cost would be too high. A let's not forget some competition
in a service good be a good thing

Todd N9OGL

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1503. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 07:32:57 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <1115tpsej13n242@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 102969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is limited by
comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....

--

Charlie
Ham Radio - AD5TH
www.ad5th.com
Live Blues Music
www.492acousticblues.com

"Ham Guy" <Kornform@aol.com> wrote in message
news:ual511d9r7uavtndb6i4tfblk4qr61s1lt@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
> <AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:55:28 -0500, Len pooped out:
>>
>>
>>>>
>>> It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you. Thus it's not free, so
>>> there's no freeband.... :-)
>>>
>>> Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that you, if you have a
>>> General or Extra class ham license, can do legally in the 10 meter ham
>>> band?
>>>
>>> Odds of getting caught and fined? Miniscule.
>>
>>
>>Riiiiiight. Go ahead and keep at it then, it's just a matter of time. They
>>ARE going after people...
>
> I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...

1504. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 07:36:19 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4212f88d$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102970

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
news:5JKdnbKBvbCJRI_fRVn-pw@gbronline.com...
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >
> >
> >>
> >>
> >> No where in my paper do I state that amateurs should broadcasting.
> >> There are
> >> some including the FCC who wishes to keep the service to where all you
> >> do is
> >> give a signal report, location, ect.
> >
> >
> > You have some facts to back up that dumb statement? Have some official
> > quotes from the FCC?
> >
>
> I'm still waiting for something that shows the FCC wants to keep
> communications on the ham bands to just signal reports and location.

I don't know, that comment that Hollingsworth sent to me I think would
constitute what your looking for.
Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1505. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 09:29:51 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <joEQd.10552$D34.6866@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102971

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1108481168.989536.220310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> It seems to me that one of the limitations of amateur packet radio is
> that
> it hasn't evolved much past the 1200 baud/BBS mindset of 20+ years ago.
> Heck,
> even trailingedge computer types like me have been running 56k dialup
> modems for almost a decade!

Does your 56kb dialup modem work pretty good with your 2-meter rig? Wow! -
Why didn't we BBS operators think of this YEARS ago!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php

1506. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 10:15:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108548905.679128.128140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102972

bb wrote:

> > No doubt you have many excuses, Brian. Get your problems
> > fixed...soon.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Nurse, heal thyself.

I'm not ill nor injured.

You, however, have a credibilty issue that needs to be repaired.

Telling the truth and distancing yourself from other persons known
to lie and deceive is a good start. (Not that he's been able to figure
out how to "join" us since AOL dropped it's newsgroup reader)

Steve, K4YZ

1507. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 10:22:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108549335.336778.127320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102973

bb wrote:
> robert casey wrote:

> > The FCC doesn't want to end up doing this sort of micro-
> > management. It's better if hams decide (via ARRL or such
> > group) what subbands are where. And if something needs to
> > change it doesn't take years to do it. The FCC acknowledges
> > such as "good amateur practice".
>
> Riley says that "Good Amateur Practice" is enforceable.
>
> No one here believes it, though.

Who doesn't believe it, Brain?

Quotes? Posts?

I know for a fact that Dave Heil, Jim Miccolis and myself have all stated that FCC policy has been to minimize enforcement action in lieu of self-policing, but has and will continue to issue citations to those who violate established band plans, etc, when required to do so.

Now...WHO doesn't believe that "Good Amateur Practice" isn't enforceable?

Steve, K4YZ

1508. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 10:26:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108549575.403294.196000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102974

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > > The ham Chat Room is picking up steam...
> > > >
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > >
> > > Toot toot.
> >
> > Somehow the vision of you sitting in a tub of Mr Bubble
pushing
> a
> > toy tugboat around going "toot toot" seems to fit. Why am I not
> > surprised?
> >
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You're not suprised because it is your little vision.
>
> It has nothing to do with me.

It has EVERYTHING to do with you, Brian. It has to do with your
childish adherence to lies you've been caught in and your misplaced
loyalty to a known pathological liar, cheat and proprietary thief.

Steve, K4YZ

1509. Re: Brian Burke: Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 10:29:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108549780.030608.7530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102975

K4YZ wrote:

> bb wrote:
>
> > > No doubt you have many excuses, Brian. Get your problems
> > > fixed...soon.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Nurse, heal thyself.

I'm not ill nor injured.

You, however, have a credibilty issue that needs to be repaired.

Telling the truth and distancing yourself from other persons known
to lie and deceive is a good start. (Not that he's been able to figure
out how to "join" us since AOL dropped it's newsgroup reader)

Steve, K4YZ

1510. Re: Brian Burke: Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 10:38:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108550323.699559.234720@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102976

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > > > The ham Chat Room is picking up steam...
> > > > >
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > > >
> > > > Toot toot.
> > >
> > > Somehow the vision of you sitting in a tub of Mr Bubble
> pushing
> > > a
> > > > toy tugboat around going "toot toot" seems to fit. Why am I not
> > > > surprised?
> > >
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > You're not suprised because it is your little vision.
> >
> > It has nothing to do with me.

It has EVERYTHING to do with you, Brian. It has to do with your childish adherence to lies you've been caught in and your misplaced loyalty to a known pathological liar, cheat and proprietary thief.

Steve, K4YZ

1511. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 12:07:51 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hid611t7ku8uo8kr3j8pes4tk1oa0sba81@4ax.com>
Source record: 102977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 00:14:14 -0500, Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com> wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
><AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:55:28 -0500, Len pooped out:
>>
>>
>>>>>> It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you. Thus it's not free, so
>>>>>> there's no freeband.... :-)
>>>>>
>>>>>> Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that you, if you have a
>>>>>> General or Extra class ham license, can do legally in the 10 meter ham
>>>>>> band?
>>>>>
>>>>>> Odds of getting caught and fined? Miniscule.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Riiiiiight. Go ahead and keep at it then, it's just a matter of time. They
>>>>ARE going after people...
>>>
>>I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...

Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement
actions. It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed
ham for operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in
popping an unlicensed radio pirate.

If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
detrimental.

The reason freebanding is as rampant as it is is that the FCC is
simply not affecting all that many people. The chances of getting
popped are very slim, and they usually give you a warning first, which
gives you a chance to pull the plug.

Freebanding is a violation of federal radio law, but without effective
enforcement, that earns very little respect among those who pursue it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1512. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 12:11:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <5td6119v9rg4g31tbdutftmrtvdmu9p766@4ax.com>
Source record: 102978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 01:32:57 -0600, "Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com>
wrote:

>Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is limited by
>comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....

Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't.

Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands.
If DX is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek
out whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.

From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to
make that "rare" contact.

That doesn't make it right, but it does explain why.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1513. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 14:59:05 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZcJQd.514$DC6.161@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:hid611t7ku8uo8kr3j8pes4tk1oa0sba81@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 00:14:14 -0500, Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
>><AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:
>>
>>I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...

Dave responds with:
>
> Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement
> actions. It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed
> ham for operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in
> popping an unlicensed radio pirate.
>
> If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
> detrimental.
>
> The reason freebanding is as rampant as it is is that the FCC is
> simply not affecting all that many people. The chances of getting
> popped are very slim, and they usually give you a warning first, which
> gives you a chance to pull the plug.
>
> Freebanding is a violation of federal radio law, but without effective
> enforcement, that earns very little respect among those who pursue it.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Dave, you type this above, then type this 4 minutes later.
Make up your mind:

Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't.

Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands.
If DX is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek
out whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.

From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to
make that "rare" contact.

That doesn't make it right, but it does explain why.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

1514. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 16:02:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108569735.026367.52870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102980

Charles Brabham wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1108481168.989536.220310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > It seems to me that one of the limitations of amateur packet radio
is
> > that
> > it hasn't evolved much past the 1200 baud/BBS mindset of 20+ years
ago.
> > Heck,
> > even trailingedge computer types like me have been running 56k
dialup
> > modems for almost a decade!
>
> Does your 56kb dialup modem work pretty good with your 2-meter rig?
Wow! -
> Why didn't we BBS operators think of this YEARS ago!

Ya missed the point, Charles.

1200 baud packet is a make-do, chosen to be quick and cheap. All you have to do is interface to an FM voice radio.

Getting a significant improvement in bandwidth would mean actually *building radios* designed for the purpose. Which simply hasn't happened in large numbers.

Kind of a sad commentary. When SSB became popular in amateur radio, hams built entire transmitters, receivers and transceivers for the mode. Once its popularity was established, manufacturers followed.

When VHF/UHF FM voice became popular in amateur radio, there was a mix of homebrew and converted-land-mobile equipment used by hams. Once its popularity was established, manufacturers followed.

But from what I can see, the packet folks aren't much interested in *building radios* from scratch. That's why the old standards are still in use.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1515. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 16:26:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050216112609.802$cG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 102981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com> wrote:
> On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
> <AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:
>
> >On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:55:28 -0500, Len pooped out:
> >
> >
> >>>
> >> It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you. Thus it's not free,
> >> so there's no freeband.... :-)
> >>
> >> Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that you, if you have a
> >> General or Extra class ham license, can do legally in the 10 meter ham
> >> band?
> >>
> >> Odds of getting caught and fined? Miniscule.
> >
> >
> >Riiiiiight. Go ahead and keep at it then, it's just a matter of time.
> >They ARE going after people...
>
> I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...
>
I know of three within 15 miles of me.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

1516. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 16:27:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050216112722.790$79@newsreader.com>
Source record: 102982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com> wrote:
> Those with any sense don't top post.
>
Agreed.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

1517. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 16:54:27 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <7VKQd.31793$xt.11914@fed1read07>
Source record: 102983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

```
Disagree - hi hi
--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050216112722.790$79@newsreader.com...
> "Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com> wrote:
>> Those with any sense don't top post.
>>
> Agreed.
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
```

1518. South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 17:25:26 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95FF7E6AE601Belektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 102984

Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino has fallen.

1519. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 18:28:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108578534.751310.145820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102985

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:

> <more snippage>

> >>>>Correct. Social engineering and attempts to force their
philosophy
> >>>>on others. Liberals.

> >>>??

> >>>The folks who want restraints on stem cell research, recreational
> >>>chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol), contraception, etc., call
> >>>themselves "conservatives".

> >>Yup, they call themselves conservatives. But in the brave new "you
> >>are
> >>with us, or you are agin' us". party, conservatives support
spending
> >>money at unprecedented burn rates that are not supported by intake,
> >> they support major increases in government power, and other things
that we
> >>used to be told that liberals want to inflict on us.

> > Except that the "liberals" were pushing tax-and-spend, not
> > borrow-and-spend.
>
> Of course there are differences. At least the olde tyme liberals were

> willing to pay for their overspending.

Or at least take the heat for raising taxes. That's called
"responsibility". ;-)

> >>Lots of true conservatives feel the same.

> > But what is a "true conservative"? Or a "true liberal", for that
> > matter?

> > Most definitions I see are way too simplified.
> >
> > For example, I've seen it written that a conservative wants to
> > control/regulate the individual and decontrol/deregulate the
> > organizations (govt., business, etc.), and a liberal wants to
> > control/regulate the organizations and decontrol/deregulate the
> > individual.
> >

> > Under that definition, the current administration is conservative!
> >
> > But another definition says the conservative wants small,
hands-off,
> > pay-as-you-go government (usually defined by spending), and the
liberal
> > wants big, hands-on, borrow-tax-spend activist/social engineering
> > government.
> >
> > Under *that* definition, the current administration is liberal!
>
> Yup, as I noted, there are going to be differences.

I'm more concerned about accurate definition.

>Think Dixiecrat! Just where did the Dixiecrats go?

Times changed...

> > Yet another definition says conservatives want to keep things as
they
> > are, and liberals want to run around changing things.

> > You decide what that one is.

> >>>The plain and simple fact is that any government action is "social
> >>>engineering and attempt[ing] to force their philosophy on others".
> >>> By definition.

> >>> For example, there are tax deductions for home mortgage interest.
> >> Such deductions make home ownership more affordable for millions
of
> >>> people, and are in effect a subsidy supporting home ownership vs.
renting.

> > Now - is that liberal or conservative policy?
>
> It is an extremely liberal policy.

Some would agree, saying it pushes a populist agenda at the expense of
landlords and bankers. Others would disagree, saying it *helped* the
construction industry and bankers, as well as the auto manufacturers
and many other industries.

Now the tough question: Is it a good policy or a bad policy?

> > How many self-described
> > "conservatives" would support dumping the home mortgage interest
> > deduction?

> Not many (any)..... yet.

I remember a time when *all* 'consumer' interest was fed-income-tax
deductible. Sales tax too. Guess who killed that?

> >>Under
> >>extraordinary conditions, deficit spending *may* be the only way to
> >>survive. But under those conditions, people are usually required to
> >> ante up their share of the money to help. Today, people don't want
to help
> >>with their share of funding.

> > I think they would *if* they felt they were getting something for
their
> > money.

> Odd that at a time of major threat to our way of life, that those who

> are benefiting the most appear to need tax cuts!

No, they simply *claim* to need them!

Consider this: Deficit spending is essentially a wealth-redistribution
program that takes from the taxpayers (present and future) and gives to
the bondholders
(domestic and foreign).

> > Look at what happened during WW2. Government deficit spending went
to
> > unimagined levels. It was largely paid for by people buying bonds
and
> > paying higher taxes. (Bonds are, of course, deficit spending). Of
> > course if that war was lost, financial policy didn't matter much.
>
> Surely
>
> > But there was another side: Unemployment vanished! Production
soared to
> > incredible levels, and nobody had to worry about losing money if
they
> > could do the job. There wasn't much for civilians to spend their
money
> > on, because a lot of things were either rationed or unavailable.
Buying
> > bonds wasn't just a duty, it was also a form of tax-deferred
saving.
>
> And a way of reducing demand.

Demand didn't matter, because supply was controlled. IIRC, new cars and
houses were simply not built, consumables like fuel were rationed and
many items were
in limited supply, so people made do with what they had.

> > And there were paybacks after the war ended. Unlike the way WW1
vets
> > were treated during the Great Depression, WW2 produced the GI Bill,
> > which revolutionized the middle class in the USA. Agencies like the
FHA

> > and projects like the interstate highway system not only created
jobs,
> > they completely changed the way people lived. Not just veterans,
> > either.
> >
> > Now - were the GI Bill and all those postwar agencies "liberal" or
> > "conservative"?
>
> Extremely liberal.

But were they a good thing or a bad thing?
>
> I think you may be leading toward the point that many of the
benefits
> of modern America may be directly tied to a form of government that
is
> being dismantled. The liberal approach came after experiments in
almost
> pure capitalism, with it's boom and bust economies, and with the
natural
> accumulation of power to just a few of the most aggressive.

In part, yes. Perhaps it's better to use the labels "activist" and
"passivist" to describe the differences.

> If you are, you are correct. Both sides have great ideas. Of course,

> I'd think that, cuz I'm just about dead-center. Of course, I think
both
> sides have ideas that are suicidally stupid too! 8^)

Agreed! But in general, I see way too much ignorance of history and
inability
to forsee consequences today. People are offended by the label
"liberal" - but
try taking away the benefits of "liberal" ideas like some of the tax
laws...

> >>>It's also interesting to note that some people are insulted if you
> >>>call them "liberals", even though their behavior and viewpoints
> >>>are exactly that. And some people claim the title "conservative"
> >>>yet behave in a very different way.
> >>
> >>Indeed. Didya ever ever notice how angry some folk get when
> >> confronted with the truth?

> > You mean like Len? He's a textbook example!

> >>I still hold to the left to right spectrum as a continuum, not a
bar.

> >>It is a circle, with extreme left and right being virtually
> >>indistinguishable from each other.

> > In some ways, I agree.
> >
> >> Deviation too far from the center is bad, bad, bad. The key is the
> >> center.
> >
> > But how is the center defined?
>
> Personally I define it as viewing of Government as a good and
necessary
> thing, with government control of those things that national
government
> does best, and delegation of the things that state and local
governments
> do best to their respective sections.

That's easy to say - and almost impossible to define. The devil is in
the details.

> The government that governs best is the one that governs least.

With all due respect, that's a motherhood-and-apple-pie bromide.
Every
political view says their approach is the least necessary.

> It is
> important to note that this does not mean that functions once handled
by
> the Federal government are simply handed off to state governments.
That
> means nothing to the citizen. Federal taxes going down and state and
> local going up is a null at best, and passing the buck.

Bingo!
>
> Finally, I think a Centrist is a person who THINKS about issues, not
> simply chants party dogma.

Try disagreeing with Shrub...

> >> But learning is soooo hard. Witness the new Democratic party
> >> chairman. What were they thinking??? Dr. Dean is an honorable
person.
> >>But he isjust too far to the left for my taste.
> >
> > No, he's just what the Dems need. Here's why:
> >
> > 1) By making him chairman, they pretty much guarantee he won't run
for
> > P or VP in 2008, yet his followers will stay around.
> >
> Yeah, I was going to mention that.....

Part of smarting up the Dems.

> > 2) He's *not* an inside-the-beltway politician. That's important -
> > notice how many presidents since LBJ have come from state governor
> > positions. He's enough of an outsider to shake/wake the Dems up.

Friend of mine once said that the DNC was often its own worst enemy.
Exact
quote: "If you let the DNC organize a firing squad, they'd form a
circle
around the condemned criminal..."

> > 3) He's outspoken enough to talk straight and short, rather than
"using
> > too many big words" like Algore and Kerry and Dukakis.
> >
> > 4) He *is* an honorable person, and a smart one. You may not agree
with
> > him, but you can respect him.
>
> Yup. I wasn't quite sure what to think of him until one day I was
> returning from a business trip, and heard him on NPR in a debate with

> Ralph Nader. It was so refreshing to listen to a debate where issues
> were discussed, not the weird dance that the presidential debates
have
> become.

Agreed!

It would be interesting to have the candidates prepare position papers
that
simply outlined their plans for the future and their beliefs, *without*
any attacks on their opponents nor claims about their past allowed.
Then let
people read the position papers without knowing who wrote them...
>
> > Is the state that elected Dean composed mostly of "liberals" or
> > "conservatives"?
>
> Well, they have a republican governor, representatives are 1
democrat
> and 2 independents (YAY). I like the way they think!

Me too!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1520. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 19:01:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108578593.250795.201100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102986

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino has fallen.

How many countries does that make now, compared to those who still have it?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1521. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 20:41:06 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <CdOQd.9720$911.7209@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 102987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

In article <hid611t7ku8uo8kr3j8pes4tk1oa0sba81@4ax.com>,
Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

| Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement
| actions. It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed
| ham for operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in
| popping an unlicensed radio pirate.

It's probably because it's a lot easier to track down -- after all, if
the licensed ham is properly IDing himself, it's trivial for anybody
who listens to look up that call sign and find that his ham class
doesn't let him transmit there.

| If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
| detrimental.

Freebanders don't generally ID themselves at all. To actually track
one down would be a lot harder.

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com
Life is short and hard, like a bodybuilding elf.
So save the planet and kill yourself.

1522. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 20:47:32 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ic0mr83.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 102988

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 23:58:27 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:

>There are radio that allow higher speed packet including 9600 and 56K. The
>problem with packet here was the user frequency was being over ran by BBS's
>automatic fowarding and that's what drove off all the users. 1200 baud would
>work if the network was set up right.

For our county-wide ARES/RACES operation we have a four-node local network that uses four VHF packet frequencies (1200 baud) and four pairs of UHF frequencies (9600 baud), the latter for inter-node and backbone automatic forwarding. We have about 40 users - ten "served agencies" and thirty individual participants. The net is "up" 24/7 and carries a fair amount of inter-user messages -- classic ham radio traffic handling. Several of us including myself have a dedicated radio/TNC/computer running 24/7 just for this purpose.

No reason that it can't work.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

1523. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 21:50:38 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4213c0c8$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 102989

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ic0mr83.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 23:58:27 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> >There are radio that allow higher speed packet including 9600 and 56K.
The
> >problem with packet here was the user frequency was being over ran by
BBS's
> >automatic fowarding and that's what drove off all the users. 1200 baud
would
> >work if the network was set up right.
>
> For our county-wide ARES/RACES operation we have a four-node local
> network that uses four VHF packet frequencies (1200 baud) and four
> pairs of UHF frequencies (9600 baud), the latter for inter-node and
> backbone automatic forwarding. We have about 40 users - ten "served
> agencies" and thirty individual participants. The net is "up" 24/7
> and carries a fair amount of inter-user messages -- classic ham radio
> traffic handling. Several of us including myself have a dedicated
> radio/TNC/computer running 24/7 just for this purpose.
>
> No reason that it can't work.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
> Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

Well, the problem here was the operators of the BBS's were told to move
their fowarding to the backbone system but they wouldn't. So the guy who ran
the vast majority of nodes here in the state of Illinois got basically
pissed off and turned the whole system off. that was back around 1998. I
think a newer system would work instead of the "old" packet system.
First the Speed 1200 baud is ok, it work. However, I think if amateur's
could figure out how these wireless networks work and apply that to the
amateur radio service. Instead of having a bunch of "nodes" going across the
United States on a radio frequency have only a "Local Access" point say on a
2 meter frequency which would go from that node through the internet and
backout on 2 meters. Instead of having traditional BBS software remove it
and use a Peer to Peer (P2P) this would be more practical in the sense that
all a person has to do is search for something and get a list. A bbs would
inpractical because in sense that a person would have to monitor the hard
driveand clean it out when it gets full and BBS's uses fowarding while a P2P

system there is no fowarding all the forsale stuff and stupid jokes stays in
the users share file.
Just an Idea....

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1524. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 22:08:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <n_adncX9nKbVWY7fRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 102990

Charles Brabham wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1108481168.989536.220310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>>It seems to me that one of the limitations of amateur packet radio is
>>that
>>it hasn't evolved much past the 1200 baud/BBS mindset of 20+ years ago.
>>Heck,
>>even trailingedge computer types like me have been running 56k dialup
>>modems for almost a decade!
>
>
> Does your 56kb dialup modem work pretty good with your 2-meter rig? Wow! -
> Why didn't we BBS operators think of this YEARS ago!

I thought the topic was how slow packet is compared to just about
everything else. Not hooking a phone modem to a rig.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1525. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 22:44:41 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108593881.505891.255610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102991

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

1526. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 22:52:40 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Y8QQd.10654$D34.6464@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102993

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1108569735.026367.52870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>

>>
>> Does your 56kb dialup modem work pretty good with your 2-meter rig?
> Wow! -
>> Why didn't we BBS operators think of this YEARS ago!
>
> Ya missed the point, Charles.

Nope... You did, along with quite a few others.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php

1527. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 22:52:41 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Z8QQd.10655$D34.5356@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102992

"Barry OGrady" <god_freee_jones@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:b5f611lcp3omqnvc5bfni5f6qfhmp7us86@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 09:29:51 GMT, "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>
> I have a 1-meter rig that works on 2-metres.
>

What does the one meter say, when you are on two metres?

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php

1528. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 22:52:41 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Z8QQd.10656$D34.490@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 102994

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:n_adncX9nKbVWY7fRVn-rw@adelphia.com...
> Charles Brabham wrote:
>>
>> Does your 56kb dialup modem work pretty good with your 2-meter rig?
>> Wow! - Why didn't we BBS operators think of this YEARS ago!
>
> I thought the topic was how slow packet is compared to just about
> everything else. Not hooking a phone modem to a rig.

The "hooking a phone modem to a rig" part was me making fun of the "how slow packet is" whiners.

Packet is (within reason) as fast as you want it to be.

The whiners here are upset because not everyone shares their desires and expectations. - The fact of the matter is that the overwhelming majority of Packet users just don't give a hoot about high-speed Packet, or IP either. It's always been that way and will probably continue to be that way for a very long time.

They whine and they complain... Why, they'll do just about anything - as long as it is negative and reflects poorly upon the hobby.

One thing you won't see them doing is transforming Packet over to high-speed and IP. - It's so much easier and natural for them to whine because somebody else (or everybody else) hasn't done it for them.

Meanwhile - Life goes on, and the whining of frustrated protocol warriors is really a very little thing.

"How I Won the Protocol Wars" - http://www.uspacket.org/l_protowars.htm

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php

1529. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 23:33:21 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95FFBCCC59B3Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 102995

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108578593.250795.201100
@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino has
>> fallen.
>
> How many countries does that make now, compared to those who still have
> it?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

It's getting a little difficult to keep track. However, I think at least the UK, Ireland, France, Germany, Belgium, the Netherlands, Luxembourg, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, Singapore, Australia, New Zealand, Papua Niugini, Hong Kong and South Africa have abolished the code test so far. I think that of these only Austria and the Netherlands even retain an entry level licence that doesn't give HF privileges.

That's only 17 countries, but I expect I may have missed some out. I make the combined ham population of the above something over 260,000 (possibly more than half of them no-coders), so probably a little less than half the number of hams in the US. However, there are well over 50,000 hams in Canada, which is also likely to abolish the code test very soon.

Don't forget that Japan, with a ham population of 1.2 Million (twice that of the US, out of maybe a fifth of your general population), has long had a no-code HF licence, albeit limited to 10 Watts. I'm not sure how many Japanese hams have a no-code HF licence, but they may even rival all the new ones so far put together, although the new guys can use more than 10 Watts! It's probably only a matter of time before Japan lets all of their hams use HF anyway.

Even without the low power Japanese stations, the number of no-coders who have full HF privileges right now is probably about the same as the number of no-code Techs in the US.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

1530. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 23:40:53 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95FFBE134D6C4elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 102996

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns95FFBCCC59B3Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108578593.250795.201100
> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>> Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino has
>>> fallen.
>>
>> How many countries does that make now, compared to those who still
>> have it?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>
>
> It's getting a little difficult to keep track. However, I think at
> least the UK, Ireland, France, Germany, Belgium, the Netherlands,
> Luxembourg, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, Singapore, Australia,
> New Zealand, Papua Niugini, Hong Kong and South Africa have abolished
> the code test so far. I think that of these only Austria and the
> Netherlands even retain an entry level licence that doesn't give HF
> privileges.
>
> That's only 17 countries, but I expect I may have missed some out. I
> make the combined ham population of the above something over 260,000
> (possibly more than half of them no-coders), so probably a little less
> than half the number of hams in the US. However, there are well over
> 50,000 hams in Canada, which is also likely to abolish the code test
> very soon.
>
> Don't forget that Japan, with a ham population of 1.2 Million (twice
> that of the US, out of maybe a fifth of your general population), has
> long had a no-code HF licence, albeit limited to 10 Watts. I'm not sure
> how many Japanese hams have a no-code HF licence, but they may even
> rival all the new ones so far put together, although the new guys can
> use more than 10 Watts! It's probably only a matter of time before
> Japan lets all of their hams use HF anyway.
>
> Even without the low power Japanese stations, the number of no-coders
> who have full HF privileges right now is probably about the same as the
> number of no-code Techs in the US.

>
> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>

That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!

1531. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-16 23:57:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <tOWdnZvzNvl3fY7fRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 102997

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:4212e19c$1_2@127.0.0.1...
>
>
> Well here's the rule read it for yourself
> (47 USC 326)
> 326. Censorship
> Nothing in this chapter shall be understood or construed to give the
> Commission the power of censorship over the radio communications or
> signals
> transmitted by any radio station, and no regulation or condition shall be
> promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall interfere with the
> right
> of free speech by means of radio communication.
>
>

However, requiring the various radio services to stick to the rules for their particular radio service is not censorship. For example, the amateur radio service is defined as a two way communications service with a very strict limitation on broadcasting or one way only transmissions. This in no way limits the content of those two way communications. Two or more hams engaged in a discussion can talk about any subject they want to so long as they do not use obscenity (and note that the courts have upheld that obscenity is not protected by free speech). Naturally people can also be held liable in civil court if they engage in slander and the slandered party chooses to sue.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1532. Re: spurious signal on 3.7mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 00:11:38 UTC
From: Dusty <anon@varanonuan.net>
Message-ID: <cv0ndm$6r4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 102998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<wboas@nyx.net> wrote in message
news:Pine.SUN.3.96.1050216105320.7702B-100000@nyx...
>
> this is the `yosemite sam' intrusion on 3.7, 4.3, 6.5, and 10.5mhz.
>
> details at www.spynumbers.com
>
> be curious to know where these signals are being received in USA,
> Canada, and Mexico?
>
> can we get some reports to this newsgroup?
>
> Bill, KC0IZI
> wboas@nyx.net

This activity is related to the 3965 kHz pirate, as well as the on going
pirate opertion on 6643 kHz.

Dusty

1533. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 00:19:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108599568.818675.175200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 102999

bb wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> > Indeed. Didya ever ever notice how angry some folk get when
> confronted
> > with the truth?
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Or how quiet they become?
> --------------------------------
> N...@AOL.COM Feb 10, 3:22 am
>
> Y'know what's funny? The same folks who say we don't need restraint
> when investing life savings are the same ones who want to restrain
stem
>
> cell research, recreational chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol),
> contraception, and a bunch of other things.
> --------------------------------
>
> I asked Jim who these "same folks" were.
>
> Who said we don't need restraint when investing life savings?
>
> Of those who said that, which ones want restraints on stem cell
> research, recreational chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol),
> contraception, and a bunch of other things?
>
> I think that there are no such people. He's picked up some
> broad-spectrum fungicide on Jeanine Giraffalo's show and repeated it.

In other words, Jim isn't truthful.

1534. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 00:20:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xYidnShcD6_4fo7fRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103050

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>><more snippage>
>
>
>>>>>>Correct. Social engineering and attempts to force their
>
> philosophy
>
>>>>>>on others. Liberals.
>
>
>>>>>??
>
>
>>>>>The folks who want restraints on stem cell research, recreational
>>>>>chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol), contraception, etc., call
>>>>>themselves "conservatives".
>
>
>>>>Yup, they call themselves conservatives. But in the brave new "you
>>>>are
>>>>with us, or you are agin' us". party, conservatives support
>
> spending
>
>>>>money at unprecedented burn rates that are not supported by intake,
>>>>they support major increases in government power, and other things
>
> that we
>
>>>>used to be told that liberals want to inflict on us.
>
>
>>>Except that the "liberals" were pushing tax-and-spend, not
>>>borrow-and-spend.
>>

>>Of course there are differences. At least the olde tyme liberals were
>
>
>>willing to pay for their overspending.
>
>
> Or at least take the heat for raising taxes. That's called
> "responsibility". ;-)

An one thing is for certain. The present group is not to blame or
responsible for anything..... Not responsible at all.

>
>>>>Lots of true conservatives feel the same.
>
>
>>>But what is a "true conservative"? Or a "true liberal", for that
>>>matter?
>
>
>>>Most definitions I see are way too simplified.
>>>
>>>For example, I've seen it written that a conservative wants to
>>>control/regulate the individual and decontrol/deregulate the
>>>organizations (govt., business, etc.), and a liberal wants to
>>>control/regulate the organizations and decontrol/deregulate the
>>>individual.
>>>
>>>Under that definition, the current administration is conservative!
>>>
>>>But another definition says the conservative wants small,
>>> hands-off, pay-as-you-go government (usually defined by spending), and the
>>> liberal wants big, hands-on, borrow-tax-spend activist/social engineering
>>>government.
>>>
>>>Under *that* definition, the current administration is liberal!
>>
>> Yup, as I noted, there are going to be differences.
>
>
> I'm more concerned about accurate definition.

HAH! If I could give one, I'd be rich.

>>Think Dixiecrat! Just where did the Dixiecrats go?
>
>
> Times changed...

TRue enough, but that isn't the answer I was looking for. The
Dixiecrats became Republicans.

>
>>>Yet another definition says conservatives want to keep things as
>> they are, and liberals want to run around changing things.
>
>
>>>You decide what that one is.
>
>
>>>>>The plain and simple fact is that any government action is "social
>>>>>engineering and attempt[ing] to force their philosophy on others".
>>>>>By definition.
>
>
>>>>>For example, there are tax deductions for home mortgage interest.
>>>>
>>>> Such deductions make home ownership more affordable for millions
>
> of
>
>>>>>people, and are in effect a subsidy supporting home ownership vs.
>
> renting.
>
>
>>>Now - is that liberal or conservative policy?
>>
>>It is an extremely liberal policy.
>
>
> Some would agree, saying it pushes a populist agenda at the expense of
> landlords and bankers. Others would disagree, saying it *helped* the
> construction industry and bankers, as well as the auto manufacturers
> and many other industries.
>
> Now the tough question: Is it a good policy or a bad policy?

I don't find that tough at all. Any policy that is aimed at benefiting
the most people is generally a good policy. Not always, but pretty often

Or to ask a related question, let us assume that the political
atmosphere of the late 1800's continued until this day. How many of us
would be enjoying "middle classdom" and enjoying the activities that
come with it?

>
>>>How many self-described
>>>"conservatives" would support dumping the home mortgage interest
>>>deduction?
>
>
>>Not many (any)..... yet.
>

> I remember a time when *all* 'consumer' interest was fed-income-tax
> deductible. Sales tax too. Guess who killed that?

I'm assuming the Republicans. 8^)

>
>>>>Under
>>>>extraordinary conditions, deficit spending *may* be the only way to
>>>>survive. But under those conditions, people are usually required to
>>>>ante up their share of the money to help. Today, people don't want
>>>> to help with their share of funding.
>
>
>>>I think they would *if* they felt they were getting something for
>
> their
>
>>>money.
>
>
>>Odd that at a time of major threat to our way of life, that those who
>>are benefiting the most appear to need tax cuts!
>
>
> No, they simply *claim* to need them!

That's why I said "appear!
>
> Consider this: Deficit spending is essentially a wealth-redistribution
> program that takes from the taxpayers (present and future) and gives to
> the bondholders
> (domestic and foreign).
>
>
>>>Look at what happened during WW2. Government deficit spending went
>>>to unimagined levels. It was largely paid for by people buying bonds
>>> and
>
>>>paying higher taxes. (Bonds are, of course, deficit spending). Of
>>>course if that war was lost, financial policy didn't matter much.
>>
>>Surely
>>
>>
>>>But there was another side: Unemployment vanished! Production
>>>soared to incredible levels, and nobody had to worry about losing money if
>>>they could do the job. There wasn't much for civilians to spend their
>>>money on, because a lot of things were either rationed or unavailable.
>>> Buying bonds wasn't just a duty, it was also a form of tax-deferred
>>> saving.
>

>>And a way of reducing demand.
>
>
> Demand didn't matter, because supply was controlled. IIRC, new cars and
> houses were simply not built, consumables like fuel were rationed and
> many items were
> in limited supply, so people made do with what they had.
>
>
>>>And there were paybacks after the war ended. Unlike the way WW1
>>>vets were treated during the Great Depression, WW2 produced the GI Bill,
>>>which revolutionized the middle class in the USA. Agencies like the
>> FHA and projects like the interstate highway system not only created
>>> jobs, they completely changed the way people lived. Not just veterans,
>>>either.
>>>
>>>Now - were the GI Bill and all those postwar agencies "liberal" or
>>>"conservative"?
>>
>> Extremely liberal.
>
>
> But were they a good thing or a bad thing?
>
>> I think you may be leading toward the point that many of the
>> benefits of modern America may be directly tied to a form of government that
>> is being dismantled. The liberal approach came after experiments in
>> almost pure capitalism, with it's boom and bust economies, and with the
>> natural accumulation of power to just a few of the most aggressive.
>
>
> In part, yes. Perhaps it's better to use the labels "activist" and
> "passivist" to describe the differences.
>
>
>> If you are, you are correct. Both sides have great ideas. Of course,
>>I'd think that, cuz I'm just about dead-center. Of course, I think
>> both sides have ideas that are suicidally stupid too! 8^)
>
>
> Agreed! But in general, I see way too much ignorance of history and
> inability to forsee consequences today. People are offended by the label
> "liberal" - but try taking away the benefits of "liberal" ideas like some
> of the tax laws...
>
>
>>>>>It's also interesting to note that some people are insulted if you
>>>>>call them "liberals", even though their behavior and viewpoints
>>>>>are exactly that. And some people claim the title "conservative"
>>>>>yet behave in a very different way.

>>>>
>>>>Indeed. Didya ever ever notice how angry some folk get when
>>>>confronted with the truth?
>
>
>>>You mean like Len? He's a textbook example!
>
>
>>>>I still hold to the left to right spectrum as a continuum, not a
>
> bar.
>
>
>>>>It is a circle, with extreme left and right being virtually
>>>>indistinguishable from each other.
>
>
>>>In some ways, I agree.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Deviation too far from the center is bad, bad, bad. The key is the
>>>>center.
>>>
>>>But how is the center defined?
>>
>> Personally I define it as viewing of Government as a good and
>> necessary thing, with government control of those things that national
>> government does best, and delegation of the things that state and local
>> governments do best to their respective sections.
>
> That's easy to say - and almost impossible to define. The devil is in
> the details.
>
>
>> The government that governs best is the one that governs least.
>
>
> With all due respect, that's a motherhood-and-apple-pie bromide.

And isn't that a mixed metaphor? ;^)

> *Every* political view says their approach is the least necessary.

Well, I don't want them regulating my behavior in the bedroom, and I
don't want them regulating my behavior in the market.

Now that being said, there are certain things that I think are common
sense, such as bedroom behavior doesn't include Michael Jackonesque
(alleged) behavior, or robber Baron behavior. But a person has to start
from somewhere, and too strict of definitions forces one either into
pure Libertarian or pure dictatorial view.

>>It is
>>important to note that this does not mean that functions once handled
>> by the Federal government are simply handed off to state governments.
>> That means nothing to the citizen. Federal taxes going down and state and
>>local going up is a null at best, and passing the buck.
>
>
> Bingo!
>
>>Finally, I think a Centrist is a person who THINKS about issues, not
>>simply chants party dogma.
>
>
> Try disagreeing with Shrub...

Like I said..... 8^)

>
>>>> But learning is soooo hard. Witness the new Democratic party
>>>>chairman. What were they thinking??? Dr. Dean is an honorable
>
> person.
>
>>>>But he isjust too far to the left for my taste.
>>
>>>No, he's just what the Dems need. Here's why:
>>>
>>>1) By making him chairman, they pretty much guarantee he won't run
>
> for
>
>>>P or VP in 2008, yet his followers will stay around.
>>
>> Yeah, I was going to mention that.....
>
>
> Part of smarting up the Dems.
>
>
>>>2) He's *not* an inside-the-beltway politician. That's important -
>>>notice how many presidents since LBJ have come from state governor
>>>positions. He's enough of an outsider to shake/wake the Dems up.
>
>
> Friend of mine once said that the DNC was often its own worst enemy.
> Exact quote: "If you let the DNC organize a firing squad, they'd form a
> circle around the condemned criminal..."

HAH! I like that..... Pretty much spot-on.

>
>>>3) He's outspoken enough to talk straight and short, rather than

>>> "using too many big words" like Algore and Kerry and Dukakis.
>>>
>>>4) He *is* an honorable person, and a smart one. You may not agree
>>> with him, but you can respect him.
>>
>> Yup. I wasn't quite sure what to think of him until one day I was
>>returning from a business trip, and heard him on NPR in a debate with
>
>
>>Ralph Nader. It was so refreshing to listen to a debate where issues
>>were discussed, not the weird dance that the presidential debates
>
> have
>
>>become.
>
>
> Agreed!
>
> It would be interesting to have the candidates prepare position papers
> that
> simply outlined their plans for the future and their beliefs, *without*
> any attacks on their opponents nor claims about their past allowed.
> Then let people read the position papers without knowing who wrote them...

Campaigns should be no longer than 4 months.

>>>Is the state that elected Dean composed mostly of "liberals" or
>>>"conservatives"?
>>
>> Well, they have a republican governor, representatives are 1
>
> democrat
>
>>and 2 independents (YAY). I like the way they think!
>
>
> Me too!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

- Mike KB3EIA -

1535. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 00:22:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xYidnStcD69Lfo7fRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103051

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino has fallen.

Wouldn't it make a country proud to emulate South Africa?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1536. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 00:23:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108599835.625700.258410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103052

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > > > The ham Chat Room is picking up steam...
> > > > >
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > > >
> > > > Toot toot.
> > >
> > > Somehow the vision of you sitting in a tub of Mr Bubble
> pushing
> > > a
> > > > toy tugboat around going "toot toot" seems to fit. Why am I not
> > > > surprised?
> > >
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > You're not suprised because it is your little vision.
> >
> > It has nothing to do with me.
>
> It has EVERYTHING to do with you, Brian. It has to do with your
> childish adherence to lies you've been caught in and your misplaced
> loyalty to a known pathological liar, cheat and proprietary thief.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

No, Steve, it doesn't. I've never played out your little fantasy of
tugboats in the bathtub. Saying that I have makes you the liar. Get a
grip before you lose yourself in a fit of rage then go catatonic on us.
Best of luck.

1537. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 00:30:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108600225.404278.62740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103053

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > > No doubt you have many excuses, Brian. Get your problems
> > > fixed...soon.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Nurse, heal thyself.
>
> I'm not ill nor injured.

There's an ongoing debate about that. Some think both.

> You, however, have a credibilty issue that needs to be repaired.

My credit is just fine. Actually, it's exceptional.

> Telling the truth and distancing yourself from other persons
known
> to lie and deceive is a good start. (Not that he's been able to
figure
> out how to "join" us since AOL dropped it's newsgroup reader)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, perhaps you'd like to mentor Len in the joys of googling?

1538. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 00:33:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108600382.654077.70350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103054

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > robert casey wrote:
>
> > > The FCC doesn't want to end up doing this sort of micro-
> > > management. It's better if hams decide (via ARRL or such
> > > group) what subbands are where. And if something needs to
> > > change it doesn't take years to do it. The FCC acknowledges
> > > such as "good amateur practice".
> >
> > Riley says that "Good Amateur Practice" is enforceable.
> >
> > No one here believes it, though.
>
> Who doesn't believe it, Brain?
>
> Quotes? Posts?
>
> I know for a fact that Dave Heil, Jim Miccolis and myself have
all
> stated that FCC policy has been to minimize enforcement action in
lieu
> of self-policing, but has and will continue to issue citations to
those
> who violate established band plans, etc, when required to do so.
>
> Now...WHO doesn't believe that "Good Amateur Practice" isn't
> enforceable?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Simple. All those who think that communicating with out of band
frenchmen is good amateur practice.

1539. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 00:45:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108601137.068784.17980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103055

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
> > Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino has fallen.
>
>
> Wouldn't it make a country proud to emulate South Africa?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Don't go getting ethnocentric on us, Mike. Not very liberal-like. Or maybe it is. They're the ones always counting how many blacks, hispanics, american eskimos, cajuns, etc there are.

Beside, in addition to South Africa, don't forget the UK, Ireland, France, Germany, Belgium, Austria, the Netherlands, Luxembourg, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, Singapore, Australia, New Zealand, Papua Niugini, Hong Kong and Japan.

1540. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 00:50:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108601410.336234.297560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103056

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1108424935.928355.43140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX.
> >>
> >
> >
> > Oh, Lord. That would be a sight and a half!
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >
> ULX would chew toddyboy up and spit him out in a Nu York minute.

So?

1541. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 00:54:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108601690.773540.318630@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103057

King Zulu wrote:
> "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
> news:ZPdPd.30559$xt.18447@fed1read07...
> > RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
> > They probably read the ARRL pages for this
> >
> > It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out
>
> Good grief! I got the Extra before incentive licensing created
sub-bands,
> just so I didn't have to keep track of anything more than CW and
phone
> bands. Now we have to worry about every bandplan mode allocation?

Relax. You've just heard from the nannerheads that think the
government is supposed to supervise every aspect of a citizen's life.
They rest of us aren't buying into it.

Either the
> frequency is in use or not in use.

Ayup.

1542. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 00:57:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4213EBF5.5D7A24F8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103058

bb wrote:
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1108424935.928355.43140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > >Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX.

You seem to be having attribution problems, "bb". I did not write the
above.

Dave K8MN

1543. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 01:13:53 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108602833.162532.234240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103059

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

1544. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 02:08:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1108606123.450223.319640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103060

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino has
> fallen.
>
> How many countries does that make now, compared to those who still
have
> it?

A tiny fraction of the number of countries which are members of the UN and/or the ITU. Note that most of the countries on Alun's list are chunks of Old Europe and their syncophants where socialist gummint giveaways are rampant compared with the rest of the world.

Nor does it include heavy hitters like the countries of the former Soviet bloc, Brazil, India and China.

Gonna be a LOOONG time before the last code test disappears . .

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1545. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 02:59:37 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108609177.793986.193540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103061

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

1546. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 03:19:14 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95FFE31AC3D56elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103062

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
news:xYidnStcD69Lfo7fRVn-hA@adelphia.com:

> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>> Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino has
>> fallen.
>
>
> Wouldn't it make a country proud to emulate South Africa?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

I rather think that S Africa is emulating 17 other countries

1547. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 03:37:40 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95FFE63B0A3D0elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103063

kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108606123.450223.319640
@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> > Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino has
>> > fallen.
>>
>> How many countries does that make now, compared to those who still
>> have it?
>
> A tiny fraction of the number of countries which are members of the UN
> and/or the ITU.

About 10% as a matter of fact. A minority, but not quite my idea of a
'tiny' fraction. The point is, of course, that we are far from done yet.
Canada may be next.

> Note that most of the countries on Alun's list are
> chunks of Old Europe and their syncophants where socialist gummint
> giveaways are rampant compared with the rest of the world.
>

So you prefer totalitarian regimes instead?

> Nor does it include heavy hitters like the countries of the former
> Soviet bloc, Brazil, India and China.
>

Those countries have large populations, but they don't they have the
highest numbers of hams per head.

> Gonna be a LOOONG time before the last code test disappears . .
>
>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> w3rv
>
>

I'm sure it will be a great comfort to you if the Russian Federation is the
last to keep a code test. They are not my idea of anyone I would want to
emulate, however.

n3kip

1548. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 04:44:12 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108615452.305196.50280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103064

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

1549. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 05:26:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42142B0E.F315675C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103065

"Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>
> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108606123.450223.319640
> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> > Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino has
> >> > fallen.
> >>
> >> How many countries does that make now, compared to those who still
> >> have it?
> >>
> > A tiny fraction of the number of countries which are members of the UN
> > and/or the ITU.
>
> About 10% as a matter of fact. A minority, but not quite my idea of a
> 'tiny' fraction.

Ten percent is a tiny fraction when compared to 100%.

> The point is, of course, that we are far from done yet.
> Canada may be next.

There's little doubt. The Canadian government is pro-gay marriage too.

> > Note that most of the countries on Alun's list are
> > chunks of Old Europe and their syncophants where socialist gummint
> > giveaways are rampant compared with the rest of the world.
> >
>
> So you prefer totalitarian regimes instead?

Who wrote anything like that?

> > Nor does it include heavy hitters like the countries of the former
> > Soviet bloc, Brazil, India and China.
> >
>
> Those countries have large populations, but they don't they have the
> highest numbers of hams per head.

South Africa has a population which is a little over 47 million. It has
about 4300 radio amateurs. Finland has that many radio amateurs with a
population of under 5 million. Russia has just under 144 million people
and has about 15,000 amateur licenses. It would seem that Russia isn't

keeping up with the per capita licensing of South Africa. Little Finland can coast for a few decades.

> > Gonna be a LOOONG time before the last code test disappears . .

> I'm sure it will be a great comfort to you if the Russian Federation is the
> last to keep a code test. They are not my idea of anyone I would want to
> emulate, however.

I gather that you prefer life in Maryland to life in the U.K., too, Alun.

As for me, the crime rates in either Russia or South Africa would keep me from wanting to become a resident of either. Then again, we were talking about whether they decided to keep a morse test for amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

1550. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 06:14:14 UTC
From: Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com>
Message-ID: <90d811pf8i4j0kk4govssor57bsb1qhq77@4ax.com>
Source record: 103067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 20:41:06 GMT, dougmc@frenzy.com (Doug McLaren)
wrote:

>In article <hid611t7ku8uo8kr3j8pes4tk1oa0sba81@4ax.com>,
>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>| Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement
>| actions. It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed
>| ham for operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in
>| popping an unlicensed radio pirate.
>
>It's probably because it's a lot easier to track down -- after all, if
>the licensed ham is properly IDing himself, it's trivial for anybody
>who listens to look up that call sign and find that his ham class
>doesn't let him transmit there.
>
>| If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
>| detrimental.
>
>Freebanders don't generally ID themselves at all. To actually track
>one down would be a lot harder.

But having a ham license gives freebanders access to equipment that
normally would not be available to unlicensed individuals. Some
retailers will not sell gear to unlicensed persons, nor will some
hamfests award prizes to those who hold no license, offering an
equivalent cash value instead.

1551. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 06:23:50 UTC
From: Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com>
Message-ID: <92e811dvjf9frromuq8mvb25kerc9047mq@4ax.com>
Source record: 103068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 23:10:01 -0500, "Weebus RF Meter"
<voordviktorlb2@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"Greg" <gfight@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:BE3816AC.34642%gfight@tampabay.rr.com...
>
>> Now wait, are you saying there really is no Planet X?
>
>Unfortunatly.....yes.
>
>We were hoping that there was a Planet X.
>This way if there was, when it appears there
>would be another group like the Heaven's Gate cultists
>that would go and perform some kind of a mass suicide
>for our mutual viewing and reading enjoyment of the same.
>
>(see http://www.csicop.org/si/9703/hale.html)
>
>Untill then however, we'll just have to settle for right wing
>militialoons that get their sorry ass shot out from under them
>or tossed into jail, along with the occasional loon who goes
>apeshi+ and shoots up a suburban shopping mall someplace.
>
>(I don't think we'll be seeing another 9/11 for a very long time to come)
>
>Oh well, as Andy Warhol said - "15 Minutes of Fame is our mutual
>allocation"
>
>Ciao baby!
>xoxoxo

Here's everything you need to know about "Planet X"

http://www.planet-x.150m.com/

1552. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 06:28:39 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108621718.958320.156230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103066

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

1553. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 08:13:04 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108627984.276399.20920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103069

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

1554. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 09:57:21 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108634241.475351.69330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103070

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

1555. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 10:55:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108637750.922635.205620@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103071

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns95FFBCCC59B3Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30:
>
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108578593.250795.201100
> > @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> >> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >>> Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more
> >>> domino has fallen.
> >>
> >> How many countries does that make now, compared to those
> >> who still have it?

> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY

> > It's getting a little difficult to keep track. However, I
> > think at
> > least the UK, Ireland, France, Germany, Belgium, the
> > Netherlands,
> > Luxembourg, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, Singapore,
> > Australia,
> > New Zealand, Papua Niugini, Hong Kong and South Africa have
> > abolished
> > the code test so far. I think that of these only Austria and
> > the
> > Netherlands even retain an entry level licence that doesn't
> > give HF privileges.
> >
> > That's only 17 countries, but I expect I may have missed some > >
out. I
> > make the combined ham population of the above something over > >
260,000
> > (possibly more than half of them no-coders), so probably a
> > little less
> > than half the number of hams in the US.

260,000/670,000 = about 38.9%

> > However, there are well over
> > 50,000 hams in Canada, which is also likely to abolish
> > the code test very soon.

Yep. But there are two big points about Canada:

1) The proposal would increase the written test level

2) Commentary to the Canadian proposal showed a clear majority
favored the change. That's not the case in the USA, in any survey done
to date, nor in the commentary to FCC.

> >
> > Don't forget that Japan, with a ham population of 1.2 Million
> > (twice
> > that of the US, out of maybe a fifth of your general
> > population), has
> > long had a no-code HF licence, albeit limited to 10 Watts.

Check your numbers!

Japan has over 3.1 million operator licenses - but they cost nothing
and never expire, so that number is really the number of ham operator
licenses issued since 1955, not the number of present-day hams.

Japanese *station* licenses are a bit over 600,000 now, and have been
dropping for a decade.

The number of new JA licenses has also been dropping.

See the AH0A website.

> > I'm not sure
> > how many Japanese hams have a no-code HF licence,

Well over 95%.

> > but they may even
> > rival all the new ones so far put together, although the new > >
guys can
> > use more than 10 Watts! It's probably only a matter of time
> > before
> > Japan lets all of their hams use HF anyway.

All Japanese hams have HF privileges *today*. Been that way for
decades.

But for all classes of ham license except 4th class, JA hams have a
code test. And there's no move to change that yet.

> > Even without the low power Japanese stations, the number of
> > no-coders
> > who have full HF privileges right now is probably about the
> > same as the
> > number of no-code Techs in the US.
> >
Close enough.
>
> That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!

OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
and the number of countries.

The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test because a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for itself.

Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have dropped code testing?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1556. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 11:41:41 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108640501.835510.203860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103072

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

1557. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 11:43:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <2m09111mr3bck61q8ld1b9kb2vhrb8l681@4ax.com>
Source record: 103073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 20:41:06 GMT, dougmc@frenzy.com (Doug McLaren)
wrote:

>In article <hid611t7ku8uo8kr3j8pes4tk1oa0sba81@4ax.com>,
>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>| Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement
>| actions. It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed
>| ham for operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in
>| popping an unlicensed radio pirate.
>
>It's probably because it's a lot easier to track down -- after all, if
>the licensed ham is properly IDing himself, it's trivial for anybody
>who listens to look up that call sign and find that his ham class
>doesn't let him transmit there.

I doubt if the hams who freeband are dumb enough to use their calls
there (but you never know). But I wouldn't be surprised to learn that
they are "recognized" there by other people who turn them in.

>| If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
>| detrimental.
>
>Freebanders don't generally ID themselves at all. To actually track
>one down would be a lot harder.

Yes, I agree. It just seems funny that the latest freeband bust seem
to be hams running out of band over unlicensed operation.

It would seem that Riley H. is much more concerned with keeping
"honest" hams honest, rather than eradicating the freeband.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1558. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 13:26:01 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108646761.290075.251170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103074

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

1559. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 13:53:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108648403.269883.184450@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103075

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:

> >>>>>The folks who want restraints on stem cell research,
recreational
> >>>>>chemicals (except tobacco and alchohol), contraception, etc.,
call
> >>>>>themselves "conservatives".
> >
> >
> >>>>Yup, they call themselves conservatives. But in the brave new
"you
> >>>>are
> >>>>with us, or you are agin' us". party, conservatives support
> >
> > spending
> >
> >>>>money at unprecedented burn rates that are not supported by
intake,
> >>>>they support major increases in government power, and other
things
> >
> > that we
> >
> >>>>used to be told that liberals want to inflict on us.
> >
> >
> >>>Except that the "liberals" were pushing tax-and-spend, not
> >>>borrow-and-spend.
> >>
> >>Of course there are differences. At least the olde tyme liberals
were
> >
> >
> >>willing to pay for their overspending.
> >
> >
> > Or at least take the heat for raising taxes. That's called
> > "responsibility". ;-)
>
> An one thing is for certain. The present group is not to blame or

> responsible for anything..... Not responsible at all.

Unless it's a good thing, and then it's all their doing...
>
> >>>>Lots of true conservatives feel the same.

> >>>But what is a "true conservative"? Or a "true liberal", for that
> >>>matter?
> >
> >>>Most definitions I see are way too simplified.
> >>>
> >>>For example, I've seen it written that a conservative wants to
> >>>control/regulate the individual and decontrol/deregulate the
> >>>organizations (govt., business, etc.), and a liberal wants to
> >>>control/regulate the organizations and decontrol/deregulate the
> >>>individual.
> >>>
> >>>Under that definition, the current administration is conservative!
> >>>
> >>>But another definition says the conservative wants small,
> >>> hands-off, pay-as-you-go government (usually defined by
spending), and the
> >>> liberal wants big, hands-on, borrow-tax-spend activist/social
engineering
> >>>government.
> >>>
> >>>Under *that* definition, the current administration is liberal!
> >>
> >> Yup, as I noted, there are going to be differences.

> > I'm more concerned about accurate definition.
>
> HAH! If I could give one, I'd be rich.

How about my "activist" vs. "passivist" definition?

> >>Think Dixiecrat! Just where did the Dixiecrats go?
> >
> >
> > Times changed...
>
> TRue enough, but that isn't the answer I was looking for. The
> Dixiecrats became Republicans.

Yup.

> >>>Yet another definition says conservatives want to keep things as
> >> they are, and liberals want to run around changing things.
>
>>>>You decide what that one is.
> >
> >

> >>>>>The plain and simple fact is that any government action is
"social
> >>>>>engineering and attempt[ing] to force their philosophy on
others".
> >>>>>By definition.

> >>>>>For example, there are tax deductions for home mortgage
interest.
> >>>>
> >>>> Such deductions make home ownership more affordable for millions
> >
> > of
> >
> >>>>>people, and are in effect a subsidy supporting home ownership
vs.
> >
> > renting.
> >
> >
> >>>Now - is that liberal or conservative policy?
> >>
> >>It is an extremely liberal policy.
> >
> >
> > Some would agree, saying it pushes a populist agenda at the expense
of
> > landlords and bankers. Others would disagree, saying it *helped*
the
> > construction industry and bankers, as well as the auto
manufacturers
> > and many other industries.
> >
> > Now the tough question: Is it a good policy or a bad policy?
>
> I don't find that tough at all. Any policy that is aimed at
benefiting
> the most people is generally a good policy. Not always, but pretty
often

And that's where the trouble is: what *really* helps the most people?
Some
will undoubtedly argue that if the subsidy didn't exist, property
values and taxes
would be lower (because every deduction has to be made up somewhere
else).

Or consider this: the original FHA rules favored new construction over
existing housing. This helped the Levitt brothers and their imitators
enormously, and caused the suburban boom.

But it also helped empty the cities of those who could leave,
tremendously increased dependence on the automobile, and increased
per-capita consumption of all sorts of things. So now we have a nation
that is heavily dependent on imported energy, much of which is used
solely because of suburbanization.

OTOH, isn't a big part of the reason for government to protect the
rights of
the individual against the mob? And the weak against the strong?
>
> Or to ask a related question, let us assume that the political
> atmosphere of the late 1800's continued until this day. How many of
us
> would be enjoying "middle classdom" and enjoying the activities that
> come with it?

Impossible to tell! But consider this:

>From reading old books and biographies, it seems to me that 100 or so
years ago there existed a class of people in the USA that have all but
disappeared.

I don't have a name for this class. The main characteristic of them was
that they didn't have to work. They were folks who had amassed enough
wealth to live comfortably on their investments.

>From what I've read, in those days if a person owned a paid-up house,
and had some decent income-generating stocks and bonds, they could live
pretty well on
relatively little income because taxes were very low and only in
specific areas.
In most towns and cities you didn't need a car or a horse.

Income tax did not exist until WW1, property taxes were low in many
places, etc. Of course if you got sick, the available treatments
weren't expensive because there weren't many treatments!

> >>>How many self-described
> >>>"conservatives" would support dumping the home mortgage interest
> >>>deduction?
> >
> >
> >>Not many (any)..... yet.
> >
> > I remember a time when *all* 'consumer' interest was fed-income-tax
> > deductible. Sales tax too. Guess who killed that?
>
> I'm assuming the Republicans. 8^)

Under the guise of "tax simplification" and "getting the govt. off your
back".

A lot of things were eased out of the tax laws so that while the rates
didn't rise, people's actual payments did.

>
> >>>>Under
> >>>>extraordinary conditions, deficit spending *may* be the only way
to
> >>>>survive. But under those conditions, people are usually required
to
> >>>>ante up their share of the money to help. Today, people don't
want
> >>>> to help with their share of funding.
> >
> >
> >>>I think they would *if* they felt they were getting something for
> >
> > their
> >
> >>>money.
> >
> >
> >>Odd that at a time of major threat to our way of life, that those
who
> >>are benefiting the most appear to need tax cuts!
> >
> >
> > No, they simply *claim* to need them!
>
> That's why I said "appear!
> >
> > Consider this: Deficit spending is essentially a
wealth-redistribution
> > program that takes from the taxpayers (present and future) and
gives to
> > the bondholders
> > (domestic and foreign).
> >
> >
> >>>Look at what happened during WW2. Government deficit spending went
> >>>to unimagined levels. It was largely paid for by people buying
bonds
> >>> and
> >
> >>>paying higher taxes. (Bonds are, of course, deficit spending). Of
> >>>course if that war was lost, financial policy didn't matter much.
> >>
> >>Surely
> >>
> >>
> >>>But there was another side: Unemployment vanished! Production
> >>>soared to incredible levels, and nobody had to worry about losing
money if
> >>>they could do the job. There wasn't much for civilians to spend

their
> >>>money on, because a lot of things were either rationed or
unavailable.
> >>> Buying bonds wasn't just a duty, it was also a form of
tax-deferred
> >>> saving.
> >
> >>And a way of reducing demand.
> >
> >
> > Demand didn't matter, because supply was controlled. IIRC, new cars
and
> > houses were simply not built, consumables like fuel were rationed
and
> > many items were
> > in limited supply, so people made do with what they had.
> >
> >
> >>>And there were paybacks after the war ended. Unlike the way WW1
> >>>vets were treated during the Great Depression, WW2 produced the GI
Bill,
> >>>which revolutionized the middle class in the USA. Agencies like
the
> >> FHA and projects like the interstate highway system not only
created
> >>> jobs, they completely changed the way people lived. Not just
veterans,
> >>>either.
> >>>
> >>>Now - were the GI Bill and all those postwar agencies "liberal" or
> >>>"conservative"?
> >>
> >> Extremely liberal.
> >
> >
> > But were they a good thing or a bad thing?
> >
> >> I think you may be leading toward the point that many of the
> >> benefits of modern America may be directly tied to a form of
government that
> >> is being dismantled. The liberal approach came after experiments
in
> >> almost pure capitalism, with it's boom and bust economies, and
with the
> >> natural accumulation of power to just a few of the most
aggressive.
> >
> >
> > In part, yes. Perhaps it's better to use the labels "activist" and
> > "passivist" to describe the differences.

> >
> >
> >> If you are, you are correct. Both sides have great ideas. Of
course,
> >>I'd think that, cuz I'm just about dead-center. Of course, I think
> >> both sides have ideas that are suicidally stupid too! 8^)
> >
> >
> > Agreed! But in general, I see way too much ignorance of history and
> > inability to forsee consequences today. People are offended by the
label
> > "liberal" - but try taking away the benefits of "liberal" ideas
like some
> > of the tax laws...
> >
> >
> >>>>>It's also interesting to note that some people are insulted if
you
> >>>>>call them "liberals", even though their behavior and viewpoints
> >>>>>are exactly that. And some people claim the title "conservative"
> >>>>>yet behave in a very different way.
> >>>>
> >>>>Indeed. Didya ever ever notice how angry some folk get when
> >>>>confronted with the truth?
> >
> >
> >>>You mean like Len? He's a textbook example!
> >
> >
> >>>>I still hold to the left to right spectrum as a continuum, not a
> >
> > bar.
> >
> >
> >>>>It is a circle, with extreme left and right being virtually
> >>>>indistinguishable from each other.
> >
> >
> >>>In some ways, I agree.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Deviation too far from the center is bad, bad, bad. The key is
the
> >>>>center.
> >>>
> >>>But how is the center defined?
> >>
> >> Personally I define it as viewing of Government as a good and
> >> necessary thing, with government control of those things that
national

> >> government does best, and delegation of the things that state and
local
> >> governments do best to their respective sections.
> >
> > That's easy to say - and almost impossible to define. The devil is
in
> > the details.
> >
> >
> >> The government that governs best is the one that governs least.
> >
> >
> > With all due respect, that's a motherhood-and-apple-pie bromide.
>
> And isn't that a mixed metaphor? ;^)

Nope. !
>
> > *Every* political view says their approach is the least necessary.
>
> Well, I don't want them regulating my behavior in the bedroom, and I
> don't want them regulating my behavior in the market.

One side would regulate the first and deregulate the second, and
vice-versa.

> Now that being said, there are certain things that I think are common

> sense, such as bedroom behavior doesn't include Michael Jackonesque
> (alleged) behavior, or robber Baron behavior.

Agreed - but who defines where the line is?

> But a person has to start
> from somewhere, and too strict of definitions forces one either into
> pure Libertarian or pure dictatorial view.

Extremes are rarely what is needed.

> >>It is
> >>important to note that this does not mean that functions once
handled
> >> by the Federal government are simply handed off to state
governments.
> >> That means nothing to the citizen. Federal taxes going down and
state and
> >>local going up is a null at best, and passing the buck.
> >
> >
> > Bingo!
> >
> >>Finally, I think a Centrist is a person who THINKS about issues,
not

> >>simply chants party dogma.
> >
> >
> > Try disagreeing with Shrub...
>
> Like I said..... 8^)
>
> >
> >>>> But learning is soooo hard. Witness the new Democratic party
> >>>>chairman. What were they thinking??? Dr. Dean is an honorable
> >
> > person.
> >
> >>>>But he isjust too far to the left for my taste.
> >>
> >>>No, he's just what the Dems need. Here's why:
> >>>
> >>>1) By making him chairman, they pretty much guarantee he won't run
> >
> > for
> >
> >>>P or VP in 2008, yet his followers will stay around.
> >>
> >> Yeah, I was going to mention that.....
> >
> >
> > Part of smarting up the Dems.
> >
> >
> >>>2) He's *not* an inside-the-beltway politician. That's important -
> >>>notice how many presidents since LBJ have come from state governor
> >>>positions. He's enough of an outsider to shake/wake the Dems up.
> >
> >
> > Friend of mine once said that the DNC was often its own worst
enemy.
> > Exact quote: "If you let the DNC organize a firing squad, they'd
form a
> > circle around the condemned criminal..."
>
> HAH! I like that..... Pretty much spot-on.

It may be cynical, but the fact is that a lot of modern politics consists of playing the game better than the other guy. For example, in a number of key swing states, the Pubs managed to get gay 'marriage' referenda on the ballot. More important, they managed to form a connection in voter's minds between gay 'marriage' and the presidential election, even though marriage laws are determined at the state level, not national level. Rather than debate and decide as a society whether gay 'marriage' should be legal or not, the issue was used as a tool of

the presidential election.

> >>>3) He's outspoken enough to talk straight and short, rather than
> >>> "using too many big words" like Algore and Kerry and Dukakis.
> >>>
> >>>4) He *is* an honorable person, and a smart one. You may not agree
> >>> with him, but you can respect him.
> >>
> >> Yup. I wasn't quite sure what to think of him until one day I was
> >>returning from a business trip, and heard him on NPR in a debate
with
> >
> >
> >>Ralph Nader. It was so refreshing to listen to a debate where
issues
> >>were discussed, not the weird dance that the presidential debates
> >
> > have
> >
> >>become.
> >
> >
> > Agreed!
> >
> > It would be interesting to have the candidates prepare position
papers
> > that
> > simply outlined their plans for the future and their beliefs,
without
> > any attacks on their opponents nor claims about their past allowed.
> > Then let people read the position papers without knowing who wrote
them...

> Campaigns should be no longer than 4 months.

And the nonsense about the early primaries has to go.

> >>>Is the state that elected Dean composed mostly of "liberals" or
> >>>"conservatives"?
> >>
> >> Well, they have a republican governor, representatives are 1
> >
> > democrat
> >
> >>and 2 independents (YAY). I like the way they think!
> >
> >
> > Me too!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1560. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 14:07:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108649242.296908.179310@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103076

Todd Daugherty wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1108481168.989536.220310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > news:1108413593.571064.217750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > > > > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:KdCdnUThdbCGhI3fRVn-sg@adelphia.com...
> > > > > > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > > > > > > The Death of Amateur Radio
> > >
> > > > > > It is interesting that what you propose to do would hasten
your
> > > > > > "Death of Amateur Radio" in my opinion.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If we get a few hundred more such as yourself that believe
that
> > > > > > they
> > > > > > need to broadcast their opinions over the amateur bands,
more
> > and
> > > > > > more
> > > > > > Amateurs will find something else to do with their leisure
> > time, as
> > > > > > they
> > > > > > have no room to transmit as the bands fill up with
"bulletin
> > free
> > > > > > speech
> > > > > > transmissions. All the while transforming the Amateur bands
> > into
> > > > > > some sort of mutant version of the AM broadcast band.
> > >
> > > > > No where in my paper do I state that amateurs should
> > broadcasting.
> > >
> > > > "amateurs should broadcasting"?
> > >
> > > > There are
> > > > > some including the FCC who wishes to keep the service to
where
> > all

> > > > > you do is give a signal report, location, ect.
> >
> > > > That's simply not true! I've had many long and enjoyable QSOs
on a
> > > > variety of subjects, with never a problem on content from FCC.
The
> > only
> > > > limits on content
> > > > were "no pecuniary interest" and keeping it "G-rated".
> >
> > > > > As I stated in my paper a good example
> > > > > of this was packet radio. Packet is pretty much died around
here
> > > > > because all
> > > > > of the content on them was "For Sale" stuff. Packet would of
> > survive
> > > > > if BBS's were set up to cater to certain topics or discussion
> > groups.
> >
> > > > Such as?
> >
> > > A BBS with discussion on antenna designing, Another BBS with
> > discussion on
> > > on experimenting. Another BBS with amaeur policy. These are just
to
> > name a
> > > few there was a issue CQ VHF that went into greater detail about
the
> > set up.
> > > The point is to have all the for sale stuff on one BBS and a
diverse
> > of
> > > other BBS on other subjects.
> >
> > Sounds good in theory. But in practice, how would that work? Could
hams
> > all over the world, or even all over the USA, access that
particular
> > BBS? If so, how?
>
> Well, it could be set up on VHF and HF allow.

I have no idea what you're saying there, Todd.
>
> > > I tried years ago to set something up like that however a few
> > > local amateurs threated to go to the FCC and claim that the
system is
> > > interferning with their system.
> >
> > How many years ago? And would it have interfered?

>
> It was a while back, around 1997. would it have interfered?? no, if
you know
> anything about packet; packet time shares a frequency.

Who decides how much time each system gets? If systems have to wait for
the frequency to be quiet, the existing systems could experience
"interference" if they can't get a byte in edgewise.

> This guy and his
> little group were nothing more then assholes.

Well, that's *your* opinion...

> > It seems to me that one of the limitations of amateur packet radio
is
> > that
> > it hasn't evolved much past the 1200 baud/BBS mindset of 20+ years
ago.
> > Heck,
> > even trailingedge computer types like me have been running 56k
dialup
> > modems for almost a decade!
> >
> > Wasn't amateur packet originally set up for 1200 baud because you
could
> > use a
> > voice FM 2 m radio without any mods? You'd think that by now packet
> > would have
> > moved to much higher speeds and much higher bands...but that would
mean
> > someone
> > would actually have to build a radio to do it...
>
> There are radio that allow higher speed packet including 9600 and
56K.

Sure - but 1200 lives on as the most popular, right?

> The
> problem with packet here was the user frequency was being over ran by
BBS's
> automatic fowarding and that's what drove off all the users. 1200
baud would
> work if the network was set up right.

You mean, set up the way you'd like.

It still seems to me that what you wanted was for the existing system
to see it your way, rather than creating a new system.
>
> > > > > > You note that you look for a free space to transmit in. So
> > what?

> > > > > > K1MAN
> > > > > > doesn't. He opens up on whoever is on the frequency and
> > threatens
> > > > > > those
> > > > > > who don't move. How many more "free speech advocates" will
> > decide
> > > > > > that
> > > > > > anyone on "their frequency" is an infringement on their
free
> > > > > > speech?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Information Bulletins are legal no matter what you or anyone
> > > > > > believes.
> > > > >
> > > > > If the bulletins meet the specific criteria I outlined in
another
> > post,
> > > > > they're legal.
> > > > >
> > > > The problem is however, that there are amateur radio operators
who
> > feel that
> > > > information bulletins which deal with amateur radio issues
shouldn't
> > be
> > > > opinionated and it is those same amateurs operators when the
bulletin
> > is
> > > > transmitting then begin jamming the Information bulletin because
they
> > feel
> > > > the transmission is illegal.
> >
> > Jamming is an enforcement issue.
> >
> > > > > > Interference which K1MAN is doing is not legal.
> >
> > > > > Agreed!
> >
> > > > > > > I wonder if wattage limits are an infringement on a persons
> > free
> > > > > > > speech? Limiting it limits the number of people who can be
> > reached.
> >
> > > > > > > Suggestion that Lib net members use an alternative method
of
> > > > > > > getting
> > > > > > > their views out is not infringement of their free speech,
it is
> > a

> > > > > > suggestion. And not a bad one at that. No one is forcing
them
> > off
> > > > > > the air, just suggesting a better venue for their views.
> >
> > > > > The FCC shouldn't even suggest it.
> >
> > > > Yes, they should, if they see the content and behavior as
> > detrimental
> > > > to the ARS. Which they do.
> >
> > > > > Again the FCC is barred from controlling
> > > > > the content of any station.
> >
> > > > That's simply not true.
> >
> > > yes and no Under Section 326 of the Communication Act the FCC is
> > barred to
> > > control the content of any station.
> >
> > I'll ask again: What exact verbiage says that?
>
> Well here's the rule read it for yourself
> (47 USC 326)
> § 326. Censorship
> Nothing in this chapter shall be understood or construed to give the
> Commission the power of censorship over the radio communications or
signals
> transmitted by any radio station, and no regulation or condition
shall be
> promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall interfere with the
right
> of free speech by means of radio communication.
>
OK, fine.

Now you have to define "free speech" and "censorship". Obviously the
FCC's and Supreme's definitions aren't the same as yours.

> > > the only content the FCC is allowed to
> > > control is obscene and indecent material and that's it.
> >
> > How about commercial content on the ham bands?
> >
> > How about using radio to help with the commission of crimes?
> >
> > Are those things allowed under 326?
>
> Those are under other rules and regulation.

Thank you for proving my point! The content and other restrictions of
amateur radio are under other rules and regulations.

> > > > > Again if they can have alternative perhaps ALL
> > > > > amateurs should move off the radio spectrum and uses the
> > > > > alternative....the Internet.
> >
> > > > For certain subjects, that's the right medium.
> > >
> > > > > > Death of Amateur Radio? Perhaps you have a bigger part than
you
> > > > > > realize........
> >
> > > > Interesting!
> > > >
> > > > In fact, we're starting to see what may be the "death of the
> > internet"
> > > > - or at least the death of its potential. Viruses, popups,
identity
> > > > theft and other shenanigans are causing many people I know to
> > become
> > > > disenchanted with it.
> >
> > > I really dout the internet will die.
> >
> > Me too. But I see its potential dying.
> >
> > > As a matter of fact Internet 2 is now
> > > out (well right now only some Universities (206 to be exact) and
> > government
> > > agencies have it...it will probably be commercialized in about
two to
> > three
> > > years.). Internet 2 will have a lot more applications and
downloading
> > will
> > > be faster. (people will be able to download a full length movie
> > within
> > > minutes instead of days) so I really dout the Internet will die
> > anytime
> > > soon.
> >
> > If "internet 2" catches on, it will replace the original.
> >
> > If you want different content than what is found on current amateur
> > packet,
> > why not provide it yourself? Not in competition with the forsale
folks,
> > but
> > on a different frequency or even band. With much higher speed and
more

> > features?
>
> Because the cost would be too much.

Then there's no way amateur radio can 'compete with the internet'. Nor should it.

> There is no packet network around here
> any more and the cost would be too high.

For whom?

The problem with any amateur network is that you're dependent upon individuals or
small groups to put up stations that cost $$ but are mostly used by others. So the
people who actually put the stations on the air want control over how they are
used. Which is perfectly reasonable, isn't it?

> A let's not forget some competition
> in a service good be a good thing

If it costs too much, doesn't that mean the competition is lost?

K2ASP has described a system that is functioning *today* in his area. Sounds pretty good. Betcha it didn't get built by people calling others assholes.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1561. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 14:16:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108649775.337482.291910@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103077

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > robert casey wrote:
> > >
> > > > > The FCC doesn't want to end up doing this sort of micro-
> > > > > management. It's better if hams decide (via ARRL or such
> > > > > group) what subbands are where. And if something needs to
> > > > > change it doesn't take years to do it. The FCC acknowledges
> > > > > such as "good amateur practice".
> > > >
> > > > Riley says that "Good Amateur Practice" is enforceable.
> > > >
> > > > No one here believes it, though.
> > >
> > > Who doesn't believe it, Brain?
> > >
> > > Quotes? Posts?
> > >
> > > I know for a fact that Dave Heil, Jim Miccolis and myself have
> > all
> > > stated that FCC policy has been to minimize enforcement action in
> > lieu
> > > of self-policing, but has and will continue to issue citations to
> > those
> > > who violate established band plans, etc, when required to do so.
> > >
> > > Now...WHO doesn't believe that "Good Amateur Practice" isn't
> > > enforceable?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Simple. All those who think that communicating with out of band
> > frenchmen is good amateur practice.

You've not answered my question, Brian.

Who doesn't believe that "Good Amateur Practice" isn't
enforceable?

Was the person you allege to have made this transgression
operating his station within the parameters of his license?
(Remember...only TRANSMITTING is regulated by the license...NOT
receiving).

Was the person obligated by law to know the bandplans of the
French (or any foreign) operators?

Do YOU know the bandplans of all the foreign stations YOU "work"?

Can you attest to the 100% legality of any contacts you have made
based upon any out-of-band operation by the foreign operator?

Come on, Brian...You're insinuating you have some inside knowldege
on foreign operating, here...Strut your stuff.

Steve, K4YZ

1562. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 14:21:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108650113.067404.106500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103078

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > > No doubt you have many excuses, Brian. Get your problems
> > > > fixed...soon.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Nurse, heal thyself.
> >
> > I'm not ill nor injured.
>
> There's an ongoing debate about that. Some think both.

There's no debate at all.

Just snide comments from two antagonists who themselves have
serious credibility and ethical issues yet unresolved.

> > You, however, have a credibilty issue that needs to be
repaired.
>
> My credit is just fine. Actually, it's exceptional.

I am sure your credit is fine. Your credibilty is not.

> > Telling the truth and distancing yourself from other persons
> known
> > to lie and deceive is a good start. (Not that he's been able to
> figure
> > out how to "join" us since AOL dropped it's newsgroup reader)
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, perhaps you'd like to mentor Len in the joys of googling?

Nope. Things are just fine without him. Long may they last.

Steve, K4YZ

1563. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 14:26:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108650407.114041.276870@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103079

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > The ham Chat Room is picking up steam...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > > > >
> > > > > Toot toot.
> > > >
> > > > Somehow the vision of you sitting in a tub of Mr Bubble
> > pushing
> > > a
> > > > toy tugboat around going "toot toot" seems to fit. Why am I
not
> > > > surprised?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > You're not suprised because it is your little vision.
> > >
> > > It has nothing to do with me.
> >
> > It has EVERYTHING to do with you, Brian. It has to do with
your
> > childish adherence to lies you've been caught in and your misplaced
> > loyalty to a known pathological liar, cheat and proprietary thief.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> No, Steve, it doesn't. I've never played out your little fantasy of
> tugboats in the bathtub. Saying that I have makes you the liar.

I didn't say you have, Brian, and you are more than welcome to
repost the comments wherein you think I said you DID...

I said the "VISION" of you doing it made sense.

It still does.

> Get a grip before you lose yourself in a fit of rage then go
catatonic on us.

Speaking of a "fit of rage", you really need to go back and read
your own post there, Skippy.

There's no "rage" in my part. Just overt laughter at the idea of
you playing with your little tugboat going "toot toot".

> Best of luck.

No luck needed. You supplied everthing I needed...Again. Thanks.

Steve, K4YZ

1564. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 16:13:28 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <N7ydnW_4v6_QWYnfRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Charlie wrote:

> Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is limited by
> comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....
>
And getting caught can cost them their ham license.

1565. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 16:13:56 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <N7ydnW74v6_2WYnfRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103081

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
> news:5JKdnbKBvbCJRI_fRVn-pw@gbronline.com...
>
>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>>No where in my paper do I state that amateurs should broadcasting.
>>>>There are
>>>>some including the FCC who wishes to keep the service to where all you
>>>>do is
>>>>give a signal report, location, ect.
>>>
>>>
>>>You have some facts to back up that dumb statement? Have some official
>>>quotes from the FCC?
>>>
>>
>>I'm still waiting for something that shows the FCC wants to keep
>>communications on the ham bands to just signal reports and location.
>
>
>
> I don't know, that comment that Hollingsworth sent to me I think would
> constitute what your looking for.
> Todd N9OGL

Which is?

1566. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 16:30:17 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4214c734$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103082

I have no idea what you're saying there, Todd.

Packet can be ran on both VHF, UHF, and HF

Who decides how much time each system gets? If systems have to wait for
the frequency to be quiet, the existing systems could experience
"interference" if they can't get a byte in edgewise.

If you know anything about Packet...Packet runs on a "time-shifting" system.
A tnc will listen on the frequency and transmit when the frequency isn't
being used. This is called time-shifting.

> This guy and his
> little group were nothing more then assholes.

Well, that's *your* opinion...

It's not my opinion it a fact.

>Sure - but 1200 lives on as the most popular, right?

1200 baud is popular so is 300 baud on HF. look THC's can go the higher
speeds; there are TNC's out there that can do 14.4K and 56kb. The problem
isn't the TNC's; the problems is the radio. Radio's can not key up fast
enough that has always been the main problem with high speed packet.
> The
> problem with packet here was the user frequency was being over ran by
BBS's
> automatic fowarding and that's what drove off all the users. 1200
baud would
> work if the network was set up right.

You mean, set up the way you'd like.

It still seems to me that what you wanted was for the existing system
to see it your way, rather than creating a new system.

NO, Look I know the guy who ran and owned the majority of nodes here in
Illinois. He shut the system down because The BBS operators wouldn't move
their fowarding to the backbone system. Instead they were running it on the
Users frequency. (in Illinois there was only ONE USER FREQUENCY) So the BBS
were fowarding the vast majority of the time and it drove people out of
packet here in Illinois and they guy who owned the majority of the network
got pissed off about it and shut it down.SO NOW THERE IS NO PACKET IN
ILLINOIS!

>
> > > > > > You note that you look for a free space to transmit in. So

> > what?
> > > > > > K1MAN
> > > > > > doesn't. He opens up on whoever is on the frequency and
> > threatens
> > > > > > those
> > > > > > who don't move. How many more "free speech advocates" will
> > decide
> > > > > > that
> > > > > > anyone on "their frequency" is an infringement on their
free
> > > > > > speech?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Information Bulletins are legal no matter what you or anyone
> > > > > believes.
> > > > >
> > > > If the bulletins meet the specific criteria I outlined in
another
> > post,
> > > > they're legal.
> > > > >
> > > The problem is however, that there are amateur radio operators
who
> > feel that
> > > information bulletins which deal with amateur radio issues
shouldn't
> > be
> > > opinionated and it is those same amateurs operators when the
bulletin
> > is
> > > transmitting then begin jamming the Information bulletin because
they
> > feel
> > > the transmission is illegal.
> >
> > Jamming is an enforcement issue.
> >
> > > > > > Interference which K1MAN is doing is not legal.
> >
> > > > > Agreed!
> >
> > > > > > > I wonder if wattage limits are an infringement on a persons
> > free
> > > > > > > speech? Limiting it limits the number of people who can be
> > reached.
> >
> > > > > > > Suggestion that Lib net members use an alternative method
of
> > > > > > > getting
> > > > > > > their views out is not infringement of their free speech,

it is
> > a
> > > > > > suggestion. And not a bad one at that. No one is forcing
them
> > off
> > > > > > the air, just suggesting a better venue for their views.
> >
> > > > > The FCC shouldn't even suggest it.
> >
> > > > Yes, they should, if they see the content and behavior as
> > detrimental
> > > > > to the ARS. Which they do.
> >
> > > > > Again the FCC is barred from controlling
> > > > > the content of any station.
> >
> > > > That's simply not true.
> >
> > > yes and no Under Section 326 of the Communication Act the FCC is
> > barred to
> > > control the content of any station.
> >
> > I'll ask again: What exact verbiage says that?
>
> Well here's the rule read it for yourself
> (47 USC 326)
> • 326. Censorship
> Nothing in this chapter shall be understood or construed to give the
> Commission the power of censorship over the radio communications or
signals
> transmitted by any radio station, and no regulation or condition
shall be
> promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall interfere with the
right
> of free speech by means of radio communication.
>
OK, fine.

Now you have to define "free speech" and "censorship". Obviously the
FCC's and Supreme's definitions aren't the same as yours.

> > > the only content the FCC is allowed to
> > > control is obscene and indecent material and that's it.
> >
> > How about commercial content on the ham bands?
> >
> > How about using radio to help with the commission of crimes?
> >
> > Are those things allowed under 326?
>

> Those are under other rules and regulation.

Thank you for proving my point! The content and other restrictions of amateur radio are under other rules and regulations.

The only REAL content amateurs have that is restricted is Obscene and Indecent material and Commerical type messages.

> > > > > Again if they can have alternative perhaps ALL
> > > > > amateurs should move off the radio spectrum and uses the
> > > > > alternative....the Internet.
> >
> > > > For certain subjects, that's the right medium.
> > >
> > > > > > Death of Amateur Radio? Perhaps you have a bigger part than
you
> > > > > > realize........
> >
> > > > Interesting!
> > > >
> > > > In fact, we're starting to see what may be the "death of the
> > internet"
> > > > - or at least the death of its potential. Viruses, popups,
identity
> > > > theft and other shenanigans are causing many people I know to
> > become
> > > > disenchanted with it.
> >
> > > I really dout the internet will die.
> >
> > Me too. But I see its potential dying.
> >
> > > As a matter of fact Internet 2 is now
> > > out (well right now only some Universities (206 to be exact) and
> > government
> > > agencies have it...it will probably be commercialized in about
two to
> > three
> > > years.). Internet 2 will have a lot more applications and
downloading
> > will
> > > be faster. (people will be able to download a full length movie
> > within
> > > minutes instead of days) so I really dout the Internet will die
> > anytime
> > > soon.
> >
> > If "internet 2" catches on, it will replace the original.
> >
> > If you want different content than what is found on current amateur
> > packet,

> > why not provide it yourself? Not in competition with the forsale
folks,
> > but
> > on a different frequency or even band. With much higher speed and
more
> > features?
>
> Because the cost would be too much.

Then there's no way amateur radio can 'compete with the internet'. Nor
should it.

Then amateur's are doomed, Living with and uses outdated modes of
communication in a digital age aren't going to cut it because nobody will
want to go into a service that that's (useless Modes) is all their going to
get. Again Amateur's have to come up with something new to offer to get more
people into it.

> There is no packet network around here
> any more and the cost would be too high.

For whom?

The problem with any amateur network is that you're dependent upon
individuals or
small groups to put up stations that cost $$ but are mostly used by
others. So the
people who actually put the stations on the air want control over how
they are
used. Which is perfectly reasonable, isn't it?

Like I stated above the Packet network here in Illinois is gone there is no
Packet here in Illinois due to problem of BBS operators fowarding on the
user frequency.

> A let's not forget some competition
> in a service good be a good thing

If it costs too much, doesn't that mean the competition is lost?
K2ASP has described a system that is functioning *today* in his area.
Sounds pretty good. Betcha it didn't get built by people calling others
assholes.
What I was refering to when I'm talking about Competition is new idea's and
new modes of communication. I suggest you read my repond to him. As for that
asshole I was refering to wasn't even on packet. He was claiming
"Interference" to his station which was sitting 1.905MHZ away from the
packet frequency. Again he wasn't even on packet he was and is a ASSHOLE.
Todd N9OGL
73 de Jim, N2EY

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1567. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 17:29:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217122952.881$cp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Charlie wrote:
>
> > Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is limited by
> > comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....
> >
> And getting caught can cost them their ham license.
>
So can being struck by lightning. :P

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

1568. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 18:02:26 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960084B558994elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103084

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108637750.922635.205620
@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:Xns95FFBCCC59B3Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30:
>>
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108578593.250795.201100
>> > @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> >> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >>> Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino has
>> >>> fallen.
>> >>
>> >> How many countries does that make now, compared to those who still
>> >> have it?
>
>> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>> > It's getting a little difficult to keep track. However, I
>> > think at
>> > least the UK, Ireland, France, Germany, Belgium, the
>> > Netherlands,
>> > Luxembourg, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, Singapore,
>> > Australia,
>> > New Zealand, Papua Niugini, Hong Kong and South Africa have
>> > abolished the code test so far. I think that of these only Austria
>> > and the Netherlands even retain an entry level licence that doesn't
>> > give HF privileges.
>> >
>> > That's only 17 countries, but I expect I may have missed some > >
>> > out. I make the combined ham population of the above something over
>> > > > 260,000 (possibly more than half of them no-coders), so probably
>> > a little less
>> > than half the number of hams in the US.
>
> 260,000/670,000 = about 38.9%
>
>> > However, there are well over
>> > 50,000 hams in Canada, which is also likely to abolish the code test
>> > very soon.
>
> Yep. But there are two big points about Canada:

>
> 1) The proposal would increase the written test level
>
> 2) Commentary to the Canadian proposal showed a clear majority
> favored the change. That's not the case in the USA, in any survey done
> to date, nor in the commentary to FCC.
>
>> >
>> > Don't forget that Japan, with a ham population of 1.2 Million (twice
>> > that of the US, out of maybe a fifth of your general
>> > population), has
>> > long had a no-code HF licence, albeit limited to 10 Watts.
>
> Check your numbers!
>
> Japan has over 3.1 million operator licenses - but they cost nothing
> and never expire, so that number is really the number of ham operator
> licenses issued since 1955, not the number of present-day hams.
>
> Japanese *station* licenses are a bit over 600,000 now, and have been
> dropping for a decade.
>
> The number of new JA licenses has also been dropping.
>
> See the AH0A website.
>
>> > I'm not sure
>> > how many Japanese hams have a no-code HF licence,
>
> Well over 95%.
>
>> > but they may even
>> > rival all the new ones so far put together, although the new > >
>> > guys can use more than 10 Watts! It's probably only a matter of time
>> > before Japan lets all of their hams use HF anyway.
>
> All Japanese hams have HF privileges *today*. Been that way for
> decades.
>
> But for all classes of ham license except 4th class, JA hams have a
> code test. And there's no move to change that yet.
>
>> > Even without the low power Japanese stations, the number of
>> > no-coders who have full HF privileges right now is probably about
>> > the same as the
>> > number of no-code Techs in the US.
>> >
> Close enough.

And if there are already that number of no-code hams on HF without any incident, what is the problem with abolishing the code test here?

>>
>> That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
>
> OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
> and the number of countries.
>
> The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test
> because a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for
> itself.
>

Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish the code test.

> Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
> dropped code testing?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries

1569. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 18:40:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1108665611.010471.49400@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103085

> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108606123.450223.319640
> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> > Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino
has
> >> > fallen.
> >>
> >> How many countries does that make now, compared to those who still
> >> have it?
> >
> > A tiny fraction of the number of countries which are members of the
UN
> > and/or the ITU.
>
> About 10% as a matter of fact. A minority, but not quite my idea of a

> 'tiny' fraction. The point is, of course, that we are far from done
yet.
> Canada may be next.

One more out of 185 or so <snore>. Let's see here . . where's my
sliderule . . . if four "dominoes" per year fall, which is about the
current rate and the rate is maintained it'll be 2046 before the last
code test requirement is dropped.

<snore²>.

> > Note that most of the countries on Alun's list are
> > chunks of Old Europe and their syncophants where socialist gummint
> > giveaways are rampant compared with the rest of the world.
> >
>
> So you prefer totalitarian regimes instead?

Don't be silly and don't whine.

> > Nor does it include heavy hitters like the countries of the former
> > Soviet bloc, Brazil, India and China.
> >
>
> Those countries have large populations, but they don't they have the
> highest numbers of hams per head.

Nonsense. What government has made the code/nocode decision based on it's number of hams per capita?? None of course. My point is that governments make these kinds of decisions based on their culture-based inclinations in such matters. Which is to say that the former Soviets, Brazil, India and China are not socialist giveaway swamps like Sweden, France and the rest and are far less likely to quit the code tests. Which in turn brings up the question about how many billions of people will probably continue to live under regimes which require code tests vs the number who will not require code tests.

> > Gonna be a LOOONG time before the last code test disappears . .
> >
> >
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > w3rv
> >
> >
> I'm sure it will be a great comfort to you if the Russian Federation is the
> last to keep a code test. They are not my idea of anyone I would want to
> emulate, however.

But emulating Sweden is OK huh?

> n3kip

w3rv

1570. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 19:03:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108666987.002764.214120@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103086

Todd Daugherty wrote:
> I have no idea what you're saying there, Todd.
>
> Packet can be ran on both VHF, UHF, and HF
>
Ah! Now I understand.
>
> Who decides how much time each system gets? If systems have to wait
for
> the frequency to be quiet, the existing systems could experience
> "interference" if they can't get a byte in edgewise.
>
> If you know anything about Packet...Packet runs on a "time-shifting"
system.
> A tnc will listen on the frequency and transmit when the frequency
isn't
> being used. This is called time-shifting.

Of course.

Now suppose there are three stations on a frequency, each with about
the same level of traffic. Each will wind up with about 1/3 of the time
on the air.

But if a new station comes on frequency with a lot of stuff to send,
those existing stations will have to wait and wait for the frequency to
be quiet. While that's not "interference" in the classical QRM sense,
it *is* interference in the sense that throughput is reduced.

> > This guy and his
> > little group were nothing more then assholes.
>
> Well, that's *your* opinion...
>
> It's not my opinion it a fact.

It's an opinion, noting more. That's a fact! ;-)
>
> >Sure - but 1200 lives on as the most popular, right?
>
> 1200 baud is popular so is 300 baud on HF. look THC's can go the
higher
> speeds; there are TNC's out there that can do 14.4K and 56kb.

Of course!

> The problem
> isn't the TNC's; the problems is the radio. Radio's can not key up
fast
> enough that has always been the main problem with high speed packet.

So build new radios specifically for high speed packet. We're radio
amateurs, right? We're one of the few - maybe the only! - radio
services
that even *allow* licensees to build radios without a whole bunch of
certifications and such.

So what's the big deal about building your own highspeed packet radio
from scratch? I've built several HF rigs from scratch....
> > The
> > problem with packet here was the user frequency was being over ran
by
> > BBS's
> > automatic fowarding and that's what drove off all the users. 1200
> > baud would
> > work if the network was set up right.
>
> You mean, set up the way you'd like.
>
> It still seems to me that what you wanted was for the existing system
> to see it your way, rather than creating a new system.
>
> NO, Look I know the guy who ran and owned the majority of nodes here
in
> Illinois. He shut the system down because The BBS operators wouldn't
move
> their fowarding to the backbone system.

Why wouldn't they move it to the backbone system?

Isn't the "user frequency" for users? If so, it seems to me that the
forwarding should go by the backbone system. To do otherwise is
like putting the locals and expresses all on the same track, and
then complaining because there are so many late trains.

> Instead they were running it on the
> Users frequency. (in Illinois there was only ONE USER FREQUENCY) So
the BBS
> were fowarding the vast majority of the time and it drove people out
of
> packet here in Illinois and they guy who owned the majority of the
network
> got pissed off about it and shut it down.

Ah! Now I understand.

Why wouldn't the BBS folks use the backbone system? And do you blame
the
owner of the nodes for shutting them down? They're *his* nodes,

right?
He's got the responsibility for them, right?

> SO NOW THERE IS NO PACKET IN ILLINOIS!

That's sad. Is there no one in IL who will put up some nodes?

> > > > > > Again the FCC is barred from controlling
> > > > > > the content of any station.
> > >
> > > > > That's simply not true.
> > >
> > > > yes and no Under Section 326 of the Communication Act the FCC
is
> > > barred to
> > > > control the content of any station.
> > >
> > > I'll ask again: What exact verbiage says that?
> >
> > Well here's the rule read it for yourself
> > (47 USC 326)
> > § 326. Censorship
> > Nothing in this chapter shall be understood or construed to give
the
> > Commission the power of censorship over the radio communications or
> signals
> > transmitted by any radio station, and no regulation or condition
> shall be
> > promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall interfere with
the
> right
> > of free speech by means of radio communication.
> >
> OK, fine.
>
> Now you have to define "free speech" and "censorship". Obviously the
> FCC's and Supreme's definitions aren't the same as yours.
>
>
> > > > the only content the FCC is allowed to
> > > > control is obscene and indecent material and that's it.
> > >
> > > How about commercial content on the ham bands?
> > >
> > > How about using radio to help with the commission of crimes?
> > >
> > > Are those things allowed under 326?
> >
> > Those are under other rules and regulation.
>
> Thank you for proving my point! The content and other restrictions of

> amateur radio are under other rules and regulations.
>
> The only REAL content amateurs have that is restricted is Obscene and
> Indecent material and Commerical type messages.

But who decides what is obscene and indecent?
>
> > > > > > Again if they can have alternative perhaps ALL
> > > > > > amateurs should move off the radio spectrum and uses the
> > > > > > alternative....the Internet.
> > >
> > > > > For certain subjects, that's the right medium.
> > > >
> > > > > > > Death of Amateur Radio? Perhaps you have a bigger part
than
> you
> > > > > > > realize........
> > >
> > > > > Interesting!
> > > > >
> > > > > In fact, we're starting to see what may be the "death of the
> > > internet"
> > > > > - or at least the death of its potential. Viruses, popups,
> identity
> > > > > theft and other shenanigans are causing many people I know to
> > > become
> > > > > disenchanted with it.
> > >
> > > I really dout the internet will die.
> > >
> > Me too. But I see its potential dying.
> >
> > > As a matter of fact Internet 2 is now
> > > out (well right now only some Universities (206 to be exact)
and
> > government
> > > agencies have it...it will probably be commercialized in about
> two to
> > three
> > > years.). Internet 2 will have a lot more applications and
> downloading
> > will
> > > be faster. (people will be able to download a full length movie
> > within
> > > minutes instead of days) so I really dout the Internet will die
> > anytime
> > > soon.
> >
> > If "internet 2" catches on, it will replace the original.
> >

> > > If you want different content than what is found on current
amateur
> > > packet,
> > > why not provide it yourself? Not in competition with the forsale
> folks,
> > > but
> > > on a different frequency or even band. With much higher speed and
> more
> > > features?
> >
> > Because the cost would be too much.
>
> Then there's no way amateur radio can 'compete with the internet'.
Nor
> should it.
>
> Then amateur's are doomed, Living with and uses outdated modes of
> communication in a digital age aren't going to cut it because nobody
will
> want to go into a service that that's (useless Modes) is all their
going to
> get.

What "useless modes"?

> Again Amateur's have to come up with something new to offer to get
more
> people into it.
>
Oddly enough, most of the new hams I know are fascinated by both the
old and
the new.

> > There is no packet network around here
> > any more and the cost would be too high.
>
> For whom?
>
> The problem with any amateur network is that you're dependent upon
> individuals or
> small groups to put up stations that cost $$ but are mostly used by
> others. So the
> people who actually put the stations on the air want control over how
> they are
> used. Which is perfectly reasonable, isn't it?
>
> Like I stated above the Packet network here in Illinois is gone there
is no
> Packet here in Illinois due to problem of BBS operators fowarding on
the
> user frequency.

That's not a cost issue; it's an organization issue.
>
> > A let's not forget some competition
> > in a service good be a good thing
>
> If it costs too much, doesn't that mean the competition is lost?
> K2ASP has described a system that is functioning *today* in his area.
> Sounds pretty good. Betcha it didn't get built by people calling
others
> assholes.
> What I was refering to when I'm talking about Competition is new
idea's and
> new modes of communication. I suggest you read my repond to him.

Will do. But it seems to me that if you want new modes, you should be
leading the way, not demanding others do it.

> As for that
> *asshole* I was refering to wasn't even on packet. He was claiming
> "Interference" to his station which was sitting 1.905MHZ away from
the
> packet frequency. Again he wasn't even on packet he was and is a
ASSHOLE.

Or maybe he's just ignorant of what a good receiver can do...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1571. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 19:16:35 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cv2qip$uh8$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103087

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Charles Brabham wrote:
>
>><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>news:1108481168.989536.220310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>It seems to me that one of the limitations of amateur packet radio
>
> is
>
>>>that
>>>it hasn't evolved much past the 1200 baud/BBS mindset of 20+ years
>
> ago.
>
>>>Heck,
>>>even trailingedge computer types like me have been running 56k
>
> dialup
>
>>>modems for almost a decade!
>>
>>Does your 56kb dialup modem work pretty good with your 2-meter rig?
>
> Wow! -
>
>>Why didn't we BBS operators think of this YEARS ago!
>
>
> Ya missed the point, Charles.
>
> 1200 baud packet is a make-do, chosen to be quick and cheap. All you
> have to do is interface to an FM voice radio.
>
> Getting a significant improvement in bandwidth would mean actually
> *building radios* designed for the purpose. Which simply hasn't
> happened in large numbers.

Arrgh. I havn't thought much about it, but yes, you are right. A rig
with both fetures could be designed without too much trouble.

> Kind of a sad commentary. When SSB became popular in amateur radio,
> hams built entire transmitters, receivers and transceivers for the
> mode. Once its popularity was established, manufacturers followed.

> When VHF/UHF FM voice became popular in amateur radio, there was a mix
> of homebrew and converted-land-mobile equipment used by hams. Once its
> popularity was established, manufacturers followed.
>
> But from what I can see, the packet folks aren't much interested in
> *building radios* from scratch. That's why the old standards are still
> in use.

Bingo! - mike KB3EIA -

1572. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 19:20:16 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cv2qpg$uh8$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103088

bb wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino has
>
> fallen.
>
>>
>> Wouldn't it make a country proud to emulate South Africa?
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Don't go getting ethnocentric on us, Mike. Not very liberal-like. Or
> maybe it is. They're the ones always counting how many blacks,
> hispanics, american eskimos, cajuns, etc there are.

Ethnocentricity is at the core of the problem there, not me going
ethnocentric.

- mike KB3EIA -

1573. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 19:54:15 UTC
From: Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com>
Message-ID: <n1t9111uj2lft9b091iln4ivj9qh2dne2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 103090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:57:19 -0800, Justï¿½n Kï¿½se
<chupacabra@operamail.com> wrote:

>In Message-ID:<90d811pf8i4j0kk4govssor57bsb1qhq77@4ax.com> posted on
>Thu, 17 Feb 2005 01:14:14 -0500, Ham Guy wrote:
>
>>But having a ham license gives freebanders access to equipment that
>>normally would not be available to unlicensed individuals. Some
>>retailers will not sell gear to unlicensed persons, nor will some
>>hamfests award prizes to those who hold no license, offering an
>>equivalent cash value instead.
>
>Where does that idyllic situation exist?
>Many "Ham" shops will sell anything to anyone, if cash is flashed,
>regardless of whether they hold an appropriate license for its use.

AES in Wickliffe, OH did this for a while in the late 1980s, but they
may have discontinued the practice.
There was also a hamfest in Pittsburgh that had a license requirement
to claim any radio prizes. If you didn't have a license, you got cash.

1574. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 20:08:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108669497.038819.103880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103089

Date: Wed, Feb 16 2005 4:30 pm
From: "bb"

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>>
>> > > No doubt you have many excuses, Brian. Get your problems
fixed...soon.
>> > >
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> > Nurse, heal thyself.
>>
>> I'm not ill nor injured.
>
>There's an ongoing debate about that. Some think both.

Tsk, tsk. Stebe bin digging into the Sharps too deep again... :-)

>> You, however, have a credibilty issue that needs to be repaired.
>
>My credit is just fine. Actually, it's exceptional.

Stebe (the Avenging Angle...always a "right" angle) is into
"credible."
One might even say he is INcredible! :-)

>> Telling the truth and distancing yourself from other persons
known
>> to lie and deceive is a good start. (Not that he's been able to
figure
>> out how to "join" us since AOL dropped it's newsgroup reader)
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve, perhaps you'd like to mentor Len in the joys of googling?

Heh heh heh. Pour lil Stebie (he musta "poured" hisself one too
many)
can't keep track of time. AOL shut off newsgroups on Feb 9, a
Wednesday.
I last posted on 14 Feb 05 via Google. :-)

Tsk, he "sees" things that aren't there...and can't see things that
are!

Hmmm...who say "lie and deceive?" Must be Stebie...to himself.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1575. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 20:13:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217151318.267$FL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com> wrote:
> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:57:19 -0800, Just&#65533;n K&#65533;se
> <chupacabra@operamail.com> wrote:
>
> >In Message-ID:<90d811pf8i4j0kk4govssor57bsb1qhq77@4ax.com> posted on
> >Thu, 17 Feb 2005 01:14:14 -0500, Ham Guy wrote:
> >
> >>But having a ham license gives freebanders access to equipment that
> >>normally would not be available to unlicensed individuals. Some
> >>retailers will not sell gear to unlicensed persons, nor will some
> >>hamfests award prizes to those who hold no license, offering an
> >>equivalent cash value instead.
> >
> >Where does that idyllic situation exist?
> >Many "Ham" shops will sell anything to anyone, if cash is flashed,
> >regardless of whether they hold an appropriate license for its use.
>
> AES in Wickliffe, OH did this for a while in the late 1980s, but they
> may have discontinued the practice.
> There was also a hamfest in Pittsburgh that had a license requirement
> to claim any radio prizes. If you didn't have a license, you got cash.
>
They'll take a check or credit card too. It's not at all difficult
to buy whatever you desire, license or not.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

1576. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 20:41:11 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Hj7Rd.5453$VI5.5103@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

```
>
> They'll take a check or credit card too. It's not at all difficult
> to buy whatever you desire, license or not.
>

AFAIK, there's no rule requiring a license to BUY
equipment. There are rules about using it though.....
```

1577. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 20:46:47 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Xo7Rd.5454$VI5.3301@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

>
> I doubt if the hams who freeband are dumb enough to use their calls
> there (but you never know). But I wouldn't be surprised to learn that
> they are "recognized" there by other people who turn them in.
>
Some might ID by mistake out of habit before they realize that
they are out of band.....

WOuld it be illegal to use my callsign as my "cb handle" on
CB using legal CB equipment? Or would I be using my ham
license out of band? Not that anyone would really care, but....

>
>
>>| If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
>>| detrimental.
>>
>>Freebanders don't generally ID themselves at all. To actually track
>>one down would be a lot harder.
>
>
> Yes, I agree. It just seems funny that the latest freeband bust seem
> to be hams running out of band over unlicensed operation.
>

I've heard second hand of hams, bored of regular ham bands,
doing "freeband" for a change of pace.

1578. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 20:57:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217155757.774$q2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >
> > They'll take a check or credit card too. It's not at all difficult
> > to buy whatever you desire, license or not.
> >
>
> AFAIK, there's no rule requiring a license to BUY
> equipment. There are rules about using it though.....
>
Yes sir, as it should be.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

1579. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 20:59:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217155947.265$FQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
-snip-
>> WOuld it be illegal to use my callsign as my "cb handle" on
> CB using legal CB equipment? pace.
>
Nope.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

1580. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 21:48:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4215112F.A6EA50D0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103096

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> Date: Wed, Feb 16 2005 4:30 pm
> From: "bb"
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:

> Heh heh heh. Pour lil Stebie (he musta "poured" hisself one too
> many)
> can't keep track of time. AOL shut off newsgroups on Feb 9, a
> Wednesday.
> I last posted on 14 Feb 05 via Google. :-)

I remember your post and the date--sort of an inverse Valentine
greeting.

Dave K8MN

1581. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 22:50:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108680641.821893.323650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103097

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > >
> > > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1108424935.928355.43140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > >>Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >>
> > > > >>If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX.
>
> You seem to be having attribution problems, "bb". I did not write
the
> above.
>
> Dave K8MN

David, I have no attribution problem. I know who said what. bb

1582. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 22:57:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108681031.165722.9930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103098

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > robert casey wrote:
> > >
> > > > > The FCC doesn't want to end up doing this sort of micro-
> > > > > management. It's better if hams decide (via ARRL or such
> > > > > group) what subbands are where. And if something needs to
> > > > > change it doesn't take years to do it. The FCC acknowledges
> > > > > such as "good amateur practice".
> > > >
> > > > Riley says that "Good Amateur Practice" is enforceable.
> > > >
> > > > No one here believes it, though.
> > >
> > > Who doesn't believe it, Brain?
> > >
> > > Quotes? Posts?
> > >
> > > I know for a fact that Dave Heil, Jim Miccolis and myself
have
> > all
> > > > stated that FCC policy has been to minimize enforcement action in
> > lieu
> > > > of self-policing, but has and will continue to issue citations to
> > those
> > > > who violate established band plans, etc, when required to do so.
> > >
> > > Now...WHO doesn't believe that "Good Amateur Practice" isn't
> > > enforceable?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Simple. All those who think that communicating with out of band
> > frenchmen is good amateur practice.
>
> You've not answered my question, Brian.

I did, even though I'm not required to.

1583. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 23:02:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108681364.983807.221130@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103099

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > The ham Chat Room is picking up steam...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Toot toot.
> > > > >
> > > > > Somehow the vision of you sitting in a tub of Mr Bubble
> > > pushing
> > > > a
> > > > > toy tugboat around going "toot toot" seems to fit. Why am I
> not
> > > > > surprised?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > You're not suprised because it is your little vision.
> > > >
> > > > It has nothing to do with me.
> > >
> > > It has EVERYTHING to do with you, Brian. It has to do with
> your
> > > childish adherence to lies you've been caught in and your
misplaced
> > > loyalty to a known pathological liar, cheat and proprietary
thief.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > No, Steve, it doesn't. I've never played out your little fantasy
of
> > tugboats in the bathtub. Saying that I have makes you the liar.
>
> I didn't say you have, Brian, and you are more than welcome to
> repost the comments wherein you think I said you DID...

>
> I said the "VISION" of you doing it made sense.

How can you have vision of something that doesn't exist?

> It still does.
>
> > Get a grip before you lose yourself in a fit of rage then go
> catatonic on us.
>
> Speaking of a "fit of rage", you really need to go back and read
> your own post there, Skippy.

And we complain about "Re: Len's terms of endearment." Hi!

> There's no "rage" in my part. Just overt laughter at the idea
of
> you playing with your little tugboat going "toot toot".
>
> > Best of luck.
>
> No luck needed. You supplied everthing I needed...Again.
Thanks.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You need lots of luck. You see things that aren't there.

1584. Re: IOTA: Hams vs Enviromentalists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 23:13:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108682025.973050.272230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103100

island@hopper.spam wrote:
>
http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/c/a/2005/02/17/FARALLON.TMP&type=printable
>
> But scientists who study seabirds and other species on the islands 28
> miles off the coast of San Francisco -- which some call "California's
> Galapagos" -- said even limited public visits could have serious
> effects on populations of birds and marine mammals that have
rebounded
> since access to the islands by humans was strictly limited in 1969.

Limited to bearded, birkenstock wearing F&W or non-profit biologists as
a private playground.

> Critics of the bill also warn that it could be precedent setting,
> allowing not just ham radio operators but birders and others to visit
> the main island, which is less than a quarter of a square mile in
area
> and is densely packed with seabirds and other marine life.

Maybe they'll get white spots on their LL Bean hats.

1585. Re: Hams vs Enviromentalists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 23:23:21 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <pvidnWMXhLzVt4jfRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 103103

<island@hopper.spam> wrote in message
news:gt6a11p069k2iohsec5hnrg06l20pd0004@4ax.com...
>
http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/c/a/2005/02/17/FARALLON.TMP&type=printable
>
> But scientists who study seabirds and other species on the islands 28
> miles off the coast of San Francisco -- which some call "California's
> Galapagos" -- said even limited public visits could have serious
> effects on populations of birds and marine mammals that have rebounded
> since access to the islands by humans was strictly limited in 1969.
>
> Critics of the bill also warn that it could be precedent setting,
> allowing not just ham radio operators but birders and others to visit
> the main island, which is less than a quarter of a square mile in area
> and is densely packed with seabirds and other marine life.
>

There's a simple solution and that is to put a quota on the number of annual
visitors. Simply make them "stand in line" for reservations.

1586. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 23:26:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108682773.696938.199140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103101

Dave Heil wrote:
> "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
> >
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108606123.450223.319640
> > @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > >> > Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino
has
> > >> > fallen.
> > >>
> > >> How many countries does that make now, compared to those who
still
> > >> have it?
> > >
> > > A tiny fraction of the number of countries which are members of
the UN
> > > and/or the ITU.
> >
> > About 10% as a matter of fact. A minority, but not quite my idea of
a
> > 'tiny' fraction.
>
> Ten percent is a tiny fraction when compared to 100%.

It is 1/10. You could compare it to 10/10, but then you'd defeat the
purpose of showing it as a ratio.

1587. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 23:34:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108683253.776027.46540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103102

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > No doubt you have many excuses, Brian. Get your
problems
> > > > > > fixed...soon.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > Nurse, heal thyself.
> > > >
> > > I'm not ill nor injured.
> > >
> > There's an ongoing debate about that. Some think both.
> >
> There's no debate at all.

But there is.

> Just snide comments from two antagonists who themselves have
> serious credibility and ethical issues yet unresolved.

Snide or not, you have issues.

> > > You, however, have a credibilty issue that needs to be
> repaired.
> > >
> > My credit is just fine. Actually, it's exceptional.
> >
> I am sure your credit is fine. Your credibilty is not.

I never noticed.

> > > Telling the truth and distancing yourself from other persons
> > known
> > > to lie and deceive is a good start. (Not that he's been able to
> > figure
> > > out how to "join" us since AOL dropped it's newsgroup reader)
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, perhaps you'd like to mentor Len in the joys of googling?
> >
> Nope. Things are just fine without him. Long may they last.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

Then your audience is down 50% (that's 1/2 for K8MN).

1588. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-17 23:37:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108683432.363173.282760@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103104

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Date: Wed, Feb 16 2005 4:30 pm
> From: "bb"
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >>
> >> > > No doubt you have many excuses, Brian. Get your
problems
> fixed...soon.
> >> > >
> >> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> > Nurse, heal thyself.
> >>
> >> I'm not ill nor injured.
> >
> >There's an ongoing debate about that. Some think both.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Stebe bin digging into the Sharps too deep again... :-)

He's getting ready to have an "episode."

> >> You, however, have a credibilty issue that needs to be
repaired.
> >
> >My credit is just fine. Actually, it's exceptional.
>
> Stebe (the Avenging Angle...always a "right" angle) is into
> "credible."
> One might even say he is INcredible! :-)

I do.

> >> Telling the truth and distancing yourself from other persons
> known
> >> to lie and deceive is a good start. (Not that he's been able to
> figure
> >> out how to "join" us since AOL dropped it's newsgroup reader)
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Steve, perhaps you'd like to mentor Len in the joys of googling?
>

> Heh heh heh. Pour lil Stebie (he musta "poured" hisself one too
> many)
> can't keep track of time. AOL shut off newsgroups on Feb 9, a
> Wednesday.
> I last posted on 14 Feb 05 via Google. :-)

Him making statements like that could damage his credibility.

> Tsk, he "sees" things that aren't there...and can't see things
that
> are!

That would present problems for most people.

> Hmmm...who say "lie and deceive?" Must be Stebie...to himself.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Better go easy. He might start yelling at hisself.

1589. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 00:04:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108683597.885013.156540@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103105

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > Date: Wed, Feb 16 2005 4:30 pm
> > From: "bb"
> >
> > >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> bb wrote:
>
> > Heh heh heh. Pour lil Stebie (he musta "poured" hisself one too
> > many)
> > can't keep track of time. AOL shut off newsgroups on Feb 9, a
> > Wednesday.
> > I last posted on 14 Feb 05 via Google. :-)
>
> I remember your post and the date--sort of an inverse Valentine
> greeting.
>
> Dave K8MN

Ah, yes. The inverse rule.

Is that like ten percent being compared to one hundred percent?

Would it work out better if one hundred percent were compared to ten
percent?

1590. Re: IOTA: Hams vs Enviromentalists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 01:13:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8ZudnQf574e53IjfRVn-3w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103106

bb wrote:
> island@hopper.spam wrote:
>
>
http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/c/a/2005/02/17/FARALLON.TMP&type=printable
>
>>But scientists who study seabirds and other species on the islands 28
>>miles off the coast of San Francisco -- which some call "California's
>>Galapagos" -- said even limited public visits could have serious
>>effects on populations of birds and marine mammals that have
>
> rebounded
>
>>since access to the islands by humans was strictly limited in 1969.
>
>
> Limited to bearded, birkenstock wearing F&W or non-profit biologists as
> a private playground.

But if they don't wear Birkenstock's? Do they have to be Demoncrats and
gay marriage proponents? Voted for Kerry and in favor of child molestation?

Seriously though, I agree with you that some people have a selfish take
on the issue, but gee whiz Brian, you have the camps separated so well!
It just isn't that black and white. You have good points, but if you
wish to do a limbaugh on everything, it hurts your position.

But back to the issue at hand, it would not be all that difficult to
have the amateurs trained to minimize the impact on the birds and other
critters.

If "A single person can cause a great amount of damage even before they
know they are doing it", then well, those birds and sea lions and
whatever are going to go extinct on the PDQ regardless of wherther
humans visit the island or not.

>>Critics of the bill also warn that it could be precedent setting,
>>allowing not just ham radio operators but birders and others to visit
>>the main island, which is less than a quarter of a square mile in
>
> area
>
>>and is densely packed with seabirds and other marine life.
>
>
> Maybe they'll get white spots on their LL Bean hats.

Wouldn't it be terrible to allow birders on the island? Birders are a known source of bird extinction, especially since they hate birds so much.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

1591. Re: International Ham Radio Exam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 02:04:15 UTC
From: Lloyd <anon@lloydlabtest.org>
Message-ID: <cv3ico$3oi$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A few countries already issue the International Amateur Radio
License (IARL). I hold an IARL from Chile.

73,

Lloyd

"Airy R.Bean" <Me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:37jn7fF5epqo1U1@individual.net...
> It has been let slip that discussions are underway to investigate the
> possibility of an international exam for Ham Radio.
>
> Now, it seems to me, that such discussions should not be
> conducted by clandestine individuals (who may deceive
> themselves into thinking that they are somehow important)
> but should be brought right out into the open.
>
> In consideration that Ham Radio is essentially a technical
> pursuit (as distinct from CB Radio in its various guises, all
> of which are identifiable from the use of shop-bought-and-
> repaired rigs), what should such an exam have as its syllabus?
> Should such a syllabus represent the way in which Ham Radio
> is slowly going down the drain?.....
>
> 1. Elementary electrical circuits - R, L & C, configurations
> in parallel, series and evaluations of resonance thereto.
> 2. Elementary active devices plus Class A, B, C & D amplifiers.
> 3. Oscillator circuits (although we might drop "Hartley" these days!)
> 4. Logic gates and digital counters.
> 5. Computer programming in assembler.
> 6. Mixers and multipliers.
> 7. How to negotiate with a CB shop to get 10% off the purchase
> price.
> 8. How to whinge that Morse Code is irrelevant.
> 9. (Especially for the M3/CB Fool's Licence or the Technician/Novice
> licensee) - How to SWAAAAARRRR the twig?
> 10. How to sneer at those who promote technical excellence but without
> revealing one's own nugatory vacuousness?
> 11. The correct jargon to use, "My personal is.....", "What's your 20?",
> etc.
>
>

1592. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 03:03:39 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9600E079ECE09elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103108

kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108665611.010471.49400
@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108606123.450223.319640
>> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> > Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino
>> >> > has fallen.
>> >>
>> >> How many countries does that make now, compared to those who still
>> >> have it?
>> >
>> > A tiny fraction of the number of countries which are members of the
>> > UN and/or the ITU.
>>
>> About 10% as a matter of fact. A minority, but not quite my idea of a
>
>> 'tiny' fraction. The point is, of course, that we are far from done
>> yet. Canada may be next.
>
> One more out of 185 or so <snore>. Let's see here . . where's my
> sliderule . . . if four "dominoes" per year fall, which is about the
> current rate and the rate is maintained it'll be 2046 before the last
> code test requirement is dropped.
>

Most of those other 170+ are pretty small, although you choose to mention
the big ones by name of course.

> <snore>.
>
>> > Note that most of the countries on Alun's list are
>> > chunks of Old Europe and their syncophants where socialist gummint
>> > giveaways are rampant compared with the rest of the world.
>> >
>>
>> So you prefer totalitarian regimes instead?
>
> Don't be silly and don't whine.

What you call Old Europe isn't socialist by any rational standards, unless
you are an extreme neo-con republican? I'll take that as a yes.

>
>> > Nor does it include heavy hitters like the countries of the former
>> > Soviet bloc, Brazil, India and China.
>> >
>>
>> Those countries have large populations, but they don't they have the
>> highest numbers of hams per head.
>
> Nonsense. What government has made the code/nocode decision based on
> it's number of hams per capita?? None of course.

Nor did I say they did

> My point is that
> governments make these kinds of decisions based on their culture-based
> inclinations in such matters. Which is to say that the former Soviets,
> Brazil, India and China are not socialist giveaway swamps like Sweden,
> France and the rest and are far less likely to quit the code tests.

Last time I checked France and Sweden were capitalist countries and China
was socialist. As for Russia, the old communists seem to be taking over
again, albeit they don't actually care what system they rule over, nor ever
did, IMHO.

> Which in turn brings up the question about how many billions of people
> will probably continue to live under regimes which require code tests
> vs the number who will not require code tests.
>
>> > Gonna be a LOOONG time before the last code test disappears . .
>> >
>> >
>> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> > w3rv
>> >
>> >
>> I'm sure it will be a great comfort to you if the Russian Federation
>> is the last to keep a code test. They are not my idea of anyone I
>> would want to emulate, however.
>
> But emulating Sweden is OK huh?
>
>> n3kip
>
> w3rv
>
>

Sure, why not?

1593. Re: IOTA: Hams vs Enviromentalists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 03:15:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108696533.476683.11980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103109

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > island@hopper.spam wrote:
> >
> >
http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/c/a/2005/02/17/FARALLON.TMP&type=printable
> >
> >>But scientists who study seabirds and other species on the islands
28
> >>miles off the coast of San Francisco -- which some call
"California's
> >>Galapagos" -- said even limited public visits could have serious
> >>effects on populations of birds and marine mammals that have
> >
> > rebounded
> >
> >>since access to the islands by humans was strictly limited in 1969.
> >
> >
> > Limited to bearded, birkenstock wearing F&W or non-profit
biologists as
> > a private playground.
>
> But if they don't wear Birkenstock's? Do they have to be Demoncrats
and
> gay marriage proponents? Voted for Kerry and in favor of child
molestation?

And Vegan Rights!

> Seriously though, I agree with you that some people have a selfish
take
> on the issue, but gee whiz Brian, you have the camps separated so
well!
> It just isn't that black and white. You have good points, but if you
> wish to do a limbaugh on everything, it hurts your position.

When you're already being denied access, does it really matter?

> But back to the issue at hand, it would not be all that difficult to
> have the amateurs trained to minimize the impact on the birds and
other
> critters.

Ayup. And if the IOTAdition members held hands and looked to the east,
they just might be able to create world peace.

> If "A single person can cause a great amount of damage even before
they
> know they are doing it", then well, those birds and sea lions and
> whatever are going to go extinct on the PDQ regardless of wherther
> humans visit the island or not.

Indeed. They are more than prepared to deny any request based upon the
unknown.

> >>Critics of the bill also warn that it could be precedent setting,
> >>allowing not just ham radio operators but birders and others to
visit
> >>the main island, which is less than a quarter of a square mile in
> >
> > area
> >
> >>and is densely packed with seabirds and other marine life.
> >
> >
> > Maybe they'll get white spots on their LL Bean hats.
>
> Wouldn't it be terrible to allow birders on the island? Birders are
a
> known source of bird extinction, especially since they hate birds so
> much.....
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Huh?

1594. Re: Hams vs Enviromentalists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 03:17:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108696623.479850.142700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103110

Dee Flint wrote:
> <island@hopper.spam> wrote in message
> news:gt6a11p069k2iohsec5hnrg06l20pd0004@4ax.com...
> >
http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/c/a/2005/02/17/FARALLON.TMP&type=printable
> >
> > But scientists who study seabirds and other species on the islands
28
> > miles off the coast of San Francisco -- which some call
"California's
> > Galapagos" -- said even limited public visits could have serious
> > effects on populations of birds and marine mammals that have
rebounded
> > since access to the islands by humans was strictly limited in 1969.
> >
> > Critics of the bill also warn that it could be precedent setting,
> > allowing not just ham radio operators but birders and others to
visit
> > the main island, which is less than a quarter of a square mile in
area
> > and is densely packed with seabirds and other marine life.
> >
>
> There's a simple solution and that is to put a quota on the number of
annual
> visitors. Simply make them "stand in line" for reservations.

Run it like an Elk hunt. Take applications, have a lottery.

Oh, yeh, big fees.

1595. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 04:18:39 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <42156d3f$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103111

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1108666987.002764.214120@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

Todd Daugherty wrote:
> I have no idea what you're saying there, Todd.
>
> Packet can be ran on both VHF, UHF, and HF
>
Ah! Now I understand.
>
> Who decides how much time each system gets? If systems have to wait
for
> the frequency to be quiet, the existing systems could experience
> "interference" if they can't get a byte in edgewise.
>
> If you know anything about Packet...Packet runs on a "time-shifting"
system.
> A tnc will listen on the frequency and transmit when the frequency
isn't
> being used. This is called time-shifting.

Of course.

Now suppose there are three stations on a frequency, each with about
the same level of traffic. Each will wind up with about 1/3 of the time
on the air.

But if a new station comes on frequency with a lot of stuff to send,
those existing stations will have to wait and wait for the frequency to
be quiet. While that's not "interference" in the classical QRM sense,
it *is* interference in the sense that throughput is reduced.

That's what on VHF here in Illinois So much qrm and interference from
fowarding.

> > This guy and his
> > little group were nothing more then assholes.
>
> Well, that's *your* opinion...
>
> It's not my opinion it a fact.

It's an opinion, noting more. That's a fact! ;-)
>
> >Sure - but 1200 lives on as the most popular, right?
>

> 1200 baud is popular so is 300 baud on HF. look THC's can go the
higher
> speeds; there are TNC's out there that can do 14.4K and 56kb.

Of course!

> The problem
> isn't the TNC's; the problems is the radio. Radio's can not key up
fast
> enough that has always been the main problem with high speed packet.

So build new radios specifically for high speed packet. We're radio
amateurs, right? We're one of the few - maybe the only! - radio
services
that even *allow* licensees to build radios without a whole bunch of
certifications and such.

So what's the big deal about building your own highspeed packet radio
from scratch? I've built several HF rigs from scratch....

better yet idea is to figure out how those wireless cards operate and a way
to convert them into the ham bands.

> > The
> > problem with packet here was the user frequency was being over ran
by
> > BBS's
> > automatic fowarding and that's what drove off all the users. 1200
> > baud would
> > work if the network was set up right.
>
> You mean, set up the way you'd like.
>
> It still seems to me that what you wanted was for the existing system
> to see it your way, rather than creating a new system.
>
> NO, Look I know the guy who ran and owned the majority of nodes here
in
> Illinois. He shut the system down because The BBS operators wouldn't
move
> their fowarding to the backbone system.

Why wouldn't they move it to the backbone system?

I really have no idea but I have a system that would resolve all those
problems.

Isn't the "user frequency" for users? If so, it seems to me that the
forwarding should go by the backbone system. To do otherwise is
like putting the locals and expresses all on the same track, and
then complaining because there are so many late trains.

So true.

> Instead they were running it on the
> Users frequency. (in Illinois there was only ONE USER FREQUENCY) So
the BBS
> were fowarding the vast majority of the time and it drove people out
of
> packet here in Illinois and they guy who owned the majority of the
network
> got pissed off about it and shut it down.

Ah! Now I understand.

Why wouldn't the BBS folks use the backbone system? And do you blame
the
owner of the nodes for shutting them down? They're *his* nodes,
right?
He's got the responsibility for them, right?

> SO NOW THERE IS NO PACKET IN ILLINOIS!

That's sad. Is there no one in IL who will put up some nodes?

No one wants to get back into packet...to them packet is dead and a useless
system (this idea is based on how the network was set up)

> > > > > > Again the FCC is barred from controlling
> > > > > > the content of any station.
> > >
> > > > > That's simply not true.
> > >
> > > > yes and no Under Section 326 of the Communication Act the FCC
is
> > > barred to
> > > > control the content of any station.
> > >
> > > I'll ask again: What exact verbiage says that?
> >
> > Well here's the rule read it for yourself
> > (47 USC 326)
> > • 326. Censorship
> > Nothing in this chapter shall be understood or construed to give
the
> > Commission the power of censorship over the radio communications or
> signals
> > transmitted by any radio station, and no regulation or condition
> shall be
> > promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall interfere with
the
> right
> > of free speech by means of radio communication.
> >
> OK, fine.
>

> Now you have to define "free speech" and "censorship". Obviously the
> FCC's and Supreme's definitions aren't the same as yours.
>
>
> > > > the only content the FCC is allowed to
> > > > control is obscene and indecent material and that's it.
> > >
> > > How about commercial content on the ham bands?
> > >
> > > How about using radio to help with the commission of crimes?
> > >
> > > Are those things allowed under 326?
> >
> > Those are under other rules and regulation.
>
> Thank you for proving my point! The content and other restrictions of
> amateur radio are under other rules and regulations.
>
> The only REAL content amateurs have that is restricted is Obscene and
> Indecent material and Commerical type messages.

But who decides what is obscene and indecent?

The Supreme court pretty much defined obscene and in indecent in MillerVs
California, it's that three prong that the courts and the govenment looks
at.

>
> > > > > > Again if they can have alternative perhaps ALL
> > > > > > amateurs should move off the radio spectrum and uses the
> > > > > > alternative....the Internet.
> > >
> > > > > For certain subjects, that's the right medium.
> > > >
> > > > > > > Death of Amateur Radio? Perhaps you have a bigger part
than
> you
> > > > > > > realize........
> > >
> > > > > Interesting!
> > > > >
> > > > > In fact, we're starting to see what may be the "death of the
> > > internet"
> > > > > - or at least the death of its potential. Viruses, popups,
> identity
> > > > > theft and other shenanigans are causing many people I know to
> > > become
> > > > > disenchanted with it.
> > >
> > > I really dout the internet will die.
> > >

> > > Me too. But I see its potential dying.
> > >
> > > > As a matter of fact Internet 2 is now
> > > > out (well right now only some Universities (206 to be exact)
and
> > > government
> > > > agencies have it...it will probably be commercialized in about
> two to
> > > three
> > > > years.). Internet 2 will have a lot more applications and
> downloading
> > > will
> > > > be faster. (people will be able to download a full length movie
> > > within
> > > > minutes instead of days) so I really dout the Internet will die
> > > anytime
> > > > soon.
> > >
> > > If "internet 2" catches on, it will replace the original.
> > >
> > > If you want different content than what is found on current
amateur
> > > packet,
> > > why not provide it yourself? Not in competition with the forsale
> folks,
> > > but
> > > on a different frequency or even band. With much higher speed and
> more
> > > features?
> >
> > Because the cost would be too much.
>
> Then there's no way amateur radio can 'compete with the internet'.
Nor
> should it.
>
> Then amateur's are doomed, Living with and uses outdated modes of
> communication in a digital age aren't going to cut it because nobody
will
> want to go into a service that that's (useless Modes) is all their
going to
> get.

What "useless modes"?

> Again Amateur's have to come up with something new to offer to get
more
> people into it.
>
Oddly enough, most of the new hams I know are fascinated by both the
old and

the new.

> > There is no packet network around here
> > any more and the cost would be too high.
>
> For whom?
>
> The problem with any amateur network is that you're dependent upon
> individuals or
> small groups to put up stations that cost $$ but are mostly used by
> others. So the
> people who actually put the stations on the air want control over how
> they are
> used. Which is perfectly reasonable, isn't it?
>
> Like I stated above the Packet network here in Illinois is gone there
is no
> Packet here in Illinois due to problem of BBS operators fowarding on
the
> user frequency.

That's not a cost issue; it's an organization issue.

It is a cost issue because if you were set up node the old way you have to
pay for radio's, TNC, computers, space, antenna, coax.

>
> > A let's not forget some competition
> > in a service good be a good thing
>
> If it costs too much, doesn't that mean the competition is lost?
> K2ASP has described a system that is functioning *today* in his area.
> Sounds pretty good. Betcha it didn't get built by people calling
others
> assholes.
> What I was refering to when I'm talking about Competition is new
idea's and
> new modes of communication. I suggest you read my repond to him.

Will do. But it seems to me that if you want new modes, you should be
leading the way, not demanding others do it.

I'm not demanding but one person can't do it all..

> As for that
> *asshole* I was refering to wasn't even on packet. He was claiming
> "Interference" to his station which was sitting 1.905MHZ away from
the
> packet frequency. Again he wasn't even on packet he was and is a
ASSHOLE.

Or maybe he's just ignorant of what a good receiver can do...

73 de Jim, N2EY

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1596. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 05:20:23 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ic355za.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103112

On 17 Feb 2005 11:03:07 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>> The only REAL content amateurs have that is restricted is Obscene and
>> Indecent material and Commerical type messages.
>
>But who decides what is obscene and indecent?

There are specific case opinions of the Supreme Court of the United States which define same.

Do not forget that in addition to banning certain types of content, the FCC also forces certain types of content such as transmitting station ID at specified times in specific format.

It's even worse for broadcasters who also have to transmit EAS material, renewal filing notices, and sponsorship notices in addition to station ID.

None of the above are the "censorship" which is envisioned in Sec. 326, though.

Be happy for what we have and don't have.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1597. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 06:08:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42158669.ED8DDCB2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103113

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1108424935.928355.43140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >>If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX.
> > >
> > > You seem to be having attribution problems, "bb". I did not write
> the
> > > above.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > David, I have no attribution problem. I know who said what. bb

The attributions say otherwise, "bb".

Dave K8MN

1598. Re: International Ham Radio Exam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 08:54:35 UTC
From: "Airy R.Bean" <Me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <37lp0nF5et31iU2@individual.net>
Source record: 103114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The reference is to a posited _EXAM_ and not to a licence.

"Lloyd" <anon@lloydlabtest.org> wrote in message
news:cv3ico$3oi$0@pita.alt.net...
> A few countries already issue the International Amateur Radio
> License (IARL). I hold an IARL from Chile.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>
> "Airy R.Bean" <Me@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:37jn7fF5epqo1U1@individual.net...
> > It has been let slip that discussions are underway to investigate the
> > possibility of an international exam for Ham Radio.
> >
> > Now, it seems to me, that such discussions should not be
> > conducted by clandestine individuals (who may deceive
> > themselves into thinking that they are somehow important)
> > but should be brought right out into the open.
> >
> > In consideration that Ham Radio is essentially a technical
> > pursuit (as distinct from CB Radio in its various guises, all
> > of which are identifiable from the use of shop-bought-and-
> > repaired rigs), what should such an exam have as its syllabus?
> > Should such a syllabus represent the way in which Ham Radio
> > is slowly going down the drain?.....
> >
> > 1. Elementary electrical circuits - R, L & C, configurations
> > in parallel, series and evaluations of resonance thereto.
> > 2. Elementary active devices plus Class A, B, C & D amplifiers.
> > 3. Oscillator circuits (although we might drop "Hartley" these days!)
> > 4. Logic gates and digital counters.
> > 5. Computer programming in assembler.
> > 6. Mixers and multipliers.
> > 7. How to negotiate with a CB shop to get 10% off the purchase
> > price.
> > 8. How to whinge that Morse Code is irrelevant.
> > 9. (Especially for the M3/CB Fool's Licence or the Technician/Novice
> > licensee) - How to SWAAAAARRRR the twig?
> > 10. How to sneer at those who promote technical excellence but without
> > revealing one's own nugatory vacuousness?

> > 11. The correct jargon to use, "My personal is.....", "What's your 20?",
> > etc.
> >
> >
>
>

1599. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 12:29:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108729799.713051.198650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103115

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >
news:1108424935.928355.43140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >>Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > >>
> > > > > > >>
> > > > > > >> >>If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX.
> > > >
> > > > You seem to be having attribution problems, "bb". I did not
write
> > the
> > > > above.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > David, I have no attribution problem. I know who said what. bb
> >
> > The attributions say otherwise, "bb".
> >
> > Dave K8MN

Who you gonna believe?

1600. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 13:14:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108732483.114234.278540@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103116

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > Date: Wed, Feb 16 2005 4:30 pm
> > From: "bb"
> >
> > >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> bb wrote:
> > >>
> > >> > No doubt you have many excuses, Brian. Get your
> problems
> > fixed...soon.
> > >> >
> > >> > Steve, K4YZ
> > >>
> > >> Nurse, heal thyself.
> > >>
> > >> I'm not ill nor injured.
> > >
> > >There's an ongoing debate about that. Some think both.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk. Stebe bin digging into the Sharps too deep again...
:-)
>
> He's getting ready to have an "episode."

Who's "Stebe"..?

What "episode"...???

> > >> You, however, have a credibilty issue that needs to be
> repaired.
> > >
> > >My credit is just fine. Actually, it's exceptional.
> >
> > Stebe (the Avenging Angle...always a "right" angle) is into
> > "credible."
> > One might even say he is INcredible!
>
> I do.

What's incredible is how you two can actually be so well
documented as liars and still think that you're believed by anyone
about anything even when you're right about something...Which is
rare...

> > >> Telling the truth and distancing yourself from other persons
> > known
> > >> to lie and deceive is a good start. (Not that he's been able to
> > figure
> > >> out how to "join" us since AOL dropped it's newsgroup reader)
> > >>
> > >> Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > >Steve, perhaps you'd like to mentor Len in the joys of googling?
> >
> > Heh heh heh. Pour lil Stebie (he musta "poured" hisself one too
> > many)
> > can't keep track of time. AOL shut off newsgroups on Feb 9, a
> > Wednesday.
> > I last posted on 14 Feb 05 via Google.
>
> Him making statements like that could damage his credibility.

I stand corrected. Way to go, Lennie...

> > Tsk, he "sees" things that aren't there...and can't see things
> that
> > are!
>
> That would present problems for most people.

> > Hmmm...who say "lie and deceive?" Must be Stebie...to himself.

Nope.

To Leonard H Anderson...Who can't take this post to a lawyer and
say "make him stop" becasue he knows who'd be proven the liar and who'd
be laughing all the way home.

> Better go easy. He might start yelling at hisself.

Naw...Just laughing at the "superior intellect".

What a waste of opportunity your life has been, Lennie...To wind up
the laughing stock of the practitioners of a technical hobby that you
could have been a "household name" of...

How's the wifey?

Steve, K4YZ

1601. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 13:22:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108732971.007713.127340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103117

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I said the "VISION" of you doing it made sense.
>
> How can you have vision of something that doesn't exist?

The same way the Wright Brothers saw an airplane fly.

> > It still does.
> >
> > > Get a grip before you lose yourself in a fit of rage then go
> > catatonic on us.
> >
> > Speaking of a "fit of rage", you really need to go back and
read
> > your own post there, Skippy.
>
> And we complain about "Re: Len's terms of endearment." Hi!

Oh...no complaint. Just an observation. You delve into the same
"Nursie" and other "endearments" that your Chief String Puller uses, so
you can benefit from his trailblazing.

And I thought we were moving along nicely until you reopened this
can of worms. I knew you wouldn't be able to keep a civil tounge
long...

> > There's no "rage" in my part. Just overt laughter at the idea
> of
> > you playing with your little tugboat going "toot toot".
> >
> > > Best of luck.
> >
> > No luck needed. You supplied everthing I needed...Again.
> Thanks.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You need lots of luck. You see things that aren't there.

It's called an "imagination", Brain...

The same "imagination" you used to create all those DXploits
you've tried to embellish your Amateur career with.

And I still don't need any luck to deal with you. Ever.

Steve, K4YZ

1602. Re: International Ham Radio Exam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 13:26:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108733185.634210.43220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Airy R.Bean wrote:
> The reference is to a posited _EXAM_ and not to a licence.

Uh oh! We've seen that jangled English before...Could it
be...?!?!

Please no!

Steve, K4YZ

1603. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 13:33:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108733587.025256.165170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103119

bb wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:

> > > David, I have no attribution problem. I know who said what. bb
> >
> > The attributions say otherwise, "bb".
>
> Who you gonna believe?

Yeah Dave...You gonna believe an archiving system known for it's
flawless recordkeeping, or a guy caught in numerous mistruths, deceit
and blatant lies?

Think reeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeal hard.......

73

Steve, K4YZ

1604. Re: International Ham Radio Exam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 13:37:31 UTC
From: "Airy R.Bean" <Me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <37m9j7F5fak5aU1@individual.net>
Source record: 103120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Please explain yourself.....

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1108733185.634210.43220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Airy R.Bean wrote:
> > The reference is to a posited _EXAM_ and not to a licence.
> Uh oh! We've seen that jangled English before...Could it
> be...?!?!
> Please no!

1605. Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 14:07:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108735622.741245.322390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103121

NEWS FLASH! -

Rooters News Service

Southern California's worst air disaster occurred when a small two-seater Cessna 150 plane, piloted by Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley, California, crashed into a cemetery earlier today.

Search and rescue workers have recovered 300 bodies so far and expect the number to climb as digging continues into the evening.

The pilot and his wife, Sigmundi "Moon Unit" Anderson survived and are helping in the recovery efforts.

1606. Re: International Ham Radio Exam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 14:32:51 UTC
From: "Airy R.Bean" <Me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <37mctoF5es9l0U1@individual.net>
Source record: 103124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

I didn't make _ANY_ typos.

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1108737217.682047.197770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Airy R.Bean wrote:
> > Please explain yourself.....
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > Airy R.Bean wrote:
> > > > The reference is to a posited _EXAM_ and not to a licence.
> > > Uh oh! We've seen that jangled English before...Could it
> > > be...?!?!
> > > Please no!
> Never mind.
> You made several obvious typos that one previous poster used to
> make regularly. I thought he perhaps returned under a new
> nomme-de-guerre.

1607. Re: International Ham Radio Exam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 14:33:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108737217.682047.197770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Airy R.Bean wrote:

> Please explain yourself.....
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message

> > Airy R.Bean wrote:
> > > The reference is to a posited _EXAM_ and not to a licence.

> > Uh oh! We've seen that jangled English before...Could it
> > be...?!?!

> > Please no!

Never mind.

You made several obvious typos that one previous poster used to
make regularly. I thought he perhaps returned under a new
nomme-de-guerre.

Sorry!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1608. Re: Brian Burke: Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 14:36:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108737370.527491.286910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103123

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > > No doubt you have many excuses, Brian. Get your problems
> > > > fixed...soon.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Nurse, heal thyself.
>
> I'm not ill nor injured.
>
> You, however, have a credibilty issue that needs to be repaired.
>
> Telling the truth and distancing yourself from other persons
known
> to lie and deceive is a good start. (Not that he's been able to
figure
> out how to "join" us since AOL dropped it's newsgroup reader)

I stand corrected...Lennie managed to slip one in on the 14th. As
if we could see it through all the other LennieRubbish.

Steve, K4YZ

1609. Re: IOTA: Hams vs Enviromentalists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 14:48:14 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cv4v7j$m1c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103125

bb wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>>island@hopper.spam wrote:
>>>
>>>
>
>
http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/c/a/2005/02/17/FARALLON.TMP&type=printable
>
>>>>But scientists who study seabirds and other species on the islands
>
> 28
>
>>>>miles off the coast of San Francisco -- which some call
>
> "California's
>
>>>>Galapagos" -- said even limited public visits could have serious
>>>>effects on populations of birds and marine mammals that have
>>>
>>>rebounded
>>>
>>>
>>>>since access to the islands by humans was strictly limited in 1969.
>>>
>>>
>>>Limited to bearded, birkenstock wearing F&W or non-profit
>
> biologists as
>
>>>a private playground.
>>
>>But if they don't wear Birkenstock's? Do they have to be Demoncrats
>
> and
>
>>gay marriage proponents? Voted for Kerry and in favor of child
>
> molestation?
>
> And Vegan Rights!

HAR! I forgot them!

>
>> Seriously though, I agree with you that some people have a selfish
>
> take
>
>>on the issue, but gee whiz Brian, you have the camps separated so
>
> well!
>
>>It just isn't that black and white. You have good points, but if you
>>wish to do a limbaugh on everything, it hurts your position.
>
>
> When you're already being denied access, does it really matter?
>
>
>>But back to the issue at hand, it would not be all that difficult to
>>have the amateurs trained to minimize the impact on the birds and
>
> other
>
>>critters.
>
>
> Ayup. And if the IOTAdition members held hands and looked to the east,
> they just might be able to create world peace.

How about a hunger strike?

>
>>If "A single person can cause a great amount of damage even before
>
> they
>
>>know they are doing it", then well, those birds and sea lions and
>>whatever are going to go extinct on the PDQ regardless of wherther
>>humans visit the island or not.
>
>
> Indeed. They are more than prepared to deny any request based upon the
> unknown.
>
>
>>>>Critics of the bill also warn that it could be precedent setting,
>>>>allowing not just ham radio operators but birders and others to
>
> visit
>
>>>>the main island, which is less than a quarter of a square mile in
>>>

>>>area
>>>
>>>
>>>>and is densely packed with seabirds and other marine life.
>>>
>>>
>>>Maybe they'll get white spots on their LL Bean hats.
>>
>> Wouldn't it be terrible to allow birders on the island? Birders are
>
> a
>
>>known source of bird extinction, especially since they hate birds so
>>much.....
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Huh?

Part of the article was on how the scientists didn't even want Birders on the island. My take is that Birders, who always try to observe birds with as little interference to the birds as possible, are the last people who would harm them. Seems the scientists are just trying to keep people away from "their turf".

- Mike KB3EIA -

1610. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 14:51:31 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cv4vdj$m1c$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103126

bb wrote:

>
> Who you gonna believe?

GHOST BUSTERS!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1611. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 15:21:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <1s1c11dfuo43gs0829qg9khaa6n75v4jjl@4ax.com>
Source record: 103127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 20:41:11 GMT, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>
>>
>> They'll take a check or credit card too. It's not at all difficult
>> to buy whatever you desire, license or not.
>>
>
>AFAIK, there's no rule requiring a license to BUY
>equipment. There are rules about using it though.....

You are correct, there is no such written rule. However some merchants
take it upon themselves to enforce this "rule". IMHO, if they make
enough money that they can afford to turn away potential customers,
more power to them. Most ham stores though, aren't exactly busting at
the seems with buyers......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1612. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 15:23:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ov1c111ibhsjcbvsvemtud3qph3u7uqavg@4ax.com>
Source record: 103128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 20:46:47 GMT, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>
>>
>> I doubt if the hams who freeband are dumb enough to use their calls
>> there (but you never know). But I wouldn't be surprised to learn that
>> they are "recognized" there by other people who turn them in.
>>
>Some might ID by mistake out of habit before they realize that
>they are out of band.....

That could happen. I've caught myself almost doing it a few times.

>WOuld it be illegal to use my callsign as my "cb handle" on
>CB using legal CB equipment? Or would I be using my ham
>license out of band? Not that anyone would really care, but....

If you are running legal CB gear on legal CB channels, you certainly
can use a ham call as a "handle". Why someone would is another
question.

>
>>
>>
>>>| If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
>>>| detrimental.
>>>
>>>Freebanders don't generally ID themselves at all. To actually track
>>>one down would be a lot harder.
>>
>>
>> Yes, I agree. It just seems funny that the latest freeband bust seem
>> to be hams running out of band over unlicensed operation.
>>
>
>I've heard second hand of hams, bored of regular ham bands,
>doing "freeband" for a change of pace.

Many hams used to be CB'ers/Freebanders, and like to "return to the
roost" once in a while.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1613. Hey Dave, read this. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 16:38:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108744685.382215.271950@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103129

bb wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> > > The
> > > reason amateur radio is going to die is because Amateur radio has
> nothing to
> > > offer.
> >
> > There are thousands and thousands who disagree with you, Todd.
Maybe
> > what you really mean is that amateur radio has nothing to offer
you.
> > Feel free to move on. Find another interest.
>
> Heil actually has a point, smug as it is.
>
> I think what we are seeing is the start of this decade's chicken
little
> dance.
>
> If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX.

Can you figure out the attributions?

1614. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 16:48:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42161C6B.811E4F7A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103130

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > >
> news:1108424935.928355.43140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >>Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > >>If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You seem to be having attribution problems, "bb". I did not
> write
> > > > > the
> > > > > > above.

> > > > David, I have no attribution problem. I know who said what. bb
> > >
> > > The attributions say otherwise, "bb".

> Who you gonna believe?

Given your track record, especially in recent times (see: """....."""
posts by "bb"), I'm going to have to believe my eyes. You have an
attribution problem. You can attempt to argue the point if you like.
That won't change what was posted.

Dave K8MN

1615. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 16:55:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42161E08.A3F0823C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103131

K4YZ wrote:
>
> bb wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
>
> > > > David, I have no attribution problem. I know who said what. bb
> > >
> > > The attributions say otherwise, "bb".
> >
> > Who you gonna believe?
>
> Yeah Dave...You gonna believe an archiving system known for it's
> flawless recordkeeping, or a guy caught in numerous mistruths, deceit
> and blatant lies?
>
> Think reeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeal hard.......

I'm going to give "bb" a break here. I'm discounting all of his deliberate untruths and misstatements. This looks like simple incompetence on "bb's" part. It is similar to his recent posts which shows quoted material in triple quotation marks. Other recent posts have been what appear to be responses to the posts of another, but which contained no quoted material at all to provide the reader any context. "BB" made an error which made it appear that I wrote something which I did not write. It is incumbent upon him to be more careful.

Dave K8MN

1616. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 16:56:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108745774.125103.32680@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103132

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I said the "VISION" of you doing it made sense.
> >
> > How can you have vision of something that doesn't exist?
>
> The same way the Wright Brothers saw an airplane fly.

They built that airplane. It existed.

> > > It still does.
> > >
> > > > Get a grip before you lose yourself in a fit of rage then go
> > > catatonic on us.
> > >
> > > Speaking of a "fit of rage", you really need to go back and
> read
> > > your own post there, Skippy.
> >
> > And we complain about "Re: Len's terms of endearment." Hi!
>
> Oh...no complaint. Just an observation. You delve into the same
> "Nursie" and other "endearments" that your Chief String Puller uses,
so
> you can benefit from his trailblazing.

Nope. You are Steve. Ungentlemanlike Steve. Steve is a nurse. I
referred to him as a nurse. Get a grip.

> And I thought we were moving along nicely until you reopened
this
> can of worms. I knew you wouldn't be able to keep a civil tounge
> long...

You were the one shooting off your mouth about other people's claims.
I merely asked you about your claims.

> > > There's no "rage" in my part. Just overt laughter at the
idea
> > of
> > > you playing with your little tugboat going "toot toot".
> > >
> > > > Best of luck.
> > >

> > > No luck needed. You supplied everthing I needed...Again.
> > Thanks.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > You need lots of luck. You see things that aren't there.
>
> It's called an "imagination", Brain...

Indeed. You have a very active one.

> The same "imagination" you used to create all those DXploits
> you've tried to embellish your Amateur career with.

What embellishment?

> And I still don't need any luck to deal with you. Ever.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

More imagination.

1617. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 16:56:37 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1108745797.245365.147250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103133

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108665611.010471.49400
> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> >> > A tiny fraction of the number of countries which are members of
the
> >> > UN and/or the ITU.
> >>
> >> About 10% as a matter of fact. A minority, but not quite my idea
of a
> >
> >> 'tiny' fraction. The point is, of course, that we are far from
done
> >> yet. Canada may be next.
> >
> > One more out of 185 or so <snore>. Let's see here . . where's my
> > sliderule . . . if four "dominoes" per year fall, which is about
the
> > current rate and the rate is maintained it'll be 2046 before the
last
> > code test requirement is dropped.
> >
>
> Most of those other 170+ are pretty small, although you choose to
mention
> the big ones by name of course.

Of course, because first of all it's the big countries which set trends
at least regionally and it's their huge numbers of citizens who would
potentially be most affected by changes in ham radio regs. Which is a
whole different topic from the effects on their existing ham
populations whatever their number.

> What you call Old Europe isn't socialist by any rational standards,
unless
> you are an extreme neo-con republican? I'll take that as a yes.

Don't because you couldn't be more wrong.

> > My point is that
> > governments make these kinds of decisions based on their
culture-based
> > inclinations in such matters. Which is to say that the former
Soviets,
> > Brazil, India and China are not socialist giveaway swamps like
Sweden,

> > France and the rest and are far less likely to quit the code tests.
>
> Last time I checked France and Sweden were capitalist countries and
China
> was socialist.

They're both socialist Alun. The only difference being that China uses the Marx/Lennin model and Sweden is the model for Old Europe socialism.

> As for Russia, the old communists seem to be taking over
> again, albeit they don't actually care what system they rule over,
nor ever
> did, IMHO.

Never in their thousand year history have the Russians lived in a democracy or a capitalist society, their genes need to be conditioned before they get their act together by western standards. In the meanwhile they're suffering from massive startup lumps and bumps which were predicted long before the Soviet Union actually imploded. Whatever this has to do with code tests.

> >> I'm sure it will be a great comfort to you if the Russian
Federation
> >> is the last to keep a code test. They are not my idea of anyone I
> >> would want to emulate, however.
> >
> > But emulating Sweden is OK huh?
> >
> >> n3kip
> >
> > w3rv
> >
> >
>
> Sure, why not?

BINGO: There it is. Old Europe. Sez it all.

Not in your lifetime Alun.

'Bye.

w3rv

1618. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 17:02:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108745998.924071.51340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103134

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Charles Brabham wrote:
> >
> >><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >>news:1108481168.989536.220310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>>It seems to me that one of the limitations of amateur packet radio
> >
> > is
> >
> >>>that
> >>>it hasn't evolved much past the 1200 baud/BBS mindset of 20+ years
> >
> > ago.
> >
> >>>Heck,
> >>>even trailingedge computer types like me have been running 56k
> >
> > dialup
> >
> >>>modems for almost a decade!
> >>
> >>Does your 56kb dialup modem work pretty good with your 2-meter rig?
> >
> > Wow! -
> >
> >>Why didn't we BBS operators think of this YEARS ago!
> >
> >
> > Ya missed the point, Charles.
> >
> > 1200 baud packet is a make-do, chosen to be quick and cheap. All
you
> > have to do is interface to an FM voice radio.
> >
> > Getting a significant improvement in bandwidth would mean actually
> > *building radios* designed for the purpose. Which simply hasn't
> > happened in large numbers.
>
> Arrgh. I havn't thought much about it, but yes, you are right. A rig

> with both fetures could be designed without too much trouble.

One of the things that has been repeatedly promised and predicted for
the
various license test changes was that we'd get more 'technically
inclined'
new hams, who would revolutionize ham radio with 'new modes and
modulations' and other neat stuff. Yet when it comes to actually
building radios, we
see even the self-proclaimed 'professionals in radio' buying them
ready-made.
And ginving those who *do* build their own rigs a raft of $&!# about
doing so.

Kinda makes ya wonder....

> > Kind of a sad commentary. When SSB became popular in amateur radio,
> > hams built entire transmitters, receivers and transceivers for the
> > mode. Once its popularity was established, manufacturers followed.

> > When VHF/UHF FM voice became popular in amateur radio, there was a
mix
> > of homebrew and converted-land-mobile equipment used by hams. Once
its
> > popularity was established, manufacturers followed.
> >
> > But from what I can see, the packet folks aren't much interested in
> > *building radios* from scratch. That's why the old standards are
still
> > in use.
>
> Bingo!

I recall reading some *years* back about how TAPR was developing a UHF
SS radio for packet. Don't recall that it ever got finished.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1619. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 17:10:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108746634.174440.129880@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103135

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108637750.922635.205620
> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> news:Xns95FFBCCC59B3Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30:
> >>
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108578593.250795.201100
> >> > @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > >
> >> >> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> >>> Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino
has
> >> >>> fallen.
> >> >>
> >> >> How many countries does that make now, compared to those who
still
> >> >> have it?

> >> > It's getting a little difficult to keep track. However, I
> >> > think at
> >> > least the UK, Ireland, France, Germany, Belgium, the
> >> > Netherlands,
> >> > Luxembourg, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, Singapore,
> >> > Australia,
> >> > New Zealand, Papua Niugini, Hong Kong and South Africa have
> >> > abolished the code test so far. I think that of these only
Austria
> >> > and the Netherlands even retain an entry level licence that
doesn't
> >> > give HF privileges.
> >> >
> >> > That's only 17 countries, but I expect I may have missed some >
>
> >> > out. I make the combined ham population of the above something
over
> >> > > > 260,000 (possibly more than half of them no-coders), so
probably
> >> > a little less
> >> > than half the number of hams in the US.
> > >
> > > 260,000/670,000 = about 38.9%

Quite a bit less than half.
> >
> >> > However, there are well over
> >> > 50,000 hams in Canada, which is also likely to abolish the code
test
> >> > very soon.
> >
> > Yep. But there are two big points about Canada:
> >
> > 1) The proposal would increase the written test level

This is a biggie. Simply proposing to drop the code test is *not* the same thing as proposing to drop the code test *and* beef up the writtens.

IIRC, one of the things proposed in Canada was to make the code test optional in that if you passed code you didn't need as high a grade on theory to get the license.

If the nocodetest folks in the USA proposed options like those they might get a lot more support. But instead, we have folks like NCVEC telling us we must drop code *and* reduce the written still more.

> > 2) Commentary to the Canadian proposal showed a clear majority
> > favored the change. That's not the case in the USA, in any survey
done
> > to date, nor in the commentary to FCC.

Another biggie.

> >> > Don't forget that Japan, with a ham population of 1.2 Million
(twice
> >> > that of the US, out of maybe a fifth of your general
> >> > population), has
> >> > long had a no-code HF licence, albeit limited to 10 Watts.
> >
> > Check your numbers!
> >
> > Japan has over 3.1 million operator licenses - but they cost
nothing
> > and never expire, so that number is really the number of ham
operator
> > licenses issued since 1955, not the number of present-day hams.
> >
> > Japanese *station* licenses are a bit over 600,000 now, and have
been
> > dropping for a decade.
> >
> > The number of new JA licenses has also been dropping.
> >
> > See the AH0A website.
> >
> >> > I'm not sure

> >> > how many Japanese hams have a no-code HF licence,
> >
> > Well over 95%.
> >
> >> > but they may even
> >> > rival all the new ones so far put together, although the new > >
> >> > guys can use more than 10 Watts! It's probably only a matter of
time
> >> > before Japan lets all of their hams use HF anyway.
> >
> > All Japanese hams have HF privileges *today*. Been that way for
> > decades.
> >
> > But for all classes of ham license except 4th class, JA hams have a
> > code test. And there's no move to change that yet.

And for ten years JA ham license numbers have been dropping fast.
With nocodetest HF.

> >> > Even without the low power Japanese stations, the number of
> >> > no-coders who have full HF privileges right now is probably
about
> >> > the same as the
> >> > number of no-code Techs in the US.
> >> >
> > Close enough.
>
> And if there are already that number of no-code hams on HF without
any
> incident, what is the problem with abolishing the code test here?

The USA isn't Japan. Different society, different culture, different
rules.

It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written* exam
looks like.

And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
operator licenses is way down.

> >> That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
> >
> > OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
> > and the number of countries.
> >
> > The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test
> > because a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for
> > itself.

> Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish the
code
> test.

The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict individual ownership of firearms, too.

> > Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
> > dropped code testing?

> No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries

So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it *hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1620. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 18:11:47 UTC
From: aida_leeen@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1108750307.258483.115710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103136

i have used their milia treatment for milia seed on my eye brown area
and below cheek. after three applications, i noticed a reduction in the
milia size, quite impressed as i thought only laser surgery can solve
my problem.
---
zest_f...@yahoo.com
i keep seeing reviews and raves about this
http://www.naturalisproducts.com and http://www.organiconline.com.sg .
many people are discussing in beauty forums and magazines have positive
reviews on this . but this thing ain't new, its been around for many
years! anyone tried can feedback to me on exactly how good it is?

---
can anyone help me please, am looking for the local distributor or any
shop selling the naturalis range of skin and body care products, from
this company http://www.naturalisproducts.com . looking for this
urgently. for those who have not come across it, its some foodbased
anti-aging products. i googled for this and received result showing its
available at http://www.organiconline.com.sg. i need this
urgently but shipping from singapore will take some time, if anyone is
distributing this please contact me at g...@raterenterprise.com
urgently. i have a group of us looking to buy this. thanks!
--

1621. Re: International Ham Radio Exam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 18:14:18 UTC
From: Lloyd <anon@lloydlabtest.org>
Message-ID: <cv5b7i$ac3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1108733185.634210.43220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Airy R.Bean wrote:
>> The reference is to a posited _EXAM_ and not to a licence.
>
> Uh oh! We've seen that jangled English before...Could it
> be...?!?!
>
> Please no!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Since you insist on injecting yourself into this conversation, would
you mind at all using the correct Usenet international relay codes?

73,

Lloyd

1622. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 19:35:20 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <YrrRd.2001$x53.1319@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103138

> Do not forget that in addition to banning certain types of
> content, the FCC also forces certain types of content such as
> transmitting station ID at specified times in specific format.
>
> It's even worse for broadcasters who also have to transmit EAS
> material, renewal filing notices, and sponsorship notices in
> addition to station ID.

Remember when stations had to do "public service" or
"community" programs, usually played on Sunday morning?
Stuff nobody ever listened to. There was a time when
you could not find anything at all to listen to on the
radio Sunday morning.

> None of the above are the "censorship" which is envisioned in Sec.
> 326, though.
>
> Be happy for what we have and don't have.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

1623. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 19:42:08 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <kyrRd.2053$x53.1459@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

>
>
>
> Many hams used to be CB'ers/Freebanders, and like to "return to the
> roost" once in a while.
>

I got my start in radio with a legal CB radio back in 1976.
Then got a ham license and never went back. If I wanted
to "freeband" I can legally use the 10 meter band as a ham
for the same operations. But with the sunspots out, I
can use 20 or lower just as legally.

1624. Re: 6444514670085005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 22:04:34 UTC
From: Herb <Herb@herbieforever.net>
Message-ID: <cv5on8$8vm$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

These are associated with the 3965 kHz pirate and other unidentified
pirate activity on 6612 and 6682 kHz.

"Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
news:tbtRd.4634$WJ.1801@fe03.lga...
>
> "0000 000666" <34921@31278997.279> wrote in message
> news:34921@31278997.279...
>> 2 9 5 4 6 0 9 0 5 0 4 2 8 4 2 6 0 3 7 5 0 0 4 7 6 0 6 0 7 2 2 9 1 7
>> 4 8 8 4 8 3 4 2 6 3 7 8 0 8 2 7 4 2 7 8 9 3 8 5 3 6 7 6 6 9 4 1 7 2
>> 5 6 6 0 8 2 3 6 0 3 5 2 0 9 5 8 8 4 1 6 9 5 3 7 1 0 7 5 1 5 7 6 1 3
>> 7 9 6 0 6 6 4 1 9 5 0 4 3 8 9 5 5 9 0 9 6 2 9 3 7 1 8 5 8 0 9 5 9 5
>> 6 6 2 0 8 2 5 8 6 9 7 5 4 3 4 5 2 1 7 2 5 5 3 4 1 1 4 1 6 4 6 3 0 9
>> 3 9 1 9 7 7 9 5 3 4 9 8 9 1 0 7 4 5 3 7 0 5 9 4 6 6 9 2 9 0 1 3 9 2
>> 2 7 0 2 2 4 6 2 3 6 3 3 4 8 9 7 6 9 2 5 4 8 2 4 1 1 4 3 4 3 6 7 1 6
>> 9 4 1 5 6 4 1 0 8 6 8 8 3 4 7 6 0 2 5 2 6 6 3 1 9 8 4 5 6 5 2 5 0 4
>> 1 6 9 3 6 7 9 4 5 3 9 3 9 9 6 4 1 2 0 5 3 0 3 8 6 9 4 8 5 4 3 6 0 2
>> 0 6 0 9 1 5 2 0 9 2 9 5 6 1 8 7 6 2 7 0 0 4 9 5 3 5 0 6 2 0 8 2 7 8
>> 2 9 4 4 9 3 6 9 9 3 1 7 0 7 9 8 7 0 2 7 6 5 2 6 2 5 6 0 7 4 9 9 3 4
>> 4 3 8 0 3 7 4 4 5 4 2 5 3 9 5 6 7 1 2 0 8 4 5 4 5 2 9 4 2 3 8 6 6 6
>> 7 0 4 1 4 9 6 6 5 0 6 1 6 4 3 8 4 1 2 9 6 7 2 5 2 4 8 1 1 5 8 8 5 2
>> 0 0 2 6 7 8 6 4 9 3 8 2 1 2 4 4 2 0 2 5 5 4 0 4 6 1 9 4 9 5 7 0 6 0
>> 6 3 9 3 8 8 6 6 1 8 9 5 2 2 5 4 7 0 9 7 4 6 8 4 0 8 9 8 8 6 9 5 0 8
>> 9 8 6 5 5 8 3 5 3 6 7 9 0 5 0 0 3 5 6 2 9 7 0 9 6 9 5 5 5 5 4 4 4 1
>> 0 9 9 3 5 3 0 2 2 1 8 2 1 1 7 8 3 6 5 4 6 2 4 1 7 9 7 1 3 1 2 3 1 2
>> 7 6 5 8 9 7 9 7 9 0 9 7 9 2 3 5 7 0 7 1 2 5 1 9 7 4 1 9 8 3 2 6 9 7
>> 4 8 4 3 6 4 4 5 1 4 8 5 9 5 5 7 7 7 2 8 7 9 3 9 9 2 2 1 8 1 8 3 0 3
>> 7 6 7 2 2 9 6 0 4 6 6 0 3 4 8 5 2 5 5 5 5 4 8 7 5 6 9 4 0 0 7 7 7 5
>
> OU812
>
>

1625. Re: International Ham Radio Exam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 22:07:18 UTC
From: Herb <Herb@herbieforever.net>
Message-ID: <cv5osd$9ag$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A little known fact is that you can obtain your IARL free of charge, if you appear in person and make application at the U.N. Telecommunications Office in NYC.

"Lloyd" <anon@lloydlabtest.org> wrote in message news:cv3ico$3oi$0@pita.alt.net...
>A few countries already issue the International Amateur Radio
> License (IARL). I hold an IARL from Chile.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>
> "Airy R.Bean" <Me@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:37jn7fF5epqo1U1@individual.net...
>> It has been let slip that discussions are underway to investigate the
>> possibility of an international exam for Ham Radio.
>>
>> Now, it seems to me, that such discussions should not be
>> conducted by clandestine individuals (who may deceive
>> themselves into thinking that they are somehow important)
>> but should be brought right out into the open.
>>
>> In consideration that Ham Radio is essentially a technical
>> pursuit (as distinct from CB Radio in its various guises, all
>> of which are identifiable from the use of shop-bought-and-
>> repaired rigs), what should such an exam have as its syllabus?
>> Should such a syllabus represent the way in which Ham Radio
>> is slowly going down the drain?.....
>>
>> 1. Elementary electrical circuits - R, L & C, configurations
>> in parallel, series and evaluations of resonance thereto.
>> 2. Elementary active devices plus Class A, B, C & D amplifiers.
>> 3. Oscillator circuits (although we might drop "Hartley" these days!)
>> 4. Logic gates and digital counters.
>> 5. Computer programming in assembler.
>> 6. Mixers and multipliers.
>> 7. How to negotiate with a CB shop to get 10% off the purchase
>> price.
>> 8. How to whinge that Morse Code is irrelevant.
>> 9. (Especially for the M3/CB Fool's Licence or the Technician/Novice
>> licensee) - How to SWAAAAARRRR the twig?

>> 10. How to sneer at those who promote technical excellence but without
>> revealing one's own nugatory vacuousness?
>> 11. The correct jargon to use, "My personal is.....", "What's your 20?",
>> etc.
>>
>>
>
>

1626. Today's Free Movie >> www.movie134.4x2.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 22:28:10 UTC
From: cc21002@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108765690.032930.125030@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103142

Today's Free Movie >> www.movie134.4x2.net

(you may need realplayer to view)

1627. Re: Hey Dave, read this. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 23:10:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4216760D.DDA3BEB2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103143

bb wrote:
>
> bb wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > >
> > > > > The
> > > > > reason amateur radio is going to die is because Amateur radio has
> > nothing to
> > > > > offer.
> > > >
> > > > There are thousands and thousands who disagree with you, Todd.
> Maybe
> > > > what you really mean is that amateur radio has nothing to offer
> you.
> > > > Feel free to move on. Find another interest.
> > >
> > Heil actually has a point, smug as it is.
> >
> > I think what we are seeing is the start of this decade's chicken
> little
> > dance.
> >
> > If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX.
>
> Can you figure out the attributions?

From what you've come up with this time, you again have me writing:

"If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX."

"Heil actually has a point, smug as it is."

"I think what we are seeing is the start of this decade's chicken little
dance."

The only problem is, I didn't write any of those things. You're
drifting
farther off course, "bb".

Dave K8MN

1628. Re: Hey Dave, read this. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 23:31:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108769502.985974.96950@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103144

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > bb wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> > >
> > > > > The
> > > > > reason amateur radio is going to die is because Amateur radio
has
> > > nothing to
> > > > > offer.
> > > >
> > > > There are thousands and thousands who disagree with you, Todd.
> > Maybe
> > > > what you really mean is that amateur radio has nothing to offer
> > you.
> > > > Feel free to move on. Find another interest.
> > >
> > > Heil actually has a point, smug as it is.
> > >
> > > I think what we are seeing is the start of this decade's chicken
> > little
> > > dance.
> > >
> > > If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX.
> >
> > Can you figure out the attributions?
>
> From what you've come up with this time, you again have me writing:

Not.

Why do you say that I'm doing it? I merely hit the reply button and
google performs the attributions.

And it was after the Buzz Lightyear post that you commented about the
attributions being wrong. I think you just need something to complain
about.

1629. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 23:36:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108769804.865189.42750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103145

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > >
> > news:1108424935.928355.43140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >>Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > >>If only we could introduce Todd to WA8ULX.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You seem to be having attribution problems, "bb". I did not
> > write
> > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > above.
>
> > > > > > > David, I have no attribution problem. I know who said what.
bb
> > > >
> > > > The attributions say otherwise, "bb".
>
> > Who you gonna believe?
>
> Given your track record, especially in recent times (see: """....."""
> posts by "bb"), I'm going to have to believe my eyes. You have an
> attribution problem. You can attempt to argue the point if you like.
> That won't change what was posted.
>
> Dave K8MN

David, no argument. I'm merely using googles "reply" button. I think
the goofed attribution came in after Commander Buzz Corey's comment.

1630. Re: IOTA: Hams vs Enviromentalists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 23:49:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108770568.340959.131880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103146

Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> Part of the article was on how the scientists didn't even want
Birders
> on the island. My take is that Birders, who always try to observe
birds
> with as little interference to the birds as possible, are the last
> people who would harm them. Seems the scientists are just trying to
keep
> people away from "their turf".
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Yep. Agreed.

Then there are the lepidopterists. They stick little pins through the bodies of threatened and endangered butterfly species, but won't let anyone else cause harm.

1631. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 23:53:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cPWdnUnNKcyJHYvfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103147

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>>kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108665611.010471.49400
>>@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>>>>>A tiny fraction of the number of countries which are members of
>
> the
>
>>>>>UN and/or the ITU.
>>>>
>>>>About 10% as a matter of fact. A minority, but not quite my idea
>
> of a
>
>>>>'tiny' fraction. The point is, of course, that we are far from
>
> done
>
>>>>yet. Canada may be next.
>>>
>>>One more out of 185 or so <snore>. Let's see here . . where's my
>>>sliderule . . . if four "dominoes" per year fall, which is about
>
> the
>
>>>current rate and the rate is maintained it'll be 2046 before the
>
> last
>
>>>code test requirement is dropped.
>>>
>>
>>Most of those other 170+ are pretty small, although you choose to
>
> mention
>
>>the big ones by name of course.
>
>
> Of course, because first of all it's the big countries which set trends

> at least regionally and it's their huge numbers of citizens who would
> potentially be most affected by changes in ham radio regs. Which is a
> whole different topic from the effects on their existing ham
> populations whatever their number.
>
>
>
>>What you call Old Europe isn't socialist by any rational standards,
>
> unless
>
>>you are an extreme neo-con republican? I'll take that as a yes.
>
>
> Don't because you couldn't be more wrong.
>
>
>
>>>My point is that
>>>governments make these kinds of decisions based on their
>
> culture-based
>
>>>inclinations in such matters. Which is to say that the former
>
> Soviets,
>
>>>Brazil, India and China are not socialist giveaway swamps like
>
> Sweden,
>
>>>France and the rest and are far less likely to quit the code tests.
>>
>>Last time I checked France and Sweden were capitalist countries and
>
> China
>
>>was socialist.
>
>
> They're both socialist Alun. The only difference being that China uses
> the Marx/Lennin model and Sweden is the model for Old Europe socialism.

China is a communist country, and Sweden uses capitalism combined with
substantial welfare elements.

At least that is what the CIA factbook thinks.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

1632. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-18 23:54:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108770845.101905.206200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103148

K4YZ wrote:
> NEWS FLASH! -
>
> Rooters News Service

"Rooters," huh?

> Southern California's worst air disaster occurred when a small
> two-seater Cessna 150 plane, piloted by Leonard H. Anderson of Sun
> Valley, California, crashed into a cemetery earlier today.
>
> Search and rescue workers have recovered 300 bodies so far and expect
> the number to climb as digging continues into the evening.
>
> The pilot and his wife, Sigmundi "Moon Unit" Anderson survived and
are
> helping in the recovery efforts.

Interesting how you call others "liars."

1633. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 00:25:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103149

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108637750.922635.205620
>>@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>news:Xns95FFBCCC59B3Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108578593.250795.201100
>>>>>@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino
>
> has
>
>>>>>>>fallen.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>How many countries does that make now, compared to those who
>
> still
>
>>>>>>have it?
>
>
>>>>>It's getting a little difficult to keep track. However, I
>>>>>think at
>>>>>least the UK, Ireland, France, Germany, Belgium, the
>>>>>Netherlands,
>>>>>Luxembourg, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, Singapore,
>>>>>Australia,
>>>>>New Zealand, Papua Niugini, Hong Kong and South Africa have
>>>>>abolished the code test so far. I think that of these only
>
> Austria
>
>>>>>and the Netherlands even retain an entry level licence that

>>>>> doesn't give HF privileges.
>>>>>That's only 17 countries, but I expect I may have missed some >
>>
>>>>>out. I make the combined ham population of the above something
>
> over
>
>>>>>>>260,000 (possibly more than half of them no-coders), so
>
> probably
>
>>>>>a little less
>>>>>than half the number of hams in the US.
>>>
>>>260,000/670,000 = about 38.9%
>
>
> Quite a bit less than half.
>
>>>>>However, there are well over
>>>>>50,000 hams in Canada, which is also likely to abolish the code
>
> test
>
>>>>>very soon.
>>>
>>>Yep. But there are two big points about Canada:
>>>
>>>1) The proposal would increase the written test level
>
>
> This is a biggie. Simply proposing to drop the code test is *not* the
> same thing as proposing to drop the code test *and* beef up the
> writtens.

I'd like that quite a bit.

> IIRC, one of the things proposed in Canada was to make the code test
> optional in that if you passed code you didn't need as high a grade on
> theory to get the license.

Now that just seems strange. The test should either be or not be. Not
some kind of bonus that allows you to be less technically proficient.

> If the nocodetest folks in the USA proposed options like those they
> might get a lot more support. But instead, we have folks like NCVEC
> telling us we must drop code *and* reduce the written still more.

And how! Let's not forget that NCI also supports lowering the test
requirements.

All they have to go on is "gut" feelings. And unfortunately, the first
wave of no-code Technicians appear to be dropping like flies. "Gut"
feelings can be wrong.

Theirs is a failed and incorrect paradigm.

We don't need hams that thought that maybe it would be kewl to get a
ham license some weekend between coffee at Starbucks and their Pilates
classes, and then forget about it. We need hams who want to be hams.

>>>2) Commentary to the Canadian proposal showed a clear majority
>>>favored the change. That's not the case in the USA, in any survey
>>> done to date, nor in the commentary to FCC.
>
>
> Another biggie.
>
>
>>>>>Don't forget that Japan, with a ham population of 1.2 Million
>> (twice
>
>>>>>that of the US, out of maybe a fifth of your general
>>>>>population), has
>>>>>long had a no-code HF licence, albeit limited to 10 Watts.
>>>
>>>Check your numbers!
>>>
>>>Japan has over 3.1 million operator licenses - but they cost
>>> nothing and never expire, so that number is really the number of ham
>>> operator licenses issued since 1955, not the number of present-day hams.
>>>
>>>Japanese *station* licenses are a bit over 600,000 now, and have
>>> been dropping for a decade. The number of new JA licenses has also been dropping.
>>>See the AH0A website.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>I'm not sure
>>>>>how many Japanese hams have a no-code HF licence,
>>>
>>>Well over 95%.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>but they may even
>>>>>rival all the new ones so far put together, although the new > >
>>>>>guys can use more than 10 Watts! It's probably only a matter of
>>>>> time before Japan lets all of their hams use HF anyway.
>>>
>>>All Japanese hams have HF privileges *today*. Been that way for
>>>decades.
>>>
>>>But for all classes of ham license except 4th class, JA hams have a
>>>code test. And there's no move to change that yet.

>
>
> And for ten years JA ham license numbers have been dropping fast.
> *With* nocodetest HF.

Quick! Let's emulate Japan! Except we can do it better by allowing the
newbies full power privileges.

Japan's obvious success can be our own!
>
>>>>>Even without the low power Japanese stations, the number of
>>>>>no-coders who have full HF privileges right now is probably
>>>>> about the same as the number of no-code Techs in the US.
>>>>>
>>>
>>>Close enough.
>>
>>And if there are already that number of no-code hams on HF without
>> any incident, what is the problem with abolishing the code test here?
>
>
> The USA isn't Japan. Different society, different culture, different
> rules.

I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but lets say
in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a woman not
knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning herself when trying to
hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry Phil, but what if she
simply ruined her dress because the coffee was wet?- negligent design of
the cup?)

So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt rig, and is
half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey thingies on
the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful lawsuits already!

I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a painful burn and
a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that catches a ride
on a thousand watts might just have a very successful lawsuit if we
don't train them well.

RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should be a
Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to handle full
legal limit.

And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or some other
weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things such as
Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a second to
drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the control op can
react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full power safety
requirements.

Anything else is criminally negligent.

> It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written* exam
> looks like.
>
> And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
> operator licenses is way down.
>
>
>>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
>>>
>>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
>>>and the number of countries.
>>>
>>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test
>>>because a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for
>>>itself.
>
>
>>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish the
>> code test.
>
>
> The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict individual
> ownership of firearms, too.
>
>
>>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
>>>dropped code testing?
>
>
>>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
>
>
> So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
> *hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.

Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people make it out
to be.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1634. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 00:54:59 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ic4njn0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103151

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 19:25:40 -0500, Mike Coslo wrote:

>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should be a
>Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to handle full
>legal limit.

"Here lies ol' John McStencil
He drew arcs from his finals with a lead pencil"

(More-or-less recalled caption from a QST "Switch to Safety" cartoon
of 50+ years ago)

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1635. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 00:55:31 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ic4nkj1.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103150

[No readable article body recovered]

1636. TODAY'S FREE MOVIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 01:07:39 UTC
From: cc22003@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108775259.710566.5480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103152

www.movie135.4x2.net << TODAY'S FREE MOVIE

(you may need realplayer to view)

1637. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 01:11:03 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <HmwRd.636$Ba3.248@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103153

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:aIpPd.29352$wi2.27131@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> "Dan" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ICpPd.19431$wK.17059@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1108217864.076733.324080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > GeorgeF wrote:
>> >> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
>> >> > They probably read the ARRL pages for this
>> >> >
>> >> > It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Its to the point where the last few days even operating CW on 40 has
>> >> been a waste. Twice tonight 20+ minutes into two different QSO's had
>> >
>> >> RTTY coming right on top..... Guess they couldn't hear that the freq
>> >
>> >> was already in use (ya right!)
>> >
>> > In the "Olde Days" a person operating ANY Amateur mode on that
>> > frequeny would have been proficient in Morse Code to at least 13WPM,
>> > the speed at which one reaches true "literacy" in Morse. (Able to
>> > understand what was being sent without having to write down everything
>> > to get it)
>> >
>> > Incursions like this will continue, expecially with the spectre of
>> > a true no code Amateur license looming close.
>> >
>> > 73 and dididididadidah
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> Exactly...not to mention the fact that IMHO most of the RTTY contesters
> just
>> don't give a damn who they clobber.
>>
>> Tonight is the CW Sprint. 40 will be a total wipe out. However I will
> have
>> my RTTY program loaded. And the tape running. One way or another they

> will
>> know who they stepped on.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> heh heh. Yeppers. That'll learn 'em. Of course, nothing more would be
> expected from ya there, Dan.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>

Hey Kim ....stick to what you know....Nothing.

Dan/W4NTI

>

1638. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 01:12:35 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <7owRd.638$Ba3.95@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103154

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:BUtPd.178$W%5.137@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in
> news:QxePd.18896$wK.7990@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net:
>
>> Caveat Lector wrote:
>>
>>> RTTY ers don't usually read the QRP pages for frequency useage
>>> They probably read the ARRL pages for this
>>>
>>> It is a conflict and the two entities ought to straighten it out
>>>
>>
>> Its to the point where the last few days even operating CW on 40 has
>> been a waste. Twice tonight 20+ minutes into two different QSO's had
>> RTTY coming right on top..... Guess they couldn't hear that the freq
>> was already in use (ya right!)
>>
>> George
>
>
>
> Thanks to hard work and efforts of the ARRL, new Ham's don't have to know
> CW anymore. So these RTTY OM's probably didn't know they were QRM'ing
> anyone. These new ARRL approved "CB'er type Ham's", are good at jamming
> and causing all sorts of problems on the bands just like you can hear on
> 11
> meters.
>
> The ARRL does have a plan to help solve conflicts like this to reduce
> future problems, but it hasn't been proposed to the FCC yet:
>
> Anyone caught showing any sign of inteligence, using CW, or not acting
> like
> an all around dumb ass, should be fined and have their license revoked.
>
>
> KB7ADL
>

I think you have a handle on the situation.

Dan/W4NTI

1639. Re: Why RTTY on the QRP calling freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 01:14:15 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <HpwRd.640$Ba3.13@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103155

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:G2yPd.476$SO.284@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>> Its to the point where the last few days even operating CW on 40 has been
>> a waste. Twice tonight 20+ minutes into two different QSO's had RTTY
>> coming right on top..... Guess they couldn't hear that the freq was
>> already in use (ya right!)
>>
>
> The RTTY people may not have the receiver audio playing in the
> shack, but just looking at the computer monitor for decoded RTTY
> messages. SO they may not realize that there's some code coming
> in on freq. Changing band conditions and such.

Just one more reason to call them LIDS.

Dan/W4NTI

1640. Re: 6444514670085005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 02:43:40 UTC
From: Conan Ford <conanford@com.hotmail>
Message-ID: <Xns9601C8B0A3760conanfordhotmailcom1@24.71.223.159>
Source record: 103156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Herb" <Herb@herbieforever.net> wrote in news:cv5on8$8vm$0@pita.alt.net:

> These are associated with the 3965 kHz pirate and other unidentified
> pirate activity on 6612 and 6682 kHz.
>

So how do we decode those?

1641. Re: 6444514670085005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 02:45:36 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <FRxRd.4821$6M4.2171@fe03.lga>
Source record: 103157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Conan Ford" <conanford@com.hotmail> wrote in message
news:Xns9601C8B0A3760conanfordhotmailcom1@24.71.223.159...
> "Herb" <Herb@herbieforever.net> wrote in news:cv5on8$8vm$0@pita.alt.net:
>
> > These are associated with the 3965 kHz pirate and other unidentified
> > pirate activity on 6612 and 6682 kHz.
> >
>
> So how do we decode those?

I allready did.

OU812

--
73 and good DXing.
Brian
~~~~~~
A lot of radios and 100' of rusty wire!
Zumbrota, Southern MN
Brian's Radio Universe
http://webpages.charter.net/brianhill/

EMAIL- brianehill@$100charter.net
(Hide the $100 to reply!)
~~~~~~

1642. TODAY'S FREE MOVIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 03:07:59 UTC
From: cc22003@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108782479.714954.254170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103158

www.movie135.4x2.net << TODAY'S FREE MOVIE

(you may need realplayer to view)

1643. Re: 6444514670085005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 03:17:17 UTC
From: Herb <Herb@herbieforever.net>
Message-ID: <cv6b1i$e12$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Conan Ford" <conanford@com.hotmail> wrote in message
news:Xns9601C8B0A3760conanfordhotmailcom1@24.71.223.159...
> "Herb" <Herb@herbieforever.net> wrote in news:cv5on8$8vm$0@pita.alt.net:
>
>> These are associated with the 3965 kHz pirate and other unidentified
>> pirate activity on 6612 and 6682 kHz.
>>
>
> So how do we decode those?

The keys are given out several time during the broadcasts.

Herb

1644. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 03:24:15 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9601E3FC7CED5elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103160

kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108745797.245365.147250
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108665611.010471.49400
>> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>> >> > A tiny fraction of the number of countries which are members of
>> >> > the UN and/or the ITU.
>> >>
>> >> About 10% as a matter of fact. A minority, but not quite my idea of
>> >> a
>> >
>> >> 'tiny' fraction. The point is, of course, that we are far from done
>> >> yet. Canada may be next.
>> >
>> > One more out of 185 or so <snore>. Let's see here . . where's my
>> > sliderule . . . if four "dominoes" per year fall, which is about the
>> > current rate and the rate is maintained it'll be 2046 before the
>> > last code test requirement is dropped.
>> >
>>
>> Most of those other 170+ are pretty small, although you choose to
>> mention the big ones by name of course.
>
> Of course, because first of all it's the big countries which set trends
> at least regionally and it's their huge numbers of citizens who would
> potentially be most affected by changes in ham radio regs. Which is a
> whole different topic from the effects on their existing ham
> populations whatever their number.
>
>
>> What you call Old Europe isn't socialist by any rational standards,
>> unless you are an extreme neo-con republican? I'll take that as a yes.
>
> Don't because you couldn't be more wrong.
>
>
>> > My point is that
>> > governments make these kinds of decisions based on their
>> > culture-based inclinations in such matters. Which is to say that the
>> > former Soviets, Brazil, India and China are not socialist giveaway

>> > swamps like Sweden, France and the rest and are far less likely to
>> > quit the code tests.
>>
>> Last time I checked France and Sweden were capitalist countries and
>> China was socialist.
>
> They're both socialist Alun. The only difference being that China uses
> the Marx/Lennin model and Sweden is the model for Old Europe socialism.
>
>
>
>> As for Russia, the old communists seem to be taking over again, albeit
>> they don't actually care what system they rule over, nor ever did,
>> IMHO.
>
> Never in their thousand year history have the Russians lived in a
> democracy or a capitalist society, their genes need to be conditioned
> before they get their act together by western standards. In the
> meanwhile they're suffering from massive startup lumps and bumps which
> were predicted long before the Soviet Union actually imploded. Whatever
> this has to do with code tests.
>
>> >> I'm sure it will be a great comfort to you if the Russian
>> >> Federation is the last to keep a code test. They are not my idea of
>> >> anyone I would want to emulate, however.
>> >
>> > But emulating Sweden is OK huh?
>> >
>> >> n3kip
>> >
>> > w3rv
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Sure, why not?
>
> BINGO: There it is. Old Europe. Sez it all.
>
> Not in your lifetime Alun.
>
> 'Bye.
>
> w3rv
>
>

We have very different political views. I don't know how you would classify
yourself, but by European standards you are very far to the right indeed,
as by no stretch is Sweden a socialist country.

As for myself, I used to be a card carrying member of the Conservative and Unionist Party in the UK, but I freely admit that I have drifted leftwards since then, very likely as a result of seeing at first hand the huge social inequalities in the USA.

If it's called being a socialist to think that the ordinary working man should be able to get medical care without courting bankruptcy, then I suppose that makes me a socialist, but if you actually look in a dictionary, then you will see that I am not, and neither are the Swedes.

socialism /□□/ n.
1 a political and economic theory of social organization which advocates that the community as a whole should own and control the means of production, distribution, and exchange.
2 policy or practice based on this theory.
socialist n. & adj.
socialistic /□/ adj.
socialistically /□/ adv.
[French socialisme (as social)]

1645. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 03:30:26 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9601E508BA917elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103161

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108746634.174440.129880
@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108637750.922635.205620
>> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> >> news:Xns95FFBCCC59B3Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30:
>> >>
>> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108578593.250795.201100
>> >> > @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >
>> >> >> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> >>> Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino
>> >> >>> has fallen.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> How many countries does that make now, compared to those who
>> >> >> still have it?
>
>> >> > It's getting a little difficult to keep track. However, I
>> >> > think at
>> >> > least the UK, Ireland, France, Germany, Belgium, the
>> >> > Netherlands,
>> >> > Luxembourg, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, Singapore,
>> >> > Australia,
>> >> > New Zealand, Papua Niugini, Hong Kong and South Africa have
>> >> > abolished the code test so far. I think that of these only
>> >> > Austria and the Netherlands even retain an entry level licence
>> >> > that doesn't give HF privileges.
>> >> >
>> >> > That's only 17 countries, but I expect I may have missed some >
>> >>
>> >> > out. I make the combined ham population of the above something
>> >> > over
>> >> > > > 260,000 (possibly more than half of them no-coders), so
>> >> > > > probably
>> >> > a little less
>> >> > than half the number of hams in the US.
>> >>
>> > 260,000/670,000 = about 38.9%
>

> Quite a bit less than half.
>> >
>> >> > However, there are well over 50,000 hams in Canada, which is also
>> >> > likely to abolish the code test very soon.
>> >
>> > Yep. But there are two big points about Canada:
>> >
>> > 1) The proposal would increase the written test level
>
> This is a biggie. Simply proposing to drop the code test is *not* the
> same thing as proposing to drop the code test *and* beef up the
> writtens.
>
> IIRC, one of the things proposed in Canada was to make the code test
> optional in that if you passed code you didn't need as high a grade on
> theory to get the license.
>

They proposed that in South Africa too, but they didn't do it, and I don't
think they will in Canada either, judging solely from having read all the
public comments

> If the nocodetest folks in the USA proposed options like those they
> might get a lot more support. But instead, we have folks like NCVEC
> telling us we must drop code *and* reduce the written still more.
>
>> > 2) Commentary to the Canadian proposal showed a clear majority
>> > favored the change. That's not the case in the USA, in any survey
>> > done to date, nor in the commentary to FCC.
>
> Another biggie.
>
>> >> > Don't forget that Japan, with a ham population of 1.2 Million
>> >> > (twice that of the US, out of maybe a fifth of your general
>> >> > population), has
>> >> > long had a no-code HF licence, albeit limited to 10 Watts.
>> >
>> > Check your numbers!
>> >
>> > Japan has over 3.1 million operator licenses - but they cost nothing
>> > and never expire, so that number is really the number of ham
>> > operator licenses issued since 1955, not the number of present-day
>> > hams.
>> >
>> > Japanese *station* licenses are a bit over 600,000 now, and have
>> > been dropping for a decade.
>> >
>> > The number of new JA licenses has also been dropping.
>> >
>> > See the AH0A website.
>> >

>> >> > I'm not sure
>> >> > how many Japanese hams have a no-code HF licence,
>> >
>> > Well over 95%.
>> >
>> >> > but they may even
>> >> > rival all the new ones so far put together, although the new > >
>> >> > guys can use more than 10 Watts! It's probably only a matter of
>> >> > time before Japan lets all of their hams use HF anyway.
>> >
>> > All Japanese hams have HF privileges *today*. Been that way for
>> > decades.
>> >
>> > But for all classes of ham license except 4th class, JA hams have a
>> > code test. And there's no move to change that yet.
>
> And for ten years JA ham license numbers have been dropping fast.
> *With* nocodetest HF.
>
>> >> > Even without the low power Japanese stations, the number of
>> >> > no-coders who have full HF privileges right now is probably about
>> >> > the same as the
>> >> > number of no-code Techs in the US.
>> >> >
>> > Close enough.
>>
>> And if there are already that number of no-code hams on HF without any
>> incident, what is the problem with abolishing the code test here?
>
> The USA isn't Japan. Different society, different culture, different
> rules.

Same aether (OK, I don't really beleive in an aether, but you know what I
mean)
>
> It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written* exam
> looks like.
>
> And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
> operator licenses is way down.
>
>> >> That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
>> >
>> > OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
>> > and the number of countries.
>> >
>> > The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test
>> > because a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for
>> > itself.
>

>> Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish the
>> code test.
>
> The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict individual
> ownership of firearms, too.
>
>> > Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
>> > dropped code testing?
>
>> No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
>
> So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
> *hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
Perfectly true, but I see getting these people onto HF as a good thing

1646. Re: 6444514670085005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 03:32:18 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <sxyRd.4833$vq5.4000@fe03.lga>
Source record: 103162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Herb" <Herb@herbieforever.net> wrote in message
news:cv6b1i$e12$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Conan Ford" <conanford@com.hotmail> wrote in message
> news:Xns9601C8B0A3760conanfordhotmailcom1@24.71.223.159...
> > "Herb" <Herb@herbieforever.net> wrote in news:cv5on8$8vm$0@pita.alt.net:
> >
> >> These are associated with the 3965 kHz pirate and other unidentified
> >> pirate activity on 6612 and 6682 kHz.
> >>
> >
> > So how do we decode those?
>
>
>
> The keys are given out several time during the broadcasts.
>
> Herb
>
>

So it's the real deal? Ok. Guess I should monitor thos freqs.

B.H.

1647. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 03:35:50 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9601E5F2D8B96elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103163

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108637750.922635.205620
>>>@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>
>>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>news:Xns95FFBCCC59B3Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108578593.250795.201100
>>>>>>@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino
>>
>> has
>>
>>>>>>>>fallen.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>How many countries does that make now, compared to those who
>>
>> still
>>
>>>>>>>have it?
>>
>>
>>>>>>It's getting a little difficult to keep track. However, I
>>>>>>think at
>>>>>>least the UK, Ireland, France, Germany, Belgium, the
>>>>>>Netherlands,
>>>>>>Luxembourg, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, Singapore,
>>>>>>Australia,
>>>>>>New Zealand, Papua Niugini, Hong Kong and South Africa have
>>>>>>abolished the code test so far. I think that of these only
>>

>> Austria
>>
>>>>>>and the Netherlands even retain an entry level licence that
>>>>>> doesn't give HF privileges.
>>>>>>That's only 17 countries, but I expect I may have missed some >
>>>
>>>>>>out. I make the combined ham population of the above something
>>
>> over
>>
>>>>>>>>>260,000 (possibly more than half of them no-coders), so
>>
>> probably
>>
>>>>>>a little less
>>>>>>than half the number of hams in the US.
>>>>
>>>>260,000/670,000 = about 38.9%
>>
>>
>> Quite a bit less than half.
>>
>>>>>>However, there are well over
>>>>>>50,000 hams in Canada, which is also likely to abolish the code
>>
>> test
>>
>>>>>>very soon.
>>>>
>>>>Yep. But there are two big points about Canada:
>>>>
>>>>1) The proposal would increase the written test level
>>
>>
>> This is a biggie. Simply proposing to drop the code test is *not* the
>> same thing as proposing to drop the code test *and* beef up the
>> writtens.
>
> I'd like that quite a bit.
>
>> IIRC, one of the things proposed in Canada was to make the code test
>> optional in that if you passed code you didn't need as high a grade on
>> theory to get the license.
>
> Now that just seems strange. The test should either be or not be.
> Not
> some kind of bonus that allows you to be less technically proficient.
>
>> If the nocodetest folks in the USA proposed options like those they
>> might get a lot more support. But instead, we have folks like NCVEC

>> telling us we must drop code *and* reduce the written still more.
>
> And how! Let's not forget that NCI also supports lowering the test
> requirements.
>
> All they have to go on is "gut" feelings. And unfortunately, the
> first
> wave of no-code Technicians appear to be dropping like flies. "Gut"
> feelings can be wrong.
>
> Theirs is a failed and incorrect paradigm.
>
> We don't need hams that thought that maybe it would be kewl to get
> a
> ham license some weekend between coffee at Starbucks and their Pilates
> classes, and then forget about it. We need hams who want to be hams.
>
>
>>>>2) Commentary to the Canadian proposal showed a clear majority
>>>>favored the change. That's not the case in the USA, in any survey
>>>>done to date, nor in the commentary to FCC.
>>
>>
>> Another biggie.
>>
>>
>>>>>>Don't forget that Japan, with a ham population of 1.2 Million
>>>>>>(twice
>>
>>>>>>that of the US, out of maybe a fifth of your general
>>>>>>population), has
>>>>>>long had a no-code HF licence, albeit limited to 10 Watts.
>>>>
>>>>Check your numbers!
>>>>
>>>>Japan has over 3.1 million operator licenses - but they cost
>>>> nothing and never expire, so that number is really the number of ham
>>>> operator licenses issued since 1955, not the number of present-day
>>>> hams.
>>>>
>>>>Japanese *station* licenses are a bit over 600,000 now, and have
>>>> been dropping for a decade. The number of new JA licenses has also
>>>> been dropping.
>>>>See the AH0A website.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>I'm not sure
>>>>>>how many Japanese hams have a no-code HF licence,
>>>>
>>>>Well over 95%.

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>but they may even
>>>>>>rival all the new ones so far put together, although the new > >
>>>>>>guys can use more than 10 Watts! It's probably only a matter of
>>>>>>time before Japan lets all of their hams use HF anyway.
>>>>
>>>>All Japanese hams have HF privileges *today*. Been that way for
>>>>decades.
>>>>
>>>>But for all classes of ham license except 4th class, JA hams have a
>>>>code test. And there's no move to change that yet.
>>
>>
>> And for ten years JA ham license numbers have been dropping fast.
>> *With* nocodetest HF.
>
>
> Quick! Let's emulate Japan! Except we can do it better by allowing
> the
> newbies full power privileges.
>
> Japan's obvious success can be our own!
>>
>>>>>>Even without the low power Japanese stations, the number of
>>>>>>no-coders who have full HF privileges right now is probably
>>>>>> about the same as the number of no-code Techs in the US.
>>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Close enough.
>>>
>>>And if there are already that number of no-code hams on HF without any
>>>incident, what is the problem with abolishing the code test here?
>>
>>
>> The USA isn't Japan. Different society, different culture, different
>> rules.
>
> I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but lets
> say
> in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a woman not
> knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning herself when trying
> to hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry Phil, but what if she
> simply ruined her dress because the coffee was wet?- negligent design
> of the cup?)
>
> So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt rig, and
> is
> half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey thingies on
> the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful lawsuits

> already!
>
> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a painful burn
> and
> a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that catches a
> ride on a thousand watts might just have a very successful lawsuit if
> we don't train them well.
>
> RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should be a
> Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to handle full
> legal limit.
>
>
> And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or some
> other
> weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things such as
> Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a second to
> drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the control op can
> react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full power safety
> requirements.
>
> Anything else is criminally negligent.
>
>> It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written* exam
>> looks like.
>>
>> And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
>> operator licenses is way down.
>>
>>
>>>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
>>>>
>>>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
>>>>and the number of countries.
>>>>
>>>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test
>>>>because a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for itself.
>>
>>
>>>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish the
>>>code test.
>>
>>
>> The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict individual
>> ownership of firearms, too.
>>
>>
>>>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
>>>>dropped code testing?
>>

>>
>>>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
>>
>>
>> So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
>> *hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
>
> Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people make it
> out
> to be.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>

Well, it is a problem. No-coders may have been in the hobby, but they couldn't do everything that they wanted to do. Not that I'm saying that the Tech theory should get you full privileges, but there have always been many Techs who could pass Extra class theory. Herding them above 30 MHz is a problem, not for you perhaps, but still a problem.

1648. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 03:56:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UKmdnY534OZ9JYvfRVn-uA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103164

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:

<some snippage>

>> I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but lets
>> say
>>in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a woman not
>>knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning herself when trying
>>to hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry Phil, but what if she
>>simply ruined her dress because the coffee was wet?- negligent design
>>of the cup?)
>>
>> So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt rig, and
>> is
>>half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey thingies on
>>the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful lawsuits
>>already!
>>
>> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a painful burn
>> and
>>a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that catches a
>>ride on a thousand watts might just have a very successful lawsuit if
>>we don't train them well.
>>
>>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should be a
>>Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to handle full
>>legal limit.
>>
>>
>> And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or some
>> other
>>weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things such as
>>Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a second to
>>drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the control op can
>>react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full power safety
>>requirements.
>>
>>Anything else is criminally negligent.
>>
>>
>>>It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written* exam
>>>looks like.

>>>
>>>And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
>>>operator licenses is way down.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
>>>>>
>>>>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
>>>>>and the number of countries.
>>>>>
>>>>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test
>>>>>because a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for itself.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish the
>>>>code test.
>>>
>>>
>>>The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict individual
>>>ownership of firearms, too.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
>>>>>dropped code testing?
>>>
>>>
>>>>>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
>>>
>>>
>>>So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
>>>*hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
>>
>> Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people make it
>> out
>>to be.
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Well, it is a problem. No-coders may have been in the hobby, but they
> couldn't do everything that they wanted to do.

People who have not passed the test have been kept out of things they
want to do in the hobby too.

> Not that I'm saying that the
> Tech theory should get you full privileges, but there have always been many
> Techs who could pass Extra class theory.

Yup, Like me. But I apparently showed the character flaw od learning
Morse code! ;^)

As a guy who can't "hear" people unless he can see the mouth of the
person speaking, I have just a little trouble figuring out the problem
with normal people for which the test is too hard to make it worth
getting a license.

But it is! Witness all those who are dropping off the ranks when their
license expires.

I predict the next tack of the NCI's is that not allowing the codeless
Techs HF access is why they aren't renewing their license.

> Herding them above 30 MHz is a
> problem, not for you perhaps, but still a problem.

"Herding" is a strange name for allowing access to almost all the
Amateur spectrum.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1649. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 04:41:12 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4216c40a$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103165

Well let's look at some numbers shall we....

The following are the Numbers of people entering the amateur radio service:

DATE TOTAL

12/04/04 671,837
01/02/05 667,562 down by 4,275
01/09/05 668,051 up by 489
01/16/05 668,750 up by 735
TOTAL STILL DOWN 3,015

The bottom line numbers really don't lie...people can say amateur radio is on the rise but the actually truth is the number of people getting in the service is still down from the previous month

Todd

"Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message news:1108402983.702208.60420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> For more than 50 years, some hams have been yelling "Ham Radio is
> Dying!" "Ham Radio is Dying!" They came up with all kinds of bright
> ideas. Incentive licensing...school clubs...extra-easy study
> guides...dumbing down the licensing tests...no code licenses...on and
> on. Why do some hams believe ham radio is dying? They are bored with
> the hobby themselves! They put together some equipment, strung up
> antennas, exchanged signal and weather reports, chased certificates,
> collected QSL cards and after a few years or more of this they ask: "Is
> this all there is?" Bah Humbug...yup that's about all it is. So what?
> What more do you want it to be?
>
>

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1650. Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 05:12:36 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <8VzRd.3963$x53.152@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103166

Didn't think this could happen; someone operating
Morse code violating the rules....

from the ARRL web site newsletters:
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/05/0218/

The FCC has affirmed a $4000 fine for Paul D. Westcott, KC0OAB, of Purdy, Missouri, for "willful and repeated failure to respond to Commission requests for information about his station." The Commission released a Forfeiture Order in the case on February 16. The FCC reports it has received "numerous complaints" alleging that KC0OAB for several months now has been transmitting CW "24 hours a day, 7 days a week" on 7.030 MHz. While complainants assert the transmissions constitute broadcasting, the FCC contends they interfere with other amateur communications "due to an apparent lack of station control."

1651. TODAY'S FREE MOVIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 06:01:59 UTC
From: cc22003@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108789797.691249.81870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103167

www.movie135.4x2.net << TODAY'S FREE MOVIE

(you may need realplayer to view)

1652. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 06:09:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <SMqdnSmC1tVqRIvfRVn-oQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103168

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
> One of the things that has been repeatedly promised and predicted for
> the
> various license test changes was that we'd get more 'technically
> inclined'
> new hams, who would revolutionize ham radio with 'new modes and
> modulations' and other neat stuff. Yet when it comes to actually
> *building radios*, we
> see even the self-proclaimed 'professionals in radio' buying them
> ready-made.
> And ginving those who *do* build their own rigs a raft of $&!# about
> doing so.
>
> Kinda makes ya wonder....

You can forget about hams becoming more technical, that is a thing of
the past. Todays hams are strictly plug and play appliance operators,
the most technical they get now is how many push buttons are on the
front panel and how many memories in the radio. The best we can hope for
is that the test be geared such that they learn the rules and proper
operating procedures.
>

1653. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 07:11:40 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4216e74d$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103169

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4210C97A.B7DA6D7A@earthlink.net...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >
> > The Death of Amateur Radio
> >
> > By
> >
> > Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> >
> > I've been asked on the newsgroup
rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > to back up my statements regarding the death of amateur radio and the
FCC's
> > suppression of free speech on the radio. Therefore, I've deiced to write
> > this paper on the subject. Now, I know there are amateur radio operators
who
> > will not read this article or will write it off as the writes by some
crack
> > pot.
>
> Well, Todd, I've read the entire thing and I've not written it off "as
> the writes by some crack pot". I've written it off "as the writes by
> some" special crackpot.
>
>
> > But one must remember everyone has an opinion; this happens to be mine.
>
> ...and you aren't one to allow reality to stand in your way.
>
> > Amateur radio is slowing dying; now many amateurs would disagree
with
> > that statement however, this is a harsh reality.
>
Well let's look at some numbers shall we....

The following are the Numbers of people entering the amateur radio service:

DATE TOTAL

12/04/04 671,837
01/02/05 667,562 down by 4,275
01/09/05 668,051 up by 489
01/16/05 668,750 up by 735
TOTAL STILL DOWN 3,015

The bottom line numbers really don't lie...people can say amateur radio is
on the rise but the actually truth is the number of people getting in the
service is still down from the previous month

> Many radio amateurs would disagree with your statement because it has no
> basis in fact. Now THAT is harsh reality.
>
> > Now as I stated above I
> > have been asked to "prove it" so that what I'm attending to do. Amateur
> > radio is dying because it is unable to keep up with commercial services.
>
> Amateur radio isn't a commercial service and isn't in competition with
> commercial services. It has no reason to "keep up".

And it's THAT attitude that will kill amateur radio. No one will come to the
service if there is something BETTER out there.

> > On
> > February of 2000 I participated in a discussion entitled "What the heck
is
> > Packet radio go for anyway" which was started by someone named
"Inquisitor"
> > anyway I pointed out that Packet Radio didn't have the variety as the
> > internet. If packet was to grow packet would have to basically compete
with
> > the internet.
>
> Packet radio is not the internet. It has no reason to become like the
> internet.

That's YOUR opinion, Packet could be better then the Internet but wait
dumbass like you don't want that.

> > For amateur radio to survive
> > they are going to have to compete with the internet or there will be no
> > amateur radio in near future.
>
> Sure, Todd--and amateur astronomy is going to have to compete with
> roller blading or there will be no amateur astronomy in the future.

Not comparable, what you are comparing is two hobbies while I'm comparing
two communication system, One dominate (internet) and the other is a third
class communication system (amateur radio).

>
> > As I stated on the newsgroup
> > rec.radio.amateur.policy look at it this way. Go to streets of your town
as
> > ask the average person on the street if they had a choice between the
> > Internet and Amateur radio which one would they pick? The vast majority
of
> > people would pick the internet. The reason is the internet provides a
vast

> > variety of information unlike amateur radio. People can talk via email,
chat
> > rooms, voice communication and other systems over the internet. With
> > Internet 2 coming out the Internet with grow ever more.
>
> Ask the average man on the street to choose between the stamp collecting
> and the internet and he'll likely choose the internet. He knows more
> about the internet and stamp collecting has no provision for downloading
> pirated music or pornography.

The majority of people don't what amateur radio is...and the vast majority
of people don't care.

> Amateur radio has variety of information unlike the internet. People
> can talk via their voices, via Morse, via keyboard modes, via
> television. The two are not the same thing. That's why I'm introducing
> Amateur Radio II, aka Amateur Radio Lite. It'll be like amateur radio
> but without all of the icky stuff like "RF", "IF", fomulae and morse
> code. It'll draw those folks who are "otherwise qualified" and mildly
> interested.
Voice, Morse code, television on and on can ALL be done on the internet.
what amateurs need to is advance and come up with something a lot new...My
packet idea is one way.

> > Why should someone
> > take the time to get a license to talk to people all over the world via
> > radio when they can do it on the internet?
>
> Why would someone take up tightrope walking when there are perfectly
> good sidewalks? Why would anyone walk when they can drive a car?
>
>
> > One of the problems that helps propagate this no competing attitude is
both
> > the amateur and FCC's view on content control.
>
> Ahhhh. This is where Todd gets into his favorite rant.
>
> > Section 326 of the Communication Act of 1934 prohibits the FCC for
> > controlling the content of ANY radio station. This also applies to the
> > amateur radio service. However, this seems NOT to be the case.
>
> You've been given free advice from a professional in the field. You've
> chosen to ignore the advice because it conflicts with your rather
> uneducated view of the regulations.

No but this bullshit idea that you have to be a lawyer to read rules and
regulation which are straight forward is that bullshit.

> > When I
> > announced on the newsgroup about my Information bulletin I received a
post

> > from Riley Hollingsworth the FCC chief enforcer of the amateur radio
> > service. Telling me to let him know when I go on the air so he can send
me a
> > "QSL CARD". The QSL card he was of course talking about was a warning
> > letter. This of course is not the first time Mr. Hollingsworth who works
for
> > the FCC tried to suppress Free Speech.
>
> A smarter fellow would have taken the hint which Mr. Hollingsworth
> dropped.
First off the system wasn't up and running so he should of shut his fucking
mouth because information bulletins are LEGAL. My information bulletins run
on one day, for one hour and deal with amateur radio issues....thus legal.
If it's interfering with transmission (which it isn't) fine then go after
the interference but to get on a newsgroups and intimidate a system BEFORE
IT'S EVEN ON is showing how he and the Fucking FCC really are...ASSHOLES!

> > In 1990 the
> > FCC sent letters out to 19 Net and Bulletin stations on 20 meters and of
> > course the ARRL a.k.a. The Amateur Radio Nazi Party deiced to stick
their
> > Gestapo free speech suppression nose in it.
>
> I doubt that the ARRL "deiced" anything. Your choice of nicknames
> further marks you as a very special crackpot.
>
> > I was asked on
> > the newsgroup to prove how I'm being suppressed. Well, when you have a
FCC
> > official threaten you with a warning letter over your Information
bulletin
> > which hadn't even begun. Then the idea if suppression of Free Speech by
a
> > Federal agency is a primary example of my right to voice my opinion is
being
> > suppressed by the FCC.
>
> You were repeatedly asked which things you were being prevented from
> saying over the air via amateur radio. You never bothered to reply.
> You've provided the FCC enough ammunition through your public statements
> here, to nail your hide to the barn door if you decide that you want to
> play boy broadcaster.

Intimidate someone before they have the system is even up and running is a
form of suppression. secondly if you didn't read above my information
bulletins are legal. My information bulletins run on one day, for one hour
and deal with amateur radio issues. They are legal regardless of what you
think.

Todd N9OGL

> Dave K8MN
>

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1654. fast easy cash. very worth it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 08:57:03 UTC
From: masatosan <neosilvia87@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1108803423.002312.220180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103170
Cross-posted to: rec.arts.sf.movies,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.arts.animation

Im tellin yah it workx and its so worth it

Turn $5.00 into $50,000...read this to find out how!!!
READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!!!
I found this on a bulletin board like this one and decided to try it.
A little while back, I was browsing through a newsgroup, just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $5.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading... I also did an internet search and found nothing but success stories.

Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00 to each of the 5 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at ..5, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured "what have I got to lose except 5 stamps and $5.00, right?"

Then I invested the measly $5.00 (I use the word "measly" because $5 really is measly compared to the money I have made through the initial investment). Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in.
In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.

This is now my fourth week and I have made just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $5.00, and 5 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!!
Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....
Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it.
I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!

Suggestion:
Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy.
It's legal. And, your investment is only $5.00 (Plus postage)

IMPORTANT:
This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends.
PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days.
This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue it's success by carefully adhering to the instructions.
You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list.
Here are the 4 easy steps to success:

STEP 1:
Get 5 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST."
Now get 5 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery).
Next, place one paper in each of the 5 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 5 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase "PLEASE
PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill.
What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!
You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it!
Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal!
Mail the 5 envelopes to the following addresses:

..1) Jason R
3702 Werner Ave.
Austin, TX 78722

..2) J Arenas
1600 Malibu
Carrollton, TX 75006

..3) Richonda S
CMR 402 BOX 274
APO, AE 09180

..4) J Roth
2901 Barton Skyway ..3406
Austin, TX 78746

..5)Jesse James
1778 Swift Ave.
Ventura, Ca 93003

STEP 2: Now take the ..1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (5 becomes 4, 4 becomes 3, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 5 on the list.

STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 32,000+) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make!

This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again.

PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions.
Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others have received will come your way.

NOTE:
You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, five members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each.
Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the ..1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!!
What an opportunity for only $5.00 ($1.00 for each of the first five people listed above)
Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!

---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------
Step 1)
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the
entire letter into the computer's memory.
Step 2)
Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list.
Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to.
Step 4)

Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions, etc.)
Step 5)
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this
will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button.
You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT!
All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now the WHY part:
Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example).
So then I made $5.00 with my name at ..5 on the letter.
Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at ..5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at ..4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00!
Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at ..3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00!
OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at ..2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!!
Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at ..1 and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With an original investment of only $5.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $5.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 5 again. And start posting again.
The thing to remember is do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!!
So, can you afford $5.00 and see if it really works?? I think so...
People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try?

Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands
of those joining the actual internet.
Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work!!!

1655. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 09:27:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108805227.135662.53450@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103171

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > NEWS FLASH! -
> >
> > Rooters News Service
>
> "Rooters," huh?
>
> > Southern California's worst air disaster occurred when a small
> > two-seater Cessna 150 plane, piloted by Leonard H. Anderson of Sun
> > Valley, California, crashed into a cemetery earlier today.
> >
> > Search and rescue workers have recovered 300 bodies so far and
expect
> > the number to climb as digging continues into the evening.
> >
> > The pilot and his wife, Sigmundi "Moon Unit" Anderson survived and
> are
> > helping in the recovery efforts.
>
> Interesting how you call others "liars."

There's a big difference between mischievious humor and
intentional deceit.

You and Lennie can't tell the difference. It's when you persist
in your story after having been corrected that it goes from one to the
other.

Steve, K4YZ

1656. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 09:36:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108805787.590455.127440@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103172

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > I said the "VISION" of you doing it made sense.
> > >
> > > How can you have vision of something that doesn't exist?
> >
> > The same way the Wright Brothers saw an airplane fly.
>
> They built that airplane. It existed.

They built that airplane from...A kit? Plans in Mechanic's
Illustrated? Ordered it from Sears and Roebuck?

> > Oh...no complaint. Just an observation. You delve into the
same
> > "Nursie" and other "endearments" that your Chief String Puller
uses,
> so
> > you can benefit from his trailblazing.
>
> Nope. You are Steve. Ungentlemanlike Steve. Steve is a nurse. I
> referred to him as a nurse. Get a grip.

My grip is quite firm, Brian.

I warned you where this would go if you continued with your course
of action a couple days ago.

And your reference ot me as "Nurse" is situational. You've mimiced
Lennie's "nursie" on several occassions.

> > And I thought we were moving along nicely until you reopened
> this
> > can of worms. I knew you wouldn't be able to keep a civil tounge
> > long...
>
> You were the one shooting off your mouth about other people's claims.
> I merely asked you about your claims.

And your other untruthful misrepresentations. Again.

> > > > There's no "rage" in my part. Just overt laughter at the
> idea
> > > of

> > > > you playing with your little tugboat going "toot toot".
> > > >
> > > > > Best of luck.
> > > >
> > > > No luck needed. You supplied everthing I needed...Again.
> > > Thanks.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > You need lots of luck. You see things that aren't there.
> >
> > It's called an "imagination", Brain...
>
> Indeed. You have a very active one.

Indeed I do. And I can see you sitting in the tub with a rubber
tugboat going "toot toot".

> > The same "imagination" you used to create all those DXploits
> > you've tried to embellish your Amateur career with.
>
> What embellishment?

Start off with your "Somalia operation". Follow that up with your
insistence that you worked the equivilent of DXCC several times over.
Then we can go on to your "experiences" in "emergency comms" and how
unlicensed devices play a "major role".

> > And I still don't need any luck to deal with you. Ever.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> More imagination.

Fact, actually. You make it just too easy to do. Why do you
insist on subjecting yourself to such self-humiliation?

Steve, K4YZ

1657. Re: International Ham Radio Exam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 11:42:42 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <37on1kF5getecU1@individual.net>
Source record: 103173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:f82e11l034oiha5uqvmmgsc41q082bs0jh@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 17:07:18 -0500, "Herb" <Herb@herbieforever.net>
> wrote:
>
>>A little known fact is that you can obtain your IARL free of charge, if
>>you appear in person and make application at the U.N. Telecommunications
>>Office in NYC.

It is hardly going to be free of charge if I have to sit on a bloody jet for
23 hours to get to New York!

Brad.

1658. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 12:28:11 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wcCdnYUNtfZerorfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 103174

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:4216c40a$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> Well let's look at some numbers shall we....
>
>
> The following are the Numbers of people entering the amateur radio
> service:
>
> DATE TOTAL
> -------------------------------------
> 12/04/04 671,837
> 01/02/05 667,562 down by 4,275
> 01/09/05 668,051 up by 489
> 01/16/05 668,750 up by 735
> TOTAL STILL DOWN 3,015
>
> The bottom line numbers really don't lie...people can say amateur radio is
> on the rise but the actually truth is the number of people getting in the
> service is still down from the previous month
>
> Todd

And as any competent statistician can tell you, a variation over this short time frame for this type of data is not likely to be significant. You have to look at longer term data. In addition, changes of less than 1% are seldom signficant.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1659. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 12:36:41 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <McWdnZTdw6dfqIrfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 103175

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9601E5F2D8B96elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:

[big, big snip. Do you have to quote EVERYTHING??]

>
>
> Well, it is a problem. No-coders may have been in the hobby, but they
> couldn't do everything that they wanted to do. Not that I'm saying that
> the
> Tech theory should get you full privileges, but there have always been
> many
> Techs who could pass Extra class theory. Herding them above 30 MHz is a
> problem, not for you perhaps, but still a problem.

No one can do everything they want to, not even the Extras. We must comply
with the mode regulations, special power limits in the old novice subbands,
and we should comply with the band plans.

There is no "herding" involved. The "gate" wide open.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1660. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 14:52:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108824734.479612.55120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103176

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > NEWS FLASH! -
> > >
> > > Rooters News Service
> >
> > "Rooters," huh?
> >
> > > Southern California's worst air disaster occurred when a small
> > > two-seater Cessna 150 plane, piloted by Leonard H. Anderson of
Sun
> > > Valley, California, crashed into a cemetery earlier today.
> > >
> > > Search and rescue workers have recovered 300 bodies so far and
> expect
> > > the number to climb as digging continues into the evening.
> > >
> > > The pilot and his wife, Sigmundi "Moon Unit" Anderson survived
and
> > are
> > > helping in the recovery efforts.
> >
> > Interesting how you call others "liars."
>
> There's a big difference between mischievious humor and
> intentional deceit.
>
> You and Lennie can't tell the difference. It's when you persist
> in your story after having been corrected that it goes from one to
the
> other.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You've been corrected.

1661. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 15:07:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108825645.388720.65120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103177

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > I said the "VISION" of you doing it made sense.
> > > >
> > > > How can you have vision of something that doesn't exist?
> > >
> > > The same way the Wright Brothers saw an airplane fly.
> >
> > They built that airplane. It existed.
>
> They built that airplane from...A kit? Plans in Mechanic's
> Illustrated? Ordered it from Sears and Roebuck?

Mechanical drawings. Their mechanical drawings.

> > > Oh...no complaint. Just an observation. You delve into the
> same
> > > "Nursie" and other "endearments" that your Chief String Puller
> uses,
> > > so
> > > you can benefit from his trailblazing.
> >
> > Nope. You are Steve. Ungentlemanlike Steve. Steve is a nurse. I
> > referred to him as a nurse. Get a grip.
>
> My grip is quite firm, Brian.

But you grasp the wrong things.

> I warned you where this would go if you continued with your
course
> of action a couple days ago.

Yup. You're back to your natural form, calling people names, calling
people liars.

> And your reference ot me as "Nurse" is situational. You've
mimiced
> Lennie's "nursie" on several occassions.

Then I apologize.

> > > And I thought we were moving along nicely until you reopened
> > this
> > > can of worms. I knew you wouldn't be able to keep a civil tounge
> > > long...
> > >
> > You were the one shooting off your mouth about other people's
claims.
> > I merely asked you about your claims.
>
> And your other untruthful misrepresentations. Again.

So how 'bout some war stories?

> > > > > There's no "rage" in my part. Just overt laughter at
the
> > idea
> > > > of
> > > > > you playing with your little tugboat going "toot toot".
> > > > >
> > > > > > Best of luck.
> > > > >
> > > > > No luck needed. You supplied everthing I needed...Again.
> > > > Thanks.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > You need lots of luck. You see things that aren't there.
> > >
> > > It's called an "imagination", Brain...
> > >
> > Indeed. You have a very active one.
>
> Indeed I do. And I can see you sitting in the tub with a rubber
> tugboat going "toot toot".

Which I have not done. Again you persist once you've been corrected.
I believe that is your own definition of a liar.

> > > The same "imagination" you used to create all those DXploits
> > > you've tried to embellish your Amateur career with.
> > >
> > What embellishment?
>
> Start off with your "Somalia operation".

No embellishment. I operated from Somalia.

Follow that up with your
> insistence that you worked the equivilent of DXCC several times over.

I'd like to see that requoted.

I've worked more than 100 DXCC entities from the CONUS, and many more
than that from Guam. I had little time to operate from HL9 and T5 and

was not even close to 100 entities from Somalia.

Had I worked for the UN, I might have left there with 40,000 QSOs like
some other relief workers that you see in QST. ;^)

> Then we can go on to your "experiences" in "emergency comms" and how
> unlicensed devices play a "major role".

Are military comms licensed?

> > > And I still don't need any luck to deal with you. Ever.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > More imagination.
>
> Fact, actually.

You live in a Water Mitty kind of world.

Seven hostile actions? Hi!

> You make it just too easy to do. Why do you
> insist on subjecting yourself to such self-humiliation?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, the more you type the more people see that you're nuts.

Best of Luck.

1662. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 15:17:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108826238.643584.221920@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103178

robert casey wrote:
> Didn't think this could happen; someone operating
> Morse code violating the rules....
>
> from the ARRL web site newsletters:
> http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/05/0218/
>
> The FCC has affirmed a $4000 fine for Paul D. Westcott, KC0OAB, of
Purdy,
> Missouri, for "willful and repeated failure to respond to Commission
> requests for information about his station."

Morse Myth #999: Morse Ops are all that, too.

1663. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 15:25:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108826718.231136.8320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103179

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > Date: Wed, Feb 16 2005 4:30 pm
> > > From: "bb"
> > >
> > > >
> > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > >> bb wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> > No doubt you have many excuses, Brian. Get your
> > problems
> > > > fixed...soon.
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >> >
> > > >> Nurse, heal thyself.
> > > >>
> > > >> I'm not ill nor injured.
> > > >
> > > >There's an ongoing debate about that. Some think both.
> > > >
> > > Tsk, tsk. Stebe bin digging into the Sharps too deep again...
> :-)
> >
> > He's getting ready to have an "episode."
>
> Who's "Stebe"..?

He's the guy Len is referring to.

> What "episode"...???

Patient records are sealed.

> > > >> You, however, have a credibilty issue that needs to be
> > repaired.
> > > >
> > > >My credit is just fine. Actually, it's exceptional.
> > >
> > > Stebe (the Avenging Angle...always a "right" angle) is into
> > > "credible."
> > > One might even say he is INcredible!
> >
> > I do.

>
> What's incredible is how you two can actually be so well
> documented as liars and still think that you're believed by anyone
> about anything even when you're right about something...Which is
> rare...

We're right more often than you'd like to think, more often than you'll
admit.

> > > >> Telling the truth and distancing yourself from other
persons
> > > known
> > > >> to lie and deceive is a good start. (Not that he's been able
to
> > > figure
> > > >> out how to "join" us since AOL dropped it's newsgroup reader)
> > > >>
> > > >> Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > >Steve, perhaps you'd like to mentor Len in the joys of googling?
> > >
> > > Heh heh heh. Pour lil Stebie (he musta "poured" hisself one
too
> > > many)
> > > can't keep track of time. AOL shut off newsgroups on Feb 9, a
> > > Wednesday.
> > > I last posted on 14 Feb 05 via Google.
> >
> > Him making statements like that could damage his credibility.
>
> I stand corrected. Way to go, Lennie...

At least you didn't persist. This time.

> > > Tsk, he "sees" things that aren't there...and can't see things
> > that
> > > are!
> >
> > That would present problems for most people.
>
> > > Hmmm...who say "lie and deceive?" Must be Stebie...to
himself.
>
> Nope.

Who's "Stebie"..?

> To Leonard H Anderson...Who can't take this post to a lawyer and
> say "make him stop" becasue he knows who'd be proven the liar and
who'd
> be laughing all the way home.

Huh?

> > Better go easy. He might start yelling at hisself.
>
> Naw...Just laughing at the "superior intellect".
>
> What a waste of opportunity your life has been, Lennie...To wind
up
> the laughing stock of the practitioners of a technical hobby that you
> could have been a "household name" of...

Why do you say that he could have been a "household name" of?

1664. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 17:10:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108833031.680772.3820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103184

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Who's "Stebe"..?
>
> He's the guy Len is referring to.

And who is Lennie refering to? Or is this another of his
"incomming artillery" stories?

> > What "episode"...???
>
> Patient records are sealed.

What patient records? More fiction, I see.

> > What's incredible is how you two can actually be so well
> > documented as liars and still think that you're believed by anyone
> > about anything even when you're right about something...Which is
> > rare...
>
> We're right more often than you'd like to think, more often than
you'll
> admit.

If you were "right" that often, Brain, we wouldn't be having this
conversation.

The fact is you THINK you are getting "something" right, but all
you are doing is compounding your humiliation. That's why it's
important for you to not sign your name to your posts.

S'ok by me.

> > I stand corrected. Way to go, Lennie...
>
> At least you didn't persist. This time.

Because I WASN'T right and I admitted it.

That's what seperates you and Lennie from the rest of us...

...the intestinal fortitude to do what's right when "doing what's
right" is what's called for.

> > To Leonard H Anderson...Who can't take this post to a lawyer
and
> > say "make him stop" becasue he knows who'd be proven the liar and

> who'd
> > be laughing all the way home.
>
> Huh?

"Huh" indeed. You got it.

You're in the same boat and you're way past being able to
successfully bail the thing out.

> > > Better go easy. He might start yelling at hisself.
> >
> > Naw...Just laughing at the "superior intellect".
> >
> > What a waste of opportunity your life has been, Lennie...To
wind
> up
> > the laughing stock of the practitioners of a technical hobby that
you
> > could have been a "household name" of...
>
> Why do you say that he could have been a "household name" of?

Because had Lennie taken even a tenth of the energy he expells in
this forum and focused it into something constuctive, he might have
"been" something...the role model engineer he PERCEIVES himself as
being.

Unfortunately what he DID become was a discredited liar and
antagonist. His loss. No one twisted his arm to do it. The
engineering community in general and Amateur Radio in particular are
doing just fine without him.

You followed right along. Now you have the same bruised
credibility and pitiful character issues.

Sucks to be you, Brian.

Steve, K4YZ

1665. Ham Exam In Eureka, CA area ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 17:19:06 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <eyKRd.32263$xt.18397@fed1read07>
Source record: 103181

Looking for nearest testing location for a friend

ARRL exam locations doesn't list any

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

1666. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 17:25:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108833937.894466.232700@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103183

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > I said the "VISION" of you doing it made sense.
> > > > >
> > > > > How can you have vision of something that doesn't exist?
> > > >
> > > > The same way the Wright Brothers saw an airplane fly.
> > >
> > > They built that airplane. It existed.
> >
> > They built that airplane from...A kit? Plans in Mechanic's
> > Illustrated? Ordered it from Sears and Roebuck?
>
> Mechanical drawings. Their mechanical drawings.

And those "mechanical drawings" came from...WHERE...???

> > > > Oh...no complaint. Just an observation. You delve into
the
> > same
> > > > > "Nursie" and other "endearments" that your Chief String Puller
> > uses,
> > > > so
> > > > > you can benefit from his trailblazing.
> > >
> > > Nope. You are Steve. Ungentlemanlike Steve. Steve is a nurse.
I
> > > referred to him as a nurse. Get a grip.
> >
> > My grip is quite firm, Brian.
>
> But you grasp the wrong things.

Hardly.

You're a fool. You have been archived in errors over and over.

You compound your errors by refusing to acknowledge them even when
pointed out to you over and over.

> > I warned you where this would go if you continued with your
> course
> > of action a couple days ago.
>
> Yup. You're back to your natural form, calling people names, calling
> people liars.

But you ARE a liar, Brain. Been archived as such in Google and
deja-News before it.

Your choice...Not mine.

> > And your reference ot me as "Nurse" is situational. You've
> mimiced
> > Lennie's "nursie" on several occassions.
>
> Then I apologize.

Accepted. It's only been five years.

> > > > And I thought we were moving along nicely until you
reopened
> > > this
> > > > can of worms. I knew you wouldn't be able to keep a civil
tounge
> > > > long...
> > >
> > > You were the one shooting off your mouth about other people's
> claims.
> > > I merely asked you about your claims.
> >
> > And your other untruthful misrepresentations. Again.
>
> So how 'bout some war stories?

Why? Are they pertinent to this forum? Do they relate to Amateur
Radio? Do you want me to make up stories to impress you like you've
made up to impress us?

> > > > > > There's no "rage" in my part. Just overt laughter at
> the
> > > idea
> > > > > of
> > > > > > you playing with your little tugboat going "toot toot".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Best of luck.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No luck needed. You supplied everthing I
needed...Again.
> > > > > Thanks.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >

> > > > > You need lots of luck. You see things that aren't there.
> > > > >
> > > > > It's called an "imagination", Brain...
> > > >
> > > > Indeed. You have a very active one.
> > >
> > > Indeed I do. And I can see you sitting in the tub with a
rubber
> > tugboat going "toot toot".
>
> Which I have not done. Again you persist once you've been corrected.
> I believe that is your own definition of a liar.

"Brian Burke sits in a bathtub playing with a rubber
tugboat"...THAT would be a lie. (...or at least I assume so...)

"I can see you..." or "I can envision..." expresses imagination

> > > > > The same "imagination" you used to create all those
DXploits
> > > > > you've tried to embellish your Amateur career with.
> > > >
> > > > What embellishment?
> > >
> > > Start off with your "Somalia operation".
>
> No embellishment. I operated from Somalia.

Illegally if you did at all.

> Follow that up with your
> > > insistence that you worked the equivilent of DXCC several times
over.
>
> I'd like to see that requoted.

I may very well dig it out sometime...The fact is that othr posters
here have called you on it before.

> I've worked more than 100 DXCC entities from the CONUS, and many more
> than that from Guam. I had little time to operate from HL9 and T5
and
> was not even close to 100 entities from Somalia.
>
> Had I worked for the UN, I might have left there with 40,000 QSOs
like
> some other relief workers that you see in QST.

You very well may have.

But then you would have had valid permission to do so and DXCC
recognition for your operation.

As it is presently, you have neither. You were a bootlegger.

Illegal.

> > Then we can go on to your "experiences" in "emergency comms" and
how
> > unlicensed devices play a "major role".
>
> Are military comms licensed?

Is this a military forum?

Nice try to redirect, Brian. It's only been 18 months now...You
just now figuring out how to try and dodge this? The topic was Part 95
and part 15 devices.

And military units HAVE authorization to operate, even on
FCC-administered allocations from time to time...Even in emergencies.
(Read Part 97 lately?)

> > > > And I still don't need any luck to deal with you. Ever.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > More imagination.
> >
> > Fact, actually.
>
> You live in a Water Mitty kind of world.

I am sure you'd like to think so.

> Seven hostile actions?

Yep. All of them before you even knew where Somalia was...

> > You make it just too easy to do. Why do you
> > insist on subjecting yourself to such self-humiliation?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, the more you type the more people see that you're nuts.

Just "nuts" for spending so much time holding the mirror up for
ya, Brian...But then you keep waltzing out in public half naked all the
time.

> Best of Luck.

Thanks, but you keep stacking the deck in my favor.

Steve, K4YZ

1667. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 17:28:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108834108.362169.313960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103180

```
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > NEWS FLASH! -
> > > >
> > > > Rooters News Service
> > >
> > > "Rooters," huh?
> > >
> > > > Southern California's worst air disaster occurred when a small
> > > > two-seater Cessna 150 plane, piloted by Leonard H. Anderson of
> Sun
> > > > Valley, California, crashed into a cemetery earlier today.
> > > >
> > > > Search and rescue workers have recovered 300 bodies so far and
> > expect
> > > > the number to climb as digging continues into the evening.
> > > >
> > > > The pilot and his wife, Sigmundi "Moon Unit" Anderson survived
> and
> > > are
> > > > helping in the recovery efforts.
> > >
> > > Interesting how you call others "liars."
> >
> > There's a big difference between mischievious humor and
> > intentional deceit.
> >
> > You and Lennie can't tell the difference. It's when you
persist
> > in your story after having been corrected that it goes from one to
> the
> > other.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You've been corrected.

How can you correct "fiction"...???

Even the dullest of intellects realize the initial post to be
sarcasm and spoof.
```

Well...MOST of the dullest...YOU seem to take it verbatim. Silly Rabbit...

Steve, K4YZ

1668. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 17:35:48 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108834548.629199.114960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103182

robert casey wrote:
> Didn't think this could happen; someone operating
> Morse code violating the rules....

Sure it can happen! It's just very rare, that's all.

When's the last time (before KC0AB) that a ham
operating Morse Code got into that much trouble with FCC?

> from the ARRL web site newsletters:
> http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/05/0218/
>
> The FCC has affirmed a $4000 fine for Paul D. Westcott,
> KC0OAB, of Purdy, Missouri, for "willful and repeated
> failure to respond to Commission requests for information
> about his station." The Commission released a
> Forfeiture Order in the case on February 16. The FCC reports
> it has received "numerous complaints" alleging that KC0OAB
> for several months now has been transmitting CW "24 hours a
> day, 7 days a week" on 7.030 MHz. While complainants assert
> the transmissions constitute broadcasting, the FCC
> contends they interfere with other amateur communications
> "due to an apparent lack of station control."

The guy has his station set up to send Bible verses 24/7.
Claims it's "code practice", and maybe it is.

The violation isn't for his on-air behavior, but for his
refusal to respond to repeated FCC requests for
information.

I've heard the guy, and I don't consider what he does to
be interference. But he should have responded to FCC long
long ago.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1669. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 18:16:35 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <7oLRd.32265$xt.1426@fed1read07>
Source record: 103185

I recall many times -- one guy sent a four letter word over and over again
in CW with no ID -- local Hams found him turned him in
Gee what was he thinking -- no ID and obsentities - probably had TVI too
(;-(

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1108834548.629199.114960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> robert casey wrote:
>> Didn't think this could happen; someone operating
>> Morse code violating the rules....
>
> Sure it can happen! It's just very rare, that's all.
>
> When's the last time (before KC0AB) that a ham
> *operating Morse Code* got into that much trouble with FCC?
>
>
>> from the ARRL web site newsletters:
>> http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/05/0218/
>>
>> The FCC has affirmed a $4000 fine for Paul D. Westcott,
>> KC0OAB, of Purdy, Missouri, for "willful and repeated
>> failure to respond to Commission requests for information
>> about his station." The Commission released a
>> Forfeiture Order in the case on February 16. The FCC reports
>> it has received "numerous complaints" alleging that KC0OAB
>> for several months now has been transmitting CW "24 hours a
>> day, 7 days a week" on 7.030 MHz. While complainants assert
>> the transmissions constitute broadcasting, the FCC
>> contends they interfere with other amateur communications
>> "due to an apparent lack of station control."
>
> The guy has his station set up to send Bible verses 24/7.
> Claims it's "code practice", and maybe it is.
>
> The violation isn't for his on-air behavior, but for his
> refusal to respond to repeated FCC requests for
> information.

>
> I've heard the guy, and I don't consider what he does to
> be interference. But he should have responded to FCC long
> long ago.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1670. Re: Ham Exam In Eureka, CA area ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 18:28:27 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0c6dnQc3jMaZFYrfRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 103186

"Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
news:eyKRd.32263$xt.18397@fed1read07...
> Looking for nearest testing location for a friend
>
> ARRL exam locations doesn't list any
>
> --
> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
> Help The New Hams
> Someone Helped You
> Or did You Forget That ?

Check for other cities such as San Franciso and San Jose. Also check the W5YI website as they may have testing there instead of the ARRL. Also do a web search for

<city name> amateur radio

for your city and nearby cities. Then see if you can find clubs. Someone in the clubs will usually be up to date on what is available in your area.

Remember there are a total of 12 VECs in the US and so the ARRL or W5YI may or may not have a presence in your location.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1671. Re: Ham Exam In Eureka, CA area ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 18:49:36 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <4TLRd.32271$xt.10411@fed1read07>
Source record: 103187

Thanks Dee -- SF and San Jose too far away. W5YI doesn't show anything near by.

I e-mailed four different Eureka Ham clubs a week ago -- no answer
This is common -- many clubs don't read their e-mail for weeks.

Now e-mailing Hams in Eureka (from QRZ) direct -- waiting

Google didn't help.

Thanks for the reply

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:0c6dnQc3jMaZFYrfRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
> news:eyKRd.32263$xt.18397@fed1read07...
>> Looking for nearest testing location for a friend
>>
>> ARRL exam locations doesn't list any
>>
>> --
>> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
>> Help The New Hams
>> Someone Helped You
>> Or did You Forget That ?
>
> Check for other cities such as San Franciso and San Jose. Also check the
> W5YI website as they may have testing there instead of the ARRL. Also do
> a web search for
>
> <city name> amateur radio
>
> for your city and nearby cities. Then see if you can find clubs. Someone
> in the clubs will usually be up to date on what is available in your area.
>
> Remember there are a total of 12 VECs in the US and so the ARRL or W5YI
> may or may not have a presence in your location.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

>
>

1672. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 19:11:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1108840316.261663.141940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103188

Caveat Lector wrote:
> I recall many times -- one guy sent a four letter word over and over
again
> in CW with no ID -- local Hams found him turned him in
> Gee what was he thinking -- no ID and obsentities - probably had TVI
too
> (;-(
>
When was that?

73 de Jim, N2EY

> --
> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
> Help The New Hams
> Someone Helped You
> Or did You Forget That ?
>
>
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1108834548.629199.114960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > robert casey wrote:
> >> Didn't think this could happen; someone operating
> >> Morse code violating the rules....
> >
> > Sure it can happen! It's just very rare, that's all.
> >
> > When's the last time (before KC0AB) that a ham
> > *operating Morse Code* got into that much trouble with FCC?
> >
> >
> >> from the ARRL web site newsletters:
> >> http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/05/0218/
> >>
> >> The FCC has affirmed a $4000 fine for Paul D. Westcott,
> >> KC0OAB, of Purdy, Missouri, for "willful and repeated
> >> failure to respond to Commission requests for information
> >> about his station." The Commission released a
> >> Forfeiture Order in the case on February 16. The FCC reports
> >> it has received "numerous complaints" alleging that KC0OAB
> >> for several months now has been transmitting CW "24 hours a
> >> day, 7 days a week" on 7.030 MHz. While complainants assert
> >> the transmissions constitute broadcasting, the FCC

> >> contends they interfere with other amateur communications
> >> "due to an apparent lack of station control."
> >
> > The guy has his station set up to send Bible verses 24/7.
> > Claims it's "code practice", and maybe it is.
> >
> > The violation isn't for his on-air behavior, but for his
> > refusal to respond to repeated FCC requests for
> > information.
> >
> > I've heard the guy, and I don't consider what he does to
> > be interference. But he should have responded to FCC long
> > long ago.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

1673. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 19:21:04 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <AkMRd.32272$xt.25791@fed1read07>
Source record: 103189

About 1982 in San Jose, CA area
--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1108840316.261663.141940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> I recall many times -- one guy sent a four letter word over and over
> again
>> in CW with no ID -- local Hams found him turned him in
>> Gee what was he thinking -- no ID and obsentities - probably had TVI
> too
>> (;-(
>>
> When was that?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1674. *post 100* >> GOOD NEWS! - Over 2000 years ago Jesus Christ suffered and died on a cross and rose from the dead so that we can be forgiven of our sins(misdeeds) and have a home in Heaven when this life has ended. Most people just don't know how to ask Jesus Christ into their hearts. Heres how...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 20:31:15 UTC
From: RL_Grossi_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108845075.774932.252920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103190

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get another chance to be saved because none of us knows exactly when we will die.

This means that if we die without trusting in Jesus Christ as our Lord and Saviour we will be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. This place called Hell is a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who reject Jesus Christ.

Choose Jesus Christ today and you will have peace in your life now and forever.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.ThePassionOfTheChrist.ch.tt

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

1675. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 20:40:00 UTC
From: Dan <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <AuNRd.1330$Ba3.1321@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103191

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
news:SMqdnSmC1tVqRIvfRVn-oQ@gbronline.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>
>> One of the things that has been repeatedly promised and predicted for
>> the
>> various license test changes was that we'd get more 'technically
>> inclined'
>> new hams, who would revolutionize ham radio with 'new modes and
>> modulations' and other neat stuff. Yet when it comes to actually
>> *building radios*, we
>> see even the self-proclaimed 'professionals in radio' buying them
>> ready-made.
>> And ginving those who *do* build their own rigs a raft of $&!# about
>> doing so.
>>
>> Kinda makes ya wonder....
>
> You can forget about hams becoming more technical, that is a thing of the
> past. Todays hams are strictly plug and play appliance operators, the most
> technical they get now is how many push buttons are on the front panel and
> how many memories in the radio. The best we can hope for is that the test
> be geared such that they learn the rules and proper operating procedures.
> >
>

It has always been so. I got on in 1961 and heard the same garbage.

Most hams are indeed plug and play specialists. But SOME of us actually
learn something.

As with everything else, it is up to the individual.

Dan/W4NTI

1676. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 22:31:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108852267.654171.273300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103192

>Date: Fri, Feb 18 2005 6:07 am
>From: "K4YZ"
>
>NEWS FLASH! -
>
>Rooters News Service
>
>Southern California's worst air disaster occurred when a small
>two-seater Cessna 150 plane, piloted by Leonard H. Anderson of Sun
>Valley, California, crashed into a cemetery earlier today.
>
>Search and rescue workers have recovered 300 bodies so far and expect
>the number to climb as digging continues into the evening.
>
>The pilot and his wife, Sigmundi "Moon Unit" Anderson survived and are
>helping in the recovery efforts.

The above quote is attempted satire-sarcasm by the resident hater-of-all-who-criticize-him-and-cannot-let-it-go. It is, of course,
a LIE.

The alleged "story" is simply a bad rewrite of an old "Polish joke" that surfaced over a decade ago. The original version(s) were something like this:

"A small aircraft crashed into a Warsaw cemetary yesterday. Polish authorities have recovered hundreds of bodies, are still continuing rescue efforts."

The original "story" was, of course, entirely fictitious. The original version's general writing is short, the "joke" disguised in conventional journalistic style. Just two sentences. So brief and disguised that some won't "get it" immediately. :-)

It was, unfortunately, in the societally-acceptable bigotry known as "Polish jokes" where Poles were generally depicted as ignorant, slothful, butts of sometimes cruel humor. While making fun of certain ethnic groups has always been humor in various societies, some in all societies take perverse pleasure in carrying on such bigotry and hatred to absurd lengths. The above quoted posting by "K4YZ" is one such example. If fails both sarcasm and satire from several basic errors.

Firstly, I've never wanted to go into piloting as a career. Flight in general has always been a personal interest; I'd gotten involved in learning more about radio as a young teenager flying model aircraft, as a means to recover free-flight models drifting too far away due to wind with thermal updrafts. The career choice change from art-illustration to electronics happened as a result of Army Signal Corps service exposure to communications and all its support devices. After military service, there was a brief period of interest in piloting as a recreational pastime, including personally-paid flight instruction. That interest waned on realistically examining the cost of private flying and owning one's own aircraft: Flight insurance annual premiums were approximately equal to ten percent of airframe cost in the early 1960s; a new four-place single-engine craft was the same price as a good house. Personal piloting was dropped as an avocation even though occupation continued with avionics development, particularly in radionavigation systems.

"K4YZ" failed to achieve any humor in attempting a pseudonym for my wife. "Sigmundi" wouldn't be correct even in humor. There is some similarity to "Sigismund" (see Wagner's opera "Die Valkerie" but note the gender of that character). "Moon unit" is already taken by the late Frank Zappa's daughter's given name. He could have tried something more clever, such as "Amelia Airhead," but that, I think, has already been taken.

It's been decades since I've had my hands on flight controls in a real aircraft and have no desire to do so now although all other aspects of flight remain a personal interest. Principles of aerodynamics were learned personally long ago while the principles and techniques of aeronautical electronics systems and radionavigation remain and are useful in work. I do not own (in any way) any real aircraft, have never done so.

None of the above has anything to do with amateur radio, let alone amateur radio policy. However, it was felt necessary to clear up a particular libelous posting by another ("K4YZ") who cannot seem to make any distinction between personal hatred of another and what this newsgroup's alleged subject involves. [Paul Schleck periodically sends private e-mail to "new" posters describing all of what this newsgroup is about]

"K4YZ" has charged me repeatedly with plagiarism, the stealing of already published written work. Unfortunately, he is accusing himself of such in the poor, rewritten copy of that old "Polish joke." It was not only in bad taste, but of poor comedic quality. Instead of renaming the Reuters News Service to "Rooters" (he may be subconsciously thinking of "Hooters"), a better one would have been "Rioters News Service" but that was already used several decades ago by another. :-) [the pronunciation of "Reuters" on radio broadcasting sounds like "roy-ters" (see the NBC

pronunciation guide) and the false name of "Rioters" is
closer to that when spoken, a sort of verbal pun]

If the past is any guide to the future, then "K4YZ" will once
again fail to post on subjects but continue on his odd
personal vendetta against certain individuals. Apparently
he thinks he is above criticsm (he is a 20 WPM extra!)
and will thus express OUTRAGE that anyone negatively
critiques his "writings" in here. :-)

Amelia Airhead should feed the guy better material...SNL
caliber that wasn't. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1677. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 23:23:16 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ETPRd.1492$Ba3.673@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103193

Caveat Lector wrote:
> I recall many times -- one guy sent a four letter word over and over again
> in CW with no ID -- local Hams found him turned him in
> Gee what was he thinking -- no ID and obsentities - probably had TVI too
> (;-(
>

One of the ham magazines did an article about some hams
tracking down a rogue automatic transmitter that would
from time to time transmit in FM audio tone Morse code
something like "JPI SUX" on a popular 2m repeater input.
The "JPI" being the suffix of the license of one of the
officials of the repeater club. Eventually the rogue
transmitter was found in a wildlife preserve desert
area. Battery operated transmitter coupled to an
auto id board and a ground plane antenna hidden in some
weeds. Hard to DF a transmitter that only fires up
at random every few hours for a few seconds. Seems they
never did figure out who done it....

1678. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 23:36:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <P72dnWtvro_0UIrfRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103194

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>
>> One of the things that has been repeatedly promised and predicted for
>> the
>> various license test changes was that we'd get more 'technically
>> inclined'
>> new hams, who would revolutionize ham radio with 'new modes and
>> modulations' and other neat stuff. Yet when it comes to actually
>> *building radios*, we
>> see even the self-proclaimed 'professionals in radio' buying them
>> ready-made.
>> And ginving those who *do* build their own rigs a raft of $&!# about
>> doing so.
>>
>> Kinda makes ya wonder....
>
>
> You can forget about hams becoming more technical, that is a thing of
> the past. Todays hams are strictly plug and play appliance operators,
> the most technical they get now is how many push buttons are on the
> front panel and how many memories in the radio. The best we can hope for
> is that the test be geared such that they learn the rules and proper
> operating procedures.

Some are, some aren't. I build everything I can, and a first class
techno-weenie. Rumors of the demise of the technical ham are greatly
exxagerated!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1679. *post 101* >> GOOD NEWS! - Over 2000 years ago Jesus Christ suffered and died on a cross and rose from the dead so that we can be forgiven of our sins(misdeeds) and have a home in Heaven when this life has ended. Most people just don't know how to ask Jesus Christ into their hearts. Heres how...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-19 23:42:14 UTC
From: RL_Grossi_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108856534.234842.6670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103195

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get another chance to be saved because none of us knows exactly when we will die.

This means that if we die without trusting in Jesus Christ as our Lord and Saviour we will be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. This place called Hell is a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who reject Jesus Christ.

Choose Jesus Christ today and you will have peace in your life now and forever.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.ThePassionOfTheChrist.ch.tt

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

1680. Re: International Ham Radio Exam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 00:17:47 UTC
From: Ernest Billings <eb@nonebillings.net>
Message-ID: <cv8kst$p98$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The NYC office just started last month charging a small processing
fee for the Internaional Amateur Radio License, but it now covers
operation in over 178 countries.

Ernest

"Herb" <Herb@herbieforever.net> wrote in message
news:cv5osd$9ag$0@pita.alt.net...
>A little known fact is that you can obtain your IARL free of charge, if
> you appear in person and make application at the U.N. Telecommunications
> Office in NYC.
>
>
>
> "Lloyd" <anon@lloydlabtest.org> wrote in message
> news:cv3ico$3oi$0@pita.alt.net...
>>A few countries already issue the International Amateur Radio
>> License (IARL). I hold an IARL from Chile.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Lloyd
>>
>>

1681. Re: Ham Exam In Eureka, CA area ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 02:02:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HdCdnWJngLMNb4rfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 103197

"Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
news:4TLRd.32271$xt.10411@fed1read07...
> Thanks Dee -- SF and San Jose too far away. W5YI doesn't show anything
> near by.
>
> I e-mailed four different Eureka Ham clubs a week ago -- no answer
> This is common -- many clubs don't read their e-mail for weeks.
>
> Now e-mailing Hams in Eureka (from QRZ) direct -- waiting
>
> Google didn't help.
>
> Thanks for the reply
>
> --
> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
> Help The New Hams
> Someone Helped You
> Or did You Forget That ?
>

See if you can find phone numbers for those local hams you have emailed and
simply call them.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1682. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 02:31:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108866664.890527.109110@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103198

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> robert casey wrote:
> > Didn't think this could happen; someone operating
> > Morse code violating the rules....
>
> Sure it can happen! It's just very rare, that's all.
>
> When's the last time (before KC0AB) that a ham
> *operating Morse Code* got into that much trouble with FCC?

I don't think the FCC has anyone in a monitoring position that can copy
Morse Code anymore.

> > from the ARRL web site newsletters:
> > http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/05/0218/
> >
> > The FCC has affirmed a $4000 fine for Paul D. Westcott,
> > KC0OAB, of Purdy, Missouri, for "willful and repeated
> > failure to respond to Commission requests for information
> > about his station." The Commission released a
> > Forfeiture Order in the case on February 16. The FCC reports
> > it has received "numerous complaints" alleging that KC0OAB
> > for several months now has been transmitting CW "24 hours a
> > day, 7 days a week" on 7.030 MHz. While complainants assert
> > the transmissions constitute broadcasting, the FCC
> > contends they interfere with other amateur communications
> > "due to an apparent lack of station control."
>
> The guy has his station set up to send Bible verses 24/7.
> Claims it's "code practice", and maybe it is.

Is he sending davinci code?

> The violation isn't for his on-air behavior, but for his
> refusal to respond to repeated FCC requests for
> information.

That has been pointed out previously.

> I've heard the guy, and I don't consider what he does to
> be interference.

Amen. But unless he is capable of putting it on 5 bands
simultaneously...

But he should have responded to FCC long
> long ago.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Perhaps he responds to a higher authority.

1683. *post 101* >> GOOD NEWS! - Over 2000 years ago Jesus Christ suffered and died on a cross and rose from the dead so that we can be forgiven of our sins(misdeeds) and have a home in Heaven when this life has ended. Most people just don't know how to ask Jesus Christ into their hearts. Heres how...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 02:31:41 UTC
From: RL_Grossi_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108866701.242007.120260@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103199

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get another chance to be saved because none of us knows exactly when we will die.

This means that if we die without trusting in Jesus Christ as our Lord and Saviour we will be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. This place called Hell is a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who reject Jesus Christ.

Choose Jesus Christ today and you will have peace in your life now and forever.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.ThePassionOfTheChrist.ch.tt

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

1684. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 04:22:34 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <rc-dnaXr-4xxjoXfRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103200

Todd Daugherty wrote:

>
>
> And it's THAT attitude that will kill amateur radio. No one will come to the
> service if there is something BETTER out there.
>
Getting too many in ham radio like you will kill ham radio.

1685. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 05:03:18 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <42181ab7$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103201

Fuck you cornhole
Todd

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
news:rc-dnaXr-4xxjoXfRVn-qw@gbronline.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > And it's THAT attitude that will kill amateur radio. No one will come to
the
> > > service if there is something BETTER out there.
> > >
> > Getting too many in ham radio like you will kill ham radio.
>
>

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1686. *post 101* >> GOOD NEWS! - Over 2000 years ago Jesus Christ suffered and died on a cross and rose from the dead so that we can be forgiven of our sins(misdeeds) and have a home in Heaven when this life has ended. Most people just don't know how to ask Jesus Christ into their hearts. Heres how...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 05:21:08 UTC
From: RL_Grossi_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108876868.096508.116440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103202

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get another chance to be saved because none of us knows exactly when we will die.

This means that if we die without trusting in Jesus Christ as our Lord and Saviour we will be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. This place called Hell is a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who reject Jesus Christ.

Choose Jesus Christ today and you will have peace in your life now and forever.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.ThePassionOfTheChrist.ch.tt

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

1687. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 05:43:33 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960377BA7521elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103203

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
news:UKmdnY534OZ9JYvfRVn-uA@adelphia.com:

> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>> news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:
>
> <some snippage>
>
>
>
>>> I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but lets
>>> say
>>>in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a woman
>>>not knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning herself when
>>>trying to hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry Phil, but what
>>>if she simply ruined her dress because the coffee was wet?- negligent
>>>design of the cup?)
>>>
>>> So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt rig, and
>>> is
>>>half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey thingies on
>>>the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful lawsuits
>>>already!
>>>
>>> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a painful
>>> burn and
>>>a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that catches a
>>>ride on a thousand watts might just have a very successful lawsuit if
>>>we don't train them well.
>>>
>>>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should be a
>>>Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to handle full
>>>legal limit.
>>>
>>>
>>> And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or some
>>> other
>>>weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things such as
>>>Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a second to
>>>drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the control op can
>>>react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full power safety
>>>requirements.

>>>
>>>Anything else is criminally negligent.
>>>
>>>
>>>>It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written* exam
>>>>looks like.
>>>>
>>>>And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
>>>>operator licenses is way down.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
>>>>>>and the number of countries.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test because a
>>>>>>few have decided to? Or can each country decide for itself.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish the
>>>>>code test.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict individual
>>>>ownership of firearms, too.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
>>>>>>dropped code testing?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
>>>>*hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
>>>
>>> Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people make
>>> it out
>>>to be.
>>>
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>

>>
>> Well, it is a problem. No-coders may have been in the hobby, but they
>> couldn't do everything that they wanted to do.
>
> People who have not passed the test have been kept out of things they
> want to do in the hobby too.
>
>> Not that I'm saying that the
>> Tech theory should get you full privileges, but there have always been
>> many Techs who could pass Extra class theory.
>
> Yup, Like me. But I apparently showed the character flaw od
> learning
> Morse code! ;^)
>

So did I, but it was hell!

If it wasn't a hazing process, then I'm a Dutchman

> As a guy who can't "hear" people unless he can see the mouth of
> the
> person speaking, I have just a little trouble figuring out the problem
> with normal people for which the test is too hard to make it worth
> getting a license.
>
> But it is! Witness all those who are dropping off the ranks when
> their
> license expires.
>
> I predict the next tack of the NCI's is that not allowing the
> codeless
> Techs HF access is why they aren't renewing their license.
>

Certainly that must be true of some of them. What proportion, I couldn't
say.

>
>> Herding them above 30 MHz is a problem, not for you perhaps, but
>> still a problem.
>
> "Herding" is a strange name for allowing access to almost all the
> Amateur spectrum.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
You mean the part that doesn't refract from the ionosphere, right?

73 de Alun, N3KIP

1688. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 05:49:22 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9603878AC001elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103204

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:McWdnZTdw6dfqIrfRVn-2A@comcast.com:

>
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns9601E5F2D8B96elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>> news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:
>
> [big, big snip. Do you have to quote EVERYTHING??]
>
>>
>>
>> Well, it is a problem. No-coders may have been in the hobby, but they
>> couldn't do everything that they wanted to do. Not that I'm saying
>> that the Tech theory should get you full privileges, but there have
>> always been many Techs who could pass Extra class theory. Herding
>> them above 30 MHz is a problem, not for you perhaps, but still a
>> problem.
>
> No one can do everything they want to, not even the Extras. We must
> comply with the mode regulations, special power limits in the old
> novice subbands, and we should comply with the band plans.

Certainly, but that doesn't stop us from advocating that those rules be
changed. A rule that those who can't read on/off keying by ear can't
refract their signals from the ionosphere is lacking in logic of any kind.

>
> There is no "herding" involved. The "gate" wide open.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

No. The gate is shut and only those who can jump over it can get in, but
jumping is purely optional once they get in. (More weird metaphors,
although I suppose I started this one).

1689. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 06:04:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108879467.950439.269240@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103205

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > bb wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > > > > I said the "VISION" of you doing it made sense.
>
>> > > > How can you have vision of something that doesn't exist?
>
>> > > The same way the Wright Brothers saw an airplane fly.
>
>> > They built that airplane. It existed.
>
>> They built that airplane from...A kit? Plans in Mechanic's
>> Illustrated? Ordered it from Sears and Roebuck?
>
>Mechanical drawings. Their mechanical drawings.

Of course. After doing years of research deriving their own
physical characteristics of aerodynamics, trying many kinds
of physical prototypes, even building their own wind tunnel
to test lift of various curvatures of wing surfaces. None of
modern-day aerodynamics principles were available to them
and there was no such thing as "airplane engineering" and
thus no school in that from which to receive a pretty
certificate (suitable for framing).

Steven James has pretty certificates (suitable for framing)
but no vision, save for the extreme tunnel vision of those
who are Believers in the everlasting fantasy of olde-tyme
hamme raddio continuing well into the new millennium.

>> > > Oh...no complaint. Just an observation. You delve into the
same
>> > > "Nursie" and other "endearments" that your Chief String Puller
uses,
>> > > so you can benefit from his trailblazing.
>
>> > Nope. You are Steve. Ungentlemanlike Steve. Steve is a nurse.
I
>> > referred to him as a nurse. Get a grip.
>
>> My grip is quite firm, Brian.
>

> But you grasp the wrong things.

Poor Steven James, grasping at the straws so he can suck
up more of his libelous filth to spit on his opponents.

Actually, grasping some leather conditioner and rubbing
it into his grip would improve their carrying ability on long
trips with many baggage handlers. :-)

>> I warned you where this would go if you continued with your
course
>> of action a couple days ago.
>
>Yup. You're back to your natural form, calling people names, calling
>people liars.

Brian, think of it as "consistency." He hasn't deviated from that.

That he has deviated from civil comportment is obvious, though,
and his "go" has the consistency of libelous filth. :-)

>> And your reference ot me as "Nurse" is situational. You've
mimiced
>> Lennie's "nursie" on several occassions.
>
>Then I apologize.

Brian, there should be no apologies necessary. Certainly not by
you. Steven James had previously said you were a "pedophile"
because of leading some boy scouts.

Steven James has called you "liar" so many times that all those
added up wouldn't require you to apologize to him. The other way
around. At least in other venues.

Common civil courtesy may not exist in this newsgroup. The
PCTA Double Standard is operative here.

>> > > > You need lots of luck. You see things that aren't there.
>
>> > > It's called an "imagination", Brain...
>
>> > Indeed. You have a very active one.
>
>> Indeed I do. And I can see you sitting in the tub with a rubber

>> tugboat going "toot toot".
>
>Which I have not done. Again you persist once you've been corrected.
>I believe that is your own definition of a liar.

Brian, poor Steven James can't focus. When he does, it is only
inwards to his own imagination. Tsk, tsk.

In a previous posting, instead of the salutory "beep, beep" I've
sometimes
written (to annoy the morsemen), I wrote "toot, toot." :-) Steven
James
then takes a simple onomatopoetic word pair and manufactures a cute
little fantasy involving a bathtup and little toy tugboat, claiming
first that
I do such things (I do not)...and now yourself. He is losing his
grip on
the reality. Fantasy is more preferable to him?

The preference for fantasy is a neurosis in full flower. He can no
longer
differentiate between right and wrong in the real world, instead
placing
all on the "rules" within his own fantasy concoction. No one else
lives
in that fantasy world but that makes no difference to Steven James.

>> > > The same "imagination" you used to create all those
DXploits
>> > > you've tried to embellish your Amateur career with.
>
>> > What embellishment?
>
>> Start off with your "Somalia operation".
>
>No embellishment. I operated from Somalia.

Steven James has worked that up from your casual mention to some
full-fledged imaginary "claim of your own greatness." That's
another
sickness syndrome in evidence. His, not yours, Brian. :-)

Steven James has not operated anything from Somalia. It makes him
angry that others have done things he hasn't done. He seems to
think that everyone else is in some "contest" as to who has done
more, accomplished more, a sort of "men's locker-room brag session"
when few in here (other than himself) engage in that.

>> Then we can go on to your "experiences" in "emergency comms" and how

>> unlicensed devices play a "major role".
>
>Are military comms licensed?

Steven James should know they are not licensed in the civilian sense
of
things. However, lacking his definitive claims of actually doing
military
communications (other than normal small-unit HT use), he cannot
claim
any sort of experience of direct assignment to communications. He

will,
as was done in the past, say a lot of indefinite things of a general
nature
to avoid answering in any detail. I believe he does understand the
difficulty he would place himself in if he tried some lying about
equipment
and protocol.

He does embellish his memory considerably in regards to "unlicensed
devices playing any sort of role" in civilian emergency
communications.
That's part of his general pattern of misdirecting others to think
that you
are the one "at fault" when you were not. It's not an uncommon
trick in
computer-modem communications and he likes it...puts others on the
defensive and takes away (he thinks, mistakenly) taints put on him
by
others.

>You live in a Water Mitty kind of world.
>
>Seven hostile actions? Hi!

Agreed. "Hi" squared. :-)

Steven James cannot possibly admit at this time that he made a
small brag phrase in a somewhat long-ago message. It is a brag
and not a truth since he cannot reveal the When or Where of any
of those "actions." The best he could do is say it is some kind of
"classified" operation or of some "sensitive" nature that cannot be
revealed publicly. :-)

Further lying about those "seven hostile actions" will do no good
since U.S. military history is rather an open book with all service
branches having access to the Internet and with agencies supplying
public information in archives open to all. On the other hand,
denial
of original wrong-doing on his part will cause him to lose
self-esteem
(what Japanese refer to as "face" to the public). Never mind that
others see the deception of brag claims, he must continue to
support his own self-esteem. His fantasyworld beliefs demand it.

>> You make it just too easy to do. Why do you
>> insist on subjecting yourself to such self-humiliation?
>
>Steve, the more you type the more people see that you're nuts.

Another technique I would call "remote transference." Steven
James doesn't like to be humiliated (in any way) and thinks that
all who don't believe him should themselves be humiliated. He

wants to transfer his own feelings of inferiority to others and make them "suffer" as he apparently does. Therefore, he states that others "humiliate themselves." That's not true and others as well as non-posting readers can see that. Steven James cannot.

The outpouring of libelous filth against all who disagree with him will continue until he receives some helpful mental therapy. Until then, this newsgroup will continue as an outlet for his personal frustrations and continue to lose relevance as a forum for discussion about subjects, not the personalities of individuals..

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1690. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 06:08:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108879730.491757.88330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103206

K4YZ babbled his usual libelous filth on Feb 19, 9:10 am, to wit:
>bb wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > Who's "Stebe"..?
>
>> He's the guy Len is referring to.
>
> And who is Lennie refering to? Or is this another of his
>"incomming artillery" stories?

Who is "Lennie?"

What is this "incomming artillery stories?"
[sic...it is actually 'incoming']

>
>> > What "episode"...???
>
>> Patient records are sealed.
>
> What patient records? More fiction, I see.

What are you babbling about?

Did you imagine that you actually called "authorities" to "have us
committed?" On the "strength" of your "professional qualifications"
as an LPN? :-)

If so, then you must have imagined that I was "picked up" and
held somewhere. By the "authorities" of course. :-)

Being "picked up" and in "authorities" hands implies that
some "patient records" are kept. In "secret" of course. :-)

>
>> > What's incredible is how you two can actually be so well
>> > documented as liars and still think that you're believed by anyone

>> > about anything even when you're right about something...Which is
>> > rare...
>
>> We're right more often than you'd like to think, more often than
you'll admit.
>
> If you were "right" that often, Brain, we wouldn't be having this

>conversation.

Tsk. This isn't a "conversation." No way.

It is "K4YZ" babbling a lot of libelous filth at anyone who he feels gives him opposition to whatever "K4YZ" has posted.

>
> The fact is you THINK you are getting "something" right, but all
>you are doing is compounding your humiliation. That's why it's
>important for you to not sign your name to your posts.

The only one "being humiliated" is "K4YZ" continually spewing libelous falsehoods at the person of Brian Burke or myself.

The ONLY "signatures" possible in non-binary newsgroups is the encoded type. Signatures on documents and letters in the western world require handwriting. Handwriting on a computer requires either
special, non-standardized hardware and software (not permitted here) or digitized image reproductions which require binary files (also not
permitted here).

Typing in some name at the end of a message is not required. The header information on who the sender is appears with each Internet browser output.

>
>> > I stand corrected. Way to go, Lennie...
>
>> At least you didn't persist. This time.
>
> Because I WASN'T right and I admitted it.

You have rarely been "right" about the libelous filth you throw at those who oppose you. You continually fabricate LIES about the person (and their families) of those you don't like.

In your imaginary world involving the PCTA Double Standard, you are the only one "right" and all others are "liars." Ergo, to you, you do nothing wrong...but all others can obviously see that you are doing wrong.

> That's what seperates you and Lennie from the rest of us...

Tsk. "K4YZ" shaking his little "we-we" again, presuming that all others think (and emotionally react) as he does.

> ...the intestinal fortitude to do what's right when "doing what's

>right" is what's called for.

"Doing what is right" should involve addressing SUBJECTS, not the continual heaping of libelous filth on the persons opposing "K4YZ"'s opinions.

>> > To Leonard H Anderson...Who can't take this post to a lawyer
and
>> > say "make him stop" becasue he knows who'd be proven the liar and
who'd
>> > be laughing all the way home.
>
>> Huh?
>
> "Huh" indeed. You got it.
>
> You're in the same boat and you're way past being able to
>successfully bail the thing out.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. More fantasyland thinking...poor "K4YZ" being some
kind of righteous holier-than-all-others who thinks that opposition
to "K4YZ"'s postings are "lies."

In any other venue, poor "K4YZ" would be thought nuts, demented,
the-light-is-on-but-nobody's-home whacko.

[at this point "K4YZ" will shout and holler about "nobody can call
him crazy without having 'professional medical credentials'" which
will be odd since "K4YZ" doesn't have either psychologist or
psychiatric credentials, just a Licensed Practical Nurse
certificate]

>> > > Better go easy. He might start yelling at hisself.
>
>> > Naw...Just laughing at the "superior intellect".
>
>> > What a waste of opportunity your life has been, Lennie...To
wind up
>> > the laughing stock of the practitioners of a technical hobby that
you
>> > could have been a "household name" of...
>
>> Why do you say that he could have been a "household name" of?
>
> Because had Lennie taken even a tenth of the energy he expells in

>this forum and focused it into something constuctive, he might have
>"been" something...the role model engineer he PERCEIVES himself as
>being.

Oh, my. More libelous filth. [it never seems to stop] :-)

This isn't an engineering newsgroup. "K4YZ" doesn't have any
experience in electronics engineering (a short job as a purchasing
agent is not a "credential"), so he cannot judge anyone in that.

"K4YZ" is a licensed U.S. amateur extra...and presumes that all
radio amateurs think as he does (whenver he wants to shake his
little "we-we" statements, that is). 'K4YZ" is thus an example in
public of the off-air comportment of U.S. radio amateurs. Not a

good example unless the ARRL approves of amateurs being
granted some kind of "right" to heap libelous filth on the persons
who disagree with them.

"K4YZ" may bring up his fantasy "source" of some "now-PhD" who
worked at Naval Air Development Center and whom he "quoted"
as saying I was a bad guy there. Tsk. I've never worked in the
emply of the United States Navy and spent a few months at NADC
as an employee of RCA Corporation, representing RCA on-site to
one NADC working group and also providing technical assistance
on use of RCA designed-and-built equipment for a potential
aircraft warning system. Only three NADC employees were in
that group and all are retired. I've been in personal
correspondence
with one of them since that retirement began. "K4YZ" has never
revealed "his source's" name. He can't. He doesn't exist.

> Unfortunately what he DID become was a discredited liar and
>antagonist. His loss. No one twisted his arm to do it.

Again, a LIE by "K4YZ" which is just libelous filth. Tsk.

>The
>engineering community in general and Amateur Radio in particular are
>doing just fine without him.

More of the "we-we" shaking and another LIE about the
"engineering community." "K4YZ" never worked in any
"engineering community" nor does he have any evidence
of any wrongdoing in engineering by myself. He fantasizes
much libelous filth, wallows in it, then tries to pass it off as
some kind of "truth." There isn't any truth in it. It is LIES.

The fact of my matter is that I am still involved in electronics
engineering. I have no need to put in regular hours at that
and can pick and choose what I wish to work on. That is
normally the situation with retired engineers and that is what
I do. I am still a Life Member of the Institute of Electrical and
Electronic Engineers (IEEE) and have not compromised any
of that professional group's ethics or standards. The IEEE
has a website at www.ieee.org that may be accessed by
anyone with an Internet connection.

> You followed right along. Now you have the same bruised
>credibility and pitiful character issues.
>
> Sucks to be you, Brian.

Tsk, tsk. "K4YZ" continues to heap libelous filth on all his
newsgroup opponents. Always attacking the person of his
opponents at the exclusion of the subject matter of a news-
group. Is that the "role model" portrayal of the modern U.S.
radio amateur of the amateur extra class?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1691. *post 101* >> GOOD NEWS! - Over 2000 years ago Jesus Christ suffered and died on a cross and rose from the dead so that we can be forgiven of our sins(misdeeds) and have a home in Heaven when this life has ended. Most people just don't know how to ask Jesus Christ into their hearts. Heres how...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 08:10:33 UTC
From: RL_Grossi_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108887033.831933.193000@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103207

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get another chance to be saved because none of us knows exactly when we will die.

This means that if we die without trusting in Jesus Christ as our Lord and Saviour we will be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. This place called Hell is a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who reject Jesus Christ.

Choose Jesus Christ today and you will have peace in your life now and forever.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.ThePassionOfTheChrist.ch.tt

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

1692. *post 101* >> GOOD NEWS! - Over 2000 years ago Jesus Christ suffered and died on a cross and rose from the dead so that we can be forgiven of our sins(misdeeds) and have a home in Heaven when this life has ended. Most people just don't know how to ask Jesus Christ into their hearts. Heres how...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 11:00:01 UTC
From: RL_Grossi_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108897201.330741.230860@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103208

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get another chance to be saved because none of us knows exactly when we will die.

This means that if we die without trusting in Jesus Christ as our Lord and Saviour we will be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. This place called Hell is a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who reject Jesus Christ.

Choose Jesus Christ today and you will have peace in your life now and forever.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.ThePassionOfTheChrist.ch.tt

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

1693. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 11:22:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108898558.821550.269790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103209

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> K4YZ babbled his usual libelous filth on Feb 19, 9:10 am, to wit:
> >bb wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > Who's "Stebe"..?
> >
> >> He's the guy Len is referring to.
> >
> > And who is Lennie refering to? Or is this another of his
> >"incomming artillery" stories?
>
> Who is "Lennie?"

"Lennie" would be MY "endearment" for Leonard H Anderson of Sun Valley, CA.

Lennie is a well known liar, antagonist and decietful old man who plagues USENET newsgroups of hobby groups that he's not a participant of.

> What is this "incomming artillery stories?"
> [sic...it is actually 'incoming']

Same-O Same-O.

It was a story YOU wrote to insinuate that others didn't know what it was like to be "under fire", yet your closest experience to combat is Clancy novels.

> >> > What "episode"...???
> >
> >> Patient records are sealed.
> >
> > What patient records? More fiction, I see.
>
> What are you babbling about?

"Babbling indeed". Ask your buddy. He's the one who started that...that was why I was asking HIM "What patient records..."

You gotta follow the attributes, Scummy.

> Did you imagine that you actually called "authorities" to "have us
> committed?" On the "strength" of your "professional qualifications"
> as an LPN?

I have spoke with persons in California about issues that
peripherally involve you. You can insist that I haven't, but you are
big about denial of your own.

> It is "K4YZ" babbling a lot of libelous filth at anyone who he
feels
> gives him opposition to whatever "K4YZ" has posted.

It's only "libelous" if it's not true.

> > The fact is you THINK you are getting "something" right, but
all
> >you are doing is compounding your humiliation. That's why it's
> >important for you to not sign your name to your posts.
>
> The only one "being humiliated" is "K4YZ" continually spewing
> libelous falsehoods at the person of Brian Burke or myself.

It's not "libelous" or a "falsehood" if it's true.

> > ...the intestinal fortitude to do what's right when "doing
what's
>
> >right" is what's called for.
>
> "Doing what is right" should involve addressing SUBJECTS,
> not the continual heaping of libelous filth on the persons
> opposing "K4YZ"'s opinions.

It's not about "opinions".

Leonard H Anderson has uttered dozens of untruthful statements in
this forum. They have been archived.

Leonard H Anderson has uses dozens of hateful, demeaning epithets
agains all others who oppose HIS "opinions" then cries "foul" when done
back to him.

> [at this point "K4YZ" will shout and holler about "nobody can call
> him crazy without having 'professional medical credentials'" which
> will be odd since "K4YZ" doesn't have either psychologist or
> psychiatric credentials, just a Licensed Practical Nurse
> certificate]

I don't have a "Licensed Practical Nurse certificate".

> > Because had Lennie taken even a tenth of the energy he expells
in
>
> >this forum and focused it into something constuctive, he might have
> >"been" something...the role model engineer he PERCEIVES himself as
> >being.
>
> Oh, my. More libelous filth. [it never seems to stop]

It's not libelous if it's true.

You are not a licensed Radio Amateur.

You could have been a positive contributer to the Amateur Radio community.

You claim it's a meaningful pursuit, but you obviously hate everything about it and anyone connected with it.

You authored a few articles in a quasi-engineering level Amateur Radio journal many years ago. None of those articles ever produced a single bit of professional recognition.

You've contributed nothing to the technical development of Amateur Radio.

These are not lies.

These are statements of fact. Unless you can produce some documentary evidence to the contrary?

> This isn't an engineering newsgroup. "K4YZ" doesn't have any
> experience in electronics engineering (a short job as a purchasing
> agent is not a "credential"), so he cannot judge anyone in that.

Then if we use YOUR above statement/logic verbatim, why are YOU in THIS forum? You are not a licensed Amateur. You cannot, therefore, be any kind of a judge of what Amateurs should do or how they should do it.

> "K4YZ" may bring up his fantasy "source" of some "now-PhD" who
> worked at Naval Air Development Center and whom he "quoted"
> as saying I was a bad guy there. Tsk. I've never worked in the
> emply (TYPO! TYPO! LENNIE'S MAD!) of the United States Navy and
> spent a few months at NADC
> as an employee of RCA Corporation, representing RCA on-site to
> one NADC working group and also providing technical assistance
> on use of RCA designed-and-built equipment for a potential
> aircraft warning system. Only three NADC employees were in
> that group and all are retired. I've been in personal
> correspondence
> with one of them since that retirement began. "K4YZ" has never
> revealed "his source's" name. He can't. He doesn't exist.

I can, but I won't.

You left a very negative impression on persons who ARE engineers and ARE qualified to judge. I know the other person. I've never known him to utter a single untruthful comment.

You, on the otherhand, have littered the Internet with mistruths.

You are, in a word, a LIAR.

> > Unfortunately what he DID become was a discredited liar and
> >antagonist. His loss. No one twisted his arm to do it.
>
> Again, a LIE by "K4YZ" which is just libelous filth. Tsk.

It's not libelous if it's true.

You are an antagonist.

Your "word" has been discreditied on occassions too numerous to
count.

You make statements that are not true or that you will not
substantiate with valid references.

> >The
> >engineering community in general and Amateur Radio in particular are
> >doing just fine without him.
>
> More of the "we-we" shaking and another LIE about the
> "engineering community." "K4YZ" never worked in any
> "engineering community" nor does he have any evidence
> of any wrongdoing in engineering by myself. He fantasizes
> much libelous filth, wallows in it, then tries to pass it off as
> some kind of "truth." There isn't any truth in it. It is LIES.

No, It's not.

My statement is NOT a lie: "The engineering community in general
and Amateur Radio in particular are doing just fine without him".

Unless you can show where some major engineering program has
faltered as a result of your non-participation...???

> The fact of my matter is that I am still involved in electronics
> engineering. I have no need to put in regular hours at that
> and can pick and choose what I wish to work on. That is
> normally the situation with retired engineers and that is what
> I do. I am still a Life Member of the Institute of Electrical and
> Electronic Engineers (IEEE) and have not compromised any
> of that professional group's ethics or standards. The IEEE
> has a website at www.ieee.org that may be accessed by
> anyone with an Internet connection.

Repeatedly lying in public is an accepted ethical standard of the
IEEE, Lennie?

> > You followed right along. Now you have the same bruised
> >credibility and pitiful character issues.
> >
> > Sucks to be you, Brian.
>
> Tsk, tsk. "K4YZ" continues to heap libelous filth on all his
> newsgroup opponents.

And here again you are LYING, Lennie!

Why do you insist on claiming that you don't lie, then proceed to do so in the very same post...???

> Always attacking the person of his
> opponents at the exclusion of the subject matter of a news-
> group. Is that the "role model" portrayal of the modern U.S.
> radio amateur of the amateur extra class?

I've discussed many subjects in this newsgroup, Lennie...even tenatiously. You say I "always" attack persons who don't share my views.

That is, in and of itself, yet another lie.

From a person who finds it easy to lie.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1694. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 12:15:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108901728.060625.141540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103210

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

Majority of self-promoting, pontificating buffoonery deleted.

> The outpouring of libelous filth against all who disagree with him
> will continue until he receives some helpful mental therapy.

What "libelous filth", Lennie?

It's not "libelous" if it's true.

> Until then, this newsgroup will continue as an outlet for his
> personal frustrations and continue to lose relevance as a forum
> for discussion about subjects, not the personalities of
> individuals.

Applies word-for-word to your presence in this forum, Your
Scumminess.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1695. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 12:31:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108902719.242096.48460@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103211

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >Date: Fri, Feb 18 2005 6:07 am
> >From: "K4YZ"
> >
> >NEWS FLASH! -
> >
> >Rooters News Service
> >
> >Southern California's worst air disaster occurred when a small
> >two-seater Cessna 150 plane, piloted by Leonard H. Anderson of Sun
> >Valley, California, crashed into a cemetery earlier today.
> >
> >Search and rescue workers have recovered 300 bodies so far and
expect
> >the number to climb as digging continues into the evening.
> >
> >The pilot and his wife, Sigmundi "Moon Unit" Anderson survived and
are
> >helping in the recovery efforts.
>
> The above quote is attempted satire-sarcasm by the resident
> hater-of-all-who-criticize-him-and-cannot-let-it-go. It is, of
> course,
> a LIE.

No, it's not.

It's fiction. There's a difference.

> If fails
> both sarcasm and satire from several basic errors.

"If fails both sarcasm and satire from several basic errors"

You ought to do the proofreading thing in a sentence that lampoons
others errors, Lennie.

> Firstly, I've never wanted to go into piloting as a career.

Good thing, too. If you were as competent a pilot as you were
engineer, there'd be a smoking hole somewhere.

> Flight in general has always been a personal interest; (snip
to...) > Flight insurance annual
> premiums were approximately equal to ten percent of airframe

> cost in the early 1960s; a new four-place single-engine craft
> was the same price as a good house.

And those thresholds haven't changed much today if you've priced a new aircraft.

Had you continued, however, you would have had an Airman's Certificate that would have remained valid for life. You could have, then, exercised it's privileges as the opportunites arose.

> Personal piloting was
> dropped as an avocation even though occupation continued
> with avionics development, particularly in radionavigation
systems.
>
> "K4YZ" failed to achieve any humor in attempting a pseudonym
> for my wife.

The lack of humor comes from your lack of respect for her acting the way you do in public.

> "Sigmundi" wouldn't be correct even in humor. There
> is some similarity to "Sigismund" (see Wagner's opera "Die
> Valkerie" but note the gender of that character). "Moon unit" is
> already taken by the late Frank Zappa's daughter's given name.
> He could have tried something more clever, such as "Amelia
> Airhead," but that, I think, has already been taken.

Yes, it has...It's gotten us into more than one tickle-fest.

> It's been decades since I've had my hands on flight controls in
> a real aircraft and have no desire to do so now although all
> other aspects of flight remain a personal interest. Principles
> of aerodynamics were learned personally long ago while the
> principles and techniques of aeronautical electronics systems
> and radionavigation remain and are useful in work. I do not
> own (in any way) any real aircraft, have never done so.

And never will...Just like your Amateur Radio station.

> None of the above has anything to do with amateur radio, let
> alone amateur radio policy.

Neither does your Army career, your wife's alleged education and licensure, or your flirtation with aviation. But you never pass up a chance to enthrall us with those subjects as your will takes you.

> "K4YZ" has charged me repeatedly with plagiarism, the
> stealing of already published written work. Unfortunately, he
> is accusing himself of such in the poor, rewritten copy of that
> old "Polish joke."

So far, you've not proved any of my allegations as inaccurate. It's been several years.

> It was not only in bad taste, but of poor comedic quality.

To you.

> Amelia Airhead should feed the guy better material...SNL
> caliber that wasn't.

SNL calibre it need not be.

"I am laughing at the superior intellect".

Steve, K4YZ

1696. Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 16:52:27 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <6q3Sd.32433$xt.25411@fed1read07>
Source record: 103212

To revive an old thread

Just read a very interesting book:
"The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph and its precursors." by Tom Standage

Fascinating reading

Any way he describes Morse code as " Bi-Signal"

I like that.

Rather than send a series of pulses (same duration) down the pike -- where one is A, two pulses is B, etc.
and get 26 pulses for Z

By using bi-signal
one short, the other long we have an efficient method of sending many letters, numbers, punctuation, etc.

This just doesn't strike me as binary.

Wahtta ya think ????

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

1697. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 17:45:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <u--dnThdSdhVUYXfRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103213

Caveat Lector wrote:
> To revive an old thread
>
> Just read a very interesting book:
> "The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph and its precursors." by Tom
> Standage
>
> Fascinating reading
>
> Any way he describes Morse code as " Bi-Signal"
>
> I like that.
>
> Rather than send a series of pulses (same duration) down the pike -- where
> one is A, two pulses is B, etc.
> and get 26 pulses for Z
>
> By using bi-signal
> one short, the other long we have an efficient method of sending many
> letters, numbers, punctuation, etc.
>
> This just doesn't strike me as binary.
>
> Wahtta ya think ????

That is a much better explanation of how Morse code works than trying
to day that is is a binary method, and have to try to say that spaces
are a certain number of 0's, and dahs are 3 1's, etc.

And lest I be accused of being obtuse, can anyone give a good cite of
Morse code being defined as binary that predates the digital age?
Earlier the better. What did good Samuel MOrse have to say on the subject?

The "binary definition" is not the definition of Morse code. Rather is
is a description of how to allow a digital computer to encode and decode
the signal.

Example:

I have a flag made of four squares which in turn is a square
The first square in the upper left is black, and the last square in the
lower right is black also. The second square (upper right) is white, as
well as the third square (lower left)

It would be fairly simple to describe this large square consisting of
four smaller squares in a binary fashion. You need a cell size, an X-Y,

and a black or white state. All this in binary is not terribly difficult.

The flag however, is not binary. It is a flag. Describing it in binary fashion does not make it binary.

Lest we be tempted to do so, due to the simple nature of the example, remember that what was given was the base of digital imagery. The flag could have had all blocks of a different color, differing levels of lightness, etc.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1698. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 18:15:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108923304.842883.81670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103214

>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elekt...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9601E5F2D8B96elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>> Mike Coslo <mco...@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>> news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:
>
>> [big, big snip. Do you have to quote EVERYTHING??]
>
>>> Well, it is a problem. No-coders may have been in the hobby, but
they
>>> couldn't do everything that they wanted to do. Not that I'm saying
>>> that the Tech theory should get you full privileges, but there have

>>> always been many Techs who could pass Extra class theory. Herding
>>> them above 30 MHz is a problem, not for you perhaps, but still a
>>> problem.
>
>> No one can do everything they want to, not even the Extras. We must

>> comply with the mode regulations, special power limits in the old
>> novice subbands, and we should comply with the band plans.
>
>Certainly, but that doesn't stop us from advocating that those rules
be
>changed. A rule that those who can't read on/off keying by ear can't
>refract their signals from the ionosphere is lacking in logic of any
kind.

Quite true, Alun, but that isn't the real subject for morsemen.

The morsemen insist and insist and insist on keeping the
morse test because that is what They had to undergo. If
They had to do it, then all others must do it.

U.S. amateur radio was never chartered to be a living museum of
archaic radiotelegraphy skills. There is no need to maintain any
morse telegraphic skills through federal subsidy of any kind in
this new millennium.

But, to most morsemen, having developed the telegraphy skill,
they have a bragging right to show their "superiority" in radio.
Never mind that such "superiority" is one of myth and fantasy
and exists today solely in amateur radio. Morsemen have
bought into their Belief system that the federal government Must
support/defend/cherish morse skills because that is the way
They believe.

In a few it is the fear of loss of their "greatness" in radio
(of the amateur variety) as they age. They desperately want
to preserve their status and their Beliefs that they bought
into long ago. They dare not change anything in their
hobby...such change is perceived as a threat to their
survival...so they rationalize the radiotelegraphy "need"
and bitterly condemn all who seek change.

>> There is no "herding" involved. The "gate" wide open.
>
>No. The gate is shut and only those who can jump over it can get in,
but
>jumping is purely optional once they get in. (More weird metaphors,
>although I suppose I started this one).

Alun, you didn't "start" that. You have to understand that
morsemen (and morsepersons) MUST retain all regulations as
they are now. To change them might result in loss of the
morse test andthat is something they cannot abide. Ergo,
the rationalization that LAWS MUST BE OBEYED and, by very
strong inference, those laws cannot ever be changed!

Of course laws must be obeyed. There would be no reason to
have them if they weren't. However, there is NO reason to
prohibit CHANGE of law by established, rightful democratic-
principle action. However, CHANGE is anathema to
morsepeople for, if some laws on amateur radio are changed,
then the morse code test necessity might be changed.
They can't have that.

So, there is this self-righteous insistence on "legality" of
obeyance and the rejection (an illegality itself) of the
ability to change law.
[by any means possible, apparently...:-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1699. Re: Roger's Suicide Mission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 19:50:45 UTC
From: Queer_Jew_Lloydie <queerjewlloydie@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108929045.168754.274600@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103215
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Here's Lardass' latest mission, he sucks off JR Holsten of Kennett
Missouri!
http://www.img229.exs.cx/img229/3962/picassostevelloydlemon6jd.jpg

1700. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 20:15:32 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <Ed6Sd.32514$xt.32453@fed1read07>
Source record: 103216

Oh you have to read "The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph and its precursors." by Tom Standage
Describes Optical "telegraph" with panels and relays from hill to hill.
There were over a thousand in use all over Europe before the electric telegraph
Today a vestige of those days is several "Telegraph Hills" scattered over Europe.

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:u--dnThdSdhVUYXfRVn-rw@adelphia.com...
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> To revive an old thread
>>
>> Just read a very interesting book:
>> "The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph and its precursors." by
>> Tom Standage
>>
>> Fascinating reading
>>
>> Any way he describes Morse code as " Bi-Signal"
>>
>> I like that.
>>
>> Rather than send a series of pulses (same duration) down the pike --
>> where one is A, two pulses is B, etc.
>> and get 26 pulses for Z
>>
>> By using bi-signal
>> one short, the other long we have an efficient method of sending many
>> letters, numbers, punctuation, etc.
>>
>> This just doesn't strike me as binary.
>>
>> Wahtta ya think ????
>
> That is a much better explanation of how Morse code works than trying to
> day that is is a binary method, and have to try to say that spaces are a
> certain number of 0's, and dahs are 3 1's, etc.
>

> And lest I be accused of being obtuse, can anyone give a good cite of
> Morse code being defined as binary that predates the digital age? Earlier
> the better. What did good Samuel MOrse have to say on the subject?
>
> The "binary definition" is not the definition of Morse code. Rather is is
> a description of how to allow a digital computer to encode and decode the
> signal.
>
> Example:
>
> I have a flag made of four squares which in turn is a square
> The first square in the upper left is black, and the last square in the
> lower right is black also. The second square (upper right) is white, as
> well as the third square (lower left)
>
> It would be fairly simple to describe this large square consisting of four
> smaller squares in a binary fashion. You need a cell size, an X-Y, and a
> black or white state. All this in binary is not terribly difficult.
>
> The flag however, is not binary. It is a flag. Describing it in binary
> fashion does not make it binary.
>
> Lest we be tempted to do so, due to the simple nature of the example,
> remember that what was given was the base of digital imagery. The flag
> could have had all blocks of a different color, differing levels of
> lightness, etc.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

1701. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 20:22:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108930927.393894.149210@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103217

<angwy widdle response from K4YZ Feb 20, 4:31 am>

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >Date: Fri, Feb 18 2005 6:07 am
>> >From: "K4YZ"
>
>> >NEWS FLASH! -
>
>> >Rooters News Service
>
> <snip>
>
>> The above quote is attempted satire-sarcasm by the resident
>> hater-of-all-who-criticize-him-and-cannot-let-it-go. It is, of
>> course, a LIE.
>
> No, it's not.
>
> It's fiction. There's a difference.

It is a LIE. Worse, it is bad humor.

However, little Steven James throws an angry tantrum, waving his fists and crying piteously to the adults around him. Few liked his kind of childish "humor."

> "If fails both sarcasm and satire from several basic errors"
>
> You ought to do the proofreading thing in a sentence that
lampoons
>others errors, Lennie.

Tsk, tsk. The angry little Steven James cries and cries some more, "blaming" all others for not seeing little Stevie's "humor" which was nothing more than the usual libelous filth outpouring from a kid who can't take the heat of discussing subjects.

>> "K4YZ" failed to achieve any humor in attempting a pseudonym
>> for my wife.
>
> The lack of humor comes from your lack of respect for her acting
>the way you do in public.

Tsk, tsk. Another LIE in the heap of libelous filth babbled by little Steven James. In public.

Someone should pick up the 'phone and have little Steven James
picked up by "authorities" so that his vileness can be corrected
and his behavior disciplined. Perhaps another LPN can exercise
such "authority?"

<snip of little Steven's exhibitionism of private behavior>

> Neither does your Army career, your wife's alleged education and
>licensure, or your flirtation with aviation. But you never pass up a
>chance to enthrall us with those subjects as your will takes you.

Poor baby. Still throwing the tantrum.

I've not "enthralled" anything or anyone by mentioning what I've
done in the past half century involving radio and electronics. I
did
them and have references which include living individuals (at least
three of them are licensed amateur extras) who were also there
and can corroborate what was done.

Another PCTA extra in here repeatedly quoted (not verbatim)
a major league baseball player's statement "It ain't braggin if
ya done it!" Tsk, I've done it and it isn't bragging.

>> "K4YZ" has charged me repeatedly with plagiarism, the
>> stealing of already published written work. Unfortunately, he
>> is accusing himself of such in the poor, rewritten copy of that
>> old "Polish joke."
>
> So far, you've not proved any of my allegations as inaccurate.
>It's been several years.

More LIES by little Steven James.

No one can disprove something that doesn't exist.

However, little Steven James' "allegations" are terribly
inaccurate, being nothing more than angry tantrums blurted
out of a need to libelously label opponents' comments as
"lies" when he hasn't done the equivalent.

Little Stevie is welcome to go through each and every article
I've written in the past half century and show (with proper
work) where this alleged "plagiarism" was done. That will be
only about 9 periodicals, each having a production staff that
has read the original manuscripts, the proofs, and corrected
proofs (if needed) sent back, plus the page make-up sheets
prior to printing. At roughly 3 people per staff, that would be
roughly 27 others who approved what went into print. Be
mindful that all 9 periodicals also had legal representatives
who did not want any civil-suit plagiarism charges brought
against those periodicals. On top of that, angry little Stevie
has to check all subsequent issues of each periodical to
review corrections printed about my past articles, including

reader allegations of "plagiarism." To save him the time of searching (which he can't do), he should know that there were none.

Miraculously, little Stevie NOW claims that I "copied all of it from others!" That is utter nonsense. Little Stevie has NO such proof, no such references, just a blanket general statement which is one more filthy little piece of the trash he hurls at those who oppose him in public. Poor baby.

> "I am laughing at the superior intellect".

Those who have no intellect should not complain or try to bluff their way through anything.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1702. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 20:27:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108931220.330176.247770@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103218

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> K4YZ babbled his usual libelous filth on Feb 19, 9:10 am, to wit:
>> >bb wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:

> I have spoke with persons in California about issues that
>peripherally involve you. You can insist that I haven't, but you are
>big about denial of your own.

You "have spoke?" Does that mean you are a wheel?

Angwy widdle Stevie is babbling again in generalities,
nothing specific.

Who are these "persons in California?" Are they groups
or individuals? What identification can you give about
them? Are you going to refuse to identify them again?

What are the "issues?" What do they involve? How do
they "involve" me?

Widdle Stevie is BLUFFING again. Nothing specific was
said by him, yet he wants to imply badness of some kind.

No one can confirm or deny something that isn't identified.

>> It is "K4YZ" babbling a lot of libelous filth at anyone who he
>> feels gives him opposition to whatever "K4YZ" has posted.
>
> It's only "libelous" if it's not true.

Nearly all of what widdle Stevie posts claiming others are
"lying" is itself a LIE.

> It's not "libelous" or a "falsehood" if it's true.

Widdle Stevie's libelous charges are untrue, false, nothing
more than uncontrolled temper tantrums from someone
who cannot concentrate on subjects but must hurl trash
at the person of those opposing his opinions.

> It's not about "opinions".

In reality it is.

Stevie should understand that his fantasyworld ideas and
rules do NOT apply to reality. His "definitions" of "right and
wrong" are NOT universal and are purely defensive reflexes
on his part against those who disagree with him.

> Leonard H Anderson has uttered dozens of untruthful statements in
>this forum. They have been archived.

Poor baby. Still angwy at discovering that PCTA extras can
NOT hurl libelous filth at NCTAs or those that disagree with
your concept of "right and wrong?"

Tsk, there ought to be LAW, ey? :-)

Oh, my, but what widdle Stevie thinks is a "comeback" is going
to come back and bite him...HARD. Stevie's libelous filth
outpourings against others are ALSO archived!

Sunnuvagun!

> Leonard H Anderson has uses dozens of hateful, demeaning epithets
>agains all others who oppose HIS "opinions" then cries "foul" when
done
>back to him.

Poor baby Stevie. Can't handle it on newsgroups? :-)

No problem to widdle Stevie...he's a PCTA *extra* and can do
anything he wants in here! Call others names (like "Putz") and
say those are "true" (when they aren't)!

Tsk, tsk, tsk...an alleged ex-marine who can't handle "hostile
(verbal) action!"

Temper fry...

> I don't have a "Licensed Practical Nurse certificate".

No longer? Tennessee take yours away? Aw, too bad...

> It's not libelous if it's true.

It's not true. Ergo, it is LIBEL.

> You are not a licensed Radio Amateur.

Never claimed to be one. :-)

> You could have been a positive contributer to the Amateur Radio
>community.

That would be a change...from all the negative contributors
who insist on keeping U.S. amateur radio in some kind of
time stasis circa 1930's standards and practices. :-)

But, I'd feel safe in predicting that widdle Stevie would
continue to throw tantrums and hurl libelous filth at all
who oppose his opinions in here. :-)

> You claim it's a meaningful pursuit, but you obviously hate
>everything about it and anyone connected with it.

Sigh...poor widdle self-righteous Stevie thinking that all
of amateur radio agrees with Stevie's concept of ham
radio. Anyone "hating Stevie" MUST "hate all of ham
radio!" :-)

> You authored a few articles in a quasi-engineering level Amateur
>Radio journal many years ago. None of those articles ever produced a
>single bit of professional recognition.

BwahahahahahahahahahHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Poor widdle emotional Stevie...thinks it is all about
"recognition" and respect and, most of all, adoration!
:-)

"Professional recognition." Professionalism is about
doing work for pecuniary compensation. (those with
poor vocabularies can substitute 'monetary' for
'pecuniary') Tsk, tsk, tsk...all of the publications I
was printed in (there are 9 of them) "recognized" it as
such and I was PAID for them. Not only that, but the
IRS and California Franchise Tax Board also
"recognized" it as such!

Sunnuvagun!

> You've contributed nothing to the technical development of
Amateur
>Radio.

I haven't? :-)

What has widdle Stevie "contributed to the technical development
of amateur radio? Sitting in ham newsgroups and hurling libelous
filth against all who oppose him? :-)

Define "technical development" in radio, oh mighty radio guru!

Show us your work, your brilliant developments of buying ready-
built equipment and building "weekender" projects from articles
in ham magazines...no doubt all full of amazing technology
never before seen, ey? :-)

> These are statements of fact. Unless you can produce some
>documentary evidence to the contrary?

"Documentary evidence?" You mean like information printed at
a rate of 50,000 copies a month in some periodical? Or be a
footnote or endnote in some other paper or document?

Imagine poor widdle Stevie ending up as a mere footnote in
some article. [a podiatric medical journal would be suitable?]

> Then if we use YOUR above statement/logic verbatim, why are YOU
in
>THIS forum?

Does widdle Stevie have both short-term and long-term memory
loss? :-)

I'm here as part of an advocacy to remove the morse code test
from U.S. amateur radio license examinations. As part of that,
there are all those other libelous filth-hurlers best
identified as a group known as the "PCTA extras" who want to
silence me. As a result, most of my replies are in regards to
refuting all that libelous filth tossed at my person. :-)

>You are not a licensed Amateur. You cannot, therefore, be
>any kind of a judge of what Amateurs should do or how they should do
>it.

NOBODY can tell widdle Stevie what to do in ham radio! Nobody!

:-)

>> with one of them since that retirement began. "K4YZ" has never
>> revealed "his source's" name. He can't. He doesn't exist.
>
> I can, but I won't.

BLUFF. Bullshit. You fabricated that "source." A LIE.

> You left a very negative impression on persons who ARE engineers
>and ARE qualified to judge. I know the other person. I've never
known
>him to utter a single untruthful comment.

Tsk. Must have been one of your other personalities! :-)

Which one of those was it?

> You are an antagonist.

Yes. I dislike the existance of the morse code test!

Did you finally come to that conclusion, Stevie Einstein?

:-)

> You make statements that are not true or that you will not
>substantiate with valid references.

Poor widdle Stevie...he MUST have long-term memory loss
also! He forgets a short list of professional employers made
in here more than once, the names and callsigns of licensed
amateurs whom I know, the links and other third-party
references made in here to documents, directives, and
regulations.

Poor Stevie, all confused again as to what is "right or wrong"
and personal fantasy versus reality. Thinks all who oppose
his opinions are "wrong," "liars," "untruthful," etc., etc.

Widdle Stevie is nutso.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1703. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 20:31:46 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1108931506.602051.278050@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103219

Attract em with some kinda new fangled packet racket. Yeah right.

1704. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 21:31:34 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4219025b$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103220

Let see you come up with something better....between you and Cmd Buzz Corey
which one of you the butch and which one is the bitch???

Todd N9OGL
"Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message
news:1108931506.602051.278050@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Attract em with some kinda new fangled packet racket. Yeah right.
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1705. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 21:47:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <XaqdnTfG34LDmITfRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103221

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> news:UKmdnY534OZ9JYvfRVn-uA@adelphia.com:
>
>
>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>>news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:
>>
>><some snippage>
>>
>>
>>
>>>> I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but lets
>>>> say
>>>>in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a woman
>>>>not knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning herself when
>>>>trying to hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry Phil, but what
>>>>if she simply ruined her dress because the coffee was wet?- negligent
>>>>design of the cup?)
>>>>
>>>> So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt rig, and
>>>> is
>>>>half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey thingies on
>>>>the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful lawsuits
>>>>already!
>>>>
>>>> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a painful
>>>> burn and
>>>>a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that catches a
>>>>ride on a thousand watts might just have a very successful lawsuit if
>>>>we don't train them well.
>>>>
>>>>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should be a
>>>>Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to handle full
>>>>legal limit.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or some
>>>> other
>>>>weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things such as

>>>>Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a second to
>>>>drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the control op can
>>>>react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full power safety
>>>>requirements.
>>>>
>>>>Anything else is criminally negligent.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written* exam
>>>>>looks like.
>>>>>
>>>>>And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
>>>>>operator licenses is way down.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
>>>>>>>and the number of countries.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test because a
>>>>>>>few have decided to? Or can each country decide for itself.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish the
>>>>>>code test.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict individual
>>>>>>ownership of firearms, too.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
>>>>>>>dropped code testing?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
>>>>>>*hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
>>>>
>>>> Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people make
>>>> it out
>>>>to be.

>>>>
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Well, it is a problem. No-coders may have been in the hobby, but they
>>>couldn't do everything that they wanted to do.
>>
>>People who have not passed the test have been kept out of things they
>>want to do in the hobby too.
>>
>>
>>>Not that I'm saying that the
>>>Tech theory should get you full privileges, but there have always been
>>>many Techs who could pass Extra class theory.
>>
>> Yup, Like me. But I apparently showed the character flaw od
>> learning Morse code! ;^)
>>
>
> So did I, but it was hell!

Yeah, for me too! 6 months of daily studying, one failed test, and
finally passing it. I think that my brain processes audio differently
than does those people with normal hearing.

> If it wasn't a hazing process, then I'm a Dutchman

Don't take it personally! Some people learn Morse pretty easily, and
for some it is hard. Is it a hazing process if it is easy? Same goes for
the writtens.

I can assure you that no group of Hams ever sat down and said "Let's
give this Coslo guy a rough time and make him learn Morse code".

>> As a guy who can't "hear" people unless he can see the mouth of
>>the person speaking, I have just a little trouble figuring out the problem
>>with normal people for which the test is too hard to make it worth
>>getting a license.
>>
>> But it is! Witness all those who are dropping off the ranks when
>> their license expires.
>>
>> I predict the next tack of the NCI's is that not allowing the
>> codeless Techs HF access is why they aren't renewing their license.
>>
> Certainly that must be true of some of them. What proportion, I couldn't
> say.

That would certainly be an interesting outlook for a person. Let us say that a person became a ham in 1994, and has a combined intense interest in operation below 30 MHz, and deep seated conviction against Morse code testing, leading to refusal to take the Element 1 test.

Somehow doesn't ring true.

I think that those who want to get rid of Element one testing would be better off to not try that argument.

That would be reminiscent of the old "Jump Frog" joke!

>>>Herding them above 30 MHz is a problem, not for you perhaps, but
>>>still a problem.
>>
>>"Herding" is a strange name for allowing access to almost all the
>>Amateur spectrum.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>
> You mean the part that doesn't refract from the ionosphere, right?

Let us not forget 6 meters.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1706. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 23:01:10 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1108940470.290100.258960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103222

You faggot. Go back to your faggot school and jerk off in the bathroom.

1707. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 23:15:30 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9603B9D8650AAelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103223

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in news:1108923304.842883.81670
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

>>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elekt...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9601E5F2D8B96elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>>> Mike Coslo <mco...@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>>> news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:
>>
>>> [big, big snip. Do you have to quote EVERYTHING??]
>>
>>>> Well, it is a problem. No-coders may have been in the hobby, but
>>>> they couldn't do everything that they wanted to do. Not that I'm
>>>> saying that the Tech theory should get you full privileges, but
>>>> there have
>
>>>> always been many Techs who could pass Extra class theory. Herding
>>>> them above 30 MHz is a problem, not for you perhaps, but still a
>>>> problem.
>>
>>> No one can do everything they want to, not even the Extras. We must
>
>>> comply with the mode regulations, special power limits in the old
>>> novice subbands, and we should comply with the band plans.
>>
>>Certainly, but that doesn't stop us from advocating that those rules be
>>changed. A rule that those who can't read on/off keying by ear can't
>>refract their signals from the ionosphere is lacking in logic of any
>>kind.
>
> Quite true, Alun, but that isn't the real subject for morsemen.
>
> The morsemen insist and insist and insist on keeping the
> morse test because that is what They had to undergo. If
> They had to do it, then all others must do it.
>
> U.S. amateur radio was never chartered to be a living museum of
> archaic radiotelegraphy skills. There is no need to maintain any
> morse telegraphic skills through federal subsidy of any kind in
> this new millennium.
>
> But, to most morsemen, having developed the telegraphy skill,
> they have a bragging right to show their "superiority" in radio.
> Never mind that such "superiority" is one of myth and fantasy
> and exists today solely in amateur radio. Morsemen have

> bought into their Belief system that the federal government Must
> support/defend/cherish morse skills because that is the way
> They believe.
>
> In a few it is the fear of loss of their "greatness" in radio
> (of the amateur variety) as they age. They desperately want
> to preserve their status and their Beliefs that they bought
> into long ago. They dare not change anything in their
> hobby...such change is perceived as a threat to their
> survival...so they rationalize the radiotelegraphy "need"
> and bitterly condemn all who seek change.
>
>>> There is no "herding" involved. The "gate" wide open.
>>
>>No. The gate is shut and only those who can jump over it can get in,
>>but jumping is purely optional once they get in. (More weird metaphors,
>>although I suppose I started this one).
>
> Alun, you didn't "start" that. You have to understand that
> morsemen (and morsepersons) MUST retain all regulations as
> they are now. To change them might result in loss of the
> morse test andthat is something they cannot abide. Ergo,
> the rationalization that LAWS MUST BE OBEYED and, by very
> strong inference, those laws cannot ever be changed!
>
> Of course laws must be obeyed. There would be no reason to
> have them if they weren't. However, there is NO reason to
> prohibit CHANGE of law by established, rightful democratic-
> principle action. However, CHANGE is anathema to
> morsepeople for, if some laws on amateur radio are changed,
> then the morse code test necessity might be changed.
> They can't have that.
>
> So, there is this self-righteous insistence on "legality" of
> obeyance and the rejection (an illegality itself) of the
> ability to change law.
> [by any means possible, apparently...:-)]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>

What more can I say, Len? I agree with every word you said.

1708. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 23:25:04 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <U8KdnbQV5Y-bvYTfRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103226

Todd Daugherty wrote:
Very mature reply toddyboy.

> Fuck you cornhole
> Todd
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
> news:rc-dnaXr-4xxjoXfRVn-qw@gbronline.com...
>
>>Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>And it's THAT attitude that will kill amateur radio. No one will come to
>
> the
>
>>>service if there is something BETTER out there.
>>>
>>
>>Getting too many in ham radio like you will kill ham radio.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1709. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 23:29:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <V9mdnUqPudjfgITfRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103225

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:McWdnZTdw6dfqIrfRVn-2A@comcast.com:
>
>
>>"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:Xns9601E5F2D8B96elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>
>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>>news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:
>>
>>[big, big snip. Do you have to quote EVERYTHING??]
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Well, it is a problem. No-coders may have been in the hobby, but they
>>>couldn't do everything that they wanted to do. Not that I'm saying
>>>that the Tech theory should get you full privileges, but there have
>>>always been many Techs who could pass Extra class theory. Herding
>>>them above 30 MHz is a problem, not for you perhaps, but still a
>>>problem.
>>
>>No one can do everything they want to, not even the Extras. We must
>>comply with the mode regulations, special power limits in the old
>>novice subbands, and we should comply with the band plans.
>
>
> Certainly, but that doesn't stop us from advocating that those rules be
> changed. A rule that those who can't read on/off keying by ear can't
> refract their signals from the ionosphere is lacking in logic of any kind.

An argument can be made that no one should be tested at all.
Freebanders do it all the time. All rules are arbitrary.

But somewhere, somehow, a group of people decided that those were the
rules. Those rules came from rules that were in force previously. The
rules change constantly. That's a fact.

One of those changes 10 or so years ago was to give access to *most* of
our allotted frequencies to people without having them take a code test.
Also a fact.

This has had mixed results. Also a fact.

Possibilities:

Removal of the Morse code test has made the testing process easier.
This has allowed people who have only a passing interest in Ham radio to
get their license. Passing interests pass, and they allow their licenses
to lapse.

The Morse code tests are so hard that the No-code Technician either
becomes so discouraged that they quit, or their convictions are so
strong that they would rather not be a Ham than take the Element one test.

You can decide for yourself which sounds more likely.

>
>>There is no "herding" involved. The "gate" wide open.
>>
>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> No. The gate is shut and only those who can jump over it can get in, but
> jumping is purely optional once they get in. (More weird metaphors,
> although I suppose I started this one).

I pounded and pounded and pounded that key until I broke the gate
down.......... (yoiks) 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1710. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 23:31:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <V9mdnUWPudgngITfRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103224

Caveat Lector wrote:

> Oh you have to read "The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph and its
> precursors." by Tom Standage
> Describes Optical "telegraph" with panels and relays from hill to hill.
> There were over a thousand in use all over Europe before the electric
> telegraph
> Today a vestige of those days is several "Telegraph Hills" scattered over
> Europe.

Thanks for the tip! I'll do that.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1711. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-20 23:42:44 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9603BE75D8F37elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103227

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
news:XaqdnTfG34LDmITfRVn-uQ@adelphia.com:

> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>> news:UKmdnY534OZ9JYvfRVn-uA@adelphia.com:
>>
>>
>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>>>news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:
>>>
>>><some snippage>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>> I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but
>>>>> lets say
>>>>>in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a woman
>>>>>not knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning herself when
>>>>>trying to hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry Phil, but
>>>>>what if she simply ruined her dress because the coffee was wet?-
>>>>>negligent design of the cup?)
>>>>>
>>>>> So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt rig,
>>>>> and is
>>>>>half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey thingies
>>>>>on the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful
>>>>>lawsuits already!
>>>>>
>>>>> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a painful
>>>>> burn and
>>>>>a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that catches a
>>>>>ride on a thousand watts might just have a very successful lawsuit
>>>>>if we don't train them well.
>>>>>
>>>>>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should be
>>>>>a Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to handle
>>>>>full legal limit.
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>> And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or some
>>>>> other
>>>>>weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things such as
>>>>>Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a second
>>>>>to drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the control
>>>>>op can react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full power
>>>>>safety requirements.
>>>>>
>>>>>Anything else is criminally negligent.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written* exam
>>>>>>looks like.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
>>>>>>operator licenses is way down.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
>>>>>>>>and the number of countries.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test because
>>>>>>>>a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for itself.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish the
>>>>>>>code test.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict
>>>>>>>individual ownership of firearms, too.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
>>>>>>>>>dropped code testing?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
>>>>>>>*hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
>>>>>

>>>>> Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people make
>>>>> it out
>>>>>to be.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Well, it is a problem. No-coders may have been in the hobby, but they
>>>>couldn't do everything that they wanted to do.
>>>
>>>People who have not passed the test have been kept out of things they
>>>want to do in the hobby too.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Not that I'm saying that the
>>>>Tech theory should get you full privileges, but there have always
>>>>been many Techs who could pass Extra class theory.
>>>
>>> Yup, Like me. But I apparently showed the character flaw od
>>> learning Morse code! ;^)
>>>
>>
>> So did I, but it was hell!
>
> Yeah, for me too! 6 months of daily studying, one failed test, and
> finally passing it. I think that my brain processes audio differently
> than does those people with normal hearing.
>

I have not posted this point for a long time, as it provokes extreme
reactions from the pro code test lobby, but I can beat your 6 months. It
took me 22 years. Can you honestly wonder that I feel the way I do?

>> If it wasn't a hazing process, then I'm a Dutchman
>
> Don't take it personally! Some people learn Morse pretty easily,
> and
> for some it is hard. Is it a hazing process if it is easy? Same goes
> for the writtens.
>
> I can assure you that no group of Hams ever sat down and said
> "Let's
> give this Coslo guy a rough time and make him learn Morse code".
>
>
>>> As a guy who can't "hear" people unless he can see the mouth of
>>>the person speaking, I have just a little trouble figuring out the

>>>problem with normal people for which the test is too hard to make it
>>>worth getting a license.
>>>
>>> But it is! Witness all those who are dropping off the ranks when
>>> their license expires.
>>>
>>> I predict the next tack of the NCI's is that not allowing the
>>> codeless Techs HF access is why they aren't renewing their
>>> license.
>>>
>> Certainly that must be true of some of them. What proportion, I
>> couldn't say.
>
> That would certainly be an interesting outlook for a person. Let
> us say
> that a person became a ham in 1994, and has a combined intense interest
> in operation below 30 MHz, and deep seated conviction against Morse
> code testing, leading to refusal to take the Element 1 test.
>
> Somehow doesn't ring true.

It was true enough of me, although I became a no-code ham in 1980 (in the UK), more or less in defeat at having tried unsuccessfully to learn Morse code ever since 1970, and passed a code test in 1992.

Getting a no-code licence was something I only did because I was resigned to not getting the HF access that I wanted. It was a case of thinking it was silly to stay off the air altogether just because I couldn't get on HF, and it took me a long time, i.e. 10 years, to grudgingly reach that conclusion.

Eventually passing the code test was helped by software that didn't exist back in 1970, and the help of dear friends who took turns to send slow CW transmissions several times a week that I knew were being done mainly just for my benefit. Sure, others tuned in, but they stopped sending them when I passed! I owe them a great deal.

Here's another interesting fact. I was teaching ham radio classes for years before I passed the bleeping code!

If none of this rings true, I can assure that every word is the truth.

As I said, all of this has been posted here before, but not recently. My own history hasn't proved as effective as an argument as simply pointing out that none of the arguments in favour of retaining code testing hold as much water as a leaky bucket!

>
> I think that those who want to get rid of Element one testing
> would be
> better off to not try that argument.
>

> That would be reminiscent of the old "Jump Frog" joke!
>

Except that the circumstances I describe never struck me as a joke.

>
>>>>Herding them above 30 MHz is a problem, not for you perhaps, but
>>>>still a problem.
>>>
>>>"Herding" is a strange name for allowing access to almost all the
>>>Amateur spectrum.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>
>> You mean the part that doesn't refract from the ionosphere, right?
>
> Let us not forget 6 meters.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

The magic band is the exception that proves the rule!

73 de Alun, N3KIP, G8VUK

1712. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 00:05:17 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1108944317.720017.93680@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103228

Yeah, very mature reply toddyboy. Now go try to build a dipole that
will survive a snow storm.

1713. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 00:14:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108944851.368359.264550@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103229

<Mike Coslo waved a flag on Feb 20, 9:45 am>

>Caveat Lector wrote:
>> To revive an old thread

Why? To hide an archaic communications means in high-tech
bafflegab? :-)

>> Just read a very interesting book:
>> "The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph and its precursors."
by Tom
>> Standage

Anyone can get a history of "telegraphic" means of
communications in the first chapter, Part A, of
"Principles of Telegraphy (Teletypewriter)" NAVSHIPS
0967-255-0010, June 1967. The "author" is the
Department of the Navy Electronic Systems Command.
It is a training text. Part A (historical background) has
nice pictures courtesty of the Smithsonian
Institution (for those who find schematics and
electronic diagrams too complex...).

A better explanation of the codes involved in ALL
telegraphy is given at:

http://www.wps.com/projects/codes/index.html

That was done by Tom Jennings, a licensed ham. :-)

>> Fascinating reading
>
>> Any way he describes Morse code as " Bi-Signal"
>
> That is a much better explanation of how Morse code works than
trying
>to day that is is a binary method, and have to try to say that spaces

>are a certain number of 0's, and dahs are 3 1's, etc.

The "bi" prefix in 'binary' means TWO. Webster's New World
defines "binary" as -

"1. made up of two parts; double

"2. designating or of a number system in which the base
used is two, each number being expressed by using only
two digits; spec. 1 and 0."

> And lest I be accused of being obtuse, can anyone give a good
cite of
>Morse code being defined as binary that predates the digital age?
>Earlier the better. What did good Samuel MOrse have to say on the
subject?

In 1844 he wrote on the paper tape of the first Morse-Vail Telegraph
message which is both quoted and shown on Jennings' website.

> The "binary definition" is not the definition of Morse code.
Rather is
>is a description of how to allow a digital computer to encode and
decode
>the signal.

Sorry. By definition, the on-off telegraphic code has only TWO
states.
Ergo, it fits the definition of the word "binary."

It would seem you wish to enshrine "morse code" as some kind of
"non-computer" having some kind of intrinsic power/majesty/grandeur
all by its lonesome. While that's nice, it is merely a cult
definition by
believers who've been taken in by old Morse Myths. A telegraphic
code has only two states.

> The flag however, is not binary. It is a flag. Describing it
in binary
>fashion does not make it binary.

Telegraphy is NOT a flag, no matter how much you wave away. :-)

As HF radio amateurs use it, "CW" (the colloquial meaning of
on-off keying of a carrier using International Morse Code) is simply
two states: Carrier on; carrier off. Two states. Binary.

"CW" was the only way the first primitive radios could be used
for communications. "First" only because it was the only
practical means that could be applied to that primitive
technology. Not a problem for two reasons:

"Morse code" was already a mature communications code by
1896 and the first demonstrated "radios" in Italy and Russia.
In the 52 years since the first Morse-Vail Telegraph message,
the wired telegraph (generally based on the Morse-Vail pateneted
"relay system") had spread worldwide and developed many
"dialects" of codings to fit languages other than English. The
signal itself was of only two states, on or off.

The printing telegraph or teleprinter was already in use in
1896 and such innovations were slowly downsizing the
manual telegraph operators. There was then (1896) a "pool"
of manual telegraphers who could transfer their skills to the
then-new technology of radio. As on-off keyed radio was

rapidly adopted by the maritime world (which did not have any means of quick, over-the-horizon communications), the downsized manual telegraphers were hired on by the new "wireless" communications groups and the Morse Myths grew out of a need of these old brass pounders to gain new self-esteem as "pioneers."

On-off keyed CW still has only two states: Carrier on; carrier off. Binary.

You appear to want to divorce yourself from the possibility that a "machine" (a modern computer) can successfully decode a morse signal into a written language text form. However, I've seen a demonstration (and supplied the signal source for) of a self-adjusting-for-rate-and-spacings decoder program that morse worked. [this was witnessed by two othes who were skilled in "CW" operation]

Since modern computers internally operate using only two stable states with their signals, those are generally termed as operating in binary. But, "binary" is NOT exclusively for computers or even digital logic devices. "Binary" means "having two states" of something; e.g., carrier on or carrier off. There was some interest in the digital hardware field of using a trinary signal (three actual states for a signal) but that disappeared after a few years of papers.

You can argue until blue in the face, but morse code is NOT magical, endowed with some "superior" whatever. You can bring out all the OLD, trite, worn-out aphorisms saluting morse since the 1920s and 1930s and all you are doing is bring out old, outdated, obsolete rationalizations which don't apply to the modern times. On-off keyed CW still has only two states, thus it fits the "binary" definition.

If you want to be a champion retro-comm "superior," I'd suggest you find someone with a time machine to transport yourself back about 70 years and demonstrate your skills there, when morse code was actually a mark of a good "radio operator." Or be a licensed ham radio pro-code braggart. Binary choice. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1714. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 00:24:49 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <42192af5$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103230

This is an Advance packet system, unlike that crappy system we all know to hate.

N9OGL

"Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message news:1108931506.602051.278050@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Attract em with some kinda new fangled packet racket. Yeah right.
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1715. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 00:32:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <MIKdnZjE072JsYTfRVn-1A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103231

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> news:XaqdnTfG34LDmITfRVn-uQ@adelphia.com:
>
>
>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>>news:UKmdnY534OZ9JYvfRVn-uA@adelphia.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>>>>news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:
>>>>
>>>><some snippage>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>> I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but
>>>>>> lets say
>>>>>>in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a woman
>>>>>>not knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning herself when
>>>>>>trying to hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry Phil, but
>>>>>>what if she simply ruined her dress because the coffee was wet?-
>>>>>>negligent design of the cup?)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt rig,
>>>>>> and is
>>>>>>half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey thingies
>>>>>>on the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful
>>>>>>lawsuits already!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a painful
>>>>>> burn and
>>>>>>a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that catches a
>>>>>>ride on a thousand watts might just have a very successful lawsuit
>>>>>>if we don't train them well.

>>>>>>
>>>>>>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should be
>>>>>>a Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to handle
>>>>>>full legal limit.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or some
>>>>>> other
>>>>>>weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things such as
>>>>>>Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a second
>>>>>>to drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the control
>>>>>>op can react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full power
>>>>>>safety requirements.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Anything else is criminally negligent.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written* exam
>>>>>>>looks like.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
>>>>>>>operator licenses is way down.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
>>>>>>>>>and the number of countries.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test because
>>>>>>>>>a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for itself.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish the
>>>>>>>>>code test.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict
>>>>>>>>>individual ownership of firearms, too.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have

>>>>>>>>>dropped code testing?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
>>>>>>>*hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people make
>>>>>> it out
>>>>>>to be.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Well, it is a problem. No-coders may have been in the hobby, but they
>>>>>couldn't do everything that they wanted to do.
>>>>
>>>>People who have not passed the test have been kept out of things they
>>>>want to do in the hobby too.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Not that I'm saying that the
>>>>>Tech theory should get you full privileges, but there have always
>>>>>been many Techs who could pass Extra class theory.
>>>>
>>>> Yup, Like me. But I apparently showed the character flaw od
>>>> learning Morse code! ;^)
>>>>
>>>
>>>So did I, but it was hell!
>>
>> Yeah, for me too! 6 months of daily studying, one failed test, and
>>finally passing it. I think that my brain processes audio differently
>>than does those people with normal hearing.
>>
>
>
> I have not posted this point for a long time, as it provokes extreme
> reactions from the pro code test lobby, but I can beat your 6 months. It
> took me 22 years. Can you honestly wonder that I feel the way I do?

That is quite a long time. What was the reason that it took you 22
years? My reason was hearing problems, and a presumed mental processing

of sound deficit.

What was the total study time?
>
>>>If it wasn't a hazing process, then I'm a Dutchman
>>
>>Don't take it personally! Some people learn Morse pretty easily,
>>and for some it is hard. Is it a hazing process if it is easy? Same goes
>>for the writtens. I can assure you that no group of Hams ever sat down and said
>>"Let's give this Coslo guy a rough time and make him learn Morse code".
>>
>>
>>
>>>> As a guy who can't "hear" people unless he can see the mouth of
>>>>the person speaking, I have just a little trouble figuring out the
>>>>problem with normal people for which the test is too hard to make it
>>>>worth getting a license.
>>>>
>>>> But it is! Witness all those who are dropping off the ranks when
>>>> their license expires.
>>>>
>>>> I predict the next tack of the NCI's is that not allowing the
>>>> codeless Techs HF access is why they aren't renewing their
>>>> license.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Certainly that must be true of some of them. What proportion, I
>>>couldn't say.
>>
>> That would certainly be an interesting outlook for a person. Let
>> us say
>>that a person became a ham in 1994, and has a combined intense interest
>>in operation below 30 MHz, and deep seated conviction against Morse
>>code testing, leading to refusal to take the Element 1 test.
>>
>> Somehow doesn't ring true.
>
>
> It was true enough of me, although I became a no-code ham in 1980 (in the
> UK), more or less in defeat at having tried unsuccessfully to learn Morse
> code ever since 1970, and passed a code test in 1992.
>
> Getting a no-code licence was something I only did because I was resigned
> to not getting the HF access that I wanted. It was a case of thinking it
> was silly to stay off the air altogether just because I couldn't get on HF,
> and it took me a long time, i.e. 10 years, to grudgingly reach that
> conclusion.
>
> Eventually passing the code test was helped by software that didn't exist
> back in 1970, and the help of dear friends who took turns to send slow CW

> transmissions several times a week that I knew were being done mainly just
> for my benefit. Sure, others tuned in, but they stopped sending them when I
> passed! I owe them a great deal.
>
> Here's another interesting fact. I was teaching ham radio classes for years
> before I passed the bleeping code!
>
> If none of this rings true, I can assure that every word is the truth.

I believe you. And you didn't do what my hypothetical Technician did
either. You kept with it an eventually passed

> As I said, all of this has been posted here before, but not recently. My
> own history hasn't proved as effective as an argument as simply pointing
> out that none of the arguments in favour of retaining code testing hold as
> much water as a leaky bucket!

Except here is what I see as the difference. You had difficulties with
Element one, and so did I. You want the test eliminated because you had
a hard time of it. I don't want the test removed just because of my
personal trouble with it.

We all have walls to climb in life. Some peoples walls are higher than
others. I'll climb my own walls, and not try to change everyone elses
walls. YMMV.

>
>> I think that those who want to get rid of Element one testing
>> would be
>>better off to not try that argument.
>>
>>That would be reminiscent of the old "Jump Frog" joke!
>>
>
> Except that the circumstances I describe never struck me as a joke.

I had enough problems that it was no joke to me either. But my point
wasn't about the test specifically, it was the conclusion that people
reach regarding Morse code testing and the people coming into or leaving
the ARS.

Just so you know what the joke was about:

A scientist was conducting an experiment.

He took a frog, and sat it on the floor. Then he said:

"Jump, frog, Jump!"

The frog jumps 6 feet.

The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with four legs jumps 6 feet*.

Then he cuts off one of the frog's legs...

"Jump, frog, Jump!"

The frog jumps 4 feet.

The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with three legs jumps 4 feet*.

He cuts off another leg.

"Jump, frog, Jump!"

The frog jumps 2 feet.

The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with two legs jumps 2 feet*.

Then he cuts off the third leg.

"Jump, frog, Jump!"

With a mighty struggle, the frog jumps 1 feet.

The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with 1 leg jumps 1 foot*.

Then he cuts off the final leg.

"Jump, frog, Jump!"

The frog just sits there.

"Jump, frog, Jump!"

The frog still just sits there.

The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with no legs is deaf.

>
>>>>>Herding them above 30 MHz is a problem, not for you perhaps, but
>>>>>still a problem.
>>>>
>>>>"Herding" is a strange name for allowing access to almost all the
>>>>Amateur spectrum.
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>You mean the part that doesn't refract from the ionosphere, right?
>>
>> Let us not forget 6 meters.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>
>
> The magic band is the exception that proves the rule!
>
> 73 de Alun, N3KIP, G8VUK

1716. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 01:03:28 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <zraSd.108452$mt.37284@fed1read03>
Source record: 103232

Caveat Lector wrote:
>
> By using bi-signal
> one short, the other long we have an efficient method of sending many
> letters, numbers, punctuation, etc.
>
> This just doesn't strike me as binary.
>
> Wahtta ya think ????
>
actually, its considered TRInary (1 short, 1 long and a space ,off>).
it is a form of digital that works well with the human brain (after a small
amount of training).
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1717. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 01:06:08 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8_udndhH0_5_q4TfRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 103233

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:V9mdnUqPudjfgITfRVn-2w@adelphia.com...
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
[snip]
>> No. The gate is shut and only those who can jump over it can get in, but
>> jumping is purely optional once they get in. (More weird metaphors,
>> although I suppose I started this one).
>
> I pounded and pounded and pounded that key until I broke the gate
> down.......... (yoiks) 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

As did I. Now in retrospect, I can see that it was me that was making it
hard rather than it actually being hard.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1718. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 01:26:00 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <IMaSd.33463$xt.6064@fed1read07>
Source record: 103234

Good grief old chap -- your response is overwhelming - totally
You are right
I am wrong
I hereby join you in your noble crusade
Now all we have to do is get all them durn Morse coders off the air
Any Ideas ???

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1108944851.368359.264550@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> <Mike Coslo waved a flag on Feb 20, 9:45 am>
>
>>Caveat Lector wrote:
>>> To revive an old thread
>
> Why? To hide an archaic communications means in high-tech
> bafflegab? :-)
>
>>> Just read a very interesting book:
>>> "The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph and its precursors."
> by Tom
>>> Standage
>
> Anyone can get a history of "telegraphic" means of
> communications in the first chapter, Part A, of
> "Principles of Telegraphy (Teletypewriter)" NAVSHIPS
> 0967-255-0010, June 1967. The "author" is the
> Department of the Navy Electronic Systems Command.
> It is a training text. Part A (historical background) has
> nice pictures courtesty of the Smithsonian
> Institution (for those who find schematics and
> electronic diagrams too complex...).
>
> A better explanation of the codes involved in ALL
> telegraphy is given at:
>
> http://www.wps.com/projects/codes/index.html
>
> That was done by Tom Jennings, a licensed ham. :-)
>

>>> Fascinating reading
>>
>>> Any way he describes Morse code as " Bi-Signal"
>>
>> That is a much better explanation of how Morse code works than
> trying
>>to day that is is a binary method, and have to try to say that spaces
>
>>are a certain number of 0's, and dahs are 3 1's, etc.
>
> The "bi" prefix in 'binary' means TWO. Webster's New World
> defines "binary" as -
>
> "1. made up of two parts; double
>
> "2. designating or of a number system in which the base
> used is two, each number being expressed by using only
> two digits; spec. 1 and 0."
>
>> And lest I be accused of being obtuse, can anyone give a good
> cite of
>>Morse code being defined as binary that predates the digital age?
>>Earlier the better. What did good Samuel MOrse have to say on the
> subject?
>
> In 1844 he wrote on the paper tape of the first Morse-Vail Telegraph
> message which is both quoted and shown on Jennings' website.
>
>> The "binary definition" is not the definition of Morse code.
> Rather is
>>is a description of how to allow a digital computer to encode and
> decode
>>the signal.
>
> Sorry. By definition, the on-off telegraphic code has only TWO
> states.
> Ergo, it fits the definition of the word "binary."
>
> It would seem you wish to enshrine "morse code" as some kind of
> "non-computer" having some kind of intrinsic power/majesty/grandeur
> all by its lonesome. While that's nice, it is merely a cult
> definition by
> believers who've been taken in by old Morse Myths. A telegraphic
> code has only two states.
>
>
>> The flag however, is not binary. It is a flag. Describing it
> in binary
>>fashion does not make it binary.
>

> Telegraphy is NOT a flag, no matter how much you wave away. :-)
>
> As HF radio amateurs use it, "CW" (the colloquial meaning of
> on-off keying of a carrier using International Morse Code) is simply
> two states: Carrier on; carrier off. Two states. Binary.
>
> "CW" was the only way the first primitive radios could be used
> for communications. "First" only because it was the only
> practical means that could be applied to that primitive
> technology. Not a problem for two reasons:
>
> "Morse code" was already a mature communications code by
> 1896 and the first demonstrated "radios" in Italy and Russia.
> In the 52 years since the first Morse-Vail Telegraph message,
> the wired telegraph (generally based on the Morse-Vail pateneted
> "relay system") had spread worldwide and developed many
> "dialects" of codings to fit languages other than English. The
> signal itself was of only two states, on or off.
>
> The printing telegraph or teleprinter was already in use in
> 1896 and such innovations were slowly downsizing the
> manual telegraph operators. There was then (1896) a "pool"
> of manual telegraphers who could transfer their skills to the
> then-new technology of radio. As on-off keyed radio was
> rapidly adopted by the maritime world (which did not have
> any means of quick, over-the-horizon communications), the
> downsized manual telegraphers were hired on by the new
> "wireless" communications groups and the Morse Myths
> grew out of a need of these old brass pounders to gain new
> self-esteem as "pioneers."
>
> On-off keyed CW still has only two states: Carrier on;
> carrier off. Binary.
>
> You appear to want to divorce yourself from the possibility
> that a "machine" (a modern computer) can successfully
> decode a morse signal into a written language text form.
> However, I've seen a demonstration (and supplied the signal
> source for) of a self-adjusting-for-rate-and-spacings decoder
> program that morse worked. [this was witnessed by two
> othes who were skilled in "CW" operation]
>
> Since modern computers internally operate using only two
> stable states with their signals, those are generally termed
> as operating in binary. But, "binary" is NOT exclusively for
> computers or even digital logic devices. "Binary" means
> "having two states" of something; e.g., carrier on or carrier
> off. There was some interest in the digital hardware field
> of using a trinary signal (three actual states for a signal)
> but that disappeared after a few years of papers.

>
> You can argue until blue in the face, but morse code is NOT
> magical, endowed with some "superior" whatever. You can
> bring out all the OLD, trite, worn-out aphorisms saluting morse
> since the 1920s and 1930s and all you are doing is bring out
> old, outdated, obsolete rationalizations which don't apply to
> the modern times. On-off keyed CW still has only two states,
> thus it fits the "binary" definition.
>
> If you want to be a champion retro-comm "superior," I'd
> suggest you find someone with a time machine to transport
> yourself back about 70 years and demonstrate your skills
> there, when morse code was actually a mark of a good "radio
> operator." Or be a licensed ham radio pro-code braggart.
> Binary choice. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1719. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 01:46:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108950410.428495.92020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103235

Mike Coslo pounded his brass on Feb 20, 3:29 pm and posted this:

>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote in
>> news:McWdnZTdw6dfqIrfRVn-2A@comcast.com:
>
>>>"Alun L. Palmer" <elekt...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>news:Xns9601E5F2D8B96elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>
>>>No one can do everything they want to, not even the Extras. We must

>>>comply with the mode regulations, special power limits in the old
>>>novice subbands, and we should comply with the band plans.
>
>> Certainly, but that doesn't stop us from advocating that those rules
be
>> changed. A rule that those who can't read on/off keying by ear can't

>> refract their signals from the ionosphere is lacking in logic of any
kind.
>
> An argument can be made that no one should be tested at all.
>Freebanders do it all the time. All rules are arbitrary.

That's an absurd counter to what Alun said.

NO ONE was talking about "freebanders."

NO ONE was talking about "no test at all."

Alan spoke of the equally-absurd notion by some that
personal radiotelegraphy skill "enables" operation of
radios on HF. Absolutely NO qualification for such a
thought held by many control-freak morsemen in ham
radio. There's NO such "enablement" except in the
emotional fantasies of some.

The talk was of the MORSE CODE TEST, not the
written tests. Try for some semblance of focus.

> But somewhere, somehow, a group of people decided that those
were the
>rules. Those rules came from rules that were in force previously. The
>rules change constantly. That's a fact.

Those "facts" have little to do with this so-called
technical necessity to personally know morse in

order to make an HF or MF transmitter work. Tens
of thousands of "radio operators" ranging from
military to civilians have successfully operated HF
radios without possessing any ability to do morse
code. For years. Worldwide.

> One of those changes 10 or so years ago was to give access to
most of
>our allotted frequencies to people without having them take a code
test.
>Also a fact.

Not quite correct. The Report & Order establishing the
no-code-test Technician class U.S. amateur radio
license went into effect in 1991. That's over 13 years
ago. A fact.

Those Technicians were NOT allowed any privileges
below 30 MHz, also a fact.

The Technician class license was the fastest-growing
class in U.S. amateur radio (among all classes) from
1991 to the recent Restructuring. A fact. One that the
morsemen are loathe to discuss; if not "loathe," then
they are generally hostile to that concept. :-)

> Removal of the Morse code test has made the testing process
easier.
>This has allowed people who have only a passing interest in Ham radio
to
>get their license. Passing interests pass, and they allow their
licenses
>to lapse.

Oh! Tsk, TSK! What sort do these newcomers
encounter when entering amateur radio as newbies?
They meet some angry olde men of morsemanship
babbling absurdities of how "superior" they are
because they can do high-speed radiotelegraphy
and that (according to them) defines "real hams."

"No-coders" are shunned by those olde morseaholics,
regarded as second-class sub-humans who are said
to be "stupid" because they can't or don't develop the
psychomotor skills necessary to emulate a morse
modem. Those self-righteous macho-wannabe
morsemen simply don't understand that the hobby of
amateur radio was never defined solely for
radiotelegraphy.

Meeting the bitterness and social pariahdom imposed
by those self-righteous morsemen would make ordinary
hobbyists look elsewhere for fun. There are many, many

different kinds of hobby fun elsewhere.

> The Morse code tests are so hard that the No-code Technician
either
>becomes so discouraged that they quit, or their convictions are so
>strong that they would rather not be a Ham than take the Element one
test.

Oh, my, the "conviction to the 'cause'" and
"dedication to the ham community" is an intrinsic
part of being a "real" ham?!? Tsk, another self-
righteous emotional personal concept which has
NO basis in reality.

Ah, yes, one can almost picture the NOS "recruiting"
posters and aphorisms springing up about "Learn
Radiotelegraphy to Join 'The Service'!!!" Outdated
garbage phrases left over from the 1930s... :-(

> You can decide for yourself which sounds more likely.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. "Loading" a "reason?" That's absurd and
illogical.

The ONLY thing tangible about this stupid necessity to
pass a morse code test is the hate and bitterness of
the olde morsemen who bitch and whine about "no-
coders" who weren't "man enough" to Do As They Did.

Please explain the "rules" involved in "showing commitment"
to this "amateur community" and what special non-FCC
forms have to be submitted to "gain approval"? And just
who rules on this alleged commitment and dedication?

>>>There is no "herding" involved. The "gate" wide open.

Mama Dee, you know very well the regulations were made
to favor the olde morsemen. Guess who lobbied for that?
:-)

>> No. The gate is shut and only those who can jump over it can get in,
but
>> jumping is purely optional once they get in. (More weird metaphors,
>> although I suppose I started this one).
>
> I pounded and pounded and pounded that key until I broke the
gate
>down.......... (yoiks) 8^)

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Coslo. You just do NOT understand what
that "gate" is. You capitulated to the olde-tymers, bought
into the system, became an "extra" so that you could lord
it over other, lesser-class amateurs (the seeming norm among
the extras, particularly the NCTA extras).

The olde-tyme morsemen in hamdom wanted their private HF playground where they could pose and posture and otherwise carry on like the "superior" radio operators they thought they were. So, the original 5 WPM morse test rate was upped and upped until, years later, the 20 WPM morse test defined the "extra" class.

If you wish to redefine U.S. amateur radio as the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society (ARS), feel free. Champion morse code all you want. Lobby to keep the morse test so that you will have some new playmates (maybe) in your radio playground for your later years. Feel "superior." In the new millennium only amateur radio uses morse code for communications; all the other radio services that once used morse have dropped it. The maritime radio users have voice and data as their major modes of open-ocean radio communications...the olde-tyme "sparky" is almost extinct. Keep watching as attrition and very few newcomers make ham radio (as you know it) become equally extinct.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1720. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 01:47:56 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ic8fbw2.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103237

On 19 Feb 2005 18:31:04 -0800, bb wrote:

>I don't think the FCC has anyone in a monitoring position that can copy
>Morse Code anymore.

You are so wrong. Every FCC "technical enforcement agent" (used to
be called field engineers and monitoring technicians) still has
to be and remain qualified at 16 wpm groups/20 wpm plain-language.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1721. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 01:58:28 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1108951108.688628.146890@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103236

Advanced Packet System... Okey dokey, now what are you going to do with it? Exchange signal reports & wx? It's for girly men.

1722. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 02:03:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108951387.613634.118680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103238

Just who are you replying to, "Caveat?" Me?!?!?

"You tawkin ta me?!?"

The United States Army "helped me" in 1952, then
from 1953 to 1956 assigned to the 3rd largest ACAN
(Army Communications Administrative Network) HF
station in Tokyo. TTY, RTTY, and voice were the only
modes used on 43 transmitters whose power outputs
were 1 KW to 40 KW. MY "elmers."

I "got on the air" on HF the first time in February 1953,
haven't needed any morse code skills or knowledge
in any military or civilian or government communications
systems since...in the EM spectrum from VLF on up
into microwaves topping out at 25 GHz...in RF places
the U.S. hams can only dream about since they are
stuck with bands above 1.6 MHz.

Tell me ALL about how ham morsemen are NOW "pioneering
the airwaves" using morse code on HF now!

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

[want more Robert DeNiro impressions? just ask...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1723. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 02:16:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108952191.114727.286050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103239

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> K4YZ babbled his usual libelous filth on Feb 19, 9:10 am, to wit:
> >
> > > You've contributed nothing to the technical development
> > > of Amateur Radio.
> >
> > I haven't? :-)
> >
> > What has widdle Stevie "contributed to the technical development
> > of amateur radio?

Absolutely nothing.

> > Sitting in ham newsgroups and hurling libelous
> > filth against all who oppose him? :-)

At every opportunity.

> > Define "technical development" in radio, oh mighty radio guru!
> >
> > Show us your work, your brilliant developments of buying ready-
> > built equipment and building "weekender" projects from articles
> > in ham magazines...no doubt all full of amazing technology
> > never before seen, ey? :-)

Steve harms amateur radio by his very presence. He's nuts and he's not
afraid to prove it.

> > >You are not a licensed Amateur. You cannot, therefore, be
> > >any kind of a judge of what Amateurs should do or how they should do
> > >it.
> >
> > NOBODY can tell widdle Stevie what to do in ham radio! Nobody!
> >
> > :-)

Wonder why Steve allows non-amateurs to sit in judgement of amateur
radio?

Wonder why Steve allows non-amateurs to say how amateurs should "do
it?"

Steve continues to be inconsistent in how he applies his core values
and beliefs.

> > You make statements that are not true or that you will not
> >substantiate with valid references.
>
> Poor widdle Stevie...he MUST have long-term memory loss
> also! He forgets a short list of professional employers made
> in here more than once, the names and callsigns of licensed
> amateurs whom I know, the links and other third-party
> references made in here to documents, directives, and
> regulations.

He fails to substantiate his "seven hostile actions."

Such a big claim, zero evidence. And his credibility is such that we
just can't give him a pass. Nope. No pass.

> Poor Stevie, all confused again as to what is "right or wrong"
> and personal fantasy versus reality. Thinks all who oppose
> his opinions are "wrong," "liars," "untruthful," etc., etc.
>
> Widdle Stevie is nutso.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Indeed.

1724. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 02:21:53 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <PK-dna7lWd9f2lTfRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103240

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> <Mike Coslo waved a flag on Feb 20, 9:45 am>
>
>>Caveat Lector wrote:
>>
>>>To revive an old thread
>
>
> Why? To hide an archaic communications means in high-tech
> bafflegab? :-)
>
>
>>>Just read a very interesting book:
>>>"The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph and its precursors."
>
> by Tom
>
>>>Standage
>
>
> Anyone can get a history of "telegraphic" means of
> communications in the first chapter, Part A, of
> "Principles of Telegraphy (Teletypewriter)" NAVSHIPS
> 0967-255-0010, June 1967. The "author" is the
> Department of the Navy Electronic Systems Command.
> It is a training text. Part A (historical background) has
> nice pictures courtesty of the Smithsonian
> Institution (for those who find schematics and
> electronic diagrams too complex...).
>
> A better explanation of the codes involved in ALL
> telegraphy is given at:
>
> http://www.wps.com/projects/codes/index.html
>
> That was done by Tom Jennings, a licensed ham. :-)
>
>
>>>Fascinating reading
>>
>>>Any way he describes Morse code as " Bi-Signal"
>>
>> That is a much better explanation of how Morse code works than

>
> trying
>
>>to day that is is a binary method, and have to try to say that spaces
>
>
>>are a certain number of 0's, and dahs are 3 1's, etc.
>
>
> The "bi" prefix in 'binary' means TWO. Webster's New World
> defines "binary" as -
>
> "1. made up of two parts; double
>
> "2. designating or of a number system in which the base
> used is two, each number being expressed by using only
> two digits; spec. 1 and 0."
>
>
>> And lest I be accused of being obtuse, can anyone give a good
>
> cite of
>
>>Morse code being defined as binary that predates the digital age?
>>Earlier the better. What did good Samuel MOrse have to say on the
>
> subject?
>
> In 1844 he wrote on the paper tape of the first Morse-Vail Telegraph
> message which is both quoted and shown on Jennings' website.
>
>
>> The "binary definition" is not the definition of Morse code.
>
> Rather is
>
>>is a description of how to allow a digital computer to encode and
>
> decode
>
>>the signal.
>
>
> Sorry. By definition, the on-off telegraphic code has only TWO
> states.
> Ergo, it fits the definition of the word "binary."

Tell us which state is the short or Dit, and which state is the long or
Dah?

> It would seem you wish to enshrine "morse code" as some kind of
> "non-computer" having some kind of intrinsic power/majesty/grandeur
> all by its lonesome. While that's nice, it is merely a cult
> definition by
> believers who've been taken in by old Morse Myths. A telegraphic
> code has only two states.

Dit is which one? Dah is which one?

>
>
>> The flag however, is not binary. It is a flag. Describing it
>
>> in binary fashion does not make it binary.
>
>
> Telegraphy is NOT a flag, no matter how much you wave away. :-)
>
> As HF radio amateurs use it, "CW" (the colloquial meaning of
> on-off keying of a carrier using International Morse Code) is simply
> two states: Carrier on; carrier off. Two states. Binary.
>
> "CW" was the only way the first primitive radios could be used
> for communications. "First" only because it was the only
> practical means that could be applied to that primitive
> technology. Not a problem for two reasons:
>
> "Morse code" was already a mature communications code by
> 1896 and the first demonstrated "radios" in Italy and Russia.
> In the 52 years since the first Morse-Vail Telegraph message,
> the wired telegraph (generally based on the Morse-Vail pateneted
> "relay system") had spread worldwide and developed many
> "dialects" of codings to fit languages other than English. The
> signal itself was of only two states, on or off.
>
> The printing telegraph or teleprinter was already in use in
> 1896 and such innovations were slowly downsizing the
> manual telegraph operators. There was then (1896) a "pool"
> of manual telegraphers who could transfer their skills to the
> then-new technology of radio. As on-off keyed radio was
> rapidly adopted by the maritime world (which did not have
> any means of quick, over-the-horizon communications), the
> downsized manual telegraphers were hired on by the new
> "wireless" communications groups and the Morse Myths
> grew out of a need of these old brass pounders to gain new
> self-esteem as "pioneers."
>
> On-off keyed CW still has only two states: Carrier on;
> carrier off. Binary.

Now describe the carrier on state and carrier off state as how it
relates to the long and short duration state.

Explain how if the carrier is off, and that describes the "0" state, and
then when the carrier is on, and describes the "1" state, the duration
can be described in only a 1 or a 0?

Dit is "1" and Dah is "1"?

It can easily be described by translating it into binary numbers, however.

> You appear to want to divorce yourself from the possibility
> that a "machine" (a modern computer) can successfully
> decode a morse signal into a written language text form.

Incorrect. The Morse code signal can pretty easily be translated into a
digital signal It is done by assigning binary numbers to the various
states you would encounter in the CW signal by the timing of the 0 and 1
states.

> However, I've seen a demonstration (and supplied the signal
> source for) of a self-adjusting-for-rate-and-spacings decoder
> program that morse worked. [this was witnessed by two
> othes who were skilled in "CW" operation]

Yeah, I have a program on my computer that does the same. CWGet. Really
a pretty good program.

> Since modern computers internally operate using only two
> stable states with their signals, those are generally termed
> as operating in binary. But, "binary" is NOT exclusively for
> computers or even digital logic devices. "Binary" means
> "having two states" of something; e.g., carrier on or carrier
> off. There was some interest in the digital hardware field
> of using a trinary signal (three actual states for a signal)
> but that disappeared after a few years of papers.
>
> You can argue until blue in the face, but morse code is NOT
> magical, endowed with some "superior" whatever.

What on earth are you talking about, Len? Morse is no more magical than
anything else on earth.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1725. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 02:56:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108954575.843294.234320@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103241

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:

> >> I warned you where this would go if you continued with your
> course
> >> of action a couple days ago.
> >
> >Yup. You're back to your natural form, calling people names,
calling
> >people liars.
>
> Brian, think of it as "consistency." He hasn't deviated from
that.
>
> That he has deviated from civil comportment is obvious, though,
> and his "go" has the consistency of libelous filth. :-)

He is a broken man.

Blames the world.

Singles out a couple of people.

> >> And your reference ot me as "Nurse" is situational. You've
> mimiced
> >> Lennie's "nursie" on several occassions.
> >
> >Then I apologize.
>
> Brian, there should be no apologies necessary. Certainly not by
> you. Steven James had previously said you were a "pedophile"
> because of leading some boy scouts.

I hold myself to a higher standard. I do not recall calling Steve
"Nursie" but if I have, then I am sorry for that.

But it truly is a stretch to compare being called "Nursie" with having
been called a homosexual and a pedophile. Nor have I suggested that
anyone on this group be visited by thugs who "throw rocks through
windows, slask tires, and terrorize people's wives." Steve is truly
dispicable. I don't have to live with that kind of public behavio[u]r.
He does. My conscience is clean.

> Steven James has called you "liar" so many times that all those
> added up wouldn't require you to apologize to him. The other way

> around. At least in other venues.

I can't keep count. Honestly.

Suffice it to say that he will forever be known as the "Ham that cried 'Liar, liar, pants on fiar'" or wolf or something like that.

> Common civil courtesy may not exist in this newsgroup. The
> PCTA Double Standard is operative here.

I don't believe much courtesy exists here. Funny because it is sticky sweet on the air, and all these fb gentlemen claim to be hams.

> >> > > > You need lots of luck. You see things that aren't there.
> >
> >> > > It's called an "imagination", Brain...
> >
> >> > Indeed. You have a very active one.
> >
> >> Indeed I do. And I can see you sitting in the tub with a rubber
>
> >> tugboat going "toot toot".
> >
> >Which I have not done. Again you persist once you've been corrected.
> >I believe that is your own definition of a liar.
>
> Brian, poor Steven James can't focus. When he does, it is only
> inwards to his own imagination. Tsk, tsk.

If he looks inside he must truly hate himself.

> In a previous posting, instead of the salutory "beep, beep" I've
> sometimes
> written (to annoy the morsemen), I wrote "toot, toot." :-) Steven
> James
> then takes a simple onomatopoetic word pair and manufactures a cute
> little fantasy involving a bathtup and little toy tugboat, claiming
> first that
> I do such things (I do not)...and now yourself. He is losing his
> grip on
> the reality. Fantasy is more preferable to him?

Many of the PCTA do make frequent references to the male anatomy. It would be no stretch at all that they have visions of men in the bath tub.

> The preference for fantasy is a neurosis in full flower. He can no

> longer
> differentiate between right and wrong in the real world, instead
> placing
> all on the "rules" within his own fantasy concoction. No one else
> lives
> in that fantasy world but that makes no difference to Steven
James.

Steve has visions. Might be a medication issue?

> >> > > The same "imagination" you used to create all those
> DXploits
> >> > > you've tried to embellish your Amateur career with.
> >
> >> > What embellishment?
> >
> >> Start off with your "Somalia operation".
> >
> >No embellishment. I operated from Somalia.
>
> Steven James has worked that up from your casual mention to some
> full-fledged imaginary "claim of your own greatness." That's
> another
> sickness syndrome in evidence. His, not yours, Brian. :-)

He did admit jealousy of the locations that I've operated from. I can
see that turning into rage.

> Steven James has not operated anything from Somalia. It makes him
> angry that others have done things he hasn't done. He seems to
> think that everyone else is in some "contest" as to who has done
> more, accomplished more, a sort of "men's locker-room brag
session"
> when few in here (other than himself) engage in that.

Guys like him are always keeping score, and in this case, I can guess
that he thinks he just doesn't measure up. What makes him even more
furious is that I just don't care.

> >> Then we can go on to your "experiences" in "emergency comms" and
how
>
> >> unlicensed devices play a "major role".
> >
> >Are military comms licensed?
>
> Steven James should know they are not licensed in the civilian
sense
> of
> things. However, lacking his definitive claims of actually doing
> military
> communications (other than normal small-unit HT use), he cannot

> claim
> any sort of experience of direct assignment to communications.

Again he keeps score, and sees that he just doesn't measure up.

He
> will,
> as was done in the past, say a lot of indefinite things of a
general
> nature
> to avoid answering in any detail. I believe he does understand
the
> difficulty he would place himself in if he tried some lying about
> equipment
> and protocol.

He a wiley coyote.

> He does embellish his memory considerably in regards to
"unlicensed
> devices playing any sort of role" in civilian emergency
> communications.
> That's part of his general pattern of misdirecting others to think
> that you
> are the one "at fault" when you were not. It's not an uncommon
> trick in
> computer-modem communications and he likes it...puts others on the
> defensive and takes away (he thinks, mistakenly) taints put on him
> by
> others.

Attack, attack, attack. Tora, tora, tora.

But it's no surprise. No December 7. It's everyday.

> >You live in a Water Mitty kind of world.
> >
> >Seven hostile actions? Hi!
>
> Agreed. "Hi" squared. :-)
>
> Steven James cannot possibly admit at this time that he made a
> small brag phrase in a somewhat long-ago message. It is a brag
> and not a truth since he cannot reveal the When or Where of any
> of those "actions." The best he could do is say it is some kind
of
> "classified" operation or of some "sensitive" nature that cannot
be
> revealed publicly. :-)

That's the usual MO for military fakers. Surprised he hasn't claimed
to be a Navy Seal, a sniper, or Medal of Honor winner, though he does
trot out a box of medals that he didn't earn.

> Further lying about those "seven hostile actions" will do no good
> since U.S. military history is rather an open book with all
service
> branches having access to the Internet and with agencies supplying
> public information in archives open to all. On the other hand,
> denial
> of original wrong-doing on his part will cause him to lose
> self-esteem
> (what Japanese refer to as "face" to the public). Never mind that
> others see the deception of brag claims, he must continue to
> support his own self-esteem. His fantasyworld beliefs demand it.

Instead of accepting "loss of face," he commits "tora, tora, tora."
Mas misdirection.

> >> You make it just too easy to do. Why do you
> >> insist on subjecting yourself to such self-humiliation?
> >
> >Steve, the more you type the more people see that you're nuts.
>
> Another technique I would call "remote transference." Steven
> James doesn't like to be humiliated (in any way) and thinks that
> all who don't believe him should themselves be humiliated.

A better tactic would be to keep his mouth shut.

He
> wants to transfer his own feelings of inferiority to others and
make
> them "suffer" as he apparently does.

He does suffer. It's no longer an excuse for his behavio[u]r, if it
ever was.

Therefore, he states that
> others "humiliate themselves." That's not true and others as well
> as non-posting readers can see that. Steven James cannot.

Because he believes his own lies.

> The outpouring of libelous filth against all who disagree with him
> will continue until he receives some helpful mental therapy.
> Until then, this newsgroup will continue as an outlet for his
> personal frustrations and continue to lose relevance as a forum
> for discussion about subjects, not the personalities of
> individuals..
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

My guess is bi-polar.

1726. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 02:59:30 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108954770.516732.279250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103242

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> Majority of self-promoting, pontificating buffoonery deleted.
>
> > The outpouring of libelous filth against all who disagree with
him
> > will continue until he receives some helpful mental therapy.
>
> What "libelous filth", Lennie?
>
> It's not "libelous" if it's true.
>
> > Until then, this newsgroup will continue as an outlet for his
> > personal frustrations and continue to lose relevance as a forum
> > for discussion about subjects, not the personalities of
> > individuals.
>
> Applies word-for-word to your presence in this forum, Your
> Scumminess.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

There's the lying, the name calling, and the yiddish reference to the
male anatomy again.

You're fixated on the male genitalia.

Back to civil discourse. Sayonara.

1727. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 04:04:43 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37t526F5ic23oU1@individual.net>
Source record: 103243

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1108951387.613634.118680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Just who are you replying to, "Caveat?" Me?!?!?
>
> "You tawkin ta me?!?"
>
> The United States Army "helped me" in 1952, then
> from 1953 to 1956 assigned to the 3rd largest ACAN
> (Army Communications Administrative Network) HF
> station in Tokyo. TTY, RTTY, and voice were the only
> modes used on 43 transmitters whose power outputs
> were 1 KW to 40 KW. MY "elmers."
>
> I "got on the air" on HF the first time in February 1953,
> haven't needed any morse code skills or knowledge
> in any military or civilian or government communications
> systems since...in the EM spectrum from VLF on up
> into microwaves topping out at 25 GHz...in RF places
> the U.S. hams can only dream about since they are
> stuck with bands above 1.6 MHz.
>
> Tell me ALL about how ham morsemen are NOW "pioneering
> the airwaves" using morse code on HF now!
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> [want more Robert DeNiro impressions? just ask...]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Hello, Len

1.6 MHz is in the American AM broadcast band. 1.8 is the bottom end for hams and it is *not* hf, it is mf (medium frequency).

As to lf (low frequency) and below, it is quite difficult to construct an antenna with anything that could be described as efficient. The folks experimenting on lf are probably using an antenna with far less than 1% efficiency.

Morse does work, despite some folks hating it. I can take it or leave it (I have enjoyed it, but am stuck on 28 MHz and above and the stupid radio on 28 does not have cw capabilities. I don't have a problem with modest cw speeds, however. Anything below about 35 is fine for me now; give me a month or so to get back up where I used to be should I manage to get back on

hf with a decent radio LOL).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1728. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 04:12:27 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37t5gnF5iadt8U1@individual.net>
Source record: 103244

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ic8fbw2.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On 19 Feb 2005 18:31:04 -0800, bb wrote:
>
> >I don't think the FCC has anyone in a monitoring position that can copy
> >Morse Code anymore.
>
> You are so wrong. Every FCC "technical enforcement agent" (used to
> be called field engineers and monitoring technicians) still has
> to be and remain qualified at 16 wpm groups/20 wpm plain-language.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

Hello, Phil

I'd have given anything to see those folks monitoring KG6AAY that day I found out they (the U.S. Navy) monitored *everything* transmitted at the ham shack on the U.S. Naval Communications Station on Guam. One of the guys asked what the heck I was doing (he told me about the monitoring, I had consumed several "beverages" - read "beer" - and I was at the low end of 40). I told him I was calling CQ. He said "That's not CQ". I assured him it was - in American Land-Line Morse. Of course, after the initial call sign was sent, I purposely slowed down and sent "KG6AAY" slowly in International Morse.

Lo and behold, an old-timer came back - in American Morse.

When the other guy realized what I was doing, he started laughing. The tears were streaming down our faces. We chatted for half an hour. I'd love to guess how long those guys poured over those tapes figuring out what secret message I was sending.

The Navy removed the refrigerator (and beer) within a week .... :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1729. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 04:25:15 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4219634c$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103245

N9OGL ADVANCE PACKET NETWORK

The Advanced Amateur Radio Packet Network (AARPN) will NOT be setup like the known packet system. The network will be set up like this; One centralized node will serve a community and the surrounding area. An amateur opeator would click on a Peer to Peer (P2P) icon on their computers and it will connect them to the centralized node. An amateur would then would type in the search engine in the P2P software what they are looking for like a Icom for sale or a tech manual. This system has a huge advantage over the old system. first it would be operating at 1 to 11megabits per second. Second there would be no fowarding because if you have something to sale like a radio, you can but a little description and put it in your share file folder.Or a tech manual you would also put it in your share file folder. The centralized node would be hooked up to the internet and the internet would be used as a high speed "backbone" systerm. This in turn would connecting all the radio nodes together. Other applications like email, chat and later virtual reality programs could be used. Girly you say, well I would this system over any system. Like I said you whiney like bitch whore I fucking dare you come up with something different.

Todd N9OGL

"Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message
news:1108951108.688628.146890@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Advanced Packet System... Okey dokey, now what are you going to do with
> it? Exchange signal reports & wx? It's for girly men.
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1730. testing...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 04:33:22 UTC
From: K3VR <k3vr@k3vr.com>
Message-ID: <L92dnUDiPN10-YTfRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 103246

this is a test...this is only a test.

73

K3VR

1731. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 06:27:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108967226.970035.170450@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103247

From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com>
Date: 20 Feb 2005 18:56:15 -0800
Local: Sun, Feb 20 2005 6:56 pm
Subject: Re: Len's terms of endearments list

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> I warned you where this would go if you continued with your
course
>> >> of action a couple days ago.
>
>> That he has deviated from civil comportment is obvious, though,
>> and his "go" has the consistency of libelous filth. :-)
>
>He is a broken man.
>
>Blames the world.
>
>Singles out a couple of people.

Poor guy must think we are responsible for his condition. Tsk, tsk.
:-)

He's so far up denial that he must get out of the water and do
portage.

>> Brian, there should be no apologies necessary. Certainly not by
>> you. Steven James had previously said you were a "pedophile"
>> because of leading some boy scouts.
>
>I hold myself to a higher standard. I do not recall calling Steve
>"Nursie" but if I have, then I am sorry for that.
>
>But it truly is a stretch to compare being called "Nursie" with having

>been called a homosexual and a pedophile. Nor have I suggested that
>anyone on this group be visited by thugs who "throw rocks through
>windows, slask tires, and terrorize people's wives." Steve is truly
>dispicable. I don't have to live with that kind of public
behavio[u]r.
>He does. My conscience is clean.

Well, two more things are operative there. Firstly, he may not
be aware of the differences in his vile name-calling...it seems to

all the same with him...he probably doesn't realize it. Secondly,
he could be sociopathic and simply doesn't care about what he
says in here...it vents his rages and so he feels better. The
second syndrome is the worst of the two for everyone else.

Either way, he presents an undesireable image of U.S. amateur
radio to everyone else.

>> Steven James has called you "liar" so many times that all those
>> added up wouldn't require you to apologize to him. The other way

>> around. At least in other venues.
>
>I can't keep count. Honestly.
>
>Suffice it to say that he will forever be known as the "Ham that cried

'>Liar, liar, pants on fiar'" or wolf or something like that.

Well, he does have a lack of social interaction skills. :-)

>> Common civil courtesy may not exist in this newsgroup. The
>> PCTA Double Standard is operative here.
>
>I don't believe much courtesy exists here. Funny because it is sticky

>sweet on the air, and all these fb gentlemen claim to be hams.

Some of the newsgroup correspondents just haven't learned
to handle themselves in newsgroups or public boards or
whatever. Some of them feel immune to criticsm becasue no
one can reach out through time and space to physically harm
them, so they toss civility out the window. That's fairly common
on all computer-modem venues.

>> Brian, poor Steven James can't focus. When he does, it is only
>> inwards to his own imagination. Tsk, tsk.
>
>If he looks inside he must truly hate himself.

Or, he may see things that aren't there! :-)

>Many of the PCTA do make frequent references to the male anatomy. It
>would be no stretch at all that they have visions of men in the bath
tub.

Well, perhaps that turns them on? :-)

>Steve has visions. Might be a medication issue?

Maybe he tokes peyote? :-)

>> >No embellishment. I operated from Somalia.
>
>> Steven James has worked that up from your casual mention to some

>> full-fledged imaginary "claim of your own greatness." That's
another
>> sickness syndrome in evidence. His, not yours, Brian. :-)
>
>He did admit jealousy of the locations that I've operated from. I can

>see that turning into rage.

He typically goes into outrage at anyone having experiences
he doesn't have. Envy cubed. He can't stand it!

>Guys like him are always keeping score, and in this case, I can guess
>that he thinks he just doesn't measure up. What makes him even more
>furious is that I just don't care.

I don't think he understands that. He gets all tangled up
between people, what they said, etc., then says they were
"showing off" when a simple casual mention of personal
experience was stated. An example is my mention of
doing real HF communications in the Army a half century
ago in regards to the Army (and other branches) NOT
using "CW" in long-haul message traffic. The Lost Action
Hero morphed that mention into some kind of "bragging"
of mine...not understanding that I actually did what I said
and can prove it with personal references. :-)

He isn't reading these postings with rose-colored glasses. His eyes
are red with rage and cannot discern what was written. :-)

He just can't come to terms with some of us have more experiences
and/or more unique experiences than he had. That makes him
defensive. In defense he tries a misdirection attack, trying to
shift
a thread direction...or he fabricates things which he never did.

>Attack, attack, attack. Tora, tora, tora.
>
>But it's no surprise. No December 7. It's everyday.

It's his notable posting manner. Uncivil but characteristic.

>> Steven James cannot possibly admit at this time that he made a
>> small brag phrase in a somewhat long-ago message. It is a brag
>> and not a truth since he cannot reveal the When or Where of any
>> of those "actions." The best he could do is say it is some kind
of
>> "classified" operation or of some "sensitive" nature that cannot
be
>> revealed publicly. :-)
>
>That's the usual MO for military fakers. Surprised he hasn't claimed
>to be a Navy Seal, a sniper, or Medal of Honor winner, though he does
>trot out a box of medals that he didn't earn.

Perhaps one of his personalities actually did the things you
mention! Although not for real...the action must be hot and heavy
in Stevieworld. :-)

>> Further lying about those "seven hostile actions" will do no good

>> since U.S. military history is rather an open book with all
service
>> branches having access to the Internet and with agencies
supplying
>> public information in archives open to all. On the other hand,
denial
>> of original wrong-doing on his part will cause him to lose
self-esteem
>> (what Japanese refer to as "face" to the public). Never mind
that
>> others see the deception of brag claims, he must continue to
>> support his own self-esteem. His fantasyworld beliefs demand it.

>
>Instead of accepting "loss of face," he commits "tora, tora, tora."
>Mas misdirection.

Si. :-)

>> Another technique I would call "remote transference." Steven
>> James doesn't like to be humiliated (in any way) and thinks that
>> all who don't believe him should themselves be humiliated.
>
>A better tactic would be to keep his mouth shut.

You know that, I know that, nearly everyone else who reads all
these moronic mumblings of the Lost Action Hero know it.
Poor Stevie can't bear to admit wrongdoing. Loss of face thing
to admit weakness of any kind.

> He wants to transfer his own feelings of inferiority to others and

> make them "suffer" as he apparently does.
>
>He does suffer. It's no longer an excuse for his behavio[u]r, if it
>ever was.

Makes no never mind on his side of the screen. He is alone
with the monitor and feels invulnerable...no one can touch
him! So, he goes ballistic with all the libelous filth he can
type as a "response" to someone with an opinion opposite
to his. He HATES some folks. Really HATES them. Tsk.

>> Therefore, he states that
>> others "humiliate themselves." That's not true and others as
well
>> as non-posting readers can see that. Steven James cannot.
>

>Because he believes his own lies.

Then he has a worse personal problem, the inability to
understand that reality is NOT that of his fantasy Stevieworld.

>> The outpouring of libelous filth against all who disagree with
him
>> will continue until he receives some helpful mental therapy.
>> Until then, this newsgroup will continue as an outlet for his
>> personal frustrations and continue to lose relevance as a forum
>> for discussion about subjects, not the personalities of
>> individuals..
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org
>
>My guess is bi-polar.

Hmmm...I don't think we can talk "bi" anything. Coslonaut says
that on-off keying CW doesn't have just two states, but many
many of them. Therefore it isn't "binary." With his multiple
personalities, the Lost Action Hero could be classified as
"MULTI-polar!" :-)

Just the same, the Lost Action Hero is an example of modern
U.S. amateur radio extra class licensee. If the general public
bothers to look in here, they would think extras are fruitcake.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1732. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 06:29:37 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108967377.619043.325360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103249

> Tell us which state is the short or Dit, and which state is the
long or
>Dah?

Apparently no one can tell the Coslonaut anything. :-)

Tsk. You don't realize that "time" does not enter in to the
definition. Having two STABLE states is binary. Time is not
stable.

If you used "time" in a state definition, then OOK CW would
an INFINITE number of states.

>It can easily be described by translating it into binary numbers,
however.

Sorry, you lost again. Putting "time" into the picture, you have
an INFINITE number of states. BINARY numbers are just two
states. By definition.

In my MS FORTRAN 5.1 Compiler the closest I can come to Infinity
(to represent the variation in "time state" duration) is 10^308 and
requires 64 bit words (Real*8 or Double Precision) to do it. Sorry,
but that cannot possibly be done "easily." :-)

> You appear to want to divorce yourself from the possibility
> that a "machine" (a modern computer) can successfully
> decode a morse signal into a written language text form.
>
> Incorrect. The Morse code signal can pretty easily be
translated into a
>digital signal It is done by assigning binary numbers to the various
>states you would encounter in the CW signal by the timing of the 0 and
1
>states.

Nooo, Mikey. :-) You can't effectively "store" a "varying length
binary" quantity. Such be FIXED in the number of bits per binary
word.

You fail in considering that the RATE of characters varies over
a near-infinite range..."infinite" in the sense that On-times have
a variation and granularity of the time differences (not
"quantization"
which would apply to other things such as D-to-A conversion)
have to be pinned down.

Once you have some system of RATE, then you have to add some
kind of adjustability to account for non-Farnesworth and Farnsworth
character rates in regards to morse words per minute.

How do you store a long pause between morse characters? :-)

In trying to win message points here, you have stuck yourself with
indulgence into some weird kind of wordplay that is nonsensical.

Let's review:

On-off keying CW has just TWO states: Carrier On; Carrier Off.

Wired telegraphy has just TWO states: Loop current On or current
in one direction; Loop current Off or current in opposite direction.
[the latter is called "polar keying"]

Light blinkers have just TWO states: Light On; Light Off.

BINARY means TWO states.

Ever since the French Baudot code arrived, teleprinter characters
have been equally-spaced character bits in a constant-rate serial
data stream. Much much easier to decode into written text than
the variable length-versus-character format of morse code.

Note: In commercial and military communications, "telegraphy" is
the general descriptor that includes teleprinters.

If you want to indulge in some kind of "CW decoder program" then
you can assign whatever digital hardware/software you want to
represent the variations in time of dots, dashes, spaces between
character elements, spaces between characters, and spaces
between telegraphic words. Whatever you choose has nothing to
do with the basic definition of a telegraphic system's stable states
being binary.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1733. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 06:36:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1108967793.932382.8520@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103248

Hello Jim, long time... :-)

I said U.S. hams were stuck ABOVE 1.6 MHz...or at least
thought I wrote that. :-)

Yes, "morse gets through when everything else will..." :-)

In another thread I was stating my advocacy for eliminating
the morse code TEST for an amateur license. This thread
is about the definition of morse code as binary...or not.

Coslo won't agree that on-off keying CW is binary. I don't
really care to argue with his changing the game rules in
the middle of a thread just so that he can win message
points. [must be tough with the NHL being on strike all
season...must get hockey fans all a-dither...:-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1734. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 09:27:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108978078.062560.143830@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103252

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> <angwy widdle response from K4YZ Feb 20, 4:31 am>
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >Date: Fri, Feb 18 2005 6:07 am
> >> >From: "K4YZ"
> >
> >> >NEWS FLASH! -
> >
> >> >Rooters News Service
> >
> > <snip>
> >
> >> The above quote is attempted satire-sarcasm by the resident
> >> hater-of-all-who-criticize-him-and-cannot-let-it-go. It is, of
> >> course, a LIE.
> >
> > No, it's not.
> >
> > It's fiction. There's a difference.
>
> It is a LIE. Worse, it is bad humor.

It is only "bad humor" since you find yourself in the middle of
it. Even worse was that you didn't think to do it to me first.

> However, little Steven James throws an angry tantrum, waving his
> fists and crying piteously to the adults around him. Few liked
> his kind of childish "humor."
>
> > "If fails both sarcasm and satire from several basic errors"
> >
> > You ought to do the proofreading thing in a sentence that
> lampoons
> >others errors, Lennie.
>
> Tsk, tsk. The angry little Steven James cries and cries some
> more, "blaming" all others for not seeing little Stevie's "humor"
> which was nothing more than the usual libelous filth outpouring
> from a kid who can't take the heat of discussing subjects.

No "blaming", Lennie. No "libelous filth".

You punted a typo. You take every opportunity to try and get
mileage out of other's typos, so it got fed back to you. You didn't
like it...Sucks to be you.

> > Neither does your Army career, your wife's alleged education and
> >licensure, or your flirtation with aviation. But you never pass up
a
> >chance to enthrall us with those subjects as your will takes you.
>
> Poor baby. Still throwing the tantrum.

No tantrum, Lennie.

You feel perfectly at ease redirecting the attention of the group
to your non-Amateur Radio exploits (which is to say everything you do)
but then somehow think noone else should.

> I've not "enthralled" anything or anyone by mentioning what I've
> done in the past half century involving radio and electronics.

That's the absolutely first thing you've ever said that is
absolutely and patently true, Lennie. You've not enthralled ANYone!

> I did them and have references which include living individuals
(at
> least three of them are licensed amateur extras) who were also
there
> and can corroborate what was done.

It's not what you've "done"...It's what you've CLAIMED to have
done.

You've had years to pony up some validation of your claims.

You never have.

> Another PCTA extra in here repeatedly quoted (not verbatim)
> a major league baseball player's statement "It ain't braggin if
> ya done it!" Tsk, I've done it and it isn't bragging.

You've claimed a lot of things and so far, not proved a one of
them...Starting with your "out of the box" declaration.

> >> "K4YZ" has charged me repeatedly with plagiarism, the
> >> stealing of already published written work. Unfortunately, he
> >> is accusing himself of such in the poor, rewritten copy of that
> >> old "Polish joke."
> >
> > So far, you've not proved any of my allegations as inaccurate.
> >It's been several years.
>
> More LIES by little Steven James.
>
> No one can disprove something that doesn't exist.
>

> However, little Steven James' "allegations" are terribly
> inaccurate, being nothing more than angry tantrums blurted
> out of a need to libelously label opponents' comments as
> "lies" when he hasn't done the equivalent.

I never claimed to have "done the equivilent". I wouldn't want
to.

There's nothing in my character that could allow me to be like
you, Lennie.

> Little Stevie is welcome to go through each and every article
> I've written in the past half century and show (with proper
> work) where this alleged "plagiarism" was done. That will be
> only about 9 periodicals, each having a production staff that
> has read the original manuscripts, the proofs, and corrected
> proofs (if needed) sent back, plus the page make-up sheets
> prior to printing. At roughly 3 people per staff, that would be
> roughly 27 others who approved what went into print. Be
> mindful that all 9 periodicals also had legal representatives
> who did not want any civil-suit plagiarism charges brought
> against those periodicals. On top of that, angry little Stevie
> has to check all subsequent issues of each periodical to
> review corrections printed about my past articles, including
> reader allegations of "plagiarism."

So far, you've not "shown your work" that proves that any of your
"articles" were authentic and original.

You've only exhibited your own little tantrum. Nice show.

> To save him the time of
> searching (which he can't do), he should know that there
> were none.

And there have been other examples of works decades old were shown
for the frauds they were.

> Miraculously, little Stevie NOW claims that I "copied all of
> it from others!"

Oh no, Lennie...that's NOT what I said. Try again.

> That is utter nonsense. Little Stevie has NO
> such proof, no such references, just a blanket general
> statement which is one more filthy little piece of the trash
> he hurls at those who oppose him in public. Poor baby.

The trash is coming from Sun Valley, Lennie. Your wardance to
defend it was quite entertaining.

> > "I am laughing at the superior intellect".
>
> Those who have no intellect should not complain or try to

> bluff their way through anything.

You're right twice in one post, Lennie!

But then that doesn't answer WHY you keep doing it! (bluffing)

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1735. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 10:24:15 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.61.0502211018250.76@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 103256

On Sun, 20 Feb 2005, Caveat Lector wrote:
>...
> Any way he describes Morse code as " Bi-Signal"
>
> This just doesn't strike me as binary.

It's not. It's quadnary. There are TWO characteristics that are being applied. One characteristic is presence and absence: The difference between a mark and a space. The other characteristic is the DURATION of of the symbol - which tells the difference between a dot and a dash for the mark, and end of symbol for the (long) space, as opposed to the end of the mark.

1736. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 10:46:13 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.61.0502211037530.76@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 103254

On Mon, 20 Feb 2005 LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>...
> Sorry. By definition, the on-off telegraphic code has only TWO
> states.
> Ergo, it fits the definition of the word "binary."

Wrong. It has FOUR states. The duration of each state into two categories (long and short) is also significant. "On" and "off" is insufficient.

In your definition, both the dot and dash are in the "on" state - but simply by measuring state fails to yield the difference (i.e. duration) between them.

> As HF radio amateurs use it, "CW" (the colloquial meaning of
> on-off keying of a carrier using International Morse Code) is simply
> two states: Carrier on; carrier off. Two states. Binary.

Not two states. FOUR.
Long-mark: dash
Short-mark: dot
Long-space: end of character.
Short-space: end of mark.

Granted that we only look at the marks to convey the actual information, but we need the spaces too - in order to know how to group the marks into symbols.

No wonder people rag on you in this group. You couldn't even get this correct.

1737. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 10:49:01 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.61.0502211046550.76@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 103253

On Sun, 20 Feb 2005, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> actually, its considered TRInary (1 short, 1 long and a space ,off>).
> it is a form of digital that works well with the human brain (after a small
> amount of training).

No, it's quadnary.

At first glance, one may think trinary. However, there is a difference between a short space and long space: A short space simply separates the long and short marks, while the long space separates the individual symbols (letters, numbers, and punctuation) from each other. This means that there are 4 states.

1738. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 12:41:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108989660.801652.183800@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103259

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> bb wrote:
> > >> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > >> I warned you where this would go if you continued with your
> > course
> > >> of action a couple days ago.
> > >
> > >Yup. You're back to your natural form, calling people names,
> calling
> > >people liars.
> >
> > Brian, think of it as "consistency." He hasn't deviated from
> that.
> >
> > That he has deviated from civil comportment is obvious, though,
> > and his "go" has the consistency of libelous filth. :-)
>
> He is a broken man.

Not a broken bone here.

> Blames the world.

For what? Where? Please cite the post wherein you think I did
this, otherwise you've just added yet one more mistruth to the heap,
Brian.

> Singles out a couple of people.

Oh no, Brian...I didn't single out ANYone!

You two stand out!

> > Common civil courtesy may not exist in this newsgroup. The
> > PCTA Double Standard is operative here.
>
> I don't believe much courtesy exists here. Funny because it is
sticky
> sweet on the air, and all these fb gentlemen claim to be hams.

No...I claim to be a Radio Amateur.

> > In a previous posting, instead of the salutory "beep, beep" I've
> > sometimes

> > written (to annoy the morsemen), I wrote "toot, toot." :-)
> Steven
> > James
> > then takes a simple onomatopoetic word pair and manufactures a
> cute
> > little fantasy involving a bathtup and little toy tugboat,
> claiming
> > first that
> > I do such things (I do not)...and now yourself. He is losing
his
> > grip on
> > the reality. Fantasy is more preferable to him?
>
> Many of the PCTA do make frequent references to the male anatomy.

As does Lennie H, Brain.

"...PCTA shaking thier "we-we" in public...."

> It would be no stretch at all that they have visions of men in the
bath
> tub.

Not men, Brain.

You. There's a difference. Men tell the truth, acknowledge their
errors and have no reason to make up stories to impress anyone.

So that leaves you pretty much out.

> > Steven James has worked that up from your casual mention to some
> > full-fledged imaginary "claim of your own greatness." That's
> > another
> > sickness syndrome in evidence. His, not yours, Brian. :-)
>
> He did admit jealousy of the locations that I've operated from. I
can
> see that turning into rage.

Jealous...?!?!

Where did I EVER say I was JEALOUS of ANYthing you ever alleged to
do, Brain...?!?!

BBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHA!
! ! ! ! ! !

> > Steven James has not operated anything from Somalia. It makes
him
> > angry that others have done things he hasn't done. He seems to
> > think that everyone else is in some "contest" as to who has done
> > more, accomplished more, a sort of "men's locker-room brag
> session"

> > when few in here (other than himself) engage in that.
>
> Guys like him are always keeping score, and in this case, I can guess
> that he thinks he just doesn't measure up. What makes him even more
> furious is that I just don't care.

Sure you care, Brain.

I keep rubbing your nose in your blatant lies and make you face up
to your lies. That's GOT to hurt.

Now...if you'd STOP lying, I'd have less to do and you'd feel a lot
better about yourself on several different levels.

> Again he keeps score, and sees that he just doesn't measure up.

Are you on Lennie's "messaging points", program, Brain?

I'm sure not.

> That's the usual MO for military fakers. Surprised he hasn't claimed
> to be a Navy Seal, a sniper, or Medal of Honor winner, though he does
> trot out a box of medals that he didn't earn.

There's an other difference between you and I, Brain....

I admit the "medals in the box" are just that...unearned trophies.

You try to claim your "medals" (operation in Somalia, "emergency
comms" experience) are valid.

That's why I have no reservation about calling you a liar in a
public forum. Because you ARE a liar.

Me? I just collect memorabillia and know the difference between
them.

> > Another technique I would call "remote transference." Steven
> > James doesn't like to be humiliated (in any way) and thinks that
> > all who don't believe him should themselves be humiliated.
>
> A better tactic would be to keep his mouth shut.

Why?

You only want me to "keep (my) mouth shut" because i frequently
rub your nose in YOUR newsgroup droppings.

If you'd stop lying, I'd have nothing to rub your nose in.

> > The outpouring of libelous filth against all who disagree with
him
> > will continue until he receives some helpful mental therapy.
> > Until then, this newsgroup will continue as an outlet for his
> > personal frustrations and continue to lose relevance as a forum
> > for discussion about subjects, not the personalities of

> > individuals..

What "personalities of individuals" are you refering to, Lennie?

Yours? Brain's?

You're both archived liars and deceivers. If there's any "frustration", I am sure it's yours from having your nose rubbed in your misconducts.

Your problem.

> My guess is bi-polar.

And a "guess" would be all you'd be able to offer, Brain. Your "knowledge and experience" in healthcare is even less than your "knowledge and experience" as a "DXer".

Steve, K4YZ

1739. Re: Len's terms of endearments list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 13:05:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108991142.511784.189500@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103257

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com>

> >been called a homosexual and a pedophile. Nor have I suggested that
> >anyone on this group be visited by thugs who "throw rocks through
> >windows, slask tires, and terrorize people's wives." Steve is truly
> >dispicable. I don't have to live with that kind of public
> behavio[u]r.
> >He does. My conscience is clean.

Brain, if your "conscience is clean", you truly have low standards
for yourself and your ethics.

> Well, two more things are operative there. Firstly, he may not
> be aware of the differences in his vile name-calling...it seems to
> all the same with him...he probably doesn't realize it. Secondly,
> he could be sociopathic and simply doesn't care about what he
> says in here...it vents his rages and so he feels better. The
> second syndrome is the worst of the two for everyone else.

Actually, Lennie, the "sociopathic" here would be the guy who keeps
posting in a forum in which he has no vested interest or risk.

> Either way, he presents an undesireable image of U.S. amateur
> radio to everyone else.

To whom? You?

> >> Steven James has called you "liar" so many times that all those
> >> added up wouldn't require you to apologize to him. The other
way
>
> >> around. At least in other venues.
> >
> >I can't keep count. Honestly.
> >
> >Suffice it to say that he will forever be known as the "Ham that
cried
>
> '>Liar, liar, pants on fiar'" or wolf or something like that.
>
> Well, he does have a lack of social interaction skills.

As opposed to YOUR "social interaction skills", Lennie?

You're a liar. It's been proven. It's been archived. No matter

> He typically goes into outrage at anyone having experiences
> he doesn't have. Envy cubed. He can't stand it!

I'd ask you to provide a quote that substantiates that, but you're
so far behind that it would be useless.

> >Guys like him are always keeping score, and in this case, I can
guess
> >that he thinks he just doesn't measure up. What makes him even more
> >furious is that I just don't care.
>
> I don't think he understands that. He gets all tangled up
> between people, what they said, etc., then says they were
> "showing off" when a simple casual mention of personal
> experience was stated. An example is my mention of
> doing real HF communications in the Army a half century
> ago in regards to the Army (and other branches) NOT
> using "CW" in long-haul message traffic. The Lost Action
> Hero morphed that mention into some kind of "bragging"
> of mine...not understanding that I actually did what I said
> and can prove it with personal references.

Oh, I NEVER said you were "showing off" over your alleged Army
service, Lennie.

What I HAVE said is that the MOS's that YOU have provided support
my contention that you have NO "operating" experience, Army or
otherwise. Oh, you may have "keyed up" a transmitter for testing
purposes, but you were never an "operator" in any stretch of the
imagination.

You were a radio mechanic. Period.

> He isn't reading these postings with rose-colored glasses. His
eyes
> are red with rage and cannot discern what was written.

I read them just fine, Lennie.

You've made numerous claims that your own subsequent posts
undermined, including your claim of passing 1.2 million messages...

> He just can't come to terms with some of us have more experiences
> and/or more unique experiences than he had. That makes him
> defensive. In defense he tries a misdirection attack, trying to
> shift
> a thread direction...or he fabricates things which he never did.

And I have experiences that YOU don't have, Lennie...Like a
LIFELONG background in HF radio communications.

And emergency communications.

And health and welfare communications.

And contesting communications.

And aeronautical communications.

> It's his notable posting manner. Uncivil but characteristic.

Coming from you, I take that as a compliment. You are, afterall,
the King of Uncivil Newsgroup Posting.

> >> Another technique I would call "remote transference." Steven
> >> James doesn't like to be humiliated (in any way) and thinks
that
> >> all who don't believe him should themselves be humiliated.
> >
> >A better tactic would be to keep his mouth shut.
>
> You know that, I know that, nearly everyone else who reads all
> these moronic mumblings of the Lost Action Hero know it.
> Poor Stevie can't bear to admit wrongdoing. Loss of face thing
> to admit weakness of any kind.

When I make mistakes, Lennie, I acknowledge them and take
responsibility for them.

Still waiting on YOUR acknowldgement of your errors on Part 97 and
your misrepresentations of dishonesty of the ARRL.

> >He does suffer. It's no longer an excuse for his behavio[u]r, if it
> >ever was.
>
> Makes no never mind on his side of the screen. He is alone
> with the monitor and feels invulnerable...no one can touch
> him! So, he goes ballistic with all the libelous filth he can
> type as a "response" to someone with an opinion opposite
> to his. He HATES some folks. Really HATES them. Tsk.

If there is any "invunerability", Lennie, it comes from knowing
that if you wanted to try and persue some legal recourse to try and
stop it, that I'd simply bring copies of your postings to court with
me.

"It's not libelous if it's true"

> Just the same, the Lost Action Hero is an example of modern
> U.S. amateur radio extra class licensee. If the general public
> bothers to look in here, they would think extras are fruitcake.

And perhaps they'd read a bit further and ask themselves why an
octogenarian with no vested interest in the Newsgroup he frequents
insists on reproving his dishonesty and deceitfulness.

I certainly do.

Steve, K4YZ

1740. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 13:16:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108991793.465318.141660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103250

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > "If fails both sarcasm and satire from several basic errors"
> >
> > You ought to do the proofreading thing in a sentence that
> lampoons
> >others errors, Lennie.
>
> Tsk, tsk. The angry little Steven James cries and cries some
> more, "blaming" all others for not seeing little Stevie's "humor"
> which was nothing more than the usual libelous filth outpouring
> from a kid who can't take the heat of discussing subjects.

No "blaming", Lennie.

You attempted to make a point about errors, and in the process
uttered your own errors.

You made a mistake. It's there for all to see. That's not
"blaming".

> >> "K4YZ" failed to achieve any humor in attempting a pseudonym
> >> for my wife.
> >
> > The lack of humor comes from your lack of respect for her
acting
> >the way you do in public.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Another LIE in the heap of libelous filth babbled by
> little Steven James. In public.

It's not a lie, Lennie.

You persistently lie in public. You accuse others of dishonesty
and disparge the pursuits of others.

You humiliate your family name.

> I've not "enthralled" anything or anyone by mentioning what I've
> done in the past half century involving radio and electronics.

For once you got something absolutely correct, even if by mistake.

You've not enthralled ANYone except yourself.

> > So far, you've not proved any of my allegations as inaccurate.
> >It's been several years.

>
> More LIES by little Steven James.
>
> No one can disprove something that doesn't exist.

Then why can't you prove that your "work" was original?

> Miraculously, little Stevie NOW claims that I "copied all of
> it from others!" That is utter nonsense. Little Stevie has NO
> such proof, no such references, just a blanket general
> statement which is one more filthy little piece of the trash
> he hurls at those who oppose him in public. Poor baby.

No "poor baby".

You have yet to DISprove anything I have said.

> > "I am laughing at the superior intellect".
>
> Those who have no intellect should not complain or try to
> bluff their way through anything.

True again...which then begs the question as to why you continue to
pass yourself off as something you're not...And that "not" is qualified
or experienced in matters pertaining to Amateur Radio or Amateur Radio
policy...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1741. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 13:20:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1108992003.151683.9600@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103258

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Coslo won't agree that on-off keying CW is binary. I don't
> really care to argue with his changing the game rules in
> the middle of a thread just so that he can win message
> points.

Why should you have a problem with anyone else doing what you have
made a hallmark of your posting technique?

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1742. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 14:03:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <beqj119idfm8gvott249csckgt1hn122kj@4ax.com>
Source record: 103255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 19:42:08 GMT, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>
>>
>>
>>
>> Many hams used to be CB'ers/Freebanders, and like to "return to the
>> roost" once in a while.
>>
>
>I got my start in radio with a legal CB radio back in 1976.
>Then got a ham license and never went back. If I wanted
>to "freeband" I can legally use the 10 meter band as a ham
>for the same operations. But with the sunspots out, I
>can use 20 or lower just as legally.

Certainly. I agree. But some people do things differently. When 10/11
meters opens up, there is often only a handful of hams on 10, but
there are hundreds (or more) people on 11 meters. The DX potential is
better.

I don't condone it, but I do understand it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1743. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 15:05:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1108998341.973757.48170@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103251

Caveat Lector wrote:
> To revive an old thread
>
> Just read a very interesting book:
> "The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph and its precursors."
by Tom
> Standage
>
> Fascinating reading
>
> Any way he describes Morse code as " Bi-Signal"
>
> I like that.
>
> Rather than send a series of pulses (same duration) down the pike --
where
> one is A, two pulses is B, etc.
> and get 26 pulses for Z
>
> By using bi-signal
> one short, the other long we have an efficient method of sending many

> letters, numbers, punctuation, etc.
>
> This just doesn't strike me as binary.
>
> Wahtta ya think ????
>
> --
> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
> Help The New Hams
> Someone Helped You
> Or did You Forget That ?

I think it no longer strikes me as "efficient."

1744. Re: testing...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 15:47:46 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <kk0k11p1n002d2u23jtqcr5qkrm11c4te5@4ax.com>
Source record: 103260

On Sun, 20 Feb 2005 23:33:22 -0500, "K3VR" <k3vr@k3vr.com> wrote:

>this is a test...this is only a test.
>
>73
>
>K3VR
>

You failed. Please take the test again.

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1745. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 16:42:22 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <OboSd.33548$xt.12914@fed1read07>
Source record: 103262

Well lets look at some "efficiencies"
Source
http://my.core.com/~jematz/digicomp.html

SSB 250 wpm but about 150 WPM is the most comfortable speech
speed for humans
CW 30 + wpm Skilled ops can do higher of course
Hell 25 wpm
WSJT 7 wpm
PSK31 30 wpm
RTTY 60 wpm

The latest "hi tech" Ham mode, PSK31 is 30 WPM -- so is the ancient one -
Morse and the other ancient one - RTTY is 60 WPM

When I go down south I can send Morse faster than most folks down there
talk -- Just kiddin
No offense intended -- I like slooww talkin - tis relaxin
Most New Yorkers talk faster than the rest of us can engage brain -- (;-)

For SSB -- Since we can read a lot faster than we can speak -- SSB is
pretty inefficient -- huh?

Anyway -- what's the big hurry??

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1108998341.973757.48170@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> To revive an old thread
>>
>> Just read a very interesting book:
>> "The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph and its precursors."
> by Tom
>> Standage
>>
>> Fascinating reading
>>
>> Any way he describes Morse code as " Bi-Signal"
>>

>> I like that.
>>
>> Rather than send a series of pulses (same duration) down the pike --
> where
>> one is A, two pulses is B, etc.
>> and get 26 pulses for Z
>>
>> By using bi-signal
>> one short, the other long we have an efficient method of sending many
>
>> letters, numbers, punctuation, etc.
>>
>> This just doesn't strike me as binary.
>>
>> Wahtta ya think ????
>>
>> --
>> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
>> Help The New Hams
>> Someone Helped You
>> Or did You Forget That ?
>
> I think it no longer strikes me as "efficient."
>

1746. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 17:17:52 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96047D0426A07elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103264

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
news:MIKdnZjE072JsYTfRVn-1A@adelphia.com:

> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>> news:XaqdnTfG34LDmITfRVn-uQ@adelphia.com:
>>
>>
>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>>>news:UKmdnY534OZ9JYvfRVn-uA@adelphia.com:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>>>>>news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:
>>>>>
>>>>><some snippage>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>> I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but
>>>>>>> lets say
>>>>>>>in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a
>>>>>>>woman not knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning
>>>>>>>herself when trying to hold the darn thing between her legs.
>>>>>>>(sorry Phil, but what if she simply ruined her dress because the
>>>>>>>coffee was wet?- negligent design of the cup?)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt rig,
>>>>>>> and is
>>>>>>>half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey thingies
>>>>>>>on the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful
>>>>>>>lawsuits already!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a painful
>>>>>>> burn and

>>>>>>>a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that catches
>>>>>>>a ride on a thousand watts might just have a very successful
>>>>>>>lawsuit if we don't train them well.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should
>>>>>>>be a Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to
>>>>>>>handle full legal limit.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or some
>>>>>>> other
>>>>>>>weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things such
>>>>>>>as Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a
>>>>>>>second to drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the
>>>>>>>control op can react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to
>>>>>>>full power safety requirements.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Anything else is criminally negligent.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written*
>>>>>>>>exam looks like.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and
>>>>>>>>new operator licenses is way down.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
>>>>>>>>>>and the number of countries.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test
>>>>>>>>>>because a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for
>>>>>>>>>>itself.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish
>>>>>>>>>>the code test.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict
>>>>>>>>>>individual ownership of firearms, too.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
>>>>>>>>>>dropped code testing?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
>>>>>>>>>*hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people make
>>>>>>> it out
>>>>>>>to be.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Well, it is a problem. No-coders may have been in the hobby, but
>>>>>>they couldn't do everything that they wanted to do.
>>>>>
>>>>>People who have not passed the test have been kept out of things
>>>>>they want to do in the hobby too.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Not that I'm saying that the
>>>>>>Tech theory should get you full privileges, but there have always
>>>>>>been many Techs who could pass Extra class theory.
>>>>>
>>>>> Yup, Like me. But I apparently showed the character flaw od
>>>>> learning Morse code! ;^)
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>So did I, but it was hell!
>>>
>>> Yeah, for me too! 6 months of daily studying, one failed test,
>>> and
>>>finally passing it. I think that my brain processes audio differently
>>>than does those people with normal hearing.
>>>
>>
>>
>> I have not posted this point for a long time, as it provokes extreme

>> reactions from the pro code test lobby, but I can beat your 6 months.
>> It took me 22 years. Can you honestly wonder that I feel the way I do?
>
> That is quite a long time. What was the reason that it took you 22
> years? My reason was hearing problems, and a presumed mental processing
> of sound deficit.
>

I don't know. My hearing is OK as far as I know.

> What was the total study time?

Hard to say. I gave up completely over and over again, but I kept coming
back to it because I still wanted to get on HF.

>>
>>>>If it wasn't a hazing process, then I'm a Dutchman
>>>
>>>Don't take it personally! Some people learn Morse pretty easily, and
>>>for some it is hard. Is it a hazing process if it is easy? Same goes
>>>for the writtens. I can assure you that no group of Hams ever sat down
>>>and said "Let's give this Coslo guy a rough time and make him learn
>>>Morse code".
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>> As a guy who can't "hear" people unless he can see the mouth of
>>>>>the person speaking, I have just a little trouble figuring out the
>>>>>problem with normal people for which the test is too hard to make it
>>>>>worth getting a license.
>>>>>
>>>>> But it is! Witness all those who are dropping off the ranks when
>>>>> their license expires.
>>>>>
>>>>> I predict the next tack of the NCI's is that not allowing the
>>>>> codeless Techs HF access is why they aren't renewing their
>>>>> license.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Certainly that must be true of some of them. What proportion, I
>>>>couldn't say.
>>>
>>> That would certainly be an interesting outlook for a person. Let
>>> us say
>>>that a person became a ham in 1994, and has a combined intense
>>>interest in operation below 30 MHz, and deep seated conviction against
>>>Morse code testing, leading to refusal to take the Element 1 test.
>>>
>>> Somehow doesn't ring true.
>>
>>

>> It was true enough of me, although I became a no-code ham in 1980 (in
>> the UK), more or less in defeat at having tried unsuccessfully to
>> learn Morse code ever since 1970, and passed a code test in 1992.
>>
>> Getting a no-code licence was something I only did because I was
>> resigned to not getting the HF access that I wanted. It was a case of
>> thinking it was silly to stay off the air altogether just because I
>> couldn't get on HF, and it took me a long time, i.e. 10 years, to
>> grudgingly reach that conclusion.
>>
>> Eventually passing the code test was helped by software that didn't
>> exist back in 1970, and the help of dear friends who took turns to
>> send slow CW transmissions several times a week that I knew were being
>> done mainly just for my benefit. Sure, others tuned in, but they
>> stopped sending them when I passed! I owe them a great deal.
>>
>> Here's another interesting fact. I was teaching ham radio classes for
>> years before I passed the bleeping code!
>>
>> If none of this rings true, I can assure that every word is the truth.
>
> I believe you. And you didn't do what my hypothetical Technician
> did
> either. You kept with it an eventually passed
>

Eventually is right

>
>> As I said, all of this has been posted here before, but not recently.
>> My own history hasn't proved as effective as an argument as simply
>> pointing out that none of the arguments in favour of retaining code
>> testing hold as much water as a leaky bucket!
>
> Except here is what I see as the difference. You had difficulties
> with
> Element one, and so did I. You want the test eliminated because you had
> a hard time of it. I don't want the test removed just because of my
> personal trouble with it.
>

Six months isn't that long though, is it?

> We all have walls to climb in life. Some peoples walls are higher
> than
> others. I'll climb my own walls, and not try to change everyone elses
> walls. YMMV.
>
>
>
>>

>>> I think that those who want to get rid of Element one testing
>>> would be
>>>better off to not try that argument.
>>>
>>>That would be reminiscent of the old "Jump Frog" joke!
>>>
>>
>> Except that the circumstances I describe never struck me as a joke.
>
> I had enough problems that it was no joke to me either. But my
> point
> wasn't about the test specifically, it was the conclusion that people
> reach regarding Morse code testing and the people coming into or
> leaving the ARS.
>

I think it's probably true that having the no-code licence has increased turnover, but we don't know why. Nobody has done any research on this point.

Doubtless some have used a no-code licence as a substitute for a cellphone. Doubtless some who had only a passing interest got a Tech licence and then moved on, but we have to factor in that they had no exposure to HF. I'm sure that others found that the 'consolation prize' of 50MHz and up wasn't enough of a consolation to bother renewing.

>
> Just so you know what the joke was about:
>
>
>
> A scientist was conducting an experiment.
>
> He took a frog, and sat it on the floor. Then he said:
>
> "Jump, frog, Jump!"
>
> The frog jumps 6 feet.
>
> The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with four legs jumps 6
> feet*.
>
> Then he cuts off one of the frog's legs...
>
> "Jump, frog, Jump!"
>
> The frog jumps 4 feet.
>
> The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with three legs jumps 4
> feet*.
>

> He cuts off another leg.
>
> "Jump, frog, Jump!"
>
> The frog jumps 2 feet.
>
> The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with two legs jumps 2
> feet*.
>
> Then he cuts off the third leg.
>
> "Jump, frog, Jump!"
>
> With a mighty struggle, the frog jumps 1 feet.
>
> The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with 1 leg jumps 1
> foot*.
>
> Then he cuts off the final leg.
>
> "Jump, frog, Jump!"
>
> The frog just sits there.
>
> "Jump, frog, Jump!"
>
> The frog still just sits there.
>
>
> The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with no legs is deaf.
>
>

Funny in a macabre sort of way, but hard to see the connection.

>
>
>>
>>>>>>Herding them above 30 MHz is a problem, not for you perhaps, but
>>>>>>still a problem.
>>>>>
>>>>>"Herding" is a strange name for allowing access to almost all the
>>>>>Amateur spectrum.
>>>>>
>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You mean the part that doesn't refract from the ionosphere, right?
>>>
>>> Let us not forget 6 meters.

>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> The magic band is the exception that proves the rule!
>>
>> 73 de Alun, N3KIP, G8VUK
>

1747. Re: Q-Signals On Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 17:25:33 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <hQoSd.7626$x53.2194@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103263

Caveat Lector wrote:
>>Someone wrote;
>>Q codes are for morse only. People who use Q codes on voice or text are
>>boring.
>
>
> I agree that generally there is no reason to use Q-codes on voice.
>

Well I use phonetics on CW. ;-)

1748. Just say NO to Bin Ladin!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 17:37:48 UTC
From: angelonmyshoulder61@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1109007468.756709.200390@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103261

Hi all I am helping a friend promote a web site. You see a childhood friend of hers lost her husband at the World Trade Center on Sept. 11, 2001. She has run into some hard times and my freind wants to help her. So she came up with the idea to market Just say NO to Bin Ladin dartboards and punching bag covers. Not only is she going to donate part of the proceeds to her freind but an additional part of the proceeds will go to the Firemans Fund. If you could take the time to check out the web site it would be much appreciated!! The web site addy is:

http://www.angelonmyshoulder.4t.com

Thanks so much everyone!!

1749. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 17:58:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <421A2169.11A179F2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103265

"Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> news:MIKdnZjE072JsYTfRVn-1A@adelphia.com:
>
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >
> >> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> >> news:XaqdnTfG34LDmITfRVn-uQ@adelphia.com:
> >>
> >>
> >>> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> >>>> news:UKmdnY534OZ9JYvfRVn-uA@adelphia.com:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> >>>>>> news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:

> > That is quite a long time. What was the reason that it took you 22
> > years? My reason was hearing problems, and a presumed mental processing
> > of sound deficit.
> >
>
> I don't know. My hearing is OK as far as I know.
>
> > What was the total study time?
>
> Hard to say. I gave up completely over and over again, but I kept coming
> back to it because I still wanted to get on HF.

I guess I really don't understand the mindset that allows one to give up
repeatedly at something one really wants. There were times that I found
the going tough, whether in trying to improve my morse speed or in
trying to learn some bit of theory but I never thought of quitting.

> >> Here's another interesting fact. I was teaching ham radio classes for
> >> years before I passed the bleeping code!

> >>
> >> If none of this rings true, I can assure that every word is the truth.
> >
> > I believe you. And you didn't do what my hypothetical Technician
> > did
> > either. You kept with it an eventually passed
> >
>
> Eventually is right

But you did learn morse and pass the exam.

> >
> >> As I said, all of this has been posted here before, but not recently.
> >> My own history hasn't proved as effective as an argument as simply
> >> pointing out that none of the arguments in favour of retaining code
> >> testing hold as much water as a leaky bucket!
> >
> > Except here is what I see as the difference. You had difficulties
> > with
> > Element one, and so did I. You want the test eliminated because you had
> > a hard time of it. I don't want the test removed just because of my
> > personal trouble with it.
> >
>
> Six months isn't that long though, is it?

No, it really isn't.

> > We all have walls to climb in life. Some peoples walls are higher
> > than
> > others. I'll climb my own walls, and not try to change everyone elses
> > walls. YMMV.

> >> Except that the circumstances I describe never struck me as a joke.
> >
> > I had enough problems that it was no joke to me either. But my
> > point
> > wasn't about the test specifically, it was the conclusion that people
> > reach regarding Morse code testing and the people coming into or
> > leaving the ARS.
> >
>
> I think it's probably true that having the no-code licence has increased
> turnover, but we don't know why. Nobody has done any research on this
> point.

We can make some educated guesses.

> Doubtless some have used a no-code licence as a substitute for a cellphone.
> Doubtless some who had only a passing interest got a Tech licence and then
> moved on, but we have to factor in that they had no exposure to HF. I'm

> sure that others found that the 'consolation prize' of 50MHz and up wasn't
> enough of a consolation to bother renewing.

See! You did make some educated guesses.

...and those folks never gave a thought to tackling morse code at 5 wpm
to gain access to HF? They either had no curiousity about modes other
than FM on 2m repeaters, no curiousity about the 6m band and what it
offered or perhaps they couldn't muster enough enthusiasm to reach the
next plateau.

Dave K8MN

1750. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 18:19:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109009984.323422.143080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103266

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> news:XaqdnTfG34LDmITfRVn-uQ@adelphia.com:
>
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >
> >> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> >> news:UKmdnY534OZ9JYvfRVn-uA@adelphia.com:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> >>>>news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:
> >>>
> >>><some snippage>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but
> >>>> lets say
> >>>>in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a
woman
> >>>>not knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning herself
when
> >>>>trying to hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry Phil, but
> >>>>what if she simply ruined her dress because the coffee was wet?-
> >>>>negligent design of the cup?)

The case centered around the fact that the coffee was *extremely* and
unreasonably hot.
> >>>>
> >>>> So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt
rig,
> >>>> and is
> >>>>half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey
thingies
> >>>>on the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful
> >>>>lawsuits already!

So what?

There's no license required to operate houshold appliances, nor power
tools,

which can be extremely dangerous. There's no skills test to pump your
own
gasoline. Or to climb a ladder.
>>
> >>>>> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a
painful
> >>>>> burn and
> >>>>>a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that
catches a
> >>>>>ride on a thousand watts might just have a very successful
lawsuit
> >>>>>if we don't train them well.

Who are they going to sue? And on what grounds, compared to other
electronic devices?

> >>>>>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one
should be
> >>>>>a Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to
handle
> >>>>>full legal limit.

The reason for the RF safety questions is to prevent exposing *others*
to
a hazard.

And the FCC has determined that the RF safety requirements of the
Tech test are adequate for hams who use up to 1500 W power output on
"meat-cooking frequencies".

> >>>>> And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or
some
> >>>>> other
> >>>>>weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things
such as
> >>>>>Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a
second
> >>>>>to drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the
control
> >>>>>op can react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full
power
> >>>>>safety requirements.

> >>>>>Anything else is criminally negligent.

But they are already tested on full-power requirements.

> >>>>>>It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written*
exam
> >>>>>>looks like.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and
new

> >>>>>>operator licenses is way down.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
> >>>>>>>>>and the number of countries.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test
because
> >>>>>>>>>a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for itself.

> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish
the
> >>>>>>>code test.

> >>>>>>The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict
> >>>>>>individual ownership of firearms, too.
>
> >>>>>>>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that
have
> >>>>>>>>dropped code testing?
>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But
it
> >>>>>>*hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people
make
> >>>>> it out
> >>>>>to be.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>
> >>>>Well, it is a problem. No-coders may have been in the hobby, but
they
> >>>>couldn't do everything that they wanted to do.
> >>>
> >>>People who have not passed the test have been kept out of things
they
> >>>want to do in the hobby too.
> >>>
> >>>>Not that I'm saying that the
> >>>>Tech theory should get you full privileges,

Why shouldn't they?

What is so special about HF that it requires so much more
testing than VHF/UHF?

There's propagation - big deal, it's already covered in the
Tech test, since Techs who have passed code can operate on
4 HF bands.

There's band edges - another no-counter, just add a couple
of questions.

There are no modes, technologies, or power levels allowed
to Generals or Extras that are not allowed to Techs.

So if you say that there is no *need* for code testing
to get a General or Extra, then you must logically also
accept the argument that there is no *need* for about
95% of what's in the General and Extra tests as well.

> >>>>but there have always
> >>>>been many Techs who could pass Extra class theory.

Most could not.
>
> >>> Yup, Like me. But I apparently showed the character flaw od
> >>> learning Morse code! ;^)

> >> So did I, but it was hell!
> >
> > Yeah, for me too! 6 months of daily studying, one failed test,
and
> > finally passing it. I think that my brain processes audio
differently
> > than does those people with normal hearing.

>
> I have not posted this point for a long time, as it provokes extreme
> reactions from the pro code test lobby, but I can beat your 6 months.
It
> took me 22 years.

The most extreme reactions I see are those of a few anticode types. Not
you,
Alun.

> Can you honestly wonder that I feel the way I do?

Why did it take 22 years?

And didn't you wind up passing the 20 wpm test?
>
> >> If it wasn't a hazing process, then I'm a Dutchman
> >
> > Don't take it personally! Some people learn Morse pretty
easily,
> > and

> > for some it is hard. Is it a hazing process if it is easy? Same
goes
> > for the writtens.

Exactly!

Some people have a very hard time with math. Others with rote
memorization
of things like band edges.
> >
> > I can assure you that no group of Hams ever sat down and said
> > "Let's
> > give this Coslo guy a rough time and make him learn Morse code".
>
> >>> As a guy who can't "hear" people unless he can see the mouth
of
> >>>the person speaking, I have just a little trouble figuring out the
> >>>problem with normal people for which the test is too hard to make
it
> >>>worth getting a license.
> >>>
> >>> But it is! Witness all those who are dropping off the ranks
when
> >>> their license expires.
> >>>
> >>> I predict the next tack of the NCI's is that not allowing the
> >>> codeless Techs HF access is why they aren't renewing their
> >>> license.
> >>>
> >> Certainly that must be true of some of them. What proportion, I
> >> couldn't say.
> >
> > That would certainly be an interesting outlook for a person.
Let
> > us say
> > that a person became a ham in 1994, and has a combined intense
interest
> > in operation below 30 MHz, and deep seated conviction against Morse
> > code testing, leading to refusal to take the Element 1 test.
> >
> > Somehow doesn't ring true.
>
> It was true enough of me, although I became a no-code ham in 1980 (in
the
> UK), more or less in defeat at having tried unsuccessfully to learn
Morse
> code ever since 1970, and passed a code test in 1992.
>
> Getting a no-code licence was something I only did because I was
resigned
> to not getting the HF access that I wanted. It was a case of thinking

it
> was silly to stay off the air altogether just because I couldn't get
on HF,
> and it took me a long time, i.e. 10 years, to grudgingly reach that
> conclusion.

WHat were the tesrt requirements in the UK then? Here in the USA, the
Novice
and Tech were 5 wpm in that time period.
>
> Eventually passing the code test was helped by software that didn't
exist
> back in 1970, and the help of dear friends who took turns to send
slow CW
> transmissions several times a week that I knew were being done mainly
just
> for my benefit. Sure, others tuned in, but they stopped sending them
when I
> passed! I owe them a great deal.

Code training software for PCs was common here in EPA by the early
1980s. I still have old copies that run on DOS 3.2..

In the 1970s and 1980s, HF was full of non-amateur Morse operation. And
the
now-changed treaty required code tests.
>
> Here's another interesting fact. I was teaching ham radio classes for
years
> before I passed the bleeping code!
>
> If none of this rings true, I can assure that every word is the
truth.

But why did it take you so long, Alun?

What study methods did you use?

And note that here in the USA, full privileges have been available
with just a 5 wpm code test *since 1990*. Of course a medical waiver
was needed before 2000, but all such a waiver required was a simple
letter from a medical doctor.
>
> As I said, all of this has been posted here before, but not recently.
My
> own history hasn't proved as effective as an argument as simply
pointing
> out that none of the arguments in favour of retaining code testing
hold as
> much water as a leaky bucket!

Apply you anticodetest arguments to the written tests. Tell us why most of the written tests must remain. Heck, NCVEC is already trying to trash the writtens even more...

Is it *really* so unreasonable to require Element 1? Particularly considering the training aids and accomodations now available?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1751. Re: Just say NO to Bin Ladin!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 19:51:18 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <RdKdnSC43ZkwpoffRVn-ug@centurytel.net>
Source record: 103267

whatascam61@yahoo.com wrote:

> Hi all I am helping a friend promote a web site. You see a childhood
> friend of hers lost her husband at the World Trade Center on Sept. 11,
> 2001. She has run into some hard times and my freind wants to help her.
> So she came up with the idea to market Just say NO to Bin Ladin
> dartboards and punching bag covers. Not only is she going to donate
> part of the proceeds to her freind but an additional part of the
> proceeds will go to the Firemans Fund. If you could take the time to
> check out the web site it would be much appreciated!! The web site addy
> is:
>
> http://www.whatascam.4t.com
>
> Thanks so much everyone!!
>

1752. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 20:10:47 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <k_2dnTFpwKWj3YffRVn-vA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 103268

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

Paul D. Westcott
Purdy, MO 65734

Licensee of Amateur Radio Station KC0OAB

)
)
)
)
)
)
)

File No. EB-04-KC-135
NAL/Acct. No. 200532560001
FRN 0007599210

FORFEITURE ORDER

Adopted: February 14, 2005 Released: February 16, 2005

By the Assistant Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

1. In this Forfeiture Order ("Order"), we issue a monetary forfeiture in the amount of four thousand dollars ($4,000) to Paul D. Westcott, licensee of amateur radio station KC0OAB, for willful and repeated failure to respond to Commission requests for information about his station, pursuant to Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("Act").[1]

2. On November 30, 2004, the District Director of the Commission's Kansas City Field Office ("Kansas City Office") issued a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture ("NAL") in the amount of $4,000 to Mr. Westcott.[2] Mr. Westcott has not filed a response to the NAL. Based on the information before us, we affirm the forfeiture.

3. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("Act"),[3] and Sections 0.111, 0.311 and 1.80(f)(4) of the Commission's Rules,[4] Paul D. Westcott IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of $4,000 for willfully and repeatedly failing to respond to Commission requests for information about his station.

4. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in the manner provided for in Section 1.80 of the Rules within 30 days of the release of this Order. If the forfeiture is not paid within the period specified, the case may be referred to the Department of Justice for collection pursuant to Section 504(a) of the Act.[5] Payment by check or money order may be mailed to Forfeiture Collection Section, Finance Branch, Federal Communications Commission, P.O. Box 73482, Chicago, Illinois 60673-7482. Payment by overnight mail may be sent to Bank One/LB 73482, 525 West Monroe, 8th Floor Mailroom, Chicago, IL 60661. Payment by wire transfer may be made to ABA Number 071000013, receiving bank Bank One, and account number 1165259. The payment should note NAL/Acct. No. 200532560001, and FRN 0007599210. Requests for full payment under an installment plan should be sent to: Chief, Revenue and Receivables Group, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.[6]

5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be sent by First Class Mail and Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested to Paul D. Westcott at his address of record.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

George R. Dillon
Assistant Chief, Enforcement Bureau

---

robert casey wrote:

> Didn't think this could happen; someone operating
> Morse code violating the rules....
>
> from the ARRL web site newsletters:
> http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/05/0218/
>
> The FCC has affirmed a $4000 fine for Paul D. Westcott, KC0OAB, of Purdy,
> Missouri, for "willful and repeated failure to respond to Commission
> requests for information about his station." The Commission released a
> Forfeiture Order in the case on February 16. The FCC reports it has
> received
> "numerous complaints" alleging that KC0OAB for several months now has been
> transmitting CW "24 hours a day, 7 days a week" on 7.030 MHz. While
> complainants assert the transmissions constitute broadcasting, the FCC
> contends they interfere with other amateur communications "due to an
> apparent lack of station control."

1753. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 20:46:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109018815.902674.321230@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103269

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> K4YZ babbled his usual libelous filth on Feb 19, 9:10 am, to
wit:
> >
> > > You've contributed nothing to the technical development
> > > of Amateur Radio.
> >
> > I haven't?

Nope.

You authored some theory-only items in a long-since defunct
Amateur journal. Despite being asked on several occassions to do so,
you've not once provided a single example of where any of your "papers"
were part-and-parcel of some larger project that resulted in some
practical manifestation.

Not one. Zero = None. Nada. Zippo. Zilch.

> > What has widdle Stevie "contributed to the technical development
> > of amateur radio?
>
> Absolutely nothing.

But then I never claimed to, nor have I insinuated that by being
granted unearned privileges that I would be better able to do so...

Like Lennie has....

> > Sitting in ham newsgroups and hurling libelous
> > filth against all who oppose him? :-)
>
> At every opportunity.

It's not "libelous" if it's true.

And if you don't like the "filth", stop spreading it and I will
stop rubbing your nose in it. It's your filth, after all.

> > Define "technical development" in radio, oh mighty radio guru!
> >
> > Show us your work, your brilliant developments of buying ready-
> > built equipment and building "weekender" projects from articles
> > in ham magazines...no doubt all full of amazing technology
> > never before seen, ey? :-)

>
> Steve harms amateur radio by his very presence. He's nuts and he's
not
> afraid to prove it.

"Nuts"...?!?! For standing up to liars and deceivers like the two
of you..?!?!

HA!

> Steve continues to be inconsistent in how he applies his core values
> and beliefs.

No, I'm not.

Brian P Burke and Leonard H Anderson are known liars and I do not
tolerate either of them.

Brian has, on occassion, demonstrated some civil behaviour and
tolerance for others opinions and beliefs, and on those occassions
we've gotten along just fine...but then he goes right back into the
LennieMode and "fight's on".

> > Poor Stevie, all confused again as to what is "right or wrong"
> > and personal fantasy versus reality. Thinks all who oppose
> > his opinions are "wrong," "liars," "untruthful," etc., etc.

No...I only think that those who have been consistently wrong
(Lennie has made numerous errors of fact pertaining to Part 95, Part
97, ARES, etc), who repeatedly lie ("I am going to get my Extra lite
out of the box", "The BoD of the ARRL is dishonest") and untruthful ("I
served under the threat of the Soviet Tu-95 Bear bomber" "I know what
it's like to be under incoming artillery fire") are "wrong", "liars"
and "untruthful".

All of those examples are archived as being the comments of Leonard
H. Anderson, by the way.

Brian P. Burke has his own entire set of established and on-going
mistruths archived in Google.

Steve, K4YZ

1754. Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 22:35:07 UTC
From: Harris <harris@nospamanyanon.com>
Message-ID: <cvdnkn$sp3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Saw cell phone jammers, both personal and large area type,
for sale at a popular security/private detective shop in
Manhattan, NYC. Clerk said he is selling them faster than
he can keep them in stock.

Harris

1755. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 22:35:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109025309.351448.266340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103271

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Brian has, on occassion, demonstrated some civil behaviour and
> tolerance for others opinions and beliefs,

Always.

and on those occassions
> we've gotten along just fine...but then he goes right back into the
> LennieMode and "fight's on".

I don't tolerate bullies very well. You, Steve Robeson, are a bully.
I called you on your bullishness, and you turned back into a raving
lunatic.

All archived in google, waiting for the next background investigation
update for medical personnel with access to narcotics.

Best of Luck, nut.

1756. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 22:40:19 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109025619.060190.162570@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103272

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > NEWS FLASH! -
> > > > >
> > > > > Rooters News Service
> > > > >
> > > > "Rooters," huh?
> > > > >
> > > > > Southern California's worst air disaster occurred when a
small
> > > > > two-seater Cessna 150 plane, piloted by Leonard H. Anderson
of
> > Sun
> > > > > Valley, California, crashed into a cemetery earlier today.
> > > > >
> > > > > Search and rescue workers have recovered 300 bodies so far
and
> > > expect
> > > > > the number to climb as digging continues into the evening.
> > > > >
> > > > > The pilot and his wife, Sigmundi "Moon Unit" Anderson
survived
> > and
> > > > > are
> > > > > helping in the recovery efforts.
> > > > >
> > > > Interesting how you call others "liars."
> > > >
> > > There's a big difference between mischievious humor and
> > > intentional deceit.
> > >
> > > You and Lennie can't tell the difference. It's when you
> persist
> > > in your story after having been corrected that it goes from one
to
> > the
> > > other.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >

> > You've been corrected.
>
> How can you correct "fiction"...???

Oh, good! You're back on your meds.

> Even the dullest of intellects realize the initial post to be
> sarcasm and spoof.

Or are you? Which Steve Robeson is this?

> Well...MOST of the dullest...YOU seem to take it verbatim.
Silly
> Rabbit...

Ahhhh. The Road Runner Steve Robeson.

Walter Mitty was brilliant. Steve is just nuts.

1757. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 22:44:47 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109025887.207446.266570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103273

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Coslo won't agree that on-off keying CW is binary. I don't
> > really care to argue with his changing the game rules in
> > the middle of a thread just so that he can win message
> > points.
>
> Why should you have a problem with anyone else doing what you
have
> made a hallmark of your posting technique?
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

More Extra civil discourse? Hi!

You give amateur extras a bad name.

1758. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 22:52:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109026328.348139.84110@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103274

Caveat Lector wrote:
> Well lets look at some "efficiencies"
> Source
> http://my.core.com/~jematz/digicomp.html
>
> SSB 250 wpm but about 150 WPM is the most comfortable
speech
> speed for humans
> CW 30 + wpm Skilled ops can do higher of course
> Hell 25 wpm
> WSJT 7 wpm
> PSK31 30 wpm
> RTTY 60 wpm
>
> The latest "hi tech" Ham mode, PSK31 is 30 WPM -- so is the
ancient one -
> Morse and the other ancient one - RTTY is 60 WPM
>
> When I go down south I can send Morse faster than most folks down
there
> talk -- Just kiddin
> No offense intended -- I like slooww talkin - tis relaxin

That's not what Larry Roll/K3LT says about southern hams.

> Most New Yorkers talk faster than the rest of us can engage brain --
(;-)
>
> For SSB -- Since we can read a lot faster than we can speak -- SSB
is
> pretty inefficient -- huh?
>
> Anyway -- what's the big hurry??
>
> --
> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)

It is simply not an efficient use of my time to become proficient with it (> 10wpm), nor will any other service fool with it anymore. If the mode had been worthwhile, the Coast Guard and Western Union would have paid (illegal) immigrants to pass traffic cheaply. Or outsourced it to India.

1759. Re: Q-Signals On Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 22:57:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109026631.808565.58510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103275

robert casey wrote:
> Caveat Lector wrote:
> >>Someone wrote;
> >>Q codes are for morse only. People who use Q codes on voice or text
are
> >>boring.
> >
> >
> > I agree that generally there is no reason to use Q-codes on voice.
> >
>
> Well I use phonetics on CW. ;-)

So do I. Sending CW is so much fun that I spell everything out
phonetically in Morse Code.

For instance, CQ is sent "c h a r l e y q u e b e c" It just makes
the good times last longer.

1760. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 23:00:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109026828.454183.308990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103276

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> K4YZ babbled his usual libelous filth on Feb 19, 9:10 am, to wit:
>>
>> > You've contributed nothing to the technical development
>> > of Amateur Radio.
>>
>> I haven't? :-)
>>
>> What has widdle Stevie "contributed to the technical development
>> of amateur radio?
>
>Absolutely nothing.

Steven James will deny this through some obscure rationalizations;
the kind of rationalization is not necessarily predictable. A
number
of other PCTA extras will no doubt join in the fray, damning me and
possibly yourself in the spirit they have (ghoulish as that is).
The
PCTA extras love to gang up on dissenters. :-)

Phil Kane may even have sided with the PCTAs although he claims
to be against keeping the morse test. He apparently told you that
"thinking" is "wrong" on your sentence about "...think that there
are
no morse skilled" at the FCC monitoring stations. He told you that
"you are so wrong." One can take that as a sort-of directive that
thinking is wrong. :-)

Philosophically speaking (in the old sense of philosophy), electrons
fields and waves don't recognize any human definitions of service
or kind of application. All of radio, regardless of the "service,"
work
by the same principles of physics. Olde tyme hammes don't (or
can't) think that way. They seem to think that a HAM radio thing
will ONLY work for a licensed radio amateur and that such a thing
is different if used for any other radio service. Now THAT thinking
IS wrong...besides being dumb. :-)

>> Sitting in ham newsgroups and hurling libelous
>> filth against all who oppose him? :-)
>
>At every opportunity.

Steven James must be a follower of USMC Lt.Gen. Mattis who
loves to brawl and thinks "it's fun to shoot people!" (according to
a much-publicized news item from a public speech by Mattis
a week or so ago)

>> Define "technical development" in radio, oh mighty radio guru!
>>
>> Show us your work, your brilliant developments of buying ready-
>> built equipment and building "weekender" projects from articles
>> in ham magazines...no doubt all full of amazing technology
>> never before seen, ey? :-)
>
>Steve harms amateur radio by his very presence. He's nuts and he's
not
>afraid to prove it.

Tsk. He doesn't realize this.

He has much confusion on the word "service" for example: The FCC
uses the word "service" in regards to the type and kind of radio
activity being regulated...such as broadcasting or maritime radio or
citizens band or private land mobile, etc., etc. Steven James'
postings tend towards his imagining the amateur radio "service" as
being a continuation of some "military service" such as the USMC.
That way of thinking allows him to put on his NCO rank and boss
others around as if he had the pursed lips of a Dill instructor
(complete with flat-brimmed campaign hat). Problem is, he doesn't
have such rank, doesn't have such authority, in a civilian hobby
activity (amateur radio). Nonetheless, he attempts to bully others
around "as if" (as the eastern colloquialism has it).

>> >You are not a licensed Amateur. You cannot, therefore, be
>> >any kind of a judge of what Amateurs should do or how they should
do
>> >it.

The two sentences are a typical example of newsgroup bullying.

It is also typical of the fantasy of eliteness wallowed in by so
many olde tyme hammes up to their armpits in self-
righteousness.

Such self-righteousness FAILS in the USA because the federal
agency regulating all civil radio here does NOT require
commissioners or staff to hold amateur radio licenses to fulfill
their lawful duty of regulating all amateur radio. The way some of
them carry on, the FCC must be considered as secondary to the
ARRL as the perceived "regulator of ham radio" in the USA... :-)

Nonetheless, those self-righteous self-appointed-sheriffs of ham
radio want to RESTRICT access to public newsgroups on THEIR
terms. Nobody tells them what to do! They think they rule. :-)
They don't but that doesn't stop those middle-aged "boyz in da
'hood" from spraying their "turf" graffitti where they want.

The PCTA extras go a step further. They also spray some kind
of time stasis on everything in U.S. amateur radio, demanding a
retro condition of timeless holding to old, outdated regulations
that they bought into when young and a newbie to ham radio.
They were brainwashed into a false idealism and have never
cleared themselves of those olde ideals.

In one way, the absolute hold to now-old standards and practices
is a form of personal survival. It gives the impression of them
remaining forever young despite the inescapable fact that all of
us are continuing to grow old. So, they reinforce their personal
fantasies by federal regulations requiring all newcomers to do as
they did in loving, honoring, cherishing morse code through
passing a federal test on that ability. Those "marriage vows" to
the sanctity of morsemanship shine through most of the printed
media of the ARRL, further spreading (and enobling) the sanctity
of morse. It is reinforcement of their early brainwashing.

Those PCTA extras have an absolutist view of morsemanship:
Anyone against morse code testing is "against all amateur
radio (as in hating all hams)!" :-)

>Wonder why Steve allows non-amateurs to sit in judgement of amateur
>radio?

He has a variable standard. The FCC is "approved" because
they give him a TITLE...it's a "(military) rank substitute." From
that it is an easy route to being "better than others" because
he can reference public information on the fact that he is an
amateur extra class licensee and thus "important, a somebody."

He is much into Titles, Rank, Privilege, plus being able to Show
it through pretty certificates (suitable for framing) and medals.
It's his personal bling-bling. If a federal or state agency can
give
him title, rank, status or privilege, they are favored...as long as
they don't interfere with that title, rank, status or privilege.

If the morse code test is eliminated, that will END one part of
the title-rank-privilege package. Some of that has already
happened with the recent Restructuring putting a cap of 5
WPM on all code testing. Olde-tyme hammes want to
preserve their illusion of being "top gun" through passing
a 20 WPM code test but are forced into attempted degredation
of new extras, as in terms "nickel extra" or "extra lite"
applied to recent amateur extras. Those olde-tymers are
angry that federals no longer recognize their intrinsic
superiority so they go into name-calling.

>He fails to substantiate his "seven hostile actions."
>
>Such a big claim, zero evidence. And his credibility is such that we
>just can't give him a pass. Nope. No pass.

It's all part of a curious form of gamesmanship in here, all bluff in Steven James' case. He wants to be a SOMEBODY of fame, to be "recognized" and respected for his accomplishments. If that means stepping all over what others have done, calling all their claims "lies," then he will do that...and has. He does not need to verify his claims by giving details such as place and date. We are all expected to "believe" him lest we incur an avalanche of subsequent insult postings (along with failure to give details). He will bully all who do not accept that.

All of us are somebody and each of us is unique. We can grow and learn by sharing experiences, data, results...or we can engage in countless, mindless flame wars ignited by those who cannot handle strong opposition to certain opinions. But, personal insults exist and some must be dealt with as in the spiteful postings of Steven James.

He wants to ridicule others for having worked for what they have. As one example, he ridicules my long period of formal college education as a "night school" (in the manner of remedial language lessons put on by some local communities). Those "night school" classes were fully accredited college courses. Working a full day and then going to class at least three nights a week is hard work...worse on work overtime or having to cancel a whole semester due to the company sending me on field trips. Such is denigrated, made fun of by him...as if one going to "night school" was "stupid, ignorant, or slothful."

Steven James repeatedly made fun of my Army assignment a half century ago, saying "I embellished my 'military career' in exaggerating things and 'dishonoring' my unit members." I'd mentioned Army station ADA in regards to showing that the military did not use "CW" for massive long-haul traffic a half century ago. Steven James insists that I "brag" about that even though I've not only got written documentation (and some Signal Corps official photographs of me at the station) plus have a personal reference (Gene Rosenbaum, N2JTV) who was assigned there during my time, plus mentions in the Pacific Stars & Stripes military newspaper. It seems that operating a large military HF radio station is "less" than operating a single ham transmitter (by "CW" on HF, of course) today. Not only that, some PCTA extras claim that operating a military radio is "not really operating a radio!" Certainly not a powerful (100 W) complicated (MOPA) ham transmitter (with many knobs and dials, etc.) using that "universal language" of morse code (in the best amateur manner a la 1930s standards and practices).

I won't go into Steven James' vile insults about my wife other than to say that the University of Illinois (a center for computer research) at Urbana is NOT a "correspondence school" taking out ads in newsstand pulp magazines.

>> Poor Stevie, all confused again as to what is "right or wrong"
>> and personal fantasy versus reality. Thinks all who oppose
>> his opinions are "wrong," "liars," "untruthful," etc., etc.
>>
>> Widdle Stevie is nutso.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Indeed.

It's unfortunate that this newsgroup delves into personality battles
so often...or into petty arguments on definitions which have been
made years ago (such as on-off keyed CW is "not" binary in the
newest argument-for-argument sake). SUBJECTS should be
discussed, not the personalities of correspondents.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1761. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 23:36:09 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <JfuSd.33627$xt.2074@fed1read07>
Source record: 103277

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1109026328.348139.84110@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> Well lets look at some "efficiencies"
>> Source
>> http://my.core.com/~jematz/digicomp.html
>>
>> SSB 250 wpm but about 150 WPM is the most comfortable
> speech
>> speed for humans
>> CW 30 + wpm Skilled ops can do higher of course
>> Hell 25 wpm
>> WSJT 7 wpm
>> PSK31 30 wpm
>> RTTY 60 wpm
>>
>> The latest "hi tech" Ham mode, PSK31 is 30 WPM -- so is the
> ancient one -
>> Morse and the other ancient one - RTTY is 60 WPM
>>
>> When I go down south I can send Morse faster than most folks down
> there
>> talk -- Just kiddin
>> No offense intended -- I like slooww talkin - tis relaxin
>
> That's not what Larry Roll/K3LT says about southern hams.
>
>> Most New Yorkers talk faster than the rest of us can engage brain --
> (;-)
>>
>> For SSB -- Since we can read a lot faster than we can speak -- SSB
> is
>> pretty inefficient -- huh?
>>
>> Anyway -- what's the big hurry??
>>
>> --
>> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
>
> It is simply not an efficient use of my time to become proficient with
> it (> 10wpm), nor will any other service fool with it anymore. If the
> mode had been worthwhile, the Coast Guard and Western Union would have
> paid (illegal) immigrants to pass traffic cheaply. Or outsourced it to

> India.
>

Glad you said YOUR time -- don't impose this excuse on folks who enjoy CW.

Whether the military or WU use Morse or not has no bearing on the fact that Morse is just another ham communication mode that many enjoy.

And many people who type at less than 30 wpm are pecking away on the internet. Is that an efficient use of time ???

BTW; How fast do you type ????

Caveat Lector

1762. Re: Q-Signals On Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-21 23:37:56 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <ohuSd.33628$xt.21862@fed1read07>
Source record: 103278

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1109026631.808565.58510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> robert casey wrote:
>> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> >>Someone wrote;
>> >>Q codes are for morse only. People who use Q codes on voice or text
> are
>> >>boring.
>> >
>> >
>> > I agree that generally there is no reason to use Q-codes on voice.
>> >
>>
>> Well I use phonetics on CW. ;-)
>
> So do I. Sending CW is so much fun that I spell everything out
> phonetically in Morse Code.
>
> For instance, CQ is sent "c h a r l e y q u e b e c" It just makes
> the good times last longer.
>
An efficient use of your time I assume (;-)

1763. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 00:31:34 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nuadnZ1uEZLx4IffRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103279

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in

<stuff sinpped for trying to figure out who said what....>

>>>>Yeah, for me too! 6 months of daily studying, one failed test,
>>>>and finally passing it. I think that my brain processes audio differently
>>>>than does those people with normal hearing.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I have not posted this point for a long time, as it provokes extreme
>>>reactions from the pro code test lobby, but I can beat your 6 months.
>>>It took me 22 years. Can you honestly wonder that I feel the way I do?
>>
>> That is quite a long time. What was the reason that it took you 22
>>years? My reason was hearing problems, and a presumed mental processing
>>of sound deficit.
>>
>
>
> I don't know. My hearing is OK as far as I know.
>
>
>> What was the total study time?
>
>
> Hard to say. I gave up completely over and over again, but I kept coming
> back to it because I still wanted to get on HF.
>
>
>>>>>If it wasn't a hazing process, then I'm a Dutchman
>>>>
>>>>Don't take it personally! Some people learn Morse pretty easily, and
>>>>for some it is hard. Is it a hazing process if it is easy? Same goes
>>>>for the writtens. I can assure you that no group of Hams ever sat down
>>>>and said "Let's give this Coslo guy a rough time and make him learn
>>>>Morse code".
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>> As a guy who can't "hear" people unless he can see the mouth of
>>>>>>the person speaking, I have just a little trouble figuring out the
>>>>>>problem with normal people for which the test is too hard to make it

>>>>>>worth getting a license.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> But it is! Witness all those who are dropping off the ranks when
>>>>>> their license expires.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I predict the next tack of the NCI's is that not allowing the
>>>>>> codeless Techs HF access is why they aren't renewing their
>>>>>> license.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Certainly that must be true of some of them. What proportion, I
>>>>>couldn't say.
>>>>
>>>> That would certainly be an interesting outlook for a person. Let
>>>> us say
>>>>that a person became a ham in 1994, and has a combined intense
>>>>interest in operation below 30 MHz, and deep seated conviction against
>>>>Morse code testing, leading to refusal to take the Element 1 test.
>>>>
>>>> Somehow doesn't ring true.
>>>
>>>
>>>It was true enough of me, although I became a no-code ham in 1980 (in
>>>the UK), more or less in defeat at having tried unsuccessfully to
>>>learn Morse code ever since 1970, and passed a code test in 1992.
>>>
>>>Getting a no-code licence was something I only did because I was
>>>resigned to not getting the HF access that I wanted. It was a case of
>>>thinking it was silly to stay off the air altogether just because I
>>>couldn't get on HF, and it took me a long time, i.e. 10 years, to
>>>grudgingly reach that conclusion.
>>>
>>>Eventually passing the code test was helped by software that didn't
>>>exist back in 1970, and the help of dear friends who took turns to
>>>send slow CW transmissions several times a week that I knew were being
>>>done mainly just for my benefit. Sure, others tuned in, but they
>>>stopped sending them when I passed! I owe them a great deal.
>>>
>>>Here's another interesting fact. I was teaching ham radio classes for
>>>years before I passed the bleeping code!
>>>
>>>If none of this rings true, I can assure that every word is the truth.
>>
>> I believe you. And you didn't do what my hypothetical Technician
>> did
>>either. You kept with it an eventually passed
>>
>
>
> Eventually is right

>
>
>>>As I said, all of this has been posted here before, but not recently.
>>>My own history hasn't proved as effective as an argument as simply
>>>pointing out that none of the arguments in favour of retaining code
>>>testing hold as much water as a leaky bucket!
>>
>> Except here is what I see as the difference. You had difficulties
>> with
>>Element one, and so did I. You want the test eliminated because you had
>>a hard time of it. I don't want the test removed just because of my
>>personal trouble with it.
>>
>
>
> Six months isn't that long though, is it?

Well, we'd have to adjust it to compare with your metric. My six months
was an intense study period after several fits and starts. I'd probably
have to adjust it to a couple years to compare with your time, as I
passed my GEneral ~ 2 years after I passed my Technician. While I was
studying for the Technician test, I also studied for Element 1.

>
>> We all have walls to climb in life. Some peoples walls are higher
>> than
>>others. I'll climb my own walls, and not try to change everyone elses
>>walls. YMMV.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>> I think that those who want to get rid of Element one testing
>>>> would be
>>>>better off to not try that argument.
>>>>
>>>>That would be reminiscent of the old "Jump Frog" joke!
>>>>
>>>
>>>Except that the circumstances I describe never struck me as a joke.
>>
>> I had enough problems that it was no joke to me either. But my
>> point
>>wasn't about the test specifically, it was the conclusion that people
>>reach regarding Morse code testing and the people coming into or
>>leaving the ARS.
>>
>
>
> I think it's probably true that having the no-code licence has increased
> turnover, but we don't know why. Nobody has done any research on this

> point.
>
> Doubtless some have used a no-code licence as a substitute for a cellphone.
> Doubtless some who had only a passing interest got a Tech licence and then
> moved on, but we have to factor in that they had no exposure to HF. I'm
> sure that others found that the 'consolation prize' of 50MHz and up wasn't
> enough of a consolation to bother renewing.
>
>
>> Just so you know what the joke was about:
>>
>>
>>
>> A scientist was conducting an experiment.
>>
>> He took a frog, and sat it on the floor. Then he said:
>>
>> "Jump, frog, Jump!"
>>
>> The frog jumps 6 feet.
>>
>> The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with four legs jumps 6
>> feet*.
>>
>> Then he cuts off one of the frog's legs...
>>
>> "Jump, frog, Jump!"
>>
>> The frog jumps 4 feet.
>>
>> The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with three legs jumps 4
>> feet*.
>>
>> He cuts off another leg.
>>
>> "Jump, frog, Jump!"
>>
>> The frog jumps 2 feet.
>>
>> The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with two legs jumps 2
>> feet*.
>>
>> Then he cuts off the third leg.
>>
>> "Jump, frog, Jump!"
>>
>> With a mighty struggle, the frog jumps 1 feet.
>>
>> The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with 1 leg jumps 1
>> foot*.

>>
>> Then he cuts off the final leg.
>>
>>"Jump, frog, Jump!"
>>
>> The frog just sits there.
>>
>>"Jump, frog, Jump!"
>>
>> The frog still just sits there.
>>
>>
>> The scientist writes in his notebook *Frog with no legs is deaf.
>>
>>
>
>
> Funny in a macabre sort of way, but hard to see the connection.

Back when I originally made the "Jump frog jump comment, it was about
people making an incorrect or bizzare conclusion from plain evidence.

Where people Might say that the No-Code technicians quit because The
had a license that didn't have Element 1 as a test requirement.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1764. Re: Len's Apology, Was Re: VEC rates to increase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 01:04:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109034267.560344.215100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103280

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> Brian has, on occassion, demonstrated some civil behaviour and
>> tolerance for others opinions and beliefs,
>
>Always.

True enough, Brian. However, the PCTA Double Standard is
operative in here and they regard any lack of positive support for
Their opinions as "uncivil and intolerant." :-)

>>and on those occassions
>> we've gotten along just fine...but then he goes right back into the
>> LennieMode and "fight's on".
>
>I don't tolerate bullies very well. You, Steve Robeson, are a bully.
>I called you on your bullishness, and you turned back into a raving
>lunatic.

That's also true enough but the Double Standard allows all
PCTA extras to behave in any way they choose, uncluding
the (archived) uncivility, bullying, personal insults, etc. :-)

Poor guy...keeps trying a consistent misdirection: Repeating
all criticsm of him by others into "his" criticism against others.
It's fairly plain to all readers of this newsgroup...and has a
negative effect...on him, not us.

>All archived in google, waiting for the next background investigation
>update for medical personnel with access to narcotics.

That's the double-edged sword (like Damocles') which can
cut both ways. Poor guy doesn't understand that HIS posts
are stored there as well.

But, his self-delusion is so blinding that he never sees
himself in any wrongdoing...it is always "others" doing
wrong. :-)

He simply can't stand any opinions contrary to his. Tsk.

Worse, he appears to be going nuts.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1765. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 01:07:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109034435.992982.238430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103281

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > bb wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > NEWS FLASH! -

>> How can you correct "fiction"...???
>
>Oh, good! You're back on your meds.

I think you wrote too soon, Brian... :-)

>> Even the dullest of intellects realize the initial post to be
>> sarcasm and spoof.
>
>Or are you? Which Steve Robeson is this?

Same old spiteful standard issue...

>> Well...MOST of the dullest...YOU seem to take it verbatim.
>>Silly Rabbit...
>
>Ahhhh. The Road Runner Steve Robeson.
>
>Walter Mitty was brilliant. Steve is just nuts.

Psychotic might be the diagnosis, but that is for those who
are qualified. Sociopathic is identifiable by anyone and that
would apply to him...definitely sociopathic to all who have
opinions contrary to his. He "does no wrong" (in his fantasy)
therefore any criticsm of him is...you guessed it..."wrong."
Or it is a "lie" or equally heinous capital crime. :-)

Crude humor is still crude humor and that posting was crude.
Worse, it was deliberately insulting, no mistake about it.
But, in Stevieworld, that is "okay." :-)

Beep-beep

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1766. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 01:11:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <n4idnTrVTKY4G4ffRVn-tQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103282

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>news:XaqdnTfG34LDmITfRVn-uQ@adelphia.com:
>>
>>
>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>>>news:UKmdnY534OZ9JYvfRVn-uA@adelphia.com:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>>>>>news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:
>>>>>
>>>>><some snippage>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>> I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but
>>>>>>> lets say
>>>>>>>in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a
>>>>>>> woman not knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning herself
>>>>>>> when trying to hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry Phil, but
>>>>>>>what if she simply ruined her dress because the coffee was wet?-
>>>>>>>negligent design of the cup?)

I wrote a lot of the stuff you are commenting on, Jim. It's a hazard of
us not trimming threads!
>
> The case centered around the fact that the coffee was *extremely* and
> unreasonably hot.

Ask 10 people, and you'll get ten different answers if that was the
question. I assume that anything in a styro cup is Hot, until I can
examine it.

>>>>>>>So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt
>>>>>>>rig, and is half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey
>>>>>>> thingies on the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful
>>>>>>>lawsuits already!
>
> So what?
>
> There's no license required to operate houshold appliances, nor power
> tools, which can be extremely dangerous. There's no skills test to pump your
> own gasoline. Or to climb a ladder.
>
>>>>>>> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a
>>>>>>> painful burn and a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that
>>>>>>> catches a ride on a thousand watts might just have a very successful
>>>>>>> lawsuit if we don't train them well.
>
>
> Who are they going to sue?

The manufacturers of equipment, the VEC that administered the test. Find
some deep pockets and sue, sue, sue.

As a little example of the mindset, you might recall an accident along
I-80 last year, a few miles from my QTH. Huge horrible pileup, many
vehicles, many people killed, and a fiery mess that took a long time to
clean up. The accident was related to a snow squall that blew up
unexpectedly, and the excessive speed that the whole group was traveling
at. While no charges were filed against anyone at the time, the families
of the deceased are filing suit against the truck drivers *and* the
companies they worked for. Hopefully the trucking companies have a good
safety program.

And on what grounds, compared to other
> electronic devices?

Most of my appliances have warnings on them of electric shock potential,
or of cutting, burning, whatever dangers also. There is a reason why
they are there.

Nobody can be protected completely from a lawsuit. But if you are sued,
you are well served to have forewarned potential litigation adversaries
of the possible dangers of the devices they may use.

>
>>>>>>>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one
>>>>>>> should be a Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to
>>>>>>> handle full legal limit.
>
>
> The reason for the RF safety questions is to prevent exposing *others*
> to a hazard.
>
> And the FCC has determined that the RF safety requirements of the

> Tech test are adequate for hams who use up to 1500 W power output on
> "meat-cooking frequencies".
>
>
>>>>>>> And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or
>>>>>>> some other weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things
>>>>>>> such as Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a
>>>>>>> second to drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the
>>>>>>> control op can react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full
>>>>>>> power safety requirements. Anything else is criminally negligent.
>
>
> But they are already tested on full-power requirements.

Yoiks! We're doing major time/subject shifting here, Jim! My comments several iterations of the thread ago were in relation to possible changing of test requirements, ala the W5YI proposal, where the newcomers are given a much simpler test, and things that I consider critically important, such as not having your hobby kill ya, would be dropped from the testing.

Everyone may disagree, but that's too bad.

<Rest snipped>

- Mike KB3EIA -

1767. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 01:12:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109034751.687499.319770@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103283

>> Tsk, tsk. The angry little Steven James cries and cries some
>> more, "blaming" all others for not seeing little Stevie's "humor"
>> which was nothing more than the usual libelous filth outpouring
>> from a kid who can't take the heat of discussing subjects.
>
> No "blaming", Lennie.
>
> You attempted to make a point about errors, and in the process
>uttered your own errors.

What were those...specifically? Tsk, little Steven James has
to accuse-accuse-accuse in generalities, can't identify the
alleged "errors."

> You made a mistake. It's there for all to see. That's not
>"blaming".

Tsk, tsk. I didn't steal a very old newspaper filler joke.

I didn't rewrite it (badly) with definite insult targeting
of an individual.

I wouldn't make a mistake on my wife's name, but little
Steven James fabricated a "new" name, an ugly one, complete
with a mistake on both spelling and gender.

Guess who fabricated an insulting "story" and posted it,
in a separate thread which had nothing to do with amateur
radio policy?

>> > The lack of humor comes from your lack of respect for her
acting
>> >the way you do in public.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk. Another LIE in the heap of libelous filth babbled by
>> little Steven James. In public.
>
> It's not a lie, Lennie.

Tsk, tsk, little Stevie fabricated a falsehood, an entire posting
worth!

> You persistently lie in public.

Poor stevie (lower case) posts a complete falsehood, then gets
upset with complaints. Boo hoo, let's all feel so sorry for little

stevie because the target of his ugly little insulting fabrication
didn't like it!

> You humiliate your family name.

Now THAT is a new one! :-)

Little stevie is reallly reaching for bad things to say. Tsk, his
uncreative little mind can only come up with that falsehood!

>> > So far, you've not proved any of my allegations as inaccurate.
>> >It's been several years.
>>
>> More LIES by little Steven James.
>>
>> No one can disprove something that doesn't exist.
>
> Then why can't you prove that your "work" was original?

Little stevie is starting to flip out. Since when was THAT
charge necessary to refute an ugly little fabricated joke
that was a personal insult against both me and my wife?

Little stevie is going insane, apparently.

>> Miraculously, little Stevie NOW claims that I "copied all of
>> it from others!" That is utter nonsense. Little Stevie has NO
>> such proof, no such references, just a blanket general
>> statement which is one more filthy little piece of the trash
>> he hurls at those who oppose him in public. Poor baby.
>
> No "poor baby".
>
> You have yet to DISprove anything I have said.

"Disprove" manuscript content that was purchased by
professional editors and publishers, checked by their
staff (including attornies), and then printed before little
stevie's fabricated personal insult "joke" appeared here?

"Disprove" the work that I did for employers spanning
half a century? Tsk, tsk, I've given the names and
locations of my former employers in here. ANY reader
could contact them and their personnel departments to
confirm that...independently of myself by true research
methods. I've given the name and ham callsign of one
of the best managers I've ever had in here. I've given
the names and ham callsigns of two others who were
friends of mine, one of which was Best Man at my
wedding.

What does little stevie come up as a "witness for
badness at work" against me? A NO-name, unidentified

individual that "once worked at NADC" and who can "verify" little stevie's "charge." In other words, a fabrication of his, a LIE. [I never worked for the Navy and was at NADC as an employee of RCA Corporation some 33 years ago]

Little stevie is coming undone in mental stability, needs help from qualified medical doctors to attempt making him stable. I don't believe medical science is advanced that far but remain optimistic that doctors can cure him.

Good luck, doctors!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1768. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 02:58:20 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.icad980.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103284

On Sun, 20 Feb 2005 22:25:15 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:

>N9OGL ADVANCE PACKET NETWORK
>
>
>The Advanced Amateur Radio Packet Network (AARPN) will NOT be setup like the
>known packet system. The network will be set up like this; One centralized
>node will serve a community and the surrounding area. An amateur opeator
>would click on a Peer to Peer (P2P) icon on their computers and it will
>connect them to the centralized node. An amateur would then would type in
>the search engine in the P2P software what they are looking for like a Icom
>for sale or a tech manual. This system has a huge advantage over the old
>system. first it would be operating at 1 to 11megabits per second. Second
>there would be no fowarding because if you have something to sale like a
>radio, you can but a little description and put it in your share file
>folder.Or a tech manual you would also put it in your share file folder. The
>centralized node would be hooked up to the internet and the internet would
>be used as a high speed "backbone" systerm. This in turn would connecting
>all the radio nodes together. Other applications like email, chat and later
>virtual reality programs could be used.

All you have described is a wireless WAN - no big deal, it's in use
in large industrial campuses such as between the three Intel Corp.
facilities in Washington County here. There even is a ham band not
that far from the commercial microwave band which they use. It
ain't gonna' work on 2 meters, though. You need far too much rf
bandwidth.

>Girly you say, well I would this
>system over any system. Like I said you whiney like bitch whore I fucking
>dare you come up with something different.

Here's where you lose any credibility that you may have had. Grow
up if you want to be listened to.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

1769. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 02:58:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1109041128.299486.95380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103285

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Caveat Lector wrote:
> > I recall many times -- one guy sent a four letter word over and
over
> again
> > in CW with no ID -- local Hams found him turned him in
> > Gee what was he thinking -- no ID and obsentities - probably had
TVI
> too
> > (;-(
> >
> When was that?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> > --

There's always been "monkey business" here and there in the "CW bands". The notion held by some that the CW bands are some sort of pristine Radio Nirvana where there are no sinners is complete nonsense and/or wishful thinking. Go all the way back to the 1950s around 3.505 and thereabouts on cold midnites when one could almost hear all the guys listening for some DX to pop up. Got tiresome but we hung in. On any number of occasions some bush-league miscreant would get tired of listening to the noise floor and just for the hell of it would decide to generate a pileup. I dunno how many times I worked "6L6GB". "QSL via the buro". Yeah, right. The W9 buro. Even Jack VE1ZZ the Lord High Commissioner of 80M dxing couldn't resist jumping into those frays if they were really good ones.

w3rv

1770. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 03:08:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109041724.420015.169400@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103286

Caveat Lector wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1109026328.348139.84110@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> > It is simply not an efficient use of my time to become proficient
with
> > it (> 10wpm), nor will any other service fool with it anymore. If
the
> > mode had been worthwhile, the Coast Guard and Western Union would
have
> > paid (illegal) immigrants to pass traffic cheaply. Or outsourced
it to
> > India.
> >
>
> Glad you said YOUR time -- don't impose this excuse on folks who
enjoy CW.

I'm glad that you enjoy the mode, but don't impose your favorite mode
as a barrier to HF access to the rest of the USA.

> Whether the military or WU use Morse or not has no bearing on the
fact that
> Morse is just another ham communication mode that many enjoy.

I think it does when that "just another" communication mode is
pass/fail entry to HF.

> And many people who type at less than 30 wpm are pecking away on the
> internet. Is that an efficient use of time ???

RRAP is a complete waste of time. It's been a while since anyone
actually wanted to talk about code.

> BTW; How fast do you type ????
>
> Caveat Lector

I haven't been clocked in years. Last time was ~45wpm about 1985. Had
to prove I could type to get on the mainframe. Teletypes and IBM card
cutters kind of screwed up my regular keyboard ability etx

1771. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 03:11:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109041901.355667.213300@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103287

k...@dvol.com wrote:
>
> There's always been "monkey business" here and there in the "CW
bands".
> The notion held by some that the CW bands are some sort of pristine
> Radio Nirvana where there are no sinners is complete nonsense and/or
> wishful thinking. Go all the way back to the 1950s around 3.505 and
> thereabouts on cold midnites when one could almost hear all the guys
> listening for some DX to pop up. Got tiresome but we hung in. On any
> number of occasions some bush-league miscreant would get tired of
> listening to the noise floor and just for the hell of it would decide
> to generate a pileup. I dunno how many times I worked "6L6GB". "QSL
> via the buro". Yeah, right. The W9 buro. Even Jack VE1ZZ the Lord
High
> Commissioner of 80M dxing couldn't resist jumping into those frays if
> they were really good ones.
>
> w3rv

Welp, there you have it.

1772. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 03:46:33 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <tWxSd.3493$Ba3.1485@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103288

I dunno how many times I worked "6L6GB". "QSL
> via the buro". Yeah, right.

ROTFLMAO!

You probably did work someone who had a 6L6GB vacuum tube
final in his transmitter..... :-)

Others:
6u6gt
6w6gt
6w4gta
6y6ga
6k5gt
6k8gt
6j5gt
6a8gt
5z4gt
5x4ga
5r4gyb
5u4gb
3q5gt
2w3gt

1773. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 03:52:55 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <421aad3d$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103289

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.icad980.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Sun, 20 Feb 2005 22:25:15 -0600, Todd Daugherty wrote:
>

I believe those wifi systems are using Spread Spectrum system, But only
problem with those wifi system would come up a way to keep non-amateurs out.
As I stated in my previous post this system, I think this system would work
better for amateur radio because it would eliminate fowarding and would
allow a variety more stuff in the amateur service. Stuff that wasn't allowed
due to the speed problem; including a online library with a mass variety of
tech books.

s

Todd N9OGL

> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
> Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon
>
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1774. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 03:55:23 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9604E8DA18D55elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103290

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
news:421A2169.11A179F2@earthlink.net:

> "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>>
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>> news:MIKdnZjE072JsYTfRVn-1A@adelphia.com:
>>
>> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >
>> >> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>> >> news:XaqdnTfG34LDmITfRVn-uQ@adelphia.com:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>> >>>>news:UKmdnY534OZ9JYvfRVn-uA@adelphia.com:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>> >>>>>>news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:
>> >
>> >> That is quite a long time. What was the reason that it took you
>> >> 22
>> >> years? My reason was hearing problems, and a presumed mental
>> >> processing of sound deficit.
>> >>
>>
>> I don't know. My hearing is OK as far as I know.
>>
>> > What was the total study time?
>>
>> Hard to say. I gave up completely over and over again, but I kept
>> coming back to it because I still wanted to get on HF.
>
> I guess I really don't understand the mindset that allows one to give
> up repeatedly at something one really wants. There were times that I

> found the going tough, whether in trying to improve my morse speed or
> in trying to learn some bit of theory but I never thought of quitting.
>

Uh, duh. Try because I couldn't do it. Hitting your head against a wall is
something one ought not to prolong endlessly. Sometimes it's better to go
away and do something else. I have realised from these kind of discussions
that you will never in a million years be able to comprehend just how hard
I found it, which is why I have avoided reposting this until now.

>> >> Here's another interesting fact. I was teaching ham radio classes
>> >> for years before I passed the bleeping code!
>> >>
>> >> If none of this rings true, I can assure that every word is the
>> >> truth.
>> >
>> > I believe you. And you didn't do what my hypothetical
>> > Technician did
>> > either. You kept with it an eventually passed
>> >
>>
>> Eventually is right
>
> But you did learn morse and pass the exam.
>

Oh yes, eventually. More than two decades to pass a code test to use HF
phone. That speaks for itself.

>> >
>> >> As I said, all of this has been posted here before, but not
>> >> recently. My own history hasn't proved as effective as an argument
>> >> as simply pointing out that none of the arguments in favour of
>> >> retaining code testing hold as much water as a leaky bucket!
>> >
>> > Except here is what I see as the difference. You had
>> > difficulties with
>> > Element one, and so did I. You want the test eliminated because you
>> > had a hard time of it. I don't want the test removed just because of
>> > my personal trouble with it.
>> >
>>
>> Six months isn't that long though, is it?
>
> No, it really isn't.
>
>> > We all have walls to climb in life. Some peoples walls are
>> > higher than
>> > others. I'll climb my own walls, and not try to change everyone
>> > elses walls. YMMV.
>

>> >> Except that the circumstances I describe never struck me as a joke.
>> >
>> > I had enough problems that it was no joke to me either. But my
>> > point
>> > wasn't about the test specifically, it was the conclusion that
>> > people reach regarding Morse code testing and the people coming into
>> > or leaving the ARS.
>> >
>>
>> I think it's probably true that having the no-code licence has
>> increased turnover, but we don't know why. Nobody has done any
>> research on this point.
>
> We can make some educated guesses.
>
>> Doubtless some have used a no-code licence as a substitute for a
>> cellphone. Doubtless some who had only a passing interest got a Tech
>> licence and then moved on, but we have to factor in that they had no
>> exposure to HF. I'm sure that others found that the 'consolation
>> prize' of 50MHz and up wasn't enough of a consolation to bother
>> renewing.
>
> See! You did make some educated guesses.
>
> ...and those folks never gave a thought to tackling morse code at 5 wpm
> to gain access to HF? They either had no curiousity about modes other
> than FM on 2m repeaters, no curiousity about the 6m band and what it
> offered or perhaps they couldn't muster enough enthusiasm to reach the
> next plateau.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

1775. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 04:09:05 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9604EB2B83633elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103291

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109009984.323422.143080
@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

<snip>
>> >
>> > Yeah, for me too! 6 months of daily studying, one failed test,
>> > and finally passing it. I think that my brain processes audio
>> > differently than does those people with normal hearing.
>
>>
>> I have not posted this point for a long time, as it provokes extreme
>> reactions from the pro code test lobby, but I can beat your 6 months.
>> It took me 22 years.
>
> The most extreme reactions I see are those of a few anticode types. Not
> you,
> Alun.
>
>> Can you honestly wonder that I feel the way I do?
>
> Why did it take 22 years?
>

I'm not sure I really know

> And didn't you wind up passing the 20 wpm test?

Yes, that's true. Once you get over about 11-12 wpm it's not much harder to
get to 20

>>
>> >> If it wasn't a hazing process, then I'm a Dutchman
>> >
>> > Don't take it personally! Some people learn Morse pretty
>> > easily, and for some it is hard. Is it a hazing process if it
>> > is easy? Same goes for the writtens.
>
> Exactly!
>
> Some people have a very hard time with math. Others with rote
> memorization
> of things like band edges.
>> >
>> > I can assure you that no group of Hams ever sat down and said
>> > "Let's
>> > give this Coslo guy a rough time and make him learn Morse code".

> >
>> >>> As a guy who can't "hear" people unless he can see the mouth
>> >>> of
>> >>>the person speaking, I have just a little trouble figuring out the
>> >>>problem with normal people for which the test is too hard to make
>> >>>it worth getting a license.
>> >>>
>> >>> But it is! Witness all those who are dropping off the ranks
>> >>> when their license expires.
>> >>>
>> >>> I predict the next tack of the NCI's is that not allowing the
>> >>> codeless Techs HF access is why they aren't renewing their
>> >>> license.
>> >>>
>> >> Certainly that must be true of some of them. What proportion, I
>> >> couldn't say.
>> >
>> > That would certainly be an interesting outlook for a person.
>> > Let us say
>> > that a person became a ham in 1994, and has a combined intense
>> > interest in operation below 30 MHz, and deep seated conviction
>> > against Morse code testing, leading to refusal to take the Element
>> > 1 test.
>> >
>> > Somehow doesn't ring true.
>>
>> It was true enough of me, although I became a no-code ham in 1980 (in
>> the UK), more or less in defeat at having tried unsuccessfully to
>> learn Morse code ever since 1970, and passed a code test in 1992.
>>
>> Getting a no-code licence was something I only did because I was
>> resigned to not getting the HF access that I wanted. It was a case of
>> thinking it was silly to stay off the air altogether just because I
>> couldn't get on HF, and it took me a long time, i.e. 10 years, to
>> grudgingly reach that conclusion.
>
> WHat were the tesrt requirements in the UK then? Here in the USA, the
> Novice
> and Tech were 5 wpm in that time period.

12 wpm random groups with 96% copy

>>
>> Eventually passing the code test was helped by software that didn't
>> exist back in 1970, and the help of dear friends who took turns to
>> send slow CW transmissions several times a week that I knew were being
>> done mainly just for my benefit. Sure, others tuned in, but they
>> stopped sending them when I passed! I owe them a great deal.
>
> Code training software for PCs was common here in EPA by the early
> 1980s. I still have old copies that run on DOS 3.2..

>
> In the 1970s and 1980s, HF was full of non-amateur Morse operation. And
> the
> now-changed treaty required code tests.
>>
>> Here's another interesting fact. I was teaching ham radio classes for
>> years before I passed the bleeping code!
>>
>> If none of this rings true, I can assure that every word is the truth.
>
> But why did it take you so long, Alun?
>
> What study methods did you use?

Early on, mainly just listening to slow Morse transmissions

>
> And note that here in the USA, full privileges have been available
> with just a 5 wpm code test *since 1990*. Of course a medical waiver
> was needed before 2000, but all such a waiver required was a simple
> letter from a medical doctor.
>>
>> As I said, all of this has been posted here before, but not recently.
>> My own history hasn't proved as effective as an argument as simply
>> pointing out that none of the arguments in favour of retaining code
>> testing hold as much water as a leaky bucket!
>
> Apply you anticodetest arguments to the written tests. Tell us why most
> of the written tests must remain. Heck, NCVEC is already trying to
> trash the writtens even more...
>
> Is it *really* so unreasonable to require Element 1? Particularly
> considering the training aids and accomodations now available?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?

1776. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 09:47:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109065656.859950.28030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103292

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109009984.323422.143080
> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
> <snip>
> >> >
> >> > Yeah, for me too! 6 months of daily studying,
> >> > one failed test,
> >> > and finally passing it. I think that my brain
> >> > processes audio
> >> > differently than does those people with normal hearing.

> >> I have not posted this point for a long time, as it provokes > >>
extreme
> >> reactions from the pro code test lobby, but I can beat your > >> 6
months.
> >> It took me 22 years.
> >>
> > The most extreme reactions I see are those of a few anticode > >
types. Not
> > you,
> > Alun.
> >
> >> Can you honestly wonder that I feel the way I do?
> >>
> > Why did it take 22 years?
> >
>
> I'm not sure I really know

I gather that it was a lot of stops and starts, not 22 years of
constant effort.

> > And didn't you wind up passing the 20 wpm test?
>
> Yes, that's true. Once you get over about 11-12 wpm it's not
> much harder to get to 20

Which says to me it was more a matter of training method than
of the subject matter being "hard".
>
> >> >> If it wasn't a hazing process, then I'm a Dutchman
> >> >
> >> > Don't take it personally! Some people learn Morse pretty
> >> > easily, and for some it is hard. Is it a hazing process if

it
> >> > is easy? Same goes for the writtens.
> >
> > Exactly!
> >
> > Some people have a very hard time with math. Others with rote
> > memorization
> > of things like band edges.
> >> >
> >> > I can assure you that no group of Hams ever sat down and said
> >> > "Let's
> >> > give this Coslo guy a rough time and make him learn Morse code".
> > >
> >> >>> As a guy who can't "hear" people unless he can see the
mouth
> >> >>> of
> >> >>>the person speaking, I have just a little trouble figuring out
the
> >> >>>problem with normal people for which the test is too hard to
make
> >> >>>it worth getting a license.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> But it is! Witness all those who are dropping off the
ranks
> >> >>> when their license expires.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> I predict the next tack of the NCI's is that not allowing
the
> >> >>> codeless Techs HF access is why they aren't renewing their
> >> >>> license.
> >> >>>
> >> >> Certainly that must be true of some of them. What proportion, I
> >> >> couldn't say.
> >> >
> >> > That would certainly be an interesting outlook for a
person.
> >> > Let us say
> >> > that a person became a ham in 1994, and has a combined intense
> >> > interest in operation below 30 MHz, and deep seated conviction
> >> > against Morse code testing, leading to refusal to take the
Element
> >> > 1 test.
> >> >
> >> > Somehow doesn't ring true.
> >>
> >> It was true enough of me, although I became a no-code ham in 1980
(in
> >> the UK), more or less in defeat at having tried unsuccessfully to
> >> learn Morse code ever since 1970, and passed a code test in 1992.
> >>

> >> Getting a no-code licence was something I only did because I was
> >> resigned to not getting the HF access that I wanted. It was a case
of
> >> thinking it was silly to stay off the air altogether just because
I
> >> couldn't get on HF, and it took me a long time, i.e. 10 years, to
> >> grudgingly reach that conclusion.
> >
> > WHat were the tesrt requirements in the UK then? Here in the USA,
the
> > Novice
> > and Tech were 5 wpm in that time period.
>
> 12 wpm random groups with 96% copy

The US requirements for hams have never been anywhere near so
stringent.

The *toughest* they ever were was 1 minute solid copy out of 5 minutes
- plain language. 5, 13 and 20 wpm. That's 20% accuracy! About 20-25
years ago, fill-in-the-blank and multiple choice were added.

> >>
> >> Eventually passing the code test was helped by software that
didn't
> >> exist back in 1970, and the help of dear friends who took turns to
> >> send slow CW transmissions several times a week that I knew were
being
> >> done mainly just for my benefit. Sure, others tuned in, but they
> >> stopped sending them when I passed! I owe them a great deal.
> >
> > Code training software for PCs was common here in EPA by the early
> > 1980s. I still have old copies that run on DOS 3.2..
> >
> > In the 1970s and 1980s, HF was full of non-amateur Morse operation.
And
> > the
> > now-changed treaty required code tests.
> >>
> >> Here's another interesting fact. I was teaching ham radio classes
for
> >> years before I passed the bleeping code!
> >>
> >> If none of this rings true, I can assure that every word is the
truth.
> >
> > But why did it take you so long, Alun?
> >
> > What study methods did you use?
>
> Early on, mainly just listening to slow Morse transmissions

And then what?

And if the test were only 5 wpm, and you had a choice of
1 minute solid copy or fill-in-the-blank with 70% being the
passing grade, how long would it have taken you to learn
enough to pass the test?
>
> >
> > And note that here in the USA, full privileges have been available
> > with just a 5 wpm code test *since 1990*. Of course a medical
waiver
> > was needed before 2000, but all such a waiver required was a simple
> > letter from a medical doctor.
> >>
> >> As I said, all of this has been posted here before, but not
recently.
> >> My own history hasn't proved as effective as an argument as simply
> >> pointing out that none of the arguments in favour of retaining
code
> >> testing hold as much water as a leaky bucket!
> >
> > Apply you anticodetest arguments to the written tests. Tell us why
most
> > of the written tests must remain. Heck, NCVEC is already trying to
> > trash the writtens even more...
> >
> > Is it *really* so unreasonable to require Element 1? Particularly
> > considering the training aids and accomodations now available?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY

> 5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?

A couple of reasons:

For the same reason hams have to pass written *theory* tests to
use *manufactured* rigs with no critical tuneup adjustments.

For the same reason hams have to pass written tests on VHF/UHF to
operate HF, high-power RF exposure questions to operate QRP, etc.

And because code is a big part of amateur radio, and a ham who doesn't
know any just isn't fully qualified.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1777. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 10:56:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1109069794.327835.326400@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103293

robert casey wrote:
> I dunno how many times I worked "6L6GB". "QSL
> > via the buro". Yeah, right.
>
> ROTFLMAO!
>
>
> You probably did work someone who had a 6L6GB vacuum tube
> final in his transmitter..... :-)

No doubt. But most of the serious 80M dxers in those days ran "suds", a lotta power, not just a lotta power but 'WAY too much power. Back then the max allowable power was one kW input vs. today's 1.5kW output. Any number of the Really Big Guns used homebrewed amps which tossed out a kilowatt's worth of heat alone. Or more. And those beasts weren't lossy linears either. Which is another example of blatent "impurity" in the CW bands. Offhand I'd be willing to bet that there are far fewer instances of busting the power limits today than there were back then. The FCC took the easy way out of having to bother with enforcing the power limits by roughly tripling the max allowable power input, clever labor-avoiding folk that they are. That move plus economics, the drying up of the WW2 parts sources and the need for linear amps have "solved" the excesss power problem and brought most of those bad old boys and their descendants into "compliance" today.

> Others:
> 6u6gt
> 6w6gt
> 6w4gta
> 6y6ga
> 6k5gt
> 6k8gt
> 6j5gt
> 6a8gt
> 5z4gt
> 5x4ga
> 5r4gyb
> 5u4gb
> 3q5gt
> 2w3gt

"Tube callsigns" were a favorite but there were others and some were a tad on the raunchy side. 4Q2?? showed up more than once. Whatever it took to get some giggles.

w3rv

1778. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 14:58:59 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cvfhbj$106g$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103295

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> 5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?

And there we have the crux of the situation, expressed in a simple question.

If a person wants to operate phone, and that is it, then what do they need to do that?

Nothing. The Citizen's Band is adequate proof of that

Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up. Presumably the only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT button.

But the problem is that the ARS isn't simply about operating phone. It is about all kinds of operating modes. It is about all manner of technical endeavors that we may engage in.

Operating phone is only one part of the hobby, and is arguably the easiest part to do, as we have all learned to talk, so the prerequisites have been met for most people.

The test requirements are there to give us some basic exposure to elements of the hobby that are considered important by knowledgeable people. While there may be argument about how well the tests function for that purpose, there they are.

And what of people who only intend to operate phone QRP? The RF exposure questions are kind of a waste of time for them. Satellite operations? Just how many Hams do satellite operations? Why test on band allocations, we can look them up in a book. Why should a person have to do any basic electronics questions if they only want to buy a rig and antenna and operate phone?

We can eventually argue away most of the test.

It is interesting that two people who have some similarities in experience can draw such different conclusions from that experience.

Whereas you have chosen to be bitter about your experience (bitter may be a bit strong of a word - at least you're not to happy about it) regarding Morse code testing, and I actually ended up being pleased that I was able to overcome my own physical limitations and pass the darn thing. I don't advocate changing the rules because I had trouble with one of them.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1779. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 15:06:33 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9605663DDCA07elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103294

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109065656.859950.28030
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109009984.323422.143080
>> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >> >
>> >> > Yeah, for me too! 6 months of daily studying, one failed test,
>> >> > and finally passing it. I think that my brain processes audio
>> >> > differently than does those people with normal hearing.
>
>> >> I have not posted this point for a long time, as it provokes > >>
>> >> extreme reactions from the pro code test lobby, but I can beat your
>> >> > >> 6 months. It took me 22 years.
>> >
>> > The most extreme reactions I see are those of a few anticode > >
>> > types. Not you, Alun.
>> >
>> >> Can you honestly wonder that I feel the way I do?
>> >
>> > Why did it take 22 years?
>> >
>>
>> I'm not sure I really know
>
> I gather that it was a lot of stops and starts, not 22 years of
> constant effort.
>
>> > And didn't you wind up passing the 20 wpm test?
>>
>> Yes, that's true. Once you get over about 11-12 wpm it's not much
>> harder to get to 20
>
> Which says to me it was more a matter of training method than
> of the subject matter being "hard".
>>
>> >> >> If it wasn't a hazing process, then I'm a Dutchman
>> >> >
>> >> > Don't take it personally! Some people learn Morse pretty
>> >> > easily, and for some it is hard. Is it a hazing process if
>> >> > it is easy? Same goes for the writtens.

>> >
>> > Exactly!
>> >
>> > Some people have a very hard time with math. Others with rote
>> > memorization of things like band edges.
>> >> >
>> >> > I can assure you that no group of Hams ever sat down and said
>> >> > "Let's
>> >> > give this Coslo guy a rough time and make him learn Morse code".
>> > >
>> >> >>> As a guy who can't "hear" people unless he can see the
>> >> >>> mouth of the person speaking, I have just a little trouble
>> >> >>> figuring out the problem with normal people for which the
>> >> >>> test is too hard to make
>> >> >>>it worth getting a license.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> But it is! Witness all those who are dropping off the ranks
>> >> >>> when their license expires.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> I predict the next tack of the NCI's is that not allowing
>> >> >>> the codeless Techs HF access is why they aren't renewing
>> >> >>> their license.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >> Certainly that must be true of some of them. What proportion, I
>> >> >> couldn't say.
>> >> >
>> >> > That would certainly be an interesting outlook for a person.
>> >> > Let us say
>> >> > that a person became a ham in 1994, and has a combined intense
>> >> > interest in operation below 30 MHz, and deep seated conviction
>> >> > against Morse code testing, leading to refusal to take the
>> >> > Element 1 test.
>> >> >
>> >> > Somehow doesn't ring true.
>> >>
>> >> It was true enough of me, although I became a no-code ham in 1980
>> >> (in the UK), more or less in defeat at having tried unsuccessfully
>> >> to learn Morse code ever since 1970, and passed a code test in
>> >> 1992.
>> >>
>> >> Getting a no-code licence was something I only did because I was
>> >> resigned to not getting the HF access that I wanted. It was a case
>> >> of thinking it was silly to stay off the air altogether just
>> >> because I couldn't get on HF, and it took me a long time, i.e. 10
>> >> years, to grudgingly reach that conclusion.
>> >
>> > WHat were the tesrt requirements in the UK then? Here in the USA,
>> > the Novice
>> > and Tech were 5 wpm in that time period.
>>

>> 12 wpm random groups with 96% copy
>
> The US requirements for hams have never been anywhere near so
> stringent.
>
> The *toughest* they ever were was 1 minute solid copy out of 5 minutes
> - plain language. 5, 13 and 20 wpm. That's 20% accuracy! About 20-25
> years ago, fill-in-the-blank and multiple choice were added.
>
>> >>
>> >> Eventually passing the code test was helped by software that didn't
>> >> exist back in 1970, and the help of dear friends who took turns to
>> >> send slow CW transmissions several times a week that I knew were
>> >> being done mainly just for my benefit. Sure, others tuned in, but
>> >> they stopped sending them when I passed! I owe them a great deal.
>> >
>> > Code training software for PCs was common here in EPA by the early
>> > 1980s. I still have old copies that run on DOS 3.2..
>> >
>> > In the 1970s and 1980s, HF was full of non-amateur Morse operation.
>> > And the now-changed treaty required code tests.
>> >>
>> >> Here's another interesting fact. I was teaching ham radio classes
>> >> for years before I passed the bleeping code!
>> >>
>> >> If none of this rings true, I can assure that every word is the
>> >> truth.
>> >
>> > But why did it take you so long, Alun?
>> >
>> > What study methods did you use?
>>
>> Early on, mainly just listening to slow Morse transmissions
>
> And then what?
>
> And if the test were only 5 wpm, and you had a choice of
> 1 minute solid copy or fill-in-the-blank with 70% being the
> passing grade, how long would it have taken you to learn
> enough to pass the test?
>>
>> >
>> > And note that here in the USA, full privileges have been available
>> > with just a 5 wpm code test *since 1990*. Of course a medical waiver
>> > was needed before 2000, but all such a waiver required was a simple
>> > letter from a medical doctor.
>> >>
>> >> As I said, all of this has been posted here before, but not
>> >> recently. My own history hasn't proved as effective as an argument
>> >> as simply pointing out that none of the arguments in favour of

>> >> retaining code testing hold as much water as a leaky bucket!
>> >
>> > Apply you anticodetest arguments to the written tests. Tell us why
>> > most of the written tests must remain. Heck, NCVEC is already trying
>> > to trash the writtens even more...
>> >
>> > Is it *really* so unreasonable to require Element 1? Particularly
>> > considering the training aids and accomodations now available?
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>> 5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?
>
> A couple of reasons:
>
> For the same reason hams have to pass written *theory* tests to
> use *manufactured* rigs with no critical tuneup adjustments.
>
> For the same reason hams have to pass written tests on VHF/UHF to
> operate HF, high-power RF exposure questions to operate QRP, etc.
>
> And because code is a big part of amateur radio, and a ham who doesn't
> know any just isn't fully qualified.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

I think we can agree to differ on that last point.

As a matter of fact, even directly after passing the US 20wpm test I couldn't have passed the UK 12wpm test.

5wpm is not too difficult, especially the way it is tested in the US, but until recently it only gave access to the 'novice' subbands in the US, all of which except for 10m didn't allow phone. From my PoV, it would only have given me 10m at that time. I never took 5.

I probably could have passed 5 when I came to the US, but I simply didn't realise how much easier the tests were here. Thinking it would have been as hard as a UK test I didn't bother to take it. I was operating above 30MHz on a 610A permit, and when the 'no code' licence was introduced I decided to get a US call. Having 'aced' the Novice and I think dropped one question in the Tech paper, I was given the General paper, for which I hadn't looked at the syllabus or question pool atall, and I passed that. Ditto the Advanced, but they didn't have a spare Extra paper. None of this really surprised me, as the UK B licence had the same theory as the A licence, and I have an EE degree anyway, but it surprised the VEs.

This gave me 12 months to pass 13wpm if I didn't want to have to take the General and Advanced theory again. With the help of computer software and slow Morse transmissions I did it in six months. Note that Mike got there

in that amount of time from scratch even with hearing problems, and it took me that long when I wasn't starting from the beginning, and there's no problem with my hearing. Also, I had a relay of all the VEs sending code on 2m five nights a week. They saw it a a challenge to teach me code. I almost passed 20, but I had to come back a couple of months later.

To get up to 13wpm meant copying whole characters instead of dits and dahs, no matter how easy the type of test. OK, so that's gone, but that means the remaining Element 1 doesn't test the ability to copy complete characters, so on the one hand it's relatively easy, but on the other hand it's pointless. Why preserve a test that doesn't test an adequate level of a skill as a requirement for access to a particular part of the spectrum, when there's no requirement to use that skill anyway? Tradition? That's a weak reason, but it seems to be the only one. Sure, 40% of HF may be CW, but I can (and do) operate 100% phone .

73 de Alun

1780. THE ULTRA ADVANCED PSYCHOTRONIC MONEY MAGNET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 15:38:14 UTC
From: ormusalchemy@aol.com
Message-ID: <1109086694.923165.36910@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103296

Life Technology Research International®
www.lifetechnology.org
email us at: ormusalchemy@aol.com for more information

The Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ Ultra Advanced Version 1.0
The Ultimate in Causal Engineering Technology
www.lifetechnology.org/ultramoneymagnet.htm

Through the aid of spiritual guidance given to us by the higher forces we have discovered a uniquely different and improved method of creating our well known Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ .The new method was delivered to us through an exceptionally gifted channel and we were astounded by the information that we were privileged to have received. According to the information the new method which we are adopting can raise the subtle energy characteristics and the manifesting capabilities of The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ more than one hundred fold.

According to numerous testimonials this powerful device has certainly proved that it has the power to change one's financial situation for the better in the shortest possible time, and it is without doubt the most powerful and successful money generating talisman of all time. The sole function of The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ is simply to create opportunities for it's owner to gain money and prosper financially. The longer one possesses this unique cosmic money magnet , the stronger it will become, and the more money it will draw to it's owner.

We have been using this device ourselves for some time now and experienced a massive increase in company income and profits just a few days after the first creation of The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ .We have been exceptionally blessed by the higher forces and in particular by the wisdom of The Archangel Mikhail.

Originally Life Technology Research International® had listed these devices as Psychotronic Generators☐ designed to be programmed for various different types of archetypal energy (trend) ,but when we became aware of the massive demand for the money and wealth programmed Psychotronic Generator☐ it was decided to being marketing The Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ as a specifically programmed stand alone device. It is only now that we have been given specific information to create a magical tool that will quite simply transform ones life.

Could The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ become the most powerful metaphysical tool ever created? It is our belief that the most

significant difference between this device and other forms of magickal talisman or life changing catalyst (eg NLP ,Huna , Hypnosis, Kaballah , Magick etc) is its passive mode of operation, ie no conscious input is required from the user, and unlike many other talismans the device will function optimally without any necessity for a certain belief system on the part of the user. The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet□ is so powerful in fact that it will override the belief system of the most hardened sceptic, and will reverse the mindset of the most pessimistic belief system.

Life Technology Research International® has taken this technology of 'Causal Engineering' and using methods based on the developmental work of Pavlita, Rifat , Fortune and many hours of Russian Psychotronics research we convert quartz crystals to Psychotronic Generators□. They amplify, produce and direct bioplasmic energy to modify quantum mechanical collapse, changing the course of events in the macroscopic world. This device uses a multiphase set of fields which apart from altering events, inculcate a theta rhythm of around 7 to 8 Hz, the natural theta frequency of the planet being 7.82. This frequency is the Schumann Resonance, the doorway to the world of causal engineering, ie consciously creating and manifesting ones desires.

The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet□ acts by establishing a new pattern of financial abundance in the subconscious and subtle energy fields of the user by harnessing the lifeforce and wealth enhancing powers of the Hebrew letters of the Kaballah. It is widely recognised in esoteric science and by academics such as James Hurtak and Stan Tenen among others that Hebrew letters through their specific mathematical structural geometry contain information that encodes life force energy, ie it provides an intelligent template for natural creative forces. It is these magical primal creative forces of The Kabbalah that we harness and focus in the preparation of The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet□

In the 1920's it was discovered that quartz crystals resonate at 32,768 khz. Seeing that the Great Pyramid of Khufu or Cheops Pyramid, is made mostly of limestone, which stone crystal matrix is mostly quartz, It is proposed that the entire pyramid operates as a conciously programmable cosmic biocomputer or radionics device, a massive Psychotronic Generator□ originally capable of harnessing and directing the focussed intent of the Egyptian priests who were its engineers .

The fact is that everything in the universe vibrates and oscillates. Therefore the connecting link between all forms of energy is vibration, sympathetic harmony and resonance. By studying and applying the principles of vibration we are enabled to see beyond material matter and into the primary creative forces of nature. Utilising the information from diverse fields such as Radionics, Psionics ,Psychotronics ,Quantum Physics ,Sacred Geometry, Sonics ,music and metaphysics has led to an understanding of how the human mind can interact creatively with the material universe.

Essentially, when the biotronic matrix of The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ interacts with the conscious will of the operator it causes subtle changes to occur in the microcosmic universe which translates to manifestation in the macrocosmic universe (real world).

This device will naturally induce a theta state in the user as it alters reality to make money, wealth, abundance and affluence etc. more probable. The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ is charged with the specific programming described above ,or may be programmed with your own specific intent. On request, Life Technology Research International® creates Psychotronic Generators☐ to generate any operational trend field which will facilitate the materialisation of any wish.

The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ may be used in each of the following ways:
Place on a desk or on a bedside table for passive functionality, no input from the user is required.The device is an ideal size to be carried in a pocket or kept in a handbag. It is better that the device should be kept as close as possible to the user, ideally within 2 meters.

The device may also be kept under a pillow while sleeping where archetypal information will permeate and influence the subconscious mind ,or held in the hands or placed on the body while meditating. While relaxing or meditating it is an excellent idea to hold the crystal in either or both hands and focus positively on areas of ones life where positive financial change could occur, ie ones job ,business practices ,salary level etc. Create a mental movie and visualise achieving a wealthy ,prosperous state of existence. In any form of conscious subtle energy manipulation, results will occur through the artificial enhancement of natural processes. It is therefore important to recognise the new opportunities that you will attract to your life which will direct you towards a new paradigm of wealth and prosperity.

Ordering
The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ supplied is the highest grade clear rock quartz . Please note that this is the much more powerful version of our other Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ for sale at our site and other auctions for $90. Each Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ comes with instructions for use. Each individually created Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ is priced at $180 US dollars. International shipping is priced at $10 US Dollars.
Shipping Insurance is optional.

Our 100% customer satisfaction rating at ebay indicates how pleased our customers have been since we began supplying our metaphysical products there. Please visit The Life Technology Ebay Store at http://stores.ebay.com/life-technology

This product may be of interest to students or practitioners of Alchemy ,Kabbalah, Reiki, Homeopathy, Healing, Buddhism, Shamanism, Pagansism, Rife Therapy, Chakra Therapy, Witchcraft, Antropology, Wicca, Magick, Huna, Hypnosis, Nlp, Rosicrucianism, Mysticism, Radionics, Psionics and Psychotronics etc.

For more information visit www.lifetechnology.org/ultramoneymagnet.htm

1781. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 15:41:40 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109086900.299193.96440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103297

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Caveat Lector wrote:
> > > I recall many times -- one guy sent a four letter word over and
> over
> > again
> > > in CW with no ID -- local Hams found him turned him in
> > > Gee what was he thinking -- no ID and obsentities - probably had
> TVI
> > too
> > > (;-(
> > >
> > When was that?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > > --
>
> There's always been "monkey business" here and there in the "CW
bands".

Of course!

> The notion held by some that the CW bands are some sort of pristine
> Radio Nirvana where there are no sinners is complete nonsense and/or
> wishful thinking.

I don't recall anybody saying they were perfect. Just a lot better
behaved.

> Go all the way back to the 1950s around 3.505 and
> thereabouts on cold midnites when one could almost hear all the guys
> listening for some DX to pop up. Got tiresome but we hung in. On any
> number of occasions some bush-league miscreant would get tired of
> listening to the noise floor and just for the hell of it would decide
> to generate a pileup. I dunno how many times I worked "6L6GB".

A certain other local OT DXer sez it was "5U4GB"

>"QSL
> via the buro". Yeah, right. The W9 buro.

Wrong end of the burro, too.

> Even Jack VE1ZZ the Lord High
> Commissioner of 80M dxing couldn't resist jumping into those frays if
> they were really good ones.

>
All of which was pretty harmless compared to, say, intentional jamming, cussing, threats, interference to genuine public service comms, etc.

Most of all - did FCC ever get involved? Were there complaints? Or was it just a bit of shenanigans on the QRG?

Look at the enforcement letters *today* and see what the charges are. And what modes are used. It ain't about a couple of CW DXers working a slim.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1782. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 15:55:27 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <oEISd.33735$xt.20111@fed1read07>
Source record: 103298

Oh gee golly whiz -- we changed the discussion from CW thruput to the code testing issue.
That one has been beat to pieces.
Pass

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1109041724.420015.169400@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1109026328.348139.84110@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>> > It is simply not an efficient use of my time to become proficient
> with
>> > it (> 10wpm), nor will any other service fool with it anymore. If
> the
>> > mode had been worthwhile, the Coast Guard and Western Union would
> have
>> > paid (illegal) immigrants to pass traffic cheaply. Or outsourced
> it to
>> > India.
>> >
>>
>> Glad you said YOUR time -- don't impose this excuse on folks who
> enjoy CW.
>
> I'm glad that you enjoy the mode, but don't impose your favorite mode
> as a barrier to HF access to the rest of the USA.
>
>> Whether the military or WU use Morse or not has no bearing on the
> fact that
>> Morse is just another ham communication mode that many enjoy.
>
> I think it does when that "just another" communication mode is
> pass/fail entry to HF.
>
>> And many people who type at less than 30 wpm are pecking away on the
>> internet. Is that an efficient use of time ???
>

> RRAP is a complete waste of time. It's been a while since anyone
> actually wanted to talk about code.
>
>> BTW; How fast do you type ????
>>
>> Caveat Lector
>
> I haven't been clocked in years. Last time was ~45wpm about 1985. Had
> to prove I could type to get on the mainframe. Teletypes and IBM card
> cutters kind of screwed up my regular keyboard ability etx
>

1783. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 16:11:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109088706.576066.237160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103299

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109065656.859950.28030
> @z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109009984.323422.143080
> >> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >> >
> >
> >> 5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?
> >
> > A couple of reasons:
> >
> > For the same reason hams have to pass written *theory* tests to
> > use *manufactured* rigs with no critical tuneup adjustments.
> >
> > For the same reason hams have to pass written tests on VHF/UHF to
> > operate HF, high-power RF exposure questions to operate QRP, etc.
> >
> > And because code is a big part of amateur radio, and a ham who
doesn't
> > know any just isn't fully qualified.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I think we can agree to differ on that last point.

Perhaps.

Do you agree that Morse code is a big part of amateur radio? Not that
it needs a test, but just that it is a big part of today's amateur
radio, particularly on HF?

> As a matter of fact, even directly after passing the US 20wpm test I
> couldn't have passed the UK 12wpm test.

Perhaps. But I thought we were discussing *US* code test requirements.
>
> 5wpm is not too difficult, especially the way it is tested in the US,
but
> until recently it only gave access to the 'novice' subbands in the
US, all

> of which except for 10m didn't allow phone. From my PoV, it would
only have
> given me 10m at that time. I never took 5.

Since 1990 it has been possible to get an Extra (or any other
HF-privileges amateur radio license) with just the 5 wpm code test and
a waiver. 15 years - hardly "recently".

> I probably could have passed 5 when I came to the US, but I simply
didn't
> realise how much easier the tests were here. Thinking it would have
been as
> hard as a UK test I didn't bother to take it.

The test procedures here aren't secret. Never were.

> I was operating above 30MHz
> on a 610A permit, and when the 'no code' licence was introduced I
decided
> to get a US call. Having 'aced' the Novice and I think dropped one
question
> in the Tech paper, I was given the General paper, for which I hadn't
looked
> at the syllabus or question pool atall, and I passed that. Ditto the
> Advanced, but they didn't have a spare Extra paper. None of this
really
> surprised me, as the UK B licence had the same theory as the A
licence, and
> I have an EE degree anyway, but it surprised the VEs.

Why should it? The US writtens were *never* very hard - if you knew a
little radio and some regs.

Back in 1968 I went for General at the FCC office in early summer. Did
not pass 13 wpm code because the examiner couldn't read my longhand.
Got credit for 5 wpm, took the written (which was same as General back
then), walked out with a Tech. Could not use the new privs until the
actual license arrived in the mail, though.

Went home, taught myself Signal-Corps-method block printing and more
practice until I could do 18 wpm W1AW bulletins solid. Went back and
passed 13 wpm code easily, sending and receiving.

Then the examiner says "why not try Advanced while you're here?". Now
in those days the Advanced was supposedly the toughest of the writtens,
with all sorts of math and circuits and such. But one did not say No to
The Man, so I tried, with zero preparation. Passed easily and wound up
with Advanced instead of General.

That was back before question pools, Bash books and computerized
practice tests. Didn't have an EE back then either - I was 14 years old
and it was the summer between 8th and 9th grades.

Two years later I went back to get the Extra. Would have been sooner
but in those days you had to have two years experience as General or
Advanced to even *try* the Extra.
>
> This gave me 12 months to pass 13wpm if I didn't want to have to take
the
> General and Advanced theory again. With the help of computer software
and
> slow Morse transmissions I did it in six months.

Bingo.

How long do you think it would have taken to get to 5 wpm, tested the
way the USA does?

> Note that Mike got there
> in that amount of time from scratch even with hearing problems, and
it took
> me that long when I wasn't starting from the beginning, and there's
no
> problem with my hearing. Also, I had a relay of all the VEs sending
code on
> 2m five nights a week. They saw it a a challenge to teach me code. I
almost
> passed 20, but I had to come back a couple of months later.
>
> To get up to 13wpm meant copying whole characters instead of dits and
dahs,
> no matter how easy the type of test. OK, so that's gone, but that
means the
> remaining Element 1 doesn't test the ability to copy complete
characters,
> so on the one hand it's relatively easy, but on the other hand it's
> pointless.

Not at all.

If the code uses Farnsworth spacing, you copy characters, not dits and
dahs. This isn't anything new - W1AW has been sending code practice
that way since at least 1966 (first time I heard it, anyway).

> Why preserve a test that doesn't test an adequate level of a
> skill as a requirement for access to a particular part of the
spectrum,
> when there's no requirement to use that skill anyway?

Same reason for written tests. Do the writtens guarantee that all who
pass can design/build/modify/repair/operate all amateur equipment they
are authorized to use? Or do they test basic knowledge?

5 wpm is basic Morse skill, that's all.

Why is it too much to ask?

> Tradition? That's a
> weak reason, but it seems to be the only one. Sure, 40% of HF may be
CW,
> but I can (and do) operate 100% phone .

And my HF operation is 99% CW on 80/40/20, with 100 watts or less output, yet I had to learn all kinds of stuff about high power, 'phone modes, RTTY, SSTV, other HF bands, VHF/UHF, etc. Most of that knowledge I've never needed, and some of it (like band edges) has changed since I took the test. So why did I have to learn all that in the first place, just to operate a QRP rig on 7015 CW?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1784. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 17:18:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <421B69A6.F5D1B31E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103300

robert casey wrote:
>
> I dunno how many times I worked "6L6GB". "QSL
> > via the buro". Yeah, right.
>
> ROTFLMAO!
>
> You probably did work someone who had a 6L6GB vacuum tube
> final in his transmitter..... :-)
>
> Others:
> 6u6gt
> 6w6gt
> 6w4gta
> 6y6ga
> 6k5gt
> 6k8gt
> 6j5gt
> 6a8gt
> 5z4gt
> 5x4ga
> 5r4gyb
> 5u4gb
> 3q5gt
> 2w3gt

Let's not leave out:

RG8U
F0OL
AP1RL
UR1TOO
VO1LA

Dave K8MN

1785. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 17:29:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109093365.641736.256390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103301

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >
> >>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> >>news:XaqdnTfG34LDmITfRVn-uQ@adelphia.com:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> >>>>news:UKmdnY534OZ9JYvfRVn-uA@adelphia.com:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> >>>>>>news:tuSdndsBw4QeGovfRVn-rw@adelphia.com:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>><some snippage>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>> I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it,
but
> >>>>>>> lets say
> >>>>>>>in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a
> >>>>>>> woman not knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning
herself
> >>>>>>> when trying to hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry
Phil, but
> >>>>>>>what if she simply ruined her dress because the coffee was
wet?-
> >>>>>>>negligent design of the cup?)
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>I wrote a lot of the stuff you are commenting on, Jim. It's a hazard
of
> >>>>>us not trimming threads!

Same points apply
> >
> > The case centered around the fact that the coffee was *extremely*
and
> > unreasonably hot.
>
> Ask 10 people, and you'll get ten different answers if that was the
> question. I assume that anything in a styro cup is Hot, until I can
> examine it.

But hot enough to give you 2nd degree burns?
>
> >>>>>>>So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt
> >>>>>>>rig, and is half fried because no one told him not to touch
the wirey
> >>>>>>> thingies on the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the
successful
> >>>>>>>lawsuits already!
> >
> > So what?
> >
> > There's no license required to operate houshold appliances, nor
power
> > tools, which can be extremely dangerous. There's no skills test to
pump your
> > own gasoline. Or to climb a ladder.
> >
> >>>>>>> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a
> >>>>>>> painful burn and a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger.
Some dunce that
> >>>>>>> catches a ride on a thousand watts might just have a very
successful
> >>>>>>> lawsuit if we don't train them well.
> >
> >
> > Who are they going to sue?
>
> The manufacturers of equipment, the VEC that administered the test.
Find
> some deep pockets and sue, sue, sue.

Then we better just give up, because there's no test to use a microwave
oven or a table saw.

One of the most dangerous substances the average person handles is
gasoline, yet there's no test for how to deal with it.

> As a little example of the mindset, you might recall an accident
along
> I-80 last year, a few miles from my QTH. Huge horrible pileup, many
> vehicles, many people killed, and a fiery mess that took a long time
to

> clean up. The accident was related to a snow squall that blew up
> unexpectedly, and the excessive speed that the whole group was
traveling
> at. While no charges were filed against anyone at the time, the
families
> of the deceased are filing suit against the truck drivers *and* the
> companies they worked for. Hopefully the trucking companies have a
good
> safety program.

If someone was following too close for conditions, shouldn't they be
liable?

> And on what grounds, compared to other
> > electronic devices?
>
> Most of my appliances have warnings on them of electric shock
potential,
> or of cutting, burning, whatever dangers also. There is a reason why
> they are there.

Same warnings are on modern ham gear, aren't they?

> Nobody can be protected completely from a lawsuit. But if you are
sued,
> you are well served to have forewarned potential litigation
adversaries
> of the possible dangers of the devices they may use.

Couple of stickers on the TS-50 and done. No need for a test, right?

> >>>>>>>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one
> >>>>>>> should be a Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the
ability to
> >>>>>>> handle full legal limit.

> > The reason for the RF safety questions is to prevent exposing
others
> > to a hazard.
> >
> > And the FCC has determined that the RF safety requirements of the
> > Tech test are adequate for hams who use up to 1500 W power output
on
> > "meat-cooking frequencies".

They're the *expert agency*, not the VEs or VECs. Heck, NCVEC wants to
lower the written exams - too much math and regs, sez they.

Shall we revisit "Amateur Radio in the 21st Century"? I wonder if Len
Anderson and Brian Burke have read that wonderful piece, and what they
think of it.

I recommend it to all. Tells ya what the next step is.

> >>>>>>> And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or
> >>>>>>> some other weird thing is the answer, are advised to think
about things
> >>>>>>> such as Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only
takes a
> >>>>>>> second to drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than
the
> >>>>>>> control op can react. I want those Technicians to be exposed
to full
> >>>>>>> power safety requirements. Anything else is criminally
negligent.
> >
> >
> > But they are already tested on full-power requirements.
>
> Yoiks! We're doing major time/subject shifting here, Jim! My
comments
> several iterations of the thread ago were in relation to possible
> changing of test requirements, ala the W5YI proposal, where the
> newcomers are given a much simpler test, and things that I consider
> critically important, such as not having your hobby kill ya, would be

> dropped from the testing.

Not the W5YI proposal - trhe NCVEC proposal.

>
> Everyone may disagree, but that's too bad.
>
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

1786. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 17:31:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109093472.199219.184090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103302

Caveat Lector wrote:
> About 1982 in San Jose, CA area
> --
> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)

So we get a serious (?) enforcement action for hams using CW every
decade or so. Compared to how many for other modes?

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1108840316.261663.141940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Caveat Lector wrote:
> >> I recall many times -- one guy sent a four letter word over and
over
> > again
> >> in CW with no ID -- local Hams found him turned him in
> >> Gee what was he thinking -- no ID and obsentities - probably had
TVI
> > too
> >> (;-(
> >>
> > When was that?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

1787. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 17:45:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109094327.136107.158300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103303

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> Tsk, tsk. The angry little Steven James cries and cries some
> >> more, "blaming" all others for not seeing little Stevie's
"humor"
> >> which was nothing more than the usual libelous filth outpouring
> >> from a kid who can't take the heat of discussing subjects.
> >
> > No "blaming", Lennie.
> >
> > You attempted to make a point about errors, and in the process
> >uttered your own errors.
>
> What were those...specifically? Tsk, little Steven James has
> to accuse-accuse-accuse in generalities, can't identify the
> alleged "errors."

Sure I can...Typos, Lennie...Pointed them out the first time.

Ya shudda been paying attention the first time.

> Guess who fabricated an insulting "story" and posted it,
> in a separate thread which had nothing to do with amateur
> radio policy?

Who antagonizes an entire worldwide discussion resource for an
avocation that's he's decidedly not a part of?

Who's had lie after lie archived, and refuses to acknowledge and
apologize for them?

Ain't me, Kemosabe!

> > You humiliate your family name.
>
> Now THAT is a new one!
>
> Little stevie is reallly reaching for bad things to say. Tsk, his
> uncreative little mind can only come up with that falsehood!

It's not false.

It's not the first time I've said it.

You're a humiliation. To yourself. To your wife. To your family
name. To professional electrical engineering.

> > Then why can't you prove that your "work" was original?
>
> Little stevie is starting to flip out. Since when was THAT
> charge necessary to refute an ugly little fabricated joke
> that was a personal insult against both me and my wife?
>
> Little stevie is going insane, apparently.

You can't prove any of your "work" was original then claim others
are "insane" for it.

You're a humiliation. To yourself. To your wife. To your family
name. To professional electrical engineering.

> >> Miraculously, little Stevie NOW claims that I "copied all of
> >> it from others!" That is utter nonsense. Little Stevie has NO
> >> such proof, no such references, just a blanket general
> >> statement which is one more filthy little piece of the trash
> >> he hurls at those who oppose him in public. Poor baby.
> >
> > No "poor baby".
> >
> > You have yet to DISprove anything I have said.
>
> "Disprove" manuscript content that was purchased by
> professional editors and publishers, checked by their
> staff (including attornies), and then printed before little
> stevie's fabricated personal insult "joke" appeared here?

More than one "professional paper" has been disproven as "false"
long after it had been published.

So We're now aasking YOU to "prove your work".

I say that judging by your frequent lies and deceitful behaviour
it's highly unlikely that anything else you've done is valid either.

> "Disprove" the work that I did for employers spanning
> half a century? Tsk, tsk, I've given the names and
> locations of my former employers in here. ANY reader
> could contact them and their personnel departments to
> confirm that...independently of myself by true research
> methods. I've given the name and ham callsign of one
> of the best managers I've ever had in here. I've given
> the names and ham callsigns of two others who were
> friends of mine, one of which was Best Man at my
> wedding.

We'll just narrow it down to those items published in Amateur
Radio realted periodicals.

As for your "friends"...well...Like usually follows like, and I
have no reason to believe they'd be any more likely to be any kore
honest or "trustworthy" than you...regardless of which side of the

aisle they were standing on.

> Little stevie is coming undone in mental stability, needs
> help from qualified medical doctors to attempt making
> him stable. I don't believe medical science is advanced
> that far but remain optimistic that doctors can cure him.
>
> Good luck, doctors!

No luck needed...no DOCTOR needed in order to help demonstrate
that Leonard H Anderson is a lying, deceitful, untrustworthy old codger
who's lashing out in disappointment in his final days on Earth.

And you did it once again right here, Lennie...

Thanks.

Steve, K4YZ

1788. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 17:53:10 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1109094790.846276.150950@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103304

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108745797.245365.147250
> @z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108665611.010471.49400
> >> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >>

> >> > But emulating Sweden is OK huh?
> >> >
> >> >> n3kip
> >> >
> >> > w3rv
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> Sure, why not?
> >>
> > BINGO: There it is. Old Europe. Sez it all.
> >
> > Not in your lifetime Alun.
> >
> > 'Bye.
> >
> > w3rv
> >
> >
>
> We have very different political views.

OBVIOUSLY.

> I don't know how you would classify
> yourself, but by European standards you are very far to the right
indeed,

I'm a centrist Republican a la Sen. Arlen Specter, a member of a
disapperaing breed. Ted Kennedy is a right-winger by Old Europe
standards. Welcome to America.

> as by no stretch is Sweden a socialist country.

Blather. It's a country which uses it's outrageous taxes on it's few monster "capitalist" smokestack industries to hand out socialist entitlements to it's population on a scale unheard of in any other country. Entitlments being the heart of socialism in all it's forms. Sven the fender-hanger at the SAAB plant didn't "feel good" yesterday so he stayed home and watched the tube. No problem, he got paid anyway under Swedish law. SAAB plant payrolls are bloated by 20% percent per unit out the door vs. the U.S & Japan because 20% of the SAAB workers "call in sick" every day. Absolute fact. GM got stupid and bought SAAB mostly to save the marque otherwise SAAB would have died years ago but GM is now mulling a pullout to cut their losses. The outflow of capital from Sweden to other countries has been appalling, check out the numbers and why it's happening and what the Swedish government is doing to stanch the bleeding.

I can no doubt go ten blocks around the compass from here in the suburbs of Philadelphia and find more businesses with ten or fewer employees than you'll find in all of Sweden. Why is that Alun?? Could it be that Swedish socialist economics stifles entrepreneurial capitalism which is the engine behind the astounding growth of the U.S. economy for over two centuries? Of course it is.

> As for myself, I used to be a card carrying member of the
Conservative and
> Unionist Party in the UK, but I freely admit that I have drifted
leftwards
> since then, very likely as a result of seeing at first hand the huge
social
> inequalities in the USA.

Certainly there are social inequalities in the U.S. The original Constitution plus it's Bill of Rights guarantees equality in all elections and in all courts in this country and nothing more. Translates into a system in which the fate of individuals depends on what they freely choose to do or not do with their lives. Those who choose to be slackers suffer the consequences they freely imposed on themselves so of course we wind up with "social inequalities" galore.

By your leftist standards our system has too many freedoms.

> If it's called being a socialist to think that the ordinary working
man
> should be able to get medical care without courting bankruptcy, then
I
> suppose that makes me a socialist, but if you actually look in a
> dictionary, then you will see that I am not, and neither are the
Swedes.

See above.

> socialism /□□/ n.
> 1 a political and economic theory of social organization which
advocates

> that the community as a whole should own and control the means of
> production, distribution, and exchange.

OhShit . . the second coming of Cecil and his friggin' dictionaries . .
!

> 2 policy or practice based on this theory.
> socialist n. & adj.
> socialistic /□/ adj.
> socialistically /□/ adv.
> [French socialisme (as social)]

w3rv

1789. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 18:38:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <I_KSd.3917$Ba3.3521@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103305

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1109041128.299486.95380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> > I recall many times -- one guy sent a four letter word over and
> over
>> again
>> > in CW with no ID -- local Hams found him turned him in
>> > Gee what was he thinking -- no ID and obsentities - probably had
> TVI
>> too
>> > (;-(
>> >
>> When was that?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> > --
>
> There's always been "monkey business" here and there in the "CW bands".
> The notion held by some that the CW bands are some sort of pristine
> Radio Nirvana where there are no sinners is complete nonsense and/or
> wishful thinking. Go all the way back to the 1950s around 3.505 and
> thereabouts on cold midnites when one could almost hear all the guys
> listening for some DX to pop up. Got tiresome but we hung in. On any
> number of occasions some bush-league miscreant would get tired of
> listening to the noise floor and just for the hell of it would decide
> to generate a pileup. I dunno how many times I worked "6L6GB". "QSL
> via the buro". Yeah, right. The W9 buro. Even Jack VE1ZZ the Lord High
> Commissioner of 80M dxing couldn't resist jumping into those frays if
> they were really good ones.
>
> w3rv
>

I got a 599 from 5U4GB in 1963.

Dan/W4NTI

1790. Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 18:43:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109097783.089783.102220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103306

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Philosophically speaking (in the old sense of philosophy),
electrons
> fields and waves don't recognize any human definitions of service
> work by the same principles of physics. Olde tyme hammes don't
(or
> can't) think that way. They seem to think that a HAM radio thing
> will ONLY work for a licensed radio amateur and that such a thing
> is different if used for any other radio service. Now THAT
thinking
> IS wrong...besides being dumb.

Once again you demonstrate that you are incapable of seperating
the apples and oranges.

This forum never was about discussing electronic THEORY.

It's about discussing Amateur Radio POLICY...The application of
(operating) programs and techniques...Not about the insides of the box.

You've been told that over and over. You keep trying to make it
an "issue" since you cannot..WILL not accept that you are not
knowledgeable or skilled in Amateur Radio PRACTICE.

> >> Sitting in ham newsgroups and hurling libelous
> >> filth against all who oppose him? :-)
> >
> >At every opportunity.

Nice editing. Lennie! No "snip" stated...

> He has much confusion on the word "service" for example:

Here we go again........

> The FCC uses the word "service" in regards to the type and kind of

> Radio activity being regulated...such as broadcasting or maritime
> radio or citizens band or private land mobile, etc., etc.
Steven's
> postings tend towards his imagining the amateur radio "service" as
> being a continuation of some "military service" such as the USMC.
> That way of thinking allows him to put on his NCO rank and boss
> others around as if he had the pursed lips of a Dill instructor
> (complete with flat-brimmed campaign hat). Problem is, he doesn't
> have such rank, doesn't have such authority, in a civilian hobby
> activity (amateur radio). Nonetheless, he attempts to bully

others
> around "as if" (as the eastern colloquialism has it).

I understand "service" better than you obviously ever have,
Lennie.

I have "served" my communities as a Red Cross, ARES and Civil Air
Patrol volunteer.

I "served" the United States as a United States Marine.

I "serve" the needs of my community today as an Emergency
Department Nurse and again as a member of CAP.

YOU, on the otherhand, misrepresented the character of your
military service, insinuated that you have served "under the threat" of
threats that never existed while you were in the Army, and have proudly
boasted that you leave all other forms of community service to
others...even worse, you lamely attempt to misrepresent the services
and sacrifices of others as "patriotic buffoonery".

> >> >You are not a licensed Amateur. You cannot, therefore, be
> >> >any kind of a judge of what Amateurs should do or how they should
> do
> >> >it.
>
> The two sentences are a typical example of newsgroup bullying.
>
> It is also typical of the fantasy of eliteness wallowed in by so
> many olde tyme hammes up to their armpits in self-
> righteousness.

Hey, Your Two-facedness...YOU were the one just two days ago
telling people what they were and were not qualified to "judge" others
on based on their lack of a degree or employment in electrical
engineering.

So now such logic DOESN'T apply to you and Amateur Radio...???

> Such self-righteousness FAILS in the USA...(SNIP)

You've tried this before. Still not germane.

> Nonetheless, those self-righteous self-appointed-sheriffs of ham
> radio want to RESTRICT access to public newsgroups on THEIR
> terms.

SNIP. Tried this before too. Seems YOU have no problem deciding
who "is" or "isn't" qualified to post here, yet can't stand it when
that same "logic" is heaped back on you.

> Nobody tells them what to do! They think they rule. :-)
> They don't but that doesn't stop those middle-aged "boyz in da
> 'hood" from spraying their "turf" graffitti where they want.

Lennie, you're not even from the next block over, yet you seem to
have no problem "spraying" whatever you want wherever you want.

> The PCTA extras go a step further. They also spray some kind
> of time stasis on everything in U.S. amateur radio, demanding a
> retro condition of timeless holding to old, outdated regulations
> that they bought into when young and a newbie to ham radio.
> They were brainwashed into a false idealism and have never
> cleared themselves of those olde ideals.

Again, one more absolute lie uttered by Leonard H. Anderson.

Leonard H. Anderson IS a pathological liar. He finds it easy to
lie.

> He is much into Titles, Rank, Privilege, plus being able to Show
> it through pretty certificates (suitable for framing) and medals.
> It's his personal bling-bling. If a federal or state agency can
> give him title, rank, status or privilege, they are favored...as
> long as they don't interfere with that title, rank, status or
> priviledge.

Again, Lennie, you demonstrate an intolerance for accepting that
others EARN what they receive.

The FCC did not GIVE me anything. I earned what I have.

The way I see it, I have only been "given" three things:

First of all was life...I had absolutely no say in that.

Second was an education. I was given no option in taking or not
taking it (at least until age 16...) and thankfully the people who gave
me life also gave me an appreciation for that education and what it
could ultimately provide.

Lastly was citizenship as a United States citizen. Just like life
and education itself, it was mine to do with as I pleased, and
thankfully it served me well. In return I serve it to the best of my
ability.

You just give "service", well..LIP service.

> >He fails to substantiate his "seven hostile actions."
> >
> >Such a big claim, zero evidence. And his credibility is such that
we
> >just can't give him a pass. Nope. No pass.
>
> It's all part of a curious form of gamesmanship in here, all bluff
> in Steven James' case. He wants to be a SOMEBODY of
> fame, to be "recognized" and respected for his accomplishments.
> If that means stepping all over what others have done, calling
> all their claims "lies," then he will do that...and has. He does
> not need to verify his claims by giving details such as place

> and date. We are all expected to "believe" him lest we incur
> an avalanche of subsequent insult postings (along with failure
> to give details). He will bully all who do not accept that.

If I cared about "recognition", I would have been a rock star or
politician.

As for the "lies"...well, Lennie, you and Brain still have a ton
of unanswered questions out there, and the two of you seem to do just
fine in leaving it to others to see what you are.

> All of us are somebody and each of us is unique. We can
> grow and learn by sharing experiences, data, results...or we
> can engage in countless, mindless flame wars ignited by
> those who cannot handle strong opposition to certain
> opinions. But, personal insults exist and some must be
> dealt with as in the spiteful postings of Steven James.

Nothing "spiteful" in my rubbing YOUR nose in YOUR foolishness,
Lennie...Or Brian in his...

If ya don't like the smell, well...all I can say is be more
careful of what you eat.

> He wants to ridicule others for having worked for what they have.
> As one example, he ridicules my long period of formal college
> education as a "night school" (in the manner of remedial
> language lessons put on by some local communities).

Oh NO, Lennie...I NEVER suggested your "night school" was
"remedial" ANYthing...What I HAVE suggested is that it was ludicrous to
expect us to believe you took 14 years to accomplish what is basically
a 3-4 year program....ESPECIALLY since you are as wise as you claim to
be.

> Those "night school" classes were fully accredited college
courses.
> Working a full day and then going to class at least three nights
> a week is hard work...worse on work overtime or having to
> cancel a whole semester due to the company sending me on
> field trips. Such is denigrated, made fun of by him...as if one
> going to "night school" was "stupid, ignorant, or slothful."

You put "stupid, ignorant or slothful" in quotations, and you KNOW
I never said those things.

What I DID say I HAVE said is that you were incompetent and took 3
times the average time to get your "degree".

> Steven James repeatedly made fun of my Army assignment a
> half century ago, saying "I embellished my 'military career' in
> exaggerating things and 'dishonoring' my unit members."

You claim to have been a "radio operator", yet the MOS's you cite were for repairman MOS's only. I have no doubt you caused RF to be emitted, however you were never a radio operator.

You also claimed to have been responsible for passing 1.2 million messages...THEN when confronted with the math, you changed your story to be that it was a "team effort" of the unit. That it was, but you still were not a radio operator, then or now. You "embellished" your service based upon the service of others.

You then tried to elevate yourself to War Hero status based upon a lame association-by-mmebership story with Soldiers who were KIA three years before you were ever in-country.

THAT made you a scumbag.

> I'd mentioned Army station ADA...(SNIP)

Over and over and over and over and over and.....

> I won't go into Steven James' vile insults about my wife other
> than to say that the University of Illinois (a center for
> computer research) at Urbana is NOT a "correspondence
> school" taking out ads in newsstand pulp magazines.

My "insults" about your wife are nothing of the sort.

My "insults" are aimed at your involving her alleged licensure and education somehow being yours by association.

Furthermore, if she WERE the mental health provider you insist she is, she's incompetent. Or blinded.

> It's unfortunate that this newsgroup delves into personality
battles
> so often...(SNIP)

Then, Lennie, that having been said...WHY do YOIU insist on calling people Nazi's, using childish diminutives for thier given names and insults of thier jobs, accomplishments or other "beliefs"...???

YOU initiate it. Period. You did it with Jim Miccolis...You did it with Hans Brakob. You did it with Jeff Herman, Steve Adell, Mike Cosolo, Larry Roll, Kim Walker and countless others, myself included.

> (UNSNIP)...or into petty arguments on definitions which have been
> made years ago (such as on-off keyed CW is "not" binary in the
> newest argument-for-argument sake). SUBJECTS should be
> discussed, not the personalities of correspondents.

This is a forum about Amateur Radio POLICY.

Every time.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1791. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 18:45:23 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cvfuk4$1350$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103308

Caveat Lector wrote:

> Oh gee golly whiz -- we changed the discussion from CW thruput to the code
> testing issue.
> That one has been beat to pieces.
> Pass
>

There are some here who change every thread to the CW testing issue.

- mike KB3EIA -

1792. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 18:49:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109098164.505260.242560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103307

k...@dvol.com wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108745797.245365.147250
> > @z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> > > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > >> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108665611.010471.49400
> > >> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >> > But emulating Sweden is OK huh?
> > >> >
> > >> >> n3kip
> > >> >
> > >> > w3rv

> > >> Sure, why not?
> > >
> > > BINGO: There it is. Old Europe. Sez it all.
> > >
> > > Not in your lifetime Alun.
> > >
> > > 'Bye.
> > >
> > > w3rv
>
> We have very different political views.
>
> OBVIOUSLY.
>
> > I don't know how you would classify
> > yourself, but by European standards you are very far to the right
> indeed,
>
> I'm a centrist Republican a la Sen. Arlen Specter, a member of a
> disapperaing breed.

Sadly disappearing!

> Ted Kennedy is a right-winger by Old Europe
> standards.

Yup.

> Welcome to America.
>
> > as by no stretch is Sweden a socialist country.
>

> Blather. It's a country which uses it's outrageous taxes on it's few
> monster "capitalist" smokestack industries to hand out socialist
> entitlements to it's population on a scale unheard of in any other
> country. Entitlments being the heart of socialism in all it's forms.
> Sven the fender-hanger at the SAAB plant didn't "feel good" yesterday
> so he stayed home and watched the tube. No problem, he got paid
anyway
> under Swedish law. SAAB plant payrolls are bloated by 20% percent
per
> unit out the door vs. the U.S & Japan because 20% of the SAAB workers
> "call in sick" every day. Absolute fact. GM got stupid and bought
SAAB
> mostly to save the marque otherwise SAAB would have died years ago
but
> GM is now mulling a pullout to cut their losses. The outflow of
capital
> from Sweden to other countries has been appalling, check out the
> numbers and why it's happening and what the Swedish government is
doing
> to stanch the bleeding.

The reason the whole thing didn't collapse right away was that the
smokestacks are/were big exporters, bringing in hard currency.

> I can no doubt go ten blocks around the compass from here in the
> suburbs of Philadelphia and find more businesses with ten or fewer
> employees than you'll find in all of Sweden. Why is that Alun?? Could
> it be that Swedish socialist economics stifles entrepreneurial
> capitalism which is the engine behind the astounding growth of the
> U.S. economy for over two centuries? Of course it is.
>
> > As for myself, I used to be a card carrying member of the
> > Conservative and
> > Unionist Party in the UK, but I freely admit that I have drifted
> > leftwards
> > since then, very likely as a result of seeing at first hand the
huge
> > social inequalities in the USA.
>
> Certainly there are social inequalities in the U.S. The original
> Constitution plus it's Bill of Rights guarantees equality in all
> elections and in all courts in this country and nothing more.
> Translates into a system in which the fate of individuals depends on
> what they freely choose to do or not do with their lives. Those who
> choose to be slackers suffer the consequences they freely imposed on
> themselves so of course we wind up with "social inequalities" galore.
>
Equality of rights and opportunities - not equality of outcomes.

Add in some other points about Sweden:

Compared to the USA, it's tiny in both population and land area. Also
virtually homogeneous (again compared to the USA). 'Diversity' means
something very different in Sweden. Heck, they split with Norway after
less than 100 years of alliance IIRC.

It's relatively easy and simple for a community/society to 'work' if
it's small and uniform. USA is neither, and never has been.

> By your leftist standards our system has too many freedoms.

> > If it's called being a socialist to think that the ordinary working
> > man
> > should be able to get medical care without courting bankruptcy,
then
> > I
> > suppose that makes me a socialist, but if you actually look in a
> > dictionary, then you will see that I am not, and neither are the
> > Swedes.
>
> See above.

Health care is only one issue. Is W3RV's info about SAAB accurate or
not?
Should American industry work the same way?
>
> > socialism /□□/ n.
> > 1 a political and economic theory of social organization which
> advocates
> > that the community as a whole should own and control the means of
> > production, distribution, and exchange.
>
> OhShit . . the second coming of Cecil and his friggin' dictionaries .
.
> !
>
> > 2 policy or practice based on this theory.
> > socialist n. & adj.
> > socialistic /□/ adj.
> > socialistically /□/ adv.
> > [French socialisme (as social)]
>
"community as a whole" = "the government"

Sure seems to fit!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1793. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 19:17:30 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cvg0ga$135a$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103309

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:

<snip>

>>>>>>>>>in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a
>>>>>>>>>woman not knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning
>>>>>>>>> herself when trying to hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry
>>>>>>>>>Phil, but what if she simply ruined her dress because the coffee was
>>>>>>>>> wet?-negligent design of the cup?)
>>
>>
>>I wrote a lot of the stuff you are commenting on, Jim. It's a hazard
>> of us not trimming threads!
>
>
> Same points apply
>
>>>The case centered around the fact that the coffee was *extremely*
>>> and unreasonably hot.
>>
>> Ask 10 people, and you'll get ten different answers if that was the
>>question. I assume that anything in a styro cup is Hot, until I can
>>examine it.
>
> But hot enough to give you 2nd degree burns?

Hot coffee is meant to be hot, and not poured on your skin, but rather
drunk, and the parts of the body that are supposed to be used are much
more tolerant of heat.

<snippage>

>>>Who are they going to sue?
>>
>>The manufacturers of equipment, the VEC that administered the test.
>> Find some deep pockets and sue, sue, sue.
>
> Then we better just give up, because there's no test to use a microwave
> oven or a table saw.

No, we simply make sure that people are *exposed* to safety
information. On the power tool or the oven, there are safety
disclaimers. I bought a chain saw that had an entire safety education as
relates to chain saws in the instruction manual. first page of the book

says that you have to read the entire manual before using the saw.

The Manufacturer has to make a good-faith effort to do safety education
for the tool.

Can that prevent lawsuits? No. But it makes it very difficult to win
that lawsuit when safety information has been provided.

> One of the most dangerous substances the average person handles is
> gasoline, yet there's no test for how to deal with it.
>

First, there is plenty of safety info about gasoline's flammability and
carcinogenic status on every pump (that is in legal compliance)

Second, gasoline is the sort of substance that people are used to. If
you told people that you had an idea of a sport where people drove at
each other at combined speeds of 140 miles per hour with a liquid that
was so flammable that it was virtually explosive, they'd say you were
nuts, even if you were telling them this in your car, driving down the
interstate at 70.

>> As a little example of the mindset, you might recall an accident
>>along I-80 last year, a few miles from my QTH. Huge horrible pileup, many
>>vehicles, many people killed, and a fiery mess that took a long time
>>to clean up. The accident was related to a snow squall that blew up
>>unexpectedly, and the excessive speed that the whole group was traveling
>>at. While no charges were filed against anyone at the time, the
>> families of the deceased are filing suit against the truck drivers *and* the
>>companies they worked for. Hopefully the trucking companies have a
>> good safety program.
>
>
> If someone was following too close for conditions, shouldn't they be
> liable?

Of course. Was the trucking company following too closely?

>
>> And on what grounds, compared to other
>>
>>>electronic devices?
>>
>>Most of my appliances have warnings on them of electric shock
>> potential,
>
>>or of cutting, burning, whatever dangers also. There is a reason why
>>they are there.
>
>
> Same warnings are on modern ham gear, aren't they?

Sure

>
>>Nobody can be protected completely from a lawsuit. But if you are
>> sued, you are well served to have forewarned potential litigation
>> adversaries of the possible dangers of the devices they may use.
>
>
> Couple of stickers on the TS-50 and done. No need for a test, right?

I disagree. I would think that as Hams, we should know WHY something is
dangerous, not just a "ohhh, don't doo that!" mentality.

>
>>>>>>>>>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one
>>>>>>>>>should be a Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the
>>>>>>>>> ability to handle full legal limit.
>
>
>>>The reason for the RF safety questions is to prevent exposing
>> *others* to a hazard.
>>>
>>>And the FCC has determined that the RF safety requirements of the
>>>Tech test are adequate for hams who use up to 1500 W power output
>>> on "meat-cooking frequencies".
>
>
> They're the *expert agency*, not the VEs or VECs. Heck, NCVEC wants to
> *lower* the written exams - too much math and regs, sez they.
>
> Shall we revisit "Amateur Radio in the 21st Century"? I wonder if Len
> Anderson and Brian Burke have read that wonderful piece, and what they
> think of it.
>
> I recommend it to all. Tells ya what the next step is.
>
>
>>>>>>>>> And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or
>>>>>>>>>some other weird thing is the answer, are advised to think
>>>>>>>>> about things such as Technician Hams operating under supervision.
>>>>>>>>>It only takes a second to drop a paper and reach behind a Rig.
>>>>>>>>>Less time than the control op can react. I want those Technicians
>>>>>>>>>to be exposed to full power safety requirements. Anything else is
>>>>>>>>>criminally negligent.
>
>>>
>>>But they are already tested on full-power requirements.
>>
>> Yoiks! We're doing major time/subject shifting here, Jim! My
>
> comments
>
>>several iterations of the thread ago were in relation to possible
>>changing of test requirements, ala the W5YI proposal, where the

>>newcomers are given a much simpler test, and things that I consider
>>critically important, such as not having your hobby kill ya, would be
>>dropped from the testing.
>
>
> Not the W5YI proposal - trhe NCVEC proposal.

Thanks for the correction!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1794. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 20:18:45 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96059B2EE8D97elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103310

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109088706.576066.237160
@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109065656.859950.28030
>> @z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109009984.323422.143080
>> >> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >> <snip>
>> >> >> >
>> >
>> >> 5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?
>> >
>> > A couple of reasons:
>> >
>> > For the same reason hams have to pass written *theory* tests to
>> > use *manufactured* rigs with no critical tuneup adjustments.
>> >
>> > For the same reason hams have to pass written tests on VHF/UHF to
>> > operate HF, high-power RF exposure questions to operate QRP, etc.
>> >
>> > And because code is a big part of amateur radio, and a ham who
>> > doesn't know any just isn't fully qualified.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> I think we can agree to differ on that last point.
>
> Perhaps.
>
> Do you agree that Morse code is a big part of amateur radio? Not that
> it needs a test, but just that it is a big part of today's amateur
> radio, particularly on HF?
>
>> As a matter of fact, even directly after passing the US 20wpm test I
>> couldn't have passed the UK 12wpm test.
>
> Perhaps. But I thought we were discussing *US* code test requirements.
>>

>> 5wpm is not too difficult, especially the way it is tested in the US,
>> but until recently it only gave access to the 'novice' subbands in the
>> US, all of which except for 10m didn't allow phone. From my PoV, it
>> would only have given me 10m at that time. I never took 5.
>
> Since 1990 it has been possible to get an Extra (or any other
> HF-privileges amateur radio license) with just the 5 wpm code test and
> a waiver. 15 years - hardly "recently".
>
>> I probably could have passed 5 when I came to the US, but I simply
>> didn't realise how much easier the tests were here. Thinking it would
>> have been as hard as a UK test I didn't bother to take it.
>
> The test procedures here aren't secret. Never were.
>
>> I was operating above 30MHz
>> on a 610A permit, and when the 'no code' licence was introduced I
>> decided to get a US call. Having 'aced' the Novice and I think dropped
>> one question in the Tech paper, I was given the General paper, for
>> which I hadn't looked at the syllabus or question pool atall, and I
>> passed that. Ditto the Advanced, but they didn't have a spare Extra
>> paper. None of this really surprised me, as the UK B licence had the
>> same theory as the A licence, and I have an EE degree anyway, but it
>> surprised the VEs.
>
> Why should it? The US writtens were *never* very hard - if you knew a
> little radio and some regs.
>
> Back in 1968 I went for General at the FCC office in early summer. Did
> not pass 13 wpm code because the examiner couldn't read my longhand.
> Got credit for 5 wpm, took the written (which was same as General back
> then), walked out with a Tech. Could not use the new privs until the
> actual license arrived in the mail, though.
>
> Went home, taught myself Signal-Corps-method block printing and more
> practice until I could do 18 wpm W1AW bulletins solid. Went back and
> passed 13 wpm code easily, sending and receiving.
>
> Then the examiner says "why not try Advanced while you're here?". Now
> in those days the Advanced was supposedly the toughest of the writtens,
> with all sorts of math and circuits and such. But one did not say No to
> The Man, so I tried, with zero preparation. Passed easily and wound up
> with Advanced instead of General.
>
> That was back before question pools, Bash books and computerized
> practice tests. Didn't have an EE back then either - I was 14 years old
> and it was the summer between 8th and 9th grades.
>
> Two years later I went back to get the Extra. Would have been sooner
> but in those days you had to have two years experience as General or

> Advanced to even *try* the Extra.
>>
>> This gave me 12 months to pass 13wpm if I didn't want to have to take
>> the General and Advanced theory again. With the help of computer
>> software and slow Morse transmissions I did it in six months.
>
> Bingo.
>
> How long do you think it would have taken to get to 5 wpm, tested the
> way the USA does?
>
>> Note that Mike got there
>> in that amount of time from scratch even with hearing problems, and it
>> took me that long when I wasn't starting from the beginning, and
>> there's no problem with my hearing. Also, I had a relay of all the VEs
>> sending code on 2m five nights a week. They saw it a a challenge to
>> teach me code. I almost passed 20, but I had to come back a couple of
>> months later.
>>
>> To get up to 13wpm meant copying whole characters instead of dits and
>> dahs, no matter how easy the type of test. OK, so that's gone, but
>> that means the remaining Element 1 doesn't test the ability to copy
>> complete characters, so on the one hand it's relatively easy, but on
>> the other hand it's pointless.
>
> Not at all.
>
> If the code uses Farnsworth spacing, you copy characters, not dits and
> dahs. This isn't anything new - W1AW has been sending code practice
> that way since at least 1966 (first time I heard it, anyway).
>
>> Why preserve a test that doesn't test an adequate level of a skill as
>> a requirement for access to a particular part of the spectrum, when
>> there's no requirement to use that skill anyway?
>
> Same reason for written tests. Do the writtens guarantee that all who
> pass can design/build/modify/repair/operate all amateur equipment they
> are authorized to use? Or do they test basic knowledge?
>
> 5 wpm is basic Morse skill, that's all.
>
> Why is it too much to ask?
>
>> Tradition? That's a
>> weak reason, but it seems to be the only one. Sure, 40% of HF may be
>> CW, but I can (and do) operate 100% phone .
>
> And my HF operation is 99% CW on 80/40/20, with 100 watts or less
> output, yet I had to learn all kinds of stuff about high power, 'phone
> modes, RTTY, SSTV, other HF bands, VHF/UHF, etc. Most of that knowledge

> I've never needed, and some of it (like band edges) has changed since I
> took the test. So why did I have to learn all that in the first place,
> just to operate a QRP rig on 7015 CW?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

I'm not sure this is getting us anywhere. This is all old ground.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

1795. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 21:50:49 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4c2dnYEUCPkTNYbfRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 103311

A walk down memory lane ..... brought up some good memories .....

73 .... Tom Popovic KI3R Belle Vernon Pa.

On any
> number of occasions some bush-league miscreant would get tired of
> listening to the noise floor and just for the hell of it would decide
> to generate a pileup. I dunno how many times I worked "6L6GB". "QSL
> via the buro". Yeah, right. The W9 buro. Even Jack VE1ZZ the Lord High
> Commissioner of 80M dxing couldn't resist jumping into those frays if
> they were really good ones.

1796. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 22:09:11 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b4OSd.8876$x53.2781@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103312

>
>
> No doubt. But most of the serious 80M dxers in those days ran "suds", a
> lotta power, not just a lotta power but 'WAY too much power. Back then
> the max allowable power was one kW input vs. today's 1.5kW output.

Have heard what is likely an urban legend of a ham (who was
also a broadcast chief engineer) using the 50KW AM transmitter in his
charge to work some rare DX on some HF ham band. Late at
night when it was off for maintenance. Seems unlikely as the
power amp would have circuits tuned for the MW AM broadcast
station's frequency and low pass filters to block harmonics
in the SW spectrum. That's not something one can modify
in a few minutes.

Oh, you could connect a ham transceiver to the broadcast
antenna tower to work some 160m DX, but that would be
legal.

1797. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 23:17:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1109114254.232785.148960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103313

robert casey wrote:
> >
> >
> > No doubt. But most of the serious 80M dxers in those days ran
"suds", a
> > lotta power, not just a lotta power but 'WAY too much power. Back
then
> > the max allowable power was one kW input vs. today's 1.5kW output.
>
> Have heard what is likely an urban legend of a ham (who was
> also a broadcast chief engineer) using the 50KW AM transmitter in his
> charge to work some rare DX on some HF ham band. Late at
> night when it was off for maintenance. Seems unlikely as the
> power amp would have circuits tuned for the MW AM broadcast
> station's frequency and low pass filters to block harmonics
> in the SW spectrum. That's not something one can modify
> in a few minutes.

It would be pretty easy to pull off so it wouldn't surprise at all me
if somebody really did put an AM b'cast station on 160.

> Oh, you could connect a ham transceiver to the broadcast
> antenna tower to work some 160m DX, but that would be
> legal.

A similar stunt absoulutely was pulled off and I know the parties very
well. There were two young hotshot DX conetesters back around 1965,
Paul WA3FFR and his buddy Doug whose call I can't remember. Paul was an
EE co-op student engineer at my alma mater and worked at the USCG
electronics labs in Cape May NJ. Doug was a CG ensign or maybe a Lt JG
and worked with Paul. Those labs were the headquarters for the vast
USCG Loran system which operated on 160 along with the hams who could
find holes in the Loran QRM. Paul and Doug decided to put a Loran
antenna system to work in a 160 DX contest. And they did. They blew the
lid off the band and came up with a score which which rewrote the 160M
dxing record book, worked something like 68 countries in one
weekend.'Ole Stew Perry never knew what hit him. Unthinkable back then
and not bad at all even today.

w3rv

1798. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 23:42:58 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QNudncEVl544XobfRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 103314

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1109065656.859950.28030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> [snip]
>> 12 wpm random groups with 96% copy
>
> The US requirements for hams have never been anywhere near so
> stringent.
>
> The *toughest* they ever were was 1 minute solid copy out of 5 minutes
> - plain language. 5, 13 and 20 wpm. That's 20% accuracy! About 20-25
> years ago, fill-in-the-blank and multiple choice were added.

Multiple choice exams are no longer allowed for element 1. It's too easy to guess the answer if you have even minimal copy. As I recall when I took my 20wpm, I was able to successfully deduce that the only possible answer out of the choices offered was Switzerland. The only letter that I had copied was the W. Some of us were too good at deduction and guessing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1799. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 23:49:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109116158.896111.43330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103315

bb wrote on Mon, Feb 21 2005 7:08 pm
>Caveat Lector wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1109026328.348139.84110@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

>> > It is simply not an efficient use of my time to become proficient
with
>> > it (> 10wpm), nor will any other service fool with it anymore. If
the
>> > mode had been worthwhile, the Coast Guard and Western Union would
have
>> > paid (illegal) immigrants to pass traffic cheaply. Or outsourced
it to
>> > India.
>> >
>>
>> Glad you said YOUR time -- don't impose this excuse on folks who
enjoy CW.
>
>I'm glad that you enjoy the mode, but don't impose your favorite mode
>as a barrier to HF access to the rest of the USA.

Brian, "Caveat's" response is so typical of the already-
license-and-already-code-tested amateurs in regards
to the morse code TEST. :-)

They've passed it, they've got their license, and to
hell with newcomers...make those damn newcomers
take the code test like they had to!

[any other pithy little comments by PCTA are
interspersed with bullshit like "showing dedication
to the 'amateur community' and other mythological
nonsense]

>> Whether the military or WU use Morse or not has no bearing on the
fact that
>> Morse is just another ham communication mode that many enjoy.
>
>I think it does when that "just another" communication mode is
>pass/fail entry to HF.

See? Typical response from PCTAs. "CW" is 'just another
mode hams use.' :-)

NO OTHER MODE IS TESTED AS AUDIO
COGNITION OR HUMAN OPERATION FOR
ANY HAM LICENSE. Never was.

Radiotelegraphy signal cognition is the ONLY
separate pass-fail test element to gain
privileges below 30 MHz in the USA.

[now watch all the snarly PCTA come out of
the woodwork and "fight" those two facts with
all the usual tripe-wrapped pseudo-patriotism
gleaned from League propaganda]

>> And many people who type at less than 30 wpm are pecking away on the
>> internet. Is that an efficient use of time ???
>
>RRAP is a complete waste of time. It's been a while since anyone
>actually wanted to talk about code.

Not quite... :-)

But, RRAP is a waste of time in trying to talk
about the code test issue. The PCTA will NOT
allow any logic in such discussions...equating
morse code with amateur excellence is part of
the olde-tymer's brainwashing done long ago.
It is their quasi-religious talisman, an icon hung
on a wall to worship... :-)

>> BTW; How fast do you type ????
>>
>> Caveat Lector
>
>I haven't been clocked in years. Last time was ~45wpm about 1985.
Had
>to prove I could type to get on the mainframe. Teletypes and IBM card
>cutters kind of screwed up my regular keyboard ability etx

Back in '54 I was able to push the old Model 15s
to their 60 WPM designed-in limit; keys had a
mechanical lockout to insure that "burst" typing
didn't generate character errors. That was in the
Army on the station ADA order-wire loop. Those
old Teletype Corporation products were good to
self-learn fast-but-steady typing rates. It helped
if one already had touch-typing classes in high
or middle school... :-)

In middle school (we called it "junior high" back
then) of '48, we had old mechanical typewriters
in that classroom...NO markings on the keys!
Couldn't look down from the test, HAD to learn
to touch, trust the psycho-motor hookups being
made inside while learning. Was worth it later

since typing skill applied to all kinds of human communication and was VERY handy when we entered the 'computer age.'

A couple years ago a friend posed a dare at how good I was with a typing program on a PC. Could "cruise" (continuous copy) at 66 WPM with one error per thousand characters, "burst type" (quick spurts of keypresses) at about 90 WPM. Of course, 57 years of practice helps to keep/improve that skill. :-)

Never used a card punch ("026"?) but a few times and can't remember if the Teletype model 33 had that auto-key-lock-out feature. By the mid-70s I'd gotten so used to touch-typing that one only got mental alarms if a key got stuck somehow or the p-tape punch ran out of p-tape. But that was three decades ago. Anyone not using electronic typing means today is ultra-cheap or totally retro.

But, we've got all those religious Believers who insist and insist and insist that amateurs MUST take a morse code test to get an amateur license! Totally retro. Totally nuts! :-(

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1800. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 23:55:21 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_eqdnUb69P4RW4bfRVn-1w@comcast.com>
Source record: 103316

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1109093365.641736.256390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:

[snip]

>> clean up. The accident was related to a snow squall that blew up
>> unexpectedly, and the excessive speed that the whole group was
> traveling
>> at. While no charges were filed against anyone at the time, the
> families
>> of the deceased are filing suit against the truck drivers *and* the
>> companies they worked for. Hopefully the trucking companies have a
> good
>> safety program.
>
> If someone was following too close for conditions, shouldn't they be
> liable?
>

Since both my current and previous husbands are/were truck drivers, I tend to observe what trucks are doing on the road. Though I cannot speak for this particular accident, normally it is the CARS following the trucks too close for conditions. How can the truckers prevent that and the sometimes tragic consequences?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1801. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-22 23:56:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109116564.588072.71390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103317

Mike Coslo posted on Mon, Feb 21 2005 4:31 pm
>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
>
><stuff sinpped for trying to figure out who said what....>

<most of Coslo-multi-quote-copying snipped as redundant>

>> Funny in a macabre sort of way, but hard to see the connection.
>
> Back when I originally made the "Jump frog jump comment, it was
about
>people making an incorrect or bizzare conclusion from plain evidence.

Retention of the morse code test for an amateur radio license
is bizarre, outdated, with NO logical connection.

> Where people Might say that the No-Code technicians quit because
The
>had a license that didn't have Element 1 as a test requirement.

It would seem that those "who might say" that are PCTA!

PCTAs have been insisting and insisting that the "no-code"
Technicians would be expiring en masse 12 years after the
1991 creation of that class. They didn't! Sunnuvagun!

Fact: The Technician class license number keep INCREASING!

According to www.hamdata.com for 22 Feb 05, the Technician
class is GROWING at an average rate of 27 per day! General
class growth is about 2 per day, Extras about 5 per day.

No-code detractors (such as "N2EY") used to say the Tech
numbers were "meaningless since the Tech-Plus renewals
were being tossed into the Tech category" and that was
supposed to indicate the "meaninglessness." :-) Isn't so.

Hamdata.com's latest tabulation (direct from FCC database,
publicly available) shows that there are 723,551 individual
amateur licenses (732,945 less 9,394 Club licenses). Of
those, 290,874 are Technician class and 58,999 are
Technician-Plus class. Very near 2 out of 5 individual
amateur licenses are Technician class. From the hamdata
tabulation of a year ago, Technician license growth was
9899 and Technician-Plus license decrease was 9521. The
delta is 378 to indicate no-code Technician license minimum

growth or at least 2 every 3 days.

The chief of the numbers-game players ("N2EY") is still going to insist (if past is truly prologue) the no-code Tech numbers are "falling"...from some kind of inventive rationalization. :-) Since it isn't PC to show losses of any class but the evil no-coders (as AH0A does/did), he will continue to maintain the no-coder "loss" is "there." :-) If Tech+ classes were "upgrading" their license classes, then the no-code Tech numbers would be increasing even more! [sunnuvagun!]

The sky has NOT fallen on the no-coders...except in the minds of the Chicken Little PCTAs. Paradigms were punctured and fell on those mighty instead... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1802. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 00:02:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109116921.251095.296190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103318

k...@dvol.com wrote:

Those labs were the headquarters for the vast
> USCG Loran system which operated on 160 along with the hams who could
> find holes in the Loran QRM. Paul and Doug decided to put a Loran
> antenna system to work in a 160 DX contest. And they did. They blew
the
> lid off the band and came up with a score which which rewrote the
160M
> dxing record book, worked something like 68 countries in one
> weekend.'Ole Stew Perry never knew what hit him. Unthinkable back
then
> and not bad at all even today.
>
> w3rv

This is the part where K4YZ demands a letter from "thier" Commander.

"Sorry Kelly, USCG LORAN >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Hi, hi!

1803. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 00:08:38 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9605C22B7E228elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103319

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:QNudncEVl544XobfRVn-jA@comcast.com:

>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1109065656.859950.28030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>> [snip]
>>> 12 wpm random groups with 96% copy
>>
>> The US requirements for hams have never been anywhere near so
>> stringent.
>>
>> The *toughest* they ever were was 1 minute solid copy out of 5 minutes
>> - plain language. 5, 13 and 20 wpm. That's 20% accuracy! About 20-25
>> years ago, fill-in-the-blank and multiple choice were added.
>
> Multiple choice exams are no longer allowed for element 1. It's too
> easy to guess the answer if you have even minimal copy. As I recall
> when I took my 20wpm, I was able to successfully deduce that the only
> possible answer out of the choices offered was Switzerland. The only
> letter that I had copied was the W. Some of us were too good at
> deduction and guessing.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

That's how I passed. Never said I was any good at Morse, quite the opposite
in fact. I found that 70% copy was good enough for 7/10 multiple guess. If
there hadn't been multiple guess I would never have passed 20wpm, for sure.
When you're asked was the operator's name Hank, Frank, Bert or Gert, and
you copy _ANK you have got the 50/50 like on Who Wants to be a Millionaire
on TV.

The other trick that I assume still works is listening for .. ,,, (IS),
which precedes every answer. Name is _____, Ant is _____.

And another thing. Copy IS Y____ and you know that either the rig is a
Yeasu or the antenna is a Yagi, and if you know how many characters came
after the Y, you know which of those is right. I miss the beginnings of
words, but I know that ____OOD is Kenwood. It's still Kenwood even if you
miss the D but just copy the OO.

I approached it like a crossword puzzle. I couldn't make a minute solid copy at 20wpm to save my life.

1804. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 00:25:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109118313.975972.105780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103320

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> bb wrote on Mon, Feb 21 2005 7:08 pm
> >Caveat Lector wrote:
> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1109026328.348139.84110@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> > It is simply not an efficient use of my time to become
proficient
> with
> >> > it (> 10wpm), nor will any other service fool with it anymore.
If
> the
> >> > mode had been worthwhile, the Coast Guard and Western Union
would
> have
> >> > paid (illegal) immigrants to pass traffic cheaply. Or
outsourced
> it to
> >> > India.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Glad you said YOUR time -- don't impose this excuse on folks who
> enjoy CW.
> >
> >I'm glad that you enjoy the mode, but don't impose your favorite
mode
> >as a barrier to HF access to the rest of the USA.
>
> Brian, "Caveat's" response is so typical of the already-
> license-and-already-code-tested amateurs in regards
> to the morse code TEST. :-)
>
> They've passed it, they've got their license, and to
> hell with newcomers...make those damn newcomers
> take the code test like they had to!
>
> [any other pithy little comments by PCTA are
> interspersed with bullshit like "showing dedication
> to the 'amateur community' and other mythological
> nonsense]

Uphill both ways, in the snow, with cardboard in "thier" shoes.

> >> Whether the military or WU use Morse or not has no bearing on the
> fact that

> >> Morse is just another ham communication mode that many enjoy.
> >
> >I think it does when that "just another" communication mode is
> >pass/fail entry to HF.
>
> See? Typical response from PCTAs. "CW" is 'just another
> mode hams use.' :-)
>
> NO OTHER MODE IS TESTED AS AUDIO
> COGNITION OR HUMAN OPERATION FOR
> ANY HAM LICENSE. Never was.

But it's character building. Enables you to slim RG8U with 50KW on a
USCG LORAN system w/o permission, and still be legal and friendly.

Cause you're using the "right" mode.

> Radiotelegraphy signal cognition is the ONLY
> separate pass-fail test element to gain
> privileges below 30 MHz in the USA.

You gotta be wrong. There must have been something else only because
you gotta be wrong.

> [now watch all the snarly PCTA come out of
> the woodwork and "fight" those two facts with
> all the usual tripe-wrapped pseudo-patriotism
> gleaned from League propaganda]

Oooops. I jumped ahead of myself.

> >> And many people who type at less than 30 wpm are pecking away on
the
> >> internet. Is that an efficient use of time ???
> >
> >RRAP is a complete waste of time. It's been a while since anyone
> >actually wanted to talk about code.
>
> Not quite... :-)
>
> But, RRAP is a waste of time in trying to talk
> about the code test issue. The PCTA will NOT
> allow any logic in such discussions...equating
> morse code with amateur excellence is part of
> the olde-tymer's brainwashing done long ago.
> It is their quasi-religious talisman, an icon hung
> on a wall to worship... :-)

Out comes the Wrenchy Stitch. And a good time was had by all.

> >> BTW; How fast do you type ????
> >>
> >> Caveat Lector

> >
> >I haven't been clocked in years. Last time was ~45wpm about 1985.
> Had
> >to prove I could type to get on the mainframe. Teletypes and IBM
card
> >cutters kind of screwed up my regular keyboard ability etx
>
> Back in '54 I was able to push the old Model 15s
> to their 60 WPM designed-in limit; keys had a
> mechanical lockout to insure that "burst" typing
> didn't generate character errors.

Please describe the "mechanical lockout" operation. How did it behave
when you got to that point?

That was in the
> Army on the station ADA order-wire loop.

We had what was called a "Pony Circuit" over to "manual data" in the
ADC/Ft Lee blockhouse.

Those
> old Teletype Corporation products were good to
> self-learn fast-but-steady typing rates. It helped
> if one already had touch-typing classes in high
> or middle school... :-)

didit

> In middle school (we called it "junior high" back
> then) of '48, we had old mechanical typewriters
> in that classroom...NO markings on the keys!
> Couldn't look down from the test, HAD to learn
> to touch, trust the psycho-motor hookups being
> made inside while learning. Was worth it later
> since typing skill applied to all kinds of human
> communication and was VERY handy when we
> entered the 'computer age.'

Puters aren't much good for nuttin. Vibroplex can do anything a puter
can do and do it better.

> A couple years ago a friend posed a dare at how
> good I was with a typing program on a PC. Could
> "cruise" (continuous copy) at 66 WPM with one
> error per thousand characters, "burst type" (quick
> spurts of keypresses) at about 90 WPM. Of
> course, 57 years of practice helps to
> keep/improve that skill. :-)

If the Army had put you through dittybopper school, you might have been
a cook and I'd never learn about the model 15 speed governor.

> Never used a card punch ("026"?) but a few times
> and can't remember if the Teletype model 33 had
> that auto-key-lock-out feature. By the mid-70s
> I'd gotten so used to touch-typing that one only
> got mental alarms if a key got stuck somehow or
> the p-tape punch ran out of p-tape. But that was
> three decades ago. Anyone not using electronic
> typing means today is ultra-cheap or totally retro.

One night the wxcentral was trying to input "bogus" data and the DEC (digital electronics corporation) digitizing pad went tango uniform. I got called in to remedy the problem. I found several extension cords in the mop (buffer) closet, ran them to the card cutter in the "wx comm museum" in the lobby, and started cutting cards. I got the card deck to the system operator in time to update the model to generate the first guess for the next model run. Then I trained a couple of people how to do it and went back to bed. This was in 1987.

SWX*BOG.BOGDAT

> But, we've got all those religious Believers who
> insist and insist and insist that amateurs MUST
> take a morse code test to get an amateur license!
> Totally retro. Totally nuts! :-(
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

They enjoy it. Fine. But it's past time to remove it as a pass/fail to HF.

1805. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 00:32:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109118747.762987.147420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103321

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Who's had lie after lie archived, and refuses to acknowledge and
> apologize for them?
>
> Ain't me, Kemosabe!

Ahem. Hate to break it to you,Steve, but every lie, false accusation,
threat, and out of control tirade you've gone on are archived.

1806. Re: Q-Signals On Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 00:37:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109119060.989966.271320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103322

Caveat Lector wrote:

> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1109026631.808565.58510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

> > So do I. Sending CW is so much fun that I spell everything out
> > phonetically in Morse Code.
> >
> > For instance, CQ is sent "c h a r l e y q u e b e c" It just
makes
> > the good times last longer.
> >
> An efficient use of your time I assume (;-)

W0EX, K3LT, and K4YZ used to get so upset that I dind't spend my spare
time getting to 20wpm.

Lucky for me this isn't East Germany and I get to decide how to spend
my leisure time.

1807. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 00:45:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109119541.027234.27890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103323

K4YZ wrote:

...the usual stabs with the usual title for someone not in the K4YZ fan club.

Nothing new there.

But why does he do it?

Simple. He can't help himself.

1808. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 00:54:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109120093.724032.72430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103324

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Like I said you whiney like bitch whore I fucking
> dare you come up with something different.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Todd, I think you need to work on the delivery a little more.

About 600,000 USA hams just tuned out your ideas.

1809. New Regs for VK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 01:21:17 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <hUQSd.171756$K7.167236@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 103325

Around May this year, Australia will introduce the Foundation Licence as part of a new three-tier licence system. Foundation, Standard & Advanced. (Instead of the current 5 licence categories of Novice Limited, Novice, Intermediate, Limited, Unrestricted)

It will be an easier test than the current Novice test and allow the Foundation Licence operation on the following bands, with a maximum output of 10w using unmodified commercial equipment only. It is a modified version to suit VK of the UK Foundation Licence.

Foundations will have access to 3.5-3.7, 7.0-7.3, 21-21.45, 28.0-29.7, 144-148 and 430-450MHz

The Current VK Novice (VK$ L,M, N, P, V) and Novice Limited (VK$ H) will become (upgraded to) Standard Licencees and will have access to all of the above, plus 14-14.350, 52-54.00, 1.240GHz-1.3GHz, 2.4-2.45GHz, 5.6-5.8GHz. with max pwr of 100w. Meaning there is only four bands we wo't gain automatic access to. (Yep, I'm a H call, didn't see the point in sitting a Morse Exam when it's no longer a test requirement)

This means that all VK Novice and Novice Limited will gain automatic access to a whole heap of new bands and modes when the Licence is introduced. So our current lowest licence class, the Novice Limited, or "H" call will become a mid-classed operator around May. Expect increased activity on many bands when this happens.

Limited (VK$ T, U, X, Y, Z) and Intermediate will become (VK$ J, K) (upgraded) to Advanced "Full Call" and have access to all VK Amateur Bands with max pwr of 400w.

These changes are a result of the ACA's Review of the Amateur Service and the Outcomes of that Review.

Ashley Geelan VK3HAG
vk3hag@deletethisbitnerg.asn.au

www.wia.org.au The world's oldest and First Amateur Radio Society, formed and operational Since 1910. (Before RSGB and the ARRL!)

1810. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 01:57:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109123871.260192.6420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103326

Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>> 5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?
>
> And there we have the crux of the situation, expressed in a simple

>question.
>
> If a person wants to operate phone, and that is it, then what do
they
>need to do that?
>
> Nothing. The Citizen's Band is adequate proof of that

So are all branches of the U.S. military operating on HF.
So are all civilian aircrew flying long routes using HF.
So are all private boat owners using HF.
So are various other civilian PLMRS users on HF.

> Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
Presumably the
>only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT button.

"Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
owners have to know where to get the PTT control
fixed! :-)

> The test requirements are there to give us some basic exposure to
>elements of the hobby that are considered important by knowledgeable
>people. While there may be argument about how well the tests function
>for that purpose, there they are.

Right...cast in concrete...protected by armor plate...
forbidden EVER to change!

[time no march on very fast for some...tsk, tsk]

> And what of people who only intend to operate phone QRP? The RF
>exposure questions are kind of a waste of time for them. Satellite
>operations? Just how many Hams do satellite operations? Why test on
band
>allocations, we can look them up in a book. Why should a person have
to
>do any basic electronics questions if they only want to buy a rig and
>antenna and operate phone?
>

> We can eventually argue away most of the test.

Of course to all PCTA, eliminating the morse
code test means "ALL tests are eliminated!"

Oh, my. That old merry-go-round started up!

>I was able to overcome my own physical limitations and pass the darn
>thing.

Your "hero of the American Amateur Corps" medal is
being struck right now. Watch for the event's
announcement in all leading news sources....

>I don't advocate changing the rules because I had trouble with
>one of them.

Right..."no gain, no pain." Not to mention NO GUTS
to try eliminating it by lawful means like "democratic
principles guaranteed under the U.S. Constitution."

You wanted to buy into the old beliefs. You did it.
Now you can have fun sneering at all who don't care
to buy that. You're a "somebody" in a radio hobby
activity! [applause, applause...] :-)

The ARRL is proud of you.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1811. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 02:03:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109124216.747935.117450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103327

Jim, N2EY@AOL.COM, wrote on Tues, Feb 22 2005 1:47 am
>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109009984.323422.143080
>> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>
>> 5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?
>
>A couple of reasons:
>
>For the same reason hams have to pass written *theory* tests to
>use *manufactured* rigs with no critical tuneup adjustments.

1. The FCC decided it needed to test radio amateurs as
part of their task of regulating all U.S. civil radio.

2. The VEC Question Pool Committee decides WHAT
the questions are; FCC only specifies a total number
and the percentage correct for passing.

3. Any other reason is meaningless... ;-)

>For the same reason hams have to pass written tests on VHF/UHF to
>operate HF, high-power RF exposure questions to operate QRP, etc.

FCC doesn't mandate morse code skill as
being necessary to operate about 30 MHz.

Technician class licensees don't have to take
morse code tests and they are banished to the
radioland above 30 MHz.

>And because code is a big part of amateur radio, and a ham who doesn't
>know any just isn't fully qualified.

" F U L L Y Q U A L I F I E D ! "

G o t t a l o v e i t !

Olde tymers had to test for morse..."ergo," newbies have
to test for morse code!!!

Excellence in U.S. amateur radio is all about morse code
ability!!!!

"Real" hams are MORSEMEN!

U.S. radio amateurs are the keepers of the
living museum of morsemanship! [all other
radio services have given up on morse code
for main communications]

Olde tyme hamme morsemen need playmates.

Keep the test to subsidize the "CW" playground
for the olde tymers!

Screw the newbies to HF...MAKE them learn
code to please the elitist olde tymers!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1812. Re: Lennie Resumes Flying "Career"...Results Predictable...Hundred's Dead...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 02:06:23 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109124383.111198.254590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103328

K4YZ [mad as hell and can't take it anymore] shouted on Feb 22, 9:45 am
>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Guess who fabricated an insulting "story" and posted it,
>> in a separate thread which had nothing to do with amateur
>> radio policy?
>
> Who antagonizes an entire worldwide discussion resource for an
>avocation that's he's decidedly not a part of?

Ooooooo!!!! Quick, contact Paul Schleck and Google and
have me BANNED from the newsgroup!!!! :-)

> Who's had lie after lie archived, and refuses to acknowledge and
>apologize for them?
>
> Ain't me, Kemosabe!

Tsk, tsk, tsk, little Steven James Robeson is guilty of that!
[and my name is not "kemosabe" :-)]

> You can't prove any of your "work" was original then claim others

>are "insane" for it.

Not a problem. You ARE nuts...

> You're a humiliation. To yourself. To your wife. To your
family
>name. To professional electrical engineering.

Tsk. Must a double dose of uppers! :-)

> More than one "professional paper" has been disproven as "false"
>long after it had been published.

Tsk. Prove it in detail. [you can't because you don't know]

> So We're now aasking YOU to "prove your work".

Shaking your "we-we" again? [that's obscene]

Nonebody else needing such proof. :-)

Never been fired from any job for "falsifying" things.
[not like a purchasing department job...]

> I say that judging by your frequent lies and deceitful behaviour
>it's highly unlikely that anything else you've done is valid either.

Wow! TRIPLE does of uppers!

Widdle Stevie should cut back before a vein go POP!

> We'll just narrow it down to those items published in Amateur
>Radio realted periodicals.

No problem. All 22 years of Ham Radio Magazine are
available on three CDs for $150 the set (shipping charges
extra) from ARRL or CQ Bookstore. :-)

> As for your "friends"...well...Like usually follows like, and I
>have no reason to believe they'd be any more likely to be any kore
>honest or "trustworthy" than you...regardless of which side of the
>aisle they were standing on.

Yup. That vein is getting bigger...and bigger...and bigger...

> No luck needed...no DOCTOR needed in order to help demonstrate
>that Leonard H Anderson is a lying, deceitful, untrustworthy old
codger
>who's lashing out in disappointment in his final days on Earth.

Such warmth, such charm, such joie de vivre!

Widdle Stebie be a grate PR man for ham radio!

Role model! ...or one of the 'models' on "CSI"? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1813. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 02:08:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109124513.746463.143600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103329

K4YZ@AOL.COM [so angry he can't think or type straight]
wrote on Feb 22, 10:43 am:

> This is a forum about Amateur Radio POLICY.
>
> Every time.

Oooooo! That's a keeper! We'd all better laminate-
that-and-keep-it-in-our-wallets! Or maybe frame it
to hang on the wall!

The judge roy bean of the newsgroup has SPOKEN!

All hear and obey!

Except all PCTA morsemen...they can talk about
anything they want but all others are not. QED.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1814. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 03:47:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <JvOdnTInb6hEYYbfRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103330

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1109093365.641736.256390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
> [snip]
>
>
>>>clean up. The accident was related to a snow squall that blew up
>>>unexpectedly, and the excessive speed that the whole group was
>>
>>traveling
>>
>>>at. While no charges were filed against anyone at the time, the
>>
>>families
>>
>>>of the deceased are filing suit against the truck drivers *and* the
>>>companies they worked for. Hopefully the trucking companies have a
>>
>>good
>>
>>>safety program.
>>
>>If someone was following too close for conditions, shouldn't they be
>>liable?
>>
>
>
> Since both my current and previous husbands are/were truck drivers, I tend
> to observe what trucks are doing on the road. Though I cannot speak for
> this particular accident, normally it is the CARS following the trucks too
> close for conditions. How can the truckers prevent that and the sometimes
> tragic consequences?

The speeds were well over 70. A truck that was passing the line of traffic jackknifed and the rest is history. The police did not see fit to issue any citations. All were traveling over the speed limit, and when the storm blew up, they were waaayy too fast for the conditions.

As for who is at fault, I have several times had to speed up to ridiculous speeds to not get run over by truck drivers who want to get a run for the next hill At speeds of 85 and more, they will get close

enough for you to count how many bugs were caught on their radiators. I've seen a number of accidents where a truck has simply run right over the car in front of them

I carry a CB, and I must say that there is an urban myth, believed by most truck drivers, that they *never* do anything wrong. What was especially funny was the time a truck jackknifed in front of a line of cars during a bad snowstorm - about 10 years ago - also on I-80, and by the time ten minutes had passed, the story passed around by radio was that a 4 wheeler had passed the truck, and cut him off, causing the jackknife. The offending 4 wheeler was never found. Not surprising to those of us who were close enough to see the accident happen!

Truck drivers are professionals, and almost always much better drivers than those in the automobiles. But that doesn't mean they are never to blame.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1815. Re: New Regs for VK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 04:08:26 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <u80o119tsf3mc5d5qh98l1kvm17p3vond2@4ax.com>
Source record: 103331

On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 01:21:17 GMT, "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
wrote:

>Around May this year, Australia will introduce the Foundation Licence as
>part of a new three-tier licence system. Foundation, Standard & Advanced.
>(Instead of the current 5 licence categories of Novice Limited, Novice,
>Intermediate, Limited, Unrestricted)

Very interesting. Not that I don't believe you <smile> but when some
semi official news releases from the Australian Communications
Authority or the Wireless Institute of Austrailia come out could you
post the URLs to this thread.

We now return discussion to the inevitable moaning and groaning about
the death of amateur radio due to the dropping of the CW requirement.
<smile>

Tony

1816. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 04:37:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <421C0894.672997D3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103332

robert casey wrote:

> Have heard what is likely an urban legend of a ham (who was
> also a broadcast chief engineer) using the 50KW AM transmitter in his
> charge to work some rare DX on some HF ham band. Late at
> night when it was off for maintenance. Seems unlikely as the
> power amp would have circuits tuned for the MW AM broadcast
> station's frequency and low pass filters to block harmonics
> in the SW spectrum. That's not something one can modify
> in a few minutes.
>
> Oh, you could connect a ham transceiver to the broadcast
> antenna tower to work some 160m DX, but that would be
> legal.

The late WB8LUA was chief engineer at WNOP, a Newport, Kentucky 1,000 watt daytimer with transmitters in suburban Cincinnati. They used a three tower critical array and all three towers were about 295 feet tall. Len would disconnect the feeders after sign-off and fire up on 160m through a Dentron tuner at the base of one tower. His barefoot sigs were incredible. Unfortunately for him, his TS-820's receiver was eaten alive by broadcast band overload.

An old time W2 in New Jersey (still living) was nailed by the FCC for running 35 KW from his home in the early 1970's. He beat the rap on a technicality and is involved in "hi-fi" SSB audio on 20m in his dotage.

Dave K8MN

1817. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 04:53:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <421C0C85.12580017@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103333

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Brian, "Caveat's" response is so typical of the already-
> license-and-already-code-tested amateurs in regards
> to the morse code TEST. :-)

Ah yes, that dreaded 5 wpm morse code TEST, the one which has you
beaten.

> They've passed it, they've got their license, and to
> hell with newcomers...make those damn newcomers
> take the code test like they had to!

No candidate for the Amateur Extra can or must take the morse code test
like I had to. They need only pass a measly 5 wpm exam. I'd love to be
able to express sorrow to those who find that hill too hard to take but
I just can't find it in me. If you find 5 wpm too much of an ordeal,
Leonard, you'll have to look elsewhere for sympathy.

> [any other pithy little comments by PCTA are
> interspersed with bullshit like "showing dedication
> to the 'amateur community' and other mythological
> nonsense]

You needn't show any dedication at all, Len. Just pass the 5 wpm.
I know, you have a PROFESSIONAL background in electronics and you're an
old fellow, long in the tooth but there are currently no gimmes on the
code test for HF access.

> See? Typical response from PCTAs. "CW" is 'just another
> mode hams use.' :-)
>
> NO OTHER MODE IS TESTED AS AUDIO
> COGNITION OR HUMAN OPERATION FOR
> ANY HAM LICENSE. Never was.

NO OTHER MODE REQUIRES ANY PARTICULAR SKILL. HAS 5 WPM GOT YOU STUMPED?
YOU BET IT HAS.

> Radiotelegraphy signal cognition is the ONLY
> separate pass-fail test element to gain
> privileges below 30 MHz in the USA.

Sure is.

> [now watch all the snarly PCTA come out of
> the woodwork and "fight" those two facts with
> all the usual tripe-wrapped pseudo-patriotism

> gleaned from League propaganda]

You have your two facts straight. I agree with them. Now what?

> Not quite... :-)
>
> But, RRAP is a waste of time in trying to talk
> about the code test issue.

That hasn't stopped you from wasting your time in doing so.

> The PCTA will NOT
> allow any logic in such discussions...equating
> morse code with amateur excellence is part of
> the olde-tymer's brainwashing done long ago.
> It is their quasi-religious talisman, an icon hung
> on a wall to worship... :-)

Here's a talisman for you, Leonard. A ham who passes all written exams and a morse code exam knows a bit more than a ham who passes the written exams and no morse exam. You however, have passed no radio amateur exams of any kind.

> Back in '54 I was able to push the old Model 15s
> to their 60 WPM designed-in limit; keys had a
> mechanical lockout to insure that "burst" typing
> didn't generate character errors. That was in the
> Army on the station ADA order-wire loop. Those
> old Teletype Corporation products were good to
> self-learn fast-but-steady typing rates. It helped
> if one already had touch-typing classes in high
> or middle school... :-)
>
> In middle school (we called it "junior high" back
> then) of '48, we had old mechanical typewriters
> in that classroom...NO markings on the keys!
> Couldn't look down from the test, HAD to learn
> to touch, trust the psycho-motor hookups being
> made inside while learning. Was worth it later
> since typing skill applied to all kinds of human
> communication and was VERY handy when we
> entered the 'computer age.'

That's the same way I learned typing, Len. Similar methods can be used in learning morse code. If you'd applied those methods to developing morse skills you'd have had a ham ticket for decades. Sitting around here grousing hasn't done much toward that end, has it?

Dave K8MN

1818. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 05:00:45 UTC
From: JB <anon@blankjb.org>
Message-ID: <cvh2jahaa0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Harris" <harris@nospamanyanon.com> wrote in message
news:cvdnkn$sp3$0@pita.alt.net...
> Saw cell phone jammers, both personal and large area type,
> for sale at a popular security/private detective shop in
> Manhattan, NYC. Clerk said he is selling them faster than
> he can keep them in stock.
>
> Harris

I know of at least two upscale restaurants in Chicago that have
used cell phone "silencers" for over a year now.

JB

1819. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 05:10:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109135425.762210.92200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103335

From: "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> Tues, Feb 22 2005 4:25 pm
>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> bb wrote on Mon, Feb 21 2005 7:08 pm
>> >Caveat Lector wrote:
>> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1109026328.348139.84110@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>> Radiotelegraphy signal cognition is the ONLY
>> separate pass-fail test element to gain
>> privileges below 30 MHz in the USA.
>
>You gotta be wrong. There must have been something else only because
>you gotta be wrong.

Absolutely...according to those wonderful chaps, the
PCTA extras!

Widdle Stebie gonna have me BANNED from here...

>> Back in '54 I was able to push the old Model 15s
>> to their 60 WPM designed-in limit; keys had a
>> mechanical lockout to insure that "burst" typing
>> didn't generate character errors.
>
>Please describe the "mechanical lockout" operation. How did it behave

>when you got to that point?

It can be felt in the fingertips as a slight impact
then you can't depress the key to make anything
happen unless the finger comes off and then
depresses the key. Happened with all Model
15s through Model 19s. Still happens on those
old dependable mechanical marvels.

>> Army on the station ADA order-wire loop.
>
>We had what was called a "Pony Circuit" over to "manual data" in the
>ADC/Ft Lee blockhouse.

The "order-wire" name (for in-house comms
only) originated well before WW2, probably
from the telephone companies (?). There
were lots of other names for those, some
flippant, some downright nasty. In the old
way of organizing, ADA had two loops: One

with Receivers (separate site), the other with
Transmitters but also including Frequency
Standards (located at Receiver site). All
the QSY orders, circuit-up, or circuit-down
notices came over the order-wires first,
written at all points in a loop so that there
was no mistake. Automatic log-book
keeping in a way. :-)

>>Those
>> old Teletype Corporation products were good to
>> self-learn fast-but-steady typing rates. It helped
>> if one already had touch-typing classes in high
>> or middle school... :-)
>
>didit

One reason I stayed through typing class
was being able to socialize more with the
girls. More girls than boys in it way back
in prehistoric times. :-)

>If the Army had put you through dittybopper school, you might have
been
>a cook and I'd never learn about the model 15 speed governor.

Tsk. I wouldn't have made it through beeper
classes for a Field Radio MOS. I'd wind up
with reel on my back laying field wire for
EE-8 telephones. :-)

Gotta give a big hand of applause to the
mechanical engineers at Teletype Corp.!
The basic Model 15 TTY could clank away
for weeks of 24/7 duty needing only to be
fed paper and ink. Remarkable for so many
internal parts. Prior to FIELDATA code and
new machines, Army tried out a very few
circuits with new motors (and self governors)
to run at 75 WPM. Downtime suddenly
went into the basement during that 1955
test so that idea was shelved. For 220
TTYs at ADA torn-tape relay, only two
specialists were on-shift for maintenance.
At normal 60 WPM rates those two were
not busy. Dependable hardware.

>> But, we've got all those religious Believers who
>> insist and insist and insist that amateurs MUST
>> take a morse code test to get an amateur license!
>> Totally retro. Totally nuts! :-(
>

> But it's past time to remove it as a pass/fail to HF.

I agree from a logical standpoint. But keeping
code test is high-charge EMOTIONAL issue
with olde-tymer hammes. GOTTA have or they
get tantrums, say no-coders are "not
qualified!" Gots to keep code test FOREVER.
Be FULLY QUALIFIED, only, repeat ONLY
possible by "CW."

U.S. ham radio be Living Museum of old
radio skills! Jimmie Who be curator? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1820. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 06:24:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109139882.370225.177560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103336

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> ...the usual stabs with the usual title for someone not in the K4YZ
fan
> club.
>
> Nothing new there.
>
> But why does he do it?
>
> Simple. He can't help himself.

And as ususal, Brain, you miss the point.

It's not about me. It's about you and your lying buddy.

Steve, K4YZ

1821. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 06:34:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109140486.575845.54370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103337

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> K4YZ@AOL.COM [so angry he can't think or type straight]
> wrote on Feb 22, 10:43 am:
>
> > This is a forum about Amateur Radio POLICY.
> >
> > Every time.
>
> Oooooo! That's a keeper! We'd all better laminate-
> that-and-keep-it-in-our-wallets! Or maybe frame it
> to hang on the wall!
>
> The judge roy bean of the newsgroup has SPOKEN!
>
> All hear and obey!
>
> Except all PCTA morsemen...they can talk about
> anything they want but all others are not. QED.

And again you miss (avoid) the point. It's not about "judging"
anyone or imposing any restrictions.

It's about pointing out what a twofaced, lying scumbag you are and
how YOU "impose" such restrictions on EVERYone else except you.

I could care less what you "talk" about.

It's that you, on the one hand, "demand" that everyone talk about
what YOU want to talk about when you want to talk about it, but then
quickly attempt to redirect anyone who dares stray from YOUR agenda
regardless of what the topic is.

RRAP is an "amateur radio policy" newsgroup as long as we discuss
nothing but the Morse Code test issue...Unless, of course, YOU want to
discuss 1950's era Army nets, radio controlled models, politics, the
value of your rela estate or retirement holdings, etc. Then it can be
a discussion group on any of those topics.

Thankfully you reintroduce new examples almost every day of YOUR
"double standard", thereby greatly reducing my workload and reproving
once again what a chronic, self-serving liar you are.

Thanks.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1822. Re: New Regs for VK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 07:00:29 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <hSVSd.172088$K7.11021@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 103338

No worries here are the links to verify my info:
ACA:
http://internet.aca.gov.au/ACAINTER.4259894:STANDARD:559558811:pp=PC_1608,pc=PC_1618,#Outcomes
http://internet.aca.gov.au/acainterwr/aca_home/licensing/radcomm/amateur_review/amateur_review_outcome.pdf

WIA: http://www.wia.org.au/foundation/
http://www.wia.org.au/news/2004/Amateur%20Radio%20Review%20Recommends%20New%20Licensing%20Structure.php

And when it actually happens, I'll post the links to the finalised new regs
when they become available.

Just waiting now for the ACA to make changes to it's legislation to
implement these changes, but wheels at government turn slowly..they can't
afford the oil!

"Tony VE6MVP" <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com> wrote in message
news:u80o119tsf3mc5d5qh98l1kvm17p3vond2@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 01:21:17 GMT, "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
> wrote:
>
> >Around May this year, Australia will introduce the Foundation Licence as
> >part of a new three-tier licence system. Foundation, Standard & Advanced.
> >(Instead of the current 5 licence categories of Novice Limited, Novice,
> >Intermediate, Limited, Unrestricted)
>
> Very interesting. Not that I don't believe you <smile> but when some
> semi official news releases from the Australian Communications
> Authority or the Wireless Institute of Austrailia come out could you
> post the URLs to this thread.
>
> We now return discussion to the inevitable moaning and groaning about
> the death of amateur radio due to the dropping of the CW requirement.
> <smile>
>
> Tony

1823. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 09:42:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109151732.427018.208200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103339

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Widdle Stebie gonna have me BANNED from here...

Naaaaaaah.....It's more fun watching you make such a complete and
utter fool out of yourself.

You remind me of the "This is your brain...this is your brain on
drugs..." commercial, Lennie. In one instance you show us what you're
capable of, then you scramble it with your foolish behaviour.

> >If the Army had put you through dittybopper school, you might have
> been
> >a cook and I'd never learn about the model 15 speed governor.
>
> Tsk. I wouldn't have made it through beeper
> classes for a Field Radio MOS. I'd wind up
> with reel on my back laying field wire for
> EE-8 telephones.

Considering what you've "contributed" to the world of two way
radio communication, you might as well have. At least there would have
been something usable left behind when you were done.

> Gotta give a big hand of applause to the
> mechanical engineers at Teletype Corp.!
> The basic Model 15 TTY could clank away...(SNIP)

Lennie...I thought you insisted that this was a radio policy
newsgroup.

Now you and PuppetBoy spend two days talking about 1940's and 50's
era teletype gear...?!?!

What's up with that? Can't adhere to your own rhetoric...?!?!

> >> But, we've got all those religious Believers who
> >> insist and insist and insist that amateurs MUST
> >> take a morse code test to get an amateur license!
> >> Totally retro. Totally nuts!
> >
> > But it's past time to remove it as a pass/fail to HF.
>
> I agree from a logical standpoint. But keeping
> code test is high-charge EMOTIONAL issue
> with olde-tymer hammes. GOTTA have or they
> get tantrums, say no-coders are "not

> qualified!" Gots to keep code test FOREVER.
> Be FULLY QUALIFIED, only, repeat ONLY
> possible by "CW."

Morse Code is and will remain for some time to come a valuable
and viable means of basic, unicersal communications.

No amount of pontification by ex radio technicians or mild manner
ex Air Force weathermen posing as thier sidekicks can change that.

> U.S. ham radio be Living Museum of old
> radio skills! Jimmie Who be curator?

Who's Jimmie? Cousin of your's, Lennie? I know you can't be
talking about Jim Miccolis. And I know this because YOU have stated
that you don't speak disrespectfully or use diminutives when treated
likewise.

And we know YOU "don't lie"...Or do you?

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1824. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 09:58:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109152736.951170.42630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103340

bb wrote:
> k...@dvol.com wrote:
>
> Those labs were the headquarters for the vast
> > USCG Loran system which operated on 160 along with the hams who
could
> > find holes in the Loran QRM. Paul and Doug decided to put a Loran
> > antenna system to work in a 160 DX contest. And they did. They blew
> the
> > lid off the band and came up with a score which which rewrote the
> 160M
> > dxing record book, worked something like 68 countries in one
> > weekend.'Ole Stew Perry never knew what hit him. Unthinkable back
> then
> > and not bad at all even today.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> This is the part where K4YZ demands a letter from "thier" Commander.

Why would I?

> "Sorry Kelly, USCG LORAN >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

It's a darned shame that you can't handle more than one concept in
a 12 calendar month period, Brain. But then it does makes it a lot
easier to keep you on a leash.

Heel, boy.

Steve, K4YZ

1825. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 10:27:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109154443.159274.185470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103341

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> K4YZ [mad as hell and can't take it anymore] shouted on Feb 22, 9:45
am
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> Guess who fabricated an insulting "story" and posted it,
> >> in a separate thread which had nothing to do with amateur
> >> radio policy?
> >
> > Who antagonizes an entire worldwide discussion resource for an
> >avocation that's he's decidedly not a part of?
>
> Ooooooo!!!! Quick, contact Paul Schleck and Google and
> have me BANNED from the newsgroup!!!!

If only we could.....

> > Who's had lie after lie archived, and refuses to acknowledge
and
> >apologize for them?
> >
> > Ain't me, Kemosabe!
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, little Steven James Robeson is guilty of that!

I am sure you wished it were true, Kemosabe.

> [and my name is not "kemosabe" :-)]

OK, Kemosabe.

> > You can't prove any of your "work" was original then claim
others
>
> >are "insane" for it.
>
> Not a problem. You ARE nuts...

And you're a humiliation to yourself and your family name.

All pathological liars are. The ironic thing is that most
pathological liars WANT to get caught. It's a subconcious effort to
get help.

Too bad Mrs. Lennie, the correspondence course shrink, doesn't
read the NG. Of course she would have to WANT to help. By letting you
act a fool all the time she can keep you under thumb.

(I've often considered that Lennie probably is a domestic abuse
victim whose only source of venting IS this NG...and we are all just
victims of his redirected frustrations. His patterns of postings and
methodology of belittling and denigrating are typical of an abused
person)

> > You're a humiliation. To yourself. To your wife. To your
> family
> >name. To professional electrical engineering.
>
> Tsk. Must a double dose of uppers!

Nope. Just another round of rubbing your nose in your own
mistruthfulness.

> > More than one "professional paper" has been disproven as
"false"
> >long after it had been published.
>
> Tsk. Prove it in detail. [you can't because you don't know]
>
> > So We're now asking YOU to "prove your work".
>
> Shaking your "we-we" again? [that's obscene]

Hey Lennie...You and your sidekick need to get your rants worked
out so you don't at once claim others are "fixated" on male genitalia,
and then you go right ahead and do that very thing...

Just makes making a fool out of you even that more easy.

> Nonebody else needing such proof.

I do. Your volumes of lies and mistruths in this forum tend to
lend great doubt on the validity of ANYthing that you have "authored".
If you expect ANYone to believe the validity of them, you need to prove
it.

You've so discreditied yourself as to make ANYthing you say
doubtable.

Your "word" is worthless.

> Never been fired from any job for "falsifying" things.
> [not like a purchasing department job...]

You worked in a Purchasing Department too...???

I never falsified any documents, though. Why did you find it
necessary to...????

> > I say that judging by your frequent lies and deceitful
behaviour
> >it's highly unlikely that anything else you've done is valid either.
>

> Wow! TRIPLE does of uppers!

Nope...It's just that the pattern of YOUR behaviour is well known.
You're not a trustworthy person.

> > We'll just narrow it down to those items published in Amateur
> >Radio realted periodicals.
>
> No problem. All 22 years of Ham Radio Magazine are
> available on three CDs for $150 the set (shipping charges
> extra) from ARRL or CQ Bookstore.

You're not in the entire 22 years of Ham Radio.

And you still haven't produced any references to projects that
your "papers" contributed materially to, Lennie.

Come to think of it...Had Jim Fisk used the money he paid you for
your "work" on a swimsuit edition instead, HR might still be in active
publication today...

At least then the subscribers would ahve had something a bit more
useful.

> > As for your "friends"...well...Like usually follows like, and I
> >have no reason to believe they'd be any more likely to be any more
> >honest or "trustworthy" than you...regardless of which side of the
> >aisle they were standing on.
>
> Yup. That vein is getting bigger...and bigger...and bigger...

Nope. I just consider the current events. Look who your
"buddies" are in THIS forum. Why should we expect "real life" to be
any different...???

> > No luck needed...no DOCTOR needed in order to help demonstrate
> >that Leonard H Anderson is a lying, deceitful, untrustworthy old
> codger
> >who's lashing out in disappointment in his final days on Earth.
>
> Such warmth, such charm, such joie de vivre!
>
> Widdle Stebie be a grate PR man for ham radio!
>
> Role model! ...or one of the 'models' on "CSI"?

Hmmmmmmmmmmmm "CSI: Sun Valley"..... Based on a true story?
Could happen!

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

And there's our "star" now!

Steve, K4YZ

1826. Re: Mores code ham gets fined

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 11:43:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109159019.773477.210120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103342

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > k...@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> > Those labs were the headquarters for the vast
> > > USCG Loran system which operated on 160 along with the hams who
> could
> > > find holes in the Loran QRM. Paul and Doug decided to put a Loran
> > > antenna system to work in a 160 DX contest. And they did. They
blew
> > the
> > > lid off the band and came up with a score which which rewrote the
> > 160M
> > > dxing record book, worked something like 68 countries in one
> > > weekend.'Ole Stew Perry never knew what hit him. Unthinkable back
> > then
> > > and not bad at all even today.
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > This is the part where K4YZ demands a letter from "thier"
Commander.
>
> Why would I?
>
> > "Sorry Kelly, USCG LORAN >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>
> It's a darned shame that you can't handle more than one concept
in
> a 12 calendar month period, Brain. But then it does makes it a lot
> easier to keep you on a leash.
>
> Heel, boy.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Nurse, heel thyself.

1827. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 11:45:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109159120.943562.229990@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103343

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > ...the usual stabs with the usual title for someone not in the K4YZ
> fan
> > club.
> >
> > Nothing new there.
> >
> > But why does he do it?
> >
> > Simple. He can't help himself.
>
> And as ususal, Brain, you miss the point.
>
> It's not about me. It's about you and your lying buddy.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It's all about you.

Nurse, heel thyself.

1828. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 11:58:38 UTC
From: "Larry Gauthier (K8UT)" <MyCallsign@ARRL.NET>
Message-ID: <Kk_Sd.17320$Wv6.10586@fe07.lga>
Source record: 103344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The latest PC Magazine also ran an article on a "Universal TV remote shut-off" key fob. It contains the shut-off code for over 200 TV models, and one press of the button sends out all 200 codes. Walk into your favorite restaurant or sports bar and make it quiet.

--
-larry
K8UT
"JB" <anon@blankjb.org> wrote in message news:cvh2jahaa0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Harris" <harris@nospamanyanon.com> wrote in message
> news:cvdnkn$sp3$0@pita.alt.net...
>> Saw cell phone jammers, both personal and large area type,
>> for sale at a popular security/private detective shop in
>> Manhattan, NYC. Clerk said he is selling them faster than
>> he can keep them in stock.
>>
>> Harris
>
>
>
> I know of at least two upscale restaurants in Chicago that have
> used cell phone "silencers" for over a year now.
>
> JB
>
>
>

1829. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 13:51:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109166707.942384.171130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103345

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109088706.576066.237160
> @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109065656.859950.28030
> >> @z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> >> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109009984.323422.143080
> >> >> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
> >> >>
> >> >> <snip>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> 5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?
> >> >
> >> > A couple of reasons:
> >> >
> >> > For the same reason hams have to pass written *theory* tests to
> >> > use *manufactured* rigs with no critical tuneup adjustments.
> >> >
> >> > For the same reason hams have to pass written tests on VHF/UHF
to
> >> > operate HF, high-power RF exposure questions to operate QRP,
etc.
> >> >
> >> > And because code is a big part of amateur radio, and a ham who
> >> > doesn't know any just isn't fully qualified.
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> I think we can agree to differ on that last point.
> >
> > Perhaps.
> >
> > Do you agree that Morse code is a big part of amateur radio? Not
that
> > it needs a test, but just that it is a big part of today's amateur
> > radio, particularly on HF?

Well?

Agreeing that something is a big part of amateur radio does *not*
mean that something deserves its own stand-alone test.

> >
> >> As a matter of fact, even directly after passing the US 20wpm test
I
> >> couldn't have passed the UK 12wpm test.
> >
> > Perhaps. But I thought we were discussing *US* code test
requirements.
> >>
> >> 5wpm is not too difficult, especially the way it is tested in the
US,
> >> but until recently it only gave access to the 'novice' subbands in
the
> >> US, all of which except for 10m didn't allow phone. From my PoV,
it
> >> would only have given me 10m at that time. I never took 5.
> >
> > Since 1990 it has been possible to get an Extra (or any other
> > HF-privileges amateur radio license) with just the 5 wpm code test
and
> > a waiver. 15 years - hardly "recently".
> >
> >> I probably could have passed 5 when I came to the US, but I simply
> >> didn't realise how much easier the tests were here. Thinking it
would
> >> have been as hard as a UK test I didn't bother to take it.
> >
> > The test procedures here aren't secret. Never were.
> >
> >> I was operating above 30MHz
> >> on a 610A permit, and when the 'no code' licence was introduced I
> >> decided to get a US call. Having 'aced' the Novice and I think
dropped
> >> one question in the Tech paper, I was given the General paper, for
> >> which I hadn't looked at the syllabus or question pool atall, and
I
> >> passed that. Ditto the Advanced, but they didn't have a spare
Extra
> >> paper. None of this really surprised me, as the UK B licence had
the
> >> same theory as the A licence, and I have an EE degree anyway, but
it
> >> surprised the VEs.
> >
> > Why should it? The US writtens were *never* very hard - if you knew
a
> > little radio and some regs.
> >
> > Back in 1968 I went for General at the FCC office in early summer.
Did
> > not pass 13 wpm code because the examiner couldn't read my

longhand.
> > Got credit for 5 wpm, took the written (which was same as General
back
> > then), walked out with a Tech. Could not use the new privs until
the
> > actual license arrived in the mail, though.
> >
> > Went home, taught myself Signal-Corps-method block printing and
more
> > practice until I could do 18 wpm W1AW bulletins solid. Went back
and
> > passed 13 wpm code easily, sending and receiving.
> >
> > Then the examiner says "why not try Advanced while you're here?".
Now
> > in those days the Advanced was supposedly the toughest of the
writtens,
> > with all sorts of math and circuits and such. But one did not say
No to
> > The Man, so I tried, with zero preparation. Passed easily and wound
up
> > with Advanced instead of General.
> >
> > That was back before question pools, Bash books and computerized
> > practice tests. Didn't have an EE back then either - I was 14 years
old
> > and it was the summer between 8th and 9th grades.
> >
> > Two years later I went back to get the Extra. Would have been
sooner
> > but in those days you had to have two years experience as General
or
> > Advanced to even *try* the Extra.
> >>
> >> This gave me 12 months to pass 13wpm if I didn't want to have to
take
> >> the General and Advanced theory again. With the help of computer
> >> software and slow Morse transmissions I did it in six months.
> >
> > Bingo.
> >
> > How long do you think it would have taken

you

> > to get to 5 wpm, tested the
> > way the USA does?

Hmm?

> >> Note that Mike got there
> >> in that amount of time from scratch even with hearing problems,
and it
> >> took me that long when I wasn't starting from the beginning, and
> >> there's no problem with my hearing. Also, I had a relay of all the
VEs
> >> sending code on 2m five nights a week. They saw it a a challenge
to
> >> teach me code. I almost passed 20, but I had to come back a couple
of
> >> months later.
> >>
> >> To get up to 13wpm meant copying whole characters instead of dits
and
> >> dahs, no matter how easy the type of test. OK, so that's gone, but
> >> that means the remaining Element 1 doesn't test the ability to
copy
> >> complete characters, so on the one hand it's relatively easy, but
on
> >> the other hand it's pointless.
> >
> > Not at all.
> >
> > If the code uses Farnsworth spacing, you copy characters, not dits
and
> > dahs. This isn't anything new - W1AW has been sending code practice
> > that way since at least 1966 (first time I heard it, anyway).
> >
> >> Why preserve a test that doesn't test an adequate level of a skill
as
> >> a requirement for access to a particular part of the spectrum,
when
> >> there's no requirement to use that skill anyway?
> >
> > Same reason for written tests. Do the writtens guarantee that all
who
> > pass can design/build/modify/repair/operate all amateur equipment
they
> > are authorized to use? Or do they test basic knowledge?
> >
> > 5 wpm is basic Morse skill, that's all.
> >
> > Why is it too much to ask?

I think that one major reason some people are so
against the code test is that it isn't something
you can learn by reading a book or watching a
video.

> >> Tradition? That's a
> >> weak reason, but it seems to be the only one. Sure, 40% of HF may
be

> >> CW, but I can (and do) operate 100% phone .
> >
> > And my HF operation is 99% CW on 80/40/20, with 100 watts or less
> > output, yet I had to learn all kinds of stuff about high power,
'phone
> > modes, RTTY, SSTV, other HF bands, VHF/UHF, etc. Most of that
knowledge
> > I've never needed, and some of it (like band edges) has changed
since I
> > took the test. So why did I have to learn all that in the first
place,
> > just to operate a QRP rig on 7015 CW?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> I'm not sure this is getting us anywhere. This is all old ground.
>
Let's boil it down to basics:

Your argument is that nobody should have to learn Knowledge A in order
to
do Activity B if Activity B can be done without Knowledge A.

In this case

Knowledge A = basic Morse skill, Activity B = amateur radio HF phone

The problem is that if you accept that reasoning, you must logically a
accept a lot more:

Knowledge A = radio theory, Activity B = operate modern manufactured
rig

Knowledge A = limits of Band X, Activity B = operate on Band Y

Knowledge A = SSB theory, Activity B = operate Morse

Knowledge A = high power RF exposure safety, Activity B = operate QRP

and much more.

Despite all the colorful false analogies with buggywhips and such, no
one has been able to show why the above arguments don't follow.

In fact, the NCVEC proposal takes it to that level, not only dumping
code testing but further watering down the *written* requirements to
an almost absurd level.

Do you think NCVEC has the right idea?

Suppose someone proposed to eliminate the Extra and Advanced class
licenses, give those hams Generals - and give all Generals full
privileges.

And suppose the proposal argued that since a General was qualified to use
all modes, bands and power levels allowed to Advanceds and Extras, there
was no need for the two higher level license classes.

How would you counter that argument?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1830. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 16:16:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109175385.790987.196820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103346

bb wrote:

> It's all about you.
>
> Nurse, heel thyself.

As opposed to YOU, Brain, who IS a "heel"....

Steve, K4YZ

1831. If your seriously into "little porn" check out this link:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 17:05:28 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <ab8mq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <383rs0F5krkj9U2@individual.net>
Source record: 103347

http://www.network54.com/Forum/thread?forumid=388396&messageid=1108330285&lp=1108330285

1832. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 20:09:05 UTC
From: k0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1109189345.402618.200910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103348

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> Go to streets of your town as
> ask the average person on the
> street if they had a choice between the
> Internet and Amateur radio which one would
> they pick? The vast majority of
> people would pick the internet.

Red herring alert! You don't need to choose "between" them..... it's
perfectly acceptable to participate in both, and for different reasons.

Come back when you've learned logical debating techniques.

With kindest personal regards,

Hans, K0HB

1833. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 22:04:27 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9606AD1D0F802elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103349

```
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109166707.942384.171130
@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109088706.576066.237160
>> @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109065656.859950.28030
>> >> @z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
>> >> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109009984.323422.143080
>> >> >> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> <snip>
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> 5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?
>> >> >
>> >> > A couple of reasons:
>> >> >
>> >> > For the same reason hams have to pass written *theory* tests to
>> >> > use *manufactured* rigs with no critical tuneup adjustments.
>> >> >
>> >> > For the same reason hams have to pass written tests on VHF/UHF to
>> >> > operate HF, high-power RF exposure questions to operate QRP, etc.
>> >> >
>> >> > And because code is a big part of amateur radio, and a ham who
>> >> > doesn't know any just isn't fully qualified.
>> >> >
>> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >>
>> >> I think we can agree to differ on that last point.
>> >
>> > Perhaps.
>> >
>> > Do you agree that Morse code is a big part of amateur radio? Not
>> > that it needs a test, but just that it is a big part of today's
>> > amateur radio, particularly on HF?
>
> Well?
>
```

> Agreeing that something is a big part of amateur radio does *not*
> mean that something deserves its own stand-alone test.

It's maybe about 40% of HF, so I suppose it's big enough.

>> >
>> >> As a matter of fact, even directly after passing the US 20wpm test
>> >> I couldn't have passed the UK 12wpm test.
>> >
>> > Perhaps. But I thought we were discussing *US* code test
>> > requirements.
>> >>
>> >> 5wpm is not too difficult, especially the way it is tested in the
>> >> US, but until recently it only gave access to the 'novice' subbands
>> >> in the US, all of which except for 10m didn't allow phone. From my
>> >> PoV, it would only have given me 10m at that time. I never took 5.
>> >
>> > Since 1990 it has been possible to get an Extra (or any other
>> > HF-privileges amateur radio license) with just the 5 wpm code test
>> > and a waiver. 15 years - hardly "recently".
>> >
>> >> I probably could have passed 5 when I came to the US, but I simply
>> >> didn't realise how much easier the tests were here. Thinking it
>> >> would have been as hard as a UK test I didn't bother to take it.
>> >
>> > The test procedures here aren't secret. Never were.
>> >
>> >> I was operating above 30MHz
>> >> on a 610A permit, and when the 'no code' licence was introduced I
>> >> decided to get a US call. Having 'aced' the Novice and I think
>> >> dropped one question in the Tech paper, I was given the General
>> >> paper, for which I hadn't looked at the syllabus or question pool
>> >> atall, and I passed that. Ditto the Advanced, but they didn't have
>> >> a spare Extra paper. None of this really surprised me, as the UK B
>> >> licence had the same theory as the A licence, and I have an EE
>> >> degree anyway, but it surprised the VEs.
>> >
>> > Why should it? The US writtens were *never* very hard - if you knew
>> > a little radio and some regs.
>> >
>> > Back in 1968 I went for General at the FCC office in early summer.
>> > Did not pass 13 wpm code because the examiner couldn't read my
>> > longhand. Got credit for 5 wpm, took the written (which was same as
>> > General back then), walked out with a Tech. Could not use the new
>> > privs until the actual license arrived in the mail, though.
>> >
>> > Went home, taught myself Signal-Corps-method block printing and more
>> > practice until I could do 18 wpm W1AW bulletins solid. Went back and
>> > passed 13 wpm code easily, sending and receiving.
>> >
>> > Then the examiner says "why not try Advanced while you're here?".

>> > Now in those days the Advanced was supposedly the toughest of the
>> > writtens, with all sorts of math and circuits and such. But one did
>> > not say No to The Man, so I tried, with zero preparation. Passed
>> > easily and wound up with Advanced instead of General.
>> >
>> > That was back before question pools, Bash books and computerized
>> > practice tests. Didn't have an EE back then either - I was 14 years
>> > old and it was the summer between 8th and 9th grades.
>> >
>> > Two years later I went back to get the Extra. Would have been sooner
>> > but in those days you had to have two years experience as General or
>> > Advanced to even *try* the Extra.
>> >>
>> >> This gave me 12 months to pass 13wpm if I didn't want to have to
>> >> take the General and Advanced theory again. With the help of
>> >> computer software and slow Morse transmissions I did it in six
>> >> months.
>> >
>> > Bingo.
>> >
>> > How long do you think it would have taken
>
> *you*
>
>> > to get to 5 wpm, tested the way the USA does?
>
> Hmm?

I think that's probably about where I was when I came here in '89, so I could just say 19 years. I suppose you would have to knock something off that as I had been stuck at that level for a while!

>
>> >> Note that Mike got there
>> >> in that amount of time from scratch even with hearing problems, and
>> >> it took me that long when I wasn't starting from the beginning, and
>> >> there's no problem with my hearing. Also, I had a relay of all the
>> >> VEs sending code on 2m five nights a week. They saw it a a
>> >> challenge to teach me code. I almost passed 20, but I had to come
>> >> back a couple of months later.
>> >>
>> >> To get up to 13wpm meant copying whole characters instead of dits
>> >> and dahs, no matter how easy the type of test. OK, so that's gone,
>> >> but that means the remaining Element 1 doesn't test the ability to
>> >> copy complete characters, so on the one hand it's relatively easy,
>> >> but on the other hand it's pointless.
>> >
>> > Not at all.
>> >
>> > If the code uses Farnsworth spacing, you copy characters, not dits
>> > and dahs. This isn't anything new - W1AW has been sending code

>> > practice that way since at least 1966 (first time I heard it,
>> > anyway).
>> >
>> >> Why preserve a test that doesn't test an adequate level of a skill
>> >> as a requirement for access to a particular part of the spectrum,
>> >> when there's no requirement to use that skill anyway?
>> >
>> > Same reason for written tests. Do the writtens guarantee that all
>> > who pass can design/build/modify/repair/operate all amateur
>> > equipment they are authorized to use? Or do they test basic
>> > knowledge?
>> >
>> > 5 wpm is basic Morse skill, that's all.
>> >
>> > Why is it too much to ask?
>
> I think that one major reason some people are so
> against the code test is that it isn't something
> you can learn by reading a book or watching a
> video.
>
>> >> Tradition? That's a
>> >> weak reason, but it seems to be the only one. Sure, 40% of HF may
>> >> be CW, but I can (and do) operate 100% phone .
>> >
>> > And my HF operation is 99% CW on 80/40/20, with 100 watts or less
>> > output, yet I had to learn all kinds of stuff about high power,
>> > 'phone modes, RTTY, SSTV, other HF bands, VHF/UHF, etc. Most of that
>> > knowledge I've never needed, and some of it (like band edges) has
>> > changed since I took the test. So why did I have to learn all that
>> > in the first place, just to operate a QRP rig on 7015 CW?
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>>
>> I'm not sure this is getting us anywhere. This is all old ground.
>>
> Let's boil it down to basics:
>
> Your argument is that nobody should have to learn Knowledge A in order
> to
> do Activity B if Activity B can be done without Knowledge A.
>
> In this case
>
> Knowledge A = basic Morse skill, Activity B = amateur radio HF phone
>
> The problem is that if you accept that reasoning, you must logically a
> accept a lot more:
>

> Knowledge A = radio theory, Activity B = operate modern manufactured
> rig
>
> Knowledge A = limits of Band X, Activity B = operate on Band Y
>
> Knowledge A = SSB theory, Activity B = operate Morse
>
> Knowledge A = high power RF exposure safety, Activity B = operate QRP
>
> and much more.
>
> Despite all the colorful false analogies with buggywhips and such, no
> one has been able to show why the above arguments don't follow.
>
> In fact, the NCVEC proposal takes it to that level, not only dumping
> code testing but further watering down the *written* requirements to
> an almost absurd level.
>
> Do you think NCVEC has the right idea?
>
> Suppose someone proposed to eliminate the Extra and Advanced class
> licenses, give those hams Generals - and give all Generals full
> privileges.
>
> And suppose the proposal argued that since a General was qualified to
> use
> all modes, bands and power levels allowed to Advanceds and Extras,
> there
> was no need for the two higher level license classes.
>
> How would you counter that argument?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Just the same way I did before when discussing it with you, Jim (shades of deja vu?). The Morse test is a skill test, and all the others are theory tests. I have no objection to theory tests on all aspects of the hobby, including Morse code. That would be a balanced approach.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

1834. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 22:21:00 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <gl7Td.26426$uc.23212@trnddc01>
Source record: 103350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

ah ha ha how true but i have seen so call hams(proffesional no code techs)
gater on cb so they can use profanity.they seem to like the idea they can
GET AWAY with it...ah ha ha 73, ``THE REAL HAM``

--
My Family Website-->
http://mysite.verizon.net/res868sp/thetriantafilosfamily/index.html My
Amatuer Radio Website--> http://www.qsl.net/kb9ygd/index.html
http://dx.qsl.net/logs <---Search My Logbook

1835. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-23 23:56:18 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ZdadnQ0c6uTDhYDfRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 103351

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:JvOdnTInb6hEYYbfRVn-gA@adelphia.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1109093365.641736.256390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>>
>>>>clean up. The accident was related to a snow squall that blew up
>>>>unexpectedly, and the excessive speed that the whole group was
>>>
>>>traveling
>>>
>>>>at. While no charges were filed against anyone at the time, the
>>>
>>>families
>>>
>>>>of the deceased are filing suit against the truck drivers *and* the
>>>>companies they worked for. Hopefully the trucking companies have a
>>>
>>>good
>>>
>>>>safety program.
>>>
>>>If someone was following too close for conditions, shouldn't they be
>>>liable?
>>>
>>
>>
>> Since both my current and previous husbands are/were truck drivers, I
>> tend to observe what trucks are doing on the road. Though I cannot speak
>> for this particular accident, normally it is the CARS following the
>> trucks too close for conditions. How can the truckers prevent that and
>> the sometimes tragic consequences?
>
> The speeds were well over 70. A truck that was passing the line of traffic
> jackknifed and the rest is history. The police did not see fit to issue
> any citations. All were traveling over the speed limit, and when the storm
> blew up, they were waaayy too fast for the conditions.
>

> As for who is at fault, I have several times had to speed up to ridiculous
> speeds to not get run over by truck drivers who want to get a run for the
> next hill At speeds of 85 and more, they will get close enough for you to
> count how many bugs were caught on their radiators. I've seen a number of
> accidents where a truck has simply run right over the car in front of them
>
> I carry a CB, and I must say that there is an urban myth, believed by most
> truck drivers, that they *never* do anything wrong. What was especially
> funny was the time a truck jackknifed in front of a line of cars during a
> bad snowstorm - about 10 years ago - also on I-80, and by the time ten
> minutes had passed, the story passed around by radio was that a 4 wheeler
> had passed the truck, and cut him off, causing the jackknife. The
> offending 4 wheeler was never found. Not surprising to those of us who
> were close enough to see the accident happen!
>
> Truck drivers are professionals, and almost always much better drivers
> than those in the automobiles. But that doesn't mean they are never to
> blame.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Did not mean to imply that truckers are never to blame. I too have had truckers run right up on my bumper at excessive speeds and then honk to attempt to bully the other drivers to move. Just noting that I have all too often observed drivers in cars behaving like idiots around trucks and then blaming the trucker.

I actually saw a case where a woman was circling a truck and causing a hazard to everyone on the road because she was bound and determined to find the phone number on the guys truck so she could turn him in. All that he had done was make a left turn onto the street that I was traveling on. Naturally, something that big is going to impede traffic slightly no matter what he does. He did NOT cut in front of any of us. We all had sufficient time to see him and adjust our speeds. This woman apparently thought he ought to wait until there was no one whatsoever on the road before entering it. Well he'd have been sitting there until he died of old age as that street is NEVER clear regardless of the weather or time of day. After this truck got on the road, the woman first sped up and pulled in front of him and looked in her mirror. When she couldn't find a phone number, she pulled over into my lane and braked and slowed down to examine the side of the truck. She paid no attention to the cars already in the lane and created a major hazard. The car ahead of me had to brake severely to keep from hitting her. When she found no phone number, she braked even more causing a major slowdown on a busy street and pulled in behind the truck to try to find it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1836. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 00:01:53 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <w-OdnUmRmZEzhIDfRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 103352

<k0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1109189345.402618.200910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
>> Go to streets of your town as
>> ask the average person on the
>> street if they had a choice between the
>> Internet and Amateur radio which one would
>> they pick? The vast majority of
>> people would pick the internet.
>
> Red herring alert! You don't need to choose "between" them..... it's
> perfectly acceptable to participate in both, and for different reasons.
>
> Come back when you've learned logical debating techniques.
>
> With kindest personal regards,
>
> Hans, K0HB
>

Haven't seen you in here for awhile. Where have you been?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1837. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 00:53:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109206408.956723.15900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103353

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > It's all about you.
> >
> > Nurse, heel thyself.
>
> As opposed to YOU, Brain, who IS a "heel"....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

There you go with the name-calling again.

1838. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 00:55:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109206546.269303.285900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103354

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > K4YZ@AOL.COM [so angry he can't think or type straight]
> > wrote on Feb 22, 10:43 am:
> >
> > > This is a forum about Amateur Radio POLICY.
> > >
> > > Every time.
> >
> > Oooooo! That's a keeper! We'd all better laminate-
> > that-and-keep-it-in-our-wallets! Or maybe frame it
> > to hang on the wall!
> >
> > The judge roy bean of the newsgroup has SPOKEN!
> >
> > All hear and obey!
> >
> > Except all PCTA morsemen...they can talk about
> > anything they want but all others are not. QED.
>
> And again you miss (avoid) the point. It's not about "judging"
> anyone or imposing any restrictions.

But that's all you do and all your try to do.

1839. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 01:01:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109206798.656297.306510@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103355

From: Dave Heil <kommandante@earthlink.net> Wed, 23 Feb 2005 04:53:53 GMT
>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> Brian, "Caveat's" response is so typical of the already-
>> license-and-already-code-tested amateurs in regards
>> to the morse code TEST. :-)
>
>Ah yes, that dreaded 5 wpm morse code TEST, the one which has you
>beaten.

"Beaten?" :-)

Tsk, haven't bothered to take one, impossible to know if I
would be "beaten" or "triumph in exhiliration" or other
imaginary visions you have. :-)

>No candidate for the Amateur Extra can or must take the morse code
test
>like I had to.

NOBODY could. :-)

You are the Magnificent One, the Mighty Conqueror
exhulting in the glory of your Accomplishment!

>I just can't find it in me.

Get an X-Ray. That would help locate where it is
inside you.

[please don't fog the film like you did last time...]

>You needn't show any dedication at all, Len.

No?!?!?

You heap big PCTA extras ought to get your act together.

>NO OTHER MODE REQUIRES ANY PARTICULAR SKILL.

Tsk. Heap big Dave says so...it "must" be true!

>Here's a talisman for you, Leonard.

Here's a salute for you, David, with one finger... :-)

>You however, have passed no radio amateur
>exams of any kind.

Well then, by Heilian logic, the Vast, Complex, Difficult
radio amateur questions must have me "beaten!" :-)

>That's the same way I learned typing, Len. Similar methods can be
used
>in learning morse code.

Why should I "have" to learn morse code?

I didn't need any morsemanship skill a half century
ago to operate lots of radio transmitters on HF.

I didn't need any morsemanship skill from 46 years
ago until the present to operate radio transmitters
on HF.

>If you'd applied those methods to developing
>morse skills you'd have had a ham ticket for decades.

Why must *I* do those things?

The FCC isn't that concerned about "my" development.

Why do you fabricate all this "personal" nonsense?

Such doesn't apply to pointing out that the morse code
test for an amateur radio license is far past its
usefulness. Even the FCC agreed to that in 1990 in
a public statement.

Besides, NO ONE could possibly go through that
extremely tough code test done by Heap big Dave.
NO ONE. :-)

>Sitting around
>here grousing hasn't done much toward that end, has it?

In your case, that IS true!

Now, I'll repeat my question: Why are you fabricating
a false condition and why are you making this some
kind of "personal vendetta" for yourself?

Relax. Have a cashew. They came from Guinea-Bisseau.

Chew on that. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1840. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 01:19:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109207982.602256.193940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103356

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> Tues, Feb 22 2005 4:25 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> bb wrote on Mon, Feb 21 2005 7:08 pm
> >> >Caveat Lector wrote:
> >> >> "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1109026328.348139.84110@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> >> Radiotelegraphy signal cognition is the ONLY
> >> >> separate pass-fail test element to gain
> >> >> privileges below 30 MHz in the USA.
> >>
> >> >You gotta be wrong. There must have been something else only
because
> >you gotta be wrong.
>
> Absolutely...according to those wonderful chaps, the
> PCTA extras!
>
> Widdle Stebie gonna have me BANNED from here...

If he could.

> >> Back in '54 I was able to push the old Model 15s
> >> to their 60 WPM designed-in limit; keys had a
> >> mechanical lockout to insure that "burst" typing
> >> didn't generate character errors.
> >>
> >Please describe the "mechanical lockout" operation. How did it
behave
>
> >when you got to that point?
>
> It can be felt in the fingertips as a slight impact
> then you can't depress the key to make anything
> happen unless the finger comes off and then
> depresses the key. Happened with all Model
> 15s through Model 19s. Still happens on those
> old dependable mechanical marvels.

I thought it was a malfunction. I would have called tech control and
logged it out if it persisted. But after freezing up, it would behave
normally. Til the next time. I never knew that's what was happening.
Bill Gates would call it an "unadvertised" feature. I never knew how
fast I was actually typing, either.

Thanks. Mystery solved. The only actual training we ever got on the
tty's was how to change paper and how to change tape. Got tired of
cutting tape in the blind and figured out how to change the tape ink
ribbon myself. Later I just learned how to read the holes.

> >> Army on the station ADA order-wire loop.
> >
> >We had what was called a "Pony Circuit" over to "manual data" in the
> >ADC/Ft Lee blockhouse.
>
> The "order-wire" name (for in-house comms
> only) originated well before WW2, probably
> from the telephone companies (?). There
> were lots of other names for those, some
> flippant, some downright nasty. In the old
> way of organizing, ADA had two loops: One
> with Receivers (separate site), the other with
> Transmitters but also including Frequency
> Standards (located at Receiver site). All
> the QSY orders, circuit-up, or circuit-down
> notices came over the order-wires first,
> written at all points in a loop so that there
> was no mistake. Automatic log-book
> keeping in a way. :-)

Ding, ding, ding.

I worked on "sage weather dids" which would show current observation
and the 1 and 2 hour forecast for each recovery airfield in our region.
They were flashed in the cockpit. The fighters could launch in any
weather. The prob was getting them back down.

> >>Those
> >> old Teletype Corporation products were good to
> >> self-learn fast-but-steady typing rates. It helped
> >> if one already had touch-typing classes in high
> >> or middle school... :-)
> >
> >didit
>
> One reason I stayed through typing class
> was being able to socialize more with the
> girls. More girls than boys in it way back
> in prehistoric times. :-)

I thought about taking home-ec, too, but I would have had to make a
sack dress. Maybe that's why I didn't become a nurse.

> >If the Army had put you through dittybopper school, you might have
> been
> >a cook and I'd never learn about the model 15 speed governor.
>
> Tsk. I wouldn't have made it through beeper

> classes for a Field Radio MOS. I'd wind up
> with reel on my back laying field wire for
> EE-8 telephones. :-)

Me either. The penalty for washing out of weather school was often
security police. Not a terrible fate, but they're usually outstanding
more than the weatherman. Hi!

> Gotta give a big hand of applause to the
> mechanical engineers at Teletype Corp.!
> The basic Model 15 TTY could clank away
> for weeks of 24/7 duty needing only to be
> fed paper and ink. Remarkable for so many
> internal parts. Prior to FIELDATA code and
> new machines, Army tried out a very few
> circuits with new motors (and self governors)
> to run at 75 WPM. Downtime suddenly
> went into the basement during that 1955
> test so that idea was shelved. For 220
> TTYs at ADA torn-tape relay, only two
> specialists were on-shift for maintenance.
> At normal 60 WPM rates those two were
> not busy. Dependable hardware.

Sometime during 1979-80 our weather circuits in CONUS switched over to
something called "COMEDS" with a CRT/keyboard and a high speed printer.
The printer was incredibly loud and had to be housed in a sound box.
It used fonts loaded on a tractor belt, and it spun at a high rev. It
made loads of yellow paper dust. Don't know when they upgraded
overseas, but by the time I got back to Korea in 1988, the switch had
been made.

> >> But, we've got all those religious Believers who
> >> insist and insist and insist that amateurs MUST
> >> take a morse code test to get an amateur license!
> >> Totally retro. Totally nuts! :-(
> >
> > But it's past time to remove it as a pass/fail to HF.
>
> I agree from a logical standpoint. But keeping
> code test is high-charge EMOTIONAL issue
> with olde-tymer hammes. GOTTA have or they
> get tantrums, say no-coders are "not
> qualified!" Gots to keep code test FOREVER.
> Be FULLY QUALIFIED, only, repeat ONLY
> possible by "CW."

The ole eyes rolled back, "CW Forever" crowd.

> U.S. ham radio be Living Museum of old
> radio skills! Jimmie Who be curator? :-)
>

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He could be. He failed at computing the distance to the moon, so
that's not his forte'.

1841. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 01:28:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109208496.863217.225020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103357

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Jim, N2EY@AOL.COM, wrote on Tues, Feb 22 2005 1:47 am
> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109009984.323422.143080
> >> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> 5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?
> >
> >A couple of reasons:
> >
> >For the same reason hams have to pass written *theory* tests to
> >use *manufactured* rigs with no critical tuneup adjustments.
>
> 1. The FCC decided it needed to test radio amateurs as
> part of their task of regulating all U.S. civil radio.
>
> 2. The VEC Question Pool Committee decides WHAT
> the questions are; FCC only specifies a total number
> and the percentage correct for passing.
>
> 3. Any other reason is meaningless... ;-)

The USA VEC decided to return the Morse Code exam to 13-15WPM rate
despite FCC regulations to the contrary.

1842. Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 01:38:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109209133.611312.38560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103358

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am

> > Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
> Presumably the
> >only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT
button.
>
> "Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
> owners have to know where to get the PTT control
> fixed! :-)

Yep, he said "mash," but must have been mistaken when he said they would know how to read.

You see, people that use a microphone are clods. They would never "depress" the ptt button, nor would they "press down" on it. They are of low intelligence and barely human, and only know how to "mash" said button. If no one is looking, they may actually step on the microphone with bare, dirty feet and yell into it.

People who use a telegraph key are genteel. They know how to properly close the contacts, form a character, and move on. They do so with their pinkie finger extended, and have no dirt under their nails.

For it is written.

1843. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 01:51:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109209865.934447.80020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103359

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> K4YZ@AOL.COM [so angry he can't think or type straight]
> wrote on Feb 22, 10:43 am:
>
> > This is a forum about Amateur Radio POLICY.

Hi! Hi, hi, hi!

> > Every time.
>
> Oooooo! That's a keeper! We'd all better laminate-
> that-and-keep-it-in-our-wallets! Or maybe frame it
> to hang on the wall!

I think if you work 5 continents and confine all RRAP discussions to
"Amateur Radio POLICY," Hiram will say a Hail Mary for you and mail a
certificate.

What do you say we start a Morse Code Exam policy thread?

> The judge roy bean of the newsgroup has SPOKEN!

Isn't he the hanging judge? Definitely full of beans.

> All hear and obey!

Here's where I have to respectfully disagree.

> Except all PCTA morsemen...they can talk about
> anything they want but all others are not. QED.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I get it. You were funnin about listening and obeying.

1844. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 02:08:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109210922.137928.239380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103360

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: Dave Heil <kommandante@earthlink.net> Wed, 23 Feb 2005 04:53:53
> GMT
> >
> >Ah yes, that dreaded 5 wpm morse code TEST, the one which has you
> >beaten.
>
> "Beaten?" :-)
>
> Tsk, haven't bothered to take one, impossible to know if I
> would be "beaten" or "triumph in exhiliration" or other
> imaginary visions you have. :-)

Aw, geez. Not another twit that sees things that aren't there. Wonder
if he "saw" the Wright Flyer at Kitty Hawk, before it was built?

> >No candidate for the Amateur Extra can or must take the morse code
> test
> >like I had to.
>
> NOBODY could. :-)
>
> You are the Magnificent One, the Mighty Conqueror
> exhulting in the glory of your Accomplishment!

Maybe even the Greatest DXer in the World?

> >I just can't find it in me.
>
> Get an X-Ray. That would help locate where it is
> inside you.
>
> [please don't fog the film like you did last time...]
>
> >You needn't show any dedication at all, Len.
>
> No?!?!?
>
> You heap big PCTA extras ought to get your act together.
>
> >NO OTHER MODE REQUIRES ANY PARTICULAR SKILL.
>
> Tsk. Heap big Dave says so...it "must" be true!

Yep. Must be why the military schools don't have to teach radio-communications anymore. Heil said so. Everyone is born with the innate knowledge and skill to set up, operate, and maintain satcom, HF, and whatever else they're using.

And hams, forget about attending a satellite, digital, or aprs seminar at the next fest. Not needed. No siree.

Hi, hi, hiel.

Good grief.

1845. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 02:50:16 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <IhbTd.9826$x53.7886@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Dave Hall wrote:

>
> Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands.
> If DX is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek
> out whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.
>
> From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
> conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
> operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to
> make that "rare" contact.
>

Gee, I could use a contact in North Dakota to finish off my
"Worked All States" award. Think I could use a freeband QSL
card? ;-)

1846. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 03:26:17 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <tPbTd.56982$iC4.24423@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 103362

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1109209133.611312.38560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
>
> > > Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
> > Presumably the
> > >only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT
> button.
> >
> > "Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
> > owners have to know where to get the PTT control
> > fixed! :-)
>
> Yep, he said "mash," but must have been mistaken when he said they
> would know how to read.
>
> You see, people that use a microphone are clods. They would never
> "depress" the ptt button, nor would they "press down" on it. They are
> of low intelligence and barely human, and only know how to "mash" said
> button. If no one is looking, they may actually step on the microphone
> with bare, dirty feet and yell into it.
>
> People who use a telegraph key are genteel. They know how to properly
> close the contacts, form a character, and move on. They do so with
> their pinkie finger extended, and have no dirt under their nails.
>
> For it is written.
>

I think I just found Larry Roll.

Kim W5TIT

1847. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 04:19:45 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9606ECC1649FCelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103363

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in news:1109208496.863217.225020
@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> Jim, N2EY@AOL.COM, wrote on Tues, Feb 22 2005 1:47 am
>> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109009984.323422.143080
>> >> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>> >
>> >> 5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?
>> >
>> >A couple of reasons:
>> >
>> >For the same reason hams have to pass written *theory* tests to use
>> >*manufactured* rigs with no critical tuneup adjustments.
>>
>> 1. The FCC decided it needed to test radio amateurs as
>> part of their task of regulating all U.S. civil radio.
>>
>> 2. The VEC Question Pool Committee decides WHAT
>> the questions are; FCC only specifies a total number
>> and the percentage correct for passing.
>>
>> 3. Any other reason is meaningless... ;-)
>
> The USA VEC decided to return the Morse Code exam to 13-15WPM rate
> despite FCC regulations to the contrary.
>
>

Not exactly. It's Farnsworth method with an overall speed of 5wpm. That gives the brain longer to decode each character, and the hgiher speed of the individual characters still doesn't force you to read the character as a whole. It's still possible to read the individual dots and dashes. Bearing all that in mind, I have no problem with it.

What did annoy me was that the NCVEC did eliminate the multi-choice option in direct response to the abolition of the 13 and 20wpm tests, so the 5wpm test is now harder than it was before, for no other reason than to make it harder.

1848. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 04:22:54 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9606ED4AAC205elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103364

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in news:tPbTd.56982$iC4.24423
@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com:

> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1109209133.611312.38560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
>>
>> > > Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
>> > > Presumably the only requirement is to know how to read, talk and
>> > > mash the PTT button.
>> >
>> > "Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
>> > owners have to know where to get the PTT control fixed! :-)
>>
>> Yep, he said "mash," but must have been mistaken when he said they
>> would know how to read.
>>
>> You see, people that use a microphone are clods. They would never
>> "depress" the ptt button, nor would they "press down" on it. They are
>> of low intelligence and barely human, and only know how to "mash" said
>> button. If no one is looking, they may actually step on the microphone
>> with bare, dirty feet and yell into it.
>>
>> People who use a telegraph key are genteel. They know how to properly
>> close the contacts, form a character, and move on. They do so with
>> their pinkie finger extended, and have no dirt under their nails.
>>
>> For it is written.
>>
>
> I think I just found Larry Roll.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>

I almost plonked him before I realised it was just Lenny being sarcastic!

Alun N3KIP

1849. Re: New Regs for VK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 06:20:05 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <dfsq111gj3nmnk0as1mifhomvqhgmnplgl@4ax.com>
Source record: 103365

On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 07:00:29 GMT, "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
wrote:

>No worries here are the links to verify my info:

Thanks for the URLs. I had poked about those websites but couldn't
see anything.

Tony

1850. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 06:40:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1109227205.232876.46080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103366

The point of the argument is for amateur's radio to grow amateurs are
going
to have to compete with the internet. Compete in the sense of getting
people into the hobby. The old modes of communication isn't going to
cut
it anymore. The vast majority of people would rather get on the
internet
instead of getting into a hobby which has nothing to really offer in
terms
new modes of communication. Many amateur radio operators can face the
fact
that amateur radio is slowly falling behind in technology and thus in
turn
is slowly dying off. Amateur radio will not grow if you can't get
people
into the service. You can drop the licensing structure down to nothing
but
no one will come into a hobby without dated modes of communication.

Todd N9OGL

1851. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 07:04:13 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109228653.659916.211680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Landshark wrote:
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> news:hid611t7ku8uo8kr3j8pes4tk1oa0sba81@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 00:14:14 -0500, Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com>
wrote:
> >
> >>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
> >><AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...
> >
> Dave responds with:
> >
> > Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement
> > actions. It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a
licensed
> > ham for operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in
> > popping an unlicensed radio pirate.
> >
> > If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
> > detrimental.
> >
> > The reason freebanding is as rampant as it is is that the FCC is
> > simply not affecting all that many people. The chances of getting
> > popped are very slim, and they usually give you a warning first,
which
> > gives you a chance to pull the plug.
> >
> > Freebanding is a violation of federal radio law, but without
effective
> > enforcement, that earns very little respect among those who pursue
it.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> > http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
> Dave, you type this above, then type this 4 minutes later.
> Make up your mind

Yes, Dave, make up your mind. You are going to lose friends among the
freebanders if you say anything is wrong with it.

1852. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 08:49:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109234951.331652.111240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103368

bb wrote:

> What do you say we start a Morse Code Exam policy thread?

To what purpose? Is there some new "angle" or debatable bit of
"evidence" as to why the Code exam should stay or go?

Or do you just want to give Lennie one more avenue to tell us how
he fixed radios in the 50's without a code test?

> I get it. You were funnin about listening and obeying.

There's not a serious word come from Lennie is 8 years. Why
would he start today?

Steve, K4YZ

1853. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 08:54:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1109235293.297344.67160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103369

Like I said BB I would like to see them come up with something different. Secondly I really doubt that 600,000 amateurs came up with the same idea, if they did the system would be in place but it's not. nor, would I be in the postion to defend those "appliance users" on this newsgroup. Finally, the whole point is, amateurs are going to have to get it in their head to compete with the internet. compete in the sense of coming up with new ideas and new modes of communication to get people into the hobby. without people you will not have an amateur radio service. This is the 21st century and amateurs are still using outdated modes of communication. As I stated before if you were to go on the street and ask the average person if they had a choice between amateur rado and the internet the vast majority would pick the internet. Sure a lot of people don't know or ever heard of amateur radio, but at the same time if you were to explain it to them they would probabaly still pick the internet. they can do everything an amateur radio operator can do on the internet mode wise and more. Amateurs are falling behind in the tech world the problem with is those outdate CB operators/appliance users who don't want the system to change and fear anything new.

Todd M9OGL

bb wrote:
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> > Like I said you whiney like bitch whore I fucking
> > dare you come up with something different.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Todd, I think you need to work on the delivery a little more.
>
> About 600,000 USA hams just tuned out your ideas.

1854. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 08:58:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109235484.167976.19520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103370

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > And again you miss (avoid) the point. It's not about
"judging"
> > anyone or imposing any restrictions.
>
> But that's all you do and all your try to do.

"...your try to do"...?!?!

No, it's not, Brian. I warned you where YOUR conduct would take
us two weeks ago. You drove right on in. "It's your world, Boss".

All I ask is that people be truthful and take responsibility for
thier actions like the rest of us do. To acknowledge their errors when
those errors have been shown and proven to BE errors.

You and Lennie think it's OK to lie and be deceitful in public. I
say that's not civil. You say I'm nuts for pointing out that you are
liars. I may be "nuts" for being as persistent as I am, but that still
doesn't diminish the fact that you and Lennie are liars.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

1855. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 12:57:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109249866.465100.37650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103371

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > From: Dave Heil <kommandante@earthlink.net> Wed, 23 Feb 2005
04:53:53
> > GMT
> > >
> > >Ah yes, that dreaded 5 wpm morse code TEST, the one which has you
> > >beaten.
> >
> > "Beaten?"
> >
> > Tsk, haven't bothered to take one, impossible to know if I
> > would be "beaten" or "triumph in exhiliration" or other
> > imaginary visions you have.
>
> Aw, geez. Not another twit that sees things that aren't there.
Wonder
> if he "saw" the Wright Flyer at Kitty Hawk, before it was built?

See "what" that isnt' there?

The Pathological Liar Known As Lennie, on more than one occassion,
claimed to being able to do code at or about 10 WPM.

Yet he has not only NOT taken the 5WPM test associated with the
Extra Lite as he asserted he would, he can't apss the NO CODE test for
the Amateur Technician class license.

Oh yes...Lennie is every bit a "beaten" man!

Incompetent, unqualified and beaten. In every regard.

Steve, K4YZ

1856. Re: Brian Burke: Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 15:09:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109257794.270618.240630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103372

Brian,

I need an answer.

Why is is OK for you and Lennie to claim I am "nuts" for persisting in calling the two of you liars, yet you're NOT nuts for doing the lying in the first place?

More "NCTA Double Standard"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1857. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 16:04:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <421DFB22.A32B9E12@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103373

Len "Cut and Paste" Anderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil <kommandante@earthlink.net> Wed, 23 Feb 2005 04:53:53
> GMT
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> Brian, "Caveat's" response is so typical of the already-
> >> license-and-already-code-tested amateurs in regards
> >> to the morse code TEST. :-)
> > >
> > >Ah yes, that dreaded 5 wpm morse code TEST, the one which has you
> > >beaten.
> >
> > "Beaten?" :-)

Yeah, beaten. :-)

> Tsk, haven't bothered to take one, impossible to know if I
> would be "beaten" or "triumph in exhiliration" or other
> imaginary visions you have. :-)

Well, old boy, no one would want you to be "bothered". If you're "Extra
right out of the box" brage had resulted in success, we'd have heard no
end to the triumph in exhiliration--or even exhilaration. :-) Fact is,
you've spent years writing of the morse code test in amateur radio but
not one minute in trying to pass it.

> >No candidate for the Amateur Extra can or must take the morse code
> test
> >like I had to.
>
> NOBODY could. :-)

Yeah, Len. That's what I wrote. Nobody could.

> You are the Magnificent One, the Mighty Conqueror
> exhulting in the glory of your Accomplishment!

That's awfully nice of you, though I've made no such claims. Fact is,
the 20 wpm test is no longer administered.

> >You needn't show any dedication at all, Len.
>
> No?!?!?

No, you may spend the rest of your days posting here as a total outsider
to amateur radio.

> You heap big PCTA extras ought to get your act together.

Why, Leonard, didn't you know? We already did that. We obtained our licenses some time ago and are free to partake in all that amateur radio offers.

> >Here's a talisman for you, Leonard.
>
> Here's a salute for you, David, with one finger... :-)

I have all five waving at you, Leonard. It is crypto. There is a message in there for you.

> >You however, have passed no radio amateur
> >exams of any kind.
>
> Well then, by Heilian logic, the Vast, Complex, Difficult
> radio amateur questions must have me "beaten!" :-)

There's one way to find out. Take the exam.

> >That's the same way I learned typing, Len. Similar methods can be
> used
> >in learning morse code.
>
> Why should I "have" to learn morse code?

You don't have to learn it, Len. You needn't attempt an amateur radio exam. You may spend the rest of your days watching TV.

> I didn't need any morsemanship skill a half century
> ago to operate lots of radio transmitters on HF.

That wasn't amateur radio and you aren't doing it now.

> I didn't need any morsemanship skill from 46 years
> ago until the present to operate radio transmitters
> on HF.

That wasn't amateur radio.

By the way, don't you have any current experience or do you always dwell in the past? :-)

> >If you'd applied those methods to developing
> >morse skills you'd have had a ham ticket for decades.
>
> Why must *I* do those things?

I implied no "must". Feel free to watch from the sidelines.

> The FCC isn't that concerned about "my" development.

Neither am I. I'll bet we could make it nearly unanimous.

> Why do you fabricate all this "personal" nonsense?

What was fabricated, Leonard?

> Such doesn't apply to pointing out that the morse code
> test for an amateur radio license is far past its
> usefulness.

I'm not attempting to point out anything like that. You must be
confused.

> Besides, NO ONE could possibly go through that
> extremely tough code test done by Heap big Dave.
> NO ONE. :-)

That is absolutely factual, old bean--not you, not anyone.

> >Sitting around
> >here grousing hasn't done much toward that end, has it?
>
> In your case, that IS true!

My! You ARE confused. I'm not attempting to remove morse code testing
in amateur radio.

> Now, I'll repeat my question: Why are you fabricating
> a false condition and why are you making this some
> kind of "personal vendetta" for yourself?

The answer is quite simple. I've fabricated nothing. I don't want you
to take any of this too personally. I'd use the same tactics in dealing
with anyone who acted like you.

> Relax. Have a cashew. They came from Guinea-Bisseau.

Is this another of your twisted fables--the fox and the cashews?

Dave K8MN

1858. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 16:13:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <421DFD38.88381E38@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103374

N9OGL wrote:
>
> The point of the argument is for amateur's radio to grow amateurs are
> going
> to have to compete with the internet.

No, that not only isn't the point, there isn't any argument except in
your mind.

> Compete in the sense of getting
> people into the hobby.

Amateur radio competes with any other leisure activity for time. Some
folks find it fascinating. Some have no interest. Not everyone is
going to become a radio amateur.

> The old modes of communication isn't going to
> cut it anymore.

They seem to be cutting it just fine, Todd. What new mode do you use in
your clandestine "broadcasts"?

> The vast majority of people would rather get on the
> internet
> instead of getting into a hobby which has nothing to really offer in
> terms
> new modes of communication.

The vast majority of people are never going to become hams. It has
always been that way and will always be that way.

> Many amateur radio operators can face the
> fact
> that amateur radio is slowly falling behind in technology and thus in
> turn
> is slowly dying off.

You've managed to dump a couple of false premises in one sentence.
You're wrong about technology and about amateur radio dying.

> Amateur radio will not grow if you can't get
> people
> into the service.

Amateur radio licensing numbers are near an all time high.

> You can drop the licensing structure down to nothing
> but

> no one will come into a hobby without dated modes of communication.

I'm too busy laughing at your sentence to give you a serious reply,
Todd.

Dave K8MN

1859. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 16:20:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <421DFEF0.AA291C8D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103375

N9OGL wrote:

> without people you will not have an amateur
> radio service.

Brilliant, Todd! Without people, there'd be no Social Security.
Without tires, there'd be no driving.

> This is the 21st century and amateurs are still using
> outdated modes of communication.

What makes a mode of communication outdated, Todd? Is it simply the
number of years it has existed?

This is the 21st Century. Do you think you have 21st Century writing
skills? If you have the latest high speed modes of communications open
to you, are your skills such that you can use them to express coherent
thoughts?

> Amateurs are falling behind in the tech world the problem with is those
> outdate CB operators/appliance users who don't want the system to
> change and fear anything new.

Now THERE is a masterpiece of 21st Century thought.

Dave K8MN

1860. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 18:11:33 UTC
From: "Richard L. Tannehill" <w7rtrick@firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <421E18D5.D034E270@firstinter.net>
Source record: 103376

The Intl. Morse Code is very simply, an old digital
modulation form we called PWM; Pulse Width Modulation.
Hasn't been around in the commercial domain in at least 20
years. You could also make the argument that it is
"tri-state" since we have dits-dahs-off. However,
conventional tri-state logic usually had the pulses
oppositely polarized around the zero baseline.

Rick Tannehill - W7RT

Caveat Lector wrote:
> To revive an old thread
>
> Just read a very interesting book:
> "The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph and its precursors." by Tom
> Standage
>
> Fascinating reading
>
> Any way he describes Morse code as " Bi-Signal"
>
> I like that.
>
> Rather than send a series of pulses (same duration) down the pike -- where
> one is A, two pulses is B, etc.
> and get 26 pulses for Z
>
> By using bi-signal
> one short, the other long we have an efficient method of sending many
> letters, numbers, punctuation, etc.
>
> This just doesn't strike me as binary.
>
> Wahtta ya think ????
>
> --
> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
> Help The New Hams
> Someone Helped You
> Or did You Forget That ?

1861. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 19:04:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109271864.160442.290220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103377

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in news:1109208496.863217.225020
> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> Jim, N2EY@AOL.COM, wrote on Tues, Feb 22 2005 1:47 am
> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109009984.323422.143080
> >> >> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
> >> >
> >> >> 5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?
> >> >
> >> >A couple of reasons:
> >> >
> >> >For the same reason hams have to pass written *theory* tests to
use
> >> >*manufactured* rigs with no critical tuneup adjustments.
> >>
> >> 1. The FCC decided it needed to test radio amateurs as
> >> part of their task of regulating all U.S. civil radio.

And that testing includes both written and code testing. FCC has
decided
that both are necessary for HF/MF license privileges. Of course that
could have been changed any time after mid-July of 2003, but so far FCC
has decided not to.

> >> 2. The VEC Question Pool Committee decides WHAT
> >> the questions are; FCC only specifies a total number
> >> and the percentage correct for passing.

INCORRECT!

ALL questions in the written exam pools have to be approved by FCC,
both for inclusion and removal. While the questions, answers and
distractors are
created by the QPC, they must be approved by FCC.
> >>
> >> 3. Any other reason is meaningless... ;-)

The plain, simple fact of the matter is that the same arguments used
against the code test can be used - and are being used - against
almost all of what is in the written test.

> > The USA VEC decided to return the Morse Code exam to 13-15WPM rate
> > despite FCC regulations to the contrary.

INCORRECT!

The use of Farnsowrth-spaced Morse is simply a recommended practice,
not a requirement. If someone wants non-Farnsworth Morse for Element 1,
the VEs will accomodate them.
>
> Not exactly. It's Farnsworth method with an overall speed of 5wpm.
That
> gives the brain longer to decode each character, and the hgiher speed
of
> the individual characters still doesn't force you to read the
character as
> a whole. It's still possible to read the individual dots and dashes.

It may be possible for *some* individuals to count dits and dahs at
13-16 wpm character speed, but for most people who have been evaluated
it is easier to hear the letter or number as a unit of sound. The
exaggerated spacing between the letters/numbers allows more recognition
time, and particularly more time to write or type the letter/number.
Hence Farnsworth spacing usually makes it *easier* to pass the test.

> Bearing all that in mind, I have no problem with it.

Nor I.

> What did annoy me was that the NCVEC did eliminate the multi-choice
option
> in direct response to the abolition of the 13 and 20wpm tests, so the
5wpm
> test is now harder than it was before, for no other reason than to
make it
> harder.

IIRC, FCC outlawed multiple choice code tests. While NCVEC may have
commented against them, FCC makes the rules.

Multiple-choice was eliminated because FCC decided it didn't really
test the skill as required. There was a *lot* of comment against the
multiple choice code test.

Perhaps a compromise could be used. Suppose the code test were replaced
with a test of - say - skill in solving transmission-line problems with
the Smith Chart...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1862. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 19:10:11 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <nEpTd.35962$xt.3758@fed1read07>
Source record: 103378

Indeed tri-state would be a better description as the "off" periods in Morse
convey information necessary to "decode" it.
Since an off time is not a signal, maybe Bi-Signal in Tri-state format
Nah -- just tri-state I guess (;-)

With proper sending or machine Morse:
Each dit is one element, each dah is three elements, intra-character spacing
is one element, inter-character spacing is three elements and inter-word
spacing is seven elements. Three states indeed.

In a military system I worked on in the 60's, PWM was a method of digitally
encoding analog signal levels.

Glad to see we could have this discussion without raving and ranting about
the "The Code Test"

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"Richard L. Tannehill" <w7rtrick@firstinter.net> wrote in message
news:421E18D5.D034E270@firstinter.net...
> The Intl. Morse Code is very simply, an old digital
> modulation form we called PWM; Pulse Width Modulation.
> Hasn't been around in the commercial domain in at least 20
> years. You could also make the argument that it is
> "tri-state" since we have dits-dahs-off. However,
> conventional tri-state logic usually had the pulses
> oppositely polarized around the zero baseline.
>
> Rick Tannehill - W7RT
>
>
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>>
>> To revive an old thread
>>
>> Just read a very interesting book:
>> "The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph and its precursors." by
>> Tom
>> Standage
>>
>> Fascinating reading
>>

>> Any way he describes Morse code as " Bi-Signal"
>>
>> I like that.
>>
>> Rather than send a series of pulses (same duration) down the pike --
>> where
>> one is A, two pulses is B, etc.
>> and get 26 pulses for Z
>>
>> By using bi-signal
>> one short, the other long we have an efficient method of sending many
>> letters, numbers, punctuation, etc.
>>
>> This just doesn't strike me as binary.
>>
>> Wahtta ya think ????
>>
>> --
>> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
>> Help The New Hams
>> Someone Helped You
>> Or did You Forget That ?

1863. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 19:23:25 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.icfc710.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103379

On 24 Feb 2005 11:04:24 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Perhaps a compromise could be used. Suppose the code test were replaced
>with a test of - say - skill in solving transmission-line problems with
>the Smith Chart...

Or more practical skills which I have found sorely lacking even in
appliance operators but are essential even for a non-code Tech:

Questions on how modern equipment is set up and tuned. Not for
specific models, but for equipment in general:

Understanding how a receiver is set up for SSB, FSK, CW, AM
reception. Functions of bandwidth and notch filters and
filters, and when to use or not to use them. How to detect
receiver overload/spurious responses and what to do about it.

Understanding on how a transceiver is set up for simplex and
repeater operation. What the offset and tone code functions
do, and how to determine what the settings should be for any
desired operations.

Electrical and rf safety considerations in installation and
operation of ham gear.

My two electrons worth.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1864. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 21:25:54 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cvlgp2$12f2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103380

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>>Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
>
>
>>> Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
>>
>>Presumably the
>>
>>>only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT
>
> button.
>
>> "Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
>> owners have to know where to get the PTT control
>> fixed! :-)
>
>
> Yep, he said "mash," but must have been mistaken when he said they
> would know how to read.
>
> You see, people that use a microphone are clods. They would never
> "depress" the ptt button, nor would they "press down" on it. They are
> of low intelligence and barely human, and only know how to "mash" said
> button. If no one is looking, they may actually step on the microphone
> with bare, dirty feet and yell into it.
>
> People who use a telegraph key are genteel. They know how to properly
> close the contacts, form a character, and move on. They do so with
> their pinkie finger extended, and have no dirt under their nails.
>
> For it is written.
>

People around my area say "mash" as in referring to "pressing"
something I say it too at times. In general it is said in contesxt such
as "Hey Bob, mash that light switch will ya?

I'll quite saying mash if it offends all of you that much.

- Mike KB3EIA -

(who does mash his PTT when he works SSB)

1865. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 22:02:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <L9sTd.6197$Ba3.5737@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103381

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:tPbTd.56982$iC4.24423@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1109209133.611312.38560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
>>
>> > > Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
>> > Presumably the
>> > >only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT
>> button.
>> >
>> > "Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
>> > owners have to know where to get the PTT control
>> > fixed! :-)
>>
>> Yep, he said "mash," but must have been mistaken when he said they
>> would know how to read.
>>
>> You see, people that use a microphone are clods. They would never
>> "depress" the ptt button, nor would they "press down" on it. They are
>> of low intelligence and barely human, and only know how to "mash" said
>> button. If no one is looking, they may actually step on the microphone
>> with bare, dirty feet and yell into it.
>>
>> People who use a telegraph key are genteel. They know how to properly
>> close the contacts, form a character, and move on. They do so with
>> their pinkie finger extended, and have no dirt under their nails.
>>
>> For it is written.
>>
>
> I think I just found Larry Roll.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

Listen W5TWIT, I done told ya....stick with what you know.....NOTHING.

Dan/W4NTI

1866. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 22:03:36 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <YasTd.6200$Ba3.5441@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103382

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:cvlgp2$12f2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> bb wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
>>
>>
>>>> Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
>>>
>>>Presumably the
>>>
>>>>only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT
>>
>> button.
>>
>>> "Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
>>> owners have to know where to get the PTT control
>>> fixed! :-)
>>
>>
>> Yep, he said "mash," but must have been mistaken when he said they
>> would know how to read.
>>
>> You see, people that use a microphone are clods. They would never
>> "depress" the ptt button, nor would they "press down" on it. They are
>> of low intelligence and barely human, and only know how to "mash" said
>> button. If no one is looking, they may actually step on the microphone
>> with bare, dirty feet and yell into it.
>>
>> People who use a telegraph key are genteel. They know how to properly
>> close the contacts, form a character, and move on. They do so with
>> their pinkie finger extended, and have no dirt under their nails.
>>
>> For it is written.
>>
>
> People around my area say "mash" as in referring to "pressing" something I
> say it too at times. In general it is said in contesxt such as "Hey Bob,
> mash that light switch will ya?
>
> I'll quite saying mash if it offends all of you that much.
>

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> (who does mash his PTT when he works SSB)
>

A real "communicator" will say 'key the mike', or 'key the circuit'.

Dan/W4NTI

1867. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 22:08:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <pfsTd.6209$Ba3.3408@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103383

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1109227205.232876.46080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> The point of the argument is for amateur's radio to grow amateurs are
> going
> to have to compete with the internet. Compete in the sense of getting
> people into the hobby. The old modes of communication isn't going to
> cut
> it anymore. The vast majority of people would rather get on the
> internet
> instead of getting into a hobby which has nothing to really offer in
> terms
> new modes of communication. Many amateur radio operators can face the
> fact
> that amateur radio is slowly falling behind in technology and thus in
> turn
> is slowly dying off. Amateur radio will not grow if you can't get
> people
> into the service. You can drop the licensing structure down to nothing
> but
> no one will come into a hobby without dated modes of communication.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

How can you compare the internet to ham radio? Ham radio is using the 'ether', not wires and computers. There is no competition between internet and ham radio. Those that are actually into real radio will choose ham radio, those into computer things will choose the internet. Of course there is a spill over between the two. But that only enhances the experience, not competes with it.

There will always be a ham radio. Probably not in the form it is today. And what is wrong with liking the modes we use? Hams still us AM, and we would still be on spark if it were allowed. Just because it is old, does NOT make it bad.

Hams do contribute to the state of the art. Where do you think SSTV came from ? Just one example.

Dan/W4NTI

1868. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 22:09:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <rgsTd.6211$Ba3.2488@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103384

And when the bull dozer cuts the phone line? Right.

Dan/W4NTI

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1109235293.297344.67160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Like I said BB I would like to see them come up with something
> different. Secondly I really doubt that 600,000 amateurs came up with
> the same idea, if they did the system would be in place but it's not.
> nor, would I be in the postion to defend those "appliance users" on
> this newsgroup. Finally, the whole point is, amateurs are going to have
> to get it in their head to compete with the internet. compete in the
> sense of coming up with new ideas and new modes of communication to get
> people into the hobby. without people you will not have an amateur
> radio service. This is the 21st century and amateurs are still using
> outdated modes of communication. As I stated before if you were to go
> on the street and ask the average person if they had a choice between
> amateur rado and the internet the vast majority would pick the
> internet. Sure a lot of people don't know or ever heard of amateur
> radio, but at the same time if you were to explain it to them they
> would probabaly still pick the internet. they can do everything an
> amateur radio operator can do on the internet mode wise and more.
> Amateurs are falling behind in the tech world the problem with is those
> outdate CB operators/appliance users who don't want the system to
> change and fear anything new.
>
> Todd M9OGL
>
> bb wrote:
>> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>
>> > Like I said you whiney like bitch whore I fucking
>> > dare you come up with something different.
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL
>>
>> Todd, I think you need to work on the delivery a little more.
>>
>> About 600,000 USA hams just tuned out your ideas.
>

1869. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 22:48:23 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <421e5a5b$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103385

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:421DFD38.88381E38@earthlink.net...
> N9OGL wrote:
>>
>> The point of the argument is for amateur's radio to grow amateurs are
>> going
>> to have to compete with the internet.
>
> No, that not only isn't the point, there isn't any argument except in
> your mind.
>
>> Compete in the sense of getting
>> people into the hobby.
>
> Amateur radio competes with any other leisure activity for time. Some
> folks find it fascinating. Some have no interest. Not everyone is
> going to become a radio amateur.
>
>> The old modes of communication isn't going to
>> cut it anymore.
>
> They seem to be cutting it just fine, Todd. What new mode do you use in
> your clandestine "broadcasts"?
>
I'm running an information bulletin you dickhead and no matter what the fuck
you think their fucking legal so get over it!
>
>> The vast majority of people would rather get on the
>> internet
>> instead of getting into a hobby which has nothing to really offer in
>> terms
>> new modes of communication.
>
> The vast majority of people are never going to become hams. It has
> always been that way and will always be that way.

No, not all people well get into amateur radio, but unless you can come up
with new idea's the service will die. I was talking to other amatuers about
this subject odd of the internet and agree that amateur radio will probably
die off in a few years.

>> Many amateur radio operators can face the
>> fact
>> that amateur radio is slowly falling behind in technology and thus in
>> turn

>> is slowly dying off.
>
> You've managed to dump a couple of false premises in one sentence.
> You're wrong about technology and about amateur radio dying.

Oh really, what NEW technologies has amatuer radio come up with worth
getting into? Amateur are falling behind that's the truth.

>> Amateur radio will not grow if you can't get
>> people
>> into the service.
>
> Amateur radio licensing numbers are near an all time high.
>
Not true, according to the statistics last year amateur radio went up and
down in the number of people entering the service. last month it was down by
over 1,000 people.

>> You can drop the licensing structure down to nothing
>> but
>> no one will come into a hobby without dated modes of communication.
>
> I'm too busy laughing at your sentence to give you a serious reply,
> Todd.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1870. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 22:53:17 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1109285596.995517.300390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103386

People have been using their voices for thousands of years, so is that an 'outdated' form of communication? According to you it would be. Language replaced grunting, burping and farting as forms of communication, but you can still use that form of communication if you want to. How about implanting a CPU into everyone's brain so that we can communicate using brain waves? Amateur radio is in no way competing with the internet. They are both forms of communication. Now, with your brilliant thought processes, try coming up with something worthwhile to communicate.

1871. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-24 23:25:51 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <fqWdnWSrm7dX_oPfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103387

Todd Daugherty wrote:

>>
>
> I'm running an information bulletin you dickhead and no matter what the fuck
> you think their fucking legal so get over it!

Toddyboy, why don't you go away until you pass through puberty and
become mature enough to post something of revelance without the use of
jr. highschool language? Do you really think that makes anyone take your
rantings more seriously? NOT!!!!!!!!

1872. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 01:20:28 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <w3vTd.19359$D34.9293@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 103388

"Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message
news:1109285596.995517.300390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> Language replaced grunting, burping and farting as forms of
> communication.

I'll have to take exception to that. - Ask my wife!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php

1873. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 05:31:35 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty N9OGL <toddaug@conolidated.net>
Message-ID: <421eb8dd$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103389

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
news:fqWdnWSrm7dX_oPfRVn-qA@gbronline.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
>>>
>>
>> I'm running an information bulletin you dickhead and no matter what the
>> fuck you think their fucking legal so get over it!
>
> Toddyboy, why don't you go away until you pass through puberty and become
> mature enough to post something of revelance without the use of jr.
> highschool language?

Well if I didn't have to talk to a bunch of morons I wouldn't have to uses
such language.And FYI I'm currently in college working on my degree what
about you?

Do you really think that makes anyone take your
> rantings more seriously? NOT!!!!!!!!
>
Do you REALLY think I care what a bunch of appliance using CB operators
think....HELL NO!

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1874. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 05:41:39 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty N9OGL <toddaug@conolidated.net>
Message-ID: <421ebb3d$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103390

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
news:fqWdnWSrm7dX_oPfRVn-qA@gbronline.com...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
>>>
>>
>> I'm running an information bulletin you dickhead and no matter what the
>> fuck you think their fucking legal so get over it!
>
> Toddyboy, why don't you go away until you pass through puberty and become
> mature enough to post something of revelance without the use of jr.
> highschool language? Do you really think that makes anyone take your
> rantings more seriously? NOT!!!!!!!!
>
>Well it's not my fault if that dumb ass doesn't know the different between
>a "broadcast" and a "information bulletin". I also sick of repeating myself
>to a bunch of dumb asses morons who can't tell the difference between the
>two. I will repeat it one more time; I'm running a information bulletin and
>information bulletins are LEGAL wither you like it or not..

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1875. Re: New Regs for VK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 06:10:28 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <79gt11doba7fbe4m2f4jr01mipt0q405m5@4ax.com>
Source record: 103391

On Fri, 25 Feb 2005 11:10:27 +1100, Barry OGrady
<god_freee_jones@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 07:00:29 GMT, "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>No worries here are the links to verify my info:
>
>Did you mean "No worries here. Are the links to verify my info?"

Well, I read that as a comma between worries and here.

Tony

1876. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 10:38:46 UTC
From: "Dr. Daffodil Swain" <wa4jm@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <WeDTd.6618$Ba3.1750@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103392

"Language replaced grunting, burping and farting as forms of communication."

Huh? That is a common form of syntax used on 75 meters provided it's broken into Morse(L) sized bites.

--
For music, news and commentary you are not allowed to hear on corporate owned radio:
http://www.live365.com/stations/pascoradio
To see what's currently scheduled:
http://www.live365.com/broadcast/scheduler/?stationname=pascoradio

First Time Users May Be asked To Do A 1 Time Setup.
The service and the player are FREE.
Email me if you have problems listening.

1877. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 10:46:41 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96083A3B1705Eelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103393

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109271864.160442.290220
@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in news:1109208496.863217.225020
>> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> Jim, N2EY@AOL.COM, wrote on Tues, Feb 22 2005 1:47 am
>> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109009984.323422.143080
>> >> >> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >
>> >> >> 5wpm isn't very fast, but why is it required to operate phone?
>> >> >
>> >> >A couple of reasons:
>> >> >
>> >> >For the same reason hams have to pass written *theory* tests to
>> >> >use *manufactured* rigs with no critical tuneup adjustments.
>> >>
>> >> 1. The FCC decided it needed to test radio amateurs as part of
>> >> their task of regulating all U.S. civil radio.
>
> And that testing includes both written and code testing. FCC has
> decided
> that both are necessary for HF/MF license privileges. Of course that
> could have been changed any time after mid-July of 2003, but so far FCC
> has decided not to.
>
>> >> 2. The VEC Question Pool Committee decides WHAT
>> >> the questions are; FCC only specifies a total number and
>> >> the percentage correct for passing.
>
> INCORRECT!
>
> *ALL* questions in the written exam pools have to be approved by FCC,
> both for inclusion and removal. While the questions, answers and
> distractors are
> created by the QPC, they must be approved by FCC.
>> >>
>> >> 3. Any other reason is meaningless... ;-)
>

> The plain, simple fact of the matter is that the same arguments used
> against the code test can be used - and are being used - against
> almost all of what is in the written test.
>
>> > The USA VEC decided to return the Morse Code exam to 13-15WPM rate
>> > despite FCC regulations to the contrary.
>
> INCORRECT!
>
> The use of Farnsowrth-spaced Morse is simply a recommended practice,
> not a requirement. If someone wants non-Farnsworth Morse for Element 1,
> the VEs will accomodate them.
>>
>> Not exactly. It's Farnsworth method with an overall speed of 5wpm.
>> That gives the brain longer to decode each character, and the hgiher
>> speed of the individual characters still doesn't force you to read the
>> character as a whole. It's still possible to read the individual dots
>> and dashes.
>
> It may be possible for *some* individuals to count dits and dahs at
> 13-16 wpm character speed, but for most people who have been evaluated
> it is easier to hear the letter or number as a unit of sound. The
> exaggerated spacing between the letters/numbers allows more recognition
> time, and particularly more time to write or type the letter/number.
> Hence Farnsworth spacing usually makes it *easier* to pass the test.
>
>> Bearing all that in mind, I have no problem with it.
>
> Nor I.
>
>> What did annoy me was that the NCVEC did eliminate the multi-choice
>> option in direct response to the abolition of the 13 and 20wpm tests,
>> so the 5wpm test is now harder than it was before, for no other reason
>> than to make it harder.
>
> IIRC, FCC outlawed multiple choice code tests. While NCVEC may have
> commented against them, FCC makes the rules.
>
> Multiple-choice was eliminated because FCC decided it didn't really
> test the skill as required. There was a *lot* of comment against the
> multiple choice code test.
>
> Perhaps a compromise could be used. Suppose the code test were replaced
> with a test of - say - skill in solving transmission-line problems with
> the Smith Chart...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

There already are Smith Chart questions in the pool

1878. U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 11:07:47 UTC
From: "Dr. Daffodil Swain" <wa4jm@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7GDTd.14354$x53.711@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103394

Why not maintain the 5WPM requirement in the U.S., but give No-Code Techs access to the 80, 40, 15, and 10 meter old novice sub-bands. This would allow aspiring upgraders a place to hone their skills without having to just listen to recordings. Also, the sending skills can be developed as well. A side benefit would be hearing the sound of CW again (even if it's bad) in these largly unused segments. Just a thought.

1879. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 11:37:31 UTC
From: WA2SI <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1109331451.299441.279260@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103395

Dr. Daffodil Swain wrote:
> Why not maintain the 5WPM requirement in the U.S., but give No-Code
Techs
> access to the 80, 40, 15, and 10 meter old novice sub-bands. This
would
> allow aspiring upgraders a place to hone their skills without having
to
> just listen to recordings. Also, the sending skills can be developed
as
> well. A side benefit would be hearing the sound of CW again (even
if it's
> bad) in these largly unused segments. Just a thought.

Sounds reasonable. Heck, eventually the FCC will acquiesce to those who feel amateur radio privileges are rights rather than privileges. I personally believe the FCC is going to retain Element 1 solely for the Extra class license. This would actually be a more balanced alternative, IMHO.

Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

1880. Hamfest Concession Stand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 13:30:23 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@swlwebcaster.net>
Message-ID: <cvn96i$dr1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Please patronize my concession stand at area Hamfests.
I will be selling a kit containing much needed items typically
required at hamfests. My kits will contain:

Deodorant to mask body odor
Appetite suppressants
Shave cream & razor
Wash 'n Dry towelettes for slobs.
Change of underwear
Hair lice treatment
A book on how to stop bragging about your accomplishments

73,

Lloyd

1881. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 14:04:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109339098.970664.145900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103397

WA2SI wrote:
> Dr. Daffodil Swain wrote:
> > Why not maintain the 5WPM requirement in the U.S., but give No-Code
> Techs
> > access to the 80, 40, 15, and 10 meter old novice sub-bands. This
> would
> > allow aspiring upgraders a place to hone their skills without
having
> to
> > just listen to recordings. Also, the sending skills can be
developed
> as
> > well. A side benefit would be hearing the sound of CW again (even
> if it's
> > bad) in these largly unused segments. Just a thought.
>
> Sounds reasonable. Heck, eventually the FCC will acquiesce to those
who
> feel amateur radio privileges are rights rather than privileges. I
> personally believe the FCC is going to retain Element 1 solely for
the
> Extra class license. This would actually be a more balanced
> alternative, IMHO.

We've long since left the American core value of "priviledge is
earned", Bert. It started with Johnson's "Great Society". The "Great
Entitlement Giveaway" has been gaining momentum since. It's now
self-perpetuating. You have a pulse? You're entitled to what ever you
want regardless of whether you earned it or not.

In any case, I tend to agree with you on the Code issue. I'd go a
bit further and grandfather all the Advanced guys into Extra if for no
other reason than eliminating some administrative headache. Readjust
some of the sub bands a bit, then have it down to truly three classes
of license...Not three active and then several other "if you were
licensed on this date, "this", if you are one legged and whistle while
roller skating, "that" class, etc etc etc...

EXTRA: Remain as is. Full privileges and 5 WPM test.

ADVANCED: Grandfathered to Extra. Class eliminated from
database.

GENERAL. Becomes No Code. Present Generals remain. Sub bands
adjusted with Advanced "upgrade".

TECH PLUS: Must take written for upgrade to General with HF theory/practice/safety questions. "Novice" HF privileges revoked, but CSCE for 5WPM remains valid for future Extra upgrade.

NC TECH: No Change.

NOVICES: No more renewals. Put on notice that if they don't upgrade by next renewal date, the license is eliminated. Possible alternative, grandfather to NCT?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1882. An Offer For Lennie And Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 14:05:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109340306.945204.163750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103398

Hey Guys...

Just in case you two would like to formalize your Space Cadet status, thought you might like this link.

Lennie ought to be able to afford this, based upon all his broggadacio over his "holdings". Brain may have to scrimp and save a bit first...

http://www.virgingalactic.com/when.asp

Let us know how it goes, OK...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1883. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 15:39:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <421F46ED.4FA05E90@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103399

Todd Daugherty N9OGL wrote:
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
> news:fqWdnWSrm7dX_oPfRVn-qA@gbronline.com...
> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >
> >>>
> >> >> I'm running an information bulletin you dickhead and no matter what the
> >> >> fuck you think their fucking legal so get over it!
> >
> > > Toddyboy, why don't you go away until you pass through puberty and become
> > > mature enough to post something of revelance without the use of jr.
> > > highschool language?
>
> Well if I didn't have to talk to a bunch of morons I wouldn't have to uses
> such language.

None of those you refer to as "a bunch of morons" use such language to
you. If I understand you, you are being forced to use the language
because others aren't taking your ideas seriously.

> And FYI I'm currently in college working on my degree what
> about you?

You were able to graduate from high school with your writing skills and
were accepted into a college?

> > Do you really think that makes anyone take your
> > rantings more seriously? NOT!!!!!!!!

> Do you REALLY think I care what a bunch of appliance using CB operators
> think....HELL NO!

CB operators? You're in the wrong newsgroup.

Appliance ops? Almost all of us use some or all commercial equipment
these days, Todd. For me to build the equivalent of just my primary
transceiver, it would need to be housed in a garden shed and would take
up several years of spare time. Just so we know, what sets you aside
from the rest of us? What gear do you currently use which you've
designed or built yourself?

Dave K8MN

1884. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 15:43:19 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <rIHTd.5320$MY6.3176@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103400

"Dr. Daffodil Swain" <wa4jm@earthlink.net> wrote in news:7GDTd.14354$x53.711
@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net:

> Why not maintain the 5WPM requirement in the U.S., but give No-Code Techs
> access to the 80, 40, 15, and 10 meter old novice sub-bands. This would
> allow aspiring upgraders a place to hone their skills without having to
> just listen to recordings. Also, the sending skills can be developed as
> well. A side benefit would be hearing the sound of CW again (even if it's
> bad) in these largly unused segments. Just a thought.

Why not eliminate all testing? That's the ARRL plan.
Ten-Four Good-Buddy?

KB7ADL

1885. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 15:48:01 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96086D5123B09elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103401

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in news:1109339098.970664.145900
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

>
> WA2SI wrote:
>> Dr. Daffodil Swain wrote:
>> > Why not maintain the 5WPM requirement in the U.S., but give No-Code
>> > Techs access to the 80, 40, 15, and 10 meter old novice sub-bands.
>> > This would allow aspiring upgraders a place to hone their skills
>> > without having to just listen to recordings. Also, the sending
>> > skills can be developed as well. A side benefit would be hearing
>> > the sound of CW again (even if it's bad) in these largly unused
>> > segments. Just a thought.
>>
>> Sounds reasonable. Heck, eventually the FCC will acquiesce to those
>> who feel amateur radio privileges are rights rather than privileges. I
>> personally believe the FCC is going to retain Element 1 solely for the
>> Extra class license. This would actually be a more balanced
>> alternative, IMHO.
>
> We've long since left the American core value of "priviledge is
> earned", Bert. It started with Johnson's "Great Society". The "Great
> Entitlement Giveaway" has been gaining momentum since. It's now
> self-perpetuating. You have a pulse? You're entitled to what ever you
> want regardless of whether you earned it or not.
>
> In any case, I tend to agree with you on the Code issue. I'd go a
> bit further and grandfather all the Advanced guys into Extra if for no
> other reason than eliminating some administrative headache. Readjust
> some of the sub bands a bit, then have it down to truly three classes
> of license...Not three active and then several other "if you were
> licensed on this date, "this", if you are one legged and whistle while
> roller skating, "that" class, etc etc etc...
>
> EXTRA: Remain as is. Full privileges and 5 WPM test.
>
> ADVANCED: Grandfathered to Extra. Class eliminated from
> database.
>
> GENERAL. Becomes No Code. Present Generals remain. Sub bands
> adjusted with Advanced "upgrade".
>
> TECH PLUS: Must take written for upgrade to General with HF
> theory/practice/safety questions. "Novice" HF privileges revoked, but

> CSCE for 5WPM remains valid for future Extra upgrade.
>
> NC TECH: No Change.
>
> NOVICES: No more renewals. Put on notice that if they don't
> upgrade by next renewal date, the license is eliminated. Possible
> alternative, grandfather to NCT?
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

There are too many proposals already, that's why the FCC is taking so long, in all probability. FWIW, here's mine:-

1) Drop Element 1;

2) Upgrade Novices and No-code Techs to Tech Plus privileges, but just call it Technician;

3) Upgrade Advanced to Extra

4) 'Re-farm' the Novice subbands as already agreed by the FCC;

5) As (4) above also affects (2), some further adjustments would have to be made to Tech privileges, so I suggest giving them General CW/Data on 80, 40 and 15, plus full privileges on 10.

I don't expect everyone to agree, but I can't resist posting my two cents!

73 de Alun, N3KIP

1886. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 15:54:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <421F4A59.D6E6FB0E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103402

Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:421DFD38.88381E38@earthlink.net...
> > N9OGL wrote:

> >> The old modes of communication isn't going to
> >> cut it anymore.
> >
> > They seem to be cutting it just fine, Todd. What new mode do you use in
> > your clandestine "broadcasts"?
> >
> I'm running an information bulletin you <snipped> and no matter what the
> <snipped> you think their <snipped> legal so get over it!

Wow! That's quite a vocabulary, Todd. You've referred a number of
times to your "broadcast". Your information bulletins are apparently
quite hard to find. That would apparently limit their usefulness.

Care to answer the question about what new modes you are using in
delivering your broadcasts/information bulletins?

> >> The vast majority of people would rather get on the
> >> internet
> >> instead of getting into a hobby which has nothing to really offer in
> >> terms
> >> new modes of communication.
> >
> > The vast majority of people are never going to become hams. It has
> > always been that way and will always be that way.

> No, not all people well get into amateur radio, but unless you can come > up with new
idea's the service will die.

Not even a significant segment of "all people" has ever been a part of
amateur radio. Do you see anything wrong with that?

> I was talking to other amatuers about
> this subject odd of the internet and agree that amateur radio will probably
> die off in a few years.

Some people believe that we'll be ruled by a "New World Order" and that
they should start hoarding can goods and MRE's. That doesn't mean that
it is likely to happen. Your term "odd of the internet" might be a good
description of the folks who believe such things.

> >> Many amateur radio operators can face the
> >> fact
> >> that amateur radio is slowly falling behind in technology and thus in
> >> turn
> >> is slowly dying off.
> >
> > You've managed to dump a couple of false premises in one sentence.
> > You're wrong about technology and about amateur radio dying.
>
> Oh really, what NEW technologies has amatuer radio come up with worth
> getting into? Amateur are falling behind that's the truth.

How about defending one wild idea at a time before moving on to the
next?
You didn't write anything earlier about amateur radio coming up with new
technologies worth getting into, you wrote about amateur radio falling
behind in technology. It isn't. Individual people who happen to be
radio amateurs have often been on the cutting edge of technological
development.
"Amateur Radio" as a whole doesn't create technology. Individuals do.

> >> Amateur radio will not grow if you can't get
> >> people
> >> into the service.
> >
> > Amateur radio licensing numbers are near an all time high.
> >
> Not true, according to the statistics last year amateur radio went up and
> down in the number of people entering the service. last month it was down by
> over 1,000 people.

What percentage of 600,000 or so individuals is 1,000? Do you think
that
599,000 people is near an all time high number of American radio
amateurs?

> >> You can drop the licensing structure down to nothing
> >> but
> >> no one will come into a hobby without dated modes of communication.
> >
> > I'm too busy laughing at your sentence to give you a serious reply,
> > Todd.

Anything further on those hobbies without dated modes of communication?

Dave K8MN

1887. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 16:04:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <421F4CB8.ADC605F5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103404

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am

> >I don't advocate changing the rules because I had trouble with
> >one of them.
>
> Right..."no gain, no pain." Not to mention NO GUTS
> to try eliminating it by lawful means like "democratic
> principles guaranteed under the U.S. Constitution."

Why would have to have the guts to try eliminating a regulation when they support retention of the regulation?

You've petitioned your government for change. Nobody stopped you. I'm sure you've been disappointed a number of times in your life when you petitioned government and the changes you desired just weren't implemented. Other folks have desires and the ability to petition.

> You wanted to buy into the old beliefs.

You didn't and are still on the sidelines.

> You did it.

...and you didn't. There's been no "Extra right out of the box" despite your boast.

> Now you can have fun sneering at all who don't care
> to buy that.

Yes, he can. I can. Everyone who expended the effort to obtain an amateur radio license can. Your task, Mr. Anderson, is to learn to deal with it.

> You're a "somebody" in a radio hobby
> activity! [applause, applause...] :-)

...and you are a nobody in amateur radio! [wilder applause] :-)

> The ARRL is proud of you.

How would you know? You are no more involved in the ARRL than you are in amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

1888. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 16:05:21 UTC
From: k0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1109347521.663403.20520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103403

N9OGL wrote:

> The point of the argument is for amateur's radio to grow
> amateurs are going to have to compete with the internet.

Nothing new about that! Amateur radio has always had to compete with other pastimes ... TV, video games, baseball, stamp collecting, chasing girls (boys), skateboarding, fishing, ...

It's not an "either/or" deal. I have many pastimes .... amateur radio doesn't get tossed out the window because I gain some other new interest.

Your point doesn't hold water.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1889. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 18:21:33 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <E0KTd.110114$mt.70742@fed1read03>
Source record: 103405

D. Stussy wrote:

> On Sun, 20 Feb 2005, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>> actually, its considered TRInary (1 short, 1 long and a space ,off>).
>> it is a form of digital that works well with the human brain (after a
>> small amount of training).
>
> No, it's quadnary.
>
> At first glance, one may think trinary. However, there is a difference
> between
> a short space and long space: A short space simply separates the long and
> short marks, while the long space separates the individual symbols
> (letters,
> numbers, and punctuation) from each other. This means that there are 4
> states.
I usualy go by the digital form factor here. a space is a space.
Thus my logic:
dih = short mark
dah = long mark
space = 0

I have listened to quite a lot of CW of the years. I don't see where a long
space counts. in a lot of the programming interfaces for things like a TNC
(or a computer program), they only coult the above named 3 states.

a long silence is just a number of "space" markers (more than one).

ah well.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

1890. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 18:45:20 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <4nKTd.37728$xt.13213@fed1read07>
Source record: 103406

As i wrote before

With proper sending or machine Morse:
Each dit is one element, each dah is three elements, intra-character spacing
is one element, inter-character spacing is three elements and inter-word
spacing is seven elements.

Seems to be five pieces of information by your assessment
--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:E0KTd.110114$mt.70742@fed1read03...
> D. Stussy wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 20 Feb 2005, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>>> actually, its considered TRInary (1 short, 1 long and a space ,off>).
>>> it is a form of digital that works well with the human brain (after a
>>> small amount of training).
>>
>> No, it's quadnary.
>>
>> At first glance, one may think trinary. However, there is a difference
>> between
>> a short space and long space: A short space simply separates the long
>> and
>> short marks, while the long space separates the individual symbols
>> (letters,
>> numbers, and punctuation) from each other. This means that there are 4
>> states.
> I usualy go by the digital form factor here. a space is a space.
> Thus my logic:
> dih = short mark
> dah = long mark
> space = 0
>
> I have listened to quite a lot of CW of the years. I don't see where a
> long
> space counts. in a lot of the programming interfaces for things like a TNC
> (or a computer program), they only coult the above named 3 states.
>
> a long silence is just a number of "space" markers (more than one).

>
> ah well.
>
> --
> DE N7ZZT
> Eric Oyen
> Phoenix, Arizona
> e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
> has its limits.

1891. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 18:57:26 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <krGdnSA0D7WL6ILfRVn-vA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 103407

Dr. Daffodil Swain wrote:
> Why not maintain the 5WPM requirement in the U.S., but give No-Code Techs
> access to the 80, 40, 15, and 10 meter old novice sub-bands. This would
> allow aspiring upgraders a place to hone their skills without having to
> just listen to recordings. Also, the sending skills can be developed as
> well. A side benefit would be hearing the sound of CW again (even if it's
> bad) in these largly unused segments. Just a thought.

This makes no sense at all. If the so called no-code techs can't do code now, how would giving them access to code only portion of the bands help them learn code? If they want to listen to code, they can do that now without a license. There are code portions of the 2 meter and 6 meter bands that they have access to now, but I'll bet none of them go there to practice code! Five wpm isn't that much, if you know the characters already. If you don't know the characters, then I see no way that you are going to practice sending code?

1892. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 19:08:09 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <tIKTd.37729$xt.17010@fed1read07>
Source record: 103408

Beg to differ -- I have worked several no code techs on six meters who were practicing their code.

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"whoever" <whoever@wherever> wrote in message
news:krGdnSA0D7WL6ILfRVn-vA@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Dr. Daffodil Swain wrote:
SNIP>
>
> This makes no sense at all. If the so called no-code techs can't do code
> now, how would giving them access to code only portion of the bands help
> them learn code? If they want to listen to code, they can do that now
> without a license. There are code portions of the 2 meter and 6 meter
> bands that they have access to now, but I'll bet none of them go there to
> practice code! Five wpm isn't that much, if you know the characters
> already. If you don't know the characters, then I see no way that you are
> going to practice sending code?
>

1893. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 19:35:05 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <HbqdnSrUo-I14ILfRVn-iw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 103409

That's sort of what I meant when I said there are places on 2 and 6.
I'll bet the ones you worked could do 5 wpm or at least copy at that
speed. I'm saying the ones that can't do code aren't doing it on the
bands they have now so why give them more? If they can do it on 6 meters
then they can take the code test and they will have all the novice sub
bands to use!

Caveat Lector wrote:

> Beg to differ -- I have worked several no code techs on six meters who were
> practicing their code.
>

1894. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 19:44:04 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <_MmdnaWVrZY6H4LfRVn-sQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103411

Todd Daugherty N9OGL wrote:

> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
> news:fqWdnWSrm7dX_oPfRVn-qA@gbronline.com...
>
>>Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I'm running an information bulletin you dickhead and no matter what the
>>>fuck you think their fucking legal so get over it!
>>
>>Toddyboy, why don't you go away until you pass through puberty and become
>>mature enough to post something of revelance without the use of jr.
>>highschool language?
>
>
> Well if I didn't have to talk to a bunch of morons I wouldn't have to uses
> such language.

I think you have it backwards on just who the moron is.

> And FYI I'm currently in college working on my degree

What degree is that, "how to be jerk"?

> what
> about you?

Did that a long time ago toddyboy, but unlike you, I grew up before I
did it too.

>
> Do you really think that makes anyone take your
>
>>rantings more seriously? NOT!!!!!!!!
>>
>
> Do you REALLY think I care what a bunch of appliance using CB operators
> think....HELL NO!
>
> Todd N9OGL

Obviously you don't care what anyone thinks, but that's your problem,
however your silly immature rantings do not impress anyone.

Like I say, get through pimples and puberty, grow up to the point you
can communicate like a mature adult without all the jr. highschool

vulguarities, then maybe someone will take you half-way seriously.

1895. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 19:46:12 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <8gLTd.37730$xt.29163@fed1read07>
Source record: 103410

Agree

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"whoever" <whoever@wherever> wrote in message
news:HbqdnSrUo-l14ILfRVn-iw@centurytel.net...
> That's sort of what I meant when I said there are places on 2 and 6. I'll
> bet the ones you worked could do 5 wpm or at least copy at that speed. I'm
> saying the ones that can't do code aren't doing it on the bands they have
> now so why give them more? If they can do it on 6 meters then they can
> take the code test and they will have all the novice sub bands to use!
>
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>
>> Beg to differ -- I have worked several no code techs on six meters who
>> were practicing their code.
>>
>

1896. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 20:11:03 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109362263.869078.191050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103412

>"Amateur Radio POLICY," Hiram will say a Hail Mary for you and mail a
>certificate.

But...is it suitable for framing? :-)

>What do you say we start a Morse Code Exam policy thread?

Nevah hoppen, GI ! :-)

This newsgroup is the PCTA extra's Chat Room where they can
all talk about what's on their minds and express all their hatred
and frustration against those against the morse code test.

NO dissention is allowed. If dissention with the established
tradition and trappings of the Church of St. Hiram show up,
all dissenters will be harrassed, hounded, and haunted by
the PCTA extras.

>> The judge roy bean of the newsgroup has SPOKEN!
>
>Isn't he the hanging judge? Definitely full of beans.

He wants to hang them high...and often. :-)

"Full of beans?" The flatulence from him is awful!

>I get it. You were funnin about listening and obeying.

The PCTA extras don't get it. [they never had it... :-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1897. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 20:13:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109362413.554584.232840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103413

From: "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> on 23 Feb 2005 17:38:53 -0800

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
>> > Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
>> Presumably the
>> >only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT
button.
>
>> "Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
>> owners have to know where to get the PTT control
>> fixed! :-)
>
>Yep, he said "mash," but must have been mistaken when he said they
>would know how to read.
>
>You see, people that use a microphone are clods. They would never
>"depress" the ptt button, nor would they "press down" on it. They are

>of low intelligence and barely human, and only know how to "mash" said

>button. If no one is looking, they may actually step on the
microphone
>with bare, dirty feet and yell into it.

[sounds like Dan of a few years ago, reminiscing of how hams
used to do early FM by YELLING into their VFOs...:-)]

>People who use a telegraph key are genteel. They know how to properly

>close the contacts, form a character, and move on. They do so with
>their pinkie finger extended, and have no dirt under their nails.

Agreed. Amateur morsemen are the very EPITOME of
"radio operators." None are their equal.

They are Superhams, faster than a speeding TTY, able to
jump tall pile-ups at a single bound. They wear hair shirts
emblazoned with a Big S and carry shiny Raddio Kopp
shields to ward off evildoers speaking of Change.

All who do not love, honor, worship, and obey them are
Full of Hate For All Radio Amateurs!

>For it is written.

...in here. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1898. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 20:30:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109363406.994979.49640@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103414

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Thurs, Feb 24 2005 10:08 pm

>Hams do contribute to the state of the art. Where do you think SSTV
came
>from ? Just one example.

Bell Labs and the "PicturePhone"? :-)

Went into service on the Bell System over four decades ago, got
deleted for lack of interest/use some years ago. Worked on a
very limited bandwidth.

The genesis of Slow-Scan TV began in several places. One could
say its start was the first Facsimile...very slow data rate. A very
close cousin was early television, also done at a very slow data
rate considering their dependency on mechanical scanning. A
few amateurs tried to advance into professional ranks with the
mechanical scanning TV but none were successful.

All that was before the USA got into WW2. During WW2, TV
was rather limited but "wirephoto" facsimile got popular on wired
communications circuits. A medium-scan-rate TV system was
used on some experimental guided bombs late in WW2. "FAX"
got its acronym-name during that war and was used for graphics
such as weather maps sent out over HF radio circuits.

With the end of WW2 began the virtual explosion of broadcast
television and the availability of TV camera tubes, TV picture
tubes, newer circuit technology (DuMont "flying spot" system,
a sort of reversed light-subject-camera arrangement) and wide-
band modulation (6 MHz in the USA, included audio). There
began lots of research into Information Theory and Bandwidth
in the late 1940s which resulted in insight to necessary
bandwidths to maintain low error rates ("Shannon's Law" of
1948). Many different experiments began to send "live" TV
at reduced bandwidths and the Bell "PicturePhone" was just
such a system which did go into service in the NYC area.

Information Theory got a few boosts from greater efforts of
cryptologists during the Cold War trying to devise better codes
for sensitive communications. Information Theory eventually
morphed into the Motion Picture Experts Group (MPEG) which
had formed about the same time as the explosion of the
desktop personal computer during the 1980s. MPEG was
based on earlier work using "blocks" of picture elements and
their examination of redundancies plus the availability of new

and better digital logic circuits to process the image blocks.

Nearly all amateur "slow-scan TV" is little more than high-rate facsimile...on the order of the "PicturePhone" imaging. None of it is the moving picture quality found on the modern enhanced cellular telephones using MPEG compression-expansion of image data.

"Modern television" (defined as all-electronic scanning) is wide bandwidth to preserve image quality. What is broadcast, even with old-style black-and-white "original" NTSC standards, is quite good. Any "NEMO" watcher (NEtwork MOnitor) viewing the direct input from a microwave relay link can tell you that. The same with the "air monitor" checking transmitted video. Early domestic-production TV receivers deliberately limited bandwidth to reduce costs, resulting in receiver picture quality being awful to poor compared with what was transmitted. The picture quality on amateur SSTV is approximately the same as early domestic-production TV receivers, but SSTV cannot handle motion nearly as well.

Modern FAX standards use some data compression but that is limited. Picture quality there is reduced compared to what was possible with older, uncompressed facsimile.

Amateur SSTV is NOT an "original" thing from hams but rather an adaptation to stay within shrinking RF spectrum on VHF and above available to amateurs. If it were ever standardized as to scan rates and bandwidth, there would be a chance for improvement. As it is, it remains a novelty, something good for the editors of QST to crow about. "PicturePhone" went into the dumpster long ago and SSTV will probably wind up there.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1899. Re: Hamfest Concession Stand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 20:48:32 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <AaMTd.37732$xt.31586@fed1read07>
Source record: 103415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Another gross generalization that can be applied to folks anywhere, anytime,
in any venue
Why give Amateur Radio a bad name with mistruths ?

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Support Ham Radio

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@swlwebcaster.net> wrote in message
news:cvn96i$dr1$0@pita.alt.net...
> Please patronize my concession stand at area Hamfests.
> I will be selling a kit containing much needed items typically
> required at hamfests. My kits will contain:
>
> Deodorant to mask body odor
> Appetite suppressants
> Shave cream & razor
> Wash 'n Dry towelettes for slobs.
> Change of underwear
> Hair lice treatment
> A book on how to stop bragging about your accomplishments
>
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>

1900. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 20:50:58 UTC
From: Mark <makolber@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109364658.651758.42950@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Wait till the first customer chokes or has a heart attack or whatever
and someone tries to dial 911 and can't. That will be a great lawsuit.

If I want my phone off, I'll turn it off.

Mark

1901. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 21:13:45 UTC
From: Freddy Boe <fbo@hicksrusfreddy.com>
Message-ID: <cvo4bb$n57$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mark" <makolber@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109364658.651758.42950@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Wait till the first customer chokes or has a heart attack or whatever
> and someone tries to dial 911 and can't. That will be a great lawsuit.
>
> If I want my phone off, I'll turn it off.
>
> Mark

Duhhhhhhhhhhh, ever hear of a *wired* phone?

1902. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 22:21:58 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ayNTd.6999$Ba3.2732@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Harris wrote:

> Saw cell phone jammers, both personal and large area type,
> for sale at a popular security/private detective shop in
> Manhattan, NYC. Clerk said he is selling them faster than
> he can keep them in stock.
>

I don't understand people who complain of others using
cell phones to get stuff done or such while waiting on
line to pay for stuff at a store. You should be mad at the
store for forcing us to waste time on line than me trying
to use that time with the cell phone.

1903. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 22:24:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <421FA5B4.BFFA4C0D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103419

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> ...but SSTV cannot
> handle motion nearly as well.

Motion? SSTV doesn't handle motion at all.

> Amateur SSTV is NOT an "original" thing from hams but rather
> an adaptation to stay within shrinking RF spectrum on VHF and
> above available to amateurs.

VHF and above? While there are those using SSTV on 2m and above, most
SSTV is done at HF, Leonard. Real ATV is done at 70cm and above.

> If it were ever standardized as to
> scan rates and bandwidth, there would be a chance for
> improvement. As it is, it remains a novelty, something good for
> the editors of QST to crow about.

SSTV has been in wide use on the HF bands for some decades now, old boy.
That would hardly seem to be a novelty.

Dave K8MN

1904. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 23:40:22 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty N9OGL <toddaug@conolidated.net>
Message-ID: <421fb809$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103420

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
news:_MmdnaWVrZY6H4LfRVn-sQ@gbronline.com...
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL wrote:
>
>> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
>> news:fqWdnWSrm7dX_oPfRVn-qA@gbronline.com...
>>
>>>Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>I'm running an information bulletin you dickhead and no matter what the
>>>>fuck you think their fucking legal so get over it!
>>>
>>>Toddyboy, why don't you go away until you pass through puberty and become
>>>mature enough to post something of revelance without the use of jr.
>>>highschool language?
>>
>>
>> Well if I didn't have to talk to a bunch of morons I wouldn't have to
>> uses such language.
>
> I think you have it backwards on just who the moron is.
>
>> And FYI I'm currently in college working on my degree
>
> What degree is that, "how to be jerk"?
No computer science...I wouldn't be such a jerk if you would stick to the
issue at hand. No where in my originally post did I state that amateur radio
should run nothing but information bulletins, it was YOU and your little
buddies bathroomman, and Dave Heil who keep bring it up. No instead I have
to battle it out with a bunch of amateurs who are narrow minded and have the
attention span of a ameba.

>> what about you?
>
> Did that a long time ago toddyboy, but unlike you, I grew up before I did
> it too.
>
>
>>
>> Do you really think that makes anyone take your
>>
>>>rantings more seriously? NOT!!!!!!!!
>>>

>>
>> Do you REALLY think I care what a bunch of appliance using CB operators
>> think....HELL NO!
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>
> Obviously you don't care what anyone thinks, but that's your problem,
> however your silly immature rantings do not impress anyone.
>
> Like I say, get through pimples and puberty, grow up to the point you can
> communicate like a mature adult without all the jr. highschool
> vulguarities, then maybe someone will take you half-way seriously.
>
Sure, you don't use vulguarties, but you do try to provoke it....

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1905. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 23:42:22 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <osqdnf-0XPakJYLfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 103421

"Dr. Daffodil Swain" <wa4jm@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:7GDTd.14354$x53.711@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Why not maintain the 5WPM requirement in the U.S., but give No-Code Techs
> access to the 80, 40, 15, and 10 meter old novice sub-bands. This would
> allow aspiring upgraders a place to hone their skills without having to
> just listen to recordings. Also, the sending skills can be developed as
> well. A side benefit would be hearing the sound of CW again (even if
> it's
> bad) in these largly unused segments. Just a thought.
>
>

That's what I have thought would be a good way to go. Simply make all Tech licenses the equivalent of the current Tech with Morse. That gives them the old Novice code bands and the old "Novice enhancement" of 10m voice.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1906. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-25 23:48:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <46qdnTEh_so1JILfRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 103422

"whoever" <whoever@wherever> wrote in message
news:HbqdnSrUo-l14ILfRVn-iw@centurytel.net...
> That's sort of what I meant when I said there are places on 2 and 6. I'll
> bet the ones you worked could do 5 wpm or at least copy at that speed. I'm
> saying the ones that can't do code aren't doing it on the bands they have
> now so why give them more? If they can do it on 6 meters then they can
> take the code test and they will have all the novice sub bands to use!
>

Actually it might give them more incentive to work on it since they could
use it right away.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1907. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 00:01:07 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <e4PTd.9471$H11.8262@fe11.lga>
Source record: 103423

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:46qdnTEh_so1JILfRVn-ug@comcast.com...
>
> "whoever" <whoever@wherever> wrote in message
> news:HbqdnSrUo-l14ILfRVn-iw@centurytel.net...
>> That's sort of what I meant when I said there are places on 2 and 6. I'll
>> bet the ones you worked could do 5 wpm or at least copy at that speed.
>> I'm saying the ones that can't do code aren't doing it on the bands they
>> have now so why give them more? If they can do it on 6 meters then they
>> can take the code test and they will have all the novice sub bands to
>> use!
>>
>
> Actually it might give them more incentive to work on it since they could
> use it right away.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Sadly, it's exactly the opposite. They appear to have no wish to "work" for HF privileges. Anything other than a complete "gimme" is unsuitable.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

1908. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 00:11:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109376715.574645.211170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103424

Kim wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1109209133.611312.38560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
> >
> > > > Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
> > > Presumably the
> > > >only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT
> > button.
> > >
> > > "Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
> > > owners have to know where to get the PTT control
> > > fixed! :-)
> >
> > Yep, he said "mash," but must have been mistaken when he said they
> > would know how to read.
> >
> > You see, people that use a microphone are clods. They would never
> > "depress" the ptt button, nor would they "press down" on it. They
are
> > of low intelligence and barely human, and only know how to "mash"
said
> > button. If no one is looking, they may actually step on the
microphone
> > with bare, dirty feet and yell into it.
> >
> > People who use a telegraph key are genteel. They know how to
properly
> > close the contacts, form a character, and move on. They do so with
> > their pinkie finger extended, and have no dirt under their nails.
> >
> > For it is written.
> >
>
> I think I just found Larry Roll.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Oh, dear God! I forgot the smiley!!!

Sorry Kim, that must have been a real blast from the past.

1909. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 00:13:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109376793.016769.326380@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103425

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:tPbTd.56982$iC4.24423@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1109209133.611312.38560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> > Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
> >>
> >> > > Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
> >> > Presumably the
> >> > >only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT
> >> button.
> >> >
> >> > "Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
> >> > owners have to know where to get the PTT control
> >> > fixed! :-)
> >>
> >> Yep, he said "mash," but must have been mistaken when he said they
> >> would know how to read.
> >>
> >> You see, people that use a microphone are clods. They would never
> >> "depress" the ptt button, nor would they "press down" on it. They
are
> >> of low intelligence and barely human, and only know how to "mash"
said
> >> button. If no one is looking, they may actually step on the
microphone
> >> with bare, dirty feet and yell into it.
> >>
> >> People who use a telegraph key are genteel. They know how to
properly
> >> close the contacts, form a character, and move on. They do so
with
> >> their pinkie finger extended, and have no dirt under their nails.
> >>
> >> For it is written.
> >>
> >
> > I think I just found Larry Roll.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >

> >
>
> Listen W5TWIT, I done told ya....stick with what you
know.....NOTHING.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Hey Dan, how 'bout scrapin that dirt from under yer fingernails.

1910. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 00:15:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109376904.904921.66060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103426

Michael Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
> >
> >
> >>> Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
> >>
> >>Presumably the
> >>
> >>>only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT
> >
> > button.
> >
> >> "Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
> >> owners have to know where to get the PTT control
> >> fixed! :-)
> >
> >
> > Yep, he said "mash," but must have been mistaken when he said they
> > would know how to read.
> >
> > You see, people that use a microphone are clods. They would never
> > "depress" the ptt button, nor would they "press down" on it. They
are
> > of low intelligence and barely human, and only know how to "mash"
said
> > button. If no one is looking, they may actually step on the
microphone
> > with bare, dirty feet and yell into it.
> >
> > People who use a telegraph key are genteel. They know how to
properly
> > close the contacts, form a character, and move on. They do so with
> > their pinkie finger extended, and have no dirt under their nails.
> >
> > For it is written.
> >
>
> People around my area say "mash" as in referring to "pressing"
> something I say it too at times. In general it is said in contesxt
such

> as "Hey Bob, mash that light switch will ya?
>
> I'll quite saying mash if it offends all of you that much.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> (who does mash his PTT when he works SSB)

Mike, it's just that unconscious bias against all things not Morse showing through. It is the mark of the true Morseman.

bb

1911. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 00:17:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109377047.979168.303150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103427

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:cvlgp2$12f2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> > bb wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
> >>
> >>
> >>>> Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
> >>>
> >>>Presumably the
> >>>
> >>>>only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT
> >>
> >> button.
> >>
> >>> "Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
> >>> owners have to know where to get the PTT control
> >>> fixed! :-)
> >>
> >>
> >> Yep, he said "mash," but must have been mistaken when he said they
> >> would know how to read.
> >>
> >> You see, people that use a microphone are clods. They would never
> >> "depress" the ptt button, nor would they "press down" on it. They
are
> >> of low intelligence and barely human, and only know how to "mash"
said
> >> button. If no one is looking, they may actually step on the
microphone
> >> with bare, dirty feet and yell into it.
> >>
> >> People who use a telegraph key are genteel. They know how to
properly
> >> close the contacts, form a character, and move on. They do so
with
> >> their pinkie finger extended, and have no dirt under their nails.
> >>
> >> For it is written.
> >>
> >

> > People around my area say "mash" as in referring to "pressing"
something I
> > say it too at times. In general it is said in contesxt such as "Hey
Bob,
> > mash that light switch will ya?
> >
> > I'll quite saying mash if it offends all of you that much.
> >
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > (who does mash his PTT when he works SSB)
> >
>
> A real "communicator" will say 'key the mike', or 'key the
circuit'.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Sorry, but real "communicators" refer to "key" when they load an
encryption code.

BOL, bb

1912. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 00:22:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109377322.224652.254760@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103428

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> on 23 Feb 2005 17:38:53 -0800
>
>
> >For it is written.
>
> ...in here. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Heh, heh, heh.

I had Kim shuddering that Larry tRoll had come back, Dan defining what a real communicator is (hi, hi), Alun ready to kill file me (ouch!).

All in all, I'd say I done excellent, but my nails are clean and I depress the PTT button. There goes the damned stereotype.

1913. Re: An Offer For Lennie And Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 00:28:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109377721.671291.77210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103429

K4YZ wrote:
> Hey Guys...
>
> Just in case you two would like to formalize your Space Cadet
> status, thought you might like this link.
>
> Lennie ought to be able to afford this, based upon all his
> broggadacio over his "holdings". Brain may have to scrimp and save a
> bit first...
>
> http://www.virgingalactic.com/when.asp
>
> Let us know how it goes, OK...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Clicking the above link is probably a sure way to get a virus or at the
very least, clamydia.

BOL, bb

1914. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 00:32:12 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <421fc431$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103430

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:421F4A59.D6E6FB0E@earthlink.net...
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:421DFD38.88381E38@earthlink.net...
>> > N9OGL wrote:
>>

> How about defending one wild idea at a time before moving on to the
> next?
> You didn't write anything earlier about amateur radio coming up with new
> technologies worth getting into, you wrote about amateur radio falling
> behind in technology. It isn't.

OH REALLY, tell me what new advances in radio communication have amateurs
come up with in lets say last 10 to 20 years???

answer....NONE!!!

Todd

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1915. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 00:35:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109378133.896775.311190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103431

Dr. Daffodil Swain wrote:
> Why not maintain the 5WPM requirement in the U.S., but give No-Code
Techs
> access to the 80, 40, 15, and 10 meter old novice sub-bands.

Hey, you have an excellent idea that should have flown in the 1970's.
Maybe early 80's. Way too late for that kind of nonsense today.

This would
> allow aspiring upgraders a place to hone their skills without having
to
> just listen to recordings. Also, the sending skills can be developed
as
> well. A side benefit would be hearing the sound of CW again (even
if it's
> bad) in these largly unused segments. Just a thought.

The people that were once willing to learn Morse Code have done so.
You saw the end of the line some time ago.

1916. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 00:42:48 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109378568.030119.16200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103432

K4YZ wrote:
> WA2SI wrote:
> > Dr. Daffodil Swain wrote:
> > > Why not maintain the 5WPM requirement in the U.S., but give
No-Code
> > Techs
> > > access to the 80, 40, 15, and 10 meter old novice sub-bands.
This
> > would
> > > allow aspiring upgraders a place to hone their skills without
> having
> > to
> > > just listen to recordings. Also, the sending skills can be
> developed
> > as
> > > well. A side benefit would be hearing the sound of CW again (
even
> > if it's
> > > bad) in these largly unused segments. Just a thought.
> >
> > Sounds reasonable. Heck, eventually the FCC will acquiesce to those
> who
> > feel amateur radio privileges are rights rather than privileges. I
> > personally believe the FCC is going to retain Element 1 solely for
> the
> > Extra class license. This would actually be a more balanced
> > alternative, IMHO.
>
> We've long since left the American core value of "priviledge is
> earned", Bert. It started with Johnson's "Great Society". The "Great
> Entitlement Giveaway" has been gaining momentum since. It's now
> self-perpetuating. You have a pulse? You're entitled to what ever
you
> want regardless of whether you earned it or not.

Yep, just like when Ron Reagan said, "Mr Gorbachev, Tear Down That
Wall!"

And those East Germans got a free ride to freedom. It was all just
terrible. Freedom turned out to be free after all. They should have
been made to work for it. Uphill both ways. Show the proper attitude
and all that.

I say, "Mr. FCC Chairman Powell, Tear Down That Wall!"

But the bricklayers are busy. Very, very busy.

1917. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 00:52:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109379148.905699.194050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103433

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > From: Dave Heil <kommandante@earthlink.net> Wed, 23 Feb 2005
> 04:53:53
> > > GMT
> > > >
> > > >Ah yes, that dreaded 5 wpm morse code TEST, the one which has
you
> > > >beaten.
> > >
> > > "Beaten?"
> > >
> > > Tsk, haven't bothered to take one, impossible to know if I
> > > would be "beaten" or "triumph in exhiliration" or other
> > > imaginary visions you have.
> >
> > Aw, geez. Not another twit that sees things that aren't there.
> Wonder
> > if he "saw" the Wright Flyer at Kitty Hawk, before it was built?
>
> See "what" that isnt' there?
>
> The Pathological Liar Known As Lennie, on more than one
occassion,
> claimed to being able to do code at or about 10 WPM.
>
> Yet he has not only NOT taken the 5WPM test associated with the
> Extra Lite as he asserted he would, he can't apss the NO CODE test
for
> the Amateur Technician class license.
>
> Oh yes...Lennie is every bit a "beaten" man!
>
> Incompetent, unqualified and beaten. In every regard.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Odd how you have to continually beat down a beaten man.

It's almost like your beatings have no effect. Rather, it's like your
beatings have no effect whatsoever.

Hi!

1918. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 00:59:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109379545.337178.66930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103434

Dave Heil wrote:
> Len "Cut and Paste" Anderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > From: Dave Heil <kommandante@earthlink.net> Wed, 23 Feb 2005
04:53:53
> > GMT
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> Brian, "Caveat's" response is so typical of the already-
> > >> license-and-already-code-tested amateurs in regards
> > >> to the morse code TEST. :-)
> > >
> > >Ah yes, that dreaded 5 wpm morse code TEST, the one which has you
> > >beaten.
> >
> > "Beaten?" :-)
>
> Yeah, beaten. :-)
>
> > Tsk, haven't bothered to take one, impossible to know if I
> > would be "beaten" or "triumph in exhiliration" or other
> > imaginary visions you have. :-)
>
> Well, old boy, no one would want you to be "bothered". If you're
"Extra
> right out of the box" brage had resulted in success, we'd have heard
no
> end to the triumph in exhiliration--or even exhilaration. :-)

Welp, twit, with respect to Len getting "Extra right out of the box"
you bragged that "I just did it." But your first license looked an
awful lot like a Novice license.

Would you care to explain how your Extra license came with a Novice
call sign?

Didn't think so.

1919. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 01:06:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109379969.505037.185640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103435

Caveat Lector wrote:
> Indeed tri-state would be a better description as the "off" periods
in Morse
> convey information necessary to "decode" it.
> Since an off time is not a signal, maybe Bi-Signal in Tri-state
format
> Nah -- just tri-state I guess (;-)
>
> With proper sending or machine Morse:
> Each dit is one element, each dah is three elements, intra-character
spacing
> is one element, inter-character spacing is three elements and
inter-word
> spacing is seven elements. Three states indeed.

Kindly point me to any FCC publication that says it is so.

What you'll find is a Government Agency that grants or denies
permission to the amateur setaside HF frequencies with an exam that has
no definition. A Pass/Fail test that has no answers.

Hi, hi!

Thank you.

bb

1920. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 01:37:53 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <7jkv1191c6p8d6oaf6u74tttqmeu02maaf@4ax.com>
Source record: 103436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 25 Feb 2005 12:50:58 -0800, "Mark" <makolber@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Wait till the first customer chokes or has a heart attack or whatever
>and someone tries to dial 911 and can't. That will be a great lawsuit.
>
>If I want my phone off, I'll turn it off.
>
>Mark

Hospitals, government buildings and various other places that don't
allow cell phones automatically turn off cell phones. They seem to be
growing in popularity. The cell phones are designed with that ability
built in for that purpose.

If you are in a place that disables a cell phone, you may find out you
are somewhere near a LL and the employees will likely let you use it
for an emergency. If not, then you WILL have a lawsuit.

--
73 for now
Buck
N4PGW

1921. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 01:55:09 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1109382909.430616.38840@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103437

Well, how many different ways do you want to be able to exchange signal reports and WX, or God forbid, information bulletins? How many positions do you want on the old MODE switch? The point is, try exchanging something useful or interesting rather than worrying about how the information is exchanged. You aren't going to get an interested audience for your 'information bulletins,' either, because you have nothing useful to convey, so give it up. You are just going to make enemies and take up space like K1MAN. Originally you planned to do your broadcast on 10 meters at 9 PM. At that time of night, no one beyond about 10 miles is going to hear you. That, right there, demonstrates your knowledge of radio and propagation. Only a freaking CBer would consider doing a 10 M broadcast at 9 PM. As for SSTV, after you exchange a few poor quality pics of the OM, the shack, or maybe the XYL's butt, what more do you do with it? You say that hams should work to ADVANCE radio communications? WTF What is the next step? DXing space aliens? ROTFLMAO

1922. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 01:56:07 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <WGQTd.37766$xt.10490@fed1read07>
Source record: 103438

Didn't say it was an FCC regulation
It is a long standing standard based on the word PARIS
Source
(Source: U.S. Army Technical Manual TM-11-459/TO 31-3-16 - Sept. 1957)
URL:
http://www.chss.montclair.edu/~pererat/percode.htm

Another source
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Morse_code

And yet another of the many on Google
http://homepages.cwi.nl/~dik/english/codes/morse.html#stand

You can send it anyway you want -- but below is the correct way

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1109379969.505037.185640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> Indeed tri-state would be a better description as the "off" periods
> in Morse
>> convey information necessary to "decode" it.
>> Since an off time is not a signal, maybe Bi-Signal in Tri-state
> format
>> Nah -- just tri-state I guess (;-)
>>
>> With proper sending or machine Morse:
>> Each dit is one element, each dah is three elements, intra-character
> spacing
>> is one element, inter-character spacing is three elements and
> inter-word
>> spacing is seven elements. Three states indeed.
>
> Kindly point me to any FCC publication that says it is so.
>
> What you'll find is a Government Agency that grants or denies
> permission to the amateur setaside HF frequencies with an exam that has
> no definition. A Pass/Fail test that has no answers.
>
> Hi, hi!

>
> Thank you.
>
> bb
>

1923. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 02:07:55 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <uglv11haaqhagrc44sppl61qogvhn3skto@4ax.com>
Source record: 103439

On 25 Feb 2005 16:48:01 +0100, "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>There are too many proposals already, that's why the FCC is taking so long,
>in all probability. FWIW, here's mine:-

Well, here is mine too:

1) New Novice Class (upgrade novices to this.)
HF Top 1/3 of SSB and CW bands on each of 80, 40,
15, and 10 meters
Maximum Power 20 watts.
2 meters 147-148 MHz Max 20 Watts
No other V/UHF

2) General Class (Upgrade Techs upon renewal, change of
address, etc.)
Top 2/3 of each cw and ssb band on HF 160, 80, 40, 30, 20, 17,
15, 12, and all 10 meters. Max Power 500 Watts (even in
novice bands)
Full 60 Meter as regulated.
All V/UHF priviliges up to 500 watts.

3) Amateur Extra Class (Upgrade Advanced upon renewal etc.)
All HF VHF and UHF priviliges with 1500 watts. (except 60 or
others as regulated.)
Require element 1 and the same tough exam.

This may create incentives for upgrade and reward those who do so.

Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1924. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 02:40:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109385650.167200.273780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103440

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dr. Daffodil Swain" <wa4jm@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:7GDTd.14354$x53.711@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > Why not maintain the 5WPM requirement in the U.S., but give No-Code
Techs
> > access to the 80, 40, 15, and 10 meter old novice sub-bands. This
would
> > allow aspiring upgraders a place to hone their skills without
having to
> > just listen to recordings. Also, the sending skills can be
developed as
> > well. A side benefit would be hearing the sound of CW again (even
if
> > it's
> > bad) in these largly unused segments. Just a thought.
> >
>
> That's what I have thought would be a good way to go.

Yes, yes. And the Titanic should have had a caring CW operator, and a
few more life boats. And Leonardo Decappitico.

Jim thinks it should have sped up and rammed the iceberg. He's a
neocon on icebergology.

;^)

1925. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 02:46:56 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109386016.849406.301810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103442

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > What do you say we start a Morse Code Exam policy thread?
>
> To what purpose? Is there some new "angle" or debatable bit of
> "evidence" as to why the Code exam should stay or go?
>
> Or do you just want to give Lennie one more avenue to tell us
how
> he fixed radios in the 50's without a code test?

A simple exercise in on-topic free speech.

Are you objecting already?

1926. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 02:49:00 UTC
From: Freddy Boe <fbo@hicksrusfreddy.com>
Message-ID: <cvonvt$60p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
news:7jkv1191c6p8d6oaf6u74tttqmeu02maaf@4ax.com...
> On 25 Feb 2005 12:50:58 -0800, "Mark" <makolber@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Wait till the first customer chokes or has a heart attack or whatever
>>and someone tries to dial 911 and can't. That will be a great lawsuit.
>>
>>If I want my phone off, I'll turn it off.
>>
>>Mark
>
>
> Hospitals, government buildings and various other places that don't
> allow cell phones automatically turn off cell phones. They seem to be
> growing in popularity. The cell phones are designed with that ability
> built in for that purpose.
>
> If you are in a place that disables a cell phone, you may find out you
> are somewhere near a LL and the employees will likely let you use it
> for an emergency. If not, then you WILL have a lawsuit.
>
> --
> 73 for now
> Buck
> N4PGW

BULLSHIT!

1927. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 02:52:05 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1109386325.451170.282470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103443

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109271864.160442.290220
> @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >

> > . . test of - say - skill in solving transmission-line problems
with
> > the Smith Chart...
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
>
> There already are Smith Chart questions in the pool

Smith Charts became obsolete eons ago.

w3rv

1928. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 02:53:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109386417.866411.319100@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103444

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >"Amateur Radio POLICY," Hiram will say a Hail Mary for you and mail
a
> >certificate.
>
> But...is it suitable for framing? :-)

Given a little decopage fluid and a very expensive custom frame?

Sure.

> >What do you say we start a Morse Code Exam policy thread?
>
> Nevah hoppen, GI ! :-)
>
> This newsgroup is the PCTA extra's Chat Room where they can
> all talk about what's on their minds and express all their hatred
> and frustration against those against the morse code test.
>
> NO dissention is allowed. If dissention with the established
> tradition and trappings of the Church of St. Hiram show up,
> all dissenters will be harrassed, hounded, and haunted by
> the PCTA extras.

I hear that the Chinese have a patent infringement on the Wrenchy
Stitch, and will be flooding USA hamfests starting the 3rd week of May.

1929. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 04:11:18 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <GFSTd.7167$Ba3.2852@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103445

>
> 2) General Class (Upgrade Techs upon renewal, change of
> address, etc.)
> Top 2/3 of each cw and ssb band on HF 160, 80, 40, 30, 20, 17,
> 15, 12, and all 10 meters. Max Power 500 Watts (even in
> novice bands)

Power levels are hard to enforce from a remote listening
post. Frequency is easily enforced; that's why they
do subbands for differing license grades.

> Full 60 Meter as regulated.
> All V/UHF priviliges up to 500 watts.
>
> 3) Amateur Extra Class (Upgrade Advanced upon renewal etc.)
> All HF VHF and UHF priviliges with 1500 watts. (except 60 or
> others as regulated.)
> Require element 1 and the same tough exam.

THe FCC was thinking that if they get rid of code tests,
that would reduce workload and administration duties.
Keeping code for extras and not generals doesn't get
them this. In which case they may decide to leave things
as is.

> This may create incentives for upgrade and reward those who do so.
>
> Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.

Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the
privileges are revalent to modern ham radio.

1930. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 04:18:20 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <gMSTd.7180$Ba3.1197@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103446

>
>
>
>
> This makes no sense at all. If the so called no-code techs can't do code
> now, how would giving them access to code only portion of the bands help
> them learn code? If they want to listen to code, they can do that now
> without a license. There are code portions of the 2 meter and 6 meter
> bands that they have access to now, but I'll bet none of them go there
> to practice code!

One feature of letting them use HF code bands is propagation.
They would more likely be able to find someone else to QSL
with somewhere in the country vs only in their county. And
as hams already they should know the protocols about listening
first to see if the freq is in use at the time or not, etc.

1931. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 04:19:28 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ichvog4.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103447

On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 04:11:18 GMT, robert casey wrote:

>Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the
>privileges are revalent to modern ham radio.

Revalent ?? What dat means English ?? <ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1932. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 05:12:07 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <HyTTd.7246$Ba3.1328@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103448

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 04:11:18 GMT, robert casey wrote:
>
>
>>Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the
>>privileges are revalent to modern ham radio.
>
>
> Revalent ?? What dat means English ?? <ggg>
>

Oh, it's one of those words I can't spell and the stupid
spell checker can't figure out. The word that means stuff
that is logically connected to a goal and sensible. Code
was very realivlent 50 years ago but less so today. Damn
spell checker still can't get it....

1933. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 05:32:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42200A17.A5757B95@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103449

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Len "Cut and Paste" Anderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > From: Dave Heil <kommandante@earthlink.net> Wed, 23 Feb 2005
> 04:53:53
> > > GMT
> > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >> Brian, "Caveat's" response is so typical of the already-
> > > >> license-and-already-code-tested amateurs in regards
> > > >> to the morse code TEST. :-)
> > > >
> > > >Ah yes, that dreaded 5 wpm morse code TEST, the one which has you
> > > >beaten.
> > >
> > > "Beaten?" :-)
> >
> > Yeah, beaten. :-)
> >
> > > Tsk, haven't bothered to take one, impossible to know if I
> > > would be "beaten" or "triumph in exhiliration" or other
> > > imaginary visions you have. :-)
> >
> > Well, old boy, no one would want you to be "bothered". If you're
> "Extra
> > right out of the box" brage had resulted in success, we'd have heard
> no
> > end to the triumph in exhiliration--or even exhilaration. :-)
>
> Welp, twit, with respect to Len getting "Extra right out of the box"...

Len gets no respect. He got nothing out of that box. Zip. Nil.
Zilch.
Zed.

> you bragged that "I just did it."

No bragging was done. That was the entire point of my comment. There
were no announcements in advance.

> But your first license looked an
> awful lot like a Novice license.

How would you know? You've never glimpsed it.

> Would you care to explain how your Extra license came with a Novice
> call sign?

My Extra Class license didn't come with a Novice callsign. My Novice license came with a Novice callsign. It was followed by my General, Advanced and Extra licenses. I can well understand your confusion though.
I forgot that you were part of the audience. You must have thought that I was stating that my first license was the Extra. It wasn't. The point was that no boast preceding my obtaining any of those licenses.

If you're counting. I've passed amateur radio license exams for four classes of U.S. amateur radio license to Len Anderson's none. So much for his decades-long interest.

> Didn't think so.

Darn, you're wrong yet again, runt.

Dave K8MN

1934. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 05:37:32 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <42200bc1$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103450

"Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message
news:1109382909.430616.38840@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Well, how many different ways do you want to be able to exchange signal
> reports and WX, or God forbid, information bulletins? How many
> positions do you want on the old MODE switch? The point is, try
> exchanging something useful or interesting rather than worrying about
> how the information is exchanged. You aren't going to get an
> interested audience for your 'information bulletins,' either, because
> you have nothing useful to convey, so give it up. You are just going
> to make enemies and take up space like K1MAN. Originally you planned
> to do your broadcast on 10 meters at 9 PM. At that time of night, no
> one beyond about 10 miles is going to hear you. That, right there,
> demonstrates your knowledge of radio and propagation. Only a freaking
> CBer would consider doing a 10 M broadcast at 9 PM. As for SSTV,
> after you exchange a few poor quality pics of the OM, the shack, or
> maybe the XYL's butt, what more do you do with it? You say that hams
> should work to ADVANCE radio communications? WTF What is the next
> step? DXing space aliens? ROTFLMAO
>
Well, I answer you first, then I'll answer your girlfriend who emailed me.
The POINT of this post is simple, Amateur radio is dying, for really two
simple reasons The first is amateur radio is falling behind to the
commercials. While amateur's get off on Slow Scan TV; Commercial services
are able to stream live audio and video over a short band space due to
digital compression. While Amateur's are fornicating themselves over 300 and
1200 baud, Commercial service with WiFi are able to go 1000 times faster,
ranging from 1Mbaud to 11.5Mbaud on the 2.4GHz band. And I could go on and
on. "You aren't going to get an interested audience for your 'information
bulletins,' either, because you have nothing useful to convey, so give it
up." That's Your Opinion I believe in the idea that a person should get the
information and disseminate it themselves. Unlike you who I believe has this
idea that this is a dictatorship and some moron and his little buddies on a
newsgroup, on the internet speaks for all the amateur radio community.
Secondly, you've never hear my broadcast thus, you assume that the
information bulletin is useless. Finally on that, unlike K1MAN who broadcast
24/7 I'm only transmitting for one hour once a week, a far cry from what
K1MAN is doing. and Unlike K1MAN I use a frequency that isn't in use and ask
three times "if the frequency is in use" So don't fucking compare me to him.
That's why I orginally had it on 10 meters so not to cause interference,
unlike you who would probably transmit on top of people. I do have some
curiously something you and your little friends can't grasp. Which bring me
to the second reason amateur radio is dying. It's little toadies like you
who fear new things or something that is legal under the law and either find
the frequency they are running and key up top of them, or better yet go

crying to the FCC so they can supress that stations free speech simple because you don't like what they are doing.

Todd,

I am a friend of Bathrooman. I have read many of your posts and it is quite clear to me that you don't know what the fuck you are talking about. You don't know dick about amateur radio, and you can't even write a sentence that makes any sense whatsoever. Why don't you just go back to your shack and try to work some ham at 5 WPM in the novice band. If that is too fast for you, slow it down to 2 WPM and see if you can copy that.

Juilo De Jesus

Now I have a question you and this little friend of yours here, are you both real amateurs or amatuer radio operator wantabe's who sit on amateur newsgroup and think your important.

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1935. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 05:38:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42200B64.619FC829@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103451

Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:421F4A59.D6E6FB0E@earthlink.net...
> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >>
> >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> news:421DFD38.88381E38@earthlink.net...
> >> > N9OGL wrote:
> > >
>
> > > How about defending one wild idea at a time before moving on to the
> > > next?
> > > You didn't write anything earlier about amateur radio coming up with new
> > > technologies worth getting into, you wrote about amateur radio falling
> > > behind in technology. It isn't.
>
> OH REALLY, tell me what new advances in radio communication have amateurs
> come up with in lets say last 10 to 20 years???
>
> answer....NONE!!!

Perhaps you have an inner calling which drives you to demonstrate that you aren't the sharpest tack in the box, Todd. You've earlier shown an inability to write. Your latest effort reveals an inability to read.

Y o u w r o t e n o t h i n g e a r l i e r a b o u t

a m a t e u r r a d i o c o m i n g u p w i t h n e w

t e c h n o l o g i e s. Defend your first wild claim. We'll get to the newest one afterward.

Which new mode or modes are you using in your broadcasts/information bulletins?

Dave K8MN

1936. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 05:54:29 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <42200fbf$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103452

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:42200B64.619FC829@earthlink.net...
>
>
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:421F4A59.D6E6FB0E@earthlink.net...
>> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
>> >>
>> >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:421DFD38.88381E38@earthlink.net...
>> >> > N9OGL wrote:
>> >
>>
>> > How about defending one wild idea at a time before moving on to the
>> > next?
>> > You didn't write anything earlier about amateur radio coming up with
>> > new
>> > technologies worth getting into, you wrote about amateur radio falling
>> > behind in technology. It isn't.
>>
>> OH REALLY, tell me what new advances in radio communication have
>> amateurs
>> come up with in lets say last 10 to 20 years???
>>
>> answer....NONE!!!
>
> Perhaps you have an inner calling which drives you to demonstrate that
> you aren't the sharpest tack in the box, Todd.

For your information dumbass I have an extremely high IQ.

You've earlier shown an
> inability to write. Your latest effort reveals an inability to read.
>
> Y o u w r o t e n o t h i n g e a r l i e r a b o u t
>
> a m a t e u r r a d i o c o m i n g u p w i t h n e w
>
> t e c h n o l o g i e s. Defend your first wild claim. We'll get to
> the newest one afterward.

\READ THE COMMENT ABOVE....as for new Idea's I'm full of deals

> Which new mode or modes are you using in your broadcasts/information
> bulletins?
right now it voice however, later I attend to extend it into other modes.

Todd N9OGL
> Dave K8MN
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1937. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 05:56:09 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <4220101d$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103453

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:42200fbf$1_2@127.0.0.1...
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:42200B64.619FC829@earthlink.net...
>>
>>
>> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>>
>>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>> news:421F4A59.D6E6FB0E@earthlink.net...
>>> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>>> >> news:421DFD38.88381E38@earthlink.net...
>>> >> > N9OGL wrote:
>>> >
>>>
>>> > How about defending one wild idea at a time before moving on to the
>>> > next?
>>> > You didn't write anything earlier about amateur radio coming up with
>>> > new
>>> > technologies worth getting into, you wrote about amateur radio falling
>>> > behind in technology. It isn't.
>>>
>>> OH REALLY, tell me what new advances in radio communication have
>>> amateurs
>>> come up with in lets say last 10 to 20 years???
>>>
>>> answer....NONE!!!
>>
>> Perhaps you have an inner calling which drives you to demonstrate that
>> you aren't the sharpest tack in the box, Todd.
>
For your information dumbass I have an extremely high IQ.
>
> You've earlier shown an
>> inability to write. Your latest effort reveals an inability to read.
>>
>> Y o u w r o t e n o t h i n g e a r l i e r a b o u t
>>
>> a m a t e u r r a d i o c o m i n g u p w i t h n e w
>>
>> t e c h n o l o g i e s. Defend your first wild claim. We'll get to

>> the newest one afterward.
>
READ THE COMMENT ABOVE....as for new Idea's I'm full of Ideas's
>
>> Which new mode or modes are you using in your broadcasts/information
>> bulletins?
right now it voice however, later I attend to extend it into other modes.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>> Dave K8MN
>>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----
>

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1938. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 06:51:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109400701.120004.104060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103456

>Welp, twit, with respect to Len getting "Extra right out of the box"
>you bragged that "I just did it." But your first license looked an
>awful lot like a Novice license.
>
>Would you care to explain how your Extra license came with a Novice
>call sign?
>
>Didn't think so.

Brian, Dave is a "superior" person...has been so ever since
he lay on the chapel floor (making the sign of the code key)
and took Vows in the Church of St. Hiram. Was nearly 40
years ago and he's been wearing those vestments ever since.
[I dunno how many times they've been let out but the material
is getting mighty thin...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1939. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 06:54:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109400860.647598.309600@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103454

```
>> A real "communicator" will say 'key the mike', or 'key the
circuit'.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
>Sorry, but real "communicators" refer to "key" when they load an
>encryption code.
>
>BOL, bb

Poor Dan never got his crypto clearance... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org
```

1940. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 06:55:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109400933.349882.11660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103455

>> >For it is written.
>>
>> ...in here. :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Heh, heh, heh.
>
>I had Kim shuddering that Larry tRoll had come back, Dan defining what
>a real communicator is (hi, hi), Alun ready to kill file me (ouch!).
>
>All in all, I'd say I done excellent, but my nails are clean and I
>depress the PTT button. There goes the damned stereotype.

"Stereotype?" Baudot or 8-level? :-)

Mash that ASR!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1941. echolink iss live feed 1647utc monday 28th feb 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 07:55:11 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <42212b3d.2568768@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 103457

echolink iss live feed 1647utc monday 28th feb 2005

A live feed of the ISS contact with a school in Bentley CA will be sent live into the AMSAT conference room, Echo Link node 101377 by Dieter, KX4Y. The contact between the ISS and school starts at 16:47 UTC and lasts for about 15 minutes +/-. During this contact, we appreciate everyone's patience and understanding. We must mute everyone except Dieter, KX4Y to avoid inadvertent, interfering transmissions into the conference room. Thanks for your understanding and cooperation.

American hams in the footprint can listen on 145.800 FM to the 'live' downlink audio

73 Ian G3ZHI

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - many ham radio links

http://www.ukirlp.co.uk

G4NJI IRLP 5200 Echolink 135909
Rotherham simplex 145.2875mhz

GB3XN IRLP 5708 Echolink 153126
Langold 430.925 Mhz

Mobile 0783 338 0578

1942. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 10:29:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109413758.186147.21040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103458

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> >What do you say we start a Morse Code Exam policy thread?
>
> Nevah hoppen, GI !

Let's try and see what happens!

Steve, K4YZ

1943. THE EXCLUSIVE MORSE CODE EXAM DEBATE THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 11:06:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109416001.768770.116640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103459

Hello One and All,

Our Resident Antagonist (Lennie "I Am Not A Liar" Anderson) is a bit miffed becasue there's no thread to discuss the "Morse Code Exam Issue". Personally, I think the subject has been beaten until every last bit of life has been beaten from it, but just to prove him wrong, here's a thread, JUST for him.

So...Does anyone have anything NEW to discuss about the "Morse Code Exam" issue? Does anyone care to engage Lennie in yet another "debate" on the issue? He sure is sore because we've tired of it and won't play his game any more, but his one track mind is still raring to go.

Betya I can sum up a lot of his "arguments" right now and save some bandwidth:

(1) He "keyed up" some Army transmitters (not Amateur Radio) as a radio repairman in the 50's without the benefit of a Morse Code exam, ergo no one should have to take any exam.

(2) He spent a lifelong career as a bench technician, ergo he shouldn't have to take another written exam. Afterall, he spent 14 years in night school to get a 4 year BSEE degree, so that was ALL the work he should ever have to do again, ever!

(3) He has a GROL that he claims allows him to transmit anywhere. But he keeps omitting the part where his license also requires a duly authorized Station License or authorization for the "station" he is "operating". That station license will dictate what discreet frequency he MUST use, what specific power limits he must observe, and in many cases even specifies the antenna system to be used right down to field strengths and ERP.

(4) He knows a few licensed Amateurs, a couple of them Extras, ergo he can say anything mean and despicable he cares to say about Amateurs in general or Extras in particular. Even moreso if the Extra is a 20WPM era one. Double that if they are actually proficient in Morse at or above 20WPM.

(5) He'll "argue" that since the physics of radio wave propagation are the same for "commercial" radio as opposed to "Amateur" radio, that there is no difference in the two. He continually tries to compare one to the other. They're not the same. Any referal to the Basis and Purpose of Part 97 will be dismissed as "administrative language" that doesn't mean what it says.

(6) He'll "argue" that Amateur Radio is "just a hobby", despite the fact that the enabling regulations for Amateur Radio say nothing of the sort. Any suggestion of volunteerism, civic duty, emergency service or other educational or philanthropic use of Amateur Radio will be dismissed as "patriotic buffoonery".

(7) He'll "argue" that Amateurs, especially the aforementioned Amateur Extras, are mired in 1930's era policy and practices despite the fact that every one of the regular posters of this NG has made comments to the FCC promoting significant changes to Amateur regulations, including Morse examinations and relevency. And after berating Amateurs for thier alleged stonewalling, he'll treat us all to yet another "...there I was at ADA in Japan in 1953..." war story that has absolutely no relevence to Amateur Radio policy in 2005, let alone anything to do with Morse Code at ANY time!

So...my opinion on what's most likely to transpire having been stated, let's get on with a spirited debate on the Morse Code Exam issue!

Remember...Morse Code Exam issues ONLY! Lennie Says So!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1944. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 11:11:44 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <iLKdnefaGoACxL3fRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 103460

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:GFSTd.7167$Ba3.2852@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>
>> Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.
>
>
> Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the
> privileges are revalent to modern ham radio.

Why should ham radio be different than other activities? Most of the things we do to gain privileges in this world are not relevant to the privilege itself.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1945. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 11:14:45 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5MmdnWpeD7HIx73fRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 103461

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:HyTTd.7246$Ba3.1328@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Phil Kane wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 04:11:18 GMT, robert casey wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the
>>>privileges are revalent to modern ham radio.
>>
>>
>> Revalent ?? What dat means English ?? <ggg>
>>
>
> Oh, it's one of those words I can't spell and the stupid
> spell checker can't figure out. The word that means stuff
> that is logically connected to a goal and sensible. Code
> was very realivlent 50 years ago but less so today. Damn
> spell checker still can't get it....

This is why real (paper) dictionaries still exist. Just by looking up the first three letters (rel...) one can scan the entries and find it and thus find how to spell it. Just an example of how "old" methods have relevance to modern life.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1946. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 11:19:43 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <a8qdnTjf_Jrjxr3fRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 103462

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:42200bc1$1_1@127.0.0.1...
>
> "Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:1109382909.430616.38840@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> Well, how many different ways do you want to be able to exchange signal
>> reports and WX, or God forbid, information bulletins? How many
>> positions do you want on the old MODE switch? The point is, try
>> exchanging something useful or interesting rather than worrying about
>> how the information is exchanged. You aren't going to get an
>> interested audience for your 'information bulletins,' either, because
>> you have nothing useful to convey, so give it up. You are just going
>> to make enemies and take up space like K1MAN. Originally you planned
>> to do your broadcast on 10 meters at 9 PM. At that time of night, no
>> one beyond about 10 miles is going to hear you. That, right there,
>> demonstrates your knowledge of radio and propagation. Only a freaking
>> CBer would consider doing a 10 M broadcast at 9 PM. As for SSTV,
>> after you exchange a few poor quality pics of the OM, the shack, or
>> maybe the XYL's butt, what more do you do with it? You say that hams
>> should work to ADVANCE radio communications? WTF What is the next
>> step? DXing space aliens? ROTFLMAO
>>
> Well, I answer you first, then I'll answer your girlfriend who emailed me.
> The POINT of this post is simple, Amateur radio is dying, for really two
> simple reasons The first is amateur radio is falling behind to the
> commercials.

You have yet to provide any proof that amateur radio is dying. You keep
saying it but that is hardly proof.

> While amateur's get off on Slow Scan TV; Commercial services are able to
> stream live audio and video over a short band space due to digital
> compression. While Amateur's are fornicating themselves over 300 and 1200
> baud, Commercial service with WiFi are able to go 1000 times faster,
> ranging from 1Mbaud to 11.5Mbaud on the 2.4GHz band. And I could go on and
> on. "You aren't going to get an interested audience for your 'information
> bulletins,' either, because you have nothing useful to convey, so give it
> up." That's Your Opinion I believe in the idea that a person should get
> the information and disseminate it themselves. Unlike you who I believe
> has this idea that this is a dictatorship and some moron and his little
> buddies on a newsgroup, on the internet speaks for all the amateur radio
> community. Secondly, you've never hear my broadcast thus, you assume that
> the information bulletin is useless. Finally on that, unlike K1MAN who
> broadcast 24/7 I'm only transmitting for one hour once a week, a far cry
> from what K1MAN is doing. and Unlike K1MAN I use a frequency that isn't in

> use and ask three times "if the frequency is in use" So don't fucking
> compare me to him. That's why I orginally had it on 10 meters so not to
> cause interference, unlike you who would probably transmit on top of
> people.

The 10 meter band was an awful choice. When it's dead, no one can hear you.
When it's open, you can interfere worldwide with a few watts of power.
Since one can often only hear one side of a conversation on that band, you
can interfer without knowing it.

> I do have some curiously something you and your little friends can't
> grasp. Which bring me to the second reason amateur radio is dying. It's
> little toadies like you who fear new things or something that is legal
> under the law and either find the frequency they are running and key up
> top of them, or better yet go crying to the FCC so they can supress that
> stations free speech simple because you don't like what they are doing.
>

What free speech has the FCC suppressed???

>
> Todd,
>
> I am a friend of Bathrooman. I have read many of your posts and it is
> quite clear to me that you don't know what the fuck you are talking
> about. You don't know dick about amateur radio, and you can't even
> write a sentence that makes any sense whatsoever. Why don't you just
> go back to your shack and try to work some ham at 5 WPM in the novice
> band. If that is too fast for you, slow it down to 2 WPM and see if
> you can copy that.
>
> Juilo De Jesus
>
> Now I have a question you and this little friend of yours here, are you
> both real amateurs or amatuer radio operator wantabe's who sit on amateur
> newsgroup and think your important.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1947. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 11:49:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109418595.004270.59820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103463

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109271864.160442.290220
> @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > Perhaps a compromise could be used. Suppose the code test
> > were replaced
> > with a test of - say - skill in solving transmission-line
> > problems with
> > the Smith Chart...
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> There already are Smith Chart questions in the pool

You must have missed this one, Alun:

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/0206dcd6822763ed?dmode=source

73 de Jim, N2EY

1948. Re: An Offer For Lennie And Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 12:01:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109419271.151325.220450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103464

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Hey Guys...
> >
> > Just in case you two would like to formalize your Space Cadet
> > status, thought you might like this link.
> >
> > Lennie ought to be able to afford this, based upon all his
> > broggadacio over his "holdings". Brain may have to scrimp and save
a
> > bit first...
> >
> > http://www.virgingalactic.com/when.asp
> >
> > Let us know how it goes, OK...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Clicking the above link is probably a sure way to get a virus or at
the
> very least, clamydia.

I am sure you have the resources to catch chlamydia or other
viruses right at home, Brain...No ISP required.

(BTW...Your attempts at being insulting using STD's would be more
impressive if you could spell it correctly...Just FYI...)

Enjoy...

Steve, K4YZ

1949. Re: Brian Burke: Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 12:02:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109419355.749719.317990@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103465

K4YZ wrote:
> Brian,
>
> I need an answer.
>
> Why is is OK for you and Lennie to claim I am "nuts" for
persisting
> in calling the two of you liars, yet you're NOT nuts for doing the
> lying in the first place?
>
> More "NCTA Double Standard"...?!?!

I guess so.

Steve, K4YZ

1950. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 12:10:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109419844.964252.154340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103466

bb wrote:
> Dr. Daffodil Swain wrote:
> > Why not maintain the 5WPM requirement in the U.S., but give No-Code
> Techs
> > access to the 80, 40, 15, and 10 meter old novice sub-bands.
>
> Hey, you have an excellent idea that should have flown in the 1970's.
> Maybe early 80's. Way too late for that kind of nonsense today.

It DEFINITELY would NOT have "flown" in the 70's, and wouldn't have
even made it to the airport in the 80's. Not only was there NOT the
support of the public for such an idea, the ITU treaty was very much in
place with NO broadbased support in the International Community for
it's removal.

> This would
> > allow aspiring upgraders a place to hone their skills without
having
> to
> > just listen to recordings. Also, the sending skills can be
developed
> as
> > well. A side benefit would be hearing the sound of CW again (even
> if it's
> > bad) in these largly unused segments. Just a thought.
>
> The people that were once willing to learn Morse Code have done so.
> You saw the end of the line some time ago.

Yet another absolutely stupid assertion unsupported by reasonable
documentation.

Steve, K4YZ

1951. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 12:25:00 UTC
From: "Airy R.Bean" <Me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <38b8f0F5mkdreU1@individual.net>
Source record: 103468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Here in Britland, _ALL_ public phone services are
habitually turned off in the case of an emergency
covering a widespread area.

No help to you if your arse is on fire 'cos you won't
be able to summon either the fire brigade or an
ambulance at a time when you most need it!

"Freddy Boe" <fbo@hicksrusfreddy.com> wrote in message
news:cvo4bb$n57$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Mark" <makolber@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1109364658.651758.42950@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Wait till the first customer chokes or has a heart attack or whatever
> > and someone tries to dial 911 and can't. That will be a great lawsuit.
> > If I want my phone off, I'll turn it off.
> Duhhhhhhhhhhh, ever hear of a *wired* phone?

1952. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 12:26:40 UTC
From: "Airy R.Bean" <Me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <38b8f0F5mkdreU2@individual.net>
Source record: 103467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

How refreshing for a Yanklander to recognise the
essence of all those Yankland lawsuits!

"Freddy Boe" <fbo@hicksrusfreddy.com> wrote in message
news:cvonvt$60p$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
> news:7jkv1191c6p8d6oaf6u74tttqmeu02maaf@4ax.com...
>> If not, then you WILL have a lawsuit.
>
> BULLSHIT!

1953. Re: 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 14:01:54 UTC
From: MiniPecker <wa4jm@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1109426514.322125.276790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103469

I am very happy with 3945. From what I hear, the group is a well balanced representative segment of society. The conversations are insightful and provacative. During the early afternoon a gentleman named Jimmy keeps the group abreast of current events not covered in the mainstream news. Later in the evening the topics become more technical in nature. Antennas, audio, and the proper use of amplifiers can often be heard well into the early morning hours.

I have NEVER heard intentional QRM'ing from any of these guys, but I routinly hear intentional interference from the Virginia Fone Net and others. I've heard the Virgina group members laughing about tuning up on 3945 even though these guys (3945 Group) have been on the frequency for hours.

One evening the 3945 members surrendered the frequency to a Mennonite net when they were being QRM'ed by the Virgina folks. Friendy is the word.

I guess if there was a complaint I have about 3945 it would be that thay are a little on the rough side with regard to language. That being said, I will continue to listen and learn from 3945.

Now you go and have a great day.

1954. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 15:05:47 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <2n31215cusi9ne4uqs1ejpf3ml0h4nchvk@4ax.com>
Source record: 103470

On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 04:11:18 GMT, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>
>>
>> 2) General Class (Upgrade Techs upon renewal, change of
>> address, etc.)
>> Top 2/3 of each cw and ssb band on HF 160, 80, 40, 30, 20, 17,
>> 15, 12, and all 10 meters. Max Power 500 Watts (even in
>> novice bands)
>
>Power levels are hard to enforce from a remote listening
>post. Frequency is easily enforced; that's why they
>do subbands for differing license grades.
>
>> Full 60 Meter as regulated.
>> All V/UHF priviliges up to 500 watts.
>>
>> 3) Amateur Extra Class (Upgrade Advanced upon renewal etc.)
>> All HF VHF and UHF priviliges with 1500 watts. (except 60 or
>> others as regulated.)
>> Require element 1 and the same tough exam.
>
>THe FCC was thinking that if they get rid of code tests,
>that would reduce workload and administration duties.
>Keeping code for extras and not generals doesn't get
>them this. In which case they may decide to leave things
>as is.
>
>> This may create incentives for upgrade and reward those who do so.
>>
>> Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.
>
>
>Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the
>privileges are revalent to modern ham radio.

I haven't made the proposal and if I did I suspect it would fall on
deaf ears. regardless, it was/is nothing more than my opinion about
something I would think is fair for Amateur Radio with incentive
licensing. without incentive licensing, take one general class exam
and become extra without code.

When it comes to the code/no code debate, my response has been
changed. Lately when someone tries to argue it my response has been

"Do away with all code,not for the good of amateur radio, but so this
25 year argument will finally come to an end.

Your points may be valid, but I can't say what the FCC can and can't
figure out with their equipment. Their equipment is more
sophisticated than most of what I have seen or used.

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1955. Re: THE EXCLUSIVE MORSE CODE EXAM DEBATE THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 15:08:29 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <o54121t08nn30kkdsj4bg8srcarhl822gd@4ax.com>
Source record: 103471

On 26 Feb 2005 03:06:41 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>Hello One and All,
>
> Our Resident Antagonist (Lennie "I Am Not A Liar" Anderson) is a
>bit miffed becasue there's no thread to discuss the "Morse Code Exam
>Issue". Personally, I think the subject has been beaten until every
>last bit of life has been beaten from it, but just to prove him wrong,
>here's a thread, JUST for him.
>

Only new to me, perhaps, but here goes....

Drop the code requirement for all US amateur exams. Not for the good
of amateur radio, but to end this 25 year old argument!

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1956. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 15:50:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109433049.675911.13420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103472

K4YZ wrote:
> I'd go a
> bit further and grandfather all the Advanced guys
> into Extra if for no
> other reason than eliminating some administrative
> headache.

What headache? License class is just one entry in the database.

If any existing Advanced wants the Extra, all they need
do is pass Element 4. Which has been done by at least one third
grader.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1957. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 16:13:07 UTC
From: Freddy Boe <fbo@hicksrusfreddy.com>
Message-ID: <cvq75t$8kv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You can always spot a honest lawyer. He is the one driving a five year old Chevy or Ford, and he wears suits purchased at the same men's store where you buy yours from. He's your neighbor down the street, since he doesn't live in a gated country club community where the cheapest house sells for $850,000. When he comes upon an automobile accident, he renders assistance, versus handing out his business cards. He humbles himself before the same God you do, versus thinking he IS God.

"Airy R.Bean" <Me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:38b8f0F5mkdreU2@individual.net...
> How refreshing for a Yanklander to recognise the
> essence of all those Yankland lawsuits!
>
> "Freddy Boe" <fbo@hicksrusfreddy.com> wrote in message
> news:cvonvt$60p$0@pita.alt.net...
>> "Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
>> news:7jkv1191c6p8d6oaf6u74tttqmeu02maaf@4ax.com...
>>> If not, then you WILL have a lawsuit.
>>
>> BULLSHIT!
>
>

1958. Re: THE EXCLUSIVE MORSE CODE EXAM DEBATE THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 16:22:18 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1109434938.548624.325770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103474

K4YZ wrote:
> Hello One and All,
>
> Our Resident Antagonist (Lennie "I Am Not A Liar" Anderson) is a
> bit miffed becasue there's no thread to discuss the "Morse Code Exam
> Issue". Personally, I think the subject has been beaten until every
> last bit of life has been beaten from it, but just to prove him
wrong,
> here's a thread, JUST for him.
>
> So...Does anyone have anything NEW to discuss about the "Morse
> Code Exam" issue? Does anyone care to engage Lennie in yet another
> "debate" on the issue? He sure is sore because we've tired of it and
> won't play his game any more, but his one track mind is still raring
to
> go.
>
> Betya I can sum up a lot of his "arguments" right now and save
> some bandwidth:
>
> (1) He "keyed up" some Army transmitters (not Amateur Radio) as
a
> radio repairman in the 50's without the benefit of a Morse Code exam,
> ergo no one should have to take any exam.
>
> (2) He spent a lifelong career as a bench technician, ergo he
> shouldn't have to take another written exam. Afterall, he spent 14
> years in night school to get a 4 year BSEE degree, so that was ALL
the
> work he should ever have to do again, ever!
>
> (3) He has a GROL that he claims allows him to transmit
anywhere.
> But he keeps omitting the part where his license also requires a
duly
> authorized Station License or authorization for the "station" he is
> "operating". That station license will dictate what discreet
frequency
> he MUST use, what specific power limits he must observe, and in many
> cases even specifies the antenna system to be used right down to
field
> strengths and ERP.
>
> (4) He knows a few licensed Amateurs, a couple of them Extras,

> ergo he can say anything mean and despicable he cares to say about
> Amateurs in general or Extras in particular. Even moreso if the
Extra
> is a 20WPM era one. Double that if they are actually proficient in
> Morse at or above 20WPM.
>
> (5) He'll "argue" that since the physics of radio wave
> propagation are the same for "commercial" radio as opposed to
"Amateur"
> radio, that there is no difference in the two. He continually tries
to
> compare one to the other. They're not the same. Any referal to the
> Basis and Purpose of Part 97 will be dismissed as "administrative
> language" that doesn't mean what it says.
>
> (6) He'll "argue" that Amateur Radio is "just a hobby", despite
> the fact that the enabling regulations for Amateur Radio say nothing
of
> the sort. Any suggestion of volunteerism, civic duty, emergency
> service or other educational or philanthropic use of Amateur Radio
will
> be dismissed as "patriotic buffoonery".
>
> (7) He'll "argue" that Amateurs, especially the aforementioned
> Amateur Extras, are mired in 1930's era policy and practices despite
> the fact that every one of the regular posters of this NG has made
> comments to the FCC promoting significant changes to Amateur
> regulations, including Morse examinations and relevency. And after
> berating Amateurs for thier alleged stonewalling, he'll treat us all
to
> yet another "...there I was at ADA in Japan in 1953..." war story
that
> has absolutely no relevence to Amateur Radio policy in 2005, let
alone
> anything to do with Morse Code at ANY time!
>
> So...my opinion on what's most likely to transpire having been
> stated, let's get on with a spirited debate on the Morse Code Exam
> issue!
>
> Remember...Morse Code Exam issues ONLY! Lennie Says So!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Heck Steve, there's no debate...the Morse code exam doesn't exclude
anybody.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

1959. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 16:42:10 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1109436130.576066.67430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103475

The first is amateur radio is falling behind to the
commercials. While amateur's get off on Slow Scan TV

For your information dumbass I have an extremely high IQ.

High IQ compared to what? An ant? Where the fuck did you learn to
write?

1960. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 16:52:17 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1109436737.172935.294080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103476

<For your information dumbass I have an extremely high IQ.>

<right now it voice however, later I attend to extend it into other
modes. >

You attend to extend it??? WTF???

1961. Re: THE EXCLUSIVE MORSE CODE EXAM DEBATE THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 17:07:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <uKqdnQIX8v9FMb3fRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 103477

"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
news:o54121t08nn30kkdsj4bg8srcarhl822gd@4ax.com...
> On 26 Feb 2005 03:06:41 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>Hello One and All,
>>
>> Our Resident Antagonist (Lennie "I Am Not A Liar" Anderson) is a
>>bit miffed becasue there's no thread to discuss the "Morse Code Exam
>>Issue". Personally, I think the subject has been beaten until every
>>last bit of life has been beaten from it, but just to prove him wrong,
>>here's a thread, JUST for him.
>>
>
> Only new to me, perhaps, but here goes....
>
> Drop the code requirement for all US amateur exams. Not for the good
> of amateur radio, but to end this 25 year old argument!
>
>
> Buck
> --
> For what it's worth.

Actually your reason is better than most. However I don't believe in
appeasement so I can't agree with it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1962. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 17:26:50 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <Gv-dneD-b7WcLr3fRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103478

Dee Flint wrote:

> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:42200bc1$1_1@127.0.0.1...
>
>>"Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message
>>news:1109382909.430616.38840@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Well, how many different ways do you want to be able to exchange signal
>>>reports and WX, or God forbid, information bulletins? How many
>>>positions do you want on the old MODE switch? The point is, try
>>>exchanging something useful or interesting rather than worrying about
>>>how the information is exchanged. You aren't going to get an
>>>interested audience for your 'information bulletins,' either, because
>>>you have nothing useful to convey, so give it up. You are just going
>>>to make enemies and take up space like K1MAN. Originally you planned
>>>to do your broadcast on 10 meters at 9 PM. At that time of night, no
>>>one beyond about 10 miles is going to hear you. That, right there,
>>>demonstrates your knowledge of radio and propagation. Only a freaking
>>>CBer would consider doing a 10 M broadcast at 9 PM. As for SSTV,
>>>after you exchange a few poor quality pics of the OM, the shack, or
>>>maybe the XYL's butt, what more do you do with it? You say that hams
>>>should work to ADVANCE radio communications? WTF What is the next
>>>step? DXing space aliens? ROTFLMAO
>>>
>>
>>Well, I answer you first, then I'll answer your girlfriend who emailed me.
>>The POINT of this post is simple, Amateur radio is dying, for really two
>>simple reasons The first is amateur radio is falling behind to the
>>commercials.
>
>
> You have yet to provide any proof that amateur radio is dying. You keep
> saying it but that is hardly proof.
>
>
>>While amateur's get off on Slow Scan TV; Commercial services are able to
>>stream live audio and video over a short band space due to digital
>>compression. While Amateur's are fornicating themselves over 300 and 1200
>>baud, Commercial service with WiFi are able to go 1000 times faster,
>>ranging from 1Mbaud to 11.5Mbaud on the 2.4GHz band. And I could go on and
>>on. "You aren't going to get an interested audience for your 'information
>>bulletins,' either, because you have nothing useful to convey, so give it
>>up." That's Your Opinion I believe in the idea that a person should get

>>the information and disseminate it themselves. Unlike you who I believe
>>has this idea that this is a dictatorship and some moron and his little
>>buddies on a newsgroup, on the internet speaks for all the amateur radio
>>community. Secondly, you've never hear my broadcast thus, you assume that
>>the information bulletin is useless. Finally on that, unlike K1MAN who
>>broadcast 24/7 I'm only transmitting for one hour once a week, a far cry
>>from what K1MAN is doing. and Unlike K1MAN I use a frequency that isn't in
>>use and ask three times "if the frequency is in use" So don't fucking
>>compare me to him. That's why I orginally had it on 10 meters so not to
>>cause interference, unlike you who would probably transmit on top of
>>people.
>
>
> The 10 meter band was an awful choice. When it's dead, no one can hear you.
> When it's open, you can interfere worldwide with a few watts of power.
> Since one can often only hear one side of a conversation on that band, you
> can interfer without knowing it.
>
>
>>I do have some curiously something you and your little friends can't
>>grasp. Which bring me to the second reason amateur radio is dying. It's
>>little toadies like you who fear new things or something that is legal
>>under the law and either find the frequency they are running and key up
>>top of them, or better yet go crying to the FCC so they can supress that
>>stations free speech simple because you don't like what they are doing.
>>
>
>
> What free speech has the FCC suppressed???

He made some wild claim a while back about all the FCC wanted to allow
was exchange of signal reports between hams. I asked him to back that
statement up with some facts but he can't do it. He is just a no-nothing
whinney college kid who doesn't have a clue.

1963. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 17:37:43 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9609807365125elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103479

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:iLKdnefaGoACxL3fRVn-hg@comcast.com:

>
> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:GFSTd.7167$Ba3.2852@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>
>>> Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.
>>
>>
>> Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the privileges
>> are revalent to modern ham radio.
>
> Why should ham radio be different than other activities? Most of the
> things we do to gain privileges in this world are not relevant to the
> privilege itself.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

Oh, so everything else is messed up, so ham radio should be messed up too?
Even if I thought it were true, that would still be the worst argument I
have heard yet, ROTFLMAO!

1964. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 17:48:04 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9609823499F2elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103480

Buck <iam@this.site> wrote in
news:2n31215cusi9ne4uqs1ejpf3ml0h4nchvk@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 04:11:18 GMT, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>>
>>> 2) General Class (Upgrade Techs upon renewal, change of
>>> address, etc.)
>>> Top 2/3 of each cw and ssb band on HF 160, 80, 40, 30, 20, 17,
>>> 15,
>>> 12, and all 10 meters. Max Power 500 Watts (even in novice
>>> bands)
>>
>>Power levels are hard to enforce from a remote listening
>>post. Frequency is easily enforced; that's why they
>>do subbands for differing license grades.
>>
>>> Full 60 Meter as regulated.
>>> All V/UHF priviliges up to 500 watts.
>>>
>>> 3) Amateur Extra Class (Upgrade Advanced upon renewal etc.)
>>> All HF VHF and UHF priviliges with 1500 watts. (except 60 or
>>> others as regulated.)
>>> Require element 1 and the same tough exam.
>>
>>THe FCC was thinking that if they get rid of code tests, that would
>>reduce workload and administration duties. Keeping code for extras and
>>not generals doesn't get them this. In which case they may decide to
>>leave things as is.
>>
>>> This may create incentives for upgrade and reward those who do so.
>>>
>>> Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.
>>
>>
>>Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the privileges are
>>revalent to modern ham radio.
>
> I haven't made the proposal and if I did I suspect it would fall on
> deaf ears. regardless, it was/is nothing more than my opinion about
> something I would think is fair for Amateur Radio with incentive
> licensing. without incentive licensing, take one general class exam

> and become extra without code.

I didn't file my proposal either. I did have a petition ready to go, but I wa stalked out of filing it by NCI, as they thought they could get Elemnt 1 abolished without going through this whole NPRM cycle. We all know what happened to that idea. BTW, where is Carl anyway?

>
> When it comes to the code/no code debate, my response has been
> changed. Lately when someone tries to argue it my response has been
> "Do away with all code,not for the good of amateur radio, but so this
> 25 year argument will finally come to an end.
>

Actually it's been going on for at least 82 years that I know of, but WTH!

If there's one thing that we should all be able to agree on, this is an argument that can only end in one way, and maybe not even then. As long as there's a code test there will be an argument. I agree, it needs to be over.

> Your points may be valid, but I can't say what the FCC can and can't
> figure out with their equipment. Their equipment is more
> sophisticated than most of what I have seen or used.
>
>
> Buck

Power limits can't be enforced, but they are the right way to distinguish between ability levels, and different slices of the same band aren't. The former mitigates the msitakes of the less qualified much more effectively, and most people are relatively law abiding. If you had to be an Extra to own a big linear, most people would think twice.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

1965. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 17:49:40 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96098279EE34Felektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103481

kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1109386325.451170.282470
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109271864.160442.290220
>> @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>
>> > . . test of - say - skill in solving transmission-line problems with
>> > the Smith Chart...
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> >
>>
>> There already are Smith Chart questions in the pool
>
> Smith Charts became obsolete eons ago.
>
> w3rv
>
>

It's much easier to use a Smith chart than to do the calculations

1966. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 17:56:08 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9609839266B05elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103482

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1109418595.004270.59820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109271864.160442.290220
>> @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>> >
>> > Perhaps a compromise could be used. Suppose the code test
>> > were replaced
>> > with a test of - say - skill in solving transmission-line
>> > problems with
>> > the Smith Chart...
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> There already are Smith Chart questions in the pool
>
> You must have missed this one, Alun:
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/0206dcd
> 6822763ed?dmode=source
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

It's quite whimsical, but hardly really comparable with CW. I would be happy with just theory tests where both the Smith chart and CW were in the question pool. If there had to be a skill test it ought to involve soldering and/or putting on a PL 259, IMHO, but I don't think even those things as essential, in fact for my money you could just put those things in the theory test too. When it comes to doing them, people learn quickly enough.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

1967. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 18:48:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4220C496.F8348473@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103483

```
Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:42200B64.619FC829@earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >>
> >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> news:421F4A59.D6E6FB0E@earthlink.net...
> >> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:421DFD38.88381E38@earthlink.net...
> >> >> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> >
> >>
> >> > How about defending one wild idea at a time before moving on to the
> >> > next?
> >> > You didn't write anything earlier about amateur radio coming up with
> >> > new
> >> > technologies worth getting into, you wrote about amateur radio falling
> >> > behind in technology. It isn't.
> >>
> >> OH REALLY, tell me what new advances in radio communication have
> >> amateurs
> >> come up with in lets say last 10 to 20 years???
> >>
> >> answer....NONE!!!
> >
> > Perhaps you have an inner calling which drives you to demonstrate that
> > you aren't the sharpest tack in the box, Todd.
>
> For your information dumbass I have an extremely high IQ.

In what form might this claim be verified, Todd? You've certainly done
a spiffy job of concealing it.

> You've earlier shown an
> > inability to write. Your latest effort reveals an inability to read.
> >
> > Y o u w r o t e n o t h i n g e a r l i e r a b o u t
> >
> > a m a t e u r r a d i o c o m i n g u p w i t h n e w
> >
```

> > t e c h n o l o g i e s. Defend your first wild claim. We'll get to
> > the newest one afterward.
>
> \READ THE COMMENT ABOVE....as for new Idea's I'm full of deals

Deals? I'll take the curtain.

> > Which new mode or modes are you using in your broadcasts/information
> > bulletins?

> right now it voice however, later I attend to extend it into other modes.

It voice? Which type voice mode--SSB, AM, FM? How about the new modes
which you claim amateur radio should be championing? Shouldn't you be
doing your broadcast/information bulletins using one of those new modes?

Dave K8MN

1968. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 19:08:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <n4edne-Kmp--VL3fRVn-tg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103484

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> Buck <iam@this.site> wrote in
> news:2n31215cusi9ne4uqs1ejpf3ml0h4nchvk@4ax.com:
>
>
>>On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 04:11:18 GMT, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>2) General Class (Upgrade Techs upon renewal, change of
>>>> address, etc.)
>>>> Top 2/3 of each cw and ssb band on HF 160, 80, 40, 30, 20, 17,
>>>> 15,
>>>>12, and all 10 meters. Max Power 500 Watts (even in novice
>>>>bands)
>>>
>>>Power levels are hard to enforce from a remote listening
>>>post. Frequency is easily enforced; that's why they
>>>do subbands for differing license grades.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Full 60 Meter as regulated.
>>>> All V/UHF priviliges up to 500 watts.
>>>>
>>>>3) Amateur Extra Class (Upgrade Advanced upon renewal etc.)
>>>> All HF VHF and UHF priviliges with 1500 watts. (except 60 or
>>>> others as regulated.)
>>>> Require element 1 and the same tough exam.
>>>
>>>THe FCC was thinking that if they get rid of code tests, that would
>>>reduce workload and administration duties. Keeping code for extras and
>>>not generals doesn't get them this. In which case they may decide to
>>>leave things as is.
>>>
>>>
>>>>This may create incentives for upgrade and reward those who do so.
>>>>
>>>>Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.
>>>
>>>
>>>Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the privileges are
>>>revalent to modern ham radio.
>>
>>I haven't made the proposal and if I did I suspect it would fall on

>>deaf ears. regardless, it was/is nothing more than my opinion about
>>something I would think is fair for Amateur Radio with incentive
>>licensing. without incentive licensing, take one general class exam
>>and become extra without code.
>
>
> I didn't file my proposal either. I did have a petition ready to go, but I
> wa stalked out of filing it by NCI, as they thought they could get Elemnt 1
> abolished without going through this whole NPRM cycle.

Mistake number one!

>We all know what
> happened to that idea. BTW, where is Carl anyway?

I think he got his chops busted pretty badly after supporting reductions
in the test requirements (beyond elimination of Element 1) when he
previously said he would never do such a thing.

>
>>When it comes to the code/no code debate, my response has been
>>changed. Lately when someone tries to argue it my response has been
>>"Do away with all code,not for the good of amateur radio, but so this
>>25 year argument will finally come to an end.
>>
>
>
> Actually it's been going on for at least 82 years that I know of, but WTH!
>
> If there's one thing that we should all be able to agree on, this is an
> argument that can only end in one way, and maybe not even then. As long as
> there's a code test there will be an argument. I agree, it needs to be
> over.

Appeasement!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1969. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 19:29:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.icj1to0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103486

On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 05:12:07 GMT, robert casey wrote:

>> Revalent ?? What dat means English ?? <ggg>
>
>Oh, it's one of those words I can't spell and the stupid
>spell checker can't figure out. The word that means stuff
>that is logically connected to a goal and sensible. Code
>was very realivlent 50 years ago but less so today. Damn
>spell checker still can't get it....

"realivlent" ? Do you mean "real-alive-ment"?? <ggg>

(Good one, Robert....!!)

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1970. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 19:34:18 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1109446458.805271.244940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103485

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1109386325.451170.282470
> @z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109271864.160442.290220
> >> @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> >
> > >
> > > . . test of - say - skill in solving transmission-line problems
with
> > > the Smith Chart...
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > >
> >>
> >> There already are Smith Chart questions in the pool
> >
> > Smith Charts became obsolete eons ago.
> >
> > w3rv
> >
> >
>
> It's much easier to use a Smith chart than to do the calculations

You don't need a Smith chart and you don't have to do the calculations
either.

http://www.circuitsage.com/matching.html

w3rv

1971. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 19:40:45 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.icj2bx1.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103487

On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 10:05:47 -0500, Buck wrote:

>Your points may be valid, but I can't say what the FCC can and can't
>figure out with their equipment. Their equipment is more
>sophisticated than most of what I have seen or used.

Take it from me that measuring the frequency and occupied
bandwidth of a signal is much easier and more efficient for field
enforcement) than making a measurement of transmitter power. The
former can be accomplished by one person at a remote location while
the latter involves simultaneous measurment of transmitter power
output while observing antenna direction/placement and received
signal strength to ensure what is being tested is in fact what was
being used before the inspection. Those observations must be made
at a point sufficiently removed from the antenna to avoid instrument
overload, and therefore requires at least two people and communication
between them.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1972. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 20:03:27 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <A_CdnUehR7atS73fRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 103488

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9609807365125elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:iLKdnefaGoACxL3fRVn-hg@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> news:GFSTd.7167$Ba3.2852@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.
>>>
>>>
>>> Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the privileges
>>> are revalent to modern ham radio.
>>
>> Why should ham radio be different than other activities? Most of the
>> things we do to gain privileges in this world are not relevant to the
>> privilege itself.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>>
>
> Oh, so everything else is messed up, so ham radio should be messed up too?
> Even if I thought it were true, that would still be the worst argument I
> have heard yet, ROTFLMAO!

It does not mean that things are messed up. It is simply a fact that a very effective way to motivate people to do something that they don't want to do is to tie it to a privilege that they very much want. Parents do it all the time.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1973. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 21:37:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109453821.998767.270160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103489

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > Len "Cut and Paste" Anderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >
> > > > From: Dave Heil <kommandante@earthlink.net> Wed, 23 Feb 2005
> > 04:53:53
> > > > GMT
> > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > >> Brian, "Caveat's" response is so typical of the already-
> > > > >> license-and-already-code-tested amateurs in regards
> > > > >> to the morse code TEST. :-)
> > > > >
> > > > >Ah yes, that dreaded 5 wpm morse code TEST, the one which has
you
> > > > >beaten.
> > > >
> > > > "Beaten?" :-)
> > >
> > > Yeah, beaten. :-)
> > >
> > > > Tsk, haven't bothered to take one, impossible to know if I
> > > > would be "beaten" or "triumph in exhiliration" or other
> > > > imaginary visions you have. :-)
> > >
> > > Well, old boy, no one would want you to be "bothered". If you're
> > "Extra
> > > right out of the box" brage had resulted in success, we'd have
heard
> > no
> > > end to the triumph in exhiliration--or even exhilaration. :-)
> >
> > Welp, twit, with respect to Len getting "Extra right out of the
box"...
>
>
> Len gets no respect. He got nothing out of that box. Zip. Nil.
> Zilch.
> Zed.
>
> > you bragged that "I just did it."
>
> No bragging was done. That was the entire point of my comment.
```

There
> were no announcements in advance.
>
> > But your first license looked an
> > awful lot like a Novice license.
>
> How would you know? You've never glimpsed it.
>
> > Would you care to explain how your Extra license came with a Novice
> > call sign?
>
> My Extra Class license didn't come with a Novice callsign. My Novice
> license came with a Novice callsign.

Just what I thought. Next time you brag to Len about getting "Extra
right out of the box," be sure to say that it was your Novice that you
got right out of the box, not Extra.

1974. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 21:38:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109453914.521433.288070@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103490

From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sat, Feb 26 2005 6:48 pm
>Buck <iam@this.site> wrote in
>news:2n31215cusi9ne4uqs1ejpf3ml0h4nchvk@4ax.com:
>> On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 04:11:18 GMT, robert casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
>> wrote:
>
>> I haven't made the proposal and if I did I suspect it would fall on
>> deaf ears. regardless, it was/is nothing more than my opinion about
>> something I would think is fair for Amateur Radio with incentive
>> licensing. without incentive licensing, take one general class exam
>> and become extra without code.
>
>I didn't file my proposal either. I did have a petition ready to go,
but I
>wa stalked out of filing it by NCI, as they thought they could get
Elemnt 1
>abolished without going through this whole NPRM cycle. We all know
what
>happened to that idea. BTW, where is Carl anyway?

Carl Stevenson has been very busy working with the IEEE 802
groups on wireless standards (among other things).

Please fill us in, Alun, what happened with that NPRM cycle?
Last I saw, NO NPRM had been released yet concerning test
element 1. The only one released was a general "housekeeping"
update of amateur radio regulations.

>> When it comes to the code/no code debate, my response has been
>> changed. Lately when someone tries to argue it my response has been
>> "Do away with all code,not for the good of amateur radio, but so
this
>> 25 year argument will finally come to an end.
>
>Actually it's been going on for at least 82 years that I know of, but
WTH!

That would be since 1913. I don't think so. In 1913 amateur
radio was ALL about morse code. ARRL had its "president for
life" (H.P.Maxim) set to go but wasn't fully formed yet as an
actual local New England amateur radio club organization.
[ARRL was incorporated in 1914, two years after the first
U.S. radio regulating agency was created]

The no-code-test amateur radio license advocacy began in
the late 1970s. That grew until the FCC (in copying other
countries' license classes) released FCC 90-53, the NPRM
for creation of the no-code-test Technician class. That was
in 1990 (first two digits indicate the year) and the Report &
Order granting the sixth license class was released in 1991.

>If there's one thing that we should all be able to agree on, this is
an
>argument that can only end in one way, and maybe not even then. As
long as
>there's a code test there will be an argument. I agree, it needs to be

>over.

Apparently the argument causes much pain and suffering
among the already-tested-for-code-and-passed individuals.
Some of those, not receiving their (intrinsic?) due of respect
and admiration from others, grow livid with rage that such
arguments exist today. Poor babies.

The PCTA should be appeased. They've had their way
since 1912 amidst noble backing from Big Brother in
Newington and they demand capitulation to their wishes.

>Power limits can't be enforced, but they are the right way to
distinguish
>between ability levels, and different slices of the same band aren't.
The
>former mitigates the msitakes of the less qualified much more
effectively,
>and most people are relatively law abiding. If you had to be an Extra
to
>own a big linear, most people would think twice.

The major reason for any sort of "incentive" licensing was
to create the artificiality of some being better than others.
"Upgrades" are rewarded with more status, privilege, and
titles. That's very "feel good" for them, as close as we can
get to nobility in this American society.

The ARRL encouraged stepping up the "ladder of success"
in their printed propaganda for several reasons: 1. It was
something members and prospective members wanted to
hear, thus encouraging membership and renewal for same;
2. League hierarchy were conservative traditionalists and
they had all been morsemen long ago in their youth; feeling
that they were self-righteous role models they set up and
maintained morsemanship as the ultimate skill of radio
amateurs; 3. League lobbying of the FCC saved individual
radio amateurs from petitioning the government by
themselves, a complicated process prior to opening up of
the Internet to file petitions and comments within the last

decades. All other "reasons" for support of the "incentive" licensing are holier-than-thou rationalizations by the PCTA.

What seems to have been put aside is that amateur radio activity is basically a hobby, a personal recreation activity involving radio, something done for fun. To many, however, it is a self-righteous quest to be a "somebody," to be more "superior." By having federal regulations support their views, they fool themselves into believing they are superior. Ergo, certain "qualifications" for amateur radio licensing must remain forever (or as long as the "superior" ones live) because those "superiors" bought into the old ideas and passed those requirements.

Those who have passed the "mighty" tests sometimes assume way too much authority for themselves. What must be the peak (or perhaps nadir) of that is the market appearance of radio "badges" resembling public safety officers shields but marked with amateur radio callsigns. Those who have a foolish need to show they are "somebody" can purchase one and posture that they are "official" and thus "very important." :-)

This is the year 2005 and radio as a communications tool is 108+ years old. Radio has been continuously evolving in both technology and application. Governments now have plenty of radios and communications to do their tasks, outnumbering amateurs. It is high time that some olde-tyme hammes realign themselves to the cold, hard facts that amateur radio remains a hobby. Amateur radio wasn't created in the olde-tymer's visage and it should be open to all who care to enjoy it. But, the olde-tymer's don't want that...they lose their rank, status, title, and privilege if reduced to being just commoners.

Olde-tymers MUST keep the argument going. They are "superior" and keep reminding everyone that only They know what is good for everyone. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1975. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 21:38:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109453919.708465.75570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103491

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >Welp, twit, with respect to Len getting "Extra right out of the box"
> >you bragged that "I just did it." But your first license looked an
> >awful lot like a Novice license.
> >
> >Would you care to explain how your Extra license came with a Novice
> >call sign?
> >
> >Didn't think so.
>
> Brian, Dave is a "superior" person...has been so ever since
> he lay on the chapel floor (making the sign of the code key)
> and took Vows in the Church of St. Hiram. Was nearly 40
> years ago and he's been wearing those vestments ever since.
> [I dunno how many times they've been let out but the material
> is getting mighty thin...]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He should try harder to get his facts straight, or leave them in the
box.

1976. Re: An Offer For Lennie And Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 21:41:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109454096.487901.143480@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103492

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Hey Guys...
> > >
> > > Just in case you two would like to formalize your Space
Cadet
> > > status, thought you might like this link.
> > >
> > > Lennie ought to be able to afford this, based upon all his
> > > broggadacio over his "holdings". Brain may have to scrimp and
save
> a
> > > bit first...
> > >
> > > http://www.virgingalactic.com/when.asp
> > >
> > > Let us know how it goes, OK...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Clicking the above link is probably a sure way to get a virus or at
> the
> > very least, clamydia.
>
> I am sure you have the resources to catch chlamydia or other
> viruses right at home, Brain...No ISP required.
>
> (BTW...Your attempts at being insulting using STD's would be
more
> impressive if you could spell it correctly...Just FYI...)
>
> Enjoy...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, perhaps if I had ever contracted it I would know how to spell it
correctly.

Nurse, heal thyself.

1977. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 21:43:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109454238.094474.32690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103493

From: kelly@dvol.com on Fri, Feb 25 2005 6:52 pm
>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109271864.160442.290220
>> @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>
>> > . . test of - say - skill in solving transmission-line problems
with
>> > the Smith Chart...
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>>
>> There already are Smith Chart questions in the pool
>
>Smith Charts became obsolete eons ago.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

etc.

Kellie never used one in his life, apparently...:-)

Phil Smith's "wonderful diagram" is a standard
tool - today - for all RF designers.

It is so MUCH used that network analyzers even
have it for graphical display on the front panels of
such equipment. Today as well as 30 years ago.

But, a polar coordinate chart is for TWO related
quantities and that may be one too many for Kellie.

Tsk. I'll bet Kellie told that to the Captain at
dinner. Too bad Tenille wasn't there...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1978. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 22:26:31 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <rI6Ud.7875$Ba3.6019@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103494

>
>
>
> It does not mean that things are messed up. It is simply a fact that a very
> effective way to motivate people to do something that they don't want to do
> is to tie it to a privilege that they very much want. Parents do it all the
> time.
>

It's one thing for parents to do that sort of thing, but the FCC
isn't our parents. What does the FCC get out of requiring
element 1 nowadays? The treaty requirement is gone, and
no other service uses Morse code anymore. Radio equipment
is more reliable today than 50 years ago. Stuff that took
20 vacuum tubes to do are now on a few ICs, and usually it's the
batteries that crap out before anything else goes out. The
old argument that code equipment is simple and thus more
reliable doesn't really mean much today as it did 50 years
ago.

If we want to attract younger people to ham radio, it
would be counter productive to require stuff no longer
relevant to get the license. There's many other activities
that don't require licenses that one could do, and they
could do exactly the interesting parts and ignore the
parts not interesting.

1979. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 22:51:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109458291.047080.100570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103495

From: "Caveat Lector" <C...@barahmas.com> on Fri, 25 Feb 2005 17:56:07
-0800

>Didn't say it was an FCC regulation
>It is a long standing standard based on the word PARIS
>Source
>(Source: U.S. Army Technical Manual TM-11-459/TO 31-3-16 - Sept. 1957)

>URL:
>http://www.chss.montclair.edu/~pererat/percode.htm

"Caveat," you are in ERROR.

That URL winds up at a private Internet page, NOT any military site.
It does NOT have any Army Technical Manual there that you
reference. It is an online "telegraph museum."

That website is in error in saying that the "original" morse code
had letters. It did not. The REAL "original" morse code was
only numbers. Letters and punctuation were added later and
available around 1844 when the first Morse-Vail Telegraph was
opened between Baltimore, MD, and Washington, DC...and used
ink lines on paper tape, no "sounders" (which were at least a
decade away from that). The Smithsonian has the original
"What hath God wrought?" paper tape message along with
Morse's handwritten inscription on it.

Not only that, but the FCC has failed to update its own morse
code reference in Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. The FCC refers (still)
to an obsolete CCITT document which has been superseded by
an ITU-T document. That document does NOT have any "word
rate" definition in it although it does specify the character coding
based on the length of a "dit." [I have a copy of that ITU-T
document; Brian Burke has a copy of an excerpt from that
document]

Unless there's been a radical change in United States LAW,
codified law and federal regulations define what that law
should be. So-called "common knowledge" or "everybody
knows that" definitions aren't exactly lawful.

Yes, the U.S. Army did have (past tense) an "FM" (Field Manual)
governing the proper character/word spacing. FM rather than a
TM (Technical Manual). A TM is about equipment, not procedure.

1957 was 48 years ago, almost a half century. ONE Army MOS
(Military Occupation Specialty to you civilians who never served)

required morse code manual sending-receiving skill in 1957. That was Field Radio Operator. "Field Radio" at that time referred to the AN/GRC-26 HF station-in-a-truck-back-hut (500 W, two receivers, TTY, motor-generator set on tow-behind trailer, wire and masts enough to make a small rhombic wire antenna). In actual practice begun during the Korean War active phase (1950 to 1953) Field Radio "angry-twenty-sixes" used their TTYs for actual messages to-from field units. Morse code was not used in the field despite the capability for that. The vast majority of U.S. Army field radio operations was done by voice over AN/PRC-6, -8 through -10 VHF manpack sets, and by TTY through CF-1, -2 "carrier" equipment connected through AN/TRC-1, -2, -4, -8 radio relay equipment. Cryptographic capability was available for the TTY messaging in some areas, encryption-decryption done on-line using an updated version of the WW2 "Sigaba" equipment. You will NOT find any "morse code" skills taught at the Signal Center at Fort Gordon, GA. There is only one center for any morse code cognition today and that is at the Military Intelligence school at Fort Huachuca, AZ, and that only for communications INTERCEPT analysts (reception only). Fort sneeze is the place where all other government agencies go for learning morse code cognition; no problem, not a great demand for morsemen now. Huachuca code classes all use PCs running commercial morse code software; paper tape "code" readers went in the dumpster long ago.

There are NO U.S. military land forces using morse code for any tactical or strategic military communications today. There hasn't been such for many years. There are no commercial communications providers using morse code in the USA today. There hasn't been such for many years.

U.S. amateur radio remains the living museum of archaic radio skills. Feel proud to be an exhibit.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1980. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-26 23:57:40 UTC
From: Julio DeJesus <salvi145@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1109462260.120946.90440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103496

Todd Daugherty wrote:
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:42200fbf$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:42200B64.619FC829@earthlink.net...
> >>
> >>
> >> Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >>>
> >>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >>> news:421F4A59.D6E6FB0E@earthlink.net...
> >>> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >>> >>
> >>> >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >>> >> news:421DFD38.88381E38@earthlink.net...
> >>> >> > N9OGL wrote:
> >>> >
> >>>
> >>> > How about defending one wild idea at a time before moving on to
the
> >>> > next?
> >>> > You didn't write anything earlier about amateur radio coming up
with
> >>> > new
> >>> > technologies worth getting into, you wrote about amateur radio
falling
> >>> > behind in technology. It isn't.
> >>>
> >>> OH REALLY, tell me what new advances in radio communication have

> >>> amateurs
> >>> come up with in lets say last 10 to 20 years???
> >>>
> >>> answer....NONE!!!
> >>
> >> Perhaps you have an inner calling which drives you to demonstrate
that
> >> you aren't the sharpest tack in the box, Todd.
> >
> > For your information dumbass I have an extremely high IQ.
> >
> > You've earlier shown an
> >> inability to write. Your latest effort reveals an inability to

read.
> >>
> >> Y o u w r o t e n o t h i n g e a r l i e r a b o u t
> >>
> >> a m a t e u r r a d i o c o m i n g u p w i t h n e w
> >>
> >> t e c h n o l o g i e s. Defend your first wild claim. We'll
get to
> >> the newest one afterward.
> >
> READ THE COMMENT ABOVE....as for new Idea's I'm full of Ideas's
> >
> >> Which new mode or modes are you using in your
broadcasts/information
> >> bulletins?
> right now it voice however, later I attend to extend it into other
modes.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >> Dave K8MN
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> > ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure
Usenet
> > News==----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
120,000+
> > Newsgroups
> > ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via
Encryption
> > =----
> >
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

Hi Toddy,

My name is Julio DeJesus. I am an esteemed friend of the great
Bathrooman. I still think you are a retard with an IQ of about 2 or 3.
You need to take a course in writing because half of the shit you post
doesn't even make sense. Also, what you need to do (before you take
that writing course), is to take your god damned fingers out of your

ass!! You know absolutely nothing about amateur radio. The people that love amateur radio will keep the hobby alive, not the stupid ass ideas that you have come up with.

1981. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 00:03:51 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <H78Ud.41188$xt.19170@fed1read07>
Source record: 103497

Some one corrected me and added nothing to the discussion
>>
>>Caveat: You can send it anyway you want -- but below is the correct way
>
>Nitpicker: Actually, the correct way is to write "any way".
>

OK have it your way
Add seven elements between any and way (;-)

>>> With proper sending or machine Morse:
>>> Each dit is one element, each dah is three elements, intra-character
>> spacing
>>> is one element, inter-character spacing is three elements and
>> inter-word
>>> spacing is seven elements.

Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)

1982. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 00:09:09 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1109462949.753522.13790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103498

Toddy 0'Douchebag

1983. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 00:10:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3e8Ud.738$wy3.81@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103499

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1109377047.979168.303150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:cvlgp2$12f2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>> > bb wrote:
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>> Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
>> >>>
>> >>>Presumably the
>> >>>
>> >>>>only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT
>> >>
>> >> button.
>> >>
>> >>> "Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
>> >>> owners have to know where to get the PTT control
>> >>> fixed! :-)
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Yep, he said "mash," but must have been mistaken when he said they
>> >> would know how to read.
>> >>
>> >> You see, people that use a microphone are clods. They would never
>> >> "depress" the ptt button, nor would they "press down" on it. They
> are
>> >> of low intelligence and barely human, and only know how to "mash"
> said
>> >> button. If no one is looking, they may actually step on the
> microphone
>> >> with bare, dirty feet and yell into it.
>> >>
>> >> People who use a telegraph key are genteel. They know how to
> properly
>> >> close the contacts, form a character, and move on. They do so
> with
>> >> their pinkie finger extended, and have no dirt under their nails.
>> >>
```

>> >> For it is written.
>> >>
>> >
>> > People around my area say "mash" as in referring to "pressing"
> something I
>> > say it too at times. In general it is said in contesxt such as "Hey
> Bob,
>> > mash that light switch will ya?
>> >
>> > I'll quite saying mash if it offends all of you that much.
>> >
>> >
>> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >
>> > (who does mash his PTT when he works SSB)
>> >
>>
>> A real "communicator" will say 'key the mike', or 'key the
> circuit'.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Sorry, but real "communicators" refer to "key" when they load an
> encryption code.
>
> BOL, bb
>
Oh yes indeed they do, as in KAC codes or the old antique KY-7 stuff, eh?
Well bb we are talking about ham radio. Of course I understand, LEN the
LOON, that you have no understanding of that subject.

Tweek twit.

Dan/W4NTI

1984. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 00:11:58 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <if8Ud.741$wy3.354@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103500

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1109400860.647598.309600@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>> A real "communicator" will say 'key the mike', or 'key the
> circuit'.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>Sorry, but real "communicators" refer to "key" when they load an
>>encryption code.
>>
>>BOL, bb
>
> Poor Dan never got his crypto clearance... :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
Oh dear....here he is again. Your right. I've been meaning to turn in my
decoder ring to the arms room ever since I left the KY-7 on the floor of the
room.

Screw off dipshit.

Dan/W4NTI

1985. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 00:12:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <8g8Ud.742$wy3.555@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103501

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1109362413.554584.232840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> From: "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> on 23 Feb 2005 17:38:53 -0800
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
>>> > Buy a rig, an antenna, and pay some people to put it up.
>>> Presumably the
>>> >only requirement is to know how to read, talk and mash the PTT
> button.
>>
>>> "Mash" the push-to-talk button? That means those
>>> owners have to know where to get the PTT control
>>> fixed! :-)
>>
>>Yep, he said "mash," but must have been mistaken when he said they
>>would know how to read.
>>
>>You see, people that use a microphone are clods. They would never
>>"depress" the ptt button, nor would they "press down" on it. They are
>
>>of low intelligence and barely human, and only know how to "mash" said
>
>>button. If no one is looking, they may actually step on the
> microphone
>>with bare, dirty feet and yell into it.
>
> [sounds like Dan of a few years ago, reminiscing of how hams
> used to do early FM by YELLING into their VFOs...:-)]
>
>>People who use a telegraph key are genteel. They know how to properly
>
>>close the contacts, form a character, and move on. They do so with
>>their pinkie finger extended, and have no dirt under their nails.
>
> Agreed. Amateur morsemen are the very EPITOME of
> "radio operators." None are their equal.
>
> They are Superhams, faster than a speeding TTY, able to
> jump tall pile-ups at a single bound. They wear hair shirts
> emblazoned with a Big S and carry shiny Raddio Kopp
> shields to ward off evildoers speaking of Change.
>
> All who do not love, honor, worship, and obey them are

> Full of Hate For All Radio Amateurs!
>
>>For it is written.
>
> ...in here. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Poor Lenny the Loon is having another insecurity fit. Try some Alka Seltzer.

Dan/W4NTI

1986. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 00:15:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109463358.254681.319190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103502

From: "Richard L. Tannehill" <w7rtr...@firstinter.net> - on Thu, 24 Feb 2005 11:11:33 -0700

>The Intl. Morse Code is very simply, an old digital
>modulation form we called PWM; Pulse Width Modulation.
>Hasn't been around in the commercial domain in at least 20
>years. You could also make the argument that it is
>"tri-state" since we have dits-dahs-off. However,
>conventional tri-state logic usually had the pulses
>oppositely polarized around the zero baseline.

Sorry, the type of logic you describe is NOT conventional.

Today (as well as two decades ago) "tri-state" and "three-state" TTL digital logic devices exist and can be purchased by hobbyists or commercial manufacturers alike. They do NOT have three states of logic though. That "third state" is the control of outputs such that a separate disable control pin makes outputs appear to be open-circuited; i.e., they are in-circuit and connected but, when set disabled, have no effect on logic 1 or logic 0 electrical states for other devices. That is very useful in certain digital logic circuit arrangements.

According to several older ACM papers, actual three-state circuits existed along with some proposed "logic rules" for ternary circuit blocks. Didn't last more than a few years and disappeeared when standardized digital logic IC devices were available.

PWM or Pulse Width Modulation is VERY COMMON in electronics today, has been for lots of years. The most common use of that is electric motor speed control or small servomotor positioning (see Hitec and Futaba servos used in robotics). PWM voice and data modulation is still found on some private UHF to microwave radio relay links, sometimes multiplexed and applied as FM to the radio relay transmitter (hence it falls under the "FM" category of such). PWM is at the heart of all switching power supplies and supply ICs (hundreds of different ICs now available and growing) enabling much greater efficiencies in power supply conditioning for less heat and (for portable operation) less battery power drain. PWM is also at the heart of Class D audio amplifier ICs used in all sorts of audio-output consumer electronics (so called

"transformerless" audio circuits), those ICs being market-pushed by Texas Instruments among others.

What hasn't been around is PPM, Pulse Position Modulation for analog modulation of time-multiplexed multi-channel radio equipment. General Electric had a winner there with a 24-voice-channel PPM microwave radio relay which enjoyed commercial applications in a variety of uses, including long pipeline telemetry. PPM enabled a constant duty cycle on the pulsed transmitter operating in the old 1800 MHz band. Some installations lasted 1950 to 1980 despite being entirely vacuum tube.

BTW, the MOST-used on-off keying radio of today is NOT the amateur "CW" transmitter kind. It is the so-called "keyless" auto entry watch-fob-like handheld unit supplied with modern automobiles (the ones that go "squeep" when keyed shut or keyed open). Over a million and a half of those in the USA of today, no data on the rest of the world (which also has them).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1987. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 00:31:11 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <jx8Ud.41192$xt.40377@fed1read07>
Source record: 103503

From I.T.U. Regulations
a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1 Dot.
c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.
Look it up
--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1109458291.047080.100570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Caveat Lector" <C...@barahmas.com> on Fri, 25 Feb 2005 17:56:07
> -0800
>
>>Didn't say it was an FCC regulation
>>It is a long standing standard based on the word PARIS
>>Source
>>(Source: U.S. Army Technical Manual TM-11-459/TO 31-3-16 - Sept. 1957)
>
>>URL:
>>http://www.chss.montclair.edu/~pererat/percode.htm
>
> "Caveat," you are in ERROR.
>
> That URL winds up at a private Internet page, NOT any military site.
> It does NOT have any Army Technical Manual there that you
> reference. It is an online "telegraph museum."
>
> That website is in error in saying that the "original" morse code
> had letters. It did not. The REAL "original" morse code was
> only numbers. Letters and punctuation were added later and
> available around 1844 when the first Morse-Vail Telegraph was
> opened between Baltimore, MD, and Washington, DC...and used
> ink lines on paper tape, no "sounders" (which were at least a
> decade away from that). The Smithsonian has the original
> "What hath God wrought?" paper tape message along with
> Morse's handwritten inscription on it.
>
> Not only that, but the FCC has failed to update its own morse
> code reference in Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. The FCC refers (still)
> to an obsolete CCITT document which has been superseded by

> an ITU-T document. That document does NOT have any "word
> rate" definition in it although it does specify the character coding
> based on the length of a "dit." [I have a copy of that ITU-T
> document; Brian Burke has a copy of an excerpt from that
> document]
>
> Unless there's been a radical change in United States LAW,
> codified law and federal regulations define what that law
> should be. So-called "common knowledge" or "everybody
> knows that" definitions aren't exactly lawful.
>
> Yes, the U.S. Army did have (past tense) an "FM" (Field Manual)
> governing the proper character/word spacing. FM rather than a
> TM (Technical Manual). A TM is about equipment, not procedure.
>
> 1957 was 48 years ago, almost a half century. ONE Army MOS
> (Military Occupation Specialty to you civilians who never served)
> required morse code manual sending-receiving skill in 1957. That
> was Field Radio Operator. "Field Radio" at that time referred to
> the AN/GRC-26 HF station-in-a-truck-back-hut (500 W, two
> receivers, TTY, motor-generator set on tow-behind trailer, wire
> and masts enough to make a small rhombic wire antenna). In
> actual practice begun during the Korean War active phase (1950
> to 1953) Field Radio "angry-twenty-sixes" used their TTYs for
> actual messages to-from field units. Morse code was not used
> in the field despite the capability for that. The vast majority of
> U.S. Army field radio operations was done by voice over
> AN/PRC-6, -8 through -10 VHF manpack sets, and by TTY
> through CF-1, -2 "carrier" equipment connected through
> AN/TRC-1, -2, -4, -8 radio relay equipment. Cryptographic
> capability was available for the TTY messaging in some areas,
> encryption-decryption done on-line using an updated version
> of the WW2 "Sigaba" equipment. You will NOT find any "morse
> code" skills taught at the Signal Center at Fort Gordon, GA.
> There is only one center for any morse code cognition today and
> that is at the Military Intelligence school at Fort Huachuca, AZ,
> and that only for communications INTERCEPT analysts
> (reception only). Fort sneeze is the place where all other
> government agencies go for learning morse code cognition;
> no problem, not a great demand for morsemen now. Huachuca
> code classes all use PCs running commercial morse code
> software; paper tape "code" readers went in the dumpster
> long ago.
>
> There are NO U.S. military land forces using morse code for
> any tactical or strategic military communications today. There
> hasn't been such for many years. There are no commercial
> communications providers using morse code in the USA today.
> There hasn't been such for many years.
>

> U.S. amateur radio remains the living museum of archaic
> radio skills. Feel proud to be an exhibit.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

1988. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 01:36:35 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9609D1A4389B2elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103504

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:A_CdnUehR7atS73fRVn-rg@comcast.com:

>
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns9609807365125elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>> news:iLKdnefaGoACxL3fRVn-hg@comcast.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>> news:GFSTd.7167$Ba3.2852@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the privileges
>>>> are revalent to modern ham radio.
>>>
>>> Why should ham radio be different than other activities? Most of the
>>> things we do to gain privileges in this world are not relevant to the
>>> privilege itself.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Oh, so everything else is messed up, so ham radio should be messed up
>> too? Even if I thought it were true, that would still be the worst
>> argument I have heard yet, ROTFLMAO!
>
>
>
> It does not mean that things are messed up. It is simply a fact that a
> very effective way to motivate people to do something that they don't
> want to do is to tie it to a privilege that they very much want.
> Parents do it all the time.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>
```

So treat prospective hams like errant children?

1989. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 02:17:53 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9609D8A38D4C5elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103505

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in news:1109453914.521433.288070
@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sat, Feb 26 2005 6:48 pm
>>Buck <iam@this.site> wrote in
>>news:2n31215cusi9ne4uqs1ejpf3ml0h4nchvk@4ax.com:
>>> On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 04:11:18 GMT, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
>>> wrote:
>>
>>> I haven't made the proposal and if I did I suspect it would fall on
>>> deaf ears. regardless, it was/is nothing more than my opinion about
>>> something I would think is fair for Amateur Radio with incentive
>>> licensing. without incentive licensing, take one general class exam
>>> and become extra without code.
>>
>>I didn't file my proposal either. I did have a petition ready to go,
>>but I wa stalked out of filing it by NCI, as they thought they could
>>get Elemnt 1 abolished without going through this whole NPRM cycle. We
>>all know what happened to that idea. BTW, where is Carl anyway?
>
> Carl Stevenson has been very busy working with the IEEE 802
> groups on wireless standards (among other things).
>
> Please fill us in, Alun, what happened with that NPRM cycle?
> Last I saw, NO NPRM had been released yet concerning test
> element 1. The only one released was a general "housekeeping"
> update of amateur radio regulations.
>

That's the thing, we are in that cycle, but still waiting for the NPRM to
be issued. NCI hoped to short circuit this process, but failed.

>>> When it comes to the code/no code debate, my response has been
>>> changed. Lately when someone tries to argue it my response has been
>>> "Do away with all code,not for the good of amateur radio, but so this
>>> 25 year argument will finally come to an end.
>>
>>Actually it's been going on for at least 82 years that I know of, but
> WTH!
>
> That would be since 1913.

Actually, both of us have the maths wrong. I meant 1927, but that's only 78
years. 1913 would be 92 years. 1927 was the year that the ITU made the
international requirement for the code test.

> I don't think so. In 1913 amateur
> radio was ALL about morse code. ARRL had its "president for
> life" (H.P.Maxim) set to go but wasn't fully formed yet as an
> actual local New England amateur radio club organization.
> [ARRL was incorporated in 1914, two years after the first
> U.S. radio regulating agency was created]
>

Not so. Not in 1927 anyway. There were a lot of people using phone back
then. AM, of course.

> The no-code-test amateur radio license advocacy began in
> the late 1970s. That grew until the FCC (in copying other
> countries' license classes) released FCC 90-53, the NPRM
> for creation of the no-code-test Technician class. That was
> in 1990 (first two digits indicate the year) and the Report &
> Order granting the sixth license class was released in 1991.
>

The big argument began in 1927. The code test was proposed by the US
delegation to the ITU as a quid pro quo for the recognition of ham radio as
a service, and their motion was carried. The US had already had a code test
since at least 1916 that I know of, so it wasn't much of a concession for
them. Other countries did not. The UK had no code test before 1927, and
between then and the war had an 'artificial antenna' licence, whereby you
could get a licence by practicing into a dummy load for six months to still
avoid the code test.

The various ITU conferences gradually rolled back the code requirement to
below 1GHz in 1937, 420MHz in 1947, 144MHz in 1967, 30MHz in 1979 and 0 MHz
in 2003. Australia introduced a no code licence in 1952, the UK in 1963 and
the US not until 1991, after many other countries had done so. The FCC did
attempt to promote a no-code licence in the 1970s, but gave up when opposed
by the ARRL (yes, I do have that the right way around!).

About 20 countries have removed the code test since 2003. Japan already for
many years had HF for all licences including the no code 10 Watt 4th class
licence, and Spain once in the past abolished the code test, but brought it
back when their hams couldn't get reciprocal licences elsewhere.

Even in the US I know for a fact that the contoversy was very much alive in
the '70s. But 1927 was the year it really began.

>>If there's one thing that we should all be able to agree on, this is an
>>argument that can only end in one way, and maybe not even then. As long
>>as there's a code test there will be an argument. I agree, it needs to
>>be
>
>>over.
>
> Apparently the argument causes much pain and suffering
> among the already-tested-for-code-and-passed individuals.
> Some of those, not receiving their (intrinsic?) due of respect

> and admiration from others, grow livid with rage that such
> arguments exist today. Poor babies.
>
> The PCTA should be appeased. They've had their way
> since 1912 amidst noble backing from Big Brother in
> Newington and they demand capitulation to their wishes.
>
>>Power limits can't be enforced, but they are the right way to
>>distinguish between ability levels, and different slices of the same
>>band aren't. The former mitigates the msitakes of the less qualified
>>much more effectively, and most people are relatively law abiding. If
>>you had to be an Extra to own a big linear, most people would think
>>twice.
>
> The major reason for any sort of "incentive" licensing was
> to create the artificiality of some being better than others.
> "Upgrades" are rewarded with more status, privilege, and
> titles. That's very "feel good" for them, as close as we can
> get to nobility in this American society.
>
> The ARRL encouraged stepping up the "ladder of success"
> in their printed propaganda for several reasons: 1. It was
> something members and prospective members wanted to
> hear, thus encouraging membership and renewal for same;
> 2. League hierarchy were conservative traditionalists and
> they had all been morsemen long ago in their youth; feeling
> that they were self-righteous role models they set up and
> maintained morsemanship as the ultimate skill of radio
> amateurs; 3. League lobbying of the FCC saved individual
> radio amateurs from petitioning the government by
> themselves, a complicated process prior to opening up of
> the Internet to file petitions and comments within the last
> decades. All other "reasons" for support of the "incentive"
> licensing are holier-than-thou rationalizations by the PCTA.
>
> What seems to have been put aside is that amateur radio
> activity is basically a hobby, a personal recreation activity
> involving radio, something done for fun. To many, however,
> it is a self-righteous quest to be a "somebody," to be more
> "superior." By having federal regulations support their
> views, they fool themselves into believing they are superior.
> Ergo, certain "qualifications" for amateur radio licensing
> must remain forever (or as long as the "superior" ones
> live) because those "superiors" bought into the old ideas
> and passed those requirements.
>
> Those who have passed the "mighty" tests sometimes
> assume way too much authority for themselves. What
> must be the peak (or perhaps nadir) of that is the market
> appearance of radio "badges" resembling public safety

> officers shields but marked with amateur radio callsigns.
> Those who have a foolish need to show they are
> "somebody" can purchase one and posture that they
> are "official" and thus "very important." :-)
>
> This is the year 2005 and radio as a communications
> tool is 108+ years old. Radio has been continuously
> evolving in both technology and application. Governments
> now have plenty of radios and communications to do
> their tasks, outnumbering amateurs. It is high time that
> some olde-tyme hammes realign themselves to the
> cold, hard facts that amateur radio remains a hobby.
> Amateur radio wasn't created in the olde-tymer's visage
> and it should be open to all who care to enjoy it. But,
> the olde-tymer's don't want that...they lose their rank,
> status, title, and privilege if reduced to being just
> commoners.
>
> Olde-tymers MUST keep the argument going. They are
> "superior" and keep reminding everyone that only They
> know what is good for everyone. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>

Funny thing isn't it, ye olde tymmers in a relatively high tech hobby? It's a good thing spark isn't still legal!

N3KIP

1990. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 02:22:54 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9609D97C78D8Felektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103506

kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1109446458.805271.244940
@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1109386325.451170.282470
>> @z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109271864.160442.290220
>> >> @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >
>> >> > . . test of - say - skill in solving transmission-line problems
>> >> > with the Smith Chart...
>> >> >
>> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> There already are Smith Chart questions in the pool
>> >
>> > Smith Charts became obsolete eons ago.
>> >
>> > w3rv
>> >
>> >
>>
>> It's much easier to use a Smith chart than to do the calculations
>
> You don't need a Smith chart and you don't have to do the calculations
> either.
>
> http://www.circuitsage.com/matching.html
>
> w3rv
>

I still have a pad of Smith charts. I don't have Mathcad. I have the same
attitude to this as I do to Morse, i.e. to each his own. I don't see
anything wrong in having test questions on either subject, as I think
people should know about them, I just don't think that there should be a
test on copying code by ear.

1991. Re: THE EXCLUSIVE MORSE CODE EXAM DEBATE THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 02:27:36 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <uqb221t9hjp0n3eo3g66tr6h8aiar3ta01@4ax.com>
Source record: 103507

On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 12:07:03 -0500, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
>news:o54121t08nn30kkdsj4bg8srcarhl822gd@4ax.com...
>> On 26 Feb 2005 03:06:41 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Hello One and All,
>>>
>>> Our Resident Antagonist (Lennie "I Am Not A Liar" Anderson) is a
>>>bit miffed becasue there's no thread to discuss the "Morse Code Exam
>>>Issue". Personally, I think the subject has been beaten until every
>>>last bit of life has been beaten from it, but just to prove him wrong,
>>>here's a thread, JUST for him.
>>>
>>
>> Only new to me, perhaps, but here goes....
>>
>> Drop the code requirement for all US amateur exams. Not for the good
>> of amateur radio, but to end this 25 year old argument!
>>
>>
>> Buck
>> --
>> For what it's worth.
>
>Actually your reason is better than most. However I don't believe in
>appeasement so I can't agree with it.
>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

LOL, I understand. But generally, this kills the argument someone has
who is trying to lure me into a discussion I am tired of. Around
here, we have two locals each that takes the opposite extreme, One is
not to do away with ANY cw and the other is do away with all. They
are constantly trying to lure someone into the argument either to get
sympathy or to state their point.

Personally, I would vote to at least keep it on Extra. I wouldn't
mind keeping it on General and introduce a new beginner level that
authorizes limited no-code use that is still useful and fun for the
licensee.

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

1992. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 02:39:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <65ydnWdB-cpfr7zfRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103508

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1109446458.805271.244940
> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>
>>>kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1109386325.451170.282470
>>>@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109271864.160442.290220
>>>>>@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>. . test of - say - skill in solving transmission-line problems
>>>>>>with the Smith Chart...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>There already are Smith Chart questions in the pool
>>>>
>>>>Smith Charts became obsolete eons ago.
>>>>
>>>>w3rv
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>It's much easier to use a Smith chart than to do the calculations
>>
>>You don't need a Smith chart and you don't have to do the calculations
>>either.
>>
>>http://www.circuitsage.com/matching.html
>>
>>w3rv
>>
>
>
> I still have a pad of Smith charts. I don't have Mathcad. I have the same

> attitude to this as I do to Morse, i.e. to each his own. I don't see
> anything wrong in having test questions on either subject, as I think
> people should know about them, I just don't think that there should be a
> test on copying code by ear.

Smith charts are just as obsolete as Ohms law.............

- Mike KB3EIA -

1993. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 04:06:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109477194.594936.304630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103509

Caveat Lector wrote:
> From I.T.U. Regulations
> a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
> b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1 Dot.
> c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
> d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.

That's all very nice.

>From any FCC regulation, in (milli)seconds, how long is a "dot" when
the word rate is 5WPM?

1994. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 04:08:22 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109477302.899335.3850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103510

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1109400860.647598.309600@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >>> A real "communicator" will say 'key the mike', or 'key the
> > circuit'.
> >>>
> >>> Dan/W4NTI
> >>
> >>Sorry, but real "communicators" refer to "key" when they load an
> >>encryption code.
> >>
> >>BOL, bb
> >
> > Poor Dan never got his crypto clearance... :-)
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> Oh dear....here he is again. Your right. I've been meaning to turn
in my
> decoder ring to the arms room ever since I left the KY-7 on the floor
of the
> room.
>
> Screw off dipshit.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Do you eat with that dirty mouth?

1995. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 04:11:22 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109477482.195299.242820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103511

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1109377047.979168.303150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> >> A real "communicator" will say 'key the mike', or 'key the
> > circuit'.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Sorry, but real "communicators" refer to "key" when they load an
> > encryption code.
> >
> > BOL, bb
> >
> Oh yes indeed they do, as in KAC codes or the old antique KY-7
stuff, eh?
> Well bb we are talking about ham radio. Of course I understand, LEN
the
> LOON, that you have no understanding of that subject.
>
> Tweek twit.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Now Dan, you're mixing metaphors.

You're either talking about "real communicators" or you're talking
about "ham radio" ops.

Which is it?

1996. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 04:13:51 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0pSdnULsXs-_1LzfRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 103512

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9609D1A4389B2elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:A_CdnUehR7atS73fRVn-rg@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9609807365125elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>>> news:iLKdnefaGoACxL3fRVn-hg@comcast.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:GFSTd.7167$Ba3.2852@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the privileges
>>>>> are revalent to modern ham radio.
>>>>
>>>> Why should ham radio be different than other activities? Most of the
>>>> things we do to gain privileges in this world are not relevant to the
>>>> privilege itself.
>>>>
>>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Oh, so everything else is messed up, so ham radio should be messed up
>>> too? Even if I thought it were true, that would still be the worst
>>> argument I have heard yet, ROTFLMAO!
>>
>>
>>
>> It does not mean that things are messed up. It is simply a fact that a
>> very effective way to motivate people to do something that they don't
>> want to do is to tie it to a privilege that they very much want.
>> Parents do it all the time.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>

>>
>>
>
> So treat prospective hams like errant children?

No not at all. Let's use a workplace example instead. Many people, even if they like their jobs, do have elements of the work they don't like. However they get a reward or privilege in the form of money for performing those elements.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1997. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 04:18:45 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <z5Kdnf25bd3V17zfRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 103513

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:rI6Ud.7875$Ba3.6019@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>
>>
>>
>> It does not mean that things are messed up. It is simply a fact that a
>> very effective way to motivate people to do something that they don't
>> want to do is to tie it to a privilege that they very much want. Parents
>> do it all the time.
>>
>
> It's one thing for parents to do that sort of thing, but the FCC
> isn't our parents. What does the FCC get out of requiring
> element 1 nowadays? The treaty requirement is gone, and
> no other service uses Morse code anymore. Radio equipment
> is more reliable today than 50 years ago. Stuff that took
> 20 vacuum tubes to do are now on a few ICs, and usually it's the
> batteries that crap out before anything else goes out. The
> old argument that code equipment is simple and thus more
> reliable doesn't really mean much today as it did 50 years
> ago.
>
> If we want to attract younger people to ham radio, it
> would be counter productive to require stuff no longer
> relevant to get the license. There's many other activities
> that don't require licenses that one could do, and they
> could do exactly the interesting parts and ignore the
> parts not interesting.

Actually it appears as if it IS the code that attracts young people simply
because it is different. It's the middle aged people who seem to object
most strenuously.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1998. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 04:21:47 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109478107.112778.297440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103514

Julio DeJesus wrote:

> Hi Toddy,
>
> My name is Julio DeJesus. I am an esteemed friend of the great
> Bathrooman. I still think you are a retard with an IQ of about 2 or
3.
> You need to take a course in writing because half of the shit you
post
> doesn't even make sense. Also, what you need to do (before you take
> that writing course), is to take your god damned fingers out of your
> ass!! You know absolutely nothing about amateur radio. The people
> that love amateur radio will keep the hobby alive, not the stupid ass
> ideas that you have come up with.

Spoken like a true Extra. More rational discourse.

1999. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 04:30:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42214CFD.1F06B3C9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103515

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:

> > > Would you care to explain how your Extra license came with a Novice
> > > call sign?
> >
> > My Extra Class license didn't come with a Novice callsign. My Novice
> > license came with a Novice callsign.
>
> Just what I thought.

No one anywhere had any problem with the statement I made. There's only
you.

> Next time you brag to Len about getting "Extra
> right out of the box,"...

I made no brag to Len about getting anything right out of the box. You
must have me confused with him. His several-year-old boast has been
widely discussed here.

> ...be sure to say that it was your Novice that you
> got right out of the box, not Extra.

That's funny; I thought I just did that.

Dave K8MN

2000. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 04:50:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422151B4.2198E17@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103516

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sat, Feb 26 2005 6:48 pm
> >Buck <iam@this.site> wrote in
> >news:2n31215cusi9ne4uqs1ejpf3ml0h4nchvk@4ax.com:
> >> On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 04:11:18 GMT, robert casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
> >> wrote:

> Apparently the argument causes much pain and suffering
> among the already-tested-for-code-and-passed individuals.

It would seem to have caused some pain and suffering in at least one non-code tested individual. After all, nobody was written more here about morse code tesing in amateur radio than you...and you aren't even involved in amateur radio. Poor baby.

> The major reason for any sort of "incentive" licensing was
> to create the artificiality of some being better than others.

Incentive license was put into place by the FCC. You'll remember them as the agency responsible for amateur radio licensing and enforcement. The "some being better than others" was and is quite real. Those passing more difficult theory exams and (for some classes) higher speed morse exams were rewarded with more spectrum. Those like yourself, who never passed any amateur radio licensing exams, had access to no amateur radio spectrum.

> "Upgrades" are rewarded with more status, privilege, and
> titles.

More titles? Really?

> That's very "feel good" for them, as close as we can
> get to nobility in this American society.

That it chafes you cause me some mild entertainment.

> What seems to have been put aside is that amateur radio
> activity is basically a hobby, a personal recreation activity
> involving radio, something done for fun. To many, however,
> it is a self-righteous quest to be a "somebody," to be more
> "superior." By having federal regulations support their
> views, they fool themselves into believing they are superior.
> Ergo, certain "qualifications" for amateur radio licensing
> must remain forever (or as long as the "superior" ones
> live) because those "superiors" bought into the old ideas

> and passed those requirements.

More "Fox and the cashews" from our resident curmudgeon? Why are you worried about who might be superior to whom in amateur radio, Leonard. You aren't part of amateur radio. Even if you were, there'd always be many, regardless of license class, whose skills exceeded your own.

> Those who have passed the "mighty" tests sometimes
> assume way too much authority for themselves.

What about those who have passed none of the tests, have no amateur radio licenses and who are not FCC employees? Do they ever attempt to assume authority over amateur radio for themselves? Would you be such a fellow?

> What
> must be the peak (or perhaps nadir) of that is the market
> appearance of radio "badges" resembling public safety
> officers shields but marked with amateur radio callsigns.
> Those who have a foolish need to show they are
> "somebody" can purchase one and posture that they
> are "official" and thus "very important." :-)

Don't worry, Len. I'm sure the manufacturers will still sell you one. You can just leave the callsign portion blank. :-)

> This is the year 2005 and radio as a communications
> tool is 108+ years old. Radio has been continuously
> evolving in both technology and application. Governments
> now have plenty of radios and communications to do
> their tasks, outnumbering amateurs. It is high time that
> some olde-tyme hammes realign themselves to the
> cold, hard facts that amateur radio remains a hobby.

How about if you "realign" yourself to the fact that amateur radio remains a hobby in which you are not a participant.

> Amateur radio wasn't created in the olde-tymer's visage
> and it should be open to all who care to enjoy it.

...and who can pass the exams to do so.

> But,
> the olde-tymer's don't want that...they lose their rank,
> status, title, and privilege if reduced to being just
> commoners.

You sound like the kind of guy who'd just open 'er up to any guy who shows any interest at all in amateur radio. No tests. No qualifications.

> Olde-tymers MUST keep the argument going.

Actually, you are the guy who MUST keep the argument going. At present,
it isn't going your way.

> They are
> "superior" and keep reminding everyone that only They
> know what is good for everyone. :-)

That's awfully cute, Len. You aren't even involved and you keep telling
us that you know what's best for amateur radio. :-)

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Dave k8mn@arrl.net

2001. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 06:15:45 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <42216636$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103517

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:a8qdnTjf_Jrjxr3fRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:42200bc1$1_1@127.0.0.1...
>>
>> "Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message
>> news:1109382909.430616.38840@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>> Well, how many different ways do you want to be able to exchange signal
>>> reports and WX, or God forbid, information bulletins? How many
>>> positions do you want on the old MODE switch? The point is, try
>>> exchanging something useful or interesting rather than worrying about
>>> how the information is exchanged. You aren't going to get an
>>> interested audience for your 'information bulletins,' either, because
>>> you have nothing useful to convey, so give it up. You are just going
>>> to make enemies and take up space like K1MAN. Originally you planned
>>> to do your broadcast on 10 meters at 9 PM. At that time of night, no
>>> one beyond about 10 miles is going to hear you. That, right there,
>>> demonstrates your knowledge of radio and propagation. Only a freaking
>>> CBer would consider doing a 10 M broadcast at 9 PM. As for SSTV,
>>> after you exchange a few poor quality pics of the OM, the shack, or
>>> maybe the XYL's butt, what more do you do with it? You say that hams
>>> should work to ADVANCE radio communications? WTF What is the next
>>> step? DXing space aliens? ROTFLMAO
>>>
>> Well, I answer you first, then I'll answer your girlfriend who emailed
>> me. The POINT of this post is simple, Amateur radio is dying, for really
>> two simple reasons The first is amateur radio is falling behind to the
>> commercials.
>
> You have yet to provide any proof that amateur radio is dying. You keep
> saying it but that is hardly proof.
>
>> While amateur's get off on Slow Scan TV; Commercial services are able to
>> stream live audio and video over a short band space due to digital
>> compression. While Amateur's are fornicating themselves over 300 and 1200
>> baud, Commercial service with WiFi are able to go 1000 times faster,
>> ranging from 1Mbaud to 11.5Mbaud on the 2.4GHz band. And I could go on
>> and on. "You aren't going to get an interested audience for your
>> 'information bulletins,' either, because you have nothing useful to
>> convey, so give it up." That's Your Opinion I believe in the idea that a
>> person should get the information and disseminate it themselves. Unlike
>> you who I believe has this idea that this is a dictatorship and some
>> moron and his little buddies on a newsgroup, on the internet speaks for

>> all the amateur radio community. Secondly, you've never hear my broadcast
>> thus, you assume that the information bulletin is useless. Finally on
>> that, unlike K1MAN who broadcast 24/7 I'm only transmitting for one hour
>> once a week, a far cry from what K1MAN is doing. and Unlike K1MAN I use a
>> frequency that isn't in use and ask three times "if the frequency is in
>> use" So don't fucking compare me to him. That's why I orginally had it on
>> 10 meters so not to cause interference, unlike you who would probably
>> transmit on top of people.
>
> The 10 meter band was an awful choice. When it's dead, no one can hear
> you. When it's open, you can interfere worldwide with a few watts of
> power. Since one can often only hear one side of a conversation on that
> band, you can interfer without knowing it.

There's more room on 10 meters compared to say 20 meters secondly I ran my information bulletin around 9.00, 10.00 pm. Finally, that would apply to anything, a station on the east coast may be on a frequency and a station on the west coast can't hear him. I think a statement in one of FCC rules books which states "we can't eliminate all interference but you can minimize it. You know unlike K1MAN and W1AW I have the curiously of NOT trying to transmit over someone.

>> I do have some curiously something you and your little friends can't
>> grasp. Which bring me to the second reason amateur radio is dying. It's
>> little toadies like you who fear new things or something that is legal
>> under the law and either find the frequency they are running and key up
>> top of them, or better yet go crying to the FCC so they can supress that
>> stations free speech simple because you don't like what they are doing.
>>
>
> What free speech has the FCC suppressed???

if you haven't keep up I got a message on this newsgroup from one of the FCC top Nazi, Mr.Hollingsworth.

Todd N9OGL

>>
>> Todd,
>>
>> I am a friend of Bathrooman. I have read many of your posts and it is
>> quite clear to me that you don't know what the fuck you are talking
>> about. You don't know dick about amateur radio, and you can't even
>> write a sentence that makes any sense whatsoever. Why don't you just
>> go back to your shack and try to work some ham at 5 WPM in the novice
>> band. If that is too fast for you, slow it down to 2 WPM and see if
>> you can copy that.
>>
>> Juilo De Jesus
>>
>> Now I have a question you and this little friend of yours here, are you
>> both real amateurs or amatuer radio operator wantabe's who sit on amateur

>> newsgroup and think your important.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>>
>
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2002. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 06:17:06 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <42216686$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103518

WHY DON'T YOU EAT SHIT AND FUCKING DIE!!

"Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message
news:1109436130.576066.67430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> The first is amateur radio is falling behind to the
> commercials. While amateur's get off on Slow Scan TV
>
> For your information dumbass I have an extremely high IQ.
>
> High IQ compared to what? An ant? Where the fuck did you learn to
> write?
>
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2003. Re: Hamfest Concession Stand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 06:25:44 UTC
From: Lloyd A Davies <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1109485544.567587.172480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey man!

Make sure you charge Woger double, he sure needs it!

Lloyd Davies,
TimeLORD

2004. Re: THE EXCLUSIVE MORSE CODE EXAM DEBATE THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 06:27:17 UTC
From: Lloyd A Davies <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1109485637.327581.317720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103520

Hey Crackhead,

Can we just get the FCC to drop the code. I for one am sick and tired
of your morse code crap....

Lloyd Davies,
TimeLORD

2005. Re: Hamfest Concession Stand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 07:15:03 UTC
From: Roger <ab8mq@nospam>
Message-ID: <422173cc_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd A Davies" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
news:1109485544.567587.172480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
Hey man!

Make sure you charge Woger double, he sure needs it!

Lloyd Davies,
TimeLORD

Yes, pick on me Lardass. You follow me everywhere as it is. Besides, I can
afford paying double, Lardass. Unlike your HUD apartment my inherited house
is paid for. Besides, my SSI monthly checks bring in three times more than
your pizza job does and paying double doesn't mean shit to me.
I see you are still living in your fatass imaginary world where you believe
that you are a Time Lord.
Come on over to my imaginary world, Fattie. Spend your every waking hour on
the Nim Busters board as I do. Post some filthy stuff as I do. If you would
quit working at the pizza parlor you could spend all day posting shit and
doing the shit I do. You like to post pictures on the Nim board Fatass. I
tell you I can't see them but that is a lie. I have Explorer 6.0 and I see
them but you are so stupid that you believe me.
The rest of you lispy cocksuckers can stop posting under sockpuppets. I know
for a fact that all of your Altopia posts come from Lloyd. Lloyd is
everywhere. He haunts me day in and day out. Nice try Lardass but I am wise
to your sockpuppets. Keep it up Lardass and one of these days I am gonna net
cop you to Chris Caputo. Then you'll have to eat shit and get a real job.
Get on SSI and use MSN like I do, Fatty. Every day is a weekend for me.
Buahahahahaha!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2006. Re: THE EXCLUSIVE MORSE CODE EXAM DEBATE THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 08:44:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109493874.620234.103470@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103522

Lloyd A Davies wrote:
> Hey Crackhead,
>
> Can we just get the FCC to drop the code. I for one am sick and
tired
> of your morse code crap....

How about we just get rid of you?

25% of USENET waste would cease and we'd probably get an award from
the EPA for cleaning up the enviroment.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2007. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 12:54:04 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <W-6dnZbbB-eIXrzfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 103523

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:42216636$1_2@127.0.0.1...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:a8qdnTjf_Jrjxr3fRVn-iQ@comcast.com...

[snip]

>> You have yet to provide any proof that amateur radio is dying. You keep
>> saying it but that is hardly proof.
>>

You STILL haven't answered this question. Yet several people have asked for
this proof.

[snip]

>> What free speech has the FCC suppressed???
>
> if you haven't keep up I got a message on this newsgroup from one of the
> FCC top Nazi, Mr.Hollingsworth.
>

I've kept up very well. You STILL haven't answered the question. Yet
several people have asked you precisely what Hollingsworth told you.
Freedom of speech has never meant you could say anything you want where ever
you want whenever you want.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2008. Re: Hamfest Concession Stand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 14:10:57 UTC
From: RF Burns IV <ellayeeee40@yahoo.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <b8774$4221d502$d1cc58a4$15820@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 103544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
news:AaMTd.37732$xt.31586@fed1read07...
> Another gross generalization that can be applied to folks anywhere,
anytime,
> in any venue
> Why give Amateur Radio a bad name with mistruths ?

The putz's on this NG are nothing to sweat over.
Toilet ticks on an outhouse are they all of them.
The real problem is the nuts and kooks are starting to come
back up on 14.313 on the weekends daytime and stir up
the shyte like they used to do years ago.

2009. Re: An Offer For Lennie And Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 14:18:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109513892.567600.140220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103533

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Hey Guys...
> > > >
> > > > Just in case you two would like to formalize your Space
> Cadet
> > > > status, thought you might like this link.
> > > >
> > > > Lennie ought to be able to afford this, based upon all his
> > > > broggadacio over his "holdings". Brain may have to scrimp and
> save
> > a
> > > > bit first...
> > > >
> > > > http://www.virgingalactic.com/when.asp
> > > >
> > > > Let us know how it goes, OK...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Clicking the above link is probably a sure way to get a virus or
at
> > the
> > > very least, clamydia.
> >
> > I am sure you have the resources to catch chlamydia or other
> > viruses right at home, Brain...No ISP required.
> >
> > (BTW...Your attempts at being insulting using STD's would be
> more
> > impressive if you could spell it correctly...Just FYI...)
> >
> > Enjoy...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, perhaps if I had ever contracted it I would know how to spell
it
> correctly.

So what does the potential for contracting a disease have to do
with spelling it?

If you're going to try and impress us with your razor sharp wit by alledging contraction of STD's, the least you can do is spell it right.

(Don't worry, Brain...none of us expect you to do much of ANYthing "right"...It's not in your character...So relax...You go right ahead and keep on making a fool of yourself and we'll keep laughing at you!)

> Nurse, heal thyself.

Of...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2010. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 14:19:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109513979.231869.13110@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103537

bb wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1109377047.979168.303150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > >> A real "communicator" will say 'key the mike', or 'key the
> > > circuit'.
> > >>
> > >> Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > Sorry, but real "communicators" refer to "key" when they load an
> > > encryption code.
> > >
> > > BOL, bb
> > >
> > Oh yes indeed they do, as in KAC codes or the old antique KY-7
> stuff, eh?
> > Well bb we are talking about ham radio. Of course I understand,
LEN
> the
> > LOON, that you have no understanding of that subject.
> >
> > Tweek twit.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Now Dan, you're mixing metaphors.
>
> You're either talking about "real communicators" or you're talking
> about "ham radio" ops.
>
> Which is it?

In either case it's doubtful you're covered, so why sweat it?

Steve, K4YZ

2011. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 14:26:21 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <hMkUd.41244$xt.26446@fed1read07>
Source record: 103536

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1109477194.594936.304630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> From I.T.U. Regulations
>> a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
>> b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1 Dot.
>> c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
>> d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.
>
> That's all very nice.
>
>>From any FCC regulation, in (milli)seconds, how long is a "dot" when
> the word rate is 5WPM?
>
The word PARIS is the standard to determine CW code speed.

If you send the word PARIS 5 times in a minute (5WPM) you have sent 250
elements (using correct spacing).

250 elements into 60 seconds per minute = 240 milliseconds per element.

ITU not FCC

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

2012. Has It Come To This...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 14:39:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109515140.653186.241750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103539

QUOTE FROM ARRL WEBSITE

New QST column aims to take mystery out of modern ham gear (Feb 25, 2005) -- A new column, "Getting to Know Your Radio" will debut in the April edition of QST. Author and ARRL Product Review Editor Joel Hallas, W1ZR, says the column "basically talks about what all those knobs do" on modern equipment. "The idea is to acquaint users with the typical features of modern radios."

UNQUOTE

It seems to me that if you have the scratch to spring for one of the new MegaBux RiceBurners you could spend a couple hours with the Owner's Manual to learn how to use it.

Or is that expecting too much...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

2013. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 15:01:27 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XsCdnfzoWbByfbzfRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 103543

"Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
news:hMkUd.41244$xt.26446@fed1read07...
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1109477194.594936.304630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Caveat Lector wrote:
>>> From I.T.U. Regulations
>>> a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
>>> b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1 Dot.
>>> c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
>>> d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.
>>
>> That's all very nice.
>>
>>>From any FCC regulation, in (milli)seconds, how long is a "dot" when
>> the word rate is 5WPM?
>>
> The word PARIS is the standard to determine CW code speed.
>
> If you send the word PARIS 5 times in a minute (5WPM) you have sent 250
> elements (using correct spacing).
>
> 250 elements into 60 seconds per minute = 240 milliseconds per element.
>
> ITU not FCC
>

And relative timing of the elements is a recommendation not a regulation.
There is a difference.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2014. Re: Has It Come To This...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 15:03:46 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <M5CdnfhmdN3nfLzfRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 103540

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1109515140.653186.241750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> QUOTE FROM ARRL WEBSITE
>
> New QST column aims to take mystery out of modern ham gear (Feb 25,
> 2005) -- A new column, "Getting to Know Your Radio" will debut in the
> April edition of QST. Author and ARRL Product Review Editor Joel
> Hallas, W1ZR, says the column "basically talks about what all those
> knobs do" on modern equipment. "The idea is to acquaint users with the
> typical features of modern radios."
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> It seems to me that if you have the scratch to spring for one of
> the new MegaBux RiceBurners you could spend a couple hours with the
> Owner's Manual to learn how to use it.
>
> Or is that expecting too much...???
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I think the problem comes from absolute beginners buying the most complex radios they can find. I've helped out several who had already made a sincere effort to understand the manuals and still couldn't get the proper results. The manuals are generally mediocre at best.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2015. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 15:04:55 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960A668BEE45Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103530

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:0pSdnULsXs-_1LzfRVn-qg@comcast.com:

>
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns9609D1A4389B2elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>> news:A_CdnUehR7atS73fRVn-rg@comcast.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9609807365125elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>>>> news:iLKdnefaGoACxL3fRVn-hg@comcast.com:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:GFSTd.7167$Ba3.2852@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the
>>>>>> privileges are revalent to modern ham radio.
>>>>>
>>>>> Why should ham radio be different than other activities? Most of
>>>>> the things we do to gain privileges in this world are not relevant
>>>>> to the privilege itself.
>>>>>
>>>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Oh, so everything else is messed up, so ham radio should be messed
>>>> up too? Even if I thought it were true, that would still be the
>>>> worst argument I have heard yet, ROTFLMAO!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> It does not mean that things are messed up. It is simply a fact that
>>> a very effective way to motivate people to do something that they
>>> don't want to do is to tie it to a privilege that they very much
```

>>> want. Parents do it all the time.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> So treat prospective hams like errant children?
>
> No not at all. Let's use a workplace example instead. Many people,
> even if they like their jobs, do have elements of the work they don't
> like. However they get a reward or privilege in the form of money for
> performing those elements.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

And Morse isn't an element of my operating, but I had to take a test in it.
That's like having to take a typing test and then having a secretary to do
your typing.

2016. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 15:07:24 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960A66F7C9D07elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103535

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:z5Kdnf25bd3V17zfRVn-oQ@comcast.com:

>
> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:rI6Ud.7875$Ba3.6019@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> It does not mean that things are messed up. It is simply a fact that
>>> a very effective way to motivate people to do something that they
>>> don't want to do is to tie it to a privilege that they very much
>>> want. Parents do it all the time.
>>>
>>
>> It's one thing for parents to do that sort of thing, but the FCC
>> isn't our parents. What does the FCC get out of requiring
>> element 1 nowadays? The treaty requirement is gone, and
>> no other service uses Morse code anymore. Radio equipment
>> is more reliable today than 50 years ago. Stuff that took
>> 20 vacuum tubes to do are now on a few ICs, and usually it's the
>> batteries that crap out before anything else goes out. The
>> old argument that code equipment is simple and thus more
>> reliable doesn't really mean much today as it did 50 years ago.
>>
>> If we want to attract younger people to ham radio, it
>> would be counter productive to require stuff no longer
>> relevant to get the license. There's many other activities
>> that don't require licenses that one could do, and they
>> could do exactly the interesting parts and ignore the parts not
>> interesting.
>
> Actually it appears as if it IS the code that attracts young people
> simply because it is different. It's the middle aged people who seem
> to object most strenuously.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

Children find the code a novelty, but that doesn't mean that they are
prepared to learn it

2017. Re: Has It Come To This...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 15:10:23 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <r8o3211kjo61o8ch148khrin9vbaa2g1l1@4ax.com>
Source record: 103532

On 27 Feb 2005 06:39:00 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> It seems to me that if you have the scratch to spring for one of
>the new MegaBux RiceBurners you could spend a couple hours with the
>Owner's Manual to learn how to use it.
>
> Or is that expecting too much...???
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Steve,
I want to agree with you, but unfortunately, radio manuals seem to be getting more and more cryptic as the equipment is getting more complex.

I purchased the Yaesu FT-817 radio. I went through the manual several times before I thought I understood the rig. I ordered the Nifty Manual for it and learned that I misunderstood the manual. The Nifty made it more easy to understand. When I bought my IC-706 MKII, I ordered the manual thinking I would have the same problem. In this case, I didn't. The Icom manual was better at explaining how to use the features and functions of the radio. The Nifty manual is in my car in case I need to find something or if someone else needs to know how to use the rig, but compared to the Yaesu, the Icom was much more logically laid out and had much better instructions.

I know that this is only one example, but if someone working for Nifty were asked, I am sure they would tell you that they are making a pretty good profit just clarifying radio manuals.

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

2018. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 15:11:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109517092.347993.98260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103531

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> >
> > > > Would you care to explain how your Extra license came with a
Novice
> > > > call sign?
> > >
> > > My Extra Class license didn't come with a Novice callsign. My
Novice
> > > license came with a Novice callsign.
> >
> > Just what I thought.
>
> No one anywhere had any problem with the statement I made. There's
only
> you.

Yep, with respect to Len's "Extra right out of the box" you said that
"I just did it."

You did no such thing. You started with Novice.

> > Next time you brag to Len about getting "Extra
> > right out of the box,"...
>
> I made no brag to Len about getting anything right out of the box.
You
> must have me confused with him.

Hardly.

> His several-year-old boast has been
> widely discussed here.

Cussed and discussed.

> > ...be sure to say that it was your Novice that you
> > got right out of the box, not Extra.
>
> That's funny; I thought I just did that.
>
> Dave K8MN

Indeed. More than a year after your boast that you got Extra right out of the box. Tnx for coming clean on that yarn.

2019. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 15:24:21 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109517861.450592.284570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103545

Dave Heil wrote:

> You sound like the kind of guy who'd just open 'er up to any guy who
> shows any interest at all in amateur radio. No tests. No
> qualifications.

Actually, that would be Jim Miccolis, N2EY.

He is the one who proposed "No Test International."

> Dave k8mn@arrl.net

bb

2020. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 15:25:32 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <n7OdnRW5F70He7zfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 103538

```
"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns960A668BEE45Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:0pSdnULsXs-_1LzfRVn-qg@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9609D1A4389B2elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>>> news:A_CdnUehR7atS73fRVn-rg@comcast.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:Xns9609807365125elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>>>>> news:iLKdnefaGoACxL3fRVn-hg@comcast.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:GFSTd.7167$Ba3.2852@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the
>>>>>>> privileges are revalent to modern ham radio.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Why should ham radio be different than other activities? Most of
>>>>>> the things we do to gain privileges in this world are not relevant
>>>>>> to the privilege itself.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Oh, so everything else is messed up, so ham radio should be messed
>>>>> up too? Even if I thought it were true, that would still be the
>>>>> worst argument I have heard yet, ROTFLMAO!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It does not mean that things are messed up. It is simply a fact that
```

>>>> a very effective way to motivate people to do something that they
>>>> don't want to do is to tie it to a privilege that they very much
>>>> want. Parents do it all the time.
>>>>
>>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> So treat prospective hams like errant children?
>>
>> No not at all. Let's use a workplace example instead. Many people,
>> even if they like their jobs, do have elements of the work they don't
>> like. However they get a reward or privilege in the form of money for
>> performing those elements.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>>
>
> And Morse isn't an element of my operating, but I had to take a test in
> it.
> That's like having to take a typing test and then having a secretary to do
> your typing.

Well that example proves the point that you don't know what you may need or want in the future as secretaries are now going the way of the dodo bird in large part. Almost all employees that have a need to do correspondence do their own these days in any company that I have been in. The company I work for right now let their last secretary go about four years ago.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2021. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 15:26:21 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8ZqdnafbrtJYe7zfRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 103528

```
"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns960A66F7C9D07elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:z5Kdnf25bd3V17zfRVn-oQ@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> news:rI6Ud.7875$Ba3.6019@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It does not mean that things are messed up. It is simply a fact that
>>>> a very effective way to motivate people to do something that they
>>>> don't want to do is to tie it to a privilege that they very much
>>>> want. Parents do it all the time.
>>>>
>>>
>>> It's one thing for parents to do that sort of thing, but the FCC
>>> isn't our parents. What does the FCC get out of requiring
>>> element 1 nowadays? The treaty requirement is gone, and
>>> no other service uses Morse code anymore. Radio equipment
>>> is more reliable today than 50 years ago. Stuff that took
>>> 20 vacuum tubes to do are now on a few ICs, and usually it's the
>>> batteries that crap out before anything else goes out. The
>>> old argument that code equipment is simple and thus more
>>> reliable doesn't really mean much today as it did 50 years ago.
>>>
>>> If we want to attract younger people to ham radio, it
>>> would be counter productive to require stuff no longer
>>> relevant to get the license. There's many other activities
>>> that don't require licenses that one could do, and they
>>> could do exactly the interesting parts and ignore the parts not
>>> interesting.
>>
>> Actually it appears as if it IS the code that attracts young people
>> simply because it is different. It's the middle aged people who seem
>> to object most strenuously.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>>
>
```

> Children find the code a novelty, but that doesn't mean that they are
> prepared to learn it

They seem better prepared and more willing than many adults.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2022. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 15:36:08 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8eidnfl_evyOdLzfRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 103529

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1109517092.347993.98260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> >
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > bb wrote:
>>
>> > > > Would you care to explain how your Extra license came with a
> Novice
>> > > > call sign?
>> > >
>> > > My Extra Class license didn't come with a Novice callsign. My
> Novice
>> > > license came with a Novice callsign.
>> >
>> > Just what I thought.
>>
>> No one anywhere had any problem with the statement I made. There's
> only
>> you.
>
> Yep, with respect to Len's "Extra right out of the box" you said that
> "I just did it."
>
> You did no such thing. You started with Novice.
>
>> > Next time you brag to Len about getting "Extra
>> > right out of the box,"...
>>
>> I made no brag to Len about getting anything right out of the box.
> You
>> must have me confused with him.
>
> Hardly.
>
>> His several-year-old boast has been
>> widely discussed here.
>
> Cussed and discussed.
>
>> > ...be sure to say that it was your Novice that you
>> > got right out of the box, not Extra.
```

>>
>> That's funny; I thought I just did that.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> Indeed. More than a year after your boast that you got Extra right out
> of the box. Tnx for coming clean on that yarn.
>

He's already stated several times that he meant the "just did it" to apply to getting a ham license. So why do you have to keep berating the guy? We all make mistakes in sentence structure that lead to unintended meanings. Let it go already.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2023. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 15:46:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4221EB95.BCB9C845@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103541

"Alun L. Palmer" wrote:

> And Morse isn't an element of my operating, but I had to take a test in it.
> That's like having to take a typing test and then having a secretary to do
> your typing.

Is it like that? Perhaps you can hire a qualified morse op to send and
receive code for you.

Dave K8MN

2024. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 15:48:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4221EBFE.2A406BF0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103542

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > You sound like the kind of guy who'd just open 'er up to any guy who
> > shows any interest at all in amateur radio. No tests. No
> > qualifications.
>
> Actually, that would be Jim Miccolis, N2EY.
>
> He is the one who proposed "No Test International."

Actually, you're just acting silly. Jim made no such proposal.

Dave K8MN

2025. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 15:58:42 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960A6FA90364Eelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103534

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in news:422151B4.2198E17
@earthlink.net:

> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sat, Feb 26 2005 6:48 pm
>> >Buck <iam@this.site> wrote in
>> >news:2n31215cusi9ne4uqs1ejpf3ml0h4nchvk@4ax.com:
>> >> On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 04:11:18 GMT, robert casey
>> >> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
>> Apparently the argument causes much pain and suffering among the
>> already-tested-for-code-and-passed individuals.
>
> It would seem to have caused some pain and suffering in at least one
> non-code tested individual. After all, nobody was written more here
> about morse code tesing in amateur radio than you...and you aren't even
> involved in amateur radio. Poor baby.
>
>
>> The major reason for any sort of "incentive" licensing was to
>> create the artificiality of some being better than others.
>
> Incentive license was put into place by the FCC. You'll remember them
> as the agency responsible for amateur radio licensing and enforcement.
> The "some being better than others" was and is quite real. Those
> passing more difficult theory exams and (for some classes) higher speed
> morse exams were rewarded with more spectrum. Those like yourself, who
> never passed any amateur radio licensing exams, had access to no amateur
> radio spectrum.
>
>> "Upgrades" are rewarded with more status, privilege, and titles.
>
> More titles? Really?
>
>
>> That's very "feel good" for them, as close as we can get to nobility
>> in this American society.
>
> That it chafes you cause me some mild entertainment.
>
>> What seems to have been put aside is that amateur radio
>> activity is basically a hobby, a personal recreation activity
>> involving radio, something done for fun. To many, however, it is a

>> self-righteous quest to be a "somebody," to be more "superior." By
>> having federal regulations support their views, they fool
>> themselves into believing they are superior. Ergo, certain
>> "qualifications" for amateur radio licensing must remain forever
>> (or as long as the "superior" ones live) because those "superiors"
>> bought into the old ideas and passed those requirements.
>
> More "Fox and the cashews" from our resident curmudgeon? Why are you
> worried about who might be superior to whom in amateur radio, Leonard.
> You aren't part of amateur radio. Even if you were, there'd always be
> many, regardless of license class, whose skills exceeded your own.
>
>> Those who have passed the "mighty" tests sometimes assume way too
>> much authority for themselves.
>
> What about those who have passed none of the tests, have no amateur
> radio licenses and who are not FCC employees? Do they ever attempt to
> assume authority over amateur radio for themselves? Would you be such a
> fellow?
>
>> What
>> must be the peak (or perhaps nadir) of that is the market
>> appearance of radio "badges" resembling public safety
>> officers shields but marked with amateur radio callsigns.
>> Those who have a foolish need to show they are
>> "somebody" can purchase one and posture that they are "official"
>> and thus "very important." :-)
>
> Don't worry, Len. I'm sure the manufacturers will still sell you one.
> You can just leave the callsign portion blank. :-)
>
>> This is the year 2005 and radio as a communications
>> tool is 108+ years old. Radio has been continuously
>> evolving in both technology and application. Governments
>> now have plenty of radios and communications to do
>> their tasks, outnumbering amateurs. It is high time that
>> some olde-tyme hammes realign themselves to the cold, hard facts
>> that amateur radio remains a hobby.
>
> How about if you "realign" yourself to the fact that amateur radio
> remains a hobby in which you are not a participant.
>
>> Amateur radio wasn't created in the olde-tymer's visage and it
>> should be open to all who care to enjoy it.
>
> ...and who can pass the exams to do so.
>
>> But,
>> the olde-tymer's don't want that...they lose their rank, status,
>> title, and privilege if reduced to being just commoners.

>
> You sound like the kind of guy who'd just open 'er up to any guy who
> shows any interest at all in amateur radio. No tests. No
> qualifications.
>
>> Olde-tymers MUST keep the argument going.
>
> Actually, you are the guy who MUST keep the argument going. At present,
> it isn't going your way.
>
>> They are
>> "superior" and keep reminding everyone that only They know what is
>> good for everyone. :-)
>
> That's awfully cute, Len. You aren't even involved and you keep telling
> us that you know what's best for amateur radio. :-)
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Dave k8mn@arrl.net
>

When they do repeal the code test, we will all have to nag Len to get a
licence, as he will have no excuse

2026. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 16:10:27 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960A71A8371D6elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103547

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:n7OdnRW5F70He7zfRVn-2A@comcast.com:

>
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns960A668BEE45Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>> news:0pSdnULsXs-_1LzfRVn-qg@comcast.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns9609D1A4389B2elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>>>> news:A_CdnUehR7atS73fRVn-rg@comcast.com:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:Xns9609807365125elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>>>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>>>>>> news:iLKdnefaGoACxL3fRVn-hg@comcast.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:GFSTd.7167$Ba3.2852@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the
>>>>>>>> privileges are revalent to modern ham radio.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Why should ham radio be different than other activities? Most of
>>>>>>> the things we do to gain privileges in this world are not
>>>>>>> relevant to the privilege itself.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Oh, so everything else is messed up, so ham radio should be messed
>>>>>> up too? Even if I thought it were true, that would still be the
>>>>>> worst argument I have heard yet, ROTFLMAO!
```

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> It does not mean that things are messed up. It is simply a fact
>>>>> that a very effective way to motivate people to do something that
>>>>> they don't want to do is to tie it to a privilege that they very
>>>>> much want. Parents do it all the time.
>>>>>
>>>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> So treat prospective hams like errant children?
>>>
>>> No not at all. Let's use a workplace example instead. Many people,
>>> even if they like their jobs, do have elements of the work they don't
>>> like. However they get a reward or privilege in the form of money for
>>> performing those elements.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> And Morse isn't an element of my operating, but I had to take a test
>> in it. That's like having to take a typing test and then having a
>> secretary to do your typing.
>
> Well that example proves the point that you don't know what you may
> need or want in the future as secretaries are now going the way of the
> dodo bird in large part. Almost all employees that have a need to do
> correspondence do their own these days in any company that I have been
> in. The company I work for right now let their last secretary go about
> four years ago.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>
>

Maybe that's a poor example. Perhaps it's more like learning typing to be a
basketball player.

2027. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 16:11:52 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960A71E60E29Belektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103525

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in news:8ZqdnafbrtJYe7zfRVn-tg@comcast.com:

>
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns960A66F7C9D07elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>> news:z5Kdnf25bd3V17zfRVn-oQ@comcast.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>>> news:rI6Ud.7875$Ba3.6019@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> It does not mean that things are messed up. It is simply a fact that
>>>>> a very effective way to motivate people to do something that they
>>>>> don't want to do is to tie it to a privilege that they very much
>>>>> want. Parents do it all the time.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It's one thing for parents to do that sort of thing, but the FCC
>>>> isn't our parents. What does the FCC get out of requiring
>>>> element 1 nowadays? The treaty requirement is gone, and
>>>> no other service uses Morse code anymore. Radio equipment
>>>> is more reliable today than 50 years ago. Stuff that took
>>>> 20 vacuum tubes to do are now on a few ICs, and usually it's the
>>>> batteries that crap out before anything else goes out. The
>>>> old argument that code equipment is simple and thus more
>>>> reliable doesn't really mean much today as it did 50 years ago.
>>>>
>>>> If we want to attract younger people to ham radio, it
>>>> would be counter productive to require stuff no longer
>>>> relevant to get the license. There's many other activities
>>>> that don't require licenses that one could do, and they
>>>> could do exactly the interesting parts and ignore the parts not
>>>> interesting.
>>>
>>> Actually it appears as if it IS the code that attracts young people
>>> simply because it is different. It's the middle aged people who seem
>>> to object most strenuously.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Children find the code a novelty, but that doesn't mean that they are
>> prepared to learn it
>
> They seem better prepared and more willing than many adults.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

That's true. Perhaps you should propose a maximum age for ham radio operators?

2028. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 16:13:18 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960A72241B8BCelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103526

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in news:4221EBFE.2A406BF0
@earthlink.net:

> bb wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>> > You sound like the kind of guy who'd just open 'er up to any guy who
>> > shows any interest at all in amateur radio. No tests. No
>> > qualifications.
>>
>> Actually, that would be Jim Miccolis, N2EY.
>>
>> He is the one who proposed "No Test International."
>
> Actually, you're just acting silly. Jim made no such proposal.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

He did. Of coutse he was being a devil's advocate, but he was indeed the
one to suggest this.

2029. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 16:23:44 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.icknvk1.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103527

On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 21:39:00 -0500, Mike Coslo wrote:

>Smith charts are just as obsolete as Ohms law.............

With some of the Russian-trained engineers whose work my wife has to
correct, Ohm's Law, as well as electrical codes, are mere "suggestions".

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2030. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 16:30:07 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.icko672.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103524

On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 22:26:31 GMT, robert casey wrote:

>It's one thing for parents to do that sort of thing, but the FCC
>isn't our parents.

Go to your room without supper.... <ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2031. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 16:43:16 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ickos43.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103546

On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 10:25:32 -0500, Dee Flint wrote:

>Well that example proves the point that you don't know what you may need or
>want in the future as secretaries are now going the way of the dodo bird in
>large part. Almost all employees that have a need to do correspondence do
>their own these days in any company that I have been in. The company I work
>for right now let their last secretary go about four years ago.

Yeah - most of those who remain have now been retitled as "program
assistants". Some are decent administrators, and some are still
go-fers......

One exception is my daughter-in-law's mother. She's the secretary
to the senior named partner of the biggest law firm in the Pacific
Northwest, and still does the correspondence typing. Of course, her
boss is nearly 90 years old, but still sharp as a tack, I understand.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2032. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 17:25:32 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <U8CdnQQjg6wqn7_fRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 103555

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns960A71E60E29Belektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in news:8ZqdnafbrtJYe7zfRVn-
> tg@comcast.com:
>

[snip]

>>
>> They seem better prepared and more willing than many adults.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>>
>
> That's true. Perhaps you should propose a maximum age for ham radio
> operators?

I like that one (smile). It would keep out a couple of bad eggs that I know about. However, the problem is that we will all reach that "maximum age" if the Lord is willing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2033. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 17:26:09 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <6-qdnfNFadBynr_fRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103559

Todd Daugherty wrote:

>
> There's more room on 10 meters compared to say 20 meters secondly I ran my
> information bulletin around 9.00, 10.00 pm. Finally, that would apply to
> anything, a station on the east coast may be on a frequency and a station on
> the west coast can't hear him. I think a statement in one of FCC rules books
> which states "we can't eliminate all interference but you can minimize it.
> You know unlike K1MAN and W1AW I have the curiously of NOT trying to
> transmit over someone.

And by using 10 meters the chance of anyone hearing you beyond any
ground wave is small, since 10 meters is open that often now.
I am amazed that you are in college, yet you can't construct a clear
sentence. My first grade granddaughter can construct a sentence better
than you can. Read your last sentence above and see if you can figure
out what is wrong with it.
>
>
>>>I do have some curiously something you and your little friends can't
>>>grasp. Which bring me to the second reason amateur radio is dying. It's
>>>little toadies like you who fear new things or something that is legal
>>>under the law and either find the frequency they are running and key up
>>>top of them, or better yet go crying to the FCC so they can supress that
>>>stations free speech simple because you don't like what they are doing.
>>>
>>
>>What free speech has the FCC suppressed???
>
>
> if you haven't keep up I got a message on this newsgroup from one of the FCC
> top Nazi, Mr.Hollingsworth.
>

And just what did he say that limits your free speech?

2034. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 17:27:08 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <6-qdnfJFadCwmb_fRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103553

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> WHY DON'T YOU EAT SHIT AND FUCKING DIE!!
>

And another great mature response by the college twit.

2035. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 17:28:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42220344.9E902FD4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103558

"Alun L. Palmer" wrote:

> When they do repeal the code test, we will all have to nag Len to get a
> licence, as he will have no excuse

Len really has no excuse now. He has had the no code option available to him for a long, long time now. He has told us on a number of occasions that HF access has little importance and that all the action is on the VHF/UHF bands. He could have been there for years with no morse testing as an excuse. He hasn't overcome inertia.

When and if morse code testing is removed as part of the process in getting an amateur radio license in the U.S., there are just a few "Len" scenarios. Leonard could make good on his "Extra right out of the box" if we redefine the word "right". Len could continue to do nothing about obtaining an amateur radio license (my guess as a likely scenario). Len might have to take up an entirely new self-appointment as advocate for something else in which he is not involved. He might even take up a different area of amateur radio in which to be a bystander. He is already priming the pump in rec.radio.amateur.homebrew where he has recently entertained the troops.

I understand that Len is already eyeing the British Monarchy as a target for future rants. He has discoverd that the royals have rank, status, privilege and TITLES. They have a church with a number of parishes called Saint-something. They and their supports are conservative traditionalists. The entire British Isles are loaded with lodge halls repleat with rules for keeping out the riff-raff.

Dave K8MN

2036. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 17:43:25 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960A816BB765elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103549

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in news:42220344.9E902FD4
@earthlink.net:

> "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>
>> When they do repeal the code test, we will all have to nag Len to get a
>> licence, as he will have no excuse
>
> Len really has no excuse now. He has had the no code option available
> to him for a long, long time now. He has told us on a number of
> occasions that HF access has little importance and that all the action
> is on the VHF/UHF bands. He could have been there for years with no
> morse testing as an excuse. He hasn't overcome inertia.
>
> When and if morse code testing is removed as part of the process in
> getting an amateur radio license in the U.S., there are just a few "Len"
> scenarios. Leonard could make good on his "Extra right out of the box"
> if we redefine the word "right". Len could continue to do nothing about
> obtaining an amateur radio license (my guess as a likely scenario). Len
> might have to take up an entirely new self-appointment as advocate for
> something else in which he is not involved. He might even take up a
> different area of amateur radio in which to be a bystander. He is
> already priming the pump in rec.radio.amateur.homebrew where he has
> recently entertained the troops.
>
> I understand that Len is already eyeing the British Monarchy as a target
> for future rants. He has discoverd that the royals have rank, status,
> privilege and TITLES. They have a church with a number of parishes
> called Saint-something. They and their supports are conservative
> traditionalists. The entire British Isles are loaded with lodge halls
> repleat with rules for keeping out the riff-raff.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

I'm a VE. Is there some way we could arrange to send three VEs around to
his house when the code test is repealed? We would announce it in advance
of course, to comply with all the rules, on here naturally.

2037. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 17:44:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42220733.CA09B607@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103551

"Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in news:4221EBFE.2A406BF0
> @earthlink.net:
>
> > bb wrote:
> >>
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >> > You sound like the kind of guy who'd just open 'er up to any guy who
> >> > shows any interest at all in amateur radio. No tests. No
> >> > qualifications.
> >>
> >> Actually, that would be Jim Miccolis, N2EY.
> >>
> >> He is the one who proposed "No Test International."
> >>
> > Actually, you're just acting silly. Jim made no such proposal.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> He did. Of coutse he was being a devil's advocate, but he was indeed the
> one to suggest this.

It might have seemed that way to you. It isn't evidenced below. In
fact,
someone else suggested it:

In article <fhs9b.16269$NM1.7...@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>,
"Bill Sohl"

<bills...@mindspring.com> writes:
>"N2EY" <n...@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20030915021846.23171.00000765@mb-m05.aol.com...
>> In article <vm9usff4mpm...@corp.supernews.com>, "Clint"
>> <rattlehead@computronDOTnet> writes:

>> >sending and receiving CW isn't a building block
>> >to anything else.....

>> Yes, it is.

>> First, it's a building block to the use of the mode on the air.

>Come on Jim. that's a self fullfilling argument.

It's a plain and simple fact.

> My point, and I know
>you know this, is that morse knowledge is not needed in any manner as
>a foundation, stepping stone, or whatever to any body or radio
knowledge
>or concepts.

It's not an *absolute* need. But it is a big help for amateurs who want
to
learn about radio. That's my point.

>> Although other
>> services have pretty much stopped using Morse Code, hams use it
>> extensivley,
>> and an amateur license is permission to operate an amateur station,
not a
>> station in another service. Note that the Morse Code tests are at a
very
>> basic level. They're entry-level, nothing more.

>Are you afraid that without a code test, people will "pollute the HF
>airwaves" with bad morse?

Nope, not at all.

>> Second, if someone wants to actually design and build radio
equipment,
>> having
>> skill in Morse Code permits them to use almost anything from very
simple
>> to
>> very sophisticated equipment to good advantage. Would you expect a
>> newcomer to
>> radio to build an SSB transceiver as a first project?

>They can build whatever they want.

Doesn't answer the question.

>If they want to start with a simple
>morse
>Xmitter then they will learn at least enough morse to be able to use
it.
>If they don't self train themselves, the rig will be useless to them.
As
>another
>point of reference, when I was going for my AAS in electricl
technology we
>built a 10 watt CW rig as part of the lab work. We tested it using a
dummy
>load and no one had to know even one character of morse to do the lab

work.

And without Morse skill, that project had no practical use once the lab
was
over. With Morse skill, it could have been a very useful transmitter.

There's a big difference between a lab experiment that is done purely
as a
learning tool, and a practical project that not only helps someone
learn *and*
results in a useful radio device.

>> >now, the electrical principals of what a CW
>> >transmission is, and a knowledge test of that is a good idea, but
>> >that's comparing apples and oranges.

>> Why should there be *any* written test on theory if all a person
wants to
>> do is
>> operate manufactured radios? If someone doesn't want to build a rig,
why
>> should
>> they have to memorize all those symbols, diagrams and formulas?

>IF that's what you believe then go start NTI (No Theory Int'l).

I'm asking a question. *All* license requirements have to justify
themselves,
don't they? Or is that only true for Morse code tests?

>> >I think most of the PCTA
>> >is being disingenuous when they come up with "good reasons"
>> >to keep CW testing alive;

>> Why?

>Actually, they haven't scored even a single point in the arguments
>made to the FCC now or in the past.

Has nothing to do with "disingenous".

>> > I think the true deeper reason lies
>> >somewhere in the "I had to do it so everybody should" relm,
>> >as i've stated before.

>> You can think what you want, but you're mistaken on that account.

>Exactly what is it that the PCTAs fear if there is NO morse test
>at all?

I don't "fear" anything from code test removal. My *concern* is the
continuing
downward trend in requirements and qualifications.

73 de Jim, N2EY.

It isn't here, though someone else mentions it:

In article <3F0AC3F9....@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <m...@psu.edu> writes:
> Actually as a point of interest, and maybe a little trolling, Just
WHY
>should there be testing for a ham license?

Because we already know what happens with no testing.

> Isn't limiting access to the Airwaves to only those who pass some
kind
>of test Elitist?

Nope.

> What of those who simply aren't smart enough to pass a test? are
they
>not human and have rights?

Everyone has the right to take the test. Nobody has the right to a
guaranteed
pass on the test.

> As for RF safety, I would point to the successful efforts of
Motorcycle
>riders to abolish helmet rules. It should be the individual's
>responsibility to decide if RF safety matters are important to him or
her.

Actually, that makes sense IF the effects can be contained to just the
person
making the decision. But that's rarely the case.

> As for mode specific questions, they have no business asking me
about
>modes of operation that I am not interested in.

I learned about televison screen aspect ratio and interlaced scanning
because
it was in the Extra study guide back when. I've never operated ATV.

> No Test International could be born now!

> Thoughts?

See my rant on replacing the code test with a Smith Chart test.

73 de Jim, N2EY

I think that perhaps you're mistaken. Perhaps you can come up with a
statement by Jim advocating such.

Dave K8MN

2038. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 18:00:18 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1109527218.137133.13160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103552

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1109446458.805271.244940
> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> >

> >> It's much easier to use a Smith chart than to do the calculations
> >
> > You don't need a Smith chart and you don't have to do the
calculations
> > either.
> >
> > http://www.circuitsage.com/matching.html
> >
> > w3rv
> >
>
> I still have a pad of Smith charts. I don't have Mathcad. I have the
same
> attitude to this as I do to Morse, i.e. to each his own. I don't see
> anything wrong in having test questions on either subject, as I think

> people should know about them, I just don't think that there should
be a
> test on copying code by ear.

. . "Test questions on Morse"? . . "People should know about Morse"?
How many WPM izzat??

You obviously didn't spend much time cruising the link I posted. You
don't have to have Mathcad to solve transmission line problems to get
away from the primitive paper and pencil nonsense. There are freely
available Excel and Java routines which will do the job too.

Mathcad . . ah, yes . . If you do any engineering math which gets
complicated in Excel you need Mathcad Alun. I've been using it for
about ten years and it's become absolutely indispensible. Maybe only a
half hour after I first loaded and fired Mathcad up those ten years
ago and started messing with it I was running rapid-fire "what-if's" on
a double integral I'd dreamed up as an exercise. Very intuitive.
Otherwise I wouldn't be able to run it. Heh.

'Tis an incredible solver which has saved me hundreds of hours of grunt
number crunching (and curve plotting BS) labor both on and off the job.
Don't believe the prices for it you see floating around the Web. My
latest iteration is v.2000 Pro ($800) which I bought in a

shrink-wrapped package for $65 at a local computer show after it was one version outdated.

w3rv

2039. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 18:02:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42220B46.9D7CE9AA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103550

"Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in news:42220344.9E902FD4
> @earthlink.net:
>
> > "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
> >
> >> When they do repeal the code test, we will all have to nag Len to get a
> >> licence, as he will have no excuse
> >
> > Len really has no excuse now. He has had the no code option available
> > to him for a long, long time now. He has told us on a number of
> > occasions that HF access has little importance and that all the action
> > is on the VHF/UHF bands. He could have been there for years with no
> > morse testing as an excuse. He hasn't overcome inertia.

> I'm a VE. Is there some way we could arrange to send three VEs around to
> his house when the code test is repealed? We would announce it in advance
> of course, to comply with all the rules, on here naturally.

Brilliant! I don't see how much more convenient it could be.

Dave K8MN

2040. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 18:03:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109527398.947541.9760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103560

From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 3:17 am
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in news:1109453914.521433.288070
>@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>> From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sat, Feb 26 2005 6:48 pm
>>>Buck <iam@this.site> wrote in
>>>news:2n31215cusi9ne4uqs1ejpf3ml0h4nchvk@4ax.com:
>>>> On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 04:11:18 GMT, robert casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
>>>> wrote:

>>>get Elemnt 1 abolished without going through this whole NPRM cycle.
We
>>>all know what happened to that idea. BTW, where is Carl anyway?
>>
>> Carl Stevenson has been very busy working with the IEEE 802
>> groups on wireless standards (among other things).
>>
>> Please fill us in, Alun, what happened with that NPRM cycle?
>> Last I saw, NO NPRM had been released yet concerning test
>> element 1. The only one released was a general "housekeeping"
>> update of amateur radio regulations.
>>
>That's the thing, we are in that cycle, but still waiting for the NPRM
to
>be issued. NCI hoped to short circuit this process, but failed.

NCI cannot have "failed" an NPRM that hasn't been released yet.

>>>Actually it's been going on for at least 82 years that I know of,
but
>> WTH!
>>
>> That would be since 1913.
>
>Actually, both of us have the maths wrong. I meant 1927, but that's
only 78
>years. 1913 would be 92 years. 1927 was the year that the ITU made the

>international requirement for the code test.

The first U.S. radio regulating agency came into being in 1912.
There's been a small controvery in here about the first code
test for amateurs in here, others saying the code test began
a year after that agency was created.

2003 is the year in which the ITU revised most of S25, eliminating
the artificial requirement of morsemanship for an amateur radio
license having below-30-MHz privileges. That's a 76-year span
from 1927. Radio as a communications medium is only 108 years
old.

>> I don't think so. In 1913 amateur
>> radio was ALL about morse code. ARRL had its "president for
>> life" (H.P.Maxim) set to go but wasn't fully formed yet as an
>> actual local New England amateur radio club organization.
>> [ARRL was incorporated in 1914, two years after the first
>> U.S. radio regulating agency was created]
>
>Not so. Not in 1927 anyway. There were a lot of people using phone
back
>then. AM, of course.

In 1912, between 1909 (when the Radio Club of America started)
and 1912, morse code was about the ONLY way to communicate
on early radio. ARRL wasn't formed until 1914...as a local New
England radio club of 3 members...with Maxim as the leader who
thought it a neat idea to (virtually) "hack" the commercial telegram
services using their spark radios. :-)

In 1912 NOBODY was using the Reggie Fessenden AM system
of putting microphones in series with the antenna lead-in. :-)

I won't argue the CCITT "arguments" back in 1927. As you said,
the USA already had a morse code test then. The ARRL was
already 13 years old and on the ascendency, although NOT yet
the big "leader" in national amateur representation. Not yet
despite Maxim Going To Washington (!) to "restore ham radio"
from its WW1 shut-down. The Thomas H. White early USA
radio regulation history on the web has all of the early gory
details on that, several items the ARRL won't repeat about
themselves.

>The various ITU conferences gradually rolled back the code requirement
to
>below 1GHz in 1937, 420MHz in 1947, 144MHz in 1967, 30MHz in 1979 and
0 MHz
>in 2003. Australia introduced a no code licence in 1952, the UK in
1963 and
>the US not until 1991, after many other countries had done so. The FCC
did
>attempt to promote a no-code licence in the 1970s, but gave up when
opposed
>by the ARRL (yes, I do have that the right way around!).

I'm familiar with what the ARRL did on lobbying the FCC to make
the regulations "their way." :-)

Problem is, lots of League "Believers" get outraged whenever
someone points out their clay feet.

>About 20 countries have removed the code test since 2003. Japan
already for
>many years had HF for all licences including the no code 10 Watt 4th
class
>licence, and Spain once in the past abolished the code test, but
brought it
>back when their hams couldn't get reciprocal licences elsewhere.
>
>Even in the US I know for a fact that the contoversy was very much
alive in
>the '70s. But 1927 was the year it really began.

The turn-down of a no-code license by the FCC in the 1970s
pretty much quashed my interest in U.S. amateur radio (along
with thousands of others). The first personal computer kits of
1975-1976 steered my interests away from radio (also done
by thousands of others). That was the blazing of a new path
leading to the future, not the recreation of what others have done
in copying the pioneers of the airwaves back in the past.

1927 may have been the international year of controversy but
in the USA the code test has been there since the first U.S.
radio regulating agency...92 years of the 108-year-old
existance of radio.

>Funny thing isn't it, ye olde tymmers in a relatively high tech hobby?
It's
>a good thing spark isn't still legal!

If so, the ham magazines would have ads for computer controlled,
software-defined SPARK transceivers! :-) The olde-tymers
would be bragging up a storm of arcs and sparks, using knife
switches and using point-to-point wiring (all with flexible coils on
them) with polished woodcraft bases gleaming in candlelight.

If you check out the ARRL website you will see that QST is
starting a "new feature" of explaining what all those knobs on
the front panels are doing to the readership. Good grief, what
is a "high tech hobby" coming to?!?!?

It apparently has boiled down to the League's editors that most
of their membership are a bunch of technical ignorants who
never learned anything beyond being whoopee-wonderful
morsemen. Rather disgusting when they make like "superior"
beings because they passed a high-rate morse test once.

Wow, an extra license that even a 9-year-old can pass! Lots
of "incentive" to "join the amateur brotherhood" by learning
morse code (and be just like a 9-year-old).

It's worse when some insufferable, self-righteous "mama"
wants to "discipline children as parents do" in here.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2041. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 18:04:26 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1109527466.812204.34010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103554

<<<There's more room on 10 meters compared to say 20 meters secondly I ran my information bulletin around 9.00, 10.00 pm. Finally, that would apply to anything, a station on the east coast may be on a frequency and a station on the west coast can't hear him.>>>

10 meters is useless during a sunspot minimum but it's a good place for your useless broadcasts, especially at night when there is no propagation - so no one can hear your useless rants and whining.

> For your information dumbass I have an extremely high IQ.

> High IQ compared to what? An ant? Where the fuck did you learn to
> write?

<<<You know unlike K1MAN and W1AW I have the curiously of NOT trying to transmit over someone. >>>

I'll ask you again, where the FUCK did you learn to write? You are, indeed, a special kind of nut case.

2042. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 18:06:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109527593.909757.296310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103557

From: "Caveat Lector" on Sat, Feb 26 2005 4:31 pm

>FromI.T.U. Regulations
> a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
> b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1 Dot.
> c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
> d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.
>Look it up

Idiot. I "looked it up" a long time ago. If you bothered to
READ what I wrote you would have discovered that I HAVE
THE ITU-T DOCUMENT THAT THE FCC REFERS TO (but
the FCC is still calling out the obsolete CCITT document).

Connect the dots in the messages.

NOWHERE in the FCC reference is there a definition of
word rate.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2043. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 18:11:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109527889.512355.235420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103548

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 12:10 am
>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1109377047.979168.303150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>> news:cvlgp2$12f2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>> > bb wrote:
>>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >>Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am

>> BOL, bb
>>
>Oh yes indeed they do, as in KAC codes or the old antique KY-7 stuff,
eh?
>Well bb we are talking about ham radio. Of course I understand, LEN
the
>LOON, that you have no understanding of that subject.
>
>Tweek twit.

Dan, did you get drunk again?

You are replying to Brian Burke, yet you think I am "bb."
You mixed-messed up.

Try to keep everyone straight.

Good luck on this one now...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2044. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 18:13:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109528039.728767.129340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103556

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 12:11 am
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1109400860.647598.309600@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>>> A real "communicator" will say 'key the mike', or 'key the
circuit'.
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>Sorry, but real "communicators" refer to "key" when they load an
>>>encryption code.
>>>
>>>BOL, bb
>>
>> Poor Dan never got his crypto clearance... :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>Oh dear....here he is again. Your right. I've been meaning to turn
in my
>decoder ring to the arms room ever since I left the KY-7 on the floor
of the
>room.

"KY-7?" Is that some kind of jelly? :-)

You must mean KY-57 at least (that's about to go obsolete and
replaced with already-operational newer crypto things)? How
about VINSON? Want some more alphabet-soup names? :-)

Dan, you are about as up-to-speed on today's crypto devices
as you are about on-line TTY rotor machines or the M-209 Code
Converter. Geez. :-)

>Screw off dipshit.

Go back to the corner and pop some more brews with your bros
as you wait for someone small to come by, ones that your gang
think they can beat up, OK? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2045. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 18:32:28 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960A89BCE6F62elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103561

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in news:1109527398.947541.9760
@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 3:17 am
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in news:1109453914.521433.288070
>>@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>> From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sat, Feb 26 2005 6:48 pm
>>>>Buck <iam@this.site> wrote in
>>>>news:2n31215cusi9ne4uqs1ejpf3ml0h4nchvk@4ax.com:
>>>>> On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 04:11:18 GMT, robert casey
>>>>> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
>>>>get Elemnt 1 abolished without going through this whole NPRM cycle.
>>>>We all know what happened to that idea. BTW, where is Carl anyway?
>>>
>>> Carl Stevenson has been very busy working with the IEEE 802
>>> groups on wireless standards (among other things).
>>>
>>> Please fill us in, Alun, what happened with that NPRM cycle?
>>> Last I saw, NO NPRM had been released yet concerning test
>>> element 1. The only one released was a general "housekeeping"
>>> update of amateur radio regulations.
>>>
>>That's the thing, we are in that cycle, but still waiting for the NPRM
>>to be issued. NCI hoped to short circuit this process, but failed.
>
> NCI cannot have "failed" an NPRM that hasn't been released yet.

They were trying to get administrative action, i.e. no petitions, no NPRM,
just have the FCC remove the requirement. Not surprisingly, they failed.
Now we have 19 petitions and a long wait for an NPRM

>
<lots snipped>
>
> It's worse when some insufferable, self-righteous "mama"
> wants to "discipline children as parents do" in here.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
Alun

2046. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 18:43:50 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960A8BAA08DE4elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103562

kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1109527218.137133.13160
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1109446458.805271.244940
>> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>
>
>> >> It's much easier to use a Smith chart than to do the calculations
>> >
>> > You don't need a Smith chart and you don't have to do the
>> > calculations either.
>> >
>> > http://www.circuitsage.com/matching.html
>> >
>> > w3rv
>> >
>>
>> I still have a pad of Smith charts. I don't have Mathcad. I have the
>> same attitude to this as I do to Morse, i.e. to each his own. I don't
>> see anything wrong in having test questions on either subject, as I
>> think
>
>> people should know about them, I just don't think that there should be
>> a test on copying code by ear.
>
> . . "Test questions on Morse"? . . "People should know about Morse"?
> How many WPM izzat??
>

Zero

> You obviously didn't spend much time cruising the link I posted. You
> don't have to have Mathcad to solve transmission line problems to get
> away from the primitive paper and pencil nonsense. There are freely
> available Excel and Java routines which will do the job too.
>
> Mathcad . . ah, yes . . If you do any engineering math which gets
> complicated in Excel you need Mathcad Alun. I've been using it for
> about ten years and it's become absolutely indispensible. Maybe only a
> half hour after I first loaded and fired Mathcad up those ten years
> ago and started messing with it I was running rapid-fire "what-if's" on

> a double integral I'd dreamed up as an exercise. Very intuitive.
> Otherwise I wouldn't be able to run it. Heh.
>
> 'Tis an incredible solver which has saved me hundreds of hours of grunt
> number crunching (and curve plotting BS) labor both on and off the job.
> Don't believe the prices for it you see floating around the Web. My
> latest iteration is v.2000 Pro ($800) which I bought in a
> shrink-wrapped package for $65 at a local computer show after it was
> one version outdated.
>
> w3rv
>
>

You're right, I didn't notice that there was a Java routine and an Excel spreadsheet.

I'm a patent agent these days. I may write patent applications for communications systems that have complex equations in them, but that's about as close as I get to having to solve mathematical problems, except in the hobby of course.

Smith charts are actually most useful for designing stubs. I suppose I could design a stub match for a beam using a Smith chart if I felt so inclined, I know how to do it, but 9/10 of hams only follow someone else's published designs, or they might adjust the stub or other matching circuit by trial and error.

For this reason I'm actually not sure of the value of testing hams on Smith charts, but I felt pretty sure I had seen a question on them in the pool?

2047. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 19:19:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109531981.492895.158890@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103563

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1109377047.979168.303150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > >
> > > > > A real "communicator" will say 'key the mike', or 'key the
> > > > > circuit'.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry, but real "communicators" refer to "key" when they load
an
> > > > > encryption code.
> > > > >
> > > > > BOL, bb
> > > > >
> > > > Oh yes indeed they do, as in KAC codes or the old antique KY-7
> > stuff, eh?
> > > > Well bb we are talking about ham radio. Of course I understand,
> LEN
> > the
> > > > LOON, that you have no understanding of that subject.
> > > >
> > > > Tweek twit.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > Now Dan, you're mixing metaphors.
> >
> > You're either talking about "real communicators" or you're talking
> > about "ham radio" ops.
> >
> > Which is it?
>
> In either case it's doubtful you're covered, so why sweat it?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Then you agree that the two classifications are different.

2048. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 19:26:00 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109532360.317886.180080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103564

Caveat Lector wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1109477194.594936.304630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Caveat Lector wrote:
> >> From I.T.U. Regulations
> >> a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
> >> b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1 Dot.
> >> c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
> >> d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.
> >
> > That's all very nice.
> >
> >>From any FCC regulation, in (milli)seconds, how long is a "dot"
when
> > the word rate is 5WPM?
> >
> The word PARIS is the standard to determine CW code speed.
>
> If you send the word PARIS 5 times in a minute (5WPM) you have sent
250
> elements (using correct spacing).
>
> 250 elements into 60 seconds per minute = 240 milliseconds per
element.
>
> ITU not FCC

But it is the FCC, not the ITU, that denies people access to HF based upon absolutely nothing in their own regulations except a reference to a superceded ITU document. Hi!

Then the ARRL and other VEC's decided to not (use correct spacing) in the word PARIS.

Sounds to me like the FCC doesn't have its act together, and the inmates are in charge of the asylum.

2049. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 19:29:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109532592.108495.97660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103565

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
> news:hMkUd.41244$xt.26446@fed1read07...
> >
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1109477194.594936.304630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> Caveat Lector wrote:
> >>> From I.T.U. Regulations
> >>> a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
> >>> b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1 Dot.
> >>> c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
> >>> d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.
> >>
> >> That's all very nice.
> >>
> >>>From any FCC regulation, in (milli)seconds, how long is a "dot"
when
> >> the word rate is 5WPM?
> >>
> > The word PARIS is the standard to determine CW code speed.
> >
> > If you send the word PARIS 5 times in a minute (5WPM) you have sent
250
> > elements (using correct spacing).
> >
> > 250 elements into 60 seconds per minute = 240 milliseconds per
element.
> >
> > ITU not FCC
> >
>
> And relative timing of the elements is a recommendation not a
regulation.
> There is a difference.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Dee, thank you. Rather than just regurging ARRL-speak, you just stated
something obvious and still true.

You make my point.

The think with which we deny people access to HF with is merely a
suggestion.

2050. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 19:31:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109532661.387572.121710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103566

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Caveat Lector" on Sat, Feb 26 2005 4:31 pm
>
> >FromI.T.U. Regulations
> > a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
> > b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1 Dot.
> > c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
> > d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.
> >Look it up
>
> Idiot. I "looked it up" a long time ago. If you bothered to
> READ what I wrote you would have discovered that I HAVE
> THE ITU-T DOCUMENT THAT THE FCC REFERS TO (but
> the FCC is still calling out the obsolete CCITT document).
>
> Connect the dots in the messages.
>
> NOWHERE in the FCC reference is there a definition of
> word rate.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Too much morse code.

2051. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 19:35:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109532910.599881.161260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103567

Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1109517092.347993.98260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > > bb wrote:
> >>
> >> > > > Would you care to explain how your Extra license came with a
> > Novice
> >> > > > call sign?
> >> > >
> >> > > My Extra Class license didn't come with a Novice callsign. My
> > Novice
> >> > > license came with a Novice callsign.
> >> >
> >> > Just what I thought.
> >>
> >> No one anywhere had any problem with the statement I made.
There's
> > only
> >> you.
> >
> > Yep, with respect to Len's "Extra right out of the box" you said
that
> > "I just did it."
> >
> > You did no such thing. You started with Novice.
> >
> >> > Next time you brag to Len about getting "Extra
> >> > right out of the box,"...
> >>
> >> I made no brag to Len about getting anything right out of the box.
> > You
> >> must have me confused with him.
> >
> > Hardly.
> >
> >> His several-year-old boast has been
> >> widely discussed here.
> >
> > Cussed and discussed.

> >
> >> > ...be sure to say that it was your Novice that you
> >> > got right out of the box, not Extra.
> >>
> >> That's funny; I thought I just did that.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> > Indeed. More than a year after your boast that you got Extra right
out
> > of the box. Tnx for coming clean on that yarn.
> >
>
> He's already stated several times that he meant the "just did it" to
apply
> to getting a ham license. So why do you have to keep berating the
guy?

It is a technique I learned from Robeson. Why is it that no one
complains when Robeson uses it?

We
> all make mistakes in sentence structure that lead to unintended
meanings.

Yes. And in RRAP, David can play stupid all day long and keep on with
that unintended meaning. So why can't other people?

> Let it go already.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

When I'm ready. If I see Heil making any future references to anything
"out of the box," you'll likely see it brought up again.

2052. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 19:37:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109533023.685856.271270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103568

Caveat Lector wrote:
> Some one corrected me and added nothing to the discussion
> >>
> >>Caveat: You can send it anyway you want -- but below is the correct
way
> >
> >Nitpicker: Actually, the correct way is to write "any way".
> >
>
> OK have it your way
> Add seven elements between any and way (;-)

Why not just create yet another CW abbreviation "nway."

2053. Re: THE EXCLUSIVE MORSE CODE EXAM DEBATE THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 19:46:46 UTC
From: Lloyd A Davies <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1109533606.688587.89580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103569

Oh get a grip on real life, you extra class know it alls cant have
your utopia for ever now...

2054. Re: Hamfest Concession Stand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 19:50:30 UTC
From: Lloyd A Davies <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1109533830.208065.310990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

They are back in 14.313!

AWESOME!!!!!

2055. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 19:52:14 UTC
From: Lloyd A Davies <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1109533934.109403.124060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103571

I have a GREAT IDEA

Lets give everyone EXTRA CLASS!!!!!

Yeah yeah yeah!

Tax breaks for buying HF radios....

2056. Re: Has It Come To This...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 19:52:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109533956.739847.115240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103572

Buck wrote:
> On 27 Feb 2005 06:39:00 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> > It seems to me that if you have the scratch to spring for one
of
> >the new MegaBux RiceBurners you could spend a couple hours with the
> >Owner's Manual to learn how to use it.
> >
> > Or is that expecting too much...???
> >
> >73
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve,
> I want to agree with you, but unfortunately, radio manuals seem to
be
> getting more and more cryptic as the equipment is getting more
> complex.
>
> I purchased the Yaesu FT-817 radio. I went through the manual
several
> times before I thought I understood the rig. I ordered the Nifty
> Manual for it and learned that I misunderstood the manual. The Nifty
> made it more easy to understand. When I bought my IC-706 MKII, I
> ordered the manual thinking I would have the same problem. In this
> case, I didn't. The Icom manual was better at explaining how to use
> the features and functions of the radio. The Nifty manual is in my
> car in case I need to find something or if someone else needs to know
> how to use the rig, but compared to the Yaesu, the Icom was much more
> logically laid out and had much better instructions.
>
> I know that this is only one example, but if someone working for
Nifty
> were asked, I am sure they would tell you that they are making a
> pretty good profit just clarifying radio manuals.
>
>
> Buck
> --
> For what it's worth.

Buck, you have to realize that Steve is Superham. Don't get on his bad side (you're on pretty thin ice with your reply).

2057. you hear me, we dont need no stinking MORSE CODE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 19:53:19 UTC
From: Lloyd A Davies <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1109533998.994657.160480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103573

I want my no code EXTRA,

NOW!!!!!!!!!!!

Lloyd Davies
TimeLORD

See me on the NIM BOARD TOO!

2058. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 20:17:32 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <wVpUd.1463$wy3.1331@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103574

> Well that example proves the point that you don't know what you may need or
> want in the future as secretaries are now going the way of the dodo bird in
> large part. Almost all employees that have a need to do correspondence do
> their own these days in any company that I have been in. The company I work
> for right now let their last secretary go about four years ago.
>

And we all use word processor software to do that writing. Cut
and paste, fix spelling errors, rewrite something that was weak,
and such. Back in the olden days secretaries took care of
typing stuff onto paper without error. Today we write on computers,
edit and whatnot, and once we have it the way we want it, send
the file to the printer. One pretty much had to do that
via longhand on paper notepads that would then be given to
the secretary to type up. She would fix the spelling errors
and some of the grammar and hopefully not munge the concepts.
And if that did happen we'd have to get that part retyped.
Took forever.

There are technical writers who rewrite engineer writing
into something hopefully better written. But the engineer
has to review it to be sure that the meaning didn't get
munged.

2059. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 20:23:27 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3%pUd.1475$wy3.207@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103575

>
> They were trying to get administrative action, i.e. no petitions, no NPRM,
> just have the FCC remove the requirement. Not surprisingly, they failed.
> Now we have 19 petitions and a long wait for an NPRM
>
>

And it's probably a low priority item on the FCC's agenda
anyway. Whenever they get around to it....

2060. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 20:28:25 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <J3qUd.1480$wy3.907@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103576

> When they do repeal the code test, we will all have to nag Len to get a
> licence, as he will have no excuse

Someone will start a new organization "No License International".
"NLI"

:-)

2061. Re: Hamfest Concession Stand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 20:46:24 UTC
From: Eternal_VIRGIN_ Lloydie <lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109537184.221732.171040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd A Davies wrote:
> They are back in 14.313!
>
> AWESOME!!!!!

Mongolloyd makes good sentence!
--
Tor Johnson

2062. Re: Has It Come To This...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 21:25:09 UTC
From: sombra <sombra@escondido.com>
Message-ID: <eYqUd.43146$xt.34012@fed1read07>
Source record: 103578

RE Nifty Acc

Well by the time you deduct advertising costs, check magazines for rates -- outta sight.

Invest several thousand dollars for a color laser printer and lamininating equipment.

Spend coupla hundred bucks for a Ham convention booth, hotel and travel, and then pay income tax and credit card fees -- I suspect the good profit you spoke ain't what you might think.

Been there done that
Lamont Cranston

> several
>> times before I thought I understood the rig. I ordered the Nifty
>> Manual for it and learned that I misunderstood the manual. The Nifty
>> made it more easy to understand. When I bought my IC-706 MKII, I
>> ordered the manual thinking I would have the same problem. In this
>> case, I didn't. The Icom manual was better at explaining how to use
>> the features and functions of the radio. The Nifty manual is in my
>> car in case I need to find something or if someone else needs to know
>> how to use the rig, but compared to the Yaesu, the Icom was much more
>> logically laid out and had much better instructions.
>>
>> I know that this is only one example, but if someone working for
> Nifty
>> were asked, I am sure they would tell you that they are making a
>> pretty good profit just clarifying radio manuals.
>>
>>
>> Buck
>> --
>> For what it's worth.
>
> Buck, you have to realize that Steve is Superham. Don't get on his bad
> side (you're on pretty thin ice with your reply).
>

2063. Re: you hear me, we dont need no stinking MORSE CODE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 21:28:42 UTC
From: sombra <sombra@escondido.com>
Message-ID: <eYqUd.43147$xt.42461@fed1read07>
Source record: 103579

Your request has been granted

You may operate on this frequency band

26.965 to 27.405 MHz
(;-)

--
Lamont Cranston

"Lloyd A Davies" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
news:1109533998.994657.160480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I want my no code EXTRA,
>
> NOW!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> Lloyd Davies
> TimeLORD
>
> See me on the NIM BOARD TOO!
>

2064. Re: you hear me, we dont need no stinking MORSE CODE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 21:36:01 UTC
From: sombra <sombra@escondido.com>
Message-ID: <53rUd.43149$xt.18573@fed1read07>
Source record: 103580

Oh forgot your handle is "Extra"
And never give out the first personal
As they may find out the last personal -- then you be in deep trouble

"sombra" <sombra@escondido.com> wrote in message
news:eYqUd.43147$xt.42461@fed1read07...
> Your request has been granted
>
> You may operate on this frequency band
>
> 26.965 to 27.405 MHz
> (;-)
>
> --
> Lamont Cranston
>
> "Lloyd A Davies" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:1109533998.994657.160480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>I want my no code EXTRA,
>>
>> NOW!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>>
>> Lloyd Davies
>> TimeLORD
>>
>> See me on the NIM BOARD TOO!
>>
>
>

2065. Re: freaky friday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 21:42:19 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1109540539.740046.56360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Steveo wrote:
> dug88 finally drove down here and we meet face to face. he felt my
cock
> through my jeans and rubbed my stiff prick until i about exploded all
> over myself. then he gently kissed me. i pulled him aganist me and
> tasted his sweet tongue and explored his sexy mouth. i then dropped
to
> my knees and sucked his massive fuck stick. just as i swollowed his
> load frank arrived. without even missing a "stroke", frank slammed
his
> cock into my ass and began to fuck the shit out of me. i felt frank's
> balls slamming aganist my ass cheeks as he filled my man-puss with
his
> delightful man meat. dug88 was watching this and it made his cock
hard
> as a rock again. he then slipped his dick into my waiting mouth as
> frank continued to pump my now juicy ass. at that very moment frank
and
> dug88 shared a long wet kiss just as frank exploded in my ass and
dug88
> again exploded in my mouth. i gave them both a log wet kiss as their
> fuck juices mixed in my mouth and they tasted themselves.

Dam dude.......you are one slimy,flamming faggot!

SILLY KEYQUEEN,..... DICKS R 4 CHICKS !!!!!!!!

2066. Re: Hamfest Concession Stand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 22:10:47 UTC
From: Sam DX <none@nonexnospam.org>
Message-ID: <cvtge1$eg4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Most hams would rather talk on the radio than take a shower.
It was a real B.O. stench at Dayton last year. Most guys look
like they had not shaved or bathed in six months.

Sam DX

2067. Re: Hamfest Concession Stand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 22:16:52 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET>
Message-ID: <rlh421toud20ncuon3e35p0te01q2rs043@4ax.com>
Source record: 103583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 17:10:47 -0500, "Sam DX"
<none@nonexnospam.org> wrote:

>Most hams would rather talk on the radio than take a shower.
>It was a real B.O. stench at Dayton last year. Most guys look
>like they had not shaved or bathed in six months.
>
>
>Sam DX
>
>
Bathing is over rated anyway.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2068. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 22:32:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <er2dndEmqb3417_fRVn-3g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103585

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 21:39:00 -0500, Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>Smith charts are just as obsolete as Ohms law.............
>
>
> With some of the Russian-trained engineers whose work my wife has to
> correct, Ohm's Law, as well as electrical codes, are mere "suggestions".

Isn't that where weird science was invented?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2069. Re: you hear me, we dont need no stinking MORSE CODE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 22:32:34 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6UrUd.1644$wy3.280@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103584

sombra wrote:
> Your request has been granted
>
> You may operate on this frequency band
>
> 26.965 to 27.405 MHz
> (;-)
>

Ack! a fate worse than death!!!! ;-)

2070. Re: Hamfest Concession Stand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 22:34:37 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <1WrUd.1646$wy3.312@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sam DX wrote:

> Most hams would rather talk on the radio than take a shower.
> It was a real B.O. stench at Dayton last year. Most guys look
> like they had not shaved or bathed in six months.
>
>

Many members of the greatest generation need to shower
more often.... ;-)

2071. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 22:43:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <tOGdnRLlZaeu0L_fRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 103587

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1109532592.108495.97660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
>> news:hMkUd.41244$xt.26446@fed1read07...
>> >
>> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1109477194.594936.304630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> >>> From I.T.U. Regulations
>> >>> a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
>> >>> b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1 Dot.
>> >>> c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
>> >>> d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.
>> >>
>> >> That's all very nice.
>> >>
>> >>>From any FCC regulation, in (milli)seconds, how long is a "dot"
> when
>> >> the word rate is 5WPM?
>> >>
>> > The word PARIS is the standard to determine CW code speed.
>> >
>> > If you send the word PARIS 5 times in a minute (5WPM) you have sent
> 250
>> > elements (using correct spacing).
>> >
>> > 250 elements into 60 seconds per minute = 240 milliseconds per
> element.
>> >
>> > ITU not FCC
>> >
>>
>> And relative timing of the elements is a recommendation not a
> regulation.
>> There is a difference.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Dee, thank you. Rather than just regurging ARRL-speak, you just stated
> something obvious and still true.
>

> You make my point.
>
> The think with which we deny people access to HF with is merely a
> suggestion.
>

Do not read into my post more than is there. I was discussing only the standard spacing not test requirements.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2072. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 23:21:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109546499.506268.271280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103588

From: "Phil Kane" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 8:23 am
>On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 21:39:00 -0500, Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Smith charts are just as obsolete as Ohms law.....
>
> With some of the Russian-trained engineers whose work my wife has to
> correct, Ohm's Law, as well as electrical codes, are mere
"suggestions".

Phil, with some of the AMERICAN trained RF folks I've worked with, the Smith Chart presentation on paper or on the display screens of various RF instruments is an indispensable tool for quickly observing both narrow- and wideband behavior of RF structures.

Ohm's Law of Resistance is universally accepted in the radio and electronics community worldwide...but there are some huge exceptions with "foreign" concepts such as the Smith Chart. Olde-tyme hammes haven't a clue on what the wonderful chart tells them nor can they see the relationship between complex quantities nor understand "normalization" of impedance. Something involving algebra of three or more quantities is apparently "rocket science" to them. <shrug>

I could do complex quantity calculations on my little AMERICAN-made HP-25 and HP-67 pocket calculators (made in HP's old plant in Oregon) and can still do them on the Singapore-constructed HP 32S II (but designed by HP) I have now. A few keystrokes is all. No "special education" in Russia or any other foreign country needed to do that. No PC is needed either, such as finding a "calculator" Java script thing to find reactance at a frequency (I can't believe some folks never progressed far enough in self-education to learn the simple formulas for reactance...or are afraid to learn and apply them).

If the Coslonaut thinks Smith Charts are obsolete then, in this newsgroup, he will be "correct." In here the PCTA extras are always right, anyone against them hate ham radio and are always wrong. Rules of the Court as it were.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2073. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 23:26:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109546762.751405.35640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103589

From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 7:36 am
>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1109517092.347993.98260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> bb wrote:
>>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>>> > > bb wrote:
>>>
>> > > > Would you care to explain how your Extra license came with a
Novice
>> > > > call sign?
>>> > >
>>> > > My Extra Class license didn't come with a Novice callsign. My
Novice
>>> > > license came with a Novice callsign.
>>> >
>>> > Just what I thought.
>>>
>>> No one anywhere had any problem with the statement I made. There's
only
>>> you.
>>
>> Yep, with respect to Len's "Extra right out of the box" you said
that
>> "I just did it."
>>
>> You did no such thing. You started with Novice.
>>
>>> > Next time you brag to Len about getting "Extra right out of the
box,"...
>>>
>>> I made no brag to Len about getting anything right out of the box.
You
>>> must have me confused with him.
>>
>> Hardly.
>>
>>> His several-year-old boast has been widely discussed here.
>>
>> Cussed and discussed.
>>
>>> > ...be sure to say that it was your Novice that you
>>> > got right out of the box, not Extra.
>>>

>>> That's funny; I thought I just did that.
>>>
>>> Dave K8MN
>>
>> Indeed. More than a year after your boast that you got Extra right
out
>> of the box. Tnx for coming clean on that yarn.
>>
>He's already stated several times that he meant the "just did it" to
apply
>to getting a ham license. So why do you have to keep berating the
guy? We
>all make mistakes in sentence structure that lead to unintended
meanings.
>Let it go already.

That's one of those PCTA Double Standards, isn't it?

Dave Heil wanted a LONG time ago to boast in here of his
State Department experience with CW in the 80s in Africa.
Poor guy got trumped by at least two others with MORE
HF experience than his State stuff (I was one of them).
Dave hasn't forgotten that. He's cussed and diss-cussed
anyone who stands up to his "superior" attitude in here.

Dave is a PCTA extra. He can do no wrong.

ANYONE who talks back to a PCTA extra is evil, right?

It's even worse when someone comes along who has NO
amateur radio license! God forbid those lowly heathen
should be present in the superior royalty of the PCTA
extras!

Dee, why don't you form a committee or something and go
to the newsgroup rulers (through Paul Schleck, probably)
and DEMAND EXCLISIVITY on all who enter this sacred
place where ONLY licensed hams can come and not be
bothered with horrifying reality of the rest of the world?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2074. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-27 23:30:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109547011.032677.142330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103590

From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 9:43 am
>
>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in news:42220344.9E902FD4
>@earthlink.net:
>
>> "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>>
>>> When they do repeal the code test, we will all have to nag Len to
get a
>>> licence, as he will have no excuse
>>
>> Len really has no excuse now. He has had the no code option
available

<snip of clotted stuff>

>> I understand that Len is already eyeing the British Monarchy as a
target
>> for future rants. He has discoverd that the royals have rank,
status,
>> privilege and TITLES. They have a church with a number of parishes
>> called Saint-something. They and their supports are conservative
>> traditionalists. The entire British Isles are loaded with lodge
halls
>> repleat with rules for keeping out the riff-raff.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>I'm a VE. Is there some way we could arrange to send three VEs around
to
>his house when the code test is repealed? We would announce it in
advance
>of course, to comply with all the rules, on here naturally.

What IS the point of all that?

All those who demand EXCLUSIVITY on entrance to this newsgroup
can do so to the proper authorities. Paul Schleck will have the
procedure. RESTRICTING access will be wonderful for all those
who want to lock out all but "their kind" (I should spell
that "thier" in their honor but won't) and they won't suffer
all the outrage of seeing the reality of the rest of the radio
world when they are called wrong. Just think, their own
"clubhouse" where others can't be admitted (nyah, nyah).

Keep everything SECRET, "hams-eyes-only" stuff. Nobody else
can or should know anything.

Tsk.

Years ago, poor Dave wanted to bluster and brag about his really
neat CW on State Department radio in Africa during the '80s. He
made it sound like a major diplomatic save-the-world kind of thing,
even mentioned being in "Guinea-Bisseau" one of those litle-
known and who-cares kind of ex-colonies. He wanted to parade
like a Big Shot using CW for some kind of vital mission when,
supposedly, RTTY wouldn't get through. [spell Shot with an i]

Poor Dave got trumped. He ran into someone who had bigger
experience in government communications that didn't need to
brag. Dave tried and tried to beat that guy down, by any means
possible. He was the "superior!" He ruled. All bow down to
him and all that sort of rot. Dave never forgot.

Now Dave insists on rewriting the past, of saying I wrote one
thing but "really wanted" something different. He has stretched
that to include "topics I will discuss in the future!" Amazing.

So, by all means, have three VEs come to my house when the
code test is eliminated. Hopefully they will come from the east
coast first-class, paying their own way. My address is on my
old Ham Radio Magazine bylines, hasn't changed. ["three
wiseguys out of the east," so to speak]

So, what are those three wisemen, er, VEs going to do?

Administer a TEST whether I want to take one or not? I don't
think so. My representative Mr. Glock will address them in
that case. [he is very accurate]

The three VEs can wait at the curb. If they behave, I might
invite them to the back patio for milk and cookies. Or not.

Now, if EXCLUSIVITY is so very desireable, feel free to try for
private access-by-permission only. That will insulate all the
participants in their "clubhouse" and they can cuss out all
on the outside without being hurt in the process. They know
it all anyway and don't need "outsider" information. They are
"superior!"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2075. Re: US Morse Code Solution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 01:09:22 UTC
From: Welfare Willy <tenfour@skip.com>
Message-ID: <6buUd.43197$xt.6962@fed1read07>
Source record: 103591

Yes a definite solution to the
dreaded,

ancient,

out-dated,

miserable,

Morse

code

test.

No more dinosaur communications

And you won't even hear any ditty dah dits

Oh no - not VHF,

but a great HF band

Anyone can get this privilege with no code

All ages

In fact anyone can get this privilege without any kind of test.

Equipment is very inexpensive

Fanfare Music ba dah

It is called the Citizen's Band

Your frequency allotment is

26.965 to 27.405 MHz

10-39 ya all

Thirds I'm 10-8

Welfare Willy

2076. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 01:48:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109555333.853538.83100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103592

Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1109532592.108495.97660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
> >> news:hMkUd.41244$xt.26446@fed1read07...
> >> >
> >> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:1109477194.594936.304630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> Caveat Lector wrote:
> >> >>> From I.T.U. Regulations
> >> >>> a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
> >> >>> b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1 Dot.
> >> >>> c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
> >> >>> d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.
> >> >>
> >> >> That's all very nice.
> >> >>
> >> >>>From any FCC regulation, in (milli)seconds, how long is a "dot"
> > when
> >> >> the word rate is 5WPM?
> >> >>
> >> > The word PARIS is the standard to determine CW code speed.
> >> >
> >> > If you send the word PARIS 5 times in a minute (5WPM) you have
sent
> > 250
> >> > elements (using correct spacing).
> >> >
> >> > 250 elements into 60 seconds per minute = 240 milliseconds per
> > element.
> >> >
> >> > ITU not FCC
> >> >
> >>
> >> And relative timing of the elements is a recommendation not a
> > regulation.
> >> There is a difference.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Dee, thank you. Rather than just regurging ARRL-speak, you just

stated
> > something obvious and still true.
> >
> > You make my point.
> >
> > The think with which we deny people access to HF with is merely a
> > suggestion.
>
> Do not read into my post more than is there. I was discussing only
the
> standard spacing not test requirements.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Dee, do not read more into Part 97 than is there. Morse Code is not defined in Part 97, but Part 97 allows surrogate examiners to deny people access to HF. They do it every day and don't know why.

2077. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 01:59:42 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GYmdneyJaLOz5r_fRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 103593

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1109555333.853538.83100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1109532592.108495.97660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:hMkUd.41244$xt.26446@fed1read07...
>> >> >
>> >> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> > news:1109477194.594936.304630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> >> >>> From I.T.U. Regulations
>> >> >>> a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
>> >> >>> b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1 Dot.
>> >> >>> c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
>> >> >>> d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> That's all very nice.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>>From any FCC regulation, in (milli)seconds, how long is a "dot"
>> > when
>> >> >> the word rate is 5WPM?
>> >> >>
>> >> > The word PARIS is the standard to determine CW code speed.
>> >> >
>> >> > If you send the word PARIS 5 times in a minute (5WPM) you have
> sent
>> > 250
>> >> > elements (using correct spacing).
>> >> >
>> >> > 250 elements into 60 seconds per minute = 240 milliseconds per
>> > element.
>> >> >
>> >> > ITU not FCC
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> And relative timing of the elements is a recommendation not a
>> > regulation.
>> >> There is a difference.
>> >>
```

>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Dee, thank you. Rather than just regurging ARRL-speak, you just
> stated
>> > something obvious and still true.
>> >
>> > You make my point.
>> >
>> > The think with which we deny people access to HF with is merely a
>> > suggestion.
>>
>> Do not read into my post more than is there. I was discussing only
> the
>> standard spacing not test requirements.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Dee, do not read more into Part 97 than is there. Morse Code is not
> defined in Part 97, but Part 97 allows surrogate examiners to deny
> people access to HF. They do it every day and don't know why.
>

Once again you assume more than is there. I said nothing in this thread about Part 97 defining the code. Nor have I said anything in this thread about examination methods.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2078. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 02:15:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42227EE2.C79C20C4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103594

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 7:36 am

> >Let it go already.
>
> That's one of those PCTA Double Standards, isn't it?
>
> Dave Heil wanted a LONG time ago to boast in here of his
> State Department experience with CW in the 80s in Africa.

That is a deliberate falsehood on your part, Leonard. I pointed out
that
morse was in use by the U.S. Department of State at a time when you
claimed that government was not using it. There was no boast to it.

> Poor guy got trumped by at least two others with MORE
> HF experience than his State stuff (I was one of them).

I was trumped by no one, certainly not by you. There is no evidence at
all that you have MORE HF experience than I, unless you want to include
your Citizens Band operation. Now about this other fellow you claim
"trumped" me. Who might he have been?

You want to tread very carefully on this one or I see a sound googling
in your future.

> Dave hasn't forgotten that.

No, I haven't. That's why I'm calling you on it here and now.

> He's cussed and diss-cussed
> anyone who stands up to his "superior" attitude in here.

If, by anyone, you mean yourself, you are correct.

> Dee, why don't you form a committee or something and go
> to the newsgroup rulers (through Paul Schleck, probably)
> and DEMAND EXCLISIVITY on all who enter this sacred
> place where ONLY licensed hams can come and not be
> bothered with horrifying reality of the rest of the world?

Heck, Len, hams already have a place to take it where they won't have to
be bothered with you--the ham bands. It was done once and you howled at
the moon over it.

Dave K8MN

2079. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 02:17:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109557030.131111.217570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103595

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1109555333.853538.83100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1109532592.108495.97660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:hMkUd.41244$xt.26446@fed1read07...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >> > news:1109477194.594936.304630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Caveat Lector wrote:
> >> >> >>> From I.T.U. Regulations
> >> >> >>> a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
> >> >> >>> b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1
Dot.
> >> >> >>> c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
> >> >> >>> d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> That's all very nice.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>>From any FCC regulation, in (milli)seconds, how long is a
"dot"
> >> > when
> >> >> >> the word rate is 5WPM?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> > The word PARIS is the standard to determine CW code speed.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > If you send the word PARIS 5 times in a minute (5WPM) you
have
> > sent
> >> > 250
> >> >> > elements (using correct spacing).
> >> >> >
> >> >> > 250 elements into 60 seconds per minute = 240 milliseconds
per
> >> > element.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > ITU not FCC
> >> >> >
```

> >> >>
> >> >> And relative timing of the elements is a recommendation not a
> >> > regulation.
> >> >> There is a difference.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> > Dee, thank you. Rather than just regurging ARRL-speak, you just
> > stated
> >> > something obvious and still true.
> >> >
> >> > You make my point.
> >> >
> >> > The think with which we deny people access to HF with is merely
a
> >> > suggestion.
> >>
> >> Do not read into my post more than is there. I was discussing
only
> > the
> >> standard spacing not test requirements.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Dee, do not read more into Part 97 than is there. Morse Code is
not
> > defined in Part 97, but Part 97 allows surrogate examiners to deny
> > people access to HF. They do it every day and don't know why.
> >
>
> Once again you assume more than is there. I said nothing in this
thread
> about Part 97 defining the code. Nor have I said anything in this
thread
> about examination methods.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

And once again you think you can jump into a thread about what's not in
Part 97 and think it's not germane to Part 97.

2080. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 02:30:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42228267.364BFCF4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103596

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 9:43 am
> >
> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in news:42220344.9E902FD4
> >@earthlink.net:
> >
> >> "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
> >>
> >>> When they do repeal the code test, we will all have to nag Len to
> get a
> >>> licence, as he will have no excuse
> >>
> >> Len really has no excuse now. He has had the no code option
> available
>
> <snip of clotted stuff>
>
> >> I understand that Len is already eyeing the British Monarchy as a
> target
> >> for future rants. He has discoverd that the royals have rank,
> status,
> >> privilege and TITLES. They have a church with a number of parishes
> >> called Saint-something. They and their supports are conservative
> >> traditionalists. The entire British Isles are loaded with lodge
> halls
> >> repleat with rules for keeping out the riff-raff.

> >I'm a VE. Is there some way we could arrange to send three VEs around
> to
> >his house when the code test is repealed? We would announce it in
> advance
> >of course, to comply with all the rules, on here naturally.
>
> What IS the point of all that?
>
> All those who demand EXCLUSIVITY on entrance to this newsgroup
> can do so to the proper authorities. Paul Schleck will have the
> procedure. RESTRICTING access will be wonderful for all those
> who want to lock out all but "their kind" (I should spell
> that "thier" in their honor but won't) and they won't suffer
> all the outrage of seeing the reality of the rest of the radio
> world when they are called wrong. Just think, their own
> "clubhouse" where others can't be admitted (nyah, nyah).

Hams already have such a place and the authorities support it. You
can't be admitted.

> Keep everything SECRET, "hams-eyes-only" stuff. Nobody else
> can or should know anything.

If we use morse, it'll certainly be a secret from you.

> Tsk.
>
> Years ago, poor Dave wanted to bluster and brag about his really
> neat CW on State Department radio in Africa during the '80s.

No bluster and brag to it, disingenuous old boy, just statements of
fact.
You to humbrage to the facts. You still do. Tough.

> He
> made it sound like a major diplomatic save-the-world kind of thing,
> even mentioned being in "Guinea-Bisseau" one of those litle-
> known and who-cares kind of ex-colonies.

I just have to ask--what does mentioning that it took place in
Guinea-Bissau have to do with making something sound like a
"save-the-world kind of thing"? Now, knowing that all of the material
is archived at Google, is there anything you'd like to amend about your
claim?

> He wanted to parade
> like a Big Shot using CW for some kind of vital mission when,
> supposedly, RTTY wouldn't get through. [spell Shot with an i]

Any amendments at all? Otherwise, Steve's frequent claim that you are a
liar is about to wham you in the can again. I can provide an accurate
account of what I wrote and what you wrote.

> Poor Dave got trumped.

...not by you, I didn't.

> He ran into someone who had bigger
> experience in government communications that didn't need to
> brag.

Now I know that we're not talking about you. You have less experience
and you've posted endless accounts of your past exploits.

> Dave tried and tried to beat that guy down, by any means
> possible. He was the "superior!" He ruled. All bow down to
> him and all that sort of rot. Dave never forgot.

No, he never forgot and he's about to display your comments of the time
so that all can see what a fabricator you are.

> Now Dave insists on rewriting the past, of saying I wrote one
> thing but "really wanted" something different.

Rewriting, Leonard? What was rewritten?

> He has stretched
> that to include "topics I will discuss in the future!" Amazing.

Buy a sense of humor, Leonard.

> So, by all means, have three VEs come to my house when the
> code test is eliminated. Hopefully they will come from the east
> coast first-class, paying their own way. My address is on my
> old Ham Radio Magazine bylines, hasn't changed. ["three
> wiseguys out of the east," so to speak]
>
> So, what are those three wisemen, er, VEs going to do?
>
> Administer a TEST whether I want to take one or not? I don't
> think so. My representative Mr. Glock will address them in
> that case. [he is very accurate]

Just what does that mean, Len?

> The three VEs can wait at the curb. If they behave, I might
> invite them to the back patio for milk and cookies. Or not.
>
> Now, if EXCLUSIVITY is so very desireable, feel free to try for
> private access-by-permission only. That will insulate all the
> participants in their "clubhouse" and they can cuss out all
> on the outside without being hurt in the process. They know
> it all anyway and don't need "outsider" information. They are
> "superior!"

Classic Anderson--the rantings of a crackpot.

Dave K8MN

2081. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 03:35:40 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1109561740.451500.146680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103597

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1109527218.137133.13160

> > Mathcad . . ah, yes . . If you do any engineering math which gets
> > complicated in Excel you need Mathcad Alun. I've been using it for
> > about ten years and it's become absolutely indispensible. Maybe
only a
> > half hour after I first loaded and fired Mathcad up those ten
years
> > ago and started messing with it I was running rapid-fire
"what-if's" on
> > a double integral I'd dreamed up as an exercise. Very intuitive.
> > Otherwise I wouldn't be able to run it. Heh.

> I'm a patent agent these days. I may write patent applications for
> communications systems that have complex equations in them, but
that's
> about as close as I get to having to solve mathematical problems,
except in
> the hobby of course.

OK, you've explained that before but I forgot what you're doing to earn your daily bread. It's the guys developing the systems who need to crunch the numbers, not thee. I've gone off on a couple career tangents over the years and got into the marketing and sales game and went for several spells in which I seldom even needed a handheld calculator. But in the past 15 years I've been almost 100% back to the design and build end of the biz and much of it has involved some fairly serious analytical work. Otherwise I probably wouldn't have bothered with Mathcad. Now that I'm semi-retired and just sniping a project here and there I've acquired a whole collection of design tools like Mathcad, CAD and some bits and pieces of structural design FEA I can really focus on hobby sorts of things.

> Smith charts are actually most useful for designing stubs.
I suppose I
> could design a stub match for a beam using a Smith chart if I felt so

> inclined, I know how to do it, but 9/10 of hams only follow someone
else's
> published designs, or they might adjust the stub or other matching
circuit
> by trial and error.

Agreed. I've been pecking at HF wire antenna modeling via Nec Win Plus and am getting all sorts of two-decimal-place accuracy results which I

bloody well know from experience are probably at least 3-5% off one way or another. Back to the diagonal cutters & soldering gun . . as usual.

> For this reason I'm actually not sure of the value of testing hams on Smith
> charts, but I felt pretty sure I had seen a question on them in the pool?

Beats me, I haven't spent much time poking around the pools. I don't see the point to testing for "Smith chart operations"any more than I see the point to test questions on using sliderule log scales to calculate decibles up/down. Based on some of the absolutely idiotic posts about antenna matching issues by duly licensed individuals I've seen in other venues indicate to me that if nothing else more test questions on transmission line theory and practice need to be "loaded" into the QPs.

w3rv

2082. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 03:44:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1109562273.705761.303420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103598

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Phil Kane" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 8:23 am
> >On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 21:39:00 -0500, Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>Smith charts are just as obsolete as Ohms law.....
> >
> > With some of the Russian-trained engineers whose work my wife has
to
> > correct, Ohm's Law, as well as electrical codes, are mere
> "suggestions".
>
> Phil, with some of the AMERICAN trained RF folks I've
> worked with, the Smith Chart presentation on paper or on
> the display screens of various RF instruments is an
> indispensable tool for quickly observing both narrow- and
> wideband behavior of RF structures.
>
> Ohm's Law of Resistance is universally accepted in the radio
> and electronics community worldwide...but there are some
> huge exceptions with "foreign" concepts such as the Smith
> Chart. Olde-tyme hammes haven't a clue on what the
> wonderful chart tells them nor can they see the relationship
> between complex quantities nor understand "normalization"
> of impedance. Something involving algebra of three or more
> quantities is apparently "rocket science" to them. <shrug>
>
> I could do complex quantity calculations on my little
> AMERICAN-made HP-25 and HP-67 pocket calculators
> (made in HP's old plant in Oregon) and can still do them
> on the Singapore-constructed HP 32S II (but designed by
> HP) I have now.

It was made in Indonesia Sweetums. Get SOMETHING right at least onece
in awhile WILLYA?

<shrug>

< A few keystrokes is all. No "special
> education" in Russia or any other foreign country needed
> to do that. No PC is needed either, such as finding a
> "calculator" Java script thing to find reactance at a
> frequency (I can't believe some folks never progressed
> far enough in self-education to learn the simple formulas
> for reactance...or are afraid to learn and apply them).
>

> If the Coslonaut thinks Smith Charts are obsolete then,
> in this newsgroup, he will be "correct." In here the PCTA
> extras are always right, anyone against them hate ham
> radio and are always wrong. Rules of the Court as it were.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

2083. Re: THE EXCLUSIVE MORSE CODE EXAM DEBATE THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 04:23:59 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38fkqeF5hq4skU1@individual.net>
Source record: 103650

Hello, Steve

To be entirely fair, I think we should put both newcomers and old timers on an equal footing. Even the no Morse folks.

So, here is my proposal:

For the next year, everything stays the same. After that, it will be a 13 word per minute exam in *American* land-line Morse. This is not international Morse, so everyone has to learn it from scratch (well, almost everyone LOL). An option would be to take it at 5 words per minute, but with variable length dashes and spacing .... it might prove interesting.

This would not only make everyone equal, it would be more difficult to write a computer program that could copy it reliably ... especially hand sent.

For the extra, the person would have to demonstrate proficiency by QLF (sending with the left foot).

Len, your thoughts?

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1109416001.768770.116640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hello One and All,
>
> Our Resident Antagonist (Lennie "I Am Not A Liar" Anderson) is a
> bit miffed becasue there's no thread to discuss the "Morse Code Exam
> Issue". Personally, I think the subject has been beaten until every
> last bit of life has been beaten from it, but just to prove him wrong,
> here's a thread, JUST for him.
>
> So...Does anyone have anything NEW to discuss about the "Morse
> Code Exam" issue? Does anyone care to engage Lennie in yet another
> "debate" on the issue? He sure is sore because we've tired of it and
> won't play his game any more, but his one track mind is still raring to
> go.
>
> Betya I can sum up a lot of his "arguments" right now and save
> some bandwidth:
>
> (1) He "keyed up" some Army transmitters (not Amateur Radio) as a
> radio repairman in the 50's without the benefit of a Morse Code exam,
> ergo no one should have to take any exam.
>

> (2) He spent a lifelong career as a bench technician, ergo he
> shouldn't have to take another written exam. Afterall, he spent 14
> years in night school to get a 4 year BSEE degree, so that was ALL the
> work he should ever have to do again, ever!
>
> (3) He has a GROL that he claims allows him to transmit anywhere.
> But he keeps omitting the part where his license also requires a duly
> authorized Station License or authorization for the "station" he is
> "operating". That station license will dictate what discreet frequency
> he MUST use, what specific power limits he must observe, and in many
> cases even specifies the antenna system to be used right down to field
> strengths and ERP.
>
> (4) He knows a few licensed Amateurs, a couple of them Extras,
> ergo he can say anything mean and despicable he cares to say about
> Amateurs in general or Extras in particular. Even moreso if the Extra
> is a 20WPM era one. Double that if they are actually proficient in
> Morse at or above 20WPM.
>
> (5) He'll "argue" that since the physics of radio wave
> propagation are the same for "commercial" radio as opposed to "Amateur"
> radio, that there is no difference in the two. He continually tries to
> compare one to the other. They're not the same. Any referal to the
> Basis and Purpose of Part 97 will be dismissed as "administrative
> language" that doesn't mean what it says.
>
> (6) He'll "argue" that Amateur Radio is "just a hobby", despite
> the fact that the enabling regulations for Amateur Radio say nothing of
> the sort. Any suggestion of volunteerism, civic duty, emergency
> service or other educational or philanthropic use of Amateur Radio will
> be dismissed as "patriotic buffoonery".
>
> (7) He'll "argue" that Amateurs, especially the aforementioned
> Amateur Extras, are mired in 1930's era policy and practices despite
> the fact that every one of the regular posters of this NG has made
> comments to the FCC promoting significant changes to Amateur
> regulations, including Morse examinations and relevency. And after
> berating Amateurs for thier alleged stonewalling, he'll treat us all to
> yet another "...there I was at ADA in Japan in 1953..." war story that
> has absolutely no relevence to Amateur Radio policy in 2005, let alone
> anything to do with Morse Code at ANY time!
>
> So...my opinion on what's most likely to transpire having been
> stated, let's get on with a spirited debate on the Morse Code Exam
> issue!
>
> Remember...Morse Code Exam issues ONLY! Lennie Says So!
>
> 73
>

> Steve, K4YZ
>

2084. Re: Has It Come To This...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 04:24:10 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <447521doopcaug5ur1st74esku35a28ok0@4ax.com>
Source record: 103599

On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 13:25:09 -0800, "sombra" <sombra@escondido.com>
wrote:

>RE Nifty Acc
>
>Well by the time you deduct advertising costs, check magazines for rates --
>outta sight.
>
>Invest several thousand dollars for a color laser printer and lamininating
>equipment.
>
>Spend coupla hundred bucks for a Ham convention booth, hotel and travel, and
>then pay income tax and credit card fees -- I suspect the good profit you
>spoke ain't what you might think.
>
>Been there done that
>Lamont Cranston

True, but the one thing you missed is that they order their laminated
pages pre-printed and cut. The idea is still true, there is a real
demand for many of their products.

I know what you mean though. 'there is no such thing as a free lunch'

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

2085. Re: Has It Come To This...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 04:28:43 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38fl3aF5lpc3vU1@individual.net>
Source record: 103651

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1109515140.653186.241750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> QUOTE FROM ARRL WEBSITE
>
> New QST column aims to take mystery out of modern ham gear (Feb 25,
> 2005) -- A new column, "Getting to Know Your Radio" will debut in the
> April edition of QST. Author and ARRL Product Review Editor Joel
> Hallas, W1ZR, says the column "basically talks about what all those
> knobs do" on modern equipment. "The idea is to acquaint users with the
> typical features of modern radios."
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> It seems to me that if you have the scratch to spring for one of
> the new MegaBux RiceBurners you could spend a couple hours with the
> Owner's Manual to learn how to use it.
>
> Or is that expecting too much...???
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
Hello, Steve

I'll be honest; I hate most modern controls. Whether radios or tv remote controls, the buttons are small (not great for us folks with bifulcrums) and usually multi-function.

I'd prefer two readouts - one for transmit frequency, one for receive, and *two* different full size knobs to tune them. I hate it when you have to press a "function" key with one finger, another key with a different finger, and then take your shoes off to free up a couple of toes to access some stupid function that might better be available with its' own control (perhaps on the back of the rig if it is seldom used).

Oh well .... getting old beats the heck out of the alternative, I guess :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2086. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 05:48:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109569691.002348.196430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103652

From: Dave Heil on Sun, Feb 27 2005 6:15 pm
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 7:36 am
>
>> >Let it go already.
>>
>> That's one of those PCTA Double Standards, isn't it?
>>
>> Dave Heil wanted a LONG time ago to boast in here of his
>> State Department experience with CW in the 80s in Africa.
>
>That is a deliberate falsehood on your part, Leonard. I pointed out
that
>morse was in use by the U.S. Department of State at a time when you
>claimed that government was not using it. There was no boast to it.

Oh, bullshit, big bad Dave. You were crowing, you were boasting.

State Department isn't THE government...they only think so.

Grow up. State Department doesn't operate like amateurs.

Ooops, maybe they do...American foreign policy is NOT
universally loved!

Sunnuvagun!

>> Poor guy got trumped by at least two others with MORE
>> HF experience than his State stuff (I was one of them).
>
>I was trumped by no one, certainly not by you.

Big badass Dave is "superior," NEVER trumped. No sir! :-)

>There is no evidence at
>all that you have MORE HF experience than I, unless you want to
include
>your Citizens Band operation.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. A long time ago I accumulated enough "HF
experience" while in the U.S. Army doing nothing but communications
for an Area Command. The military IS considered part of the U.S.
government...or was, last I heard. Class D CB wasn't yet approved
in
the USA when I finished active duty. [Class D and C Citizens Band
are on HF, or weren't you sure about that?]

>You want to tread very carefully on this one or I see a sound googling
>in your future.

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Sound googling!" BWHAAHAHAHAHHAHHHAAAAAAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Big badass Dave, you've got some kind of "spanking" fetish? :-)

Go join Mama Dee who wants to "punish" her "children" that don't
do what they're told!

>> Dave hasn't forgotten that.
>
>No, I haven't. That's why I'm calling you on it here and now.

Oh, WOW! I'm being "called out!!!" :-)

Big badass Dave wants to FIGHT! Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk......

"Moot Court" with Judge Dave Bean on the Bench!
Gonna be a BIG thing on Google quotes? Gonna waste
lots of space in here with your "protests" and "charges?"
Go for it. Others won't like it and I don't give a damn. :-)

You just get three VEs and come on over. You have the
address. You'll have to wait at the curb and be nice, though.

Showing your big shiny Raddio Kopp shield in its little wallet
isn't going to help. LAPD frowns on FAKE AUTHORITY
showing shields...but Mr. Glock likes it...it's nice and shiny...

>> He's cussed and diss-cussed
>> anyone who stands up to his "superior" attitude in here.
>
>If, by anyone, you mean yourself, you are correct.

Big badass Dave...your posturing and preening and bragging is also
archived on Google. :-) :-) :-)

>> Dee, why don't you form a committee or something and go
>> to the newsgroup rulers (through Paul Schleck, probably)
>> and DEMAND EXCLISIVITY on all who enter this sacred
>> place where ONLY licensed hams can come and not be
>> bothered with horrifying reality of the rest of the world?
>
>Heck, Len, hams already have a place to take it where they won't have
to
>be bothered with you--the ham bands. It was done once and you howled
at
>the moon over it.

Big badass Dave, you got a Cross-Dressing thing going? You
are NOT our gal parent, Dee Flint. :-)

Like I said to you, like I said to Dee, if you want a PRIVATE chat room open ONLY to those you let in, you are as free as a bird (ostrisch most likely) to organize one. In the meantime, THIS newsgroup is still PUBLIC. Anyone who has access to the internet can come in here.

You can single out individual people ALL you want, quote from Google ALL you want. That's just harrassment that makes others tired of you even more. But, if you feel so "outraged" and "insulted" at not being considered a big badass "superior" radio god, go for it. Your great big wonderful extra license doesn't make you chief of Who Can Post Here.

Why ARE you here? All you seem to do is hit on NCTAs who aren't bullied by you, who challenge your "authority" and who have differing OPINIONS than your royal worshipness has. Offhand, I'd say you are doing a sociopath thing plus overtones of trying to BULLY others, to push them around. Not a good attitude. Did your State Department experience teach you such when you got lessons in diplomacy?

God help the United States of America if your brand of "diplomacy" is any indication of what State Department does! I'm glad Colin resigned!

Best regards,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2087. Re: THE EXCLUSIVE MORSE CODE EXAM DEBATE THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 06:07:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109570835.275908.14060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103653

From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampt...@worldnet.att.net> - Sun, 27 Feb 2005 23:23:59 -0500

>To be entirely fair, I think we should put both newcomers and old timers on
>an equal footing. Even the no Morse folks.

>Len, your thoughts?

Sorry, I can't post any...a big badass PCTA extra will only harrass me with attempted inimidation. :-)

This is a CLOSED newsgroup. Only PCTA extras will be allowed in here. I've been told that. All others have "no business" in here.

Best regards,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2088. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 12:01:45 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.61.0502281200150.95@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 103654

On Fri, 25 Feb 2005, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> D. Stussy wrote:
> > On Sun, 20 Feb 2005, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> >> actually, its considered TRInary (1 short, 1 long and a space ,off>).
> >> it is a form of digital that works well with the human brain (after a
> >> small amount of training).
> >
> > No, it's quadnary.
> >
> > At first glance, one may think trinary. However, there is a difference
> > between
> > a short space and long space: A short space simply separates the long and
> > short marks, while the long space separates the individual symbols
> > (letters,
> > numbers, and punctuation) from each other. This means that there are 4
> > states.
> I usualy go by the digital form factor here. a space is a space.
> Thus my logic:
> dih = short mark
> dah = long mark
> space = 0
>
> I have listened to quite a lot of CW of the years. I don't see where a long
> space counts. in a lot of the programming interfaces for things like a TNC
> (or a computer program), they only coult the above named 3 states.
>
> a long silence is just a number of "space" markers (more than one).

If this were true (only three states), then there are only two letters, E and T, as one cannot tell the difference between the end of a letter and the end of a mark. IT is obvious that your view fails.

2089. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 12:06:08 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.61.0502281203000.95@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 103655

On Fri, 25 Feb 2005, Caveat Lector wrote:
> With proper sending or machine Morse:
> Each dit is one element, each dah is three elements, intra-character spacing
> is one element, inter-character spacing is three elements and inter-word
> spacing is seven elements.
>
> Seems to be five pieces of information by your assessment

I could accept that, but sufficiently fast telegraphy will actually end up dropping the inter-word spacing, thus devolving into four states.

Regardless, there are two things being varied: The mark/space condition, and the duration of each, so there is no way that morse code can be binary.

2090. Re: THE EXCLUSIVE MORSE CODE EXAM DEBATE THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 12:45:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109594743.245322.243410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103656

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampt...@worldnet.att.net> - Sun, 27 Feb
> 2005 23:23:59 -0500
>
> >To be entirely fair, I think we should put both newcomers and old
> timers on
> >an equal footing. Even the no Morse folks.
>
>
> >Len, your thoughts?
>
> Sorry, I can't post any...a big badass PCTA extra will only
harrass
> me with attempted inimidation. :-)
>
> This is a CLOSED newsgroup. Only PCTA extras will be allowed
> in here. I've been told that. All others have "no business"
> in here.

What a putz.

He wants a "Morse Code Exam Debate Thread", get's it hand
delivered to him, then snivvels out from any true discussion on the
topic.

Nothing we haven't come to expect, I guess. Lennie doesn't have
the cajones to "debate" any issue head on. Never could.

> Best regards,

You've never had "best regards" for anyone...Yourself included,
Lennie. Only a person with an overwhelming lack of self respect could
act the way you do in public.

Steve, K4YZ

2091. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 13:27:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109597252.286750.251280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103657

D. Stussy wrote:

> Regardless, there are two things being varied: The mark/space condition, and
> the duration of each, so there is no way that morse code can be binary.

Sure it can.

But the REAL question is how can we blame it on Bill Pasternak and threaten to sue him over it.....?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2092. Lennie...With A Glock...?!?!? ROTMFFLMMFAO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 13:33:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109597592.615141.239130@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103658

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Administer a TEST whether I want to take one or not? I don't
> think so. My representative Mr. Glock will address them in
> that case. [he is very accurate]

BBBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Ooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooh! Lennie making THREATENING
insinuations with firearms! ! ! ! !

W H A T A P U T Z ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

2093. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 13:46:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109598368.046881.164180@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103659

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Poor Dave got trumped. He ran into someone who had bigger
> experience in government communications that didn't need to
> brag.

Hmmmmmmmmm...Who would that be, Lennie?

It's sure not you. According to your own "CV", you've never been CHOP of ANY government communications facility. You weren't even a military radio operator while you were in the Army...Just a radio mechanic.

> Dave tried and tried to beat that guy down, by any means
> possible. He was the "superior!" He ruled. All bow down to
> him and all that sort of rot. Dave never forgot.

Forgot what?

No one's ever "beat (him) down", nor has Dave tried to beat anyone else down.

He has, of course, repeatedly humiliated you, but then you keep supplying us with the tools with which to do it....

> Now Dave insists on rewriting the past, of saying I wrote one
> thing but "really wanted" something different. He has stretched
> that to include "topics I will discuss in the future!" Amazing.

Coming from a guy who has tried to embellish his military service with the sacrifices of guys who were KIA 3 years before he even enlisted, I find your insinuation that anyone else is trying to "rewrit(e) the past" to be morbidly offensive....Not that you care, of course.

> So, by all means, have three VEs come to my house when the
> code test is eliminated. Hopefully they will come from the east
> coast first-class, paying their own way. My address is on my
> old Ham Radio Magazine bylines, hasn't changed. ["three
> wiseguys out of the east," so to speak]

Your address isn't the ONLY thing you haven't changed, Lennie.

Maybe that's why the Old Lady didn't favor you with offspring...?!?!

> So, what are those three wisemen, er, VEs going to do?
>

> Administer a TEST whether I want to take one or not? I don't
> think so. My representative Mr. Glock will address them in
> that case. [he is very accurate]

Not in YOUR hands, he's not! (Still busting a gut over that
Lennism! W H A T A H O O T ! ! ! !)

> The three VEs can wait at the curb. If they behave, I might
> invite them to the back patio for milk and cookies. Or not.
>
> Now, if EXCLUSIVITY is so very desireable, feel free to try for
> private access-by-permission only. That will insulate all the
> participants in their "clubhouse" and they can cuss out all
> on the outside without being hurt in the process. They know
> it all anyway and don't need "outsider" information. They are
> "superior!"

A five year old with an NCT callsign and a used HT is superior to
you, Lennie, so it doesn't take much!

Steve, K4YZ

2094. Re: Has It Come To This...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 13:49:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109598586.795321.237790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103660

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

> I'd prefer two readouts - one for transmit frequency, one for
receive, and
> *two* different full size knobs to tune them. I hate it when you
have to
> press a "function" key with one finger, another key with a different
finger,
> and then take your shoes off to free up a couple of toes to access
some
> stupid function that might better be available with its' own control
> (perhaps on the back of the rig if it is seldom used).
>
> Oh well .... getting old beats the heck out of the alternative, I
guess :))

It's already been done, Jim.

It was called the "external VFO". Also accomplished by using
"seperates". A seperate transmitter and receiver... =)

73

Steve, K4YZ

2095. Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 16:36:03 UTC
From: qdw <ebox@qdwebox.net>
Message-ID: <cvvh69$63t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
since last year.

2096. Re: 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 16:51:34 UTC
From: FToddIV <ftoddiv@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1109609494.645051.319240@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103662

75 Meters is boring these days...With no fighting going on, who wants
to be bothered??

2097. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 17:23:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109611411.490019.215490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103663

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1109418595.004270.59820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109271864.160442.290220
> >> @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> >> > Perhaps a compromise could be used. Suppose the code test
> >> > were replaced
> >> > with a test of - say - skill in solving transmission-line
> >> > problems with
> >> > the Smith Chart...

> >> There already are Smith Chart questions in the pool
> >
> > You must have missed this one, Alun:
> >
> >
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/0206dcd
> > 6822763ed?dmode=source
> >
> It's quite whimsical,

I'll take that to mean "funny". Thanks!

>but hardly really comparable with CW.

In some ways I agree. The use of Morse Code/CW in amateur radio is far more common than the use of the Smith Chart, mostly because most hams spend more time operating than designing.

And the Smith Chart is hardly a necessity for RF work. As W3RV points out, there are many software tools which do the job better and faster. The Smith Chart's ingenious graphicality was whiz-bang stuff in its time - just like the old ARRL Lightning Calculators. And Morse Code. All are still useful today. But make no mistake, they're *OLD* methods - all of them.

But if a Smith Chart skill test *were* substituted for the Morse Code test, you can bet that the same sort of debate would arise, and for exactly the same reasons.

> I would be
> happy with just theory tests where both the Smith chart and CW were
in the
> question pool.

I suspect many would agree. I don't.

> If there had to be a skill test it ought to involve
> soldering and/or putting on a PL 259, IMHO, but I don't think even
those
> things as essential, in fact for my money you could just put those
things
> in the theory test too.

Why are they needed? PL-259s aren't needed to build a ham station - I know many hams who avoid PL-259s like the plague, preferring type N and BNC for their superior RF and waterproof characteristics.

There are also solderless PL-259 equivalents.

> When it comes to doing them, people learn quickly
> enough.

Some people do. Others don't even have the sense to file the nickel plating off before soldering, or to buy silverplated connectors.

Point is, *any* test of skill is going to raise the hackles of some folks.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2098. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 17:53:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109613195.980821.319080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103664

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108637750.922635.205620
> >>@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>news:Xns95FFBCCC59B3Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108578593.250795.201100
> >>>>>@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >>>
> >>>>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino
> >>>>
> >>>> has
> >>>>
> >>>>>>>fallen.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>How many countries does that make now, compared to those who
> >>>>
> >>>> still
> >>>>
> >>>>>>have it?
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>It's getting a little difficult to keep track. However, I
> >>>>>think at
> >>>>>least the UK, Ireland, France, Germany, Belgium, the
> >>>>>Netherlands,
> >>>>>Luxembourg, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, Singapore,
> >>>>>Australia,
> >>>>>New Zealand, Papua Niugini, Hong Kong and South Africa have
> >>>>>abolished the code test so far. I think that of these only
> >>>>
> >>>> Austria
> >>>>

> >>>>>and the Netherlands even retain an entry level licence that
> >>>>> doesn't give HF privileges.
> >>>>>That's only 17 countries, but I expect I may have missed some >
> >>
> >>>>>out. I make the combined ham population of the above something
> >
> > over
> >
> >>>>>>>>260,000 (possibly more than half of them no-coders), so
> >
> > probably
> >
> >>>>>a little less
> >>>>>than half the number of hams in the US.
> >>>
> >>>260,000/670,000 = about 38.9%
> >
> >
> > Quite a bit less than half.
> >
> >>>>>However, there are well over
> >>>>>50,000 hams in Canada, which is also likely to abolish the code
> >
> > test
> >
> >>>>>very soon.
> >>>
> >>>Yep. But there are two big points about Canada:
> >>>
> >>>1) The proposal would increase the written test level
> >
> >
> > This is a biggie. Simply proposing to drop the code test is *not*
the
> > same thing as proposing to drop the code test *and* beef up the
> > writtens.
>
> I'd like that quite a bit.

But that hasn't been proposed in the USA.
>
> > IIRC, one of the things proposed in Canada was to make the code
test
> > optional in that if you passed code you didn't need as high a grade
on
> > theory to get the license.
>
> Now that just seems strange.

How so? It's simply an option.

> The test should either be or not be. Not
> some kind of bonus that allows you to be less technically proficient.

Then why require more technical knowledge for an Extra? That license
does not
allow the holder to use any more modes, power, or bands than a General.
Just a few additional slices of spectrum.

> > If the nocodetest folks in the USA proposed options like those they
> > might get a lot more support. But instead, we have folks like NCVEC
> > telling us we must drop code *and* reduce the written still more.
>
> And how! Let's not forget that NCI also supports lowering the test
> requirements.

So do others that support automatic upgrades.
>
> All they have to go on is "gut" feelings. And unfortunately, the
first
> wave of no-code Technicians appear to be dropping like flies. "Gut"
> feelings can be wrong.

I don't see *any* license class "dropping like flies". Check the AH0A
data on renewals - thousands of Techs are renewing every month, either
before the license runs out or in the grace period.

Note that almost 5 years after the 200 restructuring we still retain
more than 50% of Novices and 75% of Advanceds.

> Theirs is a failed and incorrect paradigm.

Maybe. The concept of "lowered entry requirements = sustained growth"
just hasn't happened in the ARS.
>
> We don't need hams that thought that maybe it would be kewl to get a

> ham license some weekend between coffee at Starbucks and their
Pilates
> classes, and then forget about it. We need hams who want to be hams.

Agreed! But of course people have to know what ham radio *is* to do
that!
>
>
> >>>2) Commentary to the Canadian proposal showed a clear majority
> >>>favored the change. That's not the case in the USA, in any survey
> >>> done to date, nor in the commentary to FCC.
> >
> >
> > Another biggie.
> >
> >
> >>>>>Don't forget that Japan, with a ham population of 1.2 Million
> >> (twice

> >
> >>>>>that of the US, out of maybe a fifth of your general
> >>>>>population), has
> >>>>>long had a no-code HF licence, albeit limited to 10 Watts.
> >>>
> >>>Check your numbers!
> >>>
> >>>Japan has over 3.1 million operator licenses - but they cost
> >>> nothing and never expire, so that number is really the number of
ham
> >>> operator licenses issued since 1955, not the number of
present-day hams.
> >>>
> >>>Japanese *station* licenses are a bit over 600,000 now, and have
> >>> been dropping for a decade. The number of new JA licenses has
also been dropping.
> >>>See the AH0A website.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>I'm not sure
> >>>>>how many Japanese hams have a no-code HF licence,
> >>>
> >>>Well over 95%.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>but they may even
> >>>>>rival all the new ones so far put together, although the new > >
> >>>>>guys can use more than 10 Watts! It's probably only a matter of
> >>>>> time before Japan lets all of their hams use HF anyway.
> >>>
> >>>All Japanese hams have HF privileges *today*. Been that way for
> >>>decades.
> >>>
> >>>But for all classes of ham license except 4th class, JA hams have
a
> >>>code test. And there's no move to change that yet.
> >
> >
> > And for ten years JA ham license numbers have been dropping fast.
> > *With* nocodetest HF.
>
>
> Quick! Let's emulate Japan! Except we can do it better by allowing
the
> newbies full power privileges.
>
> Japan's obvious success can be our own!

Indeed.

> >>>>>Even without the low power Japanese stations, the number of
> >>>>>no-coders who have full HF privileges right now is probably
> >>>>> about the same as the number of no-code Techs in the US.
> >>>>>
> >>>
> >>>Close enough.
> >>
> >>And if there are already that number of no-code hams on HF without
> >> any incident, what is the problem with abolishing the code test
here?

> > The USA isn't Japan. Different society, different culture,
different
> > rules.
>
> I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but lets say

> in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a woman
not
> knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning herself when trying
to
> hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry Phil, but what if she
> simply ruined her dress because the coffee was wet?- negligent design
of
> the cup?)
>
> So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt rig, and is
> half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey thingies on

> the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful lawsuits
already!
>
We have that situation today.

> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a painful burn
and
> a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that catches a
ride
> on a thousand watts might just have a very successful lawsuit if we
> don't train them well.

The same is true of ordinary house current.

And it's not just voltage. Get a metal ring a high current supply and
the results aren't pretty. If the ring is on your finger.....

Yet the NCVEC folks say the solution is to create a class of ham that
can't use rigs with more than 30 volts on the electronics...

> RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should be
a
> Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to handle full

> legal limit.

Why? We don't test people on gasoline-handling safety, nor ladder
safety, nor many other things that injure thousands of Americans every
year.

I agree that every ham should be safety-aware. But a true test of
safety would be far more extensive than even the Extra writtens.
>
> And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or some other
> weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things such as
> Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a second
to
> drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the control op
can
> react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full power safety
> requirements.

They are - today, anyway.

> Anything else is criminally negligent.

Umm, Mike, you're saying it's the Govt's role to protect people from
their
own ignorance and unsafe behavior.....

> > It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written* exam
> > looks like.
> >
> > And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
> > operator licenses is way down.
> >
> >
> >>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
> >>>
> >>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
> >>>and the number of countries.
> >>>
> >>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test
> >>>because a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for
> >>>itself.
> >
> >
> >>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish the
> >> code test.
> >
> >
> > The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict
individual
> > ownership of firearms, too.
> >
> >
> >>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
> >>>dropped code testing?
> >

> >
> >>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
> >
> >
> > So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
> > *hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
>
> Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people make it
out
> to be.
>
Of course!

It's the classic case of a red herring diversion. Blame the code test
for everyhting bad while the real problems are not addressed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2099. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 18:05:49 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960B8511E2092elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103665

```
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1109613195.980821.319080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >
>> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108637750.922635.205620
>> >>@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>> >
>> >
>> >>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> >>>>news:Xns95FFBCCC59B3Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1108578593.250795.201100
>> >>>>>@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>Yes, South Africa has abolished the code test! One more domino
>> >
>> > has
>> >
>> >>>>>>>fallen.
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>How many countries does that make now, compared to those who
>> >
>> > still
>> >
>> >>>>>>>have it?
>> >
>> >
>> >>>>>>>It's getting a little difficult to keep track. However, I
>> >>>>>>>think at
>> >>>>>>>least the UK, Ireland, France, Germany, Belgium, the
>> >>>>>>>Netherlands,
>> >>>>>>>Luxembourg, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Switzerland, Singapore,
>> >>>>>>>Australia,
>> >>>>>>>New Zealand, Papua Niugini, Hong Kong and South Africa have
```

>> >>>>>abolished the code test so far. I think that of these only
>> >
>> > Austria
>> >
>> >>>>>and the Netherlands even retain an entry level licence that
>> >>>>> doesn't give HF privileges.
>> >>>>>That's only 17 countries, but I expect I may have missed some >
>> >>
>> >>>>>out. I make the combined ham population of the above something
>> >
>> > over
>> >
>> >>>>>>>260,000 (possibly more than half of them no-coders), so
>> >
>> > probably
>> >
>> >>>>>a little less
>> >>>>>than half the number of hams in the US.
>> >>>
>> >>>260,000/670,000 = about 38.9%
>> >
>> >
>> > Quite a bit less than half.
>> >
>> >>>>>However, there are well over 50,000 hams in Canada, which is also
>> >>>>>likely to abolish the code
>> >
>> > test
>> >
>> >>>>>very soon.
>> >>>
>> >>>Yep. But there are two big points about Canada:
>> >>>
>> >>>1) The proposal would increase the written test level
>> >
>> >
>> > This is a biggie. Simply proposing to drop the code test is *not*
>> > the same thing as proposing to drop the code test *and* beef up the
>> > writtens.
>>
>> I'd like that quite a bit.
>
> But that hasn't been proposed in the USA.
>>
>> > IIRC, one of the things proposed in Canada was to make the code test
>> > optional in that if you passed code you didn't need as high a grade
>> > on theory to get the license.
>>
>> Now that just seems strange.
>

> How so? It's simply an option.
>
>> The test should either be or not be. Not some kind of bonus that
>> allows you to be less technically proficient.
>
> Then why require more technical knowledge for an Extra? That license
> does not
> allow the holder to use any more modes, power, or bands than a General.
> Just a few additional slices of spectrum.
>
>> > If the nocodetest folks in the USA proposed options like those they
>> > might get a lot more support. But instead, we have folks like NCVEC
>> > telling us we must drop code *and* reduce the written still more.
>>
>> And how! Let's not forget that NCI also supports lowering the test
>> requirements.
>
> So do others that support automatic upgrades.
>>
>> All they have to go on is "gut" feelings. And unfortunately, the first
>> wave of no-code Technicians appear to be dropping like flies. "Gut"
>> feelings can be wrong.
>
> I don't see *any* license class "dropping like flies". Check the AH0A
> data on renewals - thousands of Techs are renewing every month, either
> before the license runs out or in the grace period.
>
> Note that almost 5 years after the 200 restructuring we still retain
> more than 50% of Novices and 75% of Advanceds.
>
>> Theirs is a failed and incorrect paradigm.
>
> Maybe. The concept of "lowered entry requirements = sustained growth"
> just hasn't happened in the ARS.
>>
>> We don't need hams that thought that maybe it would be kewl to
>> get a
>
>> ham license some weekend between coffee at Starbucks and their Pilates
>> classes, and then forget about it. We need hams who want to be hams.
>
> Agreed! But of course people have to know what ham radio *is* to do
> that!
>>
>>
>> >>>2) Commentary to the Canadian proposal showed a clear majority
>> >>>favored the change. That's not the case in the USA, in any survey
>> >>>done to date, nor in the commentary to FCC.
>> >
>> >

>> > Another biggie.
>> >
>> >
>> >>>>>Don't forget that Japan, with a ham population of 1.2 Million
>> >>>>>(twice
>> >
>> >>>>>that of the US, out of maybe a fifth of your general
>> >>>>>population), has
>> >>>>>long had a no-code HF licence, albeit limited to 10 Watts.
>> >>>
>> >>>Check your numbers!
>> >>>
>> >>>Japan has over 3.1 million operator licenses - but they cost
>> >>>nothing and never expire, so that number is really the number of
>> >>>ham operator licenses issued since 1955, not the number of
>> >>>present-day hams.
>> >>>
>> >>>Japanese *station* licenses are a bit over 600,000 now, and have
>> >>>been dropping for a decade. The number of new JA licenses has also
>> >>>been dropping. See the AH0A website.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>>I'm not sure
>> >>>>>how many Japanese hams have a no-code HF licence,
>> >>>
>> >>>Well over 95%.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>>but they may even
>> >>>>>rival all the new ones so far put together, although the new > >
>> >>>>>guys can use more than 10 Watts! It's probably only a matter of
>> >>>>>time before Japan lets all of their hams use HF anyway.
>> >>>
>> >>>All Japanese hams have HF privileges *today*. Been that way for
>> >>>decades.
>> >>>
>> >>>But for all classes of ham license except 4th class, JA hams have a
>> >>>code test. And there's no move to change that yet.
>> >
>> >
>> > And for ten years JA ham license numbers have been dropping fast.
>> > *With* nocodetest HF.
>>
>>
>> Quick! Let's emulate Japan! Except we can do it better by
>> allowing
>> the newbies full power privileges.
>>
>> Japan's obvious success can be our own!
>

> Indeed.
>
>> >>>>>Even without the low power Japanese stations, the number of
>> >>>>>no-coders who have full HF privileges right now is probably
>> >>>>> about the same as the number of no-code Techs in the US.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>
>> >>>Close enough.
>> >>
>> >>And if there are already that number of no-code hams on HF without
>> >>any incident, what is the problem with abolishing the code test
>> >>here?
>
>> > The USA isn't Japan. Different society, different culture, different
>> > rules.
>>
>> I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but lets say
>
>> in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a woman
>> not knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning herself when
>> trying to hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry Phil, but what
>> if she simply ruined her dress because the coffee was wet?- negligent
>> design of the cup?)
>>
>> So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt rig, and is
>> half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey thingies on
>
>> the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful lawsuits
>> already!
>>
> We have that situation today.
>
>> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a painful
>> burn
>> and a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that catches
>> a ride on a thousand watts might just have a very successful lawsuit
>> if we don't train them well.
>
> The same is true of ordinary house current.
>
> And it's not just voltage. Get a metal ring a high current supply and
> the results aren't pretty. If the ring is on your finger.....
>
> Yet the NCVEC folks say the solution is to create a class of ham that
> can't use rigs with more than 30 volts on the electronics...
>
>> RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should be a
>> Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to handle full
>
>> legal limit.

>
> Why? We don't test people on gasoline-handling safety, nor ladder
> safety, nor many other things that injure thousands of Americans every
> year.
>
> I agree that every ham should be safety-aware. But a true test of
> safety would be far more extensive than even the Extra writtens.
>>
>> And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or some other
>> weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things such as
>> Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a second to
>> drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the control op can
>> react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full power safety
>> requirements.
>
> They are - today, anyway.
>
>> Anything else is criminally negligent.
>
> Umm, Mike, you're saying it's the Govt's role to protect people from
> their
> own ignorance and unsafe behavior.....
>
>> > It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written* exam
>> > looks like.
>> >
>> > And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
>> > operator licenses is way down.
>> >
>> >
>> >>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
>> >>>
>> >>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
>> >>>and the number of countries.
>> >>>
>> >>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test
>> >>>because a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for
>> >>>itself.
>> >
>> >
>> >>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish the
>> >>code test.
>> >
>> >
>> > The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict
>> > individual ownership of firearms, too.
>> >
>> >
>> >>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
>> >>>dropped code testing?

>> >
>> >
>> >>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
>> >
>> >
>> > So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
>> > *hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
>>
>> Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people make
>> it
>> out to be.
>>
> Of course!
>
> It's the classic case of a red herring diversion. Blame the code test
> for everyhting bad while the real problems are not addressed.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

It depends what you mean. Will repealing the code test provide a vast increase in numbers? No. Will it provide some increase? Yes. Are there thousands of hams that could pass the General or Extra theory trapped above 30 MHz? Yes. Will there be a large increase in HF use? Yes.

2100. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 18:38:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <NyJUd.2269$wy3.418@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103666

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1109528039.728767.129340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 12:11 am
>><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>news:1109400860.647598.309600@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>>>> A real "communicator" will say 'key the mike', or 'key the
> circuit'.
>>>>>
>>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>>Sorry, but real "communicators" refer to "key" when they load an
>>>>encryption code.
>>>>
>>>>BOL, bb
>>>
>>> Poor Dan never got his crypto clearance... :-)
>>>
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>
>>Oh dear....here he is again. Your right. I've been meaning to turn
> in my
>>decoder ring to the arms room ever since I left the KY-7 on the floor
> of the
>>room.
>
> "KY-7?" Is that some kind of jelly? :-)
>
> You must mean KY-57 at least (that's about to go obsolete and
> replaced with already-operational newer crypto things)? How
> about VINSON? Want some more alphabet-soup names? :-)
>
> Dan, you are about as up-to-speed on today's crypto devices
> as you are about on-line TTY rotor machines or the M-209 Code
> Converter. Geez. :-)
>
>>Screw off dipshit.
>
> Go back to the corner and pop some more brews with your bros
> as you wait for someone small to come by, ones that your gang
> think they can beat up, OK? :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

No dipshit I meant KY-7. And I was not discussing modern crypto equipment. Apparantly you are. Thus we have nothing to say to each other....which btw is usual. I don't know why I bother with you Len the loon, oh I remember now. I had to scratch my butt and your name came up.

Dan/W4NTI

2101. Re: Morse Bias: was Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 18:40:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <NAJUd.2272$wy3.1956@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103667

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1109527889.512355.235420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 12:10 am
>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>news:1109377047.979168.303150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>>> news:cvlgp2$12f2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>>> > bb wrote:
>>>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> >>Michael Coslo wrote on Feb 22 2005 9:58 am
>
>
>
>>> BOL, bb
>>>
>>Oh yes indeed they do, as in KAC codes or the old antique KY-7 stuff,
> eh?
>>Well bb we are talking about ham radio. Of course I understand, LEN
> the
>>LOON, that you have no understanding of that subject.
>>
>>Tweek twit.
>
> Dan, did you get drunk again?
>
> You are replying to Brian Burke, yet you think I am "bb."
> You mixed-messed up.
>
> Try to keep everyone straight.
>
> Good luck on this one now...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
But your both so cute and cuddly.

Dan/W4NTI

2102. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 20:45:00 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <cvvvsc$1456$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103668

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

<some snippage>

>>>>>>>However, there are well over
>>>>>>>50,000 hams in Canada, which is also likely to abolish the code
>>>>>>test very soon.
>>>>>
>>>>>Yep. But there are two big points about Canada:
>>>>>
>>>>>1) The proposal would increase the written test level
>>>>>This is a biggie. Simply proposing to drop the code test is *not*
>>>>>the
>
>>>same thing as proposing to drop the code test *and* beef up the
>>>writtens.
>>
>> I'd like that quite a bit.
>
>
> But that hasn't been proposed in the USA.

True. It would probably not fly either in the land of entitlements.

>>>IIRC, one of the things proposed in Canada was to make the code
>>> test optional in that if you passed code you didn't need as high a grade
>>> on theory to get the license.
>>
>> Now that just seems strange.
>
>
> How so? It's simply an option.

Would it be reciprocal? If you did well on the writtens, would they
allow a poor performance on the Code test?

>
>>The test should either be or not be. Not
>>some kind of bonus that allows you to be less technically proficient.
>
>
> Then why require more technical knowledge for an Extra? That license
> does not allow the holder to use any more modes, power, or bands than a General.
> Just a few additional slices of spectrum.

Bad question to ask me, since I would prefer more privilege differences
between General and Extra (Len will no doubt have interesting comments
on that one)

>
>>>If the nocodetest folks in the USA proposed options like those they
>>>might get a lot more support. But instead, we have folks like NCVEC
>>>telling us we must drop code *and* reduce the written still more.
>>
>>And how! Let's not forget that NCI also supports lowering the test
>>requirements.
>
>
> So do others that support automatic upgrades.

In principle I oppose automatic upgrades

>>All they have to go on is "gut" feelings. And unfortunately, the
>> first wave of no-code Technicians appear to be dropping like flies. "Gut"
>>feelings can be wrong.
>
>
> I don't see *any* license class "dropping like flies". Check the AH0A
> data on renewals - thousands of Techs are renewing every month, either
> before the license runs out or in the grace period.

The numbers didn't seem that way to me. Could be wrong tho'

> Note that almost 5 years after the 200 restructuring we still retain
> more than 50% of Novices and 75% of Advanceds.
>
>
>> Theirs is a failed and incorrect paradigm.
>
>
> Maybe. The concept of "lowered entry requirements = sustained growth"
> just hasn't happened in the ARS.
>
>> We don't need hams that thought that maybe it would be kewl to get a
>> ham license some weekend between coffee at Starbucks and their
>> Pilates classes, and then forget about it. We need hams who want to be hams.
>
>
> Agreed! But of course people have to know what ham radio *is* to do
> that!

Someone suggested some short commercial spots on time. I wonder if that
has ever been done. Nothing too elaborate, just getting the name out there.

>>
>>>>>2) Commentary to the Canadian proposal showed a clear majority
>>>>>favored the change. That's not the case in the USA, in any survey
>>>>>done to date, nor in the commentary to FCC.

>>>
>>>
>>>Another biggie.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>Don't forget that Japan, with a ham population of 1.2 Million
>>>>
>>>>(twice that of the US, out of maybe a fifth of your general
>>>>>population), has long had a no-code HF licence, albeit limited to 10 Watts.

>>>>>Check your numbers!
>>>>>
>>>>>Japan has over 3.1 million operator licenses - but they cost
>>>>>nothing and never expire, so that number is really the number of
>>>>> ham operator licenses issued since 1955, not the number of
>>>>> present-day hams.
>
>>>>>Japanese *station* licenses are a bit over 600,000 now, and have
>>>>>been dropping for a decade. The number of new JA licenses has
>>>>> also been dropping. See the AH0A website.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>I'm not sure
>>>>>>>how many Japanese hams have a no-code HF licence,
>>>>>
>>>>>Well over 95%.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>but they may even
>>>>>>>rival all the new ones so far put together, although the new > >
>>>>>>>guys can use more than 10 Watts! It's probably only a matter of
>>>>>>>time before Japan lets all of their hams use HF anyway.
>>>>>
>>>>>All Japanese hams have HF privileges *today*. Been that way for
>>>>>decades.
>>>>>
>>>>>But for all classes of ham license except 4th class, JA hams have
>
> a
>
>>>>>code test. And there's no move to change that yet.
>>>
>>>
>>>And for ten years JA ham license numbers have been dropping fast.
>>>*With* nocodetest HF.
>>
>>
>> Quick! Let's emulate Japan! Except we can do it better by allowing

>
> the
>
>>newbies full power privileges.
>>
>> Japan's obvious success can be our own!
>
>
> Indeed.
>
>
>>>>>>>Even without the low power Japanese stations, the number of
>>>>>>>no-coders who have full HF privileges right now is probably
>>>>>>>about the same as the number of no-code Techs in the US.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Close enough.
>>>>
>>>>And if there are already that number of no-code hams on HF without
>>>>any incident, what is the problem with abolishing the code test
>
> here?
>
>
>>>The USA isn't Japan. Different society, different culture,
>>> different rules.
>>
>>I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but lets say
>>in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a woman
>> not knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning herself when trying
>> to hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry Phil, but what if she
>> simply ruined her dress because the coffee was wet?- negligent design
>> of the cup?)
>>
>>So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt rig, and is
>>half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey thingies on
>>the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful lawsuits
>> already!
>
> We have that situation today.
>
>
>> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a painful burn
>> and
>>a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that catches a
>> ride on a thousand watts might just have a very successful lawsuit if we
>>don't train them well.
>
>
> The same is true of ordinary house current.

Sure. Fortunately most of the public is well educated from a young age
that what comes out of the wall socket can be a bad thing.

> And it's not just voltage. Get a metal ring a high current supply and
> the results aren't pretty. If the ring is on your finger.....

Years ago I used to work on a lot of digital electronics that used
massive power supplies at 5 volts. No rings, no metallic glasses (you
should have seen my NASANerd plastic rim safety glasses!) and no metal
belt buckles, no change in the pocket, etc, etc.

> Yet the NCVEC folks say the solution is to create a class of ham that
> can't use rigs with more than 30 volts on the electronics...

Goofy, goofy, goofy!

And it is the wrong approach. The proper approach is to allow access
coupled with adequate education.

>
>>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should be
>> a Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to handle full
>>legal limit.
>
>
> Why? We don't test people on gasoline-handling safety, nor ladder
> safety, nor many other things that injure thousands of Americans every
> year.

Familiarity breeds contempt, Jim. If gasoline were "introduced today,
the infrastructure for handling it would be mind boggling. And ladder
makers carry huge liability policies. (I had the experience of having a
defective design ladder collapse under me).

> I agree that every ham should be safety-aware. But a true test of
> safety would be far more extensive than even the Extra writtens.

You can't teach Attitude, eh?

>>And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or some other
>>weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things such as
>>Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a second
>> to drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the control op
>> can react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full power safety
>>requirements.
>
>
> They are - today, anyway.

And I'd like to add just a smidgen more knowledge to that!

>
>>Anything else is criminally negligent.
>
>

> Umm, Mike, you're saying it's the Govt's role to protect people from
> their own ignorance and unsafe behavior.....

In some cases. When the licensing requirement encompasses the nation,
then so do the responsibilities.

>
>>>It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written* exam
>>>looks like.
>>>
>>>And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
>>>operator licenses is way down.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
>>>>>
>>>>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
>>>>>and the number of countries.
>>>>>
>>>>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test
>>>>>because a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for
>>>>>itself.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish the
>>>>code test.
>>>
>>>
>>>The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict
>
> individual
>
>>>ownership of firearms, too.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
>>>>>dropped code testing?
>>>
>>>
>>>>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
>>>
>>>
>>>So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
>>>*hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
>>
>> Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people make it
>> out to be.
>>
>

> Of course!
>
> It's the classic case of a red herring diversion. Blame the code test
> for everyhting bad while the real problems are not addressed.

You mean like dragging the gay marriage issue into the Social Security problem? 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2103. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 21:04:32 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d00110$10n2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103669

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in

<snippage>

>>It's the classic case of a red herring diversion. Blame the code test
>>for everyhting bad while the real problems are not addressed.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>
>
>
> It depends what you mean. Will repealing the code test provide a vast
> increase in numbers? No. Will it provide some increase? Yes. Are there
> thousands of hams that could pass the General or Extra theory trapped above
> 30 MHz? Yes. Will there be a large increase in HF use? Yes.

How are people "trapped" above 30 MHz? When I was limited in that
manner, I could take a test and have full access?

In addition I would note that many of the No-coded Tech's are perfectly
happy to be where they are at. How many that is overall, I don't know,
but it's conjecture, same as yours.

All of our discussion of how many new HF qualified Hams will show up
due to a presumed elimination of the Morse code test is a bit of a red
herring in itself.

Is it a good thing to have more new Hams? Most people would agree.

Is it a good thing to reduce qualifications to get new Hams?

Probably somewhat less agreement.

What has our experience with uncoded licensees been?

I'd say generally good, but I am concerned about retention.

What has happened in other countries in which no code has been needed
for HF access?

I'd have to say that even the idea of trying to argue about it from an
HF/VHF access view is a red herring too.

Seems like we should be trying to ensure that New Hams remain Hams. Is
HF access the secret? I doubt it. HF is in general more difficult to set
up for and to operate.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2104. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 21:31:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109626310.235411.271810@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103670

kelly@dvol.com mumbled around bites of a hoagie on Sun, Feb 27 2005
7:44 pm
and followed his usual nastygram trolling with this:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Phil Kane" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 8:23 am
>> >On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 21:39:00 -0500, Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >
>> >>Smith charts are just as obsolete as Ohms law.............
>> >
>> > With some of the Russian-trained engineers whose work my wife has
to
>> > correct, Ohm's Law, as well as electrical codes, are mere
"suggestions".
>>
>> Phil, with some of the AMERICAN trained RF folks I've
>> worked with, the Smith Chart presentation on paper or on
>> the display screens of various RF instruments is an
>> indispensable tool for quickly observing both narrow- and
>> wideband behavior of RF structures.
>>
>> Ohm's Law of Resistance is universally accepted in the radio
>> and electronics community worldwide...but there are some
>> huge exceptions with "foreign" concepts such as the Smith
>> Chart. Olde-tyme hammes haven't a clue on what the
>> wonderful chart tells them nor can they see the relationship
>> between complex quantities nor understand "normalization"
>> of impedance. Something involving algebra of three or more
>> quantities is apparently "rocket science" to them. <shrug>
>>
>> I could do complex quantity calculations on my little
>> AMERICAN-made HP-25 and HP-67 pocket calculators
>> (made in HP's old plant in Oregon) and can still do them
>> on the Singapore-constructed HP 32S II (but designed by
>> HP) I have now.
>
>It was made in Indonesia Sweetums. Get SOMETHING right at least onece
>in awhile WILLYA?
>
><shrug>

Of course it is fabricated in Indonesia. That's molded into the
back of the HP 32S II case. :-)

WHERE it was put together shouldn't matter, or should it?

Do you think the internal ROM has "different" constants loaded into it just because of the distance between Indonesia and Singapore? And WHICH part of Indonesia are you (apparently) rooting for? [Singapore is a city-state on the SE tip of the Malaysian penninsula, not far from Kuala Lumpur, easily located...but Indonesia is several islands farther east and south] My HP 32 was assembled in 1987...perhaps you are going to argue that it gives "old" answers? :-)

You got caught in simple entrapment. :-) The only contest was WHICH PCTA extra would start shouting and hollering about ERRORS! MISTAKES! EVIL! :-) You beat the others which were bound to jump in and do the personal criticism bit with overtones of "he isn't worthy of being IN here with us 'superior' PCTA extras!." :-)

OK, big calculating guy expert, where was/is the HP scientific calculator complex? [Phil Kane should know] When did the first scientific pocket calculator appear? What preceded it out of HP, the old HP? Was Kellie's name on programs in the HP programmable calculator program library?

Irrelevant, you say? Of course it is in here...just as your "correction" of where an HP 32S calculator is put together. But that doesn't stop you going on some Philly Snipe Hunt in here, does it? :-)

One excellent upgrade that HP did after the 67/97 series was to add in COMPLEX quantity handling. Two numbers, the Real and Imaginary parts, can be handled as it one (scalar) number for the four functions. For impedance/ admittance calculations that is very very handy. Of course one has to know what the formulas ARE in order to use them...and to have the measuring equipment to supply those numbers for calculation. One doesn't need to go to Russia to "learn" those formulas. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2105. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 21:34:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109626455.778818.217680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103671

kelly@dvol.com regurgitated the following on Sun, Feb 27 2005 7:35 pm
after a bad hoagie gave him indigestion:

>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1109527218.137133.13160
>
>> > Mathcad . . ah, yes . . If you do any engineering math which gets
>> > complicated in Excel you need Mathcad Alun. I've been using it for
>> > about ten years and it's become absolutely indispensible. Maybe
only a
>> > half hour after I first loaded and fired Mathcad up those ten
years
>> > ago and started messing with it I was running rapid-fire
"what-if's" on
>> > a double integral I'd dreamed up as an exercise. Very intuitive.
>> > Otherwise I wouldn't be able to run it. Heh.
>
>> I'm a patent agent these days. I may write patent applications for
>> communications systems that have complex equations in them, but
that's
>> about as close as I get to having to solve mathematical problems,
except in
>> the hobby of course.
>
>OK, you've explained that before but I forgot what you're doing to
earn
>your daily bread. It's the guys developing the systems who need to
>crunch the numbers, not thee. I've gone off on a couple career
tangents
>over the years and got into the marketing and sales game and went for
>several spells in which I seldom even needed a handheld calculator.
But
>in the past 15 years I've been almost 100% back to the design and
build
>end of the biz and much of it has involved some fairly serious
>analytical work. Otherwise I probably wouldn't have bothered with
>Mathcad. Now that I'm semi-retired and just sniping a project here and
>there I've acquired a whole collection of design tools like Mathcad,
>CAD and some bits and pieces of structural design FEA I can really
>focus on hobby sorts of things.

Tsk, tsk. Just a few short years ago Kellie was bitching and
moaning about "Computers" being "useless!" :-)

>Agreed. I've been pecking at HF wire antenna modeling via Nec Win Plus
>and am getting all sorts of two-decimal-place accuracy results which I
>bloody well know from experience are probably at least 3-5% off one
way
>or another. Back to the diagonal cutters & soldering gun . . as usual.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. If Kellie already "knows" the results are "off,"
why bother with those analysis programs?

What exactly is Kellie bitching about in "results?" VSWR?
Oh, excuse me, hams don't use VSWR...it is "SWR" in ham
terms. :-)

>> For this reason I'm actually not sure of the value of testing hams
on Smith
>> charts, but I felt pretty sure I had seen a question on them in the
pool?
>
>Beats me, I haven't spent much time poking around the pools. I don't
>see the point to testing for "Smith chart operations"any more than I
>see the point to test questions on using sliderule log scales to
>calculate decibles up/down.

Isn't MORSEMANSHIP the ONLY requisite skill needed to
be a "real" ham? :-)

Aren't "real" hams supposed to "work DX on HF with CW?" :-)

ARRL has ALL the plans/instructions/data on wire antennas for
"real" hams. Just follow instructions. No Russian/rocket-science
math needed!

>Based on some of the absolutely idiotic
>posts about antenna matching issues by duly licensed individuals I've
>seen in other venues indicate to me that if nothing else more test
>questions on transmission line theory and practice need to be "loaded"
>into the QPs.

Tsk, tsk. Passing all the TESTS to become "duly qualified" means
all "know" all about everything in radio, doesn't it? :-)

Those that don't have amateur radio licenses can't possibly know
anything about radio, can they? :-)

Obviously not. Without passing any ham tests, they don't have
the "interest" or "dedication" nor do they show "committment to
the ham community!" Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk.... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2106. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 21:50:19 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <opWdnYPjn5yBD77fRVn-rw@giganews.com>
Source record: 103672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

qdw wrote:
> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the
> law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> daily, since last year.

One 'o then auntrapenoors Bush has been talking about?

Jeff

2107. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-02-28 22:22:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1109629331.524422.91510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103673

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com mumbled around bites of a hoagie on Sun, Feb 27 2005
> 7:44 pm
> and followed his usual nastygram trolling with this:
>

> >> I could do complex quantity calculations on my little
> >> AMERICAN-made HP-25 and HP-67 pocket calculators
> >> (made in HP's old plant in Oregon) and can still do them
> >> on the Singapore-constructed HP 32S II (but designed by
> >> HP) I have now.
> >
> >It was made in Indonesia Sweetums. Get SOMETHING right at least
onece
> >in awhile WILLYA?
> >
> ><shrug>
>
> Of course it is fabricated in Indonesia. That's molded into the
> back of the HP 32S II case. :-)

End of.

> answers? :-)
>
> You got caught in simple entrapment. :-)

Nah, *you* trapped yerself Sweetums.

You screwed up.

Again.

<yawn>

2108. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 02:11:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
> since last year.
>
Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.

Next.

2109. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 02:15:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228211515.890$vf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> qdw wrote:
> > Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> > who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> > non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> > throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
> > law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> > daily, since last year.
>
> One 'o then auntrapenoors Bush has been talking about?
>
> Jeff
>
A bird in the hand is worth two in the bush.

2110. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 04:00:34 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_6WdnRL0B-uPdL7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 103676

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1109557030.131111.217570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1109555333.853538.83100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1109532592.108495.97660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> >> "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:hMkUd.41244$xt.26446@fed1read07...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> > news:1109477194.594936.304630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> >> >> >>> From I.T.U. Regulations
>> >> >> >>> a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
>> >> >> >>> b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1
> Dot.
>> >> >> >>> c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
>> >> >> >>> d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> That's all very nice.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>>From any FCC regulation, in (milli)seconds, how long is a
> "dot"
>> >> > when
>> >> >> >> the word rate is 5WPM?
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> > The word PARIS is the standard to determine CW code speed.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > If you send the word PARIS 5 times in a minute (5WPM) you
> have
>> > sent
>> >> > 250
>> >> >> > elements (using correct spacing).
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > 250 elements into 60 seconds per minute = 240 milliseconds
> per
>> >> > element.
```

>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > ITU not FCC
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> And relative timing of the elements is a recommendation not a
>> >> > regulation.
>> >> >> There is a difference.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >> >
>> >> > Dee, thank you. Rather than just regurging ARRL-speak, you just
>> > stated
>> >> > something obvious and still true.
>> >> >
>> >> > You make my point.
>> >> >
>> >> > The think with which we deny people access to HF with is merely
> a
>> >> > suggestion.
>> >>
>> >> Do not read into my post more than is there. I was discussing
> only
>> > the
>> >> standard spacing not test requirements.
>> >>
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Dee, do not read more into Part 97 than is there. Morse Code is
> not
>> > defined in Part 97, but Part 97 allows surrogate examiners to deny
>> > people access to HF. They do it every day and don't know why.
>> >
>>
>> Once again you assume more than is there. I said nothing in this
> thread
>> about Part 97 defining the code. Nor have I said anything in this
> thread
>> about examination methods.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> And once again you think you can jump into a thread about what's not in
> Part 97 and think it's not germane to Part 97.
>

My comment was quite germane to the timing of elements and that is the only
item I was commenting on.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2111. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 04:50:42 UTC
From: qdw <ebox@qdwebox.net>
Message-ID: <d00s9hkcv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com...
> "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>> throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the law.
>> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
>> since last year.
>>
> Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>
> Next.

Well there are a lot more clean, unclean, or otherwise KWs on the air,
with this guy doing 40 grand worth of business daily. The guy is selling
amps all the way up to the 10 KW range, not to mention all the imported
hf transceivers he is selling. Apparently, he has found some loophole in
the law that he has driven a Mack truck through. He is advertising openly
too. Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out of the
store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a way how
hams get worked up over something so unimportant.

2112. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 04:56:03 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109652963.652207.30360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

qdw wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com...
> > "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> >> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> >> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> >> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
law.
> >> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
daily,
> >> since last year.
> >>
> > Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> >
> > Next.
>
>
> Well there are a lot more clean, unclean, or otherwise KWs on the
air,
> with this guy doing 40 grand worth of business daily. The guy is
selling
> amps all the way up to the 10 KW range, not to mention all the
imported
> hf transceivers he is selling. Apparently, he has found some
loophole in
> the law that he has driven a Mack truck through. He is advertising
openly
> too. Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out of
the
> store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a way
how
> hams get worked up over something so unimportant.

If it's so unimportant then how bout the guys name and phone number?
he's in business to sell right? whys he hiding?

2113. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 05:07:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050301000709.920$Mx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com...
> > "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> >> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> >> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> >> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
> >> law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> >> daily, since last year.
> >>
> > Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> >
> > Next.
>
> Well there are a lot more clean, unclean, or otherwise KWs on the air,
> with this guy doing 40 grand worth of business daily. The guy is selling
> amps all the way up to the 10 KW range, not to mention all the imported
> hf transceivers he is selling. Apparently, he has found some loophole
> in the law that he has driven a Mack truck through. He is advertising
> openly too. Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out
> of the store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a
> way how hams get worked up over something so unimportant.
>
In other words, he's asking for trouble and will likely find some. I live
out in the sticks SW of Cleveland with no neighbors, and yet I challenge
myself to not TVI my own house. I figure that as a fairly clean input, the
way the crow flies and lands.

I do have one amp that will not conform to those standards, but it's
been moth-balled for years.

BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have nothing
better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.

At any rate, we're all radio cooling fans. :)

2114. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 06:14:20 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <0LTUd.10965$Ba3.8277@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out of the
> store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a way how
> hams get worked up over something so unimportant.
>

As a ham I'd just take a look around the store and then tell
the FCC what I think might be violations. And then
let the FCC take it from there. No hissy fits on my
part, let the FCC decide if there are really violations
or not.

2115. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 06:16:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050301011649.515$Al@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> > Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out of the
> > store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a way
> > how hams get worked up over something so unimportant.
> >
>
> As a ham I'd just take a look around the store and then tell
> the FCC what I think might be violations. And then
> let the FCC take it from there. No hissy fits on my
> part, let the FCC decide if there are really violations
> or not.
>
Aren't they a division of the IRS?

2116. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 06:30:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109658621.997186.70420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103682

kelly@dvol.com barfed the following on Mon, Feb 28 2005 2:22 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com mumbled around bites of a hoagie on Sun, Feb 27 2005
7:44 pm
>> and followed his usual nastygram trolling with this:
>>
>> >> I could do complex quantity calculations on my little
>> >> AMERICAN-made HP-25 and HP-67 pocket calculators
>> >> (made in HP's old plant in Oregon) and can still do them
>> >> on the Singapore-constructed HP 32S II (but designed by
>> >> HP) I have now.
>> >
>> >It was made in Indonesia Sweetums. Get SOMETHING right at least
onece
>> >in awhile WILLYA?
>> >
>> ><shrug>
>>
>> Of course it is fabricated in Indonesia. That's molded into the
>> back of the HP 32S II case. :-)
>
>End of.

NO WAY. :-)

You got caught, not me.

1. It doesn't matter WHERE a calculator is made as long as it works
and produces accurate results.

2. The original HP calculator works were in a separate division in
Corvalis, Oregon. [HP was the first to come out with a
scientific
pocket size calculator] Corvalis was also the site of the HP
Programmable Calculator Program Library; HP closed that down
in reorganizing or something, before they concentrated on
desktop computers. They had some good, handy programs with
the 67/97 mag strips. [you might have seen my name in their
listings...:-)]

3. You or anyone else can buy a Model 33 pocket calculator on-line
at the HP store; Model 32 has been discontinued but there's
still
support for it.

>> answers? :-)
>>
>> You got caught in simple entrapment. :-)
>
>Nah, *you* trapped yerself Sweetums.
>
>You screwed up.

Only in expecting Rev. Jimmy Who to come charging in on that country of fabrication. :-)

I didn't expect Kellie to know which way was where. After all, those "all WW2 jeeps had 24 VDC batteries" is something you never really said, right? Except you said it and it took the longest time for you to admit it. :-) Then there was the famous "26 Patents!" claim when you only had ONE and were the co-inventor, not the sole inventor. You eventually tried to squirm out of that by mumbling "foreign patent filings" to account for 25 out of those 26. :-)

You are trying to make a Big Molehill out of a pinch of dirt (relatively speaking) and NONE of that is relevant. Country of fabrication or of origin makes no never mind if a calculating device WORKS.

Now, on WORKING with a calculator, can you do complex number arithmetic on your HP 32S? [presuming you have one, that is, which hasn't been verified yet] I can do it on mine, no problem. It's easy.
So easy, I don't need some big PC program or Java script to do simple algebra solutions.

Now, MathCad is a nice package. It's also overpriced, wayyyy overpriced. Much the same as Adobe soaks customers with their softwares. If you don't have references to look up some common formulas (or don't know how), MathCad has some free program applications for common solutions, all nicely canned and ready to go. Beyond those, though, most MathCad users are rather in the dark without the "script" to follow. With a calculator such as an HP 33 (under $60) anyone can plug in their own common programs plus constants held separately (if desired), all remaining intact even when the thing is turned off.

But, you keep trying to build that molehill on "where something was made!" That's downright stupid, sparky. :-)

Kellie, I don't think you've got much put together on this whole exchange. It isn't enough to make you an "authority," much less "qualified." Go over to your raddio and Work DX On HF With CW. I'm sure you can do that. :-)

Good luck with that one now...

Best regards,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2117. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 11:50:30 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109677830.674867.249030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103683

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 7:36 am

> >He's already stated several times that he meant the "just did it" to
> apply
> >to getting a ham license. So why do you have to keep berating the
> guy? We
> >all make mistakes in sentence structure that lead to unintended
> meanings.
> >Let it go already.
>
> That's one of those PCTA Double Standards, isn't it?

It's one of the more defining elements that make a PCTA.

2118. Re: Is Morse Code Exam legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 11:53:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109677988.156590.34870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103684

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1109557030.131111.217570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1109555333.853538.83100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1109532592.108495.97660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> >> "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >> news:hMkUd.41244$xt.26446@fed1read07...
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >> >
news:1109477194.594936.304630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Caveat Lector wrote:
> >> >> >> >>> From I.T.U. Regulations
> >> >> >> >>> a.. A Dash is equal to three dots.
> >> >> >> >>> b.. The Space between parts of the same letter is = 1
> > Dot.
> >> >> >> >>> c.. The Space between two letters is = 3 Dots.
> >> >> >> >>> d.. The Space between two words is = 7 Dots.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> That's all very nice.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >>>From any FCC regulation, in (milli)seconds, how long is a
> > "dot"
> >> >> > when
> >> >> >> >> the word rate is 5WPM?
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > The word PARIS is the standard to determine CW code speed.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > If you send the word PARIS 5 times in a minute (5WPM) you
> > have
> >> > sent
> >> >> > 250
> >> >> >> > elements (using correct spacing).
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > 250 elements into 60 seconds per minute = 240 milliseconds
```

> > per
> >> >> > element.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > ITU not FCC
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> And relative timing of the elements is a recommendation not
a
> >> >> > regulation.
> >> >> >> There is a difference.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Dee, thank you. Rather than just regurging ARRL-speak, you
just
> >> > stated
> >> >> > something obvious and still true.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You make my point.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > The think with which we deny people access to HF with is
merely
> > a
> >> >> > suggestion.
> >> >>
> >> >> Do not read into my post more than is there. I was discussing
> > only
> >> > the
> >> >> standard spacing not test requirements.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> > Dee, do not read more into Part 97 than is there. Morse Code is
> > not
> >> > defined in Part 97, but Part 97 allows surrogate examiners to
deny
> >> > people access to HF. They do it every day and don't know why.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Once again you assume more than is there. I said nothing in this
> > thread
> >> about Part 97 defining the code. Nor have I said anything in this
> > thread
> >> about examination methods.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > And once again you think you can jump into a thread about what's
not in
> > Part 97 and think it's not germane to Part 97.

> >
>
> My comment was quite germane to the timing of elements and that is
the only
> item I was commenting on.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Perhaps you think you can play basketball and only come in for the free
throws? You're either in the game or you're not.

As Cecily used to say, "Can you dunk?"

2119. Re: 3945 kHz LSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 12:00:32 UTC
From: "Dr. Daffodil Swain" <wa4jm@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <APYUd.11097$Ba3.10923@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103685

You must have must the show last Sat Nite. N1SOB declared the frequency OFF LIMITS to the unlicensed Whigger.

"FToddIV" <ftoddiv@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1109609494.645051.319240@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> 75 Meters is boring these days...With no fighting going on, who wants
> to be bothered??
>

2120. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 12:43:02 UTC
From: qdw <ebox@qdwebox.net>
Message-ID: <d01nu4$j5e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote in message
news:d010ml0lt2@news3.newsguy.com...
> On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 11:36:03 -0500 qdw wrote:
>
>> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
>> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
>> since last year.
>>
>>
>
> Most likely selling to truckers.
> KC is a big truck city.
> $40k a day? I don't think so.
> This one single guy "finds" a loophole when nobody else could find it?
> Yeah right.
> The law clearly states, no amplifiers, no uncertified imported radios.
> Period.
> Since you have to open the case and modify the radio to make it work on
> CB,
> you just violated the law.
> Give it time, the feds will crack his head wide open.

The 3965 khz pirate seems to be linked in some way to this guy. This
weekend there was a simo pirate broadcast on 3965/6673, and the
pirate was giving out a bunch of detailed info about the KC CB sales
operation, kind like he was "advertising" for the guy. 27680 was
mentioned as a channel where KC local info was available. Do you
think an activist liberal judge is allowing the guy to stay open?

2121. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 12:56:26 UTC
From: qdw <ebox@qdwebox.net>
Message-ID: <d01omp$m2a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109652963.652207.30360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> qdw wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com...
>> > "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>> >> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>> >> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>> >> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>> >> throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the
> law.
>> >> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> daily,
>> >> since last year.
>> >>
>> > Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>> >
>> > Next.
>>
>>
>> Well there are a lot more clean, unclean, or otherwise KWs on the
> air,
>> with this guy doing 40 grand worth of business daily. The guy is
> selling
>> amps all the way up to the 10 KW range, not to mention all the
> imported
>> hf transceivers he is selling. Apparently, he has found some
> loophole in
>> the law that he has driven a Mack truck through. He is advertising
> openly
>> too. Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out of
> the
>> store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a way
> how
>> hams get worked up over something so unimportant.
>
> If it's so unimportant then how bout the guys name and phone number?
> he's in business to sell right? whys he hiding?
>

According to the 3965 khz pirate, KC local info is available on the
27680 channel. I have been monitoring the freq. but have not heard
anything of value yet, just some general chatter. Let us know if you

get an address, name, or phone nr?

2122. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 13:03:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109682237.620183.76520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103688

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> <some snippage>
>
> >>>>>>>However, there are well over
> >>>>>>>50,000 hams in Canada, which is also likely to abolish the
code
> >>>>>>test very soon.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Yep. But there are two big points about Canada:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>1) The proposal would increase the written test level
> >>>>>This is a biggie. Simply proposing to drop the code test is
not
> >>>>>the
> >
> >>>same thing as proposing to drop the code test *and* beef up the
> >>>writtens.
> >>
> >> I'd like that quite a bit.

> > But that hasn't been proposed in the USA.
>
>True. It would probably not fly either in the land of >entitlements.
>
Where is the "land of entitlements"? Sweden?
>
> >>>IIRC, one of the things proposed in Canada was to make the
> >>> code
> >>> test optional in that if you passed code you didn't need as high
a grade
> >>> on theory to get the license.
> >>
> >> Now that just seems strange.

> > How so? It's simply an option.
>
>Would it be reciprocal? If you did well on the writtens, would they
> allow a poor performance on the Code test?

I think the idea was you could get, say a 70 on the writtens (up from
the current passing grade of *60*!) and pass code, *or* get, say, an 85
on the writtens and the code wouldn't be required at all. I'm just
guessing at the numbers but you see the concept.

> >>The test should either be or not be. Not
> >>some kind of bonus that allows you to be less technically
proficient.

> > Then why require more technical knowledge for an Extra? That
license
> > does not allow the holder to use any more modes, power, or bands
than a General.
> > Just a few additional slices of spectrum.
>
> Bad question to ask me, since I would prefer more privilege
> differences between General and Extra

Me too, but that's not how FCC has implemented it.

>(Len will no doubt have interesting comments on that one)

Len's comments are rarely if ever interesting, IMHO. Error-laden, yes,
but not interesting.

> >>>If the nocodetest folks in the USA proposed options like
> >>>those they
> >>>might get a lot more support. But instead, we have folks like
NCVEC
> >>>telling us we must drop code *and* reduce the written still more.
> >>
> >>And how! Let's not forget that NCI also supports lowering the test
> >>requirements.
> >
> >
> > So do others that support automatic upgrades.
>
> In principle I oppose automatic upgrades

Me too.
>
> >>All they have to go on is "gut" feelings. And unfortunately, the
> >> first wave of no-code Technicians appear to be dropping like
flies. "Gut"
> >>feelings can be wrong.
> >
> >
> > I don't see *any* license class "dropping like flies". Check the
AH0A
> > data on renewals - thousands of Techs are renewing every month,
either
> > before the license runs out or in the grace period.
>
> The numbers didn't seem that way to me. Could be wrong tho'

Point is, reducing the requirements hasn't promoted growth. Recall that
before April 2000, the Tech required passing two written tests
totalling 65 questions. Now it's a single 35 question test - yet we

don't see growth!
>
> > Note that almost 5 years after the 200 restructuring we still
retain
> > more than 50% of Novices and 75% of Advanceds.
>
> >> Theirs is a failed and incorrect paradigm.
> >
> >
> > Maybe. The concept of "lowered entry requirements = sustained
growth"
> > just hasn't happened in the ARS.
> >
> >> We don't need hams that thought that maybe it would be kewl to get
a
> >> ham license some weekend between coffee at Starbucks and their
> >> Pilates classes, and then forget about it. We need hams who want
to be hams.
> >
> >
> > Agreed! But of course people have to know what ham radio *is* to do
> > that!
>
> Someone suggested some short commercial spots on time.

"on time"?

> I wonder if that
> has ever been done. Nothing too elaborate, just getting the
> name out there.

Good idea, but expensive.
>
> >>>>>2) Commentary to the Canadian proposal showed a clear majority
> >>>>>favored the change. That's not the case in the USA, in any
survey
> >>>>>done to date, nor in the commentary to FCC.
>
> >>>Another biggie.
>>
> >>>>>>>Don't forget that Japan, with a ham population of 1.2 Million
> >>>>
> >>>>(twice that of the US, out of maybe a fifth of your general
> >>>>>population), has long had a no-code HF licence, albeit limited
to 10 Watts.
>
> >>>>>Check your numbers!
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Japan has over 3.1 million operator licenses - but they cost
> >>>>>nothing and never expire, so that number is really the number of
> >>>>> ham operator licenses issued since 1955, not the number of

> >>>>> present-day hams.
> >
> >>>>>Japanese *station* licenses are a bit over 600,000 now, and have
> >>>>>been dropping for a decade. The number of new JA licenses has
> >>>>> also been dropping. See the AH0A website.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>>I'm not sure
> >>>>>>>>how many Japanese hams have a no-code HF licence,
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Well over 95%.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>>but they may even
> >>>>>>>>rival all the new ones so far put together, although the new >
>
> >>>>>>>>guys can use more than 10 Watts! It's probably only a matter
of
> >>>>>>>>time before Japan lets all of their hams use HF anyway.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>All Japanese hams have HF privileges *today*. Been that way for
> >>>>>decades.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>But for all classes of ham license except 4th class, JA hams
have
> >
> > a
> >
> >>>>>code test. And there's no move to change that yet.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>And for ten years JA ham license numbers have been dropping fast.
> >>>*With* nocodetest HF.
> >>
> >>
> >> Quick! Let's emulate Japan! Except we can do it better by allowing
> >
> > the
> >
> >>newbies full power privileges.
> >>
> >> Japan's obvious success can be our own!
> >
> >
> > Indeed.
> >
> >
> >>>>>>>>Even without the low power Japanese stations, the number of
> >>>>>>>>no-coders who have full HF privileges right now is probably

> >>>>>>>about the same as the number of no-code Techs in the US.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Close enough.
> >>>>
> >>>>And if there are already that number of no-code hams on HF
without
> >>>>any incident, what is the problem with abolishing the code test
> >
> > here?
> >
> >
> >>>The USA isn't Japan. Different society, different culture,
> >>> different rules.
> >>
> >>I don't know if any of us geniuses have though about it, but lets
say
> >>in a country where a business can get successfully sued for a woman
> >> not knowing that here hot coffee was hot, and burning herself when
trying
> >> to hold the darn thing between her legs. (sorry Phil, but what if
she
> >> simply ruined her dress because the coffee was wet?- negligent
design
> >> of the cup?)
> >>
> >>So lets have a newbie ham that fires up his/her kilowatt rig, and
is
> >>half fried because no one told him not to touch the wirey thingies
on
> >>the back of the box thingy. Ohh, I can see the successful lawsuits
> >> already!
> >
> > We have that situation today.
> >
> >
> >> I've nailed myself with 50 watts, enough to produce a painful burn
> >> and
> >>a cute little scar on the boo-boo finger. Some dunce that catches a
> >> ride on a thousand watts might just have a very successful lawsuit
if we
> >>don't train them well.
> >
> >
> > The same is true of ordinary house current.
>
> Sure. Fortunately most of the public is well educated from a young
age
> that what comes out of the wall socket can be a bad thing.

Yet people are still shocked and electrocuted doing really dumb things
with electricity.
>
>
> > And it's not just voltage. Get a metal ring a high current supply
and
> > the results aren't pretty. If the ring is on your finger.....
>
> Years ago I used to work on a lot of digital electronics that used
> massive power supplies at 5 volts. No rings, no metallic glasses (you

> should have seen my NASANerd plastic rim safety glasses!) and no
metal
> belt buckles, no change in the pocket, etc, etc.

> > Yet the NCVEC folks say the solution is to create a class of ham
that
> > can't use rigs with more than 30 volts on the electronics...
>
> Goofy, goofy, goofy!

Tell it to NCVEC. They think they know better than you.

> And it is the wrong approach. The proper approach is to allow access
> coupled with adequate education.

Watta concept!
>
> >
> >>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should
be
> >> a Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to handle
full
> >>legal limit.
> >
> >
> > Why? We don't test people on gasoline-handling safety, nor ladder
> > safety, nor many other things that injure thousands of Americans
every
> > year.
>
> Familiarity breeds contempt, Jim. If gasoline were "introduced today,

> the infrastructure for handling it would be mind boggling.

Shrub says hydrogen is the answer. Oh the humanity.

>And ladder
> makers carry huge liability policies. (I had the experience of
having a
> defective design ladder collapse under me).
>
>
> > I agree that every ham should be safety-aware. But a true test of

> > safety would be far more extensive than even the Extra writtens.
>
> You can't teach Attitude, eh?
>
My employers have all taken safety *very* seriously. It's an
attitude that can be taught, but it's a constant thing.
>
> >>And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or some other
> >>weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things such
as
> >>Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a second
> >> to drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the control
op
> >> can react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full power
safety
> >>requirements.
> >
> >
> > They are - today, anyway.
>
> And I'd like to add just a smidgen more knowledge to that!

Me too but then it's called "hazing" or some such nonsense.
>
> >>Anything else is criminally negligent.
> >
> >
> > Umm, Mike, you're saying it's the Govt's role to protect people
from
> > their own ignorance and unsafe behavior.....
>
> In some cases. When the licensing requirement encompasses the nation,

> then so do the responsibilities.

Ya can't outsmart Darwin.

> >>>It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written*
exam
> >>>looks like.
> >>>
> >>>And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
> >>>operator licenses is way down.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
> >>>>>
> >>>>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
> >>>>>and the number of countries.
> >>>>>

> >>>>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test
> >>>>>because a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for
> >>>>>itself.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish
the
> >>>>code test.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict
> >
> > individual
> >
> >>>ownership of firearms, too.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
> >>>>>dropped code testing?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
> >>>*hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
> >>
> >> Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people make it
> >> out to be.
> >>
> >
> > Of course!
> >
> > It's the classic case of a red herring diversion. Blame the code
test
> > for everyhting bad while the real problems are not addressed.
>
> You mean like dragging the gay marriage issue into the Social
Security
> problem? 8^)
>
Yep. The interesting thing is that allowing gay civil unions would
increase tax revenue.

And speaking of marriage: One thing I find interesting is that the
divorce rates in the "red" states are consistently and clearly higher
than the rates in "blue" states. Seems those folks who rant and rave
about "family values" and "covenants" can't seem to stay hitched very
long.

Here's the kind of thinking being put forth:

One plan being suggested in DC is for the USA to create a special savings account for each baby born in the USA, starting on a certain date. The Feds would put $2000 into each account each year until the kid reaches 18. Total investment $36,000. Assuming about 6% annual interest, each account would be worth over a million dollars when the "baby" reached 65.

Nice retirement package, huh? Except it won't work for several reasons completely obvious to anyone with common sense.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2123. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 14:21:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109686916.270772.118240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103689

These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held by
individuals on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of February 28, 2005:

Novice - 29,216 (decrease of 20,113)
Technician - 266,509 (increase of 61,115)
Technician Plus - 52,137 (decrease of 76,723)
General - 137,456 (increase of 24,779)
Advanced - 77,351 (decrease of 22,590)
Extra - 106,243 (increase of 27,493)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,646 (decrease of 15,608)

Total all classes - 668,753 (decrease of 6,039)

Note that these totals do not include licenses that
have expired but are in the grace period. They also
do not include club, military, RACES or other
station-only licenses.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2124. FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 15:02:05 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109689325.032940.133970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103690

http://www.arrl.org

scroll down about 3 stories

Article sez FCC is working on NPRM that will address all 18 existing proposals. Expected to become public about the middle of 2005. With the usual comment period, etc., Report and Order by maybe late 2006/early 2007.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2125. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 15:18:08 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <QI%Ud.1034$L17.365@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103691

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109689325.032940.133970
@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

> http://www.arrl.org
>
> scroll down about 3 stories
>
> Article sez FCC is working on NPRM that will address all 18 existing
> proposals. Expected to become public about the middle of 2005. With the
> usual comment period, etc., Report and Order by maybe late 2006/early
> 2007.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

If the ARRL has a proposal, could you post it here for review. I refuse to
visit their site anymore. TNX 73

KB7ADL

2126. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 16:31:23 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d025cqsog1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103692

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>><some snippage>
>>
>>>>>>>>>However, there are well over
>>>>>>>>>50,000 hams in Canada, which is also likely to abolish the
>
> code
>
>>>>>>>>test very soon.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Yep. But there are two big points about Canada:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>1) The proposal would increase the written test level
>>>>>>>This is a biggie. Simply proposing to drop the code test is
>
> *not*
>
>>>>>>>the
>>>
>>>>>same thing as proposing to drop the code test *and* beef up the
>>>>>writtens.
>>>>
>>>> I'd like that quite a bit.
>
>
>>>But that hasn't been proposed in the USA.
>>
>>True. It would probably not fly either in the land of >entitlements.
>>
>
> Where is the "land of entitlements"? Sweden?
>
>>>>>IIRC, one of the things proposed in Canada was to make the
>>>>>code
>>>>>test optional in that if you passed code you didn't need as high
>
> a grade
>
>>>>>on theory to get the license.

>>>>
>>>> Now that just seems strange.
>
>
>>>How so? It's simply an option.
>>
>>Would it be reciprocal? If you did well on the writtens, would they
>>allow a poor performance on the Code test?
>
>
> I think the idea was you could get, say a 70 on the writtens (up from
> the current passing grade of *60*!) and pass code, *or* get, say, an 85
> on the writtens and the code wouldn't be required at all. I'm just
> guessing at the numbers but you see the concept.
>
>
>>>>The test should either be or not be. Not
>>>>some kind of bonus that allows you to be less technically
>> proficient.
>
>
>>>Then why require more technical knowledge for an Extra? That
>>> license does not allow the holder to use any more modes, power, or bands
>>> than a General.
>>>Just a few additional slices of spectrum.

>>
>>Bad question to ask me, since I would prefer more privilege
>>differences between General and Extra
>
>
> Me too, but that's not how FCC has implemented it.
>
>
>>(Len will no doubt have interesting comments on that one)
>
>
> Len's comments are rarely if ever interesting, IMHO. Error-laden, yes,
> but not interesting.

I concede the point! 8^)

>>>>>If the nocodetest folks in the USA proposed options like
>>>>>those they
>>>>>might get a lot more support. But instead, we have folks like
>> NCVEC
>
>>>>>telling us we must drop code *and* reduce the written still more.
>>>>
>>>>And how! Let's not forget that NCI also supports lowering the test
>>>>requirements.

>>>
>>>
>>>So do others that support automatic upgrades.
>>
>>In principle I oppose automatic upgrades
>
>
> Me too.
>
>>>>All they have to go on is "gut" feelings. And unfortunately, the
>>>>first wave of no-code Technicians appear to be dropping like
>>>> flies. "Gut" feelings can be wrong.
>>>
>>>
>>>I don't see *any* license class "dropping like flies". Check the
>
> AH0A
>
>>>data on renewals - thousands of Techs are renewing every month,
>
> either
>
>>>before the license runs out or in the grace period.
>>
>>The numbers didn't seem that way to me. Could be wrong tho'
>
>
> Point is, reducing the requirements hasn't promoted growth. Recall that
> before April 2000, the Tech required passing two written tests
> totalling 65 questions. Now it's a single 35 question test - yet we
> don't see growth!

My own take on the situation is that there are indeed new people coming into the hobby, as I hear and see new licensees on the air.

But we are not seeing significant growth. Many of the people that signed on ten years ago have left. There are some ham related reasons, and some not, such as increased cell phone usage, which has decimated the "honeydew" Hams.

But it is indisputable that the reductions in requirements have NOT had any sort of significant positive impact on the Ham community.

Despite the hand wringing, there is a place for achieving something that means something. A test that is a challenge? So what? I personally think that the ARS is only strengthened by attracting people that enjoy a bit of a challenge.

I think it has been adequately proven that many if not most of the people that think that Element one needs to go away are also in favor of reduced overall licensing requirements. Certainly the leading organization for removal of the Morse test is.

>>>Note that almost 5 years after the 200 restructuring we still
>> retain more than 50% of Novices and 75% of Advanceds.

>
>>>> Theirs is a failed and incorrect paradigm.
>>>
>>>
>>>Maybe. The concept of "lowered entry requirements = sustained
>>> growth" just hasn't happened in the ARS.
>>>
>>>
>>>>We don't need hams that thought that maybe it would be kewl to get
>>>>a ham license some weekend between coffee at Starbucks and their
>>>>Pilates classes, and then forget about it. We need hams who want
>>>>to be hams.
>
>>>
>>>Agreed! But of course people have to know what ham radio *is* to do
>>>that!
>>
>>Someone suggested some short commercial spots on time.
>
>
> "on time"?

Typo! "one" time...

>
>>I wonder if that
>>has ever been done. Nothing too elaborate, just getting the
>>name out there.
>
>
> Good idea, but expensive.

Seems like there are Hams that are professionals in the field that
might be willing to help!......

<snip>

>>>
>>>The same is true of ordinary house current.
>>
>>Sure. Fortunately most of the public is well educated from a young
>>age that what comes out of the wall socket can be a bad thing.
>
>
> Yet people are still shocked and electrocuted doing really dumb things
> with electricity.

No doubt! But the idea is to give them the education to make an
informed stupid decision! ;^)

>>
>>>And it's not just voltage. Get a metal ring a high current supply
>> and the results aren't pretty. If the ring is on your finger.....
>>
>> Years ago I used to work on a lot of digital electronics that used
>>massive power supplies at 5 volts. No rings, no metallic glasses (you
>>should have seen my NASANerd plastic rim safety glasses!) and no
>> metal belt buckles, no change in the pocket, etc, etc.
>
>
>>>Yet the NCVEC folks say the solution is to create a class of ham
>>> that
>>>can't use rigs with more than 30 volts on the electronics...
>>
>>Goofy, goofy, goofy!
>
>
> Tell it to NCVEC. They think they know better than you.

From what I gather, their underlying concern was actually that they
think their VE's were having to work too hard.

>>And it is the wrong approach. The proper approach is to allow access
>>coupled with adequate education.
>
>
> Watta concept!

Probably hopeless though! 8^)

>>>>RF Safety should be the FIRST order of the day, and NO one should
>>>> be
>
>>>>a Ham until they are tested for RF safety to the ability to handle
>
> full
>
>>>>legal limit.
>>>
>>>
>>>Why? We don't test people on gasoline-handling safety, nor ladder
>>>safety, nor many other things that injure thousands of Americans
>
> every
>
>>>year.
>>
>>Familiarity breeds contempt, Jim. If gasoline were "introduced today,
>
>
>>the infrastructure for handling it would be mind boggling.
>

>
> Shrub says hydrogen is the answer. Oh the humanity.

Hydrogen's energy density issues make for some problems. That Excursion
will have to tack on another 10 miles per hour on trips to make up for
all the fuel stops needed.

>
>>And ladder
>>makers carry huge liability policies. (I had the experience of
>> having a defective design ladder collapse under me).
>>
>>
>>
>>>I agree that every ham should be safety-aware. But a true test of
>>>safety would be far more extensive than even the Extra writtens.
>>
>> You can't teach Attitude, eh?
>>
>
> My employers have all taken safety *very* seriously. It's an
> attitude that can be taught, but it's a constant thing.

Or the bad attitude gets weeded out...

>>>>And those who think that limiting the finals voltage, or some other
>>>>weird thing is the answer, are advised to think about things such
>>>> as
>
>>>>Technician Hams operating under supervision. It only takes a second
>>>>to drop a paper and reach behind a Rig. Less time than the control
>
> op
>
>>>>can react. I want those Technicians to be exposed to full power
>
> safety
>
>>>>requirements.
>>>
>>>
>>>They are - today, anyway.
>>
>> And I'd like to add just a smidgen more knowledge to that!
>
>
> Me too but then it's called "hazing" or some such nonsense.

How wonderful to protect new Hams against the cruelty of learning
something that might be a challenge. i think we have some true bleeding
hearts in the NCT ranks! 8^)

>>>>Anything else is criminally negligent.
>>>
>>>
>>>Umm, Mike, you're saying it's the Govt's role to protect people
>
> from
>
>>>their own ignorance and unsafe behavior.....
>>
>>In some cases. When the licensing requirement encompasses the nation,
>
>
>>then so do the responsibilities.
>
>
> Ya can't outsmart Darwin.
>
>
>>>>>It would be interesting to see what the JA 4th class *written*
>
> exam
>
>>>>>looks like.
>>>>>
>>>>>And as mentioned before, the number of JA station licenses and new
>>>>>operator licenses is way down.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>That's 18, I didn't count both Austria and Australia!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>OK. But it's still a small fraction of the number of hams
>>>>>>>and the number of countries.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The big questions: Must all countries drop the code test
>>>>>>>because a few have decided to? Or can each country decide for
>>>>>>>itself.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Each country can do as it chooses, but the trend is to abolish
>
> the
>
>>>>>>code test.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>The trend in most countries is to ban or severely restrict
>>>
>>>individual
>>>

>>>
>>>>>ownership of firearms, too.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>Has the change caused lots of new growth in countries that have
>>>>>>>dropped code testing?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>No, but it's increased HF activity in those countries
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>So all it's done is to permit *existing* hams to upgrade. But it
>>>>>*hasn't* brought in lots of new folks.
>>>>
>>>> Which means the Morse code isn't the "problem" some people make it
>>>>out to be.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Of course!
>>>
>>>It's the classic case of a red herring diversion. Blame the code
>
> test
>
>>>for everyhting bad while the real problems are not addressed.
>>
>> You mean like dragging the gay marriage issue into the Social
>
> Security
>
>>problem? 8^)
>>
>
> Yep. The interesting thing is that allowing gay civil unions would
> *increase* tax revenue.
>
> And speaking of marriage: One thing I find interesting is that the
> divorce rates in the "red" states are consistently and clearly higher
> than the rates in "blue" states. Seems those folks who rant and rave
> about "family values" and "covenants" can't seem to stay hitched very
> long.

All you have to do is meet some of the "reds", and you'll immediately
understand why they have such a high divorce rate......

> Here's the kind of thinking being put forth:
>
> One plan being suggested in DC is for the USA to create a special
> savings account for each baby born in the USA, starting on a certain

> date. The Feds would put $2000 into each account each year until the
> kid reaches 18. Total investment $36,000. Assuming about 6% annual
> interest, each account would be worth over a million dollars when the
> "baby" reached 65.
>
> Nice retirement package, huh? Except it won't work for several reasons
> completely obvious to anyone with common sense.

Who pays that 6 percent interest? The last time I checked, the rate wasn't anywhere near that. Taxes on that money? Capital gains? Inflation? Your going to have to have some sort of way that the guvmint pays interest on the account when the prime is low, or you will be creating a powerful incentive for citizens to want a high interest rate which is counterproductive to the economy......The list goes on and on.

Also interesting how everyone in the US will retire a millionaire!

Ain't gonna work!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2127. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 17:46:51 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1109699211.021426.66200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103693

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109689325.032940.133970
> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
> > http://www.arrl.org
> >
> > scroll down about 3 stories
> >
> > Article sez FCC is working on NPRM that will address all 18
existing
> > proposals. Expected to become public about the middle of 2005. With
the
> > usual comment period, etc., Report and Order by maybe late
2006/early
> > 2007.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> If the ARRL has a proposal, could you post it here for review. I
refuse to
> visit their site anymore. TNX 73

Just like you guys refuse to deal with reality anymore.

Look - you can't stop progress. Going around acting bitter about ever
little thing is no way to live.

Maybe it is time you guys try something different... learn a foreign
language, learn to play a musical instrument, get some exercise, play
cards/chess in the park, chase women... ANYTHING but the constant
negative attempts to block progress. Even if you guys were right
(which you aren't, and never have been), it isn't a useful expenditure
of your time to fret over this CRAP.

- Stewart (N0MHS)

2128. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 17:50:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109699413.580295.53260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103694

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> >>True. It would probably not fly either in the land of
>entitlements.

> > Where is the "land of entitlements"? Sweden?

> >>Bad question to ask me, since I would prefer more privilege
> >>differences between General and Extra

> > Me too, but that's not how FCC has implemented it.

> >>(Len will no doubt have interesting comments on that one)

> > Len's comments are rarely if ever interesting, IMHO. Error-laden,
yes,
> > but not interesting.

> I concede the point! 8^)

;-)

> >>>>>If the nocodetest folks in the USA proposed options like
> >>>>>those they
> >>>>>might get a lot more support. But instead, we have folks like
> >> NCVEC
> >>>>>telling us we must drop code *and* reduce the written still
more.
> >>>>
> >>>>And how! Let's not forget that NCI also supports lowering the
test
> >>>>requirements.

> >>>So do others that support automatic upgrades.

> >>In principle I oppose automatic upgrades

> > Me too.

> > Point is, reducing the requirements hasn't promoted growth. Recall
that
> > before April 2000, the Tech required passing two written tests
> > totalling 65 questions. Now it's a single 35 question test - yet we
> > don't see growth!
>

> My own take on the situation is that there are indeed new people
coming
> into the hobby, as I hear and see new licensees on the air.

Yep. Same here.

> But we are not seeing significant growth. Many of the people that
> signed on ten years ago have left. There are some ham related
reasons,
> and some not, such as increased cell phone usage, which has decimated

> the "honeydew" Hams.

There's also the sad fact of things like hams becoming SKs and moving
into nursing homes, etc.

Back in the '50s and '60s, and maybe even into the '70s, almost all new
hams were teenagers or young adults. Young people still make up a good
percentage of new hams, but since about the mid-late 1970s we've seen
an increasing percentage of older people as newcomers. Indeed, back in
the early 1980s - *before* the Tech lost its code test - there was (and
still is) a surge of retired and almost-retired folks in these parts
getting ham licenses. Lots of new faces and lots of great hams, but
unfortunately most of them won't be hams long enough to join OOTC.

> But it is indisputable that the reductions in requirements have NOT
had
> any sort of significant positive impact on the Ham community.

Not long-term, anyway.
>
> Despite the hand wringing, there is a place for achieving something
> that means something. A test that is a challenge? So what? I
personally
> think that the ARS is only strengthened by attracting people that
enjoy
> a bit of a challenge.

That's a key point.

> I think it has been adequately proven that many if not most of the
> people that think that Element one needs to go away are also in favor
of
> reduced overall licensing requirements. Certainly the leading
> organization for removal of the Morse test is.

Yep. And if Element 1 is removed, and we still don't get growth, guess
what's next? NCVEC has already shown their hand.

> >>>Note that almost 5 years after the 200 restructuring we still
> >> retain more than 50% of Novices and 75% of Advanceds.

This indicates to me a significant number of inactive hams. Sure, there
are some who are satisfied with their license privs, but I suspect a

lot more are SK or have dropped out.

The number of Techs continues to grow in part because all Tech Pluses have been renewed as Techs since April 2000. But the total number of Techs and Tech Pluses is s few percent lower than it was in 2000.

> >>>Agreed! But of course people have to know what ham radio *is* to do
> >>>that!
> >>
> >>Someone suggested some short commercial spots on time.
> >
> >
> > "on time"?
>
> Typo! "one" time...
> >
> >>I wonder if that
> >>has ever been done. Nothing too elaborate, just getting the
> >>name out there.
>
> > Good idea, but expensive.
>
> Seems like there are Hams that are professionals in the field that
> might be willing to help!......

Still have to buy the time.

> >>>Yet the NCVEC folks say the solution is to create a class of ham
> >>> that
> >>>can't use rigs with more than 30 volts on the electronics...
> >>
> >>Goofy, goofy, goofy!
> >
> >
> > Tell it to NCVEC. They think they know better than you.
>
> From what I gather, their underlying concern was actually that they
> think their VE's were having to work too hard.

Perhaps. Also, the "Amateur Radio in the 21st Century" paper equated passing the code test with winning the Tour de France or painting the Mona Lisa, and described the stress of dealing with grown people crying because they'd flunked the code test....boo-hoo....

> >>And it is the wrong approach. The proper approach is to allow access
> >>coupled with adequate education.

> > Watta concept!

> Probably hopeless though! 8^)

Not unless we stop opposing bad ideas.

> > Shrub says hydrogen is the answer. Oh the humanity.

> Hydrogen's energy density issues make for some problems. That
Excursion
> will have to tack on another 10 miles per hour on trips to make up
for
> all the fuel stops needed.

Naw, just liquefy the stuff.

The big problems are:

- Handling a fuel that is a gas at STP is more complex than one that is
a liquid.

- Danger of fire and leaking pressure tanks

- Where's all the hydrogen supposed to come from?

> >>You mean like dragging the gay marriage issue into the Social
> >>Security problem? 8^)

> > Yep. The interesting thing is that allowing gay civil unions would
> > *increase* tax revenue.
> >
> > And speaking of marriage: One thing I find interesting is that the
> > divorce rates in the "red" states are consistently and clearly
higher
> > than the rates in "blue" states. Seems those folks who rant and
rave
> > about "family values" and "covenants" can't seem to stay hitched
very
> > long.

> All you have to do is meet some of the "reds", and you'll immediately

> understand why they have such a high divorce rate......

Ya gotta be more specific than that!

> > Here's the kind of thinking being put forth:

> > One plan being suggested in DC is for the USA to create a special
> > savings account for each baby born in the USA, starting on a
certain
> > date. The Feds would put $2000 into each account each year until
the
> > kid reaches 18. Total investment $36,000. Assuming about 6% annual
> > interest, each account would be worth over a million dollars when
the
> > "baby" reached 65.
> >
> > Nice retirement package, huh? Except it won't work for several
reasons

> > completely obvious to anyone with common sense.
>
> Who pays that 6 percent interest?

That's the first problem.

> The last time I checked, the rate
> wasn't anywhere near that.

Bingo!

> Taxes on that money? Capital gains?

The money would be tax exempt until the person began to draw upon it.

> Inflation?

That's a BIG one!

~30 years ago, when I was entering the job market out of college, entry-level engineers with degrees were making about what *minimum wage* is now. Go back 50 years, and a $5000/yr income put the average person on Easy Street, able to support a middle-class family in a way that $50,000/yr won't do today.

Even if inflation stays low over the next 65 years, $1 million won't be enough to retire on. What really matters, of course, is what I call "differential interest" - the difference between inflation and the apparent interest rate. If you get interest of 6% and inflation of 2%, your money is really only growing at a rate of 4%.

> Your going to have to have some sort of way that the guvmint
> pays interest on the account when the prime is low, or you will be
> creating a powerful incentive for citizens to want a high interest
rate
> which is counterproductive to the economy......The list goes on and
on.

Yep.

> Also interesting how everyone in the US will retire a millionaire!

Sounds good until the reality cuts in. There are lots of millionaires today - on paper anyway.

> Ain't gonna work!

Yet that isn't some wild-eyed idea - it's something our alleged leadership has suggested!

Consider these other problems:

If there are, say, 4 million births per year, the govt. will need to put $8 billion into the accounts the first year, $16 billion the second year, $24 billion the third year, etc. This won't stabilize until the 18th year, when it reaches $144 billion per year being put aside in

these accounts. Most of that money would have to come from *new* revenue sources, because the existing Social Security system would have to continue to exist for a while. And that's based on *no* increase in baby production!

Where's all that money supposed to come from?

Then there's the question of shenanigans. Some people will try to beat the system in one way or another. More likely are govt. shenaningans - it will be hard for the pols to keep their paws off all that money in the accounts, just as they can't leave Social Security alone. Remember that the *real* problem with Social Security is that the current administration doesn't want to pay back what was borrowed! What's to keep them from "borrowing" from the new system?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2129. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 18:14:16 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960C8611664F5elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103695

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net> wrote in
news:QI%Ud.1034$L17.365@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109689325.032940.133970
> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
>> http://www.arrl.org
>>
>> scroll down about 3 stories
>>
>> Article sez FCC is working on NPRM that will address all 18 existing
>> proposals. Expected to become public about the middle of 2005. With
>> the usual comment period, etc., Report and Order by maybe late
>> 2006/early 2007.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> If the ARRL has a proposal, could you post it here for review. I refuse
> to visit their site anymore. TNX 73
>
> KB7ADL
>

I don't have the full details of the ARRL petition to hand, but basically it brings back the Novice licence (without the code), makes Techs into Generals and Advanceds into Extras, and dumps ths code test except for Extras, who would still have to pass it.

Two comments on the Antique Radio Relay League's news item. Firstly, it's very telling that they buried it down the page, just as they did with the announcement that the code test was abolished by the ITU.

Secondly, it does say at the end that "it's possible the Commission could wrap up the proceeding before that time frame", so IOW the 2006/7 is just the League's guesswork.

IMHO, the FCC will not adopt the League's proposal as such. The FCC say that they are looking for a consensus amongst us, and they are also on record as saying that the code test doesn't serve any useful purpose. There is no consensus, so I think they will choose from whatever has been proposed those things that suit their own organisational objectives, i.e. reducing administrative burden. IOW, fewer tests and fewer licence classes suits the FCC.

I predict the code test will not be a continuing feature in the NPRM, whatever else is, since eliminating a test reduces administrative burden and they are already on record as wanting to get rid of it.

Reducing the number of classes also appeals to the FCC, so maybe they might even adopt most of the League's proposal but get rid of element 1 as well? I don't think so, though, as the line of least resistance is to keep the current test elements as they are. This means grandfathering Novice to Tech instead of Tech to General, so that is what I predict they will do.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

2130. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 20:02:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109706299.033324.211320@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103696

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net> wrote in
> news:QI%Ud.1034$L17.365@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109689325.032940.133970
> > @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> http://www.arrl.org
> >>
> >> scroll down about 3 stories
> >>
> >> Article sez FCC is working on NPRM that will address all 18
existing
> >> proposals. Expected to become public about the middle of 2005.
With
> >> the usual comment period, etc., Report and Order by maybe late
> >> 2006/early 2007.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
> > If the ARRL has a proposal, could you post it here for review. I
refuse
> > to visit their site anymore. TNX 73
> >
> > KB7ADL
> >
>
> I don't have the full details of the ARRL petition to hand, but
basically
> it brings back the Novice licence (without the code), makes Techs
into
> Generals and Advanceds into Extras, and dumps ths code test except
for
> Extras, who would still have to pass it.

Basically a compromise that gives everybody something they want but
doesn't give anybody everything.

> Two comments on the Antique Radio Relay League's news item.

Your bias is showing, Alun.

> Firstly, it's
> very telling that they buried it down the page, just as they did with
the

> announcement that the code test was abolished by the ITU.

They post the stories in chronological order. If it's not at the top, that's because a newer story has displaced it. They did not "bury" anything.

And the code test was not abolished by ITU. All that changed was that the treaty no longer requires such a test. Signatory countries are now no longer *required by treaty* to have a code test, that's all.
>
> Secondly, it does say at the end that "it's possible the Commission could
> wrap up the proceeding before that time frame", so IOW the 2006/7 is just
> the League's guesswork.

Of course - and they make that clear in the article.

Back in summer 2003, ARRL said at least two years. Which seemed incredibly long at the time, but is now turning out to be short, if anything.
>
> IMHO, the FCC will not adopt the League's proposal as such.

Probably not. Nor will they adopt anyone's proposal as presented, IMHO.

> The FCC say
> that they are looking for a consensus amongst us, and they are also on
> record as saying that the code test useful doesn't serve any useful purpose.

When did they say those things?

btw, the FCC's words were "serves no *REGULATORY* purpose" (emphasis added) not "useful purpose". BIG difference!

And if FCC still thinks the code test serves no regulatory purpose, why didn't they just dump Element 1 in late summer 2003, as proposed by at least two groups? All it would take is a Memorandum Report and Order. In fact, as a temporary measure pending rewriting the rules, they could have simply ordered that anyone who passed Element 2, 3 or 4 gets Element 1 credit.

But they didn't.

> There
> is no consensus, so I think they will choose from whatever has been
> proposed those things that suit their own organisational objectives, i.e.
> reducing administrative burden. IOW, fewer tests and fewer licence classes
> suits the FCC.

Maybe.

But back in 1998, ARRL proposed free upgrades for Novices and Tech Pluses so that there would be four classes and no closed-out classes. Others have proposed similar freebies. FCC has consistently said no, and keeps the Tech Plus, Advanced and Novice alive in their rules and database. At the current rate of decline, it may be 15 more years before the last Advanced is gone.

> I predict the code test will not be a continuing feature in the NPRM,

> whatever else is, since eliminating a test reduces administrative burden
> and they are already on record as wanting to get rid of it.

Yet they have not done so. If they really think Element 1 should go, why wasn't it dumped in 2003?

> Reducing the number of classes also appeals to the FCC, so maybe they might
> even adopt most of the League's proposal but get rid of element 1 as well?
> I don't think so, though, as the line of least resistance is to keep the
> current test elements as they are. This means grandfathering Novice to Tech
> instead of Tech to General, so that is what I predict they will do.

Why? Keeping the closed-out license classes costs them little or nothing. Tech Plus will disappear in a little more than 5 years, as the last Tech Plus is renewed as Tech. The other two closed-out classes are slowly dropping, yet may last a lot longer because of renewals.

Maybe I'll write a proposal...

73 de Jim, N2EY

2131. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 20:31:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <bi4Vd.11599$Ba3.3788@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103697

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1109689325.032940.133970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.arrl.org
>
> scroll down about 3 stories
>
> Article sez FCC is working on NPRM that will address all 18 existing
> proposals. Expected to become public about the middle of 2005. With the
> usual comment period, etc., Report and Order by maybe late 2006/early
> 2007.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Maybe they can include it in a well thought out proposal just like Powel
with his BPL deal.

Dan/W4NTI

2132. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 20:31:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3j4Vd.11600$Ba3.963@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103698

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:QI%Ud.1034$L17.365@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109689325.032940.133970
> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
>> http://www.arrl.org
>>
>> scroll down about 3 stories
>>
>> Article sez FCC is working on NPRM that will address all 18 existing
>> proposals. Expected to become public about the middle of 2005. With the
>> usual comment period, etc., Report and Order by maybe late 2006/early
>> 2007.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> If the ARRL has a proposal, could you post it here for review. I refuse to
> visit their site anymore. TNX 73
>
> KB7ADL

Then why do you give a rip about what the proposal says?

Dan/W4NTI

2133. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 20:47:29 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d02kd1vlk1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103699

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net> wrote in
>> news:QI%Ud.1034$L17.365@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109689325.032940.133970
>>> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>> http://www.arrl.org
>>>>
>>>> scroll down about 3 stories
>>>>
>>>> Article sez FCC is working on NPRM that will address all 18
>
> existing
>
>>>> proposals. Expected to become public about the middle of 2005.
>
> With
>
>>>> the usual comment period, etc., Report and Order by maybe late
>>>> 2006/early 2007.
>>>>
>>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>>
>>> If the ARRL has a proposal, could you post it here for review. I
>
> refuse
>
>>> to visit their site anymore. TNX 73
>>>
>>> KB7ADL
>>>
>>
>> I don't have the full details of the ARRL petition to hand, but
>
> basically
>
>> it brings back the Novice licence (without the code), makes Techs

>
> into
>
>> Generals and Advanceds into Extras, and dumps ths code test except
>
> for
>
>> Extras, who would still have to pass it.
>
>
> Basically a compromise that gives everybody something they want but
> doesn't give anybody everything.
>
>
>> Two comments on the Antique Radio Relay League's news item.
>
>
> Your bias is showing, Alun.
>
>
>> Firstly, it's very telling that they buried it down the page, just
>> as they did with
>
> the
>
>> announcement that the code test was abolished by the ITU.
>
>
> They post the stories in chronological order. If it's not at the top,
> that's because a newer story has displaced it. They did not "bury"
> anything.
>
> And the code test was not abolished by ITU. All that changed was that
> the treaty no longer requires such a test. Signatory countries are
> now no longer *required by treaty* to have a code test, that's all.
>
>> Secondly, it does say at the end that "it's possible the Commission
>>
>
> could
>
>> wrap up the proceeding before that time frame", so IOW the 2006/7
>> is
>
> just
>
>> the League's guesswork.
>
>
> Of course - and they make that clear in the article.

>
> Back in summer 2003, ARRL said at least two years. Which seemed
> incredibly long at the time, but is now turning out to be short, if
> anything.
>
>> IMHO, the FCC will not adopt the League's proposal as such.
>
>
> Probably not. Nor will they adopt anyone's proposal as presented,
> IMHO.
>
>
>> The FCC say that they are looking for a consensus amongst us, and
>> they are also
>
> on
>
>> record as saying that the code test useful doesn't serve any useful
>>
>
> purpose.
>
> When did they say those things?
>
> btw, the FCC's words were "serves no *REGULATORY* purpose" (emphasis
> added) not "useful purpose". BIG difference!
>
> And if FCC still thinks the code test serves no regulatory purpose,
> why didn't they just dump Element 1 in late summer 2003, as proposed
> by at least two groups? All it would take is a Memorandum Report and
> Order. In fact, as a temporary measure pending rewriting the rules,
> they could have simply ordered that anyone who passed Element 2, 3 or
> 4 gets Element 1 credit.
>
> But they didn't.
>
>
>> There is no consensus, so I think they will choose from whatever
>> has been proposed those things that suit their own organisational
>> objectives,
>
> i.e.
>
>> reducing administrative burden. IOW, fewer tests and fewer licence
>
> classes
>
>> suits the FCC.
>
>

> Maybe.
>
> But back in 1998, ARRL proposed free upgrades for Novices and Tech
> Pluses so that there would be four classes and no closed-out classes.
> Others have proposed similar freebies. FCC has consistently said no,
> and keeps the Tech Plus, Advanced and Novice alive in their rules
> and database. At the current rate of decline, it may be 15 more years
> before the last Advanced is gone.

The reports of database nightmares due to more classes are greatly
exxagerated. If no more people are added to those classes, the database
simply sits there, bothering no one except the hand wringers. As
attrition hits, du to upgrading or license expiry or licensee expiry,
that just gets deducted from the otherwise inactive database. No biggee.

>> I predict the code test will not be a continuing feature in the
>> NPRM,
>
>
>> whatever else is, since eliminating a test reduces administrative
>
> burden
>
>> and they are already on record as wanting to get rid of it.
>
>
> Yet they have not done so. If they really think Element 1 should go,
> why wasn't it dumped in 2003?

When did they say it was a burden?

>> Reducing the number of classes also appeals to the FCC, so maybe
>> they might even adopt most of the League's proposal but get rid of element 1
>> as well?
>
>> I don't think so, though, as the line of least resistance is to
>> keep the current test elements as they are. This means grandfathering Novice
>> to Tech instead of Tech to General, so that is what I predict they will do.
>>
>
>
> Why? Keeping the closed-out license classes costs them little or
> nothing. Tech Plus will disappear in a little more than 5 years, as
> the last Tech Plus is renewed as Tech. The other two closed-out
> classes are slowly dropping, yet may last a lot longer because of
> renewals.
>
> Maybe I'll write a proposal...

Why not?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2134. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 21:11:51 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <rU4Vd.52547$uc.38971@trnddc03>
Source record: 103600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

YEAH and then the dumb sonofabitches get on 10 or 12 meters acting just like what they are really dumbass operators who dont give a dam about no one or anything else and all ya hear is 20 minuts of dumbshit rogerbeeps and profanity... ``THE REAL HAM``

2135. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 21:25:35 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d02mkf$10b0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103601

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote
> Michael Coslo wrote:

<snip>

>> My own take on the situation is that there are indeed new people
>> coming into the hobby, as I hear and see new licensees on the air.
>
>
> Yep. Same here.
>
>
>>But we are not seeing significant growth. Many of the people that
>>signed on ten years ago have left. There are some ham related
>>reasons, and some not, such as increased cell phone usage, which has decimated
>>the "honeydew" Hams.
>
>
> There's also the sad fact of things like hams becoming SKs and moving
> into nursing homes, etc.
>
> Back in the '50s and '60s, and maybe even into the '70s, almost all new
> hams were teenagers or young adults. Young people still make up a good
> percentage of new hams, but since about the mid-late 1970s we've seen
> an increasing percentage of older people as newcomers. Indeed, back in
> the early 1980s - *before* the Tech lost its code test - there was (and
> still is) a surge of retired and almost-retired folks in these parts
> getting ham licenses. Lots of new faces and lots of great hams, but
> unfortunately most of them won't be hams long enough to join OOTC.

The Demographic shift in Amateur Radio is similar to many other
hobbies/avocations. I think once the dust settles, the typical new ham
will be in his or her 40's, and wanting to have a new pursuit after
becoming financially stable and perhaps having a bit more spare time in
his hands.

The exact same thing has already happened in my other hobby, Amateur
Astronomy.

>>But it is indisputable that the reductions in requirements have NOT
>> had any sort of significant positive impact on the Ham community.
>
>
> Not long-term, anyway.
>
>> Despite the hand wringing, there is a place for achieving something

>>that means something. A test that is a challenge? So what? I
>> personally think that the ARS is only strengthened by attracting people that
>> enjoy a bit of a challenge.
>
>
> That's a key point.
>
>
>>I think it has been adequately proven that many if not most of the
>>people that think that Element one needs to go away are also in favor
>> of reduced overall licensing requirements. Certainly the leading
>>organization for removal of the Morse test is.
>
>
> Yep. And if Element 1 is removed, and we still don't get growth, guess
> what's next? NCVEC has already shown their hand.

Let us not forget NCI.

>
>>>>>Note that almost 5 years after the 200 restructuring we still
>>>>>retain more than 50% of Novices and 75% of Advanceds.
>
>
> This indicates to me a significant number of inactive hams. Sure, there
> are some who are satisfied with their license privs, but I suspect a
> lot more are SK or have dropped out.

Pretty much

> The number of Techs continues to grow in part because all Tech Pluses
> have been renewed as Techs since April 2000. But the total number of
> Techs and Tech Pluses is s few percent lower than it was in 2000.
>
>
>>>>>Agreed! But of course people have to know what ham radio *is* to
>>>>> do that!
>>>>
>>>>Someone suggested some short commercial spots on time.
>>>
>>>
>>>"on time"?
>>
>> Typo! "one" time...
>>
>>>>I wonder if that
>>>>has ever been done. Nothing too elaborate, just getting the
>>>>name out there.
>>
>>>Good idea, but expensive.
>>

>> Seems like there are Hams that are professionals in the field that
>>might be willing to help!......
>
>
> Still have to buy the time.

I would bet that a well thought out program could get some public
service spots on local access TV.

I guess I'm not inspiring you yet? 8^)

>>>>>Yet the NCVEC folks say the solution is to create a class of ham
>>>>>that
>>>>>can't use rigs with more than 30 volts on the electronics...
>>>>
>>>>Goofy, goofy, goofy!
>>>
>>>
>>>Tell it to NCVEC. They think they know better than you.
>>
>> From what I gather, their underlying concern was actually that they
>>think their VE's were having to work too hard.
>
>
> Perhaps. Also, the "Amateur Radio in the 21st Century" paper equated
> passing the code test with winning the Tour de France or painting the
> Mona Lisa,

Wow! now I feel really great about passing!!! ;^)

and described the stress of dealing with grown people crying
> because they'd flunked the code test....boo-hoo....

Funny you should mention that. the first time I took element 1... and
flunked... I was not pleased, but Figured I'd do it again, the person
who was the most upset was the VE. I felt worse for him than myself.

>
>>>>And it is the wrong approach. The proper approach is to allow
>
> access
>
>>>>coupled with adequate education.
>
>
>>>Watta concept!
>
>
>> Probably hopeless though! 8^)
>
>
> Not unless we stop opposing bad ideas.

>
>
>>>Shrub says hydrogen is the answer. Oh the humanity.
>
>
>>Hydrogen's energy density issues make for some problems. That
>
> Excursion
>
>>will have to tack on another 10 miles per hour on trips to make up
>
> for
>
>>all the fuel stops needed.
>
>
> Naw, just liquefy the stuff.

Yoiks! Liquid H? Even if, the energy density is still quite a bit less
than gasoline. something like 25 percent.

Storage concerns are a big problem with the stuff. In a similar fashion
We have Natural gas buses in our area. Their tanks are on the bus roof,
and run the length of the bus. You just can't get as much energy out of
the stuff.

> The big problems are:
>
> - Handling a fuel that is a gas at STP is more complex than one that is
> a liquid.

> - Danger of fire and leaking pressure tanks
>
> - Where's all the hydrogen supposed to come from?

Yup. While seawater might seem like the obvious way out, hydrolysis of
seawater produces interesting byproducts.

Now tell the people in the western US that you want to take a huge
amount of their fresh water!! 8^) It is in short enough supply as it is.

>
>>>>You mean like dragging the gay marriage issue into the Social
>>>>Security problem? 8^)
>
>
>>>Yep. The interesting thing is that allowing gay civil unions would
>>>*increase* tax revenue.
>>>
>>>And speaking of marriage: One thing I find interesting is that the
>>>divorce rates in the "red" states are consistently and clearly
>

> higher
>
>>>than the rates in "blue" states. Seems those folks who rant and
>
> rave
>
>>>about "family values" and "covenants" can't seem to stay hitched
>
> very
>
>>>long.
>
>
>>All you have to do is meet some of the "reds", and you'll immediately
>>understand why they have such a high divorce rate......
>
>
> Ya gotta be more specific than that!

hehe, when I think of the modern conservative, I keep getting this
vision od Old man Newt.

>
>>>Here's the kind of thinking being put forth:
>
>
>>>One plan being suggested in DC is for the USA to create a special
>>>savings account for each baby born in the USA, starting on a
>
> certain
>
>>>date. The Feds would put $2000 into each account each year until
>
> the
>
>>>kid reaches 18. Total investment $36,000. Assuming about 6% annual
>>>interest, each account would be worth over a million dollars when
>
> the
>
>>>"baby" reached 65.
>>>
>>>Nice retirement package, huh? Except it won't work for several
>
> reasons
>
>>>completely obvious to anyone with common sense.
>>
>> Who pays that 6 percent interest?
>
>

> That's the first problem.
>
>
>>The last time I checked, the rate
>>wasn't anywhere near that.
>
>
> Bingo!
>
>
>>Taxes on that money? Capital gains?
>
>
> The money would be tax exempt until the person began to draw upon it.
>
>
>>Inflation?
>
>
> That's a BIG one!
>
> ~30 years ago, when I was entering the job market out of college,
> entry-level engineers with degrees were making about what *minimum
> wage* is now. Go back 50 years, and a $5000/yr income put the average
> person on Easy Street, able to support a middle-class family in a way
> that $50,000/yr won't do today.
>
> Even if inflation stays low over the next 65 years, $1 million won't be
> enough to retire on. What really matters, of course, is what I call
> "differential interest" - the difference between inflation and the
> apparent interest rate. If you get interest of 6% and inflation of 2%,
> your money is really only growing at a rate of 4%.
>
>
>>Your going to have to have some sort of way that the guvmint
>>pays interest on the account when the prime is low, or you will be
>>creating a powerful incentive for citizens to want a high interest
>
> rate
>
>>which is counterproductive to the economy......The list goes on and
>
> on.
>
> Yep.
>
>
>> Also interesting how everyone in the US will retire a millionaire!
>
>

> Sounds good until the reality cuts in. There are lots of millionaires
> today - on paper anyway.
>
>
>> Ain't gonna work!
>
>
> Yet that isn't some wild-eyed idea - it's something our alleged
> leadership has suggested!

Hey yeah! The stock Market always goes up over the long term, right?
While that may be true, people keep applying it to irrelevant issues.
This case is just one of using interest in a way that sounds good if you
don't look too deep.

By the way, did you know the producer of the "Swiftboat Veterns" is now
producing smear videos and literature against the AARP? The same people
they eagerly worked with a year or two earlier.

no shame, at long last, no shame.

> Consider these other problems:
>
> If there are, say, 4 million births per year, the govt. will need to
> put $8 billion into the accounts the first year, $16 billion the second
> year, $24 billion the third year, etc. This won't stabilize until the
> 18th year, when it reaches $144 billion per year being put aside in
> these accounts. Most of that money would have to come from *new*
> revenue sources, because the existing Social Security system would have
> to continue to exist for a while. And that's based on *no* increase in
> baby production!
>
> Where's all that money supposed to come from?

Tax cuts.

> Then there's the question of shenanigans. Some people will try to beat
> the system in one way or another. More likely are govt. shenaningans -
> it will be hard for the pols to keep their paws off all that money in
> the accounts, just as they can't leave Social Security alone. Remember
> that the *real* problem with Social Security is that the current
> administration doesn't want to pay back what was borrowed! What's to
> keep them from "borrowing" from the new system?

Nada.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2136. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 22:02:41 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52>
Source record: 103602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050301000709.920$Mx@newsreader.com...
> "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com...
> > > "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> > >> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> > >> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> > >> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> > >> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
> > >> law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> > >> daily, since last year.
> > >>
> > > Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> > >
> > > Next.
> >
> > Well there are a lot more clean, unclean, or otherwise KWs on the air,
> > with this guy doing 40 grand worth of business daily. The guy is
selling
> > amps all the way up to the 10 KW range, not to mention all the imported
> > hf transceivers he is selling. Apparently, he has found some loophole
> > in the law that he has driven a Mack truck through. He is advertising
> > openly too. Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out
> > of the store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a
> > way how hams get worked up over something so unimportant.
> >
> In other words, he's asking for trouble and will likely find some. I live
> out in the sticks SW of Cleveland with no neighbors, and yet I challenge
> myself to not TVI my own house. I figure that as a fairly clean input, the
> way the crow flies and lands.
>
> I do have one amp that will not conform to those standards, but it's
> been moth-balled for years.
>
> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have nothing
> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.

They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!

Big difference.

2137. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 22:31:15 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org>
Message-ID: <d02qcektl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050301000709.920$Mx@newsreader.com...
>> "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com...
>> > > "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>> > >> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>> > >> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>> > >> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>> > >> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
>> > >> law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
>> > >> daily, since last year.
>> > >>
>> > > Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>> > >
>> > > Next.
>> >
>> > Well there are a lot more clean, unclean, or otherwise KWs on the air,
>> > with this guy doing 40 grand worth of business daily. The guy is
> selling
>> > amps all the way up to the 10 KW range, not to mention all the imported
>> > hf transceivers he is selling. Apparently, he has found some loophole
>> > in the law that he has driven a Mack truck through. He is advertising
>> > openly too. Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out
>> > of the store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in
>> > a
>> > way how hams get worked up over something so unimportant.
>> >
>> In other words, he's asking for trouble and will likely find some. I live
>> out in the sticks SW of Cleveland with no neighbors, and yet I challenge
>> myself to not TVI my own house. I figure that as a fairly clean input,
>> the
>> way the crow flies and lands.
>>
>> I do have one amp that will not conform to those standards, but it's
>> been moth-balled for years.
>>
>> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have nothing
>> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>
```

> They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
> operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>
> Big difference.
>

And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
LOL!

2138. Re: Hamfest Concession Stand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 22:36:06 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org>
Message-ID: <d02qlslra0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:1WrUd.1646$wy3.312@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Sam DX wrote:
>
>> Most hams would rather talk on the radio than take a shower.
>> It was a real B.O. stench at Dayton last year. Most guys look
>> like they had not shaved or bathed in six months.
>>
>>
>
> Many members of the greatest generation need to shower
> more often.... ;-)

True, as that is the age group of hams today. They drove the
young kids away from ham radio years ago.

2139. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 23:10:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109718649.433587.120650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103605

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns960A668BEE45Aelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> > news:0pSdnULsXs-_1LzfRVn-qg@comcast.com:
> >
> >>
> >> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:Xns9609D1A4389B2elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> >>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> >>> news:A_CdnUehR7atS73fRVn-rg@comcast.com:
> >>>
> >>>>
> >>>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >>>> news:Xns9609807365125elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> >>>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> >>>>> news:iLKdnefaGoACxL3fRVn-hg@comcast.com:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>> news:GFSTd.7167$Ba3.2852@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> Earn your priviliges. It isn't impossible.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Just be sure that the things one needs to do to earn the
> >>>>>>> privileges are revalent to modern ham radio.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Why should ham radio be different than other activities? Most
of
> >>>>>> the things we do to gain privileges in this world are not
relevant
> >>>>>> to the privilege itself.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Oh, so everything else is messed up, so ham radio should be
messed
> >>>>> up too? Even if I thought it were true, that would still be the
> >>>>> worst argument I have heard yet, ROTFLMAO!

> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> It does not mean that things are messed up. It is simply a fact
that
> >>>> a very effective way to motivate people to do something that
they
> >>>> don't want to do is to tie it to a privilege that they very much
> >>>> want. Parents do it all the time.
> >>>>
> >>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> So treat prospective hams like errant children?
> >>
> >> No not at all. Let's use a workplace example instead. Many
people,
> >> even if they like their jobs, do have elements of the work they
don't
> >> like. However they get a reward or privilege in the form of money
for
> >> performing those elements.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> > And Morse isn't an element of my operating, but I had to take a
test in
> > it.
> > That's like having to take a typing test and then having a
secretary to do
> > your typing.
>
> Well that example proves the point that you don't know what you may
need or
> want in the future as secretaries are now going the way of the dodo
bird in
> large part. Almost all employees that have a need to do
correspondence do
> their own these days in any company that I have been in. The company
I work
> for right now let their last secretary go about four years ago.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Probably why much business correspondence is just so much mumbo jumbo,
or maybe it was outsourced to China.

2140. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-01 23:14:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109718856.502629.191230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103606

horseshoe7@yahoo.com wrote:
> Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109689325.032940.133970
> > @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > http://www.arrl.org
> > >
> > > scroll down about 3 stories
> > >
> > > Article sez FCC is working on NPRM that will address all 18
> existing
> > > proposals. Expected to become public about the middle of 2005.
With
> the
> > > usual comment period, etc., Report and Order by maybe late
> 2006/early
> > > 2007.
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
> > If the ARRL has a proposal, could you post it here for review. I
> refuse to
> > visit their site anymore. TNX 73
>
> Just like you guys refuse to deal with reality anymore.
>
> Look - you can't stop progress. Going around acting bitter about
ever
> little thing is no way to live.
>
> Maybe it is time you guys try something different... learn a foreign
> language, learn to play a musical instrument, get some exercise, play
> cards/chess in the park, chase women... ANYTHING but the constant
> negative attempts to block progress. Even if you guys were right
> (which you aren't, and never have been), it isn't a useful
expenditure
> of your time to fret over this CRAP.
>
> - Stewart (N0MHS)

Some have developed alternative realities, others have expired.

At least the second deal is honorable.

2141. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 00:06:51 UTC
From: Lloyd A Davies <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1109722011.324593.106130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103607

Good!

I think they need to just do away with code and ham test altogether!

Too much class warfare being brought about by the extra class
crackheads!

Lloyd Davies, Supreme TimeLORD
Talk Show Host "On the Domestic Front"
Nim Buster of the year - 2004
http://www.network54.com/Forum/391414

2142. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 00:22:37 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1109722957.873009.108500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103608

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
>
> >
> > There's more room on 10 meters compared to say 20 meters secondly I
ran my
> > information bulletin around 9.00, 10.00 pm. Finally, that would
apply to
> > anything, a station on the east coast may be on a frequency and a
station on
> > the west coast can't hear him. I think a statement in one of FCC
rules books
> > which states "we can't eliminate all interference but you can
minimize it.
> > You know unlike K1MAN and W1AW I have the curiously of NOT
trying to
> > transmit over someone.
>

It seems like there is more room on 10 meters because it is dead!
There is no propagation! 9 or 10 PM is a perfect time for your "show"
because no one will be able to hear your claptrap! At first you wanted
to get into broadcasting; you were denied a license, you tried pirate
radio, and now you intend to broadcast in the amateur bands...at first
you called it a "show," then a "broadcast," now it's an "information
bulletin!" We have an IDEAL place for your claptrap! Do it in the
Children's Band! There ain't no propagation there either, but perhaps
a few nearby chicken banders will copy! 10-4! Good Buddy.

2143. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 02:01:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42251E8F.C377F5D5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103609

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil on Sun, Feb 27 2005 6:15 pm
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 7:36 am
> >
> >> >Let it go already.
> >>
> >> That's one of those PCTA Double Standards, isn't it?
> >>
> >> Dave Heil wanted a LONG time ago to boast in here of his
> >> State Department experience with CW in the 80s in Africa.
> >
> >That is a deliberate falsehood on your part, Leonard. I pointed out
> that
> >morse was in use by the U.S. Department of State at a time when you
> >claimed that government was not using it. There was no boast to it.
>
> Oh, bullshit, big bad Dave. You were crowing, you were boasting.

That is incorrect. This is simply another untruth on your part,
Leonard.

> State Department isn't THE government...they only think so.

The statement is just silliness on your part. The Department of State
is a U.S. Government agency. No one wrote anything about it being "THE
government".

> Grow up. State Department doesn't operate like amateurs.

You know very little about either so I'm not inclined to use you as a
source of information.

> >> Poor guy got trumped by at least two others with MORE
> >> HF experience than his State stuff (I was one of them).
> >
> >I was trumped by no one, certainly not by you.
>
> Big badass Dave is "superior," NEVER trumped. No sir! :-)

That's right. Not trumped by you or the mysterious "other".

> >There is no evidence at
> >all that you have MORE HF experience than I, unless you want to
> include
> >your Citizens Band operation.

>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. A long time ago I accumulated enough "HF
> experience" while in the U.S. Army doing nothing but communications
> for an Area Command.

Enough for what? You silly claim is that you have more HF experience
than I. The claim was a boastful aside and has nothing to do with the
current argument. It is simply another untruth on your part. I have
twenty years of government HF communications experience and 41 years as
a radio amateur. Your claim doesn't hold water.

> The military IS considered part of the U.S.
> government...or was, last I heard.

...and you spent relatively few of your years involved in military HF
communications.

> Class D CB wasn't yet approved
> in
> the USA when I finished active duty. [Class D and C Citizens Band
> are on HF, or weren't you sure about that?]

Oh, so your years as a CBer can't count toward HF experience because
they took place after your military service? I'm sure that means
something to someone somewhere.

> >You want to tread very carefully on this one or I see a sound googling
> >in your future.
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Sound googling!" BWHAAHAHAHAHHAHHHAAAAAAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> Big badass Dave, you've got some kind of "spanking" fetish? :-)

It would seem that the Masochistic Mr. Anderson has the spanking fetish.
:-)

> Go join Mama Dee who wants to "punish" her "children" that don't
> do what they're told!

Oh, it has nothing to do with someone not doing as they're told. It has
to do with your inability to be truthful.

> >> Dave hasn't forgotten that.
> >
> >No, I haven't. That's why I'm calling you on it here and now.
>
> Oh, WOW! I'm being "called out!!!" :-)
>
> Big badass Dave wants to FIGHT! Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk......
>
> "Moot Court" with Judge Dave Bean on the Bench!
> Gonna be a BIG thing on Google quotes? Gonna waste

> lots of space in here with your "protests" and "charges?"
> Go for it. Others won't like it and I don't give a damn. :-)

I'm sure that you won't care if the truth whaps you in the yap again.
It has happened before and I have no doubt that it'll happen again.
I disagree with your views about what others might think. A number of
them may get some amusement at watching you insert both feet into your
mouth (again). :-) :-)

> Showing your big shiny Raddio Kopp shield in its little wallet
> isn't going to help. LAPD frowns on FAKE AUTHORITY
> showing shields...but Mr. Glock likes it...it's nice and shiny...

What is that supposed to mean, Leonard? Are you threatening me with the
use of a firearm for the second time?

> >> He's cussed and diss-cussed
> >> anyone who stands up to his "superior" attitude in here.
> >
> >If, by anyone, you mean yourself, you are correct.
>
> Big badass Dave...your posturing and preening and bragging is also
> archived on Google. :-) :-) :-)

Dish some up, Leonard. :-) :-) :-)

> >> Dee, why don't you form a committee or something and go
> >> to the newsgroup rulers (through Paul Schleck, probably)
> >> and DEMAND EXCLISIVITY on all who enter this sacred
> >> place where ONLY licensed hams can come and not be
> >> bothered with horrifying reality of the rest of the world?
> >
> >Heck, Len, hams already have a place to take it where they won't have
> to
> >be bothered with you--the ham bands. It was done once and you howled
> at
> >the moon over it.
>
> Big badass Dave, you got a Cross-Dressing thing going? You
> are NOT our gal parent, Dee Flint. :-)

No, Leonard, I'm the guy who informed you that radio amateurs already
have a place to go where they don't have to put up with you. :-)

> Like I said to you, like I said to Dee, if you want a PRIVATE
> chat room open ONLY to those you let in, you are as free as a
> bird (ostrisch most likely) to organize one.

...or we could, as before, just go to the ham bands. You cried foul on
it the last time. It took place on 40m CW so you could have tuned it
with your trusty Icom receiver. You just wouldn't have been able to
decode it.

> In the meantime,
> THIS newsgroup is still PUBLIC. Anyone who has access to
> the internet can come in here.

...and if the anyone is you and you continue to behave as you have in the past, you'll just have to take your lumps. That's the way it works.

> You can single out individual people ALL you want, quote from
> Google ALL you want.

Yes, I can.

> That's just harrassment that makes
> others tired of you even more.

Providing an accurate account of what was actually written is harrassment?
I'm sure it'll make you more tired of me. Deal with it.

> But, if you feel so "outraged" and
> "insulted" at not being considered a big badass "superior" radio
> god, go for it.

Why, thank you, Leonard, though I didn't really need your permission. On that "radio god" thing, did you ever make up your mind on whether I am one or not? You've shown some confusion on the issue.

> Your great big wonderful extra license doesn't
> make you chief of Who Can Post Here.

As you've pointed out, a license isn't needed. You've posted. You've lied.

> Why ARE you here?

Hmmmmmm. I'm a radio amateur. The name of the newsgroup is rec.radio.amateur.policy. Seems like a no-brainer. Do you need further clarification? Why are you here?

Dave K8MN

2144. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 03:09:07 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org>
Message-ID: <d03alc$a4r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote in message
news:d035hm0qtp@news1.newsguy.com...
> On Tue, 1 Mar 2005 07:43:02 -0500 qdw wrote:
>
>>
>> "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote in message
>> news:d010ml0lt2@news3.newsguy.com...
>>> On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 11:36:03 -0500 qdw wrote:
>>>
>>>> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>>> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>>> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>>>> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
>>>> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
>>>> since last year.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Most likely selling to truckers.
>>> KC is a big truck city.
>>> $40k a day? I don't think so.
>>> This one single guy "finds" a loophole when nobody else could find it?
>>> Yeah right.
>>> The law clearly states, no amplifiers, no uncertified imported radios.
>>> Period.
>>> Since you have to open the case and modify the radio to make it work on
>>> CB,
>>> you just violated the law.
>>> Give it time, the feds will crack his head wide open.
>>
>>
>> The 3965 khz pirate seems to be linked in some way to this guy. This
>> weekend there was a simo pirate broadcast on 3965/6673, and the
>> pirate was giving out a bunch of detailed info about the KC CB sales
>> operation, kind like he was "advertising" for the guy. 27680 was
>> mentioned as a channel where KC local info was available. Do you
>> think an activist liberal judge is allowing the guy to stay open?
>>
>
> The law is, you need a license to operate.
> There are no loopholes on that and there are no loopholes in a code only
> frequency assignment.
> So regardless of whining about loopholes, his own macho will get him

> busted
> for sure.
>

And yet another clueless No Code Tech comes out of the woodwork to
pontificate. Amazing how much they know about nothing and freely
demonstrate their illiteracy.
LOL!

2145. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 03:21:23 UTC
From: Harv <Hdx@dxharvnone.com>
Message-ID: <d03bcmcgf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote in message news:d01nu4$j5e$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote in message
> news:d010ml0lt2@news3.newsguy.com...
>> On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 11:36:03 -0500 qdw wrote:
>>
>>> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>>> throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the law.
>>> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
>>> since last year.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Most likely selling to truckers.
>> KC is a big truck city.
>> $40k a day? I don't think so.
>> This one single guy "finds" a loophole when nobody else could find it?
>> Yeah right.
>> The law clearly states, no amplifiers, no uncertified imported radios.
>> Period.
>> Since you have to open the case and modify the radio to make it work on
>> CB,
>> you just violated the law.
>> Give it time, the feds will crack his head wide open.
>
>
> The 3965 khz pirate seems to be linked in some way to this guy. This
> weekend there was a simo pirate broadcast on 3965/6673, and the
> pirate was giving out a bunch of detailed info about the KC CB sales
> operation, kind like he was "advertising" for the guy. 27680 was
> mentioned as a channel where KC local info was available. Do you
> think an activist liberal judge is allowing the guy to stay open?
>

It is possible his work force is over 25% undocumented aliens. In which
case an activist liberal judge would certainly protect their rights by not
allowing the business to be shut down. By now the ACLU may also
be in the picture, and that means endless litigation.

Harv

2146. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 04:06:48 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960CEA88DB7B1elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103612

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109706299.033324.211320
@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net> wrote in
>> news:QI%Ud.1034$L17.365@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109689325.032940.133970
>> > @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>> >
>> >> http://www.arrl.org
>> >>
>> >> scroll down about 3 stories
>> >>
>> >> Article sez FCC is working on NPRM that will address all 18
>> >> existing proposals. Expected to become public about the middle of
>> >> 2005. With the usual comment period, etc., Report and Order by
>> >> maybe late 2006/early 2007.
>> >>
>> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> >
>> > If the ARRL has a proposal, could you post it here for review. I
>> > refuse to visit their site anymore. TNX 73
>> >
>> > KB7ADL
>> >
>>
>> I don't have the full details of the ARRL petition to hand, but
>> basically it brings back the Novice licence (without the code), makes
>> Techs into Generals and Advanceds into Extras, and dumps ths code test
>> except for Extras, who would still have to pass it.
>
> Basically a compromise that gives everybody something they want but
> doesn't give anybody everything.
>
>> Two comments on the Antique Radio Relay League's news item.
>
> Your bias is showing, Alun.
>

Sure. I don't think the League is a very progressive organisation.

>> Firstly, it's
>> very telling that they buried it down the page, just as they did with

>> the announcement that the code test was abolished by the ITU.
>
> They post the stories in chronological order. If it's not at the top,
> that's because a newer story has displaced it. They did not "bury"
> anything.
>

I see. Well, I guess the're not journalists.

> And the code test was not abolished by ITU. All that changed was that
> the treaty no longer requires such a test. Signatory countries are now
> no longer *required by treaty* to have a code test, that's all.

Same thing.

>>
>> Secondly, it does say at the end that "it's possible the Commission
>> could wrap up the proceeding before that time frame", so IOW the
>> 2006/7 is just the League's guesswork.
>
> Of course - and they make that clear in the article.
>
> Back in summer 2003, ARRL said at least two years. Which seemed
> incredibly long at the time, but is now turning out to be short, if
> anything.
>>
>> IMHO, the FCC will not adopt the League's proposal as such.
>
> Probably not. Nor will they adopt anyone's proposal as presented, IMHO.
>
>> The FCC say
>> that they are looking for a consensus amongst us, and they are also on
>> record as saying that the code test useful doesn't serve any useful
>> purpose.
>
> When did they say those things?

They said that they wouldn't restructure until a consensus emerged

>
> btw, the FCC's words were "serves no *REGULATORY* purpose" (emphasis
> added) not "useful purpose". BIG difference!
>

Thanks for correcting the wording, but it really isn't much of a difference

> And if FCC still thinks the code test serves no regulatory purpose, why
> didn't they just dump Element 1 in late summer 2003, as proposed by at
> least two groups?

I don't know, but you admit they said it serves no regulatory purpose

> All it would take is a Memorandum Report and Order.
> In fact, as a temporary measure pending rewriting the rules, they could
> have simply ordered that anyone who passed Element 2, 3 or 4 gets
> Element 1 credit.
>
> But they didn't.

Maybe they didn't feel that they could do that when they had 19 petitions
dumped on them?

>
>> There
>> is no consensus, so I think they will choose from whatever has been
>> proposed those things that suit their own organisational objectives,
>> i.e. reducing administrative burden. IOW, fewer tests and fewer
>> licence classes suits the FCC.
>
> Maybe.
>
> But back in 1998, ARRL proposed free upgrades for Novices and Tech
> Pluses so that there would be four classes and no closed-out classes.
> Others have proposed similar freebies. FCC has consistently said no,
> and keeps the Tech Plus, Advanced and Novice alive in their rules and
> database. At the current rate of decline, it may be 15 more years
> before the last Advanced is gone.

A mistake IMO. I don't think closed classes are a good idea. It's better to
make a clean break and get everybody in the same system.

>>
>> I predict the code test will not be a continuing feature in the NPRM,
>
>> whatever else is, since eliminating a test reduces administrative
>> burden and they are already on record as wanting to get rid of it.
>
> Yet they have not done so. If they really think Element 1 should go,
> why wasn't it dumped in 2003?
>

See above. They will have to consider all the petitions and then write an
NPRM that either does or doesn't restructure the licence classes.

>> Reducing the number of classes also appeals to the FCC, so maybe they
>> might even adopt most of the League's proposal but get rid of element
>> 1 as well? I don't think so, though, as the line of least resistance
>> is to keep the current test elements as they are. This means
>> grandfathering Novice to Tech instead of Tech to General, so that is
>> what I predict they will do.
>
> Why? Keeping the closed-out license classes costs them little or
> nothing. Tech Plus will disappear in a little more than 5 years, as the
> last Tech Plus is renewed as Tech. The other two closed-out classes are
> slowly dropping, yet may last a lot longer because of renewals.

>
> Maybe I'll write a proposal...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

It's just an unnecessary complication. Three classes are easier to enforce
than six.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

2147. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 04:26:57 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <0t-dnWKnDc7S2LjfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:

> "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>
>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>>throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the law.
>>A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
>>since last year.
>>
>
> Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>
> Next.

Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any good,
it will be clean.

2148. Re: U.S. Morse Code Solution-Maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 04:48:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422545B3.92EBD670@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103614

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Years ago, poor Dave wanted to bluster and brag about his really
> neat CW on State Department radio in Africa during the '80s. He
> made it sound like a major diplomatic save-the-world kind of thing,
> even mentioned being in "Guinea-Bisseau" one of those litle-
> known and who-cares kind of ex-colonies. He wanted to parade
> like a Big Shot using CW for some kind of vital mission when,
> supposedly, RTTY wouldn't get through. [spell Shot with an i]
>
> Poor Dave got trumped. He ran into someone who had bigger
> experience in government communications that didn't need to
> brag. Dave tried and tried to beat that guy down, by any means
> possible. He was the "superior!" He ruled. All bow down to
> him and all that sort of rot. Dave never forgot.

Google never forgot:

from me on Jan. 1, 1998:

"Len, you tried to give me a lesson in the history of radio and you
also
twisted some dates and events but, to what end? What was that all
about? FYI: I was handling the QSYs, openings and closings for a
government 75 baud circuit via CW from late '87 through late '89 from
Guinea-Bissau. Guess we didn't know CW was obsolete, huh?

Dave Heil OH2/K8MN"

---

from Len Anderson on Jan. 5, 1998:

No CW Test
Jan 5 1998, 12:00 am

>Subject: Re: Can't we all just get along?
>From: Dave Heil <k...@clinet.fi>
>Date: Mon, Jan 5, 1998 00:44 EST
>Message-id: <34B07331....@clinet.fi>
>You failed reading comprehension. My post stated that CW was used to
>coordinate openings/closings, QSYs of an RTTY circuit.

Ok, let me requote, word for word, what you originally wrote:

>>>about? FYI: I was handling the QSYs, opening and closings for a
>>>government 75 baud circuit via CW from late '87 through late '89

from
>>>Guinea-Bissau. Guess we didn't know CW was obsolete, huh?

Firstly, you didn't qualify "government." Since you call from a
Finnish server, have an OH2 (Finland) slash on your signature,
and Guinea-Bissau is an independent country, one needs
telepathy to accurately attach "US" in front of "government."
Secondly you wrote "75 baud circuit via CW..." which could be a
high-speed CW one as much as TTY; "baud" is the old rate name
in all telegraphy.

If this was a United States government link, that slow a rate sounds
suspicious considering that the military and State Department were
already starting to upgrade to 110 Baud (100 WPM) TTY in the
late 1960s. Commercial TTY users were doing the same at the
same time. Lots of older 60 WPM TTY machines were becoming
available as surplus by the mid-1970s, many being converted for
TDD use by hearing-impaired persons courtesy of many clubs of
ex-telephone-company-workers.

Now, I'll grant you that surplus telecomm equipment COULD have
gone into use in Guinea-Bissau considering that country subsists
largely on grant money from other nations and such aid is of minor
value. [the USA is contributing $2.5 million to them in proposed
1998 aid grants] It's difficult to envision a US government circuit
running that slow some 20 years after starting to upgrade to 100
WPM machinery.

>Now we get a geography lesson from Len. It was a U.S. government
>circuit, Len. It wasn't 75 baud CW; it was 75 baud RTTY with CW to
>coordinate it.

There's LOTS of geographic, socio-political information available
on the Web, many site choices. ARRL publications don't carry
much of such information. Anyone with Web access can look them
up. As to "lessons," do you think everyone knows ALL the small
and emerging nations of the world?

Why does an RTTY circuit (of any speed) need "CW to
coordinate it?" RTTY circuits have been used on HF since the
1930s without any extra CW circuit for "coordination." It's a very
simple task to tune in an 170 to 850 Hz shift RTTY signal and lock
onto mark and space with an RTTY demod. "R-Y" generators
were common in old 5-level days, even one-print-line "Fox Test"
generators. ???

Len Anderson
---

from me on Jan. 6, 1998:

Dave Heil
Jan 6 1998, 12:00 am show options

No CW Test wrote:
> Ok, let me requote, word for word, what you originally wrote:

> >>>about? FYI: I was handling the QSYs, opening and closings for a
> >>>government 75 baud circuit via CW from late '87 through late '89
from
> >>>Guinea-Bissau. Guess we didn't know CW was obsolete, huh?

> Firstly, you didn't qualify "government." Since you call from a
> Finnish server, have an OH2 (Finland) slash on your signature,
> and Guinea-Bissau is an independent country, one needs
> telepathy to accurately attach "US" in front of "government."
> Secondly you wrote "75 baud circuit via CW..." which could be a
> high-speed CW one as much as TTY; "baud" is the old rate name
> in all telegraphy.

Len, you are perhaps the greatest picker of nits I've encountered in
ages. There aren't many 75 baud (100 wpm) CW circuits. Regarding the
Finnish server and /OH2 and my talk of Guinea-Bissau (or Sierra Leone
or
Botswana) I guess you assumed I'm some sort of commo mercenary, selling
my skills to the highest bidder. It doesn't really matter which
government in the end for purposes of comprehension, does it?

> If this was a United States government link, that slow a rate sounds
> suspicious considering that the military and State Department were
> already starting to upgrade to 110 Baud (100 WPM) TTY in the
> late 1960s. Commercial TTY users were doing the same at the
> same time. Lots of older 60 WPM TTY machines were becoming
> available as surplus by the mid-1970s, many being converted for
> TDD use by hearing-impaired persons courtesy of many clubs of
> ex-telephone-company-workers.

Yeah, that's it, Len. It was suspicious. I had some sinister purpose
in deliberately posting lies. Thanks for letting me know what the
State
Department (my agency) was using.

By the way, 45 baud equates to 60 wpm.

> Now, I'll grant you that surplus telecomm equipment COULD have
> gone into use in Guinea-Bissau considering that country subsists
> largely on grant money from other nations and such aid is of minor
> value. [the USA is contributing $2.5 million to them in proposed
> 1998 aid grants] It's difficult to envision a US government circuit
> running that slow some 20 years after starting to upgrade to 100
> WPM machinery.

Do your math homework regarding baud rates and we'll talk again and
please try to get over that difficulty in envisioning things. For
practice, try envisioning message traffic plus overhead for the
synchronous circuit. Throw in QRM, QRN, fading, multipath, etc.

> >Now we get a geography lesson from Len. It was a U.S. government
> >circuit, Len. It wasn't 75 baud CW; it was 75 baud RTTY with CW to
> >coordinate it.

> There's LOTS of geographic, socio-political information available
> on the Web, many site choices. ARRL publications don't carry
> much of such information. Anyone with Web access can look them
> up. As to "lessons," do you think everyone knows ALL the small
> and emerging nations of the world?

No, I don't. Many amateurs I worked from J52US wanted to know what part
of South America I was in. Again, it didn't really relate to my post.
I'm not certain why ARRL publications WOULD carry such information. I'd
first turn to an atlas or a geography book. The only problem is that
you don't find much about digital data circuits in an atlas.

> Why does an RTTY circuit (of any speed) need "CW to
> coordinate it?" RTTY circuits have been used on HF since the
> 1930s without any extra CW circuit for "coordination."

How do you know that no cw cicuit was needed for coordination, Len?

> It's a very
> simple task to tune in an 170 to 850 Hz shift RTTY signal and lock
> onto mark and space with an RTTY demod. "R-Y" generators
> were common in old 5-level days, even one-print-line "Fox Test"
> generators. ???

Here's a lesson for you, Len:

Synchronous circuits are not the same as async circuits. There's a
clocking factor thrown in to the equation. Not only that, there are
many, many, many such signals in designated portions of the bands. How
would you know which was the right one? Nobody constantly stands over
such a circuit which is pumping out traffic throughout the working day.
Let's say that propagation changes and you lose sync. You need a way to
let the other station know where you'll be transmitting and where you'd
like him to transmit so that communication can be re-established.
That is accomplished via use of one of many CW guard frequencies.

When opening in the morning, you'd want to let the distant end know that
you were ready to open and to state, again, where each of you should be.
In sending a closure. You'd want to let him know your last sent and
received channel number and what time you'll reopen. Finally, though
equipment was redundant, there can be times when all of the RTTY
equipment is down. You'd need a way to let the distant end know that
you were down; why you were down, about how long you'd be down.

> Len Anderson

Dave OH2/K8MN
downtown Helsinki
but not working for the Finnish Government

---

from me on Jan. 5, 1998:

Dave Heil
Jan 5 1998, 12:00 am show options

No CW Test wrote:
> >I would also ask what government was it that used
> >that circuit? The US government has been using encrypted
> >RTTY since at least the 60's and I'd question that
> >any USA government entity was still in a CW mode
> >as late as 1987.

You failed reading comprehension. My post stated that CW was used to coordinate openings/closings, QSYs of an RTTY circuit.

> Guinea-Bissau is a small, underdeveloped former Portuguese
> colony of 1.1 million population just south of Senegal in West
> Africa. They are listed as one of the poorest nations in the
> world on several website reference sources. The country has
> a per capita Gross Domestic Product of $235 (1995 estimate) and
> less than 5000 telephones. I'm sure that ANY rapid
> communications mode would be useful in such an environment,
> even 75 Baud CW.

> Len Anderson

Now we get a geography lesson from Len. It was a U.S. government circuit, Len. It wasn't 75 baud CW; it was 75 baud RTTY with CW to coordinate it.

Dave OH2/K8MN

---

from Len Anderson on Jan. 8, 1998

No CW Test
Jan 8 1998, 12:00 am show options

Not being a tested high-speed telepath, it is difficult to comprehend something NOT written. All any of us can do is read the actual words written. Comprehension takes place on written words, not the unwritten ones. You could have said "US circuit" or identified that you were working for the US State Department, but you did not do that until later...as in:

>Yeah, that's it, Len. It was suspicious. I had some sinister purpose
>in deliberately posting lies. Thanks for letting me know what the
State
>Department (my agency) was using.
>Do your math homework regarding baud rates and we'll talk again and

>please try to get over that difficulty in envisioning things. For
>practice, try envisioning message traffic plus overhead for the
>synchronous circuit. Throw in QRM, QRN, fading, multipath, etc.

I broke into HF communications in the US Army Signal Corps at Army station ADA in Tokyo, beginning in 1953. I realize that primitive Army throughput of 220 to 240 thousand messages a month, trans-Pacific to San Francisco, Seattle, Anchorage, Honolulu, Guam, Manila, Okinawa, Pusan, and Seoul using 27 transmitters ranging from 1 to 40 KW output plus the then-largest receiving site (shared with USAF) was not as good as what State could accomplish. Since none of the circuits sending nearly a quarter million messages a month were not CW, I have little observation of CW nets.

>Here's a lesson for you, Len:

Thank you, I'm always looking for new knowledge.

>Synchronous circuits are not the same as async circuits. There's a
>clocking factor thrown in to the equation. Not only that, there are
>many, many, many such signals in designated portions of the bands.
How
>would you know which was the right one? Nobody constantly stands over
>such a circuit which is pumping out traffic throughout the working
day.
>Let's say that propagation changes and you lose sync. You need a way
to
>let the other station know where you'll be transmitting and where
you'd
>like him to transmit so that communication can be re-established.
>That is accomplished via use of one of many CW guard frequencies.

While I don't have many details of modern-day military signal operations for Force XXI and the Digital Army, I can only draw on texts and personal observations. According to NAVSHIPS 0967-255-0010 "Principles of Telegraphy (Teletypewriter)," June 1967, the difference between "synchronous" and "asynchronous" is the absence/presence of start and stop bits. Since the old old 5-level TTYs at ADA always ran with start and stop bits, even with the 4-loop time division multiplexers (Navy contract) on four radio circuits, synchronous TTY is a stranger...I lept over that to direct digital in industry. However, ADA never had any specific problems with the propagation to Frisco, Honolulu, Seattle, or Manila compared to the single-channel RTTY and SSB circuits.

At one end of the entire second floor of a converted warehouse that housed the manual paper tape relay center was Control. It was a largish room with acoustic insulation since the 200+ TTY units (mostly chadless printer-punches and transmit distributor racks) made a lot of noise. Control had eight handsets for the first voice channel on each SSB circuit. Old Model 19s were

there as the teleprinter orderwires for non-voice circuits. There were three loops for as many "Fox Test" generators always running on the floor above in the Carrier room. If there was some extreme problem to one circuit's location, there were work-arounds through
other sites but those were not used as far
as I knew from a year at the Chou Kogyo relay center. If a circuit was down, the printer made garble or sat idle; when it came back up, printers synchronized themselves.

In modern Army communications, digital teleprinter circuits synchronize themselves, even the ones that are encrypted. That goes all the way down to manpack SINCGARS radios. No problem with alleged
propagation variations affecting comm...it is
either there or not. Voice is the orderwire coordinator...or it is another digital radio circuit. While CW "could" be used, it isn't essential to "maintaining synchronization" any more than a voice contact can "maintain synch."

Between Army service and later aerospace experience, I missed the Model 28 era, even a lot of the Model 3x family except those used as early computer terminals. Techniques could have changed, of course, but teleprinters were fairly well established in methods and principles at the end of the 1940s. Improvements were external to the mechanisms such as the Navy MUX rack (primitive, it used 7-pin miniature thyratrons as "memory elements") which worked very well. Being all-manual, I missed such improvements as the old AN/FGC-30 switcher not used at ADA and could only view the many modern switchers/routers used in today's Army. CW played NO role in maintenance of the new or old signal centers...so it is difficult to imagine an intermediary period where CW was essential to maintain circuits as you described.

>Finally, though
>equipment was redundant, there can be times when all of the RTTY
>equipment is down. You'd need a way to let the distant end know that
>you were down; why you were down, about how long you'd be down.

In three years at ADA, there was only one period of about 4 to 5 hours when a Pacific area radio blackout happened. It had been somewhat predicted but everyone, Army, Navy, USAF, all knew when it occurred...and when it was expected to be back up. Even CW could not get through such a situation...;-)

At all other times the 24-hour-a-day ORDERWIRES were there to coordinate everything. No problem.

Len Anderson

So, Leonard, munch on the words. No boast or brag on my part.

If by trumping me, you meant that your word output exceeded mine, I suppose you win. If you intended to trump me with facts, you failed miserably. You weren't where I was. You weren't doing the job I was. You quote scads of information on how the Army handled RTTY circuits fifty years ago but not a single shred of information on how the U.S. Department of State conducted AFRECONE communications.

In short, you were all wet then and you lied about the events now.

You know less of State Department communications than you know of amateur radio. You were not and are not a part of either.

Any word on the mysterious "other" who was supposed to have "trumped" me?

Dave K8MN

2149. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 06:48:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1109746097.656325.276310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103615

You know Bath-Whore-man to start I'm now on 20 meters. if you don't like what I'm airing, turn the dial you and your redneck asshole buddies from the south don't have to listen.

Yeah sir, I'm now in the amateur radio band transmiting by hourly program. Since I can't a broadcast license.....you little twits are stuck me hahahaha

Todd N9OGL

Bathrooman wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > Todd Daugherty wrote:
> >
> >
> > >
> > > There's more room on 10 meters compared to say 20 meters secondly
I
> ran my
> > > information bulletin around 9.00, 10.00 pm. Finally, that would
> apply to
> > > anything, a station on the east coast may be on a frequency and a
> station on
> > > the west coast can't hear him. I think a statement in one of FCC
> rules books
> > > which states "we can't eliminate all interference but you can
> minimize it.
> > > You know unlike K1MAN and W1AW I have the curiously of NOT
> trying to
> > > transmit over someone.
> >
>
> It seems like there is more room on 10 meters because it is dead!
> There is no propagation! 9 or 10 PM is a perfect time for your
"show"
> because no one will be able to hear your claptrap! At first you
wanted
> to get into broadcasting; you were denied a license, you tried pirate
> radio, and now you intend to broadcast in the amateur bands...at
first
> you called it a "show," then a "broadcast," now it's an "information
> bulletin!" We have an IDEAL place for your claptrap! Do it in the
> Children's Band! There ain't no propagation there either, but
perhaps
> a few nearby chicken banders will copy! 10-4! Good Buddy.

2150. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 06:58:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1109746722.622047.226210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103616

Quick fix
You know Bath-Whore-man to start I'm now on 20 meters. if you don't like what I'm airing, turn the dial. you and your redneck asshole buddies from the south don't have to listen my enjoyable ONE WAY COMMUNICATION.

Yeah sir, I'm now on the amateur radio band transmiting my hourly program. Since I can't a broadcast license.....you little twits are stuck me hahahaha

LONG LIVE PIRATE RADIO!!!!!

Todd N9OGL

2151. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 10:43:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109760226.362991.253290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103617

```
Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109706299.033324.211320
> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net> wrote in
> >> news:QI%Ud.1034$L17.365@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> > >
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109689325.032940.133970
> >> > @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
> >> >
> >> >> http://www.arrl.org
> >> >>
> >> >> scroll down about 3 stories
> >> >>
> >> >> Article sez FCC is working on NPRM that will address all 18
> >> >> existing proposals. Expected to become public about the middle
of
> >> >> 2005. With the usual comment period, etc., Report and Order by
> >> >> maybe late 2006/early 2007.
> >> >>
> >> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > If the ARRL has a proposal, could you post it here for review. I
> >> > refuse to visit their site anymore. TNX 73
> >> >
> >> > KB7ADL
> >> >
> >>
> >> I don't have the full details of the ARRL petition to hand, but
> >> basically it brings back the Novice licence (without the code),
makes
> >> Techs into Generals and Advanceds into Extras, and dumps ths code
test
> >> except for Extras, who would still have to pass it.
> > >
> > > Basically a compromise that gives everybody something they want but
> > > doesn't give anybody everything.
> > >
> >> Two comments on the Antique Radio Relay League's news item.
> > >
> > > Your bias is showing, Alun.
> > >
```

>
> Sure. I don't think the League is a very progressive organisation.

At least you admit your bias. Can't argue with that!
>
> >> Firstly, it's
> >> very telling that they buried it down the page, just as they did
with
> >> the announcement that the code test was abolished by the ITU.
> >
> > They post the stories in chronological order. If it's not at the
top,
> > that's because a newer story has displaced it. They did not "bury"
> > anything.
> >
>
> I see. Well, I guess the're not journalists.

The webpage isn't a newspaper. By listing the stories in chrono order,
you always have the newest stuff on top.

> > And the code test was not abolished by ITU. All that changed was
that
> > the treaty no longer requires such a test. Signatory countries are
now
> > no longer *required by treaty* to have a code test, that's all.
>
> Same thing.

No, completely different things. The change does not require member
countries to drop the code test.

>
> >>
> >> Secondly, it does say at the end that "it's possible the
Commission
> >> could wrap up the proceeding before that time frame", so IOW the
> >> 2006/7 is just the League's guesswork.
> >
> > Of course - and they make that clear in the article.
> >
> > Back in summer 2003, ARRL said at least two years. Which seemed
> > incredibly long at the time, but is now turning out to be short, if
> > anything.
> >>
> >> IMHO, the FCC will not adopt the League's proposal as such.
> >
> > Probably not. Nor will they adopt anyone's proposal as presented,
IMHO.
> >
> >> The FCC say
> >> that they are looking for a consensus amongst us, and they are

also on
> >> record as saying that the code test useful doesn't serve any
useful
> >> purpose.
> >
> > When did they say those things?
>
> They said that they wouldn't restructure until a consensus emerged

When did FCC say that? They restructured in 2000 without a consensus.
>
> >
> > btw, the FCC's words were "serves no *REGULATORY* purpose"
(emphasis
> > added) not "useful purpose". BIG difference!
> >
>
> Thanks for correcting the wording, but it really isn't much of a
difference

Yes, it is. Since FCC's role is regulatory, their interest is in what
should be regulated, not what's good and bad.

> > And if FCC still thinks the code test serves no regulatory purpose,
why
> > didn't they just dump Element 1 in late summer 2003, as proposed by
at
> > least two groups?
>
> I don't know, but you admit they said it serves no regulatory purpose

When did FCC say it?

> > All it would take is a Memorandum Report and Order.
> > In fact, as a temporary measure pending rewriting the rules, they
could
> > have simply ordered that anyone who passed Element 2, 3 or 4 gets
> > Element 1 credit.
> >
> > But they didn't.
>
> Maybe they didn't feel that they could do that when they had 19
petitions
> dumped on them?

Maybe. Or maybe their mind has changed on the subject.
>
> >
> >> There
> >> is no consensus, so I think they will choose from whatever has
been
> >> proposed those things that suit their own organisational

objectives,
> >> i.e. reducing administrative burden. IOW, fewer tests and fewer
> >> licence classes suits the FCC.
> >
> > Maybe.
> >
> > But back in 1998, ARRL proposed free upgrades for Novices and Tech
> > Pluses so that there would be four classes and no closed-out
classes.
> > Others have proposed similar freebies. FCC has consistently said
no,
> > and keeps the Tech Plus, Advanced and Novice alive in their rules
and
> > database. At the current rate of decline, it may be 15 more years
> > before the last Advanced is gone.
>
> A mistake IMO. I don't think closed classes are a good idea.

Why not?

> It's better to
> make a clean break and get everybody in the same system.

All US hams are in the same system. IMHO, and FCC's to date, free
upgrades are *not* a good idea.
>
> >>
> >> I predict the code test will not be a continuing feature in the
NPRM,
> >
> >> whatever else is, since eliminating a test reduces administrative
> >> burden and they are already on record as wanting to get rid of it.

> >
> > Yet they have not done so. If they really think Element 1 should
go,
> > why wasn't it dumped in 2003?
> >
>
> See above. They will have to consider all the petitions and then
write an
> NPRM that either does or doesn't restructure the licence classes.

They don't have to do that to dump Element 1. They can say the issue
was dealt with in the past and there's no new info and since there's no
regulatory purpose served, bye bye Element 1. But they haven't.

>
> >> Reducing the number of classes also appeals to the FCC, so maybe
they
> >> might even adopt most of the League's proposal but get rid of
element

> >> 1 as well? I don't think so, though, as the line of least
resistance
> >> is to keep the current test elements as they are. This means
> >> grandfathering Novice to Tech instead of Tech to General, so that
is
> >> what I predict they will do.
> >
> > Why? Keeping the closed-out license classes costs them little or
> > nothing. Tech Plus will disappear in a little more than 5 years, as
the
> > last Tech Plus is renewed as Tech. The other two closed-out classes
are
> > slowly dropping, yet may last a lot longer because of renewals.
> >
> > Maybe I'll write a proposal...
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> It's just an unnecessary complication. Three classes are easier to
enforce
> than six.

Yet FCC *turned down* such proposals in the past. They prefer more classes to free automatic upgrades. Enforcement is a nonissue; the FCC folks know where the subbands are. And it's the rare ham who strays, judging by enforcement actions.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2152. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 11:57:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050302065750.672$yk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> >>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> >>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> >>throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
> >>A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
> >>since last year.
> >>
> >
> > Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> >
> > Next.
>
> Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any good,
> it will be clean.
>
Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.

2153. Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 14:18:17 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <JWjVd.27076$r55.10992@attbi_s52>
Source record: 103619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
news:d02qcektl0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have nothing
> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.

> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
> >
> > Big difference.

> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
> LOL!

I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of law
abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB operators
are the same as criminal radio pirates!

2154. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 15:31:02 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d04m7m$10nk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103620

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109706299.033324.211320
> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

<snip

>>Your bias is showing, Alun.
>>
>
>
> Sure. I don't think the League is a very progressive organisation.

What would make the league progressive Alun?

>>>Firstly, it's
>>>very telling that they buried it down the page, just as they did with
>>>the announcement that the code test was abolished by the ITU.
>>
>>They post the stories in chronological order. If it's not at the top,
>>that's because a newer story has displaced it. They did not "bury"
>>anything.
>>
>
>
> I see. Well, I guess the're not journalists.

I don't understand. Chronological order seems a good way to post articles.

>>And the code test was not abolished by ITU. All that changed was that
>>the treaty no longer requires such a test. Signatory countries are now
>>no longer *required by treaty* to have a code test, that's all.
>
>
> Same thing.

Here is a question:

If a country were to require higher standards for their version of the
ARS, are they hazing their applicants? If country A requ

>
>>>Secondly, it does say at the end that "it's possible the Commission
>>>could wrap up the proceeding before that time frame", so IOW the
>>>2006/7 is just the League's guesswork.
>>
>>Of course - and they make that clear in the article.
>>

>>Back in summer 2003, ARRL said at least two years. Which seemed
>>incredibly long at the time, but is now turning out to be short, if
>>anything.
>>
>>>IMHO, the FCC will not adopt the League's proposal as such.
>>
>>Probably not. Nor will they adopt anyone's proposal as presented, IMHO.
>>
>>
>>>The FCC say
>>>that they are looking for a consensus amongst us, and they are also on
>>>record as saying that the code test useful doesn't serve any useful
>>>purpose.
>>
>>When did they say those things?
>
>
> They said that they wouldn't restructure until a consensus emerged

Hehe, that could be a long long time.

>
>>btw, the FCC's words were "serves no *REGULATORY* purpose" (emphasis
>>added) not "useful purpose". BIG difference!
>>
>>
>>
> Thanks for correcting the wording, but it really isn't much of a difference

I respectfully disagree. That is a huge difference. If we were tested
for our knowledge of Electron tube circuitry, it would not be serving a
useful purpose.

>>And if FCC still thinks the code test serves no regulatory purpose, why
>>didn't they just dump Element 1 in late summer 2003, as proposed by at
>>least two groups?
>
>
> I don't know, but you admit they said it serves no regulatory purpose

Despite the hand wringing, Element 1 is just another part of the test.
A good case can be made that its inclusion or exclusion makes no
particular difference pro or con to the ARS. So they probably didn't
feel the need to mess with it.

>
>>All it would take is a Memorandum Report and Order.
>>In fact, as a temporary measure pending rewriting the rules, they could
>>have simply ordered that anyone who passed Element 2, 3 or 4 gets
>>Element 1 credit.
>>
>>But they didn't.

>
>
> Maybe they didn't feel that they could do that when they had 19 petitions
> dumped on them?

I doubt that. Maybe the No coders can try to throttle the petition
process so that messy things like the law, due process and free speech
can be eliminated for expediency?

(major tongue in cheek mode here, I know you would never seriously
suggest that people should be able to speak their mind)

>>>There
>>>is no consensus, so I think they will choose from whatever has been
>>>proposed those things that suit their own organisational objectives,
>>>i.e. reducing administrative burden. IOW, fewer tests and fewer
>>>licence classes suits the FCC.
>>
>>Maybe.
>>
>>But back in 1998, ARRL proposed free upgrades for Novices and Tech
>>Pluses so that there would be four classes and no closed-out classes.
>>Others have proposed similar freebies. FCC has consistently said no,
>>and keeps the Tech Plus, Advanced and Novice alive in their rules and
>>database. At the current rate of decline, it may be 15 more years
>>before the last Advanced is gone.
>
>
> A mistake IMO. I don't think closed classes are a good idea. It's better to
> make a clean break and get everybody in the same system.
>
>
>>>I predict the code test will not be a continuing feature in the NPRM,
>>
>>>whatever else is, since eliminating a test reduces administrative
>>>burden and they are already on record as wanting to get rid of it.
>>
>>Yet they have not done so. If they really think Element 1 should go,
>>why wasn't it dumped in 2003?
>>
>
>
> See above. They will have to consider all the petitions and then write an
> NPRM that either does or doesn't restructure the licence classes.

>>>Reducing the number of classes also appeals to the FCC, so maybe they
>>>might even adopt most of the League's proposal but get rid of element
>>>1 as well? I don't think so, though, as the line of least resistance
>>>is to keep the current test elements as they are. This means
>>>grandfathering Novice to Tech instead of Tech to General, so that is
>>>what I predict they will do.

>>
>>Why? Keeping the closed-out license classes costs them little or
>>nothing. Tech Plus will disappear in a little more than 5 years, as the
>>last Tech Plus is renewed as Tech. The other two closed-out classes are
>>slowly dropping, yet may last a lot longer because of renewals.
>>
>>Maybe I'll write a proposal...
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>
> It's just an unnecessary complication. Three classes are easier to enforce
> than six.

Exactly what would be so difficult about it?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2155. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 15:49:33 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1109778573.335495.51990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103621

Personally I could care less if you take up every frequency in the amateur spectrum, I am no longer active on the air, so you aren't getting in my way. What amazes people is why a fat, stupid motherfucker like you spends his time broadcasting a show on AMATEUR frequencies and thinks he is doing the SERVICE a favor by informing the masses that amateur radio is dying. Not only do you NOT have an audience, which is fundamental to any broadcaster and to your "purposes" (and I use that term loosely) you are too fucking stupid to realize something: The "listeners" are a handful of hostile hams that happen to tune across your stupid bullshit. If you have nothing better to do, fine, but it might be more fun for you to go back to sucking your thumb or jerking off or just sitting with your fingers up your ass.

2156. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 17:46:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109785560.482467.106570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103622

Michael Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> > Back in the '50s and '60s, and maybe even into the '70s, almost all
new
> > hams were teenagers or young adults. Young people still make up a
good
> > percentage of new hams, but since about the mid-late 1970s we've
seen
> > an increasing percentage of older people as newcomers. Indeed, back
in
> > the early 1980s - *before* the Tech lost its code test - there was
(and
> > still is) a surge of retired and almost-retired folks in these
parts
> > getting ham licenses. Lots of new faces and lots of great hams, but
> > unfortunately most of them won't be hams long enough to join OOTC.
>
> The Demographic shift in Amateur Radio is similar to many other
> hobbies/avocations. I think once the dust settles, the typical new
ham
> will be in his or her 40's, and wanting to have a new pursuit after
> becoming financially stable and perhaps having a bit more spare time
in
> his hands.
>
> The exact same thing has already happened in my other hobby, Amateur

> Astronomy.

Maybe.

But a lot of folks I know who are in their '40s don't fit your
description, struggling with jobs, kids, etc.

> >>But it is indisputable that the reductions in requirements have NOT
> >> had any sort of significant positive impact on the Ham community.

> > Not long-term, anyway.
> >
> >> Despite the hand wringing, there is a place for achieving
something
> >>that means something. A test that is a challenge? So what? I
> >> personally think that the ARS is only strengthened by attracting
people that

> >> enjoy a bit of a challenge.

> > That's a key point.
>
> >>I think it has been adequately proven that many if not most of the
> >>people that think that Element one needs to go away are also in
favor
> >> of reduced overall licensing requirements. Certainly the leading
> >>organization for removal of the Morse test is.
> >
> >
> > Yep. And if Element 1 is removed, and we still don't get growth,
guess
> > what's next? NCVEC has already shown their hand.
>
> Let us not forget NCI.

Basically that position consists of accepting almost any compromise
that will
get rid of the code test.

> >>>>>Note that almost 5 years after the 200 restructuring we still
> >>>>>retain more than 50% of Novices and 75% of Advanceds.

> > This indicates to me a significant number of inactive hams. Sure,
there
> > are some who are satisfied with their license privs, but I suspect
a
> > lot more are SK or have dropped out.
>
> Pretty much

This has always been the case since I've been a ham. It's gotten worse
since
the 10 year license term.
>
> > The number of Techs continues to grow in part because all Tech
Pluses
> > have been renewed as Techs since April 2000. But the total number
of
> > Techs and Tech Pluses is s few percent lower than it was in 2000.
> >
> >
> >>>>>Agreed! But of course people have to know what ham radio *is* to
> >>>>> do that!
> >>>>
> >>>>Someone suggested some short commercial spots on time.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>"on time"?
> >>
> >> Typo! "one" time...

> >>
> >>>>I wonder if that
> >>>>has ever been done. Nothing too elaborate, just getting the
> >>>>name out there.
> >>
> >>>Good idea, but expensive.
> >>
> >> Seems like there are Hams that are professionals in the field that
> >>might be willing to help!......
> >
> >
> > Still have to buy the time.
>
> I would bet that a well thought out program could get some public
> service spots on local access TV.
>
> I guess I'm not inspiring you yet? 8^)
>
Local access TV may bring in a few folks, but not like network TV.

Maybe PBS could do a special? Imagine if Ken Burns did a documentary on
amateur radio...
>
> >>>>>Yet the NCVEC folks say the solution is to create a class of ham
> >>>>>that
> >>>>>can't use rigs with more than 30 volts on the electronics...
> >>>>
> >>>>Goofy, goofy, goofy!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Tell it to NCVEC. They think they know better than you.
> >>
> >> From what I gather, their underlying concern was actually that
they
> >>think their VE's were having to work too hard.
> >
> >
> > Perhaps. Also, the "Amateur Radio in the 21st Century" paper
equated
> > passing the code test with winning the Tour de France or painting
the
> > Mona Lisa,
>
> Wow! now I feel really great about passing!!! ;^)
>
Didja read that paper, and my rebuttal?

> and described the stress of dealing with grown people crying
> > because they'd flunked the code test....boo-hoo....
>
> Funny you should mention that. the first time I took element 1... and

> flunked... I was not pleased, but Figured I'd do it again, the person

> who was the most upset was the VE. I felt worse for him than myself.

Lessee...I was 14 and flunked 13 wpm the first go because the examiner couldn't read my longhand. What the sadistic IHM nuns called "Palmer Method",
for some reason.

So I went home and taught myself to block print and copy Morse in big square capital letters (a skill not taught in the parochial schools of the day) and came back some weeks later, when I passed easily.

I don't think tears would have had any effect on ol' Joe Squelch back then...

> >>>Shrub says hydrogen is the answer. Oh the humanity.

> >>Hydrogen's energy density issues make for some problems. That
> >
> > Excursion
> >
> >>will have to tack on another 10 miles per hour on trips to make up
> >
> > for
> >
> >>all the fuel stops needed.
> >
> >
> > Naw, just liquefy the stuff.
>
> Yoiks! Liquid H? Even if, the energy density is still quite a bit less
> than gasoline. something like 25 percent.
>
> Storage concerns are a big problem with the stuff. In a similar fashion
> We have Natural gas buses in our area. Their tanks are on the bus roof,
> and run the length of the bus. You just can't get as much energy out of
> the stuff.

Didja know the USA is now *importing* LNG from the Middle East and other places?

> > The big problems are:
> >
> > - Handling a fuel that is a gas at STP is more complex than one that is
> > a liquid.
>
> > - Danger of fire and leaking pressure tanks
> >

> > - Where's all the hydrogen supposed to come from?
>
> Yup. While seawater might seem like the obvious way out, hydrolysis
of
> seawater produces interesting byproducts.

Yep. Plus - where do you get the electricity to hydrolyze the seawater?

> Now tell the people in the western US that you want to take a huge
> amount of their fresh water!! 8^) It is in short enough supply as it
is.

Might as well develop electric cars...

> >>>>You mean like dragging the gay marriage issue into the Social
> >>>>Security problem? 8^)
>
> >>>Yep. The interesting thing is that allowing gay civil unions would
> >>>*increase* tax revenue.
>
> >>>And speaking of marriage: One thing I find interesting is that the
> >>>divorce rates in the "red" states are consistently and clearly
> >
> > higher
> >
> >>>than the rates in "blue" states. Seems those folks who rant and
> >
> > rave
> >
> >>>about "family values" and "covenants" can't seem to stay hitched
> >
> > very
> >
> >>>long.
> >
> >
> >>All you have to do is meet some of the "reds", and you'll
immediately
> >>understand why they have such a high divorce rate......
> >
> >
> > Ya gotta be more specific than that!
>
> hehe, when I think of the modern conservative, I keep getting this
> vision od Old man Newt.

You mean the "family values" guy who had divorce papers served on his
first wife (who had supported him through law school and the early
struggles of his political career) while she was *in the hospital
undergoing cancer treatment*?

> >>>Here's the kind of thinking being put forth:
>
> >>>One plan being suggested in DC is for the USA to create a special
> >>>savings account for each baby born in the USA, starting on a
> >
> > certain
> >
> >>>date. The Feds would put $2000 into each account each year until
> >
> > the
> >
> >>>kid reaches 18. Total investment $36,000. Assuming about 6% annual
> >>>interest, each account would be worth over a million dollars when
> >
> > the
> >
> >>>"baby" reached 65.
> >>>
> >>>Nice retirement package, huh? Except it won't work for several
> >
> > reasons
> >
> >>>completely obvious to anyone with common sense.
> >>
> >> Who pays that 6 percent interest?
> >
> >
> > That's the first problem.
> >
> >
> >>The last time I checked, the rate
> >>wasn't anywhere near that.
> >
> >
> > Bingo!
> >
> >
> >>Taxes on that money? Capital gains?
> >
> >
> > The money would be tax exempt until the person began to draw upon
it.
> >
> >
> >>Inflation?
> >
> >
> > That's a BIG one!
> >
> > ~30 years ago, when I was entering the job market out of college,
> > entry-level engineers with degrees were making about what *minimum

> > wage* is now. Go back 50 years, and a $5000/yr income put the
average
> > person on Easy Street, able to support a middle-class family in a
way
> > that $50,000/yr won't do today.
> >
> > Even if inflation stays low over the next 65 years, $1 million
won't be
> > enough to retire on. What really matters, of course, is what I call
> > "differential interest" - the difference between inflation and the
> > apparent interest rate. If you get interest of 6% and inflation of
2%,
> > your money is really only growing at a rate of 4%.
> >
> >
> >>Your going to have to have some sort of way that the guvmint
> >>pays interest on the account when the prime is low, or you will be
> >>creating a powerful incentive for citizens to want a high interest
> >
> > rate
> >
> >>which is counterproductive to the economy......The list goes on and
> >
> > on.
> >
> > Yep.
> >
> >
> >> Also interesting how everyone in the US will retire a millionaire!
> >
> >
> > Sounds good until the reality cuts in. There are lots of
millionaires
> > today - on paper anyway.
> >
> >
> >> Ain't gonna work!
> >
> >
> > Yet that isn't some wild-eyed idea - it's something our alleged
> > leadership has suggested!
>
> Hey yeah! The stock Market always goes up over the long term, right?

Yep. Whether it keeps up with inflation is another issue.

> While that may be true, people keep applying it to irrelevant issues.

> This case is just one of using interest in a way that sounds good if
you
> don't look too deep.

> By the way, did you know the producer of the "Swiftboat Veterns" is
now
> producing smear videos and literature against the AARP? The same
people
> they eagerly worked with a year or two earlier.

The Swift Boat dude has been playing that game for decades. Never mind
that lots of other vets, who served *with* Mr. Kerry, tell a completely
different story.

> no shame, at long last, no shame.

Didn't AARP lose membership for supporting Shrub's prescription plan?
>
>
> > Consider these other problems:
> >
> > If there are, say, 4 million births per year, the govt. will need
to
> > put $8 billion into the accounts the first year, $16 billion the
second
> > year, $24 billion the third year, etc. This won't stabilize until
the
> > 18th year, when it reaches $144 billion per year being put aside in
> > these accounts. Most of that money would have to come from *new*
> > revenue sources, because the existing Social Security system would
have
> > to continue to exist for a while. And that's based on *no* increase
in
> > baby production!
> >
> > Where's all that money supposed to come from?
>
>
> Tax cuts.

HAW!

> > Then there's the question of shenanigans. Some people will try to
beat
> > the system in one way or another. More likely are govt.
shenaningans -
> > it will be hard for the pols to keep their paws off all that money
in
> > the accounts, just as they can't leave Social Security alone.
Remember
> > that the *real* problem with Social Security is that the current
> > administration doesn't want to pay back what was borrowed! What's
to
> > keep them from "borrowing" from the new system?
>
> Nada.
>

Yep.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2157. Re: Has It Come To This...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 17:48:10 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <38mcm7F5nbkm7U1@individual.net>
Source record: 103623

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1109598586.795321.237790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>
> > I'd prefer two readouts - one for transmit frequency, one for
> receive, and
> > *two* different full size knobs to tune them. I hate it when you
> have to
> > press a "function" key with one finger, another key with a different
> finger,
> > and then take your shoes off to free up a couple of toes to access
> some
> > stupid function that might better be available with its' own control
> > (perhaps on the back of the rig if it is seldom used).
> >
> > Oh well .... getting old beats the heck out of the alternative, I
> guess :))
>
> It's already been done, Jim.
>
> It was called the "external VFO". Also accomplished by using
> "seperates". A seperate transmitter and receiver... =)
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Hello, Steve

Been there, worn that T-shirt in the 60s LOL

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

ps -anyone care to help me shovel snow? Man, will this sh*t ever end?

2158. Re: Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 17:50:19 UTC
From: Harv <Hdx@dxharvnone.com>
Message-ID: <d04u9j$14d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
news:JWjVd.27076$r55.10992@attbi_s52...
>
> "Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
> news:d02qce$ktl$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have
>> >> nothing
>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>
>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>> >
>> > Big difference.
>
>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
>> LOL!
>
> I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
> 60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
> law
> abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
> operators
> are the same as criminal radio pirates!
>

So what is your point?
```

2159. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 18:10:51 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960D857FC4F7elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103625

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109760226.362991.253290
@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109706299.033324.211320
>> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net> wrote in
>> >> news:QI%Ud.1034$L17.365@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>> >
>> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109689325.032940.133970
>> >> > @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >
>> >> >> http://www.arrl.org
>> >> >>
>> >> >> scroll down about 3 stories
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Article sez FCC is working on NPRM that will address all 18
>> >> >> existing proposals. Expected to become public about the middle
>> >> >> of 2005. With the usual comment period, etc., Report and Order
>> >> >> by maybe late 2006/early 2007.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > If the ARRL has a proposal, could you post it here for review. I
>> >> > refuse to visit their site anymore. TNX 73
>> >> >
>> >> > KB7ADL
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> I don't have the full details of the ARRL petition to hand, but
>> >> basically it brings back the Novice licence (without the code),
>> >> makes Techs into Generals and Advanceds into Extras, and dumps ths
>> >> code test except for Extras, who would still have to pass it.
>> >
>> > Basically a compromise that gives everybody something they want but
>> > doesn't give anybody everything.
>> >
>> >> Two comments on the Antique Radio Relay League's news item.
>> >
>> > Your bias is showing, Alun.

>> >
>>
>> Sure. I don't think the League is a very progressive organisation.
>
> At least you admit your bias. Can't argue with that!
>>
>> >> Firstly, it's
>> >> very telling that they buried it down the page, just as they did
>> >> with the announcement that the code test was abolished by the ITU.
>> >
>> > They post the stories in chronological order. If it's not at the
>> > top, that's because a newer story has displaced it. They did not
>> > "bury" anything.
>> >
>>
>> I see. Well, I guess the're not journalists.
>
> The webpage isn't a newspaper. By listing the stories in chrono order,
> you always have the newest stuff on top.
>
>> > And the code test was not abolished by ITU. All that changed was
>> > that the treaty no longer requires such a test. Signatory countries
>> > are now no longer *required by treaty* to have a code test, that's
>> > all.
>>
>> Same thing.
>
> No, completely different things. The change does not require member
> countries to drop the code test.
>

Making a requirement optional is indistinguishable from abolishing it. It's
just a different form of words used to keep some countries happy.

>>
>> >>
>> >> Secondly, it does say at the end that "it's possible the Commission
>> >> could wrap up the proceeding before that time frame", so IOW the
>> >> 2006/7 is just the League's guesswork.
>> >
>> > Of course - and they make that clear in the article.
>> >
>> > Back in summer 2003, ARRL said at least two years. Which seemed
>> > incredibly long at the time, but is now turning out to be short, if
>> > anything.
>> >>
>> >> IMHO, the FCC will not adopt the League's proposal as such.
>> >
>> > Probably not. Nor will they adopt anyone's proposal as presented,
>> > IMHO.
>> >

>> >> The FCC say
>> >> that they are looking for a consensus amongst us, and they are also
>> >> on record as saying that the code test useful doesn't serve any
>> >> useful purpose.
>> >
>> > When did they say those things?
>>
>> They said that they wouldn't restructure until a consensus emerged
>
> *When* did FCC say that? They restructured in 2000 without a consensus.

Maybe a google search would find the answer to that

>>
>> >
>> > btw, the FCC's words were "serves no *REGULATORY* purpose" (emphasis
>> > added) not "useful purpose". BIG difference!
>> >
>>
>> Thanks for correcting the wording, but it really isn't much of a
>> difference
>
> Yes, it is. Since FCC's role is regulatory, their interest is in what
> should be regulated, not what's good and bad.

i.e. no regulatory purpose means no purpose useful to them as regulators -
no contradiction there

>
>> > And if FCC still thinks the code test serves no regulatory purpose,
>> > why didn't they just dump Element 1 in late summer 2003, as proposed
>> > by at least two groups?
>>
>> I don't know, but you admit they said it serves no regulatory purpose
>
> When did FCC say it?

I can't recall, but you know they did. You've admitted it.

>
>> > All it would take is a Memorandum Report and Order.
>> > In fact, as a temporary measure pending rewriting the rules, they
>> > could have simply ordered that anyone who passed Element 2, 3 or 4
>> > gets Element 1 credit.
>> >
>> > But they didn't.
>>
>> Maybe they didn't feel that they could do that when they had 19
>> petitions dumped on them?
>
> Maybe. Or maybe their mind has changed on the subject.

I think they have beleived that since the '70s, but have hung onto the code test under pressure from some hams, including the League. The question is not whether their minds have changed (I beleive they haven't) but whether they beleive they can get rid of the pesky code test without upsetting too many hams. At this point in time I think they can, but it depends on one's definition of 'too many'.

Of course, prior to 2003 they couldn't do it, but they had long since abolished the sending test anyway, even though that was required by the ITU. Talk to Phil Kane and see what he thinks of that from a purely legal perspective. You can't construe a statute in such a way as to ignore it's plain language by arguing that meeting one of the requirements indicates that you _could_meet_ (NB: not _have_met_) the other requirement. I am talking about _sending_ and receiving Morse code by _hand_ and by ear. Of course, it was a treaty, not a statute, but that should make no difference.

>>
>> >
>> >> There
>> >> is no consensus, so I think they will choose from whatever has been
>> >> proposed those things that suit their own organisational
>> >> objectives, i.e. reducing administrative burden. IOW, fewer tests
>> >> and fewer licence classes suits the FCC.
>> >
>> > Maybe.
>> >
>> > But back in 1998, ARRL proposed free upgrades for Novices and Tech
>> > Pluses so that there would be four classes and no closed-out
>> > classes. Others have proposed similar freebies. FCC has consistently
>> > said no, and keeps the Tech Plus, Advanced and Novice alive in their
>> > rules and database. At the current rate of decline, it may be 15
>> > more years before the last Advanced is gone.
>>
>> A mistake IMO. I don't think closed classes are a good idea.
>
> Why not?
>
>> It's better to
>> make a clean break and get everybody in the same system.
>
> All US hams are in the same system. IMHO, and FCC's to date, free
> upgrades are *not* a good idea.

Look at it from the other way around. It's not right to have a closed Advanced licence with some of the theory and some of the privileges of an Extra and not admit new people to it. That's not fair to the new Generals. IF OTOH, you counter that by saying that there's little difference in the theory level, then why not grandfather the Advanceds to Extra?

If everything were done your way you could only create licence classes and never abolish them. Eventually you would have Heinz 57 varieties of licence, but only two or three that you could actually apply for, a system

that only a civil servant could love. The only way to avoid that would be
to change nothing, ever, which may be your hidden agenda.

>>
>> >>
>> >> I predict the code test will not be a continuing feature in the
>> >> NPRM,
>> >
>> >> whatever else is, since eliminating a test reduces administrative
>> >> burden and they are already on record as wanting to get rid of it.
>
>> >
>> > Yet they have not done so. If they really think Element 1 should go,
>> > why wasn't it dumped in 2003?
>> >
>>
>> See above. They will have to consider all the petitions and then write
>> an NPRM that either does or doesn't restructure the licence classes.
>
> They don't have to do that to dump Element 1. They can say the issue
> was dealt with in the past and there's no new info and since there's no
> regulatory purpose served, bye bye Element 1. But they haven't.
>
>>
>> >> Reducing the number of classes also appeals to the FCC, so maybe
>> >> they might even adopt most of the League's proposal but get rid of
>> >> element 1 as well? I don't think so, though, as the line of least
>> >> resistance is to keep the current test elements as they are. This
>> >> means grandfathering Novice to Tech instead of Tech to General, so
>> >> that is what I predict they will do.
>> >
>> > Why? Keeping the closed-out license classes costs them little or
>> > nothing. Tech Plus will disappear in a little more than 5 years, as
>> > the last Tech Plus is renewed as Tech. The other two closed-out
>> > classes are slowly dropping, yet may last a lot longer because of
>> > renewals.
>> >
>> > Maybe I'll write a proposal...
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>>
>> It's just an unnecessary complication. Three classes are easier to
>> enforce than six.
>
> Yet FCC *turned down* such proposals in the past. They prefer more
> classes to free automatic upgrades. Enforcement is a nonissue; the FCC
> folks know where the subbands are. And it's the rare ham who strays,
> judging by enforcement actions.
>

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
I think they turned them down for lack of consensus on our part. If we
agree, then they'll do it.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

2160. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 18:28:40 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <sBnVd.3489$L17.2469@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103626

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in news:3j4Vd.11600
$Ba3.963@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net:

>
> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net> wrote in message
> news:QI%Ud.1034$L17.365@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109689325.032940.133970
>> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> http://www.arrl.org
>>>
>>> scroll down about 3 stories
>>>
>>> Article sez FCC is working on NPRM that will address all 18 existing
>>> proposals. Expected to become public about the middle of 2005. With the
>>> usual comment period, etc., Report and Order by maybe late 2006/early
>>> 2007.
>>>
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>
>> If the ARRL has a proposal, could you post it here for review. I refuse
to
>> visit their site anymore. TNX 73
>>
>> KB7ADL
>
> Then why do you give a rip about what the proposal says?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Me give a rip? More like Amateur radio R.I.P(rest in peace)

You've heard of out-come based education, well the ARRL wants out-come
based licensing. They don't care about about quality anymore, only
quantity. I just want to see they are still continuing their campaign to
destroy the integrity of the service.

KB7ADL

2161. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 18:28:40 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hb1c21h5b1qsnb9nf9q9qp08718u71sspi@4ax.com>
Source record: 103627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 14:18:17 GMT, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com>
wrote:

>
>"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
>news:d02qcektl0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have nothing
>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>
>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>> >
>> > Big difference.
>
>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
>> LOL!
>
>I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
>60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of law
>abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB operators
>are the same as criminal radio pirates!

Some people are unable to grasp the finer concepts of subtle
differences. To them, it's an "all or nothing" world.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2162. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 18:32:59 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960D894118E42elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103628

Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
news:d04m7m$10nk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu:

> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109706299.033324.211320
>> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> <snip
>
>>>Your bias is showing, Alun.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Sure. I don't think the League is a very progressive organisation.
>
>
> What would make the league progressive Alun?
>

Good question. Damned if I know!

>
>>>>Firstly, it's
>>>>very telling that they buried it down the page, just as they did with
>>>>the announcement that the code test was abolished by the ITU.
>>>
>>>They post the stories in chronological order. If it's not at the top,
>>>that's because a newer story has displaced it. They did not "bury"
>>>anything.
>>>
>>
>>
>> I see. Well, I guess the're not journalists.
>
> I don't understand. Chronological order seems a good way to post
> articles.
>

Newspapers put the big headlines at the top of page one in large print.
however, if that's too much work for them I can accept that (especially as
I'm not even a member!). Chronological order does take out any value
judgements at least.

>
>
>>>And the code test was not abolished by ITU. All that changed was that

>>>the treaty no longer requires such a test. Signatory countries are now
>>>no longer *required by treaty* to have a code test, that's all.
>>
>>
>> Same thing.
>
> Here is a question:
>
> If a country were to require higher standards for their version of
> the
> ARS, are they hazing their applicants? If country A requ
>

Maybe, maybe not. It depends what those requirements are. For example,
maybe a lack of an entry level licence is not hazing, but too hard a
regular licence might be.

>
>>
>>>>Secondly, it does say at the end that "it's possible the Commission
>>>>could wrap up the proceeding before that time frame", so IOW the
>>>>2006/7 is just the League's guesswork.
>>>
>>>Of course - and they make that clear in the article.
>>>
>>>Back in summer 2003, ARRL said at least two years. Which seemed
>>>incredibly long at the time, but is now turning out to be short, if
>>>anything.
>>>
>>>>IMHO, the FCC will not adopt the League's proposal as such.
>>>
>>>Probably not. Nor will they adopt anyone's proposal as presented,
>>>IMHO.
>>>
>>>
>>>>The FCC say
>>>>that they are looking for a consensus amongst us, and they are also
>>>>on record as saying that the code test useful doesn't serve any
>>>>useful purpose.
>>>
>>>When did they say those things?
>>
>>
>> They said that they wouldn't restructure until a consensus emerged
>
> Hehe, that could be a long long time.
>

Indeed

>>
>>>btw, the FCC's words were "serves no *REGULATORY* purpose" (emphasis
>>>added) not "useful purpose". BIG difference!
>>>
>>
>>
>> Thanks for correcting the wording, but it really isn't much of a
>> difference
>
>
> I respectfully disagree. That is a huge difference. If we were
> tested
> for our knowledge of Electron tube circuitry, it would not be serving a
> useful purpose.
>

Testing electron tube knowledge or sending and receiving Morse by hand and
by ear serves no useful/regulatory purpose, but electron tube knowledge and
CW are useful.

>
>>>And if FCC still thinks the code test serves no regulatory purpose,
>>>why didn't they just dump Element 1 in late summer 2003, as proposed
>>>by at least two groups?
>>
>>
>> I don't know, but you admit they said it serves no regulatory purpose
>
> Despite the hand wringing, Element 1 is just another part of the
> test.
> A good case can be made that its inclusion or exclusion makes no
> particular difference pro or con to the ARS.

In a sense it makes no particular difference to me or you, but we are not
the whole ARS. No-coders are hams too, and there are other people who are
not yet hams to consider as well.

> So they probably didn't
> feel the need to mess with it.
>
>>
>>>All it would take is a Memorandum Report and Order.
>>>In fact, as a temporary measure pending rewriting the rules, they
>>>could have simply ordered that anyone who passed Element 2, 3 or 4
>>>gets Element 1 credit.
>>>
>>>But they didn't.
>>
>>
>> Maybe they didn't feel that they could do that when they had 19
>> petitions dumped on them?
>

> I doubt that. Maybe the No coders can try to throttle the petition
> process so that messy things like the law, due process and free speech
> can be eliminated for expediency?
>

NCI did try to get the FCC to issue a Memorandum Report and Order, but as
you say, the FCC probably thought that would deny due process to all the
people who filed petitions, which is probably fair comment.

> (major tongue in cheek mode here, I know you would never seriously
> suggest that people should be able to speak their mind)
>

I'm all for free speech. Why do you think otherwise?

>
>>>>There
>>>>is no consensus, so I think they will choose from whatever has been
>>>>proposed those things that suit their own organisational objectives,
>>>>i.e. reducing administrative burden. IOW, fewer tests and fewer
>>>>licence classes suits the FCC.
>>>
>>>Maybe.
>>>
>>>But back in 1998, ARRL proposed free upgrades for Novices and Tech
>>>Pluses so that there would be four classes and no closed-out classes.
>>>Others have proposed similar freebies. FCC has consistently said no,
>>>and keeps the Tech Plus, Advanced and Novice alive in their rules and
>>>database. At the current rate of decline, it may be 15 more years
>>>before the last Advanced is gone.
>>
>>
>> A mistake IMO. I don't think closed classes are a good idea. It's
>> better to make a clean break and get everybody in the same system.
>>
>>
>>>>I predict the code test will not be a continuing feature in the NPRM,
>>>
>>>>whatever else is, since eliminating a test reduces administrative
>>>>burden and they are already on record as wanting to get rid of it.
>>>
>>>Yet they have not done so. If they really think Element 1 should go,
>>>why wasn't it dumped in 2003?
>>>
>>
>>
>> See above. They will have to consider all the petitions and then write
>> an NPRM that either does or doesn't restructure the licence classes.
>
>
>>>>Reducing the number of classes also appeals to the FCC, so maybe they

>>>>might even adopt most of the League's proposal but get rid of element
>>>>1 as well? I don't think so, though, as the line of least resistance
>>>>is to keep the current test elements as they are. This means
>>>>grandfathering Novice to Tech instead of Tech to General, so that is
>>>>what I predict they will do.
>>>
>>>Why? Keeping the closed-out license classes costs them little or
>>>nothing. Tech Plus will disappear in a little more than 5 years, as
>>>the last Tech Plus is renewed as Tech. The other two closed-out
>>>classes are slowly dropping, yet may last a lot longer because of
>>>renewals.
>>>
>>>Maybe I'll write a proposal...
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>
>>
>> It's just an unnecessary complication. Three classes are easier to
>> enforce than six.
>
> Exactly what would be so difficult about it?
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

It's not particurly difficult, but I can see no need to continue the closed classes. All those who would get a 'free upgrade' have held their licences for some time, so I foresee no impact whatsoever from eliminating those licences and upgrading them.

Alun N3KIP

2163. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 20:03:40 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d0566smoq1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103629

```
Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
> news:d04m7m$10nk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu:
>
>
>>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109706299.033324.211320
>>>@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>><snip
>>
>>
>>>>Your bias is showing, Alun.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Sure. I don't think the League is a very progressive organisation.
>>
>>
>>What would make the league progressive Alun?
>>
>
>
> Good question. Damned if I know!
>
>
>>>>>Firstly, it's
>>>>>very telling that they buried it down the page, just as they did with
>>>>>the announcement that the code test was abolished by the ITU.
>>>>
>>>>They post the stories in chronological order. If it's not at the top,
>>>>that's because a newer story has displaced it. They did not "bury"
>>>>anything.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I see. Well, I guess the're not journalists.
>>
>>I don't understand. Chronological order seems a good way to post
>>articles.
>>
>
```

>
> Newspapers put the big headlines at the top of page one in large print.
> however, if that's too much work for them I can accept that (especially as
> I'm not even a member!). Chronological order does take out any value
> judgements at least.

There are plenty enough people - like myself - that don't see the Morse
code issue as the big headline. Despite my support for the continuance
of the test, I think there are more important issues in the ARS. Morse
code testing is probably about 4th or 5th. Not in the noise, but far
enough down.

>
>>
>>>>And the code test was not abolished by ITU. All that changed was that
>>>>the treaty no longer requires such a test. Signatory countries are now
>>>>no longer *required by treaty* to have a code test, that's all.
>>>
>>>
>>>Same thing.
>>
>>Here is a question:
>>
>> If a country were to require higher standards for their version of
>> the
>>ARS, are they hazing their applicants? If country A requ
>>
>
>
> Maybe, maybe not. It depends what those requirements are. For example,
> maybe a lack of an entry level licence is not hazing, but too hard a
> regular licence might be.

>
>>>>>Secondly, it does say at the end that "it's possible the Commission
>>>>>could wrap up the proceeding before that time frame", so IOW the
>>>>>2006/7 is just the League's guesswork.
>>>>
>>>>Of course - and they make that clear in the article.
>>>>
>>>>Back in summer 2003, ARRL said at least two years. Which seemed
>>>>incredibly long at the time, but is now turning out to be short, if
>>>>anything.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>IMHO, the FCC will not adopt the League's proposal as such.
>>>>
>>>>Probably not. Nor will they adopt anyone's proposal as presented,
>>>>IMHO.
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>>The FCC say
>>>>>that they are looking for a consensus amongst us, and they are also
>>>>>on record as saying that the code test useful doesn't serve any
>>>>>useful purpose.
>>>>
>>>>When did they say those things?
>>>
>>>
>>>They said that they wouldn't restructure until a consensus emerged
>>
>> Hehe, that could be a long long time.
>>
>
> Indeed
>
>
>>>>btw, the FCC's words were "serves no *REGULATORY* purpose" (emphasis
>>>>added) not "useful purpose". BIG difference!
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Thanks for correcting the wording, but it really isn't much of a
>>>difference
>>
>>
>> I respectfully disagree. That is a huge difference. If we were
>> tested
>>for our knowledge of Electron tube circuitry, it would not be serving a
>>useful purpose.
>>
>
>
> Testing electron tube knowledge or sending and receiving Morse by hand and
> by ear serves no useful/regulatory purpose, but electron tube knowledge and
> CW are useful.
>
>
>>>>And if FCC still thinks the code test serves no regulatory purpose,
>>>>why didn't they just dump Element 1 in late summer 2003, as proposed
>>>>by at least two groups?
>>>
>>>
>>>I don't know, but you admit they said it serves no regulatory purpose
>>
>> Despite the hand wringing, Element 1 is just another part of the
>> test.
>>A good case can be made that its inclusion or exclusion makes no
>>particular difference pro or con to the ARS.
>

>
> In a sense it makes no particular difference to me or you, but we are not
> the whole ARS. No-coders are hams too, and there are other people who are
> not yet hams to consider as well.

I was a no-coder once. In fact, until around mid 2000, I was perfectly
happy to remain such. Then after my first exposure to Field Day, I was
hooked. I said "I want to do this" and asked what I needed to do. So I
did it. It wasn't as easy for me as for some. The writtens were easy for
me, but the Morse code wasn't.

The point is Big deal, I wanted it, I went out and got it.

>>So they probably didn't
>>feel the need to mess with it.
>>
>>
>>>>All it would take is a Memorandum Report and Order.
>>>>In fact, as a temporary measure pending rewriting the rules, they
>>>>could have simply ordered that anyone who passed Element 2, 3 or 4
>>>>gets Element 1 credit.
>>>>
>>>>But they didn't.
>>>
>>>
>>>Maybe they didn't feel that they could do that when they had 19
>>>petitions dumped on them?
>>
>> I doubt that. Maybe the No coders can try to throttle the petition
>>process so that messy things like the law, due process and free speech
>>can be eliminated for expediency?
>>
>
>
> NCI did try to get the FCC to issue a Memorandum Report and Order, but as
> you say, the FCC probably thought that would deny due process to all the
> people who filed petitions, which is probably fair comment.
>
>
>> (major tongue in cheek mode here, I know you would never seriously
>>suggest that people should be able to speak their mind)
>>
>
>
> I'm all for free speech. Why do you think otherwise?

You've been making a lot of comments on all those petitions.

>
>>>>>There
>>>>>is no consensus, so I think they will choose from whatever has been
>>>>>proposed those things that suit their own organisational objectives,

>>>>>i.e. reducing administrative burden. IOW, fewer tests and fewer
>>>>>licence classes suits the FCC.
>>>>
>>>>Maybe.
>>>>
>>>>But back in 1998, ARRL proposed free upgrades for Novices and Tech
>>>>Pluses so that there would be four classes and no closed-out classes.
>>>>Others have proposed similar freebies. FCC has consistently said no,
>>>>and keeps the Tech Plus, Advanced and Novice alive in their rules and
>>>>database. At the current rate of decline, it may be 15 more years
>>>>before the last Advanced is gone.
>>>
>>>
>>>A mistake IMO. I don't think closed classes are a good idea. It's
>>>better to make a clean break and get everybody in the same system.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>I predict the code test will not be a continuing feature in the NPRM,
>>>>
>>>>>whatever else is, since eliminating a test reduces administrative
>>>>>burden and they are already on record as wanting to get rid of it.
>>>>
>>>>Yet they have not done so. If they really think Element 1 should go,
>>>>why wasn't it dumped in 2003?
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>See above. They will have to consider all the petitions and then write
>>>an NPRM that either does or doesn't restructure the licence classes.
>>
>>
>>>>>Reducing the number of classes also appeals to the FCC, so maybe they
>>>>>might even adopt most of the League's proposal but get rid of element
>>>>>1 as well? I don't think so, though, as the line of least resistance
>>>>>is to keep the current test elements as they are. This means
>>>>>grandfathering Novice to Tech instead of Tech to General, so that is
>>>>>what I predict they will do.
>>>>
>>>>Why? Keeping the closed-out license classes costs them little or
>>>>nothing. Tech Plus will disappear in a little more than 5 years, as
>>>>the last Tech Plus is renewed as Tech. The other two closed-out
>>>>classes are slowly dropping, yet may last a lot longer because of
>>>>renewals.
>>>>
>>>>Maybe I'll write a proposal...
>>>>
>>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>It's just an unnecessary complication. Three classes are easier to
>>>enforce than six.
>>
>> Exactly what would be so difficult about it?
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>
>
> It's not particurly difficult, but I can see no need to continue the closed
> classes. All those who would get a 'free upgrade' have held their licences
> for some time, so I foresee no impact whatsoever from eliminating those
> licences and upgrading them.

- mike KB3EIA -

2164. Re: Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 20:14:07 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <j8pVd.84307$tl3.13089@attbi_s02>
Source record: 103630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Harv" <Hdx@dxharvnone.com> wrote in message
news:d04u9j$14d$0@pita.alt.net...

> So what is your point?

If you want to break the law, go ahead and be a pirate. See if you can avoid being caught and punished. But don't try to lump yourself in with hundreds of thousands of honest people who operate on the citizen bands legally. Pirates are NOT CBers, unless the "C" stands for "criminal" and the "B" stands for . . . . . ; well, you figure it out!

KAZ03494

2165. Re: Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 20:26:59 UTC
From: Harv <Hdx@dxharvnone.com>
Message-ID: <d057faucl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
news:j8pVd.84307$tl3.13089@attbi_s02...
>
> "Harv" <Hdx@dxharvnone.com> wrote in message
> news:d04u9j$14d$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>> So what is your point?
>
> If you want to break the law, go ahead and be a pirate. See if you can
> avoid
> being caught and punished. But don't try to lump yourself in with hundreds
> of thousands of honest people who operate on the citizen bands legally.
> Pirates are NOT CBers, unless the "C" stands for "criminal" and the "B"
> stands for . . . . . ; well, you figure it out!
>
> KAZ03494
>

Do you comprehend standard English?
No, I did not think you did, judging from your reply.
```

2166. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 20:30:17 UTC
From: Harv <Hdx@dxharvnone.com>
Message-ID: <d057lfuuh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:hb1c21h5b1qsnb9nf9q9qp08718u71sspi@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 14:18:17 GMT, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
>>news:d02qce$ktl$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>
>>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
>>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have
>>> >> nothing
>>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>>>
>>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
>>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>>> >
>>> > Big difference.
>>>
>>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
>>> LOL!
>>>
>>I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
>>60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
>>law
>>abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
>>operators
>>are the same as criminal radio pirates!
>
> Some people are unable to grasp the finer concepts of subtle
> differences. To them, it's an "all or nothing" world.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>

Absolutes have their place in certain disciplines.
Today's liberal Democrat party is an example where absolutely no
absolutes apply. Even Hillary Clinton has finally figured that out.
```

2167. Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 20:45:13 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <2BpVd.1939$8k2.1508@fed1read03>
Source record: 103633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

King Zulu wrote:

>
> I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
> 60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
> law abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
> operators are the same as criminal radio pirates!
it is true that a lot of them aren't. However, most of the new crowd coming up these days don't have the benefits of having a local "elmer" on the block to show them the rops.

Also, I may be a no-code tech, but that is because I chose that path. I did bother to learn code as a kid (part of a boy scout merit badge assignment) and I did have a CB license when I was 10 (1975). as for the finer points of the law the other poster quipped about: it is amazing what a little research and learning will get for you.

Too bad most of us who are the "old timers" can't be bothered with the younger set anymore. :(

Perhaps its time to make a change, get involved and at least try to contribute to better radio. it would be nice.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

2168. Re: Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 21:21:13 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <57qVd.85375$4q6.49466@attbi_s01>
Source record: 103634

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:2BpVd.1939$8k2.1508@fed1read03...
> King Zulu wrote:
>
> >
> > I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the
early
> > 60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
> > law abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
> > operators are the same as criminal radio pirates!
> it is true that a lot of them aren't. However, most of the new crowd
coming
> up these days don't have the benefits of having a local "elmer" on the
> block to show them the rops.
>
> Also, I may be a no-code tech, but that is because I chose that path. I
did
> bother to learn code as a kid (part of a boy scout merit badge assignment)
> and I did have a CB license when I was 10 (1975). as for the finer points
> of the law the other poster quipped about: it is amazing what a little
> research and learning will get for you.
>
> Too bad most of us who are the "old timers" can't be bothered with the
> younger set anymore. :(

Eric -
I can only speak for myself. I've been active in licensing. When doing contract work in other cities, I provided lunch-time instruction two noons a week for those interested in licenses. I also taught ham radio classes via my club in Ohio. Never mentioned the no-code option to those interested in getting a license; however, some students dropped the code training when they found out about the no-code option. OK by me, as long as VHF/UHF operation was really all they cared about. I also got the library in Columbus, IN, to let me give classes there at night. To the best of my knowledge, everyone who went up to Indianapolis to take their test passed the code and tech-level theory. Two passed at 13 wpm, even though the goal was only 5 wpm. I plan to start back doing class training through our local club, now that I'm settled into a new QTH. Right now, I make it a practice to try to work two or more slow-speed stations in the Novice band sections each week. Often I wind up working another Extra ham, who is also looking for beginners just like I am. But I do really enjoy the occasional "first contact ever" QSOs. For those stations that appear to be newcomers wanting to work some CW, I send out an unsolicited QSL. So that's my little part in trying to help out. :)

2169. Unbiased, analytical, evaluation of David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 21:21:46 UTC
From: Al Swearengen <mrswearengen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20050302212146.63129.qmail@web90110.mail.scd.yahoo.com>
Source record: 103728

Patient Assessment
Axis I 300.11 (classic conversion V disorder) Axis II 301.6 (dependent personality disorder, with avoidant features)
Subject has strong histrionic component to his personality and a strong need to be the focus of discussion and center of attention. Subject is immature, dependent, selfish and
extroverted, resulting in passive/agressive ego attachment. Subject rarely expresses overt anger and hostility, embracing passive-aggressive or passive-dependent dependencies.
Subject displaces suppressed emotions onto physical complaints. Subject suffers from a variety of conjured maladies from which subject derives considerable secondary gain, validating his inability to respond
to daily responsibilities and normal occurrences associated with everyday life. Subject sees himself as normal and responsible, just
not functioning well due to physical limitations. Subject denies possibility of psychologoical conflict as possible source of his problems.
Subject expresses delight in agitation of those which he associates as belonging to his realm.
Subject demands sympathy and attention from others. Subject maintains he does not command the respect he deserves.
When confronted in group therapy concerning issues other group members may have regarding Mr. Hall, repression and displacement are invoked as a primary defense. Subject does not see
himself as emotionally dependent, despite family member reports of the need to "walk on eggshells" around him. Subject
has few close relationships, those of which are co-dependent in nature.
Mr. Hall expresses traits of a classic schizoid patient. Possible borderline malingering hypochondriac. Subject expresses strong deficits in communicative skills and is prone to lending himself false support.

This patient was brought to my attention upon a family intervention conducted by my esteemed colleague, Professor _____ of the Forensics Psychology Department at _____ Hospital located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Swore and bound to me upon this day of our Lord____, 2005.

Subject: David T. Hall Jr.
Observation: Denial, paranoia, obsessive. Possible bi-polarity.
Assessment: Personal issues continue to
Prognosis: Fair.
Recommendations: Continue group therapy 3X week. Maintain current level of rx. Type, cross and evaluate for possible Lithium candidate.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

2170. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 22:19:14 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <CZqVd.12866$Ba3.6584@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103635

>
>>>>And if FCC still thinks the code test serves no regulatory purpose,
>>>>why didn't they just dump Element 1 in late summer 2003, as proposed
>>>>by at least two groups?
>>>
>>>I don't know, but you admit they said it serves no regulatory purpose
>>
>>When did FCC say it?
>
>
> I can't recall, but you know they did. You've admitted it.

They said it when they did restructuring back in Dec '99
>
>

2171. Re: Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 22:23:00 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <HamFruits@hamfruitsnutsdx.net>
Message-ID: <d05e8qdiu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:2BpVd.1939$8k2.1508@fed1read03...
> King Zulu wrote:
>
>>
>> I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
>> 60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
>> law abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
>> operators are the same as criminal radio pirates!
> it is true that a lot of them aren't. However, most of the new crowd
> coming
> up these days don't have the benefits of having a local "elmer" on the
> block to show them the rops.
>
> Also, I may be a no-code tech, but that is because I chose that path. I
> did
> bother to learn code as a kid (part of a boy scout merit badge assignment)
> and I did have a CB license when I was 10 (1975). as for the finer points
> of the law the other poster quipped about: it is amazing what a little
> research and learning will get for you.
>
> Too bad most of us who are the "old timers" can't be bothered with the
> younger set anymore. :(
>
> Perhaps its time to make a change, get involved and at least try to
> contribute to better radio. it would be nice.
>

Another English-Challenged "expert."
and you need to check your routing headers.

2172. Re: Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 22:25:37 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <HamFruits@hamfruitsnutsdx.net>
Message-ID: <d05edn$dq6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
news:57qVd.85375$4q6.49466@attbi_s01...
>
> "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:2BpVd.1939$8k2.1508@fed1read03...
>> King Zulu wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the
> early
>> > 60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty
>> > of
>> > law abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
>> > operators are the same as criminal radio pirates!
>> it is true that a lot of them aren't. However, most of the new crowd
> coming
>> up these days don't have the benefits of having a local "elmer" on the
>> block to show them the rops.
>>
>> Also, I may be a no-code tech, but that is because I chose that path. I
> did
>> bother to learn code as a kid (part of a boy scout merit badge
>> assignment)
>> and I did have a CB license when I was 10 (1975). as for the finer points
>> of the law the other poster quipped about: it is amazing what a little
>> research and learning will get for you.
>>
>> Too bad most of us who are the "old timers" can't be bothered with the
>> younger set anymore. :(
>
> Eric -
> I can only speak for myself. I've been active in licensing. When doing
> contract work in other cities, I provided lunch-time instruction two noons
> a
> week for those interested in licenses. I also taught ham radio classes via
> my club in Ohio. Never mentioned the no-code option to those interested in
> getting a license; however, some students dropped the code training when
> they found out about the no-code option. OK by me, as long as VHF/UHF
> operation was really all they cared about. I also got the library in
> Columbus, IN, to let me give classes there at night. To the best of my
> knowledge, everyone who went up to Indianapolis to take their test passed
> the code and tech-level theory. Two passed at 13 wpm, even though the goal
> was only 5 wpm. I plan to start back doing class training through our

> local
> club, now that I'm settled into a new QTH. Right now, I make it a practice
> to try to work two or more slow-speed stations in the Novice band sections
> each week. Often I wind up working another Extra ham, who is also looking
> for beginners just like I am. But I do really enjoy the occasional "first
> contact ever" QSOs. For those stations that appear to be newcomers wanting
> to work some CW, I send out an unsolicited QSL. So that's my little part
> in
> trying to help out. :)
>

All that and a dime won't buy you a cup of coffee OR save ham radio
from itself.

2173. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-02 22:28:29 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <HamFruits@hamfruitsnutsdx.net>
Message-ID: <d05ej3$e3j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote in message news:cvvh69$63t$0@pita.alt.net...
> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
> since last year.
>

My contacts tell me he is under protection of a liberal activist judge.
Best thing for hams to do is either vote Republican or tell the Senate
Democrats to cease obstructing Bush's court nominees, then you
would not have problems like this.

2174. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 00:10:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109808637.294209.232760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103639

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109706299.033324.211320
> > @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com> >>

> > I see. Well, I guess the're not journalists.
>
> I don't understand. Chronological order seems a good way to
> post articles.

I agree!
>
> >>And the code test was not abolished by ITU. All that changed was
that
> >>the treaty no longer requires such a test. Signatory countries are
now
> >>no longer *required by treaty* to have a code test, that's all.
> >
> >
> > Same thing.
>
> Here is a question:
>
> If a country were to require higher standards for their version of
the
> ARS, are they hazing their applicants? If country A requ

something snipped there, I bet!
>
> >>>The FCC say
> >>>that they are looking for a consensus amongst us, and they are
also on
> >>>record as saying that the code test useful doesn't serve any
useful
> >>>purpose.
> >>
> >>When did they say those things?
> >
> >
> > They said that they wouldn't restructure until a consensus emerged
>
> Hehe, that could be a long long time.

FCC didn't need consensusone in 2000.

> >
> >>btw, the FCC's words were "serves no *REGULATORY* purpose"
(emphasis
> >>added) not "useful purpose". BIG difference!
> >>
> >
> >
> > Thanks for correcting the wording, but it really isn't much of a
difference
>
>
> I respectfully disagree. That is a huge difference. If we were tested

> for our knowledge of Electron tube circuitry, it would not be serving
a
> useful purpose.

Sure it would! How many hams run electron-tube linear amplifiers today?

> >>And if FCC still thinks the code test serves no regulatory purpose,
why
> >>didn't they just dump Element 1 in late summer 2003, as proposed by
at
> >>least two groups?
> >
> >
> > I don't know, but you admit they said it serves no regulatory
purpose
>
> Despite the hand wringing, Element 1 is just another part of the
test.
> A good case can be made that its inclusion or exclusion makes no
> particular difference pro or con to the ARS. So they probably didn't
> feel the need to mess with it.

Or maybe they simply followed majority opinion.
>
> >
> >>All it would take is a Memorandum Report and Order.
> >>In fact, as a temporary measure pending rewriting the rules, they
could
> >>have simply ordered that anyone who passed Element 2, 3 or 4 gets
> >>Element 1 credit.
> >>
> >>But they didn't.
> >
> >
> > Maybe they didn't feel that they could do that when they had 19
petitions
> > dumped on them?
>
> I doubt that. Maybe the No coders can try to throttle the petition

> process so that messy things like the law, due process and free
speech
> can be eliminated for expediency?
>
> (major tongue in cheek mode here, I know you would never seriously
> suggest that people should be able to speak their mind)
>

bwaahaahaa

All kidding aside, I have *never* seen Alun write anything that could possibly be interpreted to mean that all sides should not have their say.

There's another frequent poster here who has told others to "shut the hell up", but he bears no similarity to Alun except for being against the code test.

> > It's just an unnecessary complication. Three classes are easier to
enforce
> > than six.
>
> Exactly what would be so difficult about it?
>
It would be nice if there were no closed-off license classes - but not at the cost of free upgrades. FCC isn't complaining about the admin cost of Novices and Advanceds, either.

Look at it this way:

An Advanced is same as Extra except for small parts of 4 HF bands, vanity call choices and some VE privs.

A Novice is a beginner license with certain limited privileges

A Tech Plus or "Tech-with-HF" is a license with the privs of a Tech and a Novice.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2175. PJ2/K8NA on 7026 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 00:53:12 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <rqtVd.11712$AP6.1383@fe10.lga>
Source record: 103640

```
...callin' CQ and takin' names. :-)

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782
```

2176. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 01:03:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nOSdnXNSPcna_7vfRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103641

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>>Back in the '50s and '60s, and maybe even into the '70s, almost all
>>> new hams were teenagers or young adults. Young people still make up a
>>> goodpercentage of new hams, but since about the mid-late 1970s we've
>>> seen an increasing percentage of older people as newcomers. Indeed, back
>> in the early 1980s - *before* the Tech lost its code test - there was
>>> (and still is) a surge of retired and almost-retired folks in these
>>> parts getting ham licenses. Lots of new faces and lots of great hams, but
>>>unfortunately most of them won't be hams long enough to join OOTC.
>>
>> The Demographic shift in Amateur Radio is similar to many other
>>hobbies/avocations. I think once the dust settles, the typical new
>> ham will be in his or her 40's, and wanting to have a new pursuit after
>>becoming financially stable and perhaps having a bit more spare time
>> in his hands.
>>
>> The exact same thing has already happened in my other hobby, Amateur
>>Astronomy.
>
>
> Maybe.
>
> But a lot of folks I know who are in their '40s don't fit your
> description, struggling with jobs, kids, etc.

And I know some people in their 60's who have just bought a house. They
may or may not finish their mortgage.

My point is that a new demographic is emerging. It is one of a mature
person who has decided to get into a hobby as they start to have a bit
more leisure time. For myself, that started when I was in my mid-late
40's, as my son graduated high school and the travel hockey wound down.
All that tends to free up the finances a bit also.
>
>>>>But it is indisputable that the reductions in requirements have NOT
>>>>had any sort of significant positive impact on the Ham community.
>
>
>>>Not long-term, anyway.

>>>
>>>
>>>> Despite the hand wringing, there is a place for achieving
>>>> something that means something. A test that is a challenge? So what? I
>>>>personally think that the ARS is only strengthened by attracting
>>>> people that enjoy a bit of a challenge.
>
>
>>>That's a key point.
>>
>>>>I think it has been adequately proven that many if not most of the
>>>>people that think that Element one needs to go away are also in
>>>> favor of reduced overall licensing requirements. Certainly the leading
>>>>organization for removal of the Morse test is.
>>>
>>>
>>>Yep. And if Element 1 is removed, and we still don't get growth,
>>> guess what's next? NCVEC has already shown their hand.
>>
>>Let us not forget NCI.
>
>
> Basically that position consists of accepting almost any compromise
> that will get rid of the code test.

I think it is apparent by now that they have done major damage to their
credibility.

>
>>>>>>>Note that almost 5 years after the 200 restructuring we still
>>>>>>>retain more than 50% of Novices and 75% of Advanceds.
>
>
>>>This indicates to me a significant number of inactive hams. Sure,
>> there are some who are satisfied with their license privs, but I suspect
>> a lot more are SK or have dropped out.
>>
>>Pretty much
>
>
> This has always been the case since I've been a ham. It's gotten worse
> since the 10 year license term.
>
>>>The number of Techs continues to grow in part because all Tech
>>> Pluses have been renewed as Techs since April 2000. But the total number
>>> of Techs and Tech Pluses is s few percent lower than it was in 2000.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>Agreed! But of course people have to know what ham radio *is* to
>>>>>>>do that!

>>>>>>
>>>>>>Someone suggested some short commercial spots on time.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"on time"?
>>>>
>>>> Typo! "one" time...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>I wonder if that
>>>>>>has ever been done. Nothing too elaborate, just getting the
>>>>>>name out there.
>>>>
>>>>>Good idea, but expensive.
>>>>
>>>> Seems like there are Hams that are professionals in the field that
>>>>might be willing to help!......
>>>
>>>
>>>Still have to buy the time.
>>
>>I would bet that a well thought out program could get some public
>>service spots on local access TV.
>>
>>I guess I'm not inspiring you yet? 8^)
>>
>
> Local access TV may bring in a few folks, but not like network TV.

Of course. But it would still be getting a message out.

> Maybe PBS could do a special? Imagine if Ken Burns did a documentary on
> amateur radio...

Now your talking! hehe.

>>>>>>>Yet the NCVEC folks say the solution is to create a class of ham
>>>>>>>that can't use rigs with more than 30 volts on the electronics...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Goofy, goofy, goofy!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Tell it to NCVEC. They think they know better than you.
>>>>
>>>> From what I gather, their underlying concern was actually that
>>>> they think their VE's were having to work too hard.
>>>
>>>
>>>Perhaps. Also, the "Amateur Radio in the 21st Century" paper
>>> equated passing the code test with winning the Tour de France or painting
>>> the Mona Lisa,

>>
>>Wow! now I feel really great about passing!!! ;^)
>>
>
> Didja read that paper, and my rebuttal?

I read the paper (don't know if I should say *yawn* or *ick*. I didn't
read your comment tho'.

>
>> and described the stress of dealing with grown people crying
>>because they'd flunked the code test....boo-hoo....
>>
>>Funny you should mention that. the first time I took element 1... and
>
>>flunked... I was not pleased, but Figured I'd do it again, the person
>>who was the most upset was the VE. I felt worse for him than myself.

>
> Lessee...I was 14 and flunked 13 wpm the first go because the examiner
> couldn't read my longhand. What the sadistic IHM nuns called "Palmer
> Method", for some reason.

So you went to the catholic school at Our Lady of the Perpetual
Responsibility, eh?

> So I went home and taught myself to block print and copy Morse in big
> square capital letters (a skill not taught in the parochial schools of
> the day) and came back some weeks later, when I passed easily.
>
> I don't think tears would have had any effect on ol' Joe Squelch back
> then...
>
>
>>>>>Shrub says hydrogen is the answer. Oh the humanity.
>
>
>>>>Hydrogen's energy density issues make for some problems. That
>>>Excursion will have to tack on another 10 miles per hour on trips to make up
>>>for all the fuel stops needed.
>>>
>>>
>>>Naw, just liquefy the stuff.
>>
>> Yoiks! Liquid H? Even if, the energy density is still quite a bit
>> less than gasoline. something like 25 percent.
>>
>>Storage concerns are a big problem with the stuff. In a similar
>> fashion We have Natural gas buses in our area. Their tanks are on the bus
>> roof, and run the length of the bus. You just can't get as much energy out
>> of the stuff.
>

>
> Didja know the USA is now *importing* LNG from the Middle East and
> other places?

Ever stop to think about how much of that stuff used to be burned off at
the well head as "useless"? One more Yoiks!

>
>>>The big problems are:
>>>
>>>- Handling a fuel that is a gas at STP is more complex than one
>> that is a liquid.
>>
>>>- Danger of fire and leaking pressure tanks
>>>
>>>- Where's all the hydrogen supposed to come from?
>>
>> Yup. While seawater might seem like the obvious way out, hydrolysis
>> of seawater produces interesting byproducts.
>
>
> Yep. Plus - where do you get the electricity to hydrolyze the seawater?

I'm a big fan of nuclear energy, when it is done in a smart and safe
way. And there are smart and safe ways to do it.

>
>>Now tell the people in the western US that you want to take a huge
>>amount of their fresh water!! 8^) It is in short enough supply as it
>> is.
>
> Might as well develop electric cars...

Welcome back to nuc energy!

One thing I am adamant about is that we should never try to extract the
energy needed to run our country from materials that humans need to
support life. Biofuels such as corn based ethanol, and hydrogen taken
from fresh water are big no-nos in my book. If we go down that road, we
may some day have to choose between propulsive energy and human life.

>
>>>>>>You mean like dragging the gay marriage issue into the Social
>>>>>>Security problem? 8^)
>>
>>>>>Yep. The interesting thing is that allowing gay civil unions would
>>>>>*increase* tax revenue.
>>
>>>>>And speaking of marriage: One thing I find interesting is that the
>>>>>divorce rates in the "red" states are consistently and clearly
>>>>>higher than the rates in "blue" states. Seems those folks who rant and
>>>>>rave about "family values" and "covenants" can't seem to stay hitched

>>>>>very long.
>>>
>>>
>>>>All you have to do is meet some of the "reds", and you'll
>>>>immediately understand why they have such a high divorce rate......
>>>
>>>
>>>Ya gotta be more specific than that!
>>
>>hehe, when I think of the modern conservative, I keep getting this
>>vision of Old man Newt.
>
>
> You mean the "family values" guy who had divorce papers served on his
> first wife (who had supported him through law school and the early
> struggles of his political career) while she was *in the hospital
> undergoing cancer treatment*?

ah yes, you've heard of him? I notice he's being resurrected even now.

>>>>>Here's the kind of thinking being put forth:
>>
>>>>>One plan being suggested in DC is for the USA to create a special
>>>>>savings account for each baby born in the USA, starting on a
>>>>>certain date. The Feds would put $2000 into each account each year until
>>>>>the kid reaches 18. Total investment $36,000. Assuming about 6% annual
>>>>>interest, each account would be worth over a million dollars when
>>>>>the "baby" reached 65.
>>>>>
>>>>>Nice retirement package, huh? Except it won't work for several
>>>>>reasons
>>>
>>>
>>>>>completely obvious to anyone with common sense.
>>>>
>>>> Who pays that 6 percent interest?
>>>
>>>
>>>That's the first problem.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>The last time I checked, the rate
>>>>wasn't anywhere near that.
>>>
>>>
>>>Bingo!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Taxes on that money? Capital gains?

>>>
>>>
>>>The money would be tax exempt until the person began to draw upon
>>> it.
>>>
>>>>Inflation?
>>>
>>>
>>>That's a BIG one!
>>>
>>>~30 years ago, when I was entering the job market out of college,
>>>entry-level engineers with degrees were making about what *minimum
>>>wage* is now. Go back 50 years, and a $5000/yr income put the
>> average person on Easy Street, able to support a middle-class family in a
>> way that $50,000/yr won't do today.
>>>
>>>Even if inflation stays low over the next 65 years, $1 million
>> won't be enough to retire on. What really matters, of course, is what I call
>>"differential interest" - the difference between inflation and the
>>>apparent interest rate. If you get interest of 6% and inflation of
>>> 2%, your money is really only growing at a rate of 4%.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Your going to have to have some sort of way that the guvmint
>>>>pays interest on the account when the prime is low, or you will be
>>>>creating a powerful incentive for citizens to want a high interest
>>>>rate which is counterproductive to the economy......The list goes on and
>>>>on.
>>>
>>>Yep.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Also interesting how everyone in the US will retire a millionaire!
>>>
>>>
>>>Sounds good until the reality cuts in. There are lots of
>>> millionaires today - on paper anyway.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Ain't gonna work!
>>>
>>>
>>>Yet that isn't some wild-eyed idea - it's something our alleged
>>>leadership has suggested!
>>
>> Hey yeah! The stock Market always goes up over the long term, right?
>
>

> Yep. Whether it keeps up with inflation is another issue.

Even if it does, if you are using a market based system, the important
part is what the market is doing right before you retire.
>
>>While that may be true, people keep applying it to irrelevant issues.
>
>
>>This case is just one of using interest in a way that sounds good if
>> you don't look too deep.
>
>
>> By the way, did you know the producer of the "Swiftboat Veterns" is
>> now producing smear videos and literature against the AARP? The same
>> people they eagerly worked with a year or two earlier.
>
>
> The Swift Boat dude has been playing that game for decades. Never mind
> that lots of other vets, who served *with* Mr. Kerry, tell a completely
> different story.
>
>
>> no shame, at long last, no shame.
>
>
> Didn't AARP lose membership for supporting Shrub's prescription plan?

Not sure....

>>
>>>Consider these other problems:
>>>
>>>If there are, say, 4 million births per year, the govt. will need
>>> to put $8 billion into the accounts the first year, $16 billion the
>>> second year, $24 billion the third year, etc. This won't stabilize until
>>> the 18th year, when it reaches $144 billion per year being put aside in
>>>these accounts. Most of that money would have to come from *new*
>>>revenue sources, because the existing Social Security system would
>>> have to continue to exist for a while. And that's based on *no* increase
>>> in baby production!
>>>
>>>Where's all that money supposed to come from?
>>
>>
>> Tax cuts.
>
>
> HAW!

Seriously, if we reduce the tax rate all problems will go away!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2177. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 01:33:59 UTC
From: M-Tech <mechtechllc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <UbidnfhpkMUX9LvfRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 103642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

yeah. I know all about it. It's a STORY just like you said. 40k a day in sales....linears to 10k watts...BAAHAHAHAHAHAAHHAA.

Don
313

"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote in message news:cvvh69$63t$0@pita.alt.net...
> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
> since last year.
>
>
>

2178. Re: PJ2/K8ND on 7026 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 01:36:25 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1109813785.698522.131630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103643

Bert Craig wrote:
> ...callin' CQ and takin' names. :-)
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384
> QRP ARCI #11782

Oops, my bad. That should've read PJ2/K8ND. GL es...

73 de Bert
WA2SI

2179. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 01:50:44 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1109814644.369151.76480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103644

No, I mean I 'could care less' because there is a slight chance that I might be able to care a little less. Not very likely because I'm not going to be listening to this moron any time soon.

2180. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 03:34:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <Cuqdne150ZUqGrvfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103645

Barry OGrady wrote:

> On 2 Mar 2005 07:49:33 -0800, "Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Personally I could care less if you take up every frequency in the
>>amateur spectrum,
>
>
> You mean couldn't care less, right? If you could care less you care very
> much.

It is amazing at how many people mis-use that phrase.

2181. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 03:36:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <Cuqdnex50ZWhFbvfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:

> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>>>>throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
>>>>A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
>>>>since last year.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>>>
>>>Next.
>>
>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any good,
>>it will be clean.
>>
>
> Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.

And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a "clean"
signal.

2182. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 04:03:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050302230320.769$8n@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> >>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> >>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> >>>>throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
> >>>>law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> >>>>daily, since last year.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> >>>
> >>>Next.
> >>
> >>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any good,
> >>it will be clean.
> >>
> >
> > Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
>
> And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a "clean"
> signal.
>
Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
```

2183. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 04:12:18 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109823138.474578.273690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> >
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Steveo wrote:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the
interstate
> > >>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps.
and
> > >>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have
been
> > >>>>throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in
the
> > >>>>law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in
sales
> > >>>>daily, since last year.
> > >>>>
> > >>>
> > >>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> > >>>
> > >>>Next.
> > >>>
> > >>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any
good,
> > >>>>it will be clean.
> > >>>>
> > >>>
> > >>
> > >> Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
> > >
> > > And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
"clean"
> > > signal.
> > >
> > Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.

It works illegally.

2184. Re: PJ2/K8ND on 7026 kHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 04:17:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42269025.E8E9C252@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103649

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
> > ...callin' CQ and takin' names. :-)
> >

>
> Oops, my bad. That should've read PJ2/K8ND. GL es...

An old pal from my Mad River Radio Club days, Jeff Maas from the
Columbus area. He's a good op.

Dave K8MN

2185. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 04:36:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42269484.4498B9E9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103700

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote
> > > Michael Coslo wrote:

> Local access TV may bring in a few folks, but not like network TV.
>
> Maybe PBS could do a special? Imagine if Ken Burns did a documentary on
> amateur radio...

That could only be good. I've found the stuff done in the past by Dave Bell and Roy Neal to be lacking. A good historical narrative leading to the present and showing active hams doing what they do would be nice, especially if they leave out anyone wearing a tee-shirt or ballcap except perhaps in a Field Day scene.

> > > Perhaps. Also, the "Amateur Radio in the 21st Century" paper
> equated
> > > passing the code test with winning the Tour de France or painting
> the
> > > Mona Lisa,
> >
> > Wow! now I feel really great about passing!!! ;^)

I never got more of a buzz from passing a morse exam than I did when passing a tough chemistry exam. Ah, but passing the entire exam for obtaining a license opened a window to the world.

> Didja read that paper, and my rebuttal?

No.

> > and described the stress of dealing with grown people crying
> > > because they'd flunked the code test....boo-hoo....
> >
> > Funny you should mention that. the first time I took element 1... and
>
> > flunked... I was not pleased, but Figured I'd do it again, the person
>
> > who was the most upset was the VE. I felt worse for him than myself.
>
> Lessee...I was 14 and flunked 13 wpm the first go because the examiner
> couldn't read my longhand. What the sadistic IHM nuns called "Palmer
> Method",
> for some reason.

You really wrote out the morse exam in longhand?

> So I went home and taught myself to block print and copy Morse in big
> square capital letters (a skill not taught in the parochial schools of
> the day) and came back some weeks later, when I passed easily.

I started copying morse in block caps and it was an easy transition.
I'd done most of my schoolwork in upper and lower case printing 'cuz the
teachers had a rough time reading my left-handed scrawl.

> I don't think tears would have had any effect on ol' Joe Squelch back
> then...
on
> > We have Natural gas buses in our area. Their tanks are on the bus
> roof,
> > and run the length of the bus. You just can't get as much energy out
> of
> > the stuff.
>
> Didja know the USA is now *importing* LNG from the Middle East and
> other places?

We've been importing natural gas for decades. Ever hear of the "Big
Inch" pipeline?

> Didn't AARP lose membership for supporting Shrub's prescription plan?

They lost me before that. They sent me that crummy "My Generation"
magazine about 50+ folks in denial over aging *and* AARP tried to
represent me on issues where I took strong exception to their views.
After three years of membership, I couldn't find a benefit to belonging.

Dave K8MN

2186. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 04:42:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422695EF.A89A4B6F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103701

N9OGL wrote:
>
> You know Bath-Whore-man to start I'm now on 20 meters. if you don't
> like what I'm airing, turn the dial you and your redneck asshole
> buddies from the south don't have to listen.

I hope you'll take this particular tack when Mr. Hollingsworth next contacts you, Todd. Just tell him to turn the dial. I'm sure he'll be impressed with your I'll-do-what-I-want-on-the-bands-and-to-hell-with-anyone-else view.

> Yeah sir, I'm now in the amateur radio band transmiting by hourly
> program. Since I can't a broadcast license.....you little twits are
> stuck me hahahaha

You can't a broadcast license?

I guess we're stuck you.

You big IQ.

You colludge material.

Dave K8MN

2187. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 04:46:19 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1109825179.487379.149370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103702

Personally I couldn't care less if you take up every frequency in the amateur spectrum, I am no longer active on the air, so you aren't getting in my way. What amazes people is why a fat, stupid motherfucker like you spends his time broadcasting a show on AMATEUR frequencies and thinks he is doing the SERVICE a favor by informing the masses that amateur radio is dying. Not only do you NOT have an audience, which is fundamental to any broadcaster and to your "purposes" (and I use that term loosely) you are too fucking stupid to realize something: The "listeners" are a handful of hostile hams that happen to tune across your stupid bullshit. If you have nothing better to do, fine, but it might be more fun for you to go back to sucking your thumb or jerking off or just sitting with your fingers up your ass.

2188. Re: Has It Come To This...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 06:37:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109831824.030384.125110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103703

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1109598586.795321.237790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> Been there, worn that T-shirt in the 60s LOL

And I'll bet ya it still fits!

> ps -anyone care to help me shovel snow? Man, will this sh*t ever
end?

Ya wanna laugh (or cry?), Jim...???

If you didn't already know, I grew up in NE Ohio, just south of Cleveland, so I spent my fair share of days standing in blustery, blowing snow waiting for the school bus.

Zap forward 20+ years and I moved to SE Tennessee after I left the USMC. Imagine my surprise when I find out "snow days" are called just on the THREAT of snow, let alone it actually falling! An inch of snow around here is reason for the tribes to swamp the Food Lion "gathering in the goods" for the "blizzard"...!!!!!!!

Dig, Brother, Dig!

73

Steve, K4YZ

PS: Lotsa folks from OH wound up in TN cuz they had a snow shovel strapped in the pick-up truck...They unloaded the truck when a local said "Wassdat fo?"

SR

2189. Re: An Offer For Lennie And Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 06:42:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109832170.129142.50280@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103704

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Hey Guys...
> > > > >
> > > > > Just in case you two would like to formalize your Space
> > Cadet
> > > > > status, thought you might like this link.
> > > > >
> > > > > Lennie ought to be able to afford this, based upon all
his
> > > > > broggadacio over his "holdings". Brain may have to scrimp
and
> > save
> > > a
> > > > > bit first...
> > > > >
> > > > > http://www.virgingalactic.com/when.asp
> > > > >
> > > > > Let us know how it goes, OK...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Clicking the above link is probably a sure way to get a virus
or
> at
> > > the
> > > > very least, clamydia.
> > >
> > > I am sure you have the resources to catch chlamydia or other
> > > viruses right at home, Brain...No ISP required.
> > >
> > > (BTW...Your attempts at being insulting using STD's would be
> > more
> > > impressive if you could spell it correctly...Just FYI...)
> > >
> > > Enjoy...
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, perhaps if I had ever contracted it I would know how to
spell

> it
> > correctly.
>
> So what does the potential for contracting a disease have to do
> with spelling it?
>
> If you're going to try and impress us with your razor sharp wit
by
> alledging contraction of STD's, the least you can do is spell it
right.
>
> (Don't worry, Brain...none of us expect you to do much of
ANYthing
> "right"...It's not in your character...So relax...You go right ahead
> and keep on making a fool of yourself and we'll keep laughing at
you!)
>
> > Nurse, heal thyself.
>
> Of...?!?!

Anyone else besides me notice that Brian and Lennie are absenting themselves from the Group more and more often and for longer periods? Especially after they get thier nose's bloodied on thier own stupid assertions?

Could it be that they are slooooooooowly getting the message?

"Liars and the deceitful not tolerated".

73

Steve, K4YZ

2190. Re: THE EXCLUSIVE MORSE CODE EXAM DEBATE THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 06:49:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109832580.251909.310700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103705

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampt...@worldnet.att.net> - Sun, 27
Feb
> > 2005 23:23:59 -0500
> >
> > >To be entirely fair, I think we should put both newcomers and old
> > timers on
> > >an equal footing. Even the no Morse folks.
> >
> >
> > >Len, your thoughts?
> >
> > Sorry, I can't post any...a big badass PCTA extra will only
> harrass
> > me with attempted inimidation. :-)
> >
> > This is a CLOSED newsgroup. Only PCTA extras will be allowed
> > in here. I've been told that. All others have "no business"
> > in here.
>
> What a putz.
>
> He wants a "Morse Code Exam Debate Thread", get's it hand
> delivered to him, then snivvels out from any true discussion on the
> topic.
>
> Nothing we haven't come to expect, I guess. Lennie doesn't have
> the cajones to "debate" any issue head on. Never could.
>
> > Best regards,
>
> You've never had "best regards" for anyone...Yourself included,
> Lennie. Only a person with an overwhelming lack of self respect
could
> act the way you do in public.

Lennie said there wouldn't be a "Morse Code Exam Debate" thread.

I specifically made one up and invited him to "debate" any new
issues vis-a-vis that topic.

It's been here a week now. Lennie's only "comment" has been to
make deceitful and mistruthful comments pertaining to Amateur Radio
licensees. Strictly off topic and of "personal attack" mode.

Lennie proved wrong and proven to be the liar and antagonist I have claimed him to be.

Again.

Steve, K4YZ

2191. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 09:28:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1109842103.062893.136510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103706

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > You know Bath-Whore-man to start I'm now on 20 meters. if you don't
> > like what I'm airing, turn the dial you and your redneck asshole
> > buddies from the south don't have to listen.
>
> I hope you'll take this particular tack when Mr. Hollingsworth next
> contacts you, Todd. Just tell him to turn the dial. I'm sure he'll
be
> impressed with your I'll-do-what-I-want-on-the-bands-and-to-hell-
> with-anyone-else view.

Dam right, I'm in amateur radio not for you, Hollingsworth, bath whore
man, or any other cry baby on here...I'm in amateur radio for myself,
and only that. IMHO the attempt by Hollingsworth to scare me out of
doing my information is an atempt to supress my first amaendment right.

> > Yeah sir, I'm now in the amateur radio band transmiting by hourly
> > program. Since I can't a broadcast license.....you little twits are
> > stuck me hahahaha
>
> You can't a broadcast license?

I can't get a broadcast license....So I do it on the ham Bands hehehe

> I guess we're stuck you.
I'm like a bad rash that you can't get rid of....
> You big IQ.
>
> You colludge material.
>
> Dave K8MN

anyway, My programs deals with amateur radio, and is legal. So you,
Bathroom, and all the other cry babies on here wilL have deal with it.
I'm not going away...

Todd N9OGL

2192. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 10:16:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109845014.827618.22700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103707

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote
> > > > Michael Coslo wrote:

> > Maybe PBS could do a special? Imagine if Ken Burns did a
> > documentary on amateur radio...
>
> That could only be good. I've found the stuff done in the past > by
Dave
> Bell and Roy Neal to be lacking. A good historical narrative
> leading to
> the present and showing active hams doing what they do would be >
nice,
> especially if they leave out anyone wearing a tee-shirt or
> ballcap except perhaps in a Field Day scene.
>
Joe Walsh and Patty Loveless....

> > > > Perhaps. Also, the "Amateur Radio in the 21st Century" > > > >
> paper equated
> > > > passing the code test with winning the Tour de France
> > > > or painting the Mona Lisa,
> > >
> > > Wow! now I feel really great about passing!!! ;^)
>
> I never got more of a buzz from passing a morse exam than I did >
when
> passing a tough chemistry exam. Ah, but passing the entire
> exam for
> obtaining a license opened a window to the world.

Same here!

> > Didja read that paper, and my rebuttal?
>
> No.

"Amateur Radio In the 21st Century" can be viewed here

http://gahleos.obarr.net/messages/0002.html

A detailed rebuttal in three parts:

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/1f293
55163c4ed4e?dmode=source

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/a0bb6
7064e87e3d8?dmode=source

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/0fceb
52701a89334?dmode=source

> > > Funny you should mention that. the first time I took element 1...
and
> >
> > > flunked... I was not pleased, but Figured I'd do it again, the
person
> >
> > > who was the most upset was the VE. I felt worse for him than
myself.
> >
> > Lessee...I was 14 and flunked 13 wpm the first go because the
examiner
> > couldn't read my longhand. What the sadistic IHM nuns called
"Palmer
> > Method",
> > for some reason.
>
> You really wrote out the morse exam in longhand?

Yep. That's all they taught in parochial school back then.
You'd get whacked across the knunckles with a hardwood ruler
for printing.

> > So I went home and taught myself to block print and copy
> > Morse in big
> > square capital letters (a skill not taught in the parochial
> > schools of
> > the day) and came back some weeks later, when I passed easily.
>
> I started copying morse in block caps and it was an easy
> transition.
> I'd done most of my schoolwork in upper and lower case
> printing 'cuz the
> teachers had a rough time reading my left-handed scrawl.

I stopped using longhand for anything when I got out of high school.
> >
> > Didja know the USA is now *importing* LNG from the Middle East and
> > other places?
>
> We've been importing natural gas for decades.

But not by ship!

> Ever hear of the "Big Inch" pipeline?

Oyez.

When I was a kid, there was a large part of South Philly devoted to the gas works, where coal was heated to make producer gas and stored in huge expandable tanks. The conversion to natural gas eliminated all that.

> > > Didn't AARP lose membership for supporting Shrub's
> > > prescription plan?
>
> They lost me before that. They sent me that crummy "My Generation"
> magazine about 50+ folks in denial over aging *and* AARP tried to
> represent me on issues where I took strong exception to their views.
> After three years of membership, I couldn't find a benefit to belonging.
>
I just got membership literature from them...can't see any reason to join for the same reasons...

73 de Jim, N2EY

2193. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 11:43:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <51ud21hf51rs44dai49vjqpsdrfa2spmil@4ax.com>
Source record: 103708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 15:30:17 -0500, "Harv" <Hdx@dxharvnone.com> wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:hb1c21h5b1qsnb9nf9q9qp08718u71sspi@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 14:18:17 GMT, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
>>>news:d02qce$ktl$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>
>>>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>>>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have
>>>> >> nothing
>>>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>>>
>>>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
>>>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>>>> >
>>>> > Big difference.
>>>
>>>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
>>>> LOL!
>>>
>>>I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
>>>60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
>>>law
>>>abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
>>>operators
>>>are the same as criminal radio pirates!
>>
>> Some people are unable to grasp the finer concepts of subtle
>> differences. To them, it's an "all or nothing" world.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>
>
>
>Absolutes have their place in certain disciplines.
```

Yes they do, where they apply.

>Today's liberal Democrat party is an example where absolutely no
>absolutes apply. Even Hillary Clinton has finally figured that out.

Ah, the wolf has finally learned how to put on the sheep's
clothes.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2194. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 12:25:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109852753.313476.102370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103709

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:

> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote

> >>>Michael Coslo wrote:

> And I know some people in their 60's who have just bought a house.
They
> may or may not finish their mortgage.
>
> My point is that a new demographic is emerging. It is one of a mature

> person who has decided to get into a hobby as they start to have a
bit
> more leisure time. For myself, that started when I was in my mid-late

> 40's, as my son graduated high school and the travel hockey wound
down.
> All that tends to free up the finances a bit also.

I think that demographic has been around for at least 2-3 decades. But
your point is well taken.

And it has unforeseen effects, like driving the "average age" of US
hams upwards.
> >
> > Local access TV may bring in a few folks, but not like network TV.
>
> Of course. But it would still be getting a message out.
>
> > Maybe PBS could do a special? Imagine if Ken Burns did a
documentary on
> > amateur radio...
>
> Now your talking! hehe.
>
'zactly
>
> >>>>>>>Yet the NCVEC folks say the solution is to create a class of
ham
> >>>>>>>that can't use rigs with more than 30 volts on the
electronics...
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Goofy, goofy, goofy!

> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Tell it to NCVEC. They think they know better than you.
> >>>>
> >>>> From what I gather, their underlying concern was actually that
> >>>> they think their VE's were having to work too hard.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Perhaps. Also, the "Amateur Radio in the 21st Century" paper
> >>> equated passing the code test with winning the Tour de France or
painting
> >>> the Mona Lisa,
> >>
> >>Wow! now I feel really great about passing!!! ;^)
> >>
> >
> > Didja read that paper, and my rebuttal?
>
> I read the paper (don't know if I should say *yawn* or *ick*. I
didn't
> read your comment tho'.

Please see the links I posted in my reply to K8MN earlier today.

The NCVEC proposal is derived almost word-for-word from that paper. Interesting to note the mistakes in the paper and its 'we know better than you' tone from an author who *brags* he can't even pass the current tests!

Makes the ARRL proposal look like a work of genius by comparison.

> >> and described the stress of dealing with grown people crying
> >>because they'd flunked the code test....boo-hoo....
> >>
> >>Funny you should mention that. the first time I took element 1...
and
> >
> >>flunked... I was not pleased, but Figured I'd do it again, the
person
> >>who was the most upset was the VE. I felt worse for him than
myself.
>
> >
> > Lessee...I was 14 and flunked 13 wpm the first go because the
examiner
> > couldn't read my longhand. What the sadistic IHM nuns called
"Palmer
> > Method", for some reason.
>
> So you went to the catholic school at Our Lady of the Perpetual
> Responsibility, eh?

Yep. Only they weren't so nice as Sister Arvonne

> >>>>>Shrub says hydrogen is the answer. Oh the humanity.

> >>>>Hydrogen's energy density issues make for some problems. That
> >>>Excursion will have to tack on another 10 miles per hour on trips
to make up
> >>>for all the fuel stops needed.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Naw, just liquefy the stuff.
> >>
> >> Yoiks! Liquid H? Even if, the energy density is still quite a bit
> >> less than gasoline. something like 25 percent.
> >>
> >>Storage concerns are a big problem with the stuff. In a similar
> >> fashion We have Natural gas buses in our area. Their tanks are on
the bus
> >> roof, and run the length of the bus. You just can't get as much
energy out
> >> of the stuff.
> >>
> >>
> > Didja know the USA is now *importing* LNG from the Middle East and
> > other places?
>
> Ever stop to think about how much of that stuff used to be burned off
at
> the well head as "useless"? One more Yoiks!
>
Not useless, just not economically competitive to recover and transport
at the time.

> >>>The big problems are:
> >>>
> >>>- Handling a fuel that is a gas at STP is more complex than one
> >> that is a liquid.
> >>
> >>>- Danger of fire and leaking pressure tanks
> >>>
> >>>- Where's all the hydrogen supposed to come from?
> >>
> >> Yup. While seawater might seem like the obvious way out,
hydrolysis
> >> of seawater produces interesting byproducts.
> >>
> >>
> > Yep. Plus - where do you get the electricity to hydrolyze the
seawater?
>
> I'm a big fan of nuclear energy, when it is done in a smart and safe
> way. And there are smart and safe ways to do it.

The plants are obvious terror targets. The long term problem is the
waste.

One solution being explored in places like Australia is the solar tower
concept. Uses desert land.

> >>Now tell the people in the western US that you want to take a huge
> >>amount of their fresh water!! 8^) It is in short enough supply as
it
> >> is.
> >
> > Might as well develop electric cars...
>
> Welcome back to nuc energy!
>
> One thing I am adamant about is that we should never try to extract
the
> energy needed to run our country from materials that humans need to
> support life. Biofuels such as corn based ethanol, and hydrogen taken

> from fresh water are big no-nos in my book.

A point well taken! Hwoever, if fuels can be extracted from waste
products (TDP, for example) that's a win-win.

> If we go down that road, we
> may some day have to choose between propulsive energy and human life.

We already make that choice when we import oil from certain countries
and turn a blind eye to what else they do. Like the way the Reagan
administration and Shake Hands dealt with SH back in the '80s.
>
> >>>>>>You mean like dragging the gay marriage issue into the Social
> >>>>>>Security problem? 8^)
> >>
> >>>>>Yep. The interesting thing is that allowing gay civil unions
would
> >>>>>*increase* tax revenue.
> >>
> >>>>>And speaking of marriage: One thing I find interesting is that
the
> >>>>>divorce rates in the "red" states are consistently and clearly
> >>>>>higher than the rates in "blue" states. Seems those folks who
rant and
> >>>>>rave about "family values" and "covenants" can't seem to stay
hitched
> >>>>>very long.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>All you have to do is meet some of the "reds", and you'll
> >>>>immediately understand why they have such a high divorce
rate......
> >>>

> >>>
> >>>Ya gotta be more specific than that!
> >>
> >>hehe, when I think of the modern conservative, I keep getting this
> >>vision of Old man Newt.
> >
> >
> > You mean the "family values" guy who had divorce papers served on
his
> > first wife (who had supported him through law school and the early
> > struggles of his political career) while she was *in the hospital
> > undergoing cancer treatment*?
>
> ah yes, you've heard of him?

Yeah - nice guy, huh? NOT!

"It's all about values"

>I notice he's being resurrected even now.

Look up how many times Rush Limbaugh has been married...
>
> >>>>>Here's the kind of thinking being put forth:
> >>
> >>>>>One plan being suggested in DC is for the USA to create a
special
> >>>>>savings account for each baby born in the USA, starting on a
> >>>>>certain date. The Feds would put $2000 into each account each
year until
> >>>>>the kid reaches 18. Total investment $36,000. Assuming about 6%
annual
> >>>>>interest, each account would be worth over a million dollars
when
> >>>>>the "baby" reached 65.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Nice retirement package, huh? Except it won't work for several
> >>>>>reasons
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>completely obvious to anyone with common sense.
> >>>>
> >>>> Who pays that 6 percent interest?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>That's the first problem.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>The last time I checked, the rate
> >>>>wasn't anywhere near that.

> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Bingo!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Taxes on that money? Capital gains?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>The money would be tax exempt until the person began to draw upon
> >>> it.
> >>>
> >>>>Inflation?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>That's a BIG one!
> >>>
> >>>~30 years ago, when I was entering the job market out of college,
> >>>entry-level engineers with degrees were making about what *minimum
> >>>wage* is now. Go back 50 years, and a $5000/yr income put the
> >> average person on Easy Street, able to support a middle-class
family in a
> >> way that $50,000/yr won't do today.
> >>>
> >>>Even if inflation stays low over the next 65 years, $1 million
> >> won't be enough to retire on. What really matters, of course, is
what I call
> >>"differential interest" - the difference between inflation and the
> >>>apparent interest rate. If you get interest of 6% and inflation of
> >>> 2%, your money is really only growing at a rate of 4%.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Your going to have to have some sort of way that the guvmint
> >>>>pays interest on the account when the prime is low, or you will
be
> >>>>creating a powerful incentive for citizens to want a high
interest
> >>>>rate which is counterproductive to the economy......The list goes
on and
> >>>>on.
> >>>
> >>>Yep.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Also interesting how everyone in the US will retire a
millionaire!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Sounds good until the reality cuts in. There are lots of

> >>> millionaires today - on paper anyway.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> Ain't gonna work!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Yet that isn't some wild-eyed idea - it's something our alleged
> >>>leadership has suggested!
> >>
> >> Hey yeah! The stock Market always goes up over the long term,
right?
> >
> >
> > Yep. Whether it keeps up with inflation is another issue.
>
> Even if it does, if you are using a market based system, the
important
> part is what the market is doing right before you retire.

Not really, unless you intend to pull all your money out the day you
retire.

> >>While that may be true, people keep applying it to irrelevant
issues.
> >
> >
> >>This case is just one of using interest in a way that sounds good
if
> >> you don't look too deep.
> >
> >
> >> By the way, did you know the producer of the "Swiftboat Veterns"
is
> >> now producing smear videos and literature against the AARP? The
same
> >> people they eagerly worked with a year or two earlier.
> >
> >
> > The Swift Boat dude has been playing that game for decades. Never
mind
> > that lots of other vets, who served *with* Mr. Kerry, tell a
completely
> > different story.
> >
> >
> >> no shame, at long last, no shame.
> >
> >
> > Didn't AARP lose membership for supporting Shrub's prescription
plan?

>
> Not sure....
>
> >>
> >>>Consider these other problems:
> >>>
> >>>If there are, say, 4 million births per year, the govt. will need
> >>> to put $8 billion into the accounts the first year, $16 billion
the
> >>> second year, $24 billion the third year, etc. This won't
stabilize until
> >>> the 18th year, when it reaches $144 billion per year being put
aside in
> >>>these accounts. Most of that money would have to come from *new*
> >>>revenue sources, because the existing Social Security system would
> >>> have to continue to exist for a while. And that's based on *no*
increase
> >>> in baby production!
> >>>
> >>>Where's all that money supposed to come from?
> >>
> >>
> >> Tax cuts.
> >
> >
> > HAW!
>
>Seriously, if we reduce the tax rate all problems will go away!
>

Until tomorrow!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2195. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 13:26:17 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <HamFruits@hamfruitsnutsdx.net>
Message-ID: <d073db$1t1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:51ud21hf51rs44dai49vjqpsdrfa2spmil@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 15:30:17 -0500, "Harv" <Hdx@dxharvnone.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:hb1c21h5b1qsnb9nf9q9qp08718u71sspi@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 14:18:17 GMT, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
>>>>news:d02qce$ktl$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>>
>>>>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>>>>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have
>>>>> >> nothing
>>>>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>>>>
>>>>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal
>>>>> > pirate
>>>>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Big difference.
>>>>
>>>>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
>>>>> LOL!
>>>>
>>>>I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the
>>>>early
>>>>60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
>>>>law
>>>>abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
>>>>operators
>>>>are the same as criminal radio pirates!
>>>
>>> Some people are unable to grasp the finer concepts of subtle
>>> differences. To them, it's an "all or nothing" world.
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
```

>>>
>>
>>
>>Absolutes have their place in certain disciplines.
>
> Yes they do, where they apply.
>
>>Today's liberal Democrat party is an example where absolutely no
>>absolutes apply. Even Hillary Clinton has finally figured that out.
>
> Ah, the wolf has finally learned how to put on the sheep's
> clothes.....
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>

Hillary Clinton = A Socialist in liberal clothing who is moving to the
right.

2196. Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 13:56:01 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net>
Message-ID: <d074ue$90t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the protection of a liberal activist judge who is protecting the rights of undocumented alien employees. Typical of hams, they prefer to whine in various forums and on the air, rather than writing a letters to their senators and voicing their objections about the obstructionist liberal Democrats in the U.S. Senate who are blocking Bush's court nominees with threatened filibusters. The same liberal activist judge is allowing other area dealers to sell previously illegal CB equipment as well, as long as there are no references to God in the stores or on the equipment.

73,

Lloyd

2197. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 14:35:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <r48e21dmjl9is0itl99gjt81bcekfq9vnk@4ax.com>
Source record: 103712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
On Thu, 3 Mar 2005 08:26:17 -0500, "Psychiatrist-To-Hams"
<HamFruits@hamfruitsnutsdx.net> wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:51ud21hf51rs44dai49vjqpsdrfa2spmil@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 15:30:17 -0500, "Harv" <Hdx@dxharvnone.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:hb1c21h5b1qsnb9nf9q9qp08718u71sspi@4ax.com...
>>>> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 14:18:17 GMT, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
>>>>>news:d02qce$ktl$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>>>>>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have
>>>>>> >> nothing
>>>>>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>>>>>
>>>>>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal
>>>>>> > pirate
>>>>>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>>>>>> >
>>>>>> > Big difference.
>>>>>
>>>>>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>
>>>>>I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the
>>>>>early
>>>>>60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
>>>>>law
>>>>>abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
>>>>>operators
>>>>>are the same as criminal radio pirates!
>>>>
>>>> Some people are unable to grasp the finer concepts of subtle
>>>> differences. To them, it's an "all or nothing" world.
```

>>>>
>>>> Dave
>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Absolutes have their place in certain disciplines.
>>
>> Yes they do, where they apply.
>>
>>>Today's liberal Democrat party is an example where absolutely no
>>>absolutes apply. Even Hillary Clinton has finally figured that out.
>>
>> Ah, the wolf has finally learned how to put on the sheep's
>> clothes.....
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>
>
>
>Hillary Clinton = A Socialist in liberal clothing who is moving to the
>right.
>

On that, I will agree 100%.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2198. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 17:44:14 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109871854.123332.244870@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Lloyd wrote:
> Hams whine

> a loophole to sell CB amplifiers

> liberal activist judge

> undocumented alien employees

> obstructionist liberal Democrats

> references to God

trollin', trollin',trollin'

2199. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 17:56:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109872596.422114.197840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103714

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109760226.362991.253290
> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109706299.033324.211320
> >> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> >> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus.xyz@mcn.net> wrote in
> >> >> news:QI%Ud.1034$L17.365@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >> >>
> >> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109689325.032940.133970
> >> >> > @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
> >> >> >
> >> >> Firstly, it's
> >> >> very telling that they buried it down the page, just as they
did
> >> >> with the announcement that the code test was abolished by the
ITU.

> >> > And the code test was not abolished by ITU. All that changed was
> >> > that the treaty no longer requires such a test. Signatory
countries
> >> > are now no longer *required by treaty* to have a code test,
that's
> >> > all.
> >>
> >> Same thing.
> >
> > No, completely different things. The change does not require member
> > countries to drop the code test.
> >
>
> Making a requirement optional is indistinguishable from abolishing
it. It's
> just a different form of words used to keep some countries happy.

You wrote:

"the announcement that the code test was abolished by the ITU."

The *treaty requirement* was abolished, not the test itself. Very
different things.
> >> The FCC say
> >> that they are looking for a consensus amongst us, and they are

also
> >> >> on record as saying that the code test useful doesn't serve any
> >> >> useful purpose.
> >> >
> >> > When did they say those things?
> >>
> >> They said that they wouldn't restructure until a consensus emerged
> >
> > *When* did FCC say that? They restructured in 2000 without a
consensus.
>
> Maybe a google search would find the answer to that

My point is simply that something said by FCC years and years ago may
or may not still be their thinking today.

> >> > btw, the FCC's words were "serves no *REGULATORY* purpose"
(emphasis
> >> > added) not "useful purpose". BIG difference!
> >> >
> >>
> >> Thanks for correcting the wording, but it really isn't much of a
> >> difference
> >
> > Yes, it is. Since FCC's role is regulatory, their interest is in
what
> > should be regulated, not what's good and bad.
>
> i.e. no regulatory purpose means no purpose useful to them as
regulators -
> no contradiction there
>
Not useful to them doesn't mean not useful at all.

> >> > And if FCC still thinks the code test serves no regulatory
purpose,
> >> > why didn't they just dump Element 1 in late summer 2003, as
proposed
> >> > by at least two groups?
> >>
> >> I don't know, but you admit they said it serves no regulatory
purpose
> >
> > When did FCC say it?
>
> I can't recall, but you know they did. You've admitted it.

Not the point - of course they said it. My point is simply that
something said by FCC years and years ago may or may not still be their
thinking today.
>

> >> > All it would take is a Memorandum Report and Order.
> >> > In fact, as a temporary measure pending rewriting the rules,
they
> >> > could have simply ordered that anyone who passed Element 2, 3 or
4
> >> > gets Element 1 credit.
> >> >
> >> > But they didn't.
> >>
> >> Maybe they didn't feel that they could do that when they had 19
> >> petitions dumped on them?
> >
> > Maybe. Or maybe their mind has changed on the subject.
>
> I think they have beleived that since the '70s, but have hung onto
the code
> test under pressure from some hams, including the League. The
question is
> not whether their minds have changed (I beleive they haven't) but
whether
> they beleive they can get rid of the pesky code test without
upsetting too
> many hams. At this point in time I think they can, but it depends on
one's
> definition of 'too many'.

What if their minds *have* changed? Perhaps they have looked at the
arguments
provided by pro-code-test folks, and at the results of the
reduction/elimination
of code testing in the USA and other countries, and have concluded that
Element 1 is no big deal. Maybe they've even concluded that it *does*
serve a useful,
regulatory purpose!

> Of course, prior to 2003 they couldn't do it, but they had long since

> abolished the sending test anyway, even though that was required by
the
> ITU. Talk to Phil Kane and see what he thinks of that from a purely
legal
> perspective. You can't construe a statute in such a way as to ignore
it's
> plain language by arguing that meeting one of the requirements
indicates
> that you _could_meet_ (NB: not _have_met_) the other requirement. I
am
> talking about _sending_ and receiving Morse code by _hand_ and by
ear. Of
> course, it was a treaty, not a statute, but that should make no
difference.

One alleged violation of the treaty (no sending test) does not justify
another.

> >> >> There
> >> >> is no consensus, so I think they will choose from whatever has
been
> >> >> proposed those things that suit their own organisational
> >> >> objectives, i.e. reducing administrative burden. IOW, fewer
tests
> >> >> and fewer licence classes suits the FCC.
> >> >
> >> > Maybe.
> >> >
> >> > But back in 1998, ARRL proposed free upgrades for Novices and
Tech
> >> > Pluses so that there would be four classes and no closed-out
> >> > classes. Others have proposed similar freebies. FCC has
consistently
> >> > said no, and keeps the Tech Plus, Advanced and Novice alive in
their
> >> > rules and database. At the current rate of decline, it may be 15
> >> > more years before the last Advanced is gone.
> >>
> >> A mistake IMO. I don't think closed classes are a good idea.
> >
> > Why not?
> >
> >> It's better to
> >> make a clean break and get everybody in the same system.
> >
> > All US hams are in the same system. IMHO, and FCC's to date, free
> > upgrades are *not* a good idea.
>
> Look at it from the other way around. It's not right to have a closed

> Advanced licence with some of the theory and some of the privileges
of an
> Extra and not admit new people to it.

Sure it is. In fact, there's a precedent for it. From Jan 1 1953 to
November 22 1967, you could not get a new Advanced but existing ones
could be renewed and modified.

> That's not fair to the new Generals.

Sure it is. They get the current tests, not the old ones.

> IF OTOH, you counter that by saying that there's little difference in
the
> theory level, then why not grandfather the Advanceds to Extra?

Because there *is* a difference.

> If everything were done your way you could only create licence
classes and
> never abolish them.

Nope.

> Eventually you would have Heinz 57 varieties of
> licence, but only two or three that you could actually apply for, a
system
> that only a civil servant could love. The only way to avoid that
would be
> to change nothing, ever, which may be your hidden agenda.

Not at all.

The closed-off license classes are slowly but surely disappearing. As hams holding those licenses upgrade or drop out, the numbers will decline and eventually reach zero. At that point, the license class can simply be written out of the rules.

FCC kept Advanced as a separate license class not available to new issues from 1953 to 1967. During most of that time the "database" was not computerized. Advanceds made up about 40,000 of the then-250,000 US hams. Today with a computerized database it's a lot simpler.

I think keeping old license classes is better than free upgrades. Apparently so does FCC.

> > Yet FCC *turned down* such proposals in the past. They prefer more
> > classes to free automatic upgrades. Enforcement is a nonissue; the
FCC
> > folks know where the subbands are. And it's the rare ham who
strays,
> > judging by enforcement actions.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
> I think they turned them down for lack of consensus on our part. If
we
> agree, then they'll do it.

Perhaps. But we don't agree!

The fact is that comments to FCC show no consensus on a number of issues. In fact, if you look at the number of *individuals* who comment pro-or-con on code testing, you find majority support *for* the test. Now since everyone is free to comment on FCC proposals, why shouldn't the majority opinion decide?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2200. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 18:59:32 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net>
Message-ID: <d07mn5$n13$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109871854.123332.244870@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Lloyd wrote:
>> Hams whine
>
>> a loophole to sell CB amplifiers
>
>> liberal activist judge
>
>> undocumented alien employees
>
>> obstructionist liberal Democrats
>
>> references to God
>
> trollin', trollin',trollin'
>

Just repeating what I have been monitoring from the 3965
pirate. Go down and get it first hand yourself. He says he
is planning a simo broadcast this weekend 3965/6672, and
there is also local info available on 27680.

73,

Lloyd

2201. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 19:04:49 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ldJVd.394$603.288@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Lloyd wrote:
> Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
> found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
> type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the protection
> of a liberal activist judge

Must be a federal judge, as the laws being "loopholed" are
federal regulations. Or it's a federal sized troll.....

2202. Re: Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 19:05:37 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <DdJVd.2076$8k2.996@fed1read03>
Source record: 103717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Psychiatrist-To-Hams wrote:

>
>
> Another English-Challenged "expert."
> and you need to check your routing headers.
and what do my "routing headers" have to do with this conversation.

in fact, what does your commentary have to do with this conversation????

English-Challenged? well, depends, doesn't it. is it the "king's English" or
"American English" <of which I speak>?

still, it is irrelevant.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

2203. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 19:22:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109877752.446506.186860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

robert casey wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:
> > Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
> > found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
> > type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the
protection
> > of a liberal activist judge
>
> Must be a federal judge, as the laws being "loopholed" are
> federal regulations. Or it's a federal sized troll.....

I lean towards "troll", Robert...

Ya ever notice that people who complain about others "whining"
about laws being broken are those who are themselves in favor or
actually involved in breaking the law...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

2204. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 19:32:54 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <GDJVd.419$603.379@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103719

>
>>>>>btw, the FCC's words were "serves no *REGULATORY* purpose"
>
> (emphasis
>
>>>>>added) not "useful purpose". BIG difference!
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Thanks for correcting the wording, but it really isn't much of a
>>>>difference
>>>

The code test is no longer *required*, but is *optional* for
countries to test prospective hams for HF licenses. Which
means that the treaty doesn't *forbid* code tests or code use
for that matter.
>
>
> What if their minds *have* changed? Perhaps they have looked at the
> arguments
> provided by pro-code-test folks, and at the results of the
> reduction/elimination
> of code testing in the USA and other countries, and have concluded that
> Element 1 is no big deal. Maybe they've even concluded that it *does*
> serve a useful,
> regulatory purpose!

The FCC noted that there's been no noticeable increase in
violations in HF since they let 5wpm'ers loose on it back
in 2000. Trouble spots like 14.313 predate that by many
years. So 13 or 20wpm doesn't serve a regulatory purpose, and
the FCC isn't in the business of handing out "gold star"
awards.
>
>

>
> One alleged violation of the treaty (no sending test) does not justify
> another.
>

Way back when (1976) I had to do a sending test. On a straight
key mounted to a school desk test station. I pounded a few
words of code and the examiner said, "okay, you pass".

It seems that it was extremely rare that someone who passed
code copying failed sending, so why bother?

>
>>>>>>There
>>>>>>is no consensus, so I think they will choose from whatever has
>
> been
>
>>>>>>proposed those things that suit their own organisational
>>>>>>objectives, i.e. reducing administrative burden. IOW, fewer
>
> tests
>
>>>>>>and fewer licence classes suits the FCC.

Then keeping code for extras but not generals doesn't
satisfy the above. Either the code test exists or
it is gone completely. If they decide to keep the
code test, the FCC might decide to leave things the
way they are now. That requires minimal effort on
their part, and then they can do something else like
make rules that one company can own every broadcast
station and paper in every city.....

>
>>Advanced licence with some of the theory and some of the privileges
>
> of an
>
>>Extra and not admit new people to it.

The FCC could equate 13wpm with the old element 4b (the old
pre-restructuring written) and declare that every advanced
is now qualified to be an extra and make them all extras.
I don't have a problem with that.

>
>>IF OTOH, you counter that by saying that there's little difference in
>
> the
>
>>theory level, then why not grandfather the Advanceds to Extra?
>
>
> Because there *is* a difference.

See above
>
>
> Enforcement is a nonissue; the
>
> FCC

>
>>>folks know where the subbands are. And it's the rare ham who
>
> strays,
>
>>>judging by enforcement actions.

That means that few hams violate that rule, or many do
and the FCC doesn't much worry about it. Though with
databases like QRZ.com other hams may question why you
seem to be out of you subband. I had this happen for a
few weeks after I upgraded, and I said that I just upgraded.
"Congrads" was the usual reply. I had neglected to
do "whiskey alpha two india sierra echo slash alpha echo"
to mark my new upgrade. No biggie.

>>>

> The fact is that comments to FCC show no consensus on a number of
> issues. In fact, if you look at the number of *individuals* who comment
> pro-or-con on code testing, you find majority support *for* the test.
> Now since everyone is free to comment on FCC proposals, why shouldn't
> the majority opinion decide?
>

It's not a popularity contest, a *good* reason will trump
many "votes" for a weak reason. Who decides "good" vs
"weak" is another issue....

2205. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 19:44:40 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net>
Message-ID: <d07pbp$tp4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1109877752.446506.186860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> robert casey wrote:
>> Lloyd wrote:
>> > Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
>> > found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
>> > type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the
> protection
>> > of a liberal activist judge
>>
>> Must be a federal judge, as the laws being "loopholed" are
>> federal regulations. Or it's a federal sized troll.....
>
> I lean towards "troll", Robert...
>
> Ya ever notice that people who complain about others "whining"
> about laws being broken are those who are themselves in favor or
> actually involved in breaking the law...???
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You must be bored Steve, as none of your regular r.r.a.p. playmates are currently bickering with you? Ever hear of the "message," versus the messenger? There's a regular pirate whose been operating on 3965, and the stuff he puts out ranges from the interesting to not so interesting. It doesn't require much effort to set your hf tuning dial to 3965 and get first hand what the guy is saying, does it? Sort of beats calling people names, prior to hearing it first hand. Wouldn't you agree? Same things goes for Mr Casey. Then again, nevermind. I forgot I was in the r.r.a.p. play area where wrong is right, and right is wrong, or something like that. LOL!

73,

Lloyd

2206. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 19:56:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109879818.710996.260300@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103721

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > From: Dave Heil on Sun, Feb 27 2005 6:15 pm
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Feb 27 2005 7:36 am
> > >
> > >> >Let it go already.
> > >>
> > >> That's one of those PCTA Double Standards, isn't it?
> > >>
> > >> Dave Heil wanted a LONG time ago to boast in here of his
> > >> State Department experience with CW in the 80s in Africa.
> > >
> > >That is a deliberate falsehood on your part, Leonard. I pointed
out
> > that
> > >morse was in use by the U.S. Department of State at a time when
you
> > >claimed that government was not using it. There was no boast to
it.
> >
> > Oh, bullshit, big bad Dave. You were crowing, you were
boasting.
>
> That is incorrect. This is simply another untruth on your part,
> Leonard.

More profanity by the "radio professional" in order to forcefully
express his already disproven assertion.

Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley, CA, is just a liar. Period.

> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. A long time ago I accumulated enough "HF
> > experience" while in the U.S. Army doing nothing but
communications
> > for an Area Command.
>
> Enough for what? You silly claim is that you have more HF experience
> than I. The claim was a boastful aside and has nothing to do with
the
> current argument. It is simply another untruth on your part. I have
> twenty years of government HF communications experience and 41 years
as
> a radio amateur. Your claim doesn't hold water.

Just "enough" for him to try and use it as a "newsgroup messaging
point", whatever that is.

In any case, Lennie never had an MOS that designated him as a
radio operator anyway. No doubt he "keyed up" a transmitter in order
to set SWR or validate a repair, however he was never a "radio
operator".

I am sure he rationalizes himself a "radio operator" since he was
part of a communications unit in the same way he tried to rationalize
his "I handled 2.2 million messages" claims as "Oh...what I meant was I
was part of a TEAM that handled 2.2 million messages".....Or his other
claims about his alleged military "career" based upon the sacrifices of
Soldiers who died in combat 3 years before he was enlisted.

Lennie's real big about embellishing HIS "accomplishments" on the
TRUE accomplishments of others.

> > "Moot Court" with Judge Dave Bean on the Bench!
> > Gonna be a BIG thing on Google quotes? Gonna waste
> > lots of space in here with your "protests" and "charges?"
> > Go for it. Others won't like it and I don't give a damn. :-)
>
> I'm sure that you won't care if the truth whaps you in the yap again.
> It has happened before and I have no doubt that it'll happen again.
> I disagree with your views about what others might think. A number
of
> them may get some amusement at watching you insert both feet into
your
> mouth (again). :-) :-)

Another case of "Athlete's Mouth"...?!?!

> > Showing your big shiny Raddio Kopp shield in its little wallet
> > isn't going to help. LAPD frowns on FAKE AUTHORITY
> > showing shields...but Mr. Glock likes it...it's nice and
shiny...
>
> What is that supposed to mean, Leonard? Are you threatening me with
the
> use of a firearm for the second time?

Cmon, Dave...at least give him credit for spelling "Glock"
correctly!

I notice he doesn't live in LA, either, but that's yet another
issue

> > Big badass Dave, you got a Cross-Dressing thing going? You
> > are NOT our gal parent, Dee Flint. :-)
>
> No, Leonard, I'm the guy who informed you that radio amateurs already
> have a place to go where they don't have to put up with you. :-)

Like the bottom end of 20..??? Or the entire 30 meter band...Or,
gee whiz, ANY Amateur allocation from 1.8Mhz to daylight...?!?!

> > Like I said to you, like I said to Dee, if you want a PRIVATE
> > chat room open ONLY to those you let in, you are as free as a
> > bird (ostrisch most likely) to organize one.
>
> ...or we could, as before, just go to the ham bands. You cried foul
on
> it the last time. It took place on 40m CW so you could have tuned it
> with your trusty Icom receiver. You just wouldn't have been able to
> decode it.

I am sure Lennie would be in the NG the next day telling us what
was said after he interfaced his computer withe the receiver after
writing a CW decoding program off the top of his head......

> > In the meantime,
> > THIS newsgroup is still PUBLIC. Anyone who has access to
> > the internet can come in here.
>
> ...and if the anyone is you and you continue to behave as you have in
> the past, you'll just have to take your lumps. That's the way it
works.

Over and over and over and over and over and.....

> > You can single out individual people ALL you want, quote from
> > Google ALL you want.
>
> Yes, I can.
>
> > That's just harrassment that makes
> > others tired of you even more.
>
> Providing an accurate account of what was actually written is
> harrassment?
> I'm sure it'll make you more tired of me. Deal with it.

Lennie's the only one I know who claims "harrassment" at being
confronted with his own words.

Perhaps if he stopped lying and expressing such hate and
discontent for people he has clearly stated he really has no intent on
socializing with anyway he wouldn't be "harrassed" like he is...?!?!

> > But, if you feel so "outraged" and
> > "insulted" at not being considered a big badass "superior" radio
> > god, go for it.
>
> Why, thank you, Leonard, though I didn't really need your permission.
> On that "radio god" thing, did you ever make up your mind on whether
I
> am one or not? You've shown some confusion on the issue.

What's with Lennie and the term "badass" today anyway?

Personally, I bet it's because what he's getting at home, now he's redirecting his frustrations on us......

> > Your great big wonderful extra license doesn't
> > make you chief of Who Can Post Here.
>
> As you've pointed out, a license isn't needed. You've posted. You've
> lied.
>
> > Why ARE you here?
>
> Hmmmmmm. I'm a radio amateur. The name of the newsgroup is
> rec.radio.amateur.policy. Seems like a no-brainer. Do you need further
> clarification? Why are you here?

Because, unfortunately, there are those who think that "freedom of speech" means being allowed to be mean spirited, antagonistic and out-and-out deceitful.

Whatever Lennie MAY have been in his "professional" career, an untrustworthy liar (as if there's any other kind..?!?!) is what he wound up being.

His lies and his deceit are documented in volumes in this forum, and will forever overshadow whatever he "wrote" in Ham Radio or any other journal.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2207. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 20:08:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109880482.318504.310530@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103722

Lloyd wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1109877752.446506.186860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > robert casey wrote:
> >> Lloyd wrote:
> >> > Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
> >> > found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
> >> > type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the
> > protection
> >> > of a liberal activist judge
> >>
> >> Must be a federal judge, as the laws being "loopholed" are
> >> federal regulations. Or it's a federal sized troll.....
> >
> > I lean towards "troll", Robert...
> >
> > Ya ever notice that people who complain about others "whining"
> > about laws being broken are those who are themselves in favor or
> > actually involved in breaking the law...???

> You must be bored Steve, as none of your regular r.r.a.p. playmates
> are currently bickering with you?

The only one's who "bicker" are the ones who find it necessary to
lie and deceive.

> Ever hear of the "message," versus
> the messenger? There's a regular pirate whose been operating on
> 3965, and the stuff he puts out ranges from the interesting to not so
> interesting. It doesn't require much effort to set your hf tuning
dial
> to 3965 and get first hand what the guy is saying, does it? Sort of
> beats calling people names, prior to hearing it first hand. Wouldn't
> you agree?

Sure it does.

And generally when you post to this or any other NG it's vile,
mistruthful, profane or factually barren.

Lastly, there was nothing about anyone EXCEPT you in the post I
responded to...YOU stated hams are "whining". If that was not your
intent, YOU need to re-read what you wrote, figure out why it was
interpreted that way, then correct your grammmatical and expressive
errors, Lloyd.

> Same things goes for Mr Casey. Then again,
> nevermind. I forgot I was in the r.r.a.p. play area where wrong is
> right, and right is wrong, or something like that.

Nope..."right" is still "right" and "wrong" is still "wrong".
That's why people like Lennie, Brain, Mark Morgan, and, "ahem", YOU get
your noses rubbed in your verbal run-off as often as you do.

> LOL!

Don't look now, Lloyd, but the laugh's on you.

BTW...I delted the cross post on the response...You'll actaully
have to leave rec.radio.cb in order to read it...Sorry.

Steve, K4YZ

2208. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 20:57:56 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net>
Message-ID: <d07tl6akp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1109880482.318504.310530@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Lloyd wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1109877752.446506.186860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > robert casey wrote:
>> >> Lloyd wrote:
>> >> > Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
>> >> > found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
>> >> > type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the
>> > protection
>> >> > of a liberal activist judge
>> >>
>> >> Must be a federal judge, as the laws being "loopholed" are
>> >> federal regulations. Or it's a federal sized troll.....
>> >
>> > I lean towards "troll", Robert...
>> >
>> > Ya ever notice that people who complain about others "whining"
>> > about laws being broken are those who are themselves in favor or
>> > actually involved in breaking the law...???
>
>> You must be bored Steve, as none of your regular r.r.a.p. playmates
>> are currently bickering with you?
>
> The only one's who "bicker" are the ones who find it necessary to
> lie and deceive.
>
>> Ever hear of the "message," versus
>> the messenger? There's a regular pirate whose been operating on
>> 3965, and the stuff he puts out ranges from the interesting to not so
>> interesting. It doesn't require much effort to set your hf tuning
> dial
>> to 3965 and get first hand what the guy is saying, does it? Sort of
>> beats calling people names, prior to hearing it first hand. Wouldn't
>> you agree?
>
> Sure it does.
>
> And generally when you post to this or any other NG it's vile,
> mistruthful, profane or factually barren.

>
> Lastly, there was nothing about anyone EXCEPT you in the post I
> responded to...YOU stated hams are "whining". If that was not your
> intent, YOU need to re-read what you wrote, figure out why it was
> interpreted that way, then correct your grammmatical and expressive
> errors, Lloyd.
>
>> Same things goes for Mr Casey. Then again,
>> nevermind. I forgot I was in the r.r.a.p. play area where wrong is
>> right, and right is wrong, or something like that.
>
> Nope..."right" is still "right" and "wrong" is still "wrong".
> That's why people like Lennie, Brain, Mark Morgan, and, "ahem", YOU get
> your noses rubbed in your verbal run-off as often as you do.
>
>> LOL!
>
> Don't look now, Lloyd, but the laugh's on you.
>
> BTW...I delted the cross post on the response...You'll actaully
> have to leave rec.radio.cb in order to read it...Sorry.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

flushed

2209. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 21:07:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109884076.705243.89990@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103724

```
Lloyd wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1109880482.318504.310530@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Lloyd wrote:
> >> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1109877752.446506.186860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > robert casey wrote:
> >> >> Lloyd wrote:
> >> >> > Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
> >> >> > found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
> >> >> > type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the
> >> > protection
> >> >> > of a liberal activist judge
> >> >>
> >> >> Must be a federal judge, as the laws being "loopholed" are
> >> >> federal regulations. Or it's a federal sized troll.....
> >> >
> >> > I lean towards "troll", Robert...
> >> >
> >> > Ya ever notice that people who complain about others
"whining"
> >> > about laws being broken are those who are themselves in favor or
> >> > actually involved in breaking the law...???
> >
> >> You must be bored Steve, as none of your regular r.r.a.p.
playmates
> >> are currently bickering with you?
> >
> > The only one's who "bicker" are the ones who find it necessary
to
> > lie and deceive.
> >
> >> Ever hear of the "message," versus
> >> the messenger? There's a regular pirate whose been operating on
> >> 3965, and the stuff he puts out ranges from the interesting to not
so
> >> interesting. It doesn't require much effort to set your hf
tuning
> > dial
> >> to 3965 and get first hand what the guy is saying, does it? Sort
of
> >> beats calling people names, prior to hearing it first hand.
```

Wouldn't
> >> you agree?
> >
> > Sure it does.
> >
> > And generally when you post to this or any other NG it's vile,
> > mistruthful, profane or factually barren.
> >
> > Lastly, there was nothing about anyone EXCEPT you in the post I
> > responded to...YOU stated hams are "whining". If that was not your
> > intent, YOU need to re-read what you wrote, figure out why it was
> > interpreted that way, then correct your grammmatical and expressive
> > errors, Lloyd.
> >
> >> Same things goes for Mr Casey. Then again,
> >> nevermind. I forgot I was in the r.r.a.p. play area where wrong
is
> >> right, and right is wrong, or something like that.
> >
> > Nope..."right" is still "right" and "wrong" is still "wrong".
> > That's why people like Lennie, Brain, Mark Morgan, and, "ahem", YOU
get
> > your noses rubbed in your verbal run-off as often as you do.
> >
> >> LOL!
> >
> > Don't look now, Lloyd, but the laugh's on you.
> >
> > BTW...I deleted the cross post on the response...You'll
actually
> > have to leave rec.radio.cb in order to read it...Sorry.

> ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
>
> flushed

Uh huh...Your head, I assume?

Steve, K4YZ

2210. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 21:15:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109884507.733761.278850@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103725

robert casey wrote:

> N2EY wrote:

> > What if their minds *have* changed? Perhaps they have looked at the
> > arguments
> > provided by pro-code-test folks, and at the results of the
> > reduction/elimination
> > of code testing in the USA and other countries, and have concluded
that
> > Element 1 is no big deal. Maybe they've even concluded that it
does
> > serve a useful,
> > regulatory purpose!
>
> The FCC noted that there's been no noticeable increase in
> violations in HF since they let 5wpm'ers loose on it back
> in 2000. Trouble spots like 14.313 predate that by many
> years. So 13 or 20wpm doesn't serve a regulatory purpose, and
> the FCC isn't in the business of handing out "gold star"
> awards.

No, they're not.

But they ARE in the business of making sure that thier rules meet
the test of the enabling regulations.

Part 97.1 establishes the Basis and Purpose of the Amateur Radio
Service. The B&P continues to establish an expectation of self
training and communications skills that prepare the licensee to meet
the needs of the B & P.

So...Until Part 97 is altered per process otherwise, Morse Code is
still required for access to HF allocations.

And as Jim noted, so far, the overwhelming opinion of those who
have cared to express an opinion is "Morse Code skills are
needed"...Even if Lennie says they aren't...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2211. Amphenol PL-259s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 21:23:11 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1109884991.891669.142360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103726

Hi folks,

I just bought a batch of Amphenol PL-259 83-1SPs to assemble some jumpers. When did Amphenol shift from 'Made In USA' to 'Assembled In Mexico'? The whole reason for buying Amphenol was to:

A) Enjoy that Amphenol quality. (BTW, they don't even look the same. The dielectric has a cheap milky recycled look and the name and model nr. are no longer stamped into the sleeve ring.)

B) Support products 'Made In USA'.

Ok, this was my two minute rant. Tnx fer listening.

73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

2212. Re: Amphenol PL-259s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 22:07:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109887631.307787.32210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103727

Bert Craig wrote:
> Hi folks,
>
> I just bought a batch of Amphenol PL-259 83-1SPs to assemble some
> jumpers. When did Amphenol shift from 'Made In USA' to 'Assembled In
> Mexico'? The whole reason for buying Amphenol was to:
>
> A) Enjoy that Amphenol quality. (BTW, they don't even look the same.
> The dielectric has a cheap milky recycled look and the name and model
> nr. are no longer stamped into the sleeve ring.)
>
> B) Support products 'Made In USA'.
>
> Ok, this was my two minute rant. Tnx fer listening.

Ain't nuttin sacred anymore, Brother!

I expect at least one of my grandkids to be "Assembled (by a)
Mexi(can)" based on dating habits of the middle daughter. Oh well...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2213. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-03 23:41:35 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <RbydnYgzJ6YoPbrfRVn-ug@crocker.com>
Source record: 103729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

only 10k?? this guy been advertising 84kw mobile amps for years!
http://www.davemade.com/mobile.htm
(turn down your speakers before clicking above!)

i have heard cbers that must be using his stuff, s9 from 27085 up to 28550+
from half way across the country.

"M-Tech" <mechtechllc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:UbidnfhpkMUX9LvfRVn-2Q@comcast.com...
> yeah. I know all about it. It's a STORY just like you said. 40k a day
in
> sales....linears to 10k watts...BAAHAHAHAHAHAAHHAA.
>
> Don
> 313
>
> "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote in message
news:cvvh69$63t$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> > who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> > non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> > throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the law.
> > A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
> > since last year.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2214. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 00:51:42 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <wI2dnfRaoassLrrfRVn-sg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>>>>>>throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
>>>>>>law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
>>>>>>daily, since last year.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>>>>>
>>>>>Next.
>>>>
>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any good,
>>>>it will be clean.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
>>
>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a "clean"
>>signal.
>>
>
> Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.

"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.

2215. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 00:55:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <bOmdnZVQ7eKNL7rfRVn-jg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103730

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote
>>>>
>>>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>>Maybe PBS could do a special? Imagine if Ken Burns did a
>>>documentary on amateur radio...
>>
>>That could only be good. I've found the stuff done in the past > by
>
> Dave
>
>>Bell and Roy Neal to be lacking. A good historical narrative
>>leading to
>>the present and showing active hams doing what they do would be >
>
> nice,
>
>>especially if they leave out anyone wearing a tee-shirt or
>>ballcap except perhaps in a Field Day scene.
>>
>
> Joe Walsh and Patty Loveless....
>
>
>>>>>Perhaps. Also, the "Amateur Radio in the 21st Century" > > > >
>>
>>paper equated
>>
>>>>>passing the code test with winning the Tour de France
>>>>>or painting the Mona Lisa,
>>>>
>>>>Wow! now I feel really great about passing!!! ;^)
>>
>>I never got more of a buzz from passing a morse exam than I did >

>
> when
>
>>passing a tough chemistry exam. Ah, but passing the entire
>>exam for
>>obtaining a license opened a window to the world.
>
>
> Same here!
>
>
>>>Didja read that paper, and my rebuttal?
>>
>>No.
>
>
> "Amateur Radio In the 21st Century" can be viewed here
>
> http://gahleos.obarr.net/messages/0002.html
>
> A detailed rebuttal in three parts:
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/1f293
> 55163c4ed4e?dmode=source
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/a0bb6
> 7064e87e3d8?dmode=source
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/0fceb
> 52701a89334?dmode=source

Jim, you gotta give tinyurl a try. Just go to http://www.tinyurl.com,
and copy and and paste the long URL in the field provided, and it will
make a tiny url for ya! Then put that in the message. All free

- Mike KB3EIA -

2216. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 01:52:15 UTC
From: LT <guy@server.com>
Message-ID: <112ffq9i1dgev82@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 103732

de asini umbra disceptare: "to argue about the shadow of an ass."
What a waste of time!

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>
>> Oh you have to read "The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph and
>> its precursors." by Tom Standage
>> Describes Optical "telegraph" with panels and relays from hill to hill.
>> There were over a thousand in use all over Europe before the electric
>> telegraph
>> Today a vestige of those days is several "Telegraph Hills" scattered
>> over Europe.
>
>
>
> Thanks for the tip! I'll do that.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

2217. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 02:02:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050303210202.633$wn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> >>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> >>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> >>>>>>throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the
> >>>>>>law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> >>>>>>daily, since last year.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Next.
> >>>>
> >>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any
> >>>>good, it will be clean.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
> >>
> >>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
> >>"clean" signal.
> >>
> >
> > Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
>
> "Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.

>
I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".

Your need to have the last word is noted.

2218. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 02:46:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109904385.278476.223740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103734

LT wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > Caveat Lector wrote:
> >
> >> Oh you have to read "The Victorian Internet: History of telegraph
and
> >> its precursors." by Tom Standage
> >> Describes Optical "telegraph" with panels and relays from hill to
hill.
> >> There were over a thousand in use all over Europe before the
electric
> >> telegraph
> >> Today a vestige of those days is several "Telegraph Hills"
scattered
> >> over Europe.
> >
> >
> >
> > Thanks for the tip! I'll do that.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> de asini umbra disceptare: "to argue about the shadow of an ass."
> What a waste of time!

"Yomama slurpsdonkey dingdong"

English: Who cares what you find a waste of time?

Steve, K4YZ

2219. Re: Amphenol PL-259s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 02:48:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1109904480.355432.324350@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103735

Bert Craig wrote:
> Hi folks,
>
> I just bought a batch of Amphenol PL-259 83-1SPs to assemble some
> jumpers. When did Amphenol shift from 'Made In USA' to 'Assembled In
> Mexico'? The whole reason for buying Amphenol was to:
>
> A) Enjoy that Amphenol quality. (BTW, they don't even look the same.
> The dielectric has a cheap milky recycled look and the name and model
> nr. are no longer stamped into the sleeve ring.)
>
> B) Support products 'Made In USA'.
>
> Ok, this was my two minute rant. Tnx fer listening.

I dunno where they're made but the Wireman's silver-Teflon PL-259s (P/N
1103) are 'waaay better'n the Amphenols and they're only $1.50 a pop.

> 73 de Bert

w3rv

> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384
> QRP ARCI #11782

2220. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 03:06:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109905594.248889.251720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103736

K4YZ wrote:
> robert casey wrote:
>
> > N2EY wrote:
>
> > > What if their minds *have* changed? Perhaps they have looked at
the
> > > arguments
> > > provided by pro-code-test folks, and at the results of the
> > > reduction/elimination
> > > of code testing in the USA and other countries, and have
concluded
> that
> > > Element 1 is no big deal. Maybe they've even concluded that it
> *does*
> > > serve a useful,
> > > regulatory purpose!
> >
> > The FCC noted that there's been no noticeable increase in
> > violations in HF since they let 5wpm'ers loose on it back
> > in 2000. Trouble spots like 14.313 predate that by many
> > years. So 13 or 20wpm doesn't serve a regulatory purpose, and
> > the FCC isn't in the business of handing out "gold star"
> > awards.
>
> No, they're not.

Nor merit badges. The Amateur Radio Service is not the Boy Scouts.
The FCC is not BSA Headquarters.

> But they ARE in the business of making sure that thier rules
meet
> the test of the enabling regulations.

They should start with the "rule" requiring a Morse Code Exam at 5WPM
refer to another "rule" defining Morse Code and how to derive a 5WPM
rate. Then then need to explain how a 13-15WPM character rate can be
legal for a 5WPM exam. Or not.

> Part 97.1 establishes the Basis and Purpose of the Amateur Radio
> Service. The B&P continues to establish an expectation of self
> training and communications skills that prepare the licensee to meet
> the needs of the B & P.

The Basis and Purpose does not specify your favorite mode as the one,
true path to rightiousness.

> So...Until Part 97 is altered per process otherwise, Morse Code
is
> still required for access to HF allocations.

5WPM. Not the 13-15WPM exam currently administered by the ARRL and
W5YI VECs.

> And as Jim noted, so far, the overwhelming opinion of those who
> have cared to express an opinion is "Morse Code skills are
> needed"...Even if Lennie says they aren't...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Then Jim and his commenting cronies march to the beat of a different
drummer. The ARRL's scientific survey of 1998 said that there was no
clear concensus. Since then the ITU has eliminated the requirement for
a Morse Code Exam for HF access.

2221. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 03:09:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109905795.428270.77490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103737

K4YZ wrote:
> LT wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > Caveat Lector wrote:
> > >
> > >> Oh you have to read "The Victorian Internet: History of
telegraph
> and
> > >> its precursors." by Tom Standage
> > >> Describes Optical "telegraph" with panels and relays from hill
to
> hill.
> > >> There were over a thousand in use all over Europe before the
> electric
> > >> telegraph
> > >> Today a vestige of those days is several "Telegraph Hills"
> scattered
> > >> over Europe.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Thanks for the tip! I'll do that.
> > >
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > de asini umbra disceptare: "to argue about the shadow of an ass."
> > What a waste of time!
>
> "Yomama slurpsdonkey dingdong"

Did Rev. Jim approve of this message?

> English: Who cares what you find a waste of time?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

More Extra Class civil discourse? Ayup.

2222. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 03:22:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109906553.978108.325610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103738

K4YZ wrote:
> robert casey wrote:

> > N2EY wrote:

> > > What if their minds *have* changed? Perhaps they have
> > > looked at the
> > > arguments
> > > provided by pro-code-test folks, and at the results of the
> > > reduction/elimination
> > > of code testing in the USA and other countries, and have
> > > concluded that
> > > Element 1 is no big deal. Maybe they've even concluded
> > > that it
> > > *does*
> > > serve a useful,
> > > regulatory purpose!
> >
> > The FCC noted that there's been no noticeable increase in
> > violations in HF since they let 5wpm'ers loose on it back
> > in 2000.

Where and when did the FCC note that?

There have been 5 wpm hams with General, Advanced and Extra class
licenses since 1990 (medical waivers).

> > Trouble spots like 14.313 predate that by many
> > years.

And for many of those years, FCC did very little enforcement
on the ham bands. Those problems were allowed to exist for
years without FCC doing much of anything, despite
complaints.

> > So 13 or 20wpm doesn't serve a regulatory purpose,

What mode are those folks on 14.313 using? Hint: It's not
Morse Code!

Recently an overzealous ham sending code practice 24/7
was the subject of an FCC enforcement action. Guy was
sending Bible verses (which isn't a rule violation) but
didn't answer FCC letters about his method of station
control (which is).

You've got to go back years and years to find another
enforcement action of similar magnitude against a
ham using Morse Code. Compare that to enforcement
actions against hams using voice modes.

> > and
> > the FCC isn't in the business of handing out "gold star"
> > awards.

20 wpm is hardly "gold star" performance.

And if that is the case - would you support dumping the General and
Extra class licenses, and giving all privs to everyone with a Tech or
higher?
>
> No, they're not.
>
> But they ARE in the business of making sure that thier
> rules meet the test of the enabling regulations.
>
> Part 97.1 establishes the Basis and Purpose of the Amateur Radio
> Service. The B&P continues to establish an expectation of self
> training and communications skills that prepare the licensee to meet
> the needs of the B & P.
>
All of which are interpretations and opinions.

>So...Until Part 97 is altered per process otherwise, Morse Code is
> still required for access to HF allocations.
>
> And as Jim noted, so far, the overwhelming opinion of those who
> have cared to express an opinion is "Morse Code skills are
> needed"

I didn't say "overwhelming opinion". I said "majority opinion". *BIG*
difference.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2223. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 03:23:00 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <PJydnewPcKfuR7rfRVn-oA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:

> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>>>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>>>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>>>>>>>>throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the
>>>>>>>>law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
>>>>>>>>daily, since last year.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Next.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any
>>>>>>good, it will be clean.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>

>>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
>>>>
>>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
>>>>"clean" signal.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
>>
>>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
>>
>
> I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
>
> Your need to have the last word is noted.

Don't need an amp "steveieboy", 100 watts with the KWM-2, a good antenna, coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone I can hear. Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.

2224. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 03:33:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109907181.879831.317200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103739

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LT wrote:
> >
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > Caveat Lector wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> Oh you have to read "The Victorian Internet: History of
> telegraph
> > and
> > > >> its precursors." by Tom Standage
> > > >> Describes Optical "telegraph" with panels and relays from hill
> to
> > hill.
> > > >> There were over a thousand in use all over Europe before the
> > electric
> > > >> telegraph
> > > >> Today a vestige of those days is several "Telegraph Hills"
> > scattered
> > > >> over Europe.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Thanks for the tip! I'll do that.
> > > >
> > > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > > de asini umbra disceptare: "to argue about the shadow of an ass."
> > > What a waste of time!
> >
> > "Yomama slurpsdonkey dingdong"
>
> Did Rev. Jim approve of this message?

Who's "Rev. Jim"...???

> > English: Who cares what you find a waste of time?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> More Extra Class civil discourse? Ayup.

As opposed your blatant lying and deceit, Brian Burke?

Ayup.

Steve, K4YZ

2225. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 03:33:25 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9GQVd.732$603.207@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103740

>
>
>>>So 13 or 20wpm doesn't serve a regulatory purpose,
>
>
> What mode are those folks on 14.313 using? Hint: It's not
> Morse Code!

Yes, but everyone there had to take (or be waived) a 13wpm code
test.
>

2226. Re: Unbiased, analytical, evaluation of David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 03:37:37 UTC
From: Lloyd A Davies <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1109907457.365229.306800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103741

I think that about describes about a dozen posters in this group!

LOL!

Lloyd Davies, Supreme TimeLORD
Talk Show Host "On the Domestic Front"
Nim Buster of the year - 2004
http://www.network54.com/Forum/391414
http://www.halturnershow.com/

2227. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 03:42:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109907732.852645.30810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103742

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Recently an overzealous ham sending code practice 24/7
> was the subject of an FCC enforcement action. Guy was
> sending Bible verses (which isn't a rule violation) but
> didn't answer FCC letters about his method of station
> control (which is).

It -is- a rules violation if he is sending the bible verses to no one
in particular, thus the FCC letter.

§97.113 Prohibited transmissions.

(b) An amateur station shall not engage in any form of broadcasting,
nor may an amateur station transmit one-way communications except as
specifically provided in these rules; nor shall an amateur station
engage in any activity related to program production or news gathering
for broadcasting purposes, except that communications directly related
to the immediate safety of human life or the protection of property may
be provided by amateur stations to broadcasters for dissemination to
the public where no other means of communication is reasonably
available before or at the time of the event.

2228. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 03:48:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109908105.925184.291450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103743

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LT wrote:
> > >
> > > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > > Caveat Lector wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >> Oh you have to read "The Victorian Internet: History of
> > telegraph
> > > and
> > > > >> its precursors." by Tom Standage
> > > > >> Describes Optical "telegraph" with panels and relays from
hill
> > to
> > > > hill.
> > > > >> There were over a thousand in use all over Europe before the
> > > electric
> > > > >> telegraph
> > > > >> Today a vestige of those days is several "Telegraph Hills"
> > > scattered
> > > > >> over Europe.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks for the tip! I'll do that.
> > > > >
> > > > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > > >
> > > > de asini umbra disceptare: "to argue about the shadow of an
ass."
> > > > What a waste of time!
> > >
> > > "Yomama slurpsdonkey dingdong"
> >
> > Did Rev. Jim approve of this message?
>
> Who's "Rev. Jim"...???
>
> > > English: Who cares what you find a waste of time?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > More Extra Class civil discourse? Ayup.

>
> As opposed your blatant lying and deceit, Brian Burke?
>
> Ayup.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yet it is you who is lying and being deceitful.

How do you know that LT's "mama slurpsdonkey dingdong?"

Just more robeson lying and deceit, and, of course, party approved
Extra Class civil discourse.

2229. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 04:01:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050303230105.567$EQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> >>>>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> >>>>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have
> >>>>>>>>been throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole
> >>>>>>>>in the law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000
> >>>>>>>>in sales daily, since last year.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Next.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any
> >>>>>>good, it will be clean.
> >>>>>>

> >>>>>
> >>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
> >>>>
> >>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
> >>>>"clean" signal.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
> >>
> >>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
> >>
> >
> > I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
> >
> > Your need to have the last word is noted.
>
> Don't need an amp "steveieboy",
>
What are you a commander of besides the United Planets Space Patrol, Buzz?
>
> 100 watts with the KWM-2,
>
I run S line.
>
> a good antenna
>
No shit?
>
> coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone
> I can hear.
>
What makes you think I can't, space patrol boi?
>
> Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is
> to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
>
Nice projection, I rarely use to 30L-1. What's your call?

2230. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 04:04:40 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Z5KdncYS5ePkQ7rfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 103746

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns960B8511E2092elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1109613195.980821.319080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>

[snip]

>> It's the classic case of a red herring diversion. Blame the code test
>> for everyhting bad while the real problems are not addressed.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>
>
> It depends what you mean. Will repealing the code test provide a vast
> increase in numbers? No. Will it provide some increase? Yes.

I'd say that's more like a maybe rather than a yes.

> Are there
> thousands of hams that could pass the General or Extra theory trapped
> above
> 30 MHz? Yes.

There are no hams "trapped above 30Mhz.

> Will there be a large increase in HF use? Yes.

That is also a maybe. If the code is dropped this year, many will buy the
rigs and try it but may be sadly disappointed in the results since we are in
the trough of the sunspot cycle and results are so often poor right now.
They may not stick with it until conditions improve since they won't have
the skills to participate in the second most used mode of shortwave
communications.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2231. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 04:21:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <7tSdndoMTNz6f7rfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 103747

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1109706299.033324.211320@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>

[snip]

> Why? Keeping the closed-out license classes costs them little or
> nothing. Tech Plus will disappear in a little more than 5 years, as the
> last Tech Plus is renewed as Tech. The other two closed-out classes are
> slowly dropping, yet may last a lot longer because of renewals.
>
> Maybe I'll write a proposal...
>

Please don't! There's too many proposals now!

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2232. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 04:38:32 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3oednTKyCb75e7rfRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 103748

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns960D857FC4F7elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109760226.362991.253290
> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>

[snip]

>
> Making a requirement optional is indistinguishable from abolishing it.
> It's
> just a different form of words used to keep some countries happy.
>

Abolishing the requirement would have meant that all countries would have
had to drop code testing. That is not what the ITU did.

[snip]

>
> I think they have beleived that since the '70s, but have hung onto the
> code
> test under pressure from some hams, including the League. The question is
> not whether their minds have changed (I beleive they haven't) but whether
> they beleive they can get rid of the pesky code test without upsetting too
> many hams. At this point in time I think they can, but it depends on one's
> definition of 'too many'.
>

In what ways is the code test a nuisance to the FCC?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2233. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 04:43:11 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Y6ednVjnj83herrfRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 103749

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns960D894118E42elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
> news:d04m7m$10nk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu:
>
>
[snip]

> It's not particurly difficult, but I can see no need to continue the
> closed
> classes. All those who would get a 'free upgrade' have held their licences
> for some time, so I foresee no impact whatsoever from eliminating those
> licences and upgrading them.
>
> Alun N3KIP

Why not simply cancel their licenses unless they take the upgrade exam by a certain date? It gets rid of the closed classes yet gives no one a freebie. Those who are active or care about their license but are inactive due to circumstances in their lives currently will upgrade. Those who don't care won't be any great loss. Let's shake the dead wood out of the tree and find out how many hams we really do have.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2234. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 04:59:43 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <PJWdnb9ME9fGdrrfRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 103800

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1109842103.062893.136510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

[snip]

>IMHO the attempt by Hollingsworth to scare me out of
> doing my information is an atempt to supress my first amaendment right.
>

You STILL haven't told us what you were doing and what Hollingsworth said about it. Freedom of speech has NEVER meant that you can say anything you want, whenever you want, where ever you want, and however you want.

Before anyone can agree with you, they need the precise data to evaluate. The continued lack of data would tend to imply that you are aware that you are in the wrong.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2235. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 05:10:36 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_fedndBfA7l0cLrfRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 103801

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1109905594.248889.251720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> 5WPM. Not the 13-15WPM exam currently administered by the ARRL and
> W5YI VECs.
>

There are only 5 words sent in each minute of time. There are no tests
being administered at this time in the ARS where more than 5 words are sent
in one minutes time.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2236. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 05:17:47 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <%bSVd.813$603.628@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103802

. At this point in time I think they can, but it depends on one's
>>definition of 'too many'.
>>
>
>
> In what ways is the code test a nuisance to the FCC?
>

Used to be the waivers for 13wpm, but that's no longer.
And in another sense, as VEs do all the testing, any of
the tests are of little nuisance to the FCC. Every so
often some VEs cheat, but that's another issue.

As for what knowledge should be tested for, as we are
allowed to modify/build/hack our equipment we should know
how to determine performance of our transmitters and such
so we don't splatter/trash the RF spectrum. Also TVI issues.
Also need to know safety issues, high voltage and RF.
Rules and regs, what constitutes deliberate interference
and what is just life on a crowded band. That ham radio is mostly
2 way comms (no broadcasting, no music). 3rd party rules
and such for HF access licenses.

Heard it said that the FCC finds that hams are for the most
part well behaved and don't require much enforcement actions
compared to other services.

2237. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 05:46:09 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <8IOdnVbX0YWnY7rfRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>>>>>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>>>>>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have
>>>>>>>>>>been throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole
>>>>>>>>>>in the law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000

>>>>>>>>>>in sales daily, since last year.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Next.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Just what does "reasonableу clean" mean? If the equipment is any
>>>>>>>>good, it will be clean.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
>>>>>>"clean" signal.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
>>>>
>>>>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
>>>>
>>>
>>>I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
>>>
>>>Your need to have the last word is noted.
>>
>>Don't need an amp "steveieboy",
>>
>
> What are you a commander of besides the United Planets Space Patrol, Buzz?

I'm very busy protecting the galaxy from my home planet of Terra.

>
>>100 watts with the KWM-2,

Rack mounted next to the command module in the space ship.
>>
>
> I run S line.

Give you credit for knowing top-of-the line equipment. Too many of
todays ops wouldn't know an S-Line from a Bee-line. Maybe we can skip
your brainograph scan.

>
>>a good antenna
>>

>
> No shit?

A quarter-light-year long wire.
>
>>coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone
>>I can hear.
>>
>
> What makes you think I can't, space patrol boi?

Have you talked to the Kanarkans on the planet Kanarkia? I have.
>
>>Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is
>>to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
>>
>
> Nice projection, I rarely use to 30L-1. What's your call?

That is classified information known only to the Galatic Federation on a
need-to-know basis.

2238. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 05:58:16 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <YNSVd.828$603.707@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103803

>>
>>5WPM. Not the 13-15WPM exam currently administered by the ARRL and
>>W5YI VECs.
>>
>
>
> There are only 5 words sent in each minute of time. There are no tests
> being administered at this time in the ARS where more than 5 words are sent
> in one minutes time.
>

Farnsworth method. 13wpm characters sent at 5wpm spacing.
Supposedly you start newbies like this and soon they can
handle faster code than if you used slow 5wpm characters to start.

2239. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 06:21:46 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109917306.756304.238670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> >
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Steveo wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>>Steveo wrote:
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the
interstate
> > >>>>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB
amps. and
> > >>>>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams
have
> > >>>>>>>>been throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some
loophole
> > >>>>>>>>in the law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least
$40,000
> > >>>>>>>>in sales daily, since last year.
> > >>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.

> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>Next.
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is
any
> > >>>>>>good, it will be clean.
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
> > >>>>
> > >>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce
a
> > >>>>"clean" signal.
> > >>>>
> > >>>
> > >>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
> > >>
> > >>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
> > >>
> > >>
> > > I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
> > >
> > > Your need to have the last word is noted.
> >
> > Don't need an amp "steveieboy",
> >
> What are you a commander of besides the United Planets Space Patrol,
Buzz?
> >
> > 100 watts with the KWM-2,
> >
> I run S line.
> >
> > a good antenna
> >
> No shit?
> >
> > coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone
> > I can hear.
> >
> What makes you think I can't, space patrol boi?
> >
> > Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is
> > to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
> >
> Nice projection, I rarely use to 30L-1. What's your call?

what'sa your call steveo? some made up freeband thing like alpha bravo
unit 32? LOL

2240. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 10:29:36 UTC
From: Ray Norshine <raynorshine@overcast.comm>
Message-ID: <kMWVd.4818$sN1.4432@fe03.lga>
Source record: 103806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20050303230105.567$EQ@newsreader.com:

> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>
>> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Steveo wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>Steveo wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the
>> >>>>>>>>interstate who has been openly advertising and selling
>> >>>>>>>>imported CB amps. and non type-approved foreign transceivers?
>> >>>>>>>> The local hams have been throwing fits because they guy is
>> >>>>>>>>explointing some loophole in the law. A KC ham says the guy
>> >>>>>>>>must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily, since last
>> >>>>>>>>year.
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>Next.

>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is
>> >>>>>>any good, it will be clean.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
>> >>>>"clean" signal.
>> >>>>
>> >>>
>> >>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
>> >>
>> >>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
>> >>
>> >
>> > I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
>> >
>> > Your need to have the last word is noted.
>>
>> Don't need an amp "steveieboy",
>>
> What are you a commander of besides the United Planets Space Patrol,
> Buzz?
>>
>> 100 watts with the KWM-2,
>>
> I run S line.
>>
>> a good antenna
>>
> No shit?
>>
>> coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone
>> I can hear.
>>
> What makes you think I can't, space patrol boi?
>>
>> Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is
>> to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
>>
> Nice projection, I rarely use to 30L-1. What's your call?

JJ doesn't want anyone on usenet to know his call.

2241. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 10:30:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109932234.740986.135970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103807

robert casey wrote:
> . At this point in time I think they can, but it depends on
> >one's
> >>definition of 'too many'.

> > In what ways is the code test a nuisance to the FCC?
>
> Used to be the waivers for 13wpm, but that's no longer.

How were they a nuisance?

> And in another sense, as VEs do all the testing, any of
> the tests are of little nuisance to the FCC. Every so
> often some VEs cheat, but that's another issue.

Exactly! All FCC does is approve new questions for the pools.
VEs and QPC do the grunt work.

> As for what knowledge should be tested for, as we are
> allowed to modify/build/hack our equipment we should know
> how to determine performance of our transmitters and such
> so we don't splatter/trash the RF spectrum.
> Also TVI issues.
> Also need to know safety issues, high voltage and RF.
> Rules and regs, what constitutes deliberate interference
> and what is just life on a crowded band. That ham radio is
> stly
> 2 way comms (no broadcasting, no music). 3rd party rules
> and such for HF access licenses.

All of that is tested for in the Technician exam, isn't it?
Techs have all amateur radio operating privileges above 30 MHz,
so all that stuff must, by definition, be contained in the
35 question Technician written test. Even some HF stuff must
be in the Tech test because Techs who pass or have passed
Element 1 get some HF privileges.

In fact, FCC *decreased* the written exam for Tech back in 2000 by
about 46%.

> Heard it said that the FCC finds that hams are for the most
> part well behaved and don't require much enforcement actions
> compared to other services.

Probably true - because hams tend to follow the rules even if no one is
watching. A big part of that is tradition and the 'culture of values'
in amateur radio, IMHO.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2242. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 11:26:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109935616.713945.30960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103808

```
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > LT wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > > > Caveat Lector wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> Oh you have to read "The Victorian Internet: History of
> > telegraph
> > > > and
> > > > > >> its precursors." by Tom Standage
> > > > > >> Describes Optical "telegraph" with panels and relays from
> hill
> > > to
> > > > hill.
> > > > > >> There were over a thousand in use all over Europe before
the
> > > > electric
> > > > > >> telegraph
> > > > > >> Today a vestige of those days is several "Telegraph Hills"
> > > > scattered
> > > > > >> over Europe.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thanks for the tip! I'll do that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > > > >
> > > > > de asini umbra disceptare: "to argue about the shadow of an
> ass."
> > > > > What a waste of time!
> > > >
> > > > "Yomama slurpsdonkey dingdong"
> > >
> > > Did Rev. Jim approve of this message?
> >
> > Who's "Rev. Jim"...???
> >
> > > > English: Who cares what you find a waste of time?
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
```

> > >
> > > More Extra Class civil discourse? Ayup.
> >
> > As opposed your blatant lying and deceit, Brian Burke?
> >
> > Ayup.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Yet it is you who is lying and being deceitful.
>
> How do you know that LT's "mama slurpsdonkey dingdong?"
>
> Just more robeson lying and deceit, and, of course, party approved
> Extra Class civil discourse.

The same way you "know" that some guy named "Rev. Jim" "approves"
messages.

Brian P Burke (billybeeper@juno.com, brianburke@juno.com) is a
known chronic liar.

Reader beware.

Steve, K4YZ

2243. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 12:06:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050304070637.301$12@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > Nice projection, I rarely use the 30L-1. What's your call?
>
> That is classified information known only to the Galatic Federation on a
> need-to-know basis.
>
Translation: Another anonymous no code walkie talkie operator that wants
to remain that way.
```

2244. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 12:09:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050304070951.177$uu@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Ray Norshine <raynorshine@overcast.comm> wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050303230105.567$EQ@newsreader.com:
>
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>Steveo wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the
> >> >>>>>>>interstate who has been openly advertising and selling
> >> >>>>>>>imported CB amps. and non type-approved foreign transceivers?
> >> >>>>>>> The local hams have been throwing fits because they guy is
> >> >>>>>>>explointing some loophole in the law. A KC ham says the guy
> >> >>>>>>>must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily, since last
> >> >>>>>>>year.
> >> >>>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.

> >> >>>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>>Next.
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is
> >> >>>>>>any good, it will be clean.
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
> >> >>>>"clean" signal.
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
> >> >>
> >> >>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
> >> >
> >> > Your need to have the last word is noted.
> >>
> >> Don't need an amp "steveieboy",
> >>
> > What are you a commander of besides the United Planets Space Patrol,
> > Buzz?
> >>
> >> 100 watts with the KWM-2,
> >>
> > I run S line.
> >>
> >> a good antenna
> >>
> > No shit?
> >>
> >> coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone
> >> I can hear.
> >>
> > What makes you think I can't, space patrol boi?
> >>
> >> Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is
> >> to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
> >>
> > Nice projection, I rarely use to 30L-1. What's your call?
>
> JJ doesn't want anyone on usenet the know his call.
>
Touch&#65533;! I should have guessed that was JJ the nym shifter. He won't
even use a valid email addy.

Thanks, Ray.

2245. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 12:44:43 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <%KYVd.30561$Ze3.19651@attbi_s51>
Source record: 103811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
news:PJydnewPcKfuR7rfRVn-oA@gbronline.com...

> . . . Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only
> solution is to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
>

Since "citizen band freebanders" would be an oxymoron (freebanders usually
operate out of the citizens band), I must assume your "cb" refers to
"criminal boys" - or something worse for the "b" letter.

2246. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 12:54:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050304075453.157$9I@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
> news:PJydnewPcKfuR7rfRVn-oA@gbronline.com...
>
> > . . . Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only
> > solution is to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
> >
>
> Since "citizen band freebanders" would be an oxymoron (freebanders
> usually operate out of the citizens band), I must assume your "cb" refers
> to "criminal boys" - or something worse for the "b" letter.
>
That is classified information known only to the Galatic Federation on a
need-to-know basis.

2247. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 13:15:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109942132.227717.223110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103813

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >
> >>>Didja read that paper, and my rebuttal?
> >>
> >>No.
> >>
> >>
> > "Amateur Radio In the 21st Century" can be viewed here
> >
> > http://gahleos.obarr.net/messages/0002.html
> >
> > A detailed rebuttal in three parts:
> >
> >
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/1f293
> > 55163c4ed4e?dmode=source
> >
> >
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/a0bb6
> > 7064e87e3d8?dmode=source
> >
> >
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/0fceb
> > 52701a89334?dmode=source
>
> Jim, you gotta give tinyurl a try. Just go to http://www.tinyurl.com,

> and copy and and paste the long URL in the field provided, and it
will
> make a tiny url for ya! Then put that in the message. All free

Let's try this again:

"Amateur Radio In the 21st Century" can be viewed here:

http://gahleos.obarr.net/messages/0002.html

Or here:

http://tinyurl.com/695p6

My detailed rebuttal in three parts can be viewed too.

Part 1 is here:

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/1f29355163c4ed4e?dmode=source

Or here:

http://tinyurl.com/6385t

Part 2 is here:

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/a0bb67064e87e3d8?dmode=source

Or here:

http://tinyurl.com/4uvd5

Part 3 is here:

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/0fceb52701a89334?dmode=source

Or here:

http://tinyurl.com/4kxah

See what these folks think is needed for the future of amateur radio.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2248. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 17:31:59 UTC
From: GEORGE BUSCH <WA3MOJ@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <po6h211sc14v2n7k0sk54vph1lt0hh7kr9@4ax.com>
Source record: 103814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 3 Mar 2005 08:56:01 -0500, "Lloyd"
<lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net> wrote:

>Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
>found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
>type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the protection
>of a liberal activist judge who is protecting the rights of undocumented
>alien employees. Typical of hams, they prefer to whine in various
>forums and on the air, rather than writing a letters to their senators and
>voicing their objections about the obstructionist liberal Democrats in the
>U.S. Senate who are blocking Bush's court nominees with threatened
>filibusters. The same liberal activist judge is allowing other area dealers
>to sell previously illegal CB equipment as well, as long as there are no
>references to God in the stores or on the equipment.
>
>73,
>
>Lloyd
>
>
What's wrong with liberal Domocrats? If not for
them i would have tp actually pay for my own meds
and health care.

WA3MOJ@AOL.COM

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2249. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 17:37:21 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960F7FDC56DBCelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103815

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:3oednTKyCb75e7rfRVn-pA@comcast.com:

>
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns960D857FC4F7elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1109760226.362991.253290
>> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>>
>
> [snip]
>
>>
>> Making a requirement optional is indistinguishable from abolishing it.
>> It's
>> just a different form of words used to keep some countries happy.
>>
>
> Abolishing the requirement would have meant that all countries would
> have had to drop code testing. That is not what the ITU did.
>

Not so. Abolition of a requirement doesn't stop any member state from
retaining it, so I repeat, there is no difference between abolishing a
requirement and making it optional. An optional requirement is not a
requirement, and thence a nullity.

> [snip]
>
>>
>> I think they have beleived that since the '70s, but have hung onto the
>> code test under pressure from some hams, including the League. The
>> question is not whether their minds have changed (I beleive they
>> haven't) but whether they beleive they can get rid of the pesky code
>> test without upsetting too many hams. At this point in time I think
>> they can, but it depends on one's definition of 'too many'.
>>
>
> In what ways is the code test a nuisance to the FCC?
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

2250. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 17:41:53 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns960F80A174309elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103816

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:Y6ednVjnj83herrfRVn-oA@comcast.com:

>
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns960D894118E42elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>> Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
>> news:d04m7m$10nk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu:
>>
>>
> [snip]
>
>> It's not particurly difficult, but I can see no need to continue the
>> closed classes. All those who would get a 'free upgrade' have held
>> their licences for some time, so I foresee no impact whatsoever from
>> eliminating those licences and upgrading them.
>>
>> Alun N3KIP
>
> Why not simply cancel their licenses unless they take the upgrade exam
> by a certain date? It gets rid of the closed classes yet gives no one
> a freebie. Those who are active or care about their license but are
> inactive due to circumstances in their lives currently will upgrade.
> Those who don't care won't be any great loss. Let's shake the dead
> wood out of the tree and find out how many hams we really do have.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
>

Cancelling is a bit harsh. Maybe they could be downgraded at the next
renewal after say three years notice up front. Of course, for Novices that
would mean cancellation, but I seriously doubt whether there are any active
Novices?

73 de Alun, N3KIP

2251. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 17:53:23 UTC
From: GEORGE BUSCH <WA3MOJ@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <n18h21tkctbp4a3bko5i340lsgs06jvect@4ax.com>
Source record: 103817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

WA3MOJ@AOL.COM

On 3 Mar 2005 22:21:46 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
<iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Steveo wrote:
>> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> > Steveo wrote:
>> >
>> > > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> > >
>> > >>Steveo wrote:
>> > >>
>> > >>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> > >>>
>> > >>>
>> > >>>>Steveo wrote:
>> > >>>>
>> > >>>>
>> > >>>>
>> > >>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> > >>>>>
>> > >>>>>
>> > >>>>>
>> > >>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>> > >>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>> > >>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the
>interstate
>> > >>>>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB
>amps. and
>> > >>>>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams
>have
>> > >>>>>>>>been throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some
>loophole
>> > >>>>>>>>in the law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least
>$40,000

>> > >>>>>>>>in sales daily, since last year.
>> > >>>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>> > >>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>Next.
>> > >>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is
>any
>> > >>>>>>good, it will be clean.
>> > >>>>>>
>> > >>>>>
>> > >>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
>> > >>>>
>> > >>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce
>a
>> > >>>>"clean" signal.
>> > >>>>
>> > >>>
>> > >>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
>> > >>
>> > >>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
>> > >>
>> > >
>> > > I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
>> > >
>> > > Your need to have the last word is noted.
>> >
>> > Don't need an amp "steveieboy",
>> >
>> What are you a commander of besides the United Planets Space Patrol,
>Buzz?
>> >
>> > 100 watts with the KWM-2,
>> >
>> I run S line.
>> >
>> > a good antenna
>> >
>> No shit?
>> >
>> > coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone
>> > I can hear.
>> >
>> What makes you think I can't, space patrol boi?
>> >
>> > Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is
>> > to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
>> >
>> Nice projection, I rarely use to 30L-1. What's your call?

>
>what'sa your call steveo? some made up freeband thing like alpha bravo
>unit 32? LOL
>
I know I hate these fucking pinheads that won't
post their call sign.......oh....what's your call?

WA3MOJ@AOL.COM

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2252. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 18:05:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1109959511.026342.43920@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103818

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns960D894118E42elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> > Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
> > news:d04m7m$10nk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu:

> [snip]
>
> > It's not particurly difficult, but I can see no need to continue
the
> > closed
> > classes. All those who would get a 'free upgrade' have held their
licences
> > for some time, so I foresee no impact whatsoever from eliminating
those
> > licences and upgrading them.
> >
> > Alun N3KIP
>
> Why not simply cancel their licenses unless they take the upgrade
exam by a
> certain date?

Like the old Novice..

> It gets rid of the closed classes yet gives no one a freebie.
> Those who are active or care about their license but are inactive due
to
> circumstances in their lives currently will upgrade.

I still remember the screaming from 1968 when "incentive licensing"
went back into effect. What you propose would be worse.

>Those who don't care
> won't be any great loss.

There's also the group who don't know. It's almost 5 years since
restructuring and I still read/hear questions from hams about what the
license structure and test requirements are, particularly from inactive
or narrow-focused hams.

> Let's shake the dead wood out of the tree and find
> out how many hams we really do have.

What good would that really do, Dee? If nothing else, it would give
folks like the BPL companies ammunition against us.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2253. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 19:07:05 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <XvydnVfbX-HHL7XfRVn-hQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>
>>>Nice projection, I rarely use the 30L-1. What's your call?
>>
>>That is classified information known only to the Galatic Federation on a
>>need-to-know basis.
>>
>
> Translation: Another anonymous no code walkie talkie operator that wants
> to remain that way.

Took my code test a long time ago, in front of an FCC examiner.

2254. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 19:07:56 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <XvydnVbbX-EPL7XfRVn-hQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

King Zulu wrote:

> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
> news:PJydnewPcKfuR7rfRVn-oA@gbronline.com...
>
>
>> . . . Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only
>>solution is to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
>>
>
>
> Since "citizen band freebanders" would be an oxymoron (freebanders usually
> operate out of the citizens band), I must assume your "cb" refers to
> "criminal boys" - or something worse for the "b" letter.
>
>

You're catching on.

2255. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 19:44:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <4p6dncz9J-kVJ7XfRVn-jA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103821

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns960B8511E2092elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>>news:1109613195.980821.319080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>>
>
>
> [snip]
>
>
>>>It's the classic case of a red herring diversion. Blame the code test
>>>for everyhting bad while the real problems are not addressed.
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>>
>>
>>It depends what you mean. Will repealing the code test provide a vast
>>increase in numbers? No. Will it provide some increase? Yes.
>
>
> I'd say that's more like a maybe rather than a yes.
>
>
>>Are there
>>thousands of hams that could pass the General or Extra theory trapped
>>above
>>30 MHz? Yes.
>
>
> There are no hams "trapped above 30Mhz.
>
>
>>Will there be a large increase in HF use? Yes.
>
>
> That is also a maybe. If the code is dropped this year, many will buy the
> rigs and try it but may be sadly disappointed in the results since we are in
> the trough of the sunspot cycle and results are so often poor right now.
> They may not stick with it until conditions improve since they won't have
> the skills to participate in the second most used mode of shortwave
> communications.

Wow, good point, Dee! When I first got my license, the band conditions were very good on average. The "work the world on a wet string" days. Now 20 meters is usually half dead around 7 p.m. and the broadcasters are starting to flood 40 meters. So a new HF'er is going to wonder just exactly what those new privileges are worth. As the sunspot cycle winds down, the conditions are only going to get worse. 80 meters will be a crowed pool for the next few years.

Personally I think that anyone who is feels trapped by having to learn Morse code will feel equally or more trapped when they discover what they have to do to get a good signal out on 80/75 meters!

Maybe they can get the F.C.C. to repeal those stupid propagation conditions! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2256. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 19:54:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109966069.562503.247120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103822

On Thurs, Mar 3 2005 11:04 pm, "Dee Flint" (calmed down from a previous hissy fit) jumped into a so-called conversation and shouted out:

>"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:Xns960B8511E2092elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> news:1109613195.980821.319080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
>[snip]
>
>>> It's the classic case of a red herring diversion. Blame the code test
>>> for everyhting bad while the real problems are not addressed.
>>>
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> It depends what you mean. Will repealing the code test provide a vast
>> increase in numbers? No. Will it provide some increase? Yes.
>
>I'd say that's more like a maybe rather than a yes.

What? You don't KNOW? All PCTAs KNOW things EXACTLY!

:-)

>> Are there
>> thousands of hams that could pass the General or Extra theory trapped
>> above 30 MHz? Yes.
>
>There are no hams "trapped above 30Mhz.

Riiiiight, Mama Dee. Nobody is trapped. No-coders are NOBODIES.
:-)

>> Will there be a large increase in HF use? Yes.
>
>That is also a maybe. If the code is dropped this year, many will buy the
>rigs and try it but may be sadly disappointed in the results since we are in
>the trough of the sunspot cycle and results are so often poor right now.

Sorry, Dee, you can't be right unless you give the EXACT number.
"No one will believe you if you don't give us the exact data." :-)

Riiiight, Dee, the "only" purpose of HF is "to work DX with CW."
:-)

>They may not stick with it until conditions improve since they won't
have
>the skills to participate in the second most used mode of shortwave
>communications.

Wow, all that struggle just to be "second best!" Such "incentive!"

Gots to "work DX on HF with CW." On "shortwave!"

BTW, the rest of the radio world uses the term "shortwave" to refer
to small wavelengths measured in centimeters. It's in all the trade
magazines. Oops, I forgot. Real hams don't read industry trades.
The only approved publications about radio come from the ARRL.

Work, struggle with all one's might to become "second best." :-)
Mediocre is the word. Or, to keep the "CW" bandplan open as an
olde-tymer's playground/sandbox to keep their righteousnesses
happy. "Real" radio operators do "CW." All important, vital,
necessities, righteous. Get and keep federal LAW to TEST all
hobbyists operating below 30 MHz or the sky will fall!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2257. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 19:56:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109966210.877705.224360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103823

Dee Flint had a hissy fit on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 11:59 pm and hastily scribbled the
following diatribe:

>"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>news:1109842103.062893.136510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>[snip]
>
>>IMHO the attempt by Hollingsworth to scare me out of
>> doing my information is an atempt to supress my first amaendment
right.
>>
>You STILL haven't told us what you were doing and what Hollingsworth
said
>about it. Freedom of speech has NEVER meant that you can say anything
you
>want, whenever you want, where ever you want, and however you want.

Except in HERE... :-)

>Before anyone can agree with you, they need the precise data to
evaluate.
>The continued lack of data would tend to imply that you are aware that
you
>are in the wrong.

All must agree (wholeheartedly, down to the core of their being)
with
traditionalist conservative standards and practices of the 1930s as
preached by the Church of St. Hiram or they are WRONG, WRONG,
WRONG!!! The Church can say anything but all who speak against
their religion are heretics who should be burned at the stake!

Ho hum...all the usual pseudo-religious harangues by the PCTAs
with their usual self-righteousness. :-)

Lots of that false idol worship going on in here...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2258. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 20:00:23 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109966423.734471.272100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103824

Brian Burke wrote on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 7:06 pm concerning the Avenging Angle's further adventures in "waste of time":

>K4YZ wrote:
>> robert casey wrote:
>> > N2EY wrote:
>>
>> > > What if their minds *have* changed? Perhaps they have looked at the arguments
>> > > provided by pro-code-test folks, and at the results of the reduction/elimination
>> > > of code testing in the USA and other countries, and have concluded that
>> > > Element 1 is no big deal. Maybe they've even concluded that it *does*
>> > > serve a useful, regulatory purpose!
>> >
>> > The FCC noted that there's been no noticeable increase in
>> > violations in HF since they let 5wpm'ers loose on it back
>> > in 2000. Trouble spots like 14.313 predate that by many
>> > years. So 13 or 20wpm doesn't serve a regulatory purpose, and
>> > the FCC isn't in the business of handing out "gold star"
>> > awards.
>>
>> No, they're not.
>
>Nor merit badges. The Amateur Radio Service is not the Boy Scouts.
>The FCC is not BSA Headquarters.

Guess who used to remark that Boy Scout leaders are pedophiles?
:-)

Can't have any pedophiles in ham radio, no sir! All hams must be white males of deeply-rooted conservative everything rigidly preserving the traditions, standards, and practices of the 1930s.

>> But they ARE in the business of making sure that thier rules meet
>> the test of the enabling regulations.
>
>They should start with the "rule" requiring a Morse Code Exam at 5WPM
>refer to another "rule" defining Morse Code and how to derive a 5WPM
>rate. Then then need to explain how a 13-15WPM character rate can be
>legal for a 5WPM exam. Or not.

I rather think that the FCC (that all-powerful adjunct secondary to
the real leader of American ham radio, ARRL) cares much about
a bunch of amateurs fooling around in a hobby activity. It's been
nearly two years since the first of those 18 petitions arrived at
the
FCC and the conservative-traditionalists mounted much cross-fire
to those nasty radicals wanting dirty, rotten change (hack, ptui)
from divine, blessed, noble olde-tyme regulations. Code MUST
stay! It is "right!" :-)

[both guys at the FCC prolly threw up their hands and pigeon-
holed all 18 while they concentrated on other things in their
apprenticeship duties there]

>> Part 97.1 establishes the Basis and Purpose of the Amateur
Radio
>> Service. The B&P continues to establish an expectation of self
>> training and communications skills that prepare the licensee to meet
>> the needs of the B & P.
>
>The Basis and Purpose does not specify your favorite mode as the one,
>true path to rightiousness.

Avengining Angle is a PCTA extra! He always Right, never wrong.

>> So...Until Part 97 is altered per process otherwise, Morse Code
is
>> still required for access to HF allocations.
>
>5WPM. Not the 13-15WPM exam currently administered by the ARRL and
>W5YI VECs.

Irrelevant to the PCTA extras. Code test IS THE LAW!

[no one, repeat no one, is allowed to change the law!]

>> And as Jim noted, so far, the overwhelming opinion of those who
>> have cared to express an opinion is "Morse Code skills are
>> needed"...Even if Lennie says they aren't...
>>
>Then Jim and his commenting cronies march to the beat of a different
>drummer.

The Avenging Angle marches to an even stranger drummer...
he thinks I'm here and commenting, saying things even when
I'm not. Weird. He wired. Wired up differntly than others, always
in overload conditions, no fusing. Tsk. [I been off for several
days]

Jimmy Who and cronies have had their craniums dutifully
washed years ago, thinking that morsemanship is a vital,
needed skill to "operate" any radio on HF or below. They had
to take a morse test so EVERYONE has to take a morse
test forever and ever to be allowed in a hobby activity.

>The ARRL's scientific survey of 1998 said that there was no
>clear concensus. Since then the ITU has eliminated the requirement
for
>a Morse Code Exam for HF access.

ARRL's only "science" is that of trying (vainly) to get more
members and to keep the Hq staff on the payroll by selling
lots of ham publications. Their publishing business is still
working well but the membership numbers are rather
stagnant at old numbers.

The ITU-R overhauled and revised nearly ALL of S25 at
WRC-03. One revision allowed individual administrations
the option of keeping their code tests or eliminating them.
The mandatory requirement of a code test for privileges
below 30 MHz was removed, but the OPTION remains.
There's no "necessity" of any logical or legislative kind
to keep the code test in U.S. regulations except in the
fantasies of olde-tyme conservative traditionalist hammes
who psychologically need the rank/status/titles of high-
rate code-tested extra to show they are "better" than
others. They need federal subsidies for the righteousness,
all at the expense of newcomers who aren't coming in
under their shining glory of ham greatness.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2259. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 20:02:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1109966553.222635.77120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103825

Brian Burke wrote on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 7:09 pm about an ass whose
shadow
is cast widely in here:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LT wrote:
>> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> > > Caveat Lector wrote:
>> > >
>> > >> Oh you have to read "The Victorian Internet: History of
telegraph and
>> > >> its precursors." by Tom Standage
>> > >> Describes Optical "telegraph" with panels and relays from hill
to hill.
>> > >> There were over a thousand in use all over Europe before the
electric
>> > >> telegraph
>> > >> Today a vestige of those days is several "Telegraph Hills"
scattered
>> > >> over Europe.
>> > >
>> > > Thanks for the tip! I'll do that.
>> > >
>> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >
>> > de asini umbra disceptare: "to argue about the shadow of an ass."
>> > What a waste of time!
>>
>> "Yomama slurpsdonkey dingdong"
>
>Did Rev. Jim approve of this message?

Jimmy Who had both hands' knuckles bandaged on his Latin test,
he no answer.

>> English: Who cares what you find a waste of time?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>More Extra Class civil discourse? Ayup.

The Avenging Angle strikes again, claiming to be a Right Angle.
He wrong angle on many things, 90 degrees out of phase with
everyone who doesn't HATE like he do.

[90 degree phase shift indicates he only "reacts" to things, but
he flips between Lead and Lag in trying for inductive reasoning;
he not got capacity for civil debate]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2260. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 21:35:43 UTC
From: DD <dd@nobodybaradio.net>
Message-ID: <d0ak87cuc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103826

"Cry Baby K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> whose immaturity causes him to
always demand the last word wrote in message:

> The same way you //deleted//

Rave on Wittle Cwy Baby K4YZ.

2261. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 21:50:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050304165008.973$ft@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >
> >>>Nice projection, I rarely use the 30L-1. What's your call?
> >>
> >>That is classified information known only to the Galatic Federation on
> >>a need-to-know basis.
> >>
> >
> > Translation: Another anonymous no code walkie talkie operator that
> > wants
> > to remain that way.
>
> Took my code test a long time ago, in front of an FCC examiner.
>
Dr. Ryland Scarno?

2262. Worried about losing the Ham Bands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 22:01:46 UTC
From: Se&#65533;or Sombra <Shadow@escondido.com>
Message-ID: <eV4Wd.44015$xt.12002@fed1read07>
Source record: 103828

Are you?
Are you really?
WELL DO SOMETHING !

See URL:
http://www.qrz.com/ib-bin/ikonboard.cgi?act=ST&f=3&t=84974

--
Lamont Cranston
Casting a shadow, therefore I am

2263. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 23:56:40 UTC
From: blindasabat <blindasabat@no.spam>
Message-ID: <g2th21h3cuhhqaefjo8o18ibki5j3dr08i@4ax.com>
Source record: 103829

On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 13:45:13 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>Too bad most of us who are the "old timers" can't be bothered with the
>younger set anymore.

You consider yourself a ham "old timer"? As a no-code tech you're not
even a real ham. So, don't flatter yourself.

2264. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-04 23:59:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109980778.571450.185100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103830

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Brian Burke wrote on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 7:06 pm concerning the
Avenging
> Angle's further adventures in "waste of time":

Speaking of a waste of time.....

> >> > The FCC noted that there's been no noticeable increase in
> >> > violations in HF since they let 5wpm'ers loose on it back
> >> > in 2000. Trouble spots like 14.313 predate that by many
> >> > years. So 13 or 20wpm doesn't serve a regulatory purpose, and
> >> > the FCC isn't in the business of handing out "gold star"
> >> > awards.
> >>
> >> No, they're not.
> >
> >Nor merit badges. The Amateur Radio Service is not the Boy Scouts.
> >The FCC is not BSA Headquarters.
>
> Guess who used to remark that Boy Scout leaders are pedophiles?

Who? I suggested ONE person may be.

YOUR statement suggests "all".

Wasn't me.

> Can't have any pedophiles in ham radio, no sir! All hams must be
> white males of deeply-rooted conservative everything rigidly
> preserving the traditions, standards, and practices of the 1930s.

Oh...I see...And YOU think it's OK to have pedophiles in Amateur
Radio...In ANY avocation...?!?!

(BTW...Pedophiles come in all races, genders ages and faiths,
Lennie)

> >> But they ARE in the business of making sure that thier rules
> meet
> >> the test of the enabling regulations.
> >
> >They should start with the "rule" requiring a Morse Code Exam at
5WPM
> >refer to another "rule" defining Morse Code and how to derive a 5WPM
> >rate. Then then need to explain how a 13-15WPM character rate can
be
> >legal for a 5WPM exam. Or not.

>
> I rather think that the FCC (that all-powerful adjunct secondary
to
> the real leader of American ham radio, ARRL) cares much about
> a bunch of amateurs fooling around in a hobby activity. It's been
> nearly two years since the first of those 18 petitions arrived at
> the FCC and the conservative-traditionalists mounted much
cross-fire
> to those nasty radicals wanting dirty, rotten change (hack, ptui)
> from divine, blessed, noble olde-tyme regulations. Code MUST
> stay! It is "right!"

I see.

You have a problem with "majority rules". Just like the
"insurgents" in Iraq who are scared to death, not of American military
might, but of the piece of pater in the ballot box.

You HAVE demonstrated cowardly characteristics...This just
confirms it.

> >> Part 97.1 establishes the Basis and Purpose of the Amateur
> Radio
> >> Service. The B&P continues to establish an expectation of self
> >> training and communications skills that prepare the licensee to
meet
> >> the needs of the B & P.
> >
> >The Basis and Purpose does not specify your favorite mode as the
one,
> >true path to rightiousness.
>
> Avengining Angle is a PCTA extra! He always Right, never wrong.

Who is "Avenging Angle"...???

Please comment on the statement made...What part of it, vis-a-vis
the B & P of Part 97 is inaccurate?

> >> So...Until Part 97 is altered per process otherwise, Morse
Code
> is
> >> still required for access to HF allocations.
> >
> >5WPM. Not the 13-15WPM exam currently administered by the ARRL and
> >W5YI VECs.

Neither the ARRL nor W5YI administers a 13-15 WPM test.

> Irrelevant to the PCTA extras. Code test IS THE LAW!

The code test IS the law. What part of that don't you understand,
Lennie?

And it's not what "PCTA extras" say...it's what your unlicensed
commissioners at the FCC say...

YOU, after all, are the one who constantly reminds us of that.
Why is it now problematic for you?

> [no one, repeat no one, is allowed to change the law!]

Sure they are.

But so far it hasn't happened.

> >> And as Jim noted, so far, the overwhelming opinion of those
who
> >> have cared to express an opinion is "Morse Code skills are
> >> needed"...Even if Lennie says they aren't...
> >>
> >Then Jim and his commenting cronies march to the beat of a different
> >drummer.
>
> The Avenging Angle marches to an even stranger drummer...
> he thinks I'm here and commenting, saying things even when
> I'm not. Weird. He wired. Wired up differntly than others,
always
> in overload conditions, no fusing. Tsk. [I been off for several
> days]

Who is "Avenging Angle"...?!?!

And you HAVE stated Morse COde skills are not needed.

Have you not?

> Jimmy Who and cronies have had their craniums dutifully
> washed years ago, thinking that morsemanship is a vital,
> needed skill to "operate" any radio on HF or below. They had
> to take a morse test so EVERYONE has to take a morse
> test forever and ever to be allowed in a hobby activity.

Who is "Jimmy Who"...???

> >The ARRL's scientific survey of 1998 said that there was no
> >clear concensus. Since then the ITU has eliminated the requirement
> for
> >a Morse Code Exam for HF access.
>
> ARRL's only "science" is that of trying (vainly) to get more
> members and to keep the Hq staff on the payroll by selling
> lots of ham publications. Their publishing business is still
> working well but the membership numbers are rather
> stagnant at old numbers.
>
> The ITU-R overhauled and revised nearly ALL of S25 at
> WRC-03. One revision allowed individual administrations
> the option of keeping their code tests or eliminating them.

> The mandatory requirement of a code test for privileges
> below 30 MHz was removed, but the OPTION remains.
> There's no "necessity" of any logical or legislative kind
> to keep the code test in U.S. regulations except in the
> fantasies of olde-tyme conservative traditionalist hammes
> who psychologically need the rank/status/titles of high-
> rate code-tested extra to show they are "better" than
> others. They need federal subsidies for the righteousness,
> all at the expense of newcomers who aren't coming in
> under their shining glory of ham greatness.

Lennie, you have once again DISproven your own assertions of who attacks who rather than discusses "subjects".

Thanks.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2265. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 00:12:30 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1109981550.386593.111230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103831

Toddy will never be able to understand what you're saying, there
LenAnder...all hail the church...

2266. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 01:05:00 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1109984700.876898.286460@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103832

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Brian Burke wrote on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 7:09 pm about an ass whose
> shadow
> is cast widely in here:
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> LT wrote:
> >> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> > > Caveat Lector wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > >> Oh you have to read "The Victorian Internet: History of
> telegraph and
> >> > >> its precursors." by Tom Standage
> >> > >> Describes Optical "telegraph" with panels and relays from
hill
> to hill.
> >> > >> There were over a thousand in use all over Europe before the
> electric
> >> > >> telegraph
> >> > >> Today a vestige of those days is several "Telegraph Hills"
> scattered
> >> > >> over Europe.
> >> > >
> >> > > Thanks for the tip! I'll do that.
> >> > >
> >> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >> >
> >> > de asini umbra disceptare: "to argue about the shadow of an
ass."
> >> > What a waste of time!
> >>
> >> "Yomama slurpsdonkey dingdong"
> >
> >Did Rev. Jim approve of this message?
>
> Jimmy Who had both hands' knuckles bandaged on his Latin test,
> he no answer.

He biased. He only speaks out when the wrong person posts an
"expletive." It is what we affectionately refer to as a "double
standard."

> >> English: Who cares what you find a waste of time?
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ

> >
> >More Extra Class civil discourse? Ayup.
>
> The Avenging Angle strikes again, claiming to be a Right Angle.
> He wrong angle on many things, 90 degrees out of phase with
> everyone who doesn't HATE like he do.
>
> [90 degree phase shift indicates he only "reacts" to things, but
> he flips between Lead and Lag in trying for inductive reasoning;
> he not got capacity for civil debate]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He quadrature depending on meds and whether he is posting from work.

2267. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 01:51:27 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109987487.765189.65100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > > Nice projection, I rarely use the 30L-1. What's your call?
> > >
> > That is classified information known only to the Galatic Federation
on a
> > need-to-know basis.
> >
> Translation: Another anonymous no code walkie talkie operator that
wants
> to remain that way.

Yes those anonymous operators are bad folks. BTW, what's your
callsign/real name/address steveo?

2268. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 02:50:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <65SdnWHZe__Eg7TfRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103834

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:

<snip>

>>Jim, you gotta give tinyurl a try. Just go to http://www.tinyurl.com,
>>and copy and and paste the long URL in the field provided, and it
>> will make a tiny url for ya! Then put that in the message. All free
>
>
> Let's try this again:
>
>
> "Amateur Radio In the 21st Century" can be viewed here:
>
> http://gahleos.obarr.net/messages/0002.html
>
> Or here:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/695p6
>
> My detailed rebuttal in three parts can be viewed too.
>
> Part 1 is here:
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/1f29355163c4ed4e?dmode=
source
>
> Or here:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/6385t
>
>
> Part 2 is here:
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/a0bb67064e87e3d8?dmode=
source
>
> Or here:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/4uvd5
>
>
>
> Part 3 is here:

>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/0fceb52701a89334?dmode=source
>
> Or here:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/4kxah
>
>
>
> See what these folks think is needed for the future of amateur
> radio.

One of your points that may be hidden in all the text, yet is critically important IMO, is the part noting that while removing the Morse test completely for one license class, and reducing it to 5wpm for the others, we have managed only a very modest increase in numbers (note that this was an "at the time" thing.

As the future would pan out, we have lost numbers, and a lot of them the Non-coded types.

As a ship that is almost stopped proves, turning the rudder does almost nothing, or when the interest rate goes very low, adjusting it does almost nothing, eliminating the 5WPM Morse code will have no positive effect on the numbers of new hams, and the most likely effect will be that with the lesser effort, more and more will get into the hobby with only slight interest, and then drop out. That is not what we need.

Hey, do I get a prize for that freakin' long sentence?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2269. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 04:08:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42293114.BFEC2353@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103836

LenAnderson@ieee.org woke up from a long winter's nap and wrote:
>
> On Thurs, Mar 3 2005 11:04 pm, "Dee Flint" (calmed down from a previous
> hissy fit) jumped into a so-called conversation and shouted out:
>
> >"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:Xns960B8511E2092elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> >> news:1109613195.980821.319080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >[snip]

> >> Are there
> >> thousands of hams that could pass the General or Extra theory
> trapped
> >> above 30 MHz? Yes.
> >>
> >There are no hams "trapped above 30Mhz.
>
> Riiiiight, Mama Dee. Nobody is trapped. No-coders are NOBODIES.
> :-)

Incorrect, grizzled ancient one. No coders have the same opportunity to
pass the piddling, easy 5 wpm code test as anyone else. :-) :-)

> Riiiight, Dee, the "only" purpose of HF is "to work DX with CW."
> :-)

Nobody is peddling that claim except for you and you aren't involved
with DX or CW.

> >They may not stick with it until conditions improve since they won't
> have
> >the skills to participate in the second most used mode of shortwave
> >communications.
>
> Wow, all that struggle just to be "second best!" Such "incentive!"
>
> Gots to "work DX on HF with CW." On "shortwave!"

Those of us who care to will. How are you involved?

> BTW, the rest of the radio world uses the term "shortwave" to refer
> to small wavelengths measured in centimeters.

That's simply incorrect. The term has been defined and understood for
many, many decades. If some Johnny-come-lately wants to act as if he

has just invented the terms "shortwave" or "wireless" let him join you
in looking like a complete boob.

> It's in all the trade
> magazines. Oops, I forgot. Real hams don't read industry trades.
> The only approved publications about radio come from the ARRL.

Real hams may read anything they choose and even a non-ham like yourself
may look at QST.

> Work, struggle with all one's might to become "second best." :-)
> Mediocre is the word.

You whine and whine over a measly five wpm morse code test and you dare
write of mediocrity? :-) :-)

> Or, to keep the "CW" bandplan open as an
> olde-tymer's playground/sandbox to keep their righteousnesses
> happy. "Real" radio operators do "CW." All important, vital,
> necessities, righteous. Get and keep federal LAW to TEST all
> hobbyists operating below 30 MHz or the sky will fall!

Seems as if you're really swinging from the chandelier today, Leonard.

Dave K8MN

2270. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 04:09:57 UTC
From: DD <dd@nobodybaradio.net>
Message-ID: <d0bbb3$b93$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103835

"Cry Baby K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> whined in message >
>
> Lennie, you have once again DISproven your own assertions of who
> attacks who rather than discusses "subjects".
>
> Thanks.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Oh wissen to wittle cwy baby thievie cwy cwy cwy. He always
wants the wast word. Cwy cwy cwy, that is all thievie does.
Little thieve always wants the wast word.

2271. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 04:11:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422931C0.D3EF21A0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103837

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> Dee Flint had a hissy fit on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 11:59 pm and hastily
> scribbled the
> following diatribe:
>
> >"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> >news:1109842103.062893.136510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >[snip]
> >
> >>IMHO the attempt by Hollingsworth to scare me out of
> >> doing my information is an atempt to supress my first amaendment
> right.
> >>
> >You STILL haven't told us what you were doing and what Hollingsworth
> said
> >about it. Freedom of speech has NEVER meant that you can say anything
> you
> >want, whenever you want, where ever you want, and however you want.
>
> Except in HERE... :-)
>
> >Before anyone can agree with you, they need the precise data to
> evaluate.
> >The continued lack of data would tend to imply that you are aware that
> you
> >are in the wrong.
>
> All must agree (wholeheartedly, down to the core of their being)
> with
> traditionalist conservative standards and practices of the 1930s as
> preached by the Church of St. Hiram or they are WRONG, WRONG,
> WRONG!!! The Church can say anything but all who speak against
> their religion are heretics who should be burned at the stake!
>
> Ho hum...all the usual pseudo-religious harangues by the PCTAs
> with their usual self-righteousness. :-)
>
> Lots of that false idol worship going on in here...

Why, Leonard, you're the biggest, clay-footed idol in here and I don't see anyone worshipping you. You aren't involved in regulating amateur radio.

You aren't involved as a licensed ham. You aren't involved in manufacturing equipment or antennas for radio amateurs. Yet you presume to annoint yourself as advocate for something-or-other in an avocation in which you have absolutely no stake. Go figure.

Dave K8MN

2272. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 04:18:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42293341.8B6A333E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103838

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> Brian Burke wrote on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 7:06 pm concerning the Avenging
> Angle's further adventures in "waste of time":
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> robert casey wrote:
> >> > N2EY wrote:

> >Nor merit badges. The Amateur Radio Service is not the Boy Scouts.
> >The FCC is not BSA Headquarters.
>
> Guess who used to remark that Boy Scout leaders are pedophiles?
> :-)
>
> Can't have any pedophiles in ham radio, no sir!

Can you clear this up for us, Leonard? Are you actually FOR pedophiles
in amateur radio or anywhere else?

> All hams must be
> white males of deeply-rooted conservative everything rigidly
> preserving the traditions, standards, and practices of the 1930s.

There are hams of different races, genders, political philosophies and
economic backgrounds. You aren't among them.

> >> But they ARE in the business of making sure that thier rules
> meet
> >> the test of the enabling regulations.
> >
> >They should start with the "rule" requiring a Morse Code Exam at 5WPM
> >refer to another "rule" defining Morse Code and how to derive a 5WPM
> >rate. Then then need to explain how a 13-15WPM character rate can be
> >legal for a 5WPM exam. Or not.
>
> I rather think that the FCC (that all-powerful adjunct secondary to
> the real leader of American ham radio, ARRL) cares much about
> a bunch of amateurs fooling around in a hobby activity.

The FCC is an agency. An agency doesn't think. There are people
employed in it who do that. I'm pleased that you believe the FCC cares
about radio amateurs though.

> It's been
> nearly two years since the first of those 18 petitions arrived at

> the
> FCC and the conservative-traditionalists mounted much cross-fire
> to those nasty radicals wanting dirty, rotten change (hack, ptui)
> from divine, blessed, noble olde-tyme regulations. Code MUST
> stay! It is "right!" :-)

You've really worked yourself into a froth today, old timer. Too much caffeine?

Dave K8MN

2273. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 04:18:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42293365.C5D91441@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103839

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> Brian Burke wrote on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 7:09 pm about an ass whose
> shadow
> is cast widely in here:

I didn't note that "bb" had written anything at all about you.

Dave K8MN

2274. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 06:33:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110004388.734564.307880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103840

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > It's been
> > nearly two years since the first of those 18 petitions arrived
at
> > the
> > FCC and the conservative-traditionalists mounted much cross-fire
> > to those nasty radicals wanting dirty, rotten change (hack,
ptui)
> > from divine, blessed, noble olde-tyme regulations. Code MUST
> > stay! It is "right!" :-)
>
> You've really worked yourself into a froth today, old timer. Too
much
> caffeine?

More probably a lack of Geritol, Dave...Ot too much? Does it
matter? He's a putz either way.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2275. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 06:55:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4229580A.BDD9A351@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103841

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > It's been
> > > nearly two years since the first of those 18 petitions arrived
> at
> > > the
> > > FCC and the conservative-traditionalists mounted much cross-fire
> > > to those nasty radicals wanting dirty, rotten change (hack,
> ptui)
> > > from divine, blessed, noble olde-tyme regulations. Code MUST
> > > stay! It is "right!" :-)
> >
> > You've really worked yourself into a froth today, old timer. Too
> much
> > caffeine?
>
> More probably a lack of Geritol, Dave...Ot too much? Does it
> matter? He's a putz either way.

These demented rants of his grow more strange by the week. His factual errors are numerous. The legion of lurkers which he claimed would rise to the defense of his ideas never materialized and he's irrelevant to amateur radio in this country.

Leonard really needs a new cause.

Dave K8MN

2276. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 13:31:12 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <AwiWd.3173$YD4.3172@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 103842

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:42293365.C5D91441@earthlink.net...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > Brian Burke wrote on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 7:09 pm about an ass whose
> > shadow
> > is cast widely in here:
>
> I didn't note that "bb" had written anything at all about you.
>
> Dave K8MN

Yep. Gotta agree with you on that one. IF "BB" was writing commentary concerning an ass whose shadow is cast widely in here and, if BB is Brian Burke, I think it's pretty well known who BB's favorite ass is...eh, Dave?

Kim W5TIT

2277. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 14:16:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110032166.736103.84300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103843

DD wrote:
> "Cry Baby K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> whose immaturity causes him to
> always demand the last word wrote in message:
>
> > The same way you //deleted//
>
> Rave on Wittle Cwy Baby K4YZ.

Sorry to hear your mom's gay and your dad's got AIDS, but don't
take it out on us, OK...???

Steve, K4YZ

2278. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 14:22:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110032554.078808.190000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103844

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Jimmy Who had both hands' knuckles bandaged on his Latin test,
> > he no answer.
>
> He biased. He only speaks out when the wrong person posts an
> "expletive." It is what we affectionately refer to as a "double
> standard."

Who's "Jimmy Who"...?!?!

And as for "speaking out" about expletives, you need to ask Jim
Miccolis about my addressing of that topic other than you two idiots.

> > >> English: Who cares what you find a waste of time?
> > >>
> > >> Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > >More Extra Class civil discourse? Ayup.
> >
> > The Avenging Angle strikes again, claiming to be a Right Angle.
> > He wrong angle on many things, 90 degrees out of phase with
> > everyone who doesn't HATE like he do.

Who's this "Avenging Angle"...???

> > [90 degree phase shift indicates he only "reacts" to things, but
> > he flips between Lead and Lag in trying for inductive reasoning;
> > he not got capacity for civil debate]

Yet another mistruth from the keyboard of Leonard H. Anderson.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> He quadrature depending on meds and whether he is posting from work.

It doesn't matter from WHERE I post. You're both still liars, and
that won't change until YOU want it to change. Which at this point I
will assume is not today.

Steve, K4YZ

2279. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 14:28:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110032900.993935.237360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103845

Bathrooman wrote:
> Toddy will never be able to understand what you're saying, there
> LenAnder...all hail the church...

Well...geewhiz...Let's figger this out...

Lennie's getting his usual dose of rubbing of his nose in his newsgroup dropppings and all of a sudden we have two or three "anonymous" posters popping up with "You Go Stud" messages on his behalf.

Gee...Where have we seen THIS before...?!?!

Putz! And Putzii!

Steve, K4YZ

2280. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 15:17:41 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns961068327C8DFelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103846

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in news:4229580A.BDD9A351
@earthlink.net:

> K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > > It's been
>> > > nearly two years since the first of those 18 petitions arrived
>> > > at the FCC and the conservative-traditionalists mounted much
>> > > cross-fire to those nasty radicals wanting dirty, rotten change
>> > > (hack, ptui) from divine, blessed, noble olde-tyme regulations.
>> > > Code MUST stay! It is "right!" :-)
>> >
>> > You've really worked yourself into a froth today, old timer. Too
>> > much caffeine?
>>
>> More probably a lack of Geritol, Dave...Ot too much? Does it
>> matter? He's a putz either way.
>
> These demented rants of his grow more strange by the week. His factual
> errors are numerous. The legion of lurkers which he claimed would rise
> to the defense of his ideas never materialized and he's irrelevant to
> amateur radio in this country.
>
> Leonard really needs a new cause.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

I'm not a lurker, but I will say that Lennie is right. Granted I'm not sure
why he's still here, since he could obviously pick up a no-code licence any
time he feels like it and doesn't seem to want to get on HF anyway?
However, his satirical comments, whilst over the top, seem to be right on
the money.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

2281. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 15:45:09 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110037509.776027.237030@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103847

Lenny is an asshole.

2282. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 16:17:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110039458.823381.137060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103848

Bathrooman wrote:
> Lenny is an !@#hole.

Don't hold your breath waiting for me to argue that with you!

Steve, K4YZ

2283. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 17:52:08 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <o46dnfFlg8pLbLTfRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 103849

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns960F80A174309elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:Y6ednVjnj83herrfRVn-oA@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns960D894118E42elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>> Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
>>> news:d04m7m$10nk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu:
>>>
>>>
>> [snip]
>>
>>> It's not particurly difficult, but I can see no need to continue the
>>> closed classes. All those who would get a 'free upgrade' have held
>>> their licences for some time, so I foresee no impact whatsoever from
>>> eliminating those licences and upgrading them.
>>>
>>> Alun N3KIP
>>
>> Why not simply cancel their licenses unless they take the upgrade exam
>> by a certain date? It gets rid of the closed classes yet gives no one
>> a freebie. Those who are active or care about their license but are
>> inactive due to circumstances in their lives currently will upgrade.
>> Those who don't care won't be any great loss. Let's shake the dead
>> wood out of the tree and find out how many hams we really do have.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>>
>
> Cancelling is a bit harsh. Maybe they could be downgraded at the next
> renewal after say three years notice up front. Of course, for Novices that
> would mean cancellation, but I seriously doubt whether there are any
> active
> Novices?
>
> 73 de Alun, N3KIP

There are a few though they are very few. I was looking at vanity call
signs recently issued on some site or another and there was even a Novice
who had received a vanity call. Since he/she was restricted to a 2x3 call

there must have been some other reason that they wished a new call.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2284. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 18:11:53 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <mIWdnVBP7rvqa7TfRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 103750

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1109959511.026342.43920@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns960D894118E42elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>> > Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
>> > news:d04m7m$10nk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu:
>
>> [snip]
>>
>> > It's not particurly difficult, but I can see no need to continue
> the
>> > closed
>> > classes. All those who would get a 'free upgrade' have held their
> licences
>> > for some time, so I foresee no impact whatsoever from eliminating
> those
>> > licences and upgrading them.
>> >
>> > Alun N3KIP
>>
>> Why not simply cancel their licenses unless they take the upgrade
> exam by a
>> certain date?
>
> Like the old Novice..
>
>> It gets rid of the closed classes yet gives no one a freebie.
>> Those who are active or care about their license but are inactive due
> to
>> circumstances in their lives currently will upgrade.
>
> I still remember the screaming from 1968 when "incentive licensing"
> went back into effect. What you propose would be worse.

They wouldn't be screaming any louder than those opposed to automatic
upgrades would be. Any change from the current will cause major screaming
other something like closing the classes to new licenses as was done in
2000. Personally I happen to think leaving the classes alone is the best
thing.

>
>>Those who don't care
>> won't be any great loss.

>
> There's also the group who don't know. It's almost 5 years since
> restructuring and I still read/hear questions from hams about what the
> license structure and test requirements are, particularly from inactive
> or narrow-focused hams.
>

Well the FCC expects hams to keep up with the rules or they send them greeting cards if the violate the new ones. This wouldn't be any worse. It could be handled by specifying that they must upgrade by their next renewal. If they forget to renew, they loose their license anyway. Those who do attempt to renew could get a form stating that they must upgrade instead. Afterall, they will have the remainder of their term plus the grace period (which I would keep) to use their existing license for the appropriate elements.

>> Let's shake the dead wood out of the tree and find
>> out how many hams we really do have.
>
> What good would that really do, Dee? If nothing else, it would give
> folks like the BPL companies ammunition against us.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

It makes no less and no more sense than "auto upgrades." I don't particularly put it forth as a serious suggestion. Too many people are simply yakking on about the "burden" and "confusion" engendered by retaining these close classes so chose to describe an alternative. I just threw in the "deadwood" part since some people are so worried about the number of hams and the accuracy of the numbers.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2285. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 18:17:10 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <zdidnaMl4ZEparTfRVn-3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 103751

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:65SdnWHZe__Eg7TfRVn-rw@adelphia.com...

[snip]

> As the future would pan out, we have lost numbers, and a lot of them the
> Non-coded types.
>
> As a ship that is almost stopped proves, turning the rudder does almost
> nothing, or when the interest rate goes very low, adjusting it does almost
> nothing, eliminating the 5WPM Morse code will have no positive effect on
> the numbers of new hams, and the most likely effect will be that with the
> lesser effort, more and more will get into the hobby with only slight
> interest, and then drop out. That is not what we need.
>
> Hey, do I get a prize for that freakin' long sentence?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

How does it stack up against some of Edgar Allan Poe's sentences? I know he
wrote some doozies when it came to length.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2286. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 18:24:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NsOdndIqS9LBZLTfRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 103752

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:42293114.BFEC2353@earthlink.net...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org woke up from a long winter's nap and wrote:
>>
>> On Thurs, Mar 3 2005 11:04 pm, "Dee Flint" (calmed down from a previous
>> hissy fit) jumped into a so-called conversation and shouted out:
>>
>> >"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >news:Xns960B8511E2092elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> >> news:1109613195.980821.319080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >>[snip]
>>
>> >> Are there
>> >> thousands of hams that could pass the General or Extra theory
>> trapped
>> >> above 30 MHz? Yes.
>> >>
>> >>There are no hams "trapped above 30Mhz.
>>
>> Riiiiight, Mama Dee. Nobody is trapped. No-coders are NOBODIES.
>> :-)
>
> Incorrect, grizzled ancient one. No coders have the same opportunity to
> pass the piddling, easy 5 wpm code test as anyone else. :-) :-)
>
>
>> Riiiight, Dee, the "only" purpose of HF is "to work DX with CW."
>> :-)
>
> Nobody is peddling that claim except for you and you aren't involved
> with DX or CW.
>

Fortunately I only see Len's idiotic comments when someone replies to them. Notice how he has inserted material that wasn't even there. I've worked plenty of non-DX stations on HF. He ignores the fact that the same propagation principles apply to domestic and international communications.

I am also amazed at how he keeps flattering me by using the term "Mama Dee", since this is the most important job in the world for any woman with children. He probably intends for it to be derogatory but has failed miserably in that regard.

>> >They may not stick with it until conditions improve since they won't
>> have
>> >the skills to participate in the second most used mode of shortwave
>> >communications.
>>
>> Wow, all that struggle just to be "second best!" Such "incentive!"
>>
>> Gots to "work DX on HF with CW." On "shortwave!"
>
> Those of us who care to will. How are you involved?
>

Notice how he cannot distiguish between 2nd best and 2nd most used. These
two phrases have entirely different meanings.

>> BTW, the rest of the radio world uses the term "shortwave" to refer
>> to small wavelengths measured in centimeters.
>
> That's simply incorrect. The term has been defined and understood for
> many, many decades. If some Johnny-come-lately wants to act as if he
> has just invented the terms "shortwave" or "wireless" let him join you
> in looking like a complete boob.
>
>> It's in all the trade
>> magazines. Oops, I forgot. Real hams don't read industry trades.
>> The only approved publications about radio come from the ARRL.
>
> Real hams may read anything they choose and even a non-ham like yourself
> may look at QST.
>
>> Work, struggle with all one's might to become "second best." :-)
>> Mediocre is the word.
>
> You whine and whine over a measly five wpm morse code test and you dare
> write of mediocrity? :-) :-)
>

Again he is totally fuzzy on the difference between 2nd best and 2nd most
used.

>> Or, to keep the "CW" bandplan open as an
>> olde-tymer's playground/sandbox to keep their righteousnesses
>> happy. "Real" radio operators do "CW." All important, vital,
>> necessities, righteous. Get and keep federal LAW to TEST all
>> hobbyists operating below 30 MHz or the sky will fall!
>
> Seems as if you're really swinging from the chandelier today, Leonard.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2287. Re: Worried about losing the Ham Bands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 19:11:36 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <IvnWd.2828$603.1100@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103753

>
>
> "The sky is falling, the sky is falling!"
>
Don't worry, my antenna tower will prop it up..... ;-)

2288. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 20:40:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110055218.212814.55460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103754

From: Brian Burke on Fri, Mar 4 2005 5:05 pm:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> Brian Burke wrote on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 7:09 pm about an ass whose
>> shadow is cast widely in here:
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> LT wrote:
>> >> > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >> > > Caveat Lector wrote:

>> >> > de asini umbra disceptare: "to argue about the shadow of an
ass."
>> >> > What a waste of time!
>> >>
>> >> "Yomama slurpsdonkey dingdong"
>> >
>> >Did Rev. Jim approve of this message?
>>
>> Jimmy Who had both hands' knuckles bandaged on his Latin test,
>> he no answer.
>
>He biased. He only speaks out when the wrong person posts an
>"expletive." It is what we affectionately refer to as a "double
>standard."

Nothing new there. :-)

Sort of an improvement...no longer does he preach the Sermon on
The Antenna Mount, reveling in the scriptures from the Church of
St. Hiram. Blessed be!

Big badass Dave is on the prowl again (not wearing the SS
uniform with twin lightning bolts but otherwise acting like a
downsized Gestapo veteran). That guy wants to suppress
speech from anyone not having 40 years (or pounds extra)
under his belt. :-)

>> >> English: Who cares what you find a waste of time?
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >More Extra Class civil discourse? Ayup.
>>
>> The Avenging Angle strikes again, claiming to be a Right Angle.
>> He wrong angle on many things, 90 degrees out of phase with
>> everyone who doesn't HATE like he do.

>>
>> [90 degree phase shift indicates he only "reacts" to things, but
>> he flips between Lead and Lag in trying for inductive reasoning;
>> he not got capacity for civil debate]
>
>He quadrature depending on meds and whether he is posting from work.

Whichever location, he is perpendicular to reality, poor guy.

He's terribly worried about growing old, HATES anyone who
survives. Tsk. Doesn't realize that he isn't "young' anymore.
Amateur radio ceased being "young'" years ago, but most of
the olde-tymers in here want terribly to freeze everything to
the state of things as they were when they entered the hobby.
Can't be done but they keep trying. Their own self-delusion.

The start of this subject thread was an obvious troll to make an
ancient, primitive communications method "high tech" by giving
it a "digital" adjective. That can't be done either, but those
self-righteous etymologists think that re-definitions will make
them "high tech," too. On-off keying is still just on-off keying,
whether from a battery in 1844 or an expensive, bells-and-whistles
radio made in 2004 turning its RF carrier on and off. They've
gotten brainwashed into believing that it's some kind of "basic
skill" that is terribly vital and needed to operate below 30 MHz.
Dumb.

They don't realize that, as you said, "morse code gets through
when everything else will." :-)

"Real hams know morse!" Maybe some of those PCTA extras
deserve a new class designation: "Real."

That's really Imaginary, of course, but trying to make them
understand reality is very "complex." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2289. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 20:57:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110056260.871635.27750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103755

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> Big badass Dave is on the prowl again (not wearing the SS
> uniform with twin lightning bolts but otherwise acting like a
> downsized Gestapo veteran). That guy wants to suppress
> speech from anyone not having 40 years (or pounds extra)
> under his belt.

Lennie, please remind us how this is pertinent to the Morse Code Test issue that you constantly whine that no one will "debate" with you, yet a thread was created just FOR you and all you do is cuss and call names?

> He's terribly worried about growing old, HATES anyone who
> survives. Tsk. Doesn't realize that he isn't "young' anymore.

I'm younger than you. ALways will be, regardless of how old I get. Mainly because when there's something I want to do, I go do it...Not whine and cry about how someone else is supposedly holding me back.

> Amateur radio ceased being "young'" years ago, but most of
> the olde-tymers in here want terribly to freeze everything to
> the state of things as they were when they entered the hobby.
> Can't be done but they keep trying. Their own self-delusion.

Your OWN "self-delusion", Lennie...exacerbated by your complete lack of practical experience in the program.

> That's really Imaginary, of course, but trying to make them
> understand reality is very "complex."

What's complex about "Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley California is a pathological liar, antagonist and deceitful putz"...?!?!

Pretty straight forward from our perspective.

So...You were complaining that there was no thread for discussing Morse Code Test issues, and yet your only post there was a lame excuse for calling names, Lennie.

Why did you lie about your "desire" to carry on further "debate" on Morse Code, Lennie?

(I have the answer...It's easy for a pathological liar to lie! It really is THAT simple!)

Putz!

Steve, K4YZ

2290. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 21:33:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422A25E5.87EC9578@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103756

Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:42293365.C5D91441@earthlink.net...
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > Brian Burke wrote on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 7:09 pm about an ass whose
> > > shadow
> > > is cast widely in here:
> >
> > I didn't note that "bb" had written anything at all about you.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Yep. Gotta agree with you on that one. IF "BB" was writing commentary
> concerning an ass whose shadow is cast widely in here and, if BB is Brian
> Burke, I think it's pretty well known who BB's favorite ass is...eh, Dave?

That's right, it'd be Leonard Anderson. Our "bb" often plays Little Sir
Echo to Len's rants.

Dave K8MN

2291. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 21:37:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422A26CC.743D015E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103757

"Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in news:4229580A.BDD9A351
> @earthlink.net:
>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> > > It's been
> >> > > nearly two years since the first of those 18 petitions arrived
> >> > > at the FCC and the conservative-traditionalists mounted much
> >> > > cross-fire to those nasty radicals wanting dirty, rotten change
> >> > > (hack, ptui) from divine, blessed, noble olde-tyme regulations.
> >> > > Code MUST stay! It is "right!" :-)
> >> >
> >> > You've really worked yourself into a froth today, old timer. Too
> >> > much caffeine?
> >>
> >> More probably a lack of Geritol, Dave...Ot too much? Does it
> >> matter? He's a putz either way.
> >
> > These demented rants of his grow more strange by the week. His factual
> > errors are numerous. The legion of lurkers which he claimed would rise
> > to the defense of his ideas never materialized and he's irrelevant to
> > amateur radio in this country.
> >
> > Leonard really needs a new cause.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> I'm not a lurker, but I will say that Lennie is right. Granted I'm not sure
> why he's still here, since he could obviously pick up a no-code licence any
> time he feels like it and doesn't seem to want to get on HF anyway?
> However, his satirical comments, whilst over the top, seem to be right on
> the money.

Noted. You're not a lurker. You support Len's idea for having a
minimum age for entrance into U.S. amateur radio. You join "bb" in the
Len Anderson Fan Club.

Dave K8MN

2292. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 21:55:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110059727.782188.199440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103758

Dave Heil wrote:
> "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:

> > I'm not a lurker, but I will say that Lennie is right. Granted I'm
not sure
> > why he's still here, since he could obviously pick up a no-code
licence any
> > time he feels like it and doesn't seem to want to get on HF anyway?
> > However, his satirical comments, whilst over the top, seem to be
right on
> > the money.

"Satirical comments"...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HA!
! ! ! ! !

Lennie left "over the top" years ago and went straight to "over
the edge".

Lennie Anderson has been REPEATEDLY proven to be a L I A R, Alun.
Not "wrong"..."Wrong" is when you make a mistake, and when it's pointed
out to you why it's wrong, you acknowldege it and move on.

Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley Californis is a liar. Period.

He's NOT a satirist, and there's nothing comical about his insults
and deceptions.

> Noted. You're not a lurker. You support Len's idea for having a
> minimum age for entrance into U.S. amateur radio. You join "bb" in
the
> Len Anderson Fan Club.

Geeze...I hope not. Alun's previously impressed me as being pretty
balanced.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2293. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 22:26:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110061612.037485.191090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103759

K4YZ wrote:
> DD wrote:
> > "Cry Baby K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> whose immaturity causes him to
> > always demand the last word wrote in message:
> >
> > > The same way you //deleted//
> >
> > Rave on Wittle Cwy Baby K4YZ.
>
> Sorry to hear your mom's gay and your dad's got AIDS, but don't
> take it out on us, OK...???
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Stevie, please remind us how this is pertinent to the Morse Code
Test issue that you constantly whine that no one will "debate" with
you, yet a thread was created just FOR you and all you do is cuss and
call names?

2294. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 22:29:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110061743.609203.46630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103760

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Big badass Dave is on the prowl again (not wearing the SS
> > uniform with twin lightning bolts but otherwise acting like a
> > downsized Gestapo veteran). That guy wants to suppress
> > speech from anyone not having 40 years (or pounds extra)
> > under his belt.
>
> Lennie, please remind us how this is pertinent to the Morse Code
> Test issue that you constantly whine that no one will "debate" with
> you, yet a thread was created just FOR you and all you do is cuss and
> call names?

"""
Sorry to hear your mom's gay and your dad's got AIDS, but don't
take it out on us, OK...???

Steve, K4YZ
"""

Hi! bb

2295. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 23:01:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110062499.300217.20630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103761

Kim wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:42293365.C5D91441@earthlink.net...
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > Brian Burke wrote on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 7:09 pm about an ass whose
> > > shadow
> > > is cast widely in here:
> > >
> > > I didn't note that "bb" had written anything at all about you.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Yep. Gotta agree with you on that one. IF "BB" was writing
commentary
> > concerning an ass whose shadow is cast widely in here and, if BB is
Brian
> > Burke, I think it's pretty well known who BB's favorite ass is...eh,
Dave?
> >
> > Kim W5TIT

Hi! Poor Punxsutawney Phil across the line in PA couldn't see his own shadow on Feb 2 because that twit casts such a wide shadow.

2296. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-05 23:08:50 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <t4ednXUAEdpjprffRVn-uQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 103762

```
Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:Y6ednVjnj83herrfRVn-oA@comcast.com:
>
>
>>"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:Xns960D894118E42elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>
>>>Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
>>>news:d04m7m$10nk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu:
>>>
>>>
>>
>>[snip]
>>
>>
>>>It's not particurly difficult, but I can see no need to continue the
>>>closed classes. All those who would get a 'free upgrade' have held
>>>their licences for some time, so I foresee no impact whatsoever from
>>>eliminating those licences and upgrading them.
>>>
>>>Alun N3KIP
>>
>>Why not simply cancel their licenses unless they take the upgrade exam
>>by a certain date? It gets rid of the closed classes yet gives no one
>>a freebie. Those who are active or care about their license but are
>>inactive due to circumstances in their lives currently will upgrade.
>>Those who don't care won't be any great loss. Let's shake the dead
>>wood out of the tree and find out how many hams we really do have.
>>
>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Cancelling is a bit harsh. Maybe they could be downgraded at the next
> renewal after say three years notice up front. Of course, for Novices that
> would mean cancellation, but I seriously doubt whether there are any active
> Novices?
>
> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
```

I know of three local novices that are on ten meters every night. That's all they want. They have no need for VHF and are just happy with what privileges they have now.

2297. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 00:43:36 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110069816.137921.213160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103763

It is obvious to me now. Toddy is retarded! Or learning disabled, whatever you want to call it. Look at the way he writes, and his stupid rationalizations, his incredibly stupid opinions, plus the fact that he does not learn anything...he has not learned that he will never have an audience...in spite of the fact that he stated that he has been a ham for 14 years! The ham tests have been dumbed down to the point where even a retard as stupid as Toddyboy can hop into the hobby and get a license! Well paint me pink and call me Berry! I never thought I would see the day when we had to deal with retards in ham radio. Five year old kids can pass the extra. Stubborn older retards like Toddyboy are allowed onto the bands to spout a nonsensical informational bulletin show when they cannot get a BC license...he said he applied for one SEVEN times? At least the FCC will not give a BC license to a retard. He is too stupid to realize that the only audience he will have on the ham bands is a handful of hams.

2298. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 01:28:14 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9610CFB85A94Celektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103764

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
news:422A26CC.743D015E@earthlink.net:

> "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in news:4229580A.BDD9A351
>> @earthlink.net:
>>
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > > It's been
>> >> > > nearly two years since the first of those 18 petitions
>> >> > > arrived at the FCC and the conservative-traditionalists
>> >> > > mounted much cross-fire to those nasty radicals wanting
>> >> > > dirty, rotten change (hack, ptui) from divine, blessed,
>> >> > > noble olde-tyme regulations. Code MUST stay! It is "right!"
>> >> > > :-)
>> >> >
>> >> > You've really worked yourself into a froth today, old timer. Too
>> >> > much caffeine?
>> >>
>> >> More probably a lack of Geritol, Dave...Ot too much? Does it
>> >> matter? He's a putz either way.
>> >
>> > These demented rants of his grow more strange by the week. His
>> > factual errors are numerous. The legion of lurkers which he claimed
>> > would rise to the defense of his ideas never materialized and he's
>> > irrelevant to amateur radio in this country.
>> >
>> > Leonard really needs a new cause.
>> >
>> > Dave K8MN
>> >
>>
>> I'm not a lurker, but I will say that Lennie is right. Granted I'm not
>> sure why he's still here, since he could obviously pick up a no-code
>> licence any time he feels like it and doesn't seem to want to get on
>> HF anyway? However, his satirical comments, whilst over the top, seem
>> to be right on the money.
>
> Noted. You're not a lurker. You support Len's idea for having a

> minimum age for entrance into U.S. amateur radio. You join "bb" in the
> Len Anderson Fan Club.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

Where did you get that I support a minimum age? I don't.

2299. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 01:30:30 UTC
From: Julio DeJesus <salvi145@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1110072630.817244.75250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103766

Bathrooman wrote:
> It is obvious to me now. Toddy is retarded! Or learning disabled,
> whatever you want to call it. Look at the way he writes, and his
stupid
> rationalizations, his incredibly stupid opinions, plus the fact that
he
> does not learn anything...he has not learned that he will never have
an
> audience...in spite of the fact that he stated that he has been a ham
> for 14 years! The ham tests have been dumbed down to the point where
> even a retard as stupid as Toddyboy can hop into the hobby and get a
> license! Well paint me pink and call me Berry! I never thought I
> would see the day when we had to deal with retards in ham radio.
Five
> year old kids can pass the extra. Stubborn older retards like
Toddyboy
> are allowed onto the bands to spout a nonsensical informational
> bulletin show when they cannot get a BC license...he said he applied
> for one SEVEN times? At least the FCC will not give a BC license to
a
> retard. He is too stupid to realize that the only audience he will
> have on the ham bands is a handful of hams.

Yes Bathrooman, I agree!! Toddy is a fuckin retard and not only should
he not be allowed to get a BC license, he shouldn't be allowed on the
ham bands. There are just too many fuckin retards on the bands these
days and we sure as hell don't need Toddy!!

2300. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 01:32:38 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9610D07733D76elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103765

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
news:1110059727.782188.199440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>
>> > I'm not a lurker, but I will say that Lennie is right. Granted I'm
>> > not sure why he's still here, since he could obviously pick up a
>> > no-code licence any time he feels like it and doesn't seem to want
>> > to get on HF anyway? However, his satirical comments, whilst over
>> > the top, seem to be right on the money.
>
> "Satirical comments"...?!?!
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Lennie left "over the top" years ago and went straight to "over
> the edge".
>
> Lennie Anderson has been REPEATEDLY proven to be a L I A R, Alun.
> Not "wrong"..."Wrong" is when you make a mistake, and when it's pointed
> out to you why it's wrong, you acknowldege it and move on.
>
> Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley Californis is a liar. Period.
>
> He's NOT a satirist, and there's nothing comical about his insults
> and deceptions.
>
>> Noted. You're not a lurker. You support Len's idea for having a
>> minimum age for entrance into U.S. amateur radio. You join "bb" in
>> the Len Anderson Fan Club.
>
> Geeze...I hope not. Alun's previously impressed me as being pretty
> balanced.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

Thankyou. I think the point of Len's little tirade was that some here seem
to be opposed to change at any cost, and that certainly has a ring of truth

to it. Of course, he may have expressed that in a very sarcastic way, but that doesn't mean he's necessarily wrong.

2301. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 01:36:50 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110073010.337946.3730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103768

Julio, would you believe that Toddy wrote to Sen. John McCain
concerning the denial of his applications? He was crying about this
sad situation of not being able to express himself. Ever since he got
out of retard school, he has no avenue to express himself. In the
letter he passed himself off as president/CEO of his own little
telecommunications company. WTF ROTFLMAO

2302. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 01:37:20 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9610D1431356elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103767

whoever <whoever@wherever> wrote in
news:t4ednXUAEdpjprffRVn-uQ@centurytel.net:

>
>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>> news:Y6ednVjnj83herrfRVn-oA@comcast.com:
>>
>>
>>>"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>news:Xns960D894118E42elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>>
>>>>Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in
>>>>news:d04m7m$10nk$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>[snip]
>>>
>>>
>>>>It's not particurly difficult, but I can see no need to continue the
>>>>closed classes. All those who would get a 'free upgrade' have held
>>>>their licences for some time, so I foresee no impact whatsoever from
>>>>eliminating those licences and upgrading them.
>>>>
>>>>Alun N3KIP
>>>
>>>Why not simply cancel their licenses unless they take the upgrade exam
>>>by a certain date? It gets rid of the closed classes yet gives no one
>>>a freebie. Those who are active or care about their license but are
>>>inactive due to circumstances in their lives currently will upgrade.
>>>Those who don't care won't be any great loss. Let's shake the dead
>>>wood out of the tree and find out how many hams we really do have.
>>>
>>>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> Cancelling is a bit harsh. Maybe they could be downgraded at the next
>> renewal after say three years notice up front. Of course, for Novices

>> that would mean cancellation, but I seriously doubt whether there are
>> any active Novices?
>>
>> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>
> I know of three local novices that are on ten meters every night.
> That's all they want. They have no need for VHF and are just happy with
> what privileges they have now.
>
>

So that's where they are! Let them have Tech privileges and they'll stay right where they are anyway, right?

Actually, I think there should be as few different licences as possible, and that inevitably involves merging licences. I don't think that 'automatic upgrades' are a problem, as those affected all have 'time in grade'. On reflection, I don't favour downgrades.

2303. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 01:41:05 UTC
From: Julio DeJesus <salvi145@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1110073265.948702.179780@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103769

What a fuckin riot Bathrooman. Imagine, writing a letter to a stupid ass politician like McCain just because he can't some stupid ass license?? What a friggin retard.

2304. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 01:56:30 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110074190.833564.291280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103770

When he was in Arizona, McCain fostered a plan to eliminate Expression by Retards. It was successful and resulted in quieter schools and neighborhoods.

2305. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 01:58:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <HrmdnbUKAOBW_rffRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 103771

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9610D1431356elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...

[snip]

> Actually, I think there should be as few different licences as possible,
> and that inevitably involves merging licences. I don't think that
> 'automatic upgrades' are a problem, as those affected all have 'time in
> grade'. On reflection, I don't favour downgrades.

There is nothing inevitable about it. Time will take care of the matter.
There is no reason for either automatic upgrades or downgrades. It is no
particular additional burden to anyone to leave it as it is. There are only
three possible classes for new or upgrading hams. That seems about right to
me. Even when I originally licensed and passed through all five levels, I
thought three would be more appropriate.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2306. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 02:06:18 UTC
From: Julio DeJesus <salvi145@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1110074778.288569.242870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103772

Toddy. Do me a favor. Take your goddamn fingers out of your ass, buy a 26 foot Butternut vertical and shove that up your ass instead. Then, get a big 2KW linear, hook it up to your HW-101 and hold the key down for a few minutes, you asshole.

2307. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 02:50:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <fdidnYycXd188rffRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103773

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> news:1110059727.782188.199440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>"Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>>
>>>>I'm not a lurker, but I will say that Lennie is right. Granted I'm
>>>>not sure why he's still here, since he could obviously pick up a
>>>>no-code licence any time he feels like it and doesn't seem to want
>>>>to get on HF anyway? However, his satirical comments, whilst over
>>>>the top, seem to be right on the money.
>>
>> "Satirical comments"...?!?!
>>
>>BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! ! !
>>
>> Lennie left "over the top" years ago and went straight to "over
>>the edge".
>>
>> Lennie Anderson has been REPEATEDLY proven to be a L I A R, Alun.
>>Not "wrong"..."Wrong" is when you make a mistake, and when it's pointed
>>out to you why it's wrong, you acknowldege it and move on.
>>
>> Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley Californis is a liar. Period.
>>
>> He's NOT a satirist, and there's nothing comical about his insults
>>and deceptions.
>>
>>
>>>Noted. You're not a lurker. You support Len's idea for having a
>>>minimum age for entrance into U.S. amateur radio. You join "bb" in
>>>the Len Anderson Fan Club.
>>
>> Geeze...I hope not. Alun's previously impressed me as being pretty
>>balanced.
>>
>>73
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ

>>
>>
>
>
> Thankyou. I think the point of Len's little tirade was that some here seem
> to be opposed to change at any cost, and that certainly has a ring of truth
> to it. Of course, he may have expressed that in a very sarcastic way, but
> that doesn't mean he's necessarily wrong.

Of course he places me in that group also. Solely on my support for the
Element 1 test. The picture he paints of me is nowhere near the truth.
But broad brushes paint broad swaths, and apparently if a person is in
favor of a Morse code test, it is then mandatory that they are a
Morsodist, an "Olde Tyme Hamme" and in favor of the "Archaic
Radiotelegraphy Society, right? No other possibility exists?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2308. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 03:02:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422A7313.6DD22B69@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103774

```
"Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
> news:422A26CC.743D015E@earthlink.net:
>
> > "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
> >>
> >> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in news:4229580A.BDD9A351
> >> @earthlink.net:
> >>
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> > > It's been
> >> >> > > nearly two years since the first of those 18 petitions
> >> >> > > arrived at the FCC and the conservative-traditionalists
> >> >> > > mounted much cross-fire to those nasty radicals wanting
> >> >> > > dirty, rotten change (hack, ptui) from divine, blessed,
> >> >> > > noble olde-tyme regulations. Code MUST stay! It is "right!"
> >> >> > > :-)
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You've really worked yourself into a froth today, old timer. Too
> >> >> > much caffeine?
> >> >>
> >> >> More probably a lack of Geritol, Dave...Ot too much? Does it
> >> >> matter? He's a putz either way.
> >> >
> >> > These demented rants of his grow more strange by the week. His
> >> > factual errors are numerous. The legion of lurkers which he claimed
> >> > would rise to the defense of his ideas never materialized and he's
> >> > irrelevant to amateur radio in this country.
> >> >
> >> > Leonard really needs a new cause.
> >> >
> >> > Dave K8MN
> >> >
> >>
> >> I'm not a lurker, but I will say that Lennie is right. Granted I'm not
> >> sure why he's still here, since he could obviously pick up a no-code
> >> licence any time he feels like it and doesn't seem to want to get on
> >> HF anyway? However, his satirical comments, whilst over the top, seem
> >> to be right on the money.
```

> >
> > Noted. You're not a lurker. You support Len's idea for having a
> > minimum age for entrance into U.S. amateur radio. You join "bb" in the
> > Len Anderson Fan Club.

> Where did you get that I support a minimum age? I don't.

It is one of Len's "over the top" satirical comments (to the FCC). I
take it that you aren't in favor of pedophiles in amateur radio either
then.

Dave K8MN

2309. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 03:04:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422A7362.4D0C0CAD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103775

"Alun L. Palmer" wrote:

> Thankyou. I think the point of Len's little tirade was that some here seem
> to be opposed to change at any cost, and that certainly has a ring of truth
> to it. Of course, he may have expressed that in a very sarcastic way, but
> that doesn't mean he's necessarily wrong.

Are you planning to change your mind on that minimum age thing?

Dave K8MN

2310. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 03:16:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422A764C.808E2119@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103776

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Big badass Dave is on the prowl again (not wearing the SS
> uniform with twin lightning bolts but otherwise acting like a
> downsized Gestapo veteran).

Godwin invoked. You lose.

> That guy wants to suppress
> speech from anyone not having 40 years (or pounds extra)
> under his belt. :-)

You have more than seventy years under your belt and above it. How has your speech been suppressed, old timer? Have you not written what you wanted here, whether it was true or not? Have you not provided your invalueable input to the FCC? Perhaps you feel that we should all bow our heads and murmer an "Amen" to anything you, an outsider writes of amateur radio? Pssssst, Leonid! That isn't likely to happen.

> Amateur radio ceased being "young'" years ago, ...

So did you, Leonard.

> ...but most of
> the olde-tymers in here want terribly to freeze everything to
> the state of things as they were when they entered the hobby.
> Can't be done but they keep trying. Their own self-delusion.

Amateur radio today isn't much like it was when I entered in 1963. Many things have changed, some for better, some for worse.
One thing hasn't changed though. You weren't involved then. You aren't involved now.

> The start of this subject thread was an obvious troll to make an
> ancient, primitive communications method "high tech" by giving
> it a "digital" adjective. That can't be done either, but those
> self-righteous etymologists think that re-definitions will make
> them "high tech," too. On-off keying is still just on-off keying,
> whether from a battery in 1844 or an expensive, bells-and-whistles
> radio made in 2004 turning its RF carrier on and off. They've
> gotten brainwashed into believing that it's some kind of "basic
> skill" that is terribly vital and needed to operate below 30 MHz.
> Dumb.

I'm sure that you have similar negative feelings toward other things which you find yourself not very good at.

Dave K8MN

2311. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 03:23:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422A77E1.74A1F5C4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103777

```
Dee Flint wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:42293114.BFEC2353@earthlink.net...
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org woke up from a long winter's nap and wrote:
> >>
> >> On Thurs, Mar 3 2005 11:04 pm, "Dee Flint" (calmed down from a previous
> >> hissy fit) jumped into a so-called conversation and shouted out:
> >>
> >> >"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:Xns960B8511E2092elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> >> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> >> >> news:1109613195.980821.319080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
> >> >
> >> >[snip]
> > >
> >> >> Are there
> >> >> thousands of hams that could pass the General or Extra theory
> >> trapped
> >> >> above 30 MHz? Yes.
> >> >
> >> >There are no hams "trapped above 30Mhz.
> >>
> >> Riiiiight, Mama Dee. Nobody is trapped. No-coders are NOBODIES.
> >> :-)
> > >
> > > Incorrect, grizzled ancient one. No coders have the same opportunity to
> > > pass the piddling, easy 5 wpm code test as anyone else. :-) :-)
> > >
> > >
> >> Riiiight, Dee, the "only" purpose of HF is "to work DX with CW."
> >> :-)
> > >
> > > Nobody is peddling that claim except for you and you aren't involved
> > > with DX or CW.
> > >
>
> Fortunately I only see Len's idiotic comments when someone replies to them.

That's likely a good thing.

> Notice how he has inserted material that wasn't even there.

That happens frequently. He often goes off on a diversionary rant.
```

> I've worked
> plenty of non-DX stations on HF.

Same here--domestic rag chews, section nets, contests. Plenty of them
were done using modes other than CW too.

> He ignores the fact that the same
> propagation principles apply to domestic and international > communications.

It doesn't suit his agenda.

> I am also amazed at how he keeps flattering me by using the term "Mama Dee",
> since this is the most important job in the world for any woman with
> children. He probably intends for it to be derogatory but has failed
> miserably in that regard.

Len finds it quite difficult to use someone's given name.

> >> >They may not stick with it until conditions improve since they won't
> >> have
> >> >the skills to participate in the second most used mode of shortwave
> >> >communications.
> >>
> >> Wow, all that struggle just to be "second best!" Such "incentive!"
> >>
> >> Gots to "work DX on HF with CW." On "shortwave!"
> >
> > Those of us who care to will. How are you involved?
> >
>
> Notice how he cannot distiguish between 2nd best and 2nd most used. These
> two phrases have entirely different meanings.

Again, it doesn't suit his agenda.

> >> BTW, the rest of the radio world uses the term "shortwave" to refer
> >> to small wavelengths measured in centimeters.
> >
> > That's simply incorrect. The term has been defined and understood for
> > many, many decades. If some Johnny-come-lately wants to act as if he
> > has just invented the terms "shortwave" or "wireless" let him join you
> > in looking like a complete boob.
> >
> >> It's in all the trade
> >> magazines. Oops, I forgot. Real hams don't read industry trades.
> >> The only approved publications about radio come from the ARRL.
> >
> > Real hams may read anything they choose and even a non-ham like yourself
> > may look at QST.
> >
> >> Work, struggle with all one's might to become "second best." :-)
> >> Mediocre is the word.
> >
> > You whine and whine over a measly five wpm morse code test and you dare

> > write of mediocrity? :-) :-)
> >
>
> Again he is totally fuzzy on the difference between 2nd best and 2nd most
> used.

Len really doesn't believe that morse code comes in second in either
category. It wouldn't appear that whatever he believes has effected
radio amateurs at all. He isn't involved.

Dave K8MN

2312. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 03:39:10 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110080350.276282.38920@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103778

Hey, I JUST FOUND THIS OUT- get this - everybody: Toddy works at WAL-MART!!! At least he did until he was FIRED for IMCOMPETENCE!!! He tried to work there as a STOCKBOY!!! I mean, putting the shit on the shelves! Unloading the truckloads of cheap chinese made shit and putting it on the shelves so that all the morons that run into the store can buy it!!! What an idiot!!! How in the hell can you live on Wal Mart wages, let alone assemble a decent ham station, or broadcast station??? I found out that they didn't even want him to do that, so they tried to get him to clean up the back room!!! This is while he's telling sen. McCain that he is president & CEO of Bumblefuck Telecommunications.

2313. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 03:42:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110080555.894958.287650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103779

FIRST OFF YOU LITTLE SHITS, AS FOR MY WRITING SKILLS, THE REASON SOMETIMES IT COMES OUT LIKE CRAP, IS BECAUSE I'M TYPING THESE OUT VERY FAST. AS FOR BEING A "RETARD" OR HAVE A "LEARNING DISABLITY" IS NOT TRUE, I JUST RECENTLY HAD A IQ TEST DONE BY THE FUCKING STATE, AND ACCORDING TO THEM I HAVE AN "EXTERMELY HIGH IQ". AS FOR MY INFORMATION BULLETIN YOU AND YOUR WHINEY LITTLE BITCHES ON THIS NEWSGROUP ARE A SMALL MINORITY, AND AT LEASE I DON'T HIDE BEHIND A FUCKING FAKE NAME, HELL BOY YOUR PROBABLY A HAM WANTABE, WHO SITS ON THIS NEWSGROUPS, WITH HIS LITTLE BOYFRIEND ON GET EACHOTHER NUTS OFF. SOMEONE WHO CAN'T DEBATE THE REAL ISSUES AND HAS TO GO TO NAME CALLING, IS THE REAL FUCKING RETARD!
THAT'S RIGHT, I APPLIED SEVEN TIMES FOR A BROADCAST LICENSE, AT LEASE I HAVE THE FUCKING BALLS TO FIGHT FOR WHAT I BELIEVE IN, UNLIKE SOME WHINEY HAM WANTABES WHO DO NOTHING BUT SIT ON THEIR FAT ASS AND GO TO NAME CALLING. FINALLY, I HAVE A OPINION, AND ALTHOUGH IT ISN'T LIKE YOURS, I DO HAVE THE RIGHT, SO MY OPINION IS TAKE YOUR BOYFRIENDS DICK AND PUT IT BACK IN YOUR FUCKING MOUTH, AND SHUT YOUR FUCKING MOUTH AND KNOW YOUR FUCKING ROLE YOU FUCKING HAM WANTABE..

TODD N9OGL

Bathrooman wrote:
> It is obvious to me now. Toddy is retarded! Or learning disabled,
> whatever you want to call it. Look at the way he writes, and his
stupid
> rationalizations, his incredibly stupid opinions, plus the fact that
he
> does not learn anything...he has not learned that he will never have
an
> audience...in spite of the fact that he stated that he has been a ham
> for 14 years! The ham tests have been dumbed down to the point where
> even a retard as stupid as Toddyboy can hop into the hobby and get a
> license! Well paint me pink and call me Berry! I never thought I
> would see the day when we had to deal with retards in ham radio.
Five
> year old kids can pass the extra. Stubborn older retards like
Toddyboy
> are allowed onto the bands to spout a nonsensical informational
> bulletin show when they cannot get a BC license...he said he applied
> for one SEVEN times? At least the FCC will not give a BC license to
a
> retard. He is too stupid to realize that the only audience he will
> have on the ham bands is a handful of hams.

2314. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 03:42:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110080560.919347.168200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103780

FIRST OFF YOU LITTLE SHITS, AS FOR MY WRITING SKILLS, THE REASON SOMETIMES IT COMES OUT LIKE CRAP, IS BECAUSE I'M TYPING THESE OUT VERY FAST. AS FOR BEING A "RETARD" OR HAVE A "LEARNING DISABLITY" IS NOT TRUE, I JUST RECENTLY HAD A IQ TEST DONE BY THE FUCKING STATE, AND ACCORDING TO THEM I HAVE AN "EXTERMELY HIGH IQ". AS FOR MY INFORMATION BULLETIN YOU AND YOUR WHINEY LITTLE BITCHES ON THIS NEWSGROUP ARE A SMALL MINORITY, AND AT LEASE I DON'T HIDE BEHIND A FUCKING FAKE NAME, HELL BOY YOUR PROBABLY A HAM WANTABE, WHO SITS ON THIS NEWSGROUPS, WITH HIS LITTLE BOYFRIEND ON GET EACHOTHER NUTS OFF. SOMEONE WHO CAN'T DEBATE THE REAL ISSUES AND HAS TO GO TO NAME CALLING, IS THE REAL FUCKING RETARD!
THAT'S RIGHT, I APPLIED SEVEN TIMES FOR A BROADCAST LICENSE, AT LEASE I HAVE THE FUCKING BALLS TO FIGHT FOR WHAT I BELIEVE IN, UNLIKE SOME WHINEY HAM WANTABES WHO DO NOTHING BUT SIT ON THEIR FAT ASS AND GO TO NAME CALLING. FINALLY, I HAVE A OPINION, AND ALTHOUGH IT ISN'T LIKE YOURS, I DO HAVE THE RIGHT, SO MY OPINION IS TAKE YOUR BOYFRIENDS DICK AND PUT IT BACK IN YOUR FUCKING MOUTH, AND SHUT YOUR FUCKING MOUTH AND KNOW YOUR FUCKING ROLE YOU FUCKING HAM WANTABE..

TODD N9OGL

Bathrooman wrote:
> It is obvious to me now. Toddy is retarded! Or learning disabled,
> whatever you want to call it. Look at the way he writes, and his
stupid
> rationalizations, his incredibly stupid opinions, plus the fact that
he
> does not learn anything...he has not learned that he will never have
an
> audience...in spite of the fact that he stated that he has been a ham
> for 14 years! The ham tests have been dumbed down to the point where
> even a retard as stupid as Toddyboy can hop into the hobby and get a
> license! Well paint me pink and call me Berry! I never thought I
> would see the day when we had to deal with retards in ham radio.
Five
> year old kids can pass the extra. Stubborn older retards like
Toddyboy
> are allowed onto the bands to spout a nonsensical informational
> bulletin show when they cannot get a BC license...he said he applied
> for one SEVEN times? At least the FCC will not give a BC license to
a
> retard. He is too stupid to realize that the only audience he will
> have on the ham bands is a handful of hams.

2315. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 03:42:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110080560.597446.290560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103781

FIRST OFF YOU LITTLE SHITS, AS FOR MY WRITING SKILLS, THE REASON SOMETIMES IT COMES OUT LIKE CRAP, IS BECAUSE I'M TYPING THESE OUT VERY FAST. AS FOR BEING A "RETARD" OR HAVE A "LEARNING DISABLITY" IS NOT TRUE, I JUST RECENTLY HAD A IQ TEST DONE BY THE FUCKING STATE, AND ACCORDING TO THEM I HAVE AN "EXTERMELY HIGH IQ". AS FOR MY INFORMATION BULLETIN YOU AND YOUR WHINEY LITTLE BITCHES ON THIS NEWSGROUP ARE A SMALL MINORITY, AND AT LEASE I DON'T HIDE BEHIND A FUCKING FAKE NAME, HELL BOY YOUR PROBABLY A HAM WANTABE, WHO SITS ON THIS NEWSGROUPS, WITH HIS LITTLE BOYFRIEND ON GET EACHOTHER NUTS OFF. SOMEONE WHO CAN'T DEBATE THE REAL ISSUES AND HAS TO GO TO NAME CALLING, IS THE REAL FUCKING RETARD!
THAT'S RIGHT, I APPLIED SEVEN TIMES FOR A BROADCAST LICENSE, AT LEASE I HAVE THE FUCKING BALLS TO FIGHT FOR WHAT I BELIEVE IN, UNLIKE SOME WHINEY HAM WANTABES WHO DO NOTHING BUT SIT ON THEIR FAT ASS AND GO TO NAME CALLING. FINALLY, I HAVE A OPINION, AND ALTHOUGH IT ISN'T LIKE YOURS, I DO HAVE THE RIGHT, SO MY OPINION IS TAKE YOUR BOYFRIENDS DICK AND PUT IT BACK IN YOUR FUCKING MOUTH, AND SHUT YOUR FUCKING MOUTH AND KNOW YOUR FUCKING ROLE YOU FUCKING HAM WANTABE..

TODD N9OGL

Bathrooman wrote:
> It is obvious to me now. Toddy is retarded! Or learning disabled,
> whatever you want to call it. Look at the way he writes, and his
stupid
> rationalizations, his incredibly stupid opinions, plus the fact that
he
> does not learn anything...he has not learned that he will never have
an
> audience...in spite of the fact that he stated that he has been a ham
> for 14 years! The ham tests have been dumbed down to the point where
> even a retard as stupid as Toddyboy can hop into the hobby and get a
> license! Well paint me pink and call me Berry! I never thought I
> would see the day when we had to deal with retards in ham radio.
Five
> year old kids can pass the extra. Stubborn older retards like
Toddyboy
> are allowed onto the bands to spout a nonsensical informational
> bulletin show when they cannot get a BC license...he said he applied
> for one SEVEN times? At least the FCC will not give a BC license to
a
> retard. He is too stupid to realize that the only audience he will
> have on the ham bands is a handful of hams.

2316. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 03:42:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110080560.228751.281680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103782

FIRST OFF YOU LITTLE SHITS, AS FOR MY WRITING SKILLS, THE REASON SOMETIMES IT COMES OUT LIKE CRAP, IS BECAUSE I'M TYPING THESE OUT VERY FAST. AS FOR BEING A "RETARD" OR HAVE A "LEARNING DISABLITY" IS NOT TRUE, I JUST RECENTLY HAD A IQ TEST DONE BY THE FUCKING STATE, AND ACCORDING TO THEM I HAVE AN "EXTERMELY HIGH IQ". AS FOR MY INFORMATION BULLETIN YOU AND YOUR WHINEY LITTLE BITCHES ON THIS NEWSGROUP ARE A SMALL MINORITY, AND AT LEASE I DON'T HIDE BEHIND A FUCKING FAKE NAME, HELL BOY YOUR PROBABLY A HAM WANTABE, WHO SITS ON THIS NEWSGROUPS, WITH HIS LITTLE BOYFRIEND ON GET EACHOTHER NUTS OFF. SOMEONE WHO CAN'T DEBATE THE REAL ISSUES AND HAS TO GO TO NAME CALLING, IS THE REAL FUCKING RETARD!
THAT'S RIGHT, I APPLIED SEVEN TIMES FOR A BROADCAST LICENSE, AT LEASE I HAVE THE FUCKING BALLS TO FIGHT FOR WHAT I BELIEVE IN, UNLIKE SOME WHINEY HAM WANTABES WHO DO NOTHING BUT SIT ON THEIR FAT ASS AND GO TO NAME CALLING. FINALLY, I HAVE A OPINION, AND ALTHOUGH IT ISN'T LIKE YOURS, I DO HAVE THE RIGHT, SO MY OPINION IS TAKE YOUR BOYFRIENDS DICK AND PUT IT BACK IN YOUR FUCKING MOUTH, AND SHUT YOUR FUCKING MOUTH AND KNOW YOUR FUCKING ROLE YOU FUCKING HAM WANTABE..

TODD N9OGL

Bathrooman wrote:
> It is obvious to me now. Toddy is retarded! Or learning disabled,
> whatever you want to call it. Look at the way he writes, and his
stupid
> rationalizations, his incredibly stupid opinions, plus the fact that
he
> does not learn anything...he has not learned that he will never have
an
> audience...in spite of the fact that he stated that he has been a ham
> for 14 years! The ham tests have been dumbed down to the point where
> even a retard as stupid as Toddyboy can hop into the hobby and get a
> license! Well paint me pink and call me Berry! I never thought I
> would see the day when we had to deal with retards in ham radio.
Five
> year old kids can pass the extra. Stubborn older retards like
Toddyboy
> are allowed onto the bands to spout a nonsensical informational
> bulletin show when they cannot get a BC license...he said he applied
> for one SEVEN times? At least the FCC will not give a BC license to
a
> retard. He is too stupid to realize that the only audience he will
> have on the ham bands is a handful of hams.

2317. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 04:48:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <_uudnRwheJ7aDbffRVn-jA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103783

Bathrooman wrote:

> He is too stupid to realize that the only audience he will
> have on the ham bands is a handful of hams.
>

Does he even have a handful of hams for an audience? I haven't heard anyone say they have heard his broadcast (as if anyone would want to listen to Toddyboy anyway).

2318. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 04:55:23 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <9_qdndx9H_poDLffRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103784

N9OGL wrote:

> FIRST OFF YOU LITTLE SHITS, AS FOR MY WRITING SKILLS, THE REASON
> SOMETIMES IT COMES OUT LIKE CRAP, IS BECAUSE I'M TYPING THESE OUT VERY
> FAST. AS FOR BEING A "RETARD" OR HAVE A "LEARNING DISABLITY" IS NOT
> TRUE, I JUST RECENTLY HAD A IQ TEST DONE BY THE FUCKING STATE, AND
> ACCORDING TO THEM I HAVE AN "EXTERMELY HIGH IQ". AS FOR MY INFORMATION
> BULLETIN YOU AND YOUR WHINEY LITTLE BITCHES ON THIS NEWSGROUP ARE A
> SMALL MINORITY, AND AT LEASE I DON'T HIDE BEHIND A FUCKING FAKE NAME,
> HELL BOY YOUR PROBABLY A HAM WANTABE, WHO SITS ON THIS NEWSGROUPS, WITH
> HIS LITTLE BOYFRIEND ON GET EACHOTHER NUTS OFF. SOMEONE WHO CAN'T
> DEBATE THE REAL ISSUES AND HAS TO GO TO NAME CALLING, IS THE REAL
> FUCKING RETARD!
> THAT'S RIGHT, I APPLIED SEVEN TIMES FOR A BROADCAST LICENSE, AT LEASE I
> HAVE THE FUCKING BALLS TO FIGHT FOR WHAT I BELIEVE IN, UNLIKE SOME
> WHINEY HAM WANTABES WHO DO NOTHING BUT SIT ON THEIR FAT ASS AND GO TO
> NAME CALLING. FINALLY, I HAVE A OPINION, AND ALTHOUGH IT ISN'T LIKE
> YOURS, I DO HAVE THE RIGHT, SO MY OPINION IS TAKE YOUR BOYFRIENDS DICK
> AND PUT IT BACK IN YOUR FUCKING MOUTH, AND SHUT YOUR FUCKING MOUTH AND
> KNOW YOUR FUCKING ROLE YOU FUCKING HAM WANTABE..
>
>
> TODD N9OGL

For someone that supposedly has a high IQ (yea right, sure you do) you certainly haven't learned how to express yourself without those little jr. highschooler words, you can't spell and can't put together a decent sentence.

2319. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 05:18:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110086308.734959.212650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103785

```
From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sat, Mar 5 2005 5:32 pm:

>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>news:1110059727.782188.199440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>>
>>> > I'm not a lurker, but I will say that Lennie is right. Granted
I'm
>>> > not sure why he's still here, since he could obviously pick up a
>>> > no-code licence any time he feels like it and doesn't seem to
want
>>> > to get on HF anyway? However, his satirical comments, whilst over
>>> > the top, seem to be right on the money.
>>
>> "Satirical comments"...?!?!
>>
>>
BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! !
>>
>> Lennie left "over the top" years ago and went straight to "over
>> the edge".
>>
>> Lennie Anderson has been REPEATEDLY proven to be a L I A R,
Alun.
>> Not "wrong"..."Wrong" is when you make a mistake, and when it's
pointed
>> out to you why it's wrong, you acknowldege it and move on.
>>
>> Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley Californis is a liar.
Period.
>>
>> He's NOT a satirist, and there's nothing comical about his
insults
>> and deceptions.
>>
>>> Noted. You're not a lurker. You support Len's idea for having a
>>> minimum age for entrance into U.S. amateur radio. You join "bb" in
>>> the Len Anderson Fan Club.
>>
>> Geeze...I hope not. Alun's previously impressed me as being
pretty
```

>> balanced.
>
>Thankyou. I think the point of Len's little tirade was that some here
seem
>to be opposed to change at any cost, and that certainly has a ring of
truth
>to it.

Alun, you must understand that Robeson's name-calling is a long-
established habit of his, his own defense against not having a valid
opinion/comeback on the subject in a thread. He goes for the
Personal Attack and then loses his objectivity in his own insults
while manufacturing "issues" that aren't there.

I could post my own reasons for advocating the elimination of the
morse code test every week and the opponents (PCTA extras
all) would simply say I am "lying" and have "ulterior motives."
The PCTA extras do that ANYWAY! :-)

This "charge" that "I advocate a minimum age for amateur radio"
comes out of my Comment on docket 98-143 that was filed at
the FCC on 13 January 1998. [still on file there in the ECFS]
I submitted it via surface mail (appropriate copies per
instructions)
and then didn't follow it up. My Comment was 14 pages long and
that item was on the last page. Over a year after that filing, one
in here tried to make that a "cause celebre" as if it were
tantamount to Treason against the State! :-) Tsk, tsk. Once
that is done, some want to use that capital-crimes charge when
they can't think up enough false charges of damnation. :-)

By the way, that "charge" came out of a news item on the
ARRL web page which featured "the youngest hams," two
SIX YEAR OLDS who "passed their written exams" for
Novice and Technician classes, respectively. Uh huh. :-)
Uproar from the PCTAs followed, a whole fantasy scenario
of child prodigies (if they took ham exams) and a lot of sub-
threads of how the PCTA's children were also superior. :-)

FANTASY is a very big thing among the PCTA. All seem to
think they are somehow "pioneering" the airwaves by using
morse code...well after the actual pioneering was done before
their time. Morse code is demanded of all newcomers on some
imaginary need of "showing dedication and committment to
the amateur community!" :-) That continues with labels of
"Real" hams know morse! Those hams who haven't been
federally tested for morse code are "inferior" and apparently do
not deserve their licenses. :-)

>Of course, he may have expressed that in a very sarcastic way, but
>that doesn't mean he's necessarily wrong.

My "expression" in here without the false facade of gratuitous complements to the "superiority" of the olde-tymers. :-) They cannot stand anyone who's been deep into radio for a long time, done a lot, yet doesn't subscribe to their fantasies.

Robeson has seemingly real fantasies (to him its not an oxymoron) and imagines conditions which didn't exist with others, then he expands on those as if it were reality. It isn't. A case example is his claim that he can simply lift a telephone, call authorities, and have anyone picked up on the strength of his professional qualifications in medicine! That's absurd. Few physicians have such power. Nurses do not. Yet Robeson insisted in here that he could have Brian Burke put away with such a call. Tsk. Such exaggeration carried braggadoccio over the brink into some sort of mental imbalance due to its irrationality. [just one example out of many]

Another syndrome is his "mirror" postings. If he is called on some of his charges (such as constant name-calling as a message ending salutation), he turns around and accuses those who called him on his charges for doing the same thing! That is on-going in here, the "mirror" postings delayed by perhaps a week or slightly more, but they DO appear. In one way, interesting to watch the psychosis develop. Sort of a field example in psychology classes.

Heil is guilty of some of the same, although he may just be trolling for word-fighting. Both Heil and Robeson MUST triumph in the word-fights, will never ever admit to any wrong-doing and always try to turn around things so the other party is at fault. It's always about personalities and the subjects are "right" if they mirror the ARRL words, "wrong" if they are contrary to the holy ARRL words. :-)

The pro-code-test-advocates are simply Believers in their self-righteous attitudes, long ago brainwashed into their little world of radio fantasy. They are fanatics, almost as fedayin ready to suicide-bomb anyone who speaks against their idolatrous ideals. Perhaps their fanaticsm makes them so angry and hateful?

Their anger and hate does not bode well for a hobby, an avocation, something done for personal enjoyment. They don't realize that since their self-righteousness blinds them.

There's a morbid fascination about their actions. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2320. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 06:06:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422A9E37.7C1292CD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103786

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Alun, you must understand that Robeson's name-calling is a long-
> established habit of his, his own defense against not having a valid
> opinion/comeback on the subject in a thread. He goes for the
> Personal Attack and then loses his objectivity in his own insults
> while manufacturing "issues" that aren't there.

"Big badass Dave"

"not wearing the SS uniform with twin lightning bolts but otherwise
acting like a downsized Gestapo veteran"

"The Avenging Angle"

"concerning the Avenging Angle's further adventures in 'waste of time'"

"Jimmy Who and cronies"

"Dee Flint had a hissy fit on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 11:59 pm and hastily
scribbled the following diatribe"

"'Dee Flint' (calmed down from a previous hissy fit) jumped into a
so-called conversation and shouted out"

"Go join Mama Dee who wants to 'punish' her 'children' that don't do
what they're told!"

"Mr. Glock likes it...it's nice and shiny"

"My representative Mr. Glock will address them in that case. [he is
very accurate]"

"If the Coslonaut thinks Smith Charts are obsolete"

"Idiot. I 'looked it up' a long time ago."

"when some insufferable, self-righteous 'mama' wants to 'discipline
children as parents do'"

"Tsk. I'll bet Kellie told that to the Captain at dinner. Too bad
Tenille wasn't there"

"Poor Dan never got his crypto clearance"

"Widdle Stebie gonna have me BANNED from here"

This sampling from the past couple of weeks of r.r.a.p. were all written
by Leonard Anderson, the guy who "goes for the Personal Attack and then
loses his objectivity in his own insults while manufacturing 'issues'

that aren't there".

> This "charge" that "I advocate a minimum age for amateur radio"
> comes out of my Comment on docket 98-143 that was filed at
> the FCC on 13 January 1998. [still on file there in the ECFS]
> I submitted it via surface mail (appropriate copies per
> instructions)
> and then didn't follow it up. My Comment was 14 pages long and
> that item was on the last page. Over a year after that filing, one
> in here tried to make that a "cause celebre" as if it were
> tantamount to Treason against the State! :-) Tsk, tsk. Once
> that is done, some want to use that capital-crimes charge when
> they can't think up enough false charges of damnation. :-)

It matters not when it was written nor does it matter that you didn't follow it up. It matters that you wrote it. You've never disavowed it or asked that the FCC disregard it. The charge was made because it is absolutely true.

> By the way, that "charge" came out of a news item on the
> ARRL web page which featured "the youngest hams," two
> SIX YEAR OLDS who "passed their written exams" for
> Novice and Technician classes, respectively. Uh huh. :-)

Uh huh. Those two kids did something you've never done. It chafed you then. It obviously chafes you now.

> Uproar from the PCTAs followed, a whole fantasy scenario
> of child prodigies (if they took ham exams)...

You tap danced then as you are tap dancing now.

> ...and a lot of sub-
> threads of how the PCTA's children were also superior. :-)

Now you wouldn't want to be presented with any facts that would give lie to the statement above, would you?

> FANTASY is a very big thing among the PCTA. All seem to
> think they are somehow "pioneering" the airwaves by using
> morse code...

I don't know of a single soul here who has ever made that claim or anything near it.

> ...well after the actual pioneering was done before
> their time. Morse code is demanded of all newcomers on some
> imaginary need of "showing dedication and committment to
> the amateur community!" :-) That continues with labels of
> "Real" hams know morse! Those hams who haven't been
> federally tested for morse code are "inferior" and apparently do
> not deserve their licenses. :-)

Don't worry about it too much, Leonard. You don't have an amateur radio license to deserve.

> My "expression" in here without the false facade of gratuitous
> complements to the "superiority" of the olde-tymers. :-)

Is there supposed to be a sentence buried in there somewhere?

> They cannot stand anyone who's been deep into radio for a
> long time, done a lot, yet doesn't subscribe to their fantasies.

I'll grant you that anytime you show up, it is deep.

> Heil is guilty of some of the same, although he may just
> be trolling for word-fighting. Both Heil and Robeson MUST
> triumph in the word-fights, will never ever admit to any
> wrong-doing and always try to turn around things so the
> other party is at fault.

Let me ask you a couple of plain question to see if I can get some straight answer from you, Len. When is the last time that you ever admitted to any wrongdoing in here? When is the last time you were involved in a scrap here in which you didn't try to turn things around so that the other party appeared to be at fault?

> It's always about personalities and
> the subjects are "right" if they mirror the ARRL words,
> "wrong" if they are contrary to the holy ARRL words. :-)

I don't need the ARRL's input to tangle with the likes of you. You simply aren't involved in amateur radio.

> The pro-code-test-advocates are simply Believers in their
> self-righteous attitudes, long ago brainwashed into their
> little world of radio fantasy.

Do you believe in your self-righteous attitudes, Len? How about the little world of radio fantasy you've built in which you see yourself as being involved in amateur radio? Have you been brainwashed?

> They are fanatics, almost as
> fedayin ready to suicide-bomb anyone who speaks against
> their idolatrous ideals.

Are you demented? How much of a fanatic would one have to be to spend years in jousting with participants in an avocation in which you have no part?

> Perhaps their fanaticsm makes them
> so angry and hateful?

Izzat how you turned out the way you did?

Dave K8MN

2321. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 06:36:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110090988.500736.26430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103787

WELL IF I DIDN'T HAVE TO TALK TO A BUNCH OF FUCKING ASSHOLES, I WONT GIVE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE BITCH WHORE THE COURTESY OF BEING NICE. NO YOU LITTLE FUCKER YOU JUST CAN FUCKING READ! I SAID I TYPE FAST THAT WHY IT COMES OUT SCREWED UP, HAVE YOUR FUCKING MOMMY READ THAT LINE TO YOU SO YOU CAN FUCKING UNDERSTAND.

Todd N9OGL

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > FIRST OFF YOU LITTLE SHITS, AS FOR MY WRITING SKILLS, THE REASON
> > SOMETIMES IT COMES OUT LIKE CRAP, IS BECAUSE I'M TYPING THESE OUT
VERY
> > FAST. AS FOR BEING A "RETARD" OR HAVE A "LEARNING DISABLITY" IS NOT
> > TRUE, I JUST RECENTLY HAD A IQ TEST DONE BY THE FUCKING STATE, AND
> > ACCORDING TO THEM I HAVE AN "EXTERMELY HIGH IQ". AS FOR MY
INFORMATION
> > BULLETIN YOU AND YOUR WHINEY LITTLE BITCHES ON THIS NEWSGROUP ARE A
> > SMALL MINORITY, AND AT LEASE I DON'T HIDE BEHIND A FUCKING FAKE
NAME,
> > HELL BOY YOUR PROBABLY A HAM WANTABE, WHO SITS ON THIS NEWSGROUPS,
WITH
> > HIS LITTLE BOYFRIEND ON GET EACHOTHER NUTS OFF. SOMEONE WHO CAN'T
> > DEBATE THE REAL ISSUES AND HAS TO GO TO NAME CALLING, IS THE REAL
> > FUCKING RETARD!
> > THAT'S RIGHT, I APPLIED SEVEN TIMES FOR A BROADCAST LICENSE, AT
LEASE I
> > HAVE THE FUCKING BALLS TO FIGHT FOR WHAT I BELIEVE IN, UNLIKE SOME
> > WHINEY HAM WANTABES WHO DO NOTHING BUT SIT ON THEIR FAT ASS AND GO
TO
> > NAME CALLING. FINALLY, I HAVE A OPINION, AND ALTHOUGH IT ISN'T LIKE
> > YOURS, I DO HAVE THE RIGHT, SO MY OPINION IS TAKE YOUR BOYFRIENDS
DICK
> > AND PUT IT BACK IN YOUR FUCKING MOUTH, AND SHUT YOUR FUCKING MOUTH
AND
> > KNOW YOUR FUCKING ROLE YOU FUCKING HAM WANTABE..
> >
> >
> > TODD N9OGL
>
>
> For someone that supposedly has a high IQ (yea right, sure you do)
you
> certainly haven't learned how to express yourself without those

little
> jr. highschooler words, you can't spell and can't put together a
decent
> sentence.

2322. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 06:51:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422AA88E.D39352B0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103788

N9OGL wrote:
>
> WELL IF I DIDN'T HAVE TO TALK TO A BUNCH OF <snip>, I WONT
> GIVE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE <snip> THE COURTESY OF BEING NICE. NO YOU
> LITTLE <snip> YOU JUST CAN <snip> READ! I SAID I TYPE FAST THAT WHY IT
> COMES OUT SCREWED UP, HAVE YOUR <snip> MOMMY READ THAT LINE TO YOU SO
> YOU CAN <snip> UNDERSTAND.

Todd, you've gone from being thick-headed to being annoying. If typing fast is your problem, why not slow it down so that your posts are coherent? I can't make sense of much of your stuff. My mommy wouldn't be able to make sense of it. It is disjointed, poorly punctuated, misspelled crap. Writing it in all caps won't help it make sense. Repeatedly claiming a high IQ won't help it. Want to show us how bright you are? Leave out the swearing and see if you can make yourself understood.

As to talking to us, you don't have to do that at all. It should be apparent to you that nobody here supports your broadcasts/information bulletins. Your several recent posts aren't doing anything to win you support.

Dave K8MN

2323. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 08:06:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110096373.892870.133960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103789

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > WELL IF I DIDN'T HAVE TO TALK TO A BUNCH OF <snip>, I WONT
> > GIVE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE <snip> THE COURTESY OF BEING NICE. NO YOU
> > LITTLE <snip> YOU JUST CAN <snip> READ! I SAID I TYPE FAST THAT WHY
IT
> > COMES OUT SCREWED UP, HAVE YOUR <snip> MOMMY READ THAT LINE TO YOU
SO
> > YOU CAN <snip> UNDERSTAND.
>
> Todd, you've gone from being thick-headed to being annoying. If
typing
> fast is your problem, why not slow it down so that your posts are
> coherent? I can't make sense of much of your stuff. My mommy
wouldn't
> be able to make sense of it. It is disjointed, poorly punctuated,
> misspelled crap. Writing it in all caps won't help it make sense.
> Repeatedly claiming a high IQ won't help it. Want to show us how
bright
> you are? Leave out the swearing and see if you can make yourself
> understood.
>
> As to talking to us, you don't have to do that at all. It should be
> apparent to you that nobody here supports your broadcasts/information
> bulletins. Your several recent posts aren't doing anything to win
you
> support.
>
The reasons that no one supports my inforamtion bulletins is the small
minority of amateur radio operators thinks that information bulletins
are illegal.
Todd N9OGL
> Dave K8MN

2324. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 11:36:36 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns961142BACCD08elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103790

```
Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
news:422A7313.6DD22B69@earthlink.net:

> "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
>> news:422A26CC.743D015E@earthlink.net:
>>
>> > "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in news:4229580A.BDD9A351
>> >> @earthlink.net:
>> >>
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > > It's been
>> >> >> > > nearly two years since the first of those 18 petitions
>> >> >> > > arrived at the FCC and the conservative-traditionalists
>> >> >> > > mounted much cross-fire to those nasty radicals wanting
>> >> >> > > dirty, rotten change (hack, ptui) from divine, blessed,
>> >> >> > > noble olde-tyme regulations. Code MUST stay! It is
>> >> >> > > "right!"
>> >> >> > > :-)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > You've really worked yourself into a froth today, old timer.
>> >> >> > Too much caffeine?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> More probably a lack of Geritol, Dave...Ot too much? Does
>> >> >> it matter? He's a putz either way.
>> >> >
>> >> > These demented rants of his grow more strange by the week. His
>> >> > factual errors are numerous. The legion of lurkers which he
>> >> > claimed would rise to the defense of his ideas never materialized
>> >> > and he's irrelevant to amateur radio in this country.
>> >> >
>> >> > Leonard really needs a new cause.
>> >> >
>> >> > Dave K8MN
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> I'm not a lurker, but I will say that Lennie is right. Granted I'm
```

>> >> not sure why he's still here, since he could obviously pick up a
>> >> no-code licence any time he feels like it and doesn't seem to want
>> >> to get on HF anyway? However, his satirical comments, whilst over
>> >> the top, seem to be right on the money.
>> >
>> > Noted. You're not a lurker. You support Len's idea for having a
>> > minimum age for entrance into U.S. amateur radio. You join "bb" in
>> > the Len Anderson Fan Club.
>
>> Where did you get that I support a minimum age? I don't.
>
> It is one of Len's "over the top" satirical comments (to the FCC). I
> take it that you aren't in favor of pedophiles in amateur radio either
> then.
>
> Dave K8MN

What kind of question is that? I don't think issuing licences to children makes much difference from that angle. When someone is on the air they are in a public place.

2325. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 12:53:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6-qdnTHOoInFYLffRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 103791

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110096373.892870.133960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>

[snip]

> The reasons that no one supports my inforamtion bulletins is the small
> minority of amateur radio operators thinks that information bulletins
> are illegal.
> Todd N9OGL

Absolutely no one has ever said information bulletins are illegal. So long as they meet the requirements of Part 97 there is no problem. However from your description of the content, they appear to be op ed pieces rather than information.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2326. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 13:07:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110114445.554420.15980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103792

Dee Flint wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1110096373.892870.133960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> > The reasons that no one supports my inforamtion bulletins is the
small
> > minority of amateur radio operators thinks that information
bulletins
> > are illegal.
>
> Absolutely no one has ever said information bulletins are illegal.
So long
> as they meet the requirements of Part 97 there is no problem.
However from
> your description of the content, they appear to be op ed pieces
rather than
> information.

Maybe "Todd" can sell time on his "broadcast" so Lennie can get
some mike time.

Other than Part 95 or Part 15, it will be the only way his voice
ever hits the airwaves.

Steve, K4YZ

2327. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 13:40:10 UTC
From: john sumner <josumner1964@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1110115659.33ded97420ba4d2fa1e913def981dec4@bubbanews>
Source record: 103793

N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110090988.500736.26430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> WELL IF I DIDN'T HAVE TO TALK TO A BUNCH OF FUCKING ASSHOLES, I WONT
> GIVE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE BITCH WHORE THE COURTESY OF BEING NICE. NO YOU
> LITTLE FUCKER YOU JUST CAN FUCKING READ! I SAID I TYPE FAST THAT WHY IT
> COMES OUT SCREWED UP, HAVE YOUR FUCKING MOMMY READ THAT LINE TO YOU SO
> YOU CAN FUCKING UNDERSTAND.
>
Why are you so angry, but of curse you a a troll and somewhat amusing, so i
will spare you my bozo file for now.

2328. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 13:49:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110116975.511367.188750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103794

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:42293114.BFEC2353@earthlink.net...
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org woke up from a long winter's nap and wrote:
> >>
> >> On Thurs, Mar 3 2005 11:04 pm, "Dee Flint" (calmed down from a
previous
> >> hissy fit) jumped into a so-called conversation and shouted out:
> >>
> >> >"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:Xns960B8511E2092elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> >> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> >> >> news:1109613195.980821.319080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
> >> >
> >> >[snip]
> > >
> >> >> Are there
> >> >> thousands of hams that could pass the General or Extra theory
> >> trapped
> >> >> above 30 MHz? Yes.
> >> >
> >> >There are no hams "trapped above 30Mhz.
> >>
> >> Riiiiight, Mama Dee. Nobody is trapped. No-coders are
NOBODIES.
> > >
> > > Incorrect, grizzled ancient one. No coders have the same
opportunity to
> > > pass the piddling, easy 5 wpm code test as anyone else.

Even the unlicensed have the same oppotunities...It's just that
SOME of them don't ahve the cajones to take the test...Mostly becasue
it's illegal to take the test open-book.

Lennie couldn't do it otherwise. He keeps making significant
error-of-fact even when he has plenty of opportunity to "get it right"
before he posts in here...pity that!

> >> Riiiight, Dee, the "only" purpose of HF is "to work DX with
CW."
> > >
> > > Nobody is peddling that claim except for you and you aren't
involved
> > > with DX or CW.
> >

> Fortunately I only see Len's idiotic comments when someone replies to
them.
> Notice how he has inserted material that wasn't even there. I've
worked
> plenty of non-DX stations on HF. He ignores the fact that the same
> propagation principles apply to domestic and international
communications.

Ironic that Lennie claims that he's such a brilliant engineer.

Lot's of NON engineer types have some very enviable "DX" records
never operating below 144MHz, let alone on 50MHz. Quite a few DXCC's
awarded for VHF operations.

THAT takes skill...perseverence...knowledge...determination.

In other words...characteristics Lennie lacks.

> I am also amazed at how he keeps flattering me by using the term
"Mama Dee",
> since this is the most important job in the world for any woman with
> children. He probably intends for it to be derogatory but has failed

> miserably in that regard.

You'll fogive Lennie's mock-derogatory attack on your gender, Dee.
Lennie's own female significant other wouldn't favor him with
offspring, hence most of his angst vis-a-vis women, and I assume his
misdirected attack on pre-teen licensure in Amateur Radio (no practical
experience in child development).

He even digs in pretty deep on Kim who's own position on many
issues actually parallels his own. Ya gots ta wonder why he would
publically slap around a potential ally.

> >> >They may not stick with it until conditions improve since they
won't
> >> have
> >> >the skills to participate in the second most used mode of
shortwave
> >> >communications.
> >>
> >> Wow, all that struggle just to be "second best!" Such
"incentive!"
> >>
> >> Gots to "work DX on HF with CW." On "shortwave!"
> >
> > Those of us who care to will. How are you involved?
> >
> Notice how he cannot distiguish between 2nd best and 2nd most used.
These
> two phrases have entirely different meanings.

Lennie has a LOT of trouble distinguishing between a LOT of
things, Dee...

Like "truth" and "mistruth". They are interchangeable in Lennie's
world.

And if he were a licensed Amateur, Lennie would know how much
significantly more challenging it is to obtain a DXCC above 50MHz than
below it.

Makes those who do it a lot more remarkable in my book...

> >> BTW, the rest of the radio world uses the term "shortwave" to
refer
> >> to small wavelengths measured in centimeters.
> >
> > That's simply incorrect. The term has been defined and understood
for
> > many, many decades. If some Johnny-come-lately wants to act as if
he
> > has just invented the terms "shortwave" or "wireless" let him join
you
> > in looking like a complete boob.
> >
> >> It's in all the trade
> >> magazines. Oops, I forgot. Real hams don't read industry
trades.
> >> The only approved publications about radio come from the ARRL.
> >
> > Real hams may read anything they choose and even a non-ham like
yourself
> > may look at QST.
> >
> >> Work, struggle with all one's might to become "second best."
> >> Mediocre is the word.
> >
> > You whine and whine over a measly five wpm morse code test and you
dare
> > write of mediocrity?
>
> Again he is totally fuzzy on the difference between 2nd best and 2nd
most
> used.

Mediocrity kept Lennie away from at least one "aerospace" job I
know of.

No doubt it kept him from others too.

But he DID "have his hands in" several "aerospace" jobs...No doubt
some of the early Vanguard missions and at least one Space Shuttle
mission.

> >> Or, to keep the "CW" bandplan open as an
> >> olde-tymer's playground/sandbox to keep their righteousnesses
> >> happy. "Real" radio operators do "CW." All important, vital,
> >> necessities, righteous. Get and keep federal LAW to TEST all
> >> hobbyists operating below 30 MHz or the sky will fall!
> >
> > Seems as if you're really swinging from the chandelier today,
Leonard.

Ironic then that the ONLY "CW bandplan" is in V/UHF spectrum,
huh...?!?!

(Lennie and Brain call me "nuts", but then they keep making
assinine assertions in the face of well known, publically accepted
standards to the contrary of thier "understanding" of
things...Sheeeeesh...)

73

Steve, K4YZ

2329. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 14:02:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110115499.953580.314740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103795

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in

> >> Where did you get that I support a minimum age? I don't.
> >
> > It is one of Len's "over the top" satirical comments (to the FCC).
I
> > take it that you aren't in favor of pedophiles in amateur radio
either
> > then.
>
> What kind of question is that? I don't think issuing licences to
children
> makes much difference from that angle. When someone is on the air
they are
> in a public place.

It's mathematics, Alun.... A = B = C.

You made statements approving of Lennie's "satirical" comments.

Lennie approves of a minimum age for Amateurs and has made (very
poorly worded) statements that appear to suggest he approves of
pedophiles in Amateur Radio. (I doubt he supports pedophilia, however
he WOULD support ANYthing that could blacken Amateur Radio's public
perception...)

Therefore you approve of a minimum age and pedophiles in Amateur
Radio.

I have every reason to doubt that you do support a minimum age for
Amateurs and I'd be shocked if you support pedophilia, however this is
a very clear example of why it is NOT possible to "have your cake and
eat it too" with regards to "free speech".

Lennie made some really idiotic comments vis-a-vis the presence of
pedophiles in Amateur Radio. I would HOPE that he didn't mean for
things to come out the way he said, although it's NOT in his nature to
back away from even the stupidest things he's done.

Lennie has also out-and-out lied over and over again vis-a-vis
Amatuer Radio and his personal "achivements".

And again, YOU stated that his comments were "over the top", but
were right on spot.

So....Would YOU care to retract or modify any part of your
declaration of "support" for Leonard, or was it indeed your intent to
"support" his mistruths, outright deceit, poor grammar and verbal
mayhem upon Amateur Radio...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

2330. INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION LIVE ON ECHOLINK MARCH 8th TUES 1410GMT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 14:37:46 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <422e1595.1051739@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 103796

INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION LIVE ON ECHOLINK MARCH 8th TUES 1410GMT

The next International Space Station's Expedition 10 ARISS school contact will be with students at Rains High School in Emory, Texas on Tuesday, 8 March 2005. The event is scheduled to begin at approximately 14:10 GMT.

This contact will be a telebridge between stations NA1SS and WH6PN in Honolulu, Hawai'i, so it should be audible to anyone in the areas of the Pacific near the islands listening in on the 145.80 MHz downlink. The participants will conduct the conversation in English.

"Rains High School, a county school located in one of the smallest counties in Texas, is comprised of mostly low-income students who often do not get the opportunity to participate in enriching programs. The opportunity to talk with the astronauts on the International Space Station will expose our students to a world that exists beyond the boundaries of Rains County. The questions asked of the astronauts and the ideas generated by the answers will be used as jumping off points for further discussion and research."

Audio should be available for this contact Via EchoLink in the following conference rooms:
AMSAT node 101377
EDU_NET node 77992
See further notes below
Via IRLP Reflector REF9307 starting at 14:00 UTC
REGISTRATION REQUIRED (see below)
Via the internet:
URL: https://e-meetings.mci.com/
CONFERENCE NUMBER: 6037948
PASSCODE: SPACE STATIO

Students will ask as many of the following questions as time allows:

1. How would a baby's development in the womb differ if the mother were in space?
2. What do you think will be the greatest technological advancement that will come out of the research you all are doing now?
3. How do you monitor your radiation exposure?
4. How did you adjust to Newton's third law of motion while in space?

5. Since you have been in space so long, have you had the
opportunity to notice changes that have occurred on the surface
of the earth from your perspective?
6. You have learned a lot of science, but what was the one thing
you did or experiment you performed, while in space,
that you remembered reading or studying about in school, that made
you say, "Wow, it really does work that way"?
7. Do you think people will be able to spend the rest of their
lives living on the space station?
8. Could a sphere large enough to let a small fish swim in it be
formed in near gravity and could a fish actually swim in
it?
9. What is the material used on the space station and how safe is
it from space debris?
10. Are there any lubricants on the space station and if there are,
how are they affected by weightlessness?
11. Have there been any new discoveries by researchers in space
concerning the Texas state molecule, The Bucky Ball, C-60?
12. Are you allowed to carry person items and if so, is there a rule
on how much you can take?
13. Do you ever get to review the final results of the experiments
you help to conduct on the ISS?
14. What does it feel like when the shuttle takes off?
Does it hurt?
15. If you were to push a hot wheels car on a circular loop in space
in the space station, would it go forever and maintain
the same speed?

Please note, the amateur equipment on the ISS will be turned off
prior to the beginning of the contact. It will be returned
to service as quickly as possible.

ARISS is an international educational outreach program partnering
the participating space agencies, NASA, Russian Space
Agency, ESA, CNES, JAXA, and CSA, with the AMSAT and IARU
organisations from participating countries. ARISS offers an
opportunity for students to experience the excitement of Amateur
Radio by talking directly with crewmembers on-board the
International Space Station.
Teachers, parents and communities see, first hand, how Amateur Radio
and crewmembers on ISS can energize youngsters'
interest in science, technology, and learning.
Further information on the ARISS programme is available on the
website http://www.rac.ca/ariss (graciously hosted by the
Radio Amateurs of Canada). Information about the next scheduled ARISS
contact can be found at
http://www.rac.ca/ariss/upcoming.htm#NextContact.

IRLP Notes
Participation in this contact is limited to 20 connections
and requires pre-registration.

Please contact Wayne, VE1WPH, via e-mail at ve1wph@rac.ca
for pre-registration and connection instructions.

EchoLink Notes
The contact between the ISS and school lasts for about 15
minutes +/-. During this contact, we appreciate everyone's
patience and understanding. We must mute everyone except
Dieter, KX4Y to avoid inadvertent, interfering
transmissions into the conference room. Thanks for your
understanding and co-operation.

Thank you & 73,
Scott H. Stevens / N3ASA
ARISS Team Member

2331. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 14:39:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110119947.923282.135580@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103797

Dee Flint wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1110096373.892870.133960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
>
> [snip]
>
> > The reasons that no one supports my inforamtion bulletins is the
small
> > minority of amateur radio operators thinks that information
bulletins
> > are illegal.
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Absolutely no one has ever said information bulletins are illegal.
So long
> as they meet the requirements of Part 97 there is no problem.
However from
> your description of the content, they appear to be op ed pieces
rather than
> information.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Show me what regulations and/or rules that state an information bulletin can't be opinionated. Many Amateurs on this newsgroup believe that information bulletins can't be opinionated. There is no rules that states that an information bulletin can't be opinionated, because if it did it would be a violation of Section 326. My opinions on my bulletin address many amateur radio issues, and only amateur radio issues. Regardless of what many people on this newsgroup think I have the law on my side. but feel free to prove me wrong.

TODD N9OGL

2332. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 15:27:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110122869.030889.226320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103798

Dee, I'll go a little farther, No where in the rules does it state that an information bulletin can be opinionated. The only thing the rules state is that an information bulletin must be "amateur radio related". Thus, anything outside that scope would be consider broadcasting. For example, if someone one was to run an information bulletin about what he thinks is a good antenna for DX and how to set up; it would fall under the scope. But if Someone was to run an information bulletin about what they think about the presidents economic plan, then it would fall outside the scope of an inforamtion bulletin and fall into the range of broadcasting. I not a gambler but I would bet the federal courts would see it that way too.

Todd N9OGL

Dee Flint wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1110096373.892870.133960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
>
> [snip]
>
> > The reasons that no one supports my inforamtion bulletins is the
small
> > minority of amateur radio operators thinks that information
bulletins
> > are illegal.
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Absolutely no one has ever said information bulletins are illegal.
So long
> as they meet the requirements of Part 97 there is no problem.
However from
> your description of the content, they appear to be op ed pieces
rather than
> information.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2333. (BPL) Virtual Spectrum- Global Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 16:34:23 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <jiGWd.56698$uc.24720@trnddc03>
Source record: 103799

I finally got the Provisional Patent filed for
my BPL work-around, Virtual Spectrum (tm).
Server is up and running and everything is ready.
Next step- some friends will
help with tests- one in Europe, one in
Australia and two in the U.S.
There will be a low bandwidth and
a high-bandwidth trail. First will
stream a few KCs of 40 meters and include
several CW messages at various frequencies,
including a couple close together so we
can figure the best levels without cross-talk.
Next will be a high-bandwidth trial with
CW, SSB, FM and some other mode yet
to be decided, streaming about 40 KC of
the band. Then, a live, continuous
stream of the bottom 40 KC of 20 meters
from my location, which will run for a few days.
I hope they don't put BPL here in the mean time.

After these tests are concluded,
the next step will be configuring for
2-way QSOs, which is just a matter of
setting up the software and T/R switching.
I ain't had this much fun with a radio project
since I was a novice. :-).

73 Dave Stinson AB5S

2334. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 16:45:59 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bPqdnXzTFJx-rrbfRVn-2w@comcast.com>
Source record: 103850

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110122869.030889.226320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Dee, I'll go a little farther, No where in the rules does it state that
> an information bulletin can be opinionated. The only thing the rules
> state is that an information bulletin must be "amateur radio related".
> Thus, anything outside that scope would be consider broadcasting. For
> example, if someone one was to run an information bulletin about what
> he thinks is a good antenna for DX and how to set up; it would fall
> under the scope. But if Someone was to run an information bulletin
> about what they think about the presidents economic plan, then it would
> fall outside the scope of an inforamtion bulletin and fall into the
> range of broadcasting. I not a gambler but I would bet the federal
> courts would see it that way too.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>

Everyone would agree that information on what is a good antenna for DX or or government rulings on antennas would be within the scope of Part 97. And everyone would agree that a piece on the president's economic plan is outside the scope. No one has ever said otherwise.

However an editorial on what you think hams should be doing quite possibly may not classify as information. There's little in an editorial piece that is of use to hams. Although editorials are derived from facts, they themselves are not intended to convey information.

The other item that makes things look bad is that from your posts, it appears as if you are using the ham bands because you were turned down for a broadcast license. Even if you restrict your content to Part 97 to keep it legal, it looks as if your interest is broadcasting rather than ham radio. So why bother? It's too limiting for your objective of being a broadcaster.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2335. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 17:27:10 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96117E2B4D34Celektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 103851

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in news:1110115499.953580.314740
@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
>
>> >> Where did you get that I support a minimum age? I don't.
>> >
>> > It is one of Len's "over the top" satirical comments (to the FCC). I
>> > take it that you aren't in favor of pedophiles in amateur radio
>> > either then.
>>
>> What kind of question is that? I don't think issuing licences to
>> children makes much difference from that angle. When someone is on the
>> air they are in a public place.
>
> It's mathematics, Alun.... A = B = C.
>
> You made statements approving of Lennie's "satirical" comments.
>
> Lennie approves of a minimum age for Amateurs and has made (very
> poorly worded) statements that appear to suggest he approves of
> pedophiles in Amateur Radio. (I doubt he supports pedophilia, however
> he WOULD support ANYthing that could blacken Amateur Radio's public
> perception...)
>
> Therefore you approve of a minimum age and pedophiles in Amateur
> Radio.
>
> I have every reason to doubt that you do support a minimum age for
> Amateurs and I'd be shocked if you support pedophilia, however this is
> a very clear example of why it is NOT possible to "have your cake and
> eat it too" with regards to "free speech".
>
> Lennie made some really idiotic comments vis-a-vis the presence of
> pedophiles in Amateur Radio. I would HOPE that he didn't mean for
> things to come out the way he said, although it's NOT in his nature to
> back away from even the stupidest things he's done.
>
> Lennie has also out-and-out lied over and over again vis-a-vis
> Amatuer Radio and his personal "achivements".
>
> And again, YOU stated that his comments were "over the top", but

> were right on spot.
>
> So....Would YOU care to retract or modify any part of your
> declaration of "support" for Leonard, or was it indeed your intent to
> "support" his mistruths, outright deceit, poor grammar and verbal
> mayhem upon Amateur Radio...???
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

It should be apparent even to an idiot that I was referring to a particular comment of Len's, not to everything he has ever said!

2336. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 17:27:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422B3DD1.C00DE1A0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103852

"Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
> news:422A7313.6DD22B69@earthlink.net:
>
> > "Alun L. Palmer" wrote:
> >>
> >> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
> >> news:422A26CC.743D015E@earthlink.net:

> >> >> I'm not a lurker, but I will say that Lennie is right. Granted I'm
> >> >> not sure why he's still here, since he could obviously pick up a
> >> >> no-code licence any time he feels like it and doesn't seem to want
> >> >> to get on HF anyway? However, his satirical comments, whilst over
> >> >> the top, seem to be right on the money.
> >> >
> >> > Noted. You're not a lurker. You support Len's idea for having a
> >> > minimum age for entrance into U.S. amateur radio. You join "bb" in
> >> > the Len Anderson Fan Club.
> >
> >> Where did you get that I support a minimum age? I don't.
> >
> > It is one of Len's "over the top" satirical comments (to the FCC). I
> > take it that you aren't in favor of pedophiles in amateur radio either
> > then.

> What kind of question is that?

"Can't have any pedophiles in ham radio, no sir!"

--Len Anderson March 4, 2005

That kind of question.

> I don't think issuing licences to children
> makes much difference from that angle. When someone is on the air they are
> in a public place.

I don't believe you are keeping up, Alun. I don't see a single problem
necessitating the need for a minimum age for amateur radio licensing.
Len does. His comments to the FCC are there to see.

His latest comment about pedophiles is certainly "over the top".

I'd be careful before writing "Lennie is right" unless you know
everything Len has stated--also because he deplores being called
"Lennie", never mind all the things he has called others.

Dave K8MN

2337. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 18:18:47 UTC
From: Scott Slack <sslack@cyberport.net>
Message-ID: <422b4a2c$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 103853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Proposal: Make 11 meters available to to HAMs as secondary use. Power restricted to 100 Watts. Then the HAMs can have QSOs with CBers.
-Scott

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2338. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 19:18:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110136701.122631.254200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103854

From: Mike Coslo who, unable to quite reach the edges of space
(at least today), inflated the following balloon on Sat, Mar 5 2005
9:50 pm
>Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
>> Thankyou. I think the point of Len's little tirade was that some
here seem
>> to be opposed to change at any cost, and that certainly has a ring
of truth
>> to it. Of course, he may have expressed that in a very sarcastic
way, but
>> that doesn't mean he's necessarily wrong.
>
> Of course he places me in that group also.

Tsk. I "placed you in that group?" NO.

Coslo was NOT mentioned by name.

Coslo, seeming to be super-sensitive, placed HIMSELF in that
"category." :-)

>Solely on my support for the Element 1 test.

Tsk. More over-sensitivity. Uber-sensitivity maybe...

>The picture he paints of me is nowhere near the truth.

More tsk. Had I painted your picture, it would be accurate.
Illustrators paint pictures (or draw them) as they are.

>But broad brushes paint broad swaths, and apparently if a person is in

>favor of a Morse code test, it is then mandatory that they are a
>Morsodist, an "Olde Tyme Hamme" and in favor of the "Archaic
>Radiotelegraphy Society, right?

Tsk. Lack of knowledge on the tools of the illustrator.
"Broad brushes" (used by illustrators) can, indeed, paint
little lines or points. That's called "technique with the
medium."

Coslo, what you have done is merely to fall face-down
on a palette-plate of wet paint and gotten yourself dirty.
If you can't watch where you are stepping, don't blame
others for your falling. Wipe yourself off and try again.

>No other possibility exists?

Not in THIS newsgroup! :-) PCTA fantasy is:

"Real" hams know code! CB is evil, wicked, mean, and
nasty, full of "illegals" and "law violators," every one of
them! NO ONE may say anything against the ARRL for
any reason for feer of invoking lightning from the gods!
The only ones "qualified" to operate radio transmitters
below 30 MHz are those who passed a federal test for
morse code cognition! This newsgroup is a Chat Room
for the PCTA, where they can hang and make a group
blog on the whichness of the what (the what not
necessarily related to radio).

Above all, NO ONE may say anything in here (or to
the government) about amateur radio without a valid
amateur radio license! [the "new" Bill of Rights] That
SO upsets all those olde-tyme hammes who "rule"
the world of ham just from tenure in that lifestyle. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2339. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 19:20:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110136859.916492.293810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103855

Dave Heil, wearing his invisible royal robes as Archbishop of
the Church of St. Hiram, turned livid with apoplexy and blurted
out the following personal anguish on

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote on Sun, Mar 6 2005 6:06 am:
>
>> Alun, you must understand that Robeson's name-calling is a long-
>> established habit of his, his own defense against not having a
valid
>> opinion/comeback on the subject in a thread. He goes for the
>> Personal Attack and then loses his objectivity in his own insults
>> while manufacturing "issues" that aren't there.
>
>"Big badass Dave"

Tsk. NO ONE can tell big badass Dave what to do! :-)

>"not wearing the SS uniform with twin lightning bolts but otherwise
>acting like a downsized Gestapo veteran"

Tsk. The Waffen SS had to go...try to accept downsizing with
SOME semblance of grace and dignity. Return the uniform to
Central Casting...the rental fee is overdue.

>"The Avenging Angle"
>
>"concerning the Avenging Angle's further adventures in 'waste of
time'"

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Did big badass Dave have "seven hostile
actions, TOO?

Temper fry...

>"Jimmy Who and cronies"

You know who... :-)

>This sampling from the past couple of weeks of r.r.a.p. were all
written
>by Leonard Anderson, the guy who "goes for the Personal Attack and
then
>loses his objectivity in his own insults while manufacturing 'issues'
>that aren't there".

Poor big badass Dave, another uber-sensitive PCTA extra who
pretends to "rule" in amateur radio. All fully of hissies today.

Can't take return fire like a seasoned combat veteran of
Vietnam in-country? Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>It matters not when it was written nor does it matter that you didn't
>follow it up. It matters that you wrote it.

Oh, my! "Once written, twice wry!" :-)

There are legal procedures for big badass Dave to take in
forcing the FCC to disregard what I wrote. I would suggest
a good attorney for consultation into filing charges of felony
mindslaughter and violation of the "new" Bill of Rights in
regards to amateur radio! Hurry! The statute of limitations
may run out soon! [statute in this case has clay feet,
doesn't run fast]

>You've never disavowed it or asked that the FCC disregard it.

Why should I? :-)

>The charge was made because it is absolutely true.

We ARE in agreement! ARRL news featured the story
of two adorable young children becoming, possibly, the
"youngest hams" at age 6. :-)

>You tap danced then as you are tap dancing now.

Never professionally! :-) Not even in an amateur production!

I used to date a professional dancer a long time ago
(a "gypsy" in the TV biz). We never danced vertically.

I did get to put on some Haney Plates though, learned
a few time-steps. :-)

Nice of you to remind me of days long ago...

>Now you wouldn't want to be presented with any facts that would give
lie
>to the statement above, would you?

Irrelevant. PCTA extras in here don't recognize reality or
the real differences between right and wrong...anything
said against any PCTA extra is autormatically a "lie."

Sometimes it looks like PCTA extras bathe in Lie Soap.

>I don't know of a single soul here who has ever made that claim or
>anything near it.

No need for you to do that. You RULE amateur radio.
Those who RULE need not have any precedent for
their statements. [they are "precedent for life?"]

>Don't worry about it too much, Leonard. You don't have an amateur
radio

>license to deserve.

Tsk. I have a commercial radio license, had one for a
long time. I've had a long career IN radio-electronics
beginning in radio in early 1953...which is ten years
longer than big badass Dave and his first teen-age
amateure Novice thingy. :-)

>I'll grant you that anytime you show up, it is deep.

...and cold, too! :-)

>Let me ask you a couple of plain question to see if I can get some
>straight answer from you, Len.

What, and take all the joy out of your compulsive-obsessive
behavior in here to attempt word-triumph over selected
writers? :-)

>When is the last time that you ever admitted to any wrongdoing
>in here?

According to newsgroup RULEZ (written by PCTA extras)
it was the very last posting I made...ANY posting. :-)

ALL postings, in fact. According to those RULEZ,
NO "unlicensed" (in the amateur radio service) poster has
"any business saying anything about amateur radio!" :-)

[of course, amateur radio is, by definition, NOT about
any business, is it? :-)]

Further, the RULEZ say that ALL PCTA extras can make
any charges, ask questions which NCTAs MUST answer!
The "penalty" for not answering - as the PCTA extras
expect - is severe: Many many posts and quotes from
Google DEMANDING answers sufficient to satisfy
those PCTA extra gods of radio. Woe to all when the
PCTA extras' wounds still fester and grow gangrenous!

>I don't need the ARRL's input to tangle with the likes of you.

Tsk. ARRL is not involved in my "likes." :-)

>Do you believe in your self-righteous attitudes, Len?

Ennumerate those "attitudes," big badass Dave.
Show your work. [which is going to be lines and
lines and lines and lines of Google quotes...:-)]

I have this funny belief in FREEDOM, a wonderful
thing about freedom of expression and the freedom
of being able to communicate with my government
to make changes. [that must not be in big badass
Dave's world...]

That FREEDOM used to be guaranteed to all U.S.
citizens. However, NOT in U.S. amateur radio
where ONLY the PCTA extras want to RULE. Tsk.

>Are you demented?

No. :-) I'm just a work professional in radio-
electronics who has had a hobby of all electronics
longer than I've been a professional. I'm terribly
fascinated by the outrage and hatred presented
by some self-righteous knowitalls who pretend to
RULE an activity - especially a hobby - just
because they think they RULE. :-)

>How much of a fanatic would one have to be to spend
>years in jousting with participants in an avocation in which you have
no
>part?

Tsk. Look in the mirror, big badass Dave. If you
actually have a reflection, ask your image why
he persists in the compulsive-obsessive action
against only certain individuals, trying to attack
their person constantly. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2340. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 19:41:46 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110138106.930054.274110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Steveo wrote:
> Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com> wrote:
> > On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
> > <AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:
> >
> > >On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:55:28 -0500, Len pooped out:
> > >
> > >
> > >>>
> > >> It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you. Thus it's not
free,
> > >> so there's no freeband.... :-)
> > >>
> > >> Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that you, if you
have a
> > >> General or Extra class ham license, can do legally in the 10
meter ham
> > >> band?
> > >>
> > >> Odds of getting caught and fined? Miniscule.
> > >
> > >
> > >Riiiiiight. Go ahead and keep at it then, it's just a matter of
time.
> > >They ARE going after people...
> >
> > I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...
> >
> I know of three within 15 miles of me.
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

what are there callsigns? or do they have to hide like you?

2341. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 20:02:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110139338.241554.303210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103857

From: "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> on Sat, 5 Mar 2005 10:24:14 am

>Fortunately I only see Len's idiotic comments when someone replies to them.

Tsk. PCTA Double Standard invoked. :-)

Speaking against morse code is "idiotic!" :-)

Ostrich syndrome. Hiding one's head in the sand does NOT make them disappear. :-)

>Notice how he has inserted material that wasn't even there. I've worked
>plenty of non-DX stations on HF. He ignores the fact that the same
>propagation principles apply to domestic and international communications.

"Ignore?" :-) Hardly.

The SAME laws of physics apply to ALL radio, Dee.

Electrons, fields and waves ignore human divisions of "domestic" and "international." They also ignore the human divisions of "ham radios" versus all other radios.

I first started doing both domestic and international radio communications 52 years ago. Laws of physics haven't changed in all that half century.

>I am also amazed at how he keeps flattering me by using the term "Mama Dee",
>since this is the most important job in the world for any woman with
>children. He probably intends for it to be derogatory but has failed
>miserably in that regard.

Tsk. I suppose you want to "discipline" those who make derogatory to you? :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Trying to treat grown-ups as if they are children is NOT a mature behavior. :-)

>Notice how he cannot distiguish between 2nd best and 2nd most used. These
>two phrases have entirely different meanings.

Depends on whether one is in REALITY or the fantasy of the PCTA extras. :-)

The rest of the radio world thinks of morse code as a
"Once Was," and such isn't in any "2nd," nor "3rd," nor
any category. :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk. ONLY in amateur radio is that old 1844
morse code considered an ultimate skill achievement
worthy of amateur radio license "qualification!" Wow!

Hello? Can anyone say "RETRO?" :-)

>Again he is totally fuzzy on the difference between 2nd best and 2nd
most
>used.

Tsk. I've offended Mother Ham! [isn't that awful?!?]

Well, one out of two ain't bad (I really like apple pie). :-)

[try the phrase "mom and apple pie" for those who are
connect-the-dot impaired... :-)]

Yup...the following is a catechism of the Church of St. Hiram
following:

>> Or, to keep the "CW" bandplan open as an
>> olde-tymer's playground/sandbox to keep their righteousnesses
>> happy. "Real" radio operators do "CW." All important, vital,
>> necessities, righteous. Get and keep federal LAW to TEST all
>> hobbyists operating below 30 MHz or the sky will fall!

To the PCTA extras, morse code IS first! Hear ye! Hear ye!

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2342. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 21:17:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422B7380.C26140A2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103858

LenAnderson@ieee.org, picturing another wearing invisible robes, wrote:
>
> Dave Heil, wearing his invisible royal robes as Archbishop of
> the Church of St. Hiram, turned livid with apoplexy and blurted
> out the following personal anguish on

Does it please you to think of me wearing something invisible, Len?
That's quite a creepy fantasy you've worked up.

> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote on Sun, Mar 6 2005 6:06 am:
> >
> >> Alun, you must understand that Robeson's name-calling is a long-
> >> established habit of his, his own defense against not having a
> valid
> >> opinion/comeback on the subject in a thread. He goes for the
> >> Personal Attack and then loses his objectivity in his own insults
> >> while manufacturing "issues" that aren't there.
> >
> >"Big badass Dave"
>
> Tsk. NO ONE can tell big badass Dave what to do! :-)

Can anyone tell you what to do, little wizened Leonard?

> >"not wearing the SS uniform with twin lightning bolts but otherwise
> >acting like a downsized Gestapo veteran"
>
> Tsk. The Waffen SS had to go...try to accept downsizing with
> SOME semblance of grace and dignity.

I don't belong to the Waffen SS, Leonid. First it is invisible robes
and now, make believe uniforms.

> Return the uniform to
> Central Casting...the rental fee is overdue.

...but I get to keep the invisible robes?

> >"The Avenging Angle"
> >
> >"concerning the Avenging Angle's further adventures in 'waste of
> time'"
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Did big badass Dave have "seven hostile
> actions, TOO?

I don't think that'd be any more your concern than amateur radio
regulation.

> Temper fry...
>
> >"Jimmy Who and cronies"
>
> You know who... :-)

Actually, I don't. I'm not acquainted with anyone of that name. :-)

> >This sampling from the past couple of weeks of r.r.a.p. were all
> written
> >by Leonard Anderson, the guy who "goes for the Personal Attack and
> then
> >loses his objectivity in his own insults while manufacturing 'issues'
> >that aren't there".
>
> Poor big badass Dave, another uber-sensitive PCTA extra who
> pretends to "rule" in amateur radio. All fully of hissies today.

Hissies? No, I simply pointed out that you continue to do that which
you accuse others of doing. You do it frequently.

> Can't take return fire like a seasoned combat veteran of
> Vietnam in-country? Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Does it somehow bother you that I'm a Vietnam combat veteran? Tsk.
Are you a combat veteran, Len? Are you writing of my Vietnam service in
order to make a point about the morse code debate?

> >It matters not when it was written nor does it matter that you didn't
> >follow it up. It matters that you wrote it.
>
> Oh, my! "Once written, twice wry!" :-)

Once written, here to stay. :-) :-)

> There are legal procedures for big badass Dave to take in
> forcing the FCC to disregard what I wrote. I would suggest
> a good attorney for consultation into filing charges of felony
> mindslaughter and violation of the "new" Bill of Rights in
> regards to amateur radio! Hurry! The statute of limitations
> may run out soon! [statute in this case has clay feet,
> doesn't run fast]

You made the statement to the FCC. The Commission has taken no steps to
implement your idea. It likely never will. Common sense prevails.

> >You've never disavowed it or asked that the FCC disregard it.
>
> Why should I? :-)

Would you like to be reminded of what you wrote in the wake of the heat
you took over the issue?

> >The charge was made because it is absolutely true.
>
> We ARE in agreement! ARRL news featured the story
> of two adorable young children becoming, possibly, the
> "youngest hams" at age 6. :-)

Yes, you advocated to the FCC, a minimum age for entry into amateur
radio.
We are in agreement.

> >You tap danced then as you are tap dancing now.
>
> Never professionally! :-) Not even in an amateur production!

Just here.

> I used to date a professional dancer a long time ago
> (a "gypsy" in the TV biz). We never danced vertically.

...and likely never danced horizontally.

> I did get to put on some Haney Plates though, learned
> a few time-steps. :-)

You were supposed to put them on your shoes.

> Nice of you to remind me of days long ago...

I don't know why you'd need help. You remind yourself of your days long
ago often.

> >Now you wouldn't want to be presented with any facts that would give
> lie
> >to the statement above, would you?
>
> Irrelevant.

Quite relevant, directly related.

> PCTA extras in here don't recognize reality or
> the real differences between right and wrong...anything
> said against any PCTA extra is autormatically a "lie."

Well, a lie is certainly a lie. You've lied.

> Sometimes it looks like PCTA extras bathe in Lie Soap.

Izzat the kind which makes it possible for us to recognize your lies?

> >I don't know of a single soul here who has ever made that claim or
> >anything near it.
>
> No need for you to do that.

No need to do what? Know of a single soul who has made the claim?

> You RULE amateur radio.
> Those who RULE need not have any precedent for
> their statements. [they are "precedent for life?"]

That's funny. I don't recall ever making a comment to the effect that I rule amateur radio. Can you come up with it from an archive?

> >Don't worry about it too much, Leonard. You don't have an amateur
> radio
> >license to deserve.
>
> Tsk. I have a commercial radio license, had one for a
> long time.

This isn't a commercial radio newsgroup. I wrote nothing about commercial radio licenses. What's the retort you are so fond of? Irrelevant.

> I've had a long career IN radio-electronics
> beginning in radio in early 1953

How nice for you.

> ...which is ten years
> longer than big badass Dave and his first teen-age
> amateure Novice thingy. :-)

Only fitting, since you're more than fifteen years older than me. If we can believe the actuarial tables, it'll even out. How is that supposed to be relevant? My comment was that you have no amateur radio license to deserve. That comment was and is correct.

> >I'll grant you that anytime you show up, it is deep.
>
> ...and cold, too! :-)

Childish....and old.

> >Let me ask you a couple of plain question to see if I can get some
> >straight answer from you, Len.
>
> What, and take all the joy out of your compulsive-obsessive
> behavior in here to attempt word-triumph over selected
> writers? :-)

Reminded of your own actions here? :-) :-)

> >When is the last time that you ever admitted to any wrongdoing
> >in here?
>
> According to newsgroup RULEZ (written by PCTA extras)
> it was the very last posting I made...ANY posting. :-)

Nope that wasn't a straight answer. When is the last time you ever admitted to any wrongdoing in here?

> ALL postings, in fact. According to those RULEZ,
> NO "unlicensed" (in the amateur radio service) poster has
> "any business saying anything about amateur radio!" :-)

No straight answer here. When was the last time you admitted to any
wrongdoing here?

> [of course, amateur radio is, by definition, NOT about
> any business, is it? :-)]

I don't see a straight answer here. When was the last time you admitted
to any wrongdoing here.

> Further, the RULEZ say that ALL PCTA extras can make
> any charges, ask questions which NCTAs MUST answer!
> The "penalty" for not answering - as the PCTA extras
> expect - is severe: Many many posts and quotes from
> Google DEMANDING answers sufficient to satisfy
> those PCTA extra gods of radio. Woe to all when the
> PCTA extras' wounds still fester and grow gangrenous!

Not a sign of a straight answer. When was the last time you admitted to
any wrongdoing here?

> >I don't need the ARRL's input to tangle with the likes of you.
>
> Tsk. ARRL is not involved in my "likes." :-)

It certainly isn't.

> >Do you believe in your self-righteous attitudes, Len?
>
> Ennumerate those "attitudes," big badass Dave.

Don't you know what your own self-righteous attitudes, little wizened
Len? You've just made an accusation about the "self-righteous
attitudes" of others. You didn't "ennumerate" them. Did you mean
"enumerate"?

> Show your work. [which is going to be lines and
> lines and lines and lines of Google quotes...:-)]

Are you trying to assign homework?

> I have this funny belief in FREEDOM, a wonderful
> thing about freedom of expression and the freedom
> of being able to communicate with my government
> to make changes. [that must not be in big badass
> Dave's world...]

You seem to believe in FREEDOM for yourself, but not for others with
ideas
at odds with yours. As evidenced by your voluminous word output here,
FREEDOM is a one-way street in your view.

> That FREEDOM used to be guaranteed to all U.S.
> citizens. However, NOT in U.S. amateur radio
> where ONLY the PCTA extras want to RULE. Tsk.

You've commented. Nobody applauded. Some laughed. Some ridiculed.
Some argued.

You have no guarantee that those things won't happen. Your freedoms
don't trump anyone else's freedoms. That's just the way it works.

> >Are you demented?
>
> No. :-) I'm just a work professional in radio-
> electronics who has had a hobby of all electronics
> longer than I've been a professional.

You could have done all that and still be demented.

> I'm terribly
> fascinated by the outrage and hatred presented
> by some self-righteous knowitalls who pretend to
> RULE an activity - especially a hobby - just
> because they think they RULE. :-)

Here's a surprise for you, Len: It is a cinch that you don't make the
rules for amateur radio. You're irrelevant to amateur radio, you
self-righteous know it all. :-)

> >How much of a fanatic would one have to be to spend
> >years in jousting with participants in an avocation in which you have
> no
> >part?
>
> Tsk. Look in the mirror, big badass Dave.

Okay, I've looked in the mirror. I'm not jousting with participants in
an avocation in which I have no part. I'm still an active, licensed
radio amateur.

> If you
> actually have a reflection, ask your image why
> he persists in the compulsive-obsessive action
> against only certain individuals, trying to attack
> their person constantly. :-)

On reflection, I believe I'll answer that question as soon as you do.
Perhaps you'll throw in the answers to the other two questions I asked,
the ones for which you provided no straight answer.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Dave k8mn@arrl.net

2343. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 21:25:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110144359.301949.15740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103859

"K4YZ" <frothing-at-the-mouth Avenging Angle, still mad as hell
and unable to take it anymore> posted this on Sun, Mar 6 2005 5:49
am:

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Dave Heil" <k...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:42293114.BFEC2353@earthlink.net...
>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org woke up from a long winter's nap and wrote:
>> >> On Thurs, Mar 3 2005 11:04 pm, "Dee Flint" (calmed down from a
previous
>> >> hissy fit) jumped into a so-called conversation and shouted out:
>> >> >"Alun L. Palmer" <elekt...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:Xns960B8511E2092elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>> >> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote in
>> >> >> news:1109613195.980821.319080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
>> >> >[snip]
>
>> >> >> Are there
>> >> >> thousands of hams that could pass the General or Extra theory
trapped
>> >> >> above 30 MHz? Yes.
>
>> >> >There are no hams "trapped above 30Mhz.
>
>> >> Riiiiight, Mama Dee. Nobody is trapped. No-coders are
NOBODIES.
>
>> > Incorrect, grizzled ancient one. No coders have the same
opportunity to
>> > pass the piddling, easy 5 wpm code test as anyone else.
>
> Even the unlicensed have the same oppotunities...It's just that
>SOME of them don't ahve the cajones to take the test...Mostly becasue
>it's illegal to take the test open-book.

Tsk. Stevie done took a bath in Lie Soap again. :-)

> Lennie couldn't do it otherwise. He keeps making significant
>error-of-fact even when he has plenty of opportunity to "get it right"

>before he posts in here...pity that!

Of course, "pity" that so few want to inhabit a world of
fantasy conjured up by the PCTA extras where Morse
Is King! :-)

> Ironic that Lennie claims that he's such a brilliant engineer.

Tsk, tsk. Stevie done IMAGINED something again. Bad,
Stevie, Bad!

I am an electronics design engineer by training and
experience, accepting money for such work. Did that
for years and years. I've not claimed otherwise. :-)

"Brilliant?!?" Only when I turn on the Xenon spotlight.
:-) [I don't glow in the dark yet... :-)]

> Lot's of NON engineer types have some very enviable "DX" records
>never operating below 144MHz, let alone on 50MHz. Quite a few DXCC's
>awarded for VHF operations.
>
> THAT takes skill...perseverence...knowled?ge...determination.
>
> In other words...characteristics Lennie lacks.

Tsk, tsk. How did the subject suddenly turn to VHF DX? :-)

Is big badass Stevie some kind of VHF operations DX hero?
Tell us all about your mighty (hostile?) actions at VHF and
above! I'm sure we can find at least one person in here who
has the patience to listen to such tales.

Tsk. As for "skills" and the rest, I was working with 1.8 GHz
multi-channel microwave radio terminals back in 1954, as
operations and maintenance supervisor of 9 of them in 1955.
All line-of-sight, of course, but operating 24/7 and had to.

> You'll fogive Lennie's mock-derogatory attack on your gender,
Dee.
> Lennie's own female significant other wouldn't favor him with
>offspring, hence most of his angst vis-a-vis women, and I assume his
>misdirected attack on pre-teen licensure in Amateur Radio (no
practical
>experience in child development).

Tsk, tsk, tsk. My wife and I will celebrate our 6th wedding
anniversary soon. :-)

Tsk. Stevie hasn't done a good work-up on his opponents in
here. Stevie needs to go back to Psychiatry 101 and review
what he didn't get the first time around. :-)

I'm not sure what this thing about "raising children" is
about...it's an artificiality brought up by those who just
want to FIGHT about a subject.

> He even digs in pretty deep on Kim who's own position on many
>issues actually parallels his own. Ya gots ta wonder why he would
>publically slap around a potential ally.

I "dig deep?" :-) "Slap around a potential ally?!?" :-)

Stevie must be on some kind of druggie Trip again. :-)

> Lennie has a LOT of trouble distinguishing between a LOT of
>things, Dee...
>
> Like "truth" and "mistruth". They are interchangeable in
Lennie's
>world.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. I live in REALITY, not in Stevie's fantasy world
where He sets the rulez and determines what is "truth" and
what is "lie." :-)

> And if he were a licensed Amateur, Lennie would know how much
>significantly more challenging it is to obtain a DXCC above 50MHz than

>below it.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Poor Stevie is trying to divert the subject.

I've worked above 50 MHz and I've worked below 50 MHz
since 1953 and continued to do so until 2004. Never had
to get an amateur radio license for that nor know morse
code, just used my First 'Phone first granted in 1956. :-)

> Makes those who do it a lot more remarkable in my book...

Stevie needs to get TWO books. And OPEN them. :-)

> Mediocrity kept Lennie away from at least one "aerospace" job I
>know of.

Which one was that? :-)

Was it Hughes Aircraft Company? Ramo-Wooldridge Corporation?
Micro-Radionics division of Systron-Donner? Birtcher Instruments?
Electro-Optical Systems (a division of Xerox Corporation)? Radio
Corporation of America? Teledyne Electronics? Rocketdyne (then
a division of Rockwell International, sold to Boeing Aircraft, with
a
current, pending sale to another corporation not yet completed)?

I've posted those places of employment in here before. You
really ought to get things straight. Tsk.

>> No doubt it kept him from others too.

Heh heh heh...I'm suuuure you think so...except I chose my
places of employment, was NEVER fired for any reason.

>> But he DID "have his hands in" several "aerospace" jobs...No
doubt
>>some of the early Vanguard missions and at least one Space Shuttle
>>mission.

I did?!? Stevie went off into some wild blue yonder of his own.

Tsk. "Aerospace" means both air and space. :-)

Rocketdyne designed and built - and still builds - the Space
Shuttle Main Engine (SSME). Three on every STS vehicle.
[that's "shuttle" to civilians] Liquid hydrogent - liquid oxygen
powered, throttleable thrust, 350,000 pounds of thrust
(awesome to feel one 1200 feet away in the blockhouse
during a test run). Each SSME has its own strap-on
computer to control the throttleable engine and measure
oxygen flow indirectly.

Tsk. I've never been directly involved in a "mission" of STS
(specific flight) and certainly not on the "Vanguard" program.

> (Lennie and Brain call me "nuts", but then they keep making
>assinine assertions in the face of well known, publically accepted
>standards to the contrary of thier "understanding" of
>things...Sheeeeesh...)

Well, little Stevie is 0 for naught on this message, hasn't gotten
one thing correct yet, wandered obliquely off on some tangent
subject in a transcendental haze. Poor guy should STAY on
his meds...and seek professional medical mental therapy.

But, Stevie IS a shining example of a modern PCTA amateur
extra! [oy veh! :-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2344. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 21:26:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422B75B2.A7A7C3EB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103860

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> on Sat, 5 Mar 2005 10:24:14
> am
>
> >Fortunately I only see Len's idiotic comments when someone replies to
> them.
>
> Tsk. PCTA Double Standard invoked. :-)
>
> Speaking against morse code is "idiotic!" :-)

Hell, she didn't write that, Len. She said your idiotic comments. :-)

> Ostrich syndrome. Hiding one's head in the sand does NOT
> make them disappear. :-)

It makes you disappear for her, Len. She's built a fence behind her
house so as not to have to view the unsightly landfill next door.
You're the unsightly landfill.

> >Notice how he has inserted material that wasn't even there. I've
> worked
> >plenty of non-DX stations on HF. He ignores the fact that the same
> >propagation principles apply to domestic and international
> communications.

> >I am also amazed at how he keeps flattering me by using the term "Mama
> Dee",
> >since this is the most important job in the world for any woman with
> >children. He probably intends for it to be derogatory but has failed
> >miserably in that regard.
>
> Tsk. I suppose you want to "discipline" those who make
> derogatory to you? :-)

Would you like to be disciplined by Dee, Leonard? Perhaps you can get
her to don some of those invisible robes you like to write about. Maybe
you'd prefer your other favorite, the Waffen SS outfit.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Trying to treat grown-ups as if they are
> children is NOT a mature behavior. :-)

It is if they insist on acting like children. :-)

> >Notice how he cannot distiguish between 2nd best and 2nd most used.
> These

> >two phrases have entirely different meanings.
>
> Depends on whether one is in REALITY or the fantasy
> of the PCTA extras. :-)
>
> The rest of the radio world thinks of morse code as a
> "Once Was," and such isn't in any "2nd," nor "3rd," nor
> any category. :-)

As soon as the "rest of the radio world" gets to dictate what happens in amateur radio, I'll begin to worry.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. ONLY in amateur radio is that old 1844
> morse code considered an ultimate skill achievement
> worthy of amateur radio license "qualification!" Wow!

Maybe you've noticed the name of the newsgroup.

> Hello? Can anyone say "RETRO?" :-)

> >Again he is totally fuzzy on the difference between 2nd best and 2nd
> most
> >used.

> Tsk. I've offended Mother Ham! [isn't that awful?!?]

You offend quite a number of folks, Len. Yes, it's awful.

Dave K8MN

2345. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 22:59:51 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <TJWdnaAdJdhQELbfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Scott Slack wrote:

> Proposal: Make 11 meters available to to HAMs as secondary use. Power
> restricted to 100 Watts. Then the HAMs can have QSOs with CBers.
> -Scott

Why would hams want to operate on 11 meters and talk to cbers?

2346. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 23:05:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <CfudnRdIY5GqErbfRVn-oQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103863

N9OGL wrote:

> WELL IF I DIDN'T HAVE TO TALK TO A BUNCH OF FUCKING ASSHOLES, I WONT
> GIVE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE BITCH WHORE THE COURTESY OF BEING NICE.

You dont' have to talk to anyone toddyboy, I don't see anyone holding a gun to your head making you post here. Although holding a gun to your head is obviously poses very little threat, as if it were fired it wouldn't hit much.

> NO YOU
> LITTLE FUCKER YOU JUST CAN FUCKING READ! I SAID I TYPE FAST THAT WHY IT
> COMES OUT SCREWED UP, HAVE YOUR FUCKING MOMMY READ THAT LINE TO YOU SO
> YOU CAN FUCKING UNDERSTAND.
>
> Todd N9OGL

I don't think typing speed has anything to do with it coming out screwed up...low intellegence maybe?

Now run back down to the mall and hide behind the artificial bushes and you may can pick up some more kewel words from the jr. highschoolers to try and impress us with.

Let us know when you pass through puberty and grow up a bit.

2347. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 23:13:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050306181353.066$eL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Scott Slack wrote:
>
> > Proposal: Make 11 meters available to to HAMs as secondary use. Power
> > restricted to 100 Watts. Then the HAMs can have QSOs with CBers.
> > -Scott
>
> Why would hams want to operate on 11 meters and talk to cbers?
>
Is that a valid email addy JJ, or are you using a valid domain without
permission?

2348. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 23:20:30 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110151230.179195.125160@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103864

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns960B8511E2092elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> > news:1109613195.980821.319080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> [snip]
>
> >> It's the classic case of a red herring diversion. Blame the code
test
> >> for everyhting bad while the real problems are not addressed.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY

> > It depends what you mean. Will repealing the code test
> > provide a vast
> > increase in numbers? No. Will it provide some increase? Yes.

> I'd say that's more like a maybe rather than a yes.

Good point!

> > Are there
> > thousands of hams that could pass the General or Extra theory
> > trapped above
> > 30 MHz? Yes.
>
> There are no hams "trapped above 30Mhz.

Agreed.

Consider how many Novices and Techs who have passed Element 1 have not
upgraded, even after almost 5 years. Are they "trapped"
because of the General written test?

> > Will there be a large increase in HF use? Yes.

> That is also a maybe. If the code is dropped this year,
> many will buy the
> rigs and try it but may be sadly disappointed in the results
> since we are in
> the trough of the sunspot cycle and results are so often poor
> right now.

Depends on what people expect.

If someone expects to put up a ground-mounted "all band" vertical,
connect a 100 W transceiver and make SSB DXCC in

a few weeks without much effort, they'll probably be
sadly disappointed.

OTOH, 80 meter CW has been great the past couple of weeks. Good QSOs of
a couple hundred miles with modest stations at both ends.

All depends what you expect.

> They may not stick with it until conditions improve since
> they won't have
> the skills to participate in the second most used mode
> of shortwave communications.

Second most used by hams, anyway.

But I wonder if it would even get that far. The license
is only the first step - getting a station together and
working is a much bigger task than passing Element 1.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2349. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 23:22:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110151326.835249.202570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103865

From: Dave Heil <RulerUfDasHam@oldetymes.comt>, another poor baby outraged and can't take it anymore shouted into his keyboard on Sun, Mar 6 2005 1:17 pm:

>LenAnder...@ieee.org, picturing another wearing invisible robes, wrote:
>
>> Dave Heil, wearing his invisible royal robes as Archbishop of
>> the Church of St. Hiram, turned livid with apoplexy and blurted
>> out the following personal anguish on
>
>Does it please you to think of me wearing something invisible, Len?

Tsk. From the old folk fable of "The Emperor's New Clothes."

The emperor (that's you) got talked into some expensive NEW clothes (which didn't exist) and in vanity insisted on wearing them in public to show off his finery. Self-delusion. So YOU.

>> Tsk. NO ONE can tell big badass Dave what to do! :-)
>
>Can anyone tell you what to do, little wizened Leonard?

Big badass Dave thinks he can. :-)

"Little?!?" "Wizened?!?"

Tsk. Another PCTA Double Standard displayed for all to see.

>I don't belong to the Waffen SS, Leonid. First it is invisible robes
>and now, make believe uniforms.

The Waffen SS never existed? The Holocaust never existed?

Tsk, big badass dave wants to act just like an SS man, complete with "show your papers!" orders and other typical bullying tactics.

>Hissies? No, I simply pointed out that you continue to do that which
>you accuse others of doing. You do it frequently.

Tsk, tsk. You do it to others and bitch and whine when someone does it right back on you! Poor baby.

>Does it somehow bother you that I'm a Vietnam combat veteran?

"Combat?!?" Ho ho! :-)

>You made the statement to the FCC. The Commission has taken no steps
to
>implement your idea. It likely never will. Common sense prevails.

Tsk. FCC took no steps to implement what the ARRL wanted.
"Common sense prevails!"

>Would you like to be reminded of what you wrote in the wake of the
heat
>you took over the issue?

If you want to piss off others with many and varied Google quotes,
go right ahead. [you'd be wasting your time...and others' time]

Tsk. I took no "heat" on that single small part of my Comment
for nearly a year after the FCC filed it. Then it was brought up
in an effort to attack me personally...which is the standard mode
of PCTA extras' so-called "debate."

>> PCTA extras in here don't recognize reality or
>> the real differences between right and wrong...anything
>> said against any PCTA extra is autormatically a "lie."
>
>Well, a lie is certainly a lie. You've lied.

Nope. PCTA extras do the "1984" thing with "Truthspeak,"
turning around Right and Wrong to suit their own
brainwashing.

>> You RULE amateur radio.
>> Those who RULE need not have any precedent for
>> their statements. [they are "precedent for life?"]
>
>That's funny. I don't recall ever making a comment to the effect that
I
>rule amateur radio. Can you come up with it from an archive?

You RULE by forbidding open discussion on amateur regulation
issues with the usual bullying tactics against NCTAs. Plain.
No "archives" need be quoted.

You RULE based on the delusion of "seniority/tenure" in
amateur radio, as if those four decades of keeping your
ham license had some regulatory impact. Doesn't work
that way, senor, amateur radio is a hobby, not a job, not
a guild, not an association. Never was except in the
minds of a few.

The FCC doesn't require any Commissioners or staff to
hold amateur radio licenses in order for them to REGULATE
ALL of amateur radio law.

You are NOT an FCC Commissioner. You are NOT an FCC
staffer. You ARE a big loudmouth.

>This isn't a commercial radio newsgroup.

True...it's a BLOG for PCTA extras to vent their upset... :-)

>> I've had a long career IN radio-electronics
>> beginning in radio in early 1953
>
>How nice for you.

Yes. it was and continues to be nice! :-)

Still profitable. Interesting, fascinating as well!

>Nope that wasn't a straight answer. When is the last time you ever
>admitted to any wrongdoing in here?

According to newsgroup RULEZ (written by PCTA extras)
it was the very last posting I made...ANY posting. :-)

ALL postings, in fact. According to those RULEZ,
NO "unlicensed" (in the amateur radio service) poster has
"any business saying anything about amateur radio!" :-)

>No straight answer here. When was the last time you admitted to any
>wrongdoing here?

According to newsgroup RULEZ (written by PCTA extras)
it was the very last posting I made...ANY posting. :-)

ALL postings, in fact. According to those RULEZ,
NO "unlicensed" (in the amateur radio service) poster has
"any business saying anything about amateur radio!" :-)

>I don't see a straight answer here. When was the last time you
admitted
>to any wrongdoing here.

According to newsgroup RULEZ (written by PCTA extras)
it was the very last posting I made...ANY posting. :-)

ALL postings, in fact. According to those RULEZ,
NO "unlicensed" (in the amateur radio service) poster has
"any business saying anything about amateur radio!" :-)

>Not a sign of a straight answer. When was the last time you admitted
to
>any wrongdoing here?

According to newsgroup RULEZ (written by PCTA extras)
it was the very last posting I made...ANY posting. :-)

ALL postings, in fact. According to those RULEZ,
NO "unlicensed" (in the amateur radio service) poster has
"any business saying anything about amateur radio!" :-)

>> >Do you believe in your self-righteous attitudes, Len?
>

>> Ennumerate those "attitudes," big badass Dave.
>
>Don't you know what your own self-righteous attitudes, little wizened
>Len? You've just made an accusation about the "self-righteous
>attitudes" of others. You didn't "ennumerate" them.

Tsk. I don't have to list them. They are in plain sight. :-)

PCTA extras have the "truth" (as they believe it).

>> I have this funny belief in FREEDOM, a wonderful
>> thing about freedom of expression and the freedom
>> of being able to communicate with my government
>> to make changes. [that must not be in big badass
>> Dave's world...]
>
>You seem to believe in FREEDOM for yourself, but not for others with
ideas
>at odds with yours.

Tsk, tsk. I believe in freedom for all.

You must think that is "wrong" in amateur radio...you RULE
it the old-fashioned way, you bully it with others. :-)

>You've commented. Nobody applauded. Some laughed. Some ridiculed.
>Some argued.
>
>You have no guarantee that those things won't happen. Your freedoms
>don't trump anyone else's freedoms. That's just the way it works.

Is it?

Morse code testing remains for amateur radio licenses, long
after it served its usefulness to the government agency
regulating amateur radio. It has been lobbied for by the ARRL
(a minority group) through the insistence of the olde-tyme
board of directors' voting and the tradition of maintaining the
Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society, a living museum of old
radio skills.

That's a dictatorship by a minority group, very UN-democratic.

But, big badass dave managed to pass the "CW" test and
so have other PCTA extras. They LIKE being dictators and
pushing others around. That makes them "somebody" and
that seems to be very important to them.

NOT "freedom." Except to the PCTA extras who have theirs
and screw all the others, the newcomers.

Nice attitude of theirs? No

Nice democratic thing? No.

Big badass dave, you have this nice shiny Orion, don't you? Why don't you go play with your Orion toy on the radio spectrum, work DX on HF with CW.

Quit trying to play Boss.

[the best you've done is to do a bad imitation of Boss Hogg...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2350. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 23:24:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110151469.424949.192800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103866

Dave Heil <WaffenSS@oldetymes.com> babbled on Sun, Mar 6 2005 1:26 pm:

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>"Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> on Sat, 5 Mar 2005 10:24:14
>
>> >Fortunately I only see Len's idiotic comments when someone replies
to them.
>
>> Tsk. PCTA Double Standard invoked. :-)
>
>> Speaking against morse code is "idiotic!" :-)
>
>Hell, she didn't write that, Len. She said your idiotic comments.
:-)
>
>> Ostrich syndrome. Hiding one's head in the sand does NOT
>> make them disappear. :-)
>
>It makes you disappear for her, Len. She's built a fence behind her
>house so as not to have to view the unsightly landfill next door.
>You're the unsightly landfill.

Oh, poor babies! Truth is "ugly" and old standards are "beautiful?"

By all means, everyone that CANNOT argue all sides of an
issue do their ostrich thing! Delude yourselves! Practice
denial.

>Would you like to be disciplined by Dee, Leonard?

I'm not into sado-masochism. Sounds like YOUR thing. :-)

>Perhaps you can get her to don some of those invisible robes
>you like to write about.

Tsk. There's an old fable called "The Emperor's New Clothes,"
all about vanity and self-righteousness, self-delusion. That's
so YOU, big badass Dave.

Dee appears to be only slightly deluded, locking out opposing
views. Those are too "uncomfortable" for brainwashed folk.

>Maybe you'd prefer your other favorite, the Waffen SS outfit.

Not my bag at all. Waffen SS is SO YOU! :-)

>> The rest of the radio world thinks of morse code as a
>> "Once Was," and such isn't in any "2nd," nor "3rd," nor

>> any category. :-)
>
>As soon as the "rest of the radio world" gets to dictate what happens
in
>amateur radio, I'll begin to worry.

Actually, they DO sometimes dictate. Administrations do what
is called Spectrum Management based on the needs of ALL in
the electromagnetic spectrum and frequency assignments.
YOU can't get what you want, no matter if you've been in
ham radio for four decades. :-)

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. ONLY in amateur radio is that old 1844
>> morse code considered an ultimate skill achievement
>> worthy of amateur radio license "qualification!" Wow!
>
>Maybe you've noticed the name of the newsgroup.

Maybe you've noticed your head isn't on straight...

>You offend quite a number of folks, Len. Yes, it's awful.

The number is at least 3. TS.

Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).

All are NOT required to march to the same beat of the
same drummer. You want to drum the cadence but you
would be better off to beat it.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2351. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 23:40:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110152411.487001.306350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103867

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Dave Heil <WaffenSS@oldetymes.com> babbled on Sun, Mar 6 2005 1:26
pm:
>
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>"Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> on Sat, 5 Mar 2005 10:24:14
> >
> >> >Fortunately I only see Len's idiotic comments when someone
replies
> to them.
> >
> >> Tsk. PCTA Double Standard invoked. :-)
> >
> >> Speaking against morse code is "idiotic!" :-)
> >
> >Hell, she didn't write that, Len. She said your idiotic comments.
> :-)
> >
> >> Ostrich syndrome. Hiding one's head in the sand does NOT
> >> make them disappear. :-)
> >
> >It makes you disappear for her, Len. She's built a fence behind her
> >house so as not to have to view the unsightly landfill next door.
> >You're the unsightly landfill.
>
> Oh, poor babies! Truth is "ugly" and old standards are
"beautiful?"
>
> By all means, everyone that CANNOT argue all sides of an
> issue do their ostrich thing! Delude yourselves! Practice
> denial.

So far, Lennie, the ONLY person trying to practice "old standards"
is you...You're still that pimply faced kid at ADA in 1953, and nothing
else is "real" radio.

> >Would you like to be disciplined by Dee, Leonard?
>
> I'm not into sado-masochism. Sounds like YOUR thing.

Ahhhhh...but you ARE into SM, Lennie!

You keep posting stuff that's going to get you embarrassed or made
a fool of, do anyway, then go back for more time after time.

> >Perhaps you can get her to don some of those invisible robes
> >you like to write about.
>
> Tsk. There's an old fable called "The Emperor's New Clothes,"
> all about vanity and self-righteousness, self-delusion. That's
> so YOU, big badass Dave.
>
> Dee appears to be only slightly deluded, locking out opposing
> views. Those are too "uncomfortable" for brainwashed folk.
>
> >Maybe you'd prefer your other favorite, the Waffen SS outfit.
>
> Not my bag at all. Waffen SS is SO YOU!

More Godwin. Lennie DOES love his Nazi analogies!

> >> The rest of the radio world thinks of morse code as a
> >> "Once Was," and such isn't in any "2nd," nor "3rd," nor
> >> any category. :-)
> >
> >As soon as the "rest of the radio world" gets to dictate what
happens
> in
> >amateur radio, I'll begin to worry.
>
> Actually, they DO sometimes dictate. Administrations do what
> is called Spectrum Management based on the needs of ALL in
> the electromagnetic spectrum and frequency assignments.
> YOU can't get what you want, no matter if you've been in
> ham radio for four decades.

And YOU can't get what YOU want, even after four decades of whining
about it.

Ya still got to take the test, written or otherwise, to get an
Amateur Radio license.

> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk. ONLY in amateur radio is that old 1844
> >> morse code considered an ultimate skill achievement
> >> worthy of amateur radio license "qualification!" Wow!
> >
> >Maybe you've noticed the name of the newsgroup.
>
> Maybe you've noticed your head isn't on straight...

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! !
BOY! IF THAT ISN'T THE KETTLE CALLING THE FRYING PLAN BLACK!

> >You offend quite a number of folks, Len. Yes, it's awful.
>
> The number is at least 3. TS.

Actually, with the exception of Brain, Vipul, and a handful of your
anonymous rooting section, just about everyone.

> Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
> to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
> lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).
>
> All are NOT required to march to the same beat of the
> same drummer. You want to drum the cadence but you
> would be better off to beat it.

It's not about "marching to a different drummer".

It's about trying to walk over others.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2352. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-06 23:49:32 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <422B970C.51AF37F0@yapoo.com>
Source record: 103868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

> >Proposal: Make 11 meters available to to HAMs as secondary use. Power
> >restricted to 100 Watts.
>
> Why restrict Hams to what would be QRP level on that band? <g>

QRP is defined as 5 watts or less.

> >Then the HAMs can have QSOs with CBers.

We already can, QSL?

I have a CB in my vehicle. A stock TRC-451 with an Astatic 636-L and
a 2x455 box that talks as far as I can hear with a full size antenna.

I also have a 2 meter and sometimes 440 as well. I left the CB in
because it was already there and when you want to find out why
traffic stopped, there's nothing like 19, for a minute or two.

> With almost total lack of any enforcement there,
> who's to know, or care if Hams do that now?

Only Riley will know...

2353. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 01:09:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BradnSJbSNVJNLbfRVn-oA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103869

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
>> to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
>> lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).

I didn't notice that in the original post.

What a very, very odd thing to type.....

One of the first times I have been accused of trying to impress others.
Go figure. Mostly I just irritate others.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2354. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 01:30:10 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <391p8dF5rbfouU1@individual.net>
Source record: 103870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hello, Lloyd

You're gonna love the fallout from "conservative" Bush & company who has invaded two countries in the Middle East and is threatening a third. Be prepared for $80.00 a barrel oil. Oh, go ahead - drop the bomb on them since they won't cooperate.

You will find that although the religious right here won the election for Bush (who is *not* about to deliver on any promises except to reduce taxes whilst a massive deficit is about to destroy our country), the religious right in the Middle East is going to cause a *lot* of problems. Oil will be number one on the hit list.

You want lower taxes? Ask all the construction workers and truckers in America to go on strike. If no roads are repaired, we'll save a *lot* of taxes! Heck, cut the airports too. We'll save even more taxes!!

It appears that the Republicans feel that everything should be supplied to big business for free, including transportation, the infrastructure, power (I pay over 12 cents per kilowatt hour, whilst many businesses pay *under* 2.8 cents), and more.

Even black church leaders are condemning our "liberal" judges, conveniently forgetting their own past.

As to references to God - are you referring to the Christian "God", the Muslim "God", or the Jewish "God"? I'd inquire about other religions, but suspect a lot of double-digit IQ types might get confused.

Off topic, but interesting aside. If there is intelligent life far advanced beyond ours, I suspect they would not make contact with us as we are far too violent and would not make good use of any advanced technology.

Gotta love this place :))

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Lloyd" <lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net> wrote in message
news:d074ue$90t$0@pita.alt.net...
> Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
> found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
> type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the protection
> of a liberal activist judge who is protecting the rights of undocumented
> alien employees. Typical of hams, they prefer to whine in various
> forums and on the air, rather than writing a letters to their senators and

> voicing their objections about the obstructionist liberal Democrats in the
> U.S. Senate who are blocking Bush's court nominees with threatened
> filibusters. The same liberal activist judge is allowing other area
dealers
> to sell previously illegal CB equipment as well, as long as there are no
> references to God in the stores or on the equipment.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>
>

2355. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 02:00:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422BB60A.777B591F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103871

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
> >> to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
> >> lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).
>
> I didn't notice that in the original post.
>
> What a very, very odd thing to type.....

It is the latest in a very long string of very odd things which Leonard
has typed.

> One of the first times I have been accused of trying to impress others.
> Go figure. Mostly I just irritate others.

Mike, Mike, Mike. You have a callsign. You're guilty of trying to
impress Len.

Dave K8MN

2356. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 03:58:59 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.icyiqbe.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103875

On 3 Mar 2005 19:22:34 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>You've got to go back years and years to find another
>enforcement action of similar magnitude against a
>ham using Morse Code.

We;ve had several actions over the years where a licensed ham was caught interfering with other stations by sending Morse over a DTMF pad....usually the letters "F" and "U"......including one where the interferer was trying to cover up a known jammer. We caught the first one first....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2357. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 04:05:44 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110168344.160921.266010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103873

Toddy worked fer WAL FART but got fired for incompetence. Now he is president and CEO of Langley Park Telecommunications & Broadcasting Corp.

2358. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 04:07:51 UTC
From: anon <anon@anonbandplan.net>
Message-ID: <d0gjuq$6bb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I think you are talking about the 6 meter band plan, versus the 2m band plan. There are seven unique splinter freqs. and 15 unique whole number freqs. for simplex. Well, when you figure there are still some CB packet stations operating on the inner freqs., then it should not be a problem. Oil
is the key though. Give it enough oil and away she goes for sure.

73,

Jnon

"JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:391p8dF5rbfouU1@individual.net...
> Hello, Lloyd
>
> You're gonna love the fallout from "conservative" Bush & company who has
> invaded two countries in the Middle East and is threatening a third. Be
> prepared for $80.00 a barrel oil. Oh, go ahead - drop the bomb on them
> since they won't cooperate.
>
> You will find that although the religious right here won the election for
> Bush (who is *not* about to deliver on any promises except to reduce taxes
> whilst a massive deficit is about to destroy our country), the religious
> right in the Middle East is going to cause a *lot* of problems. Oil will
> be
> number one on the hit list.
>
> You want lower taxes? Ask all the construction workers and truckers in
> America to go on strike. If no roads are repaired, we'll save a *lot* of
> taxes! Heck, cut the airports too. We'll save even more taxes!!
>
> It appears that the Republicans feel that everything should be supplied to
> big business for free, including transportation, the infrastructure, power
> (I pay over 12 cents per kilowatt hour, whilst many businesses pay *under*
> 2.8 cents), and more.
>
> Even black church leaders are condemning our "liberal" judges,
> conveniently
> forgetting their own past.
>
> As to references to God - are you referring to the Christian "God", the
> Muslim "God", or the Jewish "God"? I'd inquire about other religions, but
> suspect a lot of double-digit IQ types might get confused.
>

> Off topic, but interesting aside. If there is intelligent life far
> advanced
> beyond ours, I suspect they would not make contact with us as we are far
> too
> violent and would not make good use of any advanced technology.
>
> Gotta love this place :))
>
>
> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net> wrote in message
> news:d074ue$90t$0@pita.alt.net...
>> Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
>> found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
>> type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the protection
>> of a liberal activist judge who is protecting the rights of undocumented
>> alien employees. Typical of hams, they prefer to whine in various
>> forums and on the air, rather than writing a letters to their senators
>> and
>> voicing their objections about the obstructionist liberal Democrats in
>> the
>> U.S. Senate who are blocking Bush's court nominees with threatened
>> filibusters. The same liberal activist judge is allowing other area
> dealers
>> to sell previously illegal CB equipment as well, as long as there are no
>> references to God in the stores or on the equipment.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Lloyd
>>
>>
>>
>
>

2359. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 04:11:38 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110168698.124172.96580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103874

<<<Does he even have a handful of hams for an audience? I haven't heard anyone say they have heard his broadcast (as if anyone would want to listen to Toddyboy anyway)>>>

The only listener he would attract would be a hapless ham who just happened to tune across his puny sig. by accident. Then he could be jammed.

2360. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 04:16:41 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110169001.888327.187790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103876
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

<<>>

The only reason the STATE would be testing your IQ is if you are in special education or mentally ill. In both cases they always tell the subjects that they have an extremely high IQ. It makes their job easier.

2361. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 05:00:54 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.61.0503070500220.81@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 103877

On Mon, 28 Feb 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> D. Stussy wrote:
> > Regardless, there are two things being varied: The mark/space
> condition, and
> > the duration of each, so there is no way that morse code can be
> binary.
>
> Sure it can.
>
> But the REAL question is how can we blame it on Bill Pasternak and
> threaten to sue him over it.....?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

The very comment above is absolute proof of Steve Robeson's stupidity.

2362. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 05:08:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110172135.141923.29120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103878

For your information DICKHEAD I quit walmart to go back to school so I
get into $50k to $100k job.So fuck you dickhead.
Todd N9OGL

Bathrooman wrote:
> Toddy worked fer WAL FART but got fired for incompetence. Now he is
> president and CEO of Langley Park Telecommunications & Broadcasting
> Corp.

2363. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 05:19:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110172747.432252.204010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103879

Yeah, you dickheads say my information bulletins are illegal....which i disagree; but I do know jamming is. As for my puny singal that's ok I have a high power amp, i will be installing here real soon

2364. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 05:37:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110173837.448532.9160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103880

I would disagree with you on that, Information is 50% facts and 50%
opinions; You can get information from facts as well as from opinions.
like I said until I see a ruling or a rule that states information
bulletins can not be opinonated in my humble opinion they are legal.
Why bother what?? I did understand that last part.
Todd n9ogl

2365. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 05:50:24 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <y9GdnWyGo--gbLbfRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103883

N9OGL wrote:

> FROM THE FCC WEBSITE:
>
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html#s3
>
> One-Way Transmissions
>
>
> Q. What types of one-way communications are amateur stations authorized
> to transmit?
>
> A. Section 97.111(b) provides for one-way communications. In summary,
> auxiliary, beacon, space and stations in distress are specifically
> authorized to make certain one-way transmissions. Additionally, an
> amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way
> communications:
>
>
> Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;
>
>
> Brief transmissions necessary for establishing two-way communications
> with other stations;
>
>
> Telecommand;
>
>
> Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;
>
>
> Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving
> proficiency in, the international Morse code;
>
>
> Transmissions necessary to disseminate an information bulletin; and
>
>
> Telemetry.
>
> Q. What is an "information bulletin"?
>
> A. The term is defined in Section 97.3. It is a message directed only

> to amateur operators and consists solely of subject matter of direct
> interest to the amateur service. The control operator of the station
> transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining
> that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur service.

And your opinionated, pointless, ill-conceived broadcasts are of no interestest to the amateur service, therefore they are not information bulletins as there is no information of interest to the amateur service in them.

2366. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 05:51:24 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <y9GdnW-Go-_jbLbfRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103885

N9OGL wrote:

> Yeah, you dickheads say my information bulletins are illegal....which i
> disagree; but I do know jamming is. As for my puny singal that's ok I
> have a high power amp, i will be installing here real soon
>

Didja get it off some cber?

2367. Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 05:54:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110174848.995005.321700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103881

FROM THE FCC WEBSITE:

http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html#s3

One-Way Transmissions

Q. What types of one-way communications are amateur stations authorized to transmit?

A. Section 97.111(b) provides for one-way communications. In summary, auxiliary, beacon, space and stations in distress are specifically authorized to make certain one-way transmissions. Additionally, an amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way communications:

Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;

Brief transmissions necessary for establishing two-way communications with other stations;

Telecommand;

Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;

Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving proficiency in, the international Morse code;

Transmissions necessary to disseminate an information bulletin; and

Telemetry.

Q. What is an "information bulletin"?

A. The term is defined in Section 97.3. It is a message directed only to amateur operators and consists solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service. The control operator of the station transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur service.

Q. Does an amateur station transmitting information bulletins have to share the channel?

A. Yes. Section 97.101 provides that each station licensee and each control operator must cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and in making the most effective use of the amateur service frequencies. A specific transmitting channel is not assigned for the exclusive use of any amateur station. Section 97.101 also provides that no amateur operator shall cause interference to any radio communication or signal. There is no exemption for amateur stations transmitting for information

bulletins.

Q. Is broadcasting prohibited?

A. Yes. Section 97.113 prohibits amateur stations from engaging in any form of broadcasting or in any activity related to program production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes.

Q. Is there an exception to this prohibition?

A. Yes, there is one exception: Communications directly related to the immediate safety of human life or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations to broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means of communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the event.

Q. What is "broadcasting"?

A. Section 97.3 defines the term "broadcasting," in the context of the amateur service, as a transmission intended for reception by the general public, either direct or delayed.

2368. A Hot New TV Decrambler (All Digital)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 06:02:12 UTC
From: "Mr. Amazing!!!!!!!!" <E6HMVI1@I4P.com>
Message-ID: <3842$422bee64$83d8a3c5$4945@allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 103882

New XP2500A
The World's #1 Digital Satellite Television
Receiver Descrambler is Now Available to you!!!!!

Order Yours at: http://www.fta9000.3322.org

No Monthly Subscription Charges of ANY Sort!
No Service Contracts of ANY Sort!
No Telephone Connections of ANY Sort!!!!
Does NOT use ANY Sort of Satellite Access Card
The World's Number One "Cardless" Satellite Receiver!!!!

A NEW Product for the NEW Year of 2005
Our New XP2500A Digital Satellite Television Receiver Descrambler allows you to view at your convenience the following with the USA, Canada, Cuba, Bahamas, Jamaica, Virgin Islands and Mexico:

View Over 600+ Digital Television Stations FREE! (Everything!)
View Over 200+ Digital Commercial Free Music Stations FREE !(all categories)
View Over 40 Pay-Per-View Movies Channels FREE!
View 8 HBO's/5 Cinemax's/10 Showtime's/8 Starz, etc. FREE!
View Seven Adult Channels FREE FREE FREE • 24 Hours A Day (Non-Stop Action)!
Boxing/Football/Soccer/Baseball/Basketball • ALL Sports Packages FREE (With No Black Outs)!
Receive Home-Town Local Broadcast Television Stations from 4 Time Zones
Use this New Television Descrambler at Home/Office/Truck/RV etc.
Works In the USA and Canada • plus ALL of North and South America and Territories!
Works on: Nagravision, Seca, Viaccess #1 and #2, Irdeto One and Betacrypt Digital Satellite Signals!!!!

NOTE TO AUSTRAILIANS: We get many request about the Australia•s "Foxtel Channel". Our New XP2500A Receiver works nearly ANY where in the world - but it will NOT receive "Australia•s FOXTEL Broadcast Channel" as of yet.....they use Irdeto #2 a Decoding System.....so wait patiently - our Descrambling Technicians are working HARD on this one, to brake the code.
International Customers.

Our NEW XP2500A Digital Satellite Television Receiver - NOW UNSCRAMBLES THE FOLLOWING SIGNALS:
ABsat
ABS-CBN
Arabesque (ART)
Astro TV
BBC Prime
C+ NL
Canada's Bell ExpressVU
Canal + France
Canal 7 TV Madrid
Canal Pro TV

Canal Rural
Canal Rural
Canal Satellite
Canal Sky-net
Canal+ Digital
Canal+ Digital Aerial
Canal+ Vlanderen
CanalSat
CFI Peco Pro
Channel RAI TV
Cine+ Digital
Cohem sweden
C-SKY NET
CYFRA+
DigiFunClub
Digital+
Dish Network (USA)
Dream TV
EMI Special Channel
Eurosport
Euskaltel
FT Cable
Globe Cast MMBN
GlobeCast
GlobeCast Espana
GlobeCast France
HRT
MCM Europe
Mediaset
Mediavision
MiniMax
MMBN
MTV networks
Orbit Network
ORF Austria
Parabole reunion
Polsat
Premiere
SABC
Selector Tele
Senda/Boxer
SRG Swiss
SVT Europa
Taipei International
TBL TV
Televisa
TPS
TV Cabo
TV Slovenia
TVBS

ViaSat Europe
ViaSat Nordic

Okay.....So STOP PAYING THOSE MONTHLY SUBSCRIPTION COST FOR
SUBSCRIPTION CABLE OR SATELLITE TELEVISION��
Get Our New XP2500A Digital Satellite Television Receiver Now - go to the URL below NOW:

Don't Delay Order Yours at: http://www.fta9000.3322.org

kU9htT840eMRv10NkwSnYeBETKyWvJDU9SbAwuz0h4YXfiB9UX8CtqsKoRF0astRUvpZSERQCKSiNkXh9bj

2369. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 06:14:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422BF18E.C1E1326F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103884

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil <RulerUfDasHam@oldetymes.comt>, another poor baby
> outraged and can't take it anymore shouted into his keyboard on Sun,
> Mar 6 2005 1:17 pm:

Do you shout into your keyboard, Len? I've been using mine to type.
I suppose you play the piano by blowing into the little hole in the
back.

> >LenAnder...@ieee.org, picturing another wearing invisible robes,
> wrote:
> >
> >> Dave Heil, wearing his invisible royal robes as Archbishop of
> >> the Church of St. Hiram, turned livid with apoplexy and blurted
> >> out the following personal anguish on
> >
> >Does it please you to think of me wearing something invisible, Len?
>
> Tsk. From the old folk fable of "The Emperor's New Clothes."

But does it please of you to think of me in that way?

> The emperor (that's you)...

I'm not an emperor, Len.

> ...got talked into some expensive NEW
> clothes (which didn't exist)...

I don't have any new clothes which don't exist, Len. All my new clothes
are plainly visible.

> ...and in vanity insisted on wearing
> them in public to show off his finery.

I normally wear my clothing in public. Hereabouts, it is required to
wear clothing in public. Don't they require clothing out there?

> Self-delusion. So YOU.

I agree that you are delusional. So I what?

> >> Tsk. NO ONE can tell big badass Dave what to do! :-)
> >
> >Can anyone tell you what to do, little wizened Leonard?
>
> Big badass Dave thinks he can. :-)

>
> "Little?!?" "Wizened?!?"

"Big badassed Dave"?

> Tsk. Another PCTA Double Standard displayed for all to see.

Where? You reaped what you sowed.

> >I don't belong to the Waffen SS, Leonid. First it is invisible robes
> >and now, make believe uniforms.
>
> The Waffen SS never existed? The Holocaust never existed?

Only you or "bb" could read "make believe uniforms" and understand that
I'd written something about the Waffen SS or Holocaust not existing.

> Tsk, big badass dave wants to act just like an SS man,
> complete with "show your papers!" orders and other
> typical bullying tactics.

Which papers were you asked to show? It couldn't have been an amateur
radio license. You don't have one.

> >Hissies? No, I simply pointed out that you continue to do that which
> >you accuse others of doing. You do it frequently.
>
> Tsk, tsk. You do it to others and bitch and whine when someone
> does it right back on you! Poor baby.

You do it to nearly everyone here, Leonard. Poor baby.

> >Does it somehow bother you that I'm a Vietnam combat veteran?
>
> "Combat?!?" Ho ho! :-)

Yes, combat. Hooooooooo. :-)

Were you a combat veteran, Len? Hoooooo :-)

> >You made the statement to the FCC. The Commission has taken no steps
> to
> >implement your idea. It likely never will. Common sense prevails.
>
> Tsk. FCC took no steps to implement what the ARRL wanted.
> "Common sense prevails!"

The FCC still has a 5 wpm code test in place. There is still no minimum
age limit for entry into amateur radio. Common sense prevailed. Ho Ho.

> >Would you like to be reminded of what you wrote in the wake of the
> heat
> >you took over the issue?
>
> If you want to piss off others with many and varied Google quotes,

> go right ahead. [you'd be wasting your time...and others' time]

I don't think other are bothered at all, Len. Those who don't want to
read it won't. Some will read it and be entertained at your expense.
I won't be wasting my time. My time is mine to spend as I please.

> Tsk. I took no "heat" on that single small part of my Comment
> for nearly a year after the FCC filed it.

No matter when it was brought up, you took heat for it.

> Then it was brought up
> in an effort to attack me personally...which is the standard mode
> of PCTA extras' so-called "debate."

That's the standard mode of your debate too. It was brought up only
because you wrote it to the FCC. Nobody agreed with you here.
Apparently no one at the FCC did either.

> >> PCTA extras in here don't recognize reality or
> >> the real differences between right and wrong...anything
> >> said against any PCTA extra is autormatically a "lie."
> >
> >Well, a lie is certainly a lie. You've lied.
>
> Nope.

Yep.

> PCTA extras do the "1984" thing with "Truthspeak,"
> turning around Right and Wrong to suit their own
> brainwashing.

You're tap dancing again. You wrote what you wrote. Your submission to
the FCC is a matter of public record. What you've written of it here is
archived.

> >> You RULE amateur radio.
> >> Those who RULE need not have any precedent for
> >> their statements. [they are "precedent for life?"]
> >
> >That's funny. I don't recall ever making a comment to the effect that
> I
> >rule amateur radio. Can you come up with it from an archive?
>
> You RULE by forbidding open discussion on amateur regulation
> issues with the usual bullying tactics against NCTAs. Plain.
> No "archives" need be quoted.

How have you been prevented from discussing anything here. Come to
think of it, when have you discussed much of anything here?

> You RULE based on the delusion of "seniority/tenure" in
> amateur radio, as if those four decades of keeping your

> ham license had some regulatory impact.

It is no delusion that I have 41 years of experience in amateur radio to your 0 years of experience in amateur radio. The Commission said that it wanted to see a consensus among amateur radio ops on the morse testing issue. You aren't a part of amateur radio.

> Doesn't work
> that way, senor, amateur radio is a hobby, not a job, not
> a guild, not an association. Never was except in the
> minds of a few.

Yes, hobby or avocation, it is one in which you play no part.

> The FCC doesn't require any Commissioners or staff to
> hold amateur radio licenses in order for them to REGULATE
> ALL of amateur radio law.

It still hasn't sunk in. The FCC staffers are paid to regulate amateur radio. You aren't with the FCC. Licensed amateurs are participants in this avocation. You are not a participant in amateur radio.

You don't regulate. You don't participate. You have the right to comment to the FCC. They aren't permitted to publicy ridicule you. You've commented. You may also comment here. We aren't bound by the no ridicule rule. We may laugh, criticize, ridicule or argue. I've chosen to do some of each. That is my right.

> You are NOT an FCC Commissioner. You are NOT an FCC
> staffer.

No, I'm a participant. I have the license which says so.

> You ARE a big loudmouth.

I'm typing.

> >This isn't a commercial radio newsgroup.
>
> True...it's a BLOG for PCTA extras to vent their upset... :-)

No, wizened little gent, this is an amateur radio newsgroup. :-) :-)

> >> I've had a long career IN radio-electronics
> >> beginning in radio in early 1953
> >
> >How nice for you.
>
> Yes. it was and continues to be nice! :-)
>
> Still profitable. Interesting, fascinating as well!

Wonderful.

> >Nope that wasn't a straight answer. When is the last time you ever
> >admitted to any wrongdoing in here?
>
> According to newsgroup RULEZ (written by PCTA extras)
> it was the very last posting I made...ANY posting. :-)
>
> ALL postings, in fact. According to those RULEZ,
> NO "unlicensed" (in the amateur radio service) poster has
> "any business saying anything about amateur radio!" :-)

Aren't you able to provide a direct answer to a direct question?

> >No straight answer here. When was the last time you admitted to any
> >wrongdoing here?
>
> According to newsgroup RULEZ (written by PCTA extras)
> it was the very last posting I made...ANY posting. :-)
>
> ALL postings, in fact. According to those RULEZ,
> NO "unlicensed" (in the amateur radio service) poster has
> "any business saying anything about amateur radio!" :-)

You don't have it in you to be able to anwer a question with a straight
answer?

> >I don't see a straight answer here. When was the last time you
> admitted
> >to any wrongdoing here.
>
> According to newsgroup RULEZ (written by PCTA extras)
> it was the very last posting I made...ANY posting. :-)
>
> ALL postings, in fact. According to those RULEZ,
> NO "unlicensed" (in the amateur radio service) poster has
> "any business saying anything about amateur radio!" :-)

You're simply gurgling some made up pablum.

> >Not a sign of a straight answer. When was the last time you admitted
> to
> >any wrongdoing here?
>
> According to newsgroup RULEZ (written by PCTA extras)
> it was the very last posting I made...ANY posting. :-)
>
> ALL postings, in fact. According to those RULEZ,
> NO "unlicensed" (in the amateur radio service) poster has
> "any business saying anything about amateur radio!" :-)

C'mon Leonard. We're pulling for you. I think you can come up with an
answer. Give it a try, old boy.

> >> >Do you believe in your self-righteous attitudes, Len?
> >

> >> Ennumerate those "attitudes," big badass Dave.
> >
> >Don't you know what your own self-righteous attitudes, little wizened
> >Len? You've just made an accusation about the "self-righteous
> >attitudes" of others. You didn't "ennumerate" them.
>
> Tsk. I don't have to list them. They are in plain sight. :-)

I'm sorry. If you want others to "ennumerate", you'll have to sweeten
the pot by doing so yourself.

> PCTA extras have the "truth" (as they believe it).

Don't you believe that things you write are true?

> >> I have this funny belief in FREEDOM, a wonderful
> >> thing about freedom of expression and the freedom
> >> of being able to communicate with my government
> >> to make changes. [that must not be in big badass
> >> Dave's world...]
> >
> >You seem to believe in FREEDOM for yourself, but not for others with
> ideas
> >at odds with yours.
>
> Tsk, tsk. I believe in freedom for all.

That hasn't been evidenced by your manner here.

> You must think that is "wrong" in amateur radio...you RULE
> it the old-fashioned way, you bully it with others. :-)

You as big a bully as ever showed up here, Len. :-) :-)

> >You've commented. Nobody applauded. Some laughed. Some ridiculed.
> >Some argued.
> >
> >You have no guarantee that those things won't happen. Your freedoms
> >don't trump anyone else's freedoms. That's just the way it works.
>
> Is it?

Yes, it is.

> Morse code testing remains for amateur radio licenses, long
> after it served its usefulness to the government agency
> regulating amateur radio.

Others disagree with you and say so. That's FREEDOM.

> It has been lobbied for by the ARRL
> (a minority group)

You're a much smaller minority, Len. You're about 138,000th the size of the ARRL.

> ... through the insistence of the olde-tyme
> board of directors' voting and the tradition of maintaining the
> Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society, a living museum of old
> radio skills.

C'mon, Len. Knock of your fantasy stuff lest the hooting and tomato throwing begins anew.

> That's a dictatorship by a minority group, very UN-democratic.

You'd substitute your dictatorship by your 138,000th sized minority? Now that would be very undemocratic.

> But, big badass dave managed to pass the "CW" test and
> so have other PCTA extras.

Can't little wizened Leonid pass the "CW test?

> They LIKE being dictators and
> pushing others around.

You seem to like pushing others around but you surely don't like it when things aren't going the way of your 138,000th sized minority.

> That makes them "somebody" and
> that seems to be very important to them.

Would you like to be somebody in amateur radio, Len? There's that pesky "getting in" part.

> NOT "freedom." Except to the PCTA extras who have theirs
> and screw all the others, the newcomers.

You've commented to your government. You've soapboxed here. That's about all the further your freedom extends. Freedom doesn't mean that it is going to be done your way, no matter how much you want something to come to pass.

> Nice attitude of theirs? No

> Nice democratic thing? No.

I don't think you know very much about democracy. Remember your "Coronation Day" comments. Well, freedom and democracy didn't go your way. Too bad.

> Big badass dave, you have this nice shiny Orion, don't you?

Are you always in the habit of asking a question to which you already know the answer?

> Why
> don't you go play with your Orion toy on the radio spectrum,
> work DX on HF with CW.

Here's one for you, little wizened Lennie, I'll do that if I choose.
You aren't keeping up with what's going on though. This weekend was the
big SSB DX contest weekend. I did some of that because I chose to. I
posted here too, because I chose to. You do what you can and I'll do
what I choose. Fair enough?

> Quit trying to play Boss.

Quit trying to play like you have something to do with amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

2370. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 06:24:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422BF3D8.81F7D83E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103886

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <WaffenSS@oldetymes.com> babbled on Sun, Mar 6 2005 1:26 pm:
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>"Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> on Sat, 5 Mar 2005 10:24:14
> >
> >> >Fortunately I only see Len's idiotic comments when someone replies
> to them.
> >
> >> Tsk. PCTA Double Standard invoked. :-)
> >
> >> Speaking against morse code is "idiotic!" :-)
> >
> >Hell, she didn't write that, Len. She said your idiotic comments.
> :-)
> >
> >> Ostrich syndrome. Hiding one's head in the sand does NOT
> >> make them disappear. :-)
> >
> >It makes you disappear for her, Len. She's built a fence behind her
> >house so as not to have to view the unsightly landfill next door.
> >You're the unsightly landfill.
>
> Oh, poor babies! Truth is "ugly" and old standards are "beautiful?"

I don't think you have a handle on it. She thinks your posts are ugly
and that by killfiling you, she beautifies the newsgroup.

> By all means, everyone that CANNOT argue all sides of an
> issue do their ostrich thing! Delude yourselves! Practice
> denial.

You haven't really argued an issue in here in years. You're all about
the things which you accuse others of doing.

> >Would you like to be disciplined by Dee, Leonard?
>
> I'm not into sado-masochism. Sounds like YOUR thing. :-)

Actually, from what you wrote and snipped, it sounded like very much
"your thing". :-) :-)

> >Perhaps you can get her to don some of those invisible robes
> >you like to write about.
>

> Tsk. There's an old fable called "The Emperor's New Clothes,"
> all about vanity and self-righteousness, self-delusion. That's
> so YOU, big badass Dave.

Well, little wizened Lennie, you keep calling Dee "Mama" and you write
of her punishing children. I thought your fantasy was moving right
along.

> Dee appears to be only slightly deluded, locking out opposing
> views. Those are too "uncomfortable" for brainwashed folk.

She finds you unpleasant, Len. I find you unpleasant too. I just
haven't killfiled you.

> >Maybe you'd prefer your other favorite, the Waffen SS outfit.
>
> Not my bag at all. Waffen SS is SO YOU! :-)

Make up your mind. A minute ago, invisible robes were me. :-)

> >> The rest of the radio world thinks of morse code as a
> >> "Once Was," and such isn't in any "2nd," nor "3rd," nor
> >> any category. :-)
> >
> >As soon as the "rest of the radio world" gets to dictate what happens
> in
> >amateur radio, I'll begin to worry.
>
> Actually, they DO sometimes dictate. Administrations do what
> is called Spectrum Management based on the needs of ALL in
> the electromagnetic spectrum and frequency assignments.
> YOU can't get what you want, no matter if you've been in
> ham radio for four decades. :-)

To my knowledge, the rest of radio has never attempted to have morse
code use outlawed for radio amateurs.

> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk. ONLY in amateur radio is that old 1844
> >> morse code considered an ultimate skill achievement
> >> worthy of amateur radio license "qualification!" Wow!
> >
> >Maybe you've noticed the name of the newsgroup.
>
> Maybe you've noticed your head isn't on straight...

No, that's a different newsgroup. This one is an amateur radio group.

> >You offend quite a number of folks, Len. Yes, it's awful.
>
> The number is at least 3. TS.

How many? You've even crossed folks who sided with you.

> Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
> to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
> lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).
>
> All are NOT required to march to the same beat of the
> same drummer.

That's right. All are NOT required to subscribe to your views. They may jeer and toss rotten fruit your way.

> You want to drum the cadence but you
> would be better off to beat it.

We're drumming and playing that old amateur radio jazz. You aren't allowed in the band. :-)

Dave K8MN

2371. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 07:41:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110181284.273619.296850@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103887

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil <RulerUfDasHam@oldetymes.comt>, another poor baby
> outraged and can't take it anymore shouted into his keyboard on Sun,
> Mar 6 2005 1:17 pm:

Obviously another deranged moment for Lennie the Liar.

> >You have no guarantee that those things won't happen. Your freedoms
> >don't trump anyone else's freedoms. That's just the way it works.
>
> Is it?

Yep. It is.

> Morse code testing remains for amateur radio licenses, long
> after it served its usefulness to the government agency
> regulating amateur radio. It has been lobbied for by the ARRL
> (a minority group) through the insistence of the olde-tyme
> board of directors' voting and the tradition of maintaining the
> Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society, a living museum of old
> radio skills.
>
> That's a dictatorship by a minority group, very UN-democratic.

But...but...but...LENNIE!

YOU are the one who is constantly reminding us of the ultimate
authority of the unlicensed commissioners, and that they are under no
obligation to lean the way of the masses when thier solicited opinions
go against what THEY perceive as necessary.

So..Now you've changed your mind...?!?!

Or just altering the rules as they fit your needs?

> [the best you've done is to do a bad imitation of Boss Hogg...]

Better his Boss Hogg than your Lennie the Scumbag.

On the other hand...that is one thing you ARE good at. I stand
corrected.

Steve, K4YZ

2372. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 08:36:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110184560.812906.271760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103889

First off you dickhead, you've never heard my broadcast and therefor
can't justify that statement. secondly that's your fucking opinion, and
in my view you and your little butt buddies on here are a small
minority in the amateur radio service. ONE MORE FUCKING THING, MY
PROGRAMS DEAL WITH AMATEUR RADIO ISSUES SO FUCK YOU!!!!

Todd N9OGL

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > FROM THE FCC WEBSITE:
> >
> >
http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html#s3
> >
> > One-Way Transmissions
> >
> >
> > Q. What types of one-way communications are amateur stations
authorized
> > to transmit?
> >
> > A. Section 97.111(b) provides for one-way communications. In
summary,
> > auxiliary, beacon, space and stations in distress are specifically
> > authorized to make certain one-way transmissions. Additionally, an
> > amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way
> > communications:
> >
> >
> > Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;
> >
> >
> > Brief transmissions necessary for establishing two-way
communications
> > with other stations;
> >
> >
> > Telecommand;
> >
> >
> > Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;
> >
> >

> > Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving
> > proficiency in, the international Morse code;
> >
> >
> > Transmissions necessary to disseminate an information bulletin; and
> >
> >
> > Telemetry.
> >
> > Q. What is an "information bulletin"?
> >
> > A. The term is defined in Section 97.3. It is a message directed
only
> > to amateur operators and consists solely of subject matter of
direct
> > interest to the amateur service. The control operator of the
station
> > transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining
> > that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur
service.
>
> And your opinionated, pointless, ill-conceived broadcasts are of no
> interestest to the amateur service, therefore they are not
information
> bulletins as there is no information of interest to the amateur
service
> in them.

2373. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 08:36:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110184561.431706.18520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103888

First off you dickhead, you've never heard my broadcast and therefor
can't justify that statement. secondly that's your fucking opinion, and
in my view you and your little butt buddies on here are a small
minority in the amateur radio service. ONE MORE FUCKING THING, MY
PROGRAMS DEAL WITH AMATEUR RADIO ISSUES SO FUCK YOU!!!!

Todd N9OGL

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > FROM THE FCC WEBSITE:
> >
> >
http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html#s3
> >
> > One-Way Transmissions
> >
> >
> > Q. What types of one-way communications are amateur stations
authorized
> > to transmit?
> >
> > A. Section 97.111(b) provides for one-way communications. In
summary,
> > auxiliary, beacon, space and stations in distress are specifically
> > authorized to make certain one-way transmissions. Additionally, an
> > amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way
> > communications:
> >
> >
> > Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;
> >
> >
> > Brief transmissions necessary for establishing two-way
communications
> > with other stations;
> >
> >
> > Telecommand;
> >
> >
> > Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;
> >
> >

> > Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving
> > proficiency in, the international Morse code;
> >
> >
> > Transmissions necessary to disseminate an information bulletin; and
> >
> >
> > Telemetry.
> >
> > Q. What is an "information bulletin"?
> >
> > A. The term is defined in Section 97.3. It is a message directed
only
> > to amateur operators and consists solely of subject matter of
direct
> > interest to the amateur service. The control operator of the
station
> > transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining
> > that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur
service.
>
> And your opinionated, pointless, ill-conceived broadcasts are of no
> interestest to the amateur service, therefore they are not
information
> bulletins as there is no information of interest to the amateur
service
> in them.

2374. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 09:02:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110186132.541167.27770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103890

N9OGL wrote:
> First off you ****head, you've never heard my broadcast and therefor
> can't justify that statement. secondly that's your ****ing opinion,
and
> in my view you and your little **** buddies on here are a small
> minority in the amateur radio service. ONE MORE ****ING THING, MY
> PROGRAMS DEAL WITH AMATEUR RADIO ISSUES SO **** YOU!!!!

There's five good reasons to put 10-penny nails in your coax.

Steve, K4YZ

2375. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 09:11:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110186711.697359.36720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103891

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Mon, 28 Feb 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > Regardless, there are two things being varied: The mark/space
> > condition, and
> > > the duration of each, so there is no way that morse code can be
> > binary.
> >
> > Sure it can.
> >
> > But the REAL question is how can we blame it on Bill Pasternak
and
> > threaten to sue him over it.....?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> The very comment above is absolute proof of Steve Robeson's
stupidity.

How's that, Dieter?

Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then getting
your nose rubbed in it?

I have a solution...STOP DOING IT!

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2376. Re: THE EXCLUSIVE MORSE CODE EXAM DEBATE THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 09:17:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110187048.544160.111090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103892

Whellllllp......

Lennie said no one "debates" the Morse Code exam "issue" anymore.

I created a thread solely for his benefit nine days ago. His SOLE
response has been:

QUOTE

Sorry, I can't post any...a big badass PCTA extra will only harrass
me with attempted inimidation. :-)

This is a CLOSED newsgroup. Only PCTA extras will be allowed
in here. I've been told that. All others have "no business"
in here.

UNQUOTE

The presence of the thread proves Lennie wrong and his one and
only post to the thread demonstrates that, once again, he is a proven
liar.

Thanks, Lennie.

Steve, K4YZ

2377. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 13:48:45 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d0hm3t$q52$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103893

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:65SdnWHZe__Eg7TfRVn-rw@adelphia.com...
>
> [snip]
>
>
>>As the future would pan out, we have lost numbers, and a lot of them the
>>Non-coded types.
>>
>>As a ship that is almost stopped proves, turning the rudder does almost
>>nothing, or when the interest rate goes very low, adjusting it does almost
>>nothing, eliminating the 5WPM Morse code will have no positive effect on
>>the numbers of new hams, and the most likely effect will be that with the
>>lesser effort, more and more will get into the hobby with only slight
>>interest, and then drop out. That is not what we need.
>>
>>Hey, do I get a prize for that freakin' long sentence?
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> How does it stack up against some of Edgar Allan Poe's sentences? I know he
> wrote some doozies when it came to length.

Yeah. Now that guy could write! He was a pretty fair amateur physicist too.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2378. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 13:50:06 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <422c5d1a_3@x-privat.org>
Source record: 103894

You really shouldn't post to the usenet after staying up all nite drinking
todd. It makes you look even worse!
>
"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110184560.812906.271760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> First off you dickhead, you've never heard my broadcast and therefor
> can't justify that statement. secondly that's your fucking opinion, and
> in my view you and your little butt buddies on here are a small
> minority in the amateur radio service. ONE MORE FUCKING THING, MY
> PROGRAMS DEAL WITH AMATEUR RADIO ISSUES SO FUCK YOU!!!!
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>> N9OGL wrote:
>>
>> > FROM THE FCC WEBSITE:
>> >
>> >
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html#s3
>> >
>> > One-Way Transmissions
>> >
>> >
>> > Q. What types of one-way communications are amateur stations
> authorized
>> > to transmit?
>> >
>> > A. Section 97.111(b) provides for one-way communications. In
> summary,
>> > auxiliary, beacon, space and stations in distress are specifically
>> > authorized to make certain one-way transmissions. Additionally, an
>> > amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way
>> > communications:
>> >
>> >
>> > Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;
>> >
>> >
>> > Brief transmissions necessary for establishing two-way
> communications
>> > with other stations;
>> >
>> >

>> > Telecommand;
>> >
>> >
>> > Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;
>> >
>> >
>> > Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving
>> > proficiency in, the international Morse code;
>> >
>> >
>> > Transmissions necessary to disseminate an information bulletin; and
>> >
>> >
>> > Telemetry.
>> >
>> > Q. What is an "information bulletin"?
>> >
>> > A. The term is defined in Section 97.3. It is a message directed
> only
>> > to amateur operators and consists solely of subject matter of
> direct
>> > interest to the amateur service. The control operator of the
> station
>> > transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining
>> > that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur
> service.
>>
>> And your opinionated, pointless, ill-conceived broadcasts are of no
>> interestest to the amateur service, therefore they are not
> information
>> bulletins as there is no information of interest to the amateur
> service
>> in them.
>
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up

2379. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 13:51:05 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <422c5d51$1_3@x-privat.org>
Source record: 103895

What kind of booze made your head hurt this morning todd?
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110184561.431706.18520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> First off you dickhead, you've never heard my broadcast and therefor
> can't justify that statement. secondly that's your fucking opinion, and
> in my view you and your little butt buddies on here are a small
> minority in the amateur radio service. ONE MORE FUCKING THING, MY
> PROGRAMS DEAL WITH AMATEUR RADIO ISSUES SO FUCK YOU!!!!
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>> N9OGL wrote:
>>
>> > FROM THE FCC WEBSITE:
>> >
>> >
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/amateur/about/communications.html#s3
>> >
>> > One-Way Transmissions
>> >
>> >
>> > Q. What types of one-way communications are amateur stations
> authorized
>> > to transmit?
>> >
>> > A. Section 97.111(b) provides for one-way communications. In
> summary,
>> > auxiliary, beacon, space and stations in distress are specifically
>> > authorized to make certain one-way transmissions. Additionally, an
>> > amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way
>> > communications:
>> >
>> >
>> > Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;
>> >
>> >

```
>> > Brief transmissions necessary for establishing two-way
> communications
>> > with other stations;
>> >
>> >
>> > Telecommand;
>> >
>> >
>> > Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;
>> >
>> >
>> > Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving
>> > proficiency in, the international Morse code;
>> >
>> >
>> > Transmissions necessary to disseminate an information bulletin; and
>> >
>> >
>> > Telemetry.
>> >
>> > Q. What is an "information bulletin"?
>> >
>> > A. The term is defined in Section 97.3. It is a message directed
> only
>> > to amateur operators and consists solely of subject matter of
> direct
>> > interest to the amateur service. The control operator of the
> station
>> > transmitting an information bulletin is responsible for determining
>> > that the subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur
> service.
>>
>> And your opinionated, pointless, ill-conceived broadcasts are of no
>> interestest to the amateur service, therefore they are not
> information
>> bulletins as there is no information of interest to the amateur
> service
>> in them.
>
```

2380. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 13:51:14 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d0hm8i$q52$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103898

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Dave Heil <WaffenSS@oldetymes.com> babbled on Sun, Mar 6 2005 1:26 pm:

>
>>You offend quite a number of folks, Len. Yes, it's awful.
>
>
> The number is at least 3. TS.
>
> Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
> to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
> lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).

No Len, you don't offend me.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2381. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 13:51:52 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <422c5d82_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 103896

I think he's drunk again.....
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up
>

"john sumner" <josumner1964@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1110115659.33ded97420ba4d2fa1e913def981dec4@bubbanews...
>
> N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1110090988.500736.26430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> WELL IF I DIDN'T HAVE TO TALK TO A BUNCH OF FUCKING ASSHOLES, I WONT
>> GIVE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE BITCH WHORE THE COURTESY OF BEING NICE. NO YOU
>> LITTLE FUCKER YOU JUST CAN FUCKING READ! I SAID I TYPE FAST THAT WHY IT
>> COMES OUT SCREWED UP, HAVE YOUR FUCKING MOMMY READ THAT LINE TO YOU SO
>> YOU CAN FUCKING UNDERSTAND.
>>
> Why are you so angry, but of curse you a a troll and somewhat amusing, so
> i
> will spare you my bozo file for now.
>
>

2382. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 13:53:33 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d0hmcs$q52$3@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103897

Dave Heil wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>> Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
>>>> to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
>>>> lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).
>>
>> I didn't notice that in the original post.
>>
>> What a very, very odd thing to type.....
>
>
> It is the latest in a very long string of very odd things which Leonard
> has typed.
>
>
>> One of the first times I have been accused of trying to impress others.
>>Go figure. Mostly I just irritate others.
>
>
> Mike, Mike, Mike. You have a callsign. You're guilty of trying to
> impress Len.

Heck, I've had it all wrong, Dave. I thouhgt having a callsign
offended him!

Maybe he's right and I am a little dull...

- mike KB3EIA -

2383. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 14:10:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110204627.043512.246790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103899

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 3 Mar 2005 19:22:34 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >You've got to go back years and years to find another
> >enforcement action of similar magnitude against a
> >ham using Morse Code.
>
> We;ve had several actions over the years where a licensed ham was
> caught interfering with other stations by sending Morse over a DTMF
> pad....usually the letters "F" and "U"......including one where the
> interferer was trying to cover up a known jammer. We caught the
> first one first....
>
Fascinating, Phil!

Was that on HF or VHF/UHF? The use of the DTMF pad makes it sound like
a repeater thing.

Several actions over the years works out to one every few years or so.
Still a lot less than in voice modes, but more than "you've got to go
back years and years".

--

On the guy transmitting "code practice" 24/7:

It is my understanding that there's no hard rule against doing what he
did, *if* the practice is done on a published schedule and *if* station
control and ID meet FCC regs.

IOW, it's theoretically possible for a ham station to legally transmit
code practice consisting of Bible texts 24/7.

My take on the violation was that

1) There were questions about the station control.

2) There was no response to FCC's repeated requests for information on
the station operation (primarily about 1)).

Both of which lead to the NAL.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2384. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 14:15:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110204956.854506.233100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103900

FYI: I don't drink...

Todd N9OGL

Lee Scott wrote:
> I think he's drunk again.....
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
> me running, try to keep up
> >
>
> "john sumner" <josumner1964@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:1110115659.33ded97420ba4d2fa1e913def981dec4@bubbanews...
> >
> > N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> > news:1110090988.500736.26430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> WELL IF I DIDN'T HAVE TO TALK TO A BUNCH OF FUCKING ASSHOLES, I
WONT
> >> GIVE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE BITCH WHORE THE COURTESY OF BEING NICE.
NO YOU
> >> LITTLE FUCKER YOU JUST CAN FUCKING READ! I SAID I TYPE FAST THAT
WHY IT
> >> COMES OUT SCREWED UP, HAVE YOUR FUCKING MOMMY READ THAT LINE TO
YOU SO
> >> YOU CAN FUCKING UNDERSTAND.
> >>
> > Why are you so angry, but of curse you a a troll and somewhat
amusing, so
> > i
> > will spare you my bozo file for now.
> >
> >

2385. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 14:23:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110205427.845959.140890@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103901

Michael Coslo wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
> > to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
> > lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).
>
> No Len, you don't offend me.

It's kinda hard to be "offended" when you realize the person doing the "offending" is such an idiot. Kinda like the guy who walks up to a park bench, picks up the "Wet Paint" sign, sits down, then complains about the wet paint.

It's just that Lennie walks up to the bench, looks around to see if anyone's watching, picks up the sign and sits down, then screams at the top of his lungs how they came along and painted the bench while he was still on it.

Wadda putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2386. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 14:25:42 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <422c64be$1_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 103902

Sure you don't. You could use it as an excuse for acting a fool.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110204956.854506.233100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> FYI: I don't drink...
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
> Lee Scott wrote:
>> I think he's drunk again.....
>> --
>> Leland C. Scott
>> KC8LDO
>> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
>> me running, try to keep up
>> >
>>
>> "john sumner" <josumner1964@cs.com> wrote in message
>> news:1110115659.33ded97420ba4d2fa1e913def981dec4@bubbanews...
>> >
>> > N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>> > news:1110090988.500736.26430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> WELL IF I DIDN'T HAVE TO TALK TO A BUNCH OF FUCKING ASSHOLES, I
> WONT
>> >> GIVE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE BITCH WHORE THE COURTESY OF BEING NICE.
> NO YOU
>> >> LITTLE FUCKER YOU JUST CAN FUCKING READ! I SAID I TYPE FAST THAT
> WHY IT
>> >> COMES OUT SCREWED UP, HAVE YOUR FUCKING MOMMY READ THAT LINE TO
> YOU SO
>> >> YOU CAN FUCKING UNDERSTAND.
>> >>
>> > Why are you so angry, but of curse you a a troll and somewhat
> amusing, so
>> > i
>> > will spare you my bozo file for now.
>> >

>> >
>

2387. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 14:47:12 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1110206832.612547.167690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103903

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:65SdnWHZe__Eg7TfRVn-rw@adelphia.com...
>
> [snip]
>
> > As the future would pan out, we have lost numbers, and a lot of
them the
> > Non-coded types.
> >
> > As a ship that is almost stopped proves, turning the rudder does
almost
> > nothing, or when the interest rate goes very low, adjusting it does
almost
> > nothing, eliminating the 5WPM Morse code will have no positive
effect on
> > the numbers of new hams, and the most likely effect will be that
with the
> > lesser effort, more and more will get into the hobby with only
slight
> > interest, and then drop out. That is not what we need.
> >
> > Hey, do I get a prize for that freakin' long sentence?
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
>
> How does it stack up against some of Edgar Allan Poe's sentences? I
know he
> wrote some doozies when it came to length.

I think you'll find that James Joyce holds all the long-sentence
records.

And that Sweet Lenny holds all the records for irrelevant sentences.

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

w3rv

2388. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 15:24:47 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110209087.701761.133170@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103904

No, just talking to a bunch of dumb asses who gets their nuts off by
name calling, and pushing people's buttons.
Todd N9OGL

Lee Scott wrote:
> Sure you don't. You could use it as an excuse for acting a fool.
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
> me running, try to keep up
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1110204956.854506.233100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > FYI: I don't drink...
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
> > Lee Scott wrote:
> >> I think he's drunk again.....
> >> --
> >> Leland C. Scott
> >> KC8LDO
> >> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> >> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
> >> me running, try to keep up
> >> >
> >>
> >> "john sumner" <josumner1964@cs.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1110115659.33ded97420ba4d2fa1e913def981dec4@bubbanews...
> >> >
> >> > N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> >> > news:1110090988.500736.26430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> WELL IF I DIDN'T HAVE TO TALK TO A BUNCH OF FUCKING ASSHOLES, I
> > WONT
> >> >> GIVE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE BITCH WHORE THE COURTESY OF BEING
NICE.
> > NO YOU
> >> >> LITTLE FUCKER YOU JUST CAN FUCKING READ! I SAID I TYPE FAST
THAT
> > WHY IT
> >> >> COMES OUT SCREWED UP, HAVE YOUR FUCKING MOMMY READ THAT LINE TO
> > YOU SO

> >> >> YOU CAN FUCKING UNDERSTAND.
> >> >>
> >> > Why are you so angry, but of curse you a a troll and somewhat
> > amusing, so
> >> > i
> >> > will spare you my bozo file for now.
> >> >
> >> >
> >

2389. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 15:35:04 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110209704.665589.41150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103905

Maybe I should foward this to a few people and see what they think about your discriminating comment. Groups like the Handi-Hams, better yet that will the topic of my next program, THE TRUTH ABOUT HAM RADIO:A RADIO SERVICE WHO'S OPERATORS HATE PEOPLE WITH HANDICAPS AND DON'T WANT THEM USING THE RADIO SPECTRUM.

Todd N9OGL

2390. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 16:18:30 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <422c7f2e$0$13890$892e7fe2@authen.white.readfreenews.net>
Source record: 103906

I don't have any problem with retards, Todd. You people get the best parking spots!
>
"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message news:1110209704.665589.41150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Maybe I should foward this to a few people and see what they think
> about your discriminating comment. Groups like the Handi-Hams, better
> yet that will the topic of my next program, THE TRUTH ABOUT HAM RADIO:A
> RADIO SERVICE WHO'S OPERATORS HATE PEOPLE WITH HANDICAPS AND DON'T WANT
> THEM USING THE RADIO SPECTRUM.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

2391. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 16:19:56 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <422c7f84$0$13896$892e7fe2@authen.white.readfreenews.net>
Source record: 103907

You still ride the short bus and lick the windows.
.
"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110172135.141923.29120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> For your information DICKHEAD I quit walmart to go back to school so I
> get into $50k to $100k job.So fuck you dickhead.
> Todd N9OGL
>
> Bathrooman wrote:
>> Toddy worked fer WAL FART but got fired for incompetence. Now he is
>> president and CEO of Langley Park Telecommunications & Broadcasting
>> Corp.
>

2392. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 16:20:53 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <422c7fbd$0$13871$892e7fe2@authen.white.readfreenews.net>
Source record: 103908

Go back to bed and sleep it off toddy.
>
"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110209087.701761.133170@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> No, just talking to a bunch of dumb asses who gets their nuts off by
> name calling, and pushing people's buttons.
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
> Lee Scott wrote:
>> Sure you don't. You could use it as an excuse for acting a fool.
>> --
>> Leland C. Scott
>> KC8LDO
>> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
>> me running, try to keep up
>>
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>> news:1110204956.854506.233100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > FYI: I don't drink...
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL
>> >
>> > Lee Scott wrote:
>> >> I think he's drunk again.....
>> >> --
>> >> Leland C. Scott
>> >> KC8LDO
>> >> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>> >> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
>> >> me running, try to keep up
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> "john sumner" <josumner1964@cs.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1110115659.33ded97420ba4d2fa1e913def981dec4@bubbanews...
>> >> >
>> >> > N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>> >> > news:1110090988.500736.26430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> WELL IF I DIDN'T HAVE TO TALK TO A BUNCH OF FUCKING ASSHOLES, I
>> > WONT
>> >> >> GIVE YOU AND YOUR LITTLE BITCH WHORE THE COURTESY OF BEING
> NICE.
>> > NO YOU

>> >> >> LITTLE FUCKER YOU JUST CAN FUCKING READ! I SAID I TYPE FAST
> THAT
>> > WHY IT
>> >> >> COMES OUT SCREWED UP, HAVE YOUR FUCKING MOMMY READ THAT LINE TO
>> > YOU SO
>> >> >> YOU CAN FUCKING UNDERSTAND.
>> >> >>
>> >> > Why are you so angry, but of curse you a a troll and somewhat
>> > amusing, so
>> >> > i
>> >> > will spare you my bozo file for now.
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >
>

2393. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 16:28:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422C8146.6D9B3410@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103909

Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>> Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
> >>>> to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
> >>>> lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).
> >>
> >> I didn't notice that in the original post.
> >>
> >> What a very, very odd thing to type.....
> >
> >
> > It is the latest in a very long string of very odd things which Leonard
> > has typed.
> >
> >
> >> One of the first times I have been accused of trying to impress others.
> >>Go figure. Mostly I just irritate others.
> >
> >
> > Mike, Mike, Mike. You have a callsign. You're guilty of trying to
> > impress Len.
>
> Heck, I've had it all wrong, Dave. I thouhgt having a callsign
> *offended* him!
>
> Maybe he's right and I am a little dull...

Trying to impress him offends him. That would, in Len-speak, make you
"overly-proud".

Next thing you know, Len'll be picturing you in an invisible Waffen SS
uniform and his thoughts will drift to having you punish him. It gets
really strange *after* that.

Dave K8MN

2394. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 16:36:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422C8353.23C4D462@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103910

N9OGL wrote:
>
> Maybe I should foward this to a few people and see what they think
> about your discriminating comment. Groups like the Handi-Hams, better
> yet that will the topic of my next program, THE TRUTH ABOUT HAM RADIO:A
> RADIO SERVICE WHO'S OPERATORS HATE PEOPLE WITH HANDICAPS AND DON'T WANT
> THEM USING THE RADIO SPECTRUM.
>
> Todd N9OGL

I assume that most of this will fall under the "opinion" portion of your
show, Todd.

I have a few good topics for your future broadcasts/informational
bulletins: "Fast typing makes for incoherent sentences", "My high IQ
leaves me unable to communicate with lesser beings" or "How I beat the
FCC
at its own game".

Good luck. Drop us a line and tell us how it went.

Dave K8MN

2395. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 16:54:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110214461.563933.227680@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103911

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > Maybe I should foward this to a few people and see what they think
> > about your discriminating comment. Groups like the Handi-Hams,
better
> > yet that will the topic of my next program, THE TRUTH ABOUT HAM
RADIO:A
> > RADIO SERVICE WHO'S OPERATORS HATE PEOPLE WITH HANDICAPS AND DON'T
WANT
> > THEM USING THE RADIO SPECTRUM.

I don't think Handi-Hams includes those who are potty-mouthed,
snivelling twits who trash airwaves and USENET alike.

> I assume that most of this will fall under the "opinion" portion of
your
> show, Todd.
>
> I have a few good topics for your future broadcasts/informational
> bulletins: "Fast typing makes for incoherent sentences", "My high IQ
> leaves me unable to communicate with lesser beings" or "How I beat
the
> FCC at its own game".
>
> Good luck. Drop us a line and tell us how it went.

Maybe we'll get lucky, Dave, and someone else will "drop a line"
around his neck.

What a twit.

Steve, K4YZ

2396. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 17:12:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110215521.425141.215220@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103912

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>>> Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
> > > >>>> to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
> > > >>>> lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).
> > > >>
> > > >> I didn't notice that in the original post.
> > > >>
> > > >> What a very, very odd thing to type.........
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > It is the latest in a very long string of very odd things which
Leonard
> > > > has typed.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >> One of the first times I have been accused of trying to
impress others.
> > > >>Go figure. Mostly I just irritate others.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Mike, Mike, Mike. You have a callsign. You're guilty of trying
to
> > > > impress Len.
> > >
> > > Heck, I've had it all wrong, Dave. I thought having a
callsign
> > > *offended* him!
> > >
> > > Maybe he's right and I am a little dull...
> >
> > Trying to impress him offends him. That would, in Len-speak, make
you
> > "overly-proud".
> >
```

> Next thing you know, Len'll be picturing you in an invisible Waffen
SS
> uniform and his thoughts will drift to having you punish him. It
gets
> really strange *after* that.

What about the Putzmeister ISN'T "strange", Dave?

He's an ex-radio technician (wannabe engineer) who can't pass a
radio exam.

He embellished his military "career" with tales of derring-do of
guys who were KIA 3 years before he was even inducted. (And let us not
forget the "hair raising" tale of "incomming artillery" and how he
served "under the threat to the Soviet Bear" even though that "Bear"
was still being manufactured!)

He makes up stories about the alleged "dishonesty" of ARRL board
members then doesn't provide a lick of evidence.

He's quick to tell everyone else what they "are" or "aren't", yet
isn't any of those things either.

He cries "foul" over having been challenged in the eyes of the
FCC, yet has spammed ECFS with almost 2 dozen "he's not objective
because..." "rebuttals" of licensed Amateurs with decades of
experience.

He claims he can operate "anywhere" with his GROL, but can't stand
it when it's pointed out to him that his GROL SPECIFICALLY restrains
his "operating privileges".

He was never "published" in a professional journal and was part of
an Amateur Radio journal that went belly-up with him as part of the
"Editorial Staff".

He routinely makes glaring errors of fact and practice in Amateur
policy...

Could it be cuz he has no practical experience in Amateur
matters...?!?!

Me thinks so!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2397. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 17:18:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422C8D37.278F3246@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103913

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > >
> > > Maybe I should foward this to a few people and see what they think
> > > about your discriminating comment. Groups like the Handi-Hams,
> better
> > > yet that will the topic of my next program, THE TRUTH ABOUT HAM
> RADIO:A
> > > RADIO SERVICE WHO'S OPERATORS HATE PEOPLE WITH HANDICAPS AND DON'T
> WANT
> > > THEM USING THE RADIO SPECTRUM.
>
> I don't think Handi-Hams includes those who are potty-mouthed,
> snivelling twits who trash airwaves and USENET alike.
>
> > I assume that most of this will fall under the "opinion" portion of
> your
> > show, Todd.
> >
> > I have a few good topics for your future broadcasts/informational
> > bulletins: "Fast typing makes for incoherent sentences", "My high IQ
> > leaves me unable to communicate with lesser beings" or "How I beat
> the
> > FCC at its own game".
> >
> > Good luck. Drop us a line and tell us how it went.
>
> Maybe we'll get lucky, Dave, and someone else will "drop a line"
> around his neck.
>
> What a twit.

Naw, Steve. The Todd's in amateur radio either get bored when they discover that ham radio isn't the place to play boy broadcaster or they have their amateur tickets yanked by the FCC when they continue to step out of bounds. Todd will burn up on reentry.

Dave K8MN

2398. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 17:37:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422C918D.4103FEE4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103914

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > > > > Mike, Mike, Mike. You have a callsign. You're guilty of trying
> to
> > > > > impress Len.
> > > >
> > > > Heck, I've had it all wrong, Dave. I thought having a
> callsign
> > > > *offended* him!
> > > >
> > > > Maybe he's right and I am a little dull...
> > >
> > > Trying to impress him offends him. That would, in Len-speak, make
> you
> > > "overly-proud".
> > >
> > > Next thing you know, Len'll be picturing you in an invisible Waffen
> SS
> > > uniform and his thoughts will drift to having you punish him. It
> gets
> > > really strange *after* that.
> >
> What about the Putzmeister ISN'T "strange", Dave?

After reading his output here for nearly a decade, I can honestly answer
that I don't see much. He recently wrote about "marching to a different
drummer". Well, he's the kind of guy who marches in a parade with 150
others and shouts at them that *they're all out of step* with him.

> He's an ex-radio technician (wannabe engineer) who can't pass a
> radio exam.

Be fair, Steve. Len might be able to pass an exam. He might not. He
might not be able to pass a morse exam at this point. Len has told us

of a decades-long interest in amateur radio. He has told us about getting an "Extra right out of the box". He has also told us that he has no interest in obtaining an amateur radio license. In short, it really doesn't matter what Len could or could not do. The chances of him even making an attempt are quite small.

> He embellished his military "career" with tales of derring-do of
> guys who were KIA 3 years before he was even inducted. (And let us not
> forget the "hair raising" tale of "incomming artillery" and how he
> served "under the threat to the Soviet Bear" even though that "Bear"
> was still being manufactured!)

Len is big on wrapping himself in patriotic bunting. You'll note that when I wrote "Vietnam combat veteran" very recently, Len's response was "COMBAT!?"

> He makes up stories about the alleged "dishonesty" of ARRL board
> members then doesn't provide a lick of evidence.

As you've no doubt seen, Len can't answer a question with a straight answer.

> He's quick to tell everyone else what they "are" or "aren't", yet
> isn't any of those things either.

No, he's not. One of the things he isn't happens to be a radio amateur. In that, he's an odd man out. At very least, he's an odd man.

> He cries "foul" over having been challenged in the eyes of the
> FCC, yet has spammed ECFS with almost 2 dozen "he's not objective
> because..." "rebuttals" of licensed Amateurs with decades of
> experience.

I'm telling you, Steve, he has to have been the subject of discussion at the Commission on one or more occasions.

> He claims he can operate "anywhere" with his GROL, but can't stand
> it when it's pointed out to him that his GROL SPECIFICALLY restrains
> his "operating privileges".

What's the diff? His commercial license has nothing to do with amateur radio.

> He was never "published" in a professional journal and was part of
> an Amateur Radio journal that went belly-up with him as part of the
> "Editorial Staff".

I don't think it likely that Len's presence had anything to do with "Ham Radio" ceasing publication. I went through some of my back issues and viewed some of Len's material. None of his articles were construction articles. None were interesting enough to me to have read them when first published. None interested me enough to read them through when I saw them recently. I saw them as stuff to be skipped between amplifier or antenna articles.

> He routinely makes glaring errors of fact and practice in Amateur
> policy...

He does get quite a number of things partially or completely wrong.
Then again, he has no background in amateur radio.

> Could it be cuz he has no practical experience in Amateur
> matters...?!?!

Oh, I think Len has plenty of experience in amateur matters, just not
amateur radio matters.

Dave K8MN

2399. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 19:10:07 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110222607.461299.88610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103915

<<<For your information DICKHEAD I quit walmart to go back to school so
I
get into $50k to $100k job.So fuck you dickhead.
Todd N9OGL >>>

You lie about this and you bullshit about everything else. Your
informational bulletin shows are equally full of bullshit so they are
of no use whatsoever to anyone. Yeah, the entire time you spent
farting around at Wal Fart you were dreaming about a $50-$100K job like
everybody else that works in that shithole. When I have nothing better
to do, I go into the Wal Fart near me and I fart and watch the retards.
I buy terlit paper there and that's it, it ain't good fer nothing
else. You got fired fer being a shit. We all know that, so there is
no sense in lying about it. Why don't you get a "wireless mike" from
radio shack so you can broadcast all the bullshit you want and it will
stay within your own house so no one else has to listen to it. You can
even take it into the bathroom with you and broadcast those sounds.
Why don't you apply at a local radio station, anything to get your foot
in the door, sweep the floors, clean the cans, then hopefully after a
while they will give you some mike time and you can spin some tunes and
yak yak yak.

2400. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 20:41:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110228101.260780.21130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103916

Dave Heil wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > He's an ex-radio technician (wannabe engineer) who can't pass
a
> > radio exam.
>
> Be fair, Steve. Len might be able to pass an exam. He might not.

Ya wanna toss a coin on it...?!?! =)

> He might not be able to pass a morse exam at this point. Len has
told us
> of a decades-long interest in amateur radio. He has told us about
> getting an "Extra right out of the box". He has also told us that he
> has no interest in obtaining an amateur radio license. In short, it
> really doesn't matter what Len could or could not do. The chances of
> him even making an attempt are quite small.

And I bet there are other things even smaller in his life...

But it really is what he's NOT about...As in "not about to do any
of the things he said he would..."

> > He embellished his military "career" with tales of derring-do
of
> > guys who were KIA 3 years before he was even inducted. (And let us
not
> > forget the "hair raising" tale of "incomming artillery" and how he
> > served "under the threat to the Soviet Bear" even though that
"Bear"
> > was still being manufactured!)
>
> Len is big on wrapping himself in patriotic bunting. You'll note
that
> when I wrote "Vietnam combat veteran" very recently, Len's response
was
> "COMBAT!?"

I am sure Lennie's sole exposure to "combat" has been ducking a
rolling pin by Mrs Lennie. Or dragging himself back to the barracks
via the benjo ditches after a night of revelry in post-war rear-area
Japan...Had to be a LOT of celebrating that they weren't the unlucky
schmucks in Korea.

> > He's quick to tell everyone else what they "are" or "aren't",
yet

> > isn't any of those things either.
>
> No, he's not. One of the things he isn't happens to be a radio
amateur.
> In that, he's an odd man out. At very least, he's an odd man.

Hear Hear!

> > He cries "foul" over having been challenged in the eyes of the
> > FCC, yet has spammed ECFS with almost 2 dozen "he's not objective
> > because..." "rebuttals" of licensed Amateurs with decades of
> > experience.
>
> I'm telling you, Steve, he has to have been the subject of discussion
at
> the Commission on one or more occasions.

"Discussion", or ribauld laughter?

> > He claims he can operate "anywhere" with his GROL, but can't
stand
> > it when it's pointed out to him that his GROL SPECIFICALLY
restrains
> > his "operating privileges".
>
> What's the diff? His commercial license has nothing to do with
amateur
> radio.

Too true. Nor does his Army experience, his "aerospace" career,
or his labours at a plastic model company.

But we hear a LOT about those...

> > He was never "published" in a professional journal and was
part of
> > an Amateur Radio journal that went belly-up with him as part of the
> > "Editorial Staff".
>
> I don't think it likely that Len's presence had anything to do with
"Ham
> Radio" ceasing publication. I went through some of my back issues
and
> viewed some of Len's material. None of his articles were
construction
> articles. None were interesting enough to me to have read them when
> first published. None interested me enough to read them through
when I
> saw them recently. I saw them as stuff to be skipped between
amplifier
> or antenna articles.

I bought a couple copies of "HR" for .20c a copy at a flea market
just to read his articles.

Flighty stuff. Impractical. And after five years of asking him
to do so, he's not provided a single instance of where his
"theoretical" dissertations were part or parcel to any "real" project.

Not a one.

> > He routinely makes glaring errors of fact and practice in
Amateur
> > policy...
>
> He does get quite a number of things partially or completely wrong.
> Then again, he has no background in amateur radio.
>
> > Could it be cuz he has no practical experience in Amateur
> > matters...?!?!
>
> Oh, I think Len has plenty of experience in amateur matters, just not
> amateur radio matters.

VERY amateurish, I'd say...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2401. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-07 20:50:23 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <KJqdnWqkndJ0IrHfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103917

N9OGL wrote:

> Maybe I should foward this to a few people and see what they think
> about your discriminating comment. Groups like the Handi-Hams, better
> yet that will the topic of my next program, THE TRUTH ABOUT HAM RADIO:A
> RADIO SERVICE WHO'S OPERATORS HATE PEOPLE WITH HANDICAPS AND DON'T WANT
> THEM USING THE RADIO SPECTRUM.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

And just what facts are you going to use to back up that statement?

2402. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 00:51:45 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110243105.501091.56690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103918

Toddy never uses any facts to back up his statements. The only thing that is important to him is his OPINION. He is under the delusion that others are interested in his OPINION as a result of his being in retard school. There, the teachers and other retards acted as if they were interested in his OPINION. Your tax money goes to the special ed teachers to encourage retards to express themselves. They normally have an aversion to self expression to avoid looking ridiculous. The result of all this very special education is that you have blithering idiots running amok. He now requires that same ego stimulation. Even if he has no audience he still must hear himself yak, like a true psychopath.

2403. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 01:06:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <bNmdna-Upfk4Z7HfRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103919

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
>>>>>> to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
>>>>>> lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).
>>>>
>>>> I didn't notice that in the original post.
>>>>
>>>> What a very, very odd thing to type..........
>>>
>>>
>>>It is the latest in a very long string of very odd things which Leonard
>>>has typed.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> One of the first times I have been accused of trying to impress others.
>>>>Go figure. Mostly I just irritate others.
>>>
>>>
>>>Mike, Mike, Mike. You have a callsign. You're guilty of trying to
>>>impress Len.
>>
>> Heck, I've had it all wrong, Dave. I thouhgt having a callsign
>>*offended* him!
>>
>> Maybe he's right and I am a little dull...
>
>
> Trying to impress him offends him. That would, in Len-speak, make you
> "overly-proud".
>
```

> Next thing you know, Len'll be picturing you in an invisible Waffen SS
> uniform and his thoughts will drift to having you punish him. It gets
> really strange *after* that.

Do I at least get to wear regular underwear? I hope....

- Mike KB3EIA -

2404. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 01:37:58 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ksGdnR-PzuWUn7DfRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 103920

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110209704.665589.41150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Maybe I should foward this to a few people and see what they think
> about your discriminating comment. Groups like the Handi-Hams, better
> yet that will the topic of my next program, THE TRUTH ABOUT HAM RADIO:A
> RADIO SERVICE WHO'S OPERATORS HATE PEOPLE WITH HANDICAPS AND DON'T WANT
> THEM USING THE RADIO SPECTRUM.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

This would hardly classify as an information bulletin. In addition it would
be an out and out fabrication of lies.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2405. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 03:01:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110249297.402205.203190@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103921

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then getting
> your nose rubbed in it?
>
> I have a solution...STOP DOING IT!
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Nurse, heal thyself.

2406. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 03:24:12 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <4NqdnQ17gMungrDfRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103922

Dee Flint wrote:

> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1110209704.665589.41150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>>Maybe I should foward this to a few people and see what they think
>>about your discriminating comment. Groups like the Handi-Hams, better
>>yet that will the topic of my next program, THE TRUTH ABOUT HAM RADIO:A
>>RADIO SERVICE WHO'S OPERATORS HATE PEOPLE WITH HANDICAPS AND DON'T WANT
>>THEM USING THE RADIO SPECTRUM.
>>
>>Todd N9OGL
>>
>
>
> This would hardly classify as an information bulletin. In addition it would
> be an out and out fabrication of lies.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

A few lies and fabrications aren't going to stop toddyboy. Wonder if the
ham bands will be treated to some of his kewel jr. highschool language?

2407. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 03:49:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422D20F9.9E4F3211@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103923

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>> Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
> >>>>>> to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
> >>>>>> lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).
> >>>>
> >>>> I didn't notice that in the original post.
> >>>>
> >>>> What a very, very odd thing to type.....
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>It is the latest in a very long string of very odd things which Leonard
> >>>has typed.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>One of the first times I have been accused of trying to impress others.
> >>>>Go figure. Mostly I just irritate others.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Mike, Mike, Mike. You have a callsign. You're guilty of trying to
> >>>impress Len.
> >>
> >> Heck, I've had it all wrong, Dave. I thouhgt having a callsign
> >>*offended* him!
> >>
> >> Maybe he's right and I am a little dull...
> >
> >
> > Trying to impress him offends him. That would, in Len-speak, make you

> > "overly-proud".
> >
> > Next thing you know, Len'll be picturing you in an invisible Waffen SS
> > uniform and his thoughts will drift to having you punish him. It gets
> > really strange *after* that.
>
> Do I at least get to wear regular underwear? I hope....

I dunno, Mike. It's Len's fantasy.

Dave K8MN

2408. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 06:31:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110263492.718865.161850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103924

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then
getting
> > your nose rubbed in it?
> >
> > I have a solution...STOP DOING IT!
> >
> > Putz.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Nurse, heal thyself.

Of?

On the otherhand I can professionally suggest that you seek some
help for your inability to deal with truthfulness and deceit, Brian
Burke.

And let your wife and Scouting council in on it...they can help.
You'll only be able to hide your conduct so long, then it will show up
on thier respective doorsteps and the secret will be out.

Steve, K4YZ

2409. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 10:02:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110276144.135794.151200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103925

So...

What is it with Illinois?

Lennie "It's My Lie And I'll Tell It My Way" Anderson alleges to hail from there.

Mark "I Am A Reluctant Gay Pagan Draftee Colonel in The US Army Chemical Corps" Morgan, KB9RQZ is in Illiopolis.

(Todd is close enough to Mark to get him on 2M...If Mark is as much fun with the spoken word as he is illiterate, they could insult each other all day long and not understand a word either said!)

Michael "Why Oh Why Wasn't I Born White" Jackson missed being an Illinoisan by 4.5 miles.

Now we have N9OGL.

Must be acid rain.

Steve, K4YZ

2410. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 10:04:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110276294.148869.244660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103926

K4YZ wrote:

> Mark "I Am A Reluctant Gay Pagan Draftee Colonel in The US Army
> Chemical Corps" Morgan, KB9RQZ is in Illiopolis.

I stand corrected! Markie moved! Ran out is probably more like
it, but oh well...He's that much farther away from Tennessee, and all I
can say is Hooray for Michigan!

Steve, K4YZ

2411. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 10:39:27 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110278367.395565.30730@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103927

If you girls are so worried about my inforamtion bulletins, why don't you quick bitch about on here and complain to the FCC, reason.....you don't have the balls! instead you'll say on here and cry like littel girls.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
20 METERS
Saturday's @ 4.00pm CST

2412. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 11:02:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110279755.715861.275380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103928

N9OGL wrote:

> If you girls are so worried about my inforamtion...(SNIP)

Like I am going to get my "inforamtion" from an illiterate punk!

> (UNSNIP)...bulletins, why don't
> you quick bitch about on here and complain to the FCC, reason.....you
> don't have the balls! instead you'll say on here and cry like littel
> girls.

"...quick bitch about on here..."

"...instead you'll say on here and cry like littel girls..."

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

TODD! You're such an IDIOT! What kind of an "inforamtion"
BULLetin can you possibly disseminate when your grammar sucks and the
"f" word is your adjective of choice...?!?!

BBBBWWWWWHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

You make a good argument for post-puberty abortions!

Steve, K4YZ

2413. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 11:19:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110280750.983843.160890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103929

I can talk a hell a lot better then I can type, dumb ass. I'm not a writer, the only real writing I do is in computer language.

2414. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 11:22:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110280925.473707.74140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103930

LIKE I SAID QUIT BITCHING ABOUT IT ON HERE AND COMPLAIN TO THE FCC I
FUCKING DARE YOU CHICKEN SHIT ASSHOLES!!!

2415. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 12:56:06 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <422da140$0$13893$892e7fe2@authen.white.readfreenews.net>
Source record: 103931

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110184561.431706.18520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> First off you dickhead, you've never heard my broadcast and therefor
> can't justify that statement. secondly that's your fucking opinion, and
> in my view you and your little butt buddies on here are a small
> minority in the amateur radio service. ONE MORE FUCKING THING, MY
> PROGRAMS DEAL WITH AMATEUR RADIO ISSUES SO FUCK YOU!!!!
>
What happened to your shoutcast audience, toddums?

Todd Daugherty May 7 2003, 3:33 pm show options

Newsgroups: alt.radio.internet.shoutcast
From: todd...@consolidated.net (Todd Daugherty) - Find messages by
this author
Date: 7 May 2003 15:33:56 -0700
Local: Wed, May 7 2003 3:33 pm
Subject: question and my station
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | View Thread | Show original |
Report Abuse

Hi I have a question how do I add more stations to my Shoutcast
server? and how do I increase my listerners?
> Todd N9OGL
>

2416. Get Us Out of the U.N. Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 14:06:13 UTC
From: I Am Not Phil <nophil@iamnotphil.org>
Message-ID: <d0kbchjnj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

For God's sake, get the United States out of the U.N. before
it is too late. John Kerry & Bill Clinton are U.N. fans. Is that
proof enough?

2417. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 14:19:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110291583.424216.248590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103933

Lee Scott wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1110184561.431706.18520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > First off you dickhead, you've never heard my broadcast and
therefor
> > can't justify that statement. secondly that's your fucking opinion,
and
> > in my view you and your little butt buddies on here are a small
> > minority in the amateur radio service. ONE MORE FUCKING THING, MY
> > PROGRAMS DEAL WITH AMATEUR RADIO ISSUES SO FUCK YOU!!!!
> >
> What happened to your shoutcast audience, toddums?
>
> Todd Daugherty May 7 2003, 3:33 pm show options
>
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.internet.shoutcast
> From: todd...@consolidated.net (Todd Daugherty) - Find messages
by
> this author
> Date: 7 May 2003 15:33:56 -0700
> Local: Wed, May 7 2003 3:33 pm
> Subject: question and my station
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | View Thread | Show original
|
> Report Abuse
>
> Hi I have a question how do I add more stations to my Shoutcast
> server? and how do I increase my listerners?
> > Todd N9OGL
> >

That was actually a tech question, the shoutcast server which anyone
can download has in it core where you could set the number of people.
the standard setting when you download it is 50 people. you can however
reconfig it to have up to 500 people. of course you also have to take
into account you internet speed. Someone with a dial up of 56K couldn't
handle 500 people connecting to his computer, it would slow it down a
great deal. A person running 56K with 500 people connecting to his
shoutcast server on his computer would probably slow his system down to
14.4K. Me I have high speed DSL (around 700KB) so I was asking to
change it from the default of 50 listeners to a higher number.

Todd N9OGL

2418. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 14:26:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110291968.831474.21620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103934

>From N9OGL'S BLOG

http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

Information Bulletins

There has been some bitching and whining on the newsgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy about my information bulletin. The complaining comes from Amateur Radio operators who feel that information bulletins aren't suppose to be "opinionated" yet, when I repeatly asked them what rule or ruling from the FCC states that information bulletins can't be opinionated, they never responded except to badmouth my grammar. So lets look at the history and regulations governing Information Bulletins.
To start lets look at the definitions as prescribed under Part 97. Under Part 97.3(a)(10) Broadcasting is defined as Transmissions intended for reception by the general public, either direct or relayed. While under Part 97.3(a)(26) Information bulletins are defined as A message directed only to amateur radio operators consisting solely of subject mater of direct interest to the amateur radio service. The only other rule governing information bulletins can be found in Part 97.111(b)(6) which deals with Authorized One Way Transmissions and it states "Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins". No where in either 97.3(a)(26) nor in 97.111(b)(6) does it state a information bulletin can not be opinionated. I can't really figure out how it came to be that amateur's feel that other amateurs can run bulletins that are opinionated. They are not illegal, nor is there any rules prohibiting such transmission. Under 47 USC 326 the FCC is banned from controlling the content of any station, except for obscene and indecent material. So where and how did the amateur come up the notion that information bulletins can't be opinionated? Many amateur feel that opinions are not information, This however is not true, here in the United States this country was based on the belief of the free flow of idea's. Information is 50% fact and 50% opinion, and without the opinions of people the free flow idea's will be eliminated. The problem I see is that amateur's don't want other amateur's to be opinionated and/or afraid that some of those amateur's opinion might be the deep down the truth of problems within the service. The other thing is, many amateur's feel that opinionated program, even if is about amateur related is Broadcasting. This is not true either; As stated above broadcasting is programming directed to the general public. There's where the line is drawn, Broadcasting is programming which is directed to the general public, while information bulletins is programs directed to the amateur in general. In closing No matter what amateur's on that newsgroup thinks, the N9OGL SHOW is a program directed only to the

amateur radio service, not the general public. The N9OGL SHOW will be on the air for a long time and I will fight, to keep it on the air.

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
20 METERS
SATURDAY'S 4.00 PM TO 5.00 PM CST

Information Bulletins
There has been some bitching and whining on the newsgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy about my information bulletin. The complaining comes from Amateur Radio operators who feel that information bulletins aren't suppose to be "opinionated" yet, when I repeatly asked them what rule or ruling from the FCC states that information bulletins can't be opinionated, they never responded except to badmouth my grammar. So lets look at the history and regulations governing Information Bulletins.
To start lets look at the definitions as prescribed under Part 97. Under Part 97.3(a)(10) Broadcasting is defined as Transmissions intended for reception by the general public, either direct or relayed. While under Part 97.3(a)(26) Information bulletins are defined as A message directed only to amateur radio operators consisting solely of subject mater of direct interest to the amateur radio service. The only other rule governing information bulletins can be found in Part 97.111(b)(6) which deals with Authorized One Way Transmissions and it states "Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins". No where in either 97.3(a)(26) nor in 97.111(b)(6) does it state a information bulletin can not be opinionated. I can't really figure out how it came to be that amateur's feel that other amateurs can run bulletins that are opinionated. They are not illegal, nor is there any rules prohibiting such transmission. Under 47 USC 326 the FCC is banned from controlling the content of any station, except for obscene and indecent material. So where and how did the amateur come up the notion that information bulletins can't be opinionated? Many amateur feel that opinions are not information, This however is not true, here in the United States this country was based on the belief of the free flow of idea's. Information is 50% fact and 50% opinion, and without the opinions of people the free flow idea's will be eliminated. The problem I see is that amateur's don't want other amateur's to be opinionated and/or afraid that some of those amateur's opinion might be the deep down the truth of problems within the service. The other thing is, many amateur's feel that opinionated program, even if is about amateur related is Broadcasting. This is not true either; As stated above broadcasting is programming directed to the general public. There's where the line is drawn, Broadcasting is programming which is directed to the general public, while information bulletins is programs directed to the amateur in general. In closing No matter what amateur's on that newsgroup thinks, the N9OGL SHOW is a program directed only to the amateur radio service, not the general public. The N9OGL SHOW will be on the air for a long time and I will fight, to keep it on the air.

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
20 METERS
SATURDAY'S 4.00 PM TO 5.00 PM CST

Information Bulletins
There has been some bitching and whining on the newsgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy about my information bulletin. The complaining comes from Amateur Radio operators who feel that information bulletins aren't suppose to be "opinionated" yet, when I repeatly asked them what rule or ruling from the FCC states that information bulletins can't be opinionated, they never responded except to badmouth my grammar. So lets look at the history and regulations governing Information Bulletins.
To start lets look at the definitions as prescribed under Part 97. Under Part 97.3(a)(10) Broadcasting is defined as Transmissions intended for reception by the general public, either direct or relayed. While under Part 97.3(a)(26) Information bulletins are defined as A message directed only to amateur radio operators consisting solely of subject mater of direct interest to the amateur radio service. The only other rule governing information bulletins can be found in Part 97.111(b)(6) which deals with Authorized One Way Transmissions and it states "Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins". No where in either 97.3(a)(26) nor in 97.111(b)(6) does it state a information bulletin can not be opinionated. I can't really figure out how it came to be that amateur's feel that other amateurs can run bulletins that are opinionated. They are not illegal, nor is there any rules prohibiting such transmission. Under 47 USC 326 the FCC is banned from controlling the content of any station, except for obscene and indecent material. So where and how did the amateur come up the notion that information bulletins can't be opinionated? Many amateur feel that opinions are not information, This however is not true, here in the United States this country was based on the belief of the free flow of idea's. Information is 50% fact and 50% opinion, and without the opinions of people the free flow idea's will be eliminated. The problem I see is that amateur's don't want other amateur's to be opinionated and/or afraid that some of those amateur's opinion might be the deep down the truth of problems within the service. The other thing is, many amateur's feel that opinionated program, even if is about amateur related is Broadcasting. This is not true either; As stated above broadcasting is programming directed to the general public. There's where the line is drawn, Broadcasting is programming which is directed to the general public, while information bulletins is programs directed to the amateur in general. In closing No matter what amateur's on that newsgroup thinks, the N9OGL SHOW is a program directed only to the amateur radio service, not the general public. The N9OGL SHOW will be on the air for a long time and I will fight, to keep it on the air.

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
20 METERS
SATURDAY'S 4.00 PM TO 5.00 PM CST

Information Bulletins
There has been some bitching and whining on the newsgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy about my information bulletin. The complaining comes from Amateur Radio operators who feel that information bulletins aren't suppose to be "opinionated" yet, when I repeatly asked them what rule or ruling from the FCC states that information bulletins can't be opinionated, they never responded except to badmouth my grammar. So lets look at the history and regulations governing Information Bulletins.
To start lets look at the definitions as prescribed under Part 97. Under Part 97.3(a)(10) Broadcasting is defined as Transmissions intended for reception by the general public, either direct or relayed. While under Part 97.3(a)(26) Information bulletins are defined as A message directed only to amateur radio operators consisting solely of subject mater of direct interest to the amateur radio service. The only other rule governing information bulletins can be found in Part 97.111(b)(6) which deals with Authorized One Way Transmissions and it states "Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins". No where in either 97.3(a)(26) nor in 97.111(b)(6) does it state a information bulletin can not be opinionated. I can't really figure out how it came to be that amateur's feel that other amateurs can run bulletins that are opinionated. They are not illegal, nor is there any rules prohibiting such transmission. Under 47 USC 326 the FCC is banned from controlling the content of any station, except for obscene and indecent material. So where and how did the amateur come up the notion that information bulletins can't be opinionated? Many amateur feel that opinions are not information, This however is not true, here in the United States this country was based on the belief of the free flow of idea's. Information is 50% fact and 50% opinion, and without the opinions of people the free flow idea's will be eliminated. The problem I see is that amateur's don't want other amateur's to be opinionated and/or afraid that some of those amateur's opinion might be the deep down the truth of problems within the service. The other thing is, many amateur's feel that opinionated program, even if is about amateur related is Broadcasting. This is not true either; As stated above broadcasting is programming directed to the general public. There's where the line is drawn, Broadcasting is programming which is directed to the general public, while information bulletins is programs directed to the amateur in general. In closing No matter what amateur's on that newsgroup thinks, the N9OGL SHOW is a program directed only to the amateur radio service, not the general public. The N9OGL SHOW will be on the air for a long time and I will fight, to keep it on the air.

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
20 METERS
SATURDAY'S 4.00 PM TO 5.00 PM CST

2419. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 15:21:35 UTC
From: marc_and_98@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1110295295.954617.162910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103935

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> k...@dvol.com wrote:
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > > kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108745797.245365.147250
> > > @z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > > >> kelly@dvol.com wrote in news:1108665611.010471.49400
> > > >> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> > >> > But emulating Sweden is OK huh?
> > > >> >
> > > >> n3kip
> > > >> >
> > > >> > w3rv
>
> > > >> Sure, why not?
> > > >>
> > > > BINGO: There it is. Old Europe. Sez it all.
> > > >
> > > > Not in your lifetime Alun.
> > > >
> > > > 'Bye.
> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > We have very different political views.
> > >
> > OBVIOUSLY.
> > >
> > > I don't know how you would classify
> > > yourself, but by European standards you are very far to the right
> > indeed,
> > >
> > > I'm a centrist Republican a la Sen. Arlen Specter, a member of a
> > disapperaing breed.
>
> Sadly disappearing!
>
> > Ted Kennedy is a right-winger by Old Europe
> > standards.
>
> Yup.
>
> > Welcome to America.

> >
> > > as by no stretch is Sweden a socialist country.
> >
> > Blather. It's a country which uses it's outrageous taxes on it's
few
> > monster "capitalist" smokestack industries to hand out socialist
> > entitlements to it's population on a scale unheard of in any other
> > country. Entitlments being the heart of socialism in all it's
forms.
> > Sven the fender-hanger at the SAAB plant didn't "feel good"
yesterday
> > so he stayed home and watched the tube. No problem, he got paid
> anyway
> > under Swedish law. SAAB plant payrolls are bloated by 20% percent
> per
> > unit out the door vs. the U.S & Japan because 20% of the SAAB
workers
> > "call in sick" every day. Absolute fact. GM got stupid and bought
> SAAB
> > mostly to save the marque otherwise SAAB would have died years ago
> but
> > GM is now mulling a pullout to cut their losses. The outflow of
> capital
> > from Sweden to other countries has been appalling, check out the
> > numbers and why it's happening and what the Swedish government is
> doing
> > to stanch the bleeding.
>
> The reason the whole thing didn't collapse right away was that the
> smokestacks are/were big exporters, bringing in hard currency.
>
> > I can no doubt go ten blocks around the compass from here in the
> > suburbs of Philadelphia and find more businesses with ten or fewer
> > employees than you'll find in all of Sweden. Why is that Alun??
Could
> > it be that Swedish socialist economics stifles entrepreneurial
> > capitalism which is the engine behind the astounding growth of the
> > U.S. economy for over two centuries? Of course it is.
> >
> > > As for myself, I used to be a card carrying member of the
> > > Conservative and
> > > Unionist Party in the UK, but I freely admit that I have drifted
> > > leftwards
> > > since then, very likely as a result of seeing at first hand the
> huge
> > > social inequalities in the USA.
> >
> > Certainly there are social inequalities in the U.S. The original
> > Constitution plus it's Bill of Rights guarantees equality in all
> > elections and in all courts in this country and nothing more.

> > Translates into a system in which the fate of individuals depends
on
> > what they freely choose to do or not do with their lives. Those who
> > choose to be slackers suffer the consequences they freely imposed
on
> > themselves so of course we wind up with "social inequalities"
galore.
> >
> Equality of rights and opportunities - not equality of outcomes.
>
> Add in some other points about Sweden:
>
> Compared to the USA, it's tiny in both population and land area. Also
> virtually homogeneous (again compared to the USA). 'Diversity' means
> something very different in Sweden. Heck, they split with Norway
after
> less than 100 years of alliance IIRC.

Rather, it was the Norwegians who split. And as everyone knows, they
ARE weird.

> It's relatively easy and simple for a community/society to 'work' if
> it's small and uniform. USA is neither, and never has been.
>
> > By your leftist standards our system has too many freedoms.
>
> > > If it's called being a socialist to think that the ordinary
working
> > > man
> > > should be able to get medical care without courting bankruptcy,
> then
> > > I
> > > suppose that makes me a socialist, but if you actually look in a
> > > dictionary, then you will see that I am not, and neither are the
> > > Swedes.
> >
> > See above.
>
> Health care is only one issue. Is W3RV's info about SAAB accurate or
> not?
> Should American industry work the same way?

American industry works in the way that the government ensures they
have no competition. And if the industries become unprofitable anyway,
the government pumps money into it to keep it afloat.

This is unlike, for instance, Sweden, where they have this thing called
a "market economy" which means that if a company cannot survive in
competition with other companies, the company will simply die. On the
other hand, it's easier and less bureaucratic to start a new company in
Sweden.

The way Swedes see it, what the United States has is a more socialist system than Sweden has. And the Swedish government always tries to make other countries realize that a market economic system would be good for them. Unfortunately most people seem to be strong believers in socialism.

> > > socialism /□□/ n.
> > > 1 a political and economic theory of social organization which
> > advocates
> > > that the community as a whole should own and control the means of
> > > production, distribution, and exchange.
> >
> > OhShit . . the second coming of Cecil and his friggin' dictionaries
.
> .
> > !
> >
> > > 2 policy or practice based on this theory.
> > > socialist n. & adj.
> > > socialistic /□/ adj.
> > > socialistically /□/ adv.
> > > [French socialisme (as social)]
> >
> "community as a whole" = "the government"
>
> Sure seems to fit!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

2420. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 16:37:35 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3962poF5tp055U1@individual.net>
Source record: 103936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:r48e21dmjl9is0itl99gjt81bcekfq9vnk@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 3 Mar 2005 08:26:17 -0500, "Psychiatrist-To-Hams"
> <HamFruits@hamfruitsnutsdx.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> >news:51ud21hf51rs44dai49vjqpsdrfa2spmil@4ax.com...
> >> On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 15:30:17 -0500, "Harv" <Hdx@dxharvnone.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:hb1c21h5b1qsnb9nf9q9qp08718u71sspi@4ax.com...
> >>>> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 14:18:17 GMT, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com>
> >>>> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
> >>>>>news:d02qcektl0@pita.alt.net...
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
> >>>>>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have
> >>>>>> >> nothing
> >>>>>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal
> >>>>>> > pirate
> >>>>>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
> >>>>>> >
> >>>>>> > Big difference.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of
law.
> >>>>> LOL!
> >>>>>
> >>>>>I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the
> >>>>>early
> >>>>>60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty
of
> >>>>>law
> >>>>>abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
> >>>>>operators

> >>>>>are the same as criminal radio pirates!
> >>>>
> >>>> Some people are unable to grasp the finer concepts of subtle
> >>>> differences. To them, it's an "all or nothing" world.
> >>>>
> >>>> Dave
> >>>> "Sandbagger"
> >>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Absolutes have their place in certain disciplines.
> >>
> >> Yes they do, where they apply.
> >>
> >>>Today's liberal Democrat party is an example where absolutely no
> >>>absolutes apply. Even Hillary Clinton has finally figured that out.
> >>
> >> Ah, the wolf has finally learned how to put on the sheep's
> >> clothes.....
> >>
> >> Dave
> >> "Sandbagger"
> >> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
> >>
> >
> >
> >Hillary Clinton = A Socialist in liberal clothing who is moving to the
> >right.
> >
>
> On that, I will agree 100%.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

Hello, Dave and all

Yep, gotta go with the majority. Should this include Taiwan and China? How about the religious right in the Middle East?

Inquiring minds want to know .... :))

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2421. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 17:12:32 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <JfidnUlYSvaxQ7DfRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103937

N9OGL wrote:

> The N9OGL SHOW will be
> on the air for a long time and I will fight, to keep it on the air.
>

So you admit is is just a SHOW. Sounds like broadcasting to me.

2422. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 17:13:42 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <JfidnUhYSvbkQ7DfRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 103938

N9OGL wrote:

> I can talk a hell a lot better then I can type, dumb ass.

That still isn't saying much.

2423. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 17:48:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110304096.126989.120160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103939

oh well, like I said if yourn going to bitch, bitch to the
FCC....because frankly I don't care.
Todd N9OGL

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > The N9OGL SHOW will be
> > on the air for a long time and I will fight, to keep it on the air.
> >
>
> So you admit is is just a SHOW. Sounds like broadcasting to me.

2424. Re: Another HF weekend wrecked by the clowntesters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 18:12:14 UTC
From: I Am Not Phil <nophil@iamnotphil.org>
Message-ID: <d0kppr$c3v$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Anon" <someone@sumwunanon.net> wrote in message
news:d0kauqibd0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Eternal_VIRGIN_ Lloydie" <lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1110241321.267464.21590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> He has one of those crystal sets.
>>
>
>
> The above pearl of technical wisdom is brought to you by none
> other than DeVery's most famous Masters Degree by correspondence
> graduate & Rabbi of Filth, Woger Wussman, a.k.a. West Virginia
> Welfare Boy.
>

Woger has a Masters Degree? From DeVry, via correspondence?
That explains a lot. Now we know why he cannot explain RMS
voltage or negative SWR.

2425. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 18:25:29 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110306329.306432.258790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103941

N9OGL wrote:
> The N9OGL SHOW will be
> on the air for a long time and I will fight, to keep it on the air.

<So you admit is is just a SHOW. <Sounds like broadcasting to me.

It's an information bulletin show broadcast.

2426. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 18:56:31 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110308191.718790.251850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103942

It's an information bulletin show broadcast. OR, it's a bulletin show broadcasting information. OR it's a show broadcasting information bulletins. Or it's broadcasting show information bulletins. Or, it's showing information broadcast bulletins. At any rate, it's illegal and unwanted. I want a quick bitch on here.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

2427. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 19:19:30 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.id1k0i6.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 103943

On 7 Mar 2005 06:10:27 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>> We;ve had several actions over the years where a licensed ham was
>> caught interfering with other stations by sending Morse over a DTMF
>> pad....usually the letters "F" and "U"......including one where the
>> interferer was trying to cover up a known jammer. We caught the
>> first one first....
>>
>Fascinating, Phil!
>
>Was that on HF or VHF/UHF? The use of the DTMF pad makes it sound like
>a repeater thing.

2 meters. In fairness to the facts, it was about 20 years ago.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2428. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 22:45:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110321945.385861.266970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103944

N9OGL wrote:

> I can talk a hell a lot better then I can type, dumb ass. I'm not a
> writer, the only real writing I do is in computer language.

Well..Then STICK to "computer language", Toddie, becasue you're
incompetent in English.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2429. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 22:50:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110322236.101604.74200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103945

N9OGL wrote:

> LIKE I SAID QUIT BITCHING ABOUT IT ON HERE AND COMPLAIN TO THE FCC I
> ****ING DARE YOU CHICKEN **** ***HOLES!!!

Oh, I'm not "bitching" about it, Todd...Ooooooooooooooooh no!

I am simply pointing out what a functionally illiterate, foul mouthed, socially incompetent weenie you are.

Your little outbursts like the preceeding and complete lack of relevence to anything having to do with Amatuer Radio will be YOUR undoing...Who needs the FCC when YOU will do it all yourself?

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2430. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 22:56:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110322562.399369.235000@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103946

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then
> getting
> > > your nose rubbed in it?
> > >
> > > I have a solution...STOP DOING IT!
> > >
> > > Putz.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Nurse, heal thyself.
>
> Of?
>
> On the otherhand I can professionally suggest that you seek some
> help for your inability to deal with truthfulness and deceit, Brian
> Burke.
>
> And let your wife and Scouting council in on it...they can help.
> You'll only be able to hide your conduct so long, then it will show
up
> on thier respective doorsteps and the secret will be out.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I'm always amazed that you are in-step and eveyone else is out of step (with you). Everyone else is lying and your words are as pure as the driven snow. Everyone else has deep, hidden secret psychosis and yours is as plain as your jelly belly stressing the zip of your flight suit. Hi!

Again I suggest that the Nurse heals (or at least heels) herself. Maybe your CAP Chaplain could (should) counsel you. Best of luck on your road to recovery.

2431. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 22:57:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110322629.684590.252230@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103947

Dee Flint wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1110209704.665589.41150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Maybe I should foward this to a few people and see what they think
> > about your discriminating comment. Groups like the Handi-Hams,
better
> > yet that will the topic of my next program, THE TRUTH ABOUT HAM
RADIO:A
> > RADIO SERVICE WHO'S OPERATORS HATE PEOPLE WITH HANDICAPS AND DON'T
WANT
> > THEM USING THE RADIO SPECTRUM.
>
> This would hardly classify as an information bulletin. In addition
it would
> be an out and out fabrication of lies.

Hey...Todd's handicapped and HE uses the airwaves...Of course I
don't think HIS kind of handicap was what "Handi-Hams" was all
about...Thank God for Cialis and Viagra though, huh...?!?!

Now if they can mix "Miracle Grow" with it...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2432. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 23:03:33 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110323013.282756.261060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103948

N9OGL wrote:
> I can talk a hell a lot better then I can type, dumb ass. I'm not a
> writer, the only real writing I do is in computer language.

If in fact he can write code can you imagine how many errors are in one
of his programs?

2433. Re: The Death of Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 23:03:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110323024.927957.271530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103949

N9OGL wrote:

> FYI: I don't drink...

You should start.

It's not legally defensible, however it is a socially acceptable
excuse for acting the way you do.

So is head trauma, birth defects, and having been sexually
molested by a male family member in your early years.

Any other "excuse" is just written off as you being a putz.

That's where my money is.

Steve, K4YZ

2434. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 23:12:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110323577.449425.117650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103950

K4YZ wrote:

(whole bunch of stuff snipped)

Steve,

To answer the title question:

"He Just Doesn't Get It".

http://www.somethingawful.com/articles.php?a=2715

73 de Jim, N2EY

2435. Why Is Brian Burke Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-08 23:15:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110323715.811609.173080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103951

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then
> > getting
> > > > your nose rubbed in it?
> > > >
> > > > I have a solution...STOP DOING IT!
> > > >
> > > > Putz.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Nurse, heal thyself.
> >
> > Of?
> >
> > On the otherhand I can professionally suggest that you seek
some
> > help for your inability to deal with truthfulness and deceit, Brian
> > Burke.
> >
> > And let your wife and Scouting council in on it...they can
help.
> > You'll only be able to hide your conduct so long, then it will show
> up
> > on thier respective doorsteps and the secret will be out.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I'm always amazed that you are in-step and eveyone else is out of
step
> (with you). Everyone else is lying and your words are as pure as the
> driven snow. Everyone else has deep, hidden secret psychosis and
yours
> is as plain as your jelly belly stressing the zip of your flight
suit.
> Hi!

Oh, I' am NOT "always in step"...Hardly. That's one thing Lennie
and I DO have in common.

And NOT "everyone else" is lying...

...just those for whom the facts don't match what they are saying.
(That would be a lot of what comes from you and Lennie)

The offer is still out there for you or Lennie to join me for a
jog around the neighborhood.

And since you are so interested in looking at my zippers, Brain,
you should look again. If you consider that zipper "stressed", then
you need a course in mechanical engineering to learn what "stressed"
means.

> Again I suggest that the Nurse heals (or at least heels) herself.
> Maybe your CAP Chaplain could (should) counsel you. Best of luck on
> your road to recovery.

Brain, I keep asking you a direct question and you keep avoiding
answering it.

What is it you think I need to be healed of?

You continue to be mistruthful and deceitful, yet insist that
other need "healing". Why?

Steve, K4YZ

2436. Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 00:15:29 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110327329.944435.26900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103952

He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.

2437. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 00:33:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110328392.150500.53110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103953

Well bathwhoreman,

if it's illegal as you claim prove it. As I've stated on here many time before, show me a rule and regulation, show me a FCC order, you can't can you?

Todd N9OGL

Bathrooman wrote:
> It's an information bulletin show broadcast. OR, it's a bulletin show
> broadcasting information. OR it's a show broadcasting information
> bulletins. Or it's broadcasting show information bulletins. Or, it's
> showing information broadcast bulletins. At any rate, it's illegal and
> unwanted. I want a quick bitch on here.
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

2438. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 01:07:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <MsednR69TILZ0bPfRVn-2A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 103954

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
>>>>>>>to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
>>>>>>>lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I didn't notice that in the original post.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> What a very, very odd thing to type.....
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>It is the latest in a very long string of very odd things which Leonard
>>>>>has typed.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> One of the first times I have been accused of trying to impress others.
>>>>>>Go figure. Mostly I just irritate others.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Mike, Mike, Mike. You have a callsign. You're guilty of trying to
>>>>>impress Len.
>>>>
```

>>>> Heck, I've had it all wrong, Dave. I thouhgt having a callsign
>>>>*offended* him!
>>>>
>>>> Maybe he's right and I am a little dull...
>>>
>>>
>>>Trying to impress him offends him. That would, in Len-speak, make you
>>>"overly-proud".
>>>
>>>Next thing you know, Len'll be picturing you in an invisible Waffen SS
>>>uniform and his thoughts will drift to having you punish him. It gets
>>>really strange *after* that.
>>
>> Do I at least get to wear regular underwear? I hope....
>
>
> I dunno, Mike. It's Len's fantasy.

ARGGHHHHH! I give up Dave, Uncle, Uncle! You've succedded in totally
grossing me out! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2439. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 01:39:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422E53FF.89DB7FA4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103956

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >>>Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Perhaps Coslo might make it 4. Coslonaut wants so much
> >>>>>>>to be an olde-tymer he'll even refer to himself as an "extra
> >>>>>>>lite" to show his sycophantsies (e.g. synchronous fantasies).
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> I didn't notice that in the original post.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> What a very, very odd thing to type.....
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>It is the latest in a very long string of very odd things which Leonard
> >>>>>has typed.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> One of the first times I have been accused of trying to impress others.
> >>>>>Go figure. Mostly I just irritate others.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Mike, Mike, Mike. You have a callsign. You're guilty of trying to

> >>>>>impress Len.
> >>>>
> >>>> Heck, I've had it all wrong, Dave. I thouhgt having a callsign
> >>>>*offended* him!
> >>>>
> >>>> Maybe he's right and I am a little dull...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Trying to impress him offends him. That would, in Len-speak, make you
> >>>"overly-proud".
> >>>
> >>>Next thing you know, Len'll be picturing you in an invisible Waffen SS
> >>>uniform and his thoughts will drift to having you punish him. It gets
> >>>really strange *after* that.
> >>
> >> Do I at least get to wear regular underwear? I hope....
> >
> >
> > I dunno, Mike. It's Len's fantasy.
>
> ARGGHHHHH! I give up Dave, Uncle, Uncle! You've succedded in totally
> grossing me out! 8^)

Oh heck, Mike. That's nothing. None of that stuff phases me. I'd begin to be weirded out if Len were to suddenly take a liking to me, to begin being cordial, to act as if there were other people on the planet who knew as much or more about a subject than he does.

If he dropped his wild rants about the Church of St. Hiram, Sermons on the Antenna Mount, his claims of being a PROFESSIONAL, his not-so-subtle references to Mr. Glock or his belittling of the careers of others, I'd be nervous that something quite unpleasant was about to take place. Then it dawns on me: How much worse could it get?

Dave K8MN

2440. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 01:45:20 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110332720.491224.123030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103955

It's right there in part 97, which as a LICENSED ham, you are supposed
to be familiar with - no broadcasting.
Many people have already tried to enlighten you to that fact but you
are retarded and do not understand.

2441. Re: A Chance to be Published

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 03:04:35 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <rp@philisaknowitall.net>
Message-ID: <d0lp0hrju0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1c96d96f9af31594989692@news.west.earthlink.net...
> Authors wanted! (Mar 7, 2005) -- Have you ever thought about writing for
> QST? If so, we'd like to hear from you! An informative Author's Guide is
> available on the ARRL Web site. We can mail you a hard copy in exchange
> for a self-addressed, stamped envelope to QST Author's Guide, ARRL, 225
> Main St, Newington, CT 06111. While we will consider all articles
> directly related to Amateur Radio, we are particularly looking for
> concise articles (no more than 2500 words) written at a basic level that
> teach QST readers something practical. A few examples: How to get
> involved with a net; how to hit the road for a county-hunting
> expedition; tips for operating HF mobile; how to use beacons; how to
> select the best type of feed line, and how to organize a mini
> DXpedition. If you have some expertise in a particular area and a knack
> for writing clearly at a basic level, we want to hear from you. You need
> not be a published author to write for QST! Good quality color photos
> and illustrations are a plus. Interested? Send your article via e-mail
> or to QST Articles, ARRL, 225 Main St, Newington, CT 06111. Authors of
> QST articles are compensated at the rate of $65 per published page.

Get a reality check! Thanks to the League and the FCC today's majority
of "ham radio operators" are feeble-minded oafs, 20 questions removed
from CB. It is a chore for them to link a few coherent words together to
spew on the local repeaters, let alone asking them to commit advanced
thoughts to paper. And for $65! Yeah right! The league is taking in
a bundle every month in advertising revenue from companies selling push
button boxes to former CB ops, now ham radio appliance operators. The
League could easily afford to pay $450 per published page, and it would
never even put a nick in their budget. At least at $450 the League would
be paying an almost fair publishing price. If you think the League, the
FCC,
or Riley Hollingsworth have the best interests of ham radio in their hearts,
then I have a bridge in Brooklyn for sale.
ROTFLMAO!

2442. NY's WX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 03:53:09 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <YDuXd.186$wh1.5@fe10.lga>
Source record: 103958

Well, I just lost my first antenna at our new QTH to the sudden wind and ice storm here in NY. I was just finishing up with AA7VW on 40 when my receive went completely dead and the SWR shot straight through the roof.

Chalk up another new experience for the newbie. (Drats!)

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

2443. Re: A Chance to be Published

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 04:29:07 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <112susompj04gfa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 103959

$65 per published page? You certainly must be kidding or are looking for amateur junk that has no basis in fact. You can make that much flipping burgers at McRadio.

And you want drawings and photos to boot? Certainly you MUST be kidding.

Now get it up to about $400 a page and serious authors will consider submitting stuff worth publishing.

Jim

"Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message news:MPG.1c96d96f9af31594989692@news.west.earthlink.net...
> Authors wanted! (Mar 7, 2005) -- Have you ever thought about writing for
> QST? If so, we'd like to hear from you! An informative Author's Guide is
> available on the ARRL Web site. We can mail you a hard copy in exchange
> for a self-addressed, stamped envelope to QST Author's Guide, ARRL, 225
> Main St, Newington, CT 06111. While we will consider all articles
> directly related to Amateur Radio, we are particularly looking for
> concise articles (no more than 2500 words) written at a basic level that
> teach QST readers something practical. A few examples: How to get
> involved with a net; how to hit the road for a county-hunting
> expedition; tips for operating HF mobile; how to use beacons; how to
> select the best type of feed line, and how to organize a mini
> DXpedition. If you have some expertise in a particular area and a knack
> for writing clearly at a basic level, we want to hear from you. You need
> not be a published author to write for QST! Good quality color photos
> and illustrations are a plus. Interested? Send your article via e-mail
> or to QST Articles, ARRL, 225 Main St, Newington, CT 06111. Authors of
> QST articles are compensated at the rate of $65 per published page.

2444. Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 05:21:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110345663.195882.268020@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103960

Broadcasting: Transmision INTENDED FOR RECEPTION BY THE GERNERAL
PUBLIC, either direct or relayed

Information Bulletin: A message DIRECTED ONLY TO AMATEUR RADIO
OPERATORS consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
amateur service.

97.111(b)In addition to one-way transmission specifically authorized
elsewhere in this part, an amateur station MAY TRANSMIT THE FOLLOWING
TYPE OF ONE-WAY COMMUNICATION:

(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins.

97.113(An amateur station shall not engage in any form of
broadcasting, nor may an amateur station transmit one-way
communications EXCEPT AS SPECIFICALLY PORVIDED IN THESE RULES; nor
shall an amateur station engage in any activity related to program
production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes, except that
communications directly related to the immediate safety of human life
or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations to
broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means of
communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the
event

Bathrooman wrote:
> It's right there in part 97, which as a LICENSED ham, you are
supposed
> to be familiar with - no broadcasting.
> Many people have already tried to enlighten you to that fact but you
> are retarded and do not understand.

2445. How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 08:17:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110356228.460053.178650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103961

N9OGL wrote:
> Broadcasting: Transmision INTENDED FOR RECEPTION BY THE GERNERAL
> PUBLIC, either direct or relayed
>
> Information Bulletin: A message DIRECTED ONLY TO AMATEUR RADIO
> OPERATORS consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to
the
> amateur service.
>
> 97.111(b)In addition to one-way transmission specifically authorized
> elsewhere in this part, an amateur station MAY TRANSMIT THE FOLLOWING
> TYPE OF ONE-WAY COMMUNICATION:
>
> (6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins.
>
> 97.113(An amateur station shall not engage in any form of
> broadcasting, nor may an amateur station transmit one-way
> communications EXCEPT AS SPECIFICALLY PORVIDED IN THESE RULES; nor
> shall an amateur station engage in any activity related to program
> production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes, except that
> communications directly related to the immediate safety of human life
> or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations to
> broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means of
> communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the
> event

> Bathrooman wrote:
> > It's right there in part 97, which as a LICENSED ham, you are
> supposed
> > to be familiar with - no broadcasting.
> > Many people have already tried to enlighten you to that fact but
you
> > are retarded and do not understand.

Hey Toddie...Too bad you didn't really read AND understand the
content of the very paragraph that YOU quoted.

Steve, K4YZ

2446. Re: A Chance to be Published

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 08:24:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110356666.027905.38490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103962

Jim Weir wrote:

> "Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1c96d96f9af31594989692@news.west.earthlink.net...

> > QST articles are compensated at the rate of $65 per published page.

> $65 per published page? You certainly must be kidding or are looking
for
> amateur junk that has no basis in fact. You can make that much
flipping
> burgers at McRadio.
>
> And you want drawings and photos to boot? Certainly you MUST be
kidding.
>
> Now get it up to about $400 a page and serious authors will consider
> submitting stuff worth publishing.

I think that was the point of Steve Adell's comments too, Jim.

Brings to bear the matter of another engineer wannabe who posts
herein on an all-to-frequent basis...I am sure he found satisfaction
getting "published" in a now-defunt Amateur Radio journal.

And I am sure it was due to the fact that his "work" never found
favor with PROFESSIONAL journals who found it laughable that he'd
submit his "work" for compensation at professional rates.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2447. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 08:27:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110356843.700042.209210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103963

bb wrote:
> He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.

You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links to
pertinent threads.

You just make silly allegations.

That's why you are mistruthful and deceitful, Brain.

Brian P Burke, N0IMD, is a known liar. "Trust" him at your peril.

Steve, K4YZ

2448. Re: Why Is Brian Burke Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 08:33:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110357187.848082.161070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103965

bb Mar 8, 4:15 pm hide options

>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> - Find messages by this author
>Date: 8 Mar 2005 16:15:29 -0800
>Local: Tues, Mar 8 2005 4:15 pm
>Subject: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

>Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
>original | Report Abuse

>He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.

You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links to
pertinent threads.

You just make silly allegations.

That's why you are mistruthful and deceitful, Brain.

Brian P Burke, N0IMD, is a known liar. "Trust" him at your peril.

Steve, K4YZ

2449. Re: NY's WX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 08:36:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110357374.990787.6230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103964

Bert Craig wrote:
> Well, I just lost my first antenna at our new QTH to the sudden wind
and ice
> storm here in NY. I was just finishing up with AA7VW on 40 when my
receive
> went completely dead and the SWR shot straight through the roof.
>
> Chalk up another new experience for the newbie. (Drats!)

BERT! Brother, don't you know that there never was an antenna made
that was worth a salt unless you had to get out in freezing cold,
driving rain or hair-raising electrical activity to either erect or
repair...?!?! =)

Dress warm! It's been in the 40's to 50's here in SE Tennessee
all week!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2450. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 12:33:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110371597.855038.178460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103966

Dave Heil wrote:

> Then it dawns on me: How much worse could it get?

I think any abrupt change, even if it means having to tell the
truth, would, from Lennie, be a "death bed confession"...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2451. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 12:33:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110371602.104812.291050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103967

Dave Heil wrote:

> Then it dawns on me: How much worse could it get?

I think any abrupt change, even if it means having to tell the
truth, would, from Lennie, be a "death bed confession"...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2452. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 12:46:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110372378.996220.66210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103968

I can read very well thank you very much!
N9OGL

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Broadcasting: Transmision INTENDED FOR RECEPTION BY THE GERNERAL
> > PUBLIC, either direct or relayed
> >
> > Information Bulletin: A message DIRECTED ONLY TO AMATEUR RADIO
> > OPERATORS consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to
> the
> > amateur service.
> >
> > 97.111(b)In addition to one-way transmission specifically
authorized
> > elsewhere in this part, an amateur station MAY TRANSMIT THE
FOLLOWING
> > TYPE OF ONE-WAY COMMUNICATION:
> >
> > (6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins.
> >
> > 97.113(An amateur station shall not engage in any form of
> > broadcasting, nor may an amateur station transmit one-way
> > communications EXCEPT AS SPECIFICALLY PORVIDED IN THESE RULES; nor
> > shall an amateur station engage in any activity related to program
> > production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes, except that
> > communications directly related to the immediate safety of human
life
> > or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations
to
> > broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means
of
> > communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the
> > event
>
> > Bathrooman wrote:
> > > It's right there in part 97, which as a LICENSED ham, you are
> > supposed
> > > to be familiar with - no broadcasting.
> > > Many people have already tried to enlighten you to that fact but
> you
> > > are retarded and do not understand.
>
> Hey Toddie...Too bad you didn't really read AND understand the

> content of the very paragraph that YOU quoted.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2453. Re: NY's WX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 13:53:35 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <UjDXd.12196$GW4.488@fe12.lga>
Source record: 103969

Thanks Steve,

It's 14 degrees F outside with 40 mph gusts. (Making it feel even colder.)
We've had some local houses have their trees fall over. (One of 'em into a
bedroom.)

'Tis NOT the time to repair this puppy. :-)

BTW, it appears to be a coax/connector failure. Last night when I looked
from the window, the antenna appeared intact. However, this morning, the
coax had separated from the lower PL-259 (DON'T get me started on these!)
while the antenna proper still appeared intact.

Looks like a w/e project, WX permitting. Stay warm es...

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110357374.990787.6230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
>> Well, I just lost my first antenna at our new QTH to the sudden wind
> and ice
>> storm here in NY. I was just finishing up with AA7VW on 40 when my
> receive
>> went completely dead and the SWR shot straight through the roof.
>>
>> Chalk up another new experience for the newbie. (Drats!)
>
> BERT! Brother, don't you know that there never was an antenna made
> that was worth a salt unless you had to get out in freezing cold,
> driving rain or hair-raising electrical activity to either erect or
> repair...?!?! =)
>
> Dress warm! It's been in the 40's to 50's here in SE Tennessee
> all week!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2454. Re: NY's WX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 14:02:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050309090205.031$gR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 103970

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> Bert Craig wrote:
> > Chalk up another new experience for the newbie. (Drats!)
>
> Dress warm! It's been in the 40's to 50's here in SE Tennessee
> all week!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
Sorry to hear about your antenna, Bert. sounds like it could have been much worse tho.

Hey Steve, do me a favor and put in for warm weather for the first week of April. I'm heading down that way with my camper for the Bristol races the 2nd and 3rd. :)

2455. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 16:36:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110386172.332093.120100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103971

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Broadcasting: Transmision INTENDED FOR RECEPTION BY THE GERNERAL
> > PUBLIC, either direct or relayed
> >
> > Information Bulletin: A message DIRECTED ONLY TO AMATEUR RADIO
> > OPERATORS consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to
> the
> > amateur service.
> >
> > 97.111(b)In addition to one-way transmission specifically
authorized
> > elsewhere in this part, an amateur station MAY TRANSMIT THE
FOLLOWING
> > TYPE OF ONE-WAY COMMUNICATION:
> >
> > (6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins.
> >
> > 97.113(An amateur station shall not engage in any form of
> > broadcasting, nor may an amateur station transmit one-way
> > communications EXCEPT AS SPECIFICALLY PORVIDED IN THESE RULES; nor
> > shall an amateur station engage in any activity related to program
> > production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes, except that
> > communications directly related to the immediate safety of human
life
> > or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations
to
> > broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means
of
> > communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the
> > event
>
> > Bathrooman wrote:
> > > It's right there in part 97, which as a LICENSED ham, you are
> > supposed
> > > > to be familiar with - no broadcasting.
> > > > Many people have already tried to enlighten you to that fact but
> you
> > > > are retarded and do not understand.
>
> Hey Toddie...Too bad you didn't really read AND understand the
> content of the very paragraph that YOU quoted.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Feel free to elighten me on what part I don't understand, because I know what the law states. But again feel free to elighten me.

Todd N9OGL

2456. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 17:07:51 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <rp@philisaknowitall.net>
Message-ID: <d0nadiesp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103972

```
"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110386172.332093.120100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> N9OGL wrote:
>> > Broadcasting: Transmision INTENDED FOR RECEPTION BY THE GERNERAL
>> > PUBLIC, either direct or relayed
>> >
>> > Information Bulletin: A message DIRECTED ONLY TO AMATEUR RADIO
>> > OPERATORS consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to
>> the
>> > amateur service.
>> >
>> > 97.111(b)In addition to one-way transmission specifically
> authorized
>> > elsewhere in this part, an amateur station MAY TRANSMIT THE
> FOLLOWING
>> > TYPE OF ONE-WAY COMMUNICATION:
>> >
>> > (6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins.
>> >
>> > 97.113( An amateur station shall not engage in any form of
>> > broadcasting, nor may an amateur station transmit one-way
>> > communications EXCEPT AS SPECIFICALLY PORVIDED IN THESE RULES; nor
>> > shall an amateur station engage in any activity related to program
>> > production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes, except that
>> > communications directly related to the immediate safety of human
> life
>> > or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations
> to
>> > broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means
> of
>> > communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the
>> > event
>>
>> > Bathrooman wrote:
>> > > It's right there in part 97, which as a LICENSED ham, you are
>> > supposed
>> > > to be familiar with - no broadcasting.
>> > > Many people have already tried to enlighten you to that fact but
>> you
>> > > are retarded and do not understand.
>>
>> Hey Toddie...Too bad you didn't really read AND understand the
```

>> content of the very paragraph that YOU quoted.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
> Feel free to elighten me on what part I don't understand, because I
> know what the law states. But again feel free to elighten me.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

If you gentlemen will please be patient, the resident Sanhedrin
will be here momentarily to settle this dispute.

2457. * * * * * * * All Bloggers Go Here * * * * * * *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 17:08:54 UTC
From: Ron400053@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110388134.306624.87370@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103973

http://www.are-u-sure.blogspot.com <- - - all bloggers go here

2458. Re: Get Us Out of the U.N. Now HELL YA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 18:35:02 UTC
From: Jimmy <thanks@NOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <pmHXd.26051$JH1.1076523@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 103974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I Am Not Phil wrote:
> For God's sake, get the United States out of the U.N. before
> it is too late. John Kerry & Bill Clinton are U.N. fans. Is that
> proof enough?
>
>
>
>
>

Who nees the UN when we can invade any freakin' country we want and take wahtever we want.

Hell yea...so what if over 100,000 people (so far) are killed in the process!

GWB rules with an IRON FIST. Do what he commands or ya wake up dead.

Way to go America!

How kewl is that?

2459. Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 20:27:58 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d0nm8e$rk0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 103975

I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed N0TO
was available.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2460. Re: A Chance to be Published

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 21:02:01 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <dvJXd.8258$603.4073@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103976

RST Engineering wrote:
> $65 per published page? You certainly must be kidding or are looking for
> amateur junk that has no basis in fact.

My article: "Working All States and DXCC via Echolink" :-)

2461. Re: Get Us Out of the U.N. Now HELL YA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 21:54:16 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <rp@philisaknowitall.net>
Message-ID: <d0nr6hnbi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 103977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jimmy" <thanks@NOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:pmHXd.26051$JH1.1076523@news20.bellglobal.com...
>I Am Not Phil wrote:
>> For God's sake, get the United States out of the U.N. before
>> it is too late. John Kerry & Bill Clinton are U.N. fans. Is that
>> proof enough?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Who nees the UN when we can invade any freakin' country we want and take
> wahtever we want.
>
> Hell yea...so what if over 100,000 people (so far) are killed in the
> process!
>
> GWB rules with an IRON FIST. Do what he commands or ya wake up dead.
>
> Way to go America!
>
> How kewl is that?

Another Yellow-Belley Canadian shoots his wad, then runs and
hides in the closet.
ROTFLMAO!

2462. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 22:56:55 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <EgLXd.11617$TF3.5597@fe09.lga>
Source record: 103978

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d0nm8e$rk0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed N0TO was
>available.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I received the QSL card for working TO0O (Martinique) a few weeks back. The caption under the call reads "That's a lot of dahs." I hadn't really given much thought at the time I'd worked Tom.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

2463. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 23:04:32 UTC
From: Bogie <Casablanka@RKO.com>
Message-ID: <4iLXd.51265$xt.6003@fed1read07>
Source record: 103979

Here is typing to you kid

My buddy Tom Olson a phone guy will love it -- thanks for the tip (;-)

Round up the usual spammers -- Rains In Casablanker

--
Bogie

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d0nm8e$rk0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed N0TO was
>>available.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -

2464. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 23:05:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110409522.634512.219690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103980

Michael Coslo wrote:
> I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed N0TO

> was available.

I have forgotten the home call, but there was a couple of German
fellows operating portable from 3DA0 land a few yeas ago. THAT was a
fistfull!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2465. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 23:08:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110409729.063095.319000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103981

N9OGL wrote:

> > Hey Toddie...Too bad you didn't really read AND understand the
> > content of the very paragraph that YOU quoted.
>
> I can read very well thank you very much!

I said read AND understand.

See. I wrote a simple ONE LINE response and you couldn't even get
throught THAT without being tutored on content.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2466. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 23:16:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110410171.035575.191520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103982

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > Broadcasting: Transmision INTENDED FOR RECEPTION BY THE GERNERAL
> > > PUBLIC, either direct or relayed
> > >
> > > Information Bulletin: A message DIRECTED ONLY TO AMATEUR RADIO
> > > OPERATORS consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest
to
> > the
> > > amateur service.
> > >
> > > 97.111(b)In addition to one-way transmission specifically
> authorized
> > > elsewhere in this part, an amateur station MAY TRANSMIT THE
> FOLLOWING
> > > TYPE OF ONE-WAY COMMUNICATION:
> > >
> > > (6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins.
> > >
> > > 97.113(An amateur station shall not engage in any form of
> > > broadcasting, nor may an amateur station transmit one-way
> > > communications EXCEPT AS SPECIFICALLY PORVIDED IN THESE RULES;
nor
> > > shall an amateur station engage in any activity related to
program
> > > production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes, except
that
> > > communications directly related to the immediate safety of human
> life
> > > or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations
> to
> > > broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means
> of
> > > communication is reasonably available before or at the time of
the
> > > event
> >
> > > Bathrooman wrote:
> > > > It's right there in part 97, which as a LICENSED ham, you are
> > > supposed
> > > > to be familiar with - no broadcasting.
> > > > Many people have already tried to enlighten you to that fact
but

> > you
> > > > are retarded and do not understand.
> >
> > Hey Toddie...Too bad you didn't really read AND understand the
> > content of the very paragraph that YOU quoted.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Feel free to elighten me on what part I don't understand, because I
> know what the law states. But again feel free to elighten me.

I am not going to lead you by the hand, Todd. It's right there in the items you quoted.

Now, QRZ says that you are 36 years old. I assume you have graduated from High School and probably have some liberal arts Associate's degree from Podunk University.

Go back and re-read the very items YOU quoted from Part 97.

I'll keep this message intact and will use it as reference tomorrow...Sooner if you respond to it before then.

Try, Tod...try reeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeal hard to pay attention this time.

Steve, K4YZ

2467. Re: NY's WX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 23:18:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110410287.552933.183800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103983

Steveo wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > Bert Craig wrote:
> > > Chalk up another new experience for the newbie. (Drats!)
> >
> > Dress warm! It's been in the 40's to 50's here in SE
Tennessee
> > all week!
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> Sorry to hear about your antenna, Bert. sounds like it could have
been
> much worse tho.
>
> Hey Steve, do me a favor and put in for warm weather for the first
week
> of April. I'm heading down that way with my camper for the Bristol
races
> the 2nd and 3rd. :)

Will do! Enjoy!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2468. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 23:21:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110410487.983406.136930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103984

Michael Coslo wrote:
> I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed N0TO

> was available.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

No biggie.

Consider a call like WJ0JQY or some other combo of 0, J, Q and Y. Lotta bauds in that one! You could send N0TO twice in the time it takes to send WJ0JQY once.

--

One of the tricks of learning to use a bug is to send exercise phrases that develop your skill. My favorite is:

"SHE IS 55 ES SHE IS HIS SISTER"

Try sending that one!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2469. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 23:29:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110410942.060427.275530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103985

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Oh heck, Mike. That's nothing. None of that stuff phases me. I'd
> begin to be weirded out if Len were to suddenly take a liking to me,
to
> begin being cordial, to act as if there were other people on the
planet
> who knew as much or more about a subject than he does.
>
> If he dropped his wild rants about the Church of St. Hiram, Sermons
on
> the Antenna Mount, his claims of being a PROFESSIONAL, his
not-so-subtle
> references to Mr. Glock or his belittling of the careers of others,
I'd
> be nervous that something quite unpleasant was about to take place.
> Then it dawns on me: How much worse could it get?

You forget his comments to FCC about things like an age requirement....

--

The problem is, you don't understand the game Len's playing. Here's
one explanation of it (WARNING! Some explicit language!):

http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-bloggers/1358838/posts

73 de Jim, N2EY

2470. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 23:29:22 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110410962.736337.283290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103986

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.
>
> You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
> allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links to
> pertinent threads.

You "genrerate" lots and lots of comments. Lying comments. And start lots and lots of new threads. Lying threads. Usually shouting someones name and trying to denigrate them. Repeated again and again. Perhaps someday someone will read them and beleive them. But not anytime soon, and no one of average or higher intelligence.

> You just make silly allegations.

Steve, it was a trap. I knew you would reply because you can't help yourself as I've stated above. You're mental, remember? Oh, that's right. I know it, but you don't so you easily fall into every trap.

So you prove my allegations with your very denial of the same.

Your newsgroup bullying is predictable, and boring. Time to get together with one of your other mental modes and come up with something new.

Or better yet: Nurse, heal theyself.

Best of Luck

2471. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 23:31:10 UTC
From: Bogie <Casablanka@RKO.com>
Message-ID: <2HLXd.51268$xt.22106@fed1read07>
Source record: 103987

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1110410487.983406.136930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> One of the tricks of learning to use a bug is to send exercise phrases
> that develop your skill. My favorite is:
> "SHE IS 55 ES SHE IS HIS SISTER"
> Try sending that one!
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

To impress visitors I send the musical

"Best Bent Wire"
--
Round up the usual spammers
Rains In Casablanker
--
Bogie - Here's typing to you kid

2472. * * * * * * * All Bloggers Go Here * * * * * * *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 23:33:06 UTC
From: Ron038001@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110411186.448819.127430@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103988

http://www.are-u-sure.blogspot.com <- - - all bloggers go here

2473. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 23:40:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110411652.944902.6290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103989

Michael Coslo wrote:
> I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed N0TO

> was available.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Not tedious. KA0ZFY was tedious.

You thinking about getting a vanity call? I think K4CAP is available.

2474. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 23:44:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110411895.885516.256750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103990

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> "SHE IS 55 ES SHE IS HIS SISTER"
>
> Try sending that one!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

She must be Bruce's wife, the Technician.

2475. Re: Get Us Out of the U.N. Now HELL YA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-09 23:58:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110412739.860953.26930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jimmy wrote:
> I Am Not Phil wrote:
> > For God's sake, get the United States out of the U.N. before
> > it is too late. John Kerry & Bill Clinton are U.N. fans. Is that
> > proof enough?
>
> Who nees the UN when we can invade any freakin' country we want and
take
> wahtever we want.

That's patently false. And the only thing we're taking are casualties.

FWIW, we should have sent a cruise missile to each and every facility that Saddam denied the weapons inspectors access to, as they were denied access. But no, Clinton ignored the problem for years and years and years. Eventually we came to the conclusion that he was hiding something.

Anyway, after a few palaces went up in flames, Saddam would have allowed access. Or not. His palaces, not mine. I'm good with it either way.

2476. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 00:06:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422F8FB7.7702E476@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103992

Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed N0TO
> was available.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

WB0OOO and W0OOO are taken.

My pal Bert has an "N" fetish. He's N8NN.

Dave K8MN

2477. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 00:08:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422F903F.D39E4C60@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103993

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed N0TO
>
> > was available.
>
> I have forgotten the home call, but there was a couple of German
> fellows operating portable from 3DA0 land a few yeas ago. THAT was a
> fistfull!

They stuck a visitor of ours, from my days in Botswana as A25/WD8NMV.
He didn't do any CW.

Dave K8MN

2478. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 00:10:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110413426.762755.141650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103994

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> (whole bunch of stuff snipped)
>
> Steve,
>
> To answer the title question:
>
> "He Just Doesn't Get It".
>
> http://www.somethingawful.com/articles.php?a=2715
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Looks like Jim didn't have anything to do at work again.

2479. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 00:10:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422F90BA.EB59C4EC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103995

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed N0TO
>
> > was available.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> No biggie.
>
> Consider a call like WJ0JQY or some other combo of 0, J, Q and Y. Lotta
> bauds in that one! You could send N0TO twice in the time it takes to
> send WJ0JQY once.
>
> --
>
> One of the tricks of learning to use a bug is to send exercise phrases
> that develop your skill. My favorite is:
>
> "SHE IS 55 ES SHE IS HIS SISTER"
>
> Try sending that one!

Is her name Sissi?

Dave K8MN

2480. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 00:14:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <422F9181.CD7C9F80@earthlink.net>
Source record: 103996

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Oh heck, Mike. That's nothing. None of that stuff phases me. I'd
> > begin to be weirded out if Len were to suddenly take a liking to me,
> to
> > begin being cordial, to act as if there were other people on the
> planet
> > who knew as much or more about a subject than he does.
> >
> > If he dropped his wild rants about the Church of St. Hiram, Sermons
> on
> > the Antenna Mount, his claims of being a PROFESSIONAL, his
> not-so-subtle
> > references to Mr. Glock or his belittling of the careers of others,
> I'd
> > be nervous that something quite unpleasant was about to take place.
> > Then it dawns on me: How much worse could it get?
>
> You forget his comments to FCC about things like an age requirement....

He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age requirement
for radio amateurs.

> The problem is, you don't understand the game Len's playing. Here's
> one explanation of it (WARNING! Some explicit language!):
>
> http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-bloggers/1358838/posts

The "Never Defend Your Own Points" portion is classic Len Anderson.
He won't answer a question in a straightforward manner.

Dave K8MN

2481. Re: Get Us Out of the U.N. Now HELL YA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 00:20:01 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <YoMXd.51671$NC6.23854@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 103997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1110412739.860953.26930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Jimmy wrote:
>> I Am Not Phil wrote:
>> > For God's sake, get the United States out of the U.N. before
>> > it is too late. John Kerry & Bill Clinton are U.N. fans. Is that
>> > proof enough?
>>
>> Who nees the UN when we can invade any freakin' country we want and
> take
>> wahtever we want.
>
> That's patently false. And the only thing we're taking are casualties.
>
> FWIW, we should have sent a cruise missile to each and every facility
> that Saddam denied the weapons inspectors access to, as they were
> denied access. But no, Clinton ignored the problem for years and years
> and years. Eventually we came to the conclusion that he was hiding
> something.
>
> Anyway, after a few palaces went up in flames, Saddam would have
> allowed access. Or not. His palaces, not mine. I'm good with it
> either way.
>

FWIW... yesterday on a TV station here, a guy called in to talk to a Talk Show host. Supposedly this guy was a Marine and a "War Photographer" ordered up to go into battle to take pictures. He said they came across "MANY" Weapons of Mass Destruction.... but the officer in charge denied each and every one as such BECAUSE..... and keep this in mind, he said the UN laid down the rules as to what counted and what didn't.....

1. Rockets with no fuel
2 Rockets with fuel, no coordinates listed with equipment to launch
3. Rockets with chemical, no launch pad

All were not at same site, so as to use one from the other. Etcetera, etcetera, etcetera..... The Photographer asked why are we splitting hairs here and who made the rules as to what counted and what didn't. SO.... IF those rockets HAD all the things missing, they WOULD have been indeed WMD, according to that story.

Hmmmmmmmmm..... Was the guy BSing or telling the truth? I don't know. But it is interesting to think about. Oh and Saddam DID use them before the Gulf War, so why not have them now? What did he have to hide - to not allow inspections. I'm not a great fan of this war nor the mounting life it is taking, but Saddam COULD have been a bit more forthcoming. Him and his people acted like snakes in the grass..... Tarik Aziz, I'd love to have decked him a few times for some of his remarks. Arrogant bastard. But, in the end, he sung like a Jay-Bird..... Interesting - what a guy will do to save his own ass in the end.

I'd love to have seen Afghanistan wiped off the face of the earth for OBL to have been wiped out. We were in the good eye then. Not many would have blamed us. BUT.... we went ahead and did our thing. Were we completely wrong? Maybe not, but if we're going to forego the UN's wishes, WHY remain a part of it???? IF we wish to use their services, then we should try to compromise as best as we can. However, given the huge scandal at the UN, they've shown they out right can not be trusted. I suppose you're damned if you do and damned if you don't.

But, regardless. I hope all "terrorists" are caught and rather than tried and put in jail to be fed by OUR tax dollars for life, like the bombers of the WTC the FIRST time, they be instantly SHOT. Strange, the bombers of the Federal Building in Oklahoma were facing the Death Penalty and as we know, one is now gone. These savages got life in prison. Where IS the justice? They didn't deserve to breathe past the moment they were caught. We need to deal with these ass holes for the scum that they are..

cl

2482. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 00:44:09 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110415448.986401.153570@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103998

Toddy,
Find an attorney that specializes in, or has even a rudimentary understanding of communications law. It won't cost you very much, if anything for a short consultation. He will read part 97 to you and explain it.

2483. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 01:00:58 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110416458.896619.261930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 103999

It's an information bulletin show broadcast. OR, it's a bulletin show broadcasting information. OR it's a show broadcasting information bulletins. OR, it's broadcasting show information bulletins. OR, it's showing information broadcast bulletins. At any rate, it's illegal and unwanted. I want a quick bitch on here.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

2484. Now THIS is COOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 01:27:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110418077.805014.156220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104000

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=3064&item=6749385522&rd=1

2485. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 02:23:20 UTC
From: Julio DeJesus <salvi145@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1110421400.775298.137500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104001

Bathrooman wrote:
> It's an information bulletin show broadcast. OR, it's a bulletin
show
> broadcasting information. OR it's a show broadcasting information
> bulletins. OR, it's broadcasting show information bulletins. OR,
it's
> showing information broadcast bulletins. At any rate, it's illegal
and
> unwanted. I want a quick bitch on here.
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Yeah Bathrooman. I want a quick bitch too. Maybe Toddy can be our
bitch.

2486. Re: Get Us Out of the U.N. Now HELL YA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 02:35:48 UTC
From: LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <lbcv21dqs92moiesrga8l18osojsqq7kq9@4ax.com>
Source record: 104002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 9 Mar 2005 15:58:59 -0800, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:

>FWIW, we should have sent a cruise missile to each and every facility
>that Saddam denied the weapons inspectors access to, as they were
>denied access. But no, Clinton ignored the problem for years and years
>and years. Eventually we came to the conclusion that he was hiding
>something.

So, your irrational, Clinton-hating conclusion is that Clinton should
have barged in there and done something BEFORE we came to the
conclusion that Hussein was hiding something?

I can picture your reaction had he actually done that.

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

2487. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 02:48:27 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110422907.650368.198610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104003

N9 - Out Go the Legalities.

2488. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 02:50:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110423019.118665.132380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104004

I know Dam well what it says, but I think you and all your butt buddies on here don't.

The difference between a Information Bulletin and a Broadcast is (and even a little smart ass like bathwhoreman and his little bitch julie on here could understand).

A Information bulletin is a message directed only to the amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the Amateur service.

A Broadcast is A Transmissions intended for reception by the general public, either direct or relayed.

There's the difference, A information bulletin is directed to the amateur radio community, even if it's opinionated as long as it directed to the amateur radio service it's a "information bulletin" and is legal. if the program is directed to the general public then it's a broadcast.

2489. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 02:59:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <LsedndlWTImNJbLfRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 104005

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:EgLXd.11617$TF3.5597@fe09.lga...
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d0nm8e$rk0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed N0TO was
>>available.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> I received the QSL card for working TO0O (Martinique) a few weeks back.
> The caption under the call reads "That's a lot of dahs." I hadn't really
> given much thought at the time I'd worked Tom.
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384
> QRP ARCI #11782

A lot of dits are even worse. I worked an SH5.... in one of the contests
and that was a pain making sure I had the call sign right.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2490. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 03:04:14 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <SMudndq8mvTVIbLfRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104006

N9OGL wrote:

> I know Dam well what it says, but I think you and all your butt buddies
> on here don't.
>
>
> The difference between a Information Bulletin and a Broadcast is (and
> even a little smart ass like bathwhoreman and his little bitch julie on
> here could understand).
>
> A Information bulletin is a message directed only to the amateur
> operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the
> Amateur service.

Well there is where the rule has got you. What you have to say is of
no direct interest to the Amateur Service.

2491. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 03:28:30 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110425310.740441.200250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104007

EXACTLY!! That is what we have been trying to tell numbnuts. His information bulletins have no direct interest to amateurs, and are of no use to the service. It's right there and he refuses to understand it. He is the waste product of a very special educational system.

2492. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 03:48:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110426494.804287.210430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104008

THAT'S YOU OPINION, AND AS I SEE IT 1. IT'S NOT UP TO TO DETERMINE WHAT I DO WITH MY STATION OR HOW I SHOULD EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH AND 2. YOU AND YOUR LITTLE BITCHWHORE BOYFRIENDS ON HERE ARE A SMALL MINORITY. SO HERE'S A LITTLE IDEA FOR YOU AND YOUR LITTLE SLUT ON THIS NEWSGROUP, YOU HAVE NO AUTHORITY TO TELL ME WHAT TO DO WITH MY STATION SO WHY DON'T YOU AND YOUR LITTLE ASS FUCKING BOYFIRENDS GO AND DICTATE TO SOMEONE ELSE ON HOW THEY SHOULD USE THEIR FREE SPEECH. YOU FUCKING COMMIE BASARDS.

N9OGL

Bathrooman wrote:
> EXACTLY!! That is what we have been trying to tell numbnuts. His
> information bulletins have no direct interest to amateurs, and are of
> no use to the service. It's right there and he refuses to understand
> it. He is the waste product of a very special educational system.

2493. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 03:55:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110426907.959266.291380@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104009

WELL YOU FUCKING COMMIE, YOU'VE NEVER HEAR MY INFORMATION BULLETINS SO YOU CAN NOT JUSTIFY SAYING THEY HAVE NO DIRECT INTEREST TO THE AMATEUR SERVICE. PERHAPS SOMEONE SHOULD MONITOR YOU FUCKING QSO PERHAPS WHAT THE FUCK YOU TALK ABOUT HAS "NO INTEREST TO THE AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE" WHY DON'T YOU GO TO SOME FUCKING COUNTRY WHERE FREE SPEECH ISN'T ALLOWED PERHAPS THEN YOU WILL SHUT YOUR FUCKING PIE HOLE. YOU FUCKING COMMIE BASTARD.

TODD N9OGL
FREE SPEECH SUPPORTER

2494. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 05:56:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <PKSdnVARoK0kerLfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104010

N9OGL wrote:

> THAT'S YOU OPINION, AND AS I SEE IT 1. IT'S NOT UP TO TO DETERMINE WHAT
> I DO WITH MY STATION OR HOW I SHOULD EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH
> AND 2. YOU AND YOUR LITTLE BITCHWHORE BOYFRIENDS ON HERE ARE A SMALL
> MINORITY. SO HERE'S A LITTLE IDEA FOR YOU AND YOUR LITTLE SLUT ON THIS
> NEWSGROUP, YOU HAVE NO AUTHORITY TO TELL ME WHAT TO DO WITH MY STATION
> SO WHY DON'T YOU AND YOUR LITTLE ASS FUCKING BOYFIRENDS GO AND DICTATE
> TO SOMEONE ELSE ON HOW THEY SHOULD USE THEIR FREE SPEECH. YOU FUCKING
> COMMIE BASARDS.
>
> N9OGL

Well!! I have never been called a basard before. What the heck is that?
You need to grow up toddyboy.

2495. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 05:57:46 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <PKSdnVMRoK1rerLfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104011

N9OGL wrote:

> WELL YOU FUCKING COMMIE, YOU'VE NEVER HEAR MY INFORMATION BULLETINS SO
> YOU CAN NOT JUSTIFY SAYING THEY HAVE NO DIRECT INTEREST TO THE AMATEUR
> SERVICE. PERHAPS SOMEONE SHOULD MONITOR YOU FUCKING QSO PERHAPS WHAT
> THE FUCK YOU TALK ABOUT HAS "NO INTEREST TO THE AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE"
> WHY DON'T YOU GO TO SOME FUCKING COUNTRY WHERE FREE SPEECH ISN'T
> ALLOWED PERHAPS THEN YOU WILL SHUT YOUR FUCKING PIE HOLE. YOU FUCKING
> COMMIE BASTARD.
>
> TODD N9OGL
> FREE SPEECH SUPPORTER
>

Grow up toddyboy.

2496. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 06:30:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110436258.179392.304050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104012

...And eat shit and die you commie bastard...go suppress someone elses
free speech on the PUBLIC AIRWAVES.
Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > WELL YOU FUCKING COMMIE, YOU'VE NEVER HEAR MY INFORMATION BULLETINS
SO
> > YOU CAN NOT JUSTIFY SAYING THEY HAVE NO DIRECT INTEREST TO THE
AMATEUR
> > SERVICE. PERHAPS SOMEONE SHOULD MONITOR YOU FUCKING QSO PERHAPS
WHAT
> > THE FUCK YOU TALK ABOUT HAS "NO INTEREST TO THE AMATEUR RADIO
SERVICE"
> > WHY DON'T YOU GO TO SOME FUCKING COUNTRY WHERE FREE SPEECH ISN'T
> > ALLOWED PERHAPS THEN YOU WILL SHUT YOUR FUCKING PIE HOLE. YOU
FUCKING
> > COMMIE BASTARD.
> >
> > TODD N9OGL
> > FREE SPEECH SUPPORTER
> >
>
> Grow up toddyboy.

2497. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 07:15:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110438916.320279.48650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104015

bb wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed
N0TO
>
> > was available.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Not tedious. KA0ZFY was tedious.
>
> You thinking about getting a vanity call? I think K4CAP is
available.

Dang Boy! There you go "thinking" again!

You really are fact-deficient, aren't you...?!?!

Not that anyone else here's surprised!

Steve, K4YZ

2498. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 07:15:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110438921.732693.177510@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104013

bb wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed
N0TO
>
> > was available.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Not tedious. KA0ZFY was tedious.
>
> You thinking about getting a vanity call? I think K4CAP is
available.

Dang Boy! There you go "thinking" again!

You really are fact-deficient, aren't you...?!?!

Not that anyone else here's surprised!

Steve, K4YZ

2499. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 07:23:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110439389.244395.216650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104014

N9OGL wrote:
> I know Dam well what it says, but I think you and all your butt
buddies
> on here don't.

What's really funny is someone who's trying to be expressive with
profanity that can't even spell the profanities correctly!

> The difference between a Information Bulletin and a Broadcast is (and
> even a little smart ass like bathwhoreman and his little bitch julie
on
> here could understand).

Ahhhhhhh....You must have gone to the Leonard H. Anderson School
of Kill the Messenger USEGROUP Posting.

No facts, so you dig on in to the folks delivering you the bad
news....

> A Information bulletin is a message directed only to the amateur
> operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to
the
> Amateur service.

The point is, Toddie, is that you have nothing of interest to
offer.

Your personal opinion OF your opinion notwithstanding.

> A Broadcast is A Transmissions intended for reception by the general
> public, either direct or relayed.

The Amateur Radio community has no interest in what you ahve to
say...why would the "general public" care eitehr?

> There's the difference, A information bulletin is directed to the
> amateur radio community, even if it's opinionated as long as it
> directed to the amateur radio service it's a "information bulletin"
and
> is legal. if the program is directed to the general public then it's
a
> broadcast.

You're broadcasting.

NO ONE wants to hear you or your warp minded opinions. On the air
OR here.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2500. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 07:29:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110439741.250468.30470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104020

N9OGL wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > > WELL YOU ****ING COMMIE, YOU'VE NEVER HEAR MY INFORMATION
BULLETINS
> SO
> > > YOU CAN NOT JUSTIFY SAYING THEY HAVE NO DIRECT INTEREST TO THE
> AMATEUR
> > > SERVICE. PERHAPS SOMEONE SHOULD MONITOR YOU ****ING QSO PERHAPS
> WHAT
> > > THE **** YOU TALK ABOUT HAS "NO INTEREST TO THE AMATEUR RADIO
> SERVICE"
> > > WHY DON'T YOU GO TO SOME ****ING COUNTRY WHERE FREE SPEECH ISN'T
> > > ALLOWED PERHAPS THEN YOU WILL SHUT YOUR ****ING PIE HOLE. YOU
> ****ING
> > > COMMIE *******.
> > >
> > > TODD N9OGL
> > > FREE SPEECH SUPPORTER

Actually, you are a "free speech abuser". Judging by the number
of times you used the "F" word in the previous exchange, I'd say you're
angry becasue you're NOT "F"ing as often as you'd like.

Judging by th pic on QRZ, I'd have to say I know why.

> > Grow up toddyboy.

> ...And eat **** and die you commie bastard...go suppress someone
elses
> free speech on the PUBLIC AIRWAVES.

Unless he it jamming you with a carrier, he's not suppressed
anything.

Infact, he's used the very same medium and "rights" as you to
express HIS opinions. YOU are the one suggesting some kind of
"suppression".

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2501. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 07:31:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110439881.226592.72720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104021

bb wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > (whole bunch of stuff snipped)
> >
> > Steve,
> >
> > To answer the title question:
> >
> > "He Just Doesn't Get It".
> >
> > http://www.somethingawful.com/articles.php?a=2715
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Looks like Jim didn't have anything to do at work again.

You're still getting upset over getting YOUR nose rubbed in YOUR
droppings, I see, Brian.

Pity that. If you'd stop doing it, we'd stop rubbing.

Steve, K4YZ

2502. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 07:38:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110440332.736817.152570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104017

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.
> >
> > You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
> > allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links to
> > pertinent threads.
>
> You "genrerate" lots and lots of comments.

Oooops! I typo'd and BB caught it! My bad!

> Lying comments. And start
> lots and lots of new threads. Lying threads. Usually shouting
> someones name and trying to denigrate them. Repeated again and
again.
> Perhaps someday someone will read them and beleive them. But not
> anytime soon, and no one of average or higher intelligence.

They are not "lying threads", Brain. They are, for the most part
YOUR words and Lennie's words quoted verbatim.

Are you FINALLY acknowledging your culpability in your
mistruthfulness on-line?

> > You just make silly allegations.
>
> Steve, it was a trap. I knew you would reply because you can't help
> yourself as I've stated above. You're mental, remember? Oh, that's
> right. I know it, but you don't so you easily fall into every trap.

NOT responding to you and appearing to agree with you by omission
would be the trap, Brain.

> So you prove my allegations with your very denial of the same.
>
> Your newsgroup bullying is predictable, and boring. Time to get
> together with one of your other mental modes and come up with
something
> new.

What bullyng? You lie, make misrepresentations and otherwise are
mistruthful, then expect everyone else to just accpet it as "fact".

The "facts" are that you are deceitful, you are mistruthful and
you lie repeatedly in a public forum.

> Or better yet: Nurse, heal theyself.

Of? You CONTINUE to make that statement, yet you refuse to answer what OF, Brian...

> Best of Luck

Never needed when dealing with you, Brain...You supply me with all the material I need.

Thanks.

Steve, K4YZ

2503. Re: Now THIS is COOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 07:58:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110441510.780905.202490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104018

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=3064&item=6749385522&rd=1

I wouldn't be caught dead OR alive in that, Jim!
Ewwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwww!

=)

73

Steve, K4YZ

2504. Re: Get Us Out of the U.N. Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 08:37:39 UTC
From: John Doe <JohnDoe@0.0.0.0>
Message-ID: <4230070e$0$5593$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 104016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

So,
PAY the money YOU owe to the UN then piss off!

"I Am Not Phil" <nophil@iamnotphil.org> wrote in message
news:d0kbchjnj0@pita.alt.net...
> For God's sake, get the United States out of the U.N. before
> it is too late. John Kerry & Bill Clinton are U.N. fans. Is that
> proof enough?
>
>
>
>
>

2505. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 09:00:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1110445232.314112.169440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104019

Michael Coslo wrote:

> I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed N0TO

> was available.

In this past weekend's ARRL phone DX contest HA8ØIARU showed up and
generated all sorts of problems particularly with the logging programs.

> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

2506. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 11:19:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110453562.498929.215410@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104022

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > One of the tricks of learning to use a bug is to send exercise
phrases
> > that develop your skill. My favorite is:
> >
> > "SHE IS 55 ES SHE IS HIS SISTER"
> >
> > Try sending that one!
>
> Is her name Sissi?

I *like* it!

"SISSI IS 55 ES SHE IS HIS SISTER"

73 de Jim, N2EY

2507. Re: Now THIS is COOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 14:07:23 UTC
From: Bogie <Casablanka@RKO.com>
Message-ID: <wwYXd.51341$xt.33800@fed1read07>
Source record: 104024

And they sed Morse code is dead
That's a ration, its the latest fashion

--
Round up the usual spammers
Rains In Casablanker
--
Bogie - Here's typing to you kid

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1110418077.805014.156220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=3064&item=6749385522&rd=1
>

2508. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 16:39:00 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <N7GdnV4fb-Si5K3fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104028

N9OGL wrote:

> ...And eat shit and die you commie bastard...go suppress someone elses
> free speech on the PUBLIC AIRWAVES.

Grow up toddboy.

2509. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 16:50:02 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <O4SdnZ2FVoe64a3fRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104023

N9OGL wrote:

> WELL YOU FUCKING COMMIE, YOU'VE NEVER HEAR MY INFORMATION BULLETINS SO
> YOU CAN NOT JUSTIFY SAYING THEY HAVE NO DIRECT INTEREST TO THE AMATEUR
> SERVICE. PERHAPS SOMEONE SHOULD MONITOR YOU FUCKING QSO PERHAPS WHAT
> THE FUCK YOU TALK ABOUT HAS "NO INTEREST TO THE AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE"
> WHY DON'T YOU GO TO SOME FUCKING COUNTRY WHERE FREE SPEECH ISN'T
> ALLOWED PERHAPS THEN YOU WILL SHUT YOUR FUCKING PIE HOLE. YOU FUCKING
> COMMIE BASTARD.
>
> TODD N9OGL
> FREE SPEECH SUPPORTER
>

And again you show your complete ignorance of Amateur radio and the rules.

> PERHAPS SOMEONE SHOULD MONITOR YOU FUCKING QSO PERHAPS WHAT
> THE FUCK YOU TALK ABOUT HAS "NO INTEREST TO THE AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE"

As long as I am in QSO with another Amateur radio station the subject can be anything I or the other station wishes. It does not have to be anything remotely of "interest to the Amateur Radio Service". We can talk about vactions, kids, grandkids, old cars, new cars, television shows, cooking, building an outhouse, the mayor, the president, and any other subject we should choose, even (God forbid) you. So your statement above just further confirms you are really a little stupid piece of you-know-what and don't have a clue, so go get a job, maybe a real life, stop living with your mommy and come back after you have grown up toddyboy.

2510. Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 18:24:08 UTC
From: Blue State Liberal <b@bslbserver.net>
Message-ID: <d0q38d$h3g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104026

In her continuing move to the right, U.S. Senator Hillary Clinton today stated on the floor of the Senate that there is far too much filth and family unfriendly programming on American TV. A few minutes later, in what seemed an odd remark to make, Senator Clinton stated were it her decision, the FCC should have been dismantled and shut down years ago.

Hillary in 2008 !

2511. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 18:56:53 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <_OWdnWO5OdnrBa3fRVn-gQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104025

Blue State Liberal wrote:

> In her continuing move to the right, U.S. Senator Hillary Clinton today
> stated on the floor of the Senate that there is far too much filth and
> family unfriendly programming on American TV. A few minutes later,
> in what seemed an odd remark to make, Senator Clinton stated were
> it her decision, the FCC should have been dismantled and shut down
> years ago.
>
> Hillary in 2008 !
>

She has no power so it doesn't matter what she said about the FCC, She
ought to look at bill before talking about filth and family values.
Ken N8CGY

2512. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 19:00:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110481206.535556.222170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104027

First off you've never heard my information bulletin, which that's what is a information bulletin not a broadcast I suggest you look at the definitions in part 97. A since you haven't heard my bulletin you can not justify saying that they aren't of interest. Like I said, you and your buddies on here are a SMALL MINORITY of the amateur radio community. you know I DON'T think W1AW has anything of importance so perhaps I force to have them removed from the band, HELL, I don't see any of the shit you or your girlfriends spew out here or on the publc airwaves of any "value" maybe we should remove you uninteresting opinion off the spectrum. Bottom line I'm going to continue what i'm doing and there isn't anything you can do about it hehehehehehehehehehehehehehe

Todd N9OGL

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > I know Dam well what it says, but I think you and all your butt
> buddies
> > on here don't.
>
> What's really funny is someone who's trying to be expressive
with
> profanity that can't even spell the profanities correctly!
>
> > The difference between a Information Bulletin and a Broadcast is
(and
> > even a little smart ass like bathwhoreman and his little bitch
julie
> on
> > here could understand).
>
> Ahhhhhhh....You must have gone to the Leonard H. Anderson School
> of Kill the Messenger USEGROUP Posting.
>
> No facts, so you dig on in to the folks delivering you the bad
> news....
>
> > A Information bulletin is a message directed only to the amateur
> > operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to
> the
> > Amateur service.
>
> The point is, Toddie, is that you have nothing of interest to
> offer.

>
> Your personal opinion OF your opinion notwithstanding.
>
> > A Broadcast is A Transmissions intended for reception by the
general
> > public, either direct or relayed.
>
> The Amateur Radio community has no interest in what you ahve to
> say...why would the "general public" care eitehr?
>
> > There's the difference, A information bulletin is directed to the
> > amateur radio community, even if it's opinionated as long as it
> > directed to the amateur radio service it's a "information bulletin"
> and
> > is legal. if the program is directed to the general public then
it's
> a
> > broadcast.
>
> You're broadcasting.
>
> NO ONE wants to hear you or your warp minded opinions. On the
air
> OR here.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2513. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 19:06:02 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110481562.937145.307840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104030

First off you've never heard my information bulletin, which that's what is a information bulletin not a broadcast I suggest you look at the definitions in part 97. A since you haven't heard my bulletin you can not justify saying that they aren't of interest. Like I said, you and your buddies on here are a SMALL MINORITY of the amateur radio community. you know I DON'T think W1AW has anything of importance so perhaps I force to have them removed from the band, HELL, I don't see any of the shit you or your girlfriends spew out here or on the publc airwaves of any "value" maybe we should remove you uninteresting opinion off the spectrum. Bottom line I'm going to continue what i'm doing and there isn't anything you can do about it hehehehehehehehehehehehehehe

Todd N9OGL

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > I know Dam well what it says, but I think you and all your butt
> buddies
> > on here don't.
>
> What's really funny is someone who's trying to be expressive
with
> profanity that can't even spell the profanities correctly!
>
> > The difference between a Information Bulletin and a Broadcast is
(and
> > even a little smart ass like bathwhoreman and his little bitch
julie
> on
> > here could understand).
>
> Ahhhhhhh....You must have gone to the Leonard H. Anderson School
> of Kill the Messenger USEGROUP Posting.
>
> No facts, so you dig on in to the folks delivering you the bad
> news....
>
> > A Information bulletin is a message directed only to the amateur
> > operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to
> the
> > Amateur service.
>
> The point is, Toddie, is that you have nothing of interest to
> offer.

>
> Your personal opinion OF your opinion notwithstanding.
>
> > A Broadcast is A Transmissions intended for reception by the
general
> > public, either direct or relayed.
>
> The Amateur Radio community has no interest in what you ahve to
> say...why would the "general public" care eitehr?
>
> > There's the difference, A information bulletin is directed to the
> > amateur radio community, even if it's opinionated as long as it
> > directed to the amateur radio service it's a "information bulletin"
> and
> > is legal. if the program is directed to the general public then
it's
> a
> > broadcast.
>
> You're broadcasting.
>
> NO ONE wants to hear you or your warp minded opinions. On the
air
> OR here.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2514. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 19:10:34 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110481834.135419.294860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104031

N9 Out Go the Legalities

2515. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 20:09:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110485345.973685.61830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104029

N9OGL wrote:
> First off you've never heard my information bulletin, which that's
what
> is a information bulletin not a broadcast I suggest you look at the
> definitions in part 97.

Who told you I've never heard your "information bulletin"...???

> A since you haven't heard my bulletin you can
> not justify saying that they aren't of interest.

WHAT "interest"...?!?! Of what great importance do the
meanderings of a megalomaniac like you have being broadcast on 20
meters?

> Like I said, you and
> your buddies on here are a SMALL MINORITY of the amateur radio
> community. you know I DON'T think W1AW has anything of importance so
> perhaps I force to have them removed from the band, HELL, I don't see
> any of the shit you or your girlfriends spew out here or on the publc
> airwaves of any "value" maybe we should remove you uninteresting
> opinion off the spectrum.

You couldn't force flatulance through Fruit-of-the-Loom, Todd.

> Bottom line I'm going to continue what i'm
> doing and there isn't anything you can do about it
> hehehehehehehehehehehehehehehe

Oh yes there is.

"Hehehehehehehehehehehehehehehe"

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2516. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 20:16:07 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110485767.031858.240810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104032

Toddy said:
>...go suppress someone elses
> free speech on the PUBLIC AIRWAVES.

Here is yet another example of your ignorance. Amateur radio bands are not PUBLIC AIRWAVES. Amateur radio is a licensed service for the exclusive use of licensed amateur radio operators. The PUBLIC AIRWAVES are the standard AM, FM, and TV broadcast bands, to name three. I'm not making this up, this is how PUBLIC AIRWAVES are defined within the meaning of the law. Go back and study up for the novice test.

N9 Out Go the Legalities

2517. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 20:24:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110486282.267753.85010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104033

whoever wrote:
> Blue State Liberal wrote:
>
> > In her continuing move to the right, U.S. Senator Hillary Clinton
today
> > stated on the floor of the Senate that there is far too much filth
and
> > family unfriendly programming on American TV. A few minutes later,
> > in what seemed an odd remark to make, Senator Clinton stated were
> > it her decision, the FCC should have been dismantled and shut down
> > years ago.

> She has no power so it doesn't matter what she said about the FCC,
She
> ought to look at bill before talking about filth and family values.
> Ken N8CGY

I'd rethink that, Ken.

Hillary has more power than any thieving white woman should be
allowed, but trust me, she's coniving and will have her way.

There are women out there who will vote for Hillary Clinton based
upon no other criteria than she IS a woman.

Forget that her husband was one of the most prolific pathological
liars in the White House in over 200 years and she helped him. (No
wonder folks like Lennie Anderson and Brian Burke don't think twice
about doing it...Clinton set the trend...)

Forget that she still has never satisfactorially answered the
questions about her real estate dealings. Heck no! Martha Stewart
went to jail for 6 months just becasue she acted on a stock tip, yet
Hillary won't pay so mauch as attention.

Forget that Hillary was responsible for STEALING real property
from the White House as she and Billary were on thier way out the door
in 2000. "Oh...that's not ours? Well...I guess we'll put it back..."
Dodged ayet another bullet.

Forget that she facilitated (or at least tolerated) her husband's
philanderings all-the-while promoting herself as the champion of
women's rights and equal opportunity.

As Billary's "Health Care Advocate" in 90's, she didn't do a
single worthy thing. She and Bill brandished a "health care card" at
his first "State of the Union" address, but that was the end of it.

Health care benefits for the uninsured, under-insured, the aged and catastrophically ill or infirmed are threadbare, to say the least.

But, unfortunatley, people will still vote for her...Again, just because she wears a skirt.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2518. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 21:06:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110488814.697090.221550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104034

Bathrooman wrote:
> Toddy said:
> >...go suppress someone elses
> > free speech on the PUBLIC AIRWAVES.
>
> Here is yet another example of your ignorance. Amateur radio bands
are
> not PUBLIC AIRWAVES. Amateur radio is a licensed service for the
> exclusive use of licensed amateur radio operators. The PUBLIC
AIRWAVES
> are the standard AM, FM, and TV broadcast bands, to name three. I'm
> not making this up, this is how PUBLIC AIRWAVES are defined within
the
> meaning of the law.

Hear hear!

>Go back and study up for the novice test.

...and wait for the new one to come out!

> N9 Out Go the Legalities

N9 Obnoxious Gay Loudmouth

73

Steve, K4YZ

2519. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 21:58:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110491919.172506.194330@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104035

Brian Burke wrote on Wed, Mar 9 2005 3:29 pm:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.
>>
>> You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
>> allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links to
>> pertinent threads.
>
>You "genrerate" lots and lots of comments. Lying comments. And start
>lots and lots of new threads. Lying threads. Usually shouting
>someones name and trying to denigrate them. Repeated again and again.
>Perhaps someday someone will read them and beleive them. But not
>anytime soon, and no one of average or higher intelligence.

Absolutely. That's been the style of the Avenging Angle
of Dearth for years.

It's a common propaganda technique familiarly called
"the big Lie" wherein some untruth is repeated over
and over and over and over again in public in an
attempt to make others believe it is "true." It isn't.

The "big Lie" technique was used rather successfully
by the Nazi party in the 1930s and 1940s.

Lately, he has tried to horn in on topics of
"professional writing" AS IF he is accomplished
in that or "knows" about it. Not so.

The "other" Steve (Adell) tried some Troll topic
(probably after reading the ARRL's Guide for Authors)
and proclaimed a few things out of his imagination.

$65 per PRINTED page compensation doesn't even meet
minimum income wage values for any author. Writers
in QST or QEX do their thing to either share their
HOBBY experiences or to get their name in print
(sometimes called "ego-boo" for ego-boosting). In
providing monetary compensation...under terms that
cashing the compensation check automatically gives
the ARRL "first rights" to publishing...the ARRL
also retains the right to REPRINT the author's work
anyplace, anytime the ARRL wants WITHOUT paying the
author any more money. [that's how ARRL gets the

material to fill their Handbook and other products]

Jim Weir wrote the correct conditions in his previous
message.

Avenging Angle of Dearth wrote more scurrilous LIES
about my professional writing (published works sold
for money). He claimed that "other" publishers
(besides the old Ham Radio magazine sold to CQ in
1990), especially the "professional" ones, will NOT
consider my submissions. That is quite untrue. I've
listed the publications I've been in since 1990 in
here. Little Stevie didn't bother to check up on
those. Hardcopy references of those will NOT cause
any published work to disappear, therefore they are
valid references.

Little Stevie uses "professional" in the most general
form and never gets specific. The "big LIE" technique
again. He cannot name a single "professional"
publisher becasue he doesn't read those "professional
journals." It is doubtful he could understand them
if he did. :-)

>> You just make silly allegations.
>
>Steve, it was a trap. I knew you would reply because you can't help
>yourself as I've stated above. You're mental, remember? Oh, that's
>right. I know it, but you don't so you easily fall into every trap.
>
>So you prove my allegations with your very denial of the same.
>
>Your newsgroup bullying is predictable, and boring. Time to get
>together with one of your other mental modes and come up with
something
>new.

The Avenging Angle of Dearth will NEVER admit to any
wrongdoing. :-) Hasn't done so yet in here. His
style is a sour soliloquy of Attack, Attack, Attack!

No doubt that is psychologically satisfying to him,
but it is a tedious time-waster for all others. It
makes him look foolish to others, but he can only
see himself and his mighty machismo displayed
triumphantly on his own screen.

Big badass dave does the same sort of thing...with
(at least) some slight increase in writing style.
On the other hand, he is incapable of Letting Go on
a subject he didn't "win" in here. He apparently
feels he MUST "win" and, when that doesn't happen,

resorts to the Personal Attack and manufacturing of
"reasons of others" which don't exist. His ego is
larger than the monstrous one of Robeson's, capped
with arrogance worthy of a stereotypical prussian.

>Or better yet: Nurse, heal theyself.

...some things may be beyond the capabilities of
modern medical science.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2520. Re: Now THIS is COOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 22:01:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110492088.101614.263400@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104036

N2EY@AOL.COM, on an emotional rollercoaster of transgender styling and thinking about his/her wardrobe, wrote on Wed, Mar 9 2005 5:27 pm:

>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBay....

$102 for a WOMAN's long-sleeve T-shirt featuring "morse code" messages?!?!?

...truly, only a rabid MORSEAHOLIC would think that is "cool." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2521. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 22:03:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110492227.370733.74920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104037

From: Dave Heil who, blabbering away on an obvious Troll topic,
scribbled on Thurs, Mar 10 2005 12:14 am:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:

>> You forget his comments to FCC about things like an age
requirement....
>
>He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age requirement
>for radio amateurs.

Tsk. So hard up for Personal Attack subjects that
you pick something from my Comment to the FCC made
SIX YEARS AGO? Wasn't done in here first...

Okay, I'll wait until you stop cheering for all the
"mature, responsible" six-year-olds featured on the
ARRL news as "world's youngest hams?" Riiiiight...
mature and responsible ALL BY THEMSELVES! :-)

How about the 9-year-old "extra?" A "mature,
responsible, law-abiding" pre-teener? :-)

Riiiiight...ALL of them wouldn't think of operating
without parental supervision, would they? Uh-huh.

Tsk. I've never pursued the matter with the FCC
since 1999 but it seems some in here just can't let
it go. They MUST bring it up again, time and time
again as if this is the most hideous of gaffes,
practically a felonious act against the noble, law-
fearing amateurs who never, ever do anything wrong.

>> The problem is, you don't understand the game Len's playing. Here's
>> one explanation of it (WARNING! Some explicit language!):
>>
>> http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-bloggers/1358838/posts
>
>The "Never Defend Your Own Points" portion is classic Len Anderson.
>He won't answer a question in a straightforward manner.

Tsk. To answer a repeated question by big badass dave,
no, I don't beat (physically) my wife. :-)

You want "straightforward manner answers?" Okay, here:

Yes, No, Yes, maybe, No, No, Yes, perhaps.

Now all you have to do is connect those answers with
your LOADED questions you post in here. :-)

Okay, big badass dave, here's a question for YOU:

Where SPECIFICALLY and when SPECIFICALLY did you
see "combat" in Vietnam sufficient to make you this
big badass "combat veteran" you claim for yourself?

Or does that violate the copyrights on your story
in "Soldier of Fortune" magazine?

The world has a right to know...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2522. * ATTENTION ALL BLOGGERS *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 22:20:15 UTC
From: BLOGGER2005a@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110493215.592899.288530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104038

http://www.are-u-sure.blogspot.com << go here

2523. The more things change, the more they stay the same

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-10 22:29:37 UTC
From: "Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1c9ada8c470a8a38989693@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104039

In article <1110491919.172506.194330@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
LenAnderson@ieee.org says...
>
> The "other" Steve (Adell) tried some Troll topic
> (probably after reading the ARRL's Guide for Authors)
> and proclaimed a few things out of his imagination.

Nope, just used a well known and worn cut and paste ploy made famous and perfected by a prolific poster on RRAP, aka the ol cut n paste ploy,of an article on the ARRL website. Didn't add a thing, so I'll accept your apology for the erroneous embellishment of your remarks.

No problem, consider it accepted at face value :) :) :)

2524. * ATTENTION ALL BLOGGERS *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 00:01:42 UTC
From: BLOGGER2005a@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110499302.424627.14240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104040

http://www.are-u-sure.blogspot.com << go here

2525. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 00:02:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110497995.277430.216300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104041

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> Brian Burke wrote on Wed, Mar 9 2005 3:29 pm:
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.
> >>
> >> You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
> >> allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links to
> >> pertinent threads.
> >>
> >You "genrerate" lots and lots of comments. Lying comments. And
start
> >lots and lots of new threads. Lying threads. Usually shouting
> >someones name and trying to denigrate them. Repeated again and
again.
> >Perhaps someday someone will read them and beleive them. But not
> >anytime soon, and no one of average or higher intelligence.
>
> Absolutely. That's been the style of the Avenging Angle
> of Dearth for years.

No lies in that ovservation.

> It's a common propaganda technique familiarly called
> "the big Lie" wherein some untruth is repeated over
> and over and over and over again in public in an
> attempt to make others believe it is "true." It isn't.

Yep. No lies in that observation.

> The "big Lie" technique was used rather successfully
> by the Nazi party in the 1930s and 1940s.

Yep. No lies in that observation.

> Lately, he has tried to horn in on topics of
> "professional writing" AS IF he is accomplished
> in that or "knows" about it. Not so.

You have to discount his opinions about such. After all, he knows
nothing about professional writing, and is not a participant in such.

> The "other" Steve (Adell) tried some Troll topic
> (probably after reading the ARRL's Guide for Authors)
> and proclaimed a few things out of his imagination.

I recall the name, but not the topic.

> $65 per PRINTED page compensation doesn't even meet
> minimum income wage values for any author.

Opinion:

Probably not. But you must remember that you must devote whatever time
that it takes to show worthiness to this hobby. Such as the endless
hours practicing morse code by people who just aren't any good at it or
capable of learning it.

Writers
> in QST or QEX do their thing to either share their
> HOBBY experiences or to get their name in print
> (sometimes called "ego-boo" for ego-boosting).

Some are very, very touch if you accidentally leave them off a list.
Such as the A-1 steak sauce list.

In
> providing monetary compensation...under terms that
> cashing the compensation check automatically gives
> the ARRL "first rights" to publishing...the ARRL
> also retains the right to REPRINT the author's work
> anyplace, anytime the ARRL wants WITHOUT paying the
> author any more money. [that's how ARRL gets the
> material to fill their Handbook and other products]

Opinion:

Consider it a gift. Write it off.

I wonder if CQ compensated anyone for republishing Ham Radio magazine
in electronic format?

> Jim Weir wrote the correct conditions in his previous
> message.

He did.

> Avenging Angle of Dearth wrote more scurrilous LIES
> about my professional writing (published works sold
> for money). He claimed that "other" publishers
> (besides the old Ham Radio magazine sold to CQ in
> 1990), especially the "professional" ones, will NOT
> consider my submissions. That is quite untrue. I've
> listed the publications I've been in since 1990 in
> here. Little Stevie didn't bother to check up on
> those. Hardcopy references of those will NOT cause
> any published work to disappear, therefore they are
> valid references.

Of course, of course. He lies.

> Little Stevie uses "professional" in the most general
> form and never gets specific. The "big LIE" technique
> again. He cannot name a single "professional"
> publisher becasue he doesn't read those "professional
> journals." It is doubtful he could understand them
> if he did. :-)

He's intimidated by anyone with qualifications and has to make a lot of
noise and discredit them.

> >> You just make silly allegations.
> >
> >Steve, it was a trap. I knew you would reply because you can't help
> >yourself as I've stated above. You're mental, remember? Oh, that's
> >right. I know it, but you don't so you easily fall into every trap.
> >
> >So you prove my allegations with your very denial of the same.
> >
> >Your newsgroup bullying is predictable, and boring. Time to get
> >together with one of your other mental modes and come up with
> something
> >new.
>
> The Avenging Angle of Dearth will NEVER admit to any
> wrongdoing. :-) Hasn't done so yet in here. His
> style is a sour soliloquy of Attack, Attack, Attack!

Bricks through windows. Slashed tires. Terrorized wives. His words.

> No doubt that is psychologically satisfying to him,
> but it is a tedious time-waster for all others. It
> makes him look foolish to others, but he can only
> see himself and his mighty machismo displayed
> triumphantly on his own screen.

That's funny. I was watching an episode of the japanese "You-gee-oh"
cartoon with my son the other day. There was the antagonist with a
deep "commanding" voice that kept shouting out the concept of
operations, the order of battle, and the rules of engagement. I closed
my eyes and could imagine that it was Steve on the television doing the
shouting. Hi! What a riot. Now I know where he gets his material.

> Big badass dave does the same sort of thing...with
> (at least) some slight increase in writing style.
> On the other hand, he is incapable of Letting Go on
> a subject he didn't "win" in here. He apparently
> feels he MUST "win" and, when that doesn't happen,
> resorts to the Personal Attack and manufacturing of
> "reasons of others" which don't exist. His ego is
> larger than the monstrous one of Robeson's, capped
> with arrogance worthy of a stereotypical prussian.

Some people get like that when they've operated amateur radio from dx entities other than Vietnam. Lots of fun, but you can't take it too seriously. At the end of the day it's still just a hobby.

> >Or better yet: Nurse, heal theyself.
>
> ...some things may be beyond the capabilities of
> modern medical science.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

More research is required.

2526. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 00:09:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110499754.460969.239220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104042

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > > Then it dawns on me: How much worse could it get?

> > You forget his comments to FCC about things like an age
requirement....

> He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age
requirement
> for radio amateurs.

I think he wishes everyone would forget them....

> > The problem is, you don't understand the game Len's playing. Here's
> > one explanation of it (WARNING! Some explicit language!):
> >
> > http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-bloggers/1358838/posts
>
> The "Never Defend Your Own Points" portion is classic Len Anderson.

Aw heck, Dave, give credit where it's due! All of those behaviors are
classic Len Anderson. He manages to do at least 3 of them in almost
every post, and it's not uncommon to see all 4 in a single post of his.
Sometimes he manages to do all 4 in a single paragraph.

> He won't answer a question in a straightforward manner.

Most of the time he won't answer questions at all. Yet he'll demand
answers to *his* questions.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2527. Anybody Monitor 3965 Pirate Last Night?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 00:12:07 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <pth@appthamsonly.org>
Message-ID: <d0qnkr$jh3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104043

The 3965 pirate put out an update on the KC dealer who sells
CB amps. legally. There was a new court ruling in his favor,
supposedly. He had the dealership owner on the air for a live
interview. Did anybody monitor the broadcast? Any court
ruling that goes against the FCC is never put out in a press release,
just the ones that go in their favor. Sure would like to know if this
guy is now permanently cleared to sell CB amps, non-type approved
radio gear, etc.

2528. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 00:49:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110502168.259157.106920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104044

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil who, blabbering away on an obvious Troll topic,
> scribbled on Thurs, Mar 10 2005 12:14 am:
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
> >> You forget his comments to FCC about things like an age
> requirement....
> >
> >He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age
requirement
> >for radio amateurs.
>
> Tsk. So hard up for Personal Attack subjects that
> you pick something from my Comment to the FCC made
> SIX YEARS AGO? Wasn't done in here first...

Why is it a "Personal Attack" to disagree with your comments, Len?

You wanted FCC to deny amateur licenses to anyone under the age of
14, regardless of their ability to pass the required tests.

Yet you have not produced one single bit of evidence that the licensing
of people under the age of 14 has produced *any* problems for the
amateur radio service.

Not one example of an under-14 ham violating any rules, getting an NAL
or enforcement letter, or even complaints from other hams.
>
> Okay, I'll wait until you stop cheering for all the
> "mature, responsible" six-year-olds featured on the
> ARRL news as "world's youngest hams?" Riiiiight...
> mature and responsible ALL BY THEMSELVES! :-)

You would forbid people more than twice as old from getting an amateur
license, Len. Without any evidence.
>
> How about the 9-year-old "extra?" A "mature,
> responsible, law-abiding" pre-teener? :-)

Yes.
>
> Riiiiight...ALL of them wouldn't think of operating
> without parental supervision, would they? Uh-huh.

Why should they need parental supervision to operate? FCC,
the expert agency on US civil radio regulation, thinks they're
qualified.

Back in 1948, a 9-year-old local girl passed the Class B exam. In front
of the FCC examiner.

This was back when the license test required diagram drawing and had
essay questions. 13 wpm code, sending and receiving, too. No Novice
class back then, either, it was Class B from a standing start.

Now of course her dad was a ham and she had lots of help. But if the
FCC then and now thought young people were qualified to be hams, and
you have no evidence of problems caused by their youth, why should
there be an age limit?

> Tsk. I've never pursued the matter with the FCC
> since 1999 but it seems some in here just can't let
> it go.

Why should they? You have not admitted that age requirements for a ham
license are a bad idea.

> They MUST bring it up again, time and time
> again as if this is the most hideous of gaffes,
> practically a felonious act against the noble, law-
> fearing amateurs who never, ever do anything wrong.

You would deny licenses to people based solely on age, without
any evidence of age-related problems.

That's just plain wrong.

2529. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 02:23:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110507807.757043.78410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104045

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> > I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed
N0TO
>
> > was available.
>
> In this past weekend's ARRL phone DX contest HA8ØIARU showed up and
> generated all sorts of problems particularly with the logging
programs.
>
> w3rv

Figures - it was on fone. If it had been a CW test, everything
would've gone fine.

2530. Re: * ATTENTION ALL BLOGGERS *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 02:36:00 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <2ZydnYK5_9x3lazfRVn-hw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104048

BLOGGER2005a@yahoo.com wrote:

> http://www.are-u-sure.blogspot.com << go here
>

Here's the Jesus freak again. Report to groups-abuse@google.com and
abuse@aol.net for spaming to Usenet newsgroups.

2531. Re: Get Us Out of the U.N. Now HELL YA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 02:51:54 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110509514.846048.272750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LRod wrote:
> On 9 Mar 2005 15:58:59 -0800, "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >FWIW, we should have sent a cruise missile to each and every
facility
> >that Saddam denied the weapons inspectors access to, as they were
> >denied access. But no, Clinton ignored the problem for years and
years
> >and years. Eventually we came to the conclusion that he was hiding
> >something.
>
> So, your irrational, Clinton-hating conclusion is that Clinton should
> have barged in there and done something BEFORE we came to the
> conclusion that Hussein was hiding something?
>
> I can picture your reaction had he actually done that.
>
> --
> LRod

LRod Hubbard, did you say irrational? Clinton-hating? Those two concepts simply cannot be used in the same sentence. Having a low regard for Clinton is very, very rational.

Anyway, it was Saddam who agreed to the weapons searches, under the Bush administration. Saddam agreed to everything, even a second war for non-compliance, so Clinton wouldn't have been barging in - Saddam had already granted permission. All Clinton did was keep an 8 year log of non-compliance. He da man!

What I do remember of the Clinton Administration was all the news about Lewinsky, and all the news about the weapons inspectors being denied access.

That and him letting the EPA gas-mileage requirements fall flat on the floor putting us in the fuel predicament we currently find ourselves in. Wasn't it him and Algore being referred to as the environmental administration? Hi! Now we've got to go after Arctic Refuge oil years and years before it would have been necessary otherwise.

Hell, I don't even hold a grudge about him making an income tax-hike retroactive to before he took office.

Then there was his boy, Greenspan, saying that he had to put the brakes on the economy. Those words keep ringing in my ears as Ohio keeps

losing manufacturing jobs for burger flipping jobs. And we're sick of burgers in Ohio.

I'm sure you get the point.

2532. Re: Get Us Out of the U.N. Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 02:54:06 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110509646.084079.140930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Doe wrote:
> So,
> PAY the money YOU owe to the UN then piss off!

Balance the books and relocate their HQ to Entebbe.

2533. easy money in 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 03:06:57 UTC
From: trip <jotto105@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1110510417.793476.143860@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104049

MAKING MONEY I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it. A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups and came across an

article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6

names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at

least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?" Then I invested the measly $6.00. WellGUESS WHAT!!... Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over

$10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly,

why it works.... Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy! Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in!

It's easy. It's legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage) IMPORTANT: This is not a scam; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends. PLEASE NOTE: Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the

money made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list. Here are the 4 easy steps to success: STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the

U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses: #1)Dan Warner 2812
W. 1st. St. Duluth, MN 55806 #2) Karen Green 50 Gillinder Street Rockhampton Qld Australia 4700 #3) Ryan Lake 41130 Congerbay Dr Harrison Twp, MI 48045 #4) Zac Inchley unit 82/ 128 Benowa rd Southport

Qld Australia 4215 #5) Carl Baker 2267 N. Kedzie 1st floor Chicago, Illinois 60647 #6) Jason Otto 600 s. 1st st. Waterford, WI 53185
STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see
above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc.) and add

YOUR Name as number 6 on the list. STEP 3: Change anything you need to,

but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more

you post, the more money you make! This is perfectly legal! If you have

any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal laws. Keep

a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again. NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the

notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.) So, as each post is downloaded

and the directions carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches

the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business! ---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS---------- Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning

of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and

select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into

the computer's memory. Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to. Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in

the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200** That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to

the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT. ** Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a verylow example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of

the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2

and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What

are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups

everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual

internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at

it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the

money that so many others have received will come your way

2534. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 04:46:05 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.id5zktg.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 104050

On 10 Mar 2005 12:24:42 -0800, K4YZ quoted:

> A few minutes later,
> in what seemed an odd remark to make, Senator Clinton stated were
> it her decision, the FCC should have been dismantled and shut down
> years ago.

Her husband tried, and almost succeeded, by putting that bozo Reed Hundt in as chairman. Need I spout off again about the "early field retirements" of 1995 and the big bloodletting of 1996?

Of course, she was referring to "the other" FCC, the Michael Powell show. The one that she and all the politicians see and deal with is not the one that we hams see and deal with. She wouldn't know "ours" if it came up and zapped her in her .....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2535. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 05:50:59 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110520259.035214.3790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104051

well your very wrong, in 1934 the US congress declared that the electromagnetic spectrum belong to the public (thus the Public airwaves)The FCC was created to license stations in the public interest, Convenice, and Necessity and to allocate the spectrum for services. The FCC can refuse or grant license if it is in the Public's interest, convenice, and necessity. I would suggest you go back and study the law.
Todd N9OGL

Bathrooman wrote:
> Toddy said:
> >...go suppress someone elses
> > free speech on the PUBLIC AIRWAVES.
>
> Here is yet another example of your ignorance. Amateur radio bands are
> not PUBLIC AIRWAVES. Amateur radio is a licensed service for the
> exclusive use of licensed amateur radio operators. The PUBLIC AIRWAVES
> are the standard AM, FM, and TV broadcast bands, to name three. I'm
> not making this up, this is how PUBLIC AIRWAVES are defined within the
> meaning of the law. Go back and study up for the novice test.
>
> N9 Out Go the Legalities

2536. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 06:07:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110521227.725817.318760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104052

granted, I don't know if you heard the transmission or not, but what I do know is that this little bastards on this newsgroup began complaining about it when I posted the first message of the up coming transmission. claiming it was a broadcast, and not one of them heard the signal because it hadn't even started.

WHAT "interest"...?!?! Of what great importance do the meanderings of a megalomaniac like you have being broadcast on 20 meters?

This here proves that you'venever heard the transmissions, but let me enlighting you on some of the past transmissions Pecuniary Interest, Content Control in the Amateur Radio Service, The Ionosphere and Skywave Propagation up coming porgrams include, Troposphere conditions, Basic Electronics Pt.1 and Pt.2, Idea's for new digtal systems, just to name a few. All are amateur related and thus legal.

Todd N9OGL

2537. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 06:12:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110521541.882342.247710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104053

And again you show your complete ignorance of Amateur radio and the rules.

in what way? I'm runnig a legal information bulletin, Something you can grasp. As long as my bulletins are amateur related they are legal and there's nothing you can do about it.
So I suggest get a life.....

Todd N9OGL

2538. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 06:29:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110522580.251207.193910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104054

I would suggest you go back and look at the definition of what a broadcast is because IMHO you don't know. If you don't have a copy of the FCC rules here's the definition here's what definition for bradcast is (From the ARRL's website)

(10) Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception by the general public, either direct or relayed.

No where in the FCC rules governing the amateur radio service does it state that an information buletin can not be opinoinated about issues dealing with amateur radio. If you still don't believe me prove it here's one link to the rules

http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/news/part97/

Todd N9OGL

2539. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 06:43:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110523405.118043.90250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104055

Judging by th pic on QRZ, I'd have to say I know why.

That's an old picture a newer picture can be found at

http://www.hongfire.com/gallery/showmembers.php?sl=n

Todd N9OGL

2540. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 06:58:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110524312.936566.285110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104056

N9OGL wrote:
> Judging by th pic on QRZ, I'd have to say I know why.
>
> That's an old picture a newer picture can be found at
>
> http://www.hongfire.com/gallery/showmembers.php?sl=n

Ya shudda left well enough alone, Todd!

ROTFLMMFAO! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

2541. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:01:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110524483.974011.321270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104057

N9OGL wrote:
> Judging by th pic on QRZ, I'd have to say I know why.
>
> That's an old picture a newer picture can be found at
>
> http://www.hongfire.com/gallery/showmembers.php?sl=n
>
>
> Todd N9OGL

opps sorry link don't work try:

http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

2542. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:03:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110524602.067600.132190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104058

N9OGL wrote:

> Bathrooman wrote:
> > Toddy said:
> > >...go suppress someone elses
> > > free speech on the PUBLIC AIRWAVES.
> >
> > Here is yet another example of your ignorance. Amateur radio bands
> are
> > not PUBLIC AIRWAVES. Amateur radio is a licensed service for the
> > exclusive use of licensed amateur radio operators. The PUBLIC
> AIRWAVES
> > are the standard AM, FM, and TV broadcast bands, to name three.
I'm
> > not making this up, this is how PUBLIC AIRWAVES are defined within
> the
> > meaning of the law. Go back and study up for the novice test.
> >
> > N9 Out Go the Legalities
>
> well your very wrong, in 1934 the US congress declared that the
> electromagnetic spectrum belong to the public (thus the Public
> airwaves)

From what grade school did you almost graduate, Todd?

> The FCC was created to license stations in the public
> interest, Convenice, and Necessity and to allocate the spectrum for
> services. The FCC can refuse or grant license if it is in the
Public's
> interest, convenice, and necessity. I would suggest you go back and
> study the law.

And we'd suggest you avail yourself of some CASE law SINCE 1934,
Sir Ignorant of Illinois.

Hate to break it to you, but that document has been ammended,
attached, altered, and otherwise annotated to bring it into more modern
shape.

Obviously you've not been paying attention.

Please try to do so in the future.

Steve, K4YZ

2543. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:05:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110524736.325689.171850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104059

N9OGL wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Judging by th pic on QRZ, I'd have to say I know why.
> >
> > That's an old picture a newer picture can be found at
> >
> > http://www.hongfire.com/gallery/showmembers.php?sl=n
> >
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> opps sorry link don't work try:
>
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

Yes, the link DID work, and you still should have left well enough
alone.

Steve, K4YZ

2544. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:17:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110525436.605122.155600@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104060

well no offence but your full of SHIT! I suggest you talk to the Fucking FCC and they'll tell you same thing. I suggest you go talk to members of either the House Subcommittee or the Senate Subcommittee on Telecommunication and ask them, and they will tell you the same fucking thing. because I don't really think you know what the fucking law is. Like all amteurs you look only at the amateur rules unaware that there are other rules that apply to the amateur radio community. But go talk to FCC or the subcommittees and they will tell you the same thing. because frankly you don't know what the fuck your talking about.

Todd N9OGL

2545. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:19:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110525553.653107.255530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104061

N9OGL wrote:

> No where in the FCC rules governing the amateur radio service does it
> state that an information buletin can not be opinoinated about issues
> dealing with amateur radio.

Once you take "news" and add "opinion", it becomes editorial and
is no longer within the realm of an "information bulletin".

You're an idiot, Todd, and unfortunately for the rest of us it's
illegal to shoot idiots just on principle. Otherwise this episode
would be over already.

But it's illegal even for idiots to break the law, and sooner or
later the FCC will come knocking on your door.

Good luck trying to pass your rationalizations off on them.
They've logged a lot of time getting blockheads like you off the air,
and on occassion, into jail...where you belong.

Steve, K4YZ

2546. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:26:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110525966.273727.33750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104062

bb wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > Brian Burke wrote on Wed, Mar 9 2005 3:29 pm:
> >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> bb wrote:
> > >> > He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.
> > >>
> > >> You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
> > >> allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links
to
> > >> pertinent threads.
> > >
> > >You "genrerate" lots and lots of comments. Lying comments. And
> start
> > >lots and lots of new threads. Lying threads. Usually shouting
> > >someones name and trying to denigrate them. Repeated again and
> again.
> > >Perhaps someday someone will read them and beleive them. But not
> > >anytime soon, and no one of average or higher intelligence.
> >
> > Absolutely. That's been the style of the Avenging Angle
> > of Dearth for years.
>
> No lies in that ovservation.

Not sure what an "ovservation" is, but I am even more perplexed by
this constant reference to a person called "Avenging Angle".

> > It's a common propaganda technique familiarly called
> > "the big Lie" wherein some untruth is repeated over
> > and over and over and over again in public in an
> > attempt to make others believe it is "true." It isn't.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Correct. And the "Big Lie" ("I am going to get my Extra Lite out
of the box", for one example, or "Unlicensed devices play a major role
in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H Anderson and
Brian P Burke.

Both are persistent liars. (I started to say "accomplished", but
so far neither one of them has been very good at it since they always
seem to get caught.)

> > The "big Lie" technique was used rather successfully
> > by the Nazi party in the 1930s and 1940s.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Godwin invoked.

Rest snipped. No use wasting bandwidth on one liar trying to
support the lies of another.

Putzii.

Steve, K4YZ

2547. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:26:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110525995.230265.284940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104063

bb wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > Brian Burke wrote on Wed, Mar 9 2005 3:29 pm:
> >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> bb wrote:
> > >> > He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.
> > >>
> > >> You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
> > >> allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links
to
> > >> pertinent threads.
> > >
> > >You "genrerate" lots and lots of comments. Lying comments. And
> start
> > >lots and lots of new threads. Lying threads. Usually shouting
> > >someones name and trying to denigrate them. Repeated again and
> again.
> > >Perhaps someday someone will read them and beleive them. But not
> > >anytime soon, and no one of average or higher intelligence.
> >
> > Absolutely. That's been the style of the Avenging Angle
> > of Dearth for years.
>
> No lies in that ovservation.

Not sure what an "ovservation" is, but I am even more perplexed by
this constant reference to a person called "Avenging Angle".

> > It's a common propaganda technique familiarly called
> > "the big Lie" wherein some untruth is repeated over
> > and over and over and over again in public in an
> > attempt to make others believe it is "true." It isn't.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Correct. And the "Big Lie" ("I am going to get my Extra Lite out
of the box", for one example, or "Unlicensed devices play a major role
in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H Anderson and
Brian P Burke.

Both are persistent liars. (I started to say "accomplished", but
so far neither one of them has been very good at it since they always
seem to get caught.)

> > The "big Lie" technique was used rather successfully
> > by the Nazi party in the 1930s and 1940s.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Godwin invoked.

Rest snipped. No use wasting bandwidth on one liar trying to
support the lies of another.

Putzii.

Steve, K4YZ

2548. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:26:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110526014.199151.50220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104064

bb wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > Brian Burke wrote on Wed, Mar 9 2005 3:29 pm:
> >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> bb wrote:
> > >> > He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.
> > >>
> > >> You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
> > >> allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links
to
> > >> pertinent threads.
> > >
> > >You "genrerate" lots and lots of comments. Lying comments. And
> start
> > >lots and lots of new threads. Lying threads. Usually shouting
> > >someones name and trying to denigrate them. Repeated again and
> again.
> > >Perhaps someday someone will read them and beleive them. But not
> > >anytime soon, and no one of average or higher intelligence.
> >
> > Absolutely. That's been the style of the Avenging Angle
> > of Dearth for years.
>
> No lies in that ovservation.

Not sure what an "ovservation" is, but I am even more perplexed by
this constant reference to a person called "Avenging Angle".

> > It's a common propaganda technique familiarly called
> > "the big Lie" wherein some untruth is repeated over
> > and over and over and over again in public in an
> > attempt to make others believe it is "true." It isn't.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Correct. And the "Big Lie" ("I am going to get my Extra Lite out
of the box", for one example, or "Unlicensed devices play a major role
in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H Anderson and
Brian P Burke.

Both are persistent liars. (I started to say "accomplished", but
so far neither one of them has been very good at it since they always
seem to get caught.)

> > The "big Lie" technique was used rather successfully
> > by the Nazi party in the 1930s and 1940s.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Godwin invoked.

Rest snipped. No use wasting bandwidth on one liar trying to
support the lies of another.

Putzii.

Steve, K4YZ

2549. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:28:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110526111.879241.146060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104065

bb wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > Brian Burke wrote on Wed, Mar 9 2005 3:29 pm:
> >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> bb wrote:
> > >> > He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.
> > >>
> > >> You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
> > >> allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links
to
> > >> pertinent threads.
> > >
> > >You "genrerate" lots and lots of comments. Lying comments. And
> start
> > >lots and lots of new threads. Lying threads. Usually shouting
> > >someones name and trying to denigrate them. Repeated again and
> again.
> > >Perhaps someday someone will read them and beleive them. But not
> > >anytime soon, and no one of average or higher intelligence.
> >
> > Absolutely. That's been the style of the Avenging Angle
> > of Dearth for years.
>
> No lies in that ovservation.

Not sure what an "ovservation" is, but I am even more perplexed by
this constant reference to a person called "Avenging Angle".

> > It's a common propaganda technique familiarly called
> > "the big Lie" wherein some untruth is repeated over
> > and over and over and over again in public in an
> > attempt to make others believe it is "true." It isn't.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Correct. And the "Big Lie" ("I am going to get my Extra Lite out
of the box", for one example, or "Unlicensed devices play a major role
in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H Anderson and
Brian P Burke.

Both are persistent liars. (I started to say "accomplished", but
so far neither one of them has been very good at it since they always
seem to get caught.)

> > The "big Lie" technique was used rather successfully
> > by the Nazi party in the 1930s and 1940s.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Godwin invoked.

Rest snipped. No use wasting bandwidth on one liar trying to
support the lies of another.

Putzii.

Steve, K4YZ

2550. Re: Why Is Brian Burke Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:30:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110526246.365618.317880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104066

bb wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > Brian Burke wrote on Wed, Mar 9 2005 3:29 pm:
> >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> bb wrote:
> > >> > He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.
> > >>
> > >> You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
> > >> allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links
to
> > >> pertinent threads.
> > >
> > >You "genrerate" lots and lots of comments. Lying comments. And
> start
> > >lots and lots of new threads. Lying threads. Usually shouting
> > >someones name and trying to denigrate them. Repeated again and
> again.
> > >Perhaps someday someone will read them and beleive them. But not
> > >anytime soon, and no one of average or higher intelligence.
> >
> > Absolutely. That's been the style of the Avenging Angle
> > of Dearth for years.
>
> No lies in that ovservation.

Not sure what an "ovservation" is, but I am even more perplexed by
this constant reference to a person called "Avenging Angle".

> > It's a common propaganda technique familiarly called
> > "the big Lie" wherein some untruth is repeated over
> > and over and over and over again in public in an
> > attempt to make others believe it is "true." It isn't.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Correct. And the "Big Lie" ("I am going to get my Extra Lite out
of the box", for one example, or "Unlicensed devices play a major role
in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H Anderson and
Brian P Burke.

Both are persistent liars. (I started to say "accomplished", but
so far neither one of them has been very good at it since they always
seem to get caught.)

> > The "big Lie" technique was used rather successfully
> > by the Nazi party in the 1930s and 1940s.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Godwin invoked.

Rest snipped. No use wasting bandwidth on one liar trying to
support the lies of another.

Putzii.

Steve, K4YZ

2551. Re: Why Is Brian Burke Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:31:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110526272.218587.21170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104067

bb wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > Brian Burke wrote on Wed, Mar 9 2005 3:29 pm:
> >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> bb wrote:
> > >> > He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.
> > >>
> > >> You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
> > >> allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links
to
> > >> pertinent threads.
> > >
> > >You "genrerate" lots and lots of comments. Lying comments. And
> start
> > >lots and lots of new threads. Lying threads. Usually shouting
> > >someones name and trying to denigrate them. Repeated again and
> again.
> > >Perhaps someday someone will read them and beleive them. But not
> > >anytime soon, and no one of average or higher intelligence.
> >
> > Absolutely. That's been the style of the Avenging Angle
> > of Dearth for years.
>
> No lies in that ovservation.

Not sure what an "ovservation" is, but I am even more perplexed by
this constant reference to a person called "Avenging Angle".

> > It's a common propaganda technique familiarly called
> > "the big Lie" wherein some untruth is repeated over
> > and over and over and over again in public in an
> > attempt to make others believe it is "true." It isn't.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Correct. And the "Big Lie" ("I am going to get my Extra Lite out
of the box", for one example, or "Unlicensed devices play a major role
in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H Anderson and
Brian P Burke.

Both are persistent liars. (I started to say "accomplished", but
so far neither one of them has been very good at it since they always
seem to get caught.)

> > The "big Lie" technique was used rather successfully
> > by the Nazi party in the 1930s and 1940s.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Godwin invoked.

Rest snipped. No use wasting bandwidth on one liar trying to
support the lies of another.

Putzii.

Steve, K4YZ

2552. Re: Now THIS is COOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:35:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110526511.067692.248490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104068

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM, on an emotional rollercoaster of transgender
> styling and thinking about his/her wardrobe, wrote on Wed,
> Mar 9 2005 5:27 pm:
>
> >>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBay....
>
> $102 for a WOMAN's long-sleeve T-shirt featuring
> "morse code" messages?!?!?
>
> ...truly, only a rabid MORSEAHOLIC would think
> that is "cool."

That coming from a guy who spams a USENET group for an avocation
he obviously despises, yet posts to for almost a decade.

What a putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2553. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:39:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110526791.080624.41130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104069

bb wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> > > I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed
> N0TO
> >
> > > was available.
> >
> > In this past weekend's ARRL phone DX contest HA8ØIARU showed up
and
> > generated all sorts of problems particularly with the logging
> programs.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Figures - it was on fone. If it had been a CW test, everything
> would've gone fine.

Who said that, Brain?

A non-standard format callsign will gunk up the works of logging
programs regardless.

Just another opportunity for PuppetBoy to troll the waters, I
guess!

Steve, K4YZ

2554. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 07:40:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110526844.095198.221160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104070

ohhh poor Seve, you have to get mad and go to name calling when you
can't prove or show what law backs you stupid statements. I really
don't think that will happen, because the crybabies on here that are
complaining don't have the BALLS to do anything, except complain on
here. The majority of those complaining are probably not really amateur
operators. I think your forgetting that the difference between a
broadcast and a information bulletin is a one is directed to the
amateur radio community (information buletins) and the other is
directed to the public (broadcast). Finally as long as my bulletin is
directed to the amateur community the FCC isn't going to do shit,
because they can not control the content of any station. It is a
bulletin and is legal so go cry to someone who cares.
Todd

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > No where in the FCC rules governing the amateur radio service does
it
> > state that an information buletin can not be opinoinated about
issues
> > dealing with amateur radio.
>
> Once you take "news" and add "opinion", it becomes editorial and
> is no longer within the realm of an "information bulletin".
>
> You're an idiot, Todd, and unfortunately for the rest of us it's
> illegal to shoot idiots just on principle. Otherwise this episode
> would be over already.
>
> But it's illegal even for idiots to break the law, and sooner or
> later the FCC will come knocking on your door.
>
> Good luck trying to pass your rationalizations off on them.
> They've logged a lot of time getting blockheads like you off the air,
> and on occassion, into jail...where you belong.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2555. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 08:01:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110526197.644406.191770@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104071

bb wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > Brian Burke wrote on Wed, Mar 9 2005 3:29 pm:
> >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> bb wrote:
> > >> > He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.
> > >>
> > >> You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
> > >> allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links
to
> > >> pertinent threads.
> > >
> > >You "genrerate" lots and lots of comments. Lying comments. And
> start
> > >lots and lots of new threads. Lying threads. Usually shouting
> > >someones name and trying to denigrate them. Repeated again and
> again.
> > >Perhaps someday someone will read them and beleive them. But not
> > >anytime soon, and no one of average or higher intelligence.
> >
> > Absolutely. That's been the style of the Avenging Angle
> > of Dearth for years.
>
> No lies in that ovservation.

Not sure what an "ovservation" is, but I am even more perplexed by
this constant reference to a person called "Avenging Angle".

> > It's a common propaganda technique familiarly called
> > "the big Lie" wherein some untruth is repeated over
> > and over and over and over again in public in an
> > attempt to make others believe it is "true." It isn't.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Correct. And the "Big Lie" ("I am going to get my Extra Lite out
of the box", for one example, or "Unlicensed devices play a major role
in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H Anderson and
Brian P Burke.

Both are persistent liars. (I started to say "accomplished", but
so far neither one of them has been very good at it since they always
seem to get caught.)

> > The "big Lie" technique was used rather successfully
> > by the Nazi party in the 1930s and 1940s.
>
> Yep. No lies in that observation.

Godwin invoked.

Rest snipped. No use wasting bandwidth on one liar trying to
support the lies of another.

Putzii.

Steve, K4YZ

2556. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 09:32:24 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <JoGdnaTTHMt5-6zfRVn-gQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104072

N9OGL wrote:

> well no offence but your full of SHIT! I suggest you talk to the
> Fucking FCC and they'll tell you same thing. I suggest you go talk to
> members of either the House Subcommittee or the Senate Subcommittee on
> Telecommunication and ask them, and they will tell you the same fucking
> thing. because I don't really think you know what the fucking law is.
> Like all amteurs you look only at the amateur rules unaware that there
> are other rules that apply to the amateur radio community. But go talk
> to FCC or the subcommittees and they will tell you the same thing.
> because frankly you don't know what the fuck your talking about.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Grow up toddyboy!

2557. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 09:47:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110534464.156288.206680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104073

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > > No where in the FCC rules governing the amateur radio service
does
> it
> > > state that an information buletin can not be opinoinated about
> issues
> > > dealing with amateur radio.
> >
> > Once you take "news" and add "opinion", it becomes editorial
and
> > is no longer within the realm of an "information bulletin".
> >
> > You're an idiot, Todd, and unfortunately for the rest of us
it's
> > illegal to shoot idiots just on principle. Otherwise this episode
> > would be over already.
> >
> > But it's illegal even for idiots to break the law, and sooner
or
> > later the FCC will come knocking on your door.
> >
> > Good luck trying to pass your rationalizations off on them.
> > They've logged a lot of time getting blockheads like you off the
air,
> > and on occassion, into jail...where you belong.
>
> ohhh poor Steve, you have to get mad and go to name calling when you
> can't prove or show what law backs you stupid statements.

YOU have already proven it. YOU cited the very paragraphs of Part
97 that will ulitmately be your undoing. That you don't care to admit
it is YOUR failing, goofball.

And TRUST me, Todd...I am not "mad"...Frustrated, maybe, that a
profane, narrowminded manchild such as yourself is allowed to act this
way in public, but hey, if you like self-humiliation, who am I to deny
you your jollies...?!?!

YOU just make it easy to make a fool out of you.

Thanks.

> I really
> don't think that will happen, because the crybabies on here that are
> complaining don't have the BALLS to do anything, except complain on
> here. The majority of those complaining are probably not really
amateur
> operators. I think your forgetting that the difference between a
> broadcast and a information bulletin is a one is directed to the
> amateur radio community (information buletins) and the other is
> directed to the public (broadcast).

You can stop repeating that paragraph over and over, Todd, because
YOU are the one who needs convincing...not me.

You're gonna jam yourself up with the feds. An Amatuer Radio
license is a PRIVILEGE, >>NOT<< a "right", and if you continue your
present course, you'll either lose yours or lose a lot of hard earned
money fighting the FCC or paying the NAL's when they arrive.

> Finally as long as my bulletin is
> directed to the amateur community the FCC isn't going to do shit,
> because they can not control the content of any station. It is a
> bulletin and is legal so go cry to someone who cares.

Sure they can control the content of your station. It's called a
"Cease and Desist" order.

Now...I am wondering just one thing...(SCROLL DOWN...)

And one thing only....(JUST A BIT FURTHER.....)

Just how stupid can N9OGL REALLY get and still be mistaken for
human...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2558. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 11:55:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110542110.387801.83890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104074

bb wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> > > I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and noticed
> N0TO
> >
> > > was available.
> >
> > In this past weekend's ARRL phone DX contest HA8ØIARU showed up
and
> > generated all sorts of problems particularly with the logging
> programs.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Figures - it was on fone. If it had been a CW test, everything
> would've gone fine.

IOW, CW "where never is heard a discouraging word, and the skies are
not cloudy all day."

Some people are humor impaired. Goes hand in hand will all their other
failings in life.

2559. Re: FCC Morse, restructuring proposals could hit the street by mid-year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 12:03:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110542598.680473.207150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104075

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 7 Mar 2005 06:10:27 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >> We;ve had several actions over the years where a licensed ham
was
> >> caught interfering with other stations by sending Morse over a
DTMF
> >> pad....usually the letters "F" and "U"......including one where
the
> >> interferer was trying to cover up a known jammer. We caught the
> >> first one first....
> >>
> >Fascinating, Phil!
> >
> >Was that on HF or VHF/UHF? The use of the DTMF pad makes it sound
like
> >a repeater thing.
>
> 2 meters. In fairness to the facts, it was about 20 years ago.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Must have been one of those real, real, real early no-coders.

2560. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 12:07:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110542845.558583.264530@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104076

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age
requirement
> for radio amateurs.

He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the out of band french radio
amateurs on six meters.

2561. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 12:11:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110543075.575544.320850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104077

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> You wanted FCC to deny amateur licenses to anyone under the age of
> 14, regardless of their ability to pass the required tests.
>
> Yet you have not produced one single bit of evidence that the
licensing
> of people under the age of 14 has produced *any* problems for the
> amateur radio service.
>
> Not one example of an under-14 ham violating any rules, getting an
NAL
> or enforcement letter, or even complaints from other hams.

Maybe it's completely arbitrary like some current licensing
requirements and would fit right in and not be noticed except by some
fringe group claiming "Unfairness."

So at what age did you get your first license?

2562. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 12:18:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110543483.703108.107190@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104078

bb wrote:

> So at what age did you get your first license?

Seventeen. And you?

Steve, K4YZ

2563. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 12:22:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110543721.107371.155880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104079

bb wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > > > I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and
noticed
> > N0TO
> > >
> > > > was available.
> > >
> > > > In this past weekend's ARRL phone DX contest HA8ØIARU showed up
> and
> > > > generated all sorts of problems particularly with the logging
> > programs.
> > >
> > > > w3rv
> >
> > Figures - it was on fone. If it had been a CW test, everything
> > would've gone fine.
>
> IOW, CW "where never is heard a discouraging word, and the skies are
> not cloudy all day."
>
> Some people are humor impaired. Goes hand in hand will all their
other
> failings in life.

It's not about being "humor impaired".

It's about not being able to tell with you since you seem to
interchange reality and non-reality so frequently and without exception
to the on-going conversation.

Not to mention only you and Lennie are laughing at it.

Steve, K4YZ

2564. Mobile Radio Installation for Mazda Tribute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 12:22:31 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <b4gYd.9336$YD4.5310@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 104080

Anyone have a mobile radion installation in a Mazda vehicle? Mine is the SUV-style and I am just looking for ideas on antennas that have worked, comments on any noise problems, placement of radio, etc.

Kim W5TIT

2565. Re: Mobile Radio Installation for Mazda Tribute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 12:56:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BN-dnYc8dNwVCKzfRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104081

Kim wrote:
> Anyone have a mobile radion installation in a Mazda vehicle? Mine is the
> SUV-style and I am just looking for ideas on antennas that have worked,
> comments on any noise problems, placement of radio, etc.

Radio placement in new vehicles can be a problem. If this is a VHF radio, I have an idea based on what I did in my Suzuki Vitara.

I used one of my coffee cup holders on the center console. I made a plaster of paris insert that fit in the hole, had a copper pipe set in the middle of it, and attached the radio to the copper pipe. I put plastic wrap around the inside of the hole. to keep it from sticking, and when the plaster cured, I took an old black sock, plopped the plaster piece in it, cut the sock off at the top of the piece, tucked the edges in and tied it off with a tie wrap. Sounds strange, but it actually looks pretty good, and the radio can come out and be put back in in seconds.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2566. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 13:07:13 UTC
From: Sharok Feldstrom <sfeldstrom@huerstokltdz.org>
Message-ID: <d0s52laqj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104082

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.id5zktg.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On 10 Mar 2005 12:24:42 -0800, K4YZ quoted:
>
>> A few minutes later,
>> in what seemed an odd remark to make, Senator Clinton stated were
>> it her decision, the FCC should have been dismantled and shut down
>> years ago.
>
> Her husband tried, and almost succeeded, by putting that bozo Reed
> Hundt in as chairman. Need I spout off again about the "early field
> retirements" of 1995 and the big bloodletting of 1996?
>
> Of course, she was referring to "the other" FCC, the Michael Powell
> show. The one that she and all the politicians see and deal with is
> not the one that we hams see and deal with. She wouldn't know "ours"
> if it came up and zapped her in her .....
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

I had occasion to meet and chat with Reed Hundt a few times. There
is no doubt in my mind the man did not know his proverbial ass
from a hole in the ground when it came to functioning as head of the
FCC. Incompetent comes to mind, but that is far too polite to describe
the man. However, he was well-connected, which once again proves
it is not what you know but WHO you know (the Clintons).

73,

Sharok

2567. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 13:07:13 UTC
From: Sharok Feldstrom <sfeldstrom@huerstokltdz.org>
Message-ID: <d0s524aok0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104083

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.id5zktg.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On 10 Mar 2005 12:24:42 -0800, K4YZ quoted:
>
>> A few minutes later,
>> in what seemed an odd remark to make, Senator Clinton stated were
>> it her decision, the FCC should have been dismantled and shut down
>> years ago.
>
> Her husband tried, and almost succeeded, by putting that bozo Reed
> Hundt in as chairman. Need I spout off again about the "early field
> retirements" of 1995 and the big bloodletting of 1996?
>
> Of course, she was referring to "the other" FCC, the Michael Powell
> show. The one that she and all the politicians see and deal with is
> not the one that we hams see and deal with. She wouldn't know "ours"
> if it came up and zapped her in her .....
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

I had occasion to meet and chat with Reed Hundt a few times. There
is no doubt in my mind the man did not know his proverbial ass
from a hole in the ground when it came to functioning as head of the
FCC. Incompetent comes to mind, but that is far too polite to describe
the man. However, he was well-connected, which once again proves
it is not what you know but WHO you know (the Clintons).

73,

Sharok

2568. rec.radio.amateur.policy is at www.ugroups.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 14:13:53 UTC
From: F.Y.I.
Message-ID: <5s9331t22n0ktlqc0s02fibm844mlmnhgg@4ax.com>
Source record: 104084

This is a monthly reminder that you can easily access
rec.radio.amateur.policy via the web at
http://www.ugroups.com/Hobbies/viewforum.php?f=4 without a newsreader.
This message from www.ugroups.com is intended for those who might be interested in free web based access to the newsgroups. Please add to your kill file to avoid future inconvenience.

2569. Radio Ham Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 16:16:15 UTC
From: Anon <Incognito@crumph.com>
Message-ID: <jvjYd.52669$xt.8087@fed1read07>
Source record: 104085

Perhaps this explains why so many NG and chat room users employ an alias

Anon by necessity

">Someone wrote
> "Radio ham arrested over harassment on Internet
>
> GAZETTE & HERALD: RADIO ham [named in the link below] has been
> arrested in connection with the harassment of an Internet chat room
> user. "
>
> http://tinyurl.com/4catk
>

2570. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 16:18:17 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110557897.484115.146560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104086

No matter how many people try to explain the facts of life to this son of a bitch, he does not understand. He is truly psycho.

2571. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 16:54:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110560051.411718.99610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104087

No, it's little cry babies on a newsgroup that don't understand the Law

Todd N9OGL
The N9OGL SHOW
20 meters
Saturday's 4.00 to 5.00 pm CST

Bathrooman wrote:
> No matter how many people try to explain the facts of life to this
son
> of a bitch, he does not understand. He is truly psycho.

2572. Re: Mobile Radio Installation for Mazda Tribute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 17:10:55 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <zikYd.55103$Ze3.41493@attbi_s51>
Source record: 104088

I had an aluminum custom rear mounting plate made that attaches under the bumper to the chassis - using existing mounting holes. But it's a 626 auto, not an SUV. I like mobile CW. It was lots of fun, commuting to downtown Cinci through all the lights, with a 5-speed manual transmission and sending CW wit the Bull Dog paddle in the cup holder. Still safer than a cell phone - my head was never leaning over to hear the telephone. HI Now I use the MFJ "screwdriver" with the 12 foot extension up - when I'm waiting for the XYL to do her shopping. (The MFJ "screwdriver" is a manually adjusted base loaded coil, but fairly easily set to any band with the coil and pull-up sectioned [zipup] vertical element.) Let me know if you find a good solution for the Mazda alternator noise. That was always a problem in commuting days when I used a 4-band Comet HF antenna on the road.
AK

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:b4gYd.9336$YD4.5310@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> Anyone have a mobile radion installation in a Mazda vehicle? Mine is the
> SUV-style and I am just looking for ideas on antennas that have worked,
> comments on any noise problems, placement of radio, etc.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

2573. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 17:49:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4231DA4A.5372492E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104089

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil who, blabbering away on an obvious Troll topic,
> scribbled on Thurs, Mar 10 2005 12:14 am:
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >> You forget his comments to FCC about things like an age
> requirement....
> >
> >He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age requirement
> >for radio amateurs.
>
> Tsk. So hard up for Personal Attack subjects that
> you pick something from my Comment to the FCC made
> SIX YEARS AGO? Wasn't done in here first...
>
> Okay, I'll wait until you stop cheering for all the
> "mature, responsible" six-year-olds featured on the
> ARRL news as "world's youngest hams?" Riiiiight...
> mature and responsible ALL BY THEMSELVES! :-)
>
> How about the 9-year-old "extra?" A "mature,
> responsible, law-abiding" pre-teener? :-)
>
> Riiiiight...ALL of them wouldn't think of operating
> without parental supervision, would they? Uh-huh.
>
> Tsk. I've never pursued the matter with the FCC
> since 1999 but it seems some in here just can't let
> it go. They MUST bring it up again, time and time
> again as if this is the most hideous of gaffes,
> practically a felonious act against the noble, law-
> fearing amateurs who never, ever do anything wrong.
>
> >> The problem is, you don't understand the game Len's playing. Here's
> >> one explanation of it (WARNING! Some explicit language!):
> >>
> >> http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-bloggers/1358838/posts
> >
> >The "Never Defend Your Own Points" portion is classic Len Anderson.
> >He won't answer a question in a straightforward manner.
>

> Tsk. To answer a repeated question by big badass dave,
> no, I don't beat (physically) my wife. :-)

I've never asked you any such question, little wizened Leonard.

> You want "straightforward manner answers?" Okay, here:
>
> Yes, No, Yes, maybe, No, No, Yes, perhaps.
>
> Now all you have to do is connect those answers with
> your LOADED questions you post in here. :-)

Loaded questions? The two questions I posed to you some days ago weren't loaded at all. They were in direct response to an accusation you made.

> Okay, big badass dave, here's a question for YOU:
>
> Where SPECIFICALLY and when SPECIFICALLY did you
> see "combat" in Vietnam sufficient to make you this
> big badass "combat veteran" you claim for yourself?

What does that have to do with anything here on r.r.a.p? You brought up my Vietnam service some posts ago as a part of personal attack. It wasn't relative then and isn't now. At no time did I claim to be a "big badass" anything. Your latest tactic is a very good illustration of what I wrote about you--that the "Never Defend Your Own Points" portion of the material on the link supplied by Jim is classic Len Anderson. What brought up the issue of answering a question with a straight answer was your recent comment below:

"Both Heil and Robeson MUST
triumph in the word-fights, will never ever admit to any
wrong-doing and always try to turn around things so the
other party is at fault."

I responded to your statement with relevant questions:

"Let me ask you a couple of plain question to see if I can get some straight answer from you, Len. When is the last time that you ever admitted to any wrongdoing in here? When is the last time you were involved in a scrap here in which you didn't try to turn things around so that the other party appeared to be at fault?"

You've still not provided straight answers to the questions. Perhaps when and if you do, we can discuss my Vietnam service.

Dave K8MN

2574. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 18:07:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4231DE85.3D04B075@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104090

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Big badass dave does the same sort of thing...with
> (at least) some slight increase in writing style.

"My "expression" in here without the false facade of gratuitous
complements to the "superiority" of the olde-tymers."

--Leonard Anderson

I love your PROFESSIONAL writing style, little wizened Leonard.

> On the other hand, he is incapable of Letting Go on
> a subject...

Perhaps he simply doesn't choose to.

> ...he didn't "win" in here.

There's a competition? Do you have big winnings? Maybe you can win a
case of those message knuckles of which you've written.

> He apparently
> feels he MUST "win" and, when that doesn't happen,
> resorts to the Personal Attack and manufacturing of
> "reasons of others" which don't exist.

"Big badass Dave"

"not wearing the SS uniform with twin lightning bolts but otherwise
acting like a downsized Gestapo veteran"

"The Avenging Angle"

"concerning the Avenging Angle's further adventures in 'waste of time'"

"Jimmy Who and cronies"

"Dee Flint had a hissy fit on Thurs, Mar 3 2005 11:59 pm and hastily
scribbled the following diatribe"

"'Dee Flint' (calmed down from a previous hissy fit) jumped into a
so-called conversation and shouted out"

"Go join Mama Dee who wants to 'punish' her 'children' that don't do
what they're told!"

"Mr. Glock likes it...it's nice and shiny"

"My representative Mr. Glock will address them in that case. [he is very accurate]"

"If the Coslonaut thinks Smith Charts are obsolete"

"Idiot. I 'looked it up' a long time ago."

"when some insufferable, self-righteous 'mama' wants to 'discipline children as parents do'"

"Tsk. I'll bet Kellie told that to the Captain at dinner. Too bad Tenille wasn't there"

"Poor Dan never got his crypto clearance"

"Widdle Stebie gonna have me BANNED from here"

--Leonard Anderson

Good old personal attack. It must sting to have your own words tossed back in your face, huh?

> His ego is
> larger than the monstrous one of Robeson's, capped
> with arrogance worthy of a stereotypical prussian.

I'd paste one of your multiple paragraph tales of your military experience or of your civilian electronics exploits, Leonard but I think we've all got them memorized.

Dave K8MN

2575. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 18:10:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4231DF39.5C98B0C0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104091

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > > > Then it dawns on me: How much worse could it get?
>
> > > You forget his comments to FCC about things like an age
> requirement....
>
> > He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age
> requirement
> > for radio amateurs.
>
> I think he wishes everyone would forget them....

When he's reminded of what he has written to the FCC and here, Len takes
it as a personal attack.

> > > The problem is, you don't understand the game Len's playing. Here's
> > > one explanation of it (WARNING! Some explicit language!):
> > >
> > > http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-bloggers/1358838/posts
> >
> > The "Never Defend Your Own Points" portion is classic Len Anderson.
>
> Aw heck, Dave, give credit where it's due! All of those behaviors are
> classic Len Anderson. He manages to do at least 3 of them in almost
> every post, and it's not uncommon to see all 4 in a single post of his.
> Sometimes he manages to do all 4 in a single paragraph.

Today brought at least two examples.

> > He won't answer a question in a straightforward manner.
>
> Most of the time he won't answer questions at all. Yet he'll demand
> answers to *his* questions.

Right. The relevant questions I recently posed to him were ignored.
Now he'd like specifics on my Vietnam service, the service which *he
brought up*.

Dave K8MN

2576. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 18:16:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4231E0A1.226CA9FC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104092

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Dave Heil who, blabbering away on an obvious Troll topic,
> > scribbled on Thurs, Mar 10 2005 12:14 am:
> >
> > >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > >> You forget his comments to FCC about things like an age
> > > requirement....
> > > >
> > > >He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age
> > requirement
> > > >for radio amateurs.
> > >
> > > Tsk. So hard up for Personal Attack subjects that
> > > you pick something from my Comment to the FCC made
> > > SIX YEARS AGO? Wasn't done in here first...
>
> Why is it a "Personal Attack" to disagree with your comments, Len?

It is also a personal attack when you quote something Len has written.

> You wanted FCC to deny amateur licenses to anyone under the age of
> 14, regardless of their ability to pass the required tests.

> Yet you have not produced one single bit of evidence that the licensing
> of people under the age of 14 has produced *any* problems for the
> amateur radio service.
>
> Not one example of an under-14 ham violating any rules, getting an NAL
> or enforcement letter, or even complaints from other hams.
> >
> > Okay, I'll wait until you stop cheering for all the
> > "mature, responsible" six-year-olds featured on the
> > ARRL news as "world's youngest hams?" Riiiiight...
> > mature and responsible ALL BY THEMSELVES! :-)
>
> You would forbid people more than twice as old from getting an amateur
> license, Len. Without any evidence.

Len's posts on the subject indicate that he has problems with children
having done something which he himself has not attained.

> > How about the 9-year-old "extra?" A "mature,
> > responsible, law-abiding" pre-teener? :-)
>
> Yes.
> >
> > Riiiiight...ALL of them wouldn't think of operating
> > without parental supervision, would they? Uh-huh.
>
> Why should they need parental supervision to operate? FCC,
> the expert agency on US civil radio regulation, thinks they're
> qualified.

My parents didn't supervise me or need to supervise me when I operated
as a fourteen-year-old Novice. Did your parents ever supervise you,
Jim?

> Back in 1948, a 9-year-old local girl passed the Class B exam. In front
> of the FCC examiner.
>
> This was back when the license test required diagram drawing and had
> essay questions. 13 wpm code, sending and receiving, too. No Novice
> class back then, either, it was Class B from a standing start.
>
> Now of course her dad was a ham and she had lots of help. But if the
> FCC then and now thought young people were qualified to be hams, and
> you have no evidence of problems caused by their youth, why should
> there be an age limit?

You're writing of the late Jesse Bieberman's daughter?

> > Tsk. I've never pursued the matter with the FCC
> > since 1999 but it seems some in here just can't let
> > it go.
>
> Why should they? You have not admitted that age requirements for a ham
> license are a bad idea.

He issued the comments to the Commission. He never followed it up with
a retraction if he changed his mind. All indications (even those in
this recent post) are that Len stills believes that licensing children
is a bad thing.

> > They MUST bring it up again, time and time
> > again as if this is the most hideous of gaffes,
> > practically a felonious act against the noble, law-
> > fearing amateurs who never, ever do anything wrong.

I don't see it as the most hideous of gaffes, just one in a long string
of gaffes.

> You would deny licenses to people based solely on age, without
> *any* evidence of age-related problems.

> That's just plain wrong.

But we'll never see agreement on that from Leonard.

Dave K8MN

2577. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 18:17:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4231E0CD.14456C5D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104093

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age
> requirement
> > for radio amateurs.
>
> He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the out of band french radio
> amateurs on six meters.

Len worked guys on 6m? When did that happen?

Dave K8MN

2578. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 20:24:38 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110572678.171723.198020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104094

Do something useful. Go back to cleaning the restrooms at WAL FART.

2579. Re: Radio Ham Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 20:29:05 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <s7mdnStUUJANYqzfRVn-2w@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104095

Anon wrote:

> Perhaps this explains why so many NG and chat room users employ an alias
>
> Anon by necessity
>
>
> ">Someone wrote
>
>>"Radio ham arrested over harassment on Internet
>>
>>GAZETTE & HERALD: RADIO ham [named in the link below] has been
>>arrested in connection with the harassment of an Internet chat room
>>user. "
>>
>>http://tinyurl.com/4catk
>>

This was in AUSTRALIA!
Mel K8MKF

2580. Re: Mobile Radio Installation for Mazda Tribute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 21:47:42 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <m_idnTgRdeW2jK_fRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 104096

.Sounds strange, but it
> actually looks pretty good, and the radio can come out and be put back
> in in seconds.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Hello Mike ..... sounds pretty nifty ...I just got a Matrix 2 wks ago and
this may prove to be the way to go ..... a
Coslofixture TM

73 Tom Popovic KI3R Belle Vernon Pa

ps Kim .....I always have had good service with Madzas over the years .....
good luck with the Tribute. .. 73 Tom

2581. Re: Radio Ham Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 22:09:42 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1110578982.255031.272560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104097

Anon wrote:

> Anon by necessity

More like "chickenshit coward by choice"

2582. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 22:13:37 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1110579217.914578.246580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104098

That would make an excellent contesting call. Lot's of "average power"
with no dits to get lost in the noise.

2583. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 22:59:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110581985.204156.70220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104099

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > So at what age did you get your first license?
>
> Seventeen. And you?

Well then, Jim, that arbitrary rule wouldn't affect you.

2584. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 23:02:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110582163.872415.84420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104100

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age
> > requirement
> > > for radio amateurs.
> >
> > He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the out of band french
radio
> > amateurs on six meters.
>
> Len worked guys on 6m? When did that happen?
>
> Dave K8MN

>From downtown Dar es Salam.

2585. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 23:13:00 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110582779.979932.131990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104101

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > I was looking therough the vanity callsign database, and
> noticed
> > > N0TO
> > > >
> > > > > was available.
> > > >
> > > > In this past weekend's ARRL phone DX contest HA8ØIARU showed
up
> > and
> > > > > generated all sorts of problems particularly with the logging
> > > programs.
> > > >
> > > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > Figures - it was on fone. If it had been a CW test, everything
> > > would've gone fine.
> >
> > IOW, CW "where never is heard a discouraging word, and the skies
are
> > not cloudy all day."
> >
> > Some people are humor impaired. Goes hand in hand will all their
> other
> > failings in life.
>
> It's not about being "humor impaired".

I guess I should have spelled it "humour?"

> It's about not being able to tell with you

Then you have a much more serious problem than originally suspected.

since you seem to
> interchange reality and non-reality so frequently and without
exception
> to the on-going conversation.

I'm the one who dives in and out of reality?

Whooo-hooo, Cannonball! Hi!

> Not to mention only you and Lennie are laughing at it.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Maybe it's because we're the only ones that understand the Morse Myths that Aaron Jones used to keep a list of. Everyone else may have been rightiously offended.

Anyway, we're not just laughing at good humor, but we're laughing at you too.

2586. Re: Now THIS is COOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 23:18:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110583095.025435.249770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104102

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM, on an emotional rollercoaster of transgender
> styling and thinking about his/her wardrobe, wrote on Wed,
> Mar 9 2005 5:27 pm:
>
> >>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBay....
>
> $102 for a WOMAN's long-sleeve T-shirt featuring
> "morse code" messages?!?!?
>
> ...truly, only a rabid MORSEAHOLIC would think
> that is "cool." :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Wonder if they've got antabuse for morse code? Might be the next hot thing at Dayton.

2587. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-11 23:33:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <AI2dnYL1a-hMt6_fRVn-oA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104103

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
>
>>So at what age did you get your first license?
>
>
> Seventeen. And you?

I got mine at 46. Who's giving out the prizes?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2588. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 00:47:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110588437.001617.273750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104104

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: Dave Heil who, blabbering away on an obvious Troll
> > > topic, scribbled on Thurs, Mar 10 2005 12:14 am:
> > > >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >> Dave Heil wrote:

> > > >> You forget his comments to FCC about things like an age
> > > >>requirement....

> > > >He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age
> > > >requirement for radio amateurs.

> > > Tsk. So hard up for Personal Attack subjects that
> > > you pick something from my Comment to the FCC made
> > > SIX YEARS AGO? Wasn't done in here first...
> > >
> > Why is it a "Personal Attack" to disagree with your comments, > >
Len?

> It is also a personal attack when you quote something Len has
> written.

It seems that anyhting other than complete agreement is
considered a "Personal Attack" by Len.
>
> > You wanted FCC to deny amateur licenses to anyone
> > under the age of
> > 14, regardless of their ability to pass the required tests.

> > Yet you have not produced one single bit of evidence
> > that the licensing
> > of people under the age of 14 has produced *any*
> > problems for the amateur radio service.
> >
> > Not one example of an under-14 ham violating any rules,
> > getting an NAL
> > or enforcement letter, or even complaints from other hams.
> > >
> > > Okay, I'll wait until you stop cheering for all the
> > > "mature, responsible" six-year-olds featured on the
> > > ARRL news as "world's youngest hams?" Riiiiight...
> > > mature and responsible ALL BY THEMSELVES! :-)
> > >
> > You would forbid people more than twice as old from

> > getting an amateur
> > license, Len. Without any evidence.
>
> Len's posts on the subject indicate that he has problems
> with children
> having done something which he himself has not attained.

Len has admitted he has a problem including children in
what he considers an "adult" activity.

> > > How about the 9-year-old "extra?" A "mature,
> > > responsible, law-abiding" pre-teener? :-)
> >
> > Yes.
> > >
> > > Riiiiight...ALL of them wouldn't think of operating
> > > without parental supervision, would they? Uh-huh.
> >
> > Why should they need parental supervision to operate? FCC,
> > the expert agency on US civil radio regulation, thinks they're
> > qualified.
>
> My parents didn't supervise me or need to supervise me when I
> operated
> as a fourteen-year-old Novice. Did your parents ever
> supervise you, Jim?

Not in my amateur radio operations. I was licensed at age 13, and was
the first amateur radio operator in my family.

But I was "in radio" a lot earlier, building receivers and such.
In fact, the way I learned Morse Code was to build a simple
receiver and code-practice oscillator from scavenged parts, and
listen to hams use it on the air. Also sending with the oscillator and
key. My Elmers were books.
>
> > Back in 1948, a 9-year-old local girl passed the Class B
> > exam. In front of the FCC examiner.

Still licensed and active, too.
> >
> > This was back when the license test required diagram
> > drawing and had
> > essay questions. 13 wpm code, sending and receiving, too.
> > No Novice
> > class back then, either, it was Class B from a standing start.
> >
> > Now of course her dad was a ham and she had lots of help.
> > But if the
> > FCC then and now thought young people were qualified to
> > be hams, and
> > you have no evidence of problems caused by their youth,

> > why should
> > there be an age limit?
>
> You're writing of the late Jesse Bieberman's daughter?

Yes. W3KT is a legend in these parts. I met him a few times,
and the reputation is deserved.
>
> > > Tsk. I've never pursued the matter with the FCC
> > > since 1999 but it seems some in here just can't let
> > > it go.
> >
> > Why should they? You have not admitted that age
> > requirements for a ham
> > license are a bad idea.
>
> He issued the comments to the Commission.
> He never followed it up with
> a retraction if he changed his mind.
> All indications (even those in
> this recent post) are that Len stills believes
> that licensing children
> is a bad thing.

Agreed. Perhaps we should quote that post....

> > > They MUST bring it up again, time and time
> > > again as if this is the most hideous of gaffes,
> > > practically a felonious act against the noble, law-
> > > fearing amateurs who never, ever do anything wrong.
>
> I don't see it as the most hideous of gaffes, just one in a
> long string of gaffes.

Just a bad idea.

Let us also not forget that Len accused the VEs and ARRL of "very mild
fraud" in connection with some preschoolers being licensed. He appears
to claim that no child that young could possibly pass the tests. Yet he
does not know any of the people involved.

> > You would deny licenses to people based solely on age, without
> > *any* evidence of age-related problems.
>
> > That's just plain wrong.
>
> But we'll never see agreement on that from Leonard.
>
Here's a couple of plain, non-loaded questions for Len:

What problems has the licensing of people under the age
of 14 caused the amateur radio service?

What enforcement actions has the FCC pursued against radio amateurs under the age of 14?

What should FCC do about the current licenses of under-14 amateurs?

I doubt we'll get any straight answers, though.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2589. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 02:06:11 UTC
From: Julio DeJesus <salvi145@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1110593171.037140.139890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104105

Bathrooman wrote:
> Do something useful. Go back to cleaning the restrooms at WAL FART.

That's right BATHROOMAN!! Toddy should go back to his old job cleaning bathrooms at WAL-FART. Yes, I understand that Toddyboy got fired from his stockboy position at WAL-FART. I heard it was because he was acting like a retard and a fag. I also heard he got caught whackin off in the restroom. Now he want's a lawyer to represent him in a wrongful termination suit. Jesus H. Christ almighty, this puppy is a real cracker.

2590. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 02:06:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110593183.196948.145650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104106

Mike Coslo wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> >
> >>So at what age did you get your first license?
> >
> >
> > Seventeen. And you?
>
> I got mine at 46. Who's giving out the prizes?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, you're not affected either. So you need not be concerned.

2591. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 02:11:19 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110593479.939275.41840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104107

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > Brian Burke wrote on Wed, Mar 9 2005 3:29 pm:
> > >
> > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > >> bb wrote:
> > > >> > He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.
> > > >>
> > > >> You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
> > > >> allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links
> to
> > > >> pertinent threads.
> > > >
> > > >You "genrerate" lots and lots of comments. Lying comments. And
> > start
> > > >lots and lots of new threads. Lying threads. Usually shouting
> > > >someones name and trying to denigrate them. Repeated again and
> > again.
> > > >Perhaps someday someone will read them and beleive them. But
not
> > > >anytime soon, and no one of average or higher intelligence.
> > >
> > > Absolutely. That's been the style of the Avenging Angle
> > > of Dearth for years.
> >
> > No lies in that ovservation.
>
> Not sure what an "ovservation" is, but I am even more perplexed
by
> this constant reference to a person called "Avenging Angle".
>
> > > It's a common propaganda technique familiarly called
> > > "the big Lie" wherein some untruth is repeated over
> > > and over and over and over again in public in an
> > > attempt to make others believe it is "true." It isn't.
> >
> > Yep. No lies in that observation.
>
> Correct. And the "Big Lie" ("I am going to get my Extra Lite
out
> of the box", for one example, or "Unlicensed devices play a major
role
> in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H Anderson

and
> Brian P Burke.
>
> Both are persistent liars. (I started to say "accomplished",
but
> so far neither one of them has been very good at it since they always
> seem to get caught.)
>
> > > The "big Lie" technique was used rather successfully
> > > by the Nazi party in the 1930s and 1940s.
> >
> > Yep. No lies in that observation.
>
> Godwin invoked.
>
> Rest snipped. No use wasting bandwidth on one liar trying to
> support the lies of another.
>
> Putzii.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I guess the Nazi party has told no lies, according to Robeson's
invocation.

Robeson's lies are stacking up like cordwood. Too bad all those lies
aren't pulpable.

2592. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 02:17:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110593856.417171.79220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104108

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Len's posts on the subject indicate that he has problems
> > with children
> > having done something which he himself has not attained.
>
> Len has admitted he has a problem including children in
> what he considers an "adult" activity.

From:

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/913159af9e8f2526?dmode=source

Quoting Len Anderson's statements about himself:

"I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters" in what is a
primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational activity. The
dialectical difference negation part is pure nonsense and far-liberal
pipedreaming."

Kinda says it all...

2593. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 02:23:44 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110594224.952273.219370@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104109

Toddy VOLUNTEERED to clean the restrooms at Wal Fart, just so he could hand around in there and jerk off, plus, it's where all the dicks hang out.

2594. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 02:31:22 UTC
From: Julio DeJesus <salvi145@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1110594682.837989.280150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104110

Yes, that is very true Bathrooman. I also heard that he hangs out there with a 2-meter HT and practices his broadcasting on local repeaters while he yank his pud in the WAL FART bathroom.

2595. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 04:15:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42326CF4.8CC50459@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104111

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age
> > > requirement
> > > > for radio amateurs.
> > >
> > > He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the out of band french
> radio
> > > amateurs on six meters.
> >
> > Len worked guys on 6m? When did that happen?

> >From downtown Dar es Salam.

When was Len in Dar es "Salam"?

Dave K8MN

2596. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 04:20:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42326E41.474E8800@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104112

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > Len's posts on the subject indicate that he has problems
> > > with children
> > > having done something which he himself has not attained.
> >
> > Len has admitted he has a problem including children in
> > what he considers an "adult" activity.
>
> From:
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/913159af9e8f2526?dmode=source
>
> Quoting Len Anderson's statements about himself:
>
> "I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters" in what is a
> primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational activity. The
> dialectical difference negation part is pure nonsense and far-liberal
> pipedreaming."
>
> Kinda says it all...

I see a problem in Len's statement. Why should he have trouble
"integrating" youngsters in an activity in which he is not involved?

Dave K8MN

2597. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 04:31:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423270C0.86AE2CA2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104113

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: Dave Heil who, blabbering away on an obvious Troll
> > > > topic, scribbled on Thurs, Mar 10 2005 12:14 am:
> > > > >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > >> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > > >> You forget his comments to FCC about things like an age
> > > > >>requirement....
>
> > > > >He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age
> > > > >requirement for radio amateurs.
>
> > > > Tsk. So hard up for Personal Attack subjects that
> > > > you pick something from my Comment to the FCC made
> > > > SIX YEARS AGO? Wasn't done in here first...
> > >
> > > Why is it a "Personal Attack" to disagree with your comments, > >
> Len?
>
> > It is also a personal attack when you quote something Len has
> > written.
>
> It seems that anyhting other than complete agreement is
> considered a "Personal Attack" by Len.

...and personal attacks by Len are just his way of debating the issues,
heh heh.

> > > > Okay, I'll wait until you stop cheering for all the
> > > > "mature, responsible" six-year-olds featured on the
> > > > ARRL news as "world's youngest hams?" Riiiiight...
> > > > mature and responsible ALL BY THEMSELVES! :-)
> > >
> > > You would forbid people more than twice as old from
> > > getting an amateur
> > > license, Len. Without any evidence.
> >
> > Len's posts on the subject indicate that he has problems
> > with children
> > having done something which he himself has not attained.
>

> Len has admitted he has a problem including children in
> what he considers an "adult" activity.

Good thing for guys like us that no such rule has ever been seen as
necessary by the FCC. Finland once had a rule where no one under 15
could obtain a license. They've since done away with it.

> > > > How about the 9-year-old "extra?" A "mature,
> > > > responsible, law-abiding" pre-teener? :-)
> > >
> > > Yes.
> > > >
> > > > Riiiiight...ALL of them wouldn't think of operating
> > > > without parental supervision, would they? Uh-huh.
> > >
> > > Why should they need parental supervision to operate? FCC,
> > > the expert agency on US civil radio regulation, thinks they're
> > > qualified.
> >
> > My parents didn't supervise me or need to supervise me when I
> > operated
> > as a fourteen-year-old Novice. Did your parents ever
> > supervise you, Jim?
>
> Not in my amateur radio operations. I was licensed at age 13, and was
> the first amateur radio operator in my family.

> But I was "in radio" a lot earlier, building receivers and such.
> In fact, the way I learned Morse Code was to build a simple
> receiver and code-practice oscillator from scavenged parts, and
> listen to hams use it on the air. Also sending with the oscillator and
> key. My Elmers were books.

And that worked for you. I learned the code in the Boy Scouts and
simply brushed up over several weeks. I was lucky enough to have
mentors in two different towns. I moved before W8MN could administer
the exam. W8MN arranged an introduction to two local Novices and the
fellow who ran the local TV sales and service shop in my new town. Buzz
Collins K8CFT then administered my Novice exam.

> > > Back in 1948, a 9-year-old local girl passed the Class B
> > > exam. In front of the FCC examiner.
>
> Still licensed and active, too.

> > You're writing of the late Jesse Bieberman's daughter?
>
> Yes. W3KT is a legend in these parts. I met him a few times,
> and the reputation is deserved.

I knew W3KT and thought he was a super gent.

> > > > Tsk. I've never pursued the matter with the FCC
> > > > since 1999 but it seems some in here just can't let
> > > > it go.
> > >
> > > Why should they? You have not admitted that age
> > > requirements for a ham
> > > license are a bad idea.
> >
> > He issued the comments to the Commission.
> > He never followed it up with
> > a retraction if he changed his mind.
> > All indications (even those in
> > this recent post) are that Len stills believes
> > that licensing children
> > is a bad thing.
>
> Agreed. Perhaps we should quote that post....

...and I note that you have done so. What about his comments to the FCC regarding a minimum age for amateur radio licensing? Can you come up with them?

> > > > They MUST bring it up again, time and time
> > > > again as if this is the most hideous of gaffes,
> > > > practically a felonious act against the noble, law-
> > > > fearing amateurs who never, ever do anything wrong.
> > >
> > I don't see it as the most hideous of gaffes, just one in a
> > long string of gaffes.
>
> Just a bad idea.
>
> Let us also not forget that Len accused the VEs and ARRL of "very mild
> fraud" in connection with some preschoolers being licensed. He appears
> to claim that no child that young could possibly pass the tests. Yet he
> does not know any of the people involved.

That isn't news though. Len has made a number of accusations about the ARRL being dishonest. He never back any of them up with a single fact.

Dave K8MN

2598. Re: Radio Ham Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 08:26:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110616010.686908.43820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104114

whoever wrote:
> Anon wrote:
>
> > Perhaps this explains why so many NG and chat room users employ an
alias
> >
> > Anon by necessity
> >
> >
> > ">Someone wrote
> >
> >>"Radio ham arrested over harassment on Internet
> >>
> >>GAZETTE & HERALD: RADIO ham [named in the link below] has been
> >>arrested in connection with the harassment of an Internet chat room
> >>user. "
> >>
> >>http://tinyurl.com/4catk
> >>
>
>
> This was in AUSTRALIA!

No, it wasn't. It was in England.

And people ususally don't just "harrass" someone without some
provocation. I doubt the alleged assailant was completely at fault.

Steve, K4YZ

2599. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 09:46:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110620788.485716.323730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104115

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Rest snipped. No use wasting bandwidth on one liar trying to
> > support the lies of another.
> >
> > Putzii.
>
> I guess the Nazi party has told no lies, according to Robeson's
> invocation.

Godwin invoked again.

> Robeson's lies are stacking up like cordwood. Too bad all those lies
> aren't pulpable.

Brian P Burke has yet to produce anything from me that is not
truthful.

And I think yoiu meant "palpable", Brian...But then we ARE used to
you trying to use nursing terminology only to get it wrong.

What IS "palpable" is the sense of how frustrated you are getting
by being constantly backed into the corner.

The funny part is that you are the one backing you into it!

Face it, Brian...you're an idiot. We have.

Steve, K4YZ

2600. Re: Now THIS is COOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 09:48:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110620918.964816.297870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104116

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM, on an emotional rollercoaster of transgender
> > styling and thinking about his/her wardrobe, wrote on Wed,
> > Mar 9 2005 5:27 pm:
> >
> > >>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBay....
> >
> > $102 for a WOMAN's long-sleeve T-shirt featuring
> > "morse code" messages?!?!?
> >
> > ...truly, only a rabid MORSEAHOLIC would think
> > that is "cool." :-)
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Wonder if they've got antabuse for morse code? Might be the next hot
> thing at Dayton.

How would you know?

You've already got a "date" with "the boys" for that weekend.

They DO have chemical inteventions for YOUR problem though, Brain,
if you'll only let someone help you.

Steve, K4YZ

2601. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 09:57:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110621450.886669.320320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104117

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > It's not about being "humor impaired".
>
> I guess I should have spelled it "humour?"

Regardless...

> > It's about not being able to tell with you
>
> Then you have a much more serious problem than originally suspected.

You mean how you and leonard dodge in and out of your "tag-team"
insults and misdeeds? Hardly, Brain.

> since you seem to
> > interchange reality and non-reality so frequently and without
> exception
> > to the on-going conversation.
>
> I'm the one who dives in and out of reality?

"Non-lincesed devices..."

"I operated from Somalia..."

"I worked DXCC several times over..."

Yeah, I'd say so.

> Whooo-hooo, Cannonball! Hi!

As I was saying....

> > Not to mention only you and Lennie are laughing at it.
>
> Maybe it's because we're the only ones that understand the Morse
Myths
> that Aaron Jones used to keep a list of. Everyone else may have been
> rightiously offended.

No one here is "offeneded" by any one persons likes or dislikes of
Morse Code use, testing, applications or history.

We ARE offended by people (such as you and Lennie) who find it
necessary to repeatedly and foolishly lie and misrepresent so many
other facets of Amateur Radio in general and Amateur operators in
particular.

> Anyway, we're not just laughing at good humor, but we're laughing at
> you too.

No, you're laughing becasue you think you've "pulled one over" on
me and several others here.

The FACTS are that no matter HOW you spin it, you have been,
continue to be, and probably always will be a liar.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

2602. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 11:31:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110627080.400151.270010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104118

Well see, I don't work there. Unlike you Fucking morons I'm going to
school to get me a better paying. Unlike you, and your little bitch
Julie who will spending their time working at McDonald's masterbating
over people's fries.

Todd N9OGL
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

Bathrooman wrote:
> Do something useful. Go back to cleaning the restrooms at WAL FART.

2603. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 11:35:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110627306.911205.114120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104119

WELL THAT PROVES IT!!!! You agree always with Bathroom. So He must be the butch and your his bitch.

Todd N9OGL
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

2604. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 11:55:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110628550.754885.300400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104120

WHAT RULE?? What you don't understand is this, As long as the information bulletin is directed to the amateur radio community regardless if it's opinionated or news it legal. As LONG as it is DIRECTED TO THE AMATEUR RADIO COMMUNITY and deals with AMATEUR RADIO ISSUES. Boradcasting is DIRECTED TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC. there's the difference between broadcasting and information bulletins. The only reason they can order a cease and desist order is if you violate the law. The only CONTENT the FCC can control is Obscene and Indecent material. The US Supreme Court in 1969 ruled that a person didn't have a First Amendment to uses a radio station without a license, Upholding the License system of the FCC. A person who has a license has a First Amendment right to use a station, A right given to the licensing holder byn the federal governement. Section 326 of the communication act forbids the FCC from content control except, for obscene and indecent material. But back on the issue at hand, If the FCC wanted Information Bulletins to be only "news bulletins" the FCC could of narrowed the rules down to state that, but they didn't because, it would of made it too narrow and may not hold up constitutional in the courts. As I've stated may times before, information is 50% facts and 50% opinion and in a FREE SOCIETY we need both.

Todd N9OGL
FREE SPEECH AVOCATE

http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

2605. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 12:40:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110631237.079131.162640@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104121

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > From: Dave Heil who, blabbering away on an obvious Troll
> > > > > topic, scribbled on Thurs, Mar 10 2005 12:14 am:
> > > > > >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > >> Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > >> You forget his comments to FCC about things like an age
> > > > > >>requirement....
> > >
> > > > > > >He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age
> > > > > > >requirement for radio amateurs.
> > >
> > > > > > Tsk. So hard up for Personal Attack subjects that
> > > > > > you pick something from my Comment to the FCC made
> > > > > > SIX YEARS AGO? Wasn't done in here first...
> > > > >
> > > > Why is it a "Personal Attack" to disagree with your comments, >
> >
> > Len?
> >
> > > It is also a personal attack when you quote something Len has
> > > written.
> >
> > It seems that anyhting other than complete agreement is
> > considered a "Personal Attack" by Len.
>
> ...and personal attacks by Len are just his way of debating the >
issues, heh heh.

That pretty much sums it up, along with the four behaviors listed
on that website.
>
> > > > > Okay, I'll wait until you stop cheering for all the
> > > > > "mature, responsible" six-year-olds featured on the
> > > > > ARRL news as "world's youngest hams?" Riiiiight...
> > > > > mature and responsible ALL BY THEMSELVES! :-)
> > > >
> > > You would forbid people more than twice as old from
> > > getting an amateur
> > > license, Len. Without any evidence.
> > >

> > > Len's posts on the subject indicate that he has problems
> > > with children
> > > having done something which he himself has not attained.
> >
> > Len has admitted he has a problem including children in
> > what he considers an "adult" activity.
>
> Good thing for guys like us that no such rule has ever
> been seen as
> necessary by the FCC.

Ever read the book "Radio Rescue"? True story:

Back in the early 1920s, a young boy in New York City became
the youngest ham in the country, being licensed at 10 or 11
years of age. He and his ham station were instrumental in
emergency communications during a Florida hurricane - all before
he was 14.

Len would have forbid him from getting a license.

> Finland once had a rule where
> no one under 15
> could obtain a license. They've since done away with it.

Canada too, IIRC.

btw, when cb required a license, there was an age requirement.
I think it was 18, but it could have been 15 - I'm not 100% sure
because I was never involved in cb. Yet that age requirement didn't
help cb's problems...

Perhaps Len wants amateur radio to be more like cb...
>
> > > > > How about the 9-year-old "extra?" A "mature,
> > > > > responsible, law-abiding" pre-teener? :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Riiiiight...ALL of them wouldn't think of operating
> > > > > without parental supervision, would they? Uh-huh.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why should they need parental supervision to operate? FCC,
> > > > > the expert agency on US civil radio regulation, thinks they're
> > > > > qualified.
> > > >
> > > My parents didn't supervise me or need to supervise me when I
> > > operated
> > > as a fourteen-year-old Novice. Did your parents ever
> > > supervise you, Jim?
> >
> > Not in my amateur radio operations. I was licensed at age 13, and

was
> > the first amateur radio operator in my family.
>
> > But I was "in radio" a lot earlier, building receivers and such.
> > In fact, the way I learned Morse Code was to build a simple
> > receiver and code-practice oscillator from scavenged parts, and
> > listen to hams use it on the air. Also sending with the oscillator
and
> > key. My Elmers were books.
>
> And that worked for you. I learned the code in the Boy Scouts and
> simply brushed up over several weeks. I was lucky enough to have
> mentors in two different towns. I moved before W8MN could administer
> the exam. W8MN arranged an introduction to two local Novices and the
> fellow who ran the local TV sales and service shop in my new town.
Buzz
> Collins K8CFT then administered my Novice exam.
>
Too cool!

> > > > Back in 1948, a 9-year-old local girl passed the Class B
> > > > exam. In front of the FCC examiner.
> >
> > Still licensed and active, too.
>
> > > You're writing of the late Jesse Bieberman's daughter?
> >
> > Yes. W3KT is a legend in these parts. I met him a few times,
> > and the reputation is deserved.
>
> I knew W3KT and thought he was a super gent.

Yep.
>
> > > > > Tsk. I've never pursued the matter with the FCC
> > > > > since 1999 but it seems some in here just can't let
> > > > > it go.
> > > >
> > > > Why should they? You have not admitted that age
> > > > requirements for a ham
> > > > license are a bad idea.
> > >
> > > He issued the comments to the Commission.
> > > He never followed it up with
> > > a retraction if he changed his mind.
> > > All indications (even those in
> > > this recent post) are that Len stills believes
> > > that licensing children
> > > is a bad thing.
> >
> > Agreed. Perhaps we should quote that post....

>
> ...and I note that you have done so. What about his comments to the
FCC
> regarding a minimum age for amateur radio licensing? Can you come up
> with them?
>
Anyone can. Just go to fcc.gov, search ECFS, using as criteria Len's
name and the proceeding number.

Of course it's a scan of a *paper* submission, because Len couldn't
figure out how to get ECFS to work for him back
then.

Most of the dozen-plus pages are a diatribe against Mike
Deignan, which is interesting because Mike turned out to
be a no-code-test person in his comments to FCC.

The age thing is last in the document.

It is curious that Len's comments were filed after the comment
period but before the end of the reply comment period. That and
the use of paper made it practically impossible for anyone to file
reply comments to Len's filing before the deadline.

> > > > > They MUST bring it up again, time and time
> > > > > again as if this is the most hideous of gaffes,
> > > > > practically a felonious act against the noble, law-
> > > > > fearing amateurs who never, ever do anything wrong.
> > >
> > > I don't see it as the most hideous of gaffes, just one in a
> > > long string of gaffes.
> >
> > Just a bad idea.
> >
> > Let us also not forget that Len accused the VEs and ARRL of "very
mild
> > fraud" in connection with some preschoolers being licensed. He
appears
> > to claim that no child that young could possibly pass the tests.
Yet he
> > does not know any of the people involved.
>
> That isn't news though. Len has made a number of accusations about
the
> ARRL being dishonest. He never back any of them up with a single
fact.
>
"Never defend your positions"....

73 de Jim, N2EY

2606. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 12:45:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110631551.368304.107070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104122

N...@AOL.COM wrote:

> btw, when cb required a license, there was an age requirement.
> I think it was 18, but it could have been 15 - I'm not 100% sure
> because I was never involved in cb. Yet that age requirement didn't
> help cb's problems...

It was because 18 is the "age of majority" for most legal
purposes. A minor couldn't be held liable.

Why it matters for CB and not for Amateur Radio is beyond me.

> Perhaps Len wants amateur radio to be more like cb...

Perhaps because Len epitomizes CBers...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2607. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 12:50:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110631858.871089.23360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104123

N9OGL wrote:
> Bathrooman wrote:
> > Do something useful. Go back to cleaning the restrooms at WAL
FART.
>
> Well see, I don't work there. Unlike you Fucking morons I'm going to
> school to get me a better paying.

A better paying WHAT...?!?!

You NEED that education, Toddie, and at the age of 36 it's about
time.

> Unlike you, and your little bitch
> Julie who will spending their time working at McDonald's masterbating
> over people's fries.

So, Toddie, what are you going to school to be? A sensitivity
counsellor?

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2608. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 12:56:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110632169.534087.242250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104124

N9OGL wrote:
> Bathrooman wrote:
> > No matter how many people try to explain the facts of life to this
> son
> > of a bitch, he does not understand. He is truly psycho.
>
> No, it's little cry babies on a newsgroup that don't understand the
Law

There's only one person here who doesn't understand the law,
Toddie, and that's the blithering idiot from Illinois that, on the one
hand, claims he's transmitting "bulletins", and then blatantly calls
his "bulletins" a "show".

> Todd N9OGL
> The N9OGL SHOW
> 20 meters
> Saturday's 4.00 to 5.00 pm CST

See what I mean...?!?! There he is now!

What an idiot!

Steve, K4YZ

2609. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 13:18:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110633485.559883.176040@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104125

N9OGL wrote:

> WHAT RULE??

Who are you responding to, Toddie? Do you not know how to quote
text of those to whom you are responding?

> What you don't understand is this, As long as the
> information bulletin is directed to the amateur radio community
> regardless if it's opinionated or news it legal.

A "bulletin" contains information that allows the operators of
other Amateur Radio stations to make informed choices. Those bulletins
are made up of information that is based on FACTS, not opinion.

> As LONG as it is
> DIRECTED TO THE AMATEUR RADIO COMMUNITY and deals with AMATEUR RADIO
> ISSUES. Boradcasting is DIRECTED TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC. there's the
> difference between broadcasting and information bulletins.

Keep telling yourself that over and over and over, Toddie.

Lame Vein Baxter in Maine has been telling himself the same thing
over and over, but he still has federal marshals knock on his door on
occasion. He's dodged the bullet so far, but he can't pass wind
without getting his name in the Federal Register for rules violations.

Get that education you were sparking about, big boy...And if you
ever need a clearance for a federal contract for work, good luck
getting it with a history of federal citations under your belt. Any
company you may work for in the future that requires clearances will
have to ID you to the feds (as they will anyone connected with any
particular job). How long do you think they will keep you around when
a multi-million contract hangs on having a non-acceptable person on the
payroll?

Is the "N9OGL Show" worth THAT...?!?!

> The only
> reason they can order a cease and desist order is if you violate the
> law. The only CONTENT the FCC can control is Obscene and Indecent
> material. The US Supreme Court in 1969 ruled that a person didn't
have
> a First Amendment to uses a radio station without a license,
Upholding
> the License system of the FCC. A person who has a license has a First
> Amendment right to use a station, A right given to the licensing

holder
> byn the federal governement.

The First Amendment of the Constitution is NOT the enabling
regulation for Amateur Radio, Toddie. The Communications Act of 1934
as ammended is.

You DO NOT have the "right" to arbitrarily broadcast and say it's
OK because it's "free speech". Not in a one-way transmission on any
allocation in the Amateur Service, you don't.

> Section 326 of the communication act
> forbids the FCC from content control except, for obscene and indecent
> material. But back on the issue at hand, If the FCC wanted
Information
> Bulletins to be only "news bulletins" the FCC could of narrowed the
> rules down to state that, but they didn't because, it would of made
it
> too narrow and may not hold up constitutional in the courts. As I've
> stated may times before, information is 50% facts and 50% opinion and
> in a FREE SOCIETY we need both.

Propagation data, operating times of DX station, LEGITIMATE Morse
Code practice or knowing if a "communications emergency" exists on any
given frequency or band has absolutely NOTHING to do with "opinion",
Toddie.

> Todd N9OGL
> FREE SPEECH AVOCATE

"Todd, N9OGL, Free Speech Abuser"

You're an idiot, Todd, but it's correctable. At least if you act
soon.

Steve, K4YZ

2610. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 13:32:44 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ztudnW2S67o9cq_fRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 104126

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110631551.368304.107070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> btw, when cb required a license, there was an age requirement.
>> I think it was 18, but it could have been 15 - I'm not 100% sure
>> because I was never involved in cb. Yet that age requirement didn't
>> help cb's problems...
>
> It was because 18 is the "age of majority" for most legal
> purposes. A minor couldn't be held liable.
>
> Why it matters for CB and not for Amateur Radio is beyond me.
>

I wonder if that is perhaps because ham radio goes back to a time when people were believed to be responsible at a younger age even though they may or may not have been able to sign contracts, etc. That was a time when completing the 8th grade was still considered sufficient for most people and they often then went to full time work rather than going on to more schooling. They were considered adults at least functionally if not legally. Even quite young children sometimes worked instead of going to school.

On the other hand, CB was set up at a time when people were expected to stay in school through high school and people's perceptions changed that teenagers were still children rather than people in the early stages of becoming adults.

Of course this is all just speculation but it's fun to think about.

>> Perhaps Len wants amateur radio to be more like cb...
>
> Perhaps because Len epitomizes CBers...?!?!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

It's impossible to tell from his postings. It would appear not that he wants it like CB but that he hates amateur radio and amateur radio operators.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2611. Re: Mobile Radio Installation for Mazda Tribute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 13:42:53 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <xlCYd.5418$yp.3551@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 104127

Wow, Mike! So, let me get this straight...you have an installation where, because of the custom base you designed, you can lift the whole "kitandkaboodle" up out of the cupholder? I hope I have it right.

That's a great idea--and maybe even better in terms of conceptually. I am going to think about all the moldable, bendable, creatable (where things like plaster of paris AND that acrylic stuff and others) are concerned and see what I can come up with.

My husband's company is a canvas products company and for years I have been trying to conjure up a "mobile sling" for radio installation in vehicles where--especially in emergency conditions--the sling could be removed from a vehicle and placed onto the body, another car, wagon, etc., for operability in remote areas. And, yes, I count in the battery pack space needed, etc. Haven't come up with one yet.

Kim W5TIT

2612. Re: Mobile Radio Installation for Mazda Tribute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 13:51:42 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <OtCYd.5471$yp.3595@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 104128

Thanks, Tom! My absolute heart-throb of a vehicle is the Xterra! My absolute had-to-have-'cause-I-could-aford was my Toyota Tacoma, spiffed up with the SR5 package and all the good stuff: bed liner, etc. However, my parents are getting to the point where I had to confront transporting them someday and my mom had an awful time getting up into my pickup truck. And, for the four of us--mom, dad, hubby, me--to go anywhere in one vehicle was not even in the picture.

Now, they--the parents--had gone out and bought a T&C van...but I just don't relish those types of vehicles. Maybe once I tried them (vans) it would be OK...but they just don't look like something I want to be driving as even I get older. I am a turn-my-head-all-the-way-around-to-look-over-my-shoulder driver, and I sure would have to have a owl's capability to see as far back as I'd like to with a van...LOL

Gotta dash...no pun intended (referring to the multitude of dashes in my post: sorry)...

Hey, by the way, my sis is up in Allentown. You around there anywhere? She just moved back up north a couple of years ago after having been in Texas for a little over 20 years. She LOVED the winter this year, as would I. We are all originally from up above Albany, NY--so long, hard, deep Winters still remind us of what a great challenge it was to get from one season to the next!

Kim W5TIT

"garigue" <garigue@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:m_idnTgRdeW2jK_fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> .Sounds strange, but it
> > actually looks pretty good, and the radio can come out and be put back
> > in in seconds.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> Hello Mike ..... sounds pretty nifty ...I just got a Matrix 2 wks ago and
> this may prove to be the way to go ..... a
> Coslofixture TM
>
> 73 Tom Popovic KI3R Belle Vernon Pa
>
>
> ps Kim .....I always have had good service with Madzas over the years
.....

> good luck with the Tribute. .. 73 Tom
>
>

2613. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 14:19:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110637184.908030.34390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104129

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > WHAT RULE??
>
> Who are you responding to, Toddie? Do you not know how to quote
> text of those to whom you are responding?

No, Sometimes the news reading I using will not let me.

> > What you don't understand is this, As long as the
> > information bulletin is directed to the amateur radio community
> > regardless if it's opinionated or news it legal.
>
> A "bulletin" contains information that allows the operators of
> other Amateur Radio stations to make informed choices. Those
bulletins
> are made up of information that is based on FACTS, not opinion.

Bull, Information is both Facts and opinions, and again the FCC rules
do not state that opinions are not allowed.

> > As LONG as it is
> > DIRECTED TO THE AMATEUR RADIO COMMUNITY and deals with AMATEUR
RADIO
> > ISSUES. Boradcasting is DIRECTED TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC. there's the
> > difference between broadcasting and information bulletins.
>
> Keep telling yourself that over and over and over, Toddie.

Hey that's what the law states, the only difference between the two is
one is directed to the amateur radio service and the other is directed
to the public.

> Lame Vein Baxter in Maine has been telling himself the same
thing
> over and over, but he still has federal marshals knock on his door on
> occasion. He's dodged the bullet so far, but he can't pass wind
> without getting his name in the Federal Register for rules
violations.

The resaon last time I checked they were going after Baxter K1MAN was
because of interference, and not being at the control point. I also
found this from NEWSLINE:

ENFORCEMENT: FCC AGAIN WRITES TO K1MAN

The FCC has again written to Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, and the commissions
note
has both good and bad news for the Belgrade Lakes, Maine radio amateur.
On
the positive side, the April 14th letter informs K1MAN that a review of
his
transmissions indicates that he is complying with the Amateur Service
regulations
regarding broadcasting and bulletins and station control.

The negative? The FCC alleges that K1MAN is in violation of rules
regarding use
of his Amateur station for pecuniary interest and those regarding
deliberate
interference to ongoing communications.

Also, the letter again told Baxter that his "felony affidavit
complaints" will not be
accepted by the regulatory agency. He was also reminded that all
frequencies in
the Amateur Radio Service are shared. No frequency is assigned for the

exclusive use of any station, and that Baxter's station has no
greater rights to a
frequency at any particular time than does any other Amateur station.

http://arnewsline.wb7tjd.org/news1396-2004-05-14.txt

My bulletins are legal, I'm not causing interference, nor am I doing
any "pecuniary intrest", I'm at the control point when my program is
running and my programs are amateur related. The problem is pea brain
bullhead morons like you who can't get over the fact that it's legal.

> Get that education you were sparking about, big boy...And if you
> ever need a clearance for a federal contract for work, good luck
> getting it with a history of federal citations under your belt. Any
> company you may work for in the future that requires clearances will
> have to ID you to the feds (as they will anyone connected with any
> particular job). How long do you think they will keep you around
when
> a multi-million contract hangs on having a non-acceptable person on
the
> payroll?
>
> Is the "N9OGL Show" worth THAT...?!?!
>
> > The only
> > reason they can order a cease and desist order is if you violate
the
> > law. The only CONTENT the FCC can control is Obscene and Indecent
> > material. The US Supreme Court in 1969 ruled that a person didn't
> have
> > a First Amendment to uses a radio station without a license,

> Upholding
> > the License system of the FCC. A person who has a license has a
First
> > Amendment right to use a station, A right given to the licensing
> holder
> > byn the federal governement.
>
> The First Amendment of the Constitution is NOT the enabling
> regulation for Amateur Radio, Toddie. The Communications Act of 1934
> as ammended is.

Yes and commuication act restricts the FCC powers over censorship

> You DO NOT have the "right" to arbitrarily broadcast and say
it's
> OK because it's "free speech". Not in a one-way transmission on any
> allocation in the Amateur Service, you don't.
>
> > Section 326 of the communication act
> > forbids the FCC from content control except, for obscene and
indecent
> > material. But back on the issue at hand, If the FCC wanted
> Information
> > Bulletins to be only "news bulletins" the FCC could of narrowed
the
> > rules down to state that, but they didn't because, it would of
made
> it
> > too narrow and may not hold up constitutional in the courts. As
I've
> > stated may times before, information is 50% facts and 50% opinion
and
> > in a FREE SOCIETY we need both.
>
> Propagation data, operating times of DX station, LEGITIMATE
Morse
> Code practice or knowing if a "communications emergency" exists on
any
> given frequency or band has absolutely NOTHING to do with "opinion",
> Toddie.

The rules state (from the ARRL Website)look at (b)(6)

97.111 Authorized transmissions.
(a) An amateur station may transmit the following types of two-way
communications:

(1) Transmissions necessary to exchange messages with other stations in
the amateur service, except those in any country whose administration
has given notice that it objects to such communications. The FCC will
issue public notices of current arrangements for international
communications;

(2) Transmissions necessary to exchange messages with a station in another FCC-regulated service while providing emergency communications;

(3) Transmissions necessary to exchange messages with a United States government station, necessary to providing communications in RACES; and

(4) Transmissions necessary to exchange messages with a station in a service not regulated by the FCC, but authorized by the FCC to communicate with amateur stations. An amateur station may exchange messages with a participating United States military station during an Armed Forces Day Communications Test.

(b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized elsewhere in this Part, an amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way communications:

(1) Brief transmissions necessary to make adjustments to the station;
(2) Brief transmissions necessary to establishing two-way communications with other stations;

(3) Telecommand;

(4) Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;

(5) Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving proficiency in, the international Morse code;

(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;

(7) Transmissions of telemetry.

again Nowhere in (b)(6) does it state that a information bulletin is a "news bulletin" nor in the definitions (see below) does it state that information bulletins are "news bulletins"

§97.3 Definitions.

(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service
>
> > Todd N9OGL
> > FREE SPEECH AVOCATE
>
> "Todd, N9OGL, Free Speech Abuser"
>
> You're an idiot, Todd, but it's correctable. At least if you act
> soon.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2614. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 15:16:48 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-to-Hams <doc@docisinapptonly.net>
Message-ID: <d0v10u$u6k$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104130

K4YZ, who appears to be a distinguished graduate of the
Yale School of Law, spewed the following bullshit in a vane
effort to appear he has an IQ above room temperature:

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110633485.559883.176040@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> WHAT RULE??
>
> Who are you responding to, Toddie? Do you not know how to quote
> text of those to whom you are responding?
>
>> What you don't understand is this, As long as the
>> information bulletin is directed to the amateur radio community
>> regardless if it's opinionated or news it legal.
>
> A "bulletin" contains information that allows the operators of
> other Amateur Radio stations to make informed choices. Those bulletins
> are made up of information that is based on FACTS, not opinion.
>
>> As LONG as it is
>> DIRECTED TO THE AMATEUR RADIO COMMUNITY and deals with AMATEUR RADIO
>> ISSUES. Boradcasting is DIRECTED TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC. there's the
>> difference between broadcasting and information bulletins.
>
> Keep telling yourself that over and over and over, Toddie.
>
> Lame Vein Baxter in Maine has been telling himself the same thing
> over and over, but he still has federal marshals knock on his door on
> occasion. He's dodged the bullet so far, but he can't pass wind
> without getting his name in the Federal Register for rules violations.
>
> Get that education you were sparking about, big boy...And if you
> ever need a clearance for a federal contract for work, good luck
> getting it with a history of federal citations under your belt. Any
> company you may work for in the future that requires clearances will
> have to ID you to the feds (as they will anyone connected with any
> particular job). How long do you think they will keep you around when
> a multi-million contract hangs on having a non-acceptable person on the
> payroll?
>
> Is the "N9OGL Show" worth THAT...?!?!

>
>> The only
>> reason they can order a cease and desist order is if you violate the
>> law. The only CONTENT the FCC can control is Obscene and Indecent
>> material. The US Supreme Court in 1969 ruled that a person didn't
> have
>> a First Amendment to uses a radio station without a license,
> Upholding
>> the License system of the FCC. A person who has a license has a First
>> Amendment right to use a station, A right given to the licensing
> holder
>> byn the federal governement.
>
> The First Amendment of the Constitution is NOT the enabling
> regulation for Amateur Radio, Toddie. The Communications Act of 1934
> as ammended is.
>
> You DO NOT have the "right" to arbitrarily broadcast and say it's
> OK because it's "free speech". Not in a one-way transmission on any
> allocation in the Amateur Service, you don't.
>
>> Section 326 of the communication act
>> forbids the FCC from content control except, for obscene and indecent
>> material. But back on the issue at hand, If the FCC wanted
> Information
>> Bulletins to be only "news bulletins" the FCC could of narrowed the
>> rules down to state that, but they didn't because, it would of made
> it
>> too narrow and may not hold up constitutional in the courts. As I've
>> stated may times before, information is 50% facts and 50% opinion and
>> in a FREE SOCIETY we need both.
>
> Propagation data, operating times of DX station, LEGITIMATE Morse
> Code practice or knowing if a "communications emergency" exists on any
> given frequency or band has absolutely NOTHING to do with "opinion",
> Toddie.
>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> FREE SPEECH AVOCATE
>
> "Todd, N9OGL, Free Speech Abuser"
>
> You're an idiot, Todd, but it's correctable. At least if you act
> soon.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2615. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 15:30:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110641416.963213.28110@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104131

Psychiatrist-to-Hams wrote:
> K4YZ, who appears to be a distinguished graduate of the
> Yale School of Law, spewed the following bull**** in a vane
> effort to appear he has an IQ above room temperature:

I see Lennie has yet another nomme-de-guerre. I wasn't so sure before, but if it's NOT Lennie, he sure has crimped his style.

As if I need to be concerned about the cowardly anonymous postings...

Cowards and punks. Profanity in lieu of responsible comment. As usual.

Steve, K4YZ

2616. Re: Anybody Monitor 3965 Pirate Last Night?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 15:36:27 UTC
From: YRQ <yrq@unregyrqs.org>
Message-ID: <d0v268$198$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104132

"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <pth@appthamsonly.org> wrote in message
news:d0qnkr$jh3$0@pita.alt.net...
> The 3965 pirate put out an update on the KC dealer who sells
> CB amps. legally. There was a new court ruling in his favor,
> supposedly. He had the dealership owner on the air for a live
> interview. Did anybody monitor the broadcast? Any court
> ruling that goes against the FCC is never put out in a press release,
> just the ones that go in their favor. Sure would like to know if this
> guy is now permanently cleared to sell CB amps, non-type approved
> radio gear, etc.
>

The dealership is still operating. He is still in business, still
selling CB amps, non-type approved radio gear, high power CB sets,
and much other interesting electronic stuff, cell phone jammers, etc.
There's a lot of chatter on 27680 about the place. The claim is it is
all being done legally.

2617. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 15:44:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110642274.462702.16210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104133

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > > WHAT RULE??
> >
> > Who are you responding to, Toddie? Do you not know how to
quote
> > text of those to whom you are responding?
>
> No, Sometimes the news reading I using will not let me.

Bull. I am using Beta Google and I get it in.

You just ahve to be smarter than the software, Toddie.

> Bull, Information is both Facts and opinions, and again the FCC rules
> do not state that opinions are not allowed.

"Opinions" are NOT the same as "information".

Read Websters.

> The resaon last time I checked they were going after Baxter K1MAN was
> because of interference, and not being at the control point. I also
> found this from NEWSLINE:
>
> ENFORCEMENT: FCC AGAIN WRITES TO K1MAN
>
> The FCC has again written to Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, and the commissions
> note
> has both good and bad news for the Belgrade Lakes, Maine radio
amateur.
> On
> the positive side, the April 14th letter...(SNIP)

Toddie, if your "bulletins" can't get any more "recent" than YEAR
OLD posts by other entities, then you are MORE than the completely
useless idiot that I have written you off as....

> My bulletins are legal, I'm not causing interference, nor am I doing
> any "pecuniary intrest", I'm at the control point when my program is
> running and my programs are amateur related. The problem is pea brain
> bullhead morons like you who can't get over the fact that it's legal.

Keep repeating that over and over and over Toddie.....

> > Get that education you were sparking about, big boy...And if
you
> > ever need a clearance for a federal contract for work, good luck
> > getting it with a history of federal citations under your belt. Any
> > company you may work for in the future that requires clearances
will
> > have to ID you to the feds (as they will anyone connected with any
> > particular job). How long do you think they will keep you around
> when
> > a multi-million contract hangs on having a non-acceptable person on
> the
> > payroll?
> >
> > Is the "N9OGL Show" worth THAT...?!?!

You didn't answer my question, Todd....

Is your "show" worth the potential loss of income, both due to
NAL's and fines, or due to a loss of earning potential over federal
charges and indictments?

> > Propagation data, operating times of DX station, LEGITIMATE
> Morse
> > Code practice or knowing if a "communications emergency" exists on
> any
> > given frequency or band has absolutely NOTHING to do with
"opinion",
> > Toddie.
>
> The rules state (from the ARRL Website)look at (b)(6)

SNIP TO:

> §97.3 Definitions.
>
> (25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur
operators
> consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur
> service.

"...OF DIRECT INTERST TO THE AMATEUR SERVICE."

Todd's spin and opinion are not facts, but are indeed, only spin
and opinion, and are of ionterest only to Todd.

"Today, the FCC announced......" is newsworthy and of potential
interest to Amateurs.

"Well, here's what I think..." is Todd running off at the mouth
in violation of the broadcasting prohibition, when not engaged in a
bonafide two-way conversation.

> > > Todd N9OGL
> > > FREE SPEECH AVOCATE

> >
> > "Todd, N9OGL, Free Speech Abuser"
> >
> > You're an idiot, Todd, but it's correctable. At least if you
act
> > soon.

You're still an idiot and your window of opportunity for
correction is narrowing.

Steve, K4YZ

2618. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 15:51:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110642671.983816.135810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104134

K4YZ wrote:
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > btw, when cb required a license, there was an age requirement.
> > I think it was 18, but it could have been 15 - I'm not 100%
> > sure
> > because I was never involved in cb. Yet that age requirement > >
didn't
> > help cb's problems...
>
> It was because 18 is the "age of majority" for most legal
> purposes. A minor couldn't be held liable.

I disagree. Some minors can get driver's licenses in most places, which is a far more dangerous activity than being allowed to use a 5 watt, channelized, type-accepted 27 MHz cb transceiver according to cb rules.
>
> Why it matters for CB and not for Amateur Radio is beyond me.

I think the real difference was that a cb permit required no test, no skill, and no demonstration of ability of any kind except for the ability to fill out the application form and send it in.

I think FCC thought, back when cb was created, that denying cb permits to people under 18 would insure that the vast majority of cb users would display mature, responsible on-air behavior that followed FCC rules. Unfortunately it didn't work out that way.

> > Perhaps Len wants amateur radio to be more like cb...
>
> Perhaps because Len epitomizes CBers...?!?!
>
Epitomizes or idolizes?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2619. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 15:54:27 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <F6ednVB856Igja7fRVn-iw@crocker.com>
Source record: 104135

(25) Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service

personally my doubt is that what he has to say is really 'of direct interest to the amateur service', but that is just my opinion.

>
> > Todd N9OGL
> > FREE SPEECH AVOCATE

free speech is great. of course it is also my free choice to not waste my time listening. as with others that spew on the bands, turn the big knob if you don't like what you hear... there are plenty of other more interesting things to do than listen to someone who you don't like.

2620. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 17:00:24 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110646824.019982.265320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104136

Amateur radio has alway attracted more than its share of nut cases.
N9OGL wants to express himself, as he was taught in special education,
but amateur radio is definitely NOT the place to do it. He doesn't
have the intelligence to run a legitimate "show" or an interesting
talk-opinion-information whatever, such as you might find on the AM
broadcast band, which is the legitimate place to do it. He is totally
incapable of such an endeavor; amateur radio is just the easiest route
to spew his bullshit. What a putz.

N9 Obnoxious Gay Loudmouth

2621. Re: Now THIS is COOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 17:06:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110647199.049249.139310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104137

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM, on an emotional rollercoaster of transgender
> > > styling and thinking about his/her wardrobe, wrote on Wed,
> > > Mar 9 2005 5:27 pm:
> > >
> > > >>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBay....
> > >
> > > $102 for a WOMAN's long-sleeve T-shirt featuring
> > > "morse code" messages?!?!?
> > >
> > > ...truly, only a rabid MORSEAHOLIC would think
> > > that is "cool." :-)
> > >
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> > Wonder if they've got antabuse for morse code? Might be the next
hot
> > thing at Dayton.
>
> How would you know?

I know about as much as the next guy. Maybe more in some areas, less
in others.

> You've already got a "date" with "the boys" for that weekend.

So far, I have to work that Friday and Saturday. Don't have anything
definite with the Scouts as of yet, but that can change. Maybe I'll
get over to Dayton on Sunday if things go right. April Dayton
Hamvention worked out better for me.

> They DO have chemical inteventions for YOUR problem though,
Brain,
> if you'll only let someone help you.

Thanks, but the hemoroid cream is working just fine. Do you like the
scent?

2622. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 17:08:30 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110647310.338142.27490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104138

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1110631551.368304.107070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >> btw, when cb required a license, there was an age requirement.
> >> I think it was 18, but it could have been 15 - I'm not 100% sure
> >> because I was never involved in cb. Yet that age requirement
didn't
> >> help cb's problems...

> I wonder if that is perhaps because ham radio goes back to a
> time when
> people were believed to be responsible at a younger age even
> though they may
> or may not have been able to sign contracts, etc. That was a
> time when
> completing the 8th grade was still considered sufficient for
> most people and
> they often then went to full time work rather than going on to > more

> schooling. They were considered adults at least functionally
> if not
> legally. Even quite young children sometimes worked instead of >
going to
> school.
>
> On the other hand, CB was set up at a time when people were
> expected to stay
> in school through high school and people's perceptions changed > that

> teenagers were still children rather than people in the early
> stages of
> becoming adults.

Class A and B cb go back to just after WW2. Yet IIRC, the age
requirement goes back to the very beginning.

> Of course this is all just speculation but it's fun to think
> about.

The most important factor is that an age requirement did *not*
insure mature, law-abiding behavior on cb.
>
> >> Perhaps Len wants amateur radio to be more like cb...

> >
> > Perhaps because Len epitomizes CBers...?!?!

> It's impossible to tell from his postings. It would appear not > that he
> wants it like CB but that he hates amateur radio and amateur
> radio
> operators.
>
Since Len doesn't answer questions in a straightforward fashion, there's no way to know for sure. But Len never has anything bad to say about cb, and rarely if ever has anything good to say about amateur radio. That tells a lot.

I've pretty much copied your killfiling of Len's tirades, Dee. The analogy of the fence concealing the landfill is excellent.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2623. Re: Hows this for a tedious callsign on CW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 17:14:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110647681.175565.10610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104139

K4YZ wrote:

> > Maybe it's because we're the only ones that understand the Morse
> Myths
> > that Aaron Jones used to keep a list of. Everyone else may have
been
> > rightiously offended.
>
> No one here is "offeneded" by any one persons likes or dislikes
of
> Morse Code use, testing, applications or history.

Patently false statement, but that's your trademark.

We've got more than 10 years of rightious indignation archived. And
your fingerprints are all over it.

2624. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 17:19:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110647944.284397.250760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104140

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the minimum age
> > > > requirement
> > > > > for radio amateurs.
> > > > >
> > > > He wishes I'd forget about his comments on the out of band
french
> > radio
> > > > amateurs on six meters.
> > > >
> > > Len worked guys on 6m? When did that happen?
>
> > >From downtown Dar es Salam.
>
> When was Len in Dar es "Salam"?
>
> Dave K8MN

He heard they were having an opening to out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters and decided to check in on it. The one amateur op he found had the event sewed up, so he returned.

2625. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 17:23:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110648235.613336.154180@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104141

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Ever read the book "Radio Rescue"? True story:
>
> Back in the early 1920s, a young boy in New York City became
> the youngest ham in the country, being licensed at 10 or 11
> years of age. He and his ham station were instrumental in
> emergency communications during a Florida hurricane - all before
> he was 14.
>
> Len would have forbid him from getting a license.

There is no law that forbids anyone the use of amateur radio in a life
or death emergency.

2626. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 17:33:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110648784.933913.54670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104142

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > You would deny licenses to people based solely on age, without
> > *any* evidence of age-related problems.
>
> > That's just plain wrong.
>
> But we'll never see agreement on that from Leonard.
>
> Dave K8MN

Would an arbitrary barrier, such as an age 14 barrier, have prevented either you or Jim from obtaining an amateur license?

Kelly has already gone on record as having began his amateur career sans any licensing whatsoever.

2627. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 17:36:23 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <VMydnWjzd_ALta7fRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 104143

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1110647310.338142.27490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:

[snip]

>
> I've pretty much copied your killfiling of Len's tirades, Dee. The
> analogy of the fence concealing the landfill is excellent.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

I can't take credit for that analogy however. I forget who did post it.

However, I probably would not object strongly to a landfill as it provides a
necessary and useful function in our society.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2628. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 18:02:39 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <8MednaYqp7X_ra7fRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104145

Bathrooman wrote:

> Amateur radio has alway attracted more than its share of nut cases.
> N9OGL wants to express himself, as he was taught in special education,
> but amateur radio is definitely NOT the place to do it. He doesn't
> have the intelligence to run a legitimate "show" or an interesting
> talk-opinion-information whatever, such as you might find on the AM
> broadcast band, which is the legitimate place to do it. He is totally
> incapable of such an endeavor; amateur radio is just the easiest route
> to spew his bullshit. What a putz.
>
> N9 Obnoxious Gay Loudmouth
>

He has a hard on about the FCC and just wants to use the hams bands to
let everyone know. He is a waste of time.

2629. Re: Lennie Anderson...Self Destructive Or Just Doesn't Get It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 18:03:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110650607.595863.297110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104147

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Rest snipped. No use wasting bandwidth on one liar trying
to
> > > support the lies of another.
> > >
> > > Putzii.
> >
> > I guess the Nazi party has told no lies, according to Robeson's
> > invocation.
>
> Godwin invoked again.

Your words, not mine.

> > Robeson's lies are stacking up like cordwood. Too bad all those
lies
> > aren't pulpable.
>
> Brian P Burke has yet to produce anything from me that is not
> truthful.

Slashed tires? Rocks through windows? Terrorized wives? Homosexual
inuendo? Pedophilia inuendo? Dialing...

All bullying from the foul keyboard of Steven Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP.

Then there's the stupid stuff, like "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
Radio." Hi!

Hi, hi! I still get a kick out of that awesome absurdity every time I
see it.

> And I think yoiu meant "palpable", Brian...But then we ARE used
to
> you trying to use nursing terminology only to get it wrong.

There you go thinking, not your strongest point. Nor is "humour."

No, I meant "pulpable" as in your "lies are stacking up like cordwood"
at the paper mill. Hi!

Humor impaired, remember?

2630. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 18:07:12 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <kdGYd.61978$Ze3.54461@attbi_s51>
Source record: 104146

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110486282.267753.85010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> But, unfortunatley, people will still vote for her...Again, just
> because she wears a skirt.

Are you sure about that last statement, Steve?

ak

2631. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 18:07:19 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110650839.471834.188760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104144

<<<Well see, I don't work there. Unlike you Fucking morons I'm going to school to get me a better paying.>>>

You DID work there. You got FIRED for being a putz. Therefore, you DON'T work there now.

Going to school to get a better paying what? J-O-B??? Good idea...it would at least get you off the ham bands for 8 hours a day, and you wouldn't have to worry about spending all that time composing a 50% opinion/50% fact informational show for the amateur radio community.

2632. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 18:11:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110651069.528852.203480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104148

LISTEN YOU FUCKING PIECE OF FUCKING SHIT! I'M NOT A FUCKING RETARD! BUT HAVE BEEN FUCKING YOUR MAMA! AS FOR BROADCASTING, AND ALL YOU FUCKING ASS HAM OPERATORS LISTEN UP! I'VE APPLY SEVEN TIMES FOR A FUCKING LICENSE WITH WAIVER WHICH THE FCC WOULDN'T CONSIDER SO MY OPINION TO YOU FUCKING PUSSY ASS LICENSED FUCKING CB OPERATOR IS YOU, HOLLINGSWORTH, THE FCC CAN ALL GO FUCK YOURSELVES UP THE FUCKING ASSES!

YOU FUCKING BICTHWHORE MOTHERFUCKERS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Bathrooman wrote:
> Amateur radio has alway attracted more than its share of nut cases.
> N9OGL wants to express himself, as he was taught in special
education,
> but amateur radio is definitely NOT the place to do it. He doesn't
> have the intelligence to run a legitimate "show" or an interesting
> talk-opinion-information whatever, such as you might find on the AM
> broadcast band, which is the legitimate place to do it. He is
totally
> incapable of such an endeavor; amateur radio is just the easiest
route
> to spew his bullshit. What a putz.
>
> N9 Obnoxious Gay Loudmouth

2633. Re: Mobile Radio Installation for Mazda Tribute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 18:54:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3vGdnUG-Ru55p67fRVn-uQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104149

Kim wrote:

> Wow, Mike! So, let me get this straight...you have an installation where,
> because of the custom base you designed, you can lift the whole
> "kitandkaboodle" up out of the cupholder? I hope I have it right.

Yup! After molding the holder, the sock around it makes for a snug fit,
and it looks pretty nice. And the ability to lift it out is handy

> That's a great idea--and maybe even better in terms of conceptually. I am
> going to think about all the moldable, bendable, creatable (where things
> like plaster of paris AND that acrylic stuff and others) are concerned and
> see what I can come up with.

Even stuff like Play-Doh can work because once it dries you cover it
with the outer cloth, and it looks okay. I must admit, pouring the
plaster of Paris was a little unnreving ni a new vehicle, but it worked
okay.

> My husband's company is a canvas products company and for years I have been
> trying to conjure up a "mobile sling" for radio installation in vehicles
> where--especially in emergency conditions--the sling could be removed from a
> vehicle and placed onto the body, another car, wagon, etc., for operability
> in remote areas. And, yes, I count in the battery pack space needed, etc.
> Haven't come up with one yet.

Well, you could maybe incorporate the batteries into the cup holder
mold part. They'd have to be rechargeable of course, and it would be a
bit more work, but I think it should work.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2634. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 19:28:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110655692.314154.28630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104150

K4YZ wrote:
> whoever wrote:
> > Blue State Liberal wrote:
> >
> > > In her continuing move to the right, U.S. Senator Hillary
> > > Clinton today
> > > stated on the floor of the Senate that there is far too
> > > much filth and
> > > family unfriendly programming on American TV.

I am reminded of the classic line from MP:

"I'm tired of all this sex on the television! I keep falling off!"

> > > A few minutes later,
> > > in what seemed an odd remark to make, Senator Clinton
> > > stated were
> > > it her decision, the FCC should have been dismantled and
> > > shut down years ago.

Well, it isn't her decision. The Clinton White House had 8 years
to fix up FCC. They didn't. Shrub isn't doing any better but the
Clintons got nothing to brag about either.
>
> > She has no power so it doesn't matter what she said about
> > the FCC, She
> > ought to look at bill before talking about filth and family
> > values.

Kinda surreal, isn't it?

> I'd rethink that, Ken.
>
> Hillary has more power than any thieving white woman should be
> allowed, but trust me, she's coniving and will have her way.

What did she steal? (Or should I say - what didn't she...)

> There are women out there who will vote for Hillary Clinton
> based upon no other criteria than she IS a woman.

Of course.

There are also lots of people who will *not* vote for Hillary
Clinton because she is a woman.

There are also lots of people who will *not* vote for Hillary
Clinton because she is a Clinton.

There are also lots of people who will *not* vote for Hillary
Clinton because she is a Democrat.

And there are also lots of people who will *not* vote for Hillary
Clinton because she is not the best choice for the job.

It's clear she has presidential aspirations for 2008. That's
about the best thing that could happen to the Republicans,
because it will almost guarantee them a victory.

>Forget that her husband was one of the most prolific pathological
> liars in the White House in over 200 years and she helped him.

That's not important, really.

What matters is that, according to her, Bill lied *to her*
repeatedly, yet she kept on believing him when he told new
lies.

Now either she's very gullible, or she is lying to us. Either
way it doesn't say much for her qualifications.

Fool me once, shame on you, fool me twice, shame on me.

> (No
> wonder folks like Lennie Anderson and Brian Burke don't think
> twice
> about doing it...Clinton set the trend...)

Hmmmm...

> Forget that she still has never satisfactorially answered the
> questions about her real estate dealings. Heck no!
> Martha Stewart
> went to jail for 6 months just becasue she acted on a stock
> tip, yet
> Hillary won't pay so mauch as attention.
>
It's about getting caught. Martha got caught. Now watch - she
will turn that whole thing to an advantage and will come back
bigger than ever.

> Forget that Hillary was responsible for STEALING real property
> from the White House as she and Billary were on thier way
> out the door
> in 2000. "Oh...that's not ours? Well...I guess we'll put it
> back..."
> Dodged ayet another bullet.

I think you mean "govt. property". REAL property means real estate.
>
> Forget that she facilitated (or at least tolerated) her
> husband's
> philanderings all-the-while promoting herself as the champion of
> women's rights and equal opportunity.

>
See above about not seeing what was going on.

> As Billary's "Health Care Advocate" in 90's, she didn't do a
> single worthy thing. She and Bill brandished a "health care
> card" at
> his first "State of the Union" address, but that was the end of > it.
> Health care benefits for the uninsured, under-insured, the aged > and
> catastrophically ill or infirmed are threadbare, to say the
> least.

That one sank and never popped up again.
>
> But, unfortunatley, people will still vote for her...Again, just
> because she wears a skirt.
>
I think there are far more who will not vote for her, for the reasons
listed above.

The fact is that in every election there are several groups:

1) Those who will vote Republican no matter what
2) Those who will vote Democrat no matter what
3) Those who won't vote, or will vote for a 3rd party candidate
with absolutely no real chance of winning, no matter what
4) Those who are truly independent, and who may vote Democrat,
Republican, 3rd party, or not at all, depending on a wide variety of
factors, and whose votes really can decide an election.

Successful campaigning is all about identifying the 4th group, and
getting them to vote for your candidate.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2635. Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 19:35:51 UTC
From: Bogart <Anon@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <rwHYd.52894$xt.25804@fed1read07>
Source record: 104151

Yes

She Did

2636. Re: Now THIS is COOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 21:12:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110661962.084184.258140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104152

From: Brian Burke on Fri, Mar 11 2005 3:18 pm

>> >>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBay....
>>
>> $102 for a WOMAN's long-sleeve T-shirt featuring
>> "morse code" messages?!?!?
>>
>> ...truly, only a rabid MORSEAHOLIC would think
>> that is "cool." :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Wonder if they've got antabuse for morse code?

If there was, the rabid morsehams would scream
and holler abuse (not "antiabuse") at all those
who made it, saying "THEY HATE HAM RADIO!!!"

["Real hams know code!"]

:-)

> Might be the next hot thing at Dayton.

Wow...maybe it will be the start of a whole new
hamfashion trend?!?

The mind boggles at all those sweaty middle-aged
morseaholics swishing around (some with exposed
navels a la the Britney style) in morsetees and
"key pushers" (new form of pedal pushers). Gay
times ahead for Dayton! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2637. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 21:14:56 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110662096.261262.124430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104153

From: "Dee Flint" on Sat, Mar 12 2005 8:32 am

>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:1110631551.368304.107070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>> btw, when cb required a license, there was an age requirement.
>>> I think it was 18, but it could have been 15 - I'm not 100% sure
>>> because I was never involved in cb. Yet that age requirement didn't
>>> help cb's problems...
>>
>> It was because 18 is the "age of majority" for most legal
>> purposes. A minor couldn't be held liable.
>>
>> Why it matters for CB and not for Amateur Radio is beyond me.
>>
>I wonder if that is perhaps because ham radio goes back to a time when

>people were believed to be responsible at a younger age even though
they may
>or may not have been able to sign contracts, etc. That was a time
when
>completing the 8th grade was still considered sufficient for most
people and
>they often then went to full time work rather than going on to more
>schooling. They were considered adults at least functionally if not
>legally. Even quite young children sometimes worked instead of going
to
>school.

Tsk. "Ham" radio existed prior to 1920 and the
ratification of the 19th Amendment to the U.S.
Constitution. [hint: women got the right to vote]

The voting age of U.S. citizens was lowered to 18
in 1971 with ratification of the 26th Amendment.

>On the other hand, CB was set up at a time when people were expected
to stay
>in school through high school and people's perceptions changed that
>teenagers were still children rather than people in the early stages
of
>becoming adults.

"CB" (as you morseaholics know it only on the 11
meter band) was created in 1958.

So, teenagers are NOT expected to go to school
nowadays?!?!?

>Of course this is all just speculation but it's fun to think about.

Tsk. Everyone is losing it while having a gay
old time personally attacking one individual in
here. :-)

>>> Perhaps Len wants amateur radio to be more like cb...
>>
>> Perhaps because Len epitomizes CBers...?!?!
>>
>It's impossible to tell from his postings.

Really? ALL because I advocate an elimination of
the morse code test for an amateur radio license?

"Incroyable!" shouted the illegal 6 meter Frenchman.

>It would appear not that he wants it like CB but that he hates amateur
radio and amateur radio
>operators.

Tsk. Are you feeling abused? Not loved because
you do morse code and find out few others care?

Perk yourself up, buy some clothes. I heard there
are some neat things on Ebay. Like long-sleeved
tees with neat little morse code phrases on them.
Better hurry and get your bid in...lots of beefy
morsemen are clamoring for that tee, paying good
bucks to look sexy. :-)

Or, you can form your own HATE group, targeting
evil, wicked, mean and nasty CB! Shout to the
world that they are the Antichrist of Radio,
illegal lawbreakers all who are the spawn of
satan! Write your congresscritter today!

So...ALL who do not like morse code ALL HATE
amateur radio operators? Of course you think so.
You are a morseman (in the gender neutral case,
of course...with morse no one can hear your
orgasmic screams of delight when you get "good
copy").

Join FISTS. Let your love begin! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2638. Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 21:29:47 UTC
From: Chris <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcosslf24d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 104154

On 11 Mar 2005 14:09:42 -0800, Kï¿½HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> babbled:
>
> More like "chickenshit coward by choice"

You obviously are totally ignorant of the recommendations for safe Internet usage published by government organizations such as www.ftc.gov. The U.S. FTC recommends against giving out personal information anywhere on the Internet or on Usenet, and instead suggests the use of handles or pseudonyms. It also suggests that people go to some lengths to keep their names private even in such formerly-public data bases such as domain name registrar "whois" records. That's why major registrars now offer private registration.

You can live in your daddy's world, but the rest of us are all too familiar with the spammers, identity thieves, stalkers, and scam artists who now make unscrupulous use of Internet information.

Anyone who blindly publishes his or her personal information on the net is more like a clueless victim looking for a place to be mugged.

Go ahead: Keep on being a stupid shit. But don't try to promote your stupidity to the rest of us.

2639. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 21:39:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <l9edncm_mOLl_K7fRVn-3A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104155

Chris wrote:

> On 11 Mar 2005 14:09:42 -0800, Kï¿½HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> babbled:
>
>>More like "chickenshit coward by choice"
>
>
> You obviously are totally ignorant of the recommendations for safe
> Internet usage published by government organizations such as
> www.ftc.gov. The U.S. FTC recommends against giving out personal
> information anywhere on the Internet or on Usenet, and instead
> suggests the use of handles or pseudonyms. It also suggests that
> people go to some lengths to keep their names private even in such
> formerly-public data bases such as domain name registrar "whois"
> records. That's why major registrars now offer private registration.
>
> You can live in your daddy's world, but the rest of us are all too
> familiar with the spammers, identity thieves, stalkers, and scam
> artists who now make unscrupulous use of Internet information.
>
> Anyone who blindly publishes his or her personal information on the
> net is more like a clueless victim looking for a place to be mugged.
>
> Go ahead: Keep on being a stupid shit. But don't try to promote your
> stupidity to the rest of us.

Fascinating post, Chris, If you think that anything that you can do is
giving you ANY protection while you are on the internet.....

Well, it is *fascinating* that you think that WE are stupid! 8^)

Safety on the internet means avoidance of it.

-It's *that* simple-

- Mike KB3EIA -

2640. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 21:46:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110664018.164549.192280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104156

>From Brian Burke on Sat, Mar 12, 9:23 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Ever read the book "Radio Rescue"? True story:
>>
>> Back in the early 1920s, a young boy in New York City became
>> the youngest ham in the country, being licensed at 10 or 11
>> years of age. He and his ham station were instrumental in
>> emergency communications during a Florida hurricane - all before
>> he was 14.
>
>> Len would have forbid him from getting a license.
>
>There is no law that forbids anyone the use of amateur radio in a life

>or death emergency.

Irrelevant to Jimmy Who, Brian, he lives IN the past.

Indicative of that is writing "...would have forbid"
instead of the usual (proper) way of past tense in
saying "...would have forbade."

Jimmy was THERE, saving the world through ham radio
valiantly fighting all oppressors with his mighty
macho morse key.

1920 was 85 years ago. A few things have changed
in public safety agencies in those 85 years...
except in the romance novels of amateur radio
where Kode is King and it always works through
all emergencies, etc., etc., etc.

If we don't love, honor, cherish, and obey the
mighty macho morsemen, we "HATE" them and "all of
amateur radio," too! :-(

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2641. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 21:48:30 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <d0vo3e$l11$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 104157

"Chris" (johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net) writes:
> On 11 Mar 2005 14:09:42 -0800, K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> babbled:
>>
>> More like "chickenshit coward by choice"
>
> You obviously are totally ignorant of the recommendations for safe
> Internet usage published by government organizations such as
> www.ftc.gov. The U.S. FTC recommends against giving out personal
> information anywhere on the Internet or on Usenet, and instead
> suggests the use of handles or pseudonyms. It also suggests that
> people go to some lengths to keep their names private even in such
> formerly-public data bases such as domain name registrar "whois"
> records. That's why major registrars now offer private registration.
>
So tell us how the internet is different form the "real world"?

Hams have used their real names for decades, indeed the law requires
it. They also have to identify themselves with their callsign, which
is unique to each ham, and long before computers existed there were
books where you could look up callsigns to get people's addresses.

Every time I've had a letter published in the paper, it's had my name,
and general location. Now admittedly I could be confused with
others with the same name, but I sure don't use a pseudonym, or
for that matter the paper is not likely to print the letter unless
I use my real name (at the very least, they will expect a real
name, and address, at the bottom of the letter, which in some cases
they will not publish).

When I had some small articles published in "73" decades ago, they
included my name and callsign, and my address.

I've posted to the newsgroups for a decade, and I've always used my
real name, and even a completely legitimate email address that isn't
mangled.

In the local newsgroup, I've put in things over the years that deliberately
place me in the real world; no stalkers have appeared at places where
I clearly will be.

Just because some government agency says something does not make it
true.

Michael VE2BVW

2642. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 21:48:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110664130.318215.321680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104158

>From Brian Burke on Sat, Mar 12, 9:33 am:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> > You would deny licenses to people based solely on age, without
>> > *any* evidence of age-related problems.
>
>> > That's just plain wrong.
>
>> But we'll never see agreement on that from Leonard.
>

>Would an arbitrary barrier, such as an age 14 barrier, have prevented
>either you or Jim from obtaining an amateur license?

Absolutely! They KNEW in advance all the glory,
majesty, nobility of amateur radio and its
attendant rank, title, privileges well before
age 14! They were destined for Greatness from
birth and, to this day, demand all love and respect
their royal titles.

All through loving, cherishing, honoring, and
obeying (the league on) morsemanship!

>Kelly has already gone on record as having began his amateur career
>sans any licensing whatsoever.

The Katapult King is above the law. He is from
Philly where all eat hoagies and fill their autos
with "gaz!" :-)

If we don't love, honor, cherish, and obey the
Mighty Macho Moresemen, we all "HATE" amateur
radio!

Tsk, tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2643. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 22:46:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <lMudnZ-j9bDJ7K7fRVn-vw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104159

Michael Black wrote:

> "Chris" (johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net) writes:
>
>>On 11 Mar 2005 14:09:42 -0800, Kï¿½HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> babbled:
>>
>>>More like "chickenshit coward by choice"
>>
>>
>>You obviously are totally ignorant of the recommendations for safe
>>Internet usage published by government organizations such as
>>www.ftc.gov. The U.S. FTC recommends against giving out personal
>>information anywhere on the Internet or on Usenet, and instead
>>suggests the use of handles or pseudonyms. It also suggests that
>>people go to some lengths to keep their names private even in such
>>formerly-public data bases such as domain name registrar "whois"
>>records. That's why major registrars now offer private registration.
>>
>
> So tell us how the internet is different form the "real world"?
>
> Hams have used their real names for decades, indeed the law requires
> it. They also have to identify themselves with their callsign, which
> is unique to each ham, and long before computers existed there were
> books where you could look up callsigns to get people's addresses.
>
> Every time I've had a letter published in the paper, it's had my name,
> and general location. Now admittedly I could be confused with
> others with the same name, but I sure don't use a pseudonym, or
> for that matter the paper is not likely to print the letter unless
> I use my real name (at the very least, they will expect a real
> name, and address, at the bottom of the letter, which in some cases
> they will not publish).
>
> When I had some small articles published in "73" decades ago, they
> included my name and callsign, and my address.
>
> I've posted to the newsgroups for a decade, and I've always used my
> real name, and even a completely legitimate email address that isn't
> mangled.
>
> In the local newsgroup, I've put in things over the years that deliberately
> place me in the real world; no stalkers have appeared at places where
> I clearly will be.

>
> Just because some government agency says something does not make it
> true.

Now is the time that paranoia is encouraged, on an institutional and
especially a personal level.

Especially pernicious is the encouragement of paranoia, coupled with
the "somehow this is your fault" syndrome.

And yet, the real problem isn't posting on netnews. It is companies
such as one in the US, that *willingly* gave out personal information of
thousands and more customers to bogus companies that are doing the
ultimate "phishing".

And I could even possibly accept the anominity aspect of posting, if it
wasn't for the fact that most of the anonymous ones are not exactly the
most civil posters.

How handy.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2644. Re: Radio Ham Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 22:53:09 UTC
From: Anon <Anon@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <ppKYd.52916$xt.27278@fed1read07>
Source record: 104163

Hans old man -- there are numerous organizations on the internet that indeed recommend the use of pseudonyms.

Here is a quote from URL:
http://www.privacyrights.org/fs/fs18-cyb.htm

"Be aware of the possible social dangers of being online: harassment, stalking, being "flamed" (emotional verbal attacks), or "spamming" (being sent unsolicited messages). Women can be vulnerable if their e-mail addresses are recognizable as women's names. Consider using gender-neutral e-mail addresses and pseudonyms."

Children are advised to use pseudonyms for obvious reasons.

Use google and look up "use of pseudonyms on the internet"

I remain -- Anon

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1110578982.255031.272560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Anon wrote:
>
>> Anon by necessity
>
> More like "chickenshit coward by choice"
>

2645. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 23:03:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42337531.D1B05822@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104160

bb wrote:
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > Ever read the book "Radio Rescue"? True story:
> >
> > Back in the early 1920s, a young boy in New York City became
> > the youngest ham in the country, being licensed at 10 or 11
> > years of age. He and his ham station were instrumental in
> > emergency communications during a Florida hurricane - all before
> > he was 14.
> >
> > Len would have forbid him from getting a license.
>
> There is no law that forbids anyone the use of amateur radio in a life
> or death emergency.

That's right, "bb". All the guy would have to have done would be to
quickly learn to send and receive morse code and put together or find
some radio equipment and learn to use it--all very straightforward.

Dave K8MN

2646. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 23:05:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423375C0.1305718D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104161

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > You would deny licenses to people based solely on age, without
> > > *any* evidence of age-related problems.
> > >
> > > That's just plain wrong.
> > >
> > But we'll never see agreement on that from Leonard.
> > >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Would an arbitrary barrier, such as an age 14 barrier, have prevented
> either you or Jim from obtaining an amateur license?

You must not be reading much of the material. Jim stated that he obtained his license at thirteen. Would a minimum age of fourteen have prevented his obtaining an amateur radio license at thirteen? You bet.

I obtained my license at age fourteen. How could a minimum age of fourteen have presented a problem?

Dave K8MN

2647. Re: Now THIS is COOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-12 23:09:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423376BD.97887B51@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104162

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: Brian Burke on Fri, Mar 11 2005 3:18 pm
>
> >> >>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBay....
> >>
> >> $102 for a WOMAN's long-sleeve T-shirt featuring
> >> "morse code" messages?!?!?
> >>
> >> ...truly, only a rabid MORSEAHOLIC would think
> >> that is "cool." :-)
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Wonder if they've got antabuse for morse code?
>
> If there was, the rabid morsehams would scream
> and holler abuse (not "antiabuse") at all those
> who made it, saying "THEY HATE HAM RADIO!!!"
>
> ["Real hams know code!"]
>
> :-)
>
> > Might be the next hot thing at Dayton.
>
> Wow...maybe it will be the start of a whole new
> hamfashion trend?!?
>
> The mind boggles at all those sweaty middle-aged
> morseaholics swishing around (some with exposed
> navels a la the Britney style) in morsetees and
> "key pushers" (new form of pedal pushers). Gay
> times ahead for Dayton! :-)

Better than a dose of Geritol, eh Leonard. They'll go nicely with the invisible robes and Waffen SS uniforms about which you've recently fantasized.

Dave K8MN

2648. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 00:03:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <drLYd.2328$qW.1488@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104164

"Bogart" <Anon@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:rwHYd.52894$xt.25804@fed1read07...
> Yes
>
> She Did
>
>

No

She didn't

And she wouldn't.

Dan/W4NTI

2649. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 00:11:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110672673.252300.220300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104165

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > You would deny licenses to people based solely on age, without
> > > > *any* evidence of age-related problems.
> > >
> > > That's just plain wrong.
> > >
> > > But we'll never see agreement on that from Leonard.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Would an arbitrary barrier, such as an age 14 barrier, have
prevented
> > either you or Jim from obtaining an amateur license?
>
> You must not be reading much of the material.

Correct. What clued you in? Perhaps the question I asked???

You know, I don't hang on every word that Jim types.

Jim stated that he
> obtained his license at thirteen.

Which I did not read. Thus the question. See how it works???

Would a minimum age of fourteen have
> prevented his obtaining an amateur radio license at thirteen? You
bet.

Not if he had approached amateur radio like Brian Kelly/W3RV did.

> I obtained my license at age fourteen. How could a minimum age of
> fourteen have presented a problem?
>
> Dave K8MN

It wouldn't have, David. You are an outsider WRT such an age
restriction, so I don't know why you are concerned.

2650. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 00:17:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110673034.993542.270660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104166

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >From Brian Burke on Sat, Mar 12, 9:33 am:
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >> > You would deny licenses to people based solely on age, without
> >> > *any* evidence of age-related problems.
> >
> >> > That's just plain wrong.
> >
> >> But we'll never see agreement on that from Leonard.
> >
>
> >Would an arbitrary barrier, such as an age 14 barrier, have
prevented
> >either you or Jim from obtaining an amateur license?
>
> Absolutely! They KNEW in advance all the glory,
> majesty, nobility of amateur radio and its
> attendant rank, title, privileges well before
> age 14! They were destined for Greatness from
> birth and, to this day, demand all love and respect
> their royal titles.
>
> All through loving, cherishing, honoring, and
> obeying (the league on) morsemanship!

I just don't know why these guys get so concerned over arbitrary
requirements, especially when they don't affect them.

2651. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 00:42:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110674547.758270.79410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104167

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > Brian Burke wrote on Wed, Mar 9 2005 3:29 pm:
> > >
> > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > >> bb wrote:
> > > >> > He just can't help himself, that's why. He's mental.
> > > >>
> > > >> You'll notice that when I genrerate a thread with such an
> > > >> allegation, I provide other corroborating comments, with links
> to
> > > >> pertinent threads.
> > > >
> > > >You "genrerate" lots and lots of comments. Lying comments. And
> > start
> > > >lots and lots of new threads. Lying threads. Usually shouting
> > > >someones name and trying to denigrate them. Repeated again and
> > again.
> > > >Perhaps someday someone will read them and beleive them. But
not
> > > >anytime soon, and no one of average or higher intelligence.
> > >
> > > Absolutely. That's been the style of the Avenging Angle
> > > of Dearth for years.
> >
> > No lies in that ovservation.
>
> Not sure what an "ovservation" is, but I am even more perplexed
by
> this constant reference to a person called "Avenging Angle".

"...of Dearth."

> > > It's a common propaganda technique familiarly called
> > > "the big Lie" wherein some untruth is repeated over
> > > and over and over and over again in public in an
> > > attempt to make others believe it is "true." It isn't.
> >
> > Yep. No lies in that observation.
>
> Correct. And the "Big Lie" ("I am going to get my Extra Lite
out
> of the box", for one example,

As long as there's an Extra class, Len is capable of doing just that.
Your only hope is to have that license renamed to something else when
the code requirement is dropped. Then you can keep hounding him.

Just like you are hounded for saying such stupid things like, "Sorry
Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."

or "Unlicensed devices play a major role
> in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H Anderson
and
> Brian P Burke.

Exactly what is wrong with that?

> Both are persistent liars. (I started to say "accomplished",
but
> so far neither one of them has been very good at it since they always
> seem to get caught.)

By you? You see lies behind every tree.

> > > The "big Lie" technique was used rather successfully
> > > by the Nazi party in the 1930s and 1940s.
> >
> > Yep. No lies in that observation.
>
> Godwin invoked.
>
> Rest snipped. No use wasting bandwidth on one liar trying to
> support the lies of another.
>
> Putzii.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Perhaps the Nazi party didn't successfully use the "big lie" technique.
Only Robeson knows for sure.

2652. Re: Radio Ham Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 00:58:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <CO2dnZjg-6HaDa7fRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104168

Anon wrote:

> Hans old man -- there are numerous organizations on the internet that indeed
> recommend the use of pseudonyms.
>
> Here is a quote from URL:
> http://www.privacyrights.org/fs/fs18-cyb.htm
>
> "Be aware of the possible social dangers of being online: harassment,
> stalking, being "flamed" (emotional verbal attacks), or "spamming" (being
> sent unsolicited messages). Women can be vulnerable if their e-mail
> addresses are recognizable as women's names. Consider using gender-neutral
> e-mail addresses and pseudonyms."

Wow. Do you mean that someone out there might be mean to people? Now
that is scary.

Sounds like the woman who got so worked up she had to go to a hospital
when her neighbors kids left her some cookies one night.

She sued, and won. Good for her. Live in fear. You won't live longer,
but it will sure seem like it.

> Children are advised to use pseudonyms for obvious reasons.

Parents are advised to not allow children on the internet unsupervised.
And any parent that allows instant messaging is getting more on their
computer than they think, fake name or not.

> Use google and look up "use of pseudonyms on the internet"
>
> I remain -- Anon

Congratulations!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2653. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 01:06:11 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110675971.317342.33020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104169

<<< I'M NOT A FUCKING RETARD! >>>

A couple of years ago you were advertising to buy a 50KW shortwave transmitter - financed with WAL MART wages and without any source of revenue to even pay the power bill on the 3 phase 480V power supply -AND- before you were denied a broadcast license seven times...that is pretty FUCKING RETARDED.

2654. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 02:05:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42339FD1.2504DE3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104170

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > You would deny licenses to people based solely on age, without
> > > > > *any* evidence of age-related problems.
> > > > >
> > > > > That's just plain wrong.
> > > > >
> > > > But we'll never see agreement on that from Leonard.

> > > Would an arbitrary barrier, such as an age 14 barrier, have
> prevented
> > > either you or Jim from obtaining an amateur license?
> >
> > You must not be reading much of the material.
>
> Correct. What clued you in? Perhaps the question I asked???

> You know, I don't hang on every word that Jim types.

> > Jim stated that he
> > obtained his license at thirteen.

> Which I did not read. Thus the question. See how it works???

I certainly do. You have comments and questions but haven't bothered to
read the material.

> Would a minimum age of fourteen have
> > prevented his obtaining an amateur radio license at thirteen? You
> bet.
>
> Not if he had approached amateur radio like Brian Kelly/W3RV did.

You'll likely want to take that issue up with W3RV. Make sure you've
read all the applicable material.

> > I obtained my license at age fourteen. How could a minimum age of
> > fourteen have presented a problem?

> It wouldn't have, David. You are an outsider WRT such an age
> restriction, so I don't know why you are concerned.

Guess what, "bb", there's likely another piece of information of which you are not aware. There was no age limit for amateur radio licensing when I obtained my license. Despite the efforts of Leonard H. Anderson, the FCC has still not seen fit to impose a minimum age limit.

Good luck on your quest for knowledge.

Dave K8MN

2655. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 02:09:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4233A0E8.9CF2EFD9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104171

bb wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > Brian Burke wrote on Wed, Mar 9 2005 3:29 pm:
> > > >
> > > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >> bb wrote:

> > Correct. And the "Big Lie" ("I am going to get my Extra Lite
> out
> > of the box", for one example,
>
> As long as there's an Extra class, Len is capable of doing just that.
> Your only hope is to have that license renamed to something else when
> the code requirement is dropped. Then you can keep hounding him.

Actually, the quote is that Len was going for the "Extra right out of the box" right after the regs were modified. Len isn't capable of getting any amateur radio license "right out of the box" now. The box has been opened for a number of years. At the moment, Len isn't interested in obtaining an amateur radio license. Stick around though--that changes from time to time.

Dave K8MN

2656. Re: Radio Ham Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 02:36:08 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1110681368.087389.291330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104172

Anon wrote:

>
> I remain -- Anon
>

You remain a refugee from Alice-in-Wonderland, a toothless and cowardly
lion.

Must be a bitch to go through life quivering in such impotent fear.

Boo!!!!

2657. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 02:37:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312213744.654$Vp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 104173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > Scott Slack wrote:
> >
> > > Proposal: Make 11 meters available to to HAMs as secondary use.
> > > Power
> > > restricted to 100 Watts. Then the HAMs can have QSOs with CBers.
> > > -Scott
> >
> > Why would hams want to operate on 11 meters and talk to cbers?
> >
> Is that a valid email addy JJ, or are you using a valid domain without
> permission?
>
Switch noted.. are you getting this snow in Denver too JJ?

2658. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 02:46:49 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <itqdnZ_3T_z3Mq7fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104175

N9OGL wrote:

> LISTEN YOU FUCKING PIECE OF FUCKING SHIT! I'M NOT A FUCKING RETARD! BUT
> HAVE BEEN FUCKING YOUR MAMA! AS FOR BROADCASTING, AND ALL YOU FUCKING
> ASS HAM OPERATORS LISTEN UP! I'VE APPLY SEVEN TIMES FOR A FUCKING
> LICENSE WITH WAIVER WHICH THE FCC WOULDN'T CONSIDER SO MY OPINION TO
> YOU FUCKING PUSSY ASS LICENSED FUCKING CB OPERATOR IS YOU,
> HOLLINGSWORTH, THE FCC CAN ALL GO FUCK YOURSELVES UP THE FUCKING ASSES!

Grow up troddyboy!

2659. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 03:01:49 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110679797.673107.205690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104174

Here's something Toddy posted 4 years ago on japan.internet.personal.
I'm still ROTFLMAO. I'm posting it here for youalls enjoyment. What a
nut case.

<<<Hello,

I'm looking to correspond ith japanese girls for friendship or for a
long term relationship. My name is Todd and I live in the small
community of Taylorville in the United states my hobbies are taveling
and reading and going to the movies. I'm well educated and hope to hear
from you so on so email me and well talk.

hope to hear from you soon
Todd >>>

2660. Re: Radio Ham Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 05:02:42 UTC
From: War Hero <wh@stoopidoofs.net>
Message-ID: <d10hde$9k9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104176

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1110681368.087389.291330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Anon wrote:
>
>>
>> I remain -- Anon
>>
>
> You remain a refugee from Alice-in-Wonderland, a toothless and cowardly
> lion.
>
> Must be a bitch to go through life quivering in such impotent fear.
>
> Boo!!!!
>

Still telling war hero stories down at the Legion Hall Hans?

2661. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 14:32:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110724338.719049.244920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104177

N9OGL wrote:

> LISTEN YOU ****ING PIECE OF ****ING ****!

Yep...that's the way to get folks ready to hear your side of it...

> I'M NOT A ****ING RETARD!

Sure you are. It's a law. Anyone who proclaims to NOT be a
"****ING RETARD" is one automatically. They prove thier own ignorance.

> BUT HAVE BEEN ****ING YOUR MAMA!

She's dead.

Did ya work up a good sweat digging that deep?

I hope you closed it back up and tamped the dirt back down.

And if you do it again, turn her over. It's got to be hard lying
in one position for all eternity.

> AS FOR BROADCASTING, AND ALL YOU ****ING
> *** HAM OPERATORS LISTEN UP! I'VE APPLY SEVEN TIMES FOR A ****ING
> LICENSE WITH WAIVER WHICH THE FCC WOULDN'T CONSIDER SO MY OPINION TO
> YOU ****ING ***** *** LICENSED ****ING CB OPERATOR IS YOU,
> HOLLINGSWORTH, THE FCC CAN ALL GO **** YOURSELVES UP THE ****ING
***ES!

Maybe they knew something then about your character that warranted
keeping you away from a radio transmitter.

Seems they were pretty dog-gone right to me!

> YOU ****ING BICTHWHORE MOTHER****ERS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I had to leave that one intact.

It's heartbreaking enough to see a Radio Amateur that is obviously
simple minded, obnoxious and foul mouthed, but it's even worse to see
him functionally illiterate AND profane.

What an idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

2662. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 14:35:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110724541.598901.76900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104178

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:

> or "Unlicensed devices play a major role
> > in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H Anderson
> and
> > Brian P Burke.
>
> Exactly what is wrong with that?

It's not the truth. That's why.

It really is THAT simple.

> > Both are persistent liars. (I started to say "accomplished",
> but
> > so far neither one of them has been very good at it since they
always
> > seem to get caught.)
>
> By you? You see lies behind every tree.

No, I don't. I "see lies" when you don't tell the truth.

Which is pretty darned often.

Steve, K4YZ

2663. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 14:44:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110725044.741818.259560@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104179

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You would deny licenses to people based solely on age,
without
> > > > > > *any* evidence of age-related problems.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's just plain wrong.
> > > > > >
> > > > > But we'll never see agreement on that from Leonard.
>
> > > > Would an arbitrary barrier, such as an age 14 barrier, have
> > prevented
> > > > either you or Jim from obtaining an amateur license?
> > >
> > > You must not be reading much of the material.
> >
> > Correct. What clued you in? Perhaps the question I asked???
>
>
> > You know, I don't hang on every word that Jim types.
>
> > > Jim stated that he
> > > obtained his license at thirteen.
>
> > Which I did not read. Thus the question. See how it works???
>
> I certainly do. You have comments and questions but haven't bothered
to
> read the material.

Jim's complaint concerns Len's wish for an arbitrary age 14 requirement
into the amateur service.

> > Would a minimum age of fourteen have
> > > prevented his obtaining an amateur radio license at thirteen?
You
> > bet.
> >

> > Not if he had approached amateur radio like Brian Kelly/W3RV did.
>
> You'll likely want to take that issue up with W3RV. Make sure you've
> read all the applicable material.

Kelly has stated that his first amateur experience was as a bootlegger.
How much more discussion is required?

> > > I obtained my license at age fourteen. How could a minimum age
of
> > > fourteen have presented a problem?
>
> > It wouldn't have, David. You are an outsider WRT such an age
> > restriction, so I don't know why you are concerned.
>
> Guess what, "bb", there's likely another piece of information of
which
> you are not aware. There was no age limit for amateur radio
licensing
> when I obtained my license. Despite the efforts of Leonard H.
Anderson,
> the FCC has still not seen fit to impose a minimum age limit.

So don't concern yourself. Len's suggestion never has and never will
affect you.

2664. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 14:46:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110725171.489124.215440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104180

N9OGL wrote:
> because I don't really think you know what the fucking law is.

Toddie, what grade did you graduate from?

Have you taken even a "101" course on grammar? Obviously not,
because your "posts' are some of the most disjointed, rambling bits of
diatribe I have EVER read.

Demonstrate least SOME educational finesse.

> Like all amteurs you look only at the amateur rules unaware that
there
> are other rules that apply to the amateur radio community. But go
talk
> to FCC or the subcommittees and they will tell you the same thing.
> because frankly you don't know what the **** your talking about.

Yes I do. And you are wrong.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve,K4YZ

2665. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 14:49:07 UTC
From: Chris <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcoeslf2d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 104181

On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 17:46:45 -0500, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> >
> > Just because some government agency says something does not make it
> > true.
>
> Now is the time that paranoia is encouraged, on an institutional and
> especially a personal level.

Sure. And when you're out for a drive or walk, go ahead and go
anywhere in town. Ignore the obvious signs of criminal activity and
go right into that rough looking bar to purchase a soft drink.

But you don't do that, do you? You avoid the rough areas of town, or
else make some other kind of adjustment to the dangers. Also, by
avoiding those areas, the people who live there are unlikely to take
notice of you.

> Especially pernicious is the encouragement of paranoia, coupled with
> the "somehow this is your fault" syndrome.

The internet is indeed real life, but it doesn't provide the same
signals to us as do the streets of a large city. Even now as you read
this, a potential burglar is probably scanning your computer for open
ports. That's the equivalent of someone coming over to your house and
testing out all the doors and windows.

And that's one of the big differences between the Internet and your
daily life. People *can* and *do* reach out and touch you with malice
aforethought, and they do it frequently and from all over the world.

> And yet, the real problem isn't posting on netnews. It is companies
> such as one in the US, that *willingly* gave out personal information of
> thousands and more customers to bogus companies that are doing the
> ultimate "phishing".

No, the real problem is ignorance and complaciency. When you deliver
your personal information into the hands of networked servers, you are
reaching a vastly larger audience than was ever before possible.
Thanks to places like groups.google.com, if you slip up even once and
give too much information out, your information is forever maintined
in a searchable data base, and it is there for enemy and criminal alike
to use, even in a future which you cannot yet anticipate.

The net isn't your usual "real life" activity, and if you treat it that
way, you will ultimately pay the price.

The Internet is dangerous enough for the uninformed that people have seriously proposed a licensing scheme - much like a driver's license - in order to keep the untrained user from crashing his vehicle on the information highway.

I think it's probably a good idea which will never be adopted. I'd like to see people be forced to use training wheels (subscribe to AOL or similar) until such time as they passed a written, multiple choice exam on the workings of the Internet. It is the ignorant who propagate email worms by continuing to open attachments, it is the ignorant who fall for phishing scams, and it is the ignorant who still insist that the internet is an okay place to unthinkingly publish personal information.

If it makes you feel like you have hair on your chest to do so, be my guest. To me, it proves that you have hair on your knuckles.

2666. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 14:56:30 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110725790.223654.173350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104182

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> Actually, the quote is that Len was going for the "Extra right out of
> the box" right after the regs were modified. Len isn't capable of
> getting any amateur radio license "right out of the box" now. The
box
> has been opened for a number of years. At the moment, Len isn't
> interested in obtaining an amateur radio license. Stick around
> though--that changes from time to time.
>
> Dave K8MN

Friggin Great! The damned box is empty. I thought that one day I
might want to upgrade, but now the box is empty. No one can ever again
get an Extra license from that box.

Who'd have thunk it?

Thanks, Dave. You've ruined my whole morning.

2667. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 15:04:30 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110726000.251261.297380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104184

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
>
> > or "Unlicensed devices play a major role
> > > in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H
Anderson
> > and
> > > Brian P Burke.
> >
> > Exactly what is wrong with that?
>
> It's not the truth. That's why.
>
> It really is THAT simple.

Prove they don't.

2668. Re: Mobile Radio Installation for Mazda Tribute

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 15:06:04 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <d8adnT8iK5mey6nfRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 104183

Hi Kim ......No I live about 30 miles S of Pittsburgh ..... just traded in a 626 which was 10 yrs old .....I would have kept the old girl but the transmission was just starting to slip. The only thing I have replaced was a radiator, water pump and 2 CV joints. The water pump was 2 days before I traded. I do also have a Miata which is now 15 yrs old with about 27 K. Knock on wood ..... just oil, gas, tires and 2 batteries so far. I have to get something in my Matrix as I miss my "extended family" on 2 meters. Again good luck with the Tribute.

God Bless and 73 .... Tom KI3R Belle Vernon Pa

2669. Re: Now THIS is COOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 15:14:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110726873.406052.155690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104185

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Brian Burke on Fri, Mar 11 2005 3:18 pm
>
> >> >>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBay....
> >>
> >> $102 for a WOMAN's long-sleeve T-shirt featuring
> >> "morse code" messages?!?!?
> >>
> >> ...truly, only a rabid MORSEAHOLIC would think
> >> that is "cool." :-)
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >>
> >Wonder if they've got antabuse for morse code?
>
> If there was, the rabid morsehams would scream
> and holler abuse (not "antiabuse") at all those
> who made it, saying "THEY HATE HAM RADIO!!!"
>
> ["Real hams know code!"]
>
> :-)
>
> > Might be the next hot thing at Dayton.
>
> Wow...maybe it will be the start of a whole new
> hamfashion trend?!?
>
> The mind boggles at all those sweaty middle-aged
> morseaholics swishing around (some with exposed
> navels a la the Britney style) in morsetees and
> "key pushers" (new form of pedal pushers). Gay
> times ahead for Dayton! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Len, come on out and see for youself. Petty good bunch of people even if many are overweight and in t-shirts 1 or 2 sizes too small. Some of the vendors have even figured out that sex appeal even works on hams, and have staffed their boothes with attractive women.

And they do have a testing session. Bring an ID and $12. Oops, forgot, Heil says no more licenses in that box. Wonder if the FCC knows that?

My biggest peeve is how crowded it gets, and the guys with backpacks.
Every time they turn, they knock into someone.

2670. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 17:02:58 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <1138sijlj75q6fd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 104186

Amen to that. In what you might call "local" elections (city, county, local school board, etc.) the conventional wisdom is that 30% of the voters know you and would vote for you if you were the devil incarnate. 30% of the voters know you and wouldn't vote for you if you were the second coming of Christ.

The other 40% haven't a CLUE and vote based on whose name they heard the most (or the last), whether or not you have a nice smile, or if you go to their church. THOSE are the ducks that you go hunting.

Jim

>
> Successful campaigning is all about identifying the 4th group, and
> getting them to vote for your candidate.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

2671. Re: Radio Ham Arrested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 17:12:00 UTC
From: Anon <Anon@Incognito.com>
Message-ID: <Av_Yd.53075$xt.3185@fed1read07>
Source record: 104187

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> in a befuzzled frozen Minnesotian state
wrote in message
news:1110681368.087389.291330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Anon wrote:
>
>>
>> I remain -- Anon
>>
>
> You remain a refugee from Alice-in-Wonderland, a toothless and cowardly
> lion.

No No Hans -- the cowardly lion was in "The Wizard Of Oz"

And you assumed my gender -- try "Wicked Witch of the West"

>
> Must be a bitch to go through life quivering in such impotent fear.

And you are correct (for a change) I am a bitch
>
> Boo!!!! B�� worked em in the contest

>I remain -- SheAnon

2672. This Is Really Cool Too!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 18:04:03 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110737043.682401.136240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104188

http://www.engadget.com/entry/1234000657035633/

2673. Re: This Is Really Cool Too!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 18:49:44 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@incognito.com>
Message-ID: <cX%Yd.53078$xt.1423@fed1read07>
Source record: 104189

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1110737043.682401.136240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.engadget.com/entry/1234000657035633/

Nokia files patent for Morse Code-generating cellphone

April Fool time ????

If not then only Hams and Morseholics can listen in

Narrows the field

>--
C. L. - Reader Beware
--
I doubt, therefore I might be !

2674. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 18:55:54 UTC
From: Founding Fathers <ff@anonfoundingx.org>
Message-ID: <d1227jddc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<mcalhoun@ksu.edu> wrote in message news:d11s7e$7q@unix2.cc.ksu.edu...
> >just to make sure i have it straight, if i were to transmit a sine wave
>>at 146mhz, anyone listening in on 146 mhz wouldn't hear anything
>>....[snip]....
>
> CW is NOT dead on two meters; anyone listening in CW mode would hear you!
> --
> --Myron A. Calhoun.
> Five boxes preserve our freedoms: soap, ballot, witness, jury, and
> cartridge
> PhD EE (retired). "Barbershop" tenor. CDL(PTXS). W0PBV. (785)
> 539-4448
> NRA Life Member and Certified Instructor (Home Firearm Safety, Rifle,
> Pistol)

Amen brother! Outstanding signature line. Only thing I can add is the "Cartridge Box" protects all the other boxes and is the one that is on the top of the big government blue state looney liberals' hit list. Democrats SUCK! I fear the federal government but love my country and its Constitution. John Kerry is a liar.

2675. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 19:07:33 UTC
From: Founding Fathers <ff@anonfoundingx.org>
Message-ID: <d122tf$f44$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104191

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1110655692.314154.28630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> whoever wrote:
>> > Blue State Liberal wrote:
>> >
>> > > In her continuing move to the right, U.S. Senator Hillary
>> > > Clinton today
>> > > stated on the floor of the Senate that there is far too
>> > > much filth and
>> > > family unfriendly programming on American TV.
>
> I am reminded of the classic line from MP:
>
> "I'm tired of all this sex on the television! I keep falling off!"
>
>> > > A few minutes later,
>> > > in what seemed an odd remark to make, Senator Clinton
>> > > stated were
>> > > it her decision, the FCC should have been dismantled and
>> > > shut down years ago.
>
> Well, it isn't her decision. The Clinton White House had 8 years
> to fix up FCC. They didn't. Shrub isn't doing any better but the
> Clintons got nothing to brag about either.
>>
>> > She has no power so it doesn't matter what she said about
>> > the FCC, She
>> > ought to look at bill before talking about filth and family
>> > values.
>
> Kinda surreal, isn't it?
>
>> I'd rethink that, Ken.
>>
>> Hillary has more power than any thieving white woman should be
>> allowed, but trust me, she's coniving and will have her way.
>
> What did she steal? (Or should I say - what didn't she...)
>
>> There are women out there who will vote for Hillary Clinton
>> based upon no other criteria than she IS a woman.
>

> Of course.
>
> There are also lots of people who will *not* vote for Hillary
> Clinton because she is a woman.
>
> There are also lots of people who will *not* vote for Hillary
> Clinton because she is a Clinton.
>
> There are also lots of people who will *not* vote for Hillary
> Clinton because she is a Democrat.
>
> And there are also lots of people who will *not* vote for Hillary
> Clinton because she is not the best choice for the job.
>
> It's clear she has presidential aspirations for 2008. That's
> about the best thing that could happen to the Republicans,
> because it will almost guarantee them a victory.
>
>>Forget that her husband was one of the most prolific pathological
>> liars in the White House in over 200 years and she helped him.
>
> That's not important, really.
>
> What matters is that, according to her, Bill lied *to her*
> repeatedly, yet she kept on believing him when he told new
> lies.
>
> Now either she's very gullible, or she is lying to us. Either
> way it doesn't say much for her qualifications.
>
> Fool me once, shame on you, fool me twice, shame on me.
>
>> (No
>> wonder folks like Lennie Anderson and Brian Burke don't think
>> twice
>> about doing it...Clinton set the trend...)
>
> Hmmmm...
>
>> Forget that she still has never satisfactorially answered the
>> questions about her real estate dealings. Heck no!
>> Martha Stewart
>> went to jail for 6 months just becasue she acted on a stock
>> tip, yet
>> Hillary won't pay so mauch as attention.
>>
> It's about getting caught. Martha got caught. Now watch - she
> will turn that whole thing to an advantage and will come back
> bigger than ever.
>

>> Forget that Hillary was responsible for STEALING real property
>> from the White House as she and Billary were on thier way
>> out the door
>> in 2000. "Oh...that's not ours? Well...I guess we'll put it
>> back..."
>> Dodged ayet another bullet.
>
> I think you mean "govt. property". REAL property means real estate.
>>
>> Forget that she facilitated (or at least tolerated) her
>> husband's
>> philanderings all-the-while promoting herself as the champion of
>> women's rights and equal opportunity.
>>
> See above about not seeing what was going on.
>
>> As Billary's "Health Care Advocate" in 90's, she didn't do a
>> single worthy thing. She and Bill brandished a "health care
>> card" at
>> his first "State of the Union" address, but that was the end of > it.
>> Health care benefits for the uninsured, under-insured, the aged > and
>> catastrophically ill or infirmed are threadbare, to say the
>> least.
>
> That one sank and never popped up again.
>>
>> But, unfortunatley, people will still vote for her...Again, just
>> because she wears a skirt.
>>
> I think there are far more who will not vote for her, for the reasons
> listed above.
>
> The fact is that in every election there are several groups:
>
> 1) Those who will vote Republican no matter what
> 2) Those who will vote Democrat no matter what
> 3) Those who won't vote, or will vote for a 3rd party candidate
> with absolutely no real chance of winning, no matter what
> 4) Those who are truly independent, and who may vote Democrat,
> Republican, 3rd party, or not at all, depending on a wide variety of
> factors, and whose votes really can decide an election.
>
> Successful campaigning is all about identifying the 4th group, and
> getting them to vote for your candidate.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Looney Liberal Blue state Democrats SUCK.

2676. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 19:27:42 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110742062.712107.213770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104192

"Aye, matey, toss the scurvy dogs, baten down the hatches and man the guns, there's piratin' to do!!!"

2677. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 19:45:30 UTC
From: Julio DeJesus <salvi145@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1110743130.289536.153730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104193

Bathrooman wrote:
> "Aye, matey, toss the scurvy dogs, baten down the hatches and man the
> guns, there's piratin' to do!!!"

Yes, Toddy, N9OGL is a real stupid guy. No doubt about that Bathrooman! I understand he applied for a BC license 7 times. What a friggin idiot man. I also understand from the great Bathrooman that Toddyboy thought that Metallica had actually filed a lawsuit against a Canadian group for using E and F chords on a guitar. Apparently Toddyboy believed it. What a friggin moron this guy Toddy is. Unbelievable!!! I also understand that he wanted to find out how to make a microwave transmitter out of a discarded microwave oven. Buy, this Toddy is a friggin RETARD!!!!!!!!

Julio DeJesus

2678. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 21:15:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4234AD14.16185826@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104194

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > > > > Jim stated that he
> > > > > obtained his license at thirteen.
> > >
> > > Which I did not read. Thus the question. See how it works???
> >
> > I certainly do. You have comments and questions but haven't bothered
> to
> > read the material.
>
> Jim's complaint concerns Len's wish for an arbitrary age 14 requirement
> into the amateur service.

So you got the first part but seemingly missed some vital information.

> > > Would a minimum age of fourteen have
> > > > prevented his obtaining an amateur radio license at thirteen?
> You
> > > bet.
> > >
> > > Not if he had approached amateur radio like Brian Kelly/W3RV did.
> >
> > You'll likely want to take that issue up with W3RV. Make sure you've
> > read all the applicable material.
>
> Kelly has stated that his first amateur experience was as a bootlegger.
> How much more discussion is required?

None with me.

> > > > I obtained my license at age fourteen. How could a minimum age
> of
> > > > fourteen have presented a problem?
> >
> > It wouldn't have, David. You are an outsider WRT such an age
> > restriction, so I don't know why you are concerned.

> >
> > Guess what, "bb", there's likely another piece of information of
> which
> > you are not aware. There was no age limit for amateur radio
> licensing
> > when I obtained my license. Despite the efforts of Leonard H.
> Anderson,
> > the FCC has still not seen fit to impose a minimum age limit.
>
> So don't concern yourself. Len's suggestion never has and never will
> affect you.

Any changes made to amateur radio regulation effect those who are
already licensed.

Dave K8MN

2679. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 21:16:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4234AD68.D4D012E1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104195

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > >
> > Actually, the quote is that Len was going for the "Extra right out of
> > the box" right after the regs were modified. Len isn't capable of
> > getting any amateur radio license "right out of the box" now. The
> box
> > has been opened for a number of years. At the moment, Len isn't
> > interested in obtaining an amateur radio license. Stick around
> > though--that changes from time to time.
> > >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Friggin Great! The damned box is empty. I thought that one day I
> might want to upgrade, but now the box is empty. No one can ever again
> get an Extra license from that box.
>
> Who'd have thunk it?
>
> Thanks, Dave. You've ruined my whole morning.

You've ruined your morning through your neglect of the word "right".

Dave K8MN

2680. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 21:17:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4234ADA7.C7DE7DB1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104196

bb wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > or "Unlicensed devices play a major role
> > > > in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H
> Anderson
> > > and
> > > > Brian P Burke.
> > >
> > > Exactly what is wrong with that?
> >
> > It's not the truth. That's why.
> >
> > It really is THAT simple.
>
> Prove they don't.

The burden of proof would be on you, "bb". One can't prove a negative
as Cecil was so fond of writing.

Dave K8MN

2681. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 21:47:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <A6KdnZuZOdZDKanfRVn-pQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104197

Chris wrote:

> If it makes you feel like you have hair on your chest to do so, be my
> guest. To me, it proves that you have hair on your knuckles.

No Chris, or whoever you are. It doesn't.

Sorry you think I have hair on my knuckles.

And sonnavagun! You prove my point of the anonymous posters and their basic incivility. I doubt that you would call me retarded in a face to face meeting. Yet here you see that as acceptable behavior. Good for you, Chris!

Since you feel comfortable enough to call me a mentally challenged person, I will give my opinion of you.

You are a fine example of the modern person that attempts to that attempts to define their fear of life as a form of superiority. Sad sad, sad.

Embrace it, enjoy it, it fits, eh?

As long as you are happy with that, have at it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2682. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 23:12:58 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110755578.351914.50040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104198

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > Actually, the quote is that Len was going for the "Extra right
out of
> > > the box" right after the regs were modified. Len isn't capable
of
> > > getting any amateur radio license "right out of the box" now.
The
> > box
> > > has been opened for a number of years. At the moment, Len isn't
> > > interested in obtaining an amateur radio license. Stick around
> > > though--that changes from time to time.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > Friggin Great! The damned box is empty. I thought that one day I
> > might want to upgrade, but now the box is empty. No one can ever
again
> > get an Extra license from that box.
> >
> > Who'd have thunk it?
> >
> > Thanks, Dave. You've ruined my whole morning.
>
> You've ruined your morning through your neglect of the word "right".
>
> Dave K8MN

David, which part wasn't right?

2683. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 23:13:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110755630.658114.100810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104199

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > or "Unlicensed devices play a major role
> > > > > in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H
> > Anderson
> > > > > and
> > > > > Brian P Burke.
> > > >
> > > > Exactly what is wrong with that?
> > > >
> > > It's not the truth. That's why.
> > >
> > > It really is THAT simple.
> > >
> > Prove they don't.
> >
> The burden of proof would be on you, "bb". One can't prove a
negative
> as Cecil was so fond of writing.
>
> Dave K8MN

Well, there you have it!

2684. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 23:20:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110756009.335613.203680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104200

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Any changes made to amateur radio regulation effect those who are
> already licensed.
>
> Dave K8MN

I don't see how. Will you be at least 14 years of age when the FCC
drags you in for a retest?

2685. Re: This Is Really Cool Too!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-13 23:27:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110756465.331488.28210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104201

Caveat Lector wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1110737043.682401.136240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > http://www.engadget.com/entry/1234000657035633/
>
> Nokia files patent for Morse Code-generating cellphone
>
> April Fool time ????
>
> If not then only Hams and Morseholics can listen in
>
> Narrows the field
>
> >--
> C. L. - Reader Beware
> --
> I doubt, therefore I might be !

If Dick/W0EX were still around, I'll be he could send the code so badly
that even a VP of Nokia couldn't copy it.

2686. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 01:28:57 UTC
From: Chris <johnson38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <coeslf234d1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 104202

On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 16:47:10 -0500, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
> And sonnavagun! You prove my point of the anonymous posters and their
> basic incivility. I doubt that you would call me retarded in a face to
> face meeting. Yet here you see that as acceptable behavior. Good for
> you, Chris!

Of course it was okay when this whole thread got started by one of
your knuckle-dragging, non-anonymous brethren who said "More like
"chickenshit coward by choice" about people who are savvy enough to
remain anonymous for general posting. That was K0HB, the epitomy of
the manners that you seem to think go along with non-anonymous posting.

The point is that, anonymous or not, bad manners are not predictable
by the anonymity or lack thereof of the poster. That's just your
prejudice speaking to you.

And sonnavagun! You prove by means of your own posts that prejudice is
your forte. Good for you, Mike!

2687. Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 02:07:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <GK2dnUmUFe1VbKnfRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104203

Hello all,

This is sort of an update on the near space ballooning project I was working on.

Despite what we plan on, we are some times steered in other direction by events. A number of these events have occurred in the club I am a member of, and the balloon project has been temporarily slowed by my new position as Chairman of the Pennsylvania QSO party.

The PAQSO party is the largest state QSO party, and will take up a lot of my time. This means the ballooning project will be on the back burner for a year or so.

I'm a bit disappointed that there is a delay, but am plenty excited about the prospects of running the QSO party.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2688. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 02:21:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <RNydnXIS_dSpaKnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104204

Chris wrote:
> On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 16:47:10 -0500, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>> And sonnavagun! You prove my point of the anonymous posters and their
>>basic incivility. I doubt that you would call me retarded in a face to
>>face meeting. Yet here you see that as acceptable behavior. Good for
>>you, Chris!
>
>
> Of course it was okay when this whole thread got started by one of
> your knuckle-dragging, non-anonymous brethren who said "More like
> "chickenshit coward by choice" about people who are savvy enough to
> remain anonymous for general posting. That was K0HB, the epitomy of
> the manners that you seem to think go along with non-anonymous posting.

No, it isn't okay. No one should use bad manners. And that is just a minor point anyhow. I was attracted to this thread by statements of how using an anonymous name was going to protect you.

It won't.

> The point is that, anonymous or not, bad manners are not predictable
> by the anonymity or lack thereof of the poster. That's just your
> prejudice speaking to you.
>
> And sonnavagun! You prove by means of your own posts that prejudice is
> your forte. Good for you, Mike!

Not the best example of logic, Chris. I never spoke to the manners of those who would identify themselves. And even that aside, why don't you compare the typical manners of the aggregate of the anonymous posters. A large percent make Steve and Hans look like choirboys.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2689. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 02:22:35 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.61.0503140218450.77@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 104205

On Mon, 7 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> D. Stussy wrote:
> > On Mon, 28 Feb 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > > Regardless, there are two things being varied: The mark/space
> > > condition, and
> > > > the duration of each, so there is no way that morse code can be
> > > binary.
> > >
> > > Sure it can.
> > >
> > > But the REAL question is how can we blame it on Bill Pasternak
> and
> > > threaten to sue him over it.....?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > The very comment above is absolute proof of Steve Robeson's
> stupidity.
>
> How's that, Dieter?

Which word was beyond your understanding? Everyone else clearly understood my statement about your [lack of] mental ability. There is no ambiguity.

> Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then getting
> your nose rubbed in it?

I haven't made any stupid assertions.

I have made only one conclusion - and you have failed to provide any evidence (let alone credible evidence) that even hints that my conclusion is wrong. Since there are TWO things being varied, there is a minimum of four states, and thus code cannot be binary (two states). QED, asshole.

2690. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 02:30:31 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.61.0503140228010.77@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 104206

On Thu, 10 Mar 2005, Blue State Liberal wrote:
> In her continuing move to the right, U.S. Senator Hillary Clinton today
> stated on the floor of the Senate that there is far too much filth and
> family unfriendly programming on American TV. A few minutes later,
> in what seemed an odd remark to make, Senator Clinton stated were
> it her decision, the FCC should have been dismantled and shut down
> years ago.

Like her words mean anything. Had she been present in her marriage when she
was "First Lady" (if she could ever be called a lady; dyke is more like it),
then that filth of a husband she has wouldn't have caused disrespect to this
country or its highest office. Hypocritical bitch.

2691. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 03:28:12 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39kepjF64e6inU1@individual.net>
Source record: 104207

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:drLYd.2328$qW.1488@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Bogart" <Anon@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:rwHYd.52894$xt.25804@fed1read07...
> > Yes
> >
> > She Did
> >
> >
>
> No
>
> She didn't
>
> And she wouldn't.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
She couldn't be worse than what we have. If Hillary is the Democratic
nominee, I'll vote for her rather than more of the same from the
Repooblicans.

Yep, drill for more oil. The Republican answer is that there is always
more. We are in a bad way and few realize it. Believe it; we are at the
mercy of the Middle East oil producers (which is why Bush is now pursuing
Iran and Syria rather than North Korea).

Canada was smart. They wanted nothing to do with the missile defense
system. They know that Bush wants someone else to pay for it LOL.

Meanwhile, back at the ranch, the deficit continues to grow. This is *not*
a conservative agenda.
Conservatives would have had a fit a long time ago with the deficits. This
is an attempt to completely dismantle all social programs, including VA care
(yep, you guys serving in Iraq are going to discover all about the new rules
that get worse every year). Thanks, Repooblicans, for supporting our
troops - *not*. BTW, I am working with a guy who served in Desert Storm
(remember that one?). He has a 10% service connected disability and can get
no VA care. As the only game in town is contract, he has no health care at
all.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2692. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 03:35:40 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39kf7kF62inruU1@individual.net>
Source record: 104208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Founding Fathers" <ff@anonfoundingx.org> wrote in message
news:d1227jddc0@pita.alt.net...
>
> <mcalhoun@ksu.edu> wrote in message news:d11s7e$7q@unix2.cc.ksu.edu...
> > >just to make sure i have it straight, if i were to transmit a sine wave
> >>at 146mhz, anyone listening in on 146 mhz wouldn't hear anything
> >>....[snip]....
> >
> > CW is NOT dead on two meters; anyone listening in CW mode would hear
you!
> > --
> > --Myron A. Calhoun.
> > Five boxes preserve our freedoms: soap, ballot, witness, jury, and
> > cartridge
> > PhD EE (retired). "Barbershop" tenor. CDL(PTXS). W0PBV. (785)
> > 539-4448
> > NRA Life Member and Certified Instructor (Home Firearm Safety, Rifle,
> > Pistol)
>
>
> Amen brother! Outstanding signature line. Only thing I can add is the
> "Cartridge Box" protects all the other boxes and is the one that is on
the
> top of the big government blue state looney liberals' hit list.
Democrats
> SUCK! I fear the federal government but love my country and its
> Constitution. John Kerry is a liar.
>
The Repooblicans did a real spin on Kerry. And you bought it. They were
going to attack the AARP as a *gay* association! Good lord, if it weren't
for the many Bible-thumping modest double-digit IQ types, the Republicans
couldn't get off the ground. Fortunately, for them, there are a *lot* of
Bible-thumping double-digit IQ types and with the endless funding from big
business - well, you will discover what happens.

Since jobs moved to China, I'm thinking of buying from the company that
bought the IBM personal computer division. The profits might as well go
there as well.

Why does a guy with whom I work have a 10% service connected disability and
cannot get VA care (despite an honorable discharge)? They keep cutting VA
funding.
He has no health insurance. He is working repairing digital cameras, so he
is not totally brain-dead. Unfortunately, only contract work is available

these days and wages are not only stagnating, they are going down.

Canada was smart. They knew the U.S. wanted them in on the missile defense so Canada could pay - and Canadians are *not* stupid, unlike many Americans who buy the Repooblican agenda.

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2693. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 03:42:51 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39kfl2F63klioU1@individual.net>
Source record: 104209

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:RNydnXIS_dSpaKnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com...
>
>
> Chris wrote:
> > On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 16:47:10 -0500, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
wrote:
> >
> >> And sonnavagun! You prove my point of the anonymous posters and their
> >>basic incivility. I doubt that you would call me retarded in a face to
> >>face meeting. Yet here you see that as acceptable behavior. Good for
> >>you, Chris!
> >
> >
> > Of course it was okay when this whole thread got started by one of
> > your knuckle-dragging, non-anonymous brethren who said "More like
> > "chickenshit coward by choice" about people who are savvy enough to
> > remain anonymous for general posting. That was K0HB, the epitomy of
> > the manners that you seem to think go along with non-anonymous posting.
>
> No, it isn't okay. No one should use bad manners. And that is just a
> minor point anyhow. I was attracted to this thread by statements of how
> using an anonymous name was going to protect you.
>
> It won't.
>
> > The point is that, anonymous or not, bad manners are not predictable
> > by the anonymity or lack thereof of the poster. That's just your
> > prejudice speaking to you.
> >
> > And sonnavagun! You prove by means of your own posts that prejudice is
> > your forte. Good for you, Mike!
>
> Not the best example of logic, Chris. I never spoke to the manners of
> those who would identify themselves. And even that aside, why don't you
> compare the typical manners of the aggregate of the anonymous posters. A
> large percent make Steve and Hans look like choirboys.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
Mike,

Might I kindly suggest that it is pointless trying to argue with a modest
double-digit IQ type?

Anonimity died years ago, if not decades ago. Folks use it when they want to flame on the Internet (or go persuing underage girls or boys).

The original comment of "chickenshit coward" was well made, even if a bit harsh ;)

Sigh ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2694. New Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 03:50:08 UTC
From: chuck Adkins <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110772208.942787.138470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104210

No censoring, No politics, No B.S.

Come on down...

http://s9.invisionfree.com/Radio_Waves/

2695. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 03:52:04 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39kg6cF63d556U1@individual.net>
Source record: 104211

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1110655692.314154.28630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
<<snip>>
> The fact is that in every election there are several groups:
>
> 1) Those who will vote Republican no matter what
> 2) Those who will vote Democrat no matter what
> 3) Those who won't vote, or will vote for a 3rd party candidate
> with absolutely no real chance of winning, no matter what
> 4) Those who are truly independent, and who may vote Democrat,
> Republican, 3rd party, or not at all, depending on a wide variety of
> factors, and whose votes really can decide an election.
>
> Successful campaigning is all about identifying the 4th group, and
> getting them to vote for your candidate.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Hello, Jim

I used to try and look at the candidates, but what the current administration is doing and setting us up for - I will, unfortunately, be voting straight Democrat until things are a bit more balanced.

I know that sounds stupid, but when I found out that a co-worker was in Desert Storm and has a 10% service connected disability ... and can *not* get VA care ...(yep, even with an honorable discharge, you must serve at least 2 years now to be treated for anything other than the service connected disability).

Being a Vietnam veteran and seeing the changes at the VA, I honestly do *not* believe the Republicans support the troops. They wave the flag and make speeches (even visit the troops), but then forget them.

I couldn't believe they were going to attack the AARP as having a "gay" agenda! The big deal is that they have dug a huge monetary pit and don't want to repay the "borrowed" funds from Social Security. You think Hillary Clinton or Martha Stewart are/were crooks?

So, unless the Republicans can come up with a candidate with something on their mind other than big money, I have to vote something else. 3rd party won't cut it as has been proven in the past.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2696. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 03:58:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <poadnRxdGfBolqjfRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104212

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

> Might I kindly suggest that it is pointless trying to argue with a modest
> double-digit IQ type?

Probably true, Jim.

> Anonimity died years ago, if not decades ago. Folks use it when they want
> to flame on the Internet (or go persuing underage girls or boys).

Yup. There is some legitimate argument to the idea that those who would
use their real name are a lot more likely to be on the up and up.

> The original comment of "chickenshit coward" was well made, even if a bit
> harsh ;)

Well, no argument there.......

- Mike KB3EIA -

2697. Re: New Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 03:59:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <poadnR9dGfCskajfRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104213

chuck Adkins wrote:

> No censoring, No politics, No B.S.
>
> Come on down...

So if someone wants to B.S, or do politics, then what happens???? hehe.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2698. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 05:00:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423519FC.80A4573E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104214

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Actually, the quote is that Len was going for the "Extra right
> out of
> > > > > the box" right after the regs were modified. Len isn't capable
> of
> > > > > getting any amateur radio license "right out of the box" now.
> The
> > > box
> > > > > has been opened for a number of years. At the moment, Len isn't
> > > > > interested in obtaining an amateur radio license. Stick around
> > > > > though--that changes from time to time.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Friggin Great! The damned box is empty. I thought that one day I
> > > > might want to upgrade, but now the box is empty. No one can ever
> again
> > > > get an Extra license from that box.
> > > >
> > > > Who'd have thunk it?
> > > >
> > > > Thanks, Dave. You've ruined my whole morning.
> > >
> > You've ruined your morning through your neglect of the word "right".

> David, which part wasn't right?

I didn't write that something wasn't right. I wrote that you neglected
the word "right".

Dave K8MN

2699. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 05:01:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42351A43.3311D123@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104215

bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Any changes made to amateur radio regulation effect those who are
> > already licensed.

> I don't see how. Will you be at least 14 years of age when the FCC
> drags you in for a retest?

I'm not scheduled. I'm not likely to be scheduled and the FCC doesn't
drag anyone for anything.

Dave K8MN

2700. Re: This Is Really Cool Too!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 05:03:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42351AA3.351F4C7A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104216

bb wrote:
>
> Caveat Lector wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1110737043.682401.136240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > http://www.engadget.com/entry/1234000657035633/
> >
> > Nokia files patent for Morse Code-generating cellphone
> >
> > April Fool time ????
> >
> > If not then only Hams and Morseholics can listen in
> >
> > Narrows the field
> >
> > >--
> > C. L. - Reader Beware
> > --
> > I doubt, therefore I might be !
>
> If Dick/W0EX were still around, I'll be he could send the code so badly
> that even a VP of Nokia couldn't copy it.

Careful, "bb". Most of the Finnish management guys are hams and morse
users.

Dave K8MN

2701. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 06:12:39 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <rX9Zd.3297$qf2.2575@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104217

>
> Hillary in 2008 !

And if Condilesa(sp) Rice runs on the Republican ticket....

How about a swimsuit debate..... ;-)
On second thought, maybe not....

2702. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 06:26:18 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <e8aZd.3301$qf2.2721@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104218

>
> She has no power so it doesn't matter what she said about the FCC, She
> ought to look at bill before talking about filth and family values.
> Ken N8CGY
>

Vs someone who lied about weapons of mass destruction?

You know when there's a problem when politicians talk
about "family values", "school prayer", and similar
distractions instead of the important stuff like the
economy and Iraq. Hiding behind the flag or the Bible
is not a good way to run the country.

Over the last 50 years I've seen no significant change
in the moral or family values in the USA. We've been
"going to hell in a handbasket" for so long we should
have gotten to hell a long time ago.

2703. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 06:40:22 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <qlaZd.3309$qf2.146@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104219

>
> Forget that her husband was one of the most prolific pathological
> liars in the White House in over 200 years and she helped him. (No
> wonder folks like Lennie Anderson and Brian Burke don't think twice
> about doing it...Clinton set the trend...)
>
Nowheres near as bad as Nixon. Nixon rigged a presidential election,
which is a hell of a lot more serious than Clinton getting
sex in the Oval Office.

> Forget that she still has never satisfactorially answered the
> questions about her real estate dealings. Heck no! Martha Stewart
> went to jail for 6 months just becasue she acted on a stock tip, yet
> Hillary won't pay so mauch as attention.

A trivial matter compared to what Nixon did. They didn't
find anything more evil than what normally goes on in
the business world anyway.
>
> Forget that Hillary was responsible for STEALING real property
> from the White House as she and Billary were on thier way out the door
> in 2000. "Oh...that's not ours? Well...I guess we'll put it back..."
> Dodged ayet another bullet.

Big Whoop.

>
> Forget that she facilitated (or at least tolerated) her husband's
> philanderings all-the-while promoting herself as the champion of
> women's rights and equal opportunity.
>
> As Billary's "Health Care Advocate" in 90's, she didn't do a
> single worthy thing. She and Bill brandished a "health care card" at
> his first "State of the Union" address, but that was the end of it.
> Health care benefits for the uninsured, under-insured, the aged and
> catastrophically ill or infirmed are threadbare, to say the least.
They didn't horsetrade well enough like a skillful politician....

But they did reform Welfare, something the Republicans wanted
to do but never did themselves.
>
> But, unfortunatley, people will still vote for her...Again, just
> because she wears a skirt.
>
Better her than some Republican... :-)

2704. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 06:44:11 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <%oaZd.3310$qf2.875@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104220

>
>
> I had occasion to meet and chat with Reed Hundt a few times. There
> is no doubt in my mind the man did not know his proverbial ass
> from a hole in the ground when it came to functioning as head of the
> FCC. Incompetent comes to mind, but that is far too polite to describe
> the man. However, he was well-connected, which once again proves
> it is not what you know but WHO you know (the Clintons).
>

You seriously think that they'd select someone who actually
understands the physics of radio in charge of the FCC? Get
real....

2705. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 06:54:35 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <LyaZd.3539$qW.2590@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104221

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1110655692.314154.28630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> <<snip>>
>
>>The fact is that in every election there are several groups:
>>
>>1) Those who will vote Republican no matter what
>>2) Those who will vote Democrat no matter what
>>3) Those who won't vote, or will vote for a 3rd party candidate
>> with absolutely no real chance of winning, no matter what
>>4) Those who are truly independent, and who may vote Democrat,
>>Republican, 3rd party, or not at all, depending on a wide variety of
>>factors, and whose votes really can decide an election.
>>
>>Successful campaigning is all about identifying the 4th group, and
>>getting them to vote for your candidate.

Sometimes that means using crap like "family values" to
get the dumb ones to vote for the guy that will do for
them a worse job.
>>

>
> I used to try and look at the candidates, but what the current
> administration is doing and setting us up for - I will, unfortunately, be
> voting straight Democrat until things are a bit more balanced.
>

>
> I couldn't believe they were going to attack the AARP as having a "gay"
> agenda! The big deal is that they have dug a huge monetary pit and don't
> want to repay the "borrowed" funds from Social Security. You think Hillary
> Clinton or Martha Stewart are/were crooks?

All they have to do is increase the threshold where you stop paying
"FICA" from your paychecks. Oh wait, that's taxing the rich, can't
have that....
>
> So, unless the Republicans can come up with a candidate with something on
> their mind other than big money, I have to vote something else. 3rd party
> won't cut it as has been proven in the past.

Voting 3rd party is like giving it to the Republicans, so I won't
vote 3rd party.

>
Last year nobody in these newsgroups could give me a reason
to vote for Bush. It's the economy stupid. What, I
should reward Bush for my income going way down....

2706. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 06:56:57 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ZAaZd.3540$qW.1182@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104222

>
> Looney Liberal Blue state Democrats SUCK.
>
>
>
>

Idiot Redneck Red state Republicans ..... :-)

(Time for a good old fashioned political flame war....)

2707. Re: This Is Really Cool Too!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 06:59:14 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6DaZd.3541$qW.1088@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104223

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> http://www.engadget.com/entry/1234000657035633/
>

Required accessory: A Vibroplex Bug, or at least a
straight key.....

2708. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 07:18:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1110784697.172259.55280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104224

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Hello all,
>
> This is sort of an update on the near space ballooning project I was

> working on.
>
> Despite what we plan on, we are some times steered in other
direction
> by events. A number of these events have occurred in the club I am a
> member of, and the balloon project has been temporarily slowed by my
new
> position as Chairman of the Pennsylvania QSO party.
>
> The PAQSO party is the largest state QSO party, and will take up a
lot
> of my time. This means the ballooning project will be on the back
burner
> for a year or so.
>
> I'm a bit disappointed that there is a delay, but am plenty excited
> about the prospects of running the QSO party.

. . . hmmmmm . . HMMMM . . I was gonna leap all over this one but then
I decided to not respond at all . . .

> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

2709. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 11:01:30 UTC
From: Founding Fathers <ff@anonfoundingx.org>
Message-ID: <d13qsudsm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:39kf7kF62inruU1@individual.net...
>
> "Founding Fathers" <ff@anonfoundingx.org> wrote in message
> news:d1227jddc0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> <mcalhoun@ksu.edu> wrote in message news:d11s7e$7q@unix2.cc.ksu.edu...
>> > >just to make sure i have it straight, if i were to transmit a sine
>> > >wave
>> >>at 146mhz, anyone listening in on 146 mhz wouldn't hear anything
>> >>....[snip]....
>> >
>> > CW is NOT dead on two meters; anyone listening in CW mode would hear
> you!
>> > --
>> > --Myron A. Calhoun.
>> > Five boxes preserve our freedoms: soap, ballot, witness, jury, and
>> > cartridge
>> > PhD EE (retired). "Barbershop" tenor. CDL(PTXS). W0PBV. (785)
>> > 539-4448
>> > NRA Life Member and Certified Instructor (Home Firearm Safety, Rifle,
>> > Pistol)
>>
>>
>> Amen brother! Outstanding signature line. Only thing I can add is the
>> "Cartridge Box" protects all the other boxes and is the one that is on
> the
>> top of the big government blue state looney liberals' hit list.
> Democrats
>> SUCK! I fear the federal government but love my country and its
>> Constitution. John Kerry is a liar.
>>
> The Repooblicans did a real spin on Kerry. And you bought it. They were
> going to attack the AARP as a *gay* association! Good lord, if it weren't
> for the many Bible-thumping modest double-digit IQ types, the Republicans
> couldn't get off the ground. Fortunately, for them, there are a *lot* of
> Bible-thumping double-digit IQ types and with the endless funding from big
> business - well, you will discover what happens.
>
> Since jobs moved to China, I'm thinking of buying from the company that
> bought the IBM personal computer division. The profits might as well go
> there as well.
>

> Why does a guy with whom I work have a 10% service connected disability
> and
> cannot get VA care (despite an honorable discharge)? They keep cutting VA
> funding.
> He has no health insurance. He is working repairing digital cameras, so
> he
> is not totally brain-dead. Unfortunately, only contract work is available
> these days and wages are not only stagnating, they are going down.
>
> Canada was smart. They knew the U.S. wanted them in on the missile
> defense
> so Canada could pay - and Canadians are *not* stupid, unlike many
> Americans
> who buy the Repooblican agenda.
>
>
> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

ROTFLMAO! Take a look Sherlock. It was a troll, and *you* were the
only one who bought it. Who is your hero? Michael Moore? LOL
hahahahahhahahahahahahahahahahah

2710. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 11:14:38 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <r@rabbiwholines.net>
Message-ID: <d13riv$f65$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104226

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:LyaZd.3539$qW.2590@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1110655692.314154.28630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> <<snip>>
>>
>>>The fact is that in every election there are several groups:
>>>
>>>1) Those who will vote Republican no matter what
>>>2) Those who will vote Democrat no matter what
>>>3) Those who won't vote, or will vote for a 3rd party candidate
>>> with absolutely no real chance of winning, no matter what
>>>4) Those who are truly independent, and who may vote Democrat,
>>>Republican, 3rd party, or not at all, depending on a wide variety of
>>>factors, and whose votes really can decide an election.
>>>
>>>Successful campaigning is all about identifying the 4th group, and
>>>getting them to vote for your candidate.
>
> Sometimes that means using crap like "family values" to
> get the dumb ones to vote for the guy that will do for
> them a worse job.
>>>
>
>>
>> I used to try and look at the candidates, but what the current
>> administration is doing and setting us up for - I will, unfortunately, be
>> voting straight Democrat until things are a bit more balanced.
>>
>
>>
>> I couldn't believe they were going to attack the AARP as having a "gay"
>> agenda! The big deal is that they have dug a huge monetary pit and don't
>> want to repay the "borrowed" funds from Social Security. You think
>> Hillary
>> Clinton or Martha Stewart are/were crooks?
>
> All they have to do is increase the threshold where you stop paying
> "FICA" from your paychecks. Oh wait, that's taxing the rich, can't
> have that....
>>
>> So, unless the Republicans can come up with a candidate with something on

>> their mind other than big money, I have to vote something else. 3rd
>> party
>> won't cut it as has been proven in the past.
>
> Voting 3rd party is like giving it to the Republicans, so I won't
> vote 3rd party.
>>
> Last year nobody in these newsgroups could give me a reason
> to vote for Bush. It's the economy stupid. What, I
> should reward Bush for my income going way down....

Do you always wait to have other people tell you who to vote for?
Nevermind, I see now, you blue state looney liberals are not capable
of deciding which candidate to vote for on your own.

2711. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 11:20:20 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <r@rabbiwholines.net>
Message-ID: <d13rtlfov0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104227

"JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:39kepjF64e6inU1@individual.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:drLYd.2328$qW.1488@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Bogart" <Anon@nowhere.com> wrote in message
>> news:rwHYd.52894$xt.25804@fed1read07...
>> > Yes
>> >
>> > She Did
>> >
>> >
>>
>> No
>>
>> She didn't
>>
>> And she wouldn't.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
> She couldn't be worse than what we have. If Hillary is the Democratic
> nominee, I'll vote for her rather than more of the same from the
> Repooblicans.
>
> Yep, drill for more oil. The Republican answer is that there is always
> more. We are in a bad way and few realize it. Believe it; we are at the
> mercy of the Middle East oil producers (which is why Bush is now pursuing
> Iran and Syria rather than North Korea).
>
> Canada was smart. They wanted nothing to do with the missile defense
> system. They know that Bush wants someone else to pay for it LOL.
>
> Meanwhile, back at the ranch, the deficit continues to grow. This is
> *not*
> a conservative agenda.
> Conservatives would have had a fit a long time ago with the deficits.
> This
> is an attempt to completely dismantle all social programs, including VA
> care
> (yep, you guys serving in Iraq are going to discover all about the new
> rules
> that get worse every year). Thanks, Repooblicans, for supporting our

> troops - *not*. BTW, I am working with a guy who served in Desert Storm
> (remember that one?). He has a 10% service connected disability and can
> get
> no VA care. As the only game in town is contract, he has no health care
> at
> all.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>

"Liberals are at their funniest when they are out of power."
=Rush Limbaugh

2712. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 11:58:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110801499.576231.34560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104228

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:

> > > Any changes made to amateur radio regulation effect those
> > > who are already licensed.
>
> > I don't see how. Will you be at least 14 years of age
> > when the FCC drags you in for a retest?
>
> I'm not scheduled. I'm not likely to be scheduled
> and the FCC doesn't drag anyone for anything.

Dave,

You're missing some basic points about this minimum-age-requirement idea.

First off, there has never been such a requirement in the USA. Not even back before WW1.

Len Anderson, who requested the age requirement of FCC and who has a self-admitted problem with young people being licensed, has been repeatedly asked to provide evidence - any evidence - that there is some sort of problem caused by lack of an age requirement.

He has provided no evidence at all of such problems. Nor has anyone else.

As a diversion, it has been suggested that such an age requirement would not affect most hams today, such as you (K8MN) and Steve (K4YZ). The clear implication is that you shouldn't oppose it because you're over 14, and were at least 14 when you were first licensed.

But there's a clear contradiction in that diversion, because the person demanding the age restriction is way over the age of 14, so it won't affect him, either.

In fact, if we follow the logic of 'it doesn't affect you', it could be argued that since Len Anderson obvioulsy doesn't want an amateur license, he has no real grounds for complaint at all.

His only apparent involvement in amateur radio is spouting off bullshit, nonsense and abuse on usenet, and in general acting like a complete jackass. His sole follower simply performs a variation on his themes. Why bother with them?

Yes, Mr. Anderson did say that he was "going for Extra right out of the box" back on January 19, 2000. But he hasn't taken even the first step towards doing so, and there's no evidence he ever will. So the requirements for an amateur license don't affect him in the least.

There's no good reason for a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio license in the USA. We have more than 90 years of evidence to back that up.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2713. Re: New Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 13:16:06 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <EuOdncrVgskOE6jfRVn-iA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104229

Turn your license in you non-driving fake tough guy.

chuck Adkins wrote:

> No censoring, No politics, No B.S.
>
> Come on down...
>
> http://s9.invisionfree.com/Radio_Waves/
>

2714. Re: Another HF weekend wrecked by the clowntesters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 13:58:40 UTC
From: Eternal_VIRGIN_ Lloydie <lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110808720.231945.251080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I Am Not Phil wrote:
>
> Woger <poor cocksucking lisp davey>

Hey, are you still molesting those kids and sheep out there?

2715. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 14:31:04 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <39llkdF637dhaU1@individual.net>
Source record: 104231

```
"Rabbi Phil" <r@rabbiwholines.net> wrote in message
news:d13rtl$fov$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:39kepjF64e6inU1@individual.net...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:drLYd.2328$qW.1488@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> "Bogart" <Anon@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> >> news:rwHYd.52894$xt.25804@fed1read07...
> >> > Yes
> >> >
> >> > She Did
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> No
> >>
> >> She didn't
> >>
> >> And she wouldn't.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >>
> > She couldn't be worse than what we have. If Hillary is the Democratic
> > nominee, I'll vote for her rather than more of the same from the
> > Repooblicans.
> >
> > Yep, drill for more oil. The Republican answer is that there is always
> > more. We are in a bad way and few realize it. Believe it; we are at
the
> > mercy of the Middle East oil producers (which is why Bush is now
pursuing
> > Iran and Syria rather than North Korea).
> >
> > Canada was smart. They wanted nothing to do with the missile defense
> > system. They know that Bush wants someone else to pay for it LOL.
> >
> > Meanwhile, back at the ranch, the deficit continues to grow. This is
> > *not*
> > a conservative agenda.
> > Conservatives would have had a fit a long time ago with the deficits.
> > This
```

> > is an attempt to completely dismantle all social programs, including VA
> > care
> > (yep, you guys serving in Iraq are going to discover all about the new
> > rules
> > that get worse every year). Thanks, Repooblicans, for supporting our
> > troops - *not*. BTW, I am working with a guy who served in Desert Storm
> > (remember that one?). He has a 10% service connected disability and can
> > get
> > no VA care. As the only game in town is contract, he has no health care
> > at
> > all.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
>
>
> "Liberals are at their funniest when they are out of power."
> =Rush Limbaugh
>
>
>
>
Who is "liberal"? Supporting the troops is liberal? Funny, when you are a reactionary (extreme far right), everyone else appears liberal. I've got my pension coming in (and health and life insurance) - *you* are the one who is going to get the worst end of the results from the current administration. In all honesty, I do hope I'm wrong about where we are headed, but I doubt it.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

2716. Re: Why Is Brian Burke Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 14:37:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110811021.925889.231840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104232

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > or "Unlicensed devices play a major role
> > > > in emergency comms" for another) is well used by Leonard H
> Anderson
> > > and
> > > > Brian P Burke.
> > >
> > > Exactly what is wrong with that?
> >
> > It's not the truth. That's why.
> >
> > It really is THAT simple.
>
> Prove they don't.

It was your assertion that they do, Brain. The onus is on YOU to
validate your claim.

Are you finally acknowledging that you were wrong and are unable
to substantiate your assertion?

You ran your mouth off. It was a simple, stupid thing, but you've
now dragged it out for two years now, and you only make yourself look
more and more idiotic by perpetuating it when anyone who's truly
involved in such issues knows you are wrong.

Now...WHAT "unlicensed devices" play a "major role" in "emergency
comms", Brain?

Steve, K4YZ

2717. Brian P Burke Works Hard to Retain "Liar" Status

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 14:53:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110812008.339414.37470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104233

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Rest snipped. No use wasting bandwidth on one liar trying
> to
> > > > > support the lies of another.
> > > > >
> > > > > Putzii.
> > > >
> > > > I guess the Nazi party has told no lies, according to Robeson's
> > > > invocation.
> > >
> > > Godwin invoked again.
> >
> Your words, not mine.

And again yet one more Brainism you get ot prove or be labelled
yet a liar again.

Please show the post wherein I said "...the Nazi party has told no
lies".

No innuendo, Brain...No "well, that's what I thought it sounded
like..."

Real, Honest-to-God truth this time, Brain...Not wordsmithed
dodges like Lennie prefers.

Can you handle it?

> > > Robeson's lies are stacking up like cordwood. Too bad all those
> lies
> > > aren't pulpable.
> >
> > Brian P Burke has yet to produce anything from me that is not
> > truthful.
>
> Slashed tires? Rocks through windows? Terrorized wives? Homosexual
> inuendo? Pedophilia inuendo? Dialing...
>
> All bullying from the foul keyboard of Steven Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP.

What bullying, Brain?

What "foul"...?!?!

Proof, Brain...Where did I make those alleged threats? Show them.
Prove them.

> Then there's the stupid stuff, like "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<<
Amateur
> Radio." Hi!
>
> Hi, hi! I still get a kick out of that awesome absurdity every time
I
> see it.

The only "absurdity" is your out-of-context requoting in order to
try and find some way to redirect attention away from your legendary
mistruths and blatant lies, Brian.

> > And I think yoiu meant "palpable", Brian...But then we ARE
used
> to
> > you trying to use nursing terminology only to get it wrong.
>
> There you go thinking, not your strongest point. Nor is "humour."
>
> No, I meant "pulpable" as in your "lies are stacking up like
cordwood"
> at the paper mill. Hi!
>
> Humor impaired, remember?

Let's try "truth", Brain...You produce the quotes wherin you claim
I threatened to slash tires, terroroize wives, etc, and I won't find it
neceesary to continue to call you a liar.

But as it stands, you remain a liar.

Again.

Steve, K4YZ

2718. Re: Brian P Burke Works Hard to Retain "Liar" Status

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 15:06:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110812789.115045.134700@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104234

bb wrote:

> Friggin Great! The damned box is empty. I thought that one day I
> might want to upgrade, but now the box is empty. No one can ever
again
> get an Extra license from that box.

Oh, the box is still open, alright, and there are plenty of
"Extra's" to go around...Even for you and Scummy.

But I suggest you get busy and upgrade.

The FCC has been making a big issue on maintenence of licensure
based upon "character" issues, Brain.

Since it's very apparent that you have a very hard time being
truthful, you may not only NOT be allowed to upgrade, but may have to
defend your continued licensure at ANY level.

Think about THAT for a moment when you start making yet more
unfounded allegations and deceptions.

Steve, K4YZ

2719. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 15:11:46 UTC
From: SheAnon <SA@nothing.com>
Message-ID: <SQhZd.55254$xt.6942@fed1read07>
Source record: 104235

Mike and Jim - au contraire
Go to Google and
Search "Internet Harassment and Cyber-Stalking"

Over and over again it advises:

a.. "Never provide any identifying information (full name, address, phone
numbers, e-mail address, etc)" I might add callsigns as well.
a..
a.. From URL: http://writing.fsu.edu/oow/2001/protecting.htm

There are very good reasons that the innocent prefer Anonymity.

I remain SheAnon

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:poadnRxdGfBolqjfRVn-2w@adelphia.com...
> JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>
>
>> Might I kindly suggest that it is pointless trying to argue with a modest
>> double-digit IQ type?
>
> Probably true, Jim.
>
>> Anonimity died years ago, if not decades ago. Folks use it when they
>> want
>> to flame on the Internet (or go persuing underage girls or boys).
>
> Yup. There is some legitimate argument to the idea that those who would
> use their real name are a lot more likely to be on the up and up.
>
>> The original comment of "chickenshit coward" was well made, even if a bit
>> harsh ;)
>
> Well, no argument there.......
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

2720. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 15:27:05 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d14ag8$q12$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 104238

SheAnon wrote:
> Mike and Jim - au contraire
> Go to Google and
> Search "Internet Harassment and Cyber-Stalking"
>
> Over and over again it advises:
>
> a.. "Never provide any identifying information (full name, address, phone
> numbers, e-mail address, etc)" I might add callsigns as well.
> a..
> a.. From URL: http://writing.fsu.edu/oow/2001/protecting.htm
>
> There are very good reasons that the innocent prefer Anonymity.
>
> I remain SheAnon

Do not make the mistake of thinking that you are anonymous!

The only way to be anonymous on the internet is to not use the internet.

But I guess I wouldn't know about such things. Believe me or do not
believe me. It doesn't matter to me.

2721. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 15:28:24 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <r@rabbiwholines.net>
Message-ID: <d14aeobhm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104236

```
"JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:39llkdF637dhaU1@individual.net...
>
> "Rabbi Phil" <r@rabbiwholines.net> wrote in message
> news:d13rtl$fov$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>> news:39kepjF64e6inU1@individual.net...
>> >
>> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> > news:drLYd.2328$qW.1488@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> >>
>> >> "Bogart" <Anon@nowhere.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:rwHYd.52894$xt.25804@fed1read07...
>> >> > Yes
>> >> >
>> >> > She Did
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> No
>> >>
>> >> She didn't
>> >>
>> >> And she wouldn't.
>> >>
>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>> >>
>> > She couldn't be worse than what we have. If Hillary is the Democratic
>> > nominee, I'll vote for her rather than more of the same from the
>> > Repooblicans.
>> >
>> > Yep, drill for more oil. The Republican answer is that there is always
>> > more. We are in a bad way and few realize it. Believe it; we are at
> the
>> > mercy of the Middle East oil producers (which is why Bush is now
> pursuing
>> > Iran and Syria rather than North Korea).
>> >
>> > Canada was smart. They wanted nothing to do with the missile defense
>> > system. They know that Bush wants someone else to pay for it LOL.
>> >
>> > Meanwhile, back at the ranch, the deficit continues to grow. This is
>> > *not*
```

>> > a conservative agenda.
>> > Conservatives would have had a fit a long time ago with the deficits.
>> > This
>> > is an attempt to completely dismantle all social programs, including VA
>> > care
>> > (yep, you guys serving in Iraq are going to discover all about the new
>> > rules
>> > that get worse every year). Thanks, Repooblicans, for supporting our
>> > troops - *not*. BTW, I am working with a guy who served in Desert
>> > Storm
>> > (remember that one?). He has a 10% service connected disability and
>> > can
>> > get
>> > no VA care. As the only game in town is contract, he has no health
>> > care
>> > at
>> > all.
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>> "Liberals are at their funniest when they are out of power."
>> =Rush Limbaugh
>>
>>
>>
>>
> Who is "liberal"? Supporting the troops is liberal? Funny, when you are
> a
> reactionary (extreme far right), everyone else appears liberal. I've got
> my
> pension coming in (and health and life insurance) - *you* are the one who
> is
> going to get the worst end of the results from the current administration.
> In all honesty, I do hope I'm wrong about where we are headed, but I doubt
> it.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

plonk

flushed

GONE!

2722. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 15:29:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110814154.691012.305740@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104237

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Mon, 7 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > On Mon, 28 Feb 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > > > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > > > Regardless, there are two things being varied: The
mark/space
> > > > condition, and
> > > > > the duration of each, so there is no way that morse code can
be
> > > > binary.
> > > >
> > > > Sure it can.
> > > >
> > > > But the REAL question is how can we blame it on Bill
Pasternak
> > and
> > > > threaten to sue him over it.....?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > The very comment above is absolute proof of Steve Robeson's
> > stupidity.
> >
> > How's that, Dieter?
>
> Which word was beyond your understanding? Everyone else clearly
understood my
> statement about your [lack of] mental ability. There is no
ambiguity.

But I am not the one with the probelm, Dieter!

You've led a years long campaign to smear a man who does nothing
but good things for Amateur Radio then claim others have "mental
ability" problems.

> > Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then
getting
> > your nose rubbed in it?
>
> I haven't made any stupid assertions.

Sure you have.

At least three years of smears, deceit and blatant lies about Bill Paternak and Amateur Radio Newsline,

> I have made only one conclusion - and you have failed to provide any evidence
> (let alone credible evidence) that even hints that my conclusion is wrong.
> Since there are TWO things being varied, there is a minimum of four states, and
> thus code cannot be binary (two states). QED, asshole.

You seem to have the mental problems, Dieter.

I wasn't addressing the binary states (or lack of) of accurately sent Morse Code.

I was addressing your Quixotie-like crusade style.

As for who's the...well...You know...I guess it's the person who can't express himself without profanity.

QED, Dieter.

You're a putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2723. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 15:47:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4235B256.6E84B2F4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104239

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > > Any changes made to amateur radio regulation effect those
> > > > who are already licensed.
> >
> > > > I don't see how. Will you be at least 14 years of age
> > > > when the FCC drags you in for a retest?
> >
> > I'm not scheduled. I'm not likely to be scheduled
> > and the FCC doesn't drag anyone for anything.
>
> Dave,
>
> You're missing some basic points about this minimum-age-requirement
> idea.
>
> First off, there has never been such a requirement in the USA. Not even
> back before WW1.

Correct.

> Len Anderson, who requested the age requirement of FCC and who has
> a self-admitted problem with young people being licensed, has been
> repeatedly asked to provide evidence - any evidence - that there
> is some sort of problem caused by lack of an age requirement.

Len has never come up with any proof for his assertion of ARRL
dishonesty either.

> He has provided no evidence at all of such problems. Nor has anyone
> else.

That'd likely be because there is no such evidence in existence.

> As a diversion, it has been suggested that such an age requirement
> would not affect most hams today, such as you (K8MN) and Steve (K4YZ).
> The clear implication is that you shouldn't oppose it because you're
> over 14, and were at least 14 when you were first licensed.

> But there's a clear contradiction in that diversion, because the person
> demanding the age restriction is way over the age of 14, so it won't
> affect him, either.

It remains that it has not been demonstrated that such a rule change would be a positive thing.

> In fact, if we follow the logic of 'it doesn't affect you', it could be
> argued that since Len Anderson obvioulsy doesn't want
> an amateur license, he has no real grounds for complaint at all.

To be completely fair to Len, he does not desire an amateur radio license at this time. He changes his view from time to time on whether he desires an amateur radio license.

> His only apparent involvement in amateur radio is spouting off
> bullshit, nonsense and abuse on usenet, and in general acting like a
> complete jackass. His sole follower simply performs a variation on his
> themes. Why bother with them?

Len believes that by commenting to the FCC in regard to amateur radio regulations and by doing whatever it is that he does here, he is somehow participating in amateur radio itself.

> Yes, Mr. Anderson did say that he was "going for Extra right out of the
> box" back on January 19, 2000. But he hasn't taken even the first step
> towards doing so, and there's no evidence he ever will. So the
> requirements for an amateur license don't affect him in the least.

Precisely what I've told him over the years. He is uninvolved in amateur radio. He is a non-participant in amateur radio. He is irrelevant to amateur radio. He has no experience in amateur radio.

> There's no good reason for a minimum age requirement for an amateur
> radio license in the USA. We have more than 90 years of evidence to
> back that up.

You'd think that if a problem existed, it would have manifested itself by now.

Dave K8MN

2724. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 16:25:42 UTC
From: SheAnon <SA@nothing.com>
Message-ID: <qXiZd.55272$xt.46204@fed1read07>
Source record: 104240

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d14ag8$q12$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
> SheAnon wrote:
>> Mike and Jim - au contraire
>> Go to Google and
>> Search "Internet Harassment and Cyber-Stalking"
>>
>> Over and over again it advises:
>>
>> a.. "Never provide any identifying information (full name, address, phone
>> numbers, e-mail address, etc)" I might add callsigns as well.
>> a..
>> a.. From URL: http://writing.fsu.edu/oow/2001/protecting.htm
>>
>> There are very good reasons that the innocent prefer Anonymity.
>>
>> I remain SheAnon
>
> Do not make the mistake of thinking that you are anonymous!

Yeah I know -- I found your KB3 call and true e-mail address in a few
seconds and I am sure you can find mine with some effort.
>
>
> The only way to be anonymous on the internet is to not use the internet.
>
NOT TRUE

If you want I can e-mail you how the harassers do it.
Won't list it here for obvious reasons.

SheAnon

2725. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 18:23:05 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d14kq8tfo1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 104241

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Hello all,
>>
>> This is sort of an update on the near space ballooning project I was
>
>
>>working on.
>>
>> Despite what we plan on, we are some times steered in other
>
> direction
>
>>by events. A number of these events have occurred in the club I am a
>>member of, and the balloon project has been temporarily slowed by my
>
> new
>
>>position as Chairman of the Pennsylvania QSO party.
>>
>> The PAQSO party is the largest state QSO party, and will take up a
>
> lot
>
>>of my time. This means the ballooning project will be on the back
>
> burner
>
>>for a year or so.
>>
>> I'm a bit disappointed that there is a delay, but am plenty excited
>>about the prospects of running the QSO party.
>
>
> . . . hmmmmm . . HMMMM . . I was gonna leap all over this one but then
> I decided to not respond at all . . .
>

Go ahead. I announced it so you could have your chance. Just an
announcement if anyone was interested. If I was backing off the project,
I probably would have just kept my yap shut until someone asked. YIMV.

There are only so many people to do things, and with the need arising in the QSO party, which is of the "show must go on" sort of nature, the path was pretty clear. It will be as much work as the balloon project.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2726. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 18:29:08 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d14l5j$122e$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 104243

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

>
> Who is "liberal"? Supporting the troops is liberal? Funny, when you are a
> reactionary (extreme far right), everyone else appears liberal. I've got my
> pension coming in (and health and life insurance) - *you* are the one who is
> going to get the worst end of the results from the current administration.
> In all honesty, I do hope I'm wrong about where we are headed, but I doubt
> it.

Liberals are anyone who disagrees wit' ya. The AARP was a fine
organization when it was helping to get the GOP prescription plan
passed. Now that the AARP does not support the proposed changes to
Social Security, they are left leaning, partial abortion supporting,
socialistic, Leeburals!

Simple, eh?

And fer Rabbi Phil:

Conservatives (actually neoconservatives) are at their funniest when
they are *in* power.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2727. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 18:31:23 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d14l9q$122e$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 104242

Rabbi Phil wrote:

> plonk
>
>
> flushed
>
>
> GONE!
>

Ahh, you *are* a neoconservative! The inability to handle any opposing opinion, especially from Gentle Jim, is telling.

Not that I'm a Leeburul, but please plonk me too!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2728. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 19:00:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <iblZd.3848$qf2.2480@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104244

"JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:39kepjF64e6inU1@individual.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:drLYd.2328$qW.1488@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Bogart" <Anon@nowhere.com> wrote in message
>> news:rwHYd.52894$xt.25804@fed1read07...
>> > Yes
>> >
>> > She Did
>> >
>> >
>>
>> No
>>
>> She didn't
>>
>> And she wouldn't.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
> She couldn't be worse than what we have. If Hillary is the Democratic
> nominee, I'll vote for her rather than more of the same from the
> Repooblicans.

Must have like Bill as President. You will have em again.

>
> Yep, drill for more oil. The Republican answer is that there is always
> more. We are in a bad way and few realize it. Believe it; we are at the
> mercy of the Middle East oil producers (which is why Bush is now pursuing
> Iran and Syria rather than North Korea).

Ok, lets stop drilling for more oil, and you can be the first to stop
using gasoline..

>
> Canada was smart. They wanted nothing to do with the missile defense
> system. They know that Bush wants someone else to pay for it LOL.

Canadians are idiots. They don't even have a standing Army, they deploy
what they have for troops in rental cars and trucks. And EXPECT the USA to
cover their asses. LOL right back at you.

>
> Meanwhile, back at the ranch, the deficit continues to grow. This is
> *not*
> a conservative agenda.

Golly gee batman.....tell me how to slow the growth of the debt when we are fighting a flippin WAR? Moron.

> Conservatives would have had a fit a long time ago with the deficits.
> This
> is an attempt to completely dismantle all social programs, including VA
> care\

Oh BS. Bush has approved and continued more so called welfare programs than ANY other Republican President. If anything he tends to be too LIBERAL on social programs.

> (yep, you guys serving in Iraq are going to discover all about the new
> rules
> that get worse every year). Thanks, Repooblicans, for supporting our
> troops - *not*.

What SPECIFICALLY are you refering to? You mean how the VA Budget was increased over the last fiscal year? And how the VA ITSELF raised everyones pay and ATE the increases all up?

What now brown cow?

BTW, I am working with a guy who served in Desert Storm
> (remember that one?). He has a 10% service connected disability and can
> get
> no VA care.

Of course not. Ten percent is sore butt stuff, pain in next stuff. Maybe a flinch in the cheek stuff. Go talk to someone that has a 100 percent combat disability and see if he gets care. No sweat GI, walk in and you go to the head of the line. Perhaps if your friend actually had a problem he could get treated. Ten percent....what a flippin joke.

The VA has to make priorities. There is NO WAY they can treat them all, especially those NOT COMBAT related. But me boy....anyone with a real need and proper credentials can and does get VA care.

As the only game in town is contract, he has no health care at
> all.
>

Move.

Dan/W4NTI

>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

>
>

2729. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 19:05:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <AflZd.3854$qf2.1449@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104245

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d14l5j$122e$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>
>
>>
>> Who is "liberal"? Supporting the troops is liberal? Funny, when you are
>> a
>> reactionary (extreme far right), everyone else appears liberal. I've got
>> my
>> pension coming in (and health and life insurance) - *you* are the one who
>> is
>> going to get the worst end of the results from the current
>> administration.
>> In all honesty, I do hope I'm wrong about where we are headed, but I
>> doubt
>> it.
>
>
> Liberals are anyone who disagrees wit' ya. The AARP was a fine
> organization when it was helping to get the GOP prescription plan passed.
> Now that the AARP does not support the proposed changes to Social
> Security, they are left leaning, partial abortion supporting, socialistic,
> Leeburals!
>
> Simple, eh?
>
> And fer Rabbi Phil:
>
> Conservatives (actually neoconservatives) are at their funniest when they
> are *in* power.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

The AARP is a SELF ADMITED liberal organization.

Of which I am a member. A non supportive member at this point on the
subject they support. But I believe one needs to be INSIDE to affect any
sort of change.

Which is why I still maintain my ARRL membership.

Dan/W4NTI

2730. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 20:18:40 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <AkmZd.3963$qf2.3456@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104246

>>
>>Last year nobody in these newsgroups could give me a reason
>>to vote for Bush. It's the economy stupid. What, I
>>should reward Bush for my income going way down....
>
>
>
>
> Do you always wait to have other people tell you who to vote for?
> Nevermind, I see now, you blue state looney liberals are not capable
> of deciding which candidate to vote for on your own.
>

How do you figure that? I made my choice myself, and
heard nothing that justified changing my mind.
>
>
>

2731. SERIOUS MONEY OPPORTUNITY-$5 INVESTMENT-HIGH RESPONSE RATE-QUICK RETURNS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-14 21:34:09 UTC
From: feedbackzz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110836049.744955.269800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104247

Follow the directions below and you could
have $5000 in your PayPal account in 2 weeks.
There is a very high response rate in this
program because of its low investment, high
yields, and quick return. If you are a skeptic
and doubt that the program will work, I urge
you to give it a try anyway! It REALLY WORKS-
that is why so many thousands are involved in
programs like it. Don't miss this chance.

If you achieve only moderate success, your
PayPal account will still have several hundred
dollars in it within the next couple weeks.
If you actively promote the program, you could
soon have $8,000 in your PayPal account.

SIMPLE: All you need is $5 and a free PayPal
account. Pay $5 to one person.

SECURE: PayPal (BBB member) handles all
transactions. No one sees your bank or credit
card information.

LEGAL: See Title 18, Section 1302 and 1341,
US Postal and Lottery Laws.
The details of this business make it legitimate.
You are using the Internet to advertise your
business opportunity. You have a mail order
business that collects email addresses from
people interested in home-based online business.
You provide a service-mailing list membership-
in return for a small fee. Treat your mailing
list as a business. Keep accurate records,
declare your income, and pay income tax on it.

INSTRUCTIONS

STEP 1: COPY and PASTE this letter onto a
blank notepad file. SAVE as a TEXT FILE.
Keep it for the next time you need the
program.

STEP 2: Get a free PayPal account
(5 minutes setup time) at www.paypal.com.
Register for a PREMIER account (not a

PERSONAL) so that others can send money
to your account.

STEP 3: Using your PayPal account, send $5
(US DOLLARS) to the email address (PayPal
account) in position #1 of the list below.
PayPal will ask for a payment type-select
SERVICE. In the SUBJECT area, enter
"Please add me to your mailing list"
(this is very important). If you prefer
that your email address not be given to
other mailing list businesses, enter
"Please add me ONLY to your mailing list"
in the SUBJECT area. In order to send
money, you can deposit $5 into your PayPal
account with your own credit card, use
your bank account, or register for the
PayPal credit card.

IMPORTANT: IN ORDER FOR YOUR BUSINESS
TO WORK, YOU MUST BE HONEST AND SEND $5.
The mailing list business remains successful
due to the honesty and integrity of those
involved. $5 is a very small investment,
especially when you are about to receive
many times that much! If we are all honest,
we will all make money.

STEP 4: After you send your $5, remove the
address from position #1 of the list below.
Put the address in position #2 into position
#1. Put your own email address (PayPal
account) in position #2. Some engines will
automatically hide part of an email address
when the letter is posted in a newsgroup.
It may look like this:
yournam...@wherever.com

To avoid this complication, enter your
email address using 2 separate lines,
like this:

2) yourname (press ENTER on your keypad)
@wherever.com

(If you ever encounter the dots, click on
SHOW OPTIONS at the top of the letter, then
click on REPLY. Scroll down through the
original letter to find the full addresses.)

Both people on your list have already bought
mailing list memberships for $5! The program

is working! Note: If you put your name in position #1, you will not receive money from the hundreds that other members find.

CURRENT PAYPAL ACCOUNTS:

1) cwhatton22
@charter.net

2) feedbackzz
@yahoo.com

STEP 5: COPY and PASTE this letter (with your address in position #2) in emails to at least 60 newsgroups, chat sites, discussions, message boards, etc. It takes less than a minute to post the letter. The more you post, the more money you can make. People will see the letter heading as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group. PASTE the heading below into the subject area of your email (you can also write your own heading):

SERIOUS MONEY OPPORTUNITY-
$5 INVESTMENT-HIGH RESPONSE RATE-
QUICK RETURNS

Use the search engines (Internet Explorer, yahoo.com, google.com, altavista.com, excite.com) to find sites. Search for moneymaking forums, moneymaking message board, money chat, employment message board, business message board, business discussions, etc. You can create your own free webpage (try webspawner.com, cmlb.net), then post links to your site. Try posting a notice on community and college bulletin boards to direct people to your webpage.

THIS BUSINESS WORKS

When your name reaches position #1 (usually in less than a week) it will be your turn to receive $5 deposits. If you personally find 20 interested people, and they each find 20, you make $2000. If you find 40 people, and they each find 20, you make $4000. Remember that the response rate is very high. Since there are only 2 names on the list, you can expect 80% of your profits within 2 weeks.

Participants who actively promote their mailing
list average between $5,000 and $8,000 and
receive the funds within 3 weeks.

Relieve your financial stress NOW!
Become a part of the mail order business and
help people to help people.
This program is about helping each other!

2732. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 00:07:26 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110845246.534496.31930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104248

From: Brian Burke on Sun, Mar 13 2005 3:20 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>> Any changes made to amateur radio regulation effect those who are
>> already licensed.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>I don't see how. Will you be at least 14 years of age when the FCC
>drags you in for a retest?

Mentally 14, I'm sure... :-)

Nobody, repeat NOBODY can "drag" big badass dave "in"
to anything. He said as much in the past. He IS the
Lawgiver despite Congress not approving him for that.

Big badass dave is very territorial, very old-style.
All who are allowed to play on HF or below MUST be
federally code-tested. "Or else." NO challenges,
let alone changes are "allowed" on that. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2733. Re: Now THIS is COOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 00:09:13 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110845353.516802.91320@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104249

From: Brian Burke on Sun, Mar 13 2005 7:14 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Brian Burke on Fri, Mar 11 2005 3:18 pm
>>
>> >> >>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBay....
>> >>
>> >> $102 for a WOMAN's long-sleeve T-shirt featuring
>> >> "morse code" messages?!?!?
>> >>
>> >> ...truly, only a rabid MORSEAHOLIC would think
>> >> that is "cool." :-)
>> >>
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>> >
>> >Wonder if they've got antabuse for morse code?
>>
>> If there was, the rabid morsehams would scream
>> and holler abuse (not "antiabuse") at all those
>> who made it, saying "THEY HATE HAM RADIO!!!"
>>
>> ["Real hams know code!"]
>>
>> :-)
>>
>> > Might be the next hot thing at Dayton.
>>

>Len, come on out and see for youself. Petty good bunch of people even
>if many are overweight and in t-shirts 1 or 2 sizes too small. Some
of
>the vendors have even figured out that sex appeal even works on hams,
>and have staffed their boothes with attractive women.

Tsk. Travel 2000 miles to a convention of fantasy
believers who imagine that ALL of radio follows
their ideas of "radio operating?" Don't think so.

If I want to see attractive women outside of my
house environs, Los Angeles with all its movie
studios has the number one position for collections
of attractive women!

>And they do have a testing session. Bring an ID and $12. Oops,
>forgot, Heil says no more licenses in that box. Wonder if the FCC
>knows that?

Big badass dave IS the Lawgiver. Whatever he say,
all DO. Period. No questions allowed.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2734. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 00:11:03 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110845463.016848.192360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104400

From: Mike Coslo on Sun, Mar 13 2005 9:07 pm

>Hello all,
>
> This is sort of an update on the near space ballooning project I
was
>working on.
>
> Despite what we plan on, we are some times steered in other
direction
>by events. A number of these events have occurred in the club I am a
>member of, and the balloon project has been temporarily slowed by my
new
>position as Chairman of the Pennsylvania QSO party.

DON'T YOU KNOW THE RULEZ AS POSTED IN HERE?!?!?

If you merely hint at doing something in here, you
MUST CARRY OUT THAT ACTION or be forever a target
of negative criticsm of "utter failure" and other
sweet things said by all those others!

Henceforth, Mike Coslo will be forever labeled with
the title of FAILURE TO REACH THE EDGE OF SPACE BY
HAM RADIO!

You have been warned...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2735. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 00:34:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110846886.611750.17430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104401

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > > Any changes made to amateur radio regulation effect those
> > > > who are already licensed.
> >
> > > I don't see how. Will you be at least 14 years of age
> > > when the FCC drags you in for a retest?
> >
> > I'm not scheduled. I'm not likely to be scheduled
> > and the FCC doesn't drag anyone for anything.
>
> Dave,
>
> You're missing some basic points about this minimum-age-requirement
> idea.
>
> First off, there has never been such a requirement in the USA. Not
even
> back before WW1.

And prior to the Morse Exam requirement, there had been no Morse Exam
requirement.

Jim, you've just got to realize that there are "firsts" for many things
in life.

> Len Anderson, who requested the age requirement of FCC and who has
> a self-admitted problem with young people being licensed, has been
> repeatedly asked to provide evidence - any evidence - that there
> is some sort of problem caused by lack of an age requirement.

Len has a self-admitted problem with the arbitrary licensing
requirements that are in-play today, some of which you support. That
he would suggest yet another one may only be a strawman. Something to
jar your noggin into doing what it was designed to do.

> He has provided no evidence at all of such problems. Nor has anyone
> else.

Welp, there was the unlicensed Kelly...

> As a diversion, it has been suggested that such an age requirement
> would not affect most hams today, such as you (K8MN) and Steve

(K4YZ).
> The clear implication is that you shouldn't oppose it because you're
> over 14, and were at least 14 when you were first licensed.

Len has been reminded again and again and again not to pay any
attention to things which don't concern him. So if you are not
affected by Len's suggested age requirement, perhaps you should take
your own advice and not be concerned.

> But there's a clear contradiction in that diversion, because the
person
> demanding the age restriction is way over the age of 14, so it won't
> affect him, either.

Ah, you caught my one and only flaw. You da man.

> In fact, if we follow the logic of 'it doesn't affect you', it could
be
> argued that since Len Anderson obvioulsy doesn't want
> an amateur license, he has no real grounds for complaint at all.

He isn't complaining. He is petitioning his government for change
which is a protected right in America. I think.

> His only apparent involvement in amateur radio is spouting off
> {EXPLETIVE DELETED}, nonsense and abuse on usenet, and in general
acting like a
> complete {EXPLETIVE DELETED}. His sole follower simply performs a
variation on his
> themes. Why bother with them?

Lions and tigers and bears. Oh, my!

Reverend Jim must leave the Antenna Mount at once. Say pennance or be
defrocked.

> Yes, Mr. Anderson did say that he was "going for Extra right out of
the
> box" back on January 19, 2000. But he hasn't taken even the first
step
> towards doing so, and there's no evidence he ever will.

Is there a time limit on getting an amateur license, or is it
self-paced?

So the
> requirements for an amateur license don't affect him in the least.

Perhaps they really do affect Len. Perhaps they affect every American
whether they're aware of it or not. Ever think of that?

> There's no good reason for a minimum age requirement for an amateur
> radio license in the USA. We have more than 90 years of evidence to
> back that up.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Welp, Jim. You sound like you've had your fair share of sour grapes
today. Take the rest of the day off.

2736. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 00:38:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110847082.860229.58190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104402

Dave Heil wrote:

> Precisely what I've told him over the years. He is uninvolved in
> amateur radio. He is a non-participant in amateur radio. He is
> irrelevant to amateur radio. He has no experience in amateur radio.
>
> Dave K8MN

That almost gives you something to hang your hat on, doesn't it?

2737. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 00:41:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110847304.617265.147280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104403

K4YZ wrote:
>
> But I am not the one with the probelm, Dieter!

Hi, hi! A decade of usenet archives says otherwise.

2738. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 00:51:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110847869.256795.4800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104404

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>
> Yep, drill for more oil. The Republican answer is that there is
always
> more. We are in a bad way and few realize it. Believe it; we are at
the
> mercy of the Middle East oil producers (which is why Bush is now
pursuing
> Iran and Syria rather than North Korea).
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

Jim, can you tell me why our environmental president dropped the EPA gas mileage requirements? Do you see that having any play at all in our current predicament?

2739. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 00:52:18 UTC
From: Terry <tsanford@nf.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <hkqZd.10110$N8.215867@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 104406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Airy R.Bean" <Me@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:38b8f0F5mkdreU1@individual.net...
> Here in Britland, _ALL_ public phone services are
> habitually turned off in the case of an emergency
> covering a widespread area.
>
> No help to you if your arse is on fire 'cos you won't
> be able to summon either the fire brigade or an
> ambulance at a time when you most need it!
>
Hey. Mr Bean;
So amateur radio to the rescue. Eh?
But pray tell; there must be some reasoning to that decision.
Is it possible that everyone rushes to the nearest phone and ......
"Hey mum there's fire somewhere down your way. Call me back when you find
out who it is! OK?"
Or why ..... ?
Interesting! Your comment would be welcome.

2740. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 00:57:00 UTC
From: Terry <tsanford@nf.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <GoqZd.10170$N8.216536@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 104408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mark" <makolber@yahoo.com> wrote in (in part) in message
news:1109364658.651758.42950@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> ..... snip .....
> If I want my phone off, I'll turn it off.
>
> Mark
>
Mark; are you an American by any chance?

2741. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 00:57:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <WqKdnQ4mUaFmr6vfRVn-sw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104405

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d14l5j$122e$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Who is "liberal"? Supporting the troops is liberal? Funny, when you are
>>>a
>>>reactionary (extreme far right), everyone else appears liberal. I've got
>>>my
>>>pension coming in (and health and life insurance) - *you* are the one who
>>>is
>>>going to get the worst end of the results from the current
>>>administration.
>>>In all honesty, I do hope I'm wrong about where we are headed, but I
>>>doubt
>>>it.
>>
>>
>>Liberals are anyone who disagrees wit' ya. The AARP was a fine
>>organization when it was helping to get the GOP prescription plan passed.
>>Now that the AARP does not support the proposed changes to Social
>>Security, they are left leaning, partial abortion supporting, socialistic,
>>Leeburals!
>>
>>Simple, eh?
>>
>>And fer Rabbi Phil:
>>
>>Conservatives (actually neoconservatives) are at their funniest when they
>>are *in* power.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> The AARP is a SELF ADMITED liberal organization.

Yeah, so I've heard Dan. But the point I am trying to get out is that it was just fine for them to help with the Prescription plan, but once they disagreed, the attack dogs came out. No loyalty, no sense of working together because something is right. Just blind adherence to the party

line. And heaven help you if you disagree.

If the social sec issue is a good one, it should stand or fall on its own merits, not whether or not our membership in the AARP is somehow a litmus test that we support gay marriage!!

> Of which I am a member. A non supportive member at this point on the
> subject they support. But I believe one needs to be INSIDE to affect any
> sort of change.

Yeah, I'm a member too. Mostly for the discounts ;^)

> Which is why I still maintain my ARRL membership.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2742. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 01:04:18 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110848658.835522.221030@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104407

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:

> > Dave,

> > You're missing some basic points about this minimum-age-
> > requirement idea.
> >
> > First off, there has never been such a requirement in the
> > USA. Not even back before WW1.
>
> Correct.
>
Also, many other countries which once had age requirements (like
Canada) have abandoned them.

> > Len Anderson, who requested the age requirement of FCC
> > and who has
> > a self-admitted problem with young people being licensed,
> > has been
> > repeatedly asked to provide evidence - any evidence -
> > that there
> > is some sort of problem caused by lack of an age requirement.
>
> Len has never come up with any proof for his assertion of ARRL
> dishonesty either.

I didn't ask for proof. Just evidence. Len has not provided
any evidence in either case.

> > He has provided no evidence at all of such problems.
> > Nor has anyone else.
>
> That'd likely be because there is no such evidence in existence.

Yep.

> > As a diversion, it has been suggested that such an
> > age requirement
> > would not affect most hams today, such as you
> > (K8MN) and Steve (K4YZ).
> > The clear implication is that you shouldn't oppose
> > it because you're

> > over 14, and were at least 14 when you were first licensed.
>
> > But there's a clear contradiction in that diversion,
> > because the person
> > demanding the age restriction is way over the age
> > of 14, so it won't affect him, either.
>
> It remains that it has not been demonstrated that such
> a rule change would be a positive thing.

It's quite clear that it would be a negative thing.

> > In fact, if we follow the logic of 'it doesn't affect
> > you', it could be
> > argued that since Len Anderson obvioulsy doesn't want
> > an amateur license, he has no real grounds for complaint at
> > all.
>
> To be completely fair to Len, he does not desire an
> amateur radio
> license at this time. He changes his view from time to
> time on whether he desires an amateur radio license.

That is certainly a more accurate way of saying it.

However, please note that at no time has Len's desire for
an amateur radio license reached the point where he
actually obtained one. So it cannot be much of a desire
even at its greatest.

> > His only apparent involvement in amateur radio is spouting off
> > bullshit, nonsense and abuse on usenet, and in general
> > acting like a complete jackass.
> > His sole follower simply performs a variation on his
> > themes. Why bother with them?
>
> Len believes that by commenting to the FCC in regard
> to amateur radio
> regulations and by doing whatever it is that he does
> here, he is somehow
> participating in amateur radio itself.

Just a matter of semantics.
>
> > Yes, Mr. Anderson did say that he was "going for Extra
> > right out of the
> > box" back on January 19, 2000. But he hasn't taken
> > even the first step
> > towards doing so, and there's no evidence he ever will. So the
> > requirements for an amateur license don't affect him in the
> > least.
>

> Precisely what I've told him over the years. He is
> uninvolved in
> amateur radio. He is a non-participant in amateur radio. He is
> irrelevant to amateur radio. He has no experience in amateur
> radio.

Like the Norwegian Blue parrot....

> > There's no good reason for a minimum age requirement
> > for an amateur
> > radio license in the USA. We have more than 90 years
> > of evidence to
> > back that up.
>
> You'd think that if a problem existed, it would have
> manifested itself by now.

Yep. But it hasn't. And as previously mentioned, the cb
service had such a rule, but it was not effective in
keeping that service well-behaved and law-abiding.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2743. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 01:23:15 UTC
From: Terry <tsanford@nf.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <iNqZd.10560$N8.220215@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 104409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
news:7jkv1191c6p8d6oaf6u74tttqmeu02maaf@4ax.com...
> Hospitals, government buildings and various other places that don't
> allow cell phones automatically turn off cell phones. They seem to be
> growing in popularity. The cell phones are designed with that ability
> built in for that purpose.
>
Buck: Interesting; thanks for the info.
Hadn't heard of that feature/service before! Sounds like a good idea for critical zones. But imagine just 'driving by' a hospital/medical facility and the cell phone shuts off! :-)
Recently I was walking through a hospital to visit daughter who had just come from an operation, when my pocketed cell phone rang. I had forgotten to turn it off when entering the hospital as required; did so without answering it.
What has worried me a few times is to see someone weaving down the road and then driving alongside observe them engaged in an animated cell phone conversation! Love to have a 'jammer' on those few occasions.
Regulation now, in this jurisdiction, is that one is supposed to at least use a headset, to phone while driving. Not that that IMHO is the whole answer! The idea being that you keep your hands on the controls and your head is not canted over holding the cell phone against ones shoulder. Some statistics indicate, although it's too soon to tell, that accidents involving cell phone use are 'somewhat' reduced. That makes sense because despite the increased awareness the regulation is broken more often than observed, due to lax enforcement.
Its amazing how well cell phones work; even inside steel framed and metal clad buildings. It's not uncommon to see husband inside s.market/Wal-mart/COSTCO etc. phone in hand asking wifey "What size/brand/how much of ... is it that you want etc.".
And now cell phones even take pictures! "Did I show you my new tattoo ..... ". I'm afraid we've become a society of push-buttoners. On one occasion, here, a cell phone rang during a funeral; and the owner answered it! Now there's a good use for a jammer. Attach it to the casket and keep it switched on until the memorial service is over!
Thanks to all for the info in this thread.

2744. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 01:39:51 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <H1rZd.4206$qf2.3378@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104410

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1110655692.314154.28630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

<SNIP>

> The fact is that in every election there are several groups:
>
> 1) Those who will vote Republican no matter what
> 2) Those who will vote Democrat no matter what
> 3) Those who won't vote, or will vote for a 3rd party candidate
> with absolutely no real chance of winning, no matter what
> 4) Those who are truly independent, and who may vote Democrat,
> Republican, 3rd party, or not at all, depending on a wide variety of
> factors, and whose votes really can decide an election.
>
> Successful campaigning is all about identifying the 4th group, and
> getting them to vote for your candidate.
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Not quite that simple. I would agree with all of the above
where both parties present a candidate that is "reasonable"
across a broad brush of party faithfuls. Where things go wrong
is when a candidate goes beyond the point of reasonableness
on one or more issues as judged by others in the party.

Yes, there are many people who "appear" to be in groups
1 or 2, but piss any of them off and they too will jump ship and
either not vote at all (many people vote put don't vote
for all possible positions on a ballot), they'll vote for
a 3rd party...or write-in Mickey Mouse... or they'll
vote for the other party's candidate as many Dems did by
voting for Reagan in 80 and 84.

One other variable on a 4 year basis. From one presidential
election to the next, there is a considerable loss of existing voters
who have died and an influx of "new" voters who have reached
18 years of age, become naturalized citizens or just finally
registered to vote for the first time. Far more people today
as new voters tend to view themselves as "independents"
rather than being staunch democrats or republicans.

Cheers,
Bill
>

2745. Re: Cell Phone Jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 01:43:43 UTC
From: Terry <tsanford@nf.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <u4rZd.11004$N8.224530@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 104412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:ayNTd.6999$Ba3.2732@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Harris wrote:
>
> I don't understand people who complain of others using
> cell phones to get stuff done or such while waiting on
> line to pay for stuff at a store. You should be mad at the
> store for forcing us to waste time on line than me trying
> to use that time with the cell phone.
>
Robert wouldn't that be OK? Much the same, say, as discussing something first hand with someone right at your elbow while waiting in line. Could be good use of your time and one more chore taken care of?
Seems more like it's 'inappropriate and cell phone use that disturbs others or disrupts the purpose of whatever is going on in that venue, that is being criticised here?
Of course there are always people who like to show off how important they are (or not) to talk loudly about their business or just have no consideration or manners anyway. They, like the poor, will always be with us; just feel sorry for their uncouthness!
I vividly recall a woman, with a so called 'Upper class British accent' telling a whole train carriage load of people, via the use of her cell phone "Yes darling; we are on this quaint little train and ..... please will you get a car to pick us up at ..... ". Translation; "I'm used to much better things than all this and the people here are beneath me in social station etc. etc. ".
Radio amateurs are not like that. Unfailingly polite and considerate, on the phone, on the air, anywhere. It's requirement of the hobby, is it not? :-)

2746. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 01:47:11 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <z8rZd.4210$qf2.3303@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104411

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:LyaZd.3539$qW.2590@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
<SNIP>
> Voting 3rd party is like giving it to the Republicans, so I won't
> vote 3rd party.

Not always the case.
Bush senior lost to Clinton because of a 3rd Party (Perot).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2747. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 02:01:35 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110852094.888002.155720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104413

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > Dave,
>
> > > You're missing some basic points about this minimum-age-
> > > requirement idea.
> > >
> > > First off, there has never been such a requirement in the
> > > USA. Not even back before WW1.
> >
> > Correct.
> >
> Also, many other countries which once had age requirements (like
> Canada) have abandoned them.

Dissimilarly, other countries which once had a morse code exam
requirement are to be ignored or discredited, re: South Africa...

> > > Len Anderson, who requested the age requirement of FCC
> > > and who has
> > > a self-admitted problem with young people being licensed,
> > > has been
> > > repeatedly asked to provide evidence - any evidence -
> > > that there
> > > is some sort of problem caused by lack of an age requirement.
> >
> > Len has never come up with any proof for his assertion of ARRL
> > dishonesty either.
>
> I didn't ask for proof. Just evidence. Len has not provided
> *any* evidence in either case.

So people now have to have evidence for why they want an arbitrary
licensing requirement?

> > > He has provided no evidence at all of such problems.
> > > Nor has anyone else.
> >
> > That'd likely be because there is no such evidence in existence.
>

> Yep.

Oh, my!

> > > As a diversion, it has been suggested that such an
> > > age requirement
> > > would not affect most hams today, such as you
> > > (K8MN) and Steve (K4YZ).
> > > The clear implication is that you shouldn't oppose
> > > it because you're
> > > over 14, and were at least 14 when you were first licensed.
> >
> > > But there's a clear contradiction in that diversion,
> > > because the person
> > > demanding the age restriction is way over the age
> > > of 14, so it won't affect him, either.
> >
> > It remains that it has not been demonstrated that such
> > a rule change would be a positive thing.
>
> It's quite clear that it would be a negative thing.

Proof? Evidence?

> > > In fact, if we follow the logic of 'it doesn't affect
> > > you', it could be
> > > argued that since Len Anderson obvioulsy doesn't want
> > > an amateur license, he has no real grounds for complaint at
> > > all.
> >
> > To be completely fair to Len, he does not desire an
> > amateur radio
> > license at this time. He changes his view from time to
> > time on whether he desires an amateur radio license.
>
> That is certainly a more accurate way of saying it.
>
> However, please note that at no time has Len's desire for
> an amateur radio license reached the point where he
> actually obtained one. So it cannot be much of a desire
> even at its greatest.

Perhaps the desire to implement an arbitrary licensing requirement on
the American amateur radio community is stronger?

> > > His only apparent involvement in amateur radio is spouting off
> > > bullshit, nonsense and abuse on usenet, and in general
> > > acting like a complete jackass.
> > > His sole follower simply performs a variation on his
> > > themes. Why bother with them?
> >
> > Len believes that by commenting to the FCC in regard

> > to amateur radio
> > regulations and by doing whatever it is that he does
> > here, he is somehow
> > participating in amateur radio itself.
>
> Just a matter of semantics.

I'm sure Chairman Powell never gave it much thought.

> > > Yes, Mr. Anderson did say that he was "going for Extra
> > > right out of the
> > > box" back on January 19, 2000. But he hasn't taken
> > > even the first step
> > > towards doing so, and there's no evidence he ever will. So the
> > > requirements for an amateur license don't affect him in the
> > > least.
> > >
> > Precisely what I've told him over the years. He is
> > uninvolved in
> > amateur radio. He is a non-participant in amateur radio. He is
> > irrelevant to amateur radio. He has no experience in amateur
> > radio.
>
> Like the Norwegian Blue parrot....

Riley will be a Novice this weekend.

> > > There's no good reason for a minimum age requirement
> > > for an amateur
> > > radio license in the USA. We have more than 90 years
> > > of evidence to
> > > back that up.
> > >
> > You'd think that if a problem existed, it would have
> > manifested itself by now.
>
> Yep. But it hasn't. And as previously mentioned, the cb
> service had such a rule, but it was not effective in
> keeping that service well-behaved and law-abiding.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, you're unlikely to be able to reason out how an arbitrary licensing requirement will manifest itself. That is the beauty of arbitrary requirements.

2748. Re: Why Is Steve Robeson Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 02:09:19 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110852559.111930.305990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104414

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > Actually, the quote is that Len was going for the "Extra
right
> > out of
> > > > > the box" right after the regs were modified. Len isn't
capable
> > of
> > > > > getting any amateur radio license "right out of the box" now.
> > The
> > > > box
> > > > > has been opened for a number of years. At the moment, Len
isn't
> > > > > interested in obtaining an amateur radio license. Stick
around
> > > > > though--that changes from time to time.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Friggin Great! The damned box is empty. I thought that one
day I
> > > > might want to upgrade, but now the box is empty. No one can
ever
> > again
> > > > get an Extra license from that box.
> > > >
> > > > Who'd have thunk it?
> > > >
> > > > Thanks, Dave. You've ruined my whole morning.
> > >
> > > You've ruined your morning through your neglect of the word
"right".
>
> > David, which part wasn't right?
>
> I didn't write that something wasn't right. I wrote that you
neglected
```

> the word "right".
>
> Dave K8MN

Right.

2749. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 02:40:49 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.idd8g11.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 104415

On Mon, 14 Mar 2005 06:44:11 GMT, robert casey wrote:

>You seriously think that they'd select someone who actually
>understands the physics of radio in charge of the FCC? Get
>real....

Yet the best chairman that the agency had was Dick Wiley, who was there in the mid-1970s. Although he was and still is a top-notch communications lawyer, he had a very good engineering advisor and he listened to what the latter told him. He sat in on a seminar that I was co-chairing on TV technical standards, and from the few questions that he raised we could all see that he was understanding a lot of what we were discussing.

Unfortunately, his Carter=era successor (Charlie Ferris, who was Tip O'Neill's bag carrier) brought in the economists and "policy" types, downplayed the engineers, and the succeeding chairmen were even worse.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2750. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 03:06:31 UTC
From: "M. Haverstein" <anon@haversteensbar.org>
Message-ID: <d15jblave0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104416

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.idd8g11.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Mon, 14 Mar 2005 06:44:11 GMT, robert casey wrote:
>
>>You seriously think that they'd select someone who actually
>>understands the physics of radio in charge of the FCC? Get
>>real....
>
> Yet the best chairman that the agency had was Dick Wiley, who was
> there in the mid-1970s. Although he was and still is a top-notch
> communications lawyer, he had a very good engineering advisor and
> he listened to what the latter told him. He sat in on a seminar that
> I was co-chairing on TV technical standards, and from the few
> questions that he raised we could all see that he was understanding
> a lot of what we were discussing.
>
> Unfortunately, his Carter=era successor (Charlie Ferris, who was Tip
> O'Neill's bag carrier) brought in the economists and "policy" types,
> downplayed the engineers, and the succeeding chairmen were even worse.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

Go Cry Us A River of Tears abaout the "old days."
BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHh

2751. Nov 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 03:14:45 UTC
From: The Kerry-Kennedy Team <d@stoopiddemocraps.net>
Message-ID: <d15jrq$c2r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104417

yup, sure as heck, Last Nov 2nd, George Bush handed Kerry's
ass to him, after Bush kicked Kerry's ass all over the country.
ROTFLMAO!

Better yet, the Dumocraps are still whining and crying "foul."

hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahah

2752. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 03:57:56 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <462dnYK5jt3UwKvfRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 104418

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:z8rZd.4210$qf2.3303@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:LyaZd.3539$qW.2590@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
> <SNIP>
>> Voting 3rd party is like giving it to the Republicans, so I won't
>> vote 3rd party.
>
> Not always the case.
> Bush senior lost to Clinton because of a 3rd Party (Perot).
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

And Perot made a remarkably strong showing for a 3rd Party candidate. If I remember correctly, it's the strongest one in my lifetime and was more far above the number actually needed to tip the win away from the Republicans.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2753. The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 04:32:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110861157.851181.119320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104419

The FCC

FROM N9OGL'S BLOG

http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

The Federal Communication Commission (FCC) are slime, no they are below slime. As most of aware I have been fighting a long battle against the FCC over the licensing system. This battle began in the 1997, 1998 when I applied six time for a Low Power TV license for a community which has no local television service. The FCC dismissed the application and waivers because they weren't filed during the filing window(although the courts had ruled that the FCC must consider waivers and waivers can be filed at anytime). As I stated to John Shimkus (who's on the House Sub Committee on Telecommunication) why should I or anyone else continue to apply for a license if the FCC won't consider an application or waiver. It is in fact a waste of time and MONEY to continue to try to get a license, and the ones who hurt the most is the community. Here in central Illinois there are not that many TV stations, so there is a number of television frequency available. So by not consider my application and waiver the FCC hurt the public interest because the community of Taylorville still has no local TV service. So after applying six times I decide to go on the air without a license because it was a waste of time to apply. In 1999 my group applied for a low power FM license and again the FCC and Congress FUCKED me, my group and the community out of a valuable resource. Now, I'm going to enlighten the ham community with my knowledge and I'm not going to let some licensed CB operator screw me out of that....So let the fight begin!

Todd N9OGL

2754. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 04:49:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110862182.110397.237540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104420

From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> on Mon, Mar 14 2005 4:34 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > bb wrote:
>> > > Dave Heil wrote:

>> > > > Any changes made to amateur radio regulation effect those
>> > > > who are already licensed.

>> > > I don't see how. Will you be at least 14 years of age
>> > > when the FCC drags you in for a retest?

>> > I'm not scheduled. I'm not likely to be scheduled
>> > and the FCC doesn't drag anyone for anything.

>> Dave,

>> You're missing some basic points about this minimum-age-requirement
>> idea.

>> First off, there has never been such a requirement in the USA. Not
>> even back before WW1.

>And prior to the Morse Exam requirement, there had been no Morse Exam
>requirement.
>
>Jim, you've just got to realize that there are "firsts" for many
things
>in life.

Brian, he does NOT realize that...therefore, whatever
Jimmie did IS what is "right" and anything else is
"wrong." Simple as that.

>> Len Anderson, who requested the age requirement of FCC and who has
>> a self-admitted problem with young people being licensed, has been
>> repeatedly asked to provide evidence - any evidence - that there
>> is some sort of problem caused by lack of an age requirement.

>Len has a self-admitted problem with the arbitrary licensing
>requirements that are in-play today, some of which you support. That
>he would suggest yet another one may only be a strawman. Something to

>jar your noggin into doing what it was designed to do.

Tsk, it must be such HARD work, making that molehill
into a mountain!

Poor Jimmie can't understand what I wrote on my 14-page
Comment on 98-143 that was filed on 13 January 1999...
EXCEPT a minor item on the last page of that. Perhaps
he skips the major content of daily newspapers and
concentrates on the funnies at the end of the paper?

>> He has provided no evidence at all of such problems. Nor has anyone
>> else.
>
>Welp, there was the unlicensed Kelly...

So...rumors MUST be true...Jimmie is preparing a writ
for the Supreme Court on this TERRIBLE, TREASONOUS
subject of AGE requirements!

>Len has been reminded again and again and again not to pay any
>attention to things which don't concern him. So if you are not
>affected by Len's suggested age requirement, perhaps you should take
>your own advice and not be concerned.

Brian, Jimmie IS the Spanish Inquisition! :-)

All who do not think as HE does shall be burned at
the stake!

All who do not agree with HIM are the Antichrist!

>> In fact, if we follow the logic of 'it doesn't affect you', it could
be
>> argued that since Len Anderson obvioulsy doesn't want
>> an amateur license, he has no real grounds for complaint at all.
>
>He isn't complaining. He is petitioning his government for change
>which is a protected right in America. I think.

Brian, that is NOT allowed in U.S. amateur radio!

PCTA extras ARE the inquisitors.

Inquisitors deny ALL rights given to U.S. citizens
if any disagree with their holinesses.

>Reverend Jim must leave the Antenna Mount at once. Say pennance or be

>defrocked.

Now, now, Jimmie wanted that "cool" WOMAN's morse code tee.

A frock would go very well with that outfit.

>> Yes, Mr. Anderson did say that he was "going for Extra right out of
the
>> box" back on January 19, 2000. But he hasn't taken even the first
step
>> towards doing so, and there's no evidence he ever will.

>Is there a time limit on getting an amateur license, or is it
>self-paced?

Whatever an Inquisitor demands now MUST be obeyed!

Jimmie is tuned to the wrong mind with his telepathy
machine. As usual. He really doesn't KNOW much about
others or their motivations...but damn if anyone can
point that out to him!

Tsk, Jimmie is sure working hard making that molehill
into a mountain! A casual statement made in here over
FIVE YEARS AGO is made to sound like some priestly VOWS
taken for life!!!

I wonder what Vows Jimmie took at the nave of the Church
of St. Hiram (prostrate on the floor, making the Sign of
the J-38)? Did he HOLD those Vows until now?

Tsk, if he be defrocked then he NEEDS all that women's
wear from Ebay! We can't have him running around naked
in front of high-voltage tube equipment...his shortcomings
might get a spark! Tsk!

>So the requirements for an amateur license don't affect him in the
least.

>Perhaps they really do affect Len. Perhaps they affect every American

>whether they're aware of it or not. Ever think of that?

Americans do NOT get any Rights guaranteed under the
U.S. Constitution when it comes to amateur radio. The
gods of ham radio are the Lawgivers and none may fault
them. They RULE there!

>Welp, Jim. You sound like you've had your fair share of sour grapes
>today. Take the rest of the day off.

Tsk. I'd say those sour grapes were fermented as well.
Jimmie ought to sleep it off lest he have another angry
hangover.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2755. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 04:52:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1110862332.071881.187420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104421

From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> on Mon, Mar 14 2005 6:01 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > > bb wrote:
>> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> > > Dave,

>> > > You're missing some basic points about this minimum-age-
>> > > requirement idea.

>> > > First off, there has never been such a requirement in the
>> > > USA. Not even back before WW1.

>> > Correct.

>> Also, many other countries which once had age requirements (like
>> Canada) have abandoned them.

>Dissimilarly, other countries which once had a morse code exam
>requirement are to be ignored or discredited, re: South Africa...

Quite true, Brian, but that is NOT what this thread
is about. :-)

Tsk, tsk...Jimmie Miccolis can't bear it when his noble
league-speak isn't accepted as Ultimate Truth in ham radio
so he tries to manufacture an ISSUE based on attempts to
denigrate an NCTA in here. We may have to call him
"Misdirection Miccolis," privately, of course since he is
a lofty, noble, royal amateur extra.

>> > > Len Anderson, who requested the age requirement of FCC
>> > > and who has
>> > > a self-admitted problem with young people being licensed,
>> > > has been
>> > > repeatedly asked to provide evidence - any evidence -
>> > > that there
>> > > is some sort of problem caused by lack of an age requirement.

>> > Len has never come up with any proof for his assertion of ARRL
>> > dishonesty either.

>> I didn't ask for proof. Just evidence. Len has not provided
>> *any* evidence in either case.

>So people now have to have evidence for why they want an arbitrary
>licensing requirement?

Absolutely, Brian! Tsk, some of these PCTAs want to take
code test elimination to the highest courts in the land! :-)

Never mind that my source of information (and cute picture)
of those two "youngest hams in the world" at 6 years old was
the ARRL News, on their website. Jimmie wanna say I "lie."

Jimmie is of the opinion that ANY toddler (with a ham
license) ALWAYS behaves and could never, ever do anything
wrong on the air. Neither do such toddlers EVER cause
trouble on a playground or with their parents in super-
markets, etc., etc., etc.

Of course, if such code-tested kids grow up to be extras
then they will be beyond reproach! PCTA extras can never
ever be charged with ANY impropriety!

>> > > He has provided no evidence at all of such problems.
>> > > Nor has anyone else.

>> > That'd likely be because there is no such evidence in existence.

>> Yep.

>Oh, my!

Tsk, tsk. The league continues to Sin by Omission on
much of its "news." Such "evidence" is glaringly in
view by comparing REAL news sources output about an
event also "reported" by the league.

The league is the league and beyond reproach. None may
gainsay a thing nasty about them. The league is holy.

>> > > As a diversion, it has been suggested that such an
>> > > age requirement
>> > > would not affect most hams today, such as you
>> > > (K8MN) and Steve (K4YZ).
>> > > The clear implication is that you shouldn't oppose
>> > > it because you're
>> > > over 14, and were at least 14 when you were first licensed.

>> > > But there's a clear contradiction in that diversion,
>> > > because the person
>> > > demanding the age restriction is way over the age
>> > > of 14, so it won't affect him, either.

>> > It remains that it has not been demonstrated that such
>> > a rule change would be a positive thing.

>> It's quite clear that it would be a negative thing.

>Proof? Evidence?

Jimmie needs NO "proof." He points to ham radio as it is
and says "It ain't broke, don't fix it!"

There we have it.

Absolute.

Jimmie is one of the Lawgivers.

>> > > In fact, if we follow the logic of 'it doesn't affect
>> > > you', it could be
>> > > argued that since Len Anderson obvioulsy doesn't want
>> > > an amateur license, he has no real grounds for complaint at
>> > > all.

>> > To be completely fair to Len, he does not desire an
>> > amateur radio
>> > license at this time. He changes his view from time to
>> > time on whether he desires an amateur radio license.

>> That is certainly a more accurate way of saying it.

>> However, please note that at no time has Len's desire for
>> an amateur radio license reached the point where he
>> actually obtained one. So it cannot be much of a desire
>> even at its greatest.

>Perhaps the desire to implement an arbitrary licensing requirement on
>the American amateur radio community is stronger?

Careful, Brian, you are making negative criticsm on one
of the Lawgivers, a god of radio.

By their virtue of being PCTA extras, gods of radio like
Jimmie KNOW EVERYTHING and what they KNOW is the TRUTH.

Look in other threads in this newsgroup. Jimmie KNOWS
about things having NOTHING to do with amateur radio
and doesn't hesitate to tell the world he is "right."

Never mind that Jimmie has NEVER been IN government or
in national party politics, he KNOWS it all without EVER
being IN government nor expressing any desire to be IN
government or national politics.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...I've never claimed to be IN amateur radio
since I began advocating the elimination of the morse code
test. [over two decades ago, by the way]

>> > > His only apparent involvement in amateur radio is spouting off
>> > > bullshit, nonsense and abuse on usenet, and in general
>> > > acting like a complete jackass.
>> > > His sole follower simply performs a variation on his
>> > > themes. Why bother with them?

>> > Len believes that by commenting to the FCC in regard
>> > to amateur radio
>> > regulations and by doing whatever it is that he does
>> > here, he is somehow
>> > participating in amateur radio itself.

>> Just a matter of semantics.

>I'm sure Chairman Powell never gave it much thought.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Whover big badass dave and Jimmie
are mind-reading, it sure isn't me. :-)

It would seem that Jimmie's only apparent involvement
in government and politics is spouting off bullshit,
nonsense and abuse on usenet, and in general acting like
a complete jackass.

Jimmie has NO government office position nor does he have
ANY "in" with national party politics, has never ever
served in the United States military, has never ever
been IN the aerospace business...yet he KNOWS all about
that and doesn't hesitate to claim "authority" and a
noble, even royal judgemental capability on all who dare
speak against his NON-ham-radio opinions!

>> > > There's no good reason for a minimum age requirement
>> > > for an amateur
>> > > radio license in the USA. We have more than 90 years
>> > > of evidence to
>> > > back that up.

>> > You'd think that if a problem existed, it would have
>> > manifested itself by now.

>> Yep. But it hasn't. And as previously mentioned, the cb
>> service had such a rule, but it was not effective in
>> keeping that service well-behaved and law-abiding.

>Jim, you're unlikely to be able to reason out how an arbitrary
>licensing requirement will manifest itself. That is the beauty of
>arbitrary requirements.

Brian, he just CANNOT change his mind.

Further, Jimmie is a Lawgiver and KNOWS what is "right"
and what is "wrong."

Anyone who says the least thing negative about what
Jimmie considers "right" is thus automatically "wrong."

It's as simple as that. :-)

But, we can't disturb this god of radio right now. He
is very busy manufacturing a mountain out of a molehill
right now. He wants to make an "example" of something

I wrote to the FCC over six years ago, possibly preparing
a writ for submission to the Supreme Court or something...

What a waste of time he be.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2756. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 06:20:38 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <W8vZd.4472$qf2.3185@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104422

>
> Unfortunately, his Carter=era successor (Charlie Ferris, who was Tip
> O'Neill's bag carrier) brought in the economists and "policy" types,
> downplayed the engineers, and the succeeding chairmen were even worse.
>

ONe example of this was AM stereo. Instead of picking one of
5 or so proposed systems as the standard, they said "let the
marketplace decide". With 5 possible selections, the net result
was that AM stereo was stillborn.

When stereo sound for TV was proposed, the EIA (electronics
industry association) got together and hammered out just
one proposal to submit to the FCC. And the FCC said
"okay, you can do it". The EIA did essentially what
the FCC should have done, but with the AM stereo disaster,
the EIA knew that the only way the FCC couldn't screw it
up was to present only one proposal. SO that's what was done.

2757. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 10:31:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110882706.006232.17600@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104423

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> But, we can't disturb this god of radio right now. He
> is very busy manufacturing a mountain out of a molehill
> right now.

Speaking of mountains out of molehills, your comments beg the question as to why YOU have spent almost 10 of your remaining few years antagonizing a USENET group for which you obviously have no practical use.

> He wants to make an "example" of something
> I wrote to the FCC over six years ago, possibly preparing
> a writ for submission to the Supreme Court or something...

Veeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeety interesting!

Lennie fusses about getting smacked around for comments that he made to the FCC commissioners 6 years ago, yet HIS favorite thing to do is smack ME around for comments I made six years ago...

Seems it's NOT OK to chastise HIM for exercising the same rights he demands for himself...

> What a waste of time he be.

You said it brother...What a waste of time be you.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Putz and liar. Does the IEEE proud, no doubt.

Steve, K4YZ

2758. Re: Is Morse code Binary ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 10:37:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110883030.953505.77430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104424

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > But I am not the one with the probelm, Dieter!
>
> Hi, hi! A decade of usenet archives says otherwise.

Wrong on TWO counts, Oh Mindless One!

You have yet to reproduce ANY of the posts requested of you that "prove" your allegations insanity, mental defect, threatening behaviour, "terrorism", etc. You have made things up or taken them out of context.

Count one.

I've not been active on the Internet for a decade. By a long stretch.

Count two.

Brian P Burke, N0IMD, remains a liar. Again proven by his own actions.

When will he ever learn?

Steve, K4YZ

2759. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 10:56:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110884207.483406.182580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104425

N9OGL wrote:
> The FCC
>
> FROM N9OGL'S BLOG
>
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
>
>
> The Federal Communication Commission (FCC) are slime, no they are
below
> slime. As most of aware I have been fighting a long battle against
the
> FCC over the licensing system. This battle began in the 1997, 1998
when
> I applied six time for a Low Power TV license for a community which
has
> no local television service.

It's been pointed out to you that the FCC maintains certain
"character" requirements for licensure. They are (obviously) not as
strict for Amateur Radio since you remain a Commission licensee.

The following quotes from your "blog" is pretty explanatory as to
why they do that:

> The FCC dismissed the application and
> waivers because they weren't filed during the filing window(although
> the courts had ruled that the FCC must consider waivers and waivers
can
> be filed at anytime). As I stated to John Shimkus (who's on the House
> Sub Committee on Telecommunication) why should I or anyone else
> continue to apply for a license if the FCC won't consider an
> application or waiver.

You obviously missed the "Idiot Exclusionary Rule".

You met THAT criteria.

> It is in fact a waste of time and MONEY to
> continue to try to get a license, and the ones who hurt the most is
the
> community.

Maybe you haven't quite gotten the picture yet, Todd. YOU haven't
proven to the FCC that you can meet the standards of being a
licensee...ESPECIALLY in the broadcast services.

> Here in central Illinois there are not that many TV
> stations, so there is a number of television frequency available. So
by
> not consider my application and waiver the FCC hurt the public
interest
> because the community of Taylorville still has no local TV service.

Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...??? Because you'e an incometent idiot who hasn't
met the criteria for licensure?

> So after applying six times I decide to go on the air without a
license
> because it was a waste of time to apply.

And you wonder why the FCC wouldn't give you a broadcast license?

You're violating the regulations of the one radio service you ARE
a licensee in...WHY in Heaven's name would they waste valuable
COMMERCIAL spectrum on an idiot who can't adhere to AMATEUR
regulations...???

> In 1999 my group applied for a
> low power FM license and again the FCC and Congress ****ED me, my
group
> and the community out of a valuable resource.

A "valuable resource" to whom?

And the FCC and Congress didn't **** you out of anything, Todd.

You didn't qualify to be an FCC licensee for the service you were
requesting then...You don't qualify now.

> Now, I'm going to
> enlighten the ham community with my knowledge and I'm not going to
let
> some licensed CB operator screw me out of that....So let the fight
> begin!

What fight?

You're making a fool out of yourself. (It appears it's an
on-going proceess)

You're going to try and beat the FCC at a game wherein they
already have DECADES of case law in thier favor, not to mention a bank
of lawyers who will still be earning federal wages long after this
episode is over with and you're flipping burgers to pay off the
bankruptcy.

Steve, K4YZ

2760. Re: Now THIS is COOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 11:17:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110885444.341093.147720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104426

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Brian Burke on Sun, Mar 13 2005 7:14 am

> >> > Might be the next hot thing at Dayton.
> >>
>
> >Len, come on out and see for youself. Petty good bunch of people
even
> >if many are overweight and in t-shirts 1 or 2 sizes too small. Some
> of
> >the vendors have even figured out that sex appeal even works on
hams,
> >and have staffed their boothes with attractive women.
>
> Tsk. Travel 2000 miles to a convention of fantasy
> believers who imagine that ALL of radio follows
> their ideas of "radio operating?" Don't think so.

"Fantasy believers"...?!?!

This isn't a "Star Trek" or "Stargate SG1" Convention, Lennie.

It's attended by real people with real licenses and real radio
stations.

The one "fantasizing" is the ex-radio technician who is waiting
for the FCC to beg him to take an exam-free Amateur Radio license for
all the projects he "had his hands in" ove the years.

> If I want to see attractive women outside of my
> house environs...(SNIP)...(There's one within...?!?!)

> (UNSNIP)...Los Angeles with all its movie
> studios has the number one position for collections
> of attractive women!

And the number one position of wannabe actress suicides.

And the number one position of murders.

And the number one position of natural disasters in CONUS.

And the number one position of gang-related felonies.

And the number one position of drug related crimes.

> >And they do have a testing session. Bring an ID and $12. Oops,
> >forgot, Heil says no more licenses in that box. Wonder if the FCC

> >knows that?
>
> Big badass dave IS the Lawgiver. Whatever he say,
> all DO. Period. No questions allowed.

Lennie can save his $12. Spending it at Dayton would be on the presumption that he could pass the test. Might as well save his money since he can't do it. Requires a certain amount of requisite knowledge, and Lennie's demonstrated a pretty weak comprehension of Amateur Radio practice here. If he can't get it right in an "open book" enviroment, he'll never get it right at the VE session.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Still liar and antagonist extreme. You do the IEEE proud.

Steve, K4YZ

2761. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 11:43:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110887010.766272.228190@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104427

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Henceforth, Mike Coslo will be forever labeled with
> the title of FAILURE TO REACH THE EDGE OF SPACE BY
> HAM RADIO!

By who?

You?

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! !! !

From "The Man Who Said He Did Everything But Did Nothing At
All"...!!!!!!!!!

Steve, K4YZ

2762. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 13:19:04 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110892744.611481.263740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104428

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > The FCC
> >
> > FROM N9OGL'S BLOG
> >
> > http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
> >
> >
> > The Federal Communication Commission (FCC) are slime, no they are
> below
> > slime. As most of aware I have been fighting a long battle against
> the
> > FCC over the licensing system. This battle began in the 1997, 1998
> when
> > I applied six time for a Low Power TV license for a community which
> has
> > no local television service.
>
> It's been pointed out to you that the FCC maintains certain
> "character" requirements for licensure. They are (obviously) not as
> strict for Amateur Radio since you remain a Commission licensee.

Character quifications had nothing to do with it GI JOE. The FCC Low Power TV division in the Mass Media Bureau wasn't posting the filing windows like their suppose to, that's what it comes down to. I suggest you and your buddies on here stick with ham radio. At any rate the FCC may consider waivers at anytime and courts have gone farther to say "the FCC MUST consider waivers" regardless if they are in a filing window or not. again I suggest you and your buddies stick with ham radio.

> The following quotes from your "blog" is pretty explanatory as
to
> why they do that:
>
> > The FCC dismissed the application and
> > waivers because they weren't filed during the filing
window(although
> > the courts had ruled that the FCC must consider waivers and waivers
> can
> > be filed at anytime). As I stated to John Shimkus (who's on the
House
> > Sub Committee on Telecommunication) why should I or anyone else
> > continue to apply for a license if the FCC won't consider an

> > application or waiver.
>
> You obviously missed the "Idiot Exclusionary Rule".
>
> You met THAT criteria.

> > It is in fact a waste of time and MONEY to
> > continue to try to get a license, and the ones who hurt the most is
> the
> > community.
>
> Maybe you haven't quite gotten the picture yet, Todd. YOU
haven't
> proven to the FCC that you can meet the standards of being a
> licensee...ESPECIALLY in the broadcast services.
>
> > Here in central Illinois there are not that many TV
> > stations, so there is a number of television frequency available.
So
> by
> > not consider my application and waiver the FCC hurt the public
> interest
> > because the community of Taylorville still has no local TV service.
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...??? Because you'e an incometent idiot who
hasn't
> met the criteria for licensure?

So What? are you saying people mentally handicap can't or shouldn't
give a license, because if the FCC IS doing that it is a violation of
ADA (American Disabilities Act)and I'll be sure to past that on to the
Telecommunications Sub Committee. I'll be the first one to file a
lawsuit against the FCC.

> > So after applying six times I decide to go on the air without a
> license
> > because it was a waste of time to apply.
>
> And you wonder why the FCC wouldn't give you a broadcast
license?
>
> You're violating the regulations of the one radio service you
ARE
> a licensee in...WHY in Heaven's name would they waste valuable
> COMMERCIAL spectrum on an idiot who can't adhere to AMATEUR
> regulations...???

Violating what regulation? as for a I know I've been licensed sinced
1991-1992 and have not once gotten a fine or a warning letter. In my
opinion G.I. JOE you don't know what the fuck your talking about.

> > In 1999 my group applied for a
> > low power FM license and again the FCC and Congress ****ED me, my
> group
> > and the community out of a valuable resource.
>
> A "valuable resource" to whom?
>
> And the FCC and Congress didn't **** you out of anything, Todd.
>
> You didn't qualify to be an FCC licensee for the service you were
> requesting then...You don't qualify now.
>
> > Now, I'm going to
> > enlighten the ham community with my knowledge and I'm not going to
> let
> > some licensed CB operator screw me out of that....So let the fight
> > begin!
>
> What fight?
>
> You're making a fool out of yourself. (It appears it's an
> on-going proceess)
>
> You're going to try and beat the FCC at a game wherein they
> already have DECADES of case law in thier favor, not to mention a
bank
> of lawyers who will still be earning federal wages long after this
> episode is over with and you're flipping burgers to pay off the
> bankruptcy.

I have a little bit more money and power then what you think G.I. JOE,
So keep lying to yourself.

Todd N9OGL

2763. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 13:27:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110893242.047945.45000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104430

>I also understand that he wanted to find out how to
>make a microwave transmitter out of a discarded microwave >oven.

Apparently your not a ham operator, because making a transmitter out of a microwave can be done. If you think that's Friggin retarded then I suggest you go to rec.radio.amateur.homebrew and tell them how friggin retarded it is because some of those boy have done it. A microwave is a transmitter, they run between 2.to 3 GHZ some of the older ones run as low as 1 GHZ. Tell you want little boy, get your ham license, and I tell you of all my wonderful inventions I have created over the years.

2764. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 13:27:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110893248.819591.178590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104429

>I also understand that he wanted to find out how to
>make a microwave transmitter out of a discarded microwave >oven.

Apparently your not a ham operator, because making a transmitter out of a microwave can be done. If you think that's Friggin retarded then I suggest you go to rec.radio.amateur.homebrew and tell them how friggin retarded it is because some of those boy have done it. A microwave is a transmitter, they run between 2.to 3 GHZ some of the older ones run as low as 1 GHZ. Tell you want little boy, get your ham license, and I tell you of all my wonderful inventions I have created over the years.

2765. The Republican revolution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 14:04:00 UTC
From: T <t@trexerexltd.org>
Message-ID: <d16pscero0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104431

> The Republican revolution
> By Michael Barone
>
> THE ENDURING REVOLUTION: HOW THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA CONTINUES TO SHAPE
> THE NATION
> Major Garrett
> Crown Forum, $25.95, 325 pages
>
> When I heard that my former colleague at U.S. News & World Report,
> Major Garrett, was writing a book about the Contract with America, I
> feared that there would be little in it that I didn't already know.
>
> After all, I had covered the contract and the first days of the first
> Republican Congress. I was, so far as I know, the first in the national
> press to write that the Republicans had a serious chance of winning a
> majority in the House in 1994. I was right about the election but, boy,
> was I wrong about the book. "The Enduring Revolution" told me all kinds of
> things I did not know. And I don't know how long it's been since I've
> encountered a book on politics and policy that was so much fun to read.
>
> Mr. Garrett's first major point about the contract is this: It wasn't
> just Newt. Lots of other people played major roles. Dick Armey, far from
> being a Gingrich confidant, was a solo operator, and it was his staffer,
> Kerry Knott who came up with the title Contract with America. Moderate
> Republican members frustrated by their party's minority status -- Steve
> Gunderson, Tom Tauke, Nancy Johnson, Jennifer Dunn, Deborah Pryce --
> provided key support at critical times.
>
> John Kasich's insistence on drawing up a Republican budget put the new
> majority in a position to govern. Republican National Chairman Haley
> Barbour and his top staffer, Don Fierce, provided leadership in 1993 and
> 1994 in nationalizing the issues quite independently of House Republicans,
> even though they thought it unlikely that Republicans could win majorities
> anytime soon.
>
> All this came at a time when Bill Clinton and the Democrats had an
> opportunity to forge an electoral majority for their party. Mr. Garrett
> interviewed not only Republicans but Democrats -- Clinton staffers Bruce
> Reed and Paul Begala, Speaker Tom Foley and Majority Leader Dick
> Gephardt -- and shows how congressional leaders moved the young president
> dangerously far to the left. Like Mr. Barbour and Mr. Fierce, they were
> operating under the assumption that Democratic congressional majorities
> were perpetual. Yet the joint effects of their decisions and actions ended
> up proving that assumption wrong.

>
> The conventional wisdom around Washington is that the Contract with
> America was a bust. Gingrich and company, it is thought, failed to achieve
> most of their objectives and were rebuked by the voters. Mr. Garrett shows
> how wrong this conventional wisdom is.
>
> The 1995-96 budget showdown with Mr. Clinton set Republicans back with
> some voters. But by holding spending in place for a year it also set the
> trajectory that led to a balanced budget. The Republican majority put into
> place new policies like the child tax credit, college tuition tax credits
> and tax-free savings accounts. It passed and maneuvered Mr. Clinton into
> signing welfare reform. It increased the defense and intelligence budgets.
> It effectively advanced ballistic missile defense.
>
> It is a maxim in politics that he who frames the issues tends to
> determine the outcome. The Contract with America and the Republican
> victory in 1994 framed many issues in ways that are still decisive; Mr.
> Garrett ingeniously notes that many of John Kerry's 2004 campaign stands
> were Contract-inspired.
>
> The ultimate reality in politics can be found in the election returns.
> As Mr. Garrett points out, the Republicans' decision to nationalize the
> 1994 election produced a surge in turnout which permanently reshaped the
> electorate. The number of votes cast for Republican candidates for the
> House increased 32 percent from 1990 to 1994. The number of votes cast for
> Democrats fell 3 percent.
>
> Yes, the Republican percentage in the House vote declined in 1996. But
> Republicans have now won the popular vote for the House in six straight
> elections. The Republican surge in House votes has now been matched by a
> surge in presidential vote in 2004, as George W. Bush's vote increased 23
> percent while Mr. Kerry's vote increased 16 percent over Al Gore's.
>
> What Republicans make of these majorities is still not clear, as Mr.
> Garrett's riveting account of the passage of the Medicare
> prescription-drug bill in 2003 shows. But what cannot be gainsaid is that
> the Contract with America and the Republican victory that followed did
> produce an enduring revolution, whose ramifications and reverberations are
> still being played out. Major Garrett shows better than anyone else how we
> got there.
>
> Michael Barone is a senior writer for U.S. News & World Report and
> co-author of "The Almanac of American Politics."
>
> http://www.washtimes.com/op-ed/20050314-090221-1385r.htm
>
> --
> Know the difference between a leftist and a potato? The difference is
> that a potato will sprout, seek the sunlight and grow while a leftist will
> remain buried in the dirt blaming everybody and everything but himself for

> his situation.
> - Steven Canyon
>

2766. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 14:09:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110895789.666278.102260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104432

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > It's been pointed out to you that the FCC maintains certain
> > "character" requirements for licensure. They are (obviously) not
as
> > strict for Amateur Radio since you remain a Commission licensee.
>
> Character quifications had nothing to do with it GI JOE.

Who's "GI Joe"...???

And yes, character is very much a part of FCC licensure,
especially in the broadcast services.

> The FCC Low
> Power TV division in the Mass Media Bureau wasn't posting the filing
> windows like their suppose to, that's what it comes down to. I
suggest
> you and your buddies on here stick with ham radio. At any rate the
FCC
> may consider waivers at anytime and courts have gone farther to say
> "the FCC MUST consider waivers" regardless if they are in a filing
> window or not. again I suggest you and your buddies stick with ham
> radio.

Then >>I<< suggest YOU take your silly diatribe to
"alt.wannabe.broadcaster.abusing.ham.radio", Todd...Other than the
obvious abuse of priviledge, your rantings have nothing to do with
Amateur Radio.

> > Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...??? Because you're an incometent idiot who
> hasn't
> > met the criteria for licensure?
>
> So What? are you saying people mentally handicap can't or shouldn't
> give a license, because if the FCC IS doing that it is a violation of
> ADA (American Disabilities Act)and I'll be sure to past that on to
the
> Telecommunications Sub Committee. I'll be the first one to file a
> lawsuit against the FCC.

Toddie, Toddie, Toddie...

You try to toggle "incompetence" with "handicap". They are NOT
the same.

Steven Hawking, for example, has one of the most severe physical handicaps ever known to man, but he is eminently competent in all other respects.

You, on the otherhand, have all of the physical facilities a "normal" human being enjoys, however cannot understand even simple regulatory process or express yourself "effectively" without liberal use of profanity and insult.

E V E R Y rule, regulation and statute of law at every level requires that a person be "competent" in order to be a functioning citizen.

Incompetence IS a legally defensible EXCLUSION from cerain rights and priviledges of citizenship, INCLUDING licensure by the Federal Communications Commission.

Since you've apparently admitted that you ARE incompetent, Todd, perhaps we should make sure that a copy of this exchange makes it to any public hearing wherein your application for a broadcast license is subject.

And we can include a copy of your "The FCC is Slime" diatribe from your "blog". It would certainly lend credence to the argument that you would be an enforcement risk if a broadcast license were issued to you.

You certainly take liberties with Amateur regulations. What makes anyone believe you'll comply with commercial ones?

> > You're violating the regulations of the one radio service you
> ARE
> > a licensee in...WHY in Heaven's name would they waste valuable
> > COMMERCIAL spectrum on an idiot who can't adhere to AMATEUR
> > regulations...???
>
> Violating what regulation? as for a I know I've been licensed sinced
> 1991-1992 and have not once gotten a fine or a warning letter.

I go 70MPH on the freeway in places where it's marked 65MPH and never gotten a speeding ticket.

So what?

>In my
> opinion G.I. JOE you don't know what the #### your talking about.

(1) Who is "GI Joe"...???

(2) P L E A S E don't tell me this vaunted college you're about to graduate from is bestowing a degree in English upon you, Todd, because your comprehension, construction and application suck.

You're a prime example of why this nation is in dire straits...Our schools, primary, secondary and post graduate, are failing.

I'd be humiliated to be a member of the Board of the institution
about to bestow ANY level degree upon a person who can't express
themselves more effectively than what you've demonstrated here.

> > You're going to try and beat the FCC at a game wherein they
> > already have DECADES of case law in thier favor, not to mention a
> bank
> > of lawyers who will still be earning federal wages long after this
> > episode is over with and you're flipping burgers to pay off the
> > bankruptcy.
>
> I have a little bit more money and power then what you think G.I.
JOE,
> So keep lying to yourself.

Who's "G.I. Joe"...???

And you obviously have squat for "power" since you have to resort
to broadcasting via Amateur Radio rather than with the appropriate
commercial licnese, Todd.

As for money...well...if you had spent your (imaginary) funds on a
decent communication's lawyer, you would, in all likelyhood, already
have the broadcast license you so passionately covet.

Instead, you resort to a pontificating, self-promoting "blog" that
is verbally abusive, insulting, and absolutely innefective and useless
for obtaining said licensure. It is, in fact, counter productive to
it.

The only person lying to themselves here, Todd, is you.

Enjoy. It's your fantasy.

Steve, K4YZ

2767. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 14:38:17 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <rp@phrabsvcsdesk.org>
Message-ID: <d16rsk$j6v$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104433

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110895789.666278.102260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > It's been pointed out to you that the FCC maintains certain
>> > "character" requirements for licensure. They are (obviously) not
> as
>> > strict for Amateur Radio since you remain a Commission licensee.
>>
>> Character quifications had nothing to do with it GI JOE.
>
> Who's "GI Joe"...???
>
> And yes, character is very much a part of FCC licensure,
> especially in the broadcast services.
>
>> The FCC Low
>> Power TV division in the Mass Media Bureau wasn't posting the filing
>> windows like their suppose to, that's what it comes down to. I
> suggest
>> you and your buddies on here stick with ham radio. At any rate the
> FCC
>> may consider waivers at anytime and courts have gone farther to say
>> "the FCC MUST consider waivers" regardless if they are in a filing
>> window or not. again I suggest you and your buddies stick with ham
>> radio.
>
> Then >>I<< suggest YOU take your silly diatribe to
> "alt.wannabe.broadcaster.abusing.ham.radio", Todd...Other than the
> obvious abuse of priviledge, your rantings have nothing to do with
> Amateur Radio.
>
>> > Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...??? Because you're an incometent idiot who
>> hasn't
>> > met the criteria for licensure?
>>
>> So What? are you saying people mentally handicap can't or shouldn't
>> give a license, because if the FCC IS doing that it is a violation of
>> ADA (American Disabilities Act)and I'll be sure to past that on to
> the
>> Telecommunications Sub Committee. I'll be the first one to file a
>> lawsuit against the FCC.

>
> Toddie, Toddie, Toddie...
>
> You try to toggle "incompetence" with "handicap". They are NOT
> the same.
>
> Steven Hawking, for example, has one of the most severe physical
> handicaps ever known to man, but he is eminently competent in all other
> respects.
>
> You, on the otherhand, have all of the physical facilities a
> "normal" human being enjoys, however cannot understand even simple
> regulatory process or express yourself "effectively" without liberal
> use of profanity and insult.
>
> E V E R Y rule, regulation and statute of law at every level
> requires that a person be "competent" in order to be a functioning
> citizen.
>
> Incompetence IS a legally defensible EXCLUSION from cerain rights
> and priviledges of citizenship, INCLUDING licensure by the Federal
> Communications Commission.
>
> Since you've apparently admitted that you ARE incompetent, Todd,
> perhaps we should make sure that a copy of this exchange makes it to
> any public hearing wherein your application for a broadcast license is
> subject.
>
> And we can include a copy of your "The FCC is Slime" diatribe from
> your "blog". It would certainly lend credence to the argument that you
> would be an enforcement risk if a broadcast license were issued to you.
>
> You certainly take liberties with Amateur regulations. What makes
> anyone believe you'll comply with commercial ones?
>
>> > You're violating the regulations of the one radio service you
>> ARE
>> > a licensee in...WHY in Heaven's name would they waste valuable
>> > COMMERCIAL spectrum on an idiot who can't adhere to AMATEUR
>> > regulations...???
>>
>> Violating what regulation? as for a I know I've been licensed sinced
>> 1991-1992 and have not once gotten a fine or a warning letter.
>
> I go 70MPH on the freeway in places where it's marked 65MPH and
> never gotten a speeding ticket.
>
> So what?
>
>>In my

>> opinion G.I. JOE you don't know what the ##### your talking about.
>
> (1) Who is "GI Joe"...???
>
> (2) P L E A S E don't tell me this vaunted college you're about
> to graduate from is bestowing a degree in English upon you, Todd,
> because your comprehension, construction and application suck.
>
> You're a prime example of why this nation is in dire straits...Our
> schools, primary, secondary and post graduate, are failing.
>
> I'd be humiliated to be a member of the Board of the institution
> about to bestow ANY level degree upon a person who can't express
> themselves more effectively than what you've demonstrated here.
>
>> > You're going to try and beat the FCC at a game wherein they
>> > already have DECADES of case law in thier favor, not to mention a
>> bank
>> > of lawyers who will still be earning federal wages long after this
>> > episode is over with and you're flipping burgers to pay off the
>> > bankruptcy.
>>
>> I have a little bit more money and power then what you think G.I.
> JOE,
>> So keep lying to yourself.
>
> Who's "G.I. Joe"...???
>
> And you obviously have squat for "power" since you have to resort
> to broadcasting via Amateur Radio rather than with the appropriate
> commercial licnese, Todd.
>
> As for money...well...if you had spent your (imaginary) funds on a
> decent communication's lawyer, you would, in all likelyhood, already
> have the broadcast license you so passionately covet.
>
> Instead, you resort to a pontificating, self-promoting "blog" that
> is verbally abusive, insulting, and absolutely innefective and useless
> for obtaining said licensure. It is, in fact, counter productive to
> it.
>
> The only person lying to themselves here, Todd, is you.
>
> Enjoy. It's your fantasy.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Ahhhhhhhhhh SHUT-UP Robeson! a.k.a. Hot Air & B.S. Boy

2768. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 14:43:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110897810.513962.74570@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104434

N9OGL wrote:
> >I also understand that he wanted to find out how to
> >make a microwave transmitter out of a discarded microwave oven.
>
> Apparently your not a ham operator...(SNIP)

And it's equally apparent that you're not an English major. So what?

The mind boggles at the idea of you behind the mic of a broadcast station, local or otherwise.

> (UNSNIP)...because making a transmitter out of
> a microwave can be done. If you think that's Friggin retarded then I
> suggest you go to rec.radio.amateur.homebrew and tell them how friggin
> retarded it is because some of those boy have done it.

Oh yeah! The famous "Microwave Oven Conversion Project"...Wasn't that in Wayne Green's now-defunct "73" magazine...???

I "work" a dozen guys a week using converted microwave ovens! Great signals! (snortsnort gigglegiggle)

> A microwave is a
> transmitter, they run between 2.to 3 GHZ some of the older ones run as
> low as 1 GHZ. Tell you want little boy, get your ham license, and I
> tell you of all my wonderful inventions I have created over the years.

I have a license, Todd...Since 1972, as a matter of fact. Why don't you tell ME of all your "wonderful inventions"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2769. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 14:50:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110898258.773650.127740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104435

Rabbi Phil wrote:

> Ahhhhhhhhhh SHUT-UP Robeson! a.k.a. Hot Air & B.S. Boy

Yet another anonymous coward...No guts...no spine...no idea who his parents were...

Pity him.

Steve, K4YZ

2770. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 14:58:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110898737.905111.60730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104436

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > It's been pointed out to you that the FCC maintains certain
> > > "character" requirements for licensure. They are (obviously) not
> as
> > > strict for Amateur Radio since you remain a Commission licensee.
> >
> > Character quifications had nothing to do with it GI JOE.
>
> Who's "GI Joe"...???
>
> And yes, character is very much a part of FCC licensure,
> especially in the broadcast services.

Well your wrong, the ONLY reason the FCC dismissed the applications
were because they weren't filed during the Filing Window. If you want I
post the cover letter on my webpage and you read it for
yourself...that's if you can read.

> > The FCC Low
> > Power TV division in the Mass Media Bureau wasn't posting the
filing
> > windows like their suppose to, that's what it comes down to. I
> suggest
> > you and your buddies on here stick with ham radio. At any rate the
> FCC
> > may consider waivers at anytime and courts have gone farther to say
> > "the FCC MUST consider waivers" regardless if they are in a filing
> > window or not. again I suggest you and your buddies stick with ham
> > radio.
>
> Then >>I<< suggest YOU take your silly diatribe to
> "alt.wannabe.broadcaster.abusing.ham.radio", Todd...Other than the
> obvious abuse of priviledge, your rantings have nothing to do with
> Amateur Radio.

No but you loosers have to deal with it.

> > > Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...??? Because you're an incometent idiot who
> > hasn't
> > > met the criteria for licensure?
> >
> > So What? are you saying people mentally handicap can't or shouldn't

> > give a license, because if the FCC IS doing that it is a violation
of
> > ADA (American Disabilities Act)and I'll be sure to past that on to
> the
> > Telecommunications Sub Committee. I'll be the first one to file a
> > lawsuit against the FCC.
>
> Toddie, Toddie, Toddie...
>
> You try to toggle "incompetence" with "handicap". They are NOT
> the same.
>
> Steven Hawking, for example, has one of the most severe physical
> handicaps ever known to man, but he is eminently competent in all
other
> respects.

There is a difference between physical handicap and mental
handicap...Hawkings as you stated above has a Physical Handicap.

> You, on the otherhand, have all of the physical facilities a
> "normal" human being enjoys, however cannot understand even simple
> regulatory process or express yourself "effectively" without liberal
> use of profanity and insult.
>
> E V E R Y rule, regulation and statute of law at every level
> requires that a person be "competent" in order to be a functioning
> citizen.
>
> Incompetence IS a legally defensible EXCLUSION from cerain
rights
> and priviledges of citizenship, INCLUDING licensure by the Federal
> Communications Commission.

OH STEVE, THERE YOU GO AGAIN SAYING I'M IN VIOLATION OTHE
RULES.....YET, HAVE YOU HEARD MY BULLETINS????

> Since you've apparently admitted that you ARE incompetent, Todd,
> perhaps we should make sure that a copy of this exchange makes it to
> any public hearing wherein your application for a broadcast license
is
> subject.
NO, I JUST LIKE ASSHOLES WHO DISCRIMINATE AGAINST THE MENTALLY ILL

> And we can include a copy of your "The FCC is Slime" diatribe
from
> your "blog". It would certainly lend credence to the argument that
you
> would be an enforcement risk if a broadcast license were issued to
you.

Turst me, i've called the FCC a lot worst to their faces.

> You certainly take liberties with Amateur regulations. What
makes
> anyone believe you'll comply with commercial ones?
>
> > > You're violating the regulations of the one radio service
you
> > ARE
> > > a licensee in...WHY in Heaven's name would they waste valuable
> > > COMMERCIAL spectrum on an idiot who can't adhere to AMATEUR
> > > regulations...???
> >
> > Violating what regulation? as for a I know I've been licensed
sinced
> > 1991-1992 and have not once gotten a fine or a warning letter.
>
> I go 70MPH on the freeway in places where it's marked 65MPH and
> never gotten a speeding ticket.

no my point is your Claiming i'm violating the rules yet you don't have the balls to back up your statement. yet, you've never heard my transmission, nor, do you have the balls to explain what rules I'm "suppose" to be violation. i have two forums here and my blog which you can make your case yet you fail to do so.

> So what?
>
> >In my
> > opinion G.I. JOE you don't know what the ##### your talking about.
>
> (1) Who is "GI Joe"...???
>
> (2) P L E A S E don't tell me this vaunted college you're
about
> to graduate from is bestowing a degree in English upon you, Todd,
> because your comprehension, construction and application suck.
>
> You're a prime example of why this nation is in dire
straits...Our
> schools, primary, secondary and post graduate, are failing.
>
> I'd be humiliated to be a member of the Board of the institution
> about to bestow ANY level degree upon a person who can't express
> themselves more effectively than what you've demonstrated here.
>
> > > You're going to try and beat the FCC at a game wherein they
> > > already have DECADES of case law in thier favor, not to mention a
> > bank
> > > of lawyers who will still be earning federal wages long after
this
> > > episode is over with and you're flipping burgers to pay off the
> > > bankruptcy.
> >

> > I have a little bit more money and power then what you think G.I.
> JOE,
> > So keep lying to yourself.
>
> Who's "G.I. Joe"...???
>
> And you obviously have squat for "power" since you have to
resort
> to broadcasting via Amateur Radio rather than with the appropriate
> commercial licnese, Todd.
>
> As for money...well...if you had spent your (imaginary) funds on
a
> decent communication's lawyer, you would, in all likelyhood, already
> have the broadcast license you so passionately covet.
>
> Instead, you resort to a pontificating, self-promoting "blog"
that
> is verbally abusive, insulting, and absolutely innefective and
useless
> for obtaining said licensure. It is, in fact, counter productive to
> it.
>
> The only person lying to themselves here, Todd, is you.
>
> Enjoy. It's your fantasy.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2771. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 15:01:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110898896.953498.242520@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104437

Sorry...coorection

NO, I JUST DONT LIKE ASSHOLES WHO DISCRIMINATE AGAINST THE MENTALLY ILL

2772. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 15:23:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110900226.085860.213740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104438

N9OGL wrote:
> Sorry...coorection
>
> NO, I JUST DONT LIKE ASSHOLES WHO DISCRIMINATE AGAINST THE MENTALLY
ILL

What's a "coorection"...?!?!

Who's discriminating against the mentally ill?

Steve, K4YZ

2773. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 15:23:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110900228.559318.215730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104439

I have a license, Todd...Since 1972, as a matter of fact. Why
don't you tell ME of all your "wonderful inventions"...?!?!

I don't share with asshole Mr. "HAM RADIO" Yeah right, that's a SICK
joke...

TODD N9OGL

2774. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 15:43:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110901438.083805.209660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104440

You know steve, your an asshole, who ever gave you the title "HAM-RADIO" needs to be shot. because frankly you don't deserve that title. As for my writing skills, as I've probably stated before I'm not a writer. but I sure as hell can write a lot better then some amateurs around here.. Hell, some of letter's In the past that I've got from the FCC when they responded to a question was a hell of lot worse then what I write. FInally I think people who keep bitching about someone writing skills are trying to envade the questions being asked. Now I know your probably going to say, well if the person could write so we could understand them....well read the unstructured text and try to make sense of it....or is it too much like work.... have fun on t newsgroup Mr "Hate Radio"

Todd N9OGL

2775. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 15:49:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42370443.3BCC0D24@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104441

N9OGL wrote:
>
> Sorry...coorection
>
> NO, I JUST DONT LIKE ASSHOLES WHO DISCRIMINATE AGAINST THE MENTALLY ILL

FCC actions against Todd: Thousands of dollars

Reading a "coorection" from Todd: Priceless

Dave K8MN

2776. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 15:57:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110902222.224383.14280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104442

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > It's been pointed out to you that the FCC maintains
certain
> > > > "character" requirements for licensure. They are (obviously)
not
> > as
> > > > strict for Amateur Radio since you remain a Commission
licensee.
> > >
> > > Character quifications had nothing to do with it GI JOE.
> >
> > Who's "GI Joe"...???
> >
> > And yes, character is very much a part of FCC licensure,
> > especially in the broadcast services.
>
> Well your wrong, the ONLY reason the FCC dismissed the applications
> were because they weren't filed during the Filing Window. If you want
I
> post the cover letter on my webpage and you read it for
> yourself...that's if you can read.

Sure I can read. It's just that trying to wade through your poor
grammar, incoherant rants and frequent profanities that a challenge
exists.

And you didn't answer my question. Very rude.

> > Then >>I<< suggest YOU take your silly diatribe to
> > "alt.wannabe.broadcaster.abusing.ham.radio", Todd...Other than the
> > obvious abuse of priviledge, your rantings have nothing to do with
> > Amateur Radio.
>
> No but you loosers have to deal with it.

What's a "looser"...?!?!

Deal with what? Your immaturity? Your silly behaviour? Your
rantings? Your misconceptions about applicability of certain Amateur
regulations?

> > Incompetence IS a legally defensible EXCLUSION from cerain
> rights
> > and priviledges of citizenship, INCLUDING licensure by the Federal
> > Communications Commission.
>
> OH STEVE, THERE YOU GO AGAIN SAYING I'M IN VIOLATION OTHE
> RULES.....YET, HAVE YOU HEARD MY BULLETINS????

Todd...I didn't say you were violating any rule.

I said you're incompetent.

Here it is again: "Incompetence IS a legally defensible EXCLUSION from certain rights and privileges of citizenship, INCLUDING licensure by the Federal Communications Commission."

In asserting that I said you were violating rules when that is NOT what I said clearly demonstrates YOUR incompetence with English comprehension.

FCC rules and regulations require certain fluency and competency in English. Obviously there's just one more thing that makes you ineligible for licensure in the broadcast services.

> > Since you've apparently admitted that you ARE incompetent,
Todd,
> > perhaps we should make sure that a copy of this exchange makes it
to
> > any public hearing wherein your application for a broadcast license
> is
> > subject.
>
> NO, I JUST LIKE ***HOLES WHO DISCRIMINATE AGAINST THE MENTALLY ILL.

So who's discriminating, Todd?

So far, the only ***hole I see is the one who can't express himself without all the profanity and yelling...which would be you, Todd.

And by the way, Todd, "incompetence" is STILL a legally defensible EXCLUSION from certain rights and privileges. It is not "discrimination". Many laws exist for the SPECIFIC purpose of preventing the incompetent from causing harm.

So far, you're making a pretty good case for limiting your access to the airwaves to Amateur Radio...God protect us...

> > And we can include a copy of your "The FCC is Slime" diatribe
> from
> > your "blog". It would certainly lend credence to the argument that
> you
> > would be an enforcement risk if a broadcast license were issued to
> you.
>

> Turst me, i've called the FCC a lot worst to their faces.

"Turst" you?

"...a lot worst"...?!?!

Maybe your behaviour "to their faces" is one of the reasons you're having to resort to broadcasting on frequencies that are illegal for broadcasting...?!?!

I "turst" you've made a lasting impression on the Commission, Todd...One that will, hopefully, prevent you from ever having a broadcast license.

> > You certainly take liberties with Amateur regulations. What
> makes
> > anyone believe you'll comply with commercial ones?
> >
> > > > You're violating the regulations of the one radio service
> you
> > > ARE
> > > > a licensee in...WHY in Heaven's name would they waste valuable
> > > > COMMERCIAL spectrum on an idiot who can't adhere to AMATEUR
> > > > regulations...???
> > >
> > > Violating what regulation? as for a I know I've been licensed
> sinced
> > > 1991-1992 and have not once gotten a fine or a warning letter.
> >
> > I go 70MPH on the freeway in places where it's marked 65MPH
and
> > never gotten a speeding ticket.
>
> no my point is your Claiming i'm violating the rules yet you don't
have
> the balls to back up your statement.

I've already done it, Todd. Your own words are evidence that you're violating both the spirit and the letter of the law as it pertains to broadcasting on the Amateur Radio service.

You're "producing a show"...YOUR words. A "show" is for entertainment. A "bulletin" is for disseminating timely news,information and announcements.

>yet, you've never heard my
> transmission, nor, do you have the balls to explain what rules I'm
> "suppose" to be violation.

You've never been to my home, Todd, so how do you know what I have or have not heard or done?

I know you're doing your best to lose your Amateur license.

>i have two forums here and my blog which you
> can make your case yet you fail to do so.

There's no "case" for ME to make, Todd. You do it yourself.

You have acknowledged that you are producing a "show" which you
air on a frequency allocated to the Amateur Radio service, and that
this "show" incudes commentary colored with your own opinion.

That takes it out of the realm of a "news bulletin", Todd.

Ask any first year journalism student.

Steve, K4YZ

2777. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 16:01:35 UTC
From: CQ DX <Vote@republi.can>
Message-ID: <zFDZd.11504$cN6.9546@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104443

"Bogart" <Anon@nowhere.com> wrote in news:rwHYd.52894$xt.25804@fed1read07:

> Yes

Only if she decides to pull her head out of her ass.

2778. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 16:05:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110902724.990962.193360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104444

N9OGL wrote: (but didn't cite his attributions)

> I have a license, Todd...Since 1972, as a matter of fact. Why
> don't you tell ME of all your "wonderful inventions"...?!?!
>
>
> I don't share with ***hole Mr. "HAM RADIO" Yeah right, that's a SICK
> joke...

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm....I'm neither an ***hole, nor is my name "Mr. HAM RADIO", so, let's hear about all your fine inventions, Todd. you told the other fellow if he got a license you'd tell him.

So..I have one...tell me.

You wouldn't be DISCRIMINATING now, would you, Todd...???

You DID invent something, didn't you, Todd? I mean, you wouldn't have LIED in a public forum just to polish your own brass, now would you? No...NOT you!

Steve, K4YZ

2779. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 16:11:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110903101.195244.188210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104445

Again, NO WHERE, in the FCC rules and regulations does it state and information bulletin can not be opinionated. A information bulletin is a vague word. But hey, I there and will propbably be there a LOOOOOOOOOOOOOONNNNNNNNNGGGGGGG TIME so enjoy.

Todd N9OGL

2780. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 16:15:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110903344.234264.265670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104446

CORRECTIONS.....

Again, NO WHERE, in the FCC rules and regulations does it state an information bulletin can not be opinionated. A information bulletin is a vague word. But hey, I'm there and will propbably be there a LOOOOOOOOOOOOOONNNNNNNNNGGGGGGG TIME so enjoy the show!!!!!!!!

Todd N9OGL

2781. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 16:22:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110903731.456603.82060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104447

LOOK YOU LITTLE FUCK, I'VE INVENTED MORE SHIT IN MY FUCKING LIFE TIME
THEN YOU EVER EVER WILL!!! I WILL NOT GIVE A FUCKING ASSHOLE, WHO GETS
ON SOME QUEER ASS NEWSGROUP THE PLEASURE OF ANY OF MY INVENTIONS.
SO GO PLAY WITH YOUR LITTLE BUTT BUDDIES BATHROOMAN AND JULIO DEJESUS

TODD N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

2782. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 16:23:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110903805.241434.228810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104448

N9OGL wrote: (and again violates "nettiquete" by not citing his attributions)

> CORRECTIONS.....
>
> Again, NO WHERE, in the FCC rules and regulations does it state an
> information bulletin can not be opinionated.

An "information bulletin" colored by opinion ceases to be an "information bulletin" and becomes editorial.

> A information bulletin is
> a vague word.

"AN" information bulletin" mya be a vague TERM, Todd.

However that will be up to the Engineer in your FCC District to decide upon.

> But hey, I'm there and will propbably be there a
> LOOOOOOOOOOOOOOONNNNNNNNNNGGGGGGG TIME so enjoy the show!!!!!!!!

If it's a "show", you're violating the law.

Steve, K4YZ

2783. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 16:28:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1110904097.542804.19130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104449

Michael Coslo wrote:

> >>
> >> I'm a bit disappointed that there is a delay, but am plenty
excited
> >>about the prospects of running the QSO party.
> >
> >
> > . . . hmmmmm . . HMMMM . . I was gonna leap all over this one but
then
> > I decided to not respond at all . . .
>
> Go ahead. I announced it so you could have your chance.

I *toldja* I was gonna ignore it and be nice for once, but no, you just
had to stir it up again. FINE then: I'm back in . .

> Just an
> announcement if anyone was interested. If I was backing off the
project,
> I probably would have just kept my yap shut until someone asked.
YIMV.

At least ya got that right . . .

> There are only so many people to do things, and with the need
arising
> in the QSO party, which is of the "show must go on" sort of nature,
the
> path was pretty clear.

Self-appointed heros tend to die young.

> It will be as much work as the balloon project.

. . . can't wait for the sequals . . bofum.

> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

2784. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 16:29:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110904141.826980.230230@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104250

N9OGL wrote:
> LOOK YOU LITTLE ####, I'VE INVENTED MORE #### IN MY ####ING LIFE TIME
> THEN YOU EVER EVER WILL!!! I WILL NOT GIVE A ####ING ###HOLE, WHO
GETS
> ON SOME QUEER ### NEWSGROUP THE PLEASURE OF ANY OF MY INVENTIONS.
> SO GO PLAY WITH YOUR LITTLE BUTT BUDDIES BATHROOMAN AND JULIO
DEJESUS.

I don't know either of those fellows.

And you can just go ahead and admit that the only #### you've
"invented" went the way of the porcelain throne, Todd. You've not said
a single thing to make me believe that there's a word of truth to it.

I DO believe you to be a liar. And until some sort of documentary
evidence or third-party corroboration of your claims of having
"invented" anything, I say you ARE a liar.

> TODD N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW

If it's a "show" you're broadcasting on 20 meters, you're
violating the law.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

2785. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 16:31:06 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110904266.630562.79640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104251

It's an informational broadcast bulletin show. Aye, matey, toss off
yee scurvy dogs and man the guns!!! There's piratin' ta do!!!" Arrg.

2786. N9OGL Doesn't Like His Own Poll Results...Tries A "New" Poll"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 16:43:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110905002.240428.88000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104252

This had to hurt.

Todd, N9OGL has a "poll" on his "blog" asking if the respondent believes his broadcasts to be legal or not.

One person voted yes. I have to assume that was his own vote...Hey, it's America and he's entitled to his own vote.

Three others, however, of which I am one, said NO. A fourth person went nolo contendre.

Now he starts ANOTHER poll asking if the respondents to the first poll actually HEARD his "show"...

Todd...NO is NO. What PART of NO did you have a comprehension problem with?

Steve, K4YZ

2787. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 16:52:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42371329.1806EA81@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104253

K4YZ wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote: (and again violates "nettiquete" by not citing his
> attributions)

> > A information bulletin is
> > a vague word.
>
> "AN" information bulletin" mya be a vague TERM, Todd.

Not to be picking nits, Steve, but "information bulletin" is two words.

> However that will be up to the Engineer in your FCC District to
> decide upon.
>
> > But hey, I'm there and will propbably be there a
> > LOOOOOOOOOOOOOONNNNNNNNNGGGGï¿½GGG TIME so enjoy the show!!!!!!!!
>
> If it's a "show", you're violating the law.

This guy obviously has some issues, Steve. Tread lightly lest you be accused under the ADA with cruelty to the mentally handicapped.

Todd's claims to inventions, to a recent state administered IQ test, his inability to put together a properly constructed sentence, his inability to spell, his lurches back and forth between upper/lower case dim-wittedness and PROFANE UPPER CASE RANTING and his delusions about the FCC being out to get him, all mark him as someone who is not quite right.

I doubt that Todd is airing any informational bulletins/broadcasts/shows at all. If he is, and the material is outside that permitted by regulation, the FCC will nail his hide to the barn door.

As to his blog: Who's reading it?

Dave K8MN

2788. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 17:03:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110906220.465234.183770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104254

Dave Heil wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > N9OGL wrote: (and again violates "nettiquete" by not citing his
> > attributions)
>
> > > A information bulletin is
> > > a vague word.
> >
> > "AN" information bulletin" may be a vague TERM, Todd.
>
> Not to be picking nits, Steve, but "information bulletin" is two
words.

Hi Dave...You and I know that, but Todd doesn't. That's why I said
"term", rahter than "word".

Any just-about-to-be-graduated-from-third-grader wouldn't have
taht mistake...But Todd did.

> > However that will be up to the Engineer in your FCC District
to
> > decide upon.
> >
> > > But hey, I'm there and will propbably be there a
> > > LOOOOOOOOOOOOOOONNNNNNNNNGGGGGGG TIME so enjoy the
show!!!!!!!!
> >
> > If it's a "show", you're violating the law.
>
> This guy obviously has some issues, Steve. Tread lightly lest you be
> accused under the ADA with cruelty to the mentally handicapped.

Mark Morgan tired that with me...remember! Didn't work then,
either!

> Todd's claims to inventions, to a recent state administered IQ test,
his
> inability to put together a properly constructed sentence, his
inability
> to spell, his lurches back and forth between upper/lower case
> dim-wittedness and PROFANE UPPER CASE RANTING and his delusions about
> the FCC being out to get him, all mark him as someone who is not
quite
> right.

Thereby providing even MORE justification for the FCC from
preventing his ascendency to commercial broadcasting.

> I doubt that Todd is airing any informational
bulletins/broadcasts/shows
> at all. If he is, and the material is outside that permitted by
> regulation, the FCC will nail his hide to the barn door.

He still doesn't get it...

His "opinion" doesn NOT meet the test of an "information
bulletin", no matter HOW impressed he is with the sound of his own
voice.

> As to his blog: Who's reading it?

I can't say I "read" it, however what parts I did read were
rambling, paranoid and accusatory.

What an idiot.

Good to see you, Dave...How's things?

73

Steve, K4YZ

2789. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 17:12:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110906751.618724.58740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104255

CQ DX wrote:

> Only if she decides to pull her head out of her ###.

I'll be perfectly happy if she keeps her head in her own! She
stuck her head into health care's collective butts when she was
Billary's "Health Care Czar" and all she did was cause trouble and
further bog down the already stagnant bureaucracy.

Hillary Rodham Clinton in politics is like having Michael Jackson
to babysit your 6 year old. I'd rather have Jack Kevorkian making my
health care decisions that her.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2790. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 17:23:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42371A6B.3A7230EC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104256

K4YZ wrote:

> Good to see you, Dave...How's things?

Working on some antenna projects and chasing a little DX here. I spend some of the rest of my time pondering the antics of Leonard H. Anderson and they boy broadcaster.

Oh, and so Leonard won't have ammunition for his "chat room" rants, I support retention of the measly five word per minute morse code test in amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

2791. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 19:44:37 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d17dv5elo1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 104258

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: Mike Coslo on Sun, Mar 13 2005 9:07 pm
>
>
>>Hello all,
>>
>> This is sort of an update on the near space ballooning project I
>> was working on.
>>
>> Despite what we plan on, we are some times steered in other
>>direction by events. A number of these events have occurred in the club I am a
>>member of, and the balloon project has been temporarily slowed by my
>>new position as Chairman of the Pennsylvania QSO party.
>
>
> DON'T YOU KNOW THE RULEZ AS POSTED IN HERE?!?!?

Where are they posted?

> If you merely hint at doing something in here, you
> MUST CARRY OUT THAT ACTION or be forever a target
> of negative criticsm of "utter failure" and other
> sweet things said by all those others!

Umm, I haven't exactly "not" carried it out yet. There is/was no
specific timetable.

> Henceforth, Mike Coslo will be forever labeled with
> the title of FAILURE TO REACH THE EDGE OF SPACE BY
> HAM RADIO!

You may label me as whatever you like. The differences between my
near-space ballooning project, and your "extra out of the box" are
significant.

One big difference is that I still intend to do the project.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2792. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 19:48:25 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d17e69$q08$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 104257

```
K4YZ wrote:

> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>> Henceforth, Mike Coslo will be forever labeled with
>> the title of FAILURE TO REACH THE EDGE OF SPACE BY
>> HAM RADIO!
>
> By who?
>
> You?
>
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! !! !
>
> From "The Man Who Said He Did Everything But Did Nothing At
> All"...!!!!!!!!!
>

Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len and Brian
K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's demise are
greatly exxagerated.......

- Mike KB3EIA -
```

2793. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 19:54:51 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d17eil$13ci$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 104259

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>>> I'm a bit disappointed that there is a delay, but am plenty
>>>> excited about the prospects of running the QSO party.
>>>
>>>
>>>. . . hmmmmm . . HMMMM . . I was gonna leap all over this one but
>>> then I decided to not respond at all . . .
>>
>> Go ahead. I announced it so you could have your chance.
>
>
> I *toldja* I was gonna ignore it and be nice for once, but no, you just
> had to stir it up again. FINE then: I'm back in . .

Just doing my usenet duty! 8^) Besides, it isn't healthy to let all
that stuff build up, ya gotta have release.

>> Just an announcement if anyone was interested. If I was backing off the
>> project, I probably would have just kept my yap shut until someone asked.
>> YIMV.
>
> At least ya got that right . . .
>
>> There are only so many people to do things, and with the need
>> arising in the QSO party, which is of the "show must go on" sort of nature,
>> the path was pretty clear.
>

> Self-appointed heros tend to die young.

Actually, I never got around to appointing the Hero... ;^)

>
>> It will be as much work as the balloon project.
>
>
> . . . can't wait for the sequals . . bofum.

I'll be looking for your logbook!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2794. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 19:58:40 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110916720.882703.325650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104260

Read some of the other crap this boy has written in the last 5-6 years on other newsgroups. He wanted some hams to show him how to make a transmitter out of a microwave oven. We all know that it can be done but why the hell would anyone with half a brain want to bother?? And then to solicit other hams on a newsgroup to show you how?? WTF?? He supposedly started this group "Langley Park Telecommunications," dedicated to broadcasting and supporting the arts. Then, he was arguing about copyrights, while farting around with his low power UHF TV and FM pirate stations. He was transmitting shit off of VHS tapes fer Chrissakes and his crummy signal went fer a whopping two blocks. He advertised for a pilot to show him how to finish an ultralight and show him how to fly. WTF?? He advertised for a pro bono lawyer to defend him against Wal Mart when he got fired. And, all this time I don't think he ever had sex with a woman. The guy is crazy.

2795. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 21:01:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <P2IZd.4637$qW.1338@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104261

Hey Steve....ya think this guy is kin to K1MAN?

Dan/W4NTI

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110895789.666278.102260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > It's been pointed out to you that the FCC maintains certain
>> > "character" requirements for licensure. They are (obviously) not
> as
>> > strict for Amateur Radio since you remain a Commission licensee.
>>
>> Character quifications had nothing to do with it GI JOE.
>
> Who's "GI Joe"...???
>
> And yes, character is very much a part of FCC licensure,
> especially in the broadcast services.
>
>> The FCC Low
>> Power TV division in the Mass Media Bureau wasn't posting the filing
>> windows like their suppose to, that's what it comes down to. I
> suggest
>> you and your buddies on here stick with ham radio. At any rate the
> FCC
>> may consider waivers at anytime and courts have gone farther to say
>> "the FCC MUST consider waivers" regardless if they are in a filing
>> window or not. again I suggest you and your buddies stick with ham
>> radio.
>
> Then >>I<< suggest YOU take your silly diatribe to
> "alt.wannabe.broadcaster.abusing.ham.radio", Todd...Other than the
> obvious abuse of priviledge, your rantings have nothing to do with
> Amateur Radio.
>
>> > Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...??? Because you're an incometent idiot who
>> hasn't
>> > met the criteria for licensure?
>>
>> So What? are you saying people mentally handicap can't or shouldn't
>> give a license, because if the FCC IS doing that it is a violation of
>> ADA (American Disabilities Act)and I'll be sure to past that on to

> the
>> Telecommunications Sub Committee. I'll be the first one to file a
>> lawsuit against the FCC.
>
> Toddie, Toddie, Toddie...
>
> You try to toggle "incompetence" with "handicap". They are NOT
> the same.
>
> Steven Hawking, for example, has one of the most severe physical
> handicaps ever known to man, but he is eminently competent in all other
> respects.
>
> You, on the otherhand, have all of the physical facilities a
> "normal" human being enjoys, however cannot understand even simple
> regulatory process or express yourself "effectively" without liberal
> use of profanity and insult.
>
> E V E R Y rule, regulation and statute of law at every level
> requires that a person be "competent" in order to be a functioning
> citizen.
>
> Incompetence IS a legally defensible EXCLUSION from cerain rights
> and priviledges of citizenship, INCLUDING licensure by the Federal
> Communications Commission.
>
> Since you've apparently admitted that you ARE incompetent, Todd,
> perhaps we should make sure that a copy of this exchange makes it to
> any public hearing wherein your application for a broadcast license is
> subject.
>
> And we can include a copy of your "The FCC is Slime" diatribe from
> your "blog". It would certainly lend credence to the argument that you
> would be an enforcement risk if a broadcast license were issued to you.
>
> You certainly take liberties with Amateur regulations. What makes
> anyone believe you'll comply with commercial ones?
>
>> > You're violating the regulations of the one radio service you
>> ARE
>> > a licensee in...WHY in Heaven's name would they waste valuable
>> > COMMERCIAL spectrum on an idiot who can't adhere to AMATEUR
>> > regulations...???
>>
>> Violating what regulation? as for a I know I've been licensed sinced
>> 1991-1992 and have not once gotten a fine or a warning letter.
>
> I go 70MPH on the freeway in places where it's marked 65MPH and
> never gotten a speeding ticket.
>

> So what?
>
>>In my
>> opinion G.I. JOE you don't know what the #### your talking about.
>
> (1) Who is "GI Joe"...???
>
> (2) P L E A S E don't tell me this vaunted college you're about
> to graduate from is bestowing a degree in English upon you, Todd,
> because your comprehension, construction and application suck.
>
> You're a prime example of why this nation is in dire straits...Our
> schools, primary, secondary and post graduate, are failing.
>
> I'd be humiliated to be a member of the Board of the institution
> about to bestow ANY level degree upon a person who can't express
> themselves more effectively than what you've demonstrated here.
>
>> > You're going to try and beat the FCC at a game wherein they
>> > already have DECADES of case law in thier favor, not to mention a
>> bank
>> > of lawyers who will still be earning federal wages long after this
>> > episode is over with and you're flipping burgers to pay off the
>> > bankruptcy.
>>
>> I have a little bit more money and power then what you think G.I.
> JOE,
>> So keep lying to yourself.
>
> Who's "G.I. Joe"...???
>
> And you obviously have squat for "power" since you have to resort
> to broadcasting via Amateur Radio rather than with the appropriate
> commercial licnese, Todd.
>
> As for money...well...if you had spent your (imaginary) funds on a
> decent communication's lawyer, you would, in all likelyhood, already
> have the broadcast license you so passionately covet.
>
> Instead, you resort to a pontificating, self-promoting "blog" that
> is verbally abusive, insulting, and absolutely innefective and useless
> for obtaining said licensure. It is, in fact, counter productive to
> it.
>
> The only person lying to themselves here, Todd, is you.
>
> Enjoy. It's your fantasy.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

2796. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 21:06:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <h7IZd.4639$qW.2958@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104262

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110906751.618724.58740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> CQ DX wrote:
>
>> Only if she decides to pull her head out of her ###.
>
> I'll be perfectly happy if she keeps her head in her own! She
> stuck her head into health care's collective butts when she was
> Billary's "Health Care Czar" and all she did was cause trouble and
> further bog down the already stagnant bureaucracy.
>
> Hillary Rodham Clinton in politics is like having Michael Jackson
> to babysit your 6 year old. I'd rather have Jack Kevorkian making my
> health care decisions that her.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I figure she will be arrested for being involved with her lovers death, you know Vince Foster.

Oh sorry, we are Americans, we are supposed to forget all that 'ancient history'.

Dan/W4NTI

2797. Re: The Republican revolution <--more like de-evolution

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 21:22:40 UTC
From: -XC- <nobody@home.net>
Message-ID: <592dnSk5vN28z6rfRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 104263

Blah blah blah

2798. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 23:21:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <F9ednRgHQZGT86rfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 104264

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d17e69$q08$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Henceforth, Mike Coslo will be forever labeled with
>>> the title of FAILURE TO REACH THE EDGE OF SPACE BY
>>> HAM RADIO!
>>
>> By who?
>>
>> You?
>>
>> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> ! ! ! ! !! !
>>
>> From "The Man Who Said He Did Everything But Did Nothing At
>> All"...!!!!!!!!!
>>
>
>
> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len and Brian K.
> So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's demise are
> greatly exxagerated.......
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Besides if you did have to give it up, you'd simply say so and would NOT try
to deny that you had said you'd do it. Therein lies the difference.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2799. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 23:27:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110929255.686728.220900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104265

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1110655692.314154.28630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> <SNIP>
>
> > The fact is that in every election there are several groups:
> >
> > 1) Those who will vote Republican no matter what
> > 2) Those who will vote Democrat no matter what
> > 3) Those who won't vote, or will vote for a 3rd party candidate
> > with absolutely no real chance of winning, no matter what
> > 4) Those who are truly independent, and who may vote Democrat,
> > Republican, 3rd party, or not at all, depending on a wide variety
of
> > factors, and whose votes really can decide an election.
> >
> > Successful campaigning is all about identifying the 4th group, and
> > getting them to vote for your candidate.
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Not quite that simple. I would agree with all of the above
> where both parties present a candidate that is "reasonable"
> across a broad brush of party faithfuls. Where things go wrong
> is when a candidate goes beyond the point of reasonableness
> on one or more issues as judged by others in the party.

We're saying the same things, Bill. If someone can be swayed, they're
not in group 1 or group 2.
>
> Yes, there are many people who "appear" to be in groups
> 1 or 2, but piss any of them off and they too will jump ship and
> either not vote at all (many people vote put don't vote
> for all possible positions on a ballot), they'll vote for
> a 3rd party...or write-in Mickey Mouse... or they'll
> vote for the other party's candidate as many Dems did by
> voting for Reagan in 80 and 84.

Those folks aren't/weren't in Group 1 or 2, that's all. Note that in
terms of the popular vote, those elections weren't landslides. But the
popular vote doesn't determine presidential elections.

>
> One other variable on a 4 year basis. From one presidential
> election to the next, there is a considerable loss of existing voters

> who have died and an influx of "new" voters who have reached
> 18 years of age, become naturalized citizens or just finally
> registered to vote for the first time. Far more people today
> as new voters tend to view themselves as "independents"
> rather than being staunch democrats or republicans.

Good point!

And the point I saw somewhere about 3rd parties is a good one, too. Ross Perot effectively handed the election to Clinton, because he drew so many more votes from Bush I and Dole than he did from Clinton. In 2000 the shoe was on the other foot as Nader drew far more votes from AlGore than from Shrub. Which was truly ironic because Nader, of the Green Party, managed to put a former oil man into the White House. Note that the Green Party didn't back him in 2004!

But my basic point is the same: Successful campaigning consists of identifying those voters who you can swing to your favor (which may not mean that they vote for you!) *and* who are in places where their votes can make a difference. In 2004, it was all about getting Ohioans riled up about gay marriage.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2800. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 23:34:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110929676.149330.167700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104266

K1MAN has been on he air for a long time....So will I hehehehehe

2801. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 23:36:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110929796.368151.169560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104267

Michael Coslo wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > From: Mike Coslo on Sun, Mar 13 2005 9:07 pm

> >>Hello all,

> >> This is sort of an update on the near space ballooning project I
> >> was working on.

> >> Despite what we plan on, we are some times steered in other
> >>direction by events. A number of these events have occurred in the
club I am a
> >>member of, and the balloon project has been temporarily slowed by
my
> >>new position as Chairman of the Pennsylvania QSO party.

> > DON'T YOU KNOW THE RULEZ AS POSTED IN HERE?!?!?

> Where are they posted?

They're not. Len's just being his usual self, not bothering
to be constrained by reality, logic or common sense, let alone
civil behavior.
>
> > If you merely hint at doing something in here, you
> > MUST CARRY OUT THAT ACTION or be forever a target
> > of negative criticsm of "utter failure" and other
> > sweet things said by all those others!

If that's what you think, Len, where's your Extra?

> Umm, I haven't exactly "not" carried it out yet. There is/was no
> specific timetable.

It's only been a few months.

> > Henceforth, Mike Coslo will be forever labeled with
> > the title of FAILURE TO REACH THE EDGE OF SPACE BY
> > HAM RADIO!

By whom?

> You may label me as whatever you like. The differences between my
> near-space ballooning project, and your "extra out of the box" are
> significant.
>
> One big difference is that I still intend to do the project.

>

Here's a bunch more:

- The balloon project has been declared impossible by Len. Nobody has declared it impossible for Len to get his Extra out of the box.

- The balloon project involves a lot more than passing a few written and one code test.

- You have only spent a few months on the balloon project. Len made his declaration more than 5 years ago. Also, an amateur radio license has been available to him since childhood (no age limits even back then) yet he hasn't even gotten a Tech.

- You did not say you were going to reach 100,000 feet, just that you were going to try. Len said he was going for the Extra but hasn't even tried yet.

- The balloon project is a group effort. Getting a license isn't.

Lots more differences.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2802. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-15 23:44:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110930274.104231.99030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104268

Not to be picking nits, Steve, but "information bulletin" is two words.

Information can be gathered through facts as well as opinions.

I doubt that Todd is airing any informational
bulletins/broadcasts/shows
at all. If he is, and the material is outside that permitted by
regulation, the FCC will nail his hide to the barn door.

I would count on it....I am on. As for material is "consisting solely
of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service"

Todd N9OGL

2803. Re: N9OGL Doesn't Like His Own Poll Results...Tries A "New" Poll"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 00:01:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110929395.858295.49730@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104269

All I have to tell you morons is that you better the poll very well.

K4YZ wrote:
> This had to hurt.
>
> Todd, N9OGL has a "poll" on his "blog" asking if the respondent
> believes his broadcasts to be legal or not.
>
> One person voted yes. I have to assume that was his own
> vote...Hey, it's America and he's entitled to his own vote.
>
> Three others, however, of which I am one, said NO. A fourth
> person went nolo contendre.
>
> Now he starts ANOTHER poll asking if the respondents to the
first
> poll actually HEARD his "show"...
>
> Todd...NO is NO. What PART of NO did you have a comprehension
> problem with?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2804. Re: Sen. Clinton says FCC needs to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 00:18:00 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <YWKZd.135824$4q6.97676@attbi_s01>
Source record: 104270

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:462dnYK5jt3UwKvfRVn-gg@comcast.com...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:z8rZd.4210$qf2.3303@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > news:LyaZd.3539$qW.2590@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >> JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
> > <SNIP>
> >> Voting 3rd party is like giving it to the Republicans, so I won't
> >> vote 3rd party.
> >
> > Not always the case.
> > Bush senior lost to Clinton because of a 3rd Party (Perot).
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
>
>
> And Perot made a remarkably strong showing for a 3rd Party candidate. If
I
> remember correctly, it's the strongest one in my lifetime and was more far
> above the number actually needed to tip the win away from the Republicans.

And he may not be a diplomat, but he gives a good pep rally and sure was
right about that "sucking sound" created by NAFTA!

ak
```

2805. Re: N9OGL Doesn't Like His Own Poll Results...Tries A "New" Poll"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 00:33:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <H9LZd.5294$qf2.3265@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104271

What the hell does that mean dipshit? Quit coming in here with your self pontificating and speak a reasonable form of English moron.

Dan/W4NTI

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message news:1110929395.858295.49730@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> All I have to tell you morons is that you better the poll very well.
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> This had to hurt.
>>
>> Todd, N9OGL has a "poll" on his "blog" asking if the respondent
>> believes his broadcasts to be legal or not.
>>
>> One person voted yes. I have to assume that was his own
>> vote...Hey, it's America and he's entitled to his own vote.
>>
>> Three others, however, of which I am one, said NO. A fourth
>> person went nolo contendre.
>>
>> Now he starts ANOTHER poll asking if the respondents to the
> first
>> poll actually HEARD his "show"...
>>
>> Todd...NO is NO. What PART of NO did you have a comprehension
>> problem with?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>

2806. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 00:35:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <WaLZd.5296$qf2.3513@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104272

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110929676.149330.167700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN has been on he air for a long time....So will I hehehehehe
>

Really? I haven't heard your hero in several weeks, in fact the word has
it he is gone.

Dan/W4NTI

2807. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 00:35:29 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1110933329.119558.214170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104273

Chris wrote:
>
> Are you implying that one ought to simply give up and announce their
> identity (along with full street address and telephone number) while
> they're at it?
>

"Give up"???? WTF are you "giving up"?

My identity is no state secret. My call, name, and address have been in Callbooks for almost 5 decades. From there it's a pretty simple exercise to determine my phone number.

I'm not ashamed of my identity or my thoughts and ideas, and have no desire to hide my communications behind some assumed name. Why would I want to?

73, de Hans, K0HB

2808. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 00:47:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110934063.195292.70730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104274

K4YZ wrote:

> I'd rather have Jack Kevorkian making my
> health care decisions that her.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Now there's a thought. Is he in your provider network?

2809. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 01:17:39 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110935859.617843.59750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104275

N9OGL wrote:
> LOOK YOU LITTLE FUCK, I'VE INVENTED MORE SHIT IN MY FUCKING LIFE TIME
> THEN YOU EVER EVER WILL!!! I WILL NOT GIVE A FUCKING ASSHOLE, WHO
GETS
> ON SOME QUEER ASS NEWSGROUP THE PLEASURE OF ANY OF MY INVENTIONS.
> SO GO PLAY WITH YOUR LITTLE BUTT BUDDIES BATHROOMAN AND JULIO DEJESUS
>
> TODD N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW

The only things you have invented, Todd, were after you felt them rumblings in your tummy and you started ripping farts; you then went into the bathroom and took a shit. It took every ounce of you unique genius to produce the resulting turd.

2810. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 01:32:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110936765.065794.66720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104276

Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len and
Brian
> K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's demise
are
> greatly exxagerated.......
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some will have
to wait for retirement.

bb

2811. :: :: :: :: *post 1005* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 01:34:25 UTC
From: ron010537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110936865.648677.313840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104278

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

2812. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 01:34:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VcKdnZrk6q25EKrfRVn-uw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104277

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> Chris wrote:
>
>>Are you implying that one ought to simply give up and announce their
>>identity (along with full street address and telephone number) while
>>they're at it?
>>
>
>
> "Give up"???? WTF are you "giving up"?
>
> My identity is no state secret. My call, name, and address have been
> in Callbooks for almost 5 decades. From there it's a pretty simple
> exercise to determine my phone number.
>
> I'm not ashamed of my identity or my thoughts and ideas, and have no
> desire to hide my communications behind some assumed name. Why would I
> want to?

I guess we're just old fashioned Hans. My name, callsign, and therefore my address, and telephone number is out there for the world to see. And yet the one case I know of stalking in here has been done through two of the anonymous folk.

Sunnavagun!

2813. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 01:36:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1110937014.996822.10010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104279

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> Self-appointed heros tend to die young.
>
> w3rv

Wonder how old Steve is? He was wanting Kevorkian for his physician in another thread.

2814. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 01:49:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7uydnessqMUtDarfRVn-tA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104280

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>>From: Mike Coslo on Sun, Mar 13 2005 9:07 pm
>
>
>>>>Hello all,
>
>
>>>> This is sort of an update on the near space ballooning project I
>>>>was working on.
>
>
>>>> Despite what we plan on, we are some times steered in other
>>>>direction by events. A number of these events have occurred in the
>
> club I am a
>
>>>>member of, and the balloon project has been temporarily slowed by
>
> my
>
>>>>new position as Chairman of the Pennsylvania QSO party.
>
>
>>> DON'T YOU KNOW THE RULEZ AS POSTED IN HERE?!?!?
>
>
>> Where are they posted?
>
>
> They're not. Len's just being his usual self, not bothering
> to be constrained by reality, logic or common sense, let alone
> civil behavior.

Yup.

>>> If you merely hint at doing something in here, you
>>> MUST CARRY OUT THAT ACTION or be forever a target
>>> of negative criticsm of "utter failure" and other
>>> sweet things said by all those others!

>
>
> If that's what you think, Len, where's your Extra?
>
>> Umm, I haven't exactly "not" carried it out yet. There is/was no
>>specific timetable.
>
>
> It's only been a few months.

It is kind of an odd thing. Whereas the Balloon project is just put off
for a bit, the Party starts in some 7 months. The ballooning will wait.
Assuming I live long enough, there are years and years to do the project.

>
>>> Henceforth, Mike Coslo will be forever labeled with
>>> the title of FAILURE TO REACH THE EDGE OF SPACE BY
>>> HAM RADIO!
>
>
> By whom?

Oh, I assume Len will manage to bring it up pretty often....8^)

>
>> You may label me as whatever you like. The differences between my
>>near-space ballooning project, and your "extra out of the box" are
>>significant.
>>
>> One big difference is that I still intend to do the project.
>>
>
>
> Here's a bunch more:
>
> - The balloon project has been declared impossible by Len. Nobody
> has declared it impossible for Len to get his Extra out of the box.
>
> - The balloon project involves a lot more than passing a few written
> and one code test.
>
> - You have only spent a few months on the balloon project. Len made his
> declaration more than 5 years ago. Also, an amateur radio license has
> been available to him since childhood (no age limits even back then)
> yet he hasn't even gotten a Tech.
>
> - You did not say you were going to reach 100,000 feet, just that you
> were going to try. Len said he was going for the Extra but hasn't even
> tried yet.

I'm a little surprised that some folk have fixated on that 100 kilofoot
thing anyhow. That isn't the goal. It is the project integration and the

experiments that are the goal. Lest I be accused of "downgrading the expectations", there are cases in which 100 kilofoot is exceeded by several thousand feet. That particular part is governed by when the balloon breaks.

> - The balloon project is a group effort. Getting a license isn't.
>
> Lots more differences.

Oh well, nothing more than I expected.

Gonna be in the QSO party?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2815. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 02:23:39 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Jq-dnSoQipMyBarfRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 104281

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:7uydnessqMUtDarfRVn-tA@adelphia.com...

[snip]

>
> Gonna be in the QSO party?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Hope so.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2816. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 02:46:32 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <WKqdnSi7z9htParfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104282

N9OGL wrote:

> The FCC
>
> FROM N9OGL'S BLOG
>
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
>
>
> The Federal Communication Commission (FCC) are slime, no they are below
> slime. As most of aware I have been fighting a long battle against the
> FCC over the licensing system. This battle began in the 1997, 1998 when
> I applied six time for a Low Power TV license for a community which has
> no local television service.

So because you can't write a proposal that shows you have an education
above 6th grade, and there is a no need for your local television
service, the FCC dismisses it and they are slime.
Just because you request something isn't an automatic guarantee you will
get it. The FCC doesn't exists just to grant your childish wishes.
Grow up toddyboy.

> Now, I'm going to
> enlighten the ham community with my knowledge

That shouldn't take more than 5 minutes. Grow up toddyboy.

2817. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 02:49:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <WKqdnSu7z9g2PKrfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104283

N9OGL wrote:

>
> At any rate the FCC
> may consider waivers at anytime and courts have gone farther to say
> "the FCC MUST consider waivers" regardless if they are in a filing
> window or not.

But it doesn't say they must grant them.
Grow up toddyboy.

2818. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 02:54:05 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <WKqdnSq7z9h2P6rfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104288

K4YZ wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:

>>(UNSNIP)...because making a transmitter out of
>>a microwave can be done. If you think that's Friggin retarded then I
>>suggest you go to rec.radio.amateur.homebrew and tell them how
>
> friggin
>
>>retarded it is because some of those boy have done it.
>
>
> Oh yeah! The famous "Microwave Oven Conversion Project"...Wasn't
> that in Wayne Green's now-defunct "73" magazine...???
>
> I "work" a dozen guys a week using converted microwave ovens!
> Great signals! (snortsnort gigglegiggle)

Yea me too, I worked a guy the other day in Japan who was running a GE Microwave, modulated by a 150's all chrome toaster, into a 345 element dipole. We had a nice QSO while his pizza heated up.

2819. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 02:57:11 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <WKqdnSW7z9ghPqrfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104289

N9OGL wrote:

> LOOK YOU LITTLE FUCK, I'VE INVENTED MORE SHIT IN MY FUCKING LIFE TIME
> THEN YOU EVER EVER WILL!!! I WILL NOT GIVE A FUCKING ASSHOLE, WHO GETS
> ON SOME QUEER ASS NEWSGROUP THE PLEASURE OF ANY OF MY INVENTIONS.
> SO GO PLAY WITH YOUR LITTLE BUTT BUDDIES BATHROOMAN AND JULIO DEJESUS
>
> TODD N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
>

Grow up toddyboy.

2820. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 03:01:37 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <WKqdnSS7z9h1OarfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104284

Bathrooman wrote:
> The guy is crazy.
>

About 51 cards short of a full deck I would say.

2821. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 03:02:43 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <WKqdnSe7z9itOKrfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104287

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Hey Steve....ya think this guy is kin to K1MAN?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Maybe it MAN's son! They do act a somewhat alike.

2822. Re: N9OGL Doesn't Like His Own Poll Results...Tries A "New" Poll"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 03:04:24 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <WKqdnSa7z9g_OKrfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104286

N9OGL wrote:

> All I have to tell you morons is that you better the poll very well.

Anyone interpert this?

2823. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 03:13:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xYKdnWpXMLTBOarfRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104292

bb wrote:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len and
>
> Brian
>
>>K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's demise
>
> are
>
>>greatly exxagerated.......
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some will have
> to wait for retirement.

That's the spirit, Brian!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2824. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 03:15:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xYKdnWVXMLRVOarfRVn-iA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104285

Dee Flint wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:7uydnessqMUtDarfRVn-tA@adelphia.com...
>
> [snip]
>
>
>>Gonna be in the QSO party?
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
>
> Hope so.

I'll listen for ya. 40 or 20 is probably the best path fron my QTH.

- mike KB3EIA -

2825. :: :: :: :: *post 1005* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 03:15:48 UTC
From: ron010537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110942948.366754.68260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104290

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

2826. Re: N9OGL Doesn't Like His Own Poll Results...Tries A "New" Poll"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 03:16:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110943001.074744.89420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104293

well if you want I could talk your native tongue....inbred redneck :)
Todd N9OGL

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> What the hell does that mean dipshit? Quit coming in here with your
self
> pontificating and speak a reasonable form of English moron.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1110929395.858295.49730@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > All I have to tell you morons is that you better the poll very
well.
> >
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> This had to hurt.
> >>
> >> Todd, N9OGL has a "poll" on his "blog" asking if the
respondent
> >> believes his broadcasts to be legal or not.
> >>
> >> One person voted yes. I have to assume that was his own
> >> vote...Hey, it's America and he's entitled to his own vote.
> >>
> >> Three others, however, of which I am one, said NO. A fourth
> >> person went nolo contendre.
> >>
> >> Now he starts ANOTHER poll asking if the respondents to the
> > first
> >> poll actually HEARD his "show"...
> >>
> >> Todd...NO is NO. What PART of NO did you have a
comprehension
> >> problem with?
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >

2827. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 03:18:47 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110943127.421152.201140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104291

If he was removed by the FCC they would of announce it......someone must of cut his coax.

Todd N9OGL

2828. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 03:55:54 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110945354.879196.247460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104294

Aye, matey, toss off ye scurvy dogs and man the guns!! There's
piratin' ta do!! Arrrg!!

2829. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 04:52:22 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1110948742.359296.33570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104295

<<<Yea me too, I worked a guy the other day in Japan who was running a GE
Microwave, modulated by a 150's all chrome toaster, into a 345 element dipole. We had a nice QSO while his pizza heated up. >>>

Gives a new meaning to the term 'appliance operator.' "Ahhh, rig here is a GE microwave modulated with a Waring blender, final amplifier is water cooled reclaimed to the drip-o-matic coffee maker, antenna is a stolen traffic signal waveguide horn and there's a cold 807 waiting in the fridge."

2830. Re: N9OGL Doesn't Like His Own Poll Results...Tries A "New" Poll"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 05:11:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4237C033.F29E1731@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104296

N9OGL wrote:
>
> All I have to tell you morons is that you better the poll very well.

I'm all for bettering the poll.

Dave K8MN

2831. :: :: :: :: *post 1007* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 05:20:01 UTC
From: Ron038537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110950401.311358.321440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104297

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

2832. :: :: :: :: *post 1007* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 07:01:26 UTC
From: Ron038537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110956486.585233.297010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104298

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

2833. Re: N9OGL Doesn't Like His Own Poll Results...Tries A "New" Poll"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 07:15:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110957312.082782.56430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104299

N9OGL wrote:

> K4YZ wrote:
> > This had to hurt.
> >
> > Todd, N9OGL has a "poll" on his "blog" asking if the
respondent
> > believes his broadcasts to be legal or not.
> >
> > One person voted yes. I have to assume that was his own
> > vote...Hey, it's America and he's entitled to his own vote.
> >
> > Three others, however, of which I am one, said NO. A fourth
> > person went nolo contendre.
> >
> > Now he starts ANOTHER poll asking if the respondents to the
> first
> > poll actually HEARD his "show"...
> >
> > Todd...NO is NO. What PART of NO did you have a comprehension
> > problem with?
>
> All I have to tell you morons is that you better the poll very well.

You don't HAVE to "tell" us anything, Todd...we can see with our
own eyes what's going on. And there's a "moron" here to be sure, but
is AIN'T "us", pal!

Steve, K4YZ

2834. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 07:33:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110958399.462058.143180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104300

Bathrooman wrote:
> Read some of the other crap this boy has written in the last 5-6
years
> on other newsgroups. He wanted some hams to show him how to make a
> transmitter out of a microwave oven. We all know that it can be done
> but why the hell would anyone with half a brain want to bother?? And
> then to solicit other hams on a newsgroup to show you how?? WTF??

Maybe he wanted to be able to carry on a conversation while warming
his meals?

Or was it to keep the space aliens from reading his thoughts?

> He supposedly started this group "Langley Park Telecommunications,"
> dedicated to broadcasting and supporting the arts. Then, he was
> arguing about copyrights, while farting around with his low power UHF
> TV and FM pirate stations. He was transmitting **** off of VHS tapes
> fer Chrissakes and his crummy signal went fer a whopping two blocks.

How could ANYone discuss "the arts" with a creep who holds all his
sentences together with the "f" word...???

> He advertised for a pilot to show him how to finish an
ultralight...(SNIP)

Guess reading the instructions was out, eh...?!?!

> (UNSNIP)...and show him how to fly. WTF??

That's scary! An idiot like him allowed to operate an aircraft,
even if it is just an ultralight. And I know 14 year olds who hang
glide and fly ultralights. Guess Toddie needed some adult supervision?

> He advertised for a pro bono lawyer to defend him against Wal Mart
when he got fired.

My eldest is a "CSM" for Wal-Mart in Florida, and she says you
have to be a rip-roaring idiot or a thief to not be able to hold a
Wal-Mart job.

I wonder which one Toddie was...????

> And, all this time I
> don't think he ever had sex with a woman.

Thank God for small favors...All we need are more Toddies running
around. Can you imagine what idiots this idiot would parent?

> The guy is crazy.

Understatement!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2835. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 07:36:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110958609.699386.26640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104301

N9OGL wrote: (and once again violates nettiquette by not making attributions)

> K1MAN has been on he air for a long time....So will I hehehehehe

Yes, he has...

He also has a huge legal bill. He also has a history of federal fines and charges.

So will you. Hope it's worth it, Toddie!

Steve, K4YZ

2836. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 07:42:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110958934.416559.55400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104302

N9OGL wrote (without attributing his quotes):

> Not to be picking nits, Steve, but "information bulletin" is two
words.

Hey...no kidding, Toddie. WHERE did I say OTHERWISE...?!?!

> Information can be gathered through facts as well as opinions.

You can call it blue polka dotted hen feathers for all I care,
Toddie. The results will be the same.

>> I doubt that Todd is airing any informational
>> bulletins/broadcasts/shows
>> at all. If he is, and the material is outside that permitted by
>> regulation, the FCC will nail his hide to the barn door.
>
>
> I would count on it....I am on. As for material is "consisting solely
> of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service".

If it's tainted with your opinion, it ceases to be "information"
and is highly unlikely to be of any interest to "the amateur service".

Steve, K4YZ

2837. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 07:45:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110959147.043859.216060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104303

Michael Coslo wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >
> >> Henceforth, Mike Coslo will be forever labeled with
> >> the title of FAILURE TO REACH THE EDGE OF SPACE BY
> >> HAM RADIO!
> >
> > By who?
> >
> > You?
> >
> >
BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! !! !
> >
> > From "The Man Who Said He Did Everything But Did Nothing At
> > All"...!!!!!!!!!
> >
>
>
> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len and
Brian
> K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's demise
are
> greatly exxagerated.......

I just wish I was closer to you, Mike...I'd be tickled to even
help hold the launch lines on a project like that! (Remembering to let
go at an appropriate moment, of course! =))

Seems pretty exciting to me...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2838. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 07:50:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110959406.950989.249220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104304

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len and
> >
> > Brian
> >
> >>K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's demise
> >
> > are
> >
> >>greatly exxagerated......
> >
> > No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some will
have
> > to wait for retirement.
>
> That's the spirit, Brian!

Notice, Mike, that your comments about "taking lumps" were
SPECIFICALLY directed at the OTHER Brian, yet Brian Burke jumped in to
say "no lumps"...?!?!

Maybe if he weren't always so prompt to be the antagonizer he
would have realized that you WEREN'T talking about HIM, Mike...?!?!!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2839. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 07:55:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110959704.963597.75510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104305

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Hey Steve....ya think this guy is kin to K1MAN?

Hey Dan...I dunno...can any two "human beings" have that little supporting DNA and still maintain life? If he is, he comes from an already shallow gene pool!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2840. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 08:04:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110960267.471849.262130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104306

N9OGL wrote:
> If he was removed by the FCC they would of announce it......someone
> must of cut his coax.

Uhhhhh...Mr Information Bulletin Man...HOW LONG do you thing that OTHER idiot was going to be able to keep dodging the legal bullet before succumbing to the will of the courts?

And if I were you I'd take your own "cut coax" comment to heart.

Some folks are very skilled at getting in and out of places in the middle of the night and creating mayhem along the way. Sure would be a shame if your arrogance caused to you lose your ability to radiate RF, now wouldn't it...???

Steve, K4YZ

2841. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 08:13:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110960807.597915.320590@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104307

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:RNydnXIS_dSpaKnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com...
> >
> >
> > Chris wrote:
> > > On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 16:47:10 -0500, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
> > >
> > >> And sonnavagun! You prove my point of the anonymous posters and
their
> > >>basic incivility. I doubt that you would call me retarded in a
face to
> > >>face meeting. Yet here you see that as acceptable behavior. Good
for
> > >>you, Chris!
> > >
> > >
> > > Of course it was okay when this whole thread got started by one
of
> > > your knuckle-dragging, non-anonymous brethren who said "More like
> > > "chickenshit coward by choice" about people who are savvy enough
to
> > > remain anonymous for general posting. That was K0HB, the epitomy
of
> > > the manners that you seem to think go along with non-anonymous
posting.
> >
> > No, it isn't okay. No one should use bad manners. And that is just
a
> > minor point anyhow. I was attracted to this thread by statements of
how
> > using an anonymous name was going to protect you.
> >
> > It won't.
> >
> > > The point is that, anonymous or not, bad manners are not
predictable
> > > by the anonymity or lack thereof of the poster. That's just your
> > > prejudice speaking to you.
> > >
> > > And sonnavagun! You prove by means of your own posts that
prejudice is

> > > your forte. Good for you, Mike!
> >
> > Not the best example of logic, Chris. I never spoke to the manners
of
> > those who would identify themselves. And even that aside, why don't
you
> > compare the typical manners of the aggregate of the anonymous
posters. A
> > large percent make Steve and Hans look like choirboys.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> Mike,
>
>
> Might I kindly suggest that it is pointless trying to argue with a
modest
> double-digit IQ type?
>
> Anonimity died years ago, if not decades ago. Folks use it when they
want
> to flame on the Internet (or go persuing underage girls or boys).
>
> The original comment of "chicken#### coward" was well made, even if a
bit
> harsh ;)
>
> Sigh ...

Absolutely, James.

Anonyminity is for cowards and criminals. Period. Any of this
other "I am avoiding spammers" or suggestions of avoiding online
"stalkers" is assinine, at best.

Lennie and Brain are living proof that you can be an idiot on line
and not risk getting hurt despite deserving it soooooo badly! =)

One can use a "disposable" e mail address for defeating the
spammers. And if you can't put your real name on a post, you obviously
lack the strength of conviction in your own opinions, so why inflict
them on anyone else other than to be annoying?

73

Steve, K4YZ

2842. N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 08:26:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110961575.936868.194950@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104308

QUOTE: (With poundsigns substituting the profanities)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "N9OGL" <todd...@consolidated.net> - Find messages by this author

Date: 15 Mar 2005 08:22:11 -0800
Local: Tues, Mar 15 2005 8:22 am

Subject: Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

LOOK YOU LITTLE ####, I'VE INVENTED MORE #### IN MY ####ING LIFE TIME THEN YOU EVER EVER WILL!!! I WILL NOT GIVE A ####ING ###HOLE, WHO GETS ON SOME ##### ### NEWSGROUP THE PLEASURE OF ANY OF MY INVENTIONS. SO GO PLAY WITH YOUR LITTLE BUTT BUDDIES BATHROOMAN AND JULIO DEJESUS

TODD N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

UNQUOTE

I wonder how long it will take Toddie to ante up to his grandiose claims?

I say he's lying. (For Lennie and Brian Burke's benefit, a "lie" is when someone is not telling the truth...Kinda like this creep is doing here...)

Steve, K4YZ

2843. Re: N9OGL Doesn't Like His Own Poll Results...Tries A "New" Poll"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 08:29:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110961769.832842.187790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104309

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > All I have to tell you morons is that you better the poll very
well.
>
> Anyone interpert this?

I MIGHT be able to if I self-lobotomized myself like the original
author obviously did...But it's not THAT important to me to try and
understand!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2844. :: :: :: :: *post 1007* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 08:42:49 UTC
From: Ron038537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110962569.525223.165520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104310

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

2845. A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 09:29:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110965354.362731.35000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104311

The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the first paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's entirety at www.arrl.org.

My question: Is this even an issue?

Less than .01% of all quilters are male...Is quilting about to burn out?

Is there some great conspiracy to prevent women from testing? Are there armed guards at the doors to bar their entrance? If so, I've never seen them.

73

Steve, K4YZ

QUOTE:
A Study of Amateur Radio Gender Demographics

By Ken Harker, WM5R
March 15, 2005

One of the under-represented demographics in the Amateur Radio world is women. Everyone knows that there are many fewer female hams than there are male hams, both among those licensed and those active on the air. As a competitor at some of the major Amateur Radio Direction Finding (ARDF) meets, I've noticed that the womens' entry categories have had only about a fifth as many entrants as the mens' categories. As an HF phone contester, I've observed that a very small percentage of my QSOs are made with women operators (as low as 2%!). So how many women Amateur Radio operators are there?

UNQUOTE

2846. N9OGL Suppressess Free Speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 10:22:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110968540.004105.161310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104312

>From the N9OGL "BLOG":

QUOTE:

Information is 50% fact and 50% opinion, and without the opinions of
people the free flow idea's will be eliminated. The problem I see is
that amateur's don't want other amateur's to be opinionated and/or
afraid that some of those amateur's opinion might be the deep down the
truth of problems within the service.

UNQUOTE

Obviously this doesn't apply to HIM since he's removed "comments"
from the "comment's" feature of his blog. He removed existing comments
on the blog that were critical of his ideas.

He also removed the poll that was, after only five "votes",
already four to one against his opinion of right and wrong.

So...In only four days, he's proven, through his own words and
deeds, to be a two-faced lying coward who suppresses the "free speech"
of others that he claims the government allegedly keeps HIM from
expressing.

He thinks "information" is "50% fact and 50% opinion"..?!?!

And this creep wants us to let him be our source of "information
bulletins"...?!?!

Sheeeeesh!

Steve, K4YZ

2847. :: :: :: :: *post 1007* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 10:24:15 UTC
From: Ron038537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110968655.336441.194210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104313

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

2848. :: :: :: :: *post 1007* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 12:05:42 UTC
From: Ron038537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110974742.002979.125090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104314

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

2849. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 13:24:45 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d19c2t$te6$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 104315

K4YZ wrote:
> The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the first
> paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's
> entirety at www.arrl.org.
>
> My question: Is this even an issue?
>
> Less than .01% of all quilters are male...Is quilting about to
> burn out?
>
> Is there some great conspiracy to prevent women from testing? Are
> there armed guards at the doors to bar their entrance? If so, I've
> never seen them.
>

It seems to be the same with many technical careers or hobbies. There is a lot of effort to recruit women to the engineering ranks. But not that many appear to be attracted to it.

Perhaps we need to have tight control over this sort of thing. A carreer / hobby lottery, so to speak. When you are in 6th grade, you draw at random two things, a career slip and a hobby slip. And that is what ya train for and do from then on. Strict control will have to be exercised in order to enforce gender equity!

I don't know about you, but that sounds pretty goofy to me.

That there are less women in the ARS is true enough. But that is interesting from a "why is that?" standpoint. It probably isn't so interesting from a "how do we change Ham radio to attract more women?" aspect.

Dee or Kim might be able to shed some light on that, in form of "Why I am interested in Amateur radio" or perhaps some insight on why a lot of women aren't.

- Mike KB3EIA -

>
> QUOTE:
> A Study of Amateur Radio Gender Demographics
>
> By Ken Harker, WM5R
> March 15, 2005
>
> One of the under-represented demographics in the Amateur Radio world is

> women. Everyone knows that there are many fewer female hams than there
> are male hams, both among those licensed and those active on the air.
> As a competitor at some of the major Amateur Radio Direction Finding
> (ARDF) meets, I've noticed that the womens' entry categories have
> had only about a fifth as many entrants as the mens' categories. As
> an HF phone contester, I've observed that a very small percentage of
> my QSOs are made with women operators (as low as 2%!). So how many
> women Amateur Radio operators are there?
>
> UNQUOTE
>

2850. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 13:35:25 UTC
From: Lloyd <lll@lloydscstm.com>
Message-ID: <d19cjcjuf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104316

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110958609.699386.26640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote: (and once again violates nettiquette by not making
> attributions)
>
>> K1MAN has been on he air for a long time....So will I hehehehehe
>
> Yes, he has...
>
> He also has a huge legal bill. He also has a history of federal
> fines and charges.
>
> So will you. Hope it's worth it, Toddie!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Post proof that MAN has ever *once* been sanctioned by the FCC.
And his legal work is being done pro bono publico by long time
professional associates of his.
YOU ARE FULL OF SHIT, as usual.

73,

Lloyd

2851. Democrat Loving Unions Back Stab Our Marines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 13:58:45 UTC
From: Democreep Liar John Kerry <lea@qrmtoobcst.net>
Message-ID: <d19dud$nd4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104317

> Marines snub UAW olive branch
>
> Reservists will park elsewhere, although union admits mistake banning
> nonunion cars, Bush stickers.
>
> By Eric Mayne / The Detroit News
>
>
> DETROIT -- The United Auto Workers union waved a white flag Monday in its
> parking skirmish with
> neighboring reservists, but the 1st Battalion, 24th Marines are not
> accepting surrender.
>
> Facing intense criticism, UAW President Ron Gettelfinger reversed his
> decision to ban Marine Corps
> reservists driving foreign cars or displaying pro-President Bush bumper
> stickers from parking at the
> union's Solidarity House headquarters in Detroit.
>
> "I made the wrong call on the parking issue, and I have notified the
> Marine Corps that all reservists
> are welcome to park at Solidarity House as they have for the past 10
> years," Gettelfinger said in a
> statement.
>
> Wounded by what they consider an unpatriotic ambush, the Marines rejected
> the union's olive branch and
> secured an alternative parking lot.
>
> "I talked to Ron; I let him know that I understand he has rescinded his
> decision," said Lt. Col. Joe
> Rutledge, a top-ranking officer at the reserve infantry rifle battalion.
> "However, I've made my
> decision -- either you support the Marines or you don't."
>
> The Detroit News reported the controversy Sunday.
>
> The UAW has a longstanding policy prohibiting nonunion-made vehicles from
> the parking lots at its
> plants and meeting halls.
>
> Until last week, the union made an exception for the Marines who parked at
> Solidarity House on the
> weekends. The battalion's headquarters is nearby on East Jefferson.

>
> While both sides say the dispute has been overblown, it revealed the
> depths of the UAW's antipathy
> toward the Bush administration and its concern over the rise of foreign
> automakers in the U.S. market.
>
> Gettelfinger and other top UAW International officials say Bush is
> blatantly anti-labor and has
> opposed measures that could have benefited working men and women.
>
> UAW leaders backed Democratic challenger John Kerry and his running mate
> John Edwards in last year's
> election.
>
> The UAW's reversal Monday followed a barrage of criticism from both union
> members and nonunion
> members. The dispute became instant fodder for such Web sites as The
> Drudge Report and various radio
> programs.
>
> The News received hundreds of e-mails Sunday and Monday about the
> controversy, the majority
> criticizing the UAW's decision.
>
> "I have never belonged to the unions, but I've always bought (domestic)
> brand cars," Jenny Pulcerm 74,
> of Harrison Township. "Right now, I'm driving a Chrysler. But the next car
> will definitely not be
> union-made."
>
> Outside the Marine reservists headquarters, it wasn't hard to find signs
> of hard feelings. A Toyota
> pickup truck parked in front of a phalanx of military Humvees sported
> three bumper stickers. One
> touted Semper Fi, the Marines' motto, the second was a Bush/Cheney
> campaign sticker and the third an
> anti-UAW sign.
>
> The UAW decision to ban Marines struck a nerve with many who say U.S.
> armed forces deserve more
> respect, especially during a time of war.
>
> And certainly, some said, Marines should be able to support their
> commander in chief, President Bush,
> without facing repercussions.
>
> "The Marines who fought at Iwo Jima -- including yours truly -- and those
> who are now in Iraq, took an
> oath to defend this country and its citizens," said Russ Paquette, an
> 87-year-old lawyer from St.

> Clair Shores and former commanding officer of the 1st Battalion, 24th
> Marines. "There is nothing in
> the oath which indicates that we Marines will only fight for citizens who
> drive certain automobiles,
> or who voted in the last election for a certain president."
>
> Gettelfinger, himself a former Marine Corps reservist, said his initial
> decision should not be looked
> on as a lack of support for the military.
>
> "That certainly was not my intention. ... I fully appreciate the
> sacrifices and contributions made by
> America's reservists, National Guard members and active duty military
> personnel and their families,"
> his statement said.
>
> Gettelfinger also acknowledged the decision reflected poorly on the UAW,
> which has historically
> supported the U.S. military.
>
> "The controversy over this decision has overshadowed the many good things
> the UAW and our members are
> doing to support and express our appreciation to America's servicemen
> and -women and veterans," he
> said.
>
> Some supported Gettelfinger's call.
>
> "It took a lot of guts," said Phil Davis, a 58-year-old realtor in Tampa,
> Fla. "It was based on
> principle."
>
> Dominic Roti, a 64-year-old Farmington retiree who worked 37 years for
> Chrysler, credits the UAW with
> setting the benchmark for America's standard of living.
>
> "They're the ones who are putting bread on the table," Roti said. "We're
> accustomed to live a certain
> way. ... You have a car to go from work, to home, to the stores -- not
> like in a lot of European
> countries. ... The UAW made it that way for us. We're thankful to them."
>
> But many of those who weighed in said the episode changed their opinion of
> the UAW. Bill Reiber of
> Vista, Calif., whose son is serving in Iraq, is trading in his Chevrolet
> S-10 pickup for a vehicle
> made by a foreign automaker.
>
> "I'm looking at the Toyota Tacoma," he said. "What (the UAW) did, it just
> wasn't right. These are

> Marines and they have a right, like anybody else in America, to express
> their First Amendment rights."
>
> Lt. Col. Rutledge said he's anxious to get past the dispute and get back
> to business. Owners of a
> nearby apartment complex have agreed to allow reservists to park on their
> premises.
>
> "I know people are incensed by this thing," Rutledge said, "but in the big
> scheme of things, what I do
> is train Marines and I'm preparing these guys to go overseas."
>
> You can reach Eric Mayne at (313) 222-2443 or emayne@detnews.com.
>
>
> --
>
> "If you have any question about what John Kerry's made of, just spend
> three minutes with the men who
> served with him. ..." http://www.swiftvets.com/ --- John
> Edwards

2852. Why Do Democrats Hate Our Brave Marines?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 14:00:47 UTC
From: Democreep Liar John Kerry <lea@qrmtoobcst.net>
Message-ID: <d19e26nkh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104318

>Marine Reservists will park elsewhere, although union admits mistake
>banning nonunion cars, Bush stickers
>
> http://www.detnews.com/2005/autosinsider/0503/15/A01-117640.htm
>

2853. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 14:08:24 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d19eko$vr0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 104319

K4YZ wrote:

> The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the first
> paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's
> entirety at www.arrl.org.
>
> My question: Is this even an issue?

Kind of. There is a wide gender gap between men and women in the
engineering fields.

But working in the field, they account for 9 percent of all U.S. engineers

There is a quote that shows up at several sites:

"Women still face gender-specific obstacles when studying and preparing
for careers in engineering and other sciences. There is solid evidence
that mentoring can help address this disparity" said Muller"

http://tinyurl.com/5lm92

Despite the prevalence of the quote, I'm not sure what the disparities are.

Or they can force 'em to go to "tech camp"

http://tinyurl.com/5m82m

Interestingly enough, there are High schools that place emphasis on
science and math. The enrollment in these schools is roughly equal,
gender wise. But the female students tend to gravitate to liberal arts,
medicine and law.

see
http://tinyurl.com/3umlf

Finally, I'm not sure what to think. I've discussed the issue with a
woman engineer I work with. Interestingly enough, she says she KNEW she
wanted to be an engineer from the time she was a kid. No forcing to go
to camps. She thinks the recruitment efforts are not going to work,
because one does not pick engineering like one may pick a major from a
school catalog.

Oh, and she says she hates being called a "Woman Engineer". "Engineer"
by itself will do just fine thank you!

A sample of one, of course, but perhaps a little telling.

Maybe people who are interested in engineering get tin to it for that
reason, and people who are not into engineering do other things.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2854. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 14:13:15 UTC
From: Lloyd <show@thelloydsout.org>
Message-ID: <d19epj$p2i$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104320

"JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> whined in message :
>
> Who is "liberal"?//snipped here//

Read the truth about your favorite "hero" John Kerry
here:
www.swiftvets.com

73,

Lloyd

2855. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 15:02:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42384AD5.EFAE889A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104321

Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the first
> > paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's
> > entirety at www.arrl.org.
> >
> > My question: Is this even an issue?
>
> Kind of. There is a wide gender gap between men and women in the
> engineering fields.
>
> But working in the field, they account for 9 percent of all U.S. engineers
>
> There is a quote that shows up at several sites:
>
> "Women still face gender-specific obstacles when studying and preparing
> for careers in engineering and other sciences. There is solid evidence
> that mentoring can help address this disparity" said Muller"

There's any easy way out of all this stuff, Mike: Fire the president of Harvard. Lawrence Summers made some gender-specific comments on women in math and the sciences which, while true, may cost him his job. The PC police were all over him like African flies on a dung pile in the wake of his statements.

I met Summers during his visit as Deputy Secretary of the Treasury to the U.S. Embassy in Helsinki. He showed less ego than any high level visitor I ever encountered. He was quickly known as "Call Me Larry" Summers since anyone addressing him as Mr. Summers or Secretary Summers was quickly told to "call me Larry".

There are differences in the way that men and women think. There are things which mostly interest women and there are things which mostly interest men. There are things which a lot of men and not very many women are good at. There are things which a lot of women and not very men are good at. If you don't like my statement, fire Larry Summers.

There aren't many women in amateur radio. There have never been many women in amateur radio. While the numbers have been and are likely to remain small, some of the females have been very, very good operators. Mae Burke W3CUL a CW whiz and traffic handling demon and DXers Martha Henson and Iris Colvin W6QL, come to mind.

Now we need Len Anderson to chime in with something about how amateur radio is predominately "white".

Dave K8MN

2856. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 15:15:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42384DC0.8F86977E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104322

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Brian, he does NOT realize that...therefore, whatever
> Jimmie did IS what is "right" and anything else is
> "wrong." Simple as that.

One thing for sure, when the going gets tough, you'll trot out the
diminuitive forms of someone's name...

> Tsk, it must be such HARD work, making that molehill
> into a mountain!

You'll throw in a "tsk" or two...

> Poor Jimmie can't understand what I wrote on my 14-page
> Comment on 98-143 that was filed on 13 January 1999...
> EXCEPT a minor item on the last page of that. Perhaps
> he skips the major content of daily newspapers and
> concentrates on the funnies at the end of the paper?

The adjective "poor" will appear...

> So...rumors MUST be true...Jimmie is preparing a writ
> for the Supreme Court on this TERRIBLE, TREASONOUS
> subject of AGE requirements!

...and the CAPITALIZED WORDS will be in evidence.

> Brian, Jimmie IS the Spanish Inquisition! :-)

> All who do not think as HE does shall be burned at
> the stake!

> All who do not agree with HIM are the Antichrist!

We'll be treated to your view of opponents as the Spanish Inquisitors,
Nazis, members of the Taliban, Al Qaeda, Emporers or the Pope.

> Brian, that is NOT allowed in U.S. amateur radio!
>
> PCTA extras ARE the inquisitors.
>
> Inquisitors deny ALL rights given to U.S. citizens
> if any disagree with their holinesses.

In most cases, your stuff begins to read like a Hunter S. Thompson book
(when the going gets weird...).

> Now, now, Jimmie wanted that "cool" WOMAN's morse code tee.

> A frock would go very well with that outfit.

> I wonder what Vows Jimmie took at the nave of the Church
> of St. Hiram (prostrate on the floor, making the Sign of
> the J-38)? Did he HOLD those Vows until now?
>
> Tsk, if he be defrocked then he NEEDS all that women's
> wear from Ebay! We can't have him running around naked
> in front of high-voltage tube equipment...his shortcomings
> might get a spark! Tsk!

In the interest of civilized debate, you'll begin the insult fest.

> Americans do NOT get any Rights guaranteed under the
> U.S. Constitution when it comes to amateur radio. The
> gods of ham radio are the Lawgivers and none may fault
> them. They RULE there!

You'll wrap yourself in patriotic bunting and ignore the fact that you have had the same opportunity for obtaining an amateur radio license as anyone else in the country.

> Tsk. I'd say those sour grapes were fermented as well.
> Jimmie ought to sleep it off lest he have another angry
> hangover.

Tsk. Poor baby.

Dave K8MN

2857. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 15:20:02 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <42384fd7_3@x-privat.org>
Source record: 104323

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110960267.471849.262130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> If he was removed by the FCC they would of announce it......someone
>> must of cut his coax.
>
> Uhhhhh...Mr Information Bulletin Man...HOW LONG do you thing that
> OTHER idiot was going to be able to keep dodging the legal bullet
> before succumbing to the will of the courts?
>
> And if I were you I'd take your own "cut coax" comment to heart.
>
> Some folks are very skilled at getting in and out of places in the
> middle of the night and creating mayhem along the way. Sure would be a
> shame if your arrogance caused to you lose your ability to radiate RF,
> now wouldn't it...???
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
I agree. I have the same concern with my coax run. Here's a pic of it, any
suggestions?

http://img178.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img178&image=scan00032co.jpg

2858. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 15:45:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423854EA.A9E8A793@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104324

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> on Mon, Mar 14 2005 6:01 pm
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > > > bb wrote:
> >> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:

> >> > Len has never come up with any proof for his assertion of ARRL
> >> > dishonesty either.
>
> >> I didn't ask for proof. Just evidence. Len has not provided
> >> *any* evidence in either case.
>
> >So people now have to have evidence for why they want an arbitrary
> >licensing requirement?
>
> Absolutely, Brian! Tsk, some of these PCTAs want to take
> code test elimination to the highest courts in the land! :-)
>
> Never mind that my source of information (and cute picture)
> of those two "youngest hams in the world" at 6 years old was
> the ARRL News, on their website. Jimmie wanna say I "lie."

Your source of information for what, Leonard? Removal of morse testing?
Proof of ARRL dishonesty?

> Jimmie is of the opinion that ANY toddler (with a ham
> license) ALWAYS behaves and could never, ever do anything
> wrong on the air. Neither do such toddlers EVER cause
> trouble on a playground or with their parents in super-
> markets, etc., etc., etc.

There is plenty of proof that adults cause enforcement problems in
amateur radio. Can you come up with any proof that amateur radio ops
who are children have caused any enforcement problems?

> Of course, if such code-tested kids grow up to be extras
> then they will be beyond reproach! PCTA extras can never
> ever be charged with ANY impropriety!

Really? Have you found the mother lode of "special" mushrooms?

> Tsk, tsk. The league continues to Sin by Omission on
> much of its "news." Such "evidence" is glaringly in
> view by comparing REAL news sources output about an
> event also "reported" by the league.

Isn't the ARRL a REAL source of amateur radio news? Have you made a Sin
of Commission? Glaringly in view? You're "reportedly" a PROFESSIONAL
writer. Tsk, tsk.

> The league is the league and beyond reproach. None may
> gainsay a thing nasty about them. The league is holy.

The ARRL is just something else you in which you play no part.

> By their virtue of being PCTA extras, gods of radio like
> Jimmie KNOW EVERYTHING and what they KNOW is the TRUTH.

I thought you believed it to be the TRVTH. Do you KNOW EVERYTHING?

> Look in other threads in this newsgroup. Jimmie KNOWS
> about things having NOTHING to do with amateur radio
> and doesn't hesitate to tell the world he is "right."

...and feel free to look at any number of other thread in this newsgroup
where you purport to KNOW all about amateur radio. Your mistake and
factual errors are legend.

> Never mind that Jimmie has NEVER been IN government or
> in national party politics, he KNOWS it all without EVER
> being IN government nor expressing any desire to be IN
> government or national politics.

Have you EVER been IN government, Len? Do you KNOW all about party
politics? Have you ever stated that you'd win a political election
"right of the box"?

> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I've never claimed to be IN amateur radio
> since I began advocating the elimination of the morse code
> test. [over two decades ago, by the way]

How very peculiar. You appoint yourself advocate for the removal of
morse code testing for something in which you have no involvement and
you stay with it for twenty years. In all that time, you've never
attempted to pass an exam which would allow you to be a participant.
Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> >> > > There's no good reason for a minimum age requirement
> >> > > for an amateur
> >> > > radio license in the USA. We have more than 90 years
> >> > > of evidence to
> >> > > back that up.
>
> >> > You'd think that if a problem existed, it would have
> >> > manifested itself by now.
>

> >> Yep. But it hasn't. And as previously mentioned, the cb
> >> service had such a rule, but it was not effective in
> >> keeping that service well-behaved and law-abiding.
>
> >Jim, you're unlikely to be able to reason out how an arbitrary
> >licensing requirement will manifest itself. That is the beauty of
> >arbitrary requirements.
>
> Brian, he just CANNOT change his mind.

In your twenty years of self-appointed advocacy with regard to removal of the morse code test in amateur radio, were you ever able to change your mind on the issue? If not, it would appear that you CANNOT change your mind about the issue. There is evidence that you can easily change your mind about that "Extra right out of the box".

> But, we can't disturb this god of radio right now. He
> is very busy manufacturing a mountain out of a molehill
> right now. He wants to make an "example" of something
> I wrote to the FCC over six years ago, possibly preparing
> a writ for submission to the Supreme Court or something...

It isn't a molehill, Leonard. You did write to the FCC in support of a minimum age for entry into amateur radio. There is no indication that you ever changed your mind on that subject. There as been no disavowal from you.

Dave K8MN

2859. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 15:56:22 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns961B6EB67914Felektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 104325

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
news:42384AD5.EFAE889A@earthlink.net:

> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> > The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the
>> > first
>> > paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's
>> > entirety at www.arrl.org.
>> >
>> > My question: Is this even an issue?
>>
>> Kind of. There is a wide gender gap between men and women in
>> the
>> engineering fields.
>>
>> But working in the field, they account for 9 percent of all
>> U.S. engineers
>>
>> There is a quote that shows up at several sites:
>>
>> "Women still face gender-specific obstacles when studying and
>> preparing for careers in engineering and other sciences. There is
>> solid evidence that mentoring can help address this disparity" said
>> Muller"
>
> There's any easy way out of all this stuff, Mike: Fire the president
> of Harvard. Lawrence Summers made some gender-specific comments on
> women in math and the sciences which, while true, may cost him his job.
> The PC police were all over him like African flies on a dung pile in
> the wake of his statements.
>
> I met Summers during his visit as Deputy Secretary of the Treasury to
> the U.S. Embassy in Helsinki. He showed less ego than any high level
> visitor I ever encountered. He was quickly known as "Call Me Larry"
> Summers since anyone addressing him as Mr. Summers or Secretary Summers
> was quickly told to "call me Larry".
>
> There are differences in the way that men and women think. There are
> things which mostly interest women and there are things which mostly
> interest men. There are things which a lot of men and not very many
> women are good at. There are things which a lot of women and not very

> men are good at. If you don't like my statement, fire Larry Summers.
>
> There aren't many women in amateur radio. There have never been many
> women in amateur radio. While the numbers have been and are likely to
> remain small, some of the females have been very, very good operators.
> Mae Burke W3CUL a CW whiz and traffic handling demon and DXers Martha
> Henson and Iris Colvin W6QL, come to mind.
>
> Now we need Len Anderson to chime in with something about how amateur
> radio is predominately "white".
>
> Dave K8MN
>

I'm not Len, but it is. There are very few black hams in the US, although they have their own organisation, called OMIK. Apparently OMIK's original purpose was for black mobile operators to pass info about where they could stay, eat, buy gas, etc under the 'Jim Crow' laws.

Whilst it's hard to tell someone's race on air, it also seems that in the Washington DC area the African Americans mostly seem to be self-segregated on the 145.110 repeater. Of course, that machine does belong to a club in the middle of the city, and not many white people live there.

Now you've really opened up a can of worms, Dave.

Alun, N3KIP

2860. Re: N9OGL Suppressess Free Speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 16:27:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110990439.627365.323950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104326

K4YZ wrote:
> >From the N9OGL "BLOG":
>
> QUOTE:
>
> Information is 50% fact and 50% opinion, and without the opinions of
> people the free flow idea's will be eliminated. The problem I see is
> that amateur's don't want other amateur's to be opinionated and/or
> afraid that some of those amateur's opinion might be the deep down
the
> truth of problems within the service.
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Obviously this doesn't apply to HIM since he's removed
"comments"
> from the "comment's" feature of his blog. He removed existing
comments
> on the blog that were critical of his ideas.

Critizing a idea is one thing, badmouth me on my website no. you have the right to critize my statements however, there were no such comment instead all that was there was people bad mouthing me. You have no right to get on my "private" website and badmouth me. Again, I'm under no obligation to allow people to come onto my "private website" and badmouth me.

> He also removed the poll that was, after only five "votes",
> already four to one against his opinion of right and wrong.
>
> So...In only four days, he's proven, through his own words and
> deeds, to be a two-faced lying coward who suppresses the "free
speech"
> of others that he claims the government allegedly keeps HIM from
> expressing.
>
> He thinks "information" is "50% fact and 50% opinion"..?!?!
>
> And this creep wants us to let him be our source of "information
> bulletins"...?!?!
>
> Sheeeeesh!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2861. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 16:42:12 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <FMqdnbsuaoCJ_qXfRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104327

K4YZ wrote:

> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>Hey Steve....ya think this guy is kin to K1MAN?
>
>
> Hey Dan...I dunno...can any two "human beings" have that little
> supporting DNA and still maintain life? If he is, he comes from an
> already shallow gene pool!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

If the gene pool had a lifeguard, they would tell toddyboy to get out.

2862. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 16:44:28 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <FMqdnbouaoA3_qXfRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104328

Lloyd wrote:

>
>
> Post proof that MAN has ever *once* been sanctioned by the FCC.
> And his legal work is being done pro bono publico by long time
> professional associates of his.
> YOU ARE FULL OF SHIT, as usual.

Who are these professionsl associates that are doing this pro bono
stuff? I think we know who is full of it.

2863. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 17:09:50 UTC
From: Lloyd <show@thelloydsout.org>
Message-ID: <d19p4k$el2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104329

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:FMqdnbouaoA3_qXfRVn-pw@gbronline.com...
> Lloyd wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Post proof that MAN has ever *once* been sanctioned by the FCC.
>> And his legal work is being done pro bono publico by long time
>> professional associates of his.
>> YOU ARE FULL OF SHIT, as usual.
>
> Who are these professionsl associates that are doing this pro bono stuff?
> I think we know who is full of it.
>

Suppose we address things in the order they were brought up ok?
First the proof that MAN was ever sanctioned by the commission?

73,

Lloyd

2864. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 17:58:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1110995914.854549.62870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104330

Michael Coslo wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the first
> > paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's
> > entirety at www.arrl.org.
> >
> > My question: Is this even an issue?
>
> Kind of. There is a wide gender gap between men and women in the
> engineering fields.

Yep. Scientific fields too. Whole bunch of reasons for it.

>But working in the field, they account for 9 percent of all U.S.
engineers

Ya gotta question a raw stat with no background. What, exactly, do the
consider
a "U. S. engineer"?

Somebody with an engineering degree?
Somebody with an engineering license?
Somebody with "engineer" or "engineering" in the title?
Somebody who describes their job that way?

Or some other criteria?
>
> There is a quote that shows up at several sites:
>
> "Women still face gender-specific obstacles when studying and
preparing
> for careers in engineering and other sciences. There is solid
evidence
> that mentoring can help address this disparity" said Muller"
>
> http://tinyurl.com/5lm92
>
> Despite the prevalence of the quote, I'm not sure what the
disparities are.

Goes back to long before engineering school. When there's a choice,
more boys
than girls take math and physics. The disparity isn't as bad as it used
to be,
but it's still there. That's just one reason - there are lots more.

> Or they can force 'em to go to "tech camp"
>
> http://tinyurl.com/5m82m

Do they not want to go?

> Interestingly enough, there are High schools that place emphasis on
> science and math. The enrollment in these schools is roughly equal,
> gender wise. But the female students tend to gravitate to liberal
arts,
> medicine and law.
>
> see
> http://tinyurl.com/3umlf

Is that a problem?

It seems to me that the goal is equality of opportunity, not equality
of
outcome.

> Finally, I'm not sure what to think. I've discussed the issue with a

> woman engineer I work with. Interestingly enough, she says she KNEW
she
> wanted to be an engineer from the time she was a kid. No forcing to
go
> to camps. She thinks the recruitment efforts are not going to work,
> because one does not pick engineering like one may pick a major from
a
> school catalog.

I know several female engineers. Their reasons for choosing it vary all
over the place. Some, like your friend, wouldn't dream of doing
anything
else. Others had it suggested to them, liked the idea, and went with
it.
Some had to overcome discrimination, others never noticed any
difference.
>
> Oh, and she says she hates being called a "Woman Engineer".
"Engineer"
> by itself will do just fine thank you!

Exactly.

> A sample of one, of course, but perhaps a little telling.
>
> Maybe people who are interested in engineering get tin to it for
that
> reason, and people who are not into engineering do other things.
>
Some other reasons:

- Someone who goes into engineering will have an educational and professional life surrounded by guys and all their characteristics, good and bad. Some women have no problem with that, others would prefer a more gender-balanced situation.

- Because technology changes so fast, temporarily dropping out of active employment in many fields in order to raise a family will make you unemployable in that field. How many jobs are there for computer folks whose skills and knowledge are 5 years behind? The usual solution for fields like health care is to work part time. But their aren't many part-time engineering jobs.

- Like it or not, there *are* some gender-related differences. They may be all due to cultural forces (quite likely), or all due to genetics (extremely unlikely), or some mix, but the differences are there. And they're not going to go away overnight.

As I said before - It seems to me that the goal is equality of opportunity, not equality of outcome.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2865. Re: N9OGL Suppressess Free Speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 18:10:47 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110996647.484116.218760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104331

Stevey, quite you bitching....You can go back to posting......

Todd N9OGL

http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

(VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO)

http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/

(N9OGL'S INVENTIONS)

K4YZ wrote:
> >From the N9OGL "BLOG":
>
> QUOTE:
>
> Information is 50% fact and 50% opinion, and without the opinions of
> people the free flow idea's will be eliminated. The problem I see is
> that amateur's don't want other amateur's to be opinionated and/or
> afraid that some of those amateur's opinion might be the deep down
the
> truth of problems within the service.
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Obviously this doesn't apply to HIM since he's removed
"comments"
> from the "comment's" feature of his blog. He removed existing
comments
> on the blog that were critical of his ideas.
>
> He also removed the poll that was, after only five "votes",
> already four to one against his opinion of right and wrong.
>
> So...In only four days, he's proven, through his own words and
> deeds, to be a two-faced lying coward who suppresses the "free
speech"
> of others that he claims the government allegedly keeps HIM from
> expressing.
>
> He thinks "information" is "50% fact and 50% opinion"..?!?!
>
> And this creep wants us to let him be our source of "information
> bulletins"...?!?!
>

> Sheeeeesh!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2866. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 18:15:26 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110996926.961917.76790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104332

For your information you redneck, I've started another page where I plan to post all my idea's and inventions.....my question to you is, what the have you ever invented.....Answer NOTHING, because like all the other licensed CB operators on here you don't have the brains to invent, only to badmouth people on and off the air.

Todd N9OGL

http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/

2867. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 18:48:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1110998918.366552.195680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104333

He's been question a few times, but that's about it. I remember reading an articles about the FCC going to his house and comfiming that he was in compliance with Part 97. I think right now their question him about not being at the control point. But as far as his opinionated program the FCC has stated a number of times that it is in compliance with Part 97. The problem is many ham operator can't deal with that fact.

Todd N9OGL

2868. " BIG BUCKS" WITH ONLY $6.00 INVESTMENT "NO BULL"!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 18:59:01 UTC
From: bscruggins2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110999541.121451.71570@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104334

HOW TO TURN $6 INTO $6,000!!!!!! READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!

I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it. A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, Yeah right, this must be a scam,but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured: what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it`s still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it`s still coming in rapidly. It`s certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (Print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It`s easy. It`s legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)

IMPORTANT: This is not a scam; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are followed exactly, you will receive extraordinary dividends.

PLEASE NOTE: Please follow these directions PERCISELY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it`s a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money made from the

mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list. Here are the 4 easy steps to success:

STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper; PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST. Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

Mailing Addresses

1. P. FREY, 4577 W. PONDS CIR, LITTLETON, CO 80123
2. E. DE LEON, 14014 Rosecrest, Houston,TX 77045
3. A. Lopez, 810 Ave.J, South Houston TX 77587
4. L. Pacheco, 812 Ave.J, South Houston, TX 77587
5. K. Bat, 9335 Alcona Street, Lanham, MD 20706
6. B. Scruggins, P.O. Box 845 Port Barre, LA 70577

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.

STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make! This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws.
Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again.

PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others have received will come your way.

NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed,

six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you`re in business!
---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS---------- Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select `copy` from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer`s memory.

Step 2) Open a blank `notepad` file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the `edit` menu select `paste`. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list.
Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you`ll always have this file to go back to.

Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Just example you log on any search engine like, yahoo.com,google.com, altavista.com,excite.com then you search with this subject millionaire message board? or money making message
board? or employment message board? or money making discussions? or money making forum? or business message board? etc. You will find thousand & thousand message board. Click one by one then you will find the option post a new message.
Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You`re done with your first one! Congratulations...THAT`S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!

REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200
That`s it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.

Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now

those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "What if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual internet.

Remember: Play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work.

2869. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 19:08:14 UTC
From: Nick <radio@nickcentralcomm.com>
Message-ID: <d1a02kqjg0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104335

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110998918.366552.195680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> He's been question a few times, but that's about it. I remember reading
> an articles about the FCC going to his house and comfiming that he was
> in compliance with Part 97. I think right now their question him about
> not being at the control point. But as far as his opinionated program
> the FCC has stated a number of times that it is in compliance with Part
> 97. The problem is many ham operator can't deal with that fact.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

The commission has corresponded with MAN via official U.S. mail and
has inspected his station. The commission has *NEVER* sanctioned
MAN. Big difference, a difference which has the societal misfits in this
forum frothing at their collective mouths. ROTFLMAO!

Nick
CB operator

2870. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 19:15:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111000550.500107.61730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104336

Steve,

What this little Dumbass hasn't hold you is how old those post are. Since that time I've been enjoy the free flight of ultralights and I'm currently working on my Sports license, I only have 15 more hours to go. As for walmat that was a misunderstanding on my part, I wasn't fired. The State of Illinois will also back this up because since I wasn't fired I wasn't intittled to unemployment, which I didn't recieve. I left walmart on my own accord and if I want to I could go back to work there, but I will not, I've worked 5 years and that was enough. The walmart here is consider a stepping stone until a better job comes up, unless you a manager, Ass. Manager or a CSM who see walmart as a career. I've moved on and currently working on my degree in computer science and plan to get a better paying job. I left walmart for that reason, to get a better paying job.

2871. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 19:21:47 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111000907.379731.45860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104337

Dam right!!! You've got to remeber Nick that most of these morons in this group and big fans of QRZ, a website that kick K1MAN off their site. Me, I would say I'm a K1MAN, he's want got me intrested in starting my own "opinoinated" bulletins on HF

2872. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 20:23:41 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <Eemdnbsbs9ZXCKXfRVn-oA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104338

N9OGL wrote:

> For your information you redneck, I've started another page where I
> plan to post all my idea's and inventions.....my question to you is,
> what the have you ever invented.....Answer NOTHING, because like all
> the other licensed CB operators on here you don't have the brains to
> invent, only to badmouth people on and off the air.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
> http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/

What inventions? This is all I see? What did you invent? Packet? The
internet?

Todd Daugherty

* Age: 36
* Gender: male
* Astrological Sign: Cancer
* Born in the Year of the: Monkey
* Location: Taylorville : Illinois : United States

About Me

I lived in a small community most of my life. I am a ham radio operator
and I really enjoy writing and reading.
Never mind the turtle. Don't you think you're sure to win?

no
Interests

* Writing
* Radio
* Reading
* Flying
* Anime

Favorite Movies

* Science-Fiction
* Horror
* Anime

Favorite Music

* I like all sorts of music

Favorite Books

* I like horror
* Science-fiction
* and books dealing with physics

Blogs
Blog Name Recent Posts Total Posts Team Members
The Voice of Amateur Radio n/a n/a
N9OGL'S INVENTIONS n/a n/a

2873. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 20:39:54 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111005594.564726.92740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104339

Read some of the other crap this boy has written in the last 5-6 years

<<<What this little Dumbass hasn't hold you is how old those post are.>>>

That's 5-6 years ago, on a standard calendar, 1999-2000. Todd, we know that you cannot read (or write for that matter), YOU stated that you were fired. YOU put out the word that you were looking for a pro bono lawyer to either get your job back or get some compensation. That is all that I am going on, YOUR OWN STATEMENTS. Was it another of your lies? Or do you lie so much that you forget what you lied about.

N9 Out Goes the Legitimacies

2874. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 21:03:00 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1111006980.131730.111430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104340

From: "bb" on Tues, Mar 15 2005 5:32 pm

>Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len and
>>Brian
>> K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's demise
>>are
>> greatly exxagerated.......
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some will
have
>to wait for retirement.

Coslonaut is still wanting a round of hearty high-fives
for something he HAS NOT DONE YET! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2875. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 21:05:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1111007120.888837.162460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104341

From: "bb" on Tues, Mar 15 2005 5:36 pm

>kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>> Self-appointed heros tend to die young.
>>
>> w3rv
>
>Wonder how old Steve is? He was wanting Kevorkian for his physician
in
>another thread.

Must be that Stevie is suing Kevorkian for malpractice.

Kevorkian's procedure didn't work! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2876. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 21:07:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1111007261.308901.202150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104342

>> Now we need Len Anderson to chime in with something about how
amateur
>> radio is predominately "white".
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>I'm not Len, but it is.

True enough, Alun, just check out the ham photos in
the last half-century's ham publications.

No "profiling" qualifications needed...

>Whilst it's hard to tell someone's race on air, it also seems that

On "CW" noboby can tell their gender.

That maybe explains why WOMEN's tees are so "cool!" :-)

>Now you've really opened up a can of worms, Dave.

Big badass dave LIKES worms, Alun. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2877. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 21:22:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111008131.156843.140030@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104343

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > For your information you redneck, I've started another page where I
> > plan to post all my idea's and inventions........my question to you
is,
> > what the have you ever invented.....Answer NOTHING, because like
all
> > the other licensed CB operators on here you don't have the brains
to
> > invent, only to badmouth people on and off the air.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
> > http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/
>
> What inventions? This is all I see? What did you invent? Packet? The
> internet?
>
> Todd Daugherty
>
> * Age: 36
> * Gender: male
> * Astrological Sign: Cancer
> * Born in the Year of the: Monkey
> * Location: Taylorville : Illinois : United States
>
> About Me
>
> I lived in a small community most of my life. I am a ham radio
operator
> and I really enjoy writing and reading.
> Never mind the turtle. Don't you think you're sure to win?
>
> no
> Interests
>
> * Writing
> * Radio
> * Reading
> * Flying
> * Anime
>
> Favorite Movies

>
> * Science-Fiction
> * Horror
> * Anime
>
> Favorite Music
>
> * I like all sorts of music
>
> Favorite Books
>
> * I like horror
> * Science-fiction
> * and books dealing with physics
>
> Blogs
> Blog Name Recent Posts Total Posts Team Members

> The Voice of Amateur Radio n/a n/a
> N9OGL'S INVENTIONS n/a n/a

First off I just started that blog today, so aturally there isn't going to be anthing there. Right now I don't have the time because I'm getting ready to go school. The whole purpose of that blog was because some cry babies on here was calling me a liar, which I am not. So if you don't want to be creative my suggestion to you is stay here in the this newsgroup with all the other dumbass appliance users.

Todd N9OGL

2878. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 21:28:23 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111008503.110056.253090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104344

<<<LOOK YOU LITTLE FUCK, I'VE INVENTED MORE SHIT IN MY FUCKING LIFE
TIME
THEN YOU EVER EVER WILL!!! I WILL NOT GIVE A FUCKING ASSHOLE, WHO GETS
ON SOME QUEER ASS NEWSGROUP THE PLEASURE OF ANY OF MY INVENTIONS.
SO GO PLAY WITH YOUR LITTLE BUTT BUDDIES BATHROOMAN AND JULIO
DEJESUS>>>

That's exactly it, you have invented more shit, every time you to to
the bathroom.

2879. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 21:33:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111008794.987496.243710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104345

BATHWHOREMAN,

WHAT PART DON'T YOU UNDERSTAND, I QUIT WAL-MART, AND WALMART WITH TELL YOU RIGHT TO YOUR FACE THE SAME THING. AS FOR THE POST IT WAS A MISUNDERSTANDING WHICH MY LAWYER, THE STATE OF ILLINOIS AND WALMART SETTLED. SO WHILE DON'T YOU QUIT DROOLING ON THE PAST AND GO BACK AND FUCK YOUR LITTLE BUDDIES LIKE K4YZ, CMD, BUNGHOLE CORRY, AND DAVE.

ASSHOLE.

2880. Democrats Proudly On Parade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 21:38:23 UTC
From: Nick <radio@nickcentralcomm.com>
Message-ID: <d1a8s3$c55$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104346

> "With freedom on the move across the Middle East and beyond, aggrieved
> anti-war protesters here in the United States have nothing better to do
> this
> weekend than what they have always done: stand in the way.
>
> The most unhinged of left-wing activists, from breast-exposing pacifists
> to
> the conspiracy-mongers of MoveOn.org, will descend on New York, Washington
> and other major media markets to "mark the two-year anniversary of the
> U.S.
> bombing and invasion of Iraq." They will do so by clogging the streets,
> tying up police resources and leaving behind a trail of anti-Bush
> propaganda
> litter....
>
> ...Along the way, the marchers will stop to harass workers at a local
> military recruiting station. Yes, these are the supposed peaceniks who
> derive pleasure from ripping yellow ribbon magnets off of minivans and
> throwing rocks through ROTC campus offices. These are the acolytes of
> Michael Moore, who compares Iraqi head-choppers to American Revolutionary
> War heroes....
>
> ...Surveying this bizarre array of grim-faced parade organizers on the
> extreme edge of anti-Americanism, it's clear: The barking left has been
> left
> behind. And it's driving them batty."
>
> [Michelle Malkin, "Moonbats on parade," 3/16/05
> http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=43318]
>
> My response:
>
> /��/)
> ,/ /
> / /
> /��/' '/���`��
> /'/ / / /��\
> ('(((~/' ')
> \ ' ~ /
> " \ _ ��
> \ (
> \ \
>

> [Graphic shamelessly stolen from "Anybody"]
>
> Fuck you, liberal-democrat traitorfucks!
>
>
>

2881. Democrats Threaten to Close Down U.S. Senate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 21:42:00 UTC
From: Nick <radio@nickcentralcomm.com>
Message-ID: <d1a92tcem0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104347

> Sen. Reid is PROMISING to paralyze the Senate should the Democratic Party
> plan to stymie judicial confirmation be prevented by ending the filibuster
> on judicial confirmation votes.
>
> Reid is either bluffing or is incredibly stupid if he believes he can
> prevent the Senate from doing it's constitutionally mandated job.
>
> Frist almost certainly has the votes to change the rules to eliminate the
> use of filibusters to block judicial confirmations. Frist was careful to
> NOT rubberstamp the continuation of standing rules of the previous Senate
> sessions, one of which is the filibuster.
>
> The filibuster is a "made-up" senate rule that can be revoked at any time
> by
> 51 votes. In fact, the word "filibuster" is NOT in the Constitution. And
> contrary to many liberal fantasies, the Constitution does not require a
> supermajority to approve judges. The Constitution only requires a VOTE to
> fulfill it's "advise and consent" duty, and unlimited debate to prevent
> voting prevents the Senate from fulfilling its constitutionally mandated
> duty.
>
> No Senate rule can trump the Constitution and a Senate rule PREVENTING the
> Senate from fulfilling its duty is de facto unconstitutional.
>
> Should Reid carry out his threat, it would take only one more procedural
> vote (which is Frist's privilege to call for as Senate Majority leader) to
> eliminate the filibuster entirely, on all legislation. After that the
> Democratic Party is powerless to stop any Senate business because (1) 55
> votes is a quorum as well as a majority, (2) the Republicans will be able
> to pass ANY legislation they want, including private Social Security
> investment accounts and it won't matter WHAT the Democrats do. They can
> walk out. They can leave the district. They can go to hell.
>
> Potestas Democraticorum delenda est
>
>

2882. Re: N9OGL Doesn't Like His Own Poll Results...Tries A "New" Poll"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 22:41:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <5C2_d.6580$qf2.5329@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104348

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110943001.074744.89420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> well if you want I could talk your native tongue....inbred redneck :)
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
You really are a flippin idiot ain't you Todd? Just because someone has a 4
in his call you automatically assume he is a inbred redneck. Most people
with any intelligence, and that elliminates you right off the bat, know
that is a racist and totally assinine statement. In other words it is not
Politically Correct.

I'll have you know I am tolerant of all species on the planet I even like
you. Everyone needs a dumbass to slap around once in a while.

Dipshit

Dan/W4NTI

2883. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 22:44:55 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <HF2_d.5533$qW.4155@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104349

N9OGL wrote:

> For your information you redneck, I've started another page where I
> plan to post all my idea's and inventions........my question to you is,
> what the have you ever invented.....Answer NOTHING, because like all
> the other licensed CB operators on here you don't have the brains to
> invent, only to badmouth people on and off the air.
>

I have a few inventions, see
http://home.netcom.com/~wa2ise/radios/patents.htm
All have been assigned to whatever company I was at
when I did them, but I still get bragging rights.

2884. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 22:47:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <gI2_d.6582$qf2.342@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104350

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1110943127.421152.201140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> If he was removed by the FCC they would of announce it......someone
> must of cut his coax.
>
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

A few years back, when the FCC was breathing down his throat, MAN took a very sudden hiatus, he claimed it was because he took a teaching job. I figure he did the same thing again. Unfortunately for him there is enough documentation at the FCC for his crap over the last many years that he will be history when its renewal time.

Dan/W4NTI

2885. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 22:52:45 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <1N2_d.6583$qf2.5528@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104351

K4YZ wrote:

> The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the first
> paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's
> entirety at www.arrl.org.
>
> My question: Is this even an issue?
>
> Less than .01% of all quilters are male...Is quilting about to
> burn out?
>
> Is there some great conspiracy to prevent women from testing? Are
> there armed guards at the doors to bar their entrance? If so, I've
> never seen them.
>

There's been women hams over the years. Find an old issue
of QST from the early 60's and there's usually a column
"YL news" or such. Usually with a small headline drawing
of a woman ham and her shack, one of the pieces of equipment
with a potted plant atop of it. Some were probably wives of
male hams, and there were probably some women who were in
ham radio without such a connection. I don't think the
percentage has varied much over the years.

2886. New taxes ?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 22:56:44 UTC
From: grigri@tiscali.fr
Message-ID: <861b8be1.0503161456.699504d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 104352

Look at this news !!??

http://www.adf-tank.com/adftank/sites/fo/redir.php?c=1&g=1&m=1&u=57&l=fr_FR&k=1makQDCi5lyCW6PhQ3UXIznEQsodh

2887. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 23:01:19 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3V2_d.6621$qf2.774@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104353

>
> There's any easy way out of all this stuff, Mike: Fire the president of
> Harvard. Lawrence Summers made some gender-specific comments on women
> in math and the sciences which, while true, may cost him his job. The
> PC police were all over him like African flies on a dung pile in the
> wake of his statements.
>

There may be some gender differences, but such are less
than something like 5% difference. Hardly significant.
And possibly all due to the culture and not biology, who
knows.

Other male dominated hobbies like model railroading also
have a few women, about the same percentage as ham radio.

2888. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 23:12:31 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Ho6dnRUXerH1IKXfRVn-1w@comcast.com>
Source record: 104354

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:xYKdnWVXMLRVOarfRVn-iA@adelphia.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:7uydnessqMUtDarfRVn-tA@adelphia.com...
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>>
>>>Gonna be in the QSO party?
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Hope so.
>
> I'll listen for ya. 40 or 20 is probably the best path fron my QTH.
>
> - mike KB3EIA -
>

Checking my log, I find that 40, 80, and even 160 are the bands that get me into PA. I have worked that state on other bands also but those contacts are few and far between.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2889. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 23:21:10 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Ve6dnVdUncHyIqXfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 104355

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110965354.362731.35000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the first
> paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's
> entirety at www.arrl.org.
>
> My question: Is this even an issue?
>
> Less than .01% of all quilters are male...Is quilting about to
> burn out?
>
> Is there some great conspiracy to prevent women from testing? Are
> there armed guards at the doors to bar their entrance? If so, I've
> never seen them.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I also read the article. I think it was an interesting study but did not see any attempt to indicate that this was an issue or that anyone was trying to keep women out. Personally I classify it as a fun but useless bit of trivia.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
(Just in case there's anyone new in here, I am a YL)

2890. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 23:35:43 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <x8udndgsTLtXX6XfRVn-hw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104356

K1MAN wantabe wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>
>>>For your information you redneck, I've started another page where I
>>>plan to post all my idea's and inventions.....my question to you
>
> is,
>
>>>what the have you ever invented.....Answer NOTHING, because like
>
> all
>
>>>the other licensed CB operators on here you don't have the brains
>
> to
>
>>>invent, only to badmouth people on and off the air.
>>>
>>>Todd N9OGL
>>>
>>>http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/
>>
>>What inventions? This is all I see? What did you invent? Packet? The
>>internet?
>>
>> Todd Daugherty
>>
>> * Age: 36
>> * Gender: male
>> * Astrological Sign: Cancer
>> * Born in the Year of the: Monkey
>> * Location: Taylorville : Illinois : United States
>>
>>About Me
>>
>>I lived in a small community most of my life. I am a ham radio
>
> operator
>
>>and I really enjoy writing and reading.
>>Never mind the turtle. Don't you think you're sure to win?

>>
>>no
>>Interests
>>
>> * Writing
>> * Radio
>> * Reading
>> * Flying
>> * Anime
>>
>>Favorite Movies
>>
>> * Science-Fiction
>> * Horror
>> * Anime
>>
>>Favorite Music
>>
>> * I like all sorts of music
>>
>>Favorite Books
>>
>> * I like horror
>> * Science-fiction
>> * and books dealing with physics
>>
>>Blogs
>> Blog Name Recent Posts Total Posts Team Members
>
>
>> The Voice of Amateur Radio n/a n/a
>> N9OGL'S INVENTIONS n/a n/a
>
>
> First off I just started that blog today,

Well first off, I have no idea when you started that blog.

>so aturally there isn't going to be anthing there.

Please use a spell checker!

>Right now I don't have the time because I'm
> getting ready to go school. The whole purpose of that blog was because
> some cry babies on here was calling me a liar, which I am not.

I asked what your inventions were but you don't have time to tell me
even one, but you had time to come here and post all this bs.

> So if you don't want to be creative my suggestion to you is stay here in the
> this newsgroup with all the other dumbass appliance users.
>

> Todd N9OGL
>
You put up a page and brag about yourself but then don't post the info
that you are braging about? You are a pompous ass to call everybody here
dumbass appliance users.
I went to QRZ and saw your picture, man are you gay looking!

2891. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 23:40:39 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <tMSdneUqZ_idWaXfRVn-2w@comcast.com>
Source record: 104357

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d19c2t$te6$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...

[snip]

>
> That there are less women in the ARS is true enough. But that is
> interesting from a "why is that?" standpoint. It probably isn't so
> interesting from a "how do we change Ham radio to attract more women?"
> aspect.
>
> Dee or Kim might be able to shed some light on that, in form of "Why I am
> interested in Amateur radio" or perhaps some insight on why a lot of women
> aren't.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Well Mike, I got interested in Amateur Radio because my former husband dragged me to a class saying "Let's do this TOGETHER." After I was involved, I found that I enjoyed it for its own sake. So that's when I decided to continue to study and upgrade and go all the way to Extra. Although he and I parted a few years ago, I still am active in ham radio.

As you may or may not know, I am an engineer. However, I really can't say why this and other technical fields don't attract more women. One of my daughter's also went into engineering and the other one couldn't care less for technical fields.

I'll give this some more thought though but right now must run my daughter around.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2892. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 23:46:52 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111016812.761084.267470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104358

Of course you quit, faggot, because every time someone took a mystery shit in the store, they called you to clean it up. Then, you got caught sucking the manager's cock to get a head on the job. Then the state tested your IQ. Don't forget Julie.

2893. Re: N9OGL Doesn't Like His Own Poll Results...Tries A "New" Poll"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-16 23:59:20 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111017560.941083.116090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104359

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> You really are a flippin idiot ain't you Todd? Just because someone
has a 4
> in his call you automatically assume he is a inbred redneck.

Shades of 313 all over again?

IIRC, you said something to the effect of "...never have a battle of
wits with an UNARMED man..." a time or two on the frequency.

Funny how these characters all resort to the same
name-calling/mud-flinging/cursing/racism cr@pola every time someone
logically maneuvers them into a corner - or calls them on their BS.

2894. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:05:59 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <ONOdnXNMT5V-VKXfRVn-og@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104360

```
The moron wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>
>>>For your information you redneck, I've started another page where I
>>>plan to post all my idea's and inventions........my question to you
>
> is,
>
>>>what the have you ever invented.....Answer NOTHING, because like
>
> all
>
>>>the other licensed CB operators on here you don't have the brains
>
> to
>
>>>invent, only to badmouth people on and off the air.
>>>
>>>Todd N9OGL
>>>
>>>http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/
>>
>>What inventions? This is all I see? What did you invent? Packet? The
>>internet?
>>
>> Todd Daugherty
>>
>> * Age: 36
>> * Gender: male
>> * Astrological Sign: Cancer
>> * Born in the Year of the: Monkey
>> * Location: Taylorville : Illinois : United States
>>
>>About Me
>>
>>I lived in a small community most of my life. I am a ham radio
>
> operator
>
>>and I really enjoy writing and reading.
>>Never mind the turtle. Don't you think you're sure to win?
```

>>
>>no
>>Interests
>>
>> * Writing
>> * Radio
>> * Reading
>> * Flying
>> * Anime
>>
>>Favorite Movies
>>
>> * Science-Fiction
>> * Horror
>> * Anime
>>
>>Favorite Music
>>
>> * I like all sorts of music
>>
>>Favorite Books
>>
>> * I like horror
>> * Science-fiction
>> * and books dealing with physics
>>
>>Blogs
>> Blog Name Recent Posts Total Posts Team Members
>
>
>> The Voice of Amateur Radio n/a n/a
>> N9OGL'S INVENTIONS n/a n/a
>
>
> First off I just started that blog today, so aturally there isn't going
> to be anthing there. Right now I don't have the time because I'm
> getting ready to go school. The whole purpose of that blog was because
> some cry babies on here was calling me a liar, which I am not. So if
> you don't want to be creative my suggestion to you is stay here in the
> this newsgroup with all the other dumbass appliance users.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
The moron said "when I asked to prove it they invaded the question."
How the hell do you invade a question?
The picture on you site is gayer than the one on QRZ.
I'm all for education but you have to realize that a Community College
isn't a University!

2895. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:07:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1111018067.716929.110670@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104361

These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
byindividuals on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of March 14, 2005:

Novice - 29,071 (decrease of 20,258)
Technician - 266,823 (increase of 61,429)
Technician Plus - 51,476 (decrease of 77,384)
General - 137,283 (increase of 24,606)
Advanced - 76,997 (decrease of 22,785)
Extra - 106,297 (increase of 27,547)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,299 (decrease of 15,955)

Total all classes - 667,947 (decrease of 6,845)

Note that these totals do not include licenses that
have expired but are in the grace period. They also
do not include club, military, RACES or other
station-only licenses.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2896. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:21:48 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0qmdne0DwPo7UKXfRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 104362

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d19eko$vr0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the first
>> paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's
>> entirety at www.arrl.org.
>>
>> My question: Is this even an issue?
>
> Kind of. There is a wide gender gap between men and women in the
> engineering fields.
>

But people consider it more important than it really is. There's a gender
gap in the other direction in many fields.

>
> But working in the field, they account for 9 percent of all U.S. engineers
>
> There is a quote that shows up at several sites:
>
> "Women still face gender-specific obstacles when studying and preparing
> for careers in engineering and other sciences. There is solid evidence
> that mentoring can help address this disparity" said Muller"
>
> http://tinyurl.com/5lm92
>
> Despite the prevalence of the quote, I'm not sure what the disparities
> are.
>

I got into engineering in 1969 and didn't find any obstacles. So I don't
know what the disparities are either.

>
>
> Or they can force 'em to go to "tech camp"
>
> http://tinyurl.com/5m82m
>
>
>
> Interestingly enough, there are High schools that place emphasis on
> science and math. The enrollment in these schools is roughly equal, gender

> wise. But the female students tend to gravitate to liberal arts, medicine
> and law.
>
> see
> http://tinyurl.com/3umlf
>
>
> Finally, I'm not sure what to think. I've discussed the issue with a woman
> engineer I work with. Interestingly enough, she says she KNEW she wanted
> to be an engineer from the time she was a kid. No forcing to go to camps.
> She thinks the recruitment efforts are not going to work, because one does
> not pick engineering like one may pick a major from a school catalog.
>

I knew I wanted to do something in the math/science/technical field but
didn't home in on engineering specifically until I was a junior in high
school. I tend to agree that the recruitment efforts will have little
impact as if you don't have the inclination you won't pick the field even if
recruited. Or if you do pick it, you won't stay with it.

>
> Oh, and she says she hates being called a "Woman Engineer". "Engineer" by
> itself will do just fine thank you!
>
> A sample of one, of course, but perhaps a little telling.
>

Make that a sample of two as I agree wholeheartedly with that.

> Maybe people who are interested in engineering get tin to it for that
> reason, and people who are not into engineering do other things.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Ditto.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2897. REPOST: Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:21:48 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <reposted.1111009649.725392.56000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104469

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d19eko$vr0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the first
>> paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's
>> entirety at www.arrl.org.
>>
>> My question: Is this even an issue?
>
> Kind of. There is a wide gender gap between men and women in the
> engineering fields.
>

But people consider it more important than it really is. There's a gender
gap in the other direction in many fields.

>
> But working in the field, they account for 9 percent of all U.S. engineers
>
> There is a quote that shows up at several sites:
>
> "Women still face gender-specific obstacles when studying and preparing
> for careers in engineering and other sciences. There is solid evidence
> that mentoring can help address this disparity" said Muller"
>
> http://tinyurl.com/5lm92
>
> Despite the prevalence of the quote, I'm not sure what the disparities
> are.
>

I got into engineering in 1969 and didn't find any obstacles. So I don't
know what the disparities are either.

>
>
> Or they can force 'em to go to "tech camp"
>
> http://tinyurl.com/5m82m
>
>
>
> Interestingly enough, there are High schools that place emphasis on
> science and math. The enrollment in these schools is roughly equal, gender

> wise. But the female students tend to gravitate to liberal arts, medicine
> and law.
>
> see
> http://tinyurl.com/3umlf
>
>
> Finally, I'm not sure what to think. I've discussed the issue with a woman
> engineer I work with. Interestingly enough, she says she KNEW she wanted
> to be an engineer from the time she was a kid. No forcing to go to camps.
> She thinks the recruitment efforts are not going to work, because one does
> not pick engineering like one may pick a major from a school catalog.
>

I knew I wanted to do something in the math/science/technical field but
didn't home in on engineering specifically until I was a junior in high
school. I tend to agree that the recruitment efforts will have little
impact as if you don't have the inclination you won't pick the field even if
recruited. Or if you do pick it, you won't stay with it.

>
> Oh, and she says she hates being called a "Woman Engineer". "Engineer" by
> itself will do just fine thank you!
>
> A sample of one, of course, but perhaps a little telling.
>

Make that a sample of two as I agree wholeheartedly with that.

> Maybe people who are interested in engineering get tin to it for that
> reason, and people who are not into engineering do other things.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Ditto.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2898. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:25:05 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YKudnRkl_ov3U6XfRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 104363

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:42384AD5.EFAE889A@earthlink.net...
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> > The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the first
>> > paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's
>> > entirety at www.arrl.org.
>> >
>> > My question: Is this even an issue?
>>
>> Kind of. There is a wide gender gap between men and women in the
>> engineering fields.
>>
>> But working in the field, they account for 9 percent of all U.S.
>> engineers
>>
>> There is a quote that shows up at several sites:
>>
>> "Women still face gender-specific obstacles when studying and preparing
>> for careers in engineering and other sciences. There is solid evidence
>> that mentoring can help address this disparity" said Muller"
>
> There's any easy way out of all this stuff, Mike: Fire the president of
> Harvard. Lawrence Summers made some gender-specific comments on women
> in math and the sciences which, while true, may cost him his job. The
> PC police were all over him like African flies on a dung pile in the
> wake of his statements.
>

I heard about that and personally I'm on his side but then I never was
"politically correct."

> I met Summers during his visit as Deputy Secretary of the Treasury to
> the U.S. Embassy in Helsinki. He showed less ego than any high level
> visitor I ever encountered. He was quickly known as "Call Me Larry"
> Summers since anyone addressing him as Mr. Summers or Secretary Summers
> was quickly told to "call me Larry".
>
> There are differences in the way that men and women think. There are
> things which mostly interest women and there are things which mostly
> interest men. There are things which a lot of men and not very many
> women are good at. There are things which a lot of women and not very
> men are good at. If you don't like my statement, fire Larry Summers.

>

I'd say it's more of an interest than ability. One can be good at something
even without ability if they care to put in the extra effort (i.e. have
enough interest to do so). Why the interests differ would be an interesting
issue.

> There aren't many women in amateur radio. There have never been many
> women in amateur radio. While the numbers have been and are likely to
> remain small, some of the females have been very, very good operators.
> Mae Burke W3CUL a CW whiz and traffic handling demon and DXers Martha
> Henson and Iris Colvin W6QL, come to mind.
>
> Now we need Len Anderson to chime in with something about how amateur
> radio is predominately "white".
>

Please don't give him any ideas.

>
> Dave K8MN

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2899. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:26:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111019162.133326.177070@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104364

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len and
> >
> > Brian
> >
> >>K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's demise
> >
> > are
> >
> >>greatly exxagerated.......
> >>
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some will
have
> > to wait for retirement.
>
> That's the spirit, Brian!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Yep. I sure as hell wouldn't waste the last few years of my life
saying that I was going to send morse code so badly that a no-code Tech
couldn't copy it with a morse reader. I've got more positive things to
do.

2900. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:28:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111019329.362557.71450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104365

K4YZ wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len and
> > >
> > > Brian
> > >
> > >>K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's
demise
> > >
> > > are
> > >
> > >>greatly exxagerated......
> > >
> > > No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some
will
> have
> > > to wait for retirement.
> >
> > That's the spirit, Brian!
>
> Notice, Mike, that your comments about "taking lumps" were
> SPECIFICALLY directed at the OTHER Brian, yet Brian Burke jumped in
to
> say "no lumps"...?!?!
>
> Maybe if he weren't always so prompt to be the antagonizer he
> would have realized that you WEREN'T talking about HIM, Mike...?!?!!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, you sure are one twisted up little man. How in the world can
not giving someone lumps be classified as being "the antagonizer?"

Nurse, heal thyself.

2901. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:30:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111019434.722785.136630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104366

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Tues, Mar 15 2005 5:32 pm
>
> >Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len
and
> >>Brian
> >> K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's demise
> >>are
> >> greatly exxagerated.......
> >>
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some will
> have
> >to wait for retirement.
>
> Coslonaut is still wanting a round of hearty high-fives
> for something he HAS NOT DONE YET! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Maybe there will still be some in "the box" when he gets around to it.

2902. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:31:38 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4238CFE9.8090107@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 104367

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1110929676.149330.167700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>>K1MAN has been on he air for a long time....So will I hehehehehe
>>
>
>
> Really? I haven't heard your hero in several weeks, in fact the word has
> it he is gone.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
Unfortunately Dan I just heard him start up on 75 AM - as usual right on

top of a station already on the frequency.
73
John

2903. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:33:27 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NKydnUFXdrX9TaXfRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 104368

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1110995914.854549.62870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

[snip]

>
> - Like it or not, there *are* some gender-related differences. They may
> be all due to cultural forces (quite likely), or all due to genetics
> (extremely unlikely), or some mix, but the differences are there. And
> they're not going to go away overnight.
>

Actually I happen to think there are some genetic gender differences but
that they are not great. We can all do math for example but some like it
and some don't. Those who don't like it have to have other reasons for
pursuing it other than love of math itself.

> As I said before - It seems to me that the goal is equality of
> opportunity, not equality of outcome.
>

That is what is truly important. There needs to be enough freedom that
those who fall outside the typical band can pursue those interests. Don't
force women to be engineers but create an environment such that those who
are so inclined can pursue it without having to battle society. Similarly
those men who wish to be nurses or secretaries or whatever should be free to
pursue those goals without society looking askance at them. At the same
time, those who wish to follow traditional paths should also be free to do
so without extremists (such as some of the NAG and NOW members) looking down
on them.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2904. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:35:48 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111019748.699635.310950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104369

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Tues, Mar 15 2005 5:36 pm
>
> >kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >>
> >> Self-appointed heros tend to die young.
> >>
> >> w3rv
> >
> >Wonder how old Steve is? He was wanting Kevorkian for his physician
> in
> >another thread.
>
> Must be that Stevie is suing Kevorkian for malpractice.
>
> Kevorkian's procedure didn't work! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Maybe one of the seven hostile actions.

My son is studying the concept of idioms in school. I told him that "Seven hostile actions" was an idiom on rrap, at that "K4YZ" was an idiot on rrap.

2905. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:56:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111020987.980311.169300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104370

K4YZ wrote:

> My question: Is this even an issue?

There's beeen a lot of great ansswers here, save for Lennie's
usual attempt to be racist and chauvanistic.

What I am trying to get at, though, is "so what"...?!?!

Dee, Kim, don't get fussed at me...My only point here is that
there are NO barriers to ANYone, male, female, black, white, straight,
gay, etc etc etc getting a license.

Just like there's nothing preventing me from picking up a quilting
needle if I wanted to, or starting a floral shop, etc.

I received an off-line response that cited a lawsuit agaist the
ARRL in "the 92-94 time frame" that cited a suit brought against the
League. Sounds more like a labor dispute to me!

Does ANY organization have an "obligation" to HAVE to "recruit" on
behalf of an avocation that many consider "just a hobby"...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2906. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:56:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111021013.892457.252420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104371

Michael Coslo wrote:

> It seems to be the same with many technical careers or hobbies.
There
> is a lot of effort to recruit women to the engineering ranks. But not

> that many appear to be attracted to it.

Ditto meteorology. The American Meteorological Society had lots of
verbiage in the BAMS and a questionaire re: "what should we do to
attract..."

Time frame was 80's as I recall. I had hoped we had gotten past all
that by now. We've got women doctors, truck drivers, and weight
lifters. And even a movie about women boxers. It's time to drop the
"first woman to... whatever" stuff.

> Perhaps we need to have tight control over this sort of thing. A
> carreer / hobby lottery, so to speak. When you are in 6th grade, you
> draw at random two things, a career slip and a hobby slip. And that
is
> what ya train for and do from then on. Strict control will have to be

> exercised in order to enforce gender equity!

The Gulag for the dissenters.

> I don't know about you, but that sounds pretty goofy to me.
>
> That there are less women in the ARS is true enough.

And?

But that is
> interesting from a "why is that?" standpoint.

It's hard to tune them in on SSB.

It probably isn't so
> interesting from a "how do we change Ham radio to attract more
women?"
> aspect.

Reminds me of Larry Roll/K3LT and his "Welfare mothers of color"
remarks.

> Dee or Kim might be able to shed some light on that, in form of "Why
I
> am interested in Amateur radio" or perhaps some insight on why a lot

of
> women aren't.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Whoa! I thought we weren't allowed to take anything Kim says
seriously? And Dee will merely spout the ARRL party line.

2907. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 00:58:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111021127.213344.77160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104372

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Big badass dave LIKES worms, Alun.

"I am only here to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".

From the Archived Internet Lies of:

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2908. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:04:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111021446.367220.101170@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104373

N9OGL wrote: (without citing whom he was responding to)

> For your information you redneck, I've started another page where I
> plan to post all my idea's and inventions.....my question to you
is,
> what the have you ever invented.....Answer NOTHING, because like all
> the other licensed CB operators on here you don't have the brains to
> invent, only to badmouth people on and off the air.

Todd...So far you've proved yourself to be the idiot that you've
led us all to believe you are, and this was proof of it.

And what have >>I<< invented? Nothing. However I've been
decorated five times for lifesaving.

That beats anything Ronco or K-Tel could sell me at 3AM, Mr
Wizard.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2909. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:10:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111021852.641915.136440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104374

N9OGL wrote:
> BATH######MAN,

Todd...remind me who was accusing who of "badmouthing people ona
nd off the air" in another post...?!?!

> WHAT PART DON'T YOU UNDERSTAND, I QUIT WAL-MART, AND WALMART WITH
TELL
> YOU RIGHT TO YOUR FACE THE SAME THING.

So far, Todd, you've not given us anyting that would allow us to
take what you say at face value as truthful or correct.

Also, stop screaming and slow down when you write. You're an
idiot to start with, and you get absolutely incomprehensible when
you're trying to be mouthy and manly all in the same sentence.

> AS FOR THE POST IT WAS A
> MISUNDERSTANDING WHICH MY LAWYER, THE STATE OF ILLINOIS AND WALMART
> SETTLED. SO WHILE DON'T YOU QUIT DROOLING ON THE PAST AND GO BACK AND
> #### YOUR LITTLE BUDDIES LIKE K4YZ, CMD, ####HOLE CORRY, AND DAVE.

I am sure they "settled" it.

You're an idiot. What's to settle?

Wal-Mart, your "lawyer" and the State of Ill-annoyed probably told
you to suck it up and act like a man....

> ***HOLE.

...but I see you didn't get the message.

Steve, K4YZ

2910. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:16:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111022208.318460.273260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104375

bb wrote:

> My son is studying the concept of idioms in school. I told him that
> "Seven hostile actions" was an idiom on rrap, at that "K4YZ" was an
> idiot on rrap.

Perhaps I should get "equal time" so I can inform him that his
father is known worlwide as a pathological liar.

Google archives have the documents to support it.

Shame for you, Brain, that when your son get's around to looking
stuff up on the Internet in a few years, he'll find these exchanges and
be able to "follow the bread crumbs" back to the truth...about
you...his father.

Wonder what he'll think then...?!?! Better get the silver polish
out now so you can pray it get's THAT tarnish off!

Steve, K4YZ

2911. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:21:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <z9WdneFMY-wKRqXfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 104376

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111020987.980311.169300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> My question: Is this even an issue?
>
> There's beeen a lot of great ansswers here, save for Lennie's
> usual attempt to be racist and chauvanistic.
>
> What I am trying to get at, though, is "so what"...?!?!
>
> Dee, Kim, don't get fussed at me...My only point here is that
> there are NO barriers to ANYone, male, female, black, white, straight,
> gay, etc etc etc getting a license.
>

Well speaking for myself, I'm certainly not fussed. I agree that there's no
real barriers to any one getting a license.

> Just like there's nothing preventing me from picking up a quilting
> needle if I wanted to, or starting a floral shop, etc.
>

If you can stand other people considering you weird, more power to you as
far as I'm concerned. I'm sure no one dared tell Rosie Grier (an NFL player
for those too young to remember) that he was odd for doing needlepoint. I
believe he even appeared in ads in women's magazines for needlepoint
supplies.

> I received an off-line response that cited a lawsuit agaist the
> ARRL in "the 92-94 time frame" that cited a suit brought against the
> League. Sounds more like a labor dispute to me!
>
> Does ANY organization have an "obligation" to HAVE to "recruit" on
> behalf of an avocation that many consider "just a hobby"...?!?!
>

Not as far as I'm concerned.

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2912. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:22:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <EIqdnToaXppGRqXfRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 104377

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1111021013.892457.252420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>> It seems to be the same with many technical careers or hobbies.
> There
>> is a lot of effort to recruit women to the engineering ranks. But not
>
>> that many appear to be attracted to it.
>
> Ditto meteorology. The American Meteorological Society had lots of
> verbiage in the BAMS and a questionaire re: "what should we do to
> attract..."
>
> Time frame was 80's as I recall. I had hoped we had gotten past all
> that by now. We've got women doctors, truck drivers, and weight
> lifters. And even a movie about women boxers. It's time to drop the
> "first woman to... whatever" stuff.
>
>> Perhaps we need to have tight control over this sort of thing. A
>> carreer / hobby lottery, so to speak. When you are in 6th grade, you
>> draw at random two things, a career slip and a hobby slip. And that
> is
>> what ya train for and do from then on. Strict control will have to be
>
>> exercised in order to enforce gender equity!
>
> The Gulag for the dissenters.
>
>> I don't know about you, but that sounds pretty goofy to me.
>>
>> That there are less women in the ARS is true enough.
>
> And?
>
> But that is
>> interesting from a "why is that?" standpoint.
>
> It's hard to tune them in on SSB.
>
> It probably isn't so
>> interesting from a "how do we change Ham radio to attract more
> women?"

>> aspect.
>
> Reminds me of Larry Roll/K3LT and his "Welfare mothers of color"
> remarks.
>
>> Dee or Kim might be able to shed some light on that, in form of "Why
> I
>> am interested in Amateur radio" or perhaps some insight on why a lot
> of
>> women aren't.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Whoa! I thought we weren't allowed to take anything Kim says
> seriously? And Dee will merely spout the ARRL party line.
>

I do hope you meant to add a smiley to that.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2913. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:27:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111022827.802110.71570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104378

N9OGL wrote:

> First off I just started that blog today, so aturally there isn't
going
> to be anthing there.

"aturally" there's nothing there because you've not done what you
claim you have.

> Right now I don't have the time because I'm
> getting ready to go school.

Ahhhhhhh...I seeeeeeee! You have to to place all these posts
announcing your new blog and DISclaiming my assertions, but you DON'T
have time to simply say "I invented instant coffee", or "I created the
Internet", or what ever wonderful thing it was.......

Simply answering the questions on the NG would have taken you less
than 2 minutes.

You had to take at least half an hour to answer these posts and
activate the new blog.

An extremely lame diversion, Todd. My 13 year old could come up
with a better diversion than that.

> The whole purpose of that blog was because
> some cry babies on here was calling me a liar, which I am not.

You're the only cry baby, Todd, and yes, you are lying.

> So if
> you don't want to be creative my suggestion to you is stay here in
the
> this newsgroup with all the other dumbass appliance users.

Hey Todd...YOU are the one who wants to carry on QSO's with his
microwave oven...Not us...!!!

Putz

Steve, K4YZ

2914. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:33:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111023207.266991.193730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104379

Lloyd wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1110958609.699386.26640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N9OGL wrote: (and once again violates nettiquette by not making
> > attributions)
> >
> >> K1MAN has been on he air for a long time....So will I hehehehehe
> >
> > Yes, he has...
> >
> > He also has a huge legal bill. He also has a history of
federal
> > fines and charges.
> >
> > So will you. Hope it's worth it, Toddie!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
>
> Post proof that MAN has ever *once* been sanctioned by the FCC.
> And his legal work is being done pro bono publico by long time
> professional associates of his.
> YOU ARE FULL OF ####, as usual.

Who said anything about being "sactioned", Lloydie?

Please do not put words into anyone else's mouth...God know's
where YOUR hands have been.

And please show us Baxter's pro bono agency...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2915. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:34:26 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111023266.263223.178970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104380

N9OGL wrote: (without citing whom he was responding to)
> For your information you redneck, I've started another page where I
> plan to post all my idea's and inventions........

Been there; seen that. There's currently one "invention" listed which has absolutely NOTHING to offer the Amateur Radio service.

Let me repeat here this one key point: Internet communications =! radiocommunications.

As an aside - the "invention" relies on the use of peer-to-peer I-net networking run in conjunction with local packet nodes for content forwarding. What amateur radio packet BBS SysOp in his or her right mind is going to allow their station to be used as a conduit for traffic from things such as Kazaa, Limewire and so forth?

In short, that's what was proposed.

Again - Internet communications =! radiocommunications. In this case, there are some very, very good reasons for wanting the two kept separate...

Tightly regulating the P2P apps which are allowed to connect into the nodes might be one way of addressing these concerns. But isn't this just a solution to a problem that doesn't exist?

Let us also not forget the possibility of the network being abused as a warez/music/movie distribution pipe. I for one would d@mn sure not want the resultant heat THAT would generate to happen to ham radio.

2916. Re: N9OGL Suppressess Free Speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:37:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111023471.508952.280290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104381

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > >From the N9OGL "BLOG":
> >
> > QUOTE:
> >
> > Information is 50% fact and 50% opinion, and without the opinions
of
> > people the free flow idea's will be eliminated. The problem I see
is
> > that amateur's don't want other amateur's to be opinionated and/or
> > afraid that some of those amateur's opinion might be the deep down
> the
> > truth of problems within the service.
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > Obviously this doesn't apply to HIM since he's removed
> "comments"
> > from the "comment's" feature of his blog. He removed existing
> comments
> > on the blog that were critical of his ideas.
>
> Critizing a idea is one thing, badmouth me on my website no. you have
> the right to critize my statements however, there were no such
comment
> instead all that was there was people bad mouthing me. You have no
> right to get on my "private" website and badmouth me. Again, I'm
under
> no obligation to allow people to come onto my "private website" and
> badmouth me.

It's not "private", Toddie.

YOU have publicized the link in an open forum and invited viewrs.

YOU invite commentary to be added to the site.

You obviously only want commentary that is "pro-Toddy", however.

That's called censorship.

> > He also removed the poll that was, after only five "votes",
> > already four to one against his opinion of right and wrong.
> >
> > So...In only four days, he's proven, through his own words and

> > deeds, to be a two-faced lying coward who suppresses the "free
> speech"
> > of others that he claims the government allegedly keeps HIM from
> > expressing.
> >
> > He thinks "information" is "50% fact and 50% opinion"..?!?!
> >
> > And this creep wants us to let him be our source of
"information
> > bulletins"...?!?!
> >
> > Sheeeeesh!

You still have yet to DISprove any of my assertions, Todd.

You;re a lying censorist.

Steve, K4YZ

2917. Re: N9OGL Suppressess Free Speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:42:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111023751.344701.98650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104382

N9OGL wrote:
> Stevey...(SNIP)

It's "Stevie", actually.

> quite you bitching....You can go back to posting......

"...quite you bitching..."

And you're bragging your're about to graduate from college...?!?!

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
! ! ! ! !

> Todd N9OGL
>
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
>
> (VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO)

Full of mistruth, deception, censored comments and poorly informed

> http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/
>
> (N9OGL'S INVENTIONS)

Soon to be populated with pictures of end-product of Todd's
digestive processess, no doubt.

Steve, K4YZ

2918. Re: N9OGL Suppressess Free Speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:49:49 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-to-Hams <y@psyctohamfest.org>
Message-ID: <d1anjh$8dl$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104383

```
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111023751.344701.98650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> Stevey...(SNIP)
>
> It's "Stevie", actually.
>
>> quite you bitching....You can go back to posting......
>
> "...quite you bitching..."
>
> And you're bragging your're about to graduate from college...?!?!
>
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> ! ! ! ! !
>
>> Todd N9OGL
>>
>> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
>>
>> (VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO)
>
> Full of mistruth, deception, censored comments and poorly informed
>
>> http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/
>>
>> (N9OGL'S INVENTIONS)
>
> Soon to be populated with pictures of end-product of Todd's
> digestive processess, no doubt.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

We are still waiting for you to post proof that MAN was sanctioned.
Well?
```

2919. Re: N9OGL Suppressess Free Speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:50:29 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-to-Hams <y@psyctohamfest.org>
Message-ID: <d1ankp$8fo$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104384

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111023471.508952.280290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > >From the N9OGL "BLOG":
>> >
>> > QUOTE:
>> >
>> > Information is 50% fact and 50% opinion, and without the opinions
> of
>> > people the free flow idea's will be eliminated. The problem I see
> is
>> > that amateur's don't want other amateur's to be opinionated and/or
>> > afraid that some of those amateur's opinion might be the deep down
>> the
>> > truth of problems within the service.
>> >
>> > UNQUOTE
>> >
>> > Obviously this doesn't apply to HIM since he's removed
>> "comments"
>> > from the "comment's" feature of his blog. He removed existing
>> comments
>> > on the blog that were critical of his ideas.
>>
>> Critizing a idea is one thing, badmouth me on my website no. you have
>> the right to critize my statements however, there were no such
> comment
>> instead all that was there was people bad mouthing me. You have no
>> right to get on my "private" website and badmouth me. Again, I'm
> under
>> no obligation to allow people to come onto my "private website" and
>> badmouth me.
>
> It's not "private", Toddie.
>
> YOU have publicized the link in an open forum and invited viewrs.
>
> YOU invite commentary to be added to the site.
>
> You obviously only want commentary that is "pro-Toddy", however.
>
> That's called censorship.

>
>> > He also removed the poll that was, after only five "votes",
>> > already four to one against his opinion of right and wrong.
>> >
>> > So...In only four days, he's proven, through his own words and
>> > deeds, to be a two-faced lying coward who suppresses the "free
>> speech"
>> > of others that he claims the government allegedly keeps HIM from
>> > expressing.
>> >
>> > He thinks "information" is "50% fact and 50% opinion"..?!?!
>> >
>> > And this creep wants us to let him be our source of
> "information
>> > bulletins"...?!?!
>> >
>> > Sheeeeesh!
>
> You still have yet to DISprove any of my assertions, Todd.
>
> You;re a lying censorist.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

We are still waiting for you to post proof that MAN was sanctioned.
Well?

2920. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:51:15 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-to-Hams <y@psyctohamfest.org>
Message-ID: <d1anm7$8h0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104385

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111021852.641915.136440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> BATH######MAN,
>
> Todd...remind me who was accusing who of "badmouthing people ona
> nd off the air" in another post...?!?!
>
>> WHAT PART DON'T YOU UNDERSTAND, I QUIT WAL-MART, AND WALMART WITH
> TELL
>> YOU RIGHT TO YOUR FACE THE SAME THING.
>
> So far, Todd, you've not given us anyting that would allow us to
> take what you say at face value as truthful or correct.
>
> Also, stop screaming and slow down when you write. You're an
> idiot to start with, and you get absolutely incomprehensible when
> you're trying to be mouthy and manly all in the same sentence.
>
>> AS FOR THE POST IT WAS A
>> MISUNDERSTANDING WHICH MY LAWYER, THE STATE OF ILLINOIS AND WALMART
>> SETTLED. SO WHILE DON'T YOU QUIT DROOLING ON THE PAST AND GO BACK AND
>> #### YOUR LITTLE BUDDIES LIKE K4YZ, CMD, #####HOLE CORRY, AND DAVE.
>
> I am sure they "settled" it.
>
> You're an idiot. What's to settle?
>
> Wal-Mart, your "lawyer" and the State of Ill-annoyed probably told
> you to suck it up and act like a man....
>
>> ***HOLE.
>
> ...but I see you didn't get the message.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

We are still waiting for you to post proof that MAN was sanctioned.
Well?

2921. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:51:55 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-to-Hams <y@psyctohamfest.org>
Message-ID: <d1anng$8kb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104387

```
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111023207.266991.193730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Lloyd wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1110958609.699386.26640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > N9OGL wrote: (and once again violates nettiquette by not making
>> > attributions)
>> >
>> >> K1MAN has been on he air for a long time....So will I hehehehehe
>> >
>> > Yes, he has...
>> >
>> > He also has a huge legal bill. He also has a history of
> federal
>> > fines and charges.
>> >
>> > So will you. Hope it's worth it, Toddie!
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>>
>>
>> Post proof that MAN has ever *once* been sanctioned by the FCC.
>> And his legal work is being done pro bono publico by long time
>> professional associates of his.
>> YOU ARE FULL OF ####, as usual.
>
> Who said anything about being "sactioned", Lloydie?
>
> Please do not put words into anyone else's mouth...God know's
> where YOUR hands have been.
>
> And please show us Baxter's pro bono agency...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

We are still waiting for you to post proof that MAN was sanctioned.
Well?
```

2922. Re: Will Senator Clinton make a Good President ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:52:34 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-to-Hams <y@psyctohamfest.org>
Message-ID: <d1anon$8na$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104386

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110906751.618724.58740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> CQ DX wrote:
>
>> Only if she decides to pull her head out of her ###.
>
> I'll be perfectly happy if she keeps her head in her own! She
> stuck her head into health care's collective butts when she was
> Billary's "Health Care Czar" and all she did was cause trouble and
> further bog down the already stagnant bureaucracy.
>
> Hillary Rodham Clinton in politics is like having Michael Jackson
> to babysit your 6 year old. I'd rather have Jack Kevorkian making my
> health care decisions that her.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Will K4YZ ever post proof that MAN was sanctioned?
Well?

2923. Re: Internet fools still think they're being macho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:53:15 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-to-Hams <y@psyctohamfest.org>
Message-ID: <d1anq0$8of$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104388

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110960807.597915.320590@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:RNydnXIS_dSpaKnfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Chris wrote:
>> > > On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 16:47:10 -0500, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>> wrote:
>> > >
>> > >> And sonnavagun! You prove my point of the anonymous posters and
> their
>> > >>basic incivility. I doubt that you would call me retarded in a
> face to
>> > >>face meeting. Yet here you see that as acceptable behavior. Good
> for
>> > >>you, Chris!
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Of course it was okay when this whole thread got started by one
> of
>> > > your knuckle-dragging, non-anonymous brethren who said "More like
>> > > "chickenshit coward by choice" about people who are savvy enough
> to
>> > > remain anonymous for general posting. That was K0HB, the epitomy
> of
>> > > the manners that you seem to think go along with non-anonymous
> posting.
>> >
>> > No, it isn't okay. No one should use bad manners. And that is just
> a
>> > minor point anyhow. I was attracted to this thread by statements of
> how
>> > using an anonymous name was going to protect you.
>> >
>> > It won't.
>> >
>> > > The point is that, anonymous or not, bad manners are not
> predictable
>> > > by the anonymity or lack thereof of the poster. That's just your
>> > > prejudice speaking to you.

>> > >
>> > > And sonnavagun! You prove by means of your own posts that
> prejudice is
>> > > your forte. Good for you, Mike!
>> >
>> > Not the best example of logic, Chris. I never spoke to the manners
> of
>> > those who would identify themselves. And even that aside, why don't
> you
>> > compare the typical manners of the aggregate of the anonymous
> posters. A
>> > large percent make Steve and Hans look like choirboys.
>> >
>> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >
>> Mike,
>>
>>
>> Might I kindly suggest that it is pointless trying to argue with a
> modest
>> double-digit IQ type?
>>
>> Anonimity died years ago, if not decades ago. Folks use it when they
> want
>> to flame on the Internet (or go persuing underage girls or boys).
>>
>> The original comment of "chicken#### coward" was well made, even if a
> bit
>> harsh ;)
>>
>> Sigh ...
>
> Absolutely, James.
>
> Anonyminity is for cowards and criminals. Period. Any of this
> other "I am avoiding spammers" or suggestions of avoiding online
> "stalkers" is assinine, at best.
>
> Lennie and Brain are living proof that you can be an idiot on line
> and not risk getting hurt despite deserving it soooooooo badly! =)
>
> One can use a "disposable" e mail address for defeating the
> spammers. And if you can't put your real name on a post, you obviously
> lack the strength of conviction in your own opinions, so why inflict
> them on anyone else other than to be annoying?
>
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

>

Will K4YZ ever post proof that MAN was sanctioned?
Well?

2924. When Will K4YZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 01:59:45 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-to-Hams <y@psyctohamfest.org>
Message-ID: <d1ao66$9fq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104389

post proof that K1MAN was sanctioned.

Well? We are waiting.

2925. :: :: :: :: *post 1009* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 02:02:46 UTC
From: Ron038539@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111024966.356876.189160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104390

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

2926. Re: When Will K4YZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 02:02:51 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111024971.108468.199750@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104391

Wasn't he cited last year or thereabouts for failure to have a control operator present at his station when the station was on the air (transmitting)?

I seem to recall something about a "Radio Shack timer" being mentioned in the citation...

2927. Re: N9OGL Doesn't Like His Own Poll Results...Tries A "New" Poll"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 02:13:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <SI5_d.6940$qf2.5991@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104392

"Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1111017560.941083.116090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> You really are a flippin idiot ain't you Todd? Just because someone
> has a 4
>> in his call you automatically assume he is a inbred redneck.
>
> Shades of 313 all over again?
>
> IIRC, you said something to the effect of "...never have a battle of
> wits with an UNARMED man..." a time or two on the frequency.
>
> Funny how these characters all resort to the same
> name-calling/mud-flinging/cursing/racism cr@pola every time someone
> logically maneuvers them into a corner - or calls them on their BS.
>
Yep....shades of 313. And this goofball has NO IDEA what that means.

But like I said.....it is fun to slap around a goof like him. Guess I need
to back off and let him re-load his water pistol.

Dan/W4NTI

2928. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 02:15:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ZK5_d.6943$qf2.5191@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104393

"John" <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:4238CFE9.8090107@worldnet.att.net...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>> news:1110929676.149330.167700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>K1MAN has been on he air for a long time....So will I hehehehehe
>>>
>>
>>
>> Really? I haven't heard your hero in several weeks, in fact the word
>> has it he is gone.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
> Unfortunately Dan I just heard him start up on 75 AM - as usual right on
>
> top of a station already on the frequency.
> 73
> John
>

Oh well.....I can't and don't bother, listening to him on 75. He is way too weak down here. I normally hear him during the day on 14.275. BUT he has been noticably absent recently.

Dan/W4NTI

2929. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 03:23:06 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <kKadnXewTqc6ZaXfRVn-hw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104394

Lloyd wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:FMqdnbouaoA3_qXfRVn-pw@gbronline.com...
>
>>Lloyd wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Post proof that MAN has ever *once* been sanctioned by the FCC.
>>>And his legal work is being done pro bono publico by long time
>>>professional associates of his.
>>>YOU ARE FULL OF SHIT, as usual.
>>
>>Who are these professionsl associates that are doing this pro bono stuff?
>>I think we know who is full of it.
>>
>
>
>
> Suppose we address things in the order they were brought up ok?
> First the proof that MAN was ever sanctioned by the commission?
>
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>
>

Suppose you provide some proof of these professsional asociates that you
claim are doing pro bono stuff for the idiot MAN, or is this more of
your blathering?

2930. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 03:27:04 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <kKadnXawTqcuZKXfRVn-hw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104395

N9OGL wrote:

> BATHWHOREMAN,
>
> WHAT PART DON'T YOU UNDERSTAND, I QUIT WAL-MART, AND WALMART WITH TELL
> YOU RIGHT TO YOUR FACE THE SAME THING. AS FOR THE POST IT WAS A
> MISUNDERSTANDING WHICH MY LAWYER, THE STATE OF ILLINOIS AND WALMART
> SETTLED. SO WHILE DON'T YOU QUIT DROOLING ON THE PAST AND GO BACK AND
> FUCK YOUR LITTLE BUDDIES LIKE K4YZ, CMD, BUNGHOLE CORRY, AND DAVE.
>
> ASSHOLE.
>

Grow up toddyboy.

2931. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 04:18:00 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Yx7_d.5782$qW.3320@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104396

>
> I received an off-line response that cited a lawsuit agaist the
> ARRL in "the 92-94 time frame" that cited a suit brought against the
> League. Sounds more like a labor dispute to me!
>
Something about the ARRL not wanting to run a classified ad for
a gay ham club IIRC.

2932. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 04:19:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111033153.421753.233710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104397

FROM N9OGL INVENTION WEBSITE:

I've read your post on the newsgroup, and I have a few things to say,
This system would not be hooked into Kazaa or limewire or any other p2p
network. It would be a network that only amatuer would be able to use
(like Echolink). As for Warz, Movies and Music, Movies would be hard to
download on this system because the network is "bottlenecked" at the
node site. The same would also apply to music and warz, it wouldn't
work because of the speed on the radio side and the "bottleneck" at the
site.

Mr. Obvious wrote:
> N9OGL wrote: (without citing whom he was responding to)
> > For your information you redneck, I've started another page where I
> > plan to post all my idea's and inventions........
>
> Been there; seen that. There's currently one "invention" listed which
> has absolutely NOTHING to offer the Amateur Radio service.
>
> Let me repeat here this one key point: Internet communications =!
> radiocommunications.
>
> As an aside - the "invention" relies on the use of peer-to-peer I-net
> networking run in conjunction with local packet nodes for content
> forwarding. What amateur radio packet BBS SysOp in his or her right
> mind is going to allow their station to be used as a conduit for
> traffic from things such as Kazaa, Limewire and so forth?
>
> In short, that's what was proposed.
>
> Again - Internet communications =! radiocommunications. In this case,
> there are some very, very good reasons for wanting the two kept
> separate...
>
> Tightly regulating the P2P apps which are allowed to connect into the
> nodes might be one way of addressing these concerns. But isn't this
> just a solution to a problem that doesn't exist?
>
> Let us also not forget the possibility of the network being abused as
a
> warez/music/movie distribution pipe. I for one would d@mn sure not
want
> the resultant heat THAT would generate to happen to ham radio.

2933. Re: When Will K4YZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 04:22:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111033328.414900.31150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104398

Yeah, but these morons on this newsgroup thinks the FCC is or has gone after K1MAN for his content and they have twice I believe said his "content" was in compliance with part 97

Todd n9ogl

2934. :: :: :: :: *post 1010* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 04:37:41 UTC
From: Ron038539@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111034261.496194.144060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104399

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

2935. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 04:41:17 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.idh3ct3.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 104453

On 16 Mar 2005 00:26:15 -0800, K4YZ wrote:

>I wonder how long it will take Toddie to ante up to his grandiose
>claims?

Are delusions of grandeur better than no grandeur at all ??

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2936. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 04:49:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42390CAF.8F3FB7BF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104450

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> Now we need Len Anderson to chime in with something about how
> amateur
> >> radio is predominately "white".

> >>
> >I'm not Len, but it is.
>
> True enough, Alun, just check out the ham photos in
> the last half-century's ham publications.
>
> No "profiling" qualifications needed...

That's right, Len. There are far fewer blacks than whites in amateur radio in the United States. Amateur radio in the U.S. is open to anyone.

> >Whilst it's hard to tell someone's race on air, it also seems that
>
> On "CW" noboby can tell their gender.
>
> That maybe explains why WOMEN's tees are so "cool!" :-)

Because when using CW, nobody can tell your gender? Makes as much sense as anything else you've written, I suppose.

> >Now you've really opened up a can of worms, Dave.
>
> Big badass dave LIKES worms, Alun. :-)

Yes, I do, but I'm not awfully fond of you. Now you know your relative ranking.

Dave K8MN

2937. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 04:51:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42390D17.AE0D98A1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104451

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: "bb" on Tues, Mar 15 2005 5:32 pm
>
> >Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len and
> >>Brian
> >> K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's demise
> >>are
> >> greatly exxagerated.......
> >>
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some will
> have
> >to wait for retirement.
>
> Coslonaut is still wanting a round of hearty high-fives
> for something he HAS NOT DONE YET! :-)

We'll have to have a big round of applause for the "Extra right out of the box" you have yet to attain during the course of five years.

Dave K8MN

2938. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 04:58:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42390EA6.D29E0169@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104452

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111020987.980311.169300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:

> > Just like there's nothing preventing me from picking up a quilting
> > needle if I wanted to, or starting a floral shop, etc.

> If you can stand other people considering you weird, more power to you as
> far as I'm concerned. I'm sure no one dared tell Rosie Grier (an NFL player
> for those too young to remember) that he was odd for doing needlepoint. I
> believe he even appeared in ads in women's magazines for needlepoint
> supplies.

Rosie even had a short singing career. I saw him live as part of a
"Shindig" tour in Beckley, WV in 1964 or 65. He performed on the same
bill as Jerry and the Pacemakers, The Dixie Cups, Shirley Ellis (The
Name Game) and a young, clean-shaven Willie Nelson (doing pop songs!).

Dave K8MN

2939. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 06:10:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1111039818.837762.120170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104454

From: "bb" on Wed, Mar 16 2005 4:30 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Tues, Mar 15 2005 5:32 pm
>>
>> >Michael Coslo wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len
>> >>and Brian
>> >> K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's
demise
>> >>are greatly exxagerated.......
>> >>
>> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
>> >
>> >No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some will
>> >have to wait for retirement.
>>
>> Coslonaut is still wanting a round of hearty high-fives
>> for something he HAS NOT DONE YET! :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Maybe there will still be some in "the box" when he gets around to it.

You mean the "box of cereal," the one with the
astronaut wings in it? :-)

Tsk. The "near space" thing has already been done
by "real" hams. Mikey can't claim any "pioneering"
things about that now or in the future. He still
seems to want all those congratulations for somthing
not done yet. Curious.

I'm surprised big badass dave hasn't jumped in here
yet harrumphing about getting a license or shut uppa
yo face. :-) [just call him davie] :-)

[oops, spoke too soon...:-)]

Tsk. It's not been my intention to get any amateur
license. I got a commercial license (with the FCC
on the first exam) some 49 years ago...and used that.
My advocacy is just to eliminate the morse code test.
So many sorry asses in here just don't see that.
Because they can't see that, they invent all sorts of

motives. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

If anyone wants to see what got me interested in REAL radio, there's two documents on this site:

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/

Look under "Stations" for the PDF links. Both will take about an hour to download at dial-up data rates of 50 KBPS.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2940. :: :: :: :: *post 1010* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 06:49:43 UTC
From: Ron038539@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111042183.454232.46410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104455

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

2941. :: :: :: :: *post 1010* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 09:01:42 UTC
From: Ron038539@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111050102.580691.276980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104456

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

2942. OT but Funny!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 10:33:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1111055637.196914.178260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104457

>From the reflectors:

A 'seasoned' ham, age 60 is visiting the doctor for his annual physical.

The doctor says: "I'm amazed at your good shape, what's your secret?"

The OM replies: "Well Doc, it's like this. I'm a ham operator who likes Morse Code, and that keeps me young. I get up well before dawn every day for some early morning high-speed Morse code conversations with operators around the country - that keeps my mind sharp.

Then I generally putter around my workshop building projects, which keeps my fingers and arms limber and wards off arthritis.

In the afternoon, I often climb my antenna tower for adjustments, or move heavy radio equipment around, which gives me plenty of exercise. At night, I like to read technical books and magazines which soothe my eyes, and when I'm finished, I sleep great."

The Doctor says: "That's amazing; how old was your Dad when he died?"

The OM replies: "Died!?" Who said anything about Dad dying? He's a spry man of 79, he's also a ham operator, and in fact, this morning I had my usual Morse code conversation with him and he's doing fine."

Now the Doctor is really impressed. "Well, how old was your Grandfather when he died?"

The OM replies: "Died!?" Who said anything about Grandpa dying? He's 98 and...."

Now the Doctor is getting a bit exasperated: "Don't tell me, he was on the air with you this morning also..."

OM: "Actually Doc, he usually is, but this morning he got married.":

Doc: "Got married? Why on earth would a man of 98 want to get married?"

OM: "Want to!?" Who said anything about Grandpa wanting to?...."

73 de Jim, N2EY

2943. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 10:54:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1111056850.124301.200540@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104458

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> on Mon, Mar 14 2005 6:01 pm
> >
> > >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> Dave Heil wrote:
> > >> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >> > > > bb wrote:
> > >> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > >> > Len has never come up with any proof for his assertion of ARRL
> > >> > dishonesty either.
> > >
> > >> I didn't ask for proof. Just evidence. Len has not provided
> > >> *any* evidence in either case.
> > >
> > >So people now have to have evidence for why they want an arbitrary
> > >licensing requirement?
> > >
> > Absolutely, Brian! Tsk, some of these PCTAs want to take
> > code test elimination to the highest courts in the land! :-)

One of the guiding principles of US lawmaking is that there must be a
reason for every law. IOW, evidence that a law solves or prevents a
problem.

What problem does an age requirement for a ham license solve?

> > Never mind that my source of information (and cute picture)
> > of those two "youngest hams in the world" at 6 years old was
> > the ARRL News, on their website.

The fact that some 6 year olds passed ham license tests is not
evidence of any problem. Nor is it evidence of dishonesty.

I think Len is jealous of those children.

> Jimmie wanna say I "lie."

Who is "Jimmie"? Can't be me.
>
> Your source of information for what, Leonard? Removal of morse
testing?
> Proof of ARRL dishonesty?

>
> > Jimmie

Who is "Jimmie"?

> > is of the opinion that ANY toddler (with a ham
> > license) ALWAYS behaves and could never, ever do anything
> > wrong on the air.

Well, that can't be me. I've never written anything even
remotely like that.

Also, six-year-olds aren't "toddlers". That term refers to children in
the 1-3 year old range, at most. Once again, Len
makes a telling mistake.

Len has also not provided any evidence of a problem caused by
lack of a license age requirement. His proposed age requirement
would bar people more than double the age of a six-year-old
from any class of ham license - for no reason except for Len's
admitted problems with integrating youngsters into what *he*
considers adult activities. IOW, it's *his* problem.

> > Neither do such toddlers EVER cause
> > trouble on a playground or with their parents in super-
> > markets, etc., etc., etc.

Six year olds aren't toddlers. Nor are 13 year olds.

Len behaves like a toddler in here, though. ;-) ;-)
>
> There is plenty of proof that adults cause enforcement problems in
> amateur radio. Can you come up with any proof that amateur radio ops
> who are children have caused any enforcement problems?
>
Apparently not. Thus the misdirection by Len.

> > Of course, if such code-tested kids grow up to be extras
> > then they will be beyond reproach! PCTA extras can never
> > ever be charged with ANY impropriety!
>
> Really? Have you found the mother lode of "special" mushrooms?
>
No, Len just got a new shovel.

> > Tsk, tsk. The league continues to Sin by Omission on
> > much of its "news." Such "evidence" is glaringly in
> > view by comparing REAL news sources output about an
> > event also "reported" by the league.

See?

>
> Isn't the ARRL a REAL source of amateur radio news? Have you made a

Sin
> of Commission? Glaringly in view? You're "reportedly" a
PROFESSIONAL
> writer. Tsk, tsk.
>
> > The league is the league and beyond reproach. None may
> > gainsay a thing nasty about them. The league is holy.
>
> The ARRL is just something else you in which you play no part.

Like rearing children.
>
> > By their virtue of being PCTA extras, gods of radio like
> > Jimmie KNOW EVERYTHING and what they KNOW is the TRUTH.

Who is "Jimmie"?

Perhaps it's one of Len's alter-egos, because he sure seems to consider
himself to be the above description.

>
> I thought you believed it to be the TRVTH. Do you KNOW EVERYTHING?
>
>
> > Look in other threads in this newsgroup. Jimmie KNOWS
> > about things having NOTHING to do with amateur radio
> > and doesn't hesitate to tell the world he is "right."
>
> ...and feel free to look at any number of other thread in this
newsgroup
> where you purport to KNOW all about amateur radio. Your mistake and
> factual errors are legend.
>
> > Never mind that Jimmie has NEVER been IN government or
> > in national party politics, he KNOWS it all without EVER
> > being IN government nor expressing any desire to be IN
> > government or national politics.
>
> Have you EVER been IN government, Len? Do you KNOW all about party
> politics? Have you ever stated that you'd win a political election
> "right of the box"?
>
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk...I've never claimed to be IN amateur radio
> > since I began advocating the elimination of the morse code
> > test. [over two decades ago, by the way]
>
> How very peculiar. You appoint yourself advocate for the removal of
> morse code testing for something in which you have no involvement and
> you stay with it for twenty years. In all that time, you've never
> attempted to pass an exam which would allow you to be a participant.
> Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Pot...kettle....

> > >> > > There's no good reason for a minimum age requirement
> > >> > > for an amateur
> > >> > > radio license in the USA. We have more than 90 years
> > >> > > of evidence to
> > >> > > back that up.
> > >
> > >> > You'd think that if a problem existed, it would have
> > >> > manifested itself by now.
> > >
> > >> Yep. But it hasn't. And as previously mentioned, the cb
> > >> service had such a rule, but it was not effective in
> > >> keeping that service well-behaved and law-abiding.
> > >
> > >Jim, you're unlikely to be able to reason out how an arbitrary
> > >licensing requirement will manifest itself. That is the beauty of
> > >arbitrary requirements.
> > >
> > Brian, he just CANNOT change his mind.

Can't be talking about me. I have changed my mind on many things.

> In your twenty years of self-appointed advocacy with regard to
removal
> of the morse code test in amateur radio, were you ever able to change
> your mind on the issue? If not, it would appear that you CANNOT
change
> your mind about the issue. There is evidence that you can easily
change
> your mind about that "Extra right out of the box".
>
>
> > But, we can't disturb this god of radio right now. He
> > is very busy manufacturing a mountain out of a molehill
> > right now. He wants to make an "example" of something
> > I wrote to the FCC over six years ago, possibly preparing
> > a writ for submission to the Supreme Court or something...
>
> It isn't a molehill, Leonard. You did write to the FCC in support of
a
> minimum age for entry into amateur radio. There is no indication
that
> you ever changed your mind on that subject. There as been no
disavowal
> from you.
>
Nor any evidence of problems caused by lack of an age limit, nor
evidence of dishonesty by the VEs who gave the license exams to those
six-year-olds.

Len's "logic" boils down to this:

- He thinks there's no way a six year old could pass the tests honestly. Never mind that he doesn't know the six-year-olds in question, nor has he ever passed any amateur exam, he simply KNOWS there must be "fraud" involved.

- He thinks that even though there hasn't been an age requirement for a US ham license since the very beginning of such licensing 93 years ago, such a requirement MUST be added to the rules - even though he can present not a single case of a problem or enforcement action caused by the lack of such a requirement.

I really do think he's jealous.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2944. :: :: :: :: *post 1010* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 11:13:40 UTC
From: Ron038539@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111058020.133907.242630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104459

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

2945. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 11:25:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111058731.652817.101420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104460

N9OGL wrote:
> FROM N9OGL INVENTION WEBSITE:
>
> I've read your post on the newsgroup, and I have a few things to say,
> This system would not be hooked into Kazaa or limewire or any other
p2p
> network. It would be a network that only amatuer would be able to use
> (like Echolink). As for Warz, Movies and Music, Movies would be hard
to
> download on this system because the network is "bottlenecked" at the
> node site. The same would also apply to music and warz, it wouldn't
> work because of the speed on the radio side and the "bottleneck" at
the
> site.

This is an "idea"...NOT an "invention". Guess they didn't teach
you the difference in college there, Toddie-boy! Get your money back,
quick!

And who the heck WANTS a "bottlenecked" communications
gateway...?!?!

Sheeeesh! What an IDIOT!

Steve, K4YZ

2946. Re: When Will K4YZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 11:28:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111058884.081569.302980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104461

Psychiatrist-to-Hams wrote:
> post proof that K1MAN was sanctioned.
>
> Well? We are waiting.

I never said he was "sanctioned", Anonymous Coward!

You did! I have challenged you to provide the quote wherein I
said he did!

He HAS been cited by the FCC! NAL fines have been proposed! All
of this is public domain information.

Bck under yor rock, scummy one!

Steve, K4YZ

2947. Re: When Will K4YZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 11:29:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111058984.602276.143590@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104462

Mr. Obvious wrote:
> Wasn't he cited last year or thereabouts for failure to have a
control
> operator present at his station when the station was on the air
> (transmitting)?
>
> I seem to recall something about a "Radio Shack timer" being
mentioned
> in the citation...

He has been cited for numerous failure-to-comply issues.

Toddy will be too.

Steve, K4YZ

2948. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 11:33:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111059206.255452.241460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104463

Psychiatrist-to-Hams wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111021852.641915.136440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> BATH######MAN,
> >
> > Todd...remind me who was accusing who of "badmouthing people
ona
> > nd off the air" in another post...?!?!
> >
> >> WHAT PART DON'T YOU UNDERSTAND, I QUIT WAL-MART, AND WALMART WITH
> > TELL
> >> YOU RIGHT TO YOUR FACE THE SAME THING.
> >
> > So far, Todd, you've not given us anyting that would allow us to
> > take what you say at face value as truthful or correct.
> >
> > Also, stop screaming and slow down when you write. You're an
> > idiot to start with, and you get absolutely incomprehensible when
> > you're trying to be mouthy and manly all in the same sentence.
> >
> >> AS FOR THE POST IT WAS A
> >> MISUNDERSTANDING WHICH MY LAWYER, THE STATE OF ILLINOIS AND
WALMART
> >> SETTLED. SO WHILE DON'T YOU QUIT DROOLING ON THE PAST AND GO BACK
AND
> >> #### YOUR LITTLE BUDDIES LIKE K4YZ, CMD, ####HOLE CORRY, AND DAVE.
> >
> > I am sure they "settled" it.
> >
> > You're an idiot. What's to settle?
> >
> > Wal-Mart, your "lawyer" and the State of Ill-annoyed probably
told
> > you to suck it up and act like a man....
> >
> >> ***HOLE.
> >
> > ...but I see you didn't get the message.
>
> We are still waiting for you to post proof that MAN was sanctioned.
> Well?

And I am still waiting for you to provide the quote wherein I said he was "sanctioned".

He has been cited for several failures-to-comply. This is well known.

Those failures have cited proposed NAL fines. That is well known too.

Back under your rock, Anonymous Coward!

Steve, K4YZ

2949. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 11:37:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111059433.651904.108330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104464

N9OGL wrote:
> Dam right!!! You've got to remeber Nick that most of these morons in
> this group and big fans of QRZ, a website that kick K1MAN off their
> site. Me, I would say I'm a K1MAN, he's want got me intrested in
> starting my own "opinoinated" bulletins on HF

I love a guy who tells others to "remeber" about "morons".

And who can take seriously some idiot who can't even spell
"opinionated", yet want's everyone to accept his...?!?!

Sheeeeesh...

Steve, K4YZ

2950. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 11:45:23 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111059923.050849.246520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104465

At this point it's still a solution looking for a problem.

Seriously.

MSYS, FBB and several other radio-based BBS systems will do all of what you described. With MSYS, you have the ability to serve content from callbook CDs or other mounted media - so a "Sale" or "Mods" directory could be readily served up.

"...It would be a network that only amatuer would be able to use (like Echolink)..."

Who is going to develop this app? Or is there one currently available? How do you verify that -only amateur radio operators- can access the I-net sites? IOW, what does your security model look like? Which parts of the path are to be encrypted (so as to protect login credentials, for example) and by what means will the encryption be accomplished?

I know a bit about this area, and can unequivocally say thay security in such a system would not be trivial. Nor would the potential for abuse, especially if there is any type of connection to it that can directly be reached through the Internet. Choose your operating system (platform) unwisely and the headaches become even bigger.

2951. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 11:47:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111060025.774023.271600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104466

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed, Mar 16 2005 4:30 pm

> >Maybe there will still be some in "the box" when he gets around to
it.
>
> You mean the "box of cereal," the one with the
> astronaut wings in it?

Don't worry Lennie.

Most of us gave up hope you'd ever do what you say. You've pretty
well established your lack of character. We just enjoy rubbing your
nose in it. You give us so many opportunities, afterall.

> Tsk. The "near space" thing has already been done
> by "real" hams. Mikey can't claim any "pioneering"
> things about that now or in the future. He still
> seems to want all those congratulations for somthing
> not done yet. Curious.

Guess all that hullabaloo over the recent "SpaceShip One" stuff
was silly too? "Man" has already done the suborbital thing before, yet
it seems there is still a need, even commercial opportunites, for
suborbital space travel.

Can you show us where further experimenting with hig altitude
baloons would NOT be comercially viable?

> Tsk. It's not been my intention to get any amateur
> license. I got a commercial license (with the FCC
> on the first exam) some 49 years ago...and used that.

Acknowledgement of the lie. Finally.

> My advocacy is just to eliminate the morse code test.

Then why are you in here going on about high altitude balloons?
They have nothing to do with Morse Code examinations.

> So many sorry ##### in here just don't see that.
> Because they can't see that, they invent all sorts of
> motives. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

We can see a lot, Lennie. We can see your acknowledged lie above,
and the further lie of your intent in this discussion group.

> If anyone wants to see what got me interested in
> REAL radio, there's two documents on this site:
>
> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/
>
> Look under "Stations" for the PDF links. Both will
> take about an hour to download at dial-up data
> rates of 50 KBPS.

After you've spammed the group for years with the same stories
year after year..?!?!

Sorry to hear you're on dial-up too, Lennie...Sheesh...Here I am
in Podunk, Tennessee in the fast lane, and you're still rowing upstream
in SoCal!

Go figure!

Steve, K4YZ

2952. Re: OT but Funny!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 12:26:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1111062381.984182.122440@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104467

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >From the reflectors:
>
>
> A 'seasoned' ham, age 60 is visiting the doctor for his annual
> physical.

. . . . .

Congratulations Miccolis, you've come up with the ultimate PPAP
groaner!!

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2953. Re: IS THERE ANYBODY OUT THERE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 14:01:02 UTC
From: Current User <not@thistime.org>
Message-ID: <L_mdncUHkvEDEKTfRVn-tA@giganews.com>
Source record: 104468
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.ssb,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"core_dump" <corenodumpspam0@mernocuryspamlink.net> wrote in
news:Xns9403CBB79A0DFcoredumpmercurylinkn@216.168.3.44:

> "K4-OLD-KING-ALLIGATOR" <BIG-AL@ -003894.5-LSB-75-METERS@@@BIG-AL@@@-
> 003894.5-LSB-75-METERS> wrote in news:vn84vkh742nn2b@corp.supernews.com:
>
>>
>>
>>
>
> just not if you can hear me...
>

Lid,,,,just nod if you can hear me.

2954. Re: When Will K4YZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 15:35:50 UTC
From: Lloyd <tiny@tinytennanets.org>
Message-ID: <d1c809$98c$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104470

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111058984.602276.143590@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mr. Obvious wrote:
>> Wasn't he cited last year or thereabouts for failure to have a
> control
>> operator present at his station when the station was on the air
>> (transmitting)?
>>
>> I seem to recall something about a "Radio Shack timer" being
> mentioned
>> in the citation...
>
> He has been cited for numerous failure-to-comply issues.
>
> Toddy will be too.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

He has? Well post the proof then. We are still waiting Thieve for you to
post *PROOF* that MAN has been cited. Me thinks as usual, you just
full o'Shit.
Well?

2955. Re: When Will K4YZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 15:36:28 UTC
From: Lloyd <tiny@tinytennanets.org>
Message-ID: <d1c81f$9b7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104471

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111058884.081569.302980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Psychiatrist-to-Hams wrote:
>> post proof that K1MAN was sanctioned.
>>
>> Well? We are waiting.
>
> I never said he was "sanctioned", Anonymous Coward!
>
> You did! I have challenged you to provide the quote wherein I
> said he did!
>
> He HAS been cited by the FCC! NAL fines have been proposed! All
> of this is public domain information.
>
> Bck under yor rock, scummy one!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

We are still waiting for you to post proof.
Well?

2956. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 15:37:43 UTC
From: Lloyd <tiny@tinytennanets.org>
Message-ID: <d1c83r$9eq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104473

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111059206.255452.241460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Psychiatrist-to-Hams wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1111021852.641915.136440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > N9OGL wrote:
>> >> BATH######MAN,
>> >
>> > Todd...remind me who was accusing who of "badmouthing people
> ona
>> > nd off the air" in another post...?!?!
>> >
>> >> WHAT PART DON'T YOU UNDERSTAND, I QUIT WAL-MART, AND WALMART WITH
>> > TELL
>> >> YOU RIGHT TO YOUR FACE THE SAME THING.
>> >
>> > So far, Todd, you've not given us anyting that would allow us to
>> > take what you say at face value as truthful or correct.
>> >
>> > Also, stop screaming and slow down when you write. You're an
>> > idiot to start with, and you get absolutely incomprehensible when
>> > you're trying to be mouthy and manly all in the same sentence.
>> >
>> >> AS FOR THE POST IT WAS A
>> >> MISUNDERSTANDING WHICH MY LAWYER, THE STATE OF ILLINOIS AND
> WALMART
>> >> SETTLED. SO WHILE DON'T YOU QUIT DROOLING ON THE PAST AND GO BACK
> AND
>> >> ##### YOUR LITTLE BUDDIES LIKE K4YZ, CMD, ####HOLE CORRY, AND DAVE.
>> >
>> > I am sure they "settled" it.
>> >
>> > You're an idiot. What's to settle?
>> >
>> > Wal-Mart, your "lawyer" and the State of Ill-annoyed probably
> told
>> > you to suck it up and act like a man....
>> >
>> >> ***HOLE.
>> >
>> > ...but I see you didn't get the message.
>>

>> We are still waiting for you to post proof that MAN was sanctioned.
>> Well?
>
> And I am still waiting for you to provide the quote wherein I said
> he was "sanctioned".
>
> He has been cited for several failures-to-comply. This is well
> known.
>
> Those failures have cited proposed NAL fines. That is well known
> too.
>
> Back under your rock, Anonymous Coward!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

We are still waiting for you to post proof.
Well? Or is it really true what they say about k4yz being full o'shit?

2957. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 15:38:41 UTC
From: Lloyd <tiny@tinytennanets.org>
Message-ID: <d1c85k$9i3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104472

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111059433.651904.108330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> Dam right!!! You've got to remeber Nick that most of these morons in
>> this group and big fans of QRZ, a website that kick K1MAN off their
>> site. Me, I would say I'm a K1MAN, he's want got me intrested in
>> starting my own "opinoinated" bulletins on HF
>
> I love a guy who tells others to "remeber" about "morons".
>
> And who can take seriously some idiot who can't even spell
> "opinionated", yet want's everyone to accept his...?!?!
>
> Sheeeeesh...
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

When is K4YZ going to post proof that MAN was sanctioned?
Well?

2958. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 15:40:26 UTC
From: Lloyd <tiny@tinytennanets.org>
Message-ID: <d1c88s$9ni$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104474

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111058731.652817.101420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> FROM N9OGL INVENTION WEBSITE:
>>
>> I've read your post on the newsgroup, and I have a few things to say,
>> This system would not be hooked into Kazaa or limewire or any other
> p2p
>> network. It would be a network that only amatuer would be able to use
>> (like Echolink). As for Warz, Movies and Music, Movies would be hard
> to
>> download on this system because the network is "bottlenecked" at the
>> node site. The same would also apply to music and warz, it wouldn't
>> work because of the speed on the radio side and the "bottleneck" at
> the
>> site.
>
> This is an "idea"...NOT an "invention". Guess they didn't teach
> you the difference in college there, Toddie-boy! Get your money back,
> quick!
>
> And who the heck WANTS a "bottlenecked" communications
> gateway...?!?!
>
> Sheeeesh! What an IDIOT!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

When is k4yz going to post proof that MAN was cited, fined, etc. ?
Well?

2959. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 15:41:19 UTC
From: Lloyd <tiny@tinytennanets.org>
Message-ID: <d1c8ah$9oq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104475

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.idh3ct3.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On 16 Mar 2005 00:26:15 -0800, K4YZ wrote:
>
>>I wonder how long it will take Toddie to ante up to his grandiose
>>claims?
>
> Are delusions of grandeur better than no grandeur at all ??
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

You ought to know.

73,

Lloyd

2960. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 15:42:10 UTC
From: Lloyd <tiny@tinytennanets.org>
Message-ID: <d1c8c7$9rv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104476

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111060025.774023.271600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Wed, Mar 16 2005 4:30 pm
>
>> >Maybe there will still be some in "the box" when he gets around to
> it.
>>
>> You mean the "box of cereal," the one with the
>> astronaut wings in it?
>
> Don't worry Lennie.
>
> Most of us gave up hope you'd ever do what you say. You've pretty
> well established your lack of character. We just enjoy rubbing your
> nose in it. You give us so many opportunities, afterall.
>
>> Tsk. The "near space" thing has already been done
>> by "real" hams. Mikey can't claim any "pioneering"
>> things about that now or in the future. He still
>> seems to want all those congratulations for somthing
>> not done yet. Curious.
>
> Guess all that hullabaloo over the recent "SpaceShip One" stuff
> was silly too? "Man" has already done the suborbital thing before, yet
> it seems there is still a need, even commercial opportunites, for
> suborbital space travel.
>
> Can you show us where further experimenting with hig altitude
> baloons would NOT be comercially viable?
>
>> Tsk. It's not been my intention to get any amateur
>> license. I got a commercial license (with the FCC
>> on the first exam) some 49 years ago...and used that.
>
> Acknowledgement of the lie. Finally.
>
>> My advocacy is just to eliminate the morse code test.
>
> Then why are you in here going on about high altitude balloons?
> They have nothing to do with Morse Code examinations.
>
>> So many sorry ##### in here just don't see that.

>> Because they can't see that, they invent all sorts of
>> motives. Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
> We can see a lot, Lennie. We can see your acknowledged lie above,
> and the further lie of your intent in this discussion group.
>
>> If anyone wants to see what got me interested in
>> REAL radio, there's two documents on this site:
>>
>> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/
>>
>> Look under "Stations" for the PDF links. Both will
>> take about an hour to download at dial-up data
>> rates of 50 KBPS.
>
> After you've spammed the group for years with the same stories
> year after year..?!?!
>
> Sorry to hear you're on dial-up too, Lennie...Sheesh...Here I am
> in Podunk, Tennessee in the fast lane, and you're still rowing upstream
> in SoCal!
>
> Go figure!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

When is k4yz going to post proof MAN was cited, fined, sanctioned, etc.
Well?

2961. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 15:45:34 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Lc-dnfkwVYVMO6TfRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104477

Lloyd wrote:
>
>
>
> When is k4yz going to post proof MAN was cited, fined, sanctioned, etc.
> Well?
>
>
>
When are you going to post proof of these professsional asociates that
you claim are doing pro bono stuff for the idiot MAN, or is this more of
your blathering?
Are your toddyboy's father by chance? You seem to be as big an idiot as
he is.

2962. REPOST: Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 16:26:09 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <reposted.0.d19eko$vr0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 104479

K4YZ wrote:

> The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the first
> paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's
> entirety at www.arrl.org.
>
> My question: Is this even an issue?

Kind of. There is a wide gender gap between men and women in the
engineering fields.

But working in the field, they account for 9 percent of all U.S. engineers

There is a quote that shows up at several sites:

"Women still face gender-specific obstacles when studying and preparing
for careers in engineering and other sciences. There is solid evidence
that mentoring can help address this disparity" said Muller"

http://tinyurl.com/5lm92

Despite the prevalence of the quote, I'm not sure what the disparities are.

Or they can force 'em to go to "tech camp"

http://tinyurl.com/5m82m

Interestingly enough, there are High schools that place emphasis on
science and math. The enrollment in these schools is roughly equal,
gender wise. But the female students tend to gravitate to liberal arts,
medicine and law.

see
http://tinyurl.com/3umlf

Finally, I'm not sure what to think. I've discussed the issue with a
woman engineer I work with. Interestingly enough, she says she KNEW she
wanted to be an engineer from the time she was a kid. No forcing to go
to camps. She thinks the recruitment efforts are not going to work,
because one does not pick engineering like one may pick a major from a
school catalog.

Oh, and she says she hates being called a "Woman Engineer". "Engineer"
by itself will do just fine thank you!

A sample of one, of course, but perhaps a little telling.

Maybe people who are interested in engineering get tin to it for that
reason, and people who are not into engineering do other things.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2963. REPOST: Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 16:26:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <reposted.0.1110995914.854549.62870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104478

Michael Coslo wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the first
> > paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's
> > entirety at www.arrl.org.
> >
> > My question: Is this even an issue?
>
> Kind of. There is a wide gender gap between men and women in the
> engineering fields.

Yep. Scientific fields too. Whole bunch of reasons for it.

>But working in the field, they account for 9 percent of all U.S.
engineers

Ya gotta question a raw stat with no background. What, exactly, do the
consider
a "U. S. engineer"?

Somebody with an engineering degree?
Somebody with an engineering license?
Somebody with "engineer" or "engineering" in the title?
Somebody who describes their job that way?

Or some other criteria?
>
> There is a quote that shows up at several sites:
>
> "Women still face gender-specific obstacles when studying and
preparing
> for careers in engineering and other sciences. There is solid
evidence
> that mentoring can help address this disparity" said Muller"
>
> http://tinyurl.com/5lm92
>
> Despite the prevalence of the quote, I'm not sure what the
disparities are.

Goes back to long before engineering school. When there's a choice,
more boys
than girls take math and physics. The disparity isn't as bad as it used
to be,
but it's still there. That's just one reason - there are lots more.

> Or they can force 'em to go to "tech camp"
>
> http://tinyurl.com/5m82m

Do they not want to go?

> Interestingly enough, there are High schools that place emphasis on
> science and math. The enrollment in these schools is roughly equal,
> gender wise. But the female students tend to gravitate to liberal
arts,
> medicine and law.
>
> see
> http://tinyurl.com/3umlf

Is that a problem?

It seems to me that the goal is equality of opportunity, not equality
of
outcome.

> Finally, I'm not sure what to think. I've discussed the issue with a

> woman engineer I work with. Interestingly enough, she says she KNEW
she
> wanted to be an engineer from the time she was a kid. No forcing to
go
> to camps. She thinks the recruitment efforts are not going to work,
> because one does not pick engineering like one may pick a major from
a
> school catalog.

I know several female engineers. Their reasons for choosing it vary all
over the place. Some, like your friend, wouldn't dream of doing
anything
else. Others had it suggested to them, liked the idea, and went with
it.
Some had to overcome discrimination, others never noticed any
difference.
>
> Oh, and she says she hates being called a "Woman Engineer".
"Engineer"
> by itself will do just fine thank you!

Exactly.

> A sample of one, of course, but perhaps a little telling.
>
> Maybe people who are interested in engineering get tin to it for
that
> reason, and people who are not into engineering do other things.
>
Some other reasons:

- Someone who goes into engineering will have an educational and professional life surrounded by guys and all their characteristics, good and bad. Some women have no problem with that, others would prefer a more gender-balanced situation.

- Because technology changes so fast, temporarily dropping out of active employment in many fields in order to raise a family will make you unemployable in that field. How many jobs are there for computer folks whose skills and knowledge are 5 years behind? The usual solution for fields like health care is to work part time. But their aren't many part-time engineering jobs.

- Like it or not, there *are* some gender-related differences. They may be all due to cultural forces (quite likely), or all due to genetics (extremely unlikely), or some mix, but the differences are there. And they're not going to go away overnight.

As I said before - It seems to me that the goal is equality of opportunity, not equality of outcome.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2964. Re: Low Power AM Broadcasting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 18:28:39 UTC
From: EVV <simcct@ewwsimcctnet.net>
Message-ID: <d1ci48$5ip$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"WCRV WCRV" <WCRV@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10699-4239AE32-497@storefull-3317.bay.webtv.net...
> The Low-Power AM station hobby has become more popular over the past 2
> years.
> In the U.S, it is legal to broadcast over the AM band 540kHz-1700kHz
> using a 3
> meter antenna and a 100mW transmitter.
> Until recently, building a small AM radio station was very complicated
> and very expensive, until now.
> Using a SSTRAN AMT-3000 transmitter, which comes as a kit for under
> $100.00US, or a built version which comes assembled and tested for under
> $200.00 US, and a 3 meter, coil loaded and tunable antenna for around
> $225.00 US, anyone can get on the air right now!
> I get questions about range: the system I am describing has had reports
> from 1/2 mile to over 4 miles! Range totally depends on these factors:
> location, scope of the land, conductivity, choice of
> frequency/adjacencies, and use of this antenna.
> If the transmitter and antenna are installed correctly in a proper area,
> several miles are possible. Many people are interested in a good, solid
> local signal and this system does it for a fraction of the amount of
> money you will spend for a comparable system.
> If you are interested in low power AM Broadcasting, I invite you to
> visit this site:
> http://antenna18431.tripod.com/antenna.htm
> In this site you will find information on the 3 meter antenna, pictures
> of it, information and pictures of the transmitter and a link to email
> questions, comments and inquiries to.
> If you would like to be on the air, this is an excellent choice to do it
> well, and at a very affordable price!
>

I have monitored several low-power AM stations like this in the
Philadelphia/NYC area, also Atlanta.

2965. :: :: :: :: *post 1013* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 20:47:11 UTC
From: Ron038543@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111092431.370759.191140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104481

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

2966. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 21:47:39 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Hp-dnQwrDZ0hYaTfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104482

Lloyd wrote:

>
>
>
> We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> Well? Or is it really true what they say about k4yz being full o'shit?
>

We are still waiting for you to post proof of these professsional
asociates that you claim are doing pro bono stuff for the idiot MAN. Well?
Or is it really true what they say about you being brain dead?

2967. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 21:58:41 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d1cuig$10ao$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 104483

bb wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
>> It seems to be the same with many technical careers or hobbies.
>
> There
>
>>is a lot of effort to recruit women to the engineering ranks. But not
>
>
>>that many appear to be attracted to it.
>
>
> Ditto meteorology. The American Meteorological Society had lots of
> verbiage in the BAMS and a questionaire re: "what should we do to
> attract..."
>
> Time frame was 80's as I recall. I had hoped we had gotten past all
> that by now. We've got women doctors, truck drivers, and weight
> lifters. And even a movie about women boxers. It's time to drop the
> "first woman to... whatever" stuff.
>
>
>> Perhaps we need to have tight control over this sort of thing. A
>>carreer / hobby lottery, so to speak. When you are in 6th grade, you
>>draw at random two things, a career slip and a hobby slip. And that
>
> is
>
>>what ya train for and do from then on. Strict control will have to be
>
>
>>exercised in order to enforce gender equity!
>
>
> The Gulag for the dissenters.
>
>
>> I don't know about you, but that sounds pretty goofy to me.
>>
>> That there are less women in the ARS is true enough.
>
>

> And?

Right!

> But that is
>
>>interesting from a "why is that?" standpoint.
>
>
> It's hard to tune them in on SSB.

You are correct! perhaps *there* is the discrimination! hehe

> It probably isn't so
>
>>interesting from a "how do we change Ham radio to attract more
>> women?" aspect.
>
>
> Reminds me of Larry Roll/K3LT and his "Welfare mothers of color"
> remarks.

That one was sorely troublesome.

>
>> Dee or Kim might be able to shed some light on that, in form of "Why
>> I am interested in Amateur radio" or perhaps some insight on why a lot
>> of women aren't.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Whoa! I thought we weren't allowed to take anything Kim says
> seriously? And Dee will merely spout the ARRL party line.

I have no problems with either.

And I wonder what the ARRL party lin is on "wimmin in radio"?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2968. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 22:14:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423A015F.B2A59D40@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104484

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: "bb" on Wed, Mar 16 2005 4:30 pm
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "bb" on Tues, Mar 15 2005 5:32 pm
> >>
> >> >Michael Coslo wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len
> >> >>and Brian
> >> >> K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's
> demise
> >> >>are greatly exxagerated.......
> >> >>
> >> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >> >
> >> >No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some will
> >> >have to wait for retirement.
> >>
> >> Coslonaut is still wanting a round of hearty high-fives
> >> for something he HAS NOT DONE YET! :-)
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >Maybe there will still be some in "the box" when he gets around to it.
>
> You mean the "box of cereal," the one with the
> astronaut wings in it? :-)
>
> Tsk. The "near space" thing has already been done
> by "real" hams. Mikey can't claim any "pioneering"
> things about that now or in the future.

Have you read any statment by Mike to indicate that he was looking for
pioneer status?

> He still
> seems to want all those congratulations for somthing
> not done yet. Curious.

Congrats on your upcoming "Extra right out of the box", Leonard.

> I'm surprised big badass dave hasn't jumped in here
> yet harrumphing about getting a license or shut uppa

> yo face. :-) [just call him davie] :-)

Okay, Lennie, (or should I use "little wizened Lennie"?) It is much more entertaining to let you type until your fingers bleed, about something in which you are irrelevant.

> [oops, spoke too soon...:-)]

You do that frequently. :-)

> Tsk. It's not been my intention to get any amateur
> license.

The issue of whether you have had an intention of obtaining an amateur radio license seemingly depends upon the tides. You've indicated that you want such a license. You've indicated that you don't want it. Tsk.

> I got a commercial license (with the FCC
> on the first exam) some 49 years ago...and used that.

...not for amateur radio operation, you haven't.

> My advocacy is just to eliminate the morse code test.

So you've said. In practice, you're actions and words extend far beyond that claim.

> So many sorry asses in here just don't see that.

It'd be easier to see if it happened to be true.

> Because they can't see that, they invent all sorts of
> motives. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

You have to have at least one motive. Nobody obsesses about the regulation of something in which he isn't involved over a period of twenty years. Why it would take a complete crackpot to do... Oh. Never mind.

> If anyone wants to see what got me interested in
> REAL radio, there's two documents on this site:

> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/

I appreciate your interest in REAL radio. What got you interested in amateur radio to the extent that you decided to set out to change it to something which meets with your approval?

> Look under "Stations" for the PDF links. Both will
> take about an hour to download at dial-up data
> rates of 50 KBPS.

No thanks. It is likely more of the same stuff we've read here for nearly a decade.

Dave K8MN

2969. Re: When Will K4YZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 22:23:22 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1111098202.939517.172490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104485

Lloyd wrote:

>
> We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> Well?

When it comes to proof, Steve is long on demanding, but short on
providing.

2970. Re: When Will K4YZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 22:49:03 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1d1cf$5ak$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104486

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1111098202.939517.172490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Lloyd wrote:
>
>
>>
>> We are still waiting for you to post proof.
>> Well?
>
> When it comes to proof, Steve is long on demanding, but short on
> providing.
>

We notice that.

73,

Lloyd

2971. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 22:52:51 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1d1jn$5pf$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104487

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111022827.802110.71570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> First off I just started that blog today, so aturally there isn't
> going
>> to be anthing there.
>
> "aturally" there's nothing there because you've not done what you
> claim you have.
>
>> Right now I don't have the time because I'm
>> getting ready to go school.
>
> Ahhhhhhh...I seeeeeeee! You have to to place all these posts
> announcing your new blog and DISclaiming my assertions, but you DON'T
> have time to simply say "I invented instant coffee", or "I created the
> Internet", or what ever wonderful thing it was.......
>
> Simply answering the questions on the NG would have taken you less
> than 2 minutes.
>
> You had to take at least half an hour to answer these posts and
> activate the new blog.
>
> An extremely lame diversion, Todd. My 13 year old could come up
> with a better diversion than that.
>
>> The whole purpose of that blog was because
>> some cry babies on here was calling me a liar, which I am not.
>
> You're the only cry baby, Todd, and yes, you are lying.
>
>> So if
>> you don't want to be creative my suggestion to you is stay here in
> the
>> this newsgroup with all the other dumbass appliance users.
>
> Hey Todd...YOU are the one who wants to carry on QSO's with his
> microwave oven...Not us...!!!
>
> Putz
>

> Steve, K4YZ
>

Hey Putz, when are you going to post proof k1man was cited or sanctioned?

Well?

73,

Lloyd

2972. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 22:53:36 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1d1l0$5s8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104488

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111021446.367220.101170@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote: (without citing whom he was responding to)
>
>> For your information you redneck, I've started another page where I
>> plan to post all my idea's and inventions........my question to you
> is,
>> what the have you ever invented.....Answer NOTHING, because like all
>> the other licensed CB operators on here you don't have the brains to
>> invent, only to badmouth people on and off the air.
>
> Todd...So far you've proved yourself to be the idiot that you've
> led us all to believe you are, and this was proof of it.
>
> And what have >>I<< invented? Nothing. However I've been
> decorated five times for lifesaving.
>
> That beats anything Ronco or K-Tel could sell me at 3AM, Mr
> Wizard.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

When are you going to post proof k1man was sanctioned putz?

73,

Lloyd

2973. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 22:54:09 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1d1m2$5tp$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104489

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111058731.652817.101420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> FROM N9OGL INVENTION WEBSITE:
>>
>> I've read your post on the newsgroup, and I have a few things to say,
>> This system would not be hooked into Kazaa or limewire or any other
> p2p
>> network. It would be a network that only amatuer would be able to use
>> (like Echolink). As for Warz, Movies and Music, Movies would be hard
> to
>> download on this system because the network is "bottlenecked" at the
>> node site. The same would also apply to music and warz, it wouldn't
>> work because of the speed on the radio side and the "bottleneck" at
> the
>> site.
>
> This is an "idea"...NOT an "invention". Guess they didn't teach
> you the difference in college there, Toddie-boy! Get your money back,
> quick!
>
> And who the heck WANTS a "bottlenecked" communications
> gateway...?!?!
>
> Sheeeesh! What an IDIOT!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

When is k4yz going to post proof k1man was sanctioned?

2974. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 22:55:36 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1d1oo$643$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104490

```
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110959147.043859.216060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >> Henceforth, Mike Coslo will be forever labeled with
>> >> the title of FAILURE TO REACH THE EDGE OF SPACE BY
>> >> HAM RADIO!
>> >
>> > By who?
>> >
>> > You?
>> >
>> >
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> > ! ! ! ! !! !
>> >
>> > From "The Man Who Said He Did Everything But Did Nothing At
>> > All"...!!!!!!!!!
>> >
>>
>>
>> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len and
> Brian
>> K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's demise
> are
>> greatly exxagerated.......
>
> I just wish I was closer to you, Mike...I'd be tickled to even
> help hold the launch lines on a project like that! (Remembering to let
> go at an appropriate moment, of course! =) )
>
> Seems pretty exciting to me...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
```

When is his putziness k4yz going to post proof k1man was sanctioned or cited?

2975. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-17 22:57:10 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1d1rn$69g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104491

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111020987.980311.169300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> My question: Is this even an issue?
>
> There's beeen a lot of great ansswers here, save for Lennie's
> usual attempt to be racist and chauvanistic.
>
> What I am trying to get at, though, is "so what"...?!?!
>
> Dee, Kim, don't get fussed at me...My only point here is that
> there are NO barriers to ANYone, male, female, black, white, straight,
> gay, etc etc etc getting a license.
>
> Just like there's nothing preventing me from picking up a quilting
> needle if I wanted to, or starting a floral shop, etc.
>
> I received an off-line response that cited a lawsuit agaist the
> ARRL in "the 92-94 time frame" that cited a suit brought against the
> League. Sounds more like a labor dispute to me!
>
> Does ANY organization have an "obligation" to HAVE to "recruit" on
> behalf of an avocation that many consider "just a hobby"...?!?!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

When is k4yz going to post proof k1man was sanctioned by the
commission? Me thinks k4yz is full 'o shit.

73,

Lloyd

2976. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 00:00:09 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111104009.239085.309710@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104492
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

<<>>

That's a good boy, Toddy, get all ready to go school. After school we play radios at your house. Then we get busted microwave out of twash and make twansmitter. We take it school for show and tell in special education class. We tell other peoples not to tweak the twansmitter.

2977. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 00:09:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1111104545.970182.154410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104493

From: Dave Heil on Thurs, Mar 17 2005 4:49 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> >> Now we need Len Anderson to chime in with something about how
>> >> amateur radio is predominately "white".
>
>> >>
>> >I'm not Len, but it is.
>>
>> True enough, Alun, just check out the ham photos in
>> the last half-century's ham publications.
>>
>> No "profiling" qualifications needed...
>
>That's right, Len. There are far fewer blacks than whites in amateur
>radio in the United States. Amateur radio in the U.S. is open to
>anyone.

You've "never" asked yourself (or anyone else) WHY that
is?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Mr. "equal opportunity" Heil with all
the sarcastic arrogance he can muster DOES NOT EXPLAIN
WHY U.S. amateur radio is essentially a white man's
"club." Oh, my, how hypocritical can you get?

>> >Whilst it's hard to tell someone's race on air, it also seems that
>>
>> On "CW" noboby can tell their gender.
>>
>> That maybe explains why WOMEN's tees are so "cool!" :-)
>
>Because when using CW, nobody can tell your gender? Makes as much
sense
>as anything else you've written, I suppose.

Are you THAT brain-dead or living-in-fantasy while
listening to "CW" that you CAN tell gender of the
sender?!?

What do you do on hearing that the other party is
female, raise the beep pitch with your BFO knob?!?

Jay-suss...big badass dave is hard-put to get
anything to attempt humiliation and denigration

of his "opponents." Tsk, tsk.

>> >Now you've really opened up a can of worms, Dave.
>>
>> Big badass dave LIKES worms, Alun. :-)
>
>Yes, I do, but I'm not awfully fond of you.

AWWWWWW...poor lil davie is ALL UPSET because he isn't "loved and respected?!?" He wanna "take it out" on others?

Poor guy is looking for love in all the wrong places. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

GET WITH THE PROGRAM. A discussion of anything controversial WILL have all sorts of people "you don't like."

Sunnuvagun! [as Hans likes to say...:-)]

Here's a newsflash, sweetums, it is NOT necessary to "like someone" about anything. The SUBJECT is (or should be) the discussion point...NOT the person or personality of whover YOU disagree with.

U.S. amateur radio was NOT created especially for Dave Heil to enjoy. Dave Heil has NO more control over ham radio than I do...believe it or not!

Dave Heil is NOT an FCC commissioner or staffer, does NOT "rule" anything but lines on paper pads. All of Dave Heil's "tenure" in amateur radio involves keeping his amateur license renewed. All the rest is arrogant posturing of the type of personality that needs to "control" everyone else. Dave Heil tries to "control" others by attempts at humiliation and denigration, then gets sooooooooooo upset when he gets the same (and more) tossed right back in his face. Poor baby...and he thought he was "in control." Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Does Dave Heil want a nice ARRL-south website where all can congratulate him on his long "career" as an amateur, rigidly following the league rulebook? No problem...easy thing to do ELSEWHERE. Posture and preen about yourself all you want (and you do so seem to want that) ELSEWHERE. U.S. amateur radio is NOT under YOUR control and won't be. If you want to pretend YOU control it, go ELSEWHERE.

Meanwhile, the demographics on U.S. amateur radio show de facto that it is a WHITE MEN'S CLUB. If Davie

wanna be "equal opportunity for all" (like he puts on to me), then Davie Heil ought to for damn sure go out and DO something about that. [the rest of the world does not exist to serve Davie Heil...or any PCTA] Posturing a lot of bullshit about "...it's open to all" may be the SPIN that all flag-waving, arrogant WHITE extras wanna rave about, but it's clearly NOT the case. It hasn't been the case for half a century.

Davie Heil will NOT address the de facto WHITE MEN'S CLUB other than to misdirect into personal denigration against another. To me is going to come back with that idiotic "promise" that I allegedly made...about five years ago. Tsk, tsk. I NEVER took any "oath" or "vows" that I would do such a thing. Got that, Davie? NO "oaths," "vows," or promises.

Doesn't matter on the SUBJECT whether or not I "get" a ham license. Big badass davie IS going to find some sort of personal denigration "charge" to misdirect the thread SUBJECT onto my person's alleged "faults." :-) He can't help it. He just doesn't like those having differing opinions than his.

Davie Heil has been trying to toss me off this news-group for over seven years on all sorts of specious "charges." Tsk. He's FAILED! I'm still here. Poor guy must be twins (he's beside himself).

Failure is NOT an option, Davie.

TRY to get back ON the SUBJECT...WITHOUT resorting to all the alleged "faults" of another person. I don't think you can do it.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2978. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 01:17:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1111108665.809583.284820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104494

From: N2EY <JimmieTheWonderMiccolis@IAMaHam.org> on Mar 17 2005 2:54 am

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >From: "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> on Mon, Mar 14 2005 6:01 pm
>> >>N...@AOL.COM wrote:

etc

>One of the guiding principles of US lawmaking is that there must be a
>reason for every law. IOW, evidence that a law solves or prevents a
>problem.

The LAWGIVER has spoken! :-)

>What problem does an age requirement for a ham license solve?

What FEDERAL CASE is Jimmie talking about? :-)

>The fact that some 6 year olds passed ham license tests is not
>evidence of any problem. Nor is it evidence of dishonesty.

Again, the LAWGIVER has spoken!!

>I think Len is jealous of those children.

? Sounds like Jimmie has connected his earlobes to
115 VAC again to get an "electric radio thrill."

>> Jimmie wanna say I "lie."
>
>Who is "Jimmie"? Can't be me.

Jimmie is SO YOU!

...especially with that "cool" women's tee on!

>Well, that can't be me. I've never written anything even
>remotely like that.

Riiiiight... :-)

>Also, six-year-olds aren't "toddlers". That term refers to children in
>the 1-3 year old range, at most. Once again, Len
>makes a telling mistake.

"Chicago, Chicago, that toddlin' town..."

Ever hear that song, sweetums? Or do you only listen
to music that comes from east of Ohio? :-)

"Toddlin'," a contraction of "toddler." Colloquial.

Poor Jimmie is desperate to MANUFACTURE a "fault!"

>Len has also not provided any evidence of a problem caused by
>lack of a license age requirement. His proposed age requirement
>would bar people more than double the age of a six-year-old
>from any class of ham license - for no reason except for Len's
>admitted problems with integrating youngsters into what *he*
>considers adult activities. IOW, it's *his* problem.

No, it's YOUR problem, sweetums.

I made a SUGGESTION to the FCC that they filed on
13 January 1999. I've NOT pursued that since.

But, a whole heap of ANAL-RETENTIVE PCTA extras
are trying to make a broadway production out of
that! Jay-suss, that's weird and wired.

>Apparently not. Thus the misdirection by Len.

Jimmie the Miccolis wants to resort to Personal
Denigration Misdirection again.

Tsk. I've tried to drop the whole subject of "age
requirements" and all you ANAL-RETENTIVE PCTA extras
are trying out for the Spanish Inquisition again.

>> The ARRL is just something else you in which you play no part.
>
>Like rearing children.

Tsk, been there, done that, it should NOT matter in here.

But, since it is SOOOOOOO important a history fact,
please explain how MANY CHILDREN James P. Miccolis
has "reared?" In or out of wedlock?

We all DO hope when you wrote "reared" that you meant
raising them...

Remember that "reared" has another meaning...and
something that is telling is a certain fondness for
"cool" women's tees. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>> > By their virtue of being PCTA extras, gods of radio like
>> > Jimmie KNOW EVERYTHING and what they KNOW is the TRUTH.
>
>Who is "Jimmie"?

None other than JAMES P. MICCOLIS! :-)

What does the "P" stand for? "Putz?" :-)

>> How very peculiar. You appoint yourself advocate for the removal of
>> morse code testing for something in which you have no involvement

and
>> you stay with it for twenty years. In all that time, you've never
>> attempted to pass an exam which would allow you to be a participant.
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
>Pot...kettle....

"Bill of Rights"...First Amendment of the United States
Constitution...

It is NOT necessary for anyone to be "licensed" in a
particular radio service in order to Comment to the
FCC about that radio service.

U.S. amateur radio is not a "club" where all the rules
and by-laws are set (and apparently kept forever) SOLELY
by the club members. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>> > >Jim, you're unlikely to be able to reason out how an arbitrary
>> > >licensing requirement will manifest itself. That is the beauty
of
>> > >arbitrary requirements.
>> >
>> > Brian, he just CANNOT change his mind.
>
>Can't be talking about me. I have changed my mind on many things.

Tsk. Jimmie the Who can't seem to change his mind
about DROPPING this so-called "controvrsy" over an
age requirement I made as a SUGGESTION six years ago!

However, Jimmie the Who hasn't presented any definitive
details of those things he "changed his mind" about.

>> It isn't a molehill, Leonard. You did write to the FCC in support
of a
>> minimum age for entry into amateur radio. There is no indication
that
>> you ever changed your mind on that subject. There as been no
disavowal
>> from you.
>>
>Nor any evidence of problems caused by lack of an age limit, nor
>evidence of dishonesty by the VEs who gave the license exams to those
>six-year-olds.

Tsk, Tsk, TSK! There is NO "requirement" to "disavow" anything
written to the FCC at any time on any subject!

This isn't a "federal case" up before any court, sweetums.
You are dwelling on the subject wayyyyy too long for your
own mental health.

On "disavowals:" Move your vowels every day to avoid getting
consonated...

>Len's "logic" boils down to this:

No, no, no...Jimmie the Who's ATTEMPT TO DENIGRATE AND DEFAME
someone "boils down to this," a collection of mistruths and
usual PCTA extra bullshit where they try attacking someone
who doesn't think like they do...

>- He thinks there's no way a six year old could pass the tests
>honestly. Never mind that he doesn't know the six-year-olds in
>question, nor has he ever passed any amateur exam, he simply KNOWS
>there must be "fraud" involved.

Jimmie the Who is a TERRIBLE mind-reader! FAILURE!

Jimmie the Who needs a psychiatric work-up since he can't
understand the difference between OPINIONS and FACT...nor
that OTHERS CAN HAVE EQUALLY-VALID *OPINIONS* that aren't
HIS.

>- He thinks that even though there hasn't been an age requirement for
a
>US ham license since the very beginning of such licensing 93 years
ago,
>such a requirement MUST be added to the rules - even though he can
>present not a single case of a problem or enforcement action caused by
>the lack of such a requirement.

Jimmie the Who needs a TRANQUILIZER or some such thing.
Clearly, he CANNOT take an opposite opinions to his with
his continuous resorts to personal retorts in a public
access newsgroup! :-)

Jimmie the Who must think of this as his personal blog
or something, that NO ONE can possibly have an OPINION
other than HIS righteous one. Tsk. All who think
differently are WRONG and MUST BE PUNISHED! :-)

>I really do think he's jealous.

Jimmie doesn't have his head screwed on properly! :-)

DROP this "age requirement case," Jimmie. I did. It won't
go away unless you or some other PCTA extras stop bringing
it up.

Don't waste so much bandwidth in here with your mewling
about OTHERS "doing wrong" because they have OPINIONS
differing from yours. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Quit acting like a cute six year old who just got her
ham license and picture on the ARRL website. :-)

BTW, sorry you didn't get that "cool" womsn's tee on
Ebay. Better luck next time.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2979. The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 01:43:04 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111110184.117489.31600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104495

FROM N9OGL Blog:

The N9OGL SHOW
N9OGL is planning to put his radio program on 2 meters and increase the hours. It was announced today that N9OGL was going to put his radio program on 2 meters and increase the programming from 1 hour to 5 hours a day. This will begin sometime in May or June of this year. More will be posted later.

2980. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 02:11:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111111906.317918.244560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104496

N9OGL wrote:
> FROM N9OGL Blog:
>
> The N9OGL SHOW
> N9OGL is planning to put his radio program on 2 meters and increase
the
> hours. It was announced today that N9OGL was going to put his radio
> program on 2 meters and increase the programming from 1 hour to 5
hours
> a day. This will begin sometime in May or June of this year. More
will
> be posted later.

Guess the message hasn't gotten through.

Time to but the nails.

Steve, K4YZ

2981. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 02:19:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111112377.916779.21670@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104497

Mr. Obvious wrote:
> At this point it's still a solution looking for a problem.
>
> Seriously.
>
> MSYS, FBB and several other radio-based BBS systems will do all of
what
> you described. With MSYS, you have the ability to serve content from
> callbook CDs or other mounted media - so a "Sale" or "Mods" directory
> could be readily served up.

MSYS, and FBB are good programs...old, but good, however, compared to
today software, they are outdated, and not USER FRIENDLY.

> "...It would be a network that only amatuer would be able to use
> (like Echolink)..."
>
> Who is going to develop this app? Or is there one currently
available?
> How do you verify that -only amateur radio operators- can access the
> I-net sites? IOW, what does your security model look like? Which
parts
> of the path are to be encrypted (so as to protect login credentials,
> for example) and by what means will the encryption be accomplished?

There is a lot of P2P software that is out there that is "Open Source"
Software, meaning you can downloaded a uncompiled version and program
it the way you want. So you can create filters so certain files can't
go through the system like MPEGS, and AVI files (movie files) or MP3
(Music files) I would suggest if you intrested in it to get some books
on P2P. The network would be set up like this: a Users lets say K4YZ
clicks on a icon of the P2P SOFWARE lets say it's called AMP2PNet, the
software would connect him to the network via a radio node. The P2P
nodes on the internet would be set up with either IP address or "web
address" (like what echolink has) and these would only be known to the
program and the system operator. Only amateur would only be able to
connect to this network via radio, all of the "Super Nodes" which are
on the web would be encrypted. So in other words if you wanted to uses
the system you would have to get on packet. The software would only be
handed out to amateurs and would have a callsign varifcation system.
also logging into the network you would be using your callsign to log
in (this again is only allowed over the radio)

>
> I know a bit about this area, and can unequivocally say thay security

> in such a system would not be trivial. Nor would the potential for
> abuse, especially if there is any type of connection to it that can
> directly be reached through the Internet. Choose your operating
system
> (platform) unwisely and the headaches become even bigger.

Like I stated above the only way you could log into this system would be over radio. The Super nodes which are on the internet are encrypted and the internet side is mainly a "user Backbone system" so users can connect via 2 meter---over the internet-----to another user on the radio.

Todd N9OGL

2982. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 02:25:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111112702.356712.7950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104498

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > My son is studying the concept of idioms in school. I told him
that
> > "Seven hostile actions" was an idiom on rrap, at that "K4YZ" was an
> > idiot on rrap.
>
> Perhaps I should get "equal time" so I can inform him that his
> father is known worlwide as a pathological liar.

That's the Steve I know; always trying to get some time with other
people's families.

> Google archives have the documents to support it.
>
> Shame for you, Brain, that when your son get's around to looking
> stuff up on the Internet in a few years, he'll find these exchanges
and
> be able to "follow the bread crumbs" back to the truth...about
> you...his father.
>
> Wonder what he'll think then...?!?! Better get the silver
polish
> out now so you can pray it get's THAT tarnish off!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

He'll think his father was right about you... whacko!

Best of Luck

2983. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 02:28:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111112918.266721.249310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104499

>This is an "idea"...NOT an "invention". Guess they didn't teach
>you the difference in college there, Toddie-boy! Get your money back,

>quick!

It's an idea i'm working on.....I right now working on the software,
but my skills in C++ / Java Script isn't very good so I'm going to
school to learn. but anyone who wishes to come up with some software
before me can do so.....and Oh, You have to have Idea before you come
up with an invention.....or perhaps they did teach you that down south.

>And who the heck WANTS a "bottlenecked" communications
>gateway...?!?!

It really don't have to be "bottlenecked" I know that there is some
WI-FI systems that are out there that run in our ham bands that
transmit wireless data as high as 10Mb so it can be "bottlenecked" on 2
meters or not "bottlenecked" at those higher frequencies.

Todd N9OGL

2984. Re: When Will K4YZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 02:36:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111113397.758479.48110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104500

KØHB wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:
>
>
> > >
> > > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> > > Well?
>
> When it comes to proof, Steve is long on demanding, but short on
> providing.

Ayup.

2985. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 02:42:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111113727.483116.120960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104501

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Thurs, Mar 17 2005 4:49 am

> >> >Now you've really opened up a can of worms, Dave.
> >>
> >> Big badass dave LIKES worms, Alun.
> >
> >Yes, I do, but I'm not awfully fond of you.
>
> AWWWWWW...poor lil davie is ALL UPSET because he
> isn't "loved and respected?!?" He wanna "take it
> out" on others?

No. That's YOUR schtick, Lennie.

You got "dissed" somewhere along the way in life and now you're doing your best to make life as miserable for any/everyone else you can.

> Poor guy is looking for love in all the wrong
> places. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

As opposed to a bitter old Kalifornia Ex-Technician we know who can't get ANY loving ANY where...And does his best to make sure no one will WANT to love him...

> GET WITH THE PROGRAM. A discussion of anything
> controversial WILL have all sorts of people "you
> don't like."
>
> Sunnuvagun!

As opposed to the bitter old man who isna't a part of ANY program?

> Here's a newsflash, sweetums, it is NOT necessary
> to "like someone" about anything. The SUBJECT is
> (or should be) the discussion point...NOT the
> person or personality of whover YOU disagree with.

Typos, Lennie...Watch your typos...We can see you getting MAD!

(Your "rulez", Putzster...Live with it...)

> U.S. amateur radio was NOT created especially for
> Dave Heil to enjoy. Dave Heil has NO more control
> over ham radio than I do...believe it or not!

Yes, he does.

> Meanwhile, the demographics on U.S. amateur radio
> show de facto that it is a WHITE MEN'S CLUB. If Davie
> wanna be "equal opportunity for all" (like he puts on
> to me), then Davie Heil ought to for damn sure go out
> and DO something about that. [the rest of the world
> does not exist to serve Davie Heil...or any PCTA]
> Posturing a lot of bullshit about "...it's open to all"
> may be the SPIN that all flag-waving, arrogant WHITE
> extras wanna rave about, but it's clearly NOT the
> case. It hasn't been the case for half a century.

There's no raving here, Leonard.

I demand that you cite those raves.

There is no "white man's club" here. No one, except for felons and representitives are prevented from obtaining an Amateur Radio license...Even lying ex radio technicians in Sun Valley.

> Davie Heil will NOT address the de facto WHITE MEN'S
> CLUB other than to misdirect into personal denigration
> against another. To me is going to come back with that
> idiotic "promise" that I allegedly made...about five
> years ago. Tsk, tsk. I NEVER took any "oath" or
> "vows" that I would do such a thing. Got that, Davie?
> NO "oaths," "vows," or promises.

All references to any statements he has made are rebutted with "tsk", "I never said THAT", "that was in the past" or similar disavowal of his own "word".

Then when his lies are pointed out, he tries to redirect "to the subject" or other such misdirection, claiming that he is the victim of "character assassination".

> Doesn't matter on the SUBJECT whether or not I "get"
> a ham license. Big badass davie IS going to find some
> sort of personal denigration "charge" to misdirect the
> thread SUBJECT onto my person's alleged "faults."

There is nothing "alleged" about it.

Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley California is a pathological liar. He is untrustworthy. His word is only taken with one huge grain of salt by the extremely gullible.

> Davie Heil has been trying to toss me off this news-
> group for over seven years on all sorts of specious
> "charges." Tsk. He's FAILED! I'm still here. Poor
> guy must be twins (he's beside himself).

Actually, I saw a tee shirt that kinda fits the situation, Lennie, so you may be getting a package via UPS very soon. It says:

"I AM PROOF THAT THE THREAT OF CAPITAL PUNISHMENT DOES DETER
CAPITAL CRIME" It has a "stickman" in a hangman's noose and the
international red circle with the strike across it.

> Failure is NOT an option, Davie.
>
> TRY to get back ON the SUBJECT...WITHOUT resorting to
> all the alleged "faults" of another person. I don't
> think you can do it.

You ARE a liar.

You HAVE made countless inappropriate and innaccurate statements
about Amateur Radio and Amateur Radio Operators. When those errors
have been brought to your attention (or proof asked for) you've refused
to acknowledge your error.

YOU contantly denigrate almost everyone here with allegations of
Nazi sympathies, profanities and other such childish name-calling, then
cry foul when redirected back to you.

You've bottomed out, Lennie...Whatever potential you had at being
of any value to community or avocation is long gone.

Back under the rock, scumbag.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

2986. Re: When Will K4YZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 02:46:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111113972.182827.218840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104502

KØHB wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:
>
>
> > >
> > > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> > > Well?
>
> When it comes to proof, Steve is long on demanding, but short on
> providing.

HANS!

I see it's thawed out enough up there for you to start oozing back
out from under the septic tank lid!

I see your first "comments" on your return are not to get into
some meaningful conversations, but to sling a bit of mud yourself!

The same mud you cry foul over when aimed at you...

Go figure. Don't know why I expected you to live up to your own
rhetoric....Silly me...

Steve, K4YZ

2987. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 02:51:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111114282.015053.310630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104503

Yeah, you can call up for my show....HAM COP'S AND THE MEN THAT LOVE THEM!

Todd N9OGL
"megalomaniac"

2988. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 02:54:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111114460.668722.253050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104504

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > My son is studying the concept of idioms in school. I told him
> that
> > > "Seven hostile actions" was an idiom on rrap, at that "K4YZ" was
an
> > > idiot on rrap.
> >
> > Perhaps I should get "equal time" so I can inform him that his
> > father is known worlwide as a pathological liar.
>
> That's the Steve I know; always trying to get some time with other
> people's families.

Uhhhhhhhhhh...Brain......

YOU are the one who said you were making disparging comments to
your son about me.

As heartbreaking as it may be, your son is going to have to find
out about YOU someday.

How do you think he's going to feel when he get's a chance to read
these archives some day only to find out what a deceitful, lying putz
his old man really is?

> > Google archives have the documents to support it.
> >
> > Shame for you, Brain, that when your son get's around to
looking
> > stuff up on the Internet in a few years, he'll find these exchanges
> and
> > be able to "follow the bread crumbs" back to the truth...about
> > you...his father.
> >
> > Wonder what he'll think then...?!?! Better get the silver
> polish
> > out now so you can pray it get's THAT tarnish off!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> He'll think his father was right about you... whacko!

Really?

How do you think he'll come to that conclusion after he get's a chance to follow the threads back?

You're a liar, Brian P Burke. Got caught, more than once, and now it's archived in a medium that you can't control.

> Best of Luck

No...best of luck to YOU, Brian. YOU are the one who's going to have to look his son in the eyes and explain why you acted the way you did.

Good luck on THAT one now...

Steve, K4YZ

2989. Re: Why Is Brian Burke Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 02:56:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111114566.049962.42920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104505

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > My son is studying the concept of idioms in school. I told him
> that
> > > "Seven hostile actions" was an idiom on rrap, at that "K4YZ" was
an
> > > idiot on rrap.
> >
> > Perhaps I should get "equal time" so I can inform him that his
> > father is known worlwide as a pathological liar.
>
> That's the Steve I know; always trying to get some time with other
> people's families.

Uhhhhhhhhhh...Brain......

YOU are the one who said you were making disparging comments to
your son about me. You opened the dyke, Brian...Your bust.

As heartbreaking as it may be, your son is going to have to find
out about YOU someday.

How do you think he's going to feel when he get's a chance to read
these archives some day only to find out what a deceitful, lying putz
his old man really is?

> > Google archives have the documents to support it.
> >
> > Shame for you, Brain, that when your son get's around to
looking
> > stuff up on the Internet in a few years, he'll find these exchanges
> and
> > be able to "follow the bread crumbs" back to the truth...about
> > you...his father.
> >
> > Wonder what he'll think then...?!?! Better get the silver
> polish
> > out now so you can pray it get's THAT tarnish off!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> He'll think his father was right about you... whacko!

Really?

How do you think he'll come to that conclusion after he get's a chance to follow the threads back?

You're a liar, Brian P Burke. Got caught, more than once, and now it's archived in a medium that you can't control.

> Best of Luck

No...best of luck to YOU, Brian. YOU are the one who's going to have to look his son in the eyes and explain why you acted the way you did.

Good luck on THAT one now...

Steve, K4YZ

2990. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 03:00:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111114810.802802.308520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104506

here's a respond from me to you on my website:

K4YZ: "down our collective throats via a medium that wasn't intended
for it (Amateur Radio)."

Collective throats??? what is this a Communist country, I think not! I
think you and your little commie buddies need to read some books on
indvidualism or go back to China or some other communist country.

K4YZ: "Blab your "bulletins" all you want via this blog or via Internet
outlets, but your "informational bulletins" on the 20 meter Amateur
band are just pirate broadcasts."

yo ho ho ho A PRIATE LIFE FOR ME!!!!

K4YZ: It may not happen tomorrow, or even the week after, however
sooner or later the FCC >>WILL<< be at your door, and your troubles
will have multiplied.

Doubtful, at any rate I'll fight them over it, including violating my
first amendment right, and violating the content control restrictions.
I'll fight it all the way to the Supreme Court if I have to.

K4YZ: "And we'll be laughing our collective butts off at you!"

OH STEVE, it's nice that you share butts with your friends on
rec.radio.amateur.policy

9:46 PM

Todd N9OGL

2991. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 03:01:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111114873.846114.5170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104507

N9OGL wrote:

> Like I stated above the only way you could log into this system would
> be over radio.

Which means nothing.

> The Super nodes which are on the internet are encrypted
> and the internet side is mainly a "user Backbone system" so users can
> connect via 2 meter---over the internet-----to another user on the
> radio.

Someone slap that idiot and tell him it's already been done.

Sheeeeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

2992. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 03:07:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111115243.788360.13110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104508

N9OGL wrote:

> K4YZ: "Blab your "bulletins" all you want via this blog or via
Internet
> outlets, but your "informational bulletins" on the 20 meter Amateur
> band are just pirate broadcasts."
>
> yo ho ho ho A PRIATE LIFE FOR ME!!!!

I think you'll be "yo ho ho'ing" in the shower at the county men's
detention facility, Todd.

> K4YZ: It may not happen tomorrow, or even the week after, however
> sooner or later the FCC >>WILL<< be at your door, and your troubles
> will have multiplied.
>
> Doubtful, at any rate I'll fight them over it, including violating my
> first amendment right, and violating the content control
restrictions.
> I'll fight it all the way to the Supreme Court if I have to.

And you'll lose. Instead of doing something constructive and
meaningful, you'll try to fight the same battles that have already been
established by case law.

> K4YZ: "And we'll be laughing our collective butts off at you!"
>
> OH STEVE, it's nice that you share butts with your friends on
> rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well...I guess it's better than BEING a "butt", on RRAP, 20 meters,
or your "blog".

Steve, K4YZ

2993. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 03:14:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111115669.815347.131480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104509

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:
>
> > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> > Well? Or is it really true what they say about k4yz being full
o'shit?
> >
>
> We are still waiting for you to post proof of these professsional
> asociates that you claim are doing pro bono stuff for the idiot MAN.
Well?
> Or is it really true what they say about you being brain dead?

You can never expect the mentally frail and weak-of-mind to really
do what they demand of others.

"Lloyd" and "Psychiatrist" were answered. Guess they didn't like
it. Of course when challenged to post the cites they claim I made,
THEY didn't do it. Of course it's hard to present the non-existant.
In short: They lied. (This is new...?!?!)

But that was pretty much what I expected. Lame.

Steve, K4YZ

2994. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 03:17:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111115845.038622.195300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104510

N9OGL wrote:
> Yeah, you can call up for my show....HAM COP'S AND THE MEN THAT LOVE
> THEM!

That would be you and...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2995. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 03:33:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111116801.059383.281230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104511

your the little HAM COP on here.....
todd n9ogl

2996. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 03:41:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111117263.286855.111800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104512

N9OGL wrote:
> your the little HAM COP on here.....

Oh?

Checked my wallet. No badge.

Lying again, Todd. You're not establishing a very good reputation
for character issues.

Steve, K4YZ

2997. Re: When Will K4YZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 04:12:43 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <3fGdnd3n8t4VwaffRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104521

K1MAN's lackey and lap dog Lloyd wrote:

>
>
> When are you going to post proof that k1man was sanctioned by the
> commission?

When are you going to post proof you have a brain?

2998. Re: When Will K4YZ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 04:23:15 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1dkv6$923$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104513

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111113972.182827.218840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

K�HB wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:
>
>
> >
> > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> > Well?
>
> When it comes to proof, Steve is long on demanding, but short on
> providing.

HANS!

I see it's thawed out enough up there for you to start oozing back
out from under the septic tank lid!

I see your first "comments" on your return are not to get into
some meaningful conversations, but to sling a bit of mud yourself!

The same mud you cry foul over when aimed at you...

Go figure. Don't know why I expected you to live up to your own
rhetoric....Silly me...

Steve, K4YZ

When are you going to post proof that k1man was sanctioned by the
commission?

2999. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 04:24:13 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1dl0t$958$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104514

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111113727.483116.120960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Thurs, Mar 17 2005 4:49 am
>
>> >> >Now you've really opened up a can of worms, Dave.
>> >>
>> >> Big badass dave LIKES worms, Alun.
>> >
>> >Yes, I do, but I'm not awfully fond of you.
>>
>> AWWWWWW...poor lil davie is ALL UPSET because he
>> isn't "loved and respected?!?" He wanna "take it
>> out" on others?
>
> No. That's YOUR schtick, Lennie.
>
> You got "dissed" somewhere along the way in life and now you're
> doing your best to make life as miserable for any/everyone else you
> can.
>
>> Poor guy is looking for love in all the wrong
>> places. Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
> As opposed to a bitter old Kalifornia Ex-Technician we know who
> can't get ANY loving ANY where...And does his best to make sure no one
> will WANT to love him...
>
>> GET WITH THE PROGRAM. A discussion of anything
>> controversial WILL have all sorts of people "you
>> don't like."
>>
>> Sunnuvagun!
>
> As opposed to the bitter old man who isna't a part of ANY program?
>
>> Here's a newsflash, sweetums, it is NOT necessary
>> to "like someone" about anything. The SUBJECT is
>> (or should be) the discussion point...NOT the
>> person or personality of whover YOU disagree with.
>
> Typos, Lennie...Watch your typos...We can see you getting MAD!
>

> (Your "rulez", Putzster...Live with it...)
>
>> U.S. amateur radio was NOT created especially for
>> Dave Heil to enjoy. Dave Heil has NO more control
>> over ham radio than I do...believe it or not!
>
> Yes, he does.
>
>> Meanwhile, the demographics on U.S. amateur radio
>> show de facto that it is a WHITE MEN'S CLUB. If Davie
>> wanna be "equal opportunity for all" (like he puts on
>> to me), then Davie Heil ought to for damn sure go out
>> and DO something about that. [the rest of the world
>> does not exist to serve Davie Heil...or any PCTA]
>> Posturing a lot of bullshit about "...it's open to all"
>> may be the SPIN that all flag-waving, arrogant WHITE
>> extras wanna rave about, but it's clearly NOT the
>> case. It hasn't been the case for half a century.
>
> There's no raving here, Leonard.
>
> I demand that you cite those raves.
>
> There is no "white man's club" here. No one, except for felons and
> representitives are prevented from obtaining an Amateur Radio
> license...Even lying ex radio technicians in Sun Valley.
>
>> Davie Heil will NOT address the de facto WHITE MEN'S
>> CLUB other than to misdirect into personal denigration
>> against another. To me is going to come back with that
>> idiotic "promise" that I allegedly made...about five
>> years ago. Tsk, tsk. I NEVER took any "oath" or
>> "vows" that I would do such a thing. Got that, Davie?
>> NO "oaths," "vows," or promises.
>
> All references to any statements he has made are rebutted with
> "tsk", "I never said THAT", "that was in the past" or similar disavowal
> of his own "word".
>
> Then when his lies are pointed out, he tries to redirect "to the
> subject" or other such misdirection, claiming that he is the victim of
> "character assassination".
>
>> Doesn't matter on the SUBJECT whether or not I "get"
>> a ham license. Big badass davie IS going to find some
>> sort of personal denigration "charge" to misdirect the
>> thread SUBJECT onto my person's alleged "faults."
>
> There is nothing "alleged" about it.
>

> Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley California is a pathological
> liar. He is untrustworthy. His word is only taken with one huge grain
> of salt by the extremely gullible.
>
>> Davie Heil has been trying to toss me off this news-
>> group for over seven years on all sorts of specious
>> "charges." Tsk. He's FAILED! I'm still here. Poor
>> guy must be twins (he's beside himself).
>
> Actually, I saw a tee shirt that kinda fits the situation, Lennie,
> so you may be getting a package via UPS very soon. It says:
>
> "I AM PROOF THAT THE THREAT OF CAPITAL PUNISHMENT DOES DETER
> CAPITAL CRIME" It has a "stickman" in a hangman's noose and the
> international red circle with the strike across it.
>
>> Failure is NOT an option, Davie.
>>
>> TRY to get back ON the SUBJECT...WITHOUT resorting to
>> all the alleged "faults" of another person. I don't
>> think you can do it.
>
> You ARE a liar.
>
> You HAVE made countless inappropriate and innaccurate statements
> about Amateur Radio and Amateur Radio Operators. When those errors
> have been brought to your attention (or proof asked for) you've refused
> to acknowledge your error.
>
> YOU contantly denigrate almost everyone here with allegations of
> Nazi sympathies, profanities and other such childish name-calling, then
> cry foul when redirected back to you.
>
> You've bottomed out, Lennie...Whatever potential you had at being
> of any value to community or avocation is long gone.
>
> Back under the rock, scumbag.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

When is scumbag k4yz going to post proof tht k1man was cited and
sanctioned by the fcc?

3000. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 04:25:23 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1dl33$98m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104515

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111114873.846114.5170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> Like I stated above the only way you could log into this system would
>> be over radio.
>
> Which means nothing.
>
>> The Super nodes which are on the internet are encrypted
>> and the internet side is mainly a "user Backbone system" so users can
>> connect via 2 meter---over the internet-----to another user on the
>> radio.
>
> Someone slap that idiot and tell him it's already been done.
>
> Sheeeeesh.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

When is the idiot k4yz going to post proof that k1man was cited
by the commission?

3001. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 04:26:39 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1dl5e$9dq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104516

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111115669.815347.131480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>> Lloyd wrote:
>>
>> > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
>> > Well? Or is it really true what they say about k4yz being full
> o'shit?
>> >
>>
>> We are still waiting for you to post proof of these professsional
>> asociates that you claim are doing pro bono stuff for the idiot MAN.
> Well?
>> Or is it really true what they say about you being brain dead?
>
> You can never expect the mentally frail and weak-of-mind to really
> do what they demand of others.
>
> "Lloyd" and "Psychiatrist" were answered. Guess they didn't like
> it. Of course when challenged to post the cites they claim I made,
> THEY didn't do it. Of course it's hard to present the non-existant.
> In short: They lied. (This is new...?!?!)
>
> But that was pretty much what I expected. Lame.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

When is the lamer k4yz going to post proof that k1man was cited
and sanctoned by the commission?

3002. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 04:27:39 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1dl7a$9hr$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104517

```
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111114460.668722.253050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> bb wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > bb wrote:
>> >
>> > > My son is studying the concept of idioms in school. I told him
>> that
>> > > "Seven hostile actions" was an idiom on rrap, at that "K4YZ" was
> an
>> > > idiot on rrap.
>> >
>> > Perhaps I should get "equal time" so I can inform him that his
>> > father is known worlwide as a pathological liar.
>>
>> That's the Steve I know; always trying to get some time with other
>> people's families.
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhh...Brain......
>
> YOU are the one who said you were making disparging comments to
> your son about me.
>
> As heartbreaking as it may be, your son is going to have to find
> out about YOU someday.
>
> How do you think he's going to feel when he get's a chance to read
> these archives some day only to find out what a deceitful, lying putz
> his old man really is?
>
>> > Google archives have the documents to support it.
>> >
>> > Shame for you, Brain, that when your son get's around to
> looking
>> > stuff up on the Internet in a few years, he'll find these exchanges
>> and
>> > be able to "follow the bread crumbs" back to the truth...about
>> > you...his father.
>> >
>> > Wonder what he'll think then...?!?! Better get the silver
>> polish
>> > out now so you can pray it get's THAT tarnish off!
>> >
```

>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> He'll think his father was right about you... whacko!
>
> Really?
>
> How do you think he'll come to that conclusion after he get's a
> chance to follow the threads back?
>
> You're a liar, Brian P Burke. Got caught, more than once, and now
> it's archived in a medium that you can't control.
>
>> Best of Luck
>
> No...best of luck to YOU, Brian. YOU are the one who's going to
> have to look his son in the eyes and explain why you acted the way you
> did.
>
> Good luck on THAT one now...
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

When is k4yz going to post proof k1man was cited and sanctioned
by the fcc?

3003. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 04:28:47 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1dl9f$9ot$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104518

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111115243.788360.13110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> K4YZ: "Blab your "bulletins" all you want via this blog or via
> Internet
>> outlets, but your "informational bulletins" on the 20 meter Amateur
>> band are just pirate broadcasts."
>>
>> yo ho ho ho A PRIATE LIFE FOR ME!!!!
>
> I think you'll be "yo ho ho'ing" in the shower at the county men's
> detention facility, Todd.
>
>> K4YZ: It may not happen tomorrow, or even the week after, however
>> sooner or later the FCC >>WILL<< be at your door, and your troubles
>> will have multiplied.
>>
>> Doubtful, at any rate I'll fight them over it, including violating my
>> first amendment right, and violating the content control
> restrictions.
>> I'll fight it all the way to the Supreme Court if I have to.
>
> And you'll lose. Instead of doing something constructive and
> meaningful, you'll try to fight the same battles that have already been
> established by case law.
>
>> K4YZ: "And we'll be laughing our collective butts off at you!"
>>
>> OH STEVE, it's nice that you share butts with your friends on
>> rec.radio.amateur.policy
>
> Well...I guess it's better than BEING a "butt", on RRAP, 20 meters,
> or your "blog".
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

When is the "butt" k4yz going to post proof that k1man was cited
by the commission?

3004. Re: Why Is Brian Burke Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 04:29:50 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1dlbe$9ru$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104519

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111114566.049962.42920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> bb wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > bb wrote:
>> >
>> > > My son is studying the concept of idioms in school. I told him
>> that
>> > > "Seven hostile actions" was an idiom on rrap, at that "K4YZ" was
> an
>> > > idiot on rrap.
>> >
>> > Perhaps I should get "equal time" so I can inform him that his
>> > father is known worlwide as a pathological liar.
>>
>> That's the Steve I know; always trying to get some time with other
>> people's families.
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhh...Brain......
>
> YOU are the one who said you were making disparging comments to
> your son about me. You opened the dyke, Brian...Your bust.
>
> As heartbreaking as it may be, your son is going to have to find
> out about YOU someday.
>
> How do you think he's going to feel when he get's a chance to read
> these archives some day only to find out what a deceitful, lying putz
> his old man really is?
>
>> > Google archives have the documents to support it.
>> >
>> > Shame for you, Brain, that when your son get's around to
> looking
>> > stuff up on the Internet in a few years, he'll find these exchanges
>> and
>> > be able to "follow the bread crumbs" back to the truth...about
>> > you...his father.
>> >
>> > Wonder what he'll think then...?!?! Better get the silver
>> polish
>> > out now so you can pray it get's THAT tarnish off!
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ

>>
>> He'll think his father was right about you... whacko!
>
> Really?
>
> How do you think he'll come to that conclusion after he get's a
> chance to follow the threads back?
>
> You're a liar, Brian P Burke. Got caught, more than once, and now
> it's archived in a medium that you can't control.
>
>> Best of Luck
>
> No...best of luck to YOU, Brian. YOU are the one who's going to
> have to look his son in the eyes and explain why you acted the way you
> did.
>
> Good luck on THAT one now...
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

When is k4yz going to post proof k1man was sanctoned by the
commission. Me thinks k4yz is full of shit.

3005. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 04:31:06 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1dldp$9v5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104520

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111117263.286855.111800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> your the little HAM COP on here.....
>
> Oh?
>
> Checked my wallet. No badge.
>
> Lying again, Todd. You're not establishing a very good reputation
> for character issues.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

YOU have no room to talk Mr k4yz !!!!! When are you going
to post proof k1man was cited by the fcc?

3006. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 05:35:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111124108.652853.200190@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104522

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > your the little HAM COP on here.....
>
> Oh?
>
> Checked my wallet. No badge.
>
> Lying again, Todd. You're not establishing a very good
reputation
> for character issues.

hey, I'm not the one on a newsgroup tell people how they should run
there station. A please my wizard elighten the group about K1MAN....You
seem to be the "AUTHORITY" on information bulletins / bbroadcasting

TODD N9OGL
The N9OGL Show

>
> Steve, K4YZ

3007. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 06:14:55 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <zlu_d.13620$qf2.2818@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104523

>
> The N9OGL SHOW
> N9OGL is planning to put his radio program on 2 meters and increase the
> hours.

So what city has the lucky hams who will be able to listen to
it? ;-)

3008. Re: Why Is Brian Burke Intentionally Mistruthful and Deceitful?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 09:59:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111139980.930674.18300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104524

Lloyd wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111114566.049962.42920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > bb wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > bb wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > My son is studying the concept of idioms in school. I told
him
> >> that
> >> > > "Seven hostile actions" was an idiom on rrap, at that "K4YZ"
was
> > an
> >> > > idiot on rrap.
> >> >
> >> > Perhaps I should get "equal time" so I can inform him that
his
> >> > father is known worlwide as a pathological liar.
> >>
> >> That's the Steve I know; always trying to get some time with other
> >> people's families.
> >
> > Uhhhhhhhhhh...Brain......
> >
> > YOU are the one who said you were making disparging comments to
> > your son about me. You opened the dyke, Brian...Your bust.
> >
> > As heartbreaking as it may be, your son is going to have to
find
> > out about YOU someday.
> >
> > How do you think he's going to feel when he get's a chance to
read
> > these archives some day only to find out what a deceitful, lying
putz
> > his old man really is?
> >
> >> > Google archives have the documents to support it.
> >> >
> >> > Shame for you, Brain, that when your son get's around to
> > looking
> >> > stuff up on the Internet in a few years, he'll find these
exchanges
> >> and

> >> > be able to "follow the bread crumbs" back to the truth...about
> >> > you...his father.
> >> >
> >> > Wonder what he'll think then...?!?! Better get the silver
> >> polish
> >> > out now so you can pray it get's THAT tarnish off!
> >> >
> >> > Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >> He'll think his father was right about you... whacko!
> >
> > Really?
> >
> > How do you think he'll come to that conclusion after he get's a
> > chance to follow the threads back?
> >
> > You're a liar, Brian P Burke. Got caught, more than once, and
now
> > it's archived in a medium that you can't control.
> >
> >> Best of Luck
> >
> > No...best of luck to YOU, Brian. YOU are the one who's going to
> > have to look his son in the eyes and explain why you acted the way
you
> > did.
> >
> > Good luck on THAT one now...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
>
> When is k4yz going to post proof k1man was sanctoned by the
> commission. Me thinks k4yz is full of shit.

You would...but then you've been a bad little boy and haven't been
paying attention...Again...

Steve, K4YZ

3009. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 10:16:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423AAA94.99E34B67@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104525

Lloyd wrote:

> *YOU* have no room to talk Mr k4yz !!!!! When are you going
> to post proof k1man was cited by the fcc?

from NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #29 - POSTED 06/20/91:

"Problems seem to be mounting for International Amateur Radio Network net manager Glen Baxter K1MAN. In early February he was served another Notice of Apparent Liability from the FCC. This one to the tune of $1600 for allegedly violating FCC prohibitions on broadcasting in the amateur service. The FCC contends that portions of Baxter's ham news bulletins constitute broadcasting and broadcasting announcements soliciting membership in the IARN. This brings to $3700 the amount that K1MAN may have to antie up if his appeals are turned down by the FCC and by the courts."

---

from NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #35 - POSTED 11/20/91

"FCC field Operations Bureau Chief, Richard M. Smith, has moved to stop the International Amateur Radio Network bulletins from being transmitted on the hambands. In a four page letter dated August 27th, Smith affirmed a $1500 monetary forfeiture imposed on Glen Baxter K1MAN last year by the FCC's Belfast, Maine Monitoring Station. Baxter was cited for alleged broadcasting and alleged interference caused by the broadcasts. Baxter immediately requested reconsideration by the Field Operations Bureau. He argued that his broadcasts were, in fact, FCC authorized information bulletins. He also claimed that the alleged interference was no different than that caused by the ARRL's W1AW when it takes to the air each day.
But Smith disagreed and upheld the charges and the imposed $1500 fine. As a result, K1MAN now says that he will take the matter to the full Commission. On September 29th Baxter filed a six page Petition for Review that requests the commission undertake the resolution of the issues involved in the case. Baxter has also issued a statement that says he will continue to fight even if it means taking the case to the United States Supreme Court. The government also appears to be getting ready for a long and involved legal fight."

Dave K8MN

3010. For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 10:24:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111141476.262584.178290@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104526

A simple search on Google turned up more than 8 pages of articles
on K1MAN.

Toddie's correct that "K1MAN's been around a long time. He's been
fighting the FCC since at least 1988 for as far back as I was willing
to look.

So that means the FCC has quite a few years of documentation on him
for his upcoming October 2005 renewal.

So...If Toddie wants to say "See, I can do what I want too", then
he can look at it from that angle.

I say Riley and Company has had enough and the hammer is falling.

To Toddie, I say go ahead and spend the money on legal issues if
you want...I'd rather spend them on enjoying Amateur Radio.

Of course your posts in here have pretty well set the tone for
what kind of reaction you can expect. People don't want to get
"information" from the decietful, dishonest or the lame.

You're all three.

BTW...The two of you had asked me to post where K1MAN had ever
been cited for misconduct or fines levied. Here's one right off the
top. Granted it's timed, but it was from many to choose from and
establishes the pattern.

I am sure there are far more.

Good luck, Toddie!

Steve, K4YZ

K1MAN FCC field Operations Bureau Chief, Richard M. Smith, has moved to
stop the International Amateur Radio Network bulletins from being
transmitted on the hambands. In a four page letter dated August 27th,
Smith affirmed a $1500 monetary forfeiture imposed on Glen Baxter K1MAN
last year by the FCC's Belfast, Maine Monitoring Station. Baxter was
cited for alleged broadcasting and alleged interference caused by the
broadcasts. Baxter immediately requested reconsideration by the Field
Operations Bureau. He argued that his broadcasts were, in fact, FCC
authorized information bulletins. He also claimed that the alleged
interference was no different than that caused by the ARRL's W1AW when
it takes to the air each day. But Smith disagreed and upheld the
charges and the imposed $1500 fine. As a result, K1MAN now says that he
will take the matter to the full Commission. On September 29th Baxter

filed a six page Petition for Review that requests the commission undertake the resolution of the issues involved in the case. Baxter has also issued a statement that says he will continue to fight even if it means taking the case to the United States Supreme Court. The government also appears to be getting ready for a long and involved legal fight.

3011. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 10:27:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111141628.742312.312170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104527

Lloyd wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111115243.788360.13110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >> K4YZ: "Blab your "bulletins" all you want via this blog or via
> > Internet
> >> outlets, but your "informational bulletins" on the 20 meter
Amateur
> >> band are just pirate broadcasts."
> >>
> >> yo ho ho ho A PRIATE LIFE FOR ME!!!!
> >
> > I think you'll be "yo ho ho'ing" in the shower at the county
men's
> > detention facility, Todd.
> >
> >> K4YZ: It may not happen tomorrow, or even the week after, however
> >> sooner or later the FCC >>WILL<< be at your door, and your
troubles
> >> will have multiplied.
> >>
> >> Doubtful, at any rate I'll fight them over it, including violating
my
> >> first amendment right, and violating the content control
> > restrictions.
> >> I'll fight it all the way to the Supreme Court if I have to.
> >
> > And you'll lose. Instead of doing something constructive and
> > meaningful, you'll try to fight the same battles that have already
been
> > established by case law.
> >
> >> K4YZ: "And we'll be laughing our collective butts off at you!"
> >>
> >> OH STEVE, it's nice that you share butts with your friends on
> >> rec.radio.amateur.policy
> >
> > Well...I guess it's better than BEING a "butt", on RRAP, 20
meters,
> > or your "blog".
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

> >
>
>
> When is the "butt" k4yz going to post proof that k1man was cited
> by the commission?

Done deal. A simple Google search.

I wonder if LLoydie will apologize or snivvel out.

I vote on the snivvelling.

Steve, K4YZ

3012. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 10:29:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111141745.417548.257550@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104528

```
Lloyd wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111114460.668722.253050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > bb wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > bb wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > My son is studying the concept of idioms in school. I told
him
> >> that
> >> > > "Seven hostile actions" was an idiom on rrap, at that "K4YZ"
was
> > an
> >> > > idiot on rrap.
> >> >
> >> > Perhaps I should get "equal time" so I can inform him that
his
> >> > father is known worlwide as a pathological liar.
> >>
> >> That's the Steve I know; always trying to get some time with other
> >> people's families.
> >
> > Uhhhhhhhhhh...Brain......
> >
> > YOU are the one who said you were making disparging comments to
> > your son about me.
> >
> > As heartbreaking as it may be, your son is going to have to
find
> > out about YOU someday.
> >
> > How do you think he's going to feel when he get's a chance to
read
> > these archives some day only to find out what a deceitful, lying
putz
> > his old man really is?
> >
> >> > Google archives have the documents to support it.
> >> >
> >> > Shame for you, Brain, that when your son get's around to
> > looking
> >> > stuff up on the Internet in a few years, he'll find these
exchanges
```

> >> and
> >> > be able to "follow the bread crumbs" back to the truth...about
> >> > you...his father.
> >> >
> >> > Wonder what he'll think then...?!?! Better get the silver
> >> polish
> >> > out now so you can pray it get's THAT tarnish off!
> >> >
> >> > Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >> He'll think his father was right about you... whacko!
> >
> > Really?
> >
> > How do you think he'll come to that conclusion after he get's a
> > chance to follow the threads back?
> >
> > You're a liar, Brian P Burke. Got caught, more than once, and
now
> > it's archived in a medium that you can't control.
> >
> >> Best of Luck
> >
> > No...best of luck to YOU, Brian. YOU are the one who's going to
> > have to look his son in the eyes and explain why you acted the way
you
> > did.
> >
> > Good luck on THAT one now...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
>
> When is k4yz going to post proof k1man was cited and sanctioned
> by the fcc?

Lloydie, Lloydie, LLOYDIE!

Still trying to wordsmith something into nothing at all!

Done deal. A simple Google search.

I wonder if LLoydie will apologize or snivvel out.

I vote on the snivvelling.

Steve, K4YZ

3013. Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 10:33:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111141987.712311.79960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104529

Lloyd wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111115669.815347.131480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >> Lloyd wrote:
> >>
> >> > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> >> > Well? Or is it really true what they say about k4yz being
full
> > o'shit?
> >> >
> >>
> >> We are still waiting for you to post proof of these professsional
> >> asociates that you claim are doing pro bono stuff for the idiot
MAN.
> > Well?
> >> Or is it really true what they say about you being brain dead?
> >
> > You can never expect the mentally frail and weak-of-mind to
really
> > do what they demand of others.
> >
> > "Lloyd" and "Psychiatrist" were answered. Guess they didn't
like
> > it. Of course when challenged to post the cites they claim I made,
> > THEY didn't do it. Of course it's hard to present the
non-existant.
> > In short: They lied. (This is new...?!?!)
> >
> > But that was pretty much what I expected. Lame.
>
> When is the lamer k4yz going to post proof that k1man was cited
> and sanctoned by the commission?

It's "sanctioned", Lloydie!

And still trying to wordsmith your way into the history books. In
the immortal words of one of your kinfolk..."Tsk".

Done deal. A simple Google search.

I wonder if LLoydie will apologize or snivvel out.

I vote on the snivvelling.

Steve, K4YZ

3014. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 10:34:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111142087.934626.104550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104530

Lloyd wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111114873.846114.5170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >> Like I stated above the only way you could log into this system
would
> >> be over radio.
> >
> > Which means nothing.
> >
> >> The Super nodes which are on the internet are encrypted
> >> and the internet side is mainly a "user Backbone system" so users
can
> >> connect via 2 meter---over the internet-----to another user on the
> >> radio.
> >
> > Someone slap that idiot and tell him it's already been done.
> >
> > Sheeeeesh.
>
> When is the idiot k4yz going to post proof that k1man was cited
> by the commission?

Done deal. A simple Google search.

I wonder if that idiot LLoydie will apologize or snivvel out.

I vote on the snivvelling.

Steve, K4YZ

3015. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 10:36:38 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111142198.022821.142080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104531

We're still talking about peer-to-peer software, right?

Which leads to my next question: Where in the Amateur Packet Radio service is there a need to transfer files in such a manner?
Peer-to-peer software was created to facilitate the transfer of FILES. The current iterations of most popular BBS software, on the other hand, can transfer such things as text bulletins, sale ads and so forth just fine.

"...There is a lot of P2P software that is out there that is "Open Source"
Software, meaning you can downloaded a uncompiled version and program it the way you want. So you can create filters so certain files can't go through the system like MPEGS, and AVI files (movie files) or MP3 (Music files) I would suggest if you intrested in it to get some books on P2P..."

(I just -might- know a little more than you think I do about this subject...but that's another discussion for another thread; another time.)

Back to my original thought: How does the introduction of the Internet into a ham-to-ham virtual circuit benefit -RADIO- in any way, shape or form?

I take it that you have never run a packet BBS, correct?

Are you familiar with the file-splitting and transmission capabilities of various existing user-mode software? Joe wants to share a new program with Ralph, so he splits it and uploads it to the local packet BBS, where Ralph downloads it. Acceptable thus far, right? It isn't when the program in question is copyrighted; we'll forego the file size limitations of the current RADIO-based network for purposes of this discussion. Tracking these uploads are a headache that most SysOps will gladly do without, especially if that content will land you in legal hot water.

Further, a number of PBBS SysOps incorporated I-net-based forwarding of traffic years back. A vast amount of non-ham-related 3rd-party traffic began to filter into the packet network as a result; along the circuits were several countries whose 3rd-party traffic laws were different than those of the U.S. Many nights were spent writing filters to keep the U.S.-based systems in compliance...and a system such as you propose would be subject to the same set of dynamics, if it was indeed multinational.

"....Like I stated above the only way you could log into this system would
be over radio. The Super nodes which are on the internet are encrypted and the internet side is mainly a "user Backbone system" so users can connect via 2 meter---over the internet-----to another user on the radio..."

What will all this effort net us? You're still limited by the capabilites of the end-users. I don't care if your I-net circuit consists of gigabit fiberlink; if Joe and Ralph are running 1200bps modems at their end, you're going to realize data transfer rates of no faster than 1200bps.

The current system, as is designed and implemented, works fine. Write a host mode program with a nice, pretty front end and you might sell it to people. Incorporating P2P technology into said program is - as I've stated before - a solution to a problem which simply doesn't exist.

3016. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 10:38:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111142283.849268.170630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104532

Lloyd wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111113727.483116.120960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> > YOU contantly denigrate almost everyone here with allegations
of
> > Nazi sympathies, profanities and other such childish name-calling,
then
> > cry foul when redirected back to you.
> >
> > You've bottomed out, Lennie...Whatever potential you had at
being
> > of any value to community or avocation is long gone.
> >
> > Back under the rock, scumbag.
>
> When is scumbag k4yz going to post proof tht k1man was cited and
> sanctioned by the fcc?

Oooooh! Lloydie has moved up to two syllable profanities! I am
so (not) impressed!

Same lame challenge...Still wordsmiting for some "advantage"...An
advantage un-done by Google.

Done deal. A simple Google search.

I wonder if LLoydie will apologize or snivvel out.

I vote on the snivvelling.

Steve, K4YZ

3017. K0HB, Lennie of the North, Returns To RRAP And Comes Out Slinging!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 10:46:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111142777.616730.174000@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104533

KØHB wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:
>
> > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> > Well?
>
> When it comes to proof, Steve is long on demanding, but short on
> providing.

HANS!

I see it's thawed out enough up there for you to start oozing back
out from under the septic tank lid!

I see your first "comments" on your return are not to get into
some meaningful conversations, but to sling a bit of mud yourself!

I don't know what EVER possessed me to think that you would ever
actually live up to your own rhetoric about newsgroup conduct, "not
saying anything unless you ahve something constructive to say"...

But...there you are...Lennie Of The North. No More, No Less.

Steve, K4YZ

3018. The end of war ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 10:57:36 UTC
From: fantomasse@free.fr
Message-ID: <e0e1a831.0503180257.23c21b4f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 104534

On this page

http://www.adf-tank.com/adftank/sites/fo/redir.php?c=1&g=1&m=1&u=103&l=fr_FR&k=1VfSsloR3tcACwUVuB95cWaiGRKvdn1

3019. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 11:01:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423AB518.F44DA0D3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104535

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil on Thurs, Mar 17 2005 4:49 am
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> >> Now we need Len Anderson to chime in with something about how
> >> >> amateur radio is predominately "white".
> >>
> >> >>
> >> >I'm not Len, but it is.
> >>
> >> True enough, Alun, just check out the ham photos in
> >> the last half-century's ham publications.
> >>
> >> No "profiling" qualifications needed...
> >>
> >That's right, Len. There are far fewer blacks than whites in amateur
> >radio in the United States. Amateur radio in the U.S. is open to
> >anyone.
>
> You've "never" asked yourself (or anyone else) WHY that
> is?

Why no, Len, I've never asked myself what amateur radio in the U.S. is open to anyone. Seems reasonable to me.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Mr. "equal opportunity" Heil with all
> the sarcastic arrogance he can muster DOES NOT EXPLAIN
> WHY U.S. amateur radio is essentially a white man's
> "club." Oh, my, how hypocritical can you get?

I've not been tasked with being the official explainer of things, Len. Why don't you drop the League a line. Perhaps they'll be able to provide an answer for you. Amateur radio in the United States is pretty much a white man's hobby. I attended the Washington, PA hamfest last fall. The president of the club there is black. There are several black members. The majority of the membership is white.

The Northern Panhandle ARC which meets in Wheeling is predominately white. The president of the club is John Green WB8WRQ. He is black. He doesn't have a problem with the white members. They obviously don't have a problem with him. Whites folks are a majority of the population. Blacks make up about 13% of the American population. Here in West Virginia, about 3% of our population is black.

When I lived in Sierra Leone, the majority of the Sierra Leone League of Amateur Radio was black. The minority was white. Go figure.

It was different in Botswana. The majority of radio amateurs in the country were white with a few East Indians. I was president of the Botswana Amateur Radio Society for a couple of years. Despite our best efforts in conducting licensing classes, no black locals ever came to more than one or two sessions. As soon as they figured that it wasn't a course in radio repair, they quit coming. Go figure.

> >> >Whilst it's hard to tell someone's race on air, it also seems that
> >>
> >> On "CW" noboby can tell their gender.
> >>
> >> That maybe explains why WOMEN's tees are so "cool!" :-)
> >
> >Because when using CW, nobody can tell your gender? Makes as much
> sense
> >as anything else you've written, I suppose.
>
> Are you THAT brain-dead or living-in-fantasy while
> listening to "CW" that you CAN tell gender of the
> sender?!?

You're starting to remind me of Brian Burke. You made a statement about gender in the use of CW. You followed with "That maybe explains why WOMEN's tees are so 'cool!' :-) "

My response to you followed that line. Pay attention. It sort of does away for the necessity for the rest of your rant, huh?

> What do you do on hearing that the other party is
> female, raise the beep pitch with your BFO knob?!?

See above.

> Jay-suss...big badass dave is hard-put to get
> anything to attempt humiliation and denigration
> of his "opponents." Tsk, tsk.

See above, little wizended Lennie.

> >> >Now you've really opened up a can of worms, Dave.
> >>
> >> Big badass dave LIKES worms, Alun. :-)
> >
> >Yes, I do, but I'm not awfully fond of you.
>
> AWWWWWW...poor lil davie is ALL UPSET because he
> isn't "loved and respected?!?" He wanna "take it
> out" on others?

I didn't write that I'm upset. I wrote nothing about desiring your love and respect. In fact, I find that very idea pretty icky.

> Poor guy is looking for love in all the wrong
> places. Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
> GET WITH THE PROGRAM.

I got with the program starting in 1963.

> A discussion of anything
> controversial WILL have all sorts of people "you
> don't like."

Well, this discussion has you.

> Sunnuvagun! [as Hans likes to say...:-)]
>
> Here's a newsflash, sweetums, it is NOT necessary
> to "like someone" about anything.

I'm well aware of that. Nobody may force me to like you.

> The SUBJECT is
> (or should be) the discussion point...NOT the
> person or personality of whover YOU disagree with.

Please try to remember that.

> U.S. amateur radio was NOT created especially for
> Dave Heil to enjoy.

I figured that was the case when I became interested. I found that
amateur radio had existed for decades before I came on the scene.

> Dave Heil has NO more control
> over ham radio than I do...believe it or not!

Unlike you, I have a stake in amateur radio. I am a participant in
amateur radio.

> Dave Heil is NOT an FCC commissioner or staffer,
> does NOT "rule" anything but lines on paper pads.

Neither are you an FCC Commissioner or staffer. You are not a licensed
participant in amateur radio. This week you've told us that you are not
interested in becoming a radio amateur.

> All of Dave Heil's "tenure" in amateur radio
> involves keeping his amateur license renewed.

No, most of my tenure involves operating, not worrying about keeping my
license renewed.

> All the rest is arrogant posturing of the type of
> personality that needs to "control" everyone else.

How arrogant is the posturing of the type of personality which is
uninvolved in an avocation in which he plays no part as a participant or
regulator? Talk about the need to control...

> Dave Heil tries to "control" others by attempts at
> humiliation and denigration, then gets sooooooooo
> upset when he gets the same (and more) tossed right
> back in his face. Poor baby...and he thought he
> was "in control." Tsk, tsk, tsk.

"AWWWWWW...poor lil davie is ALL UPSET because he
isn't "loved and respected?!?" He wanna "take it
out" on others?"

--Leonard H. Anderson

"Big badass dave LIKES worms, Alun. :-) "

--Leonard H. Anderson

Sorry, Len, you were saying?

> Does Dave Heil want a nice ARRL-south website where
> all can congratulate him on his long "career" as an
> amateur, rigidly following the league rulebook? No
> problem...easy thing to do ELSEWHERE.

I have no plans to be ELSEWHERE. I'm a radio amateur, posting to an
amateur radio newsgroup.

> Posture and
> preen about yourself all you want (and you do so
> seem to want that) ELSEWHERE.

I'm a radio amateur, posting to an amateur radio newsgroup. You posture
and preen here and you aren't a part of amateur radio. Who are you to
tell others to go ELSEWHERE?

> U.S. amateur radio
> is NOT under YOUR control and won't be. If you want
> to pretend YOU control it, go ELSEWHERE.

You aren't a participant in amateur radio. You have no stake in it.
You currently claim that you do not desire to become a participant. Yet
you attempt controlling of amateur radio. You wish to shape it through
having regulations relating to morse code testing and the instituting of
a minimum age requirement for licening. You haven't gone ELSEWHERE so I
think I'll stick around for the fun.

> Meanwhile, the demographics on U.S. amateur radio
> show de facto that it is a WHITE MEN'S CLUB.

No, it isn't a CLUB at all, Leonard H. It is open to all races and any
of the several genders.

> If Davie
> wanna be "equal opportunity for all" (like he puts on
> to me), then Davie Heil ought to for damn sure go out
> and DO something about that.

Is it your view that it is my personal responsibility to recruit blacks into amateur radio whether they care to participate or not, Lennie Anderson?

> [the rest of the world
> does not exist to serve Davie Heil...or any PCTA]

What does your comment have to do with my being appointed by you to recruit minorities, Lennie Anderson?

> Posturing a lot of bullshit about "...it's open to all"
> may be the SPIN that all flag-waving, arrogant WHITE
> extras wanna rave about, but it's clearly NOT the
> case. It hasn't been the case for half a century.

Amateur radio is administered by the Federal Government. There is no posturing or bullshit about it. Amateur radio is open to all. Women may participate. Minorities may participate. Participation isn't mandatory.

> Davie Heil will NOT address the de facto WHITE MEN'S
> CLUB other than to misdirect into personal denigration
> against another.

Your de facto WHITE MEN'S CLUB isn't de fact at all. Amateur radio isn't closed to any citizen. Even you, could be a participant, little wizened Lennie.

> To me is going to come back with that
> idiotic "promise" that I allegedly made...

I'm sure that in retrospect, it seems idiotic to you now. I'll bet you wish you'd never made it.

> ...about five
> years ago. Tsk, tsk. I NEVER took any "oath" or
> "vows" that I would do such a thing. Got that, Davie?
> NO "oaths," "vows," or promises.

Around here, a man is only as good as his word. Maybe it is different out there on the left coast. You've used "promise", "oath" and "vow" but I've never used those terms in describing your statement. I've used "brag" and "boast" since those words better describe it. Your "about five years ago" is quite correct. In all that time, you've not made good on your boast.

> Doesn't matter on the SUBJECT whether or not I "get"
> a ham license.

It matters to me. It speaks to your character.

> Big badass davie IS going to find some
> sort of personal denigration "charge" to misdirect the
> thread SUBJECT onto my person's alleged "faults." :-)

Little wizened Lennie loves to uses words like "denigration". Is it denigration to point out that you made your well known "Extra right out of the box" claim? Is it denigration to point to one of your factual errors?

> He can't help it. He just doesn't like those having
> differing opinions than his.

You're adding two and two and coming up with the wrong answer. Bill Sohl's opinions often differ from mine. I don't dislike Bill Sohl. I do dislike you, little old piranha.

> Davie Heil has been trying to toss me off this news-
> group for over seven years on all sorts of specious
> "charges."

Tsk. That's incorrect. I've not tried to toss the tosser. If you mean that I've made you the object of ridicule and sarcasm, that'd be correct.
Your grating, pompous manner makes you an inviting target. Weren't you the guy who just made repeated suggestions that I be ELSEWHERE?

> Tsk. He's FAILED! I'm still here. Poor
> guy must be twins (he's beside himself).

Tsk. You're still an inviting target for ridicule and sarcasm.

> Failure is NOT an option, Davie.

Then again, it isn't over, Lennie.

> TRY to get back ON the SUBJECT...WITHOUT resorting to
> all the alleged "faults" of another person. I don't
> think you can do it.

What was the subject again, Len? Was it something about my liking worms?

Dave K8MN

3020. Lennie Digs Himself In Deeper...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 11:06:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111143996.929924.27590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104536

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY <JimmieTheWonderMiccolis@IAMaHam.org> on Mar 17 2005 2:54
am

Hmmmmm.....can't seem to find that domain...

Lennie wouldn't be...LYING, would he...???

> DROP this "age requirement case," Jimmie. I did. It won't
> go away unless you or some other PCTA extras stop bringing
> it up.

Oooooooooooooooooohhhh!!!!!

AN ORDER! A DIRECT order!

Kommander Klink has spoken!

Oh wait...Isn't "Kommander Klink" how Lennie referes to Dave Heil
for HIS alleged "orders" in the group...?!?! One's Dave's never made,
by the way...?!?!

Well...Guess the shoe fits you too, Eh Your Scumminess...?!?!

Hey Lennie...Here's a clue...Until YOU go away or STOP your
deceitful, inappropriate misrepresentations of Amateur Radio in general
and many of us Amateurs in particular, we (yes..the Royal We) will
spoon feed you YOUR OWN WORDS on a daily basis.

You lie, you deceive, you misrepresent...Then you think YOU now
have some magical authority to make DEMANDS like this in the same
"unmoderated" newsgroup that YOU remind everyone that it IS
unmoderated...?!?!

BBBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> Don't waste so much bandwidth in here with your mewling
> about OTHERS "doing wrong" because they have OPINIONS
> differing from yours. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

"Tsk tsk tsk" back at ya, Lennie!

"TSK" for having repeatedly "demanded" that you be allowed to say
whatever YOU want in this forum due to it's moderation status, and then
DEMANDING that others NOT post because it's contrary to YOUR
opinion...! ! ! ! !

> Quit acting like a cute six year old who just got her
> ham license and picture on the ARRL website.

Back at ya again, Lennie...

Oh...wait...I forgot...You never HAVE taken an FCC Amateur Exam,
have you?

Well...I guess it's >>OK<< for the 6 year old to BE cute..She did
what you are incapable of...! ! ! !

> BTW, sorry you didn't get that "cool" womsn's tee on
> Ebay. Better luck next time.

What's a "womsn's tee", Lennie...?!?!

You didn't make a TYPO, did you...?!?!

Are you...?!?!..Dare I say it...MAD...?!?!? You insist that
OTHERS are "mad" or "angry" when THEY make typos...Are you subject to
the same rules?

Yet another unravelling of Lennie The Putzster's character laid to
rest...All of it thanks to HIS input...

Thanks, Lennie.

Steve, K4YZ

3021. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 11:13:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111144392.296127.314380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104537

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Dave Heil tries to "control" others by attempts at
> > humiliation and denigration, then gets sooooooooooo
> > upset when he gets the same (and more) tossed right
> > back in his face. Poor baby...and he thought he
> > was "in control." Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
> "AWWWWWW...poor lil davie is ALL UPSET because he
> isn't "loved and respected?!?" He wanna "take it
> out" on others?"
>
> --Leonard H. Anderson
>
> "Big badass dave LIKES worms, Alun. :-) "
>
> --Leonard H. Anderson
>
> Sorry, Len, you were saying?

There I was...laying on the beach in Bora Bora with Brittney Spears when BAM BAM BAM...This loud sounds wakes me from my male chauvanist near-wet dream...

And what do I find...?!?!

Dave Heil awaking me with that NAIL being hit SQUARELY on Lennie's head...AGAIN!

It was worth the early wake-up call, Dave!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3022. Re: Lennie Digs Himself In Deeper...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 11:13:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111144435.049994.166980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104538

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Dave Heil tries to "control" others by attempts at
> > humiliation and denigration, then gets sooooooooooo
> > upset when he gets the same (and more) tossed right
> > back in his face. Poor baby...and he thought he
> > was "in control." Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
> "AWWWWWW...poor lil davie is ALL UPSET because he
> isn't "loved and respected?!?" He wanna "take it
> out" on others?"
>
> --Leonard H. Anderson
>
> "Big badass dave LIKES worms, Alun. :-) "
>
> --Leonard H. Anderson
>
> Sorry, Len, you were saying?

There I was...laying on the beach in Bora Bora with Brittney Spears when BAM BAM BAM...This loud sounds wakes me from my male chauvanist near-wet dream...

And what do I find...?!?!

Dave Heil awaking me with that NAIL being hit SQUARELY on Lennie's head...AGAIN!

It was worth the early wake-up call, Dave!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3023. Re: South Africa!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 11:19:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111144743.997774.251400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104539

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY <JimmieTheWonderMiccolis@IAMaHam.org> on Mar 17 2005 2:54
am

Hmmmmm.....can't seem to find that domain...

Lennie wouldn't be...LYING, would he...???

> DROP this "age requirement case," Jimmie. I did. It won't
> go away unless you or some other PCTA extras stop bringing
> it up.

Ooooooooooooooooooohhhh!!!!!

AN ORDER! A DIRECT order!

Kommander Klink has spoken! Do you think after starting off the
post in the manner he did that he'd engender any respect for his
orders...?!?!

Hey Lennie...Here's a clue, Scumbag...Until YOU go away or STOP
your deceitful, inappropriate misrepresentations of Amateur Radio in
general and many of us Amateurs in particular, we will spoon feed you
YOUR OWN WORDS on a daily basis.

You lie, you deceive, you misrepresent...Then you think YOU now
have some magical authority to make DEMANDS like this in the same
"unmoderated" newsgroup that YOU remind everyone that it IS
unmoderated...?!?!

BBBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> Don't waste so much bandwidth in here with your mewling
> about OTHERS "doing wrong" because they have OPINIONS
> differing from yours. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

"Tsk tsk tsk" back at ya, Lennie!

"TSK" for having repeatedly "demanded" taht you be allowed to say
whatever you want in this forum due to it's moderation status, and then
DEMANDING tha others NOT post becasue it's contrary to YOUR opinion...!
! ! ! !

> Quit acting like a cute six year old who just got her
> ham license and picture on the ARRL website.

Back at ya again, Lennie...

Oh...wait...I forgot...You never HAVE taken an FCC Amateur Exam,
have you?

Well...I guess it's >>OK<< for the 6 year old to BE cute..>She did

> BTW, sorry you didn't get that "cool" womsn's tee on
> Ebay. Better luck next time.

What's a "womsn's tee", Lennie...?!?!

You didn't make a TYPO, did you...?!?!

Are you...?!?!..Dare I say it...MAD...?!?!?

Yet another unravelling of Lennie The Putzster's character laid to
rest...All of it thanks to HIS input...

Thanks, Lennie.

Steve, K4YZ

3024. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 14:02:33 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzaproofskind.net>
Message-ID: <d1emt7$d06$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104540

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:423AAA94.99E34B67@earthlink.net...
> Lloyd wrote:
>
>> *YOU* have no room to talk Mr k4yz !!!!! When are you going
>> to post proof k1man was cited by the fcc?
>
> from NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #29 - POSTED 06/20/91:
>
> "Problems seem to be mounting for International Amateur Radio
> Network net manager Glen Baxter K1MAN. In early February he was
> served another Notice of Apparent Liability from the FCC. This
> one to the tune of $1600 for allegedly violating FCC
> prohibitions on broadcasting in the amateur service. The FCC
> contends that portions of Baxter's ham news bulletins
> constitute broadcasting and broadcasting announcements
> soliciting membership in the IARN. This brings to $3700 the
> amount that K1MAN may have to antie up if his appeals are
> turned down by the FCC and by the courts."
>
> ----------
>
> from NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #35 - POSTED 11/20/91
>
> "FCC field Operations Bureau Chief, Richard M. Smith, has
> moved to stop the International Amateur Radio Network bulletins
> from being transmitted on the hambands. In a four page letter
> dated August 27th, Smith affirmed a $1500 monetary forfeiture
> imposed on Glen Baxter K1MAN last year by the FCC's Belfast,
> Maine Monitoring Station. Baxter was cited for alleged
> broadcasting and alleged interference caused by the broadcasts.
> Baxter immediately requested reconsideration by the Field
> Operations Bureau. He argued that his broadcasts were, in fact,
> FCC authorized information bulletins. He also claimed that the
> alleged interference was no different than that caused by the
> ARRL's W1AW when it takes to the air each day.
> But Smith disagreed and upheld the charges and the imposed
> $1500 fine. As a result, K1MAN now says that he will take the
> matter to the full Commission. On September 29th Baxter filed a
> six page Petition for Review that requests the commission
> undertake the resolution of the issues involved in the case.
> Baxter has also issued a statement that says he will continue
> to fight even if it means taking the case to the United States
> Supreme Court. The government also appears to be getting ready

> for a long and involved legal fight."
>
> ---
>
> Dave K8MN

The above was dismissed when MAN took the matter before the whole commission. Keep trying.

3025. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 14:08:54 UTC
From: Nick <nick@whonicknet.net>
Message-ID: <d1en94drj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104541

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111141476.262584.178290@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> A simple search on Google turned up more than 8 pages of articles
> on K1MAN.
>
> Toddie's correct that "K1MAN's been around a long time. He's been
> fighting the FCC since at least 1988 for as far back as I was willing
> to look.
>
> So that means the FCC has quite a few years of documentation on him
> for his upcoming October 2005 renewal.
>
> So...If Toddie wants to say "See, I can do what I want too", then
> he can look at it from that angle.
>
> I say Riley and Company has had enough and the hammer is falling.
>
>
> To Toddie, I say go ahead and spend the money on legal issues if
> you want...I'd rather spend them on enjoying Amateur Radio.
>
> Of course your posts in here have pretty well set the tone for
> what kind of reaction you can expect. People don't want to get
> "information" from the decietful, dishonest or the lame.
>
> You're all three.
>
> BTW...The two of you had asked me to post where K1MAN had ever
> been cited for misconduct or fines levied. Here's one right off the
> top. Granted it's timed, but it was from many to choose from and
> establishes the pattern.
>
> I am sure there are far more.
>
> Good luck, Toddie!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
> K1MAN FCC field Operations Bureau Chief, Richard M. Smith, has moved to
> stop the International Amateur Radio Network bulletins from being
> transmitted on the hambands. In a four page letter dated August 27th,
> Smith affirmed a $1500 monetary forfeiture imposed on Glen Baxter K1MAN

> last year by the FCC's Belfast, Maine Monitoring Station. Baxter was
> cited for alleged broadcasting and alleged interference caused by the
> broadcasts. Baxter immediately requested reconsideration by the Field
> Operations Bureau. He argued that his broadcasts were, in fact, FCC
> authorized information bulletins. He also claimed that the alleged
> interference was no different than that caused by the ARRL's W1AW when
> it takes to the air each day. But Smith disagreed and upheld the
> charges and the imposed $1500 fine. As a result, K1MAN now says that he
> will take the matter to the full Commission. On September 29th Baxter
> filed a six page Petition for Review that requests the commission
> undertake the resolution of the issues involved in the case. Baxter has
> also issued a statement that says he will continue to fight even if it
> means taking the case to the United States Supreme Court. The
> government also appears to be getting ready for a long and involved
> legal fight.
>

That letter is over 15 years old. Moreover, the above matter was dismissed
without prejudice when taken before the entire commission.
Keep Trying!

73,

Nick
CB operator

3026. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 15:26:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111159588.946399.267200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104542

K4YZ wrote:
> A simple search on Google turned up more than 8 pages of articles
> on K1MAN.
>
> Toddie's correct that "K1MAN's been around a long time. He's
been
> fighting the FCC since at least 1988 for as far back as I was willing
> to look.
>
> So that means the FCC has quite a few years of documentation on
him
> for his upcoming October 2005 renewal.
>
> So...If Toddie wants to say "See, I can do what I want too",
then
> he can look at it from that angle.
>
> I say Riley and Company has had enough and the hammer is
falling.
>
>
> To Toddie, I say go ahead and spend the money on legal issues if
> you want...I'd rather spend them on enjoying Amateur Radio.
>
> Of course your posts in here have pretty well set the tone for
> what kind of reaction you can expect. People don't want to get
> "information" from the decietful, dishonest or the lame.
>
> You're all three.
>
> BTW...The two of you had asked me to post where K1MAN had ever
> been cited for misconduct or fines levied. Here's one right off the
> top. Granted it's timed, but it was from many to choose from and
> establishes the pattern.
>
> I am sure there are far more.
>
> Good luck, Toddie!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
> K1MAN FCC field Operations Bureau Chief, Richard M. Smith, has moved

to
> stop the International Amateur Radio Network bulletins from being
> transmitted on the hambands. In a four page letter dated August 27th,
> Smith affirmed a $1500 monetary forfeiture imposed on Glen Baxter
K1MAN
> last year by the FCC's Belfast, Maine Monitoring Station. Baxter was
> cited for alleged broadcasting and alleged interference caused by the
> broadcasts. Baxter immediately requested reconsideration by the Field
> Operations Bureau. He argued that his broadcasts were, in fact, FCC
> authorized information bulletins. He also claimed that the alleged
> interference was no different than that caused by the ARRL's W1AW
when
> it takes to the air each day. But Smith disagreed and upheld the
> charges and the imposed $1500 fine. As a result, K1MAN now says that
he
> will take the matter to the full Commission. On September 29th Baxter
> filed a six page Petition for Review that requests the commission
> undertake the resolution of the issues involved in the case. Baxter
has
> also issued a statement that says he will continue to fight even if
it
> means taking the case to the United States Supreme Court. The
> government also appears to be getting ready for a long and involved
> legal fight.

The full commission dismissed it.....here's a an article from 2004:

ENFORCEMENT: FCC AGAIN WRITES TO K1MAN

The FCC has again written to Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, and the commissions
note
has both good and bad news for the Belgrade Lakes, Maine radio amateur.
On
the positive side, the April 14th letter informs K1MAN that a review of
his
transmissions indicates that he is complying with the Amateur Service
regulations
regarding broadcasting and bulletins and station control.

The negative? The FCC alleges that K1MAN is in violation of rules
regarding use
of his Amateur station for pecuniary interest and those regarding
deliberate
interference to ongoing communications.

Also, the letter again told Baxter that his "felony affidavit
complaints" will not be
accepted by the regulatory agency. He was also reminded that all
frequencies in
the Amateur Radio Service are shared. No frequency is assigned for the

exclusive use of any station, and that Baxter's station has no
greater rights to a
frequency at any particular time than does any other Amateur station.

NEWSLINE ~ 2004

So try again....

Todd N9OGL

3027. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 15:30:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111159825.804081.87060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104543

HERE'S ONE YOU MISSED!!! and by the way the full commission did dismiss it.

>From NEWSLINE 2004

ENFORCEMENT: FCC AGAIN WRITES TO K1MAN

The FCC has again written to Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, and the commissions note
has both good and bad news for the Belgrade Lakes, Maine radio amateur. On
the positive side, the April 14th letter informs K1MAN that a review of his
transmissions indicates that he is complying with the Amateur Service regulations
regarding broadcasting and bulletins and station control.

The negative? The FCC alleges that K1MAN is in violation of rules regarding use
of his Amateur station for pecuniary interest and those regarding deliberate
interference to ongoing communications.

Also, the letter again told Baxter that his "felony affidavit complaints" will not be
accepted by the regulatory agency. He was also reminded that all frequencies in
the Amateur Radio Service are shared. No frequency is assigned for the

exclusive use of any station, and that Baxter's station has no greater rights to a
frequency at any particular time than does any other Amateur station.

3028. Re: REPOST: Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 15:31:41 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d1es8t$p2c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 104544

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d19eko$vr0$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>
>>> The following is from the ARRL website...I brought over the first
>>>paragraphs as a starting point. The remainder may be read in it's
>>>entirety at www.arrl.org.
>>>
>>> My question: Is this even an issue?
>>
>>Kind of. There is a wide gender gap between men and women in the
>>engineering fields.
>>
>
>
> But people consider it more important than it really is. There's a gender
> gap in the other direction in many fields.

Very true. I'm a firm believer in that a person should be able to do
what they want career, regardless of (you know the list).

But there are a number of people in this world that seem to think that
the only differences between male and female is culture driven. How much
is culture driven, and how much is fundamental difference is up for
debate. But to deny any fundamental differences would almost certainly
be wrong.

>
>>But working in the field, they account for 9 percent of all U.S. engineers
>>
>>There is a quote that shows up at several sites:
>>
>>"Women still face gender-specific obstacles when studying and preparing
>>for careers in engineering and other sciences. There is solid evidence
>>that mentoring can help address this disparity" said Muller"
>>
>>http://tinyurl.com/5lm92
>>
>>Despite the prevalence of the quote, I'm not sure what the disparities
>>are.
>>
>

>
> I got into engineering in 1969 and didn't find any obstacles. So I don't
> know what the disparities are either.

The only obstacle is having to work with Engineers! ;^) But that
affects everyone considering the field..

>
>>
>>Or they can force 'em to go to "tech camp"
>>
>>http://tinyurl.com/5m82m
>>
>>
>>
>>Interestingly enough, there are High schools that place emphasis on
>>science and math. The enrollment in these schools is roughly equal, gender
>>wise. But the female students tend to gravitate to liberal arts, medicine
>>and law.
>>
>>see
>>http://tinyurl.com/3umlf
>>
>>
>>Finally, I'm not sure what to think. I've discussed the issue with a woman
>>engineer I work with. Interestingly enough, she says she KNEW she wanted
>>to be an engineer from the time she was a kid. No forcing to go to camps.
>>She thinks the recruitment efforts are not going to work, because one does
>>not pick engineering like one may pick a major from a school catalog.
>>
>
>
> I knew I wanted to do something in the math/science/technical field but
> didn't home in on engineering specifically until I was a junior in high
> school. I tend to agree that the recruitment efforts will have little
> impact as if you don't have the inclination you won't pick the field even if
> recruited. Or if you do pick it, you won't stay with it.

I think there was some mention in one of the places that I researched
about women who did get an engineering degree, but then got another in
another field such as medicine or legal counseling.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3029. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 15:43:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111160613.905195.241870@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104545

The FCC has again written to Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, and the commissions
note
has both good and bad news for the Belgrade Lakes, Maine radio amateur.

On
the positive side, the April 14th letter informs K1MAN that a review of

his
transmissions indicates that he is complying with the Amateur Service
regulations
regarding broadcasting and bulletins and station control.

So my take on this is the the full Commission got on the Enforcement
Bureau ass for violating the content control rules.

The negative? The FCC alleges that K1MAN is in violation of rules
regarding use
of his Amateur station for pecuniary interest and those regarding
deliberate
interference to ongoing communications

So they are trying something else..

Todd N9OGL

3030. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 16:22:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423B002C.3617C0A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104546

Lloyd wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:423AAA94.99E34B67@earthlink.net...
> > Lloyd wrote:
> >
> >> *YOU* have no room to talk Mr k4yz !!!!! When are you going
> >> to post proof k1man was cited by the fcc?
> >
> > from NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #29 - POSTED 06/20/91:
> >
> > "Problems seem to be mounting for International Amateur Radio
> > Network net manager Glen Baxter K1MAN. In early February he was
> > served another Notice of Apparent Liability from the FCC. This
> > one to the tune of $1600 for allegedly violating FCC...
> "
> >
> > ----------
> >
> > from NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #35 - POSTED 11/20/91
> >
> > "FCC field Operations Bureau Chief, Richard M. Smith, has
> > moved to stop the International Amateur Radio Network bulletins
> > from being transmitted on the hambands. In a four page letter
> > dated August 27th, Smith affirmed a $1500 monetary forfeiture
> > imposed on Glen Baxter K1MAN...

>
> The above was dismissed when MAN took the matter before the whole
> commission. Keep trying.

No "keep trying" to it. Perhaps you meant to ask for "proof that the
FCC dismissed" rather than proof that he'd been cited.

Dave K8MN

3031. Re: Robeson and his Sycophants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 16:30:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423B0211.21BB49DF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104547

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>
> Robeson is an example of the worst ham radio
> has to offer.

So, little anonymous friend, you discount the likes of that squirrel, Baxter and the latest offering, Todd the boy broadcaster. There are dozens and dozens who could make the ham radio bad boy's list.

> Even Phil Kane finally wised up.

Why do you remain anonymous? Do you fear prosecution, oppression or retaliation--or are you simply a muck raker?

Stand up for your convictions--or perhaps you've already stood up for your convictions.

Dave K8MN

3032. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 16:40:16 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyddaman@manisdalloyd.net>
Message-ID: <d1f04s$uj0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104548

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:423B002C.3617C0A@earthlink.net...
> Lloyd wrote:
>>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:423AAA94.99E34B67@earthlink.net...
>> > Lloyd wrote:
>> >
>> >> *YOU* have no room to talk Mr k4yz !!!!! When are you going
>> >> to post proof k1man was cited by the fcc?
>> >
>> > from NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #29 - POSTED 06/20/91:
>> >
>> > "Problems seem to be mounting for International Amateur Radio
>> > Network net manager Glen Baxter K1MAN. In early February he was
>> > served another Notice of Apparent Liability from the FCC. This
>> > one to the tune of $1600 for allegedly violating FCC...
> "
>> >
>> > --
>> >
>> > from NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #35 - POSTED 11/20/91
>> >
>> > "FCC field Operations Bureau Chief, Richard M. Smith, has
>> > moved to stop the International Amateur Radio Network bulletins
>> > from being transmitted on the hambands. In a four page letter
>> > dated August 27th, Smith affirmed a $1500 monetary forfeiture
>> > imposed on Glen Baxter K1MAN...
>
>>
>> The above was dismissed when MAN took the matter before the whole
>> commission. Keep trying.
>
> No "keep trying" to it. Perhaps you meant to ask for "proof that the
> FCC dismissed" rather than proof that he'd been cited.
>
> Dave K8MN

Keep trying.

73,

Lloyd

3033. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 16:50:43 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <X8Odnce03pB8m6bfRVn-pQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104549

N9OGL wrote:

> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>your the little HAM COP on here.....
>>
>> Oh?
>>
>> Checked my wallet. No badge.
>>
>> Lying again, Todd. You're not establishing a very good
>
> reputation
>
>>for character issues.
>
>
> hey, I'm not the one on a newsgroup tell people how they should run
> there station. A please my wizard elighten the group about K1MAN....You
> seem to be the "AUTHORITY" on information bulletins / bbroadcasting
>
>
> TODD N9OGL
> The N9OGL Show
>
>
>
>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>

You have time to be here and post all the time, when are you going to
list all of your inventions on your new page?

3034. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 18:42:19 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.idk0yj0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 104552

On 18 Mar 2005 07:43:33 -0800, N9OGL wrote:

>So my take on this is the the full Commission got on the Enforcement
>Bureau ass for violating the content control rules.

(A) There was no "Enforcement Bureau" at the time. The current
Enforcement Bureau has a lot more muscle and leverage in Commission
legal circles than the Field Operations Bureau had at that time.

(B) That was the "old" Commission where content wasn't anything
they wanted to deal with. Although I do not agree with some of
their recent decisions on broadcast media content, there's a whole
new ball game playing at the Portals nowadays.

My own two electron's worth.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3035. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 19:01:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111172396.185058.150620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104550

Simple post in here are easy...it will take time to write out my
inventions on my site.

Todd N9OGL

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>N9OGL wrote:
> >>
> >>>your the little HAM COP on here.....
> >>
> >> Oh?
> >>
> >> Checked my wallet. No badge.
> >>
> >> Lying again, Todd. You're not establishing a very good
> >
> > reputation
> >
> >>for character issues.
> >
> >
> > hey, I'm not the one on a newsgroup tell people how they should run
> > there station. A please my wizard elighten the group about
K1MAN....You
> > seem to be the "AUTHORITY" on information bulletins / bbroadcasting
> >
> >
> > TODD N9OGL
> > The N9OGL Show
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >>Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> You have time to be here and post all the time, when are you going to

> list all of your inventions on your new page?

3036. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 19:14:36 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <utCdnZuEVsZvtKbfRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104551

robert casey wrote:
>
>>
>> The N9OGL SHOW
>> N9OGL is planning to put his radio program on 2 meters and increase the
>> hours.
>
>
> So what city has the lucky hams who will be able to listen to
> it? ;-)

Doesn't matter, no one cares what toddbyboy has to rant about anyway.

3037. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 20:01:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1111176104.552349.248210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104553

From: Dave Heil <I_RULE_ham_radio.Org.Asm> on Fri, Mar 18 2005 11:01 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> From: Dave Heil on Thurs, Mar 17 2005 4:49 am
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>

>> >That's right, Len. There are far fewer blacks than whites in
amateur
>> >radio in the United States. Amateur radio in the U.S. is open to
>> >anyone.
>>
>> You've "never" asked yourself (or anyone else) WHY that
>> is?
>
>Why no, Len, I've never asked myself what amateur radio in the U.S. is
>open to anyone. Seems reasonable to me.

Tsk. The question was WHY ARE THERE SO FEW BLACKS
(african-americans) IN U.S. AMATEUR RADIO?

Stay with the program.

>I've not been tasked with being the official explainer of things, Len.

Tsk. You've "tasked yourself" busy big control person.

You seek "control" of who can post in here and who
cannot. <shrug>

>Amateur radio in the United States is pretty much a white man's hobby.

OK, agreement.

The corollary question is, "WHY IS U.S. AMATEUR RADIO SO
PREDOMINENTLY WHITE."

Let's ask a second question on demographics: WHY IS IS
PREDOMINENTLY MALE?

>The majority of the membership is white.

Don't belabor the obvious. Explain WHY.

>When I lived in Sierra Leone, the majority of the Sierra Leone League
of
>Amateur Radio was black. The minority was white. Go figure.

DOS Tip: "Sierra Leone" is NOT a state of the United States.

I can write the following:
When I lived in Japan, the majority of the population was Asian.
That doesn't pertain to the question. [do you understand the analogue or haven't you taken your Alzheimer's medications yet?]

>It was different in Botswana.

Tsk. "Botswana" isn't a state in the United States.

The question concerns the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and the hobby activity called amateur radio.

>You're starting to remind me of Brian Burke.

You have a serious problem in getting along with anyone thinking differently than you do.

You have a serious problem in discussing anything that is opposite to your own opinions.

Discussion of ALL SIDES of any question should be OPEN and NOT restricted to some little clique of elitists who self-righteously proclaim their "ownership" of some activity.

Both Brian and I have opinions OPPOSITE to yours...yet you feel compelled (perhaps obsessed) with a need to attempt denigration and/or defamation of us because we (and many others who appear from time to time) have opinions differing from yours.

>See above, little wizended Lennie.

Tsk. Attempts at name-calling. Improperly spelled.

Try to concentrate on the SUBJECT instead of name-calling those with opposite opinions.

The USA does NOT include Sierra Leone nor does it include Botswana. It includes Wheeling, West Virginia, but Wheeling is just one city out of thousands in the USA.

>I didn't write that I'm upset.

Tsk. You always SEEM so upset when encountering any opposite opinions.

>I got with the program starting in 1963.

WHAT "program?"

That can't be amateur radio. U.S. amateur radio does
not allow broadcasting. [see another thread largely
of name-calling by anonymous geeks getting their jollies
off]

Tsk. "Get with the program" is a colloquial EXPRESSION,
common in American English. :-)

Tsk, tsk. In 1963 I was working (in the USA) designing
electronics for the Grumman Intruder radar pre-flight
check-out set (Ku-band, portable battery-powered). Not
a hobby and very much involved with microwave radio.

>Well, this discussion has you.

"Has you" what? Try completing a sentence properly.

>Nobody may force me to like you.

Not a requirement, self-righteous self-professed
ruler of ham.

Tsk. You are going to have to LIVE with the fact
that many viewing your arrogant postings in here
do NOT "like you."

You MUST live with the fact that controversial subject
discussion does NOT require capitulation to the
opinions of yours...not even when you are an extremist
in self-righteousness.

[supposedly the State Department does that all the
time...called "diplomacy," something that you've NOT
displayed in any posting in here]

>> The SUBJECT is
>> (or should be) the discussion point...NOT the
>> person or personality of whover YOU disagree with.
>
>Please try to remember that.

I try to remember that, even when some ex-government
employees use phrases like "little wizended lennie"
as a response to me.

>> U.S. amateur radio was NOT created especially for
>> Dave Heil to enjoy.
>
>I figured that was the case when I became interested.

Why did you lose that realization?

>Unlike you, I have a stake in amateur radio.

A wooden one?

You feel you MUST control what all newcomers are
required to do to obtain an amateur radio license.
Why is that? What compells you to attempt acting
like you are "authority" on licensing?

There is only ONE authority on licensing radio
amateurs in the USA and that is the FCC. You are
NOT a member of the FCC.

>Neither are you an FCC Commissioner or staffer.

Tsk. You manufacture a strawman again. I've never
claimed to control radio licensing in the USA.

Since Dave Heil is NOT a member of the FCC, he does
not have ANY authority on radio amateur licensing
matters in the USA. [Sierra Leone and Botswana are
not in the USA]

>This week you've told us that you are not
>interested in becoming a radio amateur.

Irrelevant. Next week I may change my mind. [next
week I may be in a foreign country...such as
Sierra Leone or Botswana... :-)]

Becoming a LICENSED radio amateur carries with it NO
requirements of adopting a strict "life style" of
amateurism or any obeyance of some old "code" of
conduct published by a membership organization. One
does NOT need to "take vows" or "swear an oath" to
uphold some artificial traditions, jargon, patois,
standards and practices of older times. Amateur
radio is a de facto HOBBY. [it is NOT de jure a
"hobby" in regulations but that is just legislative
language cum political speak to satisfy a minority
of over-inflated traditionalist amateur lifestylers]

I am a professional in radio-electronics and have
been one since 1952. I am also a hobbyist in many
different phases of radio-electronics and have been
so involved since 1947. I have been most fortunate
to do work in many different areas of electronics and
radio and have a personal fascination with nearly all
aspects of that broad technological field.

You insist on defining "radio" as ONLY that of radio
amateurism...as YOU know it. Sorry, that isn't
acceptible to the entirety of the radio-electronics
world. Neither does anyone HAVE to "demonstrate any
interest" by becoming a LICENSED radio amateur FIRST.

>How arrogant is the posturing of the type of personality which is
>uninvolved in an avocation in which he plays no part as a participant

or
>regulator? Talk about the need to control...

Tsk. The control-freak is angry at being called out
on how he appears to all others? That is you, Dave
Heil.

The First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution states that
all U.S. citizens have the RIGHT to petition their
government for the redress of grievances. That is NOT
"posturing." It extends to EVERY law, regulation made
by the U.S. government.

The FCC regulates U.S. amateur radio. The FCC is the
final authority on what is required to obtain an amateur
radio license. The ARRL has NO such authority. Dave
Heil has NO such authority...but Dave Heil postures and
preens AS IF he did. Tsk, tsk.

>You aren't a participant in amateur radio. You have no stake in it.
>You currently claim that you do not desire to become a participant.
Yet
>you attempt controlling of amateur radio.

Tsk, tsk. Advocacy in eliminating the morse code test
for any amateur radio license is "CONTROL?!?" :-)

Sorry, control-freak Dave Heil, that's simply a REQUEST
of a citizen, similar to tens of thousands of other
citizens who REQUEST the same thing. Not only is the
code test requirement old and out-dated, the FCC has
stated as far back as 1990 that the code test does not
matter to their purpose in determining whether any
prospective amateur should be granted a license.

NOWHERE in the various Parts of Title 47 C.F.R. is there
any "requirement" to "post bans" or submit any oath of
interest or lifetime vow in becoming "a participant"
through authorized (by the FCC) licensing. Neither is
there some strange perversion of the First Amendment
that allows ONLY "participating amateurs" to rule on
regulations!

> You wish to shape it through
>having regulations relating to morse code testing and the instituting
of
>a minimum age requirement for licening.

INCORRECT. I've not pursued any "minimum age requirement"
in U.S. amateur radio since making that SUGGESTION to the
FCC in January, 1999, on the LAST page of a 14-page
Comment on docket 98-143 (see date of 13 January 1999 in
the FCC ECFS on that docket).

Tsk. A number of middle-aged PCTA extras keep bringing
that up and trying to imply it is something like Treason
Against The State! I've dropped that request, haven't
followed it through with REAL authorities, yet some
self-appointed "guardians of their turf" insist that I
am "constantly advocating age requirements!" :-)

Yes, I advocate an elimination of the morse code test.

Such will NOT affect ANY who are ALREADY LICENSED in
U.S. amateur radio! It does NOT affect their ability
to use what they ALREADY HAVE.

>No, it isn't a CLUB at all, Leonard H. It is open to all races and
any
>of the several genders.

Tsk. You FAIL to recognize the difference between
de facto from de jure.

There is NO discrimination on age or gender in the
regulations of U.S. amateur radio in Title 47 C.F.R.
[that's de jure]

There is an OBVIOUS discrimination in race throughout
the United States in regards to amateur radio
licensing...against blacks (african-americans).
[that's de facto] Observation, casual to studied,
of all amateur radio hobbyist photographs in
amateur publications of the last half century will
demonstrate that de facto condition.

There is a milder "discrimination" (of a sort) against
females in LICENSED amateur radio. That's also been
OBVIOUS but hasn't been much publicized since Harker's
informal study presented on the ARRL website.

>Is it your view that it is my personal responsibility to recruit
blacks
>into amateur radio whether they care to participate or not, Lennie
>Anderson?

Tsk. I just asked a question. Can you EXPLAIN the
de facto condition of U.S. amateur radio being a
WHITE MAN'S CLUB?

>What does your comment have to do with my being appointed by you to
>recruit minorities, Lennie Anderson?

Well, DAVIE Heil, I've NEVER taken on some self-
appointed "authority" to "tell you what to do!"

[I cannot perform miracles...]

Considering your manner of "recruiting" ANYONE, you
are rather deficient in such a "recruiting" task.

>Amateur radio is administered by the Federal Government.

Tsk. It is REGULATED by the federal government.

>Minorities may participate. Participation isn't
>mandatory.

Tsk, Tsk, TSK! DAVIE Heil has insisted and insisted
that ONLY those who "participate" in rule-making of
amateur radio MUST ALREADY have an amateur radio
license!

DAVIE Heil is acting the hypocrite.

>Your de facto WHITE MEN'S CLUB isn't de fact at all.

[baaaad imitation of Amos or Andy... :-)]

Tsk. You keep mixing up "de facto" with "de jure."

Those differences have been explained to you. Try
to keep up with your self-education.

>Even you, could be a participant, little wizened Lennie.

Tsk. More of the "diplomatic charm" picked up while
"living in Sierra Leone or Botswana?" :-)

>I'm sure that in retrospect, it seems idiotic to you now. I'll bet
you
>wish you'd never made it.

No problem to me. I may say the same thing again. Or,
I may not. :-)

I'll be more specific. I do NOT intend to do so
this week. :-)

>Around here, a man is only as good as his word.

"Around there" is NOT the center of the universe nor
of any "center of ethical/moral virtue." :-)

>Maybe it is different out there on the left coast.

Where is this "left coast?" :-)

I live in the southwestern part of the contiguous
United States of America.

In fact, the state of California is, by far, the
most populous state in the union.

If you try for more of such disparaging geographic
comments, I'd say you were so unable to reply

that you've resorted to self-appointed BIGOTRY on
a personal insult level. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>Little wizened Lennie loves to uses words like "denigration". Is it
>denigration to point out that you made your well known "Extra right
out
>of the box" claim? Is it denigration to point to one of your factual
>errors?

Tsk. More "diplomatic charm" with the phrase "little
wizened Lennie?" :-)

"Well known claim?" :-)

No problem to me. I may say the same thing again. Or
not.

I've taken and passed MANY "tests" in my career of a
half century in radio-electronics. MOST of them were
much more difficult than ANY amateur radio "exam"
given since 1952. I've tested, operated, DESIGNED
radio equipment of equal and FAR-more-complex nature
than amateur radio equipment. No problem to me to
take a little hobby-radio test. I just don't choose
to do so this week. :-)

I'm in a computer network newsgroup. That is NOT
amateur radio activity. No license is required. Yet
DAVIE Heil (who likes to beat up on "little wizened"
people - of his imagination) thinks I MUST have an
amateur radio license to be IN this newsgroup! :-)

>You're adding two and two and coming up with the wrong answer. Bill
>Sohl's opinions often differ from mine. I don't dislike Bill Sohl.

Did Bill Sohl participate in this thread? :-)

>I do dislike you, little old piranha.

TS. :-)

>If you mean
>that I've made you the object of ridicule and sarcasm, that'd be
>correct.

Tsk. Incorrect. You've ATTEMPTED to do so. :-)

Sorry, it hasn't worked yet. Better luck in the future.

>Your grating, pompous manner makes you an inviting target.

Awwwwww...get the great "DAVIE" all upset again? :-)

>Tsk. You're still an inviting target for ridicule and sarcasm.

No problem. I expected that when I first began posting
in here. :-)

Tsk. The "good ol' boys" of the WHITE MAN'S CLUB really
DO get all upset and ornery when their precious tree
house is invaded. :-)

>What was the subject again, Len?

"Demographics in U.S. amateur radio."

Can't you CONCETRATE on SUBJECTS anymore?

>Was it something about my liking worms?

Ah! Now it is clear on what YOU thought was the subject!

"Davie, Davie Hi-el...king of the wild ham bands!"

It seems to be all about Dave and his "battle" with
opponents (who do not love and respect his greatness).
As self-appointed "guardian" of all that is "holy"
in ham radio (i.e., whatever Dave likes), he must do
his "combat veteran" thing and FIGHT anything that
threatens CHANGE to his radio lifestyle! :-)

Understood. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3038. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 20:58:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423B40E2.923F235A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104554

Lloyd wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:423B002C.3617C0A@earthlink.net...
> > Lloyd wrote:
> >>
> >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >> news:423AAA94.99E34B67@earthlink.net...
> >> > Lloyd wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> *YOU* have no room to talk Mr k4yz !!!!! When are you going
> >> >> to post proof k1man was cited by the fcc?
> >> >
> >> > from NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #29 - POSTED 06/20/91:
> >> >
> >> > "Problems seem to be mounting for International Amateur Radio
> >> > Network net manager Glen Baxter K1MAN. In early February he was
> >> > served another Notice of Apparent Liability from the FCC. This
> >> > one to the tune of $1600 for allegedly violating FCC...
> > "
> >> >
> >> > ----------
> >> >
> >> > from NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #35 - POSTED 11/20/91
> >> >
> >> > "FCC field Operations Bureau Chief, Richard M. Smith, has
> >> > moved to stop the International Amateur Radio Network bulletins
> >> > from being transmitted on the hambands. In a four page letter
> >> > dated August 27th, Smith affirmed a $1500 monetary forfeiture
> >> > imposed on Glen Baxter K1MAN...
> > >
> >>
> >> The above was dismissed when MAN took the matter before the whole
> >> commission. Keep trying.
> > >
> > > No "keep trying" to it. Perhaps you meant to ask for "proof that the
> > > FCC dismissed" rather than proof that he'd been cited.

> Keep trying.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd

You asked. I provided. If you'd like to now ask for something different, find someone else who'd like to play.

Dave K8MN

3039. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 21:39:33 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@bigtownshowcb.org>
Message-ID: <d1fhm0vpe0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104555

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:423B40E2.923F235A@earthlink.net...
> Lloyd wrote:
>>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:423B002C.3617C0A@earthlink.net...
>> > Lloyd wrote:
>> >>
>> >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:423AAA94.99E34B67@earthlink.net...
>> >> > Lloyd wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> *YOU* have no room to talk Mr k4yz !!!!! When are you going
>> >> >> to post proof k1man was cited by the fcc?
>> >> >
>> >> > from NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #29 - POSTED 06/20/91:
>> >> >
>> >> > "Problems seem to be mounting for International Amateur Radio
>> >> > Network net manager Glen Baxter K1MAN. In early February he was
>> >> > served another Notice of Apparent Liability from the FCC. This
>> >> > one to the tune of $1600 for allegedly violating FCC...
>> > "
>> >> >
>> >> > ----------------------------------------------------------------
>> >> >
>> >> > from NEWSLINE RADIO - CBBS EDITION #35 - POSTED 11/20/91
>> >> >
>> >> > "FCC field Operations Bureau Chief, Richard M. Smith, has
>> >> > moved to stop the International Amateur Radio Network bulletins
>> >> > from being transmitted on the hambands. In a four page letter
>> >> > dated August 27th, Smith affirmed a $1500 monetary forfeiture
>> >> > imposed on Glen Baxter K1MAN...
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> The above was dismissed when MAN took the matter before the whole
>> >> commission. Keep trying.
>> >
>> > No "keep trying" to it. Perhaps you meant to ask for "proof that the
>> > FCC dismissed" rather than proof that he'd been cited.
>
>> Keep trying.
>>
>> 73,
>>
```

>> Lloyd
>
> You asked. I provided. If you'd like to now ask for something
> different, find someone else who'd like to play.
>
> Dave K8MN

Keep trying, you are starting to make a wee bit progress,
but you still need to get on topic.

73,

Lloyd

3040. Re: K0HB, Lennie of the North, Returns To RRAP And Comes Out Slinging!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 22:17:07 UTC
From: Randy Bradford <rbradford@rbtronicsltd.org>
Message-ID: <d1fjse$53d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104556

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111142777.616730.174000@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
K�HB wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:
>
> > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> > Well?
>
> When it comes to proof, Steve is long on demanding, but short on
> providing.

HANS!

I see it's thawed out enough up there for you to start oozing back
out from under the septic tank lid!

I see your first "comments" on your return are not to get into
some meaningful conversations, but to sling a bit of mud yourself!

I don't know what EVER possessed me to think that you would ever
actually live up to your own rhetoric about newsgroup conduct, "not
saying anything unless you ahve something constructive to say"...

But...there you are...Lennie Of The North. No More, No Less.

Steve, K4YZ

I welcome the return of Hans and his rather unique views on various topics.
You might say Hans calls things like they are.
Welcome back Hans!

Randy Bradford
Dallas, TX

3041. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 22:41:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423B590E.5F3422F5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104557

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil <I_RULE_ham_radio.Org.Asm> on Fri, Mar 18 2005 11:01 am
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> From: Dave Heil on Thurs, Mar 17 2005 4:49 am
> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >>
>
> >> >That's right, Len. There are far fewer blacks than whites in
> amateur
> >> >radio in the United States. Amateur radio in the U.S. is open to
> >> >anyone.
> >>
> >> You've "never" asked yourself (or anyone else) WHY that
> >> is?
> >
> >Why no, Len, I've never asked myself what amateur radio in the U.S. is
> >open to anyone. Seems reasonable to me.
>
> Tsk. The question was WHY ARE THERE SO FEW BLACKS
> (african-americans) IN U.S. AMATEUR RADIO?

Oh, you know have a different question! Your original question, "You've
'never' asked yourself (or anyone else) WHY that is?" followed my
statement, "Amateur radio in the U.S. is open to anyone".

My response to your new question is that I don't know and don't much
care.

> Stay with the program.

I've been with the program for over 41 years.

> >I've not been tasked with being the official explainer of things, Len.
>
> Tsk. You've "tasked yourself" busy big control person.

Nope. I'd surely known if I'd tasked myself with something.

> You seek "control" of who can post in here and who
> cannot. <shrug>

How would that be. Did I ever tell you to "Shut up, you little
feldwebel)? You've done that to me. Have I told you to go ELSEWHERE?
You've done that to me. Which one of us is more likely to be seen as

one you attempts to control who can post here?

> >Amateur radio in the United States is pretty much a white man's hobby.
>
> OK, agreement.
>
> The corollary question is, "WHY IS U.S. AMATEUR RADIO SO
> PREDOMINENTLY WHITE."

> Let's ask a second question on demographics: WHY IS IS
> PREDOMINENTLY MALE?

Why not drop the ARRL a line? Surely someone there might have some
insight and be able to enlighten you.

> >The majority of the membership is white.
>
> Don't belabor the obvious. Explain WHY.

You've snipped that portion that would reveal that I was writing of a
local amateur radio club. I stated that West Virginia has a black
population of only 3%. They aren't all radio amateurs and don't all
live in this area. I thought you'd be able to make the connection.

> >When I lived in Sierra Leone, the majority of the Sierra Leone League
> of
> >Amateur Radio was black. The minority was white. Go figure.
>
> DOS Tip: "Sierra Leone" is NOT a state of the United
> States.

Here's a tip for you: I never stated that it was.

> I can write the following:
> When I lived in Japan, the majority of the population
> was Asian.

Well, Len, why haven't you done something about it?

> That doesn't pertain to the question. [do you understand
> the analogue or haven't you taken your Alzheimer's
> medications yet?]

I don't take any such medication. Do you?

> >It was different in Botswana.
>
> Tsk. "Botswana" isn't a state in the United States.

I didn't write that it was.

> The question concerns the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and
> the hobby activity called amateur radio.

What is the question again?

> >You're starting to remind me of Brian Burke.
>
> You have a serious problem in getting along with anyone
> thinking differently than you do.

Your myriad postings here reveals that would be true of you.

> You have a serious problem in discussing anything that
> is opposite to your own opinions.

Your own record here reveals that is true of you.

> Discussion of ALL SIDES of any question should be OPEN
> and NOT restricted to some little clique of elitists
> who self-righteously proclaim their "ownership" of some
> activity.

Discussion has never been restricted here. Make your claims and wait
for the fallout.

> Both Brian and I have opinions OPPOSITE to yours...yet
> you feel compelled (perhaps obsessed) with a need to
> attempt denigration and/or defamation of us because
> we (and many others who appear from time to time) have
> opinions differing from yours.

You denigrate and defame, Len. On what basis do you make your decisions
about who to denigrate and defame?

> >See above, little wizended Lennie.
>
> Tsk. Attempts at name-calling. Improperly spelled.

Awwww, shucks. You snipped your comment where you went into the name
calling routine. I cranked it up when you started. You'll note that is
when I've always done it--in reponse to name calling from you.

> Try to concentrate on the SUBJECT instead of
> name-calling those with opposite opinions.

Why not take a couple of tablespoons of your own medicine. If it works
for you, then recommend it to others.

> The USA does NOT include Sierra Leone nor does it
> include Botswana. It includes Wheeling, West
> Virginia, but Wheeling is just one city out of
> thousands in the USA.

That's a masterful statement of the obvious, Leonard. Well done!

> >I didn't write that I'm upset.
>
> Tsk. You always SEEM so upset when encountering any
> opposite opinions.

Why does is seem so? Do I begin typing in all capital letters?

> >I got with the program starting in 1963.
>
> WHAT "program?"

To which program did you refer?

> That can't be amateur radio. U.S. amateur radio does
> not allow broadcasting. [see another thread largely
> of name-calling by anonymous geeks getting their jollies
> off]

I wrote nothing of broadcasting. I don't post anonymously.

> Tsk. "Get with the program" is a colloquial EXPRESSION,
> common in American English. :-)

There's another bold statement of the obvious. You're on a roll today
:-)

> Tsk, tsk. In 1963 I was working (in the USA) designing
> electronics for the Grumman Intruder radar pre-flight
> check-out set (Ku-band, portable battery-powered). Not
> a hobby and very much involved with microwave radio.

What's the "Tsk, tsk" for? In 1963 I moved from Hinton to Oak Hill,
West Virginia. I completed the ninth grade and began the tenth grade.
I began studying the guitar and passed my Novic Class amateur radio
license exam. I was awarded the Golden Horseshoe for being a top
student of West Virginia history. I had a paper route and paid for my
first amateur receiver through it. It's nice when we can share our
memories, isn't it, Len?

> >Well, this discussion has you.
>
> "Has you" what? Try completing a sentence properly.

I hate to disagree with a "PROFESSIONAL" but all the parts of a sentence
are there.

> >Nobody may force me to like you.
>
> Not a requirement, self-righteous self-professed
> ruler of ham.

That's my point. It isn't a requirement.

> Tsk. You are going to have to LIVE with the fact
> that many viewing your arrogant postings in here
> do NOT "like you."

Do you wish to take another informal poll? The last one didn't work
out very well for you.

> You MUST live with the fact that controversial subject
> discussion does NOT require capitulation to the
> opinions of yours...not even when you are an extremist

> in self-righteousness.

To heck with the tablespoon, Len. Here, just take a swig from your
medicine bottle.

> [supposedly the State Department does that all the
> time...called "diplomacy," something that you've NOT
> displayed in any posting in here]

I always treat bullying thugs like bullying thugs. You're a bullying
thug.

> >> The SUBJECT is
> >> (or should be) the discussion point...NOT the
> >> person or personality of whover YOU disagree with.
> >
> >Please try to remember that.
>
> I try to remember that, even when some ex-government
> employees use phrases like "little wizended lennie"
> as a response to me.

Go back and read your own stuff. Check what you wrote about me in the
lines preceding my use of that term. If when I was in the employ of the
Department of State and you'd walked into an embassy, I'd have been
courteous to you. I am under no such constraints now. You're a churl.
I'll treat you like a churl.

> >> U.S. amateur radio was NOT created especially for
> >> Dave Heil to enjoy.
> >
> >I figured that was the case when I became interested.
>
> Why did you lose that realization?

I never have. If and when you enter amateur radio, you'll likely come
to a similar conclusion.

> >Unlike you, I have a stake in amateur radio.
>
> A wooden one?

Wooden, PVC, metal--it is not something you have.

> You feel you MUST control what all newcomers are
> required to do to obtain an amateur radio license.

Time for another big swig of your own medicine, Len. Your statement
reflects exactly what you are attempting. You aren't involved in
amateur radio at all.

> Why is that? What compells you to attempt acting
> like you are "authority" on licensing?

More of your medicine, Len? What compels (not compells) you to act like
you are an authority on how amateur radio should be regulated? After
all, you aren't involved in amateur radio.

> There is only ONE authority on licensing radio
> amateurs in the USA and that is the FCC. You are
> NOT a member of the FCC.

You are NOT a radio amateur. You are NOT with the FCC (the ONE
authority on licensing radio amateurs in the U.S.A.). You continue your
twenty year quest to remove morse code testing in an endeavor in which
you play no part. You've lobbied for a minimum age in that same
endeavor.

> >Neither are you an FCC Commissioner or staffer.
>
> Tsk. You manufacture a strawman again. I've never
> claimed to control radio licensing in the USA.

If my statement is a straw man (not strawman), so was yours. Did you
notice the "neither" in my statement. It is a dead giveaway about the
portion you snipped.

> Since Dave Heil is NOT a member of the FCC, he does
> not have ANY authority on radio amateur licensing
> matters in the USA.

Since Leonard H. Anderson is NOT a member of the FCC, he has NO
authority in radio amateur licensing.

> [Sierra Leone and Botswana are
> not in the USA]

That's truly masterful, Leonard.

> >This week you've told us that you are not
> >interested in becoming a radio amateur.
>
> Irrelevant. Next week I may change my mind.

Masterful, Len. How quickly you saw my point!

> [next week I may be in a foreign country...such as
> Sierra Leone or Botswana... :-)]

You might as well go now, while you still have the time.

> Becoming a LICENSED radio amateur carries with it NO
> requirements of adopting a strict "life style" of
> amateurism or any obeyance of some old "code" of
> conduct published by a membership organization. One
> does NOT need to "take vows" or "swear an oath" to
> uphold some artificial traditions, jargon, patois,
> standards and practices of older times. Amateur
> radio is a de facto HOBBY. [it is NOT de jure a

> "hobby" in regulations but that is just legislative
> language cum political speak to satisfy a minority
> of over-inflated traditionalist amateur lifestylers]

You can stow it now, Leonard. This week you aren't interested,
remember?

> I am a professional in radio-electronics and have
> been one since 1952.

Irrelevant.

> I am also a hobbyist in many
> different phases of radio-electronics and have been
> so involved since 1947. I have been most fortunate
> to do work in many different areas of electronics and
> radio and have a personal fascination with nearly all
> aspects of that broad technological field.

More irrelevance.

> You insist on defining "radio" as ONLY that of radio
> amateurism...as YOU know it.

I've never defined "radio" here ever. You lie.

> Sorry, that isn't
> acceptible to the entirety of the radio-electronics
> world.

You don't speak for the rest of the radio world any more than you speak
for radio amateurs. You're simply a self-appointed advocate for change
in something in which you play no part. In short, you're another
California Crackpot.

> Neither does anyone HAVE to "demonstrate any
> interest" by becoming a LICENSED radio amateur FIRST.

FIRST? In all of your several decades of "interest" in amateur radio,
you've never taken the FIRST step of doing anything at all about it.
You're still on the outside. You're still not a player. You're still
pacing the sidelines.

> >How arrogant is the posturing of the type of personality which is
> >uninvolved in an avocation in which he plays no part as a participant
> or
> >regulator? Talk about the need to control...
>
> Tsk. The control-freak is angry at being called out
> on how he appears to all others? That is you, Dave
> Heil.

That simply can't be. I am a participant. You are irrelevant to
amateur radio.

> The First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution states that
> all U.S. citizens have the RIGHT to petition their
> government for the redress of grievances. That is NOT
> "posturing." It extends to EVERY law, regulation made
> by the U.S. government.

You've petitioned. Now what?

> The FCC regulates U.S. amateur radio. The FCC is the
> final authority on what is required to obtain an amateur
> radio license. The ARRL has NO such authority. Dave
> Heil has NO such authority...but Dave Heil postures and
> preens AS IF he did. Tsk, tsk.

Tsk, tsk, indeed. Come down off your soapbox, Leonard. The ARRL has
input. Sheer numbers and a long history play a part. If you don't like
it, set up your own lobbying group.

> >You aren't a participant in amateur radio. You have no stake in it.
> >You currently claim that you do not desire to become a participant.
> Yet
> >you attempt controlling of amateur radio.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Advocacy in eliminating the morse code test
> for any amateur radio license is "CONTROL?!?" :-)

Yes, it is an attempt to control the regulation of something in which
you are not involved.

> Sorry, control-freak Dave Heil, that's simply a REQUEST
> of a citizen, similar to tens of thousands of other
> citizens who REQUEST the same thing.

You've requested. Now what?

> Not only is the
> code test requirement old and out-dated, the FCC has
> stated as far back as 1990 that the code test does not
> matter to their purpose in determining whether any
> prospective amateur should be granted a license.

The morse code is widely used in amateur radio. The FCC said it would
await a consensus of radio amateurs on the testing issue. It appears
they've left you out.

> > You wish to shape it through
> >having regulations relating to morse code testing and the instituting
> of
> >a minimum age requirement for licening.
>
> INCORRECT. I've not pursued any "minimum age requirement"
> in U.S. amateur radio since making that SUGGESTION to the
> FCC in January, 1999, on the LAST page of a 14-page
> Comment on docket 98-143 (see date of 13 January 1999 in

> the FCC ECFS on that docket).

What's to pursue? You wrote it. You submitted it. You acted on your
belief, despite the lack of evidence that there had ever been a problem
with licensed children not obeying the rules.

> Tsk. A number of middle-aged PCTA extras keep bringing
> that up and trying to imply it is something like Treason
> Against The State!

I've never thought it treasonous, only stupid and short sighted.

> I've dropped that request, haven't
> followed it through with REAL authorities, yet some
> self-appointed "guardians of their turf" insist that I
> am "constantly advocating age requirements!" :-)

You can't drop it. It was written and submitted.

> Yes, I advocate an elimination of the morse code test.

> Such will NOT affect ANY who are ALREADY LICENSED in
> U.S. amateur radio! It does NOT affect their ability
> to use what they ALREADY HAVE.

It has the potential to change the demographics. That effects every
current HF licensee. Sorry, your argument doesn't hold water.

> >No, it isn't a CLUB at all, Leonard H. It is open to all races and
> any
> >of the several genders.
>
> Tsk. You FAIL to recognize the difference between
> de facto from de jure.
>
> There is NO discrimination on age or gender in the
> regulations of U.S. amateur radio in Title 47 C.F.R.
> [that's de jure]
>
> There is an OBVIOUS discrimination in race throughout
> the United States in regards to amateur radio
> licensing...against blacks (african-americans).
> [that's de facto] Observation, casual to studied,
> of all amateur radio hobbyist photographs in
> amateur publications of the last half century will
> demonstrate that de facto condition.

I implore you to write your senators, your congressman, the FCC and make
a case. Laughter is good for the soul.

> There is a milder "discrimination" (of a sort) against
> females in LICENSED amateur radio. That's also been
> OBVIOUS but hasn't been much publicized since Harker's
> informal study presented on the ARRL website.

As above, I urge you to write, e-mail or call your elected representatives and to contact the FCC. You might even want to involve the editor of your newspaper.

> >Is it your view that it is my personal responsibility to recruit
> blacks
> >into amateur radio whether they care to participate or not, Lennie
> >Anderson?
>
> Tsk. I just asked a question.

Tsk. You implied that I have an obligation to call for changes.

> Can you EXPLAIN the
> de facto condition of U.S. amateur radio being a
> WHITE MAN'S CLUB?

I might take a stab at it if you can explain why "EXPLAIN" and "WHITE MAN'S CLUB" are capitalized?

> >What does your comment have to do with my being appointed by you to
> >recruit minorities, Lennie Anderson?
>
> Well, DAVIE Heil, I've NEVER taken on some self-
> appointed "authority" to "tell you what to do!"

You just did, Lennie The Uninvolved.

> [I cannot perform miracles...]

No kidding.

> Considering your manner of "recruiting" ANYONE, you
> are rather deficient in such a "recruiting" task.

How many folks have you gotten into amateur radio, Len?

> >Amateur radio is administered by the Federal Government.
>
> Tsk. It is REGULATED by the federal government.

What part didn't you understand?

> >Minorities may participate. Participation isn't
> >mandatory.
>
> Tsk, Tsk, TSK! DAVIE Heil has insisted and insisted
> that ONLY those who "participate" in rule-making of
> amateur radio MUST ALREADY have an amateur radio
> license!

Oh, perhaps you meant that uninvolved minorities should be regulating amateur radio. I see.

> DAVIE Heil is acting the hypocrite.

LENNIE Anderson, face painted blue, is howling at the moon.

> >Your de facto WHITE MEN'S CLUB isn't de fact at all.
>
> [baaaad imitation of Amos or Andy... :-)]
>
> Tsk. You keep mixing up "de facto" with "de jure."

Why, did you mean to write "de jure WHITE MEN'S CLUB"?

> Those differences have been explained to you. Try
> to keep up with your self-education.

When you write, "de facto" I can only believe that you mean "de facto".
If you mean "de jure", you'll have to so state.

> >Even you, could be a participant, little wizened Lennie.
>
> Tsk. More of the "diplomatic charm" picked up while
> "living in Sierra Leone or Botswana?" :-)

...just another tweak of your nose in response to your (snipped)
rudeness.

> >I'm sure that in retrospect, it seems idiotic to you now. I'll bet
> you
> >wish you'd never made it.
>
> No problem to me. I may say the same thing again. Or,
> I may not. :-)

If you do, I bet you'll word it more carefully. :-)

> I'll be more specific. I do NOT intend to do so
> this week. :-)

That can change by next week. :-)

> >Around here, a man is only as good as his word.
>
> "Around there" is NOT the center of the universe nor
> of any "center of ethical/moral virtue." :-)

If you mean that I live in an area where there are ethical/moral
virtures, you are correct. :-)

> >Maybe it is different out there on the left coast.
>
> Where is this "left coast?" :-)

...the area you inhabit.

> I live in the southwestern part of the contiguous
> United States of America.

Either of two events could change that. One involves an earthquake of
epic proportions.

> In fact, the state of California is, by far, the
> most populous state in the union.

If you'd like to believe that is a good thing, fine.

> If you try for more of such disparaging geographic
> comments, I'd say you were so unable to reply
> that you've resorted to self-appointed BIGOTRY on
> a personal insult level. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

You'd say any number of things. Some of them will be based in fact.

> >Little wizened Lennie loves to uses words like "denigration". Is it
> >denigration to point out that you made your well known "Extra right
> out
> >of the box" claim? Is it denigration to point to one of your factual
> >errors?
>
> Tsk. More "diplomatic charm" with the phrase "little
> wizened Lennie?" :-)

Quit snipping your own insulting terms or I shall find it necessary to
repost with the snipped portions added.

> "Well known claim?" :-)

Yes, your claim to the "Extra right out of the box" is as well known as
your "sphincter post" and N2EY's superb profile of your likely actions.

> No problem to me. I may say the same thing again. Or
> not.

You might as well. It'd be hard for you to look any worse.

> I've taken and passed MANY "tests" in my career of a
> half century in radio-electronics. MOST of them were
> much more difficult than ANY amateur radio "exam"
> given since 1952. I've tested, operated, DESIGNED
> radio equipment of equal and FAR-more-complex nature
> than amateur radio equipment.

You've no doubt tested, operated and DESIGNED radio equipment of far
more simple design too. I'm happy that the test taking went well for
you. You'll still need to pass some additional exams if you want to play
in the amateur radio playground.

> No problem to me to
> take a little hobby-radio test. I just don't choose
> to do so this week. :-)

It'll be far easier now that the morse exam is down to that 5 wpm level.
Don't underestimate that Extra exam or the others you'll need to take to
get to that level.

> I'm in a computer network newsgroup. That is NOT
> amateur radio activity. No license is required. Yet
> DAVIE Heil (who likes to beat up on "little wizened"
> people - of his imagination) thinks I MUST have an
> amateur radio license to be IN this newsgroup! :-)

Do they have a nationally recognized computer network license,
little old piranha?

> >You're adding two and two and coming up with the wrong answer. Bill
> >Sohl's opinions often differ from mine. I don't dislike Bill Sohl.
>
> Did Bill Sohl participate in this thread? :-)

does it matter? Were you being thread-specific?

> >I do dislike you, little old piranha.
>
> TS. :-)

> >If you mean
> >that I've made you the object of ridicule and sarcasm, that'd be
> >correct.
>
> Tsk. Incorrect. You've ATTEMPTED to do so. :-)

It has been done by me and quite a number of others.

> Sorry, it hasn't worked yet.

You just can't tell the diff.

> Better luck in the future.

Why, thank you.

> >Your grating, pompous manner makes you an inviting target.
>
> Awwwwww...get the great "DAVIE" all upset again? :-)

I'm not upset, Leona.

> >Tsk. You're still an inviting target for ridicule and sarcasm.
>
> No problem. I expected that when I first began posting
> in here. :-)

Know thine own self.

> Tsk. The "good ol' boys" of the WHITE MAN'S CLUB really
> DO get all upset and ornery when their precious tree
> house is invaded. :-)

Are you a person of color, Leonard?

> >What was the subject again, Len?
>

> "Demographics in U.S. amateur radio."
>
> Can't you CONCETRATE on SUBJECTS anymore?

You'd wandered. I was caught up in your past test taking.

> >Was it something about my liking worms?
>
> Ah! Now it is clear on what YOU thought was the subject!
>
> "Davie, Davie Hi-el...king of the wild ham bands!"
>
> It seems to be all about Dave and his "battle" with
> opponents (who do not love and respect his greatness).
> As self-appointed "guardian" of all that is "holy"
> in ham radio (i.e., whatever Dave likes), he must do
> his "combat veteran" thing and FIGHT anything that
> threatens CHANGE to his radio lifestyle! :-)
>
> Understood. :-)

What are you prattling about?

Dave K8MN

3042. Re: Near space update - sort of

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-18 23:39:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111189155.298899.134620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104558

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > My son is studying the concept of idioms in school. I told him
> > that
> > > > "Seven hostile actions" was an idiom on rrap, at that "K4YZ"
was
> an
> > > > idiot on rrap.
> > >
> > > Perhaps I should get "equal time" so I can inform him that
his
> > > father is known worlwide as a pathological liar.
> >
> > That's the Steve I know; always trying to get some time with other
> > people's families.
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhh...Brain......
>
> YOU are the one who said you were making disparging comments to
> your son about me.
>
> As heartbreaking as it may be, your son is going to have to find
> out about YOU someday.
>
> How do you think he's going to feel when he get's a chance to
read
> these archives some day only to find out what a deceitful, lying putz
> his old man really is?

I think he'll see you as the scoundrel.

> > > Google archives have the documents to support it.
> > >
> > > Shame for you, Brain, that when your son get's around to
> looking
> > > stuff up on the Internet in a few years, he'll find these
exchanges
> > and
> > > be able to "follow the bread crumbs" back to the truth...about
> > > you...his father.
> > >
> > > Wonder what he'll think then...?!?! Better get the silver

> > polish
> > > out now so you can pray it get's THAT tarnish off!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > He'll think his father was right about you... whacko!
>
> Really?
>
> How do you think he'll come to that conclusion after he get's a
> chance to follow the threads back?
>
> You're a liar, Brian P Burke. Got caught, more than once, and
now
> it's archived in a medium that you can't control.

> > Best of Luck
>
> No...best of luck to YOU, Brian. YOU are the one who's going to
> have to look his son in the eyes and explain why you acted the way
you
> did.
>
> Good luck on THAT one now...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

My eyes aren't the ones of a crazed lunatic. You've been warned away
from my family before. Stay away.

3043. The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 00:09:38 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111190978.391748.189310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104559

I was doing a bit of SWLing this afternoon on the way home from work and happened to catch "The Real Amateur Radio Show" (hosted by the TimTron, WA1HLR) on WBCQ - 7415 khz.

>From what I gathered, the folks at WBCQ donate airtime to Tim for his show - which is used to discuss ham-radio-related topics, propagation, technical matters and so forth.

'BCQ would be a natural to host OGL's broadcasts; at ~250kw ERP on each of their assigned frequencies they're bound to reach a large SW audience.

Todd might wish to call the owners of the station (Becker Broadcast Systems, IIRC) and see about getting some airtime donated...

3044. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 00:29:13 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.idkh0p7.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 104561

On 18 Mar 2005 16:09:38 -0800, Mr. Obvious wrote:

>'BCQ would be a natural to host OGL's broadcasts; at ~250kw ERP on each
>of their assigned frequencies they're bound to reach a large SW
>audience.
>
>Todd might wish to call the owners of the station (Becker Broadcast
>Systems, IIRC) and see about getting some airtime donated...

But that would go against Wannabe Rule Number One which is that one
must do it for oneself, even if it is much cheaper or much more
legal to use purchased or donated airtime.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3045. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 00:29:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111192189.805980.240860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104560

He probably pays for the time...it normally cost on the shortwave bands
between $50 to $100. I'll stick with were I'm at.

Todd N9OGL

Mr. Obvious wrote:
> I was doing a bit of SWLing this afternoon on the way home from work
> and happened to catch "The Real Amateur Radio Show" (hosted by the
> TimTron, WA1HLR) on WBCQ - 7415 khz.
>
> >From what I gathered, the folks at WBCQ donate airtime to Tim for
his
> show - which is used to discuss ham-radio-related topics,
propagation,
> technical matters and so forth.
>
> 'BCQ would be a natural to host OGL's broadcasts; at ~250kw ERP on
each
> of their assigned frequencies they're bound to reach a large SW
> audience.
>
> Todd might wish to call the owners of the station (Becker Broadcast
> Systems, IIRC) and see about getting some airtime donated...

3046. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 00:44:45 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111193085.249749.224340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104562

Tim....PAY FOR ANYTHING?

Yagotta B. Joking...

(No offense to 'HLR if he stumbles across this thread...heh...)

He's not laying anything out-of-pocket for his show, and regularly
solicits for contributors and guest hosts.

Give the man a call.

3047. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 00:51:37 UTC
From: Kwise <Kwise@uneggdepts.net>
Message-ID: <d1fsu5$pi3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104563

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.idk0yj0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On 18 Mar 2005 07:43:33 -0800, N9OGL wrote:
>
>>So my take on this is the the full Commission got on the Enforcement
>>Bureau ass for violating the content control rules.
>
> (A) There was no "Enforcement Bureau" at the time. The current
> Enforcement Bureau has a lot more muscle and leverage in Commission
> legal circles than the Field Operations Bureau had at that time.
>
> (B) That was the "old" Commission where content wasn't anything
> they wanted to deal with. Although I do not agree with some of
> their recent decisions on broadcast media content, there's a whole
> new ball game playing at the Portals nowadays.
>
> My own two electron's worth.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

The all "new" Portals is nothing more than a classic bureaucratic
reshuffling
of the deck chairs. Looks good but accomplishes nothing.

3048. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 01:49:11 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.idkkpz9.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 104564

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 19:51:37 -0500, Kwise wrote:

>The all "new" Portals is nothing more than a classic bureaucratic
>reshuffling of the deck chairs. Looks good but accomplishes nothing.

Tell that to CBS and the other broadcasters who after 70 years have been hit with monetary forfeitures which, although miniscule in comparison to the dollar amounts that they normally deal with, are a heck of lot higher than things were in the past, and could be much higher in the future now that The Congress added a bunch more zeros to the amounts, and this new Chairman wants to put cable and satellite broadcasts in the same pot.

Whether the FCC should or should not be doing it is not the question here.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3049. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 02:21:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111198911.270594.304380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104565

Mr. Obvious wrote:
> We're still talking about peer-to-peer software, right?
>
> Which leads to my next question: Where in the Amateur Packet Radio
> service is there a need to transfer files in such a manner?
> Peer-to-peer software was created to facilitate the transfer of
FILES.
> The current iterations of most popular BBS software, on the other
hand,
> can transfer such things as text bulletins, sale ads and so forth
just
> fine.

Well, like i said, MSYS and FBB are good programs but they are old and there is software out there that is more "user friendly" amateur only have to change the coding in them to work for ham radio. I'm not suggestion that this be the "National" or "International" standard I'm only suggesting this as an "alternative" to what is being used now.

> "...There is a lot of P2P software that is out there that is "Open
> Source"
> Software, meaning you can downloaded a uncompiled version and program
> it the way you want. So you can create filters so certain files can't
> go through the system like MPEGS, and AVI files (movie files) or MP3
> (Music files) I would suggest if you intrested in it to get some
books
> on P2P..."
>
> (I just -might- know a little more than you think I do about this
> subject...but that's another discussion for another thread; another
> time.)
>
> Back to my original thought: How does the introduction of the
Internet
> into a ham-to-ham virtual circuit benefit -RADIO- in any way, shape
or
> form?
Look at Echolink, people still use HF but there's also echolink, as a alternative. We uses to have a guy on my repeater from California who would connect to my repeater through echolink, Because the nursing home he was staying in wouldn't let him put up any antenna, thus that was an alternative means to use amateur radio. This system would "benefit" radio as and alternative to the normal 1200 baud packet.

> I take it that you have never run a packet BBS, correct?

I've ran both MSYS and FBB for four years on two computers. I was big
in packet from 1992 to 1997/1998

>
> Are you familiar with the file-splitting and transmission
capabilities
> of various existing user-mode software? Joe wants to share a new
> program with Ralph, so he splits it and uploads it to the local
packet
> BBS, where Ralph downloads it. Acceptable thus far, right? It isn't
> when the program in question is copyrighted; we'll forego the file
size
> limitations of the current RADIO-based network for purposes of this
> discussion. Tracking these uploads are a headache that most SysOps
will
> gladly do without, especially if that content will land you in legal
> hot water.

Well the reason I brought up the file size deal is; for BIG files even
on the internet through P2P takes a long time even with high speed DSL
it still takes a while. on this system we really don't want people
tighen yp the frequency because he's trying to download a 700 MB file
(like a Movie) The filter system which would block these "large" would
be in the software and would be unchangable.

> Further, a number of PBBS SysOps incorporated I-net-based forwarding
of
> traffic years back. A vast amount of non-ham-related 3rd-party
traffic
> began to filter into the packet network as a result; along the
circuits
> were several countries whose 3rd-party traffic laws were different
than
> those of the U.S. Many nights were spent writing filters to keep the
> U.S.-based systems in compliance...and a system such as you propose
> would be subject to the same set of dynamics, if it was indeed
> multinational.

That's were hams would have to be the network both on the radio, and on
the internet from scratch. again the only ones allowed to use the
software and the network would be the amateur radio operators. I'm only
one amateur, but I believe that an amateur radio station is responsible
for their what content they spew out and if they violate any laws it
will be easy to track down because they will be using their callsign. I
would also like to point out that federal law does not ban this type of
programs on the radio; amateurs are allowed to experiment and no
offense but it seems to me that you wan to keep the old system and
don't want any new ideas or programs which would conflict with the
status quo...or maybe i'm reading you wrong. but just remember that no
system is fail proof.

> "....Like I stated above the only way you could log into this system
> would

> be over radio. The Super nodes which are on the internet are
encrypted
> and the internet side is mainly a "user Backbone system" so users can
> connect via 2 meter---over the internet-----to another user on the
> radio..."
>
> What will all this effort net us? You're still limited by the
> capabilites of the end-users. I don't care if your I-net circuit
> consists of gigabit fiberlink; if Joe and Ralph are running 1200bps
> modems at their end, you're going to realize data transfer rates of
no
> faster than 1200bps.

it would be 1200 baud on 2 meters but amateurs still have I believe 2.4
GHz and some of that wireless stuff will go as high as 10Mbps which
would be better because it would remove the bottleneck.

> The current system, as is designed and implemented, works fine. Write
a
> host mode program with a nice, pretty front end and you might sell it
> to people. Incorporating P2P technology into said program is - as
I've
> stated before - a solution to a problem which simply doesn't exist.

well, like i said it seems to me your like these guy on here....you
don't want change....and like I stated in a previous thread without
change ham radio will not grow.

Todd N9OGL

3050. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 03:01:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111200297.288177.141080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104566

Most shortwave stations want a fee for airtime....I looked into in the
past....Oh good ol' WBCQ, started out back in the 80's as a pirate
radio station and now is a licensed FCC station.

todd N9OGL

3051. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 03:11:53 UTC
From: Kwise <Kwise@uneggdepts.net>
Message-ID: <d1g55h$9q4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104567

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.idkkpz9.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 19:51:37 -0500, Kwise wrote:
>
>>The all "new" Portals is nothing more than a classic bureaucratic
>>reshuffling of the deck chairs. Looks good but accomplishes nothing.
>
> Tell that to CBS and the other broadcasters who after 70 years have
> been hit with monetary forfeitures which, although miniscule in
> comparison to the dollar amounts that they normally deal with, are
> a heck of lot higher than things were in the past, and could be much
> higher in the future now that The Congress added a bunch more zeros
> to the amounts, and this new Chairman wants to put cable and
> satellite broadcasts in the same pot.
>
> Whether the FCC should or should not be doing it is not the question
> here.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

Oh but weren't we blaming all that on the "Bush Religious Fundamentalist
Zealots" just a few weeks ago. You change your mind like people
change their underwear. Same bureaucrats same agency, just different
bells and whistles. Same bureaucrats sleeping at the same desks they
been comatose at for the last four decades.
ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

3052. Re: Lennie Digs Himself In Deeper...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 03:20:40 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111202440.682270.283860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104568

K4YZ wrote:
>
> There I was...laying on the beach in Bora Bora with Brittney
Spears
> when BAM BAM BAM...This loud sounds wakes me from my male chauvanist
> near-wet dream...
>
> And what do I find...?!?!

Another hostile action? Another disability pension?

3053. Re: K0HB, Lennie of the North, Returns To RRAP And Comes Out Slinging!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 03:24:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111202693.346276.22990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104569

Randy Bradford wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111142777.616730.174000@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> KØHB wrote:
> > Lloyd wrote:
> >
> > > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> > > Well?
> >
> > When it comes to proof, Steve is long on demanding, but short on
> > providing.
>
> HANS!
>
> I see it's thawed out enough up there for you to start oozing
back
> out from under the septic tank lid!
>
> I see your first "comments" on your return are not to get into
> some meaningful conversations, but to sling a bit of mud yourself!
>
> I don't know what EVER possessed me to think that you would ever
> actually live up to your own rhetoric about newsgroup conduct, "not
> saying anything unless you ahve something constructive to say"...
>
> But...there you are...Lennie Of The North. No More, No Less.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> I welcome the return of Hans and his rather unique views on various
topics.
> You might say Hans calls things like they are.
> Welcome back Hans!
>
> Randy Bradford
> Dallas, TX

Lots of people have their differences on rrap, but Hans doesn't resort
to dispicable veiled threats of bricks through windows, slashed tires,
or terrorized wives.

3054. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 03:33:59 UTC
From: Lloyd <anon@anonshewtanite.net>
Message-ID: <d1g6er$c0a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104570

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.idkkpz9.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 19:51:37 -0500, Kwise wrote:
>
>>The all "new" Portals is nothing more than a classic bureaucratic
>>reshuffling of the deck chairs. Looks good but accomplishes nothing.
>
> Tell that to CBS and the other broadcasters who after 70 years have
> been hit with monetary forfeitures which, although miniscule in
> comparison to the dollar amounts that they normally deal with, are
> a heck of lot higher than things were in the past, and could be much
> higher in the future now that The Congress added a bunch more zeros
> to the amounts, and this new Chairman wants to put cable and
> satellite broadcasts in the same pot.
>
> Whether the FCC should or should not be doing it is not the question
> here.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

"The shameless delusion of all bureaucrats is to not only convince the
public but themselves as well that they actually perform some useful
function in
life."
=Reggi VanClefner

3055. Re: OT but Funny!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 03:35:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111203309.729000.297220@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104571

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >From the reflectors:
>
>
> A 'seasoned' ham, age 60 is visiting the doctor for his annual
> physical.

Welp, I guess this is preferable to you calling people "assholes."
Maybe a couple more clean jokes and you'll earn your "nice-guy" facade
back.

Best of Luck.

3056. STATE JUDGE HOLDS HIMSELF ABOVE UNITED STATES CONGRESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 04:15:44 UTC
From: Lloyd A Davies <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1111205744.069100.36860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104572

>From Hal Turners Website...

STATE JUDGE HOLDS HIMSELF ABOVE
UNITED STATES CONGRESS!
RULES FEEDING TUBE CAN BE REMOVED FROM BRAIN DAMAGED TERRI SCHIAVO
DESPITE SUBPOENA FROM UNITED STATES CONGRESS ORDERING HER APPEARANCE IN

U.S. CAPITOL
Florida Judge says he "knows of no cogent reason" for Congress to
involve itself."
It's not for him to know. It is for him to obey. This guy is a little

too impressed with himself. He needs to be knocked down a few pegs. It

is a federal crime to do anything to impede, harm or disuade a person
from appearing before Congress. Cutting off Terri Shiavo's food and
water is a direct affront to the sovereign authority of Congress.
If he can scoff at Congress and get away with it, so can I. I will
wait to see if the judge experiences any consequences for his acts in
defiance of congress. If he goes unpunished, then I will proceed from
that point with the idea that I can ignore Congress too.

EYE WITNESS: TERRI SCHIAVO CRIED WHEN TOLD THEY WERE TAKING THE FEEDING

TUBE OUT AND YELLED "I WANT TO LIVE"
Folks, it is beginning to appear that it may be necessary for a group
of heavily armed men to over-rule the court by forcibly removing Terri
Schiavo from that hospice and from the State of Florida in order to
save her life. I advocate that course of action as a last resort.
http://www.halturnershow.com/

3057. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 04:31:22 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111206682.633370.289190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104573

Oh, many of us WANT change...but not at the expense of the -RADIO-
portion of the hobby. Internet =! Radio. That fact has been pointed out
numerous times by those who design, maintain and operate the existing
packet radio network.

Do amateur radio operators need a high-speed packet-radio
infrastructure? Probably. Moreover, one can be built to exclusively
utilize RF as its transport mechanism; the Internet need not enter into
the picture whatsoever.

Once it's built and in place, the question arises: What to do with this
network? 10MBPS or higher would give excellent throughput for
high-volume traffic forwarding...which is about all you're going to be
able to -legally- do with it. (See previous remarks re: copyrighted
software, et al. The sentiment of most SysOps involved will be: It
ain't passing through my station. Period. ANY file other than
plaintext, that is...and rightly so.)

" That's were hams would have to be the network both on the radio, and
on
the internet from scratch. again the only ones allowed to use the
software and the network would be the amateur radio operators."

Again - how will you control access to this system? There's also the
matter of network security - put such a "node" on the Internet and I
guarantee that it will be hacked as soon a vulnerability with the
system is found.

"The filter system which would block these "large" would be in the
software and would be unchangable. "

Would you filter based on size alone? Actual content (type) of the
files? MD5 hash? I (and every other comptuer-savvy individual on the
planet) can easily bypass any of these schemes by manipulating the
files in certain ways...if the filtering is done via database, it would
be enormous and a true PITA to keep up to date. Thus, it would be
dropped in short order.

Looking at the whole proposal from a great height, it closely resembles
the existing AirMail/SailMail PACTOR systems...which operate under an
entirely different set of rules and regulations than does amateur radio.

3058. Re: STATE JUDGE HOLDS HIMSELF ABOVE UNITED STATES CONGRESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 05:16:17 UTC
From: jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com
Message-ID: <d1gcj1pv1@mail.specsol.com>
Source record: 104574

Lloyd A Davies <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote:
> >From Hal Turners Website...

> STATE JUDGE HOLDS HIMSELF ABOVE
> UNITED STATES CONGRESS!
> RULES FEEDING TUBE CAN BE REMOVED FROM BRAIN DAMAGED TERRI SCHIAVO
> DESPITE SUBPOENA FROM UNITED STATES CONGRESS ORDERING HER APPEARANCE IN

> U.S. CAPITOL
> Florida Judge says he "knows of no cogent reason" for Congress to
> involve itself."
> It's not for him to know. It is for him to obey. This guy is a little

> too impressed with himself. He needs to be knocked down a few pegs. It

> is a federal crime to do anything to impede, harm or disuade a person
> from appearing before Congress. Cutting off Terri Shiavo's food and
> water is a direct affront to the sovereign authority of Congress.
> If he can scoff at Congress and get away with it, so can I. I will
> wait to see if the judge experiences any consequences for his acts in
> defiance of congress. If he goes unpunished, then I will proceed from
> that point with the idea that I can ignore Congress too.

> EYE WITNESS: TERRI SCHIAVO CRIED WHEN TOLD THEY WERE TAKING THE FEEDING

> TUBE OUT AND YELLED "I WANT TO LIVE"
> Folks, it is beginning to appear that it may be necessary for a group
> of heavily armed men to over-rule the court by forcibly removing Terri
> Schiavo from that hospice and from the State of Florida in order to
> save her life. I advocate that course of action as a last resort.
> http://www.halturnershow.com/

Utter crap.

She's in a vegetative state.

If she were able to say anything, there would be no controversy, no
court cases..

--
Jim Pennino

Remove -spam-sux to reply.

3059. Re: STATE JUDGE HOLDS HIMSELF ABOVE UNITED STATES CONGRESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 05:30:02 UTC
From: Tony VE6MVP <tony@REMOVEve6mvp.com>
Message-ID: <f5en31hr561c09ta0eg2j36psqc89184k0@4ax.com>
Source record: 104575

On 18 Mar 2005 20:15:44 -0800, "Lloyd A Davies" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
wrote:

>STATE JUDGE HOLDS HIMSELF ABOVE
>UNITED STATES CONGRESS!
>RULES FEEDING TUBE CAN BE REMOVED FROM BRAIN DAMAGED TERRI SCHIAVO
>DESPITE SUBPOENA FROM UNITED STATES CONGRESS ORDERING HER APPEARANCE IN

And what does this have to do with rec.radio.amateur.policy?

Tony

3060. Re: K0HB, Lennie of the North, Returns To RRAP And Comes Out Slinging!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 05:49:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111211391.653485.216310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104576

bb wrote:
> Randy Bradford wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1111142777.616730.174000@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > Lloyd wrote:
> > >
> > > > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> > > > Well?
> > >
> > > When it comes to proof, Steve is long on demanding, but short on
> > > providing.
> >
> > HANS!
> >
> > I see it's thawed out enough up there for you to start oozing
> back
> > out from under the septic tank lid!
> >
> > I see your first "comments" on your return are not to get into
> > some meaningful conversations, but to sling a bit of mud yourself!
> >
> > I don't know what EVER possessed me to think that you would
ever
> > actually live up to your own rhetoric about newsgroup conduct, "not
> > saying anything unless you ahve something constructive to say"...
> >
> > But...there you are...Lennie Of The North. No More, No Less.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I welcome the return of Hans and his rather unique views on various
> topics.
> > You might say Hans calls things like they are.
> > Welcome back Hans!
> >
> > Randy Bradford
> > Dallas, TX
>
> Lots of people have their differences on rrap, but Hans doesn't
resort
> to dispicable veiled threats of bricks through windows, slashed
tires,
> or terrorized wives.

Neither do I.

Quote, please?

Steve,K4YZ

3061. Here's Your Chance, Brain!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 06:30:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111213800.685314.274440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104577

bb wrote:
> Randy Bradford wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1111142777.616730.174000@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > Lloyd wrote:
> > >
> > > > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> > > > Well?
> > >
> > > When it comes to proof, Steve is long on demanding, but short on
> > > providing.
> >
> > HANS!
> >
> > I see it's thawed out enough up there for you to start oozing
> back
> > out from under the septic tank lid!
> >
> > I see your first "comments" on your return are not to get into
> > some meaningful conversations, but to sling a bit of mud yourself!
> >
> > I don't know what EVER possessed me to think that you would
ever
> > actually live up to your own rhetoric about newsgroup conduct, "not
> > saying anything unless you ahve something constructive to say"...
> >
> > But...there you are...Lennie Of The North. No More, No Less.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I welcome the return of Hans and his rather unique views on various
> topics.
> > You might say Hans calls things like they are.
> > Welcome back Hans!
> >
> > Randy Bradford
> > Dallas, TX
>
> Lots of people have their differences on rrap, but Hans doesn't
resort
> to dispicable veiled threats of bricks through windows, slashed
tires,
> or terrorized wives.

I made a post over 2 years ago after Lennie made more of HIS dispicable "Nazi" allegations and pointed out that it was conduct like that that spawned the types of acts that Brain has taken out of context and used to insinuate that I made "dispicable veiled threats".

What I did was to make refrence to stories that had appeared in the press that told of stalkings, vandalism and acts of terrorism against families after aggrievous online behaviour...Moments of terror on innocents because of the callous and selfish behaviour of others...Sometimes thier own loved ones.

These stories are not new. They've been around almost since the Internet went public. There are stories almost every week of the very same circumstances occurring coast to coast.

Brain has continued unabated, however, since HIS not-so-veiled insinuations of "threats" usually get buried in other threads.

Not any longer.

Here's your chance, Brian...Put up or..well..prove me right once again...Provide the specific posts where you claim I did those things you cite above.

I say you've been lying. I say that becasue I know that no such post exists.

Your turn! Try to snivvel out of this one, Brian!

Steve, K4YZ

3062. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 06:57:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111215453.897209.191030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104578

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > A simple search on Google turned up more than 8 pages of articles
> > on K1MAN.

Snip to...

> The full commission dismissed it.....here's a an article from 2004:
>
>
> ENFORCEMENT: FCC AGAIN WRITES TO K1MAN
>
> The FCC has again written to Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, and the commissions
> note
> has both good and bad news for the Belgrade Lakes, Maine radio
amateur.
> On
> the positive side, the April 14th letter informs K1MAN that a review
of
> his
> transmissions indicates that he is complying with the Amateur Service
> regulations
> regarding broadcasting and bulletins and station control.
>
> The negative? The FCC alleges that K1MAN is in violation of rules
> regarding use
> of his Amateur station for pecuniary interest and those regarding
> deliberate
> interference to ongoing communications.
>
> Also, the letter again told Baxter that his "felony affidavit
> complaints" will not be
> accepted by the regulatory agency. He was also reminded that all
> frequencies in
> the Amateur Radio Service are shared. No frequency is assigned for
the
>
> exclusive use of any station, and that Baxter's station has no
> greater rights to a
> frequency at any particular time than does any other Amateur station.
>
> NEWSLINE ~ 2004
>
> So try again....

And your own cite once again is your undoing, Toddie...

Read it again...

> The negative? The FCC alleges that K1MAN is in violation of rules
> regarding use
> of his Amateur station for pecuniary interest and those regarding
> deliberate
> interference to ongoing communications.

>>I<< specifically stated that K1MAN has a track record of misconduct issues to warrant reconsideration of a renewal in October 2005.

YOUR post simply acknowldeged what I said...he's got a track record of misbehaviour that continues today.

Other threads in this NG have also that he's returned to his previous patterns of "operation".

Just like I said.

Steve, K4YZ

3063. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 07:13:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111216408.972438.268900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104579

N9OGL wrote:

> > The current system, as is designed and implemented, works fine.
Write
> a
> > host mode program with a nice, pretty front end and you might sell
it
> > to people. Incorporating P2P technology into said program is - as
> I've
> > stated before - a solution to a problem which simply doesn't exist.
>
> well, like i said it seems to me your like these guy on here....you
> don't want change....and like I stated in a previous thread without
> change ham radio will not grow.

Amateur Radio is growing steadily...Without trying to immplement a
messaging system that is three generations old.

JUST like Lennie...So self impressed with your own "genius" that
you can't realize that you've just reinvented the wheel.

Steve, K4YZ

3064. Re: OT but Funny!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 08:03:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111219398.499948.237460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104580

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >From the reflectors:
>
>
> A 'seasoned' ham, age 60 is visiting the doctor for his annual
> physical....(SNIP)...My turn!

A Marine Sergeant Major is waiting for a plane in a busy terminal when these two pretty young things sit down across from him.

Although impressed by all the ribbons, stripes and hashmarks, one of the young girls was intent on giving him a ribbing...

She walked over to the Marine and said, "Sir, I bet you've been around the world a lot, haven't you?" she asked.

"Yes Ma'am, I have" the Marine replied courteously but appreciative that something was up.

"Well...when was the last time you went 'around the world' with a girl as young and pretty as me?" she teased.

"1953, Ma'am" was his quick response..

"1953..?!?! Wasn't that an alwfully long time ago?" she replied in shock.

"Not really..", he replied, "It's only 2115 now!"

She went back to her seat and didn't say another word.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3065. Re: Robeson and his Sycophants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 10:20:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111227632.866505.44580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104581

Dave Heil wrote:
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> >
> > Robeson is an example of the worst ham radio
> > has to offer.
>
> So, little anonymous friend, you discount the likes of that squirrel,
> Baxter and the latest offering, Todd the boy broadcaster. There are
> dozens and dozens who could make the ham radio bad boy's list.
>
> > Even Phil Kane finally wised up.
>
> Why do you remain anonymous? Do you fear prosecution, oppression or
> retaliation--or are you simply a muck raker?
>
> Stand up for your convictions--or perhaps you've already stood up for
> your convictions.

Thanks, Dave.

It's pretty plain who the author is. Some folks can make it in a
toe-to-toe argument...others can't.

He couldn't.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3066. Re: The N9OGL SHOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 10:22:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111227775.124567.73160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104582

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > your the little HAM COP on here.....
> >
> > Oh?
> >
> > Checked my wallet. No badge.
> >
> > Lying again, Todd. You're not establishing a very good
> reputation
> > for character issues.
>
> hey, I'm not the one on a newsgroup tell people how they should run
> there station. A please my wizard elighten the group about
K1MAN....You
> seem to be the "AUTHORITY" on information bulletins / bbroadcasting

Oh, I'm not an "authority"...I just know more/better than
you...not that it takes that much to do....

Steve, K4YZ

3067. Re: Lennie Digs Himself In Deeper...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 10:24:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111227880.081115.162490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104583

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > There I was...laying on the beach in Bora Bora with Brittney
> Spears
> > when BAM BAM BAM...This loud sounds wakes me from my male
chauvanist
> > near-wet dream...
> >
> > And what do I find...?!?!
>
> Another hostile action? Another disability pension?

Nope...Your bunkbuddy getting his words spoon-fed back to him.

That was worth getting up early for!

Now...those posts quoting threats, Brain?

Steve, K4YZ

3068. Brian P Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 10:30:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111228221.712248.142570@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104584

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > My son is studying the concept of idioms in school. I told
him
> > > that
> > > > > "Seven hostile actions" was an idiom on rrap, at that "K4YZ"
> was
> > an
> > > > > idiot on rrap.
> > > >
> > > > Perhaps I should get "equal time" so I can inform him that
> his
> > > > father is known worlwide as a pathological liar.
> > >
> > > That's the Steve I know; always trying to get some time with
other
> > > people's families.
> >
> > Uhhhhhhhhhh...Brain......
> >
> > YOU are the one who said you were making disparging comments
to
> > your son about me.
> >
> > As heartbreaking as it may be, your son is going to have to
find
> > out about YOU someday.
> >
> > How do you think he's going to feel when he get's a chance to
> read
> > these archives some day only to find out what a deceitful, lying
putz
> > his old man really is?
>
> I think he'll see you as the scoundrel.
>
> > > > Google archives have the documents to support it.
> > > >
> > > > Shame for you, Brain, that when your son get's around to
> > looking

> > > > stuff up on the Internet in a few years, he'll find these
> exchanges
> > > and
> > > > be able to "follow the bread crumbs" back to the truth...about
> > > > you...his father.
> > > >
> > > > Wonder what he'll think then...?!?! Better get the silver
> > > polish
> > > > out now so you can pray it get's THAT tarnish off!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > He'll think his father was right about you... whacko!
> >
> > Really?
> >
> > How do you think he'll come to that conclusion after he get's a
> > chance to follow the threads back?
> >
> > You're a liar, Brian P Burke. Got caught, more than once, and
> now
> > it's archived in a medium that you can't control.
>
> > > Best of Luck
> >
> > No...best of luck to YOU, Brian. YOU are the one who's going
to
> > have to look his son in the eyes and explain why you acted the way
> you
> > did.
> >
> > Good luck on THAT one now...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> My eyes aren't the ones of a crazed lunatic. You've been warned away
> from my family before. Stay away.

I take no warnings, instructions nor advice from you.

On the other hand, YOU had better take some advice.

Your eyes are not those of a crazed lunatic. Nor are mine.

But your eyes ARE those of a pathological liar.

One day, your son will want to know more about his father.

He'll put "Brian P Burke" into Google (or it's successor), and
guess what he'll find?

Reams and reams of YOU getting reamed for lying over and over.

Have a nice day.

Steve, K4YZ

3069. Re: A Study of Amateur Radio Demographics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 11:08:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111230489.867067.309140@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104585

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Thurs, Mar 17 2005 4:49 am
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> >> Now we need Len Anderson to chime in with something about how
> >> >> amateur radio is predominately "white".
> >
> >> >>
> >> >I'm not Len, but it is.
> >>
> >> True enough, Alun, just check out the ham photos in
> >> the last half-century's ham publications.
> >>
> >> No "profiling" qualifications needed...
> >
> >That's right, Len. There are far fewer blacks than whites in
amateur
> >radio in the United States. Amateur radio in the U.S. is open to
> >anyone.
>
> You've "never" asked yourself (or anyone else) WHY that
> is?

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

Why does he need to? Was it his obligation to do so? Is it ANY
persons obligation to do so?

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Mr. "equal opportunity" Heil with all
> the sarcastic arrogance he can muster DOES NOT EXPLAIN
> WHY U.S. amateur radio is essentially a white man's
> "club." Oh, my, how hypocritical can you get?

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

What's to explain?

Why don't YOU explain, Lennie...?!?! Tell us of all those hooded
guards standing at VE sessions to keep the unwashed masses at bay.

Oh...I forgot...You've never BEEN to a VE session...My bust.

> >> >Whilst it's hard to tell someone's race on air, it also seems
that
> >>
> >> On "CW" noboby can tell their gender.
> >>
> >> That maybe explains why WOMEN's tees are so "cool!" :-)
> >
> >Because when using CW, nobody can tell your gender? Makes as much
> sense
> >as anything else you've written, I suppose.
>
> Are you THAT brain-dead or living-in-fantasy while
> listening to "CW" that you CAN tell gender of the
> sender?!?

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

Lennie...YOU are the one alleging some sort of conspiracy to keep
women and minorities out of Amateur Radio.

Dave clearly and correctly cited that CW is a field leveller.

It is.

> What do you do on hearing that the other party is
> female, raise the beep pitch with your BFO knob?!?

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

That was just lame.

> Jay-suss...big badass dave is hard-put to get
> anything to attempt humiliation and denigration
> of his "opponents." Tsk, tsk.

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

Not as "hard-put" as you were to try and make a point out of that,
Lennie.

> >> >Now you've really opened up a can of worms, Dave.
> >>
> >> Big badass dave LIKES worms, Alun. :-)
> >
> >Yes, I do, but I'm not awfully fond of you.
>
> AWWWWWW...poor lil davie is ALL UPSET because he
> isn't "loved and respected?!?" He wanna "take it
> out" on others?

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

Seems the one taking things out on others is you, Lennie.

Seven years worth.

> Poor guy is looking for love in all the wrong
> places. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

I bet he's finding it, though.

How's the "Little Lady" these days, Lennie? Keeping the Geritol
hidden from her?

> GET WITH THE PROGRAM. A discussion of anything
> controversial WILL have all sorts of people "you
> don't like."

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

Funny...with a very small exception...(You, Brain, your anonymous
alter egos), there are no really unlikeable folks here, even those with
"differing opinions".

And that "small exception" are those who have been repeatedly
caught up in lies, deceit and mistruth.

> Sunnuvagun! [as Hans likes to say...:-)]

Indeed.

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

> Here's a newsflash, sweetums, it is NOT necessary
> to "like someone" about anything. The SUBJECT is
> (or should be) the discussion point...NOT the
> person or personality of whover YOU disagree with.

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

And people CAN be "agreeable" even when they disagree on a
subject. Happens ever day...EXCEPT with people who insist on
analogizing thier "opponents" to the Nazis, etc. etc. etc.

> U.S. amateur radio was NOT created especially for
> Dave Heil to enjoy. Dave Heil has NO more control
> over ham radio than I do...believe it or not!

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

Actually, he has a far greater control over it.

That's because Dave has a few things you DON'T...Like reputable
character, practical experience, and peer respect.

Did I mention practical experience...?!?!

> Dave Heil is NOT an FCC commissioner or staffer,
> does NOT "rule" anything but lines on paper pads.
> All of Dave Heil's "tenure" in amateur radio
> involves keeping his amateur license renewed.
> All the rest is arrogant posturing of the type of
> personality that needs to "control" everyone else.
> Dave Heil tries to "control" others by attempts at
> humiliation and denigration, then gets sooooooooooo
> upset when he gets the same (and more) tossed right
> back in his face. Poor baby...and he thought he
> was "in control." Tsk, tsk, tsk.

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

Someone here loses control, alright...It's not Dave.

> Does Dave Heil want a nice ARRL-south website where
> all can congratulate him on his long "career" as an
> amateur, rigidly following the league rulebook? No
> problem...easy thing to do ELSEWHERE. Posture and
> preen about yourself all you want (and you do so
> seem to want that) ELSEWHERE. U.S. amateur radio
> is NOT under YOUR control and won't be. If you want
> to pretend YOU control it, go ELSEWHERE.

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

Ahhhhhhh yes...More "not-so-thinly veiled" attempts by Lennie to
once again assume role of moderator/NGTC.....News Group Traffic Cop.

> Meanwhile, the demographics on U.S. amateur radio
> show de facto that it is a WHITE MEN'S CLUB. If Davie
> wanna be "equal opportunity for all" (like he puts on
> to me), then Davie Heil ought to for damn sure go out
> and DO something about that. [the rest of the world
> does not exist to serve Davie Heil...or any PCTA]

> Posturing a lot of bullshit about "...it's open to all"
> may be the SPIN that all flag-waving, arrogant WHITE
> extras wanna rave about, but it's clearly NOT the
> case. It hasn't been the case for half a century.

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

And for the last 32 1/2 years that I have been a licensed Amateur,
(that's to say from my scope-of-experience) that there is not a single
barrier to ANY person obtaining a license should he/she choose.

> Davie Heil will NOT address the de facto WHITE MEN'S
> CLUB other than to misdirect into personal denigration
> against another. To me is going to come back with that
> idiotic "promise" that I allegedly made...about five
> years ago. Tsk, tsk. I NEVER took any "oath" or
> "vows" that I would do such a thing. Got that, Davie?
> NO "oaths," "vows," or promises.

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

No "allegedly" to it.

You said you'd do it.

You never did...Lot's of lame excuses and wordsmithing to get
around it, though...

> Doesn't matter on the SUBJECT whether or not I "get"
> a ham license. Big badass davie IS going to find some
> sort of personal denigration "charge" to misdirect the
> thread SUBJECT onto my person's alleged "faults."
> He can't help it. He just doesn't like those having
> differing opinions than his.

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

Your faults are not "alleged". They are real. You've posted them
over and over.

> Davie Heil has been trying to toss me off this news-
> group for over seven years on all sorts of specious
> "charges." Tsk. He's FAILED! I'm still here. Poor
> guy must be twins (he's beside himself).

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley,
CA.

> Failure is NOT an option, Davie.

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley, CA.

A prediction: I predict that Lennie Anderson's last year on RRAP has arrived.

A good chance I'll be wrong...However when it comes to Lennie I've been right far, FAR more times than I've been wrong.

Stay tuned.

> TRY to get back ON the SUBJECT...WITHOUT resorting to
> all the alleged "faults" of another person. I don't
> think you can do it.

"My only purpose here is to debate the Morse Code TEST issue".
>From the chronicled online lies of Leonard H. Anderson of Sun Valley, CA.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I am sure the IEEE is soooooooooooooooooooo proud of you.

Due, in no small part, to all those wonderful, inciteful papers you wrote while you were a "regular hours engineer". (no, I did not mispell
'inciteful')

Lennie...you have one H U G E advantage over your puppetboy sidekick...No offspring to look you up on a search engine someday only to find out what a deceitful, mean-spirited liar you'd been all those years that he had been "looking up to" him...

Steve, K4YZ

3070. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 16:20:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111249248.677910.322410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104586

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > A simple search on Google turned up more than 8 pages of articles
> > > on K1MAN.
>
> Snip to...
>
> > The full commission dismissed it.....here's a an article from 2004:
> >
> >
> > ENFORCEMENT: FCC AGAIN WRITES TO K1MAN
> >
> > The FCC has again written to Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, and the
commissions
> > note
> > has both good and bad news for the Belgrade Lakes, Maine radio
> amateur.
> > On
> > the positive side, the April 14th letter informs K1MAN that a
review
> of
> > his
> > transmissions indicates that he is complying with the Amateur
Service
> > regulations
> > regarding broadcasting and bulletins and station control.
> >
> > The negative? The FCC alleges that K1MAN is in violation of rules
> > regarding use
> > of his Amateur station for pecuniary interest and those regarding
> > deliberate
> > interference to ongoing communications.
> >
> > Also, the letter again told Baxter that his "felony affidavit
> > complaints" will not be
> > accepted by the regulatory agency. He was also reminded that all
> > frequencies in
> > the Amateur Radio Service are shared. No frequency is assigned for
> the
> >
> > exclusive use of any station, and that Baxter's station has no
> > greater rights to a
> > frequency at any particular time than does any other Amateur

station.
> >
> > NEWSLINE ~ 2004
> >
> > So try again....
>
> And your own cite once again is your undoing, Toddie...
>
> Read it again...
>
> > The negative? The FCC alleges that K1MAN is in violation of rules
> > regarding use
> > of his Amateur station for pecuniary interest and those regarding
> > deliberate
> > interference to ongoing communications.
>
> >>I<< specifically stated that K1MAN has a track record of
> misconduct issues to warrant reconsideration of a renewal in October
> 2005.
>
> YOUR post simply acknowldeged what I said...he's got a track
> record of misbehaviour that continues today.

Interference has nothing to do with his "content"(as I've repeat over and over his content except Pecuniary interest is LEAGAL!. The same go mine, as long as it's amateur related it don't matter if it's opinionated or not it's legal.) and as for Pecuniary Interest, all he has to do is stop advertising his website. But I think your missing the point here...these are NOT NAL or Final "ORDER" from the FCC they are only warning letters, which basically don't mean shit.
Todd N9OGL

> Other threads in this NG have also that he's returned to his
> previous patterns of "operation".
>
> Just like I said.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3071. Free HIGHSPEED Music DL Site!!! Better than kazaa and WinMX!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 17:36:57 UTC
From: andrewh36@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1111253817.380818.218540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104587
Cross-posted to:
rec.autos.makers.ford.mustang,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.collecting.coins

Hey all! I found an excellent mp3 music website that is highspeed and has a huge archive and selection. And from what I can see there are many new albums listed for download.

It's better than kazaa and WinMX! It's free and there are very few ads. Check it out before they get shutdown!

www.mpfreedom.tk

3072. Re: STATE JUDGE HOLDS HIMSELF ABOVE UNITED STATES CONGRESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 18:19:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Sb-dnQzeGqPr86HfRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104588

Lloyd A Davies wrote:

>>From Hal Turners Website...
>
>
> STATE JUDGE HOLDS HIMSELF ABOVE
> UNITED STATES CONGRESS!
> RULES FEEDING TUBE CAN BE REMOVED FROM BRAIN DAMAGED TERRI SCHIAVO
> DESPITE SUBPOENA FROM UNITED STATES CONGRESS ORDERING HER APPEARANCE IN
>
> U.S. CAPITOL
> Florida Judge says he "knows of no cogent reason" for Congress to
> involve itself."
> It's not for him to know. It is for him to obey. This guy is a little
>
> too impressed with himself. He needs to be knocked down a few pegs. It
>
> is a federal crime to do anything to impede, harm or disuade a person
> from appearing before Congress. Cutting off Terri Shiavo's food and
> water is a direct affront to the sovereign authority of Congress.
> If he can scoff at Congress and get away with it, so can I. I will
> wait to see if the judge experiences any consequences for his acts in
> defiance of congress. If he goes unpunished, then I will proceed from
> that point with the idea that I can ignore Congress too.
>
> EYE WITNESS: TERRI SCHIAVO CRIED WHEN TOLD THEY WERE TAKING THE FEEDING
>
> TUBE OUT AND YELLED "I WANT TO LIVE"

Which eye witness? I didn't know Schiavo was capable of speaking.

3073. Re: STATE JUDGE HOLDS HIMSELF ABOVE UNITED STATES CONGRESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 18:21:32 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Sb-dnQ_eGqN986HfRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104589

jimp@specsol-spam-sux.com wrote:

>
>>TUBE OUT AND YELLED "I WANT TO LIVE"
>>Folks, it is beginning to appear that it may be necessary for a group
>>of heavily armed men to over-rule the court by forcibly removing Terri
>>Schiavo from that hospice and from the State of Florida in order to
>>save her life. I advocate that course of action as a last resort.
>>http://www.halturnershow.com/
>
>
> Utter crap.
>
> She's in a vegetative state.
>
> If she were able to say anything, there would be no controversy, no
> court cases..
>

Just more of LLoyd's blathering.

3074. Re: K0HB, Lennie of the North, Returns To RRAP And Comes Out Slinging!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 23:30:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111275033.629969.180580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104590

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Randy Bradford wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1111142777.616730.174000@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> > > > > Well?
> > > >
> > > > When it comes to proof, Steve is long on demanding, but short
on
> > > > providing.
> > >
> > > HANS!
> > >
> > > I see it's thawed out enough up there for you to start
oozing
> > back
> > > out from under the septic tank lid!
> > >
> > > I see your first "comments" on your return are not to get
into
> > > some meaningful conversations, but to sling a bit of mud
yourself!
> > >
> > > I don't know what EVER possessed me to think that you would
> ever
> > > actually live up to your own rhetoric about newsgroup conduct,
"not
> > > saying anything unless you ahve something constructive to say"...
> > >
> > > But...there you are...Lennie Of The North. No More, No
Less.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > I welcome the return of Hans and his rather unique views on
various
> > topics.
> > > You might say Hans calls things like they are.
> > > Welcome back Hans!
> > >

> > > Randy Bradford
> > > Dallas, TX
> >
> > Lots of people have their differences on rrap, but Hans doesn't
> resort
> > to dispicable veiled threats of bricks through windows, slashed
> tires,
> > or terrorized wives.
>
> Neither do I.

You do.

> Quote, please?
>
> Steve,K4YZ

You know what you said. I know what you said.

3075. Re: Brian P Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 23:41:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111275699.448785.62720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104591

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > My son is studying the concept of idioms in school. I told
> him
> > > > that
> > > > > > "Seven hostile actions" was an idiom on rrap, at that
"K4YZ"
> > was
> > > > an
> > > > > > idiot on rrap.
> > > > >
> > > > > Perhaps I should get "equal time" so I can inform him
that
> > his
> > > > > > father is known worlwide as a pathological liar.
> > > >
> > > > That's the Steve I know; always trying to get some time with
> other
> > > > people's families.
> > >
> > > Uhhhhhhhhhh...Brain......
> > >
> > > YOU are the one who said you were making disparging comments
> to
> > > your son about me.
> > >
> > > As heartbreaking as it may be, your son is going to have to
> find
> > > out about YOU someday.
> > >
> > > How do you think he's going to feel when he get's a chance
to
> > read
> > > these archives some day only to find out what a deceitful, lying
> putz
> > > his old man really is?
> >
> > I think he'll see you as the scoundrel.
> >

> > > > > Google archives have the documents to support it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Shame for you, Brain, that when your son get's around to
> > > looking
> > > > > stuff up on the Internet in a few years, he'll find these
> > exchanges
> > > > and
> > > > > be able to "follow the bread crumbs" back to the
truth...about
> > > > > you...his father.
> > > > >
> > > > > Wonder what he'll think then...?!?! Better get the
silver
> > > polish
> > > > > out now so you can pray it get's THAT tarnish off!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > He'll think his father was right about you... whacko!
> > >
> > > Really?
> > >
> > > How do you think he'll come to that conclusion after he get's
a
> > > chance to follow the threads back?
> > >
> > > You're a liar, Brian P Burke. Got caught, more than once,
and
> > now
> > > it's archived in a medium that you can't control.
> >
> > > > Best of Luck
> > >
> > > No...best of luck to YOU, Brian. YOU are the one who's going
> to
> > > have to look his son in the eyes and explain why you acted the
way
> > you
> > > did.
> > >
> > > Good luck on THAT one now...
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > My eyes aren't the ones of a crazed lunatic. You've been warned
away
> > from my family before. Stay away.
>
> I take no warnings, instructions nor advice from you.

You'd best make an exception where my family is concerned.

3076. Re: Here's Your Chance, Brain!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 23:46:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111275963.151136.140830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104592

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Randy Bradford wrote:
> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1111142777.616730.174000@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> > > > > > Well?
> > > > >
> > > > > When it comes to proof, Steve is long on demanding, but short
> on
> > > > > providing.
> > > >
> > > > HANS!
> > > >
> > > > I see it's thawed out enough up there for you to start
> oozing
> > > back
> > > > out from under the septic tank lid!
> > > >
> > > > I see your first "comments" on your return are not to get
> into
> > > > some meaningful conversations, but to sling a bit of mud
> yourself!
> > > >
> > > > I don't know what EVER possessed me to think that you
would
> > ever
> > > > actually live up to your own rhetoric about newsgroup conduct,
> "not
> > > > saying anything unless you ahve something constructive to
say"...
> > > >
> > > > But...there you are...Lennie Of The North. No More, No
> Less.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > I welcome the return of Hans and his rather unique views on
> various
> > > topics.

> > > > You might say Hans calls things like they are.
> > > > Welcome back Hans!
> > > >
> > > > Randy Bradford
> > > > Dallas, TX
> > >
> > > Lots of people have their differences on rrap, but Hans doesn't
> > resort
> > > to dispicable veiled threats of bricks through windows, slashed
> > tires,
> > > or terrorized wives.
> >
> > Neither do I.
>
> You do.
>
> > Quote, please?
> >
> > Steve,K4YZ
>
> You know what you said. I know what you said.

You're absolutely right this time, Brain. I know EXACTLY what I said and YOU know EXACTLY what I said...also HOW I said it and in what CONTEXT I said it.

THAT is EXACTLY why you made your "non-response" response in another thread instead of this one where it's right out in the open for all to see.

Thanks, once again, for helping me prove what a liar and COWARD you are, Brian P Burke.

Steve, K4YZ

3077. Re: Brian P Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-19 23:53:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111276426.318936.166520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104593

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > My son is studying the concept of idioms in school. I
told
> > him
> > > > > > that
> > > > > > > "Seven hostile actions" was an idiom on rrap, at that
> "K4YZ"
> > > was
> > > > > > an
> > > > > > > idiot on rrap.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Perhaps I should get "equal time" so I can inform him
> that
> > > his
> > > > > > father is known worlwide as a pathological liar.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's the Steve I know; always trying to get some time with
> > other
> > > > > people's families.
> > > > >
> > > > > Uhhhhhhhhhh...Brain......
> > > > >
> > > > > YOU are the one who said you were making disparging
comments
> > to
> > > > your son about me.
> > > >
> > > > As heartbreaking as it may be, your son is going to have
to
> > find
> > > > out about YOU someday.
> > > >
> > > > How do you think he's going to feel when he get's a chance
> to
> > > read
> > > > these archives some day only to find out what a deceitful,
lying

> > putz
> > > > his old man really is?
> > >
> > > I think he'll see you as the scoundrel.
> > >
> > > > > > Google archives have the documents to support it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Shame for you, Brain, that when your son get's around
to
> > > > looking
> > > > > > stuff up on the Internet in a few years, he'll find these
> > > exchanges
> > > > > and
> > > > > > be able to "follow the bread crumbs" back to the
> truth...about
> > > > > > you...his father.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Wonder what he'll think then...?!?! Better get the
> silver
> > > > > polish
> > > > > > out now so you can pray it get's THAT tarnish off!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > He'll think his father was right about you... whacko!
> > > >
> > > > Really?
> > > >
> > > > How do you think he'll come to that conclusion after he
get's
> a
> > > > chance to follow the threads back?
> > > >
> > > > You're a liar, Brian P Burke. Got caught, more than once,
> and
> > > now
> > > > it's archived in a medium that you can't control.
> > >
> > > > > Best of Luck
> > > >
> > > > No...best of luck to YOU, Brian. YOU are the one who's
going
> > to
> > > > have to look his son in the eyes and explain why you acted the
> way
> > > you
> > > > did.
> > > >
> > > > Good luck on THAT one now...
> > > >

> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > My eyes aren't the ones of a crazed lunatic. You've been warned
> away
> > > from my family before. Stay away.
> >
> > I take no warnings, instructions nor advice from you.
>
> You'd best make an exception where my family is concerned.

Nope.

I've not threatened you or your family. You can snort and stomp
in the dirt all you want to, Brain...Won't do you a bit of good.

I HAVE asked you what are you going to do when your son get's
inquisitive years from now and starts to research what kind of guy
"Dad" is....

YOUR words and YOUR actions will be there for him to see. Don't
fear me, Brian...Fear what he will find when he get's old enough to
start snooping around on his own...

You won't always be there to control what and where he "surfs".
Imagine some school project where they tell the kids to learn about
their family tree, etc. Numma Wun Son types in "Brian P Burke" to the
search engine, and Wa LA!

YOUR words, Brian...

YOUR deeds...

And I won't be within 500 miles of anyone you know...

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

3078. Re: Lennie Digs Himself In Deeper...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 00:01:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111275299.267027.265750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104594

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > There I was...laying on the beach in Bora Bora with Brittney
> > Spears
> > > when BAM BAM BAM...This loud sounds wakes me from my male
> chauvanist
> > > near-wet dream...
> > >
> > > And what do I find...?!?!
> >
> > Another hostile action? Another disability pension?
>
> Nope...Your bunkbuddy getting his words spoon-fed back to him.

Bunkbuddy? Are you back on the homosexual inuendo? What's next, the
pedophilia inuendo? Slashed tires?

You're dispicable.

3079. Re: K0HB, Lennie of the North, Returns To RRAP And Comes Out Slinging!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 01:15:51 UTC
From: An Anonymous Remailer <anon@italianamousrehas.net>
Message-ID: <d1iinr$8gl$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104595

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1111275033.629969.180580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Randy Bradford wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1111142777.616730.174000@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > K�HB wrote:
> > > > Lloyd wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > We are still waiting for you to post proof.
> > > > > Well?
> > > >
> > > > When it comes to proof, Steve is long on demanding, but short
on
> > > > providing.
> > >
> > > HANS!
> > >
> > > I see it's thawed out enough up there for you to start
oozing
> > back
> > > out from under the septic tank lid!
> > >
> > > I see your first "comments" on your return are not to get
into
> > > some meaningful conversations, but to sling a bit of mud
yourself!
> > >
> > > I don't know what EVER possessed me to think that you would
> ever
> > > actually live up to your own rhetoric about newsgroup conduct,
"not
> > > saying anything unless you ahve something constructive to say"...
> > >
> > > But...there you are...Lennie Of The North. No More, No
Less.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > I welcome the return of Hans and his rather unique views on
various

> > topics.
> > > You might say Hans calls things like they are.
> > > Welcome back Hans!
> > >
> > > Randy Bradford
> > > Dallas, TX
> >
> > Lots of people have their differences on rrap, but Hans doesn't
> resort
> > to dispicable veiled threats of bricks through windows, slashed
> tires,
> > or terrorized wives.
>
> Neither do I.

You do.

> Quote, please?
>
> Steve,K4YZ

You know what you said. I know what you said.

K4YZ is quick to demand proof and slow to produce proof.

3080. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 01:48:36 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <UD4%d.15944$qf2.13660@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104596

>
>
> But that would go against Wannabe Rule Number One which is that one
> must do it for oneself, even if it is much cheaper or much more
> legal to use purchased or donated airtime.
>
> --

Yes, but most people outgrow the pirate radio bug in college.
If it's $100 an hour, and the FCC fines are around $11K, that
would buy you 110 hours of legal air time in the BC SW station.
And have better coverage. Few pirates can muster 50KW of RF.

3081. Re: STATE JUDGE HOLDS HIMSELF ABOVE UNITED STATES CONGRESS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 01:54:08 UTC
From: Frater Lollard III <snorkiechom@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dbd8f$423cd7bc$d1cc413a$21087@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 104597

"Lloyd A Davies" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
news:1111205744.069100.36860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > From Hal Turners Website...
> > EYE WITNESS: TERRI SCHIAVO CRIED WHEN TOLD
> > THEY WERE TAKING THE FEEDING
> > TUBE OUT AND YELLED "I WANT TO LIVE"

Oh *bull-bucking-shit* in a texas cowpasture!

To quote numonet in alt.slact, who put it rather quite well:

"This whole thing is black humor. One one hand, you've
got a group willing to spend $20M of taxpayer money to
keep a someone with the brain of a left foot alive,
which would conclusively prove that Darwin was wrong
or something. Given their druthers, they'd keep that
feeding tube in until she bloated up from undigested
goop and exploded, which would be "the will of God." "

"And on the other hand, you've got another group that is
just about willing to go into her room and put a pillow
over her face while she screams "Don't kill me! I'm not
dead yet! My husband is trying to murder me! Somebody
please give me food!"; while her husband is desperately
trying to convince the doctors that it's just instinctual
babble and if someone would just let him have a handgun
this could all be over in a minute or two."

Now that Kathleen Anne Quinlan girl, they had to break her
spine to get her to shut up.

Well said N-M!
Let this bint die.
She will be better off for it and the xian luddites will go apeshit nuts
when she finally keels over like a light crusier with a hole in the
waterline...

3082. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 02:46:26 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111286786.503460.165070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104598

Here's a thread which describes quite succintly why the Internet and
"Ham Radio" should maintain some degree of separation, especially where
the transferral of binary files is concerned...

http://www.qrz.com/ib-bin/ikonboard.cgi?s=e94130db1c45ac6cc2609c7928329ab6;act=ST;f=7;t=85897

3083. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 02:53:03 UTC
From: Ron038547@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111287183.787640.145290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104599

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

3084. Liberty Net 3.958

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 03:16:41 UTC
From: bob <bobm400044@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4f8c7$423cec35$d1cc7b9e$22184@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 104600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Liberty Net is on 3.958 LSB - ON RIGHT NOW - with over 20+ check in's!

[Sat 19 March 2005 10:00 PM Eastern 3.958 LSB]

Looks like it's going to be a looooong Net this evening.

Best to get a BIG BOWL of POPCORN, put an extra
log on the fire, and enjoy some real Americans talking
real issues on the Shortwave and Ham bands all night long!

TUNE IN FOLKS...THE NET IS RUNNING RIGHT NOW !!!!

3085. Re: Liberty Net 3.958

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 03:24:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111289057.863041.262100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

bob wrote:
> Liberty Net is on 3.958 LSB - ON RIGHT NOW - with over 20+ check
in's!
>
> [Sat 19 March 2005 10:00 PM Eastern 3.958 LSB]
>
> Looks like it's going to be a looooong Net this evening.
>
> Best to get a BIG BOWL of POPCORN, put an extra
> log on the fire, and enjoy some real Americans talking
> real issues on the Shortwave and Ham bands all night long!
>
> TUNE IN FOLKS...THE NET IS RUNNING RIGHT NOW !!!!

If I had my radio hooked up I would check in....

todd N9OGL

3086. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 03:29:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111289395.647475.148870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104602

The boys on QRZ are just as moronic as these boys. They are ones who 1. Don't want change 2. the Anti-K1MAN group and 3. would waste their pathetic lives debating the CODE ISSUE.

Todd N9OGL

3087. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 03:45:59 UTC
From: carlong <carlong@razzorsvcs.net>
Message-ID: <d1irhu$ou8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104603

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:UD4%d.15944$qf2.13660@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>
>>
>> But that would go against Wannabe Rule Number One which is that one
>> must do it for oneself, even if it is much cheaper or much more
>> legal to use purchased or donated airtime.
>>
>> --
>
> Yes, but most people outgrow the pirate radio bug in college.
> If it's $100 an hour, and the FCC fines are around $11K, that
> would buy you 110 hours of legal air time in the BC SW station.
> And have better coverage. Few pirates can muster 50KW of RF.

Recent fs mx indicate the 3965 pirate was running close to 50kw
while he was simo on 6684 kHz.

3088. Re: Liberty Net 3.958

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 03:47:13 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies - Supreme TimeLORD <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1111290433.416235.92100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I have it playing on my Shoutcast Server right now!

http://tess.fast-serv.com:8364/listen.pls

3089. Re: Liberty Net 3.958

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 03:55:53 UTC
From: Tomy <nospam@noaddress>
Message-ID: <d1is37$q0v$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1111289057.863041.262100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

bob wrote:
> Liberty Net is on 3.958 LSB - ON RIGHT NOW - with over 20+ check
in's!
>
> [Sat 19 March 2005 10:00 PM Eastern 3.958 LSB]
>
> Looks like it's going to be a looooong Net this evening.
>
> Best to get a BIG BOWL of POPCORN, put an extra
> log on the fire, and enjoy some real Americans talking
> real issues on the Shortwave and Ham bands all night long!
>
> TUNE IN FOLKS...THE NET IS RUNNING RIGHT NOW !!!!

If I had my radio hooked up I would check in....

todd N9OGL

I'd rather watch TV.

3090. Re: Liberty Net 3.958

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 04:07:59 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies - Supreme TimeLORD <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1111291679.323047.198810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Tomy" <nospam@noaddress>

I'd rather watch TV.

You mean, the jewtube?

3091. Re: Liberty Net 3.958

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 04:12:27 UTC
From: Not any More <kk4tl@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111291947.035262.38830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Mr. Davies asks:
You mean, the jewtube?

We reply -
Uh ohhhhhh .. here we go again with those "filthy kazaharharhars".

Where's Bro. Lawrence when we need him.

3092. Re: Liberty Net 3.958

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 04:14:44 UTC
From: Lloyd A Davies <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1111292084.215210.45580@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Kk4TL,

Come on down and join me at Nim Busters!!

http://www.the-forum.us/nimbusters/

3093. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 04:27:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111292840.716029.69550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104609

Oh, many of us WANT change...but not at the expense of the -RADIO-
portion of the hobby. Internet =! Radio. That fact has been pointed out

numerous times by those who design, maintain and operate the existing
packet radio network

The internet is there so use it. Let me tell you a story and this is
the truth. In Taylorville there is three repeaters, One owned by the
local club, one owned by N9FU and one owned by me. Out of all three
repeaters the one that gets the most use by people is mine. The reason,
IT'S HOOKED UP TO THE INTERNET. without that internet connect that
repeater would be just as dead as the others. NO ONE, and believe me
there's a lot of people who use our system (within one month we had
over 400 people connected to our system, that's not counting people
reconnecting....we have the logs to prove it) and not one of those
people bitched and complained about how the internet and radio
shouldn't interact. instead, the praised the idea. The people who bitch
about the internet and radio should interact is either 1. Are too old
of an traditionalist and think they shouldn't interact or 2. Don't
understand it, thus fear it and tries to discourage others from doing
it. (most of both groups are IMHO are on QRZ)

Do amateur radio operators need a high-speed packet-radio
infrastructure? Probably. Moreover, one can be built to exclusively
utilize RF as its transport mechanism; the Internet need not enter into

the picture whatsoever.

true, as a matter of fact the system I'm purposing would have both
internet and RF high speed systems....I set te system up into Phases
(which I call Layers..The part you read on my site was part of Layer
01)

Once it's built and in place, the question arises: What to do with this

network? 10MBPS or higher would give excellent throughput for
high-volume traffic forwarding...which is about all you're going to be
able to -legally- do with it. (See previous remarks re: copyrighted
software, et al. The sentiment of most SysOps involved will be: It
ain't passing through my station. Period. ANY file other than
plaintext, that is...and rightly so.)

First off, as a copyright holder, which I am; I would like to point out
that there is some materials that are in one of the following
catagories. 1. FREEWARE: Software that is free to disturbute. 2. FAIR
USE: which is a small portion of a copyrighted material for comment,
educational, critizing, and parody. 3. Public Domain: works that are no

longer copyrighted (currently anything from 1922 on back is in public domain) Public Domain are also works the the creator has put into public domain, either by the own admission or by failing to renew their copyrights (for example the audio from a Greatful Dead concert is in Public Domain and may be distributed as long as that person is making money. The 1968 cult classic Night of the Living Dead is in Public Domain and may be distributed) ALL three catagorize above can be used on the system without the copyright owners permission. But the whole Idea is to make a user friendly system instead of typing ALL that crap like you have to in the BBS systems. Instead it would use a windows based system, the software would automatically connect you to the system (I would like to out at this time BBS could be set up the same way, without internet connection) and instead of typing LL 20 or L @USA you have a search box and type in what exactly what you looking for. It time to move away from this text based crap and move into the 21 century.

Again - how will you control access to this system? There's also the matter of network security - put such a "node" on the Internet and I guarantee that it will be hacked as soon a vulnerability with the system is found.

Just Like echolink does...when a ham first signs up and tries to connect to the nodes. The system will search the FCC database to make sure the callsign is vaild. As for "Hacker" yes, there could be some however the odds of a hacker trying to hack into it is off the scale. Echolink uses nodes on the internet and have not, to my knowledge anyway has ever been hacked. Not only would hackers be in trouble with the FCC but there are some tough hacker laws out now so they would be facing two charges.

Would you filter based on size alone? Actual content (type) of the files? MD5 hash? I (and every other comptuer-savvy individual on the planet) can easily bypass any of these schemes by manipulating the files in certain ways...if the filtering is done via database, it would

be enormous and a true PITA to keep up to date. Thus, it would be dropped in short order.

It would be based on size. A normal text file can be anywhere from 1K to 1M , while Music in a MP3 format is 2 to 3 MB, Movies run between 200 to 700 MB (depending on their format MEG, AVI, ect, ect.) The system I'm purposing is document system either a TXT, RTF, PDF, and all the other text formats. the idea is to create a virtual library which ham can exchange idea's and read and explore new idea (except those in 4 land....they can't read)

Looking at the whole proposal from a great height, it closely resembles

the existing AirMail/SailMail PACTOR systems...which operate under an entirely different set of rules and regulations than does amateur radio.

I've never heard of them......I do know amateurs are not restricted on what they can experiment in.

Todd N9OGL

3094. Re: Liberty Net 3.958

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 04:42:26 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111293746.396008.130030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks for the link

Todd N9OGL

3095. Re: Free HIGHSPEED Music DL Site!!! Better than kazaa and WinMX!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 04:54:09 UTC
From: John Mason <placerau@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <dg7%d.37388$6g7.17802@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 104611
Cross-posted to:
rec.autos.makers.ford.mustang,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.collecting.coins

andrewh36@hotmail.com wrote:

> Hey all! I found an excellent mp3 music website that is highspeed and
> has a huge archive and selection. And from what I can see there are
> many new albums listed for download.
>
> It's better than kazaa and WinMX! It's free and there are very few ads.
> Check it out before they get shutdown!
>
> www.mpfreedom.tk
>
Not working for me.......... maybe they got shut down already.

John

--
Your Friend in Gold Mining.............. John

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity

3096. Re: Lennie Digs Himself In Deeper...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 04:57:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111294652.899375.80580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104612

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > There I was...laying on the beach in Bora Bora with
Brittney
> > > Spears
> > > > when BAM BAM BAM...This loud sounds wakes me from my male
> > chauvanist
> > > > near-wet dream...
> > > >
> > > > And what do I find...?!?!
> > >
> > > Another hostile action? Another disability pension?
> >
> > Nope...Your bunkbuddy getting his words spoon-fed back to him.
>
> Bunkbuddy? Are you back on the homosexual inuendo? What's next, the
> pedophilia inuendo? Slashed tires?
>
> You're dispicable.

You're the one with the "homosexual innuendo" problem, Brian...

"Being in bed" with somoene is a common phrase to mean they share
the same goals/intent/purpose. This has been discussed with you
before.

However if you're "feeling the heat" from the phrase, may I
suggest that perhaps you have some unaddressed repressive issues that a
professional may be able help you to overcome (or perhaps open that
closet door for you...?!?!)

Come on now, Brian...where's the "slashed tires" et al post you
keep insisting is out there...?!

Steve, K4YZ

3097. Re: Here's Your Chance, Brain!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 05:01:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111294863.907101.274200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104613

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > There I was...laying on the beach in Bora Bora with
Brittney
> > > Spears
> > > > when BAM BAM BAM...This loud sounds wakes me from my male
> > chauvanist
> > > > near-wet dream...
> > > >
> > > > And what do I find...?!?!
> > >
> > > Another hostile action? Another disability pension?
> >
> > Nope...Your bunkbuddy getting his words spoon-fed back to him.
>
> Bunkbuddy? Are you back on the homosexual inuendo? What's next, the
> pedophilia inuendo? Slashed tires?
>
> You're dispicable.

You're the one with the "homosexual innuendo" problem, Brian...

"Being in bed" with somoene is a common phrase to mean they share
the same goals/intent/purpose. This has been discussed with you
before.

However if you're "feeling the heat" from the phrase, may I
suggest that perhaps you have some unaddressed repressive issues that a
professional may be able help you to overcome (or perhaps open that
closet door for you...?!?!)

Come on now, Brian...where's the "slashed tires" et al post you
keep insisting is out there...?!

Steve, K4YZ

PS:

As you no doubt notice, Brain, I am re-posting the replies to
comments in other threads here as well as in the thread in which they
originated.

The reason for that is so we can keep your misdirections and
non-compliance with requests for facts and quotes out in the open.

You keep lying and dodging, I'll keep them right under your (and everyone else's, including your kinfolks) nose.

Steve, K4YZ

3098. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 05:19:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111295940.836618.84060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104614

HERE'S ANOTHER.......

April 14, 2004

Mr. Glenn A. Baxter
RR 1 Box 776
Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918

RE: Amateur Radio license K1MAN

Dear Mr. Baxter:

This letter explains in what ways your Amateur station has come into compliance with Commission rules since our letter to you of January 29, 2002; and notifies you of two areas of operation that, if not corrected, will lead to enforcement action against your license and/or designation of your renewal application for a hearing.

By letter dated January 29, 2002, the Commission notified you that your understanding of various Amateur Radio Service rules was incorrect. We outlined your apparent misconceptions regarding station control, publishing a transmitting schedule and how that related to interference, threats made to licensee complainants, including threats made with so-called "felony complaint affidavits", broadcasting and broadcasting of tape recordings and telephone conversations.

The January 29 letter detailed complaints received by the Commission, and explained that your method of station control, i.e., "a timer from Radio Shack", did not achieve compliance with the Commission's Rules when you were not present at the control point for your station and, therefore, did not satisfy the Commission's Rules regarding automatic control of a station. We explained to you that to comply with the Commission's Rules you must be at the transmitter, or at the transmitter control point, every moment your station is transmitting when your station is locally or remotely controlled; and that if the station is controlled by telecommand from the control point using a radio link, the frequencies used for telecommand must comply with the Section 97.201 requirements for an auxiliary station (may transmit only on the 1.25 m and shorter wavelength bands, except 219-220, 222-222.150, 431-433 and 435-438 MHz segments).

By letter dated March 4, 2003, the Commission's Boston Office notified you that Commission monitoring and numerous complaints filed with the Commission indicated that the problems outlined in January 2002 had not been corrected. The letter stated that your Amateur station was apparently being used for broadcasting various programs having nothing to do with Amateur Radio; and that transmissions from your station were

being used for deliberate interference and for communications in which you apparently had a pecuniary interest. The Boston Office letter stated that transmissions started and ended erratically, were sometimes repetitive and abruptly ended with no identification as required by Commission rules, and that such operation indicated that the transmissions were not under proper control of a licensed operator. The letter noted also that you continually broadcast notices of so-called "felony complaint affidavits " that you claimed to have filed with the United States Justice Department against other Amateur Radio licensees whom you perceived to have interfered with your broadcasts, or refused to relinquish their operating frequency to you.

The Boston Office's letter stated that transmissions from your Amateur station included references to a degree program and directed listeners to your website that advertised an "American Radio School Technician Degree in Electronics" for "$299.95". On that web site you solicited donations for radio equipment, advertised a credit card, and solicited donations and advertised for "IARN" and "AARA". The letter from the Boston office pointed out that such use of your station was in apparent violation of Section 97.113(a)(3) of the Commission's rules, which prohibits "Communications for hire or for material compensation, direct or indirect...." and "Communications in which the station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary interest..."

The letter from the Boston Office requested that you provide substantial additional information about the operation of your station, including submission of a log detailing the information on a weekly basis until further notice. In January 2004, the Boston office notified you that you could discontinue the log submissions, and referred the case to this office.

We have reviewed the information you submitted, as well as numerous complaints filed against your station. Additionally we have reviewed tape recordings made by Commission personnel of your transmissions at various times during 2003 and 2004 subsequent to the Boston Office letter of March 3, 2003.

It is the finding of this office that you are, with some exceptions, generally in compliance with the Commission's rules in the Amateur Service related to broadcasting and information bulletins, and we explain as follows. Broadcasting is prohibited in the Amateur Radio Service, with some exceptions. Section 97.3(a)(10) defines broadcasting as "transmissions intended for reception by the general public, either direct or relayed." One-way transmissions are limited in the Amateur service, but an exception is allowed in Section 97.111(b)(6) for "Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins."

Information bulletins are defined by Section 97.3(a)(26) as messages "directed only to Amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the Amateur service." There are no specific time limits placed upon information bulletins by Commission rules. A review of your programs at random times since March 3, 2003 indicates that

your transmissions were directed to Amateur Radio operators, not to the general public, and that the individual bulletins were related to the Amateur Radio Service. The only notable exception was the offering of a reward for information leading to the identity of parties making threatening telephone calls to you.

The station control problems outlined to you in warnings from the Commission appear, with minor exceptions, to have been corrected. During the monitoring period your station abruptly ended transmission with no identification in one instance, and started transmissions in mid-sentence in another instance.

There are, however, two areas in the operation of your Amateur station that must be corrected in order to avoid enforcement action and/or a designation of your renewal application for hearing to determine if you are qualified to remain a licensee. These are: 1) deliberate interference resulting from your commencing operation on top of ongoing communications, in violation of Section 97.101(a) and (d); and 2) use of your Amateur station for pecuniary interests, in violation of Sections 97.113(a)(2)and (3).

Regarding deliberate interference, we receive continuing complaints, and our monitoring verifies, that your transmissions start up on top of existing communications of individual licensees as well as nets such as the Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio Network. Such operation constitutes deliberate interference. Stations engaging in ongoing communications are not obligated to stop transmitting when K1MAN wants to start transmitting on a frequency, and complainants are so advised by the Commission. You appear to believe that the publication of a transmission schedule gives you the right to begin transmitting on a certain frequency at a certain time, even if the frequency is occupied. It does not.

All frequencies in the Amateur Radio Service are shared--no frequency is assigned for the exclusive use of any station, and your Amateur station has no greater rights to a frequency at any particular time than any other Amateur station. Section 97.101(d) of the rules prohibits an Amateur station from willfully or maliciously interfering with any radio communication or signal. Moreover, publishing a schedule is merely one of the several conditions necessary for the control operator of a club station to accept compensation for transmitting information bulletins, pursuant to Section 97.113(d) of the Commission's rules. Your station K1MAN, however, is not a club station.

Regarding use of an Amateur station for pecuniary interest, we note that your Amateur Radio program transmissions regularly advertise your web page at www.K1man.com, and on those pages you advertise items for sale by the American Amateur Radio Association (AARA), including T shirts, hats and a "Technician Degree Diploma". You advertise the sales commissions and "overrides" that your State Directors and Section Managers can receive, and detail ways in which your Section Managers can earn money by recruiting members, selling hats, name badges or T

shirts.

Section 97.113(a)(2) prohibits communications for hire or for material compensation, direct or indirect, paid or promised. Section 97.113(a)(3) prohibits communications in which the station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary interest.

We remind you that any attempts to threaten or intimidate Amateur radio licensees operating on the Amateur bands will reflect adversely upon your qualifications to remain a Commission licensee, and would be the subject of a license revocation or renewal hearing. By letter dated December 11, 2001, you were warned against the sending of your so-called "felony complaint affidavits" to various Amateur Radio licenses that you perceive to either cause interference to your station or which do not relinquish to you the frequency on which they are operating.

Complainants were advised by the Commission to forward any such "affidavits" they received to the Commission, and that they could otherwise be ignored. The United States Attorney for your jurisdiction also warned you that the mailing of such "affidavits" is contrary to law. Apparently you have discontinued those threats. We also remind you that, in regard to the taping and broadcasting of telephone calls, you must comply with applicable state laws.

In conclusion, failure to correct the deliberate interference caused by K1MAN, and the continued use of K1MAN for pecuniary interests, will lead to enforcement action against your license. Either would be sufficient to designate your renewal application for an evidentiary hearing to determine if you are qualified to remain a Commission licensee.

An adverse finding in regard to threats to complainant licensees, or violation of state law regarding recording and broadcasting telephone conversations, would lead to enforcement action against your license and would be sufficient to designate your renewal application for an evidentiary hearing to determine if you are qualified to remain a Commission licensee. You would have the burden of proof in such a proceeding.

You should be aware that in 1990, the Commission revised its character qualifications policy, expanding the types of non-FCC-related misconduct that it would consider as bearing on licensee or applicant character qualifications (Policy Regarding Character Qualifications in Broadcast Licensing, Policy Statement and Order, 5 FCC RCD 3252 (1990) (Character Policy Statement), recon. granted in part, denied in part, 6 FCC Rcd 3448 (1991), further recon. granted, 7 FCC Rcd 6564 (1992). The Commission concluded that "a propensity to comply with the law generally is relevant to the Commission's public interest analysis, and that an applicant's or licensee's willingness to violate other laws, and, in particular, to commit felonies, also bears on our confidence that an applicant or licensee will conform to FCC rules and policies.

The 1990 Character Policy Statement applies to Amateur Radio licensees just as it does to all other FCC licensees (See, e.g., Herbert L. Schoenbohm, Decision, 13 FCC Rcd 15028 (1998), recon. denied, 13 FCC Rcd 23774 (1998), aff'd in part, dismissed in part sub nom. Schoenbohm v. FCC, 204 F.3d 243 (2000), cert. denied, 121 S. Ct. 405 (2000); Leslie D. Brewer, Order to Show Cause, Notice of Order of Suspension, Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, and Notice of Apparent Liability for a Forfeiture, 16 FCC Rcd 5671, licenses revoked, 16 FCC Rcd 12878 (2001).

Accordingly, we will continue to review the operation of K1MAN in light of the issues outlined above.

CC: FCC Northeastern Regional Director
FCC Boston Office District Director
Honorable Paula D. Silsby, United States Attorney, US Department of Justice, District of Maine
Timothy D. Wing, Assistant U.S. Attorney, U. S. Department of Justice, District of Maine

3099. Re: Liberty Net 3.958

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 05:21:55 UTC
From: bobisgay@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <1111296115.612437.139430@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I'd rather talk to my bestest friend Tommy Tubesteak. We talk about religion all nite and damn people that disagree with us. Join us and make fun of others.

3100. Re: Liberty Net 3.958

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 05:34:28 UTC
From: ole pollack <radioloverseieie@aolosers.com>
Message-ID: <cd871$423d0b7a$d8536fe2$24034@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 104616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<bobisgay@earthlink.com> wrote in message
news:1111296115.612437.139430@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> I'd rather talk to my bestest friend Tommy Tubesteak. We talk about
> religion all nite and damn people that disagree with us. Join us and
> make fun of others.

Didn't know that ole Tommy was still around!
What freq is he on and does he still kibbitz with
ole Bob in Va? Ain't herd them in years, they were
quite funny 2 listen to, esp after Tom got a couple
Budweisers inside of him!

3101. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 06:03:45 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111298625.740973.256230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104617
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

<<>>

What the fuck??? I'll stick with were I'm at. Where were you at to
begin with??? Creating a new invention in the toilet bowl???

3102. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 06:13:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111299194.860743.173870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104618
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Bathrooman wrote:
> <<>>
>
> What the fuck??? I'll stick with were I'm at. Where were you at to
> begin with??? Creating a new invention in the toilet bowl???

NO DOING YOU MAMA...

3103. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 06:13:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111299209.372251.187930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104619
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Bathrooman wrote:
> <<>>
>
> What the fuck??? I'll stick with were I'm at. Where were you at to
> begin with??? Creating a new invention in the toilet bowl???

NO DOING YOUR MAMA...

3104. Re: Liberty Net 3.958

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 07:30:04 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1ca6f086c617dd1a989800@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 104620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <d1is37$q0v$0@pita.alt.net>, nospam@noaddress says...
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1111289057.863041.262100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> bob wrote:
> > Liberty Net is on 3.958 LSB - ON RIGHT NOW - with over 20+ check
> in's!
> >
> > [Sat 19 March 2005 10:00 PM Eastern 3.958 LSB]
> >
> > Looks like it's going to be a looooong Net this evening.
> >
> > Best to get a BIG BOWL of POPCORN, put an extra
> > log on the fire, and enjoy some real Americans talking
> > real issues on the Shortwave and Ham bands all night long!
> >
> > TUNE IN FOLKS...THE NET IS RUNNING RIGHT NOW !!!!
>
> If I had my radio hooked up I would check in....
>
> todd N9OGL
>
>
>
> I'd rather watch TV.
>
>
>
>

I'd rather pick my nose...

BDK

3105. Re: Liberty Net 3.958

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 07:31:15 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1ca6f0ca410dd5eb989801@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 104621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <1111291679.323047.198810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
lloyd_vfp@msn.com says...
> Tomy" <nospam@noaddress>
>
> I'd rather watch TV.
>
> --------
> You mean, the jewtube?
>
>

Yeah, that's what he meant, scumbag...

Still a rotten stinking POS eh LLoyd??

BDK

3106. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 09:00:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111309239.915681.121260@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104622
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

N9OGL wrote:
> Bathrooman wrote:
> > <<>>
> >
> > What the fuck??? I'll stick with were I'm at. Where were you at
to
> > begin with??? Creating a new invention in the toilet bowl???
>
> NO DOING YOU MAMA...

Excuse me?

WHAT college are you about to graduate from?

I bet they are proud of you, Todd.

If I were you, though, I'd get my money back for whatever English
courses you enrolled in. They obviously failed.

Steve, K4YZ

3107. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 09:05:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111309513.465833.35290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104623

N9OGL wrote:
> HERE'S ANOTHER.......
>
> April 14, 2004
>
> Mr. Glenn A. Baxter
> RR 1 Box 776
> Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918
>
> RE: Amateur Radio license K1MAN

BUNCH OF STUFF SNIPPED:

> In conclusion, failure to correct the deliberate interference caused
by
> K1MAN, and the continued use of K1MAN for pecuniary interests, will
> lead to enforcement action against your license. Either would be
> sufficient to designate your renewal application for an evidentiary
> hearing to determine if you are qualified to remain a Commission
> licensee.
>
>
> An adverse finding in regard to threats to complainant licensees, or
> violation of state law regarding recording and broadcasting telephone
> conversations, would lead to enforcement action against your license
> and would be sufficient to designate your renewal application for an
> evidentiary hearing to determine if you are qualified to remain a
> Commission licensee. You would have the burden of proof in such a
> proceeding.
>
>
> You should be aware that in 1990, the Commission revised its
character
> qualifications policy, expanding the types of non-FCC-related
> misconduct that it would consider as bearing on licensee or applicant
> character qualifications (Policy Regarding Character Qualifications
in
> Broadcast Licensing, Policy Statement and Order, 5 FCC RCD 3252
(1990)
> (Character Policy Statement), recon. granted in part, denied in part,
6
> FCC Rcd 3448 (1991), further recon. granted, 7 FCC Rcd 6564 (1992).
The
> Commission concluded that "a propensity to comply with the law
> generally is relevant to the Commission's public interest analysis,

and
> that an applicant's or licensee's willingness to violate other laws,
> and, in particular, to commit felonies, also bears on our confidence
> that an applicant or licensee will conform to FCC rules and policies.
> The 1990 Character Policy Statement applies to Amateur Radio
licensees
> just as it does to all other FCC licensees (See, e.g., Herbert L.
> Schoenbohm, Decision, 13 FCC Rcd 15028 (1998), recon. denied, 13 FCC
> Rcd 23774 (1998), aff'd in part, dismissed in part sub nom.
Schoenbohm
> v. FCC, 204 F.3d 243 (2000), cert. denied, 121 S. Ct. 405 (2000);
> Leslie D. Brewer, Order to Show Cause, Notice of Order of Suspension,
> Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, and Notice of Apparent Liability
for
> a Forfeiture, 16 FCC Rcd 5671, licenses revoked, 16 FCC Rcd 12878
> (2001).
>
> Accordingly, we will continue to review the operation of K1MAN in
light
> of the issues outlined above.
>
>
> CC: FCC Northeastern Regional Director
> FCC Boston Office District Director
> Honorable Paula D. Silsby, United States Attorney, US Department of
> Justice, District of Maine
> Timothy D. Wing, Assistant U.S. Attorney, U. S. Department of
Justice,
> District of Maine

My claims substantiated by Todd's work.

Thanks, Todd! You may be an idiot, but I certainly can't call you
a SELFISH idiot...

Steve, K4YZ

3108. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 12:16:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111320978.290192.184930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104624

Interfernce is one thing but the content of the station is
another....1. I'm not interferning with any station, 2. I'm not
recording any telephone calls, and 3. I'm not doinbg anything of a
Pecuniary Interest, my programsm are only directed to the amateur radio
service and thus, legal!!
Todd N9OGL

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > HERE'S ANOTHER.e......
> >
> > April 14, 2004
> >
> > Mr. Glenn A. Baxter
> > RR 1 Box 776
> > Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918
> >
> > RE: Amateur Radio license K1MAN
>
> BUNCH OF STUFF SNIPPED:
>
> > In conclusion, failure to correct the deliberate interference
caused
> by
> > K1MAN, and the continued use of K1MAN for pecuniary interests, will
> > lead to enforcement action against your license. Either would be
> > sufficient to designate your renewal application for an evidentiary
> > hearing to determine if you are qualified to remain a Commission
> > licensee.
> >
> >
> > An adverse finding in regard to threats to complainant licensees,
or
> > violation of state law regarding recording and broadcasting
telephone
> > conversations, would lead to enforcement action against your
license
> > and would be sufficient to designate your renewal application for
an
> > evidentiary hearing to determine if you are qualified to remain a
> > Commission licensee. You would have the burden of proof in such a
> > proceeding.
> >
> >

> > You should be aware that in 1990, the Commission revised its
> character
> > qualifications policy, expanding the types of non-FCC-related
> > misconduct that it would consider as bearing on licensee or
applicant
> > character qualifications (Policy Regarding Character Qualifications
> in
> > Broadcast Licensing, Policy Statement and Order, 5 FCC RCD 3252
> (1990)
> > (Character Policy Statement), recon. granted in part, denied in
part,
> 6
> > FCC Rcd 3448 (1991), further recon. granted, 7 FCC Rcd 6564 (1992).
> The
> > Commission concluded that "a propensity to comply with the law
> > generally is relevant to the Commission's public interest analysis,
> and
> > that an applicant's or licensee's willingness to violate other
laws,
> > and, in particular, to commit felonies, also bears on our
confidence
> > that an applicant or licensee will conform to FCC rules and
policies.
> > The 1990 Character Policy Statement applies to Amateur Radio
> licensees
> > just as it does to all other FCC licensees (See, e.g., Herbert L.
> > Schoenbohm, Decision, 13 FCC Rcd 15028 (1998), recon. denied, 13
FCC
> > Rcd 23774 (1998), aff'd in part, dismissed in part sub nom.
> Schoenbohm
> > v. FCC, 204 F.3d 243 (2000), cert. denied, 121 S. Ct. 405 (2000);
> > Leslie D. Brewer, Order to Show Cause, Notice of Order of
Suspension,
> > Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, and Notice of Apparent Liability
> for
> > a Forfeiture, 16 FCC Rcd 5671, licenses revoked, 16 FCC Rcd 12878
> > (2001).
> >
> > Accordingly, we will continue to review the operation of K1MAN in
> light
> > of the issues outlined above.
> >
> >
> > CC: FCC Northeastern Regional Director
> > FCC Boston Office District Director
> > Honorable Paula D. Silsby, United States Attorney, US Department of
> > Justice, District of Maine
> > Timothy D. Wing, Assistant U.S. Attorney, U. S. Department of
> Justice,
> > District of Maine

>
> My claims substantiated by Todd's work.
>
> Thanks, Todd! You may be an idiot, but I certainly can't call
you
> a SELFISH idiot...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

This by the way is a warning letter.

Todd N9OGL

3109. Re: Lennie Digs Himself In Deeper...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 12:36:24 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111322184.511431.285450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104625

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > There I was...laying on the beach in Bora Bora with
> Brittney
> > > > Spears
> > > > > when BAM BAM BAM...This loud sounds wakes me from my male
> > > chauvanist
> > > > > near-wet dream...
> > > > >
> > > > > And what do I find...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Another hostile action? Another disability pension?
> > >
> > > Nope...Your bunkbuddy getting his words spoon-fed back to
him.
> >
> > Bunkbuddy? Are you back on the homosexual inuendo? What's next,
the
> > pedophilia inuendo? Slashed tires?
> >
> > You're dispicable.
>
> You're the one with the "homosexual innuendo" problem, Brian...
>
> "Being in bed" with somoene is a common phrase to mean they
share
> the same goals/intent/purpose. This has been discussed with you
> before.

"Bunkbuddy" is a different phrase than "being in bed with."

And if you wished to say that Len and I have the same goals, intent,
and purpose, then you could have said that. Instead you give us
inuendo and obscure your actual goal, intent, and purpose. Or have
you? I think not.

> However if you're "feeling the heat" from the phrase, may I
> suggest that perhaps you have some unaddressed repressive issues that
a
> professional may be able help you to overcome (or perhaps open that
> closet door for you...?!?!)

And you say you don't engage in homosexual inuendo?

You lie.

3110. Re: K0HB, Lennie of the North, Returns To RRAP And Comes Out Slinging!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 12:38:35 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111322315.079011.203150@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104626

An Anonymous Remailer wrote:

> K4YZ is quick to demand proof and slow to produce proof.

And he lies.

3111. K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 12:52:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111323165.380241.102290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104627

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len
and
> > > >
> > > > Brian
> > > >
> > > >>K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's
> demise
> > > >
> > > > are
> > > >
> > > >>greatly exxagerated......
> > > >
> > > > No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some
> will
> > have
> > > > to wait for retirement.
> > >
> > > That's the spirit, Brian!
> >
> > Notice, Mike, that your comments about "taking lumps" were
> > SPECIFICALLY directed at the OTHER Brian, yet Brian Burke jumped in
> to
> > say "no lumps"...?!?!
> >
> > Maybe if he weren't always so prompt to be the antagonizer he
> > would have realized that you WEREN'T talking about HIM,
Mike...?!?!!
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, you sure are one twisted up little man. How in the world can
> not giving someone lumps be classified as being "the antagonizer?"
>
> Nurse, heal thyself.

I asked how not giving someone lumps can make them the antagonizer.

Apparently K4YZ doesn't know.

Can any of the K4YZ apologists help him with this?

3112. Re: Brian P Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 13:00:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111323616.182617.147740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104628

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:

> > > I take no warnings, instructions nor advice from you.
> > >
> > > You'd best make an exception where my family is concerned.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > I've not threatened you or your family. You can snort and stomp
> > in the dirt all you want to, Brain...Won't do you a bit of good.
> >
> > I HAVE asked you what are you going to do when your son get's
> > inquisitive years from now and starts to research what kind of guy
> > "Dad" is....

You're leaving something out, Steve. You do that when you're trying to worm your way out of something.

You suggested that you should have "equal time" with my son. I suggest that you don't even try.

3113. Re: Brian P Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 13:50:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111326654.843385.198300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104629

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > I take no warnings, instructions nor advice from you.
> > >
> > > You'd best make an exception where my family is concerned.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > I've not threatened you or your family. You can snort and
stomp
> > in the dirt all you want to, Brain...Won't do you a bit of good.
> >
> > I HAVE asked you what are you going to do when your son get's
> > inquisitive years from now and starts to research what kind of guy
> > "Dad" is....
>
> You're leaving something out, Steve. You do that when you're trying
to
> worm your way out of something.
>
> You suggested that you should have "equal time" with my son. I
suggest
> that you don't even try.

I've left nothing out, Brian.

Allow me to reiterate:

QUOTE:

"I've not threatened you or your family. You can snort and stomp
in the dirat all you want to, Brain...Won't do you a bit of good.

I HAVE asked you what you are going to do when your son get's
inquisitive years from now and starts to research what kind of guy
"Dad" is...

UNQUOTE

None of that has changed.

By making sure that I prominently address these threads and keep
YOUR lies and YOUR misdeeds up front, I can assure you that any claims
of "whacko" you may make to your son about me will be off-set when he

gets a chance to see what you were REALLY like.

You can keep making all the "whako", "crazy" and "nuts"
allegations about me you want to, Brain But the threads all eventually
funnel backwards to some beginning, and he WILL see just how assinine
you acted in public.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

3114. More Brian P Burke...He Just Doesn't Learn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 13:53:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111326789.817016.40680@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104630

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > I take no warnings, instructions nor advice from you.
> > >
> > > You'd best make an exception where my family is concerned.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > I've not threatened you or your family. You can snort and
stomp
> > in the dirt all you want to, Brain...Won't do you a bit of good.
> >
> > I HAVE asked you what are you going to do when your son get's
> > inquisitive years from now and starts to research what kind of guy
> > "Dad" is....
>
> You're leaving something out, Steve. You do that when you're trying
to
> worm your way out of something.
>
> You suggested that you should have "equal time" with my son. I
suggest
> that you don't even try.

I've left nothing out, Brian.

Allow me to reiterate:

QUOTE:

"I've not threatened you or your family. You can snort and stomp
in the dirat all you want to, Brain...Won't do you a bit of good.

I HAVE asked you what you are going to do when your son get's
inquisitive years from now and starts to research what kind of guy
"Dad" is...

UNQUOTE

None of that has changed.

By making sure that I prominently address these threads and keep
YOUR lies and YOUR misdeeds up front, I can assure you that any claims
of "whacko" you may make to your son about me will be off-set when he

gets a chance to see what you were REALLY like.

You can keep making all the "whako", "crazy" and "nuts"
allegations about me you want to, Brain But the threads all eventually
funnel backwards to some beginning, and he WILL see just how assinine
you acted in public.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

3115. Re: K0HB, Lennie of the North, Returns To RRAP And Comes Out Slinging!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 13:56:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111327013.162594.21820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104631

bb wrote:
> An Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>
> > K4YZ is quick to demand proof and slow to produce proof.
>
> And he lies.

So far, other than refusing to tell you the nature of my military duties, you have nothing to "back up" that assertion, Brain.

And the reason I don't discuss THOSE matters with you is that the time I DID discuss with you (in private e-mail), YOU violated my trust by bringing those private e-mails to the NG contrary to your agreement to not do it.

Now...How's your kid gonna feel about Dad acting like that???

Steve, K4YZ

3116. Re: More Brian P Burke...He Just Doesn't Learn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 13:57:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111327065.484044.148090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104632

bb wrote:
> An Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>
> > K4YZ is quick to demand proof and slow to produce proof.
>
> And he lies.

So far, other than refusing to tell you the nature of my military duties, you have nothing to "back up" that assertion, Brain.

And the reason I don't discuss THOSE matters with you is that the time I DID discuss with you (in private e-mail), YOU violated my trust by bringing those private e-mails to the NG contrary to your agreement to not do it.

Now...How's your kid gonna feel about Dad acting like that???

Steve, K4YZ

3117. Re: Lennie Digs Himself In Deeper...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 14:04:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111327482.274698.125190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104633

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There I was...laying on the beach in Bora Bora with
> > Brittney
> > > > > > Spears
> > > > > > > when BAM BAM BAM...This loud sounds wakes me from my male
> > > > chauvanist
> > > > > > > near-wet dream...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > And what do I find...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Another hostile action? Another disability pension?
> > > > >
> > > > Nope...Your bunkbuddy getting his words spoon-fed back to
> him.
> > > >
> > > Bunkbuddy? Are you back on the homosexual inuendo? What's next,
> the
> > > pedophilia inuendo? Slashed tires?
> > >
> > > You're dispicable.
> >
> > You're the one with the "homosexual innuendo" problem,
Brian...
> >
> > "Being in bed" with somoene is a common phrase to mean they
> share
> > the same goals/intent/purpose. This has been discussed with you
> > before.
>
> "Bunkbuddy" is a different phrase than "being in bed with."
>
> And if you wished to say that Len and I have the same goals, intent,
> and purpose, then you could have said that. Instead you give us
> inuendo and obscure your actual goal, intent, and purpose. Or have
> you? I think not.
>
> > However if you're "feeling the heat" from the phrase, may I
> > suggest that perhaps you have some unaddressed repressive issues

that
> a
> > professional may be able help you to overcome (or perhaps open that
> > closet door for you...?!?!)
>
> And you say you don't engage in homosexual inuendo?

That's not homosexual innuendo, Brian.

YOU are the one who is constantly bringing up homosexuality. You
then try to re-direct the conversation in such a way as to deflect the
comments away from you, but it only makes the act even more glaring.

> You lie.

Nope. Keep trying, Brain...Posterity is watching.

Steve, K4YZ

3118. Re: More Brian P Burke...He Just Doesn't Learn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 14:05:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111327522.575232.165240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104634

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There I was...laying on the beach in Bora Bora with
> > Brittney
> > > > > Spears
> > > > > > when BAM BAM BAM...This loud sounds wakes me from my male
> > > > chauvanist
> > > > > > near-wet dream...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And what do I find...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Another hostile action? Another disability pension?
> > > >
> > > > Nope...Your bunkbuddy getting his words spoon-fed back to
> him.
> > >
> > > Bunkbuddy? Are you back on the homosexual inuendo? What's next,
> the
> > > pedophilia inuendo? Slashed tires?
> > >
> > > You're dispicable.
> >
> > You're the one with the "homosexual innuendo" problem,
Brian...
> >
> > "Being in bed" with somoene is a common phrase to mean they
> share
> > the same goals/intent/purpose. This has been discussed with you
> > before.
>
> "Bunkbuddy" is a different phrase than "being in bed with."
>
> And if you wished to say that Len and I have the same goals, intent,
> and purpose, then you could have said that. Instead you give us
> inuendo and obscure your actual goal, intent, and purpose. Or have
> you? I think not.
>
> > However if you're "feeling the heat" from the phrase, may I
> > suggest that perhaps you have some unaddressed repressive issues

that
> a
> > professional may be able help you to overcome (or perhaps open that
> > closet door for you...?!?!)
>
> And you say you don't engage in homosexual inuendo?

That's not homosexual innuendo, Brian.

YOU are the one who is constantly bringing up homosexuality. You
then try to re-direct the conversation in such a way as to deflect the
comments away from you, but it only makes the act even more glaring.

> You lie.

Nope. Keep trying, Brain...Posterity is watching.

Steve, K4YZ

3119. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 14:15:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111328122.682365.246440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104635

N9OGL wrote: (I added the attributes since Todd doesn't know how...)

> K4YZ wrote
> > My claims substantiated by Todd's work.
> >
> > Thanks, Todd! You may be an idiot, but I certainly can't call
> you
> > a SELFISH idiot...
>
> Interfernce is one thing but the content of the station is
> another....1. I'm not interferning with any station, 2. I'm not
> recording any telephone calls, and 3. I'm not doinbg anything of a
> Pecuniary Interest, my programsm are only directed to the amateur
radio
> service and thus, legal!!

So how do you know you are not interfering with anyone since you
are making one-way transmissions?

And what are you doing about collecting a rebate for the English
portions of your soon-to-be-finished "college" education?

> This by the way is a warning letter.

Yep. It sure was. And it was proof of exactly what I had been
saying about Baxter's track record of misconduct on the air and his
frequent run-in's with the FCC.

At some point in time the camel's back will have exceeded it's
load carrying capability...then plop...straw all over the place...

So far your rants have only substantiated EXACTLY what I have said
about parallels between you and Baxter.

Why aren't you paying attention?

Steve, K4YZ

3120. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 14:21:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111328497.120636.190780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104636

N9OGL wrote: (but still refuses to attribute quotes he makes)

>> the existing AirMail/SailMail PACTOR systems...which operate under
an
>> entirely different set of rules and regulations than does amateur
>> radio.
>
> I've never heard of them......I do know amateurs are not restricted
on
> what they can experiment in.

Todd...Why don't you try a couple of coffee cans with some
monofilament line stretched between them...That's what your "idea" (not
invention) amounts to...

Steve, K4YZ

3121. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 15:27:20 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <l46dnbtO2rvJC6DfRVn-jw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104637

So true, because as you said
"If I had my radio hooked up I would check in...."
so you can't get on the lib net,and you can't cause Interfernce! Your
radio's not hooked up, you inventions aren't listed, you're here all day
posting bs. Why not just get an FRS radio and do your brodcast on it?

N9OGL wrote:
> Interfernce is one thing but the content of the station is
> another....1. I'm not interferning with any station, 2. I'm not
> recording any telephone calls, and 3. I'm not doinbg anything of a
> Pecuniary Interest, my programsm are only directed to the amateur radio
> service and thus, legal!!
> Todd N9OGL
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>HERE'S ANOTHER.e......
>>>
>>>April 14, 2004
>>>
>>>Mr. Glenn A. Baxter
>>>RR 1 Box 776
>>>Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918
>>>
>>>RE: Amateur Radio license K1MAN
>>
>> BUNCH OF STUFF SNIPPED:
>>
>>
>>>In conclusion, failure to correct the deliberate interference
>
> caused
>
>>by
>>
>>>K1MAN, and the continued use of K1MAN for pecuniary interests, will
>>>lead to enforcement action against your license. Either would be
>>>sufficient to designate your renewal application for an evidentiary
>>>hearing to determine if you are qualified to remain a Commission
>>>licensee.
>>>
>>>

>>>An adverse finding in regard to threats to complainant licensees,
>
> or
>
>>>violation of state law regarding recording and broadcasting
>
> telephone
>
>>>conversations, would lead to enforcement action against your
>
> license
>
>>>and would be sufficient to designate your renewal application for
>
> an
>
>>>evidentiary hearing to determine if you are qualified to remain a
>>>Commission licensee. You would have the burden of proof in such a
>>>proceeding.
>>>
>>>
>>>You should be aware that in 1990, the Commission revised its
>>
>>character
>>
>>>qualifications policy, expanding the types of non-FCC-related
>>>misconduct that it would consider as bearing on licensee or
>
> applicant
>
>>>character qualifications (Policy Regarding Character Qualifications
>>
>>in
>>
>>>Broadcast Licensing, Policy Statement and Order, 5 FCC RCD 3252
>>
>>(1990)
>>
>>>(Character Policy Statement), recon. granted in part, denied in
>
> part,
>
>>6
>>
>>>FCC Rcd 3448 (1991), further recon. granted, 7 FCC Rcd 6564 (1992).
>>
>>The
>>
>>>Commission concluded that "a propensity to comply with the law
>>>generally is relevant to the Commission's public interest analysis,

>>
>>and
>>
>>>that an applicant's or licensee's willingness to violate other
>
> laws,
>
>>>and, in particular, to commit felonies, also bears on our
>
> confidence
>
>>>that an applicant or licensee will conform to FCC rules and
>
> policies.
>
>>>The 1990 Character Policy Statement applies to Amateur Radio
>>
>>licensees
>>
>>>just as it does to all other FCC licensees (See, e.g., Herbert L.
>>>Schoenbohm, Decision, 13 FCC Rcd 15028 (1998), recon. denied, 13
>
> FCC
>
>>>Rcd 23774 (1998), aff'd in part, dismissed in part sub nom.
>>
>>Schoenbohm
>>
>>>v. FCC, 204 F.3d 243 (2000), cert. denied, 121 S. Ct. 405 (2000);
>>>Leslie D. Brewer, Order to Show Cause, Notice of Order of
>
> Suspension,
>
>>>Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, and Notice of Apparent Liability
>>
>>for
>>
>>>a Forfeiture, 16 FCC Rcd 5671, licenses revoked, 16 FCC Rcd 12878
>>>(2001).
>>>
>>>Accordingly, we will continue to review the operation of K1MAN in
>>
>>light
>>
>>>of the issues outlined above.
>>>
>>>
>>>CC: FCC Northeastern Regional Director
>>>FCC Boston Office District Director
>>>Honorable Paula D. Silsby, United States Attorney, US Department of

>>>Justice, District of Maine
>>>Timothy D. Wing, Assistant U.S. Attorney, U. S. Department of
>>
>>Justice,
>>
>>>District of Maine
>>
>> My claims substantiated by Todd's work.
>>
>> Thanks, Todd! You may be an idiot, but I certainly can't call
>
> you
>
>>a SELFISH idiot...
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> This by the way is a warning letter.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

3122. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 15:30:09 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <l46dnbpO2rtgC6DfRVn-jw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104638
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

N9OGL wrote:

> Bathrooman wrote:
>
>><<>>
>>
>>What the fuck??? I'll stick with were I'm at. Where were you at to
>>begin with??? Creating a new invention in the toilet bowl???
>
>
> NO DOING YOU MAMA...

Now that's a real come back by a college educated person!

3123. Re: Free HIGHSPEED Music DL Site!!! Better than kazaa and WinMX!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 16:49:22 UTC
From: Jerry Dennis <JDen1952@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111337362.078239.225630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104639
Cross-posted to:
rec.autos.makers.ford.mustang,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.collecting.coins

e wrote:
> In article <dg7%d.37388$6g7.17802@bignews1.bellsouth.net>, John Mason
<placerau@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> >andrewh36@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >> Hey all! I found an excellent mp3 music website that is highspeed
and
> >> has a huge archive and selection. And from what I can see there
are
> >> many new albums listed for download.
> >>
> >> It's better than kazaa and WinMX! It's free and there are very few
ads.
> >> Check it out before they get shutdown!
> >>
> >> www.mpfreedom.tk
> >>
> > Not working for me..... maybe they got shut down already.
> >
> >John
> >
> you're already at the best source for music.
> usenet is music.

When ever I hear the distinctive ring of a silver coin in my change,
THAT'S music!

Jerry
Music is extremely limited at this time.

3124. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 17:38:07 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <esidne9zq_KtK6DfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104640
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

KC8GXW wrote:
>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> Bathrooman wrote:
>>
>>> <<>>
>>>
>>> What the fuck??? I'll stick with were I'm at. Where were you at to
>>> begin with??? Creating a new invention in the toilet bowl???
>>
>>
>>
>> NO DOING YOU MAMA...
>
>
> Now that's a real come back by a college educated person!
>

He claims to be going to college, but there is no evidence of any
education yet.

3125. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 17:57:21 UTC
From: Ron038548@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111341441.041333.33480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104641

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

3126. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 18:10:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111342252.228376.150850@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104642

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote: (but still refuses to attribute quotes he makes)
>
> >> the existing AirMail/SailMail PACTOR systems...which operate under
> an
> >> entirely different set of rules and regulations than does amateur
> >> radio.
> >
> > I've never heard of them......I do know amateurs are not restricted
> on
> > what they can experiment in.
>
> Todd...Why don't you try a couple of coffee cans with some
> monofilament line stretched between them...That's what your "idea"
(not
> invention) amounts to...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

NICE....coming from a appliance user....like i stated before, you have have an idea first before you do anything...but since your an appliance users, I can understand that you don't understand how it works.....but here's an idea why don't back to QRZ with all the other applicance users....and debate more about BPL, or the CODE issue.

3127. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 18:41:30 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111344090.943149.20460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104643

The only thing Todd ever invented was a turd in a toilet.

3128. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 18:57:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111345038.202208.117920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104644

So how do you know you are not interfering with anyone since you
are making one-way transmissions?

I pick a unused frequency and ask three times if the frequency is in
use? if no one answers I uses it, Unlike K1MAN and W1AW who just begins
transmitting. If you don't answer or I don't hear then then too bad,
I'm using the frequency.

Yep. It sure was. And it was proof of exactly what I had been
saying about Baxter's track record of misconduct on the air and his
frequent run-in's with the FCC

It seems to me that you 1. don't really know what an warning letter is
or 2. don't know how the FCC process works.

So far your rants have only substantiated EXACTLY what I have said
about parallels between you and Baxter.

Why aren't you paying attention?

In what way???? The FCC if you didn't read stated:

"It is the finding of this office that you are, with some exceptions,
generally in compliance with the Commission's rules in the Amateur
Service related to broadcasting and information bulletins, and we
explain as follows. Broadcasting is prohibited in the Amateur Radio
Service, with some exceptions. Section 97.3(a)(10) defines broadcasting

as "transmissions intended for reception by the general public, either
direct or relayed." One-way transmissions are limited in the Amateur
service, but an exception is allowed in Section 97.111(b)(6) for
"Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins."

Information bulletins are defined by Section 97.3(a)(26) as messages
"directed only to Amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter

of direct interest to the Amateur service." There are no specific time
limits placed upon information bulletins by Commission rules. A review
of your programs at random times since March 3, 2003 indicates that
your transmissions were directed to Amateur Radio operators, not to the

general public, and that the individual bulletins were related to the
Amateur Radio Service. The only notable exception was the offering of a

reward for information leading to the identity of parties making
threatening telephone calls to you."

you can't get it through you dumbfuck head that my Information
Bulletins ae LEGAL!!!!!!!!

3129. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 19:01:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111345295.894353.292820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104645

First off I have better things to do then talk on the radio....unlike
you I HAVE A LIFE!!! Secondly who said I was going to interfer???
Finally, as for my Inventions I DON'T HAVE TO SHOW YOU OR PROVE TO YOU
SHIT
I keep my information bulletins on the ham bands thank you very much!

todd n9ogl

3130. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 19:10:38 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111345838.085978.310470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104646

Toddy has a real gift - He meets the needs of the ham community by providing informational bulletin shows of great importance, just like K1MAN.

3131. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 19:27:11 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-to-Hams <Doc@drforhams.net>
Message-ID: <d1kilv$c9b$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104647

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1111342252.228376.150850@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> N9OGL wrote: (but still refuses to attribute quotes he makes)
>>
>> >> the existing AirMail/SailMail PACTOR systems...which operate under
>> an
>> >> entirely different set of rules and regulations than does amateur
>> >> radio.
>> >
>> > I've never heard of them......I do know amateurs are not restricted
>> on
>> > what they can experiment in.
>>
>> Todd...Why don't you try a couple of coffee cans with some
>> monofilament line stretched between them...That's what your "idea"
> (not
>> invention) amounts to...
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
> NICE....coming from a appliance user....like i stated before, you have
> have an idea first before you do anything...but since your an appliance
> users, I can understand that you don't understand how it works.....but
> here's an idea why don't back to QRZ with all the other applicance
> users....and debate more about BPL, or the CODE issue.
>

LOL! Well said Todd & so true.

Dr Ham

3132. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 19:30:29 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111347029.771714.118660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104649

<<<NO DOING YOU MAMA... >>>

Great...he's attending a BLACK college...

3133. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 19:32:15 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-to-Hams <Doc@drforhams.net>
Message-ID: <d1kivf$d06$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104648

K4YZ needs to check his news headers. His postings are
being misrouted.

3134. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 19:50:57 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111348257.062778.243960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104650

Omelet Joe Momma

3135. W5YR Is Gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 20:21:49 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1111350109.552889.325530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104651

Dropped into my inbox this morning from K3CT via the club reflector:

"There is a note on the Icom reflector that George, W5YR passed away
while undergoing heart surgery Thursday night. George was 75."

George having been one of the leading NCTAs.

w3rv

3136. Re: W5YR Is Gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 20:28:55 UTC
From: JJ <none@jjonennecom.com>
Message-ID: <d1km9mjuv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104652

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1111350109.552889.325530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dropped into my inbox this morning from K3CT via the club reflector:
>
> "There is a note on the Icom reflector that George, W5YR passed away
> while undergoing heart surgery Thursday night. George was 75."
>
> George having been one of the leading NCTAs.
>
> w3rv
>

Never heard of him.

JJ

3137. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 20:36:15 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <upidnZqZCKAnQ6DfRVn-tg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104653

N9OGL wrote:

> First off I have better things to do then talk on the radio....unlike
> you I HAVE A LIFE!!!

You are the one who says their life is to broadcast, but I guess your "better things to do are hang out here all day and post BS.

>Secondly who said I was going to interfer???

Well I said you can't interfere if your radio isn't hooked up!

> Finally, as for my Inventions I DON'T HAVE TO SHOW YOU OR PROVE TO YOU
> SHIT

You're correct you don't have to prove shit to anybody, BUT to quote you

"For your information you redneck, I've started another page where I plan to post all my idea's and inventions.....my question to you is, what the have you ever invented.....Answer NOTHING, because like all the other licensed CB operators on here you don't have the brains to invent, only to badmouth people on and off the air.

Todd N9OGL

http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/ "

I think your CAPS LOCK key got stuck in mid-sentance!

> I keep my information bulletins on the ham bands thank you very much!

How? Your radio isn't hooked up!

> todd n9ogl

You know todd, I was on your side for a while, but I see you are nothing but an uneducated, illiterate, racist, braggart who is full of BS. Make the world a better place and go away!

3138. Re: W5YR Is Gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 20:41:58 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1111351318.008582.149470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104654

JJ wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111350109.552889.325530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Dropped into my inbox this morning from K3CT via the club
reflector:
> >
> > "There is a note on the Icom reflector that George, W5YR passed
away
> > while undergoing heart surgery Thursday night. George was 75."
> >
> > George having been one of the leading NCTAs.
> >
> > w3rv
> >
>
>
> Never heard of him.

Electronics guru and avid NCTA, the "Yellow Rose of Texas".

>
> JJ

3139. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 20:49:02 UTC
From: Julio DeJesus <salvi145@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1111351742.116581.266660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104655

Bathrooman wrote:
> <<<NO DOING YOU MAMA... >>>
>
> Great...he's attending a BLACK college...

Yeah Bathrooman, this Toddy is a real idiot. I can't believe that he was even able to get into a black college. His writing skills are absolutely atrocious.
Also, he has this stupid ass blog that is so totally useless. He should eventually take a course at a real college before he gets on these newsgroups and blog pages and makes a total ass out of himself.

Julio DeJesus

3140. Re: W5YR Is Gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 21:03:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <423DE4F7.855FDB69@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104656

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> Dropped into my inbox this morning from K3CT via the club reflector:
>
> "There is a note on the Icom reflector that George, W5YR passed away
> while undergoing heart surgery Thursday night. George was 75."
>
> George having been one of the leading NCTAs.

...and a leading sorehead on the Ten-Tec reflector.

Dave K8MN

3141. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 22:01:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111356118.276124.230240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104657

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > First off I have better things to do then talk on the
radio....unlike
> > you I HAVE A LIFE!!!
>
> You are the one who says their life is to broadcast, but I guess your

> "better things to do are hang out here all day and post BS.
>
> >Secondly who said I was going to interfer???
>
> Well I said you can't interfere if your radio isn't hooked up!
>
> > Finally, as for my Inventions I DON'T HAVE TO SHOW YOU OR PROVE TO
YOU
> > SHIT
>
> You're correct you don't have to prove shit to anybody, BUT to quote
you
>
> "For your information you redneck, I've started another page where I
> plan to post all my idea's and inventions........my question to you
is,
> what the have you ever invented.....Answer NOTHING, because like all
> the other licensed CB operators on here you don't have the brains to
> invent, only to badmouth people on and off the air.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
> http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/ "
>
> I think your CAPS LOCK key got stuck in mid-sentance!
>
> > I keep my information bulletins on the ham bands thank you very
much!
>
> How? Your radio isn't hooked up!
>
> > todd n9ogl
>
> You know todd, I was on your side for a while, but I see you are
nothing

> but an uneducated, illiterate, racist, braggart who is full of BS.
Make
> the world a better place and go away!

How the hell am I a racist??? I think your full of BS there...

3142. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 22:21:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111357292.495456.115130@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104658

How? Your radio isn't hooked up!

it was 10:00/ 11:00 at night I was going to go and flip the radio on....and by the way PROVE I'M A RACIST, BECAUSE FRANKLY YOU DON'T KNOW ME......AND YOU DON'T KNOW SHIT ABOUT ME.

3143. Re: W5YR Is Gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 22:33:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1111358034.278254.219710@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104659

Dave Heil wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> > Dropped into my inbox this morning from K3CT via the club
reflector:
> >
> > "There is a note on the Icom reflector that George, W5YR passed
away
> > while undergoing heart surgery Thursday night. George was 75."
> >
> > George having been one of the leading NCTAs.
>
> ...and a leading sorehead on the Ten-Tec reflector.

Among others . . LOTS of others . . .

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

3144. Re: Liberty Net 3.958

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 22:35:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <xUm%d.1818$Vi3.143@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1111289057.863041.262100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> bob wrote:
>> Liberty Net is on 3.958 LSB - ON RIGHT NOW - with over 20+ check
> in's!
>>
>> [Sat 19 March 2005 10:00 PM Eastern 3.958 LSB]
>>
>> Looks like it's going to be a looooong Net this evening.
>>
>> Best to get a BIG BOWL of POPCORN, put an extra
>> log on the fire, and enjoy some real Americans talking
>> real issues on the Shortwave and Ham bands all night long!
>>
>> TUNE IN FOLKS...THE NET IS RUNNING RIGHT NOW !!!!
>
> If I had my radio hooked up I would check in....
>
> todd N9OGL
>

BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHH I would pay money to hear them eat you alive.

Dan/W4NTI

3145. Re: K0HB, Lennie of the North, Returns To RRAP And Comes Out Slinging!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 23:52:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111362751.062071.199430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104661

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > An Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> >
> > > K4YZ is quick to demand proof and slow to produce proof.
> >
> > And he lies.
>
> So far, other than refusing to tell you the nature of my military
> duties, you have nothing to "back up" that assertion, Brain.

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."

Why did you tell Len about slashed tires, bricks through windows, and
terrorized wives???

> And the reason I don't discuss THOSE matters with you is that
the
> time I DID discuss with you (in private e-mail),

You used to send me all kinds of crap, even though I've asked you not
to. I prefer to keep everything public. You're not to be trusted.

YOU violated my trust
> by bringing those private e-mails to the NG contrary to your
agreement
> to not do it.

What agreement? What trust? You're a liar.

3146. Weasel Steve Robeson's lack of understanding of anything.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 23:57:35 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.62.0503202339160.86@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 104662

On Mon, 14 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> D. Stussy wrote:
> > On Mon, 7 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > > On Mon, 28 Feb 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > > > > Regardless, there are two things being varied: The
> mark/space
> > > > > condition, and
> > > > > > the duration of each, so there is no way that morse code can
> be
> > > > > binary.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure it can.
> > > > >
> > > > > But the REAL question is how can we blame it on Bill
> Pasternak
> > > and
> > > > > threaten to sue him over it.....?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > The very comment above is absolute proof of Steve Robeson's
> > > stupidity.
> > >
> > > How's that, Dieter?
> >
> > Which word was beyond your understanding? Everyone else clearly
> understood my
> > statement about your [lack of] mental ability. There is no
> ambiguity.
>
> But I am not the one with the probelm, Dieter!
>
> You've led a years long campaign to smear a man who does nothing
> but good things for Amateur Radio then claim others have "mental
> ability" problems.

Wrong again, idiot. As for mental ability, you are the only one with a problem - and it is clear that your comprehension is less than what many mentally retarded people have. Example:

Over in another place on the internet, www.allaudio.org - in post 10066386, you dared make a claim that I would have a problem with a weasel like you pocketing the cash from running your own church's radio station - simply because it is a

non-profit. Fortunately, your own words prove your ignorance and inability to understand why I may have legal grounds to pursue a criminal complaint against the AR Newsline operators. The problem that you fail to understand is that when ANY non-profit charity solicits donations FOR A SPECIFIC PURPOSE then expends those donations on something other than the declared purpose or its underlying administrative expenses, such is illegal. The solicitation becomes fraudulent and the diversion of funds embezzlement. It doesn't matter that the diverted purpose happens to be another exempt purpose; it's still unlawful. That's exactly what AR Newsline does: They solicit only for "news gathering" activities and divert funds to their scholarship activity - and in their own reports, they admit as much.

I am not trying to shut down AR Newsline simply because I think that Bill Pasternak is a prick for the way that he FAILS to obtain truthful stories. I will be shutting it down because it is a criminal conspiracy to defraud the public hiding behind the guise of a non-profit organization.

> > > Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then
> getting
> > > your nose rubbed in it?
> >
> > I haven't made any stupid assertions.
>
> Sure you have.
>
> At least three years of smears, deceit and blatant lies about Bill
> Paternak and Amateur Radio Newsline,

Let's see:
1) His organization was a consistent spammer to this group until I got that stopped about 5 months ago.
2) He defrauds the public by soliciting funds on false grounds, only to divert those funds as "reimbursements" to his own pocket - per AR Newsline's own reports. It's HIS words, so either he truthfully reported his embezzlement or he has lied about it - either way, he has no public trust, and thus there's no lie on my part.

You've now been proven to be the fool that everyone knows you are. PLONK!

> > I have made only one conclusion - and you have failed to provide any
> evidence
> > (let alone credible evidence) that even hints that my conclusion is
> wrong.
> > Since there are TWO things being varied, there is a minimum of four
> states, and
> > thus code cannot be binary (two states). QED, asshole.
>
> You seem to have the mental problems, Dieter.
>
> I wasn't addressing the binary states (or lack of) of accurately
> sent Morse Code.

The title of your post says otherwise, idiot.

> I was addressing your Quixotie-like crusade style.
>
> As for who's the...well...You know...I guess it's the person who
> can't express himself without profanity.
>
> QED, Dieter.
>
> You're a putz.

And that's the best you can come up with?

You're an idiot because the understanding of the issue is beyond you.

You're a weasel because you thought that you could "badmouth" me in a place you thought I'd never find out about.

You're a fool because it is clear that if I were wrong, others would have said so. You stand alone.

3147. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-20 23:58:56 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <xsqdnTIEk5ink6PfRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104663

N9OGL wrote:

> KC8GXW wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>
>>>First off I have better things to do then talk on the
>
> radio....unlike
>
>>>you I HAVE A LIFE!!!
>>
>>You are the one who says their life is to broadcast, but I guess your
>
>
>>"better things to do are hang out here all day and post BS.
>>
>>
>>>Secondly who said I was going to interfer???
>>
>>Well I said you can't interfere if your radio isn't hooked up!
>>
>>
>>>Finally, as for my Inventions I DON'T HAVE TO SHOW YOU OR PROVE TO
>
> YOU
>
>>>SHIT
>>
>>You're correct you don't have to prove shit to anybody, BUT to quote
>
> you
>
>>"For your information you redneck, I've started another page where I
>>plan to post all my idea's and inventions........my question to you
>
> is,
>
>>what the have you ever invented.....Answer NOTHING, because like all
>>the other licensed CB operators on here you don't have the brains to
>>invent, only to badmouth people on and off the air.
>>
>>Todd N9OGL

>>
>>http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/ "
>>
>>I think your CAPS LOCK key got stuck in mid-sentance!
>>
>>
>>>I keep my information bulletins on the ham bands thank you very
>
> much!
>
>>How? Your radio isn't hooked up!
>>
>>
>>>todd n9ogl
>>
>>You know todd, I was on your side for a while, but I see you are
>
> nothing
>
>>but an uneducated, illiterate, racist, braggart who is full of BS.
>
> Make
>
>>the world a better place and go away!
>
>
> How the hell am I a racist??? I think your full of BS there...

Ok, so I said you were an uneducated, illiterate, racist, braggart who
is full of BS.
I may be wrong about you being a racist, I'll give you that. I didn't
see you deny the rest, so you are an uneducated, illiterate, braggart
who is full of BS.
Now what would be the word for you belittling everybody else here?
Calling people rednecks, appliance operators, licensed cb operators.
Did you build your radios? If not then you are an appliance operator in
the true sense of the word!

3148. Re: Lennie Digs Himself In Deeper...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 00:02:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111363343.622969.103640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104664

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > There I was...laying on the beach in Bora Bora with
> > > Brittney
> > > > > > > > Spears
> > > > > > > > > when BAM BAM BAM...This loud sounds wakes me from my male
> > > > > chauvanist
> > > > > > > > > near-wet dream...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > And what do I find...?!?!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Another hostile action? Another disability pension?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope...Your bunkbuddy getting his words spoon-fed back
to
> > him.
> > > > >
> > > > > Bunkbuddy? Are you back on the homosexual inuendo? What's
next,
> > the
> > > > > > pedophilia inuendo? Slashed tires?
> > > > >
> > > > > You're dispicable.
> > >
> > > You're the one with the "homosexual innuendo" problem,
> Brian...
> > >
> > > "Being in bed" with somoene is a common phrase to mean they
> > share
> > > > the same goals/intent/purpose. This has been discussed with you
> > > > before.
> >
> > "Bunkbuddy" is a different phrase than "being in bed with."
> >
> > And if you wished to say that Len and I have the same goals,
intent,
> > and purpose, then you could have said that. Instead you give us
> > inuendo and obscure your actual goal, intent, and purpose. Or have

> > you? I think not.
> >
> > > However if you're "feeling the heat" from the phrase, may I
> > > suggest that perhaps you have some unaddressed repressive issues
> that
> > a
> > > professional may be able help you to overcome (or perhaps open
that
> > > closet door for you...?!?!)
> >
> > And you say you don't engage in homosexual inuendo?
>
> That's not homosexual innuendo, Brian.

It's all the straights that need to come out of the closet. Pffft.

> YOU are the one who is constantly bringing up homosexuality.

I point out your homosexual inuendos, which >>>IS<<< nearly constant.

You
> then try to re-direct the conversation in such a way as to deflect
the
> comments away from you, but it only makes the act even more glaring.

The only one glaring is you. Your reality isn't turning out how you
wanted it to. Too bad.

3149. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 00:02:40 UTC
From: KC8GXW <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <Ft2dnXK7QIWHkqPfRVn-1g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104665

N9OGL wrote:

> How? Your radio isn't hooked up!
>
> it was 10:00/ 11:00 at night I was going to go and flip the radio
> on....and by the way PROVE I'M A RACIST, BECAUSE FRANKLY YOU DON'T
> KNOW ME......AND YOU DON'T KNOW SHIT ABOUT ME.

Well me just being an appliance operator, all I do is power it on. I
didn't realize that you had to build your radio to get on a net?
OH, I think your CAPS KEY is stuck again, maybe you should put some time
into inventing a new type keyboard that won't get stuck like that!

3150. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 00:15:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111364123.766101.226720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104666

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > KC8GXW wrote:
> >
> >>N9OGL wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>First off I have better things to do then talk on the
> >
> > radio....unlike
> >
> >>>you I HAVE A LIFE!!!
> >>
> >>You are the one who says their life is to broadcast, but I guess
your
> >
> >
> >>"better things to do are hang out here all day and post BS.
> >>
> >>
> >>>Secondly who said I was going to interfer???
> >>
> >>Well I said you can't interfere if your radio isn't hooked up!
> >>
> >>
> >>>Finally, as for my Inventions I DON'T HAVE TO SHOW YOU OR PROVE TO
> >
> > YOU
> >
> >>>SHIT
> >>
> >>You're correct you don't have to prove shit to anybody, BUT to
quote
> >
> > you
> >
> >>"For your information you redneck, I've started another page where
I
> >>plan to post all my idea's and inventions........my question to you
> >
> > is,
> >
> >>what the have you ever invented.....Answer NOTHING, because like

all
> >>the other licensed CB operators on here you don't have the brains
to
> >>invent, only to badmouth people on and off the air.
> >>
> >>Todd N9OGL
> >>
> >>http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/ "
> >>
> >>I think your CAPS LOCK key got stuck in mid-sentance!
> >>
> >>
> >>>I keep my information bulletins on the ham bands thank you very
> >
> > much!
> >
> >>How? Your radio isn't hooked up!
> >>
> >>
> >>>todd n9ogl
> >>
> >>You know todd, I was on your side for a while, but I see you are
> >
> > nothing
> >
> >>but an uneducated, illiterate, racist, braggart who is full of BS.
> >
> > Make
> >
> >>the world a better place and go away!
> >
> >
> > How the hell am I a racist??? I think your full of BS there...
>
> Ok, so I said you were an uneducated, illiterate, racist, braggart
who
> is full of BS.
> I may be wrong about you being a racist, I'll give you that. I didn't

> see you deny the rest, so you are an uneducated, illiterate,
braggart
> who is full of BS.
> Now what would be the word for you belittling everybody else here?
> Calling people rednecks, appliance operators, licensed cb operators.
> Did you build your radios? If not then you are an appliance operator
in
> the true sense of the word!

i've built a few things here and there...

3151. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 00:28:32 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111364912.765099.273520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104667

Talk about appliance operators - how is that microwave over project
coming?

3152. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 01:20:00 UTC
From: Ron038548@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111368000.646341.160180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104668

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

3153. AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 01:30:42 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <atp%d.1354$S33.941@fe09.lga>
Source record: 104669

Woo Hoo, only WY left for WAS!

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

3154. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 02:40:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111372846.606119.230290@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104670

Julio DeJesus wrote:
>
> Also, he has this stupid ass blog that is so totally useless. He
> should eventually take a course at a real college before he gets on
> these newsgroups and blog pages and makes a total ass out of himself.
>
What's you excuse???

> Julio DeJesus

3155. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 02:40:54 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111372854.325816.320110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104671

Julio DeJesus wrote:
>
> Also, he has this stupid ass blog that is so totally useless. He
> should eventually take a course at a real college before he gets on
> these newsgroups and blog pages and makes a total ass out of himself.
>
What's your excuse???

> Julio DeJesus

3156. KD6LVW: Dieter Stussy: Impotent Loudmouth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 05:28:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111382901.066657.160600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104672

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:

> > You've led a years long campaign to smear a man who does
nothing
> > but good things for Amateur Radio then claim others have "mental
> > ability" problems.
>
> Wrong again, idiot. As for mental ability, you are the only one with
a problem
> - and it is clear that your comprehension is less than what many
mentally
> retarded people have. Example:
>
> Over in another place on the internet, www.allaudio.org - in post
10066386, you
> dared make a claim that I would have a problem with a weasel like you
pocketing
> the cash from running your own church's radio station - simply
because it is a
> non-profit.

I've never posted to "allaudio", Dieter.

Never, not once...Any post in that forum would have to be a
re-addressal from this forum or were comments carried from this forum
by another.

Sorry. You are (again) wrong.

Also, I do not run ANY "curch radio station".

> Fortunately, your own words prove your ignorance and inability to
> understand why I may have legal grounds to pursue a criminal
complaint against
> the AR Newsline operators. The problem that you fail to understand
is that
> when ANY non-profit charity solicits donations FOR A SPECIFIC PURPOSE
then
> expends those donations on something other than the declared purpose
or its
> underlying administrative expenses, such is illegal. The
solicitation becomes
> fraudulent and the diversion of funds embezzlement. It doesn't
matter that the
> diverted purpose happens to be another exempt purpose; it's still

unlawful.
> That's exactly what AR Newsline does: They solicit only for "news
gathering"
> activities and divert funds to their scholarship activity - and in
their own
> reports, they admit as much.

And YOU continue to ignore the fact that there is absolutely NO
attempt by ARN to disguise, divert, redirect or otherwise defraud
ANYone.

And TELL us, Dieter, when did you file those complaints?

When's the trial?

Will they put the Michael Jackson trial on hold so you can
persecute this foul wretch of a man who raises monies for teenager's
scholarships?

> I am not trying to shut down AR Newsline simply because I think that
Bill
> Pasternak is a prick for the way that he FAILS to obtain truthful
stories.

Sure you are. Your one and ONLY reason to be pushing ANY of these
buttons is because you got your toes stepped on and you're going to
insult, harrass and intimidate

> I will be shutting it down because it is a criminal conspiracy to
defraud the
> public hiding behind the guise of a non-profit organization.

No you wont'. You're a loud mouthed punk with a chip on your
shoulder. And even if you do get it in for legal review, there's no
leg to stand on and it will get dismissed. Too many REAL frauds out
there.

> > > > Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then
> > getting
> > > > > your nose rubbed in it?
> > >
> > > I haven't made any stupid assertions.
> >
> > Sure you have.
> >
> > At least three years of smears, deceit and blatant lies about
Bill
> > Paternak and Amateur Radio Newsline,
>
> Let's see:
> 1) His organization was a consistent spammer to this group until I
got that
> stopped about 5 months ago.

YOU didn't stop ANY thing.

> 2) He defrauds the public by soliciting funds on false grounds, only
to divert
> those funds as "reimbursements" to his own pocket - per AR Newsline's
own
> reports. It's HIS words, so either he truthfully reported his
embezzlement or
> he has lied about it - either way, he has no public trust, and thus
there's no
> lie on my part.

Still no embezzlement, Dieter. He's STILL allowed to take a part
of the funds for costs and reasonable expenses. The IRS says so.

Despite crowing about all his alleged excesses, you've not, in over
THREE YEARS, produced a SINGLE bit of evidence to corroborate your
claims. Not a single receipt, bit of testimony or

> You've now been proven to be the fool that everyone knows you are.
PLONK!

No fool here, Dieter. Check the mirror.

YOU, on the otherhand, are still making grandiose claims about
fraud and embezzlement that you have not substantiated with a single
sentence of corroborating evidence.

> > > I have made only one conclusion - and you have failed to provide
any
> > evidence
> > > (let alone credible evidence) that even hints that my conclusion
is
> > wrong.
> > > Since there are TWO things being varied, there is a minimum of
four
> > states, and
> > > thus code cannot be binary (two states). QED, asshole.
> >
> > You seem to have the mental problems, Dieter.
> >
> > I wasn't addressing the binary states (or lack of) of
accurately
> > sent Morse Code.
>
> The title of your post says otherwise, idiot.

It wasn't my title.

And since I've been very careful to avoid the adjectives with you
all this time, Dieter, and you've NOT extended me the same courtesy, I
guess I can return the favor...but won't. You ARE your own worst
enemy, Dieter.

> > I was addressing your Quixotie-like crusade style.
> >
> > As for who's the...well...You know...I guess it's the person
who
> > can't express himself without profanity.
> >
> > QED, Dieter.
> >
> > You're a putz.
>
> And that's the best you can come up with?

What more do I need? You make claims then don't substantiate
them.

> You're an idiot because the understanding of the issue is beyond you.

I understand just fine.

You're trying to persecute Bill Pasternak and Amateur Radio
Newsline for some perceived injustice from a story whose reporting you
didn't care for.

You've not substantiated a single claim.

> You're a weasel because you thought that you could "badmouth" me in a
place you
> thought I'd never find out about.

I didn't make those posts, Dieter. I do not know of any
"allaudio" site, I don't post there, and besides, I can "badmouth" you
from right here with your own words...I don't need to do so covertly.

> You're a fool because it is clear that if I were wrong, others would
have said
> so. You stand alone.

So far, Dieter, no fewer than a half dozen other posters have
chimmed in at one time or another on the Dieter-Vs-Pasternak/ARN issue,
and not a one of them has expressed any support for your claims.

Why is that?

Steve, K4YZ

3157. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 05:46:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111384005.389770.133120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104673

Mr. Obvious wrote:
<SNIP>
<SNIP>

I don't need to ask for time on WBCQ because my information bulletins
on the amateur bands are legal. My Information Bulletin are amateur
related programs that are directed to the amateur radio community.

Todd N9OGL
N9OGL SHOW

3158. Re: KD6LVW: Dieter Stussy: Impotent Loudmouth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 05:47:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111384045.575218.143310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104674

K4YZ wrote:
> D. Stussy wrote:

> > Over in another place on the internet, www.allaudio.org - in post
> 10066386, you
> > dared make a claim that I would have a problem with a weasel like
you
> pocketing
> > the cash from running your own church's radio station - simply
> because it is a
> > non-profit.

BTW, Dieter...

Went to All Audio and what do I find...?!?! Just another newsgroup reader. The "source" of Dieter's angst is just another source to read this very same newsgroup.

I love it when someone claims another to be an "idiot" and "weasel" for posting in an open forum, yet can't identify the source of the posts he is allegding are supposedly posted covertly.

Thanks, Dieter...E V E R Y T I M E you try to throw your weight around you just manage to make an even bigger fool out of yourself.

Nice job.

Steve, K4YZ

3159. Re: KD6LVW: Dieter Stussy: Impotent Loudmouth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 06:50:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111387849.382759.126440@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104675

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > D. Stussy wrote:
>
> > > Over in another place on the internet, www.allaudio.org - in post
> > 10066386, you
> > > dared make a claim that I would have a problem with a weasel like
> you
> > pocketing
> > > the cash from running your own church's radio station - simply
> > because it is a
> > > non-profit.
>
> BTW, Dieter...
>
> Went to All Audio and what do I find...?!?! Just another
newsgroup
> reader. The "source" of Dieter's angst is just another source to
read
> this very same newsgroup.
>
> I love it when someone claims another to be an "idiot" and
> "weasel" for posting in an open forum, yet can't identify the source
of
> the posts he is allegding are supposedly posted covertly.
>
> Thanks, Dieter...E V E R Y T I M E you try to throw your weight
> around you just manage to make an even bigger fool out of yourself.
>
> Nice job.

One more thing, Mighty Mouth...

Message number 10066386 is an incomplete messsage number. What
messages DID come up within "allaudio" had nothing to do with any of
your claims...

There were Holy Roller posts, "eHarmony" solicitations, and
discussions on anything BUT Dieter Stussy. Perhaps whatever re-posted
post is farther down the message chain, but if you're going to try an
accuse anyone of something, Dieter, yoiu owe it to yourself to get your
accusations RIGHT...and that includes ALL the right attributes.

Steve, K4YZ

3160. Here We Have N9OGL Stepping In His Own Words...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 06:58:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111388299.584820.48060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104676

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote: (but still refuses to attribute quotes he makes)
> >
> > >> the existing AirMail/SailMail PACTOR systems...which operate
under
> > an
> > >> entirely different set of rules and regulations than does
amateur
> > >> radio.
> > >
> > > I've never heard of them......I do know amateurs are not
restricted
> > on
> > > what they can experiment in.
> >
> > Todd...Why don't you try a couple of coffee cans with some
> > monofilament line stretched between them...That's what your "idea"
> (not
> > invention) amounts to...
>
> NICE....coming from a appliance user....like i stated before, you
have
> have an idea first before you do anything...but since your an
appliance
> users, I can understand that you don't understand how it
works.....but
> here's an idea why don't back to QRZ with all the other applicance
> users....and debate more about BPL, or the CODE issue.

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm..."appliance user"...

OK, Todd...here's your chance...Impress us with your 100%
homebrew/kitbuilt station set up. Please tell us what gear YOU are
using for your "information bulletins", etc.

Remember...even ONE YaeKenCom rig in your inventory makes YOU and
"applicance user" too.

I say you're busted again.

(Get used to it...It's not the first, it's not the last, and the
way you're going, you'll get "busted" by people who can REALLY "bust"
you ver soon!)

Steve, K4YZ

3161. Re: For The Benefit Of Lloydie and Toddie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 07:01:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111388497.983124.237040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104677

N9OGL wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > > KC8GXW wrote:
> > >
> > >>N9OGL wrote:

> > > How the hell am I a racist??? I think your full of BS there...
> >
> > Ok, so I said you were an uneducated, illiterate, racist, braggart
> who
> > is full of BS.
> > I may be wrong about you being a racist, I'll give you that. I
didn't
>
> > see you deny the rest, so you are an uneducated, illiterate,
> braggart
> > who is full of BS.
> > Now what would be the word for you belittling everybody else here?
> > Calling people rednecks, appliance operators, licensed cb
operators.
> > Did you build your radios? If not then you are an appliance
operator
> in
> > the true sense of the word!
>
> i've built a few things here and there...

But what you've "built" disappears when the silver handle is
pushed.

I say you're ever bit the "appliance" operator you dispargingly
call others...

Steve, K4YZ

3162. Re: KD6LVW: Dieter Stussy: Impotent Loudmouth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 07:06:26 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.62.0503210640230.86@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 104678

On Mon, 20 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> D. Stussy wrote:
> > On Mon, 14 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > You've led a years long campaign to smear a man who does
> nothing
> > > but good things for Amateur Radio then claim others have "mental
> > > ability" problems.
> >
> > Wrong again, idiot. As for mental ability, you are the only one with
> a problem
> > - and it is clear that your comprehension is less than what many
> mentally
> > retarded people have. Example:
> >
> > Over in another place on the internet, www.allaudio.org - in post
> 10066386, you
> > dared make a claim that I would have a problem with a weasel like you
> pocketing
> > the cash from running your own church's radio station - simply
> because it is a
> > non-profit.
>
> I've never posted to "allaudio", Dieter.

Then explain this: http://www.allaudio.org/detail-10066386.html

If it's not really you, then someone is using/abusing your identity.

> Never, not once...Any post in that forum would have to be a
> re-addressal from this forum or were comments carried from this forum
> by another.
>
> Sorry. You are (again) wrong.
>
> Also, I do not run ANY "curch radio station".

You did in your HYPOTHETICAL post you were making there.

> > Fortunately, your own words prove your ignorance and inability to
> > understand why I may have legal grounds to pursue a criminal
> complaint against
> > the AR Newsline operators. The problem that you fail to understand
> is that
> > when ANY non-profit charity solicits donations FOR A SPECIFIC PURPOSE
> then

> > expends those donations on something other than the declared purpose
> or its
> > underlying administrative expenses, such is illegal. The
> solicitation becomes
> > fraudulent and the diversion of funds embezzlement. It doesn't
> matter that the
> > diverted purpose happens to be another exempt purpose; it's still
> unlawful.
> > That's exactly what AR Newsline does: They solicit only for "news
> gathering"
> > activities and divert funds to their scholarship activity - and in
> their own
> > reports, they admit as much.
>
> And YOU continue to ignore the fact that there is absolutely NO
> attempt by ARN to disguise, divert, redirect or otherwise defraud
> ANYone.
>
> And TELL us, Dieter, when did you file those complaints?

You'll find out.

> When's the trial?

I wouldn't know. I'm not the district attorney.

> Will they put the Michael Jackson trial on hold so you can
> persecute this foul wretch of a man who raises monies for teenager's
> scholarships?

Different county, so of course not.

> > I am not trying to shut down AR Newsline simply because I think that
> Bill
> > Pasternak is a prick for the way that he FAILS to obtain truthful
> stories.
>
> Sure you are. Your one and ONLY reason to be pushing ANY of these
> buttons is because you got your toes stepped on and you're going to
> insult, harrass and intimidate

I thought that was your job (to insult, harass, and intimidate). My job, as a
responsible citizen, is to see that the law is enforced.

> > I will be shutting it down because it is a criminal conspiracy to
> defraud the
> > public hiding behind the guise of a non-profit organization.
>
> No you wont'. You're a loud mouthed punk with a chip on your
> shoulder. And even if you do get it in for legal review, there's no
> leg to stand on and it will get dismissed. Too many REAL frauds out
> there.

You won't be saying that after BP is prosecuted. You forget that I am an ex-IRS agent. I know what I'm talking about when it comes to this stuff.

> > > > > Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then
> > > getting
> > > > > your nose rubbed in it?
> > > > >
> > > > > I haven't made any stupid assertions.
> > > >
> > > > Sure you have.
> > > >
> > > > At least three years of smears, deceit and blatant lies about
> Bill
> > > > Paternak and Amateur Radio Newsline,
> > >
> > > Let's see:
> > > 1) His organization was a consistent spammer to this group until I
> got that
> > > stopped about 5 months ago.
> >
> > YOU didn't stop ANY thing.

The evidence here speaks for itself: ARN was posted weekly - and it no longer is. It has stopped. You forget that every week for about 2 years, I sent a post to his ISP's abuse mailbox.

> > 2) He defrauds the public by soliciting funds on false grounds, only
> to divert
> > > those funds as "reimbursements" to his own pocket - per AR Newsline's
> own
> > > reports. It's HIS words, so either he truthfully reported his
> embezzlement or
> > > he has lied about it - either way, he has no public trust, and thus
> there's no
> > > lie on my part.
> >
> > Still no embezzlement, Dieter. He's STILL allowed to take a part
> > of the funds for costs and reasonable expenses. The IRS says so.

Only for the PURPOSE he solicited the funds for; not for OTHER purposes, exempt or not.

> Despite crowing about all his alleged excesses, you've not, in over
> THREE YEARS, produced a SINGLE bit of evidence to corroborate your
> claims. Not a single receipt, bit of testimony or
> >
> > You've now been proven to be the fool that everyone knows you are.
> PLONK!
> >
> > No fool here, Dieter. Check the mirror.
> >
> > YOU, on the otherhand, are still making grandiose claims about
> > fraud and embezzlement that you have not substantiated with a single

> sentence of corroborating evidence.
>
> > > > I have made only one conclusion - and you have failed to provide
> any
> > > evidence
> > > > (let alone credible evidence) that even hints that my conclusion
> is
> > > wrong.
> > > > Since there are TWO things being varied, there is a minimum of
> four
> > > states, and
> > > > thus code cannot be binary (two states). QED, asshole.
> > >
> > > You seem to have the mental problems, Dieter.
> > >
> > > I wasn't addressing the binary states (or lack of) of
> accurately
> > > sent Morse Code.
> >
> > The title of your post says otherwise, idiot.
>
> It wasn't my title.
>
> And since I've been very careful to avoid the adjectives with you
> all this time, Dieter, and you've NOT extended me the same courtesy, I
> guess I can return the favor...but won't. You ARE your own worst
> enemy, Dieter.
>
> > > I was addressing your Quixotie-like crusade style.
> > >
> > > As for who's the...well...You know...I guess it's the person
> who
> > > can't express himself without profanity.
> > >
> > > QED, Dieter.
> > >
> > > You're a putz.
> >
> > And that's the best you can come up with?
>
> What more do I need? You make claims then don't substantiate
> them.
>
> > You're an idiot because the understanding of the issue is beyond you.
>
> I understand just fine.

It's clear from your posts at the other forum at the web site mentioned above
that you do not. You're a liar too, and everyone else here knows it.

> You're trying to persecute Bill Pasternak and Amateur Radio
> Newsline for some perceived injustice from a story whose reporting you
> didn't care for.

You can't even paraphrase that correctly. The parties he defamed should sue
him. It's clear that BP has no interest in the truth.

> You've not substantiated a single claim.
>
> > You're a weasel because you thought that you could "badmouth" me in a
> place you
> > thought I'd never find out about.
>
> I didn't make those posts, Dieter. I do not know of any
> "allaudio" site, I don't post there, and besides, I can "badmouth" you
> from right here with your own words...I don't need to do so covertly.

Liar. The URL I posted may only be 1 of 2 that I found you referring to me
about, but all indications show that it is clearly you.

> > You're a fool because it is clear that if I were wrong, others would
> have said
> > so. You stand alone.
>
> So far, Dieter, no fewer than a half dozen other posters have
> chimmed in at one time or another on the Dieter-Vs-Pasternak/ARN issue,
> and not a one of them has expressed any support for your claims.
>
> Why is that?

Because you're only counting one side, just like BP does.

3163. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 07:19:06 UTC
From: Ron038548@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111389546.364195.79960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104680

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

3164. The Dieter Stussy, KD6LDW, Dog And Pony Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 07:19:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111389554.860025.257520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104679

Dieter,

I just thought I'd create one thread to keep all your silliness
under one masthead...I'll quote verbatim my other replies to you today
too, including your comments with attributes.

Steve, K4YZ

3165. Re: The Dieter Stussy, KD6LDW, Dog And Pony Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 07:21:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111389684.708855.293570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104681

Made under "Is Morse code Binary?"

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Mon, 7 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > On Mon, 28 Feb 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > > > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > > > Regardless, there are two things being varied: The mark/space
> > > > condition, and
> > > > > the duration of each, so there is no way that morse code can be
> > > > binary.
> > > >
> > > > Sure it can.
> > > >
> > > > But the REAL question is how can we blame it on Bill Pasternak
> > and
> > > > threaten to sue him over it.....?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > The very comment above is absolute proof of Steve Robeson's
> > stupidity.
> >
> > How's that, Dieter?
>
> Which word was beyond your understanding? Everyone else clearly understood my
> statement about your [lack of] mental ability. There is no ambiguity.
>
> > Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then getting
> > your nose rubbed in it?
>
> I haven't made any stupid assertions.
>
> I have made only one conclusion - and you have failed to provide any evidence
> (let alone credible evidence) that even hints that my conclusion is wrong.
> Since there are TWO things being varied, there is a minimum of four

states, and
> thus code cannot be binary (two states). QED, asshole.

3166. Re: The Dieter Stussy, KD6LDW, Dog And Pony Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 07:23:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111389815.758593.184970@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104682

K4YZ wrote:
> D. Stussy wrote:
> > On Mon, 7 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > > On Mon, 28 Feb 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > > > > Regardless, there are two things being varied: The
> mark/space
> > > > > condition, and
> > > > > > the duration of each, so there is no way that morse code
can
> be
> > > > > binary.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure it can.
> > > > >
> > > > > But the REAL question is how can we blame it on Bill
> Pasternak
> > > and
> > > > > threaten to sue him over it.....?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > The very comment above is absolute proof of Steve Robeson's
> > > stupidity.
> > >
> > > How's that, Dieter?
> >
> > Which word was beyond your understanding? Everyone else clearly
> understood my
> > statement about your [lack of] mental ability. There is no
> ambiguity.
>
> But I am not the one with the probelm, Dieter!
>
> You've led a years long campaign to smear a man who does nothing
> but good things for Amateur Radio then claim others have "mental
> ability" problems.
>
> > > Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then
> getting
> > > your nose rubbed in it?
> >
> > I haven't made any stupid assertions.

>
> Sure you have.
>
> At least three years of smears, deceit and blatant lies about
Bill
> Paternak and Amateur Radio Newsline,
>
> > I have made only one conclusion - and you have failed to provide
any
> evidence
> > (let alone credible evidence) that even hints that my conclusion is
> wrong.
> > Since there are TWO things being varied, there is a minimum of four
> states, and
> > thus code cannot be binary (two states). QED, asshole.
>
> You seem to have the mental problems, Dieter.
>
> I wasn't addressing the binary states (or lack of) of accurately
> sent Morse Code.
>
> I was addressing your Quixotie-like crusade style.
>
> As for who's the...well...You know...I guess it's the person who
> can't express himself without profanity.
>
> QED, Dieter.
>
> You're a putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3167. Re: The Dieter Stussy, KD6LDW, Dog And Pony Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 07:25:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111389956.527226.37360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104683

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > On Mon, 7 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > > > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > > > On Mon, 28 Feb 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > > > > > Regardless, there are two things being varied: The
> > mark/space
> > > > > > condition, and
> > > > > > > the duration of each, so there is no way that morse code
can
> > be
> > > > > > binary.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure it can.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But the REAL question is how can we blame it on Bill
> > Pasternak
> > > > and
> > > > > > threaten to sue him over it.....?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > The very comment above is absolute proof of Steve Robeson's
> > > > stupidity.
> > > >
> > > > How's that, Dieter?
> > >
> > > Which word was beyond your understanding? Everyone else clearly
> > understood my
> > > statement about your [lack of] mental ability. There is no
> > ambiguity.
> >
> > But I am not the one with the probelm, Dieter!
> >
> > You've led a years long campaign to smear a man who does
nothing
> > but good things for Amateur Radio then claim others have "mental
> > ability" problems.
>
> Wrong again, idiot. As for mental ability, you are the only one with
a problem
> - and it is clear that your comprehension is less than what many

mentally
> retarded people have. Example:
>
> Over in another place on the internet, www.allaudio.org - in post
10066386, you
> dared make a claim that I would have a problem with a weasel like you
pocketing
> the cash from running your own church's radio station - simply
because it is a
> non-profit. Fortunately, your own words prove your ignorance and
inability to
> understand why I may have legal grounds to pursue a criminal
complaint against
> the AR Newsline operators. The problem that you fail to understand
is that
> when ANY non-profit charity solicits donations FOR A SPECIFIC PURPOSE
then
> expends those donations on something other than the declared purpose
or its
> underlying administrative expenses, such is illegal. The
solicitation becomes
> fraudulent and the diversion of funds embezzlement. It doesn't
matter that the
> diverted purpose happens to be another exempt purpose; it's still
unlawful.
> That's exactly what AR Newsline does: They solicit only for "news
gathering"
> activities and divert funds to their scholarship activity - and in
their own
> reports, they admit as much.
>
> I am not trying to shut down AR Newsline simply because I think that
Bill
> Pasternak is a prick for the way that he FAILS to obtain truthful
stories. I
> will be shutting it down because it is a criminal conspiracy to
defraud the
> public hiding behind the guise of a non-profit organization.
>
> > > > Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then
> > getting
> > > > your nose rubbed in it?
> > >
> > > I haven't made any stupid assertions.
> >
> > Sure you have.
> >
> > At least three years of smears, deceit and blatant lies about
Bill
> > Paternak and Amateur Radio Newsline,

>
> Let's see:
> 1) His organization was a consistent spammer to this group until I
got that
> stopped about 5 months ago.
> 2) He defrauds the public by soliciting funds on false grounds, only
to divert
> those funds as "reimbursements" to his own pocket - per AR Newsline's
own
> reports. It's HIS words, so either he truthfully reported his
embezzlement or
> he has lied about it - either way, he has no public trust, and thus
there's no
> lie on my part.
>
> You've now been proven to be the fool that everyone knows you are.
PLONK!
>
> > > I have made only one conclusion - and you have failed to provide
any
> > evidence
> > > (let alone credible evidence) that even hints that my conclusion
is
> > wrong.
> > > Since there are TWO things being varied, there is a minimum of
four
> > states, and
> > > thus code cannot be binary (two states). QED, asshole.
> >
> > You seem to have the mental problems, Dieter.
> >
> > I wasn't addressing the binary states (or lack of) of
accurately
> > sent Morse Code.
>
> The title of your post says otherwise, idiot.
>
> > I was addressing your Quixotie-like crusade style.
> >
> > As for who's the...well...You know...I guess it's the person
who
> > can't express himself without profanity.
> >
> > QED, Dieter.
> >
> > You're a putz.
>
> And that's the best you can come up with?
>
> You're an idiot because the understanding of the issue is beyond you.

>
> You're a weasel because you thought that you could "badmouth" me in a
place you
> thought I'd never find out about.
>
> You're a fool because it is clear that if I were wrong, others would
have said
> so. You stand alone.

3168. Re: The Dieter Stussy, KD6LDW, Dog And Pony Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 07:27:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111390069.832772.226600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104684

K4YZ wrote:
> D. Stussy wrote:
> > On Mon, 14 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > You've led a years long campaign to smear a man who does
> nothing
> > > but good things for Amateur Radio then claim others have "mental
> > > ability" problems.
> >
> > Wrong again, idiot. As for mental ability, you are the only one
with
> a problem
> > - and it is clear that your comprehension is less than what many
> mentally
> > retarded people have. Example:
> >
> > Over in another place on the internet, www.allaudio.org - in post
> 10066386, you
> > dared make a claim that I would have a problem with a weasel like
you
> pocketing
> > the cash from running your own church's radio station - simply
> because it is a
> > non-profit.
>
> I've never posted to "allaudio", Dieter.
>
> Never, not once...Any post in that forum would have to be a
> re-addressal from this forum or were comments carried from this forum
> by another.
>
> Sorry. You are (again) wrong.
>
> Also, I do not run ANY "curch radio station".
>
> > Fortunately, your own words prove your ignorance and inability to
> > understand why I may have legal grounds to pursue a criminal
> complaint against
> > the AR Newsline operators. The problem that you fail to understand
> is that
> > when ANY non-profit charity solicits donations FOR A SPECIFIC
PURPOSE
> then
> > expends those donations on something other than the declared

purpose
> or its
> > underlying administrative expenses, such is illegal. The
> solicitation becomes
> > fraudulent and the diversion of funds embezzlement. It doesn't
> matter that the
> > diverted purpose happens to be another exempt purpose; it's still
> unlawful.
> > That's exactly what AR Newsline does: They solicit only for "news
> gathering"
> > activities and divert funds to their scholarship activity - and in
> their own
> > reports, they admit as much.
>
> And YOU continue to ignore the fact that there is absolutely NO
> attempt by ARN to disguise, divert, redirect or otherwise defraud
> ANYone.
>
> And TELL us, Dieter, when did you file those complaints?
>
> When's the trial?
>
> Will they put the Michael Jackson trial on hold so you can
> persecute this foul wretch of a man who raises monies for teenager's
> scholarships?
>
> > I am not trying to shut down AR Newsline simply because I think
that
> Bill
> > Pasternak is a prick for the way that he FAILS to obtain truthful
> stories.
>
> Sure you are. Your one and ONLY reason to be pushing ANY of
these
> buttons is because you got your toes stepped on and you're going to
> insult, harrass and intimidate
>
> > I will be shutting it down because it is a criminal conspiracy to
> defraud the
> > public hiding behind the guise of a non-profit organization.
>
> No you wont'. You're a loud mouthed punk with a chip on your
> shoulder. And even if you do get it in for legal review, there's no
> leg to stand on and it will get dismissed. Too many REAL frauds out
> there.
>
> > > > > Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public then
> > > getting
> > > > > > your nose rubbed in it?
> > > >

> > > > I haven't made any stupid assertions.
> > >
> > > Sure you have.
> > >
> > > At least three years of smears, deceit and blatant lies
about
> Bill
> > > Paternak and Amateur Radio Newsline,
> >
> > Let's see:
> > 1) His organization was a consistent spammer to this group until I
> got that
> > stopped about 5 months ago.
>
> YOU didn't stop ANY thing.
>
> > 2) He defrauds the public by soliciting funds on false grounds,
only
> to divert
> > those funds as "reimbursements" to his own pocket - per AR
Newsline's
> own
> > reports. It's HIS words, so either he truthfully reported his
> embezzlement or
> > he has lied about it - either way, he has no public trust, and thus
> there's no
> > lie on my part.
>
> Still no embezzlement, Dieter. He's STILL allowed to take a part
> of the funds for costs and reasonable expenses. The IRS says so.
>
> Despite crowing about all his alleged excesses, you've not, in
over
> THREE YEARS, produced a SINGLE bit of evidence to corroborate your
> claims. Not a single receipt, bit of testimony or
>
> > You've now been proven to be the fool that everyone knows you are.
> PLONK!
>
> No fool here, Dieter. Check the mirror.
>
> YOU, on the otherhand, are still making grandiose claims about
> fraud and embezzlement that you have not substantiated with a single
> sentence of corroborating evidence.
>
> > > > I have made only one conclusion - and you have failed to
provide
> any
> > > evidence
> > > > (let alone credible evidence) that even hints that my

conclusion
> is
> > > wrong.
> > > > Since there are TWO things being varied, there is a minimum of
> four
> > > states, and
> > > > thus code cannot be binary (two states). QED, asshole.
> > >
> > > You seem to have the mental problems, Dieter.
> > >
> > > I wasn't addressing the binary states (or lack of) of
> accurately
> > > sent Morse Code.
> >
> > The title of your post says otherwise, idiot.
>
> It wasn't my title.
>
> And since I've been very careful to avoid the adjectives with
you
> all this time, Dieter, and you've NOT extended me the same courtesy,
I
> guess I can return the favor...but won't. You ARE your own worst
> enemy, Dieter.
>
> > > I was addressing your Quixotie-like crusade style.
> > >
> > > As for who's the...well...You know...I guess it's the person
> who
> > > can't express himself without profanity.
> > >
> > > QED, Dieter.
> > >
> > > You're a putz.
> >
> > And that's the best you can come up with?
>
> What more do I need? You make claims then don't substantiate
> them.
>
> > You're an idiot because the understanding of the issue is beyond
you.
>
> I understand just fine.
>
> You're trying to persecute Bill Pasternak and Amateur Radio
> Newsline for some perceived injustice from a story whose reporting
you
> didn't care for.
>

> You've not substantiated a single claim.
>
> > You're a weasel because you thought that you could "badmouth" me in
a
> place you
> > thought I'd never find out about.
>
> I didn't make those posts, Dieter. I do not know of any
> "allaudio" site, I don't post there, and besides, I can "badmouth"
you
> from right here with your own words...I don't need to do so covertly.
>
> > You're a fool because it is clear that if I were wrong, others
would
> have said
> > so. You stand alone.
>
> So far, Dieter, no fewer than a half dozen other posters have
> chimmed in at one time or another on the Dieter-Vs-Pasternak/ARN
issue,
> and not a one of them has expressed any support for your claims.
>
> Why is that?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3169. Re: KD6LVW: Dieter Stussy: Impotent Loudmouth (By Weasel K4YZ)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 07:45:50 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.62.0503210730320.86@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 104685

On Mon, 20 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > D. Stussy wrote:
> >
> > > > Over in another place on the internet, www.allaudio.org - in post
> > > 10066386, you
> > > > dared make a claim that I would have a problem with a weasel like
> > you
> > > pocketing
> > > > the cash from running your own church's radio station - simply
> > > because it is a
> > > > non-profit.
> >
> > BTW, Dieter...
> >
> > Went to All Audio and what do I find...?!?! Just another
> newsgroup
> > reader. The "source" of Dieter's angst is just another source to
> read
> > this very same newsgroup.
> >
> > I love it when someone claims another to be an "idiot" and
> > "weasel" for posting in an open forum, yet can't identify the source
> of
> > the posts he is allegding are supposedly posted covertly.
> >
> > Thanks, Dieter...E V E R Y T I M E you try to throw your weight
> > around you just manage to make an even bigger fool out of yourself.
> >
> > Nice job.
>
> One more thing, Mighty Mouth...
>
> Message number 10066386 is an incomplete messsage number. What
> messages DID come up within "allaudio" had nothing to do with any of
> your claims...

I'm looking at it right now. Try this:

http://www.allaudio.org/detail-10066386.html

Your post is the second one of three, entered February 7, 2005 at 03:35:10.

Its presence speaks for itself and demonstrates that you are a liar.

Now, as to YOUR CLAIM that it is just another version of THIS NEWSGROUP, explain why no posts by me show up? I used google's search engine to find my name and it found ONLY TWO instances of my name being used in any way at that site - and BOTH instances were in posts made by YOU.

Tahe that, scumbag. You've been identified as a liar. You have now been proven a liar... and in the face of your own self-generated evidence, everyone can see that you're a back-stabbing weasel too.

Your other post: http://www.allaudio.org/detail-9595312.html
Dated: October 9, 2004 at 12:48:16 (using your K4CAP callsign).

> There were Holy Roller posts, "eHarmony" solicitations, and
> discussions on anything BUT Dieter Stussy. Perhaps whatever re-posted
> post is farther down the message chain, but if you're going to try an
> accuse anyone of something, Dieter, yoiu owe it to yourself to get your
> accusations RIGHT...and that includes ALL the right attributes.

The accusations are plain and the evidence is there for everyone to see. The URLs are listed above.

3170. Re: The Dieter Stussy, KD6LDW, Dog And Pony Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 07:46:49 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.62.0503210746040.86@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 104686

On Mon, 20 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> I just thought I'd create one thread to keep all your silliness
> under one masthead...I'll quote verbatim my other replies to you today
> too, including your comments with attributes.

Couldn't take the heat, could you? We had a SINGLE thread already - under YOUR name, weasel.

3171. Re: KD6LVW: Dieter Stussy: Impotent Loudmouth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 07:58:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111391917.287139.124830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104687

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Mon, 20 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > On Mon, 14 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > You've led a years long campaign to smear a man who does
> > nothing
> > > > but good things for Amateur Radio then claim others have
"mental
> > > > ability" problems.
> > >
> > > Wrong again, idiot. As for mental ability, you are the only one
with
> > a problem
> > > - and it is clear that your comprehension is less than what many
> > mentally
> > > retarded people have. Example:
> > >
> > > Over in another place on the internet, www.allaudio.org - in post
> > 10066386, you
> > > dared make a claim that I would have a problem with a weasel like
you
> > pocketing
> > > the cash from running your own church's radio station - simply
> > because it is a
> > > non-profit.
> >
> > I've never posted to "allaudio", Dieter.
>
> Then explain this: http://www.allaudio.org/detail-10066386.html
>
> If it's not really you, then someone is using/abusing your identity.
>
> > Never, not once...Any post in that forum would have to be a
> > re-addressal from this forum or were comments carried from this
forum
> > by another.
> >
> > Sorry. You are (again) wrong.
> >
> > Also, I do not run ANY "church radio station".
>
> You did in your HYPOTHETICAL post you were making there.

Congratulations! You got the ENTIRE URL right this time!

And here's another HOT FLASH for ya, Hot Rod...That post was STILL not made by me in "allaudio"...It WAS made by me in THIS forum and re-posted there WITHOUT my consent.

Now...I know this is going to be reeeeeeeeeeally hard for you to understand, Dieter, because the foregoing only further proves my assertions of YOUR lack of understanding...But USENET newsgroup readers from MANY other companies and servers pick-up items from other USENET groups and re-post them.

Had you gone back and read the attributes, you'd know better.

But you didn't. Just like Lennie Anderson..Any chance to jump in and attempt a "swipe"...Didn't work, Dieter...

> > And TELL us, Dieter, when did you file those complaints?
>
> You'll find out.

Yeahyeahyeah...You've been making those same "claims" for three years.

> > When's the trial?
>
> I wouldn't know. I'm not the district attorney.

I can tell you now...there won't be one.

> > Will they put the Michael Jackson trial on hold so you can
> > persecute this foul wretch of a man who raises monies for teenager's
> > scholarships?
>
> Different county, so of course not.

Oh NO, Dieter! THIS is the Crime of the Century! Any jurist worth his salt will put HIS agenda on hold knowing full-well the attention of every man and woman will be on this!

> > > I am not trying to shut down AR Newsline simply because I think that
> > Bill
> > > Pasternak is a prick for the way that he FAILS to obtain truthful
> > stories.
> >
> > Sure you are. Your one and ONLY reason to be pushing ANY of these
> > buttons is because you got your toes stepped on and you're going to
> > insult, harrass and intimidate
>
> I thought that was your job (to insult, harass, and intimidate). My job, as a
> responsible citizen, is to see that the law is enforced.

That being the case, it will be MY job as a responsible citizen to make sure the DA knows exactly how long you've been planning this persecution and why.

Then YOU can suffer the DA's scrutiny as he investigates why YOU tried to manipulate the legal system for personal vendettas.

> > > I will be shutting it down because it is a criminal conspiracy to
> > defraud the
> > > public hiding behind the guise of a non-profit organization.
> >
> > No you wont'. You're a loud mouthed punk with a chip on your
> > shoulder. And even if you do get it in for legal review, there's
no
> > leg to stand on and it will get dismissed. Too many REAL frauds
out
> > there.
>
> You won't be saying that after BP is prosecuted. You forget that I
am an
> ex-IRS agent. I know what I'm talking about when it comes to this
stuff.

I wonder why you are an EX-IRS agent.

Have fun, Dieter...You're just a bitter putz with a personal agenda to keep your wounded pride and ego from getting any more bruised than what it is.

> > > > > > Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public
then
> > > > getting
> > > > > > your nose rubbed in it?
> > > > >
> > > > > I haven't made any stupid assertions.
> > > >
> > > > Sure you have.
> > > >
> > > > At least three years of smears, deceit and blatant lies
about
> > Bill
> > > > Paternak and Amateur Radio Newsline,
> > >
> > > Let's see:
> > > 1) His organization was a consistent spammer to this group until
I
> > got that
> > > stopped about 5 months ago.
> >
> > YOU didn't stop ANY thing.
>
> The evidence here speaks for itself: ARN was posted weekly - and it
no longer

> is. It has stopped. You forget that every week for about 2 years, I sent a
> post to his ISP's abuse mailbox.

I am sure you did. But the FACTS are that ARN stopped for other reasons.

Furthermore, if his ISP actaully found any validity for your claims, they wouldn't have taken 2 years to take action.

> > Still no embezzlement, Dieter. He's STILL allowed to take a part
> > of the funds for costs and reasonable expenses. The IRS says so.
>
> Only for the PURPOSE he solicited the funds for; not for OTHER purposes, exempt
> or not.

Still no embezzlement, Dieter. He's STILL alowed to take a part of the funds for costs and reasonable expenses. Your former employer says so.

> > Despite crowing about all his alleged excesses, you've not, in over
> > THREE YEARS, produced a SINGLE bit of evidence to corroborate your
> > claims. Not a single receipt, bit of testimony or
> >
> > > You've now been proven to be the fool that everyone knows you are.
> > PLONK!
> >
> > No fool here, Dieter. Check the mirror.
> >
> > YOU, on the otherhand, are still making grandiose claims about
> > fraud and embezzlement that you have not substantiated with a single
> > sentence of corroborating evidence.
> >
> > > > > I have made only one conclusion - and you have failed to provide
> > any
> > > > > evidence
> > > > > (let alone credible evidence) that even hints that my conclusion
> > is
> > > > > wrong.
> > > > > Since there are TWO things being varied, there is a minimum of
> > four
> > > > > states, and
> > > > > thus code cannot be binary (two states). QED, asshole.
> > > > >

> > > > You seem to have the mental problems, Dieter.
> > > >
> > > > I wasn't addressing the binary states (or lack of) of
> > accurately
> > > > sent Morse Code.
> > >
> > > The title of your post says otherwise, idiot.
> >
> > It wasn't my title.
> >
> > And since I've been very careful to avoid the adjectives with
you
> > all this time, Dieter, and you've NOT extended me the same
courtesy, I
> > guess I can return the favor...but won't. You ARE your own worst
> > enemy, Dieter.
> >
> > > > I was addressing your Quixotie-like crusade style.
> > > >
> > > > As for who's the...well...You know...I guess it's the
person
> > who
> > > > can't express himself without profanity.
> > > >
> > > > QED, Dieter.
> > > >
> > > > You're a putz.
> > >
> > > And that's the best you can come up with?
> >
> > What more do I need? You make claims then don't substantiate
> > them.
> >
> > > You're an idiot because the understanding of the issue is beyond
you.
> >
> > I understand just fine.
>
> It's clear from your posts at the other forum at the web site
mentioned above
> that you do not. You're a liar too, and everyone else here knows it.

Lied...?!?! About what? The only "lie" I am accused of is having
not done the things in my military career that I've mentioned but
refuse to discsuss further...

You are welcome to try and prove otherwise.

If you're depending upon posts made by Brian Burke and Lennie
Anderson to substantiate your claim, you're going to find yourself
behind the power curve yet again.....

> > You're trying to persecute Bill Pasternak and Amateur Radio
> > Newsline for some perceived injustice from a story whose reporting
you
> > didn't care for.
>
> You can't even paraphrase that correctly. The parties he defamed
should sue
> him. It's clear that BP has no interest in the truth.

If the "parties he defamed" were actually defamed, they should sue!

But it's been YEARS ago and YOU are the ONLY putz crowing about it!
It would appear that there was NOT enough "evidence" to persue the
deamation suit in the first place!

> > You've not substantiated a single claim.
> >
> > > You're a weasel because you thought that you could "badmouth" me
in a
> > place you
> > > thought I'd never find out about.
> >
> > I didn't make those posts, Dieter. I do not know of any
> > "allaudio" site, I don't post there, and besides, I can "badmouth"
you
> > from right here with your own words...I don't need to do so
covertly.
>
> Liar. The URL I posted may only be 1 of 2 that I found you referring
to me
> about, but all indications show that it is clearly you.

I am still not lying, Dieter.

I did not post in "allaudio". That a newsgroup reader may have
re-posted from Google is entirely possible...But >>I<< did not post in
"allaudio".

You are welcome to try and prove otherwise!

> > > You're a fool because it is clear that if I were wrong, others
would
> > have said
> > > so. You stand alone.
> >
> > So far, Dieter, no fewer than a half dozen other posters have
> > chimmed in at one time or another on the Dieter-Vs-Pasternak/ARN
issue,
> > and not a one of them has expressed any support for your claims.
> >
> > Why is that?
>
> Because you're only counting one side, just like BP does.

Nope.

Please remind us of all the eliable respondents in THIS forum who have "sided" with you...Please be so kind as to only cite persons who sign thier name and/or callsign...They are the only one's I refer to...Otherwise it would have been more than "a half dozen"....

Again...You come up short, Dieter.

steve, K4YZ

3172. Re: The Dieter Stussy, KD6LDW, Dog And Pony Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 07:59:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111391983.617412.160140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104688

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Mon, 20 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > I just thought I'd create one thread to keep all your
silliness
> > under one masthead...I'll quote verbatim my other replies to you
today
> > too, including your comments with attributes.
>
> Couldn't take the heat, could you? We had a SINGLE thread already -
under YOUR
> name, weasel.

I am not the one making the errors, Dieter...

Steve, K4YZ

3173. Re: The Dieter Stussy, KD6LDW, Dog And Pony Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 08:01:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111392084.963822.209080@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104689

K4YZ wrote:
> D. Stussy wrote:
> > On Mon, 20 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > > On Mon, 14 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > You've led a years long campaign to smear a man who does
> > > nothing
> > > > > but good things for Amateur Radio then claim others have
> "mental
> > > > > ability" problems.
> > > >
> > > > Wrong again, idiot. As for mental ability, you are the only
one
> with
> > > a problem
> > > > - and it is clear that your comprehension is less than what
many
> > > mentally
> > > > retarded people have. Example:
> > > >
> > > > Over in another place on the internet, www.allaudio.org - in
post
> > > 10066386, you
> > > > dared make a claim that I would have a problem with a weasel
like
> you
> > > pocketing
> > > > the cash from running your own church's radio station - simply
> > > because it is a
> > > > non-profit.
> > >
> > > I've never posted to "allaudio", Dieter.
> >
> > Then explain this: http://www.allaudio.org/detail-10066386.html
> >
> > If it's not really you, then someone is using/abusing your
identity.
> >
> > > Never, not once...Any post in that forum would have to be a
> > > re-addressal from this forum or were comments carried from this
> forum
> > > by another.
> > >

> > > Sorry. You are (again) wrong.
> > >
> > > Also, I do not run ANY "church radio station".
> >
> > You did in your HYPOTHETICAL post you were making there.
>
> Congratulations! You got the ENTIRE URL right this time!
>
> And here's another HOT FLASH for ya, Hot Rod...That post was
STILL
> not made by me in "allaudio"...It WAS made by me in THIS forum and
> re-posted there WITHOUT my consent.
>
> Now...I know this is going to be reeeeeeeeeeally hard for you to
> understand, Dieter, because the foregoing only further proves my
> assertions of YOUR lack of understanding...But USENET newsgroup
readers
> from MANY other companies and servers pick-up items from other USENET
> groups and re-post them.
>
> Had you gone back and read the attributes, you'd know better.
>
> But you didn't. Just like Lennie Anderson..Any chance to jump
in
> and attempt a "swipe"...Didn't work, Dieter...
>
> > > And TELL us, Dieter, when did you file those complaints?
> >
> > You'll find out.
>
> Yeahyeahyeah...You've been making those same "claims" for three
> years.
>
> > > When's the trial?
> >
> > I wouldn't know. I'm not the district attorney.
>
> I can tell you now...there won't be one.
>
> > > Will they put the Michael Jackson trial on hold so you can
> > > persecute this foul wretch of a man who raises monies for
> teenager's
> > > scholarships?
> >
> > Different county, so of course not.
>
> Oh NO, Dieter! THIS is the Crime of the Century! Any jurist
worth
> his salt will put HIS agenda on hold knowing full-well the attention
of

> every man and woman will be on this!
>
> > > > I am not trying to shut down AR Newsline simply because I think
> that
> > > Bill
> > > > Pasternak is a prick for the way that he FAILS to obtain
truthful
> > > stories.
> > >
> > > Sure you are. Your one and ONLY reason to be pushing ANY of
> these
> > > buttons is because you got your toes stepped on and you're going
to
> > > insult, harrass and intimidate
> >
> > I thought that was your job (to insult, harass, and intimidate).
My
> job, as a
> > responsible citizen, is to see that the law is enforced.
>
> That being the case, it will be MY job as a responsible citizen
to
> make sure the DA knows exactly how long you've been planning this
> persecution and why.
>
> Then YOU can suffer the DA's scrutiny as he investigates why YOU
> tried to manipulate the legal system for personal vendettas.
>
> > > > I will be shutting it down because it is a criminal conspiracy
to
> > > defraud the
> > > > public hiding behind the guise of a non-profit organization.
> > >
> > > No you wont'. You're a loud mouthed punk with a chip on
your
> > > shoulder. And even if you do get it in for legal review, there's
> no
> > > leg to stand on and it will get dismissed. Too many REAL frauds
> out
> > > there.
> >
> > You won't be saying that after BP is prosecuted. You forget that I
> am an
> > ex-IRS agent. I know what I'm talking about when it comes to this
> stuff.
>
> I wonder why you are an EX-IRS agent.
>
> Have fun, Dieter...You're just a bitter putz with a personal
agenda

> to keep your wounded pride and ego from getting any more bruised than
> what it is.
>
> > > > > > > Getting tired of making stupid assertions in public
> then
> > > > > getting
> > > > > > > your nose rubbed in it?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I haven't made any stupid assertions.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure you have.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > At least three years of smears, deceit and blatant lies
> about
> > > Bill
> > > > > > Paternak and Amateur Radio Newsline,
> > > >
> > > > Let's see:
> > > > 1) His organization was a consistent spammer to this group
until
> I
> > > got that
> > > > stopped about 5 months ago.
> > >
> > > YOU didn't stop ANY thing.
> >
> > The evidence here speaks for itself: ARN was posted weekly - and
it
> no longer
> > is. It has stopped. You forget that every week for about 2 years,
I
> sent a
> > post to his ISP's abuse mailbox.
>
> I am sure you did. But the FACTS are that ARN stopped for other
> reasons.
>
> Furthermore, if his ISP actaully found any validity for your
> claims, they wouldn't have taken 2 years to take action.
>
> > > Still no embezzlement, Dieter. He's STILL allowed to take a
> part
> > > of the funds for costs and reasonable expenses. The IRS says so.
> >
> > Only for the PURPOSE he solicited the funds for; not for OTHER
> purposes, exempt
> > or not.
>
> Still no embezzlement, Dieter. He's STILL alowed to take a part
of

> the funds for costs and reasonable expenses. Your former employer
says
> so.
>
> > > Despite crowing about all his alleged excesses, you've not,
in
> over
> > > THREE YEARS, produced a SINGLE bit of evidence to corroborate
your
> > > claims. Not a single receipt, bit of testimony or
> > >
> > > > You've now been proven to be the fool that everyone knows you
> are.
> > > PLONK!
> > >
> > > No fool here, Dieter. Check the mirror.
> > >
> > > YOU, on the otherhand, are still making grandiose claims
about
> > > fraud and embezzlement that you have not substantiated with a
> single
> > > sentence of corroborating evidence.
> > >
> > > > > I have made only one conclusion - and you have failed to
> provide
> > > any
> > > > > evidence
> > > > > > (let alone credible evidence) that even hints that my
> conclusion
> > > is
> > > > > wrong.
> > > > > > Since there are TWO things being varied, there is a minimum
> of
> > > four
> > > > > states, and
> > > > > > thus code cannot be binary (two states). QED, asshole.
> > > > >
> > > > > You seem to have the mental problems, Dieter.
> > > > >
> > > > > I wasn't addressing the binary states (or lack of) of
> > > accurately
> > > > > sent Morse Code.
> > > >
> > > The title of your post says otherwise, idiot.
> > >
> > It wasn't my title.
> > >
> > And since I've been very careful to avoid the adjectives
with
> you

> > > all this time, Dieter, and you've NOT extended me the same
> courtesy, I
> > > guess I can return the favor...but won't. You ARE your own worst
> > > enemy, Dieter.
> > >
> > > > > I was addressing your Quixotie-like crusade style.
> > > > >
> > > > > As for who's the...well...You know...I guess it's the
> person
> > > who
> > > > > can't express himself without profanity.
> > > > >
> > > > > QED, Dieter.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're a putz.
> > > >
> > > > And that's the best you can come up with?
> > >
> > > What more do I need? You make claims then don't
substantiate
> > > them.
> > >
> > > > You're an idiot because the understanding of the issue is
beyond
> you.
> > >
> > > I understand just fine.
> >
> > It's clear from your posts at the other forum at the web site
> mentioned above
> > that you do not. You're a liar too, and everyone else here knows
it.
>
> Lied...?!?! About what? The only "lie" I am accused of is
having
> not done the things in my military career that I've mentioned but
> refuse to discsuss further...
>
> You are welcome to try and prove otherwise.
>
> If you're depending upon posts made by Brian Burke and Lennie
> Anderson to substantiate your claim, you're going to find yourself
> behind the power curve yet again.....
>
> > > You're trying to persecute Bill Pasternak and Amateur Radio
> > > Newsline for some perceived injustice from a story whose
reporting
> you
> > > didn't care for.
> >

> > You can't even paraphrase that correctly. The parties he defamed
> should sue
> > him. It's clear that BP has no interest in the truth.
>
> If the "parties he defamed" were actually defamed, they should
sue!
>
> But it's been YEARS ago and YOU are the ONLY putz crowing about
it!
> It would appear that there was NOT enough "evidence" to persue the
> deamation suit in the first place!
>
> > > You've not substantiated a single claim.
> > >
> > > > You're a weasel because you thought that you could "badmouth"
me
> in a
> > > place you
> > > > thought I'd never find out about.
> > >
> > > I didn't make those posts, Dieter. I do not know of any
> > > "allaudio" site, I don't post there, and besides, I can
"badmouth"
> you
> > > from right here with your own words...I don't need to do so
> covertly.
> >
> > Liar. The URL I posted may only be 1 of 2 that I found you
referring
> to me
> > about, but all indications show that it is clearly you.
>
> I am still not lying, Dieter.
>
> I did not post in "allaudio". That a newsgroup reader may have
> re-posted from Google is entirely possible...But >>I<< did not post
in
> "allaudio".
>
> You are welcome to try and prove otherwise!
>
> > > > You're a fool because it is clear that if I were wrong, others
> would
> > > have said
> > > > so. You stand alone.
> > >
> > > So far, Dieter, no fewer than a half dozen other posters have
> > > chimmed in at one time or another on the Dieter-Vs-Pasternak/ARN
> issue,
> > > and not a one of them has expressed any support for your claims.

> > >
> > > Why is that?
> >
> > Because you're only counting one side, just like BP does.
>
> Nope.
>
> Please remind us of all the eliable respondents in THIS forum who
> have "sided" with you...Please be so kind as to only cite persons who
> sign thier name and/or callsign...They are the only one's I refer
> to...Otherwise it would have been more than "a half dozen"....
>
> Again...You come up short, Dieter.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3174. Re: KD6LVW: Dieter Stussy: Impotent Loudmouth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 08:13:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111392818.473394.184940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104690

D. Stussy wrote:

Snip to...

> I'm looking at it right now. Try this:
>
> http://www.allaudio.org/detail-10066386.html
>
> Your post is the second one of three, entered February 7, 2005 at
03:35:10.
>
> Its presence speaks for itself and demonstrates that you are a liar.
>
> Now, as to YOUR CLAIM that it is just another version of THIS
NEWSGROUP,
> explain why no posts by me show up? I used google's search engine to
find my
> name and it found ONLY TWO instances of my name being used in any way
at that
> site - and BOTH instances were in posts made by YOU.
>
> Tahe that, scumbag.

You really need to slow down whe you are trying to impress us with
your prolific use of profanities and insults, Dieter.

> You've been identified as a liar.

No I've not. You found some posts that somehow got re-posted to
this other site. It's called "cross-posting".

> You have now been
> proven a liar... and in the face of your own self-generated
evidence, everyone
> can see that you're a back-stabbing weasel too.

I can't explain as to why you're stuff doesn't come through,
Dieter...Maybe they have a bit more class..?!?!

But the FACTS are the posts STILL originated in Google. I never
posted via "allaudio"...

I am not "back-stabbing"...Congratulations that you found another
newsreader that cites Google, but it's not my doing.

> Your other post: http://www.allaudio.org/detail-9595312.html
> Dated: October 9, 2004 at 12:48:16 (using your K4CAP

callsign).

Yep..it's there too...But it's STILL not an original post to
"allaudio". I am not a member of "allradio" or I would sign in, get
ALL the headers and feed them to you.

(No problem...I doubt you'd have the gonads to admit your error
anyway...It would take away your basis for calling me weasel,
backstabber, idiot, etc, and it
> > There were Holy Roller posts, "eHarmony" solicitations, and
> > discussions on anything BUT Dieter Stussy. Perhaps whatever
re-posted
> > post is farther down the message chain, but if you're going to try
an
> > accuse anyone of something, Dieter, yoiu owe it to yourself to get
your
> > accusations RIGHT...and that includes ALL the right attributes.
>
> The accusations are plain and the evidence is there for everyone to
see. The
> URLs are listed above.

Yes, they are.

And the facts remain that the original posts were made in Google,
not "allradio"...

And you're STILL wrong!

Steve, K4YZ

3175. Re: The Dieter Stussy, KD6LDW, Dog And Pony Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 08:15:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111392945.916256.172710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104691

D. Stussy wrote:

Snip to...

> I'm looking at it right now. Try this:
>
> http://www.allaudio.org/detail-10066386.html
>
> Your post is the second one of three, entered February 7, 2005 at
03:35:10.
>
> Its presence speaks for itself and demonstrates that you are a liar.
>
> Now, as to YOUR CLAIM that it is just another version of THIS
NEWSGROUP,
> explain why no posts by me show up? I used google's search engine to
find my
> name and it found ONLY TWO instances of my name being used in any way
at that
> site - and BOTH instances were in posts made by YOU.
>
> Tahe that, scumbag.

You really need to slow down whe you are trying to impress us with
your prolific use of profanities and insults, Dieter.

> You've been identified as a liar.

No I've not. You found some posts that somehow got re-posted to
this other site. It's called "cross-posting".

> You have now been
> proven a liar... and in the face of your own self-generated
evidence, everyone
> can see that you're a back-stabbing weasel too.

I can't explain as to why you're stuff doesn't come through,
Dieter...Maybe they have a bit more class..?!?!

But the FACTS are the posts STILL originated in Google. I never
posted via "allaudio"...

I am not "back-stabbing"...Congratulations that you found another
newsreader that cites Google, but it's not my doing.

> Your other post: http://www.allaudio.org/detail-9595312.html
> Dated: October 9, 2004 at 12:48:16 (using your K4CAP

callsign).

Yep..it's there too...But it's STILL not an original post to
"allaudio". I am not a member of "allradio" or I would sign in, get
ALL the headers and feed them to you.

(No problem...I doubt you'd have the gonads to admit your error
anyway...It would take away your basis for calling me weasel,
backstabber, idiot, etc, and it
> > There were Holy Roller posts, "eHarmony" solicitations, and
> > discussions on anything BUT Dieter Stussy. Perhaps whatever
re-posted
> > post is farther down the message chain, but if you're going to try
an
> > accuse anyone of something, Dieter, yoiu owe it to yourself to get
your
> > accusations RIGHT...and that includes ALL the right attributes.
>
> The accusations are plain and the evidence is there for everyone to
see. The
> URLs are listed above.

Yes, they are.

And the facts remain that the original posts were made in Google,
not "allradio"...

And you're STILL wrong!

Steve, K4YZ

3176. Re: The Dieter Stussy, KD6LDW, Dog And Pony Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 08:28:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111393716.640020.214460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104692

Here's the "proof" of YOUR error, Dieter...

Please notice closely the "Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy

IT WAS A CROSS POST, NIT-WIT...JUST LIKE I TOLD YOU IT WAS!

BTW...I am wondering WHERE in THIS post am I "badmouthing" you...?

This is the ONLY paragraph where I mention you:

"Of course then I will have to contend with Dieter Stussy daring me
to 'come out and argue the issues' over donations."

Where was the "badmouthing"...??? I addressed you by given name,
and you DO have a habit of arguing with people over "donations"...

So....Pony up an apology, or an excuse?

Steve, K4YZ

QUOTE:

Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To He

Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy

Good_News_For_You_Al
Posted Feb 6, 2005 11:37:42
Subject: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To
He

Guest

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth
in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and
died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds).
He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a
virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life
for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless
blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for
our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later
as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that

Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept
Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him
in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness
and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is
to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you
confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe
in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you
WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if
you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following
prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have
a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a
sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I
believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the
penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead.
Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my
Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for
forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace.
Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed
God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com || Free Online Bible
2. http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html || Free Online Movies
3. http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html || The Passion Of The
Christ
4. http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html ||
Animation
5. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm || Beware Of Cults
6. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm || About Hell
7. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html || Is Jesus God?

K4YZ
Posted Feb 7, 2005 03:35:10
Subject: Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go
T

Guest

Good_News_For_You_All([at]yahoo.com wrote:
| Good News!
|
| Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
| life has ended?

Yet another unsolicited rendering of religious fervor...Just what the world needs...Yet another "evangelist" to "save" us from someone else's religion.

I am in the wrong business.

Instead of saving lives, I will save souls...Put up an internet "crusade", then evenutally an HF broadcast station from which to solicit tons of donations from sick, dependent and scared people who think if they don't send me $10 right now they are going straight to Hell.

Eventually I can get a real, live satellite program of my own and really rake in the big bucks. Then I can allow myself to be "found out" having the same sex that every other heterosexual male in the world enjoys, take a huge golden parachute severance pay from my now-mega-million "congregation" and retire to some South Pacific island of my own.

Of course then I will have to contend with Dieter Stussy daring me to 'come out and argue the issues' over donations.

I was going to pursue a career in quasi-professional electronics engineering by hijacking others proprietary work, but they told me some idiot in California already tried that schtick...Now they look out for guys like that! My luck...a day late and a dollar short. Wonder who the idiot was...?!?!

Steve in Winchester, TN

3177. So, Dieter...Is THIS your PROOF...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 08:29:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111393783.930942.270150@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104693

Here's the "proof" of YOUR error, Dieter...

Please notice closely the "Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy"

IT WAS A CROSS POST, NIT-WIT...JUST LIKE I TOLD YOU IT WAS!

BTW...I am wondering WHERE in THIS post am I "badmouthing" you...?

This is the ONLY paragraph where I mention you:

"Of course then I will have to contend with Dieter Stussy daring me
to 'come out and argue the issues' over donations."

Where was the "badmouthing"...??? I addressed you by given name,
and you DO have a habit of arguing with people over "donations"...

So....Pony up an apology, or an excuse?

Steve, K4YZ

QUOTE:

Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To He

Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy

Good_News_For_You_Al
Posted Feb 6, 2005 11:37:42
Subject: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To
He

Guest

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth
in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and
died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds).
He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a
virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life
for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless
blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for
our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later
as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that

Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept
Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him
in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness
and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is
to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you
confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe
in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you
WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if
you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following
prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have
a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a
sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I
believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the
penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead.
Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my
Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for
forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace.
Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed
God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com || Free Online Bible
2. http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html || Free Online Movies
3. http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html || The Passion Of The
Christ
4. http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html ||
Animation
5. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm || Beware Of Cults
6. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm || About Hell
7. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html || Is Jesus God?

K4YZ
Posted Feb 7, 2005 03:35:10
Subject: Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go
T

Guest

Good_News_For_You_All([at]yahoo.com wrote:
| Good News!
|
| Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
| life has ended?

Yet another unsolicited rendering of religious fervor...Just what the world needs...Yet another "evangelist" to "save" us from someone else's religion.

I am in the wrong business.

Instead of saving lives, I will save souls...Put up an internet "crusade", then evenutally an HF broadcast station from which to solicit tons of donations from sick, dependent and scared people who think if they don't send me $10 right now they are going straight to Hell.

Eventually I can get a real, live satellite program of my own and really rake in the big bucks. Then I can allow myself to be "found out" having the same sex that every other heterosexual male in the world enjoys, take a huge golden parachute severance pay from my now-mega-million "congregation" and retire to some South Pacific island of my own.

Of course then I will have to contend with Dieter Stussy daring me to 'come out and argue the issues' over donations.

I was going to pursue a career in quasi-professional electronics engineering by hijacking others proprietary work, but they told me some idiot in California already tried that schtick...Now they look out for guys like that! My luck...a day late and a dollar short. Wonder who the idiot was...?!?!

Steve in Winchester, TN

3178. Re: So, Dieter...Is THIS your PROOF...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 08:42:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111394525.639368.150470@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104694

K4YZ wrote:
> Here's the "proof" of YOUR error, Dieter...

Here's some more...the original header from Google:

QUOTE:

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 7 Feb 2005 03:35:10 -0800
Local: Mon, Feb 7 2005 3:35 am
Subject: Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go
To Heaven
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

UNQUOTE

You are, of course, encouraged to page back through Google and find
it yourself.

If you have the guts to do it...

Steve, K4YZ

3179. Re: Lennie Digs Himself In Deeper...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 08:56:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111395415.634933.145900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104695

```
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > There I was...laying on the beach in Bora Bora with
> > > > Brittney
> > > > > > > > Spears
> > > > > > > > when BAM BAM BAM...This loud sounds wakes me from my
male
> > > > > > chauvanist
> > > > > > > > near-wet dream...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > And what do I find...?!?!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Another hostile action? Another disability pension?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope...Your bunkbuddy getting his words spoon-fed back
> to
> > > him.
> > > > >
> > > > Bunkbuddy? Are you back on the homosexual inuendo? What's
> next,
> > > the
> > > > > > pedophilia inuendo? Slashed tires?
> > > > >
> > > > You're dispicable.
> > >
> > You're the one with the "homosexual innuendo" problem,
> > Brian...
> >
> > "Being in bed" with somoene is a common phrase to mean
they
> > share
> > > the same goals/intent/purpose. This has been discussed with
you
> > > before.
> >
> > "Bunkbuddy" is a different phrase than "being in bed with."
> >
```

> > > And if you wished to say that Len and I have the same goals,
> intent,
> > > and purpose, then you could have said that. Instead you give us
> > > inuendo and obscure your actual goal, intent, and purpose. Or
have
> > > you? I think not.
> > >
> > > > However if you're "feeling the heat" from the phrase, may
I
> > > > suggest that perhaps you have some unaddressed repressive
issues
> > that
> > > a
> > > > professional may be able help you to overcome (or perhaps open
> that
> > > > closet door for you...?!?!)
> > >
> > > And you say you don't engage in homosexual inuendo?
> >
> > That's not homosexual innuendo, Brian.
>
> It's all the straights that need to come out of the closet. Pffft.

If you're not gay, it's not a problem, Brain...But YOU are the one
always making the "homosexual innuendo" claims from posts that had no
such intent.

> > YOU are the one who is constantly bringing up homosexuality.
>
> I point out your homosexual inuendos, which >>>IS<<< nearly constant.

What "homosexual innuendo"...?!?! That you are politically and
philosophically "in bed" with Lennie?

Do you deny this? Are all your "Lennie is my mentor" claims now a
lie, or have you simply had a change of heart?

It's OK to change your mind, you know...for example, I used to
think you were a pretty stand-up guy...but then there came the lapses
in facts, false accusations, unsubstantiated assertions, etc etc etc...

> You
> > then try to re-direct the conversation in such a way as to deflect
> the
> > comments away from you, but it only makes the act even more
glaring.
>
> The only one glaring is you. Your reality isn't turning out how you
> wanted it to. Too bad.

Sure it has. With the exception of keeping my daughter alive,
getting a date with Brittney Spears and winning the Lottery, I'd have

to say I'm in pretty good sorts.

What about "(my) reality" did you think wasn't turning out?

Steve, K4YZ

3180. Re: So, Dieter...Is THIS your PROOF...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 09:24:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111397086.732717.217400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104696

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Here's the "proof" of YOUR error, Dieter...
>
> Here's some more...the original header from Google:
>
> QUOTE:
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> Date: 7 Feb 2005 03:35:10 -0800
> Local: Mon, Feb 7 2005 3:35 am
> Subject: Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can
Go
> To Heaven
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original
> | Report Abuse
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> You are, of course, encouraged to page back through Google and
find
> it yourself.
>
> If you have the guts to do it...

Here's the other one:

Again, NOTE THE "Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy" ATTRIBUTE,
DIETER!

QUOTE:

Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy

Steve Robeson K4CAP
Posted Oct 9, 2004 12:48:16
Subject: Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!

Signed on to the NG this morning to find the following items posted to
the
NG from Blowhard Baxter and Company.

Mr Stussy presumes to express his dismay at the once-a-week, single
postings of the Amateur Radio Newsline, calling it SPAM.

I am eager to see if Mr Stussy is as equally adamant in his "fight" to
get
K1MAN to stop sludging up the Internet with his extension of his
on-the-air
antics or not.

I seriously doubt his actions will match his rhetoric.

73

Steve, K4YZ

Quote or K1MAN "announcement" snipped.

As for "badmouthing" you, I seriously doubt anyone could call
addressing you by your given name or comments made within the context
of your "spam" crusade as "badmouthing"...

Here's the headers from Google:

QUOTE:

Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!
All 9 messages in topic - view as tree
Steve Robeson K4CAP Oct 9 2004, 5:48 am hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) - Find messages by this author

Date: 09 Oct 2004 12:48:16 GMT
Local: Sat, Oct 9 2004 5:48 am
Subject: Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

UNQUOTE

So, Dieter, I have PROVEN with DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE that YOUR
assertions that I "posted" to "allaudio", hence allegedly trying to go
"behind your back" were FALSE.

What are you going to do now?

Steve, K4YZ

3181. Re: W5YR Is Gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 09:26:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111397200.870171.23660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104697

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Dropped into my inbox this morning from K3CT via the club reflector:
>
> "There is a note on the Icom reflector that George, W5YR passed away
> while undergoing heart surgery Thursday night. George was 75."

Too bad....it was the WRONG "W 5 Y..."

73

Steve, K4YZ

3182. Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 09:41:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111398065.300885.140390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104698

Hey Dieter...

One minute you're matching me post-for-post, but the minute I start unravelling your accusations with FACTS and PROOF, you suddenly disappear...

What's up with that?

No stomach for going toe-to-toe with someone when you're WRONG...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Here's the "proof" of YOUR error, Dieter...

> Here's some more...the original header from Google:

> QUOTE:

> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> Date: 7 Feb 2005 03:35:10 -0800
> Local: Mon, Feb 7 2005 3:35 am
> Subject: Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go
> To Heaven
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> | Report Abuse

> UNQUOTE

> You are, of course, encouraged to page back through Google and find
> it yourself.

> If you have the guts to do it...

Here's the other one:

Again, NOTE THE "Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy" ATTRIBUTE, DIETER!

QUOTE:

Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy

Steve Robeson K4CAP
Posted Oct 9, 2004 12:48:16
Subject: Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!

Signed on to the NG this morning to find the following items posted to the
NG from Blowhard Baxter and Company.

Mr Stussy presumes to express his dismay at the once-a-week, single postings of the Amateur Radio Newsline, calling it SPAM.

I am eager to see if Mr Stussy is as equally adamant in his "fight" to get
K1MAN to stop sludging up the Internet with his extension of his on-the-air
antics or not.

I seriously doubt his actions will match his rhetoric.

73

Steve, K4YZ

Quote or K1MAN "announcement" snipped.

As for "badmouthing" you, I seriously doubt anyone could call addressing you by your given name or comments made within the context of your "spam" crusade as "badmouthing"...

Here's the headers from Google:

QUOTE:

Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!
All 9 messages in topic - view as tree
Steve Robeson K4CAP Oct 9 2004, 5:48 am hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) - Find messages by this author

Date: 09 Oct 2004 12:48:16 GMT
Local: Sat, Oct 9 2004 5:48 am
Subject: Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

UNQUOTE

So, Dieter, I have PROVEN with DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE that YOUR assertions that I "posted" to "allaudio", hence allegedly trying to go "behind your back" were FALSE.

What are you going to do now?

Steve, K4YZ

3183. Re: More Brian P Burke...He Just Doesn't Learn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 10:43:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111401794.481181.49280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104699

B r i a n. . . . .! ! ! ! !

Hey Brain!~ What's up..?!?! I arrange this WIDE OPEN opportunity
for you to once-and-for-all prove your assertions of my alleged
"whacko-ness", my alleged "lies", my alleged "threats" and other
alleged misdeeds and ALL YOU DO IS SNIVVEL AWAY!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Not that I expected a single thing otherwise.

Steve, K4YZ

3184. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 13:12:02 UTC
From: Ron038548@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111410722.661096.136650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104700

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

3185. Re: Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 13:57:24 UTC
From: Lloyd <none@noneispredirl.net>
Message-ID: <d1mjng$p3j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104701

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111398065.300885.140390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hey Dieter...
>
> One minute you're matching me post-for-post, but the minute I start
> unravelling your accusations with FACTS and PROOF, you suddenly
> disappear...
>

K4YZ = Quick to demand proof, slow to produce it.

3186. Re: So, Dieter...Is THIS your PROOF...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 13:58:42 UTC
From: Lloyd <none@noneispredirl.net>
Message-ID: <d1mjpv$p9l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104702

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111393783.930942.270150@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Here's the "proof" of YOUR error, Dieter...
>

K4YZ = Quick to demand proof, but never produces proof when asked.

3187. K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 15:02:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111417335.033895.124330@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104703

K4YZ
By Todd Daugherty N9OGL

I have seen on this newsgroup that Steve, K4YZ is trying to call me out. However, instead of playing his "game" Let's get back to the real issue at hand. In the post "For The Benefit of Lloydie and Toddie" you made the statement towards me
"To Toddie, I say go ahead and spend the money
on legal issues if you want...I'd rather spend them on enjoying Amateur Radio.
Of course your posts in here have pretty well set the tone for what kind of reaction you can expect. People don't want to get "information" from the decietful, dishonest or the lame.
You're all three."
Yet, I posted the warning letter from the FCC stating:

" It is the finding of this office that you are, with some exceptions, generally in compliance with the Commission's rules in the Amateur Service related to broadcasting and information bulletins, and we explain as follows. Broadcasting is prohibited in the Amateur Radio Service, with some exceptions. Section 97.3(a)(10) defines broadcasting as "transmissions intended for reception by the general public, either direct or relayed." One-way transmissions are limited in the Amateur service, but an exception is allowed in Section 97.111(b)(6) for "Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins."

Information bulletins are defined by Section 97.3(a)(26) as messages "directed only to Amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the Amateur service." There are no specific time limits placed upon information bulletins by Commission rules. A review of your programs at random times since March 3, 2003 indicates that your transmissions were directed to Amateur Radio operators, not to the general public, and that the individual bulletins were related to the Amateur Radio Service. The only notable exception was the offering of a reward for information leading to the identity of parties making threatening telephone calls to you."

Warning letter to K1MAN 2004

Yet, your still on here claiming my "information bulletin" is illegal and voted on my website poll that way, along with your little buddies on here. We'll get to the poll issue here real soon. But, that letter allow proves that what I've been saying is true, as long as programming is amateur related and is directed to the amateur radio service, which my bulletins are both, then under the law it is legal. Broadcasting,

means for you backwater moron "A transmission DIRECTED TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC". As for interference, I'm not interfering with anyone, I pick an unused frequency and call three times "is this frequency in uses?" before I began my transmission, which I believe is the general operating procedure for any amateur wanting to uses a fequency. The programming of an Information Bulletin does not have to be newscast, it only has to be a piece of amateur related information directed only to the amateur radio service. As the FCC point out in their letter to K1MAN in 2004 "There are no specific time limits placed upon information bulletins by Commission rules" thus, despite what those morons on QRZ and you think, an information bulletin doesn't have to be short and to the point. As stated many times before, K1MAN has never been found guilty of violating any FCC rules. A warning letter, and Phil can correct me if I'm wrong, is a letter to specify a possible violation and is to inform the licensee to correct the problem, and if the problem isn't fixed they could face a NAL. As stated above you along with your little buddies on here went to my website and voted in the Poll "Do you think N9OGL information bulletins are legal?" in it you posted no, along with you little buddies. Then I posted another poll, which stated "When you Voted on the orginal poll had your heard N9OGL INFORMATION BULLETIN and did it effect your vote?" on that poll along with your little buddies on here voted YES, and then you got on this newsgroup and started a little thread about the second poll. Let's talk about that second poll, I did the second poll to prove a point, which was that you and your little friends on this newgroup were voting, not because you heard the transmissions, but because you believed the information bulletins I was transmitting were illegal. Yet, you and your little buddies still voted yes claiming that you heard the transmissions. First off, you couldn't of heard the transmissions as I stated many times before most of my Information Bulletins are ran on 10 meters around 9/10 PM CST when the band conditions are dead. Unless you have a SUPER HAM STATION down there in bumfuck Tennessee I REALLY doubt you heard my weak signal. So before you get on a newsgroup call someone decietful, dishonest, lame, and a liar you better know what the fuck your talking about. Because in my humble opinion your not only lying to these people but your lying to yourself.

Todd N9OGL

3188. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-21 21:56:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <_pH%d.524$z.424@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104704

Congratulations. I work lots of WY during various contests. GL.

Dan/W4NTI

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:atp%d.1354$S33.941@fe09.lga...
> Woo Hoo, only WY left for WAS!
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384
> QRP ARCI #11782
>

3189. Re: Lennie Digs Himself In Deeper...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-22 00:17:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111450664.849981.69450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104705

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > There I was...laying on the beach in Bora Bora
with
> > > > > Brittney
> > > > > > > > > Spears
> > > > > > > > > > when BAM BAM BAM...This loud sounds wakes me from my
> male
> > > > > > chauvanist
> > > > > > > > > > near-wet dream...
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > And what do I find...?!?!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Another hostile action? Another disability pension?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Nope...Your bunkbuddy getting his words spoon-fed
back
> > to
> > > > > him.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Bunkbuddy? Are you back on the homosexual inuendo? What's
> > next,
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > > pedophilia inuendo? Slashed tires?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You're dispicable.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You're the one with the "homosexual innuendo" problem,
> > > Brian...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Being in bed" with somoene is a common phrase to mean
> they
> > > > share
> > > > > > the same goals/intent/purpose. This has been discussed with
> you
> > > > > before.

> > > >
> > > > "Bunkbuddy" is a different phrase than "being in bed with."
> > > >
> > > > And if you wished to say that Len and I have the same goals,
> > intent,
> > > > and purpose, then you could have said that. Instead you give
us
> > > > inuendo and obscure your actual goal, intent, and purpose. Or
> have
> > > > you? I think not.
> > > >
> > > > > However if you're "feeling the heat" from the phrase,
may
> I
> > > > > suggest that perhaps you have some unaddressed repressive
> issues
> > > that
> > > > > a
> > > > > professional may be able help you to overcome (or perhaps
open
> > that
> > > > > closet door for you...?!?!)
> > > >
> > > > And you say you don't engage in homosexual inuendo?
> > >
> > > That's not homosexual innuendo, Brian.
> >
> > It's all the straights that need to come out of the closet. Pffft.
>
> If you're not gay, it's not a problem, Brain...But YOU are the
one
> always making the "homosexual innuendo" claims from posts that had no
> such intent.

You say I'm in bed with another guy. You say I need the closet door
opened.

Your intent is crystal clear, so quit lying about it.

> > > YOU are the one who is constantly bringing up homosexuality.
> >
> > I point out your homosexual inuendos, which >>>IS<<< nearly
constant.
>
> What "homosexual innuendo"...?!?! That you are politically and
> philosophically "in bed" with Lennie?

You know what homosexual inuendo. The homosexual inuendo that you
engage in constantly. Maybe it just comes with being a nurse, but I'm
not at all used to it.

> Do you deny this? Are all your "Lennie is my mentor" claims now
a
> lie, or have you simply had a change of heart?

Your homosexual inuendo is a lie.

> It's OK to change your mind, you know...for example, I used to
> think you were a pretty stand-up guy...but then there came the lapses
> in facts, false accusations, unsubstantiated assertions, etc etc
etc...

You are the one changing your mind. You are the one trying desperately
to back away from the homosexual remarks. And you never thought I was
a "pretty stand-up guy." Maybe you just thought I was pretty, but
there's no photo of me in a tight flight suit to judge by.

> > You
> > > then try to re-direct the conversation in such a way as to
deflect
> > the
> > > comments away from you, but it only makes the act even more
> glaring.
> >
> > The only one glaring is you. Your reality isn't turning out how
you
> > wanted it to. Too bad.
>
> Sure it has. With the exception of keeping my daughter alive,
> getting a date with Brittney Spears and winning the Lottery, I'd have
> to say I'm in pretty good sorts.

Exactly. You're all messed up.

> What about "(my) reality" did you think wasn't turning out?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Life in general. You seem very dissatisfied.

3190. Re: W5YR Is Gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-22 00:26:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111451205.081600.105820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104706

K4YZ wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > Dropped into my inbox this morning from K3CT via the club
reflector:
> >
> > "There is a note on the Icom reflector that George, W5YR passed
away
> > while undergoing heart surgery Thursday night. George was 75."
>
> Too bad....it was the WRONG "W 5 Y..."
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

The actuarial tables will take care of us all.

3191. Re: Free HIGHSPEED Music DL Site!!! Better than kazaa and WinMX!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-22 04:34:47 UTC
From: "WindsorFox[SS]" <windsorfoxNO@SPAMcox.net>
Message-ID: <JdN%d.66276$7z6.43812@lakeread04>
Source record: 104707
Cross-posted to:
rec.autos.makers.ford.mustang,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.collecting.coins

Backyard Mechanic wrote:
> John Mason opined in news:dg7%d.37388$6g7.17802@bignews1.bellsouth.net:
>
>
>>andrewh36@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Hey all! I found an excellent mp3 music website that is highspeed and
>>>has a huge archive and selection. And from what I can see there are
>>>many new albums listed for download.
>>>
>>>It's better than kazaa and WinMX! It's free and there are very few ads.
>>>Check it out before they get shutdown!
>>>
>>>www.mpfreedom.tk
>>>
>>
>> Not working for me.......... maybe they got shut down already.
>>
>>John
>>
>
>
> hehehe...
>
> Do you have spybot?
>
> Maybe you better check for porn dialers... suckers!
>

It couldn't have happened to a better bunch of numbnuts if you ask
me....

3192. Was N9OGL Born This Weakminded, Or Was It A Learned Trait?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-22 04:55:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111467359.623996.14130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104709

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ
> By Todd Daugherty N9OGL
>
>
> I have seen on this newsgroup that Steve, K4YZ is trying to call me
> out.

Oh, No, Todd...I am not "calling you out". That would suggesdt
that I consider you an "opponent", and the the facts are taht you're
not up to the task.

> However, instead of playing his "game" Let's get back to the real
> issue at hand.

The real "issue at hand" was getting you to to own up to the fact
that YOU are "calling people out" by calling them "appliance
operators", etc.

OK...That presumes that YOU are NOT an "appliance operator". All
I want to know is are YOU living up to some higher standard, and if so,
how about some description of your alleged homebrew/kitbuit station.

Instead of just listing it here, you go into a lengthy diatribe
trying to avoid further humiliating yourself.

Too late.

> In the post "For The Benefit of Lloydie and Toddie" you
> made the statement towards me "To Toddie, I say go ahead and spend
the > money on legal issues if you want...I'd rather spend them on
enjoying > Amateur
> Radio.

Uhhhhh....Yup.

> Of course your posts in here have pretty well set the tone for
> what kind of reaction you can expect. People don't want to get
> "information" from the decietful, dishonest or the lame.
> You're all three."

Uhhhhhh...Yup. You are. This post I am responding to is evidence
of it.

You call everyone else "appliance operators", yet don't identify a
single piece of homebuilt gear at N9OGL.

My point made.

> Yet, I posted the warning letter from the FCC stating:...(SNIP)

YadaYadaYada.

Big snippage of same repeated posturing.

> Then I posted another
> poll, which stated "When you Voted on the orginal poll had your heard
> N9OGL INFORMATION BULLETIN and did it effect your vote?" on that poll
> along with your little buddies on here voted YES, and then you got on
> this newsgroup and started a little thread about the second poll.
Let's
> talk about that second poll, I did the second poll to prove a point,
> which was that you and your little friends on this newgroup were
> voting, not because you heard the transmissions, but because you
> believed the information bulletins I was transmitting were illegal.
> Yet, you and your little buddies still voted yes claiming that you
> heard the transmissions.

I claimed nothing on the second poll other than to make fun of how
you didn't get the answers you wanted on the first so you went fishing
with the second. You'd just trash THAT poll too, so why bother.

I'm not playing YOUR game. It's just too transparent.

> First off, you couldn't of heard the
> transmissions as I stated many times before most of my Information
> Bulletins are ran on 10 meters around 9/10 PM CST when the band
> conditions are dead.

No...actually you've been citing a 20 meter frequency. But let's
not let facts interfere with your rant, Todd.

> Unless you have a SUPER HAM STATION down there in
> bum##### Tennessee I REALLY doubt you heard my weak signal.

(1) I don't need a "SUPER HAM STATION", Todd. My very modest
station has worked DXCC very nicely from TN. Even Illinois.

(2) The "weakness" of N9OGL is not in the amount of RF being
radiated from the transmitter, but of the weakness of maturity and
competency of it's owner/operator.

> So before
> you get on a newsgroup call someone decietful, dishonest, lame, and a
> liar you better know what the #### your talking about. Because in my
> humble opinion your not only lying to these people but your lying to
> yourself.

I'm lying to neither...least of all you, Todd.

K1MAN >>HAS<< established a pattern of rules violations that MAY
permit the FCC to take some sort of action when his license comes up
for renewal in October. This is not in debate since YOU have quoted
the very same incidents on your rants.

N9OGL is starting on the same path. Therefore, although the "new kid on the block", it is highly likely that he will accumulate the same record of warning letters, attention of federal law enforcement, and scrutiny. This too is true since you've already acknowledged that Mr Hollingsworth is allegedly paying attention already.

N9OGL has made disparging references to other participants of this forum as "appliance operators", suggesting that HE is not. He's been asked to ante up to that insinuation but instead launched into this diversion.

Didn't work.

N9OGL has made direct asserions of being an "inventor" but has yet to pony up a single "invention". He DID make reference to an idea for a bottlenecked point-to-point data protocol that is at least four or five "generations" of data transfer technology old.

Ohhhhhhhhhhhhh....we're so UNimpressed.

He also created another "blog" for the purpose of enlightening the world as to his technical wizardry, but still has yet to make good on that.

So...there's somone lying to himself here, Todd, and son, it ain't me.

Now...back to the REAL question at hand...What equipment makes up the station line-up at N9OGL?

I say that if you can't answer THAT question in the very next reply to this post, that you are proving exactly what I've been alledging.

Steve, K4YZ

3193. Re: Lennie Digs Himself In Deeper...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-22 05:24:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111469054.690856.203180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104708

bb wrote:

> K4YZ wrote:

> > > It's all the straights that need to come out of the closet.
Pffft.
> >
> > If you're not gay, it's not a problem, Brain...But YOU are the
> one
> > always making the "homosexual innuendo" claims from posts that had
no
> > such intent.
>
> You say I'm in bed with another guy. You say I need the closet door
> opened.
>
> Your intent is crystal clear, so quit lying about it.

There's nothing to lie about, Brain.

You ARE politically and philosophically "in bed" with Lennie.

That's not in dispute.

Have you had a change of heart in supporting Lennie's opinions and
behaviour?

> > > > YOU are the one who is constantly bringing up
homosexuality.
> > >
> > > I point out your homosexual inuendos, which >>>IS<<< nearly
> constant.
> >
> > What "homosexual innuendo"...?!?! That you are politically and
> > philosophically "in bed" with Lennie?
>
> You know what homosexual inuendo. The homosexual inuendo that you
> engage in constantly. Maybe it just comes with being a nurse, but
I'm
> not at all used to it.

Perhaps you're "not at all used to it" because there are some
unanswered question in your life taht you are unwilling to address
head-on? Perhaps because you've been the viction of a condition known
as ACRI? Acute Cranial Rectal Inversion?

> > Do you deny this? Are all your "Lennie is my mentor" claims
now
> a
> > lie, or have you simply had a change of heart?
>
> Your homosexual inuendo is a lie.

Your assertion does not answer my question...Are you or are you
not politically and philosophically "in bed" with Lennie?

Have you not very publically and unabashedly lent your support to
both his content and manner, Brian? Or are you now, as I suggested
above, withdrawing your support for both his opinions and techniques?

BTW, Brain...You and Lennie both make frequent references to
"PCTA Double Standard"...Wellllllllp...Your buddy often makes his own
not-so-transpatent" "homosexual innuendo" (...'shaking your "we-we" in
public'), yet I never see YOU chastising HIM.

What's up with that...?!?!

> > It's OK to change your mind, you know...for example, I used to
> > think you were a pretty stand-up guy...but then there came the
lapses
> > in facts, false accusations, unsubstantiated assertions, etc etc
> etc...
>
> You are the one changing your mind. You are the one trying
desperately
> to back away from the homosexual remarks. And you never thought I
was
> a "pretty stand-up guy." Maybe you just thought I was pretty, but
> there's no photo of me in a tight flight suit to judge by.

I am not backing away from anything, Brain.

I am clearly stating that if you perceive some "homosexual
innuendo", that it's due to some latent feelings or sympathies of your
own since you revisit the issue so often.

Furthermore, I have, on several occassions, expressed the opinon
that ourarguments in this forum notwithstanding, that you and I share
many of the same basic political and "social" opinions.

As a matter of fact, you and I shared several weeks of "agree to
disagree civil discourse" due to your cessation of assinine rantings
and obtuse accusations and behaviour.

YOU changed those "rules', Brain...Not me.

Besides...My aversion to homosexuality as a lifestyle not
withstanding, I know several persons who ARE "homosexual", and I'd not
dare bring them down to your level by making insinuation that you are
youerself homosexual.

> > Sure it has. With the exception of keeping my daughter alive,
> > getting a date with Brittney Spears and winning the Lottery, I'd
have
> > to say I'm in pretty good sorts.
>
> Exactly. You're all messed up.

I am trying to figure out how you got "Exactly. You're all messed
up" from the statement I made. Life has it's disappointments, the
above three issues being among them. Unlike you, however, I can take
disappointment and make it work for me...ie: motivation to overcome
whatever life DOES throw me.

> > What about "(my) reality" did you think wasn't turning out?
>
> Life in general. You seem very dissatisfied.

Then you have again expressed an opinion that is poorly organized.

That's YOUR reality.

Sucks to be you, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

3194. Re: More Brian P Burke...He Just Doesn't Learn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-22 05:25:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111469101.145770.100800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104710

bb wrote:

> K4YZ wrote:

> > > It's all the straights that need to come out of the closet.
Pffft.
> >
> > If you're not gay, it's not a problem, Brain...But YOU are the
> one
> > always making the "homosexual innuendo" claims from posts that had
no
> > such intent.
>
> You say I'm in bed with another guy. You say I need the closet door
> opened.
>
> Your intent is crystal clear, so quit lying about it.

There's nothing to lie about, Brain.

You ARE politically and philosophically "in bed" with Lennie.

That's not in dispute.

Have you had a change of heart in supporting Lennie's opinions and
behaviour?

> > > > YOU are the one who is constantly bringing up
homosexuality.
> > >
> > > I point out your homosexual inuendos, which >>>IS<<< nearly
> constant.
> >
> > What "homosexual innuendo"...?!?! That you are politically and
> > philosophically "in bed" with Lennie?
>
> You know what homosexual inuendo. The homosexual inuendo that you
> engage in constantly. Maybe it just comes with being a nurse, but
I'm
> not at all used to it.

Perhaps you're "not at all used to it" because there are some
unanswered question in your life taht you are unwilling to address
head-on? Perhaps because you've been the viction of a condition known
as ACRI? Acute Cranial Rectal Inversion?

> > Do you deny this? Are all your "Lennie is my mentor" claims
now
> a
> > lie, or have you simply had a change of heart?
>
> Your homosexual inuendo is a lie.

Your assertion does not answer my question...Are you or are you
not politically and philosophically "in bed" with Lennie?

Have you not very publically and unabashedly lent your support to
both his content and manner, Brian? Or are you now, as I suggested
above, withdrawing your support for both his opinions and techniques?

BTW, Brain...You and Lennie both make frequent references to
"PCTA Double Standard"...Wellllllllp...Your buddy often makes his own
not-so-transpatent" "homosexual innuendo" (...'shaking your "we-we" in
public'), yet I never see YOU chastising HIM.

What's up with that...?!?!

> > It's OK to change your mind, you know...for example, I used to
> > think you were a pretty stand-up guy...but then there came the
lapses
> > in facts, false accusations, unsubstantiated assertions, etc etc
> etc...
>
> You are the one changing your mind. You are the one trying
desperately
> to back away from the homosexual remarks. And you never thought I
was
> a "pretty stand-up guy." Maybe you just thought I was pretty, but
> there's no photo of me in a tight flight suit to judge by.

I am not backing away from anything, Brain.

I am clearly stating that if you perceive some "homosexual
innuendo", that it's due to some latent feelings or sympathies of your
own since you revisit the issue so often.

Furthermore, I have, on several occassions, expressed the opinon
that ourarguments in this forum notwithstanding, that you and I share
many of the same basic political and "social" opinions.

As a matter of fact, you and I shared several weeks of "agree to
disagree civil discourse" due to your cessation of assinine rantings
and obtuse accusations and behaviour.

YOU changed those "rules', Brain...Not me.

Besides...My aversion to homosexuality as a lifestyle not
withstanding, I know several persons who ARE "homosexual", and I'd not
dare bring them down to your level by making insinuation that you are
youerself homosexual.

> > Sure it has. With the exception of keeping my daughter alive,
> > getting a date with Brittney Spears and winning the Lottery, I'd
have
> > to say I'm in pretty good sorts.
>
> Exactly. You're all messed up.

I am trying to figure out how you got "Exactly. You're all messed
up" from the statement I made. Life has it's disappointments, the
above three issues being among them. Unlike you, however, I can take
disappointment and make it work for me...ie: motivation to overcome
whatever life DOES throw me.

> > What about "(my) reality" did you think wasn't turning out?
>
> Life in general. You seem very dissatisfied.

Then you have again expressed an opinion that is poorly organized.

That's YOUR reality.

Sucks to be you, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

3195. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-22 08:06:36 UTC
From: Ron038548@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111478796.162707.141490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104711

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

3196. Re: More Brian P Burke...He Just Doesn't Learn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-22 11:46:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111491960.116754.316380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104715

bb wrote:

> K4YZ wrote:

> > > It's all the straights that need to come out of the closet.
Pffft.
> >
> > If you're not gay, it's not a problem, Brain...But YOU are the
> one
> > always making the "homosexual innuendo" claims from posts that had
no
> > such intent.
>
> You say I'm in bed with another guy. You say I need the closet door
> opened.
>
> Your intent is crystal clear, so quit lying about it.

There's nothing to lie about, Brain.

You ARE politically and philosophically "in bed" with Lennie.

That's not in dispute.

Have you had a change of heart in supporting Lennie's opinions and
behaviour?

> > > > YOU are the one who is constantly bringing up
homosexuality.
> > >
> > > I point out your homosexual inuendos, which >>>IS<<< nearly
> constant.
> >
> > What "homosexual innuendo"...?!?! That you are politically and
> > philosophically "in bed" with Lennie?
>
> You know what homosexual inuendo. The homosexual inuendo that you
> engage in constantly. Maybe it just comes with being a nurse, but
I'm
> not at all used to it.

Perhaps you're "not at all used to it" because there are some
unanswered question in your life taht you are unwilling to address
head-on? Perhaps because you've been the viction of a condition known
as ACRI? Acute Cranial Rectal Inversion?

> > Do you deny this? Are all your "Lennie is my mentor" claims
now
> a
> > lie, or have you simply had a change of heart?
>
> Your homosexual inuendo is a lie.

Your assertion does not answer my question...Are you or are you
not politically and philosophically "in bed" with Lennie?

Have you not very publically and unabashedly lent your support to
both his content and manner, Brian? Or are you now, as I suggested
above, withdrawing your support for both his opinions and techniques?

BTW, Brain...You and Lennie both make frequent references to
"PCTA Double Standard"...Wellllllllp...Your buddy often makes his own
not-so-transpatent" "homosexual innuendo" (...'shaking your "we-we" in
public'), yet I never see YOU chastising HIM.

What's up with that...?!?!

> > It's OK to change your mind, you know...for example, I used to
> > think you were a pretty stand-up guy...but then there came the
lapses
> > in facts, false accusations, unsubstantiated assertions, etc etc
> etc...
>
> You are the one changing your mind. You are the one trying
desperately
> to back away from the homosexual remarks. And you never thought I
was
> a "pretty stand-up guy." Maybe you just thought I was pretty, but
> there's no photo of me in a tight flight suit to judge by.

I am not backing away from anything, Brain.

I am clearly stating that if you perceive some "homosexual
innuendo", that it's due to some latent feelings or sympathies of your
own since you revisit the issue so often.

Furthermore, I have, on several occassions, expressed the opinon
that ourarguments in this forum notwithstanding, that you and I share
many of the same basic political and "social" opinions.

As a matter of fact, you and I shared several weeks of "agree to
disagree civil discourse" due to your cessation of assinine rantings
and obtuse accusations and behaviour.

YOU changed those "rules', Brain...Not me.

Besides...My aversion to homosexuality as a lifestyle not
withstanding, I know several persons who ARE "homosexual", and I'd not
dare bring them down to your level by making insinuation that you are
youerself homosexual.

> > Sure it has. With the exception of keeping my daughter alive,
> > getting a date with Brittney Spears and winning the Lottery, I'd
have
> > to say I'm in pretty good sorts.
>
> Exactly. You're all messed up.

I am trying to figure out how you got "Exactly. You're all messed
up" from the statement I made. Life has it's disappointments, the
above three issues being among them. Unlike you, however, I can take
disappointment and make it work for me...ie: motivation to overcome
whatever life DOES throw me.

> > What about "(my) reality" did you think wasn't turning out?
>
> Life in general. You seem very dissatisfied.

Then you have again expressed an opinion that is poorly organized.

That's YOUR reality.

Sucks to be you, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

3197. Re: Free HIGHSPEED Music DL Site! MALWARE! DIALERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-22 19:57:13 UTC
From: Moto <tronics5@bellnet.ca>
Message-ID: <2K_%d.6509$JK1.399296@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 104712
Cross-posted to:
rec.autos.makers.ford.mustang,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.collecting.coins

<andrewh36@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1111253817.380818.218540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Hey all! I found an excellent mp3 music website that is highspeed and
> has a huge archive and selection. And from what I can see there are
> many new albums listed for download.
>
> It's better than kazaa and WinMX! It's free and there are very few ads.
> Check it out before they get shutdown!
>
> www.mpfreedom.tk
>

3198. Re: The "N9OGL Show" - a thought

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-22 22:55:52 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <kdadneNhtPDnP93fRVn-1g@crocker.com>
Source record: 104714

i always thought that timtron worked at wbcq, he hosts several other shows
for them and is often stuck in as filler when nothing else is on.

"Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1111190978.391748.189310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> I was doing a bit of SWLing this afternoon on the way home from work
> and happened to catch "The Real Amateur Radio Show" (hosted by the
> TimTron, WA1HLR) on WBCQ - 7415 khz.
>
> >From what I gathered, the folks at WBCQ donate airtime to Tim for his
> show - which is used to discuss ham-radio-related topics, propagation,
> technical matters and so forth.
>
> 'BCQ would be a natural to host OGL's broadcasts; at ~250kw ERP on each
> of their assigned frequencies they're bound to reach a large SW
> audience.
>
> Todd might wish to call the owners of the station (Becker Broadcast
> Systems, IIRC) and see about getting some airtime donated...
>

3199. Re: Free HIGHSPEED Music DL Site!!! Better than kazaa and WinMX!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-22 23:15:47 UTC
From: Patrick <josemanuda@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1111533347.717702.263210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104713
Cross-posted to:
rec.autos.makers.ford.mustang,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.collecting.coins

Sounds Great! Thanks for the info.

3200. Re: Was N9OGL Born This Weakminded, Or Was It A Learned Trait?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 04:23:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111551823.653071.288720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104718

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > K4YZ
> > By Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> >
> >
> > I have seen on this newsgroup that Steve, K4YZ is trying to call me
> > out.
>
> Oh, No, Todd...I am not "calling you out". That would suggesdt
> that I consider you an "opponent", and the the facts are taht you're
> not up to the task.
>
> > However, instead of playing his "game" Let's get back to the real
> > issue at hand.
>
> The real "issue at hand" was getting you to to own up to the fact
> that YOU are "calling people out" by calling them "appliance
> operators", etc.
>
> OK...That presumes that YOU are NOT an "appliance operator".
All
> I want to know is are YOU living up to some higher standard, and if
so,
> how about some description of your alleged homebrew/kitbuit station.
>
> Instead of just listing it here, you go into a lengthy diatribe
> trying to avoid further humiliating yourself.
>
> Too late.
>
> > In the post "For The Benefit of Lloydie and Toddie" you
> > made the statement towards me "To Toddie, I say go ahead and spend
> the > money on legal issues if you want...I'd rather spend them on
> enjoying > Amateur
> > Radio.
>
> Uhhhhh....Yup.
>
> > Of course your posts in here have pretty well set the tone for
> > what kind of reaction you can expect. People don't want to get
> > "information" from the decietful, dishonest or the lame.
> > You're all three."
>

> Uhhhhhh...Yup. You are. This post I am responding to is
evidence
> of it.
>
> You call everyone else "appliance operators", yet don't identify
a
> single piece of homebuilt gear at N9OGL.
>
> My point made.
>
> > Yet, I posted the warning letter from the FCC stating:...(SNIP)
>
> YadaYadaYada.
>
> Big snippage of same repeated posturing.
>
> > Then I posted another
> > poll, which stated "When you Voted on the orginal poll had your
heard
> > N9OGL INFORMATION BULLETIN and did it effect your vote?" on that
poll
> > along with your little buddies on here voted YES, and then you got
on
> > this newsgroup and started a little thread about the second poll.
> Let's
> > talk about that second poll, I did the second poll to prove a
point,
> > which was that you and your little friends on this newgroup were
> > voting, not because you heard the transmissions, but because you
> > believed the information bulletins I was transmitting were illegal.
> > Yet, you and your little buddies still voted yes claiming that you
> > heard the transmissions.
>
> I claimed nothing on the second poll other than to make fun of
how
> you didn't get the answers you wanted on the first so you went
fishing
> with the second. You'd just trash THAT poll too, so why bother.
>
> I'm not playing YOUR game. It's just too transparent.
>
> > First off, you couldn't of heard the
> > transmissions as I stated many times before most of my Information
> > Bulletins are ran on 10 meters around 9/10 PM CST when the band
> > conditions are dead.
>
> No...actually you've been citing a 20 meter frequency. But
let's
> not let facts interfere with your rant, Todd.

I was only on 20 meter for a short time because the tape got jammed in
the machine. But then MAJORITY of my transmissions were on 10 meters.

> > Unless you have a SUPER HAM STATION down there in
> > bum##### Tennessee I REALLY doubt you heard my weak signal.
>
> (1) I don't need a "SUPER HAM STATION", Todd. My very modest
> station has worked DXCC very nicely from TN. Even Illinois.
>
> (2) The "weakness" of N9OGL is not in the amount of RF being
> radiated from the transmitter, but of the weakness of maturity and
> competency of it's owner/operator.
>
> > So before
> > you get on a newsgroup call someone decietful, dishonest, lame, and
a
> > liar you better know what the #### your talking about. Because in
my
> > humble opinion your not only lying to these people but your lying
to
> > yourself.
>
> I'm lying to neither...least of all you, Todd.
>
> K1MAN >>HAS<< established a pattern of rules violations that MAY
> permit the FCC to take some sort of action when his license comes up
> for renewal in October. This is not in debate since YOU have quoted
> the very same incidents on your rants.
>
Warning Letter's are NOT the final action of the FCC, and as far as
I've seen thats all he has recieved so far. If he corrects the problem
then there is no problem. The problem is dumb hillbillies like yourself
who believe that information bulletins can't opinionated...which is NOT
TRUE.

> N9OGL is starting on the same path. Therefore, although the
"new
> kid on the block", it is highly likely that he will accumulate the
same
> record of warning letters, attention of federal law enforcement, and
> scrutiny. This too is true since you've already acknowledged that Mr
> Hollingsworth is allegedly paying attention already.

Accually that was sent by someone CLAIMING TO BE HOLLINGSWORTH, it was
not sent by him.

> N9OGL has made disparging references to other participants of
this
> forum as "appliance operators", suggesting that HE is not. He's been
> asked to ante up to that insinuation but instead launched into this
> diversion.
>

> Didn't work.
>
> N9OGL has made direct asserions of being an "inventor" but has
yet
> to pony up a single "invention". He DID make reference to an idea
for
> a bottlenecked point-to-point data protocol that is at least four or
> five "generations" of data transfer technology old.

First off it don't have to be bottlenecked IF it ran on one of higher frequencies where there is commercial available equipment, Secondly I have Posted SOME of my inventions on my website, N9OGL INVENTIONS.

> Ohhhhhhhhhhhhh....we're so UNimpressed.
>
> He also created another "blog" for the purpose of enlightening
the
> world as to his technical wizardry, but still has yet to make good on
> that.

REALLY??? Look Again!

>
> So...there's somone lying to himself here, Todd, and son, it
ain't
> me.
>
> Now...back to the REAL question at hand...What equipment makes
up
> the station line-up at N9OGL?
>

Bottle line slimeball, I have built equipment, I have so many radio's I DON'T USE THEM ALL. But I have Built 2 meter and 440 transmitters. As a matter of fact I'm planning to put my information bulletin om 2 meter, and I'm going to build the transmitter for the bulletin station on 2 meter.

> I say that if you can't answer THAT question in the very next
> reply to this post, that you are proving exactly what I've been
> alledging.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Todd N9OGL

3201. Re: So, Dieter...Is THIS your PROOF...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 04:48:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111553319.005962.237330@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104717

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Here's the "proof" of YOUR error, Dieter...
> >
> > Here's some more...the original header from Google:
> >
> > QUOTE:
> >
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> > Date: 7 Feb 2005 03:35:10 -0800
> > Local: Mon, Feb 7 2005 3:35 am
> > Subject: Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You
Can
> Go
> > To Heaven
> > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original
> > | Report Abuse
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > You are, of course, encouraged to page back through Google and
> find
> > it yourself.
> >
> > If you have the guts to do it...
>
> Here's the other one:
>
> Again, NOTE THE "Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy" ATTRIBUTE,
> DIETER!
>
> QUOTE:
>
> Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy
>
> Steve Robeson K4CAP
> Posted Oct 9, 2004 12:48:16
> Subject: Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!
>
> Signed on to the NG this morning to find the following items posted
to

> the
> NG from Blowhard Baxter and Company.
>
> Mr Stussy presumes to express his dismay at the once-a-week, single
> postings of the Amateur Radio Newsline, calling it SPAM.
>
> I am eager to see if Mr Stussy is as equally adamant in his "fight"
to
> get
> K1MAN to stop sludging up the Internet with his extension of his
> on-the-air
> antics or not.
>
> I seriously doubt his actions will match his rhetoric.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> Quote or K1MAN "announcement" snipped.
>
> As for "badmouthing" you, I seriously doubt anyone could call
> addressing you by your given name or comments made within the context
> of your "spam" crusade as "badmouthing"...
>
> Here's the headers from Google:
>
> QUOTE:
>
> Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!
> All 9 messages in topic - view as tree
> Steve Robeson K4CAP Oct 9 2004, 5:48 am hide options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) - Find messages by this
author
>
> Date: 09 Oct 2004 12:48:16 GMT
> Local: Sat, Oct 9 2004 5:48 am
> Subject: Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original
> | Report Abuse
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> So, Dieter, I have PROVEN with DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE that YOUR
> assertions that I "posted" to "allaudio", hence allegedly trying to
go
> "behind your back" were FALSE.

>
> What are you going to do now?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3202. Re: Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 04:50:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111553422.848185.228210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104716

K4YZ wrote:
> Hey Dieter...
>
> One minute you're matching me post-for-post, but the minute I
start
> unravelling your accusations with FACTS and PROOF, you suddenly
> disappear...
>
> What's up with that?
>
> No stomach for going toe-to-toe with someone when you're
> WRONG...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Here's the "proof" of YOUR error, Dieter...
>
> > Here's some more...the original header from Google:
>
>
> > QUOTE:
>
>
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> > Date: 7 Feb 2005 03:35:10 -0800
> > Local: Mon, Feb 7 2005 3:35 am
> > Subject: Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You
Can
> Go
> > To Heaven
> > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original
> > | Report Abuse
>
>
> > UNQUOTE
>
>
> > You are, of course, encouraged to page back through Google and
> find
> > it yourself.

>
>
> > If you have the guts to do it...
>
>
>
> Here's the other one:
>
> Again, NOTE THE "Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy" ATTRIBUTE,
> DIETER!
>
>
> QUOTE:
>
>
> Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy
>
>
> Steve Robeson K4CAP
> Posted Oct 9, 2004 12:48:16
> Subject: Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!
>
>
> Signed on to the NG this morning to find the following items posted
to
> the
> NG from Blowhard Baxter and Company.
>
>
> Mr Stussy presumes to express his dismay at the once-a-week, single
> postings of the Amateur Radio Newsline, calling it SPAM.
>
>
> I am eager to see if Mr Stussy is as equally adamant in his "fight"
to
> get
> K1MAN to stop sludging up the Internet with his extension of his
> on-the-air
> antics or not.
>
>
> I seriously doubt his actions will match his rhetoric.
>
>
> 73
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

> Quote or K1MAN "announcement" snipped.
>
>
> As for "badmouthing" you, I seriously doubt anyone could call
> addressing you by your given name or comments made within the context
> of your "spam" crusade as "badmouthing"...
>
>
> Here's the headers from Google:
>
>
> QUOTE:
>
>
> Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!
> All 9 messages in topic - view as tree
> Steve Robeson K4CAP Oct 9 2004, 5:48 am hide options
>
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) - Find messages by this
author
>
>
>
> Date: 09 Oct 2004 12:48:16 GMT
> Local: Sat, Oct 9 2004 5:48 am
> Subject: Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original
> | Report Abuse
>
>
> UNQUOTE
>
>
> So, Dieter, I have PROVEN with DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE that YOUR
> assertions that I "posted" to "allaudio", hence allegedly trying to
go
> "behind your back" were FALSE.
>
>
> What are you going to do now?
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3203. Re: Was N9OGL Born This Weakminded, Or Was It A Learned Trait?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 05:01:28 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111554088.893440.173670@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104719

Words of wisdom from the Bathrooman, or, switch gears for a moment:

I must reiterate one fact of life - that most of the enlightened don't really care whether you continue with your broadcasts, or not. I think I am almost unanimous in that. I am a little older than you and I have been a ham for 35 years now - although I haven't used my callsign on newsgroups - and I've seen and heard it all - in life as well as ham radio - and I'm still middle aged. Life is short. Someday you may be able to discern what is important from that which is not....some day only you will be able to decide if piratin,' or for that matter, your life, is worthwhile.....

3204. Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 06:51:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <424111C0.9B110B1A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104720

Our boy broadcaster/inventor has quite varied interests. Here's some material gleaned from the archives:

---

from rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc, 1999

I'm trying to write a packet program for packet radio and i need some help on serial port communication. i have no idea on how to get the computer to talk to the tnc.

thanks 73
Todd N9OGL

---

from alt.radio.pirate, Dec. 2003

N9OGL ON GREG RUGGIERO VS FCC

First, you must not have to be very intelligent to be a fucking judge of the court of appeal.it seems if they can let this dumb ass bitch and her little bitch whore buddies on the bench then anyone can..

(There's more. This is the cleanest portion)

---

from alt.radio.pirate, Dec. 2003

ummmm....NO! I'm going to bitch and whine till I blue in the face. I'm not
going to let this shit go...Those scumbag at the FCC and the court of appeals isn't going to hear the last of me for a long time. I was never found guilty of a freaking crime and I fucking barred from getting a license. that's the true justice of fucking america. Although you not guilty....your guilty......and your guilty unless you prove yourselves otherwise. well I have my application (all six) and a little piss ant note
from some sandmonkey in the FCC say they couldn't consider my application or
my fucking waiver...I applied long before I went pirate and their are other
"pirates" out there that I know that also applied long before going pirate.
So I'm going to continue to bitch and whine til somethings done about this
injustice.

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
Langely Park Telecommunication

"Dr. Daffodil Swain" <w...@earthlink.net> wrote in message

---
from alt.radio.pirate, Dec. 2003

Hell since
I can't get a license I just go back on the air without....after all it
was
judge Randoph that stated "
No one has a First Amendment right to a
license," Red Lion Broad. Co. v. FCC, 395 U.S. 367, 389 (1969), and it
follows that no one has a First Amendment right to apply for a license

---
This one speaks to Todd's claim to have used various BBS software. It
is from rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc, Aug. 2003, not so very long ago:

What's the Best BBS program and how do you set it up

Todd N9OGL

(and)

Oh packet..I uses to run FBB BBS a long time ago on a different machine.
but I've pretty much forgotten how to set it up. MSYS I could never
figure out how to set up

Todd n9ogl
---
from alt.radio pirate, May 1999

Well mark I tell you what, I applied twice for a licnese and both
time it was returned so your right SCREW THEM!!! I'm going on the air
without a License.

Todd
N9OGL

(and)

Hello John,

To tell you the truth John, I applied for a license twice and both time
they were returned. the last time they sent it back they claim I didn't
file during the "file window" Which is a bunch of crap because they
were
handing out low Power television station licenses and low power
television translator licenses. The FCC are a bunch of liars who do not
serve the public's intrest. I'm going on the air with my low Power
Television station if they want me they can come and get me. It is a
waste of time to apply for a license.

---
from alt.sci.physics.new-theories, April 1999

Very true, the U.S. navy was experimenting in cloaking systems using "electronic stealth" the equiptment used operated in the ELF (Extermly Low
Frequencies) band around 1 hz. to 30 khz. The theory was to resonate a electromagnetic pulse around 4 to 10 hz thus cloaking the ship from radar and other electronic devices. The problem is no one really knows what effects may accure in that region of the spectrum. The human brain operates around 1 to 24 Hz. Black holes can be detected I believe around 10 hz. electricity runs around 50 to 60 Hz. Todd N9OGL

(and)

115 or 120 is your voltage not the cycles. in europe electricity oscilllates at 50 Hz. while here in the united states it oscillates at 60 Hz. go in the bathroom at a fast food place and look at the hand drier it states 60 Hz. now lightning operates around 500 Khz that's why lightning tears up the AM and the H.F. Band. scientist monitor 100 hz. for Black holes which resonate on that frequncy. well, it omittes a gravitation field on that frequency. TODD
N9OGL

(I'm going to check out the hand dryers at McDonald's in an attempt to confirm some of this little known stuff. I'm especially interested in this news that lightning "operates around 500 KHz". I'll "omitt" the rest)

---

from alt.tv.public-access, Jan. 2001

Hi once again.... langley park has updated their home page a little and will continue to update it often.

Todd Daugherty
CEO/PRESIDENT
LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATION

http://www.geocities.com/langleyparktelecommunication/index.html

(note that Todd is now CEO/PRESIDENT!)

---

from alt.radio.pirate, May 2000, Todd's "origization" looks for a type "excepted" transmitter. The name change must reflect some on-air personna.

hello,

My origization is wanting to apply for the LP100 license here in Illinois when the filing window opens in August. We are looking for a ten to twenty watt FCC type execpted transmitter. we mainly want to know what the cost is on the transmitter.

Todd O'Dochartaigh
President
Langley Park Telecommunication

(and from June, 2000, Todd wants to save some money on the power bill!)

The Power is 50 to 100 watts ERP i failed to put the ERP because I was in a rush to beat the thunderstorm.....but it can be easier and cheaper to run low power to a good antenna with lots of DB gain and hardline as the coax. hardline coax really isn't that expensive. if you look around a little.plus hardline will have little lossage. Also running less power
would save a little on the power bill.

Todd
---
from alt.radio.pirate, Feb. 2000

...reality is Public Access has it problems with local authorities trying to censor programming and those access channel they couldn't censor the local authorities has shut down. So sure you have a little radio station but watch what you say over them because if it's something the local authorities don't want broadcast like police's violation of Human rights then they will try every thing to get rid of you. "Oh but Todd were protected by the FCC rules" well some local authorities give a rats ass about the FCC or their rules. They will even go so far to try to ban "radio signals except those all ready established" believe me there are some local governments will try that. The local government tried back in the 80's to ban all amateur radio signals to the city limits. then there is of course antenna laws and sure the FCC can tell you that the towers are exempted but the local governements have found loopholes in the system. I've in the public Access business longer then the "microbroadcasting movement" I know how it works on public access and my opinion that's whats going to happen to these Low Power Radio Stations.

Todd O'Dochartaigh
WLPS-TV CHANNEL 14
Taylorville's Pirate Television station
~Born a Pirate, Die a Pirate~
---
from alt.radio.pirate, Aug. 1999--Todd's disability and folks infringing on his right to be a radio/TV pirate

First of you moron, it is my opinion and I have the right to express it. It federal Suckup like you who infringe on peoples constitutional right not only when it comes to busting Pirates but infringe on peoples right who wish to voice their point of view on the internet. It is people like you that have no life instead spend most of their time on the net bad mouthing how people write or their opinion. I really feel sorry for someone like you. It is in fact people like you who are turning this from a constitutional system to a dictatorship. As for my write I do have a disability and in that your lucky I don't get you on discrimination. The FCC is also lucky if they think like you that I don't them on it to. That All i have to say to you.

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
WLPS TV CHANNNEL 14
Taylorville Illinois #1 Pirate TV station

---

from alt.radio.broadcasting, May 1999, one of Todd's I'm 36-going-on-15 rants. The sig gets even more peculiar.

Hello,

I hear a lot about this low power radio petition and in my humble opinion is a waste of time. I believe the FCC in a whole needs to be changed. Changed back to serving the public's intrest instead of the big Lobbying groups like the NAB and the CTIA. Below are the Ideas that I believe the FCC should be following.

1. The Electromagnetic Spectrum belongs to the people and the people should have a right to Uses the Spectrum.
2. The FCC should only be allowed to license that, which is under 47 USC 151 "Interstate and Foreign Commerce by wire or radio"
3. Not only should a person have the right to listen to not only the broadcast services but all parts of the electromagnetic spectrum. even the cellular band which is part of the radio spectrum and there by belong to the people.
4. The people should have the right to choice his satellite package. The person should have the right if he wants the Network feeds off the satellite and wants to pay for them be allowed to buy them regardless if he recieve local broadcasting.
5. The FCC should eliminate any useless services on the electromagnetic spectrum (There is still some first generation radio services on the air) Any new service like Digital Cellular Phones should be phased into the old cell band instead of allocating them spectrum.
6. The FCC should make it easier for people to obtain a license, they should promote the Idea of waivering fees and other stuff like Techincal rules. The FCC should accept applications regardless if it's a filing window or not.
7. The FCC shouldn't create quick fixs policies instead should try to improve what they have internally.
8. The FCC should stop believing in this "burden on the commission" because there is always going to be a burden regardless. instead the FCC should try to get things done.
9. The FCC should work more closer to the people then the big companies and organizations. The FCC believes they serve the public's intrest but in reality the FAA serves the public's intrest better then the FCC because the FAA listens to the people.
10. The Question of what's Interstate and what's Interstate should be answered.

well that's it.....that's the changes I think are needed I believe the FCC could care less on what I say or anyone in alt.radio.pirate. then again I like everyone else bitching and voicing my opinion

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
Amateur, Commercial, Television Taylorville (Taylorville Illinois, only TV and Pirate station)

(and)

Sure there has been a few cases with
interferning with
the aircraft band as a pilot myself I wouldn't want that to happen.
However there has been a lot of low power services out there that
didn't
cause interfernce which out weights the cases that do. I am against
thre
LOW POWER RADIO PETITION because to me it is a quick fixs the FCC
should
allow that service now with waivers (which their rules allow) but they
will not. I did apply for a license twice and both times it was
returned
so my view is to HELL with them. Oh one more thing this "chaos" which
accured back in the 20's wasn't by Low power operators but by greedy
Commercial operators and the Navy.

(and)

Right, but there is a lot of broadcast services that don't cross the
line. for example my 8 watt Low power television transmitter which runs
on UHF will not cross the state line because 1. i'm in the middle of
the
state. 2. the power of 8 watts and the antenna height of 60 ft 3. the
terrain. fact is my station doesnot cross the state line and there by
the FCC doesn't have the juridiction over my signal.
---
from rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc, Aug. 2003

Hello,
I'm wanting to be able to uses my laptop and communicate back to my
home computer via packet. I was wondering what software I need to do
this. I want be able to in my computer back home and get files off of
my home
computer when I need them and put them on my laptop.
---
from comp.lang.basic.misc, Feb. 2000

I've written a program which i've written in Qbasic which I'm
wanting to run over a modem. I have no idea how to set a program to have
the program talk to the modem. Any help would be appreciated

Todd
Todd N9OGL

More to come...

Dave K8MN

3205. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 09:17:22 UTC
From: Ron038652@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111569442.774559.89310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104721

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

3206. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 11:46:54 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <T9adnVywmt64ytzfRVn-tQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104722

Dave Heil wrote:
> Our boy broadcaster/inventor has quite varied interests. Here's some
> material gleaned from the archives:
> ---

Too much to comment on!
BUT Todd should know that lightning "operates around 500 KHz" because
what you don't understand is that Todd the airline pilot invented lightning!

3207. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 12:21:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111580496.726066.16710@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104725

Dave Heil wrote:
> Our boy broadcaster/inventor has quite varied interests. Here's some
> material gleaned from the archives:

>

> from alt.radio.pirate, Dec. 2003
>
> ummmm....NO! I'm going to bitch and whine till I blue in the face.
I'm
> not
> going to let this #### go...Those scumbag at the FCC and the court
of
> appeals isn't going to hear the last of me for a long time. I was
never
> found guilty of a freaking crime and I ####ing barred from getting a

> license.

Lack of maturity and character seem to be appropriate at this
point, just like I said.

And the grammar....sheeesh. I'd ground my 13-year old for a month
and sue the school district if she couldn't put a sentence together any
better than that!

> that's the true justice of ###ing america.

We already have foreign terrorists trying to #### America...we
don't need another home-grown punk like you doing it too, Todd.

> Although you not
> guilty....your guilty......and your guilty unless you prove
yourselves
> otherwise. well I have my application (all six) and a little piss
ant
> note
> from some sandmonkey in the FCC say they couldn't consider my
> application or
> my ####ing waiver.

(1) I stand corrected. I made a comment on your "blog" that,
among your other profane and rambling rants, I had to admit that the
one thing I HADN'T seen you do was act racist. Weeeeelp. I was wrong
. You ARE racist, Todd. Evidence above noted.

(2) I hope they NEVER grant your "####ing" waiver. People like you don't need to be allowed to "####", thereby procreating even more immature, profane, weakminded leeches to be let loose upon society.

Be glad that FCC licensure doesn't require IQ and DNA testing first, Todd. You wouldn't have even been allowed to get par Part 95 operation.

Steve, K4YZ

3208. N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 12:57:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111582649.340435.60620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104723

>From Todd's "blog"....

QUOTE:

Tuesday, March 22, 2005
UPDATE: 2 METERS INFORMATION BULLETIN
N9OGL is planning this summer to run his information bulletin / amateur
related programs on 2 meters. It will begin in June on 145.570 MHz
between 5.00pm to midnight.

UNQUOTE

Someone needs to teach this idiot about the 2 meter band plans.

144.0-144.05 EME CW
144.05-144.10 CW and weak signal
144.10-144.20 EME and weak signal SSB
144.200 National calling frequency
144.20-144.275 SSB
144.275-144.30 Beacons
144.3-144.5 New OSCAR subband
144.5-144.6 Linear translator inputs 144.6-144.9 FM repeater inputs
144.9-145.10 Weak signal and FM simplex
145.01,03,05,07,09 widely used for packet
145.1-145.2 Linear translator outputs
145.2-145.5 FM repeater outputs

145.5-145.8 Misc. and experimental modes

Why are you going to use 145.57 for "Information Bulletins" in a
part of the spectrum used for experimenting and other non-traditional
modes and techniques, Todd...?!?! Yes, you can "rationalize" that
"miscellaneous" means you, but why put your sin=mplex 2 meter FM voice
signal where people will not be typically looking for one?

Also, the International Space Station has been operating varying
spaceborne repeater activities in this region...Just think of how many
people you'll REALLY PO if they get jammed by your "broadcasts" on one
of the few clear passes the station makes over thier location.

They'll be talking about N9OGL then, I can assure you.

145.8-146.0 OSCAR subband
146.01-146.37 Repeater inputs
146.4-146.58 Simplex
146.61-147.390 Repeater outputs
147.42-147.57 Simplex

147.60-147.99 Repeater inputs

147.555MHZ is the frequency traditionally used for local information broadcasts, Todd. No, there's no "regulation" demanding it's use for this purpose, but it's far more likely you wouldn't interfere with anyone this way and we'd be less prone to laugh at you as hard as we're doing.

Steve, K4YZ

3209. Todd's "Inventions" Reveraled!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 13:12:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111583545.530140.307870@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104724

QUOTE:

Tuesday, March 22, 2005

A ELF TRANSMITTER
I have built a ELF audio to pulse transmitter, the transmitter operates on a frequency of 1 to 30 Hz. A person talks through the transmitter which is converted into pulses. The pulse rate can be regulated by a variable resistor. The pulse then go's to a oscillator which is variable and can operate on a 1 cycle to 30 cycles.

posted by Todd Daugherty | 8:27 PM | 0 comments

No evidence that this was ever "built"...Ergo simply and idea, not an "invention".

And at this spectrum, just what do you except to be able to "send", Todd? Do you undertand the principle of bandwidth-vs-throughput...?!?!

Obviously not...

Sunday, March 20, 2005
PROJECT 2
A ELECRTOMAGENTIC CAR

"ELECRTOMAGNETIC"...?!?!

The car runs on electromagnetic current on a elecromagentic plate. The vehicle glides above the metal plate by basic general physics. The road plate is the opposite polarity as the vehicle. Unlike a Monorail system however the road is not a rail but a flat surface. The vehicle moves by reversing it polarity, the vehicle while traveling down the road will cross chargers, which would charge the batteries while the vehicle continues to travel down the road.

"Mag-Lev"

It's already being BUILT, Todd. Again, an IDEA for a different application of technology already developed....NOT an "invention"...

posted by Todd Daugherty | 2:45 PM | 0 comments

PROJECT 1
An apperatus for transfering and containing High Voltage electricity.

The device which I had built is in short, a modified Tesla Coil which a few extras. The coil input is 220 to 440V which is converted up by the coils to 1.4 to 1.6Mv of electricity. instead of disbusting to the

ground, the coil output is surrounded by metal plates which is cooled
on the outside by liquid oxygen. The plates are attached to cables
where the electricity id feed into a LARGE capacitor/battery which
contains metal plates which are sitting in a special solution. The
electricity is then feed off and converted down to what ever power
output is needed.

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAH
AHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

A cryogenically cooled capacitor! With OXYGEN, which is, of
course, an OXIDIZER!

So....Your cryo plant fails, the oxygen rapidly heats back to
ambient temperature, the capacitor discahrges, and KA-BOOM!

BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Go ahead, Todd! Build it! I just don't want to be within 500
miles of it!

Thanks, Todd....And here I thought this day was gonna be wet an
dreary! Thanks for the LAUGHS, putz!

Steve, K4YZ

3210. Re: Was N9OGL Born This Weakminded, Or Was It A Learned Trait?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 13:24:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111584241.531702.321560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104726

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > K4YZ
> > > By Todd Daugherty N9OGL

> > No...actually you've been citing a 20 meter frequency. But
> let's
> > not let facts interfere with your rant, Todd.
>
> I was only on 20 meter for a short time because the tape got jammed
in
> the machine. But then MAJORITY of my transmissions were on 10 meters.

Doesn't matter, Todd. You've been "advertising" a 20 meter
frequency.

Despite your own appreciation of your net worth to Amateur Radio,
I've not been searching the bands to see if you're illegally
broadcasting elsewhere.

> > > Unless you have a SUPER HAM STATION down there in
> > > bum##### Tennessee I REALLY doubt you heard my weak signal.
> >
> > (1) I don't need a "SUPER HAM STATION", Todd. My very modest
> > station has worked DXCC very nicely from TN. Even Illinois.
> >
> > (2) The "weakness" of N9OGL is not in the amount of RF being
> > radiated from the transmitter, but of the weakness of maturity and
> > competency of it's owner/operator.
> >
> > > So before
> > > you get on a newsgroup call someone decietful, dishonest, lame,
and
> a
> > > liar you better know what the #### your talking about. Because in
> my
> > > humble opinion your not only lying to these people but your lying
> to
> > > yourself.
> >
> > I'm lying to neither...least of all you, Todd.
> >
> > K1MAN >>HAS<< established a pattern of rules violations that
MAY

> > permit the FCC to take some sort of action when his license comes
up
> > for renewal in October. This is not in debate since YOU have
quoted
> > the very same incidents on your rants.
>
> Warning Letter's are NOT the final action of the FCC, and as far as
> I've seen thats all he has recieved so far. If he corrects the
problem
> then there is no problem. The problem is dumb hillbillies like
yourself
> who believe that information bulletins can't opinionated...which is
NOT
> TRUE.

Is true. You can rationalize it however you want, Todd, but
"information" tainted with your "opinion" becomes editorial. Your
"editorial" is of no use to me or anyone else in the Amateur Radio
Service.

> > N9OGL is starting on the same path. Therefore, although the
> "new
> > kid on the block", it is highly likely that he will accumulate the
> same
> > record of warning letters, attention of federal law enforcement,
and
> > scrutiny. This too is true since you've already acknowledged that
Mr
> > Hollingsworth is allegedly paying attention already.
>
> Accually that was sent by someone CLAIMING TO BE HOLLINGSWORTH, it
was
> not sent by him.

And you "know" he NOT paying attention to you...HOW...?!?!

> > N9OGL has made disparging references to other participants of
> this
> > forum as "appliance operators", suggesting that HE is not. He's
been
> > asked to ante up to that insinuation but instead launched into this
> > diversion.
> >
> > Didn't work.
> >
> > N9OGL has made direct asserions of being an "inventor" but has
> yet
> > to pony up a single "invention". He DID make reference to an idea
> for
> > a bottlenecked point-to-point data protocol that is at least four
or

> > five "generations" of data transfer technology old.
>
> First off it don't have to be bottlenecked IF it ran on one of higher
> frequencies where there is commercial available equipment, Secondly I
> have Posted SOME of my inventions on my website, N9OGL INVENTIONS.

The fact still remains that your IDEA (not an invention) is a
least three technology generations BEHIND current technology.

In other words, of absolutely NO interest or use to anyone other
than you and your ego.

(Lennie could have a field day with this if he were really the
technology guru he claims to be...we'll see....)

> > Ohhhhhhhhhhhhh....we're so UNimpressed.
> >
> > He also created another "blog" for the purpose of enlightening
> the
> > world as to his technical wizardry, but still has yet to make good
on
> > that.
>
> REALLY??? Look Again!

I did!

What a HOOT! Your "inventions" had me laughing for quite while,
Todd! Thanks!

> > So...there's somone lying to himself here, Todd, and son, it
> ain't
> > me.
> >
> > Now...back to the REAL question at hand...What equipment makes
> up
> > the station line-up at N9OGL?
> >
>
> Bottle line slimeball...(SNIP)

"bottle line slimeball"...?!?!

OH ! ! I GET IT ! ! ! One of your INVENTIONS!

> I have built equipment, I have so many radio's I
> DON'T USE THEM ALL. But I have Built 2 meter and 440 transmitters.
> As a matter of fact I'm planning to put my information bulletin om 2
> meter, and I'm going to build the transmitter for the bulletin
station
> on 2 meter.

OK...So, tell us about them!

You call others "appliance operators", yet you STILL have not
provided a single bit of evidence of a single bit of non-commercially
built equipment operating at N9OGL.

> > I say that if you can't answer THAT question in the very next
> > reply to this post, that you are proving exactly what I've been
> > alledging.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Todd N9OGL

Not a single line of text devoted to describing ANY
non-commercial equipment in operation at N9OGL.

And in the end, you did exactly what I said you'd do...PROVED that
my allegations of your own "appliance operator" status was valid.

Thanks, Todd!

Steve, K4YZ

3211. Re: N9OGL...Another RRAP Engineering Hero..?!?! Move Over Lennie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 13:38:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111585116.606877.314680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104727

Psychiatrist-to-Hams wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1111342252.228376.150850@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> > NICE....coming from a appliance user....like i stated before, you
have
> > have an idea first before you do anything...but since your an
appliance
> > users, I can understand that you don't understand how it
works.....but
> > here's an idea why don't back to QRZ with all the other applicance
> > users....and debate more about BPL, or the CODE issue.
> >
>
>
> LOL! Well said Todd & so true.

"Well said"...?!?!

I sure am glad my kid isn't a student in YOUR grammar classes!

But what can one expect from an anonymous coward?

Steve, K4YZ

3212. Re: K0HB, Lennie of the North, Returns To RRAP And Comes Out Slinging!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 13:46:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111585566.139526.258460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104728

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > An Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > >
> > > > K4YZ is quick to demand proof and slow to produce proof.
> > >
> > > And he lies.
> >
> > So far, other than refusing to tell you the nature of my
military
> > duties, you have nothing to "back up" that assertion, Brain.
>
> "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."
>
> Why did you tell Len about slashed tires, bricks through windows, and
> terrorized wives???

Becasue it was pertinent at the time. And factual, according to
the

> > And the reason I don't discuss THOSE matters with you is that
> the
> > time I DID discuss with you (in private e-mail),
>
> You used to send me all kinds of crap, even though I've asked you not
> to. I prefer to keep everything public. You're not to be trusted.

And you've never asked me to "keep everything public."

I can understand your desire to do so, though...You're just one of
those folks who get's "stimulation" from being publically humiliated.
You certainly provide plenty of opportunities to do so!

> YOU violated my trust
> > by bringing those private e-mails to the NG contrary to your
> agreement
> > to not do it.
>
> What agreement? What trust? You're a liar.

The agreement wherein you told me in an open post that you'd keep
anything exchanged in e mail confidential.

You didn't do it.

And no, I'm not. I'm INTOLERANT of liars and lies, which is why I keep after you and yours...

But it's getting hard to keep up...You are nothing if not prolific.

Steve, K4YZ

3213. Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 16:04:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42419334.5A10BBE1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104729

More of our r.r.a.p. Tom Swift, gleaned from the archives:

from alt.sci.time-travel

Todd Daugherty
Jun 26 2003, 7:24 pm

I've have this Idea for a "simple" Time Machine. A operator would set up
a
computer up to a high power wireless system. They would then set the
computer to transmit a message say in 30 years and hope that in thirty
years someone would reply back. comments?

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
Amateur Radio Operator

(and)

Todd Daugherty
Jun 26 2003, 10:44 pm

The Answer to your first question is yes. A computer hooked to a TNC
(which is a wireless modem) is set up with a timing system. Most
"Packet" software for wireless communication has a timer system in it.
It's part of the software. Why wait so long for the signal to transmit?
because you want to be able to send the signal to a time period where
high speed communication systems are in general uses. Scientist are now
just experimenting with high speed communication devices. For a person
to send a signal back in time they would have to uses a high speed
communication devices. Just remember it is a lot easier to sent a
particle or a signal through time then it is human or an object. On your
second comment about time travel via the human brain. I believe not only
"time-travel" is possible via the human brain but I also believe while a
person is a sleep the electro-magnetic emissions of say your brain is
connected to the multi-you in every parallel universe. The only time
your able to view those other universes is while your Brainwaves are at
the lowest point of the spectrum (around 1 to 14 hz) This also might
explain
NDE.

Todd N9OGL

(and)

Todd Daugherty
Jun 26 2003, 10:57 pm

The idea is basic....A person hooks a TNC (wireless modem) to VHF or UHF transmitter and setting the parameters to transmit a signal thirty years in the future. With Bandplans changing often the sinal will be heard by someone. The person on the recieving end would have to respond via a high
speed communication system (scientist are just now experimenting with it)
The transmitter would have to be placed in a place somewhere where no one
will distrub it and it would have to be connected to a continous power source. As I stated in a another post it easier to send a signal or a particle through time then it is to send a human or a machine.

Todd N9OGL

(and)

Todd Daugherty
Jun 27 2003, 9:10 am

"Malcolm McMahon" <zen20...@zen.co.uk> wrote in message

news:fssnfvcj0ihs0dhcrnttd1tsang6h1lmlg@4ax.com...

- Show quoted text -

Not if your licensed for that band......it's not my fault some thirty years in the future they change the band. And another thing is the fine in the future will probably be higher then what it is today.

Todd
---
this from alt.radio.pirate, in which Todd assists a new "pirate" in breaking the law. Note that Todd's media empire has expanded to cover three towns:

n9ogl
Jan 2 2001, 6:12 pm

There are many places on the internet where you can find kits for shorwave transmitter kits. If you can't find it under shortwave kits try under amateur radio. The hams have a number ofshortwave bands. You can all sorts of Ham kits for the shortwave band. As for what's the best band I would say somewhere in the 6MHz range. 7Mhz is too crowded with hams and high power shortwave broadcast.

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
President
LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATION
107.9 F.M. LPFM
Taylorville, Langleyville, Hewittville
http://www.geocities.com/langleyparktelecommunication/index.html

---

from alt.radio.pirate, Todd explains that being a TV "pirate" isn't all it is cracked up to be:

n9ogl
Jul 27 2000, 12:00 am

I agree with that.....I ran a ten watt pirate TV station there for a while. After 3 blocks there was no signal at all.I gave up trying to get it to go farther, so i'm going to try my luck with LPFM which the window is suppose to open at the end of august.maybe I'll have better luck with it.

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
---

another from alt.radio.pirate. In this one, Todd's plans to up his "pirate" TV power to 100w. He uses an ATV transmitter converted to channel 14 and has issues over the use of three "fowl words":

n9ogl
Apr 7 2000, 12:00 am show options

Hello John,

I had to respond to your letter. You do have to run a lot of depending on your range it can run from 10 watts to 100 watts. The reason is because you are pushing a six MHz wide signal instead of a 10 KHz wide signal. My pirate TV station running at ten watts but we aren't getting the distance we really want so we are raising the power output to 100 watts. Television is also a little more expensive then radio because not only do you have a transmitter you also have cameras, props, amd other things to get a program going; unlike radio where you talk behind a mic. I like television because i've always wanted to get into it, and i had the transmitter so it made it simply for me to get into it. I'm using a ham ATV transmitter which i've converted to operate on channel 14.

Public Access - I've dealt with public access for a long time as well as running my pirate TV station. Public Access is ok but, it depends on the area your in. For example, Taylorville where the Pirate TV station is operating also has a Public Access Channel but no one uses it for one simple reason. They allow anyone to uses the channel but if they don't like anything you say they will not air it. Simple put the Local government and the cable company has a tight control on the public access channel. This was another reason my station was put on the air. Don't get wrong it not just here that they local government have a tight control there are number of cities here in the united states that have the same problem and no one, not even the FCC will do nothing about it. Public access again is great if you are allowed to have creative control over your program and is not forced to be censored. One of the problems I've notice with public Access is the cable companies right to censor "indecent material" Obscene material according the Federal Courts is legal on public access. In other words you can have two people have sexual intercourse and it legal. But, if one is screaming Obscene language in the process they can't air it. The problem is this, What is

really defined as obscene language. Here On of the programs I was trying to air on public access wasn't allowed to air because of three fowl words (two hell's and one dam) The cable company refused to air it; unless I did a "complete editing" of the content. (in other words they wanted the program to be edited in half because it contained "obscenity" anyway...there my two cents. I run a Low power pirate TV station and I'm not under anyones control execpt my own.
(sorry for any grammar or spelling)

Todd O'Dochartaigh
WLPS-TV channel 14
Taylorville, Illinois

(and this, where Todd gives us an inkling into his feelings about his town)

n9ogl
Apr 12 2000, 12:00 am

Hi John,
Yes, this town has a lot of dirty little secrets like it other towns around here (Springfield, Home of Human Rights and Decatur, Home of Black Liberation Radio). All have there little secrets. Here in Taylorville they like to suppress any information which needs to get out. That is why I will continue to fight for a right to be one tv. As i told the Chairman Bliley of the House Telecommunication Subcommittee. "I tried it your's and the NAB way (applied for a license) and found that they system is not on a level playing field" "So do want you want I will broadcast with or a license." I also gave Mr. Bliley my opinion on H.R. 3439 and some of the comment he and his colleague's suggested instead of LPFM and one of them was public access. I have gotten no reply so He probably threw it away.

Todd O'Dochartaigh
WLPS-TV Channel 14
Taylorville, Illinois
---

another alt.radio.pirate posting in which Todd solicits programming on VHS tape:

n9ogl
Jan 22 2000, 12:00 am

Hello,

WLPS-TV pirate televsion channel 14 will remain on the air after the FCC due date in Febuary. WLPS is also looking for programming to fill up empty time slots. If you have a program send you VHS tape to the following address below

send VHS video's to:

Todd O'Dochartaigh
624 East Maincross St.
Taylorville, Illinois 62568

all video's after being aired will be returned. A creator of video
maintain's copyrights. There is no fee or anything just the cost to send
it.

Todd O'Dochartaigh
Langley Park Studio /WLPS-TV

(One responder asks if Todd accepts Beta or 3/4" U-matic tapes. Todd
replies with the following)

n9ogl
Jan 24 2000, 12:00 am

Hello,

No just VHS but sometime in the near future we will be upgrading to
S-VHS.

Todd O'Dochartaigh
WLPS-TV Chanel 14
Taylorville, Illinois

(and)

n9ogl
Jan 7 2000, 12:00 am

In article <3873C660.1...@STOPTHESPAM757.org>,

telmn...@STOPTHESPAM757.org wrote:
> n...@my-deja.com wrote:
> Gawd.

> You rock man.

> Just watch you, FCC nigguhs read this newsgroup.

> How far does your signal travel? Height of the antenna?

Hi, Not very far were covering most of the community with are signal
and
plan to get better coax and a little more power. the antenna is a
cushcraft 440 beam antenna which has been tuned to the 470 MHz. band
with a 13.0 db gain. The antenna height around 35 feet with the antenna
pointing west. Up to five to six blocks you can pick it up with a set
of
"rabbit ears" while beyond that you need a outside antenna. We plan
soon
to buy a 100 watt amplifier to improve the signal.

Todd O'Docharaigh
WLPS-TV Channel 14

This priceless gem comes from alt.sci.physics.new-theories:

n9ogl
Aug 29 1999, 12:00 am

Hello

To start, I would like to excused for the poor typing of this text. I'm not very good with grammar nor am I with spelling.

1. Objects and Time
In the small community of Taylorville; where I live there once was a house known as Vanderveer House. It was once of course owned by the Vanderveer family. in the 1980's the house was totally destroyed and a Wal-Mart was put in it place. The question is this Is the Vanderveer house still there after being destroyed back in the 1980's The Answer is But it isn't in this "Time Frames". Basic physics dicatates "that no two object can occupy the same space at the same point in time" however the second part should go something like this "but, two object can occupy the same space at different points in time" What most people see as ghost or shadows from the past might be where the time frames are close enough that they can see both way. Time is a mesurement, which we measure by either clock or by "cause and effect" By cause and effect I'm of course refering to events with history. for example many people can remember events from their tenth birthday. So when you think of time or a object like a building or a love one perhaps you should look at it fourth dimensional.

2. Radio Waves

Since the complete comercialization of radio the study of what radio is and how they travel remain somewhat a complexing mystery. Some say that radio waves travel through "aether" But what radio waves are is a fourth dimensional and interdimensional wave. Radio waves are fourth dimensional because they can transcend time. radio waves which travel at a speed of 3.00 X 10/8 ms-1 or 186,000 mps for a radio wave everything around it is going slow time has in fact slow down for it. radio I believe are also interdimensional because a radio wave is "wormhole" it way through not only time but space as well. radio wave could also punching through "parallel universes". if that the case you might ask why can't we hear messages from other Parallel worlds? because their Qunta is different to are universe. just because some is one way in one universe doesn't by no mean make it work in another universe. So we can't them nor can they hear us.

3. life on other planets

i have heard from both sides about life on "other planets" and i've deiced to write on it. there is some theory about what is need for life on other planets. well throw that away. it don't mean squat. humans try to embelish this idea on how life is formed and how it is to operated on other planets. all the theorist on this little planet can come up with

all the little theories about that they want. the bottom line is that human are basing other life on other planet on are own worthless existance Don't; life through evoultion can adapt it self to a enviroment without the need of air, water, food or sunlight.

well that's it for now....some of theses idea i've been working on for a long time i have more stranger ideas that maybe sometime in the future I will post. What do you think???
Again sorry for any spelling or poor grammar.

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

"JUST BECAUSE YOU SEE SOMETHING AS REALITY DOESN'T MAKE IT REALITY.
JUST BECAUSE YOU CAN'T FIND SOMETHING THAT ISN'T REAL DOSEN'T
MEAN IT'S NOT REAL IT SIMPLY MEANS THAT YOU ARE LOOKING TO HARD"
Todd O'Dochartaigh (1983)

---
this from alt.radio.broadcasting:

n9ogl
Mar 5 1999, 12:00 am

HELLO,

I just recieved word that Senator Billy Tauzin of Louisana wrote Billy Kennard saying no to micropower radio....according to report Billy is worryabout interference from Microbroadcasters with exisiting station...find the whole report at http://www.arnewsline.com/

to me billy should get the N.A.B. mouth off of his body organ and serve the People not some Monopolistic power hungry organization....And the FCC
should do the same.

Todd N9OGL
AMATEUR/COMMERCIAL OPERATOR SUPPORTER OF MICROPOWER
BROADCAST /ANTI-N.A.B. /ANTI BILLY TAUZIN

Here's some sparkling interplay between Todd, the movie pirate and someone who calls himself "Just Allan" in alt.kazaa:

Just Allan
Jul 15 2003, 1:55 am

Has anyone downloaded the latest matrix movie - and got it to play? I converted the *.dat file to mpg, and it won't work... Any help appreciated!

Todd Daugherty
Aug 5 2003, 4:52 pm

I have and it works great...go download VLC it will pay it

Todd
n...@Kazaa.lite
todd...@consolidated.net
---
from alt.radio.pirate:

daughert
Apr 29 2001, 11:44 am

GG wrote:

> "Johnny Neutron" <johnnyneut...@juno.com>

> >What does the fact that it's illegal to discuss "political and
> >patriotic"
> >topics on Ham radio tell you?

> Please site the section of Part 97, the FCC rules that govern amateur
> radio, that supports this claim. I have been a ham for forty years
and
> have heard and participated in many such discussion and I have never
> heard of anyone being cited for such. I would like to see this rule.
> There was at one time a "gentleman's agreement" not to discuss
> controversial subjects, but if you listen on the ham bands I think
you
> will hear plenty of political and patriotic discussion going on.

It isn't a rule but you got nazi's in the FCC like Hollingsworth that
thinks that type of discussion is best served either through the
internet or LPFM
Todd N9OGL

This series, from alt.radio.pirate is classic Todd:

daughert
Apr 2 2001, 6:10 pm

Beretta wrote:

> On Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:49:18 -0500, daughert <daugh...@chipsnet.com>
wrote:

> >WHERE'S THAT HOMEPAGE THAT YOU CAN FAX JOHN MCCAIN
> >TODD

> Your caps lock key seems to be broken. I suggest you replace your > keyboard

> PGP Key: 0x194DF369
> Fingerprint: B777 DB2A FB11 55FA 509D CE63 F3DE D665 194D F369

NO I'M F--KING PISSED OFF AND RIGHT NOW YOU DON'T REALLY WANT TO F--K
WITH ME. I'M GOING TO EMAIL OR FAX THAT SON OF A BITCH MCCAIN AND TELL
THAT DUMB F--K OFF ALONG WITH THOSE BUTTF--KERS FCC....NO ONE F--K'S ME
OUT OF A LICENSE FOR THE SEVENTH TIME
TODD

(and)

daughert
Apr 2 2001, 7:24 pm

here the letter I sent to McCain.....

Subject: LPFM
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:15:17 -0500
From: daughert <daugh...@chipsnet.com>
To: John_McC...@McCain.senate.gov

Senator McCain,

I am writing you in regards to the FCC New Report and Order dealing
with LPFM (FCC O1-100) in it my groups application was dismissed
because on form 318 was said yes to a question dealing with unlicensed
broadcast; which I will talk about in a minute. The reason we did this
by saying yes was simply put to tell the truth and not perform purgery
which is a Federal offence. The reason we said yes is a looong story.
It started in the late ninties. I had appplied for a Low Power
Television license. I applied for this license six times and each time
I
applied my application was returned sometimes for the dumbest things
like they sent one back because They said I would have to have FAA
approval for my tower. The tower was only 60 ft up....under FAA and FCC
anything below 200ft doesn't require FAA approval. the next was I had
to
pay the licensing FEE although my group is a Non-profit orginization
and
it was stated on the application that it was. Then there was the main
thing.....that I didn't file it through a "filling windows" yet when I
asked when it was they wouldn't tell me and to top it off they were
granting construction permits for Low Power Television. bottom line
they
didn't want a small orginization like us to have a license. So after
applying six times for a licenses for Low power TV and said the hell
with it and began running a low power TV station without a license. My
signal although was putting out 10 watts only went one to two street
blocks. I did it simply because the FCC wouldn't license me and because
some people and congress don't care. I broadcast for two months
december
and january after that my transmitter went out. I was never caught nor
fined by the FCC yet these new ruling states simply that it dosen't
matter. well Senator I come to the conclusion that the FCC once again
has screwed me and my group out of a license and like always I type
these letters to a group of congress that don't seem to care. So my
group and I are going to go on the air without a license because were
sick of being screwed by the FCC, were sick of doing the hard work to
fill out thoses applications and we might even sue the FCC and you all
for all trouble and trama they've caused me and my group

Todd E Daugherty N9OGL
CEO/PRESIDENT
Langley Park Telecommunication

(and, after some commented on his lack of language skills, our
state-tested-high-IQ pirate broadcaster wrote)

daughert
Apr 3 2001, 3:58 pm

hey f--k you all I have a Learning disability so F--K YOU ASSHOLES!!!!

624 East Maincross
Taylorville, Illinois 62568
AMATEUR OPERATOR, RESTRICED PERMIT OPERATOR

---

this from alt.radio.broadcasting:

n9ogl
Dec 18 2000, 9:12 am

...At any rate I know I got F--K out of a
license, again for the seventh time. So I'm done messing with the FCC
and going back to do what I do best Pirating....So much for the so
called "Legal way" The Congress and this hell hole we call a country
can kiss my Ass and to that dumbass Eddie Fritts I hope someday you
choke on you own jucies and die! As for the FCC well boys I done being
F--ked by you so S--K IT!!!!

LATER
Todd O'Dohartaigh
CEO/PRESIDENT
Langley Park Telecommunication

Here's another from alt.sci.physics.new-theories

n9ogl
May 28 2000, 12:00 am

Hello,

There has in the past about time travel and the possiblity of going
back
and forth in time. Some scientist (and in my humble opinion i uses that
term lossly) believe that traveling down a black hole or through a
black
hole could lead to the past, the future or perhaps parallel universes.
that's if you can get pass the gravational field of a black hole not to
mention the huge amounts of radiation a black hole omittes. Some
suggest
going faster then the speed of light (FTL) after all a takon particle
can go faster the speed of light. but, alast, this idea is not thing
but
that a idea. I myself have come up with many ideas on the possiblity of

Time Travel. One of these ideas is so possible that with the techonolgy today makes it very possible. I believe we will never be able to time travel areselves but, i beleive it is possible to send a message to the future by means of the combination of computer techonolgy and radio communication. the idea is simple take a computer hook it to a wireless modem and have it sit on a given radio frequency.wireless modems called Terminal Node Controls can be set to a certian time frame to beacon.so you set it to beacon 30 years into the future this instance tiem travel to the future. it will be however up tothe future how to respond back.

Todd

I guess Todd never thought of writing a letter in the present time and leaving it lying around for thirty years. Perhaps he'd even be around to open it and to be able to read the words of a Todd thirty years in the past. He'd still have the problem of how to respond to the Todd thirty years in the past.

That's Part II of the report from fantasy land. Is there anyone still wondering why Todd wasn't issued a license for a broadcast station?

Dave Heil

3214. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 18:10:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111601409.910370.38320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104730

Dave Heil wrote:
> More of our r.r.a.p. Tom Swift, gleaned from the archives:
>
> from alt.sci.time-travel
>
> Todd Daugherty
> Jun 26 2003, 7:24 pm
>
> I've have this Idea for a "simple" Time Machine. A operator would set
up
> a
> computer up to a high power wireless system. They would then set the
> computer to transmit a message say in 30 years and hope that in
thirty
> years someone would reply back. comments?
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> Amateur Radio Operator

As absolutely assinine as this is, did he HAVE to use his callsign
and "Amateur Radio Operator" in the signature line?

Does he not think that if this would have worked, we would have
already gotten messages from "the future"...?!?!

Do ANY "time travel" proponents not think that if time travel
worked, we wouldn't have seen some evidence of it already?

(SNIPPAGE)

>

> this from alt.radio.pirate, in which Todd assists a new "pirate" in
> breaking the law. Note that Todd's media empire has expanded to
cover
> three towns:
>
> n9ogl
> Jan 2 2001, 6:12 pm
>
> There are many places on the internet where you can find kits for
> shorwave transmitter kits. If you can't find it under shortwave kits
try
> under amateur radio. The hams have a number ofshortwave bands. You
can
> all sorts of Ham kits for the shortwave band. As for what's the best

> band I would say somewhere in the 6MHz range. 7Mhz is too crowded
with
> hams and high power shortwave broadcast.
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> President
> LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATION
> 107.9 F.M. LPFM
> Taylorville, Langleyville, Hewittville
> http://www.geocities.com/langleyparktelecommunication/index.html
>

Hmmmmmmmmmmmm....Helping another violate federal laws....

K2ASP, isn't that a disqualifying act for licensure...?!?!

> from alt.radio.pirate, Todd explains that being a TV "pirate" isn't
all
> it is cracked up to be:
>
>
> n9ogl
> Jul 27 2000, 12:00 am
>
> I agree with that.....I ran a ten watt pirate TV station there for a
> while. After 3 blocks there was no signal at all.I gave up trying to
> get it to go farther, so i'm going to try my luck with LPFM which the

> window is suppose to open at the end of august.maybe I'll have better

> luck with it.
>
> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

Maybe someone in "alt.pirate" looked up "N9OGL" and realized that
he was lying about his name...Then wonder what else he's lying about.

>

> another from alt.radio.pirate. In this one, Todd's plans to up his
> "pirate" TV power to 100w. He uses an ATV transmitter converted to
> channel 14 and has issues over the use of three "fowl words":
>
> n9ogl
> Apr 7 2000, 12:00 am show options
>
>
> Hello John,
>
> I had to respond to your letter. You do have to run a lot of
depending

> on your range it can run from 10 watts to 100 watts. The reason is
> because you are pushing a six MHz wide signal instead of a 10 KHz
wide
> signal. My pirate TV station running at ten watts but we aren't
getting
> the distance we really want so we are raising the power output to 100

> watts.

Admission of violation of FCC rules and regulations...and he
wonders why he's not a broadcast licensee....

Another question for K2ASP...Phil, isn't this yet ANOTHER
disqualifying act?

Can't we bring this to the Commission's attention and see if we
can't get him off of Amateur Radio too...???

(Some more snippage to....>>>)

> Here On of the programs I was trying
> to air on public access wasn't allowed to air because of three fowl
> words (two hell's and one dam) The cable company refused to air it;
> unless I did a "complete editing" of the content. (in other words
they
> wanted the program to be edited in half because it contained
"obscenity"
> anyway...there my two cents. I run a Low power pirate TV station and
> I'm not under anyones control execpt my own.
> (sorry for any grammar or spelling)

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm.....

His motivation for getting on the air is

(1) to watch people swearing while having sex,

(2) use profanity himself (even spelled wrong...Nothing more
humiliating that an ignorant man trying to express himself profanely
that can't even spell it correctly!)

(3) Expresses his complete lack of belief that just because he is
operating illegally that he's not responsible to federal regulations

> Todd O'Dochartaigh
> WLPS-TV channel 14
> Taylorville, Illinois

Then can't even spell his own last name correctly.

(More snippage.....>>>)

>

> This priceless gem comes from alt.sci.physics.new-theories:

>
> n9ogl
> Aug 29 1999, 12:00 am
>
>
> Hello
>
> To start, I would like to excused for the poor typing of this text.
I'm
> not very good with grammar nor am I with spelling.
>
> 1. Objects and Time
> In the small community of Taylorville; where I live there once was
> a house known as Vanderveer House. It was once of course owned by the

> Vanderveer family. in the 1980's the house was totally destroyed and
a
> Wal-Mart was put in it place. The question is this Is the Vanderveer
> house still there after being destroyed back in the 1980's The Answer
is
> But it isn't in this "Time Frames". Basic physics dicatates "that no
two
> object can occupy the same space at the same point in time" however
the
> second part should go something like this "but, two object can occupy

> the same space at different points in time" What most people see as
> ghost or shadows from the past might be where the time frames are
close
> enough that they can see both way. Time is a mesurement, which we
> measure by either clock or by "cause and effect" By cause and effect
I'm
> of course refering to events with history. for example many people
can
> remember events from their tenth birthday. So when you think of time
or
> a object like a building or a love one perhaps you should look at it
> fourth dimensional.

This isn't even bad science fiction, let alone "physics".....

> 2. Radio Waves
>
> Since the complete comercialization of radio the study of what
> radio is and how they travel remain somewhat a complexing mystery.

Only Todd would think that radio wave propagation is some sort of
"complexing mystery".

> Some say that radio waves travel through "aether"

No, some don't. At least not since the 1920's.

> But what radio waves are is a fourth dimensional and interdimensional
wave.

He should be slapped just for the grammatical abuses.

> Radio waves are fourth
> dimensional because they can transcend time. radio waves which travel
at
> a speed of 3.00 X 10/8 ms-1 or 186,000 mps for a radio wave
everything
> around it is going slow time has in fact slow down for it. radio I
> believe are also interdimensional because a radio wave is "wormhole"
it
> way through not only time but space as well.

Living >>IN<< a "wormhole" probably gives one the opinion that
anything that you can't reach out and touch is from a wormhole too.

> radio wave could also
> punching through "parallel universes". if that the case you might ask

> why can't we hear messages from other Parallel worlds? because their
> Qunta is different to are universe. just because some is one way in
one
> universe doesn't by no mean make it work in another universe. So we
> can't them nor can they hear us.

"Qunta"...?!?! "are universe"...?!?!

> 3. life on other planets
>
> i have heard from both sides about life on "other planets" and i've
> deiced to write on it.

You NEED to "deice", Toddie! Maybe soon?

> there is some theory about what is need for life
> on other planets. well throw that away. it don't mean squat. humans
try
> to embelish this idea on how life is formed and how it is to operated
on
> other planets. all the theorist on this little planet can come up
with
> all the little theories about that they want. the bottom line is that

> human are basing other life on other planet on are own worthless
> existance Don't; life through evoultion can adapt it self to a
> enviroment without the need of air, water, food or sunlight.

BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

W H A T A M O R O N ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> well that's it for now....some of theses idea i've been working on
for a
> long time i have more stranger ideas that maybe sometime in the
future I
> will post. What do you think???

It get's S T R A N G E R ?!?!?!?!?! GOD FORBID!

> Again sorry for any spelling or poor grammar.

Lessee...This piece was dated August 1999. He knew THEN he had
spelling and grammar deficiencies, yet six years later he's not done a
single thing about it!

Snippage again...

If moronic behaviour was transmissible, I'd be lobotomizing myself
about now!

>

> This series, from alt.radio.pirate is classic Todd:
>
> daughert
> Apr 2 2001, 6:10 pm
>
> Beretta wrote:
>
> > On Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:49:18 -0500, daughert
<daugh...@chipsnet.com>
> wrote:
>
> > >WHERE'S THAT HOMEPAGE THAT YOU CAN FAX JOHN MCCAIN
> > >TODD
>
> > Your caps lock key seems to be broken. I suggest you replace your >
keyboard
>
> > PGP Key: 0x194DF369
> > Fingerprint: B777 DB2A FB11 55FA 509D CE63 F3DE D665 194D F369
>
> NO I'M F--KING PISSED OFF AND RIGHT NOW YOU DON'T REALLY WANT TO F--K

> WITH ME. I'M GOING TO EMAIL OR FAX THAT SON OF A BITCH MCCAIN AND
TELL
> THAT DUMB F--K OFF ALONG WITH THOSE BUTTF--KERS FCC....NO ONE F--K'S
ME
> OUT OF A LICENSE FOR THE SEVENTH TIME
> TODD
>
> (and)
>
> daughert

> Apr 2 2001, 7:24 pm
>
> here the letter I sent to McCain.....
>
> Subject: LPFM
> Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:15:17 -0500
> From: daughert <daugh...@chipsnet.com>
> To: John_McC...@McCain.senate.gov
>
> Senator McCain,
>
> I am writing you in regards to the FCC New Report and Order dealing
> with LPFM (FCC 01-100) in it my groups application was dismissed
> because on form 318 was said yes to a question dealing with
unlicensed
> broadcast; which I will talk about in a minute. The reason we did
this
> by saying yes was simply put to tell the truth and not perform
purgery
> which is a Federal offence.

He's functionally illiterate, admits to violating federal law, and
sends it to one of the most powerful Senators in the United States.

I'm impressed.

> The reason we said yes is a looong story.

Uhhhhhhhh...could it be because the proper answer WAS "yes"...?!?!

How long a story could that be?

> It started in the late ninties. I had appplied for a Low Power
> Television license. I applied for this license six times and each
time
> I applied my application was returned sometimes for the dumbest
things
> like they sent one back because They said I would have to have FAA
> approval for my tower. The tower was only 60 ft up....under FAA and
FCC
> anything below 200ft doesn't require FAA approval.

Unless you're within certain distances of other FAA or FCC
facilities.

> the next was I had
> to pay the licensing FEE although my group is a Non-profit
orginization
> and it was stated on the application that it was.

Perhaps it had sometig to do with the lack of articles of
organization and proper IRS documentation of non-profit status...?!?!

> Then there was the main
> thing.....that I didn't file it through a "filling windows" yet
when I
> asked when it was they wouldn't tell me and to top it off they were
> granting construction permits for Low Power Television. bottom line
> they
> didn't want a small orginization like us to have a license.

I guess it didn't have anything to do with filing incorrectly,
failing to meet licensure criteria, failure to meet technical criteria,
and complete lack of faith that you'd be a credible and trustworthy
licensee...?!?!

> So after
> applying six times for a licenses for Low power TV and said the hell

> with it and began running a low power TV station without a license.

Uhhhhh...Yup! You go R I G H T A H E A D and tell that Senator
that you violated federal law and then expect him to come to your aid!

> My signal although was putting out 10 watts only went one to two
street
> blocks. I did it simply because the FCC wouldn't license me and
because
> some people and congress don't care. I broadcast for two months
> december
> and january after that my transmitter went out.

Thank God for small favors, eh?

> I was never caught nor
> fined by the FCC yet these new ruling states simply that it dosen't
> matter. well Senator I come to the conclusion that the FCC once
again
> has screwed me and my group out of a license and like always I type
> these letters to a group of congress that don't seem to care.

LOVE IT! All of the above and THEN he accuses the Senator of being
apathetic! I know that would motivate ME to help him out!

> So my
> group and I are going to go on the air without a license because
were
> sick of being screwed by the FCC, were sick of doing the hard work
to
> fill out thoses applications and we might even sue the FCC and you
all
> for all trouble and trama they've caused me and my group
>
> Todd E Daugherty N9OGL
> CEO/PRESIDENT
> Langley Park Telecommunication
>

> (and, after some commented on his lack of language skills, our
> state-tested-high-IQ pirate broadcaster wrote)
>
> daughert
> Apr 3 2001, 3:58 pm
>
> hey f--k you all I have a Learning disability so F--K YOU
ASSHOLES!!!!
>
> 624 East Maincross
> Taylorville, Illinois 62568
> AMATEUR OPERATOR, RESTRICED PERMIT OPERATOR

Like I said....

WHAT IS IT with Illinois and functionally illiterate clods...?!?!

>

> this from alt.radio.broadcasting:
>
> n9ogl
> Dec 18 2000, 9:12 am
>
> ...At any rate I know I got F--K out of a
> license, again for the seventh time. So I'm done messing with the FCC

> and going back to do what I do best Pirating....So much for the so
> called "Legal way" The Congress and this hell hole we call a country

> can kiss my Ass and to that dumbass Eddie Fritts I hope someday you
> choke on you own jucies and die! As for the FCC well boys I done
being
> F--ked by you so S--K IT!!!!
>
> LATER
> Todd O'Dohartaigh
> CEO/PRESIDENT
> Langley Park Telecommunication

Guess that's how we came to be sooooooooooooooooooooooooo lucky as
to be violated by this junior wannabe broadcaster...

And he wonders why, after all of the profanities, threats, and
admissions of guilt to violations of the Communications Act tha the FCC
won't "reconsider" his applicaitions.

>

> Here's another from alt.sci.physics.new-theories
>
> n9ogl
> May 28 2000, 12:00 am

>
>
> Hello,
>
> There has in the past about time travel and the possiblity of going
> back
> and forth in time. Some scientist (and in my humble opinion i uses
that
> term lossly) believe that traveling down a black hole or through a
> black
> hole could lead to the past, the future or perhaps parallel
universes.
> that's if you can get pass the gravational field of a black hole not
to
> mention the huge amounts of radiation a black hole omittes. Some
> suggest
> going faster then the speed of light (FTL) after all a takon
particle
> can go faster the speed of light. but, alast, this idea is not thing
> but
> that a idea. I myself have come up with many ideas on the possiblity
of
> Time Travel. One of these ideas is so possible that with the
techonolgy
> today makes it very possible. I believe we will never be able to
time
> travel areselves but, i beleive it is possible to send a message to
the
> future by means of the combination of computer techonolgy and radio
> communication. the idea is simple take a computer hook it to a
wireless
> modem and have it sit on a given radio frequency.wireless modems
called
> Terminal Node Controls can be set to a certian time frame to
beacon.so
> you set it to beacon 30 years into the future this instance tiem
travel
> to the future. it will be however up tothe future how to respond
back.
>
> Todd
>
>

> I guess Todd never thought of writing a letter in the present time
and
> leaving it lying around for thirty years. Perhaps he'd even be
around
> to open it and to be able to read the words of a Todd thirty years in

> the past. He'd still have the problem of how to respond to the Todd
> thirty years in the past.
>
> That's Part II of the report from fantasy land. Is there anyone
still
> wondering why Todd wasn't issued a license for a broadcast station?

Not me! And if I can talk the Mrs out of it, I am going to send each of the Commissioners a dozen roses and a letter of apology on bahalf of all Amateurs to Senator McCain.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3215. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 19:51:58 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.idtdim4.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 104732

On 23 Mar 2005 10:10:09 -0800, K4YZ wrote:

>Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm....Helping another violate federal laws....
>
> K2ASP, isn't that a disqualifying act for licensure...?!?!

Sure is - brings up the issue of character to become or remain a
licensee.

> Admission of violation of FCC rules and regulations...and he
>wonders why he's not a broadcast licensee....
>
> Another question for K2ASP...Phil, isn't this yet ANOTHER
>disqualifying act?

More "character" issues....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3216. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 19:55:45 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.idtdox6.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 104731

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 16:04:29 GMT, Dave Heil quoted Todd as posting:

> Obscene material according the Federal Courts is legal on public access.

Obscene material is unprotected speech (therefore not "legal" on
cable - see 18 USC s. 1468).

As contrasted to broadcast material (18 USC s. 1464) Section 1468
does not proscribe indecent material, which the courts have held
"semi" protected i.e. subject to FCC time-of-broadcast regulation
(see Pacifica v FCC). Todd mixed that one up again.....

Expect that sometime in the near future, Section 1468 will be
amended to proscribe indecency by cable or satellite delivery, again
subject to FCC time-of-broadcast regulation. That will create a
"level playing field".

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3217. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 20:59:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111611590.455960.171030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104733

You know Dave, you are violation of Copyright Law Don't you???

Todd N9OGL

3218. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 21:01:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111611714.965910.204010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104734

Again you are violating of Copyright Law

Todd N9OGL

3219. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 21:04:26 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111611866.239910.163280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104735

>Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm....Helping another violate federal laws....

> K2ASP, isn't that a disqualifying act for licensure...?!?!
Sure is - brings up the issue of character to become or remain a
licensee.

Sure is - brings up the issue of character to become or remain a
licensee

What I was refering to was PART 15 DEVICES.

Todd N9OGL

3220. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 21:10:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111612255.240170.32470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104736

Yes, my bad, I meant Indecent material, (see Denver Area Consortium Vs FCC 1996)

Todd N9OGL

3221. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 22:49:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4241F216.CAB86654@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104737

N9OGL wrote:
>
> You know Dave, you are violation of Copyright Law Don't you???

How pathetic, Todd. Anything you write and send to usenet isn't
copyrighted. It is completely public and all archived.

Of course if you have differing opinions, you are free to file suit.

Dave K8MN

3222. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-23 22:50:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4241F24F.4A512F98@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104738

N9OGL wrote:
>
> Again you are violating of Copyright Law

You are as unknowledgeable about copyright law as you are about communications law.

Dave K8MN

3223. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 00:01:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111622480.550167.213400@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104739

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > Again you are violating of Copyright Law
>
> You are as unknowledgeable about copyright law as you are about
> communications law.
>
> Dave K8MN

I wouldn't bet on it if I was you....I hold a number of copyrights
Todd N9OGL

3224. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 00:22:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <424207F1.1266602B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104740

N9OGL wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > >
> > > Again you are violating of Copyright Law
> >
> > You are as unknowledgeable about copyright law as you are about
> > communications law.

> I wouldn't bet on it if I was you....

I'd bet the ranch on it, Todd. It is possible for anyone to copyright original material. It is not possible to copyright original material posted to a newsgroup.

> I hold a number of copyrights

I'll bet you've held some copyrights. You've likely held "Reader's Digest" and "QST". You have apparently have copyrights which aren't copyrighted and inventions which haven't been invented. You know all about packet BBS software but you don't know how to set it up. Oh, and you're the president and CEO of a broadcasting company which has only pirate outlets. Are there other employees or are you the president, CEO and janitor? Do you have offices or is your company headquartered in a bedroom in your parents home?

You seem to have a tough time with reality, Todd.

Dave K8MN

3225. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 01:10:43 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111626643.641304.254740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104741

I've looked at his posts in the last 6 years also and I've never seen anything more pathetic in my life about a retard playing wid radios.

3226. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 01:24:54 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <bqGdnZg3MopBi9_fRVn-tg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104742

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>N9OGL wrote:
>>>
>>>>Again you are violating of Copyright Law
>>>
>>>You are as unknowledgeable about copyright law as you are about
>>>communications law.
>
>
>>I wouldn't bet on it if I was you....
>
>
>
> I'd bet the ranch on it, Todd. It is possible for anyone to copyright
> original material. It is not possible to copyright original material
> posted to a newsgroup.
>
>
>>I hold a number of copyrights
>
>
> I'll bet you've held some copyrights. You've likely held "Reader's
> Digest" and "QST". You have apparently have copyrights which aren't
> copyrighted and inventions which haven't been invented. You know all
> about packet BBS software but you don't know how to set it up. Oh, and
> you're the president and CEO of a broadcasting company which has only
> pirate outlets. Are there other employees or are you the president, CEO
> and janitor? Do you have offices or is your company headquartered in a
> bedroom in your parents home?
>
> You seem to have a tough time with reality, Todd.
>
> Dave K8MN

http://www.geocities.com/langleyparktelecommunication/about.htm

States that a ham with a vanity call of N9FU (no profanity intended)
established this pirate broadcasting company with him!

3227. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 02:17:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111630624.905724.63900@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104743

N9OGL wrote:
> Again you are violating of Copyright Law

Who's violating what, bugbrain?

You won't cite your attributions, so who's to tell who you are
talling to...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3228. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 02:24:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111631075.584857.62870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104744

N9OGL wrote:

And didn't cite attributiosn...AGAIN!

WHAT AN IDIOT!

>> >(K4YZ)...Hmmmmmmmmmmmm....Helping another violate federal laws....
>>
>> > K2ASP, isn't that a disqualifying act for licensure...?!?!

>> (K2ASP) Sure is - brings up the issue of character to become or
remain a
>> licensee.
>
>> (K2ASP) Sure is - brings up the issue of character to become or
remain a
>> licensee
>
> What I was refering to was PART 15 DEVICES.

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! !

"Mr. ##### the FCC" is now snivveling away under the scrutiny of a
REAL FCC attorney!

Your "Part 15 devices" do NOT run more than 100mw, Todd!

Every one of your posts cites devices running at least 10 watts
with your expressed intent to go to 100 or more!

C O W A R D ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

3229. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 02:30:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111631452.030721.178470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104745

N9OGL wrote:
> You know Dave, you are violation of Copyright Law Don't you???

BBWWWWWWWWWWWWHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

W H A T A N I D I O T ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

"Copyrighting" comments made to unmoderated, public USENET
newsgroups...?!?!?!?!

BBBBBBBBBBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! !

Todd, each and every time I think you couldn't get any more
ludicrous or assinine, you prove me wrong!

Thanks!

Steve, K4YZ

3230. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 03:16:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111634204.170376.232380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104746

Dave Heil wrote:

>N9OGL wrote:

> 1. The Electromagnetic Spectrum belongs to the people and the
> people should have a right to Uses the Spectrum.

They do.

And under our Constitution and laws, the FCC ensures, to the best
of it's ability, that this FINITE RESOURCE will be accessible to all.
Of course electronic anarchists like N9OGL who believe that anyone
should do anything they like would make it impossible for anyone to use
it at all.

> 2. The FCC should only be allowed to license that, which is under
> 47 USC 151 "Interstate and Foreign Commerce by wire or radio"

OK.

Let's remove the FCC from the picture for a second. You go ahead
and fire up your LPFM station on 107.9 (or whatever frequency you
choose).

I live next town over and >>I<< want to operate on 107.9...Or
worse yet, I just want to jam you becasue I think your "opinion" or
programming format suck. Who ya gonna call now?

State or local agencies? OK...So Illinois allows you to operate
here...but just across the state line in Podunk, Missouri, THEY
"license" me to operate on that frequency...at 1500 watts, because in
MO they define "low power" as anything below 10,000 watts.

I'd usually expect a rational person to see where this is going,
but hey...it's Todd...

> 3. Not only should a person have the right to listen to not only
> the broadcast services but all parts of the electromagnetic spectrum.

> even the cellular band which is part of the radio spectrum and there
by
> belong to the people.

Hey Todd...waddaya say I park a van outside your place and start
monitoring your cell and cordless phones. I further record them and
play them at local venues. Since everyone can listen to everything
under your "plan", nothing you say on "the phone" is protected.

> 4. The people should have the right to choice his satellite
> package. The person should have the right if he wants the Network
feeds
> off the satellite and wants to pay for them be allowed to buy them
> regardless if he recieve local broadcasting.

Gee, Todd...We have that here in Tennessee...What's up with you in
Ill-annoyed?

> 5. The FCC should eliminate any useless services on the
> electromagnetic spectrum (There is still some first generation radio
> services on the air) Any new service like Digital Cellular Phones
should
> be phased into the old cell band instead of allocating them spectrum.

You are incompetent to hold broadcast licensure, and only hold
Amateur licensure by the grace of God...Why would they consider your
"ideas" for wireless telephone technology?

> 6. The FCC should make it easier for people to obtain a license,
> they should promote the Idea of waivering fees and other stuff like
> Techincal rules. The FCC should accept applications regardless if
it's a
> filing window or not.

Oh, heck yeah! Let's let anyone who get's it in thier head to do so
to have a license! And since we're loading the bands up with all these
citizen-broadcasters, let's screw the technical standards which help to
maximize the already dwindling spectrum! What's a little intermod or
bleed-over among broadcasters! Live out on the fringes of overlapping
stations? Too bad!

> 7. The FCC shouldn't create quick fixs policies instead should try
> to improve what they have internally.

Does anyone other than Todd have an idea what this means?
Todd-to-English translation, please...???

> 8. The FCC should stop believing in this "burden on the commission"
> because there is always going to be a burden regardless. instead the
> FCC should try to get things done.

But Todd! You'd just throw them aside!

Besides, Todd...And I know this will be painful for you to accept,
but it's ill-informed, narrowminided, functioanlly illiterate IDIOTS
like you that create the burden in the first place!

> 9. The FCC should work more closer to the people then the big
> companies and organizations. The FCC believes they serve the public's

> intrest but in reality the FAA serves the public's intrest better
then
> the FCC because the FAA listens to the people.

And what was the last NPRM from the FAA >>YOU<< responded to,
Todd?

What "issues" has the FAA addressed that the FCC has failed to do
so, Toddie?

> 10. The Question of what's Interstate and what's Interstate should
> be answered.

BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! !!

> well that's it.....that's the changes I think are needed I believe
the
> FCC could care less on what I say or anyone in alt.radio.pirate. then

> again I like everyone else bitching and voicing my opinion

I enjoy seeing you act this way in public too, Todd...It reaffirms
my belief that procreation should be licensed, and abortions should be
permitted up to age 30.

> Sure there has been a few cases with
> interferning with
> the aircraft band as a pilot myself I wouldn't want that to happen.
> However there has been a lot of low power services out there that
> didn't
> cause interfernce which out weights the cases that do.

And which case that DOES cause interference to the avaition
allocations is OK, Todd? How many episodes of interference are OK to
the safety of flight?

Do YOU want to be on the flight that winds up two miles short of
the runway because some idiot LIKE YOU was exercising his "free speech"
on an ILS frequency? Do you want to be the guy who kept an FAA
facility from hearing a distress call or warning of a hijacking just so
you could transmit your "bulletins" on "pirate TV"...?!?!

That's EXACTLY what you're proposing with your anarchist licensing
ideas or relaxation of "technical rules"...

> I am against
> thre
> LOW POWER RADIO PETITION because to me it is a quick fixs the FCC
> should
> allow that service now with waivers (which their rules allow) but
they
> will not. I did apply for a license twice and both times it was
> returned
> so my view is to HELL with them.

I am sure your whole life has been full of people realizing what
an idiot you are and did their best to keep you from making a fool out

of yourself or harming others with your "me first" attitudes.

We can only hope the FCC will keep it up.

(I am kinda hoping K2ASP might "drop a dime" on some old friends
in Gettysburg to start the mill moving on Toddie now!)

> Oh one more thing this "chaos" which
> accured back in the 20's wasn't by Low power operators but by greedy

> Commercial operators and the Navy.

Sure it was by "low power" operators! There were "broadcast
stations" popping up on every hotel and newspaper building across the
country!

> Right, but there is a lot of broadcast services that don't cross the
> line. for example my 8 watt Low power television transmitter which
runs
> on UHF will not cross the state line because 1. i'm in the middle of
> the
> state. 2. the power of 8 watts and the antenna height of 60 ft 3.
the
> terrain. fact is my station doesnot cross the state line and there
by
> the FCC doesn't have the juridiction over my signal.

So...it's OK if you're "in the middle of the state"...But what
about folks who live close to state lines? Or people who live at
higher elevations and even low power carries far? Or how about folks
who live in realtively small states ie: Rhode Island, Delaware, etc?

They're just squat out of luck?

Face it, Todd...Not everyone can be or even SHOULD be a
"broadcaster".

L E A S T of all a racist, incompetent, functionally illiterate
idiot like you! But there is an "up" side...You do have a future as a
stand up comic...You've had us in stitches for days!

Steve, K4YZ

3231. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 05:04:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111640679.978274.61900@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104747

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> And didn't cite attributiosn...AGAIN!
>
> WHAT AN IDIOT!
>
> >> >(K4YZ)...Hmmmmmmmmmmmm....Helping another violate federal
laws....
> >>
> >> > K2ASP, isn't that a disqualifying act for licensure...?!?!
>
> >> (K2ASP) Sure is - brings up the issue of character to become or
> remain a
> >> licensee.
> >
> >> (K2ASP) Sure is - brings up the issue of character to become or
> remain a
> >> licensee
> >
> > What I was refering to was PART 15 DEVICES.
>
>
BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! !
>
> "Mr. ##### the FCC" is now snivveling away under the scrutiny of a
> REAL FCC attorney!
>
> Your "Part 15 devices" do NOT run more than 100mw, Todd!
>
> Every one of your posts cites devices running at least 10 watts
> with your expressed intent to go to 100 or more!
>
Accually, Part 15 depends on Frequency, 100mw can be ran on the AM
broadcast Band, on 160 to 190 I believe is 1 watt, at 13MHZ part 15 is
10,000 Microvolts per meter at 30 meters, on the FM Broadcast Band it
250 microvolts per meter @ 30 meters, and on Some frequencies it's high
on other's it low. for more information goto:

http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/Documents/bulletins/oet63/oet63rev.pdf

Todd N9OGL

> C O W A R D ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3232. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 05:12:03 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <H8adnSMcB6IhzN_fRVn-1A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104749

Dave Heil wrote:

> More of our r.r.a.p. Tom Swift, gleaned from the archives:
>
> from alt.sci.time-travel
>
> Todd Daugherty
> Jun 26 2003, 7:24 pm
>
> I've have this Idea for a "simple" Time Machine. A operator would set up
> a
> computer up to a high power wireless system. They would then set the
> computer to transmit a message say in 30 years and hope that in thirty
> years someone would reply back. comments?
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL

Sounds more like stuff that a 10 year old would think up.

3233. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 05:13:14 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <H8adnSIcB6KUz9_fRVn-1A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104801

K4YZ wrote:

> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>More of our r.r.a.p. Tom Swift, gleaned from the archives:
>>
>>from alt.sci.time-travel
>>
>>Todd Daugherty
>>Jun 26 2003, 7:24 pm
>>
>>I've have this Idea for a "simple" Time Machine. A operator would set
>
> up
>
>>a
>>computer up to a high power wireless system. They would then set the
>>computer to transmit a message say in 30 years and hope that in
>
> thirty
>
>>years someone would reply back. comments?
>>
>> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
>> Amateur Radio Operator
>
>
> As absolutely assinine as this is, did he HAVE to use his callsign
> and "Amateur Radio Operator" in the signature line?
>
> Does he not think that if this would have worked, we would have
> already gotten messages from "the future"...?!?!
>
> Do ANY "time travel" proponents not think that if time travel
> worked, we wouldn't have seen some evidence of it already?
>
> (SNIPPAGE)
>
>
> ---
>
>>this from alt.radio.pirate, in which Todd assists a new "pirate" in
>>breaking the law. Note that Todd's media empire has expanded to
>

> cover
>
>>three towns:
>>
>>n9ogl
>>Jan 2 2001, 6:12 pm
>>
>>There are many places on the internet where you can find kits for
>>shorwave transmitter kits. If you can't find it under shortwave kits
>
> try
>
>>under amateur radio. The hams have a number ofshortwave bands. You
>
> can
>
>>all sorts of Ham kits for the shortwave band. As for what's the best
>>band I would say somewhere in the 6MHz range. 7Mhz is too crowded
>
> with
>
>>hams and high power shortwave broadcast.
>>
>>Todd Daugherty N9OGL
>>President
>>LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATION
>>107.9 F.M. LPFM
>>Taylorville, Langleyville, Hewittville
>>http://www.geocities.com/langleyparktelecommunication/index.html
>>
>
> ---
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmm....Helping another violate federal laws....
>
> K2ASP, isn't that a disqualifying act for licensure...?!?!
>
>
>>from alt.radio.pirate, Todd explains that being a TV "pirate" isn't
>
> all
>
>>it is cracked up to be:
>>
>>
>>n9ogl
>>Jul 27 2000, 12:00 am
>>
>>I agree with that.....I ran a ten watt pirate TV station there for a
>>while. After 3 blocks there was no signal at all.I gave up trying to

>>get it to go farther, so i'm going to try my luck with LPFM which the
>
>
>>window is suppose to open at the end of august.maybe I'll have better
>
>
>>luck with it.
>>
>>Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
>
>
> Maybe someone in "alt.pirate" looked up "N9OGL" and realized that
> he was lying about his name...Then wonder what else he's lying about.
>
>
>
> ---
>
>>another from alt.radio.pirate. In this one, Todd's plans to up his
>>"pirate" TV power to 100w. He uses an ATV transmitter converted to
>>channel 14 and has issues over the use of three "fowl words":
>>
>>n9ogl
>>Apr 7 2000, 12:00 am show options
>>
>>
>>Hello John,
>>
>>I had to respond to your letter. You do have to run a lot of
>
> depending
>
>>on your range it can run from 10 watts to 100 watts. The reason is
>>because you are pushing a six MHz wide signal instead of a 10 KHz
>
> wide
>
>>signal. My pirate TV station running at ten watts but we aren't
>
> getting
>
>>the distance we really want so we are raising the power output to 100
>
>
>>watts.
>
>
> Admission of violation of FCC rules and regulations...and he
> wonders why he's not a broadcast licensee....
>

> Another question for K2ASP...Phil, isn't this yet ANOTHER
> disqualifying act?
>
> Can't we bring this to the Commission's attention and see if we
> can't get him off of Amateur Radio too...???
>
> (Some more snippage to....>>>)
>
>
>>Here On of the programs I was trying
>>to air on public access wasn't allowed to air because of three fowl
>>words (two hell's and one dam) The cable company refused to air it;
>>unless I did a "complete editing" of the content. (in other words
>
> they
>
>>wanted the program to be edited in half because it contained
>
> "obscenity"
>
>>anyway...there my two cents. I run a Low power pirate TV station and
>>I'm not under anyones control execpt my own.
>>(sorry for any grammar or spelling)
>
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm.....
>
> His motivation for getting on the air is
>
> (1) to watch people swearing while having sex,
>
> (2) use profanity himself (even spelled wrong...Nothing more
> humiliating that an ignorant man trying to express himself profanely
> that can't even spell it correctly!)
>
> (3) Expresses his complete lack of belief that just because he is
> operating illegally that he's not responsible to federal regulations
>
>
>>Todd O'Dochartaigh
>>WLPS-TV channel 14
>>Taylorville, Illinois
>
>
> Then can't even spell his own last name correctly.
>
> (More snippage.....>>>)
>
>
> ---

>
>>This priceless gem comes from alt.sci.physics.new-theories:
>>
>>n9ogl
>>Aug 29 1999, 12:00 am
>>
>>
>>Hello
>>
>>To start, I would like to excused for the poor typing of this text.
>
> I'm
>
>>not very good with grammar nor am I with spelling.
>>
>>1. Objects and Time
>>In the small community of Taylorville; where I live there once was
>>a house known as Vanderveer House. It was once of course owned by the
>
>
>>Vanderveer family. in the 1980's the house was totally destroyed and
>
> a
>
>>Wal-Mart was put in it place. The question is this Is the Vanderveer
>>house still there after being destroyed back in the 1980's The Answer
>
> is
>
>>But it isn't in this "Time Frames". Basic physics dicatates "that no
>
> two
>
>>object can occupy the same space at the same point in time" however
>
> the
>
>>second part should go something like this "but, two object can occupy
>
>
>>the same space at different points in time" What most people see as
>>ghost or shadows from the past might be where the time frames are
>
> close
>
>>enough that they can see both way. Time is a mesurement, which we
>>measure by either clock or by "cause and effect" By cause and effect
>
> I'm
>

>>of course refering to events with history. for example many people
>
> can
>
>>remember events from their tenth birthday. So when you think of time
>
> or
>
>>a object like a building or a love one perhaps you should look at it
>>fourth dimensional.
>
>
> This isn't even bad science fiction, let alone "physics".....
>
>
>>2. Radio Waves
>>
>>Since the complete comercialization of radio the study of what
>>radio is and how they travel remain somewhat a complexing mystery.
>
>
> Only Todd would think that radio wave propagation is some sort of
> "complexing mystery".
>
>
>>Some say that radio waves travel through "aether"
>
>
> No, some don't. At least not since the 1920's.
>
>
>>But what radio waves are is a fourth dimensional and interdimensional
>
> wave.
>
> He should be slapped just for the grammatical abuses.
>
>
>>Radio waves are fourth
>>dimensional because they can transcend time. radio waves which travel
>
> at
>
>>a speed of 3.00 X 10/8 ms-1 or 186,000 mps for a radio wave
>
> everything
>
>>around it is going slow time has in fact slow down for it. radio I
>>believe are also interdimensional because a radio wave is "wormhole"
>

> it
>
>>way through not only time but space as well.
>
>
> Living >>IN<< a "wormhole" probably gives one the opinion that
> anything that you can't reach out and touch is from a wormhole too.
>
>
>>radio wave could also
>>punching through "parallel universes". if that the case you might ask
>
>
>>why can't we hear messages from other Parallel worlds? because their
>>Qunta is different to are universe. just because some is one way in
>
> one
>
>>universe doesn't by no mean make it work in another universe. So we
>>can't them nor can they hear us.
>
>
> "Qunta"...?!?! "are universe"...?!?!
>
>
>>3. life on other planets
>>
>>i have heard from both sides about life on "other planets" and i've
>>deiced to write on it.
>
>
> You NEED to "deice", Toddie! Maybe soon?
>
>
>>there is some theory about what is need for life
>>on other planets. well throw that away. it don't mean squat. humans
>
> try
>
>>to embelish this idea on how life is formed and how it is to operated
>
> on
>
>>other planets. all the theorist on this little planet can come up
>
> with
>
>>all the little theories about that they want. the bottom line is that
>
>

```
>>human are basing other life on other planet on are own worthless
>>existance Don't; life through evoultion can adapt it self to a
>>enviroment without the need of air, water, food or sunlight.
>
>
> BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> W H A T A M O R O N ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
>
>>well that's it for now....some of theses idea i've been working on
>
> for a
>
>>long time i have more stranger ideas that maybe sometime in the
>
> future I
>
>>will post. What do you think???
>
>
> It get's S T R A N G E R ?!?!?!?!?! GOD FORBID!
>
>
>>Again sorry for any spelling or poor grammar.
>
>
> Lessee...This piece was dated August 1999. He knew THEN he had
> spelling and grammar deficiencies, yet six years later he's not done a
> single thing about it!
>
> Snippage again...
>
> If moronic behaviour was transmissible, I'd be lobotomizing myself
> about now!
>
>
> ----------------------------------------------------------------------
>
>>This series, from alt.radio.pirate is classic Todd:
>>
>>daughert
>>Apr 2 2001, 6:10 pm
>>
>>Beretta wrote:
>>
>>
```

>>>On Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:49:18 -0500, daughert
>
> <daugh...@chipsnet.com>
>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>WHERE'S THAT HOMEPAGE THAT YOU CAN FAX JOHN MCCAIN
>>>>TODD
>>
>>>Your caps lock key seems to be broken. I suggest you replace your >
>
> keyboard
>
>>>PGP Key: 0x194DF369
>>>Fingerprint: B777 DB2A FB11 55FA 509D CE63 F3DE D665 194D F369
>>
>>NO I'M F--KING PISSED OFF AND RIGHT NOW YOU DON'T REALLY WANT TO F--K
>
>
>>WITH ME. I'M GOING TO EMAIL OR FAX THAT SON OF A BITCH MCCAIN AND
>
> TELL
>
>>THAT DUMB F--K OFF ALONG WITH THOSE BUTTF--KERS FCC....NO ONE F--K'S
>
> ME
>
>>OUT OF A LICENSE FOR THE SEVENTH TIME
>>TODD
>>
>>(and)
>>
>>daughert
>>Apr 2 2001, 7:24 pm
>>
>>here the letter I sent to McCain.....
>>
>>Subject: LPFM
>>Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:15:17 -0500
>>From: daughert <daugh...@chipsnet.com>
>>To: John_McC...@McCain.senate.gov
>>
>>Senator McCain,
>>
>> I am writing you in regards to the FCC New Report and Order dealing
>> with LPFM (FCC O1-100) in it my groups application was dismissed
>> because on form 318 was said yes to a question dealing with
>
> unlicensed

>
>> broadcast; which I will talk about in a minute. The reason we did
>
> this
>
>> by saying yes was simply put to tell the truth and not perform
>
> purgery
>
>> which is a Federal offence.
>
>
> He's functionally illiterate, admits to violating federal law, and
> sends it to one of the most powerful Senators in the United States.
>
> I'm impressed.
>
>
>> The reason we said yes is a looong story.
>
>
> Uhhhhhhhh...could it be because the proper answer WAS "yes"...?!?!
>
> How long a story could that be?
>
>
>> It started in the late ninties. I had appplied for a Low Power
>> Television license. I applied for this license six times and each
>
> time
>
>> I applied my application was returned sometimes for the dumbest
>
> things
>
>> like they sent one back because They said I would have to have FAA
>> approval for my tower. The tower was only 60 ft up....under FAA and
>
> FCC
>
>> anything below 200ft doesn't require FAA approval.
>
>
> Unless you're within certain distances of other FAA or FCC
> facilities.
>
>
>> the next was I had
>> to pay the licensing FEE although my group is a Non-profit
>

> orginization
>
>> and it was stated on the application that it was.
>
>
> Perhaps it had sometig to do with the lack of articles of
> organization and proper IRS documentation of non-profit status...?!?!
>
>
>>Then there was the main
>> thing.....that I didn't file it through a "filling windows" yet
>
> when I
>
>> asked when it was they wouldn't tell me and to top it off they were
>> granting construction permits for Low Power Television. bottom line
>>they
>> didn't want a small orginization like us to have a license.
>
>
> I guess it didn't have anything to do with filing incorrectly,
> failing to meet licensure criteria, failure to meet technical criteria,
> and complete lack of faith that you'd be a credible and trustworthy
> licensee...?!?!
>
>
>> So after
>> applying six times for a licenses for Low power TV and said the hell
>
>
>> with it and began running a low power TV station without a license.
>
>
> Uhhhhh...Yup! You go R I G H T A H E A D and tell that Senator
> that you violated federal law and then expect him to come to your aid!
>
>
>> My signal although was putting out 10 watts only went one to two
>
> street
>
>> blocks. I did it simply because the FCC wouldn't license me and
>
> because
>
>> some people and congress don't care. I broadcast for two months
>> december
>> and january after that my transmitter went out.
>
>

> Thank God for small favors, eh?
>
>
>> I was never caught nor
>> fined by the FCC yet these new ruling states simply that it dosen't
>> matter. well Senator I come to the conclusion that the FCC once
>
> again
>
>> has screwed me and my group out of a license and like always I type
>> these letters to a group of congress that don't seem to care.
>
>
> LOVE IT! All of the above and THEN he accuses the Senator of being
> apathetic! I know that would motivate ME to help him out!
>
>
>> So my
>> group and I are going to go on the air without a license because
>
> were
>
>> sick of being screwed by the FCC, were sick of doing the hard work
>
> to
>
>> fill out thoses applications and we might even sue the FCC and you
>
> all
>
>> for all trouble and trama they've caused me and my group
>>
>> Todd E Daugherty N9OGL
>> CEO/PRESIDENT
>> Langley Park Telecommunication
>>
>>(and, after some commented on his lack of language skills, our
>>state-tested-high-IQ pirate broadcaster wrote)
>>
>>daughert
>>Apr 3 2001, 3:58 pm
>>
>>hey f--k you all I have a Learning disability so F--K YOU
>
> ASSHOLES!!!!
>
>> 624 East Maincross
>> Taylorville, Illinois 62568
>> AMATEUR OPERATOR, RESTRICED PERMIT OPERATOR
>

>
> Like I said....
>
> WHAT IS IT with Illinois and functionally illiterate clods...?!?!
>
>
> ---
>
>>this from alt.radio.broadcasting:
>>
>>n9ogl
>>Dec 18 2000, 9:12 am
>>
>>...At any rate I know I got F--K out of a
>>license, again for the seventh time. So I'm done messing with the FCC
>
>
>>and going back to do what I do best Pirating....So much for the so
>>called "Legal way" The Congress and this hell hole we call a country
>
>
>>can kiss my Ass and to that dumbass Eddie Fritts I hope someday you
>>choke on you own jucies and die! As for the FCC well boys I done
>
> being
>
>>F--ked by you so S--K IT!!!!
>>
>>LATER
>>Todd O'Dohartaigh
>>CEO/PRESIDENT
>>Langley Park Telecommunication
>
>
> Guess that's how we came to be soooooooooooooooooooooooo lucky as
> to be violated by this junior wannabe broadcaster...
>
> And he wonders why, after all of the profanities, threats, and
> admissions of guilt to violations of the Communications Act tha the FCC
> won't "reconsider" his applicaitions.
>
>
> ---
>
>>Here's another from alt.sci.physics.new-theories
>>
>>n9ogl
>>May 28 2000, 12:00 am
>>
>>

>> Hello,
>>
>> There has in the past about time travel and the possiblity of going
>>back
>> and forth in time. Some scientist (and in my humble opinion i uses
>
> that
>
>> term lossly) believe that traveling down a black hole or through a
>>black
>> hole could lead to the past, the future or perhaps parallel
>
> universes.
>
>> that's if you can get pass the gravational field of a black hole not
>
> to
>
>> mention the huge amounts of radiation a black hole omittes. Some
>>suggest
>> going faster then the speed of light (FTL) after all a takon
>
> particle
>
>> can go faster the speed of light. but, alast, this idea is not thing
>>but
>> that a idea. I myself have come up with many ideas on the possiblity
>
> of
>
>> Time Travel. One of these ideas is so possible that with the
>
> techonolgy
>
>> today makes it very possible. I believe we will never be able to
>
> time
>
>> travel areselves but, i beleive it is possible to send a message to
>
> the
>
>> future by means of the combination of computer techonolgy and radio
>> communication. the idea is simple take a computer hook it to a
>
> wireless
>
>> modem and have it sit on a given radio frequency.wireless modems
>
> called

>
>> Terminal Node Controls can be set to a certian time frame to
>
> beacon.so
>
>> you set it to beacon 30 years into the future this instance tiem
>
> travel
>
>> to the future. it will be however up tothe future how to respond
>
> back.
>
>> Todd
>>
>>
>
> ---
>
>
>>I guess Todd never thought of writing a letter in the present time
>
> and
>
>>leaving it lying around for thirty years. Perhaps he'd even be
>
> around
>
>>to open it and to be able to read the words of a Todd thirty years in
>>the past. He'd still have the problem of how to respond to the Todd
>>thirty years in the past.
>>
>>That's Part II of the report from fantasy land. Is there anyone
>
> still
>
>>wondering why Todd wasn't issued a license for a broadcast station?
>
>
> Not me! And if I can talk the Mrs out of it, I am going to send
> each of the Commissioners a dozen roses and a letter of apology on
> bahalf of all Amateurs to Senator McCain.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Time travel isn't possible, if it were someone from the future would
have come back in time to tell us about it.

3234. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 05:24:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111641849.256800.324580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104748

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > And didn't cite attributiosn...AGAIN!
> >
> > WHAT AN IDIOT!
> >
> > >> >(K4YZ)...Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm....Helping another violate federal
> laws....
> > >>
> > >> > K2ASP, isn't that a disqualifying act for licensure...?!?!
> >
> > >> (K2ASP) Sure is - brings up the issue of character to become
or
> > remain a
> > >> licensee.
> > >
> > >> (K2ASP) Sure is - brings up the issue of character to become or
> > remain a
> > >> licensee
> > >
> > > What I was refering to was PART 15 DEVICES.
> >
> >
>
BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > "Mr. #### the FCC" is now snivveling away under the scrutiny of
a
> > REAL FCC attorney!
> >
> > Your "Part 15 devices" do NOT run more than 100mw, Todd!
> >
> > Every one of your posts cites devices running at least 10 watts
> > with your expressed intent to go to 100 or more!
> >
> Accually, Part 15 depends on Frequency, 100mw can be ran on the AM
> broadcast Band, on 160 to 190 I believe is 1 watt, at 13MHZ part 15
is
> 10,000 Microvolts per meter at 30 meters, on the FM Broadcast Band it
> 250 microvolts per meter @ 30 meters, and on Some frequencies it's
high

> on other's it low. for more information goto:
>
>
http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/Documents/bulletins/oet63/oet63rev.pdf
>
> > C O W A R D ! ! ! ! ! !

Ooooooh! A WATT at 160khz. Real quick, Mr Pirate Radio Engineer,
work out the ERP at that frequency based upon the antenna restrictions
for that allocation!

And your Part 15 ERP for the other HF allocations STILL works out
to less than an 1/8th to 1/10th of a watt no matter where you go!

But it STILL doesn't mask your illegal activities, specifically
promoting and facilitating unlicensed radio operation.

Idiot!

Steve, K4YZ

3235. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 05:35:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111642513.053725.255290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104800

Hey, Fuck you Steve.....Smart ass Ham Cop.

3236. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 05:39:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111642752.384776.326680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104802

OHHHHHHHHH poor Stevey he won't be able to listen to my information bulletins on 2 meters booo hooo

3237. Re: The Dieter Stussy, KD6LDW, Dog And Pony Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 05:43:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111642998.296362.90220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104804

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Mon, 20 Mar 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > I just thought I'd create one thread to keep all your
silliness
> > under one masthead...I'll quote verbatim my other replies to you
today
> > too, including your comments with attributes.
>
> Couldn't take the heat, could you? We had a SINGLE thread already -
under YOUR
> name, weasel.

Speaking of 'weasels', Dieter...You disappeared the very second
that the facts started unravelling your accusations and rants.

Gonna give us another one of those "I don't live my life in this
newsgroup" excuses like you have before when getting your nose bloodied
over your ARN rants...???

You only read your e-mail once every six weeks too?

Steve, K4YZ

3238. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 05:47:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111643267.972480.183340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104803

N9OGL wrote (without attributes again):
> OHHHHHHHHH poor Stevey he won't be able to listen to my information
> bulletins on 2 meters booo hooo

I have no use for them, Todd, on 2 meters or otherwise.

Not only are you violating the "no broadcasting" rule of Part 97,
it's now apparent that you have no repsect for established Band Plans.
I cited the exact current plan for the 2 meter plan and this was your
"response".

I think that pretty much sums up what Amateur Radio can expect
from you.

And the reaction you are getting FROM Amateur Radio is pretty much
a result of your lack of respect for the program, Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

3239. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 05:50:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111643424.363049.191660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104805

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey, @@@@ you Steve......Smart ### Ham Cop.

Smarter than you, Todd...not that being smarter than you is any
kind of a challenge.

Steve, K4YZ

3240. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 05:52:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111643559.204332.207070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104806

K4YZ wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:

> > When is the "butt" k4yz going to post proof that k1man was cited
> > by the commission?
>
> Done deal. A simple Google search.
>
> I wonder if LLoydie will apologize or snivvel out.
>
> I vote on the snivvelling.

Five days later and no acknowledgement of his error noted.

Lloydie snivvled. As expected.

Steve, K4YZ

3241. Re: Was N9OGL Born This Weakminded, Or Was It A Learned Trait?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 05:58:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111643894.726586.12910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104807

Is true. You can rationalize it however you want, Todd, but "information" tainted with your "opinion" becomes editorial. Your "editorial" is of no use to me or anyone else in the Amateur Radio Service.

Again dickhead, no where in the FCC rules does it state that information bulletins can't be opinionated.....Like I said prove it.....AS far as I seen the FCC even pretty much stated that in the letter to K1MAN in 2004. Secondly, YOU don't represent the WHOLE amateur radio community, You can believe all you want the bottom line is I'm right. SO you and your little bitches can continue to bitch and complain on here but that's all it will be, A bunch of little bitches on a newsgroup complaining to themselves.

Todd N9OGL

3242. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 06:01:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111644073.754475.107520@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104808

I will point out that that is the ARRL National Band Plan....Band plans
do vary from state to state.....but I'm sure your aware of that.

3243. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 06:06:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111644409.176733.217120@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104809

N9OGL wrote (again violating nettiquette by ignoring attributes):
> I will point out that that is the ARRL National Band Plan....Band
plans
> do vary from state to state.....but I'm sure your aware of that.

OK, Todd...enlighten us with the Illinois 2 meter Band Plan.

Please provide us with the URL to the agency coordinating V/UHF
allocations in Illinois.

Steve, K4YZ

3244. Re: Was N9OGL Born This Weakminded, Or Was It A Learned Trait?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 06:13:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111644835.403148.74760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104810

N9OGL wrote (and just can't seem to ante up some attributes):

>> Is true. You can rationalize it however you want, Todd, but
>> "information" tainted with your "opinion" becomes editorial. Your
>> "editorial" is of no use to me or anyone else in the Amateur Radio
>> Service.
>
> Again ####head, no where in the FCC rules does it state that
> information bulletins can't be opinionated.....Like I said prove
> it.....

Nothing to prove. You are not broadcasting "information". You're
offering editorial.

> AS far as I seen the FCC even pretty much stated that in the
> letter to K1MAN in 2004. Secondly, YOU don't represent the WHOLE
> amateur radio community, You can believe all you want the bottom line
> is I'm right.

Right about what? I never stated (and you're welcome to try and
find a post where I said otherwise) that I represent ANYone except
myself.

> SO you and your little ###### can continue to ##### and
> complain on here but that's all it will be, A bunch of little ########
> on a newsgroup complaining to themselves.

Acutally, no one here is "complaining" to anyone.

We're very accurately pointing out what a profane, functionally
illiterate idiot you are.

So far, you've been doing a pretty good job of helping us do it,
too!

AND....we're doing it in an open, unmoderated, non-copyrightable
but very definitely traceable public forum.

So you go RIGHT ON AHEAD and keep telling us about all these
illegal broadcasts you're making, how you operate pirate TV and radio
stations, and how the FCC can go do themselves...

Steve, K4YZ

3245. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 06:19:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111645154.171497.134170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104811

I really know what Illinois Bandplan is.....but I do know it vary from state to state.....because I believe Califorina does not recognize the "experimental part" of the 2 meter ham band.

3246. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 06:36:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111646214.801901.155010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104812

N9OGL wrote (more failure to observe nettiquette noted):
> I really know what Illinois Bandplan is.....but I do know it vary
from
> state to state.....because I believe Califorina does not recognize
the
> "experimental part" of the 2 meter ham band.

Did you mean "I know what the Illinois Bandplan is"..?!?!

Or did you mean "I really don't know what the bandplan is"..?!?!

And you're not IN California, now are you? (Although I quite
readily accept that you're "...in a different state...of mind...")

So...busted AGAIN on yet another bit of INFORMATION that you have
absolutely NO IDEA about but were quite willing to ACT like you did.

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

3247. Re: Was N9OGL Born This Weakminded, Or Was It A Learned Trait?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 06:37:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111646259.350183.290850@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104814

Nothing to prove. You are not broadcasting "information". You're
offering editorial

Like I said you little ham cop show me in the rules where editorial's
aren't allowed on the ham bands. From what I've read as long as the
program is amateur radio related and directed to the amateur radio
service it don't matter if Information or an editorial it's legal, but
hey, prove me wrong, you have not since all this began proved that I
am wrong.

3248. Re: Was N9OGL Born This Weakminded, Or Was It A Learned Trait?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 06:37:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111646261.260686.50040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104813

Nothing to prove. You are not broadcasting "information". You're
offering editorial

Like I said you little ham cop show me in the rules where editorial's
aren't allowed on the ham bands. From what I've read as long as the
program is amateur radio related and directed to the amateur radio
service it don't matter if Information or an editorial it's legal, but
hey, prove me wrong, you have not since all this began proved that I
am wrong.

3249. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 06:42:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111646538.525185.132880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104815

well I do know here in Illinois that they allow voice repeaters on the Amateur Television frequencies on 440. I also know that here in Illinois 145.5 to 145.8 is used here in Illinois for everything from wireless Amateur radio LAN to echolink. if anything I run my information bulletin on 146.835 Mhz hehehe

3250. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 07:13:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111648424.701129.274660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104816

N9OGL wrote:
> well I do know here in Illinois that they allow voice repeaters on
the
> Amateur Television frequencies on 440.

But that's not what we're talking about.

The thread says "N9OGL to bust 2 meter band plan..."

>I also know that here in
> Illinois 145.5 to 145.8 is used here in Illinois for everything from
> wireless Amateur radio LAN to echolink.

So you were ready to knowingly start using a relatively wideband
mode in a part of the spectrum where low power data is being used...

> if anything I run my
> information bulletin on 146.835 Mhz hehehe

At least you'd be on a repeater OUTPUT, and hence operating on a
frequency planned for simplex and repeater outputs...THEN all you have
to "worry" about will be causing interference to coordinated repeaters
on 146.82 and .85.

So what's your problem with picking an unused simplex frequency
between 146.40-146.595 and 147.405 to 147.585 where simplex is supposed
to be?

Steve, K4YZ

3251. Re: Was N9OGL Born This Weakminded, Or Was It A Learned Trait?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 07:18:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111648737.097991.168760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104817

N9OGL wrote:
> Nothing to prove. You are not broadcasting "information". You're
> offering editorial
> Like I said you little ham cop show me in the rules where editorial's
> aren't allowed on the ham bands. From what I've read as long as the
> program is amateur radio related and directed to the amateur radio
> service it don't matter if Information or an editorial it's legal,
but
> hey, prove me wrong, you have not since all this began proved that I
> am wrong.

Todd, I'd love to be able to make sense out of your posts, but you continue, after having had it pointed out to you over and over, that you keep failing to attribute quotes.

My 13 year old can tutor you if you'd like. She's very good and I don't think she'd charge you all that much. You sure need the help. Shall we set it up? She can take PayPal.

Steve, K4YZ

3252. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 07:29:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111649354.422291.65270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104818

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > well I do know here in Illinois that they allow voice repeaters on
> the
> > Amateur Television frequencies on 440.
>
> But that's not what we're talking about.
>
> The thread says "N9OGL to bust 2 meter band plan..."
>
> >I also know that here in
> > Illinois 145.5 to 145.8 is used here in Illinois for everything
from
> > wireless Amateur radio LAN to echolink.
>
> So you were ready to knowingly start using a relatively wideband
> mode in a part of the spectrum where low power data is being used...
>
> > if anything I run my
> > information bulletin on 146.835 Mhz hehehe
>
> At least you'd be on a repeater OUTPUT, and hence operating on a
> frequency planned for simplex and repeater outputs...THEN all you
have
> to "worry" about will be causing interference to coordinated
repeaters
> on 146.82 and .85.

my signal isn't that wide

> So what's your problem with picking an unused simplex frequency
> between 146.40-146.595 and 147.405 to 147.585 where simplex is
supposed
> to be?
i'll probably stick with 146.835 - 600 Khz

Todd n9ogl
> Steve, K4YZ

3253. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 07:36:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111649792.158185.4200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104819

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote: (WOOOOHOOOO! He finally TOOK THE HINT!)
>
> > At least you'd be on a repeater OUTPUT, and hence operating on
a
> > frequency planned for simplex and repeater outputs...THEN all you
> have
> > to "worry" about will be causing interference to coordinated
> repeaters
> > on 146.82 and .85.
>
> my signal isn't that wide

It doesn't ahve to be 'wide' to casue interference, Todd.

> > So what's your problem with picking an unused simplex frequency
> > between 146.40-146.595 and 147.405 to 147.585 where simplex is
> supposed
> > to be?
>
> i'll probably stick with 146.835 - 600 Khz

Then you require coordination, Todd. Have you already applied for
that? Or are you telling the coordinating authority to get ####ed too?

Steve, K4YZ

3254. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 07:43:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111650198.368957.160410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104820

There's a repeater all ready there.

Todd n9ogl

3255. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 07:57:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111651072.329881.95570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104821

N9OGL wrote (that didn't last long....):

> There's a repeater all ready there.

Well then, Toddie...I am sure you'll make yourself as welcome
there as you have here...Only more so since you'll be aggrivating the
locals...Guys who know where you live and only live a short distance
away themselves.

I am sure they'll be anxious to discuss your "information
bulletins" with you in person.

Enjoy!

Steve, K4YZ

3256. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 08:08:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111651688.572385.122070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104822

Taylorville |SPF| |146.8350 - N9OGL |N9OGL

http://www.ilra.net/illrdbyfreq.html

Todd N9OGL

3257. Re: Todd's "Inventions" Revealed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 08:09:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111651795.392410.155570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104823

K4YZ wrote:

Quotes From Toddie's (N9OGL) On-going Net Adventures

>> The device which I had built is in short, a modified Tesla Coil
which a
>> few extras. The coil input is 220 to 440V which is converted up by
the
>> coils to 1.4 to 1.6Mv of electricity. instead of disbusting to the
>> ground, the coil output is surrounded by metal plates which is
cooled
>> on the outside by liquid oxygen. The plates are attached to cables
>> where the electricity id feed into a LARGE capacitor/battery which
>> contains metal plates which are sitting in a special solution. The
>> electricity is then feed off and converted down to what ever power
>> output is needed.
>
>
BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAH
AHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> A cryogenically cooled capacitor! With OXYGEN, which is, of
> course, an OXIDIZER!
>
> So....Your cryo plant fails, the oxygen rapidly heats back to
> ambient temperature, the capacitor discharges, and KA-BOOM!
>
>
BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Go ahead, Todd! Build it! I just don't want to be within 500
> miles of it!
>
> Thanks, Todd....And here I thought this day was gonna be wet an
> dreary! Thanks for the LAUGHS, putz!

Still rolling in the aisles from this one!

Steve, K4YZ

3258. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 08:15:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111652144.212239.163820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104824

N9OGL wrote:
> Taylorville |SPF| |146.8350 - N9OGL |N9OGL
>
>
> http://www.ilra.net/illrdbyfreq.html

Well, well, well! Toddie has his own repeater! I am sure it's the cornerstone of the Amateur net in Ill-annoyed!

An it is, of course, completely scratch built! No commercial gear for Toddie! No "appliance operator" at N9OGL, No Siree!

Please, Toddie! Tell us all about it! What PLANS did you build it from? Power? HAAT? ERP? Controller?

Steve, K4YZ

3259. Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 10:30:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111660236.315245.68960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104825

>From the ARRL webpage: (www.arrl.org)

Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty Exercise

NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 21, 2005--Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) members in Connecticut, New Jersey and several other states in the Northeastern US are preparing to take part in what's being characterized as the most comprehensive terrorism response exercise ever conducted in the US. Sponsored by the US Department of Homeland Security and intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland security system, the exercise--TOPOFF 3--gets under way Monday, April 4, and continues through the week.

SNIP

As usual...rants derailed by facts.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3260. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 11:20:55 UTC
From: Ron038653@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111663255.935097.39340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104826

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

3261. More N9OGL Stuff Dismissed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 13:11:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111669874.027995.36560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104827

N9OGL wrote:
> You know steve, your an ###hole, who ever gave you the title
> "HAM-RADIO" needs to be shot.

No one ever "gave" me ANY title, Toddie. I've earned each and every "title" that I've ever used...United States Marine, Private Pilot, Nurse, Paramedic, Amateur Radio licensee...

Unlike SOME people who sign documents as the "CEO" of non-existant companies and organizations, using ficticious last names, etc...

> because frankly you don't deserve that title.

If you mean "licensed Amateur Radio operator", sure I deserve it. Passed the legally required tests, including the 20WPM code test.

And I passed them "BB"..."Before Bash".

> As for my writing skills, as I've probably stated before I'm not
> a writer.

That's obvious.

Nor are you a professional broadcaster, engineer, inventor, lawyer, etc, but you try to impress us with how competent you allegedly are in all those disciplines. The evidence to the contrary is glaring.

You don't have to have an advanced degree in English to be reasonably competent, Todd. It just scares the bee-jeebers out of me that some post-secondary institution in Illinois is allgedly granting you a degree, and yet you are now no more competent in English than you were on Day One of Kindergarten.

You're the proof that the end-product of many of our educational institutions is woefully incompetent in basic social and educational skills.

> but I sure as hell can write a lot better then some amateurs
> around here..

Uhhhhhh...No, you're actually WORSE than most in here. And unless Mark I-Am-A-Drafted-Gay-Pagan-Chemical-Corps-Colonel Morgan, KB9RQZ, returns to the fray, YOU'RE it!

You should to a Google on Mark's past postings herein and see what REALLY terrible can be! (You're not that far off, though...)

> Hell, some of letter's In the past that I've got from the
> FCC when they responded to a question was a hell of lot worse then

what
> I write.

Now you're blatantly lying. I DARE you to scan and post the
letters on your blogs or other publically accessible website.

Now...don't get me wrong...I don't doubt that you didn't
understand what was being said in the letters, however that doesn't
make them worse than anything YOU have written!

Todd...You're borderline incompetent with the written English
language...And considering you're "in college" and have the resources
of spellcheckers, etc, there's no excuse for it.

Everyone, including me, makes occassional typos. Oh well.

You, on the otherhand, make it an Olympic sport. But you'd still
only make the Silver or Bronze...Mark pretty well had the Gold tied up!

> FInally I think people who keep bitching about someone writing
> skills are trying to envade the questions being asked.

But I'm not the one evading anything, Todd.

> Now I know your
> probably going to say, well if the person could write so we could
> understand them....well read the unstructured text and try to make
> sense of it....or is it too much like work....

It's not my responsibility to make YOU legible or understood, Todd.
Nor is it my job to understand your frequent lapses into complete
gibberish.

If you want to be understood, take the time to express yourself
effectively and correctly.

And tying your thoughts together with the "f" word or other
profanities is not "effective expression". That's just profanity, and
that undermines even the most poignant of posts.

>have fun on t newsgroup Mr "Hate Radio"

Who's "Mr Hate Radio"...?!?!

If you mean me, Todd, that's inaccurate on several levels...First
and foremeost because I love radios...Amateur...CB...LMR...Military,
etc. I've been an Amateur since 1972, spent a career in government
service doing aircraft electronics, and am still involved in
radiocommunication, both as a professional and Amateur, to this day.

If you mean that I "hate" on the radio, that's wrong too.

I don't even hate you. I understand you better than you think.

It may be a bit cruel of me to take advantge of someone so
obviously ill-equipped to engage in such toe-to-toe exchanges in this

forum, but you keep coming back for more and making a fool out of yourself, so I just assumed you like being publically humiliated. You do keep giving us so much to work with, after all!

Enjoy!

Steve, K4YZ

3262. Re: Todd's "Inventions" Revealed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 14:54:11 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <47N4N59D38435.4126273148@anonymous>
Source record: 104828

In article
<1111651795.392410.155570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> Quotes From Toddie's (N9OGL) On-going Net Adventures
>
> >> The device which I had built is in short, a modified Tesla
Coil
> which a
> >> few extras. The coil input is 220 to 440V which is
converted up by
> the
> >> coils to 1.4 to 1.6Mv of electricity. instead of disbusting
to the
> >> ground, the coil output is surrounded by metal plates which
is
> cooled
> >> on the outside by liquid oxygen. The plates are attached to
cables
> >> where the electricity id feed into a LARGE
capacitor/battery which
> >> contains metal plates which are sitting in a special
solution. The
> >> electricity is then feed off and converted down to what
ever power
> >> output is needed.
> >
> >
>
BB
BW
WW
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA

HA
HA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > A cryogenically cooled capacitor! With OXYGEN, which
is, of
> > course, an OXIDIZER!
> >
> > So....Your cryo plant fails, the oxygen rapidly heats
back to
> > ambient temperature, the capacitor discharges, and KA-BOOM!
> >
> >
>
BB
BW
WW
AH
AH
AH
AH
AH
AH
AH
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA
HA

AH
AH
AH
AH
AH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > Go ahead, Todd! Build it! I just don't want to be
within 500
> > miles of it!
> >
> > Thanks, Todd....And here I thought this day was gonna
be wet an
> > dreary! Thanks for the LAUGHS, putz!
>
> Still rolling in the aisles from this one!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Maybe Toad is trying to "invent" at home electro-shock therapy.
He certainly need it.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

3263. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 17:28:42 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <DIqdnafh8pH0Z9_fRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104829

N9OGL wrote:
> OHHHHHHHHH poor Stevey he won't be able to listen to my information
> bulletins on 2 meters booo hooo
>

So just how many people do you think will hear your "broadcast" on 2 meters? You will be lucky if two people listen in and that will only be for maybe 2 minutes as they will quickly realize that what you have to say is of no interest to the amateur radio community.

3264. Re: The FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 17:30:34 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <DIqdnabh8pF5Z9_fRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104830

K4YZ wrote:

> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>Lloyd wrote:
>
>
>>>When is the "butt" k4yz going to post proof that k1man was cited
>>>by the commission?
>>
>> Done deal. A simple Google search.
>>
>> I wonder if LLoydie will apologize or snivvel out.
>>
>> I vote on the snivvelling.
>
>
> Five days later and no acknowledgement of his error noted.
>
> Lloydie snivvled. As expected.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Nor has he provied any proof of these so called lawyers that are
allegedely providing these pro-bono services for the idiot MAN.

3265. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 17:33:38 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <DIqdnaHh8pEsZt_fRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104831

N9OGL wrote:

> There's a repeater all ready there.
>
> Todd n9ogl
>

So which repeater will you be using for your "broadcasts"?

3266. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 17:57:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111687037.996365.152730@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104832

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > There's a repeater all ready there.
> >
> > Todd n9ogl
> >
>
> So which repeater will you be using for your "broadcasts"?

148.835 MHz - 600K offset

3267. Re: More N9OGL Stuff Dismissed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 18:04:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111687490.345728.293790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104833

>Unlike SOME people who sign documents as the "CEO" of non-existant
>companies and organizations, using ficticious last names, etc...

Not true, we are a non-profit organization who's purpose is to promote
Theater, Telecommunications, and the Performing Arts, and what
ficticious name??? I think your smoking crack.

3268. Re: More N9OGL Stuff Dismissed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 18:04:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111687491.042490.290070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104835

>Unlike SOME people who sign documents as the "CEO" of non-existant
>companies and organizations, using ficticious last names, etc...

Not true, we are a non-profit organization who's purpose is to promote
Theater, Telecommunications, and the Performing Arts, and what
ficticious name??? I think your smoking crack.

3269. Re: More N9OGL Stuff Dismissed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 18:04:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111687496.348649.177160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104834

>Unlike SOME people who sign documents as the "CEO" of non-existant
>companies and organizations, using ficticious last names, etc...

Not true, we are a non-profit organization who's purpose is to promote
Theater, Telecommunications, and the Performing Arts, and what
ficticious name??? I think your smoking crack.

3270. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 19:16:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111691796.589156.53520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104836

They do.

And under our Constitution and laws, the FCC ensures, to the best
of it's ability, that this FINITE RESOURCE will be accessible to all.
Of course electronic anarchists like N9OGL who believe that anyone
should do anything they like would make it impossible for anyone to use

it at all.

The finite resourse no longer hold true anymore thanks to digital
radio.....The point of that was that the FCC has over the last 10/20
years, has bent over backworks for the "special interest" and some of
the"special interest" goals sometimes do not reflect the public
interest.

OK.

Let's remove the FCC from the picture for a second. You go ahead
and fire up your LPFM station on 107.9 (or whatever frequency you
choose).

I live next town over and >>I<< want to operate on 107.9...Or
worse yet, I just want to jam you becasue I think your "opinion" or
programming format suck. Who ya gonna call now?

State or local agencies? OK...So Illinois allows you to operate
here...but just across the state line in Podunk, Missouri, THEY
"license" me to operate on that frequency...at 1500 watts, because in
MO they define "low power" as anything below 10,000 watts.

I'd usually expect a rational person to see where this is going,
but hey...it's Todd...

Under the Communication Act of 1934 the FCC has juridiction over
interstate and foreign commerce via wire or radio, Intrastate
communications is the juridiction of the state.

> 3. Not only should a person have the right to listen to not only
> the broadcast services but all parts of the electromagnetic spectrum.

> even the cellular band which is part of the radio spectrum and there
by
> belong to the people.

Hey Todd...waddaya say I park a van outside your place and start
monitoring your cell and cordless phones. I further record them and
play them at local venues. Since everyone can listen to everything
under your "plan", nothing you say on "the phone" is protected.

No, the Electronoic Communication Privacy Act of 1986 was pushed by the Cellphone companies because they didn't want to encrypt their phone systems which they had the ability to do.

> 4. The people should have the right to choice his satellite
> package. The person should have the right if he wants the Network feeds
> off the satellite and wants to pay for them be allowed to buy them
> regardless if he recieve local broadcasting.

Gee, Todd...We have that here in Tennessee...What's up with you in Ill-annoyed?

This post was written long before "Local channels" were placed on the satellites. Before that (thanks to the National Association of Broadcasters) if you lived in a alleged "contour" then you were not allowed to get feeds off the satellite. The NAB pushed congress to pass a law to protect "local services"

> 5. The FCC should eliminate any useless services on the
> electromagnetic spectrum (There is still some first generation radio
> services on the air) Any new service like Digital Cellular Phones should
> be phased into the old cell band instead of allocating them spectrum.

You are incompetent to hold broadcast licensure, and only hold Amateur licensure by the grace of God...Why would they consider your "ideas" for wireless telephone technology?

No dickhead, there is still first generation services on the electromagnetic spectrum, and secondly instead of allocation new frequencies for digital phones have the cell service phase out analog phones and put digital phones in that same band, instead of giving them new spectrum. The point is instead of allocation spectrum for a newer version of a old service have that service group install in the new service on the old and phase out the old...again this was write a long time ago

Oh, heck yeah! Let's let anyone who get's it in thier head to do so

to have a license! And since we're loading the bands up with all these

citizen-broadcasters, let's screw the technical standards which help to

maximize the already dwindling spectrum! What's a little intermod or bleed-over among broadcasters! Live out on the fringes of overlapping stations? Too bad

No, I want the FCC to do is their JOB, the FCC is authorized to grant licenses, and consider waivers, The Federal Courts has gone farther stating the FCC MUST consider waiver, the bottom line is the FCC has not once done that. As for "dwindling spectrum" again digtal radio/ television has pretty well put an end to that. A Digital TV station can run more then one station on the same frequency, the same with digital radio. But hey you licnesed CB operators are still using that old

"analog" system and basical don't know shit outside your own backyard

> 8. The FCC should stop believing in this "burden on the commission"
> because there is always going to be a burden regardless. instead the
> FCC should try to get things done.

But Todd! You'd just throw them aside!

Besides, Todd...And I know this will be painful for you to accept,
but it's ill-informed, narrowminided, functioanlly illiterate IDIOTS
like you that create the burden in the first place

First off you Fucking COCK SUCKER, what I was refering to was the FCC
pushing the burden of proof onto people in the courts, The old saying
you innocent into proven guilty is not true to the FCC is more like
your guilty until you prove yourself innocent, In some instance the FCC
has already made their decision before all the facts are gathered.

> 9. The FCC should work more closer to the people then the big
> companies and organizations. The FCC believes they serve the public's

> intrest but in reality the FAA serves the public's intrest better
then
> the FCC because the FAA listens to the people.

And what was the last NPRM from the FAA >>YOU<< responded to,
Todd?

What "issues" has the FAA addressed that the FCC has failed to do
so, Toddie?

No dumb ass, the point is the FCC should serve the public's interest a
lot better then they do, instead the serve the special interest more
The special interest is only there to serve one person...themselves.
The point was the FAA serves the public interest BETTER then the FCC
does, Just look at the new sports license they recently passed.

> 10. The Question of what's Interstate and what's Interstate should
> be answered.

The point of 10 was, and although it's screwed up i'll explain. The
question of Interstate Communications is not defined, simple for this
reason. the courts and congress believe that ALL RADIO transmissions,
despite their power, antenna height, and frequency is interstate by
nature. This stems from a federal court case dealing with a radio
station from the 1930's and later to CB cases in the late 50's on to
present time.

> Sure there has been a few cases with
> interferning with
> the aircraft band as a pilot myself I wouldn't want that to happen.
> However there has been a lot of low power services out there that
> didn't
> cause interfernce which out weights the cases that do.

And which case that DOES cause interference to the avaition
allocations is OK, Todd? How many episodes of interference are OK to
the safety of flight?

Do YOU want to be on the flight that winds up two miles short of
the runway because some idiot LIKE YOU was exercising his "free speech"

on an ILS frequency? Do you want to be the guy who kept an FAA
facility from hearing a distress call or warning of a hijacking just so

you could transmit your "bulletins" on "pirate TV"...?!?!

The problem with interference of the aircraft band is although there
has been cases of interference there is really no "proof" that it
happened. TV is a different matter because it is higher up on the
spectrum and the olds of interference is lower, compare to a "pirate
radio station" I will point out though that Unlicensed Broadcast has
interfere with airports and so has Licensed Broadcast.

> I am against
> thre
> LOW POWER RADIO PETITION because to me it is a quick fixs the FCC
> should
> allow that service now with waivers (which their rules allow) but
they
> will not. I did apply for a license twice and both times it was
> returned
> so my view is to HELL with them.

I am sure your whole life has been full of people realizing what
an idiot you are and did their best to keep you from making a fool out
of yourself or harming others with your "me first" attitudes.

We can only hope the FCC will keep it up.

No dickhead, the point was the LPFM service was a quick fix, the FCC
had the power before creating that service, but the Mass Media Bureau
didn't and would not act upon it. (ie. they wouldn't consider
applications and waiver for stations less then 100 watts, although the
Federal Courts told them they MUST consider waivers.)

(I am kinda hoping K2ASP might "drop a dime" on some old friends
in Gettysburg to start the mill moving on Toddie now!)

GO FO IT!!! I DARE YOU!!! but you better know what your getting
youself into....

> Oh one more thing this "chaos" which
> accured back in the 20's wasn't by Low power operators but by greedy

> Commercial operators and the Navy.

Sure it was by "low power" operators! There were "broadcast
stations" popping up on every hotel and newspaper building across the
country!

I would really suggest you go back and read the history of radio, including the early years. because that's where that statement is coming from.

> Right, but there is a lot of broadcast services that don't cross the
> line. for example my 8 watt Low power television transmitter which runs
> on UHF will not cross the state line because 1. i'm in the middle of

> the
> state. 2. the power of 8 watts and the antenna height of 60 ft 3. the
> terrain. fact is my station doesnot cross the state line and there by
> the FCC doesn't have the juridiction over my signal.

So...it's OK if you're "in the middle of the state"...But what about folks who live close to state lines? Or people who live at higher elevations and even low power carries far? Or how about folks who live in realtively small states ie: Rhode Island, Delaware, etc?

They're just squat out of luck?

Face it, Todd...Not everyone can be or even SHOULD be a "broadcaster".

L E A S T of all a racist, incompetent, functionally illiterate idiot like you! But there is an "up" side...You do have a future as a stand up comic...You've had us in stitches for days!

again this has to do with intrastate vs Interstate communications.....at lease I'm not some dickhead ham radio operator who gets on a newsgroup and plays HAM COP.

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

3271. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 19:56:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42431ADD.4C5DCFD5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104837

N9OGL wrote:

Even if your reply had included attributions, Todd, it would still be
difficult to read.

> Under the Communication Act of 1934 the FCC has juridiction over
> interstate and foreign commerce via wire or radio, Intrastate
> communications is the juridiction of the state.

That's simply wrong if you're discussing radio.

> First off you F--king C--K S--KER, what I was refering to was the FCC
> pushing the burden of proof onto people in the courts, The old saying
> you innocent into proven guilty is not true to the FCC...

Your archived posts pretty well do you in. It looks like you went from
"innocent into proven guilty" all by your lonesome. Your own archived
words show you to be guilty of breaking any number of FCC regs.
> ...is more like
> your guilty until you prove yourself innocent, In some instance the FCC
> has already made their decision before all the facts are gathered.

Doesn't look that happened with regard to issuing you a broadcast
license.

> No dumb ass, the point is the FCC should serve the public's interest a
> lot better then they do, instead the serve the special interest more
> The special interest is only there to serve one person...themselves.

Wow! That's quite enlightening. The special interests are in reality
just one person?

> The point of 10 was, and although it's screwed up i'll explain. The
> question of Interstate Communications is not defined, simple for this
> reason. the courts and congress believe that ALL RADIO transmissions,
> despite their power, antenna height, and frequency is interstate by
> nature. This stems from a federal court case dealing with a radio
> station from the 1930's and later to CB cases in the late 50's on to
> present time.

Interstate communications regulation was defined long ago. Your lack of
understanding doesn't mean that there is no definition.

> The problem with interference of the aircraft band is although there
> has been cases of interference there is really no "proof" that it
> happened.

Really? And you know this how?

K4YZ: "(I am kinda hoping K2ASP might "drop a dime" on some old friends
in Gettysburg to start the mill moving on Toddie now!)"
>
> GO FO IT!!! I DARE YOU!!! but you better know what your getting
> youself into....

A debate with a mental midget? A lawsuit from a guy who doesn't seem to have enough loot to pay an attorney's retainer? What would he or Phil be getting into, Todd? All that's needed for proof of your misdeeds and lack of character is copies of your archived posts and perhaps some copies of letters you've written to public figures. If you keep acting like a mad man, you could end up getting your amateur radio license yanked. If it happens, you can blame yourself.

Dave K8MN

3272. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 20:32:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111696336.225058.88960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104838

>That's simply wrong if you're discussing radio

I suggest you read Section 151 of the communication Act of 1934.

§ 151. Purposes of chapter; Federal Communications Commission created

For the purpose of regulating interstate and foreign commerce in communication by wire and radio so as to make available, so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex, a rapid, efficient, Nation-wide, and world-wide wire and radio communication service with adequate facilities at reasonable charges, for the purpose of the national defense, for the purpose of promoting safety of life and property through the use of wire and radio communications, and for the purpose of securing a more effective execution of this policy by centralizing authority heretofore granted by law to several agencies and by granting additional authority with respect to interstate and foreign commerce in wire and radio communication, there is created a commission to be known as the "Federal Communications Commission", which shall be constituted as hereinafter provided, and which shall execute and enforce the provisions of this chapter.

As well as 152 of the communication act

§ 152. Application of chapter

(a) The provisions of this chapter shall apply to all interstate and foreign communication by wire or radio and all interstate and foreign transmission of energy by radio, which originates and/or is received within the United States, and to all persons engaged within the United States in such communication or such transmission of energy by radio, and to the licensing and regulating of all radio stations as hereinafter provided; but it shall not apply to persons engaged in wire or radio communication or transmission in the Canal Zone, or to wire or radio communication or transmission wholly within the Canal Zone. The provisions of this chapter shall apply with respect to cable service, to all persons engaged within the United States in providing such service, and to the facilities of cable operators which relate to such service, as provided in subchapter V-A.

or how about 153 of the communication act

§ 153. Definitions

(22) Interstate communication
The term "interstate communication" or "interstate

transmission" means communication or transmission
(A) from any State, Territory, or possession of the United States
(other than the Canal Zone), or the District of Columbia, to any other
State, Territory, or possession of the United States (other than the
Canal Zone), or the District of Columbia,
(B) from or to the United States to or from the Canal Zone, insofar as
such communication or transmission takes place within the United
States, or
(C) between points within the United States but through a foreign
country; but shall not, with respect to the provisions of subchapter II
of this chapter (other than section 223 of this title), include wire or
radio communication between points in the same State, Territory, or
possession of the United States, or the District of Columbia, through
any place outside thereof, if such communication is regulated by a
State commission.

do I need to go on.......

Your archived posts pretty well do you in. It looks like you went from

"innocent into proven guilty" all by your lonesome. Your own archived
words show you to be guilty of breaking any number of FCC regs.
This post dickhead had nothing to do with me...it had to do with the
behavior of the FCC and pirate radio operators and their "alleged
interference" with boadcast Stations. No where in that post did I state
anything about me, but Dumb asses like yourself read into stuff that
isn't there.

A debate with a mental midget? A lawsuit from a guy who doesn't seem
to
have enough loot to pay an attorney's retainer? What would he or Phil
be
getting into, Todd? All that's needed for proof of your misdeeds and
lack of character is copies of your archived posts and perhaps some
copies of letters you've written to public figures. If you keep acting

like a mad man, you could end up getting your amateur radio license
yanked. If it happens, you can blame yourself.

Dave K8MN

Like I said Davy GO FOR IT!!!!! I DARE YOU.

Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

3273. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 20:38:55 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Z5Wdndf_79z_uN7fRVn-gg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104839

N9OGL wrote:

> They do.
>
> And under our Constitution and laws, the FCC ensures, to the best
> of it's ability, that this FINITE RESOURCE will be accessible to all.
> Of course electronic anarchists like N9OGL who believe that anyone
> should do anything they like would make it impossible for anyone to use
>
> it at all.
>
>
> The finite resourse no longer hold true anymore thanks to digital
> radio.....The point of that was that the FCC has over the last 10/20
> years, has bent over backworks for the "special interest" and some of
> the"special interest" goals sometimes do not reflect the public
> interest.
>
> OK.
>
> Let's remove the FCC from the picture for a second. You go ahead
> and fire up your LPFM station on 107.9 (or whatever frequency you
> choose).
>
>
> I live next town over and >>I<< want to operate on 107.9...Or
> worse yet, I just want to jam you becasue I think your "opinion" or
> programming format suck. Who ya gonna call now?
>
>
> State or local agencies? OK...So Illinois allows you to operate
> here...but just across the state line in Podunk, Missouri, THEY
> "license" me to operate on that frequency...at 1500 watts, because in
> MO they define "low power" as anything below 10,000 watts.
>
>
> I'd usually expect a rational person to see where this is going,
> but hey...it's Todd...
>
> Under the Communication Act of 1934 the FCC has juridiction over
> interstate and foreign commerce via wire or radio, Intrastate
> communications is the juridiction of the state.
>
>

>>3. Not only should a person have the right to listen to not only
>>the broadcast services but all parts of the electromagnetic spectrum.
>
>
>>even the cellular band which is part of the radio spectrum and there
>
> by
>
>>belong to the people.
>
>
>
> Hey Todd...waddaya say I park a van outside your place and start
> monitoring your cell and cordless phones. I further record them and
> play them at local venues. Since everyone can listen to everything
> under your "plan", nothing you say on "the phone" is protected.
>
> No, the Electronoic Communication Privacy Act of 1986 was pushed by the
> Cellphone companies because they didn't want to encrypt their phone
> systems which they had the ability to do.
>
>
>>4. The people should have the right to choice his satellite
>>package. The person should have the right if he wants the Network
>
> feeds
>
>>off the satellite and wants to pay for them be allowed to buy them
>>regardless if he recieve local broadcasting.
>
>
>
> Gee, Todd...We have that here in Tennessee...What's up with you in
> Ill-annoyed?
>
> This post was written long before "Local channels" were placed on the
> satellites. Before that (thanks to the National Association of
> Broadcasters) if you lived in a alleged "contour" then you were not
> allowed to get feeds off the satellite. The NAB pushed congress to pass
> a law to protect "local services"
>
>
>
>>5. The FCC should eliminate any useless services on the
>>electromagnetic spectrum (There is still some first generation radio
>>services on the air) Any new service like Digital Cellular Phones
>
> should
>
>>be phased into the old cell band instead of allocating them spectrum.

>
>
>
>
> You are incompetent to hold broadcast licensure, and only hold
> Amateur licensure by the grace of God...Why would they consider your
> "ideas" for wireless telephone technology?
>
> No dickhead, there is still first generation services on the
> electromagnetic spectrum, and secondly instead of allocation new
> frequencies for digital phones have the cell service phase out analog
> phones and put digital phones in that same band, instead of giving them
> new spectrum. The point is instead of allocation spectrum for a newer
> version of a old service have that service group install in the new
> service on the old and phase out the old...again this was write a long
> time ago
>
>
>
> Oh, heck yeah! Let's let anyone who get's it in thier head to do so
>
> to have a license! And since we're loading the bands up with all these
>
> citizen-broadcasters, let's screw the technical standards which help to
>
> maximize the already dwindling spectrum! What's a little intermod or
> bleed-over among broadcasters! Live out on the fringes of overlapping
> stations? Too bad
>
> No, I want the FCC to do is their JOB, the FCC is authorized to grant
> licenses, and consider waivers, The Federal Courts has gone farther
> stating the FCC MUST consider waiver, the bottom line is the FCC has
> not once done that. As for "dwindling spectrum" again digtal radio/
> television has pretty well put an end to that. A Digital TV station can
> run more then one station on the same frequency, the same with digital
> radio. But hey you licnesed CB operators are still using that old
> "analog" system and basical don't know shit outside your own backyard
>
>
>>8. The FCC should stop believing in this "burden on the commission"
>>because there is always going to be a burden regardless. instead the
>>FCC should try to get things done.
>
>
>
> But Todd! You'd just throw them aside!
>
> Besides, Todd...And I know this will be painful for you to accept,
> but it's ill-informed, narrowminided, functioanlly illiterate IDIOTS
> like you that create the burden in the first place

>
> First off you Fucking COCK SUCKER, what I was refering to was the FCC
> pushing the burden of proof onto people in the courts, The old saying
> you innocent into proven guilty is not true to the FCC is more like
> your guilty until you prove yourself innocent, In some instance the FCC
> has already made their decision before all the facts are gathered.
>
>
>>9. The FCC should work more closer to the people then the big
>>companies and organizations. The FCC believes they serve the public's
>
>
>>intrest but in reality the FAA serves the public's intrest better
>
> then
>
>>the FCC because the FAA listens to the people.
>
>
>
> And what was the last NPRM from the FAA >>YOU<< responded to,
> Todd?
>
> What "issues" has the FAA addressed that the FCC has failed to do
> so, Toddie?
>
>
> No dumb ass, the point is the FCC should serve the public's interest a
> lot better then they do, instead the serve the special interest more
> The special interest is only there to serve one person...themselves.
> The point was the FAA serves the public interest BETTER then the FCC
> does, Just look at the new sports license they recently passed.
>
>
>>10. The Question of what's Interstate and what's Interstate should
>>be answered.
>
>
>
> The point of 10 was, and although it's screwed up i'll explain. The
> question of Interstate Communications is not defined, simple for this
> reason. the courts and congress believe that ALL RADIO transmissions,
> despite their power, antenna height, and frequency is interstate by
> nature. This stems from a federal court case dealing with a radio
> station from the 1930's and later to CB cases in the late 50's on to
> present time.
>
>
>>Sure there has been a few cases with
>> interferning with

>> the aircraft band as a pilot myself I wouldn't want that to happen.
>> However there has been a lot of low power services out there that
>>didn't
>> cause interfernce which out weights the cases that do.
>
>
>
> And which case that DOES cause interference to the avaition
> allocations is OK, Todd? How many episodes of interference are OK to
> the safety of flight?
>
> Do YOU want to be on the flight that winds up two miles short of
> the runway because some idiot LIKE YOU was exercising his "free speech"
>
> on an ILS frequency? Do you want to be the guy who kept an FAA
> facility from hearing a distress call or warning of a hijacking just so
>
> you could transmit your "bulletins" on "pirate TV"...?!?!
>
>
> The problem with interference of the aircraft band is although there
> has been cases of interference there is really no "proof" that it
> happened. TV is a different matter because it is higher up on the
> spectrum and the olds of interference is lower, compare to a "pirate
> radio station" I will point out though that Unlicensed Broadcast has
> interfere with airports and so has Licensed Broadcast.
>
>
>>I am against
>>thre
>> LOW POWER RADIO PETITION because to me it is a quick fixs the FCC
>>should
>> allow that service now with waivers (which their rules allow) but
>
> they
>
>> will not. I did apply for a license twice and both times it was
>>returned
>> so my view is to HELL with them.
>
>
>
> I am sure your whole life has been full of people realizing what
> an idiot you are and did their best to keep you from making a fool out
> of yourself or harming others with your "me first" attitudes.
>
> We can only hope the FCC will keep it up.
>
>
> No dickhead, the point was the LPFM service was a quick fix, the FCC

> had the power before creating that service, but the Mass Media Bureau
> didn't and would not act upon it. (ie. they wouldn't consider
> applications and waiver for stations less then 100 watts, although the
> Federal Courts told them they MUST consider waivers.)
>
> (I am kinda hoping K2ASP might "drop a dime" on some old friends
> in Gettysburg to start the mill moving on Toddie now!)
>
> GO FO IT!!! I DARE YOU!!! but you better know what your getting
> youself into....
>
>
>>Oh one more thing this "chaos" which
>> accured back in the 20's wasn't by Low power operators but by greedy
>
>
>> Commercial operators and the Navy.
>
>
>
> Sure it was by "low power" operators! There were "broadcast
> stations" popping up on every hotel and newspaper building across the
> country!
>
> I would really suggest you go back and read the history of radio,
> including the early years. because that's where that statement is
> coming from.
>
>
>>Right, but there is a lot of broadcast services that don't cross the
>> line. for example my 8 watt Low power television transmitter which
>
> runs
>
>> on UHF will not cross the state line because 1. i'm in the middle of
>
>
>>the
>> state. 2. the power of 8 watts and the antenna height of 60 ft 3.
>
> the
>
>> terrain. fact is my station doesnot cross the state line and there
>
> by
>
>> the FCC doesn't have the juridiction over my signal.
>
>
>

> So...it's OK if you're "in the middle of the state"...But what
> about folks who live close to state lines? Or people who live at
> higher elevations and even low power carries far? Or how about folks
> who live in realtively small states ie: Rhode Island, Delaware, etc?
>
> They're just squat out of luck?
>
>
> Face it, Todd...Not everyone can be or even SHOULD be a
> "broadcaster".
>
>
> L E A S T of all a racist, incompetent, functionally illiterate
> idiot like you! But there is an "up" side...You do have a future as a
> stand up comic...You've had us in stitches for days!
>
> again this has to do with intrastate vs Interstate
> communications.....at lease I'm not some dickhead ham radio operator
> who gets on a newsgroup and plays HAM COP.
>
>
> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

Todd
Please learn how to reply and quote, it gets hard to read, the only way
to tell your post was because of all the misspelling and profanity!
I think you are watching too much Deadwood on HBO.

3274. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 20:57:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <424328F2.7C04F4AE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104840

N9OGL wrote:

> > Dave Heil wrote:

(Attributions inserted for sanity)

> >That's simply wrong if you're discussing radio
>
> I suggest you read Section 151 of the communication Act of 1934.

> ï¿½ 151. Purposes of chapter; Federal Communications Commission created

> As well as 152 of the communication act
>
> ï¿½ 152. Application of chapter

> or how about 153 of the communication act
>
> ï¿½ 153. Definitions

> do I need to go on.......

You didn't need to insert them to begin with. I've read 'em. You've
read and misinterpreted them.

> > Your archived posts pretty well do you in. It looks like you went > > from "innocent
into proven guilty" all by your lonesome. Your own archived
> > words show you to be guilty of breaking any number of FCC regs.

> This post dickhead had nothing to do with me...

Sure it does, Todd Not-Very-Swift. It is all about you.

> it had to do with the
> behavior of the FCC and pirate radio operators and their "alleged
> interference" with boadcast Stations. No where in that post did I state
> anything about me, but Dumb asses like yourself read into stuff that
> isn't there.

Wise up, Todd. I posted a number of your archived posts in two parts.
In a number of them, you admit to operating pirate radio and TV stations
which operate on self-assigned frequencies in excess of Part 15 power
levels (by a bunch). You confess to operating without a license in some
places and in others you profess that you'll go back to operating
without a license. You've admitted, publicly to being a scofflaw. I
didn't read anything which wasn't plainly stated by you.

> A debate with a mental midget? A lawsuit from a guy who doesn't seem
> to

> have enough loot to pay an attorney's retainer? What would he or Phil
> be
> getting into, Todd? All that's needed for proof of your misdeeds and
> lack of character is copies of your archived posts and perhaps some
> copies of letters you've written to public figures. If you keep acting
>
> like a mad man, you could end up getting your amateur radio license
> yanked. If it happens, you can blame yourself.

> Like I said Davy GO FOR IT!!!!! I DARE YOU.

Actually, you said, "Go Fo It". What is it that I'd be letting myself
in for, Toddkins?

> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

Awwww! You've used that fictitious name again. Now you won't have to
ask "what ficticious name?". It is the one thing you've really
invented.

Dave K8MN

3275. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 21:01:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111694997.787611.251890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104841

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> Even if your reply had included attributions, Todd, it would still be
> difficult to read.
>
> > Under the Communication Act of 1934 the FCC has juridiction over
> > interstate and foreign commerce via wire or radio, Intrastate
> > communications is the juridiction of the state.
>
> That's simply wrong if you're discussing radio.
>
> > First off you F--king C--K S--KER, what I was refering to was the
FCC
> > pushing the burden of proof onto people in the courts, The old
saying
> > you innocent into proven guilty is not true to the FCC...
>
> Your archived posts pretty well do you in. It looks like you went
from
> "innocent into proven guilty" all by your lonesome. Your own
archived
> words show you to be guilty of breaking any number of FCC regs.
> > ...is more like
> > your guilty until you prove yourself innocent, In some instance the
FCC
> > has already made their decision before all the facts are gathered.
>
> Doesn't look that happened with regard to issuing you a broadcast
> license.
>
>
> > No dumb ass, the point is the FCC should serve the public's
interest a
> > lot better then they do, instead the serve the special interest
more
> > The special interest is only there to serve one
person...themselves.
>
> Wow! That's quite enlightening. The special interests are in
reality
> just one person?
>
> > The point of 10 was, and although it's screwed up i'll explain. The

> > question of Interstate Communications is not defined, simple for
this
> > reason. the courts and congress believe that ALL RADIO
transmissions,
> > despite their power, antenna height, and frequency is interstate by
> > nature. This stems from a federal court case dealing with a radio
> > station from the 1930's and later to CB cases in the late 50's on
to
> > present time.
>
> Interstate communications regulation was defined long ago. Your lack
of
> understanding doesn't mean that there is no definition.
>
>
> > The problem with interference of the aircraft band is although
there
> > has been cases of interference there is really no "proof" that it
> > happened.
>
> Really? And you know this how?
>
> K4YZ: "(I am kinda hoping K2ASP might "drop a dime" on some old
friends
> in Gettysburg to start the mill moving on Toddie now!)"
> >
> > GO FO IT!!! I DARE YOU!!! but you better know what your getting
> > youself into....
>
> A debate with a mental midget? A lawsuit from a guy who doesn't seem
to
> have enough loot to pay an attorney's retainer? What would he or Phil
be
> getting into, Todd? All that's needed for proof of your misdeeds and
> lack of character is copies of your archived posts and perhaps some
> copies of letters you've written to public figures. If you keep
acting
> like a mad man, you could end up getting your amateur radio license
> yanked. If it happens, you can blame yourself.
>
> Dave K8MN

Well like I said Davy, GO FOR IT!!!!!! I DARE YOU!!!!!! :)

3276. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 21:35:41 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <NoG0e.7762$S46.5297@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104842

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>Taylorville |SPF| |146.8350 - N9OGL |N9OGL
>>
>>
Controller?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Don't worry, he'll probably time himself out..... :-)

3277. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 21:52:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111701140.001249.44540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104843

N9OGL wrote:

Todd...Why do you insist on "replying" to posts without
attributing the quotes?

Are you REALLY the idiot I've been claiming you to be? Is it THAT
difficulet to do?

> They do.

"They do" what? Who does what?

Had you quoted the item you were replying to, an adequate response
could be made. Instead you just wind up looking like the idiot I have
claimed you to be.

>> And under our Constitution and laws, the FCC ensures, to the
best
>> of it's ability, that this FINITE RESOURCE will be accessible to
all.
>> Of course electronic anarchists like N9OGL who believe that anyone
>> should do anything they like would make it impossible for anyone to
use
>> it at all.
>
> The finite resourse no longer hold true anymore thanks to digital
> radio.....

Absolutely one of the most assinine and ignorant things you've
posted, Todd.

The FCC and the NTIA both are getting to the point where they've
gone from 50kHz spacing down to 25, then 12.5, now down to 6.25kHz
spacing.

Aeronautical users are pared down to 8.33kHz channels.

This DESPITE the proliferation of "digital" radio.

NTIA, the agency which regulates and licenses federal and military
users of the electromagnetic specrum is squeezing everyone into 6.25kHz
channels for years.

> The point of that was that the FCC has over the last 10/20
> years, has bent over backworks for the "special interest" and some of
> the"special interest" goals sometimes do not reflect the public
> interest.

What? Are you drunk? What are you talking about?

> OK.

"OK" what?

>> Let's remove the FCC from the picture for a second. You go
ahead
>> and fire up your LPFM station on 107.9 (or whatever frequency you
>> choose).
>
>> I live next town over and >>I<< want to operate on 107.9...Or
>> worse yet, I just want to jam you becasue I think your "opinion" or
>> programming format suck. Who ya gonna call now?
>
>> State or local agencies? OK...So Illinois allows you to
operate
>> here...but just across the state line in Podunk, Missouri, THEY
>> "license" me to operate on that frequency...at 1500 watts, because
in
>> MO they define "low power" as anything below 10,000 watts.
>
>> I'd usually expect a rational person to see where this is going,
>> but hey...it's Todd...
>
> Under the Communication Act of 1934 the FCC has juridiction over
> interstate and foreign commerce via wire or radio, Intrastate
> communications is the juridiction of the state.

And since any radio may be used in any state at any time, unless
it's bolted the floor of the building in which it's being used, all
radios are controlled by one agency.

Furthermore, propagation that conducts RF outside state lines
exists all the way up to the microwave regions, Toddie!

I am in middle Tennessee, and our paramedic base station at the
hospital I work at routinely hears traffic from KY, MS, AL and GA. We
are occassionally able to check into Memphis MedcComm's AM radio roll
call on 155.34mHz.

On occassion, I can hear the MOBILE side of EMS, fire, police and
even GMRS users at my home QTH from all those locations, and that's
with a simple discone and scanner. The antenna is only at 20ft and fed
with RG-8. Nothing special. Imagine what I could do with some
hardline, a tower and a band-resonant antenna...?!?! Even better if I
was on the mountain!

> > 3. Not only should a person have the right to listen to not only
> > the broadcast services but all parts of the electromagnetic
spectrum.
> > even the cellular band which is part of the radio spectrum and
there
> > by belong to the people.

>
>> Hey Todd...waddaya say I park a van outside your place and start
>> monitoring your cell and cordless phones. I further record them and
>> play them at local venues. Since everyone can listen to everything
>> under your "plan", nothing you say on "the phone" is protected.
>
> No, the Electronoic Communication Privacy Act of 1986 was pushed by
the
> Cellphone companies because they didn't want to encrypt their phone
> systems which they had the ability to do.

And if they did your .99 cent cellphone at .20 cents per minute (or
less) would still be a very expensive item operating at a dollar a
minute or more for service.

>> > 4. The people should have the right to choice his satellite
>> > package. The person should have the right if he wants the Network
>> feeds
>> > off the satellite and wants to pay for them be allowed to buy them
>> > regardless if he recieve local broadcasting.
>>
>>
>> Gee, Todd...We have that here in Tennessee...What's up with you
in
>> Ill-annoyed?
>>
> This post was written long before "Local channels" were placed on the
> satellites. Before that (thanks to the National Association of
> Broadcasters) if you lived in a alleged "contour" then you were not
> allowed to get feeds off the satellite. The NAB pushed congress to
pass
> a law to protect "local services"

I've had "local channels" since at least 98. That was before the
post (August 99).

The question remains open...What up with you in Ill-annoyed?

>> > 5. The FCC should eliminate any useless services on the
>> > electromagnetic spectrum (There is still some first generation
radio
>> > services on the air) Any new service like Digital Cellular Phones
>> should
>> > be phased into the old cell band instead of allocating them
spectrum.
>>
>> You are incompetent to hold broadcast licensure, and only hold
>> Amateur licensure by the grace of God...Why would they consider your
>> "ideas" for wireless telephone technology?
>
> No ####head, there is still first generation services on the
> electromagnetic spectrum, and secondly instead of allocation new

> frequencies for digital phones have the cell service phase out analog
> phones and put digital phones in that same band, instead of giving
them
> new spectrum. The point is instead of allocation spectrum for a newer
> version of a old service have that service group install in the new
> service on the old and phase out the old...again this was write a
long
> time ago

Gee, Todd....They are re-configuring things here...Don't know
what's up with your folk in Ill-annoyed. And they call Tennsseeans
'backwards'...Sheesh!

>> Oh, heck yeah! Let's let anyone who get's it in thier head to do
so
>> to have a license! And since we're loading the bands up with all
these
>> citizen-broadcasters, let's screw the technical standards which help
to
>> maximize the already dwindling spectrum! What's a little intermod
or
>> bleed-over among broadcasters! Live out on the fringes of
overlapping
>> stations? Too bad
>
> No, I want the FCC to do is their JOB, the FCC is authorized to grant
> licenses, and consider waivers, The Federal Courts has gone farther
> stating the FCC MUST consider waiver, the bottom line is the FCC has
> not once done that.

Todd, have you ever learned how to properly use a "period"...???

The FCC >>IS<< doing their jobs, Todd.

They have prevented an incompetent, unqualified, profane little
punk from trashing up the airwaves in Illinois, so I'd say they did
what they had to.

YOU may not like the results, but that's too bad.

Just becasue the FCC is the license issuing authority does NOT
mean they HAVE to grant you a license!

> As for "dwindling spectrum" again digtal radio/
> television has pretty well put an end to that. A Digital TV station
can
> run more then one station on the same frequency, the same with
digital
> radio. But hey you licnesed CB operators are still using that old
> "analog" system and basical don't know #### outside your own
backyard.

And again you don't know what you are talking about, Todd.

>> > 8. The FCC should stop believing in this "burden on the
commission"
>> > because there is always going to be a burden regardless. instead
the
>> > FCC should try to get things done.
>>
>> But Todd! You'd just throw them aside!
>>
>> Besides, Todd...And I know this will be painful for you to
accept,
>> but it's ill-informed, narrowminided, functionally illiterate IDIOTS
>> like you that create the burden in the first place
>
> First off you ####ing %%%%SUCKER,...(SNIP)

I love it! Thanks for proving my assertion in the very first
sentence of the reply, Todd!

> (UNSNIP)....what I was refering to was the FCC
> pushing the burden of proof onto people in the courts, The old saying
> you innocent into proven guilty is not true to the FCC is more like
> your guilty until you prove yourself innocent, In some instance the
FCC
> has already made their decision before all the facts are gathered.

And in YOUR case the "facts" are (1) your frequent and liberal use
of profanity (including your assertion that you've 'called them worse
to thier face'), (2) your failure to properly file documents in the
required time frame, (3) the failure to file them correctly, and (4)
your failure to adequately document your financial standing to justify
"non-profit" status have prevented you from getting the license you
seek!

In other words, you're the incompetent idiot that they have been
insinuating you are and that I am point-blank calling you!

>> 9. The FCC should work more closer to the people then the big
>> > companies and organizations. The FCC believes they serve the
public's
>> > intrest but in reality the FAA serves the public's intrest better
>> > then the FCC because the FAA listens to the people.
>>
>> And what was the last NPRM from the FAA >>YOU<< responded to,
>> Todd?
>>
>> What "issues" has the FAA addressed that the FCC has failed to
do
>> so, Toddie?
>
> No dumb @@@, the point is the FCC should serve the public's interest
a
> lot better then they do, instead the serve the special interest more
> The special interest is only there to serve one person...themselves.

> The point was the FAA serves the public interest BETTER then the FCC
> does, Just look at the new sports license they recently passed.

They are doing their job very nicely, I'd say. You are still
without a broadcast license, and that >>IS<< serving the public
interest!

And the "Sport Pilot" license has been a work-in-progress for 20
years or more, Todd. It wasn't something they just popped up and said,
"Oh gee, let's do this...". It started with the introduction of Part
103...The part that authorized ultralights and hang gliders.

If you need a bit more history, go to http:///www.eaa.org. Those
are the folks who have rode herd on that project.

> > 10. The Question of what's Interstate and what's Interstate should
> > be answered.
>
> The point of 10 was, and although it's screwed up i'll explain. The
> question of Interstate Communications is not defined, simple for this
> reason. the courts and congress believe that ALL RADIO transmissions,
> despite their power, antenna height, and frequency is interstate by
> nature. This stems from a federal court case dealing with a radio
> station from the 1930's and later to CB cases in the late 50's on to
> present time.

What you were TRYING to seperate was "INTERstate" and
"INTRAstate".

The reason you screwed it up was that you were too impatient to
try and impress us with your opinion that you didn't take the time to
look in a dictionary to get the right definitions.

>> > Sure there has been a few cases with
>> > interferning with
>> > the aircraft band as a pilot myself I wouldn't want that to
happen.
>> > However there has been a lot of low power services out there that
>> > didn't
>> > cause interfernce which out weights the cases that do.
>>
>> And which case that DOES cause interference to the avaition
>> allocations is OK, Todd? How many episodes of interference are OK
to
>> the safety of flight?
>>
>> Do YOU want to be on the flight that winds up two miles short of
>> the runway because some idiot LIKE YOU was exercising his "free
speech"
>> on an ILS frequency? Do you want to be the guy who kept an FAA
>> facility from hearing a distress call or warning of a hijacking just
so

>> you could transmit your "bulletins" on "pirate TV"...?!?!
>
> The problem with interference of the aircraft band is although there
> has been cases of interference there is really no "proof" that it
> happened. TV is a different matter because it is higher up on the
> spectrum and the olds of interference is lower, compare to a "pirate
> radio station" I will point out though that Unlicensed Broadcast has
> interfere with airports and so has Licensed Broadcast.

Uhhhhh...actually there have been quite a few cases of interference
to aeronautical frequencies, Toddie! That's why it's still "an issue".

>> > I am against thre
>> > LOW POWER RADIO PETITION because to me it is a quick fixs the FCC
>> > should
>> > allow that service now with waivers (which their rules allow) but
>> they
>> > will not. I did apply for a license twice and both times it was
>> > returned
>> > so my view is to HELL with them.
>>
>> I am sure your whole life has been full of people realizing
what
>> an idiot you are and did their best to keep you from making a fool
out
>> of yourself or harming others with your "me first" attitudes.
>
> No ####head, the point was the LPFM service was a quick fix, the FCC
> had the power before creating that service, but the Mass Media Bureau
> didn't and would not act upon it. (ie. they wouldn't consider
> applications and waiver for stations less then 100 watts, although
the
> Federal Courts told them they MUST consider waivers.)

The LPFM service was NO "quick fix". The issue was debated for
YEARS before the FCC finally decided to go with it.

>> (I am kinda hoping K2ASP might "drop a dime" on some old friends
>> in Gettysburg to start the mill moving on Toddie now!)
>
> GO FO IT!!! I DARE YOU!!! but you better know what your getting
> youself into....

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! !

I already KNOW what "I am getting (my)self into", Todd!

Todd...all of these exchanges are occuring because YOU keep making
an IDIOT out of yourself! You're profane, poorly informed, short
tempered and just out-and-out FOOLISH!

There is NOTHING that YOU can do that even remotely intimidates or impresses me, Todd!

>> > Oh one more thing this "chaos" which
>> > accured back in the 20's wasn't by Low power operators but by greedy
>>
>> > Commercial operators and the Navy.
>
>> Sure it was by "low power" operators! There were "broadcast
>> stations" popping up on every hotel and newspaper building across the
>> country!
>
> I would really suggest you go back and read the history of radio,
> including the early years. because that's where that statement is
> coming from.

What statement? You never attribute anything, Todd, so who know's WHAT you're saying about anything?

>> > Right, but there is a lot of broadcast services that don't cross the
>> > line. for example my 8 watt Low power television transmitter which
>> runs
>> > on UHF will not cross the state line because 1. i'm in the middle of
>>
>> > the
>> > state. 2. the power of 8 watts and the antenna height of 60 ft 3.
>> the
>> > terrain. fact is my station doesnot cross the state line and there
>> by
>> > the FCC doesn't have the juridiction over my signal.
>>
>>
>> So...it's OK if you're "in the middle of the state"...But what
>> about folks who live close to state lines? Or people who live at
>> higher elevations and even low power carries far? Or how about folks
>> who live in realtively small states ie: Rhode Island, Delaware, etc?
>>
>> They're just squat out of luck?
>>
>> Face it, Todd...Not everyone can be or even SHOULD be a
>> "broadcaster".
>>
>> L E A S T of all a racist, incompetent, functionally illiterate
>> idiot like you! But there is an "up" side...You do have a future as a

>> stand up comic...You've had us in stitches for days!
>
> again this has to do with intrastate vs Interstate
> communications.....at lease I'm not some ####head ham radio operator
> who gets on a newsgroup and plays HAM COP.

Who's playing "ham cop", Todd?

And as for the "inter" vs "intra" state, that's already been
decided in the Supreme Court.

No amount of pontification, swearing, demanding, foot stomping or
otherwise childish behaviour by a developmentally delayed adult is
going to make them revist the issue.

> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

ESPECIALLY one with an identity crisis.

Steve, K4YZ

3278. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 21:59:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111701577.431198.195790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104844

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> Even if your reply had included attributions, Todd, it would still be
> difficult to read.
>
> > Under the Communication Act of 1934 the FCC has juridiction over
> > interstate and foreign commerce via wire or radio, Intrastate
> > communications is the juridiction of the state.
>
> That's simply wrong if you're discussing radio.
>
> > First off you F--king C--K S--KER, what I was refering to was the
FCC
> > pushing the burden of proof onto people in the courts, The old
saying
> > you innocent into proven guilty is not true to the FCC...
>
> Your archived posts pretty well do you in. It looks like you went
from
> "innocent into proven guilty" all by your lonesome. Your own
archived
> words show you to be guilty of breaking any number of FCC regs.

I think he's more reknowned for breaking a few law of nature,
Dave!

> > ...is more like
> > your guilty until you prove yourself innocent, In some instance the
FCC
> > has already made their decision before all the facts are gathered.
>
> Doesn't look that happened with regard to issuing you a broadcast
> license.
>
>
> > No dumb ass, the point is the FCC should serve the public's
interest a
> > lot better then they do, instead the serve the special interest
more
> > The special interest is only there to serve one
person...themselves.
>
> Wow! That's quite enlightening. The special interests are in
reality

> just one person?
>
> > The point of 10 was, and although it's screwed up i'll explain. The
> > question of Interstate Communications is not defined, simple for
this
> > reason. the courts and congress believe that ALL RADIO
transmissions,
> > despite their power, antenna height, and frequency is interstate by
> > nature. This stems from a federal court case dealing with a radio
> > station from the 1930's and later to CB cases in the late 50's on
to
> > present time.
>
> Interstate communications regulation was defined long ago. Your lack
of
> understanding doesn't mean that there is no definition.
>
>
> > The problem with interference of the aircraft band is although
there
> > has been cases of interference there is really no "proof" that it
> > happened.
>
> Really? And you know this how?
>
> K4YZ: "(I am kinda hoping K2ASP might "drop a dime" on some old
friends
> in Gettysburg to start the mill moving on Toddie now!)"
> >
> > GO FO IT!!! I DARE YOU!!! but you better know what your getting
> > youself into....
>
> A debate with a mental midget? A lawsuit from a guy who doesn't seem
to
> have enough loot to pay an attorney's retainer? What would he or Phil
be
> getting into, Todd? All that's needed for proof of your misdeeds and
> lack of character is copies of your archived posts and perhaps some
> copies of letters you've written to public figures. If you keep
acting
> like a mad man, you could end up getting your amateur radio license
> yanked. If it happens, you can blame yourself.

The frequent "name changes", threats, admissions of wrongdoing as
they pertain to clandestine radio operation...

I'd say he's digging himself in pretty well.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3279. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 22:01:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111701663.316575.117890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104845

robert casey wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >>Taylorville |SPF| |146.8350 - N9OGL |N9OGL
> >>
> >>
> Controller?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> Don't worry, he'll probably time himself out..... :-)

AB-SO-LOOT-LEY! =)

On his own repeater! Watta hoot!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3280. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 22:11:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111702289.930183.98370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104846

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> (Attributions inserted for sanity)
>
> > >That's simply wrong if you're discussing radio
> >
> > I suggest you read Section 151 of the communication Act of 1934.
>
> > § 151. Purposes of chapter; Federal Communications Commission
created
>
> > As well as 152 of the communication act
> >
> > § 152. Application of chapter
>
> > or how about 153 of the communication act
> >
> > § 153. Definitions
>
> > do I need to go on.......
>
> You didn't need to insert them to begin with. I've read 'em. You've
> read and misinterpreted them.
>
> > > Your archived posts pretty well do you in. It looks like you
went > > from "innocent into proven guilty" all by your lonesome. Your
own archived
> > > words show you to be guilty of breaking any number of FCC regs.
>
> > This post dickhead had nothing to do with me...
>
> Sure it does, Todd Not-Very-Swift. It is all about you.
>
> > it had to do with the
> > behavior of the FCC and pirate radio operators and their "alleged
> > interference" with boadcast Stations. No where in that post did I
state
> > anything about me, but Dumb asses like yourself read into stuff
that
> > isn't there.
>

> Wise up, Todd. I posted a number of your archived posts in two
parts.
> In a number of them, you admit to operating pirate radio and TV
stations
> which operate on self-assigned frequencies in excess of Part 15 power
> levels (by a bunch). You confess to operating without a license in
some
> places and in others you profess that you'll go back to operating
> without a license. You've admitted, publicly to being a scofflaw. I
> didn't read anything which wasn't plainly stated by you.

WELL YOU FUCKING BITCH IF THEY WOULD OF CONSIDER MY APPLICATION AND
WAIVER LIKE THEIR SUPPOSE TOO THEN I WOULDN'T OF DID WHAT I DID, WHY
SHOULD I CONTINUE TO SPEND THE TIME AND MONEY YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE ON
APPLYING FOR A LICENSE???

> > A debate with a mental midget? A lawsuit from a guy who doesn't
seem
> > to
> > have enough loot to pay an attorney's retainer? What would he or
Phil
> > be
> > getting into, Todd? All that's needed for proof of your misdeeds
and
> > lack of character is copies of your archived posts and perhaps some
> > copies of letters you've written to public figures. If you keep
acting
> >
> > like a mad man, you could end up getting your amateur radio license
> > yanked. If it happens, you can blame yourself.
>
> > Like I said Davy GO FOR IT!!!!! I DARE YOU.
>
> Actually, you said, "Go Fo It". What is it that I'd be letting
myself
> in for, Toddkins?
>
> > Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
>
> Awwww! You've used that fictitious name again. Now you won't have
to
> ask "what ficticious name?". It is the one thing you've really
> invented.
IT'S NOT FICTICIOUS YOU FUCKING SLUT

> Dave K8MN

3281. Re: More N9OGL Stuff Dismissed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 22:15:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111702529.076780.135280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104847

N9OGL wrote:
> >Unlike SOME people who sign documents as the "CEO" of non-existant
> >companies and organizations, using ficticious last names, etc...
>
> Not true, we are a non-profit organization who's purpose is to
promote
> Theater, Telecommunications, and the Performing Arts, and what
> ficticious name???

OK, Toddie...Is your last name "Daugherty", as you have it on your Amateur Radio license, or is it "O'Dochartaigh" as you've posted on USENET posts, recent and current?

>I think your smoking crack.

You're welcome to make that suggestion to the authorities, Todd.

Of course it would be yet another unfounded, "barking at the moon" outburst from one who is already so well known for being an idiot in public.

Lastly, I wonder if "the members" of your "non-profit organization who's purpose is to promote Theater, Telecommunicaitons and the Performing Arts" know that you use thier name in the signature line of posts that are profane, functionally illiterate, acknowledge violation of federal law and are just basically humiliating...???

I know in the programs that I belong to, if I were to "represent" myself as speaking on thier behalf in posts that were as assinine, profane, threatening and irrational as yours, I'd be "removed from office" if not removed from the membership altogether!

Under what chapter of Illinois law are you organized? Do you have IRS 501(c)3 tax exclusionary status? If so, what is the certificate number or date of waiver and who is the authorizing agent?

Steve, K4YZ

3282. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 22:18:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111702735.117621.173550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104848

N9OGL wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > (Attributions inserted for sanity)
> >
> > > > >That's simply wrong if you're discussing radio
> > >
> > > > I suggest you read Section 151 of the communication Act of 1934.
> >
> > > > § 151. Purposes of chapter; Federal Communications Commission
> created
> >
> > > > As well as 152 of the communication act
> > >
> > > > § 152. Application of chapter
> >
> > > > or how about 153 of the communication act
> > >
> > > > § 153. Definitions
> >
> > > > do I need to go on.......
> >
> > You didn't need to insert them to begin with. I've read 'em.
You've
> > read and misinterpreted them.
> >
> > > > > Your archived posts pretty well do you in. It looks like you
> went > > from "innocent into proven guilty" all by your lonesome.
Your
> own archived
> > > > > words show you to be guilty of breaking any number of FCC regs.
> >
> > > > This post dickhead had nothing to do with me...
> >
> > Sure it does, Todd Not-Very-Swift. It is all about you.
> >
> > > > it had to do with the
> > > > behavior of the FCC and pirate radio operators and their "alleged
> > > > interference" with boadcast Stations. No where in that post did I
> state
> > > > anything about me, but Dumb asses like yourself read into stuff

> that
> > > isn't there.
> >
> > Wise up, Todd. I posted a number of your archived posts in two
> parts.
> > In a number of them, you admit to operating pirate radio and TV
> stations
> > which operate on self-assigned frequencies in excess of Part 15
power
> > levels (by a bunch). You confess to operating without a license in
> some
> > places and in others you profess that you'll go back to operating
> > without a license. You've admitted, publicly to being a scofflaw.
I
> > didn't read anything which wasn't plainly stated by you.
>
> WELL YOU ####ING ##### IF THEY WOULD OF CONSIDER MY APPLICATION AND
> WAIVER LIKE THEIR SUPPOSE TOO THEN I WOULDN'T OF DID WHAT I DID, WHY
> SHOULD I CONTINUE TO SPEND THE TIME AND MONEY YOU ####ING ###HOLE ON
> APPLYING FOR A LICENSE???

The point is you SHOULDN'T, Toddie!

You're not adequately qualified to be a broadcast licensee.

Period.

> > > A debate with a mental midget? A lawsuit from a guy who doesn't
> seem
> > > to
> > > have enough loot to pay an attorney's retainer? What would he or
> Phil
> > > be
> > > getting into, Todd? All that's needed for proof of your misdeeds
> and
> > > lack of character is copies of your archived posts and perhaps
some
> > > copies of letters you've written to public figures. If you keep
> acting
> > >
> > > like a mad man, you could end up getting your amateur radio
license
> > > yanked. If it happens, you can blame yourself.
> >
> > > Like I said Davy GO FOR IT!!!!! I DARE YOU.
> >
> > Actually, you said, "Go Fo It". What is it that I'd be letting
> myself
> > in for, Toddkins?
> >
> > > Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL

> >
> > Awwww! You've used that fictitious name again. Now you won't have
> to
> > ask "what ficticious name?". It is the one thing you've really
> > invented.
>
> IT'S NOT FICTICIOUS YOU ####ING ####.

Sure it is. Either that, or you've lied on your FCC license.

One or the other is wrong. Now which is it?

Steve, K4YZ

3283. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 22:23:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111702980.669666.222250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104849

>The point is you SHOULDN'T, Toddie!
>You're not adequately qualified to be a broadcast licensee.
> Period.

Prove it you freaking moron.

Sure it is. Either that, or you've lied on your FCC license.
One or the other is wrong. Now which is it?

NO it isn't you dumbass, O'Dochartaigh and Daugherty are the same
thing...so FUCK YOU!!!!!

3284. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 22:30:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111703455.117451.97680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104750

N9OGL wrote:

Without attributes again... very inconsiderate, Todd.

> >The point is you SHOULDN'T, Toddie!
> >You're not adequately qualified to be a broadcast licensee.
> > Period.
>
> Prove it you freaking moron.

I don't have to, Todd.

YOU prove it EVERY time you post...EVERY time.

>> Sure it is. Either that, or you've lied on your FCC license.
>> One or the other is wrong. Now which is it?
>
>
> NO it isn't you dumbass, O'Dochartaigh and Daugherty are the same
> thing...so #### YOU!!!!!

No, they are NOT the same thing.

Your legal name is either O'Dochartaigh or it's Daugherty.

Steve, K4YZ

3285. The RRAP/OGL train-wreck has been fun to watch thus far...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 22:48:01 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111704481.616393.219120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104751

Especially today.

Carry on - y'all are keeping me in stitches...

3286. Re: The RRAP/OGL train-wreck has been fun to watch thus far...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 23:04:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111705479.384315.73960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104753

It's nice to be entertaining to someone.
Todd N9OGL

3287. Re: The RRAP/OGL train-wreck has been fun to watch thus far...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 23:04:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111705481.204795.14490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104752

It's nice to be entertaining to someone.
Todd N9OGL

3288. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 23:14:13 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <7vednY2Xsp3n097fRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104755

N9OGL wrote:
>>The point is you SHOULDN'T, Toddie!
>>You're not adequately qualified to be a broadcast licensee.
>>Period.
>
>
> Prove it you freaking moron.
>
>
> Sure it is. Either that, or you've lied on your FCC license.
> One or the other is wrong. Now which is it?
>
>
> NO it isn't you dumbass, O'Dochartaigh and Daugherty are the same
> thing...
>

No they are not. On your FCC license, as any legal document, it must be spelled like your legal name, so if it isn't spelled correctly on your FCC license, then your license isn't valid because it doesn't contain your legal signature and that means every time you get on the air, you are illegal.

> so FUCK YOU!!!!!

There goes the Jr. Highschool language again. Grow up toddyboy!

3289. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 23:14:37 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Ze6dnaU2zZac197fRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 104754

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1111650198.368957.160410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> There's a repeater all ready there.
>
> Todd n9ogl
>

If there is a repeater there and you intend to use it, you had better get the permission of the repeater owner. If you don't, he has the right to file an interference complaint and the FCC has a pretty good record of going after people who misuse repeaters.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3290. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 23:38:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111707520.249572.171780@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104756

Dee Flint wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1111650198.368957.160410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > There's a repeater all ready there.
> >
> > Todd n9ogl
> >
>
> If there is a repeater there and you intend to use it, you had better
get
> the permission of the repeater owner. If you don't, he has the right
to
> file an interference complaint and the FCC has a pretty good record
of going
> after people who misuse repeaters.

This is the one time Todd get's a "gold star"...According to the
URL, his callsign is on it.

Steve, K4YZ

3291. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 23:46:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111708003.540631.168510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104757

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:

>> > Sure it is. Either that, or you've lied on your FCC license.
>> > One or the other is wrong. Now which is it?
>> >
> > NO it isn't you dumb###, O'Dochartaigh and Daugherty are the same
> > thing...
>
> No they are not. On your FCC license, as any legal document, it must
be
> spelled like your legal name, so if it isn't spelled correctly on
your
> FCC license, then your license isn't valid because it doesn't contain

> your legal signature and that means every time you get on the air,
you
> are illegal.

We shouldn't try and confuse Toddie with facts. I am sure there is
some American's with Disabilities Act regulation that prohibits telling
the mentally incompetent that they ARE mentally incompetent.

> > so #### YOU!!!!!
>
> There goes the Jr. Highschool language again. Grow up toddyboy!

If >>MY<< Junior High Schooler came home using language like that,
there'd be some serious attitude realignemt going on shortly therafter.

Disappointing that this is coming from a soon-to-be college
graduate, eh???

Steve, K4YZ

3292. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-24 23:53:10 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <E6CdnbxWkf2Jzt7fRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 104758

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111707520.249572.171780@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>> news:1111650198.368957.160410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > There's a repeater all ready there.
>> >
>> > Todd n9ogl
>> >
>>
>> If there is a repeater there and you intend to use it, you had better
> get
>> the permission of the repeater owner. If you don't, he has the right
> to
>> file an interference complaint and the FCC has a pretty good record
> of going
>> after people who misuse repeaters.
>
> This is the one time Todd get's a "gold star"...According to the
> URL, his callsign is on it.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

But is it coordinated? Are there any physically nearby repeaters on nearby frequencies? Based on his general approach, I think there is very little likelihood that he went through the coordination process to determine a suitable pair to use.

By the way, didn't he indicate he was a "poor college student"? If so, how can he afford to put up a repeater?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3293. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 00:02:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111708969.570016.30160@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104759

N9OGL wrote:

> WELL YOU ####ING ##### IF THEY WOULD OF CONSIDER MY APPLICATION AND
> WAIVER LIKE THEIR SUPPOSE TOO THEN I WOULDN'T OF DID WHAT I DID, WHY
> SHOULD I CONTINUE TO SPEND THE TIME AND MONEY YOU %%%%ING &&&HOLE ON
> APPLYING FOR A LICENSE???

By the way, Todd...It's illegal to do another illegal act just
because you don't like the way things are going. There is absolutely no
legal justification for going ahead and breaking the law to do what you
were told "no" for in the first place.

> IT'S NOT FICTICIOUS YOU ****ING &&&&.

To quote Mr. Spock..."Nothing unreal exists..."

It's just NOT your legal name. It's an alias. An "AKA" to law
enforcement. It, like your claim to operating a broadcast station,
both on TV, FM-broadcast, and Amateur Radio frequencies, has no legal
standing.

Personally, I think you're just acting silly.

Steve, K4YZ

3294. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 00:06:18 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ApKdnXnB9JWCy97fRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 104760

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111708969.570016.30160@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> WELL YOU ####ING ##### IF THEY WOULD OF CONSIDER MY APPLICATION AND
>> WAIVER LIKE THEIR SUPPOSE TOO THEN I WOULDN'T OF DID WHAT I DID, WHY
>> SHOULD I CONTINUE TO SPEND THE TIME AND MONEY YOU %%%%ING &&&HOLE ON
>> APPLYING FOR A LICENSE???
>
> By the way, Todd...It's illegal to do another illegal act just
> because you don't like the way things are going. There is absolutely no
> legal justification for going ahead and breaking the law to do what you
> were told "no" for in the first place.
>
>> IT'S NOT FICTICIOUS YOU ****ING &&&&.
>
> To quote Mr. Spock..."Nothing unreal exists..."
>
> It's just NOT your legal name. It's an alias. An "AKA" to law
> enforcement. It, like your claim to operating a broadcast station,
> both on TV, FM-broadcast, and Amateur Radio frequencies, has no legal
> standing.
>
> Personally, I think you're just acting silly.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

If he really wanted to, he could file a form (in most states) for DBA (doing
business as). Then it has legal standing for a lot of activities. I don't
know whether it applies to radio and licensing though.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3295. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 00:12:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111709533.158253.213630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104762

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111707520.249572.171780@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> >> news:1111650198.368957.160410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> > There's a repeater all ready there.
> >> >
> >> > Todd n9ogl
> >> >
> >>
> >> If there is a repeater there and you intend to use it, you had
better
> > get
> >> the permission of the repeater owner. If you don't, he has the
right
> > to
> >> file an interference complaint and the FCC has a pretty good
record
> > of going
> >> after people who misuse repeaters.
> >
> > This is the one time Todd get's a "gold star"...According to
the
> > URL, his callsign is on it.

> But is it coordinated? Are there any physically nearby repeaters on
nearby
> frequencies? Based on his general approach, I think there is very
little
> likelihood that he went through the coordination process to determine
a
> suitable pair to use.

It would appear to be, Dee. The information came from a published
site.

> By the way, didn't he indicate he was a "poor college student"? If
so, how
> can he afford to put up a repeater?

I am sure he got it from the proceeds of the patent sales from his
LOX cooled storage capacitor idea!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3296. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 00:13:02 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <OYqdnfeh5LgJyt7fRVn-vQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104761

K4YZ wrote:

>
>>>so #### YOU!!!!!
>>
>>There goes the Jr. Highschool language again. Grow up toddyboy!
>
>
> If >>MY<< Junior High Schooler came home using language like that,
> there'd be some serious attitude realignemt going on shortly therafter.
>
> Disappointing that this is coming from a soon-to-be college
> graduate, eh???
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It a new type of college, you can only ride the short bus to classes!
You would think he would get tired of getting beat up?

3297. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 00:15:10 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <OYqdnfah5LiMxd7fRVn-vQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104763

Dee Flint wrote:

> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1111650198.368957.160410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>>There's a repeater all ready there.
>>
>>Todd n9ogl
>>
>
>
> If there is a repeater there and you intend to use it, you had better get
> the permission of the repeater owner. If you don't, he has the right to
> file an interference complaint and the FCC has a pretty good record of going
> after people who misuse repeaters.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Read all the post ms Flint.
It's his homebrew repeater.

3298. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 00:20:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111710053.523632.36470@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104764

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111708969.570016.30160@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >> WELL YOU ####ING ##### IF THEY WOULD OF CONSIDER MY APPLICATION
AND
> >> WAIVER LIKE THEIR SUPPOSE TOO THEN I WOULDN'T OF DID WHAT I DID,
WHY
> >> SHOULD I CONTINUE TO SPEND THE TIME AND MONEY YOU %%%%ING &&&HOLE
ON
> >> APPLYING FOR A LICENSE???
> >
> > By the way, Todd...It's illegal to do another illegal act just
> > because you don't like the way things are going. There is
absolutely no
> > legal justification for going ahead and breaking the law to do what
you
> > were told "no" for in the first place.
> >
> >> IT'S NOT FICTICIOUS YOU ****ING &&&&.
> >
> > To quote Mr. Spock..."Nothing unreal exists..."
> >
> > It's just NOT your legal name. It's an alias. An "AKA" to law
> > enforcement. It, like your claim to operating a broadcast station,
> > both on TV, FM-broadcast, and Amateur Radio frequencies, has no
legal
> > standing.
> >
> > Personally, I think you're just acting silly.
>
> If he really wanted to, he could file a form (in most states) for DBA
(doing
> business as). Then it has legal standing for a lot of activities. I
don't
> know whether it applies to radio and licensing though.

The key phrase there, Dee, is " doing 'business' as "...There's
no valid reason for him to file an Amateur Radio application with a
DBA.

Now....M A Y B E if he got a DBA and convieniently forgot to
mention it WAS a DBA, he'd slide in under the FCC's noses and get that

license he's whining about...But I doubt it...

73

Steve, K4YZ

3299. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 00:25:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111710351.264054.50840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104765

Jim wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>
> >
> >>>so #### YOU!!!!!
> >>
> >>There goes the Jr. Highschool language again. Grow up toddyboy!
> >
> >
> > If >>MY<< Junior High Schooler came home using language like
that,
> > there'd be some serious attitude realignemt going on shortly
therafter.
> >
> > Disappointing that this is coming from a soon-to-be college
> > graduate, eh???
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> It a new type of college, you can only ride the short bus to classes!

I'd put him in roller skates, hand him a ski-rope tied to the
bumper, and tell him to hang on!

> You would think he would get tired of getting beat up?

Naaaah......I'm an ER Nurse by profession, Jim, and I can tell you
there ARE people who enjoy being slapped around and publically
humiliated.

Our Ill-Annoyed boytoy is one of them.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3300. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 00:31:50 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Zd2dnSbKcKj1_97fRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104769

Jim wrote:

> It's his homebrew repeater.
>

Now that a scary thought, a piece of equipment that is transmitting on
the ham bands that has been built to CB radio standards by toddyboy.

3301. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 00:32:38 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Pd2dnX9kLb_Wwd7fRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 104766

"Jim" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:OYqdnfah5LiMxd7fRVn-vQ@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>> news:1111650198.368957.160410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>There's a repeater all ready there.
>>>
>>>Todd n9ogl
>>>
>>
>>
>> If there is a repeater there and you intend to use it, you had better get
>> the permission of the repeater owner. If you don't, he has the right to
>> file an interference complaint and the FCC has a pretty good record of
>> going after people who misuse repeaters.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
> Read all the post ms Flint.
> It's his homebrew repeater.
>

Sometimes posts are delayed and we get the follow-on information AFTER
posting to the original item.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3302. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 00:34:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <g-CdnR7zm8Uqwd7fRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 104767

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111710053.523632.36470@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:

[snip]

>>
>> If he really wanted to, he could file a form (in most states) for DBA
> (doing
>> business as). Then it has legal standing for a lot of activities. I
> don't
>> know whether it applies to radio and licensing though.
>
> The key phrase there, Dee, is " doing 'business' as "...There's
> no valid reason for him to file an Amateur Radio application with a
> DBA.
>
> Now....M A Y B E if he got a DBA and convieniently forgot to
> mention it WAS a DBA, he'd slide in under the FCC's noses and get that
> license he's whining about...But I doubt it...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Wonder which version is on his birth certificate.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3303. Re: The RRAP/OGL train-wreck has been fun to watch thus far...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 00:46:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111711597.887085.278870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104768

N9OGL wrote:
> It's nice to be entertaining to someone.

Oh, you are EVERY BIT "entertaining", Todd!

Keep it up!

Steve, K4YZ

3304. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 01:51:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111715482.536335.213160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104770

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Jim wrote:
>
>
> > It's his homebrew repeater.
> >
>
> Now that a scary thought, a piece of equipment that is transmitting
on
> the ham bands that has been built to CB radio standards by toddyboy.

Powered by a massive electrolytic capacitor that's cooled by
liquid oxygen!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3305. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 02:10:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111716628.197284.318420@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104771

K4YZ wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > Jim wrote:
> >
> >
> > > It's his homebrew repeater.
> > >
> >
> > Now that a scary thought, a piece of equipment that is transmitting
> on
> > the ham bands that has been built to CB radio standards by
toddyboy.
>
> Powered by a massive electrolytic capacitor that's cooled by
> liquid oxygen!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Well, there's where your wrong steve, the capacitor isn't cooled at all. It the chamber where the 1.4Mv of electricity is sent from it output point to the metal plates which collects the electrity, it is sent to the hybrid capacitor. The liquid oxygen flows through pipes like in your car between the plate chamber and the outer skin. Liquid Oxygen is used to keep the plate chamber cool because liquid oxygen is near absolute zero.

Todd N9OGL

Todd

3306. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 02:35:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Wa-dnbCMNMKZ5N7fRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104772

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>>Jim wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>It's his homebrew repeater.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Now that a scary thought, a piece of equipment that is transmitting
>>
>>on
>>
>>>the ham bands that has been built to CB radio standards by
>
> toddyboy.
>
>> Powered by a massive electrolytic capacitor that's cooled by
>>liquid oxygen!
>>
>>73
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> Well, there's where your wrong steve, the capacitor isn't cooled at
> all. It the chamber where the 1.4Mv of electricity is sent from it
> output point to the metal plates which collects the electrity, it is
> sent to the hybrid capacitor. The liquid oxygen flows through pipes
> like in your car between the plate chamber and the outer skin. Liquid
> Oxygen is used to keep the plate chamber cool because liquid oxygen is
> near absolute zero.

Oy! Perhaps there are better choices than LOX?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3307. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 02:41:12 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <oZudnfA0rIXR597fRVn-qQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104773

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>>Jim wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>It's his homebrew repeater.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Now that a scary thought, a piece of equipment that is transmitting
>>
>>on
>>
>>>the ham bands that has been built to CB radio standards by
>
> toddyboy.
>
>> Powered by a massive electrolytic capacitor that's cooled by
>>liquid oxygen!
>>
>>73
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> Well, there's where your wrong steve, the capacitor isn't cooled at
> all. It the chamber where the 1.4Mv of electricity is sent from it
> output point to the metal plates which collects the electrity, it is
> sent to the hybrid capacitor. The liquid oxygen flows through pipes
> like in your car between the plate chamber and the outer skin. Liquid
> Oxygen is used to keep the plate chamber cool because liquid oxygen is
> near absolute zero.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
> Todd

Todd, I understand that the voices in your head tell you that if you
transmit in the past on your repeater that it will receive in the future.
If you want to be everyone's hero, here's a hint for an invention.

Invent a motor/engine big enough to propel a motor vehicle at 60 mph and have that motor/engine run purely on stray bpl signals!

3308. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 02:41:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111718509.540293.168580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104774

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > Jim wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > > It's his homebrew repeater.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Now that a scary thought, a piece of equipment that is
transmitting
> > on
> > > the ham bands that has been built to CB radio standards by
> toddyboy.
> >
> > Powered by a massive electrolytic capacitor that's cooled by
> > liquid oxygen!
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Well, there's where your wrong steve, the capacitor isn't cooled at
> all. It the chamber where the 1.4Mv of electricity is sent from it
> output point to the metal plates which collects the electrity, it is
> sent to the hybrid capacitor. The liquid oxygen flows through pipes
> like in your car between the plate chamber and the outer skin. Liquid
> Oxygen is used to keep the plate chamber cool because liquid oxygen
is
> near absolute zero.

Hey...Mr Wizard...

Do you know what you get when you mix fuel, an oxidizer and an
ignition source?

Do you know why liquid NITROGEN is used for cryogenic cooling
rather than OXYGEN...?!?!

Since I know YOU don't know the answer, why don't you ask some Cub
Scout on his first camp-out working on his fire badge...I bet HE
knows...

Steve, K4YZ

3309. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 02:49:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111718952.797661.240950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104775

Jim wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >>Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>
> >>>Jim wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>It's his homebrew repeater.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Now that a scary thought, a piece of equipment that is
transmitting
> >>
> >>on
> >>
> >>>the ham bands that has been built to CB radio standards by
> >>
> > toddyboy.
> >
> >> Powered by a massive electrolytic capacitor that's cooled by
> >>liquid oxygen!
> >>
> >>73
> >>
> >>Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >
> > Well, there's where your wrong steve, the capacitor isn't cooled at
> > all. It the chamber where the 1.4Mv of electricity is sent from it
> > output point to the metal plates which collects the electrity, it
is
> > sent to the hybrid capacitor. The liquid oxygen flows through pipes
> > like in your car between the plate chamber and the outer skin.
Liquid
> > Oxygen is used to keep the plate chamber cool because liquid oxygen
is
> > near absolute zero.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
> >

> > Todd
>
> Todd, I understand that the voices in your head tell you that if
you
> transmit in the past on your repeater that it will receive in the
future.
> If you want to be everyone's hero, here's a hint for an invention.
> Invent a motor/engine big enough to propel a motor vehicle at 60 mph
and
> have that motor/engine run purely on stray bpl signals!

Hey Jim...Better yet...after we invent the Warp Drive engine,
we'll pick some spot in deep space and fly ahead of his RF and put a
repeater out there, then fly back to Earth and tell him when/where to
listen.

Hopefully while he's trying to figure out how to get a signal back
to himself, medicine will have found a way to repair his deficient
DNA...Or maybe just a non-traumatic way to get his head out of his
intestinal tract...

73

Steve, K4YZ

3310. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 02:49:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111718979.947292.35920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104776

K4YZ wrote:
> >From the ARRL webpage: (www.arrl.org)
>
> Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty Exercise
>
> NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 21, 2005--Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES)
> members in Connecticut, New Jersey and several other states in the
> Northeastern US are preparing to take part in what's being
> characterized as the most comprehensive terrorism response exercise
> ever conducted in the US. Sponsored by the US Department of Homeland
> Security and intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland
> security system, the exercise--TOPOFF 3--gets under way Monday, April
> 4, and continues through the week.
>
> SNIP
>
> As usual...rants derailed by facts.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I don't see any mention of the FCC nor Len.

As usual, rants derailed by facts. Hi!

3311. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 03:01:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111719713.310160.117350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104777

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > >From the ARRL webpage: (www.arrl.org)
> >
> > Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty Exercise
> >
> > NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 21, 2005--Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES)
> > members in Connecticut, New Jersey and several other states in the
> > Northeastern US are preparing to take part in what's being
> > characterized as the most comprehensive terrorism response exercise
> > ever conducted in the US. Sponsored by the US Department of
Homeland
> > Security and intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland
> > security system, the exercise--TOPOFF 3--gets under way Monday,
April
> > 4, and continues through the week.
> >
> > SNIP
> >
> > As usual...rants derailed by facts.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I don't see any mention of the FCC nor Len.

Who mentioned the FCC, Brain?

(1) Notice the title..."Guess The Government Isn't Paying
Attention to Lennie?"...?!?!

(2) "Sponsored by the US Department of Homeland Security and
intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland security
system..."(SNIP)

See...?!?! You screwed up...AGAIN.

And you're absolutely right about Lennie...No meniton of him...

However HIS rants in this forum as to how unimportant Amateur
Radio is and how we "self-glorify" ourselves are numerous and well
known.

The news release was absolutely proof positive that Lennie's
assessments of Amateur Radio's disaster related services are unfounded

and, to say teh least, inaccurate.

Just like I said.

> As usual, rants derailed by facts.

You're absolutely right...And you did it to yourself...

"Hi!"

Steve, K4YZ

3312. Re: K0HB, Lennie of the North, Returns To RRAP And Comes Out Slinging!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 03:12:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111720366.281245.299310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104778

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > An Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > K4YZ is quick to demand proof and slow to produce proof.
> > > >
> > > > And he lies.
> > >
> > > So far, other than refusing to tell you the nature of my
> military
> > > duties, you have nothing to "back up" that assertion, Brain.
> >
> > "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."
> >
> > Why did you tell Len about slashed tires, bricks through windows,
and
> > terrorized wives???
>
> Becasue it was pertinent at the time.

Pertinent, how?

And factual, according to
> the

According to what? Pffft. You can't even finish a thought.

> > > And the reason I don't discuss THOSE matters with you is
that
> > the
> > > time I DID discuss with you (in private e-mail),
> >
> > You used to send me all kinds of crap, even though I've asked you
not
> > to. I prefer to keep everything public. You're not to be trusted.
>
> And you've never asked me to "keep everything public."

Repeatedly. As in again and again.

> I can understand your desire to do so, though...

Indeed. You are not to be trusted, that's why.

You're just one of
> those folks who get's "stimulation" from being publically humiliated.

Great. More sexual inuendo. Who would have thought you'd do it again
so soon after being called out for it?

> You certainly provide plenty of opportunities to do so!

You humiliate yourself, your ancestors, your progeny and amateur
extras.

> > YOU violated my trust
> > > by bringing those private e-mails to the NG contrary to your
> > agreement
> > > to not do it.
> >
> > What agreement? What trust? You're a liar.
>
> The agreement wherein you told me in an open post that you'd
keep
> anything exchanged in e mail confidential.
>
> You didn't do it.

> And no, I'm not.

The worst kind of liar. You believe your own lies.

I'm INTOLERANT of liars and lies, which is why I
> keep after you and yours...

Your intolerance makes you a freak show in the RRAP Tent. I hope
you've got your flea market space reserved at Dayton. You'll clean up.

> But it's getting hard to keep up...You are nothing if not
> prolific.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Double-entendre? You think you're the master of sexual inuendo, but
you're just vulgar.

3313. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 03:29:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111721362.574016.17940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104779

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > Jim wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > It's his homebrew repeater.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Now that a scary thought, a piece of equipment that is
> transmitting
> > > on
> > > > the ham bands that has been built to CB radio standards by
> > toddyboy.
> > > >
> > > Powered by a massive electrolytic capacitor that's cooled by
> > > liquid oxygen!
> > > >
> > > 73
> > > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Well, there's where your wrong steve, the capacitor isn't cooled at
> > all. It the chamber where the 1.4Mv of electricity is sent from it
> > output point to the metal plates which collects the electrity, it
is
> > sent to the hybrid capacitor. The liquid oxygen flows through pipes
> > like in your car between the plate chamber and the outer skin.
Liquid
> > Oxygen is used to keep the plate chamber cool because liquid oxygen
> is
> > near absolute zero.
>
> Hey...Mr Wizard...
>
> Do you know what you get when you mix fuel, an oxidizer and an
> ignition source?

That's Just it you moron, it isn't anywhere near a ignition source.
you and you QRZ/EHAM CB buddies on here better stick with playing with
your radio's

Todd N9OGL

> Do you know why liquid NITROGEN is used for cryogenic cooling
> rather than OXYGEN...?!?!
>
> Since I know YOU don't know the answer, why don't you ask some
Cub
> Scout on his first camp-out working on his fire badge...I bet HE
> knows...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3314. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 04:36:02 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.idvwg21.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 104782

On 24 Mar 2005 13:52:20 -0800, K4YZ wrote:

>> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
>
> ESPECIALLY one with an identity crisis.

No doubt that's the Gaelic spelling of his family name.

If that is the case, maybe we should suggest that he go to Belfast
and set up his pirate radio there. Let's see what Her Majesty's
Forces would do in that case..... <ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3315. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 04:44:55 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111725894.995456.203280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104780

Looking up Toddy's old posts has been a laugh a minute. It has proven
to be one of the most entertaining things ever.

3316. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 04:54:22 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.idvxam2.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 104784

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 19:56:46 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:

>N9OGL wrote:

>> Under the Communication Act of 1934 the FCC has juridiction over
>> interstate and foreign commerce via wire or radio, Intrastate
>> communications is the juridiction of the state.
>
>That's simply wrong if you're discussing radio.

It sure is. Perhaps we should point Mister Wannabe to Section 301 of the Comm Act wherein The Congress gave the FCC jurisdiction over all non-Federal radio transmissions originating in one state and received in the same or another state or foreign country. This codified the law as found by the Federal courts in the _Brown_ case in the early 1980s and the _Betteridge_ case in -- ready for this -- 1942.

The SCOTUS, OTOH, found that the states retain jurisdiction over radio only to the extent that they may regulate radiotelephone rates and service areas. The FCC retains jurisdiction over all other matters.

And finally, thanks to the Finegold Bill, the states and local jurisdictions can pass laws making it a state-enforceable offense to violate the CB regulations of power, frequencies, and type-certified eauipment, subject to appeal to the FCC, and exempting holders of valid FCC licenses in other services.

That's it. "There ain't no more."

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3317. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 04:59:30 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.idvxj63.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 104783

On 24 Mar 2005 02:30:36 -0800, K4YZ wrote:

>Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty Exercise

[balanced snipped]

Yup - it's real - and nationwide. I get to sit in the local
hospital's EOC passing traffic on ham channels for two - count 'em -
two shifts during this one. They won't even let me see the scripts
until I get there, too.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3318. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 05:13:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42439D3D.566ADBC5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104781

K4YZ wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:

> > NO it isn't you dumbass, O'Dochartaigh and Daugherty are the same
> > thing...so #### YOU!!!!!
>
> No, they are NOT the same thing.
>
> Your legal name is either O'Dochartaigh or it's Daugherty.

This guy is a piece of work.

Dave Clouston (Clouston and Heil are the same thing, or at least are
close enough) K8MN

3319. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 05:30:32 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <YIN0e.8075$S46.4377@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104785

>
> By the way, didn't he indicate he was a "poor college student"?

Maybe "poor" in the sense that he gets lots of C's and D's
and no A's. "I enjoyed Calculus so much I took it twice".

3320. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 05:35:58 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <2rN0e.8079$S46.2831@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104786

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> Jim wrote:
>
>
>> It's his homebrew repeater.
>>
>
> Now that a scary thought, a piece of equipment that is transmitting on
> the ham bands that has been built to CB radio standards by toddyboy.
>
If he's sharing tower space with other users (non-ham at that),
I hope he took precautions one needs to to avoid intermod
trash with all those transmitters making lots of RF on that
tower. Things like circulators and such.

3321. Re: K0HB, Lennie of the North, Returns To RRAP And Comes Out Slinging!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 06:01:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111730501.027977.14370@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104787

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Becasue it was pertinent at the time.
>
> Pertinent, how?

Beacause Lennie is an antagonist. He continues to make
inappropriate comments, slanderous statements and otherwise outlandish
claims and insults in a forum where he has no other intent but to be an
antagnoist.

> And factual, according to
> > the
>
> According to what? Pffft. You can't even finish a thought.

I see. You've never accidentally dropped a line in a quote?

Uh huh...

> > > > And the reason I don't discuss THOSE matters with you is
> that
> > > the
> > > > time I DID discuss with you (in private e-mail),
> > >
> > > You used to send me all kinds of crap, even though I've asked you
> not
> > > to. I prefer to keep everything public. You're not to be
trusted.
> >
> > And you've never asked me to "keep everything public."
>
> Repeatedly. As in again and again.

You're being mistruthful. As in "again and again"

> > I can understand your desire to do so, though...
>
> Indeed. You are not to be trusted, that's why.

You should talk, Brain...

Your history is one frought with lies, mistruths and overt deceit.

Brian P. Burke will be remembered for these things.

> You're just one of
> > those folks who get's "stimulation" from being publically
humiliated.
>
> Great. More sexual inuendo. Who would have thought you'd do it
again
> so soon after being called out for it?

"Called out"...?!?!

Have you been over to Illinois this week?

And, while you may not care for teh choice of words, the fact
remains that there are those who enjoy being publically
humiliated...Kinda like what I did to you this evening in another
thread.

And "calling out" sure sounds like you're demanding a fight.

Don't do that unless you're ready to accept the consequences,
Brain.

> > You certainly provide plenty of opportunities to do so!
>
> You humiliate yourself, your ancestors, your progeny and amateur
> extras.

How?

By constantly rubbing YOUR nose in yours and Lennie's newsgroup
droppings?

Hardly.

Brian P. Burke is a liar. That you are unrepentant in your
misdeeds makes you a punk liar. No problem to me. I deal with folks
like you every day, Brain.

> > > YOU violated my trust
> > > > by bringing those private e-mails to the NG contrary to your
> > > agreement
> > > > to not do it.
> > >
> > > What agreement? What trust? You're a liar.
> >
> > The agreement wherein you told me in an open post that you'd
> keep
> > anything exchanged in e mail confidential.
> >
> > You didn't do it.
> >
> > And no, I'm not.
>
> The worst kind of liar. You believe your own lies.

There's no lie on my part to believe or disbelieve, Brain.

> >I'm INTOLERANT of liars and lies, which is why I
> > keep after you and yours...
>
> Your intolerance makes you a freak show in the RRAP Tent. I hope
> you've got your flea market space reserved at Dayton. You'll clean
up.

I am sure you find my constant whittling away of your stories
annoying, Brian, but, as I said, if you'd stop it, I'd have nothing to
do.

> > But it's getting hard to keep up...You are nothing if not
> > prolific.
>
> Double-entendre? You think you're the master of sexual inuendo, but
> you're just vulgar.

You can see vulgarity in the Bible if you read it right, Brain.

I am sure you see "vulgarity" in many things, Brain. No doubt
they are the same things that keep reminding you that you're not the
man you COULD be.

If you'd just stop lying, and then trying to cover up the lying,
you'd feel much better about a great many things, including yourself.

It IS up to you, Brain....Give it a try...

Steve, K4YZ

3322. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 06:06:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111730764.002535.84320@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104788

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > > Jim wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > It's his homebrew repeater.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Now that a scary thought, a piece of equipment that is
> > transmitting
> > > > on
> > > > > the ham bands that has been built to CB radio standards by
> > > toddyboy.
> > > > >
> > > > Powered by a massive electrolytic capacitor that's cooled
by
> > > > liquid oxygen!
> > > > >
> > > > 73
> > > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > Well, there's where your wrong steve, the capacitor isn't cooled
at
> > > all. It the chamber where the 1.4Mv of electricity is sent from
it
> > > output point to the metal plates which collects the electrity, it
> is
> > > sent to the hybrid capacitor. The liquid oxygen flows through
pipes
> > > like in your car between the plate chamber and the outer skin.
> Liquid
> > > Oxygen is used to keep the plate chamber cool because liquid
oxygen
> > is
> > > near absolute zero.
> > >
> > Hey...Mr Wizard...
> >
> > Do you know what you get when you mix fuel, an oxidizer and an
> > ignition source?

> >
> > Do you know why liquid NITROGEN is used for cryogenic cooling
> > rather than OXYGEN...?!?!
> >
> > Since I know YOU don't know the answer, why don't you ask some
> Cub
> > Scout on his first camp-out working on his fire badge...I bet HE
> > knows...
>
> That's Just it you moron, it isn't anywhere near a ignition source.
> you and you QRZ/EHAM CB buddies on here better stick with playing
with
> your radio's.

Sure it is, Toddie! Your storage capacitor is a great source!
Kile I said...Let the cryoplant fail, the LOX rewarms, the capacitor
discharges, and ....kaaaaaa-BOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOM!

And what's your problem with QRZ and eHam...???

Other than they are far less tolerant of an idiot than I am...???

Steve, K4YZ

3323. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 06:18:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111731536.875292.52410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104789

robert casey wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> > Jim wrote:
> >
> >
> >> It's his homebrew repeater.
> >>
> >
> > Now that a scary thought, a piece of equipment that is transmitting
on
> > the ham bands that has been built to CB radio standards by
toddyboy.
> >
> If he's sharing tower space with other users (non-ham at that),
> I hope he took precautions one needs to to avoid intermod
> trash with all those transmitters making lots of RF on that
> tower. Things like circulators and such.

What ever he's "sharing" with other "users", Robert, it ain't
tower space!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3324. Re: More Brian P Burke...He Just Doesn't Learn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 06:21:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111731695.052240.285720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104790

K4YZ wrote:

> bb wrote:

> > K4YZ wrote:

> > > >From the ARRL webpage: (www.arrl.org)
> > >
> > > Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty Exercise
> > >
> > > NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 21, 2005--Amateur Radio Emergency Service
(ARES)
> > > members in Connecticut, New Jersey and several other states in
the
> > > Northeastern US are preparing to take part in what's being
> > > characterized as the most comprehensive terrorism response
exercise
> > > ever conducted in the US. Sponsored by the US Department of
> Homeland
> > > Security and intended as a realistic test of the nation's
homeland
> > > security system, the exercise--TOPOFF 3--gets under way Monday,
> April
> > > 4, and continues through the week.
> > >
> > > SNIP
> > >
> > > As usual...rants derailed by facts.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I don't see any mention of the FCC nor Len.
>
> Who mentioned the FCC, Brain?
>
> (1) Notice the title..."Guess The Government Isn't Paying
> Attention to Lennie?"...?!?!
>
> (2) "Sponsored by the US Department of Homeland Security and
> intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland security
> system..."(SNIP)
>
> See...?!?! You screwed up...AGAIN.

>
> And you're absolutely right about Lennie...No meniton of him...
>
> However HIS rants in this forum as to how unimportant Amateur
> Radio is and how we "self-glorify" ourselves are numerous and well
> known.
>
> The news release was absolutely proof positive that Lennie's
> assessments of Amateur Radio's disaster related services are
unfounded
> and, to say teh least, inaccurate.
>
> Just like I said.
>
> > As usual, rants derailed by facts.
>
> You're absolutely right...And you did it to yourself...
>
> "Hi!"
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3325. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 06:23:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111731815.780067.269980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104791

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 24 Mar 2005 02:30:36 -0800, K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty Exercise
>
> [balanced snipped]
>
> Yup - it's real - and nationwide. I get to sit in the local
> hospital's EOC passing traffic on ham channels for two - count 'em
-
> two shifts during this one. They won't even let me see the scripts
> until I get there, too.....

They just installed our new Amateur station, tower and all, at my
facility, but I don't think we get to play...

73

Steve, K4YZ

3326. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 06:29:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111732152.055309.64580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104792

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 24 Mar 2005 13:52:20 -0800, K4YZ wrote:
>
> >> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> > >
> > > ESPECIALLY one with an identity crisis.
> >
> > No doubt that's the Gaelic spelling of his family name.
> >
> > If that is the case, maybe we should suggest that he go to Belfast
> > and set up his pirate radio there. Let's see what Her Majesty's
> > Forces would do in that case..... <ggg>

Considering the fact that we are technically in a state of war, I'd
think twice about intentionally violating federal laws...And I'd be
doubly careful about badmouthing federal officials.

Steve, K4YZ

3327. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 06:34:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111732472.592742.188080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104793

robert casey wrote:
> >
> > By the way, didn't he indicate he was a "poor college student"?
>
> Maybe "poor" in the sense that he gets lots of C's and D's
> and no A's. "I enjoyed Calculus so much I took it twice".

To be sure!

We've already seen his competency in English.

Imagine what it would be like in line at the grocery store where
he will undoubtedly wind up working if we didn't have scanners to "do
the math" for him.

And I am being liberal here when I suggest he can handle THAT
job!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3328. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 06:39:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111732782.700213.223920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104794

Dave Heil wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
>
> > > NO it isn't you dumbass, O'Dochartaigh and Daugherty are the same
> > > thing...so #### YOU!!!!!
> >
> > No, they are NOT the same thing.
> >
> > Your legal name is either O'Dochartaigh or it's Daugherty.
>
> This guy is a piece of work.
>
> Dave Clouston (Clouston and Heil are the same thing, or at least are
> close enough) K8MN

I'll adopt the name of a cartoon character my dad
drew..."Alexander P Fuddlemeyer III"

73

Steve, K4YZ

3329. Re: More N9OGL Stuff Dismissed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 06:49:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111733361.712039.219250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104795

K4YZ wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:

> > >Unlike SOME people who sign documents as the "CEO" of non-existant
> > >companies and organizations, using ficticious last names, etc...
> >
> > Not true, we are a non-profit organization who's purpose is to
> promote
> > Theater, Telecommunications, and the Performing Arts, and what
> > ficticious name???
>
> OK, Toddie...Is your last name "Daugherty", as you have it on
your
> Amateur Radio license, or is it "O'Dochartaigh" as you've posted on
> USENET posts, recent and current?

You've answered other posts today, Todd, but avoided answering
this one, for some reason.

Why?

> >I think your smoking crack.
>
> You're welcome to make that suggestion to the authorities, Todd.
>
> Of course it would be yet another unfounded, "barking at the
moon"
> outburst from one who is already so well known for being an idiot in
> public.
>
> Lastly, I wonder if "the members" of your "non-profit
organization
> who's purpose is to promote Theater, Telecommunicaitons and the
> Performing Arts" know that you use thier name in the signature line
of
> posts that are profane, functionally illiterate, acknowledge
violation
> of federal law and are just basically humiliating...???
>
> I know in the programs that I belong to, if I were to
"represent"
> myself as speaking on thier behalf in posts that were as assinine,
> profane, threatening and irrational as yours, I'd be "removed from
> office" if not removed from the membership altogether!
>

> Under what chapter of Illinois law are you organized? Do you
have
> IRS 501(c)3 tax exclusionary status? If so, what is the certificate
> number or date of waiver and who is the authorizing agent?

You didn't answer this one, either...Is there a problem...??? Do
you not know? Did you not understand the questions?

Steve, K4YZ

3330. Re: Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 08:17:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111738660.972461.49260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104796

K4YZ wrote:

So, Dieter, I have PROVEN with DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE that YOUR assertions that I "posted" to "allaudio", hence allegedly trying to go "behind your back" were FALSE.

What are you going to do now?

Steve, K4YZ

3331. Re: Here We Have N9OGL Stepping In His Own Words...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 09:34:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111743295.279519.131890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104797

Hey Todd,

Did you think I forgot this?

Still wondering what that great station line-up at Radio N9OGL
is...

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....????

Steve, K4YZ

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote: (but still refuses to attribute quotes he makes)
> > >
> > > >> the existing AirMail/SailMail PACTOR systems...which operate
> under
> > > an
> > > >> entirely different set of rules and regulations than does
> amateur
> > > >> radio.
> > > >
> > > > I've never heard of them......I do know amateurs are not
> restricted
> > > on
> > > > what they can experiment in.
> > >
> > > Todd...Why don't you try a couple of coffee cans with some
> > > monofilament line stretched between them...That's what your
"idea"
> > (not
> > > invention) amounts to...
> >
> > NICE....coming from a appliance user....like i stated before, you
> have
> > have an idea first before you do anything...but since your an
> appliance
> > users, I can understand that you don't understand how it
> works.....but
> > here's an idea why don't back to QRZ with all the other applicance
> > users....and debate more about BPL, or the CODE issue.
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm..."appliance user"...
>
> OK, Todd...here's your chance...Impress us with your 100%

> homebrew/kitbuilt station set up. Please tell us what gear YOU are
> using for your "information bulletins", etc.
>
> Remember...even ONE YaeKenCom rig in your inventory makes YOU
and
> "applicance user" too.
>
> I say you're busted again.
>
> (Get used to it...It's not the first, it's not the last, and
the
> way you're going, you'll get "busted" by people who can REALLY
"bust"
> you ver soon!)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3332. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 10:42:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1111747334.863637.302150@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104798

Bert Craig wrote:
> Woo Hoo, only WY left for WAS!
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384
> QRP ARCI #11782

WOO HOO is right! Congrats!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3333. Re: Brian P Burke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 11:25:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111749934.494075.245380@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104799

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > bb wrote:
>
> > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > >From the ARRL webpage: (www.arrl.org)
> > > >
> > > > Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty
Exercise
> > > >
> > > > NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 21, 2005--Amateur Radio Emergency Service
> (ARES)
> > > > members in Connecticut, New Jersey and several other states in
> the
> > > > Northeastern US are preparing to take part in what's being
> > > > characterized as the most comprehensive terrorism response
> exercise
> > > > ever conducted in the US. Sponsored by the US Department of
> > Homeland
> > > > Security and intended as a realistic test of the nation's
> homeland
> > > > security system, the exercise--TOPOFF 3--gets under way Monday,
> > April
> > > > 4, and continues through the week.
> > > >
> > > > SNIP
> > > >
> > > > As usual...rants derailed by facts.
> > > >
> > > > 73
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > I don't see any mention of the FCC nor Len.
> >
> > Who mentioned the FCC, Brain?
> >
> > (1) Notice the title..."Guess The Government Isn't Paying
> > Attention to Lennie?"...?!?!
> >
> > (2) "Sponsored by the US Department of Homeland Security and
> > intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland security

> > system..."(SNIP)
> >
> > See...?!?! You screwed up...AGAIN.
> >
> > And you're absolutely right about Lennie...No meniton of
him...
> >
> > However HIS rants in this forum as to how unimportant Amateur
> > Radio is and how we "self-glorify" ourselves are numerous and well
> > known.
> >
> > The news release was absolutely proof positive that Lennie's
> > assessments of Amateur Radio's disaster related services are
> unfounded
> > and, to say teh least, inaccurate.
> >
> > Just like I said.
> >
> > > As usual, rants derailed by facts.
> >
> > You're absolutely right...And you did it to yourself...
> >
> > "Hi!"
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

3334. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 14:02:08 UTC
From: Ron038655@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111759328.445027.310620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104850

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

3335. Re: Low Power AM Broadcasting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 14:10:16 UTC
From: "Dr. Daffodil Swain" <wa4jm@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cZU0e.3526$z.1730@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mount the transmitter in a wx proof box, directly under the antenna to
comply with Part 15. get it high up. >15 feet. keep the antenna 3 meters.
have fun.

--
For music, news and commentary you are not allowed to hear on corporate
owned radio:
http://www.live365.com/stations/pascoradio
To see what's currently scheduled:
http://www.live365.com/broadcast/scheduler/?stationname=pascoradio

First Time Users May Be asked To Do A 1 Time Setup.
The service and the player are FREE.
Email me if you have problems listening.
"EVV" <simcct@ewwsimcctnet.net> wrote in message
news:d1ci48$5ip$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "WCRV WCRV" <WCRV@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:10699-4239AE32-497@storefull-3317.bay.webtv.net...
> > The Low-Power AM station hobby has become more popular over the past 2
> > years.
> > In the U.S, it is legal to broadcast over the AM band 540kHz-1700kHz
> > using a 3
> > meter antenna and a 100mW transmitter.
> > Until recently, building a small AM radio station was very complicated
> > and very expensive, until now.
> > Using a SSTRAN AMT-3000 transmitter, which comes as a kit for under
> > $100.00US, or a built version which comes assembled and tested for under
> > $200.00 US, and a 3 meter, coil loaded and tunable antenna for around
> > $225.00 US, anyone can get on the air right now!
> > I get questions about range: the system I am describing has had reports
> > from 1/2 mile to over 4 miles! Range totally depends on these factors:
> > location, scope of the land, conductivity, choice of
> > frequency/adjacencies, and use of this antenna.
> > If the transmitter and antenna are installed correctly in a proper area,
> > several miles are possible. Many people are interested in a good, solid
> > local signal and this system does it for a fraction of the amount of
> > money you will spend for a comparable system.
> > If you are interested in low power AM Broadcasting, I invite you to
> > visit this site:
> > http://antenna18431.tripod.com/antenna.htm
> > In this site you will find information on the 3 meter antenna, pictures
> > of it, information and pictures of the transmitter and a link to email
> > questions, comments and inquiries to.

> > If you would like to be on the air, this is an excellent choice to do it
> > well, and at a very affordable price!
> >
>
>
> I have monitored several low-power AM stations like this in the
> Philadelphia/NYC area, also Atlanta.
>
>
>

3336. 3945-The Wigger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 14:11:55 UTC
From: "Dr. Daffodil Swain" <wa4jm@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <L_U0e.3528$z.3136@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104852

Thank god he's gone.

3337. Re: K0HB, Lennie of the North, Returns To RRAP And Comes Out Slinging!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 14:52:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111762332.412927.129890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104853

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Becasue it was pertinent at the time.
> >
> > Pertinent, how?
>
> Beacause Lennie is an antagonist.

And you're not?

He continues to make
> inappropriate comments, slanderous statements and otherwise
outlandish
> claims and insults in a forum where he has no other intent but to be
an
> antagnoist.

So ignore him instead of dragging yourself up/down.

> > And factual, according to
> > > the
> >
> > According to what? Pffft. You can't even finish a thought.
>
> I see. You've never accidentally dropped a line in a quote?
>
> Uh huh...

You're just a little too eager to get your own insults and slander out
on the newsgroup.

So go ahead and finish your thought if you can recall where you were
going with it.

> > > > > And the reason I don't discuss THOSE matters with you is
> > that
> > > > > the
> > > > > time I DID discuss with you (in private e-mail),
> > > >
> > > > You used to send me all kinds of crap, even though I've asked
you
> > not
> > > > to. I prefer to keep everything public. You're not to be
> trusted.

> > >
> > > And you've never asked me to "keep everything public."
> >
> > Repeatedly. As in again and again.
>
> You're being mistruthful. As in "again and again"

You're lying again.

> > > I can understand your desire to do so, though...
> >
> > Indeed. You are not to be trusted, that's why.
>
> You should talk, Brain...
>
> Your history is one frought with lies, mistruths and overt
deceit.
>
> Brian P. Burke will be remembered for these things.

Only by you.

> > You're just one of
> > > those folks who get's "stimulation" from being publically
> humiliated.
> >
> > Great. More sexual inuendo. Who would have thought you'd do it
> again
> > so soon after being called out for it?
>
> "Called out"...?!?!

Repeatedly. As in again and again.

> Have you been over to Illinois this week?

I've been up to Toledo.

> And, while you may not care for teh choice of words, the fact
> remains that there are those who enjoy being publically
> humiliated...Kinda like what I did to you this evening in another
> thread.

You do nothing except embarass yourself. You're sick.

> And "calling out" sure sounds like you're demanding a fight.

Your "toe to toe" remarks to Deiter sound like you think you're in a
fist fight.

> Don't do that unless you're ready to accept the consequences,
> Brain.

A pudgy 100% disabled vet? I wouldn't embarass myself.

> > > You certainly provide plenty of opportunities to do so!
> >
> > You humiliate yourself, your ancestors, your progeny and amateur
> > extras.
>
> How?
>
> By constantly rubbing YOUR nose in yours and Lennie's newsgroup
> droppings?
>
> Hardly.
>
> Brian P. Burke is a liar. That you are unrepentant in your
> misdeeds makes you a punk liar.

Always itching for a fight, aren't you?

No problem to me. I deal with folks
> like you every day, Brain.

I certainly hope so... sane, law abiding people who don't go around
making threats. Now only if you'd deal with a few psychiatrists...

> > > > YOU violated my trust
> > > > > by bringing those private e-mails to the NG contrary to your
> > > > agreement
> > > > > to not do it.
> > > >
> > > > What agreement? What trust? You're a liar.
> > >
> > > The agreement wherein you told me in an open post that you'd
> > keep
> > > anything exchanged in e mail confidential.
> > >
> > > You didn't do it.
> > >
> > > And no, I'm not.
> >
> > The worst kind of liar. You believe your own lies.
>
> There's no lie on my part to believe or disbelieve, Brain.

You make my point.

> > >I'm INTOLERANT of liars and lies, which is why I
> > > keep after you and yours...
> >
> > Your intolerance makes you a freak show in the RRAP Tent. I hope
> > you've got your flea market space reserved at Dayton. You'll clean
> up.
>
> I am sure you find my constant whittling away of your stories
> annoying, Brian, but, as I said, if you'd stop it, I'd have nothing

to
> do.

Hardly. You've found newer targets than Len and myself; Deiter, Todd,
Loyd, and anyone who dares to post anonymously with a view that you
don't agree with.

It's all archived.

> > > But it's getting hard to keep up...You are nothing if not
> > > prolific.
> >
> > Double-entendre? You think you're the master of sexual inuendo,
but
> > you're just vulgar.
>
> You can see vulgarity in the Bible if you read it right, Brain.

I can see it in your remarks to me.

> I am sure you see "vulgarity" in many things, Brain.

I see it in you.

No doubt
> they are the same things that keep reminding you that you're not the
> man you COULD be.

I'm good with who I am. You have a need to lash out at people. That
tells the story about who you are.

> If you'd just stop lying, and then trying to cover up the lying,
> you'd feel much better about a great many things, including yourself.
>
> It IS up to you, Brain....Give it a try...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Nurse, heal thyself. Or at least seek professional help.

3338. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 15:08:21 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1111763301.442404.234270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104854

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >From the ARRL webpage: (www.arrl.org)
> > >
> > > Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty Exercise
> > >
> > > NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 21, 2005--Amateur Radio Emergency Service
(ARES)
> > > members in Connecticut, New Jersey and several other states in
the
> > > Northeastern US are preparing to take part in what's being
> > > characterized as the most comprehensive terrorism response
exercise
> > > ever conducted in the US. Sponsored by the US Department of
> Homeland
> > > Security and intended as a realistic test of the nation's
homeland
> > > security system, the exercise--TOPOFF 3--gets under way Monday,
> April
> > > 4, and continues through the week.
> > >
> > > SNIP
> > >
> > > As usual...rants derailed by facts.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I don't see any mention of the FCC nor Len.
>
> Who mentioned the FCC, Brain?
>
> (1) Notice the title..."Guess The Government Isn't Paying
> Attention to Lennie?"...?!?!
>
> (2) "Sponsored by the US Department of Homeland Security and
> intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland security
> system..."(SNIP)
>
> See...?!?! You screwed up...AGAIN.

The Dept of Homeland Security didn't mention Len either.

> And you're absolutely right about Lennie...No meniton of him...

Right again.

> However HIS rants in this forum as to how unimportant Amateur
> Radio is and how we "self-glorify" ourselves are numerous and well
> known.

The importance of amateur radio is easily overblown. The utility of amateur radio in emergencies is entirely dependent on mostly aging men, who may or may not be available to assist in emergencies. For example, you have the rickyranger kind of guys who volunteer for ARES, MARS, Red Cross, CAP, and probably other acronyms that he has yet to reveal to the group, yet have primary responsibilities as an emergency room nurse. So when the emergency happens, ARES, MARS and CAP are left hanging.

> The news release was absolutely proof positive that Lennie's
> assessments of Amateur Radio's disaster related services are
unfounded
> and, to say teh least, inaccurate.

Not entirely. It is situationally dependent.

> Just like I said.

I forgot. You're always right.

> > As usual, rants derailed by facts.
>
> You're absolutely right...And you did it to yourself...
>
> "Hi!"
>
> Steve, K4YZ

This isn't about me.

3339. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 19:13:42 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111778022.007178.312120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104855

Toss off ye scurvy dogs and man the guns!! There's piratin' ta do!!
Arrg!!

3340. Re: Here We Have N9OGL Stepping In His Own Words...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 19:29:36 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1111778976.307154.175180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104856

He can't even solder his own coax connectors.

3341. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 19:56:15 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <iPCdnUq-KKhT8NnfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104857

N9OGL wrote:
>
> No, I want the FCC to do is their JOB, the FCC is authorized to grant
> licenses,

Look stupid, just because the FCC is authorized to grant licenses, does not mean they have to automatically grant you one.

3342. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 19:57:07 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <iPCdnUW-KKiV89nfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104858

Dave Heil wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
>
> Even if your reply had included attributions, Todd, it would still be
> difficult to read.
>
>
>>Under the Communication Act of 1934 the FCC has juridiction over
>>interstate and foreign commerce via wire or radio, Intrastate
>>communications is the juridiction of the state.
>
>
> That's simply wrong if you're discussing radio.

Toddyboy thinks radio waves stop at state borders.

+

3343. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 20:04:19 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <T5udnVR97Npv8tnfRVn-sw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104859

N9OGL wrote:

>
> WELL YOU FUCKING BITCH IF THEY WOULD OF CONSIDER MY APPLICATION AND
> WAIVER LIKE THEIR SUPPOSE TOO THEN I WOULDN'T OF DID WHAT I DID, WHY
> SHOULD I CONTINUE TO SPEND THE TIME AND MONEY YOU FUCKING ASSHOLE ON
> APPLYING FOR A LICENSE???

They did consider your application and waiver toddyboy and came to the conclusion that you weren't capable of operating a station in the public interest, and by you post above, it is obvious to the most casual of observers that your aren't capable of operating a station. Just because you make an application for a license does not mean the FCC is required to issue you a license. Grow up toddyboy!!

3344. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 20:07:12 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <T5udnVd97Nov7dnfRVn-sw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104860

N9OGL wrote:

>>The point is you SHOULDN'T, Toddie!
>>You're not adequately qualified to be a broadcast licensee.
>>Period.
>
>
> Prove it you freaking moron.
>
>
> Sure it is. Either that, or you've lied on your FCC license.
> One or the other is wrong. Now which is it?
>
>
> NO it isn't you dumbass, O'Dochartaigh and Daugherty are the same
> thing...
>

No it isn't toddyboy, the way it is spelled on your birth certificate is your legal name, unless you have had it legally changed, the other spelling is not. Now if you have the incorrect spelling on your FCC Amateur Radio License, which is a legal document, then your Amateur Radio License isn't valid and you are operating illegally when you transmit using that license.

> so FUCK YOU!!!!!

Grow up toddyboy!!!!!

3345. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 20:17:24 UTC
From: "Steven R. Adell - KF2TI" <kf2ti@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cae820dedb92ebb989694@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104861

In article <1111778022.007178.312120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
drlehto@cox.net says...
> Toss off ye scurvy dogs and man the guns!! There's piratin' ta do!!
> Arrg!!
>
>

Did you hear about the new Pirate movie coming out in April??

It's rated: AAAArrrrr

sri couldn't resist

3346. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-25 23:51:01 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111794661.024963.75590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104862

Steven R. Adell - KF2TI wrote:
> In article <1111778022.007178.312120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
> drlehto@cox.net says...
> > Toss off ye scurvy dogs and man the guns!! There's piratin' ta
do!!
> > Arrg!!
> >
> >
>
>
> Did you hear about the new Pirate movie coming out in April??
>
> It's rated: AAAArrrrr
>
> sri couldn't resist

Let me guess...you're here ALL WEEK, right? ;-)

3347. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 00:12:54 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <eO11e.9042$jD3.2367@fe09.lga>
Source record: 104863

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1111747334.863637.302150@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
>> Woo Hoo, only WY left for WAS!
>>
>> --
>> Vy 73 de Bert
>> WA2SI
>> FISTS #9384
>> QRP ARCI #11782
>
> WOO HOO is right! Congrats!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Thanks Jim.

...and I've a sked set up for Sunday AM with a WY station. :-)

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

3348. How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 01:34:44 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com>
Message-ID: <U_21e.3992$z.878@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104864

How are the rest of the CW operators dealing with all the SSB that is showing up in the 40 meter CW portion of the band?

Here it is the weekend and there's some contest going on and there is more SSB in the CW portion of the band then there is SSB in the SSB portion of the band. What gives with this?

On HF I'm a 99% CW operator, only been licensed about a year and love CW, don't care much for SSB. But I'm starting to wonder why I paid $1800 for a Yaesu FT-1000Mk V Field as it looks like I won't be able to use it for what I bought it for this weekend...

Help
George

3349. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 03:17:11 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ot2dnQSg4ZjYSdnfRVn-jw@comcast.com>
Source record: 104865

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in message
news:U_21e.3992$z.878@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> How are the rest of the CW operators dealing with all the SSB that is
> showing up in the 40 meter CW portion of the band?
>
> Here it is the weekend and there's some contest going on and there is more
> SSB in the CW portion of the band then there is SSB in the SSB portion of
> the band. What gives with this?
>
> On HF I'm a 99% CW operator, only been licensed about a year and love CW,
> don't care much for SSB. But I'm starting to wonder why I paid $1800 for
> a Yaesu FT-1000Mk V Field as it looks like I won't be able to use it for
> what I bought it for this weekend...
>
> Help
> George

For the 40m band, the rest of the world has very different allocations from the US. They are (for now) limited to 7.100 and below. However over the next several years, they will be allowed to go up to 7.200.

What this means is that they other regions of the world operate SSB where we are limited to CW. Most of the time this isn't a problem but there are about 4 weekends per year where they do pretty much occupy the band.

If you look around though, there will still be CW ops down in the 40m CW to work. Or try the old Novice portion from 7.100 to 7.150 as some of the FISTS members monitor a frequency in their (check the FISTS website for exact frequency) and you can pick up a ragchew there.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3350. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 03:20:37 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111807237.410318.106340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104866

Set "VFO A" to RX below 7100; tune around for contesters...

Set "VFO B" to TX on whatever frequency above 7150 that the contester announces he or she listening to...

Plug in mic and have at it.

My favorite solution - assuming that you have priviledges there - is to switch to one of the WARC bands and have a go. No contesting on those bands, and ops who are fed up with the QRM a contest creates will head there in search of a QSO of more than 15 seconds duration...

3351. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 05:36:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <CNKdnT-6jaAHZ9nfRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104867

GeorgeF wrote:

> How are the rest of the CW operators dealing with all the SSB that is
> showing up in the 40 meter CW portion of the band?
>
> Here it is the weekend and there's some contest going on and there is
> more SSB in the CW portion of the band then there is SSB in the SSB
> portion of the band. What gives with this?
>
> On HF I'm a 99% CW operator, only been licensed about a year and love
> CW, don't care much for SSB. But I'm starting to wonder why I paid
> $1800 for a Yaesu FT-1000Mk V Field as it looks like I won't be able to
> use it for what I bought it for this weekend...
>
> Help
> George

OK, so it is a contest week-end, by the beginnig of the week it will be over, I heard some VE stations in the US 40 meter CW allocation, they have a right to operate there on SSB, so relax and operate another mode for the week-end, your rig and you will both survive if you don't get to operate on CW for one week-end.

3352. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 06:41:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111819317.813085.150510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104868

GeorgeF wrote:
> How are the rest of the CW operators dealing with all the SSB that is

> showing up in the 40 meter CW portion of the band?
>
> Here it is the weekend and there's some contest going on and there is

> more SSB in the CW portion of the band then there is SSB in the SSB
> portion of the band. What gives with this?
>
> On HF I'm a 99% CW operator, only been licensed about a year and love

> CW, don't care much for SSB. But I'm starting to wonder why I paid
> $1800 for a Yaesu FT-1000Mk V Field as it looks like I won't be able
to
> use it for what I bought it for this weekend...

I'd take the radio back to the store. If all those filters and DSP
aren't allowing you to carry on a QSO, I'd get rid of it.

73

Steve, K4YZ
(Also A CW Op)

3353. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 07:00:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111820411.674436.54600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104869

```
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >From the ARRL webpage: (www.arrl.org)
> > > > >
> > > > Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty
Exercise
> > > > >
> > > > NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 21, 2005--Amateur Radio Emergency Service
> (ARES)
> > > > members in Connecticut, New Jersey and several other states in
> the
> > > > Northeastern US are preparing to take part in what's being
> > > > characterized as the most comprehensive terrorism response
> exercise
> > > > ever conducted in the US. Sponsored by the US Department of
> > Homeland
> > > > Security and intended as a realistic test of the nation's
> homeland
> > > > security system, the exercise--TOPOFF 3--gets under way Monday,
> > April
> > > > 4, and continues through the week.
> > > > >
> > > > SNIP
> > > > >
> > > > As usual...rants derailed by facts.
> > > > >
> > > > 73
> > > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > I don't see any mention of the FCC nor Len.
> > >
> > Who mentioned the FCC, Brain?
> >
> > (1) Notice the title..."Guess The Government Isn't Paying
> > Attention to Lennie?"...?!?!
> >
> > (2) "Sponsored by the US Department of Homeland Security and
> > intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland security
> > system..."(SNIP)
> >
> > See...?!?! You screwed up...AGAIN.
```

>
> The Dept of Homeland Security didn't mention Len either.

And you've still not picked up (or are ignoring due to extreme
mebarrassment) that your attempt to defeat the post was derailed on
your lack of accuracy.

> > And you're absolutely right about Lennie...No meniton of
him...
>
> Right again.

And that's because no one outside this forum finds him even the
least bit accurate.

> > However HIS rants in this forum as to how unimportant Amateur
> > Radio is and how we "self-glorify" ourselves are numerous and well
> > known.
>
> The importance of amateur radio is easily overblown.

To whom? The governmental agencies who still spend a lot of time
and money making sure they have Amateur Radio assets in thier
facilities, or the disaster relief agencies that still call on them in
a wide range of natural and man-made disasters...???

> The utility of
> amateur radio in emergencies is entirely dependent on mostly aging
men,
> who may or may not be available to assist in emergencies.

"Entirely dependent"...?!?!

Brian, I'll give you a big hint here...Your mouth is over-running
your common sense again.

Also...I >>>D E M A N D<<< that you cite even ONE instance wherein
ARES, RACES, etc, did NOT respond because they were "...entirely
dependent on mostly aging men who may or may not be available to assist
in emergencies"...

> For example,
> you have the rickyranger kind of guys who volunteer for ARES, MARS,
> Red Cross, CAP, and probably other acronyms that he has yet to reveal
> to the group, yet have primary responsibilities as an emergency room
> nurse. So when the emergency happens, ARES, MARS and CAP are left
> hanging.

Nice try...

Your "one example" of one persson who MAY not be able to respond is
irrelevent. Even with my "duties" here I STILL manage to spend time
for both CAP >>AND<< ARES.

The F A C T S remain that ARES and other Amateur Radio related response organizations ARE still "in the que" as far as Homeland Defense, The Red Cross, etc etc etc are concerned.

That's not "overblown". It's the truth.

> > The news release was absolutely proof positive that Lennie's
> > assessments of Amateur Radio's disaster related services are
> unfounded
> > and, to say the least, inaccurate.
>
> Not entirely. It is situationally dependent.

No, it's not.

Neither you or Lennie can produce ONE example of ANY situation in which ARES or any other Amateur Radio organization did not/could not respond.

> > Just like I said.
>
> I forgot. You're always right.

Not always. Just most of the time when it comes to anything dealing with you...Like right now...

> > > As usual, rants derailed by facts.
> >
> > You're absolutely right...And you did it to yourself...
> >
> > "Hi!"
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> This isn't about me.

Sure it is.

YOU made even MORE assinine assertions that were, on immediate inspection, flawed and inaccurate.

Sucks to be you, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

3354. Brian P Burke...Try To Answer This Challenge To Your Assinine Statement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 07:09:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111820946.815320.161470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104870

bb wrote:

> The utility of
> amateur radio in emergencies is entirely dependent on mostly aging
men,
> who may or may not be available to assist in emergencies.

"Entirely dependent"...?!?!

Brian, I'll give you a big hint here...Your mouth is over-running
your common sense again.

I >>>D E M A N D<<< that you cite even ONE instance wherein ARES,
RACES, etc, did NOT respond because they were "...entirely dependent on
mostly aging men who may or may not be available to assist in
emergencies"...

If we get nuked at multiple sites or if we ever have a cataclysmic
natural disaster like the movie "Day After Tomorrow", I'll give you the
point...

Otherwise you're running off at the mouth again.

So...Gonna ante up some kind of "proof" to back that statement up,
or are you going to slither out from udner it with some "you can't make
me do it" rhetoric...???

I say it's just one more opportunity to call you for what you
are...A liar.

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

3355. Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 08:27:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111825670.192413.317720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104871

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Becasue it was pertinent at the time.
> > >
> > > Pertinent, how?
> > >
> > Beacause Lennie is an antagonist.
>
> And you're not?

Nope.

Do you see me in a forum for some avocation, sport or other pursuit
making outlandish claims and proclimations for which I have no
knowldege or experience...???

> He continues to make
> > inappropriate comments, slanderous statements and otherwise
> outlandish
> > claims and insults in a forum where he has no other intent but to
be
> an
> > antagnoist.
>
> So ignore him instead of dragging yourself up/down.

It's hardly dragging anything.

You and Lennie are actually quite easy sport. Afterall, the two of
you give me frequent and easy targets of opportunity.

>
> > > And factual, according to
> > > > the
> > >
> > > According to what? Pffft. You can't even finish a thought.
> >
> > I see. You've never accidentally dropped a line in a quote?
> >
> > Uh huh...
>
> You're just a little too eager to get your own insults and slander
out

> on the newsgroup.

Google dropped a line. I don't know why. Oh well...However the
quote was not in error.

You, on the otherhand, were quite anxious to get a swipe in that
you screwed the pooch...Sucks to be you.

> So go ahead and finish your thought if you can recall where you were
> going with it.

Nope. No where to go with it.

But please...YOU go right ahead and tell me:

Where are all the unlicensed devices in major disasters...???

Where are the logs for all your DX operations...???

Which, if any, disaster or emergency situation have ARES, et al,
NOT responded to becasue of all the "aging men" that they are
"dependent" on but who won't show due to other obligations or failing
health...???

Who gave you authority to "operate" from Somalia...???

There's more, but I will let you get started on those...

> > > > And you've never asked me to "keep everything public."
> > >
> > > Repeatedly. As in again and again.
> >
> > You're being mistruthful. As in "again and again"
>
> You're lying again.

Not now, and not "again".

> > > > I can understand your desire to do so, though...
> > >
> > > Indeed. You are not to be trusted, that's why.
> >
> > You should talk, Brain...
> >
> > Your history is one frought with lies, mistruths and overt
> deceit.
> >
> > Brian P. Burke will be remembered for these things.
>
> Only by you.

...and Google (or it's successor)...And your offspring when they
get around to researching what kind of guy "Dad" was...

> > > You're just one of
> > > > those folks who get's "stimulation" from being publically
> > humiliated.
> > >
> > > Great. More sexual inuendo. Who would have thought you'd do it
> > again
> > > so soon after being called out for it?
> >
> > "Called out"...?!?!
>
> Repeatedly. As in again and again.

You snipped the part where I said it appears that you're actually
looking for a fight, and to be careful of waht you're saying.

You are, afterall, the one always claiming "victim" status over
alleged "threats".

> > Have you been over to Illinois this week?
>
> I've been up to Toledo.

Good place for you...Now...just a weeeeeee bit further north....

> > And, while you may not care for the choice of words, the fact
> > remains that there are those who enjoy being publically
> > humiliated...Kinda like what I did to you this evening in another
> > thread.
>
> You do nothing except embarass yourself. You're sick.

No...I am actually in quite good health, thank-you.

Now YOU, on the otherhand, still have unanswered question out
there, along with your NEW assinine assertions about how "overblown"
Amateur Radio's commitments to disaster relief are, and how they won't
be able to respond due to demographic of the membership.

> > And "calling out" sure sounds like you're demanding a fight.
>
> Your "toe to toe" remarks to Deiter sound like you think you're in a
> fist fight.

Nope. But you and he have a lot in common...Like making stupid
assertions in public that you can't or won't substantiate...

But so far, UNLIKE you, I've not really caught him lying...Just
making assinine assertions.

YOU blatantly decieve. Big difference.

> > Don't do that unless you're ready to accept the consequences,
> > Brain.
>
> A pudgy 100% disabled vet? I wouldn't embarass myself.

Hey...I've OFFERED you the oppotunity to go around the block a few
times with this Vet, disabled or otherwise, and you've blown it off.

BTW...I'll accept the "pudgy" as your efforts to redirect your own
physical fitness deficiencies off on someon else...But who's the "100%
disabled vet"...?!?!

> > > > You certainly provide plenty of opportunities to do so!
> > >
> > > You humiliate yourself, your ancestors, your progeny and amateur
> > > extras.
> >
> > How?
> >
> > By constantly rubbing YOUR nose in yours and Lennie's
newsgroup
> > droppings?
> >
> > Hardly.
> >
> > Brian P. Burke is a liar. That you are unrepentant in your
> > misdeeds makes you a punk liar.
>
> Always itching for a fight, aren't you?

Why? Do you disagree? Have you done or said ANYthing here that
would make me or anyone else NOT believe you're a punk or a liar?

> No problem to me. I deal with folks
> > like you every day, Brain.
>
> I certainly hope so... sane, law abiding people who don't go around
> making threats. Now only if you'd deal with a few psychiatrists...

Then we agree. I've not made any threats.

And while I do not doubt your "sanity", your character and
maturity leave a lot to question.

You're on the edge with threats, though. If you want to make one,
just go right ahead...I'll be in Ohio in the next month or so...If you
have any issues you want to deal with on a personal level, we can take
care of it then.

You DO have hospitalization and long-term-disability through your
employer, don't you...???

> > > > > YOU violated my trust
> > > > > > by bringing those private e-mails to the NG contrary to
your
> > > > > agreement
> > > > > > to not do it.
> > > > >
> > > > > What agreement? What trust? You're a liar.

> > > >
> > > > The agreement wherein you told me in an open post that
you'd
> > > keep
> > > > anything exchanged in e mail confidential.
> > > >
> > > > You didn't do it.
> > > >
> > > > And no, I'm not.
> > >
> > > The worst kind of liar. You believe your own lies.
> >
> > There's no lie on my part to believe or disbelieve, Brain.
>
> You make my point.

Uhhhhh...yeah...right.

> > > >I'm INTOLERANT of liars and lies, which is why I
> > > > keep after you and yours...
> > >
> > > Your intolerance makes you a freak show in the RRAP Tent. I hope
> > > you've got your flea market space reserved at Dayton. You'll
clean
> > up.
> >
> > I am sure you find my constant whittling away of your stories
> > annoying, Brian, but, as I said, if you'd stop it, I'd have nothing
> to
> > do.
>
> Hardly. You've found newer targets than Len and myself; Deiter,
Todd,
> Loyd, and anyone who dares to post anonymously with a view that you
> don't agree with.
>
> It's all archived.

And please be so kind as to show where my comments to them were
inaccurate???

Dieter recently jumped in here calling me a "weasel" and
"backstabbing" becasue comments I made in HERE had been cross-posted to
his "allaudio.com" site.

I proved where he was wrong and he's disappeared.

Todd is wrong on so many levels, his profanities, misunderstanding
of federal law, and open admissions of law-breaking notwithstanding.

And Lloyd...?!?! NO ONE likes LLoyd, here, in the CB forum, or
anywhere else he's posted, so I am not alone.

You, of course, are free to find and post evidence to the
contrary...We're not going anywhere and I know you'll never pony up the
data anyway...

> > > > But it's getting hard to keep up...You are nothing if not
> > > > prolific.
> > >
> > > Double-entendre? You think you're the master of sexual inuendo,
> but
> > > you're just vulgar.
> >
> > You can see vulgarity in the Bible if you read it right,
Brain.
>
> I can see it in your remarks to me.

If you'd stop lying, stop deceiving and trying to be things you
aren't, your paranoia would, in all likelyhood, abate.

> > I am sure you see "vulgarity" in many things, Brain.
>
> I see it in you.

You see it in me because I keep holding a mirror up to you, Burke.

I am sorry you don't like it, but it's YOUR problem. Deal with it.

> No doubt
> > they are the same things that keep reminding you that you're not
the
> > man you COULD be.
>
> I'm good with who I am.

Most people with problems are. It takes too much to fix the
problem, in thier own estimation. You're no different, brain.

>You have a need to lash out at people. That
> tells the story about who you are.

I don't lash out, Brain. I respond to what's said to me.

If you don't like what I say or how I say it, you need to
re-evaluate your own "delivery". I don't "lash out" at anyone.

> > If you'd just stop lying, and then trying to cover up the
lying,
> > you'd feel much better about a great many things, including
yourself.
> >
> > It IS up to you, Brain....Give it a try...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Nurse, heal thyself. Or at least seek professional help.

Heal what? I'm not ill.

If I am not ill, what would you suggest I seek professional help for...???

Steve, K4YZ

3356. Re: Here We Have N9OGL Stepping In His Own Words...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 09:42:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111830175.887269.296120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104872

Bathrooman wrote:
> He can't even solder his own coax connectors.

I just wonder if he knows which end of the iron to hold, and how
long it takes for the pain to register when he forgets...

73

Steve, K4YZ

3357. Re: Low Power AM Broadcasting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 13:46:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111844793.888653.232740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dr. Daffodil Swain wrote:

> Mount the transmitter in a wx proof box, directly under the antenna
to
> comply with Part 15. get it high up. >15 feet. keep the antenna 3
meters.
> have fun.
>
> --
> For music, news and commentary you are not allowed to hear on
corporate
> owned radio:
> http://www.live365.com/stations/pascoradio
> To see what's currently scheduled:
> http://www.live365.com/broadcast/scheduler/?stationname=pascoradio
>
> First Time Users May Be asked To Do A 1 Time Setup.
> The service and the player are FREE.
> Email me if you have problems listening.
> "EVV" <simcct@ewwsimcctnet.net> wrote in message
> news:d1ci48$5ip$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "WCRV WCRV" <WCRV@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > news:10699-4239AE32-497@storefull-3317.bay.webtv.net...
> > > The Low-Power AM station hobby has become more popular over the
past 2
> > > years.
> > > In the U.S, it is legal to broadcast over the AM band
540kHz-1700kHz
> > > using a 3
> > > meter antenna and a 100mW transmitter.
> > > Until recently, building a small AM radio station was very
complicated
> > > and very expensive, until now.
> > > Using a SSTRAN AMT-3000 transmitter, which comes as a kit for
under
> > > $100.00US, or a built version which comes assembled and tested
for under
> > > $200.00 US, and a 3 meter, coil loaded and tunable antenna for
around
> > > $225.00 US, anyone can get on the air right now!

Folks, for those prices for a Part 15 AM "broadcaster", it better
be so hot that audio goes in like Roseanne Barr and comes out like

Celine Dion!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3358. Bottom Posting: (Was: Low Power AM Broadcasting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 14:37:32 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <114astdg7um7066@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 104874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Folks, if you INSIST on bottom posting, PLEASE don't quote a hundred lines
of text to give three lines of your pearls of wisdom.

Jim

> Folks, for those prices for a Part 15 AM "broadcaster", it better
> be so hot that audio goes in like Roseanne Barr and comes out like
> Celine Dion!

3359. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 15:12:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <cZe1e.4695$z.2188@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104875

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in message
news:U_21e.3992$z.878@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> How are the rest of the CW operators dealing with all the SSB that is
> showing up in the 40 meter CW portion of the band?
>
> Here it is the weekend and there's some contest going on and there is more
> SSB in the CW portion of the band then there is SSB in the SSB portion of
> the band. What gives with this?
>
> On HF I'm a 99% CW operator, only been licensed about a year and love CW,
> don't care much for SSB. But I'm starting to wonder why I paid $1800 for
> a Yaesu FT-1000Mk V Field as it looks like I won't be able to use it for
> what I bought it for this weekend...
>
> Help
> George

A good CW operator can and does work through any and all QRM. Keep at it.

Dan/W4NTI

3360. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 15:14:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <L%e1e.4698$z.942@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104876

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111819317.813085.150510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> GeorgeF wrote:
>> How are the rest of the CW operators dealing with all the SSB that is
>
>> showing up in the 40 meter CW portion of the band?
>>
>> Here it is the weekend and there's some contest going on and there is
>
>> more SSB in the CW portion of the band then there is SSB in the SSB
>> portion of the band. What gives with this?
>>
>> On HF I'm a 99% CW operator, only been licensed about a year and love
>
>> CW, don't care much for SSB. But I'm starting to wonder why I paid
>> $1800 for a Yaesu FT-1000Mk V Field as it looks like I won't be able
> to
>> use it for what I bought it for this weekend...
>
> I'd take the radio back to the store. If all those filters and DSP
> aren't allowing you to carry on a QSO, I'd get rid of it.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> (Also A CW Op)
>

I run my rig a 2.1 khz. That way I don't miss nothing.

Dan/W4NTI

3361. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 15:25:49 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@watchout.com>
Message-ID: <1af1e.860$k57.780@fed1read07>
Source record: 104877

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:cZe1e.4695$z.2188@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> A good CW operator can and does work through any and all QRM. Keep at it.
> Dan/W4NTI
>
Indeed Dan, using the best filter ever devised -- one's brain.

CL - I filter, therefore I am

3362. Re: Bottom Posting: (Was: Low Power AM Broadcasting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 16:01:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111852911.407473.56320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

RST Engineering wrote:
>K4YZ wrote:
> > Folks, for those prices for a Part 15 AM "broadcaster", it
better
> > be so hot that audio goes in like Roseanne Barr and comes out like
> > Celine Dion!
>
> Folks, if you INSIST on bottom posting, PLEASE don't quote a hundred
lines
> of text to give three lines of your pearls of wisdom.

Lennie...?!?! Is that YOU, Lennie...???

Here I thought this was an UNMODERATED group, and there you go
moderating it!

Silly me...I went wading through THAT post and found NO pearls of
wisdom.

Amazing how this newsgroup tends to bring out the "Gee, just wait
till I hit-em-with-this-itis! ! ! !

Seems NO one is immune!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3363. Re: Bottom Posting: (Was: Low Power AM Broadcasting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 16:12:25 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <_cWdnVooGrdpFNjfRVn-3w@crocker.com>
Source record: 104879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111852911.407473.56320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> RST Engineering wrote:
> >K4YZ wrote:
> > > Folks, for those prices for a Part 15 AM "broadcaster", it
> better
> > > be so hot that audio goes in like Roseanne Barr and comes out like
> > > Celine Dion!
> >
> > Folks, if you INSIST on bottom posting, PLEASE don't quote a hundred
> lines
> > of text to give three lines of your pearls of wisdom.
>
> Lennie...?!?! Is that YOU, Lennie...???
>
> Here I thought this was an UNMODERATED group, and there you go
> moderating it!
>
> Silly me...I went wading through THAT post and found NO pearls of
> wisdom.
>
> Amazing how this newsgroup tends to bring out the "Gee, just wait
> till I hit-em-with-this-itis! ! ! !
>
> Seems NO one is immune!

he is anything but moderate.

3364. Re: Bottom Posting: (Was: Low Power AM Broadcasting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 23:28:02 UTC
From: "John L. Wilkerson Jr." <jlwsecure-usenet@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9625BBECF7166gmrsid@207.115.63.158>
Source record: 104880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote in
news:114astdg7um7066@corp.supernews.com:

> Folks, if you INSIST on bottom posting, PLEASE don't quote a hundred
> lines of text to give three lines of your pearls of wisdom.
>
Bottom posting is proper netiquette.

--

John L. Wilkerson Jr.
GMRS Consumer information website:
http://www.geocities.com/johnlwilkers@sbcglobal.net/gmrs.html

3365. Re: Bottom Posting: (Was: Low Power AM Broadcasting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-26 23:54:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111881241.501803.123190@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John L. Wilkerson Jr. wrote:
> "RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote in
> news:114astdg7um7066@corp.supernews.com:
>
> > Folks, if you INSIST on bottom posting, PLEASE don't quote a
hundred
> > lines of text to give three lines of your pearls of wisdom.
> >
> Bottom posting is proper netiquette.

I think Jim's angst was that I didn't cut the post I replied to
down to only a couple sentences, or a paragraph at most.

Normally I try to do that. Especially when Lennie goes into his
umpteenth re-telling of how he and ADA, passing 2.2 million messages,
saved the Free World from Communism and Amateur Radio.

I guess this one post was the proverbial straw for Jim, and he
snapped. It happens.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3366. Re: Bottom Posting: (Was: Low Power AM Broadcasting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-27 00:01:43 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@distbrobcster.net>
Message-ID: <d24t56$i90$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1111881241.501803.123190@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> John L. Wilkerson Jr. wrote:
>> "RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote in
>> news:114astdg7um7066@corp.supernews.com:
>>
>> > Folks, if you INSIST on bottom posting, PLEASE don't quote a
> hundred
>> > lines of text to give three lines of your pearls of wisdom.
>> >
>> Bottom posting is proper netiquette.
>
> I think Jim's angst was that I didn't cut the post I replied to
> down to only a couple sentences, or a paragraph at most.
>
> Normally I try to do that. Especially when Lennie goes into his
> umpteenth re-telling of how he and ADA, passing 2.2 million messages,
> saved the Free World from Communism and Amateur Radio.
>
> I guess this one post was the proverbial straw for Jim, and he
> snapped. It happens.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

K4YZ = fruit

3367. The Greatest News Ever!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-27 03:17:05 UTC
From: Ron_Grossi_038@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111893425.866328.233720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104883

http://www.jcil.blogspot.com << The Greatest News Ever!

3368. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-27 05:14:31 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1111900471.280167.12710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104884

Caveat Lector wrote:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:cZe1e.4695$z.2188@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > A good CW operator can and does work through any and all QRM. Keep
at it.
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> Indeed Dan, using the best filter ever devised -- one's brain.
>
> CL - I filter, therefore I am

AMEN Brother!

. . Given an engaged brain SSB signals become just another piece of the
usual collection of ignorable crud any even half-decent low-band CW op
can copy thru. THAT's the beauty of CW in a nutshell.

w3rv

3369. Re: Low Power AM Broadcasting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-27 05:35:15 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Hcednd16kpK72tvfRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> Dr. Daffodil Swain wrote:
>
>
>>Mount the transmitter in a wx proof box, directly under the antenna
>
> to
>
>>comply with Part 15. get it high up. >15 feet. keep the antenna 3
>
> meters.
>
>>have fun.
>>
>>--
>>For music, news and commentary you are not allowed to hear on
>
> corporate
>
>>owned radio:
>>http://www.live365.com/stations/pascoradio
>>To see what's currently scheduled:
>>http://www.live365.com/broadcast/scheduler/?stationname=pascoradio
>>
>>First Time Users May Be asked To Do A 1 Time Setup.
>>The service and the player are FREE.
>>Email me if you have problems listening.
>>"EVV" <simcct@ewwsimcctnet.net> wrote in message
>>news:d1ci48$5ip$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>>>>"WCRV WCRV" <WCRV@webtv.net> wrote in message
>>>news:10699-4239AE32-497@storefull-3317.bay.webtv.net...
>>>
>>>>The Low-Power AM station hobby has become more popular over the
>
> past 2
>
>>>>years.
>>>>In the U.S, it is legal to broadcast over the AM band
>
> 540kHz-1700kHz
>
>>>>using a 3

>>>>meter antenna and a 100mW transmitter.
>>>>Until recently, building a small AM radio station was very
>
> complicated
>
>>>>and very expensive, until now.
>>>>Using a SSTRAN AMT-3000 transmitter, which comes as a kit for
>
> under
>
>>>>$100.00US, or a built version which comes assembled and tested
>
> for under
>
>>>>$200.00 US, and a 3 meter, coil loaded and tunable antenna for
>
> around
>
>>>>$225.00 US, anyone can get on the air right now!
>
>
> Folks, for those prices for a Part 15 AM "broadcaster", it better
> be so hot that audio goes in like Roseanne Barr and comes out like
> Celine Dion!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

The AMT-3000 is an excellent Part 15 AM transmitter and well worth the price. I have one and it is a first class kit and gives great audio. As far as the $200 for a coil and 3 meter antenna, I'm not so sure.

3370. Re: Bottom Posting: (Was: Low Power AM Broadcasting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-27 05:37:39 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Hcedndx6kpJZ2tvfRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd wrote:

> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111881241.501803.123190@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>>John L. Wilkerson Jr. wrote:
>>
>>>"RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote in
>>>news:114astdg7um7066@corp.supernews.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Folks, if you INSIST on bottom posting, PLEASE don't quote a
>>
>>hundred
>>
>>>>lines of text to give three lines of your pearls of wisdom.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Bottom posting is proper netiquette.
>>
>> I think Jim's angst was that I didn't cut the post I replied to
>>down to only a couple sentences, or a paragraph at most.
>>
>> Normally I try to do that. Especially when Lennie goes into his
>>umpteenth re-telling of how he and ADA, passing 2.2 million messages,
>>saved the Free World from Communism and Amateur Radio.
>>
>> I guess this one post was the proverbial straw for Jim, and he
>>snapped. It happens.
>>
>>73
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
>
>
> K4YZ = fruit
>
>
>
LLoyd = nitwit that can't back up his claim about the idiot MAN
receiving pro-bono lawyer services.

3371. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-27 06:06:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1111903574.999832.253130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104887

GeorgeF wrote:
> How are the rest of the CW operators dealing with all the SSB that is

> showing up in the 40 meter CW portion of the band?
>
> Here it is the weekend and there's some contest going on and there is

> more SSB in the CW portion of the band then there is SSB in the SSB
> portion of the band. What gives with this?

It's the CQ WW SSB WPX contest weekend and all hell has broken loose worldwide. It'll go away at zero Zulu Sunday nite. In the meanwhile go diddle on 30M to get away from 'em.

> On HF I'm a 99% CW operator, only been licensed about a year and love

> CW, don't care much for SSB. But I'm starting to wonder why I paid
> $1800 for a Yaesu FT-1000Mk V Field as it looks like I won't be able
to
> use it for what I bought it for this weekend...
>
> Help

The Field comes with factory-supplied 250 & 500 Hz filters of unknown parentage. You should be able to cut down the SSB sigs to something close to a survivable level with either. Maybe. But I dunno. I've never listened with a Field. But for certain they're not the best filters out there by any means. Click into:

http://www.qth.com/inrad/yae_menu.htm

For some really good CW filters for your Field. The 400 Hz 703-C is a good compromise between "SSB crud reduction" and missing ops calling you. I have it's equivalent in my little $1,250 FT-847 crapper and it WORKs. Take it to the next level and get yourself one of INRAD's 250 Hz 704-C filters. Might be a bit too narrow though. To each their own.

You're new and in the right direction. Stick with it George, you're on a very steep slope of a learning curve (assuming you're not just another troll of course). It'll all come together eventually.

> George

w3rv

3372. Re: Low Power AM Broadcasting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-27 16:24:48 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <k7B1e.4064$yq2.1374@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 104888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Wow, just checking out live365.com. Like it!

Kim W5TIT

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:Hcednd16kpK72tvfRVn-uw@gbronline.com...
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dr. Daffodil Swain wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Mount the transmitter in a wx proof box, directly under the antenna
> >
> > to
> >
> >>comply with Part 15. get it high up. >15 feet. keep the antenna 3
> >
> > meters.
> >
> >>have fun.
> >>
> >>--
> >>For music, news and commentary you are not allowed to hear on
> >
> > corporate
> >
> >>owned radio:
> >>http://www.live365.com/stations/pascoradio
> >>To see what's currently scheduled:
> >>http://www.live365.com/broadcast/scheduler/?stationname=pascoradio
> >>
> >>First Time Users May Be asked To Do A 1 Time Setup.
> >>The service and the player are FREE.
> >>Email me if you have problems listening.
> >>"EVV" <simcct@ewwsimcctnet.net> wrote in message
> >>news:d1ci48$5ip$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>
> >>>"WCRV WCRV" <WCRV@webtv.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:10699-4239AE32-497@storefull-3317.bay.webtv.net...
> >>>
> >>>>The Low-Power AM station hobby has become more popular over the
> >
> > past 2
> >
> >>>>years.

> >>>>In the U.S, it is legal to broadcast over the AM band
> >
> > 540kHz-1700kHz
> >
> >>>>using a 3
> >>>>meter antenna and a 100mW transmitter.
> >>>>Until recently, building a small AM radio station was very
> >
> > complicated
> >
> >>>>and very expensive, until now.
> >>>>Using a SSTRAN AMT-3000 transmitter, which comes as a kit for
> >
> > under
> >
> >>>>$100.00US, or a built version which comes assembled and tested
> >
> > for under
> >
> >>>>$200.00 US, and a 3 meter, coil loaded and tunable antenna for
> >
> > around
> >
> >>>>$225.00 US, anyone can get on the air right now!
> >
> >
> > Folks, for those prices for a Part 15 AM "broadcaster", it better
> > be so hot that audio goes in like Roseanne Barr and comes out like
> > Celine Dion!
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> The AMT-3000 is an excellent Part 15 AM transmitter and well worth the
> price. I have one and it is a first class kit and gives great audio. As
> far as the $200 for a coil and 3 meter antenna, I'm not so sure.
>

3373. Re: Simplex calling frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-27 19:01:49 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net>
Message-ID: <d2708enjk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Poor Hans, so typical of today's ham radio ops, stuck in the archaic world of 52 simplex, while the rest of the world is using Advanced Mobile Technology.

"Why aren't young people interested in ham radio"? duhhhhhhhhhhh, lets demonstrate 52 simplex for them, maybe that'll get the kids interested.

73,

Rabbi Phil

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:qNr1e.5752$H06.635@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> K0CKB and I just returned from an 8-week RV vacation. During the 8,500
> mile trip we continuously monitored 146.52, and had our calls and the
> statement "Monitoring 146.52" prominently visible on the rear of our
> coach. Most of our travel was on busy Interstate highways, and in/near
> populous areas including Minneapolis, DesMoines, Kansas City, Topeka,
> Wichita, OKC, DFW, Waco, Austin, San Antonio, Corpus Christi, Brownsville,
> ElPaso, Las Cruces, Globe, Phoenix, Flagstaff, Tucson, Las Vegas, and
> points between.
>
> Not once were we called on 146.52.
>
> At every population center we announced "K0HB (or K0CKB) monitoring Five
> Two", often more than once.. On only one occasion (thanks WK5C) did we
> receive a reply.
>
> Is .52 broken?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

3374. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-27 19:06:33 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net>
Message-ID: <d2708enjk1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It may be even more of shock that ham radio operators are important
in their eyes only. Ham radio has been irrelevant for years, hams just
have not realized it yet, and probably never will.

"How come the young kids aren't coming into ham radio anymore."?
duhhhhhhhh, anyone hear about Advanced Technology?

73,

Rabbi Phil

"Joe S." <nobody@nowhere.net> wrote in message
news:d26psp02pm@news2.newsguy.com...
> For much of the rest of the world, 40 meters is 7.000 to 7.100.
>
> It may come as a shock to you but there are other places in the world
> where
> there are amateur radio operators and their bands do not always match up
> with ours -- 40 is a good example.
>
> --
>
> -----
> Joe S.
>
>
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4funREMOVEBEFOREFLIGHT.com> wrote in message
> news:R_21e.3991$z.1307@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> How are the rest of the CW operators dealing with all the SSB that is
>> showing up in the 40 meter CW portion of the band?
>>
>> Here it is the weekend and there's some contest going on and there is
>> more SSB in the CW portion of the band then there is SSB in the SSB
>> portion of the band. What gives with this?
>>
>> On HF I'm a 99% CW operator, only been licensed about a year and love
>> CW, don't care much for SSB. But I'm starting to wonder why I paid
>> $1800 for a Yaesu FT-1000Mk V Field as it looks like I won't be able to
>> use it for what I bought it for this weekend...
>>
>> Help
>> George
>

>

3375. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-27 19:31:21 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <5PednZ-syuKUl9rfRVn-hw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rabbi Phil wrote:

> It may be even more of shock that ham radio operators are important
> in their eyes only. Ham radio has been irrelevant for years, hams just
> have not realized it yet, and probably never will.
>
> "How come the young kids aren't coming into ham radio anymore."?
> duhhhhhhhh, anyone hear about Advanced Technology?
>
> 73,
>
> Rabbi Phil
>

Why do you subscribe to amateur radio groups if you hate hams?

3376. Re:Youth in Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-27 20:02:46 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@watchout.com>
Message-ID: <qoE1e.1259$k57.360@fed1read07>
Source record: 104892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well sir in Southern California, in the last year or so, we have trained
about 30 school kids who obtained their license.
Donations have been made to set up complete Ham stations at the schools.
In our repeater club there are well over 75 members age 25 and below.
At our field day, we had two troops of boy scouts who got on the radio.
Several went on to get a license.
Ditto for the JOTA day (if you know what that is)
The kids loved PSK31 - no internet they asked.

How many youths have you trained ???

Well sir when theSAN DIEGO COUNTY was ON FIRE:
CEDAR FIRE LARGEST IN STATE HISTORY
16 dead, 2,342 single family dwellings & 383,657 acres burned
I repeat 16 dead, 2,342 single family dwellings & 383,657 acres burned
It was a disaster of unimagined proportions.

I can assure you that Amateur Radio was quite relevant -- to the tune
of over 500 Amateurs participating. Won accolades from local officials and
the media.

Are you a member of ARES or RACES ?

Are you prepared for the BIG one that will surely come your way?

Well are you?

When the cell phones are out, telephone lines are toast, power is
lost, you will wish you had a battery operated mountain top repeater. Ours
was on battery power or portable generator power for 3 days after the
commercial power was lost.

Do you support such a repeater, are you even a Ham?

Support Amateur Radio or rely on your silly phone - the choice is
yours.

You need to do some research before you blather out this stereotype
nonsense.

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"Rabbi Phil" <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net> in total ignorance wrote in message
news:d2708enjk1@pita.alt.net...
> It may be even more of shock that ham radio operators are important
> in their eyes only. Ham radio has been irrelevant for years, hams just

> have not realized it yet, and probably never will.
>
> "How come the young kids aren't coming into ham radio anymore."?
> duhhhhhhhh, anyone hear about Advanced Technology?
>
> 73,
>
> Rabbi Phil
>
How can any ham sign 73, after writing all this anti-ham BS

3377. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-27 20:07:02 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@watchout.com>
Message-ID: <roE1e.1260$k57.531@fed1read07>
Source record: 104893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jim" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:5PednZ-syuKUl9rfRVn-hw@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Rabbi Phil wrote:
>
>> It may be even more of shock that ham radio operators are important
>> in their eyes only. Ham radio has been irrelevant for years, hams just
>> have not realized it yet, and probably never will.
>>
>> "How come the young kids aren't coming into ham radio anymore."?
>> duhhhhhhhh, anyone hear about Advanced Technology?
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Rabbi Phil
>>
>
>
> Why do you subscribe to amateur radio groups if you hate hams?

If Phil is a Ham I'll bet he will be the first on the air when the disaster
hits his area.
His silly phone and internet of "Advanced Technology" will be deader than a
dodo.

CL.

>

3378. Re: Simplex calling frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 02:17:21 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net>
Message-ID: <d27pfb$a1e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> failing to comprehend standard English,
Hans wrote the following message and sent it on 52 simplex:

```
>
> Hi Phil,
>
> That's certainly a topic worthy of discussion.
>
> You could start a thread if you liked, but my question is related to
> simplex calling frequencies, not why Johnnie didn't pass the amateur exam.
>
> 72.5, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
```

3379. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 02:24:20 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net>
Message-ID: <d27psf$b3k$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jim" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:5PednZ-syuKUl9rfRVn-hw@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Rabbi Phil wrote:
>
>> It may be even more of shock that ham radio operators are important
>> in their eyes only. Ham radio has been irrelevant for years, hams just
>> have not realized it yet, and probably never will.
>>
>> "How come the young kids aren't coming into ham radio anymore."?
>> duhhhhhhhh, anyone hear about Advanced Technology?
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Rabbi Phil
>>
>
>
> Why do you subscribe to amateur radio groups if you hate hams?
>

I am, so I do.

3380. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 02:28:17 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net>
Message-ID: <d27q3rbip0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Caveat Lector" <CL@watchout.com> wrote in message
news:roE1e.1260$k57.531@fed1read07...
>
> "Jim" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:5PednZ-syuKUl9rfRVn-hw@centurytel.net...
>>
>>
>> Rabbi Phil wrote:
>>
>>> It may be even more of shock that ham radio operators are important
>>> in their eyes only. Ham radio has been irrelevant for years, hams just
>>> have not realized it yet, and probably never will.
>>>
>>> "How come the young kids aren't coming into ham radio anymore."?
>>> duhhhhhhhh, anyone hear about Advanced Technology?
>>>
>>> 73,
>>>
>>> Rabbi Phil
>>>
>>
>>
>> Why do you subscribe to amateur radio groups if you hate hams?
>
> If Phil is a Ham I'll bet he will be the first on the air when the
> disaster hits his area.
> His silly phone and internet of "Advanced Technology" will be deader than
> a dodo.
>
>
> CL.

You have the same level of comprehension skill as Hans. Kindly point out
where I mentioned *phone and internet* in my posting? Then again,
nevermind, it is beyond you.

73,

Rabbi Phil

3381. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 02:30:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1111977000.627730.168440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim wrote:
> Rabbi Phil wrote:
>
> > It may be even more of shock that ham radio operators are important
> > in their eyes only. Ham radio has been irrelevant for years, hams
just
> > have not realized it yet, and probably never will.
> >
> > "How come the young kids aren't coming into ham radio anymore."?
> > duhhhhhhhh, anyone hear about Advanced Technology?
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Rabbi Phil
> >
>
>
> Why do you subscribe to amateur radio groups if you hate hams?

Because he has nothing better to do with his life or with Advanced
Technology.

w3rv

3382. Re: Re:Youth in Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 02:30:50 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net>
Message-ID: <d27q8l$bs1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Caveat Lector" <CL@watchout.com> wrote in message
news:qoE1e.1259$k57.360@fed1read07...
> Well sir in Southern California, in the last year or so, we have trained
> about 30 school kids who obtained their license.
> Donations have been made to set up complete Ham stations at the schools.
> In our repeater club there are well over 75 members age 25 and below.
> At our field day, we had two troops of boy scouts who got on the radio.
> Several went on to get a license.
> Ditto for the JOTA day (if you know what that is)
> The kids loved PSK31 - no internet they asked.
>
> How many youths have you trained ???
>
> Well sir when theSAN DIEGO COUNTY was ON FIRE:
> CEDAR FIRE LARGEST IN STATE HISTORY
> 16 dead, 2,342 single family dwellings & 383,657 acres burned
> I repeat 16 dead, 2,342 single family dwellings & 383,657 acres
> burned
> It was a disaster of unimagined proportions.
>
> I can assure you that Amateur Radio was quite relevant -- to the tune
> of over 500 Amateurs participating. Won accolades from local officials and
> the media.
>
> Are you a member of ARES or RACES ?
>
> Are you prepared for the BIG one that will surely come your way?
>
> Well are you?
>
> When the cell phones are out, telephone lines are toast, power is
> lost, you will wish you had a battery operated mountain top repeater.
> Ours was on battery power or portable generator power for 3 days after the
> commercial power was lost.
>
> Do you support such a repeater, are you even a Ham?
>
> Support Amateur Radio or rely on your silly phone - the choice is
> yours.
>
> You need to do some research before you blather out this stereotype
> nonsense.
>

>
> --
> CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !
>
>
>
> "Rabbi Phil" <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net> in total ignorance wrote in
> message news:d2708enjk1@pita.alt.net...
>> It may be even more of shock that ham radio operators are important
>> in their eyes only. Ham radio has been irrelevant for years, hams just
>> have not realized it yet, and probably never will.
>>
>> "How come the young kids aren't coming into ham radio anymore."?
>> duhhhhhhhh, anyone hear about Advanced Technology?
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Rabbi Phil
>>
> How can any ham sign 73, after writing all this anti-ham BS
>

There is a "Psychiatrist-To-Hams who sometimes visits here. You should strive to set an appointment with him at your earliest.

73,

Rabbi Phil

3383. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 02:34:47 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net>
Message-ID: <d27qg2$cf4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1111977000.627730.168440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Jim wrote:
>> Rabbi Phil wrote:
>>
>> > It may be even more of shock that ham radio operators are important
>> > in their eyes only. Ham radio has been irrelevant for years, hams
> just
>> > have not realized it yet, and probably never will.
>> >
>> > "How come the young kids aren't coming into ham radio anymore."?
>> > duhhhhhhhh, anyone hear about Advanced Technology?
>> >
>> > 73,
>> >
>> > Rabbi Phil
>> >
>>
>>
>> Why do you subscribe to amateur radio groups if you hate hams?
>
> Because he has nothing better to do with his life or with Advanced
> Technology.
>
> w3rv
>

Profound! Perhaps you can assist Hans with his reading & comprehension
skills.
```

3384. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 03:53:37 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1111982017.731061.259030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rabbi Phil wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1111977000.627730.168440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Jim wrote:
> >> Rabbi Phil wrote:
> >>
> >> > It may be even more of shock that ham radio operators are
important
> >> > in their eyes only. Ham radio has been irrelevant for years,
hams
> > just
> >> > have not realized it yet, and probably never will.
> >> >
> >> > "How come the young kids aren't coming into ham radio anymore."?
> >> > duhhhhhhhh, anyone hear about Advanced Technology?
> >> >
> >> > 73,
> >> >
> >> > Rabbi Phil
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> Why do you subscribe to amateur radio groups if you hate hams?
> >
> > Because he has nothing better to do with his life or with Advanced
> > Technology.
> >
> > w3rv
> >
>
>
> Profound! Perhaps you can assist Hans with his reading &
comprehension
> skills.

<sigh>

<<snore²>>

w3rv

3385. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 04:27:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <424787BE.BAB2AA2D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104901

N9OGL wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > (Attributions inserted for sanity)
> >
> > > >That's simply wrong if you're discussing radio
> > >
> > > I suggest you read Section 151 of the communication Act of 1934.
> >
> > > ï¿½ 151. Purposes of chapter; Federal Communications Commission
> created
> >
> > > As well as 152 of the communication act
> > >
> > > ï¿½ 152. Application of chapter
> >
> > > or how about 153 of the communication act
> > >
> > > ï¿½ 153. Definitions
> >
> > > do I need to go on.......
> >
> > You didn't need to insert them to begin with. I've read 'em. You've
> > read and misinterpreted them.
> >
> > > > Your archived posts pretty well do you in. It looks like you
> went > > from "innocent into proven guilty" all by your lonesome. Your
> own archived
> > > > words show you to be guilty of breaking any number of FCC regs.
> >
> > > This post dickhead had nothing to do with me...
> >
> > Sure it does, Todd Not-Very-Swift. It is all about you.
> >
> > > it had to do with the
> > > behavior of the FCC and pirate radio operators and their "alleged
> > > interference" with boadcast Stations. No where in that post did I
> state
> > > anything about me, but Dumb asses like yourself read into stuff
> that

> > > isn't there.
> >
> > Wise up, Todd. I posted a number of your archived posts in two
> parts.
> > In a number of them, you admit to operating pirate radio and TV
> stations
> > which operate on self-assigned frequencies in excess of Part 15 power
> > levels (by a bunch). You confess to operating without a license in
> some
> > places and in others you profess that you'll go back to operating
> > without a license. You've admitted, publicly to being a scofflaw. I
> > didn't read anything which wasn't plainly stated by you.
>
> WELL YOU F--KING BITCH IF THEY WOULD OF CONSIDER MY APPLICATION AND
> WAIVER LIKE THEIR SUPPOSE TOO THEN I WOULDN'T OF DID WHAT I DID, WHY
> SHOULD I CONTINUE TO SPEND THE TIME AND MONEY YOU F--KING ASSHOLE ON
> APPLYING FOR A LICENSE???

Considering your request for a waiver and acting favorably upon your request are two very different things. With your history, it doesn't seem very likely that the Commission will ever issue you a broadcast license.
So, the answer to your question about why you should continue to spend the time and money applying is: You probably shouldn't. You should come to terms with the fact that you aren't ever likely to have a broadcast license. Operating without a license can only lead you to grief.

> > > Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> >
> > Awwww! You've used that fictitious name again. Now you won't have
> to
> > ask "what ficticious name?". It is the one thing you've really
> > invented.
> IT'S NOT FICTICIOUS YOU FUCKING SLUT

The word is "fictitious", Todd, not "FICTICIOUS" and yes, if that isn't your legal name, it is a fiction.

By the way, I experienced an N9OGL time travel episode this afternoon. I opened a book and there was a message from my father written in the fly leaf. It was from 45 years in the past.

Dave K8MN

3386. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 06:03:37 UTC
From: toddaug@consolidated.net
Message-ID: <toddaug-2803050003370001@client-216-176-81-228.consolidated.net>
Source record: 104902

In article <424787BE.BAB2AA2D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > (Attributions inserted for sanity)
> > >
> > > > >That's simply wrong if you're discussing radio
> > > >
> > > > I suggest you read Section 151 of the communication Act of 1934.
> > >
> > > > 151. Purposes of chapter; Federal Communications Commission
> > created
> > >
> > > > As well as 152 of the communication act
> > > >
> > > > 152. Application of chapter
> > >
> > > > or how about 153 of the communication act
> > > >
> > > > 153. Definitions
> > >
> > > > do I need to go on.....
> > >
> > > You didn't need to insert them to begin with. I've read 'em. You've
> > > read and misinterpreted them.
> > >
> > > > > Your archived posts pretty well do you in. It looks like you
> > went > > from "innocent into proven guilty" all by your lonesome. Your
> > own archived
> > > > > words show you to be guilty of breaking any number of FCC regs.
> > >
> > > > This post dickhead had nothing to do with me...
> > >
> > > Sure it does, Todd Not-Very-Swift. It is all about you.
> > >
> > > > it had to do with the
> > > > behavior of the FCC and pirate radio operators and their "alleged

> > > > interference" with boadcast Stations. No where in that post did I
> > state
> > > > anything about me, but Dumb asses like yourself read into stuff
> > that
> > > > isn't there.
> > >
> > > Wise up, Todd. I posted a number of your archived posts in two
> > parts.
> > > In a number of them, you admit to operating pirate radio and TV
> > stations
> > > which operate on self-assigned frequencies in excess of Part 15 power
> > > levels (by a bunch). You confess to operating without a license in
> > some
> > > places and in others you profess that you'll go back to operating
> > > without a license. You've admitted, publicly to being a scofflaw. I
> > > didn't read anything which wasn't plainly stated by you.
> >
> > WELL YOU F--KING BITCH IF THEY WOULD OF CONSIDER MY APPLICATION AND
> > WAIVER LIKE THEIR SUPPOSE TOO THEN I WOULDN'T OF DID WHAT I DID, WHY
> > SHOULD I CONTINUE TO SPEND THE TIME AND MONEY YOU F--KING ASSHOLE ON
> > APPLYING FOR A LICENSE???
>
> Considering your request for a waiver and acting favorably upon your
> request are two very different things. With your history, it doesn't
> seem very likely that the Commission will ever issue you a broadcast
> license.
> So, the answer to your question about why you should continue to spend
> the time and money applying is: You probably shouldn't. You should
> come to terms with the fact that you aren't ever likely to have a
> broadcast license. Operating without a license can only lead you to
> grief.

No Dave, The point is the FCC never consider my waiver, and I went on the air LONG AFTER I had applied for a license. That's why I went on the air, because they weren't doing their job. Then those assholes (THE FCC) would go to court against a pirate radio operator abd claim all a fucking pirate radio operator has to do is apply for a license and as for a waiver....they and your little bitches on here are a bunch of fucking liars....and you little bitches on here can tell the FCC I said that.

> > > > Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> > >
> > > Awwww! You've used that fictitious name again. Now you won't have
> > to
> > > ask "what ficticious name?". It is the one thing you've really
> > > invented.
> > IT'S NOT FICTICIOUS YOU FUCKING SLUT
>
> The word is "fictitious", Todd, not "FICTICIOUS" and yes, if that isn't
> your legal name, it is a fiction.

>
As Pil stated that is the gaelic spelling of my name and I'm not going to
give my heritage, to you our any of your little sluts here on this
newsgroup or your butt buddies at the FCC.

> By the way, I experienced an N9OGL time travel episode this afternoon.
> I opened a book and there was a message from my father written in the
> fly leaf. It was from 45 years in the past.
>
> Dave K8MN
nice coming from a licensed CB RADIO Operator

Todd N9OGL

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3387. The Greatest News Ever!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 07:36:14 UTC
From: Ron_Grossi_038@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111995374.643524.302310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104903

http://www.jcil.blogspot.com << The Greatest News Ever!

3388. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 07:40:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111995602.714306.146770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104904

Todd Daugherty wrote:
> In article <424787BE.BAB2AA2D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:

> > Considering your request for a waiver and acting favorably upon
your
> > request are two very different things. With your history, it
doesn't
> > seem very likely that the Commission will ever issue you a
broadcast
> > license.
> > So, the answer to your question about why you should continue to
spend
> > the time and money applying is: You probably shouldn't. You
should
> > come to terms with the fact that you aren't ever likely to have a
> > broadcast license. Operating without a license can only lead you
to
> > grief.
>
> No Dave, The point is the FCC never consider my waiver, and I went on
the
> air LONG AFTER I had applied for a license.

And here again you ADMIT to breaking the law.

> That's why I went on the air, because they weren't doing their job.

They were keeping a mindless idiot off the air...Seems to me they
were doing EXACTLY thier job!

> Then those ###holes (THE FCC) (SNIP...)

That's right, Todd...Butter 'em up for your next evolution!

> would
> go to court against a pirate radio operator abd claim all a ####ing
pirate
> radio operator has to do is apply for a license and as for a
> waiver....they and your little ******** on here are a bunch of
####ing
> liars....and you little ######### on here can tell the FCC I said
that.

And I am sure they will review any future correspondence with
great scruitiny, Todd!

> > > > > Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> > > >
> > > > Awwww! You've used that fictitious name again. Now you won't
have
> > > to
> > > > ask "what ficticious name?". It is the one thing you've really
> > > > invented.
> > > IT'S NOT FICTICIOUS YOU ####ing ****
> >
> > The word is "fictitious", Todd, not "FICTICIOUS" and yes, if that
isn't
> > your legal name, it is a fiction.
> >
> As Pil stated that is the gaelic spelling of my name and I'm not
going to
> give my heritage, to you our any of your little ##### here on this
> newsgroup or your butt buddies at the FCC.

You've already given us your "heritage", Todd.

> > By the way, I experienced an N9OGL time travel episode this
afternoon.
> > I opened a book and there was a message from my father written in
the
> > fly leaf. It was from 45 years in the past.
> >
> > Dave K8MN

> nice coming from a licensed CB RADIO Operator

Well...Guess that's a bit more civil than your other slurs. At
least you spelled "CB" withought the "f" word in it...

Steve, K4YZ

3389. From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 08:26:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1111998400.689460.216420@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104905

Sunday, March 27, 2005

REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY

Let me tell you a story about some ass licking morons on a newsgroup called rec.radio.amateur.policy. I posted on here as well as on there that I was going to began running my information bulletins on HF (first on 10 meters then on 20 meters). These fucking fat bastards then get on there, saying my information bulletins aren't useful (although they've never heard them) and then those assholes say they are illegal (although they wouldn't give a rule or a FCC decision to prove that they are right). Now those fat fucks are "claim" they are going to send the FCC all my past post as well as my previous post from those newsgroup (some of them are 7 to 8 years old). Well all I have to say is go for it, and why your at it you stupid fucking fat bastards, get yourselves a fucking dildo with rusty razor blades imbedded in it and shove it up your asses you stupid fat fucks.

3390. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 11:02:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112007758.612984.23470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104906

N9OGL wrote:
> Sunday, March 27, 2005
>
> REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
>
> Let me tell you a story about some @@@ licking morons on a newsgroup
> called rec.radio.amateur.policy.

This was a really interesting way of spending Easter Sunday.

> I posted on here as well as on there
> that I was going to began running my information bulletins on HF
(first
> on 10 meters then on 20 meters).

What we're REALLY interested in is when you're going to being
running a remedial English Grammar course.

> These ####ing fat bastards then get on
> there, saying my information bulletins aren't useful (although
they've
> never heard them)...(SNIP)

You've never been to my home. HOW do you know WHAT I have heard or
not heard, Toiddie?

> (UNSNIP)...and then those ###holes say they are illegal
> (although they wouldn't give a rule or a FCC decision to prove that
> they are right).

YOU have already provided more than adequate vindication of our
suggestions that your "bulletins" are bogus, Toiddie.

> Now those fat ##### are "claim" they are going to send
> the FCC all my past post as well as my previous post from those
> newsgroup (some of them are 7 to 8 years old).

Who claimed that?

>>MY<< only "claims" have been that YOU have admitted to FCC rules
violations, and I hope that you get busted for it.

If I >>DO<< send any of your "posts" to ANYone, it will be to your
former gradeschool and high school English and grammar instructors so
they can see what a fine product they delivered to society.

> Well all I have to say
> is go for it, and why your at it you stupid ####ing fat ########, get

> yourselves a ####ing ###### with rusty razor blades imbedded in it and
> shove it up your asses you stupid fat #####.

Not that it will do any good, but I TOS'ed him to BlogSpot. Maybe
one to Google, too, since that's who sponsors BlogSpot???

Steve, K4YZ

3391. Re: The RRAP/OGL train-wreck has been fun to watch thus far...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 11:40:20 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112010020.594904.47610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104907

Yet ANOTHER car jumps the track:

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_frm/thread/be431c103c8c4d62/a996c7e890613410#a996c7e890613410

What a way to spend Easter, indeed.

3392. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 11:43:35 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112010215.106175.55060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104908

Is there a shrink in the house who can translate the original missive
then possibly explain the root cause of said individual's behavior?

3393. Re: Simplex calling frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 11:46:46 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112010406.072919.133000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

One wonders why you are wasting your time on a ham-related newsgroup if
you feel such contempt for the service. Are you even licensed?

3394. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 12:53:48 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <qc6dnRQ3Za_HY9rfRVn-tQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104910

N9OGL wrote:

<snip>

If anybody wanted to read your blog, they would goto that site! So just leave it there along with your fake bulletins!

3395. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 12:57:14 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <jdOdnQ9lS8G3YtrfRVn-jg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104911

Mr. Obvious wrote:

> Is there a shrink in the house who can translate the original missive
> then possibly explain the root cause of said individual's behavior?

He has made many references to dildos and he seems to want to put things in other people ass's. With the anal fixation, and seeing his picture on QRZ, I would say he's gay.

3396. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 13:01:12 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <j6ydneQwaeiKndXfRVn-qA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104912

Mr. Obvious wrote:

> Is there a shrink in the house who can translate the original missive
> then possibly explain the root cause of said individual's behavior?

The other possibility is that he has a sugar problem and ate too many chocolate bunnies and way too many jelly beans since he was all alone at home, having no invites to Easter dinner.

3397. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 13:44:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112017468.394683.106300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104913

Mr. Obvious wrote:
Jim wrote:
>>Is there a shrink in the house who can translate the original missive

>>then possibly explain the root cause of said individual's behavior?

>He has made many references to #####s and he seems to want to put
things
>in other people @@@'s. With the anal fixation, and seeing his picture
on
>QRZ, I would say he's gay.

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: Jim <KC8...@centurytel.net> - Find messages by this author
Date: Mon, 28 Mar 2005 08:01:12 -0500
Local: Mon, Mar 28 2005 5:01 am
Subject: Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

Mr. Obvious wrote:
Jim Wrote:
>> Is there a shrink in the house who can translate the original
missive
>> then possibly explain the root cause of said individual's behavior?

>The other possibility is that he has a sugar problem and ate too many
>chocolate bunnies and way too many jelly beans since he was all alone
at
>home, having no invites to Easter dinner.

My take is he's just a creep who's losing patience with not getting
what he thinks he has "coming" to him. He's so impressed his own
"genius" that he can't see what a complete idiot he's making of
himslef...

His "hit-o-meter" or whatever logging he's using to see how many
hits his "blog" is taking is probably only slightly more that the
number of "what kind of idiot are you" replies to his blog comments.

I notice he takes them off just as quickly as they go up...So much
for "freedom of speech"...

73

Steve, K4YZ

3398. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 15:08:55 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1112022535.417271.45330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104914

Avast ye scurvy dogs! Raise the Jolly Roger and man the guns! Thar be piratin' ta do! Arr!

3399. Re: Re:Youth in Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 15:38:32 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@watchout.com>
Message-ID: <YxV1e.1882$k57.1275@fed1read07>
Source record: 104915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"> "Rabbi Phil" <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net> in total ignorance wrote in
> message news:d2708e$njk$1@pita.alt.net...

>> It may be even more of shock that ham radio operators are important
>> in their eyes only. Ham radio has been irrelevant for years, hams just
>> have not realized it yet, and probably never will.
>>
>> "How come the young kids aren't coming into ham radio anymore."?
>> duhhhhhhhh, anyone hear about Advanced Technology?

CL replied; You need to do some research before you blather out this
stereotype
nonsense.

> There is a "Psychiatrist-To-Hams who sometimes visits here. You should
> strive to set an appointment with him at your earliest.
>
> 73,
>
> Rabbi Phil

But my good sir, I am a Psychiatrist.
Now to continue -- when did you first start to hate Hams?

CL
```

3400. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 16:04:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42482AFD.7729C0EF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104916

Todd Daugherty wrote:
>
> In article <424787BE.BAB2AA2D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:

> > > > > Wise up, Todd. I posted a number of your archived posts in two
> > > parts.
> > > > > In a number of them, you admit to operating pirate radio and TV
> > > stations
> > > > > which operate on self-assigned frequencies in excess of Part 15 power
> > > > > levels (by a bunch). You confess to operating without a license in
> > > some
> > > > > places and in others you profess that you'll go back to operating
> > > > > without a license. You've admitted, publicly to being a scofflaw. I
> > > > > didn't read anything which wasn't plainly stated by you.
> > > >
> > > > WELL YOU F--KING BITCH IF THEY WOULD OF CONSIDER MY APPLICATION AND
> > > > WAIVER LIKE THEIR SUPPOSE TOO THEN I WOULDN'T OF DID WHAT I DID, WHY
> > > > SHOULD I CONTINUE TO SPEND THE TIME AND MONEY YOU F--KING ASSHOLE ON
> > > > APPLYING FOR A LICENSE???
> > >
> > Considering your request for a waiver and acting favorably upon your
> > request are two very different things. With your history, it doesn't
> > seem very likely that the Commission will ever issue you a broadcast
> > license.
> > So, the answer to your question about why you should continue to spend
> > the time and money applying is: You probably shouldn't. You should
> > come to terms with the fact that you aren't ever likely to have a
> > broadcast license. Operating without a license can only lead you to
> > grief.
>
> No Dave, The point is the FCC never consider my waiver, and I went on the
> air LONG AFTER I had applied for a license.

The FCC may or may not have considered your request for a waiver. If
you went on the air without a license, you're simply a scofflaw and your
lack of character will, no doubt, preclude you from ever having a
broadcast license. Accept it.

> That's why I went on the air,
> because they weren't doing their job.

There is no evidence that the Federal Communications Commission is not
doing its job. There is plenty of evidence that you have no regard for
the law.

> Then those assholes (THE FCC) would
> go to court against a pirate radio operator abd claim all a f--king > pirate radio
operator has to do is apply for a license and as for a
> waiver....they and your little bitches on here are a bunch of f--king
> liars....and you little bitches on here can tell the FCC I said that.

Remember you wrote that.

> > > > > Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> > > > >
> > > > > Awwww! You've used that fictitious name again. Now you won't have
> > > > to
> > > > > ask "what ficticious name?". It is the one thing you've really
> > > > > invented.
> > > > IT'S NOT FICTICIOUS YOU FUCKING SLUT
> > >
> > The word is "fictitious", Todd, not "FICTICIOUS" and yes, if that isn't
> > your legal name, it is a fiction.
> >
> As Pil stated that is the gaelic spelling of my name and I'm not going to
> give my heritage, to you our any of your little sluts here on this
> newsgroup or your butt buddies at the FCC.

What's up, Doch?

> > By the way, I experienced an N9OGL time travel episode this afternoon.
> > I opened a book and there was a message from my father written in the
> > fly leaf. It was from 45 years in the past.

> nice coming from a licensed CB RADIO Operator

You've got the wrong newsgroup. I've never been a Citizens Band op in
my life. I have an amateur radio callsign. I don't engage in playing
pirate broadcaster. I don't rail at the FCC. I don't shift to all
caps. I don't feel the need to use profanity to deal with you.

You have some issues, Todd. You live in a fantasy world. You seemingly
have a mental age of about 14 or 15. You don't understand government
authority.

"But I wanna" is not justification for a broadcast license. I'm frankly
surprised that you've not yet lost your amateur radio license.

Dave K8MN

3401. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 16:13:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42482D31.AE8A61DB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104917

N9OGL wrote:
>
> Sunday, March 27, 2005
>
> REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
>
> Let me tell you a story about some ass licking morons on a newsgroup
> called rec.radio.amateur.policy. I posted on here as well as on there
> that I was going to began running my information bulletins on HF (first
> on 10 meters then on 20 meters). These f--king fat bastards then get on
> there, saying my information bulletins aren't useful (although they've
> never heard them)...

There is no indication that *anyone* has ever heard one.

> ...and then those assholes say they are illegal
> (although they wouldn't give a rule or a FCC decision to prove that
> they are right).

If your "broadcasts" are full of nothing but op-ed material venting your
ire toward the FCC, they aren't amateur radio informational bulletins.

> Now those fat f--ks are "claim" they are going to send
> the FCC all my past post as well as my previous post from those
> newsgroup (some of them are 7 to 8 years old).

Some of them are that old. Some are quite recent. It really matters
not.
A number of them have you admitting to illegal radio and TV broadcasting
during the time you've been a licensed amateur radio operator. A number
reveal you have other character issues.

> Well all I have to say
> is go for it, and why your at it you stupid f--king fat bastards, get
> yourselves a f--king dildo with rusty razor blades imbedded in it and
> shove it up your asses you stupid fat f--ks.

Is this a demonstration of your mature, adult behavior, Todd? If
someone forwarded just this particular post of yours to the FCC, what
effect do you think it might have on whether the Commission looked
favorably upon your request for a broadcast license? Do you think it
might some effect on someone in a position to yank your amateur radio
license?

You don't seem to possess an ability to think logically and you don't
seem to have the slightest bit of self control.

Dave K8MN

3402. Re: Re:Youth in Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 17:32:03 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net>
Message-ID: <d29f2digg0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Caveat Lector" <CL@watchout.com> wrote in message
news:YxV1e.1882$k57.1275@fed1read07...
>
> "> "Rabbi Phil" <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net> in total ignorance wrote in
>> message news:d2708enjk1@pita.alt.net...
>
>>> It may be even more of shock that ham radio operators are important
>>> in their eyes only. Ham radio has been irrelevant for years, hams just
>>> have not realized it yet, and probably never will.
>>>
>>> "How come the young kids aren't coming into ham radio anymore."?
>>> duhhhhhhhh, anyone hear about Advanced Technology?
>
> CL replied; You need to do some research before you blather out this
> stereotype
> nonsense.
>
>
>> There is a "Psychiatrist-To-Hams who sometimes visits here. You should
>> strive to set an appointment with him at your earliest.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Rabbi Phil
>
> But my good sir, I am a Psychiatrist.
> Now to continue -- when did you first start to hate Hams?
>
> CL
>

You should really learn to control your temper.

73,

Rabbi Phil

3403. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 17:41:28 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1112031687.981732.159010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104919

Avast ye scurvy dogs! Raise the Jolly Roger and man the guns! Thar be piratin' ta do! Arr!

3404. Selling 52 Simplex Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 17:51:29 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net>
Message-ID: <d29g75$ki3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 104920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

My friend Lennie Schwartz owns a radio store and was stuck with close
to a hundred 52 simplex radios he could not sell. As his Rabbi & chief
Sanhedrin, Lennie asked me for advice. Now Lennie has three gorgeous
college-age daughters, so I had Lennie get them some cute little outfits,
tight tops and tight short-shorts, similar to what the Hooters girls wear.
We had the following message boldly printed on the butt end of the shorts
and across the bust area of the tops:

"I MONITOR 52 SIMPLEX"

In less that 24 hours, Lennie sold all his 52 simplex radios at five times
the
original asking price and had to order more. Every male ham of any
age swamped Lennie's radio store and demanded a 52 simplex radio.

NOTE FOR HANS: It is not the message that is so important, as how you
communicate the message.

73,

Rabbi Phil
Chief,
Sanhedrin Council

3405. Re: Re:Youth in Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 19:46:31 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <UaZ1e.57989$NC6.6231@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 104921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Caveat Lector" <CL@watchout.com> wrote in message
news:YxV1e.1882$k57.1275@fed1read07...
>
> "> "Rabbi Phil" <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net> in total ignorance wrote in
>> message news:d2708enjk1@pita.alt.net...
>
>>> It may be even more of shock that ham radio operators are important
>>> in their eyes only. Ham radio has been irrelevant for years, hams just
>>> have not realized it yet, and probably never will.
>>>
>>> "How come the young kids aren't coming into ham radio anymore."?
>>> duhhhhhhhh, anyone hear about Advanced Technology?
>
> CL replied; You need to do some research before you blather out this
> stereotype
> nonsense.
>
>
>> There is a "Psychiatrist-To-Hams who sometimes visits here. You should
>> strive to set an appointment with him at your earliest.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Rabbi Phil
>
> But my good sir, I am a Psychiatrist.
> Now to continue -- when did you first start to hate Hams?
>
> CL
>

Probably when he found out he couldn't muster what it took to pass an exam!
I've seen quite a few of them. The "hand it to me" types. Afraid to put
forth a little effort in life. I knew a lady once who bombed a test like 3
times, blamed everyone but herself. It wasn't our fault she didn't study or
was too slow to be able to comprehend the material.

cl

3406. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 19:53:34 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <2hZ1e.7595$z.3266@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104922

N9OGL wrote:
> Sunday, March 27, 2005
>
> REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
>
> Let me tell you a story about some ass licking morons on a newsgroup
> called rec.radio.amateur.policy.

You still in junior high school? Dirty words have their uses,
but in your post their overuse is undermining your argument.

3407. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 21:17:13 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <br6dnQla7sTD6dXfRVn-pg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104923

N9OGL wrote:

> Sunday, March 27, 2005
>
> REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY

<trash snipped>
Todd, what I don't understand is there have been no post from you, about you, or even replies to your other post in 2 or 3 days.
Why did you start this post? If somebody wanted to see what was on your site, they would go there and read this garbage. Is it that you like to get beat up? Do you have a need to upset people by calling them names? Is it like broadcasting but with text?
Is it the fact that you think you have an audience here, because if that's your thinking, all you have here is people that don't like what you are doing.
Don't you find it strange that not one person has stepped up to bat for you, nobody here has stuck up for you, you would think that you would have at least 1 friend that would come here and back you up or even put a good word in for you. Don't you see anything wrong with this picture?
Hell, even Glen has some supporters and followers! You don't even have one supporter?

3408. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-28 23:49:34 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1112053774.183009.141840@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104924

The guy is a nut case.

3409. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 01:36:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112060174.754215.246060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104925

Bathrooman wrote:
> The guy is a nut case.

I think you're insulting nut cases, Bathrooman ! =)

Steve, K4YZ

3410. WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 01:47:29 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <Rs22e.4756$JE3.4676@fe11.lga>
Source record: 104926

Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!

Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.

...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY. Tnx
Jim. :-)

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

3411. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 02:03:21 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <JH22e.5085$c76.580@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 104928

WOOHOO!!!!! Yay for you, Bert!!!

So, you are going to to the WAC! I know a friend, KN5I, I think he's done it twice and was on his third time around last time we hung around with him. The first certificate was absolutely beautiful. The second one wasn't bad...but much smaller.

Good luck!!

Kim W5TIT

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message news:Rs22e.4756$JE3.4676@fe11.lga...
> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>
> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>
> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY. Tnx
> Jim. :-)
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384
> QRP ARCI #11782
>
>

3412. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 02:03:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1112061814.695520.250900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104927

Bert Craig wrote:
> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>
> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>
> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY.
Tnx
> Jim. :-)

Good show - Congratulations.

You're already at least three countries into your dxcc, now go get the
other 97. If I were you I'd concentrate on 20 & 15M where QRP signals
have a better shot at the long-haul goodies than they do on 40M.

> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384
> QRP ARCI #11782

w3rv

3413. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 02:07:31 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ZP6dnRfLSYGVJtXfRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104930

Todd Daugherty wrote:

> nice coming from a licensed CB RADIO Operator

There you go getting things wrong again toddyboy, CB doesn't require a license, in fact you can't get a license for CB, so Dave couldn't possibably be a "licensed" CB radio operator.

3414. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 02:08:42 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ZP6dnRbLSYHSJtXfRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104931

Bathrooman wrote:

> The guy is a nut case.
>

I truly believe he doesn't have all the numbers in his zip code.

3415. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 02:15:35 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@watchout.com>
Message-ID: <bT22e.2100$k57.1527@fed1read07>
Source record: 104929

Congrads Bert
WY is one of the least populous states
1614 hams there according to QRZ
http://www.qrz.com/i/census.html?

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:Rs22e.4756$JE3.4676@fe11.lga...
> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>
> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>
> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY. Tnx
> Jim. :-)
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384
> QRP ARCI #11782
>

3416. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 03:12:12 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1112065932.615476.72010@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104932

The guy is obviously off his navane.

3417. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 03:59:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xpOdnV_19I9pT9XfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 104933

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:Rs22e.4756$JE3.4676@fe11.lga...
> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>
> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>
> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY. Tnx
> Jim. :-)
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384
> QRP ARCI #11782
>

Congratulations! and fine business. Perhaps we'll run across each other in a contest someday.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3418. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 04:33:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4248DA8B.C43EC9F7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104934

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>
> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>
> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY. Tnx
> Jim. :-)

Congrats on your achievement, Bert!

Dave K8MN

3419. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 05:09:55 UTC
From: stinky weaselteets <iraqifartgas@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6f67b$4248e339$d1cc7934$30503@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 104935

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1111998400.689460.216420@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Sunday, March 27, 2005
>
> REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
>
> Let me tell you a story about some ass licking morons on a newsgroup
> called rec.radio.amateur.policy. I posted on here as well as on there
> that I was going to began running my information bulletins on HF (first
> on 10 meters then on 20 meters). These fucking fat bastards then get on
> there, saying my information bulletins aren't useful (although they've
> never heard them) and then those assholes say they are illegal
> (although they wouldn't give a rule or a FCC decision to prove that
> they are right). Now those fat fucks are "claim" they are going to send
> the FCC all my past post as well as my previous post from those
> newsgroup (some of them are 7 to 8 years old). Well all I have to say
> is go for it, and why your at it you stupid fucking fat bastards, get
> yourselves a fucking dildo with rusty razor blades imbedded in it and
> shove it up your asses you stupid fat fucks.

ROTFLMAO !

(I especially liked the part about 12" long dildo's and razor
blades....Oh Man! I ain't read shyte that KINKY since I was
out in San Francisco for 2 months doing some R&D work.)

That's right my friend! Bend em over, put on that mighty
12" long day-glow green jelly strap-on and give their
puckered rosebud a GOOD STIFF PHUCKING!!!!!

Praise "Bob" and Hail Connie !

3420. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 05:14:39 UTC
From: stinky weaselteets <iraqifartgas@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c2dda$4248e471$d1cc7934$30562@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 104936

"Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message
news:1112053774.183009.141840@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> The guy is a nut case.

HEY!

You're not the original "Bathroom Man"
from the glory days of 14.313 gone past
are you?

The guy allegedly from the Phoenix area?

Boy that was a FUN time on the ham radio!
Darn shame RH had to break up that party.

3421. Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 05:59:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112075956.720717.284490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104937

More Humor From N9OGL and Company:

QUOTE:

(n9oglvoice.blogspot.com)

Roger/AB8MQ said...
####ing netKKKop k4yz needs a reacharound
from #####head Hi El, K8MN, again.

Nobody forced k4yz to read this blog, so
him.

UNQUOTE:

Roger, judging by your numerous run-ins with law enforcement and the court systems, I would have to say that "details" are not your strength, and this is yet more evidence of that.

Had Toiddie not made the effort to take his "blog" and quote it word-for-word on a USENET newsgroup, I wouldn't have known what was on his "blog".

Toiddie's "information" bulletins, tainted by his "opinion", are of little interest to anyone, least of all me. This extends to his "blogs".

My only "interest" in Toiddie's activities comes from his abuse-of-priviledge of his Amateur Radio license which could negatively impact all Amateurs at some point in the future.

That he chooses to do so with his poor grammar, sandlot threats and prolific profanity only further strengthen MY arguments about his incompetence in genereal and his obvious lack of character to be a Commission licensee.

That he has a social misfit and ner-do-well such as you coming to his "defense" is just icing on the cake.

Steve, K4YZ

3422. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 06:06:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112076412.531095.114040@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104938

Bert Craig wrote:
> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>
> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>
> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY.
Tnx
> Jim. :-)

WTG, Bert! Now...cut the power in half and do it all over again!
=)

73

Steve, K4YZ

3423. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 06:52:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112079148.716357.80770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104939

K4YZ wrote:
> More Humor From N9OGL and Company:
>
> QUOTE:
>
> (n9oglvoice.blogspot.com)
>
> Roger/AB8MQ said...
> ####ing netKKKop k4yz needs a reacharound
> from ####head Hi El, K8MN, again.
>
> Nobody forced k4yz to read this blog, so
> #### him.
>
> UNQUOTE:
>
> Roger, judging by your numerous run-ins with law enforcement and
> the court systems, I would have to say that "details" are not your
> strength, and this is yet more evidence of that.
>
> Had Toiddie not made the effort to take his "blog" and quote it
> word-for-word on a USENET newsgroup, I wouldn't have known what was
on
> his "blog".
>
> Toiddie's "information" bulletins, tainted by his "opinion", are
> of little interest to anyone, least of all me. This extends to his
> "blogs".
>

Well steve, That's your opinion......however, you are not the 600,000 amateurs out there, your just ONE PERSON; Hence, a minority. Secondly you've never heard my transmissions thus, you can not say they are of little interest, In my humble opinion wht you say on the air is of little interest, but that's my opinion. One thing you fail to grasp is no amateur station can dictate to another amateur station how they are to run their station. As for the Usenets, Newsgroups are "open fourm" and as long as it on topic I can and will post there. So you and your little Commie buddies can try to push people around on that newsgroup but I will not leave!!!

> My only "interest" in Toiddie's activities comes from his
> abuse-of-priviledge of his Amateur Radio license which could
negatively
> impact all Amateurs at some point in the future.

>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>HAM COP ALERT HAM COP ALERT<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Steve, INFORMATION BULLETINS ARE LEGAL!!!!!!!!! as long as they are
directed to the amateur radio service and contain amateur radio
material. You don't freaking get it because you and your little moron
friends on that newsgroup are narrow minded assholes.

> That he chooses to do so with his poor grammar, sandlot threats
> and prolific profanity only further strengthen MY arguments about his
> incompetence in genereal and his obvious lack of character to be a
> Commission licensee.
>
THANK FREAKING GOD YOU DON'T WORK FOR THE FCC!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Todd N9OGL

> That he has a social misfit and ner-do-well such as you coming
to
> his "defense" is just icing on the cake.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3424. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 11:02:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112094140.171195.112640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104940

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > More Humor From N9OGL and Company:
> >
> > QUOTE:
> >
> > (n9oglvoice.blogspot.com)
> >
> > Roger/AB8MQ said...
> > ####ing netKKKop k4yz needs a reacharound
> > from ####head Hi El, K8MN, again.
> >
> > Nobody forced k4yz to read this blog, so
> > #### him.
> >
> > UNQUOTE:
> >
> > Roger, judging by your numerous run-ins with law enforcement
and
> > the court systems, I would have to say that "details" are not your
> > strength, and this is yet more evidence of that.
> >
> > Had Toiddie not made the effort to take his "blog" and quote
it
> > word-for-word on a USENET newsgroup, I wouldn't have known what was
> on
> > his "blog".
> >
> > Toiddie's "information" bulletins, tainted by his "opinion",
are
> > of little interest to anyone, least of all me. This extends to his
> > "blogs".
> >
>
> Well steve, That's your opinion......however, you are not the 600,000
> amateurs out there, your just ONE PERSON; Hence, a minority.

That's the VERY first thing you've gotten right, Toiddie!

And SO FAR, it's the ONLY thing you've gotten right!

> Secondly
> you've never heard my transmissions thus, you can not say they are of
> little interest, In my humble opinion wht you say on the air is of
> little interest, but that's my opinion.

You continue to make this statement that I've "never heard" one of your broadcasts, yet you've never been to my home let alone been where while I was using my radio station.

WHY do you insist on making such idiotic statements in a public forum when it's OBVIOUS that you do not have the means to know the truth...???

> One thing you fail to grasp is
> no amateur station can dictate to another amateur station how they are
> to run their station.

Stations are inanimate things, Toiddie, only able to "do" what their operators use them for.

The only time it's ever been otherwise is when I, the control operator of K4YZ, has used the tonepad on my HT radio to "operate" another station by initiating an "autopatch".

> As for the Usenets, Newsgroups are "open fourm"
> and as long as it on topic I can and will post there. So you and your
> little Commie buddies can try to push people around on that newsgroup
> but I will not leave!!!

I don't know any Communists, Toiddie. I did get to meet some Soviet Naval Infantry (their term for what we call Marines in the US) officers and NCO's that came to San Diego in the 80's. I didn't ask them if they were card-carrying members of the Communist party, though.

And as for being "on topic", YOUR above rant had absolutely NOTHING to do with my comments to/about Roger, AB8MQ.

(1) Roger HAS had numerous run-ins with law enforcement at SEVERAL levels of enforcement. This is true.

(2) As >>I<< stated, I would have not known WHAT was on your "blog" if not for your broadcast on USENET quoting it's profane and threatening contents. This is also true.

(3) Based upon those FACTUAL details, my comments were also true.

> > My only "interest" in Toiddie's activities comes from his
> > abuse-of-priviledge of his Amateur Radio license which could
> negatively
> > impact all Amateurs at some point in the future.
> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>HAM COP ALERT HAM COP ALERT<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>
> Steve, INFORMATION BULLETINS ARE LEGAL!!!!!!!!! as long as they are
> directed to the amateur radio service and contain amateur radio
> material.

Yes, they are...As long as they are "information" about Amateur Radio issues.

You are broadcasting "shows" that are nothing more than your opportunity to "air" your opinions to a wider "audience" than what your blog and rants on RRAP are able to take you. Mostly because no one who knows you wants to pay attention to you in the first place.

Too bad you haven't taken the hint.

> You don't freaking get it because you and your little moron
> friends on that newsgroup are narrow minded ###holes.

I "get it" just fine, Toiddie. I've made good use of REAL information bulletins since YOU were only TWO YEARS OLD. I started copying W1AW's CW bulletins as practice two years before I got my license in 72.

I was a licensed Amateur before you entered Kindergarten.

I passed my Extra before you passed your 12th birthday.

> > That he chooses to do so with his poor grammar, sandlot
threats
> > and prolific profanity only further strengthen MY arguments about
his
> > incompetence in genereal and his obvious lack of character to be a
> > Commission licensee.
> >
> THANK FREAKING GOD YOU DON'T WORK FOR THE FCC!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Thank God for YOU, Toiddie.

Because if I did, N9OGL would have hit the "suspended" file already while "we" investigated your admissions of violations of FCC regulations vis-a-vis pirate transmissions.

Your own admissions herein are evidence of your ineligibility to be a Commission licensee...One other poster with a career as a Commission lawyer has already told you that, yet you persist in admitting your violations of even more and more FCC R&R's.

Of course, if the FCC does "come after" you, you'll be calling them more names for doing so, despite no one at the Commission twisting your arm to make you make these admissions...

> > That he has a social misfit and ner-do-well such as you coming
> to
> > his "defense" is just icing on the cake.

As I was saying....

Steve, K4YZ

3425. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 11:44:49 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112096688.968073.100310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104941

Funny how the weak-minded resort to cursing and other junvenile level locker-room behavior when they're backed logically into a corner, isn't it?

3426. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 11:47:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112096870.293018.5780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104942

Mr. Obvious wrote:
> Funny how the weak-minded resort to cursing and other junvenile level
> locker-room behavior when they're backed logically into a corner,
isn't
> it?

I am willing to bet that Toiddie spent a LOT of his formative
years in a corner...Usually with his nose in it and a "DUNCE" cap on is
head.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3427. Re: More N9OGL Stuff Dismissed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 11:56:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112097392.008744.285680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104943

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > N9OGL wrote:
>
> > > >Unlike SOME people who sign documents as the "CEO" of
non-existant
> > > >companies and organizations, using ficticious last names, etc...
> > >
> > > Not true, we are a non-profit organization who's purpose is to
> > promote
> > > Theater, Telecommunications, and the Performing Arts, and what
> > > ficticious name???
> >
> > OK, Toddie...Is your last name "Daugherty", as you have it on
> your
> > Amateur Radio license, or is it "O'Dochartaigh" as you've posted on
> > USENET posts, recent and current?
>
> You've answered other posts today, Todd, but avoided answering
> this one, for some reason.
>
> Why?
>
> > >I think your smoking crack.
> >
> > You're welcome to make that suggestion to the authorities,
Todd.
> >
> > Of course it would be yet another unfounded, "barking at the
> moon"
> > outburst from one who is already so well known for being an idiot
in
> > public.
> >
> > Lastly, I wonder if "the members" of your "non-profit
> organization
> > who's purpose is to promote Theater, Telecommunicaitons and the
> > Performing Arts" know that you use thier name in the signature line
> of
> > posts that are profane, functionally illiterate, acknowledge
> violation
> > of federal law and are just basically humiliating...???
> >

> > I know in the programs that I belong to, if I were to
> "represent"
> > myself as speaking on thier behalf in posts that were as assinine,
> > profane, threatening and irrational as yours, I'd be "removed from
> > office" if not removed from the membership altogether!
> >
> > Under what chapter of Illinois law are you organized? Do you
> have
> > IRS 501(c)3 tax exclusionary status? If so, what is the
certificate
> > number or date of waiver and who is the authorizing agent?
>
> You didn't answer this one, either...Is there a problem...???
Do
> you not know? Did you not understand the questions?

Still have the recognition/comprehension issues, Toiddie?

Need someone to read the questions to you?

Steve, K4YZ

3428. Re: N9OGL to bust 2 Meter band Plan With "Information Bulletin" Broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 12:12:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112098342.417540.102080@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104944

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Taylorville |SPF| |146.8350 - N9OGL |N9OGL
> >
> >
> > http://www.ilra.net/illrdbyfreq.html
>
> Well, well, well! Toddie has his own repeater! I am sure it's
the
> cornerstone of the Amateur net in Ill-annoyed!
>
> An it is, of course, completely scratch built! No commercial
gear
> for Toddie! No "appliance operator" at N9OGL, No Siree!
>
> Please, Toddie! Tell us all about it! What PLANS did you build
> it from? Power? HAAT? ERP? Controller?

Hey Toiddie...

We're still waiting for you to enlighten us as to the station line-up at N9OGL, to now include the gear at N9OGL/R.

Remember..!?!? You kept calling everyone else "applicance operators"..?!?! You've been asked several times now to tell us about all that homebrew/kitbuilt gear that gives you "bragging rights" over any other Amateur, Toiddie, yet no response.

What gear is running at N9OGL for your HF transmissions? Must be an impressive array of homemade gear to handle that heavy duty load of continuous key-down that you are bragging about.

And how about that repeater...?!?!? Don't disappoint me and tell me you don't have a homebrew station there, either, Toiddie. Afterall, you're going to be making all those VHF transmissions, and that's a lot harder on a transmitter since it is continous duty cycle...

C'mon, Toiddie! Some pics on your world famous "blog" of you sitting at the mic of N9OGL showing us all that homebrew gear on the shelf* would be a great way to prove me wrong!

Steve, K4YZ

(* PS:..."On The Shelf" is exactly what I would expect of anything you built, Toiddie!...Good as paper weights and dust collectors only...Not "on the air"...)

3429. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 13:42:22 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <z92dnS_nK5vUwdTfRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 104945

N9OGL wrote:

>
>
> Steve, INFORMATION BULLETINS ARE LEGAL!!!!!!!!! as long as they are
> directed to the amateur radio service and contain amateur radio
> material. You don't freaking get it because you and your little moron
> friends on that newsgroup are narrow minded assholes.

Hey stupid, your opionions about amateur radio and why you hate the FCC
are not bulletins. Grow up toddyboy!!

3430. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 14:11:53 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <huydncv4MPmy_9TfRVn-sg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104946

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>More Humor From N9OGL and Company:
>>
>>QUOTE:
>>
>>(n9oglvoice.blogspot.com)
>>
>>Roger/AB8MQ said...
>>####ing netKKKop k4yz needs a reacharound
>>from ####head Hi El, K8MN, again.
>>
>>Nobody forced k4yz to read this blog, so
>>#### him.
>>
>>UNQUOTE:
>>
>> Roger, judging by your numerous run-ins with law enforcement and
>>the court systems, I would have to say that "details" are not your
>>strength, and this is yet more evidence of that.
>>
>> Had Toiddie not made the effort to take his "blog" and quote it
>>word-for-word on a USENET newsgroup, I wouldn't have known what was
>
> on
>
>>his "blog".
>>
>> Toiddie's "information" bulletins, tainted by his "opinion", are
>>of little interest to anyone, least of all me. This extends to his
>>"blogs".
>>
>
>
> Well steve, That's your opinion......however, you are not the 600,000
> amateurs out there, your just ONE PERSON; Hence, a minority. Secondly
> you've never heard my transmissions thus, you can not say they are of
> little interest, In my humble opinion wht you say on the air is of
> little interest, but that's my opinion. One thing you fail to grasp is
> no amateur station can dictate to another amateur station how they are
> to run their station. As for the Usenets, Newsgroups are "open fourm"
> and as long as it on topic I can and will post there. So you and your
> little Commie buddies can try to push people around on that newsgroup

> but I will not leave!!!
>
>
>
>> My only "interest" in Toiddie's activities comes from his
>>abuse-of-priviledge of his Amateur Radio license which could
>
> negatively
>
>>impact all Amateurs at some point in the future.
>>
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>HAM COP ALERT HAM COP ALERT<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>
>
> Steve, INFORMATION BULLETINS ARE LEGAL!!!!!!!!! as long as they are
> directed to the amateur radio service and contain amateur radio
> material. You don't freaking get it because you and your little moron
> friends on that newsgroup are narrow minded assholes.
>
>
>
>> That he chooses to do so with his poor grammar, sandlot threats
>>and prolific profanity only further strengthen MY arguments about his
>>incompetence in genereal and his obvious lack of character to be a
>>Commission licensee.
>>
>
> THANK FREAKING GOD YOU DON'T WORK FOR THE FCC!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
>
>> That he has a social misfit and ner-do-well such as you coming
>
> to
>
>>his "defense" is just icing on the cake.
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>

Todd, do you think everybody who doesn't aggree with you is a friend of
K4YZ? I don't know the man, nor have I ever spoke with him, here or
anywhere else.
Do you think everyone else that you assume to be friends of K4YZ are
" your little moron friends on that newsgroup are narrow minded
assholes." "you and your little Commie buddies can try to push people
around on that newsgroup but I will not leave!!!"

I hope you're not calling me a Commie, moron, asshole!
You are the uneducated illiterate moron who thinks his way is the only
way!
I won't even make a comment about the start of this remark
"THANK FREAKING --- YOU DON'T WORK FOR THE FCC!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!"

3431. Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 14:38:26 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <PfWdnQO9k9L79dTfRVn-ig@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104947

Is 10 meters in the HF portion? If it is then what is wrong with this
picture?
http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2005/03/28/2/?nc=1

3432. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 14:44:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112107476.402793.91940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104948

Jim wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:

> Todd, do you think everybody who doesn't aggree with you is a friend
of
> K4YZ? I don't know the man, nor have I ever spoke with him, here or
> anywhere else.
>
> Do you think everyone else that you assume to be friends of K4YZ
are
> " your little moron friends on that newsgroup are narrow minded
> assholes." "you and your little Commie buddies can try to push people

> around on that newsgroup but I will not leave!!!"

"The Enemy of my Enemy is my Enemy"

Or in Toiddie's case: "The enema of my enema is an other enema"

> I hope you're not calling me a Commie, moron, ###hole!

I imagine that everyone not associated with the person that Todd
see's when he shaves is categorized as such.

> You are the uneducated illiterate moron who thinks his way is the
only
> way!

Hey Jim...Anyone who thinks that about Toiddie can have coffee at
my house any time.

> I won't even make a comment about the start of this remark
> "THANK FREAKING --- YOU DON'T WORK FOR THE FCC!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!"

Neither did Toiddie. Nasty bit of writing he did for an Easter
Day, wasn't it...?!?! I'm not very relgious, but I hedge my bets on
major holidays...

73

Steve, K4YZ

3433. Re: Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 15:26:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112109972.896806.258430@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104949

Jim wrote:
> Is 10 meters in the HF portion? If it is then what is wrong with this

> picture?
> http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2005/03/28/2/?nc=1

I'm certainly not Dee, but I was trying to figure out where you might be going with this, espeically since I couldn't find any reference to 10 meters in the article.

However I think the article itself is misleading and will misdirect potential HF operators.

I realize the INTENT was to provide some encouragement to those who might be interested in HF operating but are intimidated by the Morse Code exam.

The PROBLEM, however, is that when you approach ANY learning curve with the idea that "I only need to know 'this much' to pass", you usually wind up having to spend even more time IN the training curve because you wind up having to re-do a lot to get to where it is you want to be.

There has to be a dozen different learning "systems" out there to learn Morse Code...One of them is going to work "for you"...Just sit down, devote some effort to it, and get it done. Trying to "get by" with only half or less of what you need to know, Morse Code or any other topic, will just leave you ill-prepared, frustrated and short of your goal.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3434. Re: Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 15:50:23 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <haSdnStqxevZ5NTfRVn-hg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104950

K4YZ wrote:

> Jim wrote:
>
>>Is 10 meters in the HF portion? If it is then what is wrong with this
>
>
>>picture?
>>http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2005/03/28/2/?nc=1
>
>
> I'm certainly not Dee, but I was trying to figure out where you
> might be going with this, espeically since I couldn't find any
> reference to 10 meters in the article.
>
> However I think the article itself is misleading and will
> misdirect potential HF operators.
>
> I realize the INTENT was to provide some encouragement to those
> who might be interested in HF operating but are intimidated by the
> Morse Code exam.
>
> The PROBLEM, however, is that when you approach ANY learning curve
> with the idea that "I only need to know 'this much' to pass", you
> usually wind up having to spend even more time IN the training curve
> because you wind up having to re-do a lot to get to where it is you
> want to be.
>
> There has to be a dozen different learning "systems" out there to
> learn Morse Code...One of them is going to work "for you"...Just sit
> down, devote some effort to it, and get it done. Trying to "get by"
> with only half or less of what you need to know, Morse Code or any
> other topic, will just leave you ill-prepared, frustrated and short of
> your goal.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I don't know where I was going with 10 meters either, talking before I
think.
This is what I saw that was wrong.
" In the United States the General class license is the minimum
requirement for an amateur to have HF privileges."
Novice and Tech plus both have HF privileges, don't they still?

Maybe because of 10 meter voice was my reference to 10 meters, I don't remember why I said that? Brain farct, doing too many things at once, operating appliances, oops there goes the toaster.

3435. Re: Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 15:57:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112111842.816018.304650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104951

Jim wrote:

> I don't know where I was going with 10 meters either, talking
before I
> think.
> This is what I saw that was wrong.
> " In the United States the General class license is the minimum
> requirement for an amateur to have HF privileges."
> Novice and Tech plus both have HF privileges, don't they still?

Yes Sir...they still do...The author might have made some comment
acknowledging that.

See what I mean about cutting corners? The author didn't mention
that currently licensed Novices and Techs with 5WPM have access to 10M
and it brought a bit of confusion with it!

> Maybe because of 10 meter voice was my reference to 10 meters, I
don't
> remember why I said that? Brain farct, doing too many things at once,

> operating appliances, oops there goes the toaster.

Like the 'toasters' on the new "Battlestar Gallactica"...?!?! =)

73

Steve, K4YZ

3436. Re: Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 16:19:24 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Cr-dnUMJHL2NHdTfRVn-uQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104952

K4YZ wrote:

> Jim wrote:
>
>
>> I don't know where I was going with 10 meters either, talking
>
> before I
>
>>think.
>> This is what I saw that was wrong.
>>" In the United States the General class license is the minimum
>>requirement for an amateur to have HF privileges."
>> Novice and Tech plus both have HF privileges, don't they still?
>
>
> Yes Sir...they still do...The author might have made some comment
> acknowledging that.
>
> See what I mean about cutting corners? The author didn't mention
> that currently licensed Novices and Techs with 5WPM have access to 10M
> and it brought a bit of confusion with it!
>
>
>>Maybe because of 10 meter voice was my reference to 10 meters, I
>
> don't
>
>>remember why I said that? Brain farct, doing too many things at once,
>
>
>>operating appliances, oops there goes the toaster.
>
>
> Like the 'toasters' on the new "Battlestar Gallactica"...?!?! =)

Like the toaster is one of the appliances I operate! :)

Speaking of appliance operaters, this is a nice looking Danish made
appliance, just a strange color.
http://www.danamps.com/dual_band.htm

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

>

3437. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 17:05:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1112115926.966649.307770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104953

Bert Craig wrote:
> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!

WOOHOO!

And from the East Coast, too. With a simple wire antenna IIRC.
>
> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.

On 40 meters? Can be done!
>
> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY.

And the Southgate Type 7

> Tnx
> Jim. :-)
>
My pleasure, Bert.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3438. Re: Selling 52 Simplex Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 18:13:09 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d2c5rmofk1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 104975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rabbi Phil wrote:
> My friend Lennie Schwartz owns a radio store and was stuck with close
> to a hundred 52 simplex radios he could not sell. As his Rabbi & chief
> Sanhedrin, Lennie asked me for advice. Now Lennie has three gorgeous
> college-age daughters, so I had Lennie get them some cute little outfits,
> tight tops and tight short-shorts, similar to what the Hooters girls wear.
> We had the following message boldly printed on the butt end of the shorts
> and across the bust area of the tops:
>
> "I MONITOR 52 SIMPLEX"
>
> In less that 24 hours, Lennie sold all his 52 simplex radios at five times
> the
> original asking price and had to order more. Every male ham of any
> age swamped Lennie's radio store and demanded a 52 simplex radio.
>
> NOTE FOR HANS: It is not the message that is so important, as how you
> communicate the message.

The only thing worse than being witty is not being witty.

Come on, you can do better! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3439. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 20:26:10 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <CRi2e.375$44.64@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104954

Bathrooman wrote:
> The guy is obviously off his navane.
>

N9OGL doesn't have a full deck.....

Bats in the belfry
Crackpot
Screwball....

:-)

3440. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 21:23:19 UTC
From: Bu8bbles <bubblest@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <95f2f$4249c91e$d1cc797a$8714@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 104955

"Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1112096688.968073.100310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Funny how the weak-minded resort to cursing and other junvenile level
> locker-room behavior when they're backed logically into a corner, isn't
> it?

"Under certain circumstances, urgent circumstances, desperate
circumstances, profanity provides a relief denied even to prayer."
- Mark Twain, a Biography

"Let us swear while we may, for in Heaven it will not be allowed."
- An entry in Mark Twain's notebook, 1898

3441. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 22:40:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112136044.527954.20660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104956

Bu8bbles wrote:
> "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1112096688.968073.100310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Funny how the weak-minded resort to cursing and other junvenile
level
> > locker-room behavior when they're backed logically into a corner,
isn't
> > it?
>
> "Under certain circumstances, urgent circumstances, desperate
> circumstances, profanity provides a relief denied even to prayer."
> - Mark Twain, a Biography

"Profanity, used over and over to inappropriate audiences announces
the user as a dimwitted, even dull." Alouisious X Xavier, whereabouts
unknown.

> "Let us swear while we may, for in Heaven it will not be allowed."
> - An entry in Mark Twain's notebook, 1898

"Dead?...Waddaya Mean, I'm Dead?" Seen on a backside of a
headstone in Victorville, CA.

I don't think Heaven is an option for Toiddie at this juncture.

Steve, K4YZ

3442. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 22:52:49 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <6%k2e.1000$VC2.668@fe11.lga>
Source record: 104957

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1112115926.966649.307770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Bert Craig wrote:
>> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>
> WOOHOO!
>
> And from the East Coast, too. With a simple wire antenna IIRC.

About half w/a 66' doublet and the other half w/a Carolina Windom 40 LP.

>> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>
> On 40 meters? Can be done!

True, but I'm starting to love 20 now. Belarus this morning. I've worked
about 15 countries total already. DX Q's are a breeze compared to CONUS
ragchews.

My standard power level will rise from 5 W to approx. 20 W. (With 100 W
available for those ruff cpy rpts.)

>> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY.
>
> And the Southgate Type 7
>
>> Tnx
>> Jim. :-)
>>
> My pleasure, Bert.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Tnx agn. :-)

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384 (Submitted my log for my CC certificate a few days ago.)
QRP ARCI #11782

3443. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 22:57:04 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4249de59$1_2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 104958

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1111998400.689460.216420@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Sunday, March 27, 2005
>
> REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
>
> Let me tell you a story about some ass licking morons
>
You gave me herpes you retarded freak!

3444. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 23:17:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <NIl2e.435$44.139@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104959

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:JH22e.5085$c76.580@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> WOOHOO!!!!! Yay for you, Bert!!!
>
> So, you are going to to the WAC! I know a friend, KN5I, I think he's done
> it twice and was on his third time around last time we hung around with
> him.
> The first certificate was absolutely beautiful. The second one wasn't
> bad...but much smaller.
>
> Good luck!!
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:Rs22e.4756$JE3.4676@fe11.lga...
>> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>>
>> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>>
>> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY. Tnx
>> Jim. :-)
>>
>> --
>> Vy 73 de Bert
>> WA2SI
>> FISTS #9384
>> QRP ARCI #11782
>>
>>
>
>

Congrats to you Bert....but I disagree....WAC is simple. Just hang around on 20 long enough and they will come to you.

I real accomplishment would be WAS on 5bands....I.E. 5BWAS. Now that is tough.

Dan/W4NTI

3445. Re: Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 23:17:10 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4ZidnW-CDppifNTfRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 104960

"Jim" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:PfWdnQO9k9L79dTfRVn-ig@centurytel.net...
> Is 10 meters in the HF portion? If it is then what is wrong with this
> picture?
> http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2005/03/28/2/?nc=1
>

Actually he is overlooking the Tech with code who is then allowed to access
10m voice, 10m cw, 15m cw, 40m cw, and 80m cw.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3446. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 23:18:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Mml2e.436$44.402@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104961

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1112061814.695520.250900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
>> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>>
>> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>>
>> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY.
> Tnx
>> Jim. :-)
>
> Good show - Congratulations.
>
> You're already at least three countries into your dxcc, now go get the
> other 97. If I were you I'd concentrate on 20 & 15M where QRP signals
> have a better shot at the long-haul goodies than they do on 40M.
>
>
>> --
>> Vy 73 de Bert
>> WA2SI
>> FISTS #9384
>> QRP ARCI #11782
>
> w3rv
>
Thats another easy one these days. I make DXCC nearly every contest I
enter. Without the QSL's of course...hi

Dan/W4NTI

3447. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 23:19:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Inl2e.438$44.91@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104962

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:xpOdnV_19I9pT9XfRVn-ig@comcast.com...
>
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:Rs22e.4756$JE3.4676@fe11.lga...
>> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>>
>> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>>
>> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY. Tnx
>> Jim. :-)
>>
>> --
>> Vy 73 de Bert
>> WA2SI
>> FISTS #9384
>> QRP ARCI #11782
>>
>
> Congratulations! and fine business. Perhaps we'll run across each other
> in a contest someday.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

If you contest then how come I ain't never worked ya? Or should I say,
which ones do you enter regular? I'll look for you.

Dan/W4NTI

3448. Re: Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 23:22:44 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <y7adnc2zT-vTftTfRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 104963

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1112109972.896806.258430@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Jim wrote:
>> Is 10 meters in the HF portion? If it is then what is wrong with this
>
>> picture?
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2005/03/28/2/?nc=1
>
> I'm certainly not Dee, but I was trying to figure out where you
> might be going with this, espeically since I couldn't find any
> reference to 10 meters in the article.
>
> However I think the article itself is misleading and will
> misdirect potential HF operators.
>
> I realize the INTENT was to provide some encouragement to those
> who might be interested in HF operating but are intimidated by the
> Morse Code exam.
>
> The PROBLEM, however, is that when you approach ANY learning curve
> with the idea that "I only need to know 'this much' to pass", you
> usually wind up having to spend even more time IN the training curve
> because you wind up having to re-do a lot to get to where it is you
> want to be.
>
> There has to be a dozen different learning "systems" out there to
> learn Morse Code...One of them is going to work "for you"...Just sit
> down, devote some effort to it, and get it done. Trying to "get by"
> with only half or less of what you need to know, Morse Code or any
> other topic, will just leave you ill-prepared, frustrated and short of
> your goal.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

The author of the article made a major error in that he stated General is
required for HF where as in reality, Techs with code have CW on several
bands plus voice on 10meter.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3449. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-29 23:38:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ivOdnfRpUY9te9TfRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 104964

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Inl2e.438$44.91@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:xpOdnV_19I9pT9XfRVn-ig@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
>> news:Rs22e.4756$JE3.4676@fe11.lga...
>>> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>>>
>>> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>>>
>>> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY. Tnx
>>> Jim. :-)
>>>
>>> --
>>> Vy 73 de Bert
>>> WA2SI
>>> FISTS #9384
>>> QRP ARCI #11782
>>>
>>
>> Congratulations! and fine business. Perhaps we'll run across each other
>> in a contest someday.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
> If you contest then how come I ain't never worked ya? Or should I say,
> which ones do you enter regular? I'll look for you.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

I was wondering the same but it's just coincidence I guess. However, I'm
just a casual operator often stopping at a couple of dozen contacts.
Sometimes I and the OM use his callsign (K8BSG) instead of mine so he can
count some of the DX towards his DXCC instead of mine. He's a General and
I'm an Extra.

What contests do I work? Well I like Sweepstakes, State QSO parties, and
the DX contests. If you are one of the very fast CW ops, I probably passed
you by. For ragchewing, I'm only reliable at 15wpm although somehow I
managed to pass my 20. In contests, I can do 20 to 25 for the limited

exchange but generally have to listen several times through before trying the station to be sure I have it right. So I stick to seek and pounce.

The most contacts I ever made in a contest was 384. It happened to be the Feb 2004 ARRL International DX contest on CW.

I did hear you calling CQ one day within the last few months and an African station came back to you if I remember correctly. So I went on by.

Looking at my log, I've only worked 16 stations in Alabama.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3450. Re: Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 00:21:43 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <c4SdnUHzvau8bNTfRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 104965

"Jim" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:PfWdnQO9k9L79dTfRVn-ig@centurytel.net...
> Is 10 meters in the HF portion? If it is then what is wrong with this
> picture?
> http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2005/03/28/2/?nc=1
>

I zipped the author off an email after reading it and he has already
acknowledged it and thanked me for the feedback.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3451. Re: Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 00:22:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <UoGdnaqxkM7NbNTfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 104966

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:y7adnc2zT-vTftTfRVn-iA@comcast.com...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1112109972.896806.258430@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Jim wrote:
>>> Is 10 meters in the HF portion? If it is then what is wrong with this
>>
>>> picture?
>>> http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2005/03/28/2/?nc=1
>>
>> I'm certainly not Dee, but I was trying to figure out where you
>> might be going with this, espeically since I couldn't find any
>> reference to 10 meters in the article.
>>
>> However I think the article itself is misleading and will
>> misdirect potential HF operators.
>>
>> I realize the INTENT was to provide some encouragement to those
>> who might be interested in HF operating but are intimidated by the
>> Morse Code exam.
>>
>> The PROBLEM, however, is that when you approach ANY learning curve
>> with the idea that "I only need to know 'this much' to pass", you
>> usually wind up having to spend even more time IN the training curve
>> because you wind up having to re-do a lot to get to where it is you
>> want to be.
>>
>> There has to be a dozen different learning "systems" out there to
>> learn Morse Code...One of them is going to work "for you"...Just sit
>> down, devote some effort to it, and get it done. Trying to "get by"
>> with only half or less of what you need to know, Morse Code or any
>> other topic, will just leave you ill-prepared, frustrated and short of
>> your goal.
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
> The author of the article made a major error in that he stated General is
> required for HF where as in reality, Techs with code have CW on several
> bands plus voice on 10meter.

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

OOPS! I forgot about the Novices.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3452. Re: Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 00:26:34 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <eNudnSBx_Y-gb9TfRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104967

Dee Flint wrote:

> "Jim" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:PfWdnQO9k9L79dTfRVn-ig@centurytel.net...
>
>>Is 10 meters in the HF portion? If it is then what is wrong with this
>>picture?
>>http://www.arrl.org/news/features/2005/03/28/2/?nc=1
>>
>
>
> Actually he is overlooking the Tech with code who is then allowed to access
> 10m voice, 10m cw, 15m cw, 40m cw, and 80m cw.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

That's what I thought, as well as some still existing Novice class. And
I guess thats why I thought of 10 meters, Novice and Tech both have
voice, I wasn't thinking about all the cw segments they have.
Thanks

3453. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 00:57:21 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1112144241.066328.282480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104968

I am the great bathrooman. I am looking for assistants to stay after school to help clean the restrooms or at least to restock the soap and toilet paper. We will do anything it takes to get assistants including sending out requests on ham radio nets. Those little brats should clean up their own %$#&*ing mess. Putting a log in a book is not what we meant by 'logbook.' Throwing toilet paper all over the floor is not what we meant by 'spreadsheets.' 'Contesting' had nothing to do with #%&$ing. If they can learn CW by operating the plunger, so much the better. We are also looking for security assistants in the event of a bomb threat or mass school shooting, and some ham to teach them how to solder. If they do well they get a supervised field trip to Polly Parrot's shack. If they do poorly, they get an UNsupervised field trip to the parrot's shack. hehehehehe

3454. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 01:15:55 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1112145355.003554.259590@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104969

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1112061814.695520.250900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bert Craig wrote:
> >> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP
CW!
> >>
> >> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
> >>
> >> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of
N2EY.
> > Tnx
> >> Jim. :-)
> >
> > Good show - Congratulations.
> >
> > You're already at least three countries into your dxcc, now go get
the
> > other 97. If I were you I'd concentrate on 20 & 15M where QRP
signals
> > have a better shot at the long-haul goodies than they do on 40M.
> >
> >
> >> --
> >> Vy 73 de Bert
> >> WA2SI
> >> FISTS #9384
> >> QRP ARCI #11782
> >
> > w3rv
> >
> Thats another easy one these days. I make DXCC nearly every contest
I
> enter.

I agree, been there, done it and then some, a 48-hour dxcc is almost a no-brainer IF you have a reasonably competitive station, dxing experience, the sun spots are with you and you stay in the seat. Those are all big "ifs" though. If Bert sticks to 40M with 5w and some air-cooled wire he has a *real* slog ahead of him (years?) I for one simply don't have the patience to deal with. All that aside yupper, his best shot at new ones per hour by far is during the cw dx contests.

> Without the QSL's of course...hi

Boo! No counter!

Hey Bert - How many states do you actually have confirmed so far?

> Dan/W4NTI

w3rv

3455. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 01:28:29 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1112146109.893121.108060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104970

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1112061814.695520.250900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bert Craig wrote:
> >> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP
CW!
> >>
> >> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
> >>
> >> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of
N2EY.
> > Tnx
> >> Jim. :-)
> >
> > Good show - Congratulations.
> >
> > You're already at least three countries into your dxcc, now go get
the
> > other 97. If I were you I'd concentrate on 20 & 15M where QRP
signals
> > have a better shot at the long-haul goodies than they do on 40M.
> >
> >
> >> --
> >> Vy 73 de Bert
> >> WA2SI
> >> FISTS #9384
> >> QRP ARCI #11782
> >
> > w3rv
> >
> Thats another easy one these days. I make DXCC nearly every contest
I
> enter. Without the QSL's of course...hi
>
> Dan/W4NTI

One of Bert's possible fast track routes to a 5w dxcc would be to do a
bit of traveling and put BY7/WA2SI/QRP on the air . . Navassa would
work too.

w3rv

3456. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 02:33:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <eeo2e.8527$z.1494@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104971

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1112146109.893121.108060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1112061814.695520.250900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Bert Craig wrote:
>> >> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP
> CW!
>> >>
>> >> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>> >>
>> >> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of
> N2EY.
>> > Tnx
>> >> Jim. :-)
>> >
>> > Good show - Congratulations.
>> >
>> > You're already at least three countries into your dxcc, now go get
> the
>> > other 97. If I were you I'd concentrate on 20 & 15M where QRP
> signals
>> > have a better shot at the long-haul goodies than they do on 40M.
>> >
>> >
>> >> --
>> >> Vy 73 de Bert
>> >> WA2SI
>> >> FISTS #9384
>> >> QRP ARCI #11782
>> >
>> > w3rv
>> >
>> Thats another easy one these days. I make DXCC nearly every contest
> I
>> enter. Without the QSL's of course...hi
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> One of Bert's possible fast track routes to a 5w dxcc would be to do a
> bit of traveling and put BY7/WA2SI/QRP on the air . . Navassa would
> work too.

>
> w3rv
>
Yep...so would North Korea.

Dan/W4NTI

3457. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 02:44:58 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Koo2e.8591$z.1264@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104972

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:ivOdnfRpUY9te9TfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Inl2e.438$44.91@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:xpOdnV_19I9pT9XfRVn-ig@comcast.com...
>>>
>>> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
>>> news:Rs22e.4756$JE3.4676@fe11.lga...
>>>> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>>>>
>>>> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>>>>
>>>> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY.
>>>> Tnx Jim. :-)
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Vy 73 de Bert
>>>> WA2SI
>>>> FISTS #9384
>>>> QRP ARCI #11782
>>>>
>>>
>>> Congratulations! and fine business. Perhaps we'll run across each other
>>> in a contest someday.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>
>> If you contest then how come I ain't never worked ya? Or should I say,
>> which ones do you enter regular? I'll look for you.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> I was wondering the same but it's just coincidence I guess. However, I'm
> just a casual operator often stopping at a couple of dozen contacts.
> Sometimes I and the OM use his callsign (K8BSG) instead of mine so he can
> count some of the DX towards his DXCC instead of mine. He's a General and
> I'm an Extra.
>

Hmmmm...K8BSG....I'll keep that in mind.

> What contests do I work? Well I like Sweepstakes, State QSO parties, and
> the DX contests.

Basically the same here. I love SS

If you are one of the very fast CW ops, I probably passed
> you by.

Well I do admit I do slap the key a bit. But I do slow it down to 28 or so
when things slow down...hi.

For ragchewing, I'm only reliable at 15wpm although somehow I
> managed to pass my 20. In contests, I can do 20 to 25 for the limited
> exchange but generally have to listen several times through before trying
> the station to be sure I have it right. So I stick to seek and pounce.
>

I do a lot of that, especially in a SSB contest. I just don't care that
much for phone contesting, although I did manage over 500 qso in the ssbwpx
this weekend.

> The most contacts I ever made in a contest was 384. It happened to be the
> Feb 2004 ARRL International DX contest on CW.
>

Good job.

> I did hear you calling CQ one day within the last few months and an
> African station came back to you if I remember correctly. So I went on
> by.
>
Sorry I missed the QSO Dee.

> Looking at my log, I've only worked 16 stations in Alabama.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

We will find each other one day. Take care Dee.

Dan/W4NTI

3458. Re: From N9OGL'S BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 03:47:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <q-idnfu_sI38vNffRVn-uw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 104973

stinky weaselteets wrote:

I don't have anything to ssay about the topic at hand, but, dude! what a cool screen name!!! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3459. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 04:37:17 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <12q2e.2147$x4.8@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104974

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1112107476.402793.91940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
:
: "The Enemy of my Enemy is my Enemy"
:

??????????????????????

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

3460. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 06:30:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112164228.877308.65070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104976

Mel A. Nomah wrote:

> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire

Seems Voltaire must have gone to the same spelling school as
Toiddie.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3461. Re: Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 06:41:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112164862.069793.238830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104977

Dee Flint wrote:

> The author of the article made a major error in that he stated
General is
> required for HF where as in reality, Techs with code have CW on
several
> bands plus voice on 10meter.

It's these "Which way did he go?" screw ups that form my opinion
that there ought to be a stabilizing of the licenses and grandfathering
of existing Advanced and Novices into one of the three existing
classes.

He was fundementally correct that a General class license is the
"basic" HF license...NOW. Of course we still have the "loophole" for
Techs who pass the 5WPM being allowed to use the Novice sub-bands, and
the Novice class license itself...

Waddanitemare!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3462. Re: Selling 52 Simplex Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 06:48:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112165306.065453.19360@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Rabbi Phil wrote:
> > My friend Lennie Schwartz owns a radio store and was stuck with
close
> > to a hundred 52 simplex radios he could not sell. As his Rabbi &
chief
> > Sanhedrin, Lennie asked me for advice. Now Lennie has three
gorgeous
> > college-age daughters, so I had Lennie get them some cute little
outfits,
> > tight tops and tight short-shorts, similar to what the Hooters
girls wear.
> > We had the following message boldly printed on the butt end of the
shorts
> > and across the bust area of the tops:
> >
> > "I MONITOR 52 SIMPLEX"
> >
> > In less that 24 hours, Lennie sold all his 52 simplex radios at
five times
> > the
> > original asking price and had to order more. Every male ham of any
> > age swamped Lennie's radio store and demanded a 52 simplex radio.
> >
> > NOTE FOR HANS: It is not the message that is so important, as how
you
> > communicate the message.
>
> The only thing worse than being witty is not being witty.
>
> Come on, you can do better! 8^)

Hey Mike...It's not THAT far fetched...Burghardt in NY has had that
young lady in the tight fitting sweater for years...Musta beem selling
SOMEthing!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3463. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 13:23:26 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <rdGdneKqV6DSNdffRVn-1Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 104979

Anybody read the April fools article in CQ?
Too bad that it's not true!

On page 44 of April, '05, it reads:

The FCC is in the process of developing rules that will mandated the
administration of psychological tests to new amateur radio applicants
as well as to operators applying for the renewal of their licenses.

3464. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 16:28:31 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1112200111.016284.149430@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104980

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1112061814.695520.250900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Bert Craig wrote:
> > >> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS
QRP
> CW!
> > >>
> > >> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
> > >>
> > >> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of
> N2EY.
> > > Tnx
> > >> Jim. :-)
> > >
> > > Good show - Congratulations.
> > >
> > > You're already at least three countries into your dxcc, now go
get
> the
> > > other 97. If I were you I'd concentrate on 20 & 15M where QRP
> signals
> > > have a better shot at the long-haul goodies than they do on 40M.
> > >
> > >
> > >> --
> > >> Vy 73 de Bert
> > >> WA2SI
> > >> FISTS #9384
> > >> QRP ARCI #11782
> > >
> > > w3rv
> > >
> > Thats another easy one these days. I make DXCC nearly every
contest
> I
> > enter.
>
> I agree, been there, done it and then some, a 48-hour dxcc is almost
a
> no-brainer IF you have a reasonably competitive station, dxing
> experience, the sun spots are with you and you stay in the seat.

Those
> are all big "ifs" though. If Bert sticks to 40M with 5w and some
> air-cooled wire he has a *real* slog ahead of him (years?) I for one
> simply don't have the patience to deal with. All that aside yupper,
his
> best shot at new ones per hour by far is during the cw dx contests.

I prefer 20 for hunting DX. The contacts seem to come mush easier and my 5 W appear to goe much farther, literally. I can't really do much re. my antenna situation but I'm increasing my pwr out tho. Anywhere from 40 W to 100 W should suffice. I'm swapping the Carolina Windom for an 88' doublet, hoping for favorable lobe placement of the 20 EDZ.

You better believe that you'll find me (Or vice versa, hi.) during CW contests. Some of my states were nabbed during the ubiquitous "bacon runs."

> > Without the QSL's of course...hi
>
> Boo! No counter!

I have a very good return rate so far. I always include a self-addressed Air Mail envelope along with the appropriate green stamp/IRC(s). If a US station requests a SASE, I comply. Life's too short and I'm havin' too much fun to sweat the $0.37, although I certainly respect those who do.

> Hey Bert - How many states do you actually have confirmed so far?

Well, I just checked my QSL cards and I currently have 18 "in hand" with Belarus, The Dominican Republic, Ecuador and Sweden expected in the mail. I'm encouraged by the fact that theses were all casual "contacts of opportunity" while hunting down the final few states for WAS.

> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> w3rv

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3465. A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 17:53:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112205199.024369.156420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104981

Hello Everyone,

In the thread about " Selling 52 Simplex Radios", the following comments were made by Mike Coslo, "Rabbi Phil" and myself:

QUOTE:

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Rabbi Phil wrote:
> > My friend Lennie Schwartz owns a radio store and was stuck with close
> > to a hundred 52 simplex radios he could not sell. As his Rabbi & chief
> > Sanhedrin, Lennie asked me for advice. Now Lennie has three gorgeous
> > college-age daughters, so I had Lennie get them some cute little outfits,
> > tight tops and tight short-shorts, similar to what the Hooters girls wear.
> > We had the following message boldly printed on the butt end of the shorts
> > and across the bust area of the tops:

> > "I MONITOR 52 SIMPLEX"

> > In less that 24 hours, Lennie sold all his 52 simplex radios at five times
> > the
> > original asking price and had to order more. Every male ham of any

> > age swamped Lennie's radio store and demanded a 52 simplex radio.

> > NOTE FOR HANS: It is not the message that is so important, as how you
> > communicate the message.

> The only thing worse than being witty is not being witty.

> Come on, you can do better! 8^)

Hey Mike...It's not THAT far fetched...Burghardt in NY has had that young lady in the tight fitting sweater for years...Musta beem selling SOMEthing!

UNQUOTE:

So...later this morning I received a private e-mail from one of RRAP'S regular posters.

I have snipped the person's name, call sign and e mail headers since the letter was sent in private e-mail. However the e-mail made some very damning comments and a not-so-subtle threat.

QUOTE:

In rrap Steve Robeson wrote:

> Burghardt in NY has had that
> young lady in the tight fitting sweater
> for years...Musta beem selling SOMEthing!

> 73

> Steve, K4YZ

Burghardt Amateur Center is not in NY. It's a family business which was established by Stan Burghardt, W0IT (SK) 68 years ago in a small South Dakota town.

A few years ago one of Stan's long-time employees, Jim Smith W0MJY, purchased the business from Stan and became the second owner. It remains a family owned business, and the lady you mention is Jim's daughter, Michele, KC0MYV, a wholesome midwesterner who is very active in running the "business side" of the store. Often she is pictured standing alongside her dad in their QST ads, never in my knowledge in a provocative sweater.

The sleazy nudge-nudge insinuation in your "Musta beem seeling SOMEthing!" comment is one of the lowest forms of attack I've ever seen on rrap. I'll expect to see a sincere unconditional apology on rrap by the end of today, or I'll consider forwarding your trashy comments to Michele and Jim.

UNQUOTE

Here's my response to this "letter", made in a very public forum so that the correspondant can't make any other assinine insinuations or out-of-context editorializing:

(1) I stand corrected on the South Dakota -vs- New York. My bust.

(2) I am sure the young lady is very good at what she does, and is every bit the "wholesome" person you report. I NEVER said anything to the contrary. You are welcome to cite the part of the post wherein I said differently, but you and I both know it's not there.

(3) The young lady's character and wholesomeness notwithstanding, THEY obviously had NO PROBLEM in posing her in a tight sweater, profile, in order to attract some male attention to thier ads. "Rabbi Phil" commented about someone else "selling radios" with pictures of pretty women. I pointed out that another Amateur dealer had been doing the same thing, and obviously it worked. Pictures of pretty women sell everything from soft drinks to firearms to high speed automobiles.

Amateur Radio is no different. No problem.

Now, Dear Anonymous Writer (anonymous HERE...since you and I both know who you are)...You can go RIGHT AHEAD and forward ANYthing you like to ANY one you like. Tell them about "how trashy" the item was, if you want, but it IS archived right ehre in Google.

You took offense...Too bad for you. You know as well as I do that people can "take offense" at the most innocent of comments all-the-while letting morbid profanity and bold threats roll off thier backs. This is one of those moments.

Have a nice day.

Steve, K4YZ

3466. Re: Selling 52 Simplex Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 18:41:54 UTC
From: "Dave B." <dtburr@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <LvudnbWnDaRvb9ffRVn-3w@speakeasy.net>
Source record: 104982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Very classy on his part.

In his next "smart business move" will he just move his Hooters-girl
lookalike daughters into prostitution?

Disgusting!

"Rabbi Phil" <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net> wrote in message
news:d29g75$ki3$0@pita.alt.net...
> My friend Lennie Schwartz owns a radio store and was stuck with close
> to a hundred 52 simplex radios he could not sell. As his Rabbi & chief
> Sanhedrin, Lennie asked me for advice. Now Lennie has three gorgeous
> college-age daughters, so I had Lennie get them some cute little outfits,
> tight tops and tight short-shorts, similar to what the Hooters girls wear.
> We had the following message boldly printed on the butt end of the shorts
> and across the bust area of the tops:
>
> "I MONITOR 52 SIMPLEX"
>
> In less that 24 hours, Lennie sold all his 52 simplex radios at five times
> the
> original asking price and had to order more. Every male ham of any
> age swamped Lennie's radio store and demanded a 52 simplex radio.
>
> NOTE FOR HANS: It is not the message that is so important, as how you
> communicate the message.
>
>
> 73,
>
> Rabbi Phil
> Chief,
> Sanhedrin Council
>
>
>
>
>

3467. Where Did All These Anti-Sexy Female Prudes Come From?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 19:29:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112210946.812073.14960@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave B. wrote:
> "Rabbi Phil" <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net> wrote in message
> news:d29g75$ki3$0@pita.alt.net...
> > My friend Lennie Schwartz owns a radio store and was stuck with
close
> > to a hundred 52 simplex radios he could not sell. As his Rabbi &
chief
> > Sanhedrin, Lennie asked me for advice. Now Lennie has three
gorgeous
> > college-age daughters, so I had Lennie get them some cute little
outfits,
> > tight tops and tight short-shorts, similar to what the Hooters
girls wear.
> > We had the following message boldly printed on the butt end of the
shorts
> > and across the bust area of the tops:
> >
> > "I MONITOR 52 SIMPLEX"
> >
> > In less that 24 hours, Lennie sold all his 52 simplex radios at
five times
> > the
> > original asking price and had to order more. Every male ham of any
> > age swamped Lennie's radio store and demanded a 52 simplex radio.
> >
> > NOTE FOR HANS: It is not the message that is so important, as how
you
> > communicate the message.
>
> Very classy on his part.
>
> In his next "smart business move" will he just move his Hooters-girl
> lookalike daughters into prostitution?
>
> Disgusting!

Folks...sex, or the suggestion of it, sells everything in this
country...Even those who argue AGAINST "sex" are using it to thier
advantage by the mere suggestion of it. (Before "NYPD Blue" ever hit
the airwaves, Jerry Falwell and his ilk were protesting it. The
producers said the best advertisement they could get was Jerry railing
against it!)

I think the idea was cute.

Also, we have people fussing about the "greying, all white male" demographic of Amateur Radio in the United States...so maybe this gave it a kick in the rear...? We're not talking about child pornography here...Young ADULT women, the same like of which adorn the sidelines of any NFL game on Monday Nights, showing some booty and cleavage to get YOU to look twice. It works. Deal with it.

Of course there will always be the "we could do it without that" contingent...But that contingent hasn't done a whole lot to promote the program either. So...given your choice between a poster with Fred Maia or Gordon West promoting Amateur Radio, or a diverse selection of scantilly clad cheerleaders holding HT's I am sure none of whom are licensed to operate...which do you think would garner more "check-outs"...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3468. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 19:44:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <424B01BA.2EF6A366@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104984

Bert Craig wrote:

> I prefer 20 for hunting DX. The contacts seem to come mush easier...

Freudian, Bert?

Dave K8MN

3469. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 21:26:50 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1112218010.549746.101100@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104985

Bert Craig wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > I agree, been there, done it and then some, a 48-hour dxcc is
almost
> a
> > no-brainer IF you have a reasonably competitive station, dxing
> > experience, the sun spots are with you and you stay in the seat.
> Those
> > are all big "ifs" though. If Bert sticks to 40M with 5w and some
> > air-cooled wire he has a *real* slog ahead of him (years?) I for
one
> > simply don't have the patience to deal with. All that aside yupper,
> his
> > best shot at new ones per hour by far is during the cw dx contests.
>
> I prefer 20 for hunting DX. The contacts seem to come mush easier and
> my 5 W appear to goe much farther, literally.

There's no "appears to" about it, it's absolute fact. 40M rules the domestic scene and to some extent is OK for working SA and western EU but when it gets down to working ZLs, VUs and JAs on 40 from the east coast it gets really gruesome even with 100W. When 15M is open it's even better than 20 as far as long-haul "big signals per watt" is concerned, *don't* ignore 15M. If you have a packet spots network up and running in your neighborhood by all means plug into it.

> I can't really do much
> re. my antenna situation but I'm increasing my pwr out tho. Anywhere
> from 40 W to 100 W should suffice.

That'll be a huge improvement.

> I' swapping the Carolina Windom for
> an 88' doublet, hoping for favorable lobe placement of the 20 EDZ.

Model it? .

> You better believe that you'll find me (Or vice versa, hi.) during CW
> contests. Some of my states were nabbed during the ubiquitous "bacon
> runs."

Sure. Around midnite during one of the recent Field Day operations not much was going on on 20M CW so I switched to doing some dxing. Quickly worked JA, VK, OA, a couple Ws and a DL. Jim was there. If I'd been able to find an African I would have made a one-hour midnite Field Day CW WAC. It gets in your blood. Ya can't help it . .

> > > Without the QSL's of course...hi
> >
> > Boo! No counter!
>
> I have a very good return rate so far. I always include a
> self-addressed Air Mail envelope along with the appropriate green
> stamp/IRC(s). If a US station requests a SASE, I comply. Life's too
> short and I'm havin' too much fun to sweat the $0.37, although I
> certainly respect those who do.

It's all an ancient and accepted part of the game. Coaxing QSLs out of the dx can get expensive and tedious though when done in volume. I've run into gnats which probably have better clerical skills than I have. Gathering up the 500+ cards for my 5BDXCC was a bookeeping chore from hell I'll never forget.

> > Hey Bert - How many states do you actually have confirmed so far?
>
> Well, I just checked my QSL cards and I currently have 18 "in hand"
> with Belarus, The Dominican Republic, Ecuador and Sweden expected in
> the mail.

U.S. states confirmed for the WAS?

> I'm encouraged by the fact that theses were all casual
> "contacts of opportunity" while hunting down the final few states
> for WAS.

You're obviously well on your way. If you get yer watts up and pound the two major DX contests on 40/20/15 I'm betting your dxcc will come in less than a calendar year. Good luck with it.

> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > w3rv
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

w3rv

3470. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 21:45:52 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <m6F2e.11941$nQ3.1602@fe10.lga>
Source record: 104987

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:424B01BA.2EF6A366@earthlink.net...
> Bert Craig wrote:
>
>> I prefer 20 for hunting DX. The contacts seem to come mush easier...
>
> Freudian, Bert?
>
> Dave K8MN

Lol! Oops.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

3471. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 21:52:00 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <5cF2e.11943$7U3.1251@fe10.lga>
Source record: 104986

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1112218010.549746.101100@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > Hey Bert - How many states do you actually have confirmed so far?
>>
>> Well, I just checked my QSL cards and I currently have 18 "in hand"
>> with Belarus, The Dominican Republic, Ecuador and Sweden expected in
>> the mail.
>
> U.S. states confirmed for the WAS?

Oops agn! 49 states confirmed with the WY QSL card confirmed "in the mail"
per e-mail message.

>> I'm encouraged by the fact that theses were all casual
>> "contacts of opportunity" while hunting down the final few states
>> for WAS.
>
> You're obviously well on your way. If you get yer watts up and pound
> the two major DX contests on 40/20/15 I'm betting your dxcc will come
> in less than a calendar year. Good luck with it.

Tnx es hpe c u ota.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

3472. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 22:47:55 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <V4udnSiPQakosdbfRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 104988

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Koo2e.8591$z.1264@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:ivOdnfRpUY9te9TfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:Inl2e.438$44.91@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>> news:xpOdnV_19I9pT9XfRVn-ig@comcast.com...
>>>>
>>>> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
>>>> news:Rs22e.4756$JE3.4676@fe11.lga...
>>>>> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>>>>>
>>>>> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>>>>>
>>>>> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY.
>>>>> Tnx Jim. :-)
>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> Vy 73 de Bert
>>>>> WA2SI
>>>>> FISTS #9384
>>>>> QRP ARCI #11782
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Congratulations! and fine business. Perhaps we'll run across each
>>>> other in a contest someday.
>>>>
>>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>>
>>>
>>> If you contest then how come I ain't never worked ya? Or should I say,
>>> which ones do you enter regular? I'll look for you.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>>
>>
>> I was wondering the same but it's just coincidence I guess. However, I'm
>> just a casual operator often stopping at a couple of dozen contacts.
>> Sometimes I and the OM use his callsign (K8BSG) instead of mine so he can

>> count some of the DX towards his DXCC instead of mine. He's a General
>> and I'm an Extra.
>>
>
> Hmmmm...K8BSG....I'll keep that in mind.
>
>
>> What contests do I work? Well I like Sweepstakes, State QSO parties, and
>> the DX contests.
>
> Basically the same here. I love SS
>
>
>
> If you are one of the very fast CW ops, I probably passed
>> you by.
>
> Well I do admit I do slap the key a bit. But I do slow it down to 28 or
> so when things slow down...hi.
>
>
> For ragchewing, I'm only reliable at 15wpm although somehow I
>> managed to pass my 20. In contests, I can do 20 to 25 for the limited
>> exchange but generally have to listen several times through before trying
>> the station to be sure I have it right. So I stick to seek and pounce.
>>
>
> I do a lot of that, especially in a SSB contest. I just don't care that
> much for phone contesting, although I did manage over 500 qso in the
> ssbwpx this weekend.
>
>> The most contacts I ever made in a contest was 384. It happened to be
>> the Feb 2004 ARRL International DX contest on CW.
>>
>
> Good job.
>
>
>> I did hear you calling CQ one day within the last few months and an
>> African station came back to you if I remember correctly. So I went on
>> by.
>>
> Sorry I missed the QSO Dee.
>
>> Looking at my log, I've only worked 16 stations in Alabama.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
> We will find each other one day. Take care Dee.

>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Unless something comes up, I and the OM will be on the Michigan QSO Party
under either N8UZE or K8BSG.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3473. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 23:53:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <c_G2e.9035$z.2067@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104989

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:V4udnSiPQakosdbfRVn-2A@comcast.com...

> Unless something comes up, I and the OM will be on the Michigan QSO Party
> under either N8UZE or K8BSG.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

Post something here to get my attention before that happens. I'll find ya.

Dan/W4NTI

3474. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-30 23:56:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <%0H2e.9037$z.6136@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104990

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:1112200111.016284.149430@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> > <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1112061814.695520.250900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > >
>> > > Bert Craig wrote:
>> > >> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS
> QRP
>> CW!
>> > >>
>> > >> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>> > >>
>> > >> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of
>> N2EY.
>> > > Tnx
>> > >> Jim. :-)
>> > >
>> > > Good show - Congratulations.
>> > >
>> > > You're already at least three countries into your dxcc, now go
> get
>> the
>> > > other 97. If I were you I'd concentrate on 20 & 15M where QRP
>> signals
>> > > have a better shot at the long-haul goodies than they do on 40M.
>> > >
>> > >
>> > >> --
>> > >> Vy 73 de Bert
>> > >> WA2SI
>> > >> FISTS #9384
>> > >> QRP ARCI #11782
>> > >
>> > > w3rv
>> > >
>> > Thats another easy one these days. I make DXCC nearly every
> contest
>> I
>> > enter.
>>
>> I agree, been there, done it and then some, a 48-hour dxcc is almost

> a
>> no-brainer IF you have a reasonably competitive station, dxing
>> experience, the sun spots are with you and you stay in the seat.
> Those
>> are all big "ifs" though. If Bert sticks to 40M with 5w and some
>> air-cooled wire he has a *real* slog ahead of him (years?) I for one
>> simply don't have the patience to deal with. All that aside yupper,
> his
>> best shot at new ones per hour by far is during the cw dx contests.
>
> I prefer 20 for hunting DX. The contacts seem to come mush easier and
> my 5 W appear to goe much farther, literally. I can't really do much
> re. my antenna situation but I'm increasing my pwr out tho. Anywhere
> from 40 W to 100 W should suffice. I'm swapping the Carolina Windom for
> an 88' doublet, hoping for favorable lobe placement of the 20 EDZ.
>
> You better believe that you'll find me (Or vice versa, hi.) during CW
> contests. Some of my states were nabbed during the ubiquitous "bacon
> runs."
>
>> > Without the QSL's of course...hi
>>
>> Boo! No counter!
>
> I have a very good return rate so far. I always include a
> self-addressed Air Mail envelope along with the appropriate green
> stamp/IRC(s). If a US station requests a SASE, I comply. Life's too
> short and I'm havin' too much fun to sweat the $0.37, although I
> certainly respect those who do.
>
>> Hey Bert - How many states do you actually have confirmed so far?
>
> Well, I just checked my QSL cards and I currently have 18 "in hand"
> with Belarus, The Dominican Republic, Ecuador and Sweden expected in
> the mail. I'm encouraged by the fact that theses were all casual
> "contacts of opportunity" while hunting down the final few states
> for WAS.
>
>> > Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> w3rv
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>

When I said "without QSL's" I meant this. I don't usually count contest QSO's for my dx hunting. So I forgot about all the thousands of cards I have here from the bureau over the last many years. So I am sure I have enough cards for most, if not all, of the awards offered.

I'm just not much of a paper hunter....except for contest certificates.

Dan/W4NTI

3475. Re: Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 00:45:36 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <QKH2e.1009$44.39@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104991

>>
>
>
> The author of the article made a major error in that he stated General is
> required for HF where as in reality, Techs with code have CW on several
> bands plus voice on 10meter.
>

Maybe he forgot to mention that the only way today to get
on HF from no license at all is to get the general or an
extra? Or can an old tech still take a code test and
become a tech plus?

3476. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 01:01:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <424B4BD9.43978F00@earthlink.net>
Source record: 104992

K4YZ wrote:
>
> More Humor From N9OGL and Company:
>
> QUOTE:
>
> (n9oglvoice.blogspot.com)
>
> Roger/AB8MQ said...
> ####ing netKKKop k4yz needs a reacharound
> from ####head Hi El, K8MN, again.
>
> Nobody forced k4yz to read this blog, so
> #### him.
>
> UNQUOTE:
>
> Roger, judging by your numerous run-ins with law enforcement and
> the court systems, I would have to say that "details" are not your
> strength, and this is yet more evidence of that.
>
> Had Toiddie not made the effort to take his "blog" and quote it
> word-for-word on a USENET newsgroup, I wouldn't have known what was on
> his "blog".
>
> Toiddie's "information" bulletins, tainted by his "opinion", are
> of little interest to anyone, least of all me. This extends to his
> "blogs".
>
> My only "interest" in Toiddie's activities comes from his
> abuse-of-priviledge of his Amateur Radio license which could negatively
> impact all Amateurs at some point in the future.
>
> That he chooses to do so with his poor grammar, sandlot threats
> and prolific profanity only further strengthen MY arguments about his
> incompetence in genereal and his obvious lack of character to be a
> Commission licensee.
>
> That he has a social misfit and ner-do-well such as you coming to
> his "defense" is just icing on the cake.

You must have hit a nerve with our local amateur radio bad boy, Steve.
I received the following this evening in my e-mail take from Roger,
anonymously. I love the way Wiseman refers to himself in the third

person:

Status: U
Return-Path: <remailer@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Received: from mail.cypherpunks.to ([82.94.251.194]) by
mx-a065a05.pas.sa.earthlink.net (EarthLink SMTP
Server) with ESMTP id 1dgMMe2Fk3NZFpA0 for
<k8mn@earthlink.net>; Wed, 30 Mar 2005 15:39:10-0800 (PST)
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer
<nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address
above. It was remailed automatically by anonymizing
remailer software. Please report problems or
inappropriate use to the remailer administrator at
<abuse@cypherpunks.to>.
To: k8mn@earthlink.net
Subject: k4yz should stop his libel
X-Originating-Sender: stevenrobeson@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <20050330233907.2FBE2170CF@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Date: Thu, 31 Mar 2005 01:39:07 +0200 (CEST) X-ELNK-AV: 0
X-Mozilla-Status: 8001
X-Mozilla-Status2: 00000000
X-UIDL: 1dgMMe2Fk3NZFpA0

Anonymous said...

Smelly Stevie better quit his public lies
if he doesn't want to hear from Roger's
lawyer. Roger has never been in trouble
with the law and has told shithead Stenger
to fuck off when he listened to Hi El once
too often. Roger's lawyer talked to Stenger
and Roger was never bothered again.

Stevie needs to shave his pubes and wash
with black soap to get rid of his stink.

Roger has indeed been "in trouble" with the law. Roger has also had
repeat visits from his local chief of police. Roger may receive one of
those visits again.

Roger has had repeated run-ins with the FCC as well. Roger's been taped
QRMing other stations on 20m. A local dropped a dime on him. His
retesting is legend.

Roger should take his lithium and try to avoid places where his
sociopathic behavior will get him in additional trouble. If his lawyer
has any questions, have him give me a buzz. We'll start him off on a
Google Search and then print him up some copies of swell e-mails. If
Roger needs some time to come up with retainer money before contacting

his lawyer, I can be patient.

Roger talks big. Roger doesn't act.

Roger--the unWiseman.

Dave K8MN

3477. Re: Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 02:31:19 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <hq6dnb5S04KM_NbfRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 104993

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:QKH2e.1009$44.39@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>>
>>
>>
>> The author of the article made a major error in that he stated General is
>> required for HF where as in reality, Techs with code have CW on several
>> bands plus voice on 10meter.
>>
>
> Maybe he forgot to mention that the only way today to get
> on HF from no license at all is to get the general or an
> extra? Or can an old tech still take a code test and
> become a tech plus?

Any Tech, old or brand new, can take the code test and get the HF
privileges. It is not called Tech Plus since the code credit, while
permanent for operating, is only good for one year for upgrading purposes.
The old Tech Plus was & is good for code credit even for upgrading even if
it has been more than a year since passing the code.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3478. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 03:11:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fTJ2e.2585$x4.2315@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104994

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

> If you have a packet spots network up
> and running in your neighborhood by all means plug into it.

Kelly gives a lot of good DXing advice in his message, but the above sentences is really BAD advice.

Packet clusters are for slugs. Real radiomen work DX without being led to it by the nose. There's a french tongue twister which puts it like this:

"Un chasseur sachant chasser chasse sans son chien."
(A hunter who knows how to hunt hunts without his dog)

73, de Hans, K0HB

3479. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 03:40:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1112240454.945104.217110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104995

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> > If you have a packet spots network up
> > and running in your neighborhood by all means plug into it.
>
> Kelly gives a lot of good DXing advice in his message, but the above
sentences
> is really BAD advice.
>
> Packet clusters are for slugs. Real radiomen work DX without being
led to it by
> the nose. There's a french tongue twister which puts it like this:
>
> "Un chasseur sachant chasser chasse sans son chien."
> (A hunter who knows how to hunt hunts without his dog)

Hee! Options, options, options . . if we didn't have all the options we
have there wouldn't be anything to bitch about and we'd all live
peacefully together. In which case you'd be in a helluva fix.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

3480. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 04:19:44 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1112242784.277211.137460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104996

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> When I said "without QSL's" I meant this. I don't usually count
contest
> QSO's for my dx hunting. So I forgot about all the thousands of
cards I
> have here from the bureau over the last many years. So I am sure I
have
> enough cards for most, if not all, of the awards offered.
>
> I'm just not much of a paper hunter....except for contest
certificates.

There are all sorts of directions one can opt to go in this game.

I wouldn't ignore a Q with Sprately just because I happened to work him in the CQ WW or some such. Particularly these days when the expeditions to rare places go there specifically to operate in the contests. I also collect paper but for the most part only the really tough ones. A 5BWAZ is about as tough as they come and I'm not even close. Ya got an 80M Zone 23 card in your stacks?

> Dan/W4NTI

w3rv

3481. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 04:24:49 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <IYK2e.18433$C7.122@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 104997

N9OGL,

Copyright doesn't apply to "educational, discussions, ng posts etc" (It applies only to websites, magazines, music, broadcasts etc) so therefore IMHO any court in any democratic society would rule anything on a newsgroup, to be public domain. Another example is the Metallica v Napster issue. Whilst Metallica was succesful in having Napster made to comply with copyright law, there is nothing Metallica can do legally to stop me and my band playing "Enter Sandman" in the pub. If I was to sell this music afterwards on CD-for a profit-then I would be obliged to pay Metallica royalties. Further, for you to sue anyone for breach of copyright, you must first prove it was at a financial loss to yourself (or company) and that the person 'stealing' it is making money from it. As it's Amateur Radio, 'without pecuniary interests,' you, nor anyone else can claim to be making money from/or losing money from something involving Amateur Radio.

Anything transmitted or done about amateur radio belongs, by default, to every licensed amateur in the world, not me, not you or anyone else.

Only if someone takes your news bulletin, edits it a little and replays it, you may have a chance, provided the broadcast was on commercial bands (otherwise laws of commerce such as copyright don't apply). If you use the amateur band for your bulletin, then under copyright & amateur laws, the court would rule there was no commercial interest in the copyright and that by transmitting it on amateur bands you expected for it to be recorded and replayed by others at a later date. (Otherwise it would be illegal for the FCC/ACA to monitor and record ham transmissions), but anyone putting anything out on non-commercial radio frequencies can not have 'a reasonable expectation' of copyright. (This sounds like Down Under, a couple of UHF CB'ers (477MHz) tried to sue someone for re-transmitting their audio on 27MHz, but guess what, "How could they expect privacy and copyright on a public radio band?" and wasted all their money)

Whilst I sought permission from ARNewsline to re-transmit ARNewsLine on UHF CB in Melbourne out of courtesy, Mr Pasternack said he appreciated the courtesy, yet I could have started re-transmitting it without his permission, but if AR News Line was on a commercial FM frequency then I would have to enter into a commercial agreement with AR Newsline. Or if I was to copy his website and claim it as 'mine', as the website is in a commercial domain. The contents of the ARRL Handbook is copyright. If I photocopy a band plan and give it to another ham I have not breached copyright. If I upload the entire book to the web for all to use, I have breached copyright, or re-print it and give it away.

Names, trademarks etc are "copyright" such as I own the copyright to the magazine name "VicNews" ISSN 1038-6971, but that doesn't prevent teachers

and educators photocopying my magazine for their students, nor does it prevent anyone here saying "I read in VicNews this week....this guy is wrong". There is nothing I can do about it. Let's see, by your logic, if I write here I hate Coca Cola, then Coca Cola could sue me for using their brand name without permission!

Instead of wasting your time, effort & money on a pirate TV station, fighting the FCC (our ACA isn't much better btw) why not volunteer your time and expertise to the local community radio and television stations as I do? Not only could you broadcast what you want, you could help save the community station thousands of dollars by carrying out free maintained and repairs. I leave my broadcasting to broadcast services and amateur to amateur.

And two questions for US ppl on here: 1) Why does the cable channel control community television? In Melbourne UHF Ch 31 is community tv, and is run by Melbourne's Uni's as an independent organisation.

2) Why does the ARRL handbook list cable channels on your free-to-air tv bandplan. Yes, we have cable tv, but our system is ABC 2 (VK's BBC), HSV 7, GTV 9, ATV 10, SBS 28 & UNI 31 on the normal tv (just plug an antenna into the set and tune) plus cable via Coax (Fox only downunder). If I wanted to do a show on Channel 31, I could, what's broadcast is up to Uni's Student Union, not a tv company.

Perhaps by 'time travel' N9OGL is referring to the fact that when USA hams QSOs with hams Down Under or in New Zealand you are speaking to us tommorow and we are speaking to you yesterday :)

PS N9OGL: To run even a 10% successful television, print or radio show/program/station/publication you must first learn that there is a certain 'way' of operating broadcast to amateur, the 'hype' factor I call it, but for this to be effective requires a well-spoken, educated, grammatically correct (or incorrect on purpose for desired effects, not just because you can't spell) (just watch your nightly news or listen to the radio, not the content, but how that content is presented) (Almost all media outlets use a book called a "Style Book" which maintains content and how that content is presented for all media formats in that organisation)

Also, you'll need to get much better at punctuation, as punctuation is expression. If you are one of those guys who at school read mono-tone then you haven't a hope. Remember the kid that reads with expression (usually resulting in getting teased and laughed at hi hi) usually go on to be radio announcers, journalists, etc. And punctuation, grammar, spelling and expression go hand in hand.

Example: (From "To Kill A Mocking Bird" by Harper Lee).

With Expression: "Jem heard me. HE THRUST HIS HEAD AROUND THE CONNECTING DOOR. As he came to my bed Atticus's light flashed on. We stayed where we were until it went off; we heard him turn over and we waited until he was still again"

Without: "Jem heard me. He thrust his head around the connecting door. As he came to my bed Atticus's light flashed on. We stayed where we were until it went off; we heard him turn over and we waited until he was still again"

Also, I read in the posts about you 'getting upset' at the editing of the context of your program. Rather than call it 'censorship', and complain, do what any journalist worth his/her salt would do, re-word your show to still have the same impact and meaning without the profanity.

You should also note, that NOT swearing is more effective THAN swearing. Example: you start your show tonight and include lot's of 'hells' 'dams' 'shit' etc, and people watch. Ten years down the track same thing. But, if you didn't swear for ten years at all, then one day came on air and said "This is bullshit" it would be 200% more effective.

Who out there knows someone who never swears? How shocked are you when "That's ####ed" come out of thier mouth, as opposed to someone who uses it as every second word. The former has such an impact as most people will interpret that as "He's really annoyed," "Whatever they did must have been pretty bad for him to use the f word. Whereas in the latter, it's just "There goes that gutter mouthed lout again"

Having worked, albiet briefly, for the Herald & Weekly Times (Rupert's first company, and the one that started News Ltd & Fox) every employee is given a book which contains information on how certain articles and other issues are to be dealt with by the journalist. Example alright-those that allow this word into our media will be made to write "all right" 1000 times. (p.16, HWT Style Book, 8th Ed, 9/1990)

Listen to AR newsline, an example of 'the format'
"I'm Bill Pasternack W?ITF for Amateur Radio News Line"

This is a post from the future.....(Sent from VK 1438hrs AEST, Thursday 31 March 2005) (UTC: 0338hrs, Thursday 31 March 2005)
Looking forward to my next QSO with the past :)

Ashley Geelan
VK3HAG

Australian Radio Amateur & Stand-In Volunteer Radio Announcer @ Plenty Valley FM 88.6FM Melbourne, Australia.

I, too will be starting an on-air news bulletin, two in fact. One on the local community station, about amateur radio and a brief amateur bulletin for amateurs only who can call in to the studio (when I'm there) via amateur radio and go 'on air' with thier thoughts to help promote AR (instead of using the phone). This won't happen until I have co-ordinated a frequency to use with the local amateur club. Perhaps, N9OGL I could send/show you the correct way to format/run a newscast of any description.

N9OGL, if you have an Echo or IRLP node nearby would you like me to connect to it and give a demonstration on this. No point having a broadcast of any description until you have the fundamentals in place-grammar, pronunciation, spelling, don't bother, it's hard enough to capture a (any) audience with

these traits.

"Barry OGrady" <not@this.add> wrote in message
news:hsc74195e9m39hpv2td52798cif97rboek@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 16:04:29 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >More of our r.r.a.p. Tom Swift, gleaned from the archives:
> >
> >from alt.sci.time-travel
> >
> >Todd Daugherty
> >Jun 26 2003, 7:24 pm
> >
> >I've have this Idea for a "simple" Time Machine. A operator would set up
> >a computer up to a high power wireless system. They would then set the
> >computer to transmit a message say in 30 years and hope that in thirty
> >years someone would reply back. comments?
>
> Its unlikely that your setup would still be functional 30 years from now.
>
> > Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> > Amateur Radio Operator
> >
> >(and)
> >
> >Todd Daugherty
> >Jun 26 2003, 10:44 pm
> >
> >The Answer to your first question is yes. A computer hooked to a TNC
> >(which is a wireless modem) is set up with a timing system. Most
> >"Packet" software for wireless communication has a timer system in it.
> >It's part of the software. Why wait so long for the signal to transmit?
> >because you want to be able to send the signal to a time period where
> >high speed communication systems are in general uses.
>
> The systems in use then may not be compatible.
>
> >Scientist are now
> >just experimenting with high speed communication devices. For a person
> >to send a signal back in time they would have to uses a high speed
> >communication devices. Just remember it is a lot easier to sent a
> >particle or a signal through time then it is human or an object.
>
> Its impossible to send anything through time.
>
> >On your
> >second comment about time travel via the human brain. I believe not only
> >"time-travel" is possible via the human brain but I also believe while a
> >person is a sleep the electro-magnetic emissions of say your brain is
> >connected to the multi-you in every parallel universe. The only time

> >your able to view those other universes is while your Brainwaves are at
> >the lowest point of the spectrum (around 1 to 14 hz) This also might
> >explain
> >NDE.
>
> No technology can overcome the fact that there is nowhere to travel to.
>
> >Todd N9OGL
> >
> >(and)
>
> <snipped>
>
> Barry

3482. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 08:11:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112256675.187212.56060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104998

Dave Heil wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > More Humor From N9OGL and Company:
> >
> > QUOTE:
> >
> > (n9oglvoice.blogspot.com)
> >
> > Roger/AB8MQ said...
> > ####ing netKKKop k4yz needs a reacharound
> > from ####head Hi El, K8MN, again.
> >
> > Nobody forced k4yz to read this blog, so
> > #### him.
> >
> > UNQUOTE:
> >
> > Roger, judging by your numerous run-ins with law enforcement
and
> > the court systems, I would have to say that "details" are not your
> > strength, and this is yet more evidence of that.
> >
> > Had Toiddie not made the effort to take his "blog" and quote
it
> > word-for-word on a USENET newsgroup, I wouldn't have known what was
on
> > his "blog".
> >
> > Toiddie's "information" bulletins, tainted by his "opinion",
are
> > of little interest to anyone, least of all me. This extends to his
> > "blogs".
> >
> > My only "interest" in Toiddie's activities comes from his
> > abuse-of-priviledge of his Amateur Radio license which could
negatively
> > impact all Amateurs at some point in the future.
> >
> > That he chooses to do so with his poor grammar, sandlot
threats
> > and prolific profanity only further strengthen MY arguments about
his
> > incompetence in genereal and his obvious lack of character to be a

> > Commission licensee.
> >
> > That he has a social misfit and ner-do-well such as you coming
to
> > his "defense" is just icing on the cake.
>
> You must have hit a nerve with our local amateur radio bad boy,
Steve.
> I received the following this evening in my e-mail take from Roger,
> anonymously. I love the way Wiseman refers to himself in the third
> person:
>
>

>
> Status: U
> Return-Path: <remailer@mail.cypherpunks.to>
> Received: from mail.cypherpunks.to ([82.94.251.194]) by
> mx-a065a05.pas.sa.earthlink.net (EarthLink SMTP
> Server) with ESMTP id 1dgMMe2Fk3NZFpA0 for
> <k8mn@earthlink.net>; Wed, 30 Mar 2005 15:39:10-0800 (PST)
> From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer
> <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
> Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address
> above. It was remailed automatically by anonymizing
> remailer software. Please report problems or
> inappropriate use to the remailer administrator at
> <abuse@cypherpunks.to>.
> To: k8mn@earthlink.net
> Subject: k4yz should stop his libel
> X-Originating-Sender: stevenrobeson@yahoo.com
> Message-ID: <20050330233907.2FBE2170CF@mail.cypherpunks.to>
> Date: Thu, 31 Mar 2005 01:39:07 +0200 (CEST) X-ELNK-AV: 0
> X-Mozilla-Status: 8001
> X-Mozilla-Status2: 00000000
> X-UIDL: 1dgMMe2Fk3NZFpA0
>
> Anonymous said...
>
> Smelly Stevie better quit his public lies
> if he doesn't want to hear from Roger's
> lawyer. Roger has never been in trouble
> with the law and has told ####head Stenger
> to #### off when he listened to Hi El once
> too often. Roger's lawyer talked to Stenger
> and Roger was never bothered again.
>
> Stevie needs to shave his pubes and wash
> with black soap to get rid of his stink.

Like I said...Prolific profanity an sandlot threats...None of
which impress me in the least.

> Roger has indeed been "in trouble" with the law. Roger has also had
> repeat visits from his local chief of police. Roger may receive one
of
> those visits again.

I know he has...I've seen copies of the documents.

And I am not at all impressed by people who sneak in to people's
mailboxes with anonymizers that wont't take replies back to the coward
that sent them in the first place.

As for Roger's "lawyer"...I bet he makes good money off of
him...Sounds like Roger keeps him plenty busy.

> Roger has had repeated run-ins with the FCC as well. Roger's been
taped
> QRMing other stations on 20m. A local dropped a dime on him. His
> retesting is legend.

I bet it is. I've seen the pics of him on line. Looks like he's
got a cousin in Illinois, to tell you the truth.

> Roger should take his lithium and try to avoid places where his
> sociopathic behavior will get him in additional trouble. If his
lawyer
> has any questions, have him give me a buzz. We'll start him off on a
> Google Search and then print him up some copies of swell e-mails. If
> Roger needs some time to come up with retainer money before
contacting
> his lawyer, I can be patient.
>
> Roger talks big. Roger doesn't act.
>
> Roger--the unWiseman.

Thanks for the head's up Dave.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3483. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 08:40:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112258424.254203.293200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 104999

Ashley VK3HAG wrote:

(With Some Snippage to Pertinent Replies:)

> N9OGL,
>
> Copyright doesn't apply to "educational, discussions, ng posts etc"
(It
> applies only to websites, magazines, music, broadcasts etc) so
therefore
> IMHO any court in any democratic society would rule anything on a
newsgroup,
> to be public domain.

Toiddie doesn't care what courts or governmental agencies do.
They're all "against him without any reason" anyway...! ! !

> Whilst I sought permission from ARNewsline to re-transmit ARNewsLine
on UHF
> CB in Melbourne out of courtesy, Mr Pasternack said he appreciated
the
> courtesy, yet I could have started re-transmitting it without his
> permission...(SNIP)

Bill's a fine fellow.

He read an item I wrote on line and asked to re-print it in
"Worldradio". I told him yes, of course. About 2 months later I got a
check from him for the use! I sent it back. It was free in the first
place...Nothing had changed, but I sure appreciated the offer.

> Instead of wasting your time, effort & money on a pirate TV station,
> fighting the FCC (our ACA isn't much better btw) why not volunteer
your time
> and expertise to the local community radio and television stations as
I do?
> Not only could you broadcast what you want, you could help save the
> community station thousands of dollars by carrying out free
maintained and
> repairs. I leave my broadcasting to broadcast services and amateur
to
> amateur.

You miss the point, Ashley...This is ONLY about Toiddie being able
to express his own opinions as forcibly and frequently as he can. It's
got NOTHING to do with the business of operating a station or promoting
the arts.

> And two questions for US ppl on here: 1) Why does the cable channel
control
> community television?

What we call "community television" or "public access" is so local
promoters, presenters, etc can "get on the air" without having to spend
all the cash to build thier own station.

The local cable company has the studios, the cameras, processing
gear, etc, and it can be rented to the "user" ready-to-go.

> You should also note, that NOT swearing is more effective THAN
swearing.
> Example: you start your show tonight and include lot's of 'hells'
'dams'
> 'shit' etc, and people watch. Ten years down the track same thing.
But, if
> you didn't swear for ten years at all, then one day came on air and
said
> "This is bullshit" it would be 200% more effective.

Toiddie doesn't have anything to say unless he connects it with
profanity.

> I, too will be starting an on-air news bulletin, two in fact. One on
the
> local community station, about amateur radio and a brief amateur
bulletin
> for amateurs only who can call in to the studio (when I'm there) via
amateur
> radio and go 'on air' with thier thoughts to help promote AR (instead
of
> using the phone). This won't happen until I have co-ordinated a
frequency to
> use with the local amateur club. Perhaps, N9OGL I could send/show you
the
> correct way to format/run a newscast of any description.

P L E A S E send him the help anyway, Ashley! L O T S of it,
too!

> N9OGL, if you have an Echo or IRLP node nearby would you like me to
connect
> to it and give a demonstration on this. No point having a broadcast
of any
> description until you have the fundamentals in place-grammar,
pronunciation,
> spelling, don't bother, it's hard enough to capture a (any) audience
with
> these traits.

We've tried to tell him...

The sad part is he's about to "graduate" from a "local" community "college", yet his grammar and vocabulary, well..suck! I used to (blindly) think that American education was above and beyond just about anything else out there...To my chagrin Toiddie proved me wrong.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3484. Re: Brian P Burke...Try To Answer This Challenge To Your Assinine Statement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 10:22:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112264524.697082.76370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105000

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > The utility of
> > amateur radio in emergencies is entirely dependent on mostly aging
> men,
> > who may or may not be available to assist in emergencies.
>
> "Entirely dependent"...?!?!
>
> Brian, I'll give you a big hint here...Your mouth is over-running
> your common sense again.
>
> I >>>D E M A N D<<< that you cite even ONE instance wherein ARES,
> RACES, etc, did NOT respond because they were "...entirely dependent
on
> mostly aging men who may or may not be available to assist in
> emergencies"...
>
> If we get nuked at multiple sites or if we ever have a
cataclysmic
> natural disaster like the movie "Day After Tomorrow", I'll give you
the
> point...
>
> Otherwise you're running off at the mouth again.
>
> So...Gonna ante up some kind of "proof" to back that statement
up,
> or are you going to slither out from udner it with some "you can't
make
> me do it" rhetoric...???
>
> I say it's just one more opportunity to call you for what you
> are...A liar.

I find it to be a bit-too-convienient that Brain suddenly has
business out of town (or what ever...) that prevents him from answering
any questions in a timely fashion...Especially when it's in response to
one of his own silly assertions...

Still waiting, Brain....Just ONE example...c'mon!...YOU insist
that this is a problem...Let's see you come up with SOME sort of

validation of your claims...

Steve, K4YZ

3485. Re: Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 10:29:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112264981.829856.118810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105001

K4YZ wrote:
> Hey Dieter...
>
> One minute you're matching me post-for-post, but the minute I
start
> unravelling your accusations with FACTS and PROOF, you suddenly
> disappear...
>
> What's up with that?
>
> No stomach for going toe-to-toe with someone when you're
> WRONG...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Here's the "proof" of YOUR error, Dieter...
>
> > Here's some more...the original header from Google:
>
>
> > QUOTE:
>
>
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
> > Date: 7 Feb 2005 03:35:10 -0800
> > Local: Mon, Feb 7 2005 3:35 am
> > Subject: Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You
Can
> Go
> > To Heaven
> > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original
> > | Report Abuse
>
>
> > UNQUOTE
>
>
> > You are, of course, encouraged to page back through Google and
> find
> > it yourself.

>
>
> > If you have the guts to do it...
>
>
>
> Here's the other one:
>
> Again, NOTE THE "Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy" ATTRIBUTE,
> DIETER!
>
>
> QUOTE:
>
>
> Audio Talk!-> Radio Amateur Policy
>
>
> Steve Robeson K4CAP
> Posted Oct 9, 2004 12:48:16
> Subject: Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!
>
>
> Signed on to the NG this morning to find the following items posted
to
> the
> NG from Blowhard Baxter and Company.
>
>
> Mr Stussy presumes to express his dismay at the once-a-week, single
> postings of the Amateur Radio Newsline, calling it SPAM.
>
>
> I am eager to see if Mr Stussy is as equally adamant in his "fight"
to
> get
> K1MAN to stop sludging up the Internet with his extension of his
> on-the-air
> antics or not.
>
>
> I seriously doubt his actions will match his rhetoric.
>
>
> 73
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

> Quote or K1MAN "announcement" snipped.
>
>
> As for "badmouthing" you, I seriously doubt anyone could call
> addressing you by your given name or comments made within the context
> of your "spam" crusade as "badmouthing"...
>
>
> Here's the headers from Google:
>
>
> QUOTE:
>
>
> Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!
> All 9 messages in topic - view as tree
> Steve Robeson K4CAP Oct 9 2004, 5:48 am hide options
>
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) - Find messages by this
author
>
>
>
> Date: 09 Oct 2004 12:48:16 GMT
> Local: Sat, Oct 9 2004 5:48 am
> Subject: Dieter! SAAAAAAVE US DIETER!
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original
> | Report Abuse
>
>
> UNQUOTE
>
>
> So, Dieter, I have PROVEN with DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE that YOUR
> assertions that I "posted" to "allaudio", hence allegedly trying to
go
> "behind your back" were FALSE.
>
> What are you going to do now?

It's now March 31st. The original post was March 21st.

I guess the answer to my question was "Run and hide". I would
have been SERIOUSLY shocked if Stussy had been "stand up" and admitted
his error.

Oh well. Good thing I wasn't holding my breath on his answer!

Steve, K4YZ

3486. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 12:25:12 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <I_R2e.9850$yq2.9676@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 105002

I figured the comments about the QSLs would get mixed into this thread. I have said many times the stations that I've had contact with while on HF--and they've all been questioned and discounted because I "don't have a QSL" to prove it. Ha!! Don't need the paper--I knew I did it; although I am not all that sure that the contacts I've had are all that "special."

Not a paper chaser at all, never was even intrigued by it. Not a QSLer, although I design them all the time--THAT's the part I like. I really enjoy designing them.

So, it appears Dan must've said something, again, about not having QSLs for a contact. Pfffffttttttt, who cayas?

Kim W5TIT

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1112242784.277211.137460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > When I said "without QSL's" I meant this. I don't usually count
> contest
> > QSO's for my dx hunting. So I forgot about all the thousands of
> cards I
> > have here from the bureau over the last many years. So I am sure I
> have
> > enough cards for most, if not all, of the awards offered.
> >
> > I'm just not much of a paper hunter....except for contest
> certificates.
>
> There are all sorts of directions one can opt to go in this game.
>
> I wouldn't ignore a Q with Sprately just because I happened to work him
> in the CQ WW or some such. Particularly these days when the expeditions
> to rare places go there specifically to operate in the contests. I also
> collect paper but for the most part only the really tough ones. A 5BWAZ
> is about as tough as they come and I'm not even close. Ya got an 80M
> Zone 23 card in your stacks?
>
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> w3rv
>

3487. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 13:59:47 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <nnT2e.19181$C7.6239@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 105003

Ooops, here is the link to Melbourne's Ch 31 Uni TV (most VK capital cities have Ch 31)

http://www.channel31.org.au/

"Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote in message news:y0T2e.19144$C7.15504@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> If N9OGL is only expressing his opinions, without actually offering a true
> 'bulletin service' (ie amateur news for all hams about ham activities, then
> I'm sure the FCC would rule -as would & have our ACA-then they would deem
> his broadcasts not be 'bulletins' but interference to others)
>
> Whilst an amateur bulletin can contain opinions about articles, it must also
> include amateur news information, though most good amateur news bulletins
> steer clear of 'opinions' and leave the 'opinions' section to those on
> frequency after the broadcast, and any decent broadcaster would simply
> listen in, not comment at all, in order to glean info about his audiences
> opinion and what they have come or would expect from said bulletin.
>
> Ever heard Bill Pasternack state what his opinion was on something on his
> bulletin, I haven't. He simply reports amateur radio facts he has found, but
> I'm bet If i met him person to person (unlikely given I'm an Aussie) he
> would, but not on his bulletin...he let's hams form their own opinions about
> what he has provided, the hallmark of good journalism...and I must say, the
> amateur bulletins of even the ARRL's bulletins, to some extent, contain a
> much higher standard of true journalism (reporting facts as recorded, not
> individual opinions..leaving opinions to be formed and debated by us the
> amateur on the air.. on here etc)
>
> Same with AR Newsline, W?ITF reports info he has found and tells you about
> it. He doesn't come on and say "The IRLP Contest..which I think sucks gets
> under way this week" but rather "The IRLP Contest gets under way this week"
> and leaves you to decide whether the IRLP contest is a good or bad idea etc.
>
> And here, down under, our WIA's news always closes with "This is VK4BB
> saying, until next week...Walk softly...we report it..you decide"
>
> What is N9OGL trying to do...create his own FOX News (ie give only the

> 'party'-his-line, rather than both sides of story..of which there always
is)
>
> Hence the reason, I quit working for Rupert...he sucks. (and I could go to
> another paper, but he owns them all here..apart from some rural ones..but
> he's swallowing them up too...so what Australia gets, via radio, tv and
> print is mostly Rupert's opinion :(
>
> I've spent this arvo putting together a 'cut & paste' (as well as my own
> work) of a 1/2 hour bulletin just for you N9OGL.
>
> PS. Transmitting for 5 hours for amateur is ridiculous, what you want
N9OGL
> is a nice, tight and structured approx. 1/2 hour bulletin every week based
> on a format of some sort.
>
> Eg.
>
> 11am: Open with "I'm Skippy, W9OGL and your tuned to [frequency] on your
> [mode] dial and this is "Amateur News Today"
> 1101: Quickly list all items on today's bulletin. "Coming up today we look
> at the IRLP Contest, Changes to VK amateur regulations,"
> 1102: Re-introduce (the 'enforcement') repeat 11am, and add at the end
"With
> USA Amateur News"
> 1105: USA Amateur News
> 1115: International Amateur News
> 1130: Contests and other items of interest to hams
> 1135: Close
>
> And lastly, ever notice N9OGL, how even when, say FOX journalists are
> offering thier opinions it is very cleverly purported as 'news', not
> opinion, otherwise, if people thought it was simply an opinion of one,
they
> wouldn't listen, whereas, if it comes across as news, more people tend to
> believe that opinion as factual news.
>
> Or perhaps, N9OGL, you are just the 'journalist' that FOX is looking for
:)
>
> The definition of amateur broadcasting is "bulletins" not "opinions".
> Opinions are formed in debate with others outside the newscast, not within
> it, unless stated that it is an "Opinion" piece, hence the reason we have
> 'columinsts', so people are made aware it's thier opinion, not the news as
> such.
>
> Yes, I too have made mistakes in the past and even flamed people I
shouldn't
> have and acted in an inappropriate way on aus.xxx newsgroups. But I had
the

> balls to accept my errors, apologised-in person-to the people I had
treated
> unfairly and moved on.......(now we all get on fine on aus.xx newsgroups)
>
> As Murphy said "Don't argue with fools..people mightn't know the
difference"
> (a lesson I too had to learn)
>
> And for those interested in how Community Television "Public Access" works
> down under..here is the link....
>
> So instead of carrying on about the FCC N9OGL, perhaps you have been
> fighting the wrong battle. Why not try and have a College set-up and
control
> a "Public Access" channel, that's easy, just cut & paste the Aussie model
> and fight for that. 1. You'd have more chance of getting somewhere and 2.
> You would be doing something that really does benifit the community.
>
> I'll relay my first bulletin to N9OGL's home town (any hams who can help
> with a HF or IRLP link?) and show N9OGL how a news bulletin is put
together?
>
> My qualifications for speaking on this matter are:
>
> As for my experience in this field, I started out in Year 10 (16yo) doing
> Work Experience for the local rag (DV News), and when I got there on
Monday
> was sent to make coffee, and was told that's what I'd be doing for the
> fortnight (no suprises here). But by mid-afternoon, the journalist I was
> assigned to was that impressed, she spoke to the boss, got me a HWT Style
> Book (mentioned last post) and I was taken off the coffee and sent into
the
> field, at 16, on Work Experience to report. My first interview was with a
> state Premier, something some 50yo journos are yet to do.
>
> I never went back to school full-time, and the report on my Work
Experience
> that was sent to my school says, and I quote "Best Work Experience student
> this company has ever had, has a great future in journalism." (My
standards
> of spelling and grammar etc were better than some of the journos they were
> emplyiong at the time..who didn't like me much at all, but saw me as the
> 'smart arse 16yo bloke who knows everything' (In reality, I know nothing,
as
> do us all..or we don't take in new ideas, concepts, etc)
>
> No, I am not a Uni qualified journalist, but in the world of journalism,
> those that do it my way are much more respected for having 'done the
yards'
> so to speak and I can pick a uni-journo written article from a x-cadets

one
> easy as..can you?
>
> PS. I don't use OE's spell-checker, I like to read and correct my own
> mistakes, so please point out any bad grammar or spelling, as I always
> should be able to self-edit, without the need for a spell-checker. Also
> notice that I refrain from profanity (when I'd love to use a few choice
> words) unless it has some contextual meaning to my writing, otherwise it's
> effect on the reader is lost.
>
> Cheers & Beers from VK, "Hoo Roo"
>
> VK3HAG
>
> Goto go QSO to do
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1112258424.254203.293200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Ashley VK3HAG wrote:
> >
> > (With Some Snippage to Pertinent Replies:)
> >
> > > N9OGL,
> > >
> > > Copyright doesn't apply to "educational, discussions, ng posts etc"
> > (It
> > > applies only to websites, magazines, music, broadcasts etc) so
> > therefore
> > > IMHO any court in any democratic society would rule anything on a
> > newsgroup,
> > > to be public domain.
> >
> > Toiddie doesn't care what courts or governmental agencies do.
> > They're all "against him without any reason" anyway...! ! !
> >
> > > Whilst I sought permission from ARNewsline to re-transmit ARNewsLine
> > on UHF
> > > CB in Melbourne out of courtesy, Mr Pasternack said he appreciated
> > the
> > > courtesy, yet I could have started re-transmitting it without his
> > > permission...(SNIP)
> >
> > Bill's a fine fellow.
> >
> > He read an item I wrote on line and asked to re-print it in
> > "Worldradio". I told him yes, of course. About 2 months later I got a
> > check from him for the use! I sent it back. It was free in the first
> > place...Nothing had changed, but I sure appreciated the offer.
> >

> > > Instead of wasting your time, effort & money on a pirate TV station,
> > > fighting the FCC (our ACA isn't much better btw) why not volunteer
> > your time
> > > and expertise to the local community radio and television stations as
> > I do?
> > > Not only could you broadcast what you want, you could help save the
> > > community station thousands of dollars by carrying out free
> > maintained and
> > > repairs. I leave my broadcasting to broadcast services and amateur
> > to
> > > amateur.
> >
> > You miss the point, Ashley...This is ONLY about Toiddie being able
> > to express his own opinions as forcibly and frequently as he can. It's
> > got NOTHING to do with the business of operating a station or promoting
> > the arts.
> >
> > > And two questions for US ppl on here: 1) Why does the cable channel
> > control
> > > community television?
> >
> > What we call "community television" or "public access" is so local
> > promoters, presenters, etc can "get on the air" without having to spend
> > all the cash to build thier own station.
>
> Thankfully ours is controlled and owned by uni students Stduent Union
(your
> college?) who really couldn't give a hoot what's on there.
> (Pole dancing, sex, whatever, and even though broadcasting rules are
> breached every minute on Ch 31, because no one complains-no one is
> watching-it continues daily)
>
> >
> > The local cable company has the studios, the cameras, processing
> > gear, etc, and it can be rented to the "user" ready-to-go.
> >
> > > You should also note, that NOT swearing is more effective THAN
> > swearing.
> > > Example: you start your show tonight and include lot's of 'hells'
> > 'dams'
> > > 'shit' etc, and people watch. Ten years down the track same thing.
> > But, if
> > > you didn't swear for ten years at all, then one day came on air and
> > said
> > > "This is bullshit" it would be 200% more effective.
> >
> > Toiddie doesn't have anything to say unless he connects it with
> > profanity.
> >
> > > I, too will be starting an on-air news bulletin, two in fact. One on

> > the
> > > local community station, about amateur radio and a brief amateur
> > bulletin
> > > for amateurs only who can call in to the studio (when I'm there) via
> > amateur
> > > radio and go 'on air' with thier thoughts to help promote AR (instead
> > of
> > > using the phone). This won't happen until I have co-ordinated a
> > frequency to
> > > use with the local amateur club. Perhaps, N9OGL I could send/show you
> > the
> > > correct way to format/run a newscast of any description.
> >
> > P L E A S E send him the help anyway, Ashley! L O T S of it,
> > too!
> >
> > > N9OGL, if you have an Echo or IRLP node nearby would you like me to
> > connect
> > > to it and give a demonstration on this. No point having a broadcast
> > of any
> > > description until you have the fundamentals in place-grammar,
> > pronunciation,
> > > spelling, don't bother, it's hard enough to capture a (any) audience
> > with
> > > these traits.
> >
> > We've tried to tell him...
> >
> > The sad part is he's about to "graduate" from a "local" community
> > "college", yet his grammar and vocabulary, well..suck! I used to
> > (blindly) think that American education was above and beyond just about
> > anything else out there...To my chagrin Toiddie proved me wrong.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
>

3488. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 15:30:00 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <b14c37cf833370abc4122a241ccf54c4@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 105004

In article <424B4BD9.43978F00@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote out of his fatass :
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > More Humor From N9OGL and Company:
> >
> > QUOTE:
> >
> > (n9oglvoice.blogspot.com)
> >
> > Roger/AB8MQ said...
> > ####ing netKKKop k4yz needs a reacharound
> > from ####head Hi El, K8MN, again.
> >
> > Nobody forced k4yz to read this blog, so
> > #### him.
> >
> > UNQUOTE:
> >
> > Roger, judging by your numerous run-ins with law
enforcement and
> > the court systems, I would have to say that "details" are
not your
> > strength, and this is yet more evidence of that.

Wrong again, Fucktard. Roger didn't even post that. Try Lloyd
Davies, N0VFP.
And you had better keep your immense ignorance to yourself, shit
for brains, fatass. Now go cry because that retard daughter died.

> > Had Toiddie not made the effort to take his "blog" and
quote it
> > word-for-word on a USENET newsgroup, I wouldn't have known
what was on
> > his "blog".
> >
> > Toiddie's "information" bulletins, tainted by his
"opinion", are
> > of little interest to anyone, least of all me. This extends
to his
> > "blogs".
> >
> > My only "interest" in Toiddie's activities comes from
his

> > abuse-of-priviledge of his Amateur Radio license which could
negatively
> > impact all Amateurs at some point in the future.
> >
> > That he chooses to do so with his poor grammar, sandlot
threats
> > and prolific profanity only further strengthen MY arguments
about his
> > incompetence in genereal and his obvious lack of character
to be a
> > Commission licensee.
> >
> > That he has a social misfit and ner-do-well such as you
coming to
> > his "defense" is just icing on the cake.

Toadie is probably the one who wrote it in the first place. Or
Lardass Lloyd Davies.

> You must have hit a nerve with our local amateur radio bad
boy, Steve.
> I received the following this evening in my e-mail take from
Roger,
> anonymously. I love the way Wiseman refers to himself in the
third
> person:

Thing is Wiseman didn't send it. Dumbfuck.

> ---

>
> Status: U
> Return-Path: <remai...@mail.cypherpunks.to>
> Received: from mail.cypherpunks.to ([82.94.251.194]) by
> mx-a065a05.pas.sa.earthlink.net (EarthLink SMTP
> Server) with ESMTP id 1dgMMe2Fk3NZFpA0 for
> <k...@earthlink.net>; Wed, 30 Mar 2005 15:39:10-0800
(PST)
> From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer
> <nob...@cypherpunks.to>
> Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender
address
> above. It was remailed automatically by anonymizing
> remailer software. Please report problems or
> inappropriate use to the remailer administrator at
> <a...@cypherpunks.to>.
> To: k...@earthlink.net
> Subject: k4yz should stop his libel
> X-Originating-Sender: stevenrobe...@yahoo.com
> Message-ID: <20050330233907.2FBE217...@mail.cypherpunks.to>

> Date: Thu, 31 Mar 2005 01:39:07 +0200 (CEST) X-ELNK-AV: 0
> X-Mozilla-Status: 8001
> X-Mozilla-Status2: 00000000
> X-UIDL: 1dgMMe2Fk3NZFpA0
>
> Anonymous said...
>
> Smelly Stevie better quit his public lies
> if he doesn't want to hear from Roger's
> lawyer. Roger has never been in trouble
> with the law and has told shithead Stenger
> to fuck off when he listened to Hi El once
> too often. Roger's lawyer talked to Stenger
> and Roger was never bothered again.
>
> Stevie needs to shave his pubes and wash
> with black soap to get rid of his stink.
> ----------

BWHAHAHAHA..wrong again, shit for brains. Goddamn are you one
stupid fat fuck.

> Roger has indeed been "in trouble" with the law. Roger has
also had
> repeat visits from his local chief of police. Roger may
receive one of
> those visits again.

And High-el doesn't know what the fuck he is talking about.
Roger hasn't recieved "repeat visits with the chief of police".
You ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass.
Goddamn, are you one stupid fuck.

> Roger has had repeated run-ins with the FCC as well. Roger's
been taped
> QRMing other stations on 20m. A local dropped a dime on him.
His
> retesting is legend.

You having some reading comprehension problems in your old age,
Muddy Water's ex cocksucker?

You mean you made forged tapes of him and sent them in. You and
your fatassed buddy Bertie, who when talks about a hard drive
describes the physical dimensions, not the capacity.
And Roger passed his retest, which in the FCC letter had no
reason given other than the FCC can at any time, retest somebody
under the VE program , and upgraded, while you still do nothing
for amateur radio.
For somebody who says they've been a ham as long as you are, you
sure don't know Part 97.

> Roger should take his lithium and try to avoid places where his

And your Medical and /or Psychology degree is from what school? Oh, you mean you don't have one? So you are talking out of your fat ass again.

> sociopathic behavior will get him in additional trouble.

High-el forgets to mention the years of therapy he had to endure after his daddy assraped him repeatedly as a child.

> If his lawyer
> has any questions, have him give me a buzz. We'll start him off on a
> Google Search and then print him up some copies of swell e-mails.

I would imagine he's seen your threatening e-mail to Roger, about posting flyers illegally on utility poles in his neighborhood. In fact he probably has said that you are an ASSHOLE.

> If Roger needs some time to come up with retainer money before contacting
> his lawyer, I can be patient.

Since when? You seem to be having some mental problems, since you couldn't intimidate Roger. Just like the lie that he left before the "meeting was over. He asked the person in charge if the meting was over, when that person said yes. Roger left. Not quite the outcome you and your giggling fatassed faggot butt buddy Bertie wanted, eh?

> Roger talks big. Roger doesn't act.

Pot kettle black. You have been running your mouth and doing nothing. Tell us how your deceased Episcopalian priest daddy didn't molest you and the other altar boys.

> Roger--the unWiseman.

Oh, a name lame. Showing your 3rd grade mentality, are you?

> Dave K8MN

Known for his kookiness and Anti-American & Nazi leanings.

3489. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 15:31:37 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d2h54p$p48$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 105005

Anonymous wrote:
> He never was good enough for medical school to be a real Doctor.
> He had to give into his inner feminine side and be a nursie. For
> those that can't hack medical school, they have to be second
> best. Just like he was with dead retarded daughter Taelor.
>

That's as low a statement as I have ever seen.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3490. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 15:55:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <424C1D89.16B934C7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105006

Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> Anonymous wrote:
> > He never was good enough for medical school to be a real Doctor.
> > He had to give into his inner feminine side and be a nursie. For
> > those that can't hack medical school, they have to be second
> > best. Just like he was with dead retarded daughter Taelor.
> >
>
> That's as low a statement as I have ever seen.

That's our Glen Dale burden, Mike. The man is a complete sociopath with nothing whatever to redeem him. He is an embarrassment to this area, this state and to amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

3491. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 16:15:38 UTC
From: clvrmnky <clvrmnky-uunet@coldmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <LmV2e.96207$vO1.592385@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 105007

On 20/03/2005 8:30 PM, Bert Craig wrote:
> Woo Hoo, only WY left for WAS!
>
I'm unfamiliar with that acronym, and Google is returning way too many hits for any permutation I can come up with.

I'm assuming this means "Worked All States?" and you only have Wyoming to finish. Is this continental US only, or does one count Hawaii? I'm assuming that state is literal and does not include the various protectorates?

In my case I guess I could look forward to "Worked All Provinces" though I have no idea if Canadian Amateurs have such a tradition. Hmmm, I'd like to include the territories, as well. Maybe the acronym is now WAP/T? WAP&T? WAPaT?

I'm tempted to use the acronym WAPATI, which is a nice homage to the aboriginal place- and animal names found in the US and Canada.

3492. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 16:25:35 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ZLSdnW7tWvZwudHfRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105008

Wogie is the Glen Dale retard, always has been, always will be. Lives in
a run down hovel by the river.

Dave Heil wrote:

>
>
> That's our Glen Dale burden, Mike. The man is a complete sociopath with
> nothing whatever to redeem him. He is an embarrassment to this area,
> this state and to amateur radio.
>
> Dave K8MN

3493. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 17:08:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112288932.491179.84800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105009

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Anonymous wrote:
> > He never was good enough for medical school to be a real Doctor.
> > He had to give into his inner feminine side and be a nursie. For
> > those that can't hack medical school, they have to be second
> > best. Just like he was with dead retarded daughter Taelor.
> >
>
> That's as low a statement as I have ever seen.

Yeah, it's pretty low, Mike, but considering who we're dealing
with here, it was not unexpected.

Of course facts don't deter the ignorant:

(1) I never wanted to be an MD. MD's don't do th things I do, so
why go to school for something I didn't want to do?

(2) You HAVE to have a bit more feminine side to be a Nurse..It
requires that extra bit of compassion as...But hey...I'm straight and
surrounded by women all night!

(3) I was hardly "second best" for Taelor. She was only given
less than two years to live. I kept her for six.

And as for obese..?!?! Well...Some folks will just have to find
out the hard way.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3494. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 17:19:41 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <Beware@reader.com>
Message-ID: <OiW2e.2639$k57.1770@fed1read07>
Source record: 105010

"clvrmnky" <clvrmnky-uunet@coldmail.com.invalid> wrote in message
news:LmV2e.96207$vO1.592385@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> On 20/03/2005 8:30 PM, Bert Craig wrote:
>> Woo Hoo, only WY left for WAS!
>>
> I'm unfamiliar with that acronym, and Google is returning way too many
> hits for any permutation I can come up with.
>
> I'm assuming this means "Worked All States?" and you only have Wyoming to
> finish. Is this continental US only, or does one count Hawaii? I'm
> assuming that state is literal and does not include the various
> protectorates?

It is the Worked All States (WAS) award, that is all 50 USA states
(including Alaska and Hawaii) no territories. See URL:
http://www.arrl.org/awards/#was

> In my case I guess I could look forward to "Worked All Provinces" though I
> have no idea if Canadian Amateurs have such a tradition. Hmmm, I'd like
> to include the territories, as well. Maybe the acronym is now WAP/T?
> WAP&T? WAPaT?
>
> I'm tempted to use the acronym WAPATI, which is a nice homage to the
> aboriginal place- and animal names found in the US and Canada.

Canadian Amateur Radio Awards via Canada's National Amateur Radio Society
(RAC)
can be found at URL:
http://www.rac.ca/service/awards.htm

Includes:
Canadaward
5 Band Canadaward
Provincial Capitals Award
Seaway Award
Trans Canada Award
Worked All RAC Award

For a challenge try USA Five Band WAS: URL:
http://www.arrl.org/awards/5bwas/
Another tough one is WAS on Six Meters -- tis possible
And you can try WAS CW
WAZ -- Worked all CQ Zones will keep you busy
http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/wazrules.html

Especially a single band WAZ or 5 band WAZ
And of course the Worked All USA (USA-CW) Counties (3077 of em)
http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/usacarul.html
AND http://countyhunter.com/

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

3495. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 17:26:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112289997.354116.287610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105011

A.Melon wrote:
> In article <424B4BD9.43978F00@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote out of his fatass :
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > More Humor From N9OGL and Company:
> > >
> > > QUOTE:
> > >
> > > (n9oglvoice.blogspot.com)
> > >
> > > Roger/AB8MQ said...
> > > #####ing netKKKop k4yz needs a reacharound
> > > from ####head Hi El, K8MN, again.
> > >
> > > Nobody forced k4yz to read this blog, so
> > > ##### him.
> > >
> > > UNQUOTE:
> > >
> > > Roger, judging by your numerous run-ins with law
> enforcement and
> > > the court systems, I would have to say that "details" are
> not your
> > > strength, and this is yet more evidence of that.
>
> Wrong again, ####tard. Roger didn't even post that. Try Lloyd
> Davies, N0VFP.
> And you had better keep your immense ignorance to yourself, #####
> for brains, ######. Now go cry because that retard daughter died.

Who can tell? Lloyd Davies? Wogie Wiseman? Todd Dogherty?

You're all the same. Ignortant. Profane. Scum. Doesn't amke
any difference to me.

> > > That he has a social misfit and ner-do-well such as you
> coming to
> > > his "defense" is just icing on the cake.
>
> Toadie is probably the one who wrote it in the first place. Or
> Lardass Lloyd Davies.

Like I said...All the same to me. All one big ignorant life form.

> > You must have hit a nerve with our local amateur radio bad
> boy, Steve.
> > I received the following this evening in my e-mail take from
> Roger,
> > anonymously. I love the way Wiseman refers to himself in the
> third
> > person:
>
> Thing is Wiseman didn't send it. Dumb####.

Doesn't matter.

> BWHAHAHAHA..wrong again, #### for brains. God#### are you one
> stupid fat ####.

Why is it that the people who can't see their toes without a
mirror or having to lean up against a wall are the ones calling other
"fat" all the time?

> > Roger has indeed been "in trouble" with the law. Roger has
> also had
> > repeat visits from his local chief of police. Roger may
> receive one of
> > those visits again.
>
> And High-el doesn't know what the #### he is talking about.
> Roger hasn't recieved "repeat visits with the chief of police".
> You ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumb###.
> God####, are you one stupid ####.

And I'd say you're in denial.

> > Roger has had repeated run-ins with the FCC as well. Roger's
> been taped
> > QRMing other stations on 20m. A local dropped a dime on him.
> His
> > retesting is legend.
>
> You having some reading comprehension problems in your old age,
> Muddy Water's ex ####sucker?

Seems' to me that Dae's pretty much gotten it right so far.

> You mean you made forged tapes of him and sent them in. You and
> your fat####### buddy Bertie, who when talks about a hard drive
> describes the physical dimensions, not the capacity.
> And Roger passed his retest, which in the FCC letter had no
> reason given other than the FCC can at any time, retest somebody
> under the VE program , and upgraded, while you still do nothing
> for amateur radio.
> For somebody who says they've been a ham as long as you are, you
> sure don't know Part 97.

Seems to me again that he knows Part 97 quite well.

> > Roger should take his lithium and try to avoid places where his
>
> And your Medical and /or Psychology degree is from what school?
> Oh, you mean you don't have one? So you are talking out of your
> fat ### again.

Dave is much closer to being a Doctor than you are a human
being...Perhaps that's where all of your anger comes from?

> > sociopathic behavior will get him in additional trouble.
>
> High-el forgets to mention the years of therapy he had to endure
> after his daddy ###raped him repeatedly as a child.

At least his daddy paid him some attention. Must be rough not
knowing who yours is, eh?

> > If his lawyer
> > has any questions, have him give me a buzz. We'll start him
> off on a
> > Google Search and then print him up some copies of swell e-
> mails.
>
> I would imagine he's seen your threatening e-mail to Roger,
> about posting flyers illegally on utility poles in his
> neighborhood. In fact he probably has said that you are an
> ###HOLE.

I imagine that any "flyers" posted on phone polls were
appropriate. Many communities are starting to demand that such posters
a be put up when deviants live in them to warn the good citizens.

> > If Roger needs some time to come up with retainer money before
> contacting
> > his lawyer, I can be patient.
>
> Since when? You seem to be having some mental problems, since
> you couldn't intimidate Roger. Just like the lie that he left
> before the "meeting was over. He asked the person in charge if
> the meting was over, when that person said yes. Roger left. Not
> quite the outcome you and your giggling fat###### ###### butt
> buddy Bertie wanted, eh?

Perhasp they need a new EmmCee?

> > Roger talks big. Roger doesn't act.
>
> Pot kettle black. You have been running your mouth and doing
> nothing. Tell us how your deceased Episcopalian priest daddy
> didn't molest you and the other altar boys.
>
> > Roger--the unWiseman.
>
> Oh, a name lame. Showing your 3rd grade mentality, are you?

Seems pretty appropriate to me...And a bit ironic of a complaint coming from a coward who just weaseled his way through several paragraphs of profanities and insults via an anonymizer.

Coward.

Steve, K4YZ

3496. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 17:40:14 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <c5c5a3b6ca5f0eaeead9170af6889059@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 105012

In article <1112256675.187212.56060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k4Yz@aol.com> blathered out of his fatass:
>
> Like I said...Prolific profanity an sandlot
threats...None of
> which impress me in the least.

Poor Nursie, aren't you glad your retrded daughter Taelor died
before you could have your way with her?

> > Roger has indeed been "in trouble" with the law. Roger has
also had
> > repeat visits from his local chief of police. Roger may
receive one of
> > those visits again.

Poor Davey, he never gives any specifics.......all hearsay
"evidence".

> I know he has...I've seen copies of the documents.

And yet, you never provide "details" of these "documents", of
course you are talking out of your fatass, nursie....again.

> And I am not at all impressed by people who sneak in to
people's
> mailboxes with anonymizers that wont't take replies back to
the coward
> that sent them in the first place.

Aww, poor Nursie, he gets so frustrated when he can't stamp his
little flabby feet.

> As for Roger's "lawyer"...I bet he makes good money off of
> him...Sounds like Roger keeps him plenty busy.

Boy, are your fucking stupid, Nursie. You must've cheated on the
Nursing board exams.
>
> I bet it is. I've seen the pics of him on line. Looks
like he's
> got a cousin in Illinois, to tell you the truth.

We've seen your pics online too, Nursie, how is it a "health
care professional" like you is fucking morbidly obese. With your
beady eyes and that so-called moustache along with your size 50
inch gut makes you look like a pregnant weasel, nursie.

> Thanks for the head's up Dave.

Now be a good little bitch and give him a reach around.

3497. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 18:13:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112292837.457846.16650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105013

George Orwell wrote:
> In article <1112256675.187212.56060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4Yz@aol.com> blathered out of his fatass:
> >
> > Like I said...Prolific profanity an sandlot
> threats...None of
> > which impress me in the least.
>
> Poor Nursie, aren't you glad your retrded daughter Taelor died
> before you could have your way with her?

I am glad that you've used an anonymizer. You should be too.

> > > Roger has indeed been "in trouble" with the law. Roger has
> also had
> > > repeat visits from his local chief of police. Roger may
> receive one of
> > > those visits again.
>
> Poor Davey, he never gives any specifics.......all hearsay
> "evidence".

Nothing's heresay about Roger.

> > I know he has...I've seen copies of the documents.
>
> And yet, you never provide "details" of these "documents", of
> course you are talking out of your ######, nursie....again.

Nope.

> > And I am not at all impressed by people who sneak in to
> people's
> > mailboxes with anonymizers that wont't take replies back to
> the coward
> > that sent them in the first place.
>
> Aww, poor Nursie, he gets so frustrated when he can't stamp his
> little flabby feet.

If they were flabby, I might get frustrated.

> > As for Roger's "lawyer"...I bet he makes good money off of
> > him...Sounds like Roger keeps him plenty busy.
>
> Boy, are your ####ing stupid, Nursie. You must've cheated on the
> Nursing board exams.

Nope. You're welcome to check. They are public record.

> > I bet it is. I've seen the pics of him on line. Looks
> like he's
> > got a cousin in Illinois, to tell you the truth.
>
> We've seen your pics online too, Nursie, how is it a "health
> care professional" like you is ####ing morbidly obese.

I'm not...but then you know that.

> With your
> beady eyes and that so-called moustache along with your size 50
> inch gut makes you look like a pregnant weasel, nursie.

It's a looooooooooooong way from 50...Perhaps you'd like to see
first hand?

> > Thanks for the head's up Dave.
>
> Now be a good little $$$$$ and give him a reach around.

Sure must be rough being as weakminded as you are all-the-while
having such big dreams for yourself. Maybe if you roll off of your mom
long enough for her to catch her breath she'll tell you who your father
was and you can feel a bit better about yourself...(Of course I am sure
"grandpa" will make her shup up first...I wonder why???)

Steve, K4YZ

3498. Re: Question for N8UZE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 20:00:58 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <_FY2e.12244$S46.2988@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105014

>
>
> Any Tech, old or brand new, can take the code test and get the HF
> privileges. It is not called Tech Plus since the code credit, while
> permanent for operating, is only good for one year for upgrading purposes.
> The old Tech Plus was & is good for code credit even for upgrading even if
> it has been more than a year since passing the code.
>

Part of the reason I upgraded from tech plus to extra was to
"lock in" the credit I'd have for passing the old tech (general
written) and 5wpm I had from 1976. Also getting access to
all of ham radio privs was nice too.... HF turned out to be
more fun than 2m ever was.

3499. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 20:03:13 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <5IY2e.12249$S46.10737@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105015

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> Wogie is the Glen Dale retard, always has been, always will be. Lives in
> a run down hovel by the river.
>
But his landlord lets him put up antennas!

3500. Re: Poor obese Nursie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 20:51:08 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <424c63da_3@x-privat.org>
Source record: 105016

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:5IY2e.12249$S46.10737@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>> Wogie is the Glen Dale retard, always has been, always will be. Lives in
>> a run down hovel by the river.
>>
> But his landlord lets him put up antennas!
>
He's lucky! My landlord doesn't allow antenna's so I had to sneak a portable
whip above the enterance
to my apartment. Check out how I ran my coax.....notice the screening I
made.....nice eh?

http://img117.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img117&image=scan00037kw.jpg

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up

3501. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 21:23:56 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <0bb31b81fb5b99ed2717b1b48b584732@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 105017

In article <424C1D89.16B934C7@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> > Anonymous wrote:
> > > He never was good enough for medical school to be a real
Doctor.
> > > He had to give into his inner feminine side and be a
nursie. For
> > > those that can't hack medical school, they have to be
second
> > > best. Just like he was with dead retarded daughter Taelor.
> > >
> >
> > That's as low a statement as I have ever seen.

Cry me a river.

> That's our Glen Dale burden, Mike. The man is a complete
sociopath with
> nothing whatever to redeem him. He is an embarrassment to
this area,
> this state and to amateur radio.

Wiseman owns you, dumbass. And you live in an even more
embarassing area, Cameron, where the father regularly fornicates
the sister and the farm animals. You live in the worst section
of the state. But then your posts don't do anything for "amateur
radio's image" either, you Nazi fat fuck. Maybe you could go
back to the Cashew Capital of Africa and be dictator over there,
you'd have more success in that venue than on the newsgroup.

3502. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 21:56:45 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <xm_2e.4451$oy3.3569@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 105018

Steve, that people like this creep below are allowed by the "powers that be" are the truest puzzle left behind for we mere mortals.

This creep insults multiple belief systems and defies that naturalness of humanity.

I won't apologize for the creep, but I will say that I am sorry your little girl was the brunt of such ignorance.

73/88 Kim W5TIT

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:1112288932.491179.84800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > Anonymous wrote:
> > > He never was good enough for medical school to be a real Doctor.
> > > He had to give into his inner feminine side and be a nursie. For
> > > those that can't hack medical school, they have to be second
> > > best. Just like he was with dead retarded daughter Taelor.
> > >
> >
> > That's as low a statement as I have ever seen.
>
> Yeah, it's pretty low, Mike, but considering who we're dealing
> with here, it was not unexpected.
>
> Of course facts don't deter the ignorant:
>
> (1) I never wanted to be an MD. MD's don't do th things I do, so
> why go to school for something I didn't want to do?
>
> (2) You HAVE to have a bit more feminine side to be a Nurse..It
> requires that extra bit of compassion as...But hey...I'm straight and
> surrounded by women all night!
>
> (3) I was hardly "second best" for Taelor. She was only given
> less than two years to live. I kept her for six.
>
> And as for obese..?!?! Well...Some folks will just have to find
> out the hard way.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

>

3503. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 22:12:00 UTC
From: Tony <TonyHammons@noreply>
Message-ID: <424c7645$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 105019

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:xm_2e.4451$oy3.3569@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
Steve, that people like this creep below are allowed by the "powers that be"
are the truest puzzle left behind for we mere mortals.

This creep insults multiple belief systems and defies that naturalness of
humanity.

I won't apologize for the creep, but I will say that I am sorry your little
girl was the brunt of such ignorance.

73/88 Kim W5TIT

//////////////////

You said it well, Kim. The "creep" posting the tawdry rants is indeed a
shame not only to himself and his family, but a shame to Amateur Radio as
well.
The 49 year old man/child, Roger Wiseman, lacks the mental capacity to
realize this. Is it, therefore, little wonder that he has no friends?

Tony

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1112288932.491179.84800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > Anonymous wrote:
> > > He never was good enough for medical school to be a real Doctor.
> > > He had to give into his inner feminine side and be a nursie. For
> > > those that can't hack medical school, they have to be second
> > > best. Just like he was with dead retarded daughter Taelor.
> > >
> >
> > That's as low a statement as I have ever seen.
>
> Yeah, it's pretty low, Mike, but considering who we're dealing
> with here, it was not unexpected.
>
> Of course facts don't deter the ignorant:
>
> (1) I never wanted to be an MD. MD's don't do th things I do, so
> why go to school for something I didn't want to do?
>
> (2) You HAVE to have a bit more feminine side to be a Nurse..It
> requires that extra bit of compassion as...But hey...I'm straight and

> surrounded by women all night!
>
> (3) I was hardly "second best" for Taelor. She was only given
> less than two years to live. I kept her for six.
>
> And as for obese..?!?! Well...Some folks will just have to find
> out the hard way.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

3504. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 22:18:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112307514.658259.318050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105020

Kim wrote:

> Steve, that people like this creep below are allowed by the "powers
that be"
> are the truest puzzle left behind for we mere mortals.
>
> This creep insults multiple belief systems and defies that
naturalness of
> humanity.
>
> I won't apologize for the creep, but I will say that I am sorry your
little
> girl was the brunt of such ignorance.

Thanks, Kim...But Taelor knew more love and gave more love than most "normal" people I ever knew. I am willing to bet that the cowardly creeps that wrote that have been devoid of that kind of warmth in thier lives, hence their behaviour.

They are ignorant souls struggling to be something they'll never be. Sorry I can't help them in life. Maybe God will be able to do something with them later.

I appreciate your kind words!

73 es double on the 88!

Steve, K4YZ

3505. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 22:41:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <i0%2e.12350$S46.4931@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105021

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1112242784.277211.137460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> When I said "without QSL's" I meant this. I don't usually count
> contest
>> QSO's for my dx hunting. So I forgot about all the thousands of
> cards I
>> have here from the bureau over the last many years. So I am sure I
> have
>> enough cards for most, if not all, of the awards offered.
>>
>> I'm just not much of a paper hunter....except for contest
> certificates.
>
> There are all sorts of directions one can opt to go in this game.
>
> I wouldn't ignore a Q with Sprately just because I happened to work him
> in the CQ WW or some such. Particularly these days when the expeditions
> to rare places go there specifically to operate in the contests. I also
> collect paper but for the most part only the really tough ones. A 5BWAZ
> is about as tough as they come and I'm not even close. Ya got an 80M
> Zone 23 card in your stacks?
>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> w3rv
>
Sure do, just printed em up...hi. Understand.

Dan/W4NTI

3506. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 23:09:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <feKdnSkshMiqHtHfRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 105022

"clvrmnky" <clvrmnky-uunet@coldmail.com.invalid> wrote in message
news:LmV2e.96207$vO1.592385@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> On 20/03/2005 8:30 PM, Bert Craig wrote:
>> Woo Hoo, only WY left for WAS!
>>
> I'm unfamiliar with that acronym, and Google is returning way too many
> hits for any permutation I can come up with.
>
> I'm assuming this means "Worked All States?" and you only have Wyoming to
> finish. Is this continental US only, or does one count Hawaii? I'm
> assuming that state is literal and does not include the various
> protectorates?
>

Yes it does stand for Worked All States. And all 50 states are needed,
including Alaska and Hawaii. It is indeed literal and territories,
protectorates, etc. are not included.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3507. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 23:11:51 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Jtydnf3UBYFCHtHfRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 105023

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
news:c5c5a3b6ca5f0eaeead9170af6889059@mixmaster.it...
> In article <1112256675.187212.56060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4Yz@aol.com> blathered out of his fatass:
>>
>> Like I said...Prolific profanity an sandlot
> threats...None of
>> which impress me in the least.
>
> Poor Nursie, aren't you glad your retrded daughter Taelor died
> before you could have your way with her?
>

Well this fellow is now consigned to my killfile. Such degrading and
degraded idiots should be silent and invisible.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3508. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-03-31 23:23:11 UTC
From: Tony <TonyHammons@noreply>
Message-ID: <424c86f5$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 105024

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:Jtydnf3UBYFCHtHfRVn-rA@comcast.com...

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
news:c5c5a3b6ca5f0eaeead9170af6889059@mixmaster.it...
> In article <1112256675.187212.56060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4Yz@aol.com> blathered out of his fatass:
>>
>> Like I said...Prolific profanity an sandlot
> threats...None of
>> which impress me in the least.
>
> Poor Nursie, aren't you glad your retrded daughter Taelor died
> before you could have your way with her?
>

Well this fellow is now consigned to my killfile. Such degrading and
degraded idiots should be silent and invisible.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Save any future anonymous emails, Kim. This shunned "creep" will most likely
employ that tactic next.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

3509. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 00:13:03 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <2fc3eed2349057cb39585329a8b3137a@userbeam.net>
Source record: 105025

In article <1112292837.457846.16650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> blathered:
>
>
> George Orwell wrote:
> > In article
<1112256675.187212.56...@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> > "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> blathered out of his fatass:
> > >
> > > Like I said...Prolific profanity an sandlot
> > threats...None of
> > > which impress me in the least.
> >
> > Poor Nursie, aren't you glad your retarded daughter Taelor
died
> > before you could have your way with her?
>
> I am glad that you've used an anonymizer. You should be
too.

Oh, Nursie, We just love it when a "weekend" CAP "play soldier"
talks tough. Now go play soldier, Nursie.

> Nothing's heresay about Roger.

It's a very good thing you went to Nursing school, since not
only could you not hack Med school, you couldn't hack Law
school, either, obviously.

>
> > > I know he has...I've seen copies of the documents.
> >
> > And yet, you never provide "details" of these "documents", of
> > course you are talking out of your fatass, nursie....again.
>
> Nope.

And you still fail to provide any "details." Not surprising,
Nursie.

> > Aww, poor Nursie, he gets so frustrated when he can't stamp
his
> > little flabby feet.
>
> If they were flabby, I might get frustrated.

We've seen your picture, flabby. At least you don't do a bad
comb over like your buddy Heil does, but pretty soon you won't
have to worry about hair care products.

> Nope. You're welcome to check. They are public record.

So is your incompetence which caused a patient's death, Nursie.

> > We've seen your pics online too, Nursie, how is it a "health
> > care professional" like you is fucking morbidly obese.
>
> I'm not...but then you know that.

In denial, Nursie, your little "jumpsuit" doesn't hide that fat
gut very well.

> > With your
> > beady eyes and that so-called moustache along with your size
50
> > inch gut makes you look like a pregnant weasel, nursie.
>
> It's a looooooooooooooong way from 50...Perhaps you'd like
to see
> first hand?

Sorry, Nursie, maybe the reason you got into Nursing is to play
drop the soap with your male patients, but not everybody is into
that sort of thing. So it is MORE than 50? You need to sharpen
up your "detective skills," too. As well as your English, your
QRZ profile and "website" are rife with spelling errors.

> > > Thanks for the head's up Dave.
> >
> > Now be a good little bitch and give him a reach around.
>
> Sure must be rough being as weakminded as you are all-the-
while
> having such big dreams for yourself. Maybe if you roll off of
your mom
> long enough for her to catch her breath she'll tell you who
your father
> was and you can feel a bit better about yourself...(Of course
I am sure
> "grandpa" will make her shup up first...I wonder why???)

"In article
<1112256675.187212.56...@l41g2&#65533;000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> blathered out of his fatass:
Like I said...Prolific profanity an sandlot threats...None of
which impress me in the least."

You are a hypocrite, Nursie.

3510. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 00:37:25 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <UBIJC68338443.1093171296@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 105026

In article
<5IY2e.12249$S46.10737@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
robert casey <wa2...@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> But his landlord lets him put up antennas!

Landlord? I'd better call the CDC, looks like Nursie's stupidity
is contagious.
Since when do homeowners have "landlords?"

3511. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 00:44:12 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <pdGdnYxk--nDBNHfRVn-2Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105027

George Orwell wrote:

<snip>

What a punk, you wouldn't have what it takes to say that to somebodies face! This is where I believe in free speech, as long as everybody knows who you are! It must be true what they say about roger.

http://roger.wiseman.wasarrested.com/S-WV/Glendale/masturbating

3512. Re: How to deal with SSB in the 40 mtr CW band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 01:14:06 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <uf13e.16293$NW5.13687@attbi_s02>
Source record: 105028

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1111900471.280167.12710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Caveat Lector wrote:
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:cZe1e.4695$z.2188@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > A good CW operator can and does work through any and all QRM. Keep
> at it.
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > Indeed Dan, using the best filter ever devised -- one's brain.
> >
> > CL - I filter, therefore I am
>
> AMEN Brother!
>
> . . Given an engaged brain SSB signals become just another piece of the
> usual collection of ignorable crud any even half-decent low-band CW op
> can copy thru. THAT's the beauty of CW in a nutshell.
>
> w3rv

That's a big R R R! The SSB problem also represents one of few times when an amp is useful when using CW. (The other times are - sometimes - in DX pile-ups & heavy QRN on the lower frequency bands.) ak

3513. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 01:59:46 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <6da015a031824f5007dc8ae7acc5806f@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 105029

In article
<1112289997.354116.287610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k4YZ@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> A.Melon wrote:
> >
> > Wrong again, fucktard. Roger didn't even post that. Try Lloyd
> > Davies, N0VFP.
> > And you had better keep your immense ignorance to yourself,
shit
> > for brains, fatass. Now go cry because that retard daughter
died.
>
> Who can tell? Lloyd Davies? Wogie Wiseman? Todd
Dogherty?

Not you, Nursie.

>
> You're all the same. Ignortant. Profane. Scum.
Doesn't amke
^^
> any difference to me.

HAHAHAHAHA. Ironic, Nursie. You really are rather stupid, you
don't have to announce it.
What is "ignortant?" and "amake"???????

> > Toadie is probably the one who wrote it in the first place.
Or
> > Lardass Lloyd Davies.
>
> Like I said...All the same to me. All one big ignorant
life form.

At least you learned how to spell "ignorant" this time, Nursie.

> > Thing is Wiseman didn't send it. Dumbfuck.
>
> Doesn't matter.

Oh, so you'd rather spread more lies, then to actually tell the
truth. Some example for amateur radio you are setting, Nursie.

>
> > BWHAHAHAHA..wrong again, shit for brains. Goddamn are you one

> > stupid fat fuck.
>
> Why is it that the people who can't see their toes
without a
> mirror or having to lean up against a wall are the ones
calling other
> "fat" all the time?

Says the fatass Nursie, wearing that men's girdle under his
little jumpsuit.

> > And High-el doesn't know what the fuck he is talking about.
> > Roger hasn't recieved "repeat visits with the chief of
police".
> > You ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either,
dumbass.
> > Goddamn, are you one stupid fuck.
>
> And I'd say you're in denial.

And I'd say as usual, you don't back up what you purport.

> > You having some reading comprehension problems in your old
age,
> > Muddy Water's ex ####sucker?
>
> Seems' to me that Dae's pretty much gotten it right so
far.

"Seems" to me you need some remedial English, Nursie. How *do*
you ever fill out a patient's charts correctly?

>
> > You mean you made forged tapes of him and sent them in. You
and
> > your fatassed buddy Bertie, who when talks about a hard drive
> > describes the physical dimensions, not the capacity.
> > And Roger passed his retest, which in the FCC letter had no
> > reason given other than the FCC can at any time, retest
somebody
> > under the VE program , and upgraded, while you still do
nothing
> > for amateur radio.
> > For somebody who says they've been a ham as long as you are,
you
> > sure don't know Part 97.
>
> Seems to me again that he knows Part 97 quite well.

Then you need to have somebody read 97.519 (d)(2). Check that,
you'll have to have somebody read it to you.
At least you spelled "seems" correct this time, without the

unnecessary apostrophe.

> > And your Medical and /or Psychology degree is from what
school?
> > Oh, you mean you don't have one? So you are talking out of
your
> > fat ass again.
>
> Dave is much closer to being a Doctor than you are a human
> being...Perhaps that's where all of your anger comes from?

Dave is just a former bolt tightener and messenger boy for the Dept. of State. I noticed they gave him all the "plum" assignments in the backwater holes. Like the Cashew capital of Africa. And Finland.
Perhaps you'd better quit using that info from a couple of introductory psychology classes they make you take in first year nursing, Nursie. You get so confused when you see the "big words."

> > High-el forgets to mention the years of therapy he had to
endure
> > after his daddy assraped him repeatedly as a child.
>
> At least his daddy paid him some attention. Must be rough
not
> knowing who yours is, eh?

Speaking from experience, since half the Fleet screwed your mommy when your drunk daddy was not on leave.
Nice support of your "friend", too, agreeing that his daddy assraped him.

> > I would imagine he's seen your threatening e-mail to Roger,
> > about posting flyers illegally on utility poles in his
> > neighborhood. In fact he probably has said that you are an
> > ASSHOLE.
>
> I imagine that any "flyers" posted on phone polls were
appropriate.

Nursie, what are "phone polls", is that anything like a survey over the phone? Oh, Nursie, you are as ignorant of the law as you are of everything else. It is illegal to post anything on a utility pole. The power company needs a clear path in case a worker has to climb them.

>Many communities are starting to demand that such posters
> a be put up when deviants live in them to warn the good
citizens.

You should know, since they put a few up about you, Nursie.

> > Since when? You seem to be having some mental problems, since
> > you couldn't intimidate Roger. Just like the lie that he left
> > before the "meeting was over. He asked the person in charge
if
> > the meting was over, when that person said yes. Roger left.
Not
> > quite the outcome you and your giggling fatassed faggot butt
> > buddy Bertie wanted, eh?
>
> Perhasp they need a new EmmCee?

Your personality isn't that good, Nursie.

> > Oh, a name lame. Showing your 3rd grade mentality, are you?
>
> Seems pretty appropriate to me...And a bit ironic of a
complaint

Yes, your adherance to double standards is well known in this
newsgroup and elsewhere.

> coming from a coward who just weaseled his way through several
> paragraphs of profanities and insults via an anonymizer.

And still made more sense then the stupid statements you made,
Nursie.

> Coward.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Oh, Nursie, you are so cute when you get angry.

3514. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 02:10:01 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <750526d98856b7ba5849b6679e492475@dizum.com>
Source record: 105030

In article <pdGdnYxk--nDBNHfRVn-2Q@centurytel.net>
Jim <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
>
>
> George Orwell wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
> What a punk, you wouldn't have what it takes to say that to
somebodies

What a pussy you are, "Jim". And you sure wouldn't have what it
takes to say this to anybody's face, either. And, so learn how
to spell.

> face! This is where I believe in free speech, as long as
everybody knows
> who you are!

Oh, do point out exactly in the Bill of Rights where it says you
must identify yourself to have free speech, pissflap.

>It must be true what they say about roger.

And it must be true that they say you are a registered sex
offender, "Jim."

I just bet they have logs of your access......
airline-car2.customer.centurytel.net (209.206.135.166)

209.206.128.0 - 209.206.255.255
CenturyTel Internet Holdings, Inc.
100 CenturyTel Drive
Monroe, LA
US

Network Availability
network@centurytel.net
+1-800-809-1410

3515. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 02:54:06 UTC
From: Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <q9CdnTvAKLxTKtHfRVn-tQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105031

Nomen Nescio wrote:

> In article <pdGdnYxk--nDBNHfRVn-2Q@centurytel.net>
> Jim <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>George Orwell wrote:
>>
>><snip>
>>
>>What a punk, you wouldn't have what it takes to say that to
>
> somebodies
>
> What a pussy you are, "Jim". And you sure wouldn't have what it
> takes to say this to anybody's face, either. And, so learn how
> to spell.
>
>
>>face! This is where I believe in free speech, as long as
>
> everybody knows
>
>>who you are!
>
>
> Oh, do point out exactly in the Bill of Rights where it says you
> must identify yourself to have free speech, pissflap.
>
>
>>It must be true what they say about roger.
>
>
> And it must be true that they say you are a registered sex
> offender, "Jim."
>

And people like you think freedom of speach is ok as long as you can
hide and nobody knows who you are, what a punk.

3516. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 03:01:06 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <oMadnTOwPqU6INHfRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105035

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> In article <ZLSdnW7tWvZwudHfRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey (LARDASS DAVIES)<m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> BWHAHAHA..watching those cartoons again, tubby? They *are* about
> the upper limit of your intellectual capacity.
>
>
>
>>Wogie <IKYABWAI>
>
>
> That's rich coming from the 31 year old mentally ill retard
> career pizza delivery boy who is still a VIRGIN. Nice to see
> that you are so proud of your lisp from sucking so much cock,
> you lisp your "r"'s.
>
>
>>Lives in a run down hovel by the river.
>
>
> That's better than living in a 200 square foot HUD section 8
> public housing apartment in the nigger section of Athens, AL,
> like you! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
>

Poor wogie, doesn't even know who he is talking about. But then what's knew?

3517. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 03:05:08 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <57f8cde752203cfe99801519354cf036@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 105033

In article <ZLSdnW7tWvZwudHfRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey (LARDASS DAVIES)<m...@that.moc> wrote:

BWHAHAHA..watching those cartoons again, tubby? They *are* about
the upper limit of your intellectual capacity.

> Wogie <IKYABWAI>

That's rich coming from the 31 year old mentally ill retard
career pizza delivery boy who is still a VIRGIN. Nice to see
that you are so proud of your lisp from sucking so much cock,
you lisp your "r"'s.

> Lives in a run down hovel by the river.

That's better than living in a 200 square foot HUD section 8
public housing apartment in the nigger section of Athens, AL,
like you! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

3518. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 03:13:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112325192.548678.25440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105034

Ashley VK3HAG wrote:
> N9OGL,
Helo Ashley,

> Instead of wasting your time, effort & money on a pirate TV station,
> fighting the FCC (our ACA isn't much better btw) why not volunteer
your time
> and expertise to the local community radio and television stations as
I do?
> Not only could you broadcast what you want, you could help save the
> community station thousands of dollars by carrying out free
maintained and
> repairs. I leave my broadcasting to broadcast services and amateur
to
> amateur.
>

Our community has one FM broadcast station and no television station. The local station will not allow you go in and run a show on their station. My group in the 90's tried starting a low power tv station for the purpose of allowing people in the community to use it. unlike someone (K4YZ) who thinks it's all about me.
We also have a "Public Access" channel unfortunately the cable company has complete control over it. (something they are not allowed to do) My group has tried repeatively to get programs on the public access channel (including programs from the University of Illinois and the University of Chicago) but the cable company refuses to allow us access to the channel. We complain to the city and the cable comes back say Oh we will give you access but lets wait for a few months and we will call you. when the few months are up the proccess starts all over again.

> And two questions for US ppl on here: 1) Why does the cable channel
control
> community television? In Melbourne UHF Ch 31 is community tv, and is
run by
> Melbourne's Uni's as an independent organisation.
>

Public Access channels are created through the franchise agreement. Cable companies are not allowed to have any editorial control over the channel. However, there are some cable companies and in some places local governments who censor and "control" the access channel.

> 2) Why does the ARRL handbook list cable channels on your free-to-air
tv

> bandplan. Yes, we have cable tv, but our system is ABC 2 (VK's BBC),
HSV 7,
> GTV 9, ATV 10, SBS 28 & UNI 31 on the normal tv (just plug an antenna
into
> the set and tune) plus cable via Coax (Fox only downunder). If I
wanted to
> do a show on Channel 31, I could, what's broadcast is up to Uni's
Student
> Union, not a tv company.
>
> Perhaps by 'time travel' N9OGL is referring to the fact that when USA
hams
> QSOs with hams Down Under or in New Zealand you are speaking to us
tommorow
> and we are speaking to you yesterday :)
>

Technical your right there :) I remember getting off work at 5.00 pm
and getting on the radio and talk to a guy in Japan who was on his way
to work, it was 7.00 am the next day there. The "time travel" I was
talking about will be posted on my invention blog along with
explainations of some of my other idea's these so-called hams bad
mouth.

> PS N9OGL: To run even a 10% successful television, print or radio
> show/program/station/publication you must first learn that there is a
> certain 'way' of operating broadcast to amateur, the 'hype' factor I
call
> it, but for this to be effective requires a well-spoken, educated,
> grammatically correct (or incorrect on purpose for desired effects,
not just
> because you can't spell) (just watch your nightly news or listen to
the
> radio, not the content, but how that content is presented) (Almost
all media
> outlets use a book called a "Style Book" which maintains content and
how
> that content is presented for all media formats in that organisation)
>
> Also, you'll need to get much better at punctuation, as punctuation
is
> expression. If you are one of those guys who at school read mono-tone
then
> you haven't a hope. Remember the kid that reads with expression
(usually
> resulting in getting teased and laughed at hi hi) usually go on to be
radio
> announcers, journalists, etc. And punctuation, grammar, spelling and
> expression go hand in hand.
>
> Example: (From "To Kill A Mocking Bird" by Harper Lee).

>
> With Expression: "Jem heard me. HE THRUST HIS HEAD AROUND THE
CONNECTING
> DOOR. As he came to my bed Atticus's light flashed on. We stayed
where we
> were until it went off; we heard him turn over and we waited until he
was
> still again"
>
> Without: "Jem heard me. He thrust his head around the connecting
door. As he
> came to my bed Atticus's light flashed on. We stayed where we were
until it
> went off; we heard him turn over and we waited until he was still
again"
>
> Also, I read in the posts about you 'getting upset' at the editing of
the
> context of your program. Rather than call it 'censorship', and
complain, do
> what any journalist worth his/her salt would do, re-word your show to
still
> have the same impact and meaning without the profanity.
>
> You should also note, that NOT swearing is more effective THAN
swearing.
> Example: you start your show tonight and include lot's of 'hells'
'dams'
> 'shit' etc, and people watch. Ten years down the track same thing.
But, if
> you didn't swear for ten years at all, then one day came on air and
said
> "This is bullshit" it would be 200% more effective.
>
> Who out there knows someone who never swears? How shocked are you
when
> "That's ####ed" come out of thier mouth, as opposed to someone who
uses it
> as every second word. The former has such an impact as most people
will
> interpret that as "He's really annoyed," "Whatever they did must have
been
> pretty bad for him to use the f word. Whereas in the latter, it's
just
> "There goes that gutter mouthed lout again"
>
> Having worked, albiet briefly, for the Herald & Weekly Times
(Rupert's first
> company, and the one that started News Ltd & Fox) every employee is
given a

> book which contains information on how certain articles and other
issues are
> to be dealt with by the journalist. Example alright-those that allow
this
> word into our media will be made to write "all right" 1000 times.
(p.16, HWT
> Style Book, 8th Ed, 9/1990)
>
> Listen to AR newsline, an example of 'the format'
> "I'm Bill Pasternack W?ITF for Amateur Radio News Line"
>
>
> This is a post from the future.....(Sent from VK 1438hrs AEST,
Thursday
> 31 March 2005) (UTC: 0338hrs, Thursday 31 March 2005)
> Looking forward to my next QSO with the past :)
>
> Ashley Geelan
> VK3HAG
>
> Australian Radio Amateur & Stand-In Volunteer Radio Announcer @
Plenty
> Valley FM 88.6FM Melbourne, Australia.
>
> I, too will be starting an on-air news bulletin, two in fact. One on
the
> local community station, about amateur radio and a brief amateur
bulletin
> for amateurs only who can call in to the studio (when I'm there) via
amateur
> radio and go 'on air' with thier thoughts to help promote AR (instead
of
> using the phone). This won't happen until I have co-ordinated a
frequency to
> use with the local amateur club. Perhaps, N9OGL I could send/show you
the
> correct way to format/run a newscast of any description.
>
> N9OGL, if you have an Echo or IRLP node nearby would you like me to
connect
> to it and give a demonstration on this. No point having a broadcast
of any
> description until you have the fundamentals in place-grammar,
pronunciation,
> spelling, don't bother, it's hard enough to capture a (any) audience
with
> these traits.

I'm usually on echolink, my repeater is also tied into it. The echolink
on the repeater is off by my personal station is up.

Todd N9OGL

> "Barry OGrady" <not@this.add> wrote in message
> news:hsc74195e9m39hpv2td52798cif97rboek@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 16:04:29 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:
> >
> > >More of our r.r.a.p. Tom Swift, gleaned from the archives:
> > >
> > >from alt.sci.time-travel
> > >
> > >Todd Daugherty
> > >Jun 26 2003, 7:24 pm
> > >
> > >I've have this Idea for a "simple" Time Machine. A operator would
set up
> > >a computer up to a high power wireless system. They would then set
the
> > >computer to transmit a message say in 30 years and hope that in
thirty
> > >years someone would reply back. comments?
> >
> > Its unlikely that your setup would still be functional 30 years
from now.
> >
> > > Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> > > Amateur Radio Operator
> > >
> > >(and)
> > >
> > >Todd Daugherty
> > >Jun 26 2003, 10:44 pm
> > >
> > >The Answer to your first question is yes. A computer hooked to a
TNC
> > >(which is a wireless modem) is set up with a timing system. Most
> > >"Packet" software for wireless communication has a timer system in
it.
> > >It's part of the software. Why wait so long for the signal to
transmit?
> > >because you want to be able to send the signal to a time period
where
> > >high speed communication systems are in general uses.
> >
> > The systems in use then may not be compatible.
> >
> > >Scientist are now
> > >just experimenting with high speed communication devices. For a
person
> > >to send a signal back in time they would have to uses a high speed
> > >communication devices. Just remember it is a lot easier to sent a

> > >particle or a signal through time then it is human or an object.
> >
> > Its impossible to send anything through time.
> >
> > >On your
> > >second comment about time travel via the human brain. I believe
not only
> > >"time-travel" is possible via the human brain but I also believe
while a
> > >person is a sleep the electro-magnetic emissions of say your brain
is
> > >connected to the multi-you in every parallel universe. The only
time
> > >your able to view those other universes is while your Brainwaves
are at
> > >the lowest point of the spectrum (around 1 to 14 hz) This also
might
> > >explain
> > >NDE.
> >
> > No technology can overcome the fact that there is nowhere to travel
to.
> >
> > >Todd N9OGL
> > >
> > >(and)
> >
> > <snipped>
> >
> > Barry

3519. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 03:17:06 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <5a8a78b9a3a9b6ecf263fb04ec3b5a53@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 105032

In article <1112288932.491179.84800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > Anonymous wrote:
> > > He never was good enough for medical school to be a real
Doctor.
> > > He had to give into his inner feminine side and be a
nursie. For
> > > those that can't hack medical school, they have to be
second
> > > best. Just like he was with dead retarded daughter Taelor.
> > >
> >
> > That's as low a statement as I have ever seen.
>
> Yeah, it's pretty low, Mike, but considering who we're
dealing
> with here, it was not unexpected.

You never seem to run out of excuses, Nursie.

> Of course facts don't deter the ignorant:

Hey, contgrats, Nursie, you managed to spell "ignorant" twice
now.

>
> (1) I never wanted to be an MD. MD's don't do th things
I do, so
> why go to school for something I didn't want to do?

Always shooting for mediocrity, eh, Nursie? You mean MD's can
communicate in English, don't you?
What is "th" things?????

> (2) You HAVE to have a bit more feminine side to be a
Nurse..It

Yes, and you do such good needlepoint, Nursie.

> requires that extra bit of compassion as...But hey...I'm
straight and
> surrounded by women all night!

Yeah, ones that could pass for your twin sister, Nursie.

> (3) I was hardly "second best" for Taelor. She was only
given
> less than two years to live. I kept her for six.

Mighty defensive there, Nursie.

> And as for obese..?!?! Well...Some folks will just have
to find
> out the hard way.

No, Nursie, I don't want to see your beer belly.

3520. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 04:21:45 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <e9213f27c50e1c2fa6dc56f92571c881@userbeam.net>
Source record: 105036

In article <424C1D89.16B934C7@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> >
> > Anonymous wrote:
> > > He never was good enough for medical school to be a real
Doctor.
> > > He had to give into his inner feminine side and be a
nursie. For
> > > those that can't hack medical school, they have to be
second
> > > best. Just like he was with dead retarded daughter Taelor.
> > >
> >
> > That's as low a statement as I have ever seen.

Cry me a river.

> That's our Glen Dale burden, Mike. The man is a complete
sociopath with
> nothing whatever to redeem him. He is an embarrassment to
this area,
> this state and to amateur radio.

Wiseman owns you, dumbass. And you live in an even more embarassing area of Marshall county from all reports, Cameron, where the father regularly fornicates the sister and the farm animals. You live in the worst section of the state. But then your posts don't do anything for "amateur radio's image" either, you Nazi fat fuck. Maybe you could go back to the Cashew Capital of Africa and be dictator over there, you'd have more success in that venue than on the newsgroup.

3521. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 05:22:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <424CDA93.A5D580EB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105037

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
>
> In article <424C1D89.16B934C7@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > He never was good enough for medical school to be a real
> Doctor.
> > > > He had to give into his inner feminine side and be a
> nursie. For
> > > > those that can't hack medical school, they have to be
> second
> > > > best. Just like he was with dead retarded daughter Taelor.
> > > >
> > >
> > > That's as low a statement as I have ever seen.
>
> Cry me a river.

You haven't the slightest idea of how to behave in a civilized society,
Roger. You follow one torrent of vile filth with another.

> > That's our Glen Dale burden, Mike. The man is a complete
> sociopath with
> > nothing whatever to redeem him. He is an embarrassment to
> this area,
> > this state and to amateur radio.
>
> Wiseman owns you, dumbass.

Why do you write of yourself in the third person? Is Wiseman just one
of your personalities? Wiseman wouldn't own the home he lives in had he
not inherited it.

> And you live in an even more
> embarassing area of Marshall county from all reports, Cameron...

From all reports? Whose reports? Haven't you ever been to Cameron? I
don't actually live in Cameron, Roger. I'm several miles away.

Your town, for the most part, isn't embarrassing. You're one of the
things which makes it embarrassed.

> ...where the father regularly fornicates the sister and the farm
> animals.

Your posts show a fondness for sexual deviance. You see it everywhere.

> You live in the worst section of the state.

Apparently there is much of the state you've never seen. It is likely
hard to see the rest of West Virginia from your house.

> But then
> your posts don't do anything for "amateur radio's image" either,
> you Nazi fat f--k.

Let's see....I've never been given a warning by the FCC for anything.
I've never been required to retest for a license. I've not become the
internet poster boy for writing restroom stall material over any number
of newsgroups and web sites.

> Maybe you could go back to the Cashew Capital
> of Africa and be dictator over there, you'd have more success in
> that venue than on the newsgroup.

I would? How in the world would you know? Do you know anything of
Africa or of cashew production? Anything?

Dave K8MN

3522. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 05:25:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <424CDB4C.9A43F6C3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105038

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
>
> In article <ZLSdnW7tWvZwudHfRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey (LARDASS DAVIES)<m...@that.moc> wrote:

> > Lives in a run down hovel by the river.
>
> That's better than living in a 200 square foot HUD section 8
> public housing apartment in the nigger section of Athens, AL,
> like you! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

Do you have a problem with blacks Roger? Is there any group of people
you haven't insulted?

Dave K8MN

3523. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 05:50:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <424CE13B.9978A7E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105039

Tarapia Tapioco, anonymous tapioca magnate wrote:
>
> In article
> <1112289997.354116.287610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4YZ@aol.com> wrote:

> Dave is just a former bolt tightener and messenger boy for the
> Dept. of State.

I think you'll have difficulty finding those positions listed on the State website employment area, Roger.

> I noticed they gave him all the "plum"
> assignments in the backwater holes.

That's what happens when you "notice". Department of State assignments are made on the basis of bids. One chooses places of interest and bids on them.

> Like the Cashew capital of Africa.

No sentence here. Yep, the cashew capital of Africa. The African hardship posts mostly come with a 25% hardship differential. In Guinea-Bissau, I received that and lots of nice, fresh cashews too. Why would you have a problem with it?

> And Finland.

No sentence here either. Finland isn't a backwater, Roger. It is choice assignment with no hardship differential. It certainly has a healthy cost of living allowance though. Then again, you seem to know as little of it as you do of Guinea-Bissau. Do you have a problem with Finland? Ever been there? Ever been anywhere?

> Perhaps you'd better quit using that info from a couple of
> introductory psychology classes they make you take in first year
> nursing, Nursie. You get so confused when you see the "big
> words."

> > > I would imagine he's seen your threatening e-mail to Roger,
> > > about posting flyers illegally on utility poles in his
> > > neighborhood.

Threatening e-mail, Roger? I asked how you'd like it if your mother, your sister or your kids saw some of your output? I asked if you thought your neighbors would like to see some of your work from the newsgroups pasted to telephone poles in your area.

> > > In fact he probably has said that you are an
> > > ASSHOLE.

He has probably said that? My, my--you must have one of those high powered Moundsville lawyers (Psssst--Roger! You don't have an attorney).

> > I imagine that any "flyers" posted on phone polls were
> appropriate.
>
> Nursie, what are "phone polls", is that anything like a survey
> over the phone? Oh, Nursie, you are as ignorant of the law as
> you are of everything else. It is illegal to post anything on a
> utility pole.

It is illegal to put guy ropes for radio masts across a public sidewalk too, but you did that. The city of Glen Dale told you to take them down.

> The power company needs a clear path in case a
> worker has to climb them.

Yep, those utility workers would surely have trouble climbing over an 8 1/2 x 11" sheet of paper four feet off the ground. People having garage sales put 'em up all the time without problems from any utility.

> >Many communities are starting to demand that such posters
> > a be put up when deviants live in them to warn the good
> citizens.
>
> You should know, since they put a few up about you, Nursie.

Your problem is called transference, Roger.

> > > Since when? You seem to be having some mental problems, since
> > > you couldn't intimidate Roger.

Roger was warned. Roger is now being warned.

> > > Just like the lie that he left
> > > before the "meeting was over. He asked the person in charge
> if
> > > the meting was over, when that person said yes. Roger left.

The "meting"? The "person in charge" was in the communications van when you intimidated yourself out to the car and skedaddled. You've never been to another meeting. I guess Roger can be intimidated.

> > > Not quite the outcome you and your giggling fatassed faggot butt
> > > buddy Bertie wanted, eh?

Butt buddy? Bert doesn't smoke. What is your fixation with homosexuality, Roger? Did you see something you shouldn't have seen as a child?

Dave K8MN

3524. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 06:10:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <424CE5BF.3C335905@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105040

"A.Melon" wrote:
>
> In article <424B4BD9.43978F00@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote out of his fatass :
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:

> And High-el doesn't know what the fuck he is talking about.
> Roger hasn't recieved...

What is "recieved"?

> ..."repeat visits with the chief of police".
> You ar a liar.

What is "You ar"? Yes, Roger, you've had a number of chats with Chief
Stenger.

> He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass.

If I were you, I wouldn't want to make a bet on that.

> Goddamn, are you one stupid f--k.

Then again, I'm not the one getting into scrapes with the law and with
the FCC.

> > Roger has had repeated run-ins with the FCC as well. Roger's
> been taped
> > QRMing other stations on 20m. A local dropped a dime on him.
> His
> > retesting is legend.
>
> You having some reading comprehension problems in your old age,
> Muddy Water's ex c--ks--ker?

What is your fixation on homosexuality, Roger? Okay, you've visited my
web site. You've seen a photo of me with Muddy Waters. Now what?

> You mean you made forged tapes of him and sent them in.

Well now, that would have been tricky. You see, I was in Tanzania when
you had your run in with the FCC.

> You and
> your fatassed buddy Bertie, who when talks about a hard drive
> describes the physical dimensions, not the capacity.

I see another problem with your theory, Roger. Bert was on active duty with the military in Germany during that period. That leaves you locked on the horns of a dilemma. By the way, I know the local who did it, but I'm not telling. Needless to say that the FCC found the tape convincing.

> And Roger passed his retest, which in the FCC letter had no
> reason given other than the FCC can at any time, retest somebody
> under the VE program , and upgraded, while you still do nothing
> for amateur radio.

Let's ask Phil Kane if he has ever heard of an instance in which the FCC forced a retest for no reason at all. By the way, do you consider your upgrade as "doing something for amateur radio"?

> For somebody who says they've been a ham as long as you are, you
> sure don't know Part 97.

There is no proof of your statement. I've never had any problems with the FCC--none.

> High-el forgets to mention the years of therapy he had to endure
> after his daddy assraped him repeatedly as a child.

What is this homoerotic fixation you have, Roger? Have you sought help for it?

> Pot kettle black.
> You have been running your mouth and doing
> nothing.

You can check in with your local police. You'll find that they have a large number of your newsgroup posts and e-mails to me on hand. I've visited them a number times when you were the only topic. That isn't exactly "doing nothing". In this case, you are both the pot and the kettle. It is evident that you have a problem with "black".

> Tell us how your deceased Episcopalian priest daddy
> didn't molest you and the other altar boys.

Is that from one of your fantasies? Do you have a thing about altar boys?

Dave K8MN

3525. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 07:31:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112340662.453621.174540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105041

When I asked the respondent where his/her self righteousness was after the attacks on my daughet, this was the response I got and my reply:

(QUOTE: HEADERS AGAIN SNIPPED FOR PRIVACY OF RESPONDENT)

The shots against your daughter are low-life, as was the one you made about Jim Smith and his daughter.

There were no "shots", (Name Deleted), ...The point was that a pretty face was able to sell radios. There are others in QST, CQ, etc.

If you see anything more sinister than that, I submit that YOU have a very serious problem.

But the difference is that you are present on rrap to defend your family while the Smith family is not represented on rrap against your "Musta beem selling SOMEthing!" insinuations.

I reiterate the above. You are the one with a problem, (Anonymous Writer). You're looking for evil in everything you see. I could quote Genesis in RRAP and you'd portray me as the evil landlord.

Having said that, I must credit you with having the nads not to hide your attack behind an anonymous email address.

There was no attack. You are still challenged to cite an "attack". There was only (YOU) looking for a fight. At least you kept this one private. Bravo.

UNQUOTE:

I think there's some real significant mental issues with someone who finds some sinister evil in commenting that pretty faces sell radios (an "attack", as (s/he) called it), as opposed to those who defile the memory of a dead child.

But...ya pays your money and you takes your choice.

Steve, K4YZ

3526. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 07:51:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112341883.192585.203930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105042

Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
> In article
> <1112289997.354116.287610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4YZ@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > A.Melon wrote:
> > >
> > > Wrong again, ****tard. Roger didn't even post that. Try Lloyd
> > > Davies, N0VFP.
> > > And you had better keep your immense ignorance to yourself,
> ****
> > > for brains, ######. Now go cry because that retard daughter
> died.
> >
> > Who can tell? Lloyd Davies? Wogie Wiseman? Todd
> Dogherty?
>
> Not you, Nursie.

Don't be so sure.

> > > Thing is Wiseman didn't send it. Dumb#####.
> >
> > Doesn't matter.
>
> Oh, so you'd rather spread more lies, then to actually tell the
> truth. Some example for amateur radio you are setting, Nursie.

And your prolific use of profanity and defiling the memory or a
dead child is?

In any case, hitting Lloydie, Wogie and Toiddie with such
accusations is like shooting at manure. No matter where the round
goes, you're going to hit manure.

> > > BWHAHAHAHA..wrong again, #### for brains. Goddamn are you one
> > > stupid fat ####.
> >
> > Why is it that the people who can't see their toes
> without a
> > mirror or having to lean up against a wall are the ones
> calling other
> > "fat" all the time?
>
> Says the ###### nursie, wearing that men's girdle under his

> little jumpsuit.

Care to take a peek?

> > > And High-el doesn't know what the #### he is talking about.
> > > Roger hasn't recieved "repeat visits with the chief of
> police".
> > > You ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either,
> ########.
> > > ########, are you one stupid @@@@.
> >
> > And I'd say you're in denial.
>
> And I'd say as usual, you don't back up what you purport.

So what do >>I<< need to do? They've been quoted, verbatim, in
this and other venues. No need to waste bandwidth so you can read
about yourself.

> > > You having some reading comprehension problems in your old
> age,
> > > Muddy Water's ex ####sucker?
> >
> > Seems' to me that Dave's pretty much gotten it right so
> far.
>
> "Seems" to me you need some remedial English, Nursie. How *do*
> you ever fill out a patient's charts correctly?

I manage. Of course I have to leave out the "f" word, "dumb###',
"&&&&sucker", etc, which would seriously reduce your available
vocabulary.

> > > For somebody who says they've been a ham as long as you are,
> you
> > > sure don't know Part 97.
> >
> > Seems to me again that he knows Part 97 quite well.
>
> Then you need to have somebody read 97.519 (d)(2). Check that,
> you'll have to have somebody read it to you.
> At least you spelled "seems" correct this time, without the
> unnecessary apostrophe.

Seem's to me you have little to argue EXCEPT punctuation.

> > Dave is much closer to being a Doctor than you are a human
> > being...Perhaps that's where all of your anger comes from?
>
> Dave is just a former bolt tightener and messenger boy for the
> Dept. of State. I noticed they gave him all the "plum"
> assignments in the backwater holes. Like the Cashew capital of
> Africa. And Finland.

> Perhaps you'd better quit using that info from a couple of
> introductory psychology classes they make you take in first year
> nursing, Nursie. You get so confused when you see the "big
> words."

The YOU had better review some of those "plum" assignments. They
weren't all plums.

And I seriously doubt taht you have even the FIRST idea of what's
in "first year nursing".

> > > High-el forgets to mention the years of therapy he had to
> endure
> > > after his daddy assraped him repeatedly as a child.
> >
> > At least his daddy paid him some attention. Must be rough
> not
> > knowing who yours is, eh?
>
> Speaking from experience, since half the Fleet screwed your
> mommy when your drunk daddy was not on leave.
> Nice support of your "friend", too, agreeing that his daddy
> assraped him.

You've avoided the question. Who's YOUR daddy?

> > > I would imagine he's seen your threatening e-mail to Roger,
> > > about posting flyers illegally on utility poles in his
> > > neighborhood. In fact he probably has said that you are an
> > > ASSHOLE.
> >
> > I imagine that any "flyers" posted on phone polls were
> appropriate.
>
> Nursie, what are "phone polls", is that anything like a survey
> over the phone? Oh, Nursie, you are as ignorant of the law as
> you are of everything else. It is illegal to post anything on a
> utility pole. The power company needs a clear path in case a
> worker has to climb them.

My bust on pole-vs-poll. But I think you got the idea.

And it does not mitigate the communities need to know what kind of
pervert is in their community. I haven't seen anything here yet to
cause me to believe you are anything else.

> >Many communities are starting to demand that such posters
> > a be put up when deviants live in them to warn the good
> citizens.
>
> You should know, since they put a few up about you, Nursie.

Ahhhhhhhhhhhh...NOW who's lying...?!?!

> > > Since when? You seem to be having some mental problems, since
> > > you couldn't intimidate Roger. Just like the lie that he left
> > > before the "meeting was over. He asked the person in charge
> if
> > > the meting was over, when that person said yes. Roger left.
> Not
> > > quite the outcome you and your giggling ######### %%%%%% butt
> > > buddy Bertie wanted, eh?
> >
> > Perhasp they need a new EmmCee?
>
> Your personality isn't that good, Nursie.

That's why I have a job that requires a bit more talent and
skill...Anyone can get a job announcing the Blue Light Special.

> > > Oh, a name lame. Showing your 3rd grade mentality, are you?
> >
> > Seems pretty appropriate to me...And a bit ironic of a
> complaint
>
> Yes, your adherance to double standards is well known in this
> newsgroup and elsewhere.

I'd love to hear YOUR interpretation of what a "double standard"
is.

Of course in order to have ANY standards, one must have some basic
principles of conduct, and yours seem to be to make anonymous swipes
and prolific use of profanity.

The GOOD thing is that you ahve no where to go but up.

> > coming from a coward who just weaseled his way through several
> > paragraphs of profanities and insults via an anonymizer.
>
> And still made more sense then the stupid statements you made,
> Nursie.

There ya go...Correcting MY grammar then making your own silly
mistakes.

Figures.

> > Coward.
>
> Oh, Nursie, you are so cute when you get angry.

Oh, I'm not angry. Quite the contrary. It's not necessary.

Not for cowards like you.

Steve, K4YZ

3527. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 07:56:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112342177.312405.260710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105043

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> In article
> <5IY2e.12249$S46.10737@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
> robert casey <wa2...@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> > But his landlord lets him put up antennas!
>
> Landlord? I'd better call the CDC, looks like Nursie's stupidity
> is contagious. Since when do homeowners have "landlords?"

Gee...I don't know...Why don't you cite the post where I said they
did...?!?!

Oh...wait...I didn't...Guess who's "stupid" now, Anonymous
Coward...???

Steve, K4YZ

3528. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 08:06:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112342808.151279.178210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105044

A.Melon wrote:
> In article <1112288932.491179.84800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > Anonymous wrote:
> > > > He never was good enough for medical school to be a real
> Doctor.
> > > > He had to give into his inner feminine side and be a
> nursie. For
> > > > those that can't hack medical school, they have to be
> second
> > > > best. Just like he was with dead retarded daughter Taelor.
> > > >
> > >
> > > That's as low a statement as I have ever seen.
> >
> > Yeah, it's pretty low, Mike, but considering who we're
> dealing
> > with here, it was not unexpected.
>
> You never seem to run out of excuses, Nursie.

No excuses.

You're a low life. An anonyomus coward who can't go toe-to-toe
with anyone save for behind an anonymizer.

> > Of course facts don't deter the ignorant:
>
> Hey, contgrats, Nursie, you managed to spell "ignorant" twice
> now.

And I've spelled "anonymous coward" right every time. Applied it
correctly too!

> > (1) I never wanted to be an MD. MD's don't do th things
> I do, so
> > why go to school for something I didn't want to do?
>
> Always shooting for mediocrity, eh, Nursie?

How is doing what I do "mediorcre"?

Do you propose that ALL healthcare providers beccome MD's? No
Nurses? No CNA's? No Paramedics?

> You mean MD's can communicate in English, don't you?
> What is "th" things?????

If the dropped letter confused you that much, I guess there is
little hope for you.

> > (2) You HAVE to have a bit more feminine side to be a
> Nurse..It
>
> Yes, and you do such good needlepoint, Nursie.

Actually, I was one of a very few Navy-certified Suture Techs.
Pretty good at it, actually. Don't care for needlepoint, however.

> > requires that extra bit of compassion as...But hey...I'm
> straight and
> > surrounded by women all night!
>
> Yeah, ones that could pass for your twin sister, Nursie.

You're welcome to stop by my place of employment and see otherwise,
Anonymous Coward.

> > (3) I was hardly "second best" for Taelor. She was only
> given
> > less than two years to live. I kept her for six.
>
> Mighty defensive there, Nursie.

Nope. Just citing facts. I was told by three neurologists she'd
die before two. Kept her for five years, 11 months, three weeks and a
day.

> > And as for obese..?!?! Well...Some folks will just have
> to find
> > out the hard way.
>
> No, Nursie, I don't want to see your beer belly.

Good. I don't have one. Sorry.

Steve, K4YZ

3529. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 08:32:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112344327.233003.253230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105045

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> In article <1112292837.457846.16650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> blathered:
> > George Orwell wrote:
> > > In article
> <1112256675.187212.56...@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> > > "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> blathered out of his fatass:
> > > >
> > > > Like I said...Prolific profanity an sandlot
> > > threats...None of
> > > > which impress me in the least.
> > >
> > > Poor Nursie, aren't you glad your retarded daughter Taelor
> died
> > > before you could have your way with her?
> >
> > I am glad that you've used an anonymizer. You should be
> too.
>
> Oh, Nursie, We just love it when a "weekend" CAP "play soldier"
> talks tough. Now go play soldier, Nursie.

I don't have to play, Anonymous Coward.

> > Nothing's heresay about Roger.
>
> It's a very good thing you went to Nursing school, since not
> only could you not hack Med school, you couldn't hack Law
> school, either, obviously.

Why? To know that Roger Wiseman is a legal scofflaw? That Lloyd
Davies is a coward and a liar and that Toiddie Dougherty is a
weakminded fool?

> > > > I know he has...I've seen copies of the documents.
> > >
> > > And yet, you never provide "details" of these "documents", of
> > > course you are talking out of your fatass, nursie....again.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> And you still fail to provide any "details." Not surprising,
> Nursie.

Not necessary. The are public records. Nothing more damning that
records you can't hide from or alter.

> > > Aww, poor Nursie, he gets so frustrated when he can't stamp
> his
> > > little flabby feet.
> >
> > If they were flabby, I might get frustrated.
>
> We've seen your picture, flabby. At least you don't do a bad
> comb over like your buddy Heil does, but pretty soon you won't
> have to worry about hair care products.

You keep trying to chip away with the flabby comments, yet there is documentary evidence to the contrary. Makes you a LYING anonymous coward.

> > Nope. You're welcome to check. They are public record.
>
> So is your incompetence which caused a patient's death, Nursie.

And you're welcome to produce a record of that, Anonymous Coward.

> > > We've seen your pics online too, Nursie, how is it a "health
> > > care professional" like you is fucking morbidly obese.
> >
> > I'm not...but then you know that.
>
> In denial, Nursie, your little "jumpsuit" doesn't hide that fat
> gut very well.

Since there's not one to hide, the flightsuit doesn't need to hide anything.

> > > With your
> > > beady eyes and that so-called moustache along with your size
> 50
> > > inch gut makes you look like a pregnant weasel, nursie.
> >
> > It's a looooooooooooong way from 50...Perhaps you'd like
> to see
> > first hand?
>
> Sorry, Nursie, maybe the reason you got into Nursing is to play
> drop the soap with your male patients, but not everybody is into
> that sort of thing.

Especially me. One more thing you're welcome to try and provide some documentary evidence of, Anonymous Coward

> So it is MORE than 50?

Nope. Not even in the 40's.

> You need to sharpen up your "detective skills," too.

Naaaaaaah. Got e-mail headers and friends who can "work the numbers" for me if I want.

> As well as your English, your
> QRZ profile and "website" are rife with spelling errors.

No, they're not...but nice try.

> > > > Thanks for the head's up Dave.
> > >
> > > Now be a good little ##### and give him a reach around.
> >
> > Sure must be rough being as weakminded as you are all-the-
> while
> > having such big dreams for yourself. Maybe if you roll off of
> your mom
> > long enough for her to catch her breath she'll tell you who
> your father
> > was and you can feel a bit better about yourself...(Of course
> I am sure
> > "grandpa" will make her shup up first...I wonder why???)
>
> "In article
> <1112256675.187212.56...@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> blathered out of his fatass:
> Like I said...Prolific profanity an sandlot threats...None of
> which impress me in the least."
>
> You are a hypocrite, Nursie.

Nope. No profanity there, Anonymous Coward. Nor were there any
threats.

You've still not impressed me. Or shall I say "positively"
impressed me..!?!?

You're still an anonymous coward, and proof evident of the liar,
too.

Too bad you're not half the man you IMAGINE you are...You could
stand up behind your words...Instead you've ducked behind the
anonymizer.

Coward.

Steve, K4YZ

3530. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 08:46:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112345174.971866.267660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105046

N9OGL wrote:
> Ashley VK3HAG wrote:
> > N9OGL,
> Helo Ashley,
> > Instead of wasting your time, effort & money on a pirate TV
station,
> > fighting the FCC (our ACA isn't much better btw) why not volunteer
> your time
> > and expertise to the local community radio and television stations
as
> I do?
> > Not only could you broadcast what you want, you could help save the
> > community station thousands of dollars by carrying out free
> maintained and
> > repairs. I leave my broadcasting to broadcast services and amateur
> to
> > amateur.
> >
>
> Our community has one FM broadcast station and no television station.
> The local station will not allow you go in and run a show on their
> station. My group in the 90's tried starting a low power tv station
for
> the purpose of allowing people in the community to use it. unlike
> someone (K4YZ) who thinks it's all about me.

Sure it is "all about (you)", Todd.

> We also have a "Public Access" channel unfortunately the cable
> company has complete control over it. (something they are not allowed
> to do).

Sure they can. They own the cable, the studios, the equipment,
etc.

What makes you think they can't exercise control over thier own
assets, Todd?

> My group has tried repeatively to get programs on the public
> access channel (including programs from the University of Illinois
and
> the University of Chicago) but the cable company refuses to allow us
> access to the channel. We complain to the city and the cable comes
back
> say Oh we will give you access but lets wait for a few months and we
> will call you. when the few months are up the proccess starts all

over
> again.

You're profane, demanding, poorly organized, functionally
illiterate and rude.

What part of those personal traits do you think the cable company
finds endearing?

> > And two questions for US ppl on here: 1) Why does the cable channel
> control
> > community television? In Melbourne UHF Ch 31 is community tv, and
is
> run by
> > Melbourne's Uni's as an independent organisation.
> >
>
> Public Access channels are created through the franchise agreement.
> Cable companies are not allowed to have any editorial control over
the
> channel. However, there are some cable companies and in some places
> local governments who censor and "control" the access channel.

The FCC has stated that communities have the right to determine the
nature of content of what airs on thier communities systems.

Obviously someone in Taylorville is unimpressed with your ability
to meet community standards, Todd.

Judging by the way you represent yourself in this forum, I'd say
they are spot-on.

> > 2) Why does the ARRL handbook list cable channels on your
free-to-air
> tv
> > bandplan. Yes, we have cable tv, but our system is ABC 2 (VK's
BBC),
> HSV 7,
> > GTV 9, ATV 10, SBS 28 & UNI 31 on the normal tv (just plug an
antenna
> into
> > the set and tune) plus cable via Coax (Fox only downunder). If I
> wanted to
> > do a show on Channel 31, I could, what's broadcast is up to Uni's
> Student
> > Union, not a tv company.
> >
> > Perhaps by 'time travel' N9OGL is referring to the fact that when
USA
> hams
> > QSOs with hams Down Under or in New Zealand you are speaking to us
> tommorow

> > and we are speaking to you yesterday :)
> >
>
> Technical your right there :) I remember getting off work at 5.00 pm
> and getting on the radio and talk to a guy in Japan who was on his
way
> to work, it was 7.00 am the next day there. The "time travel" I was
> talking about will be posted on my invention blog along with
> explainations of some of my other idea's these so-called hams bad
> mouth.

It's not badmouthing, Todd.

You suggested using a storage capacitor cooled by liquid oxygen.
That was stupid on so many levels as to be hillarious.

And in the realm of communications, you "propose" a
networking/datalink system that is several developmental generations
BEHIND current technology.

They are not "inventions"...They are really bad ideas. I just hope
I am at least 500 miles away from your power capacitor project if you
ever try to buid it.

Big Snip:

> > N9OGL, if you have an Echo or IRLP node nearby would you like me to
> connect
> > to it and give a demonstration on this. No point having a broadcast
> of any
> > description until you have the fundamentals in place-grammar,
> pronunciation,
> > spelling, don't bother, it's hard enough to capture a (any)
audience
> with
> > these traits.
>
> I'm usually on echolink, my repeater is also tied into it. The
echolink
> on the repeater is off by my personal station is up.

Yes, Todd...you still have yet to tell us about all those
homebrew/kitbuilt features of N9OGL...remember..?!?! You were
"badmouthing" all those "appliance operators"...??? You have yet to
give us an accounting of your station or repeater lineup.

Steve, K4YZ

3531. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 09:11:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112346686.547625.144470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105047

K4YZ wrote:
> A.Melon wrote:

> > Yes, and you do such good needlepoint, Nursie.
>
> Actually, I was one of a very few Navy-certified Suture Techs.
> Pretty good at it, actually. Don't care for needlepoint, however.

Google dropped a line here. I was a Navy-certified USMC Suture
Tech. A priviledge extended to me for volunteering in the USN ER at
Camp Kuwae on Okinawa.

There are, of course, many USN suture techs.

Steve, K4YZ

3532. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 12:16:35 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1O-dnY1NU-9PptDfRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 105048

```
"Tony" <TonyHammons@noreply> wrote in message news:424c86f5$1_1@127.0.0.1...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:Jtydnf3UBYFCHtHfRVn-rA@comcast.com...
>
> "George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
> news:c5c5a3b6ca5f0eaeead9170af6889059@mixmaster.it...
>> In article <1112256675.187212.56060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
>> "K4YZ" <k4Yz@aol.com> blathered out of his fatass:
>>>
>>> Like I said...Prolific profanity an sandlot
>> threats...None of
>>> which impress me in the least.
>>
>> Poor Nursie, aren't you glad your retrded daughter Taelor died
>> before you could have your way with her?
>>
>
> Well this fellow is now consigned to my killfile. Such degrading and
> degraded idiots should be silent and invisible.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
> Save any future anonymous emails, Kim. This shunned "creep" will most
> likely
> employ that tactic next.
>

You are confused. I'm not Kim.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
```

3533. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 13:00:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112360435.116852.62610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105049

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Tony" <TonyHammons@noreply> wrote in message
news:424c86f5$1_1@127.0.0.1...

> You are confused. I'm not Kim.

Details, details, details...! =)

73

Steve, K4YZ

3534. Re: Poor obese Nursie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 15:01:14 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <ICMBQAX338443.4175231481@anonymous>
Source record: 105150

In article <424c63da_3@x-privat.org>
"Lee Scott" <KC8...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> He's lucky! My landlord doesn't allow antenna's so I had to
sneak a portable
> whip above the enterance
> to my apartment. Check out how I ran my coax.....notice the
screening I
> made.....nice eh?
>
> http://img117.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img117&image=scan00037kw.jpg

Very stealthy! Nice job.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

3535. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 15:07:09 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <W6OS6SXR38443.4216319444@anonymous>
Source record: 105151

In article <424CDA93.A5D580EB@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:

> You haven't the slightest idea of how to behave in a civilized society,
> Roger. You follow one torrent of vile filth with another.

And you do? That's rich. Especially the way you conduct yourself on the newsgroup, Nazi.
You can't help but see Roger everywhere, can you? If you ever had a thought, it would die of lonliness.

> Why do you write of yourself in the third person? Is Wiseman just one
> of your personalities?

Let's see, maybe it's because I'm not Wiseman. Goddamn, are you stupid.

> Wiseman wouldn't own the home he lives in had he
> not inherited it.

Wrong again. But you've made a career out of being wrong, haven't you?

> From all reports? Whose reports? Haven't you ever been to Cameron? I
> don't actually live in Cameron, Roger. I'm several miles away.

Even they have standards and didn't want you in town, eh? But you still have a Cameron maling address, don't you?

> Your town, for the most part, isn't embarrassing. You're one of the
> things which makes it embarrassed.

Again, you don't even know what "town" I live in.

> > ...where the father regularly fornicates the sister and the farm
> > animals.
>
> Your posts show a fondness for sexual deviance. You see it everywhere.

Your response indicates you are sexually aroused at the discussion of such topics. You seek such topics obviously to fuel your perversions.

> > You live in the worst section of the state.
>
> Apparently there is much of the state you've never seen. It
is likely
> hard to see the rest of West Virginia from your house.

Apparently, you are in denial.

> > But then
> > your posts don't do anything for "amateur radio's image"
either,
> > you Nazi fat fuck.
>
> Let's see....I've never been given a warning by the FCC for
anything.

What a coincidence, neither have I.

> I've never been required to retest for a license.

What license?

> I've not become the
> internet poster boy for writing restroom stall material over
any number
> of newsgroups and web sites.

No, you are the internet poster boy for supression of free
speech, you fat Nazi fuck.

> > Maybe you could go back to the Cashew Capital
> > of Africa and be dictator over there, you'd have more
success in
> > that venue than on the newsgroup.
>
> I would?

Most definately, asshole.

> How in the world would you know? Do you know anything of
> Africa or of cashew production?

Ye, I can read. I know they sent you to such "plum jobs like
that backwater hole. That speaks volumes about your job
qualifications and job assignments.

> Anything?

Apparently, you don't know anything of correct English.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

3536. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 15:31:06 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <93e972e5df3d0e61df313c824fab4cd1@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 105152

In article <oMadnTOwPqU6INHfRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > In article <ZLSdnW7tWvZwudHfRVn...@gbronline.com>
> > Cmd Buzz Corey (LARDASS DAVIES)<m...@that.moc> wrote:
> >
> > BWHAHAHA..watching those cartoons again, tubby? They *are*
about
> > the upper limit of your intellectual capacity.
> >
> >
> >
> >>Wogie <IKYABWAI>
> >
> >
> > That's rich coming from the 31 year old mentally ill retard
> > career pizza delivery boy who is still a VIRGIN. Nice to see
> > that you are so proud of your lisp from sucking so much cock,
> > you lisp your "r"'s.
> >
> >
>>Lives in a run down hovel by the river.
> >
> >
> > That's better than living in a 200 square foot HUD section 8
> > public housing apartment in the nigger section of Athens, AL,
> > like you! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
> >
>
> Poor wogie, doesn't even know who he is talking about. But
then what's knew?

Poor Lardass, showing off his cocksucking lisp and can't spell,
but what's "new".

BWHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

Keep guessing.

3537. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 16:02:30 UTC
From: clvrmnky <clvrmnky-uunet@coldmail.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <qge3e.96306$vO1.593000@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 105153

On 31/03/2005 12:19 PM, Caveat Lector wrote:
> "clvrmnky" <clvrmnky-uunet@coldmail.com.invalid> wrote in message
> news:LmV2e.96207$vO1.592385@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>
>>On 20/03/2005 8:30 PM, Bert Craig wrote:
>>
>>>Woo Hoo, only WY left for WAS!
>>>
>>
[...]
>>In my case I guess I could look forward to "Worked All Provinces" though I
>>have no idea if Canadian Amateurs have such a tradition. Hmmm, I'd like
>>to include the territories, as well. Maybe the acronym is now WAP/T?
>>WAP&T? WAPaT?
[...]
> Canadian Amateur Radio Awards via Canada's National Amateur Radio Society
> (RAC)
> can be found at URL:
> http://www.rac.ca/service/awards.htm
>
> Includes:
> Canadaward
> 5 Band Canadaward
> Provincial Capitals Award
> Seaway Award
> Trans Canada Award
> Worked All RAC Award

I didn't know that these were sanctioned by our respective Amateur Radio organizations. Interesting.

I'll be stuck above 30Mhz for some time, so DXing is (mostly) out of my league.

3538. Anonymous Cowards Will Always Be Second Place Human Beings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 16:04:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112371469.170094.32710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105154

Anonymous wrote:
> In article <424CDA93.A5D580EB@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > You haven't the slightest idea of how to behave in a civilized
> society,
> > > Roger. You follow one torrent of vile filth with another.
>
> And you do? That's rich. Especially the way you conduct yourself
> on the newsgroup, Nazi.

You have no ceoncept of what a nazi is.

> You can't help but see Roger everywhere, can you? If you ever
> had a thought, it would die of lonliness.

This meant something?

> > Why do you write of yourself in the third person? Is Wiseman
> just one
> > > of your personalities?
>
> Let's see, maybe it's because I'm not Wiseman. God@@@@, are you
> stupid.

Let's see...

You talk like him...

You act like him...

You defend his actions...

And you shamefully hide behind an anonymizer.

If you aren't him, you sure share the same DNA.

> > Wiseman wouldn't own the home he lives in had he
> > not inherited it.
>
> Wrong again. But you've made a career out of being wrong,
> haven't you?

We'd ask you to prove it, but since you're too cowardly to come
out into the light of day, guess that's out of the question.

> > Your town, for the most part, isn't embarrassing. You're one
> of the

> > things which makes it embarrassed.
>
> Again, you don't even know what "town" I live in.

Easy enough....Just follow the smell of rotting flesh...

> > > ...where the father regularly fornicates the sister and the
> farm
> > > animals.
> >
> > Your posts show a fondness for sexual deviance. You see it
> everywhere.
>
> Your response indicates you are sexually aroused at the
> discussion of such topics. You seek such topics obviously to
> fuel your perversions.

Oooooooooohhhhhhhhhhhh! "per-ver-sions" THREE SYLLABLES! Aren't
you proud! Guess that Evelyn Woodhead couse is working, eh?

> > > You live in the worst section of the state.
> >
> > Apparently there is much of the state you've never seen. It
> is likely
> > hard to see the rest of West Virginia from your house.
>
> Apparently, you are in denial.

Apparently, you're too cowardly to identify yourself and prove him
wrong.

> > > But then
> > > your posts don't do anything for "amateur radio's image"
> either,
> > > you Nazi fat fuck.
> >
> > Let's see....I've never been given a warning by the FCC for
> anything.
>
> What a coincidence, neither have I.

Sure you have Roger.

> > I've never been required to retest for a license.
>
> What license?
>
> > I've not become the
> > internet poster boy for writing restroom stall material over
> any number
> > of newsgroups and web sites.
>
> No, you are the internet poster boy for supression of free

> speech, you fat Nazi ####.

Yep. Sure lloks like wogie to me. I could be wrong, but I bet
not...

> > > Maybe you could go back to the Cashew Capital
> > > of Africa and be dictator over there, you'd have more
> success in
> > > that venue than on the newsgroup.
> >
> > I would?
>
> Most definately, ###hole.

Can't get your way? Cuss!

> > How in the world would you know? Do you know anything of
> > Africa or of cashew production?
>
> Ye, I can read.

"Ye", you can read? Better than you type, I hope.

> I know they sent you to such "plum jobs like
> that backwater hole. That speaks volumes about your job
> qualifications and job assignments.

Tell us of YOUR "plum job assignments".

Oh wait...You refuse to be a man and sign your name, ergo you can't
discuss your life's works lest you give yourself away..

Or worse yet...Prove to us what an incomplete, social ner-do-well
you really are, which is far mor likely.

> > Anything?
>
> Apparently, you don't know anything of correct English.

Nor you. You keep avoiding the fact that your failure to stand up
in public and take responsiblity for your words leaves you in the
unenviable position of being labeled an anonymous coward. And until
you gather up the gonads to be a man and come out, you'll always be a
second place human being.

Just like you are right now.

Steve, K4YZ

3539. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 16:15:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112372112.550157.216460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105155

clvrmnky wrote:

> I'll be stuck above 30Mhz for some time...(SNIP)

You'll only be "stuck" if you don't get out and push a bit!

> (UNSNIP)...so DXing is (mostly) out of my league.

You need to check around a bit! WAS and DXCC applies to VHF also.
There's grid square hunting, the satellites, numerous VHF contests
that promote award hunting, etc.

There's sidebanding on VHF (more on 6 and 2 meters, but used for
satellites, too.) Digipeaters, Echolink, etc etc etc.

There's more to Amateur Radio than 2 meter repeaters and HF DX!
(Contrary to the assertions of certain UNlicensed antagonists in the
NG)

73 es Good Luck

Steve, K4YZ

3540. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 16:17:28 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <4KHYKDTV38444.0121296296@reece.net.au>
Source record: 105156

In article
<1112342808.151279.178210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> A.Melon wrote:
> >
> > You never seem to run out of excuses, Nursie.
>
> No excuses.

Yes, all you give are excuses.

> You're a low life. An anonyomus coward who can't go toe-
to-toe
> with anyone save for behind an anonymizer.

Going toe-to-toe with you is child's play, Nursie. You just
aren't that good, at anything.

> > > Of course facts don't deter the ignorant:
> >
> > Hey, contgrats, Nursie, you managed to spell "ignorant" twice
> > now.
>
> And I've spelled "anonymous coward" right every time.
Applied it
> correctly too!

Oh, Nursie, you still spelled "ignorant" in one post incorrectly
though. Sorry, no gold star for you, you whine too much.

> > > (1) I never wanted to be an MD. MD's don't do th
things
> > I do, so
> > > why go to school for something I didn't want to do?
> >
> > Always shooting for mediocrity, eh, Nursie?
>
> How is doing what I do "mediorcre"?

My, you sure are defensive, Nursie. That's okay, not everybody
can make a career out of emptying bedpans.

> Do you propose that ALL healthcare providers beccome MD's?
No
> Nurses? No CNA's? No Paramedics?

We weren't talking about anybody but a loser, you, Nursie.

> > You mean MD's can communicate in English, don't you?
> > What is "th" things?????
>
> If the dropped letter confused you that much, I guess
there is
> little hope for you.

More excuses, Nursie. The fact is you get your panties in a wad
and you screw up.

> > > (2) You HAVE to have a bit more feminine side to be a
> > Nurse..It
> >
> > Yes, and you do such good needlepoint, Nursie.
>
> Actually, I was one of a very few Navy-certified Suture
Techs.
> Pretty good at it, actually. Don't care for needlepoint,
however.

Oh, I bet you do, Nursie.

> > > requires that extra bit of compassion as...But hey...I'm
> > straight and
> > > surrounded by women all night!
> >
> > Yeah, ones that could pass for your twin sister, Nursie.
>
> You're welcome to stop by my place of employment and see
otherwise,
> Anonymous Coward.

I've seen it, Nursie, you really have some heiffers as nurses.
You being one of them.

> > > (3) I was hardly "second best" for Taelor. She was
only
> > given
> > > less than two years to live. I kept her for six.
> >
> > Mighty defensive there, Nursie.
>
> Nope. Just citing facts. I was told by three
neurologists she'd
> die before two. Kept her for five years, 11 months, three
weeks and a
> day.

Good thing she didn't live long enough to realize how pathetic
you are, Nursie.

> > > And as for obese..?!?! Well...Some folks will just
have
> > to find
> > > out the hard way.
> >
> > No, Nursie, I don't want to see your beer belly.
>
> Good. I don't have one. Sorry.

Your pictures shows otherwise, Nursie.

3541. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 16:45:54 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <5cbb37bf2b5f9370176ee25769aeab7c@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 105157

In article <424CDB4C.9A43F6C3@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> >
> > That's better than living in a 200 square foot HUD section 8
> > public housing apartment in the nigger section of Athens, AL,
> > like you! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Do you have a problem with blacks Roger?

Do you have a problem with English, Nazi?
You see Roger behind every goddamn tree.

> Is there any group of people you haven't insulted?

One could peruse your Google postings and ask you the very same
question.

3542. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 17:18:50 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <95dd6a66c5bdfa1b80338581f866ef81@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 105158

In article
<1112342177.312405.260710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > In article
> > <5IY2e.12249$S46.10...@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
> > robert casey <wa2...@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >
> > > But his landlord lets him put up antennas!
> >
> > Landlord? I'd better call the CDC, looks like Nursie's
stupidity
> > is contagious. Since when do homeowners have "landlords?"
>
> Gee...I don't know...Why don't you cite the post where I
said they
> did...?!?!

Gee Nursie, are you saying you are "Robert Casey" or is your
reading comprehension "skills" or lack therof showing themselves
again? I said your stupidity is contagious, not that you
specifically said "homeowners have landlords", or are you too
stupid to understand?

> Oh...wait...I didn't...Guess who's "stupid" now, Anonymous
> Coward...???

You must be, Nursie. Unless you are confessing you sockpuppeted
like a "coward" under the nick "Robert Casey."
Otherwise, why are you commenting on a post directed to him?
Guess who's "stupid", that would be you, Nursie.

3543. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 17:43:11 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050401174311.79B4F17104@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 105159

In article <424c7645$1_1@127.0.0.1>
"Tony" <TonyHammons@noreply> wrote:
>
> You said it well, Kim. The "creep" posting the tawdry rants is
indeed a
> shame not only to himself and his family, but a shame to
Amateur Radio as
> well.
> The 49 year old man/child, Roger Wiseman, lacks the mental
capacity to
> realize this. Is it, therefore, little wonder that he has no
friends?
>
> Tony

Oh, "Tony", why are you always a fuck-up? Kepp trying, maybe
you'll hit one right yet.

3544. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 18:06:37 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <9V2HMJUY38443.8379282407@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 105160

In article <424CE13B.9978A7E@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Tarapia Tapioco, anonymous tapioca magnate wrote:
> >
> > In article
> > <1112289997.354116.287...@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> > "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > Dave is just a former bolt tightener and messenger boy for
the
> > Dept. of State.
>
> I think you'll have difficulty finding those positions listed
on the
> State website employment area, Roger.

Wrong again, on both counts. But then, you are pretty much
always wrong.

> > I noticed they gave him all the "plum"
> > assignments in the backwater holes.
>
> That's what happens when you "notice".

Yes, we see the cracks in your fragile ego.

> Department of State assignments are made on the basis of bids.

Yeah, sure they are.

> One chooses places of interest and bids on them.

So you opted for assignments in backwater holes? Priceless.

> > Like the Cashew capital of Africa.
>
> No sentence here. Yep, the cashew capital of Africa. The
African
> hardship posts mostly come with a 25% hardship differential.
In
> Guinea-Bissau, I received that and lots of nice, fresh cashews
too.
> Why would you have a problem with it?

Nice of you to admit it is a hardsip post, which means nobody
but losers want to go there.

> > And Finland.
>
> No sentence here either. Finland isn't a backwater, Roger.

Yes,it is, and I'm still not Roger.

> It is
> choice assignment with no hardship differential. It certainly
has a
> healthy cost of living allowance though. Then again, you seem
to know
> as little of it as you do of Guinea-Bissau. Do you have a
problem with
> Finland? Ever been there? Ever been anywhere?

Yeah, dipstick, I've been to Europe. I have no interest in going
to Africa.
And I'm still not Roger.

> > Perhaps you'd better quit using that info from a couple of
> > introductory psychology classes they make you take in first
year
> > nursing, Nursie. You get so confused when you see the "big
> > words."
>
> > > > I would imagine he's seen your threatening e-mail to
Roger,
> > > > about posting flyers illegally on utility poles in his
> > > > neighborhood.
>
> Threatening e-mail, Roger? I asked how you'd like it if your
mother,
> your sister or your kids saw some of your output?

Yes, Roger cc:ed a copy of it. So you threaten him again, since
I'm still not the object of your homosexual obsession.

> I asked if you
> thought your neighbors would like to see some of your work
from the
> newsgroups pasted to telephone poles in your area.

A threat is still a threat, Nazi.

> > > > In fact he probably has said that you are an
> > > > ASSHOLE.
>
> He has probably said that? My, my--you must have one of those
high
> powered Moundsville lawyers (Psssst--Roger! You don't have an
> attorney).

Psst..I'm still not Roger. And yes, he does have an attorney. So
do I.

> > > I imagine that any "flyers" posted on phone polls were
> > appropriate.
> >
> > Nursie, what are "phone polls", is that anything like a
survey
> > over the phone? Oh, Nursie, you are as ignorant of the law as
> > you are of everything else. It is illegal to post anything
on a
> > utility pole.
>
> It is illegal to put guy ropes for radio masts across a public
sidewalk
> too, but you did that. The city of Glen Dale told you to take
them
> down.

No, they didn't, since 1) I don't live in Glen Dale and 2) I'm
still not Roger.

> > The power company needs a clear path in case a
> > worker has to climb them.
>
> Yep, those utility workers would surely have trouble climbing
over an 8
> 1/2 x 11" sheet of paper four feet off the ground.

It's still illegal. Are you advocating breaking the law,
hypocrite?

> People having garage
> sales put 'em up all the time without problems from any
utility.

No, they don't.

> > >Many communities are starting to demand that such posters
> > > a be put up when deviants live in them to warn the good
> > citizens.
> >
> > You should know, since they put a few up about you, Nursie.
>
> Your problem is called transference, Roger.

Which you just used yourself, Nazi.

>
> > > > Since when? You seem to be having some mental problems,
since
> > > > you couldn't intimidate Roger.
>
> Roger was warned. Roger is now being warned.

Roger thought you are just a big fat cunt. You know, he's
right. Your warnings are about as palitable as well, some

homeless person babbling to himself. Your posts on Google can be used against you, as well.

>
> > > > Just like the lie that he left
> > > > before the "meeting was over. He asked the person in charge
> > if
> > > > the meting was over, when that person said yes. Roger left.
>
> The "meting"?

"meeting", better for you?

> The "person in charge" was in the communications van when

Really, Roger says he was in the meeting room. Lying comes easily to you, doesn't it?

> you intimidated yourself out to the car and skedaddled.

No, since I'm still not Roger, I never went to the meeting.

> You've never been to another meeting.

Since I've never been to one in the first place, how could I have been to another one?

> I guess Roger can be intimidated.

You guess wrong, as usual.

>
> > > > Not quite the outcome you and your giggling fatassed faggot butt
> > > > buddy Bertie wanted, eh?
>
> Butt buddy? Bert doesn't smoke.

Wrong he and you probably pull off the road to 69 each other.

> What is your fixation with homosexuality,

What is your fixation on Roger? Are you queer for him?

> Roger?

I'm still not Roger, dumbass Dave.

> Did you see something you shouldn't have seen as a child?

Not everybody has a priest who molests the altar boys for a daddy, like you did.

3545. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 18:44:19 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <Beware@reader.com>
Message-ID: <7Eg3e.2821$k57.1647@fed1read07>
Source record: 105161

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1112372112.550157.216460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> clvrmnky wrote:
>
>> I'll be stuck above 30Mhz for some time...(SNIP)
>
> You'll only be "stuck" if you don't get out and push a bit!
>
>> (UNSNIP)...so DXing is (mostly) out of my league.
>
> You need to check around a bit! WAS and DXCC applies to VHF also.
> There's grid square hunting, the satellites, numerous VHF contests
> that promote award hunting, etc.
>
> There's sidebanding on VHF (more on 6 and 2 meters, but used for
> satellites, too.) Digipeaters, Echolink, etc etc etc.
>
> There's more to Amateur Radio than 2 meter repeaters and HF DX!
> (Contrary to the assertions of certain UNlicensed antagonists in the
> NG)
>
> 73 es Good Luck
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

For Techs (and others) try six meters DX particularly during sporadic E "season" May, Jun, July (prime months) -- other months also.

Tis possible to work all states (including Alaska and Hawaii). East coasters may get the Caribbean and SA as well

With 100 Watts and a Mushcraft 1/2 wave vertical -- got all but 2 states in a year (need KY and AR)

3546. Merger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 19:35:22 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <_nh3e.3371$x4.3262@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105162

From: ARRL [mailto:press@.arrl.org]
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
To: Headquarters [mailto:hq@arrl.org]
Subject: Important Organizational Announcement

AARA Founder Glen Baxter to Join ARRL As Third Vice President

NEWINGTON, Conn., April 1, 2005 - The American Radio Relay League (ARRL) announced today that it will acquire the American Amateur Radio Association (AARA), a leading provider of Amateur Information Bulletin Services. The deal unites the two most prominent Amateur Radio organizations in the United States. Financial terms of the acquisition were not disclosed.

"The acquisition of AARA complements ARRL's already extensive offerings to include up-to-the-minute information and interactive discussion on what is happening in the world of Amateur Radio," said Jim Haynie, W5JBP, president of ARRL. "Together, we will be more effective in taking on some of the challenges facing Amateur Radio, such as BPL and Spectrum Defense. This brings us one step closer to being the national membership association for Amateur Radio operators."

The acquisition also brings the strong leadership skills of Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, to the ARRL organization. Baxter has been named ARRL Third Vice President in the move. "Mr. Baxter is a welcome addition to our management team here at ARRL," said David Sumner, K1ZZ, and ARRL Chief Executive Officer. "I look forward to his fresh perspectives and enthusiastic approach to running a service organization like the ARRL."

"Water under the bridge," said Glenn Baxter of AARA about past frictions between the two organizations. "Today marks a new beginning for all of us. We're in this together now." Plans for collocating Mr. Baxter and Mr. Sumner in adjoining offices at ARRL headquarters are currently underway.

In an official statement, Mr. Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, FCC Legal Advisor for Enforcement added, "Over the years, Glenn and I have become very close. I look forward to continuing our professional relationship as he assumes his new position."

In an effort to accelerate the shift to its new on-the-air image, ARRL has already filed the paperwork necessary to make W1MAN the official call sign of the combined organization. Joe Carcia, NJ1Q, ARRL station manager was thrilled with the change, saying, "What a creative way to reflect our traditional roots while also letting our bold, new face shine through!" Broadcast operations at the facilities of ex-W1AW will be shutdown immediately. AARA's state-of-the-art studios in Belgrade Lakes, ME will become the new source of all ARRL transmissions.

Amateur Radio insiders applauded the move. "These are interesting times for Amateur Radio," said Gordon West, WB6NOA. "I sense a likely shift in the ARRL views on many areas of the service. I think we'll be feeling the positive impact of this merger for years to come."

"This is big . . . really big," said Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, lead guitar player for the Eagles. It's exactly the kind of thing that will send our ticket sales through the roof. With Glenn on vocals, and Sumner on drums . . . this tour season will be our best since '73"

Audio aficionado and Amateur Radio entrepreneur Bob Heil, K9EID, also supported the move. "This is a good thing for Heil, and it's about time these guys got together", said Mr. Heil. "We are already working on a special commemorative W1MAN microphone to honor the event."

ARRL was founded in May 1914 by Percy Maxim, a leading Hartford, Connecticut, inventor and industrialist. Today ARRL, with approximately 152,000 members, is the largest not-for-profit organization of radio amateurs in the United States.

Web links:

http://www.arrl.org
http://www.k1man.com
http://www.joewalsh.com
http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Joe-visits-Lab-One.sm.jpg
http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Bob-and-Jeff-Beck.jpg

3547. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 19:40:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112384439.680871.278550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105163

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Ashley VK3HAG wrote:
> > > N9OGL,
> > Helo Ashley,
> > > Instead of wasting your time, effort & money on a pirate TV
> station,
> > > fighting the FCC (our ACA isn't much better btw) why not
volunteer
> > your time
> > > and expertise to the local community radio and television
stations
> as
> > I do?
> > > Not only could you broadcast what you want, you could help save
the
> > > community station thousands of dollars by carrying out free
> > maintained and
> > > repairs. I leave my broadcasting to broadcast services and
amateur
> > to
> > > amateur.
> > >
> >
> > Our community has one FM broadcast station and no television
station.
> > The local station will not allow you go in and run a show on their
> > station. My group in the 90's tried starting a low power tv station
> for
> > the purpose of allowing people in the community to use it. unlike
> > someone (K4YZ) who thinks it's all about me.
>
> Sure it is "all about (you)", Todd.

Steve, no, your very wrong, very wrong.

> > We also have a "Public Access" channel unfortunately the cable
> > company has complete control over it. (something they are not
allowed
> > to do).
>
> Sure they can. They own the cable, the studios, the equipment,
> etc.
>
> What makes you think they can't exercise control over thier own

> assets, Todd?

The only "power" the cable company has over the content is setting what time the program may air and the availability of the channel. As for studio and equipmet, the only equipment that have is a VCR hooked into the cable line. They don't have any "Studio" and as for cable, the local goverment created public access in turn the cable company was given the "right of way" The problem here is NO ONE is allowed to use the "public access" channel. But if you want to more about what controls cable comapnies have over "public access" go talk to Media Alliance @ http://www.media-alliance.org/

> > My group has tried repeatively to get programs on the public
> > access channel (including programs from the University of Illinois
> and
> > the University of Chicago) but the cable company refuses to allow
us
> > access to the channel. We complain to the city and the cable comes
> back
> > say Oh we will give you access but lets wait for a few months and
we
> > will call you. when the few months are up the proccess starts all
> over
> > again.
>
> You're profane, demanding, poorly organized, functionally
> illiterate and rude.
>
> What part of those personal traits do you think the cable company
> finds endearing?
>

Well steve, again your wrong, I'm a hell of lot nicer to them then to some dumbas ham operators who bad mouth people on a "Usenet"

> > > And two questions for US ppl on here: 1) Why does the cable
channel
> > control
> > > community television? In Melbourne UHF Ch 31 is community tv, and
> is
> > run by
> > > Melbourne's Uni's as an independent organisation.
> > >
> >
> > Public Access channels are created through the franchise agreement.
> > Cable companies are not allowed to have any editorial control over
> the
> > channel. However, there are some cable companies and in some places
> > local governments who censor and "control" the access channel.

> The FCC has stated that communities have the right to determine
the

> nature of content of what airs on thier communities systems.
>
The FCC has no juridiction over public access channels, that is let up to the community who, in term is to have available to the public what the rules and regulations of the public access channel is; which this group doesn't.

> Obviously someone in Taylorville is unimpressed with your
ability
> to meet community standards, Todd.
>
First of dickead, I happen to be a very very very old friend of the mayor of this community, before him it was my uncle who ran this town. (my family is very political) Secondly the cable company has been in trouble with the mayors office because of this matter. Recently my group filed a complaint on behave of a small church who didn't want to start trouble. The complaint was the church wanted to use the public access channel for their services but the cable comapny told them they were switching the public access channel over to Beta format. For the small church to now use the channel they would have to spend out $14,000 on equipment, money they didn't have. So when I had a meeting with the mayor it came to our attention that not only where the church's complaining but the schools were too. to make a long story short the city told the cable comapny if they did switch to beta that the city would cancel their franchise agreement....so the cable didn't switch formats.

> Judging by the way you represent yourself in this forum, I'd say
> they are spot-on.
>
> > > 2) Why does the ARRL handbook list cable channels on your
> free-to-air
> > tv
> > > bandplan. Yes, we have cable tv, but our system is ABC 2 (VK's
> BBC),
> > HSV 7,
> > > GTV 9, ATV 10, SBS 28 & UNI 31 on the normal tv (just plug an
> antenna
> > into
> > > the set and tune) plus cable via Coax (Fox only downunder). If I
> > wanted to
> > > do a show on Channel 31, I could, what's broadcast is up to Uni's
> > Student
> > > Union, not a tv company.
> > >
> > > Perhaps by 'time travel' N9OGL is referring to the fact that when
> USA
> > hams
> > > QSOs with hams Down Under or in New Zealand you are speaking to
us
> > tommorow

> > > and we are speaking to you yesterday :)
> > >
> >
> > Technical your right there :) I remember getting off work at 5.00
pm
> > and getting on the radio and talk to a guy in Japan who was on his
> way
> > to work, it was 7.00 am the next day there. The "time travel" I
was
> > talking about will be posted on my invention blog along with
> > explainations of some of my other idea's these so-called hams bad
> > mouth.
>
> It's not badmouthing, Todd.
>
> You suggested using a storage capacitor cooled by liquid oxygen.
> That was stupid on so many levels as to be hillarious.

What's wrong with that, liquid Oxygen can be used as a coolent as well as rocket fuel. The coolent is no where near a spark and the coolent circulates through pipes around the displacement chamber, between two sets of walls. (not the capacitor as you keep claiming)

> And in the realm of communications, you "propose" a
> networking/datalink system that is several developmental generations
> BEHIND current technology.

REALLY dickhead, what amateur stations are running what i'm purposing.......NONE!!!! steve, I think your stupid, or lying, but I do think you don't get all the facts

> They are not "inventions"...They are really bad ideas. I just
hope
> I am at least 500 miles away from your power capacitor project if you
> ever try to buid it.
>
I would point out steve, that what's on that website is NOT the complete system, and I will not post the compete system on here or on that website. So there really is more to then what I'm ALLOWED to say.

> Big Snip:
>
> > > N9OGL, if you have an Echo or IRLP node nearby would you like me
to
> > connect
> > > to it and give a demonstration on this. No point having a
broadcast
> > of any
> > > description until you have the fundamentals in place-grammar,
> > pronunciation,
> > > spelling, don't bother, it's hard enough to capture a (any)
> audience

> > with
> > > these traits.
> >
> > I'm usually on echolink, my repeater is also tied into it. The
> echolink
> > on the repeater is off by my personal station is up.
>
> Yes, Todd...you still have yet to tell us about all those
> homebrew/kitbuilt features of N9OGL...remember..?!?! You were
> "badmouthing" all those "appliance operators"...??? You have yet to
> give us an accounting of your station or repeater lineup.
>

frankly steve, the way you've treated not only me or anyone else one
here. I'm not under any obligation to tell YOU or anyone else what
equipment I have, the bottom line is, I DO build things, a lot more
then what you think.

Todd N9OGL
> Steve, K4YZ

3548. A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 19:54:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112385253.230125.272110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105164

http://216.176.81.228/k4yz.jpg

Todd N9OGL

3549. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 20:26:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112387219.682979.85530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105165

N9OGL wrote:
> http://216.176.81.228/k4yz.jpg

Weakmined, profane, and now irrefuteable proof tha you're a liar.

Thanks, Todd! You just keep making this easier.

Steve, K4YZ

3550. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 20:50:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112388630.486671.24430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105166

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > http://216.176.81.228/k4yz.jpg
>
> Weakmined, profane, and now irrefuteable proof tha you're a liar.
>
No way in hell am I a liar you fucking piece of shit!

> Thanks, Todd! You just keep making this easier.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3551. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 20:52:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112388731.477238.249440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105167

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:

> > Sure it is "all about (you)", Todd.
>
> Steve, no, your very wrong, very wrong.

No, I'm not.

> > What makes you think they can't exercise control over thier
own
> > assets, Todd?
>
> The only "power" the cable company has over the content is setting
what
> time the program may air and the availability of the channel. As for
> studio and equipmet, the only equipment that have is a VCR hooked
into
> the cable line. They don't have any "Studio" and as for cable, the
> local goverment created public access in turn the cable company was
> given the "right of way" The problem here is NO ONE is allowed to use
> the "public access" channel. But if you want to more about what
> controls cable comapnies have over "public access" go talk to Media
> Alliance @ http://www.media-alliance.org/

You didn't answer my question.

Does the cable company NOT have control over thie own assets?

Yes or no wil suffice nicenly, thank-you.

> > You're profane, demanding, poorly organized, functionally
> > illiterate and rude.
> >
> > What part of those personal traits do you think the cable
company
> > finds endearing?
> >
>
> Well steve, again your wrong, I'm a hell of lot nicer to them then to
> some dumbas ham operators who bad mouth people on a "Usenet"

I find that very, VERY hard to believe.

> > The FCC has stated that communities have the right to determine
> the

> > nature of content of what airs on thier communities systems.
> >
> The FCC has no juridiction over public access channels, that is let
up
> to the community who, in term is to have available to the public what
> the rules and regulations of the public access channel is; which this
> group doesn't.

I didn't say the FCC had jurisdiction, Todd. Please stay focused.

I said that the COMMUNITIES have the right to determine the nature
of the content in thier communities.

> > Obviously someone in Taylorville is unimpressed with your
> ability
> > to meet community standards, Todd.
> >
> First of ####ead...(SNIP)

Gee...You're right, Todd. Threre's one of those "dum### hams
badmouthing people on USENET" right now!

And PROOF of what I've been saying all along.

> I happen to be a very very very old friend of the
> mayor of this community, before him it was my uncle who ran this
town.
> (my family is very political)

Obviously not political enough.

> Secondly the cable company has been in
> trouble with the mayors office because of this matter. Recently my
> group filed a complaint on behave of a small church who didn't want
to
> start trouble.

On "behalf", Todd.

And I am sure if they knew what a swell guy you REALLY are, they
wouldn't have bothered.

> The complaint was the church wanted to use the public
> access channel for their services but the cable comapny told them
they
> were switching the public access channel over to Beta format. For the
> small church to now use the channel they would have to spend out
> $14,000 on equipment, money they didn't have. So when I had a meeting
> with the mayor it came to our attention that not only where the
> church's complaining but the schools were too. to make a long story
> short the city told the cable comapny if they did switch to beta that
> the city would cancel their franchise agreement....so the cable
didn't
> switch formats.

And this pertains to your operation of illegal "broadcast stations"
how?

> > It's not badmouthing, Todd.
> >
> > You suggested using a storage capacitor cooled by liquid
oxygen.
> > That was stupid on so many levels as to be hillarious.
>
> What's wrong with that, liquid Oxygen can be used as a coolent as
well
> as rocket fuel. The coolent is no where near a spark and the coolent
> circulates through pipes around the displacement chamber, between two
> sets of walls. (not the capacitor as you keep claiming)

Doesn't matter, Todd...LOX is not used as a refrigerant because
it's an O X I D I Z E R. It makes things burn, in some cases very
rapidly (please see any film clip of a Saturn 5 rocket ascending).

And if you plan on using LOX A N Y W H E R E near an electrical
source, you are introducing an I G N I T I O N source.

Now, going back to ELEMENTARY SCHOOL scinence, guess what happens
when you mix an oxidizer, an ignition source, and any FUEL...???

> > And in the realm of communications, you "propose" a
> > networking/datalink system that is several developmental
generations
> > BEHIND current technology.
>
> REALLY ####head...(SNIP)

There's that " dum### ham badmouthing people on USENET" again....

>...(UNSNIP)...what amateur stations are running what i'm
> purposing.......NONE!!!! steve, I think your stupid, or lying, but I
do
> think you don't get all the facts

Oh, I have all the "facts", OK, Todd.

I didn't say ANYthing about "amateur stations"...

I said your protocol is several developmental generations behind
current technology. That's NOT untrue.

> > They are not "inventions"...They are really bad ideas. I just
> hope
> > I am at least 500 miles away from your power capacitor project if
you
> > ever try to buid it.
> >
> I would point out steve, that what's on that website is NOT the
> complete system, and I will not post the compete system on here or on
> that website. So there really is more to then what I'm ALLOWED to

say.

You're not "allowed" to say...?!?!

BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
! ! ! !

> > Yes, Todd...you still have yet to tell us about all those
> > homebrew/kitbuilt features of N9OGL...remember..?!?! You were
> > "badmouthing" all those "appliance operators"...??? You have yet
to
> > give us an accounting of your station or repeater lineup.
> >
>
> frankly steve, the way you've treated not only me or anyone else one
> here. I'm not under any obligation to tell YOU or anyone else what
> equipment I have, the bottom line is, I DO build things, a lot more
> then what you think.

Frankly, Todd, this is EXACTLY the answer I expected.

The "bottom line" is you can't build a kit unless the instructions
are written in crayon and it has those little cartoon pictures of
people doing things so you can follow along.

You've had over a week to pony up all your non-"appliance" station
line-up, Todd, and it's taken you THIS long to figure a way to weasel
your way out of the corner you've backed yourself into.

Nice try. It didn't work.

Steve, K4YZ

3552. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 20:57:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112389044.496397.154700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105168

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > http://216.176.81.228/k4yz.jpg
> >
> > Weakmined, profane, and now irrefuteable proof tha you're a
liar.
> >
> No way in hell am I a liar you @@@@ing piece of $$$$!

Sure you are, Todd, and this "picture" was a prime example of it.

Other than my face, it's obviously NOT me in the picture, although
you titled the thread "A Picture of K4YZ".

You lied. Then you posted your picture to a public forum and
claimed it to be me.

Don't get mad, Todd...You provided the evidence!

Steve, K4YZ

3553. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 21:10:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112389822.033316.278380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105169

Thrasher Remailer wrote:
> In article
> <1112342808.151279.178210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > A.Melon wrote:
> > >
> > > You never seem to run out of excuses, Nursie.
> >
> > No excuses.
>
> Yes, all you give are excuses.

Such as?

> > You're a low life. An anonyomus coward who can't go toe-
> to-toe
> > with anyone save for behind an anonymizer.
>
> Going toe-to-toe with you is child's play, Nursie. You just
> aren't that good, at anything.

Then why don't you come out from behind the anonymizer, Coward?

> > > > Of course facts don't deter the ignorant:
> > >
> > > Hey, contgrats, Nursie, you managed to spell "ignorant" twice
> > > now.
> >
> > And I've spelled "anonymous coward" right every time.
> Applied it
> > correctly too!
>
> Oh, Nursie, you still spelled "ignorant" in one post incorrectly
> though. Sorry, no gold star for you, you whine too much.

But you're STILL an anonymous coward.

> > > > (1) I never wanted to be an MD. MD's don't do th
> things
> > > I do, so
> > > > why go to school for something I didn't want to do?
> > >
> > > Always shooting for mediocrity, eh, Nursie?
> >

> > How is doing what I do "mediorcre"?
>
> My, you sure are defensive, Nursie. That's okay, not everybody
> can make a career out of emptying bedpans.

You're right. That's why I am a Nurse instead of a CNA.

And I asked you a question that you've not answered. How is what
I do "mediocre"...?!?!

> > Do you propose that ALL healthcare providers beccome MD's?
> No
> > Nurses? No CNA's? No Paramedics?
>
> We weren't talking about anybody but a loser, you, Nursie.

You still haven't answered the question.

You claim that going "toe-to-toe" with me is "child's play", yet
you can't seem to answer simple questions.

What's up with that? A comprehension problem?

> > > You mean MD's can communicate in English, don't you?
> > > What is "th" things?????
> >
> > If the dropped letter confused you that much, I guess
> there is
> > little hope for you.
>
> More excuses, Nursie. The fact is you get your panties in a wad
> and you screw up.

And the issue is still there.

I dropped the "e". You seemed to have gotten confused over one
dropped vowel. I still contend that if that was too much for you, you
have a problem.

> > > > (2) You HAVE to have a bit more feminine side to be a
> > > Nurse..It
> > >
> > > Yes, and you do such good needlepoint, Nursie.
> >
> > Actually, I was one of a very few Navy-certified Suture
> Techs.
> > Pretty good at it, actually. Don't care for needlepoint,
> however.
>
> Oh, I bet you do, Nursie.

Then send me your money, anonymous coward, because it's a lost bet.

> > > > requires that extra bit of compassion as...But hey...I'm
> > > straight and
> > > > surrounded by women all night!
> > >
> > > Yeah, ones that could pass for your twin sister, Nursie.
> >
> > You're welcome to stop by my place of employment and see
> otherwise,
> > Anonymous Coward.
>
> I've seen it, Nursie, you really have some heiffers as nurses.
> You being one of them.

Oh? ANd where IS that place of employment, Anaonymous Coward?
What shift do I work? With whom?

Remember now...You've stated you've "seen it". So let's have some
relative facts here!

> > > > (3) I was hardly "second best" for Taelor. She was
> only
> > > given
> > > > less than two years to live. I kept her for six.
> > >
> > > Mighty defensive there, Nursie.
> >
> > Nope. Just citing facts. I was told by three
> neurologists she'd
> > die before two. Kept her for five years, 11 months, three
> weeks and a
> > day.
>
> Good thing she didn't live long enough to realize how pathetic
> you are, Nursie.

=)

> > > > And as for obese..?!?! Well...Some folks will just
> have
> > > to find
> > > > out the hard way.
> > >
> > > No, Nursie, I don't want to see your beer belly.
> >
> > Good. I don't have one. Sorry.
>
> Your pictures shows otherwise, Nursie.

Then you are again confused. Sucks to be you, I suppose.

Steve, K4YZ

3554. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 21:16:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112390177.812424.197230@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105170

Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
> In article
> <1112342177.312405.260710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> > > In article
> > > <5IY2e.12249$S46.10...@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
> > > robert casey <wa2...@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > But his landlord lets him put up antennas!
> > >
> > > Landlord? I'd better call the CDC, looks like Nursie's
> stupidity
> > > is contagious. Since when do homeowners have "landlords?"
> >
> > Gee...I don't know...Why don't you cite the post where I
> said they
> > did...?!?!
>
> Gee Nursie, are you saying you are "Robert Casey" or is your
> reading comprehension "skills" or lack therof showing themselves
> again? I said your stupidity is contagious, not that you
> specifically said "homeowners have landlords", or are you too
> stupid to understand?

You still have not cited the post wherein you insinuate I am
"stupid" for suggesting homeowners have landlords.

You still haven't provided the cite.

> > Oh...wait...I didn't...Guess who's "stupid" now, Anonymous
> > Coward...???
>
> You must be, Nursie. Unless you are confessing you sockpuppeted
> like a "coward" under the nick "Robert Casey."
> Otherwise, why are you commenting on a post directed to him?
> Guess who's "stupid", that would be you, Nursie.

Robert Casey is not, to the best of my knowledge, a Nurse. Yet
the original insinuation that I was stupid over a comment made about
landlords.

I didn't make the original comment, and you've now compounded your
OWN stupidity despite the fact that I pointed it out to you yet you are

still arguing the point.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

3555. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 21:50:00 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <750526d98856b7ba5849b6679e492475@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 105172

In article <pdGdnYxk--nDBNHfRVn-2Q@centurytel.net>
Jim <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
>
>
> George Orwell wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
> What a punk, you wouldn't have what it takes to say that to
somebodies

What a pussy you are, "Jim". And you sure wouldn't have what it
takes to say this to anybody's face, either. And, so learn how
to spell.

> face! This is where I believe in free speech, as long as
everybody knows
> who you are!

Oh, do point out exactly in the Bill of Rights where it says you
must identify yourself to have free speech, pissflap.

>It must be true what they say about roger.

And it must be true that they say you are a registered sex
offender, "Jim."

I just bet they have logs of your access......
airline-car2.customer.centurytel.net (209.206.135.166)

209.206.128.0 - 209.206.255.255
CenturyTel Internet Holdings, Inc.
100 CenturyTel Drive
Monroe, LA
US

Network Availability
network@centurytel.net
+1-800-809-1410

3556. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 21:50:56 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <a741129748aeed972761e8683e221e7a@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 105171

In article <ZLSdnW7tWvZwudHfRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey (LARDASS DAVIES)<m...@that.moc> wrote:

BWHAHAHA..watching those cartoons again, tubby? They *are* about
the upper limit of your intellectual capacity.

> Wogie <IKYABWAI>

That's rich, coming from the 31 year old mentally ill retard
career pizza delivery boy who is still a VIRGIN. Nice to see
that you are so proud of your lisp from sucking so much cock,
you lisp your "r"'s.

> Lives in a run down hovel by the river.

That's better than living in a 200 square foot HUD section 8
public housing apartment in the nigger section of Athens, AL,
like you! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

3557. Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 22:03:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112392998.845739.100620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105173

K4YZ wrote:

> I think there's some real significant mental issues with someone
> who finds some sinister evil in commenting that pretty faces sell
> radios (an "attack", as (s/he) called it), as opposed to those who
> defile the memory of a dead child.
>
> But...ya pays your money and you takes your choice.

After asking the respondant to cite, publically and/or privately
where the alleged "attack" was made, the party closed by telling me
they wouldn't talk with me anymore and blocked e mail.

Guess it didn't matter that they started the exchange. Some folks
just don't like to have thier wisdom challenged. Or maybe they were
too embarrassed when they realized they had jumped the gun on thier own
accusations.

Of course the issue wasn't addressed, nor were questions posed
answered. I didn't really expect as much, but considering the
respondant, I HAD hoped for better.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3558. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 22:04:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112391291.878352.223550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105174

> You didn't answer my question.
>
> Does the cable company NOT have control over thie own assets?
> Yes or no wil suffice nicenly, thank-you.

The ONLY POWER A CABLE COMAPNY HAS OVER THE CONTENT IS THE AVABILITY OF THE CHANNEL(I.E. SET UP THE TIME THE PROGRAM IS TO AIR) AND THAT'S IT!!!

> I didn't say the FCC had jurisdiction, Todd. Please stay focused.

> I said that the COMMUNITIES have the right to determine the nature
> of the content in thier communities

But it isn't the community that's the problem, it's a cable company that doesn't want ANYONE from using the public access channel.

> Gee...You're right, Todd. Threre's one of those "dum### hams
>badmouthing people on USENET" right now!
>
>And PROOF of what I've been saying all along.

Well steve if you weren't such an asshole, perhaps I would treat you a little nicer.

> And I am sure if they knew what a swell guy you REALLY are, they
>wouldn't have bothered.

I'm a hell a lot nicer then you think, again if your weren't an asshole I would be a little nicer.

> And this pertains to your operation of illegal "broadcast stations"
>how?

It don't, I was pointing out that the cable company has been in trouble with the city for repeative violation peoples free speech on the public access channel.

> Doesn't matter, Todd...LOX is not used as a refrigerant because
>it's an O X I D I Z E R. It makes things burn, in some cases very
>rapidly (please see any film clip of a Saturn 5 rocket ascending).

> And if you plan on using LOX A N Y W H E R E near an electrical
>source, you are introducing an I G N I T I O N source.

> Now, going back to ELEMENTARY SCHOOL scinence, guess what happens
>when you mix an oxidizer, an ignition source, and any FUEL...???

ok, then I'll use liquid Nitrogen then.

> I said your protocol is several developmental generations behind
>current technology. That's NOT untrue.

The whole idea is to make an alternative system on the amateur bands
but Nazi's like you try to stop new modes of communications on the
amateur band.

Todd N9OGL

3559. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 22:28:21 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1112394501.275196.62940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105175

What a scream

3560. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 22:54:01 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <IeqdnTsztbKOTNDfRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 105176

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1112360435.116852.62610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Tony" <TonyHammons@noreply> wrote in message
> news:424c86f5$1_1@127.0.0.1...
>
>> You are confused. I'm not Kim.
>
> Details, details, details...! =)
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Ah but "the devil is in the details." Now if I just knew who said that!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3561. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 22:57:57 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <Vlk3e.3426$x4.791@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105177

Chubby Nursie,

I've been valiantly trying to follow this one-sided thread about threats made on you by some anonymous person (or maybe not) in some private(?) email exchange (how do you exchange emails with someone anonymous?). I've come to the conclusion that

a) you're making it up, or
b) you're corresponding with a phantom who is invisible to the rest of us here on rrap, or
c) you've found a miraculous way of exchanging email with anonymous senders

If the answer is a) then shame on you.
If the answer is b) then seek mental counseling
If the answer is c) then consult a patent attorney because you've a gold mine on your hands.

73,

M.A.N.

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

3562. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 23:02:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Z5mdnUvMttG5TtDfRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 105178

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1112384439.680871.278550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>

[snip]

> K4YZ wrote:
>> Obviously someone in Taylorville is unimpressed with your
> ability
>> to meet community standards, Todd.
>>
> First of dickead, I happen to be a very very very old friend of the
> mayor of this community, before him it was my uncle who ran this town.
> (my family is very political) Secondly the cable company has been in
> trouble with the mayors office because of this matter. Recently my
> group filed a complaint on behave of a small church who didn't want to
> start trouble. The complaint was the church wanted to use the public
> access channel for their services but the cable comapny told them they
> were switching the public access channel over to Beta format. For the
> small church to now use the channel they would have to spend out
> $14,000 on equipment, money they didn't have. So when I had a meeting
> with the mayor it came to our attention that not only where the
> church's complaining but the schools were too. to make a long story
> short the city told the cable comapny if they did switch to beta that
> the city would cancel their franchise agreement....so the cable didn't
> switch formats.
>

If you are going to make up a story, at least get the technology straight.
Beta has been dead for a very long time (in technology terms). No one would
be switching to Beta.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3563. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 23:13:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112397232.297366.162270@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105179

Dee Flint wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1112384439.680871.278550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
>
> [snip]
>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> Obviously someone in Taylorville is unimpressed with your
> > ability
> >> to meet community standards, Todd.
> >>
> > First of dickead, I happen to be a very very very old friend of the
> > mayor of this community, before him it was my uncle who ran this
town.
> > (my family is very political) Secondly the cable company has been
in
> > trouble with the mayors office because of this matter. Recently my
> > group filed a complaint on behave of a small church who didn't want
to
> > start trouble. The complaint was the church wanted to use the
public
> > access channel for their services but the cable comapny told them
they
> > were switching the public access channel over to Beta format. For
the
> > small church to now use the channel they would have to spend out
> > $14,000 on equipment, money they didn't have. So when I had a
meeting
> > with the mayor it came to our attention that not only where the
> > church's complaining but the schools were too. to make a long story
> > short the city told the cable comapny if they did switch to beta
that
> > the city would cancel their franchise agreement....so the cable
didn't
> > switch formats.
> >
>
> If you are going to make up a story, at least get the technology
straight.
> Beta has been dead for a very long time (in technology terms). No
one would
> be switching to Beta.
>

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
NOT true, a digital BETA is out there and is used by a few public
access as well as some commerial broadcasters. The cost of digital beta
equipment is $14,000 to $16,000

Todd N9OGL

3564. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 23:22:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112397738.381572.34520@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105180

BETA is gone from the consumer market, but there is some commerical
gear out there and is used by different groups including broadcasters,
and public access groups.

3565. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 23:25:51 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1112397951.043758.112620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105181

2 tits and no ding a ling

3566. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 23:56:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112399764.548171.243420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105182

N9OGL wrote:
> > You didn't answer my question.
> >
> > Does the cable company NOT have control over thie own assets?
> > Yes or no wil suffice nicenly, thank-you.
>
> The ONLY POWER A CABLE COMAPNY HAS OVER THE CONTENT IS THE AVABILITY
OF
> THE CHANNEL(I.E. SET UP THE TIME THE PROGRAM IS TO AIR) AND THAT'S
> IT!!!

Stop screaming and slow down. You already type like a fool and
you only get worse when you act this way.

> > I didn't say the FCC had jurisdiction, Todd. Please stay focused.
>
> > I said that the COMMUNITIES have the right to determine the nature
> > of the content in thier communities
>
> But it isn't the community that's the problem, it's a cable company
> that doesn't want ANYONE from using the public access channel.

The cable company is IN the community (or close to it).

> > Gee...You're right, Todd. Threre's one of those "dum### hams
> >badmouthing people on USENET" right now!
> >
> >And PROOF of what I've been saying all along.
>
> Well steve if you weren't such an ###hole, perhaps I would treat you
a
> little nicer.

No problem, Todd. You're an immature, developmentally delayed
adult, and I realize it. I keep prodding you in an attempt to get you
to realize your own shortcomings, but you just respond with more
screaming and more profanity.

In other words, you prove my point.

> > And I am sure if they knew what a swell guy you REALLY are, they
> >wouldn't have bothered.
>
> I'm a hell a lot nicer then you think, again if your weren't an
###hole
> I would be a little nicer.

I could care less HOW nice you could be.

You're profane, immature and technically incompetent. Call >>ME<<
all the names you care to, Todd...it WON'T change THOSE facts.

> > And this pertains to your operation of illegal "broadcast stations"
> >how?
>
> It don't, I was pointing out that the cable company has been in
trouble
> with the city for repeative violation peoples free speech on the
public
> access channel.

And I say they are doing the community a favor by keeping a
profane, immature, technically incompetent idiot away from public view.

They are doing you a FAVOR Todd, but you keep trying to reprove
that you are EXACTLY what everyone else says you are.

> > Doesn't matter, Todd...LOX is not used as a refrigerant because
> >it's an O X I D I Z E R. It makes things burn, in some cases very
> >rapidly (please see any film clip of a Saturn 5 rocket ascending).
>
>
> > And if you plan on using LOX A N Y W H E R E near an electrical
> >source, you are introducing an I G N I T I O N source.
>
> > Now, going back to ELEMENTARY SCHOOL scinence, guess what happens
> >when you mix an oxidizer, an ignition source, and any FUEL...???
>
> ok, then I'll use liquid Nitrogen then.

It's only taken you three weeks to wake up to THAT error.

Now if we can get you to work on the others......

> > I said your protocol is several developmental generations behind
> >current technology. That's NOT untrue.
>
> The whole idea is to make an alternative system on the amateur bands
> but Nazi's like you try to stop new modes of communications on the
> amateur band.

I am not a Nazi, Todd.

And a "new mode of communications" that is several developmental
generations BEHIND current technology is NOT what Amateur Radio needs.

Steve, K4YZ

3567. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-01 23:58:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112399880.426921.301930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105183

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> Chubby Nursie,
>
> I've been valiantly trying to follow this one-sided thread about
threats
> made on you by some anonymous person (or maybe not) in some
private(?) email
> exchange (how do you exchange emails with someone anonymous?). I've
come to
> the conclusion that

Ironic that YOU would say that, eh...?!?!

Comeout from behind your veil, oh cowardly anonymous one.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

3568. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 00:06:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <GOOdnYSO5f2Ef9DfRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 105184

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> Chubby Nursie,
>
> I've been valiantly trying to follow this one-sided thread about threats
> made on you by some anonymous person (or maybe not) in some private(?) email
> exchange (how do you exchange emails with someone anonymous?). I've come to
> the conclusion that
>
> a) you're making it up, or
> b) you're corresponding with a phantom who is invisible to the rest of us
> here on rrap, or
> c) you've found a miraculous way of exchanging email with anonymous senders
>
> If the answer is a) then shame on you.
> If the answer is b) then seek mental counseling
> If the answer is c) then consult a patent attorney because you've a gold
> mine on your hands.
>
> 73,
>
> M.A.N.
>
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire

The other poster is real, Mel.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3569. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 00:17:22 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <mwl3e.1913$EE2.1073@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105185

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:GOOdnYSO5f2Ef9DfRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
:
: The other poster is real, Mel.
:
: - Mike KB3EIA -

Hmmmmmm..... then my usenet link is broken, because all I see are posts from K4YZ directed at Anonymous, and nothing from "the other poster".

Maybe my usenet link is screening out Anonymous. Guess that's probably a good thing!

Thanks.

M.A.N.

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

3570. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 00:52:18 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <23874d0b09f4394948122c0ef5674c66@userbeam.net>
Source record: 105186

In article
<1112344327.233003.253230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> I don't have to play, Anonymous Coward.

Yes, you do, Nursie. It's like when you were younger.

> Why? To know that Roger Wiseman is a legal scofflaw?
That Lloyd
> Davies is a coward and a liar and that Toiddie Dougherty is a
> weakminded fool?

Oh, Nursie, that's not very Christian of you. Hypocrite.

> Not necessary. The are public records. Nothing more
damning that
> records you can't hide from or alter.

Yet, you never give any details or specifics. You are talking
out of your wide ass, Nursie.

> You keep trying to chip away with the flabby comments, yet
there is
> documentary evidence to the contrary. Makes you a LYING
anonymous
> coward.

Nursie, you look like a balding, fat teddy bear. Your picture
shows it.

> > So is your incompetence which caused a patient's death,
Nursie.
>
> And you're welcome to produce a record of that, Anonymous
Coward.

So defensive, Nursie.

> Since there's not one to hide, the flightsuit doesn't need
to hide
> anything.

Admitting you are nothing, Nursie?

> Especially me. One more thing you're welcome to try and
provide
> some documentary evidence of, Anonymous Coward

Sort of like the evidence you have against Roger, huh, Nursie?

> Nope. Not even in the 40's.

Only when you wear that girdle, Nursie.

> > You need to sharpen up your "detective skills," too.
>
> Naaaaaaah. Got e-mail headers and friends who can "work
the
> numbers" for me if I want.

Sure you do, Nursie. You keep guessing wrong. As usual.

> No, they're not...but nice try.

Yes, they are, Nursie. You are just too stupid to see them.

> Nope. No profanity there, Anonymous Coward. Nor were
there any
> threats.

Your reading skill need sharpening, Nursie.

> You've still not impressed me. Or shall I say "positively"
> impressed me..!?!?

Nursie, nobody wants to "impress" you. You are an unmitigated
blowhard.

> You're still an anonymous coward, and proof evident of the
liar,
> too.

And Nursie, you are an illiterate, bootlicking weasel.

> Too bad you're not half the man you IMAGINE you are...You
could
> stand up behind your words...Instead you've ducked behind the
> anonymizer.

Nursie, you need to keep your whining down to a dull roar.

> Coward.

Whiner.

3571. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 01:34:46 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <cf8d6480165ad9f41693df227470912e@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 105187

In article <424CE5BF.3C335905@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> What is "recieved"?

What just a transposition of letter, but you "received" your
daddy's dick up your asshole.

> > You ar a liar.
>
> What is "You ar"?

Looks like a dropped letter. Do you need glasses?

> Yes, Roger, you've had a number of chats with Chief
> Stenger.

No, I haven't. Still not Roger, dumbass Dave.

> > He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass.
>
> If I were you, I wouldn't want to make a bet on that.

Aww, is the big tough Nazi going to snivel and whine to the
cops? I'd still bet he won't get one.

> > Goddamn, are you one stupid fuck.
>
> Then again, I'm not the one getting into scrapes with the law
and with
> the FCC.

Neither am I. And you still are a stupid fuck.

> What is your fixation on homosexuality, Roger?

What is your fixation on Roger?

> Okay, you've visited my
> web site. You've seen a photo of me with Muddy Waters. Now
what?

Oh, so you did have an "intimate" relations with him.

> > You mean you made forged tapes of him and sent them in.
>
> Well now, that would have been tricky. You see, I was in
Tanzania when
> you had your run in with the FCC.

It figures you'd be in a backwater, but since I'm not Roger, I haven't had any run ins with the FCC.

> > You and
> > your fatassed buddy Bertie, who when talks about a hard drive
> > describes the physical dimensions, not the capacity.
>
> I see another problem with your theory, Roger. Bert was on active duty
> with the military in Germany during that period. That leaves you locked
> on the horns of a dilemma.

Am I, Roger saw fatassed Bertie in a computer store querying about a replacement hard drive. And it sure as hell wasn't in Germany.

> By the way, I know the local who did it, but
> I'm not telling. Needless to say that the FCC found the tape
> convincing.

Really, since when is a warning letter to Roger with alledged evidence convincing.

> Let's ask Phil Kane if he has ever heard of an instance in which the FCC
> forced a retest for no reason at all. By the way, do you consider your
> upgrade as "doing something for amateur radio"?

Oh, does Phil Kane have a role as the "official FCC spokesman"?
Apparently, you are in denial. What have you done for amateur radio. Your posts sure don't do anything for it.
And Roger still passed the "retest" and even upgraded....much to your chagrin.
I noticed you dodged the question of what you have done for amateur radio.

> > For somebody who says they've been a ham as long as you are, you
> > sure don't know Part 97.
>
> There is no proof of your statement. I've never had any problems with
> the FCC--none.

Yeah, that's not what a FOIA inquiry says.
Obviously, you have a reading comprehension problem, too.

> > High-el forgets to mention the years of therapy he had to endure
> > after his daddy assraped him repeatedly as a child.
>
> What is this homoerotic fixation you have, Roger? Have you

sought help
> for it?

What is this homoerotic fixation you have on Roger? Maybe you
are in denial about your homosexuality.

> > Pot kettle black.
> > You have been running your mouth and doing
> > nothing.
>
> You can check in with your local police. You'll find that
they have a
> large number of your newsgroup posts and e-mails to me on hand.

Since I'm not Roger, how would my local police have your e-mails.
They probably don't have Roger's either.

> I've visited them a number times when you were the only topic.
That isn't
> exactly "doing nothing".

No, it's showing what a sniveling whining cunt you are.

> In this case, you are both the pot and the
> kettle. It is evident that you have a problem with "black".

Do you always get your logic from Pee Wee Herman?

> > Tell us how your deceased Episcopalian priest daddy
> > didn't molest you and the other altar boys.
>
> Is that from one of your fantasies? Do you have a thing about
altar
> boys?

No, but weren't you an altar boy in your daddy's church?

3572. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 02:01:00 UTC
From: Lanny <JooRoger@JooLand>
Message-ID: <d2kubl$2gd$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105188

"Italy Anonymous Remailer" <nobody@See.Comments.Header> wrote in message
news:UBIJC68338443.1093171296@anonymous.poster...
In article
<5IY2e.12249$S46.10737@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
robert casey <wa2...@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> But his landlord lets him put up antennas!

Landlord? I'd better call the CDC, looks like Nursie's stupidity
is contagious.
Since when do homeowners have "landlords?"

....

Well, yes, you ARE a homeowner now. Now that your adoptive mommy passed away
and you inherited same.

3573. LINUX! Not just for Guru's anymore!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 02:04:06 UTC
From: harv.nelson@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1112407445.920910.192570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105189

Hamshack Hack is a 're-master' of the KNOPPIX Linux distribution made especially for Amateur Radio Operators (Hams) who have no prior experience with Linux. It provides a complete Operating System (OS), software for the hobby, web, and word tasks. All contained in an .iso file ready to burn to CD.

FREE downloads and instructions are available at:

http://hamshack-hack.sourceforge.net/

Download the .iso image file and burn it to a CD and it becomes a 'Live CD' system.

Put the CD in the drive and re-boot your machine and you'll boot up with a fully functional Linux system. Your usual operating system will remain on your hard drive and all its files will be accessable but untouched until you say so, Linux will be running the show. When you quit and reboot, the Linux system will 'vaporize' and you'll be back with your regular system from your hard drive.

The CD contains a wide and varied selection of Ham software ... everything from PSK, RTTY, Packet, MFSK, and SSTV, to programs for weak signal, aurora, and moon bounce. There are programs for the International Beacon Project and APRS. XLog and the XDx dx-cluster terminal. Even a Smith Chart computer and EchoLink.

In addition to the Ham software, there are web browsers, several text editors, AbiWord for word processing, the GNUmeric spreadsheet, and gLables for making QSL card or mailing lables.

If you've been wondering about all the Linux 'chatter' lately, here's a chance to find out whats happening with that 'other OS'. And, no need to install it ... just re-boot your machine.

LINUX! Not just for Guru's anymore!

Harv, AI9NL

3574. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 02:19:26 UTC
From: Lanny <JooRoger@JooLand>
Message-ID: <d2kve8$4j4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105190

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:IeqdnTsztbKOTNDfRVn-uw@comcast.com...

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1112360435.116852.62610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Tony" <TonyHammons@noreply> wrote in message
> news:424c86f5$1_1@127.0.0.1...
>
>> You are confused. I'm not Kim.
>
> Details, details, details...! =)
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Ah but "the devil is in the details." Now if I just knew who said that!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

The bottom line, Dee, remains the same. Roger strongly dislikes
condescending posts, or what he percieves to be condescension. (anybody who
can author more than a sentence without a single profanity is, in Roger's
eyes, condescending). Roger especially comes apart when a woman is the
author.
As said before, the Sociopath has a penchant for sending anon emails and by
necissity must hide behind a cloak of anonymity.

3575. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 02:21:58 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d2kviv$507$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105191

"Bathrooman" <drlehto@cox.net> wrote in message
news:1112397951.043758.112620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
2 tits and no ding a ling

A perfect description of Roger.

3576. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 02:39:36 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ga-dncZUJcN4mNPfRVn-qA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105192

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>http://216.176.81.228/k4yz.jpg
>
>
> Weakmined, profane, and now irrefuteable proof tha you're a liar.
>
> Thanks, Todd! You just keep making this easier.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I didn't think todd would do something like this!
Resolved 216.176.81.228 to client-216-176-81-228.consolidated.net

3577. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 02:40:27 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1112409627.452484.252150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105193

Let's have Toddy use LOX to cool a spark gap xmtr. He can use the
Tesla coil secondary @ 1.4MV and he can convert himself down to his
level instead of getting all puffed up on here.

3578. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 02:51:40 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1112410300.403244.148490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105194

These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
by individuals on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of March 31, 2005:

Novice - 28,908 (decrease of 20,421)
Technician - 267,391 (increase of 61,997)
Technician Plus - 50,966 (decrease of 77,894)
General - 137,134 (increase of 24,457)
Advanced - 76,746 (decrease of 23,036)
Extra - 106,434 (increase of 27,684)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,357 (decrease of 15,897)

Total all classes - 667,579 (decrease of 7,213)

Note that these totals do not include licenses that
have expired but are in the grace period. They also
do not include club, military, RACES or other
station-only licenses.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3579. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 03:34:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <TvCdnbVInJ8tj9PfRVn-rw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 105195

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
> by individuals on the stated dates:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329
> Technician - 205,394
> Technician Plus - 128,860
> General - 112,677
> Advanced - 99,782
> Extra - 78,750
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of March 31, 2005:
>
> Novice - 28,908 (decrease of 20,421)
> Technician - 267,391 (increase of 61,997)
> Technician Plus - 50,966 (decrease of 77,894)
> General - 137,134 (increase of 24,457)
> Advanced - 76,746 (decrease of 23,036)
> Extra - 106,434 (increase of 27,684)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,357 (decrease of 15,897)
>
> Total all classes - 667,579 (decrease of 7,213)
>
> Note that these totals do not include licenses that
> have expired but are in the grace period. They also
> do not include club, military, RACES or other
> station-only licenses.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I didn't think you were here any more, Jim!

Not much to type about these days......

- Mike KB3EIA -

3580. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 04:32:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112416337.812848.317090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105196

KC8GXW wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >>http://216.176.81.228/k4yz.jpg
> >
> >
> > Weakmined, profane, and now irrefuteable proof tha you're a
liar.
> >
> > Thanks, Todd! You just keep making this easier.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> I didn't think todd would do something like this!
> Resolved 216.176.81.228 to client-216-176-81-228.consolidated.net

Oh, but I did! What I AM surprised about is that he didn't do it
earlier! He's been getting his ears boxed for weeks now becasue of
his unrelenting foolishness.

It's the actions of a weak and tawdry mind. Consider the source!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3581. I Am Not Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 04:37:20 UTC
From: Not Roger <fruit@fruitdingwogie.com>
Message-ID: <d2l7hqlsl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105197

I understand RMS voltage & negative SWR, so I am
not Roger. If I *was* Roger, than I would NOT understand
RMS voltage & negative SWR for the simple reason that the
correspondence Masters Degree that DeVry gave me did not
cover these subjects. I did not have to remove my radio mast
guy wires from obstructing the city sidewalk, so I am not Roger.

I Am Not Roger
but I will let Roger know, even Roger says he is not Roger.

3582. ham radio psycholollical Test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 04:46:05 UTC
From: "ID4 2.0" <linuxkingster@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1112417165.213454.46240@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105198

Does any one know about this psycholollical Test that the FCC is passing that ham radio peaple will have to pass? I just read it in CQ. This scares me.

Mike
KE7DBX

3583. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 05:06:58 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <0b61aad436be25ec28c5b9d0080a2c68@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105199

In article
<1112341883.192585.203930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
> >
> > Not you, Nursie.
>
> Don't be so sure.

> > Oh, so you'd rather spread more lies, then to actually tell
the
> > truth. Some example for amateur radio you are setting,
Nursie.
>
> And your prolific use of profanity and defiling the memory
or a
> dead child is?

Cry me a river, Nursie.

> In any case, hitting Lloydie, Wogie and Toiddie with such
> accusations is like shooting at manure. No matter where the
round
> goes, you're going to hit manure.

My, my, Nursie, and you call yourself a Christian?

> > Says the ###### nursie, wearing that men's girdle under his
> > little jumpsuit.
>
> Care to take a peek?

I'm not one of your male patients, Nursie. We know you love
doing that sort of thing with them.

> > And I'd say as usual, you don't back up what you purport.
>
> So what do >>I<< need to do? They've been quoted,
verbatim, in
> this and other venues. No need to waste bandwidth so you can
read
> about yourself.

So, you admit you don't have any evidence, Nursie. Quoting and
providing are two different things.

> > "Seems" to me you need some remedial English, Nursie. How
do
> > you ever fill out a patient's charts correctly?
>
> I manage. Of course I have to leave out the "f" word,
"dumb###',
> "&&&&sucker", etc, which would seriously reduce your available
> vocabulary.

It seems to get your panties all in a twist, Nursie.

> > Then you need to have somebody read 97.519 (d)(2). Check
that,
> > you'll have to have somebody read it to you.
> > At least you spelled "seems" correct this time, without the
> > unnecessary apostrophe.
>
> Seem's to me you have little to argue EXCEPT punctuation.

"Seems" to me you backpedal when you are confronted with facts.

> > Dave is just a former bolt tightener and messenger boy for
the
> > Dept. of State. I noticed they gave him all the "plum"
> > assignments in the backwater holes. Like the Cashew capital
of
> > Africa. And Finland.
> > Perhaps you'd better quit using that info from a couple of
> > introductory psychology classes they make you take in first
year
> > nursing, Nursie. You get so confused when you see the "big
> > words."
>
> The YOU had better review some of those "plum"
assignments. They
> weren't all plums.

Nice of you to admit he had backwater shithole assignments,
Nursie. Way to support your friend.

> And I seriously doubt taht you have even the FIRST idea of
what's
> in "first year nursing".

Don't be to sure about that, Nursie.

> > Speaking from experience, since half the Fleet screwed your
> > mommy when your drunk daddy was not on leave.
> > Nice support of your "friend", too, agreeing that his daddy
> > assraped him.
>
> You've avoided the question. Who's YOUR daddy?

At least I know who he is for sure. Unlike that drunk of yours
who croaked not soon after Taelor.

> > > I imagine that any "flyers" posted on phone polls were
> > appropriate.
> >
> > Nursie, what are "phone polls", is that anything like a
survey
> > over the phone? Oh, Nursie, you are as ignorant of the law as
> > you are of everything else. It is illegal to post anything
on a
> > utility pole. The power company needs a clear path in case a
> > worker has to climb them.
>
> My bust on pole-vs-poll. But I think you got the idea.

Excuses for your screw ups doesn't was, just like you, Nursie.

>
> And it does not mitigate the communities need to know
what kind of
> pervert is in their community. I haven't seen anything here
yet to
> cause me to believe you are anything else.

Nursie, your logic skills are as poor as your English skills.

> > You should know, since they put a few up about you, Nursie.
>
> Ahhhhhhhhhhhh...NOW who's lying...?!?!

You are, Nursie.

> > Your personality isn't that good, Nursie.
>
> That's why I have a job that requires a bit more talent
and
> skill...Anyone can get a job announcing the Blue Light Special.

You've yet to demonstrate any of that "skill", Nursie.

> > Yes, your adherance to double standards is well known in this
> > newsgroup and elsewhere.
>
> I'd love to hear YOUR interpretation of what a "double
standard"
> is.

You never hear anything, Nursie, your balding skill is tickling
your prostate.

> Of course in order to have ANY standards, one must have
some basic
> principles of conduct, and yours seem to be to make anonymous
swipes

> and prolific use of profanity.

Aww, are you gonna cry, Nursie?

> The GOOD thing is that you ahve no where to go but up.

The good thing is I don't "have" your command of the English
language, Nursie.

> > And still made more sense then the stupid statements you
made,
> > Nursie.
>
> There ya go...Correcting MY grammar then making your own
silly
> mistakes.

Wrong, Nursie.

> Figures.
>
> > > Coward.
> >
> > Oh, Nursie, you are so cute when you get angry.
>
> Oh, I'm not angry. Quite the contrary. It's not
necessary.
>
> Not for cowards like you.

Your post shows you are, Nursie.

3584. Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 05:30:15 UTC
From: Pam <PamHoffer@nosplam>
Message-ID: <424e2e7c$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 105100
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hello. My name is Pam. I once ran an ISP in the West Virginia/Ohio area.

Roger Wiseman was at one time a subscriber to my services, but that didn't last long.

Why, you ask?

Mr. Wiseman was never the best of customers and from the very first week of him signing with us the complaints rolled in. The majority of the complaints centered on the fact that Mr. Wiseman was posting libel and did so with a before unheard of amount of filthy verbiage.

I, Pam, warned Mr. Wiseman on several occasions. Mr. Wiseman responded by placing the blame on others, others whose names or IP addresses he could not provide. He assured me that the violations would stop.

Then, about three years ago, I received a printout from a person who called himself Arf! Arf! In the printout were numerous posts, with headers, made by Mr. Wiseman in which Mr. Wiseman described me in the most vile of terms. After receiving these printouts I decided that it would be useless to issue any further warnings to Mr. Wiseman. I then terminated his account.

Mister Wiseman was with us for less than a year and was told that he would not be allowed another account.

It is our policy to refuse service to anybody who violates our terms of service, those being the use of abusive language and the posting of libelous statements. Mister Wiseman was placed on notice that he is no longer welcome and need never again apply for another account with us.

Mister Wiseman has been informed that he is a "persona non gratis".

Pam

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

3585. Tarapia Tapioco, 610 Glen Haven, WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 06:22:42 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d2ldmc$4bg$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105101

Tarapia Tapioco...what an oddity.

Posted via an anomymous remailer, nonetheless.

Of course we all know the street address.

610 Glen Haven is easy to find.

MapQuest shows it all...

You are making Marie proud, Roger.

3586. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 07:15:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112426125.014757.175600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105102

Anonymous wrote:
> In article
> <1112341883.192585.203930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
> > >
> > > Not you, Nursie.
> >
> > Don't be so sure.
>
> > > Oh, so you'd rather spread more lies, then to actually tell
> the
> > > truth. Some example for amateur radio you are setting,
> Nursie.
> >
> > And your prolific use of profanity and defiling the memory
> or a
> > dead child is?
>
> Cry me a river, Nursie.

No need to cry. Did my crying years ago.

> > In any case, hitting Lloydie, Wogie and Toiddie with such
> > accusations is like shooting at manure. No matter where the
> round
> > goes, you're going to hit manure.
>
> My, my, Nursie, and you call yourself a Christian?

Did I? Is there a quoe you can find that said I did?

> > > Says the ###### nursie, wearing that men's girdle under his
> > > little jumpsuit.
> >
> > Care to take a peek?
>
> I'm not one of your male patients, Nursie. We know you love
> doing that sort of thing with them.

Nope.

But there's a better than average chance you're going to get to
find out just how good or bad of shape I am really in. And your
anonymizer will only slow things down...Not stop it.

> > > And I'd say as usual, you don't back up what you purport.
> >
> > So what do >>I<< need to do? They've been quoted,
> verbatim, in
> > this and other venues. No need to waste bandwidth so you can
> read
> > about yourself.
>
> So, you admit you don't have any evidence, Nursie. Quoting and
> providing are two different things.

The evidence is court documents already posted in this forum.

> > > "Seems" to me you need some remedial English, Nursie. How
> *do*
> > > you ever fill out a patient's charts correctly?
> >
> > I manage. Of course I have to leave out the "f" word,
> "dumb###',
> > "&&&&sucker", etc, which would seriously reduce your available
> > vocabulary.
>
> It seems to get your panties all in a twist, Nursie.

You keep refering to undergarments and same-gender perversions. If
you would like, I can offer you a few points-of-contact for
counselling.

> > > Then you need to have somebody read 97.519 (d)(2). Check
> that,
> > > you'll have to have somebody read it to you.
> > > At least you spelled "seems" correct this time, without the
> > > unnecessary apostrophe.
> >
> > Seem's to me you have little to argue EXCEPT punctuation.
>
> "Seems" to me you backpedal when you are confronted with facts.

Seems to me you are confused.

> > The YOU had better review some of those "plum"
> assignments. They
> > weren't all plums.
>
> Nice of you to admit he had backwater shithole assignments,
> Nursie. Way to support your friend.

Not al of his assignments were "plums". He stated that himself.

> > And I seriously doubt taht you have even the FIRST idea of
> what's
> > in "first year nursing".
>
> Don't be to sure about that, Nursie.

I am quite sure of it.

> > > Speaking from experience, since half the Fleet screwed your
> > > mommy when your drunk daddy was not on leave.
> > > Nice support of your "friend", too, agreeing that his daddy
> > > assraped him.
> >
> > You've avoided the question. Who's YOUR daddy?
>
> At least I know who he is for sure. Unlike that drunk of yours
> who croaked not soon after Taelor.

To whom do you refer? No one I know, drunk or othrwise, has passed
away since my daughter died.

> > > > I imagine that any "flyers" posted on phone polls were
> > > appropriate.
> > >
> > > Nursie, what are "phone polls", is that anything like a
> survey
> > > over the phone? Oh, Nursie, you are as ignorant of the law as
> > > you are of everything else. It is illegal to post anything
> on a
> > > utility pole. The power company needs a clear path in case a
> > > worker has to climb them.
> >
> > My bust on pole-vs-poll. But I think you got the idea.
>
> Excuses for your screw ups doesn't was, just like you, Nursie.

What? English, please.

Did you mean "doesn't wash"...?!?!

At least I can stand up and admit my errors. You just wallow in
them.

> > And it does not mitigate the communities need to know
> what kind of
> > pervert is in their community. I haven't seen anything here
> yet to
> > cause me to believe you are anything else.
>
> Nursie, your logic skills are as poor as your English skills.

So far, they are better than yours. Not that it takes much.

> > > You should know, since they put a few up about you, Nursie.
> >
> > Ahhhhhhhhhhhh...NOW who's lying...?!?!
>
> You are, Nursie.

You're welcome to re-post the items wherein you claim I am lying,
Anonymous Coward, but I bet they won't be forthcoming.

> > > Your personality isn't that good, Nursie.
> >
> > That's why I have a job that requires a bit more talent
> and
> > skill...Anyone can get a job announcing the Blue Light Special.
>
> You've yet to demonstrate any of that "skill", Nursie.

And why would I need to? I am working as an ACLS ER Nurse.

> > > Yes, your adherance to double standards is well known in this
> > > newsgroup and elsewhere.
> >
> > I'd love to hear YOUR interpretation of what a "double
> standard"
> > is.
>
> You never hear anything, Nursie, your balding skill is tickling
> your prostate.

Dodge, dodge, dodge.

> > Of course in order to have ANY standards, one must have
> some basic
> > principles of conduct, and yours seem to be to make anonymous
> swipes
> > and prolific use of profanity.
>
> Aww, are you gonna cry, Nursie?

Why should I? I'm not the one with a morals and ethics problem.

> > The GOOD thing is that you ahve no where to go but up.
>
> The good thing is I don't "have" your command of the English
> language, Nursie.

A letter transposition is not an English skills deficiency,
Anonymous Coward.

> > > And still made more sense then the stupid statements you
> made,
> > > Nursie.
> >
> > There ya go...Correcting MY grammar then making your own
> silly
> > mistakes.
>
> Wrong, Nursie.

Nope. Nice snip, though.

> > Figures.
> >
> > > > Coward.
> > >
> > > Oh, Nursie, you are so cute when you get angry.
> >
> > Oh, I'm not angry. Quite the contrary. It's not
> necessary.
> >
> > Not for cowards like you.
>
> Your post shows you are, Nursie.

Then you have a comprehension problem that exceeds even my estimations. Seek remedial assistance.

Steve, K4YZ

3587. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 09:42:49 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <23874d0b09f4394948122c0ef5674c66@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 105103

In article
<1112344327.233003.253230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> I don't have to play, Anonymous Coward.

Yes, you do, Nursie. It's like when you were younger.

> Why? To know that Roger Wiseman is a legal scofflaw?
That Lloyd
> Davies is a coward and a liar and that Toiddie Dougherty is a
> weakminded fool?

Oh, Nursie, that's not very Christian of you. Hypocrite.

> Not necessary. The are public records. Nothing more
damning that
> records you can't hide from or alter.

Yet, you never give any details or specifics. You are talking
out of your wide ass, Nursie.

> You keep trying to chip away with the flabby comments, yet
there is
> documentary evidence to the contrary. Makes you a LYING
anonymous
> coward.

Nursie, you look like a balding, fat teddy bear. Your picture
shows it.

> > So is your incompetence which caused a patient's death,
Nursie.
>
> And you're welcome to produce a record of that, Anonymous
Coward.

So defensive, Nursie.

> Since there's not one to hide, the flightsuit doesn't need
to hide
> anything.

Admitting you are nothing, Nursie?

> Especially me. One more thing you're welcome to try and
provide
> some documentary evidence of, Anonymous Coward

Sort of like the evidence you have against Roger, huh, Nursie?

> Nope. Not even in the 40's.

Only when you wear that girdle, Nursie.

> > You need to sharpen up your "detective skills," too.
>
> Naaaaaaah. Got e-mail headers and friends who can "work
the
> numbers" for me if I want.

Sure you do, Nursie. You keep guessing wrong. As usual.

> No, they're not...but nice try.

Yes, they are, Nursie. You are just too stupid to see them.

> Nope. No profanity there, Anonymous Coward. Nor were
there any
> threats.

Your reading skill need sharpening, Nursie.

> You've still not impressed me. Or shall I say "positively"
> impressed me..!?!?

Nursie, nobody wants to "impress" you. You are an unmitigated
blowhard.

> You're still an anonymous coward, and proof evident of the
liar,
> too.

And Nursie, you are an illiterate, bootlicking weasel.

> Too bad you're not half the man you IMAGINE you are...You
could
> stand up behind your words...Instead you've ducked behind the
> anonymizer.

Nursie, you need to keep your whining down to a dull roar.

> Coward.

Whiner.

3588. Re: ham radio psychological Test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 09:47:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112435239.233269.262670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105104

ID4 2.0 wrote (Mike, KE7DBX):
> Does any one know about this psycholoLical Test that the FCC is
passing
> that ham radio peaple will have to pass? I just read it in CQ. This
> scares me.

CQ Magazine runs a(n) (in)famous April issue, Mike. Seem you got
caught up in this one! They can do some pretty convincing stories!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3589. Re: ham radio psychological Test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 11:27:00 UTC
From: vk4jzz <oldriders@zoom.com>
Message-ID: <424e8184@news.comindico.com.au>
Source record: 105105

In Australia... if you run a story on the first of April, that is a bit far fetched or really unbelievable ... then it is a joke... that we call April fools day joke.

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:1112435239.233269.262670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> ID4 2.0 wrote (Mike, KE7DBX):
> > Does any one know about this psychololical Test that the FCC is
> passing
> > that ham radio peaple will have to pass? I just read it in CQ. This
> > scares me.
>
>
> CQ Magazine runs a(n) (in)famous April issue, Mike. Seem you got
> caught up in this one! They can do some pretty convincing stories!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

3590. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 13:06:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112443498.650208.94840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105106

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> In article
> <1112344327.233003.253230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > I don't have to play, Anonymous Coward.

> Yes, you do, Nursie. It's like when you were younger.
>
>
> > Why? To know that Roger Wiseman is a legal scofflaw?
> That Lloyd
> > Davies is a coward and a liar and that Toiddie Dougherty is a
> > weakminded fool?
>
> Oh, Nursie, that's not very Christian of you. Hypocrite.

You claim that >>I<< claim to be a Christian. Where's the post?

> > Not necessary. The are public records. Nothing more
> damning that
> > records you can't hide from or alter.
>
> Yet, you never give any details or specifics. You are talking
> out of your wide ass, Nursie.

It's not that wide, thank-you.

> > You keep trying to chip away with the flabby comments, yet
> there is
> > documentary evidence to the contrary. Makes you a LYING
> anonymous
> > coward.
>
> Nursie, you look like a balding, fat teddy bear. Your picture
> shows it.

Balding and "teddy-bear", for sure. "Fat"..?!?! Well, that's
your opinon unsubstantiated by fact.

> > > So is your incompetence which caused a patient's death,
> Nursie.
> >
> > And you're welcome to produce a record of that, Anonymous
> Coward.
>

> So defensive, Nursie.

Not defensive. I just know better.

And you're still welcome to produce a record of ANY patient death
that occured on my watch that you suggest was due to any incompetence
on my part. (Hint...there isn't one)

> > Since there's not one to hide, the flightsuit doesn't need
> to hide
> > anything.
>
> Admitting you are nothing, Nursie?

Nope...Just nothing to hide.

> > Especially me. One more thing you're welcome to try and
> provide
> > some documentary evidence of, Anonymous Coward
>
> Sort of like the evidence you have against Roger, huh, Nursie?

The "evidence" is public records, anonymous coward.

> > Nope. Not even in the 40's.
>
> Only when you wear that girdle, Nursie.

You're still welcome to see. (You can skip the homophobic
jokes..I know you'd refuse simply because it would prove you wrong)

> > > You need to sharpen up your "detective skills," too.
> >
> > Naaaaaaah. Got e-mail headers and friends who can "work
> the
> > numbers" for me if I want.
>
> Sure you do, Nursie. You keep guessing wrong. As usual.

I don't guess.

> > No, they're not...but nice try.
>
> Yes, they are, Nursie. You are just too stupid to see them.

Nice snip.

> > Nope. No profanity there, Anonymous Coward. Nor were
> there any
> > threats.
>
> Your reading skill need sharpening, Nursie.

And you snip again without attribute.

Nice try.

> > You've still not impressed me. Or shall I say "positively"
> > impressed me..!?!?
>
> Nursie, nobody wants to "impress" you. You are an unmitigated
> blowhard.

Easy to say when you won't own up to your own rhetoric.

> > You're still an anonymous coward, and proof evident of the
> liar,
> > too.
>
> And Nursie, you are an illiterate, bootlicking weasel.

And you're STILL an anonymous coward.

(Not to mention that facts disprove your assertions, which also
makes you a lair...but then that's why you have to hide)

> > Too bad you're not half the man you IMAGINE you are...You
> could
> > stand up behind your words...Instead you've ducked behind the
> > anonymizer.
>
> Nursie, you need to keep your whining down to a dull roar.

You're still a coward.

> > Coward.
>
> Whiner.

Coward.

Steve, K4YZ

3591. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 13:09:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1112447379.350910.259270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105107

Mike Coslo wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
> > by individuals on the stated dates:
> > Note that these totals do not include licenses that
> > have expired but are in the grace period. They also
> > do not include club, military, RACES or other
> > station-only licenses.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I didn't think you were here any more, Jim!

Of course I'm still here, Mike.
>
> Not much to type about these days......

There's always QRZ.com

But I spend most of my online time these days on the various reflectors
I subscribe to.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3592. Re: ham radio psycholollical Test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 14:26:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <j6qdnZnbbv8xNtPfRVn-oA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 105108

Barry OGrady wrote:
> On 1 Apr 2005 20:46:05 -0800, "ID4 2.0" <linuxkingster@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Does any one know about this psycholollical Test that the FCC is passing
>>that ham radio peaple will have to pass? I just read it in CQ. This
>>scares me.
>
>
> It could mean the end of ham radio.

Doesn't EVERYTHING mean the end of Ham radio?

8^) - Mike KB3EIA -

3593. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 15:50:02 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <8e7894eeab05f08664f72af3810193c6@userbeam.net>
Source record: 105109
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <424e2e7c$1_1@127.0.0.1>
"Pam" <PamHoffer@nosplam> wrote:
>
> Hello. My name is Pam.

Hi Lardass. You are finally posting as what you are deep down
inside, a woman.

>
> ------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure
Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
World! >100,000 Newsgroups
> ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via
Encryption =---

Why didn't you post from your regular ovis.net account, "Pam?"

3594. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 16:02:42 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <3c9596c119b69a72cb965f2d090a26bd@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 105110

In article
<1112426125.014757.175600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> No need to cry. Did my crying years ago.

Nursie, you cry every time you post.

> Did I? Is there a quoe you can find that said I did?

What is a "quoe," Nursie? Are you upset, Nursie?

> Nope.

Yep.

> But there's a better than average chance you're going to
get to
> find out just how good or bad of shape I am really in. And your
> anonymizer will only slow things down...Not stop it.

Just like there is a better than average chance of the Pope
pulling thorough, Nursie. Not!
Now, go whine some more, Nursie.

> The evidence is court documents already posted in this
forum.

No, Nursie, you need to get a reading tutor. Those "records" are
fake. But anybody with a brain could see that, except for you
and your ilk.

> You keep refering to undergarments and same-gender
perversions. If
> you would like, I can offer you a few points-of-contact for
> counselling.

Oh, you sure are defensive about your perversions, Nursie.

> Seems to me you are confused.

Seems to me you can't read nor understand 97.519 (d)(2).

> Not al of his assignments were "plums". He stated that
himself.

"What is "al", Nursie?

> I am quite sure of it.

But considering the source, you have no credibility, Nursie. You are long on accusations but short on facts to back it up.

> To whom do you refer? No one I know, drunk or othrwise, has passed
> away since my daughter died.

Your daddy didn't pass away? Lying on your website?

> What? English, please.

Oh, do tell "phone-poll" boy.

> Did you mean "doesn't wash"...?!?!

You mean like "Not al"????......

> At least I can stand up and admit my errors. You just wallow in
> them.

No, you make excuses, Nursie. Yeah, looks like I left out a word, Nursie. Not that you could really tell, you can't even spell "all".

> You're welcome to re-post the items wherein you claim I am lying,
> Anonymous Coward, but I bet they won't be forthcoming.

Aww, is Nursie getting upset?

> And why would I need to? I am working as an ACLS ER Nurse.

> > You never hear anything, Nursie, your balding skill is tickling
> > your prostate.
>
> Dodge, dodge, dodge.

Pot kettle black......

> Why should I? I'm not the one with a morals and ethics problem.

That's not what your neighbors say, Nursie.

> A letter transposition is not an English skills deficiency,
> Anonymous Coward.

Sure it isn't, excuses-making Nursie.

> Nope. Nice snip, though.

That's what they should have done to you, snipped you so you couldn't procreate, Nursie. You wouldn't produce defective kids that die too young.

> > > Oh, I'm not angry. Quite the contrary. It's not
necessary.

> Then you have a comprehension problem that exceeds even my
> estimations. Seek remedial assistance.

Nursie, you should follow your own advice...especially when you
type "not al" for "not all".

3595. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 16:03:25 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <939b7f7aa11e50cf0796997650033b9e@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 105111

In article
<1112346686.547625.144470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > Actually, I was one of a very few Navy-certified Suture
Techs.
> > Pretty good at it, actually. Don't care for needlepoint,
however.
>
> Google dropped a line here.

No, Nursie, you screwed up again.

> I was a Navy-certified USMC Suture
> Tech. A priviledge extended to me for volunteering in the USN
ER at
> Camp Kuwae on Okinawa.

You must not have had any completing report "privileges" with
your spelling skills.

> There are, of course, many USN suture techs.

Poor fumble, Nursie.

3596. Re: I Am Not Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 16:15:07 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <d1bed233b9c7d9ddfaf7ca1cafcd32ac@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105112

In article <d2l7hqlsl0@pita.alt.net>
"Not Roger" <f...@fruitdingwogie.com> wrote:
>
> I understand

Oh, Tubby, tell us why you are just a no code technician and a
career pizza delivery BOY.

3597. Re: ham radio psycholoLical Test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 17:03:41 UTC
From: channel391@juno.com
Message-ID: <1112461421.809057.37980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105113

The merger between ARRL and Glen Baxter K1MAN will mean the end of
ARRL.

3598. Re: Tarapia Tapioco, 610 Glen Haven, WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 17:05:05 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <71e176f66c0270bc4c6e3eab9bddc448@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105114

In article <d2ldmc$4bg$0@pita.alt.net>
"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote:
>
> Tarapia Tapioco...what an oddity.

Arf!arf! what an oddity. But then, he IS an unwashed, unwanted,
unloved fat bastard.
Aren't you, Tubby Davies?

Keep guessing, dumbass, I'm still not Roger.

3599. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 17:21:06 UTC
From: Dog <dog@twenmtrsdxboys.net>
Message-ID: <d2mk9d$p9r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105115

"Pam" <PamHoffer@nosplam> wrote in message news:424e2e7c$1_1@127.0.0.1...
> Hello. My name is Pam. I once ran an ISP in the West Virginia/Ohio area.
>
> Roger Wiseman was at one time a subscriber to my services, but that didn't
> last long.
>
> Why, you ask?
>
>
>
> Mr. Wiseman was never the best of customers and from the very first week
> of
> him signing with us the complaints rolled in. The majority of the
> complaints
> centered on the fact that Mr. Wiseman was posting libel and did so with a
> before unheard of amount of filthy verbiage.
>
>
>
> I, Pam, warned Mr. Wiseman on several occasions. Mr. Wiseman responded by
> placing the blame on others, others whose names or IP addresses he could
> not
> provide. He assured me that the violations would stop.
>
>
>
> Then, about three years ago, I received a printout from a person who
> called
> himself Arf! Arf! In the printout were numerous posts, with headers, made
> by
> Mr. Wiseman in which Mr. Wiseman described me in the most vile of terms.
> After receiving these printouts I decided that it would be useless to
> issue
> any further warnings to Mr. Wiseman. I then terminated his account.
>
>
>
> Mister Wiseman was with us for less than a year and was told that he would
> not be allowed another account.
>
>
>
> It is our policy to refuse service to anybody who violates our terms of
> service, those being the use of abusive language and the posting of

> libelous
> statements. Mister Wiseman was placed on notice that he is no longer
> welcome
> and need never again apply for another account with us.
>
>
>
> Mister Wiseman has been informed that he is a "persona non gratis".
>
>
>
> Pam
>

Hello Pam,

Did you kick Roger off before or after the FCC ordered him to retest
twice for causing malicious qrm to other hams?

Dog

3600. Re: Merger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 18:06:36 UTC
From: channel391@juno.com
Message-ID: <d2mmvcqal1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 105116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.broadcasting

Baxter is a radio god. He will eat the ARRL and spit out whats left.
This is the end of ARRL.

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> From: ARRL [mailto:press@.arrl.org]
> Sent: Friday, April 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
> To: Headquarters [mailto:hq@arrl.org]
> Subject: Important Organizational Announcement
>
> AARA Founder Glen Baxter to Join ARRL As Third Vice President
>
> NEWINGTON, Conn., April 1, 2005 - The American Radio Relay League
(ARRL)
> announced today that it will acquire the American Amateur Radio
Association
> (AARA), a leading provider of Amateur Information Bulletin Services.
The
> deal unites the two most prominent Amateur Radio organizations in the
United
> States. Financial terms of the acquisition were not disclosed.
>
> "The acquisition of AARA complements ARRL's already extensive
offerings to
> include up-to-the-minute information and interactive discussion on
what is
> happening in the world of Amateur Radio," said Jim Haynie, W5JBP,
president
> of ARRL. "Together, we will be more effective in taking on some of
the
> challenges facing Amateur Radio, such as BPL and Spectrum Defense.
This
> brings us one step closer to being the national membership
association for
> Amateur Radio operators."
>
> The acquisition also brings the strong leadership skills of Glenn
Baxter,
> K1MAN, to the ARRL organization. Baxter has been named ARRL Third
Vice
> President in the move. "Mr. Baxter is a welcome addition to our
management
> team here at ARRL," said David Sumner, K1ZZ, and ARRL Chief Executive
> Officer. "I look forward to his fresh perspectives and enthusiastic

approach
> to running a service organization like the ARRL."
>
> "Water under the bridge," said Glenn Baxter of AARA about past
frictions
> between the two organizations. "Today marks a new beginning for all
of us.
> We're in this together now." Plans for collocating Mr. Baxter and Mr.
Sumner
> in adjoining offices at ARRL headquarters are currently underway.
>
> In an official statement, Mr. Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, FCC Legal
Advisor
> for Enforcement added, "Over the years, Glenn and I have become very
close.
> I look forward to continuing our professional relationship as he
assumes his
> new position."
>
> In an effort to accelerate the shift to its new on-the-air image,
ARRL has
> already filed the paperwork necessary to make W1MAN the official call
sign
> of the combined organization. Joe Carcia, NJ1Q, ARRL station manager
was
> thrilled with the change, saying, "What a creative way to reflect our
> traditional roots while also letting our bold, new face shine
through!"
> Broadcast operations at the facilities of ex-W1AW will be shutdown
> immediately. AARA's state-of-the-art studios in Belgrade Lakes, ME
will
> become the new source of all ARRL transmissions.
>
> Amateur Radio insiders applauded the move. "These are interesting
times for
> Amateur Radio," said Gordon West, WB6NOA. "I sense a likely shift in
the
> ARRL views on many areas of the service. I think we'll be feeling the
> positive impact of this merger for years to come."
>
> "This is big . . . really big," said Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, lead guitar
player
> for the Eagles. It's exactly the kind of thing that will send our
ticket
> sales through the roof. With Glenn on vocals, and Sumner on drums . .
. this
> tour season will be our best since '73"
>
> Audio aficionado and Amateur Radio entrepreneur Bob Heil, K9EID, also
> supported the move. "This is a good thing for Heil, and it's about

time
> these guys got together", said Mr. Heil. "We are already working on a
> special commemorative W1MAN microphone to honor the event."
>
> ARRL was founded in May 1914 by Percy Maxim, a leading Hartford,
> Connecticut, inventor and industrialist. Today ARRL, with
approximately
> 152,000 members, is the largest not-for-profit organization of radio
> amateurs in the United States.
>
> Web links:
>
> http://www.arrl.org
> http://www.k1man.com
> http://www.joewalsh.com
> http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Joe-visits-Lab-One.sm.jpg
> http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Bob-and-Jeff-Beck.jpg

3601. Re: I Am Not Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 18:25:03 UTC
From: I Am Not Roger <NotRoger@assholes.turd>
Message-ID: <424ee413$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 105117

"Anonymous" <nobody@paranoici.org> wrote in message
news:d1bed233b9c7d9ddfaf7ca1cafcd32ac@paranoici.org...
In article <d2l7hqlsl0@pita.alt.net>
"Not Roger" <f...@fruitdingwogie.com> wrote:
>
> I understand

Oh, Tubby, tell us why you are just a no code technician and a
career pizza delivery BOY.
.

Ok, I will if you will 'splain why you are living in your mommie's house and
why you have been kicked off so many isp's.
Your turn, Joo Boi.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3602. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 18:32:14 UTC
From: I Am Not Roger <NotRoger@assholes.turd>
Message-ID: <424ee5c3$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 105118
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You must not have had any completing report "privileges" with
your spelling skills.

> There are, of course, many USN suture techs.

Poor fumble, Nursie.

.....

Roger not only fumbled, he ran the wrong way when it came to spelling
skills.

Below you will see actual misspellings (he had the supidity to call them
"typos") by "Not Roger". These are actual words used in "Not Roger's" many,
many diatribes. Of course we had to read between the filthy lines to get
these....

�shreeched� Wasn�t he the guy in a sit com? Screech?

�stuid� Pot calling the kettle black?

�heared� That�s West Virginia for heard.

�assuslted� Rog just makes em� up as he goes along.

�experieince� Oh, DUH!

�abouve� Is this a French word?

�Cosast� Some place by a body of water?

�mebership�, Just a typo, right?

�retqards� Regards or Retards? Who can tell?

�coordinbator� Huh?

�Realy� Really?

�Nitoiced� Keyboard error or stupidity?

Becasue

Licence

Convienince

Myabe

�occurance� Say what?

�srtuggling�

�definatrely�

�delapidated� What a dufus.

�picutre�

�permenatly� Ebonics again?

�Arest� You need a rest, Wogie.

�Sentenceing� No comment.

�Narcotices� What?

�Undre� Is this a city in Germany?

�Surgeoun� And this would be?

�Commuications� Who is laughing at who?

�Ovre� Ovre and out!

�Wondreful� It�s a �wondreful� day in the neighborhood?

�Derivitave� Still no spell-checker, eh?

�You lose, shit for brians.� Woger showing who real loser is.

�Deisowned� Deis what?

�Deeppest� Roger strikes again.

�Dodgeing�. Is he talking about a car?

�Staedy� Steady?

�Linme� Transmission line?

�Getr� Nobody knows.

�Experieince� Wogie is good, ain�t he?

�Appropiate� What kind of opiate?

�Laywer� Lay what?

�Visitpors� You never have any, ergo you don�t know how to spell it.

�It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel.� (Roger Wiseman on spelling complainant.)

�Irreevant� Good going, Wogie.

�Forwared� Forward HO!

�Glady� Glad Bags?

�Neighborhod� It�s a wonderful day in Wogie�s neighborhood.

�Mena� Mean? What you mean, Wogie?

�Notr� Not?

�Sassifyed� ALA Rolling Stones�No Sassifaction..

�Fantasises� That is �fantasizes�, Wussman.

�Illarate� West BY GOD Virginny!

�Reveresed� Must be one of those off-brand keyboards.

�Soem���as in Some? What a loser.

�VIRIN���What Wogie was before he met the Lavender Lads.

�Minisule���he mussa meant miniscule. Like his IQ.

�Champoin���Whassup, Wiseman? No dictionaries in the mental ward?

"Anoinymous"...a cross between anonymous and annoying?

�Acident� Roger�s mom got preggers by accident.

�Alreaedy� Alright, already!

�Amouints� Roger amounts to nothing.

�Aposterphe� This guy is a hoot, ain�t he?

"Basios"...word Woger uses when he gets neeeerrrrvous.
"Behing"...a cross between being and behind?

�Coiward� As in peeking from behind his mommy�s curtains.
"Feamle"...I think he meant female.
"Losser"...only loser Roger can do it better.
"Ignroant"...typical, considering the source.
"Sluprs"...only Rogie knows what this means.
"Travelled"...a Rogerism, replete with ignorance.
"Postss"...pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
"Defgenerate"...well, it's Roger's word...
"Faity"...he meant to say Fairy, but you know Roger.

�Ghet� Get a load of Curtain Boy!
"Distribiution"...Roger likes using phonics.
"Biotch"...he meant Bitch, but his phonics took over again.
"Methjadone"...a West Virginia substitute for Heroin.
"Sumbliminal"...one of Roger's favorites.
"Recepticle"...either of Roger's moist cavaties.
"Cociane"...a West Virginia drug of choice?
"Tiome"...Roger meant to say Time...but his fingers were numb.
"Beraking"...no definition. Could mean 'beraking' the leaves,
"Amny"...he meant 'any',
"Nlay"...a town in Maylaysia? Vermont?
"Al;ong"...only Wussman knows what this means.
"Becasu"...well, becasu Roger is not the brightest bulb on the tree.

"Seperation". Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate" from his mommie!
�Sisnce� He meant Since, but his pudgy little fingers slipped off the keys.
"gnetically" What?
"provce" (prove?)
"Piuzza" (West Virginia Pizza)
"Schol" (school?)
"strill" (still?)
�shoitgun� 12 ga or 20 ga?
"Snoip" (How they spell �snip� in Glen Dale)
"Maturbater". Wiseman does it all the time, he just can�t spell it.(leaves a sticky residue on his right hand)
"Coskscuking". It�s a Roger thing, as in Lavender Lads.
"Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale, eh?
"Spaeaking". Curtain Boy did this after his second retest.

� Stgop� Roger�s version of Stop.

�Fahter's� He meant Father�s Day.

�fserting�..would that be festering?

�poists�. Roger meant �posts�.

�snadwich� As in peanut butter and jelly?

�regualr� Glen Dale rendition of �regular�.

�aftrer� After? Aftrer what?

�soory�. Sorry Roger fucks up again.

�didnb't� He didn�t?

�whoile�. He ate the whoile thing?

�Woamn� He�s hooked on West Virginia phonics, all right.

�prrof� And he kin prove it, too.

�beforfe� Was that before he lurned to spell?

�yoiuy� Roger�s version of �y�all�.

�illerate� Only illiterate Roger can spell like this.

�haeart� Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!

�valule� Roger has no values. No wonder he can�t spell it.

�proch� Doesn�t everyone have one on their house?

�inmstitution� Where Roger�s mom put him in June 1999.

�timne� It�s Howdy Doody time?

�whgo� What? Who dat?

�gnetically� Only Roger knows what this one means�

�crfy� Like, cry, Roger, cry.

�stutrtering� Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.

�Whjere� Where is Curtain Boy?

�Webiste� That�s Website to the rest of us.

�Youire� Your? You�re? Who knows.

�fiored� As in fired?

�Parety� Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.

�Bouynce� Bounce?

�Varification� Verifiable mental case.

�Probelms� Poor Rogie�has many problems of the mental sort.

�Partricipate� Oh, DUH!!!!

�Cryed� Gee, Wogie..cry me a river�you dolt!

�Stoick� What a dork. His old 386 has no spell check program.

�Partiall� More WV Phonics, I see.

�Obsucred� Either obscured or Rogie has �sucre� on his mind.

�Infinate� Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.

�Sustinance� As in Sustenance?

�Juvinile�. This is the way Roger likes his boys.

�Piut� Put it where, Rogie?

�Toyus�. �Toyus R Us?

�Diminuative�. Roger�s height.

�Definitely� Definitely

�Percriptions� Say what?

�Credibiliy� More Glen Dale intellect.

�Thsoe� Yet another Glen Dale gaffe.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3603. Re: Tarapia Tapioco, 610 Glen Haven, WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 18:38:13 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d2mopd$382$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105119
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Anonymous" <nobody@paranoici.org> wrote in message
news:71e176f66c0270bc4c6e3eab9bddc448@paranoici.org...
In article <d2ldmc$4bg$0@pita.alt.net>
"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote:
>
> Tarapia Tapioco...what an oddity.

Arf!arf! what an oddity. But then, he IS an unwashed, unwanted,
unloved fat bastard.
Aren't you, Tubby Davies?

Keep guessing, dumbass, I'm still not Roger.

Keep guessing, dumbass, I'm still not Lloyd Davies.

3604. Re: I Am Not Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 19:23:21 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <fd3cad4321cdff81c2ce7f4d64980c91@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 105120

In article <424ee413$1_2@127.0.0.1>
"I Am Not Roger" <NotRo...@assholes.turd> wrote:
>
>
> "Anonymous" <nob...@paranoici.org> wrote in message
> news:d1bed233b9c7d9ddfaf7ca1cafcd32ac@paranoici.org...
> In article <d2l7hq$ls...@pita.alt.net>
> "Not Roger" <f...@fruitdingwogie.com> wrote:
> >
> > I understand
>
> Oh, Tubby, tell us why you are just a no code technician and a
> career pizza delivery BOY.
> .
>
> Ok, I will if you will

Oh, so you are Tubby Davies. And you argue like that woman you
are deep down inside, Tubby.

> 'splain

"'splain?" Mongolloytd makes good spell!

> why you are living in your mommie's house

No, stupid, I'm not.

> and why you have been kicked off so many isp's.

I have? Wrong as usual, Tubby.

> Your turn, Joo Boi.

Says the Jew boy Davies. Davies is a Jew boy, just like Mikey
Blevinsx and Kenny Schneider!
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure
Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via
Encryption =----

Poor Tubby, mow what do you always whine about "anonymous
remailers?" Using a service that masked your identity? And, why
did you get a visit from the Athens Police, Tubby?

3605. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 19:32:42 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <0M0F47MK38444.6060416667@anonymous>
Source record: 105121

In article <d2kubl$2gd$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lanny" <JooRoger@JooLand> wrote:

Rich, coming from a self hating Jew like you, Blevins.
> ....
>
> Well, yes, you ARE a homeowner now. Now that your adoptive
mommy passed away
> and you inherited same.

Wrong, dumbass. Tell us why your Nazi day care is under
"investigation"

Michael Herbert Blevins
3224 LINDEN DRIVE SARASOTA FL 34232
(941) 378-9153

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

3606. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 20:04:12 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1112472252.059936.184050@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105122
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I Am Not Roger wrote:
>
> Roger not <Mongolloyd not only fumbled, he actually waddled into the goal post and passed out, as usual. At lest this time it wasn't the odor from his rotten and missing teeth.>

"breaddead" - LLoyd hasn't got anything upstairs in the oven
"defenseive" - Lardass is "defensive" of his ignorance
"counter-offenseive" - When LARDASS LLoyds attack
"nights" - Athens ASSHOLE was referring to last "night's" masturbation session
"I forget to set the playlist in Winamp to repeat!" - Mongolloyd also "forgets" the use of past tense, maybe he forgot!
"Techincal" - Lloyd just hates those "technical" things
"Dalkes" - Lloyd's granddad remembers when movies became "talkies" too bad LARDASS learned to Dalk <sic>
"That it!" - When Mongolloyds get real mad, they talk like Tor Johnson
"Woger" - LARDASS closely identifies with Elmer Fudd with his lack of hair and all
"decide on weather or not" - It's always "stormy weather" with Lloyd deciding "whether" or not to use correct English
"can not" - Mongolloyd is wondering how he "cannot" seem to get ahead in life
"is'nt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he is mad?
"PAINFULL" - How LARDASS' bathroom scale feels when he steps on it in the morning, real "painful"
"I wish for Roger Wisemand" - Lloyd liked thosed thingd ford Rogerd
"alot" - Davies dreams he can communicate in English a lot
"thier" - Lavender Lloyd sure does lisp after a night behind the dumpster
"dont" - Lloyd says "dont", the rest of the world says "don't"
"Isreal" - Is FATASS Lloyd's retardation for real, or can he blame Israel?
"juist jelous" "extermenate" - A three for one post Athens Asshole axiom
"footting" - What LARDASS doesn't have, an equal "footing" anywhere
"Cant" - Emmanuel?
"th" - When Lloyd gets nervous, he stutte, er stutters
"enfighting" - Lloyd's two brain cells are infighting for control of his stupidity
"YOU STUPID JEW!" - Wasn't Jesus Jewish, so called Christian LLoyd?
"Tonite" - There's nothing in Lloyd's brain "tonight" or any night
"segmant" - What Lloyd's communications are, only sentence "segments"

"I just listening" - Too bad he doesn't listen to his poor English
"buffon" - The Athens, Alabama "buffoon" strikes again!
"museium" - Where LARDASS LLoyd keeps his "muse"
"nastey" - How everybody describes LARDASS' body stench, especially in the ghetto
"loose" - Lloyd's description of his spinchter muscles...
"wont" - Lloyd refuses to learn English, it's Lloyd's "wont"
"awhile" - It will be "a while" before Davies gets a clue
"freinds" - Lloyd's conglomeration of "friends" and "fiends"
"thier" - "There" just isn't any hope for LARDASS Lloyd "ill-educated" Davies
"backstabers" - Lloyd's version of the OJays' hit
"becuase" - Home of the "Deliverance Extras" spelling by LARDASS Lloyd
"obselete" - What LARDASS thinking is to him, others see it as 'obsolete'.....
"belive" - What fools like LARDASS Lloyd "believes"
"thier" - Ill-educated Xtian LARDASS Lloyd shows off his language skills!
"marshalls" - Mongolloyd spell "marshals" real good!
Wisemand - LARDASSd fucksd upd againd
"compay" - Mongolloyd never gets any female "compay," while others do get "company"
" But when we are winning," DUMBFUCK, YOU have NEVER WON anything in your miserable life!
"and do the nasty!" You don't really know what that means, do you, 30 year old VIRGIN?
"It's does" - Mongolloyd use good English
"momnet" - LARDASS Lloyd Davies misses his dead "momnet" for a "moment"
"seriuosly" - Lavender Lad President Mongolloyd wants to be taken "seriously" instead of "seriuosly"
"annouced" - Mongolloyd "announces" his stupidity again
"tonite" - Davies never lets his lack of education stop him from announcing to the world he's a fucking imbecile!
"nite" - When LARDASS Lloyd molests those kids he makes them say "nite, nite" at "night"
"weldare" - Calling Dr. Weldare, LARDASS needs his head out of his FATASS....put it on his welfare bill
"so fuck of" - When Mongolloyds who have man tits talk tough......
"fukcing funney" - Alabama deliverance extra Davies speak
"pictrue" - "Picture" yourself as a Davies Mongolloyd
"isseus" - Davies has "issues" too many to list here
"resortjng" - Is Lloyd from Finland?
"attsxks" - When LARDASS Davies "attacks" he uses illiteracy
"basturds"- What mental illness patient LARDASS Lloyd calls his nurses
"shkt" - Mongolloyd Davies' "Skittles", he likes that "Hershey rainbow"
"law suit" - LARDASS never leaves his HUD apartment with out his law suit
"opinionn" - When LARDASSn postsn whiln drunkn
"intrenet harrasssmint" - Tries to make LARDASS Lloyd's rotting teeth feel fresh

"sneeky" - The teachers always "sneaked" Lloyd through the next grade
"that' wasnet" - LLoyd was out that year of school that they went over English grammar
idiout! - "Lloyid" <sic> strikes out again!
"speling" - Mongolloyd Davies the "spelling" bee champ of the retards!
"reas isseus instaed" - Davies talking out of that cheap beer again
"atttacking" - LARDASS Lloyd stutters when he gets upset.....ttttt.....
"challegne" - When Mongolloyds drink and post
"metnal" - Mongolloyd just doesn't have the "mental" ability to debate......
"debste" - What Lloyd's mommy did to him in the bathtub
"cahllenge" - Mongolloyd speak for "call" and "challenge"
"basturds" - LLoyd ,Amanda's illegitimate "bastard" sure does have a scat fetish
"morsd" - Whend Daviesd drinkd andd postd
"wont" - It is Mongolloyd's "wont" to be stupid, "won't " you let him?
"youd" - Oops, LARDASS did it again!
"poinion" - Must be an Athens Alabama "Deliverance" thing
"Woger Wiseman"- LARDASS Lloyd's got that cocksucker's lisp
"isnt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he slobbers?
"worfh" - Davies' cocksucking lisp has returned
"waset of spaceda" - When Lloyd's only friend Colt 45 malt liquor kicks in
"Oppulant" - The only thing "opulent" in LARDASS is that he is rich in ignorance
"obly" - LARDASS lisp from cocksucking shows when he tries to say his favorite fag artist "Moby"
"wood" - LARDASS LLOYD'S head "would" be made of "wood"
"dserve" - LARDASS lives in that ghetto where they say he sure does "dserve" those pizzas
"frum" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES wonders where his real father is "from"
"DISCUST" - Mongolloyd Davies' command of the English language is "disgusting"
"You worthless coward are scared of debating me." - Mongolloyd make good sentence
"jellous" - Most of LARDASS Lloyd's cellulite body is "jealous" of skinny, in shape people
"It just didn't wok out" - When LARDASS tried to stir fry those nutsacks for pizza topping, Pappa John's terminated him saying he didn't "work out".
"entact" - LARDASS' single brain cell isn't "intact" either.
"empahis" - Notice how LARDASS Lloyd's "emphasis" is always on his fucking stupidity.
"Fuck all fo you" - Christian LARDASS Lloyd showing that Jesus spirit.....
"bllod" - How LARDASS Lloyd spells "blood"
"did'nt" - LARDASS "didn't" do very well in school
"PLEASE by a clue" - LARDASS Lloyd's parents couldn't even "buy" him an education
"extrodinare!" - LARDASS is a moron "Extraordinaire"

"unsubsribe" - Mongolloyd Davies "subscribes" to the theory that
ignorance is bliss...he must be in heaven
"Must've struck a cord" - LARDASS confuses his favorite sex fetish of
tying his penis with a light cord and masturbating to the word "chord".
"dumass" - Dumbass Davies trips and falls in shit again.
"Them two bigtime losers!" - Mongolloyd mentally ill Davies makes good
sentence!
"straming" - Lardass usually has to wash his sheets from the "stream"
of piss when he wets the bed or not given his filthy HUD apartment
"surpassinf" - LARDASS is always "surpassing" his previous levels of
stupidity.
"pleanty" - <guffaw!> "Plenty" of stupidity coming from LARDASS Lloyd.
"Cause i'll have law lock you up and throw away the key!" - Mongolloyd
talk tough!
"pome" - When LARDASS WADSWORTHLESS Stubbyfellow writes a "poem"
"Once she here's my show" - Most people hears a show, LARDASS "here's"
them!
"forgotton" - LARDASS has "forgotten" what he was taught in special Ed
"I want your seriousness" - LARDASS does his take on "I want your sex"
"I did'nt ask for your fucking grammer checking!" - LARDASS Lloyd
revels in his ignorance!
"agrument" - When the voices in LARDASS Lloyd's mentally ill head
collide they have an "argument"
"craetive" - Mongolloyd Davies isn't very "creative" with his
stupidity, however.
"You could have posted it, for we know!" - LARDASS make good sentence!
"bottem" - Mongolloyd likes those little boys' "bottoms" from hanging
with Steve Holsten
"James Wickstrom talked about tonite that out threre, thier Sherriff's
department" - Mongolloyd
shows off those Pulitzer prize writing skills.
"bring yer cents" - Mongolloyd show how he flunked out of school
because he had no "sense"
"You peeks out" - LARDASS Lloyd and stupidity a symbiotic relationship.
"Be thier" - LARDASS Lloyd make good sentence!
"If you pussys have balls you confront me!!! Deep down you are scared
of me. You our jellous
because I am the great almighty Time Lord!!!!
The Time Lord always wins in the end." - Does it really need to be said
about Mongolloyd Davies?
"yerself", "hisself" - LARDASS picked up his language skills or lack
thereof from the
niggers his mommy Amanda serviced.
"I lost TEN POUNDS on my diet simce first of the year!" - Too bad
LARDASS didn't gain any language skills
"The best Amatuer Radio talk show ever!" - Chosen 5 to 1 over literacy
by other ill-educated morons and Mongolloyd
"All of my Daleks have been dispached!" - Too bad some extra brain
neurons haven't, Mongolloyd.
"satillite" - When LARDASS met the space between his ears

"Tuseday" - LARDASS' usual day to wear his pink tutu
"I am 210 punds now!" - LARDASS lies and fucks up again!
"jelous" - LARDASS Lloyd is "jealous" of those who can spell, read, and write.
"peoples" - LARDASS Lloyd the "people's" choice of the Biggest L-O-S-E-R!
"curtians" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES uses those "curtains" in his filthy apartment as a masturbation rag
"depsised" - Mongolloyd Davies, who the entire real ham community has "despised" for his lack of ability
to pass a simple 5 wpm code test or General theory
"sicker" - When Lloyd Davies has a mouth full of cum he can't say "sticker"
"liceneses" - Mongolloyd Davies has one of those exclusive "licenses" on stupidity
"isseus" - LARDASS has "issues" with people of intelligence.
"conquor" - Mentally ill LARDASS Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his obesity and mental illness
"crakheads" - Retard Davies make good sentence!
"servent" - Roger Wiseman's willing "servant" makes another gaffe.
"lesibens" - When Benjamins take the wild side...the "lesbians" don't care, though!
"Los Angles" - Mongolloyd has the wrong "angle" about "Los Angeles"
"busses" - Do they take the motored "buses" or do they take the
"thier" - You say "their," Lardass says "thier".... to-may-to, to-mah-to.....
"eductional" - LARDASS Lloyd's writing is "educational" on how severe illiteracy is in his family.
"She sounded like she really whappy to here from me." - Mongolloyd get rejected again!
"This is morning I weigh at 207 pounds. This should prove to you, that I can succeed if I put my
mind to it. I know some your stupid critics can't see that, but I'm not here to please you." -
- LARDASS makes the "huh?" factor rise.
"This looks much more like sexy Lloyd" - Porky Pig lookalike stubby tubby Davies dreams
he looks like a skinny, tall Billy Zane
"I figured you the thought of me owning..." - Lardass dreams the impossible dream of thought
"I took you a long hour and a several minutes..." - Too bad LARDASS Lloyd didn't take and pass English
"I get a laugh over you!" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"did'nt" - "Didn't" Lardass ever pass any school subject?
"Proves to show" - Lavender Lloyd proves he is an imbecile
"It' paid off" - Alcoholic LARDASS Lloyd's bar and utility bills never are though.
"I wear if with" - Davies wears his stupidity well
"You need to get al ife and a job." Mongolloyd retardo Davies needs to get English lessons and

not dream of an "Al ife" with "Al Queda"
"I've never fucking pretending attorneys!" - LARDASSclown Davies never had to pretend to be stupid, either!
"...what your life must me like..." - Mongolloyd's life of pizza delivery and trashcan robots is really something to want, too, isn't it?
"manor" - Lardassclown Davies' "manners" are like those of a hog....
"Christien" - Poor LARDASS Davies, he's shows why ignorance is bliss in the eyes of the Lord.
"reely" - Mongolloyd Davies is "really" stupid, isn't he?
"please don't imposter me more" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"distastful" - A description of LARDASSCLOWN DAVIES' eating habits.
"commnet" - Most people make "comments," ill-educated Lloyd Davies makes "commnets".
"None of you refuse to tell me who you are." - Huh, Mongolloyd?
"Millinium" - Even after a "millennium" LARDASS couldn't be smart!
"afriad" - LARDASSclown Davies isn't too smart, I'm "afraid"
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd Davies sure has a "powerful" command of the English language.
"conquor" - Lardass Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his idiocy.
"I always new that your stupidity would trip you up, Mr. Wiseman." - I just "knew" Llardass Lloyd couldn't pose as "Christy Davies" without fucking it up and outing himself.
"I have given it some though and decided to come back" - Mongolloyd has never given anything any "thought." (from another "I'm leaving" speech/lie)
"usaul" - As "usual" Lardass lloyd Davies fucks up!
"Secwet Woger " Llardass Llavender Llad Lloyd's been sucking so many cocks he's got a lisp!
"douters" - Even though he has his "doubters", it's "doubtful" Llardass Lloyd has an I.Q. over 70.
"bypolar" - Mongolloyd Davies is a "bipolar bisexual".
"desperite" - Lardass Lloyd is so "desperate" to win at something, anything.
"situtation" - Most people get in "situations, " but not our total fuck-up Lloyd.
"aholt" - Lardass Lloyd usually gets "a hold" of himself on a Saturday night, since he has no date!
"mysteriuos" - Mongolloyd Davies, "mysterious" survivor of a coat hanger abortion attempt.
"I've did" - Most people say " I did"
"Woger" - When cocksucking, lisping fags try to say " Roger."
"for sometime" - Lardass has been a mentally ill retard "for some time" now.
"mommie's" - When Mongolloyds say "Mommy's" as in "Mommy's house."
"drop out" - Lloyd was a High school and college " dropout."
"backpedalling" - "Backpedaling" is what Lardass does a lot of.
"Maybe two years back" - Mongolloyd speak.
"outted" - Lardass Lloyd's illteracy at work.
"I've riddled Woger for years as Arf! Arf!" - Mongolloyd is Arf!Arf!,

and his stupidity is no riddle.
"self esteme" - One thng is for sure, Lardass has it..."low self esteem," that is.
"short comings" - Lardass has many, many "shortcomings."
"yahoo" - Lardass always goes into the "Yahoo!" gay chat rooms.
"The truth hurts you see" - So does reading your mangling of the English language, Lardass.
"His stupidity can not be disguised for long." - Lardass' stupidity "cannot" be disquised at all.
"entireity" - Lardass is the embodiment of stupidity in its' "entirety."
"bothe" - Lardass is "both" stupid and retarded.
"got hime" - Lardass has got "him" a case of the dumbass!
"evelope" - Mongolloyd pushes the stupidity "envelope" to the max.
"chiicken" - Lardass Lloyd should eat more "chicken" instead of leftover pizzas then he wouldn't be obese.
"dont" - "Don't" ever improve your English skills, Lardass!
"likign" - Huh? More Mongolloyd Ebonics.
"you ignorant spawn of satin" - Lardass ironically confesses his love of wearing lace!
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd's brain cell isn't "powerful" enough to light a christmas light.
"heresay" - Mongolloyd is a fucking idot, and that's no "hearsay."
"glas" - Lardass Lloyd never uses a "glass" to drink his sticky protein.
"freind" - Dicksucking Davies hasn't got a "friend" in the world.
"yu" - Lardass, "you" really should've gotten a better education!
"Got Brother Stair tuned in here to listen to while sleeping tonite!" - Mongolloyd fucks up again!
"I am not a retrard" - Oh, yes, you are, Lardass!
"Got you in another lie!" - We've "caught you" in another fuckup, Dumbass Davies!
"I am not mentally challenged! I have some pontential." - Mongolloyd is in denial about his imbecility and has the "potential" to become an even bigger jackass!
"did'nt" - Mongolloyd "didn't ever pass Spelling.
"soon all your asses are belong to sherrif" - Mongolloyd fantazises about having sex with his local "sheriff"...
"shure" - We are "sure" you are a fucking moron, Llardass Lloyd!!
"proxie servers" "conecting" - Mongolloyd used "proxy servers" when he "connected" to spam Josh's board.
"enfrocement" - Mongolloyd also fucked up when he spoofed caller ID's and spammed boards, and now law "enforcement" is watching him.
"apoligize" - Mongolloyd's parents should "apologize" for ever siring such a mentally ill retard.
"meagre" - Mongolloyd's "meager" brain can't comprehend English.
"goten" - If only Mongolloyd would've "gotten" an education!
"Prepositioned" - Mongolloyd always gets "propositioned" by nouns and pronouns!
"temting" - Mongolloyd is as "tempting" as a root canal.

"accept" - Mongolloyd use the wrong word in the wrong place again!
"normaly" - "Normally" Lardass would be passed out from Two bud lites by now
"red head" - Little Red is shoving his big ole black dickhead in Lardass' fat piehole.
"Aflack" - Mongolloyd fucks up yet again..www.aflac.com
"Dickionary" - Mongolloyd is almost as bad as his queer lover Holsten in obsessing about dicks.
"Pnig" - Mongolloyd can "ping" an anvil off of his thick malformed skull
"pratice" - Lardass has lots of "practice" fucking up.
"dont" - Dicksucking Davies is too stupid to use an apostrophe, isn't he?
Mongolloyd thinks "Lent" and "lint" are pronounced the same.
"ancinet" - Mongolloyd will be past "ancient" before he gets any pussy.
"harrasment" - Lardass just loves when his fat asshole gets "harassment" from the drunk black winos!
"persecute" - Mongolloyd "Christy Davies" Attorney-at-Law makes quite the lapsis calami in his "prosecution".
"fulest" - Little Lloydie is "fullest" when he's done sucking down wino nutsack goo!
"i'll" "wether" - Mongolloyd, "I'll" just bet you can't decide "whether" or not to clean your shitty underpants or keep wearing them.
"authroities" - Mongolloyd's familiar with the "authorities" since his brother has been carted away to jail more times than he can count.
"Perhaps I can Hal on my show too!" - Perhaps you should consider remedial English, FATASS!
"cannonized" - Mongolloyd thinks somebody will have to be shot out of a cannon to be "canonized"
"This board is alot better than all them N54 Boards!" - Mongolloyd is sure overwhelmed, "then", by "all those" words!
"I dont reconnise you opinions" - Mongolloyd "doesn't recognize" his stupidity, either.
" I donlt care" - Obviously, Lardass, obviously.
"Thats" "benifit" - "That's" one of Lardass' many fuckups. He doesn't have the "benefit" of having a dictionary.
"I already notice Woger seeing my everywhere" - Drunken fat bastard Lardass never notices when he fucks up.
"Look, crackhead, I am NOT degenerates!" - Mongolloyd isn't very literate, either.
"URASE" - Mongolloyd's empty skull never had to be "ERASED" it never contained anything to begin with.
"I reading the board tonite" - Mongolloyd can only read comic books.
"REMIDER" - Lardass never has to leave a "reminder" of his many fuck ups.
"jsut" - Mongolloyd is "just" a mentally ill retard.
"Im" - "I'm" sure Lardass isn't getting any pussy.
"theere" - Mongolloyd isn't all "there".
"Activatiuons" - Lardass Mongolloyd always has his stupidity "activations" ready to show themselves.

"steganographic" - Mongolloyd takes his "stenographic" duties as a pizza order taker seriously.
Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp!
"dogy" - Mongolloyd likes it "doggy" style. Receiving, it, that is.
"Tonigjht" - Mongolloyd will be on here "tonight" because he can never get a woman.
"1987 rqadio shack reciever" - Mongolloyd the cheapass makes good spell!
"neber" - Mongolloyd will "NEVER" get laid!
"yourslelf" - Go fuck "yourself", Mongolloyd!
"You must really hate be because" - Lardassed Mongolloyd really hates the English language, too!
"Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp again!
"Roger Wiseman better know as the" - Mongolloyd, better "known" as the eternal virgin and mentally ill retard.
"Secwet" - Mongolloyd is queer and proud.
"turd in the punch bowel." - Mongolloyd knows about bowels, not "bowls". Mongolloyd eats on the floor and in bowel cavities.
"he was refused by the Air Force in 1978" - Mongolloyd, how does one get "refused" by any military? Especially when he was honorably discharged a year earlier?
"was sent for a 90 observation period " Dumbfucking fuckhead, what is a 90 observation period???? BWHAHAHAHAHA
"shoudl" - Mongolloyd "should" really shut the fuck up.
"Here is" - Here "are" some more examples why Lardass is a fucking imbecile.
"Woger" - Lardass is here and QUEER!
"Goddman that Lloyd is sexy!" - But that Mongolloyd is so sexy he is still a VIRGIN. BWHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
"laugheds" - Mongolloyd was always "laughed" at.
"I have off this newsgroup for over 2 years!" - Mongolloyd should "off" himself, instead of "being off".
"I have my own internet Talk show, what can be said about your useless self." - Mongolloyd has no listeners or anything useful to say. He's so "useless,"
"that's what can be said" about him.
"You are very useless on here, jon" - While Mongolloyd Davies is useless on and offline.
"Even used up toilet paper has a use, you sure dont!" - Most people flush "used up toilet paper, " Mongolloyd eats used up toilet paper, "don't" he?
"I'll shot to kill" - Lardass, you couldn't handle a cap gun.
"Re,omder" - Lardass always leaves us a "reminder" that he is as smart as a rock.

"resuce" - Mongolloyd can't "rescue" himself from his own stupidity!

"maocked" - Mongolloyd fucks up again, no wonder he is "mocked" for his stupidity!!!

"tipe" - If Mongolloyd the Lardass would pull his malformed head out of his ass, he could "type" correctly.

"pregant" - With his looks, Lardass Davies never has to worry about getting a girl "pregnant."
"when thet saw" - And when "they" saw Lardass' whimpering, they knew he was a big fucking PUSSY.
"Mayeb" - "Maybe" somebody will feel sorry for you and give you a charity fuck, but it's doubtful, Mongolloyd!
"tmorrow" - Mongolloyd will never get a woman, "tomorrow" or any other day.
"Palastine" - Mongolloyd couldn't find "Palestine" on a fucking map or anyplace else.
"Woger the notsowiseman" - Mongolloyd the eternal idiot fucks up and shows off his cocksucking lisp again.
"I hope kills himself" - Mongolloyd wishes he had killed himself.
"agruement." - Mongolloyd is a fucking imbecile, no "argument."
"You're posts" - BWHAHAHAHAHA.."your" fucking stupidity stands out as well, you fucking idiotic fat fuck.
"Globin Woger" - Mongolloyd the 5'6 280 pound garden gnome makes good spell and IKYABWAI.
"Go aheah" - Mongolloyd never can get "ahead" of his stupidity.
"ssomething" - Mongolloyd "ssure" (his spelling) did fuck up again!
"pictures show email them" - Lardass "should" know better then to "show" his stupidity.
"mor" - Mongolloyd gets "more" stupid by the minute.
"celbrating" - Mongolloyd is "celebrating" his stupidity.
"palgues" - Lardass Davies is a "plague" that needs exterminated.
"have the nerver" - Lardassed Mongolloyd "has the nerve" to criticize others.
"Golen" - Mongolloyd's fuckups are "golden" ammunition against the dumb fat fuck.
"posts my closet" - Lardass "by" default fucks up again.
"Froce" - Mongolloyd tires to spell "force", what his drunk daddy did with his dick on Mongolloyd's asshole.
"AUTHROIXATION" - Mongolloyd Davies never needs "auhorization" to be idiotic.
"morrse" - Lardass can't pass 5 wpm "Morse" code.
"netwerk" - Mongolloyd is part of the idiot "network."
"characture" - Mongolloyd is a "character"..a villiage idiot.
"Learn to spelll" - Lardass fucks up again!
"describes to the T" - Mongolloyd is an IDIOT, that "describes him to a T."
"a peace of me" - We take a "piece" out of Lardass' ample ass on a daily basis.
"bus fair" - Lardass can't pay his own bills on time , much less anybody's "bus fare."
"waht" - "What" do you know, Lardass fucks up again!
"to pay for you" - Lardass you can't "pay for your" own expenses.
"HELLUVA ALOT" - Lardass' English skills are not "a Hell of a Lot" of help to him.

"mentionm" - Lardass never wants us to "mention" or point out his numerous fuck ups.
"your are" - Mongolloyd, "you are" one of the stupidest assholes on the internet.
"alone may to much " - Lardass's sentence construction "alone MAY be too much" to his tiny brain to handle!

You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

"Woger make false bad spell" - Lardass "makes" and ASS of himself, again.

""Mongolloyd". Isn't even word," - BWHAHAHAHA Mongolloyd is English-impaired.
"mentaly illed" - Mongolloyd knows all about being "mentally ill."

"Woger" "femine" - Mongolloyd shows his "feminine" side when he lusts for "Roger's" cock.
"Wheer" - Mongolloyd always wonders "where" his brain is.

Mongolloyd make good spells!
Mongolloyd fumbles, ALWAYS.

3607. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 21:00:20 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <648d23bc45fb7d454785d65bd3220a3e@dizum.com>
Source record: 105123

In article <d2mk9d$p9r$0@pita.alt.net>
"Dog" <d...@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:

> Hello Pam,
>
> <blah, blah blah....> FCC ordered him to retest twice for
causing malicious qrm to other hams?
^^^^^^^^^^^^

"Retest twice????"... You never do get it right, do you total
fuck up?

July 11, 2000

CERTIFIED MAIL--RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47
C.F.R.
Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to re-
administer any
examination element previously administered by Volunteer
Examiners (VE's).
The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or
under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
designated by
the Commission.

Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class
Amateur
Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the supervision
of an
American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner Coordinator. This
examination
must be completed on or before September 11, 2000. The required
code speed
that you must demonstrate is 5 words per minute.

Please be prepared to verify your current address and to present
a photo
identification. Pursuant to Commission rules, your license will
be cancelled
if you do not appear for re-examination. You will be granted an
Amateur
Radio license consistent with the elements you pass upon re-
examination.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio

Enforcement Bureau

Now where in the letter does it say "interference(qrm) is the
reason for the retest?

Oh, Holsten, is Lardass' buddy, a registered sex offender. Are
you still molesting your Grandson Jason and that little mulatto
mongrel newborn baby of yours?

Steve Holsten
611 W 9th St,
Kennett, MO 63857
(573)717-7705
(573)717-7706

3608. Re: Tarapia Tapioco, 610 Glen Haven, WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 21:10:09 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <1f93b9aa5327821f829920a4df2d10ed@dizum.com>
Source record: 105124
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d2mopd$382$0@pita.alt.net>
"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote:
>
>
> "Anonymous" <nob...@paranoici.org> wrote in message
> news:71e176f66c0270bc4c6e3eab9bddc448@paranoici.org...
> In article <d2ldmc$4b...@pita.alt.net>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote:
> >
> > Tarapia Tapioco...what an oddity.
>
> Arf!arf! what an oddity. But then, he IS an unwashed, unwanted,
> unloved fat bastard.
> Aren't you, Tubby Davies?
>
>
> Keep guessing, dumbass, I'm still not Roger.
>
>
> Keep guessing, dumbass, I'm still not Lloyd Davies.

Keep guessing, dumbass. You are still an unwashed, unwanted,
unloved fat bastard.

3609. Re: ham radio psycholoLical Test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 21:42:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <jlE3e.10995$z.7277@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105126

"Fredrick Garvin" <Garv@elaborate.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.04.02.21.15.47.516544@elaborate.com...

>
> April fools.
>
> Listen if it were true half or more hams would fail it. Including me!
>
>

Assuming it is true, which it ain't. Then in order to pass it and get a
license you would have to fail the test. Get it?

Dan/W4NTI

3610. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 21:42:43 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <Y2NNAHM938445.2379976852@reece.net.au>
Source record: 105125

In article
<1112389822.033316.278380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> Such as?

Everytime you open your slobbering yap. Oh, and clean the snot
out of your moustache.

> Then why don't you come out from behind the anonymizer,
Coward?

See what I mean, Nursie? You are so easy.

> But you're STILL an anonymous coward.

Changing the subject from your spelling screwup, Nursie? You
argue just like a woman.

> You're right. That's why I am a Nurse instead of a CNA.

I know I'm right, you dullard. That's why you are making so many
excuses.

> You still haven't answered the question.

You still haven't provided any "details" of all these scofflaw's
"offenses", either.

> You claim that going "toe-to-toe" with me is "child's
play", yet
> you can't seem to answer simple questions.

Yet you dodge the questions I asked before, Nursie.

> What's up with that? A comprehension problem?

It is in your case, Nursie.

> > More excuses, Nursie. The fact is you get your panties in a
wad
> > and you screw up.
>
> And the issue is still there.

So is your screwup.

> I dropped the "e". You seemed to have gotten confused
over one
> dropped vowel. I still contend that if that was too much for

you, you
> have a problem.

Excuses, excuses, you've got a million of 'em, Nursie.

> Then send me your money, anonymous coward, because it's a
lost bet.

That hard up for money you have to beg for it, Nursie?

> Oh? ANd where IS that place of employment, Anaonymous
Coward?
> What shift do I work? With whom?

Learn to spell "Anonymous" first, Nursie. You get your panties
in a wad and you always screw up.
And you must be losing it, you can't even remember where you
work.

> Remember now...You've stated you've "seen it". So let's
have some
> relative facts here!

Sort of like the "facts" that you pull out of your fatass about
others?

> > Good thing she didn't live long enough to realize how
pathetic
> > you are, Nursie.
>
> =)

Oh, so you are glad she dies, Nursie? Not very fatherly.

> Then you are again confused. Sucks to be you, I suppose.

No, you are in denial girdle boy. It does suck to be you, you
have people dying around you all the time.

3611. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 22:05:38 UTC
From: Dog <dog@twenmtrsdxboys.net>
Message-ID: <d2n4urorr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105127

"Nomen Nescio" <nobody@dizum.com> wrote in message
news:648d23bc45fb7d454785d65bd3220a3e@dizum.com...
> In article <d2mk9d$p9r$0@pita.alt.net>
> "Dog" <d...@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:
>
>> Hello Pam,
>>
>> <blah, blah blah....> FCC ordered him to retest twice for
> causing malicious qrm to other hams?
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> "Retest twice????"... You never do get it right, do you total
> fuck up?
>
> ------------
> July 11, 2000
>
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL--RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
>
>
> Mr. Roger L. Wiseman
>
>
> 610 Glen Haven Avenue
>
>
> Glendale, WV 26038-1302
>
>
> SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO
>
>
> Dear Mr. Wiseman:
>
>
> Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47
> C.F.R.
> Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to re-
> administer any
> examination element previously administered by Volunteer
> Examiners (VE's).
> The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or
> under the

> supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
> designated by
> the Commission.
>
>
> Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class
> Amateur
> Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the supervision
> of an
> American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner Coordinator. This
> examination
> must be completed on or before September 11, 2000. The required
> code speed
> that you must demonstrate is 5 words per minute.
>
>
> Please be prepared to verify your current address and to present
> a photo
> identification. Pursuant to Commission rules, your license will
> be cancelled
> if you do not appear for re-examination. You will be granted an
> Amateur
> Radio license consistent with the elements you pass upon re-
> examination.
>
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
> W. Riley Hollingsworth
>
>
> Special Counsel, Amateur Radio
>
>
> Enforcement Bureau
> ------------------------------
> Now where in the letter does it say "interference(qrm) is the
> reason for the retest?
>
> Oh, Holsten, is Lardass' buddy, a registered sex offender. Are
> you still molesting your Grandson Jason and that little mulatto
> mongrel newborn baby of yours?
>
> Steve Holsten
> 611 W 9th St,
> Kennett, MO 63857
> (573)717-7705
> (573)717-7706
>

That's a post-edited copy of the *real* letter.

Dog

3612. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 22:26:21 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cb89a984f2933da98968d@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 105128

In article <atp%d.1354$S33.941@fe09.lga>, wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM
says...
> Woo Hoo, only WY left for WAS!

Congratulations! I think I=3Fm shy Wyoming and one or two others. The
November Sweepstakes and Field Day are good opportunities to pick up
missing states.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

3613. Re: WAS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 22:26:21 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cb89ae99f41b0ef98968e@news-server.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 105129

In article <Rs22e.4756$JE3.4676@fe11.lga>, wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM
says...
> Just finished up with K8BBM to put WY in the log and earn WAS QRP CW!
>
> Next goal is WAC, then DXCC.
>
> ...and it all started with PA on 7031 on 9/10/03...courtesy of N2EY. Tnx
> Jim. :-)
>
>
Wow, congrats!
--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net

3614. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 23:14:10 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <two.time.retest.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 105130

On Sat, 2 Apr 2005 17:05:38 -0500, Dog <dog@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:
:
: That's a post-edited copy of the *real* letter.

And besides, that's not Roger Wiseman. Therefore, that person has no
access to the original FCC letters; only copies. Now if he *were*
Roger Wiseman, his comments might carry some weight.

But, alas, he isn't. He said so.

====================
"Roger hasn't recieved 'repeat visits with the chief of police'. You
ar a liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass." -- Secwet
Woger in message-id <b14c37cf833370abc4122a241ccf54c4@melontraffickers.com>

3615. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-02 23:32:32 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <mfqdnbfrNNwMttLfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105131

Stagger Lee wrote:
> On Sat, 2 Apr 2005 17:05:38 -0500, Dog <dog@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:
> :
> : That's a post-edited copy of the *real* letter.
>
> And besides, that's not Roger Wiseman. Therefore, that person has no
> access to the original FCC letters; only copies. Now if he *were*
> Roger Wiseman, his comments might carry some weight.
>
> But, alas, he isn't. He said so.

http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0503.html
GLENDALE, WV [UPDATE]: The FCC had written General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and Advanced licensee Steven A. Tunder, N8WGM, that included allegations of interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth cautioned Tunder and Wiseman to review ï¿½97.1 of the Amateur Radio rules and "to make certain that whatever disputes that may exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Wiseman alleged in a subsequent communication to the ARRL that Tunder had been harassing him and that he had made the FCC aware of it in the first place. Wiseman indicated that he had been in touch with Hollingsworth to clarify the situation. The FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20 meters.

http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0719.html
GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, retake the General examination elements under the supervision of an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by September 11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and another licensee that included allegations of interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20 meters.

http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/1999/1119.html
GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General

licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth. Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described could result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that the licensee contact him to discuss the allegations.

3616. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 00:15:45 UTC
From: I Am Not Roger <NotRoger@assholes.turd>
Message-ID: <424f3646$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 105132
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Roger is still, to this day, maintaining that he did nothing wrong. He says that the FCC can re-examine any licensees who have been through the VEC administered programs. Roger <chuckle-chuckle> stupidly asserts that he was "ramdomly" chosen and that nowhere in the letters does it say that he caused malicious interference to another Ham. So there you have it. 'Not Roger' is dancing a fine line between being guilty or being allegedly guilty, but he was not ordered to retest due to some random, willy-nilly pick-of-the-hat lottery that Riley Hollingsworth uses. So, is 'Not Roger' guilty? You bet your a** he is.
--
Learn to spell "Anonymous" first, Nursie. You get your panties
in a wad and you always screw up.

"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling complainant.)

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message news:mfqdnbfrNNwMttLfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net...

Stagger Lee wrote:
> On Sat, 2 Apr 2005 17:05:38 -0500, Dog <dog@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:
> :
> : That's a post-edited copy of the *real* letter.
>
> And besides, that's not Roger Wiseman. Therefore, that person has no
> access to the original FCC letters; only copies. Now if he *were*
> Roger Wiseman, his comments might carry some weight.
>
> But, alas, he isn't. He said so.

http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0503.html
GLENDALE, WV [UPDATE]: The FCC had written General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and Advanced licensee Steven A. Tunder, N8WGM, that included allegations of interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth cautioned Tunder and Wiseman to review §97.1 of the Amateur Radio rules and "to make certain that whatever disputes that may exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Wiseman alleged in a subsequent communication to the ARRL that Tunder had been harassing him and that he had made the FCC aware of it in the first place. Wiseman indicated that he had been in touch with Hollingsworth to clarify the situation. The FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last

November in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20 meters.

http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0719.html
GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, retake the General examination elements under the supervision of an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by September 11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and another licensee that included allegations of interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20 meters.

http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/1999/1119.html
GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth. Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described could result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that the licensee contact him to discuss the allegations.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

3617. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 00:22:50 UTC
From: Dog <dog@twenmtrsdxboys.net>
Message-ID: <d2nd02$901$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105133

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:mfqdnbfrNNwMttLfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Stagger Lee wrote:
>> On Sat, 2 Apr 2005 17:05:38 -0500, Dog <dog@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:
>> : : That's a post-edited copy of the *real* letter.
>>
>> And besides, that's not Roger Wiseman. Therefore, that person has no
>> access to the original FCC letters; only copies. Now if he *were*
>> Roger Wiseman, his comments might carry some weight.
>>
>> But, alas, he isn't. He said so.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0503.html
> GLENDALE, WV [UPDATE]: The FCC had written General licensee Roger L.
> Wiseman, KC8JBO, on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware
> of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and Advanced licensee
> Steven A. Tunder, N8WGM, that included allegations of interference or
> jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement
> Riley Hollingsworth cautioned Tunder and Wiseman to review ?97.1 of the
> Amateur Radio rules and "to make certain that whatever disputes that may
> exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth
> invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Wiseman
> alleged in a subsequent communication to the ARRL that Tunder had been
> harassing him and that he had made the FCC aware of it in the first place.
> Wiseman indicated that he had been in touch with Hollingsworth to clarify
> the situation. The FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in
> response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20
> meters.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0719.html
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L. Wiseman,
> KC8JBO, retake the General examination elements under the supervision of
> an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by
> September 11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing
> for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with
> the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2000,
> advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute"
> between Wiseman and another licensee that included allegations of
> interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur
> Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact
> him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman a

> Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate and
> malicious interference on 20 meters.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/1999/1119.html
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General
> licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had
> been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations
> of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred
> at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting
> music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC
> Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth.
> Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described could
> result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that
> the licensee contact him to discuss the allegations.
>

Yup, those look like the real McCoy and I note in the November 9, 1999
letter that the FCC cites *evidence* of deliberate malicious repeated
interference on 20 meters, which is one of the conditions under which
Riley orders people to restest.

Dog

3618. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 01:32:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112491932.214731.74080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105134

Anonymous wrote:
> He never was good enough for medical school to be a real Doctor.
> He had to give into his inner feminine side and be a nursie. For
> those that can't hack medical school, they have to be second
> best. Just like he was with dead retarded daughter Taelor.

Your comments are despicable. Slither back wherever you came from.

3619. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 01:34:32 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <RHHPCJZC38444.8573148148@anonymous>
Source record: 105135

```
In article <d2n4ur$orr$0@pita.alt.net>
"Dog" <d...@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:
>
>
> "Nomen Nescio" <nob...@dizum.com> wrote in message
> news:648d23bc45fb7d454785d65bd3220a3e@dizum.com...
> > In article <d2mk9d$p9...@pita.alt.net>
> > "Dog" <d...@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:
> >
> >> Hello Pam,
> >>
> >> <blah, blah blah....> FCC ordered him to retest twice for
> > causing malicious qrm to other hams?
> > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >
> > "Retest twice????"... You never do get it right, do you total
> > fuck up?
> >
> > ------------
> > July 11, 2000
> >
> >
> > CERTIFIED MAIL--RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> >
> >
> > Mr. Roger L. Wiseman
> >
> >
> > 610 Glen Haven Avenue
> >
> >
> > Glendale, WV 26038-1302
> >
> >
> > SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO
> >
> >
> > Dear Mr. Wiseman:
> >
> >
> > Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules,
47
> > C.F.R.
> > Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to re-
```

> > administer any
> > examination element previously administered by Volunteer
> > Examiners (VE's).
> > The Commission may either administer the examination itself,
or
> > under the
> > supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
> > designated by
> > the Commission.
> >
> >
> > Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General
Class
> > Amateur
> > Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the
supervision
> > of an
> > American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner Coordinator.
This
> > examination
> > must be completed on or before September 11, 2000. The
required
> > code speed
> > that you must demonstrate is 5 words per minute.
> >
> >
> > Please be prepared to verify your current address and to
present
> > a photo
> > identification. Pursuant to Commission rules, your license
will
> > be cancelled
> > if you do not appear for re-examination. You will be granted
an
> > Amateur
> > Radio license consistent with the elements you pass upon re-
> > examination.
> >
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> >
> > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> >
> >
> > Special Counsel, Amateur Radio
> >
> >
> > Enforcement Bureau
> > ------------------------------

> > Now where in the letter does it say "interference(qrm) is the
> > reason for the retest?
> >
> > Oh, Holsten, is Lardass' buddy, a registered sex offender.
Are
> > you still molesting your Grandson Jason and that little
mulatto
> > mongrel newborn baby of yours?
> >
> > Steve Holsten
> > 611 W 9th St,
> > Kennett, MO 63857
> > (573)717-7705
> > (573)717-7706
> >
>
>
> That's a post-edited copy of the *real* letter.
>
> Dog

No, it's the actual letter. A copy was posted on the Rain report
when Hal carried copies of the letters Riley sent out. But,
being wrong is your s.o.p., isn't it, Davies?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

3620. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 01:43:25 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <9213c6ef164e8c724254663d70dd2204@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 105136

In article <d2mk9d$p9r$0@pita.alt.net>
"Dog" <d...@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:

> Hello Pam,
>
> Did you kick Roger off before or after the FCC ordered him to
retest
> twice for causing malicious qrm to other hams?
^^^^^^^

"Retest twice????"... You never do get it right, do you total
fuck up? Oh, and Holsten, are you still molesting your Grandson
Jason and that little mulatto mongrel newborn baby of yours?

Steve Holsten
611 W 9th St,
Kennett, MO 63857
(573)717-7705
(573)717-7706

3621. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 01:48:51 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112492931.727567.111020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105137

K4YZ wrote:
> Hello Everyone,
>
> In the thread about " Selling 52 Simplex Radios", the following
> comments were made by Mike Coslo, "Rabbi Phil" and myself:
>
> QUOTE:
>
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > Rabbi Phil wrote:
> > > My friend Lennie Schwartz owns a radio store and was stuck with
> close
> > > to a hundred 52 simplex radios he could not sell. As his Rabbi &
> chief
> > > Sanhedrin, Lennie asked me for advice. Now Lennie has three
> gorgeous
> > > college-age daughters, so I had Lennie get them some cute little
> outfits,
> > > tight tops and tight short-shorts, similar to what the Hooters
> girls wear.
> > > We had the following message boldly printed on the butt end of
the
> shorts
> > > and across the bust area of the tops:
>
> > > "I MONITOR 52 SIMPLEX"
>
>
> > > In less that 24 hours, Lennie sold all his 52 simplex radios at
> five times
> > > the
> > > original asking price and had to order more. Every male ham of
any
>
> > > age swamped Lennie's radio store and demanded a 52 simplex radio.
>
>
> > > NOTE FOR HANS: It is not the message that is so important, as
how
> you
> > > communicate the message.
>
> > The only thing worse than being witty is not being witty.

>
> > Come on, you can do better! 8^)
>
> Hey Mike...It's not THAT far fetched...Burghardt in NY has had
that
> young lady in the tight fitting sweater for years...Musta beem
selling
> SOMEthing!

But not radios, huh???

> UNQUOTE:
>
> So...later this morning I received a private e-mail from one of
> RRAP'S regular posters.
>
> I have snipped the person's name, call sign and e mail headers
> since the letter was sent in private e-mail. However the e-mail made
> some very damning comments and a not-so-subtle threat.
>
> QUOTE:
>
> In rrap Steve Robeson wrote:
>
> > Burghardt in NY has had that
> > young lady in the tight fitting sweater
> > for years...Musta beem selling SOMEthing!
>
> > 73
>
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Burghardt Amateur Center is not in NY. It's a family business which
> was established by Stan Burghardt, W0IT (SK) 68 years ago in a small
> South Dakota town.
>
> A few years ago one of Stan's long-time employees, Jim Smith W0MJY,
> purchased the business from Stan and became the second owner. It
> remains a family owned business, and the lady you mention is Jim's
> daughter, Michele, KC0MYV, a wholesome midwesterner who is very
active
> in running the "business side" of the store. Often she is pictured
> standing alongside her dad in their QST ads, never in my knowledge in
a
> provocative sweater.
>
> The sleazy nudge-nudge insinuation in your "Musta beem seeling
> SOMEthing!" comment is one of the lowest forms of attack I've ever
seen
> on rrap.

Not really, but it is true to Steve's style.

I'll expect to see a sincere unconditional apology on rrap by
> the end of today, or I'll consider forwarding your trashy comments to
> Michele and Jim.

Unlikely.

> UNQUOTE
>
> Here's my response to this "letter", made in a very public forum
so
> that the correspondant can't make any other assinine insinuations or
> out-of-context editorializing:
>
> (1) I stand corrected on the South Dakota -vs- New York. My
> bust.
>
> (2) I am sure the young lady is very good at what she does, and
> is every bit the "wholesome" person you report. I NEVER said
anything
> to the contrary. You are welcome to cite the part of the post
wherein
> I said differently, but you and I both know it's not there.
>
> (3) The young lady's character and wholesomeness
notwithstanding,
> THEY obviously had NO PROBLEM in posing her in a tight sweater,
> profile, in order to attract some male attention to thier ads.
"Rabbi
> Phil" commented about someone else "selling radios" with pictures of
> pretty women. I pointed out that another Amateur dealer had been
doing
> the same thing, and obviously it worked. Pictures of pretty women
sell
> everything from soft drinks to firearms to high speed automobiles.
> Amateur Radio is no different. No problem.
>
> Now, Dear Anonymous Writer (anonymous HERE...since you and I
both
> know who you are)...You can go RIGHT AHEAD and forward ANYthing you
> like to ANY one you like. Tell them about "how trashy" the item was,
> if you want, but it IS archived right ehre in Google.
>
> You took offense...Too bad for you. You know as well as I do
that
> people can "take offense" at the most innocent of comments
> all-the-while letting morbid profanity and bold threats roll off
thier
> backs. This is one of those moments.
>
> Have a nice day.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

Innocent comments - hi, hi! Robeson likes to make inuendo.

If you call him on it, he'll try to turn you into the deviant.

He's always the victim. Probably learned that tactic while rehearsing
for his 100% disability hearing.

3622. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 01:50:06 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112493006.950711.163610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105138

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> > Chubby Nursie,
> >
> > I've been valiantly trying to follow this one-sided thread about
threats
> > made on you by some anonymous person (or maybe not) in some
private(?) email
> > exchange (how do you exchange emails with someone anonymous?).
I've come to
> > the conclusion that
> >
> > a) you're making it up, or
> > b) you're corresponding with a phantom who is invisible to the rest
of us
> > here on rrap, or
> > c) you've found a miraculous way of exchanging email with anonymous
senders
> >
> > If the answer is a) then shame on you.
> > If the answer is b) then seek mental counseling
> > If the answer is c) then consult a patent attorney because you've a
gold
> > mine on your hands.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > M.A.N.
> >
> > --
> > "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O
Lord,
> > make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> > - Voltaire
>
> The other poster is real, Mel.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Do you and Robeson share an e-mail account?

3623. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 01:50:19 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <03a3e207a053c6ade3ec6aa3fe9af9af@dizum.com>
Source record: 105139

In article <two.time.retest.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

> And besides, that's not Roger Wiseman. Therefore, that person has no
> access to the original FCC letters; only copies. Now if he *were*
> Roger Wiseman, his comments might carry some weight.

Funny, it's a copy of the actual letter, which was posted on the Rain Report website when Hal posted the letters from the FCC. But, since you can't even differentiate between the Marshall County WV and the Marshall County, AL websites, your blatheringas carry little weight. The same cannot be said for your legs, since they haul that fat ass of yours around.

> But, alas, he isn't. He said so.

Just like you said you weren't Lardass, Lardass.

3624. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 02:10:01 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <bf6dfe7b39c37db001da70979b0796ac@dizum.com>
Source record: 105141
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <424f3646$1_1@127.0.0.1>
"I Am Not Roger" <NotRo...@assholes.turd> wrote:
>
>
>
>
> Roger is still,<whaaaaaaaaaaaahhhh, proved wrong again!
whaaaaaaahhh!!!

July 11, 2000

CERTIFIED MAIL--RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Roger L. Wiseman

610 Glen Haven Avenue

Glendale, WV 26038-1302

SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47
C.F.R.
Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to re-
administer any
examination element previously administered by Volunteer
Examiners (VE's).
The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or
under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
designated by
the Commission.

Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class
Amateur
Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the supervision
of an
American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner Coordinator. This
examination
must be completed on or before September 11, 2000. The required
code speed
that you must demonstrate is 5 words per minute.

Please be prepared to verify your current address and to present a photo
identification. Pursuant to Commission rules, your license will be cancelled
if you do not appear for re-examination. You will be granted an Amateur
Radio license consistent with the elements you pass upon re-examination.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth

Special Counsel, Amateur Radio

Enforcement Bureau

Now where in the letter does it say "interference(qrm)" is the reason for the retest?

No answer for that, huh?

3625. Foxfire History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 02:20:24 UTC
From: Bill Brannick <wmlb@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <qgku41pnv9oqaqlel662l7df0rn0t9vi1m@4ax.com>
Source record: 105140

I have asked this before , but did get not a single response so I will try again ...

When I open the "history" window, it shows two folders.. One for URLs visited TODAY and another for URLs visited more then 6 days ago..

There must be a option somewhere that would allow me to see those from one to five days ago..

Thanks for any assistance offered..

Bill

3626. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 02:28:27 UTC
From: QRM Retest Roger <qrm@retestboyhaha.net>
Message-ID: <d2nkbiotj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:mfqdnbfrNNwMttLfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Stagger Lee wrote:
>> On Sat, 2 Apr 2005 17:05:38 -0500, Dog <dog@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:
>> : : That's a post-edited copy of the *real* letter.
>>
>> And besides, that's not Roger Wiseman. Therefore, that person has no
>> access to the original FCC letters; only copies. Now if he *were*
>> Roger Wiseman, his comments might carry some weight.
>>
>> But, alas, he isn't. He said so.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0503.html
> GLENDALE, WV [UPDATE]: The FCC had written General licensee Roger L.
> Wiseman, KC8JBO, on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware
> of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and Advanced licensee
> Steven A. Tunder, N8WGM, that included allegations of interference or
> jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement
> Riley Hollingsworth cautioned Tunder and Wiseman to review ?97.1 of the
> Amateur Radio rules and "to make certain that whatever disputes that may
> exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth
> invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Wiseman
> alleged in a subsequent communication to the ARRL that Tunder had been
> harassing him and that he had made the FCC aware of it in the first place.
> Wiseman indicated that he had been in touch with Hollingsworth to clarify
> the situation. The FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in
> response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20
> meters.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0719.html
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L. Wiseman,
> KC8JBO, retake the General examination elements under the supervision of
> an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by
> September 11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing
> for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with
> the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2000,
> advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute"
> between Wiseman and another licensee that included allegations of
> interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur
> Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact

> him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman a
> Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate and
> malicious interference on 20 meters.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/1999/1119.html
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General
> licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had
> been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations
> of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred
> at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting
> music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC
> Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth.
> Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described could
> result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that
> the licensee contact him to discuss the allegations.
>

Tnx for posting the true AND accurate copies of the FCC letters sent to Roger, which clearly proves he was retested for repeatedly causing intentional malicious qrm on 20 meters.

3627. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 02:51:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112496673.988095.31160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105143

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >From the ARRL webpage: (www.arrl.org)
> > > > > >
> > > > > Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty
> Exercise
> > > > > >
> > > > > NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 21, 2005--Amateur Radio Emergency Service
> > (ARES)
> > > > > members in Connecticut, New Jersey and several other states
in
> > the
> > > > > Northeastern US are preparing to take part in what's being
> > > > > characterized as the most comprehensive terrorism response
> > exercise
> > > > > ever conducted in the US. Sponsored by the US Department of
> > > Homeland
> > > > > Security and intended as a realistic test of the nation's
> > homeland
> > > > > security system, the exercise--TOPOFF 3--gets under way
Monday,
> > > April
> > > > > 4, and continues through the week.
> > > > > >
> > > > > SNIP
> > > > > >
> > > > > As usual...rants derailed by facts.
> > > > > >
> > > > > 73
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > I don't see any mention of the FCC nor Len.
> > > >
> > > Who mentioned the FCC, Brain?
> > > >
> > > (1) Notice the title..."Guess The Government Isn't Paying
> > > Attention to Lennie?"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > (2) "Sponsored by the US Department of Homeland Security
and

> > > intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland security
> > > system..."(SNIP)
> > >
> > > See...?!?! You screwed up...AGAIN.
> >
> > The Dept of Homeland Security didn't mention Len either.
>
> And you've still not picked up (or are ignoring due to extreme
> mebarrassment) that your attempt to defeat the post was derailed on
> your lack of accuracy.
>
> > > And you're absolutely right about Lennie...No meniton of
> him...
> >
> > Right again.
>
> And that's because no one outside this forum finds him even the
> least bit accurate.
>
> > > However HIS rants in this forum as to how unimportant
Amateur
> > > Radio is and how we "self-glorify" ourselves are numerous and
well
> > > known.
> >
> > The importance of amateur radio is easily overblown.
>
> To whom? The governmental agencies who still spend a lot of time
> and money making sure they have Amateur Radio assets in thier
> facilities, or the disaster relief agencies that still call on them
in
> a wide range of natural and man-made disasters...???
>
>
> > The utility of
> > amateur radio in emergencies is entirely dependent on mostly aging
> men,
> > who may or may not be available to assist in emergencies.
>
> "Entirely dependent"...?!?!
>
> Brian, I'll give you a big hint here...Your mouth is over-running
> your common sense again.
>
> Also...I >>>D E M A N D<<< that you cite even ONE instance
wherein
> ARES, RACES, etc, did NOT respond because they were "...entirely
> dependent on mostly aging men who may or may not be available to
assist
> in emergencies"...

>
> > For example,
> > you have the rickyranger kind of guys who volunteer for ARES,
MARS,
> > Red Cross, CAP, and probably other acronyms that he has yet to
reveal
> > to the group, yet have primary responsibilities as an emergency
room
> > nurse. So when the emergency happens, ARES, MARS and CAP are left
> > hanging.
>
> Nice try...
>
> Your "one example" of one persson who MAY not be able to respond
is
> irrelevent. Even with my "duties" here I STILL manage to spend time
> for both CAP >>AND<< ARES.
>
> The F A C T S remain that ARES and other Amateur Radio related
> response organizations ARE still "in the que" as far as Homeland
> Defense, The Red Cross, etc etc etc are concerned.
>
> That's not "overblown". It's the truth.
>
> > > The news release was absolutely proof positive that Lennie's
> > > assessments of Amateur Radio's disaster related services are
> > unfounded
> > > and, to say the least, inaccurate.
> >
> > Not entirely. It is situationally dependent.
>
> No, it's not.
>
> Neither you or Lennie can produce ONE example of ANY situation in
> which ARES or any other Amateur Radio organization did not/could not
> respond.
>
> > > Just like I said.
> >
> > I forgot. You're always right.
>
> Not always. Just most of the time when it comes to anything
> dealing with you...Like right now...
>
> > > > As usual, rants derailed by facts.
> > >
> > > You're absolutely right...And you did it to yourself...
> > >
> > > "Hi!"
> > >

> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > This isn't about me.
>
> Sure it is.
>
> YOU made even MORE assinine assertions that were, on immediate
> inspection, flawed and inaccurate.
>
> Sucks to be you, Brain.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, I don't see much reason to respond to your demands. You're an abusive jerk, have been for as long as you've been on RRAP, and aren't likely to change anytime soon. Best of Luck.

3628. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 02:53:58 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112496838.764269.123560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105144

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 24 Mar 2005 02:30:36 -0800, K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty Exercise
>
> [balanced snipped]
>
> Yup - it's real - and nationwide. I get to sit in the local
> hospital's EOC passing traffic on ham channels for two - count 'em
-
> two shifts during this one. They won't even let me see the scripts
> until I get there, too.....
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Phil, why do you have to pull a double-shift?

3629. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 03:17:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <25-dnQV8jbqy_dLfRVn-gQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 105145

bb wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Mel A. Nomah wrote:
>>
>>>Chubby Nursie,
>>>
>>>I've been valiantly trying to follow this one-sided thread about
>
> threats
>
>>>made on you by some anonymous person (or maybe not) in some
>
> private(?) email
>
>>>exchange (how do you exchange emails with someone anonymous?).
>
> I've come to
>
>>>the conclusion that
>>>
>>>a) you're making it up, or
>>>b) you're corresponding with a phantom who is invisible to the rest
>
> of us
>
>>>here on rrap, or
>>>c) you've found a miraculous way of exchanging email with anonymous
>
> senders
>
>>>If the answer is a) then shame on you.
>>>If the answer is b) then seek mental counseling
>>>If the answer is c) then consult a patent attorney because you've a
>
> gold
>
>>>mine on your hands.
>>>
>>>73,
>>>
>>>M.A.N.
>>>
>>>--

>>>"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O
>
> Lord,
>
>>> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
>>> - Voltaire
>>
>>The other poster is real, Mel.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Do you and Robeson share an e-mail account?

Nope. but the person involved emailed me also. And since it was private email, I won't divulge the name.

As for the content of Steve's post, a person can either take it to mean that an attractive person used in an advertisement is a successful tactic ala "must be selling something", or that an attractive person in an advertisement is selling sex. I chose to read it as being a successful tactic, because most ads with attractive people are selling other things.

Too bad, that. Otherwise I might have a career as a supermodel..... ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3630. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 03:18:47 UTC
From: "Joe S." <nobody@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <d2nnag06q0@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 105148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Oh, well. I have been absent from this NG for 20 months and return to find
the same children still calling each other names over the same nonsense.
Time to drag the cooler back into the stands and wait a while longer.

It's known as "arrested development" -- some folks just never get out of the
6th grade.
--

"QRM Retest Roger" <qrm@retestboyhaha.net> wrote in message
news:d2nkbiotj0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:mfqdnbfrNNwMttLfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net...
> >
> >
> > Stagger Lee wrote:
> >> On Sat, 2 Apr 2005 17:05:38 -0500, Dog <dog@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:
> >> : : That's a post-edited copy of the *real* letter.
> >>
> >> And besides, that's not Roger Wiseman. Therefore, that person has no
> >> access to the original FCC letters; only copies. Now if he *were*
> >> Roger Wiseman, his comments might carry some weight.
> >>
> >> But, alas, he isn't. He said so.
> >
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0503.html
> > GLENDALE, WV [UPDATE]: The FCC had written General licensee Roger L.
> > Wiseman, KC8JBO, on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware
> > of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and Advanced licensee
> > Steven A. Tunder, N8WGM, that included allegations of interference or
> > jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement
> > Riley Hollingsworth cautioned Tunder and Wiseman to review 97.1 of the
> > Amateur Radio rules and "to make certain that whatever disputes that may
> > exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth
> > invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Wiseman
> > alleged in a subsequent communication to the ARRL that Tunder had been
> > harassing him and that he had made the FCC aware of it in the first
place.
> > Wiseman indicated that he had been in touch with Hollingsworth to
clarify
> > the situation. The FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last November

in
> > response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20
> > meters.
> >
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0719.html
> > GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L. Wiseman,
> > KC8JBO, retake the General examination elements under the supervision of
> > an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting
by
> > September 11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants
appearing
> > for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with
> > the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2000,
> > advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute"
> > between Wiseman and another licensee that included allegations of
> > interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur
> > Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to
contact
> > him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman a
> > Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate
and
> > malicious interference on 20 meters.
> >
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/1999/1119.html
> > GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General
> > licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had
> > been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations
> > of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has
occurred
> > at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting
> > music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC
> > Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth.
> > Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described
could
> > result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that
> > the licensee contact him to discuss the allegations.
> >
>
>
> Tnx for posting the true AND accurate copies of the FCC letters sent to
> Roger, which clearly proves he was retested for repeatedly causing
> intentional malicious qrm on 20 meters.
>
>
>

3631. Re: Foxfire History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 03:20:34 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <l76dnQfPjM-f_NLfRVn-2A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 105146

Bill Brannick wrote:

> I have asked this before , but did get not a single response so I will
> try again ...
>
> When I open the "history" window, it shows two folders.. One for URLs
> visited TODAY and another for URLs visited more then 6 days ago..
>
> There must be a option somewhere that would allow me to see those from
> one to five days ago..
>

Dunno Bill,

Foxfire has a lot of similarities to Netscape. In my history folder, I have several different days and weeks worth of history items.

Perhaps the amateur radio policy group is the wrong place to ask. I would wager that most people in here use the evil program, Internet Exploder......

- Mike KB3EIA -

3632. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 03:24:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112498677.875748.151760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105147

Caveat Lector wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1112372112.550157.216460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > clvrmnky wrote:
> >
> >> I'll be stuck above 30Mhz for some time...(SNIP)
> >
> > You'll only be "stuck" if you don't get out and push a bit!
> >
> >> (UNSNIP)...so DXing is (mostly) out of my league.
> >
> > You need to check around a bit! WAS and DXCC applies to VHF
also.
> > There's grid square hunting, the satellites, numerous VHF contests
> > that promote award hunting, etc.
> >
> > There's sideband ing on VHF (more on 6 and 2 meters, but used
for
> > satellites, too.) Digipeaters, Echolink, etc etc etc.
> >
> > There's more to Amateur Radio than 2 meter repeaters and HF DX!
> > (Contrary to the assertions of certain UNlicensed antagonists in
the
> > NG)
> >
> > 73 es Good Luck
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> For Techs (and others) try six meters DX particularly during
sporadic E
> "season" May, Jun, July (prime months) -- other months also.
>
> Tis possible to work all states (including Alaska and Hawaii). East
coasters
> may get the Caribbean and SA as well
>
> With 100 Watts and a Mushcraft 1/2 wave vertical -- got all but 2
states in
> a year (need KY and AR)

I might have been able to give you both this past week. About where
are you located?

3633. Re: Foxfire History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 03:56:15 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d2npfo$3q2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105149

Are you discussing Firefox, the browser?

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:l76dnQfPjM-f_NLfRVn-2A@adelphia.com...
Bill Brannick wrote:

> I have asked this before , but did get not a single response so I will
> try again ...
>
> When I open the "history" window, it shows two folders.. One for URLs
> visited TODAY and another for URLs visited more then 6 days ago..
>
> There must be a option somewhere that would allow me to see those from
> one to five days ago..
>

Dunno Bill,

Foxfire has a lot of similarities to Netscape. In my history folder, I
have several different days and weeks worth of history items.

Perhaps the amateur radio policy group is the wrong place to ask. I
would wager that most people in here use the evil program, Internet
Exploder......

- Mike KB3EIA -

3634. Re: Foxfire History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 06:18:23 UTC
From: Bill Brannick <wmlb@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ed2v41p2sirujv86i4gi1dbcu10m8ik4t9@4ax.com>
Source record: 105200

Sorry folks.. not even close to being the group I intended to post to.
My apologies...

On Sun, 03 Apr 2005 02:20:24 GMT, Bill Brannick <wmlb@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>I have asked this before , but did get not a single response so I will
>try again ...
>
>When I open the "history" window, it shows two folders.. One for URLs
>visited TODAY and another for URLs visited more then 6 days ago..
>
>There must be a option somewhere that would allow me to see those from
>one to five days ago..
>
>Thanks for any assistance offered..
>
>Bill

3635. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 08:13:45 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <0HHC8GVP38445.6762152778@reece.net.au>
Source record: 105201

In article <two.time.retest.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

> And besides, that's not Roger Wiseman. Therefore, that person has no
> access to the original FCC letters; only copies. Now if he *were*
> Roger Wiseman, his comments might carry some weight.

Funny, it's a copy of the actual letter, which was posted on the Rain Report website when Hal posted the letters from the FCC. But, since you can't even differentiate between the Marshall County WV and the Marshall County, AL websites, your blatherings carry little weight. The same cannot be said for your legs, since they haul that fat ass of yours around.

> But, alas, he isn't. He said so.

Just like you said you weren't Lardass, Lardass.

3636. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 08:51:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112518298.573083.72540@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105202

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Hey Mike...It's not THAT far fetched...Burghardt in NY has had
> that
> > young lady in the tight fitting sweater for years...Musta beem
> selling
> > SOMEthing!
>
> But not radios, huh???

Only radios.

You and the e-mailer have the same problem, Brain. A one-track,
race to evil conclusions minds.

Bad thing to have.

> > The sleazy nudge-nudge insinuation in your "Musta beem seeling
> > SOMEthing!" comment is one of the lowest forms of attack I've ever
> seen
> > on rrap.
>
> Not really, but it is true to Steve's style.

What style?

> I'll expect to see a sincere unconditional apology on rrap by
> > the end of today, or I'll consider forwarding your trashy comments
to
> > Michele and Jim.
>
> Unlikely.

Absolutely unlikely. The respondant was asked both here and in
private e-mail to show where an "attack" or an intent to attack was
expressed.

The respondant failed to do so...That being because there wasn't
one.

The "attack" was the respondant picking a fight with me for no
other reason than it WAS me making the comments.

> > You took offense...Too bad for you. You know as well as I do
> that
> > people can "take offense" at the most innocent of comments
> > all-the-while letting morbid profanity and bold threats roll off

> thier
> > backs. This is one of those moments.
> >
> > Have a nice day.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Innocent comments - hi, hi! Robeson likes to make inuendo.

The "innuendo" was the respondants.

> If you call him on it, he'll try to turn you into the deviant.

What's to "call", Brain?

> He's always the victim.

Unlike you or Lennie who always have to be a victim, I REFUSE to be
a victim. That's probably what grates your cheese.

>Probably learned that tactic while rehearsing for his 100% disability
>hearing.

And there goes Brain with yet another lie that he'll never
substantiate.

Steve, K4YZ

3637. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 09:00:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112518856.445241.27650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105203

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:

> > Do you and Robeson share an e-mail account?
>
> Nope. but the person involved emailed me also. And since it was
private
> email, I won't divulge the name.
>
> As for the content of Steve's post, a person can either take it to
mean
> that an attractive person used in an advertisement is a successful
> tactic ala "must be selling something", or that an attractive person
in
> an advertisement is selling sex. I chose to read it as being a
> successful tactic, because most ads with attractive people are
selling
> other things.

But that requires a patient, mature mind, Mike...Not one ready to
jump to conclusions or always scratching for a fight.

> Too bad, that. Otherwise I might have a career as a
supermodel..... ;^)

You and me both, Brother...You and me both!...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3638. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 09:05:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112519121.202251.167430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105204

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >From the ARRL webpage: (www.arrl.org)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty
> > Exercise
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 21, 2005--Amateur Radio Emergency
Service
> > > (ARES)
> > > > > > members in Connecticut, New Jersey and several other states
> in
> > > the
> > > > > > Northeastern US are preparing to take part in what's being
> > > > > > characterized as the most comprehensive terrorism response
> > > exercise
> > > > > > ever conducted in the US. Sponsored by the US Department of
> > > > Homeland
> > > > > > Security and intended as a realistic test of the nation's
> > > homeland
> > > > > > security system, the exercise--TOPOFF 3--gets under way
> Monday,
> > > > April
> > > > > > 4, and continues through the week.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > SNIP
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > As usual...rants derailed by facts.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > 73
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > I don't see any mention of the FCC nor Len.
> > > > >
> > > > Who mentioned the FCC, Brain?
> > > >
> > > (1) Notice the title..."Guess The Government Isn't Paying
> > > Attention to Lennie?"...?!?!
> > > >

> > > > (2) "Sponsored by the US Department of Homeland Security
> and
> > > > intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland security
> > > > system..."(SNIP)
> > > >
> > > > See...?!?! You screwed up...AGAIN.
> > >
> > > The Dept of Homeland Security didn't mention Len either.
> >
> > And you've still not picked up (or are ignoring due to extreme
> > mebarrassment) that your attempt to defeat the post was derailed on
> > your lack of accuracy.
> >
> > > > And you're absolutely right about Lennie...No meniton of
> > him...
> > >
> > > Right again.
> >
> > And that's because no one outside this forum finds him even
the
> > least bit accurate.
> >
> > > > However HIS rants in this forum as to how unimportant
> Amateur
> > > > Radio is and how we "self-glorify" ourselves are numerous and
> well
> > > > known.
> > >
> > > The importance of amateur radio is easily overblown.
> >
> > To whom? The governmental agencies who still spend a lot of
time
> > and money making sure they have Amateur Radio assets in thier
> > facilities, or the disaster relief agencies that still call on them
> in
> > a wide range of natural and man-made disasters...???
> >
> >
> > > The utility of
> > > amateur radio in emergencies is entirely dependent on mostly
aging
> > men,
> > > who may or may not be available to assist in emergencies.
> >
> > "Entirely dependent"...?!?!
> >
> > Brian, I'll give you a big hint here...Your mouth is
over-running
> > your common sense again.
> >

> > Also...I >>>D E M A N D<<< that you cite even ONE instance
> wherein
> > ARES, RACES, etc, did NOT respond because they were "...entirely
> > dependent on mostly aging men who may or may not be available to
> assist
> > in emergencies"...
> >
> > > For example,
> > > you have the rickyranger kind of guys who volunteer for ARES,
> MARS,
> > > Red Cross, CAP, and probably other acronyms that he has yet to
> reveal
> > > to the group, yet have primary responsibilities as an emergency
> room
> > > nurse. So when the emergency happens, ARES, MARS and CAP are
left
> > > hanging.
> >
> > Nice try...
> >
> > Your "one example" of one persson who MAY not be able to
respond
> is
> > irrelevent. Even with my "duties" here I STILL manage to spend
time
> > for both CAP >>AND<< ARES.
> >
> > The F A C T S remain that ARES and other Amateur Radio related
> > response organizations ARE still "in the que" as far as Homeland
> > Defense, The Red Cross, etc etc etc are concerned.
> >
> > That's not "overblown". It's the truth.
> >
> > > > The news release was absolutely proof positive that
Lennie's
> > > > assessments of Amateur Radio's disaster related services are
> > > unfounded
> > > > and, to say the least, inaccurate.
> > >
> > > Not entirely. It is situationally dependent.
> >
> > No, it's not.
> >
> > Neither you or Lennie can produce ONE example of ANY situation
in
> > which ARES or any other Amateur Radio organization did not/could
not
> > respond.
> >
> > > > Just like I said.

> > >
> > > I forgot. You're always right.
> >
> > Not always. Just most of the time when it comes to anything
> > dealing with you...Like right now...
> >
> > > > > As usual, rants derailed by facts.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're absolutely right...And you did it to yourself...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Hi!"
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > This isn't about me.
> >
> > Sure it is.
> >
> > YOU made even MORE assinine assertions that were, on immediate
> > inspection, flawed and inaccurate.
> >
> > Sucks to be you, Brain.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, I don't see much reason to respond to your demands.

Of course you don't.

You can't.

You make assinine, poorly constructed assertions, then when asked
to substantiate them, you wallow around looking for a way out without
admitting that you don't have an adequate answer.

> You're an
> abusive jerk, have been for as long as you've been on RRAP, and
aren't
> likely to change anytime soon.

If by that you meant that I refuse to tolerate your lies, permit
yuor misrepresentations, and expect YOU to live up to the same
"standards" that you and Lennie would seek to impose on everyone else,
Thanks.

>Best of Luck.

No luck needed when dealing with you, Brain...You make this all too
easy.

Steve, K4YZ

3639. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 09:06:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112519183.106007.70870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105205

bb wrote:
> Anonymous wrote:
> > He never was good enough for medical school to be a real Doctor.
> > He had to give into his inner feminine side and be a nursie. For
> > those that can't hack medical school, they have to be second
> > best. Just like he was with dead retarded daughter Taelor.
>
> Your comments are despicable. Slither back wherever you came from.

Thank-you, Brian.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3640. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 09:46:04 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d2odvmcbr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105206
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Who is it?
Brain or Brian?

"there goes Brain with yet another lie..."

You really should get this right. If you don't, that oddball 'Not Roger'
miscreant will take you to task.

Of course nobody gives a healthy hoot what 'Not Roger' has to say. The guy
is a Bottom Feeder who ranks in the lower world of Nowhere.
Poor 'Not Roger' has been thrashing about in his own self-made cesspool
version of Purgatory for most of his adult life.

--
"The funny thing is, you don't know your fat ass from a whole in
the ground, shit-for-brains."
Roger Wiseman

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1112518298.573083.72540@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Hey Mike...It's not THAT far fetched...Burghardt in NY has had
> that
> > young lady in the tight fitting sweater for years...Musta beem
> selling
> > SOMEthing!
>
> But not radios, huh???

Only radios.

You and the e-mailer have the same problem, Brain. A one-track,
race to evil conclusions minds.

Bad thing to have.

> > The sleazy nudge-nudge insinuation in your "Musta beem seeling
> > SOMEthing!" comment is one of the lowest forms of attack I've ever
> seen
> > on rrap.
>
> Not really, but it is true to Steve's style.

What style?

> I'll expect to see a sincere unconditional apology on rrap by
> > the end of today, or I'll consider forwarding your trashy comments
to
> > Michele and Jim.
>
> Unlikely.

Absolutely unlikely. The respondant was asked both here and in
private e-mail to show where an "attack" or an intent to attack was
expressed.

The respondant failed to do so...That being because there wasn't
one.

The "attack" was the respondant picking a fight with me for no
other reason than it WAS me making the comments.

> > You took offense...Too bad for you. You know as well as I do
> that
> > people can "take offense" at the most innocent of comments
> > all-the-while letting morbid profanity and bold threats roll off
> thier
> > backs. This is one of those moments.
> >
> > Have a nice day.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Innocent comments - hi, hi! Robeson likes to make inuendo.

The "innuendo" was the respondants.

> If you call him on it, he'll try to turn you into the deviant.

What's to "call", Brain?

> He's always the victim.

Unlike you or Lennie who always have to be a victim, I REFUSE to be
a victim. That's probably what grates your cheese.

>Probably learned that tactic while rehearsing for his 100% disability
>hearing.

And there goes Brain with yet another lie that he'll never
substantiate.

Steve, K4YZ

3641. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 11:32:07 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <three.time.retest.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 105207

On Sun, 3 Apr 2005 03:50:19 +0200 (CEST), Not-Roger <nobody@dizum.com> wrote:
: In article <two.time.retest.woger@houston-hunks.com>
: Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
:
: > And besides, that's not Roger Wiseman. Therefore, that person has no
: > access to the original FCC letters; only copies. Now if he *were*
: > Roger Wiseman, his comments might carry some weight.
:
: Funny, it's a copy of the actual letter, which was posted on the
: Rain Report website when Hal posted the letters from the FCC.

But the Rain Report only received copies of the original letter, as
did the ARRL and some others. Only Roger Wiseman has the original
letters, as well as *all* of the original letters. He is the only one
who can prove that the letters under discussion were not post-edited.

That is, he is the only one who can prove that he wasn't retested at
least twice.

: But, since you can't even differentiate between the Marshall
: County WV and the Marshall County, AL websites, your

But I'm not Lloyd; I'm someone else. So your "logic" simply doesn't
apply.

: blatheringas<STOMP!!!>

Now if you *were* Roger, your claims might carry some weight. But,
alas, you aren't. You said so.

Until the real Roger posts, I'm going to assume that he was retested
at least two or three times.

==========
In message <acg2jt$b6q$3@pita.alt.net>, AB8MQ issues a West Virginia,
hillbilly insult when he says: "Faggot Lee is gnetically [sic] related
to his sister."

3642. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 13:14:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112534096.618800.66140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105208

Thrasher Remailer wrote:
> In article
> <1112389822.033316.278380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > Such as?
>
> Everytime you open your slobbering yap. Oh, and clean the snot
> out of your moustache.

What snot? There's none there. (And that's not what's in the
pic...sorry)

> > Then why don't you come out from behind the anonymizer,
> Coward?
>
> See what I mean, Nursie? You are so easy.

> > But you're STILL an anonymous coward.
>
> Changing the subject from your spelling screwup, Nursie? You
> argue just like a woman.

Oh, I acknowledge my occassional spelling errors.

And you're still an anonymous coward.

> > You're right. That's why I am a Nurse instead of a CNA.
>
> I know I'm right, you dullard. That's why you are making so many
> excuses.

So far, the only excuses being made here are why you're not man
enough to stand behind your comments with your real name.

> > You still haven't answered the question.
>
> You still haven't provided any "details" of all these scofflaw's
> "offenses", either.
>
> > You claim that going "toe-to-toe" with me is "child's
> play", yet
> > you can't seem to answer simple questions.
>
> Yet you dodge the questions I asked before, Nursie.

And I've answered them. They've been archived in several different
newsgroups before and are public records.

> > What's up with that? A comprehension problem?
>
> It is in your case, Nursie.

How? I understand the situation fine. You're a coward and
unwilling to be a man. No problem.

> > > More excuses, Nursie. The fact is you get your panties in a
> wad
> > > and you screw up.
> >
> > And the issue is still there.
>
> So is your screwup.

Since you're pontificating on spelling errors, anonymous coward,
it's "screw-up".

> > I dropped the "e". You seemed to have gotten confused
> over one
> > dropped vowel. I still contend that if that was too much for
> you, you
> > have a problem.
>
> Excuses, excuses, you've got a million of 'em, Nursie.

Perhaps. But you're still an anonymous coward.

> > Then send me your money, anonymous coward, because it's a
> lost bet.
>
> That hard up for money you have to beg for it, Nursie?

Hardly. YOU said "you'd bet" and I said you los the bet. So now
you're an anonymous coward AND a welch!

> > Oh? ANd where IS that place of employment, Anaonymous
> Coward?
> > What shift do I work? With whom?
>
> Learn to spell "Anonymous" first, Nursie. You get your panties
> in a wad and you always screw up.
> And you must be losing it, you can't even remember where you
> work.

Hey, YOU'RE the one who claims to have been to my place of
employment.

So go ahead...Impress everyone here with the name of the facility I
work at, the shifts I work, etc.

So now I say you're ALSO a liar, on top of being an anonymoous
coward AND a welch.

> > Remember now...You've stated you've "seen it". So let's
> have some
> > relative facts here!
>
> Sort of like the "facts" that you pull out of your ****** about
> others?

Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh! ! ! ! Now who's "getting defensive",
anonymous coward...?!?!

Ran your mouth off and got backed into a corner! Need some facts
to back up your broggadaccio and can't ante up!

> > > Good thing she didn't live long enough to realize how
> pathetic
> > > you are, Nursie.
> >
> > =)
>
> Oh, so you are glad she dies, Nursie? Not very fatherly.

She died at home with the one's who loved her. You should read the
AOL Homepage I wrote about her. She was a blessing and a gift.

> > Then you are again confused. Sucks to be you, I suppose.
>
> No, you are in denial girdle boy. It does suck to be you, you
> have people dying around you all the time.

I do? Since when? Thee are a lot of folks in other jobs and
professions that see people dying more than I do. MY job is to keep
them alive. Thank-God I am better at that than you are at being a
commpassionate human being.

Steve, K4YZ

3643. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 13:20:41 UTC
From: QRM Retest Roger <qrm@retestboyhaha.net>
Message-ID: <d2oqip$3sl$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:mfqdnbfrNNwMttLfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net...
>
>
> Stagger Lee wrote:
>> On Sat, 2 Apr 2005 17:05:38 -0500, Dog <dog@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:
>> : : That's a post-edited copy of the *real* letter.
>>
>> And besides, that's not Roger Wiseman. Therefore, that person has no
>> access to the original FCC letters; only copies. Now if he *were*
>> Roger Wiseman, his comments might carry some weight.
>>
>> But, alas, he isn't. He said so.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0503.html
> GLENDALE, WV [UPDATE]: The FCC had written General licensee Roger L.
> Wiseman, KC8JBO, on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware
> of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and Advanced licensee
> Steven A. Tunder, N8WGM, that included allegations of interference or
> jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement
> Riley Hollingsworth cautioned Tunder and Wiseman to review §97.1 of the
> Amateur Radio rules and "to make certain that whatever disputes that may
> exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth
> invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Wiseman
> alleged in a subsequent communication to the ARRL that Tunder had been
> harassing him and that he had made the FCC aware of it in the first place.
> Wiseman indicated that he had been in touch with Hollingsworth to clarify
> the situation. The FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in
> response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20
> meters.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0719.html
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L. Wiseman,
> KC8JBO, retake the General examination elements under the supervision of
> an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by
> September 11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing
> for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with
> the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2000,
> advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute"
> between Wiseman and another licensee that included allegations of
> interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur
> Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact

> him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman a
> Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate and
> malicious interference on 20 meters.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/1999/1119.html
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General
> licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had
> been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations
> of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred
> at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting
> music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC
> Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth.
> Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described could
> result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that
> the licensee contact him to discuss the allegations.
>

Hearing no further whining from Roger about *random retesting*, based on
the above letters, we now know Wogie was retested because of his repeated
deliberate malicious jamming of ham radio operators on 20 meters.
Case closed.

3644. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 14:06:03 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <fLS3e.4298$x4.2780@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105210

It get's more confusing every morning Brian. Now it appears this "private" real but anonymous person has threatened both Steve and Mike but doesn't have the decency to do it in public, forcing them into the embarassing position of publicly exposing their victimization without knowing who threatened them.

Strangerer and strangerer!

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

3645. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 15:25:33 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <0e985d9d764ecac8acb7f5647a18a5f1@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105211

In article <three.time.retest.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
> But the Rain Report only received copies of the original
letter, as
> did the ARRL and some others. Only Roger Wiseman has the
original
> letters, as well as *all* of the original letters. He is the
only one
> who can prove that the letters under discussion were not post-
edited.

No, YOU posted a copy of the letter to the newsgroups after it
came out, tubby. It was available on the Rain Report website
before Hap decided not to keep posting them.

> That is, he is the only one who can prove that he wasn't
retested at
> least twice.

One does not get retested "twice." You must be Lennie. Too
stupid to even get a ham license.

> : But, since you can't even differentiate between the Marshall
> : County WV and the Marshall County, AL websites, your
>
> But I'm not Lloyd; I'm someone else. So your "logic" simply
doesn't
> apply.

Oh, you are the one who posted that the Marshall County, Alabama
website was the one for Marshall County, West Virginia.

Subject: Re: Get on the Marshall County ARES webpage......
Date: 3 Jul 2002 22:03:46 GMT
From: SLee@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee)
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
MsgId: <afvsc2$6aa$1@pita.alt.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman
References: <hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3sje22s@4ax.com>
<afvhaj$2r4$1@pita.alt.net>

Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> whined:

On Wed, 3 Jul 2002 14:18:35 -0400, AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
: "Bob" <:@mchsi.com> wrote in message
: news:hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3sje22s@4ax.com...

: > and send them some of Rogers filth. I'm sure they would love
it.
:
: Dumbfuck, the Marshall County ARES doesn't have a webpage, try
again,

Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle
brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a
place
to send email.

> : blatherings<STOMP!!!>

Waddle away, tubby.

>
> Now if y<STOMP!>

Now if you had a brain, you'd still be too stupid to use it.

And it still doesn't change:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47
C.F.R.
Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to re-
administer any examination element previously administered by
Volunteer
Examiners (VE's).
The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or
under the supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC)
or VE
designated by the Commission.

Nothing else was mentioned in the retest letter. You should
know, you posted a copy of it, cackling when he got it.

>
> Until the real Roger posts, I'm going to assume that he was
retested
> at least two or three times.

Well, you always do make an ass of yourself.

3646. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 15:34:46 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <Beware@reader.com>
Message-ID: <q2U3e.3091$k57.683@fed1read07>
Source record: 105212

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1112498677.875748.151760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>CL Wrote
>> With 100 Watts and a Mushcraft 1/2 wave vertical -- got all but 2
> states in
>> a year (need KY and AR)

> BB sed
> I might have been able to give you both this past week. About where
> are you located?
>
Ah thanks for the try -- but lent my antenna out to a friend so he could
make new parts for his AR-6.

I'm in So Calif

But summer is sporadic E season for 6M-- so the best time would be May June
July
Will need double hop for KY
Maybe single hop for AR.

CL

3647. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 16:38:18 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <IXFN4T1D38445.5265972222@anonymous>
Source record: 105213

In article <mfqdnbfrNNwMttLfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net>
KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:

> > But, alas, he isn't. He said so.
>
> http://www.arrl.o<whaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaahhhh, I was proved wrong.>

Hmm, you dumbasses, need to review what "allegations" mean.

And it still doesn't change:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47
C.F.R.
Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to re-
administer any
examination element previously administered by Volunteer
Examiners (VE's).
The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or
under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
designated by
the Commission.

Better learn to read:
James E. Harbin
7711 Nicole Dr.
South Branch, MI 48761
No code tech...<guffaw>

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

3648. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 18:59:11 UTC
From: QRM Retest Roger <qrm@retestboyhaha.net>
Message-ID: <d2ped5$b87$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"QRM Retest Roger" <qrm@retestboyhaha.net> wrote in message
news:d2oqip$3sl$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:mfqdnbfrNNwMttLfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net...
>>
>>
>> Stagger Lee wrote:
>>> On Sat, 2 Apr 2005 17:05:38 -0500, Dog <dog@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:
>>> : : That's a post-edited copy of the *real* letter.
>>>
>>> And besides, that's not Roger Wiseman. Therefore, that person has no
>>> access to the original FCC letters; only copies. Now if he *were*
>>> Roger Wiseman, his comments might carry some weight.
>>>
>>> But, alas, he isn't. He said so.
>>
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0503.html
>> GLENDALE, WV [UPDATE]: The FCC had written General licensee Roger L.
>> Wiseman, KC8JBO, on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware
>> of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and Advanced licensee
>> Steven A. Tunder, N8WGM, that included allegations of interference or
>> jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement
>> Riley Hollingsworth cautioned Tunder and Wiseman to review ?97.1 of the
>> Amateur Radio rules and "to make certain that whatever disputes that may
>> exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth
>> invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Wiseman
>> alleged in a subsequent communication to the ARRL that Tunder had been
>> harassing him and that he had made the FCC aware of it in the first
>> place. Wiseman indicated that he had been in touch with Hollingsworth to
>> clarify the situation. The FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last
>> November in response to allegations of deliberate and malicious
>> interference on 20 meters.
>>
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0719.html
>> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L. Wiseman,
>> KC8JBO, retake the General examination elements under the supervision of
>> an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by
>> September 11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing
>> for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with
>> the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2000,
>> advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute"

>> between Wiseman and another licensee that included allegations of
>> interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur
>> Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to
>> contact him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman
>> a Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate
>> and malicious interference on 20 meters.
>>
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/1999/1119.html
>> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General
>> licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had
>> been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations
>> of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred
>> at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting
>> music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC
>> Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth.
>> Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described could
>> result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that
>> the licensee contact him to discuss the allegations.
>>
>
>
> Hearing no further whining from Roger about *random retesting*, based on
> the above letters, we now know Wogie was retested because of his repeated
> deliberate malicious jamming of ham radio operators on 20 meters.
> Case closed.
>

Spin it any way you want "Not Roger," but the *evidence* is clearly there
for all to see. "....citing EVIDENCE that the licensee..."

3649. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 19:04:06 UTC
From: Anonymous Starwars Remailer <anon@qrmanonremailer.org>
Message-ID: <d2pemcbnm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:mfqdnbfrNNwMttLfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net...
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0503.html
> GLENDALE, WV [UPDATE]: The FCC had written General licensee Roger L.
> Wiseman, KC8JBO, on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware
> of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and Advanced licensee
> Steven A. Tunder, N8WGM, that included allegations of interference or
> jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement
> Riley Hollingsworth cautioned Tunder and Wiseman to review ?97.1 of the
> Amateur Radio rules and "to make certain that whatever disputes that may
> exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth
> invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Wiseman
> alleged in a subsequent communication to the ARRL that Tunder had been
> harassing him and that he had made the FCC aware of it in the first place.
> Wiseman indicated that he had been in touch with Hollingsworth to clarify
> the situation. The FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in
> response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20
> meters.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0719.html
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L. Wiseman,
> KC8JBO, retake the General examination elements under the supervision of
> an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by
> September 11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing
> for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with
> the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2000,
> advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute"
> between Wiseman and another licensee that included allegations of
> interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur
> Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact
> him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman a
> Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate and
> malicious interference on 20 meters.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/1999/1119.html
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General
> licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had
> been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations
> of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred
> at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting
> music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC

> Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth.
> Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described could
> result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that
> the licensee contact him to discuss the allegations.
>

3650. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 19:08:51 UTC
From: Anonymous Starwars Remailer <anon@qrmanonremailer.org>
Message-ID: <d2pev9$c7k$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:mfqdnbfrNNwMttLfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net...
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0503.html
> GLENDALE, WV [UPDATE]: The FCC had written General licensee Roger L.
> Wiseman, KC8JBO, on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware
> of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and Advanced licensee
> Steven A. Tunder, N8WGM, that included allegations of interference or
> jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement
> Riley Hollingsworth cautioned Tunder and Wiseman to review ?97.1 of the
> Amateur Radio rules and "to make certain that whatever disputes that may
> exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth
> invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Wiseman
> alleged in a subsequent communication to the ARRL that Tunder had been
> harassing him and that he had made the FCC aware of it in the first place.
> Wiseman indicated that he had been in touch with Hollingsworth to clarify
> the situation. The FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in
> response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20
> meters.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0719.html
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L. Wiseman,
> KC8JBO, retake the General examination elements under the supervision of
> an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by
> September 11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing
> for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with
> the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2000,
> advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute"
> between Wiseman and another licensee that included allegations of
> interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur
> Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact
> him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman a
> Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate and
> malicious interference on 20 meters.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/1999/1119.html
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General
> licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had
> been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations
> of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred
> at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting
> music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC

> Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth.
> Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described could
> result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that
> the licensee contact him to discuss the allegations.
>

3651. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 19:11:42 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@wogerrestesthq.net>
Message-ID: <d2pf4jcgp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0503.html
> GLENDALE, WV [UPDATE]: The FCC had written General licensee Roger L.
> Wiseman, KC8JBO, on March 29, 2000, advising that it had been made aware
> of "an ongoing personal dispute" between Wiseman and Advanced licensee
> Steven A. Tunder, N8WGM, that included allegations of interference or
> jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement
> Riley Hollingsworth cautioned Tunder and Wiseman to review §97.1 of the
> Amateur Radio rules and "to make certain that whatever disputes that may
> exist are not carried over to Amateur Radio frequencies." Hollingsworth
> invited the two licensees to contact him to discuss the matter. Wiseman
> alleged in a subsequent communication to the ARRL that Tunder had been
> harassing him and that he had made the FCC aware of it in the first place.
> Wiseman indicated that he had been in touch with Hollingsworth to clarify
> the situation. The FCC had sent Wiseman a Warning Notice last November in
> response to allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20
> meters.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2000/0719.html
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC requested July 11, 2000, that Roger L. Wiseman,
> KC8JBO, retake the General examination elements under the supervision of
> an ARRL-VEC volunteer examiner team. Wiseman must appear for retesting by
> September 11, 2000, or his license will be canceled. Applicants appearing
> for re-examination are granted an Amateur Radio license consistent with
> the elements passed. The FCC had written Wiseman on March 29, 2000,
> advising that it had been made aware of "an ongoing personal dispute"
> between Wiseman and another licensee that included allegations of
> interference or jamming on 20 meters. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur
> Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth invited the two licensees to contact
> him to discuss the matter. Additionally, the FCC had sent Wiseman a
> Warning Notice last November in response to allegations of deliberate and
> malicious interference on 20 meters.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/1999/1119.html
> GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General
> licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had
> been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations
> of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred
> at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting
> music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC
> Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth.
> Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described could
> result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that

> the licensee contact him to discuss the allegations.
>

3652. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 19:28:59 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <not-woger.posts.again@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 105218

On Sun, 3 Apr 2005 17:25:33 +0200 (CEST), Not-Woger <nobody@paranoici.org> wrote:
: In article <three.time.retest.woger@houston-hunks.com>
: Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
: >

Oh my. I see you're still reading Usenet via Google. The ellipsis is
a dead giveaway.

: > But the Rain Report only received copies of the original
: letter, as
: > did the ARRL and some others. Only Roger Wiseman has the
: original
: > letters, as well as *all* of the original letters. He is the
: only one
: > who can prove that the letters under discussion were not post-
: edited.

Also, Google's word wrap is terrible. Look how badly my own post is
quoted. I guess that you've run out first rate USPs. Been kicked off
too many of them, eh?

: No, YOU posted a copy of the letter to the newsgroups after it
: came out, tubby. It was available on the Rain Report website
: before Hap decided not to keep posting them.

I think you have me confused with someone else. There were many who
(re)posted the copies of the letters, including Woger Wiseman. Too
bad he's not around to straighten this whole thing out.

: One does not get retested "twice." You must be Lennie. Too
: stupid to even get a ham license.

I would think that you can be retested as often as the FCC directs.
In fact, I'd be willing to bet on it. Too bad Woger isn't around to
let us know how many times he was retested. I personally think that
anyone who believes in negative SWR might have to be tested several
times. Add the jamming to that mix, and I can envision four or five
retests.

: Oh, you are the one who posted that the Marshall County, Alabama
: website was the one for Marshall County, West Virginia.

No, I just said it was Marshall County. And it was. WOGER was the one
who assumed that I meant West Virginia.

And how is it that you retain and bring up that post after years have
elapsed? After all, you're not Woger and the comments were clearly

directed at him.

If I hadn't accepted your word that you aren't Woger, I'd start to suspect that you could be prevaricating. [Jbtre guvaxf gung ynfg jbeq ersref gb xvaxl frk.] What a terrible thought.

[61 additional lines flushed.]

==========
In message <ag1j7r$m9m$s@pita.alt.net>, AB8MQ shows his technical cluelessness about IP addresses when he ominously asks: "Still using: NNTP-Posting-Host: 172.16.133.151?"

3653. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 21:32:15 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@wogerrestesthq.net>
Message-ID: <d2pnc7rrc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105219
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pam" <PamHoffer@nosplam> wrote in message news:424e2e7c$1_1@127.0.0.1...
> Hello. My name is Pam. I once ran an ISP in the West Virginia/Ohio area.
>
> Roger Wiseman was at one time a subscriber to my services, but that didn't
> last long.
>
> Why, you ask?
>
>
>
> Mr. Wiseman was never the best of customers and from the very first week
> of
> him signing with us the complaints rolled in. The majority of the
> complaints
> centered on the fact that Mr. Wiseman was posting libel and did so with a
> before unheard of amount of filthy verbiage.
>
>
>
> I, Pam, warned Mr. Wiseman on several occasions. Mr. Wiseman responded by
> placing the blame on others, others whose names or IP addresses he could
> not
> provide. He assured me that the violations would stop.
>
>
>
> Then, about three years ago, I received a printout from a person who
> called
> himself Arf! Arf! In the printout were numerous posts, with headers, made
> by
> Mr. Wiseman in which Mr. Wiseman described me in the most vile of terms.
> After receiving these printouts I decided that it would be useless to
> issue
> any further warnings to Mr. Wiseman. I then terminated his account.
>
>
>
> Mister Wiseman was with us for less than a year and was told that he would
> not be allowed another account.
>
>
>
> It is our policy to refuse service to anybody who violates our terms of

> service, those being the use of abusive language and the posting of
> libelous
> statements. Mister Wiseman was placed on notice that he is no longer
> welcome
> and need never again apply for another account with us.
>
>
>
> Mister Wiseman has been informed that he is a "persona non gratis".
>
>
>
> Pam
>

I claim *SPANK* on Roger. The FCC letters being self-evident.
Too bad, only Roger could possibly refute the spank, but then he is not
here, only someone who claims he is "Not Roger."

73,

Lloyd

3654. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 21:37:24 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <xNidnWb-sMCO_83fRVn-gg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105220

Anonymous wrote:

> In article <mfqdnbfrNNwMttLfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net>
> KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>But, alas, he isn't. He said so.
>>
>>http://www.arrl.o<whaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaahhhh, I was proved wrong.>
>

Hmm, you dumbasses, need to review what "citing evidence" mean.
And it still doesn't change:

http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/1999/1119.html
GLENDALE, WV: The FCC sent a Warning Notice November 9, 1999, to General licensee Roger L. Wiseman, KC8JBO, citing evidence that the licensee had been "deliberately and maliciously interfering with the radio operations of other licensed amateurs" on 20 meters. "This interference has occurred at various times in the last several months and includes broadcasting music and other unidentified transmissions," said a letter from FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth. Hollingsworth warned that continued operation of the type described could result in a fine or license revocation proceeding, and he requested that the licensee contact him to discuss the evidence.

Better learn to read:
ROGER L WISEMAN
610 GLEN HAVEN AVE
GLENDALE WV 26038-1302

3655. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 21:41:58 UTC
From: Dan <Nospam>
Message-ID: <425063ba$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 105221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@wogerrestesthq.net> wrote in message
news:d2pf4jcgp0@pita.alt.net...

"allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20 meters."

Enough said.
Roger loses.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

3656. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 22:51:20 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <46f2632f12003fdae842ea415c3231e2@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105223

In article <1112534096.618800.66140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> What snot? There's none there. (And that's not what's in
the
> pic...sorry)

Oh, so you have been swallowing cum, Nursie. It was a little too
white for snot.

> Oh, I acknowledge my occassional spelling errors.

"Occassional," Nursie? "Occasional" means from time to time, but
you make them in every post.

> And you're still an anonymous coward.

Nursie, you are just a whiny little bitch, aren't you?

> So far, the only excuses being made here are why you're
not man
> enough to stand behind your comments with your real name.

And so far, all you offer up are more excuses.

> And I've answered them. They've been archived in several
different
> newsgroups before and are public records.

No, you offer up these faked "documents" which shows you are
dishonest, Nursie.

> How? I understand the situation fine. You're a coward and
> unwilling to be a man. No problem.

Only in your tiny little mind, Nursie.

> Since you're pontificating on spelling errors, anonymous
coward,
> it's "screw-up".

I suppose you know, since that term has been applied to you more
than once.

> Perhaps. But you're still an anonymous coward.

Bleat, little Nursie, bleat.

> Hardly. YOU said "you'd bet" and I said you los the bet.
So now
> you're an anonymous coward AND a welch!

That's better than being an English-impaired jackass who "lost"
the bet.

> Hey, YOU'RE the one who claims to have been to my place of
> employment.

And you still can't disprove it, Nursie.

> So go ahead...Impress everyone here with the name of the
facility I
> work at, the shifts I work, etc.

Bleat, Nursie, you are so cute when you get angry. Well, except
for your receding hairline and cum soaked moustache.

> So now I say you're ALSO a liar, on top of being an
anonymoous
> coward AND a welch.

> Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh! ! ! ! Now who's "getting
defensive",
> anonymous coward...?!?!

You are, Nursie. Where are those "documents"

> Ran your mouth off and got backed into a corner! Need
some facts
> to back up your broggadaccio and can't ante up!

It's "braggadacio", jackass. You look like a fool when you get
it wrong.

> She died at home with the one's who loved her. You should
read the
> AOL Homepage I wrote about her. She was a blessing and a gift.

Well, good thing she croaked before she started getting tits.
You know how you just love doing that sort of thing.

> I do? Since when? Thee are a lot of folks in other jobs
and
> professions that see people dying more than I do. MY job is
to keep
> them alive. Thank-God I am better at that than you are at
being a
> commpassionate human being.

You've yet to demonstrate it, Nursie.

3657. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 22:53:22 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <ca03d471fbaa412b83b0fd83c76db1a4@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 105222

In article <d2nd02$901$0@pita.alt.net>
"Dog" <d...@twenmtrsdxboys.net> wrote:
>
> Yup, those look like

Yup, it looksd like you are shown to be stupid again. Or as
always.

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47
C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to
re-
administer any examination element previously administered by
Volunteer
Examiners (VE's).
The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or
under the supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC)
or VE
designated by the Commission.

3658. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 23:01:40 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <23ef378f7ab279b94b58efe4b9f0e084@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 105224

In article <not-woger.posts.again@houston-hunks.com>
Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
> On Sun, 3 Apr 2005 17:25:33 +0200 (CEST), Not-Woger
<nob...@paranoici.org> wrote:
> : In article <three.time.retest.wo...@houston-hunks.com>
> : Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
> : >
>
> Oh my. I see you're still reading Usenet via Google. The
ellipsis is
> a dead giveaway.

I see you still hide behind an alias. But that yellow streak
running down your back is something you can't hide. You whine
about somebody using a remailer, yet you hide behind a fictional
black man and a fictional isp name. Hypocrite.
And, the last time I checked, I'm still not Roger.

>
> Also, G[nothing of consequence]

Also, you dodge the issue.

> I think

No, you don't.

> I would think <"whaaaaaaaaaaaaah I'm beaten again">

Since when? You post things that have no basis in reality.

>
> : Oh, you are the one who posted that the Marshall County,
Alabama
> : website was the one for Marshall County, West Virginia.
>
> No, I just said it was Marshall County.

No, The subject was the Marshall County, WV Ares and you said
you'd go to their website and let them know about Wiseman.
Wiseman countered and said Marshall County WV ARES doesn't have
a website. You said:

Subject: Re: Get on the Marshall County ARES webpage.....
Date: 3 Jul 2002 22:03:46 GMT
From: S...@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee)

Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
MsgId: <afvsc2$6a...@pita.alt.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.coc&#65533;ksucker.roger-wiseman
References: <hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3s&#65533;je22s@4ax.com>
<afvhaj$2r...@pita.alt.net>

Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whined:

On Wed, 3 Jul 2002 14:18:35 -0400, AB8MQ <kc8...@alt.net> wrote:
: "Bob" <:@mchsi.com> wrote in message
: news:hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3sje22s@4ax.com...
: > and send them some of Rogers filth. I'm sure they would love
it.
:
: Dumbfuck, the Marshall County ARES doesn't have a webpage, try
again,

Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywa&#65533;rncanwarn/ doodle
brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a
place to send email.

Now waddle, away, tubby.

> And how <whines>

Would you like some salt with those words being shoved down your
throat? A search of your posts on Google, and just like that I
have that post where you posted that Marshall County WV and
Marshall County Alabama are the same.

And the FCC won't keep retesting somebody. That statement shows
what a clueless imbecile you really are.

Keep backpedaling, like you always do. Always.

> If I hadn't <whines flushed>

Yeah, if your whore mommy would've just used protection when she
fucked that retard, you wouldn't be posting your idiocy today
and every day. Like the Marshall County Ares screwup, the
"International Radio License" that was proven wrong, or the
"Eric Clapton wrote Layla" for a fat dumbassed ham's wife
instead of Patty Boyd Harrison.

Subject: Re: Get on the Marshall County ARES webpage.....
Date: 3 Jul 2002 22:03:46 GMT
From: S...@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee)
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
MsgId: <afvsc2$6a...@pita.alt.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.coc&#65533;ksucker.roger-wiseman
References: <hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3s&#65533;je22s@4ax.com>

<afvhaj$2r...@pita.alt.net>

Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whined:

On Wed, 3 Jul 2002 14:18:35 -0400, AB8MQ <kc8...@alt.net> wrote:
: "Bob" <:@mchsi.com> wrote in message
: news:hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3sje22s@4ax.com...
: > and send them some of Rogers filth. I'm sure they would love
it.
:
: Dumbfuck, the Marshall County ARES doesn't have a webpage, try
again,

Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywa&#65533;rncanwarn/ doodle
brain? It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even
has a
place to send email.

3659. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 23:02:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112569324.454591.267240@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105225

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Hey Mike...It's not THAT far fetched...Burghardt in NY has had
> > that
> > > young lady in the tight fitting sweater for years...Musta beem
> > selling
> > > SOMEthing!
> >
> > But not radios, huh???
>
> Only radios.

Obviously "something" that radio sellers sell is radios.

So why make such an obvious statement?

> You and the e-mailer have the same problem, Brain. A one-track,
> race to evil conclusions minds.
>
> Bad thing to have.

And so you try to make others the deviants. Isn't working.

> > > The sleazy nudge-nudge insinuation in your "Musta beem seeling
> > > SOMEthing!" comment is one of the lowest forms of attack I've ever
> > seen
> > > on rrap.
> >
> > Not really, but it is true to Steve's style.
>
> What style?

True enough in the usual sense.

> > I'll expect to see a sincere unconditional apology on rrap by
> > > the end of today, or I'll consider forwarding your trashy comments
> > to
> > > Michele and Jim.
> >
> > Unlikely.
>

> Absolutely unlikely. The respondant was asked both here and in
> private e-mail to show where an "attack" or an intent to attack was
> expressed.
>
> The respondant failed to do so...That being because there wasn't
> one.
>
> The "attack" was the respondant picking a fight with me for no
> other reason than it WAS me making the comments.

I see no attack. (S)he asked you to apologize for an off comment. I certainly have no problem with that person forwarding your comments to the young lady and her father whether you apologize or not. You made your comments in a public forum, right?

Maybe you'd like to have 30 minutes at the Dayton Hamvention banquet to explain yourself, your view of how people are always misunderstanding what you say, and why you are the victim.

> > > You took offense...Too bad for you. You know as well as I
do
> > that
> > > people can "take offense" at the most innocent of comments
> > > all-the-while letting morbid profanity and bold threats roll off
> > thier
> > > backs. This is one of those moments.
> > >
> > > Have a nice day.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Innocent comments - hi, hi! Robeson likes to make inuendo.
>
> The "innuendo" was the respondants.
>
> > If you call him on it, he'll try to turn you into the deviant.
>
> What's to "call", Brain?
>
> > He's always the victim.
>
> Unlike you or Lennie who always have to be a victim, I REFUSE to
be
> a victim. That's probably what grates your cheese.

I am no victim of yours. You would have to matter for that to happen, and you just don't matter. You simply have an emotional defect and you cannot help yourself.

> >Probably learned that tactic while rehearsing for his 100%
disability
> >hearing.

>
> And there goes Brain with yet another lie that he'll never
> substantiate.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

So you're not disabled?

3660. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 23:10:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112569813.528506.157740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105226

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> It get's more confusing every morning Brian. Now it appears this
"private"
> real but anonymous person has threatened both Steve and Mike but
doesn't
> have the decency to do it in public, forcing them into the
embarassing
> position of publicly exposing their victimization without knowing who
> threatened them.
>
> Strangerer and strangerer!
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire

Strangereer indeed.

You know, Mel, if that real but anonymous person had suggested in his/her quasi-"private" email with CC's to Mike and who knows else, that brick would fly through windows, tires would be slashed, and wives terrorized, I could see Steve Robeson/K4YZ characterizing the email as a threat. But the person merely indicated that Steve's publicly made inuendo would reach the person to whom he made the comments about. I see no threat. I see Robeson posturing and pretending to be a victim himself. At best he's merely a #1 jerk who may have once worn a marine uniform. At worst he needs to seek counseling.

3661. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 23:31:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112571071.484128.76030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105227

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > >From the ARRL webpage: (www.arrl.org)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty
> > > Exercise
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 21, 2005--Amateur Radio Emergency
> Service
> > > > (ARES)
> > > > > > > > members in Connecticut, New Jersey and several other
states
> > in
> > > > > the
> > > > > > > > Northeastern US are preparing to take part in what's
being
> > > > > > > > characterized as the most comprehensive terrorism
response
> > > > exercise
> > > > > > > > ever conducted in the US. Sponsored by the US Department
of
> > > > > Homeland
> > > > > > > > Security and intended as a realistic test of the nation's
> > > > homeland
> > > > > > > > security system, the exercise--TOPOFF 3--gets under way
> > Monday,
> > > > > > April
> > > > > > > > 4, and continues through the week.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > SNIP
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > As usual...rants derailed by facts.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > 73
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't see any mention of the FCC nor Len.
> > > > > >

> > > > > Who mentioned the FCC, Brain?
> > > > >
> > > > > (1) Notice the title..."Guess The Government Isn't
Paying
> > > > > Attention to Lennie?"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > (2) "Sponsored by the US Department of Homeland
Security
> > and
> > > > > intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland
security
> > > > > system..."(SNIP)
> > > > >
> > > > > See...?!?! You screwed up...AGAIN.
> > > >
> > > > The Dept of Homeland Security didn't mention Len either.
> > >
> > > And you've still not picked up (or are ignoring due to
extreme
> > > mebarrassment) that your attempt to defeat the post was derailed
on
> > > your lack of accuracy.
> > >
> > > > > And you're absolutely right about Lennie...No meniton of
> > > him...
> > > > >
> > > > > Right again.
> > >
> > > And that's because no one outside this forum finds him even
> the
> > > least bit accurate.
> > >
> > > > > However HIS rants in this forum as to how unimportant
> > Amateur
> > > > > Radio is and how we "self-glorify" ourselves are numerous and
> > well
> > > > > known.
> > > > >
> > > > > The importance of amateur radio is easily overblown.
> > >
> > > To whom? The governmental agencies who still spend a lot of
> time
> > > and money making sure they have Amateur Radio assets in thier
> > > facilities, or the disaster relief agencies that still call on
them
> > in
> > > a wide range of natural and man-made disasters...???
> > >
> > >
> > > > > The utility of

> > > > amateur radio in emergencies is entirely dependent on mostly
> aging
> > > men,
> > > > who may or may not be available to assist in emergencies.
> > >
> > > "Entirely dependent"...?!?!
> > >
> > > Brian, I'll give you a big hint here...Your mouth is
> over-running
> > > your common sense again.
> > >
> > > Also...I >>>D E M A N D<<< that you cite even ONE instance
> > wherein
> > > ARES, RACES, etc, did NOT respond because they were "...entirely
> > > dependent on mostly aging men who may or may not be available to
> > assist
> > > in emergencies"...
> > >
> > > > For example,
> > > > you have the rickyranger kind of guys who volunteer for ARES,
> > MARS,
> > > > Red Cross, CAP, and probably other acronyms that he has yet to
> > reveal
> > > > to the group, yet have primary responsibilities as an emergency
> > room
> > > > nurse. So when the emergency happens, ARES, MARS and CAP are
> left
> > > > hanging.
> > >
> > > Nice try...
> > >
> > > Your "one example" of one persson who MAY not be able to
> respond
> > is
> > > irrelevent. Even with my "duties" here I STILL manage to spend
> time
> > > for both CAP >>AND<< ARES.
> > >
> > > The F A C T S remain that ARES and other Amateur Radio
related
> > > response organizations ARE still "in the que" as far as Homeland
> > > Defense, The Red Cross, etc etc etc are concerned.
> > >
> > > That's not "overblown". It's the truth.
> > >
> > > > > The news release was absolutely proof positive that
> Lennie's
> > > > > assessments of Amateur Radio's disaster related services are
> > > > unfounded
> > > > > and, to say the least, inaccurate.

> > > >
> > > > Not entirely. It is situationally dependent.
> > > >
> > > No, it's not.
> > > >
> > > Neither you or Lennie can produce ONE example of ANY
situation
> in
> > > which ARES or any other Amateur Radio organization did not/could
> not
> > > respond.
> > > >
> > > > > Just like I said.
> > > > >
> > > > I forgot. You're always right.
> > > >
> > > Not always. Just most of the time when it comes to anything
> > > dealing with you...Like right now...
> > > >
> > > > > > As usual, rants derailed by facts.
> > > > > >
> > > > > You're absolutely right...And you did it to yourself...
> > > > > >
> > > > > "Hi!"
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > This isn't about me.
> > > >
> > > Sure it is.
> > > >
> > > YOU made even MORE assinine assertions that were, on
immediate
> > > inspection, flawed and inaccurate.
> > > >
> > > Sucks to be you, Brain.
> > > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > Steve, I don't see much reason to respond to your demands.
>
> Of course you don't.
>
> You can't.
>
> You make assinine, poorly constructed assertions, then when asked
> to substantiate them, you wallow around looking for a way out without
> admitting that you don't have an adequate answer.

I don't see anyone wallowing around looking for anything. I see you being your pathetic self.

3662. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 23:47:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112572022.236309.108440@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105228

Caveat Lector wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1112498677.875748.151760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>CL Wrote
> >> With 100 Watts and a Mushcraft 1/2 wave vertical -- got all but 2
> > states in
> >> a year (need KY and AR)
>
> > BB sed
> > I might have been able to give you both this past week. About
where
> > are you located?
> >
> Ah thanks for the try -- but lent my antenna out to a friend so he
could
> make new parts for his AR-6.
>
> I'm in So Calif
>
> But summer is sporadic E season for 6M-- so the best time would be
May June
> July
> Will need double hop for KY
> Maybe single hop for AR.
>
> CL

I did some work on 6 and 2 ssb when there were lots of active Techs,
but it seems a lot slower now that they've been chased away.

3663. Re: ham radio psycholoLical Test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-03 23:52:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112572361.412006.310570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105229

ID4 2.0 wrote:
> Does any one know about this psycholoLical Test that the FCC is
passing
> that ham radio peaple will have to pass? I just read it in CQ. This
> scares me.
>
>
> Mike
> KE7DBX

No one at the FCC or VEC is qualified to make up that question pool.

Besides, if the FCC published the question pool, what would be the
point?

3664. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 00:02:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112572934.659212.47020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105230

bb wrote:
> Phil Kane wrote:
> > On 24 Mar 2005 02:30:36 -0800, K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > >Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty Exercise
> >
> > [balanced snipped]
> >
> > Yup - it's real - and nationwide. I get to sit in the local
> > hospital's EOC passing traffic on ham channels for two - count
'em
> -
> > two shifts during this one. They won't even let me see the
scripts
> > until I get there, too.....
> >
> > --
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> Phil, why do you have to pull a double-shift?

I would guess that you have to pull two shifts because there are not
enough amateur volunteers.

3665. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 00:16:23 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@wogerrestesthq.net>
Message-ID: <d2q0vseuv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105231

By your own admission, you are "Not Roger," so what you say has
no validity, much less is it even logical in the face of self-evident
FCC correspondence. Once you resorted to profanity, you lost any
hope of convincing anyone here.

73,

Lloyd

"Tarapia Tapioco" <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it> wrote in message
news:ca03d471fbaa412b83b0fd83c76db1a4@firenze.linux.it...
>
> Yup, it looksd like you are shown to be stupid again. Or as
> always.
>
> Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission's Rules, 47
> C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the authority to
> re-
> administer any examination element previously administered by
> Volunteer
> Examiners (VE's).
> The Commission may either administer the examination itself, or
> under the supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC)
> or VE
> designated by the Commission.
>

3666. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 01:47:02 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050404014702.871AB170E6@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 105232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <d2oqip$3sl$0@pita.alt.net>
"QRM Retest Roger" <q...@retestboyhaha.net> wrote:

> Hearing no further whining from Roger about *random
retesting*, based on
> the above letters, we now know Wogie was retested because of
his repeated
> deliberate malicious jamming of ham radio operators on 20
meters.
> Case closed.

You need to have better reading skills, pizza boy.

3667. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 01:50:02 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <d3888a75a8e6bd6d82d41a0ea50817fe@dizum.com>
Source record: 105233
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d2pnc7rrc0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <L...@wogerrestesthq.net> wrote:
>
>
> I claim <Lardass' delusions>

Lardass, you also "claim" that you are a "TimeLord" and you
hqave "daleks", you mentally ill reatard.
But in reality you are just a mentally challenged pizza delivery
boy. Your "claims" are like your malformed empty skull, hollow.

There are no Daleks under your command 'tard boy. You have been
a failure in everything you have ever done, PERIOD!

You are indeed "pale" (you post as pale dalek on NIM) you never
venture forth outside except for your minimum wage "job," and to
buy more light beer.

You need to seriously take a long hard look at yourself in a
properly lit mirror and then take action. Lose weight, get
mental help, stay off the computer for hours on end and face
reality. You are 31 years of age, you deliver pizzas, you play
with dolls, you get drunk and spew your filth and delusions on
NIM and usenet. Nobody, I repeat, nobody except for a couple of
geeks like yourself can stand you.

You need to either kill yourself or get help, thats it, do it!

3668. Re: Foxfire History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 02:16:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DfudnayCM5AaPs3fRVn-vA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 105234

Arf! Arf! wrote:

> Are you discussing Firefox, the browser?

Foxfire is the browser for us dyslexic types! 8^)

Thanks for the correcttion, tho'.

- Mike KB3EIA -

>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:l76dnQfPjM-f_NLfRVn-2A@adelphia.com...
> Bill Brannick wrote:
>
>
>>I have asked this before , but did get not a single response so I will
>>try again ...
>>
>>When I open the "history" window, it shows two folders.. One for URLs
>>visited TODAY and another for URLs visited more then 6 days ago..
>>
>>There must be a option somewhere that would allow me to see those from
>>one to five days ago..
>>
>
>
> Dunno Bill,
>
> Foxfire has a lot of similarities to Netscape. In my history folder, I
> have several different days and weeks worth of history items.
>
> Perhaps the amateur radio policy group is the wrong place to ask. I
> would wager that most people in here use the evil program, Internet
> Exploder......
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

3669. Last rites declaration of Ioannes Paulus PP. II (Karol Wojtyla)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 03:01:19 UTC
From: "Ioannes Paulus PP. II" <john_paul_ii@vatican.va>
Message-ID: <9eb717e5.a35f7981@news.vatican.va>
Source record: 105240
Cross-posted to: microsoft.public.win2000.windows,alt.binaries.multimedia.rap,fido7.kharkov.doom,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The unforgiveable sins this earth must confront and overcome are
Nationalism, capitalism, and hoarding. The idea of every nation
should be forgot, price should be struck from the commons, and
princes should be seen for the devils they are. The sins include
our church, secret societies, and other religions which make of
the spirit of God a divide."

Last rites declaration of Ioannes Paulus PP. II (Karol Wojtyla)
2nd April 2005

--
like the trees in winter, or like seed in the
spring suppressed under a hard clod of earth. Many in such cases have
labored to their utmost to divert their minds from the pleasing and
joyful views they have had, and to suppress those consolations and
gracious affections that arose thereupon. And when it has once come into
their minds to inquire, whether or not this was not true grace, they
have been much afraid lest they should be deceived with common
illuminations and flashes of affection, and eternally undone with a
false hope. But when they have been better instructed, and so brought to
allow of hope, this has awakened the gracious disposition of their
hearts into life and vigor as the warm beams of the sun in the spring
have quickened the seeds and productions of the earth. Grace being now
at liberty, and cherished with hope, has soon flowed out to their
abundant satisfaction and increase.

There is no one thing that I know of which God has made such a means of
promoting His work amongst us, as the news of others' conversion. This
has been owned in awakening sinners, engaging them earnestly to seek the
same blessing, and in quickening saints. Though I have thought that a
minister declaring his judgment about particular persons' experiences,
might from these things be justified; yet I often signify to my people
how unable man is to know another's heart, and how unsafe it is to
depend merely on the judgment of others. I have abundantly insisted,
that a manifestation of sincerity in fruits brought forth, is better
than any manife

3670. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 03:01:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zZ-dnaW4ScWEM83fRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 105235

Mel A. Nomah wrote:

> It get's more confusing every morning Brian. Now it appears this "private"
> real but anonymous person has threatened both Steve and Mike

No one threatened me, Mel. I was just cc'd in the reply the person made
to Steve.

> but doesn't
> have the decency to do it in public, forcing them into the embarassing
> position of publicly exposing their victimization without knowing who
> threatened them.
>
> Strangerer and strangerer!

And how!

> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire

I do like that quote........

- Mike KB3EIA -

3671. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 04:10:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ieeb5o1.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 105236

On 3 Apr 2005 17:02:14 -0700, bb wrote:

>> Phil, why do you have to pull a double-shift?

It's a two-day exercise, and I can make it both days.

>I would guess that you have to pull two shifts because there are not
>enough amateur volunteers.

Actually, we have six operators qualified for that location, and we work in teams of three (county voice net, inter-hospital voice net, county-wide packet net) so we'll have plenty of operators. I didn't duck fast enough so I got designated as "assistant station manager" - that and two bucks will get me a cup of bad coffee that I don't drink anyhow.....but they do feed us if we hang around long enough. <ggg>

We did get called out today for an AMTRAK derailment because the ER didn't know how many casualties would be diverted to our hospital. Fortunately there were none so we stood down after two hours.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3672. Re: Merger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 05:09:45 UTC
From: "Joe S." <nobody@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <d2qi6p$952$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 105237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.broadcasting

Did no one notice the date of this "press release?"

--

Joe S.

<channel391@juno.com> wrote in message news:d2mmvcqal1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> Baxter is a radio god. He will eat the ARRL and spit out whats left.
> This is the end of ARRL.
>
> Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> > From: ARRL [mailto:press@.arrl.org]
> > Sent: Friday, April 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
> > To: Headquarters [mailto:hq@arrl.org]
> > Subject: Important Organizational Announcement
> >
> > AARA Founder Glen Baxter to Join ARRL As Third Vice President
> >
> > NEWINGTON, Conn., April 1, 2005 - The American Radio Relay League
> (ARRL)
> > announced today that it will acquire the American Amateur Radio
> Association
> > (AARA), a leading provider of Amateur Information Bulletin Services.
> The
> > deal unites the two most prominent Amateur Radio organizations in the
> United
> > States. Financial terms of the acquisition were not disclosed.
> >
> > "The acquisition of AARA complements ARRL's already extensive
> offerings to
> > include up-to-the-minute information and interactive discussion on
> what is
> > happening in the world of Amateur Radio," said Jim Haynie, W5JBP,
> president
> > of ARRL. "Together, we will be more effective in taking on some of
> the
> > challenges facing Amateur Radio, such as BPL and Spectrum Defense.
> This
> > brings us one step closer to being the national membership
> association for
> > Amateur Radio operators."
> >
> > The acquisition also brings the strong leadership skills of Glenn

> Baxter,
> > K1MAN, to the ARRL organization. Baxter has been named ARRL Third
> Vice
> > President in the move. "Mr. Baxter is a welcome addition to our
> management
> > team here at ARRL," said David Sumner, K1ZZ, and ARRL Chief Executive
> > Officer. "I look forward to his fresh perspectives and enthusiastic
> approach
> > to running a service organization like the ARRL."
> >
> > "Water under the bridge," said Glenn Baxter of AARA about past
> frictions
> > between the two organizations. "Today marks a new beginning for all
> of us.
> > We're in this together now." Plans for collocating Mr. Baxter and Mr.
> Sumner
> > in adjoining offices at ARRL headquarters are currently underway.
> >
> > In an official statement, Mr. Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, FCC Legal
> Advisor
> > for Enforcement added, "Over the years, Glenn and I have become very
> close.
> > I look forward to continuing our professional relationship as he
> assumes his
> > new position."
> >
> > In an effort to accelerate the shift to its new on-the-air image,
> ARRL has
> > already filed the paperwork necessary to make W1MAN the official call
> sign
> > of the combined organization. Joe Carcia, NJ1Q, ARRL station manager
> was
> > thrilled with the change, saying, "What a creative way to reflect our
> > traditional roots while also letting our bold, new face shine
> through!"
> > Broadcast operations at the facilities of ex-W1AW will be shutdown
> > immediately. AARA's state-of-the-art studios in Belgrade Lakes, ME
> will
> > become the new source of all ARRL transmissions.
> >
> > Amateur Radio insiders applauded the move. "These are interesting
> times for
> > Amateur Radio," said Gordon West, WB6NOA. "I sense a likely shift in
> the
> > ARRL views on many areas of the service. I think we'll be feeling the
> > positive impact of this merger for years to come."
> >
> > "This is big . . . really big," said Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, lead guitar
> player
> > for the Eagles. It's exactly the kind of thing that will send our

> ticket
> > sales through the roof. With Glenn on vocals, and Sumner on drums . .
> . this
> > tour season will be our best since '73"
> >
> > Audio aficionado and Amateur Radio entrepreneur Bob Heil, K9EID, also
> > supported the move. "This is a good thing for Heil, and it's about
> time
> > these guys got together", said Mr. Heil. "We are already working on a
> > special commemorative W1MAN microphone to honor the event."
> >
> > ARRL was founded in May 1914 by Percy Maxim, a leading Hartford,
> > Connecticut, inventor and industrialist. Today ARRL, with
> approximately
> > 152,000 members, is the largest not-for-profit organization of radio
> > amateurs in the United States.
> >
> > Web links:
> >
> > http://www.arrl.org
> > http://www.k1man.com
> > http://www.joewalsh.com
> > http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Joe-visits-Lab-One.sm.jpg
> > http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Bob-and-Jeff-Beck.jpg
>
>

3673. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 05:30:00 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jch_kimball@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112592600.614392.116610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105238
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Stop your whining you little bitch. Everyone knows that Lloydie is a TimeLORD. I guess he used his TimeLORD powers to get you kicked off of AOL.

Har Har. You're still Usenet's biggest punching bag, dumbass.

3674. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 05:31:31 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <372b99b3381f3af763cbf2a6bba0cbdf@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105239

In article <d2q0vseuv0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <L...@wogerrestesthq.net> wrote:
>
> By your <whines, whines, and more whines>

You need to work on your reading comprehension, career pizza boy.
Tell us why the Athens Police came to your HUD apartment, doper.
Lloyd here has admitted he smokes weed.
He really doesn't need any more help becoming more brain-
damaged, since he was born inferior.

3675. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 12:59:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112619580.315409.184900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105241

```
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > >From the ARRL webpage: (www.arrl.org)
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass
Casualty
> > > > Exercise
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 21, 2005--Amateur Radio Emergency
> > Service
> > > > > (ARES)
> > > > > > > > members in Connecticut, New Jersey and several other
> states
> > > in
> > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > Northeastern US are preparing to take part in what's
> being
> > > > > > > characterized as the most comprehensive terrorism
> response
> > > > exercise
> > > > > > > > ever conducted in the US. Sponsored by the US
Department
> of
> > > > > > Homeland
> > > > > > > > Security and intended as a realistic test of the
nation's
> > > > homeland
> > > > > > > > security system, the exercise--TOPOFF 3--gets under way
> > > Monday,
> > > > > > April
> > > > > > > > 4, and continues through the week.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > SNIP
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > As usual...rants derailed by facts.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > 73
> > > > > > >
```

> > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I don't see any mention of the FCC nor Len.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Who mentioned the FCC, Brain?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > (1) Notice the title..."Guess The Government Isn't
> Paying
> > > > > > > Attention to Lennie?"...?!?!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > (2) "Sponsored by the US Department of Homeland
> Security
> > > and
> > > > > > > intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland
> security
> > > > > > > system..."(SNIP)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > See...?!?! You screwed up...AGAIN.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The Dept of Homeland Security didn't mention Len either.
> > > > >
> > > > > And you've still not picked up (or are ignoring due to
> extreme
> > > > > mebarrassment) that your attempt to defeat the post was
derailed
> on
> > > > > your lack of accuracy.
> > > >
> > > > > > And you're absolutely right about Lennie...No meniton
of
> > > > > him...
> > > > >
> > > > > Right again.
> > > >
> > > > And that's because no one outside this forum finds him
even
> > the
> > > > > least bit accurate.
> > > >
> > > > > > However HIS rants in this forum as to how unimportant
> > > Amateur
> > > > > > Radio is and how we "self-glorify" ourselves are numerous
and
> > > well
> > > > > > known.
> > > > >
> > > > > The importance of amateur radio is easily overblown.
> > > >
> > > > To whom? The governmental agencies who still spend a lot
of

> > time
> > > > and money making sure they have Amateur Radio assets in thier
> > > > facilities, or the disaster relief agencies that still call on
> them
> > > in
> > > > a wide range of natural and man-made disasters...???
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > The utility of
> > > > > amateur radio in emergencies is entirely dependent on mostly
> > aging
> > > > men,
> > > > > who may or may not be available to assist in emergencies.
> > > >
> > > > "Entirely dependent"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Brian, I'll give you a big hint here...Your mouth is
> > over-running
> > > > your common sense again.
> > > >
> > > > Also...I >>>D E M A N D<<< that you cite even ONE instance
> > > wherein
> > > > ARES, RACES, etc, did NOT respond because they were
"...entirely
> > > > dependent on mostly aging men who may or may not be available
to
> > > assist
> > > > in emergencies"...
> > > >
> > > > > For example,
> > > > > you have the rickyranger kind of guys who volunteer for
ARES,
> > > MARS,
> > > > > Red Cross, CAP, and probably other acronyms that he has yet
to
> > > reveal
> > > > > to the group, yet have primary responsibilities as an
emergency
> > > room
> > > > > nurse. So when the emergency happens, ARES, MARS and CAP are
> > left
> > > > > hanging.
> > > > >
> > > > Nice try...
> > > > >
> > > > Your "one example" of one persson who MAY not be able to
> > respond
> > > is
> > > > > irrelevent. Even with my "duties" here I STILL manage to spend
> > time

> > > > for both CAP >>AND<< ARES.
> > > >
> > > > The F A C T S remain that ARES and other Amateur Radio
> related
> > > > response organizations ARE still "in the que" as far as
Homeland
> > > > Defense, The Red Cross, etc etc etc are concerned.
> > > >
> > > > That's not "overblown". It's the truth.
> > > >
> > > > > > The news release was absolutely proof positive that
> > Lennie's
> > > > > > assessments of Amateur Radio's disaster related services
are
> > > > > unfounded
> > > > > > and, to say the least, inaccurate.
> > > > >
> > > > > Not entirely. It is situationally dependent.
> > > >
> > > > No, it's not.
> > > >
> > > > Neither you or Lennie can produce ONE example of ANY
> situation
> > in
> > > > which ARES or any other Amateur Radio organization did
not/could
> > not
> > > > respond.
> > > >
> > > > > > Just like I said.
> > > > >
> > > > > I forgot. You're always right.
> > > >
> > > > Not always. Just most of the time when it comes to
anything
> > > > dealing with you...Like right now...
> > > >
> > > > > > > As usual, rants derailed by facts.
> > > > > >
> > > > > You're absolutely right...And you did it to
yourself...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Hi!"
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > This isn't about me.
> > > >
> > > > Sure it is.
> > > >

> > > > YOU made even MORE assinine assertions that were, on
> immediate
> > > > > inspection, flawed and inaccurate.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sucks to be you, Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Steve, I don't see much reason to respond to your demands.
> > >
> > > Of course you don't.
> > >
> > > You can't.
> > >
> > > You make assinine, poorly constructed assertions, then when
asked
> > > to substantiate them, you wallow around looking for a way out
without
> > > admitting that you don't have an adequate answer.
> >
> > I don't see anyone wallowing around looking for anything. I see you
> > being your pathetic self.

How do you come up with "pathetic", Brian?

YOU have made yet another anti-Amateur Radio statement, but then
when asked to substantiate the claim, you duck and hide, again trying
to redirect attention from YOUR silly statements.

THAT is pathetic. Not even the King's New Clothes were THAT
transparent!

Steve, K4YZ

3676. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 13:05:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112619909.461560.4320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105242

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> It get's more confusing every morning Brian. Now it appears this
"private"
> real but anonymous person has threatened both Steve and Mike but
doesn't
> have the decency to do it in public, forcing them into the
embarassing
> position of publicly exposing their victimization without knowing who
> threatened them.

Neither of us were vicitimized. The person making the "threats"
didn't have the "stuff" to make the threat he claimed he would. And if
s/he carried out the intended threat, s/he would have looked sillier
than the quotes s/he was claiming to be inappropriate.

And if you had paid attention to previous posts, YOU would know
that we know exactly who the third person is. The comments were
discussed here since they related to an on-going post.

Just as I expected, no one perceived the same "impropriety" that
the un-named author did.

Steve, K4YZ

3677. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 13:09:33 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <7a5a4dba6ad1cc120b10eaf81ebfd718@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105244

In article <xNidnWb-sMCO_83fRVn-gg@centurytel.net>
KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
>
>
> Anonymous wrote:
>
> > In article <mfqdnbfrNNwMttLfRVn...@centurytel.net>
> > KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>>But, alas, he isn't. He said so.
> >>
> >>http://www.arrl.o<whaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaahhhh, I was proved
wrong.>
> >
>
> Hmm, you dumbasses, need to review what "citing evidence"
mean.
> And it still doesn't change:
And it still doesn't change the fact you don't know what the
hell you are talking about!

Of course, we can presume with equal validity that the "evidence"
was based on bad information and was therefore improperly
presented. Or fabricated.

Better run along to your mommy, little Jimmy.

James E. Harbin
7711 Nicole Dr.
South Branch, MI 48761
No code tech...<guffaw>

3678. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 13:15:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112620504.427117.266440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105243

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Hey Mike...It's not THAT far fetched...Burghardt in NY has
> had
> > > that
> > > > young lady in the tight fitting sweater for years...Musta beem
> > > selling
> > > > SOMEthing!
> > > >
> > > But not radios, huh???
> > >
> > Only radios.
> >
> Obviously "something" that radio sellers sell is radios.
>
> So why make such an obvious statement?
>
> > You and the e-mailer have the same problem, Brain. A
one-track,
> > race to evil conclusions minds.
> >
> > Bad thing to have.
> >
> And so you try to make others the deviants. Isn't working.

I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a mirror up to
them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.

> > > > The sleazy nudge-nudge insinuation in your "Musta beem seeling
> > > > SOMEthing!" comment is one of the lowest forms of attack I've
> ever
> > > seen
> > > > on rrap.
> > > >
> > > Not really, but it is true to Steve's style.
> > >
> > What style?
> >
> True enough in the usual sense.
>
> > > I'll expect to see a sincere unconditional apology on rrap by
> > > > the end of today, or I'll consider forwarding your trashy

> comments
> > to
> > > > Michele and Jim.
> > >
> > > Unlikely.
> >
> > Absolutely unlikely. The respondant was asked both here and in
> > private e-mail to show where an "attack" or an intent to attack was
> > expressed.
> >
> > The respondant failed to do so...That being because there
wasn't
> > one.
> >
> > The "attack" was the respondant picking a fight with me for no
> > other reason than it WAS me making the comments.
>
> I see no attack. (S)he asked you to apologize for an off comment. I
> certainly have no problem with that person forwarding your comments
to
> the young lady and her father whether you apologize or not. You made
> your comments in a public forum, right?

I did. And why would I apologize for an "attack" that didn't
occur?

> Maybe you'd like to have 30 minutes at the Dayton Hamvention banquet
to
> explain yourself, your view of how people are always misunderstanding
> what you say, and why you are the victim.

(1) I am not a victim

(2) It seems there are only three people here who have a problem
with what I say, and that would be you, Lennie, and the person in the
e-mail. I'm not impressed that any "explanation" needs to occur.

And what would you care anyway? You said you had other plans much
too important to atttend Dayton.

> > > > You took offense...Too bad for you. You know as well as I
> do
> > > > that
> > > > > people can "take offense" at the most innocent of comments
> > > > > all-the-while letting morbid profanity and bold threats roll
off
> > > thier
> > > > > backs. This is one of those moments.
> > > >
> > > > Have a nice day.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ

> > >
> > > Innocent comments - hi, hi! Robeson likes to make inuendo.
> >
> > The "innuendo" was the respondants.
> >
> > > If you call him on it, he'll try to turn you into the deviant.
> >
> > What's to "call", Brain?
> >
> > > He's always the victim.
> >
> > Unlike you or Lennie who always have to be a victim, I REFUSE
to
> be
> > a victim. That's probably what grates your cheese.
>
> I am no victim of yours.

I didn't say you were. I said that my failure to BE victimized
irritates (grates your cheese) you.

> You would have to matter for that to happen,and you just don't
matter.

Obviously not true, since responding to posts I make accounts for
over 85% of YOUR posts.

>You simply have an emotional defect and you cannot help yourself.

What emotional defect, Brian?

> > >Probably learned that tactic while rehearsing for his 100%
> disability
> > >hearing.
> >
> > And there goes Brain with yet another lie that he'll never
> > substantiate.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> So you're not disabled?

I didn't say I wasn't...However YOU claimed that I "rehearsed for
(my) 100% disability hearing". I have NEVER participated in ANY
hearing for ANY degree of disability. And I am certainly NOT "100%"
disabled.

Try again, Brian...

Steve, K4YZ

3679. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 13:30:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112621433.353861.93090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105245

Anonymous wrote:
> In article <1112534096.618800.66140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> "Occassional," Nursie? "Occasional" means from time to time, but
> you make them in every post.
>
> > And you're still an anonymous coward.
>
> Nursie, you are just a whiny little #####, aren't you?

Perhaps...But you're still an anonymous coward.

> > So far, the only excuses being made here are why you're
> not man
> > enough to stand behind your comments with your real name.
>
> And so far, all you offer up are more excuses.

And you're still an anonymous coward.

> > And I've answered them. They've been archived in several
> different
> > newsgroups before and are public records.
>
> No, you offer up these faked "documents" which shows you are
> dishonest, Nursie.
>
> > How? I understand the situation fine. You're a coward and
> > unwilling to be a man. No problem.
>
> Only in your tiny little mind, Nursie.

Nope. Right here and now. You're still an anonymous coward.

> > Since you're pontificating on spelling errors, anonymous
> coward,
> > it's "screw-up".
>
> I suppose you know, since that term has been applied to you more
> than once.

I know because I have an above average command of the written
English language. That I make typos like everyone else is obvious.
That you are still an anonymous coward is too.

> > Perhaps. But you're still an anonymous coward.
>
> Bleat, little Nursie, bleat.

Regardless of how it's expressed, it's still true.

> > Hardly. YOU said "you'd bet" and I said you lost the bet.
> So now
> > you're an anonymous coward AND a welch!
>
> That's better than being an English-impaired jackass who "lost"
> the bet.

You're calling yourself an "English-impared jack###"...?!?!

That's bizzare.

> > Hey, YOU'RE the one who claims to have been to my place of
> > employment.
>
> And you still can't disprove it, Nursie.

What's to prove?

You claim I'm "obese", "flabby" and work with a "bunch of heifers".

None of those are true. You've not even taken a GUESS at which facility I work at and there are only six possible ER's within an hour's drive of my home.

Make the claim all you care to, Anonymous Coward...You can't provide one substantiating bit of relevent fact, therefore we now know that you are an Anonymous Lying Coward.

> > So go ahead...Impress everyone here with the name of the
> facility I
> > work at, the shifts I work, etc.
>
> Bleat, Nursie, you are so cute when you get angry. Well, except
> for your receding hairline and ### soaked moustache.

I'm nor angry.

I'm laughing at you.

And it's "receeding", since you're so insistant about typographic erros, Anonymous Lying Coward.

As I said...Go ahead...Impress everyone here with your investigative skills...Tell everyone which facility I work for, which shift, etc etc.

> > So now I say you're ALSO a liar, on top of being an
> anonymoous
> > coward AND a welch.
>

> > Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh! ! ! ! Now who's "getting
> defensive",
> > anonymous coward...?!?!
>
> You are, Nursie. Where are those "documents".

Google.

> > Ran your mouth off and got backed into a corner! Need
> some facts
> > to back up your broggadaccio and can't ante up!
>
> It's "braggadacio", ########. You look like a fool when you get
> it wrong.

I refer to your own typos above.

If you're gonna be the Typo God, Anonymous Lying Coward, YOU have
to be 100% correct 100% of the time.

> > She died at home with the one's who loved her. You should
> read the
> > AOL Homepage I wrote about her. She was a blessing and a gift.
>
> Well, good thing she croaked before she started getting tits.
> You know how you just love doing that sort of thing.

Boy, you really do have some serious issues, don't you?

> > I do? Since when? Thee are a lot of folks in other jobs
> and
> > professions that see people dying more than I do. MY job is
> to keep
> > them alive. Thank-God I am better at that than you are at
> being a
> > commpassionate human being.
>
> You've yet to demonstrate it, Nursie.

You're still alive, aren't you?

Steve, K4YZ

3680. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 13:45:00 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <not-woger.whines@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 105246

On Mon, 4 Apr 2005 01:01:40 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Not-Woger whined:
: In article <not-woger.posts.again@houston-hunks.com>
: Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
: >
: > On Sun, 3 Apr 2005 17:25:33 +0200 (CEST), Not-Woger
: <nob...@paranoici.org> wrote:
: > : In article <three.time.retest.wo...@houston-hunks.com>
: > : Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
: > : >
: >
: > Oh my. I see you're still reading Usenet via Google. The
: ellipsis is
: > a dead giveaway.
:
: I see you still hide behind an alias. But that yellow streak
: running down your back is something you can't hide. You whine
: about somebody using a remailer, yet you hide behind a fictional
: black man and a fictional isp name. Hypocrite.

What is it the flamers say? PKB or IKYABWAI? Either appellation would fit the incredible case of Secwet Not-Woger becoming indignant about someone who uses a pseudonym. At least I can be traced, provided that one has a legitimate reason to provide to my USP. The real Woger has made several attempts at that, all of which have failed. The last time he sent a poison pen email to my USP, I responded by outing him at his Stratuswave ISP. A few months later, Stratuswave kicked Woger off their service.

: And, the last time I checked, I'm still not Roger.

And it's a good thing too. The real Woger has lots of enemies who are eager to try and get him dumped from his ISPs. If you were Woger, it would be tempting to release the identities of your current ISPs to your enemies and to let them do the rest.

:
: Since when? You post things that have no basis in reality.

And you do?

:
: Subject: Re: Get on the Marshall County ARES webpage......
: Date: 3 Jul 2002 22:03:46 GMT
: From: S...@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee)
: Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
: MsgId: <afvsc2$6a...@pita.alt.net>

[DRIVELSNIP]

This is a very Woger-like thing to do: Post something which absolutely isn't to be found on groups.google.com. I just looked at the entire archived thread on google, and there is no such post there. Could it be that you have it saved on your own machine, or are you simply a post-editing liar?

But I digress: The topic is supposed to be the multiple retests which were apparently ordered by the FCC. Some people claim that the FCC letters posted here have been post-edited to omit the additional tests, and the group has been attempting to get at the truth of the matter.

Unfortunately, Woger is nowhere to be found and can't shed any light on the topic. Since you yourself say that you've looked in a mirror and noticed that you aren't Woger, I'm afraid that the real question remains unresolved.

What a pity.

==========
In message <ag1j75$m9m$b@pita.alt.net>, AB8MQ contradicts himself with "Hi, Kindly fuck off. I don't give a fuck whether or not you use your real name or not."

3681. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 13:53:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112622839.260143.31890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105247

bb wrote:

> Strangereer indeed.
>
> You know, Mel, if that real but anonymous person had suggested in
> his/her quasi-"private" email with CC's to Mike and who knows else,
> that brick would fly through windows, tires would be slashed, and
wives
> terrorized, I could see Steve Robeson/K4YZ characterizing the email
as
> a threat.

Glad you brought that up, Brian.

You've claimed that I have made such threats.

I have asked you over and over to quote the posts wherein I
allegedly made such threats, but all you do is continue to make the
insinuation.

Of course you and I both know the threats were never made...I just
enjoy rubbing your nose in your frequent retelling of already disposven
lies.

> But the person merely indicated that Steve's publicly made
> inuendo would reach the person to whom he made the comments about.

The statement was made that this was an "attack", and
characterised as such. The respondant was asked to demonstrate where
the "attack" was. S/he did not...COULD not, since one was not made.

> I see no threat.

Claiming to be ready to tell third parties that they were
"attacked" in a public forum when no auch attack occured could be a
threat...However no such "attack" was ever made.

> I see Robeson posturing and pretending to be a victim himself.

I'm not a victim. I refuse to be one. That's why YOU can't get
away with your misrepresentations about Amateur Radio. I >>AM<< a
licensed Amateur, and such misrepresentations necessarilly include me.

I refuse to allow you to lie about me.

> At best he's merely a #1 jerk who may have once worn a marine
> uniform.

"May have"...?!?!

> At worst he needs to seek counseling.

Still waiting for you to ante up those healthcare licenses or certifications, Brian.

Try again.

Steve, K4YZ

3682. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 13:56:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112623012.682225.233050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105248

bb wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Phil Kane wrote:
> > > On 24 Mar 2005 02:30:36 -0800, K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > >Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty
Exercise
> > >
> > > [balanced snipped]
> > >
> > > Yup - it's real - and nationwide. I get to sit in the local
> > > hospital's EOC passing traffic on ham channels for two - count
> 'em
> > -
> > > two shifts during this one. They won't even let me see the
> scripts
> > > until I get there, too.....
> > >
> > > --
> > > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> > Phil, why do you have to pull a double-shift?
>
> I would guess that you have to pull two shifts because there are not
> enough amateur volunteers.

I guess that presupposes that Phil DOESN'T like volunteering for
those shifts and is somehow being FORCED to do so...

Steve, K4YZ

3683. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 14:01:21 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <27e2646e2e835c6189e1565fa7a61d67@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <d2nkbiotj0@pita.alt.net>
"QRM Retest Roger" <q...@retestboyhaha.net> wrote:

><arrl spin flushed>

> Tnx for posting the true AND accurate copies of the FCC
letters sent to
> Roger, which clearly proves he was retested for repeatedly
causing
> intentional malicious qrm on 20 meters.

Those are the ARRL reports, not the official letters, dumbass.
And where in the letter does it say "malicious interference" was
the cause of the retest?

SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission’s
Rules, 47 C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the
authority to re-administer any examination element previously
administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE’s). The Commission
may either administer the examination itself, or under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
designated by the Commission.

Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class
Amateur Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the
supervision of an American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner
Coordinator. This examination must be completed on or before
September 11, 2000. The required code speed that you must
demonstrate is 5 words per minute.

3684. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 14:07:03 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050404140703.E02601707F@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 105051
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article
<1112592600.614392.116610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jch_kimb...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Stop <IKYABWAI>

Stop sockpuppetting. Youa re still usesnet's biggest punching
bag and drunk. "Who's your daddy" once exposed himself (his tiny
sex organ) to an underaged daughter of another poster in a
certain newsgroup.

3685. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 14:11:20 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <f54018a5848bc13d3b58a728a5b969d8@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 105249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <425063ba$1_2@127.0.0.1>
"Dan" <Nospam> wrote:
>
>
> "Lloyd" <L...@wogerrestesthq.net> wrote in message
> news:d2pf4jcgp0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "allegations of deliberate and malicious interference on 20
meters."

"Allegations" = "assert without proof"

Perhaps with some "manufactured" evidence?

Funny, Wiseman never had his license suspended or revoked, nor
has he ever been fined. A "retest" as a form of punishment? Hmm,
let's see he passed the test and upgraded to Extra. Yeah, that's
some "punishment." You are a moron, Davies.

> Enough said.

Lardass following up to himself...speaks volumes.

> Roger loses.

Wrong Lardass. You still using Bellsouth.net as an ISP?

3686. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 14:20:11 UTC
From: anon <anon@wogertwotestboy.org>
Message-ID: <d2rieeion0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105052

The space below is reserved for Roger to have the last word in this thread. Wogie becomes vewy vewy angwy if he is not allowed to have the last word. Must be the dominating female side in his personality.

Begin your profanity rant here Roger:

3687. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 17:30:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <425179BB.84722748@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105053

Anonymous wrote:
>
> In article <424CDA93.A5D580EB@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > You haven't the slightest idea of how to behave in a civilized
> society,
> > Roger. You follow one torrent of vile filth with another.
>
> And you do? That's rich. Especially the way you conduct yourself
> on the newsgroup, Nazi.

There is not in existence a single filth-laden posting or e-mail
generated by me. There are hundreds and hundreds of them authored by
you. Any interested person can look at those you sent before resorting
to hiding behind a number of anonymous remailers by searching on
"KC8JBO", "AB8MQ" or "Roger Wiseman".

> You can't help but see Roger everywhere, can you? If you ever
> had a thought, it would die of lonliness.

I don't see Roger Wiseman everywhere. I see Roger Wiseman in several
newsgroups, including this one. Since you see fit to give Steve a rough
time over spelling, I'll have to ask you what "lonliness" is.

> > Why do you write of yourself in the third person? Is Wiseman
> just one
> > of your personalities?
>
> Let's see, maybe it's because I'm not Wiseman. Goddamn, are you
> stupid.

Well now, it writes like Wiseman. It knows things only Wiseman could
know. It seems to have a familiarity with this area. Must be Wiseman.

> > Wiseman wouldn't own the home he lives in had he
> > not inherited it.
>
> Wrong again. But you've made a career out of being wrong,
> haven't you?

If you aren't Wiseman, how would you know? If you intend to play
"I'm-not-me", you should at least have the sense to clam up on things
which Roger would know but which you wouldn't.

> > From all reports? Whose reports? Haven't you ever been to
> Cameron? I

> > don't actually live in Cameron, Roger. I'm several miles away.
>
> Even they have standards and didn't want you in town, eh?

It must be a difficult concept for you but I live where I choose. I bought the place in which I live because it is where I wanted to be. If I'd wanted to live in an RF trap, I'd live in Cameron or Glen Dale.

>But you still have a Cameron maling address, don't you?

Thinking of sending me a belated birthday card?

> > Your town, for the most part, isn't embarrassing. You're one
> of the
> > things which makes it embarrassed.
>
> Again, you don't even know what "town" I live in.

Sure I do, Roger-Not-Roger. You're up Glen Dale Creek without a paddle. You're in an RF suck hole, surrounded by hills.

> > > ...where the father regularly fornicates the sister and the
> farm
> > > animals.
> >
> > Your posts show a fondness for sexual deviance. You see it
> everywhere.
>
> Your response indicates you are sexually aroused at the
> discussion of such topics. You seek such topics obviously to
> fuel your perversions.

I seek? I didn't ask you to write of sexual deviance. You came up with it all by yourself. If someone write "FCC retest", you come up with something about fathers having sex with their sons or daughters.

> > > You live in the worst section of the state.
> >
> > Apparently there is much of the state you've never seen. It
> is likely
> > hard to see the rest of West Virginia from your house.
>
> Apparently, you are in denial.

What? You CAN see the rest of West Virginia from your house along the creek?

> > > But then
> > > your posts don't do anything for "amateur radio's image"
> either,
> > > you Nazi fat f--k.
> >
> > Let's see....I've never been given a warning by the FCC for

> anything.
>
> What a coincidence, neither have I.

I get it, Roger-Not-Roger. ;-) If you *were* Roger Wiseman, you'd have received such a warning letter.

> > I've never been required to retest for a license.
>
> What license?

I get it, Un-Roger. If you were Roger Wiseman, it'd be the amateur radio license that he has but which you don't have. ;-)

> > I've not become the
> > internet poster boy for writing restroom stall material over
> any number
> > of newsgroups and web sites.
>
> No, you are the internet poster boy for supression of free
> speech, you fat Nazi f--k.

Free speech meaning any bilious, foul phrase which enters your head?

> > > Maybe you could go back to the Cashew Capital
> > > of Africa and be dictator over there, you'd have more
> success in
> > > that venue than on the newsgroup.
> >
> > I would?
>
> Most definately, asshole.

What is "definately"?

> > How in the world would you know? Do you know anything of
> > Africa or of cashew production?
>
> Ye, I can read.

I didn't ask if you cold read. What is "ye"? Have you been reading "Ye Olde Encyclopoedia?

> I know they sent you to such "plum jobs like
> that backwater hole.

They certainly "sent" me. I bid on the job. Do you have issues with that?

> That speaks volumes about your job
> qualifications and job assignments.

Actually, it doesn't. You don't know the first thing about my qualifications. It does speak to my job assignments though. It *was*

one of my job assignments.

> > Anything?
>
> Apparently, you don't know anything of correct English.

I know enough to know that you wrote of my plum jobs with only an
opening quote and no closed quote.

> This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

In correct English, the above sentence means that you're hiding.

Dave K8MN

3688. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 17:37:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42517B4E.EE8096D9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105054

"A.Melon" wrote:
>
> In article <424CDB4C.9A43F6C3@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> > Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> > >
> > > That's better than living in a 200 square foot HUD section 8
> > > public housing apartment in the nigger section of Athens, AL,
> > > like you! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Do you have a problem with blacks Roger?
>
> Do you have a problem with English, Nazi?

Apparently not, Roger. I asked the question which begged asking after reading your racist drivel.

> You see Roger behind every goddamn tree.

Nope, just here.

> > Is there any group of people you haven't insulted?
>
> One could peruse your Google postings and ask you the very same
> question.

Good. You may run along and peruse your lying, evil heart out. When you've finished, come back and post just one in which I've used a term like you did in reference to blacks. Find just one Google-archived post in which I've insulted Jews. Find one statement by me which suggesting that someone is having incestuous sex with his son, sister, daughter or mother. That should keep you busy for a time, you human sludge.

Dave K8MN

3689. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 17:48:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42517DFC.79A032AE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105055

K4YZ wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:

> > > Obviously someone in Taylorville is unimpressed with your
> > ability
> > > to meet community standards, Todd.
> > >
> > First of ####ead...(SNIP)
>
> Gee...You're right, Todd. Threre's one of those "dum### hams
> badmouthing people on USENET" right now!
>
> And PROOF of what I've been saying all along.
>
> > I happen to be a very very very old friend of the
> > mayor of this community, before him it was my uncle who ran this
> town.
> > (my family is very political)
>
> Obviously not political enough.

I'm standing by, ready to assist in Todd's upcoming first political
race.
I have slogans for the bumperstickers all set to go:

"Vote For Todd O'Dochertaigh, you f--king c--ksuckers!"

or

"A Vote For Todd Is A Vote For D--khead"

> > Secondly the cable company has been in
> > trouble with the mayors office because of this matter. Recently my
> > group filed a complaint on behave of a small church who didn't want
> to
> > start trouble.
>
> On "behalf", Todd.
>
> And I am sure if they knew what a swell guy you REALLY are, they
> wouldn't have bothered.

The mind boggles at Todd speaking on behalf (or even behave) of any religious group.

Dave K8MN

3690. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 17:48:37 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <V5f4e.195$An2.45@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105056

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1112619909.461560.4320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

:
: And if you had paid attention to previous posts, YOU would know
: that we know exactly who the third person is.
:

Sorry, I missed that, and going back over the thread history I now see that you have identified the anonymous person who privately threatened you.

Which raises the question as to why you and Mike (I'm still confused as to how Mike is involved) didn't just reply to her (him?) instead of bore us here with your one-sided diatribe. I thought the fact that she (he?) has blocked your email must be the reason you need to use this medium to communicate, but on examination that block seems after the fact. Maybe you just needed to vent.

On the other hand, I still haven't ruled out the notion that she (he?) is a phantom and you're just staging an entertainment for us here.

73,

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

3691. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 17:55:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42517F83.DA905789@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105057

N9OGL wrote:

> > Steve K4YZ wrote:

> > Doesn't matter, Todd...LOX is not used as a refrigerant because
> >it's an O X I D I Z E R. It makes things burn, in some cases very
> >rapidly (please see any film clip of a Saturn 5 rocket ascending).
>
> > And if you plan on using LOX A N Y W H E R E near an electrical
> >source, you are introducing an I G N I T I O N source.
>
> > Now, going back to ELEMENTARY SCHOOL scinence, guess what happens
> >when you mix an oxidizer, an ignition source, and any FUEL...???
>
> ok, then I'll use liquid Nitrogen then.

I hear Emily Litella pronouncing, "Never mind" over Todd's adjustment.

> > I said your protocol is several developmental generations behind
> >current technology. That's NOT untrue.
>
> The whole idea is to make an alternative system on the amateur bands
> but Nazi's like you try to stop new modes of communications on the
> amateur band.

Steve keeps attempting to use his power to keep Todd from looking like a complete boob.

Dave K8MN

3692. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 18:39:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <425189F7.7351531D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105058

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
>
> In article <424CE5BF.3C335905@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> > What is "recieved"?
>
> What just a transposition of letter, but you "received" your
> daddy's d--k up your a--hole.

"What just a transposition of letter"? Nice job, Grog. What makes you veer toward discussions of father-son homosexual activity? Is this something from your childhood?

> > > You ar a liar.
> >
> > What is "You ar"?
>
> Looks like a dropped letter. Do you need glasses?

Nope, I saw right away that something was wrong. Why is it that you can notice every typo in Steve's posts, but none on your own?

> > Yes, Roger, you've had a number of chats with Chief
> > Stenger.
>
> No, I haven't. Still not Roger, dumbass Dave.

Ah, I see. If you were Roger, you'd have had a number of chats with Chief Stenger.

> > > He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass.
> >
> > If I were you, I wouldn't want to make a bet on that.
>
> Aww, is the big tough Nazi going to snivel and whine to the
> cops?

I don't know about any Nazis or any sniveling and whining, but I'll be quite pleased to forward this recent series to the Chief, using the e-mail address provided me for just this purpose.

> I'd still bet he won't get one.

I don't suppose you're very good at cards.

> > > Goddamn, are you one stupid f--k.
> >
> > Then again, I'm not the one getting into scrapes with the law
> and with
> > the FCC.
>
> Neither am I. And you still are a stupid f--k.

I get it. If you were Roger, you'd have had a number of encounters with law enforcement and with the FCC. In your world, one who has never had such encounters is stupid and you're the sharp guy. That's interesting.

> > What is your fixation on homosexuality, Roger?
>
> What is your fixation on Roger?

You answer my question and I'll answer yours.

> > Okay, you've visited my
> > web site. You've seen a photo of me with Muddy Waters. Now
> what?
>
> Oh, so you did have an "intimate" relations with him.

I've said nothing like that. I admit to having a web site and to having a photo of me and the late Muddy Waters on it. Now what?

> > > You mean you made forged tapes of him and sent them in.
> >
> > Well now, that would have been tricky. You see, I was in
> Tanzania when
> > you had your run in with the FCC.
>
> It figures you'd be in a backwater, but since I'm not Roger, I
> haven't had any run ins with the FCC.

I see. You haven't had any such run-ins, but Roger has. What about your accusation that I submitted "forged" tapes and sent them to the FCC? What happened to that?

> > > You and
> > > your fatassed buddy Bertie, who when talks about a hard drive
> > > describes the physical dimensions, not the capacity.
> >
> > I see another problem with your theory, Roger. Bert was on
> active duty
> > with the military in Germany during that period. That leaves
> you locked
> > on the horns of a dilemma.
>
> Am I, Roger saw fatassed Bertie in a computer store querying
> about a replacement hard drive. And it sure as hell wasn't in
> Germany.

...and it sure as hell wasn't during the period in which Roger (not you) got his ass in a crack with the FCC over malicious interference. Bert was on active duty with the Army in Europe. Now, what about your silly accusation?

> > By the way, I know the local who did it, but
> > I'm not telling. Needless to say that the FCC found the tape
> > convincing.
>
> Really, since when is a warning letter to Roger with alledged
> evidence convincing.

The FCC was concerned enough to send you the letter based upon the existence of the recordings. The FCC was concerned enough to have you retest. Oh, and the word is "alleged".

> > Let's ask Phil Kane if he has ever heard of an instance in
> which the FCC
> > forced a retest for no reason at all. By the way, do you
> consider your
> > upgrade as "doing something for amateur radio"?
>
> Oh, does Phil Kane have a role as the "official FCC spokesman"?

Phil was a career FCC employee. He'd know about such things. Would you like me to drop a line to someone currently in the employee of the FCC?

> Apparently, you are in denial. What have you done for amateur
> radio.

You left out the "?" I'm not in denial. You spewed something about your upgrading your license as if that were "doing something for amateur radio". I'm a VE under the Laurel, Maryland VEC. I've administered many Novice exams in the pre-VE days. I've provided time and money to third world amateur radio licensees and clubs. I've served as the President of a IARU-affiliated amateur radio society in Botswana. I've provided an opportunity for tens of thousands of DXers and contesters to work a new country, a new band-country or mode-country from seven DXCC entities. I've written articles for CQ and Ham Radio Horizons magazines. I served as President of the Southwest Ohio DX Association. I acted as moderator for the Dayton Hamvention DX forum for a number of years. I've made presentations on my DX operations at the Dayton Hamvention, SWODXA annual dinner, the W9DXCC dinner, the Greater Cincinnati Amateur Radio Association and the NPARC.

Your turn.

> Your posts sure don't do anything for it.

Maybe I don't have the hang of it. Perhaps if I spent a number of years posting vile filth and lies about any number of people, threw in a number of references to the deaths of loved ones, tossed in some material about homosexual or incestuous activity and spewed some filthy material about spouses, I could reach your level. I might go in for

some sociopathy lessons.

> And Roger still passed the "retest" and even upgraded....much to
> your chagrin.

Yes, he did, much to my chagrin. He can't keep from shooting himself in the foot though--so there's still a goodly chance that he'll not be involved in amateur radio for very long. You see, Roger is never heard on the air in a QSO. There's never a, "Hello, Jack. I've been busy in the garden" from AB8MQ. Roger lurks and hides, just like he does here.

> I noticed you dodged the question of what you have done for
> amateur radio.

I provided some information above. Your turn. A single, truthful line of your contributions will suffice.

> > > For somebody who says they've been a ham as long as you are,
> you
> > > sure don't know Part 97.
> >
> > There is no proof of your statement. I've never had any
> problems with
> > the FCC--none.
>
> Yeah, that's not what a FOIA inquiry says.

Cough it up. Post it here. Otherwise, you'll remain forever a liar.

> Obviously, you have a reading comprehension problem, too.

I understood what you wrote. It isn't even close to being true.

> > > High-el forgets to mention the years of therapy he had to
> endure
> > > after his daddy assraped him repeatedly as a child.
> >
> > What is this homoerotic fixation you have, Roger? Have you
> sought help
> > for it?
>
> What is this homoerotic fixation you have on Roger?

You seem confused. I wrote nothing homoerotic about Roger Wiseman or anyone else. I simply responded to the lies you wrote, Roger-Not-Roger.

> Maybe you
> are in denial about your homosexuality.

You write frequently about homosexual acts and incestuous sex acts with children and that is supposed to make me a homosexual? There are some flaws in your thinking processes. Then again, that has been obvious for quite some time.

> > > Pot kettle black.
> > > You have been running your mouth and doing
> > > nothing.
> >
> > You can check in with your local police. You'll find that
> they have a
> > large number of your newsgroup posts and e-mails to me on hand.
>
> Since I'm not Roger, how would my local police have your e-mails.

I get it. ;-) If you were Roger, the local police would have a large number of your newsgroup posts and e-mails on hand.

> They probably don't have Roger's either.

Run down and ask them. Since you aren't Roger, you won't have anything to worry about.

> > I've visited them a number times when you were the only topic.
> That isn't
> > exactly "doing nothing".
>
> No, it's showing what a sniveling whining c-nt you are.

That isn't correct. Your lack of respect for law enforcement is likely based in your experience with them. Folks who don't get involved on the wrong side of the law aren't ever likely to have any such feelings.

> > In this case, you are both the pot and the
> > kettle. It is evident that you have a problem with "black".
>
> Do you always get your logic from Pee Wee Herman?

We're you the fellow you used the "N" word? Aren't you the fellow you uses the word "Jew" as a weapon?

> > > Tell us how your deceased Episcopalian priest daddy
> > > didn't molest you and the other altar boys.
> >
> > Is that from one of your fantasies? Do you have a thing about
> altar
> > boys?
>
> No, but weren't you an altar boy in your daddy's church?

Are you writing a book or an article about me? If I was an altar boy, would that make me the victim of molestation? Would it make my father the perpetrator? What is your interest in the topic? Have you had such an experience? Are you looking for one?

You brought up the topic. You asked me to "Tell" about something which never took place. It isn't the first time you've shown such an interest.
What is the basis for your interest?

Crawl back into your closet until nightfall, Roger. Then you can emerge with all of the other creepy-crawlies. You might make an interesting "Fear Factor" episode, though there are some things people with simply choose not to be around--not even for big money.

Dave K8MN

3693. Re: Merger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 18:45:44 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <sXf4e.3791$44.2370@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105059

Joe S. wrote:
> Did no one notice the date of this "press release?"
>
Of course. That's what lends it so much creditability!

:-)

3694. Where's Todd in all this ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 21:17:58 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112649478.378133.150860@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105060

Conspicuously absent...???

3695. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 22:31:57 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112653917.945632.113530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105061

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >From the ARRL webpage: (www.arrl.org)
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass
> Casualty
> > > > > Exercise
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 21, 2005--Amateur Radio Emergency
> > > Service
> > > > > > > (ARES)
> > > > > > > > > > members in Connecticut, New Jersey and several other
> > states
> > > > > in
> > > > > > > the
> > > > > > > > > > Northeastern US are preparing to take part in what's
> > being
> > > > > > > > characterized as the most comprehensive terrorism
> > response
> > > > > > exercise
> > > > > > > > > > ever conducted in the US. Sponsored by the US
> Department
> > of
> > > > > > Homeland
> > > > > > > > > Security and intended as a realistic test of the
> nation's
> > > > > homeland
> > > > > > > > > security system, the exercise--TOPOFF 3--gets under
way
> > > > Monday,
> > > > > > > April
> > > > > > > > > 4, and continues through the week.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > SNIP
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > As usual...rants derailed by facts.
> > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > 73
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I don't see any mention of the FCC nor Len.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Who mentioned the FCC, Brain?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > (1) Notice the title..."Guess The Government Isn't
> > Paying
> > > > > > > > Attention to Lennie?"...?!?!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > (2) "Sponsored by the US Department of Homeland
> > Security
> > > > > and
> > > > > > > > intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland
> > security
> > > > > > > system..."(SNIP)
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > See...?!?! You screwed up...AGAIN.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > The Dept of Homeland Security didn't mention Len either.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > And you've still not picked up (or are ignoring due to
> > extreme
> > > > > > > mebarrassment) that your attempt to defeat the post was
> derailed
> > on
> > > > > > > your lack of accuracy.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > And you're absolutely right about Lennie...No
meniton
> of
> > > > > > > him...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Right again.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > And that's because no one outside this forum finds him
> even
> > > > the
> > > > > > > least bit accurate.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > However HIS rants in this forum as to how
unimportant
> > > > Amateur
> > > > > > > > Radio is and how we "self-glorify" ourselves are numerous
> and
> > > > > well
> > > > > > > > known.
> > > > > > > >

> > > > > > The importance of amateur radio is easily overblown.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > To whom? The governmental agencies who still spend a lot
> of
> > > time
> > > > > and money making sure they have Amateur Radio assets in thier
> > > > > facilities, or the disaster relief agencies that still call
on
> > them
> > > > in
> > > > > a wide range of natural and man-made disasters...???
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > The utility of
> > > > > > amateur radio in emergencies is entirely dependent on
mostly
> > > aging
> > > > > men,
> > > > > > who may or may not be available to assist in emergencies.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Entirely dependent"...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Brian, I'll give you a big hint here...Your mouth is
> > > over-running
> > > > > your common sense again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Also...I >>>D E M A N D<<< that you cite even ONE
instance
> > > wherein
> > > > > ARES, RACES, etc, did NOT respond because they were
> "...entirely
> > > > > dependent on mostly aging men who may or may not be available
> to
> > > > assist
> > > > > in emergencies"...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > For example,
> > > > > > you have the rickyranger kind of guys who volunteer for
> ARES,
> > > > MARS,
> > > > > > Red Cross, CAP, and probably other acronyms that he has yet
> to
> > > > reveal
> > > > > > to the group, yet have primary responsibilities as an
> emergency
> > > > room
> > > > > > nurse. So when the emergency happens, ARES, MARS and CAP
are
> > > left
> > > > > > hanging.

> > > > >
> > > > > Nice try...
> > > > >
> > > > > Your "one example" of one persson who MAY not be able to
> > > respond
> > > > is
> > > > > irrelevent. Even with my "duties" here I STILL manage to
spend
> > > time
> > > > > for both CAP >>AND<< ARES.
> > > > >
> > > > > The F A C T S remain that ARES and other Amateur Radio
> > related
> > > > > response organizations ARE still "in the que" as far as
> Homeland
> > > > > Defense, The Red Cross, etc etc etc are concerned.
> > > > >
> > > > > That's not "overblown". It's the truth.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > The news release was absolutely proof positive that
> > > Lennie's
> > > > > > assessments of Amateur Radio's disaster related services
> are
> > > > > unfounded
> > > > > > > and, to say the least, inaccurate.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Not entirely. It is situationally dependent.
> > > > >
> > > > > No, it's not.
> > > > >
> > > > > Neither you or Lennie can produce ONE example of ANY
> > situation
> > > > in
> > > > > which ARES or any other Amateur Radio organization did
> not/could
> > > not
> > > > > respond.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Just like I said.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I forgot. You're always right.
> > > > >
> > > > > Not always. Just most of the time when it comes to
> anything
> > > > > dealing with you...Like right now...
> > > > >
> > > > > > > As usual, rants derailed by facts.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You're absolutely right...And you did it to
> yourself...

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Hi!"
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > This isn't about me.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure it is.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > YOU made even MORE assinine assertions that were, on
> > immediate
> > > > > > inspection, flawed and inaccurate.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Sucks to be you, Brain.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steve, I don't see much reason to respond to your demands.
> > > >
> > > Of course you don't.
> > > >
> > > You can't.
> > > >
> > > You make assinine, poorly constructed assertions, then when
> asked
> > > to substantiate them, you wallow around looking for a way out
> without
> > > admitting that you don't have an adequate answer.
> >
> > I don't see anyone wallowing around looking for anything. I see
you
> > being your pathetic self.
>
> How do you come up with "pathetic", Brian?

I come up with "pathetic" quite easily. The word handily describes the guy who attacks anyone who holds an opinion other than the one you hold.

Prove you opinions.

3696. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 22:38:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112654291.242651.13190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105062

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 3 Apr 2005 17:02:14 -0700, bb wrote:
>
> >> Phil, why do you have to pull a double-shift?
>
> It's a two-day exercise, and I can make it both days.
>
> >I would guess that you have to pull two shifts because there are not
> >enough amateur volunteers.
>
> Actually, we have six operators qualified for that location, and we
> work in teams of three (county voice net, inter-hospital voice net,
> county-wide packet net) so we'll have plenty of operators.

Now c'mon Phil. I used to write duty schedules, so I know how many
times two teams of three fit into a calendar day. Is this a two-day
ORI?

3697. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 22:40:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112654418.517229.207390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105063

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Phil Kane wrote:
> > > > On 24 Mar 2005 02:30:36 -0800, K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Amateur Radio to Have Role in Largest-Ever Mass Casualty
> Exercise
> > > >
> > > > [balanced snipped]
> > > >
> > > > Yup - it's real - and nationwide. I get to sit in the local
> > > > hospital's EOC passing traffic on ham channels for two -
count
> > 'em
> > > -
> > > > two shifts during this one. They won't even let me see the
> > scripts
> > > > until I get there, too.....
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> > >
> > > Phil, why do you have to pull a double-shift?
> >
> > I would guess that you have to pull two shifts because there are
not
> > enough amateur volunteers.
>
> I guess that presupposes that Phil DOESN'T like volunteering for
> those shifts and is somehow being FORCED to do so...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I'm just trying to see how these volunteers fit into County EMA, Red
Cross, etc., needs.

3698. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 23:00:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112655627.873766.307380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105064

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Strangereer indeed.
> >
> > You know, Mel, if that real but anonymous person had suggested in
> > his/her quasi-"private" email with CC's to Mike and who knows else,
> > that brick would fly through windows, tires would be slashed, and
> wives
> > terrorized, I could see Steve Robeson/K4YZ characterizing the email
> as
> > a threat.
>
> Glad you brought that up, Brian.

Doesn't make me happy or sad. It's just a fact that you posted just those scenarios. Maybe you thought you were giving some "friendly advice."

> You've claimed that I have made such threats.

If you view someone saying that they were going to forward your comments to the very person that you made the comments about as a "THREAT," I can only wonder how you might have reacted had that person suggested that you might have your tires slashed, windows broken, and wife terrorized (by other people, of course) all because your shot off your big mouth?

> Claiming to be ready to tell third parties that they were
> "attacked" in a public forum when no auch attack occured could be a
> threat...However no such "attack" was ever made.

So how is Jim? As it is only Mike stands with you. I wonder when he will fade away, too.

> > I see Robeson posturing and pretending to be a victim himself.
>
> I'm not a victim. I refuse to be one.

Bully for you (however misguided you may be).

That's why YOU can't get
> away with your misrepresentations about Amateur Radio. I >>AM<< a
> licensed Amateur, and such misrepresentations necessarilly include
me.

And I am a licensed amateur. My comments especially included you.

> I refuse to allow you to lie about me.

That could only work if I were lying.

3699. Re: Closure on Private E-mail Threats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 23:06:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112655974.044131.54440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105065

K4YZ wrote:
> Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> > It get's more confusing every morning Brian. Now it appears this
> "private"
> > real but anonymous person has threatened both Steve and Mike but
> doesn't
> > have the decency to do it in public, forcing them into the
> embarassing
> > position of publicly exposing their victimization without knowing
who
> > threatened them.
>
> Neither of us were vicitimized.

Because the gunny stood tall! Or at least he sucked that gut in.

The person making the "threats"
> didn't have the "stuff" to make the threat he claimed he would.

How do you know this?

And if
> s/he carried out the intended threat, s/he would have looked sillier
> than the quotes s/he was claiming to be inappropriate.

You continue to look sillier and sillier.

> And if you had paid attention to previous posts, YOU would know
> that we know exactly who the third person is. The comments were
> discussed here since they related to an on-going post.
>
> Just as I expected, no one perceived the same "impropriety" that
> the un-named author did.

I did, and I said so. So your above statement is knowingly false.

3700. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-04 23:25:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112657109.038386.231900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105066

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Hey Mike...It's not THAT far fetched...Burghardt in NY
has
> > had
> > > > that
> > > > > young lady in the tight fitting sweater for years...Musta
beem
> > > > selling
> > > > > SOMEthing!
> > > >
> > > > But not radios, huh???
> > >
> > > Only radios.
> >
> > Obviously "something" that radio sellers sell is radios.
> >
> > So why make such an obvious statement?
> >
> > > You and the e-mailer have the same problem, Brain. A
> one-track,
> > > race to evil conclusions minds.
> > >
> > > Bad thing to have.
> >
> > And so you try to make others the deviants. Isn't working.
>
> I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a mirror up to
> them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.

You misunderstand. A mirror is being held up for you.

> > > > > The sleazy nudge-nudge insinuation in your "Musta beem
seeling
> > > > > SOMEthing!" comment is one of the lowest forms of attack I've
> > ever
> > > > seen
> > > > > on rrap.
> > > >
> > > > Not really, but it is true to Steve's style.
> > >

> > > What style?
> >
> > True enough in the usual sense.
> >
> > > > I'll expect to see a sincere unconditional apology on rrap by
> > > > > the end of today, or I'll consider forwarding your trashy
> > comments
> > > to
> > > > > Michele and Jim.
> > > >
> > > > Unlikely.
> > >
> > > Absolutely unlikely. The respondant was asked both here and
in
> > > private e-mail to show where an "attack" or an intent to attack
was
> > > expressed.
> > >
> > > The respondant failed to do so...That being because there
> wasn't
> > > one.
> > >
> > > The "attack" was the respondant picking a fight with me for
no
> > > other reason than it WAS me making the comments.
> >
> > I see no attack. (S)he asked you to apologize for an off comment.
I
> > certainly have no problem with that person forwarding your comments
> to
> > the young lady and her father whether you apologize or not. You
made
> > your comments in a public forum, right?
>
> I did. And why would I apologize for an "attack" that didn't
> occur?
>
> > Maybe you'd like to have 30 minutes at the Dayton Hamvention
banquet
> to
> > explain yourself, your view of how people are always
misunderstanding
> > what you say, and why you are the victim.
>
> (1) I am not a victim

"(1.a.) I REFUSE to be a victim." Hi, hi!

> (2) It seems there are only three people here who have a problem
> with what I say, and that would be you, Lennie, and the person in the
> e-mail. I'm not impressed that any "explanation" needs to occur.

Hmmmm?

In another post you said that no one other than the mystery e-mailer
saw any impropriety in your comments. So which time were you lying?
Then or now?

Now you say that other than me, Len, and that mystery e-mailer...

But I don't see Len posting on this subject. So are you making yet
another lie?

> And what would you care anyway? You said you had other plans
much
> too important to atttend Dayton.

You sound like a man who needs to clear his conscience.

> > > > > You took offense...Too bad for you. You know as well as
I
> > do
> > > > > that
> > > > > > people can "take offense" at the most innocent of comments
> > > > > > all-the-while letting morbid profanity and bold threats roll
> off
> > > > thier
> > > > > backs. This is one of those moments.
> > > > >
> > > > > Have a nice day.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Innocent comments - hi, hi! Robeson likes to make inuendo.
> > >
> > > The "innuendo" was the respondants.
> > >
> > > > If you call him on it, he'll try to turn you into the deviant.
> > >
> > > What's to "call", Brain?
> > >
> > > > He's always the victim.
> > >
> > > Unlike you or Lennie who always have to be a victim, I REFUSE
> to
> > > be
> > > > a victim. That's probably what grates your cheese.
> >
> > I am no victim of yours.
>
> I didn't say you were. I said that my failure to BE victimized
> irritates (grates your cheese) you.

Not at all. I have no intention of making you a victim, and I want no
responsibility for your actions. You handily do the victim routine all

by yourself (see mirror).

> > You would have to matter for that to happen,and you just don't
> matter.
>
> Obviously not true, since responding to posts I make accounts
for
> over 85% of YOUR posts.

What's your track record?

> >You simply have an emotional defect and you cannot help yourself.
>
> What emotional defect, Brian?

The one you exhibit daily on rrap.

3701. Re: Where's Todd in all this ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 01:49:26 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112665766.629962.53620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105067

Mr. Obvious wrote:
> Conspicuously absent...???

What do you mean??

Todd N9OGL

3702. Re: Where's Todd in all this ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 02:07:02 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112666822.734587.20290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105068

We thought we lost you...

3703. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 03:11:22 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <mIudnd1J6ugpns_fRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105069

N9OGL wrote:

> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>http://216.176.81.228/k4yz.jpg
>>
>> Weakmined, profane, and now irrefuteable proof tha you're a liar.
>>
>
> No way in hell am I a liar you fucking piece of shit!

toddieboy, you could not get any more stupid. Grow up!!

3704. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 03:14:29 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <mIudndxJ6ugVmc_fRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105070

Fritz Wuehler wrote:

> In article <ZLSdnW7tWvZwudHfRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey (LARDASS DAVIES)<m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> BWHAHAHA..watching those cartoons again, tubby? They *are* about
> the upper limit of your intellectual capacity.
>
>
>
>>Wogie <IKYABWAI>
>
>
> That's rich, coming from the 31 year old mentally ill retard
> career pizza delivery boy who is still a VIRGIN. Nice to see
> that you are so proud of your lisp from sucking so much cock,
> you lisp your "r"'s.
>
>
>>Lives in a run down hovel by the river.
>
>
> That's better than living in a 200 square foot HUD section 8
> public housing apartment in the nigger section of Athens, AL,
> like you! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
>

Poor dumb retarded curtains boy wogie, still doesn't have a clue who he
is talking about.

3705. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 03:16:26 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <mIudnd9J6uiUmM_fRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105071

N9OGL wrote:

>
>
> But it isn't the community that's the problem, it's a cable company
> that doesn't want ANYONE from using the public access channel.
>
"doesn't want ANYONE from using the public access channel." Can someone
make an attempt to decipher that?

3706. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 03:25:09 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <mIudnd5J6ujMms_fRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105072

Dog wrote:

> Hello Pam,
>
> Did you kick Roger off before or after the FCC ordered him to retest
> twice for causing malicious qrm to other hams?
>
> Dog
>

Hard to imagine anyone being stupid enough to do stuff so dumb that the
FCC makes them retest twice, but then that's wogie.

3707. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 05:04:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112677459.690153.122140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105073

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>
> > >
> > >
> > But it isn't the community that's the problem, it's a cable company
> > that doesn't want ANYONE from using the public access channel.
> >
> "doesn't want ANYONE from using the public access channel." Can
someone
> make an attempt to decipher that?

The cable company doesn't want anyone using the public access channel.

3708. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 08:28:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112689684.733498.12510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105074

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:

> > The whole idea is to make an alternative system on the amateur
bands
> > but Nazi's like you try to stop new modes of communications on the
> > amateur band.
>
> Steve keeps attempting to use his power to keep Todd from looking
like a
> complete boob.

I'm pedalling as fast as I can, Dave, and I >>think<< I am
catching up...He's cutting back on his caps locked rants and us of
"mother#######" and "dick#####"...

Maybe...just m a y b e ....

Steve, K4YZ

3709. Re: Where's Todd in all this ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 08:45:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112690743.162257.25470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105075

Mr. Obvious wrote:
> We thought we lost you...

Todd got "lost" all on his own.

Steve, K4YZ

3710. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 09:06:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112691968.280995.121940@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105076

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a mirror up
to
> > them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.
>
> You misunderstand. A mirror is being held up for you.

You're in denial, Brian...No problem...WE see it...You still haev
a ways to go to get better.

> > (1) I am not a victim
>
> "(1.a.) I REFUSE to be a victim." Hi, hi!

I imagine you hear a lot of laughter, Brian....and not all of it
from kids playing in the yard or the "laugh track" on your favorite
sitcom.

> > (2) It seems there are only three people here who have a
problem
> > with what I say, and that would be you, Lennie, and the person in
the
> > e-mail. I'm not impressed that any "explanation" needs to occur.
>
> Hmmmm?
>
> In another post you said that no one other than the mystery e-mailer
> saw any impropriety in your comments. So which time were you lying?
> Then or now?

There's no lying involved, Brian, other than your attempt to
redirect the specific comments being made.

Nice try. Very transparent.

> Now you say that other than me, Len, and that mystery e-mailer...
>
> But I don't see Len posting on this subject. So are you making yet
> another lie?

Nope.

> > > I am no victim of yours.
> >
> > I didn't say you were. I said that my failure to BE victimized

> > irritates (grates your cheese) you.
>
> Not at all. I have no intention of making you a victim, and I want
no
> responsibility for your actions. You handily do the victim routine
all
> by yourself (see mirror).

You still say you're holding up a mirror, yet all the foolish
assertions are still yours and still unanswered.

You try to redirect, and I refuse to allow you. No problem.

> > > You would have to matter for that to happen,and you just don't
> > matter.
> >
> > Obviously not true, since responding to posts I make accounts
> for
> > over 85% of YOUR posts.
>
> What's your track record?

Oh, I make no "warranty" about what and how I post. I quite
readily acknowledge that I am quite ready to stand toe-to-toe with
those who are obviously lying, misrepresenting Amateur Radio, or
inisist on acting foolishly in public.

Thankfully that sub-group of ner-do-wells is fairly narrow. You.
Lennie. Todd. Mark Morgan. The fairly few number of anonymous
cowards who haven't got the guts or strength of conviction to stand
behind thier already lame game.

> > >You simply have an emotional defect and you cannot help yourself.
> >
> > What emotional defect, Brian?
>
> The one you exhibit daily on rrap.

You've not yet defined or identified any "emotional defect",
Brian. That comes from a lack of understanding of the words you're
using and from a lack of training/education in any healthcare related
discipline from which to draw the requisite knowledge from.

Try again.

Steve, K4YZ

3711. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 09:23:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112693039.494510.28040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105078

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > You make assinine, poorly constructed assertions, then when
> > asked
> > > > > to substantiate them, you wallow around looking for a way out
> > without
> > > > > admitting that you don't have an adequate answer.
> > >
> > > I don't see anyone wallowing around looking for anything. I see
> you
> > > being your pathetic self.
> >
> > How do you come up with "pathetic", Brian?
>
> I come up with "pathetic" quite easily. The word handily describes
the
> guy who attacks anyone who holds an opinion other than the one you
> hold.

There are several people in here with whom I have disagreed and/or carried on length discussions at opposite ends of the verbal see-saw.

Only you, Todd, Lennie, and a couple others have huffed up the tone.

> Prove you opinions.

My "opinions" are that you are not truthful and/or will not prove your assertions.

(1) "I operated legally from Somalia". As of today, still not a single reference to a single bit of evidence that corroborates your claim.

(2) "Unlicensed devices play a major role in emergency communications". Again, not a single bit of evidence that there is anything but some distant, ancilliary role that "unlicensed" deveices play in ANY emergency communications, and certainly not a single reference to any communications plan of any organization that makes them a "major role". Even REACT has all-but-abandoned Class D CB in favor of using GMRS for it's operations!

(3) "I've worked enough DX from my other duty postings in Korea and Guam to make DXCC several times over." An obvious paraphrase on my part, but you've still not ponied up any evidence of having accomplished DXCC even ONCE, from ANY location, let alone FROM a DXCC

location.

(4) "Amateur Radio's role in emergency services is overplayed because in an emergency, they won't be able to respond due to too few operators or operators unable to do it due to age or health". Again, a paraphrase, but an accurate and very, VERY recent one. You've still not ponied up a SINGLE example of ARES or any other Amateur Radio based service NOT fulfilling it's promised responses. Not a one.

So there are four prime examples of YOU not substantiating YOUR claims, thereby proving MY opinions that you are NOT truthful and that YOU do not prove YOUR assertions.

Anything else?

Steve, K4YZ

3712. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 09:38:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112693915.799092.159860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105077

George Orwell wrote:
> In article
> <1112426125.014757.175600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> > To whom do you refer? No one I know, drunk or othrwise,
> has passed
> > away since my daughter died.
>
> Your daddy didn't pass away? Lying on your website?

Yes he did, and no I didn't.

You need to go back and re-read what was written in the part you
SNIPPED in the previous response AND my resposne above.

(comprehension, anonymous lying coward...there's that
comprehension issue of yours leaking out again!)

And Dad didn't drink.

> > You're welcome to re-post the items wherein you claim I am
> lying,
> > Anonymous Coward, but I bet they won't be forthcoming.
>
> Aww, is Nursie getting upset?

Nope. Just giving the Anonymous Lying Coward a chance to prove the
accusations. Of course, the "proof" is not forthcoming.

> > And why would I need to? I am working as an ACLS ER Nurse.
>
> > > You never hear anything, Nursie, your balding skill is
> tickling
> > > your prostate.
> >
> > Dodge, dodge, dodge.
>
> Pot kettle black......

So far, I've not dodged anything, least of all the weak,
underhanded soft-ball slow pitches you've been trying to field!

> > Why should I? I'm not the one with a morals and ethics
> problem.
>
> That's not what your neighbors say, Nursie.

Again with claims...Again with not a single bit of any
evidence...Still an anonymous lying coward.

> > A letter transposition is not an English skills deficiency,
> > Anonymous Coward.
>
> Sure it isn't, excuses-making Nursie.

What excuses? You've not proven an "excuse".

> > Nope. Nice snip, though.
>
> That's what they should have done to you, snipped you so you
> couldn't procreate, Nursie. You wouldn't produce defective kids
> that die too young.

I didn't "produce defective kids that die too young". The crop
duster that laid cotton defoliant over our house by mistake did,
though.

Nast what that stuff can do to a woman in the first trimester.

> > Then you have a comprehension problem that exceeds even my
> > estimations. Seek remedial assistance.
>
> Nursie, you should follow your own advice...especially when you
> type "not al" for "not all".

Typographic errors are not "comprehension" deficiencies, anonymous
lying coward.

You still have comprehension problems that are not being
addressed. And you still have an ego and character deficiency that
prevents you from being a self-actualizing human being.

Steve, K4YZ

3713. 7.95$ per year Domains Names in www.cheap-domains.cc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 11:50:58 UTC
From: news@tenerifesouth.com
Message-ID: <d2tqi7jvf30@nsnmpen3-gest.nuria.telefonica-data.net>
Source record: 105079
Cross-posted to: rec.photo.marketplace,rec.ponds,rec.puzzles,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.running,rec.scuba,rec.skiing.marketplace

You can register your domain for only 7.95$ a year in www.cheap-domains.cc
cheers

3714. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 13:04:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112706282.107544.34400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105080

bb wrote:
> Phil Kane wrote:
> > On 3 Apr 2005 17:02:14 -0700, bb wrote:
> >
> > >> Phil, why do you have to pull a double-shift?
> >
> > It's a two-day exercise, and I can make it both days.
> >
> > >I would guess that you have to pull two shifts because there are
not
> > >enough amateur volunteers.
> >
> > Actually, we have six operators qualified for that location, and
we
> > work in teams of three (county voice net, inter-hospital voice
net,
> > county-wide packet net) so we'll have plenty of operators.
>
> Now c'mon Phil. I used to write duty schedules, so I know how many
> times two teams of three fit into a calendar day. Is this a two-day
> ORI?

Always at a deficit for information, aren't you, Brian?

http://www.dhs.gov/dhspublic/interapp/editorial/editorial_0588.xml

TOPOFF-3 will be four days. More than ample time for any one
person to pull more than one "shift" during the exercise, depending on
how you're defining "shift".

12 hour shifts: Four (assuming 12 on / 12 off)

8 hour shifts: Six (assuming 8 on / 8 off)

4 hour shifts: Eight (assuming 4 on / 8 off)

Brian...You CAN pull more than two shifts under ONE of those
formats, couldn't you...??? I sure could, and will. CAP is a
participating agency in this.

Steve, K4YZ

3715. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 14:27:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112711237.123544.96820@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105081

bb wrote:
> Caveat Lector wrote:

> > But summer is sporadic E season for 6M-- so the best time would be
> May June
> > July
> > Will need double hop for KY
> > Maybe single hop for AR.
> >
> > CL
>
> I did some work on 6 and 2 ssb when there were lots of active Techs,
> but it seems a lot slower now that they've been chased away.

Who's "chased away" Techs from 6 and 2 meter SSB, Brian?

The idea doesn't make sense on several levels. First and foremost is the fact that there's absolutely no evidence of anyone being chased anywhere.

Secondly is that VHF contesters are no different than HF contesters...They need those "casual" contesters or participants in order to get thier scores up...No "motive" for them to chase anyone away.

Thirdly, the manufacturers push VHF capable gear in order to make more profits. What would be thier motive to "chase away" anyone?

Next is the ARRL and it's ancilliary branches such as ARES. They need Tech in order to "flesh out" ARES and other programs. QST is ripe with PRO-VHF operating...CQ Magazine even moreso with it's resurrection of "CQ VHF" magazine.

So...Here we are again...Brian P Burke making yet another assinine anti-Amateur Radio assertion absolutely unsubstantiated by even a single paragraph of corroborating fact.

And he says >>I<< have some undefined "emotional" problems. Go figure.

Steve, K4YZ

3716. Re: Brian P Burke...Try To Answer This Challenge To Your Assinine Statement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 14:29:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112711387.612463.133040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105082

bb wrote:
> Caveat Lector wrote:

> > But summer is sporadic E season for 6M-- so the best time would be
> May June
> > July
> > Will need double hop for KY
> > Maybe single hop for AR.
> >
> > CL
>
> I did some work on 6 and 2 ssb when there were lots of active Techs,
> but it seems a lot slower now that they've been chased away.

Who's "chased away" Techs from 6 and 2 meter SSB, Brian?

The idea doesn't make sense on several levels. First and foremost is the fact that there's absolutely no evidence of anyone being chased anywhere.

Secondly is that VHF contesters are no different than HF contesters...They need those "casual" contesters or participants in order to get thier scores up...No "motive" for them to chase anyone away.

Thirdly, the manufacturers push VHF capable gear in order to make more profits. What would be thier motive to "chase away" anyone?

Next is the ARRL and it's ancilliary branches such as ARES. They need Tech in order to "flesh out" ARES and other programs. QST is ripe with PRO-VHF operating...CQ Magazine even moreso with it's resurrection of "CQ VHF" magazine.

So...Here we are again...Brian P Burke making yet another assinine anti-Amateur Radio assertion absolutely unsubstantiated by even a single paragraph of corroborating fact.

And he says >>I<< have some undefined "emotional" problems. Go figure.

Steve, K4YZ

3717. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 19:16:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1112728601.590804.155500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105083

From: "bb" on Mon, Apr 4 2005 4:25 pm:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > bb wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:

<etc., etc., etc....>

>> I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a mirror up to
>> them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.
>
>You misunderstand. A mirror is being held up for you.

Heh. He can't see his own reflection... :-)

[...sort of a supernatural thing there...]

>> (1) I am not a victim
>
>"(1.a.) I REFUSE to be a victim." Hi, hi!

Nursie is NEVER at fault...ALWAYS someone else's fault!

:-)

>> (2) It seems there are only three people here who have a
problem
>> with what I say, and that would be you, Lennie, and the person in
the
>> e-mail. I'm not impressed that any "explanation" needs to occur.
>
>Hmmmm?
>
>In another post you said that no one other than the mystery e-mailer
>saw any impropriety in your comments. So which time were you lying?
>Then or now?
>
>Now you say that other than me, Len, and that mystery e-mailer...
>
>But I don't see Len posting on this subject. So are you making yet
>another lie?

Nursie NEVER lie! :-)

I haven't posted in this newsgroup for (about) 2 weeks.

Stevie da wonder ham is still angry, resentful, and
otherwise unglued about no one respecting his Dill
Instructor attitude for the past six years or so...:-)

"Temper fry?" Whoeee...temper be roasted to a crisp!

>> And what would you care anyway? You said you had other plans
much
>> too important to atttend Dayton.
>
>You sound like a man who needs to clear his conscience.

First he has to HAVE a conscience... :-)

>> > > Unlike you or Lennie who always have to be a victim, I
REFUSE to be
>> > > a victim. That's probably what grates your cheese.
>> >
>> > I am no victim of yours.
>>
>> I didn't say you were. I said that my failure to BE victimized
>> irritates (grates your cheese) you.
>
>Not at all. I have no intention of making you a victim, and I want no
>responsibility for your actions. You handily do the victim routine
all
>by yourself (see mirror).

The Avenging Angle is purely responsible for his own
actions...but, as noted, he can't see that in the
non-reflection (of himself) so, ergo, he is NOT
accountable for anything... :-)

It's ALWAYS "everyone else's fault!" :-)

Stebie has NO accreditation in accounting, by the way.
Without credentialed expertise in accounting, he
cannot account for it. :-)

>> >You simply have an emotional defect and you cannot help yourself.
>>
>> What emotional defect, Brian?
>
>The one you exhibit daily on rrap.

1. He CAN'T see that...

2. Stebie is ALWAYS right, anyone disagreeing
with him is ALWAYS wrong...

3. This whole newsgroup seems to be all about
Hero Stebie Fighting The Forces of Evil... :-)

The original intent of this newsgroup was to discuss
amateur radio policy. It's turned into an sort of

professional wrestler arena of the old B&W TV days
where everything is about everyone doing battle with
everyone else on personalities... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3718. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 19:17:22 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <Beware@reader.com>
Message-ID: <6vB4e.3543$k57.3225@fed1read07>
Source record: 105084

>> Caveat Lector wrote:
>
>> > But summer is sporadic E season for 6M-- so the best time would be
>> May June
>> > July
>> > Will need double hop for KY
>> > Maybe single hop for AR.
>> >
>> > CL
>>
Someone wrote:
>> I did some work on 6 and 2 sib when there were lots of active Techs,
>> but it seems a lot slower now that they've been chased away.
>
Hmmm last June on 6M, we had several double hop sporadic E openings and the band was filled with signals from 50.125 to 50.300 with SSB signals -- lots of CW below 50.125 as well. This was not contest time and virtually the whole US was coming in.

On field day we had single hop to the North West -- made 50+ contacts.

CL (Sporadically)

3719. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 20:12:04 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <2oidnRAxhPHjZc_fRVn-sw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105085

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: "bb" on Mon, Apr 4 2005 4:25 pm:
>
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>bb wrote:
>>>
>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>bb wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>K4YZ wrote:
>
>
> <etc., etc., etc....>
>
>>> I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a mirror up to
>>>them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.
>>
>>You misunderstand. A mirror is being held up for you.
>
>
> Heh. He can't see his own reflection... :-)
>
> [...sort of a supernatural thing there...]
>
>
>
>>> (1) I am not a victim
>>
>>"(1.a.) I REFUSE to be a victim." Hi, hi!
>
>
> Nursie is NEVER at fault...ALWAYS someone else's fault!
>
> :-)
>
>
>>> (2) It seems there are only three people here who have a
>
> problem
>

>>>with what I say, and that would be you, Lennie, and the person in
>
> the
>
>>>e-mail. I'm not impressed that any "explanation" needs to occur.
>>
>>Hmmmm?
>>
>>In another post you said that no one other than the mystery e-mailer
>>saw any impropriety in your comments. So which time were you lying?
>>Then or now?
>>
>>Now you say that other than me, Len, and that mystery e-mailer...
>>
>>But I don't see Len posting on this subject. So are you making yet
>>another lie?
>
>
> Nursie NEVER lie! :-)
>
> I haven't posted in this newsgroup for (about) 2 weeks.
>
> Stevie da wonder ham is still angry, resentful, and
> otherwise unglued about no one respecting his Dill
> Instructor attitude for the past six years or so...:-)
>
> "Temper fry?" Whoeee...temper be roasted to a crisp!
>
>
>
>>> And what would you care anyway? You said you had other plans
>
> much
>
>>>too important to atttend Dayton.
>>
>>You sound like a man who needs to clear his conscience.
>
>
> First he has to HAVE a conscience... :-)
>
>
>
>>>>> Unlike you or Lennie who always have to be a victim, I
>
> REFUSE to be
>
>>>>>a victim. That's probably what grates your cheese.
>>>>
>>>>I am no victim of yours.

>>>
>>> I didn't say you were. I said that my failure to BE victimized
>>>irritates (grates your cheese) you.
>>
>>Not at all. I have no intention of making you a victim, and I want no
>>responsibility for your actions. You handily do the victim routine
>
> all
>
>>by yourself (see mirror).
>
>
> The Avenging Angle is purely responsible for his own
> actions...but, as noted, he can't see that in the
> non-reflection (of himself) so, ergo, he is NOT
> accountable for anything... :-)
>
> It's ALWAYS "everyone else's fault!" :-)
>
> Stebie has NO accreditation in accounting, by the way.
> Without credentialed expertise in accounting, he
> cannot account for it. :-)
>
>
>
>>>>You simply have an emotional defect and you cannot help yourself.
>>>
>>> What emotional defect, Brian?
>>
>>The one you exhibit daily on rrap.
>
>
> 1. He CAN'T see that...
>
> 2. Stebie is ALWAYS right, anyone disagreeing
> with him is ALWAYS wrong...
>
> 3. This whole newsgroup seems to be all about
> Hero Stebie Fighting The Forces of Evil... :-)
>
> The original intent of this newsgroup was to discuss
> amateur radio policy. It's turned into an sort of
> professional wrestler arena of the old B&W TV days
> where everything is about everyone doing battle with
> everyone else on personalities... :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Len, why do you talk like a two year old?

3720. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 22:02:28 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <42d182105486902e9c969c4234706f0e@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105088

In article <d2kviv$507$0@pita.alt.net>
"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote:
> A perfect description of Roger.

Mheh. Says the 31 year old VIRGIN.....

But in reality you are just a mentally challenged pizza delivery boy.

There are no Daleks under your command 'tard boy. You have been a failure in everything you have ever done, PERIOD!

You are indeed "pale" you never venture forth outside except for your minimum wage "job," and to buy more light beer.

You need to seriously take a long hard look at yourself in a properly lit mirror and then take action. Lose weight, get mental help, stay off the computer for hours on end and face reality. You are 31 years of age, you deliver pizzas, you play with dolls, you get drunk and spew your filth and delusions on here and usenet. Nobody, I repeat, nobody except for a couple of geeks like yourself can stand you.

You need to either kill yourself or get help, that's it, do it!

3721. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 22:04:20 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <WEZ00ULJ38448.2530092593@reece.net.au>
Source record: 105087

In article <happy.days.are.here.again@houston-hunks.com>
Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
> On 1 Apr 2005 14:28:21 -0800, Bathrooman <drle...@cox.net>
wrote:
> : What a scream
>
> There I was,

Sitting on your fat ass in your filthy roach filled HUD
apartment.......stuffing your fat pig like face, eh, tubby?

> Not-Roger and the gang have made this into the newest flame
group.
> I'm impressed.

But, then, bright, shiny opbjects can keep you occupied for
hours, autism boy.

> Wanna discuss negative SWR again, Not-Roger?

Want to discuss how you though Marshall County, WV and Marshall
County, AL have the same website, dumbass with no credibilty?

3722. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 22:26:57 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <82f5fcf30ff102128c7971d16b2b444f@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 105086

In article <not-woger.whines@houston-hunks.com>
Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

> This is

More of your whining, isn't it, tubby? Tell us why the Athens,
Al, police came by your HUD apartment.

3723. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 22:29:47 UTC
From: Siegfried Kemper <bounce@parsifal.weedns.com>
Message-ID: <8SVWB54T38447.7670717593@si93kru1.poster>
Source record: 105089

In article <mIudndxJ6ugVmc_fRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Poor dumb retarded curtains boy wogie, still doesn't have a
clue who he
> is talking about.

Hi, Tubby Davies!

But in reality you are just a mentally challenged pizza delivery
boy.

There are no Daleks under your command 'tard boy. You have been
a failure in everything you have ever done, PERIOD!

You are indeed "pale" you never venture forth outside except for
your minimum wage "job," and to buy more light beer.

You need to seriously take a long hard look at yourself in a
properly lit mirror and then take action. Lose weight, get
mental help, stay off the computer for hours on end and face
reality. You are 31 years of age, you deliver pizzas, you play
with dolls, you get drunk and spew your filth and delusions on
here and usenet. Nobody, I repeat, nobody except for a couple of
geeks like yourself can stand you.

You need to either kill yourself or get help, that's it, do it!

3724. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 22:32:20 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <43deff375673471f9cc3f12d2887c875@userbeam.net>
Source record: 105091

In article <42517B4E.EE8096D9@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Apparently not, Roger. I asked the question which begged
asking after
> reading your racist drivel.

Apparently you do, since the last time I checked, I'm still not
Roger.
And you don't like it, don't read it.

> > You see Roger behind every goddamn tree.
>
> Nope, just here.

Nope, behind every post. I bet you have dreams about him.

> Good. You <STOMP!>

You are whining and sniveling. What will your fellow Nazis say?

3725. Re: 7.95$ per year Domains Names in www.cheap-domains.cc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 22:37:02 UTC
From: AbusingAbuser@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1112740622.607707.264430@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105092
Cross-posted to: rec.ponds,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.running,rec.scuba

It's true! I just got "ISuck@donovanRebecchi.org" and
"DougFreese@DumbFuk.org" for free!

3726. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 22:57:05 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <d90ffcadcdf7d1d7b472cda2b7726d8d@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 105090

In article <425189F7.7351531D@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> "What just a transposition of letter"? Nice job, Grog. What
makes you
> veer toward discussions of father-son homosexual activity? Is
this
> something from your childhood?

Wasn't your daddy a priest or something?

> Nope, I saw right away that something was wrong. Why is it
that you can
> notice every typo in Steve's posts, but none on your own?

Oh, do tell, "I didn't ask if you cold read" boy.

> Ah, I see. If you were Roger, you'd have had a number of
chats with
> Chief Stenger.

You are slowly cating on, aren't you?

> I don't know about any Nazis or any sniveling and whining, but
I'll be
> quite pleased to forward this recent series to the Chief,
using the
> e-mail address provided me for just this purpose.

Go right ahead. Knock yourself out. Since you keep guessing
wrong about who I am, I suppose you like to look foolish offline
as well.

> I don't suppose you're very good at cards.

Yeah, but unlike you I don't play "old maid."

> I get it. If you were Roger, you'd have had a number of
encounters with
> law enforcement and with the FCC. In your world, one who has
never had
> such encounters is stupid and you're the sharp guy. That's
interesting.

Yet, you continue to talk out of your ass.

> You answer my question and I'll answer yours.

What is your fixation of Roger?

> I've said nothing like that. I admit to having a web site and
to having
> a photo of me and the late Muddy Waters on it. Now what?

Uh-Huh. Yeah, right, faggot.

> I see. You haven't had any such run-ins, but Roger has. What
about
> your accusation that I submitted "forged" tapes and sent them
to the
> FCC? What happened to that?

You tell me, apparently Wiseman only got a warning letter and a
retest. No fine, no suspention or revocation, so you tell me.

> ...and it sure as hell wasn't during the period in which Roger
(not you)
> got his ass in a crack with the FCC over malicious
interference. Bert
> was on active duty with the Army in Europe. Now, what about
your silly
> accusation?

Uh-Huh. Nobody but you said it was during that period.

> The FCC was concerned enough to send you the letter based upon
the
> existence of the recordings. The FCC was concerned enough to
have you
> retest. Oh, and the word is "alleged".

Which means unsubstantiated or poorly presented "evidence." And
readingf the much posted retest letter, there is no reason other
than the FCC can at any time retest somebody under the VE
system. Looks like you are puting 2 + 2 and coming up with 5
again.

> Phil was a career FCC employee. He'd know about such things.
Would you
> like me to drop a line to someone currently in the employee of
the FCC?

Go ahead, dumbass. Why dont' you even go so far as to ask the
Rilkey guy, too?

> You left <blatherings and bullshit>

You left out your proof. As usual, Methings you are lying.

>
> > Your posts sure don't do anything for it.
>
> Maybe I don't have the hang of it.

You never got the hang of anything, eh, Nazi?

> Yes, he did, much to my chagrin. He can't keep from shooting
himself in
> the foot though--so there's still a goodly chance that he'll
not be
> involved in amateur radio for very long.

Oh, does Miss Cleo have you as a "psychic consultant?"

> You see, Roger is never heard
> on the air in a QSO. There's never a, "Hello, Jack. I've
been busy in
> the garden" from AB8MQ. Roger lurks and hides, just like he
does here.

Oh, so you are saying you are obsessed with him, you keep tabs
on him?

> I provided some information above. Your turn. A single,
truthful line
> of your contributions will suffice.

Mheh. You provided unsubstantiated bullshit.

> Cough it up. Post it here. Otherwise, you'll remain forever
a liar.

Obviously, you are in denial about it.

> I understood what you wrote. It isn't even close to being
true.

Uh-huh. Sure it wasn't true.

> You seem confused. I wrote nothing homoerotic about Roger
Wiseman or
> anyone else. I simply responded to the lies you wrote, Roger-
Not-Roger.

Yet you still keep tabs on his amateur radio qso's and so forth.
You claim every anonymous poster is him. Sounds like obsession
to me.

> You write

Yep, you are in denail about it.

> I get it. ;-)

That's doubtful.

> Run down and ask them. Since you aren't Roger, you won't have
anything
> to worry about.

Exactly, Nazi. I don't.

> That

Yep, you are a sniveling whining cunt. And had in the past
unfavorable contact with law enforcement.

> We're you

"We're" you? "Wern't" you the fellow who said something about
typos above?

> Are you

Yep, you were a molested altar boy.

3727. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 23:10:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112742614.741935.322040@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105093

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a mirror up
> to
> > > them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.
> >
> > You misunderstand. A mirror is being held up for you.
>
> You're in denial, Brian...No problem...WE see it...

Who? You and you anonymous "attacker?"

> > > (1) I am not a victim
> >
> > "(1.a.) I REFUSE to be a victim." Hi, hi!
>
> I imagine you hear a lot of laughter, Brian....

That's how hams laugh.

and not all of it
> from kids playing in the yard or the "laugh track" on your favorite
> sitcom.

I'm not big on sitcoms. You provide enough comic relief for me.

> > > (2) It seems there are only three people here who have a
> problem
> > > with what I say, and that would be you, Lennie, and the person in
> the
> > > e-mail. I'm not impressed that any "explanation" needs to occur.
> >
> > Hmmmm?
> >
> > In another post you said that no one other than the mystery
e-mailer
> > saw any impropriety in your comments. So which time were you
lying?
> > Then or now?
>
> There's no lying involved, Brian, other than your attempt to
> redirect the specific comments being made.
>
> Nice try. Very transparent.

No dodge, plymouth, nor chrysler. You lied.

> > Now you say that other than me, Len, and that mystery e-mailer...
> >
> > But I don't see Len posting on this subject. So are you making yet
> > another lie?
>
> Nope.

Show it.

> > > > I am no victim of yours.
> > >
> > > I didn't say you were. I said that my failure to BE
victimized
> > > irritates (grates your cheese) you.
> >
> > Not at all. I have no intention of making you a victim, and I want
> no
> > responsibility for your actions. You handily do the victim routine
> all
> > by yourself (see mirror).
>
> You still say you're holding up a mirror, yet all the foolish
> assertions are still yours and still unanswered.
>
> You try to redirect, and I refuse to allow you. No problem.

Big problem(s). You lied. You lied four times. You lied about your
inuendo, you lied when you characterized the anon e-mailer as and
"attacker," you lied about all of it not being a problem for anyone
except your anonymous "attacker," and then you lied about Len's
involvement in this thread.

I wonder how many lies you'll tell tomorrow?

> > > > You would have to matter for that to happen,and you just don't
> > > matter.
> > >
> > > Obviously not true, since responding to posts I make
accounts
> > for
> > > over 85% of YOUR posts.
> >
> > What's your track record?
>
> Oh, I make no "warranty" about what and how I post.

You couldn't honor the warranty when it comes due.

I quite
> readily acknowledge that I am quite ready to stand toe-to-toe with
> those who are obviously lying, misrepresenting Amateur Radio, or
> inisist on acting foolishly in public.

Toe to toe?

Welp, you got called on your inuendo, you got called on characterizing the anon e-mailer as an "attacker," you got called on your lying, and now the mirror is being help up for you to see yourself as the liar that you are.

> Thankfully that sub-group of ner-do-wells is fairly narrow.
You.
> Lennie. Todd. Mark Morgan. The fairly few number of anonymous
> cowards who haven't got the guts or strength of conviction to stand
> behind thier already lame game.

"Thier" lame game?

Look, you're the one playing off-duty COP on rrap. You've shot off your mouth once too often and someone is now trying to get back at you with vile comments about your daughter. You shot off your mouth again about a young woman in an amateur radio advertisement, and some anonymous rrapper called you on it.

You stand alone. No one supports your latest gaffe.

> > > >You simply have an emotional defect and you cannot help
yourself.
> > >
> > > What emotional defect, Brian?
> >
> > The one you exhibit daily on rrap.
>
> You've not yet defined or identified any "emotional defect",
> Brian. That comes from a lack of understanding of the words you're
> using and from a lack of training/education in any healthcare related
> discipline from which to draw the requisite knowledge from.
>
> Try again.

Nope. I don't have to be a psychiatrist to recognize a nutcase.

3728. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 23:26:51 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112743610.934275.291310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105094

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Mon, Apr 4 2005 4:25 pm:
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > bb wrote:
> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> <etc., etc., etc....>
>
> >> I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a mirror up
to
> >> them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.
> >
> >You misunderstand. A mirror is being held up for you.
>
> Heh. He can't see his own reflection... :-)
>
> [...sort of a supernatural thing there...]

American Wereham in London.

> >> (1) I am not a victim
> >
> >"(1.a.) I REFUSE to be a victim." Hi, hi!
>
> Nursie is NEVER at fault...ALWAYS someone else's fault!
>
> :-)

He'll say I "made" him do (whatever).

> >> (2) It seems there are only three people here who have a
> problem
> >> with what I say, and that would be you, Lennie, and the person in
> the
> >> e-mail. I'm not impressed that any "explanation" needs to occur.
> >
> >Hmmmm?
> >
> >In another post you said that no one other than the mystery e-mailer
> >saw any impropriety in your comments. So which time were you lying?
> >Then or now?
> >

> >Now you say that other than me, Len, and that mystery e-mailer...
> >
> >But I don't see Len posting on this subject. So are you making yet
> >another lie?
>
> Nursie NEVER lie! :-)
>
> I haven't posted in this newsgroup for (about) 2 weeks.

It's making him crazy. He has no access to you. He's cold turkey and
using me as methadone.

> Stevie da wonder ham is still angry, resentful, and
> otherwise unglued about no one respecting his Dill
> Instructor attitude for the past six years or so...:-)
>
> "Temper fry?" Whoeee...temper be roasted to a crisp!

Newly recruited marines are sometimes discharged for non-adaptability
to military life. Steve should be put back in the Corps for
non-adaptability to civilian life. Let them deal with his lies,
assinine assertions, impossible delusions, and generally disrespectful
attitude.

> >> And what would you care anyway? You said you had other plans
> much
> >> too important to atttend Dayton.
> >
> >You sound like a man who needs to clear his conscience.
>
> First he has to HAVE a conscience... :-)

Ooooh. I wanted to make a "brain" comment sooo badly...

> >> > > Unlike you or Lennie who always have to be a victim, I
> REFUSE to be
> >> > > a victim. That's probably what grates your cheese.
> >> >
> >> > I am no victim of yours.
> >>
> >> I didn't say you were. I said that my failure to BE
victimized
> >> irritates (grates your cheese) you.
> >
> >Not at all. I have no intention of making you a victim, and I want
no
> >responsibility for your actions. You handily do the victim routine
> all
> >by yourself (see mirror).
>
> The Avenging Angle is purely responsible for his own
> actions...

Everyone else recognizes that.

> but, as noted, he can't see that in the
> non-reflection (of himself) so, ergo, he is NOT
> accountable for anything... :-)
>
> It's ALWAYS "everyone else's fault!" :-)

"Brain made me do it!"

> Stebie has NO accreditation in accounting, by the way.
> Without credentialed expertise in accounting, he
> cannot account for it. :-)

Everyone must have "Wallpaper."

> >> >You simply have an emotional defect and you cannot help yourself.
> >>
> >> What emotional defect, Brian?
> >
> >The one you exhibit daily on rrap.
>
> 1. He CAN'T see that...
>
> 2. Stebie is ALWAYS right, anyone disagreeing
> with him is ALWAYS wrong...
>
> 3. This whole newsgroup seems to be all about
> Hero Stebie Fighting The Forces of Evil... :-)

Only the evil genius played a trick on Steve. Steve is the forces of
evil.

> The original intent of this newsgroup was to discuss
> amateur radio policy. It's turned into an sort of
> professional wrestler arena of the old B&W TV days
> where everything is about everyone doing battle with
> everyone else on personalities... :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

WWF is still about personalities (if any of them had a personality).
Steve has one and it's defective.

3729. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 23:31:57 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112743917.376491.52060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105095

Caveat Lector wrote:
> >> Caveat Lector wrote:
> >
> >> > But summer is sporadic E season for 6M-- so the best time would
be
> >> May June
> >> > July
> >> > Will need double hop for KY
> >> > Maybe single hop for AR.
> >> >
> >> > CL
> >>
> Someone wrote:
> >> I did some work on 6 and 2 sib when there were lots of active
Techs,
> >> but it seems a lot slower now that they've been chased away.
> >
> Hmmm last June on 6M, we had several double hop sporadic E openings
and the
> band was filled with signals from 50.125 to 50.300 with SSB signals
-- lots
> of CW below 50.125 as well. This was not contest time and virtually
the
> whole US was coming in.
>
> On field day we had single hop to the North West -- made 50+
contacts.
>
> CL (Sporadically)

Superb!

3730. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-05 23:38:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112744325.748923.119060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105096

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > You make assinine, poorly constructed assertions, then
when
> > > asked
> > > > > > to substantiate them, you wallow around looking for a way out
> > > without
> > > > > > admitting that you don't have an adequate answer.
> > > >
> > > > > I don't see anyone wallowing around looking for anything. I
see
> > you
> > > > > being your pathetic self.
> > >
> > > How do you come up with "pathetic", Brian?
> >
> > I come up with "pathetic" quite easily. The word handily describes
> the
> > guy who attacks anyone who holds an opinion other than the one you
> > hold.
>
> There are several people in here with whom I have disagreed
and/or
> carried on length discussions at opposite ends of the verbal see-saw.

Prove it.

> Only you, Todd, Lennie, and a couple others have huffed up the
> tone.

Your singularly distinctive acheivement on RRAP has been "huffing up
the tone." In the past few days you've told at least four new lies.
One lie on top of another just so that you don't have to accept
responsibility for shooting off your mouth about a YL in an amateur
advertisement. I can only wonder what new lie you'll tell tomorrow to
CYA.

3731. Re: Where's Todd in all this ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 00:20:54 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <HdqdnV0LtMX8ts7fRVn-2Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105097

Who wrote this?

"The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and theories is due to a group of people in are society who have little or no intelligent."

I'm uneducated but even I see 5 errors! You would think someone would proof read before they put it on a web site! Everybody makes errors, but to put this on a web page and question other peoples intelligence. There's something wrong with this persons thinking!

3732. Re: Where's Todd in all this ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 00:28:34 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <rY-dnZ_ikcuxsM7fRVn-hw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105098

I should have read the whole paragraph first! I really needed a laugh! :)

"Iï¿½ve deiced to write out some of my old idea and explain in greater detail about some of the theories and concept behind it. The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and theories is due to a group of people in are society who have little or no intelligent. These people are called Amateur Radio operators, and it is no wonder television and movies and poked fun at these people, who are nothing more, then licensed CB radio operators. It has always been my philosophy that Iï¿½m not here to prove myself to anyone. Nor, it is my intent to share my ideas to half-wit ï¿½licensedï¿½ CB radio operators who narrow minded and whose only real skill is keying down a microphone."

3733. Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 01:30:38 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <2ZG4e.1655$yq6.146@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105099

Posted by Todd Daugherty at http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com

> 8:27 PM

> Tuesday, March 22, 2005

> A ELF TRANSMITTER

> I have built a ELF audio to pulse transmitter, the transmitter
> operates on a frequency of 1 to 30 Hz. A person talks
> through the transmitter which is converted into pulses.
> The pulse rate can be regulated by a variable resistor.
> The pulse then go's to a oscillator which is variable and
> can operate on a 1 cycle to 30 cycles.

First I thought it must be an April Fool posting, but it was over a week early.

Then I read some of the other nonsense on that blog, and was overwhelmed by the over-reaching lack of technical acumen of this guy.

Go there and see for yourself. I warn you, you'll laugh till you cry!

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

3734. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 01:38:16 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <6e8d3a6488976573cf1e3725f83123cc@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105400

In article <mIudnd5J6ujMms_fRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
> Hard to imagine anyone being stupid enough to do stuff so dumb
that the
> FCC makes them retest twice, but then that's wogie.

Except that the FCC didn't make him retest twice, Lardass. But
they are going to pull your training wheel no code lilcense. You
aren't a real ham, anyways.

3735. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 01:44:02 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <C9H4e.1658$yq6.1121@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105401

If you liked Todd's ELF transmitter, you're gonna love his time machine (or maybe not).

- - - - - - - - - - - -

3. Time Travel

I had written a few articles on alt.sci.physics.new-theories about time travel. Time travel (i.e. The transferring of people through time) is impossible the theory that I wrote was based on the concept of storing a message on a wireless computer network and in 30 years the signal will transmit out. A person hearing the signal could (if technology was available send a message back by using tachyons. Tachyons are particles that start off traveling faster then the speed of light, thus causing them to go back in time. Many people ask if this works then why hasn't anyone heard any transmissions from the future?? Well probably for two simple reasons, the first being although tachyons have been around since the 1960's the uses of tachyons as a mean of communication is still 15 to 20 years from now. The second reasons is the fabric of space-time is a delicate system and any interference from the future could destroy the space time as we know it, or it could create a "parallel universe" thus, although the future us are talking to the past, they are in fact probably talking to a parallel universe. Many non-science/ham radio operators probably don't believe in the concept of "parallel universes" however, physicist are able to prove through an experiment that parallel universe do exist. The Second mean wasn't really "time travel" but more of a way that the human bran could receive brainwave signals from your parallel self. This is done by means of a machine that can change you "quanta" to their "quanta" this of course is done artificially via computers. Amateur's can grasp the idea that communication 15, 20 or even 30 years in the future may be a hell of lot different and tachyons communication may be used in the future (even for long distance space communications). But hey, the only thing amateur radio operator know is to key down a microphone of an analog system.

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire
"Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message news:2ZG4e.1655$yq6.146@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
: Posted by Todd Daugherty at http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com
:
: > 8:27 PM
:
: > Tuesday, March 22, 2005

:
: > A ELF TRANSMITTER
:
: > I have built a ELF audio to pulse transmitter, the transmitter
: > operates on a frequency of 1 to 30 Hz. A person talks
: > through the transmitter which is converted into pulses.
: > The pulse rate can be regulated by a variable resistor.
: > The pulse then go's to a oscillator which is variable and
: > can operate on a 1 cycle to 30 cycles.
:
: First I thought it must be an April Fool posting, but it was over a week
: early.
:
: Then I read some of the other nonsense on that blog, and was overwhelmed
by
: the over-reaching lack of technical acumen of this guy.
:
: Go there and see for yourself. I warn you, you'll laugh till you cry!
:
: M.A.N.
: --
: "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
: make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
: - Voltaire
:
:

3736. NO SUBJECT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 02:42:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112755337.827380.143150@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105402

Date: Tue, 5 Apr 2005 16:24:01 -0500
From: robert.koester@consolidated.com Add To Address Book | This is
Spam
Subject: Fw: TOS-Abuse Report, Request for Assistance
To: toddaug@consolidated.net

Mr. Daughtery,

We have recieved the following complaint regarding your
online
activity which includes a link to a picture currently hosted on a
computer
attached to our network. Such activity is a violation of your terms
of
service for Consolidated Communications Internet services. I ask that
you
cease and desist immediately or your connection to the Internet through
Consolidated Communications will be irrevocably terminated.

----- Forwarded by Robert Koester/consolidated on 04/05/2005 04:17 PM

"Consolidated.net

, Abuse"

<abuse@consolidat
To

ed.net>
robert.koester@consolidated.com

cc

04/05/2005 03:35

PM
Subject

Fwd:
TOS-Abuse Report, Request for

Assistance

----- Message from K4YZ@aol.com on Tue, 5 Apr 2005 04:44:16 EDT -----

To: abuse@consolidated.net

Subject: TOS-Abuse Report, Request for Assistance

Dear Sirs,

My name is Steven Robeson. I participate in a USENET discussion group known as "rec.radio.amateur.policy", which is a group for licensed Amateur Radio Operators to discuss matters pertaining to Amateur Radio regulatory matters.

For the last several weeks, one of your members, Mr Todd Daugherty of Taylorsville, IL, has been using the group to promote wanton violation of federal regulations and to make threats against other citizens.

In this latest round, he has started taking pornographic pictures and superimposing our faces over the pictures.

Here is one specific offending item wherein he has done so with me:

QUOTE:

N9OGL Apr 1, 11:54 am hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "N9OGL" <todd...@consolidated.net> - Find messages by this author

Date: 1 Apr 2005 11:54:13 -0800

Local: Fri, Apr 1 2005 11:54 am

Subject: A Picture of K4YZ

Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

http://216.176.81.228/k4yz.jpg

Todd N9OGL

UNQUOTE:

I respectfully request you take steps to stop this abuse.

Respectfully,

STEVEN J ROBESON, LPN
151 12th Avenue Northwest
Winchester, TN 37398

3737. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 02:43:36 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <o-ednWNEm5JP0c7fRVn-1A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105403

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> If you liked Todd's ELF transmitter, you're gonna love his time machine (or
> maybe not).
>
> - - - - - - - - - - - -
>
> 3. Time Travel
>
> I had written a few articles on alt.sci.physics.new-theories about time
> travel. Time travel (i.e. The transferring of people through time) is
> impossible the theory that I wrote was based on the concept of storing a
> message on a wireless computer network and in 30 years the signal will
> transmit out. A person hearing the signal could (if technology was available
> send a message back by using tachyons. Tachyons are particles that start off
> traveling faster then the speed of light, thus causing them to go back in
> time. Many people ask if this works then why hasnï¿½t anyone heard any
> transmissions from the future?? Well probably for two simple reasons, the
> first being although tachyons have been around since the 1960ï¿½s the uses of
> tachyons as a mean of communication is still 15 to 20 years from now. The
> second reasons is the fabric of space-time is a delicate system and any
> interference from the future could destroy the space time as we know it, or
> it could create a ï¿½parallel universeï¿½ thus, although the future us are
> talking to the past, they are in fact probably talking to a parallel
> universe. Many non-science/ham radio operators probably donï¿½t believe in the
> concept of ï¿½parallel universesï¿½ however, physicist are able to prove through
> an experiment that parallel universe do exist. The Second mean wasnï¿½t really
> ï¿½time travelï¿½ but more of a way that the human bran could receive brainwave
> signals from your parallel self. This is done by means of a machine that can
> change you ï¿½quantaï¿½ to their ï¿½quantaï¿½ this of course is done artificially
> via computers. Amateurï¿½s can grasp the idea that communication 15, 20 or
> even 30 years in the future may be a hell of lot different and tachyons
> communication may be used in the future (even for long distance space
> communications). But hey, the only thing amateur radio operator know is to
> key down a microphone of an analog system.

You would think if he's going to create a web site and write about
technical subjects and attack others intellect, that he would at least
proof read what he is posting. Just what is a human bran, is that like
raisin bran?
If you want to see what kind of nutz believe this crap, look here.

http://www.csicop.org/sb/9409/reality.html
http://skepdic.com/takionic.html

NASA says "So far any attempt to observe a tachyon has come up dry, and
most physicists have abandoned the idea that tachyons might be real."
http://www.nasa.gov/centers/glenn/research/warp/warpfaq.html#tach

But this is probably just a government cover-up!

> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire
> "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
> news:2ZG4e.1655$yq6.146@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> : Posted by Todd Daugherty at http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com
> :
> : > 8:27 PM
> :
> : > Tuesday, March 22, 2005
> :
> : > A ELF TRANSMITTER
> :
> : > I have built a ELF audio to pulse transmitter, the transmitter
> : > operates on a frequency of 1 to 30 Hz. A person talks
> : > through the transmitter which is converted into pulses.
> : > The pulse rate can be regulated by a variable resistor.
> : > The pulse then go's to a oscillator which is variable and
> : > can operate on a 1 cycle to 30 cycles.
> :
> : First I thought it must be an April Fool posting, but it was over a week
> : early.
> :
> : Then I read some of the other nonsense on that blog, and was overwhelmed
> by
> : the over-reaching lack of technical acumen of this guy.
> :
> : Go there and see for yourself. I warn you, you'll laugh till you cry!
> :
> : M.A.N.
> : --
> : "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> : make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> : - Voltaire
> :
> :
>
>

3738. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 03:52:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42535D06.24ECB6BD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105404

"A.Melon" wrote:

> > > Not just A. Melon, but THE Melon (as Fritz Wuehler) wrote:

> In article <425189F7.7351531D@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > "What just a transposition of letter"? Nice job, Grog. What
> makes you
> > veer toward discussions of father-son homosexual activity? Is
> this
> > something from your childhood?
>
> Wasn't your daddy a priest or something?

If you want to play question and answer, you'll need to do some
answering, Roger.

> > Nope, I saw right away that something was wrong. Why is it
> that you can
> > notice every typo in Steve's posts, but none on your own?
>
> Oh, do tell, "I didn't ask if you cold read" boy.

Watch this, Rog. It really is this easy: I made a typo.

> > Ah, I see. If you were Roger, you'd have had a number of
> chats with
> > Chief Stenger.
>
> You are slowly cating on, aren't you?

Cating? I caught on a long time ago. You deny that you are you. You
hide behind anonymous remailers because you can't afford to have
yourself identified with your posts. You've had so many run-ins with
law enforcement and have lost so many internet accounts that it just
wouldn't be prudent.

> > I don't know about any Nazis or any sniveling and whining, but
> I'll be
> > quite pleased to forward this recent series to the Chief,
> using the
> > e-mail address provided me for just this purpose.
>
> Go right ahead. Knock yourself out. Since you keep guessing
> wrong about who I am, I suppose you like to look foolish offline
> as well.

You have to be a complete feeb to believe that no one sees through your I'm-not-me routine.

> > I don't suppose you're very good at cards.
>
> Yeah, but unlike you I don't play "old maid."

What? I guess you really told me, Un-Roger.

> > I get it. If you were Roger, you'd have had a number of
> encounters with
> > law enforcement and with the FCC. In your world, one who has
> never had
> > such encounters is stupid and you're the sharp guy. That's
> interesting.
>
> Yet, you continue to talk out of your ass.

No, I'm just typing, sharp guy.

> > > What is your fixation on homosexuality, Roger?

> What is your fixation of Roger?

Of Roger?

> > > You answer my question and I'll answer yours.

> > I've said nothing like that. I admit to having a web site and
> to having
> > a photo of me and the late Muddy Waters on it. Now what?
>
> Uh-Huh. Yeah, right, faggot.

You seem to believe that any two adult males who have a friendship or a business relationship are homosexuals. Do you have any males friends, Roger? Any female friends? Any friends of any of the several genders?

So, you visit my web site. You've seen a photo of me with Muddy Waters. Why is it a fetish for you? Are you a musician? Do you have a problem with black men? Do you have a problem with white men or do you simply dislike anyone who speaks out against your newsgroup-posted filth?

> > I see. You haven't had any such run-ins, but Roger has. What
> about
> > your accusation that I submitted "forged" tapes and sent them
> to the
> > FCC? What happened to that?
>
> You tell me, apparently Wiseman only got a warning letter and a
> retest. No fine, no suspention or revocation, so you tell me.

That doesn't answer the question I posed to you, Un-Roger.

> > ...and it sure as hell wasn't during the period in which Roger
> (not you)

> > got his ass in a crack with the FCC over malicious
> interference. Bert
> > was on active duty with the Army in Europe. Now, what about
> your silly
> > accusation?
>
> Uh-Huh. Nobody but you said it was during that period.

Why sure "somebody" said it. You said it. You claimed that N8NN and I sent "forged" tapes of you to the FCC, resulting in your getting a letter from the Commission. The fact that I was in Africa and Bert was in Germany just zooms over your pointed head.

> > The FCC was concerned enough to send you the letter based upon
> the
> > existence of the recordings. The FCC was concerned enough to
> have you
> > retest. Oh, and the word is "alleged".
>
> Which means unsubstantiated or poorly presented "evidence." And
> readingf the much posted retest letter,

...your much-posted retest letter...

"Readingf" indeed.

> there is no reason other
> than the FCC can at any time retest somebody under the VE
> system.

That the Commission can have a licensee retest at any time does not mean that the retest is done for no reason whatever, Roger.

> Looks like you are puting 2 + 2 and coming up with 5
> again.

I haven't been "puting" at all.

> > Phil was a career FCC employee. He'd know about such things.
> Would you
> > like me to drop a line to someone currently in the employee of
> the FCC?
>
> Go ahead, dumbass.

Remember that you've acquiesced.

> Why dont' you even go so far as to ask the
> Rilkey guy, too?

You may do that if you like.

> > You left out the "?" I'm not in denial. You spewed something about
> > your upgrading your license as if that were "doing something for

> > amateur radio". I'm a VE under the Laurel, Maryland VEC. I've
> > administered many Novice exams in the pre-VE days. I've provided time
> > and money to third world amateur radio licensees and clubs. I've
> > served as the President of a IARU-affiliated amateur radio society in > > Botswana. I've provided an opportunity for tens of thousands of DXers > > and contesters to work a new country, a new band-country or
> > mode-country from seven DXCC entities. I've written articles for CQ
> > and Ham Radio Horizons magazines. I served as President of the
> > Southwest Ohio DX Association. I acted as moderator for the Dayton
> > Hamvention DX forum for a number of years. I've made presentations on > > my DX operations at the Dayton Hamvention, SWODXA annual dinner, the
> > W9DXCC dinner, the Greater Cincinnati Amateur Radio Association and
> > the NPARC.

(I reinserted the portion you snipped as "blather" since it was in
direct response to what I've done for amateur radio, a question you
asked a number of times. Now that I've responded, I'm quite curious to
learn what you, aside from posting filth in a number of amateur radio
newsgroup, participating in malicious QRMing on 20m and being retested
by the FCC, have done for amateur radio.)

> > You see, Roger is never heard
> > on the air in a QSO. There's never a, "Hello, Jack. I've
> been busy in
> > the garden" from AB8MQ. Roger lurks and hides, just like he
> does here.
>
> Oh, so you are saying you are obsessed with him, you keep tabs
> on him?

How does one keep tabs on that which is never present?

> > I provided some information above. Your turn. A single, truthful
> > line of your contributions will suffice.

> Mheh. You provided unsubstantiated bullshit.

Any of the information I posted is easily substantiated. Knock yourself
out.

> > > For somebody who says they've been a ham as long as you are,
> > > you sure don't know Part 97.

> > There is no proof of your statement. I've never had any
> > problems with the FCC--none.

> > > Yeah, that's not what a FOIA inquiry says.

> > Cough it up. Post it here. Otherwise, you'll remain forever
> a liar.
>
> Obviously, you are in denial about it.

Denial that you're a liar? Hardly. Where's the information from any
FOIA request which states what you claim?

> > I understood what you wrote. It isn't even close to being
> true.
>
> Uh-huh. Sure it wasn't true.

Yep. Uh-huh. It wasn't true.

> > You seem confused. I wrote nothing homoerotic about Roger
> Wiseman or
> > anyone else. I simply responded to the lies you wrote, Roger-
> Not-Roger.
>
> Yet you still keep tabs on his amateur radio qso's and so forth.

What amateur radio QSOs? I've just written that you/him are never heard
on any of the local repeaters or FM simplex channels. I've never heard
you check into a net, involved in a casual ragchew on 2m, 70cm or any of
the HF bands. I'm on the air a lot.

> You claim every anonymous poster is him. Sounds like obsession
> to me.

> > You write frequently about homosexual acts and incestuous sex acts > > with children
and that is supposed to make me a homosexual? There are > > some flaws in your thinking
processes. Then again, that has been > > obvious for quite some time.

> Yep, you are a sniveling whining c--t. And had in the past
> unfavorable contact with law enforcement.

Gee, Roger, that'd would have made it tough for me to obtain a security
clearance and to pass the frequent DS background updates. It looks like
your claim is vapor.

> > We're you

It's so easy: I made a mistake. You should try it sometime.

Let's put that snipped material back in. I asked:

"Weren't you the fellow you used the "N" word? Aren't you the fellow
you
uses the word "Jew" as a weapon?"

Care to provide a truthful response?

You indicated a fixation on incestuous homosexual activity but you
seemed to become nervous when you snipped the following:

"Are you writing a book or an article about me? If I was an altar boy,
would that make me the victim of molestation? Would it make my father
the perpetrator? What is your interest in the topic? Have you had such
an experience? Are you looking for one?"

"You brought up the topic. You asked me to "Tell" about something which never took place. It isn't the first time you've shown such an interest. What is the basis for your interest?"

Have you had time to formulate some answers? Would you like to share them with us?

What makes it difficult for you to relate to other human beings on the planet?

Dave K8MN

3739. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 03:55:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42535DD0.CDF88322@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105405

bb wrote:
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "bb" on Mon, Apr 4 2005 4:25 pm:
> >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >> bb wrote:
> > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >> > > bb wrote:
> > >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > <etc., etc., etc....>
> >
> > >> I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a mirror up
> to
> > >> them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.
> > >
> > >You misunderstand. A mirror is being held up for you.
> >
> > Heh. He can't see his own reflection... :-)
> >
> > [...sort of a supernatural thing there...]
>
> American Wereham in London.
>
> > >> (1) I am not a victim
> > >
> > >"(1.a.) I REFUSE to be a victim." Hi, hi!
> >
> > Nursie is NEVER at fault...ALWAYS someone else's fault!
> >
> > :-)
>
> He'll say I "made" him do (whatever).
>
> > >> (2) It seems there are only three people here who have a
> > problem
> > >> with what I say, and that would be you, Lennie, and the person in
> > the
> > >> e-mail. I'm not impressed that any "explanation" needs to occur.
> > >
> > >Hmmmm?
> > >
> > >In another post you said that no one other than the mystery e-mailer

> > >saw any impropriety in your comments. So which time were you lying?
> > >Then or now?
> > >
> > >Now you say that other than me, Len, and that mystery e-mailer...
> > >
> > >But I don't see Len posting on this subject. So are you making yet
> > >another lie?
> >
> > Nursie NEVER lie! :-)
> >
> > I haven't posted in this newsgroup for (about) 2 weeks.
>
> It's making him crazy. He has no access to you. He's cold turkey and
> using me as methadone.
>
> > Stevie da wonder ham is still angry, resentful, and
> > otherwise unglued about no one respecting his Dill
> > Instructor attitude for the past six years or so...:-)
> >
> > "Temper fry?" Whoeee...temper be roasted to a crisp!
>
> Newly recruited marines are sometimes discharged for non-adaptability
> to military life. Steve should be put back in the Corps for
> non-adaptability to civilian life. Let them deal with his lies,
> assinine assertions, impossible delusions, and generally disrespectful
> attitude.

Don't you ever feel silly playing Len's game after his numerous posts in which he inevitably claims that he is here only to engage in civil debate over the removal of morse code testing, accuses others of engaging in personal attacks and denigration and then proceeds to do that of which he accuses others?

Dave K8MN

3740. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 04:06:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112760418.777711.35260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105406

KC8GXW wrote:
> Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> > If you liked Todd's ELF transmitter, you're gonna love his time
machine (or
> > maybe not).
> >
> > - - - - - - - - - - - -
> >
> > 3. Time Travel
> >
> > I had written a few articles on alt.sci.physics.new-theories about
time
> > travel. Time travel (i.e. The transferring of people through time)
is
> > impossible the theory that I wrote was based on the concept of
storing a
> > message on a wireless computer network and in 30 years the signal
will
> > transmit out. A person hearing the signal could (if technology was
available
> > send a message back by using tachyons. Tachyons are particles that
start off
> > traveling faster then the speed of light, thus causing them to go
back in
> > time. Many people ask if this works then why hasn't anyone heard
any
> > transmissions from the future?? Well probably for two simple
reasons, the
> > first being although tachyons have been around since the 1960's
the uses of
> > tachyons as a mean of communication is still 15 to 20 years from
now. The
> > second reasons is the fabric of space-time is a delicate system and
any
> > interference from the future could destroy the space time as we
know it, or
> > it could create a "parallel universe" thus, although the future
us are
> > talking to the past, they are in fact probably talking to a
parallel
> > universe. Many non-science/ham radio operators probably don't
believe in the
> > concept of "parallel universes" however, physicist are able to
prove through

> > an experiment that parallel universe do exist. The Second mean
wasn't really
> > "time travel" but more of a way that the human bran could
receive brainwave
> > signals from your parallel self. This is done by means of a machine
that can
> > change you "quanta" to their "quanta" this of course is
done artificially
> > via computers. Amateur's can grasp the idea that communication
15, 20 or
> > even 30 years in the future may be a hell of lot different and
tachyons
> > communication may be used in the future (even for long distance
space
> > communications). But hey, the only thing amateur radio operator
know is to
> > key down a microphone of an analog system.
>
> You would think if he's going to create a web site and write about
> technical subjects and attack others intellect, that he would at
least
> proof read what he is posting. Just what is a human bran, is that
like
> raisin bran?
> If you want to see what kind of nutz believe this crap, look here.
>

that was just a frist draft, the blog site I'm on allows me to reedit
my post at anyime.

>
> http://www.csicop.org/sb/9409/reality.html
> http://skepdic.com/takionic.html
>
> NASA says "So far any attempt to observe a tachyon has come up dry,
and
> most physicists have abandoned the idea that tachyons might be real."
> http://www.nasa.gov/centers/glenn/research/warp/warpfaq.html#tach
>
> But this is probably just a government cover-up!
>
Tachyon were orginally discovered in the 1960 by some japanese guy
who's name I can't remember. There are a number of physics who are
working to create takyons particles. But I think your missing the
point, just because we can't product takyons now don't mean we can't in
30 or even 50 years.

Todd N9OGL

> > "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O
Lord,

> > make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> > - Voltaire
> > "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
> > news:2ZG4e.1655$yq6.146@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > : Posted by Todd Daugherty at http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com
> > :
> > : > 8:27 PM
> > :
> > : > Tuesday, March 22, 2005
> > :
> > : > A ELF TRANSMITTER
> > :
> > : > I have built a ELF audio to pulse transmitter, the transmitter
> > : > operates on a frequency of 1 to 30 Hz. A person talks
> > : > through the transmitter which is converted into pulses.
> > : > The pulse rate can be regulated by a variable resistor.
> > : > The pulse then go's to a oscillator which is variable and
> > : > can operate on a 1 cycle to 30 cycles.
> > :
> > : First I thought it must be an April Fool posting, but it was over
a week
> > : early.
> > :
> > : Then I read some of the other nonsense on that blog, and was
overwhelmed
> > by
> > : the over-reaching lack of technical acumen of this guy.
> > :
> > : Go there and see for yourself. I warn you, you'll laugh till you
cry!
> > :
> > : M.A.N.
> > : --
> > : "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O
Lord,
> > : make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> > : - Voltaire
> > :
> > :
> >
> >

3741. Cook Isles on 30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 04:46:07 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <lQJ4e.1065$0P.804@fe09.lga>
Source record: 105407

Rick, AI5P is operating as ZK1APX 10015.9 kHz from the Cook Isles. GL es...

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

P.S. You bet I bagged him! ;-)

3742. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 05:34:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112765652.255578.3220@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105408

you forgot one

http://physics.gmu.edu/~e-physics/bob/tachyons.htm

3743. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 05:52:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112766727.550360.138510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105409

N9OGL wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
> > Mel A. Nomah wrote:

I guess "Mel A. Nomah" finally caught on to what I've been saying
all along...?!?!

SNIP TO...

> > You would think if he's going to create a web site and write
about
> > technical subjects and attack others intellect, that he would at
> least
> > proof read what he is posting. Just what is a human bran, is that
> like
> > raisin bran?
> > If you want to see what kind of nutz believe this crap, look
here.
> >
>
> that was just a frist draft, the blog site I'm on allows me to reedit
> my post at anyime.

"frist draft"...?!?!

You got the Senior State Senator from Tennessee to do your work
for you...?!?!

> > http://www.csicop.org/sb/9409/reality.html
> > http://skepdic.com/takionic.html
> >
> > NASA says "So far any attempt to observe a tachyon has come up dry,
> and
> > most physicists have abandoned the idea that tachyons might be
real."
> > http://www.nasa.gov/centers/glenn/research/warp/warpfaq.html#tach
> >
> > But this is probably just a government cover-up!
> >
> Tachyon were orginally discovered in the 1960 by some japanese guy
> who's name I can't remember. There are a number of physics who are
> working to create takyons particles. But I think your missing the
> point, just because we can't product takyons now don't mean we can't
in
> 30 or even 50 years.

The "cover up" is Todd Daugherty trying to pass himself off as an educated or enlightened scholar.

What he is is a post-pubescent comic book reader who is still hoping for E.T. to drop some M&M peanuts in his back yard and show him the way to his spaceship.

Sheeeeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

3744. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 06:04:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112767474.544800.167000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105410

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> The original intent of this newsgroup was to discuss
> amateur radio policy.

Whooooooooa! There's a major rhetoric change on the part of Lennie
the Lame!

Up until recently this forum been solely about the "Morse Code Test
Debate"...Or so he's claimed...

Finally get tired of getting your head bashed in over and over
with FACTS to the contrary, Oh Spiteful One...?!?!

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

3745. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 06:40:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112769606.893221.210660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105411

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a mirror
up
> > to
> > > > them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.
> > >
> > > You misunderstand. A mirror is being held up for you.
> > >
> > You're in denial, Brian...No problem...WE see it...
> >
> Who? You and you anonymous "attacker?"
>
> > > > (1) I am not a victim
> > >
> > > "(1.a.) I REFUSE to be a victim." Hi, hi!
> > >
> > I imagine you hear a lot of laughter, Brian....
> >
> That's how hams laugh.

Strange...When I laugh it sounds more like "hahaha".

> and not all of it
> > from kids playing in the yard or the "laugh track" on your favorite
> > sitcom.
> >
> I'm not big on sitcoms. You provide enough comic relief for me.

The sad part, Brian, is that the laugh's on you. You just can't
or won't see it.

> > There's no lying involved, Brian, other than your attempt to
> > redirect the specific comments being made.
> >
> > Nice try. Very transparent.
> >
> No dodge, plymouth, nor chrysler. You lied.

Cute. Still doesn't change anything. And no, I did not lie.

> > > Now you say that other than me, Len, and that mystery e-mailer...
> > >

> > > But I don't see Len posting on this subject. So are you making
yet
> > > another lie?
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Show it.

It's in this thread chain, Brian. Help yourself.

> > You try to redirect, and I refuse to allow you. No problem.
>
> Big problem(s). You lied. You lied four times. You lied about your
> inuendo...(SNIP)

There was no "innuendo". There was YOU trying to make something
out of something else said.

> (UNSNIP)...you lied when you characterized the anon e-mailer as and
> "attacker,"...(SNIP)

I didn't say he made an attack, Brian. I said he made a threat to
forward my other comments to the family of the young lady whose picture
we were discussing. The word "attack" was the other correspondants,
not mine.

> (UNSNIP)...you lied about all of it not being a problem for anyone
> except your anonymous "attacker," and then you lied about Len's
> involvement in this thread.

I didn't say Lennie was involved in the thread. Re-read what was
written originally, Brian!

Seems you and the anonymous lying coward have the same reading and
comprehension deficits, Brian.

> I wonder how many lies you'll tell tomorrow?

None...So far, you've not shown where I lied at all, Brian.

> > > > > You would have to matter for that to happen,and you just
don't
> > > > matter.
> > > >
> > > > Obviously not true, since responding to posts I make
> accounts
> > > for
> > > > over 85% of YOUR posts.
> > >
> > > What's your track record?
> >
> > Oh, I make no "warranty" about what and how I post.
>
> You couldn't honor the warranty when it comes due.

There being none, there is none to honor.

> I quite
> > readily acknowledge that I am quite ready to stand toe-to-toe with
> > those who are obviously lying, misrepresenting Amateur Radio, or
> > inisist on acting foolishly in public.
>
> Toe to toe?

Yep.

> Welp, you got called on your inuendo, you got called on
characterizing
> the anon e-mailer as an "attacker," you got called on your lying, and
> now the mirror is being help up for you to see yourself as the liar
> that you are.

I didn't make any innuendo. You injected your own opinion.

I didn't call the e mailer an "attacker".

Here are all of my comments from March 31st, the date of my
original post. For brevity, I have snipped everyone else's comments,
however you can easily cross-check the other thread to see that I have
not "edited" my own words, even for typos:

QUOTE:

Hello Everyone,

In the thread about " Selling 52 Simplex Radios", the following
comments were made by Mike Coslo, "Rabbi Phil" and myself:

QUOTE:
Michael Coslo wrote:
> Rabbi Phil wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:

(SNIPPAGE HERE)

Hey Mike...It's not THAT far fetched...Burghardt in NY has had that

young lady in the tight fitting sweater for years...Musta beem selling
SOMEthing!

UNQUOTE:

So...later this morning I received a private e-mail from one of
RRAP'S regular posters.

I have snipped the person's name, call sign and e mail headers
since the letter was sent in private e-mail. However the e-mail made
some very damning comments and a not-so-subtle threat.

QUOTE:

In rrap Steve Robeson wrote:

> Burghardt in NY has had that
> young lady in the tight fitting sweater
> for years...Musta beem selling SOMEthing!
> 73
> Steve, K4YZ

Burghardt Amateur Center is not in NY. It's a family business which was established by Stan Burghardt, W0IT (SK) 68 years ago in a small South Dakota town.

A few years ago one of Stan's long-time employees, Jim Smith W0MJY, purchased the business from Stan and became the second owner. It remains a family owned business, and the lady you mention is Jim's daughter, Michele, KC0MYV, a wholesome midwesterner who is very active in running the "business side" of the store. Often she is pictured standing alongside her dad in their QST ads, never in my knowledge in a

provocative sweater.

The sleazy nudge-nudge insinuation in your "Musta beem seeling SOMEthing!" comment is one of the lowest forms of attack I've ever seen

on rrap. I'll expect to see a sincere unconditional apology on rrap by

the end of today, or I'll consider forwarding your trashy comments to Michele and Jim.

UNQUOTE

Here's my response to this "letter", made in a very public forum so

that the correspondant can't make any other assinine insinuations or out-of-context editorializing:

(1) I stand corrected on the South Dakota -vs- New York. My bust.

(2) I am sure the young lady is very good at what she does, and is every bit the "wholesome" person you report. I NEVER said anything to the contrary. You are welcome to cite the part of the post wherein I said differently, but you and I both know it's not there.

(3) The young lady's character and wholesomeness notwithstanding,

THEY obviously had NO PROBLEM in posing her in a tight sweater, profile, in order to attract some male attention to thier ads. "Rabbi Phil" commented about someone else "selling radios" with pictures of pretty women. I pointed out that another Amateur dealer had been doing

the same thing, and obviously it worked. Pictures of pretty women sell

everything from soft drinks to firearms to high speed automobiles. Amateur Radio is no different. No problem.

Now, Dear Anonymous Writer (anonymous HERE...since you and I both know who you are)...You can go RIGHT AHEAD and forward ANYthing you

like to ANY one you like. Tell them about "how trashy" the item was,
if you want, but it IS archived right ehre in Google.

You took offense...Too bad for you. You know as well as I do that

people can "take offense" at the most innocent of comments
all-the-while letting morbid profanity and bold threats roll off thier
backs. This is one of those moments.

Have a nice day.

Steve, K4YZ

UNQUOTE

OK, Brain...YOU show US wherein I called the anonymous
correspondant an "attacker".

Matter of fact, go back to the original thread and go through any
of the posts I made and find one where I called the correspondant an
"attacker".

> > Thankfully that sub-group of ner-do-wells is fairly narrow.
> You.
> > Lennie. Todd. Mark Morgan. The fairly few number of anonymous
> > cowards who haven't got the guts or strength of conviction to stand
> > behind thier already lame game.
>
> "Thier" lame game?
>
> Look, you're the one playing off-duty COP on rrap.

What "cop"...?!?! The only thing I have "arrested" is the
promulgation of further lies, mistruths and assinine assertions by you,
Lennie and Todd. So far, while not 100% effective, it's worked.

> You've shot off
> your mouth once too often and someone is now trying to get back at
you
> with vile comments about your daughter.

They are vile and speak to the character of the "author". He'll
get his eventually.

And you never acknowledge my thanks to you.

> You shot off your mouth again
> about a young woman in an amateur radio advertisement, and some
> anonymous rrapper called you on it.

There was no "shooting" off of anyone's mouth. There was a
comment about how effective the presence of a pretty woman in an
Amateur Radio advertisment helps to sell Amateur Radio equipment.

Unless you know something I don't know about it, "sex" is a
multi-billion dollar selling tool. I can't drive the few minutes to
work without passing a half dozen biilborads that have beautiful women
and handsome men hawking some goods on it...

> You stand alone. No one supports your latest gaffe.

So far, Mike Coslo made a statement that very celarly said that
the statement could be read as such. He was correct.

And so far, neither you, any of the anonymous lying cowards, the
original correspondant or Lennie have disproven otherwise.

> > > > >You simply have an emotional defect and you cannot help
> yourself.
> > > >
> > > > What emotional defect, Brian?
> > >
> > > The one you exhibit daily on rrap.
> >
> > You've not yet defined or identified any "emotional defect",
> > Brian. That comes from a lack of understanding of the words you're
> > using and from a lack of training/education in any healthcare
related
> > discipline from which to draw the requisite knowledge from.
> >
> > Try again.
>
> Nope. I don't have to be a psychiatrist to recognize a nutcase.

But it would help. So far you've made countless accusations of
mental defect, but have yet to prove a one.

On the OTHER hand, YOU have made COUNTLESS assertions and
misrepresentations that you can't or won't substantiate, your LATEST
being the one that ARES can't/won't respond to any "major" emergency
due to alleged age, infirmity or previous obligations of the members.

Someone here HAS some issues to deal with Brian...But it's not me.

Now...THIS post asks you to explain several of YOUR "gaffes", and
provides SPECIFIC quotes that DISPROVE your silly allegations.

So how are you going to climb out from under THIS one, Brian?
Disappear for another couple weeks and hope we forget about YOUR lying?

Steve, K4YZ

3746. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 06:48:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112770120.778299.26120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105412

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > There are several people in here with whom I have disagreed
> and/or
> > carried on length discussions at opposite ends of the verbal
see-saw.
>
> Prove it.

Ask Jim, N2EY Ask James Hampton, AA2QA or Kim, W5TIT.

> > Only you, Todd, Lennie, and a couple others have huffed up the
> > tone.
>
> Your singularly distinctive acheivement on RRAP has been "huffing up
> the tone." In the past few days you've told at least four new lies.

You keep saying I've told these lies, yet you've not shown where
I've lied.

> One lie on top of another just so that you don't have to accept
> responsibility for shooting off your mouth about a YL in an amateur
> advertisement. I can only wonder what new lie you'll tell tomorrow
to
> CYA.

"CYA"...Very appropriate.

YOU made your own "innuendo" that Phil, K2ASP was somehow being
obligated to pull "two shifts" during an exercise due to a lack of
Amateur volunteers, an assertion you've been asked repeatedly this past
week to substantiate.

I proved with some simple elementary school math that there were
plenty of opportunities to pull "two shifts" in the 96 hour period that
TOPOFF-3 will run.

Your "response" was the above drivvel and dodge. A "non-answer"
answer.

Try again, Brian...

Steve, K4YZ

3747. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 06:52:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112770343.908133.201770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105413

bb wrote:
> Caveat Lector wrote:
> > >> Caveat Lector wrote:
> > >
> > >> > But summer is sporadic E season for 6M-- so the best time
would
> be
> > >> May June
> > >> > July
> > >> > Will need double hop for KY
> > >> > Maybe single hop for AR.
> > >> >
> > >> > CL
> > >>
> > Someone wrote:
> > >> I did some work on 6 and 2 sib when there were lots of active
> Techs,
> > >> but it seems a lot slower now that they've been chased away.
> > >
> > Hmmm last June on 6M, we had several double hop sporadic E openings
> and the
> > band was filled with signals from 50.125 to 50.300 with SSB signals
> -- lots
> > of CW below 50.125 as well. This was not contest time and virtually
> the
> > whole US was coming in.
> >
> > On field day we had single hop to the North West -- made 50+
> contacts.
> >
> > CL (Sporadically)
>
> Superb!

Hey Brian...Where's your substantiation to your "Tech's being
chased away" claim...?!?!

Shall we just add that to your growing list of "Stupid Things
Brian P Burke Has Said On RRAP But Can't Prove" list...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3748. Re: NO SUBJECT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 07:21:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112772086.935669.11580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105414

N9OGL wrote:
> Date: Tue, 5 Apr 2005 16:24:01 -0500
> From: robert.koester@consolidated.com Add To Address Book | This is
> Spam
> Subject: Fw: TOS-Abuse Report, Request for Assistance
> To: toddaug@consolidated.net
> Mr. Daughtery,
>
> We have recieved the following complaint regarding your
> online
> activity which includes a link to a picture currently hosted on a
> computer
> attached to our network. Such activity is a violation of your terms
> of
> service for Consolidated Communications Internet services. I ask
that
> you
> cease and desist immediately or your connection to the Internet
through
> Consolidated Communications will be irrevocably terminated.

Rest Snipped.

I wonder if Todd sent Mr Koester a reply calling him an
"###licking moron" or other such endearing term as he has called
us...???

I wonder if Todd is really getting the message...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3749. Re: NO SUBJECT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 07:39:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112773157.578339.200830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105415

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Date: Tue, 5 Apr 2005 16:24:01 -0500
> > From: robert.koester@consolidated.com Add To Address Book | This is
> > Spam
> > Subject: Fw: TOS-Abuse Report, Request for Assistance
> > To: toddaug@consolidated.net
> > Mr. Daughtery,
> >
> > We have recieved the following complaint regarding your
> > online
> > activity which includes a link to a picture currently hosted on a
> > computer
> > attached to our network. Such activity is a violation of your
terms
> > of
> > service for Consolidated Communications Internet services. I ask
> that
> > you
> > cease and desist immediately or your connection to the Internet
> through
> > Consolidated Communications will be irrevocably terminated.
>
> Rest Snipped.
>
> I wonder if Todd sent Mr Koester a reply calling him an
> "###licking moron" or other such endearing term as he has called
> us...???
>
> I wonder if Todd is really getting the message...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

No Steve, but it does show what an asshole you really are. BTW, this part here I didn't really understand "promote wanton (warrent) violation of federal regulations and to make threats against other citizens." First off I am not "promoting warrent violations of federal law, (i'm running a Legal Information bulletin) and as for threats all I've said is to go for it, and be prepared for any legal action. But you can be happy now your whinning like a little bitch to my service provider has ONLY force me to remove that picture, and proven what a total asshole you really are!

Todd N9OGL

3750. Re: NO SUBJECT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 08:22:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112775738.091270.162010@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105416

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > Date: Tue, 5 Apr 2005 16:24:01 -0500
> > > From: robert.koester@consolidated.com Add To Address Book | This
is
> > > Spam
> > > Subject: Fw: TOS-Abuse Report, Request for Assistance
> > > To: toddaug@consolidated.net
> > > Mr. Daughtery,
> > >
> > > We have recieved the following complaint regarding your
> > > online
> > > activity which includes a link to a picture currently hosted on a
> > > computer
> > > attached to our network. Such activity is a violation of your
> terms
> > > of
> > > service for Consolidated Communications Internet services. I ask
> > that
> > > you
> > > cease and desist immediately or your connection to the Internet
> > through
> > > Consolidated Communications will be irrevocably terminated.
> >
> > Rest Snipped.
> >
> > I wonder if Todd sent Mr Koester a reply calling him an
> > "###licking moron" or other such endearing term as he has called
> > us...???
> >
> > I wonder if Todd is really getting the message...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> No Steve, but it does show what an ###hole you really are.

And other than to allow you to vent steam, what purpose did that
picture serve?

> BTW, this
> part here I didn't really understand "promote wanton (warrent)
> violation of federal regulations and to make threats against other
> citizens." First off I am not "promoting warrent violations of
federal

> law,

The term >>IS<< "wanton", Todd.

And yes you are. Your BLOG comments about shoving razor blades
into our rectums IS a threat. And you have repeatedly stated that you
have operated a radio and TV station in contravention of federal law,
ergo you are condoning violation of federal law.

> (i'm running a Legal Information bulletin) and as for threats all
> I've said is to go for it, and be prepared for any legal action.

After being presented with YOUR profanities, misrepresentations,
deceit and misues of your ISP, just WHAT do you think your lawyer would
recommend to you, Todd?

> But
> you can be happy now your whinning like a little bitch to my service
> provider has ONLY force me to remove that picture, and proven what a
> total ###hole you really are!

If you think that I am going to stand still while you take
liberties such as your "picture" of me, you are sadly mistaken.

Would you be any less likely to react if I pasted your face on the
south end of a beast of burden and represented it to be "you"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3751. Re: NO SUBJECT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 08:46:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112777197.785642.103700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105417

>And yes you are. Your BLOG comments about shoving razor blades
>into our rectums IS a threat

I think you better read again, it was written as a suggestion, not a
threat.

> Would you be any less likely to react if I pasted your face on the
>south end of a beast of burden and represented it to be "you"...?!?!

no, I could careless and There is difference between a big breasted
women and a animal. but hey, if it's done right I would get a laugh
out of it...I do have a warp sense of humor.

Todd n9ogl

3752. Re: NO SUBJECT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 09:04:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112778242.278870.217030@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105418

N9OGL wrote:
> >And yes you are. Your BLOG comments about shoving razor blades
> >into our rectums IS a threat
>
> I think you better read again, it was written as a suggestion, not a
> threat.

And I think you'd better ask a lawyer about where the line is
drawn, Todd.

"Abuse", as defined in current case law, need not be what you said
or how you said it, but in the perceived message of the recipient of
the alleged abuse.

> > Would you be any less likely to react if I pasted your face on the
> >south end of a beast of burden and represented it to be "you"...?!?!
>
> no, I could careless and There is difference between a big breasted
> women and a animal. but hey, if it's done right I would get a laugh
> out of it...I do have a warp sense of humor.

Oh, you're warped, alright, Todd...But it has little to do with
humor...As a matter of fact, I don't think there are too many folks
here who find a whole lot funny about you...And those that do are
laughing AT you...Not WITH you...

Steve, K4YZ

3753. Re: NO SUBJECT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 09:41:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112780501.850897.304540@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105419

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >And yes you are. Your BLOG comments about shoving razor blades
> > >into our rectums IS a threat
> >
> > I think you better read again, it was written as a suggestion, not
a
> > threat.
>
> And I think you'd better ask a lawyer about where the line is
> drawn, Todd.
>
> "Abuse", as defined in current case law, need not be what you
said
> or how you said it, but in the perceived message of the recipient of
> the alleged abuse.
>
The only problem wih that is, it wasn't directed at you, it was
directed to a group of people.

> > > Would you be any less likely to react if I pasted your face on
the
> > >south end of a beast of burden and represented it to be
"you"...?!?!
> >
> > no, I could careless and There is difference between a big breasted
> > women and a animal. but hey, if it's done right I would get a
laugh
> > out of it...I do have a warp sense of humor.
>
> Oh, you're warped, alright, Todd...But it has little to do with
> humor...As a matter of fact, I don't think there are too many folks
> here who find a whole lot funny about you...And those that do are
> laughing AT you...Not WITH you...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3754. Re: NO SUBJECT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 11:29:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112786942.337260.119000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105420

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >And yes you are. Your BLOG comments about shoving razor blades
> > > >into our rectums IS a threat
> > >
> > > I think you better read again, it was written as a suggestion,
not
> a
> > > threat.
> >
> > And I think you'd better ask a lawyer about where the line is
> > drawn, Todd.
> >
> > "Abuse", as defined in current case law, need not be what you
> said
> > or how you said it, but in the perceived message of the recipient
of
> > the alleged abuse.
> >
> The only problem wih that is, it wasn't directed at you, it was
> directed to a group of people.

Yes, Todd...the item WAS directed at me...I am a participant in
this forum and I am a licensed Amateur Radio Operator, ergo it WAS
directed at me.

Only the lame and the cowardly would try to claim otherwise.

So which are you?

Steve, K4YZ

3755. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 12:02:19 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <fd1faee1ed4aa6597163a3025c8a2c7e@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 105422

In article <d2rieeion0@pita.alt.net>
"anon" <a...@wogertwotestboy.org> wrote:
>
> The space

In your empty malformed hollow skull is telling you to
sockpuppett, eh, Lardass Lllllllllllllllllllllllllllloyd?

3756. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 12:03:06 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <IfWdnf0_CbZuUs7fRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105421

N9OGL wrote:
> you forgot one
>
> http://physics.gmu.edu/~e-physics/bob/tachyons.htm
>
I didn't forget anything, there are more sites that put tachyons in a
class with witchcraft and the occult or the supernatural!

As far as a first draft, lets help you a little, remember as I said that
I'm uneducated.

"I've deiced to write out some of my old idea" should read
decided deiced is something done to aircraft wings.
Also if these are some of your ideas then they are ideas not an idea.

"The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and theories is
due to a group of people in are societies who have little or no
intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators."

If this is a first draft, how can this be a revamping of your old idea?
Or should that be ideas as in more then 1 idea?

"are societies"
Did you possibly mean OUR society?

"little or no intelligent"
Again, do you mean intelligence?

"these people are called Amateur Radio operators"
Are you not one of these yourself?

This is just a start Todd, non of these are inventions, they are ideas
or dreams and the page just shows you lack of intelligence or as you
would say " lack of intelligent"

I see your ISP is yet another group that won't let you pratice free
speech. I can't beleive that you backed down so fast and took that
picture off of the page, what happened to your right to free speech?
Why did't you tell Robert Koester off? Cuss him out!

Well Todd, better luck on you second draft!

3757. Re: Cook Isles on 30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 12:21:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1112790070.988055.229570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105423

Bert Craig wrote:
> Rick, AI5P is operating as ZK1APX 10015.9 kHz from the Cook Isles. GL
es.

South Cooks or Palmerston & the North Cooks?? He oughta be an E5 for
either . . ?

..
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782
>
> P.S. You bet I bagged him! ;-)

30M is a beautiful place. Keep sniping.

w3rv

3758. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 12:38:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112791081.136721.195340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105424

N9OGL wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:

> > If you want to see what kind of nutz believe this crap, look
here.
> >
>
> that was just a frist draft, the blog site I'm on allows me to reedit
> my post at anyime.

You need to re-edit the entire site. You make idiotic assertions,
poorly veiled threats, and generally make a complete and total fool of
yourself.

> > http://www.csicop.org/sb/9409/reality.html
> > http://skepdic.com/takionic.html
> >
> > NASA says "So far any attempt to observe a tachyon has come up dry,
> and
> > most physicists have abandoned the idea that tachyons might be
real."
> > http://www.nasa.gov/centers/glenn/research/warp/warpfaq.html#tach
> >
> > But this is probably just a government cover-up!
> >
> Tachyon were orginally discovered in the 1960 by some japanese guy
> who's name I can't remember.

Tachyon-san.

> There are a number of physics who are
> working to create takyons particles. But I think your missing the
> point, just because we can't product takyons now don't mean we can't
in
> 30 or even 50 years.

There's more of that recently minted college education at work.

"physicists"

"produce"

"tachyon"

Only God can create "particles". Man can create compounds, and
even break other compounds back down to their basic particles.

And in 30 to 50 years from now they will be using your posts as what NOT to do in public speaking and writing...if they can stop laughing long enough.

Steve, K4YZ

3759. Re: Cook Isles on 30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 12:45:36 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <RRQ4e.1538$qn4.1032@fe09.lga>
Source record: 105425

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1112790070.988055.229570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
>> Rick, AI5P is operating as ZK1APX 10015.9 kHz from the Cook Isles. GL
> es.
>
> South Cooks or Palmerston & the North Cooks?? He oughta be an E5 for
> either . . ?

South Cook Isles, see:

http://www.ng3k.com/Misc/adxo.html

>> P.S. You bet I bagged him! ;-)
>
> 30M is a beautiful place. Keep sniping.

I wish I'd known how much fun this was earlier. Also nabbed 7X4AN from
Algeria on 20.

> w3rv
>

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

3760. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 14:08:03 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <UeOdnWRwt6mjcM7fRVn-jw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105426

> "these people are called Amateur Radio operators"
> Are you not one of these yourself?

Or should that read "Our you knot one of these yourself?"

I know I make many typos and mistakes, but not on a web site that tells everybody how intelligent I am! Or as you would say "how intelligence I'm"

3761. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 14:22:59 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>
Source record: 105428

In article <1112621433.353861.93090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> Perhaps...But you're still an anonymous coward.

Nursie, you are a whiny little fat bitch.

> And you're still an anonymous coward.

Waddle away, Nursie.

> Nope. Right here and now. You're still an anonymous
coward.

Oh, Nursie, you're a regular Hemingway with your backpedaling
whines.
BTW, Nursie want a cracker?

> I know because I have an above average command of the
written
> English language. That I make typos like everyone else is
obvious.
> That you are still an anonymous coward is too.

No, Nursie, you make spelling errors because you get your
panties in a wad. That, and the fact you are one dumb ass.

> Regardless of how it's expressed, it's still true.

Bleat, Nursie, bleat.

> You're calling yourself an "English-impared jackass"...?!?!

No Nursie, that would be you.

> That's bizzare.

So is your fetish for gay pornogrpahy, Nursie.

> What's to prove?

You prove you are an idiot, Nursie.

> You claim I'm "obese", "flabby" and work with a "bunch of
heifers".

And????? Your picture shows that, Nursie.

> None of those are true. You've not even taken a GUESS at
which

> facility I work at and there are only six possible ER's within
an
> hour's drive of my home.

Sure there are, Nursie, sure there are.

> Make the claim all you care to, Anonymous Coward...You
can't
> provide one substantiating bit of relevent fact, therefore we
now know
> that you are an Anonymous Lying Coward.

You'd better learn when to use a word as plural, Nursie. The
fact is you still can't disprove I've never been to your
workplace.

> I'm nor angry.

Sure you're "not", Nursie. hahahahaha!!!!!

> I'm laughing at you.

Sure you are, Nursie. You still screwed up a simple word like
"not." You have your little panties in a wad. Well, maybe they
aren't so little, given your waist size.

> And it's "receeding", since you're so insistant about
typographic
> erros, Anonymous Lying Coward.

No, "Receding" is correct, you imbecile.

> As I said...Go ahead...Impress everyone here with your
> investigative skills...Tell everyone which facility I work
for, which
> shift, etc etc.

As I said, bleat, Nursie, bleat.

> Google.

Not good enough, Nursie. But I'm sure you have that written on
your performance evaluations at work, too.

> > > Ran your mouth off and got backed into a corner! Need
> > some facts
> > > to back up your broggadaccio and can't ante up!
> >
> > It's "braggadacio", ########. You look like a fool when you
get
> > it wrong.
>
> I refer to your own typos above.

Dodge, Nursie. You can't even spell "not."

> If you're gonna be the Typo God, Anonymous Lying Coward,
YOU have
> to be 100% correct 100% of the time.

You should follow your own advice, Nursie. Tell us how the
misspelling of "receding" is the correct spelling again.

> Boy, you really do have some serious issues, don't you?

Not at all, Nursie. Now go do what you do best, empty a bedpan.

> You're still alive, aren't you?

More than you, Nursie.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

3762. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 14:44:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112798656.880037.176850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105429

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > you forgot one
> >
> > http://physics.gmu.edu/~e-physics/bob/tachyons.htm
> >
> I didn't forget anything, there are more sites that put tachyons in a
> class with witchcraft and the occult or the supernatural!
>
> As far as a first draft, lets help you a little, remember as I said
that
> I'm uneducated.
>
> "I've deiced to write out some of my old idea" should read
> decided deiced is something done to aircraft wings.
> Also if these are some of your ideas then they are ideas not an idea.
>
> "The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and theories
is
> due to a group of people in are societies who have little or no
> intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators."
>
> If this is a first draft, how can this be a revamping of your old
idea?
> Or should that be ideas as in more then 1 idea?
>
> "are societies"
> Did you possibly mean OUR society?
>
> "little or no intelligent"
> Again, do you mean intelligence?
>
> "these people are called Amateur Radio operators"
> Are you not one of these yourself?
>
> This is just a start Todd, non of these are inventions, they are
ideas
> or dreams and the page just shows you lack of intelligence or as you
> would say " lack of intelligent"
>
> I see your ISP is yet another group that won't let you pratice free
> speech. I can't beleive that you backed down so fast and took that
> picture off of the page, what happened to your right to free speech?

> Why did't you tell Robert Koester off? Cuss him out!
>

Well I was going to get rid of it anyway, Now little stevey wants me to removve stuff from my blog. I will NOT remove anything from my blog!!!!

> Well Todd, better luck on you second draft!

3763. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 14:47:12 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <7858ce1e50ba5036e8e281b3c8c45d1b@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 105427

In article <425179BB.84722748@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> There is not in existence a single filth-laden posting or e-
mail
> generated by me. There are hundreds and hundreds of them
authored by
> you. Any interested person can look at those you sent before
resorting
> to hiding behind a number of anonymous remailers by searching
on
> "KC8JBO", "AB8MQ" or "Roger Wiseman".

Google has archived your posts, Nazi.
You do have filth posted.

> I don't see Roger Wiseman everywhere. I see Roger Wiseman in
several
> newsgroups, including this one.

But as usual, you are talking out of your ample ass. You see
Roger Wiseman everywhere. Too bad I'm still not Roger Wiseman.

> Since you see fit to give Steve a rough
> time over spelling, I'll have to ask you what "lonliness" is.

What is "cold read"???? (see below)

> Well now, it writes like Wiseman. It knows things only
Wiseman could
> know. It seems to have a familiarity with this area. Must be
Wiseman.

You really are mentally impaired, aren't you, Nazi?

> If you aren't Wiseman, how would you know? If you intend to
play
> "I'm-not-me", you should at least have the sense to clam up on
things
> which Roger would know but which you wouldn't.

Mainly, I can read this newsgroup and see for myself. Tell us
how you got all those "plum" assignments in the backwater
countries.

> It must be a difficult concept for you but I live where I
choose. I

> bought the place in which I live because it is where I wanted
to be.
> If I'd wanted to live in an RF trap, I'd live in Cameron or
Glen Dale.

Yeah, right, Cashew boy. Tell it to Nursie, he might be dumb
enough to believe you.

> >But you still have a Cameron maling address, don't you?
>
> Thinking of sending me a belated birthday card?

No, another year older and you are even more stupid then ever.
You still have a Cameron mailing address, dont' you. It's on
your FCC license which is easily looked up, isn't it?

> Sure I do, Roger-Not-Roger. You're up Glen Dale Creek without
a paddle.
> You're in an RF suck hole, surrounded by hills.

I am? Well, at least you are consistent in being wrong.

> I seek? I didn't ask you to write of sexual deviance. You
came up with
> it all by yourself. If someone write "FCC retest", you come
up with
> something about fathers having sex with their sons or
daughters.

Sounds like you are well familiar with it, judging from your
previous posts.

Nice sentence.... "If someone write "FCC retest" .... Don't you
mean "If someone "wrote" FCC retest" ??????

> What? You CAN see the rest of West Virginia from your house
along the
> creek?

Since my house isn't along a creek, nor is it close to West
Virginia, your statement makes you look even more foolish.

> I get it, Roger-Not-Roger. ;-) If you *were* Roger Wiseman,
you'd have
> received such a warning letter.

Finally a glimmer of hope. But you quickly disappoint.

> I get it, Un-Roger.

Doubtful.

> If you were Roger Wiseman, it'd be the amateur
> radio license that he has but which you don't have. ;-)

Finally, you got something through your granite like skull. But
as always, you'll manage to screw it up.

> > No, you are the internet poster boy for supression of free
> > speech, you fat Nazi fuck.
>
> Free speech meaning any bilious, foul phrase which enters your
head?

Yes, and Nazi fucks like you don't like it, do you, you've tried
to be the "moderator" for this group for some time now.

> What is "definately"?

What is "cold read"? (see below)

> I didn't ask if you cold read.

"cold" read? Are you auditioning for a play? You "couldn't" mean
"could", "could" you?

> They certainly "sent" me. I bid on the job. Do you have
issues with
> that?

Sure you did.

> Actually, it doesn't. You don't know the first thing about my
> qualifications. It does speak to my job assignments though.
It *was*
> one of my job assignments.

Uh-Huh. Yeah, right. They don't sent the "best and the
brightest" to such backwater holes.

> I know enough to know that you wrote of my plum jobs with only
an
> opening quote and no closed quote.

I know you asked if I "cold read" above.

> > This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing
services.
>
> In correct English, the above sentence means that you're
hiding.

Only in your narrow little pea brain.

Dave Heil, aspiring actor, yet English impaired said
In article <425179BB.84722748@earthlink.net>:
"I didn't ask if you cold read."

3764. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 15:50:01 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <01c438bbb0014b4ce5db1f6ba7213be6@dizum.com>
Source record: 105430

In article <42535D06.24ECB6BD@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> If you want to play question and answer, you'll need to do some
> answering, Roger.

Since my name isn't Roger, you can go pound sand.

> > > Nope, I saw right away that something was wrong. Why is it
> > that you can
> > > notice every typo in Steve's posts, but none on your own?
> >
> > Oh, do tell, "I didn't ask if you cold read" boy.
>
> Watch this

Yes, you screwed up, Cashew Boy.
And I'm still not "Rog."

> Ca ...(whine, whine, whine)...clue

You couldn't get a clue during the clue mating season in a field
full
of horny clues if you smeared your body with clue musk and did
the
clue mating dance.

> You have to be a complete feeb to believe that no one sees
through your
> I'm-not-me routine.

And you have to be a complete jackass to think everybody is
Roger Wiseman.

> What? I guess you really told me, Un-Roger.

Yes, you must revel in looking foolish.

> No, I'm just typing, sharp guy.

No, you are talking out of your ass, dullard.

> Of Roger?

Yep. You seem to have an obsession on him.

> You seem to

You seem to have all kinds of excuses. Not surprising.

> That doesn't answer the question I posed to you, Un-Roger.

If Roger Wiseman lives in such an RF "hole" as you claim, how could he have "interfered" with anybody, dumbass?

> Why sure "somebody" said it.

Yes, YOU did, you malodorous twat.

> "Readingf" indeed.

Ha..."I didn't ask if you cold read," indeed.

> That the Commission can have a licensee retest at any time does not mean
> that the retest is done for no reason whatever, Roger.

Then why doesn't Wiseman's "retest" letter state a reason?

> I haven't been "puting" at all.

No, you've been backpedaling.

> Remember that you've acquiesced.

Remember, you are talking out of your ass.

> You may do that if you like.

Why would I? Wiseman's "retest" letter has been posted to Google before. It has no reason stated in the letter for the retest. It must not be much of a "punishment", since he passed it and got the Extra class license.

> (I (More unsubastantiated blather)

> > > You see, Roger is never heard
> > > on the air in a QSO. There's never a, "Hello, Jack. I've
> > been busy in
> > > the garden" from AB8MQ. Roger lurks and hides, just like
he
> > does here.
> >
> > Oh, so you are saying you are obsessed with him, you keep
tabs
> > on him?
>
> How does one keep tabs on that which is never present?

See your statement above. You maintain he is never heard.

> Any of the information I posted is easily substantiated.
Knock yourself
> out.

Sure it is, sure it is.

> Denial that you're a liar? Hardly. Where's the information
from any
> FOIA request which states what you claim?

Yes, you are in denial.

> Yep. Uh-huh. It wasn't true.

More denial.

> > Yet you still keep tabs on his amateur radio qso's and so
forth.
>
> What amateur radio QSOs? I've just written that you/him are
never heard
> on any of the local repeaters or FM simplex channels. I've
never heard
> you check into a net, involved in a casual ragchew on 2m, 70cm
or any of
> the HF bands. I'm on the air a lot.

So you ARE stalking him. With the thousands of frequencies
available in the ham bands, wouldn't you think it would be
possible not to hear him?

> Gee, Roger,

There you go thinking everybody is Roger, again.

> that'd would have made it tough for me to obtain a security
> clearance and to pass the frequent DS background updates. It
looks like
> your claim is vapor.

More unsubstantiated bullshit.

> It's so easy: I made a mistake. You should try it sometime.

Pot. Kettle. Black.

> Let's (let's snip out you irrelevant bullshit, I want to hear
you whine about it being snipped out.)

Yawn.
Let's not, you are rather a boring fellow.

> Have you had time to formulate some answers? Would you like
to share
> them with us?

Have you had time to come up with something other than
unsubstantiated bullshit?

> What makes it difficult for you to relate to other human
beings on the
> planet?

You aren't classified as a "human being".

3765. Re: Cook Isles on 30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 15:56:57 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1112803017.500616.277510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105431

Bert Craig wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1112790070.988055.229570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Bert Craig wrote:
> >> Rick, AI5P is operating as ZK1APX 10015.9 kHz from the Cook Isles.
GL
> > es.
> >
> > South Cooks or Palmerston & the North Cooks?? He oughta be an E5
for
> > either . . ?
>
> South Cook Isles, see:

RR

>
> http://www.ng3k.com/Misc/adxo.html

There's some serious goodies in that list.

> >> P.S. You bet I bagged him! ;-)
> >
> > 30M is a beautiful place. Keep sniping.
>
> I wish I'd known how much fun this was earlier. Also nabbed 7X4AN
from
> Algeria on 20.

Slick. You're hooked. Enjoy your early days in the game because when
you eventually get up to 300 in the bag new ones come V-E-R-Y slowly.

> > w3rv
> >
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782

3766. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 15:58:43 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <F4B3ZKM538448.4991087963@anonymous>
Source record: 105432

In article
<1112693915.799092.159860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> Yes he did, and no I didn't.

You lyed in the previous post, then, you said nobody has passed
away since my daughter died.

> You need to go back and re-read what was written in the
part you
> SNIPPED in the previous response AND my resposne above.

"resposne" Are you mixing English and French, Nursie?

> (comprehension, anonymous lying coward...there's that
> comprehension issue of yours leaking out again!)

And your English impairment issue sticks out like your fat gut.

> And Dad didn't drink.

Mheh., not alcohol, but goat cum, that's a different story.

> Nope. Just giving the Anonymous Lying Coward a chance to
prove the
> accusations. Of course, the "proof" is not forthcoming.

Sort of like allthe "proof" you say you have, Nursie.

> So far, I've not dodged anything, least of all the weak,
> underhanded soft-ball slow pitches you've been trying to field!

But those kinds of pitches is only what you can handle, Nursie.

> Again with claims...Again with not a single bit of any
> evidence...Still an anonymous lying coward.

Sort of like you and all your "evidence, Nursie.

> What excuses? You've not proven an "excuse".

You prove it for me, Nursie.

> I didn't "produce defective kids that die too young".
The crop
> duster that laid cotton defoliant over our house by mistake
did,
> though.

Sure they did,Nursie. And did the aliens come by and visit on a
regular basis, too?

> Nast what that stuff can do to a woman in the first
trimester.

Try it in English, next time, Nursie.

> Typographic errors are not "comprehension" deficiencies,
anonymous
> lying coward.

More excuses, Nursie.

> You still have comprehension problems that are not being
> addressed. And you still have an ego and character deficiency
that
> prevents you from being a self-actualizing human being.

Drug out the old Psychology 101 textbooks, eh, Nursie? You
couldn't think up anything, could you?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

3767. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 16:19:33 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <6af7e3821d018662fb0d1c2bcc94e02b@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105433

In article
<1112693915.799092.159860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> Yes he did, and no I didn't.

You lyed in the previous post, then, you said nobody has passed
away since my daughter died.

> You need to go back and re-read what was written in the
part you
> SNIPPED in the previous response AND my resposne above.

"resposne" Are you mixing English and French, Nursie?

> (comprehension, anonymous lying coward...there's that
> comprehension issue of yours leaking out again!)

And your English impairment issue sticks out like your fat gut.

> And Dad didn't drink.

Mheh., not alcohol, but goat cum, that's a different story.

> Nope. Just giving the Anonymous Lying Coward a chance to
prove the
> accusations. Of course, the "proof" is not forthcoming.

Sort of like allthe "proof" you say you have, Nursie.

> So far, I've not dodged anything, least of all the weak,
> underhanded soft-ball slow pitches you've been trying to field!

But those kinds of pitches is only what you can handle, Nursie.

> Again with claims...Again with not a single bit of any
> evidence...Still an anonymous lying coward.

Sort of like you and all your "evidence, Nursie.

> What excuses? You've not proven an "excuse".

You prove it for me, Nursie.

> I didn't "produce defective kids that die too young".
The crop
> duster that laid cotton defoliant over our house by mistake
did,
> though.

Sure they did,Nursie. And did the aliens come by and visit on a regular basis, too?

> Nast what that stuff can do to a woman in the first trimester.

Try it in English, next time, Nursie.

> Typographic errors are not "comprehension" deficiencies, anonymous
> lying coward.

More excuses, Nursie.

> You still have comprehension problems that are not being
> addressed. And you still have an ego and character deficiency that
> prevents you from being a self-actualizing human being.

Drug out the old Psychology 101 textbooks, eh, Nursie? You couldn't think up something, could you?

3768. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 18:25:45 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <ELTB3X7F38448.8512152778@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 105434

In article <IfWdnf0_CbZuUs7fRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > you forgot one
> >
> > http://physics.gmu.edu/~e-physics/bob/tachyons.htm
> >
> I didn't forget anything, there are more sites that put
tachyons in a
> class with witchcraft and the occult or the supernatural!
>
> As far as a first draft, lets help you a little, remember as I
said that
> I'm uneducated.
>
> "I've deiced to write out some of my old idea" should read
> decided deiced is something done to aircraft wings.
> Also if these are some of your ideas then they are ideas not
an idea.
>
> "The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and
theories is
> due to a group of people in are societies who have little or no
> intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators."
>
> If this is a first draft, how can this be a revamping of your
old idea?
> Or should that be ideas as in more then 1 idea?
>
> "are societies"
> Did you possibly mean OUR society?
>
> "little or no intelligent"
> Again, do you mean intelligence?
>
> "these people are called Amateur Radio operators"
> Are you not one of these yourself?
>
> This is just a start Todd, non of these are inventions, they
are ideas
> or dreams and the page just shows you lack of intelligence or

as you
> would say " lack of intelligent"
>
> Well Todd, better luck on you second draft!
^^^

Well, Dimmy, better luck on "your" next attempt.

3769. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 18:31:55 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <IIWdnTfeydeOtsnfRVn-gg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105435

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:

> In article <IfWdnf0_CbZuUs7fRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
> KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>you forgot one
>>>
>>>http://physics.gmu.edu/~e-physics/bob/tachyons.htm
>>>
>>
>>I didn't forget anything, there are more sites that put
>
> tachyons in a
>
>>class with witchcraft and the occult or the supernatural!
>>
>>As far as a first draft, lets help you a little, remember as I
>
> said that
>
>>I'm uneducated.
>>
>>"I've deiced to write out some of my old idea" should read
>> decided deiced is something done to aircraft wings.
>>Also if these are some of your ideas then they are ideas not
>
> an idea.
>
>>"The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and
>
> theories is
>
>>due to a group of people in are societies who have little or no
>>intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators."
>>
>>If this is a first draft, how can this be a revamping of your
>
> old idea?
>
>>Or should that be ideas as in more then 1 idea?

>>
>>"are societies"
>>Did you possibly mean OUR society?
>>
>>"little or no intelligent"
>>Again, do you mean intelligence?
>>
>>"these people are called Amateur Radio operators"
>> Are you not one of these yourself?
>>
>>This is just a start Todd, non of these are inventions, they
>
> are ideas
>
>>or dreams and the page just shows you lack of intelligence or
>
> as you
>
>>would say " lack of intelligent"
>>
>>Well Todd, better luck on you second draft!
>
> ^^
>
> Well, Dimmy, better luck on "your" next attempt.

Thanks perv, but I'm not dimmy?

3770. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 19:33:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <425439A7.DE557A71@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105436

Nomen Nescio wrote:
>
> In article <42535D06.24ECB6BD@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > If you want to play question and answer, you'll need to do some
> > answering, Roger.
>
> Since my name isn't Roger, you can go pound sand.

Then you don't get to play question and answer any more, Un-Wiseman.

> > That doesn't answer the question I posed to you, Un-Roger.
>
> If Roger Wiseman lives in such an RF "hole" as you claim, how
> could he have "interfered" with anybody, dumbass?

I'll be happy to loan you a book on HF wave propagation. Just drive on
over...

> > That the Commission can have a licensee retest at any time
> does not mean
> > that the retest is done for no reason whatever, Roger.
>
> Then why doesn't Wiseman's "retest" letter state a reason?

Because it isn't necessary to do so, Un-Wiseman.

> > You may do that if you like.
>
> Why would I?

Because it was your suggestion, that's why.

> Wiseman's "retest" letter has been posted to Google
> before. It has no reason stated in the letter for the retest.

And you are the only person who seems to believe that the FCC retests
licensees for no reason whatever.

> > > > You see, Roger is never heard
> > > > on the air in a QSO. There's never a, "Hello, Jack. I've
> > > > been busy in
> > > > the garden" from AB8MQ. Roger lurks and hides, just like
> he
> > > > does here.
> > >

> > > Oh, so you are saying you are obsessed with him, you keep
> tabs
> > > on him?
> >
> > How does one keep tabs on that which is never present?
>
> See your statement above. You maintain he is never heard.

That's right, I do maintain that. One can't keep tabs on what isn't
there.

> > Any of the information I posted is easily substantiated.
> Knock yourself
> > out.
>
> Sure it is, sure it is.

Sure. It is.

> > Denial that you're a liar? Hardly. Where's the information
> from any
> > FOIA request which states what you claim?
>
> Yes, you are in denial.

Where's the statement?

> > > Yet you still keep tabs on his amateur radio qso's and so
> forth.
> >
> > What amateur radio QSOs? I've just written that you/him are
> never heard
> > on any of the local repeaters or FM simplex channels. I've
> never heard
> > you check into a net, involved in a casual ragchew on 2m, 70cm
> or any of
> > the HF bands. I'm on the air a lot.
>
> So you ARE stalking him.

Then I'm stalking Madonna, Senator Byrd and David Letterman too. They
aren't heard on the ham bands here either.

> With the thousands of frequencies
> available in the ham bands, wouldn't you think it would be
> possible not to hear him?

A local station not heard one time in a five year period? That'd be
highly unlikely. That'd be while I operate all HF bands from home and
in the car. That'd be while scanning all area 2m and 70cm FM repeaters.
You've not been heard a single time. But I know you *listen* to 2m as
you've posted material here which would be unknown to anyone except
someone overhearing a conversation on 2m FM locally.

> > Gee, Roger,
>
> There you go thinking everybody is Roger, again.

Everyone? Nope.

> > that'd would have made it tough for me to obtain a security
> > clearance and to pass the frequent DS background updates. It
> looks like
> > your claim is vapor.
>
> More unsubstantiated bullshit.

Easily substantiated, Roger. No one may be hired without a security background investigation. No one may retain his clearance without a periodic update to that background investigation.

> > Have you had time to formulate some answers? Would you like
> to share
> > them with us?

Have you?

> > What makes it difficult for you to relate to other human
> beings on the
> > planet?
>
> You aren't classified as a "human being".

In all of the Google archives of your postings, please produce just a single one which reveals you to be other than what I've stated.

Anyone can do a search on "KC8JBO", "AB8MQ" or "Roger Wiseman" and come up with hundreds and hundreds which prove my statement.

Dave K8MN

3771. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 20:15:42 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <UYydnaXcnu313snfRVn-tw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105437

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:

> In article <IfWdnf0_CbZuUs7fRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
> KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>you forgot one
>>>
>>>http://physics.gmu.edu/~e-physics/bob/tachyons.htm
>>>
>>
>>I didn't forget anything, there are more sites that put
>
> tachyons in a
>
>>class with witchcraft and the occult or the supernatural!
>>
>>As far as a first draft, lets help you a little, remember as I
>
> said that
>
>>I'm uneducated.
>>
>>"I've deiced to write out some of my old idea" should read
>> decided deiced is something done to aircraft wings.
>>Also if these are some of your ideas then they are ideas not
>
> an idea.
>
>>"The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and
>
> theories is
>
>>due to a group of people in are societies who have little or no
>>intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators."
>>
>>If this is a first draft, how can this be a revamping of your
>
> old idea?
>
>>Or should that be ideas as in more then 1 idea?

>>
>>"are societies"
>>Did you possibly mean OUR society?
>>
>>"little or no intelligent"
>>Again, do you mean intelligence?
>>
>>"these people are called Amateur Radio operators"
>> Are you not one of these yourself?
>>
>>This is just a start Todd, non of these are inventions, they
>
> are ideas
>
>>or dreams and the page just shows you lack of intelligence or
>
> as you
>
>>would say " lack of intelligent"
>>
>>Well Todd, better luck on you second draft!
>
> ^^
>
> Well, Dimmy, better luck on "your" next attempt.

Well, Pervert, you got me there, I dropped a letter. But, I'm not putting a web page up and calling everybody else unintelligent! Does Todd pay you to back him up, or do do idiots just attract each other?

3772. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 20:21:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112818878.757932.184570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105438

Anonymous wrote:
> In article
> <1112693915.799092.159860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

> > You still have comprehension problems that are not being
> > addressed. And you still have an ego and character deficiency
> that
> > prevents you from being a self-actualizing human being.

> Drug out the old Psychology 101 textbooks, eh, Nursie? You
> couldn't think up something, could you?

Seems to me I hit it right on the money regardless regardless of
where I "drug it out of"...At least I was able to read (AND comprehend)
what I was reading.

Steve, K4YZ

3773. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 20:26:03 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <cross.eyed.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 105439

On 5 Apr 2005 22:04:20 -0000, Secwet Woger wrote:
: In article <happy.days.are.here.again@houston-hunks.com>
: Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
: >
: > On 1 Apr 2005 14:28:21 -0800, Bathrooman <drle...@cox.net>
: wrote:
: > : What a scream
: >
: > There I was,
:
: Sitting on your fat ass in your filthy roach filled HUD
: apartment.......stuffing your fat pig like face, eh, tubby?

If you'd uncross your eyes, you'd realize that your delusions are
in part caused by the double images from which you suffer.

I don't live in Alabama, and my name isn't "Lloyd." Next guess?

==========
In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled
his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still
can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."

3774. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 20:39:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112819980.977555.228050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105440

Anonymous wrote:
> In article <1112621433.353861.93090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > Perhaps...But you're still an anonymous coward.
>
> Nursie, you are a whiny little fat #####.

And you're still an anonymous coward.

> > And you're still an anonymous coward.
>
> Waddle away, Nursie.

And you're still an anonymous coward.

> > Nope. Right here and now. You're still an anonymous
> coward.
>
> Oh, Nursie, you're a regular Hemingway with your backpedaling
> whines. BTW, Nursie want a cracker?

No thank you. You're still an anonymous coward.

> > I know because I have an above average command of the
> written
> > English language. That I make typos like everyone else is
> obvious.
> > That you are still an anonymous coward is too.
>
> No, Nursie, you make spelling errors because you get your
> panties in a wad. That, and the fact you are one dumb ###.

And you're still an anonymous coward.

> > Regardless of how it's expressed, it's still true.
>
> Bleat, Nursie, bleat.

Very poignant, anonymous coward

> > You're calling yourself an "English-impared jackass"...?!?!
>
> No Nursie, that would be you.

Noi, it wouldn't

> > That's bizzare.
>
> So is your fetish for gay pornogrpahy, Nursie.

I am sure you wished it were true...then I might find pictures of
you there? Do they have "Gay Anonymous Cowards Revealed" issues?

> > What's to prove?
>
> You prove you are an idiot, Nursie.

Perhaps. But you're still an anonymous coward.

> > You claim I'm "obese", "flabby" and work with a "bunch of
> heifers".
>
> And????? Your picture shows that, Nursie.

How is that?

> > None of those are true. You've not even taken a GUESS at
> which
> > facility I work at and there are only six possible ER's within
> an
> > hour's drive of my home.
>
> Sure there are, Nursie, sure there are.

Stick a pin in my QRZ address and draw a fifty mile radius of it.
Then look in a phone book for all the cites within that circle.
(Hint...You can exclude AL and GA since I don't have any out-of-state
nursing licenses at present)

> > Make the claim all you care to, Anonymous Coward...You
> can't
> > provide one substantiating bit of relevent fact, therefore we
> now know
> > that you are an Anonymous Lying Coward.
>
> You'd better learn when to use a word as plural, Nursie. The
> fact is you still can't disprove I've never been to your
> workplace.

You lack of ability to identify a single item of specific detail
is all the proof I need.
>
> > I'm nor angry.
>
> Sure you're "not", Nursie. hahahahaha!!!!!

Nope.

> > I'm laughing at you.
>
> Sure you are, Nursie. You still screwed up a simple word like

> "not." You have your little panties in a wad. Well, maybe they
> aren't so little, given your waist size.

Nope. Simple typo. "r" and "t" are side-by-side on the keyboard.
If that's the BEST you can do for a "gotya", thyen you're even lamer
than I gave you credit for!

> > And it's "receeding", since you're so insistant about
> typographic
> > erros, Anonymous Lying Coward.
>
> No, "Receding" is correct, you imbecile.

If you say so.

> > As I said...Go ahead...Impress everyone here with your
> > investigative skills...Tell everyone which facility I work
> for, which
> > shift, etc etc.
>
> As I said, bleat, Nursie, bleat.

And as I said, you are lying. You haven't got a clue as to where I
work.

> > Google.
>
> Not good enough, Nursie. But I'm sure you have that written on
> your performance evaluations at work, too.
>
> > > > Ran your mouth off and got backed into a corner! Need
> > > some facts
> > > > to back up your broggadaccio and can't ante up!
> > >
> > > It's "braggadacio", ########. You look like a fool when you
> get
> > > it wrong.
> >
> > I refer to your own typos above.
>
> Dodge, Nursie. You can't even spell "not."

Still a simple typing error.

That's still the "best" you can do...?!?!

> > If you're gonna be the Typo God, Anonymous Lying Coward,
> YOU have
> > to be 100% correct 100% of the time.
>
> You should follow your own advice, Nursie. Tell us how the
> misspelling of "receding" is the correct spelling again.
>

> > Boy, you really do have some serious issues, don't you?
>
> Not at all, Nursie. Now go do what you do best, empty a bedpan.
>
> > You're still alive, aren't you?
>
> More than you, Nursie.

Lying in public behind an anonymous screen name is "living"...?!?!

Hardly.

You're a lying anonymous coward. The only thing that makes you
THINK you are more "alive" than anyone is that you think you are
somehow in "control" since your immeidate screen name and identity are
anonymous.

Since you are able to keep that bit of information from public is
your ONLY "control" over your life. You're a loser with no where to go
and nothing to show for what you claim is your life.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

3775. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 21:20:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112822453.832987.37280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105441

KC8GXW wrote:
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>
> > In article <IfWdnf0_CbZuUs7fRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
> > KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>
> >>N9OGL wrote:
> >>
> >>>you forgot one
> >>>
> >>>http://physics.gmu.edu/~e-physics/bob/tachyons.htm
> >>>
> >>
> >>I didn't forget anything, there are more sites that put
> >
> > tachyons in a
> >
> >>class with witchcraft and the occult or the supernatural!
> >>
> >>As far as a first draft, lets help you a little, remember as I
> >
> > said that
> >
> >>I'm uneducated.
> >>
> >>"I've deiced to write out some of my old idea" should read
> >> decided deiced is something done to aircraft wings.
> >>Also if these are some of your ideas then they are ideas not
> >
> > an idea.
> >
> >>"The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and
> >
> > theories is
> >
> >>due to a group of people in are societies who have little or no
> >>intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators."
> >>
> >>If this is a first draft, how can this be a revamping of your
> >
> > old idea?
> >

> >>Or should that be ideas as in more then 1 idea?
> >>
> >>"are societies"
> >>Did you possibly mean OUR society?
> >>
> >>"little or no intelligent"
> >>Again, do you mean intelligence?
> >>
> >>"these people are called Amateur Radio operators"
> >> Are you not one of these yourself?
> >>
> >>This is just a start Todd, non of these are inventions, they
> >
> > are ideas
> >
> >>or dreams and the page just shows you lack of intelligence or
> >
> > as you
> >
> >>would say " lack of intelligent"
> >>
> >>Well Todd, better luck on you second draft!
> >
> > ^^
> >
> > Well, Dimmy, better luck on "your" next attempt.
>
> Well, Pervert, you got me there, I dropped a letter. But, I'm not
> putting a web page up and calling everybody else unintelligent! Does
> Todd pay you to back him up, or do do idiots just attract each other?

KC8GWX,

You don't have to go to my website, I'm not forcing you to read it or
what I'm writing here.

3776. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 22:43:53 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <9O2dnQ2unOe4-8nfRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105442

N9OGL wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
>
>>Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In article <IfWdnf0_CbZuUs7fRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
>>>KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>>N9OGL wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>you forgot one
>>>>>
>>>>>http://physics.gmu.edu/~e-physics/bob/tachyons.htm
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I didn't forget anything, there are more sites that put
>>>
>>>tachyons in a
>>>
>>>
>>>>class with witchcraft and the occult or the supernatural!
>>>>
>>>>As far as a first draft, lets help you a little, remember as I
>>>
>>>said that
>>>
>>>
>>>>I'm uneducated.
>>>>
>>>>"I've deiced to write out some of my old idea" should read
>>>> decided deiced is something done to aircraft wings.
>>>>Also if these are some of your ideas then they are ideas not
>>>
>>>an idea.
>>>
>>>
>>>>"The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and
>>>
>>>theories is
>>>

>>>
>>>>due to a group of people in are societies who have little or no
>>>>intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators."
>>>>
>>>>If this is a first draft, how can this be a revamping of your
>>>
>>>old idea?
>>>
>>>
>>>>Or should that be ideas as in more then 1 idea?
>>>>
>>>>"are societies"
>>>>Did you possibly mean OUR society?
>>>>
>>>>"little or no intelligent"
>>>>Again, do you mean intelligence?
>>>>
>>>>"these people are called Amateur Radio operators"
>>>> Are you not one of these yourself?
>>>>
>>>>This is just a start Todd, non of these are inventions, they
>>>
>>>are ideas
>>>
>>>
>>>>or dreams and the page just shows you lack of intelligence or
>>>
>>>as you
>>>
>>>
>>>>would say " lack of intelligent"
>>>>
>>>>Well Todd, better luck on you second draft!
>>>
>>>^^
>>>
>>>Well, Dimmy, better luck on "your" next attempt.
>>
>>Well, Pervert, you got me there, I dropped a letter. But, I'm not
>>putting a web page up and calling everybody else unintelligent! Does
>>Todd pay you to back him up, or do do idiots just attract each other?
>
>
> KC8GWX,
>
> You don't have to go to my website, I'm not forcing you to read it or
> what I'm writing here.

I'm not forcing you to read what I'm writing here. I was answering the
other idiot or maybe you're (your) confused? Are (our)(hour) you

confused too (to)(two)?

3777. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 23:09:20 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Kq2dnTOvlqqE8cnfRVn-3g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105443

KC8GXW wrote:

>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> KC8GXW wrote:
>>
>>> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> In article <IfWdnf0_CbZuUs7fRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
>>>> KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> N9OGL wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> you forgot one
>>>>>
>>>>> http://physics.gmu.edu/~e-physics/bob/tachyons.htm
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I didn't forget anything, there are more sites that put
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> tachyons in a
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> class with witchcraft and the occult or the supernatural!
>>>>
>>>> As far as a first draft, lets help you a little, remember as I
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> said that
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I'm uneducated.
>>>>
>>>> "I've deiced to write out some of my old idea" should read
>>>> decided deiced is something done to aircraft wings.
>>>> Also if these are some of your ideas then they are ideas not
>>>>

>>>>
>>>> an idea.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> "The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> theories is
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> due to a group of people in are societies who have little or no
>>>>> intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators."
>>>>>
>>>>> If this is a first draft, how can this be a revamping of your
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> old idea?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Or should that be ideas as in more then 1 idea?
>>>>>
>>>>> "are societies"
>>>>> Did you possibly mean OUR society?
>>>>>
>>>>> "little or no intelligent"
>>>>> Again, do you mean intelligence?
>>>>>
>>>>> "these people are called Amateur Radio operators"
>>>>> Are you not one of these yourself?
>>>>>
>>>>> This is just a start Todd, non of these are inventions, they
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> are ideas
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> or dreams and the page just shows you lack of intelligence or
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> as you
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> would say " lack of intelligent"
>>>>>
>>>>> Well Todd, better luck on you second draft!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> ^^
>>>>
>>>> Well, Dimmy, better luck on "your" next attempt.

>>>
>>>
>>> Well, Pervert, you got me there, I dropped a letter. But, I'm not
>>> putting a web page up and calling everybody else unintelligent! Does
>>> Todd pay you to back him up, or do do idiots just attract each other?

^^^^^
You missed it todd, I typed the same word twice, Darn am I ever stupid!

>> KC8GWX,
>>
>> You don't have to go to my website, I'm not forcing you to read it or
>> what I'm writing here.
>

3778. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-06 23:30:35 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <secwet.cross.eyed.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 105444

On Tue, 5 Apr 2005 15:26:57 -0700 (PDT), Secwet Woger
<juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote:

: In article <not-woger.whines@houston-hunks.com>
: Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
:
: > This is
:
: More of your whining, isn't it, tubby? Tell us why the Athens,
: Al, police came by your HUD apartment.

As I said in another post, those confusing double images from which
you're suffering have misled you. Uncross your eyes. I'm not named
"Lloyd," and I don't live in Alabama.

==========
In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled
his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still
can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."

3779. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 00:08:35 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d31tkoknb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105445

"A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message <snipped>

Yet, you continue to talk out of your ass.

Now that is original, Roger...

What is your fixation of Roger?

...and what is YOUR fixation with Lloyd? Huh?

Oh, so you are saying you are obsessed with him, you keep tabs
on him?

...as in posting Lloyd's home address, work address, phone numbers, and
other personal information???

Obviously, you are in denial about it.

...just as you are in denial about being Roger.

Yet you still keep tabs on his amateur radio qso's and so forth.
You claim every anonymous poster is him. Sounds like obsession
to me.

...just as YOU claim every anonmyous poster is Lloyd? Sounds like obsession
to me.

Yep, you were a molested altar boy.

...it makes you wish YOU were an altar boy, doesn't it Roger?
--
"There you have it: Just start talking about fags, asses, or
cocksucking, and Woger comes stumbling in stepping on his crank, with
both cap guns blazing." -- John Kimball

3780. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 00:42:34 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <1a09a1b9794e9c944c14cd61c6cd243e@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 105446

In article <IfWdnf0_CbZuUs7fRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > you forgot one
> >
> > http://physics.gmu.edu/~e-physics/bob/tachyons.htm
> >
> I didn't forget anything, there are more sites that put
tachyons in a
> class with witchcraft and the occult or the supernatural!
>
> As far as a first draft, lets help you a little, remember as I
said that
> I'm uneducated.
>
> "I've deiced to write out some of my old idea" should read
> decided deiced is something done to aircraft wings.
> Also if these are some of your ideas then they are ideas not
an idea.
>
> "The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and
theories is
> due to a group of people in are societies who have little or no
> intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators."
>
> If this is a first draft, how can this be a revamping of your
old idea?
> Or should that be ideas as in more then 1 idea?
>
> "are societies"
> Did you possibly mean OUR society?
>
> "little or no intelligent"
> Again, do you mean intelligence?
>
> "these people are called Amateur Radio operators"
> Are you not one of these yourself?
>
> This is just a start Todd, non of these are inventions, they
are ideas
> or dreams and the page just shows you lack of intelligence or

as you
> would say " lack of intelligent"
>
> Well Todd, better luck on you second draft!
^^

Well, Dimmy, better luck on "your" next attempt.

3781. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 00:54:05 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <iLSdnSLsq5OPG8nfRVn-gQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105447

N9OGL wrote:

>
> that was just a frist draft, the blog site I'm on allows me to reedit
> my post at anyime.

What is a "frist" draft?
Don't you ever tire of being so stupid toddyboy?

Time travel isn't possible, if it were, someone from the future would
have traveled back in time to tell us about it.

3782. Re: NO SUBJECT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 01:00:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Y4-dnTBDotY9GsnfRVn-sg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105448

K4YZ wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>>And yes you are. Your BLOG comments about shoving razor blades
>>>into our rectums IS a threat
>>
>>I think you better read again, it was written as a suggestion, not a
>>threat.
>
>
> And I think you'd better ask a lawyer about where the line is
> drawn, Todd.
>
> "Abuse", as defined in current case law, need not be what you said
> or how you said it, but in the perceived message of the recipient of
> the alleged abuse.
>
>
>>>Would you be any less likely to react if I pasted your face on the
>>>south end of a beast of burden and represented it to be "you"...?!?!
>>
>>no, I could careless and There is difference between a big breasted
>>women and a animal. but hey, if it's done right I would get a laugh
>>out of it...I do have a warp sense of humor.
>
>
> Oh, you're warped, alright, Todd...But it has little to do with
> humor...As a matter of fact, I don't think there are too many folks
> here who find a whole lot funny about you...And those that do are
> laughing AT you...Not WITH you...
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

My six year old first grader granddaughter can write sentences that are
more grammatically correct than toddyboy can, and with correct spelling
as well. I would think he would finally get tired of being so dumb.

3783. Question for todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 01:35:10 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <l5Kdnbn4c4PUE8nfRVn-1A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105449

You said
"The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and theories is due to a group of people in are societies who have little or no intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators. (It is no wonder television and movies and poked fun at these people). Amateurs are nothing more, then licensed CB radio operators."

I'll ask you again, are you not an amateur radio operator? If you are, then you are nothing more, then a licensed CB radio operator. If you are, then you made this statement about yourself, "a group of people in are societies who have little or no intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators"

Does this sentence make sense?
"(It is no wonder television and movies and poked fun at these people)"
What television? What movies? Are these true statements?

3784. Re: NO SUBJECT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 01:36:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112837764.151852.223080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105250

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >>>And yes you are. Your BLOG comments about shoving razor blades
> >>>into our rectums IS a threat
> >>
> >>I think you better read again, it was written as a suggestion, not
a
> >>threat.
> >
> >
> > And I think you'd better ask a lawyer about where the line is
> > drawn, Todd.
> >
> > "Abuse", as defined in current case law, need not be what you
said
> > or how you said it, but in the perceived message of the recipient
of
> > the alleged abuse.
> >
> >
> >>>Would you be any less likely to react if I pasted your face on the
> >>>south end of a beast of burden and represented it to be
"you"...?!?!
> >>
> >>no, I could careless and There is difference between a big breasted
> >>women and a animal. but hey, if it's done right I would get a
laugh
> >>out of it...I do have a warp sense of humor.
> >
> >
> > Oh, you're warped, alright, Todd...But it has little to do
with
> > humor...As a matter of fact, I don't think there are too many folks
> > here who find a whole lot funny about you...And those that do are
> > laughing AT you...Not WITH you...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> My six year old first grader granddaughter can write sentences that
are

> more grammatically correct than toddyboy can, and with correct
spelling
> as well.

I'd be careful mentioning prepubescent females in this group, Buzz.
Some pretty bent and devious minded idiots have nested here lately.

> I would think he would finally get tired of being so dumb.

Heck no! Todd's made that a cottage industry! It's his
"trademark"!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3785. Re: Question for todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 01:39:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112837972.146166.254160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105251

KC8GXW wrote:
> You said
> "The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and theories
is
> due to a group of people in are societies who have little or no
> intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators. (It is
no
> wonder television and movies and poked fun at these people). Amateurs

> are nothing more, then licensed CB radio operators."
>
> I'll ask you again, are you not an amateur radio operator? If you
are,
> then you are nothing more, then a licensed CB radio operator. If you
> are, then you made this statement about yourself, "a group of people
in
> are societies who have little or no intelligent, these people are
called
> Amateur Radio operators"
>
> Does this sentence make sense?
> "(It is no wonder television and movies and poked fun at these
people)"
> What television? What movies? Are these true statements?

He also called the participants of this forum "###licking morons",
yet he keeps coming back and posting here too.

I guess he just can't grasp the concept that if he'd stop posting
his idiotic drivvel, we'd stop making fun of it!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3786. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:13:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112840020.369719.242280@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105252

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>
> >
> > that was just a frist draft, the blog site I'm on allows me to
reedit
> > my post at anyime.
>
> What is a "frist" draft?
> Don't you ever tire of being so stupid toddyboy?
>
> Time travel isn't possible, if it were,

someone from the future would
> have traveled back in time to tell us about it.

Not necessary, there is the fact of parallel universes....oops, your a
amateur radio operator and don't know anything about science or
theoritical science.

All things are possible, but more things are probably

Todd N9OGL

3787. Re: Question for todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:15:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112840141.512583.230700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105253

Well steve I haven't posted anything on here for a while......other
people are posting stuff off my website. oh, I see my Blog is still up
and running :)

Todd n9ogl

3788. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:23:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112840584.845134.231030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105254

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Caveat Lector wrote:
> > > >> Caveat Lector wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >> > But summer is sporadic E season for 6M-- so the best time
> would
> > > be
> > > > >> May June
> > > > >> > July
> > > > >> > Will need double hop for KY
> > > > >> > Maybe single hop for AR.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > CL
> > > > >>
> > > > Someone wrote:
> > > > >> I did some work on 6 and 2 sib when there were lots of active
> > Techs,
> > > > >> but it seems a lot slower now that they've been chased away.
> > > > >
> > > > Hmmm last June on 6M, we had several double hop sporadic E
> openings
> > and the
> > > > band was filled with signals from 50.125 to 50.300 with SSB
> signals
> > -- lots
> > > > of CW below 50.125 as well. This was not contest time and
> virtually
> > the
> > > > whole US was coming in.
> > > >
> > > > On field day we had single hop to the North West -- made 50+
> > contacts.
> > > >
> > > > CL (Sporadically)
> > >
> > Superb!
>
> Hey Brian...Where's your substantiation to your "Tech's being
> chased away" claim...?!?!

I own no Tech's.

Go ask the anon e-mailer where all the Techs have gone.

> Shall we just add that to your growing list of "Stupid Things
> Brian P Burke Has Said On RRAP But Can't Prove" list...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." Hi, hi!

3789. Re: Question for todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:26:03 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112840763.904252.31390@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105256

K4YZ wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
> > You said
> > "The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and
theories
> is
> > due to a group of people in are societies who have little or no
> > intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators. (It
is
> no
> > wonder television and movies and poked fun at these people).
Amateurs
>
> > are nothing more, then licensed CB radio operators."
> >
> > I'll ask you again, are you not an amateur radio operator? If you
> are,
> > then you are nothing more, then a licensed CB radio operator. If
you
> > are, then you made this statement about yourself, "a group of
people
> in
> > are societies who have little or no intelligent, these people are
> called
> > Amateur Radio operators"
> >
> > Does this sentence make sense?
> > "(It is no wonder television and movies and poked fun at these
> people)"
> > What television? What movies? Are these true statements?
>
> He also called the participants of this forum "###licking
morons",
> yet he keeps coming back and posting here too.
>
> I guess he just can't grasp the concept that if he'd stop
posting
> his idiotic drivvel, we'd stop making fun of it!

You cannot grasp the concept of ignoring idiotic "drivvel." Instead,
you always have to take the low road.

3790. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:27:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112840821.455914.174020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105255

N9OGL wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >
> > >
> > > that was just a frist draft, the blog site I'm on allows me to
> reedit
> > > my post at anyime.
> >
> > What is a "frist" draft?
> > Don't you ever tire of being so stupid toddyboy?
> >
> > Time travel isn't possible, if it were,
>
>
>
> someone from the future would
> > have traveled back in time to tell us about it.
>
> Not necessary, there is the fact of parallel universes....oops, your
a
> amateur radio operator and don't know anything about science or
> theoritical science.

You're an Amateur Radio operator also, Todd...And from what I've
seen so far, YOU don't have any more idea about science, "theoritical"
or otherwise!

> All things are possible, but more things are probably

Are "probably" what, Todd? You didn't finish the sentence. Or
did you mean "...but more things are probable"...?!?!

All things are NOT possible. For example, it's "possible" that
you could construct a proper sentence, but it's obvious that you can't.

It's "probable" that you are a developmentally delayed adult with
severe disassociative issues, but I'm not eye-to-eye with you to make a
first-hand assessment. The evidence here certainly lends itself to
that conclusion, however.

Steve, K4YZ

3791. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:31:31 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Ye-dndlcrqLhBsnfRVn-jQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105257

N9OGL wrote:

> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>that was just a frist draft, the blog site I'm on allows me to
>
> reedit
>
>>>my post at anyime.
>>
>>What is a "frist" draft?
>>Don't you ever tire of being so stupid toddyboy?
>>
>>Time travel isn't possible, if it were,
>
>
>
>
> someone from the future would
>
>>have traveled back in time to tell us about it.
>
>
> Not necessary, there is the fact of parallel universes....oops, your a
> amateur radio operator and don't know anything about science or
> theoritical science.

Are you also not an amateur radio operator todd? You sign all your post
" Todd N9OGL" isn't that an amateur radio operators call sign? How do
you know so much about science? I'm sure that you know allot about
space, like the space between your ears!

You have an ebay account todd? This is right up your ally too.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5570178839

> All things are possible, but more things are probably

How can there be more things then all things? We will be thinking
about this 1000 years after eternity!

> Todd N9OGL
>

3792. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:33:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112841204.749227.215350@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105258

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Hey Brian...Where's your substantiation to your "Tech's being
> > chased away" claim...?!?!
>
> I own no Tech's.

Obviously.

You also do not own a sense of pride or appreciation for the worth
of character. Otherwise you might try to own up to some of your
assertions with some substantiation of their validity.

> Go ask the anon e-mailer where all the Techs have gone.
>
> > Shall we just add that to your growing list of "Stupid Things
> > Brian P Burke Has Said On RRAP But Can't Prove" list...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." Hi, hi!

Back to the out-of-context, "this is all I have to throw at him"
stuff, eh, Brian...???

That's over a year old now...Yet YOU, on the other hand, continue
to add to your volumes of dumb assertions.

Still no answers, eh, Brian...???

Steve, K4YZ

3793. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:34:19 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112841259.860536.125730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105259

N9OGL wrote:
> http://216.176.81.228/k4yz.jpg
>
> Todd N9OGL

Dammit, now I'll never be able to look at a large-breasted woman again
without seeing...

Hi, hi!

3794. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:40:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112841632.771033.204160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105261

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > There are several people in here with whom I have disagreed
> > and/or
> > > carried on length discussions at opposite ends of the verbal
> see-saw.
> >
> > Prove it.
>
> Ask Jim, N2EY Ask James Hampton, AA2QA or Kim, W5TIT.

Ask the anon e-mail "attacker?"

3795. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:40:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112841633.531140.78300@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105260

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:

> > Not necessary, there is the fact of parallel universes....oops,
your a
> > amateur radio operator and don't know anything about science or
> > theoritical science.
>
> Are you also not an amateur radio operator todd? You sign all your
post
> " Todd N9OGL" isn't that an amateur radio operators call sign? How do

> you know so much about science? I'm sure that you know allot about
> space, like the space between your ears!

They won't traverse THAT distance until warp drive is invented.

> You have an ebay account todd? This is right up your ally too.
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5570178839

WAAAAAAAY TOOOOOO FUNNY! I can see Todd hanging out the window
like a beagle in a pick-up barking at all the "takyons" in the eye of
the storm!

> > All things are possible, but more things are probably
>
> How can there be more things then all things? We will be thinking
> about this 1000 years after eternity!

Don't try and engage Todd in RATIONAL thought, Jim. It only
confuses him worse.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3796. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:43:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112841809.351332.296740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105262

bb wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > http://216.176.81.228/k4yz.jpg
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Dammit, now I'll never be able to look at a large-breasted woman
again
> without seeing...

Without seeing "what", Brian...???

I seriously doubt that you were ever able to look at
"large-breasted woman" at ANY level, Brian...

Steve, K4YZ

3797. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:43:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112841811.199039.55780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105263

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Phil Kane wrote:
> > > On 3 Apr 2005 17:02:14 -0700, bb wrote:
> > >
> > > >> Phil, why do you have to pull a double-shift?
> > >
> > > It's a two-day exercise, and I can make it both days.
> > >
> > > >I would guess that you have to pull two shifts because there are
> not
> > > >enough amateur volunteers.
> > >
> > > Actually, we have six operators qualified for that location,
and
> we
> > > work in teams of three (county voice net, inter-hospital voice
> net,
> > > county-wide packet net) so we'll have plenty of operators.
> >
> > Now c'mon Phil. I used to write duty schedules, so I know how many
> > times two teams of three fit into a calendar day. Is this a
two-day
> > ORI?
>
> Always at a deficit for information, aren't you, Brian?
>
>
http://www.dhs.gov/dhspublic/interapp/editorial/editorial_0588.xml
>
> TOPOFF-3 will be four days. More than ample time for any one
> person to pull more than one "shift" during the exercise, depending
on
> how you're defining "shift".
>
> 12 hour shifts: Four (assuming 12 on / 12 off)
>
> 8 hour shifts: Six (assuming 8 on / 8 off)
>
> 4 hour shifts: Eight (assuming 4 on / 8 off)

Levy your "attack" on Phil. Phil said it was two days, and that he
would be working both days.

> Brian...You CAN pull more than two shifts under ONE of those
> formats, couldn't you...??? I sure could, and will. CAP is a
> participating agency in this.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Do provide RRAP an after action report of your heroic CAP activities.

3798. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:47:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112842075.066287.262000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105264

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > There are several people in here with whom I have disagreed
> > > and/or
> > > > > carried on length discussions at opposite ends of the verbal
> > see-saw.
> > >
> > > Prove it.
> >
> > Ask Jim, N2EY Ask James Hampton, AA2QA or Kim, W5TIT.
>
> Ask the anon e-mail "attacker?"

You've already been provided with specific quotes that disprove
your assertion that I refered to the e-mailer as an "attacker", Brian.

So why do you persist in lying about it?

You also asked me to "prove" that I have had "opposite end" or
disagreements in this forum with others. I did so, but I see you're
weaseling your way around it.

Are these traits you teach your kids? Is snivvelling, lying and
deceit things you teach them to do at home?

Steve, K4YZ

3799. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:48:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112842122.694459.317410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105265

The only thing steve that you can ever come up with is being an asshole
on a newsgroup with a bunch of other asshole ham operators

3800. Re: Question for todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:51:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112842313.472582.285130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105266

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I guess he just can't grasp the concept that if he'd stop
> posting
> > his idiotic drivvel, we'd stop making fun of it!
>
> You cannot grasp the concept of ignoring idiotic "drivvel." Instead,
> you always have to take the low road.

But that's where YOU are travelling, Brian.

And you're right...I "can't grasp the concept of ignoring idiotic drivvel"....Because you keep loading us up with more and I keep trying to get you to substantiate your claims.

But you keep making more of them, and I get to keep making fun of them. It's so eeeeeeeeesay to do.

Steve, K4YZ

3801. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 02:59:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112842764.399215.30510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105267

N9OGL wrote:
> The only thing steve that you can ever come up with is being an
###hole
> on a newsgroup with a bunch of other ###hole ham operators

Was that the end of your statement, Todd? No period again.

Well...if making fun of your idiotic "ideas", your idiotic
statements and your idiotic plans makes me an "###hole" I guess I am
one. However that would ALSO have to INCLUDE you since you ARE a
licensed operator and you DO continue to post in this forum.

BTW, Todd...at least the "###hole" performs an important function
in life. We have yet to see you perform one.

Steve, K4YZ

3802. Re: Question for todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:01:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112840397.689667.303100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105268

N9OGL wrote:
> Well steve I haven't posted anything on here for a while......other
> people are posting stuff off my website. oh, I see my Blog is still
up
> and running :)

So it is. Congratulations. I never said it was coming down, Todd.
I simply reproted what profane and threatening things you said. Those
comments were true and exact quotes of your blog...No deceit or
subterfuge, Todd...

Unlike the anonymous e-mails you sent...

Steve, K4YZ

3803. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:05:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112843139.701641.29490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105269

well you whiney little bitchwhore, you don't have to read my idea or go
to my websites or blog.

Todd N9OGL

3804. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:06:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112843183.772200.116730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105270

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > TOPOFF-3 will be four days. More than ample time for any one
> > person to pull more than one "shift" during the exercise, depending
> on
> > how you're defining "shift".
> >
> > 12 hour shifts: Four (assuming 12 on / 12 off)
> >
> > 8 hour shifts: Six (assuming 8 on / 8 off)
> >
> > 4 hour shifts: Eight (assuming 4 on / 8 off)
>
> Levy your "attack" on Phil. Phil said it was two days, and that he
> would be working both days.

There was no attack. Either on you, nor especially on Phil.

YOU, however, were the one making the comments about how many
shifts he was pulling-vs-qualified operators to do it.

> > Brian...You CAN pull more than two shifts under ONE of those
> > formats, couldn't you...??? I sure could, and will. CAP is a
> > participating agency in this.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Do provide RRAP an after action report of your heroic CAP activities.

I don't engage in heroics, Brian. By the book...safe and
responsible. That's how I got through my tour in the USMC with my tail
intact, that's how I have spent 30+ years in CAP without injury, and
that's how I practice Nursing.

Now...about your assertion that ARES can't/won't respond to
emergencies...Are you going to provide some validation of that
statement?

Steve, K4YZ

3805. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:11:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112843470.840535.182690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105271

N9OGL wrote:
> well you whiney little ##########, you don't have to read my idea or
go
> to my websites or blog.

I wouldn't have gone to your "blog" or "website" had it not been
in here.

And you keep bringing your irrational and foolish "ideas" into
THIS forum. You put them right up there for all to see...It's not like
I can avoid it.

So...Stop posting in here and you'll stop being the butt (pardon
the pun) of everyone's jesting.

Steve, K4YZ

3806. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:19:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4254A6EA.C3BC245F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105272

N9OGL wrote:
>
> well you whiney little bitchwhore, you don't have to read my idea or go
> to my websites or blog.

Shall we assume that this is the manner in which you represent the
church group to the local cable company?

If there was any political capital amassed by your political family,
you've long since squandered it.

Dave K8MN

3807. VE3SUN / AB6MW Diagnosed With Lung Cancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:22:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112844167.896060.88990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105273

http://www.nytimes.com/2005/04/06/business/media/06watch.html?ex=1113451200&en=cfc4fa7dbbd01d6c&ei=5059&partner=AOL

Our best wishes and prayers for Peter Jennings. Truly a man among men for his courage to stand up to this terrible scourge.

Good luck, Peter.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3808. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:23:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112844203.547750.73960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105274

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a
mirror
> up
> > > to
> > > > > them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.
> > > >
> > > > You misunderstand. A mirror is being held up for you.
> > >
> > > You're in denial, Brian...No problem...WE see it...
> >
> > Who? You and you anonymous "attacker?"
> >
> > > > > (1) I am not a victim
> > > >
> > > > "(1.a.) I REFUSE to be a victim." Hi, hi!
> > >
> > > I imagine you hear a lot of laughter, Brian....
> >
> > That's how hams laugh.
>
> Strange...When I laugh it sounds more like "hahaha".

So why do you type, "Bwhahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahah!!!"

> > and not all of it
> > > from kids playing in the yard or the "laugh track" on your
favorite
> > > sitcom.
> >
> > I'm not big on sitcoms. You provide enough comic relief for me.
>
> The sad part, Brian, is that the laugh's on you. You just can't
> or won't see it.

You're only partly correct. I don't see it because it isn't there.

You're the lone lost patrol on rrap. You're an Army of one.

> > > There's no lying involved, Brian, other than your attempt to
> > > redirect the specific comments being made.

> > >
> > > Nice try. Very transparent.
> >
> > No dodge, plymouth, nor chrysler. You lied.
>
> Cute. Still doesn't change anything. And no, I did not lie.

That makes lie #5.

> > > > Now you say that other than me, Len, and that mystery
e-mailer...
> > > >
> > > > But I don't see Len posting on this subject. So are you making
> yet
> > > > another lie?
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > Show it.
>
> It's in this thread chain, Brian. Help yourself.

So you're taking the fifth?

> > > You try to redirect, and I refuse to allow you. No problem.
> >
> > Big problem(s). You lied. You lied four times. You lied about
your
> > inuendo...(SNIP)
>
> There was no "innuendo". There was YOU trying to make something
> out of something else said.

Sorry Steve, anyone with more than half their brain tied behind their
back would see your inuendo. How's Jim?

> > (UNSNIP)...you lied when you characterized the anon e-mailer as and
> > "attacker,"...(SNIP)
>
> I didn't say he made an attack, Brian.

You characterized him/her as an "attacker."

I'm curious how you define an "attacker?"

Perhaps an attacker is a person who makes attacks???

Seven hostile attacks???

I said he made a threat to
> forward my other comments to the family of the young lady whose
picture
> we were discussing. The word "attack" was the other correspondants,
> not mine.

So you define as a threat someone who passes your comment on to the person you made the comments about?

You're pretty weak in the knees on this one.

> > (UNSNIP)...you lied about all of it not being a problem for anyone
> > except your anonymous "attacker," and then you lied about Len's
> > involvement in this thread.
>
> I didn't say Lennie was involved in the thread. Re-read what was
> written originally, Brian!

"Len"

> Seems you and the anonymous lying coward have the same reading and
> comprehension deficits, Brian.

So how is Jim?

> > I wonder how many lies you'll tell tomorrow?
>
> None...So far, you've not shown where I lied at all, Brian.

One so far. See above.

> > > > > > You would have to matter for that to happen,and you just
> don't
> > > > > > matter.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Obviously not true, since responding to posts I make
> > accounts
> > > > > for
> > > > > > over 85% of YOUR posts.
> > > > >
> > > > > What's your track record?
> > > >
> > > Oh, I make no "warranty" about what and how I post.
> > >
> > You couldn't honor the warranty when it comes due.
>
> There being none, there is none to honor.

Then you are honorless. But we knew that already.

> > I quite
> > > readily acknowledge that I am quite ready to stand toe-to-toe
> with
> > > those who are obviously lying, misrepresenting Amateur Radio, or
> > > inisist on acting foolishly in public.
> > >
> > Toe to toe?
>

> Yep.

Sounds like a fight.

> > Welp, you got called on your inuendo, you got called on
> characterizing
> > the anon e-mailer as an "attacker," you got called on your lying,
and
> > now the mirror is being help up for you to see yourself as the liar
> > that you are.
>
> I didn't make any innuendo.

You did.

> You injected your own opinion.

Opinions are not allowed, Oh Master Gunny?

> I didn't call the e mailer an "attacker".

You repeated it often enough.

> Here are all of my comments from March 31st, the date of my
> original post. For brevity, I have snipped everyone else's comments,
> however you can easily cross-check the other thread to see that I
have
> not "edited" my own words, even for typos:
>
> QUOTE:
>
> Hello Everyone,
>
>
> In the thread about " Selling 52 Simplex Radios", the following
> comments were made by Mike Coslo, "Rabbi Phil" and myself:
>
>
> QUOTE:
> Michael Coslo wrote:
> > Rabbi Phil wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
>
> (SNIPPAGE HERE)
>
> Hey Mike...It's not THAT far fetched...Burghardt in NY has had
that
>
> young lady in the tight fitting sweater for years...Musta beem
selling
> SOMEthing!
>
> UNQUOTE:

>
> So...later this morning I received a private e-mail from one of
> RRAP'S regular posters.
>
> I have snipped the person's name, call sign and e mail headers
> since the letter was sent in private e-mail. However the e-mail made
> some very damning comments and a not-so-subtle threat.

"not-so-subtle threat???"

And you said "I didn't call the e mailer an "attacker"."

I guess people who make threats aren't attackers.

> QUOTE:
>
> In rrap Steve Robeson wrote:
>
> > Burghardt in NY has had that
> > young lady in the tight fitting sweater
> > for years...Musta beem selling SOMEthing!
> > 73
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Burghardt Amateur Center is not in NY. It's a family business which
> was established by Stan Burghardt, W0IT (SK) 68 years ago in a small
> South Dakota town.
>
> A few years ago one of Stan's long-time employees, Jim Smith W0MJY,
> purchased the business from Stan and became the second owner. It
> remains a family owned business, and the lady you mention is Jim's
> daughter, Michele, KC0MYV, a wholesome midwesterner who is very
active
> in running the "business side" of the store. Often she is pictured
> standing alongside her dad in their QST ads, never in my knowledge in
a
>
> provocative sweater.
>
> The sleazy nudge-nudge insinuation in your "Musta beem seeling
> SOMEthing!" comment is one of the lowest forms of attack I've ever
seen
>
> on rrap. I'll expect to see a sincere unconditional apology on rrap
by
>
> the end of today, or I'll consider forwarding your trashy comments to
> Michele and Jim.
>
> UNQUOTE

He's wrong. I've seen you make substantially greater threats on rrap.

> Here's my response to this "letter", made in a very public forum
so
>
> that the correspondant can't make any other assinine insinuations or
> out-of-context editorializing:
>
> (1) I stand corrected on the South Dakota -vs- New York. My
> bust.

I chalked that up to an honest mistake, not one of your four (4) lies.

> (2) I am sure the young lady is very good at what she does, and
> is every bit the "wholesome" person you report. I NEVER said
anything
> to the contrary. You are welcome to cite the part of the post
wherein
> I said differently, but you and I both know it's not there.

That's what makes it inuendo. If you had meant that she was actually selling radios rather than "SOMEthing" else in a tight sweater, you would have typed a five letter word (radio) without capitalization rather than a 9-letter codeword implying "SOMEthing" else in that tight sweater.

> (3) The young lady's character and wholesomeness
notwithstanding,

"character and wholesomeness notwithstanding???"

Is there any question about her character and wholesomeness???"

Your an idiot.

> THEY obviously had NO PROBLEM in posing her in a tight sweater,
> profile, in order to attract some male attention to thier ads.
"Rabbi
> Phil" commented about someone else "selling radios" with pictures of
> pretty women. I pointed out that another Amateur dealer had been
doing
> the same thing, and obviously it worked. Pictures of pretty women
sell
> everything from soft drinks to firearms to high speed automobiles.
> Amateur Radio is no different. No problem.

Idiot. Why don't you put up a picture of your "wholesome" spouse instead of that guy in a tight Air Force flight suit to register more hits...?

> Now, Dear Anonymous Writer (anonymous HERE...since you and I
both
> know who you are)...You can go RIGHT AHEAD and forward ANYthing you
> like to ANY one you like. Tell them about "how trashy" the item was,
> if you want, but it IS archived right ehre in Google.
>

> You took offense...Too bad for you. You know as well as I do
that
> people can "take offense" at the most innocent of comments
> all-the-while letting morbid profanity and bold threats roll off
thier
> backs. This is one of those moments.
>
> Have a nice day.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> OK, Brain...YOU show US wherein I called the anonymous
> correspondant an "attacker".
>
> Matter of fact, go back to the original thread and go through
any
> of the posts I made and find one where I called the correspondant an
> "attacker".
>
> > > Thankfully that sub-group of ner-do-wells is fairly narrow.
> > You.
> > > Lennie. Todd. Mark Morgan. The fairly few number of anonymous
> > > cowards who haven't got the guts or strength of conviction to
stand
> > > behind thier already lame game.
> > >
> > "Thier" lame game?
> > >
> > Look, you're the one playing off-duty COP on rrap.
>
> What "cop"...?!?! The only thing I have "arrested" is the
> promulgation of further lies, mistruths and assinine assertions by
you,
> Lennie and Todd. So far, while not 100% effective, it's worked.

You've created more lies in the past few days than the opinions that
you try to "correct."

Nurse, heal thyself.

> > You've shot off
> > your mouth once too often and someone is now trying to get back at
> you
> > with vile comments about your daughter.
>
> They are vile and speak to the character of the "author". He'll
> get his eventually.
>
> And you never acknowledge my thanks to you.

I didn't make my comment for you. I made them for your daughter.

> > You shot off your mouth again
> > about a young woman in an amateur radio advertisement, and some
> > anonymous rrapper called you on it.
>
> There was no "shooting" off of anyone's mouth. There was a
> comment about how effective the presence of a pretty woman in an
> Amateur Radio advertisment helps to sell Amateur Radio equipment.

Indeed.

> Unless you know something I don't know about it, "sex" is a
> multi-billion dollar selling tool. I can't drive the few minutes to
> work without passing a half dozen biilborads that have beautiful
women
> and handsome men hawking some goods on it...

"SOMEthing"

> > You stand alone. No one supports your latest gaffe.
>
> So far, Mike Coslo made a statement that very celarly said that
> the statement could be read as such. He was correct.

"could be..."

> And so far, neither you, any of the anonymous lying cowards, the
> original correspondant or Lennie have disproven otherwise.

I know the difference between a "radio" and "SOMEthing in a tight
sweater." And so do you.

> > > > > >You simply have an emotional defect and you cannot help
> > yourself.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What emotional defect, Brian?
> > > > >
> > > > > The one you exhibit daily on rrap.
> > > >
> > > > You've not yet defined or identified any "emotional defect",
> > > > Brian. That comes from a lack of understanding of the words
you're
> > > using and from a lack of training/education in any healthcare
> related
> > > discipline from which to draw the requisite knowledge from.
> > >
> > > Try again.
> >
> > Nope. I don't have to be a psychiatrist to recognize a nutcase.
>
> But it would help. So far you've made countless accusations of
> mental defect, but have yet to prove a one.

One day you'll be picked up and everyone will nod "we suspected, but what's a person to do?"

> On the OTHER hand, YOU have made COUNTLESS assertions and
> misrepresentations that you can't or won't substantiate, your LATEST
> being the one that ARES can't/won't respond to any "major" emergency
> due to alleged age, infirmity or previous obligations of the members.

We're focusing on your problems here. Don't try the old dodge, plymouth, and chrysler routine.

> Someone here HAS some issues to deal with Brian...But it's not me.

Of course you don't. You're unable to take that very first step because you're in denial.

> Now...THIS post asks you to explain several of YOUR "gaffes", and
> provides SPECIFIC quotes that DISPROVE your silly allegations.

Oh, geez, some old man having to pull two shifts and complaining that he has to pull two shifts?

Hang me high because a tired old man complains about two shifts!

> So how are you going to climb out from under THIS one, Brian?
> Disappear for another couple weeks and hope we forget about YOUR lying?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Me climb out??? Hi, hi!

This must be the place where you would type, "BWHA ha ha ha ha ha ha.....
.....!"

You made the inuendo, you made another four lies to cover it, and today you produce yet another lie -as- predicted. Too bad for you.

3809. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:27:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112844438.014837.300690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105275

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > well you whiney little ##########, you don't have to read my idea
or go
> > to my websites or blog.
>
> Shall we assume that this is the manner in which you represent the
> church group to the local cable company?

But of COURSE it is, Dave.

> If there was any political capital amassed by your political family,
> you've long since squandered it.

Todd's "political capital" was having had lunch in the same
restaurant as the mayor of Taylorsville once upon a time....Probably
waved to him across the room, got a acknowledging "nod" and now thinks
he's got "juice" with City Hall.

Obviously not, however...

73

Steve, K4YZ

3810. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:32:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112844764.765557.143960@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105276

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: "bb" on Mon, Apr 4 2005 4:25 pm:
> > >
> > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > >> bb wrote:
> > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >> > > bb wrote:
> > > >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > <etc., etc., etc....>
> > >
> > > >> I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a mirror
up
> > to
> > > >> them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.
> > > >
> > > >You misunderstand. A mirror is being held up for you.
> > >
> > > Heh. He can't see his own reflection... :-)
> > >
> > > [...sort of a supernatural thing there...]
> >
> > American Wereham in London.
> >
> > > >> (1) I am not a victim
> > > >
> > > >"(1.a.) I REFUSE to be a victim." Hi, hi!
> > >
> > > Nursie is NEVER at fault...ALWAYS someone else's fault!
> > >
> > > :-)
> >
> > He'll say I "made" him do (whatever).
> >
> > > >> (2) It seems there are only three people here who have a
> > > problem
> > > >> with what I say, and that would be you, Lennie, and the person
in
> > > the
> > > >> e-mail. I'm not impressed that any "explanation" needs to
occur.

> > > >
> > > >Hmmmm?
> > > >
> > > >In another post you said that no one other than the mystery
e-mailer
> > > >saw any impropriety in your comments. So which time were you
lying?
> > > >Then or now?
> > > >
> > > >Now you say that other than me, Len, and that mystery
e-mailer...
> > > >
> > > >But I don't see Len posting on this subject. So are you making
yet
> > > >another lie?
> > >
> > > Nursie NEVER lie! :-)
> > >
> > > I haven't posted in this newsgroup for (about) 2 weeks.
> >
> > It's making him crazy. He has no access to you. He's cold turkey
and
> > using me as methadone.
> >
> > > Stevie da wonder ham is still angry, resentful, and
> > > otherwise unglued about no one respecting his Dill
> > > Instructor attitude for the past six years or so...:-)
> > >
> > > "Temper fry?" Whoeee...temper be roasted to a crisp!
> >
> > Newly recruited marines are sometimes discharged for
non-adaptability
> > to military life. Steve should be put back in the Corps for
> > non-adaptability to civilian life. Let them deal with his lies,
> > assinine assertions, impossible delusions, and generally
disrespectful
> > attitude.
>
> Don't you ever feel silly playing Len's game after his numerous posts
in
> which he inevitably claims that he is here only to engage in civil
> debate over the removal of morse code testing, accuses others of
> engaging in personal attacks and denigration and then proceeds to do
> that of which he accuses others?
>
> Dave K8MN

Len isn't involved!!!

Len is not engaged in K4YZs attack on the YL in South Dakota (or New
York or wherever she may happen to be). Len is not engaged in K4YZs

attack on the supposed anon e-mailer. Len is not involved in my comments to, and my opinions about Steve.

Now don't you feel silly backing up K4YZ on his latest gaffe, just so you can comment on someone who isn't involved???

Go monitor the cluster or something that you have some very small amount of expertise in.

3811. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:38:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112845114.276958.62070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105277

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > The original intent of this newsgroup was to discuss
> > amateur radio policy.
>
> Whooooooooa! There's a major rhetoric change on the part of
Lennie
> the Lame!

How much more uninformed could a "person" be? Len has been saying this
for years.

> Up until recently this forum been solely about the "Morse Code
Test
> Debate"...Or so he's claimed...

He's said its been about bad celestial calculations and seven
completely undocumentated hostile actions.

It's supposed to be about Morse Code.

> Finally get tired of getting your head bashed in over and over
> with FACTS to the contrary, Oh Spiteful One...?!?!

You're the one with the bloody scalp. Nurse, heal thyself.

> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Putz.

Penis envy or just penis inuendo and infatuation?

3812. Re: VE3SUN / AB6MW Diagnosed With Lung Cancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:39:12 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <d32a10$m6n$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 105278

"K4YZ" (k4yz@aol.com) writes:
> http://www.nytimes.com/2005/04/06/business/media/06watch.html?ex=1113451200&en=cfc4fa7dbbd01d6c&ei=5059&partner=AOL
>
> Our best wishes and prayers for Peter Jennings. Truly a man among
> men for his courage to stand up to this terrible scourge.
>
> Good luck, Peter.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
So which Peter Jennings are you talking about?

Obviously, the news anchor is in the news with cancer.

Are you saying the other Peter Jennings is also in the news with
a health problem?

Because while the Canadian callsign is to a "Peter Jennings" that
US callsign is not. And using the name and callsign, finds a webpage
that includes a reference to "Microchess" which was written by Peter
Jennings.

I doubt the guy on tv is the guy who wrote software.

Michael VE2BVW

3813. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:41:35 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <029f58d773508685585675bb64953a58@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 105279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article
<1112592600.614392.116610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jch_kimb...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Stop <IKYABWAI>

Stop sockpuppeting. You are still usesnet's biggest punching bag
and drunk. "Who's your daddy" once exposed himself (his tiny sex
organ) to an underaged daughter of another poster in a certain
newsgroup.

3814. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:56:38 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.moc>
Message-ID: <ys6dnRlJrptPMsnfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105280

N9OGL wrote:

> Not necessary, there is the fact of parallel universes....oops, your a
> amateur radio operator and don't know anything about science or
> theoritical science.
>
> All things are possible, but more things are probably
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

There is no "fact" of parallel universes, theories maybe but no facts. Even if it were so, what happens in another parallelo universe is of no consequence in this universe and vice-versa. There may be zillions of parallel universes but we can only know of the universe we are in. So again, if time travel were possible in our universe, someone from the future would have traveled back in time to tell us about it.

As far as knowledge, I probably have more knowledge of science in my little finger than you will ever have. You being an amateur radio operator I guess you fit your own description of amateur radio operators.

And by the way toddyboy, I took my ham exam when it was a real exam which would scare you to death, not just an exercise in memorization such as when you took yours.

3815. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 03:58:09 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.moc>
Message-ID: <ys6dnRhJrpupLcnfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105281

N9OGL wrote:

> well you whiney little bitchwhore, you don't have to read my idea or go
> to my websites or blog.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Grow up toddyboy!!

3816. Re: NO SUBJECT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 04:04:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.moc>
Message-ID: <ys6dnRtJrptWLMnfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105285

K4YZ wrote:
>
>
> I'd be careful mentioning prepubescent females in this group, Buzz.
> Some pretty bent and devious minded idiots have nested here lately.

I would like to see one touch her and live to tell about it.

3817. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 04:04:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112846664.555136.46790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105282

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > well you whiney little ##########, you don't have to read my idea
or
> go
> > to my websites or blog.
>
> I wouldn't have gone to your "blog" or "website" had it not been
> in here.
>
> And you keep bringing your irrational and foolish "ideas" into
> THIS forum. You put them right up there for all to see...It's not
like
> I can avoid it.
>
> So...Stop posting in here and you'll stop being the butt (pardon
> the pun) of everyone's jesting.
>
HEY dumbass, I didn't post this, Mel did you fucking moron. Secondly,
no one is forcing you to read these thread, and you show me where Mr.
I'm a bitchwhore K4YZ, is the owner of this newsgroup...this is a
public forum and I can post what I want. BTW My blog is still up and
running....yep, your nothing but talk.....

Todd N9OGL
> Steve, K4YZ

3818. Re: VE3SUN / AB6MW Diagnosed With Lung Cancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 04:05:54 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <Ck25e.2044$An2.1479@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105283

"Michael Black" <et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA> wrote in message
news:d32a10$m6n$1@theodyn.ncf.ca...

:
: Are you saying the other Peter Jennings is also in the news with
: a health problem?
:

They certainly are two different guys. VE3SUN/AB6WM/C31LJ was born in 1950,
and the TV anchorman was born in 1938.

Maybe it runs in the family and they both have lung cancer?

73,

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

3819. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 04:07:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112846861.203310.51300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105284

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a
> mirror
> > up
> > > > to
> > > > > > them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.
> > > > >
> > > > > You misunderstand. A mirror is being held up for you.
> > > >
> > > > You're in denial, Brian...No problem...WE see it...
> > >
> > > Who? You and you anonymous "attacker?"
> > >
> > > > > > (1) I am not a victim
> > > > >
> > > > > "(1.a.) I REFUSE to be a victim." Hi, hi!
> > > >
> > > > I imagine you hear a lot of laughter, Brian....
> > >
> > > That's how hams laugh.
> >
> > Strange...When I laugh it sounds more like "hahaha".
>
> So why do you type,
"Bwhahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahah!!!"
>
> > > and not all of it
> > > > from kids playing in the yard or the "laugh track" on your
> favorite
> > > > sitcom.
> > >
> > > I'm not big on sitcoms. You provide enough comic relief for me.
> >
> > The sad part, Brian, is that the laugh's on you. You just
can't
> > or won't see it.
>
> You're only partly correct. I don't see it because it isn't there.

Sure it is.

> You're the lone lost patrol on rrap. You're an Army of one.

Perhaps...but then the number of real idiots is only a few. It
doesn't take that much to
rein them in.

> > > > There's no lying involved, Brian, other than your attempt
to
> > > > redirect the specific comments being made.
> > > >
> > > > Nice try. Very transparent.
> > >
> > > No dodge, plymouth, nor chrysler. You lied.
> >
> > Cute. Still doesn't change anything. And no, I did not lie.
>
> That makes lie #5.

You've not provided 1 through 4 yet, Brain.

> > > > > Now you say that other than me, Len, and that mystery
> e-mailer...
> > > > > >
> > > > > But I don't see Len posting on this subject. So are you
making
> > yet
> > > > > another lie?
> > > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Show it.
> >
> > It's in this thread chain, Brian. Help yourself.
>
> So you're taking the fifth?

Nope.

QUOTE HEADER ONLY:

LenAnder...@ieee.org Apr 5, 12:16 pm hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: LenAnder...@ieee.org - Find messages by this author
Date: 5 Apr 2005 12:16:41 -0700
Local: Tues, Apr 5 2005 12:16 pm
Subject: Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

From: "bb" on Mon, Apr 4 2005 4:25 pm:

UNQUOTE:

> > > > You try to redirect, and I refuse to allow you. No
problem.
> > >
> > > Big problem(s). You lied. You lied four times. You lied about
> your
> > > inuendo...(SNIP)
> >
> > There was no "innuendo". There was YOU trying to make
something
> > out of something else said.
>
> Sorry Steve, anyone with more than half their brain tied behind their
> back would see your inuendo. How's Jim?

What inuendo, and which Jim? There's at least four that post in
here on a semi-regular basis.
Also, I kow at least two "Jim's" at work and a couple each in CAP and
the local Amateur Radio club.

> > > (UNSNIP)...you lied when you characterized the anon e-mailer as
and
> > > "attacker,"...(SNIP)
> >
> > I didn't say he made an attack, Brian.
>
> You characterized him/her as an "attacker."

Ahhhhhhhhhhhh...Now I CHARACTERIZED them as an "attacker"....Nice
way to try and get around yet
another comprehension/attetnion gaffe, eh, Brian?

> I'm curious how you define an "attacker?"

Why? The issue here is not what >>I<< consider an
"attacker"...It's about you substantiating
claims you've made.

> Perhaps an attacker is a person who makes attacks???
>
> Seven hostile attacks???

I guess you were trying to say something there, Brian...but it got
lost in the silliness.

> I said he made a threat to
> > forward my other comments to the family of the young lady whose
> picture
> > we were discussing. The word "attack" was the other
correspondants,
> > not mine.
>
> So you define as a threat someone who passes your comment on to the
> person you made the comments about?

In to context in which the respondant was making such claims, yes.

> You're pretty weak in the knees on this one.

Nope.

> > > (UNSNIP)...you lied about all of it not being a problem for
anyone
> > > except your anonymous "attacker," and then you lied about Len's
> > > involvement in this thread.
> >
> > I didn't say Lennie was involved in the thread. Re-read what
> was
> > written originally, Brian!
>
> "Len"

Lennie. And you STILL haven't acknowledged the original
statements, Brian.

> > Seems you and the anonymous lying coward have the same reading
> and
> > comprehension deficits, Brian.
>
> So how is Jim?

Which Jim? Why should I know? What does "Jim" have to do with
your refusal to substantiate an
obvioulsy flawed assertion?

> > > I wonder how many lies you'll tell tomorrow?
> >
> > None...So far, you've not shown where I lied at all, Brian.
>
> One so far. See above.

Still zero, Brian.

> > > > > > > You would have to matter for that to happen,and you just
> > don't
> > > > > > > matter.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Obviously not true, since responding to posts I make
> > > accounts
> > > > > > for
> > > > > > > over 85% of YOUR posts.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What's your track record?
> > > > >
> > > > Oh, I make no "warranty" about what and how I post.
> > >
> > > You couldn't honor the warranty when it comes due.
> >
> > There being none, there is none to honor.

>
> Then you are honorless. But we knew that already.

Again...How can one "honor" something that is not in place?

> > > I quite
> > > > readily acknowledge that I am quite ready to stand toe-to-toe
> with
> > > > those who are obviously lying, misrepresenting Amateur Radio,
or
> > > > inisist on acting foolishly in public.
> > >
> > > Toe to toe?
> >
> > Yep.
>
> Sounds like a fight.

It would be if there was a capable "opponent". You do not
qualify.

> > > Welp, you got called on your inuendo, you got called on
> > characterizing
> > > the anon e-mailer as an "attacker," you got called on your lying,
> and
> > > now the mirror is being help up for you to see yourself as the
liar
> > > that you are.
> >
> > I didn't make any innuendo.
>
> You did.

Your opinion. YOU editorialized on my comments. The context you
took it in was not what was
stated or intended.

> > You injected your own opinion.
>
> Opinions are not allowed, Oh Master Gunny?

I wasn't a Master Gunny...And sure, opinon is allowed...as long as
you remember what is opinion and
what is fact. And the FACTS are that my comments were neitehr stated
with the intent or objective that
you and the respondant were implying.

> > I didn't call the e mailer an "attacker".
>
> You repeated it often enough.

I didn't repeat ANYthing, Brian.

I have YET to call the other party an "attcaker".

Everyone reading this KNOWS that YOU are lying, Brian...Becasue
they can go back and read the thread and
see that you are yourself lying.

> > Here are all of my comments from March 31st, the date of my
> > original post. For brevity, I have snipped everyone else's
comments,
> > however you can easily cross-check the other thread to see that I
> have
> > not "edited" my own words, even for typos:
> >
> > QUOTE:
> >
> > Hello Everyone,
> >
> >
> > In the thread about " Selling 52 Simplex Radios", the following
> > comments were made by Mike Coslo, "Rabbi Phil" and myself:
> >
> >
> > QUOTE:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > Rabbi Phil wrote:
> > >> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > (SNIPPAGE HERE)
> >
> > Hey Mike...It's not THAT far fetched...Burghardt in NY has had
> that
> >
> > young lady in the tight fitting sweater for years...Musta beem
> selling
> > SOMEthing!
> >
> > UNQUOTE:
> >
> > So...later this morning I received a private e-mail from one
of
> > RRAP'S regular posters.
> >
> > I have snipped the person's name, call sign and e mail headers
> > since the letter was sent in private e-mail. However the e-mail
made
> > some very damning comments and a not-so-subtle threat.
>
> "not-so-subtle threat???"

Yep.

> And you said "I didn't call the e mailer an "attacker"."

I didn't.

Please find ONE sentence under my screen name wherein I have
called the third party an

> I guess people who make threats aren't attackers.

No attack occured.

> > QUOTE:
> >
> > In rrap Steve Robeson wrote:
> >
> > > Burghardt in NY has had that
> > > young lady in the tight fitting sweater
> > > for years...Musta beem selling SOMEthing!
> > > 73
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Burghardt Amateur Center is not in NY. It's a family business
which
> > was established by Stan Burghardt, W0IT (SK) 68 years ago in a
small
> > South Dakota town.
> >
> > A few years ago one of Stan's long-time employees, Jim Smith W0MJY,
> > purchased the business from Stan and became the second owner. It
> > remains a family owned business, and the lady you mention is Jim's
> > daughter, Michele, KC0MYV, a wholesome midwesterner who is very
> active
> > in running the "business side" of the store. Often she is pictured
> > standing alongside her dad in their QST ads, never in my knowledge
in
> a
> >
> > provocative sweater.
> >
> > The sleazy nudge-nudge insinuation in your "Musta beem seeling
> > SOMEthing!" comment is one of the lowest forms of attack I've ever
> seen
> >
> > on rrap. I'll expect to see a sincere unconditional apology on
rrap
> by
> >
> > the end of today, or I'll consider forwarding your trashy comments
to
> > Michele and Jim.
> >
> > UNQUOTE
>
> He's wrong. I've seen you make substantially greater threats on

rrap.

Such as...?!?!

> > Here's my response to this "letter", made in a very public
forum
> so
> >
> > that the correspondant can't make any other assinine insinuations
or
> > out-of-context editorializing:
> >
> > (1) I stand corrected on the South Dakota -vs- New York. My
> > bust.
>
> I chalked that up to an honest mistake, not one of your four (4)
lies.

You've still not provided a SINGLE lie, let alone four, Brian.

> > (2) I am sure the young lady is very good at what she does,
and
> > is every bit the "wholesome" person you report. I NEVER said
> anything
> > to the contrary. You are welcome to cite the part of the post
> wherein
> > I said differently, but you and I both know it's not there.
>
> That's what makes it inuendo. If you had meant that she was actually
> selling radios rather than "SOMEthing" else in a tight sweater, you
> would have typed a five letter word (radio) without capitalization
> rather than a 9-letter codeword implying "SOMEthing" else in that
tight
> sweater.

That's your interpretation, Brian, but one already disporven.

> > (3) The young lady's character and wholesomeness
> notwithstanding,
>
> "character and wholesomeness notwithstanding???"
>
> Is there any question about her character and wholesomeness???"
>
> Your an idiot.

Nope.

You are out-of-context, Brian...Nice try.

> > THEY obviously had NO PROBLEM in posing her in a tight sweater,
> > profile, in order to attract some male attention to thier ads.
> "Rabbi

> > Phil" commented about someone else "selling radios" with pictures
of
> > pretty women. I pointed out that another Amateur dealer had been
> doing
> > the same thing, and obviously it worked. Pictures of pretty women
> sell
> > everything from soft drinks to firearms to high speed automobiles.
> > Amateur Radio is no different. No problem.
>
> Idiot. Why don't you put up a picture of your "wholesome" spouse
> instead of that guy in a tight Air Force flight suit to register more
> hits...?

Register more hits on what? I am not selling anything. And if
you're refering to me about the flight
suit, it's not tight. Matter of fact, it's a bit too baggy.

> > Now, Dear Anonymous Writer (anonymous HERE...since you and I
> both
> > know who you are)...You can go RIGHT AHEAD and forward ANYthing you
> > like to ANY one you like. Tell them about "how trashy" the item
was,
> > if you want, but it IS archived right ehre in Google.
> >
> > You took offense...Too bad for you. You know as well as I do
> that
> > people can "take offense" at the most innocent of comments
> > all-the-while letting morbid profanity and bold threats roll off
> thier
> > backs. This is one of those moments.
> >
> > Have a nice day.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > OK, Brain...YOU show US wherein I called the anonymous
> > correspondant an "attacker".
> >
> > Matter of fact, go back to the original thread and go through
> any
> > of the posts I made and find one where I called the correspondant
an
> > "attacker".
> >
> > > > Thankfully that sub-group of ner-do-wells is fairly
narrow.
> > > You.
> > > > Lennie. Todd. Mark Morgan. The fairly few number of
anonymous

> > > > cowards who haven't got the guts or strength of conviction to
> stand
> > > > behind thier already lame game.
> > >
> > > "Thier" lame game?
> > >
> > > Look, you're the one playing off-duty COP on rrap.
> >
> > What "cop"...?!?! The only thing I have "arrested" is the
> > promulgation of further lies, mistruths and assinine assertions by
> you,
> > Lennie and Todd. So far, while not 100% effective, it's worked.
>
> You've created more lies in the past few days than the opinions that
> you try to "correct."
>
> Nurse, heal thyself.

Heal myself of what?

> > > You've shot off
> > > your mouth once too often and someone is now trying to get back
at
> > you
> > > with vile comments about your daughter.
> >
> > They are vile and speak to the character of the "author".
He'll
> > get his eventually.
> >
> > And you never acknowledge my thanks to you.
>
> I didn't make my comment for you. I made them for your daughter.

My daughter doesn't need your help, Brian. But it WAS me that
uttered the gratuity. Guess that it was
too much to expect you to acknowledge it.

> > > You shot off your mouth again
> > > about a young woman in an amateur radio advertisement, and some
> > > anonymous rrapper called you on it.
> >
> > There was no "shooting" off of anyone's mouth. There was a
> > comment about how effective the presence of a pretty woman in an
> > Amateur Radio advertisment helps to sell Amateur Radio equipment.
>
> Indeed.

Absolutely indeed.

> > Unless you know something I don't know about it, "sex" is a
> > multi-billion dollar selling tool. I can't drive the few minutes

to
> > work without passing a half dozen biilborads that have beautiful
> women
> > and handsome men hawking some goods on it...
>
> "SOMEthing"

Lot's of things. Deodorant. Cars. Trips to Aruba. Tupperware.

>
> > > You stand alone. No one supports your latest gaffe.
> >
> > So far, Mike Coslo made a statement that very celarly said
that
> > the statement could be read as such. He was correct.
>
> "could be..."

Yep. YOUR statement was that I "stand alone".

You were AGAIN proven wrong.

Sucks to be you.

> > And so far, neither you, any of the anonymous lying cowards,
the
> > original correspondant or Lennie have disproven otherwise.
>
> I know the difference between a "radio" and "SOMEthing in a tight
> sweater." And so do you.

I know that full figured women in tight fitting sweaters get my
attention and draw it to billboards,
TV ads, and even print ads in QST...Just like I said before.

> > But it would help. So far you've made countless accusations
of
> > mental defect, but have yet to prove a one.
>
> One day you'll be picked up and everyone will nod "we suspected, but
> what's a person to do?"

And you still have not told us what YOUR professional credentials
are to make such an assessment.

I, on the otherhand, have two decades of experience from which to
make an informed opinion.

> > On the OTHER hand, YOU have made COUNTLESS assertions and
> > misrepresentations that you can't or won't substantiate, your
LATEST
> > being the one that ARES can't/won't respond to any "major"
emergency
> > due to alleged age, infirmity or previous obligations of the

members.
>
> We're focusing on your problems here. Don't try the old dodge,
> plymouth, and chrysler routine.

The issue here was YOUR opinion of what YOU thought I said...Not
what was ACTUALLY said or the

> > Someone here HAS some issues to deal with Brian...But it's not
> me.
>
> Of course you don't. You're unable to take that very first step
> because you're in denial.

There's nothing to deny other than it's great fun rubbing your
nose in your own mistruths and aborted efforts
to redirect attention away from your other on-going efforts to "dodge"
the questions posed to you
vis-a-vis ARES and it's supposed inability to respond to emergencies.

> > Now...THIS post asks you to explain several of YOUR "gaffes",
> and
> > provides SPECIFIC quotes that DISPROVE your silly allegations.
>
> Oh, geez, some old man having to pull two shifts and complaining that
> he has to pull two shifts?

I didn't see him complaining...That was yet another BillyBeeper
insertion of opinion.

> Hang me high because a tired old man complains about two shifts!

I would if I could, but I still don't see where a "tired old man"
was complainng of
ANYthing...I do, however, see YOU trying to avoid the questions put to
you.

> > So how are you going to climb out from under THIS one, Brian?
> > Disappear for another couple weeks and hope we forget about YOUR
> lying?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Me climb out??? Hi, hi!

Yes. You.

> This must be the place where you would type, "BWHA ha ha ha ha ha
>
ha.....
.....!"
>
> You made the inuendo, you made another four lies to cover it, and

today
> you produce yet another lie -as- predicted. Too bad for you.

You claim I've lied five times.

You've not provided a single one of them.

Now...what were they?

Steve, K4YZ

3820. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 04:10:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112847023.588112.290620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105286

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > well you whiney little bitchwhore, you don't have to read my idea
or go
> > to my websites or blog.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
>
> Grow up toddyboy!!

GET A LIFE.....LOOSER!!

3821. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 04:24:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4254B61B.CA402933@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105287

```
bb wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: "bb" on Mon, Apr 4 2005 4:25 pm:
> > > > >
> > > > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >> bb wrote:
> > > > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >> > > bb wrote:
> > > > > >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > <etc., etc., etc....>
> > > > >
> > > > > >> I don't make anyone anything, Brian. I may hold a mirror
> up
> > > to
> > > > > >> them, but anything they "are" is of thier own doing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >You misunderstand. A mirror is being held up for you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Heh. He can't see his own reflection... :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > [...sort of a supernatural thing there...]
> > > >
> > > > American Wereham in London.
> > > >
> > > > > > (1) I am not a victim
> > > > > >
> > > > > >"(1.a.) I REFUSE to be a victim." Hi, hi!
> > > > >
> > > > > Nursie is NEVER at fault...ALWAYS someone else's fault!
> > > > >
> > > > > :-)
> > > >
> > > > He'll say I "made" him do (whatever).
> > > >
> > > > > > (2) It seems there are only three people here who have a
> > > > > problem
> > > > > >> with what I say, and that would be you, Lennie, and the person
> in
> > > > > the
```

> > > > >> e-mail. I'm not impressed that any "explanation" needs to
> occur.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Hmmmm?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >In another post you said that no one other than the mystery
> e-mailer
> > > > > >saw any impropriety in your comments. So which time were you
> lying?
> > > > > >Then or now?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Now you say that other than me, Len, and that mystery
> e-mailer...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >But I don't see Len posting on this subject. So are you making
> yet
> > > > > >another lie?
> > > > >
> > > > > Nursie NEVER lie! :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > I haven't posted in this newsgroup for (about) 2 weeks.
> > > >
> > > > It's making him crazy. He has no access to you. He's cold turkey
> and
> > > > using me as methadone.
> > > >
> > > > > Stevie da wonder ham is still angry, resentful, and
> > > > > otherwise unglued about no one respecting his Dill
> > > > > Instructor attitude for the past six years or so...:-)
> > > > >
> > > > > "Temper fry?" Whoeee...temper be roasted to a crisp!
> > > >
> > > > Newly recruited marines are sometimes discharged for
> non-adaptability
> > > > to military life. Steve should be put back in the Corps for
> > > > non-adaptability to civilian life. Let them deal with his lies,
> > > > assinine assertions, impossible delusions, and generally
> disrespectful
> > > > attitude.
> > >
> > > Don't you ever feel silly playing Len's game after his numerous posts
> in
> > > which he inevitably claims that he is here only to engage in civil
> > > debate over the removal of morse code testing, accuses others of
> > > engaging in personal attacks and denigration and then proceeds to do
> > > that of which he accuses others?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> Len isn't involved!!!

Who wrote what was attributed to him?

> Len is not engaged in K4YZs attack on the YL in South Dakota (or New
> York or wherever she may happen to be).

Attack? I've seen no attack by Steve on any YL.

> Len is not engaged in K4YZs
> attack on the supposed anon e-mailer.

He isn't?

> Len is not involved in my
> comments to, and my opinions about Steve.

You're right. You're involved in Len's comments about Steve. You know that what Len is doing is not part of any civil debate over the morse code issue. You know that Len's comments are personal attacks and denigration of Steve--the kind he likes to squawk about in others.

> Now don't you feel silly backing up K4YZ on his latest gaffe, just so
> you can comment on someone who isn't involved???

You become more strange with each newsgroup post.

> Go monitor the cluster or something that you have some very small
> amount of expertise in.

I have some very small expertise in automotive repair.

Dave K8MN

3822. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 04:30:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4254B769.ADCD5294@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105288

N9OGL wrote:
>
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> > Grow up toddyboy!!

> GET A LIFE.....LOOSER!!

Priceless! The guy calls someone a loser but can't spell the word.

Perhaps in a parallel universe, Todd is an inventor, a scientist, a broadcaster.

Dave K8MN

3823. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 05:15:15 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <npCdnWqysuZDXMnfRVn-gQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105289

N9OGL wrote:
<snip>
>
> Tachyon were orginally discovered in the 1960 by some japanese guy
> who's name I can't remember. There are a number of physics who are
> working to create takyons particles. But I think your missing the
> point, just because we can't product takyons now don't mean we can't in
> 30 or even 50 years.
>
> Todd N9OGL

hyperdimensional photons are nonexistent, so nobody discovered them!
If they do exist, how would you protect yourself from them? Would the
use of an AFDB device protect us from them? If you're interested todd
you can obtain one from this very reputable source.
http://zapatopi.net/afdb.html

3824. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 05:30:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112851800.244464.315220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105290

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> <snip>
> >
> > Tachyon were orginally discovered in the 1960 by some japanese guy
> > who's name I can't remember. There are a number of physics who are
> > working to create takyons particles. But I think your missing the
> > point, just because we can't product takyons now don't mean we
can't in
> > 30 or even 50 years.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> hyperdimensional photons are nonexistent, so nobody discovered them!
> If they do exist, how would you protect yourself from them? Would the

> use of an AFDB device protect us from them? If you're interested todd

> you can obtain one from this very reputable source.
> http://zapatopi.net/afdb.html

I really suggest Mr. CB Operator that you put down your high power
microphone and go to some REAL physics sites and read more on tackyons.
If you like I will be happy to give you websites where scientist are do
research in the field of producing Tackyons. Or perhaps you would
rather stay here and give your boyfriends (DAVE and STEVE) knob jobs,
which in my humble opinion that what your only real good at.

Todd N9OGL

3825. Re: Question for todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 05:33:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112851993.756962.190650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105291

What anonymous emails??? I think your smoking crack

3826. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 09:21:00 UTC
From: none@goawayusmellbaaaaaad.net
Message-ID: <1gun899.12ioz171ohch8lN%none@goawayusmellbaaaaaad.net>
Source record: 105292
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
wrote:

> In article
> <1112592600.614392.116610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> "Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jch_kimb...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > Stop <IKYABWAI>
>
> Stop sockpuppeting. You are still usesnet's biggest punching bag
> and drunk.

Woger? Is that you? I kinda recognize the spelling? LOL
--
Jules mhm26x8

3827. Re: Question for todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 10:02:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112868166.820200.158560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105293

N9OGL wrote:
> What anonymous emails??? I think your smoking crack

I am sure you do.

Steve, K4YZ

3828. Re: VE3SUN / AB6MW Diagnosed With Lung Cancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 10:11:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112868709.075170.100810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105294

Michael Black wrote:
> "K4YZ" (k4yz@aol.com) writes:
> >
http://www.nytimes.com/2005/04/06/business/media/06watch.html?ex=1113451200&en=cfc4fa7dbbd
01d6c&ei=5059&partner=AOL
> >
> > Our best wishes and prayers for Peter Jennings. Truly a man
among
> > men for his courage to stand up to this terrible scourge.
> >
> > Good luck, Peter.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> So which Peter Jennings are you talking about?
>
> Obviously, the news anchor is in the news with cancer.
>
> Are you saying the other Peter Jennings is also in the news with
> a health problem?
>
> Because while the Canadian callsign is to a "Peter Jennings" that
> US callsign is not. And using the name and callsign, finds a webpage
> that includes a reference to "Microchess" which was written by Peter
> Jennings.
>
> I doubt the guy on tv is the guy who wrote software.

If I have the wrong call, then I was wrong and I stand corrected.
However his name/call was on a list of "celebrities" who were also
licensed Amateurs. I crossed the calls since each call refers to the
other.

If they are not one-in-the same, my apologies to THIS "Peter
Jennings".

And why couldn't the news anchor Peter Jennings have not been the
author of the program you cite? He's obviously a very well educated
person. I bet he walks and chews gum very easily!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3829. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 10:17:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112869062.380545.104840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105295

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > well you whiney little ##########, you don't have to read my idea
> or
> > go
> > > to my websites or blog.
> >
> > I wouldn't have gone to your "blog" or "website" had it not
been
> > in here.
> >
> > And you keep bringing your irrational and foolish "ideas" into
> > THIS forum. You put them right up there for all to see...It's not
> like
> > I can avoid it.
> >
> > So...Stop posting in here and you'll stop being the butt
(pardon
> > the pun) of everyone's jesting.
> >
> HEY dumb###, I didn't post this, Mel did you ####ing moron.

Sure you did. Follow the attributes.

QUOTE:

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > well you whiney little ##########, you don't have to read my idea
> or
> > go
> > > to my websites or blog.

UNQUOTE:

> Secondly,
> no one is forcing you to read these thread, and you show me where Mr.
> I'm a ############ K4YZ, is the owner of this newsgroup...

No one ever said it was ANYone's, forum, Todd. YOU just have to
accept the consequances for your own actions. In this case, you ACT
like an idiot, you get treated like one.

It really is THAT simple.

> this is a public forum...(SNIP)

Yes, it is Todd...and you're making a rather poor showing in it.

> and I can post what I want. BTW My blog is still up and
> running....yep, your nothing but talk.....

Very little happens in just a day, Todd.

The fat lady hasn't sung yet.

Steve, K4YZ

3830. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 10:22:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112869346.919261.183380@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105296

N9OGL wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > <snip>
> > >
> > > Tachyon were orginally discovered in the 1960 by some japanese
guy
> > > who's name I can't remember. There are a number of physics who
are
> > > working to create takyons particles. But I think your missing the
> > > point, just because we can't product takyons now don't mean we
> can't in
> > > 30 or even 50 years.
> > >
> > > Todd N9OGL
> >
> > hyperdimensional photons are nonexistent, so nobody discovered
them!
> > If they do exist, how would you protect yourself from them? Would
the
>
> > use of an AFDB device protect us from them? If you're interested
todd
>
> > you can obtain one from this very reputable source.
> > http://zapatopi.net/afdb.html
>
> I really suggest Mr. CB Operator that you put down your high power
> microphone and go to some REAL physics sites and read more on
tackyons.

It's "tachyons", Mr. Wizard.

> If you like I will be happy to give you websites where scientist are
do
> research in the field of producing Tackyons.

"....where scientist are do research..."

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! !

> Or perhaps you would
> rather stay here and give your boyfriends (DAVE and STEVE) knob jobs,
> which in my humble opinion that what your only real good at.

That was scientifically poignant.

Steve, K4YZ

3831. Re: NO SUBJECT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 10:25:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112869523.377739.141080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105297

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >
> > I'd be careful mentioning prepubescent females in this group,
Buzz.
> > Some pretty bent and devious minded idiots have nested here
lately.
>
> I would like to see one touch her and live to tell about it.

A B S O L U T E L Y ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

3832. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 11:52:00 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <A995e.5777$gF5.1707@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 105298

Yep...and in this case, we'd be speaking of both of you--if you'll think about it. <GRIN> The only true idiots I've had exchanges with on this newsgroup are probably true idiots in life also. That would be the idiots that can't have disagreements and remain fairly human in the process.

By the way, I am shocked that I happened to click on this post among those that I scrolled this morning. Heck, this "newsgroup" has gone to shit. Literally. What the Hell are you, Steve, and you, Brian, even doing engaging these freaks? Do you notice that no one else (well, unless they are posting under other IDs) of the usual gang is even posting? Where's everyone gone? OH, and Brian, I didn't even realize "bb" is you. Is that you, really?

Anyway...yes, Steve and Brian both, we have had disagreements. The part about being a real human being is recognizing that it takes all kinds, that all have something constructive to offer, and that if we all agreed with each other it'd be a damned boring world.

Then, there are the freaks....My favorite worst freak has a name that reminds me of bread..as in roll. LOL

<GRIN>

Give the board a rest and let these freaks get outta here...

Kim W5TIT

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1112841632.771033.204160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > There are several people in here with whom I have disagreed
> > > and/or
> > > > carried on length discussions at opposite ends of the verbal
> > see-saw.
> > >
> > > Prove it.
> >
> > Ask Jim, N2EY Ask James Hampton, AA2QA or Kim, W5TIT.
>
> Ask the anon e-mail "attacker?"
>

3833. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 11:58:22 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <FLOdnZfLR7rFvcjfRVn-gw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105299

N9OGL wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>><snip>
>>
>>>Tachyon were orginally discovered in the 1960 by some japanese guy
>>>who's name I can't remember. There are a number of physics who are
>>>working to create takyons particles. But I think your missing the
>>>point, just because we can't product takyons now don't mean we
>
> can't in
>
>>>30 or even 50 years.
>>>
>>>Todd N9OGL
>>
>>hyperdimensional photons are nonexistent, so nobody discovered them!
>>If they do exist, how would you protect yourself from them? Would the
>
>
>>use of an AFDB device protect us from them? If you're interested todd
>
>
>>you can obtain one from this very reputable source.
>>http://zapatopi.net/afdb.html
>
>
> I really suggest Mr. CB Operator that you put down your high power
> microphone and go to some REAL physics sites and read more on tackyons.

Well gayboy, I'm using a keyboard and not a microphone, and I've never
owned a cb radio. Why are you so hung up on badmouthing cb anyway?
> If you like I will be happy to give you websites where scientist are do
> research in the field of producing Tackyons.

Producing tackyons? First you say they "were orginally discovered in the
1960 by some japanese guy who's name I can't remember". If so many
scientist are producing them, why is this japanese guy's name not known?
Then you say "just because we can't product takyons now don't mean we
can't in 30 or even 50 years."
I thought we can't "product" them? How are so many scientist in the
field producing them as you say?

> Or perhaps you would rather stay here and give your boyfriends (DAVE and STEVE)knob jobs,
> which in my humble opinion that what your only real good at.
OH ? You have an opinion? Look in the mirror gay boy, you look like the
type to give knob jobs and have "boyfriends", and you know what they say
about opinions, "Opinions are just like a--holes, everybody has one,
but, yours is full of crap and stinks".
Gay boy, you don't know what the word humble means!

Todd, you never answered the question!
Are you also not an amateur radio operator todd? Why do you belittle hams?
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

3834. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 16:31:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112891467.553362.123410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105300

Kim wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1112841632.771033.204160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > There are several people in here with whom I have
disagreed
> > > and/or
> > > > > carried on length discussions at opposite ends of the verbal
> > see-saw.
> > > >
> > > Prove it.
> > >
> > Ask Jim, N2EY Ask James Hampton, AA2QA or Kim, W5TIT.
> >
> > Ask the anon e-mail "attacker?"
>
> Yep...and in this case, we'd be speaking of both of you--if you'll
think
> about it. <GRIN>

For Brian's benefit: Point made.

> The only true idiots I've had exchanges with on this
> newsgroup are probably true idiots in life also. That would be the
idiots
> that can't have disagreements and remain fairly human in the process.

No doubt.

> By the way, I am shocked that I happened to click on this post among
those
> that I scrolled this morning. Heck, this "newsgroup" has gone to
****.

It's th usual, Kim...The entrance of Todd Daugherty and his
following of anonymous lying cowards is the worst of it...They usually
get weary after being slapped around with truth, however. They can't
stand being bested.

> Literally. What the Hell are you, Steve, and you, Brian, even doing
> engaging these freaks? Do you notice that no one else (well, unless
they

> are posting under other IDs) of the usual gang is even posting?
Where's
> everyone gone? OH, and Brian, I didn't even realize "bb" is you. Is
that
> you, really?

Yes...that's Brian. He went gutless and won't sign his name to his
posts. He tried to "disguise" who he was for a while, but just like
Lennie before him, he slipped up one day and "signed" one of his "bb"
posts...Got caught lying and STILL won't own up to it.

> Anyway...yes, Steve and Brian both, we have had disagreements. The
part
> about being a real human being is recognizing that it takes all
kinds, that
> all have something constructive to offer, and that if we all agreed
with
> each other it'd be a damned boring world.
>
> Then, there are the freaks....My favorite worst freak has a name that
> reminds me of bread..as in roll. LOL

Oh, C'mon now, Kim...You two had a "thing"...!!!! =0

> <GRIN>
>
> Give the board a rest and let these freaks get outta here...

Good idea. Besides, I've been nipping at Brian's heels to own up
to his OTHER assertions, but the little coward won't answer questions
vis-a-vis his OWN assertions.

Sure is a terrible way for a Veteran to be remembered....Skulking
behind (not so) anonymous screen names and not having the intestinal
fortitude to back up his own rhetoric.

88

Steve, K4YZ

3835. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 16:38:42 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.moc>
Message-ID: <j-SdnXLCRYFz_MjfRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105301

N9OGL wrote:

> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>
>>>well you whiney little bitchwhore, you don't have to read my idea
>
> or go
>
>>>to my websites or blog.
>>>
>>>Todd N9OGL
>>>
>>
>>Grow up toddyboy!!
>
>
> GET A LIFE.....LOOSER!!
>

What is a "looser" toddyboy?

3836. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 16:46:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112892381.311826.263020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105302

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:

> > I really suggest Mr. CB Operator that you put down your high power
> > microphone and go to some REAL physics sites and read more on
tackyons.
>
> Well gayboy, I'm using a keyboard and not a microphone, and I've
never
> owned a cb radio. Why are you so hung up on badmouthing cb anyway?

That's Todd's way of trying to put himself over you, Jim. If he
can find some disagreeable reference to put you in, then he feels that
he's got the upper hand.

> > If you like I will be happy to give you websites where scientist
are do
> > research in the field of producing Tackyons.
>
> Producing tackyons? First you say they "were orginally discovered in
the
> 1960 by some japanese guy who's name I can't remember". If so many
> scientist are producing them, why is this japanese guy's name not
known?
> Then you say "just because we can't product takyons now don't mean we
> can't in 30 or even 50 years."

That's because he can't remember which comic book he read it in,
Jim....Personally, I think he got it from "Star Trek: The Next
Generation"...You know...When Geordi creates the tachyon field in which
to see the cloaked Romulun War Bird by re-routing the Main Deflector
Dish throught the Phaser Bank Array...?!?!

> I thought we can't "product" them? How are so many scientist in the
> field producing them as you say?

I'm still amazed at the fact that Mr. Wizard insists that
scientists can produce "particles". Guess he was asleep in high school
physics.

> > Or perhaps you would rather stay here and give your boyfriends
(DAVE and STEVE)knob jobs,
> > which in my humble opinion that what your only real good at.
>
> OH ? You have an opinion? Look in the mirror gay boy, you look like
the

> type to give knob jobs and have "boyfriends"...(SNIP)

I know...that shirt he's wearing on the QRZ picture has "cheap and easy" written al;l over it...And that mop on his head...Can you say "bad hair day"...?!?!

> and you know what they say
> about opinions, "Opinions are just like a--holes, everybody has one,
> but, yours is full of crap and stinks".

And like I told him, Jim...I might be the ###hole he accuses me of being, but at least an ###hole has an important function in life...I am still trying to figure his out...Unless it's to be used as a milestone by which to gauge "idiotic" or "assinine".

> Gay boy, you don't know what the word humble means!

I doubt he could spell it, even after having seen it here.

> Todd, you never answered the question! Are you also not an amateur radio operator todd?

Unfortunately...

> Why do you belittle hams?

Because despite being licensed himself, most OTHER Amateurs have an IQ and English comprehension skills well in excess of his. And since he insists on acting a fool in Amateur Radio forums, it's mostly Hams who get to point out his errors to him.

And they are not few in number!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3837. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 16:47:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112892478.733259.195590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105303

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:

> > GET A LIFE.....LOOSER!!
> >
>
> What is a "looser" toddyboy?

That's how Todd likes his men..."looser"...!!!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3838. MS ARES/SKYWARN Activations...Guess They Missed Brian's Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 17:05:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112893529.533892.75610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105304

bb wrote:

> The importance of amateur radio is easily overblown. The utility of
> amateur radio in emergencies is entirely dependent on mostly aging
men,
> who may or may not be available to assist in emergencies. For
example,
> you have the rickyranger kind of guys who volunteer for ARES, MARS,
> Red Cross, CAP, and probably other acronyms that he has yet to reveal
> to the group, yet have primary responsibilities as an emergency room
> nurse. So when the emergency happens, ARES, MARS and CAP are left
> hanging.

Guess these guys in Mississippi didn't get wind of your
assessment, Brain...

QUOTE: (From the ARRL Webpage)

SKYWARN endures daylong siege in Central Mississippi (Apr 7, 2005) --
Wednesday, April 6, in Central Mississippi began with tornadoes, severe
hail and flooding, prompting activation of local SKYWARN, Amateur Radio
Emergency Service (ARES) teams and Amateur Radio volunteers. Members of
the Jackson Amateur Radio Club (JARC) staffed the National Weather
Service (NWS) Jackson Forecast Office.

SNIP TO....

This week's event marked the eighth SKYWARN activation since March 1.
SKYWARN is a voluntary program developed by the NWS to improve its
severe weather warning program.--Ben Jones, AC5SU

UNQUOTE

Facts and evidence contrary to Brian's assertions keep rolling in
and Brian P Burke keeps looking more and more foolish...

Will it ever cease...???

Steve, K4YZ

3839. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 21:36:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112909778.039621.122110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105305

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Hey Brian...Where's your substantiation to your "Tech's being
> > > chased away" claim...?!?!
> >
> > I own no Tech's.
>
> Obviously.
>
> You also do not own a sense of pride or appreciation for the
worth
> of character. Otherwise you might try to own up to some of your
> assertions with some substantiation of their validity.
>
> > Go ask the anon e-mailer where all the Techs have gone.
> >
> > > Shall we just add that to your growing list of "Stupid
Things
> > > Brian P Burke Has Said On RRAP But Can't Prove" list...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." Hi, hi!
>
> Back to the out-of-context, "this is all I have to throw at him"
> stuff, eh, Brian...???
>
> That's over a year old now...Yet YOU, on the other hand,
continue
> to add to your volumes of dumb assertions.
>
> Still no answers, eh, Brian...???
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You claim that people's opinions are wrong. Keep knocking yourself
out.

3840. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 22:02:58 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112911378.725769.323570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105306

K4YZ wrote:
> Kim wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1112841632.771033.204160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > There are several people in here with whom I have
> disagreed
> > > > > and/or
> > > > > > carried on length discussions at opposite ends of the
verbal
> > > > see-saw.
> > > > >
> > > > > Prove it.
> > > >
> > > > Ask Jim, N2EY Ask James Hampton, AA2QA or Kim, W5TIT.
> > >
> > > Ask the anon e-mail "attacker?"
> >
> > Yep...and in this case, we'd be speaking of both of you--if you'll
> think
> > about it. <GRIN>
>
> For Brian's benefit: Point made.

I do my best to avoid the mixed fruit. I did post to one that his
comments about Steve's family were dispicable.

> > The only true idiots I've had exchanges with on this
> > newsgroup are probably true idiots in life also. That would be the
> idiots
> > that can't have disagreements and remain fairly human in the
process.
>
> No doubt.
>
> > By the way, I am shocked that I happened to click on this post
among
> those
> > that I scrolled this morning. Heck, this "newsgroup" has gone to
> ****.
>

> It's th usual, Kim...The entrance of Todd Daugherty and his
> following of anonymous lying cowards is the worst of it...They
usually
> get weary after being slapped around with truth, however. They can't
> stand being bested.

Todd is Steve's new sparring buddy. I made an early post to Todd that
his language wouldn't earn him any points here, and then left him
alone. Per usual, Steve cannot NOT reply to him.

> > Literally. What the Hell are you, Steve, and you, Brian, even
doing
> > engaging these freaks? Do you notice that no one else (well,
unless
> they
> > are posting under other IDs) of the usual gang is even posting?
> Where's
> > everyone gone? OH, and Brian, I didn't even realize "bb" is you.
Is
> that
> > you, really?

bb is me. I don't engage them, and Steve is as low as I go. I'm still
trying to find some redeeming quality in him, but he's one marine
that's got his head buried in the sand or "SOMEthing." Hi!

> Yes...that's Brian. He went gutless and won't sign his name to
his
> posts. He tried to "disguise" who he was for a while, but just like
> Lennie before him, he slipped up one day and "signed" one of his "bb"
> posts...Got caught lying and STILL won't own up to it.

Billy Beeper is bb, in case Steve can't make the connection. The spam
in my regular e-mail account has dropped to almost nothing since using
this throwaway account. Of course, Steve sees something nefarious in
my doing this, yet I have never denied who I am.

> > Anyway...yes, Steve and Brian both, we have had disagreements. The
> part
> > about being a real human being is recognizing that it takes all
> kinds, that
> > all have something constructive to offer, and that if we all agreed
> with
> > each other it'd be a damned boring world.
> >
> > Then, there are the freaks....My favorite worst freak has a name
that
> > reminds me of bread..as in roll. LOL
>
> Oh, C'mon now, Kim...You two had a "thing"...!!!! =0

Not even funny.

> > <GRIN>
> >
> > Give the board a rest and let these freaks get outta here...
>
> Good idea.

Yeh, Steve, good idea. You've single-handedly found them a new home on rrap. Thanks.

Besides, I've been nipping at Brian's heels to own up
> to his OTHER assertions, but the little coward won't answer questions
> vis-a-vis his OWN assertions.

Steve set a record for new lies this past week. He questioned a YLs honor, and then lied about. Then he lied about who objected to it. Then he lied about lying about it.

Meanwhile he's been shooting his mouth off to some of the rra.misc freaks. I'm suprised none have taken his advice to put bricks through windows, slash tires, or terrorize wives. After all, Steve says that's been know to happen when a person shoots off their mouth. Right now they're just making vile comments about Steve's family.

Kim, nice hearing from you.

bb

3841. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 22:21:38 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ielcg21.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 105308

On 4 Apr 2005 15:38:11 -0700, bb wrote:

>> Actually, we have six operators qualified for that location, and we
>> work in teams of three (county voice net, inter-hospital voice net,
>> county-wide packet net) so we'll have plenty of operators.
>
>Now c'mon Phil. I used to write duty schedules, so I know how many
>times two teams of three fit into a calendar day. Is this a two-day
>ORI?

Not nearly. Our hospitals "play" 8-hour shifts per exercise day,
which works out just nicely. From prior exercises, we find that 4
hours on and four hours off is a no-sweat deal. A lot of it is
sitting around waiting for something to happen. SAC it ain't.

Our portion, we were told last week, was split off into a two-day
state-wide exercise in June. This area doesn't get to play the full
game until TOPOFF-4 which is a couple of years down the road. Maybe
by that time "they" will have ironed out the kinks that this year's
exercise revealed.

No problem - we still get plenty of mini-callouts to deal with, such
as the AMTRAK derailment last Sunday. Our ER didn't know how many
cases would be diverted to us (fortunately the number was zero) so
we were all on standby for two hours.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3842. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 22:27:56 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112912876.036020.133440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105307

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > TOPOFF-3 will be four days. More than ample time for any
one
> > > person to pull more than one "shift" during the exercise,
depending
> > on
> > > how you're defining "shift".
> > >
> > > 12 hour shifts: Four (assuming 12 on / 12 off)
> > >
> > > 8 hour shifts: Six (assuming 8 on / 8 off)
> > >
> > > 4 hour shifts: Eight (assuming 4 on / 8 off)
> >
> > Levy your "attack" on Phil. Phil said it was two days, and that he
> > would be working both days.
>
> There was no attack. Either on you, nor especially on Phil.

Then why did you find it necessary to snip your crappy little comment?

"Always at a deficit for information, aren't you, Brian?"

If I cannot trust the information that the participant provides, then
what can I trust?

> YOU, however, were the one making the comments about how many
> shifts he was pulling-vs-qualified operators to do it.

That is no reflection on Phil. It is a reflection on the rest on the
amateur community, as I said.

> > > Brian...You CAN pull more than two shifts under ONE of those
> > > formats, couldn't you...??? I sure could, and will. CAP is a
> > > participating agency in this.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Do provide RRAP an after action report of your heroic CAP
activities.
>
> I don't engage in heroics, Brian. By the book...safe and
> responsible. That's how I got through my tour in the USMC with my

tail
> intact, that's how I have spent 30+ years in CAP without injury, and
> that's how I practice Nursing.

Your behavio[u]r here indicates otherwise.

> Now...about your assertion that ARES can't/won't respond to
> emergencies...Are you going to provide some validation of that
> statement?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

ARES will respond to emergencies as they are able, just like cell
phones may or may not work in an emergency.

OK, below is the information that Phil provided.

> > It's a two-day exercise, and I can make it both days.

> > >I would guess that you have to pull two shifts because there are
not
> > >enough amateur volunteers.

> > Actually, we have six operators qualified for that location, and
we
> > work in teams of three (county voice net, inter-hospital voice
net,
> > county-wide packet net) so we'll have plenty of operators.

> Now c'mon Phil. I used to write duty schedules, so I know how many
> times two teams of three fit into a calendar day. Is this a two-day
> ORI?

You inform me that it is a four day exercise, or 96 hours. Assuming
12-hour shifts, that is 8 time slots.

Phil and 5 other volunteers are participating, and each "team" is
comprised of three volunteers. That makes two teams. Team A, and Team
B.

Let's put Phil on Team A, and Phil is pulling two shifts.

Day 1, Team A: Day
Team B: Night

Day 2, Team A: Day
Team B: Night

Day 3, Team A: Day (no Phil, team is short handed)
Team B: Night

Day 4, Team A: Day (no Phil, team is short handed)
Team B: Night

Remember, the conditions are that there are only six (6) qualified operators, so there is a deficit of volunteers unless they are pulling some 24 hour shifts. We don't know how few or many shifts the other volunteers will pull.

Sorry, Steve, but thems the numbers. And I would guess that Phil's group is quite active.

3843. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-07 23:16:03 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <Taj5e.2552$yq6.828@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105309

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1112693039.494510.28040@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

:
: So there are four prime examples of YOU not substantiating YOUR
: claims, thereby proving MY opinions that you are NOT truthful and that
: YOU do not prove YOUR assertions.
:
: Anything else?
:

Well, let's examine the record.....

"VE3SUN / AB6MW Diagnosed With Lung Cancer"

Nice 'shoot from the hip' unsubstantiated claim which you've failed to
prove. (And why are you dragging AB6MW into it? His name isn't Peter
Jennings.)

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

3844. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 02:09:40 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <EJI5e.2656$yq6.2602@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105310

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1112760418.777711.35260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
: Tachyon were orginally discovered in the 1960 by some japanese guy
: who's name I can't remember. There are a number of physics who are
: working to create takyons particles. But I think your missing the
: point, just because we can't product takyons now don't mean we can't in
: 30 or even 50 years.

You need to gitcherself one of these ---
http://molelog.molehill.org/blox/HowStuffWorks/Crap/TinfoilHat.writeback

Perfect protection against takyons..... or is it tachyons..... or maybe
it's tacky-ons..... all of this tichnecal thingamabobs is so cornfusing.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

3845. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 02:33:31 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1112927611.198385.226880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105311

The only "particles" Todd discovered were the mystery shards in the Wal
Mart aisles that he had to sweep up.

3846. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 02:43:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112928226.058963.282660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105312

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 4 Apr 2005 15:38:11 -0700, bb wrote:
>
> >> Actually, we have six operators qualified for that location, and
we
> >> work in teams of three (county voice net, inter-hospital voice
net,
> >> county-wide packet net) so we'll have plenty of operators.
> >
> >Now c'mon Phil. I used to write duty schedules, so I know how many
> >times two teams of three fit into a calendar day. Is this a two-day
> >ORI?
>
> Not nearly. Our hospitals "play" 8-hour shifts per exercise day,
> which works out just nicely. From prior exercises, we find that 4
> hours on and four hours off is a no-sweat deal. A lot of it is
> sitting around waiting for something to happen. SAC it ain't.
>
> Our portion, we were told last week, was split off into a two-day
> state-wide exercise in June. This area doesn't get to play the
full
> game until TOPOFF-4 which is a couple of years down the road.
Maybe
> by that time "they" will have ironed out the kinks that this year's
> exercise revealed.
>
> No problem - we still get plenty of mini-callouts to deal with,
such
> as the AMTRAK derailment last Sunday. Our ER didn't know how many
> cases would be diverted to us (fortunately the number was zero) so
> we were all on standby for two hours.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Decent. You're doing good things.

3847. Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 03:00:36 UTC
From: auntie Iris <goto.rathergood.com@null.com>
Message-ID: <b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 105313
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!

here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/

I quote from the kook site above:

"We've been conditioned to believe we need all these
towers for cell phones. These ARE NOT cell phone
towers, read about The ELF God. The types above
are typical for ELF towers that the NWO is using
for mind control messaging and dumbing down
the population. Sensitive people can pick up
messages such as "Accept The New World Order"
and others being blasted by these towers.
If you see white flashes of light emanating from
them then, and even if you don't..they are working
to condition your mind......"

---- cut ----

Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa....Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HA !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
I haven't laughed this hard since my last colonoscopy!

This a MUST SEE folks! : http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^
^ ^ ^
(The new World Order explained by TRAILER DWELLING XIAN
LUDDITES what ain't got a clue or know a resistor from a rim-job !!)

3848. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 03:06:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1112929613.892561.121310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105314

From: "bb" on Wed, Apr 6 2005 8:38 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > The original intent of this newsgroup was to discuss
>> > amateur radio policy.
>>
>> Whooooooooa! There's a major rhetoric change on the part of
>>Lennie the Lame!
>
>How much more uninformed could a "person" be? Len has been saying
this
>for years.

Quite true. However, Robeson is in the constant
aggravated antagonistic mode and therefore makes
up lies about what other people have written.

>> Up until recently this forum been solely about the "Morse Code
>>Test Debate"...Or so he's claimed...
>
>He's said its been about bad celestial calculations and seven
>completely undocumentated hostile actions.
>
>It's supposed to be about Morse Code.

This newsgroup was originally opened to take the morse
code test discussion/argument out of rec.radio.amateur.misc
to revlieve the crowding there. According to Paul's
often-stated welcome message text, it is concerned with
all topics of amateur radio POLICY.

Unfortunately, that's not the de facto situation with
message topics...which have ranged widely from the
(usual) bragging and self-glorification of individuals
to U.S. national politics and political figures to
choo-choo trains and other items in-between.

>> Finally get tired of getting your head bashed in over and over
>> with FACTS to the contrary, Oh Spiteful One...?!?!
>
>You're the one with the bloody scalp. Nurse, heal thyself.

In order to bandage himself, he has to look into a
mirror. That's difficult if he can't see his

reflection. :-)

>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> Putz.
>
>Penis envy or just penis inuendo and infatuation?

It's just ENVY on Robeson's part because he's never been IN electronics engineering in any way (a short-term job as a purchasing agent doesn't qualify for electronics engineering). He never got into military communications handling despite having that vaunted amateur radio license before first joining. He never got to be any kind of military pilot despite having a private pilot's ticket before joining. [we still don't know the When and Where of those claimed "seven hostile actions"...]

He bitterly resents ANYONE talking against him, as witness this latest barrage of antagonistic postings against others. He holds a terrible grudge on others from a long time ago (Heil suffers from the same malady) and doesn't mind venting in here, courtesy be damned (on his part).

Not being Jewish or even claimed closeness with any Yiddisher, he picks up what he thinks is a cutesy "cuss word" (putz) and tries to use it as a semi-perjorative. So few know the meaning of that Yiddish expression (including himself), that he thinks he can get away with it.

What is curious is that Robeson cries/whines/bitches about "civility" and use of "nasty" words, yet uses them himself and is most uncivil in his remarks. See the "complaint" he alleges he made to Google about Todd (who has received far too many nastygrams for a non-amateur-policy subject).

Robeson "shoots from the lip" about other things far too often. The old "MARS >>IS<< ham radio" subject was (perhaps) the prime one. Now we have the total confusion between "Peter Jennings" the ABC TV news anchor and another of the same name who is an amateur radio licensee...all couched in some sort of emotional "message of support" for a cancer victim.

Robeson often (far too often) DEMANDS "cites" for someone stating anything against him which was patently obvious to other readers of this newsgroup. He fakes "outrage" that others would do such a thing to HIM! :-) On the

other hand, as the compleat hypocrite, he tells rather bad, unreferencible LIES about others. Not a problem to Robeson, but a problem to others since they don't care that much about Robeson's "outrage." That "outrage" is NOT a part of amateur radio policy and, as a result, has helped (greatly) to turn this newsgroup into a petty internecine personalily warfare blog.

There's NO real impetus for anyone to discuss amateur radio policy in here...EXCEPT that everything should be concerned with an absolute adherence to the Status Quo. Anyone expressing any other viewpoint is ganged-up on, shouted down, and denigrated...for the simple reason of disagreeing with the cherished Status Quo. Ergo, there's no possible room in here to discuss issues. There's no hope of discussing any pros or cons of the morse code test since the Status Quo maintains an absolute "necessity" to forever keep the code test. All the Status Quists took that test, therefore all others MUST do so. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person

3849. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 03:22:29 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <C6SdnTE5WLNqZcjfRVn-qw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105315
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

auntie Iris wrote:
> Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
>
> here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
>
> I quote from the kook site above:
>
> "We've been conditioned to believe we need all these
> towers for cell phones. These ARE NOT cell phone
> towers, read about The ELF God. The types above
> are typical for ELF towers that the NWO is using
> for mind control messaging and dumbing down
> the population. Sensitive people can pick up
> messages such as "Accept The New World Order"
> and others being blasted by these towers.
> If you see white flashes of light emanating from
> them then, and even if you don't..they are working
> to condition your mind......"
>
> ---- cut ----
>
> Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa....Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HA !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> I haven't laughed this hard since my last colonoscopy!
>
> This a MUST SEE folks! : http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
> ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^
> ^ ^ ^
> (The new World Order explained by TRAILER DWELLING XIAN
> LUDDITES what ain't got a clue or know a resistor from a rim-job !!)
>

Todd? Is that you? Are these the ELF's that you invented? Now that the government knows that you are spreading the word, I fear they will be sending the black helicopters for you. The only advice I have for you is to save 1 bullet for yourself!

3850. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 03:23:57 UTC
From: jblues15@msn.com
Message-ID: <1112930637.598260.86450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105316
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>>Iris said: Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa....Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HA
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>I haven't laughed this hard since my last colonoscopy!

God Damn, if that's all it takes for you to bust a gut maybe you should
consult a psychiatrist or get a cat scan. You could be suffering from
a brain tumor or worse. Jake

3851. K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 03:26:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112930780.508255.315730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105317

Well, it's now 10:21 CST and my weblog is still on the web, after K4YZ claimed him and his lawyer was going to shut it down....I really think K4YZ is, and always has been full of it.

Todd N9OGL

3852. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 03:27:11 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <xJCdnU54scOMZ8jfRVn-uQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105318

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> From: "bb" on Wed, Apr 6 2005 8:38 pm
>
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> The original intent of this newsgroup was to discuss
>>>> amateur radio policy.
>>>
>>> Whooooooooa! There's a major rhetoric change on the part of
>>>Lennie the Lame!
>>
>>How much more uninformed could a "person" be? Len has been saying
>
> this
>
>>for years.
>
>
> Quite true. However, Robeson is in the constant
> aggravated antagonistic mode and therefore makes
> up lies about what other people have written.
>
>
>>> Up until recently this forum been solely about the "Morse Code
>>>Test Debate"...Or so he's claimed...
>>
>>He's said its been about bad celestial calculations and seven
>>completely undocumentated hostile actions.
>>
>>It's supposed to be about Morse Code.
>
>
> This newsgroup was originally opened to take the morse
> code test discussion/argument out of rec.radio.amateur.misc
> to revlieve the crowding there. According to Paul's
> often-stated welcome message text, it is concerned with
> all topics of amateur radio POLICY.
>
> Unfortunately, that's not the de facto situation with

> message topics...which have ranged widely from the
> (usual) bragging and self-glorification of individuals
> to U.S. national politics and political figures to
> choo-choo trains and other items in-between.
>
>
>>> Finally get tired of getting your head bashed in over and over
>>>with FACTS to the contrary, Oh Spiteful One...?!?!
>>
>>You're the one with the bloody scalp. Nurse, heal thyself.
>
>
> In order to bandage himself, he has to look into a
> mirror. That's difficult if he can't see his
> reflection. :-)
>
>
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>
>>> Putz.
>>
>>Penis envy or just penis inuendo and infatuation?
>
>
> It's just ENVY on Robeson's part because he's never
> been IN electronics engineering in any way (a short-
> term job as a purchasing agent doesn't qualify for
> electronics engineering). He never got into military
> communications handling despite having that vaunted
> amateur radio license before first joining. He never
> got to be any kind of military pilot despite having
> a private pilot's ticket before joining. [we still
> don't know the When and Where of those claimed "seven
> hostile actions"...]
>
> He bitterly resents ANYONE talking against him, as
> witness this latest barrage of antagonistic postings
> against others. He holds a terrible grudge on others
> from a long time ago (Heil suffers from the same
> malady) and doesn't mind venting in here, courtesy
> be damned (on his part).
>
> Not being Jewish or even claimed closeness with any
> Yiddisher, he picks up what he thinks is a cutesy
> "cuss word" (putz) and tries to use it as a semi-
> perjorative. So few know the meaning of that Yiddish
> expression (including himself), that he thinks he can
> get away with it.
>
> What is curious is that Robeson cries/whines/bitches

> about "civility" and use of "nasty" words, yet uses
> them himself and is most uncivil in his remarks. See
> the "complaint" he alleges he made to Google about
> Todd (who has received far too many nastygrams for a
> non-amateur-policy subject).
>
> Robeson "shoots from the lip" about other things far
> too often. The old "MARS >>IS<< ham radio" subject was
> (perhaps) the prime one. Now we have the total confusion
> between "Peter Jennings" the ABC TV news anchor and another
> of the same name who is an amateur radio licensee...all
> couched in some sort of emotional "message of support"
> for a cancer victim.
>
> Robeson often (far too often) DEMANDS "cites" for someone
> stating anything against him which was patently obvious
> to other readers of this newsgroup. He fakes "outrage"
> that others would do such a thing to HIM! :-) On the
> other hand, as the compleat hypocrite, he tells rather
> bad, unreferencible LIES about others. Not a problem to
> Robeson, but a problem to others since they don't care
> that much about Robeson's "outrage." That "outrage" is
> NOT a part of amateur radio policy and, as a result,
> has helped (greatly) to turn this newsgroup into a petty
> internecine personalily warfare blog.
>
> There's NO real impetus for anyone to discuss amateur
> radio policy in here...EXCEPT that everything should be
> concerned with an absolute adherence to the Status Quo.
> Anyone expressing any other viewpoint is ganged-up on,
> shouted down, and denigrated...for the simple reason of
> disagreeing with the cherished Status Quo. Ergo, there's
> no possible room in here to discuss issues. There's no
> hope of discussing any pros or cons of the morse code
> test since the Status Quo maintains an absolute "necessity"
> to forever keep the code test. All the Status Quists took
> that test, therefore all others MUST do so. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person

Where's the career Air Force sergeant when you need him? You still alive
Larry? I hope none of Toads toucans got him!

3853. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 03:33:29 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <xJCdnUl4scMXZsjfRVn-uQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105319

N9OGL wrote:

> Well, it's now 10:21 CST and my weblog is still on the web, after K4YZ
> claimed him and his lawyer was going to shut it down....I really think
> K4YZ is, and always has been full of it.
>
> Todd N9OGL

When did anybody claim that they are shutting your site down? Are the
voices in your gay head lying to you again toad?

3854. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 03:35:22 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <_Zm5e.2510$An2.1215@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105320
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
:
: Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
:
: here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
:
: I quote from the kook site above:
:
: "We've been conditioned to believe we need all these
: towers for cell phones. These ARE NOT cell phone
: towers, read about The ELF God. The types above
: are typical for ELF towers that the NWO is using
: for mind control messaging and dumbing down
: the population.

Oh, but don't be so quick to dismiss this as the work of Christian Kooks.
Right here on rec.radio.amateur.policy we have N9OGL inventing the very
transmitters that the ELF God is using. He makes incredible claims for
transmitting these hidden messages by compressing voice signals into digital
signals and transmitting them on ELF. Scary stuff!

Here's a sample of his "work"

> From N9OGL

> Tuesday, March 22, 2005

> A ELF TRANSMITTER

> I have built a ELF audio to pulse transmitter, the transmitter
> operates on a frequency of 1 to 30 Hz. A person talks
> through the transmitter which is converted into pulses.
> The pulse rate can be regulated by a variable resistor.
> The pulse then go's to a oscillator which is variable and
> can operate on a 1 cycle to 30 cycles.

I think we should be afraid, VERY afraid!

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

3855. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 03:55:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112932553.674475.300860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105321

Moron KC8GXW,
K4YZ claimed on my website that him and his lawyer were going to
shut it down. BTW ASSHOLE, I not gay, but maybe you are.
Todd N9OGL

3856. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 04:03:04 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <-4SdnXhNSqXnn8vfRVn-oA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105322

Gayboytoad wrote:

> Moron KC8GXW,
> K4YZ claimed on my website that him and his lawyer were going to
> shut it down. BTW ASSHOLE, I not gay, but maybe you are.
> Todd N9OGL

But toady, you are the gay looking guy with the pink shirt that was just talking about knob jobs! Maybe that was a reference to helping somebody operate their appliance? Does it upset you that we found out before you were ready to come out of the closet? Maybe you can still get the vanity call of N9GAY, you flaiming fag!

3857. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 04:07:34 UTC
From: ++The Commentator++ <nospam@no.trolls>
Message-ID: <42560386.26860753@no.trolls>
Source record: 105323
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

auntie Iris wrote:
>
> Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
>
> here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
>
> I quote from the kook site above:
>
> "We've been conditioned to believe we need all these
> towers for cell phones. These ARE NOT cell phone
> towers, read about The ELF God. The types above
> are typical for ELF towers that the NWO is using
> for mind control messaging and dumbing down
> the population. Sensitive people can pick up
> messages such as "Accept The New World Order"
> and others being blasted by these towers.
> If you see white flashes of light emanating from
> them then, and even if you don't..they are working
> to condition your mind......"
>
> ---- cut ----
>
> Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa....Ha Ha Ha Ha Ha HA !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> I haven't laughed this hard since my last colonoscopy!
>
> This a MUST SEE folks! : http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
> ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^
> ^ ^ ^
> (The new World Order explained by TRAILER DWELLING XIAN
> LUDDITES what ain't got a clue or know a resistor from a rim-job !!)

Ye flipping gods! Mental illness is an ugly thing.

3858. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 04:08:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112933303.962151.23740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105324

FUCK YOU, YOU LITTLE STEVE AND DAVE BITCH! I'M NOT FUCKING GAY YOU FUCKING BITCHWHORE ASSHOLE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!

3859. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 06:17:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112941047.758804.293330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105325

N9OGL wrote:
> Well, it's now 10:21 CST and my weblog is still on the web, after
K4YZ
> claimed him and his lawyer was going to shut it down....I really
think
> K4YZ is, and always has been full of it.

And you seem to think things happen overnight.

They don't.

Steve, K4YZ

3860. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 06:21:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112941299.423514.206370@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105326

N9OGL wrote:
> Moron KC8GXW,
> K4YZ claimed on my website that him and his lawyer were going to
> shut it down.

I never said I was going to "shut your site down", Todd.

I said I will get your offending comments and threats off of it.

Again, you seem to think that thinkgs happen over night. It may take a few weeks, even a few months, but eventually you'll cooperate or go bankrupt paying lawyers fees.

> BTW ###HOLE, I not gay, but maybe you are.

But YOU are the one ALWAYS making reference to the anus, Todd. You certainly demonstrate a preference for it. You may indeed not be gay (laughing at myself wondering what kind of woman would get into bed with you, though...), but you certainly have a fetish for it.

Steve, K4YZ

3861. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 06:24:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112941490.420527.234790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105327

N9OGL wrote:
> #### YOU, YOU LITTLE STEVE AND DAVE #####! I'M NOT ####ING GAY YOU
> #####ING ####### ###HOLE MOTHER#######!!!!!

I think we need to do some research...get the name of that "church group" that Todd has alleged to "represent", and send them copies of his newsgroup postings.

I pretty well think that would serve to sever any relationships between Todd and his "political weight".

Steve, K4YZ

3862. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 06:27:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112941627.455341.253740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105328

KC8GXW wrote:
> Gayboytoad wrote:
>
> > Moron KC8GXW,
> > K4YZ claimed on my website that him and his lawyer were going
to
> > shut it down. BTW ###HOLE, I not gay, but maybe you are.
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> But toady, you are the gay looking guy with the pink shirt that was
just
> talking about knob jobs! Maybe that was a reference to helping
somebody
> operate their appliance? Does it upset you that we found out before
you
> were ready to come out of the closet? Maybe you can still get the
vanity
> call of N9GAY, you flaiming fag!

Hey Jim...K9GAY is available...That way he could get all his
intimate fetishes into one callsign!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3863. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 06:49:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112942956.590385.228930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105329

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed, Apr 6 2005 8:38 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> > The original intent of this newsgroup was to discuss
> >> > amateur radio policy.
> >>
> >> Whooooooooa! There's a major rhetoric change on the part of
> >>Lennie the Lame!
> >>
> >How much more uninformed could a "person" be? Len has been saying
> this
> >for years.

No, Brian..."Lennie" has been saying that this forum was solely
for the discussion of the Morse Code Test exam issue only. On numerous
occassions. Archived in Google.

> Quite true. However, Robeson is in the constant
> aggravated antagonistic mode and therefore makes
> up lies about what other people have written.

What "lies", Lennie...?!?!

You don't tell the truth.

> >> Up until recently this forum been solely about the "Morse Code
> >>Test Debate"...Or so he's claimed...
> >>
> >He's said its been about bad celestial calculations and seven
> >completely undocumentated hostile actions.
> >
> >It's supposed to be about Morse Code.
>
> This newsgroup was originally opened to take the morse
> code test discussion/argument out of rec.radio.amateur.misc
> to revlieve the crowding there. According to Paul's
> often-stated welcome message text, it is concerned with
> all topics of amateur radio POLICY.

Yep. But that's not been YOUR contention.

> Unfortunately, that's not the de facto situation with
> message topics...which have ranged widely from the

> (usual) bragging and self-glorification of individuals
> to U.S. national politics and political figures to
> choo-choo trains and other items in-between.
>
> >> Finally get tired of getting your head bashed in over and
over
> >> with FACTS to the contrary, Oh Spiteful One...?!?!
> >
> >You're the one with the bloody scalp. Nurse, heal thyself.
>
> In order to bandage himself, he has to look into a
> mirror. That's difficult if he can't see his
> reflection.

My reflection is fine, and I can even look myself in the eyes and
not have any misgivings about the true nature of my character.

You and Brian, on the otherhand, are chronic liars.

Documented. Proven.

> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >>
> >> Putz.
> >
> >Penis envy or just penis inuendo and infatuation?
>
> It's just ENVY on Robeson's part because he's never
> been IN electronics engineering in any way (a short-
> term job as a purchasing agent doesn't qualify for
> electronics engineering).

And like any choice in life...it was just that. You, on the
otherhand, seem to think that "electronic engineering" was the do-all
and end-all of life. Maybe for YOU, but many of us found more things
to do in our lives.

Furthermore, there's no evidence, empirical or otherwise, that you
were ever of any impact on "electronics engineering" yourself. You've
been asked repeatedly to show us SOME project that came to fruition
under your supervision, but so far all we've been treated to is your
litany of jobs and a few long-forgotten articles in a long-defunct
magazine. Never once has any of THOSE "papers" been cited as part and
parcel of any other project.

Your name does not appear on ANY "professional" papers as author
or co-author that I've been able to find. You've been asked to provide
them. You haven't.

> He never got into military
> communications handling despite having that vaunted
> amateur radio license before first joining.

Wrong.

> He never
> got to be any kind of military pilot despite having
> a private pilot's ticket before joining.

I'm curious as to how having an PPSEL ticket (48 hours in a Cessna
150 at that point) had to do with being a military aviator, Lennie.

You've made that statement before and I've asked you before as to
what it "means". Still no answer.

> [we still
> don't know the When and Where of those claimed "seven
> hostile actions"...]

Only because I know it chaps your hide that you can't swish your
swagger stick around demanding to know and not get what you want.

> He bitterly resents ANYONE talking against him, as
> witness this latest barrage of antagonistic postings
> against others. He holds a terrible grudge on others
> from a long time ago (Heil suffers from the same
> malady) and doesn't mind venting in here, courtesy
> be damned (on his part).

There's no "grudge", Lennie.

You lie, you deceive and you misrepresent Amateur Radio. It takes
precious little effort to undermine your efforts to do so, so I do.

Make's ya mad, but that's YOUR issue to deal with!

> Not being Jewish or even claimed closeness with any
> Yiddisher, he picks up what he thinks is a cutesy
> "cuss word" (putz) and tries to use it as a semi-
> perjorative. So few know the meaning of that Yiddish
> expression (including himself), that he thinks he can
> get away with it.

It's not about "getting away" with anything, Lennie.

You really ARE a putz. It really is THAT simple.

Big snip of usual Lennesque diversion to...

> There's NO real impetus for anyone to discuss amateur
> radio policy in here...EXCEPT that everything should be
> concerned with an absolute adherence to the Status Quo.

Nope. No "absolute adherence to status quo".

Again, you make misrepresentations that anyone who cares to
research from this forum will know is not true.

MOST of the lack of "impetus" is the presence of foul mouth idiots
like Todd, his anonymous lying friends, and persons such as yourself

who are NOT licensed Amateurs and who DON'T have any experience from
which to make informed opinions yet insist they have some greater
knowing of what Amateur Radio is and how it should "run".

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person

And prime time putz.

Steve, K4YZ

3864. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 06:55:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112943314.310541.117090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105330

Steve, good luck, but I REALLY doubt that anything will happen.....but good luck.As for the callsign idea perhaps you should get it, that's MORE your style.

Todd N9OGL

3865. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 06:57:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112943465.843839.234880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105331

Right, hey steve between you and Jim which ones the butch, and which one is the bitch....my bet is jim's your little bitch.

3866. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 07:00:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112943653.480706.246490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105332

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > TOPOFF-3 will be four days. More than ample time for any
> one
> > > > person to pull more than one "shift" during the exercise,
> depending
> > > on
> > > > how you're defining "shift".
> > > >
> > > > 12 hour shifts: Four (assuming 12 on / 12 off)
> > > >
> > > > 8 hour shifts: Six (assuming 8 on / 8 off)
> > > >
> > > > 4 hour shifts: Eight (assuming 4 on / 8 off)
> > >
> > > Levy your "attack" on Phil. Phil said it was two days, and that
he
> > > would be working both days.
> >
> > There was no attack. Either on you, nor especially on Phil.
>
> Then why did you find it necessary to snip your crappy little
comment?

Because there was no "crappy little comment", Brian.

> "Always at a deficit for information, aren't you, Brian?"

That's not "crappy", Brian...It's the truth. That YOU don't LIKE
it is one thing...

> If I cannot trust the information that the participant provides, then
> what can I trust?

Yeah...I guess when RRAP is the ONLY place you get your
"information" from, then you're pretty much at loggerheads as to what
you "know"...

> > YOU, however, were the one making the comments about how many
> > shifts he was pulling-vs-qualified operators to do it.
>
> That is no reflection on Phil. It is a reflection on the rest on the
> amateur community, as I said.

I didn't say it was a reflection on Phil, Brian.

It's about your own insinuations of why it was "necessary" for
Phil to pull more than one "shift" on this exercise.

> > > > Brian...You CAN pull more than two shifts under ONE of
those
> > > > formats, couldn't you...??? I sure could, and will. CAP is a
> > > > participating agency in this.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Do provide RRAP an after action report of your heroic CAP
> activities.
> >
> > I don't engage in heroics, Brian. By the book...safe and
> > responsible. That's how I got through my tour in the USMC with my
> tail
> > intact, that's how I have spent 30+ years in CAP without injury,
and
> > that's how I practice Nursing.
>
> Your behavio[u]r here indicates otherwise.

My behaviour here has nothing to do with what happens in the
cockpit of an aircraft, Brian.

> > Now...about your assertion that ARES can't/won't respond to
> > emergencies...Are you going to provide some validation of that
> > statement?
>
> ARES will respond to emergencies as they are able, just like cell
> phones may or may not work in an emergency.

Then you are acknowledging that your statement was false and
misrepresentitive of ARES' ability to respond...?!?!

> ----------
> OK, below is the information that Phil provided.
> ----------
>
> > > It's a two-day exercise, and I can make it both days.
>
> > > >I would guess that you have to pull two shifts because there are
> not
> > > >enough amateur volunteers.
>
> > > Actually, we have six operators qualified for that location,
and
> we
> > > work in teams of three (county voice net, inter-hospital voice
> net,
> > > county-wide packet net) so we'll have plenty of operators.

> > > Now c'mon Phil. I used to write duty schedules, so I know how many
> > > times two teams of three fit into a calendar day. Is this a
two-day
> > > ORI?
> > > ----------
> >
> > You inform me that it is a four day exercise, or 96 hours. Assuming
> > 12-hour shifts, that is 8 time slots.
> >
> > Phil and 5 other volunteers are participating, and each "team" is
> > comprised of three volunteers. That makes two teams. Team A, and
Team
> > B.
> >
> > Let's put Phil on Team A, and Phil is pulling two shifts.
> >
> > Day 1, Team A: Day
> > Team B: Night
> >
> > Day 2, Team A: Day
> > Team B: Night
> >
> > Day 3, Team A: Day (no Phil, team is short handed)
> > Team B: Night
> >
> > Day 4, Team A: Day (no Phil, team is short handed)
> > Team B: Night
> >
> > Remember, the conditions are that there are only six (6) qualified
> > operators, so there is a deficit of volunteers unless they are
pulling
> > some 24 hour shifts. We don't know how few or many shifts the other
> > volunteers will pull.
> >
> > Sorry, Steve, but thems the numbers. And I would guess that Phil's
> > group is quite active.

And you have made the assumption that they are working 12 hour
shifts. Your re-arrangement still does not substantiate your other
claims.

And Phil states they "work in teams of three".

He does not state that all three are "on duty" at all times. I've
never been on ANY mission or exercise, USMC, CAP, Red Cross, ARES, etc,
where ALL operators were "on duty" at one time.

Sorry, Brian...Your contentions are still grasping for straws.

Nice try, though.

Steve, K4YZ

3867. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 07:02:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112943739.055204.295450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105333

What are you some kind of communist? Wanting to supress speech on some one own website. BWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHA THE COURT WILL THROW THAT OUT IN A HEART BEAT!!!! GOOD LUCK!!!

3868. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 07:06:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112944007.790273.129080@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105335

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Hey Brian...Where's your substantiation to your "Tech's
being
> > > > chased away" claim...?!?!
> > >
> > > I own no Tech's.
> >
> > Obviously.
> >
> > You also do not own a sense of pride or appreciation for the
> worth
> > of character. Otherwise you might try to own up to some of your
> > assertions with some substantiation of their validity.
> >
> > > Go ask the anon e-mailer where all the Techs have gone.
> > >
> > > > Shall we just add that to your growing list of "Stupid
> Things
> > > > Brian P Burke Has Said On RRAP But Can't Prove" list...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." Hi, hi!
> >
> > Back to the out-of-context, "this is all I have to throw at
him"
> > stuff, eh, Brian...???
> >
> > That's over a year old now...Yet YOU, on the other hand,
> continue
> > to add to your volumes of dumb assertions.
> >
> > Still no answers, eh, Brian...???
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You claim that people's opinions are wrong. Keep knocking yourself
> out.

No...I claim that your assertion that ARES is "dependent" on aging men who can't/won't respond due to age/infirmity or are over obligated is misleading and untrue.

I've asked you SEVERAL TIMES now to show me JUST ONE example of some situation where ARES was asked to respond to a situation but couldn't due to any of the criteria YOU cited.

YOU claimed that "unlicensed devices play a major role" in "emergency comms". I've asked your to substantiate THAT claim with some sort of valid reference, but you;ve balked at that one, too.

You claim, I ask you to validate the claim. You refuse. No facts = invalid claim.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

3869. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 07:08:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112944116.779412.226270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105334

N9OGL wrote:
> What are you some kind of communist? Wanting to supress speech on
some
> one own website. BWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHA THE COURT WILL THROW THAT
> OUT IN A HEART BEAT!!!! GOOD LUCK!!!

Directed profanities and terroristic threatening are not
"protected speech", Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

3870. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 07:13:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112944421.847658.318850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105336

There you go again, claiming I made "terroristic threats" I think you
better read again. I didn't say anyone's name nor did I threaten anyone
I suggest it. A BIG difference.
as for profanities I would like you point out a law that states
profanities isn't allowed on the internet.
Todd N9OGL

3871. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 07:29:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112945369.604373.61930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105337

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Kim wrote:
> > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1112841632.771033.204160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > There are several people in here with whom I have
> > disagreed
> > > > > > and/or
> > > > > > > carried on length discussions at opposite ends of the
> verbal
> > > > > see-saw.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Prove it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Ask Jim, N2EY Ask James Hampton, AA2QA or Kim, W5TIT.
> > > > >
> > > > Ask the anon e-mail "attacker?"
> > > >
> > > Yep...and in this case, we'd be speaking of both of you--if
you'll
> > think
> > > about it. <GRIN>
> >
> > For Brian's benefit: Point made.
>
> I do my best to avoid the mixed fruit. I did post to one that his
> comments about Steve's family were dispicable.

Yes you did. And I acknowledged it and thanked you for it.

However, as for the "mixed fruit", sorry, Brian, but you're center
piece of the fruit bowl.

And I reiterate my "point made" statement.

> > It's the usual, Kim...The entrance of Todd Daugherty and his
> > following of anonymous lying cowards is the worst of it...They
> usually
> > get weary after being slapped around with truth, however. They
can't
> > stand being bested.

>
> Todd is Steve's new sparring buddy. I made an early post to Todd
that
> his language wouldn't earn him any points here, and then left him
> alone. Per usual, Steve cannot NOT reply to him.

Just like you, Brian...I don't tolerate liars. Todd's not "lied"
so much as he's been absolutely assinine, but he's had a couple of
peachs in there too.

> > > Literally. What the Hell are you, Steve, and you, Brian, even
> doing
> > > engaging these freaks? Do you notice that no one else (well,
> unless
> > they
> > > are posting under other IDs) of the usual gang is even posting?
> > Where's
> > > everyone gone? OH, and Brian, I didn't even realize "bb" is you.
> Is
> > that
> > > you, really?
>
> bb is me. I don't engage them, and Steve is as low as I go. I'm
still
> trying to find some redeeming quality in him, but he's one marine
> that's got his head buried in the sand or "SOMEthing." Hi!

"...head burined in the sand.." Cute, coming from a person who
has a laundry list of patent lies and deceit to his credit in this
forum.

...and who buries his face with anonymous screen names and no
"signature" on his posts...

> > Yes...that's Brian. He went gutless and won't sign his name to
> his
> > posts. He tried to "disguise" who he was for a while, but just
like
> > Lennie before him, he slipped up one day and "signed" one of his
"bb"
> > posts...Got caught lying and STILL won't own up to it.
>
> Billy Beeper is bb, in case Steve can't make the connection. The
spam
> in my regular e-mail account has dropped to almost nothing since
using
> this throwaway account. Of course, Steve sees something nefarious in
> my doing this, yet I have never denied who I am.

And it was pointed out to him that he could sign his real name and
call to the bottom of posts and not risk "spam".

I not only use my real e-mail address, but sign my real name and
real callsign to my posts and don't see 10 pieces of spam make it
through my filters in a week. Never have.

Brian just doesn't want his name associated with his idiotic
assertions and blatant lying.

Too late.

> > Oh, C'mon now, Kim...You two had a "thing"...!!!! =0
>
> Not even funny.

No...it wasn't, hence the face.

> > > <GRIN>
> > >
> > > Give the board a rest and let these freaks get outta here...
> >
> > Good idea.
>
> Yeh, Steve, good idea. You've single-handedly found them a new home
on
> rrap. Thanks.

Nope. Thier landing here was the doing of Todd, N9OGL who brought
them with him.

> Besides, I've been nipping at Brian's heels to own up
> > to his OTHER assertions, but the little coward won't answer
questions
> > vis-a-vis his OWN assertions.
>
> Steve set a record for new lies this past week. He questioned a YLs
> honor, and then lied about. Then he lied about who objected to it.
> Then he lied about lying about it.

And you've still not proved the FIRST assertion, make all the rest
YOUR lies, Brian...Promulgated in a vain hope to hide your own errors.

Sorry...It ain't working.

> Meanwhile he's been shooting his mouth off to some of the rra.misc
> freaks. I'm suprised none have taken his advice to put bricks
through
> windows, slash tires, or terrorize wives.

Who suggested that, Brian? You've uttered similar claims before
but S T I L L have not substantiated that ANYONE in this forum, let
alone me, made that threat.

> After all, Steve says that's
> been know to happen when a person shoots off their mouth. Right now
> they're just making vile comments about Steve's family.

The weak minded take the weak shots.

> Kim, nice hearing from you.
>
> bb

Can't even sign your name for a friend. Sheeeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

3872. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 07:30:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112945454.882934.324980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105338

N9OGL wrote:
> There you go again, claiming I made "terroristic threats" I think you
> better read again.

I'll let the courts decide.

> I didn't say anyone's name nor did I threaten anyone
> I suggest it. A BIG difference.
> as for profanities I would like you point out a law that states
> profanities isn't allowed on the internet.

I'll let the courts decide.

Steve, K4YZ

3873. Brian Burke, N0IMD, Where Are The Answers To These Questions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 07:37:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112945842.265679.196660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105339

bb wrote:
> Caveat Lector wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1112498677.875748.151760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >>CL Wrote
> > >> With 100 Watts and a Mushcraft 1/2 wave vertical -- got all but
2
> > > states in
> > >> a year (need KY and AR)
> > >
> > > BB sed
> > > I might have been able to give you both this past week. About
> where
> > > are you located?
> > >
> > Ah thanks for the try -- but lent my antenna out to a friend so he
> could
> > make new parts for his AR-6.
> >
> > I'm in So Calif
> >
> > But summer is sporadic E season for 6M-- so the best time would be
> May June
> > July
> > Will need double hop for KY
> > Maybe single hop for AR.
> >
> > CL
>
> I did some work on 6 and 2 ssb when there were lots of active Techs,
> but it seems a lot slower now that they've been chased away.

What Techs have been "chased away"?

Who chased them away, if they were? Perhaps you simply meant
"chased away by poor propagation"? I doubt it, but let's hear your
rationale for this claim.

What motive would any operator have for "chas(ing) away" any other
operator where the more opertors you work the more awards you can
amass?

Steve, K4YZ

3874. Re: VE3SUN / AB6MW Diagnosed With Lung Cancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 07:39:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112945940.556877.86250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105340

K4YZ wrote:
>
http://www.nytimes.com/2005/04/06/business/media/06watch.html?ex=1113451200&en=cfc4fa7dbbd
01d6c&ei=5059&partner=AOL
>
> Our best wishes and prayers for Peter Jennings. Truly a man
among
> men for his courage to stand up to this terrible scourge.
>
> Good luck, Peter.

I stand corrected on the US Call...It was AB6WM...Not MW.

Steve, K4YZ

3875. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 07:40:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112946009.778721.227160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105341

RIGHT BWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA if profanities weren't allowed on the internet the the majority of the internet would be shut down.....BWAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Good luck, but I really don't see it going anywhere because the Supreme Court made a ruling back in 1997 on the subject of obscene and indecent material, when they ruled the Communication Decency Act unconstitutional but hey BWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA GOOD LUCK!!!

3876. Re: VE3SUN / AB6MW Diagnosed With Lung Cancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 07:43:08 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <d35cmc$gj0$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 105342

"K4YZ" (k4yz@aol.com) writes:
> Michael Black wrote:
>> "K4YZ" (k4yz@aol.com) writes:
>> >
> http://www.nytimes.com/2005/04/06/business/media/06watch.html?ex=1113451200&en=cfc4fa7db
bd01d6c&ei=5059&partner=AOL
>> >
>> > Our best wishes and prayers for Peter Jennings. Truly a man
> among
>> > men for his courage to stand up to this terrible scourge.
>> >
>> > Good luck, Peter.
>> >
>> > 73
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> So which Peter Jennings are you talking about?
>>
>> Obviously, the news anchor is in the news with cancer.
>>
>> Are you saying the other Peter Jennings is also in the news with
>> a health problem?
>>
>> Because while the Canadian callsign is to a "Peter Jennings" that
>> US callsign is not. And using the name and callsign, finds a webpage
>> that includes a reference to "Microchess" which was written by Peter
>> Jennings.
>>
>> I doubt the guy on tv is the guy who wrote software.
>
> If I have the wrong call, then I was wrong and I stand corrected.
> However his name/call was on a list of "celebrities" who were also
> licensed Amateurs. I crossed the calls since each call refers to the
> other.
>
But what happens is that people in wanting to legitimize the hobby,
seek out famous people who happen to have ham licenses. But they
sometimes don't check enough, assuming that someone with the same
name must be the same person. I've seen this before, though I
can't think of examples at the moment.

When I saw your first post, my reaction was "I didn't know he
was a ham" and knowing that this happens, I did check.

I see you made a typo. His call is AB6WM (not AB6MW in the subject header) and that is indeed allocated to a "Peter R. Jennings" according to QRZ, while the Radio Amateur's of Canada website show that VE3SUN belongs to Peter Ralph Jennings.

But under the QRZ entry, there is a link to his website (which I found earlier with a websearch) http://www.benlo.com and it clearly isn't the same Peter Jennings.

> If they are not one-in-the same, my apologies to THIS "Peter
> Jennings".
>
> And why couldn't the news anchor Peter Jennings have not been the
> author of the program you cite? He's obviously a very well educated
> person. I bet he walks and chews gum very easily!
>
Because the one with a ham license was relatively known in small computer circles in the late seventies. You'd read about him, and no mention of him being a tv anchor. I think he wrote some articles for the hobby computer magazines, and I think he appeared at early small computer conventions. Not only would he have been noticed if he was the news guy, but I don't think his schedule would fit going to such conventions and such.

He had a company to sell Micro-chess (which originally ran on the KIM-1 in its 1K of memory), but after a while it was merged with Personal Software. Sometime after that, they started selling Visicalc.

Finally, doing some more websearching turns up this page http://users.tellurian.com/gjurrens/famous_hams_rumors.html that is about mistakes like this, and mentions that Peter Jennings the ham is not the tv anchor.

Michael VE2BVW

3877. I Stand Corrected on "Which" Peter Jennings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 07:58:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112947087.102142.280560@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105343

Michael Black wrote:
> "K4YZ" (k4yz@aol.com) writes:
> > Michael Black wrote:
> >> "K4YZ" (k4yz@aol.com) writes:
> >> >
> >
http://www.nytimes.com/2005/04/06/business/media/06watch.html?ex=1113451200&en=cfc4fa7dbbd
01d6c&ei=5059&partner=AOL
> >> >
> >> > Our best wishes and prayers for Peter Jennings. Truly a
man
> > among
> >> > men for his courage to stand up to this terrible scourge.
> >> >
> >> > Good luck, Peter.
> >> >
> >> > 73
> >> >
> >> > Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> So which Peter Jennings are you talking about?
> >>
> >> Obviously, the news anchor is in the news with cancer.
> >>
> >> Are you saying the other Peter Jennings is also in the news with
> >> a health problem?
> >>
> >> Because while the Canadian callsign is to a "Peter Jennings" that
> >> US callsign is not. And using the name and callsign, finds a
webpage
> >> that includes a reference to "Microchess" which was written by
Peter
> >> Jennings.
> >>
> >> I doubt the guy on tv is the guy who wrote software.
> >
> > If I have the wrong call, then I was wrong and I stand
corrected.
> > However his name/call was on a list of "celebrities" who were also
> > licensed Amateurs. I crossed the calls since each call refers to
the
> > other.
> >
> But what happens is that people in wanting to legitimize the hobby,

> seek out famous people who happen to have ham licenses.

"...legitimize the hobby..."...?!?!

> But they
> sometimes don't check enough, assuming that someone with the same
> name must be the same person. I've seen this before, though I
> can't think of examples at the moment.
>
> When I saw your first post, my reaction was "I didn't know he
> was a ham" and knowing that this happens, I did check.

I had seen it in two different references. Obviously both were
wrong, and I have acknowledged that I made the error not checking
further myself.

> I see you made a typo. His call is AB6WM (not AB6MW in the subject
> header) and that is indeed allocated to a "Peter R. Jennings"
according
> to QRZ, while the Radio Amateur's of Canada website show that VE3SUN
> belongs to Peter Ralph Jennings.

Yep. I acknowledged that in another post.

> But under the QRZ entry, there is a link to his website (which I
> found earlier with a websearch) http://www.benlo.com and it clearly
> isn't the same Peter Jennings.
>
> > If they are not one-in-the same, my apologies to THIS "Peter
> > Jennings".
> >
> > And why couldn't the news anchor Peter Jennings have not been
the
> > author of the program you cite? He's obviously a very well
educated
> > person. I bet he walks and chews gum very easily!
> >
> Because the one with a ham license was relatively known in small
> computer circles in the late seventies. You'd read about him, and
> no mention of him being a tv anchor.

I have to own up to having never been interested in "computers" in
the 70's. Most of my "experience" with them were home version of
"Pong" and basic file storage systems. I never had much use for one.

> I think he wrote some articles
> for the hobby computer magazines, and I think he appeared at early
> small computer conventions. Not only would he have been noticed
> if he was the news guy, but I don't think his schedule would fit
> going to such conventions and such.

Again, I've not "followed" either one, so other than occassionally
catching the TV version on the evening news, I really knew nothing of

either.

I will have to state, however, that the TV Jennings IS from Canada, and hence my reason for so readily accepting the previous "report" of his being VE3SUN.

> He had a company to sell Micro-chess (which originally ran on the KIM-1
> in its 1K of memory), but after a while it was merged with Personal
> Software. Sometime after that, they started selling Visicalc.
>
> Finally, doing some more websearching turns up this page
> http://users.tellurian.com/gjurrens/famous_hams_rumors.html
> that is about mistakes like this, and mentions that Peter Jennings
> the ham is not the tv anchor.

You're quite correct.

However I still offer my deepest and heartfelt wishes for Mr. Jennings (of ABC) Godspeed in his treatment.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3878. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 08:36:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112949411.690866.304820@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105344

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > There you go again, claiming I made "terroristic threats" I think
you
> > better read again.
>
> I'll let the courts decide.
>
> > I didn't say anyone's name nor did I threaten anyone
> > I suggest it. A BIG difference.
> > as for profanities I would like you point out a law that states
> > profanities isn't allowed on the internet.
>
> I'll let the courts decide.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

TODD N9OGL

http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/ THE VOICE OF N9OGL
http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/ MY INVENTIONS
http://216.176.81.228/n9ogl.htm MY OFFICIAL WEBSITE
http://216.176.81.228/pictures.htm MY PICTURES

3879. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 09:41:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112953307.214798.314540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105345

>From the "Correspondence" files of the N9OGL Funny Farm:

QUOTE:

Dear Sir,

The picture at http://216.176.81.228/k4yz.jpg has been removed from
the site. As for the other information sent by Mr. Robertson are either
half truth or not true. Mr Robertson has not only been abusive to me
but other people on the newsgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy. If you
remove my service I will not only sue him but you as well for first
amendment violation. Before you hear a complaint made by
someone I suggest you gather all the facts before you make a
decision. Again the picture has been removed. This letter along with
other facts and findings might be sent to the Electronic Frontier
Foundation (EFF) Chilling Effects Clearinghouse (http://
www.chillingeffects.org) as well as Federal Communication
Commissions(FCC) (http://www.fcc.gov/) which Mr. Robertson is a
licensee. Once again the picture is has been removed.

Todd Daugherty N9OGL

UNQUOTE:

BWWWHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHYAHYAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! !

That's it, Todd! Drag out the "Ill sue you" threat!

As for sending letters to any of those agencies you cited
(including the FCC, for whatever reason you added them), BE MY GUEST
you little toad!

Todd, you're the comic relief of society. If it weren't for foul
mouthed, irrational and immature clowns like you, we'd have nothing by
which to appreciate the finer things in our society!

And I am sure you impressed him when you didn't even spell MY name
right despite all the correspondence! You can't format a letter, can't
spell, and your first response is to sue everybody!

You impotent toad!

Steve, K4YZ

3880. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 10:01:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112951699.017190.78590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105346

N9OGL wrote:

> http://n9oglinvents.blogspot.com/ MY INVENTIONS

Sheesh, Todd...Only a half dozen people have told you how idiotic
your blog is due to typos and poor grammar alone, yet you've not done a
single thing to fix it.

You expect people to take serious the postings of a guy who can't
even spell the easiest words correctly?

What an idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

3881. I've Reconsidered My Position On N9OGL's Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 10:22:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112955729.019768.303590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105347

Todd,

As I wrote my last response to you in another thread, it came to me that if your "blog" and any of it's spin off's were removed or censored, it really would depreciate life in general.

You see, there has to be a "lowest common denominator" from which we can base the rest of which we call society, and Son, you're just about the lowest anyone having human DNA can be and still be called human. I'd only put the Hitlers, Kevorkians, Dahmers and Bundys any farther down than you.

So you go right on ahead with your profanities, your "inventions" and your violations of federal acts. It will catch up to you sooner or later, and in that moment, you will know that I am going to be laughing at you at every turn!

Good luck, Todd! You're going to need it!

Steve, K4YZ

BTW: I'm preparing some letters of my own! Stay tuned!

3882. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 10:43:11 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112956991.310620.45280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105348

Somebody is severely delusional if they think that the EFF will come
within a mile of getting involved with this little "spat"...

3883. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 11:12:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112958745.680213.307080@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105349

Dave Heil wrote:
> Our boy broadcaster/inventor has quite varied interests. Here's some
> material gleaned from the archives:

Ya know...the more I have this guy investigated, the more muddled
he and his allegations and rants become.

Here we have him whining about the FCC "not considering (his)
waiver" in 2003...

> from alt.radio.pirate, Dec. 2003
>
> ummmm....NO! I'm going to ##### and whine till I blue in the face.
I'm
> not
> going to let this #### go...Those scumbag at the FCC and the court
of
> appeals isn't going to hear the last of me for a long time. I was
never
> found guilty of a freaking crime and I ####ing barred from getting a

> license. that's the true justice of ####ing america. Although you
not
> guilty....your guilty......and your guilty unless you prove
yourselves
> otherwise. well I have my application (all six) and a little piss
ant
> note
> from some sandmonkey in the FCC say they couldn't consider my
> application or
> my ####ing waiver...I applied long before I went pirate and their
are
> other
> "pirates" out there that I know that also applied long before going
> pirate.
> So I'm going to continue to bitch and whine til somethings done
about
> this
> injustice.
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> Langely Park Telecommunication

But according to the "website" of Todd's "Langley Park
Telecommunications group, they WERE allocated a frequency and build

permit in 2001!!!

QUOTE:

107.9 FM

COMMUNITY RADIO PROVIDING PROGRAMMING AND FREE PUBLIC ACCESS TO THE AIRWAVES

LATEST NEWS Langley Park Telecommunications is one of the 255 applicants approved by the F.C.C.

UNQUOTE:

I even went to the FCC and found this:

QUOTE:

BNPL-20000828ACC NEW TAYLORVILLE, IL
LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNUICATIONS
REQ: 107.9 MHz Channel No. 300

UNQUOTE:

So it would appear that Todd and company (most likely just Todd...I don't beleive there's any "company" involved) screwed the pooch AFTER getting an "OK" from the feds FOR their operation!

Of course Todd's earlier expressions of disdain for FCC regulations might have had something to do with their decision to reconsider granting him a license:

QUOTE:

> from alt.radio pirate, May 1999
>
> Well mark I tell you what, I applied twice for a licnese and both
> time it was returned so your right SCREW THEM!!! I'm going on the air

> without a License.
>
> Todd
> N9OGL

UNQUOTE

Of course it may have something to do with his frequent changing of his name. The Feds don't look favorably on name changes that aren't due to marriage or entrance to the Witness Protection Program.

Witness:

> from alt.tv.public-access, Jan. 2001
>
> Hi once again.... langley park has updated their home page a little
and

> will continue to update it often.
>
> Todd Daugherty
> CEO/PRESIDENT
> LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATION
>
> http://www.geocities.com/langleyparktelecommunication/index.html

And hs earlier nomme-de-guerre:

> from alt.radio.pirate, May 2000, Todd's "origization" looks for a
type
> "excepted" transmitter. The name change must reflect some on-air
> personna.
>
> hello,
>
> My origization is wanting to apply for the LP100 license here in
> Illinois when the filing window opens in August. We are looking for a

> ten to twenty watt FCC type execpted transmitter. we mainly want to
know
> what the cost is on the transmitter.
>
> Todd O'Dochartaigh
> President
> Langley Park Telecommunication

Is anyone keeping up with this?

> from alt.radio.pirate, Feb. 2000
>
> ...reality is Public Access has it problems with local authorities
> trying to censor programming and those access channel they couldn't
> censor the local authorities has shut down. So sure you have a little
> radio station but watch what you say over them because if it's
something
> the local authorities don't want broadcast like police's violation of
> Human rights then they will try every thing to get rid of you. "Oh
but
> Todd were protected by the FCC rules" well some local authorities
give a
> rats ass about the FCC or their rules. They will even go so far to
try
> to ban "radio signals except those all ready established" believe me
> there are some local governments will try that. The local government
> tried back in the 80's to ban all amateur radio signals to the city
> limits. then there is of course antenna laws and sure the FCC can
tell
> you that the towers are exempted but the local governements have
found
> loopholes in the system. I've in the public Access business longer

then
> the "microbroadcasting movement" I know how it works on public access
> and my opinion that's whats going to happen to these Low Power Radio
> Stations.
>
> Todd O'Dochartaigh
> WLPS-TV CHANNEL 14
> Taylorville's Pirate Television station
> ~Born a Pirate, Die a Pirate~

He's been "...in the public Access business longer then the
"microbroadcasting movement" I know how it works on public access and
my opinion that's whats going to happen to these low power stations,"

Well, obviously not true since he can't seem to file the
appropriate documentation with the FCC in a timely manner.

And again, represents himself with the false last name for a
television station that doesn't exist.

>

> from alt.radio.pirate, Aug. 1999--Todd's disability and folks
infringing
> on his right to be a radio/TV pirate
>
> First of you moron, it is my opinion and I have the right to express
> it. It federal Suckup like you who infringe on peoples
constitutional
> right not only when it comes to busting Pirates but infringe on
> peoples right who wish to voice their point of view on the internet.

> It is people like you that have no life instead spend most of their
> time on the net bad mouthing how people write or their opinion. I
> really feel sorry for someone like you. It is in fact people like
you
> who are turning this from a constitutional system to a dictatorship.

> As for my write I do have a disability and in that your lucky I
don't
> get you on discrimination. The FCC is also lucky if they think like
you
> that I don't them on it to. That All i have to say to you.
>
> Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> WLPS TV CHANNNEL 14
> Taylorville Illinois #1 Pirate TV station

Just muddling throught the grammar on that one was an effort. But
basically my comment to Todd is that if you'd stop waving an "I'm
Intentionally Breaking the Law" flag, people, myself included, wouldn't
give you a hard time.

But you do (arguing that it's your "free speech" to do so) so just suck it up and suffer the consequences, Todd.

You're a law breaker. You've acknowledged it yourself. It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

3884. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 11:18:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112959108.358478.138640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105450

Mr. Obvious wrote:
> Somebody is severely delusional if they think that the EFF will come
> within a mile of getting involved with this little "spat"...

Well...Unfortunately that's how feebel minds work.

They think if they go around yelling "I'LL SUE! I'LL SUE!" that
they'll get their way without further adeiu.

If Todd thinks that any of his pontification or posturing
vis-a-vis his misconduct or illegal activities goes does anything more
than elicit a round of chuckles, he's sadly mistaken.

He's a marionette. A foul mouthed one, but a marionette none the
less.

Steve, K4YZ

3885. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 11:42:16 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Ct-dnT6JRrKE88vfRVn-1A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105451

The pending N9GAY wrote:
> FUCK YOU, YOU LITTLE STEVE AND DAVE BITCH! I'M NOT FUCKING GAY YOU
> FUCKING BITCHWHORE ASSHOLE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!

Well that must prove it then, only a fag would get so upset! I bet your face turned the same shade of pink as your shirt!
Toady, I've never talked to Steve or Dave, here or anywhere else. I don't understand why your defense is to bring up those names? Every time you open your mouth, lies come out, you just make things up, you never answer any questions. All you ever do is make false acquisitions, but you are the one who started with the knob job remark, one must wonder why that is always on your mind? You are just a little weasel in a world of your own!
Are you or are you not an amateur radio operator?

3886. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 12:01:32 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4256731d_4@x-privat.org>
Source record: 105452

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1112932553.674475.300860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Moron KC8GXW,
> K4YZ claimed on my website that him and his lawyer were going to
> shut it down. BTW ASSHOLE, I not gay, but maybe you are.
> Todd N9OGL
>
Thanks for tossing my salad toddy.
xoxoxoxo

3887. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 12:02:40 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4256734f_4@x-privat.org>
Source record: 105453

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1112933303.962151.23740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> FUCK YOU, YOU LITTLE STEVE AND DAVE BITCH! I'M NOT FUCKING GAY YOU
> FUCKING BITCHWHORE ASSHOLE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!
>
You don't kiss and tell do you toddy?

3888. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 12:04:33 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <425673c2_4@x-privat.org>
Source record: 105454

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1112943465.843839.234880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Right, hey steve between you and Jim which ones the butch, and which
> one is the bitch....my bet is jim's your little bitch.
>
You are a tight bottom toddy.
xoxoxoxoxo

3889. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 12:24:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1112963042.153326.103780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105455

Lee Scott wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1112933303.962151.23740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > #### YOU, YOU LITTLE STEVE AND DAVE BITCH! I'M NOT ####ING GAY YOU
> > #####ING ########## ###HOLE MOTHER####ER!!!!!
> >
> You don't kiss and tell do you toddy?

With a mouth like a sewer, WHO would kiss him, amle OR
female...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3890. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 12:30:38 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3eGdnSQFionz5MvfRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105456

K4YZ wrote:

> Lee Scott wrote:
>
>>"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>>news:1112933303.962151.23740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>>##### YOU, YOU LITTLE STEVE AND DAVE BITCH! I'M NOT ####ING GAY YOU
>>>>#####ING ########## ###HOLE MOTHER####ER!!!!!
>>>
>>
>>You don't kiss and tell do you toddy?
>
>
> With a mouth like a sewer, WHO would kiss him, amle OR
> female...?!?!

Toady doesn't do humans, his neighbors say they worry about his male
poodle though!

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

3891. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 13:05:49 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <S7edncAwHJA8HMvfRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 105457
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
>
> here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/

You can usually tell you're reading the webpage of a
schizoid because they tend to Capitalize Words unnecessarily.

73,

Steve

3892. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 15:26:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.moc>
Message-ID: <QLSdnccvVu0lP8vfRVn-tw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105458

N9OGL wrote:
> Well, it's now 10:21 CST and my weblog is still on the web, after K4YZ
> claimed him and his lawyer was going to shut it down....I really think
> K4YZ is, and always has been full of it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

OK toddyboy, please quote the posting where K4YZ said he and his lawyers
were going to shut down your blog site.

3893. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 15:27:00 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.moc>
Message-ID: <QLSdncYvVu10P8vfRVn-tw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105459

N9OGL wrote:

> FUCK YOU, YOU LITTLE STEVE AND DAVE BITCH! I'M NOT FUCKING GAY YOU
> FUCKING BITCHWHORE ASSHOLE MOTHERFUCKER!!!!!
>

Grow up toddyboy!!!!!!!!

3894. Re: LINUX! Not just for Guru's anymore!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 15:37:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Qyx5e.2834$IP1.2531@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105460

<harv.nelson@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1112407445.920910.192570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Put the CD in the drive and re-boot your machine and you'll boot up
> with a fully functional Linux system. Your usual operating system will
> remain on your hard drive and all its files will be accessable but
> untouched until you say so, Linux will be running the show. When you
> quit and reboot, the Linux system will 'vaporize' and you'll be back
> with your regular system from your hard drive.
>

For those who aren't sure they want to commit a machine (or even just a partition) to an unknown OS, but would like a "look see" at Linux, this is an excellent chance to have a peek outside of Windoze without risk of farkling your current system. He's included a surprising variety of very nice applications in the package.

The download is kinda long, but Harv will send you a CD if you ask nicely.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3895. The Baxter Chronicles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 15:45:33 UTC
From: Baxter Buster <raybouchard101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1112975133.393949.261430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105461

It's been a while since we've heard anything about the Bloated Broadcaster from Belgrade Lakes, so here is a little follow up concerning the Fat One.

Reliable sources tell us our chubby misfit was employed at an FM station in Augusta Maine - briefly - before he was fired! Yes, he was briefly employed as an engineer [believe it or not] until management realized they had made a Big, Fat, Greasy mistake.

The porky one was canned and at his unemployment compensation hearing, the lawyer made a remark about him that got tubby a little peeved. The 'Chronicle' can't say what the comment was, but sources indicate it was not that he is a "child molester," or that he's "chronically impotent," an "alcoholic," that he "dresses in women's undergarments," or anything related to numerous articles published about him in the Manchester Newsletter, but the comment was apparently related to his (poor?) job performance.

http://www.cleaves.org/pdf/05-48.pdf

Anyhow, to make a long and funny story a little shorter, the weight watchers life member took the comment as an insult, and sued for defamation! [How can you defame a flaming asshole? -Ed.] The Porcine Prince lost his case locally, so he appealed to the Supreme Court of Maine, where he -naturally- lost again. After all, he's a loser and that's what losers do. They lose!

But, his loss is our gain, because out of the loss comes a funny story that we can tell about him over and over again on the air and in the newsgroups! Watch this space for news of pending FCC action against his license. From everyone at MalPen Labs, 73 and good listening!

3896. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 15:49:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4256A83D.D9B76218@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105462

N9OGL wrote:
>
> F--K YOU, YOU LITTLE STEVE AND DAVE BITCH! I'M NOT F--KING GAY YOU
> F--KING BITCHWHORE ASSHOLE MOTHERF--KER!!!!!

I've never made the assertion that you are, Todd. I just think you're as socially defective as our local filth monger.

Your fantasy claims about assisting a congressman, assisting a church group in problems with your cable company, about inventing anything and about being a broadcaster are the stuff of a fifteen-year-old's brain in the body of a man.

I can only shudder when I think of someone like you sending a public access cable program into peoples' homes.

Dave K8MN

3897. Re: The Baxter Chronicles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 17:11:48 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <1YOdnXHZMeXIJsvfRVn-qg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105463

Baxter Buster wrote:
> It's been a while since we've heard anything about the Bloated
> Broadcaster from Belgrade Lakes, so here is a little follow up
> concerning the Fat One.
>
> Reliable sources tell us our chubby misfit was employed at an FM
> station in Augusta Maine - briefly - before he was fired! Yes, he was
> briefly employed as an engineer [believe it or not] until management
> realized they had made a Big, Fat, Greasy mistake.
>
> The porky one was canned and at his unemployment compensation hearing,
> the lawyer made a remark about him that got tubby a little peeved. The
> 'Chronicle' can't say what the comment was, but sources indicate it was
> not that he is a "child molester," or that he's "chronically impotent,"
> an "alcoholic," that he "dresses in women's undergarments," or anything
> related to numerous articles published about him in the Manchester
> Newsletter, but the comment was apparently related to his (poor?) job
> performance.
>
> http://www.cleaves.org/pdf/05-48.pdf
>
> Anyhow, to make a long and funny story a little shorter, the weight
> watchers life member took the comment as an insult, and sued for
> defamation! [How can you defame a flaming asshole? -Ed.] The Porcine
> Prince lost his case locally, so he appealed to the Supreme Court of
> Maine, where he -naturally- lost again. After all, he's a loser and
> that's what losers do. They lose!
>
> But, his loss is our gain, because out of the loss comes a funny story
> that we can tell about him over and over again on the air and in the
> newsgroups! Watch this space for news of pending FCC action against his
> license. From everyone at MalPen Labs, 73 and good listening!
>
You better be careful, you're talking about N9GAY's hero! Toady wants to
be just like him when he grows up, trouble is toady won't ever grow up!

3898. Re: The Baxter Chronicles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 18:17:15 UTC
From: Baxter Buster <raybouchard101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1112984235.845579.239840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105464

N9OGL is a truly pathetic moron. Todd and the Bastard are Charter
Members of the Retard Club. They met through their mutual friend, Woger
Wiseman. I think Woger said they met at a Circle Jerk the three of them
attended in West Vagina last summer...

3899. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 18:33:01 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1112985181.907418.84000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105465
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

SMHL,
Boy Howdy Schizoids-R-I
Sometimes I Am Wrong !
Sometimes I Am Right !
But... I Am Always 'we'
for i remain 'rhf' ~ RHF
. . . . .

3900. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 18:43:22 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YtudndwY_LwjTcvfRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 105466
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"RHF" <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:1112985181.907418.84000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> SMHL,
> Boy Howdy Schizoids-R-I
> Sometimes I Am Wrong !
> Sometimes I Am Right !
> But... I Am Always 'we'
> for i remain 'rhf' ~ RHF

So am I! Me, too! Hey, would you, I mean, I, stop it?

Cut it out, gang, it's getting crowded in here!

(No, wait, that's multiple personality!)

73,

--
Steve Lawrence
Burnsville, Minnesota

Every moment of a human life is an act of worship.

Coincidence is God's way of being anonymous.

3901. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 19:25:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112988307.258411.224500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105467

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Our boy broadcaster/inventor has quite varied interests. Here's
some
> > material gleaned from the archives:
>
> Ya know...the more I have this guy investigated, the more
muddled
> he and his allegations and rants become.
>
> Here we have him whining about the FCC "not considering (his)
> waiver" in 2003...
>
>
>

> > from alt.radio.pirate, Dec. 2003
> >
> > ummmm....NO! I'm going to ##### and whine till I blue in the face.
> I'm
> > not
> > going to let this #### go...Those scumbag at the FCC and the court
> of
> > appeals isn't going to hear the last of me for a long time. I was
> never
> > found guilty of a freaking crime and I ####ing barred from getting
a
>
> > license. that's the true justice of ####ing america. Although you
> not
> > guilty....your guilty......and your guilty unless you prove
> yourselves
> > otherwise. well I have my application (all six) and a little piss
> ant
> > note
> > from some sandmonkey in the FCC say they couldn't consider my
> > application or
> > my ####ing waiver...I applied long before I went pirate and their
> are
> > other
> > "pirates" out there that I know that also applied long before
going
> > pirate.
> > So I'm going to continue to bitch and whine til somethings done

> about
> > this
> > injustice.
> >
> > Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> > Langely Park Telecommunication
>
> But according to the "website" of Todd's "Langley Park
> Telecommunications group, they WERE allocated a frequency and build
> permit in 2001!!!
>
> QUOTE:
>
> 107.9 FM
>
> COMMUNITY RADIO PROVIDING PROGRAMMING AND FREE PUBLIC ACCESS TO THE
> AIRWAVES
>
> LATEST NEWS Langley Park Telecommunications is one of the 255
> applicants approved by the F.C.C.
>
> UNQUOTE:
>
> I even went to the FCC and found this:
>
> QUOTE:
>
> BNPL-20000828ACC NEW TAYLORVILLE, IL
> LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNUICATIONS
> REQ: 107.9 MHz Channel No. 300
>
> UNQUOTE:
>
> So it would appear that Todd and company (most likely just
Todd...I
> don't beleive there's any "company" involved) screwed the pooch AFTER
> getting an "OK" from the feds FOR their operation!
>
> Of course Todd's earlier expressions of disdain for FCC
regulations
> might have had something to do with their decision to reconsider
> granting him a license:
>
> QUOTE:
>
> > from alt.radio pirate, May 1999
> >
> > Well mark I tell you what, I applied twice for a licnese and both
> > time it was returned so your right SCREW THEM!!! I'm going on the
air

>
> > without a License.
> >
> > Todd
> > N9OGL
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Of course it may have something to do with his frequent changing
> of his name. The Feds don't look favorably on name changes that
aren't
> due to marriage or entrance to the Witness Protection Program.
>
> Witness:
>
> > from alt.tv.public-access, Jan. 2001
> >
> > Hi once again.... langley park has updated their home page a little
> and
> > will continue to update it often.
> >
> > Todd Daugherty
> > CEO/PRESIDENT
> > LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATION
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/langleyparktelecommunication/index.html
>
> And hs earlier nomme-de-guerre:
>
> > from alt.radio.pirate, May 2000, Todd's "origization" looks for a
> type
> > "excepted" transmitter. The name change must reflect some on-air
> > personna.
> >
> > hello,
> >
> > My origization is wanting to apply for the LP100 license here in
> > Illinois when the filing window opens in August. We are looking for
a
>
> > ten to twenty watt FCC type execpted transmitter. we mainly want to
> know
> > what the cost is on the transmitter.
> >
> > Todd O'Dochartaigh
> > President
> > Langley Park Telecommunication
>
> Is anyone keeping up with this?
>

> > from alt.radio.pirate, Feb. 2000
> >
> > ...reality is Public Access has it problems with local authorities
> > trying to censor programming and those access channel they couldn't
> > censor the local authorities has shut down. So sure you have a
little
> > radio station but watch what you say over them because if it's
> something
> > the local authorities don't want broadcast like police's violation
of
> > Human rights then they will try every thing to get rid of you. "Oh
> but
> > Todd were protected by the FCC rules" well some local authorities
> give a
> > rats ass about the FCC or their rules. They will even go so far to
> try
> > to ban "radio signals except those all ready established" believe
me
> > there are some local governments will try that. The local
government
> > tried back in the 80's to ban all amateur radio signals to the city
> > limits. then there is of course antenna laws and sure the FCC can
> tell
> > you that the towers are exempted but the local governements have
> found
> > loopholes in the system. I've in the public Access business longer
> then
> > the "microbroadcasting movement" I know how it works on public
access
> > and my opinion that's whats going to happen to these Low Power
Radio
> > Stations.
> >
> > Todd O'Dochartaigh
> > WLPS-TV CHANNEL 14
> > Taylorville's Pirate Television station
> > ~Born a Pirate, Die a Pirate~
>
> He's been "...in the public Access business longer then the
> "microbroadcasting movement" I know how it works on public access and
> my opinion that's whats going to happen to these low power stations,"
>
> Well, obviously not true since he can't seem to file the
> appropriate documentation with the FCC in a timely manner.
>
> And again, represents himself with the false last name for a
> television station that doesn't exist.
>
> >
>

> > from alt.radio.pirate, Aug. 1999--Todd's disability and folks
> infringing
> > on his right to be a radio/TV pirate
> >
> > First of you moron, it is my opinion and I have the right to
express
> > it. It federal Suckup like you who infringe on peoples
> constitutional
> > right not only when it comes to busting Pirates but infringe on
> > peoples right who wish to voice their point of view on the
internet.
>
> > It is people like you that have no life instead spend most of
their
> > time on the net bad mouthing how people write or their opinion. I
> > really feel sorry for someone like you. It is in fact people like
> you
> > who are turning this from a constitutional system to a
dictatorship.
>
> > As for my write I do have a disability and in that your lucky I
> don't
> > get you on discrimination. The FCC is also lucky if they think
like
> you
> > that I don't them on it to. That All i have to say to you.
> >
> > Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> > WLPS TV CHANNNEL 14
> > Taylorville Illinois #1 Pirate TV station
>
> Just muddling throught the grammar on that one was an effort.
But
> basically my comment to Todd is that if you'd stop waving an "I'm
> Intentionally Breaking the Law" flag, people, myself included,
wouldn't
> give you a hard time.
>
> But you do (arguing that it's your "free speech" to do so) so
just
> suck it up and suffer the consequences, Todd.
>
> You're a law breaker. You've acknowledged it yourself. It
really
> is THAT simple.
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ
There's a lot more there then what's been said........SO go ahead and

whine to the FCC :)

todd N9OGL

3902. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 19:31:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112988705.673438.275340@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105468

Hey dumbass the shirt was checker brown and white look again.....and go back to Queer Radio Zone (QRZ) with all the other whinning BPL/Code babies.

Todd N9OGL

3903. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 22:13:04 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1112998383.951998.270900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105469

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > TOPOFF-3 will be four days. More than ample time for
any
> > one
> > > > > person to pull more than one "shift" during the exercise,
> > depending
> > > > on
> > > > > how you're defining "shift".
> > > > >
> > > > > 12 hour shifts: Four (assuming 12 on / 12 off)
> > > > >
> > > > > 8 hour shifts: Six (assuming 8 on / 8 off)
> > > > >
> > > > > 4 hour shifts: Eight (assuming 4 on / 8 off)
> > > >
> > > > Levy your "attack" on Phil. Phil said it was two days, and
that
> he
> > > > would be working both days.
> > >
> > > There was no attack. Either on you, nor especially on Phil.
> >
> > Then why did you find it necessary to snip your crappy little
> comment?
>
> Because there was no "crappy little comment", Brian.

It was.

> > "Always at a deficit for information, aren't you, Brian?"
>
> That's not "crappy", Brian...It's the truth. That YOU don't
LIKE
> it is one thing...

You don't like being called a liar. So stop lying.

> > If I cannot trust the information that the participant provides,
then
> > what can I trust?

>
> Yeah...I guess when RRAP is the ONLY place you get your
> "information" from, then you're pretty much at loggerheads as to what
> you "know"...

I know you're a liar.

> > > YOU, however, were the one making the comments about how
many
> > > shifts he was pulling-vs-qualified operators to do it.
> >
> > That is no reflection on Phil. It is a reflection on the rest on
the
> > amateur community, as I said.
>
> I didn't say it was a reflection on Phil, Brian.
>
> It's about your own insinuations of why it was "necessary" for
> Phil to pull more than one "shift" on this exercise.

Looks like there are some empty spots in the schedule.

> > > > > Brian...You CAN pull more than two shifts under ONE of
> those
> > > > > formats, couldn't you...??? I sure could, and will. CAP is
a
> > > > > participating agency in this.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > Do provide RRAP an after action report of your heroic CAP
> > activities.
> > >
> > > I don't engage in heroics, Brian. By the book...safe and
> > > responsible. That's how I got through my tour in the USMC with my
> > tail
> > > intact, that's how I have spent 30+ years in CAP without injury,
> and
> > > that's how I practice Nursing.
> >
> > Your behavio[u]r here indicates otherwise.
>
> My behaviour here has nothing to do with what happens in the
> cockpit of an aircraft, Brian.

Then you admit to having multiple personalities?

> > > Now...about your assertion that ARES can't/won't respond to
> > > emergencies...Are you going to provide some validation of that
> > > statement?
> >
> > ARES will respond to emergencies as they are able, just like cell

> > phones may or may not work in an emergency.
>
> Then you are acknowledging that your statement was false and
> misrepresentitive of ARES' ability to respond...?!?!

No, that isn't what I said. Are you merely a poor reader, or are you
now starting a new lie?

> > ----------
> > OK, below is the information that Phil provided.
> > ----------
> >
> > > > It's a two-day exercise, and I can make it both days.
> >
> > > > >I would guess that you have to pull two shifts because there
are
> > not
> > > > >enough amateur volunteers.
> >
> > > > Actually, we have six operators qualified for that location,
> and
> > we
> > > > work in teams of three (county voice net, inter-hospital
voice
> > net,
> > > > county-wide packet net) so we'll have plenty of operators.
> >
> > > Now c'mon Phil. I used to write duty schedules, so I know how
many
> > > times two teams of three fit into a calendar day. Is this a
> two-day
> > > ORI?
> > ----------
> >
> > You inform me that it is a four day exercise, or 96 hours.
Assuming
> > 12-hour shifts, that is 8 time slots.
> >
> > Phil and 5 other volunteers are participating, and each "team" is
> > comprised of three volunteers. That makes two teams. Team A, and
> Team
> > B.
> >
> > Let's put Phil on Team A, and Phil is pulling two shifts.
> >
> > Day 1, Team A: Day
> > Team B: Night
> >
> > Day 2, Team A: Day
> > Team B: Night
> >

> > Day 3, Team A: Day (no Phil, team is short handed)
> > Team B: Night
> >
> > Day 4, Team A: Day (no Phil, team is short handed)
> > Team B: Night
> >
> > Remember, the conditions are that there are only six (6) qualified
> > operators, so there is a deficit of volunteers unless they are
> pulling
> > some 24 hour shifts. We don't know how few or many shifts the
other
> > volunteers will pull.
> >
> > Sorry, Steve, but thems the numbers. And I would guess that Phil's
> > group is quite active.
>
> And you have made the assumption that they are working 12 hour
> shifts. Your re-arrangement still does not substantiate your other
> claims.

Re-arrangement??? The 12 hour shift scenario is a Best Case Scenario. If the shifts were 8 hours, the volunteer deficits would be even greater.

> And Phil states they "work in teams of three".
>
> He does not state that all three are "on duty" at all times.
I've
> never been on ANY mission or exercise, USMC, CAP, Red Cross, ARES,
etc,
> where ALL operators were "on duty" at one time.

So you were in the "Day Weanie" Marine Corps? Weather and ATC are 24/7. So is Comms. But you, the mighty day weanie marine warrior know otherwise. Hi, hi!

OK, 8 hour shifts:

Let's put Phil on Team A, and Phil is pulling two shifts.

Day 1, Team A: Day
Team B: Swing
Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)

Day 2, Team A: Day
Team B: Swing
Team nothing: Mid (deficit of 3)

Day 3, Team A: Day (no Phil, deficit of 1)
Team B: Swing
Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)

Day 4, Team A: Day (no Phil, deficit of 1)
Team B: Swing
Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)

Steve, have it your way. It makes the situation worse.

> Sorry, Brian...Your contentions are still grasping for straws.
>
> Nice try, though.

Idiot. Would you like me to show you what 6 or 4 hour shifts look
like???

> Steve, K4YZ

3904. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 22:37:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1112999826.649777.195650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105470

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Our boy broadcaster/inventor has quite varied interests. Here's
some
> > material gleaned from the archives:
>
> Ya know...the more I have this guy investigated, the more
muddled
> he and his allegations and rants become.
>
> Here we have him whining about the FCC "not considering (his)
> waiver" in 2003...
>
>
>

> > from alt.radio.pirate, Dec. 2003
> >
> > ummmm....NO! I'm going to ##### and whine till I blue in the face.
> I'm
> > not
> > going to let this #### go...Those scumbag at the FCC and the court
> of
> > appeals isn't going to hear the last of me for a long time. I was
> never
> > found guilty of a freaking crime and I ####ing barred from getting
a
>
> > license. that's the true justice of ####ing america. Although you
> not
> > guilty....your guilty......and your guilty unless you prove
> yourselves
> > otherwise. well I have my application (all six) and a little piss
> ant
> > note
> > from some sandmonkey in the FCC say they couldn't consider my
> > application or
> > my ####ing waiver...I applied long before I went pirate and their
> are
> > other
> > "pirates" out there that I know that also applied long before
going
> > pirate.
> > So I'm going to continue to bitch and whine til somethings done

> about
> > this
> > injustice.
> >
> > Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> > Langely Park Telecommunication
>
> But according to the "website" of Todd's "Langley Park
> Telecommunications group, they WERE allocated a frequency and build
> permit in 2001!!!
>
> QUOTE:
>
> 107.9 FM
>
> COMMUNITY RADIO PROVIDING PROGRAMMING AND FREE PUBLIC ACCESS TO THE
> AIRWAVES
>
> LATEST NEWS Langley Park Telecommunications is one of the 255
> applicants approved by the F.C.C.
>
> UNQUOTE:
>
> I even went to the FCC and found this:
>
> QUOTE:
>
> BNPL-20000828ACC NEW TAYLORVILLE, IL
> LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNUICATIONS
> REQ: 107.9 MHz Channel No. 300
>
> UNQUOTE:
>
> So it would appear that Todd and company (most likely just
Todd...I
> don't beleive there's any "company" involved) screwed the pooch AFTER
> getting an "OK" from the feds FOR their operation!
>

Not true ASSHOLE, first there is a "comapny" mostly made up of amateur
radio operators. Second the Application was dismissed after congress
passed the Radio Broadcast Perservation Act of 2001. Before you go
spewing for LIES I would really suggest you get all the facts. You
don't know all the facts, you weren't there....so my opinion is fuck
off!

> Of course Todd's earlier expressions of disdain for FCC
regulations
> might have had something to do with their decision to reconsider
> granting him a license:
They NEVER DID ASSHOLE......BEFORE YOU OPEN YOUR BIG MOUTH WHY DO YOU

GET ALL THE FACTS. To tell you the truth I don't think the FCC wants
to open that can of worms.
>
> QUOTE:
>
> > from alt.radio pirate, May 1999
> >
> > Well mark I tell you what, I applied twice for a licnese and both
> > time it was returned so your right SCREW THEM!!! I'm going on the
air
>
> > without a License.
> >
> > Todd
> > N9OGL
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Of course it may have something to do with his frequent changing
> of his name. The Feds don't look favorably on name changes that
aren't
> due to marriage or entrance to the Witness Protection Program.
> Hey asshole, Different names are legal on the FUCKING internet.

> Witness:
>
> > from alt.tv.public-access, Jan. 2001
> >
> > Hi once again.... langley park has updated their home page a little
> and
> > will continue to update it often.
> >
> > Todd Daugherty
> > CEO/PRESIDENT
> > LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATION
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/langleyparktelecommunication/index.html
>
> And hs earlier nomme-de-guerre:
>
> > from alt.radio.pirate, May 2000, Todd's "origization" looks for a
> type
> > "excepted" transmitter. The name change must reflect some on-air
> > personna.
> >
> > hello,
> >
> > My origization is wanting to apply for the LP100 license here in
> > Illinois when the filing window opens in August. We are looking for
a

>
> > ten to twenty watt FCC type execpted transmitter. we mainly want to
> know
> > what the cost is on the transmitter.
> >
> > Todd O'Dochartaigh
> > President
> > Langley Park Telecommunication
>
> Is anyone keeping up with this?
>
> > from alt.radio.pirate, Feb. 2000
> >
> > ...reality is Public Access has it problems with local authorities
> > trying to censor programming and those access channel they couldn't
> > censor the local authorities has shut down. So sure you have a
little
> > radio station but watch what you say over them because if it's
> something
> > the local authorities don't want broadcast like police's violation
of
> > Human rights then they will try every thing to get rid of you. "Oh
> but
> > Todd were protected by the FCC rules" well some local authorities
> give a
> > rats ass about the FCC or their rules. They will even go so far to
> try
> > to ban "radio signals except those all ready established" believe
me
> > there are some local governments will try that. The local
government
> > tried back in the 80's to ban all amateur radio signals to the city
> > limits. then there is of course antenna laws and sure the FCC can
> tell
> > you that the towers are exempted but the local governements have
> found
> > loopholes in the system. I've in the public Access business longer
> then
> > the "microbroadcasting movement" I know how it works on public
access
> > and my opinion that's whats going to happen to these Low Power
Radio
> > Stations.
> >
> > Todd O'Dochartaigh
> > WLPS-TV CHANNEL 14
> > Taylorville's Pirate Television station
> > ~Born a Pirate, Die a Pirate~
>
> He's been "...in the public Access business longer then the

> "microbroadcasting movement" I know how it works on public access and
> my opinion that's whats going to happen to these low power stations,"
>
> Well, obviously not true since he can't seem to file the
> appropriate documentation with the FCC in a timely manner.

WAIVER CAN BE FILED AT ANYTIME ASSHOLE.....NOT JUST IN FILING WINDOWS.

> And again, represents himself with the false last name for a
> television station that doesn't exist.
>
> >
>

> > from alt.radio.pirate, Aug. 1999--Todd's disability and folks
> infringing
> > on his right to be a radio/TV pirate
> >
> > First of you moron, it is my opinion and I have the right to
express
> > it. It federal Suckup like you who infringe on peoples
> constitutional
> > right not only when it comes to busting Pirates but infringe on
> > peoples right who wish to voice their point of view on the
internet.
>
> > It is people like you that have no life instead spend most of
their
> > time on the net bad mouthing how people write or their opinion. I
> > really feel sorry for someone like you. It is in fact people like
> you
> > who are turning this from a constitutional system to a
dictatorship.
>
> > As for my write I do have a disability and in that your lucky I
> don't
> > get you on discrimination. The FCC is also lucky if they think
like
> you
> > that I don't them on it to. That All i have to say to you.
> >
> > Todd O'Dochartaigh N9OGL
> > WLPS TV CHANNNEL 14
> > Taylorville Illinois #1 Pirate TV station
>
> Just muddling throught the grammar on that one was an effort.
But
> basically my comment to Todd is that if you'd stop waving an "I'm
> Intentionally Breaking the Law" flag, people, myself included,
wouldn't
> give you a hard time.

>
> But you do (arguing that it's your "free speech" to do so) so
just
> suck it up and suffer the consequences, Todd.
>
> You're a law breaker. You've acknowledged it yourself. It
really
> is THAT simple.
>
AND LIKE I SAID YOU FUCKING BITCH THERE MORE THERE THEN WHAT YOUR
LITTLE CB RADIO ASS KNOWS.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH!!!!!

3905. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 22:41:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113000095.466409.205860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105471

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > TOPOFF-3 will be four days. More than ample time for
> any
> > > one
> > > > > > person to pull more than one "shift" during the exercise,
> > > depending
> > > > > on
> > > > > > how you're defining "shift".
> > > > >
> > > > > 12 hour shifts: Four (assuming 12 on / 12 off)
> > > > >
> > > > > 8 hour shifts: Six (assuming 8 on / 8 off)
> > > > >
> > > > > 4 hour shifts: Eight (assuming 4 on / 8 off)
> > > > >
> > > > Levy your "attack" on Phil. Phil said it was two days, and
> that
> > > he
> > > > > would be working both days.
> > > >
> > > There was no attack. Either on you, nor especially on
Phil.
> > >
> > > Then why did you find it necessary to snip your crappy little
> > comment?
> >
> > Because there was no "crappy little comment", Brian.
>
> It was.

No, there wasn't.

> > > "Always at a deficit for information, aren't you, Brian?"
> >
> > That's not "crappy", Brian...It's the truth. That YOU don't
> LIKE
> > it is one thing...
>
> You don't like being called a liar. So stop lying.

I'm not lying, Brian.

> > > If I cannot trust the information that the participant provides,
> then
> > > what can I trust?
> >
> > Yeah...I guess when RRAP is the ONLY place you get your
> > "information" from, then you're pretty much at loggerheads as to
what
> > you "know"...
>
> I know you're a liar.

You SAY I'm a liar, but I've PROVED that you are.

> > > > YOU, however, were the one making the comments about how
> many
> > > > shifts he was pulling-vs-qualified operators to do it.
> > >
> > > That is no reflection on Phil. It is a reflection on the rest on
> the
> > > amateur community, as I said.
> >
> > I didn't say it was a reflection on Phil, Brian.
> >
> > It's about your own insinuations of why it was "necessary" for
> > Phil to pull more than one "shift" on this exercise.
>
> Looks like there are some empty spots in the schedule.

Not from here. Especially if they have 6 people to cover a 48
hour period that they are participating...

And you STILL have not shown me ONE instance where ARES has failed
to respond to ANY incident when asked to do so.

> > My behaviour here has nothing to do with what happens in the
> > cockpit of an aircraft, Brian.
>
> Then you admit to having multiple personalities?

Nice stretch, Brian.

> > > > Now...about your assertion that ARES can't/won't respond
to
> > > > emergencies...Are you going to provide some validation of that
> > > > statement?
> > >
> > > ARES will respond to emergencies as they are able, just like cell
> > > phones may or may not work in an emergency.
> >
> > Then you are acknowledging that your statement was false and
> > misrepresentitive of ARES' ability to respond...?!?!
>

> No, that isn't what I said. Are you merely a poor reader, or are you
> now starting a new lie?

What lie? And yes it IS what YOU said, Brian. Word for word.
Not a period or comma was dropped.

> > And you have made the assumption that they are working 12 hour
> > shifts. Your re-arrangement still does not substantiate your other
> > claims.
>
> Re-arrangement??? The 12 hour shift scenario is a Best Case
Scenario.
> If the shifts were 8 hours, the volunteer deficits would be even
> greater.

"Best case" for whom? Best case ONLY to support YOUR contention of
a volunteer deficit >>IF<< and >>ONLY IF<< you assume that each
volunteer only participates once in an exercise.

> > And Phil states they "work in teams of three".
> >
> > He does not state that all three are "on duty" at all times.
> I've
> > never been on ANY mission or exercise, USMC, CAP, Red Cross, ARES,
> etc,
> > where ALL operators were "on duty" at one time.
>
> So you were in the "Day Weanie" Marine Corps? Weather and ATC are
> 24/7. So is Comms. But you, the mighty day weanie marine warrior
know
> otherwise. Hi, hi!

"Day Weanie"..?!?! You're stretching again, Brian.

> OK, 8 hour shifts:
>
> Let's put Phil on Team A, and Phil is pulling two shifts.
>
> Day 1, Team A: Day
> Team B: Swing
> Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
>
> Day 2, Team A: Day
> Team B: Swing
> Team nothing: Mid (deficit of 3)
>
> Day 3, Team A: Day (no Phil, deficit of 1)
> Team B: Swing
> Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
>
> Day 4, Team A: Day (no Phil, deficit of 1)
> Team B: Swing

> Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
>
> Steve, have it your way. It makes the situation worse.

No, it doesn't. You can still cover all operating periods with staff. I doubt you were ever a supervisor in the USAF, Brain, from your "all hands on duty now" contention.

> > Sorry, Brian...Your contentions are still grasping for straws.
> >
> > Nice try, though.
>
> Idiot. Would you like me to show you what 6 or 4 hour shifts look
> like???

Brian, six people for a 48 hour period is thin, but adequate. Sorry you don't agree, but then it appears you don't have the supervisory skills to make it work.

Steve, K4YZ

3906. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 22:47:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113000436.847107.319700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105472

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > So it would appear that Todd and company (most likely just
> Todd...I
> > don't beleive there's any "company" involved) screwed the pooch
AFTER
> > getting an "OK" from the feds FOR their operation!
> >
>
> Not true ###HOLE, first there is a "comapny" mostly made up of
amateur
> radio operators. Second the Application was dismissed after congress
> passed the Radio Broadcast Perservation Act of 2001. Before you go
> spewing for LIES I would really suggest you get all the facts. You
> don't know all the facts, you weren't there....so my opinion is ####
> off!

Ooooooooh! Those were the comments of a tru patron of the arts!

> > He's been "...in the public Access business longer then the
> > "microbroadcasting movement" I know how it works on public access
and
> > my opinion that's whats going to happen to these low power
stations,"
> >
> > Well, obviously not true since he can't seem to file the
> > appropriate documentation with the FCC in a timely manner.
>
> WAIVER CAN BE FILED AT ANYTIME ###HOLE.....NOT JUST IN FILING
WINDOWS.

And obviously you didn't meet commission criteria for that waiver
or you would have gotten your callsign.

> > You're a law breaker. You've acknowledged it yourself. It
> really
> > is THAT simple.
> >
> AND LIKE I SAID YOU ####ING ##### THERE MORE THERE THEN WHAT YOUR
> LITTLE CB RADIO ### KNOWS.

You're a lawbreaker, Todd. You have openly admitted that you
wantonly violated federal law and don't care that anyone knows.

OK..we know!

> TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH!!!!!

Confused little man with a severe ego problem.

Steve, K4YZ

3907. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 22:49:08 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <baGdnWm1DIv7I8rfRVn-3A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105473

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey dumbass the shirt was checker brown and white look again.....and go
> back to Queer Radio Zone (QRZ) with all the other whinning BPL/Code
> babies.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Dumbass? There you go, checking out dumb ass's and smart ass's. But back on subject, you are a pathalogacly liar, I never said anything about (QRZ) as you call the place that you posted your picture. I never even mentioned the words code or bpl, so why bring them up? Tring to divert the question?
ARE YOU NOT ONE OF WHAT YOU CALL A GROUP IN (ARE) SOCIETIES WHO HAVE LITTLE OR NO (INTELLIGENT) THAT ARE POKED FUN OF ON TELEVISION AND MOVIES, AND ARE NOTHING MORE THEN LICENSED CB RADIO OPERATORS?
Answer the question or are you too brain dead!
PS
Leave my ass out of this gayboy!

3908. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 23:05:14 UTC
From: "Darkwing (Double Secret Disinformation Agent)" <theducksmailATyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ztWdncgv2_BskMrfRVn-hw@giganews.com>
Source record: 105474
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
>
> here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
>

WOW. That site is a special kind of stupid.

DW

3909. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 23:07:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113001625.371091.313640@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105475

DICKHEAD, they never looked at my application or waiver, and if the FCC denies a waiver they have to show good cause why. Like I said go ahead and bitch to the FCC or who ever(which I really doubt you will) what your doing is opening a bad can of worms.....I would like to point out the FCC has know for YEARS that I was pirating......they won't tounch me because they will have to explain to the court of Appeals why for 20 to 30 years they have lied to the courts about waivers....and by GOD I will bring it up! SO GO RIGHT AHEAD GET YOUR NUTS OFF!!!

Todd O'Dochataigh N9OGL

3910. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 23:08:28 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <pOSdnS5tWbBuk8rfRVn-pQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 105476
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Darkwing (Double Secret Disinformation Agent)" <theducksmail"AT"yahoo.com>
wrote in message news:ztWdncgv2_BskMrfRVn-hw@giganews.com...
>
> "auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
> news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >
> > Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
> >
> > here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
> >
>
>
> WOW. That site is a special kind of stupid.

i especially like the 'do it yourself' part where he explains that the whole
thing is held together with bondo. great stuff that bondo, fix your car
body and keep away evil spirits all in one can.

3911. Re: I've Reconsidered My Position On N9OGL's Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 23:08:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113001713.359502.324900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105477

>BTW: I'm preparing some letters of my own! Stay tuned!

WELL LET'S SEE THEM!!!!!

TODD N9OGL

3912. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 23:23:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113002590.691869.131350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105478

I'll leave your ass for your little butt buddy Steve. No I figured you need to go back to Queer Radio Zone (QRZ) I figured that's where you hang out, you've post there a few time. To answer you loser quesion I only got into ham radio for the purpose of experimenting, and unlike your little bitch boyfriend,(who only talks on the radio to his little bitch(YOU) that's what the majority of my amatuer activites consist of, experimenting. I am very seldom on the radio (although i've spent close to $10,000 or radio's since I began) i hope that answer the question

3913. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-08 23:27:41 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <W88OA17U38450.8108912037@anonymous>
Source record: 105479

In article <secwet.cross.eyed.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
> As I said in another post, those <whines and backpedals>

Yes, like you said in another post, you can't tell the
difference between a website for a n ARES organization in
Alabama from one in West Virginia.

Subject: Re: Get on the Marshall County ARES webpage.....
Date: 3 Jul 2002 22:03:46 GMT
From: S...@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee)
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
MsgId: <afvsc2$6a...@pita.alt.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.coc��ksucker.roger-wiseman
References: <hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3s��j...@4ax.com>
<afvhaj$2r...@pita.alt.net>

Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whined:

On Wed, 3 Jul 2002 14:18:35 -0400, AB8MQ <kc8...@alt.net> wrote:
: "Bob" <:@mchsi.com> wrote in message

: news:hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3sje22s@4ax.com...
: > and send them some of Rogers filth. I'm sure they would love
it.
:
: Dumbfuck, the Marshall County ARES doesn't have a webpage, try
again,

Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywa��rncanwarn/ doodle
brain? It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even
has a place to send email.

Poor Lardass.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

3914. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 00:19:07 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <e0471579f785f6481ef99827b8bb55a2@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 105480

In article <d31tkoknb0@pita.alt.net>
"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote:

> Now that is original, Roger...

What is your fixation of Roger?
And it's a lot more original than your plagiarism, Lardass
Lloydie the time tard.

> Oh, so you are saying you are obsessed with him, you keep tabs
> on him?

Try getting your "material" from somebody other than Pee Wee
Herman, tubby.

> ...as in posting Lloyd's home address, work address, phone
numbers, and
> other personal information???

Or how you post Roger's address and phone number, tubby?

> ...just as you are in denial about being Roger.

..just as you are in denial about being Lardass.

> ...just as YOU claim every anonmyous poster is Lloyd? Sounds
like obsession
> to me.

Just like you claim every anonymous poster is Roger?
P.S. Fix your shitty newsreader.

> ...it makes you wish YOU were an altar boy, doesn't it Roger?

And turn out like you, a 31 year old VIRGIN, who plays with
trashcan robots and is a career pizza delivery boy? Hardly,
tubby. And I'm still not Roger.

3915. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 00:25:35 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <6didnYsTVpWdvMrfRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105481

N9GAY wrote:
> I'll leave your ass for your little butt buddy Steve. No I figured you
> need to go back to Queer Radio Zone (QRZ) I figured that's where you
> hang out, you've post there a few time.
Well toady, it looks like you hang out there also.
1.You just said that you read the post there.
2.You posted your gay picture there!

To answer you loser quesion
Your question

Look at how's calling other people a loser!

>I only got into ham radio for the purpose of experimenting, and unlike
> your little bitch boyfriend,(who only talks on the radio to his little
> bitch(YOU) that's what the majority of my amatuer activites consist of

You stupid gay boy, how in the world do you know why I or anybody else
got into ham radio. Unlike you, I don't lie, I've already told you that
I've never talked to steve. So there you go again, you have these gay
fantasies, butts and ass's, you should seek professional help soon. You
have posted that you'd get on the liberty net but you had to hook up
your antenna, is that a hard job, or just more lies? Talking on the lib
net is experimenting? The only thing you can call bitch about me is that
if you got in my face and tried your gay stuff on me, I might think
about bitch slapping myself just to scare you, but that wont happen
cause you're a sissy fake gay liar! You are paththetic, I almost feel
sorry for you!
,
> experimenting. I am very seldom on the radio (although i've spent close
> to $10,000 or radio's since I began)

Well I get it now, you are better then me because you have more money to
spend on radio stuff? I don't have any radios at all. Oh wait, there
goes your story about me talking to Steve on the radio!

Toady, please seek help very soon, When I took Abnormal Psychology, I
like to call it Nutz & Sluts, there was a chapter about pathological
liars the capitalized inappropriately. You capitalize hole sentences
sometimes only words such as "YOU", but when you talk about yourself,
you don't use caps? Look above where you wrote "(although i've spent
close to $10,000 or radio's since I began) Shouldn't i've be I've?

i hope that answer the question

(I) (answers)

There it is again i should be I, and no it doesn't answer any questions at all, just that you have gay fantasies and are a border line psycho!

3916. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 00:36:33 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <3DV0SUSU38450.8587152778@anonymous>
Source record: 105482

In article
<1112819980.977555.228050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> And you're still an anonymous coward.

Nursie want a cracker?
> And you're still an anonymous coward.

Nursie want a cracker?

> No thank you. You're still an anonymous coward.

Nursie want a cracker?

> And you're still an anonymous coward.

Nursie want a cracker?

> Very poignant, anonymous coward

Nursie want a cracker?

> Noi, it wouldn't

Showing off those Pulitzer prize spelling skills, Nursie, "no"?

> I am sure you wished it were true...then I might find
pictures of
> you there? Do they have "Gay Anonymous Cowards Revealed"
issues?

You must have first hand knowledge what they have, Nursie.

> Perhaps. But you're still an anonymous coward.

Nursie want a cracker?

> How is that?

That girdle you wear under your jumpsuit just isn't making it,
Nursie.

> Stick a pin in my QRZ address and draw a fifty mile
radius of it.

I'd rather do what I've been doing, Nursie, sticking a pin in
you online, you blowhard.

> Then look in a phone book for all the cites within that circle.

I know where you and your fat heiffer coworkers are, Nursie.

> (Hint...You can exclude AL and GA since I don't have any out-
of-state
> nursing licenses at present)

You probably couldn't get them with your performance record,
Nursie.

> You lack of ability to identify a single item of specific
detail
> is all the proof I need.

Your lack of ability to compose a decent response is all I need,
Nursie.

> Nope.

Yep.

> Nope. Simple typo. "r" and "t" are side-by-side on the
keyboard.
> If that's the BEST you can do for a "gotya", thyen you're
even lamer
> than I gave you credit for!

Nursie, it's always hilarious when you make excuses for yout
screwups "then" make another one while you do it.

> If you say so.

The dictionary says so, Nursie. Not that you'd know.

> And as I said, you are lying. You haven't got a clue as to
where I
> work.

Bleat, Nursie, bleat.

> Still a simple typing error.

But you still can't spell it, Nursie.

> That's still the "best" you can do...?!?!

It makes you get your panties in a twist every time, Nursie.

> Lying in public behind an anonymous screen name is
"living"...?!?!

Again, Nursie,you are too dense to understand.
Do you ever stop whining?

> Hardly.

We know.

> You're a lying anonymous coward. The only thing that
makes you
> THINK you are more "alive" than anyone is that you think you
are
> somehow in "control" since your immeidate screen name and
identity are
> anonymous.

You got mighty defensive, Nursie. "And what is "immeidate"????
Did you mean "immediate"????

> Since you are able to keep that bit of information from
public is
> your ONLY "control" over your life. You're a loser with no
where to go
> and nothing to show for what you claim is your life.

Says the moron who can't spell simple words.

> Sucks to be you.

No, Nursie, it sucks to be a whining illiterate blowhard like
you.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

3917. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 00:52:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113007921.568490.163390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105483

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9GAY wrote:
> > I'll leave your ass for your little butt buddy Steve. No I figured
you
> > need to go back to Queer Radio Zone (QRZ) I figured that's where
you
> > hang out, you've post there a few time.
> Well toady, it looks like you hang out there also.
> 1.You just said that you read the post there.
> 2.You posted your gay picture there!

NO, I DON'T, I did a search on both googles and yahoo on
you....secondly QRZ boy, that picture has been on their a
LOOOOOOOOOOONGGG time, another amateur suggested I put my picture on
there.

> To answer you loser quesion
> Your question
>
> Look at how's calling other people a loser!
>
> >I only got into ham radio for the purpose of experimenting, and
unlike
> > your little bitch boyfriend,(who only talks on the radio to his
little
> > bitch(YOU) that's what the majority of my amatuer activites consist
of
>
> You stupid gay boy, how in the world do you know why I or anybody
else
> got into ham radio. Unlike you, I don't lie, I've already told you
that
> I've never talked to steve. So there you go again, you have these gay

> fantasies, butts and ass's, you should seek professional help soon.
You
> have posted that you'd get on the liberty net but you had to hook up
> your antenna, is that a hard job, or just more lies? Talking on the
lib
> net is experimenting? The only thing you can call bitch about me is
that
> if you got in my face and tried your gay stuff on me, I might think
> about bitch slapping myself just to scare you, but that wont happen
> cause you're a sissy fake gay liar! You are paththetic, I almost feel

> sorry for you!
> ,

No talking to the liberty net is not experimenting, and yes I did hook up my antenna to talk to them, again I very seldom on the radio period. Secondly bitchwhore, you don't know me and you can not call me a fucking liar....the one lying here is crybaby K4YZ. SECONDLY, you horsefucker, why don't quit calling people gay and go back to what you were doing before you got here, downloading Kiddy Porn...because we ALL know you love them little kiddies.

> > experimenting. I am very seldom on the radio (although i've spent close
> > to $10,000 or radio's since I began)
>
> Well I get it now, you are better then me because you have more money to
> spend on radio stuff? I don't have any radios at all. Oh wait, there
> goes your story about me talking to Steve on the radio!
>
> Toady, please seek help very soon, When I took Abnormal Psychology, I

> like to call it Nutz & Sluts, there was a chapter about pathological
> liars the capitalized inappropriately. You capitalize hole sentences
> sometimes only words such as "YOU", but when you talk about yourself,

> you don't use caps? Look above where you wrote "(although i've spent
> close to $10,000 or radio's since I began) Shouldn't i've be I've?
>
> i hope that answer the question
>
> (I) (answers)
>
> There it is again i should be I, and no it doesn't answer any questions
> at all, just that you have gay fantasies and are a border line psycho!

That's ok you little perv, go back to downloading your kiddy porn.

3918. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:06:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113008768.083465.175160@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105484

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9GAY wrote:
> > I'll leave your ass for your little butt buddy Steve. No I figured
you
> > need to go back to Queer Radio Zone (QRZ) I figured that's where
you
> > hang out, you've post there a few time.
> Well toady, it looks like you hang out there also.
> 1.You just said that you read the post there.
> 2.You posted your gay picture there!

NO, I DON'T, I did a search on both googles and yahoo on
you....secondly QRZ boy, that picture has been on their a
LOOOOOOOOOOONGGG time, another amateur suggested I put my picture on
there.

> To answer you loser quesion
> Your question
>
> Look at how's calling other people a loser!
>
> >I only got into ham radio for the purpose of experimenting, and
unlike
> > your little bitch boyfriend,(who only talks on the radio to his
little
> > bitch(YOU) that's what the majority of my amatuer activites consist
of
>
> You stupid gay boy, how in the world do you know why I or anybody
else
> got into ham radio. Unlike you, I don't lie, I've already told you
that
> I've never talked to steve. So there you go again, you have these gay

> fantasies, butts and ass's, you should seek professional help soon.
You
> have posted that you'd get on the liberty net but you had to hook up
> your antenna, is that a hard job, or just more lies? Talking on the
lib
> net is experimenting? The only thing you can call bitch about me is
that
> if you got in my face and tried your gay stuff on me, I might think
> about bitch slapping myself just to scare you, but that wont happen
> cause you're a sissy fake gay liar! You are paththetic, I almost feel

> sorry for you!
> ,

No talking to the liberty net is not experimenting, and yes I did hook up my antenna to talk to them, again I very seldom on the radio period. Secondly bitchwhore, you don't know me and you can not call me a fucking liar....the one lying here is crybaby K4YZ. SECONDLY, you horsefucker, why don't quit calling people gay and go back to what you were doing before you got here, downloading Kiddy Porn...because we ALL know you love them little kiddies.

> > experimenting. I am very seldom on the radio (although i've spent close
> > to $10,000 or radio's since I began)
>
> Well I get it now, you are better then me because you have more money to
> spend on radio stuff? I don't have any radios at all. Oh wait, there
> goes your story about me talking to Steve on the radio!
>
> Toady, please seek help very soon, When I took Abnormal Psychology, I

> like to call it Nutz & Sluts, there was a chapter about pathological
> liars the capitalized inappropriately. You capitalize hole sentences
> sometimes only words such as "YOU", but when you talk about yourself,

> you don't use caps? Look above where you wrote "(although i've spent
> close to $10,000 or radio's since I began) Shouldn't i've be I've?
>
> i hope that answer the question
>
> (I) (answers)
>
> There it is again i should be I, and no it doesn't answer any questions
> at all, just that you have gay fantasies and are a border line psycho!

That's ok you little perv, go back to downloading your kiddy porn.

3919. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:08:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113008880.758579.49930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105485
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Try these sites

http://www.duluthsuperior.com/mld/duluthsuperior/9698674.htm

http://www.vlf.it/zevs/zevs.htm

http://enterprise.spawar.navy.mil/
UploadedFiles/fs_clam_lake_elf2003.pdf

http://www-star.stanford.edu/~acfs/82Hz.pdf

http://www.elfrad.com/clam.htm

Todd N9OGL

3920. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:16:53 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ufSdnWgGB-ybsMrfRVn-tQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105487

N9GAY wrote:

>
> No talking to the liberty net is not experimenting, and yes I did hook
> up my antenna to talk to them, again I very seldom on the radio
> period. Secondly bitchwhore, you don't know me and you can not call me
> a fucking liar....the one lying here is crybaby K4YZ. SECONDLY, you
> horsefucker, why don't quit calling people gay and go back to what you
> were doing before you got here, downloading Kiddy Porn...because we ALL
> know you love them little kiddies.

You're losing it there gay boy! Calm down a little, please think about
seeking help as soon as you can! You say you don't lie but in your next
gay breath you say that I download kiddy porn? Is the word porn
capitalized in your circle of friends? Are you talking to me or K4YZ?
You seem to have Steve on your small mind, you make mention of him in
every post? I didn't call you a F****** liar, I called you a liar,
which I have proven in every gay post you make! Do you refer to the
voices in your head as we? You said " because we ALL know you love them
little kiddies. "
Who the heck is "we"
And there's that inappropriate capitalizing again!
I'm not calling people gay toad, I'm calling you gay, and there's
nothing wrong with that, as long as you keep it where it belongs and you
can discuss it in a health way!

>>>experimenting. I am very seldom on the radio (although i've spent
>
> close
>
>>>to $10,000 or radio's since I began)
>>
>>Well I get it now, you are better then me because you have more money
>
> to
>
>>spend on radio stuff? I don't have any radios at all. Oh wait, there
>>goes your story about me talking to Steve on the radio!
>>
>>Toady, please seek help very soon, When I took Abnormal Psychology, I
>
>
>>like to call it Nutz & Sluts, there was a chapter about pathological
>>liars the capitalized inappropriately. You capitalize hole sentences
>>sometimes only words such as "YOU", but when you talk about yourself,

>
>
>>you don't use caps? Look above where you wrote "(although i've spent
>>close to $10,000 or radio's since I began) Shouldn't i've be I've?
>>
>>i hope that answer the question
>>
>>(I) (answers)
>>
>>There it is again i should be I, and no it doesn't answer any
>
> questions
>
>>at all, just that you have gay fantasies and are a border line
>
> psycho!
>
> That's ok you little perv, go back to downloading your kiddy porn.

Now you've seemed to get off the gay remarks and into kiddy porn, toady,
please seek help before it's too late and you try to make your fantasies
real! All you ever do is make lying remarks of some perversion, is that
the best you can do? Is this all that's on your warped mind?
I'm glad that you can admit to posting on QRZ, it's just too bad that
you have to blame somebody else for making you do it, could this
somebody be the little voices in your little head?

3921. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:18:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113009510.317204.168950@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105486

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9GAY wrote:
> > I'll leave your ass for your little butt buddy Steve. No I figured
you
> > need to go back to Queer Radio Zone (QRZ) I figured that's where
you
> > hang out, you've post there a few time.
> Well toady, it looks like you hang out there also.
> 1.You just said that you read the post there.
> 2.You posted your gay picture there!

NO, I DON'T, I did a search on both googles and yahoo on
you....secondly QRZ boy, that picture has been on their a
LOOOOOOOOOOONGGG time, another amateur suggested I put my picture on
there.

> To answer you loser quesion
> Your question
>
> Look at how's calling other people a loser!
>
> >I only got into ham radio for the purpose of experimenting, and
unlike
> > your little bitch boyfriend,(who only talks on the radio to his
little
> > bitch(YOU) that's what the majority of my amatuer activites consist
of
>
> You stupid gay boy, how in the world do you know why I or anybody
else
> got into ham radio. Unlike you, I don't lie, I've already told you
that
> I've never talked to steve. So there you go again, you have these gay

> fantasies, butts and ass's, you should seek professional help soon.
You
> have posted that you'd get on the liberty net but you had to hook up
> your antenna, is that a hard job, or just more lies? Talking on the
lib
> net is experimenting? The only thing you can call bitch about me is
that
> if you got in my face and tried your gay stuff on me, I might think
> about bitch slapping myself just to scare you, but that wont happen
> cause you're a sissy fake gay liar! You are paththetic, I almost feel

> sorry for you!
> ,

No talking to the liberty net is not experimenting, and yes I did hook up my antenna to talk to them, again I very seldom on the radio period. Secondly bitchwhore, you don't know me and you can not call me a fucking liar....the one lying here is crybaby K4YZ. SECONDLY, you horsefucker, why don't quit calling people gay and go back to what you were doing before you got here, downloading Kiddy Porn...because we ALL know you love them little kiddies.

> > experimenting. I am very seldom on the radio (although i've spent close
> > to $10,000 or radio's since I began)
>
> Well I get it now, you are better then me because you have more money to
> spend on radio stuff? I don't have any radios at all. Oh wait, there
> goes your story about me talking to Steve on the radio!
>
> Toady, please seek help very soon, When I took Abnormal Psychology, I

> like to call it Nutz & Sluts, there was a chapter about pathological
> liars the capitalized inappropriately. You capitalize hole sentences
> sometimes only words such as "YOU", but when you talk about yourself,

> you don't use caps? Look above where you wrote "(although i've spent
> close to $10,000 or radio's since I began) Shouldn't i've be I've?
>
> i hope that answer the question
>
> (I) (answers)
>
> There it is again i should be I, and no it doesn't answer any questions
> at all, just that you have gay fantasies and are a border line psycho!

That's ok you little perv, go back to downloading your kiddy porn.

3922. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:19:37 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ufSdnWsGB-w1sMrfRVn-tQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105488

Double posting? toady you are loseing it big time, get help!

3923. Re: The Baxter Chronicles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:24:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113009858.807850.297310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105489

THAT's right Kiddy lover, I'll still be around for a
looooooooooonnnnnnnggggggg time

TODD N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
SATURDAY NIGHTS 9.00 PM CST

3924. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:24:35 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <TP-dnQpjBL1Ls8rfRVn-gg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105490

N9OGL wrote:

> NO, I DON'T, I did a search on both googles and yahoo on
> you....secondly QRZ boy, that picture has been on their a
> LOOOOOOOOOOOONGGG time, another amateur suggested I put my picture on
> there.

You are obsessed with me? Should I worry? Why would you bechecking me
out on 2 search engines? Please get help soon!

3925. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:26:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113010015.829033.312930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105491

go fuck youself and the horse you rode on.

3926. Re: The Baxter Chronicles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:26:58 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <TP-dnQVjBL3_ssrfRVn-gg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105492

N9gay wrote:

> THAT's right Kiddy lover, I'll still be around for a
> looooooooooonnnnnnnggggggg time

Is that like a way to make up for your being short?

> TODD N9gay
> THE N9Gay SHOW
> SATURDAY NIGHTS 9.00 PM CST
>

3927. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:30:20 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <TP-dnQRjBL2xrcrfRVn-gg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105494

N9OGL wrote:

> go fuck youself and the horse you rode on.

Now you are having thoughts of bestiality? I think that's illegal in all
50 states? Sorry,I didn't mean to say ill eagle, didn't mean to get you
excited!

3928. Re: The Baxter Chronicles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:30:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113010234.107971.190290@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105493

my information bulletins will be around for a long time.....and your boyfriend steve can bitch a whine all he wants about them being illegal, which they are not.

3929. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:34:56 UTC
From: Art Deco <art_deco@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <080420051934560156%art_deco@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 105495
Cross-posted to:
alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks

Dave <noone@nowhere.com> wrote:

> "Darkwing (Double Secret Disinformation Agent)" <theducksmail"AT"yahoo.com>
> wrote in message news:ztWdncgv2_BskMrfRVn-hw@giganews.com...
> >
> > "auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
> > news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > >
> > > Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
> > >
> > > here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
> > >
> >
> >
> > WOW. That site is a special kind of stupid.
>
> i especially like the 'do it yourself' part where he explains that the whole
> thing is held together with bondo. great stuff that bondo, fix your car
> body and keep away evil spirits all in one can.

Fowarded to AUK.

--
Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler

<http://www.insurgent.org/~kook-faq/alexa/socks.html>
<http://www.petitmorte.net/cujo/kazoo/kazoo.html>

3930. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:40:02 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <2bac0d0ae9e1afbbba149f1e1e8d0e28@dizum.com>
Source record: 105497

In article <425439A7.DE557A71@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Then you don't get to play question and answer any more, Un-
Wiseman.

Waddle away, fatty. You see Wiseman everywhere. Oh, and I'll
post anywhere I damn well please.

> > If Roger Wiseman lives in such an RF "hole" as you claim, how
> > could he have "interfered" with anybody, dumbass?
>
> I'll be happy to loan you a book on HF wave propagation. Just
drive on
> over...

Why would I ? I don't have a ham license, dumbass? Your outdated
information would be of no use to anybody. And I don't live in
West Virginia, so I can't "drive over".

And you didn't answer the question.

> Because it isn't necessary to do so, Un-Wiseman.

Then "interference" wasn't the reason for Wiseman's retest.
Even the letter which has been posted when it was first issued
didn't give a reason.

> Because it was your suggestion, that's why.

Wrong, doofus. How did you live so long and be so stupid?

> > Wiseman's "retest" letter has been posted to Google
> > before. It has no reason stated in the letter for the retest.
>
> And you are the only person who seems to believe that the FCC
retests
> licensees for no reason whatever.

You still didn't answer the question above.

And even in the Part 97 rules you are supposed to know, it says
the FCC can retest any VE administered ham licensee at any time.

> > See your statement above. You maintain he is never heard.
>
> That's right, I do maintain that. One can't keep tabs on what
isn't

> there.

But you are the one who says he isn't heard. You wouldn't know
that if you didn't keep tabs on him, stalker.

> Sure. It is.

Sure it is, sure it is, liar.

> Where's the statement?

Where's the answer to the above question?

> Then I'm stalking Madonna, Senator Byrd and David Letterman
too. They
> aren't heard on the ham bands here either.

But you specifically said Wiseman wasn't heard. Like you care
about whether he is or not. You must, you wouldn'thave posted it.

> A local station not heard one time in a five year period?
That'd be
> highly unlikely.

Yep, you are too stupid to find him on the air.

> That'd be while I operate all HF bands from home and
> in the car. That'd be while scanning all area 2m and 70cm FM
repeaters.

When I'm not in ham radio, why would I be heard in your area or
anywhere else, dumbass?

> You've not been heard a single time.
> But I know you *listen* to 2m as
> you've posted material here which would be unknown to anyone
except
> someone overhearing a conversation on 2m FM locally.

I did? I'm not even in ham radio, you fucking isiot. How would I
be able to hear you?

> > > Gee, Roger,
> >
> > There you go thinking everybody is Roger, again.
>
> Everyone? Nope.

Yep. Obsessed stalker.

> Easily substantiated, Roger.

There you go thinking everybody is Roger again.

> No one may be hired without a security
> background investigation. No one may retain his clearance

without a
> periodic update to that background investigation.

Uh, huh. Says you, liar.

> Have you?

Have I what?

> > You aren't classified as a "human being".
>
> In all of the <unsubstantiated bullshit>

In all of the thousands of frequencies available on ham radio,
you still haven't come across Roger, so you maintain he isn't
heard. What a moron.

3931. Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 01:46:54 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <iuG5e.3155$lP1.612@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Tried to browse on http://www.k1man.com.

Network Solutions splash screen told me:

"k1man.com
This domain name expired on 03/31/2005 and is pending renewal or deletion."

Do ya think N9OGL's hero needs a loan to rent his domain name?

73,

Barnabus Grumwitch Oberbyte

3932. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 02:02:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113011686.770178.322980@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> Tried to browse on http://www.k1man.com.
>
> Network Solutions splash screen told me:
>
> "k1man.com
> This domain name expired on 03/31/2005 and is pending renewal or
deletion."
>
> Do ya think N9OGL's hero needs a loan to rent his domain name?
>
> 73,
>
> Barnabus Grumwitch Oberbyte

I think we should all chip in and help this great american out, In my humble opinion Mr. Baxter has done a lot for amateur radio, and with our help he can continue his work to give the amateur radio community REAL hardcore information, unlike that W1AW.

TODD N9OGL

3933. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 02:03:02 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113011995.392292.326670@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> Tried to browse on http://www.k1man.com.
>
> Network Solutions splash screen told me:
>
> "k1man.com
> This domain name expired on 03/31/2005 and is pending renewal or
deletion."
>
> Do ya think N9OGL's hero needs a loan to rent his domain name?
>
> 73,
>
> Barnabus Grumwitch Oberbyte

I think we should all chip in and help this great american out, In my humble opinion Mr. Baxter has done a lot for amateur radio, and with our help he can continue his work to give the amateur radio community REAL hardcore information, unlike that W1AW.

TODD N9OGL

3934. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 02:24:10 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <T1H5e.276$6B5.15@fe06.lga>
Source record: 105350
Cross-posted to:
alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks

"Art Deco" <art_deco@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:080420051934560156%art_deco@127.0.0.1...
> Dave <noone@nowhere.com> wrote:
>
> > "Darkwing (Double Secret Disinformation Agent)"
<theducksmail"AT"yahoo.com>
> > wrote in message news:ztWdncgv2_BskMrfRVn-hw@giganews.com...
> > >
> > > "auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
> > > news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
> > > > >
> > > > here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > WOW. That site is a special kind of stupid.
> > >
> > i especially like the 'do it yourself' part where he explains that the
whole
> > thing is held together with bondo. great stuff that bondo, fix your car
> > body and keep away evil spirits all in one can.
>
> Fowarded to AUK.
>
> --
> Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler
>
> <http://www.insurgent.org/~kook-faq/alexa/socks.html>
> <http://www.petitmorte.net/cujo/kazoo/kazoo.html>

AD what the heck are you doing over here in RRS? For that matter what the
hell am I doing in AFAB? Damn cross posters !! :)

B.H.

3935. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 02:31:19 UTC
From: Art Deco <art_deco@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <080420052031193182%art_deco@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 105351
Cross-posted to:
alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks

Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net> wrote:

> "Art Deco" <art_deco@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:080420051934560156%art_deco@127.0.0.1...
> > Dave <noone@nowhere.com> wrote:
> >
> > > "Darkwing (Double Secret Disinformation Agent)"
> <theducksmail"AT"yahoo.com>
> > > wrote in message news:ztWdncgv2_BskMrfRVn-hw@giganews.com...
> > > >
> > > > "auntie Iris" <goto.rathergood.com@null.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:b4d54$4255f3e8$d1cc5bc5$15027@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > Go here and BUST A GUT laughing your ass off!!
> > > > >
> > > > > here---> http://www.tearingdownstrongholds.com/
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > WOW. That site is a special kind of stupid.
> > >
> > > i especially like the 'do it yourself' part where he explains that the
> whole
> > > thing is held together with bondo. great stuff that bondo, fix your car
> > > body and keep away evil spirits all in one can.
> >
> > Fowarded to AUK.
> >
> > --
> > Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler
> >
> > <http://www.insurgent.org/~kook-faq/alexa/socks.html>
> > <http://www.petitmorte.net/cujo/kazoo/kazoo.html>
>
> AD what the heck are you doing over here in RRS? For that matter what the
> hell am I doing in AFAB? Damn cross posters !! :)

You will be reported to your NSR, cross poster!

--
Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler

<http://www.insurgent.org/~kook-faq/alexa/socks.html>
<http://www.petitmorte.net/cujo/kazoo/kazoo.html>

3936. Re: Cell Phone Towers of the NWO (---> ultra funny KOOK site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 02:37:27 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <leH5e.400$uN5.311@fe06.lga>
Source record: 105352
Cross-posted to:
alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks

"Art Deco" <art_deco@127.0.0.1> wrote in message

> You will be reported to your NSR, cross poster!
>
>

I know AD. My hands are sweating as we speak.

B.H.

3937. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 03:25:32 UTC
From: Julio DeJesus <salvi145@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1113017132.197252.236820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105353

Toddy,

Why don't you just give up. There is nobody on this board, or any
other board for that matter, that wants to listen to your BS. Let's
face the facts Toddy boy, you are a two-bit loser that tried to get a
commercial radio license about 7 times and were rejected by the FCC.
You are a friggin moron with the IQ of a friggin 2 yr old trying to
convince people on your blog that you are a smart person. You are a
complete idiot that doesn't understand when you've been beat and when
you have lost. JUST GIVE IT UP AND GET THE F#@K OFF THE AIR AND OFF
THESE NEWSGROUPS!!!

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > More Humor From N9OGL and Company:
> >
> > QUOTE:
> >
> > (n9oglvoice.blogspot.com)
> >
> > Roger/AB8MQ said...
> > ####ing netKKKop k4yz needs a reacharound
> > from ####head Hi El, K8MN, again.
> >
> > Nobody forced k4yz to read this blog, so
> > #### him.
> >
> > UNQUOTE:
> >
> > Roger, judging by your numerous run-ins with law enforcement
and
> > the court systems, I would have to say that "details" are not your
> > strength, and this is yet more evidence of that.
> >
> > Had Toiddie not made the effort to take his "blog" and quote
it
> > word-for-word on a USENET newsgroup, I wouldn't have known what was
> on
> > his "blog".
> >
> > Toiddie's "information" bulletins, tainted by his "opinion",
are
> > of little interest to anyone, least of all me. This extends to his
> > "blogs".
> >

>
> Well steve, That's your opinion......however, you are not the 600,000
> amateurs out there, your just ONE PERSON; Hence, a minority. Secondly
> you've never heard my transmissions thus, you can not say they are of
> little interest, In my humble opinion wht you say on the air is of
> little interest, but that's my opinion. One thing you fail to grasp
is
> no amateur station can dictate to another amateur station how they
are
> to run their station. As for the Usenets, Newsgroups are "open fourm"
> and as long as it on topic I can and will post there. So you and your
> little Commie buddies can try to push people around on that newsgroup
> but I will not leave!!!
>
>
> > My only "interest" in Toiddie's activities comes from his
> > abuse-of-priviledge of his Amateur Radio license which could
> negatively
> > impact all Amateurs at some point in the future.
> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>HAM COP ALERT HAM COP ALERT<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>
> Steve, INFORMATION BULLETINS ARE LEGAL!!!!!!!!! as long as they are
> directed to the amateur radio service and contain amateur radio
> material. You don't freaking get it because you and your little moron
> friends on that newsgroup are narrow minded assholes.
>
>
> > That he chooses to do so with his poor grammar, sandlot
threats
> > and prolific profanity only further strengthen MY arguments about
his
> > incompetence in genereal and his obvious lack of character to be a
> > Commission licensee.
> >
> THANK FREAKING GOD YOU DON'T WORK FOR THE FCC!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
> > That he has a social misfit and ner-do-well such as you coming
> to
> > his "defense" is just icing on the cake.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

3938. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 03:33:47 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1113017627.599866.202200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105354

Absolutely, Julio. Toddy ain't got no job so he can devote all of his time to such things as building an ELF transmitter. It operates from 1-30 hz, a frequency so low it probably sucks his cock for him. Round these parts we would call it a nigger boom box.

3939. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 03:38:52 UTC
From: Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1113017932.205254.153330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105355

Oh, and Toddy's girlfriend is the nurse from "Girl Interrupted." The
male one.

3940. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 03:42:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42574F26.E1FAF292@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105356

Nomen Nescio aka anonymous necessario wrote:
>
> In article <425439A7.DE557A71@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > Then you don't get to play question and answer any more, Un-
> Wiseman.

> You see Wiseman everywhere.

Wrong-o. I don't see Wiseman anywhere. I don't hear him on the ham
bands either. He's under deep cover.

> Oh, and I'll post anywhere I damn well please.
>
> > > If Roger Wiseman lives in such an RF "hole" as you claim, how
> > > could he have "interfered" with anybody, dumbass?
> >
> > I'll be happy to loan you a book on HF wave propagation. Just
> drive on
> > over...
>
> Why would I ? I don't have a ham license, dumbass?

Then you'll not concern yourself with RF and radio interference,
Un-Wiseman.

> Your outdated
> information would be of no use to anybody.

> And I don't live in
> West Virginia, so I can't "drive over".

Oh shucks, I forgot. You're under deep cover and likely living in
Honolulu.

> And you didn't answer the question.

I told you: You don't get to play question and answer any more.
I've told you what I've done for amateur radio. It's your turn.

> > Because it isn't necessary to do so, Un-Wiseman.
>
> Then "interference" wasn't the reason for Wiseman's retest.
> Even the letter which has been posted when it was first issued
> didn't give a reason.

The FCC doesn't force licensees to retest for no reason. What do you think the reason might be?

> > > Wiseman's "retest" letter has been posted to Google
> > > before. It has no reason stated in the letter for the retest.
> >
> > And you are the only person who seems to believe that the FCC
> retests
> > licensees for no reason whatever.
>
> You still didn't answer the question above.

You don't get to play question and answer any more.

> And even in the Part 97 rules you are supposed to know, it says
> the FCC can retest any VE administered ham licensee at any time.

Duh. The Commission does not retest without reason. Roger Wiseman gave 'em a reason.

> > > See your statement above. You maintain he is never heard.
> >
> > That's right, I do maintain that. One can't keep tabs on what
> isn't
> > there.
>
> But you are the one who says he isn't heard.

Yes, I'm aware of that.

> You wouldn't know
> that if you didn't keep tabs on him, stalker.

You can't keep tabs on what is not there.

> > Where's the statement?
>
> Where's the answer to the above question?

You don't get to play question and answer any more. You asked a question about what I've done for amateur radio. I provided a full response. Your turn.

> > Then I'm stalking Madonna, Senator Byrd and David Letterman
> too. They
> > aren't heard on the ham bands here either.
>
> But you specifically said Wiseman wasn't heard.

I'm now specifically stating that Madonna, Senator Byrd and David Letterman have not been heard on the ham bands.

> Like you care about whether he is or not.

Oh, don't get me wrong. I care. I much prefer not to hear Roger Wiseman on the ham bands. I like it that way. He's deep under cover,

don't you know.

> You must, you wouldn'thave posted it.

That's right. There's no "The antenna here is a wounded octopus" or "I'm driving to see a friend in Stuebenville" (okay, it is a bit far-fetched to think that Wiseman has a friend), or "Yeah, Bill, I've spent my morning posting filth to various newsgroups". Zippo, nada, zilch, nuttin'.

> > A local station not heard one time in a five year period?
> That'd be
> > highly unlikely.
>
> Yep, you are too stupid to find him on the air.

You have to know better.

> > That'd be while I operate all HF bands from home and
> > in the car. That'd be while scanning all area 2m and 70cm FM
> repeaters.
>
> When I'm not in ham radio, why would I be heard in your area or
> anywhere else, dumbass?

Yeah, I forgot--you're in deep cover, anonymous internet posts, unlisted amateur radio callsign. ;-)

> > You've not been heard a single time.
> > But I know you *listen* to 2m as
> > you've posted material here which would be unknown to anyone
> except
> > someone overhearing a conversation on 2m FM locally.
>
> I did? I'm not even in ham radio, you f--king isiot. How would I
> be able to hear you?

You're playing this I'm-not-me routine to the hilt, huh?

> > No one may be hired without a security
> > background investigation. No one may retain his clearance
> without a
> > periodic update to that background investigation.
>
> Uh, huh. Says you, liar.

Feel free to do any slight amount of research before making yourself look more foolish.

> > Have you?
>
> Have I what?

You must have forgotten again.

> In all of the thousands of frequencies available on ham radio,
> you still haven't come across Roger, so you maintain he isn't
> heard.

Yes, I maintain that I have not heard KC8JBO or AB8MQ in nearly five
years.

> What a moron.

Yes, he is.

Dave K8MN

3941. Re: I've Reconsidered My Position On N9OGL's Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 03:46:37 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <208e7512d76c77e3be7b9845a007f2a9@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 105357

In article
<1112955729.019768.303590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> Todd,
>
> As I wrote my last response to you in another thread, it
came to
> me that if your "blog" and any of it's spin off's were removed
or
> censored, it really would depreciate life in general.
>
> You see, there has to be a "lowest common denominator"
from which
> we can base the rest of which we call society, and Son, you're
just
> about the lowest anyone having human DNA can be and still be
called
> human. I'd only put the Hitlers, Kevorkians, Dahmers and
Bundys any
> farther down than you.

Or you, Nursie.

> So you go right on ahead with your profanities, your
"inventions"
> and your violations of federal acts. It will catch up to you
sooner or
> later, and in that moment, you will know that I am going to be
laughing
> at you at every turn!

But, Nursie, you laugh at everything, your feeble mind is that
far gone.

> Good luck, Todd! You're going to need it!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

More unsubstantiate bullshit from Nursie.

> BTW: I'm preparing some letters of my own! Stay tuned!

Who are you going to get to write them for you, Nursie? You sure
can't compose one yourself.

3942. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 03:51:35 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <bjl5e.3152$yq6.970@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aw-fer-shits-sake! It was too good to be true. Now the splash screen says
http://www.k1man.com is "under construction".

3943. Laughing at y'all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 04:05:21 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d37k8k$9d8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105359

ARF !

3944. Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 04:07:44 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d37kd3$9ks$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105360

Out of simple curiosity (and boredom) upon reading the N9OGL exchanges with others, I decided to take a look at one of N9OGL's blogs. Needless to say I couldn't get past his opening paragraph, quoted below. I didn't read the rest of the blog because I felt that if the rest of it was anything like the opening paragraph, it wasn't worth the effort.

"I've deiced to write out some of my old idea and explain in greater detail about some of the theories and concept behind it. The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and theories is due to a group of people in are societies who have little or no intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators."

I laughed and then shook my head at the irony of it all. Here he is, stating that Hams have "little or no 'intelligent'." when this man so exemplifies the very lack of that for which he belittles others.

///
Note the beginning of the paragraph," I've 'deiced' to write.", then the misuse of plurals such as "some of my old idea" and "theories and concept".

Then this Roger Wiseman clone goes on to say, "people in 'are' societies", and repeats "little or no 'intelligent'".

(I'm sure the misspelling of 'decided' and 'our' were simply typos, hmmm?)

N9OGL is apparently using the same dictionary Roger Wiseman uses, and one finds oneself wondering how much inbreeding has been involved in their families.

The blog is a real hoot, some of the best comedy I've seen in Usenet in months.

3945. FCC Announces Lottery for Extra Class Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 04:30:08 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <kTI5e.3013$An2.2610@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Citing the success of the its licensing restructuring of May, 2000, the FCC has announced an impending shortage of the top-grade "Extra" licenses, and that only a limited number of these prestigious licenses remain available.

"The positive response to our restructuring caught us completely off guard, and the supply of these licenses is almost exhausted. Only 15,000 remain to be issued." said Riley Hollingsworth, a top insider on condition of anonymity.

"The only fair way to distribute these remaining licenses if via a lottery, so that all citizens have an equal opportunity for this coveted honor." he said.

Registration for this lottery will be via usenet newsgroup rec.radio.amateur.policy, with registration opening immediately and ending on June 1st 2005. Each registrant is required to send a message to any USENET newsgroup stating "I deserve to be an Extra because (_____)" filling the blank with 25 words or less. (Note: Any newsgroup can be used except alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.penguins and rec.radio.amateur.arrl-sucks)

On June 2nd the lottery will be held, based on the following fairness criteria:

--5,000 Extra licenses will be sent to those who register correctly, randomly drawn with a 15 point preference to residents of Belgrade Lakes, Maine.
--5,000 Extra licenses will be sent to those who register incorrectly, provided that they currently hold a Technician license and have not passed a Morse code test.
--5,000 Extra licenses will be sent to a random selection of persons who did not register at all, ensuring all citizens even those without an interest in ham radio can participate.

NO SKILL IS REQUIRED.
NO ACTION IS REQUIRED.
REGISTER NOW.
THERE IS A STRICT JUNE 1ST DEADLINE.
THE TIME TO START IS NOW!!

3946. Re: FCC Announces Lottery for Extra Class Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 04:44:56 UTC
From: LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <6cne51138f3se0tm6br8ot63g21j3trib9@4ax.com>
Source record: 105363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 09 Apr 2005 04:30:08 GMT, "Mel A. Nomah"
<Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote:

>Citing the success of the its licensing restructuring of May, 2000, the FCC
>has announced an impending shortage of the top-grade "Extra" licenses, and
>that only a limited number of these prestigious licenses remain available.
>
>"The positive response to our restructuring caught us completely off guard,
>and the supply of these licenses is almost exhausted. Only 15,000 remain to
>be issued." said Riley Hollingsworth, a top insider on condition of
>anonymity.
>
>"The only fair way to distribute these remaining licenses if via a lottery,
>so that all citizens have an equal opportunity for this coveted honor." he
>said.

[snip of remainder of entirely unentertaining spoof]

I think you were eight days late with this.

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

3947. Re: The Baxter Chronicles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 04:49:01 UTC
From: Baxter Buster <raybouchard101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1113022141.469662.64490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105362

Shut up Todd, you thread stealing retarded Bitch! This thread is about the Fat Bastard in Maine so take your little weenie and stick it where it's wanted. (In your boyfriends butt, ya retard!)

3948. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 07:35:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113032142.541679.308530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> Aw-fer-shits-sake! It was too good to be true. Now the splash
screen says
> http://www.k1man.com is "under construction".

maybe I should teach him HTML or make him a better site.
Todd N9OGL

3949. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 07:36:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113032160.269194.131110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> Aw-fer-shits-sake! It was too good to be true. Now the splash
screen says
> http://www.k1man.com is "under construction".

maybe I should teach him HTML or make him a better site.
Todd N9OGL

3950. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 07:40:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113032443.106951.146700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>From Network Solutions

k1man.com is unavailable

The question is did he renew it or did some one buy it up?

Todd N9OGL

3951. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 07:41:28 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d380ts$1ad$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113032160.269194.131110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; wrote:
> Aw-fer-shits-sake! It was too good to be true. Now the splash
screen says
> http://www.k1man.com is "under construction".

maybe I should teach him HTML or make him a better site.
Todd N9OGL

Maybe you could avail yourself of a spell checker first....

3952. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 08:10:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113034249.635421.301840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105368

I fixed the problems
Todd N9OGL

3953. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 08:12:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113034344.731440.113550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

My blog don't have spell check.....now if I run it on a windows machine
it does....go figure

3954. Re: The Baxter Chronicles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 08:30:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113035406.501132.175760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105370

ohhhh I'm SOOOOOOOO sorry, I know you think glenn baxter is a god and
when you grow up you want to be just like him

3955. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 08:30:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113035431.590026.319330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> Aw-fer-shits-sake! It was too good to be true. Now the splash
screen says
> http://www.k1man.com is "under construction".

maybe I should teach him HTML or make him a better site.
Todd N9OGL

3956. Re: I've Reconsidered My Position On N9OGL's Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 08:35:02 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113035702.758184.9980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105372

I'm still waiting for those letter's to be displayed.....oh perhaps you
trying to come up with some more frivolous claims about me so you can
give to your butt buddy at the FCC.
Todd N9OGL

3957. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 09:30:20 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d387a0bbh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105373

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113034249.635421.301840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
I fixed the problems
Todd N9OGL

You fixed Stupidity?

Not likely, at least not in your case.

3958. Nothern lights

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 12:49:04 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <asudnTbFjfiiUsrfRVn-3w@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105375

http://antwrp.gsfc.nasa.gov/apod/ap031113.html

Aurora Oklahoma

3959. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 12:55:20 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kP6dnW68iJElTcrfRVn-oQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105374

N9GAY wrote:

> I fixed the problems
> Todd N9GAY

I point these problems out to you toad and you bring up gay stuff and child porn, then you want to have sex with my horse? Now you fix it? Arf Arf is right, you can't fix stupid!

I really think that you have passed the point on no return toad, you are replying the same thing 3 or 4 times now? Seek help soon!

3960. Re: FCC Announces Lottery for Extra Class Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 14:34:21 UTC
From: Ralph E Lindberg <n7bsn@callsign.net>
Message-ID: <n7bsn-3D4EDB.07342109042005@individual.net>
Source record: 105376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <6cne51138f3se0tm6br8ot63g21j3trib9@4ax.com>,
LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:

> LRod
>
> Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite
>
> Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999
>
> http://www.woodbutcher.net
>
> Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

Em... I thought, when I read your Norm stuff, that I recalled you also
being a Ham.

rec.woodworking since May 94 (I ran the split poll in 95)

--

Personal e-mail is the n7bsn but at amsat.org
This posting address is a spam-trap and seldom read
RV and Camping FAQ can be found at
http://www.ralphandellen.us/rv

3961. Re: Laughing at y'all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 16:07:30 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <6cddb6a62c7f6b847dd5b63494e0ea69@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 105377

In article <d37k8k$9d8$0@pita.alt.net>
"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote:
>
> ARF!

Poor mentally ill Lardass!

There are no Daleks under your command 'tard boy. They are fictional robots used in a television show! You need to get mental help again failure boy.

You have been a failure in everything you have ever done, PERIOD!

You are indeed "pale" you never venture forth outside except for your minimum wage "job," and to buy more of that pussy Bud Lite beer. A real man's beer would probably kill a big fat pussy like yourself.

You need to seriously take a long hard look at yourself in a properly lit mirror in that roach infested hovel you call home and then take action. Lose weight, get mental help, stay off the computer for hours on end and face reality. You are 31 years of age, you deliver pizzas, you play with dolls, you get drunk and spew your filth and delusions on here and usenet. Nobody, I repeat, nobody except for a couple of geeks like yourself can stand you. The best thing you could do is to slit your wrists while in that shitty vehicle of yours this summer, then if the police didn't find you right away, the Alabama summer heat would do it without you having to be cremated. You are a blathering asshole that is a boil in the crack of America's ass. You irritate us, end it now Porky, do it!

You need to either kill yourself or get help, that's it, do it!

3962. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 16:40:04 UTC
From: lcs Mixmaster Remailer <mix@anon.lcs.mit.edu>
Message-ID: <20050409164004.16341.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 105378

In article <4256A83D.D9B76218@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > F--K YOU, YOU LITTLE STEVE AND DAVE BITCH! I'M NOT F--KING
GAY YOU
> > F--KING BITCHWHORE ASSHOLE MOTHERF--KER!!!!!
>
> I've never made the assertion that you are, Todd. I just
think you're
> as socially defective as our local filth monger.

Which means the newsgroup Nazi and self-appointed hypocrite
moderator will just whine more of his hypocrisy.

> Your fantasy claims about assisting a congressman, assisting a
church
> group in problems with your cable company, about inventing
anything and
> about being a broadcaster are the stuff of a fifteen-year-
old's brain in
> the body of a man.

Sort of like your claims about your "State Department" job, eh?

> I can only shudder when I think of someone like you sending a
public
> access cable program into peoples' homes.

Making Herr Goebbels proud with your censorship and propaganda,
Nazi?

3963. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 17:20:40 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <NZ012J4D38452.0560185185@reece.net.au>
Source record: 105379

```
In article <UYydnaXcnu313snfRVn-tw@centurytel.net>
KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
>
>
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>
> > In article <IfWdnf0_CbZuUs7fRVn...@centurytel.net>
> > KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>
> >>N9OGL wrote:
> >>
> >>>you forgot one
> >>>
> >>>http://physics.gmu.edu/~e-physics/bob/tachyons.htm
> >>>
> >>
> >>I didn't forget anything, there are more sites that put
> >
> > tachyons in a
> >
> >>class with witchcraft and the occult or the supernatural!
> >>
> >>As far as a first draft, lets help you a little, remember as
I
> >
> > said that
> >
> >>I'm uneducated.
> >>
> >>"I've deiced to write out some of my old idea" should read
> >> decided deiced is something done to aircraft wings.
> >>Also if these are some of your ideas then they are ideas not
> >
> > an idea.
> >
> >>"The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and
> >
> > theories is
> >
> >>due to a group of people in are societies who have little or
no
```

> >>intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio
operators."
> >>
> >>If this is a first draft, how can this be a revamping of your
> >
> > old idea?
> >
> >>Or should that be ideas as in more then 1 idea?
> >>
> >>"are societies"
> >>Did you possibly mean OUR society?
> >>
> >>"little or no intelligent"
> >>Again, do you mean intelligence?
> >>
> >>"these people are called Amateur Radio operators"
> >> Are you not one of these yourself?
> >>
> >>This is just a start Todd, non of these are inventions, they
> >
> > are ideas
> >
> >>or dreams and the page just shows you lack of intelligence or
> >
> > as you
> >
> >>would say " lack of intelligent"
> >>
> >>Well Todd, better luck on you second draft!
> >
> > ^^
> >
> > Well, Dimmy, better luck on "your" next attempt.
>
> Well, Pervert,

Well, Dimmy, you posted just above that you wern't Dimmy.

> you got me there, I dropped a letter.

You've fumbled your entire life, Dimmy.

> But, I'm not putting a web page up and calling everybody else
unintelligent!

No, you are just doing it on the newsgroups. Then you screw it
up in "your" first draft.

> Does Todd pay you to back him up, or do do idiots just attract
each other?

Does Nursie pay you to copy his lame excuses or are you taking
it out in trade with you bending over and grasping your ankles?

3964. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 17:27:31 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <16174800654a7418fc46d118945ecc8c@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 105380

In article <secwet.cross.eyed.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
> As I said in another post, those <whines and backpedals>

Yes, like you said in another post, you can't tell the
difference between a website for a n ARES organization in
Alabama from one in West Virginia.

Subject: Re: Get on the Marshall County ARES webpage.....
Date: 3 Jul 2002 22:03:46 GMT
From: S...@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee)
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
MsgId: <afvsc2$6a...@pita.alt.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.coc��ksucker.roger-wiseman
References: <hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3s��j...@4ax.com>
<afvhaj$2r...@pita.alt.net>

Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whined:

On Wed, 3 Jul 2002 14:18:35 -0400, AB8MQ <kc8...@alt.net> wrote:
: "Bob" <:@mchsi.com> wrote in message

: news:hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3sje22s@4ax.com...
: > and send them some of Rogers filth. I'm sure they would love
it.
:
: Dumbfuck, the Marshall County ARES doesn't have a webpage, try
again,

Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywa��rncanwarn/ doodle
brain? It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even
has a place to send email.

Poor Lardass.

3965. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 17:52:57 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <63092RIG38452.0784375@reece.net.au>
Source record: 105381

In article
<1112819980.977555.228050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> And you're still an anonymous coward.

Nursie want a cracker?
> And you're still an anonymous coward.

Nursie want a cracker?

> No thank you. You're still an anonymous coward.

Nursie want a cracker?

> And you're still an anonymous coward.

Nursie want a cracker?

> Very poignant, anonymous coward

Nursie want a cracker?

> Noi, it wouldn't

Showing off those Pulitzer prize spelling skills, Nursie, "no"?

> I am sure you wished it were true...then I might find
pictures of
> you there? Do they have "Gay Anonymous Cowards Revealed"
issues?

You must have first hand knowledge what they have, Nursie.

> Perhaps. But you're still an anonymous coward.

Nursie want a cracker?

> How is that?

That girdle you wear under your jumpsuit just isn't making it,
Nursie.

> Stick a pin in my QRZ address and draw a fifty mile
radius of it.

I'd rather do what I've been doing, Nursie, sticking a pin in
you online, you blowhard.

> Then look in a phone book for all the cites within that circle.

I know where you and your fat heiffer coworkers are, Nursie.

> (Hint...You can exclude AL and GA since I don't have any out-
of-state
> nursing licenses at present)

You probably couldn't get them with your performance record,
Nursie.

> You lack of ability to identify a single item of specific
detail
> is all the proof I need.

Your lack of ability to compose a decent response is all I need,
Nursie.

> Nope.

Yep.

> Nope. Simple typo. "r" and "t" are side-by-side on the
keyboard.
> If that's the BEST you can do for a "gotya", thyen you're
even lamer
> than I gave you credit for!

Nursie, it's always hilarious when you make excuses for yout
screwups "then" make another one while you do it.

> If you say so.

The dictionary says so, Nursie. Not that you'd know.

> And as I said, you are lying. You haven't got a clue as to
where I
> work.

Bleat, Nursie, bleat.

> Still a simple typing error.

But you still can't spell it, Nursie.

> That's still the "best" you can do...?!?!

It makes you get your panties in a twist every time, Nursie.

> Lying in public behind an anonymous screen name is
"living"...?!?!

Again, Nursie,you are too dense to understand.
Do you ever stop whining?

> Hardly.

We know.

> You're a lying anonymous coward. The only thing that
makes you
> THINK you are more "alive" than anyone is that you think you
are
> somehow in "control" since your immeidate screen name and
identity are
> anonymous.

You got mighty defensive, Nursie. "And what is "immeidate"????
Did you mean "immediate"????

> Since you are able to keep that bit of information from
public is
> your ONLY "control" over your life. You're a loser with no
where to go
> and nothing to show for what you claim is your life.

Says the moron who can't spell simple words.

> Sucks to be you.

No, Nursie, it sucks to be a whining illiterate blowhard like
you.

3966. Re: A new picture of K4YZ - Mongolloyd make good fuckup!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 18:07:46 UTC
From: Tor Johnson <Tjohnson@beastofyuccaflats.net>
Message-ID: <HGNSQI1S38452.0887268518@reece.net.au>
Source record: 105382

In article <28b851fc1caff@tor.johnson>
"Tor Johnson" aka Mongolloyd Davies <T...@tor.johnson> wrote:
>
> On Sat, 09 Apr 2005 03:17:27 +0200, Secwet Woger wrote:

Wrong as usual, fatty.

> > Now we know why nursie is into nylons
>
> "into"

Mongolloyd makes good fuck up, as usual.

3967. Re: FCC Announces Lottery for Extra Class Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 18:43:04 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <YmV5e.3081$go4.597@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"I deserve to be an Extra because
> (I passed novice code, general written, 4a and 4b.)" filling

the blank with 25 words or less.

Think that would be enough? ;-)

3968. Re: I've Reconsidered My Position On N9OGL's Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 18:44:53 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <FoV5e.3085$go4.1290@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105384

Was that a response to a "petition for reconsideration?"

:-)

3969. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 20:20:14 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <8c7458e10728baf683c393fe9d8e3d43@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105385

In article <4256A83D.D9B76218@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > F--K YOU, YOU LITTLE STEVE AND DAVE BITCH! I'M NOT F--KING
GAY YOU
> > F--KING BITCHWHORE ASSHOLE MOTHERF--KER!!!!!
>
> I've never made the assertion that you are, Todd. I just
think you're
> as socially defective as our local filth monger.

Which means the newsgroup Nazi and self-appointed hypocrite
moderator will just whine more of his hypocrisy.

> Your fantasy claims about assisting a congressman, assisting a
church
> group in problems with your cable company, about inventing
anything and
> about being a broadcaster are the stuff of a fifteen-year-
old's brain in
> the body of a man.

Sort of like your claims about your "State Department" job, eh?

> I can only shudder when I think of someone like you sending a
public
> access cable program into peoples' homes.

Making Herr Goebbels proud with your censorship and propaganda,
Nazi?

3970. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 21:30:55 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <qf6dnTc3H_wQ1MXfRVn-1g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105386

N9OGL wrote:

> I fixed the problems
> Todd N9OGL
You call this fixed?
http://tinyurl.com/5bvvh

3971. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 21:35:12 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <ed95e5679dfa82e8b036abb2831f8a1d@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 105387

In article
<1112941299.423514.206370@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Moron KC8GXW,
> > K4YZ claimed on my website that him and his lawyer were
going to
> > shut it down.
>
> I never said I was going to "shut your site down", Todd.
>
> I said I will get your offending comments and threats off
of it.
>
> Again, you seem to think that thinkgs happen over night.
It may

"Thinkgs", Nursie????

> take a few weeks, even a few months, but eventually you'll
cooperate or
> go bankrupt paying lawyers fees.

Sure he will, Nursie.

> > BTW ASSHOLE, I not gay, but maybe you are.
>
> But YOU are the one ALWAYS making reference to the anus,
Todd.
> You certainly demonstrate a preference for it.

And you are the one drooling over the idea of it, Nursie? I bet
you just love giving those enemas to your bedridden patients.

> You may indeed not be gay (laughing at myself wondering what
kind of woman would get into bed
> with you, though...), but you certainly have a fetish for it.

No, Nursie, you just bed down drug using ones who bear you
defective children who die.
But your inferior genes had a hand in it's demise, too.

3972. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 22:37:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113086262.972589.234120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105388

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey dumbass the shirt was checker brown and white look again.....and
go
> back to Queer Radio Zone (QRZ) with all the other whinning BPL/Code
> babies.

Todd...You could be dressed in the best from Calvin Klein and the results would be the same, so don't sweat the color or style of the shirt.

It's a birth defect and you're stuck with it. If you HAVE to cuss out someone over it, call your mommy and daddy...That's if you know who they are...Or they haven't changed thier phone number...again.

Steve, K4YZ

3973. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 22:40:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113086442.197141.43030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105390

N9OGL wrote:
> I fixed the problems

No you didn't. You're still breathing.

Steve, K4YZ

3974. Re: I've Reconsidered My Position On N9OGL's Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 22:46:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113086785.040751.132050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105391

N9OGL wrote:
> >BTW: I'm preparing some letters of my own! Stay tuned!
>
> WELL LET'S SEE THEM!!!!!

Be patient, Toddster! Be patient! They'll get to you in good
time! Hand delivered, no less! (No, not by me!)

Steve, K4YZ

3975. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 22:47:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113086857.066358.144690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105392

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > I fixed the problems
> > Todd N9OGL
> You call this fixed?
> http://tinyurl.com/5bvvh

no I call it Copyright Infringment

3976. Re: Poor obese Nursie K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 22:50:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113087018.825286.61070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105393

Anonymous wrote:
> In article
> <1112819980.977555.228050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> > And you're still an anonymous coward.
>
> Nursie want a cracker?
> > And you're still an anonymous coward.

Snip to...

> This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

And you're still an anonymous coward.

Steve, K4YZ

3977. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 22:53:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113087209.225145.141580@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> My blog don't have spell check.....

Perhaps if you used some of that college education you alledge to
have acquired....?!?!

> now if I run it on a windows machine it does....go figure

Then why don't you re-wash your blogs through a Window's machine
so you can stop taking the heat for being such an idiot?

Steve, K4YZ

3978. Re: Ya Gotta Love the Circle Of Friends N9OGL Keeps.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 22:57:13 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <eb5b9a002edccd91de572d1b6f1eac5e@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 105389

In article <42574F26.E1FAF292@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Wrong-o. I don't see Wiseman anywhere. I don't hear him on
the ham
> bands either. He's under deep cover.

Nice to see you are so obsessed with him you keep tabs on him,
Nazi.

> Then you'll not concern yourself with RF and radio
interference,
> Un-Wiseman.

I'll concern myself with anything I damn well please, Nazi. Oh,
and despite your claims to the contrary, I'm still not Roger
Wiseman.

> Oh shucks, I forgot. You're under deep cover and likely
living in
> Honolulu.

Must be that dementia of yours setting in. You should get help
for your mental illness, Nazi.

> > And you didn't answer the question.
>
> I told you: You don't get to play question and answer any
more.
> I've told you what I've done for amateur radio. It's your
turn.

You've still posted unsubstantiated bullshit and didn't answer
the question.

> The FCC doesn't force licensees to retest for no reason. What
do you
> think the reason might be?

Why don't you ask them? I can Google the retest letter and see
there is no reason given. Maybe you need glasses.

> You don't get to play question and answer any more.

Yet, here you are. Good puppy.

> Duh. The Commission does not retest without reason. Roger
Wiseman gave

> 'em a reason.

Did he? Then why isn't it mentioned in the retest letter?

Did you know you look like a complete jackass on here?

> Yes, I'm aware of that.

I'm sure you are.

> You can't keep tabs on what is not there.

But you are the one who made the statement that he isn't heard.
You must be the one who is obsessed with him you have to stalk
him. You are the one concerned with where he is.

> You don't get to play question and answer any more.

Oh, I get to play throw the stick and the Nazi fetches, though.

> You asked a question about what I've done for amateur radio.
I provided a full
> response. Your turn.

Ah, you still didn't answer the question asked if Wiseman lives
in such an RF "hole" how could he have interfered with anybody.

> I'm now specifically stating that Madonna, Senator Byrd and
David
> Letterman have not been heard on the ham bands.

But, I noticed you didn't answer the question.

> Oh, don't get me wrong. I care.

Yes, you seem obsessed.

> I much prefer not to hear Roger Wiseman on the ham bands. I
like it that way. He's deep under cover,
> don't you know.

How do you know he's not? Are you admitting you are stalking
him, Nazi?

> That's right.

Oh, so you ARE stalking him.

> There's no "The antenna here is a wounded octopus" or

His antenna is a DX-CC from all accounts. Looks like your
"information" is like you, flawed.

> "I'm driving to see a friend in Stuebenville" (okay, it is a
bit
> far-fetched to think that Wiseman has a friend),

You mean he has friends that aren't assholes like your friend Bertie or liars like your friend Nursie Steve.

> or "Yeah, Bill, I've spent my morning posting filth to various newsgroups".

You mean like your filth, hypocrite.

What is your I.Q., Nazi?

> Zippo, nada, zilch, nuttin'.

You've already admitted to stalking him.

> > Yep, you are too stupid to find him on the air.
>
> You have to know better.

You obviously don't.

> Yeah, I forgot--you're in deep cover, anonymous internet posts, unlisted
> amateur radio callsign. ;-)

I don't have a "callsign", Nazi. Boy, are you slow. You must've bribed the person who gave you your test.

> You're playing this I'm-not-me routine to the hilt, huh?

While you're playing the role of stupid jackass/asshole to the end. You should get an Oscar, except you really aren't playing, are you?

> Feel free to do any slight amount of research before making yourself
> look more foolish.

Feel free to go fuck yourself, liar.

> You must have forgotten again.

You must've forgotten the question you never answered.

> Yes, I maintain that I have not heard KC8JBO or AB8MQ in nearly five
> years.

Hmm, So you've been stalking him for 5 years???? Kind of hard for you to keep up with him with your mental disability, huh, Nazi?

He's better than you.

> Yes, he is.

Nice admission, Nazi.

3979. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 23:00:01 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <9713c7d401e543808237371cf2ebcdd4@dizum.com>
Source record: 105398
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article
<1gun899.12ioz171ohch8lN%none@goawayusmellbaaaaaad.net>
n...@goawayusmellbaaaaaad.net (Jules) wrote:
>
> Woger? Is that you? I kinda recognize the spelling? LOL

Lardass, you still have that cocksucking lisp.

There are no Daleks under your command 'tard boy. They are fictional robots used in a television show! You need to get mental help again failure boy.

You have been a failure in everything you have ever done, PERIOD!

You are indeed "pale" you never venture forth outside except for your minimum wage "job," and to buy more of that pussy Bud Lite beer. A real man's beer would probably kill a big fat pussy like yourself.

You need to seriously take a long hard look at yourself in a properly lit mirror in that roach infested hovel you call home and then take action. Lose weight, get mental help, stay off the computer for hours on end and face reality. You are 31 years of age, you deliver pizzas, you play with dolls, you get drunk and spew your filth and delusions on here and usenet. Nobody, I repeat, nobody except for a couple of geeks like yourself can stand you. The best thing you could do is to slit your wrists while in that shitty vehicle of yours this summer, then if the police didn't find you right away, the Alabama summer heat would do it without you having to be cremated. You are a blathering asshole that is a boil in the crack of America's ass. You irritate us, end it now Porky, do it!

You need to either kill yourself or get help, that's it, do it!

3980. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 23:02:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113086700.348517.317800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105395

N9OGL wrote:
> I fixed the problems

No, you didn't.

FROM THE VERY FIRST SENTENCE OF THE BLOG (As Of 17:44 CT 09 April 2005): I've decided to write out some of my old idea and explain in greater detail about what is behind some of my theories and concept.

Sheeeesh, Todd...

YOU make Todd Bashing an almost effortless sport!

Thanks!

3981. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 23:06:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113088002.102842.89300@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105396

N9OGL wrote:
> ####HEAD, they never looked at my application or waiver, and if the
FCC
> denies a waiver they have to show good cause why.

They already HAVE "ggod cause". You're profane, openly violate
federal law, are functionally illiterate, and your papaerwork is not
filed correctly. I have a nickle says you filed them in crayon or
"MajikMarker"

> Like I said go ahead
> and bitch to the FCC or who ever(which I really doubt you will) what
> your doing is opening a bad can of worms.....I would like to point
out
> the FCC has know for YEARS that I was pirating......

Then Todd, there's your answer. Don't blame one more thing on the
FCC or any other agency or entity.

Todd Daugherty is solely responsible for the failure of Todd
Daugherty to get his LPFM license. Period.

> they won't tounch
> me because they will have to explain to the court of Appeals why for
20
> to 30 years they have lied to the courts about waivers....

What "20 to 30 years", Todd...??? You're not even 40, so you have
NOT been filing applications for "20 to 30 years".

> and by GOD I
> will bring it up! SO GO RIGHT AHEAD GET YOUR NUTS OFF!!!

And just a one of your posts in this forum acknowledging your
lawlessness will toss any and all arguments out the door.

> Todd O'Dochataigh N9OGL

Sheeesh, Todd...You can't even spell your BOGUS sur-name right!

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! !

What an idiot!

Steve, K4YZ

3982. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 23:07:35 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4258609b$1_3@x-privat.org>
Source record: 105397

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113034249.635421.301840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I fixed the problems
> Todd N9OGL
>
I hope you fixed those nasty warts on your privates.

3983. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 23:18:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113088691.089483.262110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > My blog don't have spell check.....
>
> Perhaps if you used some of that college education you alledge
to
> have acquired....?!?!
>
> > now if I run it on a windows machine it does....go figure
>
> Then why don't you re-wash your blogs through a Window's machine
> so you can stop taking the heat for being such an idiot?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

If I connect to my blog on a windows machine I can spell check and
grammar check, the only problem is I'm not on a window machine.....I'm
on a iMac G5.

Todd N9OGL

3984. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-09 23:33:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113089622.519698.239970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > My blog don't have spell check.....
> >
> > Perhaps if you used some of that college education you alledge
> to
> > have acquired....?!?!
> >
> > > now if I run it on a windows machine it does....go figure
> >
> > Then why don't you re-wash your blogs through a Window's
machine
> > so you can stop taking the heat for being such an idiot?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> If I connect to my blog on a windows machine I can spell check and
> grammar check, the only problem is I'm not on a window
machine.....I'm
> on a iMac G5.

I don't know which makes you look more assinine, Todd...

(1) The fact that you claim to be a pending college graduate yet
are functionally illiterate

or

(2) The fact that you try to blow it off on your iMac system!

Personally, I think you're just lying about it. (Actually, I KNOW
you're lying!) Even if the spellchecker DID flag errors for you, you
wouldn't know what the CORRECT spelling was to clear the error!

Steve, K4YZ

3985. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 00:05:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <n5_5e.3207$go4.3176@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113011686.770178.322980@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

Gr�mw�tch th� �nfl�pp�bl� wrote:
> Tried to browse on http://www.k1man.com.
>
> Network Solutions splash screen told me:
>
> "k1man.com
> This domain name expired on 03/31/2005 and is pending renewal or
deletion."
>
> Do ya think N9OGL's hero needs a loan to rent his domain name?
>
> 73,
>
> Barnabus Grumwitch Oberbyte

I think we should all chip in and help this great american out, In my
humble opinion Mr. Baxter has done a lot for amateur radio, and with
our help he can continue his work to give the amateur radio community
REAL hardcore information, unlike that W1AW.

TODD N9OGL

You just keep showing your ignorance, don't you Toad? All Baxter did was
plagerize ARRL and Newsline audio and put it out on his distorted Collins
junk. Except when was ''editorializing'' by slandering anyone that didn't
follow his "party line". Get a life Toad.

Dan/W4NTI

3986. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 00:07:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <O6_5e.3208$go4.1360@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113034344.731440.113550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> My blog don't have spell check.....now if I run it on a windows machine
> it does....go figure
>

Yeah...go figure....Daaaaaaaaaa

Dan/W4NTI

3987. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 00:12:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <cc_5e.3210$go4.158@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105503

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
news:d387a0bbh0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1113034249.635421.301840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I fixed the problems
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
> You fixed Stupidity?
>
> Not likely, at least not in your case.
>
>

And one thing about it......Ya jest cain't fix stupid.

Dan/W4NTI

3988. Re: I've Reconsidered My Position On N9OGL's Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 00:50:27 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113094227.184046.229580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105504

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >BTW: I'm preparing some letters of my own! Stay tuned!
> >
> > WELL LET'S SEE THEM!!!!!
>
> Be patient, Toddster! Be patient! They'll get to you in good
> time! Hand delivered, no less! (No, not by me!)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, I was just wondering what frivolous claims you were going send
(if your going to send any). I'm sure a number of people on here would
get a kick out of you so-call claims.

Todd O'Dochartaigh
N9OGL

3989. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 01:47:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113097678.657800.117240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105505

>They already HAVE "ggod cause". You're profane, openly violate
>federal law, are functionally illiterate, and your papaerwork is not
>filed correctly. I have a nickle says you filed them in crayon or
>"MajikMarker

FIRST OFF DICKHEAD!!!! I applied for a license long before I went on the air without a license. Like I said before Steve you fucking asshole you don't know what happen and your best bet is shut your fucking pie hole.

>Then Todd, there's your answer. Don't blame one more thing on the
>FCC or any other agency or entity.
>Todd Daugherty is solely responsible for the failure of Todd
>Daugherty to get his LPFM license. Period.

Hey Dickhead, let me give some fucking background, because you don't know what the fuck your talking about and you can passs this on to your little sluts at the FCC. Back in the late 90's (around 1997-1998) I applied six times for a Low Power Television License for "a community that doesn't have a local television service" (Waiver at 2) each time I applied for for a license with a waiver the FCC would send them back without considering them. (see Part 1.3 of Communication Act of 1934)
"The provisions of this chapter may be suspended, revoked,
amended, or waived for good cause shown, in whole or in part, at any time by the Commission, subject to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act and the provisions of this chapter. Any provision of the rules may
be waived by the Commission on its own motion or on petition if good cause therefor is shown." (47CFR1.3)
The Court of Appeal has even gone farther stating the FCC "MUST consider waivers" (Wait Radio v. FCC (1969) See Turro v. FCC(1986) See Rio Grande Family Radio Fellowship, Inc. v. FCC (1968) See United States v. Storer Broadcasting Co.(1956) See Dunifer v.FCC (1998)
After applying six times for a license with a waiver I figured it was a waste of time and MONEY (unlike ham's who are handed a license)So I went on the air without a license. I stopped after a short period and applied for a low power radio license which we were one of 255 who applied. That was short lived after teh National Association of Broadcasters (NAB) pushed congress to pass the Radio Broadcast Preservation Act of 2001 and we lost are license because of it.
If this was ever to go to court I will argue that the FCC has lied all these years about the waiver process. The FCC would go to court against a pirate radio station and argue that all they had to do is apply for a license and ask for a waiver. But, when a person who never pirated does apply for a license with a waiver they dismiss it without

consider it. The second thing I would ask the court by not consider my waiver was it in the public interest. Because before we applied for a low power television license we went around the community and asked the people in the community if they would want a "Local TV" station and all agree they did, So Where the public interest at???? Perhaps your little Butt Buddies at the FCC can explain that to the courts.

BTW O'Dochartaigh is my surname you fucking Nazi Scumbag

3990. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 02:05:31 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <6Q4AS7NZ38451.9204976852@anonymous>
Source record: 105506

```
In article <UYydnaXcnu313snfRVn-tw@centurytel.net>
KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
>
>
>
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>
> > In article <IfWdnf0_CbZuUs7fRVn...@centurytel.net>
> > KC8GXW <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote:
> > >
> >>
> >>
> >>N9OGL wrote:
> >>
> >>>you forgot one
> >>>
> >>>http://physics.gmu.edu/~e-physics/bob/tachyons.htm
> >>>
> >>
> >>I didn't forget anything, there are more sites that put
> >
> > tachyons in a
> >
> >>class with witchcraft and the occult or the supernatural!
> >>
> >>As far as a first draft, lets help you a little, remember as
I
> >
> > said that
> >
> >>I'm uneducated.
> >>
> >>"I've deiced to write out some of my old idea" should read
> >> decided deiced is something done to aircraft wings.
> >>Also if these are some of your ideas then they are ideas not
> >
> > an idea.
> >
> >>"The reason behind this revamping of some of my old idea and
> >
> > theories is
> >
> >>due to a group of people in are societies who have little or
no
```

> >>intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio
operators."
> >>
> >>If this is a first draft, how can this be a revamping of your
> >
> > old idea?
> >
> >>Or should that be ideas as in more then 1 idea?
> >>
> >>"are societies"
> >>Did you possibly mean OUR society?
> >>
> >>"little or no intelligent"
> >>Again, do you mean intelligence?
> >>
> >>"these people are called Amateur Radio operators"
> >> Are you not one of these yourself?
> >>
> >>This is just a start Todd, non of these are inventions, they
> >
> > are ideas
> >
> >>or dreams and the page just shows you lack of intelligence or
> >
> > as you
> >
> >>would say " lack of intelligent"
> >>
> >>Well Todd, better luck on you second draft!
> >
> > ^^
> >
> > Well, Dimmy, better luck on "your" next attempt.
>
> Well, Pervert,

Well, Dimmy, you posted just above that you wern't Dimmy.

> you got me there, I dropped a letter.

You've fumbled your entire life, Dimmy.

> But, I'm not putting a web page up and calling everybody else
unintelligent!

No, you are just doing it on the newsgroups. Then you screw it
up in "your" first draft.

> Does Todd pay you to back him up, or do do idiots just attract
each other?

Does Nursie pay you to copy his lame excuses or are you taking
it out in trade with you bending over and grasping your ankles,
Dimmy?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

3991. Re: Fell out of my chair laughing! Thanks, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 02:25:21 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <dfKdnb-sN5cYN8XfRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105511

Anonymous wrote:

>
> Well, Dimmy, you posted just above that you weɪn't Dimmy.

What is "weɪn't"?

3992. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 02:55:39 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ZJWdnXG9k5Y3CMXfRVn-qQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105507

N9OGL wrote:

> KC8GXW wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I fixed the problems
>>>Todd N9OGL
>>
>>You call this fixed?
>>http://tinyurl.com/5bvvh
>
>
> no I call it Copyright Infringment

And I'll call what you said slander!

This might be more understandable to you!
http://tinyurl.com/3vd88

3993. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 02:59:36 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <XJWdnWewCvgUL8XfRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105514

N9OGL wrote:

<Toddyboy's usual jr highschool vulgarities deleted>
>

Grow up toddyboy!!

3994. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 03:37:30 UTC
From: LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <ar7h5157gmt3q47tg0o1hrb0j20gblurug@4ax.com>
Source record: 105512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 9 Apr 2005 01:12:24 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net>
wrote:

>My blog don't have spell check.....

Spell check won't fix that grammar...

--
LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

Proud participant of rec.woodworking since February, 1997

3995. Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 04:06:59 UTC
From: Rick Prather <rick.prather@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1113106019.705318.199930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105508

I came here tonight wondering how far I would have to go in to the discussion before N9OGL popped up.

Well, only took me one thread. Right on top "blah blah N9OGL"

Can't we get past this, ignore all this troll bait and just have a productive Ham Radio discussion forum?

If not, 73!

Rick
K6LE

3996. Re: Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 04:12:35 UTC
From: Hoke <trash@trashanonhams.orge>
Message-ID: <d3a92c$m03$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105509

"Rick Prather" <rick.prather@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1113106019.705318.199930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I came here tonight wondering how far I would have to go in to the
> discussion before N9OGL popped up.
>
> Well, only took me one thread. Right on top "blah blah N9OGL"
>
> Can't we get past this, ignore all this troll bait and just have a
> productive Ham Radio discussion forum?
>
> If not, 73!
>
> Rick
> K6LE
>

Don't let the door hit you in the ass on your way out.

3997. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 04:20:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113106845.662977.164650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105510

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > KC8GXW wrote:
> >
> >>N9OGL wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>I fixed the problems
> >>>Todd N9OGL
> >>
> >>You call this fixed?
> >>http://tinyurl.com/5bvvh
> >
> >
> > no I call it Copyright Infringment
>
> And I'll call what you said slander!
>
You have room to talk.......Some of the shit you and the other assholes
on this newgroup could be consider slander too.....So don't play that
slander crap with me.

Todd N9OGL

> This might be more understandable to you!
> http://tinyurl.com/3vd88

3998. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 04:50:04 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113108604.022138.152090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105513

Reamping of last post:

FIRST OFF DICKHEAD!!!! I applied for a license long before I went on
the air without a license. Like I said before Steve you fucking asshole

you don't know what happen and your best bet is shut your fucking pie
hole.
Hey Dickhead, let me give some fucking background, because you don't
know what the fuck your talking about and you can passs this on to your

little sluts at the FCC. Back in the late 90's (around 1997-1998) I
applied six times for a Low Power Television License for "a community
that doesn't have a local television service" (Waiver at 2) each time I

applied for for a license with a waiver the FCC would send them back
without considering them. (see Part 1.3 of Communication Act of 1934)

"The provisions of this chapter may be suspended, revoked,
amended, or waived for good cause shown, in whole or in part, at any
time by the Commission, subject to the provisions of the Administrative

Procedure Act and the provisions of this chapter. Any provision of the
rules may be waived by the Commission on its own motion or on petition
if good
cause therefor is shown." (47CFR1.3)
The Court of Appeal has even gone farther stating the FCC "MUST

consider waivers" (Wait Radio v. FCC (1969) See Turro v. FCC(1986) See
Rio Grande Family Radio Fellowship, Inc. v. FCC (1968) See United
States v. Storer Broadcasting Co.(1956) See Dunifer v.FCC (1998)
After applying six times for a license with a waiver I figured
it
was a waste of time and MONEY (unlike ham's who are handed a license)So

I went on the air without a license. I stopped after a short period and

applied for a low power radio license which we were one of 255 who
applied. That was short lived after the National Association of
Broadcasters (NAB) pushed congress to pass the Radio Broadcast
Preservation Act of 2001 and we lost are license because of it.
If this was ever to go to court I will argue that the FCC has
lied
all these years about the waiver process. The FCC would go to court
against a pirate radio station and argue that all they had to do is
apply for a license and ask for a waiver. But, when a person who never
pirated does apply for a license with a waiver they dismiss it without
consider it. The second thing I would ask the court by not consider my

waiver wasn't it in the public interest. Because before we applied for
a
low power television license we went around the community and asked the

people in the community if they would want a "Local TV" station and all

agree they did, So Where the public interest at???? Perhaps your little

Butt Buddies at the FCC can explain that to the courts.
BTW O'Dochartaigh is my surname you fucking Nazi Scumbag

3999. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 05:03:05 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <115hct9i77a8f50@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 105515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Once again, Dan tells it like it is.
Ace - WH2T

> I think we should all chip in and help this great american out, In my
> humble opinion Mr. Baxter has done a lot for amateur radio, and with
> our help he can continue his work to give the amateur radio community
> REAL hardcore information, unlike that W1AW.
>
> TODD N9OGL
>
> You just keep showing your ignorance, don't you Toad? All Baxter did was
> plagerize ARRL and Newsline audio and put it out on his distorted Collins
> junk. Except when was ''editorializing'' by slandering anyone that didn't
> follow his "party line". Get a life Toad.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

4000. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 05:30:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4258B9B1.6DCF9D6D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105516

lcs Mixmaster Remailer wrote:
>
> In article <4256A83D.D9B76218@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > >
> > > F--K YOU, YOU LITTLE STEVE AND DAVE BITCH! I'M NOT F--KING
> GAY YOU
> > > F--KING BITCHWHORE ASSHOLE MOTHERF--KER!!!!!
> >
> > I've never made the assertion that you are, Todd. I just
> think you're
> > as socially defective as our local filth monger.
>
> Which means the newsgroup Nazi and self-appointed hypocrite
> moderator will just whine more of his hypocrisy.

No doubt you will.

> > Your fantasy claims about assisting a congressman, assisting a
> church
> > group in problems with your cable company, about inventing
> anything and
> > about being a broadcaster are the stuff of a fifteen-year-
> old's brain in
> > the body of a man.
>
> Sort of like your claims about your "State Department" job, eh?

No, not really.

> > I can only shudder when I think of someone like you sending a
> public
> > access cable program into peoples' homes.
>
> Making Herr Goebbels proud with your censorship and propaganda,
> Nazi?

Godwin invoked, Wiseman. You're still human sludge who cannot afford to
post under anything but an anonymous remailer.

Dave K8MN

4001. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 06:14:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113113671.390433.57600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105517

It wan't all vulgar.....moron

Why do you grow up, because all I see is your stupid one line post

4002. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 07:33:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113118396.269588.78890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105518

N9OGL wrote:
> Reamping of last post:

Too bad you didn't take the time to do it right the first time,
eh?

> FIRST OFF ####HEAD!!!!

I am not your mother, Todd.

> I applied for a license long before I went on
> the air without a license. Like I said before Steve you ####ing
###hole
> you don't know what happen and your best bet is shut your ####ing pie
> hole.

The last thing you want to do is even remotely appear to be
threatening me, Todd.

> Hey ####head...

I told you I am not your mother.

> .....let me give some #####ing background, because you don't
> know what the #### your talking about and you can passs this on to
your
> little ##### at the FCC.

There's nothing you can tell me tat will, in the very least
impress or "enlighten" me, Todd.

> Back in the late 90's (around 1997-1998) I
> applied six times for a Low Power Television License for "a community
> that doesn't have a local television service" (Waiver at 2) each time
I
> applied for for a license with a waiver the FCC would send them back
> without considering them. (see Part 1.3 of Communication Act of 1934)
>
> "The provisions of this chapter may be suspended, revoked,
> amended, or waived for good cause shown, in whole or in part, at any
> time by the Commission, subject to the provisions of the
Administrative
> Procedure Act and the provisions of this chapter. Any provision of
the
> rules may be waived by the Commission on its own motion or on
petition
> if good cause therefor is shown." (47CFR1.3)

".....IF GOOD CAUSE IS SHOWN." My emphasis, since you only see what you WANT to see, Todd.

> The Court of Appeal has even gone farther stating the FCC "MUST
> consider waivers" (Wait Radio v. FCC (1969) See Turro v. FCC(1986) See
> Rio Grande Family Radio Fellowship, Inc. v. FCC (1968) See United
> States v. Storer Broadcasting Co.(1956) See Dunifer v.FCC (1998)

You can quote the National Anthem, Todd, and it won't change the fact that YOU DO NOT QUALIFY TO BE AN FCC LICENSEE"...! ! ! !

> After applying six times for a license with a waiver I figured it
> was a waste of time and MONEY (unlike ham's who are handed a license)So
> I went on the air without a license.

Todd, the FCC doesn't care why you go on the air without a license.

If you operate a radio transmitter without an appropriate station license for any purpose other than summoning assistance in a life threatening emergency, you're BREAKING THE LAW.

It really is THAT simple.

There is NO EXCUSE (other than the aforementioned LIFE THREATENING emergency) for you to break ANY of those laws pertaining to the operating of a rdio station in the United States.

Period.

> I stopped after a short period and
> applied for a low power radio license which we were one of 255 who
> applied. That was short lived after the National Association of
> Broadcasters (NAB) pushed congress to pass the Radio Broadcast
> Preservation Act of 2001 and we lost are license because of it.

Oh boo hoo. You didn't qualify to be a licensee any way.

> If this was ever to go to court I will argue that the FCC has lied
> all these years about the waiver process.

Todd, it's been four years now. You're not in court. The only reason YOU will be in court due to any FCC-related issue will be YOUR wanton violation of the Communications Act.

> The FCC would go to court
> against a pirate radio station and argue that all they had to do is
> apply for a license and ask for a waiver. But, when a person who never
> pirated does apply for a license with a waiver they dismiss it without
> consider it. The second thing I would ask the court by not consider my

> waiver wasn't it in the public interest.

Letting a foul mouthed, illiterate punk like you have a broadcast
station license is in the Public interest..?!?!

(Enter extremely long BWHAHAHAH here...I'll save bandwidth...)

> Because before we applied for a
> low power television license we went around the community and asked
the
> people in the community if they would want a "Local TV" station and
all
> agree they did, So Where the public interest at????

Then perhaps the community can retain a competent communications
lawyer to file an appropriate petition with the FCC to overcome their
unwillingness to let YOU have a station license.

And I say "competent communications lawyer" because letting YOU
handle "communications issues" is like letting Don Rickles handle
"sensitivity training" seminars.

> Perhaps your little
> Butt Buddies at the FCC can explain that to the courts.

What's to explain? You're not qualified to be a licensee. It
really is that simple.

> BTW O'Dochartaigh is my surname you ####ing Nazi scumbag.

No, it's not, Todd. It may have been an old family name, but it's
not now. At least not on legal documents that you have filed with the
FCC.

And my point, you illiterate idiot, is that in the OTHER post you
SPELLED IT WRONG!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

4003. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 07:38:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113118700.502393.299390@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105519

N9OGL wrote:
> It wan't all vulgar.....moron

"It wan't"...?!?! I say it was!

It was stupid, right from the very first line where you wrote
"reamping of first post" where it SHOULD have said "revamping".

> Why do you grow up, because all I see is your stupid one line post

That's because he was able to effectively express himself in just
one line. YOU, on the otherhand, usually manage to start screwing up
right from the first line...Just like the post I am responding to....

BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

What a putz!

Steve, K4YZ

4004. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 07:44:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113119046.680903.255630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105520

N9OGL wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > > KC8GXW wrote:
> > >
> > > >N9OGL wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >>I fixed the problems
> > > >>Todd N9OGL
> > > >
> > > >You call this fixed?
> > > >http://tinyurl.com/5bvvh
> > > >
> > > >
> > > no I call it Copyright Infringment
> > >
> > And I'll call what you said slander!
> >
> You have room to talk.......Some of the #### you and the other
###holes
> on this newgroup could be consider slander too.....So don't play that
> slander crap with me.

Todd, there's not a single thing that any of the regular posters of
this forum have said about you that isn't true. You are foul, profane,
functionally illiterate, confused, and look really, REALLY silly in
EVERY picture of you we've seen!

"It isn't slander if it's true"

Sucks to be you, Todd! Or is that "sucks to be your vacuum
cleaner"? I wonder if it's possible for a vacuum cleaner to puke?

Steve, K4YZ

4005. Re: I've Reconsidered My Position On N9OGL's Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 07:46:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113119216.734398.302660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105521

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >BTW: I'm preparing some letters of my own! Stay tuned!
> > >
> > > WELL LET'S SEE THEM!!!!!
> >
> > Be patient, Toddster! Be patient! They'll get to you in good
> > time! Hand delivered, no less! (No, not by me!)
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, I was just wondering what frivolous claims you were going send
> (if your going to send any). I'm sure a number of people on here
would
> get a kick out of you so-call claims.

So far, Todd, even your ISP has seen fit to threaten you with
cancellation of your service due to your conduct.

And my "so-call claims" are for you to find out. Like I said..Hang
on...It's coming.

> Todd O'Dochartaigh

Lying about your identity again?

> N9OGL

That's the callsign of Todd Daugherty. Or did you lie to the FCC?

Steve, K4YZ

4006. Re: K4YZ is full of it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 08:04:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113120258.340523.245470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105522

N9OGL wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:

> No talking to the liberty net is not experimenting, and yes I did
hook
> up my antenna to talk to them, again I very seldom on the radio
> period. Secondly ##########, you don't know me and you can not call
me
> a #####ing liar....the one lying here is crybaby K4YZ.

Nope. No crying here, Todd. As a matter of fact, as long as the
FCC keeps doing their job by keeping foul mouthed, functionally
illiterate creeps like you off the broadcast bands, I'm pretty happy!

> SECONDLY, you
> horse#######, why don't quit calling people gay and go back to what
you
> were doing before you got here, downloading Kiddy Porn...because we
ALL
> know you love them little kiddies.

Tisk, tisk, tisk, tisk.....

> > > experimenting. I am very seldom on the radio (although i've spent
> close
> > > to $10,000 or radio's since I began)

Todd...?!?! Spent $10K on radios and he can't list a single one
in here for our edification...?!?!

$10K would buy a LOT of stuff to build that non-appliance station
he alluded to having but DOESN'T have....

Oh yeah...Todd said he doesn't lie and I am the only liar here...

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiight!

> > There it is again i should be I, and no it doesn't answer any
> questions
> > at all, just that you have gay fantasies and are a border line
> psycho!
>
> That's ok you little perv, go back to downloading your kiddy porn.

He asked you to answer questions, Todd, and you call him a
"perv"...

Yet SO FAR, the ONLY person I've seen posting picture of women and "men" in lewd or indecent poses here is YOU.

(I put "men" in quotation marks since the person in the pic was you, and otehr than pubic hairs, we still have no evidence that you are an adult.)

Guess that "perv" claim didn't fall far from the tree, did it...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

4007. Comedy Central

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 08:36:59 UTC
From: Schwartz <jewman@nospam>
Message-ID: <d3aoht$nr8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105523

It will be interesting to watch you children. I wonder how long it will be before you grow up.

It is fun to watch you..

"He said"
"She said"
<snipped>

OGL said...

He said...

<snipped>

And your childish beat goes on and on and on.

OGL is not far removed from a petulant, pubescent child. He always HAS to get the last word in whether it makes sense or not.
And, Nurse. Why bother with the likes of him in the first place?

A wise person once told me that, "If you play with a skunk long enough, it won't be long before you smell like one, too."

Would it not be much easier to simply ignore OGL? I mean, the guy "ain't all there anyway", so why bother?

Dealing with OGL is akin to dealing with AB8MQ. Step around the turds and don't look back. That way you don't have to step in their shit and you can put their smelly comments behind.

4008. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 08:42:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113122529.513734.84680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105525

Jim wrote:

> http://www.geocities.com/langleyparktelecommunication/about.htm
>
> States that a ham with a vanity call of N9FU (no profanity intended)
> established this pirate broadcasting company with him!

>From that link:

ABOUT LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATIONS

LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATION IS A NON-PROFIT ORGINIZATION WHICH
PROMOTES THEATER, TELECOMMUNICATIONS, AND THE PREFORMING ARTS....(SNIP)

But obviously does not support basic reading, writing and grammar
skills...which leads one to ask how can someone enjoy the "preforming
arts" if they are illiterate?

LANGLEY PARK WAS ESTABLISHED IN 1999-2000 BY TODD DAUGHERTY N9OGL AND
JIM BEUSINGER N9FU ALONG WITH OTHER HAM OPERATORS.

Ahhhhhhhhh....Here it's "Daugherty"...

"I'VE ALWAYS WANTED TO ENTERTAIN PEOPLE" SAID TODD DAUGHERTY
CEO/PRESIDENT OF LANGLEY PARK.

Well, then, Toddy m'boy, You've succeeded!

"LANGLEY PARK WILL CREATE A PLACE WHERE IDEAS CAN ROAM FREE AND PEOPLE
CAN SHOW OFF THEIR TALENTS"

Hence this picture of you?
http://img61.exs.cx/img61/6216/steve5ye.jpg

Some talent.

Steve, K4YZ

4009. Re: Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 08:50:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113123028.756307.164150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105524

Rick Prather wrote:
> I came here tonight wondering how far I would have to go in to the
> discussion before N9OGL popped up.
>
> Well, only took me one thread. Right on top "blah blah N9OGL"
>
> Can't we get past this, ignore all this troll bait and just have a
> productive Ham Radio discussion forum?
>
> If not, 73!

Hi Rick. This forum long-since lost any pretense of being a truly viable forum for the discussion of valid issues.

It's solely for mudwrestling. Of course QRZ and eHam DO have open forums that are very productive and don't tend to tolerate the likes of some of our own ner-do-wells. That's where I go when I want to read/participate in anything remotely approaching a "productive Ham Radio discussion forum".

73

Steve, K4YZ

4010. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 09:03:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113121792.749204.244770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105526

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > So it would appear that Todd and company (most likely just
> Todd...I
> > don't beleive there's any "company" involved) screwed the pooch
AFTER
> > getting an "OK" from the feds FOR their operation!
> >
>
> Not true ###HOLE, first there is a "comapny" mostly made up of
amateur
> radio operators.

"C O M P A N Y", Todd. Just like I spelled it the first time.

And since you claim this group is made of of licensed Amateurs,
how about a roll call? Who are these other Amateurs? Names?
Callsigns?

By the way, Todd, if your "Langley Park Telecommunications" group
is a LEGAL "company" chartered in the state of Illinois, you are
obligated, when asked, to identify the principal officers of the
company.

Whellllp....You've been asked.

Personally...I bet it's just one more example of your illiterate
imagination.

Steve, K4YZ

4011. Re: Comedy Central

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 09:11:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113124276.282287.91760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105527

Schwartz wrote:
> It will be interesting to watch you children. I wonder how long it
will
> be before you grow up.

Interesting first post to an Amateur Radio newsgroup...

> It is fun to watch you..

Glad that you are able to take something positive away from this.

> And, Nurse. Why bother with the likes of him in the first place?

Good question.

In both of my chosen professions, first the United States Marine Corps and now Nursing, I found that a lot of my colleagues fell prey to substance abuse. For many of these folks it was simply a matter of weakness of character...not being strong enough to stay away from the bottle or misappropriated narcotics to avoid the realities of life.

Every day I see people "my age" who are already "washed up", ready to give up the ghost at any moment because they never figured out how to deal with stress in a productive or expressive way.

Todd and his ilk provide a readily available and abundant way for me to unload those daily stresses. Todd's one of those people who ENJOYS being humiliated in public..He does it to himself.

Witness his own lack of self respect and humiliation: (Warning: Patently Offensive, but hey, it's Todd so what did you expect?):

http://img61.exs.cx/img61/6216/steve5ye.jpg

> A wise person once told me that, "If you play with a skunk long
enough,
> it won't be long before you smell like one, too."

I have a bar of soap.

> Would it not be much easier to simply ignore OGL? I mean, the guy
"ain't
> all there anyway", so why bother?

Because it's fun! He's a weakminded fool that enjoys being publically humiliated. I simply accomodate his needs in an efficient way that we can BOTH enjoy it!

> Dealing with OGL is akin to dealing with AB8MQ. Step around the turds

> and don't look back. That way you don't have to step in their #####
and
> you can put their smelly comments behind.

I understand where you're going withn this, and in SOME principle,
I agree...However it's been my experience that when you leave lying,
deceitful and mistruthful people to promulgate thier lies unfettered,
you tacitly give them your support and approval.

Todd is foulmouthed. He's admitted to violating numerous sections
of the Communications Act, and he purports to represent some cross
section of Amateur Radio operators in doing so.

That he apparently holds an Amateur Radio license is unfortunate.
I am sure that somewhere, underneath all that garbage, Todd means well,
but that still doesn't mean that the ends justifies the means.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4012. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 10:18:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113128298.375932.326960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105528

K4YZ wrote:
> Jim wrote:
>

> LANGLEY PARK WAS ESTABLISHED IN 1999-2000 BY TODD DAUGHERTY N9OGL AND
> JIM BEUSINGER N9FU ALONG WITH OTHER HAM OPERATORS.
>
> Ahhhhhhhhh....Here it's "Daugherty"...

OH ME! How could I have missed THIS one..?!?!

For the last two weeks it's been "MF hams" this and "MF hams that"
from Todd...

But >>HERE<<, when it serves his greater ego to do so, it's a point
of pride.

And of course we have Todd admitting that yes, he IS one of those
very same hams that he's been foul-mouthing all month...

Two faces...one head...Waddayaknowbouthat...?!?!?

Steve, K4YZ

4013. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 11:03:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113127490.513961.278850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105529

```
K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > It wan't all vulgar.....moron
>
> "It wan't"...?!?! I say it was!
>
> It was stupid, right from the very first line where you wrote
> "reamping of first post" where it SHOULD have said "revamping".
>
> > Why do you grow up, because all I see is your stupid one line post
>
> That's because he was able to effectively express himself in
just
> one line. YOU, on the otherhand, usually manage to start screwing up
> right from the first line...Just like the post I am responding to....
>
>
BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> What a putz!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
```

And your a fucking asshole that nobody on here fucking likes.....perhaps if your such an asshole in your post people would repect you a little better, but for now no body likes you steve, simply because your a fucking asshole.....like I said GO FOR IT, I really doubt the FCC will do anything, as for my service provider all he wanted was the picture removed which I did, anything else he won't mess with....so your kind of fucked there....so go cry to the FCC boooo hooooo

JERK

TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH
N9OGL

4014. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 11:15:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113131732.027369.306530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105530

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > It wan't all vulgar.....moron
> >
> > "It wan't"...?!?! I say it was!
> >
> > It was stupid, right from the very first line where you wrote
> > "reamping of first post" where it SHOULD have said "revamping".
> >
> > > Why do you grow up, because all I see is your stupid one line
post
> >
> > That's because he was able to effectively express himself in
> just
> > one line. YOU, on the otherhand, usually manage to start screwing
up
> > right from the first line...Just like the post I am responding
to....
> >
> >
>
BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > What a putz!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> And your a ****ing ###hole that nobody on here ####ing
> likes.....perhaps if your such an $$$hole in your post people would
> repect you a little better, but for now no body likes you steve,
simply
> because your a ###ing ###hole.....

Such as WHO, Todd? "A Melon"..?!?! "Tapioca"...?!?!

Brian Burke and Lennie don't like me because I don't take their
lying and misrepresentations...Just like I don't take yours...Seems I
get along just fine with everyone else.

On the OTHERHAND, I don't see a SINGLE person who owns up to
signing their posts with their REAL name that "supports" you, and
several anonymous posters think you're an idiot too.

> like I said GO FOR IT, I really
> doubt the FCC will do anything...(SNIP)

Who said anything about the FCC...?!?!

> as for my service provider all he
> wanted was the picture removed which I did, anything else he won't
mess
> with....so your kind of ####ed there....so go cry to the FCC boooo
> hooooo

Who said anything about the FCC...?!?!

> JERK
>
> TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH
> N9OGL

Pathological liar.

Steve, K4YZ

4015. Re: Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 11:29:34 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Y4OdnROpX7fek8TfRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 105531

"Rick Prather" <rick.prather@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1113106019.705318.199930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I came here tonight wondering how far I would have to go in to the
> discussion before N9OGL popped up.
>
> Well, only took me one thread. Right on top "blah blah N9OGL"
>
> Can't we get past this, ignore all this troll bait and just have a
> productive Ham Radio discussion forum?
>
> If not, 73!
>
> Rick
> K6LE
>

Just filter out the annoying threads. I've been waiting for an interesting discussion to pop up so if you've got any ideas, throw them into the arena under a new thread.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4016. Todd Faking "Responses" to Posts On His Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 11:30:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113132625.285503.123900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105532

Here's how Todd "substantiates" alleged threats made against him:

QUOTE:

K4YZ said...
Your tack board was tacky Toidie. Glad you
realized it and ran with your tail between
your legs. My laywer is looking at your
blog now to see what action he can take to
bring you before a judge.

You are a pissant looser.

UNQUOTE:

Nice try, Todd...And someone else MIGHT have believed it, if you hadn't screwed up and spelled "loser" as "looser", which has been pointed out to you in open forum more than once.

Thanks to the third party who brought this to my attention.

And yes, he really is THAT stupid.

Steve, K4YZ

4017. Re: Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 12:14:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113135248.829457.316970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105533

Dee Flint wrote:

> Just filter out the annoying threads. I've been waiting for an
interesting
> discussion to pop up so if you've got any ideas, throw them into the
arena
> under a new thread.

I don't see it happening, Dee.

There's been numerous attemps to truly use this forum in a productive and meaningful way, but it always wind's up being pulled into yet another "elitist extras ruining ham radio becasue of morse code" rantfests.

Myself and a couple other posters, on varying occassions, have tried to discuss and promote the various spectrum protection initiatives, for example.

Every one that I started was immediately beset upon by you-know-who and his usual "the real issue is Morse Code testing...)rantings. The other two weren't any more successful.

And so far we (Amateur Radio in general) have gone through four or five evolutions of bills in Washington without success...Of course the argument AGAINST discussing them HERE is that, allegedly, everyone "supports" the idea...Well...Obviously not.

Most digital or technical issues wind up on TAPR or other sites, as do other specialized discussions. Witness Mike Coslo's opening of the subject of high altitude balloons and telemetery.

My other "try to be serious" issues have dealt with redefining the WRITTEN tests and their objectives, since "dumbing down" seems to be an area of contention. Well, no one wants to discuss doing anything other than making fewer tests and making them easier...as if having the verbatim questions and answers isn't already easy enough. If we finally get it down to fifty cents and two cereal box tops, someone will want it to be one box top....

No...I don't think THIS particular forum will ever produce a single viable discussion with any palpable results. Without the infrastructure of a guided discussion, nothing productive is possible.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4018. Re: Boy Broadcaster N9OGL - Part II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 12:41:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113136869.888374.279510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105534

More silliness from Todd's "Blog"...

QUOTE:

THE FUTURE OF AMATEUR RADIO

Usual grammatical guagmire snipped to...:

When someone comes up with an idea for a new system for amateur radio
they are laugh at other amateur's who poke fun at their idea. So the
real question isn't What's in the future for amateur radio but, do we
really need amateur radio?

Let's look at the purpose of the amateur radio service and see if
amateur radio is really needed.

UNQUOTE

No one laughs at other amateurs who poke fun at your ideas, Todd.

(You really didn't stop and think about that snetence, did you?)

I find it to be a bit egotistical on Todd's part to suggest the
impending doom of Amateur Radio just because persons more level headed
than he have been ripping his point-to-point "data system" apart.

Amateur Radio is not meant to be the "leading edge" of technology.
It provides the resources for individual experimenters to "do their
thing" for personal edification. If they can turn that work into some
viable, useful technology, so-much-the better.

And Todd, your "system" would bog us down with a system three
develpmental generations old. That's "advancement"...???

Amateur Radio in it's present form meets and exceeds the mandates
of the Basis and Purpose of Part 97 and then some.

Todd's sole premise for any "demise" of Amateur Radio is due
solely and exclusively due to his insistance that his poorly
conceptualized and presented "ideas" have been unfairly laid bare by
persons who also happen to be licensed Amateur Radio operators.

Sorry, Todd. They ARE dumb ideas. But keep trying...And get rid
of the iMac that allegedly doesn't allow you to use a spellchecker, OK?

Steve, K4YZ

4019. Re: Comedy Central

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 12:44:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113137048.174025.34850@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105535

Lloyd wrote:
> On 10 Apr 2005 02:11:16 -0700, K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > http://img61.exs.cx/img61/6216/steve5ye.jpg
> >
>
> If your going to post porn you need to learn how to
> make the links right. that one doesn't work because
> it isnt all in ascii and there is a high bit simbol
> in it somewhere. Only computer litarate people should
> post links.
>
> Better luck next time.

It wasn't my original post in the first place, Slovenly Coward.

And a mark used to represent some idea or condition is a "symbol",
Lloyd...

Steve, K4YZ

4020. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 12:55:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113137730.735109.116410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105536

More insanity from N9OGL:

1. Introduction

I've decided to write out some of my old idea and explain in greater detail about what is behind some of my theories and concept. The reason for revamping of some of my old idea and theories is due in part to a group of people in are societies who have little or no intelligent, these people are called Amateur Radio operators. Amateur radio operators are nothing more, then licensed CB radio operators whose only real skill is talking through a microphone. It has always been my philosophy that I'm not here to prove myself to anyone. Nor, it is my intent to share my ideas to half-wit "licensed" CB radio operators who are narrow minded.

UNQUOTE:

Sheesh, Todd...It's only been 2 weeks since you screwed it up the first time, and you STILL haven't got the spelling or grammar even close...

Yet you would presume to sway the opinions of persons who find you blog about Amateur Radio...

I am sure they are swayed, alright! "Look at this illiterate idiot...insulting other people's intellegence and he can't even get the insult correct...!!!!"

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Please Todd, insult us more, insult us more! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

4021. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 13:00:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113138055.894972.30090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105537

Even MORE Insanity from N9OGL:

PURPOSE OF THIS BLOG!
The purpose of this blog is to allow people to view my idea's, and perhaps people will post their idea's. This site is not for badmouth, using obscene or indecent material, or flamming. It is for people who like to experiment and get input of their idea's. anyone found badmouthing, using obscene and indecent material will be removed and not allow to use the site.

UNQUOTE:

TODD THREATENING TO CENSOR PEOPLE WHO TALK EXACTLY THE WAY HE TALKS! ! ! ! ! !

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHHA
HAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
!! ! ! ! ! ! !!

Steve, K4YZ

4022. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 13:12:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113138745.940862.27180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105538

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
> news:d387a0bbh0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> > news:1113034249.635421.301840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > I fixed the problems
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
> >
> > You fixed Stupidity?
> >
> > Not likely, at least not in your case.
> >
> >
>
> And one thing about it......Ya jest cain't fix stupid.

At least not at this advanced stage ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

4023. Re: Todd Faking "Responses" to Posts On His Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 14:02:16 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113141736.060170.61330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105539

Perhaps it should be spelled "luser" ???

4024. Re: Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 14:13:00 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <Mva6e.3779$yq6.108@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105540

"Rick Prather" <rick.prather@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1113106019.705318.199930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

: Can't we get past this, ignore all this troll bait and just have a
: productive Ham Radio discussion forum?
:
: If not, 73!
:
: Rick
: K6LE

Rick,

Welcome to the newsgroup formerly know as rec.amateur.radio.policy.
Unfortunately you've arrived too late, kinda like arriving for a dinner
party after the guests have long departed and the fly eggs have hatched and
maggots are infesting the leftovers.

The last amateur radio discussion here was terminated in a previous century,
and all the hams have left. Only the maggots remain.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4025. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 14:14:32 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <L6idnXUbxv0mzcTfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105542

N9OGL wrote:
> It wan't all vulgar.....moron
>
> Why do you grow up, because all I see is your stupid one line post
>

Did you mean, "why *don't* you grow up"?
Do you see me making post filled with vulgar jr highschool language?
You really should think about attempting to act like an adult instead of
a spoiled child.

4026. Re: I've Reconsidered My Position On N9OGL's Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 14:19:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <kpednanvCbptzMTfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105543

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>>>N9OGL wrote:
>>>
>>>>>BTW: I'm preparing some letters of my own! Stay tuned!
>>>>
>>>>WELL LET'S SEE THEM!!!!!
>>>
>>> Be patient, Toddster! Be patient! They'll get to you in good
>>>time! Hand delivered, no less! (No, not by me!)
>>>
>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>Steve, I was just wondering what frivolous claims you were going send
>>(if your going to send any). I'm sure a number of people on here
>
> would
>
>>get a kick out of you so-call claims.
>
>
> So far, Todd, even your ISP has seen fit to threaten you with
> cancellation of your service due to your conduct.
>
> And my "so-call claims" are for you to find out. Like I said..Hang
> on...It's coming.
>
>
>>Todd O'Dochartaigh
>
>
> Lying about your identity again?
>
>
>>N9OGL
>
>
> That's the callsign of Todd Daugherty. Or did you lie to the FCC?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

If O'Dochartaigh is his legal name, and the FCC data base shows it as Daugherty then his license isn't valid, thus he is in violation of FCC rules everytime he "broadcast" one of his "bulletins". Maybe the FCC needs to be clued in.

4027. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 14:20:23 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Daadnc4yLv25q8TfRVn-qQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105541

```
N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>It wan't all vulgar.....moron
>>
>> "It wan't"...?!?! I say it was!
>>
>> It was stupid, right from the very first line where you wrote
>>"reamping of first post" where it SHOULD have said "revamping".
>>
>>
>>>Why do you grow up, because all I see is your stupid one line post
>>
>> That's because he was able to effectively express himself in
>
> just
>
>>one line. YOU, on the otherhand, usually manage to start screwing up
>>right from the first line...Just like the post I am responding to....
>>
>>
>
>
BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
>> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>>
>> What a putz!
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> And your a fucking asshole that nobody on here fucking
> likes.....perhaps if your such an asshole in your post people would
> repect you a little better, but for now no body likes you steve, simply
> because your a fucking asshole.....like I said GO FOR IT, I really
> doubt the FCC will do anything, as for my service provider all he
> wanted was the picture removed which I did, anything else he won't mess
> with....so your kind of fucked there....so go cry to the FCC boooo
> hooooo
>
> JERK
```

>
> TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH
> N9OGL

Get back on your meds todd. Please seek help first thing Monday morning!

4028. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 14:22:49 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <kpednajvCbo2z8TfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105544

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>It wan't all vulgar.....moron
>>
>> "It wan't"...?!?! I say it was!
>>
>> It was stupid, right from the very first line where you wrote
>>"reamping of first post" where it SHOULD have said "revamping".
>>
>>
>>>Why do you grow up, because all I see is your stupid one line post
>>
>> That's because he was able to effectively express himself in
>
> just
>
>>one line. YOU, on the otherhand, usually manage to start screwing up
>>right from the first line...Just like the post I am responding to....
>>
>>
>
>
BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
>> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>>
>> What a putz!
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> And your a fucking asshole that nobody on here fucking
> likes.....perhaps if your such an asshole in your post people would
> repect you a little better, but for now no body likes you steve, simply
> because your a fucking asshole.....like I said GO FOR IT, I really
> doubt the FCC will do anything, as for my service provider all he
> wanted was the picture removed which I did, anything else he won't mess
> with....so your kind of fucked there....so go cry to the FCC boooo
> hooooo
>
> JERK

>
> TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH
> N9OGL
>

Grow up toddyboy!!

4029. Re: Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 16:47:29 UTC
From: Rick Prather <rick.prather@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1113151649.740678.234470@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105545

K4YZ wrote:
> Rick Prather wrote:
> > I came here tonight wondering how far I would have to go in to the
> > discussion before N9OGL popped up.
> >
> > Well, only took me one thread. Right on top "blah blah N9OGL"
> >
> > Can't we get past this, ignore all this troll bait and just have a
> > productive Ham Radio discussion forum?
> >
> > If not, 73!
>
> Hi Rick. This forum long-since lost any pretense of being a
truly
> viable forum for the discussion of valid issues.
>
> It's solely for mudwrestling. Of course QRZ and eHam DO have
open
> forums that are very productive and don't tend to tolerate the likes
of
> some of our own ner-do-wells. That's where I go when I want to
> read/participate in anything remotely approaching a "productive Ham
> Radio discussion forum".
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve,

Thanks for the heads-up. I guess what I suspected is true.

I'll try QRZ and eHam and move on.

I almost hate to ask this because the idiots will probably come out of the woodwork but what is it, do you suppose, that motivates people to hang out on a Newsgroup or forum for the sole purpose of starting a flame?

If you go to a Mac group there are the PC/Linux dudes telling everyone what fools they are to use a Mac. On the Ham Radio groups there are a bunch of jerks telling everyone what idiots hams are, the higher the license class the bigger the idiot!

If they aren't interested in the things being discussed on a given forum why do they read it and then feel compelled to jump in?

My guess is that on the Radio groups the troublemakers are mostly the ones that can't cut it and they have to take it out on the ones that can.

On the Mac (or whatever) groups they feel they have made the only "right" decision and they must tell everyone how superior their logic is and what cretins the rest are for not seeing the world their way.

Oh the sadness of what I call "digital thinking"

Rick
K6LE

4030. Toady lost it along time ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 16:54:41 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Y5ednZ5r4f3Sx8TfRVn-sw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105546

Read this post by toad

"no where does it give them the authority to regulate ham radio or
pirate radio. Both are still the juridiction of the FCC.
Todd Daugherty N9OGL
Langley Park Telecommunication"

http://www.frn.net/vines/Forum2/HTML/001969.html

You have to wonder why it uses the word "juridiction" in place of
jurisdiction, what's on his gay little mind?

Or his profile. He doesn't consider himself human!

http://www.frn.net/scripts/john/vines/ubbmisc.cgi?action=getbio&UserName=N9OGL

If this isn't gay then nothing is.

http://216.176.81.228/n9ogl.htm

You ever find yourself a little Japanese girl yet perv?

4031. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 18:20:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113157228.011739.241380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105547

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Kim wrote:
> > > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1112841632.771033.204160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > There are several people in here with whom I have
> > > disagreed
> > > > > > > > and/or
> > > > > > > > carried on length discussions at opposite ends of the
> > verbal
> > > > > > > > see-saw.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Prove it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Ask Jim, N2EY Ask James Hampton, AA2QA or Kim, W5TIT.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Ask the anon e-mail "attacker?"
> > > > >
> > > > > Yep...and in this case, we'd be speaking of both of you--if
> you'll
> > > think
> > > > > about it. <GRIN>
> > >
> > > For Brian's benefit: Point made.
> >
> > I do my best to avoid the mixed fruit. I did post to one that his
> > comments about Steve's family were dispicable.
>
> Yes you did. And I acknowledged it and thanked you for it.

Don't thank me for being decent.

> However, as for the "mixed fruit", sorry, Brian, but you're
center
> piece of the fruit bowl.

Wrong.

> And I reiterate my "point made" statement.

Then do so; don't just say you did.

> > > It's the usual, Kim...The entrance of Todd Daugherty and his
> > > following of anonymous lying cowards is the worst of it...They
> > usually
> > > get weary after being slapped around with truth, however. They
> can't
> > > stand being bested.
> >
> > Todd is Steve's new sparring buddy. I made an early post to Todd
> that
> > his language wouldn't earn him any points here, and then left him
> > alone. Per usual, Steve cannot NOT reply to him.
>
> Just like you, Brian...I don't tolerate liars.

I tolerate you most of the time.

Todd's not "lied"
> so much as he's been absolutely assinine, but he's had a couple of
> peachs in there too.

You're bananas.

> > > > Literally. What the Hell are you, Steve, and you, Brian, even
> > doing
> > > > engaging these freaks? Do you notice that no one else (well,
> > unless
> > > they
> > > > are posting under other IDs) of the usual gang is even posting?
> > > Where's
> > > > everyone gone? OH, and Brian, I didn't even realize "bb" is
you.
> > Is
> > > that
> > > > you, really?
> >
> > bb is me. I don't engage them, and Steve is as low as I go. I'm
> still
> > trying to find some redeeming quality in him, but he's one marine
> > that's got his head buried in the sand or "SOMEthing." Hi!
>
> "...head burined in the sand.." Cute, coming from a person who
> has a laundry list of patent lies and deceit to his credit in this
> forum.

I have no patents nor are any pending. Would that be lie #9?

> ...and who buries his face with anonymous screen names and no
> "signature" on his posts...

We know too much about you.

> > > Yes...that's Brian. He went gutless and won't sign his name
to
> > his

> > > posts. He tried to "disguise" who he was for a while, but just
> like
> > > Lennie before him, he slipped up one day and "signed" one of his
> "bb"
> > > posts...Got caught lying and STILL won't own up to it.
> >
> > Billy Beeper is bb, in case Steve can't make the connection. The
> spam
> > in my regular e-mail account has dropped to almost nothing since
> using
> > this throwaway account. Of course, Steve sees something nefarious
in
> > my doing this, yet I have never denied who I am.
>
> And it was pointed out to him that he could sign his real name
and
> call to the bottom of posts and not risk "spam".
>
> I not only use my real e-mail address, but sign my real name and
> real callsign to my posts and don't see 10 pieces of spam make it
> through my filters in a week. Never have.
>
> Brian just doesn't want his name associated with his idiotic
> assertions and blatant lying.
>
> Too late.

Yet Steve does want his name associated with his idiotic assertions and
blatant lying.

> > > Oh, C'mon now, Kim...You two had a "thing"...!!!! =0
> >
> > Not even funny.
>
> No...it wasn't, hence the face.

What face? Which face? How many do you have?

> > > > <GRIN>
> > > >
> > > > Give the board a rest and let these freaks get outta here...
> > >
> > > Good idea.
> >
> > Yeh, Steve, good idea. You've single-handedly found them a new
home
> on
> > rrap. Thanks.
>
> Nope. Thier landing here was the doing of Todd, N9OGL who
brought

> them with him.

Hasn't Jim warned you enough? Why do I always have to do Jim's job
where you're concerned?

> > Besides, I've been nipping at Brian's heels to own up
> > > to his OTHER assertions, but the little coward won't answer
> questions
> > > vis-a-vis his OWN assertions.
> >
> > Steve set a record for new lies this past week. He questioned a
YLs
> > honor, and then lied about. Then he lied about who objected to it.
> > Then he lied about lying about it.
>
> And you've still not proved the FIRST assertion, make all the
rest
> YOUR lies, Brian...Promulgated in a vain hope to hide your own
errors.
>
> Sorry...It ain't working.

You made the assertion. Why would I have to prove your assertion?

> > Meanwhile he's been shooting his mouth off to some of the rra.misc
> > freaks. I'm suprised none have taken his advice to put bricks
> through
> > windows, slash tires, or terrorize wives.
>
> Who suggested that, Brian? You've uttered similar claims before
> but S T I L L have not substantiated that ANYONE in this forum, let
> alone me, made that threat.

Again, you made those assertions. Why do I have to substantiate your
assertions? I'm not in the business of sorting out your inuendos,
lies, and veiled threats, from the times you just might be telling the
truth. Not my yob, man.

> > After all, Steve says that's
> > been know to happen when a person shoots off their mouth. Right
now
> > they're just making vile comments about Steve's family.
>
> The weak minded take the weak shots.

And the big mouth becomes the target. Just as you predicted.

> > Kim, nice hearing from you.
> >
> > bb
>
> Can't even sign your name for a friend. Sheeeesh.
>

> Steve, K4YZ

I have no digital signature capability at this time.

bb

4032. Re: The daleks looked upon rrap and the daleks saw Chaos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 18:28:15 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <3fe6e.3864$yq6.1743@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105548

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:870178a4.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...

: And it came to pass that the daleks left the land of Gog and Magog,

Llyod,

Yo mama was a dalek space monkey (or maybe a porch monkey).

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4033. Re: Todd Faking "Responses" to Posts On His Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 18:41:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113158512.853412.243210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105549

K4YZ wrote:
> Here's how Todd "substantiates" alleged threats made against him:
>
> QUOTE:
>
> K4YZ said...
> Your tack board was tacky Toidie. Glad you
> realized it and ran with your tail between
> your legs. My laywer is looking at your
> blog now to see what action he can take to
> bring you before a judge.
>
> You are a pissant looser.
>
> UNQUOTE:
>
> Nice try, Todd...And someone else MIGHT have believed it, if you
> hadn't screwed up and spelled "loser" as "looser", which has been
> pointed out to you in open forum more than once.
>
> Thanks to the third party who brought this to my attention.
>
> And yes, he really is THAT stupid.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
Hey Dumbass,

I didn't post that you dumbass...nice try steve.

Todd N9OGL

4034. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 18:46:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113158804.709998.144900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105600

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > TOPOFF-3 will be four days. More than ample time
for
> > any
> > > > > one
> > > > > > > person to pull more than one "shift" during the exercise,
> > > > depending
> > > > > > on
> > > > > > > how you're defining "shift".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 12 hour shifts: Four (assuming 12 on / 12 off)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 8 hour shifts: Six (assuming 8 on / 8 off)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 4 hour shifts: Eight (assuming 4 on / 8 off)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Levy your "attack" on Phil. Phil said it was two days, and
> > that
> > > > he
> > > > > > would be working both days.
> > > > > >
> > > > > There was no attack. Either on you, nor especially on
> Phil.
> > > >
> > > > Then why did you find it necessary to snip your crappy little
> > > comment?
> > >
> > > Because there was no "crappy little comment", Brian.
> >
> > It was.
>
> No, there wasn't.

Yes, there was.

> > > > "Always at a deficit for information, aren't you, Brian?"
> > >
> > > That's not "crappy", Brian...It's the truth. That YOU don't

> > LIKE
> > > it is one thing...
> > >
> > You don't like being called a liar. So stop lying.
> >
> I'm not lying, Brian.

Lie #10 this week?

> > > > If I cannot trust the information that the participant
provides,
> > then
> > > > what can I trust?
> > > >
> > > Yeah...I guess when RRAP is the ONLY place you get your
> > > "information" from, then you're pretty much at loggerheads as to
> what
> > > you "know"...
> > >
> > I know you're a liar.
> >
> You SAY I'm a liar, but I've PROVED that you are.

You've proven your self to be a liar this past week, and many many
times previously.

> > > > > YOU, however, were the one making the comments about how
> > many
> > > > > shifts he was pulling-vs-qualified operators to do it.
> > > > >
> > > > That is no reflection on Phil. It is a reflection on the rest
on
> > the
> > > > > amateur community, as I said.
> > > >
> > > I didn't say it was a reflection on Phil, Brian.
> > > >
> > > It's about your own insinuations of why it was "necessary"
for
> > > Phil to pull more than one "shift" on this exercise.
> > >
> > Looks like there are some empty spots in the schedule.
> >
> Not from here.

Did you fill them in?

Especially if they have 6 people to cover a 48
> hour period that they are participating...

Correction. Two teams of 3 people each.

> And you STILL have not shown me ONE instance where ARES has
failed
> to respond to ANY incident when asked to do so.

I've showed one instance where one volunteer is overextended. Are you
the only overextended volunteer in the ARS?

> > > My behaviour here has nothing to do with what happens in the
> > > cockpit of an aircraft, Brian.
> >
> > Then you admit to having multiple personalities?
>
> Nice stretch, Brian.

Not a stretch. When ATC fumbles a phonetic or pressure atlitude, do
you key the mic and keep repeating "LIAR, LIAR, LIAR?"

That's the Steve Robeson in RRAP. Introduce us to the Steve Robeson,
CAP Ace sometime.

> > > > > Now...about your assertion that ARES can't/won't respond
> to
> > > > > emergencies...Are you going to provide some validation of
that
> > > > > statement?
> > > >
> > > > ARES will respond to emergencies as they are able, just like
cell
> > > > phones may or may not work in an emergency.
> > >
> > > Then you are acknowledging that your statement was false and
> > > misrepresentitive of ARES' ability to respond...?!?!
> >
> > No, that isn't what I said. Are you merely a poor reader, or are
you
> > now starting a new lie?
>
> What lie? And yes it IS what YOU said, Brian. Word for word.
> Not a period or comma was dropped.

Lie #11?

> > > And you have made the assumption that they are working 12
hour
> > > shifts. Your re-arrangement still does not substantiate your
other
> > > claims.
> >
> > Re-arrangement??? The 12 hour shift scenario is a Best Case
> Scenario.
> > If the shifts were 8 hours, the volunteer deficits would be even
> > greater.
>

> "Best case" for whom?

For covering all of the shifts.

Best case ONLY to support YOUR contention of
> a volunteer deficit >>IF<< and >>ONLY IF<< you assume that each
> volunteer only participates once in an exercise.

Phil acknowledged that he is participating twice. You acknowledged
that it is a 96 hour exercise. I provided a best case scenario where
only two 12 hour shifts in the four day period had a deficit of one
volunteer each. Thems the facts.

> > > And Phil states they "work in teams of three".
> > >
> > > He does not state that all three are "on duty" at all times.
> > I've
> > > never been on ANY mission or exercise, USMC, CAP, Red Cross,
ARES,
> > etc,
> > > where ALL operators were "on duty" at one time.
> >
> > So you were in the "Day Weanie" Marine Corps? Weather and ATC are
> > 24/7. So is Comms. But you, the mighty day weanie marine warrior
> know
> > otherwise. Hi, hi!
>
> "Day Weanie"..?!?! You're stretching again, Brian.

Support guys like you.

People who are not in operations, don't have to keep it going 24/7.

> > OK, 8 hour shifts:
> >
> > Let's put Phil on Team A, and Phil is pulling two shifts.
> >
> > Day 1, Team A: Day
> > Team B: Swing
> > Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
> >
> > Day 2, Team A: Day
> > Team B: Swing
> > Team nothing: Mid (deficit of 3)
> >
> > Day 3, Team A: Day (no Phil, deficit of 1)
> > Team B: Swing
> > Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
> >
> > Day 4, Team A: Day (no Phil, deficit of 1)
> > Team B: Swing
> > Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)

> >
> > Steve, have it your way. It makes the situation worse.
>
> No, it doesn't. You can still cover all operating periods with
> staff. I doubt you were ever a supervisor in the USAF, Brain, from
> your "all hands on duty now" contention.

Hi! Hi, hi, hi!!!

Were you a reservist?

> > > Sorry, Brian...Your contentions are still grasping for
straws.
> > >
> > > Nice try, though.
> >
> > Idiot. Would you like me to show you what 6 or 4 hour shifts look
> > like???
>
> Brian, six people for a 48 hour period is thin, but adequate.

Inadequate for the 96 hour period that you specified, and the "team of
3" requirement that Phil specified.

Them's the facts.

> Sorry you don't agree, but then it appears you don't have the
> supervisory skills to make it work.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

The supervisor fills in when there are a lack of personnel. But you
didn't see much of that in the USMC, didja, ya Day-Weanie Marine.

Look, it's a new week and I'm in a good mood. Just got back from a
great scout camping trip. Wx was perfect, invigorating hike, gourmet
chicken in a foil pack dinner last night, must not have snored too much
last night because my throat isn't sore.

Just admit to your lies, say you're sorry, and begin this week with a
fresh start. The truth will set you free.

4035. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 18:55:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113159351.683502.110160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105601

Who's lying steve???? I saw in another post that you told Brian that I did lied, I think you better get your facts streight or we will be calling you the liar.

Todd N9OGL

4036. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 19:10:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113160255.478351.261920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105602

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:

> > > > And you have made the assumption that they are working 12
> hour
> > > > shifts. Your re-arrangement still does not substantiate your
> other
> > > > claims.
> > >
> > > Re-arrangement??? The 12 hour shift scenario is a Best Case
> > Scenario.
> > > If the shifts were 8 hours, the volunteer deficits would be even
> > > greater.
> >
> > "Best case" for whom?
>
> For covering all of the shifts.
>
> Best case ONLY to support YOUR contention of
> > a volunteer deficit >>IF<< and >>ONLY IF<< you assume that each
> > volunteer only participates once in an exercise.
>
> Phil acknowledged that he is participating twice. You acknowledged
> that it is a 96 hour exercise. I provided a best case scenario where
> only two 12 hour shifts in the four day period had a deficit of one
> volunteer each. Thems the facts.

...And you think they can work 8 hour shifts with better results. Hi,
hi!

4037. Re: Todd Faking "Responses" to Posts On His Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 19:15:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113160527.812996.74370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105603

K4YZ wrote:

> Nice try, Todd...And someone else MIGHT have believed it, if you
> hadn't screwed up and spelled "loser" as "looser", which has been
> pointed out to you in open forum more than once.
>
> Thanks to the third party who brought this to my attention.
>
> And yes, he really is THAT stupid.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve fakes sincerity and honesty.

4038. Re: Could it be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 19:30:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <d9f6e.6794$44.1908@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Thank you Dr. Ace.......

"Just tellin the truth and they can't stand it"

Dan/W4NTI

"Dr.Ace - WH2T" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in message
news:115hct9i77a8f50@corp.supernews.com...
> Once again, Dan tells it like it is.
> Ace - WH2T
>
>> I think we should all chip in and help this great american out, In my
>> humble opinion Mr. Baxter has done a lot for amateur radio, and with
>> our help he can continue his work to give the amateur radio community
>> REAL hardcore information, unlike that W1AW.
>>
>> TODD N9OGL
>>
>> You just keep showing your ignorance, don't you Toad? All Baxter did
>> was plagerize ARRL and Newsline audio and put it out on his distorted
>> Collins junk. Except when was ''editorializing'' by slandering anyone
>> that didn't follow his "party line". Get a life Toad.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
>

4039. Re: Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 19:36:29 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <1ff6e.6795$44.2129@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105605

Rick Prather wrote:

> Can't we get past this, ignore all this troll bait and just have a
> productive Ham Radio discussion forum?
>

The charter specifies that only flame wars vaguely related
to ham radio be posted..... ;-)

4040. Re: Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 19:42:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <hkf6e.6797$44.3623@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105604

"Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:Mva6e.3779$yq6.108@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Rick Prather" <rick.prather@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1113106019.705318.199930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> : Can't we get past this, ignore all this troll bait and just have a
> : productive Ham Radio discussion forum?
> :
> : If not, 73!
> :
> : Rick
> : K6LE
>
> Rick,
>
> Welcome to the newsgroup formerly know as rec.amateur.radio.policy.
> Unfortunately you've arrived too late, kinda like arriving for a dinner
> party after the guests have long departed and the fly eggs have hatched
> and
> maggots are infesting the leftovers.
>
> The last amateur radio discussion here was terminated in a previous
> century,
> and all the hams have left. Only the maggots remain.
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire
>
>
>
That is not so at all. Some of us like to keep the malcontents straight. I
am deciding which list to put you on...hi.

Dan/W4NTI

4041. Re: Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 21:30:31 UTC
From: Hoke <trash@trashanonhams.orge>
Message-ID: <d3c5sj$ja6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105607

"Rick Prather" <rick.prather@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1113151649.740678.234470@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> Rick Prather wrote:
>> > I came here tonight wondering how far I would have to go in to the
>> > discussion before N9OGL popped up.
>> >
>> > Well, only took me one thread. Right on top "blah blah N9OGL"
>> >
>> > Can't we get past this, ignore all this troll bait and just have a
>> > productive Ham Radio discussion forum?
>> >
>> > If not, 73!
>>
>> Hi Rick. This forum long-since lost any pretense of being a
> truly
>> viable forum for the discussion of valid issues.
>>
>> It's solely for mudwrestling. Of course QRZ and eHam DO have
> open
>> forums that are very productive and don't tend to tolerate the likes
> of
>> some of our own ner-do-wells. That's where I go when I want to
>> read/participate in anything remotely approaching a "productive Ham
>> Radio discussion forum".
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve,
>
> Thanks for the heads-up. I guess what I suspected is true.
>
> I'll try QRZ and eHam and move on.
>
> I almost hate to ask this because the idiots will probably come out of
> the woodwork but what is it, do you suppose, that motivates people to
> hang out on a Newsgroup or forum for the sole purpose of starting a
> flame?
>
> If you go to a Mac group there are the PC/Linux dudes telling everyone
> what fools they are to use a Mac. On the Ham Radio groups there are a

> bunch of jerks telling everyone what idiots hams are, the higher the
> license class the bigger the idiot!
>
> If they aren't interested in the things being discussed on a given
> forum why do they read it and then feel compelled to jump in?
>
> My guess is that on the Radio groups the troublemakers are mostly the
> ones that can't cut it and they have to take it out on the ones that
> can.
>
> On the Mac (or whatever) groups they feel they have made the only
> "right" decision and they must tell everyone how superior their logic
> is and what cretins the rest are for not seeing the world their way.
>
> Oh the sadness of what I call "digital thinking"
>
> Rick
> K6LE
>

Your problem is obvious. You are a whiney crybaby who likes to go
around complaining about anything and everything.
BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

4042. K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 21:35:19 UTC
From: channel391@juno.com
Message-ID: <1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:

Posted: April 07 2005,04:48

So.. first I don't hear him on the air any more. I assumed that maybe Riley finally did him in.

Then, I do a lookup of his www.k1man.com website and it's no longer there. In fact, I can't find a remnant of the AARA anywhere.

He always made it out to seem as if the AARA had a large amount of members in its ranks. If this was the case, how come they aren't backing him up; i.e. getting his website back up and getting him back on the air. Did his entire "empire" go bankrupt?

Sorry for the re-hash.. but honestly, I felt this too funny not to post about.

Any info out there... let's hear it.

Chad Rudolph W1CAR

LWX Skywarn Amateur Radio Coordinator
http://www.147300.com

4043. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 21:40:15 UTC
From: Hoke <trash@trashanonhams.orge>
Message-ID: <d3c6ehkqt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

<channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
> the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
>
> Posted: April 07 2005,04:48
>
> So.. first I don't hear him on the air any more. I assumed that maybe
> Riley finally did him in.
>
> Then, I do a lookup of his www.k1man.com website and it's no longer
> there. In fact, I can't find a remnant of the AARA anywhere.
>
> He always made it out to seem as if the AARA had a large amount of
> members in its ranks. If this was the case, how come they aren't
> backing him up; i.e. getting his website back up and getting him back
> on the air. Did his entire "empire" go bankrupt?
>
> Sorry for the re-hash.. but honestly, I felt this too funny not to post
> about.
>
> Any info out there... let's hear it.
>
> --------------
> Chad Rudolph W1CAR
>
> LWX Skywarn Amateur Radio Coordinator
> http://www.147300.com
>

How long have you have you been abnormally obsessed with k1man?

4044. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 21:57:42 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <9713c7d401e543808237371cf2ebcdd4@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 105610
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article
<1gun899.12ioz171ohch8lN%none@goawayusmellbaaaaaad.net>
n...@goawayusmellbaaaaaad.net (Jules) wrote:
>
> Woger? Is that you? I kinda recognize the spelling? LOL

Lardass, you still have that cocksucking lisp.

There are no Daleks under your command 'tard boy. They are
fictional robots used in a television show! You need to get
mental help again failure boy.

You have been a failure in everything you have ever done, PERIOD!

You are indeed "pale" you never venture forth outside except for
your minimum wage "job," and to buy more of that pussy Bud Lite
beer. A real man's beer would probably kill a big fat pussy like
yourself.

You need to seriously take a long hard look at yourself in a
properly lit mirror in that roach infested hovel you call home
and then take action. Lose weight, get mental help, stay off the
computer for hours on end and face reality. You are 31 years of
age, you deliver pizzas, you play with dolls, you get drunk and
spew your filth and delusions on here and usenet. Nobody, I
repeat, nobody except for a couple of geeks like yourself can
stand you. The best thing you could do is to slit your wrists
while in that shitty vehicle of yours this summer, then if the
police didn't find you right away, the Alabama summer heat would
do it without you having to be cremated. You are a blathering
asshole that is a boil in the crack of America's ass. You
irritate us, end it now Porky, do it!

You need to either kill yourself or get help, that's it, do it!

4045. Re: Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 22:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <KYqdnbQYr8R9PMTfRVn-2Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 105611

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Rick Prather" <rick.prather@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1113106019.705318.199930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>>I came here tonight wondering how far I would have to go in to the
>>discussion before N9OGL popped up.
>>
>>Well, only took me one thread. Right on top "blah blah N9OGL"
>>
>>Can't we get past this, ignore all this troll bait and just have a
>>productive Ham Radio discussion forum?
>>
>>If not, 73!
>>
>>Rick
>>K6LE
>>
>
>
> Just filter out the annoying threads. I've been waiting for an interesting
> discussion to pop up so if you've got any ideas, throw them into the arena
> under a new thread.

There wouldn't be many posts recieved lately, Dee!

- Mike KB3EIA -

4046. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 22:53:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113173602.144778.65160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105612

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > I do my best to avoid the mixed fruit. I did post to one that
his
> > > comments about Steve's family were dispicable.
> >
> > Yes you did. And I acknowledged it and thanked you for it.
>
> Don't thank me for being decent.

But I must. They are so far and few in between, Brian.

> > However, as for the "mixed fruit", sorry, Brian, but you're
> center
> > piece of the fruit bowl.
>
> Wrong.

Nope. Absolutely correct.

> > Just like you, Brian...I don't tolerate liars.
>
> I tolerate you most of the time.

As well you should. I may fry your bacon on frequent occassion,
but I don't lie to you. That's what makes you so mad...You don't like
it.

> Todd's not "lied"
> > so much as he's been absolutely assinine, but he's had a couple of
> > peachs in there too.
>
> You're bananas.

I am sure you think so.

> > "...head burined in the sand.." Cute, coming from a person
who
> > has a laundry list of patent lies and deceit to his credit in this
> > forum.
>
> I have no patents nor are any pending. Would that be lie #9?

Wouldn't be "lie" anything.

You've still not listed 1 through 8 of the laleged lies to start
with.

> > Brian just doesn't want his name associated with his idiotic
> > assertions and blatant lying.
> >
> > Too late.
>
> Yet Steve does want his name associated with his idiotic assertions
and
> blatant lying.

There's no idiotic assertions or blatant lying on my part,
Brian....Just making an even bigger fool out of you evry time YOU post
due to YOUR misconduct.

It doesn't have to be that way. Just stop lying and making
assertions that cant be substantiated.

> > And you've still not proved the FIRST assertion, make all the
> rest
> > YOUR lies, Brian...Promulgated in a vain hope to hide your own
> errors.
> >
> > Sorry...It ain't working.
>
> You made the assertion. Why would I have to prove your assertion?

You've not proved (or disproved" a single thing. Not one. Other
than you ahve severe honesty and character issues, Brian. You need to
get them fixed. Soon.

> > > Meanwhile he's been shooting his mouth off to some of the
rra.misc
> > > freaks. I'm suprised none have taken his advice to put bricks
> > through
> > > windows, slash tires, or terrorize wives.
> >
> > Who suggested that, Brian? You've uttered similar claims
before
> > but S T I L L have not substantiated that ANYONE in this forum, let
> > alone me, made that threat.
>
> Again, you made those assertions. Why do I have to substantiate your
> assertions? I'm not in the business of sorting out your inuendos,
> lies, and veiled threats, from the times you just might be telling
the
> truth. Not my yob, man.

Sure it is.

You said I made threats.

You've not shown where anyone, let alone me, has made the threats
you've alluded to.

That would make you a liar, Brian.

> > > After all, Steve says that's
> > > been know to happen when a person shoots off their mouth. Right
> now
> > > they're just making vile comments about Steve's family.
> >
> > The weak minded take the weak shots.
>
> And the big mouth becomes the target. Just as you predicted.
>
> > > Kim, nice hearing from you.
> > >
> > > bb
> >
> > Can't even sign your name for a friend. Sheeeesh.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I have no digital signature capability at this time.

No digital signature is needed. Just you, typing out your name
and callsign at the bottom of the post...Like a man.

Steve, K4YZ

4047. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-10 22:58:50 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <Kci6e.3792$IP1.46@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105613

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1113173602.144778.65160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
:
: You've not proved (or disproved" a single thing. Not one. Other
: than you ahve severe honesty....

"Severe honesty" will not be tolerated on rrap!

73,

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4048. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 00:13:48 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113178428.262182.254120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105614

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1113173602.144778.65160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> :
> : You've not proved (or disproved" a single thing. Not one. Other
> : than you ahve severe honesty....
>
> "Severe honesty" will not be tolerated on rrap!

He's nuts.

> 73,
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire

Even if Steve had no enemies he would be ridiculous.

4049. Re: Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 00:40:07 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <HHj6e.3678$go4.1296@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105615

> Can't we get past this, ignore all this troll bait and just have a
> productive Ham Radio discussion forum?
>

This newsgroup is the internet equivalent to what 14.313 or
LA's 147.435 used to be.

4050. THE GREATEST NEWS EVER! °°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._. (newsgroup-post 130)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 01:30:51 UTC
From: RonGrossi_38225@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1113183051.795604.286680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105616
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

The Greatest News Ever! (click link)
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/alt.politics.bush/browse_thread/thread/9b420fd9fc320e49/0215b46e845a8553#0215b46e845a8553

```
.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._...._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°
°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._...._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`
°°.._._.°°`°°.._._...._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._...
_._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._...._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.
._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._...._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°
.._._.°°`°°.._._...._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._...._
_.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._...._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._
._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.°°`°°.._._.
```

The reason some people don't know for sure
if they are going to Heaven when they die
is because they just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for
sure that you are going to Heaven which is
described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple
it is to be saved so that we can live
forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus
is Lord and believe in your heart that God
raised Him from the dead, you
WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven
to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to
shed His blood and die on a cross to pay
our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel
supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
named Mary and lived a sinless life for
thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and in three days come back to life again and it happens then this person must be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God to save you. Now you are a real

Christian and you can know for sure that
you will live in Heaven forever when this
life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid
sin(wrong doing), but if you do sin the
Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I
write this to you so that you will not sin.
But if anybody does sin, we have one who
speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus
Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to
place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
may never get another chance to do so
because you do not know when you will die.

This means that if you die without
trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
Saviour you will be forever separated from
the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
Only then will you have true peace in your
life knowing that no matter what happens you
are on your way to Heaven.

Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ,
Internet Evangelist
Ronald L. Grossi

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.bibledatabase.net

4051. Re: Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 01:37:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Gxk6e.4869$sp3.1701@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105617

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:HHj6e.3678$go4.1296@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>> Can't we get past this, ignore all this troll bait and just have a
>> productive Ham Radio discussion forum?
>>
>
> This newsgroup is the internet equivalent to what 14.313 or
> LA's 147.435 used to be.

I don't know about the LA repeater....but comparing this group to 14.313 is
way off base. These guys ain't even close.

Dan/W4NTI

4052. Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 02:45:02 UTC
From: straydog <advocacy@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.61.0504110234040.1747@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 105618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A ham friend told me that he heard that DSPs really work at least a little bit. He doesn't have one and neither do I (although many of the newer and more expensive rigs have them, and there are one or two outboard DSPs that are available that can be added to older rigs in some ways). I don't know all that they can do (auto null heterodynes? auto noise reduction [what kind of noise reduction?]? audio enhancement [or audio bandpass shaping] of some kind?) but if static crashes can be really removed or attenuated, that would be of use to me. Auto null of heterodynes would be nice on those occassions when tuner-uppers decide to tune up on my frequency in which I am already in two way contact with others (on ssb phone). And, maybe there are some other useful characteristics I don't know about. But, are these really effective? For example, a 20 db heterodyne null is not much. Nulls have to be about 50-60 db to be useful.

I'll take any kind of comments, suggestions, refernces to testing, or personal experience, pro & con recomendations, ...anything...

Art, W4PON

4053. Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 03:05:23 UTC
From: Tom Holden <holden_family@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <TPl6e.2130$MZ2.243817@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 105619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

There are some good demos at
http://www.radio.bhinstrumentation.co.uk/html/demonstration.html . I have a
cheap Radio Shack DSP40 that performs at the lower end of the scale as far
as these go. It does a remarkable job of multiple heterodyne reduction and
selectable audio passband but its noise blanker is next to useless and it
does not do noise reduction other than through selectivity.

Tom

"straydog" <advocacy@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.NEB.4.61.0504110234040.1747@sdf.lonestar.org...
>
> A ham friend told me that he heard that DSPs really work at least a little
> bit. He doesn't have one and neither do I (although many of the newer and
> more expensive rigs have them, and there are one or two outboard DSPs that
> are available that can be added to older rigs in some ways). I don't know
> all that they can do (auto null heterodynes? auto noise reduction [what
> kind of noise reduction?]? audio enhancement [or audio bandpass shaping]
> of some kind?) but if static crashes can be really removed or attenuated,
> that would be of use to me. Auto null of heterodynes would be nice on
> those
> occassions when tuner-uppers decide to tune up on my frequency in which I
> am already in two way contact with others (on ssb phone). And, maybe there
> are some other useful characteristics I don't know about. But, are these
> really effective? For example, a 20 db heterodyne null is not much. Nulls
> have to be about 50-60 db to be useful.
>
> I'll take any kind of comments, suggestions, refernces to testing, or
> personal experience, pro & con recomendations, ...anything...
>
> Art, W4PON
>
>
>

4054. Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 03:36:11 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4259F0A8.BCA7B8D8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tom Holden wrote:
>
> There are some good demos at
> http://www.radio.bhinstrumentation.co.uk/html/demonstration.html . I have a
> cheap Radio Shack DSP40 that performs at the lower end of the scale as far
> as these go. It does a remarkable job of multiple heterodyne reduction and
> selectable audio passband but its noise blanker is next to useless and it
> does not do noise reduction other than through selectivity.
>
> Tom

The performance of a DSP is very dependant on the software it runs
under.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4055. Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 05:29:59 UTC
From: Leon Heller <leon_heller@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <425a0b57$0$292$cc9e4d1f@news-text.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 105621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

http://www.geocities.com/leon_heller
"straydog" <advocacy@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.NEB.4.61.0504110234040.1747@sdf.lonestar.org...
>
> A ham friend told me that he heard that DSPs really work at least a little
> bit. He doesn't have one and neither do I (although many of the newer and
> more expensive rigs have them, and there are one or two outboard DSPs that
> are available that can be added to older rigs in some ways). I don't know
> all that they can do (auto null heterodynes? auto noise reduction [what
> kind of noise reduction?]? audio enhancement [or audio bandpass shaping]
> of some kind?) but if static crashes can be really removed or attenuated,
> that would be of use to me. Auto null of heterodynes would be nice on
> those
> occassions when tuner-uppers decide to tune up on my frequency in which I
> am already in two way contact with others (on ssb phone). And, maybe there
> are some other useful characteristics I don't know about. But, are these
> really effective? For example, a 20 db heterodyne null is not much. Nulls
> have to be about 50-60 db to be useful.
>
> I'll take any kind of comments, suggestions, refernces to testing, or
> personal experience, pro & con recomendations, ...anything...

If they didn't work, none of the current military radio systems would be any
use - they all use DSP! I've designed an audio processing DSP system using
one of the new dsPIC devices and a codec - just two small chips. The codec
includes a mic pre-amp and headphone amp.

73, Leon

4056. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 06:46:47 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cc3e76252b914cd989862@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 105622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

In article <d3c6ehkqt0@pita.alt.net>, trash@trashanonhams.orge says...
>
> <channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
> > the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
> >
> > Posted: April 07 2005,04:48
> >
> > So.. first I don't hear him on the air any more. I assumed that maybe
> > Riley finally did him in.
> >
> > Then, I do a lookup of his www.k1man.com website and it's no longer
> > there. In fact, I can't find a remnant of the AARA anywhere.
> >
> > He always made it out to seem as if the AARA had a large amount of
> > members in its ranks. If this was the case, how come they aren't
> > backing him up; i.e. getting his website back up and getting him back
> > on the air. Did his entire "empire" go bankrupt?
> >
> > Sorry for the re-hash.. but honestly, I felt this too funny not to post
> > about.
> >
> > Any info out there... let's hear it.
> >
> > --------------
> > Chad Rudolph W1CAR
> >
> > LWX Skywarn Amateur Radio Coordinator
> > http://www.147300.com
> >
>
>
> How long have you have you been abnormally obsessed with k1man?
>
>
>
>

I hear it's been since that one special night they spent together in
Paris... ;)

BDK

4057. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 07:04:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113203067.985315.49950@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105623

bb wrote:

> Even if Steve had no enemies he would be ridiculous.

I don't have any enemies, Brian. Not even you.

Steve, K4YZ

4058. Re: Todd Faking "Responses" to Posts On His Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 07:07:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113203273.502442.323730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105624

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Nice try, Todd...And someone else MIGHT have believed it, if
you
> > hadn't screwed up and spelled "loser" as "looser", which has been
> > pointed out to you in open forum more than once.
> >
> > Thanks to the third party who brought this to my attention.
> >
> > And yes, he really is THAT stupid.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve fakes sincerity and honesty.

No I don't. I'm very sincere when I say that Brian P Burke is a
coward and a liar. And there's nothing dishonest in that statement.

Of course it's documented in Google, so there's really not that
much more for me to do or say about it, except it sucks to be you,
Brian.

Steve, K4YZ

4059. Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 08:16:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113207367.943385.55120@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

straydog wrote:
> A ham friend told me that he heard that DSPs really work at least a
little
> bit.

Snip to...

> I'll take any kind of comments, suggestions, refernces to testing, or

> personal experience, pro & con recomendations, ...anything...

I've not had a lot of opportunity to use DSP for Amateur
applications, however I have used it in the Icom IC-718 for Civil Air
Patrol applications.

Several of CAP's 4mHz frequencies suffer from foreign hetrodynes
and other ectopics. The DSP has been over 90% effective in making the
channel "usable".

73

Steve, K4YZ
(TennesseeCAP 250)

4060. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 08:21:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113207714.413930.79170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105626

N9OGL wrote:
> Who's lying steve????

You are, Todd, if you continue to try and claim you weren't
responsible for the fake post (allegedly from me) on your blog.

You may avoid the suspicion by removing the offending item.
Otherwise, yes, you are a liar.

>I saw in another post that you told Brian that I
> did lied, I think you better get your facts streight or we will be
> calling you the liar.

"I did lied"

"get you facts streight"

Sheeesh.

So...Todd...After calling me a ####head, a moron, a "mother####er"
and other such offensive and profane adjectives, am I supposed to be
alarmed that you might call me a liar too?

I don't think so.

Nothing else you've called me or claimed has been factual, so why
should anyone be impressed with that?

Steve, K4YZ
>
> Todd N9OGL

4061. Re: I've Reconsidered My Position On N9OGL's Blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 08:24:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113207883.545741.204080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105625

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:

>>K4YZ wrote:

>>>N9OGL wrote:

>>>>>BTW: I'm preparing some letters of my own! Stay tuned!

>>>>WELL LET'S SEE THEM!!!!!

>>> Be patient, Toddster! Be patient! They'll get to you in good
>>>time! Hand delivered, no less! (No, not by me!)

>>>Steve, K4YZ

>>Steve, I was just wondering what frivolous claims you were going send

>>(if your going to send any). I'm sure a number of people on here

>> would get a kick out of you so-call claims.

> So far, Todd, even your ISP has seen fit to threaten you with
> cancellation of your service due to your conduct.

> And my "so-call claims" are for you to find out. Like I
said..Hang
> on...It's coming.

>>Todd O'Dochartaigh

> Lying about your identity again?

>>N9OGL

> That's the callsign of Todd Daugherty. Or did you lie to the
FCC?

> Steve, K4YZ

If O'Dochartaigh is his legal name, and the FCC data base shows it as
Daugherty then his license isn't valid, thus he is in violation of FCC
rules everytime he "broadcast" one of his "bulletins". Maybe the FCC
needs to be clued in.

I bet they already are, Commander!

Steve, K4YZ

4062. Re: Toady lost it along time ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 08:56:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113209761.454474.246310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105628

KC8GXW wrote:
> Read this post by toad
>
> "no where does it give them the authority to regulate ham radio or
> pirate radio. Both are still the juridiction of the FCC.
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> Langley Park Telecommunication"
>
> http://www.frn.net/vines/Forum2/HTML/001969.html
>
> You have to wonder why it uses the word "juridiction" in place of
> jurisdiction, what's on his gay little mind?
>
> Or his profile. He doesn't consider himself human!
>
>
http://www.frn.net/scripts/john/vines/ubbmisc.cgi?action=getbio&UserName=N9OGL
>
> If this isn't gay then nothing is.
>
> http://216.176.81.228/n9ogl.htm
>
> You ever find yourself a little Japanese girl yet perv?

>From his "profile":

Born on July 5, 1968 in the small community of Taylorville, in central
Illinois. Went to Taylorville High School and graduated in 1987, Now
attending Lincoln Land Community College.

If I were one of the trustees at LLCC, I'd (A) hide my face in
shame, considering that they can't seem to teach Todd how to write, and
(B) Sue him to stop mentioning them in his public correspondence.

Been a licensed Amateur Radio operator since 1991 and began flying
airplane in 2004.

Well golly gee whillikers! Toady claims that all Amateur Radio
licensees are demon seed...Guess he speaks from personal
self-assessment, eh?

And Todd...That's not an airplane. It's an ultralight.

Traveled around the world and the United States.

Too bad he hasn't "traveled" to an Elementary School reading and
writing class lately.

Steve, K4YZ

4063. Re: Ham Radio Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 10:17:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113214639.925407.209320@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105629

Rick Prather wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Rick Prather wrote:
> > > I came here tonight wondering how far I would have to go in to
the
> > > discussion before N9OGL popped up.
> > >
> > > Well, only took me one thread. Right on top "blah blah N9OGL"
> > >
> > > Can't we get past this, ignore all this troll bait and just have
a
> > > productive Ham Radio discussion forum?
> > >
> > > If not, 73!
> >
> > Hi Rick. This forum long-since lost any pretense of being a
> truly
> > viable forum for the discussion of valid issues.
> >
> > It's solely for mudwrestling. Of course QRZ and eHam DO have
> open
> > forums that are very productive and don't tend to tolerate the
likes
> of
> > some of our own ner-do-wells. That's where I go when I want to
> > read/participate in anything remotely approaching a "productive Ham
> > Radio discussion forum".
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve,
>
> Thanks for the heads-up. I guess what I suspected is true.
>
> I'll try QRZ and eHam and move on.
>
> I almost hate to ask this because the idiots will probably come out
of
> the woodwork but what is it, do you suppose, that motivates people to
> hang out on a Newsgroup or forum for the sole purpose of starting a
> flame?

Well...I have to honestly claim a partial "guilty" here, Rick. I did enter here for the same reasons you have, but after butting my head against the wall for so long, I realized that nothing was getting accomplished. I consider this to be the least common denominator of "discussion".

Witness N9OGL, N0IMD, et al. They just make it so easy to do when they make assertions that are so easily refuted by publically accessible resources.

> If you go to a Mac group there are the PC/Linux dudes telling
everyone
> what fools they are to use a Mac. On the Ham Radio groups there are
a
> bunch of jerks telling everyone what idiots hams are, the higher the
> license class the bigger the idiot!

Must be some of the same DNA in a lot of the folks here. We have one antagonist in particular who was an Army radio mechanic in the 50's and held a GROL (but never an Amateur license) who spends inordinate amounts of time trying to tell us how to be Amateurs. Go figure.

> If they aren't interested in the things being discussed on a given
> forum why do they read it and then feel compelled to jump in?

Most of the folks who do that here are the Anonymous Cowards. Those who have enough intestinal fortitude to sign their names at least comment about the subject, even if it's skewed.

> My guess is that on the Radio groups the troublemakers are mostly the
> ones that can't cut it and they have to take it out on the ones that
> can.

The aforementioned antagonist being one of them. He's gone as far as suggesting in official comments to the FCC that there should be a minimum age for Amateur licensure despite the fact he's in his 70's and he's never raised kids. Never one bit of documentation that "kids" have been "discipline" problems at Gettysburg either.

> On the Mac (or whatever) groups they feel they have made the only
> "right" decision and they must tell everyone how superior their logic
> is and what cretins the rest are for not seeing the world their way.

Or they start in with the "Nazi", "thugs", "elitists", etc. Of course that's relatively mild to the ####head, ###hole, mother####er, etc that eminates from the keyboard of N9OGL and company.

> Oh the sadness of what I call "digital thinking"

I hear ya brother...I hear ya...

73

Steve, K4YZ

4064. Re: Brian Burke, N0IMD, Where Are The Answers To These Questions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 10:20:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113214807.306947.280950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105631

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Caveat Lector wrote:
> > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1112498677.875748.151760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > >>CL Wrote
> > > >> With 100 Watts and a Mushcraft 1/2 wave vertical -- got all
but
> 2
> > > > states in
> > > >> a year (need KY and AR)
> > >
> > > > BB sed
> > > > I might have been able to give you both this past week. About
> > where
> > > > are you located?
> > >
> > > Ah thanks for the try -- but lent my antenna out to a friend so
he
> > could
> > > make new parts for his AR-6.
> > >
> > > I'm in So Calif
> > >
> > > But summer is sporadic E season for 6M-- so the best time would
be
> > May June
> > > July
> > > Will need double hop for KY
> > > Maybe single hop for AR.
> > >
> > > CL
> >
> > I did some work on 6 and 2 ssb when there were lots of active
Techs,
> > but it seems a lot slower now that they've been chased away.
>
> What Techs have been "chased away"?
>
> Who chased them away, if they were? Perhaps you simply meant
> "chased away by poor propagation"? I doubt it, but let's hear your
> rationale for this claim.
>
> What motive would any operator have for "chas(ing) away" any

other
> operator where the more opertors you work the more awards you can
> amass?

Well?

Steve, K4YZ

4065. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 10:50:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113216619.551544.35530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105632

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > Because there was no "crappy little comment", Brian.
> > >
> > > It was.
> >
> > No, there wasn't.
>
> Yes, there was.

No, there wasn't.

> > > > > "Always at a deficit for information, aren't you, Brian?"
> > > >
> > > > That's not "crappy", Brian...It's the truth. That YOU
don't
> > > LIKE
> > > > it is one thing...
> > >
> > > You don't like being called a liar. So stop lying.
> >
> > I'm not lying, Brian.
>
> Lie #10 this week?

You haven't presented #1, Brian, so how could this be #10? You
and Todd need to see if you can carpool...Him for remedial English, you
for remedial math.

> > > > > If I cannot trust the information that the participant
> provides,
> > > then
> > > > > what can I trust?
> > > >
> > > > Yeah...I guess when RRAP is the ONLY place you get your
> > > > "information" from, then you're pretty much at loggerheads as
to
> > what
> > > > > you "know"...
> > >
> > > I know you're a liar.
> >
> > You SAY I'm a liar, but I've PROVED that you are.
>
> You've proven your self to be a liar this past week, and many many

> times previously.

Then why can't we get you to provide the cites? Where's the proof
of your assertions, Brian?

> > > Looks like there are some empty spots in the schedule.
> >
> > Not from here.
>
> Did you fill them in?

I can fill those scehdules with 6 people for 48 hours of 24h
coverage, Brian...Why couldn't you?

> Especially if they have 6 people to cover a 48
> > hour period that they are participating...
>
> Correction. Two teams of 3 people each.

Two teams...Six people...Yeah...SIX is what I said, Brian.

> > And you STILL have not shown me ONE instance where ARES has
> failed
> > to respond to ANY incident when asked to do so.
>
> I've showed one instance where one volunteer is overextended. Are
you
> the only overextended volunteer in the ARS?

I'm not overextended.

And my managerial skills are such that no one working for me is
either.

> > > > My behaviour here has nothing to do with what happens in
the
> > > > cockpit of an aircraft, Brian.
> > >
> > > Then you admit to having multiple personalities?
> >
> > Nice stretch, Brian.
>
> Not a stretch. When ATC fumbles a phonetic or pressure atlitude, do
> you key the mic and keep repeating "LIAR, LIAR, LIAR?"

They don't fumble.

> That's the Steve Robeson in RRAP. Introduce us to the Steve Robeson,
> CAP Ace sometime.

What's a "CAP Ace", Brian? The Civil Air Patrol doesn't have
"aces", and I never flew "combat air patrols" in the Armed Forces.

> > What lie? And yes it IS what YOU said, Brian. Word for word.
> > Not a period or comma was dropped.
>
> Lie #11?

Where's #1?

> > > > And you have made the assumption that they are working 12
> hour
> > > > shifts. Your re-arrangement still does not substantiate your
> other
> > > > claims.
> > >
> > > Re-arrangement??? The 12 hour shift scenario is a Best Case
> > Scenario.
> > > If the shifts were 8 hours, the volunteer deficits would be even
> > > greater.
> >
> > "Best case" for whom?
>
> For covering all of the shifts.

Uh huh.

> Best case ONLY to support YOUR contention of
> > a volunteer deficit >>IF<< and >>ONLY IF<< you assume that each
> > volunteer only participates once in an exercise.
>
> Phil acknowledged that he is participating twice. You acknowledged
> that it is a 96 hour exercise. I provided a best case scenario where
> only two 12 hour shifts in the four day period had a deficit of one
> volunteer each. Thems the facts.

They are the "facts" only if all three team members are at the
station and on the air 100% of the time.

Anyone who ahs really done any of these knows that's NOT the
facts, Brian...Like you...

> > > > And Phil states they "work in teams of three".
> > > >
> > > > He does not state that all three are "on duty" at all
times.
> > > I've
> > > > never been on ANY mission or exercise, USMC, CAP, Red Cross,
> ARES,
> > > etc,
> > > > where ALL operators were "on duty" at one time.
> > >
> > > So you were in the "Day Weanie" Marine Corps? Weather and ATC
are
> > > 24/7. So is Comms. But you, the mighty day weanie marine
warrior

> > know
> > > otherwise. Hi, hi!
> >
> > "Day Weanie"..?!?! You're stretching again, Brian.
>
> Support guys like you.
>
> People who are not in operations, don't have to keep it going 24/7.

BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !!

One more example of "Not A Clue Burke" hanging his bacon out to
dry!

> > > OK, 8 hour shifts:
> > >
> > > Let's put Phil on Team A, and Phil is pulling two shifts.
> > >
> > > Day 1, Team A: Day
> > > Team B: Swing
> > > Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
> > >
> > > Day 2, Team A: Day
> > > Team B: Swing
> > > Team nothing: Mid (deficit of 3)
> > >
> > > Day 3, Team A: Day (no Phil, deficit of 1)
> > > Team B: Swing
> > > Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
> > >
> > > Day 4, Team A: Day (no Phil, deficit of 1)
> > > Team B: Swing
> > > Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
> > >
> > > Steve, have it your way. It makes the situation worse.
> >
> > No, it doesn't. You can still cover all operating periods
with
> > staff. I doubt you were ever a supervisor in the USAF, Brain, from
> > your "all hands on duty now" contention.
>
> Hi! Hi, hi, hi!!!
>
> Were you a reservist?

Nope. Active Duty.

> > > > Sorry, Brian...Your contentions are still grasping for
> straws.
> > > >

> > > > Nice try, though.
> > >
> > > Idiot. Would you like me to show you what 6 or 4 hour shifts
look
> > > like???
> > >
> > Brian, six people for a 48 hour period is thin, but adequate.
>
> Inadequate for the 96 hour period that you specified, and the "team
of
> 3" requirement that Phil specified.
>
> Them's the facts.

No, they're not.

> > Sorry you don't agree, but then it appears you don't have the
> > supervisory skills to make it work.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> The supervisor fills in when there are a lack of personnel. But you
> didn't see much of that in the USMC, didja, ya Day-Weanie Marine.

"Day-Weanie Marine"...?!?! Really getting P/O'ed that you keep
getting your nose rubbed in your own inadequacies, aren't you?

> Look, it's a new week and I'm in a good mood. Just got back from a
> great scout camping trip. Wx was perfect, invigorating hike, gourmet
> chicken in a foil pack dinner last night, must not have snored too
much
> last night because my throat isn't sore.

Glad to hear you had a nice day.
>
> Just admit to your lies, say you're sorry, and begin this week with a
> fresh start. The truth will set you free.

Take your own advice, Brian.

Start off by TRYING to cite my alleged 11 lies. Then you can
provide us with some evidence to support your "ARES won't respond"
assertion. Then move on to your "unlicensed devices" issue. We're
still waiting for documentation of the legality of your Somalia
operation and some evidence of your claims of having worked the
equilvilent of DXCC from two or three other DX locations.

You're a chronic liar, Brian. It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

4066. Re: Brian Burke, N0IMD, Where Are The Answers To These Questions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 10:52:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113216765.895682.210210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105633

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Caveat Lector wrote:
> > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1112498677.875748.151760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > >>CL Wrote
> > > >> With 100 Watts and a Mushcraft 1/2 wave vertical -- got all
but
> 2
> > > > states in
> > > >> a year (need KY and AR)
> > >
> > > > BB sed
> > > > I might have been able to give you both this past week. About
> > where
> > > > are you located?
> > > >
> > > Ah thanks for the try -- but lent my antenna out to a friend so
he
> > could
> > > make new parts for his AR-6.
> > >
> > > I'm in So Calif
> > >
> > > But summer is sporadic E season for 6M-- so the best time would
be
> > May June
> > > July
> > > Will need double hop for KY
> > > Maybe single hop for AR.
> > >
> > > CL
> >
> > I did some work on 6 and 2 ssb when there were lots of active
Techs,
> > but it seems a lot slower now that they've been chased away.
>
> What Techs have been "chased away"?
>
> Who chased them away, if they were? Perhaps you simply meant
> "chased away by poor propagation"? I doubt it, but let's hear your
> rationale for this claim.
>
> What motive would any operator have for "chas(ing) away" any

other
> operator where the more opertors you work the more awards you can
> amass?

Well...?!?!!

Steve, K4YZ

4067. Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 11:05:37 UTC
From: xpyttl <xpyttl_NOSPAM@earthling.net>
Message-ID: <9Ss6e.4602$xN.3842@fe05.lga>
Source record: 105630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"straydog" <advocacy@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.NEB.4.61.0504110234040.1747@sdf.lonestar.org...

> A ham friend told me that he heard that DSPs really work at least a little

Of course they work. How well, as Michael points out, depends on the
software.

> are available that can be added to older rigs in some ways). I don't know
> all that they can do (auto null heterodynes? auto noise reduction [what

DSPs can be programmed to do almost anything, but in amateur radios, they
generally are used to reduce noise and null hetrodynes.

> of some kind?) but if static crashes can be really removed or attenuated,

In general, the point of DSPs tends not to be to remove static crashes.
Some of the newer noise blankers are, in fact, processors that might be hard
to distinguish from DSPs. They tend to do a very good job on pulse noise,
but I haven't tripped across any that are even decent on static crashes.

> really effective? For example, a 20 db heterodyne null is not much. Nulls
> have to be about 50-60 db to be useful.

Remember, these things are software rather than filters. The stronger the
heterodyne, the easier it is for the software to recognize it.
Unfortunately, it's not magic. A really strong heterodyne is going to be
driving your AGC and perhaps overloading some amps in your chain, so it
isn't like it magically disappears with no other effect. Still, very often
the DSP can make life more pleasant in the presence of lids.

..

4068. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 12:59:14 UTC
From: forkinthetoaster <oinparten60@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <88b96$425a74a1$d1cc45da$17339@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 105634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

<channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
> the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:

It also appears that the folks at QRZ.com have de-listed him
too.............

(grin)

4069. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 13:09:55 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <e87017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 105635
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Essay graded by Microsoft Word and found to be almost at 7th grade
grammar level. Punctuation errors are common.

===(begin analysis)===
Lardass, you still have that cocksucking lisp.

There are no Daleks under your command 'tard boy. They are fictional
robots used in a television show! You need to get mental help again
failure boy.

You have been a failure in everything you have ever done, PERIOD!

You are indeed "pale" you never venture forth outside except for your
minimum wage "job," and to buy more of that pussy Bud Lite beer. A
real man's beer would probably kill a big fat pussy like yourself.

===
ERROR: Reflexive pronoun use. Replace "like yourself" with "like you."
===

You need to seriously take a long hard look at yourself in a properly
lit mirror in that roach infested hovel you call home and then take
action. Lose weight, get mental help, stay off the computer for hours
on end and face reality. You are 31 years of age, you deliver pizzas,
you play with dolls, you get drunk and

===
ERROR: Comma use. "you play with dolls, and you ..."
===

spew your filth and delusions on here and usenet. Nobody, I repeat,
nobody except for a couple of geeks like yourself can stand you. The
best thing you could do is to slit your wrists while in that shitty
vehicle of yours this summer, then if the police didn't find you right
away, the Alabama summer heat would do it without you having to be
cremated. You are a blathering asshole that is a boil in the crack of
America's ass. You irritate us, end it now Porky, do it!

===
ERROR: Comma use. "... irritate us, end it now Porky, do it!" First
comma should be a period; second comma should be a semicolon.
===

You need to either kill yourself or get help, that's it, do it!

===
ERROR: Comma use. "... get help, that's it, do it!" First comma

should be a period; second comma should be a semicolon.
===(end analysis)===

Summary: Flesch-Kincaid Grade Level: 6.8

4070. Amber Alert/CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 14:34:51 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <fWv6e.2621$oR6.2254@fe09.lga>
Source record: 105636

Hey, has anybody ever noticed that when they issue an Amber Alert that the word 'amber' is also sent in Morse code?

I was helping my wife with the clothes when a loud distinctive .- -- -... . .-. interrupted the normal voice audio. "Did you leave your radio on?" asked my wife. When I told her I'd copied 'amber,' we both realized that an Amber Alert message was scrolling across the bottom of the TV screen.

F.Y.I. ;-)

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

4071. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 16:57:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113238676.186447.102010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105639

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > There are several people in here with whom I have disagreed
> > > and/or
> > > carried on length discussions at opposite ends of the verbal
> > > see-saw.
> >
> > Prove it.
>
> Ask Jim, N2EY Ask James Hampton, AA2QA or Kim, W5TIT.

Ask me what?

We've had a number of disagreements here without resorting to
name-calling and such. Anybody who reads rrap for a while can
see that.

> > > Only you, Todd, Lennie, and a couple others have huffed up the
> > > tone.
> >
> > Your singularly distinctive acheivement on RRAP has been "huffing
up
> > the tone." In the past few days you've told at least four new
lies.
>
> You keep saying I've told these lies, yet you've not shown where
> I've lied.
>
Steve - do you *really* expect any proof?

If so - why?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4072. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 17:45:12 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <dcmdnRW0a60-TsffRVn-2A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Tom Terrific wrote:
> "forkinthetoaster" <oinparten60@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:88b96$425a74a1$d1cc45da$17339@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
>><channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
>>news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
>>>the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
>>
>>It also appears that the folks at QRZ.com have de-listed him
>>too..............
>
>
>
> Not being listed by QRZ is a compliment!
>
>
>
How do you figure that?

4073. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 17:50:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <dcmdnRS0a61FScffRVn-2A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105644

N9OGL wrote:
> I could
> careless what you think,

You *could* care less? That means you must care some if you *could* care less. I think the phrase you were searching for is, "I *couldn't* care less", meaning you don't care any. Geeessshh, you can't even get that correct.
Have you ever thought of becoming an adult?

4074. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 18:25:08 UTC
From: Tom Terrific <commander@suprmeradcmd.net>
Message-ID: <d3efcj$575$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"forkinthetoaster" <oinparten60@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:88b96$425a74a1$d1cc45da$17339@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> <channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
>> the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
>
> It also appears that the folks at QRZ.com have de-listed him
> too.............

Not being listed by QRZ is a compliment!

4075. Re: Toady lost it along time ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 18:37:26 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113244646.417276.45190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105637

K4YZ wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
> > Read this post by toad
> >
> > "no where does it give them the authority to regulate ham radio or
> > pirate radio. Both are still the juridiction of the FCC.
> > Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> > Langley Park Telecommunication"
> >
> > http://www.frn.net/vines/Forum2/HTML/001969.html
> >
> > You have to wonder why it uses the word "juridiction" in place of
> > jurisdiction, what's on his gay little mind?
> >
> > Or his profile. He doesn't consider himself human!
> >
> >
>
http://www.frn.net/scripts/john/vines/ubbmisc.cgi?action=getbio&UserName=N9OGL
> >
> > If this isn't gay then nothing is.
> >
> > http://216.176.81.228/n9ogl.htm
> >
> > You ever find yourself a little Japanese girl yet perv?
>
> >From his "profile":
>
> Born on July 5, 1968 in the small community of Taylorville, in
central
> Illinois. Went to Taylorville High School and graduated in 1987, Now
> attending Lincoln Land Community College.
>
> If I were one of the trustees at LLCC, I'd (A) hide my face in
> shame, considering that they can't seem to teach Todd how to write,
and
> (B) Sue him to stop mentioning them in his public correspondence.
>
> Been a licensed Amateur Radio operator since 1991 and began flying
> airplane in 2004.
>
> Well golly gee whillikers! Toady claims that all Amateur Radio
> licensees are demon seed...Guess he speaks from personal
> self-assessment, eh?

>
> And Todd...That's not an airplane. It's an ultralight.
>
Actually I working on getting my Sport's pilot license.

> Traveled around the world and the United States.
>
> Too bad he hasn't "traveled" to an Elementary School reading and
> writing class lately.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

4076. Re: Amber Alert/CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 18:43:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iesh103.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 105641

On Mon, 11 Apr 2005 10:34:51 -0400, Bert Craig wrote:

>Hey, has anybody ever noticed that when they issue an Amber Alert that the
>word 'amber' is also sent in Morse code?
>
>I was helping my wife with the clothes when a loud distinctive .- -- -... .
>..-. interrupted the normal voice audio. "Did you leave your radio on?" asked
>my wife. When I told her I'd copied 'amber,' we both realized that an Amber
>Alert message was scrolling across the bottom of the TV screen.

All Amber Alerts that I have heard "out here" are enclosed in a
"standard" EAS message, what we broadcast engineers call the "duck
fart".

Anyhow, I get mine (for whatever value they are) through the
California Emergency Digital Information Service (EDIS), both by
e-mail and (whenever I am in range) by over-the-air packet on a UHF
Broadcast Auxiliary circuit (my ham packet setup works just fine to
receive that), a no-cost non-commercial service of the California
Office of Emergency Services.

For those who want to subscribe to the e-mail version and get a
glut of status, test, and weather warning messages clogging your
e-mail every day, see: <http://www.incident.com/edismail.html>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

4077. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 18:54:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113245670.511243.5320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105640

First off you moron, I have nothing to do with those fake post on my blog. I have no way of tell if they were sent by you or anyone else. Secondly, unlike you I refuse to remove anything from my blog, your little buddies on QRZ might do shit like that by I don't. I could careless what you think, I believe in free speech and since it is "my" blog you have no say in the matter. As for the name calling, BOOHOO, I would really suggest that you look at some of your past post aimed at me, your the one to calling the kettle black. The way I see it you've said some abusive thing not only to me but other people on this newsgroup.

Todd N9OGL

4078. Re: Toady lost it along time ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 19:42:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113248577.829952.250540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105642

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > KC8GXW wrote:
> > > Read this post by toad
> > >
> > > "no where does it give them the authority to regulate ham radio
or
> > > pirate radio. Both are still the juridiction of the FCC.
> > > Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> > > Langley Park Telecommunication"
> > >
> > > http://www.frn.net/vines/Forum2/HTML/001969.html
> > >
> > > You have to wonder why it uses the word "juridiction" in place of
> > > jurisdiction, what's on his gay little mind?
> > >
> > > Or his profile. He doesn't consider himself human!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> http://www.frn.net/scripts/john/vines/ubbmisc.cgi?action=getbio&UserName=N9OGL
> > >
> > > If this isn't gay then nothing is.
> > >
> > > http://216.176.81.228/n9ogl.htm
> > >
> > > You ever find yourself a little Japanese girl yet perv?
> > >
> > >From his "profile":
> > >
> > > Born on July 5, 1968 in the small community of Taylorville, in
> central
> > > Illinois. Went to Taylorville High School and graduated in 1987,
Now
> > > attending Lincoln Land Community College.
> > >
> > > If I were one of the trustees at LLCC, I'd (A) hide my face in
> > > shame, considering that they can't seem to teach Todd how to write,
> and
> > > (B) Sue him to stop mentioning them in his public correspondence.
> > >
> > > Been a licensed Amateur Radio operator since 1991 and began flying
> > > airplane in 2004.

> >
> > Well golly gee whillikers! Toady claims that all Amateur Radio
> > licensees are demon seed...Guess he speaks from personal
> > self-assessment, eh?
> >
> > And Todd...That's not an airplane. It's an ultralight.
> >
Actually I'm working on getting my Sport's pilot license.
>
>
> > Traveled around the world and the United States.
> >
> > Too bad he hasn't "traveled" to an Elementary School reading
and
> > writing class lately.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

4079. Re: Goodbye Roger...Why You Lose ISP's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 20:48:14 UTC
From: Tom Terrific <commander@suprmeradcmd.net>
Message-ID: <d3enos$j90$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105645

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:e87017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> Essay graded by Microsoft Word and found to be almost at 7th grade
> grammar level. Punctuation errors are common.
>
> ===(begin analysis)===
> Lardass, you still have that cocksucking lisp.
>
> There are no Daleks under your command 'tard boy. They are fictional
> robots used in a television show! You need to get mental help again
> failure boy.
>
> You have been a failure in everything you have ever done, PERIOD!
>
> You are indeed "pale" you never venture forth outside except for your
> minimum wage "job," and to buy more of that pussy Bud Lite beer. A
> real man's beer would probably kill a big fat pussy like yourself.
>
> ===
> ERROR: Reflexive pronoun use. Replace "like yourself" with "like you."
> ===
>
> You need to seriously take a long hard look at yourself in a properly
> lit mirror in that roach infested hovel you call home and then take
> action. Lose weight, get mental help, stay off the computer for hours
> on end and face reality. You are 31 years of age, you deliver pizzas,
> you play with dolls, you get drunk and
>
> ===
> ERROR: Comma use. "you play with dolls, and you ..."
> ===
>
> spew your filth and delusions on here and usenet. Nobody, I repeat,
> nobody except for a couple of geeks like yourself can stand you. The
> best thing you could do is to slit your wrists while in that shitty
> vehicle of yours this summer, then if the police didn't find you right
> away, the Alabama summer heat would do it without you having to be
> cremated. You are a blathering asshole that is a boil in the crack of
> America's ass. You irritate us, end it now Porky, do it!
>
> ===
> ERROR: Comma use. "... irritate us, end it now Porky, do it!" First
> comma should be a period; second comma should be a semicolon.
> ===

>
> You need to either kill yourself or get help, that's it, do it!
>
> ===
> ERROR: Comma use. "... get help, that's it, do it!" First comma
> should be a period; second comma should be a semicolon.
> ===(end analysis)===
>
> Summary: Flesch-Kincaid Grade Level: 6.8

ROTFLMAO!

73,

Tom

4080. Re: Amber Alert/CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 21:15:48 UTC
From: Tom Terrific <commander@suprmeradcmd.net>
Message-ID: <d3epcj$mq7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105646

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.iesh103.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> All Amber Alerts that I have heard "out here" are enclosed in a
> "standard" EAS message, what we broadcast engineers call the "duck
> fart".
>
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Did you lay the fart?

73,

Tom

4081. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 21:52:02 UTC
From: BH <radiobillxx@!!gbronline.com>
Message-ID: <B9idne88z9vhkMbfRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>Tom Terrific wrote:
>>
>>>"forkinthetoaster" <oinparten60@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:88b96$425a74a1$d1cc45da$17339@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>><channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
>>>>>the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
>>>>
>>>>It also appears that the folks at QRZ.com have de-listed him
>>>>too..............
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Not being listed by QRZ is a compliment!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>How do you figure that?
>>
>
> I'm not listed..is that a compliment? :)

Now don't go getting personal Steve.

4082. Re: Guess The Government Isn't Paying Attention to Lennie...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 22:06:35 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113257195.356535.297650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105647

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > Because there was no "crappy little comment", Brian.
> > > > >
> > > > > It was.
> > > >
> > > > No, there wasn't.
> > >
> > > Yes, there was.
> >
> > No, there wasn't.
> >
> > > > > > > "Always at a deficit for information, aren't you, Brian?"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's not "crappy", Brian...It's the truth. That YOU
> > don't
> > > > > LIKE
> > > > > > > it is one thing...
> > > > >
> > > > > You don't like being called a liar. So stop lying.
> > > >
> > > > I'm not lying, Brian.
> > >
> > > Lie #10 this week?
> >
> > You haven't presented #1,

Steve, do a search on "Robeson" and "liar." You've presented all of
your lies under your own signature.

Brian, so how could this be #10? You
> and Todd need to see if you can carpool...Him for remedial English,
you
> for remedial math.

There's nothing wrong with my math.

> > > > > > If I cannot trust the information that the participant
> > provides,
> > > > > then
> > > > > > > what can I trust?
> > > > >
> > > > > Yeah...I guess when RRAP is the ONLY place you get your

> > > > > "information" from, then you're pretty much at loggerheads as
> to
> > > what
> > > > > you "know"...
> > > >
> > > > I know you're a liar.
> > >
> > > You SAY I'm a liar, but I've PROVED that you are.
> >
> > You've proven your self to be a liar this past week, and many many
> > times previously.
>
> Then why can't we get you to provide the cites? Where's the
proof
> of your assertions, Brian?

You lie. That's enough for me.

> > > > Looks like there are some empty spots in the schedule.
> > >
> > > Not from here.
> >
> > Did you fill them in?
>
> I can fill those scehdules with 6 people for 48 hours of 24h
> coverage, Brian...Why couldn't you?

Whoa!

After you posted "Always at a deficit for information, aren't you,
Brian?" you linked us to a website that showed that this was a four day
exercise. So why are you trying to make it into a two day exercise
again? More lies and misdirection?

And without working any one or several individual(s) a double shift,
and maintaining the requirement of three person shifts of qualified
communicators...

> > Especially if they have 6 people to cover a 48
> > > hour period that they are participating...
> >
> > Correction. Two teams of 3 people each.
>
> Two teams...Six people...Yeah...SIX is what I said, Brian.

So show me your 96 hour schedule.

> > > And you STILL have not shown me ONE instance where ARES has
> > failed
> > > > to respond to ANY incident when asked to do so.
> > >
> > > I've showed one instance where one volunteer is overextended. Are
> you

> > the only overextended volunteer in the ARS?
>
> I'm not overextended.
>
> And my managerial skills are such that no one working for me is
> either.

You indicate otherwise. Do you work in a M-F, 8-5 Emergency Room?

> > > > > My behaviour here has nothing to do with what happens in
> the
> > > > > cockpit of an aircraft, Brian.
> > > >
> > > > Then you admit to having multiple personalities?
> > >
> > > Nice stretch, Brian.
> >
> > Not a stretch. When ATC fumbles a phonetic or pressure atlitude,
do
> > you key the mic and keep repeating "LIAR, LIAR, LIAR?"
>
> They don't fumble.

They do. Unless your experience is so limited that you've yet to see
it.

> > That's the Steve Robeson in RRAP. Introduce us to the Steve
Robeson,
> > CAP Ace sometime.
>
> What's a "CAP Ace", Brian? The Civil Air Patrol doesn't have
> "aces", and I never flew "combat air patrols" in the Armed Forces.

You never flew combat air patrols for anyone, but you've got flight
suits and medals...

> > > What lie? And yes it IS what YOU said, Brian. Word for
word.
> > > Not a period or comma was dropped.
> >
> > Lie #11?
>
> Where's #1?

10 back. Last week.

And you said that I had a math problem. Hi!

> > > > > And you have made the assumption that they are working
12
> > hour
> > > > > > shifts. Your re-arrangement still does not substantiate your
> > other

> > > > > claims.
> > > >
> > > > Re-arrangement??? The 12 hour shift scenario is a Best Case
> > > Scenario.
> > > > If the shifts were 8 hours, the volunteer deficits would be
even
> > > > greater.
> > >
> > > "Best case" for whom?
> >
> > For covering all of the shifts.
>
> Uh huh.

Remember the customer? The customer that is never, ever, ever denied?

You gotta cover all the shifts with the right number of qualified ops.

> > Best case ONLY to support YOUR contention of
> > > a volunteer deficit >>IF<< and >>ONLY IF<< you assume that each
> > > volunteer only participates once in an exercise.
> >
> > Phil acknowledged that he is participating twice. You acknowledged
> > that it is a 96 hour exercise. I provided a best case scenario
where
> > only two 12 hour shifts in the four day period had a deficit of one
> > volunteer each. Thems the facts.
>
> They are the "facts" only if all three team members are at the
> station and on the air 100% of the time.
>
> Anyone who ahs really done any of these knows that's NOT the
> facts, Brian...Like you...

Three qualified operators per team. Them's the facts.

> > > > > And Phil states they "work in teams of three".
> > > > >
> > > > > He does not state that all three are "on duty" at all
> times.
> > > > I've
> > > > > never been on ANY mission or exercise, USMC, CAP, Red Cross,
> > ARES,
> > > > > etc,
> > > > > where ALL operators were "on duty" at one time.
> > > >
> > > > So you were in the "Day Weanie" Marine Corps? Weather and ATC
> are
> > > > 24/7. So is Comms. But you, the mighty day weanie marine
> warrior
> > > know
> > > > otherwise. Hi, hi!

> > >
> > > "Day Weanie"..?!?! You're stretching again, Brian.
> >
> > Support guys like you.
> >
> > People who are not in operations, don't have to keep it going 24/7.
>
>
BBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !!
>
> One more example of "Not A Clue Burke" hanging his bacon out to
> dry!

Explain. And you've yet to show where the customer is not shorted in
this 96hr scenario.

> > > > OK, 8 hour shifts:
> > > >
> > > > Let's put Phil on Team A, and Phil is pulling two shifts.
> > > >
> > > > Day 1, Team A: Day
> > > > Team B: Swing
> > > > Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
> > > >
> > > > Day 2, Team A: Day
> > > > Team B: Swing
> > > > Team nothing: Mid (deficit of 3)
> > > >
> > > > Day 3, Team A: Day (no Phil, deficit of 1)
> > > > Team B: Swing
> > > > Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
> > > >
> > > > Day 4, Team A: Day (no Phil, deficit of 1)
> > > > Team B: Swing
> > > > Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
> > > >
> > > > Steve, have it your way. It makes the situation worse.
> > >
> > > No, it doesn't. You can still cover all operating periods
> with
> > > staff. I doubt you were ever a supervisor in the USAF, Brain,
from
> > > your "all hands on duty now" contention.
> >
> > Hi! Hi, hi, hi!!!
> >
> > Were you a reservist?
>
> Nope. Active Duty.

You think like a day weanie.

> > > > > Sorry, Brian...Your contentions are still grasping for
> > straws.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nice try, though.
> > > > >
> > > > > Idiot. Would you like me to show you what 6 or 4 hour shifts
> look
> > > > > like???
> > > >
> > > Brian, six people for a 48 hour period is thin, but
adequate.
> >
> > Inadequate for the 96 hour period that you specified, and the "team
> of
> > 3" requirement that Phil specified.
> >
> > Them's the facts.
>
> No, they're not.

Then state all of the conditions. Then show how the customer is not
shorted.

> > > Sorry you don't agree, but then it appears you don't have the
> > > supervisory skills to make it work.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > The supervisor fills in when there are a lack of personnel. But
you
> > didn't see much of that in the USMC, didja, ya Day-Weanie Marine.
>
> "Day-Weanie Marine"...?!?! Really getting P/O'ed that you keep
> getting your nose rubbed in your own inadequacies, aren't you?

You're the only inedaquate equation in this. You have yet to show how
to cover the 96 hour exercise, with the available personnel, while
maintaining the required number of qualified operators per team.

> > Look, it's a new week and I'm in a good mood. Just got back from a
> > great scout camping trip. Wx was perfect, invigorating hike,
gourmet
> > chicken in a foil pack dinner last night, must not have snored too
> much
> > last night because my throat isn't sore.
>
> Glad to hear you had a nice day.

The best.

> > Just admit to your lies, say you're sorry, and begin this week with
a
> > fresh start. The truth will set you free.
>
> Take your own advice, Brian.

I do. Every day. I start off by not lying.

> Start off by TRYING to cite my alleged 11 lies. Then you can
> provide us with some evidence to support your "ARES won't respond"
> assertion.

You need to requote that.

> Then move on to your "unlicensed devices" issue.

You need to requote that.

We're
> still waiting for documentation of the legality of your Somalia
> operation

Why? You are not in my Somalia log and you will not receive a QSL
card. You are not the Somalia minister of communications. You are not
the US Air Foce on site commander. You are not the United Nations.
You are not the ARRL.

and some evidence of your claims of having worked the
> equilvilent of DXCC from two or three other DX locations.
>
> You're a chronic liar, Brian. It really is THAT simple.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You make claims, Steve. You do it often and loudly. But your claims
aren't worth spit because they are lies.

Now show me your Top-Off 96 hour duty schedule where all shifts are
covered with the proper number of qualified radio operators, and
without abusing the volunteers. You claim you could, so do it.

Best of Luck.

4083. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 22:25:35 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113258335.314281.159230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105648

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Hey Brian...Where's your substantiation to your "Tech's
> being
> > > > > chased away" claim...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > I own no Tech's.
> > >
> > > Obviously.
> > >
> > > You also do not own a sense of pride or appreciation for the
> > worth
> > > of character. Otherwise you might try to own up to some of your
> > > assertions with some substantiation of their validity.
> > >
> > > > Go ask the anon e-mailer where all the Techs have gone.
> > > >
> > > > > Shall we just add that to your growing list of "Stupid
> > Things
> > > > > Brian P Burke Has Said On RRAP But Can't Prove" list...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio." Hi, hi!
> > >
> > > Back to the out-of-context, "this is all I have to throw at
> him"
> > > stuff, eh, Brian...???
> > >
> > > That's over a year old now...Yet YOU, on the other hand,
> > continue
> > > to add to your volumes of dumb assertions.
> > >
> > > Still no answers, eh, Brian...???
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > You claim that people's opinions are wrong. Keep knocking yourself
> > out.
>

> No...

Yes, you do. It's all archived.

I claim that your assertion that ARES is "dependent" on aging
> men who can't/won't respond due to age/infirmity or are over
obligated
> is misleading and untrue.

How is my opinion wrong?

> I've asked you SEVERAL TIMES now to show me JUST ONE example of
> some situation where ARES was asked to respond to a situation but
> couldn't due to any of the criteria YOU cited.

Phil provided his situation.

> YOU claimed that "unlicensed devices play a major role" in
> "emergency comms". I've asked your to substantiate THAT claim with
some
> sort of valid reference, but you;ve balked at that one, too.

Practically all first responders use cell phones. Cell phones are
often how a person is initially notified that they are in an emergency
period. The military relies on them quite heavily.

> You claim, I ask you to validate the claim. You refuse. No
facts
> = invalid claim.

If a fact isn't presented then the opinion is wrong? You da man!

> It really is THAT simple.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It really is quite simple to see that you claim people's opinions are
wrong. Then you start the "liar, liar" routine. It's all archived.
Again and again.

4084. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 22:44:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113259458.255485.252530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105649

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Wed, Apr 6 2005 8:38 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> > The original intent of this newsgroup was to discuss
> >> > amateur radio policy.
> >>
> >> Whooooooooa! There's a major rhetoric change on the part of
> >>Lennie the Lame!
> >>
> >How much more uninformed could a "person" be? Len has been saying
> this
> >for years.
>
> Quite true. However, Robeson is in the constant
> aggravated antagonistic mode and therefore makes
> up lies about what other people have written.

The idiot actually claimed that I was the antagonist for NOT giving
Mike grief over his postponing the balloon shot.

> >> Up until recently this forum been solely about the "Morse Code
> >>Test Debate"...Or so he's claimed...
> >>
> >He's said its been about bad celestial calculations and seven
> >completely undocumentated hostile actions.
> >
> >It's supposed to be about Morse Code.
>
> This newsgroup was originally opened to take the morse
> code test discussion/argument out of rec.radio.amateur.misc
> to revlieve the crowding there. According to Paul's
> often-stated welcome message text, it is concerned with
> all topics of amateur radio POLICY.
>
> Unfortunately, that's not the de facto situation with
> message topics...which have ranged widely from the
> (usual) bragging and self-glorification of individuals
> to U.S. national politics and political figures to
> choo-choo trains and other items in-between.

Where's Paul when you need him? He and his group did some fine rescue
work getting the K0AIR call out of the hands of micreants like K4CAP.

> >> Finally get tired of getting your head bashed in over and
over
> >> with FACTS to the contrary, Oh Spiteful One...?!?!
> >
> >You're the one with the bloody scalp. Nurse, heal thyself.
>
> In order to bandage himself, he has to look into a
> mirror. That's difficult if he can't see his
> reflection. :-)

I recall a guy in the movies who couldn't see his reflection in the
mirror. He was the living dead.

> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >>
> >> Putz.
> >
> >Penis envy or just penis inuendo and infatuation?
>
> It's just ENVY on Robeson's part because he's never
> been IN electronics engineering in any way (a short-
> term job as a purchasing agent doesn't qualify for
> electronics engineering). He never got into military
> communications handling despite having that vaunted
> amateur radio license before first joining. He never
> got to be any kind of military pilot despite having
> a private pilot's ticket before joining. [we still
> don't know the When and Where of those claimed "seven
> hostile actions"...]

No doubt that inadequacy is at the root of his hatred for you.

> He bitterly resents ANYONE talking against him, as
> witness this latest barrage of antagonistic postings
> against others. He holds a terrible grudge on others
> from a long time ago (Heil suffers from the same
> malady) and doesn't mind venting in here, courtesy
> be damned (on his part).

That famous DXer that works out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters? I guess
it takes all kinds.

> Not being Jewish or even claimed closeness with any
> Yiddisher, he picks up what he thinks is a cutesy
> "cuss word" (putz)

(penis)

> and tries to use it as a semi-
> perjorative. So few know the meaning of that Yiddish
> expression (including himself), that he thinks he can
> get away with it.

Welp, The Amateur Formerly Known As Reverend Jim doesn't seem to mind.

> What is curious is that Robeson cries/whines/bitches

and moans

> about "civility" and use of "nasty" words, yet uses
> them himself and is most uncivil in his remarks. See
> the "complaint" he alleges he made to Google about
> Todd (who has received far too many nastygrams for a
> non-amateur-policy subject).

Steve has single handedly given thes rra.misc idiots a home on rrap.
Thank you Steve.

> Robeson "shoots from the lip" about other things far
> too often.

Shoots his mouth off, too. And you know what happens to people who
shoot their mouth off.

The old "MARS >>IS<< ham radio" subject was
> (perhaps) the prime one. Now we have the total confusion
> between "Peter Jennings" the ABC TV news anchor and another
> of the same name who is an amateur radio licensee...all
> couched in some sort of emotional "message of support"
> for a cancer victim.

Bleeding heart?

> Robeson often (far too often) DEMANDS "cites" for someone
> stating anything against him which was patently obvious
> to other readers of this newsgroup.

I just learned from him that unless an opinion is fully documented with
facts, that it is a lie.

He fakes "outrage"
> that others would do such a thing to HIM! :-) On the
> other hand, as the compleat hypocrite, he tells rather
> bad, unreferencible LIES about others.

I started counting his new lies last week. He absolutely hates it.

Not a problem to
> Robeson, but a problem to others since they don't care
> that much about Robeson's "outrage." That "outrage" is
> NOT a part of amateur radio policy and, as a result,
> has helped (greatly) to turn this newsgroup into a petty
> internecine personalily warfare blog.

Only Robeson maters.

> There's NO real impetus for anyone to discuss amateur
> radio policy in here...EXCEPT that everything should be
> concerned with an absolute adherence to the Status Quo.

Perhaps "thier" pensions should go status quo as well as the ARS. No annual cost of living raises.

> Anyone expressing any other viewpoint is ganged-up on,
> shouted down, and denigrated...for the simple reason of
> disagreeing with the cherished Status Quo. Ergo, there's
> no possible room in here to discuss issues. There's no
> hope of discussing any pros or cons of the morse code
> test since the Status Quo maintains an absolute "necessity"
> to forever keep the code test. All the Status Quists took
> that test, therefore all others MUST do so. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person

Oooh! You gonna get Steve all worked up over that last comment.

4085. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 22:48:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113259694.585515.238150@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105650

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > [we still
> > don't know the When and Where of those claimed "seven
> > hostile actions"...]
>
> Only because I know it chaps your hide that you can't swish your
> swagger stick around demanding to know and not get what you want.

That's just your excuse. It is common belief on RRAP that there was no
combat where you are concerned.

4086. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 22:51:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113259886.980351.82600@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105651

KC8GXW wrote:
>
> Where's the career Air Force sergeant when you need him? You still
alive
> Larry? I hope none of Toads toucans got him!

Larry the "Human Resources" student probably single-handedly killed a
career in HR due to the comments he made and archived on RRAP.

4087. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 23:42:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411194259.751$F7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 105652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

```
Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> Tom Terrific wrote:
> > "forkinthetoaster" <oinparten60@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:88b96$425a74a1$d1cc45da$17339@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >
> >><channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
> >>news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>>K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
> >>>the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
> >>
> >>It also appears that the folks at QRZ.com have de-listed him
> >>too..............
> >
> >
> >
> > Not being listed by QRZ is a compliment!
> >
> >
> >
> How do you figure that?
>
I'm not listed..is that a compliment? :)
```

4088. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-11 23:52:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411195258.505$sO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 105654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

BH <radiobillxx@!!gbronline.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> >
> >>Tom Terrific wrote:
> >>
> >>>"forkinthetoaster" <oinparten60@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:88b96$425a74a1$d1cc45da$17339@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>><channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
> >>>>news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue
> >>>>>fighting the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
> >>>>
> >>>>It also appears that the folks at QRZ.com have de-listed him
> >>>>too.............
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Not being listed by QRZ is a compliment!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>How do you figure that?
> >>
> >
> > I'm not listed..is that a compliment? :)
>
> Now don't go getting personal Steve.
>
Never. I still remember my CB call from the late 60's. :)

4089. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 00:10:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113264656.535645.131480@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105655

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> I claim that your assertion that ARES is "dependent" on aging
> > men who can't/won't respond due to age/infirmity or are over
> obligated
> > is misleading and untrue.
>
> How is my opinion wrong?

Becasue there's not been ONE SINGLE INSTANCE when ARES or RACES
has EVER refused or been unable to fulfill it's promised duties for any
reason YOU have suggested...Nor has there ever been ANY reason they've
refused, period.

> > I've asked you SEVERAL TIMES now to show me JUST ONE example
> of
> > some situation where ARES was asked to respond to a situation but
> > couldn't due to any of the criteria YOU cited.
>
> Phil provided his situation.

Phil's situation has been covered. HE provided that.

> > YOU claimed that "unlicensed devices play a major role" in
> > "emergency comms". I've asked your to substantiate THAT claim with
> some
> > sort of valid reference, but you;ve balked at that one, too.
>
> Practically all first responders use cell phones. Cell phones are
> often how a person is initially notified that they are in an
> emergency
> period. The military relies on them quite heavily.

Cellphones are NOT unlicensed devices. YOU do not have to have a
licnese for it, but the entire cellphone net operates under various FCC
licenses. See "Common Carrier".

Also, when you first made your assertion, I asked you if you meant
Part 15, Part 95, etc, and you said "Yes, of course". So...Still
waiting.

> > You claim, I ask you to validate the claim. You refuse. No
> > facts
> > = invalid claim.
>
> If a fact isn't presented then the opinion is wrong? You da man!

"I believe that ARES will not be able to respond to future
emergencies due to the greying demographic" is an opinion.

"ARES is dependent upon graying old men who can't respond to
emergencies" is an assertion.

> > It really is THAT simple.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> It really is quite simple to see that you claim people's opinions are
> wrong. Then you start the "liar, liar" routine. It's all archived.
> Again and again.

And you still have not come completely clean.

You made "statements of fact" that were nothing BUT opinion.

You continue to refuse to validate your assertions unless you are
NOW stating that all they were WAS opinion, and nothing BUT opinion.

However it's taken you MONTHS to get out from under that rock,
which leads me to believe that this is your only perceived avenuse of
escape from your own silly mistakes and unsubstantiated rantings.

Steve, K4YZ

4090. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 00:24:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113265458.280599.187450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105656

bb wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Unfortunately, that's not the de facto situation with
> > message topics...which have ranged widely from the
> > (usual) bragging and self-glorification of individuals
> > to U.S. national politics and political figures to
> > choo-choo trains and other items in-between.
>
> Where's Paul when you need him? He and his group did some fine
rescue
> work getting the K0AIR call out of the hands of micreants like K4CAP.

The most recent holder of K4CAP never held K0AIR. So one has to
wonder what your point is, Brian...Not that there's ever any
meaningingful point to ANY of it...

> > It's just ENVY on Robeson's part because he's never
> > been IN electronics engineering in any way (a short-
> > term job as a purchasing agent doesn't qualify for
> > electronics engineering). He never got into military
> > communications handling despite having that vaunted
> > amateur radio license before first joining. He never
> > got to be any kind of military pilot despite having
> > a private pilot's ticket before joining. [we still
> > don't know the When and Where of those claimed "seven
> > hostile actions"...]
>
> No doubt that inadequacy is at the root of his hatred for you.

You continue to insist that I ahte Lennie. I don't. I
understand him better than he accepts tht I do, and therefore make sure
that OTHERS understand him too.

Just like I do you, Brian.

> > and tries to use it as a semi-
> > perjorative. So few know the meaning of that Yiddish
> > expression (including himself), that he thinks he can
> > get away with it.
>
> Welp, The Amateur Formerly Known As Reverend Jim doesn't seem to
mind.

Wondering who THAT is becasue there's never been an Amateur KNOWN
as "Reverend Jim" That I am aware of, other than in Lennie's

imagination.

> > What is curious is that Robeson cries/whines/bitches
>
> and moans
>
> > about "civility" and use of "nasty" words, yet uses
> > them himself and is most uncivil in his remarks. See
> > the "complaint" he alleges he made to Google about
> > Todd (who has received far too many nastygrams for a
> > non-amateur-policy subject).
>
> Steve has single handedly given thes rra.misc idiots a home on rrap.
> Thank you Steve.

They'll soon move on and you and Lennie will have it all back to
yourselves...And it wasn't my doings, Brian...It was Toiddie's
cross-posted rantings, not me, that brought them here.

Please try to keep your "facts" (snickersnicker)straight.

> He fakes "outrage"
> > that others would do such a thing to HIM! :-) On the
> > other hand, as the compleat hypocrite, he tells rather
> > bad, unreferencible LIES about others.
>
> I started counting his new lies last week. He absolutely hates it.

I "absolutely hate" that you further humilate yourself counting
nothing, Brian. You have never substantiated the first alleged lie.

Soooooo.....Zero is still zero....

> > retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person
>
> Oooh! You gonna get Steve all worked up over that last comment.

Why? He (Lennie) still has yet to provide us with so much as one
professional paper with his name on it. So Lennie's claim to having
been a "professional engineer" is vaporware.

Steve, K4YZ

4091. K1MAN Still An Asshole!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 00:43:23 UTC
From: Baxter Buster <raybouchard101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1113266603.638442.114730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105657

I was listening to 3890 tonite when K1MAN was blasted off the air by music and carriers. This should happen more often. Ever since he was fired from 95.3 FM in Augusta he's been keeping a low profile, but he's still a QRMing scumbag. I guess he thinks if lays low the FCC will forget about him. NOT! Good luck in the renewal game this October K1MAN, you flaming ass-munch. You better pray for a miracle if you plan to keep your license!!

4092. N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 00:55:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 105658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
> candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
>
Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your children,
oh indigent one?

N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
SWANSON appointed as counsel.
Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
Sue Swanson
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
ADAIR present in court.
Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
of probation.
Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
agreement.
Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is

further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees associated with being granted probation. Payment of financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution, supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel fees.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
SENTENCE C-184-502
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
terminated unsuccesfully
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

4093. Re: K1MAN Still An Asshole!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 00:57:26 UTC
From: Roger Lee <NoSpam@Glendale.co>
Message-ID: <d3f6c8fes0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105659

"Baxter Buster" <raybouchard101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1113266603.638442.114730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
I was listening to 3890 tonite when K1MAN was blasted off the air by
music and carriers. This should happen more often. Ever since he was
fired from 95.3 FM in Augusta he's been keeping a low profile, but he's
still a QRMing scumbag. I guess he thinks if lays low the FCC will
forget about him. NOT! Good luck in the renewal game this October
K1MAN, you flaming ass-munch. You better pray for a miracle if you plan
to keep your license!!

Wow! That pretty much says it all.

4094. Circular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 01:18:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411211800.089$Bg_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 105660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

That would make a real nice flyer.
>
>
> N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
> > candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
> >
> Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your
> children, oh indigent one?
>
> N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
> present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
> SWANSON appointed as counsel.
> Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
> the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
> Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
> Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
> Sue Swanson
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
> RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
> 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
> Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
> ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
> present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
> ADAIR present in court.
> Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
> having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
> of probation.
> Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
> of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
> 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
> Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
> Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding

> agreement.
> Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
> any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
> further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
> associated with being granted probation. Payment of
> financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
> supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
> fees.
> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> SENTENCE C-184-502
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> terminated unsuccesfully
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

4095. k4yz FYI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 01:25:04 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <57Sdnb5SEeH3vsbfRVn-gQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105661

juicy@melontraffickers.com is posting about you on rec.radio.amateur.misc

4096. Another BrainRant Laid To Rest.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 01:30:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113269449.473789.44730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105662

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > Because there was no "crappy little comment", Brian.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It was.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No, there wasn't.
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, there was.
> > >
> > > No, there wasn't.
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > "Always at a deficit for information, aren't you, Brian?"
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > That's not "crappy", Brian...It's the truth. That YOU
> > don't
> > > > > > > > LIKE
> > > > > > > > > > it is one thing...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You don't like being called a liar. So stop lying.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'm not lying, Brian.
> > > > >
> > > > > Lie #10 this week?
> > >
> > > You haven't presented #1,
> >
> > Steve, do a search on "Robeson" and "liar." You've presented all of
> > your lies under your own signature.

Vaporware, Brian. Vaporware.

You claim there are lies. I've been asking you to substantiate
the claim. You have not. You furhter exacerbate your own situation by
making even MORE assertions without corroborating facts. Oh well.

> Brian, so how could this be #10? You
> > and Todd need to see if you can carpool...Him for remedial English,
> > you
> > > for remedial math.
> >
> > There's nothing wrong with my math.

Sure there is. In that line you claim there's "10" lies. You've
yet to substantiate "1".

You can't raise a building without a foundation. And you can't
get to "10" without having started at "1".

> > > > > > > If I cannot trust the information that the participant
> > > provides,
> > > > > then
> > > > > > > what can I trust?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yeah...I guess when RRAP is the ONLY place you get
your
> > > > > > "information" from, then you're pretty much at loggerheads
as
> > to
> > > > > what
> > > > > > > you "know"...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I know you're a liar.
> > > > >
> > > > You SAY I'm a liar, but I've PROVED that you are.
> > >
> > > You've proven your self to be a liar this past week, and many
many
> > > times previously.
> >
> > Then why can't we get you to provide the cites? Where's the
> proof
> > of your assertions, Brian?
>
> You lie. That's enough for me.

You say I've lied.

I've repeatedly asked you to substantiate that claim.

You never have.

That would put the onus of liar upon you, Brian. (Not that it
ever LEFT you...)

Sorry.

> > > > > Looks like there are some empty spots in the schedule.
> > > >
> > > > Not from here.
> > >
> > > Did you fill them in?
> >
> > I can fill those scehdules with 6 people for 48 hours of 24h
> > coverage, Brian...Why couldn't you?
>
> Whoa!

>
> After you posted "Always at a deficit for information, aren't you,
> Brian?" you linked us to a website that showed that this was a four
day
> exercise. So why are you trying to make it into a two day exercise
> again? More lies and misdirection?

Nope....I can still fill even a 96 hour assignment with six
operators for four days.

YOU keep saying only two. That's even better.

> And without working any one or several individual(s) a double shift,
> and maintaining the requirement of three person shifts of qualified
> communicators...

No all communicators need to be at the mic for the whole 24hours.

> > > Especially if they have 6 people to cover a 48
> > > > hour period that they are participating...
> > >
> > > Correction. Two teams of 3 people each.
> >
> > Two teams...Six people...Yeah...SIX is what I said, Brian.
>
> So show me your 96 hour schedule.

Day one. Team one. Operator 1A comes on duty at 0600 until 1600.
Operator 1B comes on duty at 1000 until 2000.
Operator 1C comes on at 2000 and maintains
watch until 0600

Day Two, Team two. Operator 2A comes on duty at 0600 until 1600

Etc etc etc, with team one on again on day three, and team two on
again for day four. Six people of two teams covering 96 hours. Hours
least likely to have heavy traffic flows were covered with minimal
staffing. The bulk of the day had two operators at the mic with the
third available if needed.

100% coverage of the required hours. Two teams of three operators
each.

Done.

> > > > And you STILL have not shown me ONE instance where ARES
has
> > > failed
> > > > to respond to ANY incident when asked to do so.
> > >
> > > I've showed one instance where one volunteer is overextended.
Are
> > you
> > > the only overextended volunteer in the ARS?

> >
> > I'm not overextended.
> >
> > And my managerial skills are such that no one working for me is
> > either.
>
> You indicate otherwise. Do you work in a M-F, 8-5 Emergency Room?

And if I do? It still does not mean that you can't cover a 96
hour exercise with only six people.

> > > > > > My behaviour here has nothing to do with what happens
in
> > the
> > > > > > > cockpit of an aircraft, Brian.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Then you admit to having multiple personalities?
> > > > >
> > > > > Nice stretch, Brian.
> > >
> > > Not a stretch. When ATC fumbles a phonetic or pressure atlitude,
> do
> > > you key the mic and keep repeating "LIAR, LIAR, LIAR?"
> >
> > They don't fumble.
>
> They do. Unless your experience is so limited that you've yet to see
> it.

My experience is from 1974 when I was first licensed as an
aviator. I've flown everywhere in the United States except North
Central, Alaska and Hawaii. I have never once had an ATC error.

An ATC controller in Memphis in particular saved my bacon from an
uncontrolled, pop-up target, on January 21st, 1975. Split second,
no-BS, "do this now" instructions saved me and two other Marines from a
guy in a Skymaster who had just taken off from an uncontrolled field
and could not see me since he was climbing into the sun and coming up
from behind me.

I have NO complaints with the ATC system!

> > > That's the Steve Robeson in RRAP. Introduce us to the Steve
> Robeson,
> > > CAP Ace sometime.
> >
> > What's a "CAP Ace", Brian? The Civil Air Patrol doesn't have
> > "aces", and I never flew "combat air patrols" in the Armed Forces.
>
> You never flew combat air patrols for anyone, but you've got flight
> suits and medals...

Yes I do. The flight suit is PPE...Personal Protective Equipment
as defined by OSHA.

None of any medals I have ever been awarded by either the United
States Marine Corps or the CAP are on that flight suit.

So you STILL have yet to answer the question, Brian....WHAT, in
your opinion, is a "CAP Ace".

Is this yet ANOTHER assertion that will take us a year to get you
to finally admit was an unsubstantiated opinion?

> > > > What lie? And yes it IS what YOU said, Brian. Word for
> word.
> > > > Not a period or comma was dropped.
> > >
> > > Lie #11?
> >
> > Where's #1?
>
> 10 back. Last week.
>
> And you said that I had a math problem. Hi!

You do.

You also have a "fact" problem.

And a reading comprehension problem.

Not to mention the already existing honesty and character issues.

This continuing "dodge" to cite the alleged "lie" is yet more
proof of MY assertion that you are without facts or honesty. You are
being intentionally deceitful. Same as lying.

> > > > > > And you have made the assumption that they are working
> 12
> > > hour
> > > > > > shifts. Your re-arrangement still does not substantiate
your
> > > other
> > > > > > claims.
> > > > >
> > > > > Re-arrangement??? The 12 hour shift scenario is a Best Case
> > > > Scenario.
> > > > > If the shifts were 8 hours, the volunteer deficits would be
> even
> > > > > greater.
> > > >
> > > > "Best case" for whom?
> > >
> > > For covering all of the shifts.
> >

> > Uh huh.
>
> Remember the customer? The customer that is never, ever, ever
denied?

YOU made the assertion that there is a failure of ARES to respond.

YOU have YET to provide even ONE example where ARES or RACES has
failed to respond for any of the criteria you have suggested...or for
ANY criteria for that matter.

I have been with ARES teams for wildfires in Southern California,
missing children searches in Georgia, public service support in
Pennsylvania and cross country bike races in Tennessee.

> You gotta cover all the shifts with the right number of qualified
ops.

I did.

> > Anyone who has really done any of these knows that's NOT the
> > facts, Brian...Like you...
>
> Three qualified operators per team. Them's the facts.

Yep. Three. See above. You may not like it, but I've "been
there, done that", and it works. Sorry if you don't agree.

By the way, from what PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE in emergency services
are YOU coming, Brian? Just what have YOU done for Amateur Radio?
MARS? CAP? The VFW Ladies Auxiliary?

> > One more example of "Not A Clue Burke" hanging his bacon out to
> > dry!
>
> Explain. And you've yet to show where the customer is not shorted in
> this 96hr scenario.

See above.

> > > > > OK, 8 hour shifts:
> > > > >
> > > > > Let's put Phil on Team A, and Phil is pulling two shifts.
> > > > >
> > > > > Day 1, Team A: Day
> > > > > Team B: Swing
> > > > > Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
> > > > >
> > > > > Day 2, Team A: Day
> > > > > Team B: Swing
> > > > > Team nothing: Mid (deficit of 3)
> > > > >
> > > > > Day 3, Team A: Day (no Phil, deficit of 1)
> > > > > Team B: Swing

> > > > > Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
> > > > >
> > > > > Day 4, Team A: Day (no Phil, deficit of 1)
> > > > > Team B: Swing
> > > > > Team C: Mid (deficit of 3)
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, have it your way. It makes the situation worse.
> > > >
> > > > No, it doesn't. You can still cover all operating periods
> > with
> > > > staff. I doubt you were ever a supervisor in the USAF, Brain,
> from
> > > > your "all hands on duty now" contention.
> > >
> > > Hi! Hi, hi, hi!!!
> > >
> > > Were you a reservist?
> >
> > Nope. Active Duty.
>
> You think like a day weanie.

You don't think. At all. You're not capable of "fluid
management". Your planning and execution have to be at someone else's
direction, Brian. Ever hear of "TQM", Brian? It's USAF Core Value.

And I've asked you to define your "day weanie" comment. I say
it's just a Lenniesque diminutive without substantiation.

As usual.

> > > > > > Sorry, Brian...Your contentions are still grasping for
> > > straws.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Nice try, though.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Idiot. Would you like me to show you what 6 or 4 hour shifts
> > look
> > > > > > like???
> > > > >
> > > > Brian, six people for a 48 hour period is thin, but
> adequate.
> > >
> > > Inadequate for the 96 hour period that you specified, and the
"team
> > of
> > > 3" requirement that Phil specified.
> > >
> > > Them's the facts.
> >
> > No, they're not.

>
> Then state all of the conditions. Then show how the customer is not
> shorted.

Done.

> > > > Sorry you don't agree, but then it appears you don't have the
> > > > supervisory skills to make it work.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > The supervisor fills in when there are a lack of personnel. But
> you
> > > didn't see much of that in the USMC, didja, ya Day-Weanie Marine.
> >
> > "Day-Weanie Marine"...?!?! Really getting P/O'ed that you
keep
> > getting your nose rubbed in your own inadequacies, aren't you?
>
> You're the only inedaquate equation in this. You have yet to show
how
> to cover the 96 hour exercise, with the available personnel, while
> maintaining the required number of qualified operators per team.

Done. I thought if I kept nudging you to think a bit you'd pull
it out, but I was wrong. My bad.

> > > Look, it's a new week and I'm in a good mood. Just got back from
a
> > > great scout camping trip. Wx was perfect, invigorating hike,
> gourmet
> > > chicken in a foil pack dinner last night, must not have snored
too
> > much
> > > last night because my throat isn't sore.
> >
> > Glad to hear you had a nice day.
>
> The best.

Not QUITE the best. You've still got serious honesty and
character issues to overcome.

But we'll take you one day at a time.

> > > Just admit to your lies, say you're sorry, and begin this week
with
> a
> > > fresh start. The truth will set you free.
> >
> > Take your own advice, Brian.
>

> I do. Every day. I start off by not lying.

Then by denying that you have a problem with honesty is yet
ANOTHER of YOUR lies, Burke.

The kids are going to see this some day.

Why not make them proud by having the intestinal fortitude to
gut-up and admit your problem and move forward...???

> > Start off by TRYING to cite my alleged 11 lies. Then you can
> > provide us with some evidence to support your "ARES won't respond"
> > assertion.
>
> You need to requote that.

I don't need to requote anything, Brian.

YOU need to quit stalling and get your act together.

> > Then move on to your "unlicensed devices" issue.
>
> You need to requote that.

More stalling, Brian.

> We're
> > still waiting for documentation of the legality of your Somalia
> > operation
>
> Why? You are not in my Somalia log and you will not receive a QSL
> card. You are not the Somalia minister of communications. You are
not
> the US Air Foce on site commander. You are not the United Nations.
> You are not the ARRL.

Nope. I am none of those.

But I HAVE been the "recipient" of your blatant dishonesty in THIS
forum vis-a-vis your Somalia operations, and I KNOW that you acts were
not legal unless you can pony-up some facts that show that you DID have
valid authority to operate an Amateur Radio transmitter while in
Somalia.

As it stands, you were simply pirating. Toddie would be proud.

> and some evidence of your claims of having worked the
> > equilvilent of DXCC from two or three other DX locations.
> >
> > You're a chronic liar, Brian. It really is THAT simple.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You make claims, Steve. You do it often and loudly. But your claims

> aren't worth spit because they are lies.

No, they are not.

You continue to make unsubstantiated claims. You make those
claims in such manner, tone and repetitiveness as to state them as
fact. To do so over and over when no where there is no substance to
support it (and evidence to the contrary is prevelant) is a lie.
Period.

> Now show me your Top-Off 96 hour duty schedule where all shifts are
> covered with the proper number of qualified radio operators, and
> without abusing the volunteers. You claim you could, so do it.

Did it.

> Best of Luck.

No luck needed.

Steve, K4YZ

4097. Re: k4yz FYI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 01:40:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113270033.501885.209270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105663

KC8GXW wrote:
> juicy@melontraffickers.com is posting about you on
rec.radio.amateur.misc

Thanks, Jim. But what can you expect from an Anonymous Lying
Coward?

I owe you one!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4098. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 01:45:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8LF6e.108$913.1938505@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 105669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

You my fine feathered friend are a embarassment to society and humanity...
such an attack on anyone is so disgusting as to be vulgar--if you are the
new breed of ham, the future is hopeless...
Where are you people comming from????

John

--
I would like to point out, I do appreciate the "Been there--done that!"
posts. Indeed, now your observations, comments and discourse should be
filled with wisdom--I am listening!!!
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com...
> N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
>> candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
>>
> Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your children,
> oh indigent one?
>
>
> N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
> present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
> SWANSON appointed as counsel.
> Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
> the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
> Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
> Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
> Sue Swanson
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
> RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
> 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
> Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
> ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
> present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
> ADAIR present in court.

> Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
> having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
> of probation.
> Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
> of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
> 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
> Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
> Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
> agreement.
> Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
> any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
> further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
> associated with being granted probation. Payment of
> financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
> supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
> fees.
> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> SENTENCE C-184-502
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> terminated unsuccesfully
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

4099. Re: Toady lost it along time ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 01:54:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113270869.566743.240500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105664

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Well golly gee whillikers! Toady claims that all Amateur Radio
> > licensees are demon seed...Guess he speaks from personal
> > self-assessment, eh?
> >
> > And Todd...That's not an airplane. It's an ultralight.
> >
> Actually I working on getting my Sport's pilot license.

Congratulations. And it's not a "Sport's pilot license" That's
an aviator that is owned by an athletic program. You are training to
be a Sport Pilot. You won't be allowed to fly an aircraft that exceeds
599kg take-off weight (max gross) or be allowed to fly an aircraft with
a max flat-out cruise speed in excess of 120kts. There are other
significant limitations too.

But it's a start.

I've been a pilot since 1974.

If you can keep a civil tongue in your mouth when you do it, feel
free to ask any questions you may have. I certainly don't know all the
answers, but I know where a lot of them are.

If you haven't done it already, may I suggest you consider
membership in the Experimental Aircraft Association. Their new
periodical "Sport Pilot" is a wealth of info for folks in your
category...Not to mention that the new Sport Pilot category wouldn't
exist if not for them...they deserve a lot of thanks and support from
all GENAV pilots.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4100. Re: Another BrainRant Laid To Rest.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 02:06:57 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <53G6e.4483$IP1.1150@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105665

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1113269449.473789.44730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

:
: Yes I do. The flight suit is PPE...Personal Protective Equipment
: as defined by OSHA.
:

More commonly called a "poopy suit". When I saw your photo on QRZ.com it
suddenly became clear where that name came from.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4101. Re: Another BrainRant Laid To Rest.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 02:20:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113272459.362261.23050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105666

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1113269449.473789.44730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> :
> : Yes I do. The flight suit is PPE...Personal Protective
Equipment
> : as defined by OSHA.
> :
>
> More commonly called a "poopy suit". When I saw your photo on
QRZ.com it
> suddenly became clear where that name came from.

Says it is defined by OSHA. OSHA is heavy into military fashion wear.

> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire

It's as if you prayed for Steve to appear in a flight suit, and your
prayer was answered. He couldn't be more ridiculous unless he had
medals pinned to it, too.

4102. Re: Another BrainRant Laid To Rest.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 02:38:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113273488.494030.30870@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105667

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Because there was no "crappy little comment", Brian.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > It was.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > No, there wasn't.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Yes, there was.
> > >
> > No, there wasn't.
> > >
> > > > > > > > > "Always at a deficit for information, aren't you,
Brian?"
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > That's not "crappy", Brian...It's the truth. That
YOU
> > > don't
> > > > > > > LIKE
> > > > > > > > > it is one thing...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You don't like being called a liar. So stop lying.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I'm not lying, Brian.
> > > >
> > > Lie #10 this week?
> > >
> > > You haven't presented #1,
> >
> > Steve, do a search on "Robeson" and "liar." You've presented all
of
> > your lies under your own signature.
>
> Vaporware, Brian. Vaporware.
>
> You claim there are lies. I've been asking you to substantiate
> the claim. You have not. You furhter exacerbate your own situation
by
> making even MORE assertions without corroborating facts. Oh well.

Vaporware? Your lies are so obvious. Like claiming Len disapproved of
your lying thread 30 hours before he posted anything at all. Hi!

> > Brian, so how could this be #10? You
> > > and Todd need to see if you can carpool...Him for remedial
English,
> > you
> > > for remedial math.
> >
> > There's nothing wrong with my math.
>
> Sure there is. In that line you claim there's "10" lies.
You've
> yet to substantiate "1".
>
> You can't raise a building without a foundation. And you can't
> get to "10" without having started at "1".

Counting your lies isn't heavy construction. More like tinker toys.

> > > > > > > > If I cannot trust the information that the participant
> > > > provides,
> > > > > > then
> > > > > > > > what can I trust?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yeah...I guess when RRAP is the ONLY place you get
> your
> > > > > > > "information" from, then you're pretty much at
loggerheads
> as
> > > to
> > > > > what
> > > > > > > you "know"...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I know you're a liar.
> > > > >
> > > > > You SAY I'm a liar, but I've PROVED that you are.
> > > >
> > > > You've proven your self to be a liar this past week, and many
> many
> > > > times previously.
> > >
> > > Then why can't we get you to provide the cites? Where's the
> > proof
> > > of your assertions, Brian?
> >
> > You lie. That's enough for me.
>
> You say I've lied.
>
> I've repeatedly asked you to substantiate that claim.
>

> You never have.
>
> That would put the onus of liar upon you, Brian. (Not that it
> ever LEFT you...)
>
> Sorry.

Your lies are obvious and numerous. Anyone with just a few moments
could find a handful. I saw your lies the first time around; seeing
them again might spoil my day.

> > > > > > Looks like there are some empty spots in the schedule.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not from here.
> > > > >
> > > > > Did you fill them in?
> > >
> > > I can fill those scehdules with 6 people for 48 hours of 24h
> > > coverage, Brian...Why couldn't you?
> >
> > Whoa!
> >
> > After you posted "Always at a deficit for information, aren't you,
> > Brian?" you linked us to a website that showed that this was a four
> day
> > exercise. So why are you trying to make it into a two day exercise
> > again? More lies and misdirection?
>
> Nope....I can still fill even a 96 hour assignment with six
> operators for four days.

You can't without abusing the volunteers.

> YOU keep saying only two. That's even better.

Nope. 96 hours. Do you want me to do the math so you'll know how many
days that is?

> > And without working any one or several individual(s) a double
shift,
> > and maintaining the requirement of three person shifts of qualified
> > communicators...
>
> No all communicators need to be at the mic for the whole
24hours.

Teams of three, Steve, teams of three. Be sure there are three
qualified communicators on shift at all times.

> > > > Especially if they have 6 people to cover a 48
> > > > > hour period that they are participating...
> > > >
> > > > Correction. Two teams of 3 people each.

> > >
> > > Two teams...Six people...Yeah...SIX is what I said, Brian.
> >
> > So show me your 96 hour schedule.
>
> Day one. Team one. Operator 1A comes on duty at 0600 until
1600.
> Operator 1B comes on duty at 1000 until
2000.
> Operator 1C comes on at 2000 and maintains
> watch until 0600

Hi, hi, hi! You couldn't even get through the first six hours without blowing it. Then there are gaping holes in the rest of the very first day's schedule.

Phil said they were required to have three qualified operators per shift, and you couldn't follow the rules even this once. You just had to start changing the rules and winging it so that my opinion would be "WRONG!" and you could call me a "LIAR!" again. Poor Steve.

Some supervisor and schedule writer you are. You're fired.

Do you pull these stunts in the ER? Do you pull them in the cockpit? Did you pull them in the USMC?

4103. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 02:55:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113274532.682239.39410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105668

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > I claim that your assertion that ARES is "dependent" on aging
> > > men who can't/won't respond due to age/infirmity or are over
> > obligated
> > > is misleading and untrue.
> >
> > How is my opinion wrong?
>
> Becasue there's not been ONE SINGLE INSTANCE when ARES or RACES
> has EVER refused or been unable to fulfill it's promised duties for
any
> reason YOU have suggested...Nor has there ever been ANY reason
they've
> refused, period.

Kindly show where I've said they've refused anything, you idiot.

> > > I've asked you SEVERAL TIMES now to show me JUST ONE example
> of
> > > some situation where ARES was asked to respond to a situation but
> > > couldn't due to any of the criteria YOU cited.
> >
> > Phil provided his situation.
>
> Phil's situation has been covered. HE provided that.

He indicated that there was a deficit in the # of volunteers.

> > > YOU claimed that "unlicensed devices play a major role" in
> > > "emergency comms". I've asked your to substantiate THAT claim
with
> > some
> > > sort of valid reference, but you;ve balked at that one, too.
> >
> > Practically all first responders use cell phones. Cell phones are
> > often how a person is initially notified that they are in an
> emergency
> > period. The military relies on them quite heavily.
>
> Cellphones are NOT unlicensed devices. YOU do not have to have a
> licnese for it, but the entire cellphone net operates under various
FCC

> licenses. See "Common Carrier".
>
> Also, when you first made your assertion, I asked you if you
meant
> Part 15, Part 95, etc, and you said "Yes, of course". So...Still
> waiting.

Wait away.

> > > You claim, I ask you to validate the claim. You refuse. No
> > facts
> > > = invalid claim.
> >
> > If a fact isn't presented then the opinion is wrong? You da man!
>
> "I believe that ARES will not be able to respond to future
> emergencies due to the greying demographic" is an opinion.
>
> "ARES is dependent upon graying old men who can't respond to
> emergencies" is an assertion.

Are those your opinions?

> > > It really is THAT simple.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > It really is quite simple to see that you claim people's opinions
are
> > wrong. Then you start the "liar, liar" routine. It's all
archived.
> > Again and again.
>
> And you still have not come completely clean.
>
> You made "statements of fact" that were nothing BUT opinion.

You're the one jumping to conclusions, Steve. You expect everything
that anyone says to be fact verified by Saint Hiram.

> You continue to refuse to validate your assertions unless you
are
> NOW stating that all they were WAS opinion, and nothing BUT opinion.

And?

> However it's taken you MONTHS to get out from under that rock,
> which leads me to believe that this is your only perceived avenuse of
> escape from your own silly mistakes and unsubstantiated rantings.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, you're so full yourself. You make yourself into fool every time you start jumping up and down demanding proof and facts anytime something you don't like comes up. Too bad no one is taking you seriously, especially me. You make up stuff and keep making up stuff so you don't have to apologize for being such an ass.

sayonara, bb

4104. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 03:00:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411230053.351$hi@newsreader.com>
Source record: 105670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

You don't feed your kids either? Bad boy.

>
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> You my fine feathered friend are a embarassment to society and
> humanity... such an attack on anyone is so disgusting as to be vulgar--if
> you are the new breed of ham, the future is hopeless...
> Where are you people comming from????
>
> John
>
> --
> I would like to point out, I do appreciate the "Been there--done that!"
> posts. Indeed, now your observations, comments and discourse should be
> filled with wisdom--I am listening!!!
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com...
> > N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
> >> candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
> >>
> > > Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your
> > > children, oh indigent one?
> > >
> > >
> > > N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
> > > present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
> > > SWANSON appointed as counsel.
> > > Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
> > > the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
> > > Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
> > > Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
> > > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> > > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > > 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
> > > Sue Swanson
> > > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > > 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
> > > RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
> > > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > > 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
> > > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > > 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED

> > 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
> > Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
> > ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
> > present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
> > ADAIR present in court.
> > Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
> > having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
> > of probation.
> > Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
> > of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
> > 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
> > Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> > ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
> > Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
> > Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
> > agreement.
> > Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
> > any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
> > further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
> > associated with being granted probation. Payment of
> > financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
> > supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
> > fees.
> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> > SENTENCE C-184-502
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> > terminated unsuccesfully
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

4105. Re: Toady lost it along time ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 03:06:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113275163.666731.123870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105671

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Well golly gee whillikers! Toady claims that all Amateur
Radio
> > > licensees are demon seed...Guess he speaks from personal
> > > self-assessment, eh?
> > >
> > > And Todd...That's not an airplane. It's an ultralight.
> > >
> > Actually I working on getting my Sport's pilot license.
>
> Congratulations. And it's not a "Sport's pilot license" That's
> an aviator that is owned by an athletic program. You are training to
> be a Sport Pilot. You won't be allowed to fly an aircraft that
exceeds
> 599kg take-off weight (max gross) or be allowed to fly an aircraft
with
> a max flat-out cruise speed in excess of 120kts. There are other
> significant limitations too.
>
> But it's a start.
>
> I've been a pilot since 1974.
>
> If you can keep a civil tongue in your mouth when you do it,
feel
> free to ask any questions you may have. I certainly don't know all
the
> answers, but I know where a lot of them are.
>
> If you haven't done it already, may I suggest you consider
> membership in the Experimental Aircraft Association. Their new
> periodical "Sport Pilot" is a wealth of info for folks in your
> category...Not to mention that the new Sport Pilot category wouldn't
> exist if not for them...they deserve a lot of thanks and support from
> all GENAV pilots.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
Well I figured it would get my foot in the door, and it is upgradeable.
Todd N9OGL

4106. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 03:09:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113275379.614917.282250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105672

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > Unfortunately, that's not the de facto situation with
> > > message topics...which have ranged widely from the
> > > (usual) bragging and self-glorification of individuals
> > > to U.S. national politics and political figures to
> > > choo-choo trains and other items in-between.
> >
> > Where's Paul when you need him? He and his group did some fine
> rescue
> > work getting the K0AIR call out of the hands of micreants like
K4CAP.
>
> The most recent holder of K4CAP never held K0AIR. So one has to
> wonder what your point is, Brian...Not that there's ever any
> meaningingful point to ANY of it...

Callsign collectors.

> > > It's just ENVY on Robeson's part because he's never
> > > been IN electronics engineering in any way (a short-
> > > term job as a purchasing agent doesn't qualify for
> > > electronics engineering). He never got into military
> > > communications handling despite having that vaunted
> > > amateur radio license before first joining. He never
> > > got to be any kind of military pilot despite having
> > > a private pilot's ticket before joining. [we still
> > > don't know the When and Where of those claimed "seven
> > > hostile actions"...]
> >
> > No doubt that inadequacy is at the root of his hatred for you.
>
> You continue to insist that I ahte Lennie. I don't. I
> understand him better than he accepts tht I do, and therefore make
sure
> that OTHERS understand him too.
>
> Just like I do you, Brian.

You hate me too? OK, Mr Obvious.

> > > and tries to use it as a semi-
> > > perjorative. So few know the meaning of that Yiddish

> > > expression (including himself), that he thinks he can
> > > get away with it.
> >
> > Welp, The Amateur Formerly Known As Reverend Jim doesn't seem to
> mind.
>
> Wondering who THAT is becasue there's never been an Amateur
KNOWN
> as "Reverend Jim" That I am aware of, other than in Lennie's
> imagination.

You know, the guy that trims out "W5TIT" but leaves in "Putz."

> > > What is curious is that Robeson cries/whines/bitches
> >
> > and moans
> >
> > > about "civility" and use of "nasty" words, yet uses
> > > them himself and is most uncivil in his remarks. See
> > > the "complaint" he alleges he made to Google about
> > > Todd (who has received far too many nastygrams for a
> > > non-amateur-policy subject).
> >
> > Steve has single handedly given thes rra.misc idiots a home on
rrap.
> > Thank you Steve.
>
> They'll soon move on and you and Lennie will have it all back to
> yourselves...And it wasn't my doings, Brian...It was Toiddie's
> cross-posted rantings, not me, that brought them here.
>
> Please try to keep your "facts" (snickersnicker)straight.

Without you bringing him back for more with your incessant "demanding
of facts" etc, Todd would have dried up and blown away a long time ago.

> > He fakes "outrage"
> > > that others would do such a thing to HIM! :-) On the
> > > other hand, as the compleat hypocrite, he tells rather
> > > bad, unreferencible LIES about others.
> >
> > I started counting his new lies last week. He absolutely hates it.
>
> I "absolutely hate" that you further humilate yourself counting
> nothing, Brian. You have never substantiated the first alleged lie.
>
> Soooooooo.....Zero is still zero....

Lie #12?

> > > retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person
> >

> > Oooh! You gonna get Steve all worked up over that last comment.
>
> Why? He (Lennie) still has yet to provide us with so much as one
> professional paper with his name on it. So Lennie's claim to having
> been a "professional engineer" is vaporware.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Is that more of your "facts," Steve? An electronic engineer must have
published papers. Hi! Be sure to tell that to Congress before they
let hundreds of thousands more of them immigrate to the USA.

Welp, we always did know that you don't know what you're talking about.
And them's the facts.

4107. Re: k4yz FYI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 03:12:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113275540.664010.204520@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105673

K4YZ wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
> > juicy@melontraffickers.com is posting about you on
> rec.radio.amateur.misc
>
> Thanks, Jim. But what can you expect from an Anonymous Lying
> Coward?
>
> I owe you one!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

8th hostile action? Hi!

4108. Re: Where Did All These Anti-Sexy Female Prudes Come From?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 03:45:55 UTC
From: "Dave B." <dtburr@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <f9OdnaNOhLfr2cbfRVn-uA@speakeasy.net>
Source record: 105674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I have no idea what that rambling BS particular post was trying to say, but I am still waing to hear what exploitation this so-called "smart businessman" engaged his poor, ignorant daughters in in an attempt tomake afe buck trying to sell some cheap radios.

That story is so pathetic, I DARE that miserable piece of sh*t to e-mail me directly (not post on a newsgroup like a chickensh*t) and tell me how much profit he generated from his ignorant daughters' asses. My guess is that if he tells the truth, I can generate that from my friggin' pocket, send it to him, and save 2 or 3 girls that happened to be born to one of the dregs of society from at least a little embarassment.

Let's hear it, BIG-TIME OPERATOR!!!!!!!

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1112210946.812073.14960@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dave B. wrote:
>> "Rabbi Phil" <RPhil@tempwornerspam.net> wrote in message
>> news:d29g75$ki3$0@pita.alt.net...
>> > My friend Lennie Schwartz owns a radio store and was stuck with
> close
>> > to a hundred 52 simplex radios he could not sell. As his Rabbi &
> chief
>> > Sanhedrin, Lennie asked me for advice. Now Lennie has three
> gorgeous
>> > college-age daughters, so I had Lennie get them some cute little
> outfits,
>> > tight tops and tight short-shorts, similar to what the Hooters
> girls wear.
>> > We had the following message boldly printed on the butt end of the
> shorts
>> > and across the bust area of the tops:
>> >
>> > "I MONITOR 52 SIMPLEX"
>> >
>> > In less that 24 hours, Lennie sold all his 52 simplex radios at
> five times
>> > the
>> > original asking price and had to order more. Every male ham of any
>> > age swamped Lennie's radio store and demanded a 52 simplex radio.
>> >
>> > NOTE FOR HANS: It is not the message that is so important, as how
> you

>> > communicate the message.
>>
>> Very classy on his part.
>>
>> In his next "smart business move" will he just move his Hooters-girl
>> lookalike daughters into prostitution?
>>
>> Disgusting!
>
> Folks...sex, or the suggestion of it, sells everything in this
> country...Even those who argue AGAINST "sex" are using it to thier
> advantage by the mere suggestion of it. (Before "NYPD Blue" ever hit
> the airwaves, Jerry Falwell and his ilk were protesting it. The
> producers said the best advertisement they could get was Jerry railing
> against it!)
>
> I think the idea was cute.
>
> Also, we have people fussing about the "greying, all white male"
> demographic of Amateur Radio in the United States...so maybe this gave
> it a kick in the rear...? We're not talking about child pornography
> here...Young ADULT women, the same like of which adorn the sidelines of
> any NFL game on Monday Nights, showing some booty and cleavage to get
> YOU to look twice. It works. Deal with it.
>
> Of course there will always be the "we could do it without that"
> contingent...But that contingent hasn't done a whole lot to promote the
> program either. So...given your choice between a poster with Fred Maia
> or Gordon West promoting Amateur Radio, or a diverse selection of
> scantilly clad cheerleaders holding HT's I am sure none of whom are
> licensed to operate...which do you think would garner more
> "check-outs"...?!?!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

4109. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 05:25:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <vZI6e.124$Qh5.3100760@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 105675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

You have me wishing you were my child... you would indeed find food in short
supply my friend! <grin>

Regards,
John

--
I would like to point out, I do appreciate the "Been there--done that!"
posts. Indeed, now your observations, comments and discourse should be
filled with wisdom--I am listening!!!
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050411230053.351$hi@newsreader.com...
> You don't feed your kids either? Bad boy.
>
>>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> You my fine feathered friend are a embarassment to society and
>> humanity... such an attack on anyone is so disgusting as to be vulgar--if
>> you are the new breed of ham, the future is hopeless...
>> Where are you people comming from????
>>
>> John
>>
>> --
>> I would like to point out, I do appreciate the "Been there--done that!"
>> posts. Indeed, now your observations, comments and discourse should be
>> filled with wisdom--I am listening!!!
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com...
>> > N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
>> >> candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
>> >>
>> > Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your
>> > children, oh indigent one?
>> >
>> >
>> > N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
>> > present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
>> > SWANSON appointed as counsel.
>> > Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
>> > the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
>> > Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
>> > Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.

>> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
>> > Sue Swanson
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
>> > RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
>> > 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
>> > Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
>> > ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
>> > present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
>> > ADAIR present in court.
>> > Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
>> > having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
>> > of probation.
>> > Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
>> > of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
>> > 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
>> > Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
>> > ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
>> > Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
>> > Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
>> > agreement.
>> > Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
>> > any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
>> > further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
>> > associated with being granted probation. Payment of
>> > financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
>> > supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
>> > fees.
>> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
>> > SENTENCE C-184-502
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
>> > terminated unsuccesfully
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

4110. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 05:41:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113284464.892147.41950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105676

From: "bb" on Mon,Apr 11 2005 3:44 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Wed, Apr 6 2005 8:38 pm
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > The original intent of this newsgroup was to discuss
>> >> > amateur radio policy.
>> >>
>> >> Whooooooooa! There's a major rhetoric change on the part of
>> >>Lennie the Lame!
>> >>
>> >How much more uninformed could a "person" be? Len has been saying
>> >this for years.
>>
>> Quite true. However, Robeson is in the constant
>> aggravated antagonistic mode and therefore makes
>> up lies about what other people have written.
>
>The idiot actually claimed that I was the antagonist for NOT giving
>Mike grief over his postponing the balloon shot.

Stebie just can't take ANY negative response to his
shouts and hollerings... :-)

>> to revlieve the crowding there. According to Paul's
>> often-stated welcome message text, it is concerned with
>> all topics of amateur radio POLICY.
>>
>> Unfortunately, that's not the de facto situation with
>> message topics...which have ranged widely from the
>> (usual) bragging and self-glorification of individuals
>> to U.S. national politics and political figures to
>> choo-choo trains and other items in-between.
>
>Where's Paul when you need him? He and his group did some fine rescue
>work getting the K0AIR call out of the hands of micreants like K4CAP.

Paul Schleck (the extra who "signs" those welcome
e-mails to new names in the newsgroup) is apparently
long gone on some sabbatical or whatever. He doesn't
answer any e-mails...at least to the web address on
those "canned" welcome messages. ???

It would seem prudent for Paul to convene his jolly
troika and have this newsgroup nulled and voided for
a while. That's happened before when certain others
"took over" the place...just like it is now with
Stebie and the Anonymouses battling back and forth.

>> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >>
>> >> Putz.
>> >
>> >Penis envy or just penis inuendo and infatuation?
>>
>> It's just ENVY on Robeson's part because he's never
>> been IN electronics engineering in any way (a short-
>> term job as a purchasing agent doesn't qualify for
>> electronics engineering). He never got into military
>> communications handling despite having that vaunted
>> amateur radio license before first joining. He never
>> got to be any kind of military pilot despite having
>> a private pilot's ticket before joining. [we still
>> don't know the When and Where of those claimed "seven
>> hostile actions"...]
>
>No doubt that inadequacy is at the root of his hatred for you.

It seems to me that Stebie just CANNOT take any
negativisms on anything he says. That's been the
pattern for years. Oppose him enough and he grows
his hatred in his own little steaming pot and keeps
stirring it. That makes him worse. Tsk.

When Stebie just can't take it anymore, he gets out
the mirror and tells everyone else they are just like
that mirror image...which isn't true...but it's Stebie's
"argument device" and its all he's got. Tsk.

Stebie tries to "insult" me by calling the me of half
a century ago a "military radio mechanic." :-)

I can't find any evidence that the U.S. Army EVER
called radio communication operators and supervisors
as "mechanics." At one large Army depot involved
with electronics overhaul, the CIVILIAN technicians
are referred to as "mechanics" as a job title. That's
an exception as far as titles go. Most everyplace
else, a "mechanic" is someone who works on vehicles.

w3rv wanted to pull my chain some years back in a
long and unnecessary give-and-take about "engineer"
as a title. Kellie insisted one HAD to attend a
college or university and "earn" that degree in only
four years. With Stebie it got worse with him
equating college-accredited night classes as some

kind of "night school" on the level of teaching
citizenship to immigrants wanting to become
citizens. :-)

Stebie is all about CREDENTIALISM, of having all
those pretty (suitable for framing) certificates
on the wall to "show how smart he is" and etc.
Somehow he got the idea that being a purchasing
agent at a mid-size electronics company was
"equivalent to working in electronics engineering."
Tsk...I've been 45 years IN electronics design
engineering just in southern California, by job,
title, responsibility, and (eventually) by
degree.

Stebie is now working a schtick that "I don't
know anything about raising children" (which is
untrue, by example)" again. Tsk, tsk. Stebie
should talk...after fathering at least one
poor deformed child who eventually died. Of
course NONE of that is Stebie's fault. By just
SAYING so, he is an expert, knowledgeable about
everything in pediatrics (his LPN credential is
about that?). :-)

>Welp, The Amateur Formerly Known As Reverend Jim doesn't seem to mind.

Jimmie has been FORCED into a back seat position
on the basis of Stebie's avalanche of postings
plus all those Anyonmouses, all shouting hatred
and e-ganging up like vultures on a few in here.

Jimmie (Who?) is starting to emerge about now,
possibly taking some testosterone supplements
so he can show others what a "man" he is in here.
:-)

>I just learned from him that unless an opinion is fully documented
with
>facts, that it is a lie.

Irrelevant. Stebie determines what is a "lie" and
what is "truth." :-)

If someone else has an opinion contrary to his, it
is (according to Stebie) a "LIE!" complete with an
exclamation mark. :-)

Stebie just CANNOT accept that anyone is contrary
to his glorious being/mind/ego. Can't be! Ergo,
it is a "LIE!" Eventually, EVERYTHING in this
newsgroup has to become Capt. Stebie's own
"battleground" where he can hold forth like some

self-styled feudal warlord. :-)

>> Robeson, but a problem to others since they don't care
>> that much about Robeson's "outrage." That "outrage" is
>> NOT a part of amateur radio policy and, as a result,
>> has helped (greatly) to turn this newsgroup into a petty
>> internecine personalily warfare blog.
>
>Only Robeson maters.

Agreed...he can be a "mother" all right. :-)

>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person
>
>Oooh! You gonna get Steve all worked up over that last comment.

TS. :-)

Tsk. I EARNED that IEEE membership the hard way...I
worked for it. Now, as a Life Member, I don't have to
pay dues and remain a full member in a professional
worldwide organization. Joined in '73 and had to have
three members' affidavits to accompany that
application. About the same time Stebie joined the
murines and had to have three DIs shout in his face.
Now he's getting even for all that abuse he took
back then. :-)

Stebie has LIED about my "lackluster career" and "doing
nothing" etc., but the poor guy has NEVER had anything
remotely as good, nor with the income. Tsk. There
was, in his case, not a "lack" but a VOID of "luster"
and one can't polish up something that isn't there.
[Stebie tries very had, but everyone can see he isn't
doing anything but jabbering generalities in his
bragging :-)]

Sooner is later there MIGHT be a recursive move to
talk about "policy" concerning amateur radio in here.
I will remain optimistic. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4111. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 05:41:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113284512.655197.131860@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105677

From: "bb" on Mon,Apr 11 2005 3:44 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Wed, Apr 6 2005 8:38 pm
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > The original intent of this newsgroup was to discuss
>> >> > amateur radio policy.
>> >>
>> >> Whooooooooa! There's a major rhetoric change on the part of
>> >>Lennie the Lame!
>> >>
>> >How much more uninformed could a "person" be? Len has been saying
>> >this for years.
>>
>> Quite true. However, Robeson is in the constant
>> aggravated antagonistic mode and therefore makes
>> up lies about what other people have written.
>
>The idiot actually claimed that I was the antagonist for NOT giving
>Mike grief over his postponing the balloon shot.

Stebie just can't take ANY negative response to his
shouts and hollerings... :-)

>> to revlieve the crowding there. According to Paul's
>> often-stated welcome message text, it is concerned with
>> all topics of amateur radio POLICY.
>>
>> Unfortunately, that's not the de facto situation with
>> message topics...which have ranged widely from the
>> (usual) bragging and self-glorification of individuals
>> to U.S. national politics and political figures to
>> choo-choo trains and other items in-between.
>
>Where's Paul when you need him? He and his group did some fine rescue
>work getting the K0AIR call out of the hands of micreants like K4CAP.

Paul Schleck (the extra who "signs" those welcome
e-mails to new names in the newsgroup) is apparently
long gone on some sabbatical or whatever. He doesn't
answer any e-mails...at least to the web address on
those "canned" welcome messages. ???

It would seem prudent for Paul to convene his jolly
troika and have this newsgroup nulled and voided for
a while. That's happened before when certain others
"took over" the place...just like it is now with
Stebie and the Anonymouses battling back and forth.

>> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>> >>
>> >> Putz.
>> >
>> >Penis envy or just penis inuendo and infatuation?
>>
>> It's just ENVY on Robeson's part because he's never
>> been IN electronics engineering in any way (a short-
>> term job as a purchasing agent doesn't qualify for
>> electronics engineering). He never got into military
>> communications handling despite having that vaunted
>> amateur radio license before first joining. He never
>> got to be any kind of military pilot despite having
>> a private pilot's ticket before joining. [we still
>> don't know the When and Where of those claimed "seven
>> hostile actions"...]
>
>No doubt that inadequacy is at the root of his hatred for you.

It seems to me that Stebie just CANNOT take any
negativisms on anything he says. That's been the
pattern for years. Oppose him enough and he grows
his hatred in his own little steaming pot and keeps
stirring it. That makes him worse. Tsk.

When Stebie just can't take it anymore, he gets out
the mirror and tells everyone else they are just like
that mirror image...which isn't true...but it's Stebie's
"argument device" and its all he's got. Tsk.

Stebie tries to "insult" me by calling the me of half
a century ago a "military radio mechanic." :-)

I can't find any evidence that the U.S. Army EVER
called radio communication operators and supervisors
as "mechanics." At one large Army depot involved
with electronics overhaul, the CIVILIAN technicians
are referred to as "mechanics" as a job title. That's
an exception as far as titles go. Most everyplace
else, a "mechanic" is someone who works on vehicles.

w3rv wanted to pull my chain some years back in a
long and unnecessary give-and-take about "engineer"
as a title. Kellie insisted one HAD to attend a
college or university and "earn" that degree in only
four years. With Stebie it got worse with him
equating college-accredited night classes as some

kind of "night school" on the level of teaching
citizenship to immigrants wanting to become
citizens. :-)

Stebie is all about CREDENTIALISM, of having all
those pretty (suitable for framing) certificates
on the wall to "show how smart he is" and etc.
Somehow he got the idea that being a purchasing
agent at a mid-size electronics company was
"equivalent to working in electronics engineering."
Tsk...I've been 45 years IN electronics design
engineering just in southern California, by job,
title, responsibility, and (eventually) by
degree.

Stebie is now working a schtick that "I don't
know anything about raising children" (which is
untrue, by example)" again. Tsk, tsk. Stebie
should talk...after fathering at least one
poor deformed child who eventually died. Of
course NONE of that is Stebie's fault. By just
SAYING so, he is an expert, knowledgeable about
everything in pediatrics (his LPN credential is
about that?). :-)

>Welp, The Amateur Formerly Known As Reverend Jim doesn't seem to mind.

Jimmie has been FORCED into a back seat position
on the basis of Stebie's avalanche of postings
plus all those Anyonmouses, all shouting hatred
and e-ganging up like vultures on a few in here.

Jimmie (Who?) is starting to emerge about now,
possibly taking some testosterone supplements
so he can show others what a "man" he is in here.
:-)

>I just learned from him that unless an opinion is fully documented
with
>facts, that it is a lie.

Irrelevant. Stebie determines what is a "lie" and
what is "truth." :-)

If someone else has an opinion contrary to his, it
is (according to Stebie) a "LIE!" complete with an
exclamation mark. :-)

Stebie just CANNOT accept that anyone is contrary
to his glorious being/mind/ego. Can't be! Ergo,
it is a "LIE!" Eventually, EVERYTHING in this
newsgroup has to become Capt. Stebie's own
"battleground" where he can hold forth like some

self-styled feudal warlord. :-)

>> Robeson, but a problem to others since they don't care
>> that much about Robeson's "outrage." That "outrage" is
>> NOT a part of amateur radio policy and, as a result,
>> has helped (greatly) to turn this newsgroup into a petty
>> internecine personalily warfare blog.
>
>Only Robeson maters.

Agreed...he can be a "mother" all right. :-)

>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person
>
>Oooh! You gonna get Steve all worked up over that last comment.

TS. :-)

Tsk. I EARNED that IEEE membership the hard way...I
worked for it. Now, as a Life Member, I don't have to
pay dues and remain a full member in a professional
worldwide organization. Joined in '73 and had to have
three members' affidavits to accompany that
application. About the same time Stebie joined the
murines and had to have three DIs shout in his face.
Now he's getting even for all that abuse he took
back then. :-)

Stebie has LIED about my "lackluster career" and "doing
nothing" etc., but the poor guy has NEVER had anything
remotely as good, nor with the income. Tsk. There
was, in his case, not a "lack" but a VOID of "luster"
and one can't polish up something that isn't there.
[Stebie tries very had, but everyone can see he isn't
doing anything but jabbering generalities in his
bragging :-)]

Sooner is later there MIGHT be a recursive move to
talk about "policy" concerning amateur radio in here.
I will remain optimistic. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4112. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 06:24:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113287048.655180.6320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105679

From: "bb" on Mon,Apr 11 2005 8:09 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>

>> > No doubt that inadequacy is at the root of his hatred for you.
>>
>> You continue to insist that I ahte Lennie. I don't. I
>> understand him better than he accepts tht I do, and therefore make
>> sure that OTHERS understand him too.
>>
>> Just like I do you, Brian.
>
>You hate me too? OK, Mr Obvious.

:-) Stebie HATES everyone who stands up to him. :-)

Tsk. He probably calls Amelia "putz" as a term
of affection? :-)

>> > Welp, The Amateur Formerly Known As Reverend Jim doesn't seem to
>> mind.
>>
>> Wondering who THAT is becasue there's never been an Amateur
>> KNOWN as "Reverend Jim" That I am aware of, other than in Lennie's
>> imagination.
>
>You know, the guy that trims out "W5TIT" but leaves in "Putz."

:-) Poor Stebie...still can't see others making
fun of him. The same thing is true for Jimmie, but
then he might be taking those testosterone
supplements...

>> > Steve has single handedly given thes rra.misc idiots a home on
>> > rrap. Thank you Steve.
>>
>> They'll soon move on and you and Lennie will have it all back
to
>> yourselves...And it wasn't my doings, Brian...It was Toiddie's
>> cross-posted rantings, not me, that brought them here.
>>
>> Please try to keep your "facts" (snickersnicker)straight.
>

>Without you bringing him back for more with your incessant "demanding
>of facts" etc, Todd would have dried up and blown away a long time
ago.

Quite true again. Stebie has to antagonizingly PRESS on
"everyone ELSE is always wrong" in the famous "big lie"
technique he learned from "Mein Kampf."

>> > I started counting his new lies last week. He absolutely hates
it.
>>
>> I "absolutely hate" that you further humilate yourself counting
>> nothing, Brian. You have never substantiated the first alleged lie.
>>
>> Soooooooo.....Zero is still zero....
>
>Lie #12?

...plus a thousand more before it... :-)

>> > > retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person
>> >
>> > Oooh! You gonna get Steve all worked up over that last comment.
>>
>> Why? He (Lennie) still has yet to provide us with so much as
one
>> professional paper with his name on it. So Lennie's claim to having
>> been a "professional engineer" is vaporware.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Is that more of your "facts," Steve? An electronic engineer must have
>published papers. Hi! Be sure to tell that to Congress before they
>let hundreds of thousands more of them immigrate to the USA.

Stebie KNOWS ALL ABOUT ENGINEERING, Brian. After all, he
did work as a purchasing agent for less than half a year.
"real close" to those who were actually doing engineering.
:-)

Poor Stebie hasn't bothered to check the references I posted
in here, hasn't even checked with the hams I've named in here,
hasn't done a literature search other than ham publications.
I could digitize some of those papers and send them out in
private mail attachments...but Stebie would REJECT that just
as he did with my commercial first 'phone license scan. :-)
That's a waste of time. Tsk...I put up some memorabilia on
communications and radio relay on another's website, put
the web address in here, and NO ONE bothered to look.
Dave said it was a waste of time and couldn't be bothered.

Just so's w3rv doesn't chime in with that false argument
again, I am NOT a state-registered Professional Engineer.
I AM an electronics design engineer who works for money
and that makes me a professional person. I am a Life Member
of the IEEE, a professional association. I don't HAVE to
get up and go to work every working day...that's called
"retirement." :-) [sometimes that really pisses off
those who still have a mortgage and loans to pay off, etc.,
but we are NOT all in the same working situation]

Stebie is really working overtime on manufacturing LIES
about me and you and Todd and anyone else who crosses
him in here. Not surprising to me.

>Welp, we always did know that you don't know what you're talking
about.
>And them's the facts.

That's stating the obvious. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4113. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 06:36:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113287782.347345.93220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105678

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > I fixed the problems
> > Todd N9OGL
> You call this fixed?
> http://tinyurl.com/5bvvh

how about this :)

理論及びプロジェクト

タッドDaugherty の.
による理論そしてプロジェクトは著作権をとる; 2005
年のタッドはDaugherty 複製権所有

1. 導入

私は説明するあるものがについての私の理論および概念のいくつかの後ろに私の古い考えのいくつかから書き、すばらしい細部でことにした。私の古い考えおよび理論のいくつかの改造の理由は集団が一部には原因であるほとんど情報処理機能をもったがある社会、これらの人々呼出されるAmateur
無線オペレータとである。アマチュア無線オペレータは多く、そして実質の技術しかマイクロフォンを通って話していない認可されたCB
の無線オペレータ何もでない。それはいままで常に私がだれでもへ自分自身を証明することここにいないこと私の哲学だった。、それは半分ウィットの気にされる狭い"認可された"
CB
の無線オペレータに私の考えを共有する私の意思である。

2. エルフバンド、背部穴、電気、電光および重力

alt.sci.physics.new
理論のポストでエルフバンドについての1999
年に支持すれば非常に低周波はバンドが付く。このバンドは1
ヘルツの間でランする。100
ヘルツに。電磁石スペクトルのその部分では引力フィールドまで、電気まで、頭脳波から及ぶ見つけられた色々な放出はある場合もある。人間の脳は40
のサイクルに1.cycle の間で作動する;
ベータ波はであるこの領域に40 から15
のサイクル、それの間であるランするところで強い、精神作業がある警報。14
のサイクルから9
つのサイクルの間でランされるアルファ波はこれ作業を非覚醒させるところにである、または緩められた作業は起こる。8
つから5
つの繰返し範囲では空想にふけり始めるところにのTheta
波はある。4 つから1.5
のサイクルはこれが深いレムの睡眠にいるところのデルタ波である。最終的に、1.5

から0 は脳死である;
これは頭脳の作業が全然ないところである。

電気はまたエルフバンドでランする;
米国の周波数範囲は60
ヘルツである。これはあらゆる浴室で手でヨーロッパの範囲は50
ヘルツであるが、乾燥する見つけることができる。汎用大気電光(ガロン)
は500 キロヘルツの間で作動し、それが1.0 から5.0
メガヘルツ間の大きいクラッシュのより高い短波バンドで"静的なクラッシュ"
聞くことができるであるという広いシグナルである。

ブラック・ホールおよび重力はまたエルフバンドで見つけることができる。私はそれがスペクトルのこの範囲でブラック・ホールの引力フィールドある場合もある検出するであるので両方を同じカテゴリ置いた。ブラック・ホールは14
のサイクルの主要な焦点が付いている10 から20
のサイクルの間で、ランする。それらのエルフの頻度しかしブラック・ホールを受け取る科学者の使用の圧電気装置はまたTHz
バンド引力フィールドを高く出すことができる。

3. 時間旅行

私は時間旅行についてのalt.sci.physics.new
理論で少数の記事を書いた。時間旅行(時間によってすなわち人々の転送)
は理論無線計算機ネットワークでそしてシグナルが送信する30
年にメッセージを保存することの概念に不可能私が書いた基づいていたである。シグナルを聞いている人は(技術がtachyons
の使用によって使用できたら送返せばメッセージをできた。Tachyons
はtachyon
の粒子に大容量がこうしてないという事実のためにより速く移動を離れて光速開始する粒子、そうなったものであり、時間に行くためにそれらを引き起す。多くの人々はだれでも聞いた未来からの伝達をか。なぜ持っていないかこれがそれから働くかどうか尋ねるか。通信手段が15
から20 年今後まだであるのでtachyons が60
年代以来tachyons のずっと使用であるがおそらく井戸2
つの簡単な理由、最初のあることのために。私達が過去に話している未来が第2
理由はspace-time
のファブリックである敏感なシステムであり、実際は私達がそれを知っている、または平行宇宙に、おそらく話している"平行宇宙"
をこうして作成できるように未来からのどの干渉でもスペース時間を破壊できるか。多くのnon-science/ham
の無線オペレータは"平行宇宙"
の概念をおそらくしかし平行宇宙があると、物理学者できる実験によって証明信じない。第2
理論は人間の脳が平行自己からbrainwave
のシグナルを受け取ることができること"時間旅行"
方法の多く実際にでなくであった。これは""
機械によってされるこれがコンピュータで当然人工にされる量に変更できる"量"
。アマチュアの缶の把握は考えというコミュニケーション15
、20 または30
年を将来かもしれない別のロットの地獄である均等にし、tachyons
コミュニケーションは将来使用されるかもしれない(長距離の宇宙通信のために)
。しかしちょっと、アマチュア無線オペレータが知っている唯一の事はアナログ・システムのマイクロフォンの下で調整することである。

4114. How About This?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 09:57:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113299832.731062.162210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105680

http://www.minutemanproject.com/Ham.html

73 de Jim, N2EY

4115. Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 10:23:43 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113301423.303903.76010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105681

The new Canadian War Museum opens May 7-8

http://www.warmuseum.ca/cwm/opening/celebrate_e.html

Some of the windows spell out "Lest We Forget" in Morse Code.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4116. Re: N8WWM makes rain report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 11:04:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050412070416.132$Mf_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 105682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> You have me wishing you were my child... you would indeed find food in
> short supply my friend! <grin>
>
> Regards,
> John
>
Look up your new found friend (n8wwm) on the rain report big daddy.
>

4117. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 11:06:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050412070633.388$aa@newsreader.com>
Source record: 105683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:8LF6e.108$913.1938505@news.sisna.com:
>
> > You my fine feathered friend are a embarassment to society and
> > humanity... such an attack on anyone is so disgusting as to be
> > vulgar--if you are the new breed of ham, the future is hopeless...
> > Where are you people comming from????
> >
> > John
>
> He isnt a ham just one that likes to harrass them and stalk them. He cant
> seperate usenet from real life.
>
Here's what -YOU- contribute to Usenet:

Since December 12 2004

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I live in Long Island and I am a nigger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Listen I dont speak on dougs behalf

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Your wife liked how i act, she said I am a bigger man than you, in more
> ways than one.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> where is your address assclown I have a plane ticket waiting for a
> destination.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Randy your not tookeen with the bitches didnt you just get dumped by
> your old lady? I heard it was for cheating on her, with a sheep.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That would be Steve parks wife she sucks a mean cock down in the medina
> school yards. .. 10-4

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Of course it is a forge these cbers are real moron's

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Steveo, why dont you reach down between your legs and see if you have

> any balls

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> come to your house unexpectedly and punk slap you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> correctional Facility i am locked up.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> beat it Nagger,beat it nagger your reaching again..
> Nagger you are a liar,
> they say i am george busch now what is it nagger boi,

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> he is a rolling rock drinker and Lancer is a cum slurper. Now watch
> him trip over himself repying to this message.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL ice cold Piece of shit Nagger you are too funny

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:>
>you fuckin queer asshole. You are a transexual lover

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> cocksucker your a fucking Nagger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking assclown pussy by loser... There isnt a god damn thing yoiu
> can do about it except whimper and cry like the big faggot you are.. hey
> Steve Parks,,, go fuck yopurself you no nutsack loser.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats because mondays you are off sucking dick for a living.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am not a rascist I am a skin head.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I banged his old lady and it smelled like the suez canal

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> besides I have a trojan on your computer and I know when you post,
> ever heard ofsub seven??

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Perhaps I have her pussy smells like a bad clam bake,
> face it you like men and everything about them.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah now suck my dick you assclown.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Now hurry run along and sent those complaints to comcast.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> after you tear it up for awhile, yes it smells like men's dick.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Insane in the membrane.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Well pussy boi Parks your wife seems to think I got
> something on you, get over it. she wants a man, not you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That is real funny you stupid piece of dog shit

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you limp wristed faggot. In an effort to suck dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did you have semen in your eye Landfuck?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You queer assclown

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> do you enjoy sucking his dick that
> nuch, you cant get the taste out of your mouth?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking clueless douchebag.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You suck and lancer swallows.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Fuck you you asshole I hope your christmas tree drys
> out and burns down your trailer.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Sorry asspunk, this doesnt get brought to a vote

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> exactly i dont you stupid fuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> sorry dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you sure do care you are menstrating over it.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I hope you overdose on New years Randy, on
> oxycotnin.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah your wife keeps me busy too. she guzzles my nuts.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> guess again assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> And what I once said, "Randy you are an asshole"

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL, you fucking sissy...

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i am not a sock puppet but you are

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> smooth cold nyc get off of my tip

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Jim, Put your finger up your ass and spin around.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Geo, I can accept that. But, you are one as well.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> steveo taslks shit
> without ever backing it up oh yes

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> rec.radio.cb assholes are out in full force

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Negro please

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Why not show some real balls besides steves on your neck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I know you wont to pussy to do so.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Yeah you used to *beat* your meat

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i didnt post to this threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> "WA3MOJ takes corncob up ass" i didnt post to this
> threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucked up asshole. Blow me, again

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> iLL BET TOO MANY TO COUNT HE GETS INTOXICATED ON CUM.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you jackoff steveo while staring AT PICS OF MEN.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> your a fucking jew aren't you?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats not george you clueless assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You Gay fucking jerkoff you were with a Transexual this weekend.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> read what the fuck I tpye

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you fucking drunk you stupid assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I said that from the fucking start you drunken asshole.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go sniff some more toilets seat your delerious

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> if you cant see this quit masterbATING YOUR
> EYESITE WILL COMEBACK

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did yur mother have anything that lived?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you befriendung him for other
> reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking when he wrote:
> "If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised."

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Again you have to reply and are a drugged up clueless assclown,as usual.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am speaking of the state in general now go fuck yourself
> dave McCampbell

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go tell your lies to another assclown like your wife
> now she is a real twat from what i hear.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> No one is on your leg dickhead, now go suck some more dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> hahaha you are truely clueless you ass loving faggot. That is a 100% lie

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> his wife is out getting banged while he sits at homne on the usenet

>

4118. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 11:52:30 UTC
From: pctech <mospam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2EO6e.8514$44.5288@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

I could really give a shit about some ass who supposedly wont feed his kids
, I read this group to get info on Amateur antennas,NOT the local gossip
spewed by another ASS who is pissed off at someone and has to post this shit
across the internet . Get a life moron ! you are no better than he is ,

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com...
> N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
>> candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
>>
> Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your children,
> oh indigent one?
>
>
> N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
> present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
> SWANSON appointed as counsel.
> Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
> the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
> Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
> Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
> Sue Swanson
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
> RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
> 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
> Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
> ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
> present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
> ADAIR present in court.
> Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
> having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
> of probation.
> Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
> of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section

> 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
> Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
> Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
> agreement.
> Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
> any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
> further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
> associated with being granted probation. Payment of
> financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
> supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
> fees.
> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> SENTENCE C-184-502
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> terminated unsuccesfully
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

4119. Re: Where Did All These Anti-Sexy Female Prudes Come From?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 12:57:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113310636.604645.183430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave B. wrote:
> I have no idea what that rambling BS particular post was trying to
say, but
> I am still waing to hear what exploitation this so-called "smart
> businessman" engaged his poor, ignorant daughters in in an attempt
tomake
> afe buck trying to sell some cheap radios.
>
> That story is so pathetic, I DARE that miserable piece of sh*t to
e-mail me
> directly (not post on a newsgroup like a chickensh*t) and tell me how
much
> profit he generated from his ignorant daughters' asses. My guess is
that if
> he tells the truth, I can generate that from my friggin' pocket, send
it to
> him, and save 2 or 3 girls that happened to be born to one of the
dregs of
> society from at least a little embarassment.
>
> Let's hear it, BIG-TIME OPERATOR!!!!!!!

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....

What is a "Dave B" and who gives a rat's kazoo about his dares?

Steve, K4YZ

4120. Re: Toady lost it along time ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 13:01:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113310870.988896.64180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105688

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > Well golly gee whillikers! Toady claims that all Amateur
> Radio
> > > > licensees are demon seed...Guess he speaks from personal
> > > > self-assessment, eh?
> > > >
> > > > And Todd...That's not an airplane. It's an ultralight.
> > > >
> > > Actually I working on getting my Sport's pilot license.
> >
> > Congratulations. And it's not a "Sport's pilot license"
That's
> > an aviator that is owned by an athletic program. You are training
to
> > be a Sport Pilot. You won't be allowed to fly an aircraft that
> exceeds
> > 599kg take-off weight (max gross) or be allowed to fly an aircraft
> with
> > a max flat-out cruise speed in excess of 120kts. There are other
> > significant limitations too.
> >
> > But it's a start.
> >
> > I've been a pilot since 1974.
> >
> > If you can keep a civil tongue in your mouth when you do it,
> feel
> > free to ask any questions you may have. I certainly don't know all
> the
> > answers, but I know where a lot of them are.
> >
> > If you haven't done it already, may I suggest you consider
> > membership in the Experimental Aircraft Association. Their new
> > periodical "Sport Pilot" is a wealth of info for folks in your
> > category...Not to mention that the new Sport Pilot category
wouldn't
> > exist if not for them...they deserve a lot of thanks and support
from
> > all GENAV pilots.
>

> Well I figured it would get my foot in the door, and it is upgradeable.

You are quite correct and yes, it will. Many of the new "Sport Pilot" category aircraft will be every-bit as dependeble and useful and "standard" category for the designed purpose.

Good luck.

Steve, K4YZ

4121. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 13:04:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113310194.596404.181410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105687

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Mon,Apr 11 2005 8:09 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
>
> >> > No doubt that inadequacy is at the root of his hatred for you.
> >>
> >> You continue to insist that I ahte Lennie. I don't. I
> >> understand him better than he accepts tht I do, and therefore make
> >> sure that OTHERS understand him too.
> >>
> >> Just like I do you, Brian.
> > >
> >You hate me too? OK, Mr Obvious.
>
> :-) Stebie HATES everyone who stands up to him. :-)

Snip of usual regurgitated LennieStuff to:

> Stebie is really working overtime on manufacturing LIES
> about me and you and Todd and anyone else who crosses
> him in here. Not surprising to me.

There's nothing "manufactured" about you, Lennie....Everything
that's been said here has been a direct result of information YOU
provided.

Sorry if that's a problem, but you did provide it.

You do lie, both about Amateur Radio in general and many of us who
are practitioners of that art. You've told us of things you'd do and
of things you've done, yet NO PROOF.

You taught Brian well...Just keep pouring the lies in to try and
further dilute the already muddled pool...Except that some of us can
stay ahead of your silliness and THAT is what YOU hate.

Leonard H. Anderson is a known chronic liar.

Steve, K4YZ

4122. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 13:21:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113312084.215449.41460@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105689

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > I claim that your assertion that ARES is "dependent" on aging
> > > > men who can't/won't respond due to age/infirmity or are over
> > > obligated
> > > > is misleading and untrue.
> > >
> > > How is my opinion wrong?
> > >
> > Becasue there's not been ONE SINGLE INSTANCE when ARES or
RACES
> > has EVER refused or been unable to fulfill it's promised duties for
> any
> > reason YOU have suggested...Nor has there ever been ANY reason
> they've
> > refused, period.
>
> Kindly show where I've said they've refused anything, you idiot.

I'm not the idiot here, Brian. You are.

You were the one who started this off by insisting that ARES did
not have adequate human resources to fulfil their "duties".

All I have asked YOU is to show some evidence of YOUR examples of
having ever been even remotely plausable or having happened in fact.

Especially today, after literally hundreds of thousands of dollars
have been poured into ARES from Homeland Defense resources to provide
an even more comptetent operator.

> > > > I've asked you SEVERAL TIMES now to show me JUST ONE
example
> > of
> > > > some situation where ARES was asked to respond to a situation
but
> > > > couldn't due to any of the criteria YOU cited.
> > >
> > > Phil provided his situation.
> >
> > Phil's situation has been covered. HE provided that.
>
> He indicated that there was a deficit in the # of volunteers.

There was just a deficit in the way they were assigned.

> > > > YOU claimed that "unlicensed devices play a major role" in
> > > > "emergency comms". I've asked your to substantiate THAT claim
> with
> > > some
> > > > sort of valid reference, but you;ve balked at that one, too.
> > >
> > > Practically all first responders use cell phones. Cell phones
are
> > > often how a person is initially notified that they are in an
> > emergency
> > > period. The military relies on them quite heavily.
> >
> > Cellphones are NOT unlicensed devices. YOU do not have to have
a
> > licnese for it, but the entire cellphone net operates under various
> FCC
> > licenses. See "Common Carrier".
> >
> > Also, when you first made your assertion, I asked you if you
> meant
> > Part 15, Part 95, etc, and you said "Yes, of course". So...Still
> > waiting.
>
> Wait away.

Just about exactly what I'd expect from a coward, Brian. Thanks
for not disappointing me.

> > > > You claim, I ask you to validate the claim. You refuse.
No
> > > facts
> > > > = invalid claim.
> > >
> > > If a fact isn't presented then the opinion is wrong? You da man!
> >
> > "I believe that ARES will not be able to respond to future
> > emergencies due to the greying demographic" is an opinion.
> >
> > "ARES is dependent upon graying old men who can't respond to
> > emergencies" is an assertion.
>
> Are those your opinions?

Nice try. No, they are not.

> > > > It really is THAT simple.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > It really is quite simple to see that you claim people's opinions
> are

> > > wrong. Then you start the "liar, liar" routine. It's all
> archived.
> > > Again and again.
> >
> > And you still have not come completely clean.
> >
> > You made "statements of fact" that were nothing BUT opinion.
>
> You're the one jumping to conclusions, Steve. You expect everything
> that anyone says to be fact verified by Saint Hiram.

Nice fall-back to Lennie's rhetoric, Brian. Failing to stay
aheqad on your own you had to "run hom to Mama".

I made no "conclusions". I HAVE been asking YOU to substantiate
claims and assertions YOU have made that they may be better understood.
All you do is dodge, deceive and try to blow it off by calling me
"nuts" for having dared to challenge you on YOUR claims.

Now you're angry because I won't let go and am backing you into a
corner to answer your own claims. Shudd've either ante'd up some
answers or just said something to the tune of "That was just my
opinion...I really don't have any facts to substantiate it..."

That I could live with as an honest answer. Instead, you've
treated us to months and months of deception and avoidance.

> > You continue to refuse to validate your assertions unless you
> are
> > NOW stating that all they were WAS opinion, and nothing BUT
opinion.
>
> And?

"And" nothing, Brian...Is that all your "unlicensed devices",
"ARES", and other statements were? Unsubstantiated opinion? A simple
yes or no will clear the whole thing up.

> > However it's taken you MONTHS to get out from under that rock,
> > which leads me to believe that this is your only perceived avenuse
of
> > escape from your own silly mistakes and unsubstantiated rantings.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, you're so full yourself. You make yourself into fool every
time
> you start jumping up and down demanding proof and facts anytime
> something you don't like comes up. Too bad no one is taking you
> seriously, especially me. You make up stuff and keep making up stuff
> so you don't have to apologize for being such an ass.

Hardly, Brian.

You make assertions of fact. I ask you for facts to back the assertions up. Then you claim I am "nuts" for having asked you what the truth is.

If you'd take no other lesson from all of this than to learn the difference between an "assertion", "Statement as fact" and how to express an idea as an opinion, you'd have a far less tumultuous newsgroup experience.

> sayonara, bb

Oh...?!?! You speak Japanese now? Gee...Your mentor seems to always want to try and "ding" me for calling him a "putz" due to his expectation that I don't speak Hebrew. Please...Tell us all about your experiences in Japan and your fluency in Kanjii.

Steve, K4YZ

4123. Re: Another BrainRant Laid To Rest.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 13:37:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113313028.193678.10960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105690

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > Steve, do a search on "Robeson" and "liar." You've presented all
> of
> > > your lies under your own signature.
> >
> > Vaporware, Brian. Vaporware.
> >
> > You claim there are lies. I've been asking you to
substantiate
> > the claim. You have not. You furhter exacerbate your own
situation
> by
> > making even MORE assertions without corroborating facts. Oh well.
>
> Vaporware? Your lies are so obvious. Like claiming Len disapproved
of
> your lying thread 30 hours before he posted anything at all.

And here YOU are still trying to misrepresent what was said.

You didn't go back and READ what I had said about Lennie, his
rantings against me, etc.

Brian...S L O W D O W N A N D R E A D W H A T W A S
P O S T E D ! ! ! ! !

> > > Brian, so how could this be #10? You
> > > and Todd need to see if you can carpool...Him for remedial
> English,
> > > you
> > > > for remedial math.
> > >
> > > There's nothing wrong with my math.
> >
> > Sure there is. In that line you claim there's "10" lies.
> You've
> > yet to substantiate "1".
> >
> > You can't raise a building without a foundation. And you
can't
> > get to "10" without having started at "1".
>
> Counting your lies isn't heavy construction. More like tinker toys.

You keep avoiding the truth, Brian.

You've been asked to cite the lies. All you do is make more
cutesy rhetoric about how much I allegedly lie.

In other words, you can't do it. It enver happened.

> > > You lie. That's enough for me.
> >
> > You say I've lied.
> >
> > I've repeatedly asked you to substantiate that claim.
> >
> > You never have.
> >
> > That would put the onus of liar upon you, Brian. (Not that it
> > ever LEFT you...)
> >
> > Sorry.
>
> Your lies are obvious and numerous. Anyone with just a few moments
> could find a handful. I saw your lies the first time around; seeing
> them again might spoil my day.

In other words you've made yet more claims about others for which
there is no fact or validation.

Brian P. Burke continues his characteristic deceit and lying.

> > > > > > > Looks like there are some empty spots in the schedule.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Not from here.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Did you fill them in?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I can fill those scehdules with 6 people for 48 hours of
24h
> > > > > coverage, Brian...Why couldn't you?
> > > > >
> > > > Whoa!
> > > >
> > > > After you posted "Always at a deficit for information, aren't
you,
> > > Brian?" you linked us to a website that showed that this was a
four
> > day
> > > exercise. So why are you trying to make it into a two day
exercise
> > > again? More lies and misdirection?
> > >
> > Nope....I can still fill even a 96 hour assignment with six
> > operators for four days.
>

> You can't without abusing the volunteers.

OK, Brian, what's YOUR definition of "abusing volunteers"...?!?!

I've now provided FOUR scenarios where avaialable resoures could
be employed working shifts of 4, 8, 10 or 12 hours. NEVER with less
hours off between shifts than the number of hours they "worked".

> > YOU keep saying only two. That's even better.
>
> Nope. 96 hours. Do you want me to do the math so you'll know how
many
> days that is?

Doesn't matter, Brian. Two days. Four. Six.

> > > And without working any one or several individual(s) a double
> shift,
> > > and maintaining the requirement of three person shifts of
qualified
> > > communicators...
> >
> > No all communicators need to be at the mic for the whole
> 24hours.
>
> Teams of three, Steve, teams of three. Be sure there are three
> qualified communicators on shift at all times.

And again, Brian. not all "operators" are going to be on a mic the
whole shift.

> > > > > Especially if they have 6 people to cover a 48
> > > > > > hour period that they are participating...
> > > > >
> > > > > Correction. Two teams of 3 people each.
> > > >
> > > > Two teams...Six people...Yeah...SIX is what I said, Brian.
> > >
> > > So show me your 96 hour schedule.
> >
> > Day one. Team one. Operator 1A comes on duty at 0600 until
> 1600.
> > Operator 1B comes on duty at 1000 until
> 2000.
> > Operator 1C comes on at 2000 and
maintains
> > watch until 0600
>
> Hi, hi, hi! You couldn't even get through the first six hours
without
> blowing it. Then there are gaping holes in the rest of the very
first

> day's schedule.

There's no "gaping holes", Brian. Thee ARE periods of overlap and
still using two teams of three.

> Phil said they were required to have three qualified operators per
> shift, and you couldn't follow the rules even this once. You just
had
> to start changing the rules and winging it so that my opinion would
be
> "WRONG!" and you could call me a "LIAR!" again. Poor Steve.

There are three operators there, Brian.

Not all three have to be on the mic, Brian.

The criteria was met, Brian.

> Some supervisor and schedule writer you are. You're fired.
>
> Do you pull these stunts in the ER? Do you pull them in the cockpit?
> Did you pull them in the USMC?

What "stunts", Brian? You mean making sure that the needs of the
mission were met with limited resources in such a way that all
obligations were met?

Sorry you're standing there with egg on your face, Brian...The
numbers work. The format works. YOUR assertion that you could get six
volunteers to cover 48 (or 96) hours DID'T.

Try again, Brian.

Steve, K4YZ

4124. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 13:40:10 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_cQ6e.1278$t85.874@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 105686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM and another individual have been harassing CB'ers
for 5 plus years now in Rec.Radio.CB. Sending threatening Emails, signing
you up for Spam, sending virus, false
accusation, vulgar language, making vulgar web pages all
this while changing screen nics to bypass filters so that you
don't have the chance to filter them out.
Rec.Radio.CB used to talk about radio both legal & illegal,
but these two people came on to the group both supporting
and condoning illegal activities, but found it was mush more
fun to harrass and flood the group with useless posts, sometimes 100 to 200
posts a day!
Being Steveo is close to these people, he's called them on
their offers of, "Go ahead, we invite you to show up". Well
Steveo did just that and the best they could do was peer out
the windows and door, to afraid to stop out into the street.

Landshark

Now watch the responses they throw out ;)

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

"pctech" <mospam(remove)@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:2EO6e.8514$44.5288@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I could really give a shit about some ass who supposedly wont > feed his
>kids , I read this group to get info on Amateur antennas,NOT the local
>gossip spewed by another ASS who is pissed off at someone and has > post
>this shit across the internet . Get a life moron ! you are no better than
>is ,
>>
>> N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
>> present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
>> SWANSON appointed as counsel.
>> Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
>> the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
>> Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
>> Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
>> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
>> Sue Swanson
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03

>> RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
>> 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
>> Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
>> ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
>> present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
>> ADAIR present in court.
>> Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
>> having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
>> of probation.
>> Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
>> of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
>> 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
>> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
>> ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
>> Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
>> Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
>> agreement.
>> Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
>> any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
>> further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
>> associated with being granted probation. Payment of
>> financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
>> supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
>> fees.
>> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
>> SENTENCE C-184-502
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
>> terminated unsuccesfully
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>
>

4125. Re: How About This?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 13:54:08 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <4qQ6e.4791$An2.3841@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105692

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1113299832.731062.162210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

: http://www.minutemanproject.com/Ham.html
:

These neo-vigilantes are already a burden on the USBP down here, and now
we'll have vigilante-newbie-hams playing sojer on our ham bands. How about
that?

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4126. Re: Boy broadcaster N9OGL - Part One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 13:55:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113314118.217475.139450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105691

N9OGL wrote:
> First off you moron, I have nothing to do with those fake post on my
> blog. I have no way of tell if they were sent by you or anyone else.

Just reading the "post" can tell you what I wrote and what someone
else wrote, Todd. Please don't try and tell me that you don't know
what I wrote as opposed to a third party wrote.

> Secondly, unlike you I refuse to remove anything from my blog, your
> little buddies on QRZ might do shit like that by I don't.

Then by refusing to remove mistruthful things from your blog, you
are supporting the lying which in turn makes you culpable. You take
resposibility for the comments of others when you refuse to stop them
from making mistruthful comments. Even newspapers clearly define the
line between the comments of third parties and their own editorial
comments.

> I could
> careless what you think, I believe in free speech and since it is
"my"
> blog you have no say in the matter. As for the name calling, BOOHOO,
I
> would really suggest that you look at some of your past post aimed at
> me, your the one to calling the kettle black. The way I see it you've
> said some abusive thing not only to me but other people on this
> newsgroup.

You could "care less", Todd...We KNOW that you are "careless".

I've never used ANY of the vile and profane language you use in
this forum (or ANY forum, for that matter...), Todd.

You profess this greater image of yourself as a patron of the arts
and as at least a hobby-scientist, which is in and of itself cool.

But it's the profanities, obvious lack of grammatical skills and
refusal to take some constructive direction when it's given to you and
rebutted with the aforementioned profanities and misconduct that YOU
put YOURSELF in the position of being the outcast.

Underneath it all, Todd, your LPFM station, flying activities and
at least the fact that you're THINKING about different communication
technologies is admirable.

But your mouth and your attitude need fixing.

And by the way, Todd...As far as your "freedom of speech" goes, I was helping to keep it for you when you were only six years old. My only regret is seeing it so wantonlly misused and abused with sandlot language and obscenities.

Steve, K4YZ

4127. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 16:16:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113322589.681317.173460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105693

From: "bb" on Mon,Apr 11 2005 7:55 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> > >I claim that your assertion that ARES is "dependent" on aging
>> > >men who can't/won't respond due to age/infirmity or are over
>> > >obligated
>> > >is misleading and untrue.
>> >
>> > How is my opinion wrong?
>>
>> Becasue there's not been ONE SINGLE INSTANCE when ARES or RACES
>> has EVER refused or been unable to fulfill it's promised duties for
any
>> reason YOU have suggested...Nor has there ever been ANY reason
they've
>> refused, period.
>
>Kindly show where I've said they've refused anything, you idiot.

Having to "show" everything is one of Stebie's ploys.

What he is really saying is that you are >>WRONG<<
if and only if you oppose HIM. :-)

Stebie is a BIG player in ARES and RACES, must have
been in many more than seven of those "actions." Not
only that, as a TN CAP "ace", he knows ALL about
DSP because he operated an Icom HT while in that
"service!"

<bring up audio from grammaphone in the corner
playing the Murine's Him...>

<in background on rear screen, scene of service members
engaged in close order Dill, Dill instructors chewing out
those who were unworthy of the corpse>

>> > > I've asked you SEVERAL TIMES now to show me JUST ONE
example

Tsk. Stebie has been told a million times not
to exaggerate! :-)

>> > Practically all first responders use cell phones. Cell phones are
>> > often how a person is initially notified that they are in an
emergency
>> > period. The military relies on them quite heavily.
>
>> Cellphones are NOT unlicensed devices. YOU do not have to have
a
>> licnese for it, but the entire cellphone net operates under various
FCC
>> licenses. See "Common Carrier".

Tsk. I thought Stebie would go into some harangue about
LICENSES!!! :-)

One can't OPERATE a DEVICE without a LICENSE! etc.

Stebie is all mixed up about "devices" and "nets" and
"licenses." Tsk. Out of the amateur community he
can't talk the talk, has to use poor analogues from
his "ham experience." :-)

Roughly speaking, there's about 100 MILLION cell
phone subscriptions in the U.S. of A. today. That's
like one in three citizens having one. Stebie might
think the VEC ought to "examine" each and every one
of those 100 MILLION cell service subscriptions? :-)

>> Also, when you first made your assertion, I asked you if you
meant
>> Part 15, Part 95, etc, and you said "Yes, of course". So...Still
>> waiting.
>
>Wait away.

Tsk. Barracks Lawyer Stebie doesn't know moot court
is in recess. :-)

Stebie has years on the bench. He was once admitted
to a bar. Thinks that makes him a Barracks Lawyer.
Tsk. He isn't even a master debater. :-)

>> "I believe that ARES will not be able to respond to future
>> emergencies due to the greying demographic" is an opinion.
>>
>> "ARES is dependent upon graying old men who can't respond to
>> emergencies" is an assertion.
>
>Are those your opinions?

Tsk. The master debater is yanking off again. :-)

Stebieworld is rife with tales of glory and heroism
in the face of danger... :-)

>> You made "statements of fact" that were nothing BUT opinion.
>
>You're the one jumping to conclusions, Steve. You expect everything
>that anyone says to be fact verified by Saint Hiram.

In Stebieworld he meets with H.P.M. every day to
Get Da Word from Da Man!

If you no agree with Stebie, you are >>WRONG<<, you
are a >>PUTZ<<, you will live in infamy, and not be
allowed EVER to associate with the Elitist Extras!

>Steve, you're so full yourself. You make yourself into fool every
time
>you start jumping up and down demanding proof and facts anytime
>something you don't like comes up. Too bad no one is taking you
>seriously, especially me. You make up stuff and keep making up stuff
>so you don't have to apologize for being such an ass.
>
>sayonara, bb

Stebie is a Master Debater...by his own definitions,
not that of rational human beings. Stebie MUST have
CONTROL, MUST be in COMMAND, CANNOT tolerate ANY
back-talk, and absolutely poselutely forevermore
HAVE THE LAST WORD in everything. It's the MURINE
WAY!!!

<grammophone in the corner up very loud on the
bars of "from the halls of monty pye-athon to the
shores of Tivoli...">

Absolutely fascinating stuff. Stebie is so hot and
heavy into "his" arguments that it's like an on-line
field trip into the psych wards of an institution.
Problem is that he ain't an MD shrink but one of the
committed ones...weird-ass role-reversal thing!

Ham radio it ain't. Not even close to "policy."
It's like if Kraft-Ebbing had a collection of hobby
deviants bound into a book, not about sex but of
morsemanship gone awry.

It's a wonder that ANYONE gets an "interest" in
ham radio from Stebie's outpourings...da ultimate
murine DILL instructor (pursed lips of disgust at
all the "maggots" around him needing to be swatted
by his swagger stick...except his "stick" is a shortie).

"For the corpse, God, and country." In that order.
Temper fry.

Brian, let's wait and see what the Master Debater
yanks off on later...:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4128. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 16:27:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113323238.191749.273680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105694

Lest WE forget..."N2EY" NEVER served in ANY war in ANY military.

Let's all stand up and salute this brave "member of the service."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

[ex-RA16408336]

4129. Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 18:55:08 UTC
From: Peter Barbella <nonobar@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <gQU6e.14294$nH4.4122@trndny05>
Source record: 105695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Isn't the effectiveness of DSP critically dependent upon the A/D converter;
its dynamic range, it's number of bits and it's sampling speed?

Pete
KB1LZH

"straydog" <advocacy@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.NEB.4.61.0504110234040.1747@sdf.lonestar.org...
>
> A ham friend told me that he heard that DSPs really work at least a little
> bit. He doesn't have one and neither do I (although many of the newer and
> more expensive rigs have them, and there are one or two outboard DSPs that
> are available that can be added to older rigs in some ways). I don't know
> all that they can do (auto null heterodynes? auto noise reduction [what
> kind of noise reduction?]? audio enhancement [or audio bandpass shaping]
> of some kind?) but if static crashes can be really removed or attenuated,
> that would be of use to me. Auto null of heterodynes would be nice on
> those
> occassions when tuner-uppers decide to tune up on my frequency in which I
> am already in two way contact with others (on ssb phone). And, maybe there
> are some other useful characteristics I don't know about. But, are these
> really effective? For example, a 20 db heterodyne null is not much. Nulls
> have to be about 50-60 db to be useful.
>
> I'll take any kind of comments, suggestions, refernces to testing, or
> personal experience, pro & con recomendations, ...anything...
>
> Art, W4PON
>
>
>

4130. Re: How About This?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 18:59:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <qUU6e.4571$go4.1574@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105696

"Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:4qQ6e.4791$An2.3841@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1113299832.731062.162210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> : http://www.minutemanproject.com/Ham.html
> :
>
> These neo-vigilantes are already a burden on the USBP down here, and now
> we'll have vigilante-newbie-hams playing sojer on our ham bands. How
> about
> that?
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire
>
>

Well at least they will be legal. And what is wrong with citizens
protecting US citizens?

Dan/W4NTI

4131. Thanks to all who responded....was: Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 19:13:42 UTC
From: straydog <advocacy@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.61.0504121859030.3428@ukato.freeshell.org>
Source record: 105697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Re: Peter's comment below; I don't know enough about DSPs to answer. I got a few ideas and notions from all that responded. Me? I was most interested to learn whether any DSPs could do noise reduction of any kind. Heterodyne from lid tuner-uppers would be nice, too, and so would passband width and position.

I did google "DSP & ham radio" and quite a bit came up and it will take me some time to check out enough to figure out if it might be worth chucking out $200+ on a decent outboard unit (I can't justify laying out a big chunk of money on a really modern xcvr with built in DSP just for those relatively rare moments when I have pulse noise, hash noise, or a heterodyne, or someone with spillover from an adjacent frequency), so I need something that works pretty good. One guy said there is no "magic" meaning to me that maybe I should not expect rabbits to come out of hats easily and quickly. My friend with whom I have weekly ragchew ssb QSOs told me that just putting on headphones helped him read me when I was weak and his noise level was high. Also, it helped him a lot when I bought a linear and went from barefoot to four 811As. But, if a nearby QSO starts and thier audio is broad, the spillover can be annoying if they are stronger. Thus, if I could "DSP" a narrower passband and shift the frequency (or at least shift the "knee"), that might be useful.

Regarding efficacy, I remember back some 3-4 decades ago they were coming out with audio compandors that "compressed" 2.5+ khz of audio bandwidth into a signal about 1.2 khz wide, thus saving bandwidth but the gadgets never caught on bigtime and some gossip said that the extra "audio punch" and thus power boost (3 db-ish) really didn't end up doing that much. So, that is one example of a fancy-schmantzy gadget that didn't last long. This new PSK-31 audio jazz is also out there (the box is about $500 ?) and you need one at both ends of the voice QSO and I have not yet heard many of them (the review said that if the signal drops too low, the voice info just stops coming through the speaker, so it has its caveats, too).

Again, thanks to all those who made comments.

Art, W4PON

======= no change to below, included for reference and context ====
On Tue, 12 Apr 2005, Peter Barbella wrote:

> Date: Tue, 12 Apr 2005 18:55:08 GMT
> From: Peter Barbella <nonobar@verizon.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors, rec.antiques.radio+phono,

> rec.radio.amateur.equipment, rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,
> rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?
>
> Isn't the effectiveness of DSP critically dependent upon the A/D converter;
> its dynamic range, it's number of bits and it's sampling speed?
>
> Pete
> KB1LZH
>
>
> "straydog" <advocacy@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
> news:Pine.NEB.4.61.0504110234040.1747@sdf.lonestar.org...
>>
>> A ham friend told me that he heard that DSPs really work at least a little
>> bit. He doesn't have one and neither do I (although many of the newer and
>> more expensive rigs have them, and there are one or two outboard DSPs that
>> are available that can be added to older rigs in some ways). I don't know
>> all that they can do (auto null heterodynes? auto noise reduction [what
>> kind of noise reduction?]? audio enhancement [or audio bandpass shaping]
>> of some kind?) but if static crashes can be really removed or attenuated,
>> that would be of use to me. Auto null of heterodynes would be nice on
>> those
>> occassions when tuner-uppers decide to tune up on my frequency in which I
>> am already in two way contact with others (on ssb phone). And, maybe there
>> are some other useful characteristics I don't know about. But, are these
>> really effective? For example, a 20 db heterodyne null is not much. Nulls
>> have to be about 50-60 db to be useful.
>>
>> I'll take any kind of comments, suggestions, refernces to testing, or
>> personal experience, pro & con recomendations, ...anything...
>>
>> Art, W4PON
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

4132. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 19:51:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113335489.049009.30090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105698

From: K4YZ on Apr 12, 6:21 am

>bb wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > bb wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>
>> Kindly show where I've said they've refused anything, you idiot.
>
> I'm not the idiot here, Brian. You are.
>
> You were the one who started this off by insisting that ARES did
>not have adequate human resources to fulfil their "duties".

Nyah, nyah, Stebie didn't do it! Brian did it!

Sounds like a lil' kid squalling to mommie...:-)

Stebie NEVER does anything wrong...it's ALWAYS
the "other person."

> All I have asked YOU is to show some evidence of YOUR examples of

>having ever been even remotely plausable or having happened in fact.

Wow! "Other people" have to testify in moot court,
"show evidence," take oaths, etc.

Stebie can pervert the "evidence" anywhichway (and
does) to show the "other person" is da bad guy.
Stebie be Da Man! :-)

> Especially today, after literally hundreds of thousands of
dollars
>have been poured into ARES from Homeland Defense resources to provide
>an even more comptetent operator.

Tsk. Money pit. "Pork barrel" kind of thing?

Besides, that sole "operator" must get awful tired
being on-duty 24/7, big money or no.

Gotta love it...a "competent operator" who must be
a morseman sitting around and waiting for the Big
Emergency so that he can send all that morse (at
high speed, of course) on the ham bands and Save The
Nation!

>> > > > I've asked you SEVERAL TIMES now to show me JUST ONE
example of
>> > > > some situation where ARES was asked to respond to a situation
but
>> > > > couldn't due to any of the criteria YOU cited.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...lil Stebie hasn't yet given US any
data on the When and Where of his famous "seven
hostile action" participations. Not "ONE" even...

Has lil Stebie acknowledged that the DoD directs
MARS? I don't think so. Lil Stebie still thinks
that "MARS >>IS<< ham radio!" :-)

But...lil Stebie cries and cries, demanding everyone
(that disagrees with him) to show how bad they are,
all the mistakes they made, and will never ever admit
he makes ANY mistakes in here. :-)

> Just about exactly what I'd expect from a coward, Brian. Thanks
>for not disappointing me.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. NO camaraderie in the ham ranks, it seems.

Lil Stebie gets Mad As Hell (and can't take it at all) then
resorts to all kinds of name-calling. "Coward" and the like.

Cowardice following bragging is exemplified by his claim
to participation in the "seven hostile actions." Tsk.

>> Are those your opinions?
>
> Nice try. No, they are not.

Lil Stebie got NO opinions? Tsk, tsk.

Lil Stebie just spouts away and WHATEVER he spouts IS
the truth (according to him). SOP for Stebie.

>> > You made "statements of fact" that were nothing BUT opinion.
>
>> You're the one jumping to conclusions, Steve. You expect everything

>> that anyone says to be fact verified by Saint Hiram.
>
> Nice fall-back to Lennie's rhetoric, Brian. Failing to stay
>aheqad on your own you had to "run hom to Mama".

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Invoking another again, plus personal
insults on that other. [usual SOP for Stebie]

Lil Stebie squalling to mama, "I didn't do it...Brian did it!"

[not to mention the angry typing of "aheqad" and "hom"...:-)]

> I made no "conclusions". I HAVE been asking YOU to substantiate
>claims and assertions YOU have made that they may be better
understood.

Tsk. Stebie done agressively get in EVERYONE's face
if that everyone so much as expressed mild disapproval
of Stebie's glorious, noble, "truth" outpourings in here.

Well, this >>IS<< Stebie's playground. Newsgroup was
created expressly for lil Stebie to shout, holler,
bitch, cuss, moan, insult, denigrate others to his
heart's content. Everything in here involves Stebie
allatime and he MUST comment on everything and how
nasty all those others are... :-)

>All you do is dodge, deceive and try to blow it off by calling me
>"nuts" for having dared to challenge you on YOUR claims.

Tsk. You >>ARE<< nuts, big hero seven-hostile-action
man!

Go count all the medals in your cigar box. :-)

> Now you're angry because I won't let go and am backing you into a

>corner to answer your own claims. Shudd've either ante'd up some
>answers or just said something to the tune of "That was just my
>opinion...I really don't have any facts to substantiate it..."

Oooooo! Okay, everybody, let's all make a macro to
show we all know the "difference" between opinion and
assertion!

> That I could live with as an honest answer.

You CANNOT live with the truth, "Col Jessup." :-)

> Instead, you've
>treated us to months and months of deception and avoidance.

Stebie, this whole newsgroup is a BIG CONSPIRACY
AGAINST >>YOU<<!! :-)

Everyone who doesn't live in Stebieland is a "liar"
and tries to "deceive" and "avoid" and all that other
nastyness you spout.

> "And" nothing, Brian...Is that all your "unlicensed devices",
>"ARES", and other statements were? Unsubstantiated opinion? A simple

>yes or no will clear the whole thing up.

Okay, here's an answer for you: Yes. No.

Happy? :-)

> You make assertions of fact. I ask you for facts to back the
>assertions up. Then you claim I am "nuts" for having asked you what
>the truth is.

Hey, you aren't "nuts." You ARE psycho of some kind.
No problem on observing your constant sociopathic
antagonism against ALL who disagree with you.

But, you are being hypocritical again. Just before you asked
for a "simple yes or no." Now you are switching sides again
and demanding "facts" to "back up" everything. Tsk, tsk.

Stebie a "switch hitter?" Tsk.

> If you'd take no other lesson from all of this than to learn the
>difference between an "assertion", "Statement as fact" and how to
>express an idea as an opinion, you'd have a far less tumultuous
>newsgroup experience.

Ooooooo! Lectures from the bottom of the black pot!

Gotta love it. Stebie presses and presses on folks in
message after message, calling them names of many kinds
and trying to pick fights and flame-wars. NOW he is all
kinds of noble, saintly, heroic "lecturer" on "how to
comport oneself in a newsgroup! :-)

>> sayonara, bb
>
> Oh...?!?! You speak Japanese now? Gee...Your mentor seems to
>always want to try and "ding" me for calling him a "putz" due to his
>expectation that I don't speak Hebrew.

YIDDISH you schmuck. Geez, I know MORE Hebrew than
Stebie knows Nihon-go. Jewishness passed over Stebie.

> Please...Tell us all about your
>experiences in Japan and your fluency in Kanjii.

Tsk. Honorable Stebie only samurai who was able
to shoot himself in the foot with his sword...

Wakarimasen? [say "hai..." :-)]

Gotta love all the camaraderie among hams. Too bad
none of it gets passed on by Stebie, the ace of the TN
CAP (with his handy DSP-equipped Icom HT).

Okay, Stebie, wanna hear all about how to do REAL
HF comms from Japan? :-) I did three years of
it. Stebie did ZERO, zip, nada, nyet, nothing like
that. Oh, well...say "hai" to all the folks at home.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4133. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 20:26:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050412162651.819$mg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 105699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"pctech" <mospam(remove)@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I could really give a shit about some ass who supposedly wont feed his
> kids , I read this group to get info on Amateur antennas,NOT the local
> gossip spewed by another ASS who is pissed off at someone and has to post
> this shit across the internet . Get a life moron ! you are no better than
> he is ,
>
Simple enough. Don't read it, fuckstick.
>
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com...
> > N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
> >> candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
> >>
> > Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your
> > children, oh indigent one?
> >
> >
> > N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
> > present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
> > SWANSON appointed as counsel.
> > Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
> > the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
> > Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
> > Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
> > Sue Swanson
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
> > RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
> > 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
> > Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
> > ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
> > present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
> > ADAIR present in court.

> > Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
> > having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
> > of probation.
> > Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
> > of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
> > 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
> > Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> > ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
> > Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
> > Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
> > agreement.
> > Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
> > any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
> > further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
> > associated with being granted probation. Payment of
> > financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
> > supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
> > fees.
> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> > SENTENCE C-184-502
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> > terminated unsuccesfully
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

4134. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 20:28:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050412162833.375$cU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 105550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "pctech" <mospam(remove)@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:2EO6e.8514$44.5288@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net:
>
> > I could really give a shit about some ass who supposedly wont feed his
> > kids , I read this group to get info on Amateur antennas,NOT the local
> > gossip spewed by another ASS who is pissed off at someone and has to
> > post this shit across the internet . Get a life moron ! you are no
> > better than he is ,
> >
>
> We have told him this, the best part is he goes to these hams homes and
> harasses them all because something he didnt like was said on usenet.
> Does this guy need help or what. he cut's lawns for a living in medina
> ohio area.
>
How cute, Chrissy Busch is humping my leg again.

4135. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 20:30:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050412163017.704$t2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 105551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> N8WWM and another individual have been harassing CB'ers
> for 5 plus years now in Rec.Radio.CB. Sending threatening Emails, signing
> you up for Spam, sending virus, false
> accusation, vulgar language, making vulgar web pages all
> this while changing screen nics to bypass filters so that you
> don't have the chance to filter them out.
> Rec.Radio.CB used to talk about radio both legal & illegal,
> but these two people came on to the group both supporting
> and condoning illegal activities, but found it was mush more
> fun to harrass and flood the group with useless posts, sometimes 100 to
> 200 posts a day!
> Being Steveo is close to these people, he's called them on
> their offers of, "Go ahead, we invite you to show up". Well
> Steveo did just that and the best they could do was peer out
> the windows and door, to afraid to stop out into the street.
>
> Landshark
>
> Now watch the responses they throw out ;)
>
I couldn't have said it any better myself. Thank You, Shark.

4136. Re: How About This?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 22:08:47 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <PFX6e.4995$An2.1626@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105552

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:qUU6e.4571$go4.1574@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

:
: Well at least they will be legal. And what is wrong with citizens
: protecting US citizens?
:
: Dan/W4NTI
:

Hey, I know what let's do. Let's put Dan and Brian and Hans and Len and Steve and Larry into their large-waist poopy suits and issue 'em a handheld and send 'em out south of town in the Sonoran and let 'em play sojer instead of pissing and moaning at each other here on rrap. Maybe we could even sign up Todd as an irregular reservist, kind of a camp comfort-boy. Be sure to pack extra batteries, boys, and don't expect the Border Patrol to pity you when they find your desiccated corpse out here in the desert. They got better things to do than to baby-sit a bunch of make-believe vigilantes.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4137. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 23:06:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113347161.011441.255200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105553

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > I claim that your assertion that ARES is "dependent" on aging
> > > > > men who can't/won't respond due to age/infirmity or are over
> > > > obligated
> > > > > is misleading and untrue.
> > > >
> > > > How is my opinion wrong?
> > >
> > > Becasue there's not been ONE SINGLE INSTANCE when ARES or
> RACES
> > > has EVER refused or been unable to fulfill it's promised duties
for
> > any
> > > reason YOU have suggested...Nor has there ever been ANY reason
> > they've
> > > refused, period.
> >
> > Kindly show where I've said they've refused anything, you idiot.
>
> I'm not the idiot here, Brian. You are.

Wrong again. Is that lie #13? Why yes it is.

> You were the one who started this off by insisting that ARES did
> not have adequate human resources to fulfil their "duties".
>
> All I have asked YOU is to show some evidence of YOUR examples
of
> having ever been even remotely plausable or having happened in fact.
>
> Especially today, after literally hundreds of thousands of
dollars
> have been poured into ARES from Homeland Defense resources to provide
> an even more comptetent operator.

Indeed. Spending money doesn't necessarily get results. There was a report yesterday about Bill Clinton's "100,000 more Police" on the streets. Hundreds of millions spent, a lot was squandered.

> > > > > I've asked you SEVERAL TIMES now to show me JUST ONE
> example
> > > of
> > > > > some situation where ARES was asked to respond to a situation
> but
> > > > > couldn't due to any of the criteria YOU cited.
> > > > >
> > > > Phil provided his situation.
> > >
> > Phil's situation has been covered. HE provided that.
> >
> He indicated that there was a deficit in the # of volunteers.
>
> There was just a deficit in the way they were assigned.

Nope. They were employed according to the concept of operations.

> > > > > YOU claimed that "unlicensed devices play a major role"
in
> > > > > "emergency comms". I've asked your to substantiate THAT claim
> > with
> > > > > some
> > > > > sort of valid reference, but you;ve balked at that one, too.
> > > > >
> > > > Practically all first responders use cell phones. Cell phones
> are
> > > > often how a person is initially notified that they are in an
> > > emergency
> > > > period. The military relies on them quite heavily.
> > >
> > Cellphones are NOT unlicensed devices. YOU do not have to
have
> a
> > > licnese for it, but the entire cellphone net operates under
various
> > FCC
> > > licenses. See "Common Carrier".
> > >
> > > Also, when you first made your assertion, I asked you if you
> > meant
> > > Part 15, Part 95, etc, and you said "Yes, of course". So...Still
> > > waiting.
> >
> > Wait away.
>
> Just about exactly what I'd expect from a coward, Brian. Thanks
> for not disappointing me.

You're very welcome.

> > > > > You claim, I ask you to validate the claim. You refuse.
> No

> > > > facts
> > > > > = invalid claim.
> > > >
> > > > If a fact isn't presented then the opinion is wrong? You da
man!
> > >
> > > "I believe that ARES will not be able to respond to future
> > > emergencies due to the greying demographic" is an opinion.
> > >
> > > "ARES is dependent upon graying old men who can't respond to
> > > emergencies" is an assertion.
> >
> > Are those your opinions?
>
> Nice try. No, they are not.

Then where did you get them from?

> > > > > It really is THAT simple.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > It really is quite simple to see that you claim people's
opinions
> > are
> > > > > wrong. Then you start the "liar, liar" routine. It's all
> > archived.
> > > > > Again and again.
> > > >
> > > > And you still have not come completely clean.
> > > >
> > > > You made "statements of fact" that were nothing BUT opinion.
> > >
> > You're the one jumping to conclusions, Steve. You expect
everything
> > that anyone says to be fact verified by Saint Hiram.
>
> Nice fall-back to Lennie's rhetoric, Brian. Failing to stay
> aheqad on your own you had to "run hom to Mama".
>
> I made no "conclusions". I HAVE been asking YOU to substantiate
> claims and assertions YOU have made that they may be better
understood.
> All you do is dodge, deceive and try to blow it off by calling me
> "nuts" for having dared to challenge you on YOUR claims.

You're nuts for lots of reasons. One of the reasons is that you
constantly jump up and down and call people liars for having an
opinion.

> Now you're angry because I won't let go and am backing you into
a
> corner to answer your own claims. Shudd've either ante'd up some
> answers or just said something to the tune of "That was just my
> opinion...I really don't have any facts to substantiate it..."

I owe you nothing. Nothing at all. If you don't like my opinions then
you can just not like them. Oh my, hope that wasn't too much tuff love
for you.

> That I could live with as an honest answer. Instead, you've
> treated us to months and months of deception and avoidance.

You have. Now substantiate the two statements in quotes above.

> > > You continue to refuse to validate your assertions unless
you
> > are
> > > NOW stating that all they were WAS opinion, and nothing BUT
> opinion.
> >
> > And?
>
> "And" nothing, Brian...Is that all your "unlicensed devices",
> "ARES", and other statements were? Unsubstantiated opinion? A
simple
> yes or no will clear the whole thing up.

Nothing is what you'll get, Steve. It's exactly what you deserve.

> > > However it's taken you MONTHS to get out from under that
rock,
> > > which leads me to believe that this is your only perceived
avenuse
> of
> > > escape from your own silly mistakes and unsubstantiated rantings.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, you're so full yourself. You make yourself into fool every
> time
> > you start jumping up and down demanding proof and facts anytime
> > something you don't like comes up. Too bad no one is taking you
> > seriously, especially me. You make up stuff and keep making up
stuff
> > so you don't have to apologize for being such an ass.
>
> Hardly, Brian.
>
> You make assertions of fact. I ask you for facts to back the
> assertions up. Then you claim I am "nuts" for having asked you what
> the truth is.

I make comments. You are the one who must turn every comment into a fact, complete with 8x10 glossies with circles and arrows. Too bad for you. You're nuts.

> If you'd take no other lesson from all of this than to learn the
> difference between an "assertion", "Statement as fact" and how to
> express an idea as an opinion, you'd have a far less tumultuous
> newsgroup experience.

Sounds like you have a real problem on your hands. No one must comply with your demands, especially me. The sooner you come to that conclusion the sooner you can get a handle on your other problems.

> > sayonara, bb
>
> Oh...?!?! You speak Japanese now? Gee...Your mentor seems to
> always want to try and "ding" me for calling him a "putz" due to his
> expectation that I don't speak Hebrew. Please...Tell us all about
your
> experiences in Japan and your fluency in Kanjii.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I have no skill in the Japanese language. Thanks for straightening me out that "sayonara" is the Japanese equivalent of the Yiddish word for "penis." I'm shocked to think that all those JA's were were repeating the word "penis" over and over again on the air. And I always thought they were the most polite amateurs.

4138. Re: How About This?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 23:17:37 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <HGY6e.6802$EX4.3380@fed1read01>
Source record: 105554

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Mel A. Nomah wrote:

>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1113299832.731062.162210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> : http://www.minutemanproject.com/Ham.html
> :
>
> These neo-vigilantes are already a burden on the USBP down here, and now
> we'll have vigilante-newbie-hams playing sojer on our ham bands. How
> about that?
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire
gee,
I guess you don't live down this way and you sure as hell DON'T understand
the problem.

I do find it rather odd that the Government would tell one of the folks (who
was a government employee) that he was being transfer. said person was also
the radio coordinator for the minuteman project. Strange that. guess the
government doesn't want to get involved.

Someone high up the food chain is playing games with our lives and our
national security. I think the only time anyone will take this seriously is
if a terrorist cell actually does cross the border and start pulling crap
here (such as car bombs, bio terror and other such acts of terrorism).

as for the ham down there, I applaud their efforts (I wish I could go, but
no ride and very little left in funds to eat on). now if only the
government officials would wake up and smell the coffee on this one!

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
```

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCXFckhomUgK3bdaYRAv0sAJ9zh9kIqqUiR7J0Jl5M1ckrzAv6pgCgjBL3
AY7G9zILyoMY2nrRUtzgvFY=
=XNR7
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

4139. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 23:20:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113348036.380835.138930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105555

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Lest WE forget..."N2EY" NEVER served in ANY war in ANY military.

How do you know for sure, Len?

Besides - what does it matter?

I've seen how you talk to those who *have*
served in the US military, and for various
departments of the US government. If the
person disagrees with you on almost any
issue, you treat them *and their service*
with little or no respect at all. You
make fun of them and their service for
no apparent reason other than a failed
attempt at what you consider "humor".

Except it isn't funny.

>Let's all stand up and salute this brave "member of the service."

There you go again! So typical.

4140. AWESOME MOVIE! << This free movie is about real situations and I give it a big thumbs-up!! o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o 11 o o o o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 23:23:54 UTC
From: JavaDude_MSN250@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1113348234.870664.40790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105556
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

```
http://www.moviefever.com/movie121 << Awesome Movie!
You can reply with your comments
about the movie if you would like.
```

4141. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 23:27:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113348465.020812.324210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105557

From: K4YZ on Apr 12, 6:04 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Mon,Apr 11 2005 8:09 pm
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> > No doubt that inadequacy is at the root of his hatred for you.
>
>> >> You continue to insist that I ahte Lennie. I don't. I
>> >> understand him better than he accepts tht I do, and therefore
make
>> >> sure that OTHERS understand him too.
>
>> >> Just like I do you, Brian.
>
>> >You hate me too? OK, Mr Obvious.
>
>> :-) Stebie HATES everyone who stands up to him. :-)
>
> Snip of usual regurgitated LennieStuff to:

Poor baby...lil Stebie just CAN'T handle it...tsk, tsk.

>> Stebie is really working overtime on manufacturing LIES
>> about me and you and Todd and anyone else who crosses
>> him in here. Not surprising to me.
>
> There's nothing "manufactured" about you, Lennie....Everything
>that's been said here has been a direct result of information YOU
>provided.

Tsk, tsk. You're going to say it is "all LIES" again,
aren't you? :-)

Is that all you "ahve?" :-)

> Sorry if that's a problem, but you did provide it.

Provide WHAT? :-)

Poor baby...you couldn't STAND a short-form resume',
you thought it all some kind of "brag" exercise.
Tsk, it wasn't. It made you resentful and that
prompted your anger, hatred, and in-group attacks.

I've provided names and callsigns of those who know me, but you have NOT contacted them. Instead, you MANUFACTURE some person at NADC that claims to know me...a decade after I'd been there and a PhD to boot but NOBODY (but you) knows the name. :-)

I sent you a scan of my first 'phone license (the very first one) and you would NOT look at it! :-) Instead you manufactured a clear-libel "personal page" on AOL damning me in no uncertain terms. Fortunately for all concerned (except yourself), AOL took it down as soon as they were informed. :-)

> You do lie, both about Amateur Radio in general and many of us who
>are practitioners of that art. You've told us of things you'd do and >of things you've done, yet NO PROOF.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Here's the way things go down in the rational world:

"Proof" is provided in the form of unalterable third-party sources...things like employers, friends (preferrably those that aren't within easy driving distance to avoid collusion), publications, local and national governmental records archives, some place that is relatively easy to get at such as Internet sites. [I've given you ALL those "links" in here] The next step is for YOU to check out those third-party references...or anyone else to do it, as they want. That "proof" remains unalterable except when a personal reference passes on (none of mine have yet).

NO ONE MUST give YOU any "proof" of what they WILL do. NO ONE can predict the future. :-)

In any event, you are NOT some kind of "judge" to hand out any "ruling" on anyone, certainly not your e-opponents. :-) You keep crowing that you ARE one, but that is YOUR OWN LIE that you tell the world. A LIE anywhichway it goes.

Now you MUST tell all about this former NADC employee who claims to know me a decade after I was there. You have NOT done so. You LIE.

You haven't told the Where or When of those famous "seven hostile actions" you claim but won't reveal. Tsk. You LIE and then give yourself a pat on the back for imagining that to be "truth!" :-)

You have NOT acknowledged that the DoD directs and supervises MARS, even when informed of the website

and full link details of the directive by DoD (as I
did in here).

In short, sweetums, like the infamous Colonel
Jessup of the film "A Few Good Men," you just can't
handle the truth! You LIE with impunity and then
accuse others of "lying" when they give details
and references. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

> You taught Brian well...Just keep pouring the lies in to try and
>further dilute the already muddled pool...Except that some of us can
>stay ahead of your silliness and THAT is what YOU hate.

You poor psychoed-to-the-max thing. Brian is a
rational human being who can think for himself.
I didn't "teach" him anything in regards to being
rational. We've both observed you in detail and
came to the same agreement...you're some kind of
sick psycho who CAN'T STAND being opposed in
anything.

> Leonard H. Anderson is a known chronic liar.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Not at all. You are SICK,
sweetums, and all you can do is make all those
personal attacks on everyone who disagrees with
you. You NEED some personal mental counseling
but you've obviously not gotten any. That's a
danger to yourself and your immediate family, not
to mention cutting down on all the hate and anger
your actions ignite in this newsgroup.

Try to keep focussed on the FACT that this
newsgroup is SUPPOSED to be about amateur radio
policy. It is NOT some kind of kiddie sandbox
that was created for Stebie to show his courage
in personal attacks on everyone who disagrees
with him.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4142. Comedy Central

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 23:28:30 UTC
From: Roger Lee <NoSpam@Glendale.co>
Message-ID: <d3hlhd$7di$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105558

Who needs Jay Leno when we have Todd and Roger hanging around?

4143. Re: How About This?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-12 23:55:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113350152.609678.20970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105559

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Mel A. Nomah wrote:
>
> >
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1113299832.731062.162210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > : http://www.minutemanproject.com/Ham.html
> > :
> >
> > These neo-vigilantes are already a burden on the USBP down here,
and now
> > we'll have vigilante-newbie-hams playing sojer on our ham bands.
How
> > about that?
> >
> > M.A.N.
> > --
> > "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O
Lord,
> > make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> > - Voltaire
> gee,
> I guess you don't live down this way and you sure as hell DON'T
understand
> the problem.
>
> I do find it rather odd that the Government would tell one of the
folks (who
> was a government employee) that he was being transfer. said person
was also
> the radio coordinator for the minuteman project. Strange that. guess
the
> government doesn't want to get involved.
>
> Someone high up the food chain is playing games with our lives and
our
> national security. I think the only time anyone will take this
seriously is
> if a terrorist cell actually does cross the border and start pulling
crap

> here (such as car bombs, bio terror and other such acts of
terrorism).
>
> as for the ham down there, I applaud their efforts (I wish I could
go, but
> no ride and very little left in funds to eat on). now if only the
> government officials would wake up and smell the coffee on this one!

Maybe Pauncho Melanomah will ride again.

4144. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 00:02:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113350547.603170.89650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105560

From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> Lest WE forget..."N2EY" NEVER served in ANY war in ANY military.
>
>How do you know for sure, Len?
>
>Besides - what does it matter?

You want to get all emotional and teary on
World War II? Tsk. Go talk to a REAL WW2
veteran. Better yet, visit a VA hospital and
keep some of them company.

Remember that WW2 ENDED BEFORE you were born.

And, you've NEVER served in any military.

Yet you make this BIG THING about morse code
in a window display...could it be that you
just don't give a damn about WW2 and only want
to make a big emotional showing for morse?

>I've seen how you talk to those who *have*
>served in the US military, and for various
>departments of the US government.

I haven't dissed Bill Sohl about his USN service.
I haven't dissed Brian Burke about his USAF service.

I HAVE dissed those that want to LIE about
their big heroic military "actions" such as
Stebie the wonder murine about his famous
"seven hostile actions" and his failure to
acknowledge that the DoD really does direct
MARS.

Am I supposed to "respect" the infamous Kolonel
Klunk about his very NON-SPECIFIC "service" in
Vietnam? Just because he brags without revealing
any details? Just because he was in the State
Department?

Of course I "should." All those infamous types
are pro-coders and YOU love morse code, so much
so that you think ham radio is all about morse-
manship...and MUST connect morse code to some kind

of imaginary "gallantry in the service." :-)

>If the person disagrees with you on almost any
>issue, you treat them *and their service*
>with little or no respect at all.

Tsk, tsk. Better contact the Department of the
Army of the United States and DEMAND my Good
Conduct medal be given up and sent back! :-)

>You make fun of them and their service for
>no apparent reason other than a failed
>attempt at what you consider "humor".

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Those "veterans" (at least one
with "seven hostile actions") have all been
about as disrespectful to me FIRST. They got
what is known as "return fire." Poor things.
Thought they could pull a snow job on everyone
else and make themselves real "big" in others'
eyes. They should have stayed down at the
Legion Hall bar.

>Except it isn't funny.

Not to you. But, you've NOT served in the military.

Your body too precious to get it harmed in REAL
service for your country?

Couldn't get a dinner date with the Captain
because the King of the Katapults was already
booked on that aircraft carrier?

>>Let's all stand up and salute this brave "member of the service."
>
>There you go again! So typical.

I served. YOU did NOT.

You want to see more of what I did in ARMY service? OK,
go to http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment and
drop down to "Stations." Once you've downloaded at least
one, we MIGHT have a chat...but then you have this
terrible habit of wanting to message on everything at
long length. Wastes my time.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4145. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 00:37:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113352648.853044.309670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105561

From: Brian Burke on Apr 12, 4:06 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > bb wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:

>> I made no "conclusions". I HAVE been asking YOU to
substantiate
>> claims and assertions YOU have made that they may be better
understood.
>> All you do is dodge, deceive and try to blow it off by calling me
>> "nuts" for having dared to challenge you on YOUR claims.
>
>You're nuts for lots of reasons. One of the reasons is that you
>constantly jump up and down and call people liars for having an
>opinion.

Tsk. True enough. Psycho in some form there...

>> "And" nothing, Brian...Is that all your "unlicensed devices",
>> "ARES", and other statements were? Unsubstantiated opinion? A
simple
>> yes or no will clear the whole thing up.
>
>Nothing is what you'll get, Steve. It's exactly what you deserve.

Again, true enough.

Like Jimmie (Who?) he bulldozes molehills into mountains...

>> You make assertions of fact. I ask you for facts to back the
>> assertions up. Then you claim I am "nuts" for having asked you what

>> the truth is.
>
>I make comments. You are the one who must turn every comment into a
>fact, complete with 8x10 glossies with circles and arrows. Too bad
for
>you. You're nuts.

Stebie be some kind of psycho, for sure...

WE still don't know the When and Where of his infamous
"seven hostile actions." :-)

WE haven't seen one thing where Stebie admits that DoD
does indeed DIRECT all of MARS. Tsk, tsk. Even when
the specific directive is given to point it out to him.

>> If you'd take no other lesson from all of this than to learn
the
>> difference between an "assertion", "Statement as fact" and how to
>> express an idea as an opinion, you'd have a far less tumultuous
>> newsgroup experience.
>
>Sounds like you have a real problem on your hands. No one must comply

>with your demands, especially me. The sooner you come to that
>conclusion the sooner you can get a handle on your other problems.

Stebieland does NOT have problems. Poor Stebie doesn't
understand that REALITY is NOT Stebieland. In Stebieland
there are ONLY Stebietruths and whatever Stebie thinks >>IS<<
the truth...all not in agreement with that are "LIARS!"

>I have no skill in the Japanese language. Thanks for straightening me

>out that "sayonara" is the Japanese equivalent of the Yiddish word for

>"penis." I'm shocked to think that all those JA's were were repeating

>the word "penis" over and over again on the air. And I always thought

>they were the most polite amateurs.

Actually, In YIDDISH (not "Hebrew" as Stebie thought), the
word "putz" is used as a pejorative meaning "head/mind is in
his penis." In other words, a HIGHLY uncomplimentary nasty
word that Schmuck Stebie has used sooooooooo many times. [ALL
archived on Google...surprise, surprise! :-)]

Stebie does a tour on Okinawa and thinks he was "in Japan."
Problem is that Okinawans do NOT refer to themselves as
"Japanese" in the strictest sense of the word. Never let
little details worry lil Stebie. :-)

Hai, wakarimas... ["yes, we understand" in conversational
Japanese]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4146. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 02:30:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <2v%6e.1042$J12.441@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 105562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"iamnotgeorge" <mmnotgeorge@yahmoo.comSpam> wrote in message
news:Xns9636D9E9BC8521234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
> news:_cQ6e.1278$t85.874@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> N8WWM and another individual have been harassing CB'ers
>> for 5 plus years now in Rec.Radio.CB. Sending threatening Emails,
>> signing you up for Spam, sending virus, false
>> accusation, vulgar language, making vulgar web pages all
>> this while changing screen nics to bypass filters so that you
>> don't have the chance to filter them out.
>> Rec.Radio.CB used to talk about radio both legal & illegal,
>> but these two people came on to the group both supporting
>> and condoning illegal activities, but found it was mush more
>> fun to harrass and flood the group with useless posts, sometimes 100
>> to 200 posts a day!
>> Being Steveo is close to these people, he's called them on
>> their offers of, "Go ahead, we invite you to show up". Well
>> Steveo did just that and the best they could do was peer out
>> the windows and door, to afraid to stop out into the street.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>> Now watch the responses they throw out ;)
>
> The responses are Google steveo and google landshark for past 5 years, go
> back about 9 to 10 years with landshark, he likes bear type transexuals,
> steveo fits the bill thats why they hump on each other. steveo? has never
> had the balls to have anyone confront him he stalks amatuer radio
> operators and has called me no less that 10 different people. he looks
> more like the fool with every name he thinks I am .. I laugh heartily as
> he is a putz without a clue...

Dear Mr. Busch WA3MOJ, I ask that you please stop changing your
screen nics to by pass newsfilters, per you're ISP's TOS you are in clear
violation doing that just to harrass me.
You have changed you screen nics 3 times this week alone,
with none of them to begin with as valid, so again, please stop
this.

C.c.

X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net Abuse@comcast.net

Path:
newssvr14.news.prodigy.com!newsdbm04.news.prodigy.com!newsdst01.news.prodigy.com!newsmst01
a.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newscon06.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.net!border1.nntp.dca.gig
anews.com!nntp.giganews.com!local01.nntp.dca.giganews.com!nntp.comcast.com!news.comcast.co
m.POSTED!not-for-mail
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Apr 2005 20:25:16 -0500
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.
dogie.is.an.idiot
Subject: Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail
From: iamnotgeorge <mmnotgeorge@yahmoo.comSpam>
References: <1113266909.322203.246720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com>
<2EO6e.8514$44.5288@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
<_cQ6e.1278$t85.874@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9636D9E9BC8512345678900216.196.97.136>
User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25
X-No-Archive: yes
Date: Tue, 12 Apr 2005 20:25:16 -0500
Lines: 30
NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
X-Trace:
sv3-gdrq12uudUO6JKdslsUBqJ1cFJgy06bZ1Ju5TEosOI4Nyg90zqJ5FPI/vkRrv7wqEQ9OQemU3/Cx9rX!4wlQPc
wtIYC/q2Ffn5UOjQRQ4AEKh8na47miIEtn8Ucu7rUO+Sof1lL65mzeXwxEroGk
X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net
X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint
properly
X-Postfilter: 1.3.32
Xref: newsmst01a.news.prodigy.com rec.radio.cb:383219
rec.radio.amateur.policy:280150 alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf:44979
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:246102

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:_cQ6e.1278$t85.874@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:

> N8WWM and another individual have been harassing CB'ers
> for 5 plus years now in Rec.Radio.CB. Sending threatening Emails,
> signing you up for Spam, sending virus, false
> accusation, vulgar language, making vulgar web pages all
> this while changing screen nics to bypass filters so that you
> don't have the chance to filter them out.
> Rec.Radio.CB used to talk about radio both legal & illegal,
> but these two people came on to the group both supporting
> and condoning illegal activities, but found it was mush more
> fun to harrass and flood the group with useless posts, sometimes 100
> to 200 posts a day!
> Being Steveo is close to these people, he's called them on
> their offers of, "Go ahead, we invite you to show up". Well
> Steveo did just that and the best they could do was peer out
> the windows and door, to afraid to stop out into the street.

>
> Landshark
>
> Now watch the responses they throw out ;)

The responses are Google steveo and google landshark for past 5 years, go back about 9 to 10 years with landshark, he likes bear type transexuals, steveo fits the bill thats why they hump on each other. steveo? has never had the balls to have anyone confront him he stalks amatuer radio operators and has called me no less that 10 different people. he looks more like the fool with every name he thinks I am .. I laugh heartily as he is a putz without a clue...

4147. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 03:00:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113361225.308562.24700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105563

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> Lest WE forget..."N2EY" NEVER served in ANY war in ANY military.
> >
> >How do you know for sure, Len?

You don't know for sure, do you, Len?

> >Besides - what does it matter?
>
> You want to get all emotional and teary on
> World War II?

"You cannot answer a question with another question"

You own words, Len.

What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?

> Tsk. Go talk to a REAL WW2
> veteran.

I've done that - many times. From several branches of the
US military.

> Better yet, visit a VA hospital and
> keep some of them company.

That's a good idea!
>
> Remember that WW2 ENDED BEFORE you were born.

Why is that of any significance? If anything, it is
more important that those of us who weren't alive then
keep the history alive.

I live just up the hill from Valley Forge, where Washington's
army survived a terrible winter. Also down the pike from where
the Paoli Massacre took place.

That war ended long before *you* were born, Len.

> And, you've NEVER served in any military.

How do you know for sure?

And what does it matter anyway?

> Yet you make this BIG THING about morse code
> in a window display...

Is it wrong to mention an interesting architectural feature?

Does it bother you, Len?

Here's a hint: The Canadian military forces used Morse Code in
WW2.

> could it be that you
> just don't give a damn about WW2 and only want
> to make a big emotional showing for morse?

Nope - not at all. I find the history of WW2 to be
very interesting. I think it's important to understand
that war, both how it got started and the aftermath, to
understand current history. And technology.

For example, the very first high-speed, general purpose,
digital electronic computer, ENIAC, was developed and built
right here in Philadelphia - in the basement of the building where I
went to EE school. I've actually seen and handled
pieces of it, read the original papers in the library there.

It was built to compute artillery aiming tables for the US Army,
and was used for ten years at the Aberdeen Proving Grounds. There's
also an unconfirmed story that it was used for atom
bomb calculations.

Or let's talk about the lessons to be learned from the US Navy
Mark XIV submarine torpedo, and its problems.

Perhaps the proximity fuse is more to your liking. Incredible
device, made with tubes originally meant for hearing aids.

I could go on about the political and economic effects, but since this
is a radio newsgroup I thought I'd stick to electronic and
radio subjects.

If I did talk about any military service I had, you would
be certain to make fun of it. It's just what you do, Len.
So typical.

> >I've seen how you talk to those who *have*
> >served in the US military, and for various
> >departments of the US government.
>
> I haven't dissed Bill Sohl about his USN service.

Because he doesn't disagree with you about Morse Code testing in
amateur radio. In fact, he pretty much ignores you.

> I haven't dissed Brian Burke about his USAF service.

Because he doesn't disagree with you about Morse Code testing in
amateur radio. In fact, he pretty much idolizes you.

It's only those who disagree with you about Morse Code testing
that get your disrespect, abuse, name calling, and general
jackass behavior.
>
> I HAVE dissed those that want to LIE about
> their big heroic military "actions" such as
> Stebie the wonder murine

There you go - calling names. So typical.

> about his famous
> "seven hostile actions"

Do you know what they are? Where *you* involved in any of
them?

Is there any reason to doubt that Steve, K4YZ, was involved
if he says he was?

> and his failure to
> acknowledge that the DoD really does direct
> MARS.

I see. His mistake somehow justifies *your* behavior?

> Am I supposed to "respect" the infamous Kolonel
> Klunk

There you go again - calling names.

Godwin invoked. You lose.

Why not use the person's name and callsign?

> about his very NON-SPECIFIC "service" in
> Vietnam? Just because he brags without revealing
> any details?

What difference would details make? Your behavior when
details are given doesn't change. In fact, you simply
use the details as a source of more insults.

OTOH, Brian, N0IMD, refuses to give any details about
his claimed amateur radio operation from Somalia, but
that doesn't bother you a bit.

> Just because he was in the State
> Department?

Do you mean Dave, K8MN, who served in the US State Department
at a number of foreign posts as a communications officer?

His service to our country was much longer than your, Len, and
in many more foreign countries. I recall when he was transferred

to right around the same time the US Embassy in the country he was transferring to was bombed. We didn't hear from him for weeks. Luckily he was OK.

Yet you argued with him at length about communications facilities that he used - even though you've never worked for the State Department.

>
> Of course I "should."

Yes, you should.

Perhaps you have forgotten the US Coast Guard radio operator who used to post here? You made fun of his service in that capacity, in your now-famous "sphincter post".

Why?

> All those infamous types
> are pro-coders and YOU love morse code, so much
> so that you think ham radio is all about morse-
> manship...

Len - lest we forget - you're not a radio amateur. You've never been a radio amateur. Yet you see fit to tell all how amateur radio should be. You're not and never have been part of the FCC, either.

> and MUST connect morse code to some kind
> of imaginary "gallantry in the service." :-)

All I did was mention a museum and some windows. That really seems to bother you. Too bad - the fact is, the Canadian armed forces used Morse Code in WW2. Deal with it, Len.

> >If the person disagrees with you on almost any
> >issue, you treat them *and their service*
> >with little or no respect at all.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Better contact the Department of the
> Army of the United States and DEMAND my Good
> Conduct medal be given up and sent back! :-)

I don't demand anything like that, Len.

> >You make fun of them and their service for
> >no apparent reason other than a failed
> >attempt at what you consider "humor".
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Those "veterans" (at least one
> with "seven hostile actions") have all been
> about as disrespectful to me FIRST.

No, they haven't. At least not to anyone rational.

You apparently see any disagreement with your
cherished beliefs about Morse Code testing in amateur
radio as "disrespect", and then proceed in your
completely predictable manner.

> They got
> what is known as "return fire."

For saying good things about Morse Code, apparently.

> Poor things.
> Thought they could pull a snow job on everyone
> else and make themselves real "big" in others'
> eyes. They should have stayed down at the
> Legion Hall bar.

You mean like somebody who tells us the same story,
over and over and over and over again,
about his service at a big radio facility 50+ years
ago? Then gets mad because people point out his
underestimation of distances, and mistakes about
Soviet aircraft deployment dates?

> >Except it isn't funny.
>
> Not to you. But, you've NOT served in the military.

How do you know for sure?

Do *you* think it's funny, Len? Why? Those whom you make
fun of don't seem to be amused.

Besides, the point is that you make fun of the military service of
those who disagree with you about Morse code testing in amateur radio.
Even though you're not an amateur radio operator, never have been, and
probably never will be.

> Your body too precious to get it harmed in REAL
> service for your country?

Why, no, Len. I don't think that at all. Never have.

Is military service the only REAL service, Len? I guess
all those police officers, firefighters, EMTs, and other
uniformed people who go in harm's way don't count, do they?

How about the utility workers who keep the lights on and the water
flowing? Or the highway, airline, transit, railroad and maritime
workers who keep transportation running?

Or health care workers, exposed to who-knows-what every day on the job?

Guess they don't count either - to you.
>

> Couldn't get a dinner date with the Captain
> because the King of the Katapults was already
> booked on that aircraft carrier?

More name calling - so typical of you.
>
>
> >>Let's all stand up and salute this brave "member of the service."
> >
> >There you go again! So typical.
>
> I served. YOU did NOT.

How does that give you the right to insult others' service?
>
> You want to see more of what I did in ARMY service?

No. You've told us over and over and over again. That's
enough.

4148. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 04:31:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <425C9F70.59796DCF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105564

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
> >
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> Lest WE forget..."N2EY" NEVER served in ANY war in ANY military.
> > >
> > >How do you know for sure, Len?
>
> You don't know for sure, do you, Len?
>
> > >Besides - what does it matter?
> >
> > You want to get all emotional and teary on
> > World War II?
>
> "You cannot answer a question with another question"
>
> You own words, Len.
>
> What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
>
> > Tsk. Go talk to a REAL WW2
> > veteran.
>
> I've done that - many times. From several branches of the
> US military.

It would have difficult to grow up in the times we did and not encounter
larges numbers of World War II vets. My dad was a U.S. Navy veteran of
the Normandy Invasion. A great-uncle was at Bataan. He survived the
Death March and was held by the Japanese until the end of the war.

> > Better yet, visit a VA hospital and
> > keep some of them company.
>
> That's a good idea!

It is a great idea but it isn't necessary to visit just a VA hospital.
I can visit any number here who live quietly with spouses, who are
living alone as widowers or who are in nursing homes.

> > Remember that WW2 ENDED BEFORE you were born.
>

> Why is that of any significance? If anything, it is
> more important that those of us who weren't alive then
> keep the history alive.

> I live just up the hill from Valley Forge, where Washington's
> army survived a terrible winter. Also down the pike from where
> the Paoli Massacre took place.
>
> That war ended long before *you* were born, Len.

You don't quite have the hang of it, Jim. I'd have used, "That war
ended LONG BEFORE YOU WERE BORN, Len".

> > And, you've NEVER served in any military.
>
> How do you know for sure?
>
> And what does it matter anyway?
>
> > Yet you make this BIG THING about morse code
> > in a window display...
>
> Is it wrong to mention an interesting architectural feature?

I read your post and looked in vain for the portion in which you made it
a BIG THING.

> Does it bother you, Len?

You read his lengthy post and saw the style he used. You bet it
bothered him.

> Here's a hint: The Canadian military forces used Morse Code in
> WW2.

> If I did talk about any military service I had, you would
> be certain to make fun of it. It's just what you do, Len.
> So typical.
>
> > >I've seen how you talk to those who *have*
> > >served in the US military, and for various
> > >departments of the US government.
> >
> > I haven't dissed Bill Sohl about his USN service.
>
> Because he doesn't disagree with you about Morse Code testing in
> amateur radio. In fact, he pretty much ignores you.
>
> > I haven't dissed Brian Burke about his USAF service.
>
> Because he doesn't disagree with you about Morse Code testing in
> amateur radio. In fact, he pretty much idolizes you.

> It's only those who disagree with you about Morse Code testing
> that get your disrespect, abuse, name calling, and general
> jackass behavior.

To be fair, Len has exhibited jackass behavior toward K0HB and Hans does
not support retention of morse code testing.

> > I HAVE dissed those that want to LIE about
> > their big heroic military "actions" such as
> > Stebie the wonder murine
>
> There you go - calling names. So typical.

...and utterly predictable.

> > about his famous
> > "seven hostile actions"
>
> Do you know what they are? Where *you* involved in any of
> them?

He doesn't have any idea what they were. It kills him.

> Is there any reason to doubt that Steve, K4YZ, was involved
> if he says he was?
>
> > and his failure to
> > acknowledge that the DoD really does direct
> > MARS.
>
> I see. His mistake somehow justifies *your* behavior?

To my knowledge, Steve has never stated that DOD does not direct MARS.
His claim is that if there were no radio amateurs, there'd have been no
MARS program. In that, he is correct.

> > Am I supposed to "respect" the infamous Kolonel
> > Klunk
>
> There you go again - calling names.

Did you really expect otherwise?

> Godwin invoked. You lose.

> Why not use the person's name and callsign?
>
> > about his very NON-SPECIFIC "service" in
> > Vietnam? Just because he brags without revealing
> > any details?
>
> What difference would details make? Your behavior when
> details are given doesn't change. In fact, you simply
> use the details as a source of more insults.

That's pretty much why I've left details out. As with Steve's military service, Len doesn't know what I did or where I did it and it kills him.

> OTOH, Brian, N0IMD, refuses to give any details about
> his claimed amateur radio operation from Somalia, but
> that doesn't bother you a bit.

Isn't this about the point at which Leonard or Brian would begin some litany about a double standard?

> > Just because he was in the State
> > Department?
>
> Do you mean Dave, K8MN, who served in the US State Department
> at a number of foreign posts as a communications officer?
>
> His service to our country was much longer than your, Len, and
> in many more foreign countries.

...and Len has invariably demeaned that service. He has always known more about my job that I did. Foreign service tours were dismissed as tropical backwaters, places of insignificance and Cashew capitals.

> I recall when he was transferred
> to right around the same time the US Embassy in the country he was
> transferring to was bombed. We didn't hear from him for weeks.
> Luckily he was OK.

Luckily, he was on holiday between the assignments and was comfy right here in West Virginia when the news broke. I arrived in Tanzania three weeks after the embassy bombing. The embassy was in ruins. Operations were carried out from a residence for six months while a new temporary embassy was constructed. It was my busiest assignment.

> Yet you argued with him at length about communications facilities
> that he used - even though you've never worked for the State
> Department.

Don't leave out his attempts at insult by stating that my name never appeared in any lists of embassy staff. That blew up in his face when I produced a couple of urls in which I was listed. Len's response was to dismiss the lists as some sort of telephone directory.

> >
> > Of course I "should."
>
> Yes, you should.
>
> Perhaps you have forgotten the US Coast Guard radio operator who
> used to post here? You made fun of his service in that capacity,
> in your now-famous "sphincter post".
>
> Why?

Because Len is all about Len. That isn't the important part though.
The important part is where Len's sphincter post speaks of what it is
like to be in battle. Len was never in battle.

> > All those infamous types
> > are pro-coders and YOU love morse code, so much
> > so that you think ham radio is all about morse-
> > manship...
>
> Len - lest we forget - you're not a radio amateur. You've never been a
> radio amateur. Yet you see fit to tell all how amateur
> radio should be. You're not and never have been part of the FCC,
> either.

As far as I'm concerned, amateur radio is about operating any mode I
choose on any band I choose. Len isn't involved on any level.

> > >If the person disagrees with you on almost any
> > >issue, you treat them *and their service*
> > >with little or no respect at all.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk. Better contact the Department of the
> > Army of the United States and DEMAND my Good
> > Conduct medal be given up and sent back! :-)

> I don't demand anything like that, Len.

> > >You make fun of them and their service for
> > >no apparent reason other than a failed
> > >attempt at what you consider "humor".
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. Those "veterans" (at least one
> > with "seven hostile actions") have all been
> > about as disrespectful to me FIRST.
>
> No, they haven't. At least not to anyone rational.

No, they haven't.

> You apparently see any disagreement with your
> cherished beliefs about Morse Code testing in amateur
> radio as "disrespect", and then proceed in your
> completely predictable manner.

> > They got
> > what is known as "return fire."
>
> For saying good things about Morse Code, apparently.

> > Poor things.
> > Thought they could pull a snow job on everyone
> > else and make themselves real "big" in others'
> > eyes. They should have stayed down at the
> > Legion Hall bar.

Len knows more about what others did than those involved. Len knows more about the military. Len knows more about communications. Len knows more about radio operation. Len knows more about the U.S. Department of State.
Len knows more about your work. Len knows more about Brian Kelly's work.
Len knows more about Steve's work.

> You mean like somebody who tells us the same story,
> over and over and over and over again,
> about his service at a big radio facility 50+ years
> ago? Then gets mad because people point out his
> underestimation of distances, and mistakes about
> Soviet aircraft deployment dates?

Whatever Len did at ADA more than a half century ago impacts amateur radio not in the least. Len tells it because he wants to be sure that everyone knows of it.

> > >Except it isn't funny.
> >
> > Not to you. But, you've NOT served in the military.
>
> How do you know for sure?
>
> Do *you* think it's funny, Len? Why? Those whom you make
> fun of don't seem to be amused.
>
> Besides, the point is that you make fun of the military service of
> those who disagree with you about Morse code testing in amateur radio.
> Even though you're not an amateur radio operator, never have been, and
> probably never will be.
>
> > Your body too precious to get it harmed in REAL
> > service for your country?
>
> Why, no, Len. I don't think that at all. Never have.
>
> Is military service the only REAL service, Len? I guess
> all those police officers, firefighters, EMTs, and other
> uniformed people who go in harm's way don't count, do they?
>
> How about the utility workers who keep the lights on and the water
> flowing? Or the highway, airline, transit, railroad and maritime
> workers who keep transportation running?
>
> Or health care workers, exposed to who-knows-what every day on the job?
>
> Guess they don't count either - to you.
> >
> > Couldn't get a dinner date with the Captain
> > because the King of the Katapults was already

> > booked on that aircraft carrier?
>
> More name calling - so typical of you.
> >
> >
> > >>Let's all stand up and salute this brave "member of the service."
> > >
> > >There you go again! So typical.
> >
> > I served. YOU did NOT.
>
> How does that give you the right to insult others' service?

How does it give him the right to insult those who never served?

> > You want to see more of what I did in ARMY service?
>
> No. You've told us over and over and over again. That's
> enough.

Now you've done it, Jim. You've denigrated a veteran.

Dave K8MN

4149. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 05:42:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113370962.772865.293060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105565

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Apr 12, 8:00 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> Lest WE forget..."N2EY" NEVER served in ANY war in ANY military.

>> >How do you know for sure, Len?

>You don't know for sure, do you, Len?

Tsk. You aren't in the St. Louis database. :-)

>What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?

This newsgroup is amateur radio policy, not WW2. :-)

>> Remember that WW2 ENDED BEFORE you were born.
>
>Why is that of any significance? If anything, it is
>more important that those of us who weren't alive then
>keep the history alive.

...so, you want to keep U.S. amateur radio as a
living museum of morsemanship. Understand.
Understood before, still understand that. :-)

>I live just up the hill from Valley Forge, where Washington's
>army survived a terrible winter. Also down the pike from where
>the Paoli Massacre took place.

That's nice. Been there, seen that. So, WHAT
does that have to do with amateur RADIO?

>That war ended long before *you* were born, Len.

Absolutely...but, the American Revolutionary War
did NOT involve either morse code or radio.

Remember, this IS an amateur radio policy news-
group, not some teary-eyed emotional hangout for
those that NEVER served.

>> And, you've NEVER served in any military.
>
>How do you know for sure?

The St. Louis database does NOT have you in it.

>And what does it matter anyway?

Not to me, personally. But, remember, this isn't
the History Channel and you AIN'T a docent in it.

>> Yet you make this BIG THING about morse code
>> in a window display...
>
>Is it wrong to mention an interesting architectural feature?

Morse code is NOW an "interesting architectural feature?"

So, you are now an amateur "architect?" :-)

>Does it bother you, Len?

Only in that you are such a transparent hypocrite.

>Here's a hint: The Canadian military forces used Morse Code in
>WW2.

Wow! [...that's a BIG Ben Stein "wowwww...."]

>> could it be that you
>> just don't give a damn about WW2 and only want
>> to make a big emotional showing for morse?
>
>Nope - not at all. I find the history of WW2 to be
>very interesting. I think it's important to understand
>that war, both how it got started and the aftermath, to
>understand current history. And technology.

Tsk, tsk. Then you MUST understand that THIS venue
is NOT for "warfare" or the technology of warfare.

You should also realize that morse code has HAD its
day and is now obsolete for modern communications.
Obsolete for everyone but the retrograde self-
aggrandizement elitist PCTA extras who USE morse
skills to show how much "better" they are than all
other "radio operators." Tsk, tsk.

>For example, the very first high-speed, general purpose,
>digital electronic computer, ENIAC, was developed and built
>right here in Philadelphia - in the basement of the building where I
>went to EE school. I've actually seen and handled
>pieces of it, read the original papers in the library there.

Poor baby, still BEHIND the times, reaching for glory
on someone ELSE's work. Look again. Collossus over in
the UK's Bletchley Park beat ENIAC in the time frame.
Not only that, John Atanasoff's little group over at
Ohio State was before BOTH of them. :-)

You really DON'T know your own industry's history well
and you are griping about others. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>It was built to compute artillery aiming tables for the US Army,
>and was used for ten years at the Aberdeen Proving Grounds. There's
>also an unconfirmed story that it was used for atom
>bomb calculations.

Sounds like you've been irradiated to sterility of the
mind. LOTS of calculation places were busy doing
ordinance table calculations way back then. Bell Labs
had a RELAY calculator...actually three of them in one
of the first computer "networks" in NYC. Before ENIAC
was started. Tsk, tsk. Get WITH the history program!

>Or let's talk about the lessons to be learned from the US Navy
>Mark XIV submarine torpedo, and its problems.

Oh! You are now an Expert Extra on USN ordinance?

Remember, this is an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY newsgroup.

>Perhaps the proximity fuse is more to your liking. Incredible
>device, made with tubes originally meant for hearing aids.

Tsk, tsk. You had a "prox" go off on YOU, sweetums.

Remember, this is an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY newsgroup.

>I could go on about the political and economic effects, but since this

>is a radio newsgroup I thought I'd stick to electronic and
>radio subjects.

Remember, this is an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY newsgroup.

>If I did talk about any military service I had, you would
>be certain to make fun of it. It's just what you do, Len.
>So typical.

But...you did NOT serve...so HOW can you "talk about"
something that NEVER happened?

Remember, this is an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY newsgroup.

>> I haven't dissed Bill Sohl about his USN service.
>
>Because he doesn't disagree with you about Morse Code testing in
>amateur radio. In fact, he pretty much ignores you.

Bill hasn't been here much. That means he "ignored"
YOU, too! :-)

>> I haven't dissed Brian Burke about his USAF service.
>
>Because he doesn't disagree with you about Morse Code testing in
>amateur radio. In fact, he pretty much idolizes you.

Irrelevant for THIS thread, sweetums. :-)

>It's only those who disagree with you about Morse Code testing
>that get your disrespect, abuse, name calling, and general
>jackass behavior.

Ooooooo! Big PCTA EXTRA tossing your weight around?

Hello? Does the "sign-off" word "PUTZ" mean anything
to you? :-)

Does Kolonel Klunk's "you never did any operating 24/7
in the military" mean anything to you? :-)

>> I HAVE dissed those that want to LIE about
>> their big heroic military "actions" such as
>> Stebie the wonder murine
>
>There you go - calling names. So typical.

Ooooooo! Causing you "great pain and anguish" is it?

Poor baby...must be new to the Internet. :-)

>> about his famous
>> "seven hostile actions"
>
>Do you know what they are?

Nope...the big hero sojer in da TN woods won't say!

Are you reading deprived and can't understand what
your pet buddy is saying about others?

> Where *you* involved in any of them?

Now, HOW could I have been "in" them? My service
time was 1952 to 1960. Check with St. Louis archives
if you don't believe that. :-)

>Is there any reason to doubt that Steve, K4YZ, was involved
>if he says he was?

HUNDREDS OF DOUBTS! :-)

>> and his failure to
>> acknowledge that the DoD really does direct MARS.
>
>I see. His mistake somehow justifies *your* behavior?

Just ONE of DOZENS of his "mistakes." :-)

>Godwin invoked. You lose.

Do you go to the House of Godwin on Sundays?

Sorry, sweetums, I've WON but you can't ever admit it.

>Why not use the person's name and callsign?

Why don't others? Tsk, tsk. You are an elitist PCTA
EXTRA double-standard bearer, Jimmie.

>What difference would details make? Your behavior when
>details are given doesn't change. In fact, you simply
>use the details as a source of more insults.

Awwwww...nobody giving you all that "praise" you get
from your admiring neighbors about your "neat radio
setup" and how you can describe your radio in great
detail to show off for them? :-)

>OTOH, Brian, N0IMD, refuses to give any details about
>his claimed amateur radio operation from Somalia, but
>that doesn't bother you a bit.

Why does that matter to YOU? :-)

>Do you mean Dave, K8MN, who served in the US State Department
>at a number of foreign posts as a communications officer?

WOW! TITLES!!! BIG, IMPORTANT!!!!!! :-)

>His service to our country was much longer than your, Len, and
>in many more foreign countries. I recall when he was transferred
>to right around the same time the US Embassy in the country he was
>transferring to was bombed. We didn't hear from him for weeks.
>Luckily he was OK.

ONE "hostile action" that he was NOT in... :-)

>Perhaps you have forgotten the US Coast Guard radio operator who
>used to post here? You made fun of his service in that capacity,
>in your now-famous "sphincter post".

Tsk, tsk, tsk...YOUR sphincter is way too compressed.

He's a "mathematics LECTURER" in Hawaii...like a TITLE
makes him sooooo expert, etc. He ALSO was a MAIL DROP
for ANOTHER extra, one who lived in Rhode Island and
wanted a Hawaii call sign. Tsk, tsk. Highly unethical.

>Why?

I have NO idea why the Rhode Islander wanted a 6 call
from Hawaii...not to mention a half dozen or so "club
calls" there... :-)

>> All those infamous types
>> are pro-coders and YOU love morse code, so much
>> so that you think ham radio is all about morse-
>> manship...
>
>Len - lest we forget - you're not a radio amateur.

How COULD you PCTA double-standard EXTRAs forget? :-)

>You've never been a radio amateur.

Right. And, judging from the behavior of you PCTA
double-standard EXTRAs, the "role models" are pretty
damn poor.

>Yet you see fit to tell all how amateur radio should be.

Ooooo..."Klunk's last gasp" (at arguments) invoked!

I'm wondering WHAT drives you retrograde PCTA double-
standard EXTRAs to so enforce the RETENTION of morse
code testing for U.S. amateur radio licensing. You
all get SO HOSTILE at ANYONE wanting to delete that
regulation! :-)

>You're not and never have been part of the FCC, either.

Here's the heartbreaking NEWS for you: YOU are NOT.
Either. :-)

[are you out of a job? maybe I can get you on Kevin's
staff...help you out...]

>> and MUST connect morse code to some kind
>> of imaginary "gallantry in the service." :-)
>
>All I did was mention a museum and some windows. That really
>seems to bother you. Too bad - the fact is, the Canadian
>armed forces used Morse Code in WW2. Deal with it, Len.

I DID, sweetums. :-) The miliaries (plural) DROPPED
morse code for communications purposes. They started
DROPPING its use when I was in the military. Morse has
been DEAD for years. [except in retrograde amateurism
where the PCTAs worship the dead...]

>> Tsk, tsk. Better contact the Department of the
>> Army of the United States and DEMAND my Good
>> Conduct medal be given up and sent back! :-)
>
>I don't demand anything like that, Len.

Tsk. Feeling GUILTY about mouthing off to a vet?

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Those "veterans" (at least one
>> with "seven hostile actions") have all been
>> about as disrespectful to me FIRST.
>
>No, they haven't.

BULLSHIT! You're starting to sound like Stevie the
wonder murine again...[but you LIKE him calling others
by names...that means you don't have to "lower"
yourself to do so...:-)]

>You apparently see any disagreement with your
>cherished beliefs about Morse Code testing in amateur
>radio as "disrespect", and then proceed in your
>completely predictable manner.

Tsk, tsk. Apparently you have a READING disability,
sweetums. You just DON'T (or can't) see that morse-
manship is NOT the ultimate skill in amateurism.

>> They got
>> what is known as "return fire."
>
>For saying good things about Morse Code, apparently.

No, for being total mirrors of the olde fahrts in
ham radio...the double-standard PCTA EXTRA elite
who think they are members of some imaginary
"service" to their country. Tsk, tsk.

>You mean like somebody who tells us the same story,
>over and over and over and over again,
>about his service at a big radio facility 50+ years
>ago?

Tsk. YOU didn't do such a thing, did you?

Do we detect "envy" in someone working high-power
HF in REAL 24/7 communications...BIG time...in a
professional manner?

Sure looks like it to me. And to others.

>Then gets mad because people point out his
>underestimation of distances, and mistakes about
>Soviet aircraft deployment dates?

Nah. Soviet aircraft weren't my thing at the time.
Still aren't.

Thing is, I was THERE, sweetums. YOU were NOT.

You READ all about Soviet aircraft in a book, long
after the harm they could cause us was over.

>> Not to you. But, you've NOT served in the military.
>
>How do you know for sure?

Stuff it, sweetums. I KNOW. You are NOT in the
military service archives. Of the United States of
America. Makes one wonder WHERE you "served" in the
military?

Remember, this is an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY newsgroup.

>Do *you* think it's funny, Len? Why? Those whom you make
>fun of don't seem to be amused.

Oooooooo! It just breaks my heart! [he said sarcastically]

TS, big shot. Calling all those NCTAs for "dumbed down"
because they don't care for morsemanship is NOT some
kind of funny business.

>Is military service the only REAL service, Len? I guess
>all those police officers, firefighters, EMTs, and other
>uniformed people who go in harm's way don't count, do they?

Police DO count, sweetums. Are YOU a policeman?
Bad folk try to kill police...and police fire back.
Do YOU have the guts to do that?

>How about the utility workers who keep the lights on and the water
>flowing? Or the highway, airline, transit, railroad and maritime
>workers who keep transportation running?

Don't forget the garbage collectors, the newspaper vendors
the factory workers, and the cafeteria servers...the butcher,
the baker, the candlestick makers...:-)

Remember, this is an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY newsgroup.

You want to do a thing on Human Resouces, you get on
Larry Roll. :-)

>> Couldn't get a dinner date with the Captain
>> because the King of the Katapults was already
>> booked on that aircraft carrier?
>
>More name calling - so typical of you.

Wow! Must have really get you pissed off to get turned
down! Bet you didn't get to shoot any bears either!

>> I served. YOU did NOT.
>
>How does that give you the right to insult others' service?

WHO appointed YOU "god" in here to determine who is to
to what? Hummmm?

Howcomeforwhy YOU get to be critical as all get out about
MY working HF comms IN the military a half century ago?
Hummmm?

Oh! I know! The ARMY didn't bother using morse code or
morsemanship for the long-distance HF comms then! That
MUST make you all angry and frustrated. Poor guy.

>> You want to see more of what I did in ARMY service?
>

>No. You've told us over and over and over again. That's enough.

WHO was it that used to quote (or rather misquote) a
baseball player's phrase, "It ain't braggin if ya done it."

I did it. You did NOT. You have been an amateur while
I've been a professional about as long as you've been
alive.

DEAL WITH THAT... :-]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4150. 5 upcoming ISS school contacts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 05:52:58 UTC
From: no@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <425db34f.2664150@aioe.cjb.net>
Source record: 105566

5 upcoming ISS school contacts

Hi all

The following shows upcoming contacts between the ISS and schools:

4/13/2005 - At 15:46UTC. Maple Ave. School in New Hampshire USA. This is
a direct contact. If I get the audio from them via the Internet, I'll feed
it into the EchoLink AMSAT and EDU_NET servers.

4/15/2005 - At 08:57UTC. Schulhaus Feld 1 (school) in Switzerland. This
is a direct contact. I plan on feeding it into the EchoLink AMSAT and
EDU_NET servers, if I can get the audio through the Internet.

4/15/2005 - At 18:07UTC. Ft. Ross California USA. This is a direct
contact. But, I should get this audio via the phone line and plan on
feeding it into the EchoLink AMSAT and EDU_NET servers.

4/18/2005 - At 08:41UTC. Marconi Institute in Italy. This is a direct
contact. I plan on feeding it into the EchoLink AMSAT and EDU_NET servers,
if I can get the audio through the Internet.

4/20/2005 - At 12:32UTC. ESRIN (don't remember what it stands for) in

Italy. This is a direct contact. I plan on feeding it into the EchoLink
AMSAT and EDU_NET servers, if I can get the audio through the Internet.

During our audio feed into EchoLink this past Friday, a problem
developed. The audio stopped getting into the AMSAT server, or the AMSAT
server did not continue processing it. We have not been able to determine
the point of failure at this time.

For the next contact, I plan on feeding the audio into the Edu_Net server
instead of the AMSAT server, but still connect these two servers
together. Please help to keep everyone quiet during the ISS - School
transmissions, since I can not mute anyone in the EDU_NET server. It will

be interesting to see if it works better that way. Sorry for the lost

audio on Friday.

I hope to see as many of you connected as feasible during future
contacts.

73 de Dieter kx4y

Dieter K. Schliemann, kx4y, AMSAT life member LM-1265
AMSAT Area Coordinator
ARISS Coordinator for audio feeds into EchoLink

1803 Roseberry Drive, Scottsboro, AL 35769-3960, USA
Home Phone - (256) 259-3900
Cell Phone - (256) 599-0581
IRLP node 4713, EchoLink node 47135
Skype user ID: zs6bbh

4151. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 06:02:19 UTC
From: toddaug@consolidated.net
Message-ID: <1113370196.270925.233680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A.Melon wrote:
> Anonymous said...
>
> I would say that k4yz is making veiled threats of bodily or property
harm
> against you. Notice how he says that he's going to bypass the
authorities?
>
> I think he's about to take the law into his own hands and that he
should
> be reported to your local police department. These days, local cops
have
> ways of tracking loosers like Steve down. Ask W4AMP how he wound up
in
> jail for five weeks. Ask WA4SEX the same thing, because they were
both
> tracked down by local cops.
>
> 2:24 PM

What really get's me is his attempt to make frivolous claims against me and my website. Mr. High and Mighty claims he going to send a lawyer my way if I don't censor my own website. I can see the headline "Amateur Radio Operator forces website to be censored.....Ham Operator's next goal to censor entire internet"

Todd N9OGL

4152. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 06:22:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113373343.001245.101650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105568

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Lest WE forget..."N2EY" NEVER served in ANY war in ANY military.
>
> Let's all stand up and salute this brave "member of the service."

Let's see....

Jim Miccolis, who never served in the Armed Forces, takes a moment to mention a Veteran's memorial that has a cute Morse Code "theme" to it. No big deal. Thanks, Jim.

Then we have Lennie Anderson. Served ONE tour of duty in a soft, non-combatant rear area radio station from 1953 to 1956. Finished his "obligation" as a non-drilling reservist.

Conceptualizes his duty as having jumped on grenades and dragged wounded buddies from withering machine gun fire.

Never rose above Sergeant (E-4 in those days, I believe, but I may be wrong).

NEVER served in combat and NEVER fired a shot in anger. DID, however, attempt to embellish his meager duty by trying to associate HIS service with the sacrifices of Soldiers who were KIA before he was even inducted!

Has not doen a thing to serve anyone BUT Lennie Anderson since...And that by his own admission.

Lennie, who is the King of the Whining Wounded, takes a pot shot at Jim. Why are we not surprised.

This is why Lennie is the creep that he is. Just like the onld "7Up" commercial..."Always was...Always will be..."

Guess Lennie has one more story of how he protected that rear area radio station from those "Hammie Bastards" down at the VFW now...

Steve, K4YZ

4153. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 06:26:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113373599.515707.115730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105569

From: Dave Heil on Apr 12, 9:31 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
>> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Does it bother you, Len?
>
>You read his lengthy post and saw the style he used. You bet it
>bothered him.

NAH. I did it. Jimmie didn't do it.

>To be fair, Len has exhibited jackass behavior toward K0HB and Hans
does
>not support retention of morse code testing.

Tsk. Everyone who disagrees with Davie is guilty of
"jackass behavior?" :-)

Riiiight...only ARRL-speak and the beauty, nobility,
and grandeur of morsemanship is spoken in here...:-)

>> > about his famous
>> > "seven hostile actions"
>
>> Do you know what they are? Where *you* involved in any of
>> them?
>
>He doesn't have any idea what they were. It kills him.

NAH. It only shows what a snow-jobbing laid-off
murine does under the guise of a U.S. AMATEUR radio
extra callsign. Tosses brags like they were bagels.

>To my knowledge, Steve has never stated that DOD does not direct MARS.

>His claim is that if there were no radio amateurs, there'd have been
no
>MARS program. In that, he is correct.

Bullshit. The United States ARMY started MARS...but
under a different name before WW2. Tsk. Davie ought
to read up on the subject...lots of references.

MARS always was and remains a MILITARY radio system.
A small one, about as effective as having special

services put on shows and entertainment. Morale
boosting thing.

>That's pretty much why I've left details out. As with Steve's
military
>service, Len doesn't know what I did or where I did it and it kills
him.

No problem with me. If you ain't got the guts to
tell the details, you AIN'T done it. Simple as that.

>...and Len has invariably demeaned that service. He has always known
>more about my job that I did. Foreign service tours were dismissed as

>tropical backwaters, places of insignificance and Cashew capitals.

Awwwww...you doing a Rodney Dangerfield? Get no
"respect?" :-)

>Don't leave out his attempts at insult by stating that my name never
>appeared in any lists of embassy staff. That blew up in his face when
I
>produced a couple of urls in which I was listed. Len's response was
to
>dismiss the lists as some sort of telephone directory.

Tsk. Sounds like you are bucking for an Intelligence Star.
Couldn't you get a sponsor at the NSA to award you one?

>Because Len is all about Len. That isn't the important part though.
>The important part is where Len's sphincter post speaks of what it is
>like to be in battle. Len was never in battle.

Only ONE very brief exchange of gunfire. Doesn't count
as a "battle," though.

Big Hero Dave...tell us all YOUR "battle experience."

Were you behind the Viet Cong lines sending intel to
HQ via CW?

>As far as I'm concerned, amateur radio is about operating any mode I
>choose on any band I choose. Len isn't involved on any level.

Everyone NOT licensed in amateur radio "isn't involved."

:-)

The point is that some MIGHT want to GET INTO amateur
radio. Dave loses his perspective on that. [age
causing loss of sight...among other things...]

Davie ought to get with Paul Schleck pronto and have
EVERYONE without a valid amateur radio license TOSSED
OFF this newsgroup! Make it "safe" for the double-

standard elitist PCTA EXTRAs to use as their personal
chat room and blog... :-)

>Len knows more about what others did than those involved.

Nope. But...I DO recognize a bullshit artist from a
long distance. Davie be one of those...

>Len knows more about the military.

I know enough to meet THIS level of homo saps. :-)

Been IN the Army...had lots of contact with Army
as a civilian after service time done.

>Len knows more about communications.

Tsk. I know some about that. Been IN that as a
civilian. :-)

>Len knows more about radio operation.

Tsk. I know HOW they work and the protocols needed
in some radio services. You have a need of info on
those radio services, big honcho?

>Len knows more about the U.S. Department of State.

I do? Oh, my, aren't you stepping off into denied
territory! :-)

>Len knows more about your work.

Tsk. Jimmie "works in the transportation field"
according to one Comment in the ECFS. Other than
that, Jimmie do NOT say squat. He afraid others
find out?

>Len knows more about Brian Kelly's work.

I do? Oh, my. News to me. Isn't Kellie retired?

>Len knows more about Steve's work.

Tsk. Stevie follows REAL DOCTORS' orders...which
includes staying OUT of the Sharps box. :-)

>Whatever Len did at ADA more than a half century ago impacts amateur
>radio not in the least.

Riiiiight old-timer. Ham radio NEVER operated on HF, did
it? :-)

>Len tells it because he wants to be sure that everyone knows of it.

You betcha! :-)

The U.S. military did NOT use morse code in long-distance
fixed-point to fixed-point communications a half century
ago and still don't. Tsk. Some of you olde-tyme hammes
need to get your noses out of old WW2 surplus radio books
and inspect the rest of the radio world.

>How does it give him the right to insult those who never served?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Those with SUCH thin skin should NOT be
ANYWHERE on the Internet!!!! :-)

>Now you've done it, Jim. You've denigrated a veteran.

Jimmie (and Davie...and Stevie...and every other elitist
double-standard PCTA EXTRA) will, without doubt, insult
ANYONE they care to. It's in the fine print of their
ham privileges as super-dooper under-the-dashboard douche
bag guar-un-teed morsemanship EXTRA AMATEURS!!!!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4154. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 06:33:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113373990.235137.294720@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105570

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Brian Burke on Apr 12, 4:06 pm

> >I have no skill in the Japanese language. Thanks for straightening me
> >out that "sayonara" is the Japanese equivalent of the Yiddish word for
> >"penis." I'm shocked to think that all those JA's were were repeating
> >the word "penis" over and over again on the air. And I always thought
> >they were the most polite amateurs.

They are. But the point is you don't know Japanese, yet you feel it's "OK" to say Japanese words in non-Japanese conversation. You and Lennie don't seem to have the same opinions on word useage when non-Lennites are involved in the act.

> Stebie does a tour on Okinawa and thinks he was "in Japan."

I did SEVERAL tours on Okinawa, and yes, since 1972, Okinawa IS a WHOLE part of Greater Japan. I had to stand and salute during evening colors enough to know who's Anthem was playing.

> Problem is that Okinawans do NOT refer to themselves as
> "Japanese" in the strictest sense of the word. Never let
> little details worry lil Stebie.

Too bad YOUR frame of reference is fron the early 1950's, Lennie. I was last there in 1990.

The Okinawan's DO consider themselves "Japanese" in VERY strict sense of the word. In all regards.

> Hai, wakarimas... ["yes, we understand" in conversational
> Japanese]

Glad to see you retained something else from your time in Japan other than your ill-conceived embellishing of your own service record, Lennie.

A phrase you used often when the JNP's directed you from the benjo ditch back to your barracks, no doubt.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

4155. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 06:35:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113374158.266661.54670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

todd...@consolidated.net wrote:
> A.Melon wrote:
> > Anonymous said...
> >
> > I would say that k4yz is making veiled threats of bodily or
property
> harm
> > against you. Notice how he says that he's going to bypass the
> authorities?
> >
> > I think he's about to take the law into his own hands and that he
> should
> > be reported to your local police department. These days, local cops
> have
> > ways of tracking loosers like Steve down. Ask W4AMP how he wound up
> in
> > jail for five weeks. Ask WA4SEX the same thing, because they were
> both
> > tracked down by local cops.
> >
> > 2:24 PM
>
> What really get's me is his attempt to make frivolous claims against
me
> and my website.

There's nothing "frivolous" about your obscene adultration of my
picture or your threats of foreign bodies in rectums, Todd.

> Mr. High and Mighty claims he going to send a lawyer my
> way if I don't censor my own website. I can see the headline "Amateur
> Radio Operator forces website to be censored.....Ham Operator's next
> goal to censor entire internet"

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

4156. Re: How About This?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 06:42:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113374534.201502.174530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105572

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
> news:4qQ6e.4791$An2.3841@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1113299832.731062.162210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > : http://www.minutemanproject.com/Ham.html
> > :
> >
> > These neo-vigilantes are already a burden on the USBP down here,
and now
> > we'll have vigilante-newbie-hams playing sojer on our ham bands.
How
> > about
> > that?
> >
> > M.A.N.
> > --
> > "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O
Lord,
> > make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> > - Voltaire
> >
> >
>
> Well at least they will be legal. And what is wrong with citizens
> protecting US citizens?

What I find interesting, Dan, is that on the one hand people scream
about how nothing's being done to seal the border, and how they want
SOMEthing done NOW...

...yet when someone DOES step forward, and does so in a very open,
very public way, they scream "VIGILANTE!"

Personally, the idea that ONLY the government should be doing the
protecting is, to me, scary. That's the very reason the Forefathers
found it prudent to include the Second Amendment to the Constitution.

More power to the guys in AZ. Wudda liked to have given them some
time.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4157. No Wonder The Boys Are Annoyed in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 07:00:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113375646.069354.295180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105573

NO WONDER Todd has such an inferiority complex.

http://aolsvc.news.aol.com/news/article.adp?id=20050412101909990001&ncid=NWS00010000000001

Pretty embarrassing when a 10 year old girl already has two YEARS
of Boys medals in wrestling!

Steve, K4YZ

4158. Re: No Wonder The Boys Are Annoyed in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 07:16:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113376591.805230.201680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105574

We're sorry, but the AOL News page you've requested is available only to AOL members. AOL News gathers the best of the news industry, including USA Today, The New York Times, ABC News, CNN, The Christian Science Monitor, TIME magazine and The Wall Street Journal, along with constant AP and Reuters news updates to provide a full picture of the day's events. To participate in the complete AOL News experience, please consider becoming an AOL Member.

4159. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 07:20:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113376842.325567.14800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well as far as I know there is no picture on my blog, as for "threat"
It was written as a suggestion, not a threat.

Todd N9OGL

4160. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 07:31:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113377499.677934.8540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105576

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Apr 12, 9:31 pm

> >> > about his famous
> >> > "seven hostile actions"
> > >
> >> Do you know what they are? Where *you* involved in any of
> >> them?
> > >
> >He doesn't have any idea what they were. It kills him.
> >
> NAH. It only shows what a snow-jobbing laid-off
> murine does under the guise of a U.S. AMATEUR radio
> extra callsign. Tosses brags like they were bagels.

Who's "snow-jobbing"...???

Who's "laid off"...???

How can one toss an intangible...???

> >To my knowledge, Steve has never stated that DOD does not direct
MARS.
> >
> >His claim is that if there were no radio amateurs, there'd have been
> no
> >MARS program. In that, he is correct.
> >
> Bull#####.

There's the definitive, "professional" response.

> The United States ARMY started MARS...but
> under a different name before WW2. Tsk. Davie ought
> to read up on the subject...lots of references.

It doesn't matter if it was started by the Hand of God himself,
Lennie.

No Radio Amateurs = No MARS...Army, Air Force, OR NAVMARCORPS.

> MARS always was and remains a MILITARY radio system.
> A small one, about as effective as having special
> services put on shows and entertainment. Morale
> boosting thing.

Your limited scope of experience and practice is showing, Lennie.

> >That's pretty much why I've left details out. As with Steve's
> military
> >service, Len doesn't know what I did or where I did it and it kills
> him.
>
> No problem with me. If you ain't got the guts to
> tell the details, you AIN'T done it. Simple as that.

As opposed to YOUR making up details, Lennie?

I am not as predisposed to being a braggart about my military
service. There's no need to. Guys who make a point of sticking the
minute details of what they allegedly did in the Armed Forces usually
prove out to be the rear area radio clerks, etc.

> >...and Len has invariably demeaned that service. He has always
known
> >more about my job that I did. Foreign service tours were dismissed
as
> >tropical backwaters, places of insignificance and Cashew capitals.
>
> Awwwww...you doing a Rodney Dangerfield? Get no
> "respect?"

Please specify there part wherein you feel Dave has misrepresented
YOUR representations of his duties, Lennie.

I say he's spot-on.

> >Don't leave out his attempts at insult by stating that my name never
> >appeared in any lists of embassy staff. That blew up in his face
when
> I
> >produced a couple of urls in which I was listed. Len's response was
> to
> >dismiss the lists as some sort of telephone directory.
>
> Tsk. Sounds like you are bucking for an Intelligence Star.
> Couldn't you get a sponsor at the NSA to award you one?

Dave produces evidence of his claims of service.

You produce excuses.

> >Because Len is all about Len. That isn't the important part though.
> >The important part is where Len's sphincter post speaks of what it
is
> >like to be in battle. Len was never in battle.
>
> Only ONE very brief exchange of gunfire. Doesn't count
> as a "battle," though.

Tripping and accidentally discharging your weapon is NOT an
"exchange of gunfire".

> Big Hero Dave...tell us all YOUR "battle experience."

Please, Lennie...P L E A S E retell the tale of YOUR service under
the threat ot the Soviet Bear...And then again your emotional outburst
about how somone else doesn't know what it's like to be under incomming
artillery fire..as if YOU had been at Khe San or Chosin Resivoir! ! ! !

> >Len knows more about what others did than those involved.
>
> Nope. But...I DO recognize a bull@@@@ artist from a
> long distance. Davie be one of those...

No, he's not. But you sure do "bare the scars" of one.

> >Len knows more about the military.
>
> I know enough to meet THIS level of homo saps.

How?

So far, other than reciting the hearldry of the one unit you were
in in 1953, you haven't gotten a single thing about anyone else's
service right yet!

> Been IN the Army...

Yeah. When Dwight D Eisenhower was President.

> ....had lots of contact with Army
> as a civilian after service time done.

Too bad you didn't learn anything about the Army after that.

> >Len knows more about communications.
>
> Tsk. I know some about that. Been IN that as a
> civilian.

Not very successful though. Muddled through to retirement. No
real accomplishments other than having had the good sense to invest
wisely for your leisure years...Of couse at least ONE of your
"employers" reports that you were rather leisurely WHILE you were in
their "employ".

> >Len knows more about radio operation.
>
> Tsk. I know HOW they work and the protocols needed
> in some radio services. You have a need of info on
> those radio services, big honcho?

You know jack squat about "radio operation", Lennie.

You know a lot of theory...but squat about how they are used.

> >Len knows more about the U.S. Department of State.
>

> I do? Oh, my, aren't you stepping off into denied
> territory!

But it's true. You've tried to nip at Dave's heels for years but
still can't seem to land a good bite in there...

Due primarily to your lack of knowledge and experience in such
issues.

I've not found a single bit of fault in anything Dave has
commented on herein...However YOU ahve been caught with your britches
down on numerous occassions.

> >Whatever Len did at ADA more than a half century ago impacts amateur
> >radio not in the least.
>
> Riiiiight old-timer. Ham radio NEVER operated on HF, did
> it?

Neither did you, according to your MOS's, Lennie...Oh, I have no
doubt that in the process of performing your radio mechanic duties that
you caused some RF to eminate on HF, but you were NEVER an HF operator.

> >Len tells it because he wants to be sure that everyone knows of it.
>
> You betcha!

Now if it were only true.....

> >How does it give him the right to insult those who never served?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Those with SUCH thin skin should NOT be
> ANYWHERE on the Internet!!!

I love it...

Lennie wrapping himself in patriotic bunting...as he claims others
do...Of course when HE does it it's "OK".

> >Now you've done it, Jim. You've denigrated a veteran.
>
> Jimmie (and Davie...and Stevie...and every other elitist
> double-standard PCTA EXTRA) will, without doubt, insult
> ANYONE they care to. It's in the fine print of their
> ham privileges as super-dooper under-the-dashboard douche
> bag guar-un-teed morsemanship EXTRA AMATEURS!!!!

There's a "douche bag" in this forum, Lennie, but he doesn't have
an Amateur Radio license of ANY class.

Steve, K4YZ

4161. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 07:35:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113377754.882104.59570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> Well as far as I know there is no picture on my blog...

BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !! !
!

> .....as for "threat"
> It was written as a suggestion, not a threat.

Uh huh...right.

Go ahead and back off the threat, Todd...Now that it apepars as
though Boy's Wrestling in Illinois is dominated by a 10 year old GIRL!

Two years running!

http://aolsvc.news.aol.com/news/article.adp?id=20050412101909990001&ncid=NWS00010000000001

No wonder you dress the way you do in your pics! You want to look
like one of the winners!
BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !
!

Steve, K4YZ

4162. Re: No Wonder The Boys Are Annoyed in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 07:38:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113377913.506392.81490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105578

N9OGL wrote:
> We're sorry, but the AOL News page you've requested is available only
> to AOL members. AOL News gathers the best of the news industry,
> including USA Today, The New York Times, ABC News, CNN, The Christian
> Science Monitor, TIME magazine and The Wall Street Journal, along
with
> constant AP and Reuters news updates to provide a full picture of the
> day's events. To participate in the complete AOL News experience,
> please consider becoming an AOL Member.

Well let me sum it up for ya!

A TEN YEAR OLD GIRL has taken the BOYS title in Illinois wrestling
for the SECOND straight year!

Keep the URL, Todd...Maybe one of your Anonymous Lying Coward
buddies will run it for you!

Steve, K4YZ

4163. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 07:42:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113378139.500443.298500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105579

N9OGL wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > > I fixed the problems
> > > Todd N9OGL
> > You call this fixed?
> > http://tinyurl.com/5bvvh
>
> how about this :)
>
> 理論及びプロジェクト
>
> タッドDaugherty の.
> による理論そしてプロジェクトは著作権をとる; 2005
> 年のタッドはDaugherty 複製権所有
>
>
>
> 1. 導入

```
Snip to....
```

>
年を将来かもしれない別のロットの地獄である均等にし、tachyons
>
コミュニケーションは将来使用されるかもしれない(長距離の宇宙通信のために)
>
。しかしちょっと、アマチュア無線オペレータが知っている唯一の事はアナログ・システムのマイクロフォンの下で調整することである。

```
I assume that this had some meaning or intent, but other than to
eat up bandwidth and even further substantiate MY estimations of your
maturity, I'd have to say I am at a loss as to know what it was.

Steve, K4YZ
```

4164. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 08:02:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113379363.805536.118170@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I can kick any girl ass any day of the week.

Todd N9OGL

4165. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 08:16:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113380208.074436.14840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105582

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ on Apr 12, 6:04 am

> I've provided names and callsigns of those who know
> me, but you have NOT contacted them. Instead, you
> MANUFACTURE some person at NADC that claims to know
> me...a decade after I'd been there and a PhD to boot
> but NOBODY (but you) knows the name.

Let's see....I "contact" some beer drinking buddy of yours who
you've already briefed on what to say and he'll tell me...what?

And The person I knew at NADC was an acquaintance of
mine...certainly no one who owed me any favor or would make up
something.

He has a REAL Doctorate in Electrical Engineering and HAS been
published. He has credentials that I trust. You don't.

> I sent you a scan of my first 'phone license (the
> very first one) and you would NOT look at it!

No, You did not.

You sent me a picute of a person "mooning" in the nose of an A-26.

It was among your many lies.

> Instead you manufactured a clear-libel "personal
> page" on AOL damning me in no uncertain terms.

It wasn't libel. The truth is never libel.

You sent an e-mail professing to be one thing, but it wasn't what
you said it was.

Your "shot" at gaining trust was itself "shot".

> Fortunately for all concerned (except yourself), AOL
> took it down as soon as they were informed.

The only one "fortunate" was you, Lennie. But then I've had
several years of rubbing your nose in your lies, deceit and mistruths
here to make up for it.

Nothing lost for me. Lot's lost for you. Like any chance at ever
being accepted for what you THINK you should be....

> > You do lie, both about Amateur Radio in general and many of us
> who
> >are practitioners of that art. You've told us of things you'd do
and
> >of things you've done, yet NO PROOF.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Here's the way things go down in
> the rational world:
>
> "Proof" is provided in the form of unalterable
> third-party sources...things like employers, friends
> (preferrably those that aren't within easy driving
> distance to avoid collusion), publications, local
> and national governmental records archives, some
> place that is relatively easy to get at such as
> Internet sites.

I had all the "proof" I need, Lennie.

A third party with no allegience to protect. A man with a
professional reputation that I can bank on.

Unlike you.

> In any event, you are NOT some kind of "judge" to
> hand out any "ruling" on anyone, certainly not your
> e-opponents. You keep crowing that you ARE
> one, but that is YOUR OWN LIE that you tell the
> world. A LIE anywhichway it goes.

I have never claimed to be a "judge", and I have very specifically
stated that you are not an "opponent". Ranking you as an "opponent"
would suggest you are at some level. You can only "best" me in
chronological years.

> Now you MUST tell all about this former NADC
> employee who claims to know me a decade after I
> was there. You have NOT done so. You LIE.

He didn't know you "a decade after (you were) there". He knew you
WHEN you were there.

And I do not name him because I protect his privacy at his
request.

I have no reason to doubt his assessment or opinion. Your own
conduct, language, demeanor and conduct herein certainly give credence
to his assessment.

> You haven't told the Where or When of those famous
> "seven hostile actions" you claim but won't reveal.
> Tsk. You LIE and then give yourself a pat on the
> back for imagining that to be "truth!"

Nope. I take great pleasure in watching you run in circles with
it, Lennie. Very effective. Between that and trying to bite off more
than you can chew over MARS, you really have nothing left to do.

> You have NOT acknowledged that the DoD directs and
> supervises MARS, even when informed of the website
> and full link details of the directive by DoD (as I
> did in here).

Sure I have. I've said it several times over.

And I have ALSO said, and it reamins true today, that without the
Amateur Radio Service volunteers that flesh out it's ranks, none of the
three branches of MARS would exist in it's present form.

Without the "Amateur" component, there is no one to be
"Affiliated" with.

> > You taught Brian well...Just keep pouring the lies in to try
and
> >further dilute the already muddled pool...Except that some of us can
> >stay ahead of your silliness and THAT is what YOU hate.
>
> You poor psychoed-to-the-max thing. Brian is a
> rational human being who can think for himself.
> I didn't "teach" him anything in regards to being
> rational. We've both observed you in detail and
> came to the same agreement...you're some kind of
> sick psycho who CAN'T STAND being opposed in
> anything.

There is nothing "rational" about adult males repeatedly and
adamandtly lying in public, Lennie. but the two of you keep doing it.

> > Leonard H. Anderson is a known chronic liar.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Not at all.

See what I mean...There's another one.

> You are SICK,
> sweetums, and all you can do is make all those
> personal attacks on everyone who disagrees with
> you.

You call me "sick" and "sweetums" in the same sentence,
Lennie...?!?!

That is sick!

And P L E A S E tell us ALL ABOUT "personal attacks"....

Would that be like the one you slung at Jim Miccolis in the "Lest
We Forget" thread this same date?

> You NEED some personal mental counseling
> but you've obviously not gotten any. That's a
> danger to yourself and your immediate family, not
> to mention cutting down on all the hate and anger
> your actions ignite in this newsgroup.

You continue to infer that you have adequate training or education in mental health issues to make such referals, however requests for your credentials go unanswered.

And the only "hate and anger" my "actions ignite" in this newsgroup are from folks who deem it necessary to chronically lie or make up scanrios that don't exist.

For the most part, that's you and Brian. Todd has joined in lately, but he's just a developmentally delayed adult with an attitude and a computer.

> Try to keep focussed on the FACT that this
> newsgroup is SUPPOSED to be about amateur radio
> policy.

I am glad to see that after all these years you have at LEAST stopped trying to insist that this group is SOLELY for discussing the Morse Code Test issue.

Now...You are still NOT a licensed Amateur with ANY practical experience (other than this NG) in actual Amateur Radio policy issues, which begs the question as to what YOU think you're doing here!

(Please don't recite the same lie about only being interested in "debating the morse code TEST issue"...You wore that out years ago)

> It is NOT some kind of kiddie sandbox
> that was created for Stebie to show his courage
> in personal attacks on everyone who disagrees
> with him.

But that is >>ALL<< that YOU have used this group for, Lennie.

Why do you always make "rules" that YOU clearly violate, Lennie...???

Steve, K4YZ

4166. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 08:18:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113380282.219086.11810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> I can kick any girl ass any day of the week.

Not in a spelling bee, and certainly not on the mat, Todd.

Of course you COULD give some style tips!

Steve, K4YZ

4167. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 08:58:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113382691.095307.41670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105583

That's the format I'm putting that page in.... :)

todd N9OGL

4168. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 09:07:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113383268.466983.273140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105584

N9OGL wrote:
> That's the format I'm putting that page in....

I didn't realize that the community college you were attending
taught gobbledy-gook.

Steve, K4YZ

4169. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 09:10:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113383443.880905.32630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105585

Accually it's Japanese

4170. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 10:37:49 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <sMidnTZmmLLra8HfRVn-uQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:

> I can kick any girl ass any day of the week.
>
> Todd N9OGL

That's really something to brag about perv.!

4171. Re: Comedy Central aka N9OGL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 10:38:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113388679.952043.163780@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105586

N9OGL wrote:
> Accually it's Japanese

"Actually" it's gobbledy-gook....At least to a computer that
doesn't support Kanjii.

Steve, K4YZ

4172. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 10:50:35 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113389435.046246.97200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Try this one on for size; I seem to recall she's open for match
bookings:

http://www.rotten.com/library/bio/sports/chyna/chyna1.jpg

4173. Hey Brian...Guess What...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 11:00:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113390056.287874.37740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105589

More facts dispell "billybeeper" AKA Brian P Burke, N0IMD mistruths and deception about his "fellow" Amateurs:

(1) ARES-RACES teams activate in wake of flooding (Apr 12, 2005) -- ARES-RACES teams in Pennsylvania and New York were among those activating after torrential rainfall over the April 2-3 weekend caused rivers to overflow their banks....SNIP

(2) Florida United Way arm recognizes ARES/RACES team (Apr 12, 2005) --

The Volunteer & Community Resource Center (VCRC), United Way of Martin County, Florida, has named Martin County Amateur Radio Emergency Service/Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service (ARES/RACES) as "Champions of the Community." The award recognizes the team's efforts during the ARRL holiday toy drive in support of the VCRCs White Doves Holiday Project as well as ARES/RACES emergency communication contributions...(SNIP)

(3) High Praise for Amateur Radio Follows ARES' TOPOFF 3 Performance (Apr 8, 2005) --

The role of Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) volunteers in Connecticut in this week's massive TOPOFF 3 exercise has drawn high praise from the American Red Cross, for which ARES provided virtually all radio communication. Sponsored by the US Department of Homeland Security and intended as a realistic test of the nation's homeland security system, TOPOFF 3's goal was to push the system of first responders beyond its limits to find the weak spots. American Red Cross emergency services director Mario J. Bruno extolled ARES' performance

END

Damn...what a bad break for you, eh Brian...???

Steve, K4YZ

"I Am Laughing At The Superior Intellect".

4174. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 11:07:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113390438.907568.270710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105590

Mr. Obvious wrote:
> Try this one on for size; I seem to recall she's open for match
> bookings:
>
> http://www.rotten.com/library/bio/sports/chyna/chyna1.jpg

The only way any English speaking woman is going to voluntarily
"get horizontal" with Todd is if she's tossing for the price of a Coke
rock or to pin his narly butt to the mat!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4175. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 11:28:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113391738.631805.100890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105591

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
> > >
> > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
> >
> > > > Tsk. Go talk to a REAL WW2
> > > > veteran.
> > >
> > I've done that - many times. From several branches of the
> > US military.
>
> It would have difficult to grow up in the times we did and not >
encounter
> larges numbers of World War II vets. My dad was a U.S. Navy
> veteran of
> the Normandy Invasion. A great-uncle was at Bataan. He
> survived the
> Death March and was held by the Japanese until the end of the
> war.

I've known many, many WW2 veterans. Many I got to know quite well.
Some didn't want to talk, others had lots to say.

Just for starters, I know/knew a B-24 navigator who bombed Japan (gave
me some of his maps), a USN submarine torpedoman, a USN radioman (gave
me some of his books - he also served in the Korean War) and a B-24
pilot who bombed Ploesti three times and spent 18 months as "a guest of
the Luftwaffe".

I don't think the latter would find Len's "Kolonel Klunk" insults
to be very funny.
>
> > > Better yet, visit a VA hospital and
> > > keep some of them company.
> >
> > That's a good idea!
>
> It is a great idea but it isn't necessary to visit just a VA
> hospital.
> I can visit any number here who live quietly with spouses, who > are
> living alone as widowers or who are in nursing homes.

>
> > > Remember that WW2 ENDED BEFORE you were born.
> >
> > Why is that of any significance? If anything, it is
> > more important that those of us who weren't alive then
> > keep the history alive.
>
> > I live just up the hill from Valley Forge, where Washington's
> > army survived a terrible winter. Also down the pike from where
> > the Paoli Massacre took place.
> >
> > That war ended long before *you* were born, Len.
>
> You don't quite have the hang of it, Jim. I'd have used, "That war
> ended LONG BEFORE YOU WERE BORN, Len".

Oh yes.
>
> > > And, you've NEVER served in any military.
> >
> > How do you know for sure?
> >
> > And what does it matter anyway?

Notice how Len avoids direct questions?
>
> > > Yet you make this BIG THING about morse code
> > > in a window display...
> >
> > Is it wrong to mention an interesting architectural feature?
>
> I read your post and looked in vain for the portion in which
> you made it a BIG THING.

It's not a big thing - except to Len.
>
> > Does it bother you, Len?
>
> You read his lengthy post and saw the style he used. You bet it
> bothered him.
>
> > Here's a hint: The Canadian military forces used Morse Code in
> > WW2.

> > If I did talk about any military service I had, you would
> > be certain to make fun of it. It's just what you do, Len.
> > So typical.
> >
> > > >I've seen how you talk to those who *have*
> > > >served in the US military, and for various
> > > >departments of the US government.

> > >
> > > I haven't dissed Bill Sohl about his USN service.
> >
> > Because he doesn't disagree with you about Morse Code testing in
> > amateur radio. In fact, he pretty much ignores you.
> >
> > > I haven't dissed Brian Burke about his USAF service.
> >
> > Because he doesn't disagree with you about Morse Code testing in
> > amateur radio. In fact, he pretty much idolizes you.
>
> > It's only those who disagree with you about Morse Code testing
> > that get your disrespect, abuse, name calling, and general
> > jackass behavior.
>
> To be fair, Len has exhibited jackass behavior toward K0HB and Hans
does
> not support retention of morse code testing.

Then I guess what bothers Len is when someone says anyhting good about
Morse Code.

> > > I HAVE dissed those that want to LIE about
> > > their big heroic military "actions" such as
> > > Stebie the wonder murine
> >
> > There you go - calling names. So typical.
>
> ...and utterly predictable.
>
> > > about his famous
> > > "seven hostile actions"
> >
> > Do you know what they are? Where *you* involved in any of
> > them?
>
> He doesn't have any idea what they were. It kills him.

Sure seems to.
>
> > Is there any reason to doubt that Steve, K4YZ, was involved
> > if he says he was?
> >
> > > and his failure to
> > > acknowledge that the DoD really does direct
> > > MARS.
> >
> > I see. His mistake somehow justifies *your* behavior?
>
> To my knowledge, Steve has never stated that DOD does not direct
MARS.

> His claim is that if there were no radio amateurs, there'd have been
no
> MARS program. In that, he is correct.
>
> > > Am I supposed to "respect" the infamous Kolonel
> > > Klunk
> >
> > There you go again - calling names.
>
> Did you really expect otherwise?
>
No. Utterly predictable.

> > Godwin invoked. You lose.
>
> > Why not use the person's name and callsign?
> >
> > > about his very NON-SPECIFIC "service" in
> > > Vietnam? Just because he brags without revealing
> > > any details?
> >
> > What difference would details make? Your behavior when
> > details are given doesn't change. In fact, you simply
> > use the details as a source of more insults.
>
> That's pretty much why I've left details out. As with Steve's
military
> service, Len doesn't know what I did or where I did it and it kills
him.
>
The important fact is that no matter what you actually
did, Len would dismiss it. We've seen that over and over
and over.

That's why I don't mention my employment. Len would simply make
fun of it.

> > OTOH, Brian, N0IMD, refuses to give any details about
> > his claimed amateur radio operation from Somalia, but
> > that doesn't bother you a bit.
>
> Isn't this about the point at which Leonard or Brian would begin some
> litany about a double standard?

Well, they should know ;-)

>
> > > Just because he was in the State
> > > Department?
> >
> > Do you mean Dave, K8MN, who served in the US State Department
> > at a number of foreign posts as a communications officer?

> >
> > His service to our country was much longer than your, Len, and
> > in many more foreign countries.
>
> ...and Len has invariably demeaned that service. He has always known
> more about my job that I did. Foreign service tours were dismissed
as
> tropical backwaters, places of insignificance and Cashew capitals.
>
It wouldn't matter where you were or what you did, Len
would demean and insult your service.

> > I recall when he was transferred
> > to right around the same time the US Embassy in the country he was
> > transferring to was bombed. We didn't hear from him for weeks.
> > Luckily he was OK.
>
> Luckily, he was on holiday between the assignments and was comfy
right
> here in West Virginia when the news broke. I arrived in Tanzania
three
> weeks after the embassy bombing. The embassy was in ruins.
Operations
> were carried out from a residence for six months while a new
temporary
> embassy was constructed. It was my busiest assignment.

I doubt the bombers waited for you to leave when scheduling their
attack.

> > Yet you argued with him at length about communications facilities
> > that he used - even though you've never worked for the State
> > Department.
>
> Don't leave out his attempts at insult by stating that my name never
> appeared in any lists of embassy staff. That blew up in his face
when I
> produced a couple of urls in which I was listed. Len's response was
to
> dismiss the lists as some sort of telephone directory.

Oh yes - I do recall that now.

> > > Of course I "should."
> >
> > Yes, you should.
> >
> > Perhaps you have forgotten the US Coast Guard radio operator who
> > used to post here? You made fun of his service in that capacity,
> > in your now-famous "sphincter post".
> >
> > Why?

>
> Because Len is all about Len. That isn't the important part though.
> The important part is where Len's sphincter post speaks of what it is
> like to be in battle. Len was never in battle.

I think the important part is that Len demeaned and insulted
the services of a skilled military radio operator, for no
good reason at all.

That behavior speaks volumes.

> > > All those infamous types
> > > are pro-coders and YOU love morse code, so much
> > > so that you think ham radio is all about morse-
> > > manship...
> >
> > Len - lest we forget - you're not a radio amateur. You've never
been a
> > radio amateur. Yet you see fit to tell all how amateur
> > radio should be. You're not and never have been part of the FCC,
> > either.
>
> As far as I'm concerned, amateur radio is about operating any mode I
> choose on any band I choose. Len isn't involved on any level.
>
That's right.

> > > >If the person disagrees with you on almost any
> > > >issue, you treat them *and their service*
> > > >with little or no respect at all.
> > >
> > > Tsk, tsk. Better contact the Department of the
> > > Army of the United States and DEMAND my Good
> > > Conduct medal be given up and sent back! :-)
>
> > I don't demand anything like that, Len.
>
> > > >You make fun of them and their service for
> > > >no apparent reason other than a failed
> > > >attempt at what you consider "humor".
> > >
> > > Tsk, tsk, tsk. Those "veterans" (at least one
> > > with "seven hostile actions") have all been
> > > about as disrespectful to me FIRST.
> >
> > No, they haven't. At least not to anyone rational.
>
> No, they haven't.
>
> > You apparently see any disagreement with your
> > cherished beliefs about Morse Code testing in amateur

> > radio as "disrespect", and then proceed in your
> > completely predictable manner.
>
> > > They got
> > > what is known as "return fire."
> >
> > For saying good things about Morse Code, apparently.
>
> > > Poor things.
> > > Thought they could pull a snow job on everyone
> > > else and make themselves real "big" in others'
> > > eyes. They should have stayed down at the
> > > Legion Hall bar.
>
> Len knows more about what others did than those involved. Len knows
> more about the military. Len knows more about communications. Len
> knows more about radio operation. Len knows more about the U.S.
> Department of State.
> Len knows more about your work. Len knows more about Brian Kelly's
> work.
> Len knows more about Steve's work.

Len doesn't know anything about my work. He could not do my
job. That *really* bothers him.

>
> > You mean like somebody who tells us the same story,
> > over and over and over and over again,
> > about his service at a big radio facility 50+ years
> > ago? Then gets mad because people point out his
> > underestimation of distances, and mistakes about
> > Soviet aircraft deployment dates?
>
> Whatever Len did at ADA more than a half century ago impacts amateur
> radio not in the least. Len tells it because he wants to be sure
that
> everyone knows of it.
>

> > > >Except it isn't funny.
> > >
> > > Not to you. But, you've NOT served in the military.
> >
> > How do you know for sure?
> >
> > Do *you* think it's funny, Len? Why? Those whom you make
> > fun of don't seem to be amused.
> >
> > Besides, the point is that you make fun of the military service of
> > those who disagree with you about Morse code testing in amateur
radio.

> > Even though you're not an amateur radio operator, never have been,
and
> > probably never will be.
> >
> > > Your body too precious to get it harmed in REAL
> > > service for your country?
> >
> > Why, no, Len. I don't think that at all. Never have.
> >
> > Is military service the only REAL service, Len? I guess
> > all those police officers, firefighters, EMTs, and other
> > uniformed people who go in harm's way don't count, do they?
> >
> > How about the utility workers who keep the lights on and the water
> > flowing? Or the highway, airline, transit, railroad and maritime
> > workers who keep transportation running?
> >
> > Or health care workers, exposed to who-knows-what every day on the
job?
> >
> > Guess they don't count either - to you.
> > >
> > > Couldn't get a dinner date with the Captain
> > > because the King of the Katapults was already
> > > booked on that aircraft carrier?
> >
> > More name calling - so typical of you.
> > >
> > >
> > > >>Let's all stand up and salute this brave "member of the
service."
> > > >
> > > >There you go again! So typical.
> > >
> > > I served. YOU did NOT.
> >
> > How does that give you the right to insult others' service?
>
> How does it give him the right to insult those who never served?

Good question!
>
> > > You want to see more of what I did in ARMY service?
> >
> > No. You've told us over and over and over again. That's
> > enough.
>
> Now you've done it, Jim. You've denigrated a veteran.
>
How?

I simply don't want to read Len's story about ADA again. He's posted it here so many times I can recite it from memory. But
he never explains why it has any bearing on amateur radio policy today.

I don't want to read it again.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4176. Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 11:53:46 UTC
From: "Edward A. Feustel" <efeustel@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <425d0919$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 105592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Peter Barbella" <nonobar@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:gQU6e.14294$nH4.4122@trndny05...
> Isn't the effectiveness of DSP critically dependent upon the A/D
> converter; its dynamic range, it's number of bits and it's sampling speed?
>
> Pete
> KB1LZH
Yes, and the AGC system that makes maximum use of the A/D and D/A range.
See for example the organization of the Ten Tec Orion.
Ed,
N5EI

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

4177. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 12:38:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113395934.010294.205230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105593

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
> > > >
> > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> > To be fair, Len has exhibited jackass behavior toward K0HB and Hans
> does
> > not support retention of morse code testing.
>
> Then I guess what bothers Len is when someone says anyhting good
about
> Morse Code.

What bothers Lennie most is that people who DIDN'T get "paid" for
their radio expertise know more about radio than he could hope to know.
Hans has considerable experience, ergo he catches The Wrath of Lennie.

> > > > I HAVE dissed those that want to LIE about
> > > > their big heroic military "actions" such as
> > > > Stebie the wonder murine

What keeps me laughing is that Lennie has mentioned my USMC
service far more than I could ever hope to or want to!

> > > There you go - calling names. So typical.
> >
> > ...and utterly predictable.
> >
> > > > about his famous
> > > > "seven hostile actions"
> > >
> > > Do you know what they are? Where *you* involved in any of
> > > them?
> >
> > He doesn't have any idea what they were. It kills him.
>
> Sure seems to.

And I am more than happy to pull THAT "trigger"...!

> > Don't leave out his attempts at insult by stating that my name
never

> > appeared in any lists of embassy staff. That blew up in his face
> when I
> > produced a couple of urls in which I was listed. Len's response
was
> to
> > dismiss the lists as some sort of telephone directory.
>
> Oh yes - I do recall that now.

He's tried to re-open that can of worms on a couple of
occassions...It didn't work the first time...didn't work on the
subsequent passes.

> > Len knows more about what others did than those involved. Len
knows
> > more about the military. Len knows more about communications. Len
> > knows more about radio operation. Len knows more about the U.S.
> > Department of State.
> > Len knows more about your work. Len knows more about Brian Kelly's
> > work.
> > Len knows more about Steve's work.
>
> Len doesn't know anything about my work. He could not do my
> job. That *really* bothers him.

Part of Lennie's problem is that he didn't want to do much of HIS
work...At least on one occassion that I have inside knowledge of. Or
rather I SHOULD say he wasn't CAPABLE of doing his job...Hence his
interrupted tenure at Warminster. My bad.

> > > > >>Let's all stand up and salute this brave "member of the
> service."
> > > > > >
> > > > > >There you go again! So typical.
> > > > >
> > > > > I served. YOU did NOT.
> > >
> > > How does that give you the right to insult others' service?
> >
> > How does it give him the right to insult those who never served?
>
> Good question!

Lennie signed on the dotted line and went to boot camp...wore the
uniform, even. But can we call what he really did "serving"...?!?!? In
even the loosest, most liberal interpretations, I guess he did...

And his "I Am A War Hero Because I Served In A Unit That Had KIA's
Before I Ever Got There" embellishments is like "negative points".

> > > > You want to see more of what I did in ARMY service?
> > >

> > > No. You've told us over and over and over again. That's
> > > enough.
> >
> > Now you've done it, Jim. You've denigrated a veteran.
> >
> How?

Take away his Geritol and you'll see how!

> I simply don't want to read Len's story about ADA again. He's posted
it
> here so many times I can recite it from memory. But
> he never explains why it has any bearing on amateur radio policy
today.
>
> I don't want to read it again.

Amen.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4178. Re: No Wonder The Boys Are Annoyed in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 12:45:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113396306.861488.228940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105594

Lloyd wrote:
> On 13 Apr 2005 00:38:33 -0700, K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > We're sorry, but the AOL News page you've requested is available
only
> > > to AOL members. AOL News gathers the best of the news industry,
> > > including USA Today, The New York Times, ABC News, CNN, The
Christian
> > > Science Monitor, TIME magazine and The Wall Street Journal, along
> > with
> > > constant AP and Reuters news updates to provide a full picture of
the
> > > day's events. To participate in the complete AOL News experience,
> > > please consider becoming an AOL Member.
> >
> > Well let me sum it up for ya!
> > [snip]

Allow me to replace the snip:

>> A TEN YEAR OLD GIRL has taken the BOYS title in Illinois wrestling
>> for the SECOND straight year!

>> Keep the URL, Todd...Maybe one of your Anonymous Lying Coward
>> buddies will run it for you!

> If you can't operate a browser, don't backpedal afterward. Typical
> AOLer.

My using AOL has nothing to do with the lack of testosterone in
Illinois, Lloydie...

And like I said...Maybe you can see that Todd gets to see it...I
am sure it will make him proud...DOUBLY proud since he's voiced some
very clear racial overtones in the past. He'll looooooooooooooooove
this newsclip!

Steve, K4YZ

4179. Re: No Wonder The Boys Are Annoyed in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 14:30:26 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <Phil@rabbishedcoun.net>
Message-ID: <d3jacp$f2k$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105595

```
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1113396306.861488.228940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Lloyd wrote:
>> On 13 Apr 2005 00:38:33 -0700, K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > N9OGL wrote:
>> > > We're sorry, but the AOL News page you've requested is available
> only
>> > > to AOL members. AOL News gathers the best of the news industry,
>> > > including USA Today, The New York Times, ABC News, CNN, The
> Christian
>> > > Science Monitor, TIME magazine and The Wall Street Journal, along
>> > with
>> > > constant AP and Reuters news updates to provide a full picture of
> the
>> > > day's events. To participate in the complete AOL News experience,
>> > > please consider becoming an AOL Member.
>> >
>> > Well let me sum it up for ya!
>> > [snip]
>
> Allow me to replace the snip:
>
>>> A TEN YEAR OLD GIRL has taken the BOYS title in Illinois wrestling
>>> for the SECOND straight year!
>
>>> Keep the URL, Todd...Maybe one of your Anonymous Lying Coward
>>> buddies will run it for you!
>
>> If you can't operate a browser, don't backpedal afterward. Typical
>> AOLer.
>
> My using AOL has nothing to do with the lack of testosterone in
> Illinois, Lloydie...
>
> And like I said...Maybe you can see that Todd gets to see it...I
> am sure it will make him proud...DOUBLY proud since he's voiced some
> very clear racial overtones in the past. He'll looooooooooooooooove
> this newsclip!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
```

Lets see, Steve uses AOL and Roger claims to have a Master of Science degree which he earned entirely via correspondence from DeVry.
Theres a connection, but it just isn't clear yet. Do you suppose they both function in a vacuum?

Shalom,

Rabbi Phil
Chief, San Hedrin Council

4180. Re: How About This?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 18:07:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Rdd7e.6386$sp3.1098@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105596

"Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:PFX6e.4995$An2.1626@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:qUU6e.4571$go4.1574@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> :
> : Well at least they will be legal. And what is wrong with citizens
> : protecting US citizens?
> :
> : Dan/W4NTI
> :
>
> Hey, I know what let's do. Let's put Dan and Brian and Hans and Len and
> Steve and Larry into their large-waist poopy suits and issue 'em a
> handheld
> and send 'em out south of town in the Sonoran and let 'em play sojer
> instead
> of pissing and moaning at each other here on rrap. Maybe we could even
> sign
> up Todd as an irregular reservist, kind of a camp comfort-boy. Be sure to
> pack extra batteries, boys, and don't expect the Border Patrol to pity you
> when they find your desiccated corpse out here in the desert. They got
> better things to do than to baby-sit a bunch of make-believe vigilantes.
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire
>
>
>

Now they are "make believe vigilantes". Which is it no name chicken shit
garbage heap?

Tell YOU what. When you grow a set of em big enough to do SOMETHING,
nay....ANYTHING at all besides "pissing and moaning " here on USENET I'll
gladly pay for your one way ticket.

Dipshit now answer the flippin question.

Dan/W4NTI

4181. Re: How About This?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 18:11:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <3hd7e.6387$sp3.6229@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105597

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1113374534.201502.174530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
>> news:4qQ6e.4791$An2.3841@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> >
>> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > news:1113299832.731062.162210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > : http://www.minutemanproject.com/Ham.html
>> > :
>> >
>> > These neo-vigilantes are already a burden on the USBP down here,
> and now
>> > we'll have vigilante-newbie-hams playing sojer on our ham bands.
> How
>> > about
>> > that?
>> >
>> > M.A.N.
>> > --
>> > "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O
> Lord,
>> > make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
>> > - Voltaire
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Well at least they will be legal. And what is wrong with citizens
>> protecting US citizens?
>
> What I find interesting, Dan, is that on the one hand people scream
> about how nothing's being done to seal the border, and how they want
> SOMEthing done NOW...
>
> ...yet when someone DOES step forward, and does so in a very open,
> very public way, they scream "VIGILANTE!"
>
> Personally, the idea that ONLY the government should be doing the
> protecting is, to me, scary. That's the very reason the Forefathers
> found it prudent to include the Second Amendment to the Constitution.
>
> More power to the guys in AZ. Wudda liked to have given them some

> time.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
You got that right. Gotta have it both ways, and like it neither way.
Whatever.

Dan/W4NTI

4182. Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 19:06:07 UTC
From: Paul Keinanen <keinanen@sci.fi>
Message-ID: <bhnq51hn65oh9fh9cir5h55o5b3e2rposs@4ax.com>
Source record: 105598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 07:53:46 -0400, "Edward A. Feustel"
<efeustel@direcway.com> wrote:

>
>"Peter Barbella" <nonobar@verizon.net> wrote in message
>news:gQU6e.14294$nH4.4122@trndny05...
>> Isn't the effectiveness of DSP critically dependent upon the A/D
>> converter; its dynamic range, it's number of bits and it's sampling speed?

>Yes, and the AGC system that makes maximum use of the A/D and D/A range.
>See for example the organization of the Ten Tec Orion.

If the DSP system really needs some kind of AGC, then it is a useless
toy :-).

If you have a strong and a weak signal in the ADC input bandwidth and
the system gain depends on the strong signal, then the weak signal is
going to be drowned into the (quantisation)noise whenever the
amplitude of the strong signal is increased.

Any properly working DSP system should be designed in a way that it is
capable of properly converting the thermal noise as well as the
combination of all strong signals in the input.

The only justification for using AGC in front of a DSP system is that
if antennas with dramatically different efficiencies are used. For
instance, if the receiver input is switched between a full sized
dipole on 160 m and a ferrite rod (with perhaps -40 dB gain), then it
is quite acceptable to modify the gain with some kind of AGC, but this
could be handled as well with some antenna specific gain presets.

If the system is working an hour on a specific band with a specific
antenna, you should not need any kind of AGC depending on the actual
signal level. If you need AGC for this, then you are going to have
problems, if you are trying to receive any weak signal close to a
strong signal.

Paul OH3LWR

4183. Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 19:31:11 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3se7e.6417$sp3.4597@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> If the system is working an hour on a specific band with a specific
> antenna, you should not need any kind of AGC depending on the actual
> signal level. If you need AGC for this, then you are going to have
> problems, if you are trying to receive any weak signal close to a
> strong signal.
>

What one really needs are ADCs with many bits, say 24 bits,
sampled at least twice the highest signal frequency to be digitized.
One has to avoid saturation (overflow) else the rest of the
signal gets blanked during the overflows.

sklee@ati.com

4184. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 23:11:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113433905.215142.38320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105700

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Apr 12, 8:00 pm

> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> Lest WE forget..."N2EY" NEVER served in ANY war in ANY
military.
>
> >> >How do you know for sure, Len?
>
> >You don't know for sure, do you, Len?
>
> Tsk. You aren't in the St. Louis database. :-)

Does this database cover all military organizations, or just those of
the USA?

Did you know that people can change their names?

>
> >What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
>
> This newsgroup is amateur radio policy, not WW2. :-)

There you go, Len, behaving like a jackass. Again.

What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
>
> >> Remember that WW2 ENDED BEFORE you were born.
> >
> >Why is that of any significance? If anything, it is
> >more important that those of us who weren't alive then
> >keep the history alive.
>
> ...so, you want to keep U.S. amateur radio as a
> living museum of morsemanship. Understand.
> Understood before, still understand that. :-)

You don't understand jack, Len ;-)
>
> >I live just up the hill from Valley Forge, where Washington's
> >army survived a terrible winter. Also down the pike from where
> >the Paoli Massacre took place.
>
> That's nice. Been there, seen that. So, WHAT

> does that have to do with amateur RADIO?

About as much as you do, Len. IOW, nothing.

> >That war ended long before *you* were born, Len.
>
> Absolutely...but, the American Revolutionary War
> did NOT involve either morse code or radio.

So?

> Remember, this IS an amateur radio policy news-
> group, not some teary-eyed emotional hangout for
> those that NEVER served.

Who is "teary-eyed"? Not me.
>
> >And what does it matter anyway?
>
> Not to me, personally.

Sure it does. You rag on it constantly.

> But, remember, this isn't
> the History Channel and you AIN'T a docent in it.

Neither are you, Len.

> >> Yet you make this BIG THING about morse code
> >> in a window display...
> >
> >Is it wrong to mention an interesting architectural feature?
>
> Morse code is NOW an "interesting architectural feature?"

The windows are an interesting architectural feature.

> So, you are now an amateur "architect?" :-)

Sure - why not?

> >Does it bother you, Len?
>
> Only in that you are such a transparent hypocrite.

Naw, I'm really more of an honest mirror, showing your
mistakes and errors. Really does bug you, it seems, when
someone like me - whom you consider your inferior in
every way - points out a mistake of yours, or can do
something you can't.

> >Here's a hint: The Canadian military forces used Morse Code in
> >WW2.
>
> Wow! [...that's a BIG Ben Stein "wowwww...."]

>
> >> could it be that you
> >> just don't give a damn about WW2 and only want
> >> to make a big emotional showing for morse?
> >
> >Nope - not at all. I find the history of WW2 to be
> >very interesting. I think it's important to understand
> >that war, both how it got started and the aftermath, to
> >understand current history. And technology.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Then you MUST understand that THIS venue
> is NOT for "warfare" or the technology of warfare.

Then why do you gas us so much?

> You should also realize that morse code has HAD its
> day

It's day is today, too.

> and is now obsolete for modern communications.

That's simply not true, Len. Of course you get all worked up
if anyone says anything good about Morse Code. So typical,
so predictable.

> Obsolete for everyone but the retrograde self-
> aggrandizement elitist PCTA extras who USE morse
> skills to show how much "better" they are than all
> other "radio operators." Tsk, tsk.

There you go - more jackass behavior from Len.
>
> >For example, the very first high-speed, general purpose,
> >digital electronic computer, ENIAC, was developed and built
> >right here in Philadelphia - in the basement of the building
> >where I
> >went to EE school. I've actually seen and handled
> >pieces of it, read the original papers in the library there.
>
> Poor baby, still BEHIND the times, reaching for glory
> on someone ELSE's work. Look again. Collossus over in
> the UK's Bletchley Park beat ENIAC in the time frame.

Bzzt, wrong. Colussus wasn't general purpose.

> Not only that, John Atanasoff's little group over at
> Ohio State was before BOTH of them. :-)

The Atanasoff-Berry Computer (ABC) was never fully completed or
operational. ENIAC was.

> You really DON'T know your own industry's history well
> and you are griping about others. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

You don't know jack about computer history, Len.
>
> >It was built to compute artillery aiming tables for the US
> >Army,
> >and was used for ten years at the Aberdeen Proving Grounds.
> >There's
> >also an unconfirmed story that it was used for atom
> >bomb calculations.
>
> Sounds like you've been irradiated to sterility of the
> mind.

Nope. Just the facts.

> LOTS of calculation places were busy doing
> ordinance table calculations way back then.

Of course. And ENIAC could do in couple of seconds what
they took days or weeks to do. That's a fact.

> Bell Labs
> had a RELAY calculator...actually three of them in one
> of the first computer "networks" in NYC. Before ENIAC
> was started.

Those relay machines were electromechanical, not electronic. They
worked but were very slow compared to ENIAC - not high-speed at all.

> Tsk, tsk. Get WITH the history program!

Get with the facts, Len.
>
> >Or let's talk about the lessons to be learned from the US Navy
> >Mark XIV submarine torpedo, and its problems.
>
> Oh! You are now an Expert Extra on USN ordinance?

I don't claim to be an expert about anything, Len. But I do know the
lessons of the Mark XIV.

Do you?

>
> Remember, this is an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY newsgroup.

Then perhaps you should stay on the subject.

> >Perhaps the proximity fuse is more to your liking. Incredible
> >device, made with tubes originally meant for hearing aids.
>
> Tsk, tsk. You had a "prox" go off on YOU, sweetums.
>
> Remember, this is an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY newsgroup.
>
> >I could go on about the political and economic effects, but since

this
>
> >is a radio newsgroup I thought I'd stick to electronic and
> >radio subjects.
>
> Remember, this is an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY newsgroup.

Yet you go off on lot of tangents...
>
> >If I did talk about any military service I had, you would
> >be certain to make fun of it. It's just what you do, Len.
> >So typical.
>
> But...you did NOT serve...so HOW can you "talk about"
> something that NEVER happened?

It's called a hypothetical, Len. If I served, you'd make
fun of my service. It's what you do when someone disagrees
with you.
>
> Remember, this is an AMATEUR RADIO POLICY newsgroup.
>
> >> I haven't dissed Bill Sohl about his USN service.
> >
> >Because he doesn't disagree with you about Morse Code testing in
> >amateur radio. In fact, he pretty much ignores you.
>
> Bill hasn't been here much. That means he "ignored"
> YOU, too! :-)

When Bill was here, he and I discussed many amateur radio policy issues
in a civil manner.
>
> >> I haven't dissed Brian Burke about his USAF service.
> >
> >Because he doesn't disagree with you about Morse Code testing in
> >amateur radio. In fact, he pretty much idolizes you.
>
> Irrelevant for THIS thread, sweetums. :-)

No, completely relevant.

> >It's only those who disagree with you about Morse Code testing
> >that get your disrespect, abuse, name calling, and general
> >jackass behavior.
>
> Ooooooooo! Big PCTA EXTRA tossing your weight around?

Not me, Len.

> Hello? Does the "sign-off" word "PUTZ" mean anything
> to you? :-)

I've never called anyone a PUTZ, here, Len.

> Does Kolonel Klunk's "you never did any operating 24/7
> in the military" mean anything to you? :-)

Only that you never did anything requiring consciousness 24/7, Len. Can
you stay awake 168 continuous hours?
>
> >> I HAVE dissed those that want to LIE about
> >> their big heroic military "actions" such as
> >> Stebie the wonder murine
> >
> >There you go - calling names. So typical.
>
> Oooooooo! Causing you "great pain and anguish" is it?
>
Nope. Just pointing it out.

> >> about his famous
> >> "seven hostile actions"
> >
> >Do you know what they are?
>
> Nope...the big hero sojer in da TN woods won't say!
>
> Are you reading deprived and can't understand what
> your pet buddy is saying about others?

You are more responsible for how he behaves than I am, Len.

> > Where *you* involved in any of them?
>
> Now, HOW could I have been "in" them? My service
> time was 1952 to 1960. Check with St. Louis archives
> if you don't believe that. :-)
>
> >Is there any reason to doubt that Steve, K4YZ, was involved
> >if he says he was?
>
> HUNDREDS OF DOUBTS! :-)

IOW, none at all.

>
> >> and his failure to
> >> acknowledge that the DoD really does direct MARS.
> >
> >I see. His mistake somehow justifies *your* behavior?
>
> Just ONE of DOZENS of his "mistakes." :-)
>
He'll have to work hard to catch up to you, then.
>

> >Godwin invoked. You lose.
>
> Do you go to the House of Godwin on Sundays?
>
> Sorry, sweetums, I've WON but you can't ever admit it.
>
> >Why not use the person's name and callsign?
>
> Why don't others?

Why don't you?

4185. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 23:15:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <425DA6BD.CDEEE173@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
>
> I can kick any girl ass any day of the week.

That's some claim to fame!

There are a couple of lesbians who wear construction boots, jeans and leather jackets down in the little town of Cameron who would love to meet you, Todd.

Dave K8MN

4186. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 23:18:29 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <425DA8C2.E30FBD9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
>
>
http://aolsvc.news.aol.com/news/article.adp?id=20050412101909990001&ncid=NWS00010000000001

What good does it do to post a link that's only available to AOL
users?

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4187. ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-13 23:51:40 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1113436300.051396.280830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105703

"Acting on the premise that the amateur bands must flexibly and comfortably accommodate present and future operating modes and technologies over the long haul, the ARRL Executive Committee has reached consensus on recommendations to the ARRL Board of Directors for a regulation-by-bandwidth proposal. Meeting April 9 in Denver, the panel adopted recommendations that will form the basis of a draft ARRL petition to the FCC seeking to govern the usage of amateur spectrum by emission bandwidth rather than by mode. The proposals remain only EC recommendations at this point . . . "

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/04/13/1/?nc=1

- - - - - - -

This nonsense needs to be killed FAST and killed NOW. It represents gross overregulation. It's HQ trying to fix a system which isn't broken. Again. It's time to rise up against it en masse.

w3rv

4188. Re: No Wonder The Boys Are Annoyed in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 00:12:34 UTC
From: I Am Not Roger <NoSpam@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d3kcg1avu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105704

"Rabbi Phil" <Phil@rabbishedcoun.net> wrote in message
news:d3jacp$f2k$0@pita.alt.net...

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1113396306.861488.228940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Lloyd wrote:
>> On 13 Apr 2005 00:38:33 -0700, K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > N9OGL wrote:
>> > > We're sorry, but the AOL News page you've requested is available
> only
>> > > to AOL members. AOL News gathers the best of the news industry,
>> > > including USA Today, The New York Times, ABC News, CNN, The
> Christian
>> > > Science Monitor, TIME magazine and The Wall Street Journal, along
>> > with
>> > > constant AP and Reuters news updates to provide a full picture of
> the
>> > > day's events. To participate in the complete AOL News experience,
>> > > please consider becoming an AOL Member.
>> >
>> > Well let me sum it up for ya!
>> > [snip]
>
> Allow me to replace the snip:
>
>>> A TEN YEAR OLD GIRL has taken the BOYS title in Illinois wrestling
>>> for the SECOND straight year!
>
>>> Keep the URL, Todd...Maybe one of your Anonymous Lying Coward
>>> buddies will run it for you!
>
>> If you can't operate a browser, don't backpedal afterward. Typical
>> AOLer.
>
> My using AOL has nothing to do with the lack of testosterone in
> Illinois, Lloydie...
>
> And like I said...Maybe you can see that Todd gets to see it...I
> am sure it will make him proud...DOUBLY proud since he's voiced some
> very clear racial overtones in the past. He'll looooooooooooooooove
> this newsclip!
>

> Steve, K4YZ
>

Lets see, Steve uses AOL and Roger claims to have a Master of Science
degree which he earned entirely via correspondence from DeVry.
Theres a connection, but it just isn't clear yet. Do you suppose they both
function in a vacuum?

Shalom,

Rabbi Phil
Chief, San Hedrin Council
....

Now, wait a minute. Roger once said He was "working on" his Master's and
said he was only a few credit hours from obtaining it. Knowing that Roger is
a congenital fibber, these "few credit hours" to which he alludes may be as
few as 12 or, knowing Roger's intellect as we do, as many as those numbered
in years. Only Roger knows for sure and he fibs about everything. (Roger
uses AOL also)
Unlike Roger, Steve can construct any number of cohesive sentences without a
single use of profanity. For Roger this is an insurmountable task.

--
"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling
complainant.)

4189. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 00:32:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <dSi7e.9305$44.2023@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105705

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1113436300.051396.280830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> "Acting on the premise that the amateur bands must flexibly and
> comfortably accommodate present and future operating modes and
> technologies over the long haul, the ARRL Executive Committee has
> reached consensus on recommendations to the ARRL Board of Directors for
> a regulation-by-bandwidth proposal. Meeting April 9 in Denver, the
> panel adopted recommendations that will form the basis of a draft ARRL
> petition to the FCC seeking to govern the usage of amateur spectrum by
> emission bandwidth rather than by mode. The proposals remain only EC
> recommendations at this point . . . "
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/04/13/1/?nc=1
>
> - - - - - - -
>
> This nonsense needs to be killed FAST and killed NOW. It represents
> gross overregulation. It's HQ trying to fix a system which isn't
> broken. Again. It's time to rise up against it en masse.
>
> w3rv
>\
Tell me about it. ARRL is acting more like Congress every day.

I can see it all now. PSK-31 on 7.003 wondering why his AGC is "jumping"
and his screen goes white.

Dan/W4NTI

4190. Re: How About This?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 00:35:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113438949.629193.306300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105706

K4YZ wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
> > news:4qQ6e.4791$An2.3841@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > news:1113299832.731062.162210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > : http://www.minutemanproject.com/Ham.html
> > > :
> > >
> > > These neo-vigilantes are already a burden on the USBP down here,
> and now
> > > we'll have vigilante-newbie-hams playing sojer on our ham bands.
> How
> > > about
> > > that?
> > >
> > > M.A.N.
> > > --
> > > "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O
> Lord,
> > > make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> > > - Voltaire
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Well at least they will be legal. And what is wrong with citizens
> > protecting US citizens?
>
> What I find interesting, Dan, is that on the one hand people
scream
> about how nothing's being done to seal the border, and how they want
> SOMEthing done NOW...
>
> ...yet when someone DOES step forward, and does so in a very
open,
> very public way, they scream "VIGILANTE!"
>
> Personally, the idea that ONLY the government should be doing
the
> protecting is, to me, scary. That's the very reason the Forefathers
> found it prudent to include the Second Amendment to the Constitution.
>

> More power to the guys in AZ. Wudda liked to have given them
some
> time.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I'm in agreement with Steve on this one.

4191. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 00:43:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113439414.595881.7680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105707

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Brian Burke on Apr 12, 4:06 pm
>
> > >I have no skill in the Japanese language. Thanks for
straightening
> me
> > >out that "sayonara" is the Japanese equivalent of the Yiddish word
> for
> > >"penis." I'm shocked to think that all those JA's were were
> repeating
> > >the word "penis" over and over again on the air. And I always
> thought
> > >they were the most polite amateurs.
>
> They are. But the point is you don't know Japanese, yet you
feel
> it's "OK" to say Japanese words in non-Japanese conversation. You
and
> Lennie don't seem to have the same opinions on word useage when
> non-Lennites are involved in the act.

So the next time a JA gives me a "sayonara" I should say "good bye
penis?"

4192. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 00:48:31 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113439711.380172.268650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105708

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: K4YZ on Apr 12, 6:04 am
>
> > I've provided names and callsigns of those who know
> > me, but you have NOT contacted them. Instead, you
> > MANUFACTURE some person at NADC that claims to know
> > me...a decade after I'd been there and a PhD to boot
> > but NOBODY (but you) knows the name.
>
> Let's see....I "contact" some beer drinking buddy of yours who
> you've already briefed on what to say and he'll tell me...what?

Like the time Hans contacted the ARRL...? Or was that the FCC?

> And The person I knew at NADC was an acquaintance of
> mine...certainly no one who owed me any favor or would make up
> something.

But you would certainly "make up something."

4193. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 00:53:44 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <Phil@rabbishedcoun.net>
Message-ID: <d3ketievh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105709

As has been said before, if you think the ARRL or the FCC have the best interests of amateur radio in mind when they do something, then I have a bridge in Brooklyn and some desert real estate I would like to sell you. And if you think Riley Holligsworth has anything on his mind other than how much money he can make while he's still a FCC employee and what company he will work for after retirement, then you really are simple minded. Then again, informed sources say Riley is greasing the skids after retirement to come back on board as a rehired FCC annuitant.

Shalom & 73,

Rabbi Phil
Chief, Supreme San Hedrin Council

4194. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 00:56:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113440203.947946.32670@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mr. Obvious wrote:
> Try this one on for size; I seem to recall she's open for match
> bookings:
>
> http://www.rotten.com/library/bio/sports/chyna/chyna1.jpg

Suzie Lawless?

4195. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 01:04:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113440677.924443.104690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105711

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> The new Canadian War Museum opens May 7-8
>
> http://www.warmuseum.ca/cwm/opening/celebrate_e.html
>
> Some of the windows spell out "Lest We Forget" in Morse Code.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I thought that people went to Canada to avoid war, and here they
dedicate a museum to war. Wonder where Jim went to engineering school?

4196. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 01:18:21 UTC
From: I Am Not Roger <NoSpam@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d3kgbd$he5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:425DA6BD.CDEEE173@earthlink.net...
N9OGL wrote:
>
> I can kick any girl ass any day of the week.

That's some claim to fame!

There are a couple of lesbians who wear construction boots, jeans and
leather jackets down in the little town of Cameron who would love to
meet you, Todd.

Dave K8MN

..

...and you can bet they have far more testosterone than Toddie Boy, too.

4197. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 01:20:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113441617.420384.159910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105713

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?

Kind of makes it hard to be a Veteran if you didn't serve.

> Here's a hint: The Canadian military forces used Morse Code in
> WW2.

Oh, now I see the connections. Because you saw photos of Ham soldiers in QST, and you're a ham, you "served" by extension. The coat-tail connection.

> I could go on about the political and economic effects, but since
this
> is a radio newsgroup I thought I'd stick to electronic and
> radio subjects.

And Canadian war museum topics.

> If I did talk about any military service I had, you would
> be certain to make fun of it. It's just what you do, Len.
> So typical.

You "served" in other ways. And Kelly has "real" military experience.

> It's only those who disagree with you about Morse Code testing
> that get your disrespect, abuse, name calling, and general
> jack(expletive deleted] behavior.

Do you eat with that mouth?

> Is there any reason to doubt that Steve, K4YZ, was involved
> if he says he was?

Is there any reason to not apply Steve's rules of facts to Steve's claims?

> I see. His mistake somehow justifies *your* behavior?

Mistake? He's had ample opportunity to correct that mistake. Instead he piles up the lies.

> There you go again - calling names.

"jack(expletive deleted] behavior"

> Godwin invoked. You lose.
>

> Why not use the person's name and callsign?

Kim, W5TIT.

Miccolis invoked. You lose.

Rest of your double-standards snipped.

4198. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 01:40:34 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <trWdndSOBPiUV8DfRVn-pA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105714

bb wrote:

> Mr. Obvious wrote:
>
>>Try this one on for size; I seem to recall she's open for match
>>bookings:
>>
>>http://www.rotten.com/library/bio/sports/chyna/chyna1.jpg
>
>
> Suzie Lawless?

That's China or Chyna, she's on WWF allot, and yes wrestling is real!

4199. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 01:41:34 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <trWdndeOBPjZV8DfRVn-pA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105715

I Am Not Roger wrote:

> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:425DA6BD.CDEEE173@earthlink.net...
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>I can kick any girl ass any day of the week.
>
>
> That's some claim to fame!
>
> There are a couple of lesbians who wear construction boots, jeans and
> leather jackets down in the little town of Cameron who would love to
> meet you, Todd.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
> ..
>
> ...and you can bet they have far more testosterone than Toddie Boy, too.

Toady sucks testosterone!

4200. Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 01:57:18 UTC
From: Joel Kolstad <JKolstad71HatesSpam@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <08GdnR09Na4tUMDfRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 105716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd,

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:ggg87017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> Not really. All you need is any modern floating point ADC, and the
> truncation problem is overcome.

I wasn't aware such devices were common place. Do you have any favorite
part numbers that are of this type? (E.g., from Analog Devices, TI, etc.)

4201. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 03:22:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <425DE060.4C3FDCC9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105717

bb wrote:

> So the next time a JA gives me a "sayonara" I should say "good bye
> penis?"

No, That's for the next time you visit Lorena Bobbitt.

Dave K8MN

4202. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 03:47:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113450447.336048.52250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105718

From: "K4YZ" on Wed,Apr 13 2005 1:16 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K4YZ on Apr 12, 6:04 am
>
>> I've provided names and callsigns of those who know
>> me, but you have NOT contacted them. Instead, you
>> MANUFACTURE some person at NADC that claims to know
>> me...a decade after I'd been there and a PhD to boot
>> but NOBODY (but you) knows the name.
>
> Let's see....I "contact" some beer drinking buddy of yours who
>you've already briefed on what to say and he'll tell me...what?
>
> And The person I knew at NADC was an acquaintance of
>mine...certainly no one who owed me any favor or would make up
>something.
>
> He has a REAL Doctorate in Electrical Engineering and HAS been
>published. He has credentials that I trust. You don't.

You are getting way too deep in your psychosis.

Regardless of how much you "believe" the above to be
true, for the purposes of argument in here you must reveal
the name of that person or PUT IT AWAY. I was visiting NADC
34 years ago as an employee of RCA Corporation and stayed
there a total of three months. The former Naval Air
Development Center, NOT NAS Warminster across the road.
I had daily contact with only three NADC engineers in
that group and NONE of them would be "your acquaintence."

> The only one "fortunate" was you, Lennie. But then I've had
>several years of rubbing your nose in your lies, deceit and mistruths
>here to make up for it.

You are living in some fantasy again. Reset.

> Nothing lost for me. Lot's lost for you. Like any chance at ever
>being accepted for what you THINK you should be....

Tsk, tsk. The ONLY thing I've "lost" is thinking you
might be rational. I'm way too optimistic. You aren't
rational.

> I had all the "proof" I need, Lennie.
>

> A third party with no allegience to protect. A man with a
>professional reputation that I can bank on.

You have BOGUS "proof." Non-existant. That "acquaintence"
doesn't exist. You made him up.

> He didn't know you "a decade after (you were) there". He knew
you
>WHEN you were there.
>
> And I do not name him because I protect his privacy at his
>request.

1. You can't name him because he doesn't exist.

2. The ONLY thing you are protecting is your own
bragging LIE about that fantasy individual.

3. "Protecting privacy" is totally bogus. Rationalization
expressed to attempt masking your own LIE.

> I have no reason to doubt his assessment or opinion.

You probably believe your own fantasy. To you it is
"truth." To everyone else it is just your fantasy.

> There is nothing "rational" about adult males repeatedly and
>adamandtly lying in public, Lennie. but the two of you keep doing it.

Then you are your own worst enemy since you are describing
YOURSELF.

The only way you can clear your LYING is to name this
supposed person in order to actually prove something.
Your "word" that he exists is also bogus. He doesn't
exist anywhere except in your own psychotic imagination.
Your "word" is therefore meaningless.

Provide this "name." Without it you have a bogus
"reference" that means nothing.

You are SICK and need help. Go get some.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4203. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 03:54:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113450844.313617.76960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105719

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed,Apr 13 2005 4:28 am

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >
>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
>> > >
>> > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

<most skipped for brevity...>

>I simply don't want to read Len's story about ADA again. He's posted
it
>here so many times I can recite it from memory. But
>he never explains why it has any bearing on amateur radio policy
today.

I've already explained the "bearing it has" years ago.
Let's take it again, from the top...

Back in the beginning of the 1950s, the U.S. military
was NOT using any morse code modes for long-distance
point-to-point communications. Most of that message
"traffic" was written teleprinter that carried the
vast majority of military communications.

NO morse code modes were used on such radio circuits
afterwards. That SHOULD have some meaning to rational
persons insofar as the efficacy of morse code for
communications...in short, morse code was way too slow,
too prone to human errors by its operators, and
generally so inefficient that, by now, EVERY other
radio service has either DROPPED the mode (if they used
it at all in the past) or NEVER CONSIDERED it when that
radio service began. The sole exception is AMATEUR
radio...a hobby pursuit, a recreation, something done
on free time for enjoyment.

For over half a century (actually, since before WW2)
the brunt of messaging in the military has been done
by modes OTHER than morse code. An approximation of
the amount of such military traffic is a minimum of
1 1/2 MILLION messages a MONTH back in 1955. It was
not trivial, it wasn't confined to a few ship's radio
rooms. It was the logistical supply "glue" that

enabled the United States military to support itself worldwide. It was necessary to keep "getting the messages through" as the old, and still current, Signal Corps phrase puts it.

It should be obvious to rational people that there is NO need for any morse code testing for a hobby radio activity. It is NOT a "national service." It is NOT needed to "maintain a reserve of 'skilled' radio operators" for the nation or even a locality.

What morse code testing for a hobby radio activity has become is a travesty, a gross artificiality kept in there by old-timers who managed to pass such tests and keep insisting that all newcomers MUST do as they did. There is NO rational reason for that. There is only the artificiality of some hazing exercise so that those who pass can adopt the artificiality of doing something that few can. Nonsense.

>I don't want to read it again.

Naturally, since you are one of those old-timers who thinks of little else but morse code operation on the HF amateur bands. You want to enforce your own private desires and accomplishment goals on others regardless of their wishes or the irrationality of your demands.

You don't want to read it because someone else was able to be in a position to do REAL HF communications all the time. That's way above the average amateur experience. You resent knowing that another has done it. But...you are going to have to live with it.

"It ain't braggin if ya done it..." I did it.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4204. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 03:56:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113450971.297376.31690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105720

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed,Apr 13 2005 4:28 am

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >
>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
>> > >
>> > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

<most skipped for brevity...>

>I simply don't want to read Len's story about ADA again. He's posted
it
>here so many times I can recite it from memory. But
>he never explains why it has any bearing on amateur radio policy
today.

I've already explained the "bearing it has" years ago.
Let's take it again, from the top...

Back in the beginning of the 1950s, the U.S. military
was NOT using any morse code modes for long-distance
point-to-point communications. Most of that message
"traffic" was written teleprinter that carried the
vast majority of military communications.

NO morse code modes were used on such radio circuits
afterwards. That SHOULD have some meaning to rational
persons insofar as the efficacy of morse code for
communications...in short, morse code was way too slow,
too prone to human errors by its operators, and
generally so inefficient that, by now, EVERY other
radio service has either DROPPED the mode (if they used
it at all in the past) or NEVER CONSIDERED it when that
radio service began. The sole exception is AMATEUR
radio...a hobby pursuit, a recreation, something done
on free time for enjoyment.

For over half a century (actually, since before WW2)
the brunt of messaging in the military has been done
by modes OTHER than morse code. An approximation of
the amount of such military traffic is a minimum of
1 1/2 MILLION messages a MONTH back in 1955. It was
not trivial, it wasn't confined to a few ship's radio
rooms. It was the logistical supply "glue" that

enabled the United States military to support itself worldwide. It was necessary to keep "getting the messages through" as the old, and still current, Signal Corps phrase puts it.

It should be obvious to rational people that there is NO need for any morse code testing for a hobby radio activity. It is NOT a "national service." It is NOT needed to "maintain a reserve of 'skilled' radio operators" for the nation or even a locality.

What morse code testing for a hobby radio activity has become is a travesty, a gross artificiality kept in there by old-timers who managed to pass such tests and keep insisting that all newcomers MUST do as they did. There is NO rational reason for that. There is only the artificiality of some hazing exercise so that those who pass can adopt the artificiality of doing something that few can. Nonsense.

>I don't want to read it again.

Naturally, since you are one of those old-timers who thinks of little else but morse code operation on the HF amateur bands. You want to enforce your own private desires and accomplishment goals on others regardless of their wishes or the irrationality of your demands.

You don't want to read it because someone else was able to be in a position to do REAL HF communications all the time. That's way above the average amateur experience. You resent knowing that another has done it. But...you are going to have to live with it.

"It ain't braggin if ya done it..." I did it.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4205. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 04:11:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <425DEBEA.84B56912@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105721

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed,Apr 13 2005 4:28 am
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >
> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > > From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
> >> > >
> >> > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> <most skipped for brevity...>
>
> >I simply don't want to read Len's story about ADA again. He's posted
> it
> >here so many times I can recite it from memory. But
> >he never explains why it has any bearing on amateur radio policy
> today.
>
> I've already explained the "bearing it has" years ago.
> Let's take it again, from the top...

<snip>

Let's don't.

Dave K8MN

4206. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 04:12:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <425DEC29.721F3A0A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105722

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed,Apr 13 2005 4:28 am
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >
> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > > From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
> >> > >
> >> > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> <most skipped for brevity...>
>
> >I simply don't want to read Len's story about ADA again. He's posted
> it
> >here so many times I can recite it from memory. But
> >he never explains why it has any bearing on amateur radio policy
> today.
>
> I've already explained the "bearing it has" years ago.
> Let's take it again, from the top...

Not the first time, not the second time.

<snip>

Dave K8MN

4207. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 05:58:34 UTC
From: CCW N4AOX <wynn9701@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Xyn7e.49021$UW6.19668@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 105723

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1113436300.051396.280830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> "Acting on the premise that the amateur bands must flexibly and
> comfortably accommodate present and future operating modes and
> technologies over the long haul, the ARRL Executive Committee has
> reached consensus on recommendations to the ARRL Board of Directors for
> a regulation-by-bandwidth proposal. Meeting April 9 in Denver, the
> panel adopted recommendations that will form the basis of a draft ARRL
> petition to the FCC seeking to govern the usage of amateur spectrum by
> emission bandwidth rather than by mode. The proposals remain only EC
> recommendations at this point . . . "
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/04/13/1/?nc=1
>
> - - - - - - -
>
> This nonsense needs to be killed FAST and killed NOW. It represents
> gross overregulation. It's HQ trying to fix a system which isn't
> broken. Again. It's time to rise up against it en masse.
>
> w3rv
>

I would like to see a comprehensive discussion of this proposed rule making without it degenerating into name calling. This could revitalize a newsgroup that is overdue for getting back on subject, that is, policy.

So far, I have four comments:

1. What experience and expertise do seven or eight old men (ARRL EC) in Newington have, to qualify to make policy for the conduct of Amateur HF operations? Let's see their operating logs or other evidence for the past year where they have made 5 contacts per week avg. in the CW/Data/AutoData/Voice intersection areas in the subject bands they are including in their proposal. OK, let's make it easy, just show logs for just SWLing those areas for 2 1/2 hrs per week. If they cannot produce, then they should be excused from making policy on such far-reaching implications.

2. While it may appear to be "overregulating" as someone pointed out, I take the opposite view. K1ZZ says "Oh, bandwidth is too hard to define, let alone measure, so lets just "say" we are going to restrict AutoDATA to 3.5 KHz and Semi-Auto DATA to 500 Hz, but we won't require measurement for verification.

First of all, does anyone have a copy of the current rules and regs for AutoDATA and Semi-AutoDATA operation on HF? I've been away a while, so someone please point me to that in the Part 97. Let's get that established first. For instance do those stations have to identify in CW or Voice(AM or SSB) at any time? So how about some clear rules and regs for conduct of the Auto and Semi-Auto stations.

Second, if they are going to refarm on "bandwidth", should not "bandwidth" be redefined to a quantifiable measure? K1ZZ claims that bandwidth is not necessary to measure, that it will be self-regulating. Yeah, sure wink, wink! This works on voice HF where you can tune over to the offending frequency and say "QLF", "QSY or QRT". Have you ever tried to tell an unidentifiable robot station that it is running too broad a signal? It is almost as difficult as telling K1MAN to QSY.;-) Everyone knows that there is always great pressure to open up the bandwidth and increase throughput on DATA. Soon you will soon find the HF AutoDATA's going to 16 KHz and Semi-AutoDATA's going to 3.5 KHz. If you ever do catch up with the offender their retort would be: "But, hey, bandwidth is ill-defined, and I don't have to measure it, so sue me!"

3. Am I prejudiced? Yes! My experience, living with AutoDATA's operating in the 7.100 to 7.105 MHz for a few years was this: While I am trying to work new novices and give them a new contact, in the only part of the novice band not savaged by Foreign Broadcast, while gearing down to 5-10WPM, these Auto Cowboys would fire up on our QSO. If you called CQ on "their" frequency, they would turn you in to the FCC. Their idea of "sharing" in the HF band was about the same as K1MAN's or W1AW's idea of sharing their bulletin frequencies. So, in effect, is the ARRL EC campaigning to give the Auto Cowboys their exclusive non-sharable subbands throughout the HF spectrum? Take a look at K1ZZ's chart and add up the total AutoDATA bandwidth across the HF spectrum. Now will we have the Semi-AutoDATA operations spreading out from there? Hmmmmm!

4. Lest I be labeled a Luddite, I think that a robust Amateur HF Data Network across the nation, managed by dedicated Hams, could be the center-piece for revitalizing or defending our reason to exist as a service in the public interest. However, such operations as SemiAuto and AutoDATA need to be regulated in proportion to their inherent liability to wreak havoc and do damage to current operations if unchecked.

--Clay
N4AOX

4208. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 06:04:02 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1113458642.553216.322480@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105724

bb wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
>
> Kind of makes it hard to be a Veteran if you didn't serve.

But then comes you. A licensee with no antenna. In your case one has to conclude that becoming a Veteran was easier than putting up an antenna.

4209. FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 07:12:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113462741.702585.181370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105725

Other website gone!!!!

I have removed my other website "N9OGL INVENTIONS" because of lack of
intrest by other people and the asshole ham operators on
rec.radio.amateur.policy who made fun of it and well, ARE FUCKING
ASSHOLES.....FUCK YOU HAM OPERATOR BITCHES!!!!!!!!
TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH N9OGL

4210. Re: FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 09:04:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113469470.168136.291320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105726

N9OGL wrote:
> Other website gone!!!!
> I have removed my other website "N9OGL INVENTIONS" because of lack of
> intrest by other people and the ###hole ham operators on
> rec.radio.amateur.policy who made fun of it and well, ARE ####ING
> ###HOLES.....#### YOU HAM OPERATOR ########!!!!!!!!

Todd...How many times did we try to tell you about your grammar,
insults and just plain old poor organization of your "blog"...?!?!

It got made fun of because YOU kept rubbing everyone's noses in
it, yet REFUSED to to correct all the blatant errors no matter how or
how frequently they were pointed out to you.

> TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH N9OGL

See what I mean? YOU keep insisting on misrepresenting your
identity despite the fact that it is well known that the last name of
the licensee of N9OGL is NOT "O'Dochartaigh".

Don't go off on a name-calling rant when the person to blame is
right there in your mirror, Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

4211. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 09:24:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113470653.935246.161260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105727

bb wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> > What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
>
> Kind of makes it hard to be a Veteran if you didn't serve.

Where has Jim EVER claimed ANY aspect of military or federal
service, Brian?

Now answer the man's question.

> > Here's a hint: The Canadian military forces used Morse Code in
> > WW2.
>
> Oh, now I see the connections. Because you saw photos of Ham
soldiers
> in QST, and you're a ham, you "served" by extension. The coat-tail
> connection.

You really are in a max-putz mode today, aren't you?

WHERE did Jim EVER claim to be a Veteran? WHERE did he EVER say
he "served" in the Armed Forces?

> > I could go on about the political and economic effects, but since
> this
> > is a radio newsgroup I thought I'd stick to electronic and
> > radio subjects.
>
> And Canadian war museum topics.

That happened to have a connection to radio communications.

You see, Brian, THAT is why you catch the flak taht yopu do for
your behaviour.

Just like Lennie, you like to stop where it serves you to do so
and ignore facts.

> > If I did talk about any military service I had, you would
> > be certain to make fun of it. It's just what you do, Len.
> > So typical.
>
> You "served" in other ways. And Kelly has "real" military
experience.

Do YOU, Brain?

You were only a weatherman in the USAF. We can make all sorts of
issues if you want.

> > It's only those who disagree with you about Morse Code testing
> > that get your disrespect, abuse, name calling, and general
> > jack(expletive deleted] behavior.
>
> Do you eat with that mouth?

Sure he does.

You kiss your wife with the same lips you have on Lennie's butt
all the time...Does SHE complain?

> > Is there any reason to doubt that Steve, K4YZ, was involved
> > if he says he was?
>
> Is there any reason to not apply Steve's rules of facts to Steve's
> claims?

There are no "rules of facts".

Things either "are" or they "are not".

Like your ARES claims (are not true). And your Somalia claims
(are not true). Then there's your "unlicensed devices" claims. (are
not true).

> > I see. His mistake somehow justifies *your* behavior?
>
> Mistake? He's had ample opportunity to correct that mistake.
Instead
> he piles up the lies.

Thee was no mistake.

YOU have yet to cite a single error or lie, Brain. You keep
claiming one after another refuse to cite why ANY statement is a "lie".

> > There you go again - calling names.
>
> "jack(expletive deleted] behavior"
>
> > Godwin invoked. You lose.
> >
> > Why not use the person's name and callsign?
>
> Kim, W5TIT.
>
> Miccolis invoked. You lose.
>
> Rest of your double-standards snipped.

If "double standards" were "snipped", you'd be extinct already.

Steve, K4YZ

4212. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 09:27:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113470849.090982.314200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105728

k...@dvol.com wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > > What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
> >
> > Kind of makes it hard to be a Veteran if you didn't serve.
>
> But then comes you. A licensee with no antenna. In your case one has
to
> conclude that becoming a Veteran was easier than putting up an
antenna.

Brian P Burke and Leonard H Anderson both epitomize all of the
things that give other veterans a black eye. I would not want to be in
a social setting where their status as veterans was known and then
announce that I was a vet too. That's one "guilty by association" that
I will gladly avoid.

Steve, K4YZ

4213. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 09:40:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113471622.375181.324030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105729

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Wed,Apr 13 2005 1:16 am
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: K4YZ on Apr 12, 6:04 am
> > >
> > >> I've provided names and callsigns of those who know
> > >> me, but you have NOT contacted them. Instead, you
> > >> MANUFACTURE some person at NADC that claims to know
> > >> me...a decade after I'd been there and a PhD to boot
> > >> but NOBODY (but you) knows the name.
> > >
> > > Let's see....I "contact" some beer drinking buddy of yours who
> > >you've already briefed on what to say and he'll tell me...what?
> > >
> > > And The person I knew at NADC was an acquaintance of
> > >mine...certainly no one who owed me any favor or would make up
> > >something.
> > >
> > > He has a REAL Doctorate in Electrical Engineering and HAS been
> > >published. He has credentials that I trust. You don't.
>
> You are getting way too deep in your psychosis.
>
> Regardless of how much you "believe" the above to be
> true, for the purposes of argument in here you must reveal
> the name of that person or PUT IT AWAY. I was visiting NADC
> 34 years ago as an employee of RCA Corporation and stayed
> there a total of three months. The former Naval Air
> Development Center, NOT NAS Warminster across the road.
> I had daily contact with only three NADC engineers in
> that group and NONE of them would be "your acquaintence."

Your freedom of speech allows you to verbalize any statement you
care to make, Lennie.

Saying it does not make it true.

You were useless to them. Period. Now suck it up and move along,
old man! You ran your mouth off about all your hot jobs. You happened
to drop one name where I had an "in". I found you out.

Sucks to be you.

> > The only one "fortunate" was you, Lennie. But then I've had
> > >several years of rubbing your nose in your lies, deceit and

mistruths
> >here to make up for it.
>
> You are living in some fantasy again. Reset.

Reset yourself, old man. About 50 years worth.

> > Nothing lost for me. Lot's lost for you. Like any chance at
ever
> >being accepted for what you THINK you should be....
>
> Tsk, tsk. The ONLY thing I've "lost" is thinking you
> might be rational. I'm way too optimistic. You aren't
> rational.

Sure I am.

That you try and redirect from YOUR misfortunes by making such
claims is ludicrous and transparent.

> > I had all the "proof" I need, Lennie.
> >
> > A third party with no allegience to protect. A man with a
> >professional reputation that I can bank on.
>
> You have BOGUS "proof." Non-existant. That "acquaintence"
> doesn't exist. You made him up.

Nope.

What I TRULY know is that YOU find it hard to believe that there
really are people in the world who didn't develop a life-long devotion
to your wisdom, knowledge and skill.

> > He didn't know you "a decade after (you were) there". He knew
> you
> >WHEN you were there.
> >
> > And I do not name him because I protect his privacy at his
> >request.
>
> 1. You can't name him because he doesn't exist.

I WON'T name him becasue I promised.

> 2. The ONLY thing you are protecting is your own
> bragging LIE about that fantasy individual.

That is not a truthful statement. And no matter how many more
times you repeat it, Lennie, it STILL will NOT be true.

> 3. "Protecting privacy" is totally bogus. Rationalization
> expressed to attempt masking your own LIE.

No rationalization. A promise to a friend.

> > I have no reason to doubt his assessment or opinion.
>
> You probably believe your own fantasy. To you it is
> "truth." To everyone else it is just your fantasy.

Again, Lennie, you may repeate that over and over if you think it will salve your ego...But the bottom line is that people at NADC did not find you very effective.

> > There is nothing "rational" about adult males repeatedly and
> >adamandtly lying in public, Lennie. but the two of you keep doing it.
>
> Then you are your own worst enemy since you are describing
> YOURSELF.

Nope.

> The only way you can clear your LYING is to name this
> supposed person in order to actually prove something.
> Your "word" that he exists is also bogus. He doesn't
> exist anywhere except in your own psychotic imagination.
> Your "word" is therefore meaningless.

My "word" is bogus to YOU since claiming it is so is the ONLY way you have of escaping the fact that you ran your mouth off one time too many.

> Provide this "name." Without it you have a bogus
> "reference" that means nothing.

Here's a name that is bogus and means nothing: Leonard H. Anderson.

> You are SICK and need help. Go get some.

I am quite well, thank you. You, on the otherhand, still have issues to deal with. Accepting that not everyone thinks you're the genius and expert YOU think you are is one of them.

You're outted, Lennie. Get over it.

Steve, K4YZ

4214. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 09:43:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113471785.597765.67770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105730

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: Brian Burke on Apr 12, 4:06 pm
> >
> > > >I have no skill in the Japanese language. Thanks for
> straightening
> > me
> > > >out that "sayonara" is the Japanese equivalent of the Yiddish
word
> > for
> > > >"penis." I'm shocked to think that all those JA's were were
> > repeating
> > > >the word "penis" over and over again on the air. And I always
> > thought
> > > >they were the most polite amateurs.
> >
> > They are. But the point is you don't know Japanese, yet you
> feel
> > it's "OK" to say Japanese words in non-Japanese conversation. You
> and
> > Lennie don't seem to have the same opinions on word useage when
> > non-Lennites are involved in the act.
>
> So the next time a JA gives me a "sayonara" I should say "good bye
> penis?"

If you'd like to, Brian. But on what antenna are you going to do
it?

And remember, if it's a JR6, do NOT say "sayonara"...They're "not
really Japanese" according to Lennie.

Steve, K4YZ

4215. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 10:42:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113475369.883857.271890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105731

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed,Apr 13 2005 4:28 am
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >
> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > > From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
> >> > >
> >> > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> >I simply don't want to read Len's story about ADA again. He's >
>posted it
> >here so many times I can recite it from memory. But
> >he never explains why it has any bearing on amateur radio
> >policy today.
>
> I've already explained the "bearing it has" years ago.

No, you didn't. Not how *your* experience at ADA (a military radio
station) has any bearing, or relevance, to amateur radio
policy today.

> Let's take it again, from the top...
>
> Back in the beginning of the 1950s, the U.S. military
> was NOT using any morse code modes for long-distance
> point-to-point communications.

How do you know this for sure?

Granted, you didn't see any "morse code modes" in use at
ADA. But to say there was none used at all, anywhere in the
US military is a different thing.

What's interesting is that you have to qualify the statement
as "long-distance point-to-point communications" - because
Morse Code was then still being used *extensively* by the US
Navy, by the maritime radio services, by aircraft and by many
other radio services such as press services.

Your tunnel vision of "long-distance point-to-point communications" by
the US military is about as relevant as the
fact that Morse Code wasn't in use on the AM broadcast band in the
1930s.

> Most of that message
> "traffic" was written teleprinter that carried the
> vast majority of military communications.

Yep.

And it was on fixed, predetermined frequencies, using equipment
most individuals could not afford to buy.

And it was *not* the kind of communications that make up the vast
majority of amateur radio communications.
>
> NO morse code modes were used on such radio circuits
> afterwards.

At some point, anyway. The US Navy was still using Morse Code long
after the beginning of the 1950s. So was the Coast Guard. They are "US
military".

> That SHOULD have some meaning to rational
> persons insofar as the efficacy of morse code for
> communications...

There you go, Len, assuming your conclusion.

What you're saying is that because the Army didn't use it,
nobody should use it.

Here's a hint: Ham radio isn't the US Army. When Uncle Sam
is willing to buy radios for all hams, then maybe you'll
have a point.

> in short, morse code was way too slow,

For some applications, yes. But not for many applications.

> too prone to human errors by its operators,

All communications modes are prone to operator error. The
person typing on a teleprinter can make a mistake, too.

> and
> generally so inefficient that,

Nope. You just don't like the mode.

> by now, EVERY other
> radio service has either DROPPED the mode (if they used
> it at all in the past) or NEVER CONSIDERED it when that
> radio service began.

So what, Len? That's like saying that since almost all motor vehicles
don't have manual transmissions anymore, no vehicles should have them.
gave up having

The main reason Morse Code was replaced by other modes in
other radio services is that it required skilled operators

at both ends of the circuit. Skilled operators cost money
and have to be taken care of, and the speed and accuracy of
communications is limited to their skill level. So the skilled
operator was eliminated by technology, to save time and money.

What you're saying, then, is that you want to eliminate the skilled
operators from ham radio, too.

> The sole exception is AMATEUR
> radio...
It's all those things - and a lot more.
>
> For over half a century (actually, since before WW2)
> the brunt of messaging in the military has been done
> by modes OTHER than morse code.

Even if true, (it's not) so what? Ham radio isn't "the military",
and amateur radio communications isn't only about "messaging".

You're argument says that since most US Navy ships stopped relying on
the wind for propulsion long ago, nobody should own a sailboat today,
even for "a hobby pursuit, a recreation, something done on free time
for enjoyment."

Very illogical.

> An approximation of
> the amount of such military traffic is a minimum of
> 1 1/2 MILLION messages a MONTH back in 1955.

So what? Hams don't have the same resources, nor the same basis and
purpose.

The old Bell Telephone system handled a lot more than 1.5 million
"messages" a month back then, too.

> It was
> not trivial, it wasn't confined to a few ship's radio
> rooms. It was the logistical supply "glue" that
> enabled the United States military to support itself
> worldwide. It was necessary to keep "getting the
> messages through" as the old, and still current,
> Signal Corps phrase puts it.

And it required how many people to do it all? At a cost of how many
millions of taxpayer dollars?

What possible connection does that have to the self-trained,
self-funded amateur radio operator?
>
> It should be obvious to rational people that there is
> NO need for any morse code testing for a hobby radio
> activity.

There's where you make an illogical jump. You hold up what
the US military allegedly did, then say it's somehow connected
to what hams should do.

But you never say what the connection is. Just that "it's obvious to
rational people" - which it isn't.

> It is NOT a "national service."

Actually, amateur radio is internationally recognized by treaty, and
it's a radio service.

> It is NOT
> needed to "maintain a reserve of 'skilled' radio
> operators" for the nation or even a locality.

Sure it is. Just ask those folks who ran the recent emergency drills.
They were very appreciative of the contributions of amateur radio
operators.

> What morse code testing for a hobby radio activity
> has become is a travesty, a gross artificiality kept
> in there by old-timers who managed to pass such tests
> and keep insisting that all newcomers MUST do as they
> did.

No, that's simply not true at all. It's just your way of
rationalizing your hatred, Len.

> There is NO rational reason for that.

Sure there is. Here ya go:

Since amateur radio operators *do* use Morse Code extensively, today,
on the air, for a wide variety of activities, it is perfectly obvious
to rational people that a basic test of Morse code skill is a
reasonable test requirement for a license.

That's the whole thing, right there.

> There is
> only the artificiality of some hazing exercise so
> that those who pass can adopt the artificiality of
> doing something that few can.

Nope. It's a bout a basic skill, that's all. Almost anyone can do it.

>Nonsense.

Yes, that's what your arguments and insults amount to.
>
> >I don't want to read it again.
>
> Naturally, since you are one of those old-timers who
> thinks of little else but morse code operation on
> the HF amateur bands.

No, that's not true at all. That's just one of my interests.

> You want to enforce your own
> private desires and accomplishment goals on others
> regardless of their wishes or the irrationality of your
> demands.

That's a pretty good description of *your* purpose here, Len!

> You don't want to read it because someone else was
> able to be in a position to do REAL HF communications
> all the time.

So what hams do, and did, isn't "REAL"?

Then why are you so concerned about it?

And even you can't do it all the time, Len.

> That's way above the average amateur
> experience.

No it isn't. It's *different from* the amateur radio experience. Just
like riding in a commercial airliner is different from flying your own
private aircraft.

> You resent knowing that another has done
> it.

I don't resent it at all, Len. I'm just bored by your constant
repetition of the same old story and illogical conclusions.

> But...you are going to have to live with it.

Why?

>
> "It ain't braggin if ya done it..." I did it.

All by yourself? Or were there hundreds - thousands - of others there
too, backed up by the enormous resources of the USA - both civilian and
military?

And you still haven't explained how what happened at ADA a half-century
ago has any relevance to ham radio today.

Here's one more analogy to your alleged logic:

Inexpensive calculators have been around for a couple of decades now.
Almost nobody in business or the professions relies on manual
arithmetic anymore - even the smallest businesses, for example, use
electronic cash registers to do the calculations.
Where such manual calculation was once done, it has been completely
replaced by electronic methods. Manual calculation
is too slow, too error-prone, and too dependent on human skill.

Therefore, we should not require anyone to learn how to do such calculations as addition, subtraction, multiplication or division, let alone square roots or other techniques.

That's what you're saying. And it's nonsense.

4216. Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 11:03:41 UTC
From: Paul Keinanen <keinanen@sci.fi>
Message-ID: <5qcs51hfrpohf0m496b11706atdfesp67q@4ax.com>
Source record: 105732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 13 Apr 2005 20:21:05 GMT, "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote:

>On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 19:31:11 GMT, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > If the system is working an hour on a specific band with a specific
>> > antenna, you should not need any kind of AGC depending on the actual
>> > signal level. If you need AGC for this, then you are going to have
>> > problems, if you are trying to receive any weak signal close to a
>> > strong signal.
>> >
>>
>> What one really needs are ADCs with many bits, say 24 bits,
>> sampled at least twice the highest signal frequency to be digitized.

The LSB should be less than the band or thermal noise in the _input_
bandwidth, so that it would act as dithering noise. For terrestrial
VHF/UHF/SHF systems, the antenna temperature is close to 300 K and
thus a noise density of -174 dBm/Hz. Assuming 10 kHz ADC input
bandwidth, the equivalent noise power would be -134 dBm. In order to
be able to correctly convert a strong signal _and_ the broad band
noise riding on it correctly, the maximum signal level at the antenna
for 16, 20 and 24 bits would be -38 dBm, -14 dBm resp. +10 dBm or
assuming 50 ohm antenna impedance 2.7 mV, 44 mV resp. 710 mV. Not
very common values on VHF/UHF.

At HF, the band noise is typically at least 20 dB stronger, so the
gain in front of the ADC can be reduced and thus the maximum antenna
voltage would be at least 10 times larger, so even the 16 bit
converter would tolerate a single S9+55 dB signal.

However, in order to be able recover weak narrow band that would be
buried in the 10 kHz broadband noise, quite good linearity is required
for the dithering process. The dithering noise properties are also
critical, e.g. if it contains mostly impulse noise instead of white
thermal noise. You may have to move the ADC LSB deeper into the noise
floor, which would reduce the maximum input voltage allowed or require
more bits.

>> One has to avoid saturation (overflow) else the rest of the
>> signal gets blanked during the overflows.
>
>Not really. All you need is any modern floating point ADC, and the
>truncation problem is overcome. Back in the old days of integer ADCs,

>you had the weak / strong signal problem as well as the overflow /
>underflow problem. Modern ADCs typically generate IEEE format
>floating point numbers "on the fly" by using triple or even quadruple
>banks of digitizers, all contained in a small amount of chip real
>estate, and all controlled by a small microprocessor.

The exponent is just the gain in front of the ADC and the mantissa is the real converted data bits. If the exponent bits varies constantly, this just reflects the "AGC" control voltage of the preamplifier. The number of mantissa bits is the critical thing for a radio receiver. The mantissa bits must still be able to represent the broadband noise riding on strong signals. In practical terms, the exponent could only be used to reflect the broadband noise and antenna gain on a particular band and remain constant as long as the receiver is on that band.

Of course, if we could get a true IEEE float data type ADC with 24 significant bits in the mantissa and nearly 1500 dB dynamic range, there would not be much problems in data conversions :-).

While the use of floating point formats greatly simplifies range problems associated with multiplications and divisions, it still does not do any good for additions and subtractions, so you still have to watch for repeated additions of very small values to a large value and possibly regroup the repeated small number additions.

Paul OH3LWR

4217. Re: FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 11:55:11 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <7POdnQvupLGJx8PfRVn-tA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105733

N9OGL wrote:
> Other website gone!!!!
>
>
>
> I have removed my other website "N9OGL INVENTIONS" because of lack of
> intrest by other people and the asshole ham operators on
> rec.radio.amateur.policy who made fun of it and well, ARE FUCKING
> ASSHOLES.....FUCK YOU HAM OPERATOR BITCHES!!!!!!!!
> TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH N9OGL
>
Well since you know so much about kiddy porn toady, I'll bet that's
what you'll put up in place of it! I see you didn't take my advice to
seek professional help, at least try to stay on the meds you use now,
please!
Please try to remember that you are also a ham radio operator on
rec.radio.amateur.policy, so you are just calling yourself names. Why
not show us what you're made of and turn in your licensee just like OTK
did, you don't need it to pirate of experiment! BTW, I think I may have
discovered the Flux Condenser, not to be mistaken with the Flux Capacitor.

4218. Re: FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 12:10:12 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <BbSdnVrjDbIHwMPfRVn-rQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105734

N9OGL wrote:

> Other website gone!!!!
>
>
>
> I have removed my other website "N9OGL INVENTIONS" because of lack of
> intrest by other people and the asshole ham operators on
> rec.radio.amateur.policy who made fun of it and well, ARE FUCKING
> ASSHOLES.....FUCK YOU HAM OPERATOR BITCHES!!!!!!!!
> TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH N9OGL

Toady, I just looked at your web site. Why do you lie on there and what is your obsession with K4YZ? You talk about being a pilot, but you only have a picture of you with an instructor in an ultralite and your "airplane" is nothing more then a remote control model?

4219. Re: FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 12:17:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113481078.334436.185800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105735

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > Other website gone!!!!
> >
> >
> >
> > I have removed my other website "N9OGL INVENTIONS" because of lack
of
> > intrest by other people and the ###hole ham operators on
> > rec.radio.amateur.policy who made fun of it and well, ARE ####ING
> > ###HOLES.....#### YOU HAM OPERATOR ########!!!!!!!!
> > TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH N9OGL
>
> Toady, I just looked at your web site. Why do you lie on there and
what
> is your obsession with K4YZ?

That's a no-brainer, Jim...I've dogged him and dogged him about
his flagrant violations of grammar and reality, and everytime he's
tried to "come back" at me, he's landed flat on his face.

> You talk about being a pilot, but you only
> have a picture of you with an instructor in an ultralite and your
> "airplane" is nothing more then a remote control model?

To his credit, Todd owned up to being a student Sport-class pilot.
I'm just surprised he hasn't fired his instructor and called him an
###hole for daring to correct Todd's errors.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4220. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 12:21:09 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d3ln7l$o9c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 105736

CCW N4AOX wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1113436300.051396.280830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>"Acting on the premise that the amateur bands must flexibly and
>>comfortably accommodate present and future operating modes and
>>technologies over the long haul, the ARRL Executive Committee has
>>reached consensus on recommendations to the ARRL Board of Directors for
>>a regulation-by-bandwidth proposal. Meeting April 9 in Denver, the
>>panel adopted recommendations that will form the basis of a draft ARRL
>>petition to the FCC seeking to govern the usage of amateur spectrum by
>>emission bandwidth rather than by mode. The proposals remain only EC
>>recommendations at this point . . . "
>>
>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/04/13/1/?nc=1
>>
>>- - - - - - -
>>
>>This nonsense needs to be killed FAST and killed NOW. It represents
>>gross overregulation. It's HQ trying to fix a system which isn't
>>broken. Again. It's time to rise up against it en masse.
>>
>>w3rv
>>
>
>
> I would like to see a comprehensive discussion of this proposed rule making
> without it degenerating into name calling. This could revitalize a
> newsgroup that is overdue for getting back on subject, that is, policy.
>
> So far, I have four comments:
>
> 1. What experience and expertise do seven or eight old men (ARRL EC) in
> Newington have, to qualify to make policy for the conduct of Amateur HF
> operations?

Whoops! Clay, I like the idea of a non-name calling thread, so we
should probably drop the "old men" pejorative.

> Let's see their operating logs or other evidence for the past
> year where they have made 5 contacts per week avg. in the
> CW/Data/AutoData/Voice intersection areas in the subject bands they are
> including in their proposal. OK, let's make it easy, just show logs for
> just SWLing those areas for 2 1/2 hrs per week. If they cannot produce,
> then they should be excused from making policy on such far-reaching

> implications.
>
> 2. While it may appear to be "overregulating" as someone pointed out, I take
> the opposite view. K1ZZ says "Oh, bandwidth is too hard to define, let
> alone measure, so lets just "say" we are going to restrict AutoDATA to 3.5
> KHz and Semi-Auto DATA to 500 Hz, but we won't require measurement for
> verification.

It would seem to me that we might find a space for these modes via the
bandplan, same as we have in the past.

I think that making unenforceable rules such as "saying things" is the
breeding ground of disrespect.

> First of all, does anyone have a copy of the current rules and regs for
> AutoDATA and Semi-AutoDATA operation on HF? I've been away a while, so
> someone please point me to that in the Part 97. Let's get that established
> first. For instance do those stations have to identify in CW or Voice(AM or
> SSB) at any time? So how about some clear rules and regs for conduct of the
> Auto and Semi-Auto stations.

dunno...

> Second, if they are going to refarm on "bandwidth", should not
> "bandwidth" be redefined to a quantifiable measure? K1ZZ claims that
> bandwidth is not necessary to measure, that it will be self-regulating.
> Yeah, sure wink, wink!

Agreed. How on earth would someone be in violation of something not
defined? No definition, no rules breaking.

This works on voice HF where you can tune over to
> the offending frequency and say "QLF", "QSY or QRT". Have you ever tried to
> tell an unidentifiable robot station that it is running too broad a signal?
> It is almost as difficult as telling K1MAN to QSY.;-)

Yup!

> Everyone knows that
> there is always great pressure to open up the bandwidth and increase
> throughput on DATA. Soon you will soon find the HF AutoDATA's going to 16
> KHz and Semi-AutoDATA's going to 3.5 KHz. If you ever do catch up with the
> offender their retort would be: "But, hey, bandwidth is ill-defined, and I
> don't have to measure it, so sue me!"
>
> 3. Am I prejudiced? Yes! My experience, living with AutoDATA's operating
> in the 7.100 to 7.105 MHz for a few years was this: While I am trying to
> work new novices and give them a new contact, in the only part of the novice
> band not savaged by Foreign Broadcast, while gearing down to 5-10WPM, these
> Auto Cowboys would fire up on our QSO. If you called CQ on "their"
> frequency, they would turn you in to the FCC.

Same on PSK31. I've seen those puppies fire up right over top of us,
and wreck the whole segment. Since the nature of PSK31 is such that
QSY'ing isn't as convenient as for SSB or CW, we just shut down or
change bands.

> Their idea of "sharing" in
> the HF band was about the same as K1MAN's or W1AW's idea of sharing their
> bulletin frequencies.

Now that you mention it, W1AW's Morse practice sessions on 80 meters
wreck psk31 too. Most of the time, Morse and PSK coexist pretty well,
but their signa has some nasty looking spurs on it that cover the whole
segment.

> So, in effect, is the ARRL EC campaigning to give the
> Auto Cowboys their exclusive non-sharable subbands throughout the HF
> spectrum? Take a look at K1ZZ's chart and add up the total AutoDATA
> bandwidth across the HF spectrum. Now will we have the Semi-AutoDATA
> operations spreading out from there? Hmmmmm!
>
> 4. Lest I be labeled a Luddite, I think that a robust Amateur HF Data
> Network across the nation, managed by dedicated Hams, could be the
> center-piece for revitalizing or defending our reason to exist as a service
> in the public interest. However, such operations as SemiAuto and AutoDATA
> need to be regulated in proportion to their inherent liability to wreak
> havoc and do damage to current operations if unchecked.

Well put.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4221. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 12:26:53 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d3lnid$o9c$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 105737

bb wrote:

> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>The new Canadian War Museum opens May 7-8
>>
>>http://www.warmuseum.ca/cwm/opening/celebrate_e.html
>>
>>Some of the windows spell out "Lest We Forget" in Morse Code.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> I thought that people went to Canada to avoid war, and here they
> dedicate a museum to war. Wonder where Jim went to engineering school?

They do have a significant military.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4222. Open Letter to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 12:29:31 UTC
From: baxtertheclown@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1113481771.935333.59650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105738

Dear Glenn,

Question: Can you tell me what you have against little girls? I mean, when you sued Camp Runoia (the little girls camp near your property) just because they stacked cordwood along the road, what were you thinking?

The case was dismissed of course, because the camp removed the wood. According to them it wasn't really interfering with your snow plowing anyway. But my question is this, what kind of syphilitic, rum-soaked moron would stoop to sue a camp for little girls in the first place? Were you trying to teach the gals a lesson? I heard some guys discussing this on 75 meters and they called you a "complete asshole."

Another question from the recent Maine Supreme Court case you lost: Bob Stolt, the attorney at Lipman, Katz, and McKee wrote to Lucas Burke at the Maine Department of Labor. He told Lucas that he advised his clients (the ones who fired you from your engineering job at 95.3 FM in Augusta) not to appear at your unemployment hearing because your behavior was threatening. He thought it would be better to pay the unemployment instead of risk being harmed by you. Did Bob think you might actually be violent toward the people who fired you? He said you had made threats to your fellow employees and your supervisor! Why did you sue Bob Stolt?

I know Bob said he had letters, where you included Lucas in your "conspiracy theory." Bob said you wrote to Lucas and Mr. Wellman and that you discussed your "tantrum" (that's what Bob called it)at the unemployment office. Naturally Bob Stolt had every right (and duty) to warn his clients against someone he thought might harm his clients. Naturally, you lost the case, and then you lost the appeal. Question: What does it feel like to be such a tremendous loser?

Something else has been bothering me about another case you were involved in. I know the court awarded $5,000 to the plaintiff, Kenton Quint, who sued you for $6,000 in promissory notes you failed to pay. I also know Kenton Quint tried to grab stock in Baxter Electronics, and Baxter Associates, in order to try to offset the money you owed, but why did you owe Kenton Quint $6,000 in the first place?

Finally... can you tell me about Charlie Norris? He was the ham who complained to QST about excessive charges you made for your defunct 'Collins Repair and Alignment Service.' Charlie said you removed modifications to his equipment that had nothing to do with the problem in the transmitter. Lee Aurick from QST said he discussed with you the "highly suspect illegal spending of members deposits, with no

communication from you as to when the radios would be repaired and returned." Hmmm, sounds like a familiar story!

While not a league member, I did admire the action they took. In the letter to you, "Unless you can convince me that your operation exists in the best interests of our members, we shall be unable to carry further advertising from you." And to this day, you still can't advertise in QST can you? Is that why you appear to be so angry with the League? Is that why you try to hold yourself up as an alternative to the League? at least the League can afford to pay the bill for their website!!

Your court complaints were dismissed due to insufficiency of service (among other things) weren't they? Remember the time you sued a fellow ham regarding the Manchester Newsletter? That was the Newsletter that referred to you as a pedophile. That case was thrown out insufficiency of service as I recall. I won't even bother asking about the AM power case you completely botched, or the mess you made of your 10 million dollar case against the FCC.

Ha ha ha ha ha. Keep trying old man, someday you just might win one!

4223. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 12:50:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113483024.481096.18220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105739

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed,Apr 13 2005 4:28 am
> >
> > >Dave Heil wrote:
> > >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> >
> > >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> > > From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
> > >> > >
> > >> > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > >I simply don't want to read Len's story about ADA again. He's >
> > >posted it
> > > >here so many times I can recite it from memory. But
> > > >he never explains why it has any bearing on amateur radio
> > > >policy today.
> > >
> > > I've already explained the "bearing it has" years ago.
>
> No, you didn't. Not how *your* experience at ADA (a military radio
> station) has any bearing, or relevance, to amateur radio
> policy today.
>
> > Let's take it again, from the top...
> >
> > Back in the beginning of the 1950s, the U.S. military
> > was NOT using any morse code modes for long-distance
> > point-to-point communications.
>
> How do you know this for sure?
>
> Granted, you didn't see any "morse code modes" in use at
> ADA. But to say there was none used at all, anywhere in the
> US military is a different thing.
>
> What's interesting is that you have to qualify the statement
> as "long-distance point-to-point communications" - because
> Morse Code was then still being used *extensively* by the US
> Navy, by the maritime radio services, by aircraft and by many
> other radio services such as press services.

Yeah...Let's just forget that this forum has had at least two
participants who had career-length service in military communications

who have testified that Morse Code was INDEED in daily use.

Morse Code is STILL taught to this day in the Armed Forces.

> Your tunnel vision of "long-distance point-to-point communications"
by
> the US military is about as relevant as the
> fact that Morse Code wasn't in use on the AM broadcast band in the
> 1930s.
>
> > Most of that message
> > "traffic" was written teleprinter that carried the
> > vast majority of military communications.
>
> Yep.
>
> And it was on fixed, predetermined frequencies, using equipment
> most individuals could not afford to buy.
>
> And it was *not* the kind of communications that make up the vast
> majority of amateur radio communications.
> >
> > NO morse code modes were used on such radio circuits
> > afterwards.
>
> At some point, anyway. The US Navy was still using Morse Code long
> after the beginning of the 1950s. So was the Coast Guard. They are
"US
> military".

Didn't Hans put that well into the 70's for the Navy? And I
believe Jeff said the Coast Guard still had SOME facilities into the
80's?

> > For over half a century (actually, since before WW2)
> > the brunt of messaging in the military has been done
> > by modes OTHER than morse code.
>
> Even if true, (it's not) so what? Ham radio isn't "the military",
> and amateur radio communications isn't only about "messaging".
>
> You're argument says that since most US Navy ships stopped relying on
> the wind for propulsion long ago, nobody should own a sailboat today,
> even for "a hobby pursuit, a recreation, something done on free time
> for enjoyment."
>
> Very illogical.

Yep. And since we have drag lines and other "commercial" methods
of fishing, no one may use a hook, line and sinker any more. Who needs
it?

> > An approximation of
> > the amount of such military traffic is a minimum of
> > 1 1/2 MILLION messages a MONTH back in 1955.
>
> So what? Hams don't have the same resources, nor the same basis and
> purpose.

I say that was Bravo Sierra. Bravo Sierra in spades.

That would have been 50,000 pieces of traffic A DAY.

> > It should be obvious to rational people that there is
> > NO need for any morse code testing for a hobby radio
> > activity.
>
> There's where you make an illogical jump. You hold up what
> the US military allegedly did, then say it's somehow connected
> to what hams should do.

For CB radio, absolutely.

For Radio Control models, no contest.

For Part 15 experimenters, no doubt.

For an Amateur Radio license on HF...you don't know what you're
talking about, Lennie.

> > It is NOT a "national service."
>
> Actually, amateur radio is internationally recognized by treaty, and
> it's a radio service.

It's an internationally recognized resource that is codified into
law, and, despite Lennie's protestations to the contrary, DOES provide
a service within the United States of America.

His attempts to draw parallels between "The Amateur Radio Service"
and Amateur Radio as a "service" vis-a-vis the Armed Forces is worn,
lame, and ineffective.

> > It is NOT
> > needed to "maintain a reserve of 'skilled' radio
> > operators" for the nation or even a locality.
>
> Sure it is. Just ask those folks who ran the recent emergency drills.
> They were very appreciative of the contributions of amateur radio
> operators.

And again Lennie utters an assertion in the face of FACTS to the
contrary and demonstrates his own utterly failed understanding of what
it's all about...

> > There is
> > only the artificiality of some hazing exercise so

> > that those who pass can adopt the artificiality of
> > doing something that few can.
>
> Nope. It's a bout a basic skill, that's all. Almost anyone can do it.

Blind and deaf persons have passed the Morse Code exam.

Lennie has made occassional statements that he was, at least at
one time, proficient in Morse Code at about 8-10WPM.

If Lennie can do it, then ABSOLUTELY any one else can do it!

> > Naturally, since you are one of those old-timers who
> > thinks of little else but morse code operation on
> > the HF amateur bands.
>
> No, that's not true at all. That's just one of my interests.

I wonder why Lennie keeps trying to bouy that lie when tons and
tons of conversations in this forum have demonstrated otherwise...?!?!

> > "It ain't braggin if ya done it..." I did it.
>
> All by yourself? Or were there hundreds - thousands - of others there
> too, backed up by the enormous resources of the USA - both civilian
and
> military?

We remember the "1.2 million message" claim from two years ago,
Lennie...Back then you tried to make it sound as if it was YOUR doings
alone.

Then you switched gears after a bit of elementary school math
rubbed the numbers in your face and 'admitted' that it was a 'team
effort' at ADA. It still doesn't put YOU in the comm center other than
to change broken black boxes, because YOUR MOS's were as a radio
mechanic.

You were never a radio operator in the Armed Forces.

Now, in THIS post, it was "1 1/5 MILLION messages average for
"military traffic" in 1955", so you've even further diluted your
original boasts.

Before long you'll be claiming how you saved the Postal Service
because you licked a stamp to send mom and dad a letter.

> And you still haven't explained how what happened at ADA a
half-century
> ago has any relevance to ham radio today.
>
> Here's one more analogy to your alleged logic:
>
> Inexpensive calculators have been around for a couple of decades now.

> Almost nobody in business or the professions relies on manual
> arithmetic anymore - even the smallest businesses, for example, use
> electronic cash registers to do the calculations.
> Where such manual calculation was once done, it has been completely
> replaced by electronic methods. Manual calculation
> is too slow, too error-prone, and too dependent on human skill.
>
> Therefore, we should not require anyone to learn how to do such
> calculations as addition, subtraction, multiplication or division,
let
> alone square roots or other techniques.
>
> That's what you're saying. And it's nonsense.

A...yup!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4224. Re: FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 13:38:33 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <ZeadnZyG27XJE8PfRVn-sw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105745

N9OGL wrote:
> Other website gone!!!!
>
>
>
> I have removed my other website "N9OGL INVENTIONS" because of lack of
> intrest by other people and the asshole ham operators on
> rec.radio.amateur.policy who made fun of it and well, ARE FUCKING
> ASSHOLES.....FUCK YOU HAM OPERATOR BITCHES!!!!!!!!
> TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH N9OGL
>

Get back to us when you have grown up toddyboy.

4225. Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 13:56:14 UTC
From: kludge@panix.com
Message-ID: <d3lspu$j3b$1@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 105740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Joel Kolstad <JKolstad71HatesSpam@Yahoo.Com> wrote:
>
>"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:ggg87017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
>> Not really. All you need is any modern floating point ADC, and the
>> truncation problem is overcome.
>
>I wasn't aware such devices were common place. Do you have any favorite
>part numbers that are of this type? (E.g., from Analog Devices, TI, etc.)

Most of the folks doing FP (for radar work, for instance) use a pair
of converters and successive-approximation logic to do scaling.

I'm also going to point out here that there are two different kinds of
DSP systems being discussed here.

First of all there are audio processors, which take the demodulated audio
from the receiver, digitize it, and process it. This is what the original
poster is talking about, and these gadgets work pretty well to deal with
heterodyne whistles. Some of them also work pretty well on impulse noise,
at least better than the noise limiter on an R-390. There is a wide
variety of them on the market, each of which has an audio-speed D/A and
A/D pair. The dynamic range required really isn't very high since the
AGC of the receiver has done all the hard part for you. 16-bit converters
are cheap and plentiful and more than enough. For the most part, though,
these devices are noise reduction units and can't do much about improving
receiver selectivity or reducing passband group delay.

SECONDLY, and a totally different animal, there are DSP systems that
directly digitize the receiver IF, and do IF filtration and demodulation
in the digital domain. They may _also_ do some audio processing, but
the big deal with these is that they now have very sharp IF filters
without substantial ringing, and they have extremely good demodulation
without a lot of group delay problems in general. These systems require
much wider input dynamic range, which is usually accomplished with
prescaling. These can be found built into the latest generation of
communications receiver, although folks like Watkins-Johnson also make
retrofit units that you could hang off your old Hallicrafters if you
don't mind paying a few hundred times what the radio costs.
--scott
--
"C'est un Nagra. C'est suisse, et tres, tres precis."

4226. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 14:18:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BYu7e.6115$yq6.243@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105741

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1113483024.481096.18220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

>
> Didn't Hans put that well into the 70's for the Navy?
>

No, Hans didn't.

The last significant use of Morse in the Navy was in the late 50's/early 60's. This usage was by small-boys, DD and smaller, on "fox" broadcasts and "A1" ship/shore circuits.

Both uses ended with fleetwide deployment of Jason and Orestes circuits in the early 60's. Morse training for general duty Navy RM's ceased at the same time, and Morse operator became a specialized NEC (MOS to you grunts) held by only a few sailors, mostly in SPECOM branches (intercept operators, etc.).

The single operational Morse use which survived was the VLF SSBN transmissions (two transmitters, one Cutler, ME and the other at Jim Creek, WA). That was a simple slow-speed beaconing system which notified boomers to pop up their satcomm antennas for the actual communications.

73, de Hans, K0HB
Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

4227. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 14:30:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113489009.820174.214680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105742

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1113483024.481096.18220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> >
> > Didn't Hans put that well into the 70's for the Navy?
> >
>
> No, Hans didn't.

Thank-you for the correction.

> Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

You forgot something..."Retired".

Steve, K4YZ

4228. Re: Open Letter to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 14:36:41 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <Phil@rabbishedcoun.net>
Message-ID: <d3lv41abe0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105743

<baxtertheclown@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1113481771.935333.59650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Dear Glenn,
>
> Question: Can you tell me what you have against little girls? I mean,
> when you sued Camp Runoia (the little girls camp near your property)
> just because they stacked cordwood along the road, what were you
> thinking?
>
> The case was dismissed of course, because the camp removed the wood.
> According to them it wasn't really interfering with your snow plowing
> anyway. But my question is this, what kind of syphilitic, rum-soaked
> moron would stoop to sue a camp for little girls in the first place?
> Were you trying to teach the gals a lesson? I heard some guys
> discussing this on 75 meters and they called you a "complete asshole."
>
> Another question from the recent Maine Supreme Court case you lost: Bob
> Stolt, the attorney at Lipman, Katz, and McKee wrote to Lucas Burke at
> the Maine Department of Labor. He told Lucas that he advised his
> clients (the ones who fired you from your engineering job at 95.3 FM in
> Augusta) not to appear at your unemployment hearing because your
> behavior was threatening. He thought it would be better to pay the
> unemployment instead of risk being harmed by you. Did Bob think you
> might actually be violent toward the people who fired you? He said you
> had made threats to your fellow employees and your supervisor! Why did
> you sue Bob Stolt?
>
> I know Bob said he had letters, where you included Lucas in your
> "conspiracy theory." Bob said you wrote to Lucas and Mr. Wellman and
> that you discussed your "tantrum" (that's what Bob called it)at the
> unemployment office. Naturally Bob Stolt had every right (and duty) to
> warn his clients against someone he thought might harm his clients.
> Naturally, you lost the case, and then you lost the appeal. Question:
> What does it feel like to be such a tremendous loser?
>
> Something else has been bothering me about another case you were
> involved in. I know the court awarded $5,000 to the plaintiff, Kenton
> Quint, who sued you for $6,000 in promissory notes you failed to pay. I
> also know Kenton Quint tried to grab stock in Baxter Electronics, and
> Baxter Associates, in order to try to offset the money you owed, but
> why did you owe Kenton Quint $6,000 in the first place?
>
> Finally... can you tell me about Charlie Norris? He was the ham who
> complained to QST about excessive charges you made for your defunct

> 'Collins Repair and Alignment Service.' Charlie said you removed
> modifications to his equipment that had nothing to do with the problem
> in the transmitter. Lee Aurick from QST said he discussed with you the
> "highly suspect illegal spending of members deposits, with no
> communication from you as to when the radios would be repaired and
> returned." Hmmm, sounds like a familiar story!
>
> While not a league member, I did admire the action they took. In the
> letter to you, "Unless you can convince me that your operation exists
> in the best interests of our members, we shall be unable to carry
> further advertising from you." And to this day, you still can't
> advertise in QST can you? Is that why you appear to be so angry with
> the League? Is that why you try to hold yourself up as an alternative
> to the League? at least the League can afford to pay the bill for their
> website!!
>
> Your court complaints were dismissed due to insufficiency of service
> (among other things) weren't they? Remember the time you sued a fellow
> ham regarding the Manchester Newsletter? That was the Newsletter that
> referred to you as a pedophile. That case was thrown out insufficiency
> of service as I recall. I won't even bother asking about the AM power
> case you completely botched, or the mess you made of your 10 million
> dollar case against the FCC.
>
> Ha ha ha ha ha. Keep trying old man, someday you just might win one!
>

How long have you been abnormally obsessed with K1MAN and his
personal affairs?

Shalom,

Rabbi Phil
Chief, Ruling San Hedrin Council

4229. Re: FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 15:25:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113492320.511767.237560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105744

that's not a romote control model dumbass, that's a TEAM High Max, it's a one seater, 40 horsepower engine and 10 gallons of gas.

4230. All Of The Things That Lennie "Isn't"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 15:39:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113493163.525512.178240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105746

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> > >You make fun of them and their service for
> > >no apparent reason other than a failed
> > >attempt at what you consider "humor".
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. Those "veterans" (at least one
> > with "seven hostile actions") have all been
> > about as disrespectful to me FIRST.
>
> No, they haven't. At least not to anyone rational.
>
> You apparently see any disagreement with your
> cherished beliefs about Morse Code testing in amateur
> radio as "disrespect", and then proceed in your
> completely predictable manner.
>
> > They got
> > what is known as "return fire."

Weeeeelp. I don't know why Leonard H. Anderson continues to manufacture/perpetuate the same, worn, lame, and previously disproven lies.

Leonard H. Anderson dealt out weeks of "Nazi", "thug", etc etc endearments by the time I tired of it. His perception of time is pretty poor. At his age, I guess it is to be expected.

I point out that Leonard's frequent insinuations of having been in combat ('I returned fire...' and 'you don't know what it's like to be under incomming artillery fire!') are the very reasons I DON'T discuss my USMC service and won't...at least not in this forum. It's people like Lennie that the virtual "Wall of Shame" was created for U.S. Navy SEAL pretenders.

Maybe we can create a "Wall of Shame" for ex-Army radio mechanics that try to pretend they were really radio operators?

Leonard H. Anderson was never a licensed Amateur Radio operator, yet he "pretends" to know what's in our best interests. He did serve in the Army with a couple of guys who have tickets...One was even allegedly his Best Man. (That musta grated Lennie's nerves knowing the B/M was probably standing there during the vows running 40WPM CW through his mind, wishing the whole mess would get over so he could get home and get on the air!)

Leonard H. Anderson was never a military radio operator, using ANY mode, yet he believes that experience he DIDN'T have in the 1950's Army somehow qualifies his opinions in 21st Century Amateur Radio. He has produced his former Army MOS's in this forum, and not a one of them say "Radio Operator", "Comm Center Supervisor", etc. No doubt he keyed the transmitters in the normal course of his radio mechanic duties, but to call him a "radio operator" is like calling a guy with a Q-Tip in his ear a "brain surgeon".

Leonard H. Anderson was never a pilot (albeit we'll give him the benefit of the doubt on his claim of having been a student pilot...again in the 50's) yet he has professed skill and knowledge of aerial navigation techniques and practice. (He does admit to "DXing" the ATIS and AWOS at LAX...whoopie).

He makes fun of the Civil Air Patrol yet doesn't even know what kind of aircraft they fly. Kinda hard to screw things up...they only have the largest fleet of single engine aircraft dedicated to SAR/DR/Homeland Security. (Lennie...what is CAP's last two acquisitions, and what new technology do they carry?)

On one particular tirade, Lennie went out of his way to call a "farce" domestic Civil Defense programs during WW2, including the aforementioned CAP...Mind you that Lennie had not even reached puberty by then.

Leonard H. Anderson has never been involved in any disaster/emergency services communications program of any agency, service or entity, yet he pontificates at length on how their efficacy. Does post, re-post, and post again the link to a California agency that DOES use Amateur Radio operators to help manage it's program. I know. I knew several of them in the 80's while DOING work with the Riverside, Orange, San Bernadino and Inyo/Kern County EMA's and the California Department of Forestry.

Leonard H. Anderson has never been a parent, yet he has gone on to make suggestions as to how "disruptive" they are, how they are incapable of operating an Amateur Radio station, and they should be prohibited from being licensed prior to the age of 14. I guess this is the point at which Lennie first knew of Amateur Radio, and he figures if HE was not licensed before 14, no one should be.

Leonard H. Anderson does not now, nor to the best of my knowledge ever, had any training or certification in any healthcare or mental health disciplines, yet he routinely demands others "seek help", and ATTEMPTS to extend his non-knowledge into this forum. He alledges to sleep with a woman who had some education in same, so I guess he perceives himself as having that knowledge and experience by virture of mutual exchange of body fluids.

This short laundry list of Lennie's "Didn't Do But Talk Like I Did" is not all inclusive but is certainly representitive of his broggadaccio in this forum.

Steve, K4YZ

4231. Re: FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 15:54:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113494061.051115.257450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105747

N9OGL wrote:
> that's not a romote control model dumb###, that's a TEAM High Max,
it's
> a one seater, 40 horsepower engine and 10 gallons of gas.

Then I hope you're not flying it as an ultralight, Todd...That 10
gallon tank takes it out of Part 103.

103.1(e)(2) Has a fuel capacity not exceeding 5 U.S. gallons.

As of today's FAA Registrations, there is no aircraft licensed to
"Todd Daugherty".

Point of interest, Todd..That TEAM is from Tennessee...Ironic,
eh...???

Steve, K4YZ

4232. Re: FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 16:03:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113494583.277355.293520@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105748

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > that's not a romote control model dumb###, that's a TEAM High Max,
> it's
> > a one seater, 40 horsepower engine and 10 gallons of gas.
>
> Then I hope you're not flying it as an ultralight, Todd...That
10
> gallon tank takes it out of Part 103.
>
> 103.1(e)(2) Has a fuel capacity not exceeding 5 U.S. gallons.

BTW....You look to be an easy 200lbs in the pics you've provided,
Todd. And 10 gallons of gasoline weighs in around 66 pounds. I'm
wondering how that 40hp engine hauls you off the ground and keeps you
at a reasonably safe speed above stall...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

4233. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 17:28:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <425EA7E6.1E5919A8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105749

Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> CCW N4AOX wrote:
> > <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1113436300.051396.280830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>"Acting on the premise that the amateur bands must flexibly and
> >>comfortably accommodate present and future operating modes and
> >>technologies over the long haul, the ARRL Executive Committee has
> >>reached consensus on recommendations to the ARRL Board of Directors for
> >>a regulation-by-bandwidth proposal. Meeting April 9 in Denver, the
> >>panel adopted recommendations that will form the basis of a draft ARRL
> >>petition to the FCC seeking to govern the usage of amateur spectrum by
> >>emission bandwidth rather than by mode. The proposals remain only EC
> >>recommendations at this point . . . "
> >>
> >>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/04/13/1/?nc=1
> >>
> >>- - - - - - -
> >>
> >>This nonsense needs to be killed FAST and killed NOW. It represents
> >>gross overregulation. It's HQ trying to fix a system which isn't
> >>broken. Again. It's time to rise up against it en masse.
> >>
> >>w3rv
> >>
> >
> >
> > I would like to see a comprehensive discussion of this proposed rule making
> > without it degenerating into name calling. This could revitalize a
> > newsgroup that is overdue for getting back on subject, that is, policy.
> >
> > So far, I have four comments:
> >
> > 1. What experience and expertise do seven or eight old men (ARRL EC) in
> > Newington have, to qualify to make policy for the conduct of Amateur HF
> > operations?
>
> Whoops! Clay, I like the idea of a non-name calling thread, so we
> should probably drop the "old men" pejorative.

You beat me to it, Mike.

> > Let's see their operating logs or other evidence for the past
> > year where they have made 5 contacts per week avg. in the

> > CW/Data/AutoData/Voice intersection areas in the subject bands they are
> > including in their proposal. OK, let's make it easy, just show logs for
> > just SWLing those areas for 2 1/2 hrs per week. If they cannot produce,
> > then they should be excused from making policy on such far-reaching
> > implications.

I happen to know a number of them personally and have had radio contact
with a number of others in numerous on-air activities. Some could be
less active.

> > 2. While it may appear to be "overregulating" as someone pointed out, I take
> > the opposite view. K1ZZ says "Oh, bandwidth is too hard to define, let
> > alone measure, so lets just "say" we are going to restrict AutoDATA to 3.5
> > KHz and Semi-Auto DATA to 500 Hz, but we won't require measurement for
> > verification.
>
> It would seem to me that we might find a space for these modes via the
> bandplan, same as we have in the past.

I tend to agree.

> I think that making unenforceable rules such as "saying things" is the
> breeding ground of disrespect.
>
> > First of all, does anyone have a copy of the current rules and regs for
> > AutoDATA and Semi-AutoDATA operation on HF? I've been away a while, so
> > someone please point me to that in the Part 97. Let's get that established
> > first. For instance do those stations have to identify in CW or Voice(AM or
> > SSB) at any time? So how about some clear rules and regs for conduct of the
> > Auto and Semi-Auto stations.
>
> dunno...

Part 97 is available for free online.

> > Second, if they are going to refarm on "bandwidth", should not
> > "bandwidth" be redefined to a quantifiable measure? K1ZZ claims that
> > bandwidth is not necessary to measure, that it will be self-regulating.
> > Yeah, sure wink, wink!
>
> Agreed. How on earth would someone be in violation of something not
> defined? No definition, no rules breaking.

Not agreed. A digital signal with a nominal bandwidth of 3200 Hz isn't
going to bother anyone noticeably more than a digital signal of 3000 Hz.

> This works on voice HF where you can tune over to
> > the offending frequency and say "QLF", "QSY or QRT". Have you ever tried to
> > tell an unidentifiable robot station that it is running too broad a signal?
> > It is almost as difficult as telling K1MAN to QSY.;-)
>
> Yup!

We don't operate on assigned discrete channels. A robot station which
fires up directly on top of you will QRM you the same as one which is
200 Hz too wide.

> > Everyone knows that
> > there is always great pressure to open up the bandwidth and increase
> > throughput on DATA. Soon you will soon find the HF AutoDATA's going to 16
> > KHz and Semi-AutoDATA's going to 3.5 KHz. If you ever do catch up with the
> > offender their retort would be: "But, hey, bandwidth is ill-defined, and I
> > don't have to measure it, so sue me!"
> >
> > 3. Am I prejudiced? Yes! My experience, living with AutoDATA's operating
> > in the 7.100 to 7.105 MHz for a few years was this: While I am trying to
> > work new novices and give them a new contact, in the only part of the novice
> > band not savaged by Foreign Broadcast, while gearing down to 5-10WPM, these
> > Auto Cowboys would fire up on our QSO. If you called CQ on "their"
> > frequency, they would turn you in to the FCC.

The plan moves automatically controlled stations to a specific area. If
I want to ragchew, I'll stay outside those segments.

> Same on PSK31. I've seen those puppies fire up right over top of us,
> and wreck the whole segment. Since the nature of PSK31 is such that
> QSY'ing isn't as convenient as for SSB or CW, we just shut down or
> change bands.
>
> > Their idea of "sharing" in
> > the HF band was about the same as K1MAN's or W1AW's idea of sharing their
> > bulletin frequencies.
>
> Now that you mention it, W1AW's Morse practice sessions on 80 meters
> wreck psk31 too. Most of the time, Morse and PSK coexist pretty well,
> but their signa has some nasty looking spurs on it that cover the whole
> segment.

Do you know that for sure, Mike or is the W1AW sig so strong in your
area that it overloads your transceiver? Have you actually switched in
an attenuator after making certain that your noise blanker is switched
off?

> > So, in effect, is the ARRL EC campaigning to give the
> > Auto Cowboys their exclusive non-sharable subbands throughout the HF
> > spectrum? Take a look at K1ZZ's chart and add up the total AutoDATA
> > bandwidth across the HF spectrum. Now will we have the Semi-AutoDATA
> > operations spreading out from there? Hmmmmm!

You'll note that none of this is currently etched in stone. If you have
questions or comments, now is the time to voice them to the League. If
you don't, you'll have an opportunity to make your views known to the
FCC.

> > 4. Lest I be labeled a Luddite, I think that a robust Amateur HF Data
> > Network across the nation, managed by dedicated Hams, could be the

> > center-piece for revitalizing or defending our reason to exist as a service
> > in the public interest. However, such operations as SemiAuto and AutoDATA
> > need to be regulated in proportion to their inherent liability to wreak
> > havoc and do damage to current operations if unchecked.
>
> Well put.

Agreed.

Dave K8MN

4234. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 18:11:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <425EB214.FE78F0EC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105750

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil on Apr 12, 9:31 pm
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> Does it bother you, Len?
> >
> >You read his lengthy post and saw the style he used. You bet it
> >bothered him.
>
> NAH. I did it. Jimmie didn't do it.

So?

> >To be fair, Len has exhibited jackass behavior toward K0HB and Hans
> does
> >not support retention of morse code testing.
>
> Tsk. Everyone who disagrees with Davie is guilty of
> "jackass behavior?" :-)

That's quite incorrect. You, Lena Anderson, exhibits jackass behavior
:-)

> Riiiight...only ARRL-speak and the beauty, nobility,
> and grandeur of morsemanship is spoken in here...:-)

What is ARRL-speak?

> >> > about his famous
> >> > "seven hostile actions"
> >
> >> Do you know what they are? Where *you* involved in any of
> >> them?
> >
> >He doesn't have any idea what they were. It kills him.
>
> NAH. It only shows what a snow-jobbing laid-off
> murine does under the guise of a U.S. AMATEUR radio
> extra callsign. Tosses brags like they were bagels.

In your view, anyone who does anything not blessed by you is a snow job. Anyone except you who was in the military and is now out of the military is laid off. An Amateur Extra callsign with the "amateur" in capital letters is something to be derided.

> >To my knowledge, Steve has never stated that DOD does not direct MARS.
>
> >His claim is that if there were no radio amateurs, there'd have been
> no
> >MARS program. In that, he is correct.
>
> Bullshit.

My statement is quite correct.

> The United States ARMY started MARS...but
> under a different name before WW2. Tsk.

I'm well aware of that.

> Davie ought
> to read up on the subject...lots of references.

Lena is the one who should read up on it. AACS and MARS (with the "A" standing for "Amateur" were the names used in the past. The current Military Affliate Radio System would not be in existence without radio amateurs. I first participated in the MARS program in 1969. I last participated in 1985. I participated in the program on the military side in 1969 from the U.S. and in 1971 from Vietnam as a volunteer (quite separate from my other military communications duties.

> MARS always was and remains a MILITARY radio system.

No kidding? Duh.

> A small one, about as effective as having special
> services put on shows and entertainment.

You must be thinking of something different than MARS.

> Morale
> boosting thing.

It certainly was that.

> >That's pretty much why I've left details out. As with Steve's
> military
> >service, Len doesn't know what I did or where I did it and it kills
> him.
>
> No problem with me.

Apparently it is a problem for you. You've alluded to it on a number of occasions.

> If you ain't got the guts to
> tell the details, you AIN'T done it. Simple as that.

No, it isn't quite that simple. It has nothing to do with guts. It has to do with having seen how you treat the experiences of others while trumpeting your own.

> >...and Len has invariably demeaned that service. He has always known
> >more about my job that I did. Foreign service tours were dismissed as
>
> >tropical backwaters, places of insignificance and Cashew capitals.
>
> Awwwww...you doing a Rodney Dangerfield? Get no
> "respect?" :-)

No comedy, Len, but your insulting behavior over things you aren't in a position to know.

> >Don't leave out his attempts at insult by stating that my name never
> >appeared in any lists of embassy staff. That blew up in his face when
> I
> >produced a couple of urls in which I was listed. Len's response was
> to
> >dismiss the lists as some sort of telephone directory.
>
> Tsk. Sounds like you are bucking for an Intelligence Star.
> Couldn't you get a sponsor at the NSA to award you one?

Did I write anything of that? Your two-step doesn't disguise that you aren't in a position to deny my statement.

> >Because Len is all about Len. That isn't the important part though.
> >The important part is where Len's sphincter post speaks of what it is
> >like to be in battle. Len was never in battle.
>
> Only ONE very brief exchange of gunfire.

Did you fire a weapon, Len? Was one fired directly at you? If so, was it from the enemy?

> Doesn't count
> as a "battle," though.

Then it certain doesn't count as an artillery barrage, does it? Want to recount your "sphincter post" or shall I?

> Big Hero Dave...tell us all YOUR "battle experience."

I've never claimed to be any kind of hero, much less a big one and I've never ever posted or written any kind of manufactured tale like your "sphinter post".

> Were you behind the Viet Cong lines sending intel to
> HQ via CW?

You might actually find the information if you had enough knowledge of
how to use a search engine.

> >As far as I'm concerned, amateur radio is about operating any mode I
> >choose on any band I choose. Len isn't involved on any level.
>
> Everyone NOT licensed in amateur radio "isn't involved."
> :-)

Oh, the FCC is involved here in the United States but you don't work for
the Commission nor are you a radio amateur.

> The point is that some MIGHT want to GET INTO amateur
> radio. Dave loses his perspective on that. [age
> causing loss of sight...among other things...]

I've not lost sight of that, Len. I have a stake in what kind of
qualifications aspiring hams demonstrate in order to enter amateur
radio.

> Davie ought to get with Paul Schleck pronto and have
> EVERYONE without a valid amateur radio license TOSSED
> OFF this newsgroup!

Has Paul Schleck advocated such a thing? I know I haven't.

> Make it "safe" for the double-
> standard elitist PCTA EXTRAs to use as their personal
> chat room and blog... :-)

There are plenty of radio amateurs who post here who don't hold Extra
Class tickets. FYI, this isn't a chat room or a blog. Familiarize
yourself with the definitions of those terms.

> >Len knows more about what others did than those involved.
>
> Nope. But...I DO recognize a bullshit artist from a
> long distance. Davie be one of those...

I'm sure you practiced that recognition from a much, much closer range.

> >Len knows more about the military.
>
> I know enough to meet THIS level of homo saps. :-)

It is evident that you do not.

> Been IN the Army...had lots of contact with Army
> as a civilian after service time done.

So?

> >Len knows more about communications.
>
> Tsk. I know some about that. Been IN that as a
> civilian. :-)

So have many others. I was a civilian in communications in my last
position.

> >Len knows more about radio operation.
>
> Tsk. I know HOW they work and the protocols needed
> in some radio services.

Some radio services? Whoop-de-doo!

> You have a need of info on
> those radio services, big honcho?

No, I'm quite comfortable that I knowledge I have is quite sufficient
for what I did for a living and what I do now.

> >Len knows more about the U.S. Department of State.
>
> I do? Oh, my, aren't you stepping off into denied
> territory! :-)

It wasn't denied territory to me, Len. You made statements about that
which you did not and could not know.

> >Len knows more about your work.
>
> Tsk. Jimmie "works in the transportation field"
> according to one Comment in the ECFS. Other than
> that, Jimmie do NOT say squat. He afraid others
> find out?

I don't believe that Jim is afraid at all. I think he has observed your
actions and that he is being prudent.

> >Len knows more about Brian Kelly's work.
>
> I do? Oh, my. News to me. Isn't Kellie retired?

Is he?

> >Len knows more about Steve's work.
>
> Tsk. Stevie follows REAL DOCTORS' orders...which
> includes staying OUT of the Sharps box. :-)

So you know nothing of his work.

> >Whatever Len did at ADA more than a half century ago impacts amateur
> >radio not in the least.
>
> Riiiiight old-timer. Ham radio NEVER operated on HF, did
> it? :-)

Are you sure that the above is the response you'd like to make to my
statement?

> >Len tells it because he wants to be sure that everyone knows of it.

(the frequent retelling of his ADA tale from 50+ years ago)

> You betcha! :-)

It was obvious.

> The U.S. military did NOT use morse code in long-distance
> fixed-point to fixed-point communications a half century
> ago and still don't. Tsk. Some of you olde-tyme hammes
> need to get your noses out of old WW2 surplus radio books
> and inspect the rest of the radio world.

If we were to desire operating in the rest of the radio world, I'm sure we would. That radio amateur continue to use morse daily, seems to have escaped your notice.

> >How does it give him the right to insult those who never served?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Those with SUCH thin skin should NOT be
> ANYWHERE on the Internet!!!! :-)

Really? Is this from the Gospel according to St. Leonard? Your bluster is just that--bluster.

> >Now you've done it, Jim. You've denigrated a veteran.
>
> Jimmie (and Davie...and Stevie...and every other elitist
> double-standard PCTA EXTRA) will, without doubt, insult
> ANYONE they care to.

...or they might insult those who have constantly insulted them, someone who is not involved in amateur radio in the smallest way.

> It's in the fine print of their
> ham privileges as super-dooper under-the-dashboard douche
> bag guar-un-teed morsemanship EXTRA AMATEURS!!!!

What is any of that to you? You aren't involved in amateur radio.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Dave k8mn@arrl.net

4235. Re: FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 18:41:22 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <AoKdnRFoQ8XVJMPfRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105751

Well DUMBASS
It says HI-MAX not HIGH MAX
HIMAX are electric model plain motors dumbass. Why do you start with the name calling toady? You still downloading the Aisn kiddy porn, perv?

N9OGL wrote:

> that's not a romote control model dumbass, that's a TEAM High Max, it's
> a one seater, 40 horsepower engine and 10 gallons of gas.
>

4236. Re: FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 18:50:30 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <dtudnV8BLKz2JsPfRVn-hQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105752

Shouldn't type on an empty head :)
That's plain to see!

KC8GXW wrote:

> Well DUMBASS
> It says HI-MAX not HIGH MAX
> HIMAX are electric model plane motors dumbass. Why do you start with the
> name calling toady? You still downloading the Aisn kiddy porn, perv?
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> that's not a romote control model dumbass, that's a TEAM High Max, it's
>> a one seater, 40 horsepower engine and 10 gallons of gas.
>>
>

4237. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 19:25:19 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1113506719.418621.319310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105753

subject, that is, policy.
>
> So far, I have four comments:CCW N4AOX wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1113436300.051396.280830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > "Acting on the premise that the amateur bands must flexibly and
> > comfortably accommodate present and future operating modes and
> > technologies over the long haul, the ARRL Executive Committee has
> > reached consensus on recommendations to the ARRL Board of Directors
for
> > a regulation-by-bandwidth proposal. Meeting April 9 in Denver, the
> > panel adopted recommendations that will form the basis of a draft
ARRL
> > petition to the FCC seeking to govern the usage of amateur spectrum
by
> > emission bandwidth rather than by mode. The proposals remain only
EC
> > recommendations at this point . . . "
> >
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/04/13/1/?nc=1
> >
> > - - - - - - -
> >
> > This nonsense needs to be killed FAST and killed NOW. It represents
> > gross overregulation. It's HQ trying to fix a system which isn't
> > broken. Again. It's time to rise up against it en masse.
> >
> > w3rv
> >
>
> I would like to see a comprehensive discussion of this proposed rule
making
> without it degenerating into name calling. This could revitalize a
> newsgroup that is overdue for getting back on
>
> 1. What experience and expertise do seven or eight old men (ARRL EC)

. . . this isn't degenerative . . ? . . never mind . . .

> in
> Newington have, to qualify to make policy for the conduct of Amateur
HF
> operations? Let's see their operating logs or other evidence for
the past
> year where they have made 5 contacts per week avg. in the

> CW/Data/AutoData/Voice intersection areas in the subject bands they
are
> including in their proposal. OK, let's make it easy, just show
logs for
> just SWLing those areas for 2 1/2 hrs per week. If they cannot
produce,
> then they should be excused from making policy on such far-reaching
> implications.

They've all been licensed and active in varying degrees for years. Specific, personal activity levels and mode experiences completely aside they are senior members of the League hierarchy who make policy recommendations and decisions. What matters and all that matters is the policy proposals they come up with and whether or not thee, me and the rest of the ~670k of us support their propsals. Or not.

> 2. While it may appear to be "overregulating" as someone pointed out,
I take
> the opposite view. K1ZZ says "Oh, bandwidth is too hard to define,
let
> alone measure, so lets just "say" we are going to restrict AutoDATA
to 3.5
> KHz and Semi-Auto DATA to 500 Hz, but we won't require measurement
for
> verification.

That's not what he said but the net result is the same and it's unacceptable. If the name of the game is mode separation by bandwidth then the bandwidths obviously have to be specified in detail and enforced. These "gentlemens agreement" and "self-regulation" non-rules worked pretty well in days of yore but in today's world they're shaky at best and hopeless anachronisms at worst.

> First of all, does anyone have a copy of the current rules and regs
for
> AutoDATA and Semi-AutoDATA operation on HF? I've been away a while,
so
> someone please point me to that in the Part 97. Let's get that
established
> first.

I don't know if it's carved in stone in Part 97 or if the "listen-before-transmitting" rule falls into the nebulous collection of "good amateur operating practices" but it's out there and the unmanned mailbox-type stations are all in violation of it today. The proposal recommends herding these modes into specific small slices of the bands which is one piece of it I agree with.

> For instance do those stations have to identify in CW or Voice(AM or
> SSB) at any time?

They identify with whatever mode they're using. As long as the FCC monitoring facilities can decipher it.

> So how about some clear rules and regs for conduct of the
> Auto and Semi-Auto stations.

Like what?

> Second, if they are going to refarm on "bandwidth", should not
> "bandwidth" be redefined to a quantifiable measure? K1ZZ claims that
> bandwidth is not necessary to measure, that it will be self-regulating.
> Yeah, sure wink, wink!
> This works on voice HF where you can tune over to
> the offending frequency and say "QLF", "QSY or QRT". Have you ever tried to
> tell an unidentifiable robot station that it is running too broad a signal?
> It is almost as difficult as telling K1MAN to QSY.;-) Everyone knows that
> there is always great pressure to open up the bandwidth and increase
> throughput on DATA. Soon you will soon find the HF AutoDATA's going to 16
> KHz and Semi-AutoDATA's going to 3.5 KHz. If you ever do catch up with the
> offender their retort would be: "But, hey, bandwidth is ill-defined, and I
> don't have to measure it, so sue me!"

Been covered.

> 3. Am I prejudiced? Yes! My experience, living with AutoDATA's operating
> in the 7.100 to 7.105 MHz for a few years was this: While I am trying to
> work new novices and give them a new contact, in the only part of the novice
> band not savaged by Foreign Broadcast, while gearing down to 5-10WPM, these
> Auto Cowboys would fire up on our QSO. If you called CQ on "their"
> frequency, they would turn you in to the FCC.

Which would do nothing about it. CW would still be allowed from the low edges to high edges of the bands just like it is now.

> Their idea of "sharing" in
> the HF band was about the same as K1MAN's or W1AW's idea of sharing their
> bulletin frequencies. So, in effect, is the ARRL EC campaigning to give the
> Auto Cowboys their exclusive non-sharable subbands throughout the HF
> spectrum?

No, that's not what's being proposed at all. See the proposal.

> Take a look at K1ZZ's chart and add up the total AutoDATA
> bandwidth across the HF spectrum. Now will we have the
Semi-AutoDATA
> operations spreading out from there? Hmmmmm!

There's a whole lot more to this bag of worms than just the
auto-mailboxes.

> 4. Lest I be labeled a Luddite, I think that a robust Amateur HF
Data
> Network across the nation, managed by dedicated Hams, could be the
> center-piece for revitalizing or defending our reason to exist as a
service
> in the public interest.

That would be nice but unless something very new pops up I don't think
it's a realistic expectation. The history of the rise & fall of the NTS
and the rise of the Internet and it's effects on ham radio is the
reality today.

My own beefs against this proposal are two-fold: Very seldom in the
history of regulation has a thicker rulebook generated an improvement
in the long run. The IRS code is a shining example. Secondly it is my
opinion that modes should to be allowed to duke it out on an equal
basis to sort out which survive and which can't stand the heat and
disappear. With some common-sense limitations like we have today like
the lower edges of the phone bands.

If you roll back to the 1950s a whole plethora of HF phone modes showed
up on the bands and competed with the then-standard AM. We had NBFM,
double-sideband suppressed carrier, single-sideband suppressed carrier
and variants on those. It was a helluva competitive joust spread over
about ten years.

In the end we basically got what we have today: SSB, a few AM stations,
and later some SSTV, etc. The general approach to allowing unfettered
competition worked back then and I don't see what has changed enough to
essentially toss the whole works and start over just because some users
of HF digital modes have shown up on the bands in the past few years.

Seems to me that this proposal is another result of the League being a
whole lot more excited about HF digital modes and their impacts on the
bands than the rest of us are.

> --Clay
> N4AOX

w3rv

4238. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 21:15:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113513320.822741.325740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105754

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> This nonsense needs to be killed FAST and killed NOW. It represents
> gross overregulation. It's HQ trying to fix a system which isn't
> broken. Again. It's time to rise up against it en masse.
>
Well, I don't know about that.

First off, what, exactly, does the proposal recommend? If I read it correctly, it would subdivide the CW/data bands by signal bandwidth, rather than having anything allowed anywhere, as it pretty much is today. PSK31 on 7003 is legal right now. So is 850 Hz shift RTTY on 14010.

It would also allow the development and use of modes that are now not allowed, or relegated to the 'phone bands.

For example, you can't legally use digital voice outside the voice bands, even if you figure out how to do it in a 500 Hz bandwidth. There's also a rather arcane limit on the symbol rate allowed, regardless of the bandwidth used.

The whole robot/Winlink thing is a related but distinct issue.

The way I see it, the best solution is to have the following:

- Part of the band that's
Take 80 meters:

3500-3575: CW only
3575-3625: "Narrow" data and CW - but no robots
3625-3675: "Wide or narrow" data and CW - but no robots.
3675-3725: All data and CW modes - including robots, Winlink, etc.

What's the dividing line between "wide" and "narrow" data? I'd say 1000 Hz - if it's narrower than 1000 Hz it's "narrow". Otherwise it's "wide".

Existing Generals, Advanceds and Extras keep what they have. Novices and Techs with HF get 3525 to 3725 CW, at the same power level they're currently allowed.

Other bands would be similar. The 40 meter problems will improve as hams outside Region 2 get more kHz - the US should set up its plan for the future (worldwide 7000-7300 exclusive amateur)

Why not?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4239. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 22:36:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <zfC7e.9743$44.8444@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105755

Good plan Jim.

Too good. It will never get passed the ARRL executive committee. Why?
Because it makes sense.

Dan/W4NTI

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1113513320.822741.325740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>
>> This nonsense needs to be killed FAST and killed NOW. It represents
>> gross overregulation. It's HQ trying to fix a system which isn't
>> broken. Again. It's time to rise up against it en masse.
>>
> Well, I don't know about that.
>
> First off, what, exactly, does the proposal recommend? If I read it
> correctly, it would subdivide the CW/data bands by signal bandwidth,
> rather than having anything allowed anywhere, as it pretty much is
> today. PSK31 on 7003 is legal right now. So is 850 Hz shift RTTY on
> 14010.
>
> It would also allow the development and use of modes that are now not
> allowed, or relegated to the 'phone bands.
>
> For example, you can't legally use digital voice outside the voice
> bands, even if you figure out how to do it in a 500 Hz bandwidth.
> There's also a rather arcane limit on the symbol rate allowed,
> regardless of the bandwidth used.
>
> The whole robot/Winlink thing is a related but distinct issue.
>
> The way I see it, the best solution is to have the following:
>
> - Part of the band that's
> Take 80 meters:
>
> 3500-3575: CW only
> 3575-3625: "Narrow" data and CW - but no robots
> 3625-3675: "Wide or narrow" data and CW - but no robots.
> 3675-3725: All data and CW modes - including robots, Winlink, etc.
>
> What's the dividing line between "wide" and "narrow" data? I'd say 1000

> Hz - if it's narrower than 1000 Hz it's "narrow". Otherwise it's
> "wide".
>
> Existing Generals, Advanceds and Extras keep what they have. Novices
> and Techs with HF get 3525 to 3725 CW, at the same power level they're
> currently allowed.
>
> Other bands would be similar. The 40 meter problems will improve as
> hams outside Region 2 get more kHz - the US should set up its plan for
> the future (worldwide 7000-7300 exclusive amateur)
>
> Why not?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

4240. Re: FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 22:37:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ogC7e.9744$44.8562@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105756

Shut up Toad. Can't you take the hint? We are tired of your idiotic
rants.

Dan/W4NTI

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113462741.702585.181370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Other website gone!!!!
>
>
>
> I have removed my other website "N9OGL INVENTIONS" because of lack of
> intrest by other people and the asshole ham operators on
> rec.radio.amateur.policy who made fun of it and well, ARE FUCKING
> ASSHOLES.....FUCK YOU HAM OPERATOR BITCHES!!!!!!!!
> TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH N9OGL
>

4241. Re: Open Letter to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 22:45:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <VnC7e.9748$44.8573@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105757

Credibility you say Lloyd?

Why are you hidding then.???

127.0.0.1

BWAAAAAAAAAHHHHHH..

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:8701ff7833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 10:36:41 -0400, Rabbi Phil <Phil@rabbishedcoun.net>
> wrote:
>>
>> How long have you been abnormally obsessed with K1MAN and his
>> personal affairs?
>>
>
> He's also real afraid of K1MAN, because he hides behind a google threw
> away account while posting through an italian proxy server. K1MAN
> could find him easy though because K1MAN has lots of wop ham freinds
> who can read the proxy's logs and then backtrak to the coward. K1MAN
> is a bigger man than that and he will ignore the insignificint pip
> squeak insted. That is why K1MAN is a great man.

4242. Re: Open Letter to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-14 23:20:35 UTC
From: I Am Not Lloyd <notlloyd@notdalekslloyd.com>
Message-ID: <d3mtqd$5vm$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105758

"ham sandwich" <hamsandwich@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1113510413.546084.244540@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> To answer your questions:
>
> #1 I've been involved in K1MAN's affairs since before your mama fucked
> her first Puerto-Rican in a squalid run-down hotel room in San Juan so
> she could earn $5 to for crack to ease the pain of giving birth to a
> homo like you!
>
> #2 What the fuck is a "threw away account" you babbling retard?
>
> #3 The original poster didn't use a Google account as any HIV infected
> monkey-boy like you could see if you bothered to check. It's a hotmail
> account. Read the header! It's ironic that someone posting through an
> anonymous Altopia account would complain about the use of a proxy isn't
> it? Irony, look it up.
>
> #4 K1MAN has no friends, just a gay lover named Chris if we can believe
> the Manchester Newsletter. Second, the word is friends, not "freinds."
> Next, the word is backtrack, not backtrak. Backtrack has a C in the
> second syllable. C like cunt, as in your old lady!
>
> #5. The word is insignificant, like you! Get a spell-checker you
> shit-dick!
>
> #6. "Inted?" Is that what you say to your boyfriend? Are you in Ted?
>
> Now, back to K1MAN. He can attempt to rebut the facts on his website,
> if he can afford to get it up again. The Manchester Newsletter already
> told us he can't get it up otherwise. That is, unless he's in the
> presence of naked 10 year old boys.
>

Too funny if Baxter was to "rebut" your words via placing you on the
wrong end of a defamation suit. Since you are so obsessed with K1MAN,
I don't have to convince how Baxter is pretty skilled at using the legal
system.
ROTFLMAO!

4243. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 00:30:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1113525000.934070.313120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105759

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >
> > This nonsense needs to be killed FAST and killed NOW. It represents
> > gross overregulation. It's HQ trying to fix a system which isn't
> > broken. Again. It's time to rise up against it en masse.
> >
> Well, I don't know about that.
>
> First off, what, exactly, does the proposal recommend? If I read it
> correctly, it would subdivide the CW/data bands by signal bandwidth,
> rather than having anything allowed anywhere, as it pretty much is
> today. PSK31 on 7003 is legal right now. So is 850 Hz shift RTTY on
> 14010.

Right. And there hasn't been a fatility yet. After how many years . . .
?

> It would also allow the development and use of modes that are now not
> allowed, or relegated to the 'phone bands.

Might be's and maybes don't count. Making provisions for modes which
don't exist is like a state buying up real estate for highways which
may or may not ever be built. As far as mode development space is
concerned there are vast open spaces in the bands above 30 Mhz which
are begging for experimental work.

> For example, you can't legally use digital voice outside the voice
> bands, even if you figure out how to do it in a 500 Hz bandwidth.
> There's also a rather arcane limit on the symbol rate allowed,
> regardless of the bandwidth used.

Develop it up the spectrum then petition the FCC to take it down into
the HF bands. IF it fits and has real potential for volume use. But I'm
not holding my breath waiting for any such thing to happen. Nine years
ago this month the League petitioned the FCC to allow the development
and use of ham spread spectrum comms at the behest of TAPR and the FCC
obliged. I have yet to hear about the first-ever ham SS QSO. In my
opinion the much-ballyhood "digital revolution in ham radio" is 99% hot
air so far despite the fact that there are no regulatory impediments to
the development of the technologies which could be used on HF.
Including digital voice comms.

> The whole robot/Winlink thing is a related but distinct issue.

I agree with that and the problem of unmanned stations*must* be addressed. But not by playing top-to-bottom 52 Pickup with the HF ham band regs.

> The way I see it, the best solution is to have the following:
>
> - Part of the band that's
> Take 80 meters:
>
> 3500-3575: CW only
> 3575-3625: "Narrow" data and CW - but no robots
> 3625-3675: "Wide or narrow" data and CW - but no robots.
> 3675-3725: All data and CW modes - including robots, Winlink, etc.

I strongly support boxing in the robots but I'd much rather leave the rest of it alone to allow Darwinian-type evolution take care of the rest of the modes under the existing regs.

> What's the dividing line between "wide" and "narrow" data? I'd say 1000
> Hz - if it's narrower than 1000 Hz it's "narrow". Otherwise it's
> "wide".
>
> Existing Generals, Advanceds and Extras keep what they have. Novices
> and Techs with HF get 3525 to 3725 CW, at the same power level they're
> currently allowed.
>
> Other bands would be similar. The 40 meter problems will improve as
> hams outside Region 2 get more kHz - the US should set up its plan for
> the future (worldwide 7000-7300 exclusive amateur)

By doing what? Most if not all countries outside the U.S. including Canada allow voice all the way down to 7.000. Is that what you're suggesting? And how would that fit the ARRL proposal??

> Why not?

Because what you're suggesting and what the League is suggesting amounts to a welfare system to protect CW and the other narrow modes.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

4244. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 00:40:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113525644.099145.89640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105760

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Good plan Jim.
>
> Too good. It will never get passed the ARRL executive
> committee. Why?
> Because it makes sense.

If enough of us say that it's what should be done, maybe they
will listen. Imagine if the committee is deluged with folks promoting
my plan, or a version of it?

In any event, the main target is the FCC. Because *they* make the
rules.

ARRL has a good idea and a bad implementation, that's all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

> Dan/W4NTI
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1113513320.822741.325740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >>
> >> This nonsense needs to be killed FAST and killed NOW. It
represents
> >> gross overregulation. It's HQ trying to fix a system which isn't
> >> broken. Again. It's time to rise up against it en masse.
> >>
> > Well, I don't know about that.
> >
> > First off, what, exactly, does the proposal recommend? If I read it
> > correctly, it would subdivide the CW/data bands by signal
bandwidth,
> > rather than having anything allowed anywhere, as it pretty much is
> > today. PSK31 on 7003 is legal right now. So is 850 Hz shift RTTY on
> > 14010.
> >
> > It would also allow the development and use of modes that are now
not
> > allowed, or relegated to the 'phone bands.
> >
> > For example, you can't legally use digital voice outside the voice
> > bands, even if you figure out how to do it in a 500 Hz bandwidth.
> > There's also a rather arcane limit on the symbol rate allowed,

> > regardless of the bandwidth used.
> >
> > The whole robot/Winlink thing is a related but distinct issue.
> >
> > The way I see it, the best solution is to have the following:
> >
> > - Part of the band that's
> > Take 80 meters:
> >
> > 3500-3575: CW only
> > 3575-3625: "Narrow" data and CW - but no robots
> > 3625-3675: "Wide or narrow" data and CW - but no robots.
> > 3675-3725: All data and CW modes - including robots, Winlink, etc.
> >
> > What's the dividing line between "wide" and "narrow" data? I'd say
1000
> > Hz - if it's narrower than 1000 Hz it's "narrow". Otherwise it's
> > "wide".
> >
> > Existing Generals, Advanceds and Extras keep what they have.
Novices
> > and Techs with HF get 3525 to 3725 CW, at the same power level
they're
> > currently allowed.
> >
> > Other bands would be similar. The 40 meter problems will improve as
> > hams outside Region 2 get more kHz - the US should set up its plan
for
> > the future (worldwide 7000-7300 exclusive amateur)
> >
> > Why not?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

4245. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 01:02:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <zoE7e.9890$44.3285@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105761

For what its worth....here is what I sent in to ARRL, Alabama SM and SE
Director, etc.

Below are comments from the South East Contest Club reflector. Written by
K4SB.

I find his arguement very compelling. In particular the part of automatic
control.

Additionally I want to say that I beleive a specific segement of CW only
should
and MUST be applied. I suggest the bottom 20 Khz of ALL HF BANDS, this to
include 160 meters and the so-called WARC bands. Open to ALL those licensed
for HF. There is NO need for a Extra class ONLY segement for CW.
And based on the 5wpm code requirement I doubt the new Extras will be too
concerned about a CW EXTRA ONLY segement.

I believe if there is no restricted segment for CW you will find the digital
modes
of under 200 cycle width taking over and running CW off the bands for good.
Attended or otherwise.

Not completely on subject....I feel CW should be continued in Amateur Radio,
and
by allowing ALL HF licensees to use it in the same place, it could indeed
help
in keeping the mode alive.

Daniel L. Jeswald W4NTI
ARRL Life Member

My own suggestion is to demand that the proposed petition be changed
so that ALL unattended or automatic operations are ONLY allowed in
areas where transmissions over 500 Hz are allowed.

It is important to realize that significance of the 200 Hz, 500 Hz,
and 3000 Hz thresholds is that no signals wider than the threshold
are allowed higher than the threshold frequency, but that signals more
narrow than the threshold are still allowed wherever the wider
signals are allowed.

In practical terms, this means that Winlink could still use Pactor-II
(500 Hz wide) where Pactor-III (2400 Hz wide) is used during the time
Winlink was transitioning from Pactor-II to Pactor-III and SCAMP.

Disallowing unattended transmissions, where either end of the link is
unattended, would
eliminate the QRM from Pactor mailboxes to CW, PSK31, RTTY, MFSK16,
and other digital
modes, that is currently such a problem, without harming Winlink's
ability to handle their 150,000 emails for their currently 0.7% of the
US hams.

4246. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 01:37:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113529054.764508.177330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105762

K4YZ wrote:
> k...@dvol.com wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > > What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
> > >
> > > Kind of makes it hard to be a Veteran if you didn't serve.
> >
> > But then comes you. A licensee with no antenna. In your case one
has
> to
> > conclude that becoming a Veteran was easier than putting up an
> antenna.
>
> Brian P Burke and Leonard H Anderson both epitomize all of the
> things that give other veterans a black eye. I would not want to be
in
> a social setting where their status as veterans was known and then
> announce that I was a vet too. That's one "guilty by association"
that
> I will gladly avoid.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

There is no guilt in military service, unless you lie about it. Like saying that you have "real military experience" when you don't, or saying that you have "seven hostile actions" when you have none.

Best of Luck.

4247. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 01:54:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113530050.853360.177180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105763

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > > What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
> >
> > Kind of makes it hard to be a Veteran if you didn't serve.
>
> Where has Jim EVER claimed ANY aspect of military or federal
> service, Brian?

Quiterecently Jim has left it open whether he's served or not.

> Now answer the man's question.

Jim did not direct his question to me.

> > > Here's a hint: The Canadian military forces used Morse Code in
> > > WW2.
> >
> > Oh, now I see the connections. Because you saw photos of Ham
> soldiers
> > in QST, and you're a ham, you "served" by extension. The coat-tail
> > connection.
>
> You really are in a max-putz mode today, aren't you?

Sayonara-head to you, too.

> WHERE did Jim EVER claim to be a Veteran? WHERE did he EVER say
> he "served" in the Armed Forces?

He said that he served in unmentionable ways.

> > > I could go on about the political and economic effects, but since
> > this
> > > is a radio newsgroup I thought I'd stick to electronic and
> > > radio subjects.
> >
> > And Canadian war museum topics.
>
> That happened to have a connection to radio communications.

Just "radio communications?" I thought this was the exclusive domain
of AMATEUR radio communications? Other forms of radio communications
knowledge and experiences have absolutely no bearing on this group.

> You see, Brian, THAT is why you catch the flak taht yopu do for
> your behaviour.

Spell much?

> Just like Lennie, you like to stop where it serves you to do so
> and ignore facts.

You'se guys have repeatedly said that this is about AMATEUR radio. Not
other radios and other radio services.

> > > If I did talk about any military service I had, you would
> > > be certain to make fun of it. It's just what you do, Len.
> > > So typical.
> >
> > You "served" in other ways. And Kelly has "real" military
> experience.
>
> Do YOU, Brain?
>
> You were only a weatherman in the USAF. We can make all sorts of
> issues if you want.

Yup. I aimed high. You aimed low. Jim and Kelly missed the target
altogether.

> > > It's only those who disagree with you about Morse Code testing
> > > that get your disrespect, abuse, name calling, and general
> > > jack(expletive deleted] behavior.
> >
> > Do you eat with that mouth?
>
> Sure he does.
>
> You kiss your wife with the same lips you have on Lennie's butt
> all the time...Does SHE complain?

Interesting statement. Is that an opinion or is it an assertion of
fact?

> > > Is there any reason to doubt that Steve, K4YZ, was involved
> > > if he says he was?
> >
> > Is there any reason to not apply Steve's rules of facts to Steve's
> > claims?
>
> There are no "rules of facts".

If a "fact" has no documentation, then it's a "lie."

> Things either "are" or they "are not".

Like: No Documentation = Lies. Like "seven hostile actions" is a
figment of your disturbed mind.

> Like your ARES claims (are not true).

I proved it true.

And your Somalia claims
> (are not true).

Just because I won't send you a QSL card...

Then there's your "unlicensed devices" claims. (are
> not true).

What's not true?

> > > I see. His mistake somehow justifies *your* behavior?
> >
> > Mistake? He's had ample opportunity to correct that mistake.
> Instead
> > he piles up the lies.
>
> Thee was no mistake.

Thee is a mistake.

> YOU have yet to cite a single error or lie, Brain. You keep
> claiming one after another refuse to cite why ANY statement is a
"lie".

Many of your lies are so obviously lies that

> > > There you go again - calling names.
> >
> > "jack(expletive deleted] behavior"
> >
> > > Godwin invoked. You lose.
> > >
> > > Why not use the person's name and callsign?
> >
> > Kim, W5TIT.
> >
> > Miccolis invoked. You lose.
> >
> > Rest of your double-standards snipped.
>
> If "double standards" were "snipped", you'd be extinct already.

I would not exist to you, Steve, because you would cease to exist.

How is it that Jim can say to use a person's name and call, yet he
repeatedly snipped Kim's call in his replies to her???

Miccolis invoked. Miccolis loses.

4248. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 01:57:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113530245.057170.255340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105764

Michael Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >>The new Canadian War Museum opens May 7-8
> >>
> >>http://www.warmuseum.ca/cwm/opening/celebrate_e.html
> >>
> >>Some of the windows spell out "Lest We Forget" in Morse Code.
> >>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
> > I thought that people went to Canada to avoid war, and here they
> > dedicate a museum to war. Wonder where Jim went to engineering
school?
>
> They do have a significant military.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Dunno. Maybe they were missing their recruitment quotas and Jim went
there to plus them up.

4249. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 02:02:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113530546.184580.20360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105765

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed,Apr 13 2005 4:28 am
> >
> > >I simply don't want to read Len's story about ADA again. He's >
> >posted it
> > >here so many times I can recite it from memory. But
> > >he never explains why it has any bearing on amateur radio
> > >policy today.
> >
> > I've already explained the "bearing it has" years ago.
>
> No, you didn't. Not how *your* experience at ADA (a military radio
> station) has any bearing, or relevance, to amateur radio
> policy today.

How does a Canadian Military Museum have any bearing or relevance to
amateur radio policy today?

4250. Re: Open Letter to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 02:11:26 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@nospamdalekstenna.com>
Message-ID: <d3n7r3$s68$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105766

"ham sandwich" <hamsandwich@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1113510413.546084.244540@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> To answer your questions:
>
> #1 I've been involved in K1MAN's affairs since before your mama fucked
> her first Puerto-Rican in a squalid run-down hotel room in San Juan so
> she could earn $5 to for crack to ease the pain of giving birth to a
> homo like you!
>
> #2 What the fuck is a "threw away account" you babbling retard?
>
> #3 The original poster didn't use a Google account as any HIV infected
> monkey-boy like you could see if you bothered to check. It's a hotmail
> account. Read the header! It's ironic that someone posting through an
> anonymous Altopia account would complain about the use of a proxy isn't
> it? Irony, look it up.
>
> #4 K1MAN has no friends, just a gay lover named Chris if we can believe
> the Manchester Newsletter. Second, the word is friends, not "freinds."
> Next, the word is backtrack, not backtrak. Backtrack has a C in the
> second syllable. C like cunt, as in your old lady!
>
> #5. The word is insignificant, like you! Get a spell-checker you
> shit-dick!
>
> #6. "Inted?" Is that what you say to your boyfriend? Are you in Ted?
>
> Now, back to K1MAN. He can attempt to rebut the facts on his website,
> if he can afford to get it up again. The Manchester Newsletter already
> told us he can't get it up otherwise. That is, unless he's in the
> presence of naked 10 year old boys.
>

One thing for sure, we know K1MAN can properly calculate negative
SWR and RMS voltage. Thats far more than Roger can do.

73,

Lloyd

4251. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 02:12:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113531146.377367.123670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105767

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: Brian Burke on Apr 12, 4:06 pm
> > > >
> > > > >I have no skill in the Japanese language. Thanks for
> > straightening
> > > > me
> > > > > >out that "sayonara" is the Japanese equivalent of the Yiddish
> word
> > > for
> > > > > >"penis." I'm shocked to think that all those JA's were were
> > > repeating
> > > > > >the word "penis" over and over again on the air. And I always
> > > thought
> > > > > >they were the most polite amateurs.
> > > >
> > > > They are. But the point is you don't know Japanese, yet you
> > feel
> > > > it's "OK" to say Japanese words in non-Japanese conversation.
You
> > and
> > > > Lennie don't seem to have the same opinions on word useage when
> > > > non-Lennites are involved in the act.
> > >
> > So the next time a JA gives me a "sayonara" I should say "good bye
> > penis?"
>
> If you'd like to, Brian. But on what antenna are you going to do
> it?

I usually use a microphone to say good-bye or sayonara. The stock
microphone that came with the TS-690S is good, or the Heil headset. I
leave the antenna outside for radiating the signal.

> And remember, if it's a JR6, do NOT say "sayonara"...They're "not
> really Japanese" according to Lennie.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Cool. Is Okinawa a JA posession like Guam or Saipan is a W6 posession?

4252. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 02:22:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113528588.872115.244640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105768

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > > What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
> >
> > Kind of makes it hard to be a Veteran if you didn't serve.
>
> But then comes you. A licensee with no antenna. In your case one has
to
> conclude that becoming a Veteran was easier than putting up an
antenna.

How's the antenna install that your sister did for you on her last
visit?

Hams and non-hams can serve in the military. Which branch did you
serve in?

4253. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 02:27:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113532057.009561.159310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105769

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> "Acting on the premise that the amateur bands must flexibly and
> comfortably accommodate present and future operating modes and
> technologies over the long haul, the ARRL Executive Committee has
> reached consensus on recommendations to the ARRL Board of Directors
for
> a regulation-by-bandwidth proposal. Meeting April 9 in Denver, the
> panel adopted recommendations that will form the basis of a draft
ARRL
> petition to the FCC seeking to govern the usage of amateur spectrum
by
> emission bandwidth rather than by mode. The proposals remain only EC
> recommendations at this point . . . "
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/04/13/1/?nc=1
>
> - - - - - - -
>
> This nonsense needs to be killed FAST and killed NOW. It represents
> gross overregulation. It's HQ trying to fix a system which isn't
> broken. Again. It's time to rise up against it en masse.
>
> w3rv

You know, I recall the ARRL saying that they had no "concensus" wrt
reducing/dropping the morse code exam, but I'll be damned if they
didn't find concensus wrt to this. I'll watch QST for the results of
that "substantive" survey they must've done.

4254. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 03:05:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113534328.585993.303580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105770

From: "K4YZ" on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 2:40 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Wed,Apr 13 2005 1:16 am
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: K4YZ on Apr 12, 6:04 am

>> Regardless of how much you "believe" the above to be
>> true, for the purposes of argument in here you must reveal
>> the name of that person or PUT IT AWAY. I was visiting NADC
>> 34 years ago as an employee of RCA Corporation and stayed
>> there a total of three months. The former Naval Air
>> Development Center, NOT NAS Warminster across the road.
>> I had daily contact with only three NADC engineers in
>> that group and NONE of them would be "your acquaintence."
>
> Your freedom of speech allows you to verbalize any statement you
>care to make, Lennie.
>
> Saying it does not make it true.>
>
> You were useless to them. Period.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. You don't know anything about what took
place on three successive R&D jobs where NADC was the test
agency for evaluation of SECANT (the RCA acronym for the
anti-collision system back then).

At NO time was I doing anything "for" NADC. NADC was the
federal test agency for that project and a similar one of
Minneapolis-Honeywell. My employer was RCA and that remained
so until 1975. As a field engineer I was representing RCA
for technical support of RCA equipment under test. NADC had
the aircraft and air crews available in 1971 and were directed
by Naval Air System Command to perform the testing of RCA's
and Minny-Honey's systems. USN was requested by the U.S.
government to do the testing (as a disinterested third-
party) and the USN passed that to Systems who passed it to
NADC.

SECANT (SEparation and Control of Aircraft by Non-
synchronous Techniques) performed well on the air-to-air
testing, as did the Minneapolis-Honeywell system. The data
acquisition and data-reduction by NADC was deemed costly (to
NADC) so that group was directed to employ tape-recording
of data instead of using the old-style (at the time) of

phototheater recording on synchronized motion-picure film. The government and USN suggested some slight alterations in threat logic used to provide avoidance manuever warnings as well and both corporations agreed to do a second generation of collision avoidance equipment to be tested in 1973. This generation included bring-outs of signals and logic states to be tape-recorded in a multi-channel tape unit. That second generation equipment was successfully flight-tested but I was spared having to be the on-site field engineer. I did participate in some of the design on that generation and did work with the principal NADC engineering crew that visited Van Nuys (twice) before 2nd gen testing began.

Based on the results of that 2nd gen flight test, RCA was requested to and awarded a contract for a third generation, this time representing a "preproduction" airborne version. A "prepro" is as close as can be to a final production prototype and includes as many specialized circuits as would be considered for a production model. That was done by mid-1975 and I was responsible for the 8-channel (pulse) receiver, front-end to video out (1.6 GHz RF band then) plus co-designer of the (non-flyable) checkout set which presented simulated air-traffic signals to evaluate crowded conditions. Jim Hall, KD6JG, was immediate group manager and Al Walston, W6MJN, was both my office cubicle sharer and the designer on the transmitter (pulse) portion. Packaging shrunk from 3 full-ATR cases of generation 1 to the quarter-ATR single-case of the 3rd generation. Three 3rd generation SECANTs were done and checked out, ready for shipment to PA, when the U.S. government (likely through FAA) canceled any further work or testing on a new aircraft anti-collision system. The government decided on adopting a relatively untried hodge-podge system devised by MIT which supposedly fit inside the RF spectrum of present-day ATCRBS frequencies.

>Now suck it up and move along,
>old man! You ran your mouth off about all your hot jobs.

Wasn't a "hot" job. Was an everyday kind of design job.

It was "hot" only in the SAW filters used to make it possible to have "brick-wall" response matched filters in a terribly small size in the 50 to 65 MHz region. RCA corporate back east funded one of the labs there to do the design and aluminum deposition on quartz plates (first time I ever put a purchase order in on BLANK quartz...kind of a novelty). In 1974 that was truly state of the art. Once they were shipped in to Van Nuys I had to mount them on something...RTV on epoxy PCB with compression-bonding wires connecting aluminum film contact ends to PCB lands. Luckily, Van Nuys had a

good thin-film lab at the time. Skirt response on the
filters was (to me) unbelievable...50 db drop in less
than 100 KHz at the edges, very nearly flat across the
top in the mid-VHF range.

> You happened
>to drop one name where I had an "in". I found you out.

Steve Robeson was *NEVER* "in" on either the RCA or
Minneapolis-Honeywell aircraft anti-collision systems.
Steve Robeson wan't even AT NADC in 1971 to 1975. He
was a jarhead who never got beyond Warminster NAS on
the other side of the road A DECADE LATER.

>> You are living in some fantasy again. Reset.
>
> Reset yourself, old man. About 50 years worth.

No, just two hours worth...had a good sandwich for lunch
and it tasted like more. I'll settle for another cup of
coffee, though. :-)

Tsk. I have a copy of the FINAL report on SECANT. I
helped write it (name is on the cover). NOWHERE in there
is any mention of any "Steve Robeson" as part of the
government personnel at NADC. The document identifier is
VNES-74-TR-001 and was then marked "company confidential."
It's somewhere in the General Electric archives now.
Considering it is 31 years later, I doubt that presence
of the revealed document number is going to hurt the
RCA Corporation. :-)

> That you try and redirect from YOUR misfortunes by making such
>claims is ludicrous and transparent.

Tsk. Lil Stevie can't name detail one on what went down
at NADC, has NO knowledge of the SECANT or Minny-Honey
System testing. You can't even name the military aircraft
at the NAS or which ones were used for anti-collision
testing. [one was shared with NAVSTAR...which would later
become GPSS...:-)] Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>> > I had all the "proof" I need, Lennie.
>> >
>> > A third party with no allegience to protect. A man with a
>> >professional reputation that I can bank on.
>>
>> You have BOGUS "proof." Non-existant. That "acquaintence"
>> doesn't exist. You made him up.
>
> Nope.
>
> What I TRULY know is that YOU find it hard to believe that there

>really are people in the world who didn't develop a life-long devotion
>to your wisdom, knowledge and skill.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-) The only person "devoted to me" is my
wife...as I am devoted to her. Nothing else is requested in
life. The only "credential" needed is that marriage
certificate. :-)

>> > He didn't know you "a decade after (you were) there". He knew
you
>> >WHEN you were there.

Amazing. After a total of six trips to NADC and a total
time there of about three months, this (fantasy) person
"knows" me? 34 years AFTER the fact?!? :-)

Incredulosity uber alles! :-)

>> >
>> > And I do not name him because I protect his privacy at his
>> >request.
>>
>> 1. You can't name him because he doesn't exist.
>
> I WON'T name him becasue I promised.

Total BULLSHIT, bluffmeister! :-)

>> 2. The ONLY thing you are protecting is your own
>> bragging LIE about that fantasy individual.
>
> That is not a truthful statement. And no matter how many more
>times you repeat it, Lennie, it STILL will NOT be true.

Sweetums, NOBODY can "prove" the non-existance of a
non-existant entity. NOBODY. :-)

All you have is a BLUFF. A LIE. :-)

>> 3. "Protecting privacy" is totally bogus. Rationalization
>> expressed to attempt masking your own LIE.
>
> No rationalization. A promise to a friend.

QUIT bullshitting us, Little Big Man. You tried a BLUFF.
You CANNOT BACK IT UP. :-)

Name the department this (fantasy) "friend" worked in at
NADC. Name some DETAILS that ONLY an NADC worker would
know. You have NOT revealed a thing.

>> > I have no reason to doubt his assessment or opinion.
>>
>> You probably believe your own fantasy. To you it is

>> "truth." To everyone else it is just your fantasy.
>
> Again, Lennie, you may repeate that over and over if you think it
>will salve your ego...But the bottom line is that people at NADC did
>not find you very effective.

No problem! I WILL "repeate" it (better, I'll just repeat
it) that I could care less how "that [sic] people at NADC
did not find..." I never worked for NADC, never worked for
the USN as a civilian, never even applied for any job at
NADC. :-) I was an employee of RCA Corporation at the time
and REMAINED an employee until the RCA shut-down of the Van
Nuys, CA, Electromagnetic and Aviation Systems Division's
Position Locating Systems Group in November, 1975.

> My "word" is bogus to YOU since claiming it is so is the ONLY way
>you have of escaping the fact that you ran your mouth off one time too
>many.

Your "word" is bogus. Period. You can't name a thing about
that (fantasy) "reference" individual...not a thing about
what went on at NADC in 1971-1975, not a thing about any
other projects under Naval Air Systems Command then.

You are FABRICATING a falsity. You have NO references
except what I reveal. YOU can't describe a damn thing
except your bogus "outrage" at "not being believed." :-)

>> Provide this "name." Without it you have a bogus
>> "reference" that means nothing.
>
> Here's a name that is bogus and means nothing: Leonard H.
>Anderson.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Emotionalism and name-calling.

Not to worry. Your buddies Jimmie Miccolis and Davie Heil
will support you. PCTA extra Double Standard MUST be
operative to you and them! :-)

>> You are SICK and need help. Go get some.
>
> I am quite well, thank you. You, on the otherhand, still have
>issues to deal with.

No "issues to deal with." Haven't submitted any
manuscripts to any publications having "issues" in the
last year. Not expecting any proofs on those. :-)

> Accepting that not everyone thinks you're the
>genius and expert YOU think you are is one of them.

Poor baby. Getting all petulant and snoddy again?

I COULD CARE LESS. :-)

Electrons, fields and waves don't much care for human emotions like "love" or "personal desire." One works by THEIR laws, not yours, not by somebody else's ideas.

Similarly, when trying to "prove" someone "wrong," you have to REALLY PROVE them by REAL references, details, information, VERIFIABLE sources. Trying to use some unspecified, unnamed imaginary person is just bluffing BULLSHIT. Quit doing that. You will be better off doing so.

> You're outted, Lennie. Get over it.

Tsk. I was out this morning. Nice day. Still is. Tomorrow will be a repeat of that. I will not "get over it," since I like that kind of weather. :-)

Let me just repeat what your buddie Jimmie Miccolis used to write in here: "It ain't bragging if ya done it!" Okay, I did it.

Not only that, I KNOW what was done and have valid references as to what I did there. Not a problem to me. Seems to be a helluva problem to you, though, and you have your psychotic imagination in afterburner and you can't get off the ground. Tsk, tsk.

Get some mental help. You need it.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4255. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 03:11:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113534703.902712.246310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105771

From: "K4YZ" on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 2:27 am

>k...@dvol.com wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >
>> > > What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
>> >
>> > Kind of makes it hard to be a Veteran if you didn't serve.
>>
>> But then comes you. A licensee with no antenna. In your case one has
to
>> conclude that becoming a Veteran was easier than putting up an
antenna.
>
> Brian P Burke and Leonard H Anderson both epitomize all of the
>things that give other veterans a black eye. I would not want to be
in
>a social setting where their status as veterans was known and then
>announce that I was a vet too. That's one "guilty by association"
that
>I will gladly avoid.

Tsk, tsk. You had BETTER avoid it! Once you step away
from the Legion Hall bar YOU are liable to not make it out
of the parking lot! :-)

Sweetums, I have an HONORABLE discharges from military
service. Kellie ain't got a one! Kellie couldn't make it
in or got away with staying out (take a pick, prick).

What have YOU got? A medical discharge. You claim, and then
try to bluff everyone into believing "it was changed to an
'honorable' discharge." Do WE have "proof" of that? NO!

Tsk. I can digitize my 1960 HONORABLE discharge and send it
(have to get it out of the bank's safety deposit box). It can
be verified at the St. Louis military records archives. It can
probably be verified through the VA...but I've had NO need to
do that myself. My employers have all checked and verified
such was true for me, as has Social Security after my 65th
birthday. Not a problem in my case.

So...we've got BIG MOUTH Cuss-Everyone-Out Stevie bad-mouthing
every one who disagrees with him and his claims of "seven
hostile actions" and other bullshit. We have to take his

"word" that all he say is "true." :-) Like his "reference" to my "employment with NADC." [which never happened since I was never employed by the USN in any capacity]

Poor Stevie doesn't like to "associate" with myself or Brian. Tsk, tsk, tsk. Poor baby. He should stay at the Legion Hall bar and keep telling his fantasy stories. Maybe someone WILL believe him (if they've had enough to drink). "Belief" is Stevie's BIG PROBLEM. He can't live with it...makes every-one else "prove" theirs and then keeps bad-mouthing them when they do! Psychotic Psteve. Tsk.

Me, I got NO problems associating with REAL military veterans. Done it much...and NOT at some Legion Hall bar. Done it for years. I'm proud of what I did and there are NO blemishes on my military record. I'm sure Brian has a good record, too. "It ain't braggin if ya did it."
I did it.

Get some mental help, Psychotic Pstevie. You need it.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

ex-RA16408336, U.S. Army 1952-1960, HONORABLE discharge

4256. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 03:14:26 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113534866.210355.214280@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105772

From: "bb" on Wed,Apr 13 2005 6:20 pm

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>> What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
>
>Kind of makes it hard to be a Veteran if you didn't serve.

In his "heart" he served in everything...

>> Here's a hint: The Canadian military forces used Morse Code in
>> WW2.
>
>Oh, now I see the connections. Because you saw photos of Ham soldiers
>in QST, and you're a ham, you "served" by extension. The coat-tail
>connection.

Of course. [he does expert "tailoring" on that coat-tail]

Don't forget that Jimmie "served" in every morse combat
situation...before and after "pioneering the airwaves"
with all the first radiomen...not to mention being a
personal assistant to Reggie Fessenden. :-)

>> I could go on about the political and economic effects, but since
this
>> is a radio newsgroup I thought I'd stick to electronic and
>> radio subjects.
>
>And Canadian war museum topics.

...and ANYTHING else that has emotional appeal to all
those MORSEMEN out there. If it has MORSE in it,
Jimmie MUST stamp it "approved for r.r.a.p. use." :-)

>> If I did talk about any military service I had, you would
>> be certain to make fun of it. It's just what you do, Len.
>> So typical.
>
>You "served" in other ways. And Kelly has "real" military experience.

Riiiight...Kellie was "served" at the Captain's table.

>> It's only those who disagree with you about Morse Code testing
>> that get your disrespect, abuse, name calling, and general
>> jack(expletive deleted] behavior.
>

>Do you eat with that mouth?

...he eats Morse-o-meal for breakfast...

>> Is there any reason to doubt that Steve, K4YZ, was involved
>> if he says he was?
>
>Is there any reason to not apply Steve's rules of facts to Steve's
>claims?

Stevie's "facts" are right out of Twilight Zone.

Stevie is the National Enquirer's wanna-be reporter
who was rejected by the editorial staff en masse as
"being too fanciful for National Enquirer's
standards." :-)

>> I see. His mistake somehow justifies *your* behavior?
>
>Mistake? He's had ample opportunity to correct that mistake. Instead
>he piles up the lies.

It must be eternal spring...whatever Stevie says, Jimmie
approves. [kiss, kiss...]

>> Godwin invoked. You lose.
>>
>> Why not use the person's name and callsign?
>
>Kim, W5TIT.
>
>Miccolis invoked. You lose.
>
>Rest of your double-standards snipped.

Jimmie is thinking along Henry Ford lines...viz, the
old quote about the Model T Ford: "You can have any
color you want...as long as its black."

Anyone can say anything positive about morse code in
here...in any manner the pro-coder wants...and Jimmie
will "judge" them "approved" and even "praise" the
"manly manner" the pro-coder boosts the glory of
Morse. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4257. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 03:18:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113535139.871326.247800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105773

From: "K□B" on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 7:18 am

>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:1113483024.481096.18220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

>> Didn't Hans put that well into the 70's for the Navy?
>
>No, Hans didn't.
>
>The last significant use of Morse in the Navy was in the late
50's/early 60's.
>This usage was by small-boys, DD and smaller, on "fox" broadcasts and
"A1"
>ship/shore circuits.
>
>Both uses ended with fleetwide deployment of Jason and Orestes
circuits in the
>early 60's. Morse training for general duty Navy RM's ceased at the
same time,
>and Morse operator became a specialized NEC (MOS to you grunts) held
by only a
>few sailors, mostly in SPECOM branches (intercept operators, etc.).
>
>The single operational Morse use which survived was the VLF SSBN
transmissions
>(two transmitters, one Cutler, ME and the other at Jim Creek, WA).
That was a
>simple slow-speed beaconing system which notified boomers to pop up
their
>satcomm antennas for the actual communications.
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

Thank you for factual corroboration, Hans.

As far as I know now, the VLF stations evolved into ELF
but at different locations. According to a USN Fact
Sheet those locations are at Clam Lake, WI, in the
Chequamegon National Forest (operational since 1985)
and Republic, MI (operational since 1989). The Republic
station is synchronized in time with Clam Lake, all
under operational control of NCTAMS LANT headquarters
at Norfolk, VA. Their transmission protocol is "Deep
Black" slow-speed data and the Boomers' (and Shark's)

electronics rooms (what used to be a tiny "radio room" cubicle in WW2 boats) have "Black" ELF receivers always on-line (as are their automatic decoders) for Alerts. For an illustration of a Boomer electronics room, go to the www.fas.org site and search down through a maze of internal links to USN stuff; take info there as old and not containing all the juicy details but has the appearance of unclassified USN documents.

My nephew-in-law was an electrician's mate on a shark, involved with reactor power plants, not radio. All he said about his shark boat's electronics room was "we couldn't hang around in there." :-) There was no such thing as a "nuclear boat/ship" in Canada or any other Navy during WW2. The only encryption used by the USA (and Canada as well as the UK) was the "Sigaba" as shown on the USS Pampanito floating museum and at the NSA on-line Museum. The "Sigaba" system (TTY), upgraded to post-WW2 standards was severely compromised by the capture of the USS Pueblo off the North Korean coast in 1968. The replacement system was compromised by CWO Walker who was convicted of espionage and is serving a federal life term. The present encryption methods are apparently two generations later than the Walker-compromised crypto systems...and quite secure.

The original "Sigaba" on-line TTY crypto terminal was first installed in the 1940s and used to relay intercepts of the infamous "14-part" diplomatic message of Japan that was supposed to be the formal start of the Japanese declaration of a state of war. "Sigaba" was later used to coordinate USN fleet movements to enable the success of the Battle of Midway. That TTY encryption was never compromised through intercepts. It was compromised by actual capture of later-generation hardware on the USS Pueblo.

The "Sigaba" encryption looked like severely distorted TTY to any standard, non-crypto TTY terminal, totally unreadable. The Far East Command Hq (Pershing Heights, Tokyo, Japan) had their crypto room in the sub-sub-basement of the main Hq building, the former Japanese War Ministry Hq. The post-WW2 improved "Sigaba" (known by various other names) was used by US Army Field Radio units in "Angry-26" huts during the Korean War. A few M-209 Code Coverters (WW2 non-electric devices in small cases of the portable typewriter kind) were used in the field in Korea for small-radio encryption but that ceased by the time of the active phase beginning in Vietnam.

By interviews and other correspondence, the U.S. Army maintained morsemanship as a requisite for Field Radio MOSs ("NEC" to swabbies?) up to about 1972. USA had several different communications MOSs then, especially in TTY over various systems and including the first of the military satellite communications links. However, tactical use of morse code in the Army was essentially nil at that time. Encrypted voice in the field was first tried operationally during the Vietnam War over the PRC-25s and PRC-77s through peripheral boxes. Such is now easily selectable by front panel controls on the SINCGARS manpack and vehicular sets (COMSEC is built-in to nearly every radio now, including military HTs). During the First Gulf War, Special Forces had slightly old "threes" having 1200 BPS "chiclet" keyboards and LCD text display working on the military aviation band of 225-400 MHz. The mil av band was also relayed by mil satellites as well as "Joint Stars" relay aircraft. Moderate crypto system built-in on the "threes." There was no movie-style "behind enemy lines" use of morse in the 1990-1991 period...or afterwards.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4258. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 03:22:21 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113535341.490822.24340@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105774

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 3:42 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed,Apr 13 2005 4:28 am
>>

[etc., etc., etc...]

>Granted, you didn't see any "morse code modes" in use at
>ADA. But to say there was none used at all, anywhere in the
>US military is a different thing.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Changing the subject.

Long-distance point-to-point communications bore
the brunt of ALL military branchs' message traffic
to an amount of GREATER than a million messages a
month.

>What's interesting is that you have to qualify the statement
>as "long-distance point-to-point communications" - because
>Morse Code was then still being used *extensively* by the US
>Navy, by the maritime radio services, by aircraft and by many
>other radio services such as press services.

"Extensively?!?" HOW DO YOU KNOW? :-)

Sweetums, I WAS PART OF IT. :-) Army station ADA, as
assigned to Far East Command Headquarters, carried not
only Army traffic, but some USN traffic, some USAF
traffic, some Press Services, even some Red Cross
message traffic. ALL on TTY. Not a bit of morse
code. And ADA was just the third largest station in
ACAN (Army Commmand and Administrative Network). That
"little" station (36 transmitters, all over 1 KW and
on 24/7) relayed 220 thousand messages a month (1955).
WAR (Washington Army Radio) handled over a million a
month then.

Sweetums, that "extensively" is just your wishful
thinking. Of course there was SOME morse being used
by all branches in 1953. But, HOW MUCH? YOU DON'T
KNOW! YOU WERE NEVER IN. YOU NEVER DID IT FOR THE
MILITARY.

>Your tunnel vision of "long-distance point-to-point communications" by
>the US military is about as relevant as the

>fact that Morse Code wasn't in use on the AM broadcast band in the
>1930s.

Tsk. A reducto ad absurdum. You must be getting
rattled, sweetums.

You are too young to have listened to Walter Winchell's
"news broadcasts" on radio. He "used morse code" at
every opening...apparently for some weird "authenticity"
since ol' Walt was getting on towards Alzheimers at the
time.

>And it was on fixed, predetermined frequencies, using equipment
>most individuals could not afford to buy.

Tsk, that's called PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATIONS, sweetums.
When one is IN the Cold War and trying NOT to let it
develop into a nuclear confrontation, one uses absolutely
the BEST stuff to "get the message through."

I'm sure the Canadian military did the same within their
budget constraints.

You want the U.S. military to act like amateurs? :-)

Some of us think that POLICY of the U.S. government
is "done by amateurs" but that's a whole other story.

So, famous historian of radio, DID THE CANADIANS USE
MILITARY RADIO LIKE AMATEURS?

>And it was *not* the kind of communications that make up the vast
>majority of amateur radio communications.

Don't misdirect, sweetums.

YOU started this thread with an emotional message about
"morse code in the window" at a CANADIAN MILITARY
museum. Try to stay within a few light-years of the
subject.

>At some point, anyway. The US Navy was still using Morse Code long
>after the beginning of the 1950s.

HOW DO YOU KNOW? YOU DIDN'T SERVE.

>So was the Coast Guard.

HOW DO YOU KNOW? YOU DIDN'T SERVE.

>> That SHOULD have some meaning to rational
>> persons insofar as the efficacy of morse code for
>> communications...
>
>There you go, Len, assuming your conclusion.

>
>What you're saying is that because the Army didn't use it,
>nobody should use it.

For the VAST MAJORITY of message traffic in the U.S.
military - ALL BRANCHES - morse code mode was NOT
used "extensively."

What the heck, Jimmie Noserve, you weren't IN any
military, not even in Canada. Why are you all upset?

>Here's a hint: Ham radio isn't the US Army. When Uncle Sam
>is willing to buy radios for all hams, then maybe you'll
>have a point.

OH! OH! ERROR! MISTAKE!

First of all, your buddie and pal, Stevie he say
that "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" Tsk. MARS' first
letter in that acronym means MILITARY.

Secondly, check with a REAL MARS civilian volunteer.
You will find out that the military GIVES them radio
goodies. No need to "buy." Military already bought
the stuff and used it. Be NICE to MARS folks,
Jimmie, maybe they'll GIVE you an AN/FRC-93 for
nothing; it's a Collins KWM2 Commercial transceiver
with a military nameplate.

>> too prone to human errors by its operators,
>
>All communications modes are prone to operator error. The
>person typing on a teleprinter can make a mistake, too.

HOW DO YOU KNOW? Don't see any TTY in that picture of
YOUR ham shack! :-)

>Nope. You just don't like the mode.

Sweetums, I just don't LIKE the TEST for it. :-)

Tsk. You get SO confused when someone doesn't "like"
EVERYTHING about morse code!

>> by now, EVERY other
>> radio service has either DROPPED the mode (if they used
>> it at all in the past) or NEVER CONSIDERED it when that
>> radio service began.
>
>So what, Len? That's like saying that since almost all motor vehicles
>don't have manual transmissions anymore, no vehicles should have them.
>gave up having

"...give up having..." Tsk. Got so flustered you couldn't
finish the sentence? :-)

Hello? Have you taken a state driver license exam?

Lately?

Look again and see how many questions there are on
MANUAL TRANSMISSION automobile operation.

Sweetums, I was talking about the morse code TEST.

You began this whole thread with an emotional thing
about "morse code in the window" at a Canadian
military museum. I wasn't talking about automotive
design. There's a rec newsgroup on that but I don't
know it's name. Automotive design doesn't belong in
here anyway.

>The main reason Morse Code was replaced by other modes in
>other radio services is that it required skilled operators
>at both ends of the circuit. Skilled operators cost money
>and have to be taken care of, and the speed and accuracy of
>communications is limited to their skill level. So the skilled
>operator was eliminated by technology, to save time and money.

Riiiight, Jimmie, but you've de-emphasized "other modes"
to try and make your case. You didn't for a lot of
reasons.

Day-in, day-out morse mode manual comms can probably
handle 20 WPM rates. If the morsemen are good. I'm
talking SUSTAINED hour-by-hour operation, NOT burst
mode stuff which CAN be faster.

The OLD teleprinters could chug along CONTINUOUSLY at
60 WPM from the 1930s onward. Paper tape could be
prepared ahead of time and used in automatic transmitting
distributors, be punched at the receiving end also.
By the 1960s the NEWER teleprinters were chugging along
at 100 WPM SUSTAINED. No problem. Teleprinter makers
did excellent electromechanical designs. Just think,
SUSTAINED THROUGHPUT at 100 WPM! 24/7 if there were
folks to keep feeding the machines paper, p-tape, and
electricity. No bathroom breaks, no need to sleep,
no need for food breaks.

By the 1970s the electromechanical systems were being
replaced by DATA, teleprinting by electronics, first
with rates of 300 WPM, then 1200 WPM, then 2400 WPM,
and finally at 56000 WPM over voice-grade circuits.
[getting close to Shannon's limit on today's modems]

>What you're saying, then, is that you want to eliminate the skilled
>operators from ham radio, too.

NO, sweetums. What I've ALWAYS SAID is to toss, throw
away, eliminate the morse code TEST for amateur radio
license. THE TEST.

In the United States, "ARS" does NOT stand for "Amateur
RadioTELEGRAPHY Service." There is NO requirement for
any U.S. amateur licensee to operate on morse code mode.
ALL allocated modes are optional to use for any class.

Why do you keep insisting that the ONLY SKILL in ham
radio is morsemanship?

If morsemanship is such a wonderful thing, then it should
be optional for any ham to become a good morseman ON HIS
OR HER OWN. Since the U.S. government doesn't require
exclusive morse use, it shouldn't be ON the TEST.

>> For over half a century (actually, since before WW2)
>> the brunt of messaging in the military has been done
>> by modes OTHER than morse code.
>
>Even if true, (it's not) so what?

HOW DO YOU KNOW? YOU'VE NEVER SERVED IN THE MILITARY.

>You're argument says that since most US Navy ships stopped relying on
>the wind for propulsion long ago, nobody should own a sailboat today,
>even for "a hobby pursuit, a recreation, something done on free time
>for enjoyment."

Sweetums, this newsgroup is NOT about BOATING.

YOU started this thread with an emotional message about
"morse code in the window" at a Canadian military museum.

Now you want to hoist a sail on your lil sabot? :-)

Show me the SSBN that uses "sail" as an alternate means
of propulsion...or the USS Kennedy aircraf carrier. :-)

Better yet, tell us how the USCG appreciates the use of
morse modes on harbor and river VHF communications? :-)

>Very illogical.

Sweetums, you almost take the prize for today's reducto
ad absurdum message content!

>The old Bell Telephone system handled a lot more than 1.5 million
>"messages" a month back then, too.

HOW DO YOU KNOW? WERE YOU A TELEPHONE OPERATOR?

>What possible connection does that have to the self-trained,
>self-funded amateur radio operator?

WHAT POSSIBLE "CONNECTION" does a NON-VETERAN, NON-
CANADIAN have with a Canadian military museum that
has "morse code in the window?" :-)

>> It should be obvious to rational people that there is
>> NO need for any morse code testing for a hobby radio
>> activity.
>
>There's where you make an illogical jump. You hold up what
>the US military allegedly did, then say it's somehow connected
>to what hams should do.

There's NO "allegedly" going on, Sweetums. It is recorded
history. I was THERE, DOING IT. You were NOT.

>But you never say what the connection is. Just that "it's obvious to
>rational people" - which it isn't.

I never said morsemen are "rational." :-)

>> What morse code testing for a hobby radio activity
>> has become is a travesty, a gross artificiality kept
>> in there by old-timers who managed to pass such tests
>> and keep insisting that all newcomers MUST do as they
>> did.
>
>No, that's simply not true at all. It's just your way of
>rationalizing your hatred, Len.

"Hatred?" Ain't NO "hatred," Jimeee.

You must think the ARS stands for Amateur RadioTELEGRAPHY
Service. You cannot conceive of the possibility that
U.S. amateur radio could ever exist without that beloved
code TEST and all the "importance" "skill" "grandeur" and
"nobility" of morsemanship. :-)

>Since amateur radio operators *do* use Morse Code extensively, today,
>on the air, for a wide variety of activities, it is perfectly obvious
>to rational people that a basic test of Morse code skill is a
>reasonable test requirement for a license.
>
>That's the whole thing, right there.

NO! ERROR! MISTAKE!

The FCC has NOT *REQUIRED* morse use over an above any
other mode, any class licensee, for years. ALL allocated
modes are OPTIONAL for use. Since all those allocated
modes are OPTIONAL, then there is NO reason to require
a morse code test for a license.

Of course, that is a rational reason. Since some
morsemen are irrational in their absolute DEMAND to
RETAIN morse code testing, you might not approve. :-)

>> You resent knowing that another has done
>> it.
>
>I don't resent it at all, Len. I'm just bored by your constant
>repetition of the same old story and illogical conclusions.

Poor baby. Just like your buddie and pal, Psycho Psteve.

Anything against your Godlike judgement is an "error" or
"mistake" and you DISALLOW all such arguments. :-)

>And you still haven't explained how what happened at ADA a
half-century
>ago has any relevance to ham radio today.

Oh, my, you are still "unconvinced?" ADA, as well as AHA,
AGA, and lots of other Army stations used vacuum tube
transmitters. Seems to me that station N2EY uses TUBES!
Tsk, over half a century later and Jimmie still relies
on TUBES! :-)

Oh, and the SAME principles of physics applied to RADIO
then as well as now, regardless of human-designated
radio "service." ADA did its operation on HF. By odd
coincidence (or is it?) station N2EY also uses HF!

Sunnuvagun! :-)

>Here's one more analogy to your alleged logic:

Now, now, Jimmie, you are getting testy... :-)

>Inexpensive calculators have been around for a couple of decades now.
>Almost nobody in business or the professions relies on manual
>arithmetic anymore - even the smallest businesses, for example, use
>electronic cash registers to do the calculations.

Wowee...such "extensive" analogy building. However, some
ERRORS!

"Pa" Watson, the one who began IBM, began as a cash register
salesman for NCR. All-mechanical cash registers. Those
worked real well for SMALL businesses before I was born.
The HP-35 and all the rest of the scientific calculators
that followed obliterated the demand for "slide rules!"

>Where such manual calculation was once done, it has been completely
>replaced by electronic methods. Manual calculation
>is too slow, too error-prone, and too dependent on human skill.

Oh, my, yes!

>Therefore, we should not require anyone to learn how to do such
>calculations as addition, subtraction, multiplication or division, let
>alone square roots or other techniques.
>

>That's what you're saying. And it's nonsense.

Now, now, Jimmie, you are falling into the reducto ad absurdum "argument" again. :-)

Maybe there's some situation where a cash register or calculator was "necessary" for radio communication, but dang if'n I know of one. Try to remember I've been doing radio communications for a long time now...longer than you've been alive (or at least born, that is). Never heard of a radio license exam that REQUIRED demonstrating basic arithmetic skills to get that license. :-)

Tsk. Anyone wanting logs or trig functions to better than 5 figures needs to do a Taylor Series or other polynomial equations to get an accurate answer?!? That's DUMB, Jimmie, and you SHOULD know that is a complete waste of time. Let the little scientific calculator do it...good to 12 digits...double-precision computer calculations are good to 14 decimal digits.

But, you are trying to make an "analogy" of basic math skills to RADIO LICENSING! Good grief. Not ONE bit of morse code involved in basic math skills! Tsk. You ought to get all ARS licensees to WORK SPARK TRANSMITTERS! VERY BASIC! THE *FIRST* TRANSMITTERS FOR AMATEURS! Tradition and all that...long before you were born, Jimmie.

Yes, I know "spark" is not allowed as an emission. So, why are you constantly emitting all that nonsense about the NEED TO KNOW morse code? Why do you force your personal desires on all newcomers? Why can't you live and let live...allow the ARS to ADVANCE beyond the "Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society?"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4259. Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven which is described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain. (newsgroup-post 138)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 04:03:49 UTC
From: RonGrossi382548@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1113534592.771893.28330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105775
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven which is described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is to be saved so that we can live forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord and believe in your heart that God raised Him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and in three days come back to life

again and it happens then this person must be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God to save you. Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus

Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to
place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
may never get another chance to do so
because you do not know when you will die.

This means that if you die without
trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
Saviour you will be forever separated from
the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
Only then will you have true peace in your
life knowing that no matter what happens you
are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

*Show this to your family and friends so
they can know that they have a choice
where they will spend eternity. Thanks!

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.browser.to/jesus-animation

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.bibledatabase.net

4260. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 06:20:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113546044.174863.194420@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105776

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > k...@dvol.com wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
> > > >
> > > > Kind of makes it hard to be a Veteran if you didn't serve.
> > >
> > > But then comes you. A licensee with no antenna. In your case one
> has
> > to
> > > conclude that becoming a Veteran was easier than putting up an
> > antenna.
> >
> > Brian P Burke and Leonard H Anderson both epitomize all of the
> > things that give other veterans a black eye. I would not want to
be
> in
> > a social setting where their status as veterans was known and then
> > announce that I was a vet too. That's one "guilty by association"
> that
> > I will gladly avoid.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> There is no guilt in military service, unless you lie about it. Like
> saying that you have "real military experience" when you don't, or
> saying that you have "seven hostile actions" when you have none.

Lennie's use of the sacrifice of life for his own glorification is
one of the most "intolerable sins" amongst veterans.

Period.

> Best of Luck.

None needed, but thanks.

Steve, K4YZ

4261. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 06:37:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113547032.938462.27460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105777

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 2:27 am
>
> >k...@dvol.com wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
> >> >
> >> > Kind of makes it hard to be a Veteran if you didn't serve.
> >>
> >> But then comes you. A licensee with no antenna. In your case one
has
> to
> >> conclude that becoming a Veteran was easier than putting up an
> antenna.
> >
> > Brian P Burke and Leonard H Anderson both epitomize all of the
> >things that give other veterans a black eye. I would not want to be
> in
> >a social setting where their status as veterans was known and then
> >announce that I was a vet too. That's one "guilty by association"
> that
> >I will gladly avoid.
>
> Tsk, tsk. You had BETTER avoid it! Once you step away
> from the Legion Hall bar YOU are liable to not make it out
> of the parking lot!

I don't drink, Lennie.

> Sweetums, I have an HONORABLE discharges from military
> service.

Yes, you do. But it's your self-serving use of the deaths of
others for your own glorification that dishonored whatever you DID do
good, Lennie.

> Kellie ain't got a one! Kellie couldn't make it
> in or got away with staying out (take a pick, prick).

You've been asked this before, I am asking again: WHAT LAW DID
BRIAN KELLY VIOLATE BY NOT SERVING IN THE ARMED FORCES...?!?!

(Caps not for yelling, but to make it easier for the old man to
read...he has obviouly had a hard with it!)

> What have YOU got? A medical discharge.

Nope. Same Honorable you've got, Lennie.

> You claim, and then
> try to bluff everyone into believing "it was changed to an
> 'honorable' discharge." Do WE have "proof" of that? NO!

It was never "changed" to an Honorable, Lennie. It was Honorable
all along. I was discharged.

> Tsk. I can digitize my 1960 HONORABLE discharge...(SNIP)

Sure you can. Two problems, though. One, you've already done the
"I am going to send you an e-mail" trick wherein you DIDN'T send what
you promised you were going to do.

Trust blown.

Secondly, as I have said over an over, I don't doubt that you have
an "Honorable" discharge. But what I HAVE said over and over it's HOW
YOU DISGRACED YOUR SERVICE WITH YOUR SELFISH USE OF OTHER'S SACRIFICES
THAT MAKE YOU THE SCUMBAG YOU ARE!

> Me, I got NO problems associating with REAL military
> veterans. Done it much...and NOT at some Legion Hall bar.
> Done it for years. I'm proud of what I did and there are
> NO blemishes on my military record. I'm sure Brian has a
> good record, too. "It ain't braggin if ya did it."
> I did it.

You also "did it" when you tried to embellish YOUR "record" with
the deaths of Soldiers who died in combat before you were even
inducted.

> Get some mental help, Psychotic Pstevie. You need it.

Not even remotely as much as you, old man.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> ex-RA16408336, U.S. Army 1952-1960, HONORABLE discharge

Pathologiocal liar and teller of Tall Tales. User Of Other's
Sacrifices.

Putz.

Steve, K4yz

4262. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 07:15:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113549348.222370.296500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105778

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 2:40 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "K4YZ" on Wed,Apr 13 2005 1:16 am
> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: K4YZ on Apr 12, 6:04 am
>
> >> Regardless of how much you "believe" the above to be
> >> true, for the purposes of argument in here you must reveal
> >> the name of that person or PUT IT AWAY. I was visiting NADC
> >> 34 years ago as an employee of RCA Corporation and stayed
> >> there a total of three months. The former Naval Air
> >> Development Center, NOT NAS Warminster across the road.
> >> I had daily contact with only three NADC engineers in
> >> that group and NONE of them would be "your acquaintence."
> >
> > Your freedom of speech allows you to verbalize any statement
you
> >care to make, Lennie.
> >
> > Saying it does not make it true.
> >
> > You were useless to them. Period.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. You don't know anything about what took
> place on three successive R&D jobs where NADC was the test
> agency for evaluation of SECANT (the RCA acronym for the
> anti-collision system back then).

It doesn't matter, Lennie. I know what happened on ONE of them.

> At NO time was I doing anything "for" NADC...(SNIP)

But you were there and your performance was lackluster.

You got a bad "fit rep". Oh well. We can't all be "100%" 100% of
the time. Deal with it.

One of my first fitreps in the Corps was less than what it could
have been. Not bad, but certainly a wake-up call. I took my
"spanking", did what I needed to do to correct the shortcomings, and
moved on. No big deal.

> SECANT (SEparation and Control of Aircraft by Non-
> synchronous Techniques) performed well...(SNIP

Has nothing to do with Amateur Radio policy or that you were
deemed less than adequate at NADC.

> Based on the results of that 2nd gen flight test...(SNIP)

Twenty one lines of non-relevent story telling.

> >Now suck it up and move along,
> >old man! You ran your mouth off about all your hot jobs.
>
> Wasn't a "hot" job. Was an everyday kind of design job.

One you didn't do well at. Oh well.
>
> It was "hot" only in the SAW filters...(SNIP)

Great. Ohhhhhhhhhhh...Ahhhhhhhhhhhh....Stuff that extend's an
engineer's slide rule, I am sure.

Of no relevence to Amateur Radio policy at ANY level or you
behaviour herein.

> > You happened
> >to drop one name where I had an "in". I found you out.
>
> Steve Robeson was *NEVER* "in" on either the RCA or
> Minneapolis-Honeywell aircraft anti-collision systems.
> Steve Robeson wan't even AT NADC in 1971 to 1975. He
> was a jarhead who never got beyond Warminster NAS on
> the other side of the road A DECADE LATER.

Nope...wasn't in those programs.

I did have an "in" with a gentleman who was, however.

Outted you nicely.

> >> You are living in some fantasy again. Reset.
> >
> > Reset yourself, old man. About 50 years worth.
>
> No, just two hours worth...had a good sandwich for lunch
> and it tasted like more. I'll settle for another cup of
> coffee, though.

Perhaps all that coffee is your undoing, Lennie.

At your age more thyan one cup a day is a sure bet for premature
cardiac demise.

> Tsk. I have a copy of the FINAL report on SECANT...(SNIP)

I am sure the Anderson household is ripe with files of old
projects that have absolutely nothing to do with Amateur Radio.

Fifteen more lines of non-relevent stuff snipped for brevity.

> > That you try and redirect from YOUR misfortunes by making such
> >claims is ludicrous and transparent.
>
> Tsk. Lil Stevie can't name detail one on what went down
> at NADC, has NO knowledge of the SECANT or Minny-Honey
> System testing. You can't even name the military aircraft
> at the NAS or which ones were used for anti-collision
> testing. [one was shared with NAVSTAR...which would later
> become GPSS...:-)] Tsk, tsk, tsk.

And not a bit of it relvent to the fact that Leonard H. Anderson
was at NADC, was a less than stellar performer, and when I asked around
about him, I got a "hit"...

I've not once "challenged" that any of that occured at NADC, nor
have I "challenged" that you were there. I KNOW you were there,
Lennie.

> > What I TRULY know is that YOU find it hard to believe that
there
> >really are people in the world who didn't develop a life-long
devotion
> >to your wisdom, knowledge and skill.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-) The only person "devoted to me" is my
> wife...as I am devoted to her. Nothing else is requested in
> life. The only "credential" needed is that marriage
> certificate.

A marriage certificat is NO guarnatee of devotion, Lennie. It was
only legal permission for the two of you to get maried.

An old high school acquaintence of mine has been "cohabitating"
with his high school sweetheart for over 25 years now. No marriage.
They are as devoted as any couple I've ever known.

> >> > He didn't know you "a decade after (you were) there". He
knew
> you
> >> >WHEN you were there.
>
> Amazing. After a total of six trips to NADC and a total
> time there of about three months, this (fantasy) person
> "knows" me? 34 years AFTER the fact?!?

Actaully at the time I found you out it was only 20-some years,
and no, he didn't "know" you off the top of his head. He did some
research, however, on contractors who had been there.

You were there. You weren't the best "engineer" in their
estimation.

> > I WON'T name him becasue I promised.
>

> Total BULL####, bluffmeister!

No "BS", Lennie....I won't name him. No bluff intended.

> >> 2. The ONLY thing you are protecting is your own
> >> bragging LIE about that fantasy individual.
> >
> > That is not a truthful statement. And no matter how many more
> >times you repeat it, Lennie, it STILL will NOT be true.
>
> Sweetums, NOBODY can "prove" the non-existance of a
> non-existant entity. NOBODY.

Who's "sweetums"...?!?!

> All you have is a BLUFF. A LIE.

Nope.

> >> 3. "Protecting privacy" is totally bogus. Rationalization
> >> expressed to attempt masking your own LIE.
> >
> > No rationalization. A promise to a friend.
>
> QUIT bull####ting us, Little Big Man. You tried a BLUFF.
> You CANNOT BACK IT UP.
>
> Name the department this (fantasy) "friend" worked in at
> NADC. Name some DETAILS that ONLY an NADC worker would
> know. You have NOT revealed a thing.

I have revealed that you were less than spectacular at atleast ONE
of your "jobs".

And there will be no further "details" forthcomimg. You can't
squeal and whine all you like, Lennie, but just like your promises to
us, that's all you'll get from me on this subject.

> >> > I have no reason to doubt his assessment or opinion.
> >>
> >> You probably believe your own fantasy. To you it is
> >> "truth." To everyone else it is just your fantasy.
> >
> > Again, Lennie, you may repeate that over and over if you think
it
> >will salve your ego...But the bottom line is that people at NADC did
> >not find you very effective.
>
> No problem! I WILL "repeate" it (better, I'll just repeat
> it) that I could care less how "that [sic] people at NADC
> did not find..." I never worked for NADC, never worked for
> the USN as a civilian, never even applied for any job at
> NADC. I was an employee of RCA Corporation at the time

> and REMAINED an employee until the RCA shut-down of the Van
> Nuys, CA, Electromagnetic and Aviation Systems Division's
> Position Locating Systems Group in November, 1975.

And the people at NADC that had to evaluate the performace of the
contract weren't impressed with YOU, Lennie.

Rant all you care to. It really is THAT simple.

> > My "word" is bogus to YOU since claiming it is so is the ONLY
way
> >you have of escaping the fact that you ran your mouth off one time
too
> >many.
>
> Your "word" is bogus. Period. You can't name a thing about
> that (fantasy) "reference" individual...not a thing about
> what went on at NADC in 1971-1975, not a thing about any
> other projects under Naval Air Systems Command then.

And I could care less about them, Lennie.

I inquired about YOU, and YOU are what I got answers about.

> You are FABRICATING a falsity.

Nope.

> You have NO reference except what I reveal.

Your "refrences" where what lead me to find out what I did.
Thanks.

> YOU can't describe a damn thing
> except your bogus "outrage" at "not being believed."

Oh, Lennie, I am farrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr from "outraged"! YOU, old
man, are the one with the outrage issues!. To the tune of thousands of
lines of irrelevent rhetoric over the last several years meant only to
blunt the damage of what I discovered.

Too late! Shudda kept your mouth shut!

> >> Provide this "name." Without it you have a bogus
> >> "reference" that means nothing.
> >
> > Here's a name that is bogus and means nothing: Leonard H.
> >Anderson.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Emotionalism and name-calling.

Nope. Fact. Established by YOUR track record of lying, deceit,
misconduct and dishonesty.

> Not to worry. Your buddies Jimmie Miccolis and Davie Heil
> will support you. PCTA extra Double Standard MUST be
> operative to you and them!

"Jimmie" Miccolis? Jim has a little son? Whether or not N2EY or
K8MN "support" me is also irrelevent. I know what I know because YOU
shot your mouth off and I just happened to know someone in a postion to
verify it.

> >> You are SICK and need help. Go get some.
> >
> > I am quite well, thank you. You, on the otherhand, still have
> >issues to deal with.
>
> No "issues to deal with." Haven't submitted any
> manuscripts to any publications having "issues" in the
> last year. Not expecting any proofs on those.

How can you expect a "proof" on something that doesn't exist?

On the otherhand, the foregoing rant and spin-doctoring you just
hit us with IS evidence of your "issues" with your own condcut.

> > Accepting that not everyone thinks you're the
> >genius and expert YOU think you are is one of them.
>
> Poor baby. Getting all petulant and snoddy again?
>
> I COULD CARE LESS.

Liar, liar, pants on fire! Several YEARS worth of YOUR rantings
reveal otherwise!

> > You're outted, Lennie. Get over it.
>
> Tsk. I was out this morning. Nice day. Still is.
> Tomorrow will be a repeat of that. I will not "get
> over it," since I like that kind of weather. :-)
>
> Let me just repeat what your buddie Jimmie Miccolis
> used to write in here: "It ain't bragging if ya done
> it!" Okay, I did it.
>
> Not only that, I KNOW what was done and have valid
> references as to what I did there. Not a problem to me.
> Seems to be a helluva problem to you, though, and you
> have your psychotic imagination in afterburner and you
> can't get off the ground. Tsk, tsk.
>
> Get some mental help. You need it.

No, I don't. You, on the otherhand, have honesty issues to get
straightened out. Still.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Still The Putz Today That You Were Yesterday And Will Be Tomorrow.

Steve, K4YZ

4263. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 07:21:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113549686.567259.57030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105779

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > From: Brian Burke on Apr 12, 4:06 pm
> > > > >
> > > > > >I have no skill in the Japanese language. Thanks for
> > > straightening
> > > > me
> > > > > >out that "sayonara" is the Japanese equivalent of the
Yiddish
> > word
> > > > for
> > > > > >"penis." I'm shocked to think that all those JA's were were
> > > > repeating
> > > > > >the word "penis" over and over again on the air. And I
always
> > > thought
> > > > > >they were the most polite amateurs.
> > > > >
> > > > > They are. But the point is you don't know Japanese, yet
you
> > feel
> > > > it's "OK" to say Japanese words in non-Japanese conversation.
> You
> > > and
> > > > Lennie don't seem to have the same opinions on word useage when
> > > > non-Lennites are involved in the act.
> > > >
> > > So the next time a JA gives me a "sayonara" I should say "good
bye
> > > penis?"
> > >
> > If you'd like to, Brian. But on what antenna are you going to
do
> > it?
> >
> I usually use a microphone to say good-bye or sayonara. The stock
> microphone that came with the TS-690S is good, or the Heil headset.
I
> leave the antenna outside for radiating the signal.
>
> > And remember, if it's a JR6, do NOT say "sayonara"...They're

"not
> > really Japanese" according to Lennie.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Cool. Is Okinawa a JA posession like Guam or Saipan is a W6
posession?

You ignored the phrase "...according to Lennie".

Okinawa is a prefecture of Japan...a "state", just like Hawaii,
Rhode Island, or Texas is to us. They are very much a part of Japan,
CONTRARY to what Lennie would have other believe.

"Sayonara" is completely appropriate.

Steve, K4YZ

4264. Re: FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 07:31:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113550261.437323.191600@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105780

KC8GXW wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
> > Well DUMB###
> > It says HI-MAX not HIGH MAX
> > HIMAX are electric model plane motors dumb###. Why do you start
with the
> > name calling toady? You still downloading the Aisn kiddy porn,
perv?
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >> that's not a romote control model dumb###, that's a TEAM High Max,
it's
> >> a one seater, 40 horsepower engine and 10 gallons of gas.
>
> Shouldn't type on an empty head :)
> That's plain to see!

A-yup. But was it unexpected? Seems he has a penchant for busting
federal regulations as he deems fit.

Steve, K4YZ

4265. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 07:53:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113551583.334352.95570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105781

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> subject, that is, policy.
> >
> > So far, I have four comments:CCW N4AOX wrote:
> > <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1113436300.051396.280830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> > 1. What experience and expertise do seven or eight old men (ARRL
EC)
> > in
> > Newington have, to qualify to make policy for the conduct of
Amateur
> HF
> > operations? Let's see their operating logs or other evidence for
> the past
> > year where they have made 5 contacts per week avg. in the
> > CW/Data/AutoData/Voice intersection areas in the subject bands they
> are
> > including in their proposal. OK, let's make it easy, just show
> logs for
> > just SWLing those areas for 2 1/2 hrs per week. If they cannot
> produce,
> > then they should be excused from making policy on such far-reaching
> > implications.
>
> They've all been licensed and active in varying degrees for years.
> Specific, personal activity levels and mode experiences completely
> aside they are senior members of the League hierarchy who make policy
> recommendations and decisions. What matters and all that matters is
the
> policy proposals they come up with and whether or not thee, me and
the
> rest of the ~670k of us support their propsals. Or not.

Why is it that we spend lifetimes accumulating "knowledge and
experience" only to have someone else try and dismiss it when we no
longer look like someone in the centerfold of "GQ" or "Cosmo"...?!?!

> > 2. While it may appear to be "overregulating" as someone pointed
out,
> I take
> > the opposite view. K1ZZ says "Oh, bandwidth is too hard to
define,
> let
> > alone measure, so lets just "say" we are going to restrict AutoDATA

> to 3.5
> > KHz and Semi-Auto DATA to 500 Hz, but we won't require measurement
> for
> > verification.
>
> That's not what he said but the net result is the same and it's
> unacceptable. If the name of the game is mode separation by bandwidth
> then the bandwidths obviously have to be specified in detail and
> enforced. These "gentlemens agreement" and "self-regulation"
non-rules
> worked pretty well in days of yore but in today's world they're shaky
> at best and hopeless anachronisms at worst.

The advent of PSK31 et al is only further evidence that we need
appropriate subbands. Mike's experiences with W1AW are not unique, nor
is it any "evidence" of poor operating practice on the wider mode
station's part. Just like oil and water, there are some things you can
mix, others you can't, no matter how aggressively you stir the
contents.

> > First of all, does anyone have a copy of the current rules and
regs
> for
> > AutoDATA and Semi-AutoDATA operation on HF? I've been away a
while,
> so
> > someone please point me to that in the Part 97. Let's get that
> established
> > first.
>
> I don't know if it's carved in stone in Part 97 or if the
> "listen-before-transmitting" rule falls into the nebulous collection
of
> "good amateur operating practices" but it's out there and the
unmanned
> mailbox-type stations are all in violation of it today. The proposal
> recommends herding these modes into specific small slices of the
bands
> which is one piece of it I agree with.

And sometimes even when the control operator listens dilligently,
he's going to miss something and someone's going to get stepped
on...Not "maliciously", but it's going to happen.

I've had occassions where I listened, sent "..--.." before my CQ,
then started my call, only to get a terse "QSY QSY" after the fact.

Oh well.

> > For instance do those stations have to identify in CW or Voice(AM
or
> > SSB) at any time?

>
> They identify with whatever mode they're using. As long as the FCC
> monitoring facilities can decipher it.

I am still of the opinion that some "standard" form of ID should
be included for the benefit of those who DO get interfered with in
order that they may contact the "offending" station in order to work
out differences without FCC involvement.

> > 4. Lest I be labeled a Luddite, I think that a robust Amateur HF
> Data
> > Network across the nation, managed by dedicated Hams, could be the
> > center-piece for revitalizing or defending our reason to exist as a
> service
> > in the public interest.
>
> That would be nice but unless something very new pops up I don't
think
> it's a realistic expectation. The history of the rise & fall of the
NTS
> and the rise of the Internet and it's effects on ham radio is the
> reality today.
>
> My own beefs against this proposal are two-fold: Very seldom in the
> history of regulation has a thicker rulebook generated an improvement
> in the long run. The IRS code is a shining example. Secondly it is my
> opinion that modes should to be allowed to duke it out on an equal
> basis to sort out which survive and which can't stand the heat and
> disappear. With some common-sense limitations like we have today like
> the lower edges of the phone bands.
>
> If you roll back to the 1950s a whole plethora of HF phone modes
showed
> up on the bands and competed with the then-standard AM. We had NBFM,
> double-sideband suppressed carrier, single-sideband suppressed
carrier
> and variants on those. It was a helluva competitive joust spread over
> about ten years.
>
> In the end we basically got what we have today: SSB, a few AM
stations,
> and later some SSTV, etc. The general approach to allowing
unfettered
> competition worked back then and I don't see what has changed enough
to
> essentially toss the whole works and start over just because some
users
> of HF digital modes have shown up on the bands in the past few years.
>
> Seems to me that this proposal is another result of the League being
a

> whole lot more excited about HF digital modes and their impacts on
the
> bands than the rest of us are.

I'm 50/50 with you on this one, Brian...I am not in favor of
thickening up that rule book like you illustrated, however there should
be SOME lines drawn for protecting those very-narrow and ultra-narrow
modes.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4266. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 08:49:31 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1113554971.637782.260440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105782

Dave Heil wrote:
> Michael Coslo wrote:

> > Now that you mention it, W1AW's Morse practice sessions on 80
meters
> > wreck psk31 too. Most of the time, Morse and PSK coexist pretty
well,
> > but their signa has some nasty looking spurs on it that cover the
whole
> > segment.
>
> Do you know that for sure, Mike or is the W1AW sig so strong in your
> area that it overloads your transceiver? Have you actually switched
in
> an attenuator after making certain that your noise blanker is
switched
> off?

Side issue here but I agree with Dave, something 'snot right in State College. The W1AW bulletins and code practice sessions are transmitted by big-bucks squeaky-clean commercial Harris SW transmitters. Yank the PL-259 out of the back of yer xcvr and stuff the end of ten feet of wire into the xcvr coax receptacle and tune around W1AW again and see if you're still hearing spurs from W1AW. If yes your xcvr front end probably has "issues".

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

4267. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 09:03:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113555801.906748.320510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105783

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K□B" on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 7:18 am
>
> >"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:1113483024.481096.18220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> >> Didn't Hans put that well into the 70's for the Navy?
> > >
> > >No, Hans didn't.
> > >
> > >The last significant use of Morse in the Navy was in the late
> > 50's/early 60's.
> > >This usage was by small-boys, DD and smaller, on "fox" broadcasts
and
> > "A1"
> > >ship/shore circuits.
> > >
> > >Both uses ended with fleetwide deployment of Jason and Orestes
> > circuits in the
> > >early 60's. Morse training for general duty Navy RM's ceased at the
> > same time,
> > >and Morse operator became a specialized NEC (MOS to you grunts) held
> > by only a
> > >few sailors, mostly in SPECOM branches (intercept operators, etc.).
> > >
> > >The single operational Morse use which survived was the VLF SSBN
> > transmissions
> > >(two transmitters, one Cutler, ME and the other at Jim Creek, WA).
> > That was a
> > >simple slow-speed beaconing system which notified boomers to pop up
> > their
> > >satcomm antennas for the actual communications.
> > >
> > >73, de Hans, K0HB
> > >Master Chief Radioman, US Navy
>
> Thank you for factual corroboration, Hans.

What Hans "corroborated" was the FACT that Morse Code WAS in use by
the Armed Forces well after you said they weren't.

> My nephew-in-law was an electrician's mate on a shark,
> involved with reactor power plants, not radio...(SNIP)

Which only means that there is yet another member of your family
out there with as much practical experience in Amateur Radio as you
have...

> The original "Sigaba" on-line TTY crypto terminal was
> first installed in the 1940s...(SNIP)

And had nothing to do with Amateur Radio practice or policy.

> The "Sigaba" encryption looked like severely distorted
> TTY to any standard, non-crypto TTY terminal, totally
> unreadable...(SNIP)

A lot like most of your anti-Amateur Radio rants.

> By interviews and other correspondence, the U.S. Army
> maintained morsemanship as a requisite for Field Radio
> MOSs ("NEC" to swabbies?) up to about 1972.

Not "required" for "Field Radio MOS's" (yes, NEC's to "swabbies")
however STILL taught and STILL used in the 21st Century.

> There was no movie-style "behind enemy lines" use of morse
> in the 1990-1991 period...or afterwards.

Ohhhhh...Geeeeee....You mean there is SOMEthing our government
doesn't disclose to Leonard H. Anderson..?!?! Imagine that!

Steve, K4YZ

4268. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 09:13:30 UTC
From: CCW N4AOX <wynn9701@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <NuL7e.63172$f%4.22128@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 105784

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:425EA7E6.1E5919A8@earthlink.net...
>
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>> > 1. What experience and expertise do seven or eight old men (ARRL EC) in
>> > Newington have, to qualify to make policy for the conduct of Amateur HF
>> > operations?
>>
>> Whoops! Clay, I like the idea of a non-name calling thread, so we
>> should probably drop the "old men" pejorative.
>
> You beat me to it, Mike.

****
My profound apologies to those I may have offended by the "old men" term.
This term Old Men, Old Man, or OM used to convey respect or endearment of
fellow operators back in the day. I will add OM to my PC filters.
****

>> > 2. While it may appear to be "overregulating" as someone pointed out, I
>> > take
>> > the opposite view. K1ZZ says "Oh, bandwidth is too hard to define,
>> > let
>> > alone measure, so lets just "say" we are going to restrict AutoDATA to
>> > 3.5
>> > KHz and Semi-Auto DATA to 500 Hz, but we won't require measurement for
>> > verification.
>>
>> It would seem to me that we might find a space for these modes
>> via the
>> bandplan, same as we have in the past.
>
> I tend to agree.
>
>> I think that making unenforceable rules such as "saying things"
>> is the
>> breeding ground of disrespect.

****
My ARRL Handbook is from the last century, but nonetheless states:
"According to FCC Rules, occupied bandwidth is: The frequency bandwidth such
that, below its lower and above its upper frequency limits, the mean powers
radiated are each equal to 0.5 percent (-23dB) of the total mean power
radiated by a given emission". ..."Occupied bandwidth...can be measured on

a spectrum analyzer,...". "Occupied bandwidth can also be calculated..."
It is interesting to note that Part 97.3 (a) (8) uses 0.25 percent or
(-26dB). Maybe this definition needs to be refined. But it is there now.
****

>>
>> > First of all, does anyone have a copy of the current rules and regs
>> > for
>> > AutoDATA and Semi-AutoDATA operation on HF? I've been away a while,
>> > so
>> > someone please point me to that in the Part 97. Let's get that
>> > established
>> > first. For instance do those stations have to identify in CW or
>> > Voice(AM or
>> > SSB) at any time? So how about some clear rules and regs for conduct
>> > of the
>> > Auto and Semi-Auto stations.
>>
>> dunno...
>
> Part 97 is available for free online.

****
After laboring through several passages of the June 2004 version of Part 97,
it is clear that the entire reg. needs a major overhaul. I think the ARRL
EC proposal should state the Part 97 text "before" and "after" to
demonstrate their changes. Otherwise it is not clear at all what is
intended. I do not see Semi Auto DATA defined anywhere in the Part 97
text, but K1ZZ refers to this in his write-up. It would appear that the
entire "Definition" section needs some rework to include these "new" data
terms. Part 97.221 (c) (2) states that the Auto's bandwidth is to be
limited to 500 Hz. K1ZZ, in the full write-up over on arrl.org turns this
around and states that this paragraph doesn't apply to Auto DATA, but only
applies to Semi Auto DATA. Does this need to be changed to accomodate the
ARRL EC plan? 97.305, 307, and 309 also need some revision to remove the
obfuscation, ambiguities, and circuitous logic.
****

> Not agreed. A digital signal with a nominal bandwidth of 3200 Hz isn't
> going to bother anyone noticeably more than a digital signal of 3000 Hz.
>
>
> We don't operate on assigned discrete channels. A robot station which
> fires up directly on top of you will QRM you the same as one which is
> 200 Hz too wide.
>
> The plan moves automatically controlled stations to a specific area. If
> I want to ragchew, I'll stay outside those segments.

****
The ARRL EC plan only tweaks the existing specific areas for Auto Data

stations on HF. They are currently defined in 97.221 (b). Sorry, I did not realize that before I read the June 2004 version. It appears the major change for the Autos is to allow expansion of occupied bandwidth 7 fold.
****
--Clay
N4AOX

4269. Lennie Utters More "I Obviously Don't Know the Truth" Stuff Again....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 09:38:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113557922.978107.70020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105785

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 3:42 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed,Apr 13 2005 4:28 am
> >>
>
> [etc., etc., etc...]

> >Granted, you didn't see any "morse code modes" in use at
> >ADA. But to say there was none used at all, anywhere in the
> >US military is a different thing.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Changing the subject.

No he didn't. Just pointed out that YOU tried to change the
parameters to meet your rants again...Didn't work. And you didn't see
any "morse code modes" at ADA...Unless, of course, you're lying to us
again....

> Long-distance point-to-point communications bore
> the brunt of ALL military branchs' message traffic
> to an amount of GREATER than a million messages a
> month.
>
> >What's interesting is that you have to qualify the statement
> >as "long-distance point-to-point communications" - because
> >Morse Code was then still being used *extensively* by the US
> >Navy, by the maritime radio services, by aircraft and by many
> >other radio services such as press services.
>
> "Extensively?!?" HOW DO YOU KNOW? :-)
>
> Sweetums, I WAS PART OF IT.

Liar.

By your own documentation you were nothing more than a radio
mechanic. You never held "radio operator", "message center", or other
similar OPERATOR MOS's.

> Army station ADA, as assigned to Far East....(SNIP)

Same rant. Still irrelevent.

> Sweetums, that "extensively" is just your wishful
> thinking. Of course there was SOME morse being used

> by all branches in 1953. But, HOW MUCH? YOU DON'T
> KNOW! YOU WERE NEVER IN. YOU NEVER DID IT FOR THE
> MILITARY.

He is as likely to know as you are, Lennie. He has the same
resources at his disposal to "research" as you do.

> >And it was on fixed, predetermined frequencies, using equipment
> >most individuals could not afford to buy.
>
> Tsk, that's called PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATIONS, sweetums.
> When one is IN the Cold War and trying NOT to let it
> develop into a nuclear confrontation, one uses absolutely
> the BEST stuff to "get the message through."

OH WOW!

Now Lennie prevented World War Three! ! ! !

> >And it was *not* the kind of communications that make up the vast
> >majority of amateur radio communications.
>
> Don't misdirect, sweetums.
>
> YOU started this thread with an emotional message about
> "morse code in the window" at a CANADIAN MILITARY
> museum. Try to stay within a few light-years of the
> subject.

He's a lot closer than you are, Your Lyinghsip.

> >At some point, anyway. The US Navy was still using Morse Code long
> >after the beginning of the 1950s.
>
> HOW DO YOU KNOW? YOU DIDN'T SERVE.

So, by YOUR logic, a guy who spent 2 years on KP knows more about
Morse Code usage in the Armed Forces than Jim Miccolis!

> >So was the Coast Guard.
>
> HOW DO YOU KNOW? YOU DIDN'T SERVE.

So, by YOUR logic, a guy who spent 2 years on KP knows more about
Morse Code usage in the Armed Forces than Jim Miccolis!

The REAL QUESTION, Lennie, is HOW DO >>YOU<< know...?!?! You were
NEVER a military radio operator, and yet you "served" in the Army.

> OH! OH! ERROR! MISTAKE!
>
> First of all, your buddie and pal, Stevie he say
> that "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" Tsk. MARS' first
> letter in that acronym means MILITARY.

And the SECOND letter is AFFILIATE...As in affiliated with the
Amateur Radio Service...From which this MILITARY program draws it's
operators.

And Lennie...what MARS calls have YOU held?

I was NNN0VVU from 1977 to 1982. I was a guest op briefly for
NNN0MOQ in 1980, then CHOP of NNN0MOF in 1981 and ANCOIC of the Okinawa
Island-Wide MARS Program in that same time frame.

I was also AFA1OQ from 1983 to 1987. I joined ARMY MARS briefly
in 1999 just before my daughter passed...AAT4SA.

> Secondly, check with a REAL MARS civilian volunteer.
> You will find out that the military GIVES them radio
> goodies. No need to "buy."

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! !! ! ! ! !

MARS allows participants who have ALREADY met certain
PARTICIPATION goals to draw equiment from surplus stock!

In other words, you operate YOUR gear on MARS assignments BEFORE
you EVER get to "go shopping"... ! ! ! !

And MARS "issues" of surplus radios dwindled to less than a
trickle YEARS AGO! ! ! !

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

> Military already bought
> the stuff and used it. Be NICE to MARS folks,
> Jimmie, maybe they'll GIVE you an AN/FRC-93 for
> nothing; it's a Collins KWM2 Commercial transceiver
> with a military nameplate.

And most likely they never will. Nor are they about to issue any
other gear to anyone who hasn't already established dedicated
participation to warrant ANY "equipment issue".

Big snip of usual divergant rhetoric...

> >> For over half a century (actually, since before WW2)
> >> the brunt of messaging in the military has been done
> >> by modes OTHER than morse code.
> >
> >Even if true, (it's not) so what?
>
> HOW DO YOU KNOW? YOU'VE NEVER SERVED IN THE MILITARY.

What does serving in the Armed Forces have to do with it, Lennie?

YOU constantly insist that since EVERYTHING you could possibly
want to know about "radios" , military or otherwise, is on the net,
it's not necessary for you to be a licensed Amateur to know about
Amateur policy issues.

However your FREQUENT errors, including the ones above vis-a-vis
MARS is GLARING PROOF that your LACK OF PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE make you
ill-prepared, yea incompetent, to make INFORMED opinions on the
matter...

Yet you still bombard this NG with tons of rants pontificating on
matters you are't qualified to discuss.

> >You're argument says that since most US Navy ships stopped relying
on
> >the wind for propulsion long ago, nobody should own a sailboat
today,
> >even for "a hobby pursuit, a recreation, something done on free time
> >for enjoyment."
>
> Sweetums, this newsgroup is NOT about BOATING.

It's "NOT" about a LOT of things that YOU feel free to discuss at
length when the mood strikes you. But you do it anyway.

Anderson, you keep delivering corroborating evidence to my claims
of your ignorance and incompetence in Amateur Radio (and MARS) issues,
and for that I thank you!

What a PUTZ!

Steve, K4YZ

4270. Re: Open Letter to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 10:07:23 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113559643.247424.187310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105786

>> K1MAN would never make that argument. K1MAN is a clueful man.

Who obviously cannot grasp even the simplest HTML/Web authoring
chores...

4271. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 11:29:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113564565.360935.197850@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105787

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 3:42 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed,Apr 13 2005 4:28 am
>
> >Granted, you didn't see any "morse code modes" in use at
> >ADA. But to say there was none used at all, anywhere in the
> >US military is a different thing.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Changing the subject.

Where?
>
> Long-distance point-to-point communications bore
> the brunt of ALL military branchs' message traffic
> to an amount of GREATER than a million messages a
> month.

How do you know, Len?

Were you in the Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard too?

And even if true - so what?
>
> >What's interesting is that you have to qualify the statement
> >as "long-distance point-to-point communications" - because
> >Morse Code was then still being used *extensively* by the US
> >Navy, by the maritime radio services, by aircraft and by many
> >other radio services such as press services.
>
> "Extensively?!?" HOW DO YOU KNOW? :-)

Same way you know about most things. Others told me, I read source
material, etc.
>
> Sweetums, I WAS PART OF IT. :-)

Part. But not all. Your personal experience was just a small part of
the big picture.

> Army station ADA, as
> assigned to Far East Command Headquarters, carried not
> only Army traffic, but some USN traffic, some USAF
> traffic, some Press Services, even some Red Cross
> message traffic. ALL on TTY.

Some of that traffic. Not all of it. So you can't really
speak for what was done through other channels other than by
what you've read and been told - same as me.

> Not a bit of morse
> code. And ADA was just the third largest station in
> ACAN (Army Commmand and Administrative Network). That
> "little" station (36 transmitters, all over 1 KW and
> on 24/7) relayed 220 thousand messages a month (1955).
> WAR (Washington Army Radio) handled over a million a
> month then.

But you weren't at those places, were you?
>
> Sweetums, that "extensively" is just your wishful
> thinking. Of course there was SOME morse being used
> by all branches in 1953.

That's all I'm saying.

> But, HOW MUCH?

A lot. Enough that all branches were training radio operators to use
the mode.

> YOU DON'T
> KNOW!

You don't know, either, Len. You weren't there, were Morse was being
used.

> YOU WERE NEVER IN.

So what? You were never a radio amateur, but you claim to know
all about what hams do and what amateur radio is all about.

> YOU NEVER DID IT FOR THE MILITARY.

You mean operate Morse Code? Neither did you!

> >Your tunnel vision of "long-distance point-to-point
> >communications" by
> >the US military is about as relevant as the
> >fact that Morse Code wasn't in use on the AM broadcast band in
> >the 1930s.
>
> Tsk. A reducto ad absurdum. You must be getting
> rattled, sweetums.

Reductio ad absurdum is a valid argument tool. I'm not rattled at all,
Len. You're the one shouting and carrying on in a very immature way. So
typical, so predictable.

> You are too young to have listened to Walter Winchell's
> "news broadcasts" on radio. He "used morse code" at
> every opening...apparently for some weird "authenticity"

> since ol' Walt was getting on towards Alzheimers at the
> time.

I've heard the recordings. What he used was just a prop.

> >And it was on fixed, predetermined frequencies, using equipment
> >most individuals could not afford to buy.
>
> Tsk, that's called PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATIONS, sweetums.
> When one is IN the Cold War and trying NOT to let it
> develop into a nuclear confrontation, one uses absolutely
> the BEST stuff to "get the message through."

You didn't have to pay for it yourself, though.

> I'm sure the Canadian military did the same within their
> budget constraints.
>
> You want the U.S. military to act like amateurs? :-)

No. But you seem to want amateurs to act like the US military.
>
> Some of us think that POLICY of the U.S. government
> is "done by amateurs" but that's a whole other story.

OK, Len. Who did you vote for in the US presidential elections
of 2000 and 2004? You've spoken of President Bush's "coronation
day" - so I think you voted for one of the other guys.
>
> >And it was *not* the kind of communications that make up the
> >vast
> >majority of amateur radio communications.
>
> Don't misdirect, sweetums.

Not a misdirection, Len. A simple fact.

> >At some point, anyway. The US Navy was still using Morse Code >
>long
> >after the beginning of the 1950s.
>
> HOW DO YOU KNOW?

Same way you do, Len. From others.

> YOU DIDN'T SERVE.

You were never in the Navy.
>
> >So was the Coast Guard.
>
> HOW DO YOU KNOW?

Same way you do, Len. From others.

> YOU DIDN'T SERVE.
>
You were never in the Coast Guard. But you have made fun of the Coast
Guard service of a CG radio operator.

> >> That SHOULD have some meaning to rational
> >> persons insofar as the efficacy of morse code for
> >> communications...
> >
> >There you go, Len, assuming your conclusion.
> >
> >What you're saying is that because the Army didn't use it,
> >nobody should use it.
>
> For the VAST MAJORITY of message traffic in the U.S.
> military - ALL BRANCHES - morse code mode was NOT
> used "extensively."

How do you know? You didn't serve in those other branches. You weren't
there.
>
> What the heck, Jimmie Noserve, you weren't IN any
> military, not even in Canada. Why are you all upset?

I'm not upset, Len. You're the one shouting and carrying on like a
jackass all over the place, over the mention of the use of Morse code.
>
> >Here's a hint: Ham radio isn't the US Army. When Uncle Sam
> >is willing to buy radios for all hams, then maybe you'll
> >have a point.
>
> OH! OH! ERROR! MISTAKE!

Yes, you do make plenty of those.

> First of all, your buddie and pal, Stevie he say
> that "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio!" Tsk. MARS' first
> letter in that acronym means MILITARY.

Len - I'm not Steve.

> Secondly, check with a REAL MARS civilian volunteer.
> You will find out that the military GIVES them radio
> goodies. No need to "buy." Military already bought
> the stuff and used it.

So *some* hams get the castoffs from the military. Not *all* hams, and
not complete stations.

> Be NICE to MARS folks,
> Jimmie, maybe they'll GIVE you an AN/FRC-93 for
> nothing; it's a Collins KWM2 Commercial transceiver
> with a military nameplate.

Don't want one. And it's a KWM-2A, with the extra crystal positions.
>
> >> too prone to human errors by its operators,
> >
> >All communications modes are prone to operator error. The
> >person typing on a teleprinter can make a mistake, too.
>
> HOW DO YOU KNOW?

Been there, done that.

> Don't see any TTY in that picture of
> YOUR ham shack! :-)

I've done RTTY, Len. At an amateur radio station.

> >Nope. You just don't like the mode.
>
> Sweetums, I just don't LIKE the TEST for it. :-)

No, you just don't like the mode. Your behavior proves it.

4272. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 12:06:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113566764.239501.181250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105788

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> > Sweetums, I WAS PART OF IT. :-)
>
> Part. But not all. Your personal experience was just a small part of
> the big picture.

Lennie was squat. He was a radio mechanic at a rear-area radio
station. His knowledge of the "big picture" was "tunnel vision", at
best when he was "in", and would be no more today if not for the
research resources of the Internet today.

> > Army station ADA, as
> > assigned to Far East Command Headquarters, carried not
> > only Army traffic, but some USN traffic, some USAF
> > traffic, some Press Services, even some Red Cross
> > message traffic. ALL on TTY.
>
> *Some* of that traffic. Not all of it. So you can't really
> speak for what was done through other channels other than by
> what you've read and been told - same as me.
>
> > Not a bit of morse
> > code. And ADA was just the third largest station in
> > ACAN (Army Commmand and Administrative Network). That
> > "little" station (36 transmitters, all over 1 KW and
> > on 24/7) relayed 220 thousand messages a month (1955).
> > WAR (Washington Army Radio) handled over a million a
> > month then.
>
> But you weren't at those places, were you?

Of course not.

But he SERVED! By simple virtue of having gone through boot camp,
he was given all military knowledge of all services in the pre/post
Colonial days and ever since...

> > Tsk, that's called PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATIONS, sweetums.
> > When one is IN the Cold War and trying NOT to let it
> > develop into a nuclear confrontation, one uses absolutely
> > the BEST stuff to "get the message through."
>
> You didn't have to pay for it yourself, though.

But he SERVED! Again, by simple virtue of having gone to boot
camp, Lennie was given all knowledge of all things "military". He was
also made sole owner, heir and heir apparent to all materiel past,
present and future. Nothing has ever happened in the Armed Forces that
didn't get his explicit consent or approval first.

> > I'm sure the Canadian military did the same within their
> > budget constraints.
> >
> > You want the U.S. military to act like amateurs?
>
> No. But you seem to want amateurs to act like the US military.

But of course! That's the way Lennie learned how to do it, and by
golly, that IS how everyone else is going to do it...

Wait...where have I heard THAT before..?!?!

OH YEAH! Lennie accusing US of making that "demand" of
prospective Amateurs!

> > Some of us think that POLICY of the U.S. government
> > is "done by amateurs" but that's a whole other story.
>
> OK, Len. Who did you vote for in the US presidential elections
> of 2000 and 2004? You've spoken of President Bush's "coronation
> day" - so I think you voted for one of the other guys.
> >
> > >And it was *not* the kind of communications that make up the
> > >vast
> > >majority of amateur radio communications.
> >
> > Don't misdirect, sweetums.
>
> Not a misdirection, Len. A simple fact.

"Simple facts" are not Lennie's forte.

> > What the heck, Jimmie Noserve, you weren't IN any
> > military, not even in Canada. Why are you all upset?
>
> I'm not upset, Len. You're the one shouting and carrying on like a
> jack### all over the place, over the mention of the use of Morse
code.

Lennie brags about "serving", which I would assume to mean that he
adamandtly supported and defended the Constitution of the United
States...

....the SAME Constitution that doesn't REQUIRE military service.

Yet he's yelling and hollering about people NOT "serving"...

What's this putz's problem, Jim? Guess he only supports those
parts that suit him...

> > Secondly, check with a REAL MARS civilian volunteer.
> > You will find out that the military GIVES them radio
> > goodies. No need to "buy." Military already bought
> > the stuff and used it.
>
> So *some* hams get the castoffs from the military. Not *all* hams,
and
> not complete stations.

If MARS just "gave" stuff to Hams, then the MARS rolls would be a
lot more robust than they are today, what with folks getting in line
for free radios and all.....

> > Sweetums, I just don't LIKE the TEST for it.
>
> No, you just don't like the mode. Your behavior proves it.

Actually, it was a diversional lie...to cover up his greater
hatred and disdain for all things "Amateur". Leonard H. Anderson
"likes" nothing but himself.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4273. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 12:35:28 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d3ocef$ui6$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 105789

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>CCW N4AOX wrote:
>>
>>><kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1113436300.051396.280830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Acting on the premise that the amateur bands must flexibly and
>>>>comfortably accommodate present and future operating modes and
>>>>technologies over the long haul, the ARRL Executive Committee has
>>>>reached consensus on recommendations to the ARRL Board of Directors for
>>>>a regulation-by-bandwidth proposal. Meeting April 9 in Denver, the
>>>>panel adopted recommendations that will form the basis of a draft ARRL
>>>>petition to the FCC seeking to govern the usage of amateur spectrum by
>>>>emission bandwidth rather than by mode. The proposals remain only EC
>>>>recommendations at this point . . . "
>>>>
>>>>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/04/13/1/?nc=1
>>>>
>>>>- - - - - - -
>>>>
>>>>This nonsense needs to be killed FAST and killed NOW. It represents
>>>>gross overregulation. It's HQ trying to fix a system which isn't
>>>>broken. Again. It's time to rise up against it en masse.
>>>>
>>>>w3rv
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I would like to see a comprehensive discussion of this proposed rule making
>>>without it degenerating into name calling. This could revitalize a
>>>newsgroup that is overdue for getting back on subject, that is, policy.
>>>
>>>So far, I have four comments:
>>>
>>>1. What experience and expertise do seven or eight old men (ARRL EC) in
>>>Newington have, to qualify to make policy for the conduct of Amateur HF
>>>operations?
>>
>> Whoops! Clay, I like the idea of a non-name calling thread, so we
>>should probably drop the "old men" pejorative.

>
>
> You beat me to it, Mike.
>
>
>>> Let's see their operating logs or other evidence for the past
>>>year where they have made 5 contacts per week avg. in the
>>>CW/Data/AutoData/Voice intersection areas in the subject bands they are
>>>including in their proposal. OK, let's make it easy, just show logs for
>>>just SWLing those areas for 2 1/2 hrs per week. If they cannot produce,
>>>then they should be excused from making policy on such far-reaching
>>>implications.
>
>
> I happen to know a number of them personally and have had radio contact
> with a number of others in numerous on-air activities. Some could be
> less active.
>
>
>>>2. While it may appear to be "overregulating" as someone pointed out, I take
>>>the opposite view. K1ZZ says "Oh, bandwidth is too hard to define, let
>>>alone measure, so lets just "say" we are going to restrict AutoDATA to 3.5
>>>KHz and Semi-Auto DATA to 500 Hz, but we won't require measurement for
>>>verification.
>>
>> It would seem to me that we might find a space for these modes via the
>>bandplan, same as we have in the past.
>
>
> I tend to agree.
>
>
>> I think that making unenforceable rules such as "saying things" is the
>>breeding ground of disrespect.
>>
>>
>>> First of all, does anyone have a copy of the current rules and regs for
>>>AutoDATA and Semi-AutoDATA operation on HF? I've been away a while, so
>>>someone please point me to that in the Part 97. Let's get that established
>>>first. For instance do those stations have to identify in CW or Voice(AM or
>>>SSB) at any time? So how about some clear rules and regs for conduct of the
>>>Auto and Semi-Auto stations.
>>
>>dunno...
>
>
> Part 97 is available for free online.
>
>
>>> Second, if they are going to refarm on "bandwidth", should not

>>>"bandwidth" be redefined to a quantifiable measure? K1ZZ claims that
>>>bandwidth is not necessary to measure, that it will be self-regulating.
>>>Yeah, sure wink, wink!
>>
>>Agreed. How on earth would someone be in violation of something not
>>defined? No definition, no rules breaking.
>
>
> Not agreed. A digital signal with a nominal bandwidth of 3200 Hz isn't
> going to bother anyone noticeably more than a digital signal of 3000 Hz.

>
>> This works on voice HF where you can tune over to
>>
>>>the offending frequency and say "QLF", "QSY or QRT". Have you ever tried to
>>>tell an unidentifiable robot station that it is running too broad a signal?
>>>It is almost as difficult as telling K1MAN to QSY.;-)
>>
>> Yup!
>
>
> We don't operate on assigned discrete channels. A robot station which
> fires up directly on top of you will QRM you the same as one which is
> 200 Hz too wide.

True, although I thought that the main point is that we aren't going to
tell the robot station anything, braod signal or not.

>
>>>Everyone knows that
>>>there is always great pressure to open up the bandwidth and increase
>>>throughput on DATA. Soon you will soon find the HF AutoDATA's going to 16
>>>KHz and Semi-AutoDATA's going to 3.5 KHz. If you ever do catch up with the
>>>offender their retort would be: "But, hey, bandwidth is ill-defined, and I
>>>don't have to measure it, so sue me!"
>>>
>>>3. Am I prejudiced? Yes! My experience, living with AutoDATA's operating
>>>in the 7.100 to 7.105 MHz for a few years was this: While I am trying to
>>>work new novices and give them a new contact, in the only part of the novice
>>>band not savaged by Foreign Broadcast, while gearing down to 5-10WPM, these
>>>Auto Cowboys would fire up on our QSO. If you called CQ on "their"
>>>frequency, they would turn you in to the FCC.
>
>
> The plan moves automatically controlled stations to a specific area. If
> I want to ragchew, I'll stay outside those segments.
>
>
>> Same on PSK31. I've seen those puppies fire up right over top of us,
>>and wreck the whole segment. Since the nature of PSK31 is such that
>>QSY'ing isn't as convenient as for SSB or CW, we just shut down or

>>change bands.
>>
>>
>>> Their idea of "sharing" in
>>>the HF band was about the same as K1MAN's or W1AW's idea of sharing their
>>>bulletin frequencies.
>>
>> Now that you mention it, W1AW's Morse practice sessions on 80 meters
>>wreck psk31 too. Most of the time, Morse and PSK coexist pretty well,
>>but their signa has some nasty looking spurs on it that cover the whole
>>segment.
>
>
> Do you know that for sure, Mike or is the W1AW sig so strong in your
> area that it overloads your transceiver? Have you actually switched in
> an attenuator after making certain that your noise blanker is switched
> off?

It is a strong signal, but the waterfall display shows spikes that
extend across the whole display. They cut right through any psk signals,
and tend to garble the transmissions. PSK31 is a nice mode, but 10 to 25
watts has a tough time competing with a big and possibly dirty signal.

The NB is off, and although I haven't put in attenuation, the signal
isn't so strong that it desenses the reciever. I'll try that.

>>>So, in effect, is the ARRL EC campaigning to give the
>>>Auto Cowboys their exclusive non-sharable subbands throughout the HF
>>>spectrum? Take a look at K1ZZ's chart and add up the total AutoDATA
>>>bandwidth across the HF spectrum. Now will we have the Semi-AutoDATA
>>>operations spreading out from there? Hmmmmm!
>
>
> You'll note that none of this is currently etched in stone. If you have
> questions or comments, now is the time to voice them to the League. If
> you don't, you'll have an opportunity to make your views known to the
> FCC.
>
>
>>>4. Lest I be labeled a Luddite, I think that a robust Amateur HF Data
>>>Network across the nation, managed by dedicated Hams, could be the
>>>center-piece for revitalizing or defending our reason to exist as a service
>>>in the public interest. However, such operations as SemiAuto and AutoDATA
>>>need to be regulated in proportion to their inherent liability to wreak
>>>havoc and do damage to current operations if unchecked.
>>
>> Well put.
>
>
> Agreed.
>

> Dave K8MN

- Mike KB3EIA -

4274. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 12:51:33 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d3odclnmc1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 105790

kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>> Now that you mention it, W1AW's Morse practice sessions on 80
>
> meters
>
>>>wreck psk31 too. Most of the time, Morse and PSK coexist pretty
>
> well,
>
>>>but their signa has some nasty looking spurs on it that cover the
>
> whole
>
>>>segment.
>>
>>Do you know that for sure, Mike or is the W1AW sig so strong in your
>>area that it overloads your transceiver? Have you actually switched
>
> in
>
>>an attenuator after making certain that your noise blanker is
>
> switched
>
>>off?
>
>
> Side issue here but I agree with Dave, something 'snot right in State
> College.

Or the other areas. When W1AW fires up, we all go away.

The W1AW bulletins and code practice sessions are transmitted
> by big-bucks squeaky-clean commercial Harris SW transmitters.

Okay, so it isn't possible for W1AW's signal to have a problem. Of
course there is the possibility that we there are all lids. Many people
there note the problem. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

4275. Re: Open Letter to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 13:11:49 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@nospamdalekstenna.com>
Message-ID: <d3oegr$80p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105791

"ham sandwich" <hamsandwich@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1113570141.273196.177370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>> K1MAN obviously cannot grasp even the simplest HTML/Web authoring
>> chores...
>
> Not only can K1MAN not master HTML above a 6th grade level, K1MAN is a
> perennial LOSER in the courtroom. To the guy who said it would be funny
> if K1MAN sued for defamation, take note. K1MAN can't sue his way out of
> a paper bag! And, you can't sue someone who's telling the truth,
> because the TRUTH is not defamation. Actually it would be funny if
> K1MAN sued, because during discovery he would be forced to list ALL of
> his failed lawsuits and ALL of his failed business dealings which would
> then be published for all to see right here!
>
> Did K1MAN sue a little girls camp and lose? YES.
>
> Did K1MAN sue the FCC to retain the old AM power limit? Yes.
>
> Did he lose the case? Yes.
>
> Did K1MAN sue the FCC for 10 million dollars? Yes.
>
> Did he lose the case? Yes.
>
> Did K1MAN sue employees of QST for chastising him about overcharging
> and illegally spending others deposits for radio repair? Yes.
>
> Was K1MAN fired from 95.3 FM in Augusta, Maine because management said
> he threatened fellow employees and his supervisor? Yes.
>
> Did the lawyer for his employer advise his clients in writing to stay
> away from the unemployment hearing because he feared harm would come to
> them at the hands of K1MAN? Yes.
>
> Did K1MAN sue the lawyer who wrote this? Yes.
>
> Did K1MAN lose the case? Yes.
>
> Did the Manchester Newsletter report that K1MAN is a pedophile? Yes.
>
> Did K1MAN sue the ham he thought was responsible and lose the case?
> Yes.

>
> Did Charlie Norris accuse K1MAN of overcharging him for radio repair?
> Yes.
>
> Is K1MAN currently under FCC investigation? Yes.
>
> Was K1MAN delisted by QRZ? Yes.
>
> Was it a decision applauded by over 1,000 hams? Yes.
>
> Is it fair to say K1MAN is a big loser in the courtroom? Yes.
>
> K1MAN is a loser. He was fired from his job, he regularly qrms 80 meter
> QSOs, and he qrmed a bunch of boy scouts during the last Jamboree on
> the Air. K1MAN has been called a scumbag by countless respectable hams.
> The list could go on and on.
>
> And now you know, the REST of the story.
>

Well you sound very confident. Why waste your time here in a
petty bickering forum? Oh, BTW, what law school did you
graduate from?

73,

Lloyd

4276. Re: Open Letter to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 13:41:58 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <phil@sanrulcounltr.net>
Message-ID: <d3og9bdmj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105792

"ham sandwich" <hamsandwich@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1113570141.273196.177370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>> K1MAN obviously cannot grasp even the simplest HTML/Web authoring
>> chores...
>
> Not only can K1MAN not master HTML above a 6th grade level, K1MAN is a
> perennial LOSER in the courtroom. To the guy who said it would be funny
> if K1MAN sued for defamation, take note. K1MAN can't sue his way out of
> a paper bag! And, you can't sue someone who's telling the truth,
> because the TRUTH is not defamation. Actually it would be funny if
> K1MAN sued, because during discovery he would be forced to list ALL of
> his failed lawsuits and ALL of his failed business dealings which would
> then be published for all to see right here!
>
> Did K1MAN sue a little girls camp and lose? YES.
>
> Did K1MAN sue the FCC to retain the old AM power limit? Yes.
>
> Did he lose the case? Yes.
>
> Did K1MAN sue the FCC for 10 million dollars? Yes.
>
> Did he lose the case? Yes.
>
> Did K1MAN sue employees of QST for chastising him about overcharging
> and illegally spending others deposits for radio repair? Yes.
>
> Was K1MAN fired from 95.3 FM in Augusta, Maine because management said
> he threatened fellow employees and his supervisor? Yes.
>
> Did the lawyer for his employer advise his clients in writing to stay
> away from the unemployment hearing because he feared harm would come to
> them at the hands of K1MAN? Yes.
>
> Did K1MAN sue the lawyer who wrote this? Yes.
>
> Did K1MAN lose the case? Yes.
>
> Did the Manchester Newsletter report that K1MAN is a pedophile? Yes.
>
> Did K1MAN sue the ham he thought was responsible and lose the case?
> Yes.

> Did Charlie Norris accuse K1MAN of overcharging him for radio repair?
> Yes.
>
> Is K1MAN currently under FCC investigation? Yes.
>
> Was K1MAN delisted by QRZ? Yes.
>
> Was it a decision applauded by over 1,000 hams? Yes.
>
> Is it fair to say K1MAN is a big loser in the courtroom? Yes.
>
> K1MAN is a loser. He was fired from his job, he regularly qrms 80 meter
> QSOs, and he qrmed a bunch of boy scouts during the last Jamboree on
> the Air. K1MAN has been called a scumbag by countless respectable hams.
> The list could go on and on.
>
> And now you know, the REST of the story.
>

Can you supply a link to the article in The Manchester Newsletter
or at least a snail mail address where one could write for a copy of
the article?

Shalom,

Rabbi Phil
Chief Elder, Supreme Ruling San Hedrin Council

4277. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 14:08:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <425FCADB.36117E15@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105793

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Michael Coslo wrote:
>
> > > Now that you mention it, W1AW's Morse practice sessions on 80
> meters
> > > wreck psk31 too. Most of the time, Morse and PSK coexist pretty
> well,
> > > but their signa has some nasty looking spurs on it that cover the
> whole
> > > segment.
> >
> > Do you know that for sure, Mike or is the W1AW sig so strong in your
> > area that it overloads your transceiver? Have you actually switched
> in
> > an attenuator after making certain that your noise blanker is
> switched
> > off?
>
> Side issue here but I agree with Dave, something 'snot right in State
> College. The W1AW bulletins and code practice sessions are transmitted
> by big-bucks squeaky-clean commercial Harris SW transmitters. Yank the
> PL-259 out of the back of yer xcvr and stuff the end of ten feet of
> wire into the xcvr coax receptacle and tune around W1AW again and see
> if you're still hearing spurs from W1AW. If yes your xcvr front end
> probably has "issues".

One of the things I forgot about in responding to Mike is that there are
some newer rigs in which the noise blanker is never really out of
circuit despite the position of its on/off switch. Your idea of the
short piece of wire would certainly help, especially if Mike has one of
those rigs.
I've never detected spurs on W1AW's sigs on any band.

Dave K8MN

4278. Re: Lennie Utters More "I Obviously Don't Know the Truth" Stuff Again....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 14:18:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <425FCD26.D92FAB41@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105794

K4YZ wrote:

> > Secondly, check with a REAL MARS civilian volunteer.
> > You will find out that the military GIVES them radio
> > goodies. No need to "buy."
>
> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! !! ! ! ! !
>
> MARS allows participants who have ALREADY met certain
> PARTICIPATION goals to draw equiment from surplus stock!

As of my most recent participation in the MARS program, that equipment
was loaned, not given. It was issued on a hand receipt.

> In other words, you operate YOUR gear on MARS assignments BEFORE
> you EVER get to "go shopping"... ! ! ! !

> And MARS "issues" of surplus radios dwindled to less than a
> trickle YEARS AGO! ! ! !

I knew some fellow in the Shreveport/Bossier City, Louisiana area who
were already long time MARS participants in the late 1960's. Some of
them had items like R-390 receivers, VHF FM gear and the like. None of
them had any transmitting equipment provided by MARS. There was not
much in the way of equipment available from AF MARS at that time.
Nobody with with only a year or two of participation was eligible to
receive any equipment at all. I did snag some lengths of really, really
old coaxial cable. My AF MARS activities were done with equipment I
bought and paid for myself.

I'll chalk this up as something else which Leonard Anderson knows little
about.

Dave K8MN

4279. Re: Open Letter to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 17:36:03 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <phil@sanrulcounltr.net>
Message-ID: <d3ou07$q91$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105795

"ham sandwich" <hamsandwich@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1113582682.083102.163980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Rabbi Phil wrote:
>> "ham sandwich" <hamsandwich@myway.com> wrote in message
>> news:1113570141.273196.177370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> >> K1MAN obviously cannot grasp even the simplest HTML/Web authoring
>> >> chores...
>> >
>> > Not only can K1MAN not master HTML above a 6th grade level, K1MAN
> is a
>> > perennial LOSER in the courtroom. To the guy who said it would be
> funny
>> > if K1MAN sued for defamation, take note. K1MAN can't sue his way
> out of
>> > a paper bag! And, you can't sue someone who's telling the truth,
>> > because the TRUTH is not defamation. Actually it would be funny if
>> > K1MAN sued, because during discovery he would be forced to list ALL
> of
>> > his failed lawsuits and ALL of his failed business dealings which
> would
>> > then be published for all to see right here!
>> >
>> > Did K1MAN sue a little girls camp and lose? YES.
>> >
>> > Did K1MAN sue the FCC to retain the old AM power limit? Yes.
>> >
>> > Did he lose the case? Yes.
>> >
>> > Did K1MAN sue the FCC for 10 million dollars? Yes.
>> >
>> > Did he lose the case? Yes.
>> >
>> > Did K1MAN sue employees of QST for chastising him about
> overcharging
>> > and illegally spending others deposits for radio repair? Yes.
>> >
>> > Was K1MAN fired from 95.3 FM in Augusta, Maine because management
> said
>> > he threatened fellow employees and his supervisor? Yes.
>> >
>> > Did the lawyer for his employer advise his clients in writing to

> stay
>> > away from the unemployment hearing because he feared harm would
> come to
>> > them at the hands of K1MAN? Yes.
>> >
>> > Did K1MAN sue the lawyer who wrote this? Yes.
>> >
>> > Did K1MAN lose the case? Yes.
>> >
>> > Did the Manchester Newsletter report that K1MAN is a pedophile?
> Yes.
>> >
>> > Did K1MAN sue the ham he thought was responsible and lose the case?
>> > Yes.
>> >
>> > Did Charlie Norris accuse K1MAN of overcharging him for radio
> repair?
>> > Yes.
>> >
>> > Is K1MAN currently under FCC investigation? Yes.
>> >
>> > Was K1MAN delisted by QRZ? Yes.
>> >
>> > Was it a decision applauded by over 1,000 hams? Yes.
>> >
>> > Is it fair to say K1MAN is a big loser in the courtroom? Yes.
>> >
>> > K1MAN is a loser. He was fired from his job, he regularly qrms 80
> meter
>> > QSOs, and he qrmed a bunch of boy scouts during the last Jamboree
> on
>> > the Air. K1MAN has been called a scumbag by countless respectable
> hams.
>> > The list could go on and on.
>> >
>> > And now you know, the REST of the story.
>> >
>>
>>
>> Can you supply a link to the article in The Manchester Newsletter
>> or at least a snail mail address where one could write for a copy of
>> the article?
>>
>> Shalom,
>>
>> Rabbi Phil
>> Chief Elder, Supreme Ruling San Hedrin Council
>

And your point of reposting everything was?

4280. Re: Open Letter to K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 18:43:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113590603.392957.312470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105796

NEWSLETT.TXT]
!!! WARNING !!!
K1MAN RADIO BROADCAST ON
3885AM/3975LSB/14.275USB

ALL RADIO ENTHUSIAST, WHETHER YOUR A HAM OPERATOR OR SHORT-WAVE RADIO

LISTENER, YOU SHOULD BE ADVISED ABOUT K1MAN, GLENN BAXTER, IARN/AARA.
Don't be duped by this individual. Glenn Baxter's only interest in Ham
Radio
is to make a profit at your expense. He uses the Ham Radio Frequencies
to
advertise his organization, when in reality,he wants unsuspecting
people
to dial into his WEB Site so he can sell you his Bill-of-Goods! Why pay

Glenn Baxter when the ARRL, (American Radio Relay League) will help you

for free, and is a well known, established service, known for many
years!

When you get Glenn's info package, it is a classic PYRAMID SCHEME !!!!
Broadcasting slamming the ARRL, defending personal issues, is
not
conducive to Ham Radio !!!
Glenn Baxter has been on for 10 years; Ask yourself this, what
has he
done for Amateur Radio?
K1MAN broadcast on the Ham Bands might sound legitimate, but don't get
duped! There are many allegations about Glenn Baxter, and his
organization,
that warrant controversy, from him being a convicted Child Molester, to

operating a Pirate Radio Station off the Coast. Glenn Baxter is using
Ham
Radio to fill his illusions of grandeur of having his own Radio
Station,
which in reality, is a garage operation. He is using a loop-hole in the
FCC
rules to continue on with this worthless broadcast that causes
interference
and dissension in the Amateur Radio Community. Talk Radio on Ham Bands,
give
us a break, Amateur Radio does not need this! Amateur Radio is a Hobby
designed for two-way communications, not one-way. Don't let yourself
get

involved with this lying, ego idiot and his organization, which is designed
to extort your money, and damage the reputation of Ham Radio.
ALL GLENN BAXTER HAS IS A TRANSMITTER AND A BIG MOUTH !
This is not a SMEAR campaign as Glenn Baxter claims. NEWS LETTER gets the
truth about someone who is destroying our wonderful Hobby because he has a
grudge against the ARRL, and anyone who does not agree with his views on how
Amateur Radio should be, according to Glenn Baxter. JUST BE AWARE !
Glenn Baxter's only concern is to get your Dollar! This is a business for
IARN, Just see for yourself.
AARN/AARA Does not represent the Majority of Ham Operators in
the World !
SEE FOR YOURSELF, DIAL INTO HIS WEB SITE, CHECK IT OUT !
Also, Walter Cronkite, KB2GSD is not part of the IARN
Organization
It has been revealed to us Glenn Baxter references Walter Cronkite when

fraudulently applying for Government Grants to make his organization look
good so he can extort funds for his own personal use to keep his station on
the air. IARN/AARA is not financially solvent and is on the brink of
Bankruptcy.
** IARN/AARA is NOT recognized by CBS, ABC, BBC, or any other
Net-work
affiliation **
IARN: (I)t's (A)nother (R)adio (N)utcase !!!
HOURS AHEAD,
AND NOBODY CARES

After you have received our Flyer, check it out, call Glenn Baxter at
(207/495-2215) and let him know your heard from News Letter. You will be
amazed of the response you will receive. As a matter of fact, since you are
paying for this call, ask Glenn why he does not have an 800 number. Then call
Walter Cronkite, 212-975-3627, and speak with Marline Adler. Ask her about
Glenn Baxter and why he promos Walter on his WEB Site and Broadcast to
further his credibility of his organization, and if Walter is a part of
IARN/AARA. The Cronkite camp wants Glenn to stop. When you call Glenn, ask:

1. Who is his representative in Washington, DC to lobby for AARA/IARN?
Also,

what is his Dun & Bradstreet rating of his organization. (Watch Glenn waffle
on that one)

2. Ask how many Radio Ham Clubs uses IARN as their Club Affiliate? Where are
they, and who is the President of that particular Radio Club, and what is
his/her call sign?

Why doesn't he broadcast this information?

3. Which one of Glenn's Organizations is a Maine Corporation registered with
the Secretary of State? Who are the Corporate Officers (besides his own

family, if any)?

Ask Glenn what really happen to him at Dayton?
4. Why doesn't IARN/AARA attend major Ham Fest in New England area? (Don't
buy this bullcrap he doesn't have the time). What Ham Fest overseas does he
attend?

Why does Glenn pack a Gun these days?
5. How many Jump Teams does he have? Ask for a list of names of the Hundreds
of personnel he can use in an International Disaster. (If you get 10 names,
your lucky)
News Letter knows what really happen during Hurricane Marilyn!

6. Besides his big mouth, ask Glenn what AARA/IARN has actually done for Ham
Radio?
(That should be a good one, you'll get an ear-full of bullcrap and
dribble from that question)

7. Ask Glenn why he got fired from Collins Radio (Another good one, we know
the truth)
(Then ask about his days at Vermont Academy and why he was considered
such a nerd!)

8. Why is Chris Murdock's call, WA1HOD, have the same QTH as K1MAN? See

Callbook

You will notice a cloy-babble, rhetoric, insipid reply (if any) from Glenn,
and his usual crying and whining about all he is doing for Ham Radio,

and
the ARRL is so evil and bad for the common Ham Operator. Of course you
will
get this response that he doesn't have to give names and addresses of
people
that represent his organization (because he has none!). ARRL list the
names
in the front of their QST Magazine. Any legitimate Corporation makes
the
Names of their Officers & Sponsors open for public scrutiny.

Just sit back and look what is going on here, Glen Baxter, K1MAN is a
fraud.
This Organization of his will never replace the ARRL, or even come
close to
representing the majority of Hams in the World. Just beware! After you
talk
to Glenn, if you have a Computer, check into his WEB Site, that is
where the
real BullCrap is. Watch your wallet!
Glenn always brags on the air it doesn't cost (and the term he uses)
dues, to
join IARN; however, you have to pay 10 Bucks for a stupid T-Shirt, and
$35.00 a year for a full membership to listen to this worthless
Broadcast.
WOW, Look at me, I are an AARA Member. Go to your local Ham Fest and
wearing
that T-Shirt, you'll be the toast-of-the- town! Do yourself a favor,
get
away from this idiot, talk to other Hams, enjoy the Hobby! The ARRL may
not
be perfect, and not for everybody, but at least it is a creditable
organization that has been the backbone of Ham Radio for a long time,
and is
well known!
HELP BEAUTIFY THE AIRWAVES, GET RID OF K1MAN
****************************** ******************************
****
#42 THE MANCHESTER NEWS LETTER Aug 98

Newsletter Information Bulletin Service coming up in 3
minutes---------News
Letter information bulletin service coming up in 2 minutes--------10
seconds---------This is News Letter, World Headquarters, reporting from

Manchester, New Hampshire, man-kinds answer to get information out
about our
local raving lunatic, alleged Child Molester, noted pervert, general
nuisance on the Ham Bands, Glenn Baxter, Chief honcho of IARN (It's
Another
Radio Nutcase), of a broadca$h, than any normal human being on the

Planet
Earth could just-care-less. So sit back, join the fun, read this
edition of
News Letter.....

Week of 20 April 98: As you may of noticed, good old Glenn Baxter has
stopped
airing the full Names, addresses, phone numbers, of his callers that
call
his show. I guess the Warning Flyers we send out about AARA/IARN got
the
supreme irritant a little upset. I guess Glenn can't deal with the
truth, and
has to hide his callers so News Letter won't contact them. Gee Glenn,
did we
upset your programming, and World conquest of dominating Ham Radio with
your
bullcrap? Sorry, but it won't slow us down on getting info out to the
public
about your stupid, insipid, organization. Your creditability is shot!
Too
bad, Ha, Ha, Ha! We just thought of something, I bet it takes a lot
more
work to edit programming tapes, screen the callers, so Glenn-baby can
get the
correct information out on the air. Talk about hiding behind the
microphone
!!!
Glenn's new format by editing personal information shows, again, IARN's
level
of Creditability. When you listen to these interviews, you can tell
parts
are being edited out. It was funny because the week of 27 April 98,
Glenn was
talking to a Ham from Worcester, MA and stated the majority of letters
we
receive are pro his broadcast. Boy, we know that's bullcrap. Here's the

drill: Caller, what's your first name and location?. Glenn Baxter is so

scared that News Letter is going to let someone know the truth, he
can't
stand it! Hey Glenn, next time you get an anonymous call, or letter,
remember, you are now doing the same thing! Your are a fake,
bullcrapper,
and a worthless Scumbag. You readers ask yourself this. Glenn stated
his
Letters & Callers are 10 to 1 pro his broadcast, if that is so, why did
Glenn
discontinue giving out names and address of his callers??? The next
thing

you will notice, Glenn will probably have the same people call, (since he
doesn't have to air their names), and brag how great IARN is. Just remember
you saw this first here in News Letter. To confirm all this, call up
yourself, see if Glenn will air you comments!
Remember, IARN/AARA is not a talk-Radio show, and is not the first News
Line broadcast service.

HOSS-TRADERS HAM FEST: Rochester, NH weekend of 9, 10 May 1998 , I was not
able to attend; however, several area local Hams went, and they said it was
great. This is their report: Looks like most of the AM'ers were there. There
was Tim, Pete, Dale, Dale, Eric, Jim, Jim, Bill, Bill, Bill, Jeff, Mark,
Chuck, Dave, Carl, John, Kenny, Ken, Steve, Warren, Mike, Chris, the regular
crew. There were several AM groups. Tim arrived in style with the
Cadavilac, Dales was setup with his Military Gear, and Bill was set up in
his Van with his Johnson Ranger. The Deer Meat was cooking, the Beer was
flowing, the Cigars were glowing, all-in-all, everyone was having a good
time. Nobody had any Flyers about K1MAN, but the conversations were still
going around about the supreme irritant. I was told the real story about
KA1OGM and his dealings with Glenn Bastard, and got more info on other Hams
in dealing with the alleged Child Molester. Everybody was still talking

about the Ice Storm that hit this year and the fact Glenn Bastard did
nothing, and his broadcast was still a nuisance to the AM community.
Disappointing with the Equipment at Rochester, it seems these Ham Fest are
getting more junk than the good-old stuff we used to see years ago. Also,
the big news I heard, it did not rain at Rochester. Boy, of all the ones to
miss, every time I have gone in the past, it has rained. The personal
reports I heard, and was to ask: How much did Carl Drink? Who took the
Picture of the AM Gang? (we want a copy). Who wore the ugliest Hat? What
Car had the biggest Bug Catcher? Who Plays the best guitar music? (was Jimmy
Hendrics really there?), What Clown??? Must of been fun, we'll be there in

the Fall. Since I was leaving for Dayton, I could not attend Hoss Traders
this Spring, excuse if this report is not accurate, all this info was second
hand, but my sources are pretty accurate! Thanks guys, great job. You can
check in on Steve, WB3HUZ, Web Site for further info, pictures on this, and
other past Ham Fests. By the way, if you love the AM Mode, this WEB Site is
great along with WA1SOV. That reminds me, whatever happen to
Jerome Prestal, KA9BSD, (News Letter #22) WEB Site? He use to have a segment
on Glenn until he got threatened by the supreme irritant. Its time to start
surfing the WEB again and see what's going on!

(2)
DAYTON HAMVENTION: Week end of 15 May 98. What a Zoo! This Ham Fest is too
big, but we had a great time and the WX was good and hot. It was definitely
not one to miss. Prices were ridiculous, food was greasy, but I'm glad we
went. We stayed at the Crowne Plaza Hotel, visited Kettering Tower (great
view), attended the QCWA Dinner at Alex's Restaurant, Watched the SSTV
Seminar at the Ramada Inn, took in the AM Forum at the Hara Arena, and
watched Ronnie Milstrap Concert. Also, Ronnie, WB4KCG, is an did a great Job
as a guest speaker at the Banquet (note: Ronnie gets News Letter). If you
think Glenn Bastard did good, don't be duped by his broadca$h, the guy was
the laughing stock of Dayton. You can't believe the crap Glenn Baxter was
pouring out, and I am truly amazed Glenn could ever show his
face in public at Dayton ever again. First of all, I personally never saw
Glenn, (who was the idiot manning his booth?), and many, many, people were
looking for him, and I can't tell you how many ARRL members I saw went by
his booth laughing at this idiot. Here is some of the scoop, what I could
tell. Glenn had a lot of people go by his booth, but more than half did not
agree with his organization, and people we saw later on, and questioned,
thought he was a garrulous idiot, and just listened to him pontificate

to be
polite. Most of his literature went into the garbage. Hey Glenn, I
was
standing about five from a guy that was ready to punch-your-lights-out
while
coming out of the building! I had to laugh at the Wall Street Article
concerning IARN. Also, there is a rumor going around that Walter
Cronkite is
suing Glenn Baxter due to Glenn using his picture on his WEB Site, is
this
true? Can someone confirm this, and for how much?. Hey Glenn, will CBS,
AP,
& BBC, or NEWSLINE get that info? We also heard another rumor there was
a
representative from the Cronkite camp secretly checking up on Glenn to
see if
he used Walters name and credentials to further IARN credibility. Also,
who
is the individual Ham that is sueing Glenn? Oh, many of the Vendors we
had
sent Flyers to were aware of the situation with IARN and Glenn Baxter.
One
Vendor was passing our info to people stopping by his booth. THAT WAS
GREAT,
Here I am the Editor, and some complete stranger hands me our flyer.
Boy,
did that make my day. I wanted to take pictures of the supreme
irritant, but
I never saw the four eyed weasel himself (was he hiding?). The ARRL had
many
functions going on, and I'll tell you one thing, Glenn Baxter AARA/IARN
will
never replace the ARRL. Oh, Oh, Another item. We were given a Letter,
or
Flyer, I guess it is what Glenn sends out to people that call his
show, or
addresses he sometimes gets, but this Flyer was a hoot. There was a
Paragraph about News Letter, and Glenn telling everyone it's a Felony,
and
to send your copies to the FBI, Blah, Blah, Blah, and of course the
same old
rhetoric about how the ARRL is going out-of-business, and he will
replace it
in 5 years, Ha, Ha, Ha, Ha. I thought my spelling and format was
basic,
Glenn's (so-called) News Letter is like a first grader's weekly reader!
Glenn
must be using Window's Write as his word-processor, and a Radio Shack
Dot
Matrix printer. You know, after seeing what the ARRL can do at Dayton,

comparing the nobody- nothing IARN/AARA really is, Baxter is finished. Glenn,
tell us about the problems you are having with Hotel Security, are you packing these days? What is the story dealing with the Maine District Courts? Can you file anymore frivolous Law Suites? What info does the

Dayton Police Department have on you, and what do the State COPS think of
you in Maine? By the way, how are you and Walter getting along lately? Did
you subscription to Stern Magazine expire? I saw Tim, WA1HLR , Dale, KW1I ,
and Bill wondering around, Tim, did you see Glenn and did he interview you?
and how do you like your MFJ Antenna Analyzer? The place was so packed, my
Mother could of been in the next booth, and I wouldn't of see her. The AM
Forum had over 70 participants (very interesting). To wrap this up, Glenn
Bastard made a complete fool of himself. News Letter did a great job on

getting info out, and to all you Hams out there that inquired about News
Letter, there is no way we accommodate everyone that wants to be on the

Mailing list, so our best obtain is the INTERNET, so please pass News Letter
around to all, and rest assured, we will continue to get the word out about
Glenn Bastard, who I feel is going to crack-up under the strain of being the
most hated Amateur Operator of all times !!! Hey Glenn, take your medication,
get shock treatment, and stay home, and do the Amateur Radio Community a
favor, get off the air, forget your delusion of conquering Ham Radio with
you insipid ideas, get a life. You will never replace the ARRL, and once
people realize you really can't do anything for Ham Radio, they will turn on
you and your stupid organization. Dayton finished you! Unfortunately we did
not buy anything big, but did find allot of parts while looking around in
the vast bone yard. Cars were packed in like Sardines. I left Sunday
afternoon to drive back to New Hampshire to be at work that following
Tuesday, it was a looooong trip, but all-in-all, I had a great time. Who
bought the Gates Transmitter that was there? I heard it was purchase by

someone in Massachusetts? Oh Well, next time! For Pictures of Dayton Hamvention, be sure to check into K8CX Web Site, He does a great job showing Dayton Hamvention. Our thanks to the ARRL for making such a great event fun, the true spirit of Ham Radio was representative at Dayton. By next year News Letter hopes to have a booth set-up (under a different name). Glenn, watch out! We might be right next to you, please use some deodorant and mouth wash, and make yourself visible. I can't believe we never once saw you, were you there, or just had somebody represent your organization? How were you greeted at the reception booth? We know officials got our Flyers! A recent update, I just got off the internet with some of my email buddies, and they claimed they never saw Glenn Bastard at Dayton either. Man I wonder, was the supreme irritant even there? You know, we haven't heard any recorded interviews on Glenn's broadca$h either. Did something happen we don't know about? Something weird is going on at AARA/IARN ! I think Glenn knows he's finished, and is keeping a low profile.

INTERESTING CONVERSATION: While at Dayton, I ran into a person that is associated with FEMA & MEMA. He was one of the crew that was sent to Maine to help out during the big Ice Storm that hit New England back in January. He was coordinating information and communications with FEMA, as well as the Red Cross, CAP, RACES, MARS, and volunteer groups going from town to town, house to house, to assess damage. He knows of Glenn Bastard and advised us that Glenn's Group never once contacted any agency to help out, and if he did, he wasn't welcome. It seems that Glenn's bashing of several groups in the past has left a bad taste concerning his organization. It was also revealed to us that Glenn has no local help or volunteers that are willing to help out on any type of disaster representing AARA/IARN. Also, (and this was interesting), there have been a lot of Police Reports on Glenn, and problems he as had with Hams in the past. Boy, Glenn has a trail of problems for a long time. It just goes to show you, and this confirms, Glenn's organization is nothing, and he will never be a creditable entity to represent Hams anywhere!. The funny thing about all this, and truly, we are not trying

to
be biased, after talking to many people, I have yet to run into someone
that
approves of Glenn's Broadcast. I have heard people state they
would like to see the ARRL change, and it would be nice to have another

organization represent Hams, but AARA/IARN will not be that entity.
Glenn
Bastard went about it the wrong way, too much bullcrap and arrogance!
This same individual also stated he believes Glenn is dangerous, and
for
people to watch out if they happen to run into Glenn. He is mentally
unbalanced and is on the verge of a Mental Breakdown. Man, do we ever
know that !!! The guy is nuts. You know, look what is going on here.
People
that once knew Glenn, or even called him a friend, now believe he has
gone
over the edge! Hey Tim, WA1HLR, do you agree with this? When was the
last
time you talked to Glenn? Has he really cracked??

WHAT EVER HAPPEN to Glenn's caller of the week? News Letter issue #31,
special
Edition Nov 97, Glenn had stated he was going to have a caller of the
week.
It was also going to be News letter Wacko of the Month. Another
programming
fantod? Glenn can't make up his mind. I can hear it now, uhhhh, Bonnie,
do I
get the rubber doll, or go to the closet? How many more changes are we
going
to see? Glenn's programming consist mainly of News Line, ARRL, Rain
Bulletins,
few callers (now with no full names and address, call signs), but still
the
constant praising of himself and his stupid organization. Glenn keeps
this
constant rhetoric that the ARRL will financially go under in five
years. I
think he has got his brain wires crossed-up, I believe it will be
AARA/IARN
that will financially fail, and based on what we know here at News
Letter,
it won't be long. Funds are running short up there in the Fortress of
Solitude !!!

NEW TACTIC FOR INFORMATION: Since Glenn has stopped giving Names & Ham
Call
signs of people calling his show, we are going to take another approach
for
getting info out. After each weeks broadcast, we are going to randomly

select 10 Hams from each State, send a NEW type of Warning Flyer with the
latest, or current, issue of News Letter. Some of my Staff has recommended
we get AMATEUR CLUB CALL SIGNS, look them up in the latest Call Book, and
Blanket each State so they will get our information package. What a great
idea! As you realize, Clubs out there will pass the info along, and more &
more Hams will get this info. I'm surprised I haven't thought of this in the
past. This idea, along with several members putting News Letter out on an
E-Mail list, has really spread the word about K1MAN, IARN/AARA. The ones we
will miss targeting info will be the Shortwave Radio Listeners
(because they just don't know any better), but we feel once they get
involved with AARA/IARN, and get into the real world of Ham Radio, they will
realize what's going on. Speaking of Ham Clubs, is there any
Club out there that's uses AARA/IARN as their affiliate? Come on Glenn, since
your so popular, give us (at least) one Club that represents your
organization! What's the matter, are you afraid, or just a lying ego, four
eyed weasel, that is nothing but a big bullcrapper??? I didn't see any
Clubs for AARA at Dayton! As a matter of fact, there is not one Ham
Publication that ever mentions IARN/AARA!

PAST TAPES: All this reminds me of one of the Tapes I listened to (as you
past readers know, we have about 200 hours of past tapes of Glenns
programming). This one tape, about 1995, the guy that called was a pro
Golfer that was just getting started in Ham Radio. He was talking about 2
meters, and how important Golfing was to his career, but he was able to find
time for Ham Radio, be a Golf Pro, and teach the game to young kids (get
this): Then Glenn comes back with this stupid statement, I am the head of an
International Radio Organization, that is much more important than playing
Golf. Then a brief silence, then Glenn went on-and-on about how great he is,
etc., etc., etc., and how he heads up IARN/AARA and is know all over the
World (you know the drill). It's the same old stuff! Glenn could care

less
what you do, or what your interest are. It's just another method he can
use
to get on his soap box on how great he, and IARN is. I guess those days
are
over. Either Glenn is too afraid of News Letter, or the FCC put a stop
to his
method. You know, it seems to me that in one of the earlier News
Letters, we
advised Glenn his broadcast would not be so bad if all he did was the
News
Line Bulletins, and stop all this personal garbage he airs. Maybe Glenn
has
seen the light here, you think? Is this a first step? I'll tell you
this, if
Glenn could cut back on the broadcast time wise, stop these insipid
analogies
of the ARRL, and everyone else who disagrees with him, tell the truth,
admit
he has been wrong in the past, give a public apology for deceiving
everybody
about his organization, maybe then, Hams will forget/forgive, and can
start
on a fair level playing ground. Also, Glenn has to stop using Walter
Cronkites promo ID, Walter has worked too long and hard to obtain the
reputation he has, and Glenn knows Walter does not want him to use his
name,
or picture, with his organization. Come on Glenn, can you do all this?
Get
with the program. Nobody cares if you want to start another
organization to
replace the ARRL, but get real here. The ARRL will never be replaced,
but
there is no reason someone could not start up another group. You, will
not
be this person the way you are going now. You cannot cut people down
and
expect them to side with your views under the threat of being
persecuted by
you insipid dialog. Lets face it Glenn, you are AARA/IARN, nobody else,
no
Board of Directors, no tax exempt group, no Corporation under the
Secretary
of State, no lobby group in Washington DC, no hundreds of people, just
you,
yourself, and ego. If you died today, no one else would take your
place. So
why not admit this, get organized, tell the truth, and come back to the

Human Race. Stop this stupid vendetta against the ARRL (because you will
never win). Only an insane person would continue on the way you are doing
now. We were just trying to help, but I think your too far gone !!!

50 YEARS OF CBS: Wednesday, May 20th, 1998, there was a special TV program
on 50 years of programming of CBS. The interesting attribute was on Walter
Cronkite, KB2GSD, and how he was the icon for CBS News. It took a career and
lifetime for Walter to do what he did, and it irritates us Glenn Bastard
plagiarizes this individual to further his creditability for AARA/IARN. Glenn
Bastard is a pathetic individual, and a real lo-life scumbag for doing this.
It is up to all of us to save the reputation of Walter Cronkite and let

everybody know Walter is not part of AARA/IARN and wants nothing to do with
same! It is important that all Hams write to Walter Cronkite and air their
opinion about Glenn Bastard !!!

WEEK OF 25 MAY 98: Glenn started giving out names of callers. We have noticed
this pattern over the years. Right before Dayton, Glenn cleans up his act.
Right after Dayton, he starts back his usual insipid programming, stupid
editorials, and Worthless Boring Dribble, to run his program out of time!
Regardless of his tactics, News Letter will continue on to get info out.
Also, we noticed this year, at Dayton Hamvention, Glen did not pre-record
people, or interview anyone to replay back on his future broadcast. In the
past, Glenn usually used three weeks of boring dribble recordings. Since we
did not see Glenn at Dayton, it's possible he didn't show his face, or he was
put in his place. Believe me, nobody we talked to at Dayton supported
IARN/AARA, or even knew what his organization was. Glenn did not do
well !!! Week of June 29th, Glen did nothing but News Line Broadcast and
stupid promos. Hey Glen, do you get PMS?

WHINER AWARD: Goes to Kevin Rose, KA1FTW. Another Bozo that thinks he knows

all about Ham Radio. Week of 6/9/98, Kevin's stupid Letter to Glenn show what
an idiot this hobby produces. These people must be the biggest bunch of

Nerds on the face-of-the-planet. Of course you realize who Kevin Calls.

Another Whiner goes to Pete, WZ2X, again, for his insipid email to Glenn. I
think Glenn, Pete, and Kevin should all move to Hawaii and get married. Then
all-for-one, one-for-all, can dominate Ham Radio! Oh, and I forgot to
mention W. Continelli, W2XOY, Boo whooo, Snif-snif, whaaaaaaa, the ARRL is
not welcome out here in western New York. Just a bunch of mean-old men, and
I am developing a trauma. Guys, get a life !!!!! If you want to help Ham
Radio, Lobby with the ARRL & FCC for changes! Do any of you idiots that call
Glenn actually think Glenn is going to change Ham Radio? What has Glenn done,
what has he accomplished, what has he contributed to this hobby? NOTHING but
a worthless, boring, broadcast that has no value to anyone but Glenn Bastard.
His illusions-of-grandeur of having his own Radio Station!
Gee, look at me. My name is Glenn Bastard, God like figure to Ham Radio,
aren't I cool? Please send me money so I can keep my worthless, boring,
dribble to my 3 fans (wife, Chris Murdock, and his hand). W2CE, Robert Reed
(week 7/6/98). This guy gives handicap Hams a bad name. I used to be a VE,
and believe me, handicap Hams get a fair shake. Nobody is labeling, or
attacking, handicap individuals as an inferior class of people. This guy
wants something for nothing. Go buy a CB Radio and stop complaining!

WORTHLESS-BORING-DRIBBLE AWARD: Goes to Allen Hattsfield, Milton Florida.
Another idiot, who is not even a Ham Operator, thinks he has all the
answers. Your typical CB operator that is too stupid to pass a Ham Test,
then blames it on the ARRL. Gee Glen, the test is so hard, and I can't do
CW! Ham License should be like CB Radio License, there should be none! No
test, just get on-the-air. The FCC needs to expand the CB 11 meter band,
and I know many Hams that have turned in their license (yea right). I

got to
admit one thing, Glenn did not agree with this Bozo, but wasting all
this air
time on this nonsense is pathetic! How come after the Dayton Hamvention
Glenn
didn't get any recordings? I thought for sure we would be listening to
a
months worth of taped dribble-crap on how great Glenn did at Dayton.

ATTA-BOY AWARDS: None this quarter. Glenn is too Chicken-Sh.. to air
the
truth. The Hallmark of Glenn's organization is falling apart, and
everyone is
starting to see this. Smoking mirrors here, right?

PROMOS: I don't know about you, but I am getting sick and tired of
the same
ole stuff. Glenn needs to get some new promos to ID his station. The
Hams he
uses are the same old nerds we have listened too over the past few
years. Of
Course we don't consider Walter Cronkite a nerd, it amazes us he has
the
gall to continuously use his voice when he has been asked to stop. I
think
we need WA1HLR to give an ID, HiHi.

BERRY GOLDWATER, K7UGA. 5/29/98, A great Ham has passed away. I had
the
privilege to work Berry many years ago and was even more thrilled to
receive
a QSL Card from him. This was an individual that Hams can look up to
and be
proud of. A great testimonial to Ham Radio. It just goes to show you
there
are many individuals out there that truly make a difference in the
world.
Berry Goldwater will be missed. Lets just hope Glenn Bastard does not
exploit
the late Berry Goldwater for his own personal use for his stupid
organization! He has already tricked Walter Cronkite, who is next? What
a
pathetic liar !

GIVE GLENN A TAMBOURINE AND BOX OF KLEENEX. Week of 7/13/98. Well, if
there
is a controversy, Glenn Bastard will be the head-honcho to led the pack
of
crying wackos on the march. What amazes me is, these leftist people,
hams,
write to AARA/IARN to gripe about problems with the ARRL instead of

taking
it to the source, the ARRL. WHAT CAN AARA/IARN DO??? N-O-T-H-I-N-G !
! !
This is the proposed Voluntary Band Plan the ARRL is trying to submit
to the
FCC. Glenn broadcast some comments by KF7VY, Ed Mitchell, N6NB, Wayne

Overbeck, & WA6VSE, Carl Stevenson. As I can understand their
concern,
writing to Glenn Baxter is a waste-of-time, because the FCC, and ARRL,
do not
take IARN/AARA seriously. Who Does??? Here is my take on this Voluntary
Band
Plan. Amateur Radio has changed over the last 50 years with the
advances of
new technology, and more Hams coming on to the spectrum. The one nice
thing
about this hobby is, there is something for everybody. There has to be
a
Band Plan because if you don't have this in-place, somebody can run HF
Packet right on top of K1MAN's Broadcast on 3890KHz/AM. (Of course,
we
here at News Letter would not mind that); however, with all the new
modes
out there, we need to have a Band Plan in effect so the Side-Banders
can
have their slot, the AM'ers can have there slot, the APRS can have
their
spot, CW operators can have their slot, and so-on and so-on. A
gentlemen's
agreement is fine, but as you know, there is always the idiot that will

run USB on the AM window, or FM simplex on a Packet window. There needs
to
be a band plan in-place to insure harmony on the overcrowded spectrum
we
already have! To all you Hams out there that think you can write to
IARN/AARA
to accomplish anything, direct your energy to an entity that can
really
make a difference. This controversy just gives Glenn another soapbox
derby
to further give his organization creditably when there's no
creditability
to begin with! The finest, Blah, Blah, Blah, (go barf!)

WEEK OF 7/20/98: We here at News Letter were impressed with KJ7FC, Beth

Harris, for her outstanding ability as a young Ham on her attributes
towards
Ham Radio, and her thoughts about the ARRL, meeting people in the

community
and overseas, teaching other young people about Ham Radio. It is nice to see
that someone can use this hobby for what it was intended for, and not use it
as a political tool to further their own cause (like someone we know, right
Glenn?). This same week, there was a piece on KC9RP, Hap Holly, about his
life and his involvement with Ham Radio. We were very moved by this, and it
just goes to show you there are true individuals out there that can
represent this Hobby, and show the real worth on what Ham Radio is all
about. Individuals mentioned above will keep this hobby going, and it makes
me proud to be a Ham Operator. Hopefully, idiots like Glenn Baxter are few
and in-between!

LATE BREAKING INFO: 7/20/98. What happen to Glenn at Dayton? We have some
juicy information we just received on the supreme irritant. See next
addition of News Letter for info. Also, have you noticed Glenn does not give
any more editorials on his programming? How come Glenn? Does this tie in on
the information we just received? Why aren't you giving out callers names,
etc? Why do you keep airing Walter Cronkites promo when the Cronkite camp
has asked you to stop? How come you don't have any new Hams give out a promo
with their callsign? Did you have an unwelcome visit? Worked anymore
International Emergencies lately? Face it Glenn, Hams have got your number
and know the truth !!! Also, does anyone know about 2 video tapes going

around on Dayton and Rochester? We want a copy ! Also, it has just been

brought to our attention, the Rochester Ham Fest is going to be seen on

Channel 5, in Boston, on a local program called Chronicle. We do not have
the info when this is going to be aired, or if it has already been aired at
the time of this publication. One of my staff members told us they were

filming.
We do know that the Dayton Hamvention was on local area News in the Dayton,
Cleveland, Ohio area. Did any of our readers see this? How come Glenn
Bastard

didn't get on a TV News Broadcast?, Isn't AARA/IARN recognized by CBS?,

Uhhhhh, Helllwoooo, my name is Glenn Bastard, I are intellergent !

HEY GLEN, We didn't bite, better luck next time. Your really an idiot if
you though we would fall for that one, nice try. Oh, and by the way, we

know! You know what we're talking about, you Bozo!

EDITORIAL
You know, It has been about three years now since News Letter has been on
this campaign to get info out on Glenn Baxter. We have heard allot of crap
from Glenn Baxter over the years, and his thrust to trash the ARRL is getting
old, and the constant rhetoric about what he can do for Amateur Radio is a
Joke at best. The main problem of Glenn Baxter is his Broadcast itself.

Amateur Radio does not need this. Amateur Radio was designed for two-way
transmissions, not one-way. Whether it is the ARRL, or K1MAN, these
so-called bulletin services are not necessary on the Ham Bands. Glenn Baxter
uses the argument: if it's Ham Radio related, why not transmit on the Ham
Bands? This is a stupid analogy! The Ham Bands are crowded enough without
some yo yo broadcasting, causing interference to people that want to use the
hobby for what it was intended for. Glenn will then state: Well, the ARRL
does it. That's right, the ARRL does broadcast, but for education purposes
only. CW Practice, propagation reports, etc., and they don't do
it 18 hours a day, and slam other organizations, makes threats to Hams, or
force their way of thinking to Amateurs that have different opinions. Talk
Radio, give me a break! This is total nonsense. It would be one thing if
Glenn was really doing this for the betterment of Ham Radio, but he's not.
Glenn Baxter has a grudge against the ARRL and he will say, or do, anything
to discredit that organization to further his own cause. Glenn also hates the
AM'ers, because this particular group has been battling Glenn for the
frequency space he trashes when his broadcast is on the air. His modulation

is distorted, his frequency is too wide, and his switchover timer is not
correct. Glenn purposely transmits on the AM Window to make the AM community
mad because of all the heckling he has gotten over the years. This is not
conducive to good engineering practices, and Glenn forcing his personal

views, under this so called bulletin service, is not beneficial to Ham
Radio, or even Ham Radio related. Comparing the ARRL to Hitler, Stalen,

Communist Russia, making a Ham Operator publicly apologizing over the air,
airing a bounty on a publication, is not in the best interest of this hobby
and it should be stopped !!! This is a MONEY MAKING OPPORTUNITY FOR GLENN
BAXTER! Just dial into Glenn's Web Site and see for yourself. He is doing the
same thing the ARRL is doing, and he is a hypocrite when he says anything
different!. The Hams Bands are nothing but a media to advertise his Web Site
to make money! Plus, use it as a political tool to further his cause !

Glenn Baxter keeps telling everybody his survey results 10 to 1 pro his

organization. This is not true, and Glenn knows this. He is using the
technique like a legion. You know, if you keep repeating a statement, or
rumor, one day it becomes true. This is Glenn's method on making his
organization look good, when in reality, the results are probably 50 to 1
against his organization. I'll tell you this, and I mean this, in over three
years I have not found even one person, or organization, that supports
IARN/AARA. Most of the Hams at Dayton that showed an interest in his
organization were either new Hams, or Short-wave Radio Listeners that are
not familiar with the hobby. Can't you just see Glenn foam-at-the-mouth when
someone ask him about his organization? Dollar signs appear in his eyeballs,
and his mouth starts to tremble!. You just have to laugh when Glenn claims he
gets over 400 applications per week to join his stupid organization. This
guys is a sick puppy. Remember when Glenn stated he had over 140 thousand
listeners as a result of an independent survey? Of course Glenn never

stated
who did this survey, and when.

You old time readers of News Letter have seen me say this over, and
over,
and over. There is know change, and I have more important things to do
with
my life then to wonder about the antics of a raving lunatic; i.e.,
Glenn
Bastard. Over the next few months, we are going to make a decision
whether
to continue to distribute News Letter individually. The actual writing
of
this publication takes no time at all, but the distributing of it is
long,
and takes time. If it was not for my staff, I could not do this alone.
We
are going to seek out individuals (such as K1IV), that have extensive
E-Mailing lists, and INTERNET access to get our publication out. Glenn
cannot
file suite on the truth, and mailing a Flyer, or publication, is not
against
the Law because we are not seeking any monitary funds from this. All
allegations on Glenn Baxter can be backed up, and he has no legal
grounds to
stand on. He might cry, and whine, about how News Letter is ruining his

reputation, but this is not true. Glenn's own mouth is ruining his
character
and his organization. Its slander when Glenn's hears anybody talk bad
about
himself, but its okay for him to trash ARRL, and everybody else, when
they
don't conform to his way of thinking. A double-standard here. A Class
individual, or organization, does not bad-mouth the other guy. Who ever
said
I was a class act? I don't mind getting on Glenn's level, because it
exposes
this idiot and his organization for what it really is! Glenn Baxter has
lost
many supporters, friends, and I suspect family before too long. What I
am
hearing from sources that know Glenn, he is on the verge of a mental
collapse. His finances are stretched, and if it is a fact that Walter
Cronkite is suing AARA/IARN, Glenn Baxter will be financially ruined,
and his
organization will be finished. I seriously doubt someone else will step
in
and continue on with this bullcrap! The sad thing is, Glenn could of
really
started something here, I think allot of people would like to see

another
organization like the ARRL. Competition is good; however, Glenn won't
be that
entity!

Anyways, we shall see. We are not too sure when the next issue of News
Letter will be. I will be taking many trips this summer, and I am
planning
to go out and see my daughter who just moved to the San Francisco area.
I
have received many tips on Hams that want to put News Letter out, but I

realize allot of Hams are not on the NET, and many do not even have a
computer. My Staff is thinking up new ways to
get info out, and I get more volunteers every week. I think it's funny
Glenn's
stupid Reward did not phase anybody, because most normal people know he
will
never be able to pay-out (plus the fact all my Staff think what we are
doing
is great). Many Hams I talk too enjoy the rag. I guess the people that
hate
News Letter are Glenn, and the Whiner Award winners. I guess we can't
please
everybody. There won't be much to report on if Glenn keeps his
programming
the way it is (I think this is Glenn's way of fighting back). That's
fine with
us because it was our intention for Glenn to change his programming.
News
Letter accomplished a major victory doing this. I think it's great that
we
don't have to listen some insipid editorial by Glenn Baxter, or listen
to
some stupid Ham talk about his shoe-laces. It must be heart breaking
for Glenn not to be able to air the names and addresses of his callers.
Now
that we know he is editing all calls, his creditability is ruined. We
won
anyway you look at it. Whether Glenn will ever take his broadcast
off-the-air, or not, is something we will have to wait. The day K1MAN
is
shut down will be the biggest celebration of Hams in the World.
Hopefully,
one day, the FCC will step in and do same. Glenn is on the edge of Part

97-111B6, I am amazed Glenn gets away with what he does. If you ever
get a
chance to read these rules and regulations, read the whole chapter and
tell
me K1MAN is not violating FCC Regulations.

Have a good Summer for all, next publication should be in October or November. Just say NO to IARN!

IARN: (I)t's (A)nother (R)adio (N)utcase, Hours ahead and nobody cares
!!!

YOUR LISTENING TO IT NOW, This is NEWS LETTER, signing off

HELP BEAUTIFY THE AIRWAVES..... GET RID OF K1MAN !!!!

4281. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 19:41:10 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113594070.834209.52220@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105797

From: "K4YZ" on Fri,Apr 15 2005 12:15 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 2:40 am

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. You don't know anything about what took
>> place on three successive R&D jobs where NADC was the test
>> agency for evaluation of SECANT (the RCA acronym for the
>> anti-collision system back then).
>
> It doesn't matter, Lennie. I know what happened on ONE of them.

You know NOTHING. :-)

All you do in here is try to demean and denigrate others
who HAVE some experience in radio...especially those
who have done MORE than you ever have. Tsk.

>> At NO time was I doing anything "for" NADC...(SNIP)
>
> But you were there and your performance was lackluster.
>
> You got a bad "fit rep". Oh well. We can't all be "100%" 100%
of
>the time. Deal with it.

Tsk. You have NO frame of reference in trying to compare
industry with your personal experience in the military.

To reiterate - because you can't understand how industry
works - I was NOT EVER an employee of the USN and NOT
EVER at NADC. I was an employee of RCA Corporation then
and remained so until 1975. At NO TIME did any NADC
people do any performance reviews of my work. They
couldn't. I was NOT an employee there. :-)

Industry doesn't have "fitreps" as you call them. They
are called performance reviews and are periodic, the
period of review times dependent on local corporate
policy. [see any Personnel department...or "Human
Resources" - same thing under a new buzzword]

> One of my first fitreps in the Corps was less than what it could
>have been. Not bad, but certainly a wake-up call. I took my
>"spanking", did what I needed to do to correct the shortcomings, and
>moved on. No big deal.

The electronics industry is NOT "the corps." :-)

I've not had a bad performance review in my whole
career in southern California aerospace. That career
started in 1956. Not anywhere close to what you
think deserves a "spanking." :-)

The only "wake-up calls" I've had were from
operators at motels and hotels I was staying at
while on field trips for my employers. :-)

>> SECANT (SEparation and Control of Aircraft by Non-
>> synchronous Techniques) performed well...(SNIP
>
> Has nothing to do with Amateur Radio policy or that you were
>deemed less than adequate at NADC.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Still trying to spin your undetailed
yarn of "less than adequate" performance at NADC?

Idiot. I was never employed by NADC. I was never
employed by the USN in any capacity. No part of
the USN ever rated me for any "performance review"
and certainly not a "fitrep" a la the murines. :-)

You are still trying a snow job on everyone in a
vague effort to cover up your original LIE. Tsk.

The ONLY way you can right your terrible wrong is
to give enough detail into what was actually done
while I was at NADC as "contractor personnel,"
aka field engineer. You can't do that. You have
NO real information to base your personal attack,
don't know how contracts are carried out, have NO
idea what this particular project was about (even
if explained in detail to you), have NO
understanding of research and development with
Department of Defense contractural procedures.

>> Based on the results of that 2nd gen flight test...(SNIP)
>
> Twenty one lines of non-relevent story telling.

Tsk. VERY relevant and necessary to counter all
your pitiful little LIES told about others.

>> >Now suck it up and move along,
>> >old man! You ran your mouth off about all your hot jobs.
>>
>> Wasn't a "hot" job. Was an everyday kind of design job.
>
> One you didn't do well at. Oh well.

Tsk, tsk. You have NO idea of what was involved,
don't understand the technology, don't understand

the way contracts are performed, don't understand
the way individual performance is rated by the
electronics industry.

As I said, I've had NO bad performance reviews in
the aerospace industry. [research that all you
want...if you say you "found" something, then that
would be FALSE, a LIE]

Proof of performance is that equipment works
according to pre-established specifications. In
the case of Research and Development contracts,
test data may turn up some need to change the
original specifications (not unusual). Such
changes may be instituted by the contractee (or
testing agency assigned by contractee) or the
contractor. In the case of the first generation
SECANT, the testing agency (NADC) found that
their data recording methods (phototheater) was
inadequate. That was solved on the second
generation by incorporating a multi-channel tape
recorder formatted for the on-site NADC computer
(can your mythical "reference" name that main-
frame computer, hmmm?). That condition applied
to the Minneapolis-Honeywell collision avoidance
system also under test (separate contract).

>>
>> It was "hot" only in the SAW filters...(SNIP)
>
> Great. Ohhhhhhhhhhh...Ahhhhhhhhhhhh....Stuff that extend's an
>engineer's slide rule, I am sure.

Tsk. Improper use of pluralities. :-)

"SAW" is an acronym for Surface Acoustic Wave. Those
are frequency-domain filters using the phenomena of
very ultrasonic wave propagation on surface of
piezo-electric material such as quartz or lithium
niobate. Interdigital SAW filters can be made
with extreme sharpness of skirt selectivity at the
passband edges. As such they make ideal "matched"
filters; i.e., their passband is equivalent to the
reciprocal of a pulse width...resulting in an RF
envelope output shape close to a cosine-squared
(very low harmonic content) waveform. SAW filters
are common as "roofing filters" or the very first
filter of multiple-conversion receivers. You will
find SAW filters in common use in cell phones due
to their very small size...as well as cell site
terminal equipment...and now TV receivers,
especially those for DTV. In 1974 SAW filter use

was "cutting edge" technology, especially in the
mid-VHF frequency range. 31 years later it is
rather common and Murata (among many makers) have
produced hundreds of thousands of SAW filters on
lithium niobate substrates.

> Of no relevence to Amateur Radio policy at ANY level or you
>behaviour herein.

"Behaviour?" :-)

Since when has YOUR name-calling, denigrations, and
attempts at defamation of character ever concerned
"amateur radio policy?" Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>> > You happened
>> >to drop one name where I had an "in". I found you out.
>>
>> Steve Robeson was *NEVER* "in" on either the RCA or
>> Minneapolis-Honeywell aircraft anti-collision systems.
>> Steve Robeson wan't even AT NADC in 1971 to 1975. He
>> was a jarhead who never got beyond Warminster NAS on
>> the other side of the road A DECADE LATER.
>
> Nope...wasn't in those programs.
>
> I did have an "in" with a gentleman who was, however.
>
> Outted you nicely.

An "in?" Tsk. You've been talking to YOURSELF, busy
telling your various personalities tall tales.

You are so far OUT you couldn't get "in" anything
but your own sociopathic psychotic activity of
constantly trying to denigrate ANYONE who opposes
you in any way. You keep compounding your own LIES.

You can NOT produce any DETAIL at all of what I was
doing at NADC or even whatever I did at any time in
my career in electronics design engineering. You
haven't a clue as to what is done in industry on a
regular basis. You can't describe NADC or Warminster
NAS across the street, you can't describe how one
crosses that road, the shape of the NADC buildings,
their special NASA astronaut test facilities (only
one), any of the broadband HF radio antennas outside,
the fact that the original building and airfield was
constructed for Brewster Aircraft (the only aircraft
corporation known to go bankrupt DURING WW2), or the
curious taxiway from ramp area to runway at the NAS.
You can't name anything about the NADC computer

center, can't identify the curious little tracks
on part of the ground floor buildings that were at
NADC, don't understand that the NAS was all-Navy but
NADC was largely civilian. You can't describe the
cafeteria at NADC or the "O club" right above it nor
the various little offices that can serve both NADC
and contractor personnel, can't describe their
internal police arrangement, or even their playing
of reveille at an unusual time.

All you can say is that you "knew somebody" there
who "gave me a bad fitrep" and said I did "lackluster"
work for NADC. You LIE and compound that LIE.

>> Tsk. I have a copy of the FINAL report on SECANT...(SNIP)
>
> I am sure the Anderson household is ripe with files of old
>projects that have absolutely nothing to do with Amateur Radio.

The proper word is "rife." Yes, I have enough from a half
century of working IN electronics and radio, but a small
part of it DOES have to do with hobby electronics (such
as amateur radio. I converted a spare 3rd bedroom into an
office/library for my wife and myself years ago...in the
southern house (not the northern one in WA)...one 13-foot
wall has three rows of bookshelves (and that isn't enough).

> And not a bit of it relvent to the fact that Leonard H. Anderson
>was at NADC, was a less than stellar performer, and when I asked
around
>about him, I got a "hit"...

You got SHIT. Bad word or not, that describes what you do
to EVERYONE who disagrees with you. You SHIT on their
person, defecating on them verbally in a momentous
display of your rage and hatred if they so much as say
anything negative against you. All you do is practice
sociopathic verbal defecation.

> And the people at NADC that had to evaluate the performace of the
>contract weren't impressed with YOU, Lennie.

Idiot. A TESTING AGENCY "evaluates the performance" of
the TEST. It doesn't "evaluate contractor personnel."

For the last time: "Contractor personnel" do NOT work
FOR any federal test agency. They work FOR their
employer. Employers do the performance reviews of their
employees. If a contractor's employee does not perform
as they are required to do, the contractor removes them.
I've NEVER been removed for such a reason. I've never
been removed from a field test location for ANY reason.

You have to TRY and stop SHITTING on people you don't like. It isn't productive, it isn't civil, it isn't according to The Amateur's Code.

All you've done so far is to dig yourself deeper into your original LIE. You have NO proof. You can't supply ANY details. You have so many misunderstandings about defense contracts and procedure that it shows you are totally BOGUS in all that SHITTING on others.

You are SICK. You have diss-temper. You need a vet... a veterinarian...or something. GET HELP for yourself.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4282. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 21:36:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <426033CE.AA565707@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105798

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> You can NOT produce any DETAIL at all of what I was
> doing at NADC or even whatever I did at any time in
> my career in electronics design engineering. You
> haven't a clue as to what is done in industry on a
> regular basis. You can't describe NADC or Warminster
> NAS across the street, you can't describe how one
> crosses that road, the shape of the NADC buildings,
> their special NASA astronaut test facilities (only
> one), any of the broadband HF radio antennas outside,
> the fact that the original building and airfield was
> constructed for Brewster Aircraft (the only aircraft
> corporation known to go bankrupt DURING WW2), or the
> curious taxiway from ramp area to runway at the NAS.
> You can't name anything about the NADC computer
> center, can't identify the curious little tracks
> on part of the ground floor buildings that were at
> NADC, don't understand that the NAS was all-Navy but
> NADC was largely civilian. You can't describe the
> cafeteria at NADC or the "O club" right above it nor
> the various little offices that can serve both NADC
> and contractor personnel, can't describe their
> internal police arrangement, or even their playing
> of reveille at an unusual time.

Just as an aside, Leonard, would it be possible for you to come up with
any details about my work overseas at U.S. Embassies in Helsinki,
Finland;
Bissau, Guinea-Bissau; Freetown, Sierra Leone; Gaborone, Botswana or Dar
es Salaam, Tanzania? Could you describe just one of the embassies or
one of the comm centers in any one of them? Would you be able to
outline what my typical day might entail or what equipment I used?

Would you be able to do the same for my Air Force tour in Vietnam?

> The proper word is "rife." Yes, I have enough from a half
> century of working IN electronics and radio, but a small
> part of it DOES have to do with hobby electronics (such
> as amateur radio.

Such as amateur radio--but not actually amateur radio.

"All you do in here is try to demean and denigrate others
who HAVE some experience in radio...especially those
who have done MORE than you ever have. Tsk."

--Leonard H. Anderson

"Since when has YOUR name-calling, denigrations, and
attempts at defamation of character ever concerned
'amateur radio policy?' Tsk, tsk, tsk."

--Leonard H. Anderson

You got SH*T. Bad word or not, that describes what you do
to EVERYONE who disagrees with you. You SH*T on their
person, defecating on them verbally in a momentous
display of your rage and hatred if they so much as say
anything negative against you. All you do is practice
sociopathic verbal defecation.

--Leonard H. Anderson

These are priceless, Len and I have no doubt that you'll be seeing them
again. You've succeeded in describing your own behavior.

Dave K8MN

4283. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 22:00:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42603987.B20F99B7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105799

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 3:42 am

> > Be NICE to MARS folks,
> > Jimmie, maybe they'll GIVE you an AN/FRC-93 for
> > nothing; it's a Collins KWM2 Commercial transceiver
> > with a military nameplate.

> Don't want one. And it's a KWM-2A, with the extra crystal positions.

It certainly is the KWM-2A.

Nearly a year ago, Len told us:

"The exception was the procurement
of the AN/FRC-93 HF transceiver, the commercial version (full
crystal bank) of the Collins KWM2. [I have the TM on it and can tell
where to get it for free PDF download...even for Canadians... :-)] "

The commercial version of the Collins KWM-2A is the Collins KWM-2A.
I have a government surplus unit. It has no military nameplate
identifying it as an AN/FRC-93. The units I used in Vietnam had no
military nameplates identifying them as AN/FRC-93 units. The manuals we
had on hand were Collins KWM-2A manuals.

Dave K8MN

4284. N9OGL'S RESPOND TO THE MANCHESTER NEWSLETTER ABOUT K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 22:27:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113604023.017949.265930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105800

The Manchester Newsletter and N9OGL respond.

!!! WARNING !!!
K1MAN RADIO BROADCAST ON
3885AM/3975LSB/14.275USB

ALL RADIO ENTHUSIAST, WHETHER YOUR A HAM OPERATOR OR SHORT-WAVE RADIO LISTENER, YOU SHOULD BE ADVISED ABOUT K1MAN, GLENN BAXTER, IARN/AARA. Don't be duped by this individual. Glenn Baxter's only interest in Ham Radio
is to make a profit at your expense. He uses the Ham Radio Frequencies to
advertise his organization, when in reality, he wants unsuspecting people
to dial into his WEB Site so he can sell you his Bill-of-Goods! Why pay
Glenn Baxter when the ARRL, (American Radio Relay League) will help you
for free, and is a well known, established service, known for many years!

Really, I think it rather funny that K1MAN can't have goods to sale but the ARRL can. If anyone violates the law it's the ARRL, and I'm sure there is come whiney little ARRL lovers out there that would say, OH K1MAN IS ADVERTISING HIS GOODS DIRECTLY. Well you lazy fat bastards the rules state that amateur station cannot make money direct or indirect, So the K1MAN may be telling people in his bulletins to go to his website, where he is selling goods (DIRECT) the ARRL is selling goods (INDIRECT). And for you fat lazy bastards out there here is the rule:

§97.113 Prohibited transmissions.

(a) No amateur station shall transmit:

(2) Communications for hire or for material compensation, direct or indirect, paid or promised, except as otherwise provided in these rules;

So while K1MAN is doing it directly, W1AW is doing it indirectly. I often find it funny that it's the ARRL members who are whining about it...hmm do you think the ARRL and it's members are trying to set up a monopoly???

When you get Glenn's info package, it is a classic PYRAMID SCHEME !!!!
Broadcasting slamming the ARRL, defending personal issues, is not

conducive to Ham Radio !!!
Glenn Baxter has been on for 10 years; Ask yourself this, what
has he
done for Amateur Radio?
K1MAN broadcast on the Ham Bands might sound legitimate, but don't get
duped! There are many allegations about Glenn Baxter, and his
organization,
that warrant controversy, from him being a convicted Child Molester,
to
operating a Pirate Radio Station off the Coast. Glenn Baxter is using
Ham
Radio to fill his illusions of grandeur of having his own Radio
Station,
which in reality, is a garage operation. He is using a loop-hole in
the FCC
rules to continue on with this worthless broadcast that causes
interference
and dissension in the Amateur Radio Community. Talk Radio on Ham
Bands, give
us a break, Amateur Radio does not need this! Amateur Radio is a Hobby

designed for two-way communications, not one-way. Don't let yourself
get
involved with this lying, ego idiot and his organization, which is
designed
to extort your money, and damage the reputation of Ham Radio.
ALL GLENN BAXTER HAS IS A TRANSMITTER AND A BIG MOUTH !

As I've stated many times before where in the FCC rules does it state
that a person can't run opinionated information bulletin? The problem
is that these low life fat bastard ARRL member believe that "oh,
informational bulletins must be news". No where in the FCC rules does
it stated that you fat bastards, the rules and the FCC; has through
warning letters stated that and information bulletin only has to be
information relating to amateur radio and directed to the amateur radio
service, there is also no time limit on bulletins. By the way you fat
bastards Glenn has been on the air not ten years but more like 17
years. I really think it funny here is a bunch of ARRL / QUEER RZ
people who want to suppress someone speech. It is also funny that this
group and their little buddies at the ARRL and QUEER RZ are make up
this paranoid claims about this guy by claiming he is doing all this
stuff from child molesting to running a pirate radio station off the
coast. Then they say "oh he found a "loophole" in the FCC rules,
listen you stupid fat bastards remove the dildo with the rusty razor
blades from your ass and hear me well, there was no "loophole" as
you claim, the rules has always been there, your just pissed off that
he's using the rule and you don't have the mental capacity to use
them. It funny that some fat bastards are allowed to say what they want
on the air but when K1MAN wants to this fat bastards from the Hitler

youth jump in on these newsgroups, chat rooms, and message boards whining "oh, what K1MAN is doing is illegal ..... boo hoo". Don't radio too serious and get a life you fat bastards.

This is not a SMEAR campaign as Glenn Baxter claims. NEWS LETTER gets the truth about someone who is destroying our wonderful Hobby because he has a grudge against the ARRL, and anyone who does not agree with his views on how Amateur Radio should be, according to Glenn Baxter. JUST BE AWARE ! Glenn Baxter's only concern is to get your Dollar! This is a business for IARN, Just see for yourself.
AARN/AARA Does not represent the Majority of Ham Operators in the World !
SEE FOR YOURSELF, DIAL INTO HIS WEB SITE, CHECK IT OUT !
Also, Walter Cronkite, KB2GSD is not part of the IARN Organization

It has been revealed to us Glenn Baxter references Walter Cronkite when fraudulently applying for Government Grants to make his organization look good so he can extort funds for his own personal use to keep his station on the air. IARN/AARA is not financially solvent and is on the brink of Bankruptcy.
** IARN/AARA is NOT recognized by CBS, ABC, BBC, or any other Net-work affiliation **
IARN: (I)t's (A)nother (R)adio (N)utcase !!!
HOURS AHEAD, AND NOBODY CARES

It seems to me that this "Newsletter" is that a smear campaign against this guy. One of this day you fat bastards while your enjoying each other company with dildos with rusty razor blades embedded in them. So poor ass smock is going to write a newsletter about you and your little group and gather information about you and your group and spread all your dark secrets over the internet, you fat bastards. What about the ARRL? So the son of bitch doesn't like them, BIG FREAKING DEAL!!!! So does a huge population of the amateur service. Everyone who hates the ARRL has that right, you fat bastards. Despite what you and all your little fat bastard friends think my Baxter has the right to voice his even on the radio.

After you have received our Flyer, check it out, call Glenn Baxter at (207/495-2215) and let him know your heard from News Letter. You will

be
amazed of the response you will receive. As a matter of fact, since
you are
paying for this call, ask Glenn why he does not have an 800 number.
Then call
Walter Cronkite, 212-975-3627, and speak with Marline Adler. Ask her
about
Glenn Baxter and why he promos Walter on his WEB Site and Broadcast to

further his credibility of his organization, and if Walter is a part
of
IARN/AARA. The Cronkite camp wants Glenn to stop. When you call Glenn,
ask:

1. Who is his representative in Washington, DC to lobby for AARA/IARN?
Also,
what is his Dun & Bradstreet rating of his organization. (Watch Glenn
waffle
on that one)

2. Ask how many Radio Ham Clubs uses IARN as their Club Affiliate?
Where are
they, and who is the President of that particular Radio Club, and what
is
his/her call sign?

Why doesn't he broadcast this information?

3. Which one of Glenn's Organizations is a Maine Corporation registered
with
the Secretary of State? Who are the Corporate Officers (besides his
own
family, if any)?

Ask Glenn what really happen to him at Dayton?
4. Why doesn't IARN/AARA attend major Ham Fest in New England area?
(Don't
buy this bullcrap he doesn't have the time). What Ham Fest overseas
does he
attend?

Why does Glenn pack a Gun these days?
5. How many Jump Teams does he have? Ask for a list of names of the
Hundreds
of personnel he can use in an International Disaster. (If you get 10
names,
your lucky)
News Letter knows what really happen during Hurricane Marilyn!

6. Besides his big mouth, ask Glenn what AARA/IARN has actually done
for Ham
Radio?
(That should be a good one, you'll get an ear-full of bullcrap

and
dribble from that question)

7. Ask Glenn why he got fired from Collins Radio (Another good one, we know
the truth)
(Then ask about his days at Vermont Academy and why he was considered
such a nerd!)

8. Why is Chris Murdock's call, WA1HOD, have the same QTH as K1MAN? See

Callbook

You will notice a cloy-babble, rhetoric, insipid reply (if any) from Glenn,
and his usual crying and whining about all he is doing for Ham Radio, and
the ARRL is so evil and bad for the common Ham Operator. Of course you will
get this response that he doesn't have to give names and addresses of people
that represent his organization (because he has none!). ARRL list the names
in the front of their QST Magazine. Any legitimate Corporation makes the
Names of their Officers & Sponsors open for public scrutiny.

Just sit back and look what is going on here, Glen Baxter, K1MAN is a fraud.
This Organization of his will never replace the ARRL, or even come close to
representing the majority of Hams in the World. Just beware! After you talk
to Glenn, if you have a Computer, check into his WEB Site, that is where the
real BullCrap is. Watch your wallet!
Glenn always brags on the air it doesn't cost (and the term he uses) dues, to
join IARN; however, you have to pay 10 Bucks for a stupid T-Shirt, and

$35.00 a year for a full membership to listen to this worthless Broadcast.
WOW, Look at me, I are an AARA Member. Go to your local Ham Fest and wearing
that T-Shirt, you'll be the toast-of-the- town! Do yourself a favor, get
away from this idiot, talk to other Hams, enjoy the Hobby! The ARRL may not
be perfect, and not for everybody, but at least it is a creditable
organization that has been the backbone of Ham Radio for a long time, and is

well known!
HELP BEAUTIFY THE AIRWAVES, GET RID OF K1MAN
****
#42 THE MANCHESTER NEWS LETTER Aug 98

Newsletter Information Bulletin Service coming up in 3 minutes---------News Letter information bulletin service coming up in 2 minutes--------10 seconds---------This is News Letter, World Headquarters, reporting from Manchester, New Hampshire, man-kinds answer to get information out about our local raving lunatic, alleged Child Molester, noted pervert, general nuisance on the Ham Bands, Glenn Baxter, Chief honcho of IARN (It's Another Radio Nutcase), of a broadca$h, than any normal human being on the Planet Earth could just-care-less. So sit back, join the fun, read this edition of News Letter.....

Week of 20 April 98: As you may of noticed, good old Glenn Baxter has stopped airing the full Names, addresses, phone numbers, of his callers that call his show. I guess the Warning Flyers we send out about AARA/IARN got the supreme irritant a little upset. I guess Glenn can't deal with the truth, and has to hide his callers so News Letter won't contact them. Gee Glenn, did we upset your programming, and World conquest of dominating Ham Radio with your bullcrap? Sorry, but it won't slow us down on getting info out to the public about your stupid, insipid, organization. Your creditability is shot! Too bad, Ha, Ha, Ha! We just thought of something, I bet it takes a lot more work to edit programming tapes, screen the callers, so Glenn-baby can get the correct information out on the air. Talk about hiding behind the microphone
!!!
Glenn's new format by editing personal information shows, again, IARN's level of Creditability. When you listen to these interviews, you can tell parts are being edited out. It was funny because the week of 27 April 98, Glenn was

talking to a Ham from Worcester, MA and stated the majority of letters we
receive are pro his broadcast. Boy, we know that's bullcrap. Here's the
drill: Caller, what's your first name and location?. Glenn Baxter is so
scared that News Letter is going to let someone know the truth, he can't
stand it! Hey Glenn, next time you get an anonymous call, or letter,
remember, you are now doing the same thing! Your are a fake, bullcrapper,
and a worthless Scumbag. You readers ask yourself this. Glenn stated his
Letters & Callers are 10 to 1 pro his broadcast, if that is so, why did Glenn
discontinue giving out names and address of his callers??? The next thing
you will notice, Glenn will probably have the same people call, (since he
doesn't have to air their names), and brag how great IARN is. Just remember
you saw this first here in News Letter. To confirm all this, call up
yourself, see if Glenn will air you comments!
Remember, IARN/AARA is not a talk-Radio show, and is not the first News
Line broadcast service.

HOSS-TRADERS HAM FEST: Rochester, NH weekend of 9, 10 May 1998 , I was not
able to attend; however, several area local Hams went, and they said it was
great. This is their report: Looks like most of the AM'ers were there. There
was Tim, Pete, Dale, Dale, Eric, Jim, Jim, Bill, Bill, Bill, Jeff, Mark,
Chuck, Dave, Carl, John, Kenny, Ken, Steve, Warren, Mike, Chris, the regular
crew. There were several AM groups. Tim arrived in style with the
Cadavilac, Dales was setup with his Military Gear, and Bill was set up in
his Van with his Johnson Ranger. The Deer Meat was ...

To me you fat bastards are a bunch of whinny little babies who have
nothing better to do with your time but send out this bullshit ARRL
loving newsletter while you insert dildo's with rusty razor blades
embedded in it deep into each other asses.

~FAT BASTARDS!!!~

TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

20 METERS, 10 METERS, 2 METERS

4285. Re: Lennie Utters More "I Obviously Don't Know the Truth" Stuff Again....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 23:00:00 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <UfCdnXsxOo4P2v3fRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 105801

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:425FCD26.D92FAB41@earthlink.net...
> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > Secondly, check with a REAL MARS civilian volunteer.
>> > You will find out that the military GIVES them radio
>> > goodies. No need to "buy."
>>
>> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> ! ! ! !! ! ! ! !
>>
>> MARS allows participants who have ALREADY met certain
>> PARTICIPATION goals to draw equiment from surplus stock!
>
> As of my most recent participation in the MARS program, that equipment
> was loaned, not given. It was issued on a hand receipt.
>
>> In other words, you operate YOUR gear on MARS assignments BEFORE
>> you EVER get to "go shopping"... ! ! ! !
>
>> And MARS "issues" of surplus radios dwindled to less than a
>> trickle YEARS AGO! ! ! !
>
> I knew some fellow in the Shreveport/Bossier City, Louisiana area who
> were already long time MARS participants in the late 1960's. Some of
> them had items like R-390 receivers, VHF FM gear and the like. None of
> them had any transmitting equipment provided by MARS. There was not
> much in the way of equipment available from AF MARS at that time.
> Nobody with with only a year or two of participation was eligible to
> receive any equipment at all. I did snag some lengths of really, really
> old coaxial cable. My AF MARS activities were done with equipment I
> bought and paid for myself.
>
> I'll chalk this up as something else which Leonard Anderson knows little
> about.
>
> Dave K8MN

Both I and my OM were MARS operators for a short time in the early 1990s,
there was never even a hint that they might offer equipment to either one of
us. Nor did any of the promotional material, training material, etc ever
mention such a possibility.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
```

4286. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-15 23:52:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113609143.279840.258900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

NEW FROM N9OGL BLOG

THIS WAS A POST BY SOMEONE CLAIMING TO BE K4YZ

k4yz says

And another thing.

Stop making hang up phone calls to my house. We know that it is you and
we have already told the police about what we suspect.

4287. Re: N9OGL'S RESPOND TO THE MANCHESTER NEWSLETTER ABOUT K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 00:16:29 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ePSdnWsl4KsU6v3fRVn-1Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105803

N9OGL wrote:

>
> ----------

> Really, I think it rather funny that K1MAN can't have goods to sale
> but the ARRL can. If anyone violates the law it's the ARRL, and I'm
> sure there is come whiney little ARRL lovers out there that would say,
> OH K1MAN IS ADVERTISING HIS GOODS DIRECTLY. Well you lazy fat bastards
> the rules state that amateur station cannot make money direct or
> indirect, So the K1MAN may be telling people in his bulletins to go to
> his website, where he is selling goods (DIRECT) the ARRL is selling
> goods (INDIRECT). And for you fat lazy bastards out there here is the
> rule:

<Rest of toddyboy's yet another lame attempt at putting together a
cohesive paragraph deleted>

Toddyboy, my 7 year old, first grader grandaughter could teach you how
to spell and structure sentences properly, when to and when not to use
plural vs singular. Shall I have her type up a tutorial for you? If she
does can you get someone to read it and explaine it to you?

4288. Re: N9OGL'S RESPOND TO THE MANCHESTER NEWSLETTER ABOUT K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 02:46:50 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <2pWdndurBIIH4f3fRVn-rQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105804

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>
>> ----------
>>
>> Really, I think it rather funny that K1MAN can't have goods to sale
>> but the ARRL can. If anyone violates the law it's the ARRL, and I'm
>> sure there is come whiney little ARRL lovers out there that would say,
>> OH K1MAN IS ADVERTISING HIS GOODS DIRECTLY. Well you lazy fat bastards
>> the rules state that amateur station cannot make money direct or
>> indirect, So the K1MAN may be telling people in his bulletins to go to
>> his website, where he is selling goods (DIRECT) the ARRL is selling
>> goods (INDIRECT). And for you fat lazy bastards out there here is the
>> rule:
>
>
> <Rest of toddyboy's yet another lame attempt at putting together a
> cohesive paragraph deleted>
>
> Toddyboy, my 7 year old, first grader grandaughter could teach you how
> to spell and structure sentences properly, when to and when not to use
> plural vs singular. Shall I have her type up a tutorial for you? If she
> does can you get someone to read it and explaine it to you?

It's very hard to understand anything that he's written. I have to guess
at which word he meant to use, not just the misspellings.
Look at the topic, does he mean RESPONSE? A spell checkers not going to
help at all.

4289. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:14:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113621267.050008.159970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> NEW FROM N9OGL BLOG
>
> THIS WAS A POST BY SOMEONE CLAIMING TO BE K4YZ
>
> k4yz says
>
> And another thing.
>
> Stop making hang up phone calls to my house. We know that it is you
and
> we have already told the police about what we suspect.

You can tell them anything you want, Todd.

Making such an accusation, even in Illinois, is known as "Making A False Police Report". It's a crime. But then you really don't have a hard time with breaking the law, now do you? Your posts here clearly indicate you feel completely at ease violating whatever law you want to.

Feel free to give me the name of the filing police officer and I will gladly give them my home number and have them do a call dump.

Did you really think that a silly stunt like this was going to work?

You're more stupid than you look.

Steve, K4YZ

4290. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621572.766120.143500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4291. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621578.919585.271080@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4292. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621578.774954.115940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4293. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621578.808036.29140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4294. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621578.230506.152370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4295. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621579.803637.156590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4296. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621579.844344.272590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4297. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621579.288333.126290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4298. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621579.083328.155650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4299. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621580.261741.286680@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4300. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621580.511106.157590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking
little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole
from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who
kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4301. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621580.269233.68460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4302. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621580.630172.163320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4303. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621582.675179.168590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4304. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621582.764691.113400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4305. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621582.756198.5130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4306. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621583.191732.170690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4307. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621583.498341.20810@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4308. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:19:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113621583.452455.121020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

4309. Re: Lennie Utters More "I Obviously Don't Know the Truth" Stuff Again....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:31:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42608721.E3ACCEFF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105825

```
Dee Flint wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:425FCD26.D92FAB41@earthlink.net...
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >> > Secondly, check with a REAL MARS civilian volunteer.
> >> > You will find out that the military GIVES them radio
> >> > goodies. No need to "buy."
> >>
> >> BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >> ! ! ! !! ! ! ! !
> >>
> >> MARS allows participants who have ALREADY met certain
> >> PARTICIPATION goals to draw equiment from surplus stock!
> >
> > As of my most recent participation in the MARS program, that equipment
> > was loaned, not given. It was issued on a hand receipt.
> >
> >> In other words, you operate YOUR gear on MARS assignments BEFORE
> >> you EVER get to "go shopping"... ! ! ! !
> >
> >> And MARS "issues" of surplus radios dwindled to less than a
> >> trickle YEARS AGO! ! ! !
> >
> > I knew some fellow in the Shreveport/Bossier City, Louisiana area who
> > were already long time MARS participants in the late 1960's. Some of
> > them had items like R-390 receivers, VHF FM gear and the like. None of
> > them had any transmitting equipment provided by MARS. There was not
> > much in the way of equipment available from AF MARS at that time.
> > Nobody with with only a year or two of participation was eligible to
> > receive any equipment at all. I did snag some lengths of really, really
> > old coaxial cable. My AF MARS activities were done with equipment I
> > bought and paid for myself.
> >
> > I'll chalk this up as something else which Leonard Anderson knows little
> > about.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Both I and my OM were MARS operators for a short time in the early 1990s,
> there was never even a hint that they might offer equipment to either one of
> us. Nor did any of the promotional material, training material, etc ever
```

> mention such a possibility.

Thanks for the confirmation, Dee. I think the equipment gravy train dried up a long time ago. When it existed, the equipment was loaned. I understood that after it was in the possession of an individual for some time, it was occasionally written off. I know of several occasions when those who were loaned gear were requested to return it.

Dave K8MN

4310. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:54:05 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <x%%7e.6973$lP1.3770@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105826

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1113489009.820174.214680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

K&#65533;HB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1113483024.481096.18220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> > >
> > Didn't Hans put that well into the 70's for the Navy?
> > >
>
> No, Hans didn't.

Thank-you for the correction.

> Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

You forgot something..."Retired".

Steve, K4YZ

4311. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 03:58:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <J308e.6975$lP1.1089@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105827

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> You forgot something..."Retired".

What did I forget? My account was as complete as needed.

And what does "Retired" have to do with it?

de Hans, K0HB

4312. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 04:23:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113625395.063452.197830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105828

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Fri,Apr 15 2005 12:15 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "K4YZ" on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 2:40 am
> >
> >
> > >> Tsk, tsk, tsk. You don't know anything about what took
> > >> place on three successive R&D jobs where NADC was the test
> > >> agency for evaluation of SECANT (the RCA acronym for the
> > >> anti-collision system back then).
> > >
> > > It doesn't matter, Lennie. I know what happened on ONE of
them.
>
> You know NOTHING.

I know enough about you, Lennie.

> All you do in here is try to demean and denigrate others
> who HAVE some experience in radio...especially those
> who have done MORE than you ever have. Tsk.
>
> >> At NO time was I doing anything "for" NADC...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > But you were there and your performance was lackluster.
> > >
> > > You got a bad "fit rep". Oh well. We can't all be "100%" 100%
> of
> >the time. Deal with it.
>
> Tsk. You have NO frame of reference in trying to compare
> industry with your personal experience in the military.
>
> To reiterate - because you can't understand how industry
> works - I was NOT EVER an employee of the USN and NOT
> EVER at NADC. I was an employee of RCA Corporation then
> and remained so until 1975. At NO TIME did any NADC
> people do any performance reviews of my work. They
> couldn't. I was NOT an employee there.

Then your ignorance exceeds your arrogance, Lennie, becasue
SOMEone sure put pen to paper.

Huge snip of usual dodging and spinning behind volumes of
pontification and self-righteous indignation.

> > And not a bit of it relvent to the fact that Leonard H.
Anderson
> >was at NADC, was a less than stellar performer, and when I asked
> around
> >about him, I got a "hit"...
>
> You got ####. Bad word or not, that describes what you do
> to EVERYONE who disagrees with you. You #### on their
> person, defecating on them verbally in a momentous
> display of your rage and hatred if they so much as say
> anything negative against you. All you do is practice
> sociopathic verbal defecation.

Lennie, if you'd stop doing the very same thing in THIS forum
under the guise of your self-conceptualized superior intellect and
breeding, people would stop doing it to you.

You ran your mouth off. I was able to do a bit of research and
came up with the money card. Sucks to be you.

No matter how much you scream, how many profanities you peel off,
and how you try to bog down the basics with technical dissertations
that have NOTHING to do with the underlying comments, you'll still be
you and no one really cares about you or your anti/hate Amateur Radio
rantings.

> > And the people at NADC that had to evaluate the performace of
the
> >contract weren't impressed with YOU, Lennie.
>
> Idiot. A TESTING AGENCY "evaluates the performance" of
> the TEST. It doesn't "evaluate contractor personnel."

If yuo don't do the job they expect of you, yes, they put things
in writting.

> For the last time: ...(SNIP)

You never do ANYTHING for "the last time".

As a matter of fact, you haven't done ANY of the things you said
you'd do in this forum. So WHY do you think any of your screaming,
foot stomping, profantities and insults will change anyone's mind,
Lennie...?!?!

> You have to TRY and stop ####TING on people you don't
> like. It isn't productive, it isn't civil, it isn't
> according to The Amateur's Code.

YOY are the very epitome of "not productive", Lennie.

> All you've done so far is to dig yourself deeper into
> your original LIE. You have NO proof. You can't
> supply ANY details. You have so many misunderstandings
> about defense contracts and procedure that it shows you
> are totally BOGUS in all that ####TING on others.

It's not bogus.

Call it whatever you want, Lennie. It doesn't change anything.

> You are SICK.

Nope. I'm doing quite well, actually.

> You have diss-temper. You need a vet...
> a veterinarian...or something. GET HELP for yourself.

Take your own advice, Anderson. Before you lacerate your
fingertips banging on the keyboard like that......

Steve, K4YZ

4313. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 04:25:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113625546.161438.324800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105829

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > You forgot something..."Retired".
>
> What did I forget? My account was as complete as needed.
>
> And what does "Retired" have to do with it?

Details, Master Chief. You've thumped me over the head with
otherwise trivial "details" on other posts before...Are you not subject
to the same expectation?

73

Steve, K4YZ

4314. Re: Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 04:35:41 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.62.0504160428140.67@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 105830

To Steve, K4YZ, an asshole without a life:

I'm not here to play games with you. You accuse me of "disappearing." I see that it never occurred to you that perhaps I read this group exactly ONCE PER WEEK (time permitting) and that I may have been done for that day. Unlike you, I have a life - I don't sit in front of a computer 24 hours per day waiting for the next post I can troll a response from like you apparently do.

Of course, any REAL concept of what point I had actually raised is so far over your head, monkeys have a better chance of typing out Shakespeare's works before you will ever understand it - or ANY point raised by anyone else, intelligent or not, ever. Therefore, it is pointless trying to explain it to you for you will NEVER understand. You lack such a capability.

Your own, continuous ranting has shown this to the world. Poor Steve, who permanently and publically branded himself a fool.

4315. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 05:03:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113624292.168352.106100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey you ####ing dumbass I did write that on my ####ing blog you
####ing
> little ###hole....someone's pretending to be you, you ####ing slut
> why the #### would I want to pretend to be some ####ing inbred
###hole
> from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who
> kick your ##### ###es during the civil war you ####ing ###hole

Todd...I know this has been addressed to you before, but since you refuse (or don't know how) to show attributes, who can tell who you're trying to be obscene to?

As for you not wanting to be "inbred"...Oooops...Too late.

I also point out that the Civil War was over 140 years ago. You weren't around for that conflict. You didn't kick anything. You obviously can't kick whatever it is you're taking tonight, either.

Sober up and come back later.

Steve, K4YZ

4316. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 05:25:39 UTC
From: Lloyd 02 <NoSpam@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d3q7j0fgg0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113621583.452455.121020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking
little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut

why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole
from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who
kick your pussy asses during the civil war

you fucking asshole

/ / /

My, oh my, Toddie. You seem to be slipping.

You seem to be suffering from IBS, Irritable Bowel Syndrome.

There ARE professionals to whom you can go for counseling, Todd. It may
behoove you to seek out one of these counselors before you blow one or more
veins in your 'abraham Lincoln' head and start seeing double. Ruptured veins
can also cause Tourette Syndrome, which you seem to be on the verge of.
http://members.tripod.com/~tourette13/

Get help quick, Todd!

4317. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 05:31:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113629506.911683.198070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

YOU, you fucking moron, I SAID I DID POST THAT ON MY BLOG SOMEONE'S ON THERE PRETENDING TO BE YOUR (K4YZ) SORRY ASS. I WOULD NEVER PRETEND TO BE SOME SOUTHERN ASSHOLE.

TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH

4318. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 06:16:35 UTC
From: Lloyd 02 <NoSpam@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d3qaig$of7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113629506.911683.198070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
YOU, you fucking moron, I SAID I DID POST THAT ON MY BLOG SOMEONE'S ON
THERE PRETENDING TO BE YOUR (K4YZ) SORRY ASS. I WOULD NEVER PRETEND TO
BE SOME SOUTHERN ASSHOLE.

TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH

''

You don't have to pretend to be an anal aperture, Todd.
You are.

A juvenile one at that....

4319. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 06:17:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113632254.141930.220970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I only get it when I'm talking to dumbass southern licensed CB
operators...like k4yz, a guy who is a narrow minded moron.
Todd O'Dochartaigh n9ogl

4320. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 06:17:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113632263.143082.26550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I only get it when I'm talking to dumbass southern licensed CB
operators...like k4yz, a guy who is a narrow minded moron.
Todd O'Dochartaigh n9ogl

4321. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 06:22:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113630596.795931.259680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105837

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 3:42 am
>
>> > Be NICE to MARS folks,
>> > Jimmie, maybe they'll GIVE you an AN/FRC-93 for
>> > nothing; it's a Collins KWM2 Commercial transceiver
>> > with a military nameplate.
>
>> Don't want one. And it's a KWM-2A, with the extra crystal positions.
>
>It certainly is the KWM-2A.
>
>Nearly a year ago, Len told us:
>
>"The exception was the procurement
>of the AN/FRC-93 HF transceiver, the commercial version (full
>crystal bank) of the Collins KWM2. [I have the TM on it and can tell
>where to get it for free PDF download...even for Canadians... :-)] "
>
>The commercial version of the Collins KWM-2A is the Collins KWM-2A.
>I have a government surplus unit. It has no military nameplate
>identifying it as an AN/FRC-93. The units I used in Vietnam had no
>military nameplates identifying them as AN/FRC-93 units. The manuals
we
>had on hand were Collins KWM-2A manuals.

Well there ya go! :-)

Everybody ought to run right over to LOGSA (that
stands for LOGistical Supply Agency) and tell them...

THE AN/FRC-93 DOESN'T EXIST!

Gosh yes...and TM 11-5820-554-12 doesn't exist, either!

Guess that LOGSA ought to destroy that particular CD
of TMs because Davie's (in-country?) KWM2 didn't have
an "official" nameplate, just the Collins thing. :-)

Oh, and there are about a half dozen versions of this
non-existant transceiver plus several separate TMs
(that's TM for Technical Manual for you civilians) for
ancilliary Collins units to work with it.

Would you like the NSN (National Stock Number) for this non-existant-nomenclature radio set? :-) [before you burn all those CDs in your outrage, or whatever]

Oh, and the manual produced by Collins Radio was reproduced for most of this TM. That's rather common for COTS (Commercial Off-The Shelf) electronic equipment (and other things) and has been done for many, many years.

Oh, yeah, and TMs are now also distributed on CDs to all branches of the military. Saves space and paper plus the weight on shipping the printed versions. All the branches have computers now, even stuff in the field. Those computers have "AN" numbers and "NSNs" also but I don't DARE write them in here due to the hostile environment presented by die-hard PCTAs. Those can't exist if Davie says they don't exist! :-)

Temper fry...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4322. Re: N9OGL'S RESPOND TO THE MANCHESTER NEWSLETTER ABOUT K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 07:00:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113634820.314814.228910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105838

here's the fixed version

The Manchester Newsletter and N9OGL respond.

!!! WARNING !!!
K1MAN RADIO BROADCAST ON
3885AM/3975LSB/14.275USB

ALL RADIO ENTHUSIAST, WHETHER YOUR A HAM OPERATOR OR SHORT-WAVE RADIO
LISTENER, YOU SHOULD BE ADVISED ABOUT K1MAN, GLENN BAXTER, IARN/AARA.

Don't be duped by this individual. Glenn Baxter's only interest in
Ham
Radio
is to make a profit at your expense. He uses the Ham Radio
Frequencies
to
advertise his organization, when in reality, he wants unsuspecting
people
to dial into his WEB Site so he can sell you his Bill-of-Goods! Why
pay
Glenn Baxter when the ARRL, (American Radio Relay League) will help
you
for free, and is a well known, established service, known for many
years!

Really, I think it rather funny that K1MAN can't have goods to sale
But the ARRL can. If anyone is violates the law it's the ARRL, and I'm

Sure there is some whiney little ARRL lovers out there that would say,

OH K1MAN IS ADVERTISING HIS GOODS DIRECTLY. Well you lazy fat
bastards, the rules state that amateur station cannot make money direct
or Indirect, So K1MAN may be telling people in his bulletins to go to
His website, where he is selling goods (DIRECT) while the ARRL is
selling
Goods (INDIRECT). And for you fat lazy bastards out there here is the
Rule:

§97.113 Prohibited transmissions.

(a) No amateur station shall transmit:

(2) Communications for hire or for material compensation, direct or
Indirect, paid or promised, except as otherwise provided in these
Rules,

So while K1MAN is doing it directly, W1AW is doing it indirectly. I
Often find it funny that it's the ARRL members who are whining about
him.. hmm do you think the ARRL and it's members are trying to set up
A monopoly???

When you get Glenn's info package, it is a classic PYRAMID SCHEME !!!!
Broadcasting slamming the ARRL, defending personal issues, is
not

conducive to Ham Radio !!!
Glenn Baxter has been on for 10 years; Ask yourself this, what
has he
done for Amateur Radio?
K1MAN broadcast on the Ham Bands might sound legitimate, but don't get

duped! There are many allegations about Glenn Baxter, and his
organization,
that warrant controversy, from him being a convicted Child Molester,
to
operating a Pirate Radio Station off the Coast. Glenn Baxter is using

Ham
Radio to fill his illusions of grandeur of having his own Radio
Station,
which in reality, is a garage operation. He is using a loop-hole in
the FCC
rules to continue on with this worthless broadcast that causes
interference
and dissension in the Amateur Radio Community. Talk Radio on Ham
Bands, give
us a break, Amateur Radio does not need this! Amateur Radio is a
Hobby

designed for two-way communications, not one-way. Don't let yourself
get
involved with this lying, ego idiot and his organization, which is
designed
to extort your money, and damage the reputation of Ham Radio.
ALL GLENN BAXTER HAS IS A TRANSMITTER AND A BIG MOUTH !

As I've asked many times before where in the FCC rules does it state
that a person can't run opinionated information bulletin? The problem
is that these low life fat bastard ARRL members believe that "oh,
Informational bulletins must be news". Nowhere in the FCC rules does it
state that you fat bastards. The rules and the FCC, has through warning
letters stated that and information bulletin only has to be information
relating to amateur radio and directed to the amateur radio service.
Plus, there is also no time limit on bulletins. By the way you fat
bastards Glenn has been on the air not ten years but more like 17
years. I really think it funny that here is a bunch of ARRL / QUEER RZ
people who want to suppress someone speech. It is also funny that this

group and their little buddies at the ARRL and QUEER RZ are make up this paranoid claims about this guy, by claiming he is doing all this stuff from child molesting to running a pirate radio station off the coast. Then they say "oh he found a "loophole" in the FCC rules. Listen you stupid fat bastards remove the dildo with the rusty razor blades from your ass and hear what I have to say. There was no "loophole" as you claim, the rules has always been there, you're just pissed off that he's using the rule and you don't have the mental capacity to use them. It funny that some fat bastards are allowed to say what they want on the air, but when K1MAN wants to these fat bastards from the Hitler youth jump in on these newsgroups, chat rooms, and message boards whining "oh, what K1MAN is doing is illegal..... Boo hoo". Don't take radio too serious and get a life you fat bastards.

This is not a SMEAR campaign as Glenn Baxter claims. NEWS LETTER gets the
truth about someone who is destroying our wonderful Hobby because he has a
grudge against the ARRL, and anyone who does not agree with his views

on how
Amateur Radio should be, according to Glenn Baxter. JUST BE AWARE !
Glenn Baxter's only concern is to get your Dollar! This is a business

for
IARN, Just see for yourself.
AARN/AARA Does not represent the Majority of Ham Operators in
the World !
SEE FOR YOURSELF, DIAL INTO HIS WEB SITE, CHECK IT OUT !
Also, Walter Cronkite, KB2GSD is not part of the IARN
Organization

It has been revealed to us Glenn Baxter references Walter Cronkite when
fraudulently applying for Government Grants to make his organization look
good so he can extort funds for his own personal use to keep his station on
the air. IARN/AARA is not financially solvent and is on the brink of
Bankruptcy.
** IARN/AARA is NOT recognized by CBS, ABC, BBC, or any other
Net-work
affiliation **
IARN: (I)t's (A)nother (R)adio (N)utcase !!!
HOURS AHEAD, AND NOBODY CARES

It seems to me that this "Newsletter" is that a smear campaign against this guy. One of this days you fat bastards will be enjoying each other company with dildos with rusty razor blades embedded in them cram in your asses and some poor smock is going to write a newsletter about you and your little group and spread all your dark secrets over the internet, you fat bastards. What about the ARRL? So the son of bitch

doesn't like them, BIG FREAKING DEAL!!!! So does a huge population of the amateur radio community. Everyone who hates the ARRL has that right, you fat bastards. Despite what you and all your little fat bastard friends think Mr. Baxter has the right to voice his opinion, even on the radio.

After you have received our Flyer, check it out, call Glenn Baxter at (207/495-2215) and let him know your heard from News Letter. You will

be
amazed of the response you will receive. As a matter of fact, since you are
paying for this call, ask Glenn why he does not have an 800 number. Then call
Walter Cronkite, 212-975-3627, and speak with Marline Adler. Ask her about
Glenn Baxter and why he promos Walter on his WEB Site and Broadcast to

further his credibility of his organization, and if Walter is a part of
IARN/AARA. The Cronkite camp wants Glenn to stop. When you call Glenn,
ask:

1. Who is his representative in Washington, DC to lobby for AARA/IARN? Also,
what is his Dun & Bradstreet rating of his organization. (Watch Glenn

waffle
on that one)

2. Ask how many Radio Ham Clubs uses IARN as their Club Affiliate? Where are
they, and who is the President of that particular Radio Club, and what
is
his/her call sign?

Why doesn't he broadcast this information?

3. Which one of Glenn's Organizations is a Maine Corporation registered

with
the Secretary of State? Who are the Corporate Officers (besides his own
family, if any)?

Ask Glenn what really happen to him at Dayton?
4. Why doesn't IARN/AARA attend major Ham Fest in New England area? (Don't
buy this bullcrap he doesn't have the time). What Ham Fest overseas

does he
attend?

Why does Glenn pack a Gun these days?
5. How many Jump Teams does he have? Ask for a list of names of the
Hundreds
of personnel he can use in an International Disaster. (If you get 10
names,
your lucky)
News Letter knows what really happen during Hurricane Marilyn!

6. Besides his big mouth, ask Glenn what AARA/IARN has actually done
for Ham
Radio?
(That should be a good one, you'll get an ear-full of bullcrap
and
dribble from that question)

7. Ask Glenn why he got fired from Collins Radio (Another good one, we
know
the truth)
(Then ask about his days at Vermont Academy and why he was
considered
such a nerd!)

8. Why is Chris Murdock's call, WA1HOD, have the same QTH as K1MAN? See

Callbook

You will notice a cloy-babble, rhetoric, insipid reply (if any) from
Glenn,
and his usual crying and whining about all he is doing for Ham Radio,

and
the ARRL is so evil and bad for the common Ham Operator. Of course
you
will
get this response that he doesn't have to give names and addresses of

people
that represent his organization (because he has none!). ARRL list the

names
in the front of their QST Magazine. Any legitimate Corporation makes
the
Names of their Officers & Sponsors open for public scrutiny.

Just sit back and look what is going on here, Glen Baxter, K1MAN is a
fraud.
This Organization of his will never replace the ARRL, or even come
close to
representing the majority of Hams in the World. Just beware! After
you

talk
to Glenn, if you have a Computer, check into his WEB Site, that is
where the
real BullCrap is. Watch your wallet!
Glenn always brags on the air it doesn't cost (and the term he uses)
dues, to
join IARN; however, you have to pay 10 Bucks for a stupid T-Shirt,
and

$35.00 a year for a full membership to listen to this worthless
Broadcast.
WOW, Look at me, I are an AARA Member. Go to your local Ham Fest and
wearing
that T-Shirt, you'll be the toast-of-the- town! Do yourself a favor,

get
away from this idiot, talk to other Hams, enjoy the Hobby! The ARRL
may not
be perfect, and not for everybody, but at least it is a creditable
organization that has been the backbone of Ham Radio for a long time,

and is
well known!
HELP BEAUTIFY THE AIRWAVES, GET RID OF K1MAN
****************************** ******************************
****
#42 THE MANCHESTER NEWS LETTER Aug 98

Newsletter Information Bulletin Service coming up in 3
minutes---------News
Letter information bulletin service coming up in 2 minutes--------10
seconds---------This is News Letter, World Headquarters, reporting
from
Manchester, New Hampshire, man-kinds answer to get information out
about our
local raving lunatic, alleged Child Molester, noted pervert, general
nuisance on the Ham Bands, Glenn Baxter, Chief honcho of IARN (It's
Another
Radio Nutcase), of a broadca$h, than any normal human being on the
Planet
Earth could just-care-less. So sit back, join the fun, read this
edition of
News Letter.....

Week of 20 April 98: As you may of noticed, good old Glenn Baxter has
stopped
airing the full Names, addresses, phone numbers, of his callers that
call
his show. I guess the Warning Flyers we send out about AARA/IARN got
the
supreme irritant a little upset. I guess Glenn can't deal with the
truth, and

has to hide his callers so News Letter won't contact them. Gee Glenn,

did we
upset your programming, and World conquest of dominating Ham Radio
with your
bullcrap? Sorry, but it won't slow us down on getting info out to
the public
about your stupid, insipid, organization. Your creditability is shot!

Too
bad, Ha, Ha, Ha! We just thought of something, I bet it takes a lot
more
work to edit programming tapes, screen the callers, so Glenn-baby can

get the
correct information out on the air. Talk about hiding behind the
microphone
!!!
Glenn's new format by editing personal information shows, again,
IARN's level
of Creditability. When you listen to these interviews, you can tell
parts
are being edited out. It was funny because the week of 27 April 98,
Glenn was
talking to a Ham from Worcester, MA and stated the majority of
letters
we
receive are pro his broadcast. Boy, we know that's bullcrap. Here's
the
drill: Caller, what's your first name and location?. Glenn Baxter is
so
scared that News Letter is going to let someone know the truth, he
can't
stand it! Hey Glenn, next time you get an anonymous call, or letter,

remember, you are now doing the same thing! Your are a fake,
bullcrapper,
and a worthless Scumbag. You readers ask yourself this. Glenn stated
his
Letters & Callers are 10 to 1 pro his broadcast, if that is so, why
did Glenn
discontinue giving out names and address of his callers??? The next
thing
you will notice, Glenn will probably have the same people call,
(since
he
doesn't have to air their names), and brag how great IARN is. Just
remember
you saw this first here in News Letter. To confirm all this, call up
yourself, see if Glenn will air you comments!
Remember, IARN/AARA is not a talk-Radio show, and is not the first

News
Line broadcast service.

HOSS-TRADERS HAM FEST: Rochester, NH weekend of 9, 10 May 1998 , I was not
able to attend; however, several area local Hams went, and they said it was
great. This is their report: Looks like most of the AM'ers were there.
There
was Tim, Pete, Dale, Dale, Eric, Jim, Jim, Bill, Bill, Bill, Jeff, Mark,
Chuck, Dave, Carl, John, Kenny, Ken, Steve, Warren, Mike, Chris, the regular
crew. There were several AM groups. Tim arrived in style with the
Cadavilac, Dales was setup with his Military Gear, and Bill was set up
in
his Van with his Johnson Ranger. The Deer Meat was ...

To me you fat bastards are a bunch of whinny little babies who have
nothing better to do with your time but send out this bullshit ARRL
loving newsletter while you insert dildo's with rusty razor blades
embedded in it deep into each other asses.

~FAT BASTARDS!!!~

TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
20 METERS, 10 METERS, 2 METERS

4323. Re: N9OGL'S RESPOND TO THE MANCHESTER NEWSLETTER ABOUT K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 07:07:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113635277.602908.157890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105839

Here a fixed version:

The Manchester Newsletter and N9OGL respond.

!!! WARNING !!!
K1MAN RADIO BROADCAST ON
3885AM/3975LSB/14.275USB

ALL RADIO ENTHUSIAST, WHETHER YOUR A HAM OPERATOR OR SHORT-WAVE RADIO
LISTENER, YOU SHOULD BE ADVISED ABOUT K1MAN, GLENN BAXTER, IARN/AARA.

Don't be duped by this individual. Glenn Baxter's only interest in
Ham
Radio
is to make a profit at your expense. He uses the Ham Radio
Frequencies
to
advertise his organization, when in reality, he wants unsuspecting
people
to dial into his WEB Site so he can sell you his Bill-of-Goods! Why
pay
Glenn Baxter when the ARRL, (American Radio Relay League) will help
you
for free, and is a well known, established service, known for many
years!

Really, I think it rather funny that K1MAN can't have goods to sale
But the ARRL can. If anyone is violates the law it's the ARRL, and I'm

Sure there is some whiney little ARRL lovers out there that would say,

OH K1MAN IS ADVERTISING HIS GOODS DIRECTLY. Well you lazy fat
bastards, the rules state that amateur station cannot make money direct
or Indirect, So K1MAN may be telling people in his bulletins to go to
His website, where he is selling goods (DIRECT) while the ARRL is
selling
Goods (INDIRECT). And for you fat lazy bastards out there here is the
Rule:

§97.113 Prohibited transmissions.

(a) No amateur station shall transmit:

(2) Communications for hire or for material compensation, direct or
Indirect, paid or promised, except as otherwise provided in these
Rules,

So while K1MAN is doing it directly, W1AW is doing it indirectly. I
Often find it funny that it's the ARRL members who are whining about
him.. hmm do you think the ARRL and it's members are trying to set up
A monopoly???

When you get Glenn's info package, it is a classic PYRAMID SCHEME !!!!
Broadcasting slamming the ARRL, defending personal issues, is
not

conducive to Ham Radio !!!
Glenn Baxter has been on for 10 years; Ask yourself this, what
has he
done for Amateur Radio?
K1MAN broadcast on the Ham Bands might sound legitimate, but don't get

duped! There are many allegations about Glenn Baxter, and his
organization,
that warrant controversy, from him being a convicted Child Molester,
to
operating a Pirate Radio Station off the Coast. Glenn Baxter is using

Ham
Radio to fill his illusions of grandeur of having his own Radio
Station,
which in reality, is a garage operation. He is using a loop-hole in
the FCC
rules to continue on with this worthless broadcast that causes
interference
and dissension in the Amateur Radio Community. Talk Radio on Ham
Bands, give
us a break, Amateur Radio does not need this! Amateur Radio is a
Hobby

designed for two-way communications, not one-way. Don't let yourself
get
involved with this lying, ego idiot and his organization, which is
designed
to extort your money, and damage the reputation of Ham Radio.
ALL GLENN BAXTER HAS IS A TRANSMITTER AND A BIG MOUTH !

As I've asked many times before where in the FCC rules does it state
that a person can't run opinionated information bulletin? The problem
is that these low life fat bastard ARRL members believe that "oh,
Informational bulletins must be news". Nowhere in the FCC rules does it
state that you fat bastards. The rules and the FCC, has through warning
letters stated that and information bulletin only has to be information
relating to amateur radio and directed to the amateur radio service.
Plus, there is also no time limit on bulletins. By the way you fat
bastards Glenn has been on the air not ten years but more like 17
years. I really think it funny that here is a bunch of ARRL / QUEER RZ
people who want to suppress someone speech. It is also funny that this

group and their little buddies at the ARRL and QUEER RZ are make up this paranoid claims about this guy, by claiming he is doing all this stuff from child molesting to running a pirate radio station off the coast. Then they say "oh he found a "loophole" in the FCC rules. Listen you stupid fat bastards remove the dildo with the rusty razor blades from your ass and hear what I have to say. There was no "loophole" as you claim, the rules has always been there, you're just pissed off that he's using the rule and you don't have the mental capacity to use them. It funny that some fat bastards are allowed to say what they want on the air, but when K1MAN wants to these fat bastards from the Hitler youth jump in on these newsgroups, chat rooms, and message boards whining "oh, what K1MAN is doing is illegal..... Boo hoo". Don't take radio too serious and get a life you fat bastards.

This is not a SMEAR campaign as Glenn Baxter claims. NEWS LETTER gets the
truth about someone who is destroying our wonderful Hobby because he has a
grudge against the ARRL, and anyone who does not agree with his views

on how
Amateur Radio should be, according to Glenn Baxter. JUST BE AWARE !
Glenn Baxter's only concern is to get your Dollar! This is a business

for
IARN, Just see for yourself.
AARN/AARA Does not represent the Majority of Ham Operators in
the World !
SEE FOR YOURSELF, DIAL INTO HIS WEB SITE, CHECK IT OUT !
Also, Walter Cronkite, KB2GSD is not part of the IARN
Organization

It has been revealed to us Glenn Baxter references Walter Cronkite when
fraudulently applying for Government Grants to make his organization look
good so he can extort funds for his own personal use to keep his station on
the air. IARN/AARA is not financially solvent and is on the brink of
Bankruptcy.
** IARN/AARA is NOT recognized by CBS, ABC, BBC, or any other
Net-work
affiliation **
IARN: (I)t's (A)nother (R)adio (N)utcase !!!
HOURS AHEAD, AND NOBODY CARES

It seems to me that this "Newsletter" is that a smear campaign against this guy. One of this days you fat bastards will be enjoying each other company with dildos with rusty razor blades embedded in them cram in your asses and some poor smock is going to write a newsletter about you and your little group and spread all your dark secrets over the internet, you fat bastards. What about the ARRL? So the son of bitch

doesn't like them, BIG FREAKING DEAL!!!! So does a huge population of the amateur radio community. Everyone who hates the ARRL has that right, you fat bastards. Despite what you and all your little fat bastard friends think Mr. Baxter has the right to voice his opinion, even on the radio.

After you have received our Flyer, check it out, call Glenn Baxter at (207/495-2215) and let him know your heard from News Letter. You will

be
amazed of the response you will receive. As a matter of fact, since you are
paying for this call, ask Glenn why he does not have an 800 number. Then call
Walter Cronkite, 212-975-3627, and speak with Marline Adler. Ask her about
Glenn Baxter and why he promos Walter on his WEB Site and Broadcast to

further his credibility of his organization, and if Walter is a part of
IARN/AARA. The Cronkite camp wants Glenn to stop. When you call Glenn,
ask:

1. Who is his representative in Washington, DC to lobby for AARA/IARN? Also,
what is his Dun & Bradstreet rating of his organization. (Watch Glenn

waffle
on that one)

2. Ask how many Radio Ham Clubs uses IARN as their Club Affiliate? Where are
they, and who is the President of that particular Radio Club, and what
is
his/her call sign?

Why doesn't he broadcast this information?

3. Which one of Glenn's Organizations is a Maine Corporation registered

with
the Secretary of State? Who are the Corporate Officers (besides his own
family, if any)?

Ask Glenn what really happen to him at Dayton?
4. Why doesn't IARN/AARA attend major Ham Fest in New England area? (Don't
buy this bullcrap he doesn't have the time). What Ham Fest overseas

does he
attend?

Why does Glenn pack a Gun these days?
5. How many Jump Teams does he have? Ask for a list of names of the
Hundreds
of personnel he can use in an International Disaster. (If you get 10
names,
your lucky)
News Letter knows what really happen during Hurricane Marilyn!

6. Besides his big mouth, ask Glenn what AARA/IARN has actually done
for Ham
Radio?
(That should be a good one, you'll get an ear-full of bullcrap
and
dribble from that question)

7. Ask Glenn why he got fired from Collins Radio (Another good one, we
know
the truth)
(Then ask about his days at Vermont Academy and why he was
considered
such a nerd!)

8. Why is Chris Murdock's call, WA1HOD, have the same QTH as K1MAN? See

Callbook

You will notice a cloy-babble, rhetoric, insipid reply (if any) from
Glenn,
and his usual crying and whining about all he is doing for Ham Radio,

and
the ARRL is so evil and bad for the common Ham Operator. Of course
you
will
get this response that he doesn't have to give names and addresses of

people
that represent his organization (because he has none!). ARRL list the

names
in the front of their QST Magazine. Any legitimate Corporation makes
the
Names of their Officers & Sponsors open for public scrutiny.

Just sit back and look what is going on here, Glen Baxter, K1MAN is a
fraud.
This Organization of his will never replace the ARRL, or even come
close to
representing the majority of Hams in the World. Just beware! After
you

talk
to Glenn, if you have a Computer, check into his WEB Site, that is
where the
real BullCrap is. Watch your wallet!
Glenn always brags on the air it doesn't cost (and the term he uses)
dues, to
join IARN; however, you have to pay 10 Bucks for a stupid T-Shirt,
and

$35.00 a year for a full membership to listen to this worthless
Broadcast.
WOW, Look at me, I are an AARA Member. Go to your local Ham Fest and
wearing
that T-Shirt, you'll be the toast-of-the- town! Do yourself a favor,

get
away from this idiot, talk to other Hams, enjoy the Hobby! The ARRL
may not
be perfect, and not for everybody, but at least it is a creditable
organization that has been the backbone of Ham Radio for a long time,

and is
well known!
HELP BEAUTIFY THE AIRWAVES, GET RID OF K1MAN
****************************** ******************************
****
#42 THE MANCHESTER NEWS LETTER Aug 98

Newsletter Information Bulletin Service coming up in 3
minutes---------News
Letter information bulletin service coming up in 2 minutes--------10
seconds---------This is News Letter, World Headquarters, reporting
from
Manchester, New Hampshire, man-kinds answer to get information out
about our
local raving lunatic, alleged Child Molester, noted pervert, general
nuisance on the Ham Bands, Glenn Baxter, Chief honcho of IARN (It's
Another
Radio Nutcase), of a broadca$h, than any normal human being on the
Planet
Earth could just-care-less. So sit back, join the fun, read this
edition of
News Letter.....

Week of 20 April 98: As you may of noticed, good old Glenn Baxter has
stopped
airing the full Names, addresses, phone numbers, of his callers that
call
his show. I guess the Warning Flyers we send out about AARA/IARN got
the
supreme irritant a little upset. I guess Glenn can't deal with the
truth, and

has to hide his callers so News Letter won't contact them. Gee Glenn,

did we
upset your programming, and World conquest of dominating Ham Radio
with your
bullcrap? Sorry, but it won't slow us down on getting info out to
the public
about your stupid, insipid, organization. Your creditability is shot!

Too
bad, Ha, Ha, Ha! We just thought of something, I bet it takes a lot
more
work to edit programming tapes, screen the callers, so Glenn-baby can

get the
correct information out on the air. Talk about hiding behind the
microphone
!!!
Glenn's new format by editing personal information shows, again,
IARN's level
of Creditability. When you listen to these interviews, you can tell
parts
are being edited out. It was funny because the week of 27 April 98,
Glenn was
talking to a Ham from Worcester, MA and stated the majority of
letters
we
receive are pro his broadcast. Boy, we know that's bullcrap. Here's
the
drill: Caller, what's your first name and location?. Glenn Baxter is
so
scared that News Letter is going to let someone know the truth, he
can't
stand it! Hey Glenn, next time you get an anonymous call, or letter,

remember, you are now doing the same thing! Your are a fake,
bullcrapper,
and a worthless Scumbag. You readers ask yourself this. Glenn stated
his
Letters & Callers are 10 to 1 pro his broadcast, if that is so, why
did Glenn
discontinue giving out names and address of his callers??? The next
thing
you will notice, Glenn will probably have the same people call,
(since
he
doesn't have to air their names), and brag how great IARN is. Just
remember
you saw this first here in News Letter. To confirm all this, call up
yourself, see if Glenn will air you comments!
Remember, IARN/AARA is not a talk-Radio show, and is not the first

News
Line broadcast service.

HOSS-TRADERS HAM FEST: Rochester, NH weekend of 9, 10 May 1998 , I was not
able to attend; however, several area local Hams went, and they said it was
great. This is their report: Looks like most of the AM'ers were there.
There
was Tim, Pete, Dale, Dale, Eric, Jim, Jim, Bill, Bill, Bill, Jeff, Mark,
Chuck, Dave, Carl, John, Kenny, Ken, Steve, Warren, Mike, Chris, the regular
crew. There were several AM groups. Tim arrived in style with the
Cadavilac, Dales was setup with his Military Gear, and Bill was set up
in
his Van with his Johnson Ranger. The Deer Meat was ...

To me you fat bastards are a bunch of whinny little babies who have
nothing better to do with your time but send out this bullshit ARRL
loving newsletter while you insert dildo's with rusty razor blades
embedded in it deep into each other asses.

~FAT BASTARDS!!!~

TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
20 METERS, 10 METERS, 2 METERS

4324. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 07:10:02 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113635401.943154.94570@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

and you must be k4yz little butt buddy...

4325. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 07:32:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113636743.142877.84750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> YOU, you ####ing moron, I SAID I DID POST THAT ON MY BLOG SOMEONE'S
ON
> THERE PRETENDING TO BE YOUR (K4YZ) SORRY ###. I WOULD NEVER PRETEND
TO
> BE SOME SOUTHERN ###HOLE.

> TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH

(1) If that was your intent, Todd, then I can offer you a very narrow apology for that...However if you were attributing like myself and others have been prodding you about all along, the confusion would have not occured.

(2) You have one name on your legal documents then "sign" another to your posts, yet insist you'd never pretend to be someone else.

(3) I was born and raised just "south" of Cleveland, OH, which if you'll take the time to look is farther NORTH than YOU are, Todd.

Now sober up and try again.

Steve, K4YZ

4326. Re: Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 07:53:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113638006.559308.140260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105842

D. Stussy wrote:
> To Steve, K4YZ, an ###hole without a life:

Always pleasant to hear from you, Dieter...Always a cheerful word...

> I'm not here to play games with you. You accuse me of "disappearing." I see
> that it never occurred to you that perhaps I read this group exactly ONCE PER
> WEEK (time permitting) and that I may have been done for that day. Unlike you,
> I have a life - I don't sit in front of a computer 24 hours per day waiting for
> the next post I can troll a response from like you apparently do.

Well, Dieter, the LAST time you exchanged posts it was over several days.

Also, you often pull this "I can't talk becasue I am better than you" routine when you're getting your virtual nose bloodied in your OWN trolls and accusations.

Like this one...

You popped into the group after several weeks absence, called me a back stabber and ###hole for having allegedly posted "secretly" to some other newsgroup reader.

I told you I didn't post to the reader and you called me more names without provocation.

I found the posts, QUOTED THEM WITH HEADERS FROM THIS FORUM where they had been cross-posted, and not a word of apology from you after you had been proven wrong not once, but THREE TIMES, DIETER!

> Of course, any REAL concept of what point I had actually raised is so far over
> your head, monkeys have a better chance of typing out Shakespeare's works
> before you will ever understand it - or ANY point raised by anyone else,
> intelligent or not, ever. Therefore, it is pointless trying to explain it to
> you for you will NEVER understand. You lack such a capability.

Your POINT in those particular exchanges, initiated by you, by the way, were about how I was allegedly talking about you on some third party newsgroup reader.

It's been proven that I didn't do what you accused me of doing, Dieter.

You've not apologized.

Kinda makes you the ###hole, now doesn't it, Buddy...?!?!

> Your own, continuous ranting has shown this to the world. Poor Steve, who
> permanently and publically branded himself a fool.

In your opinion.

Of course that's ALSO the opinion of a cowardly, low-life who jumps in with accusations of others allegedly "dissing" him, yet when proven otherwise, skulks off and stays out of sight for three weeks.

It's also the opinon of "Mr I'm Going To Turn Bill Pasternak Into The Feds", speaking of "continuous ranting.....

Try again, Dieter...And start the next one off with "I erroneously accused you of talking behind my back and I apologize..."

Steve, K4YZ

4327. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 08:03:26 UTC
From: Lloyd 02 <NoSpam@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d3qgqr$9mc$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113635401.943154.94570@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
and you must be k4yz little butt buddy...

Oh, my. You are beginning to sound less and less like Todd and more and more like Roger Wiseman.

Can two freaks from the same DNA sample be on one Newsgroup at the same time?

4328. Re: Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 09:00:21 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.62.0504160849160.67@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 105844

On Sat, 16 Apr 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> D. Stussy wrote:
> > To Steve, K4YZ, an ###hole without a life:
>
> Always pleasant to hear from you, Dieter...Always a cheerful
> word...
>
> > I'm not here to play games with you. You accuse me of
> "disappearing." I see
> > that it never occurred to you that perhaps I read this group exactly
> ONCE PER
> > WEEK (time permitting) and that I may have been done for that day.
> Unlike you,
> > I have a life - I don't sit in front of a computer 24 hours per day
> waiting for
> > the next post I can troll a response from like you apparently do.
>
> Well, Dieter, the LAST time you exchanged posts it was over several
> days.

And you imply that such is not consistent with one visit per week?

> Also, you often pull this "I can't talk becasue I am better than
> you" routine when you're getting your virtual nose bloodied in your OWN
> trolls and accusations.
>
> Like this one...
>
> You popped into the group after several weeks absence, called me a
> back stabber and ###hole for having allegedly posted "secretly" to some
> other newsgroup reader.

Since those posts didn't show up at my ISP's copy of the newsgroup, it was a
valid conclusion.

> I told you I didn't post to the reader and you called me more
> names without provocation.
>
> I found the posts, QUOTED THEM WITH HEADERS FROM THIS FORUM where
> they had been cross-posted, and not a word of apology from you after
> you had been proven wrong not once, but THREE TIMES, DIETER!

Nor will you ever get one; I have nothing to apologize to you for.

> > Of course, any REAL concept of what point I had actually raised is so
> far over

> > your head, monkeys have a better chance of typing out Shakespeare's
> works
> > before you will ever understand it - or ANY point raised by anyone
> else,
> > intelligent or not, ever. Therefore, it is pointless trying to
> explain it to
> > you for you will NEVER understand. You lack such a capability.
>
> Your POINT in those particular exchanges, initiated by you, by the
> way, were about how I was allegedly talking about you on some third
> party newsgroup reader.

Completely clueless once again. I referred to the violations of law by AR Newsline in how they solicit donations then use the funds for a function unrelated to the purpose they solicited for; not some petty backstabing post you made in some other forum about me. You're simply too stupid to even follow that - and you just proved it above.

> It's been proven that I didn't do what you accused me of doing,
> Dieter.

I already know you're a liar, so what's another lie?

> You've not apologized.

Nor SHOULD I.

> Kinda makes you the ###hole, now doesn't it, Buddy...?!?!
>
> > Your own, continuous ranting has shown this to the world. Poor
> Steve, who
> > permanently and publically branded himself a fool.
>
> In your opinion.

And based on the responses by others, the view of MANY OTHER PEOPLE of you.

> Of course that's ALSO the opinion of a cowardly, low-life who jumps
> in with accusations of others allegedly "dissing" him, yet when proven
> otherwise, skulks off and stays out of sight for three weeks.

Again, clueless that someone else may have a life. Let's see: Steve knows that I'm a tax expert and my return coincides with the passing of a major tax deadline. Was that too hard for him to comprehend? Must be - since he completely missed it as noted above.

> It's also the opinon of "Mr I'm Going To Turn Bill Pasternak Into
> The Feds", speaking of "continuous ranting.....

You'll be kissing my ass after he gets convicted.

> Try again, Dieter...And start the next one off with "I erroneously
> accused you of talking behind my back and I apologize..."

Wrong. I CAUGHT you doing it, weasel, and everyone else knows it. If I were actually wrong, SOMEONE other than you would have said something; no one has.

4329. Re: Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 09:37:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113644240.123016.156500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105845

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Sat, 16 Apr 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> > D. Stussy wrote:
> > > To Steve, K4YZ, an ###hole without a life:
> >
> > Always pleasant to hear from you, Dieter...Always a cheerful
> > word...
> >
> > > I'm not here to play games with you. You accuse me of
> > "disappearing." I see
> > > that it never occurred to you that perhaps I read this group
exactly
> > ONCE PER
> > > WEEK (time permitting) and that I may have been done for that
day.
> > Unlike you,
> > > I have a life - I don't sit in front of a computer 24 hours per
day
> > waiting for
> > > the next post I can troll a response from like you apparently do.
> >
> > Well, Dieter, the LAST time you exchanged posts it was over
several
> > days.
>
> And you imply that such is not consistent with one visit per week?
>
> > Also, you often pull this "I can't talk becasue I am better
than
> > you" routine when you're getting your virtual nose bloodied in your
OWN
> > trolls and accusations.
> >
> > Like this one...
> >
> > You popped into the group after several weeks absence, called
me a
> > back stabber and ###hole for having allegedly posted "secretly" to
some
> > other newsgroup reader.
>
> Since those posts didn't show up at my ISP's copy of the newsgroup,
it was a
> valid conclusion.

A more temperate person would have asked, "Hey, what's going on..."

You jumped in with "weasel" and "backstabber", then ignore
step-by-step proof that your accusations were wrong.

> > I told you I didn't post to the reader and you called me more
> > names without provocation.
> >
> > I found the posts, QUOTED THEM WITH HEADERS FROM THIS FORUM
where
> > they had been cross-posted, and not a word of apology from you
after
> > you had been proven wrong not once, but THREE TIMES, DIETER!
>
> Nor will you ever get one; I have nothing to apologize to you for.

You made a big mistake.

Your error was pointed out to you...three times.

Now you claim no apology is due despite the fact that very clear
proof was provided of YOUR error.

So if you don't have the manhood to ante up an apology, then I
guess that pretty well 'sets' my opinion of you, Dieter.

It will pretty well set it for anyone else reading this too.

> > > Of course, any REAL concept of what point I had actually raised
is so
> > far over
> > > your head, monkeys have a better chance of typing out
Shakespeare's
> > works
> > > before you will ever understand it - or ANY point raised by
anyone
> > else,
> > > intelligent or not, ever. Therefore, it is pointless trying to
> > explain it to
> > > you for you will NEVER understand. You lack such a capability.
> >
> > Your POINT in those particular exchanges, initiated by you, by
the
> > way, were about how I was allegedly talking about you on some third
> > party newsgroup reader.
>
> Completely clueless once again. I referred to the violations of law
by AR
> Newsline in how they solicit donations then use the funds for a
function
> unrelated to the purpose they solicited for; not some petty
backstabing post
> you made in some other forum about me. You're simply too stupid to
even follow

> that - and you just proved it above.

I understand it just fine, Dieter.

I also understand that you have a personal axe to grind with Bill Pasternak. That this entire ranting of yours is nothing more than ego run amok.

You keep claiming you're this "connected" ex-IRS agent, yet you're in here boo-hooing about the whole thing, also claiming you're going to "file charges".

In almost 3 years now, nothing's happened.

I say you're a whining little putz with a chip on his shoulder.

Sucks to be you!

> > It's been proven that I didn't do what you accused me of doing,
> > Dieter.
>
> I already know you're a liar, so what's another lie?
>
> > You've not apologized.
>
> Nor SHOULD I.

Sure you should. You accused me of doing something that has been clearly proven that I DIDN'T do.

I've made errors big and small here and "took my licks" and apolgized where appropriate.

What's your problem?

> > Kinda makes you the ###hole, now doesn't it, Buddy...?!?!
> >
> > > Your own, continuous ranting has shown this to the world. Poor
> > Steve, who
> > > permanently and publically branded himself a fool.
> >
> > In your opinion.
>
> And based on the responses by others, the view of MANY OTHER PEOPLE of you.

Who?

Lennie? Brian B? Todd? You? yeah...I am SOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO not impressed!

> > Of course that's ALSO the opinion of a cowardly, low-life who jumps
> > in with accusations of others allegedly "dissing" him, yet when proven

> > otherwise, skulks off and stays out of sight for three weeks.
>
> Again, clueless that someone else may have a life. Let's see: Steve
knows
> that I'm a tax expert and my return coincides with the passing of a
major tax
> deadline. Was that too hard for him to comprehend? Must be - since
he
> completely missed it as noted above.

No...I DON'T know that you're a "tax expert".

I >>DO<< know that your a little chipmunk with a big chip on his
munk.

> > It's also the opinon of "Mr I'm Going To Turn Bill Pasternak
Into
> > The Feds", speaking of "continuous ranting.....
>
> You'll be kissing my ### after he gets convicted.

Uh huh...

> > Try again, Dieter...And start the next one off with "I
erroneously
> > accused you of talking behind my back and I apologize..."
>
> Wrong. I CAUGHT you doing it, weasel, and everyone else knows it.
If I were
> actually wrong, SOMEONE other than you would have said something; no
one has.

Who else needed to tell you you were wrong, Dieter?

You accused me of wrong doing.

I provided you the exact attributes that showed what you read in
that other newsreader was just a cross-posting from here. >>I<< never
posted what you read.

You are STILL calling me an ###hole and a "weasel" where no offense
occured.

Soooo....That pretty much leaves us at you being a lying, cowardly,
ignorant putz that can't follow simple attributes to their conclusion.
You're profane, narrow minded and selfish.

No problem. If you can live with it, so can I.

Steve, K4YZ

4330. Re: Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 09:48:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113644935.112666.161980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105846

K4YZ wrote:

> >>I<< never posted what you read.

Excuse me...I clipped the end of that sentence...

">>I<< never posted what you read TO THAT SERVER!"

Steve, K4YZ

4331. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 10:04:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113645848.447401.286510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105847

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 3:42 am
> >
> >> > Be NICE to MARS folks,
> >> > Jimmie, maybe they'll GIVE you an AN/FRC-93 for
> >> > nothing; it's a Collins KWM2 Commercial transceiver
> >> > with a military nameplate.
> >
> >> Don't want one. And it's a KWM-2A, with the extra crystal
positions.
> >
> >It certainly is the KWM-2A.
> >
> >Nearly a year ago, Len told us:
> >
> >"The exception was the procurement
> >of the AN/FRC-93 HF transceiver, the commercial version (full
> >crystal bank) of the Collins KWM2. [I have the TM on it and can
tell
> >where to get it for free PDF download...even for Canadians... :-)]
"
> >
> >The commercial version of the Collins KWM-2A is the Collins KWM-2A.
> >I have a government surplus unit. It has no military nameplate
> >identifying it as an AN/FRC-93. The units I used in Vietnam had no
> >military nameplates identifying them as AN/FRC-93 units. The
manuals
> we
> >had on hand were Collins KWM-2A manuals.
>
> Well there ya go!
>
> Everybody ought to run right over to LOGSA (that
> stands for LOGistical Supply Agency) and tell them...
>
> THE AN/FRC-93 DOESN'T EXIST!

I'd be interested in learning where in Dave's post he said it
didn't exist.

I only saw where he said the KWM-2A didn't have a name plate with
that nomenclature on it.

I used KWM-2A's at NNN0MOQ, MOF and at "NZJ". No AN/FRC-93 plates on them either.

Just stock KWM-2A's with Stock Collins operator and repair manuals. (We did our own repairs at MOF.) (plus cryastals)

> Oh, yeah, and TMs are now also distributed on CDs to
> all branches of the military. Saves space and paper
> plus the weight on shipping the printed versions.
> All the branches have computers now, even stuff in
> the field. Those computers have "AN" numbers and
> "NSNs" also but I don't DARE write them in here due
> to the hostile environment presented by die-hard
> PCTAs. Those can't exist if Davie says they don't
> exist!

He never said "they don't exist".

I haven't seen him say "they didn't exist".

He just said they didn't have a nameplate with that nomenclature.

Of course YOU wouldn't know since YOU weren't there to see it for yourself. Again, Lennie making accusations without practical experience to back it up. You DIDN'T SERVE when/where he did.

Nor where I did, for than matter.

> Temper fry...

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

4332. DAYTON !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 10:07:48 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113646068.876963.126750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.sport.golf,alt.gossip.celebrities,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ok Gang, Plans are final. My wife and I will be there to service our friends, old and new.

We are setting up a blow job booth in the parking lot. I will be there to suck off all Cb users as well as freebanders.

My wife will service the cocks of all amateur radio operators of all clases. CUM one CUM all !!

4333. Re: DAYTON !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 10:28:30 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113647310.252688.125180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105849

To whomever is forging Mr. Parks' "handle":

Please take your childish spew back to rec.radio.cb and quit
cross-posting to this group.

Either that, or get off your @ss and get a job.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

4334. Re: N9OGL'S RESPOND TO THE MANCHESTER NEWSLETTER ABOUT K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 10:33:44 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113647624.810845.132610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105850

"Nazis"?

Better watch what you're accusing people of being/doing.

I smell a defamation of character lawsuit brewing if this line of thought escalates...

Perhaps Mr. Kane would care to add his $.02?

4335. Re: DAYTON !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 11:59:00 UTC
From: hlgjkfgfd@aol.com
Message-ID: <4260fdbf.1707685@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 105851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.sport.golf,alt.gossip.celebrities,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 16 Apr 2005 03:07:48 -0700, "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Ok Gang, Plans are final. My wife and I will be there to service our
>friends, old and new.
>
>We are setting up a blow job booth in the parking lot. I will be there
>to suck off all Cb users as well as freebanders.
>
>My wife will service the cocks of all amateur radio operators of all
>clases. CUM one CUM all !!
>

No thanks. I know where she's been.

-An Amateer Radio Operator

4336. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 12:51:06 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113655866.838861.100190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105852

Dave Heil wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > So the next time a JA gives me a "sayonara" I should say "good bye
> > penis?"
>
> No, That's for the next time you visit Lorena Bobbitt.
>
> Dave K8MN

Is she accepting visitors, now?

4337. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 13:01:00 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113656460.907435.179930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105853

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > k...@dvol.com wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > What does it matter whether I served in any military or
not?
> > > > >
> > > > > Kind of makes it hard to be a Veteran if you didn't serve.
> > > >
> > > > But then comes you. A licensee with no antenna. In your case
one
> > has
> > > to
> > > > conclude that becoming a Veteran was easier than putting up an
> > > antenna.
> > >
> > > Brian P Burke and Leonard H Anderson both epitomize all of
the
> > > things that give other veterans a black eye. I would not want to
> be
> > in
> > > a social setting where their status as veterans was known and
then
> > > announce that I was a vet too. That's one "guilty by
association"
> > that
> > > I will gladly avoid.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > There is no guilt in military service, unless you lie about it.
Like
> > saying that you have "real military experience" when you don't, or
> > saying that you have "seven hostile actions" when you have none.
>
> Lennie's use of the sacrifice of life for his own glorification
is
> one of the most "intolerable sins" amongst veterans.
>
> Period.

Every veteran has put themselves in the queu for sacrifice. So Jim asks what difference serving in the military makes? That is the answer. Apparently he had something more important to do.

> > Best of Luck.
>
> None needed, but thanks.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Best of Luck.

4338. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 13:02:29 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113655795.780611.57300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105854

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > From: Brian Burke on Apr 12, 4:06 pm
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >I have no skill in the Japanese language. Thanks for
> > > straightening
> > > > > > me
> > > > > > > >out that "sayonara" is the Japanese equivalent of the
> Yiddish
> > > word
> > > > > > for
> > > > > > > >"penis." I'm shocked to think that all those JA's were
were
> > > repeating
> > > > > > > >the word "penis" over and over again on the air. And I
> always
> > > thought
> > > > > > > >they were the most polite amateurs.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > They are. But the point is you don't know Japanese, yet
> you
> > > feel
> > > > > > it's "OK" to say Japanese words in non-Japanese conversation.
> > You
> > > > > and
> > > > > > Lennie don't seem to have the same opinions on word useage
when
> > > > > non-Lennites are involved in the act.
> > > > >
> > > > > So the next time a JA gives me a "sayonara" I should say "good
> bye
> > > > penis?"
> > > >
> > > > If you'd like to, Brian. But on what antenna are you going
to
> do
> > > it?
> > >
> > > I usually use a microphone to say good-bye or sayonara. The stock
> > microphone that came with the TS-690S is good, or the Heil headset.

> I
> > leave the antenna outside for radiating the signal.
> >
> > > And remember, if it's a JR6, do NOT say "sayonara"...They're
> "not
> > > really Japanese" according to Lennie.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Cool. Is Okinawa a JA posession like Guam or Saipan is a W6
> posession?
>
> You ignored the phrase "...according to Lennie".

Len posts here. If he thought I needed that information, he would have
given it to me.

> Okinawa is a prefecture of Japan...a "state", just like Hawaii,
> Rhode Island, or Texas is to us.

Prefecture. There are awards for working those.

They are very much a part of Japan,
> CONTRARY to what Lennie would have other believe.

What others?

> "Sayonara" is completely appropriate.

And "putz" is not.

4339. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 13:05:56 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8997017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 105855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 15 Apr 2005 20:19:42 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net> drooled:

> Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking
> little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut
>
> why the fuck wou<STOMP!>

Top Ten Signs Your Home is in Taylorville, IL

9. Dust on TV screen gives everybody comical Andy Rooney eyebrows

8. When the FCC mobile lab pulls up in front of your house, Riley Hollingsworth refuses to get out of the van

7. The so-called "Dust Bunnies" have sharp, snapping teeth

6. Your house gets hit by a twister and it actually looks better

5. Guests take one look at your bathroom and decide to use the backyard

4. When someone from the health department rings your doorbell, you say, "Not again!"

3. Every time you turn on a faucet, you hear a muffled barking sound

2. Even Roger Wiseman refuses to sleep on your floor

1. You've been receiving death threats from Mr. Clean

4340. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 13:22:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113657746.228519.217720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105856

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "K4YZ" on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 2:27 am
> >
> > >k...@dvol.com wrote:
> > >> bb wrote:
> > >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> >
> > >> > > What does it matter whether I served in any military or not?
> > >> >
> > >> > Kind of makes it hard to be a Veteran if you didn't serve.
> > >>
> > >> But then comes you. A licensee with no antenna. In your case one
> has
> > to
> > >> conclude that becoming a Veteran was easier than putting up an
> > antenna.
> > >
> > > Brian P Burke and Leonard H Anderson both epitomize all of the
> > >things that give other veterans a black eye. I would not want to
be
> > in
> > >a social setting where their status as veterans was known and then
> > >announce that I was a vet too. That's one "guilty by association"
> > that
> > >I will gladly avoid.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk. You had BETTER avoid it! Once you step away
> > from the Legion Hall bar YOU are liable to not make it out
> > of the parking lot!
>
> I don't drink, Lennie.

Was that the 12th step? Probably has something to do with your medical
discharge.

> > Sweetums, I have an HONORABLE discharges from military
> > service.
>
> Yes, you do. But it's your self-serving use of the deaths of
> others for your own glorification that dishonored whatever you DID do
> good, Lennie.

Jim asked what difference it makes if he served or not? Soldiers die.

> > Kellie ain't got a one! Kellie couldn't make it
> > in or got away with staying out (take a pick, prick).
>
> You've been asked this before, I am asking again: WHAT LAW DID
> BRIAN KELLY VIOLATE BY NOT SERVING IN THE ARMED FORCES...?!?!

Kellie lied about it. He claimed to have "real military service."

> (Caps not for yelling, but to make it easier for the old man to
> read...he has obviouly had a hard with it!)
>
> > What have YOU got? A medical discharge.
>
> Nope. Same Honorable you've got, Lennie.

> > You claim, and then
> > try to bluff everyone into believing "it was changed to an
> > 'honorable' discharge." Do WE have "proof" of that? NO!
>
> It was never "changed" to an Honorable, Lennie. It was
Honorable
> all along. I was discharged.

Was Kelly discharged? Jim?

> > Tsk. I can digitize my 1960 HONORABLE discharge...(SNIP)
>
> Sure you can. Two problems, though. One, you've already done
the
> "I am going to send you an e-mail" trick wherein you DIDN'T send what
> you promised you were going to do.

Why do you use the word "promised?" Is it a cheap Robeson trick to
make greater your injury? Hi!

> Trust blown.
>
> Secondly, as I have said over an over, I don't doubt that you
have
> an "Honorable" discharge. But what I HAVE said over and over it's
HOW
> YOU DISGRACED YOUR SERVICE WITH YOUR SELFISH USE OF OTHER'S
SACRIFICES
> THAT MAKE YOU THE SCUMBAG YOU ARE!

Didn't people who didn't serve make selfish use of other's sacrifices?

> > Me, I got NO problems associating with REAL military
> > veterans. Done it much...and NOT at some Legion Hall bar.
> > Done it for years. I'm proud of what I did and there are
> > NO blemishes on my military record. I'm sure Brian has a
> > good record, too. "It ain't braggin if ya did it."
> > I did it.
>

> You also "did it" when you tried to embellish YOUR "record"
with
> the deaths of Soldiers who died in combat before you were even
> inducted.

What? No marines ever died in combat prior to your service? You
enlisted because it was safe and you knew you'd get back in one piece
(didn't happen, did it?)

Jim asks what difference serving or not serving in the military makes?
I'd like to see you explain it to him.

> > Get some mental help, Psychotic Pstevie. You need it.
>
> Not even remotely as much as you, old man.

Let the professionals make that determination.

> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> > ex-RA16408336, U.S. Army 1952-1960, HONORABLE discharge
>
> Pathologiocal liar and teller of Tall Tales. User Of Other's
> Sacrifices.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4yz

What about your claim of seven hostile actions?

4341. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 13:32:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113658334.140622.81000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd wrote:

> Top Ten Signs Your Home is in Taylorville, IL

My Favorite..:

The sign at the edge of town says "WELCOME TO MOTHER####ING
TAYLOR-####ING-VILLE, ###HOLE!...Courtesy of Your Welcome Wagon Rep,
Todd D."

Steve, K4YZ

4342. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 13:34:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113658476.771974.206180@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105858

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> > Amazing. After a total of six trips to NADC and a total
> > time there of about three months, this (fantasy) person
> > "knows" me? 34 years AFTER the fact?!?
>
> Actaully at the time I found you out it was only 20-some years,
> and no, he didn't "know" you off the top of his head. He did some
> research, however, on contractors who had been there.

Inneresting. The difference between 34 years after the fact and 20
years is ~14 years. And Steve claims he hasn't been on RRAP even a
decade. So somehow, more than 4 years before Steve was on RRAP and
ever heard of Len, he was having a conversation with a former colleague
of Lens, discussing Len's performance reports.

"Captain, I find it Quiteillogical" Spock

So, is lie #16 the conversation with a former colleague of Len's? Or
is lie #16 Steve's tenure on RRAP? Or is it actually lies #16 and #17?

4343. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 13:38:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113658707.335506.26190@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105859

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:

> > > Cool. Is Okinawa a JA posession like Guam or Saipan is a W6
> > posession?
> >
> > You ignored the phrase "...according to Lennie".
>
> Len posts here. If he thought I needed that information, he would
have
> given it to me.

But he did...Right there where he tried to make us think Okinawa
was NOT a "real" part of Japan.

> > Okinawa is a prefecture of Japan...a "state", just like
Hawaii,
> > Rhode Island, or Texas is to us.
>
> Prefecture. There are awards for working those.

A-yup.

> They are very much a part of Japan,
> > CONTRARY to what Lennie would have other believe.
>
> What others?

You...Me...Anyone who happens to read through here...

> > "Sayonara" is completely appropriate.
>
> And "putz" is not.

Absolutely not. Nor would I use it one the air.

I had a blast from Okinawa in 81...But the "new" sequential
callsign system was still new in the States, and a lot of folks seem to
skip by the "KA6" calls...UNTIL, that is, that we got wise and started
signing /JR6. Then the pile-ups started! I was KA6CM on Oki.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4344. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 13:40:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113658825.379016.30770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105860

bb wrote:

> So, is lie #16 the conversation with a former colleague of Len's? Or
> is lie #16 Steve's tenure on RRAP? Or is it actually lies #16 and
#17?

Neither, but nice try.

Now...what about those "unlicensed devices"...?!?!?

Steve, K4YZ

4345. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 13:45:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cG88e.7172$yq6.5295@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105861

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Details, Master Chief. You've thumped me over the head with
> otherwise trivial "details" on other posts before.

I thought my account was sufficiently detailed to the question at hand. If you want more non-related detail, ask Len Anderson to go cut and paste some out-of-context triva from somewhere. Then you two can bicker over it. I'm outa here.

Hans, K0HB
Master Chief Radioman, USN

4346. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 13:55:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113659754.528919.166880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105862

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I don't drink, Lennie.
>
> Was that the 12th step? Probably has something to do with your
medical
> discharge.

Nope. Has to do with getting more out of life with a clear head
than without it.

You should try it.

> > > Sweetums, I have an HONORABLE discharges from military
> > > service.
> >
> > Yes, you do. But it's your self-serving use of the deaths of
> > others for your own glorification that dishonored whatever you DID
do
> > good, Lennie.
>
> Jim asked what difference it makes if he served or not? Soldiers
die.

So do construction workers who build bases, or mechanics that
manufacture tanks.

The fact of the matter is that except in the most aggrevious
warfare, more Americans die on the highways at home than do Servicemen.

> > You've been asked this before, I am asking again: WHAT LAW
DID
> > BRIAN KELLY VIOLATE BY NOT SERVING IN THE ARMED FORCES...?!?!
>
> Kellie lied about it. He claimed to have "real military service."

You'll have to show me that one, Brain.

I've seen where he's said he worked WITH the Armed Forces, but
never "I was in..."

> > It was never "changed" to an Honorable, Lennie. It was
> Honorable
> > all along. I was discharged.
>
> Was Kelly discharged? Jim?

I ams till trying to figure out your point here.

> > > Tsk. I can digitize my 1960 HONORABLE discharge...(SNIP)
> >
> > Sure you can. Two problems, though. One, you've already done
> the
> > "I am going to send you an e-mail" trick wherein you DIDN'T send
what
> > you promised you were going to do.
>
> Why do you use the word "promised?" Is it a cheap Robeson trick to
> make greater your injury?

Nope.

Because he lied. An Anderson trick, but not an uncommon one.

> > Trust blown.
> >
> > Secondly, as I have said over an over, I don't doubt that you
> have
> > an "Honorable" discharge. But what I HAVE said over and over it's
> HOW
> > YOU DISGRACED YOUR SERVICE WITH YOUR SELFISH USE OF OTHER'S
> SACRIFICES
> > THAT MAKE YOU THE SCUMBAG YOU ARE!
>
> Didn't people who didn't serve make selfish use of other's
sacrifices?

Oh come on, Burke...Building upon the sacrifices others made is
one tthing...That's how America got to BE America.

Your "mentor" intentionally tried to get away with making it
appear as though HE had "served" with the Soliders who were KIA.

THAT is DISGUSTING.

> > You also "did it" when you tried to embellish YOUR "record"
> with
> > the deaths of Soldiers who died in combat before you were even
> > inducted.
>
> What? No marines ever died in combat prior to your service? You
> enlisted because it was safe and you knew you'd get back in one piece
> (didn't happen, did it?)

>>I<< never tried to convince anyone that I was somehow involved
in a war that happened 3 years before I was enlisted.

> Jim asks what difference serving or not serving in the military
makes?
> I'd like to see you explain it to him.

It DOESN'T matter...It's NOT the law, and not every person was
meant to be a Soldier or Marine.

> > > Get some mental help, Psychotic Pstevie. You need it.
> >
> > Not even remotely as much as you, old man.
>
> Let the professionals make that determination.

I am one of the professionals, Brian. Licensed.

> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > >
> > > ex-RA16408336, U.S. Army 1952-1960, HONORABLE discharge
> >
> > Pathologiocal liar and teller of Tall Tales. User Of Other's
> > Sacrifices.
> >
> > Putz.
> >
> > Steve, K4yz
>
> What about your claim of seven hostile actions?

Tried to trust YOU once upon a time, Brain, but you blew YOUR
"trust" opportunity too. Oh well.

Steve, K4YZ

4347. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 14:02:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113659879.853714.43910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105863

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > Details, Master Chief. You've thumped me over the head with
> > otherwise trivial "details" on other posts before.
>
> I thought my account was sufficiently detailed to the question at
hand.

Guess not sufficiently enough.

> If you
> want more non-related detail, ask Len Anderson to go cut and paste
some
> out-of-context triva from somewhere. Then you two can bicker over
it.

Why? He wouldn't get it right anyway.

>I'm outa here.

Promises, promises.

> Hans, K0HB
> Master Chief Radioman, USN

Retired.

Steve, K4YZ

4348. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 14:14:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113660860.520821.254260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105864

These are the numbers of current, unexpired amateur licenses held
by individuals on the stated dates:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Technician - 205,394
Technician Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254

Total all classes - 674,792

As of April 15, 2005:

Novice - 28,817 (decrease of 20,512)
Technician - 267,926 (increase of 62,532)
Technician Plus - 50,524 (decrease of 78,336)
General - 137,093 (increase of 24,416)
Advanced - 76,647 (decrease of 23,135)
Extra - 106,557 (increase of 27,807)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,450 (decrease of 15,804)

Total all classes - 667,564 (decrease of 7,228)

Note that these totals do not include licenses that
have expired but are in the grace period. They also
do not include club, military, RACES or other
station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new Novice, Technician Plus or
Advanced licenses are no longer issued, and that all existing
Technician Plus licenses are being renewed as Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4349. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 15:01:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Bd6dnaQV0L6K2_zfRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 105868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking
> little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut
>
> why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole
> from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who
> kick your pussy asses during the civil war
>
> you fucking asshole
>

growup toddyboy!!

4350. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 15:44:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113666244.505470.210270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105865

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Apr 12, 9:31 pm
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> > about his famous
> >> > "seven hostile actions"
> >
> >> Do you know what they are? Where *you* involved in any of
> >> them?
> >
> >He doesn't have any idea what they were. It kills him.
>
> NAH.

YEAH! ;-)

> It only shows what a snow-jobbing laid-off
> murine does under the guise of a U.S. AMATEUR radio
> extra callsign. Tosses brags like they were bagels.

What brags, Len? Look at American foreign policy since the
end of the USA's involvement in Vietnam. Plenty of "hostile
actions" for active-duty, career military personnel to be a part of in
a variety of roles.

And that's just the "hostile actions" we civilians know about.
>
> >To my knowledge, Steve has never stated that DOD does not
> >direct MARS.
>
> >His claim is that if there were no radio amateurs, there'd
> >have been no
> >MARS program. In that, he is correct.
>
> Bullshit.

Well, you're certainly the authority on *that* subject, Len! ;-)

> The United States ARMY started MARS...but
> under a different name before WW2.

Nobody disputes that. The claim - more like an opinion - is that
the program wouldn't have existed without radio amatuers. It's
really unprovable either way, because there *were* radio amateurs long
before the MARS program existed, and radio amateurs have always been
involved.
>
> MARS always was and remains a MILITARY radio system.

But most of the participants aren't in the military.

> A small one, about as effective as having special
> services put on shows and entertainment. Morale
> boosting thing.

Is it a good thing or a bad thing, Len? Or are you only impressed with
size?
>
> >That's pretty much why I've left details out. As with Steve's
> >military
> >service, Len doesn't know what I did or where I did it and it >
>kills him.
>
> No problem with me.

Haw, that's funny. Len, you pretty much come unglued at the slightest
opposition to your cherished statements, or when
someone refuses to feed your insult machine.

> If you ain't got the guts to
> tell the details, you AIN'T done it. Simple as that.

Then you must think that Brian Burke, N0IMD-allegedly-/T5, "ain't done
it", because he won't give any details about his amateur radio
operation in Somalia.

What you're saying, Len, is that something isn't real unless people
tell you all the details. That's called "subjective reality" and it's a
complete crock. Rational people use
objective reality, which exists whether people talk about it or not.

Orwell did a good job describing the subjective reality mindset in his
classic "1984". You remind me of "Big Brother", Len, in the way you
want to rewrite history to fit your mindset.

If a person does something, they've done it whether they talk about it
or not. Or whether you believe it or not. Simple as that.

If N0IMD/T5 really happened, then it happened regardless of whether
details are given or not.

If the State Department really used Morse Code in the 1980s to
coordinate RTTY operations, then it happened regardless of whether you
believe it or not.

And if K4YZ really did participate in seven hostile actions,
then it happened regardless of whether details are given or not.

Now you can say you don't believe something happened, but your belief
is simply an opinion.
> >As far as I'm concerned, amateur radio is about operating any >
>mode I
> >choose on any band I choose. Len isn't involved on any level.
>
> Everyone NOT licensed in amateur radio "isn't involved."
>
> :-)

No, Len, that's not true. FCC is involved - but you're not FCC. Amateur
radio manufacturers are involved - but you're not one of them, either.

You're not involved.
>
> The point is that some MIGHT want to GET INTO amateur
> radio.

Who? Not you, of course. You're not involved.

> >Len knows more about what others did than those involved.
>
> Nope.

It's what you claim.

> But...I DO recognize a bullshit artist from a
> long distance.

You can just look in the mirror....;-)

> >Len knows more about radio operation.
>
> Tsk. I know HOW they work and the protocols needed
> in some radio services.

But not amateur radio. Not Morse Code. Your knowledge is all theory, no
practice, when it comes to amateur radio. Sidewalk superintendent
stuff. All hat and no cattle, all talk and no action.

You did some articles for a now-long-defunct New England-based ham
radio magazine 22+ years ago. None of them were about building or
operating an amateur radio station.

> >Len knows more about your work.
>
> Tsk. Jimmie "works in the transportation field"
> according to one Comment in the ECFS.

Do you mean me, Len?

> Other than
> that, Jimmie do NOT say squat. He afraid others

> find out?

Why no, Len. I'm not "afraid" of others finding out. I just choose not to give out that information.

Who did you vote for in the presidential elections of 2000 and 2004, Len?

Are you "afraid" of others finding out?

Perhaps you think that if you don't give the details, you didn't really do it....

> >Whatever Len did at ADA more than a half century ago impacts
> >amateur radio not in the least.
>
> Riiiiight old-timer. Ham radio NEVER operated on HF, did
> it? :-)
>
> >Len tells it because he wants to be sure that everyone knows of it.
>
> You betcha! :-)
>
> The U.S. military did NOT use morse code in long-distance
> fixed-point to fixed-point communications a half century
> ago and still don't.

Even if that's true - what does it matter to amateur radio policy? Amateur radio isn't the US military.

> Tsk. Some of you olde-tyme hammes
> need to get your noses out of old WW2 surplus radio books
> and inspect the rest of the radio world.

Is that an order?

Why should what other radio services do be more important to amateur radio policy than what hams do?
>
> >How does it give him the right to insult those who never
> >served?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Those with SUCH thin skin should NOT be
> ANYWHERE on the Internet!!!! :-)
>
I see. Well, Len, you have the thinnest skin of all those here, because you get insulted by *any* opposition..

Who did you vote for in the presidential elections of 2000 and 2004, Len?

Are you "afraid" of others finding out?

Perhaps you think that if you don't give the details, you didn't really
do it....

4351. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 15:47:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6sa8e.7291$An2.4876@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105866

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1113535139.871326.247800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> The only encryption used by the USA
> (and Canada as well as the UK) was the "Sigaba" as shown
> on the USS Pampanito floating museum and at the NSA
> on-line Museum.

Wrong, kind elderly Gentleman. It wasn't the "only encryption used by the USA".

SIGABA (KL-29/BACCUS) was only ONE of SEVERAL encryption systems used by the USA during WWII. In fact, it wasn't even the most commonly used one (KL7/ADONIS holds that honor).

> The "Sigaba" system (TTY), upgraded to post-WW2 standards
> was severely compromised by the capture of the USS Pueblo
> off the North Korean coast in 1968.

Wrong again, SIGANDERSON. SIGABA (and it's "upgrades") were retired from service in 1959, almost a decade before the Lloyd Bucher shamefully struck his colors to the Koreans..

73, de Hans, K0HB
Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

4352. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 16:16:50 UTC
From: Lloyd <MrLloyd@lloydsertdin.org>
Message-ID: <d3rdnjtto0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105867

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:6sa8e.7291$An2.4876@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1113535139.871326.247800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>> The only encryption used by the USA
>> (and Canada as well as the UK) was the "Sigaba" as shown
>> on the USS Pampanito floating museum and at the NSA
>> on-line Museum.
>
> Wrong, kind elderly Gentleman. It wasn't the "only encryption used by the
> USA".
>
> SIGABA (KL-29/BACCUS) was only ONE of SEVERAL encryption systems used by
> the USA during WWII. In fact, it wasn't even the most commonly used one
> (KL7/ADONIS holds that honor).
>
>
>> The "Sigaba" system (TTY), upgraded to post-WW2 standards
>> was severely compromised by the capture of the USS Pueblo
>> off the North Korean coast in 1968.
>
> Wrong again, SIGANDERSON. SIGABA (and it's "upgrades") were retired from
> service in 1959, almost a decade before the Lloyd Bucher shamefully struck
> his colors to the Koreans..
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

Hans is typical of radiomen. The more he runs his mouth, the more
his gross ignorance shows. His earlier statement about Morse no
longer being widely taught or used in the U.S. armed forces is but one
case in point. Now he attacks Lloyd Bucher! Is there any doubt
the Navy was correct in disbanding the Radioman rating? Good
riddance! How's the story telling going down at the Legion Hall
Hans?

73,

Lloyd

4353. Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven which is described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain. (newsgroup-post 140)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 17:23:26 UTC
From: RonGrossi382615@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1113672206.519341.158500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105869
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven which is described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is to be saved so that we can live forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord and believe in your heart that God raised Him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and in three days come back to life

again and it happens then this person must be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God to save you. Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus

Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to
place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
may never get another chance to do so
because you do not know when you will die.

This means that if you die without
trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
Saviour you will be forever separated from
the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
Only then will you have true peace in your
life knowing that no matter what happens you
are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

*Show this to your family and friends so
they can know that they have a choice
where they will spend eternity. Thanks!

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.browser.to/jesus-animation

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.bibledatabase.net

The Death of John Paul II

John Paul II gained the whole world but
forfeited his soul. Year after year he
was the most loved and admired man in
the world. The idolatrous veneration
and adoration of this man was
unprecedented. His devotees, who are now
singing his praises, are probably
ignorant of the strong rebuke of Jesus
who said, "Woe to you when all men speak
well of you" (Luke 6:26). Had the pope
been devoted to Jesus Christ and His
Gospel of grace, he would have been
hated and persecuted by the world.
No one can judge John Paul's heart but
we are all called to judge the fruit of
our spiritual leaders. Clearly this man
was blinded by the prince of this world
and never saw the light of the gospel or
the glory of Christ. I mourn the death
of this man, not for the reason the
world mourns, but because he rejected
Jesus as God's only provision for his
sins. I also have a solemn compassion
for those who blindly followed this pope
and his man-centered religion. My heart
is painfully burdened to see so many
"professing" Christians unable to
discern truth from error and genuine
Christianity from its counterfeit.

The rest of this article that was
written by Mike Gendron can be found
at >> http://www.pro-gospel.org/main/Topics/topic-027.php

4354. Re: DAYTON !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 17:55:07 UTC
From: Leland C Scott <KC8LDO@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1113674254.fd18bf076733501023e66137ecd1bb58@teranews>
Source record: 105870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.sport.golf,alt.gossip.celebrities,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I like cock and balls on my nose!
>
"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1113646068.876963.126750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Ok Gang, Plans are final. My wife and I will be there to service our
> friends, old and new.
>
> We are setting up a blow job booth in the parking lot. I will be there
> to suck off all Cb users as well as freebanders.
>
> My wife will service the cocks of all amateur radio operators of all
> clases. CUM one CUM all !!
>

4355. Re: N9OGL'S RESPOND TO THE MANCHESTER NEWSLETTER ABOUT K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 17:56:25 UTC
From: Leland C Scott <KC8LDO@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1113674326.9355a718419bd642d91c1dbaa3a7c01a@teranews>
Source record: 105871

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113635277.602908.157890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
Here a fixed version:
>
My herpes finally quit flaring up yesterday.

4356. Re: FROM N9OGL BLOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 17:57:04 UTC
From: Leland C Scott <KC8LDO@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1113674375.3e93d25dcec1865f46a93edf628fdb54@teranews>
Source record: 105872

That gay porn you turned me onto is great!!!!
>
"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113462741.702585.181370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Other website gone!!!!
>
>
>
> I have removed my other website "N9OGL INVENTIONS" because of lack of
> intrest by other people and the asshole ham operators on
> rec.radio.amateur.policy who made fun of it and well, ARE FUCKING
> ASSHOLES.....FUCK YOU HAM OPERATOR BITCHES!!!!!!!
> TODD O'DOCHARTAIGH N9OGL
>

4357. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 17:59:02 UTC
From: Leland C Scott <KC8LDO@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1113674500.309db0a3208208801bbbd2faf019efe2@teranews>
Source record: 105873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113621578.774954.115940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hey you fucking dumbass I did write that on my fucking blog you fucking
> little asshole....someone's pretending to be you, you fucking slut
>
> why the fuck would I want to pretend to be some fucking inbred asshole
> from tenn. I live Illinois home of abraham Lincoln, you the guy who
> kick your pussy asses during the civil war
>
> you fucking asshole
>
What's wrong with fucking male ass???

4358. Re: How About This?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 18:54:05 UTC
From: Leland C Scott <KC8LDO@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1113677807.4308cc4650333c7f08ab0c692aa2228b@teranews>
Source record: 105874

Check out my coax installation.

http://img100.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00036il.jpg
>
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1113299832.731062.162210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.minutemanproject.com/Ham.html
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

4359. K1MAN KING OF ALL DOUCHEBAGS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 19:12:02 UTC
From: Baxter Blows Monkeys <hamsandwich@myway.com>
Message-ID: <1113678722.009260.236030@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105875

First of all, if your name is Lloyd, Todd, Roger, or Glenn, or any of their other nyms, don't bother replying to this thread, because you are an asshole and you bring nothing to the party. Second, if you want to post about heaven, pleae go to rec.religious.bullshit and post there because the rest of us don't give a fuck about your spiritual opinions.

Now, let's talk about Amateur policy. I'd like to hear what Phil Kane has to say on this topic since he has a good head on his shoulders and years of relevant experience. He may have to recuse himself if he is acting as ARRL volunteer counsel but that will be unlikely in this case.

Glenn Baxter's ham licenses expire this year. Will the FCC let him renew? They inspected his station, and we are still waiting for the report on that situation. They asked him for more information, twice, and he stonewalled them twice. He broadcast obscenites - the F word actually - all week last year. He qrmed boy scouts during the jamboree on the air, and he qrms people on 75 meters nightly. He broadcast a stupid Baxter Associates infomercial, and his station has been obviously out of his control several times.

When will we see some concrete action from the FCC? What is the timetable for a nonrenewal proceeding? When will Baxter need to be notified that a hearing has been scheduled?

What are the legal ramifications of nonrenewal? Baxter has said he will not go off the air unless he is physically taken off the air? Can the FCC do it, will they do it? Will Baxter mount one of his famous pro se failure defenses? Inquiring minds want to know!

Ham

4360. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 19:25:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113679552.423653.291110@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105876

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I don't drink, Lennie.
> >
> > Was that the 12th step? Probably has something to do with your
> medical
> > discharge.
>
> Nope. Has to do with getting more out of life with a clear head
> than without it.

You should try the "clear head" stuff.

> You should try it.

You should.

> > > > Sweetums, I have an HONORABLE discharges from military
> > > > service.
> > >
> > > Yes, you do. But it's your self-serving use of the deaths
of
> > > others for your own glorification that dishonored whatever you
DID
> do
> > > good, Lennie.
> >
> > Jim asked what difference it makes if he served or not? Soldiers
> die.
>
> So do construction workers who build bases, or mechanics that
> manufacture tanks.
>
> The fact of the matter is that except in the most aggrevious
> warfare, more Americans die on the highways at home than do
Servicemen.

And heroes all of them, defending the country.

"""Sorry Hans, a run-over Jay-Walker >>>IS<<< a Veteran!!!""" Hi!

> > > You've been asked this before, I am asking again: WHAT LAW
> DID
> > > BRIAN KELLY VIOLATE BY NOT SERVING IN THE ARMED FORCES...?!?!

> >
> > Kellie lied about it. He claimed to have "real military service."
>
> You'll have to show me that one, Brain.
>
> I've seen where he's said he worked WITH the Armed Forces, but
> never "I was in..."

It was in a brag-tape challenge to one of the rra.misc cretins. You
guys tend to attract the wrong element to rrap.

> > > It was never "changed" to an Honorable, Lennie. It was
> > Honorable
> > > all along. I was discharged.
> >
> > Was Kelly discharged? Jim?
>
> I ams till trying to figure out your point here.

"Just answer the man's question."

> > > > Tsk. I can digitize my 1960 HONORABLE discharge...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > Sure you can. Two problems, though. One, you've already
done
> > the
> > > "I am going to send you an e-mail" trick wherein you DIDN'T send
> what
> > > you promised you were going to do.
> >
> > Why do you use the word "promised?" Is it a cheap Robeson trick to
> > make greater your injury?
>
> Nope.
>
> Because he lied. An Anderson trick, but not an uncommon one.

I've yet to see Len say, "Steve, I promise..." Ever.

So why do you say that he promised? My OPINION is that he did not
promise, and you lied about it. Why do I think that? Because it fits
you to a T.

> > > Trust blown.
> > >
> > > Secondly, as I have said over an over, I don't doubt that
you
> > have
> > > an "Honorable" discharge. But what I HAVE said over and over
it's
> > HOW
> > > YOU DISGRACED YOUR SERVICE WITH YOUR SELFISH USE OF OTHER'S

> > SACRIFICES
> > > THAT MAKE YOU THE SCUMBAG YOU ARE!
> >
> > Didn't people who didn't serve make selfish use of other's
> sacrifices?
>
> Oh come on, Burke...Building upon the sacrifices others made is
> one tthing...That's how America got to BE America.

They had ample opportunity to serve. Jim says he "served in other
ways." Kelly says he has "real military experience."

> Your "mentor" intentionally tried to get away with making it
> appear as though HE had "served" with the Soliders who were KIA.
>
> THAT is DISGUSTING.

Perhaps you got it wrong. Perhaps he served with soldiers that
SURVIVED IN ACTION. I know I did.

> > > You also "did it" when you tried to embellish YOUR "record"
> > with
> > > the deaths of Soldiers who died in combat before you were even
> > > inducted.
> >
> > What? No marines ever died in combat prior to your service? You
> > enlisted because it was safe and you knew you'd get back in one
piece
> > (didn't happen, did it?)
>
> >>I<< never tried to convince anyone that I was somehow involved
> in a war that happened 3 years before I was enlisted.

You tried to convince us that you were involved in 7 hostile actions
that never occurred.

> > Jim asks what difference serving or not serving in the military
> makes?
> > I'd like to see you explain it to him.
>
> It DOESN'T matter...It's NOT the law, and not every person was
> meant to be a Soldier or Marine.

Now you are telling me that your service didn't matter. Hans' service
didn't matter. Len's service didn't matter. Roll's service didn't
matter. Morgan's service didn't matter.

> > > > Get some mental help, Psychotic Pstevie. You need it.
> > >
> > > Not even remotely as much as you, old man.
> >
> > Let the professionals make that determination.
>

> I am one of the professionals, Brian. Licensed.

Wrong.

> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> > > >
> > > > ex-RA16408336, U.S. Army 1952-1960, HONORABLE discharge
> > >
> > > Pathologiocal liar and teller of Tall Tales. User Of
Other's
> > > Sacrifices.
> > >
> > > Putz.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4yz
> >
> > What about your claim of seven hostile actions?
>
> Tried to trust YOU once upon a time, Brain, but you blew YOUR
> "trust" opportunity too. Oh well.

Yeh, yeh. You talked on a radio. Is that what you're calling a
hostile action? Did the radio topple off the field desk and dent your
spit-shined boot?

That's right, Gunny. You can't trust anyone. That's one sign of a
mentally ill person (paranoia).

4361. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 19:35:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113680115.037811.7380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105877

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
>
> > > > Cool. Is Okinawa a JA posession like Guam or Saipan is a W6
> > > posession?
> > >
> > > You ignored the phrase "...according to Lennie".
> >
> > Len posts here. If he thought I needed that information, he would
> have
> > given it to me.
>
> But he did...Right there where he tried to make us think Okinawa
> was NOT a "real" part of Japan.

Is Northern Ireland a real part of Ireland?

> > > Okinawa is a prefecture of Japan...a "state", just like
> Hawaii,
> > > Rhode Island, or Texas is to us.
> >
> > Prefecture. There are awards for working those.
>
> A-yup.
>
> > They are very much a part of Japan,
> > > CONTRARY to what Lennie would have other believe.
> >
> > What others?
>
> You...Me...Anyone who happens to read through here...

I can make up my own mind about Okinawa.

> > > "Sayonara" is completely appropriate.
> >
> > And "putz" is not.
>
> Absolutely not.

So why do you use it so often here?

> Nor would I use it one the air.

You are the shining star in hamdom.

> I had a blast from Okinawa in 81...But the "new" sequential
> callsign system was still new in the States, and a lot of folks seem
to
> skip by the "KA6" calls...UNTIL, that is, that we got wise and
started
> signing /JR6. Then the pile-ups started! I was KA6CM on Oki.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yep. Tried field day from Guam. The USA hams in the test had no idea
what a /KH2 was.

4362. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 19:40:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113680420.115837.208730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105878

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > So, is lie #16 the conversation with a former colleague of Len's?
Or
> > is lie #16 Steve's tenure on RRAP? Or is it actually lies #16 and
> #17?
>
> Neither, but nice try.

Steve, you lied. The only question is which time?

The conversation with a former colleague of Len's?

The length of tenure on RRAP?

Or both.

Fess up.

4363. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 19:58:10 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <m7e8e.10742$44.4135@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105879

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> "Acting on the premise that the amateur bands must flexibly and
> comfortably accommodate present and future operating modes and
> technologies over the long haul,

What about spread spectrum modes? Supposedly such a
mode could use the entire data subband of a HF band
but have only minimal QRM to other users?

4364. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 20:11:18 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <UdWdnS6RUbDu7PzfRVn-iw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> NEW FROM N9OGL BLOG
>
> THIS WAS A POST BY SOMEONE CLAIMING TO BE K4YZ
>
> k4yz says
>
> And another thing.
>
> Stop making hang up phone calls to my house. We know that it is you and
> we have already told the police about what we suspect.
>
What does this mean? Is k4yz calling toady or is k4yz accusing toady of
calling him? He said that we said that somebody said that there is a
definite possibility of an absolute maybe!

4365. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 20:16:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113682560.764446.167860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105881

robert casey wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > "Acting on the premise that the amateur bands must flexibly and
> > comfortably accommodate present and future operating modes and
> > technologies over the long haul,
>
> What about spread spectrum modes? Supposedly such a
> mode could use the entire data subband of a HF band
> but have only minimal QRM to other users?

Doesn't work that way. It's more like "QRM to almost all other users".

There was a clear discussion of this by KE3Z (IIRC) here some years back. The interference potential of SS on HF was clearly demonstrated. I can google it for you if you want.

Here's a quick synopsis:

Suppose I'm listening on 3825 with a 2.5 kHz wide receiver. A local fires up right on frequency with a signal that's 2.5 kHz wide. He's S9 + 20 dB, so unless I'm listening to someone even stronger than that, there's harmful interference.

Now the local switches to an SS mode that is 250 kHz wide. To make the math easy we'll assume the transmitted energy is evenly distributed over the 250 kHz.

The transmitted energy that was formerly packed into 2.5 kHz is now evenly spread over 100 times that spectrum, so the energy that gets into my receiver is 1/100th of what it was before. The S9+20 local's signal drops 20 dB - to S9. Only now he's S9 on 250 kHz of the band, rather than just a few kHz.

Even if he drops his power 18 dB at the same time he goes SS, he's still S6 (assuming 6 dB per S unit).

If a station runs 100 W to a dipole at a decent height, and the band is in good shape, that station will be S9 + 20 over a pretty wide area on 80/75.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4366. Not So Slow After All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 20:40:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113684042.602716.183400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105882

>From the QRP list:

(begin quote)

Today's edition of the UK Times newspaper has the following heading on
page

11:
A race to the wire as old hand at Morse code beats txt msgrs

An Australian museum set up a race between CW operators and teenage
text messagers.

Message (randomly selected)
Hey, girlfriend, you can text all your pals to tell them where you are
going and what you are wearing.

CW
Transmitting - Gordon Hill aged 93.
Receiving - Jack Gibson aged 82.
No abbreviations used.

Text
Sent by - Brittany Devlin aged 13 - using text slang. Also three other
teenagers. Miss Devlin had two years of texting experience. Using text
message shorthand.

Timed Results
CW - 90 seconds to a correct written message.
TEXT - 108 seconds to a correct message.

In three further contests with three further teenagers CW was the
winner.

(end quote)

Even when not allowed to use abbreviations, and when required to write
it down, the CW (Morse Code) ops won.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4367. MI QSO Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 21:04:01 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <buGdnSFUGZRQ4PzfRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 105883

I and the OM are out there off and on for the MI QP. We are operating under my call sign, N8UZE. Right now we are taking a supper break though.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4368. Re: K1MAN KING OF ALL DOUCHEBAGS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 21:17:41 UTC
From: Herb <hhh@hhherbsclubnet.org>
Message-ID: <d3rvbk$rn9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105884

"Baxter Blows Monkeys" <hamsandwich@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1113678722.009260.236030@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> First of all, if your name is Lloyd, Todd, Roger, or Glenn, or any of
> their other nyms, don't bother replying to this thread, because you are
> an asshole and you bring nothing to the party. Second, if you want to
> post about heaven, pleae go to rec.religious.bullshit and post there
> because the rest of us don't give a fuck about your spiritual opinions.
>
> Now, let's talk about Amateur policy. I'd like to hear what Phil Kane
> has to say on this topic since he has a good head on his shoulders and
> years of relevant experience. He may have to recuse himself if he is
> acting as ARRL volunteer counsel but that will be unlikely in this
> case.
>
> Glenn Baxter's ham licenses expire this year. Will the FCC let him
> renew? They inspected his station, and we are still waiting for the
> report on that situation. They asked him for more information, twice,
> and he stonewalled them twice. He broadcast obscenites - the F word
> actually - all week last year. He qrmed boy scouts during the jamboree
> on the air, and he qrms people on 75 meters nightly. He broadcast a
> stupid Baxter Associates infomercial, and his station has been
> obviously out of his control several times.
>
> When will we see some concrete action from the FCC? What is the
> timetable for a nonrenewal proceeding? When will Baxter need to be
> notified that a hearing has been scheduled?
>
> What are the legal ramifications of nonrenewal? Baxter has said he will
> not go off the air unless he is physically taken off the air? Can the
> FCC do it, will they do it? Will Baxter mount one of his famous pro se
> failure defenses? Inquiring minds want to know!
>
> Ham
>

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

4369. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 21:29:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Lsf8e.7485$An2.7145@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105885

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

>
> Cool. Is Okinawa a JA posession like Guam or Saipan is a W6 posession?
>

Saipan is not/never has been a US posession.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4370. Re: MI QSO Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 22:07:26 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <1oOdnaHhzcU6EfzfRVn-sQ@crocker.com>
Source record: 105886

real contesters eat at the key!

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:buGdnSFUGZRQ4PzfRVn-vw@comcast.com...
> I and the OM are out there off and on for the MI QP. We are operating
under
> my call sign, N8UZE. Right now we are taking a supper break though.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

4371. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 22:08:26 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113689306.840428.19390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105887

From: "bb" on Sat,Apr 16 2005 6:34 am

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> > Amazing. After a total of six trips to NADC and a total
>> > time there of about three months, this (fantasy) person
>> > "knows" me? 34 years AFTER the fact?!?
>>
>> Actaully at the time I found you out it was only 20-some years,
>> and no, he didn't "know" you off the top of his head. He did some
>> research, however, on contractors who had been there.
>
>Inneresting. The difference between 34 years after the fact and 20
>years is ~14 years. And Steve claims he hasn't been on RRAP even a
>decade. So somehow, more than 4 years before Steve was on RRAP and
>ever heard of Len, he was having a conversation with a former
colleague
>of Lens, discussing Len's performance reports.
>
>"Captain, I find it Quiteillogical" Spock
>
>So, is lie #16 the conversation with a former colleague of Len's? Or
>is lie #16 Steve's tenure on RRAP? Or is it actually lies #16 and
#17?

Psychotic Pstevie is doing a MARVELOUS job of back-
pedalling! :-) But...his LIE is still a LIE.

There were three contract numbers involved in SECANT.
I have the exact number on the first two generations;
I was at NADC on the first contract...representing RCA
Corporation, the REAL "contractor." Adminstratively
and for the purpose of my VISITING there, I was NOT
listed as "the" contractor. "Contractor personnel,"
perhaps...for the on-site security group (civilians
at NADC) and for the project reports, if then (I was
NOT listed as anything but one of the visitors in
the NADC report, a rather low-rank at that! :-)

Somewhere in the dusty archives of someplace MIGHT be
a few mentions of me 34 years ago signing for a
VISITOR badge plus a VISITOR parking placard for the
rented vehicle I had plus a sign-off on a two-page
standard form for VISITORS on-site. I could care

less, been there and done that at other sites. :-)
While VISITING there, I worked daily with only two
NADC engineers on a regular basis, maybe every two
days with the lead engineer, met the group leader a
couple times, the pilots of the test aircraft, and
the maintenance officer at the NAS. Pstevie thinks
I was "supposed" to do "stellar" things there, but
doesn't understand that I was simply a representative
of the contractor who knew the whole system under
test. There was no time or budget for a "technical
manual" (seldom is on an R&D program), just a tech
rep and a bunch of ozalid copies of schematics plus
a few photos of the system as set up. There wasn't
any chit-chatting "off-duty" nor was there any
"hoisting a few after hours." Neither did we "get
liberty" but were on our own recognizance as to
working hours. [must be amazing fact to a
conditioned military mindset like Pstevie's...:-)]

Pstevie uses the word "colleague" as if I was working
for NADC. Was NOT the case. I tried to explain that,
but to no avail. :-) So..."performance reports" of
civilian contractor personnel are NOT done by
contractees. If they don't like a tech rep's
whatever, they can simply call up the contractor and
complain, probably have the tech rep replaced. Did
not happen with me...there were NO "reports" filed by
NADC as to behavior, demeanor, performance skills or
anything else except for a brief mention of my name
in an NADC internal project report.

So...not only is Pstevie all forked up on his
arithmetic but he don't know fecal matter from shoe
polish on how contracts with civilian firms are
handled...he doesn't really know much about anything
what happened across the street from the NAS.

As to REAL arithmetic on his LYING, it's hard to pin
that down. Most of his blabbering on personal
attacks after the first one is simply attempts at
rationalizing (badly) on his original LIE. Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4372. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 22:14:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113689651.120732.286570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105888

From: "bb" on Sat,Apr 16 2005 6:22 am

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: "K4YZ" on Thurs,Apr 14 2005 2:27 am

>> > > Brian P Burke and Leonard H Anderson both epitomize all of
the
>> > >things that give other veterans a black eye. I would not want to
be in
>> > >a social setting where their status as veterans was known and
then
>> > >announce that I was a vet too. That's one "guilty by
association" that
>> > >I will gladly avoid.
>> >
>> > Tsk, tsk. You had BETTER avoid it! Once you step away
>> > from the Legion Hall bar YOU are liable to not make it out
>> > of the parking lot!
>>
>> I don't drink, Lennie.
>
>Was that the 12th step? Probably has something to do with your
medical
>discharge.

Er, Brian, Psychotic Pstevie NOW says his discharge was
"honorable all along!" :-)

Gosh, I wonder if some medical tech ever did a culture
on what was in that "discharge?" [might be a medical
breakthrough! :-)]

Pstevie doesn't drink? Gosh and golly, from the looks
of things in here, I think he strains out the pickles
(KOSHER of course) from those jars and enjoys the dill
juice. Keeps his "Gunnery Sgt command voice" in the
proper sour tone, the lips pursed in proper Dill
Sergeant disapproval. :-)

>> > Sweetums, I have an HONORABLE discharges from military
>> > service.
>>
>> Yes, you do. But it's your self-serving use of the deaths of
>> others for your own glorification that dishonored whatever you DID
do

>> good, Lennie.
>
>Jim asked what difference it makes if he served or not? Soldiers die.

Pstevie MUST get his "insult quotient" of the day in. He MUST "avenge" his "outrage" (at being caught LYING to others and not having his brags believed). The original thread subject is of no consequence to Pstevie. He MUST take REVENGE!!! :-)

Quite so...soldiers, sailors, airmen may ALL die in the performance of their military duty. MAY. All of us who wore the uniform know that, in greater or lesser degree depending on the individual. We do honor those who gave their lives...or who had their lives taken from them in the course of duty.

"Civilians" (those who never served in the military) don't have a rapport with that. They only have the emotional, second-hand viewing of movies, TV, and published accounts, at best a vicarious "experience" based only on their emotional take on it. Because of that emotion-only input, they cannot comprehend being IN any such situation. Because their input is only second-hand and emotional, they will confuse that with whatever other emotions they have. A case in point is Jimmie's apparent glorification of morse code use on radio in WW2 with warfare itself. All grand and glorious but the warfare happening well before his lifetime. He can't really comprehend what it means to be IN a true warfare situation OR in a military situation of any kind. He has no baseline from which to judge. At best, all he can do is an intellectual exercise of words, of imagination, so that he can give the appearance of "knowing" what it is like.

Now we've got Psychotic Pstevie who claims "insult" that others can actually honor those who died in the performance of their military duties...especially those of the same military unit. Jimmie has NO sense of "unit cohesiveness" that grows in every military unit, the bond of all who serve in a unit. Such a bond is not easily explainable in words but it can be felt deep inside. It is visceral, deep in the psyche. It can't be fully realized until one has done it.

Psychotic Pstevie the Psonofabitch perverses such honoring of a unit's dead in order to produce his interminable insult-throwing. He is a special case, perhaps one who should be IN a case, locked away.

When I've stood Retreat at sundown with the special order of honoring those 19 of the 71st Battalion who died on 1 July 1950, I was not thinking ahead to many years later of "bragging" about personal exploits. Those 19 were ALL "rear area" communications Signalmen; the 71st served the Far East Command Headquarters directly and General Mac had ordered that group to Korea to reinforce the partly overrun communications system at the start of the Korean War. Their transport crashed on landing, killing not only them but also the four in the aircrew. Stuff happens and none of them expected that. Army Central Command honored two of the 19 by naming the Battalion's billet as Hardy Barracks, later the new transmitter site as Camp Tomlinson. That is the best that the military can do besides the "insurance" money and consoling of their families. The media had not yet come up with "body counts" of the later Vietnam War, that sorry excuse to make warfare sound like some pro football game...which it definitely is not.

Jimmie and Pstevie have made much of "rear area" military service, as if that is a disreputable thing. About six out of seven military personnel ARE "rear area" and not DIRECTLY involved in actual "battle." Yet, with the mobility of modern warfare ANY ONE of those six may be thrust into some kind of "battle" or, in the case of the 19 from the 71st Signal Battalion, dying for no cause of theirs or the enemy. "Rear area" service is necessary to prosecute the mass logistics of warfare...to coordinate supplies arriving to replenish consumables, to get reinforcements or replacements...even to perform ground service on aircraft such as helicopters. None of us "rear area" personnel are expected to be "in the thick of battle" (as Jimmie may think, never having served and getting input only from mass media). We did our tasks as assigned, following the orders passed down along chain of command. We did our DUTY and took pride in what we did, even if we didn't get our names in magazines or amass "scores" to show "how good/superious" we were. Most of us survived to continue life outside of the military.

A few of us "lucked out" in doing our duty, such as my getting assigned to a big communications station. I had NO hand in getting such, had to accept what happened. Some, like myself, availed themselves of the opportunity to learn, to grow in

knowledge of communications arts, technology.

>> You've been asked this before, I am asking again: WHAT LAW DID
>> BRIAN KELLY VIOLATE BY NOT SERVING IN THE ARMED FORCES...?!?!
>
>Kellie lied about it. He claimed to have "real military service."

Kellie once said he had "26 patents." Actually,
he had only ONE, the other 25 being grants in
other countries for the SAME patent. Same as
I did although my single patent may have had 28
foreign grants; exact number varied depending on
who was contacted at RCA Corporation Legal.

>> It was never "changed" to an Honorable, Lennie. It was
Honorable
>> all along. I was discharged.
>
>Was Kelly discharged? Jim?

They "served in other ways."

However, Pstevie contradicted himself. In here, Pstevie
said he had a Medical discharge "from an accident."
Discharges for enlisted personnel are either Honorable,
Dishonorable, or Medical.

>> > Tsk. I can digitize my 1960 HONORABLE discharge...(SNIP)
>>
>> Sure you can. Two problems, though. One, you've already done
the
>> "I am going to send you an e-mail" trick wherein you DIDN'T send
what
>> you promised you were going to do.

Poor Psycho Pstevie, still ANGRY over another accident.
He neglected (deliberately) to say that he was sent the
correct file later, not once but twice. Pstevie was SO
angry and upset that he REFUSED to look at the correct
file. [his RAGE is legendary...]

>Why do you use the word "promised?" Is it a cheap Robeson trick to
>make greater your injury? Hi!

Pstevie thinks ALL exist to SERVE HIM! :-)

Pstevie is a warlord-wannabe (or some kind of self-
professed nobility person) who demands OBEDIANCE
from those he threatens.

>> Secondly, as I have said over an over, I don't doubt that you
have
>> an "Honorable" discharge. But what I HAVE said over and over it's
HOW

>> YOU DISGRACED YOUR SERVICE WITH YOUR SELFISH USE OF OTHER'S
SACRIFICES
>> THAT MAKE YOU THE SCUMBAG YOU ARE!
>
>Didn't people who didn't serve make selfish use of other's sacrifices?

Psycho Pstevie the Psonofabitch would think that my
periodic placement of flags on veteran's graves is an
INSULT!!! He may think that placing my hand over my
heart (in civilian salute) to the flag of the USA is an
INSULT!!!

Pstevie makes Memorial Day less memorable...

>> You also "did it" when you tried to embellish YOUR "record"
with
>> the deaths of Soldiers who died in combat before you were even
inducted.
>
>What? No marines ever died in combat prior to your service? You
>enlisted because it was safe and you knew you'd get back in one piece
>(didn't happen, did it?)

The USMC uniform was pretty...two colors of blue,
white hat, flashy red stripe on the outside of each
trouser, shiny chromed SWORD! Wowee!

Pstevie says I was "inducted." ERROR. I volunteered.
I was sworn into service. There's a difference.

In the 50s the Army had its own "ASN" or Army Serial
Number. Mine was RA 16 408 336. The "RA" prefix stood
for "Regular Army" and denoted volunteer enlistment.
Draftees (those inducted) had "US" prefixes, standing
for "Army of the United States." Activated National
Guard had "NG" prefixes. Commissioned officer ASN
prefixes were simply "O."

>Jim asks what difference serving or not serving in the military makes?
>I'd like to see you explain it to him.

I wonder if Pstevie will order him to "GET DOWN AND
GIVE ME TWENTY!!!" :-)

>> > Get some mental help, Psychotic Pstevie. You need it.
>>
>> Not even remotely as much as you, old man.
>
>Let the professionals make that determination.

Pstevie gonna say "HE has the 'professional qualifications!'"

:-)

Pstevie still hasn't "picked up the phone to tell
'authorities' to come pick me up for mental stuff!"
He said he "could do that" (apparently by the powers
that be in Pstevieland).

>> Pathologiocal liar and teller of Tall Tales. User Of Other's
>> Sacrifices.
>>
>> Putz.
>>
>> Steve, K4yz
>
>What about your claim of seven hostile actions?

Pstevie gave his life for his country seven times?

He must have cat DNA...but only two lives left!

Psychotic Pstevie should call the VA and ask them
who had ASN RA16408336. Tsk. Not a "tall tale."
Getting tired of the Psychotic One going on his
HATE binges. I thought U.S. ham radio was all about
good fellowship and friendly helping of those who
don't know about radio?

Not in Pstevie's back yard. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4373. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 22:25:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113690312.431136.47350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105889

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat,Apr 16 2005 8:44 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Apr 12, 9:31 pm

>> It only shows what a snow-jobbing laid-off
>> murine does under the guise of a U.S. AMATEUR radio
>> extra callsign. Tosses brags like they were bagels.
>
>What brags, Len? Look at American foreign policy since the
>end of the USA's involvement in Vietnam. Plenty of "hostile
>actions" for active-duty, career military personnel to be a part of in
>a variety of roles.
>
>And that's just the "hostile actions" we civilians know about.

How does that tie in with the use of morse code in
museum windows?

Oh, yes, Jimmie Noserve KNOWS all about "hostile
actions." Sure...READING about them, WATCHING
movies and TV. Wow!

>> >To my knowledge, Steve has never stated that DOD does not
>> >direct MARS.
>>
>> >His claim is that if there were no radio amateurs, there'd
>> >have been no MARS program. In that, he is correct.
>>
>> Bullshit.
>
>Well, you're certainly the authority on *that* subject, Len! ;-)

I know TRUTH as opposed to snow-job braggadoccio.

Psycho Pstevie is an "extra class" snow-jobber.

And, mister wizard, you REWROTE what Robeson wrote.

"Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."

In NO way did Pstevie write what YOU say he wrote.
In NO way did Pstevie's single sentence say what
you IMPLY it did. He is NOT "correct."

>> MARS always was and remains a MILITARY radio system.
>
>But most of the participants aren't in the military.

How do YOU know? Are you now working for the Army
MARS Hq at Fort Huachuca? Or any of the other service
branch MARS Headquarters? Didn't you READ the DoD
directive visible to anyone on the given link?

>Haw, that's funny. Len, you pretty much come unglued at the slightest
>opposition to your cherished statements, or when
>someone refuses to feed your insult machine.

"Insult machine?" Jimmie Noserve wants the exclusive
use of that "machine?"

Oh, yes, that ties right in with a Canadian museum
having morse code in its window...sure...

>> If you ain't got the guts to
>> tell the details, you AIN'T done it. Simple as that.
>
>Then you must think that Brian Burke, N0IMD-allegedly-/T5, "ain't done
>it", because he won't give any details about his amateur radio
>operation in Somalia.

Then you must be as nuts as Psycho Pstevie. Tsk.

Pstevie pervertedly pejorated hisself at least a
couple orders of magnitude with his alleged
"poor repfit" of NADC on my visit there 34 years
ago...and is still trying (vainly) to rationalize
his LIE as some kind of "truth."

I'm just showing what a damn LIAR he is. But,
Pstevie is your BUDDIE and therefore can do NO
wrong. He is PCTA extra Double Standard class
and can therefore say ANYTHING he wants in
your complete approval.

>Orwell did a good job describing the subjective reality mindset in his
>classic "1984". You remind me of "Big Brother", Len, in the way you
>want to rewrite history to fit your mindset.

Pizz off, sweetie. You are going hot and heavy
into this personal insult thing and Brian Burke is
NOT a part of it.

>If a person does something, they've done it whether they talk about it
>or not. Or whether you believe it or not. Simple as that.

Tsk. Turn your phrase around. If a person TALKS
about something, that isn't "proof" that they've
DONE it. :-)

Psycho Pstevie still hasn't come up with a SINGLE
detail of "proof" on his insult of my "fitrep" at
NADC.

>And if K4YZ really did participate in seven hostile actions,
>then it happened regardless of whether details are given or not.

HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT IS TRUTH? :-)

You've NEVER served OR done any "hostile actions"
other than petty intellectual arguments on Internet.

>No, Len, that's not true. FCC is involved - but you're not FCC.

James P. Miccolis is NOT FCC. :-)

>Amateur radio manufacturers are involved - but you're not one
>of them, either.

James P. Miccolis is NOT a "manufacturer of radios."

>You're not involved.

OH! "Not involved!!!"

The U.S. Government gives me the RIGHT to vote, on
anything up for a vote!

I am NOT "involved in government" yet I can vote on
government officials! [really!]

I am NOT "involved" in any of the proposals to be
voted on yet I can VOTE on them!

Wow! I'm "not involved" in so many things!!!!

BULLSHIT, sweetums. The FCC determines who gets a
radio license and sets the standards. The ARRL does
NOT. Jimmie Noserve does NOT. Davie Heil does NOT.
The "ham community" does NOT. It's the FCC, sweetie.
[and that's the absolute truth...pbthththt]

The "F" in FCC stands for "Federal." That means that
ANYONE can make themselves and their opinions known to
them (see the 1st Amendment of the U.S. Constitution).
The FCC does NOT limit itself to amateur regulation
input SOLELY from amateurs. It isn't an exclusive
clubhouse...even though you try to convey that idea.

>Who? Not you, of course. You're not involved.

What? Paradoxical. According to that, one can't
get INTO amateur radio WITHOUT being "involved,"
BUT...to BE "involved" one has to ALREADY be IN
amateur radio.

Tsk. If you don't like paradoxes, all you are doing
is trying to make it all into a private clubhouse.
Sorry, the Communications Act of 1934 took that away
when the FCC was created to regulate ALL civil radio
in the USA. ALL, Jimmie.

>> >Len knows more about radio operation.
>>
>> Tsk. I know HOW they work and the protocols needed
>> in some radio services.
>
>But not amateur radio. Not Morse Code. Your knowledge is all theory,
no
>practice, when it comes to amateur radio. Sidewalk superintendent
>stuff. All hat and no cattle, all talk and no action.

Okay, so you DON'T think that amateur radio works by
the same principles of physics as all other radio
services. Electrons, fields, and waves all work in
in an "amateur fashion" if you have an AMATEUR radio
license! An AMATEUR radio "won't work" unless it has
a valid, certificated amateur radio operator operating
it? Wow. Learn something every day.

Well, no sweat. Someone who doesn't KNOW the "ham way"
should be FIRED, right? Give up their ham job? Be
prosecuted if they don't behave according to YOUR set
of regulations?

Sweetie, I've designed and built those "sidewalks,"
and the "buildings" they are in front of, done the
"civil engineering" testing on those "buildings" to
make sure they are in-spec. Let your aphorisms fly
where they may Luke Skysulker, "may the aphorism be
with you!"

>You did some articles for a now-long-defunct New England-based ham
>radio magazine 22+ years ago. None of them were about building or
>operating an amateur radio station.

Poor baby. Still sulking about NOT getting published
in anything but "Electric Radio?" :-)

Still pissed because I was an Associate Editor there,
and so listed on their masthead?

Awwww...the opportunity could have been YOURS, sweetie.

Better luck, next time.

>> Other than
>> that, Jimmie do NOT say squat. He afraid others
>> find out?
>
>Why no, Len. I'm not "afraid" of others finding out. I just choose not
>to give out that information.

Can't blame you. :-)

>Who did you vote for in the presidential elections of 2000 and 2004,
>Len?

Where there RADIO issues proposed by the candidates
then? I watched the debates on TV rather than listening
to the radio. Fill me in.

HOW is such information REQUIRED to discuss amateur radio
regulations and how to get INTO amateur radio by licensing?

WHO did you VOTE for in Canada on their last election?

Are you "afraid" to say? :-)

>> The U.S. military did NOT use morse code in long-distance
>> fixed-point to fixed-point communications a half century
>> ago and still don't.
>
>Even if that's true - what does it matter to amateur radio policy?

Sorry, Jimmie, under YOUR "rules," if I SAID it, then
it must be true! :-)

Actually, it IS true, but YOU are AFRAID to find out.
The fantasy that the rest of the radio world "still
uses morse code" is way too strong a mental narcotic
for you. You can't go cold turkey.

>Amateur radio isn't the US military.

MARS is military. "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur
radio!" :-)

Amateur radio is NOT Private Land Mobile Radio Service.

Amateur radio is NOT Mass Media Radio Service.

Amateur radio is NOT Maritime Radio Service.

Amateur radio is NOT Aviation Radio Service.

Amateur radio is NOT Personal Radio Service.

Etc.

>> Tsk. Some of you olde-tyme hammes
>> need to get your noses out of old WW2 surplus radio books
>> and inspect the rest of the radio world.
>
>Is that an order?

Are you afraid to take orders? Is that why you never
volunteered for military service? :-)

>Why should what other radio services do be more important to amateur
>radio policy than what hams do?

Why should amateur radio policy be dictated SOLELY by
already-licensed radio amateurs? That's in direct
VIOLATION of both the 1st Amendment and the Communications

Act of 1934.

>I see. Well, Len, you have the thinnest skin of all those here,
because
>you get insulted by *any* opposition..

What "opposition?" :-)

There's Jimmie Noserve who likes to make out that he
KNOWS ALL about the military...but never served.

There's a psycho-sick whacko inventing "fitreps" about
me that never happened...

There's a few more and have been lots more. Not a
problem. Lots of you knowitalls and control freaks on
the Internet who "get off" on being "superior" on their
screens. Tsk. Been that way since computer-modem
communications got going over three decades ago. :-)

>Who did you vote for in the presidential elections of 2000 and 2004,
>Len?

Maybe I've forgotten! :-)

What Prime Minister candidate did you vote for in
Canada, Jimmie?

What military did you serve in up in Canada, Jimmie?

Did you do any morse code in their military?

Were you in any Canadian "hostile actions?"

Or did you forget?

How did the Morse-o-Meal taste this morning?

[have some crow for supper...]

Bye... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4374. Re: No Wonder The Boys Are Annoyed in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 22:26:30 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <96581ad9285d1d990d42b25e1d7c731d@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 105924
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article
"Rabbi Phil" wrote:

> Lets see, Steve uses AOL

And so do you.

> and Roger claims to have a Master of Science
> degree which he earned entirely via correspondence from DeVry.

BZZZZZT! Wrong as usual. But that is the story of your life,
isn't it, dropout?

> Theres

Hi, Lardass Davies........."there's" no doubt when you post. You
always fuck up.

> a connection, but it just isn't clear yet. Do you suppose
they both
> function in a vacuum?

Your remaining brain cell does, retard.

4375. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 22:40:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113691214.338812.291680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105890

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > The fact of the matter is that except in the most aggrevious
> > warfare, more Americans die on the highways at home than do
> Servicemen.
>
> And heroes all of them, defending the country.
>
> """Sorry Hans, a run-over Jay-Walker >>>IS<<< a Veteran!!!""" Hi!

The point beig, Brain, that civilians die in the course of both
"peacetime" and states of war too.

Do a bit of history research on "Maritime Marine", "Civil Air
Patrol" and "Office of Civilian Defense" during WW2.

CAP "civilians" still lose their lives "in the line of duty", and
they weren't even getting paid for it.

Steve, K4YZ

4376. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 22:46:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113691584.331352.220350@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105891

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sat,Apr 16 2005 6:22 am

> Now we've got Psychotic Pstevie who claims "insult"
> that others can actually honor those who died in the
> performance of their military duties...

Your "honoring" was a blatant attempt to put YOU up front.

That's the disgusting part.

> Psychotic Pstevie the Psonofabitch...

Ahhhhhhhhhh, Lennie, even MORE name calling! Why am I not
surprised?

Rest of your ususal pontification and self-righteous indignation
snipped for bandwidth conservation.

You're still a lair, Lennie. You're still not an Amateur Radio
licensee, and will never have a fraction of the experiences in Amateur
Radio that any other person in this NG has.

Sucks to be you!

Steve, K4YZ

4377. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 22:56:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113692163.904121.254130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105893

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat,Apr 16 2005 8:44 am

> >Amateur radio isn't the US military.
>
> MARS is military. "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur
> radio!"

No Amateur Radio = No MARS. The "A" in MARS stands for
"Affiliate", as in "Affiliated" with Amateur Radio.

> Amateur radio is NOT Private Land Mobile Radio Service.

But Amateur Radio is used as an emergency adjunct to it, so sayeth
the FCC. (You know, Lennie...the FEDERAL Communications Commission...)

> Amateur radio is NOT Mass Media Radio Service.

But under certain circumstances may be used to assist in that
pursuit...so sayeth the FCC.

> Amateur radio is NOT Maritime Radio Service.

But Amateur Radio is routinely carried aboard both commercial and
pleasure vessles of many types...Including warships of the United
States Navy...So sayeth the FCC >>AND<< the Deaprtment of Defense.

> Amateur radio is NOT Aviation Radio Service.

But with the permission of the pilot-in-command, Amateur Radio
activities may be conducted from both private and commercial
aircarft...So sayeth the FCC >>AND<< the FAA.

> Amateur radio is NOT Personal Radio Service.

But Amateur Radio may be rotinely used for many of the same
purposes of the Personal Radio Service...So sayeth the FCC...

So...so far we have at least three federal agencies telling us
that Amateur Radio CAN be used under all of the flags above that Lennie
said Amateur Radio "wasn't".

Sheeeesh.

Leonard H. Anderson is a putz. A loser again...\

Steve, K4YZ

4378. Re: Hey Brian...Guess What...?!?!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 22:57:20 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <5170fae583d519dd8a891ab95a748003@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 105892

In article <1113390056.287874.37740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> More facts

Like you would know "facts" if they bit you on your fat ass,
Nursie.

> Damn...what a bad break for you, eh Brian...???

Sort of like how you breed defective kids that die, huh, Nursie?

> "I Am Laughing At The Superior Intellect".

We're laughing at you, not with you, Nursie.

4379. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 23:08:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113692933.686304.50100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What does this mean? Is k4yz calling toady or is k4yz accusing toady of

calling him? He said that we said that somebody said that there is a
definite possibility of an absolute maybe!

it's real simple someone is on my blog claiming to be k4yz, the message
was from a fake k4yz address to me.
todd n9ogl

4380. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 23:09:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113692953.583949.51410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>What does this mean? Is k4yz calling toady or is k4yz accusing toady
of
>calling him? He said that we said that somebody said that there is a
>definite possibility of an absolute maybe!

it's real simple someone is on my blog claiming to be k4yz, the message
was from a fake k4yz address to me.
todd n9ogl

4381. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-16 23:16:05 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <V0h8e.6802$go4.5613@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105896

>
> The transmitted energy that was formerly packed into 2.5 kHz is now
> evenly spread over 100 times that spectrum, so the energy that gets
> into my receiver is 1/100th of what it was before. The S9+20 local's
> signal drops 20 dB - to S9. Only now he's S9 on 250 kHz of the band,
> rather than just a few kHz.
>
> Even if he drops his power 18 dB at the same time he goes SS, he's
> still S6 (assuming 6 dB per S unit).
>
> If a station runs 100 W to a dipole at a decent height, and the band is
> in good shape, that station will be S9 + 20 over a pretty wide area on
> 80/75.
>

So much for SS then. Another problem might be what QSB might
do to that signal anyway....

4382. Re: MI QSO Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 00:14:02 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <o5CdnefpHavFN_zfRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 105897

Yeah but I'm just a casual contester.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:1oOdnaHhzcU6EfzfRVn-sQ@crocker.com...
> real contesters eat at the key!
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:buGdnSFUGZRQ4PzfRVn-vw@comcast.com...
>> I and the OM are out there off and on for the MI QP. We are operating
> under
>> my call sign, N8UZE. Right now we are taking a supper break though.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>
>

4383. Re: ARRL . . Readies Bandwidth Recommendations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 00:23:21 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113697401.130676.48900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105898

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> robert casey wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > "Acting on the premise that the amateur bands must flexibly and
> > > comfortably accommodate present and future operating modes and
> > > technologies over the long haul,
> >
> > What about spread spectrum modes? Supposedly such a
> > mode could use the entire data subband of a HF band
> > but have only minimal QRM to other users?
>
> Doesn't work that way. It's more like "QRM to almost all other
users".
>
> There was a clear discussion of this by KE3Z (IIRC) here some years
> back. The interference potential of SS on HF was clearly
demonstrated.
> I can google it for you if you want.

How does that compare to BPL? BPL is allowed on the ham bands.

4384. Re: Not So Slow After All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 00:24:55 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <fdudna1WNMpFMfzfRVn-pA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 105899

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>From the QRP list:
>
>
> (begin quote)
>
> Today's edition of the UK Times newspaper has the following heading on
> page
>
> 11:
> A race to the wire as old hand at Morse code beats txt msgrs
>
> An Australian museum set up a race between CW operators and teenage
> text messagers.
>
> Message (randomly selected)
> Hey, girlfriend, you can text all your pals to tell them where you are
> going and what you are wearing.
>
> CW
> Transmitting - Gordon Hill aged 93.
> Receiving - Jack Gibson aged 82.
> No abbreviations used.
>
> Text
> Sent by - Brittany Devlin aged 13 - using text slang. Also three other
> teenagers. Miss Devlin had two years of texting experience. Using text
> message shorthand.
>
> Timed Results
> CW - 90 seconds to a correct written message.
> TEXT - 108 seconds to a correct message.
>
> In three further contests with three further teenagers CW was the
> winner.
>
> (end quote)
>
> Even when not allowed to use abbreviations, and when required to write
> it down, the CW (Morse Code) ops won.

Of this I have no doubt, Jim. I think the CW the experimenters are
using on 150 KHz will beat cell phone text messaging. It is an awful method.

BUT! that gave me an idea. If a cell phone mfg. were to offer a method of keying morse messages, some of these kids may "discover" Morse code. Imagine, a little iambic key on a cell phone.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

4385. Re: Loser Wiseman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 00:40:15 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050417004015.97EA61708F@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 105931

In article <20050411013736.DB5B41708F@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer
<nob...@cypherpunks.to> wrote:
>
> he collects welfare
> lives in a inherited shack
> causes malicious qrm he is stupid and sick
> roger wiseman is a nut case

Lardass Davies:

He gets food stamps
He abuses alcohol and drugs
He lives in a HUD section 8 public assistance apartment complex
He works at the ONLY job he is qualified for, pizza delivery,
and gets less than minimum wage and no benefits.
He gets on 20 meters with a no code technician license
Lloyd Davies is a mentally ill retard.

4386. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 00:51:40 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113699100.037290.297910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105900

From: "K□B" on Sat,Apr 16 2005 3:47 pm

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1113535139.871326.247800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

>> The only encryption used by the USA
>> (and Canada as well as the UK) was the "Sigaba" as shown
>> on the USS Pampanito floating museum and at the NSA
>> on-line Museum.
>
>Wrong, kind elderly Gentleman. It wasn't the "only encryption used by
the USA".
>
>SIGABA (KL-29/BACCUS) was only ONE of SEVERAL encryption systems used
by the USA
>during WWII. In fact, it wasn't even the most commonly used one
(KL7/ADONIS
>holds that honor).

Of course it's "wrong," you are always "right." :-)

Never had a crypto clearance in the service, certainly
not in WW2. You have one then? [of course you did...]

Have to "dot the i and cross the t" to the EXACT
number or else be sentenced to a capital-crime
felony in here? :-)

All I know is the basic principle of the system,
obtained in a couple of interesting books by
CIVILIANS! :-) Also an article by the Chief
Cryptographer of the U.S. Army...a civilian! :-)

>> The "Sigaba" system (TTY), upgraded to post-WW2 standards
>> was severely compromised by the capture of the USS Pueblo
>> off the North Korean coast in 1968.
>
>Wrong again, SIGANDERSON. SIGABA (and it's "upgrades") were retired
from
>service in 1959, almost a decade before the Lloyd Bucher shamefully
struck his
>colors to the Koreans..

No problem, Super Chief. :-)

Until around 1964 I didn't KNOW the EXACTNESS of
the systems or even how it was done. The crypto

stuff would roll in looking for all the world as way-off-bias-distortion-prone TTY on any standard TTY...on what I saw in the Army.

I'm sure you think that the Army sent everything "in clear" using morse code (to confuse the 'enemy') and all that. That's another subject of course and I'm not allowed to talk of that; I need an AMATEUR RADIO license in order to talk about military/all-Navy encryption. :-)

The system used then was a "rotor" type (familiar name). Essentially a set of rotary switches turning at different rates (according to key settings, crypto key, not key on a manual keyboard operation). Those rotary switches ("rotors") scrambled the normal TTY in synchronism with the TTY motor and would also UN-scramble received TTY. Neat thing about that, even with the "wrong" name and all the EXACT number and letter designators, was that NOBODY COMPROMISED IT! Sunnuvagun!

Lots and lots of cryptologists and historians have tried to see if anyone compromised it on any intercepts during WW2 and somewhat afterwards (I won't say the EXACT year because you want to snarl and argue about it if I do) but haven't come up with anything yet.

Of course you are on this wonderful, traditional, "do or die," "death before dishonor" bullshit attitude in regards to Commander Bucher. Riiiiight...the USN thoughtfully "armed" the USS Pueble with a single machine gun and a few personal arms of some of the personnel. NOT ENOUGH destruct flares on board to destroy equipment. Pueblo was surrounded in shallow water...not a big problem to have NORTH Korean divers raise enough equipment to sell to the USSR. But... "being there" (in your heart) you would want everyone to FIGHT TO THE LAST MAN! Wow, lot of "good" that was goint to do.

By the way, since you are so picky-picky about EXACT names and things, the USS Pueblo was captured by NORTH Koreans. Korea isn't unifed yet, hasn't been since the USSR jumped in on the tailboard of WW2 and "occupied" the North and sticking in their communist government ideas there. As a separate Korean nation, that is.

The crew of the USS Pueblo (officially a USN ship, an "oceanographic research vessel" according to our government) pretty much survived captivity. [do

you need an EXACT body count?] Bucher survived. But, Bucher died not too long ago. No problem for you, huh? The USN didn't haul him up for any courts martial. He was allowed to retire. [you were allowed to retire, right?]

CWO Walker, USN, STOLE whole technical manuals (by photographic copying) and Key Lists while SERVING in the USN. Sold the material to the USSR. Walker was eventually caught, tried, and sentenced to life in a federal pen. [do you need the EXACT details on that, too, and if no one supplies them, are they "all wrong?"] Walker is STILL ALIVE! Sunnuvagun!

You don't posit that as a "terrible thing," do you? You want to try and convict (in absentia) a USN commissioned officer stuck in an untenable position in his command...but you don't give a shit about ANOTHER USN officer, a Warrant, doing deliberate TREASON while SERVING! That's okay?

>73, de Hans, K0HB
>Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

Good grief, you didn't "cross the tee" in your manuevers properly. You should have pointed out that the USN closed down ELF transmitters in Clam Lake, WI, and Republic, MI, at the end of September 2004!!! No more 76 Hz at 1 MW. [too many in the U.S. north country want to "save the environment" and "preserve world peace" by withholding the use of *nuclear* (horrors!) missles] Riiiight...76 Hz is terribly harmful to the ecology they think!

Tsk, tsk! You could have had a FINE time doing the pillory bit on me...but you NEGLECTED to do so!

Missle submarines and attack submarines have to use alerts from VLF stations NAA, NLK, NPM, NML, NAU, NRK, NWC...frequencies from 19.8 to 40.8 KHz. But, I almost hesitate to list those since you will NO DOUBT want the EXACT details, the EXACT locations, and the EXACT mode, protocol, and all that...or ANY listing is TOTALLY WRONG!!! :-)

Cool it, Master super-duper Chief (USN). I'm still a citizen of the U.S. of A. and served in MY country's (USA) military BEFORE you did. I know that doesn't count for much in this din of inequity but it's all I got. :-)

By the way, for EXACTNESS, my surname was NEVER "SIGANDERSON." You made a "mistake" but I won't

hold anything against you. Well, maybe a bayonet
or such... :-)

Temper fry...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4387. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 01:01:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113696588.091392.139400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105901

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> As to REAL arithmetic on his LYING, it's hard to pin
> that down. Most of his blabbering on personal
> attacks after the first one is simply attempts at
> rationalizing (badly) on his original LIE. Tsk.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

That appears to be the story of his life; lying and rationalizing his lies.

4388. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 01:03:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113697193.176649.308680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105902

KØHB wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Cool. Is Okinawa a JA posession like Guam or Saipan is a W6
posession?
> >
>
> Saipan is not/never has been a US posession.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Then tell them to stop using USA callsigns.

4389. HEY DEE....MICHIGAN QSO PARTY IS ON. YOUR NOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 01:04:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <wCi8e.7915$sp3.3191@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am pushing hard on the Michigan QSO party. Doing mostly CW. Hunt and pounce and CQing. EH EH EH???

Dan/W4NTI

4390. Re: MI QSO Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 01:06:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <kEi8e.7917$sp3.3418@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105904

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:buGdnSFUGZRQ4PzfRVn-vw@comcast.com...
>I and the OM are out there off and on for the MI QP. We are operating
>under my call sign, N8UZE. Right now we are taking a supper break though.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

I ain't heard you yet. I'm on CW mostly. Hunt and pounce AND CQing.
Where you at?????????

Are you planning on being on tomorrow (Sunday) ? I doubt I'll hang much
past another hour or so tonight.

Good activity on both 80 and 40 CW.

Dan/W4NTI

4391. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 01:59:10 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Opj8e.7419$yq6.2045@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105905

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1113699100.037290.297910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

> Walker is STILL ALIVE! Sunnuvagun!

He shouldn't be.

4392. Re: Loser Wiseman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 02:32:05 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <1U7RPHE338458.9389467593@anonymous>
Source record: 105925

In article <20050411013736.DB5B41708F@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer
<nob...@cypherpunks.to> wrote:
>
> he collects welfare
> lives in a inherited shack
> causes malicious qrm he is stupid and sick
> roger wiseman is a nut case

Lardass Davies:

He gets food stamps
He abuses alcohol and drugs
He lives in a HUD section 8 public assistance apartment complex
He works at the ONLY job he is qualified for, pizza delivery,
and gets less than minimum wage and no benefits.
He gets on 20 meters with a no code technician license
Lloyd Davies is a mentally ill retard.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

4393. Re: Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven wh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 02:42:03 UTC
From: CW <chsw@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <w2k8e.2372$sG3.2002@fe09.lga>
Source record: 105906
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

<RonGrossi382615@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1113672206.519341.158500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> The reason some people don't know for sure
> if they are going to Heaven when they die
> is because they just don't know.
>
> The good news is that you can know for
> sure that you are going to Heaven which is
> described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
> place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
> pain.

Please write a letter to us once you get there, Ron. Let's hope that you
may take this trip soon.

CW

4394. Re: No Wonder The Boys Are Annoyed in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 02:47:31 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <406f6d909216aceb439d43f798b7973d@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105936
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article
"Rabbi Phil" wrote:

> Lets see, Steve uses AOL

And so do you. Then you try to mask it through Altopia.

> and Roger claims to have a Master of Science
> degree which he earned entirely via correspondence from DeVry.

BZZZZZT! Wrong as usual. But that is the story of your life,
isn't it, dropout?

> Theres

Hi, Lardass Davies........."there's" no doubt when you post. You
always fuck up.

> a connection, but it just isn't clear yet. Do you suppose
they both
> function in a vacuum?

Your remaining brain cell does, retard.

4395. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 03:05:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113707124.930322.293180@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105907

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Cool it, Master super-duper Chief (USN). I'm still
> a citizen of the U.S. of A. and served in MY
> country's (USA) military BEFORE you did. I know
> that doesn't count for much in this din of inequity
> but it's all I got.

Of course Lennie's reading the riot act to someone about
experience and "seniority", yet is first and loudest to cry foul if
Amateurs point out HIS lack of "experience and seniority".

> By the way, for EXACTNESS, my surname was NEVER
> "SIGANDERSON." You made a "mistake" but I won't
> hold anything against you. Well, maybe a bayonet
> or such...

And Lennie, always quick to find SOME "threat" in anything,
blatantly threatens Hans with a bayonet.

Why am I not surprised?

> Temper fry...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenThePutzAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

4396. Re: Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven wh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 03:08:43 UTC
From: Michael <muirhead@haidagwaii.net>
Message-ID: <BtydnbLfm7KjTvzfRVn-rA@qcislands.net>
Source record: 105908
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

CW wrote:

> <RonGrossi382615@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1113672206.519341.158500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> The reason some people don't know for sure
>> if they are going to Heaven when they die
>> is because they just don't know.
>>
>> The good news is that you can know for
>> sure that you are going to Heaven which is
>> described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
>> place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
>> pain.
>
>
> Please write a letter to us once you get there, Ron. Let's hope that
> you may take this trip soon.
>
> CW

Awww.... c'mon. Play nice, Chuck.

By all means, you ought (and you've done right) to tell Ron he's being a total - and totally unwelcome - pain in the ass with his proselytizing of a faith he can't support rationally among thinking people...

... but *please*, Chuck...

... I'm absolutely certain you know that it's not fair (and it's bad mannars) to wish for his demise until he's been offered - and has refused - a fair chance to apologize for trying (and for having failed utterly) to inspire any of us to abandon our "false gods".

4397. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 03:11:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113707477.404916.125920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > NEW FROM N9OGL BLOG
> >
> > THIS WAS A POST BY SOMEONE CLAIMING TO BE K4YZ
> >
> > k4yz says
> >
> > And another thing.
> >
> > Stop making hang up phone calls to my house. We know that it is you
and
> > we have already told the police about what we suspect.
> >
> What does this mean? Is k4yz calling toady or is k4yz accusing toady
of
> calling him? He said that we said that somebody said that there is a
> definite possibility of an absolute maybe!

Therein lies MY dilemma.

It's bad enough that Todd has grammar and spelling issues, but his
organization and lack of attributes makes it even worse.

And that with intoxication on substances unknown, and it's really
a challenge to know WHO he was talking to, what he was saying, or what
he what he was trying to accomplish.

To his credit this time, I >>THINK<< he was trying to show that
someone was parroting my screen name and he was being up front about
it...But I am not sure...

Of course if he REALLY wanted to be "stand-up", knowing those
posts are bogus, he'd remove them. He know's the difference between my
posts and others. I'd cetainly remove posts to any "blog" I had that I
knew weren't his...But that's just me...

73

Steve, K4YZ

4398. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 03:16:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113707789.624441.184080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105910

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Cool. Is Okinawa a JA posession like Guam or Saipan is a W6
> > > > > posession?
> > > > >
> > > > > You ignored the phrase "...according to Lennie".
> > > >
> > > > Len posts here. If he thought I needed that information, he
would
> > have
> > > > given it to me.
> > >
> > But he did...Right there where he tried to make us think
Okinawa
> > was NOT a "real" part of Japan.
>
> Is Northern Ireland a real part of Ireland?

I assume you were trying to make some point here?

> > > > Okinawa is a prefecture of Japan...a "state", just like
> > Hawaii,
> > > > > Rhode Island, or Texas is to us.
> > > >
> > > > Prefecture. There are awards for working those.
> > >
> > A-yup.
> > >
> > > > They are very much a part of Japan,
> > > > > CONTRARY to what Lennie would have other believe.
> > > >
> > > > What others?
> > >
> > You...Me...Anyone who happens to read through here...
>
> I can make up my own mind about Okinawa.

Funny...You seem to need Lennie's guidance on just about
everything else...That collar starting to get a bit tight?

> > > > "Sayonara" is completely appropriate.
> > >
> > > And "putz" is not.
> >
> > Absolutely not.
>
> So why do you use it so often here?

Because (A) this is not "on the air" and (B) in refrence to
Lennie, it is completely appropriate.

> > Nor would I use it one the air.
>
> You are the shining star in hamdom.

Nope...Just another one of the gang...Just like you.

> > I had a blast from Okinawa in 81...But the "new" sequential
> > callsign system was still new in the States, and a lot of folks
seem
> to
> > skip by the "KA6" calls...UNTIL, that is, that we got wise and
> started
> > signing /JR6. Then the pile-ups started! I was KA6CM on Oki.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Yep. Tried field day from Guam. The USA hams in the test had no
idea
> what a /KH2 was.

None did? Not a one? I sure would have.

Steve, K4YZ

4399. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 03:20:15 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <PBk8e.7637$An2.2816@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105911

"K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:6sa8e.7291$An2.4876@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...

: ... almost a decade before the Lloyd Bucher shamefully struck his
: colors to the Koreans..

Shameful is in the eye of the beholder. Captain Bucher was not punished for surrendering, just as the US didn't punish the Jews who attacked his sister-ship, USS Liberty on a similar mission. Probably because then the Navy would have needed to punish those who sent those ships in harms way without proper means to defend themselves, and further because when Pueblo signalled for help other forces in the area (7th Fleet, CINCPAC, 5th AF at Fuchu/Kadena/Clark, etc.) engaged in a messy cluster-fuck deciding whether and who should send air assets to cover his retreat. Enough shame to go around!

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4400. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 03:21:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113708099.771738.282450@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105912

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > So, is lie #16 the conversation with a former colleague of Len's?
> Or
> > > is lie #16 Steve's tenure on RRAP? Or is it actually lies #16
and
> > #17?
> >
> > Neither, but nice try.
>
> Steve, you lied. The only question is which time?
>
> The conversation with a former colleague of Len's?
>
> The length of tenure on RRAP?
>
> Or both.
>
> Fess up.

Well well...Looks like I made an error in math. My bust.

Lennie's ex-colleague is stil in PA and still remains anonymous,
however. As for your allegeations of lies 1-17, Brian, you still have
yet to document a single one.

Now...About those unlicensed devices...?

About your Somalia "operation"...?

About your assertions about how poor ARES is...?

About that "worked DXCC from HL and KH"...?

Steve, K4YZ

4401. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 03:30:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113708601.846633.207760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105913

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Psychotic Pstevie is doing a MARVELOUS job of back-
> pedalling! But...his LIE is still a LIE.

No backpedalling.

> There were three contract numbers involved in SECANT....(SNIP of
ususal trying to hide under the blustery rhetoric)

> So...not only is Pstevie all forked up on his
> arithmetic but he don't know fecal matter from shoe
> polish on how contracts with civilian firms are
> handled...he doesn't really know much about anything
> what happened across the street from the NAS.

You keep trying to redirect to "contracts", Lennie.

Your work at NADC was not up to what they wanted. Period.

> As to REAL arithmetic on his LYING, it's hard to pin
> that down. Most of his blabbering on personal
> attacks after the first one is simply attempts at
> rationalizing (badly) on his original LIE. Tsk.

Still waiting for you to enlighten us as to what the "original
lie" was, Lennie.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenThePutzAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

4402. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 03:31:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113708701.428207.174920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It's real easy steve, go to the top of the tread and click the link
that says show tree and from there you can see which message is
directed to who. I know with little mental capacity you have it would
be hard for to click that link and show the message tree.

Todd N9OGL

K4YZ wrote:
> KC8GXW wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > NEW FROM N9OGL BLOG
> > >
> > > THIS WAS A POST BY SOMEONE CLAIMING TO BE K4YZ
> > >
> > > k4yz says
> > >
> > > And another thing.
> > >
> > > Stop making hang up phone calls to my house. We know that it is
you
> and
> > > we have already told the police about what we suspect.
> > >
> > What does this mean? Is k4yz calling toady or is k4yz accusing
toady
> of
> > calling him? He said that we said that somebody said that there is
a
> > definite possibility of an absolute maybe!
>
> Therein lies MY dilemma.
>
> It's bad enough that Todd has grammar and spelling issues, but
his
> organization and lack of attributes makes it even worse.
>
> And that with intoxication on substances unknown, and it's
really
> a challenge to know WHO he was talking to, what he was saying, or
what
> he what he was trying to accomplish.
>
> To his credit this time, I >>THINK<< he was trying to show that
> someone was parroting my screen name and he was being up front about

> it...But I am not sure...
>
> Of course if he REALLY wanted to be "stand-up", knowing those
> posts are bogus, he'd remove them. He know's the difference between
my
> posts and others. I'd cetainly remove posts to any "blog" I had that
I
> knew weren't his...But that's just me...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

4403. Re: Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven wh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 03:33:11 UTC
From: CW <chsw@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <rOk8e.2083$ZQ1.283@fe11.lga>
Source record: 105915
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

"Michael" <muirhead@haidagwaii.net> wrote in message
news:BtydnbLfm7KjTvzfRVn-rA@qcislands.net...
> CW wrote:
>
>> <RonGrossi382615@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1113672206.519341.158500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> The reason some people don't know for sure
>>> if they are going to Heaven when they die
>>> is because they just don't know.
>>>
>>> The good news is that you can know for
>>> sure that you are going to Heaven which is
>>> described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
>>> place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
>>> pain.
>>
>>
>> Please write a letter to us once you get there, Ron. Let's hope that
>> you may take this trip soon.
>>
>> CW
>
> Awww.... c'mon. Play nice, Chuck.
>
> By all means, you ought (and you've done right) to tell Ron he's being a
> total - and totally unwelcome - pain in the ass with his proselytizing of
> a faith he can't support rationally among thinking people...
>
> ... but *please*, Chuck...
>
> ... I'm absolutely certain you know that it's not fair (and it's bad
> mannars) to wish for his demise until he's been offered - and has
> refused - a fair chance to apologize for trying (and for having failed
> utterly) to inspire any of us to abandon our "false gods".
>

OK, you're right. It was just a near-emetic reaction to spam.

CW

4404. Re: K1MAN KING OF ALL DOUCHEBAGS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 03:38:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113709080.649477.126700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105916

That would be a tough call but IMHO if he is interfereing and his
repeating violation of intereference, I would say the FCC should
remove his license, it would be neat to see.
Todd N9OGL

4405. Re: Wiseman and Friends

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 03:47:13 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <2a05a94edb1c053c7ae0d9705ffdf84b@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 105917

In article
<6de7ac3a257f30eeae5e3e2908cf33fb@melontraffickers.com>
A.Melon <j...@melontraffickers.com> wrote:
>
> does roger wiseman have even one friend
> does anyone know if wiseman has even one
> friend

He's got you lusting after him 24/7.....FAGGOT!

4406. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 04:11:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113711069.664981.270430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> It's real easy steve, go to the top of the tread and click the link
> that says show tree and from there you can see which message is
> directed to who. I know with little mental capacity you have it would
> be hard for to click that link and show the message tree.

"...top of the tread..."...???

No, Todd, the "tree" has nothing to do with it. And the "tree"
does not show who a message is directed "TO", only "FROM".

What DOES have anything to do with my comments is YOUR failure to
use proper attributes WITHIN THE TEXT of your messages.

For example, in THIS message the very first line is "N9OGL
wrote:". No one has any doubt that I am quoting and responding to YOU.

Steve, K4YZ

4407. Morse gone by summer???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 04:15:47 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <Tpl8e.7491$yq6.2060@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:V5b8e.1509$Bc7.4@twister.nyroc.rr.com...

: http://www.hamwave.com/cgi-bin/index.cgi?action=viewnews&id=689

That link supposes that the fcc will delete the Morse requirement.

Other insiders suggest fcc will NPRM will delete Morse only for General
license, and will INCREASE the test to 20WPM for renewed Extra class,
downgrading all current "Extra Lite" licenses to resurrected Advanced
license (the second time this license has risen from the ashes). ARRL
giveaway program will be denied.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4408. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 04:52:28 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <8rqdnZn6s-AUdvzfRVn-1A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> NEW FROM N9OGL BLOG
>
> THIS WAS A POST BY SOMEONE CLAIMING TO BE K4YZ
>
> k4yz says
>
> And another thing.
>
> Stop making hang up phone calls to my house. We know that it is you and
> we have already told the police about what we suspect.
>

This is the confusing post by you. There is no "tree", this was all written by you toady. I can't tell if you are saying that K4YZ is telling you to stop calling or you are telling him to stop calling? You didn't put any "quotes" anywhere. By the way your other post have been and your 20 or 30 replies here and you starting this thread in the middle of another thread, well toady to put it in a language that only you can understand You Fat BAST*** C*** S***** MFER #*##*!@#$ GET BACK ON THE C***S****** MEDS YOU F***** AS***** MFER!
There is no way to know where you start or stop or what the hell you are saying or even trying to say, even though it doesn't matter what you say as most of it is lies anyway!

4409. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 04:53:06 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113713585.963416.127800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bull here's the tree for this thread.

Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog
1 todd...@consolidated.net Apr 12
2 K4YZ Apr 12
3 N9OGL Apr 13
4 K4YZ Apr 13
5 N9OGL Apr 13
6 K4YZ Apr 13
7 KC8GXW Apr 13
8 Mr. Obvious Apr 13
9 K4YZ Apr 13
10 bb Apr 13
11 KC8GXW Apr 13
12 Dave Heil Apr 13
13 I Am Not Roger Apr 13
14 KC8GXW Apr 13
15 Michael A. Terrell Apr 13
16 N9OGL Apr 15
17 K4YZ Apr 15
18 N9OGL Apr 15
19 K4YZ Apr 15
20 N9OGL Apr 15
21 Lloyd 02 Apr 15
22 N9OGL Apr 16
23 Lloyd 02 Apr 16
24 K4YZ Apr 16
25 Cmd Buzz Corey Apr 16
26 N9OGL Apr 15
27 N9OGL Apr 15
28 N9OGL Apr 15
29 N9OGL Apr 15
30 N9OGL Apr 15
31 N9OGL Apr 15
32 N9OGL Apr 15
33 N9OGL Apr 15
34 Leland C Scott Apr 16
35 N9OGL Apr 15
36 N9OGL Apr 15
37 N9OGL Apr 15
38 N9OGL Apr 15
39 N9OGL Apr 15
40 N9OGL Apr 15

41 N9OGL Apr 15
42 Lloyd 02 Apr 15
43 N9OGL Apr 15
44 N9OGL Apr 15
45 N9OGL Apr 15
46 Lloyd Apr 16
47 K4YZ Apr 16
48 N9OGL Apr 15
49 N9OGL Apr 15
50 KC8GXW Apr 16
51 N9OGL Apr 16
52 N9OGL Apr 16
53 K4YZ Apr 16
54 N9OGL Apr 16
55 K4YZ Apr 16

and I'm not going to cut and past all that crap.

Todd N9OGL

4410. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 04:58:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113713911.052069.271250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KC8GXW,

If you are google go to the top of the thread and click view as tree
which is a link and it will show the message tree.
Todd N9OGL

4411. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 05:45:07 UTC
From: Jumper 101 <NoSpam@Glendale>
Message-ID: <d3st3galc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105923

Thank you, Master Chief, for your service. You served our country well.
There are others of us who 'lurk' hereabouts and several of us are also
Vets.

Hats off to you, Master Chief, and to you I give an Airborne Salute.

Airborne All The Way from a former 101st Abn. Trooper, Ft. Campbell, KY.
Screaming Eagles get the job done. All others are 'legs'.

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1113707124.930322.293180@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Cool it, Master super-duper Chief (USN). I'm still
> a citizen of the U.S. of A. and served in MY
> country's (USA) military BEFORE you did. I know
> that doesn't count for much in this din of inequity
> but it's all I got.

Of course Lennie's reading the riot act to someone about
experience and "seniority", yet is first and loudest to cry foul if
Amateurs point out HIS lack of "experience and seniority".

> By the way, for EXACTNESS, my surname was NEVER
> "SIGANDERSON." You made a "mistake" but I won't
> hold anything against you. Well, maybe a bayonet
> or such...

And Lennie, always quick to find SOME "threat" in anything,
blatantly threatens Hans with a bayonet.

Why am I not surprised?

> Temper fry...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenThePutzAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

4412. Roger lost another one...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 07:54:26 UTC
From: Lloydie <NoSpam@noemail>
Message-ID: <d3t4lu$s3r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105926
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey, Rog. How is that Earthlink service going for you?

Oh, wait. You got booted from that one too, didn't you?

You really ought to watch yourself. You are pretty much out of alternate ISP's to go to, aren't you?

And don't bother telling us you never had an Earthlink account. You are a congenital liar and nobody believes you. Recall, if you will, the Ovis account that Arf! Arf! was so instrumental in getting you booted from.

You have a severe learning disability, Roger. 49 years old and still in pre pubescence.

Dance, Roger. Dance.

4413. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 08:24:21 UTC
From: Lloydie <NoSpam@noemail>
Message-ID: <d3t6e1$v8d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113713911.052069.271250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
KC8GXW,

If you are google go to the top of the thread and click view as tree
which is a link and it will show the message tree.
Todd N9OGL

Let me get this straight.
Todd says, "if you are google" go to the top.

Toddie...get it right. Use a spell check. Failing that, it may behoove you
to simply shut up.

Your spelling, or lack thereof, is already legend, and your inability to
post cohesive sentences is painfully apparent.

You really should grow up, Todd. Your airheaded comments, poor spelling, and
otherwise disjointed meanderings are becoming the laughing stock of the news
groups.
You should:

1. Grow up
2. Learn to spell, or at least use a spell checker.
3. Shut up.
4. Did I mention the spell checker?

Sorry, Todd, but you just aren't making it.

4414. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 08:44:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113727447.429113.123140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

spell check this....eat shit and die!!!

4415. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 08:51:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113727908.992994.264430@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> bull here's the tree for this thread.

SNIP

> and I'm not going to cut and past all that crap.

Todd...You're a complete idiot.

I've tried to lead you by the hand and get you to pay attention,
but jsut like leading a horse to water, I guess I can't make you
"drink".

Take heart, Todd...at least you are a COMPLETE idiot...I imagine
it's the ONLY thing you've ever been good at...

Steve, K4YZ

4416. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 08:58:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113728282.978549.119300@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> KC8GXW,
>
> If you are google go to the top of the thread and click view as tree
> which is a link and it will show the message tree.

Todd...THIS message is one with correct attributes.

YOURS are not.

The flow tree does NOT indicate WHO a message was intended FOR,
nor does it necessarily represent whom you are allegedly quoting or
replying to.

You're living proof that ugly is only skin deep but stupid goes to
the bone.

Steve, K4YZ

4417. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 10:27:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113733625.777111.153250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105932

Jumper 101 wrote:
> Thank you, Master Chief, for your service. You served our country
well.
> There are others of us who 'lurk' hereabouts and several of us are
also
> Vets.
>
> Hats off to you, Master Chief, and to you I give an Airborne Salute.
>
> Airborne All The Way from a former 101st Abn. Trooper, Ft. Campbell,
KY.
> Screaming Eagles get the job done. All others are 'legs'.

And thanks to you for your service and sacrifices, Jumper!

Steve, GySgt United States Marine Corps 1974 to 1992.

4418. Re: Roger lost another one...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 11:21:19 UTC
From: "Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jch_kimball@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113736879.350883.71070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105933
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The very funny part about this was Nutzi Von got Woger booted. Except for Woger Von must be the biggest moron on the planet.

4419. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 11:47:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113738475.227576.268810@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve
fuck you, you dumbass moron....I hope you eat shit die!

4420. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 11:48:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113738487.207919.84250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve
fuck you, you dumbass moron....I hope you eat and shit die!

4421. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 12:30:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113741015.388895.251650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve
> #### you, you dumb### moron....I hope you eat #### die!

See what I mean about your grammar, Todd? You can't even attempt
to insult me correctly.

Sheeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

4422. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 12:36:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113741418.993544.257820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105938

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:

> Funny...You seem to need Lennie's guidance on just about
> everything else...That collar starting to get a bit tight?

Funny...You seem to need to give guidance on just about everything on
RRAP.

4423. If the Pope Had Met N9OGL, Would He Reconsider Abortion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 12:38:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113741509.029467.112790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve
> #### you, you dumb### moron....I hope you eat #### die!

See what I mean about your grammar, Todd? You can't even attempt
to insult me correctly.

And not only did it sound like a 5 year old with a mouth full of
dental cotton the first time, you got it wrong TWICE in as many
minutes...!!!

Double sheesh! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

4424. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 12:40:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113741614.179263.203480@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105940

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
>
> > Funny...You seem to need Lennie's guidance on just about
> > everything else...That collar starting to get a bit tight?
>
> Funny...You seem to need to give guidance on just about everything on
> RRAP.

OK...Examples...Actual cites, Brian...

Steve, K4YZ

4425. Re: HEY DEE....MICHIGAN QSO PARTY IS ON. YOUR NOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 12:44:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113741856.047394.167530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> I am pushing hard on the Michigan QSO party. Doing mostly CW. Hunt
and
> pounce and CQing. EH EH EH???
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Did you make an appointment (sked)?

;^)

4426. Re: If the Pope Had Met N9OGL, Would He Reconsider Abortion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 12:46:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113742014.999774.265420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

well dumbass, I do very little writing so up your's asshole!

4427. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 12:54:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113742453.734770.233800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105943

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > The fact of the matter is that except in the most aggrevious
> > > warfare, more Americans die on the highways at home than do
> > Servicemen.
> >
> > And heroes all of them, defending the country.
> >
> > """Sorry Hans, a run-over Jay-Walker >>>IS<<< a Veteran!!!""" Hi!
>
> The point beig, Brain, that civilians die in the course of both
> "peacetime" and states of war too.

Then they would have been wise to have stepped up to the plate and
enlisted.

> Do a bit of history research on "Maritime Marine", "Civil Air
> Patrol" and "Office of Civilian Defense" during WW2.
>
> CAP "civilians" still lose their lives "in the line of duty",
and
> they weren't even getting paid for it.

Then they did something horribly, horribly wrong.

4428. Re: AK At Last!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 12:57:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113742645.062765.36400@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105944

bb wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote
> >
> > >
> > > Cool. Is Okinawa a JA posession like Guam or Saipan is a W6
> posession?
> > >
> >
> > Saipan is not/never has been a US posession.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Then tell them to stop using USA callsigns.

Why?

They ARE a Commonweatlh of the United States, more properly known
as the Central Northern Marianas Islands. They have the same status as
Puerto Rico.

Hans is right...they are NOT a "possession".

Steve, K4YZ

4429. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 13:02:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113742975.153866.290960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105945

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > So, is lie #16 the conversation with a former colleague of
Len's?
> > Or
> > > > is lie #16 Steve's tenure on RRAP? Or is it actually lies #16
> and
> > > #17?
> > >
> > > Neither, but nice try.
> >
> > Steve, you lied. The only question is which time?
> >
> > The conversation with a former colleague of Len's?
> >
> > The length of tenure on RRAP?
> >
> > Or both.
> >
> > Fess up.
>
> Well well...Looks like I made an error in math. My bust.

Well, well...it took you Quitesometime to fess up.

Now where is Len's apology?

4430. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 13:03:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113742980.838122.38600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105946

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > The fact of the matter is that except in the most
aggrevious
> > > > warfare, more Americans die on the highways at home than do
> > > Servicemen.
> > >
> > > And heroes all of them, defending the country.
> > >
> > > """Sorry Hans, a run-over Jay-Walker >>>IS<<< a Veteran!!!"""
Hi!
> >
> > The point beig, Brain, that civilians die in the course of
both
> > "peacetime" and states of war too.
>
> Then they would have been wise to have stepped up to the plate and
> enlisted.
>
> > Do a bit of history research on "Maritime Marine", "Civil Air
> > Patrol" and "Office of Civilian Defense" during WW2.
> >
> > CAP "civilians" still lose their lives "in the line of duty",
> and
> > they weren't even getting paid for it.
>
> Then they did something horribly, horribly wrong.

Such as...?!?!

People die every day doing whatever it is they do 100% safely.

Like the local mother of two who was stopped at a traffic light.
She was sober, alert, had her seat belt with shoulder belt on. A drunk
driver careened through the intersection and struck her sqaure in the
door.

3000+ people died three years ago just becasue they went to work
that day.

Did THEY do something "horribly, horribly wrong"...?!?!

There's absolutely no guarantee to life other than you are going to die. What you do with your life until you do is to some degree in your own hands. The rest is the roll of the dice.

Steve, K4YZ

4431. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 13:05:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113743129.236382.299700@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:V5b8e.1509$Bc7.4@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>
> : http://www.hamwave.com/cgi-bin/index.cgi?action=viewnews&id=689
>
> That link supposes that the fcc will delete the Morse requirement.
>
> Other insiders suggest fcc will NPRM will delete Morse only for
General
> license, and will INCREASE the test to 20WPM for renewed Extra class,
> downgrading all current "Extra Lite" licenses to resurrected Advanced
> license (the second time this license has risen from the ashes).
ARRL
> giveaway program will be denied.
>
All it really says is that the FCC is working on an NPRM that may be
out as soon as next month or as late as July. That NPRM will obviously
contain what FCC wants to do as a result of WRC 2003 and the 18
restructuring petitions.

Once the NPRM becomes public, there will be a comment period, then a
reply comment period. Couple of months at least, maybe longer. Probably
the end of 2005 before comments close.

Then FCC will decide what to do and formulate a Report and Order. Last
time they did this it took almost a year. Which translates to fall
2006. Then a couple months before the new rules become effective -
maybe end of 2006.

Of course it could take even longer, or maybe a bit less. But I
wouldn't expect any changes before summer 2006 - and wouldn't be
surprised if it were summer 2007.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4432. Re: If the Pope Had Met N9OGL, Would He Reconsider Abortion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 13:08:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113743286.451235.312950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> well dumb###, I do very little writing so up your's ###hole!

THREE times! Geeze, Todd..! You out to set a record today? How many times you can look absolutely stupid in an hour...?!?!

How MUCH writing do you think you have to do to be able to insult someone effectively?

I'm willing to bet you that I have no more formal education in English and Composition than you do, Todd.

So what's YOUR problem...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

4433. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 13:10:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113743413.907049.66410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105949

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > So, is lie #16 the conversation with a former colleague of
> Len's?
> > > Or
> > > > > is lie #16 Steve's tenure on RRAP? Or is it actually lies
#16
> > and
> > > > > #17?
> > > >
> > > > Neither, but nice try.
> > >
> > > Steve, you lied. The only question is which time?
> > >
> > > The conversation with a former colleague of Len's?
> > >
> > > The length of tenure on RRAP?
> > >
> > > Or both.
> > >
> > > Fess up.
> >
> > Well well...Looks like I made an error in math. My bust.
>
> Well, well...it took you Quitesometime to fess up.
>
> Now where is Len's apology?

Right behind HIS apology to this NG for years of lying, accusing,
deceiving and antagonism, Brian...Right behind!

Just hold your breath and wait!

Steve, K4YZ

4434. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 13:27:12 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Bvt8e.63862$NC6.3383@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 105950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1113743129.236382.299700@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mel A. Nomah wrote:
>> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
>> news:V5b8e.1509$Bc7.4@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>>
>> : http://www.hamwave.com/cgi-bin/index.cgi?action=viewnews&id=689
>>
>> That link supposes that the fcc will delete the Morse requirement.
>>
>> Other insiders suggest fcc will NPRM will delete Morse only for
> General
>> license, and will INCREASE the test to 20WPM for renewed Extra class,
>> downgrading all current "Extra Lite" licenses to resurrected Advanced
>> license (the second time this license has risen from the ashes).
> ARRL
>> giveaway program will be denied.
>>
> All it really says is that the FCC is working on an NPRM that may be
> out as soon as next month or as late as July. That NPRM will obviously
> contain what FCC wants to do as a result of WRC 2003 and the 18
> restructuring petitions.
>
> Once the NPRM becomes public, there will be a comment period, then a
> reply comment period. Couple of months at least, maybe longer. Probably
> the end of 2005 before comments close.
>
> Then FCC will decide what to do and formulate a Report and Order. Last
> time they did this it took almost a year. Which translates to fall
> 2006. Then a couple months before the new rules become effective -
> maybe end of 2006.
>
> Of course it could take even longer, or maybe a bit less. But I
> wouldn't expect any changes before summer 2006 - and wouldn't be
> surprised if it were summer 2007.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Those who defy wanting to learn the code jump at any chance to perpetuate
rumors of code being eliminated. You're right, it will take a while, even if
they were to decide to write a NPRM to do such. If these people jumped into

Alligator infested waters as fast as they do rumors, the population would take a sudden drop. I'm not a "lover" of code, but I have hold a license which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a test. 2 weeks is not long, you probably drove longer on a permit before being allowed to drive on your own. Probably studied the book longer too! It takes little effort. The biggest problem with most is "laziness". Maybe you never will use it again. There are many things you learn in life and may never use again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy. Many people learned the skeletal system in health class, microorganisms in Biology class. It doesn't mean they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as they graduated. But, it was "required". It's not a big deal people. Once you get past the "do I have to" and start doing it, you'll amaze yourself at how fast and easy it can be. I DO use code now and then, but not daily like many others do. Everyone has their own thing. Some are into Packet, RTTY, AMTOR, etc, I'm not... To each his own. But we all had to learn "something" about those modes to pass an exam.

cl

4435. Re: A Picture of K4YZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 13:33:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113744794.888839.148390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105951

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > http://216.176.81.228/k4yz.jpg
> > >
> > > Todd N9OGL
> >
> > Dammit, now I'll never be able to look at a large-breasted woman
> again
> > without seeing...
>
> Without seeing "what", Brian...???

...that pinhead with a mustache.

> I seriously doubt that you were ever able to look at
> "large-breasted woman" at ANY level, Brian...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Wrong per usual.

4436. Re: Where Did All These Anti-Sexy Female Prudes Come From?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 13:37:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113745022.345260.93180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave B. wrote:
> > I have no idea what that rambling BS particular post was trying to
> say, but
> > I am still waing to hear what exploitation this so-called "smart
> > businessman" engaged his poor, ignorant daughters in in an attempt
> tomake
> > afe buck trying to sell some cheap radios.
> >
> > That story is so pathetic, I DARE that miserable piece of sh*t to
> e-mail me
> > directly (not post on a newsgroup like a chickensh*t) and tell me
how
> much
> > profit he generated from his ignorant daughters' asses. My guess
is
> that if
> > he tells the truth, I can generate that from my friggin' pocket,
send
> it to
> > him, and save 2 or 3 girls that happened to be born to one of the
> dregs of
> > society from at least a little embarassment.
> >
> > Let's hear it, BIG-TIME OPERATOR!!!!!!!
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....
>
> What is a "Dave B" and who gives a rat's kazoo about his dares?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

What about all of Steve's dares?

4437. Re: HEY DEE....MICHIGAN QSO PARTY IS ON. YOUR NOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 13:39:38 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dMWdnUD7Xeez-v_fRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 105953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:wCi8e.7915$sp3.3191@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I am pushing hard on the Michigan QSO party. Doing mostly CW. Hunt and
>pounce and CQing. EH EH EH???
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

SSB off and on from noon to 5p calling CQ.
CW from about 5pm for 1/2 an hour calling CQ with no takers.
SSB from about 10:30 to midnight with a couple of short 10 minute excursions
to CW.

When I and the OM work together, it's SSB as he doesn't work CW.

Sorry to have missed you.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4438. Re: MI QSO Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 13:51:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wMmdnY856JJy9P_fRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 105954

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:kEi8e.7917$sp3.3418@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:buGdnSFUGZRQ4PzfRVn-vw@comcast.com...
>>I and the OM are out there off and on for the MI QP. We are operating
>>under my call sign, N8UZE. Right now we are taking a supper break though.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
> I ain't heard you yet. I'm on CW mostly. Hunt and pounce AND CQing.
> Where you at?????????
>
> Are you planning on being on tomorrow (Sunday) ? I doubt I'll hang much
> past another hour or so tonight.
>
> Good activity on both 80 and 40 CW.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Unfortunately the contest only runs Saturday.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4439. Re: Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven wh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 14:02:47 UTC
From: Cut-Throat Jake <CutThroatJake@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <c1r4615phvmn22k178bjg489k2i91oc4ec@4ax.com>
Source record: 105955
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

On Sat, 16 Apr 2005 20:08:43 -0700, "Michael"
<muirhead@haidagwaii.net> wrote:

>... I'm absolutely certain you know that it's not fair (and it's bad
>mannars) to wish for his demise until he's been offered - and has refused -
>a fair chance to apologize for trying (and for having failed utterly) to
>inspire any of us to abandon our "false gods".
>
'specially since his posting was so fresh and original, and full of
new ideas. nit like the usual crap. really something out of the
ordinary.

4440. Re: Another BrainRant Laid To Rest.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 14:15:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113747325.472257.302920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105956

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Steve, do a search on "Robeson" and "liar." You've presented
all
> > of
> > > > your lies under your own signature.
> > >
> > > Vaporware, Brian. Vaporware.
> > >
> > > You claim there are lies. I've been asking you to
> substantiate
> > > the claim. You have not. You furhter exacerbate your own
> situation
> > by
> > > making even MORE assertions without corroborating facts. Oh
well.
> >
> > Vaporware? Your lies are so obvious. Like claiming Len
disapproved
> of
> > your lying thread 30 hours before he posted anything at all.
>
> And here YOU are still trying to misrepresent what was said.
>
> You didn't go back and READ what I had said about Lennie, his
> rantings against me, etc.
>
> Brian...S L O W D O W N A N D R E A D W H A T W A S
> P O S T E D ! ! ! ! !

30 hours before he posted a-n-y-t-h-i-n-g.

> > > > Brian, so how could this be #10? You
> > > > > and Todd need to see if you can carpool...Him for remedial
> > English,
> > > > you
> > > > > for remedial math.
> > > >
> > > > There's nothing wrong with my math.
> > >
> > > Sure there is. In that line you claim there's "10" lies.
> > You've
> > > yet to substantiate "1".

> > >
> > > You can't raise a building without a foundation. And you
> can't
> > > get to "10" without having started at "1".
> >
> > Counting your lies isn't heavy construction. More like tinker
toys.
>
> You keep avoiding the truth, Brian.
>
> You've been asked to cite the lies. All you do is make more
> cutesy rhetoric about how much I allegedly lie.
>
> In other words, you can't do it. It enver happened.

You recently admitted to lie #17. No apology to Len yet.

> > > > You lie. That's enough for me.
> > >
> > > You say I've lied.
> > >
> > > I've repeatedly asked you to substantiate that claim.
> > >
> > > You never have.
> > >
> > > That would put the onus of liar upon you, Brian. (Not that
it
> > > ever LEFT you...)
> > >
> > > Sorry.
> >
> > Your lies are obvious and numerous. Anyone with just a few moments
> > could find a handful. I saw your lies the first time around;
seeing
> > them again might spoil my day.
>
> In other words you've made yet more claims about others for
which
> there is no fact or validation.
>
> Brian P. Burke continues his characteristic deceit and lying.

Steve makes an "assertion of fact" and when he's shown to be wrong, he
calls it a "mistake."

Anyone else states an opinion, and if they don't jump through hoops to
Steve's satisfaction, gets the K4YZ "LIAR" stamp of disapproval.

And people say there's no dougle-standard here. Hi!

> > > > > > > > Looks like there are some empty spots in the schedule.
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > > Not from here.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Did you fill them in?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I can fill those scehdules with 6 people for 48 hours of
> 24h
> > > > > > coverage, Brian...Why couldn't you?
> > > > >
> > > > > Whoa!
> > > > >
> > > > > After you posted "Always at a deficit for information, aren't
> you,
> > > > > Brian?" you linked us to a website that showed that this was a
> four
> > > day
> > > > > exercise. So why are you trying to make it into a two day
> exercise
> > > > > again? More lies and misdirection?
> > > >
> > > Nope....I can still fill even a 96 hour assignment with six
> > > operators for four days.
> >
> You can't without abusing the volunteers.
>
> OK, Brian, what's YOUR definition of "abusing volunteers"...?!?!
>
> I've now provided FOUR scenarios where avaialable resoures could
> be employed working shifts of 4, 8, 10 or 12 hours. NEVER with less
> hours off between shifts than the number of hours they "worked".

Oooh! Four hours ON, four hours OFF for 96 hours. You're sooo kind.

> > > YOU keep saying only two. That's even better.
> >
> Nope. 96 hours. Do you want me to do the math so you'll know how
> many
> > days that is?
>
> Doesn't matter, Brian. Two days. Four. Six.

Apparently it does matter. You're the one who jumped in here with
"Always at a deficit for information, aren't you, Brian?"

If it didn't matter, why did you take it as an opportunity to take a
jab at me?

Oh, I see. It only matters when other people make a mistake. Doesn't
matter when you do it. And people say there's no dougle-standard here.
Hi!

> > > > And without working any one or several individual(s) a double
> > shift,

> > > > and maintaining the requirement of three person shifts of
> qualified
> > > > communicators...
> > >
> > > No all communicators need to be at the mic for the whole
> > 24hours.
> >
> > Teams of three, Steve, teams of three. Be sure there are three
> > qualified communicators on shift at all times.
>
> And again, Brian. not all "operators" are going to be on a mic
the
> whole shift.

You don't know that. The exercise planners specified "Teams of Three"
for a reason. But of course you know better how to run "thier"
exercise than they do.

> > > > > > Especially if they have 6 people to cover a 48
> > > > > > > hour period that they are participating...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Correction. Two teams of 3 people each.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Two teams...Six people...Yeah...SIX is what I said,
Brian.
> > > >
> > > > So show me your 96 hour schedule.
> > >
> > > Day one. Team one. Operator 1A comes on duty at 0600 until
> > 1600.
> > > Operator 1B comes on duty at 1000 until
> > 2000.
> > > Operator 1C comes on at 2000 and
> maintains
> > > watch until 0600
> >
> > Hi, hi, hi! You couldn't even get through the first six hours
> without
> > blowing it. Then there are gaping holes in the rest of the very
> first
> > day's schedule.
>
> There's no "gaping holes", Brian. Thee ARE periods of overlap
and
> still using two teams of three.

Overlap??? Overlap would be having "Two Teams of Three (six qualified
operators)" on duty at the same time, as in during shift change.

OK, let me put it plainly.

1. You have absolutely no one on duty from 0000 to 0559hrs.

2. You're first manned shift has an UNDERlap of 2 Operators for a
period of four hours.

3. And it just gets worse.

You blew it. If you can't follow the plan, you need to excuse yourself
from the operation. Cowboys like you aren't wanted.

> > Phil said they were required to have three qualified operators per
> > shift, and you couldn't follow the rules even this once. You just
> had
> > to start changing the rules and winging it so that my opinion would
> be
> > "WRONG!" and you could call me a "LIAR!" again. Poor Steve.
>
> There are three operators there, Brian.

I'm sure the world is full of operators.

> Not all three have to be on the mic, Brian.

When did you decide this? Oh, I see. You're treating this as an
unimportant Command Post exercise where you only have to pay lip
service to supporting it.

> The criteria was met, Brian.

You failed.

> > Some supervisor and schedule writer you are. You're fired.
> >
> > Do you pull these stunts in the ER? Do you pull them in the
cockpit?
> > Did you pull them in the USMC?
>
> What "stunts", Brian? You mean making sure that the needs of
the
> mission were met with limited resources in such a way that all
> obligations were met?

Limited resources? You told us that ARES always gets what they want.
Why did you short them on requirements? Who said you get to decide
what ARES needs?

> Sorry you're standing there with egg on your face, Brian...The
> numbers work. The format works. YOUR assertion that you could get
six
> volunteers to cover 48 (or 96) hours DID'T.

You were the one who said that you could get it to work. You couldn't.
You failed. You left your customer completely unsupported for some of
the exercise, and woefully undersupported for the remainder of the
exercise.

Looks like a sea gull flew over your position.

4441. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 14:28:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113748119.700695.153340@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105957

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Becasue it was pertinent at the time.
> > > >
> > > > Pertinent, how?
> > >
> > > Beacause Lennie is an antagonist.
> >
> > And you're not?
>
> Nope.

Hi! Good one.

4442. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 14:36:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113748576.885385.114720@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105958

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > Becasue it was pertinent at the time.
> > > > >
> > > > > Pertinent, how?
> > > >
> > > > Beacause Lennie is an antagonist.
> > >
> > > And you're not?
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Hi! Good one.

Hello yourself.

And the antagonist is the one who's here without a reason TO be
here.

Steve, K4YZ

4443. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 14:38:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113748725.209987.127770@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105959

bb wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len
> and
> > > > >
> > > > > Brian
> > > > >
> > > > >>K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's
> > demise
> > > > >
> > > > > are
> > > > >
> > > > >>greatly exxagerated......
> > > > >
> > > > > No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some
> > will
> > > have
> > > > > to wait for retirement.
> > > > >
> > > > That's the spirit, Brian!
> > > >
> > > Notice, Mike, that your comments about "taking lumps" were
> > > SPECIFICALLY directed at the OTHER Brian, yet Brian Burke jumped
in
> > to
> > > say "no lumps"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > Maybe if he weren't always so prompt to be the antagonizer
he
> > > would have realized that you WEREN'T talking about HIM,
> Mike...?!?!!
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, you sure are one twisted up little man. How in the world
can

> > not giving someone lumps be classified as being "the antagonizer?"
> >
> > Nurse, heal thyself.
>
> I asked how not giving someone lumps can make them the antagonizer.
>
> Apparently K4YZ doesn't know.
>
> Can any of the K4YZ apologists help him with this?

Hello? Hello? Anybody in there?

What happened to all of the K4YZ apologists? Jim? Anybody?

More and more it looks like Steve stands alone. Wonder why that happened?

4444. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 15:14:33 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113750873.095806.233540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105960

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Becasue it was pertinent at the time.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Pertinent, how?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Beacause Lennie is an antagonist.
> > > > > >
> > > > > And you're not?
> > > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Hi! Good one.
> >
> Hello yourself.
>
> And the antagonist is the one who's here without a reason TO be
> here.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

It would appear that everyone has a reason to be here. Even you. You
enjoy antagonizing others. You are an antagonist.

4445. Re: Another BrainRant Laid To Rest. (With New Questions To Be Answered)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 15:23:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113751409.224559.285920@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105961

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > You didn't go back and READ what I had said about Lennie, his
> > rantings against me, etc.
> >
> > Brian...S L O W D O W N A N D R E A D W H A T W A
S
> > P O S T E D ! ! ! ! !
>
> 30 hours before he posted a-n-y-t-h-i-n-g.

And what I said before S T I L L applies.

It's too bad you didn't take the time and do what I suggested and
GO BACK AND READ WHAT I ORIGINALLY POSTED.

What I had said THEN had nothing to do with THIS NARROW THREAD,
Brian, but rahter Lennie's history of newsgroup antagonism both towards
me in particular and the group in general.

> > Brian P. Burke continues his characteristic deceit and lying.
>
> Steve makes an "assertion of fact" and when he's shown to be wrong,
he
> calls it a "mistake."
>
> Anyone else states an opinion, and if they don't jump through hoops
to
> Steve's satisfaction, gets the K4YZ "LIAR" stamp of disapproval.
>
> And people say there's no dougle-standard here.

There's no "double standard", Brian. I made a mistake on the math
AND apologized for it.

However YOU have never been even as REMOTELY forthcoming with any
such "admissions of error"...

The most recent of which was YOUR assertion of how "overblown"
(your words" ARES and it's value as an emergency asset was and how it
wouldn't be able to respond for various reasons.

In the last 72 hours, give or take, I've posted no fewer than four
references that have proved you WRONG on so many levels...

But not a single word of acknowledgement of error.

> > OK, Brian, what's YOUR definition of "abusing
volunteers"...?!?!
> >
> > I've now provided FOUR scenarios where avaialable resoures
could
> > be employed working shifts of 4, 8, 10 or 12 hours. NEVER with
less
> > hours off between shifts than the number of hours they "worked".
>
> Oooh! Four hours ON, four hours OFF for 96 hours. You're sooo kind.

I still made my point.

You were wrong there too.
>
> > > > YOU keep saying only two. That's even better.
> > >
> > > Nope. 96 hours. Do you want me to do the math so you'll know
how
> > many
> > > days that is?
> > >
> > Doesn't matter, Brian. Two days. Four. Six.
>
> Apparently it does matter. You're the one who jumped in here with
> "Always at a deficit for information, aren't you, Brian?"

And you were. Still are, as far as I can see.

> If it didn't matter, why did you take it as an opportunity to take a
> jab at me?

Because you provide so many of them, Brian...

> Oh, I see. It only matters when other people make a mistake.
Doesn't
> matter when you do it. And people say there's no dougle-standard
here.

Why do you keep saying "Hi!"...?!?!

And there's no "double standard" here.

> > > > > And without working any one or several individual(s) a double
> > > shift,
> > > > > > and maintaining the requirement of three person shifts of
> > qualified
> > > > > > communicators...
> > > > >
> > > > No all communicators need to be at the mic for the whole
> > > 24hours.
> > >
> > > Teams of three, Steve, teams of three. Be sure there are three
> > > qualified communicators on shift at all times.

> >
> > And again, Brian. not all "operators" are going to be on a mic
> the
> > whole shift.
>
> You don't know that. The exercise planners specified "Teams of
Three"
> for a reason. But of course you know better how to run "thier"
> exercise than they do.

And I "covered" the shifts.

> Overlap??? Overlap would be having "Two Teams of Three (six
qualified
> operators)" on duty at the same time, as in during shift change.
>
> OK, let me put it plainly.
>
> 1. You have absolutely no one on duty from 0000 to 0559hrs.

Unless the exercise starts at 0000, Brain, you're way wrong.

> 2. You're first manned shift has an UNDERlap of 2 Operators for a
> period of four hours.

"You're"...?!?! You keep slapping me with some "double standard"
about stuff, but seem to forget it when you make typos.

The first operator starts at 0800. S/he goes until 1600. (10
hours)

The second operator comes on at 1000 and goes until 2000. That's
double coverage from 1000-1600. We can reasonbly stretch that to
double coverage until 1800 if the first operator works a 12 hour shift.
Again, not an unreasonable expectation.

The third operator comes on at 2000 until 0800. I have yet, in
over 30+ years of Hamming, to participate in an "exercise" that
required anything more.

Team "A" covered 24 hours.

And I have the SAME 30+ years of ACTUAL experience at various
"missions" that worked with similar parameters "just fine".

> 3. And it just gets worse.

How?

> You blew it. If you can't follow the plan, you need to excuse
yourself
> from the operation. Cowboys like you aren't wanted.

No, Brain...YOU just have the expectation that you'll get to the
EOC, have a couple donuts, a cup of coffee, have an eyeball QSO with

"the guys" then head on home, having "worked all day" after four hours.

YOU consider anything more as "abused".

> When did you decide this? Oh, I see. You're treating this as an
> unimportant Command Post exercise where you only have to pay lip
> service to supporting it.

Nope.

The first operator is the Team Leader. S/he arrives to make the
morning brief, get tasking assignments, and ensure the station's ready
to go.

The second opertor arrives ready to go to work after getting a
brief from the team leader. By this time the mission is in motion.

The third operator arrives to wrap up the afternoon and maintain
radio watch through the night.

> > The criteria was met, Brian.
>
> You failed.

No I didn't. You don't like the numbers, but oh well..They work.

> > > Some supervisor and schedule writer you are. You're fired.
> > >
> > > Do you pull these stunts in the ER? Do you pull them in the
> cockpit?
> > > Did you pull them in the USMC?
> >
> > What "stunts", Brian? You mean making sure that the needs of
> > the mission were met with limited resources in such a way that all
> > obligations were met?
>
> Limited resources? You told us that ARES always gets what they want.
> Why did you short them on requirements? Who said you get to decide
> what ARES needs?

WHOA ! ! !

YET ANOTHER EPISODE OF CRANIAL FLATULANCE ON THE PART OF BRIAN P
BURKE!

WWW HHH EEE RRR EEE did I >>>EVER<<< say "ARES always gets
what they want"...?!?!

And all that was stated herein was YOUR suggestion that it
couldn't be done with the resources available.

I said it could and mapped it out.

You keep stompping your feet going "NO NO NO!", but it's too late.

> > Sorry you're standing there with egg on your face, Brian...The
> > numbers work. The format works. YOUR assertion that you could get
> six
> > volunteers to cover 48 (or 96) hours DID'T.
>
> You were the one who said that you could get it to work. You
couldn't.
> You failed. You left your customer completely unsupported for some
of
> the exercise, and woefully undersupported for the remainder of the
> exercise.

I've shown where it actually OVERLAPS, Brian...

> Looks like a sea gull flew over your position.

If he did, I'll never know.

Nice try, Brian. You stompped, you whined, you called me liar and
tried to un-do the numbers despite the fact that they work.

Sucks to be you.

Now...About this assertion of yours that ARES won't respond and is
"overblown"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

4446. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 15:28:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113751695.057550.108340@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105962

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > So, is lie #16 the conversation with a former colleague of
> > Len's?
> > > > Or
> > > > > > is lie #16 Steve's tenure on RRAP? Or is it actually lies
> #16
> > > and
> > > > > #17?
> > > > >
> > > > > Neither, but nice try.
> > > >
> > > Steve, you lied. The only question is which time?
> > > >
> > > The conversation with a former colleague of Len's?
> > > >
> > > The length of tenure on RRAP?
> > > >
> > > Or both.
> > > >
> > > Fess up.
> > >
> > Well well...Looks like I made an error in math. My bust.
> >
> > Well, well...it took you Quitesometime to fess up.
> >
> > Now where is Len's apology?
>
> Right behind HIS apology to this NG for years of lying, accusing,
> deceiving and antagonism, Brian...Right behind!
>
> Just hold your breath and wait!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Well, well. So much for your "strength of conviction."

You won't do what you know to be right because someone else isn't doing
what you know to be right. I'm just glad the world isn't full of
people like you. Actually, I thank my lucky stars every night that the

world isn't full of people like you.

4447. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 15:34:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113752069.466134.305420@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105963

bb wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from
Len
> > and
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Brian
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >>K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's
> > > demise
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > are
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >>greatly exxagerated......
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do.
Some
> > > will
> > > > > have
> > > > > > > to wait for retirement.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's the spirit, Brian!
> > > > >
> > > > > Notice, Mike, that your comments about "taking lumps" were
> > > > > SPECIFICALLY directed at the OTHER Brian, yet Brian Burke
jumped
> in
> > > to
> > > > > > say "no lumps"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Maybe if he weren't always so prompt to be the antagonizer
> he
> > > > > would have realized that you WEREN'T talking about HIM,
> > Mike...?!?!!
> > > > >
> > > > > 73
> > > >

> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Steve, you sure are one twisted up little man. How in the world
> can
> > > not giving someone lumps be classified as being "the
antagonizer?"
> > >
> > > Nurse, heal thyself.
> >
> > I asked how not giving someone lumps can make them the antagonizer.
> >
> > Apparently K4YZ doesn't know.
> >
> > Can any of the K4YZ apologists help him with this?
>
> Hello? Hello? Anybody in there?
>
> What happened to all of the K4YZ apologists? Jim? Anybody?
>
> More and more it looks like Steve stands alone. Wonder why that
> happened?

I am reading and re-reading that and wondering from what lonely
corner of the world you must be, Brian.

You won't sign your own name to your posts because you don't have
the guts to do so.

YOUR mistruths and refusals to acknowledge your glaring errors are
legend and archived.

You insist OTHERS "apologize" for errors and alleged deceit, but
your own DOCUMENTED errors and deceit go unanswered.

There are no "apologists", here, Brain, except for you and Lennie,
who insist that the whole world is "down" on you for your
"opinions"...No kidding...You're both deceitful. What did you
expect...???

No one needs to "apologize" on my behalf, Brian.

But thanks anyway.

Steve, K4YZ

4448. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 15:39:48 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <B6P7T0SY38459.7359722222@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 105965

In article <426033CE.AA565707@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
> > You can NOT produce any DETAIL at all of what I was
> > doing at NADC or even whatever I did at any time in
> > my career in electronics design engineering. You
> > haven't a clue as to what is done in industry on a
> > regular basis. You can't describe NADC or Warminster
> > NAS across the street, you can't describe how one
> > crosses that road, the shape of the NADC buildings,
> > their special NASA astronaut test facilities (only
> > one), any of the broadband HF radio antennas outside,
> > the fact that the original building and airfield was
> > constructed for Brewster Aircraft (the only aircraft
> > corporation known to go bankrupt DURING WW2), or the
> > curious taxiway from ramp area to runway at the NAS.
> > You can't name anything about the NADC computer
> > center, can't identify the curious little tracks
> > on part of the ground floor buildings that were at
> > NADC, don't understand that the NAS was all-Navy but
> > NADC was largely civilian. You can't describe the
> > cafeteria at NADC or the "O club" right above it nor
> > the various little offices that can serve both NADC
> > and contractor personnel, can't describe their
> > internal police arrangement, or even their playing
> > of reveille at an unusual time.
>
> Just as an aside, Leonard, would it be possible for you to
come up with
> any details about my work overseas at U.S. Embassies in
Helsinki,
> Finland;
> Bissau, Guinea-Bissau; Freetown, Sierra Leone; Gaborone,
Botswana or Dar
> es Salaam, Tanzania?

Yep, all the "plum" assignments...NOT!

> Could you describe just one of the embassies or
> one of the comm centers in any one of them? Would you be able
to
> outline what my typical day might entail or what equipment I

used?

It probably utilized a lot of your natural gas from your
terminal blowhardness.

> Would you be able to do the same for my Air Force tour in
Vietnam?

Lackluster would be a good description. Then you did so well,
they assigned you to the public disinformation spin factory,
didn't they?

> > The proper word is "rife." Yes, I have enough from a half
> > century of working IN electronics and radio, but a small
> > part of it DOES have to do with hobby electronics (such
> > as amateur radio.
>
> Such as amateur radio--but not actually amateur radio.

Or your lack of contributions to it.

>
> "All you do in here is try to demean and denigrate others
> who HAVE some experience in radio...especially those
> who have done MORE than you ever have. Tsk."
>
> --Leonard H. Anderson
>
> "Since when has YOUR name-calling, denigrations, and
> attempts at defamation of character ever concerned
> 'amateur radio policy?' Tsk, tsk, tsk."
>
> --Leonard H. Anderson
>
> You got SH*T. Bad word or not, that describes what you do
> to EVERYONE who disagrees with you. You SH*T on their
> person, defecating on them verbally in a momentous
> display of your rage and hatred if they so much as say
> anything negative against you. All you do is practice
> sociopathic verbal defecation.
>
> --Leonard H. Anderson
>
>
> These are priceless, Len and I have no doubt that you'll be
seeing them
> again. You've succeeded in describing your own behavior.

Ironic, it also describes yours, Nazi.

4449. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 15:40:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113752431.879189.119460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105964

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So, is lie #16 the conversation with a former colleague
of
> > > Len's?
> > > > > Or
> > > > > > > is lie #16 Steve's tenure on RRAP? Or is it actually
lies
> > #16
> > > > and
> > > > > > > #17?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Neither, but nice try.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steve, you lied. The only question is which time?
> > > > >
> > > > > The conversation with a former colleague of Len's?
> > > > >
> > > > > The length of tenure on RRAP?
> > > > >
> > > > > Or both.
> > > > >
> > > > > Fess up.
> > > >
> > > > Well well...Looks like I made an error in math. My bust.
> > >
> > > Well, well...it took you Quitesometime to fess up.
> > >
> > > Now where is Len's apology?
> >
> > Right behind HIS apology to this NG for years of lying,
accusing,
> > deceiving and antagonism, Brian...Right behind!
> >
> > Just hold your breath and wait!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>

> Well, well. So much for your "strength of conviction."

Lennie is YEARS BEHIND coming clean on his errors, lies and deceit, Brian... Y E A R S ! ! ! !

> You won't do what you know to be right because someone else isn't doing
> what you know to be right.

I AM, repeat AM doing "what's right".

You and Leonard are lairs, Brian. You don't tell the truth. YOU make glaring errors, and then when I make a simple one, all of a sudden you think your slates are wiped clean.

Sorry...Doesn't work that way.

I already count two acknowledgements of errors I have made this week.

I haven't seen a single one from you acknowledging your ARES errors alone...

> I'm just glad the world isn't full of
> people like you. Actually, I thank my lucky stars every night that the
> world isn't full of people like you.

As well you should. It's got to be embarrassing getting your nose rubbd in all the errors YOU make by just little ole me...You'd not withstand more than one.

Here's your sign, Brian >>LOSER<<

Steve, K4YZ

4450. Re: If the Pope Had Met N9OGL, Would He Reconsider Abortion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 15:42:24 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <2JudnSOvXedBHv_fRVn-vg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> well dumbass, I do very little writing so up your's asshole!
>
Toady, you seem to be obsessed with the rear end of Steve, why do you
think this is?
you're = you are
your's = your is this makes no sense, I don't even think there is such
a word?
Oh, is this to be done with some of "your's" rusty razor blades?

4451. Re: If the Pope Had Met N9OGL, Would He Reconsider Abortion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 15:45:26 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <2JudnSKvXecMGf_fRVn-vg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>well dumb###, I do very little writing so up your's ###hole!
>
>
> THREE times! Geeze, Todd..! You out to set a record today? How
> many times you can look absolutely stupid in an hour...?!?!
>
> How MUCH writing do you think you have to do to be able to insult
> someone effectively?
>
> I'm willing to bet you that I have no more formal education in
> English and Composition than you do, Todd.
>
> So what's YOUR problem...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
Can't you get it right yet Steve? It YOUR's
So what's YOUR's problem...?!?! :)

4452. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...And He's Still At It...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 15:46:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113752769.769145.222120@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105967

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > And the antagonist is the one who's here without a reason TO
be
> > here.
>
> It would appear that everyone has a reason to be here. Even you.
You
> enjoy antagonizing others. You are an antagonist.

I do not "antagonize" anyone.

I DO hold you and Lennie, and lately Todd, up to your own
deceitful, mistruthful and erroneous assertions...That would NOT be
possible if what you were saying was true.

This week alone I've posted at least four other items that
patently undermined your allegations of the "overblown" ARES and how
they will never "respond" in a crisis.

If you find that "antagonizing", Brian, I am sorry, but hey, it
was YOUR false assertion and running off at the mouth that brought
things to this stage.

Don't like it? STOP RUNNING OFF AT THE MOUTH WITH EASILY
DISPROVEN ASSERTIONS, BRIAN! ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

4453. Re: No Wonder The Boys Are Annoyed in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 16:07:30 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <4bd4933760b3151cc9f21f0e0a5f7632@paranoici.org>
Source record: 105989

In article <d3kcg1avu0@pita.alt.net>
following up to himself, "I Am Not Roger" <NoSpam@Glendale>
wrote:

> Now, wait a minute. Roger once said He was "working on" his
Master's and
> said he was only a few credit hours from obtaining it. Knowing
that Roger is
> a congenital fibber, these "few credit hours" to which he
alludes may be as
> few as 12 or, knowing Roger's intellect as we do, as many as
those numbered
> in years.

Yet, you couldn't even get a high school diploma, huh, G.E.D.
boy.

> Only Roger knows for sure and he fibs about everything. (Roger
> uses AOL also)

Wait, you said he uses Earthlink, tubby! Looks like you fucked
up, as usual.

> Unlike Roger, Steve can construct any number of cohesive
sentences without a
> single use of profanity. For Roger this is an insurmountable
task.

Sort of like how you digitally fornicate underage girls, eh?

4454. Re: If the Pope Had Met N9OGL, Would He Reconsider Abortion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 16:23:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113755025.251401.42410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KC8GXW wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >>well dumb###, I do very little writing so up your's ###hole!
> >
> >
> > THREE times! Geeze, Todd..! You out to set a record today?
How
> > many times you can look absolutely stupid in an hour...?!?!
> >
> > How MUCH writing do you think you have to do to be able to
insult
> > someone effectively?
> >
> > I'm willing to bet you that I have no more formal education in
> > English and Composition than you do, Todd.
> >
> > So what's YOUR problem...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> Can't you get it right yet Steve? It YOUR's
> So what's YOUR's problem...?!?! :)

weel ### ###amm it...eye speent al that muny on Evlyn Woodheed sped
redding curse for nuttin! kiss muy ### mother#####ing ###wipes!

There....Was that better...?!?!

=)

73

Steve, K4YZ

4455. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 16:29:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113755365.867133.145270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105970

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat,Apr 16 2005 8:44 am
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Dave Heil on Apr 12, 9:31 pm
>
> >> It only shows what a snow-jobbing laid-off
> >> murine does under the guise of a U.S. AMATEUR radio
> >> extra callsign. Tosses brags like they were bagels.
> >
> >What brags, Len? Look at American foreign policy since the
> >end of the USA's involvement in Vietnam. Plenty of "hostile
> >actions" for active-duty, career military personnel to be a
> >part of in a variety of roles.
> >
> >And that's just the "hostile actions" we civilians know about.
>
> How does that tie in with the use of morse code in
> museum windows?

The same way your service at ADA ties in with amateur radio policy.

> >> >To my knowledge, Steve has never stated that DOD does not
> >> >direct MARS.
> >>
> >> >His claim is that if there were no radio amateurs, there'd
> >> >have been no MARS program. In that, he is correct.
> >>
> >> Bullshit.
> >
> >Well, you're certainly the authority on *that* subject, Len! ;-)
>
> I know TRUTH as opposed to snow-job braggadoccio.

Really? Like what encryption systems the US Navy used in WW2?
>
> Psycho Pstevie is an "extra class" snow-jobber.

So you're saying he wasn't involved in "seven hostile actions"?

> And, mister wizard, you REWROTE what Robeson wrote.

Not me. You must be thinking of someone else.

> "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."

That's not what I wrote.
>
> In NO way did Pstevie write what YOU say he wrote.

What did I say he wrote?

> In NO way did Pstevie's single sentence say what
> you IMPLY it did. He is NOT "correct."
>

You're misquoting all over the place, Len. But it doesn't matter. Here,
I'll clear it up:

MARS and amateur radio aren't the same thing. But many radio amateurs
are involved in MARS.

That's my position. If Steve says different, argue with *him*.

> >> MARS always was and remains a MILITARY radio system.
> >
> >But most of the participants aren't in the military.
>
> How do YOU know?

I have sources, Len.
>
> >Haw, that's funny. Len, you pretty much come unglued at the
> >slightest
> >opposition to your cherished statements, or when
> >someone refuses to feed your insult machine.
>
> "Insult machine?"

Yes, that's right. It's what you're all about here.

> Jimmie Noserve wants the exclusive use of that "machine?"

Do you feel insulted by my posts, Len? It seems so - you seem to find
insult in everything.

> Oh, yes, that ties right in with a Canadian museum
> having morse code in its window...sure...

Those windows really seem to bother you.

> >> If you ain't got the guts to
> >> tell the details, you AIN'T done it. Simple as that.
> >
> >Then you must think that Brian Burke, N0IMD-allegedly-
> >/T5, "ain't done
> >it", because he won't give any details about his amateur radio
> >operation in Somalia.
>
> Then you must be as nuts as Psycho Pstevie. Tsk.

Not me, Len. I'm not the one calling people names and telling them to shut up. You are.

> Pstevie pervertedly pejorated hisself at least a
> couple orders of magnitude with his alleged
> "poor repfit" of NADC on my visit there 34 years
> ago...and is still trying (vainly) to rationalize
> his LIE as some kind of "truth."

What has that to do with your claim that:

"If you ain't got the guts to tell the details, you AIN'T done it. Simple as that."

That's what you wrote, Len. Does it only apply to Steve and not to Brian.

> I'm just showing what a damn LIAR he is.

What lie?

The claim he has made is that he found someone who knew you from when you were allegedly at NADC. And that someone says you didn't do such a great job there.

Now maybe it's true and maybe it isn't. But it's basically your word against that of some unknown person.

> But,
> Pstevie is your BUDDIE and therefore can do NO
> wrong.

That's not true at all.

> He is PCTA extra Double Standard class
> and can therefore say ANYTHING he wants in
> your complete approval.

Not true at all, Len, but you would rather claim so than to face the facts.

> >Orwell did a good job describing the subjective
> >reality mindset in his
> >classic "1984". You remind me of "Big Brother", Len,
> >in the way you
> >want to rewrite history to fit your mindset.
>
> Pizz off, sweetie.

What's the matter, Len? Are you insulted? I'm simply telling you how you appear in this newsgroup.

You claimed:

"If you ain't got the guts to tell the details, you AIN'T done it. Simple as that."

which is a pretty good definition of subjective reality, where if
something isn't described, it doesn't exist. Which is what you're
telling us *you* believe.

> You are going hot and heavy
> into this personal insult thing and Brian Burke is
> NOT a part of it.

The personal insults are your bag, Len. Brian plays a related but
slightly different game.
>
> >If a person does something, they've done it whether they talk >
>about it
> >or not. Or whether you believe it or not. Simple as that.
>
> Tsk. Turn your phrase around.

No. The converse of a true statement isn't necessarily true. The
contrapositive is.

> If a person TALKS
> about something, that isn't "proof" that they've
> DONE it. :-)

Which applies to *you*, Len...

>
> Psycho Pstevie still hasn't come up with a SINGLE
> detail of "proof" on his insult of my "fitrep" at
> NADC.

Nor have you proved him to be mistaken.
>
> >And if K4YZ really did participate in seven hostile actions,
> >then it happened regardless of whether details are given or
> >not.
>
> HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT IS TRUTH? :-)

It's basic logic, Len. If K4YZ really did participate in seven hostile
actions, then it happened regardless of whether details are given or
not. That's objective reality.

4456. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 17:46:45 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TpydndflOZWEPP_fRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 105971

```
"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113748725.209987.127770@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> bb wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> > > > bb wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > > Michael Coslo wrote:
>> > > > >
>> > > > >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len
>> and
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Brian
>> > > > >
>> > > > >>K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's
>> > demise
>> > > > >
>> > > > > are
>> > > > >
>> > > > >>greatly exxagerated......
>> > > > >
>> > > > > No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some
>> > will
>> > > > have
>> > > > > to wait for retirement.
>> > > >
>> > > > That's the spirit, Brian!
>> > > >
>> > > > Notice, Mike, that your comments about "taking lumps" were
>> > > > SPECIFICALLY directed at the OTHER Brian, yet Brian Burke jumped
> in
>> > to
>> > > > say "no lumps"...?!?!
>> > > >
>> > > > Maybe if he weren't always so prompt to be the antagonizer
> he
>> > > > would have realized that you WEREN'T talking about HIM,
>> Mike...?!?!!
>> > > >
>> > > > 73
>> > > >
>> > > > Steve, K4YZ
```

>> >
>> > Steve, you sure are one twisted up little man. How in the world
> can
>> > not giving someone lumps be classified as being "the antagonizer?"
>> >
>> > Nurse, heal thyself.
>>
>> I asked how not giving someone lumps can make them the antagonizer.
>>
>> Apparently K4YZ doesn't know.
>>
>> Can any of the K4YZ apologists help him with this?
>
> Hello? Hello? Anybody in there?
>
> What happened to all of the K4YZ apologists? Jim? Anybody?
>
> More and more it looks like Steve stands alone. Wonder why that
> happened?
>

He hardly stands alone. However there is no need for others to repeat what he has already said or to throw in a multitude of posts that simply say "I agree."

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4457. public safety frequencies more important than Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 17:59:17 UTC
From: Dan Jacobson <jidanni@jidanni.org>
Message-ID: <87r7h98etm.fsf@jidanni.org>
Source record: 105982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

For countries still with Morse code exams, I propose that more useful would be having the examinee list his local police, fire, ambulance, helicopter, water rescue, utility crews, volunteer units, civil defense, etc. etc. frequencies. Yes, he would have to get these non-amateur frequencies from his local scanner club, etc. in order to qualify for his amateur licence, but at least he would be more useful in emergencies if asked to help out listening in on the situation. Yes, he would have to acknowledge these are for listening only, etc.

Perhaps for Morse we might still ask him to identify some local NDB airport beacons, repeated as long as needed.

4458. Re: HEY DEE....MICHIGAN QSO PARTY IS ON. YOUR NOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 17:59:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ux8e.11245$44.10173@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No, of course not. Skeds are considered poor sportsmanship in contests.

Dan/W4NTI

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113741856.047394.167530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> I am pushing hard on the Michigan QSO party. Doing mostly CW. Hunt
> and
>> pounce and CQing. EH EH EH???
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Did you make an appointment (sked)?
>
> ;^)
>

4459. Re: HEY DEE....MICHIGAN QSO PARTY IS ON. YOUR NOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 18:00:36 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <8vx8e.11247$44.2185@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:dMWdnUD7Xeez-v_fRVn-3g@comcast.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:wCi8e.7915$sp3.3191@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>I am pushing hard on the Michigan QSO party. Doing mostly CW. Hunt and
>>pounce and CQing. EH EH EH???
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>
> SSB off and on from noon to 5p calling CQ.
> CW from about 5pm for 1/2 an hour calling CQ with no takers.
> SSB from about 10:30 to midnight with a couple of short 10 minute
> excursions to CW.
>
> When I and the OM work together, it's SSB as he doesn't work CW.
>
> Sorry to have missed you.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
You must have been on a band that I had no propagation with then.
Conditions were pretty sorry except for 40, and that wasn't spectacular.

Dan/W4NTI

4460. Re: K1MAN Man Of The Year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 18:01:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Pvx8e.11249$44.1607@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105974

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
news:81f68b54b00fb390209500b794ab372f@mixmaster.it...
> k1man is a man of rare intellect and one
> of the finest gentlemen ever to hold a
> ham radio license
>

Hopefully that wont last long.

Dan/W4NTI

4461. Re: K1MAN KING OF ALL DOUCHEBAGS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 18:02:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <lwx8e.11251$44.477@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105975

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113709080.649477.126700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> That would be a tough call but IMHO if he is interfereing and his
> repeating violation of intereference, I would say the FCC should
> remove his license, it would be neat to see.
> Todd N9OGL
>

Golly gee Batman, that would be neat to see.

What a twerp you are Toad.

Dan/W4NTI

4462. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 18:04:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113761074.058506.65940@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105976

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat,Apr 16 2005 8:44 am
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Dave Heil on Apr 12, 9:31 pm
>
>
> >No, Len, that's not true. FCC is involved - but you're not FCC.
>
> James P. Miccolis is NOT FCC. :-)

Never claimed to be, Len.
>
> >Amateur radio manufacturers are involved - but you're not one
> >of them, either.
>
> James P. Miccolis is NOT a "manufacturer of radios."

Actually I'm an "amateur radio manufacturer" - you're not.
>
> >You're not involved.
>
> OH! "Not involved!!!"

That's right. You're not involved in amateur radio - other than some
newsgroup rantings and spamming FCC's ECFS.

> The U.S. Government gives me the RIGHT to vote, on
> anything up for a vote!

Same as me. But Part 97 isn't up for a vote.
>
> I am NOT "involved in government" yet I can vote on
> government officials! [really!]

Me too.

Who did you vote for in the presidential elections of 2000 and 2004,
Len?

Or don't you "have the guts" to "give the details"?

> I am NOT "involved" in any of the proposals to be
> voted on yet I can VOTE on them!

No, you can't, Len. You can comment on them but that's not the same
thing as voting.

> Wow! I'm "not involved" in so many things!!!!

That's right, Len. You're not involved in amateur radio beyond your rantings here and to FCC.

> BULLSHIT, sweetums.

That pretty much describes your involvement, Len.

> The FCC determines who gets a
> radio license

That's what I've said all along, Len.

>and sets the standards.

The standards to get a license, that is.

> The ARRL does NOT.

Len Anderson does NOT, either.

> Jimmie Noserve does NOT.

Len Anderson does NOT, either.

> Davie Heil does NOT.

Len Anderson does NOT, either.

> The "ham community" does NOT.

Len Anderson does NOT, either. He's not even part of the amateur radio community.

> It's the FCC, sweetie.

And nobody else. Not even you.

> [and that's the absolute truth...pbthththt]

Whoever said differently, Len?
>
> The "F" in FCC stands for "Federal." That means that
> ANYONE can make themselves and their opinions known to
> them (see the 1st Amendment of the U.S. Constitution).

Of course. Not the same thing as voting, though.

> The FCC does NOT limit itself to amateur regulation
> input SOLELY from amateurs. It isn't an exclusive
> clubhouse...even though you try to convey that idea.

I've never said anything different, Len. Why the lecture? You can comment all you want. That doesn't make you "involved in amateur radio" to any significant extent.
>
> >Who? Not you, of course. You're not involved.

>
> What? Paradoxical. According to that, one can't
> get INTO amateur radio WITHOUT being "involved,"
> BUT...to BE "involved" one has to ALREADY be IN
> amateur radio.

No, that's not it at all. You're not involved beyond your rantings here
and spamming of ECFS.
>
> Tsk. If you don't like paradoxes, all you are doing
> is trying to make it all into a private clubhouse.

Not at all. You could be involved, but you choose not to be. That's
fine, to each his own. Amateur radio doesn't need you, Len Anderson.

> Sorry, the Communications Act of 1934 took that away
> when the FCC was created to regulate ALL civil radio
> in the USA. ALL, Jimmie.

So what? Nobody denies that FCC makes the rules. That fact does not
mean *you* are somehow *involved in amateur radio* beyond a newsgroup
or two and voluminous nonsense sent to FCC.

> >> >Len knows more about radio operation.
> >>
> >> Tsk. I know HOW they work and the protocols needed
> >> in some radio services.
> >
> >But not amateur radio. Not Morse Code. Your knowledge is all
> >theory, no
> >practice, when it comes to amateur radio. Sidewalk
> >superintendent
> >stuff. All hat and no cattle, all talk and no action.
>
> Okay, so you DON'T think that amateur radio works by
> the same principles of physics as all other radio
> services.

No, that's not true at all.

> Electrons, fields, and waves all work in
> in an "amateur fashion" if you have an AMATEUR radio
> license!

Nope.

> An AMATEUR radio "won't work" unless it has
> a valid, certificated amateur radio operator operating
> it? Wow. Learn something every day.

That's simply your way of avoiding the real issue, Len. The fact is,
you're not knowledgeable about amateur radio "protocols" nor Morse Code
nor are you experienced in amateur radio.

> Well, no sweat. Someone who doesn't KNOW the "ham way"
> should be FIRED, right? Give up their ham job? Be
> prosecuted if they don't behave according to YOUR set
> of regulations?

You're still not involved and not qualified.
>
> Sweetie, I've designed and built those "sidewalks,"
> and the "buildings" they are in front of, done the
> "civil engineering" testing on those "buildings" to
> make sure they are in-spec.

Not on the street called "amateur radio", Len.

> >You did some articles for a now-long-defunct New England-based >
>ham
> >radio magazine 22+ years ago. None of them were about building > >or
> >operating an amateur radio station.
>
> Poor baby. Still sulking about NOT getting published
> in anything but "Electric Radio?" :-)

Not me. I've been published elsewhere. So what? You're not involved. I
am.

> >Who did you vote for in the presidential elections of 2000 and >
>2004, Len?
>
> Where there RADIO issues proposed by the candidates
> then? I watched the debates on TV rather than listening
> to the radio. Fill me in.
>
> HOW is such information REQUIRED to discuss amateur radio
> regulations and how to get INTO amateur radio by licensing?

It's a demonstration of your own concept, Len.

You wrote about having the guts to give details. And about voting.
Don't you have the guts to tell us who you voted for? I guess not.
>
> WHO did you VOTE for in Canada on their last election?

I'm not allowed to vote in Canada - I'm not a Canadian citizen.

Are you an American citizen, Len?
>
> Are you "afraid" to say? :-)

Not at all. I didn't vote in the last Canadian election because I'm not
a Canadian citizen. In fact, I've never been to Canada.

> >> The U.S. military did NOT use morse code in long-distance
> >> fixed-point to fixed-point communications a half century
> >> ago and still don't.
> >

> >Even if that's true - what does it matter to amateur radio
> >policy?
>
> Sorry, Jimmie, under YOUR "rules," if I SAID it, then
> it must be true! :-)
>
Why not just answer the question, Len?

What does it matter to amateur radio policy whether the U.S. military
did or did NOT use morse code in long-distance fixed-point to
fixed-point communications a half century ago and still don't?

> Actually, it IS true, but YOU are AFRAID to find out.
> The fantasy that the rest of the radio world "still
> uses morse code" is way too strong a mental narcotic
> for you. You can't go cold turkey.

Not at all, Len. The question remains: What does it matter to amateur
radio policy whether the U.S. military did or did NOT use morse code in
long-distance fixed-point to fixed-point communications a half century
ago and still don't?
>
> >Amateur radio isn't the US military.
>
> MARS is military. "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur
> radio!" :-)
>
> Amateur radio is NOT Private Land Mobile Radio Service.
>
> Amateur radio is NOT Mass Media Radio Service.
>
> Amateur radio is NOT Maritime Radio Service.
>
> Amateur radio is NOT Aviation Radio Service.
>
> Amateur radio is NOT Personal Radio Service.
>
> Etc.

Then you've just proved my point, Len. Since amateur radio isn't any of
those, what those services do isn't what should determine what hams do,
nor what the license requirements for an amateur license should be.

> >> Tsk. Some of you olde-tyme hammes
> >> need to get your noses out of old WW2 surplus radio books
> >> and inspect the rest of the radio world.
> >
> >Is that an order?
>
> Are you afraid to take orders?

Not at all - from duly authorized people. You're not in charge, Len - you're not even involved.

> Is that why you never
> volunteered for military service? :-)

How do you know I never volunteered?

> >Why should what other radio services do be more important to
> >amateur radio policy than what hams do?
>
> Why should amateur radio policy be dictated SOLELY by
> already-licensed radio amateurs?

Nobody says that. Also, you cannot answer a question with another question.

You're avoiding the important question: Why should what other radio services do be more important to amateur radio policy than what hams do?

Try answering that one. Or are you afraid?

> That's in direct
> VIOLATION of both the 1st Amendment and the Communications
> Act of 1934.

Nobody says that only hams should make the rules.

> >I see. Well, Len, you have the thinnest skin of all those here,
> because
> >you get insulted by *any* opposition..
>
> What "opposition?" :-)

Any opposition.
>
> There's Jimmie Noserve who likes to make out that he
> KNOWS ALL about the military...but never served.

Gee, Len, you gave us a lecture here about US Navy communications and encryption recently. But *you* never served in the US Navy - and there were several mistakes in your little lecture.
>
> There's a psycho-sick whacko inventing "fitreps" about
> me that never happened...

Here's a clue, Len: I'm not him.

> There's a few more and have been lots more. Not a
> problem. Lots of you knowitalls and control freaks on
> the Internet who "get off" on being "superior" on their
> screens. Tsk. Been that way since computer-modem
> communications got going over three decades ago. :-)

That pretty much sums up what *you* do here, Len. That's what *you* are involved in...
>
> >Who did you vote for in the presidential elections of 2000 and > >2004,Len?
>
> Maybe I've forgotten! :-)

I don't think so. More likely, you "don't have the guts" to say so in public. But I think I know who you voted for.

> What Prime Minister candidate did you vote for in
> Canada, Jimmie?

None - I'm not allowed to vote in Canada. Are you, Len? Are you an American citizen?
>
> What military did you serve in up in Canada, Jimmie?

I've never been to Canada, Len.

4463. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 18:08:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <MCx8e.11254$44.6767@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Bvt8e.63862$NC6.3383@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1113743129.236382.299700@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Mel A. Nomah wrote:
>>> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
>>> news:V5b8e.1509$Bc7.4@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>>>
>>> : http://www.hamwave.com/cgi-bin/index.cgi?action=viewnews&id=689
>>>
>>> That link supposes that the fcc will delete the Morse requirement.
>>>
>>> Other insiders suggest fcc will NPRM will delete Morse only for
>> General
>>> license, and will INCREASE the test to 20WPM for renewed Extra class,
>>> downgrading all current "Extra Lite" licenses to resurrected Advanced
>>> license (the second time this license has risen from the ashes).
>> ARRL
>>> giveaway program will be denied.
>>>
>> All it really says is that the FCC is working on an NPRM that may be
>> out as soon as next month or as late as July. That NPRM will obviously
>> contain what FCC wants to do as a result of WRC 2003 and the 18
>> restructuring petitions.
>>
>> Once the NPRM becomes public, there will be a comment period, then a
>> reply comment period. Couple of months at least, maybe longer. Probably
>> the end of 2005 before comments close.
>>
>> Then FCC will decide what to do and formulate a Report and Order. Last
>> time they did this it took almost a year. Which translates to fall
>> 2006. Then a couple months before the new rules become effective -
>> maybe end of 2006.
>>
>> Of course it could take even longer, or maybe a bit less. But I
>> wouldn't expect any changes before summer 2006 - and wouldn't be
>> surprised if it were summer 2007.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>

> Those who defy wanting to learn the code jump at any chance to perpetuate
> rumors of code being eliminated. You're right, it will take a while, even
> if they were to decide to write a NPRM to do such. If these people jumped
> into Alligator infested waters as fast as they do rumors, the population
> would take a sudden drop. I'm not a "lover" of code, but I have hold a
> license which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only
> takes a few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass
> a test. 2 weeks is not long, you probably drove longer on a permit before
> being allowed to drive on your own. Probably studied the book longer too!
> It takes little effort. The biggest problem with most is "laziness". Maybe
> you never will use it again. There are many things you learn in life and
> may never use again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy. Many people
> learned the skeletal system in health class, microorganisms in Biology
> class. It doesn't mean they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as they
> graduated. But, it was "required". It's not a big deal people. Once you
> get past the "do I have to" and start doing it, you'll amaze yourself at
> how fast and easy it can be. I DO use code now and then, but not daily
> like many others do. Everyone has their own thing. Some are into Packet,
> RTTY, AMTOR, etc, I'm not... To each his own. But we all had to learn
> "something" about those modes to pass an exam.
>
> cl
>
>
>
>

Yes indeed....basically laziness.

I have been thinking back to the late 50/early 60s when I started on my trek down Morse Code lane.

You know what THE MAIN reason was that I learned it? Because I was curious as to what all those beeps and bops were saying that I was hearing on my Zenith.

And it has been a blast ever since.

Look at it this way......how many people do you know that can talk with their fingers?

Dan/W4NTI

4464. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 18:16:56 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113761816.263468.3660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105978

From: "bb" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 6:02 am

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > bb wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > So, is lie #16 the conversation with a former colleague of
Len's? Or
>> > > > is lie #16 Steve's tenure on RRAP? Or is it actually lies #16
and #17?
>> > >
>> > > Neither, but nice try.
>> >
>> > Steve, you lied. The only question is which time?
>> >
>> > The conversation with a former colleague of Len's?
>> >
>> > The length of tenure on RRAP?
>> >
>> > Or both.
>> >
>> > Fess up.
>>
>> Well well...Looks like I made an error in math. My bust.
>
>Well, well...it took you Quitesometime to fess up.
>
>Now where is Len's apology?

Psycho Pstevie doesn't issue apologies.

The reason is simple: This "witness" (or "reference" or
whatever) does NOT exist. It's not possible for anyone
to "apologize" for someone that doesn't exist. Ergo,
Psycho Pstevie "does not need" to apologize.

You have to approach this denizen of Pstevieland as
you would a cryptologic attack. Work the puzzle and
try to think in terms of those who REFUSE to yield
to ANYONE. With years and years of experience (several
reading this newsgroup is more than adequate), it
becomes easier to do, but less easier to take. Toss
out logic, use great heaping shovelfulls of their
ego, pride, and sociopathy and it becomes clearer.

Pstevie IMAGINES this individual "exists" and, furthermore, INSISTS he (or she) "knows all about me through 'reports'" all of which don't exist. By Pstevie "logic" all of it is "correct" even though:

1. There's no evidence.

2. It's all hearsay, mostly hearing from one of Pstevie's voices in his head talking to him.

3. He has "made promises to not reveal the identity." THAT is the top-notch rationalization...used often on computer-modem communications yet is totally WORTHLESS in reality from the following:

A. It relies on some curious "honesty" and "loyalty" factor which is supposed to be followed by all newsgroup communicators in which Pstevie self-describes himself as "honest, loyal, trustworthy," etc., etc., etc. which has been shown to be bunkum.

B. A non-existant person cannot be evidenciary of anything but extreme imagination on the part of the imaginator. No one else can disprove something that doesn't exist but the imaginator cannot prove the imaginary to actually exist.

C. The excuse of existance is that the imaginator expresses "outrage" that anyone could imagine him telling a "lie." He HAS told a LIE to begin with, so all the following rationalization is nothing but MORE LIES.

D. During the rationalization posting, the imaginator will MISDIRECT the thread hoping to take viewers' minds off his own lies and put some blame on the person of the challenger. That's a common ploy in computer-modem communications, been around since before BBSs on the old ARPANET. It serves no argument but does take some of the heat away from the lying imaginator. AKA "smoke-screening" in trying to mask any challenge to the LIE.

4. There can be an endless recursion back to (3) depending on the intensity of the psychosis of the LIAR. They profess "being wounded" by a challenge and must "avenge" such "personal

insult" (of being called a liar in the first place) by more and more and more misdirection and outright name-calling against challengers.

Some years ago (about 1986 give or take) I logged into a Bulletin Board System that specialized in all sorts of paranormal subject, conspiracy theories and "majic" (apparently a modern version of magic). This was out of curiosity on how people behaved when they thought they couldn't be found out. On the subject that "The U.S. Air Force Academy in Colorado teaches the existance of extra-terrestrial beings and has textbooks on the subject," a person made what I consider to be the ultimate rationalization for the lack of evidence of that:

"After it was found out, the Air Force removed and destroyed all the textbooks. Of course you can't find any evidence of such books, they were all confiscated and destroyed, but they did exist!"

So, despite NO evidence remaining, the claimant remained adamant that such books DID exist. No one can disprove it. But, given in such "outrage" of being challenged (misdirection ploy), readers of the message got an impression that they did. The claimant could NOT prove his case no matter how he tried...had to resort to emotional excuses and his alleged "honesty" (claimant had not gone to the USAF Academy but "knew someone who did").

The analogy applies directly to Robeson's claim of having a "trustworthy reference" to my character (as it was 34 years ago). He cannot prove this "reference" exists yet demands he be "believed." No one else can check up on this because nothing but vague generalities about this invisible man are presented.

This "fitrep" report-writer is either a LIE or he might be some alien being from outer space. We don't know about the latter so the former must be a better bet. Psycho Pstevie told a LIE and just tried to cover it up...again and again and again. A clear and open role-model for today's Amateur Extra class amateur radio licensee?

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4465. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 18:53:12 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4262B093.A109F70E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 105979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Bvt8e.63862$NC6.3383@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> >
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1113743129.236382.299700@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> >>> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
> >>> news:V5b8e.1509$Bc7.4@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> >>>
> >>> : http://www.hamwave.com/cgi-bin/index.cgi?action=viewnews&id=689
> >>>
> >>> That link supposes that the fcc will delete the Morse requirement.
> >>>
> >>> Other insiders suggest fcc will NPRM will delete Morse only for
> >> General
> >>> license, and will INCREASE the test to 20WPM for renewed Extra class,
> >>> downgrading all current "Extra Lite" licenses to resurrected Advanced
> >>> license (the second time this license has risen from the ashes).
> >> ARRL
> >>> giveaway program will be denied.
> >>>
> >> All it really says is that the FCC is working on an NPRM that may be
> >> out as soon as next month or as late as July. That NPRM will obviously
> >> contain what FCC wants to do as a result of WRC 2003 and the 18
> >> restructuring petitions.
> >>
> >> Once the NPRM becomes public, there will be a comment period, then a
> >> reply comment period. Couple of months at least, maybe longer. Probably
> >> the end of 2005 before comments close.
> >>
> >> Then FCC will decide what to do and formulate a Report and Order. Last
> >> time they did this it took almost a year. Which translates to fall
> >> 2006. Then a couple months before the new rules become effective -
> >> maybe end of 2006.
> >>
> >> Of course it could take even longer, or maybe a bit less. But I
> >> wouldn't expect any changes before summer 2006 - and wouldn't be
> >> surprised if it were summer 2007.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY

> >>
> >
> > Those who defy wanting to learn the code jump at any chance to perpetuate
> > rumors of code being eliminated. You're right, it will take a while, even
> > if they were to decide to write a NPRM to do such. If these people jumped
> > into Alligator infested waters as fast as they do rumors, the population
> > would take a sudden drop. I'm not a "lover" of code, but I have hold a
> > license which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only
> > takes a few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass
> > a test. 2 weeks is not long, you probably drove longer on a permit before
> > being allowed to drive on your own. Probably studied the book longer too!
> > It takes little effort. The biggest problem with most is "laziness". Maybe
> > you never will use it again. There are many things you learn in life and
> > may never use again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy. Many people
> > learned the skeletal system in health class, microorganisms in Biology
> > class. It doesn't mean they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as they
> > graduated. But, it was "required". It's not a big deal people. Once you
> > get past the "do I have to" and start doing it, you'll amaze yourself at
> > how fast and easy it can be. I DO use code now and then, but not daily
> > like many others do. Everyone has their own thing. Some are into Packet,
> > RTTY, AMTOR, etc, I'm not... To each his own. But we all had to learn
> > "something" about those modes to pass an exam.
> >
> > cl
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Yes indeed....basically laziness.
>
> I have been thinking back to the late 50/early 60s when I started on my trek
> down Morse Code lane.
>
> You know what THE MAIN reason was that I learned it? Because I was curious
> as to what all those beeps and bops were saying that I was hearing on my
> Zenith.
>
> And it has been a blast ever since.
>
> Look at it this way......how many people do you know that can talk with
> their fingers?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Anyone who can type a message on a keyboard?
--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4466. Re: If the Pope Had Met N9OGL, Would He Reconsider Abortion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 19:28:40 UTC
From: Jamie <Noemail@noemail>
Message-ID: <d3udbldpc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113742014.999774.265420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
well ****, I do very little writing......

THAT is painfully obvious!

4467. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 19:38:54 UTC
From: Jamie <Noemail@noemail>
Message-ID: <d3uduq$f3a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105981

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:TpydndflOZWEPP_fRVn-og@comcast.com...

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113748725.209987.127770@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> bb wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
>> > > > bb wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > > Michael Coslo wrote:
>> > > > >
>> > > > >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len
>> and
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Brian
>> > > > >
>> > > > >>K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's
>> > demise
>> > > > >
>> > > > > are
>> > > > >
>> > > > >>greatly exxagerated......
>> > > > >
>> > > > > No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some
>> > will
>> > > > have
>> > > > > > to wait for retirement.
>> > > > >
>> > > > That's the spirit, Brian!
>> > > >
>> > > Notice, Mike, that your comments about "taking lumps" were
>> > > SPECIFICALLY directed at the OTHER Brian, yet Brian Burke jumped
> in
>> > to
>> > > > say "no lumps"...?!?!
>> > > >
>> > > Maybe if he weren't always so prompt to be the antagonizer
> he
>> > > would have realized that you WEREN'T talking about HIM,
>> Mike...?!?!!
>> > >

>> > > 73
>> > >
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> > Steve, you sure are one twisted up little man. How in the world
> can
>> > not giving someone lumps be classified as being "the antagonizer?"
>> >
>> > Nurse, heal thyself.
>>
>> I asked how not giving someone lumps can make them the antagonizer.
>>
>> Apparently K4YZ doesn't know.
>>
>> Can any of the K4YZ apologists help him with this?
>
> Hello? Hello? Anybody in there?
>
> What happened to all of the K4YZ apologists? Jim? Anybody?
>
> More and more it looks like Steve stands alone. Wonder why that
> happened?
>

He hardly stands alone. However there is no need for others to repeat what he has already said or to throw in a multitude of posts that simply say "I agree."

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

.....

I agree...

4468. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 19:40:55 UTC
From: Jamie <Noemail@noemail>
Message-ID: <d3ue2jfbt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105983

> Can any of the K4YZ apologists help him with this?

Hello? Hello? Anybody in there?

What happened to all of the K4YZ apologists? Jim? Anybody?

More and more it looks like Steve stands alone. Wonder why that
happened?
....

Steve needs no apologists.

You, however.....

4469. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 19:54:09 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <iaz8e.63872$NC6.8788@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 105984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4262B093.A109F70E@earthlink.net...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>> "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:Bvt8e.63862$NC6.3383@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>> >
>> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > news:1113743129.236382.299700@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >> Mel A. Nomah wrote:
>> >>> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
>> >>> news:V5b8e.1509$Bc7.4@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>> >>>
>> >>> : http://www.hamwave.com/cgi-bin/index.cgi?action=viewnews&id=689
>> >>>
>> >>> That link supposes that the fcc will delete the Morse requirement.
>> >>>
>> >>> Other insiders suggest fcc will NPRM will delete Morse only for
>> >> General
>> >>> license, and will INCREASE the test to 20WPM for renewed Extra class,
>> >>> downgrading all current "Extra Lite" licenses to resurrected Advanced
>> >>> license (the second time this license has risen from the ashes).
>> >> ARRL
>> >>> giveaway program will be denied.
>> >>>
>> >> All it really says is that the FCC is working on an NPRM that may be
>> >> out as soon as next month or as late as July. That NPRM will obviously
>> >> contain what FCC wants to do as a result of WRC 2003 and the 18
>> >> restructuring petitions.
>> >>
>> >> Once the NPRM becomes public, there will be a comment period, then a
>> >> reply comment period. Couple of months at least, maybe longer.
>> >> Probably
>> >> the end of 2005 before comments close.
>> >>
>> >> Then FCC will decide what to do and formulate a Report and Order. Last
>> >> time they did this it took almost a year. Which translates to fall
>> >> 2006. Then a couple months before the new rules become effective -
>> >> maybe end of 2006.
>> >>
>> >> Of course it could take even longer, or maybe a bit less. But I
>> >> wouldn't expect any changes before summer 2006 - and wouldn't be

>> >> surprised if it were summer 2007.
>> >>
>> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >>
>> >
>> > Those who defy wanting to learn the code jump at any chance to
>> > perpetuate
>> > rumors of code being eliminated. You're right, it will take a while,
>> > even
>> > if they were to decide to write a NPRM to do such. If these people
>> > jumped
>> > into Alligator infested waters as fast as they do rumors, the
>> > population
>> > would take a sudden drop. I'm not a "lover" of code, but I have hold a
>> > license which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only
>> > takes a few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to
>> > pass
>> > a test. 2 weeks is not long, you probably drove longer on a permit
>> > before
>> > being allowed to drive on your own. Probably studied the book longer
>> > too!
>> > It takes little effort. The biggest problem with most is "laziness".
>> > Maybe
>> > you never will use it again. There are many things you learn in life
>> > and
>> > may never use again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy. Many people
>> > learned the skeletal system in health class, microorganisms in Biology
>> > class. It doesn't mean they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as
>> > they
>> > graduated. But, it was "required". It's not a big deal people. Once you
>> > get past the "do I have to" and start doing it, you'll amaze yourself
>> > at
>> > how fast and easy it can be. I DO use code now and then, but not daily
>> > like many others do. Everyone has their own thing. Some are into
>> > Packet,
>> > RTTY, AMTOR, etc, I'm not... To each his own. But we all had to learn
>> > "something" about those modes to pass an exam.
>> >
>> > cl
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Yes indeed....basically laziness.
>>
>> I have been thinking back to the late 50/early 60s when I started on my
>> trek
>> down Morse Code lane.
>>

>> You know what THE MAIN reason was that I learned it? Because I was
>> curious
>> as to what all those beeps and bops were saying that I was hearing on my
>> Zenith.
>>
>> And it has been a blast ever since.
>>
>> Look at it this way......how many people do you know that can talk with
>> their fingers?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Anyone who can type a message on a keyboard?
> --
> Former professional electron wrangler.
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida

Speaking of keyboards, that is a perfect example. MANY who are online now - otherwise would never know how to type. BUT to own a computer and/or get online, they "had" to learn - OR - at least they're in the process of learning. It becomes "automatic" after so many hours of use. Same with code.... All it takes is the application of it. Sure, just in computers, many may not become proficient in computer programming, etc (just like not "wanting" to use the code), but they're still learning at some point along the way.

cl

4470. Re: Roger lost another one...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 19:54:27 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050417195427.7EC1217107@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106003
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d3t4lu$s3r$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloydie" <NoSpam@noemail> wrote:
>
> Hey, Rog. How is that Earthlink service going for you?

Hey, shit for brains, Roger isn't on Earthlink to begin with.
You Lose.

> Oh, wait. You got booted from that one too, didn't you?

No, He wasn't on Earthlink... you lose again!

> You really ought to watch yourself. You are pretty much out of
alternate
> ISP's to go to, aren't you?

Nope, He still is on the same ones he's always been on.
Better get a refund on your Junior Dickless Tracy detective kit,
tubby.

> And don't bother telling us you never had an Earthlink
account. You are a
> congenital liar and nobody believes you.

It still doesn't change the fact he wasn't on Earthlink. You
fucked up.

> Recall, if you will, the Ovis
> account that Arf! Arf! was so instrumental in getting you
booted from.

Too bad he didn't, since Roger told Pam Hoffer to go fuck
herself. And told her to cancel his account.

> You have a severe learning disability, Roger. 49 years old and
still in pre
> pubescence.

Poor Lardass, his mentally illness is so unchecked. Here's a
newsflash, junior, the Internet is full of "prepubecent"
adolescents, like you.

> Dance, Roger. Dance.

Plagiarizing again, fatty?

4471. Re: public safety frequencies more important than Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 19:58:39 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <RZ6dnQcH9PV0Iv_fRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 105985

Dan Jacobson wrote:
> For countries still with Morse code exams, I propose that more useful
> would be having the examinee list his local police, fire, ambulance,
> helicopter, water rescue, utility crews, volunteer units, civil
> defense, etc. etc. frequencies. Yes, he would have to get these
> non-amateur frequencies from his local scanner club, etc. in order to
> qualify for his amateur licence, but at least he would be more useful
> in emergencies if asked to help out listening in on the situation.
> Yes, he would have to acknowledge these are for listening only, etc.
>
> Perhaps for Morse we might still ask him to identify some local NDB
> airport beacons, repeated as long as needed.

Great idea Dan, if the license is for vhf/local use only. What would any
of this have to do with HF use?

4472. Re: No Wonder The Boys Are Annoyed in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 20:02:15 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <4bd4933760b3151cc9f21f0e0a5f7632@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 105986

In article <d3kcg1avu0@pita.alt.net>
following up to himself, "I Am Not Roger" <NoSpam@Glendale>
wrote:

> Now, wait a minute. Roger once said He was "working on" his
Master's and
> said he was only a few credit hours from obtaining it. Knowing
that Roger is
> a congenital fibber, these "few credit hours" to which he
alludes may be as
> few as 12 or, knowing Roger's intellect as we do, as many as
those numbered
> in years.

Yet, you couldn't even get a high school diploma, huh, G.E.D.
boy.

> Only Roger knows for sure and he fibs about everything. (Roger
> uses AOL also)

Wait, you said he uses Earthlink, tubby! Looks like you fucked
up, as usual.

> Unlike Roger, Steve can construct any number of cohesive
sentences without a
> single use of profanity. For Roger this is an insurmountable
task.

Sort of like how you digitally fornicate underage girls, eh?

4473. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 20:05:12 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.if3oso0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 105987

On Sun, 17 Apr 2005 03:20:15 GMT, Mel A. Nomah wrote:

>Shameful is in the eye of the beholder. Captain Bucher was not punished for
>surrendering, just as the US didn't punish the Jews who attacked his
>sister-ship, USS Liberty on a similar mission. Probably because then the
>Navy would have needed to punish those who sent those ships in harms way
>without proper means to defend themselves

As well as the NSA/USN brass who, when queried by the Israelis,
adamantly denied that the Liberty was a U S Naval vessel even after
being told that the vessel wiil be blown out of the water if it
wasn't a US Naval vessel. The Israelis had every reason to believe
that the Egyptians or their mentors, the Soviets, would fly the US
flag to avoid destruction if they could get away with it.

And the NSA kept denying...

--
73 de K2ASP / 4X4UQ - Phil Kane

4474. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 20:22:41 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <lAz8e.11288$44.2433@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> The biggest problem with most is "laziness". Maybe you never
> will use it again. There are many things you learn in life and may never use
> again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy. Many people learned the skeletal
> system in health class, microorganisms in Biology class. It doesn't mean
> they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as they graduated.

Guess that explains Creationism. They either forgot or just
never did get biology class. And get upset when science
contradicts a trivial off topic section of the Bible.
But there is hope that some people will "get it" and
be able to do something with it. Of course the school
or FCC has to pick and choose what the kids should try
to learn. Spending less time on European medieval kings and
more on Vietnam would make sense, as modern governments are
no longer kings sitting around in castles getting bored
and deciding to have wars for the fun of it. Well, today kings
are called "dictators" anyway. Now to bring this back to
ham radio, is requiring code worth the time prospective
hams would have to spend on it, or maybe more theory should
be asked for today?

I seriously doubt that the FCC would increase code speed for
extras. The medical wavier issue would crop up again, and
the FCC found that to be a PITA. Besides it would be hard
for the FCC to tell old extras from newer extras as IIRC they
didn't keep track of who was who as old extras came up for
renewal.

4475. Re: No Wonder The Boys Are Annoyed in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 20:30:02 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <4bd4933760b3151cc9f21f0e0a5f7632@dizum.com>
Source record: 106010

In article <d3kcg1avu0@pita.alt.net>
following up to himself, "I Am Not Roger" <NoSpam@Glendale>
wrote:

> Now, wait a minute. Roger once said He was "working on" his
Master's and
> said he was only a few credit hours from obtaining it. Knowing
that Roger is
> a congenital fibber, these "few credit hours" to which he
alludes may be as
> few as 12 or, knowing Roger's intellect as we do, as many as
those numbered
> in years.

Yet, you couldn't even get a high school diploma, huh, G.E.D.
boy.

> Only Roger knows for sure and he fibs about everything. (Roger
> uses AOL also)

Wait, you said he uses Earthlink, tubby! Looks like you fucked
up, as usual.

> Unlike Roger, Steve can construct any number of cohesive
sentences without a
> single use of profanity. For Roger this is an insurmountable
task.

Sort of like how you digitally fornicate underage girls, eh?

4476. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 20:49:24 UTC
From: anon <anon@anontruthbetold.net>
Message-ID: <d3ui2r$n79$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.liberalism,soc.culture.usa,soc.retirement,alt.military.retired,alt.politics.religion,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.jewish

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.if3oso0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>
> As well as the NSA/USN brass who, when queried by the Israelis,
> adamantly denied that the Liberty was a U S Naval vessel even after
> being told that the vessel wiil be blown out of the water if it
> wasn't a US Naval vessel. The Israelis had every reason to believe
> that the Egyptians or their mentors, the Soviets, would fly the US
> flag to avoid destruction if they could get away with it.
>
> And the NSA kept denying...
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP / 4X4UQ - Phil Kane
>

YOU ARE A LIAR! You were not there. You have no information.
You are just repeating hearsay from some Jew. There are USS Liberty
survivors who have described what happened many times. The Jews
NEVER queried the U.S for the identity of the Liberty, but the Jews did
recon the Liberty for almost a day prior to the attack, during which time
the
Liberty was flying an oversized American flag, a holiday ensign. The Jews
had no reason to believe Egyptians or any other country would impersonate a
U.S. vessel. The attack was deliberate by the Jews. The Jews knew full
well that the Liberty was intercepting communication which would reveal
that Israel had committed war atrocities and was illegally in territory they
had promised not to enter. The Jews did want the U.S. or the world to
know the truth. Jew jets machine gunned innocent American sailors on
the decks of the U.S. Liberty, sailors who were frantically waving
American flags. Egypt and other enemies of the Jews did not have a single
ship in their inventories which resembled the USS Liberty, and the Jews
knew this. Innocent American blood will always be on the hands of the
Jews, because of the USS Liberty incident.
GET YOUR FACTS STRAIGHT BEFORE YOU SHOOT YOUR
IGNORANT UNINFORMED MOUTH OFF NEXT TIME!

4477. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 21:07:11 UTC
From: sam bicke <travisc@compton.net>
Message-ID: <ZcA8e.1918$Gq6.1449@fe02.lga>
Source record: 105991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.liberalism,soc.culture.usa,soc.retirement,alt.military.retired,alt.politics.religion,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.jewish

"anon" <anon@anontruthbetold.net> wrote in message
news:d3ui2r$n79$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.if3oso0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> >
> > As well as the NSA/USN brass who, when queried by the Israelis,
> > adamantly denied that the Liberty was a U S Naval vessel even after
> > being told that the vessel wiil be blown out of the water if it
> > wasn't a US Naval vessel. The Israelis had every reason to believe
> > that the Egyptians or their mentors, the Soviets, would fly the US
> > flag to avoid destruction if they could get away with it.
> >
> > And the NSA kept denying...
> >
> > --
> > 73 de K2ASP / 4X4UQ - Phil Kane
> >
>
> YOU ARE A LIAR! You were not there. You have no information.
> You are just repeating hearsay from some Jew. There are USS Liberty
> survivors who have described what happened many times. The Jews
> NEVER queried the U.S for the identity of the Liberty, but the Jews did
> recon the Liberty for almost a day prior to the attack, during which time
> the
> Liberty was flying an oversized American flag, a holiday ensign. The
Jews
> had no reason to believe Egyptians or any other country would impersonate
a
> U.S. vessel. The attack was deliberate by the Jews. The Jews knew full
> well that the Liberty was intercepting communication which would reveal
> that Israel had committed war atrocities and was illegally in territory
they
> had promised not to enter. The Jews did want the U.S. or the world to
> know the truth. Jew jets machine gunned innocent American sailors on
> the decks of the U.S. Liberty, sailors who were frantically waving
> American flags. Egypt and other enemies of the Jews did not have a single
> ship in their inventories which resembled the USS Liberty, and the Jews
> knew this. Innocent American blood will always be on the hands of the
> Jews, because of the USS Liberty incident.
> GET YOUR FACTS STRAIGHT BEFORE YOU SHOOT YOUR
> IGNORANT UNINFORMED MOUTH OFF NEXT TIME!

I worked for the NSA at Fort Meade. He's a liar.

4478. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 21:15:22 UTC
From: anon <anon@anontruthbetold.net>
Message-ID: <d3ujj5$q7p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.liberalism,soc.culture.usa,soc.retirement,alt.military.retired,alt.politics.religion,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.jewish

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.if3oso0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>
> As well as the NSA/USN brass who, when queried by the Israelis,
> adamantly denied that the Liberty was a U S Naval vessel even after
> being told that the vessel wiil be blown out of the water if it
> wasn't a US Naval vessel. The Israelis had every reason to believe
> that the Egyptians or their mentors, the Soviets, would fly the US
> flag to avoid destruction if they could get away with it.
>
> And the NSA kept denying...
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP / 4X4UQ - Phil Kane
>

USS Liberty survivors quickly will set forth facts which they were
EYE WITNESS to, and they are very active on the web. If
necessary, they will step forward, identify themselves, and set the
record straight, whenever and wherever they see lies like this and/
or distrotions of the truth, as to what happened during the deliberate
murderous attack on the USS Liberty by Jews who full well knew
it was an American ship, operating in international waters.

4479. I don't know where Mike Weeks is but the antisemitic trolls can't get the lies out fast enough... Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 21:19:02 UTC
From: ariadne@mac.hush.com
Message-ID: <1113772742.879318.240240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 105993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,soc.culture.jewish

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Sun, 17 Apr 2005 03:20:15 GMT, Mel A. Nomah wrote:
>
> >Shameful is in the eye of the beholder. Captain Bucher was not
punished for
> >surrendering, just as the US didn't punish the Jews who attacked his
> >sister-ship, USS Liberty on a similar mission. Probably because
then the
> >Navy would have needed to punish those who sent those ships in harms
way
> >without proper means to defend themselves
>
> As well as the NSA/USN brass who, when queried by the Israelis,
> adamantly denied that the Liberty was a U S Naval vessel even after
> being told that the vessel wiil be blown out of the water if it
> wasn't a US Naval vessel. The Israelis had every reason to believe
> that the Egyptians or their mentors, the Soviets, would fly the US
> flag to avoid destruction if they could get away with it.
>
> And the NSA kept denying...
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP / 4X4UQ - Phil Kane

4480. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 21:25:42 UTC
From: anon <anon@anontruthbetold.net>
Message-ID: <d3uk6grec0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105994

Read the TRUTH about the deliberate Jew attack on the
USS Liberty at this website, which is operated by survivors of
the attack:

www.ussliberty.org

4481. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 21:37:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0_ednWVcOIDVSv_fRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 105995

"anon" <anon@anontruthbetold.net> wrote in message
news:d3uk6grec0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Read the TRUTH about the deliberate Jew attack on the
> USS Liberty at this website, which is operated by survivors of
> the attack:
>
> www.ussliberty.org
>

It was the Israelis that attacked the ship. Not the Jews. Many Jewish
people are not Israelis.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4482. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 21:39:58 UTC
From: Len <len@canlenskyr.org>
Message-ID: <d3ul1e$t1o$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105996

"That the attack was deliberate 'just wasn't a disputed issue' within
the National Security Agency"

=Former NSA/CIA Director retired Army Lieutenant General
William Odom on 3 March 2003 in an interview for Naval
Institute Proceedings.

www.ussliberty.org

4483. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 21:43:37 UTC
From: Len <len@canlenskyr.org>
Message-ID: <d3ul84tgj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105997

"That the Liberty could have been mistaken for the Egyptian
supply ship El Quseir is unbelievable."

=Special Assistant to the President Clark Clifford, in his report
to President Lyndon Johnson.

www.ussliberty.org

4484. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 21:45:38 UTC
From: Len <len@canlenskyr.org>
Message-ID: <d3ulbttmj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105998

"I can tell you for an absolute certainty (from intercepted communications)
that the Israelis knew they were attacking an American ship."

=NSA Deputy Director Oliver Kirby

www.ussliberty.org

4485. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 21:50:01 UTC
From: Len <len@canlenskyr.org>
Message-ID: <d3ulk6ubj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 105999

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:0_ednWVcOIDVSv_fRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>
> "anon" <anon@anontruthbetold.net> wrote in message
> news:d3uk6grec0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> Read the TRUTH about the deliberate Jew attack on the
>> USS Liberty at this website, which is operated by survivors of
>> the attack:
>>
>> www.ussliberty.org
>>
>
> It was the Israelis that attacked the ship. Not the Jews. Many Jewish
> people are not Israelis.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Tell that to the surviving family members of the American
sailors deliberately killed by the Jews. Which bullets were
Jew and which were Israeli?

4486. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 21:52:48 UTC
From: Len <len@canlenskyr.org>
Message-ID: <d3ulpbulq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106000

"Never before in the history of the United States Navy has a Navy Board of Inquiry ignored the testimony of American military eyewitnesses and taken, on faith, the word of their attackers."

=Captain Richard F. Kiepfer, Medical Corps, U.S. Navy, Retired, USS Liberty Survivor.

www.ussliberty.org

4487. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 22:00:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bOKdnTst8ZrnQf_fRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 106001

"Len" <len@canlenskyr.org> wrote in message
news:d3ulk6ubj0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:0_ednWVcOIDVSv_fRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>>
>> "anon" <anon@anontruthbetold.net> wrote in message
>> news:d3uk6grec0@pita.alt.net...
>>>
>>> Read the TRUTH about the deliberate Jew attack on the
>>> USS Liberty at this website, which is operated by survivors of
>>> the attack:
>>>
>>> www.ussliberty.org
>>>
>>
>> It was the Israelis that attacked the ship. Not the Jews. Many Jewish
>> people are not Israelis.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
> Tell that to the surviving family members of the American
> sailors deliberately killed by the Jews. Which bullets were
> Jew and which were Israeli?
>
>

By your approach, all the Jews in the world should be brought to account for that event rather than the country of Israel. It is your type of thinking that perpetuates discrimination. The fault was with the Israeli government and military not the Jewish people. There are Jewish people in many nations of the world, including the US, and there were not responsible for this attack. It would be just as silly and wrong to say that the Christians, rather than the United States, overthrew the Taliban in Afghanistan.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4488. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 22:08:17 UTC
From: Ron <ok@postnewsvmail.net>
Message-ID: <d3ummj$q1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.liberalism,soc.culture.usa,soc.retirement,alt.military.retired,alt.politics.religion,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.jewish

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:0_ednWVcOIDVSv_fRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>
> "anon" <anon@anontruthbetold.net> wrote in message
> news:d3uk6grec0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> Read the TRUTH about the deliberate Jew attack on the
>> USS Liberty at this website, which is operated by survivors of
>> the attack:
>>
>> www.ussliberty.org
>>
>
> It was the Israelis that attacked the ship. Not the Jews. Many Jewish
> people are not Israelis.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Swell! and a great topic for a college classroom debate. When the
jew bullets were raining down on innocent American sailors, they perhaps
should have taken your suggestion for action and identified which
bullets were jew or Israeli?

4489. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 22:12:45 UTC
From: Ron <ok@postnewsvmail.net>
Message-ID: <d3umuq$18g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106004

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:bOKdnTst8ZrnQf_fRVn-iA@comcast.com...
>
> "Len" <len@canlenskyr.org> wrote in message
> news:d3ulk6ubj0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:0_ednWVcOIDVSv_fRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>>>
>>> "anon" <anon@anontruthbetold.net> wrote in message
>>> news:d3uk6grec0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>
>>>> Read the TRUTH about the deliberate Jew attack on the
>>>> USS Liberty at this website, which is operated by survivors of
>>>> the attack:
>>>>
>>>> www.ussliberty.org
>>>>
>>>
>>> It was the Israelis that attacked the ship. Not the Jews. Many Jewish
>>> people are not Israelis.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>> Tell that to the surviving family members of the American
>> sailors deliberately killed by the Jews. Which bullets were
>> Jew and which were Israeli?
>>
>>
>
> By your approach, all the Jews in the world should be brought to account
> for that event rather than the country of Israel. It is your type of
> thinking that perpetuates discrimination. The fault was with the Israeli
> government and military not the Jewish people. There are Jewish people in
> many nations of the world, including the US, and there were not
> responsible for this attack. It would be just as silly and wrong to say
> that the Christians, rather than the United States, overthrew the Taliban
> in Afghanistan.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Like I said, go tell your theories to the surviving family members of the
innocent American sailors. Another clueless idiot shoots their

clueless mouth off.

4490. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 22:18:42 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <oridnYf-ar9Fff_fRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 106005

"Ron" <ok@postnewsvmail.net> wrote in message
news:d3umuq$18g$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:bOKdnTst8ZrnQf_fRVn-iA@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Len" <len@canlenskyr.org> wrote in message
>> news:d3ulk6ubj0@pita.alt.net...
>>>
>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>> news:0_ednWVcOIDVSv_fRVn-vQ@comcast.com...
>>>>
>>>> "anon" <anon@anontruthbetold.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:d3uk6grec0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>>
>>>>> Read the TRUTH about the deliberate Jew attack on the
>>>>> USS Liberty at this website, which is operated by survivors of
>>>>> the attack:
>>>>>
>>>>> www.ussliberty.org
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It was the Israelis that attacked the ship. Not the Jews. Many Jewish
>>>> people are not Israelis.
>>>>
>>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>>
>>> Tell that to the surviving family members of the American
>>> sailors deliberately killed by the Jews. Which bullets were
>>> Jew and which were Israeli?
>>>
>>>
>>
>> By your approach, all the Jews in the world should be brought to account
>> for that event rather than the country of Israel. It is your type of
>> thinking that perpetuates discrimination. The fault was with the Israeli
>> government and military not the Jewish people. There are Jewish people
>> in many nations of the world, including the US, and there were not
>> responsible for this attack. It would be just as silly and wrong to say
>> that the Christians, rather than the United States, overthrew the Taliban
>> in Afghanistan.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

>
>
> Like I said, go tell your theories to the surviving family members of the
> innocent American sailors. Another clueless idiot shoots their
> clueless mouth off.
>

No you are the clueless idiot. For if you insist on using the term Jew instead of Israeli, then you believe that the Jewish people here in the US are responsible. If you insist on using the term Jew instead of Israeli, you are saying that the Israelis who are Christians are innocent simply because they are Christians.

The attack was wrong. There is no doubt about that.

And are you saying that the USS Liberty had NO Jewish members of her crew? It's a large enough ship that the odds would indicate that some of the US crew probably was Jewish. Who knows, perhaps even some of the fatalities were Jewish Americans?

Are you saying that there are NO Christians or people of other religious/cultural beliefs in the Israeli population, the Israeli government, or Israeli military?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4491. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 22:19:46 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <QiB8e.63877$NC6.23987@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:lAz8e.11288$44.2433@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>> The biggest problem with most is "laziness". Maybe you never will use it
>> again. There are many things you learn in life and may never use again,
>> unless you plan to play on Jeopardy. Many people learned the skeletal
>> system in health class, microorganisms in Biology class. It doesn't mean
>> they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as they graduated.
>
> Guess that explains Creationism. They either forgot or just
> never did get biology class. And get upset when science
> contradicts a trivial off topic section of the Bible.
> But there is hope that some people will "get it" and
> be able to do something with it. Of course the school
> or FCC has to pick and choose what the kids should try
> to learn. Spending less time on European medieval kings and
> more on Vietnam would make sense, as modern governments are
> no longer kings sitting around in castles getting bored
> and deciding to have wars for the fun of it. Well, today kings
> are called "dictators" anyway. Now to bring this back to
> ham radio, is requiring code worth the time prospective
> hams would have to spend on it, or maybe more theory should
> be asked for today?
>
> I seriously doubt that the FCC would increase code speed for
> extras. The medical wavier issue would crop up again, and
> the FCC found that to be a PITA. Besides it would be hard
> for the FCC to tell old extras from newer extras as IIRC they
> didn't keep track of who was who as old extras came up for
> renewal.

I'm not so sure "more" theory is the answer either. Used to be, you HAD to know electronics when you went for the exams. NO ONE told you what was on the exams. Then some lazy bastard got some political pull and they started to dumb down the theory and put "ALL" possible questions and answers in a book - for someone to read and recall. That isn't teaching anyone - anything. Any idiot can learn that way, to the extent needed. It doesn't do anything to reinforce it in their heads as to what to do with it after. IF they make it more theory, then they'll just make the "idiot" books cover it, and again, you'll have a bunch of people who learned A, B, C or D, not the real meat and potatoes of Electronics. I've seen them come away and not know what a fuse does or some of simplest of schematic symbols they "should" know. Give me a break. Those books today teach them NOTHING. They're nothing

more than the sugar coating of it all. Just enough to get by and HOPE they plan to pursue it further on their own, which MOST - DO NOT. Again, due to LAZINESS.

You're right about the History though, not to lay so much on the past, but work on current affairs. Past is good, but often TOO much time is spent on it. That stuff is building blocks to some extent, history does have a propensity to repeat itself, so you can't "ignore" it as a whole, but spending say a week learning about King Arthur just doesn't get it. I recall our teacher trying to drill shit in our heads about Genghis Khan (sp?). I could give a shit less what he did. What I DID come to ignore and have a need for later in life, was that stuff covered in Health class. I ended up using it a few years out of school.
I wished then I had paid more attention to it. So, I had to "relearn" most of it. Some things DO have their uses.

As to code, actually, it isn't so bad to know - really. Think about it. You have sign language for deaf. IF you plan to talk to a person who is deaf, you better learn it real fast. If you plan to travel - you may need to learn some foreign language, even though most can speak English now. Code "can" have benefits. We had 9 miners trapped about a year ago. They communicated that there were nine, by 9 raps on the pole stuck in the ground. Had someone in the ground and above ground knew code, a more detailed description could have been issued. It could have helped. Before they got the elevator in to get them, they had no idea what "physical" shape the guys were in or any pending dangers under the ground. Maybe you won't use code again once learned, but at some point, it may save a life with the user's intervention. If you're in an auto accident, down in a gully, you have a radio. The mic is broken, so you can't talk. You could key the radio with a key or something and send a message. Hopefully someone knowing code would hear it and be able to let others know. There are many reasons people can give to "not" learn code, but there are just as many as to it's benefits. If it saves only one life, it is worth it.

cl

4492. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 22:20:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113776412.586522.318930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106006

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 6:02 am

> >Now where is Len's apology?
>
> Psycho Pstevie doesn't issue apologies.

Lennie...Why do you insist on lying so blatantly? This week alone
has seen me do it...And ask Hans. Or Cecil...

> The reason is simple: This "witness" (or "reference" or
> whatever) does NOT exist.

Been there...done that...same old dodge, Lennie...

Huge snip of Lennie trying to dodge behind even more irrelevent
story-telling and make believe:

> This "fitrep" report-writer is either a LIE or he
> might be some alien being from outer space.

Now we know where Lennie's been spending his time....The "SciFi
Channel". Will probably go off on some "X-Files" spin-off of his own.

> We don't know about the latter so the former must be
> a better bet. Psycho Pstevie told a LIE and just
> tried to cover it up...again and again and again.
> A clear and open role-model for today's Amateur
> Extra class amateur radio licensee?

Nope.

Just keeping the annoying little fact that not everybody that
worked with you liked you, as hard as I know you find that to be...

> :-)

You should try sincerity once in a while, Lennie...Like when you
tell people you're going to do something...do it.

And if you have skeletons in your closet, keep your mouth shut.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenThePutzAnderson@ieee.com

Steve, K4YZ

4493. Re: Roger lost another one...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 22:31:33 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <ac129406380590a6d1d0cf4dd449ced9@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 106008
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d3t4lu$s3r$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloydie" <NoSpam@noemail> wrote:
>
> Hey, Rog. How is that Earthlink service going for you?

Hey, shit for brains, Roger isn't on Earthlink to begin with.
You Lose.

> Oh, wait. You got booted from that one too, didn't you?

No, He wasn't on Earthlink... you lose again!

> You really ought to watch yourself. You are pretty much out of
alternate
> ISP's to go to, aren't you?

Nope, He still is on the same ones he's always been on.
Better get a refund on your Junior Dickless Tracy detective kit,
tubby.

> And don't bother telling us you never had an Earthlink
account. You are a
> congenital liar and nobody believes you.

It still doesn't change the fact he wasn't on Earthlink. You
fucked up.

> Recall, if you will, the Ovis
> account that Arf! Arf! was so instrumental in getting you
booted from.

Too bad he didn't, since Roger told Pam Hoffer to go fuck
herself. And told her to cancel his account.

> You have a severe learning disability, Roger. 49 years old and
still in pre
> pubescence.

Poor Lardass, his mentally illness is so unchecked. Here's a
newsflash, junior, the Internet is full of "prepubecent"
adolescents, like you.

> Dance, Roger. Dance.

Plagiarizing again, fatty?

4494. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 22:46:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113777976.249032.233360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106009

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > And, mister wizard, you REWROTE what Robeson wrote.
>
> Not me. You must be thinking of someone else.
>
> > "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."
>
> That's not what I wrote.

That IS what I wrote and what Brain has vainly attempted to use as
some messaging point out of context, but that's OK.

> > In NO way did Pstevie write what YOU say he wrote.
>
> What did I say he wrote?
>
> > In NO way did Pstevie's single sentence say what
> > you IMPLY it did. He is NOT "correct."
> >
>
> You're misquoting all over the place, Len. But it doesn't matter.
Here,
> I'll clear it up:
>
> MARS and amateur radio aren't the same thing. But many radio amateurs
> are involved in MARS.

As a matter of fact, most MARS operatives are licensed Amateur
Radio operators who are civilians. A few are members of the uniformed
Armed Forces of the United States who are also licensee, and
participate in MARS independent of their duties in the Armed Forces.
The remainder are either uniformed mmebers assigned to work in post
stations or are DoD civilians who run the program.

But the FACT remains that under current regulations and staffing
requirements, without Amateur Radio, there would be NO MARS programs.

Period.

> > >> MARS always was and remains a MILITARY radio system.
> > >
> > >But most of the participants aren't in the military.
> >
> > How do YOU know?

>
> I have sources, Len.

Like CQ....QST...The MARS programs themselves...

> > >Haw, that's funny. Len, you pretty much come unglued at the
> > >slightest
> > >opposition to your cherished statements, or when
> > >someone refuses to feed your insult machine.
> >
> > "Insult machine?"
>
> Yes, that's right. It's what you're all about here.

Now he has Todd and Brian as "Affiliates".

> > Jimmie Noserve wants the exclusive use of that "machine?"
>
> Do you feel insulted by my posts, Len? It seems so - you seem to find
> insult in everything.
>
> > Oh, yes, that ties right in with a Canadian museum
> > having morse code in its window...sure...
>
> Those windows really seem to bother you.
>
> > >> If you ain't got the guts to
> > >> tell the details, you AIN'T done it. Simple as that.
> > >
> > >Then you must think that Brian Burke, N0IMD-allegedly-
> > >/T5, "ain't done
> > >it", because he won't give any details about his amateur radio
> > >operation in Somalia.
> >
> > Then you must be as nuts as Psycho Pstevie. Tsk.
>
> Not me, Len. I'm not the one calling people names and telling them to
> shut up. You are.
>
> > Pstevie pervertedly pejorated hisself at least a
> > couple orders of magnitude with his alleged
> > "poor repfit" of NADC on my visit there 34 years
> > ago...and is still trying (vainly) to rationalize
> > his LIE as some kind of "truth."
>
> What has that to do with your claim that:
>
> "If you ain't got the guts to tell the details, you AIN'T done it.
> Simple as that."
>
> That's what you wrote, Len. Does it only apply to Steve and not to

> Brian.
>
> > I'm just showing what a damn LIAR he is.
>
> What lie?
>
> The claim he has made is that he found someone who knew you from when
> you were allegedly at NADC. And that someone says you didn't do such
a
> great job there.
>
> Now maybe it's true and maybe it isn't. But it's basically your word
> against that of some unknown person.

And me. Two to one so far.

> > But,
> > Pstevie is your BUDDIE and therefore can do NO
> > wrong.
>
> That's not true at all.

Jim and I have never met save for here and one QSO on the air.

Jim and I have shared many opinions and we have disagreed on many.

Publically. Without name calling.

> > He is PCTA extra Double Standard class
> > and can therefore say ANYTHING he wants in
> > your complete approval.
>
> Not true at all, Len, but you would rather claim so than to face the
> facts.

Google archives prove otherwise, Lennie.

> > >Orwell did a good job describing the subjective
> > >reality mindset in his
> > >classic "1984". You remind me of "Big Brother", Len,
> > >in the way you
> > >want to rewrite history to fit your mindset.
> >
> > Pizz off, sweetie.
>
> What's the matter, Len? Are you insulted? I'm simply
> telling you how you appear in this newsgroup.

That was "Absolute Lennie".

And the analogy wa absolutely accurate.

> > If a person TALKS
> > about something, that isn't "proof" that they've

> > DONE it. :-)
>
> Which applies to *you*, Len...

But...but...but...LENNIE!

This very week you've stated that if anyone had done something,
then they SHOULD brag about it.

Certainly YOU do a LOT of talking in this forum and precious little
corroboration of what you say. Some dubiously original by-lines in a
magazine that went belly-up while you were an "associate editor".

> > Psycho Pstevie still hasn't come up with a SINGLE
> > detail of "proof" on his insult of my "fitrep" at
> > NADC.
>
> Nor have you proved him to be mistaken.
> >
> > >And if K4YZ really did participate in seven hostile actions,
> > >then it happened regardless of whether details are given or
> > >not.
> >
> > HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT IS TRUTH?
>
> It's basic logic, Len. If K4YZ really did participate in seven
hostile
> actions, then it happened regardless of whether details are given or
> not. That's objective reality.

Let him wallow in his doubts, Jim. Nobody could have done
anything before or better than he, ergo none of the things I did in the
Armed Forces could have happened! (chucklechucklesnortsnort...)

73

Steve, K4YZ

4495. Re: Roger lost another one...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 23:34:04 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <18b51242dddeff0b34082a0d2d760272@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106011
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <1113736879.350883.71070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jch_kimb...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> The very funny part <backpedals and lies from Lardass>

Is that Roger wasn't on Earthlink to begin with. You fucked up,
Time LARD.

4496. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 23:37:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113781048.913402.83270@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106012

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > So, is lie #16 the conversation with a former colleague
> of
> > > > Len's?
> > > > > > Or
> > > > > > > > is lie #16 Steve's tenure on RRAP? Or is it actually
> lies
> > > > #16
> > > > > > and
> > > > > > > > #17?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Neither, but nice try.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, you lied. The only question is which time?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The conversation with a former colleague of Len's?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The length of tenure on RRAP?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Or both.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Fess up.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Well well...Looks like I made an error in math. My
> bust.
> > > >
> > > > Well, well...it took you Quitesometime to fess up.
> > > >
> > > > Now where is Len's apology?
> > >
> > > Right behind HIS apology to this NG for years of lying,
> accusing,
> > > > deceiving and antagonism, Brian...Right behind!
> > >
> > > Just hold your breath and wait!
> > >

> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Well, well. So much for your "strength of conviction."
>
> Lennie is YEARS BEHIND coming clean on his errors, lies and
> deceit, Brian... Y E A R S ! ! ! !

The old, "two wrongs makes a right" defense.

> > You won't do what you know to be right because someone else isn't
> doing
> > what you know to be right.
>
> I AM, repeat AM doing "what's right".

Repeating something doesn't make it any more true or false. But in this case, it makes lies #19 and #20.

> You and Leonard are lairs, Brian. You don't tell the truth. YOU
> make glaring errors, and then when I make a simple one, all of a
sudden
> you think your slates are wiped clean.

Lessee? 30 hours before Len makes an appearance in one of your demented threads, you're chiding him for disapproving of what you said. Then you have a conversation with a claimed colleague of Len's years and years before you ever heard of Len. Both cases were smear campaigns against Len, and you say you make simple errors???

> Sorry...Doesn't work that way.

That's right Steve, it doesn't work that way. What you said was an outright lie.

> I already count two acknowledgements of errors I have made this
> week.

Errors? Simple mistakes? Nobody thinks that, not even you.

> I haven't seen a single one from you acknowledging your ARES
> errors alone...

There's a profound reason that you haven't seen such an acknowledgement from me...

I made no error. You fudged the entire exercise (lied) so that you could "prove" me wrong, but nobody's buying it. You chalked up quite a few lies in that little fiasco. Quit before you reach the point of no return.

> > I'm just glad the world isn't full of
> > people like you. Actually, I thank my lucky stars every night that
> the
> > world isn't full of people like you.

>
> As well you should.

And I do.

> It's got to be embarrassing getting your nose
> rubbd in all the errors YOU make by just little ole me...You'd not
> withstand more than one.

#21.

> Here's your sign, Brian >>LOSER<<

#22.

> Steve, K4YZ

Hey, you finally told a truth. See? You are capable of it.

4497. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 23:43:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113781416.168126.42720@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106013

K4YZ wrote:
>
> And if you have skeletons in your closet, keep your mouth shut.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Must be why Robeson clammed up about the seven hostile actions.

4498. Re: MI QSO Party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-17 23:54:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113782049.869863.76730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106014

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:buGdnSFUGZRQ4PzfRVn-vw@comcast.com...
> >I and the OM are out there off and on for the MI QP. We are
operating
> >under my call sign, N8UZE. Right now we are taking a supper break
though.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> I ain't heard you yet. I'm on CW mostly. Hunt and pounce AND
CQing.
> Where you at?????????
>
> Are you planning on being on tomorrow (Sunday) ? I doubt I'll hang
much
> past another hour or so tonight.
>
> Good activity on both 80 and 40 CW.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Sounds like you're trying to set up a schedule to me.

4499. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 00:06:22 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113782782.510191.217270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106015

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from
> Len
> > > and
> > > > > > Brian
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning
project's
> > > > demise
> > > > > > are
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>greatly exxagerated......
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do.
> Some
> > > > will
> > > > > > have
> > > > > > > to wait for retirement.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's the spirit, Brian!
> > > > >
> > > > > Notice, Mike, that your comments about "taking lumps"
were
> > > > SPECIFICALLY directed at the OTHER Brian, yet Brian Burke
> jumped
> > in
> > > > to
> > > > > say "no lumps"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Maybe if he weren't always so prompt to be the
antagonizer
> > he
> > > > would have realized that you WEREN'T talking about HIM,

> > > Mike...?!?!!
> > > > >
> > > > > 73
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Steve, you sure are one twisted up little man. How in the
world
> > can
> > > > not giving someone lumps be classified as being "the
> antagonizer?"
> > > >
> > > > Nurse, heal thyself.
> > >
> > > I asked how not giving someone lumps can make them the
antagonizer.
> > >
> > > Apparently K4YZ doesn't know.
> > >
> > > Can any of the K4YZ apologists help him with this?
> >
> > Hello? Hello? Anybody in there?
> >
> > What happened to all of the K4YZ apologists? Jim? Anybody?
> >
> > More and more it looks like Steve stands alone. Wonder why that
> > happened?
>
> I am reading and re-reading that and wondering from what lonely
> corner of the world you must be, Brian.
>
> You won't sign your own name to your posts because you don't
have
> the guts to do so.
>
> YOUR mistruths and refusals to acknowledge your glaring errors
are
> legend and archived.
>
> You insist OTHERS "apologize" for errors and alleged deceit, but
> your own DOCUMENTED errors and deceit go unanswered.
>
> There are no "apologists", here, Brain, except for you and
Lennie,
> who insist that the whole world is "down" on you for your
> "opinions"...No kidding...You're both deceitful. What did you
> expect...???
>
> No one needs to "apologize" on my behalf, Brian.
>

> But thanks anyway.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, lest you forgot, the question was, "I asked how NOT giving someone lumps can make them the antagonizer?"

As you are so fond of saying, "Answer the man's question."

4500. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 00:07:59 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.if401b1.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 106021

On Sun, 17 Apr 2005 14:07:11 -0700, sam bicke wrote:

>> GET YOUR FACTS STRAIGHT BEFORE YOU SHOOT YOUR
>> IGNORANT UNINFORMED MOUTH OFF NEXT TIME!
>
>I worked for the NSA at Fort Meade. He's a liar.

And I worked for the Israeli government in the COMMINT field before,
during, and after the Six Day War, and do know what happened.

More NSA cover-up. 'Nuff of this crap. I've broken the links.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4501. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 00:08:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113782892.941775.261850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106016

Jamie wrote:
> > Can any of the K4YZ apologists help him with this?
>
> Hello? Hello? Anybody in there?
>
> What happened to all of the K4YZ apologists? Jim? Anybody?
>
> More and more it looks like Steve stands alone. Wonder why that
> happened?
> ....
>
> Steve needs no apologists.

Steve needs lots of things, but the only apologist he requires is
himself. Best of Luck.

4502. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 00:09:40 UTC
From: twf <twf@twftruthrptorg.net>
Message-ID: <d3utpv$h0d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106017

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.if3oso0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Sun, 17 Apr 2005 03:20:15 GMT, Mel A. Nomah wrote:
>
>>Shameful is in the eye of the beholder. Captain Bucher was not punished
>>for
>>surrendering, just as the US didn't punish the Jews who attacked his
>>sister-ship, USS Liberty on a similar mission. Probably because then the
>>Navy would have needed to punish those who sent those ships in harms way
>>without proper means to defend themselves
>
> As well as the NSA/USN brass who, when queried by the Israelis,
> adamantly denied that the Liberty was a U S Naval vessel even after
> being told that the vessel wiil be blown out of the water if it
> wasn't a US Naval vessel. The Israelis had every reason to believe
> that the Egyptians or their mentors, the Soviets, would fly the US
> flag to avoid destruction if they could get away with it.
>
> And the NSA kept denying...
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP / 4X4UQ - Phil Kane
>

"The evidence was clear, both Admiral Kidd and I believed with certainty
that this attack on the USS Liberty was a deliberate effort to sink an
American ship and murder its entire crew. It was our shared belief that the
attack could not possibly have been an accident. I am certain that the
Israeli pilots and their superiors were well aware that the ship was
American."

= Captain Ward Boston, JAGC, US Navy (retired), senior legal
cousel to the US Navy Court of Inquiry.

www.ussliberty.org

4503. Re: k4yz makes threats on n9ogl's blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 00:12:56 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113783176.791124.172370@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106018

KC8GXW wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Mr. Obvious wrote:
> >
> >>Try this one on for size; I seem to recall she's open for match
> >>bookings:
> >>
> >>http://www.rotten.com/library/bio/sports/chyna/chyna1.jpg
> >
> >
> > Suzie Lawless?
>
> That's China or Chyna, she's on WWF allot, and yes wrestling is real!

Thank goodness no one pasted Steve's face on that one.

4504. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 00:19:30 UTC
From: mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Message-ID: <d3uuei$c5h$1@puck.litech.org>
Source record: 106019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <MCx8e.11254$44.6767@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net> (rec.radio.amateur.misc), Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> You know what THE MAIN reason was that I learned it? Because I was curious
> as to what all those beeps and bops were saying that I was hearing on my
> Zenith.

> And it has been a blast ever since.

> Look at it this way......how many people do you know that can talk with
> their fingers?

A few hundred, myself: consider the people who use American Sign Language, for one set, plus all the folks who fingerspell, those who use Signed English, and so on. Not all of 'em are deaf, either: I promised my wife I would learn ASL if she passed Element 1. I'm getting a head start in my promise, because she's doing very well -- and she's working full-time and just a Master's in Education.

Sleep? What's that?

--
1 Bryant (B) = 4577 books; 1 Ha'bryant = 2289 books
1 Sitter (or Room) = 1104 books; 1 Dinky = 161 books
1 Wallshelf = 23 books; 1 Bedside = 17 books
-- Robert Uhl, in asr

4505. Re: No Wonder The Boys Are Annoyed in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 00:21:11 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <BIHA05HY38459.8480439815@anonymous>
Source record: 106026

In article <d3kcg1avu0@pita.alt.net>
following up to himself, "I Am Not Roger" <NoSpam@Glendale>
wrote:

> Now, wait a minute. Roger once said He was "working on" his
Master's and
> said he was only a few credit hours from obtaining it. Knowing
that Roger is
> a congenital fibber, these "few credit hours" to which he
alludes may be as
> few as 12 or, knowing Roger's intellect as we do, as many as
those numbered
> in years.

Yet, you couldn't even get a high school diploma, huh, G.E.D.
boy.

> Only Roger knows for sure and he fibs about everything. (Roger
> uses AOL also)

Wait, you said he uses Earthlink, tubby! Looks like you fucked
up, as usual.

> Unlike Roger, Steve can construct any number of cohesive
sentences without a
> single use of profanity. For Roger this is an insurmountable
task.

Sort of like how you digitally fornicate underage girls, eh?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

4506. re: K0HB of the Northpole...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 00:22:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113783730.769734.49570@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106020

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > And the antagonist is the one who's here without a reason TO
> be
> > > here.
> >
> > It would appear that everyone has a reason to be here. Even you.
> You
> > enjoy antagonizing others. You are an antagonist.
>
> I do not "antagonize" anyone.

Lie #23.

> I DO hold you and Lennie, and lately Todd, up to your own
> deceitful, mistruthful and erroneous assertions...That would NOT be
> possible if what you were saying was true.

My opinions stand on "thier" own. Like my opinion of amateur volunteers solving all communications emergencies. You can lie, twist-up, and try to change the rules of the game, but you failed miserably to show my opinion to be wrong.

> This week alone I've posted at least four other items that
> patently undermined your allegations of the "overblown" ARES and how
> they will never "respond" in a crisis.

"Never respond?" Who said that??? Post it or accept Lie #24.

> If you find that "antagonizing", Brian, I am sorry, but hey, it
> was YOUR false assertion and running off at the mouth that brought
> things to this stage.

Indeed you follow your lies with antagonism. You even precede your lies with antagonism.

> Don't like it? STOP RUNNING OFF AT THE MOUTH WITH EASILY
> DISPROVEN ASSERTIONS, BRIAN! ! ! ! ! ! !

Bricks and slashed tires?

You got fired as schedule writer for the exercise because you left the first six hours of the exercise without support. Then you undersupported the entire remainder of the exercise. Hopefully you didn't learn that trick in the USMC.

4507. Re: Roger lost another one...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 01:38:35 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <77360f42475218889f7c372e92f271ef@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 106022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <1113736879.350883.71070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"Who's Your Daddy, BITCH?" <jch_kimb...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> The very funny part<backpedals and llies from Lardass>

Is that Roger wasn't on Earthlink to begin with. You fucked up,
Time LARD.

4508. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 01:39:24 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113788364.745073.206050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1113743129.236382.299700@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> >> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
> >> news:V5b8e.1509$Bc7.4@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> >>
> >> : http://www.hamwave.com/cgi-bin/index.cgi?action=viewnews&id=689
> >>
> >> That link supposes that the fcc will delete the Morse requirement.
> >>
> >> Other insiders suggest fcc will NPRM will delete Morse only for
> > General
> >> license, and will INCREASE the test to 20WPM for renewed Extra
class,
> >> downgrading all current "Extra Lite" licenses to resurrected
Advanced
> >> license (the second time this license has risen from the ashes).
> > ARRL
> >> giveaway program will be denied.
> >>
> > All it really says is that the FCC is working on an NPRM that may
be
> > out as soon as next month or as late as July. That NPRM will
obviously
> > contain what FCC wants to do as a result of WRC 2003 and the 18
> > restructuring petitions.
> >
> > Once the NPRM becomes public, there will be a comment period, then
a
> > reply comment period. Couple of months at least, maybe longer.
Probably
> > the end of 2005 before comments close.
> >
> > Then FCC will decide what to do and formulate a Report and Order.
Last
> > time they did this it took almost a year. Which translates to fall
> > 2006. Then a couple months before the new rules become effective -
> > maybe end of 2006.
> >
> > Of course it could take even longer, or maybe a bit less. But I
> > wouldn't expect any changes before summer 2006 - and wouldn't be

> > surprised if it were summer 2007.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Those who defy wanting to learn the code jump at any chance to
perpetuate
> rumors of code being eliminated.

Similarly, those who promote Morse Code will latch on to any idea, no
matter how wrong, to claim the Code Exam remains valid.

You're right, it will take a while, even if
> they were to decide to write a NPRM to do such. If these people
jumped into
> Alligator infested waters as fast as they do rumors, the population
would
> take a sudden drop. I'm not a "lover" of code, but I have hold a
license
> which required code.

Ditto.

> 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a few
> minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
test. 2
> weeks is not long,

It may be impossible for some. I learned it over a considerably longer
period of time with frequent practice.

> you probably drove longer on a permit before being
> allowed to drive on your own. Probably studied the book longer too!
It takes
> little effort.

I disagree. It took a great effort.

> The biggest problem with most is "laziness".

Was that your problem? If you hadn't been so lazy you could have
learned the code in under a week?

Maybe you never
> will use it again.

Perhaps. I've found little use for it so far. Maybe once I'm an old
fart, have loads of time, and wax nostalgic for things that never were,
I'll take it up and enjoy it, and demand that all learn it.

> There are many things you learn in life and may never use
> again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy. Many people learned the
skeletal
> system in health class, microorganisms in Biology class. It doesn't

mean
> they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as they graduated. But,
it was
> "required". It's not a big deal people. Once you get past the "do I
have to"
> and start doing it, you'll amaze yourself at how fast and easy it can
be.

Indeed. I never had the "do I have to?" attitude as there was no
code-free license when I became a ham. Yet it took me about 9 weeks of
daily practice.

I
> DO use code now and then, but not daily like many others do. Everyone
has
> their own thing. Some are into Packet, RTTY, AMTOR, etc, I'm not...
To each
> his own. But we all had to learn "something" about those modes to
pass an
> exam.
>
> cl

Use it all you want. I'm against the Code Exam as an unnecessary
government requirement.

4509. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 01:42:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113788579.044338.318610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106024

Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1113748725.209987.127770@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > bb wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> > > > bb wrote:
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >> > > >
> >> > > >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from
Len
> >> and
> >> > > > > Brian
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >>K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning
project's
> >> > demise
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > are
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >>greatly exxagerated......
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do.
Some
> >> > will
> >> > > > have
> >> > > > > > to wait for retirement.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > That's the spirit, Brian!
> >> > > >
> >> > > Notice, Mike, that your comments about "taking lumps"
were
> >> > > SPECIFICALLY directed at the OTHER Brian, yet Brian Burke
jumped
> > in
> >> > to
> >> > > > say "no lumps"...?!?!
> >> > > >
> >> > > Maybe if he weren't always so prompt to be the
antagonizer

> > he
> >> > > would have realized that you WEREN'T talking about HIM,
> >> Mike...?!?!!
> >> > >
> >> > > 73
> >> > >
> >> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> > Steve, you sure are one twisted up little man. How in the world
> > can
> >> > not giving someone lumps be classified as being "the
antagonizer?"
> >> >
> >> > Nurse, heal thyself.
> >>
> >> I asked how not giving someone lumps can make them the
antagonizer.
> >>
> >> Apparently K4YZ doesn't know.
> >>
> >> Can any of the K4YZ apologists help him with this?
> >
> > Hello? Hello? Anybody in there?
> >
> > What happened to all of the K4YZ apologists? Jim? Anybody?
> >
> > More and more it looks like Steve stands alone. Wonder why that
> > happened?
> >
>
> He hardly stands alone. However there is no need for others to
repeat what
> he has already said or to throw in a multitude of posts that simply
say "I
> agree."
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Wunnerful. Dee goes on record that a person who DOESN'T give someone else "lumps" is an antagonist.

Thanks Dee, always knew you were a free thinker.

4510. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 01:45:57 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113788757.495008.187260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106025

Jamie wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:TpydndflOZWEPP_fRVn-og@comcast.com...
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1113748725.209987.127770@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > bb wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> > > > bb wrote:
> >> > > >
> >> > > > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from
Len
> >> and
> >> > > > > > Brian
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > >>K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning
project's
> >> > demise
> >> > > > > > are
> >> > > > > > >greatly exxagerated......
> >> > > > > > No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do.
Some
> >> > will
> >> > > > have
> >> > > > > > to wait for retirement.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > That's the spirit, Brian!
> >> > > >
> >> > > Notice, Mike, that your comments about "taking lumps"
were
> >> > > SPECIFICALLY directed at the OTHER Brian, yet Brian Burke
jumped
> > in
> >> > to
> >> > > say "no lumps"...?!?!

> >> > >
> >> > > Maybe if he weren't always so prompt to be the
antagonizer
> > he
> >> > > would have realized that you WEREN'T talking about HIM,
> >> Mike...?!?!!
> >> > >
> >> > > 73
> >> > >
> >> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> > Steve, you sure are one twisted up little man. How in the world
> > can
> >> > not giving someone lumps be classified as being "the
antagonizer?"
> >> >
> >> > Nurse, heal thyself.
> >>
> >> I asked how not giving someone lumps can make them the
antagonizer.
> >>
> >> Apparently K4YZ doesn't know.
> >>
> >> Can any of the K4YZ apologists help him with this?
> >
> > Hello? Hello? Anybody in there?
> >
> > What happened to all of the K4YZ apologists? Jim? Anybody?
> >
> > More and more it looks like Steve stands alone. Wonder why that
> > happened?
> >
>
> He hardly stands alone. However there is no need for others to
repeat what
> he has already said or to throw in a multitude of posts that simply
say "I
> agree."
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> ....
>
> I agree...

Another, "me, too." I never realized that NOT giving someone grief made them an antagonist. I think Orwell wrote on this very subject.

4511. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 02:00:05 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <8ZydnQhpGLNvrv7fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cl wrote:

>
> For some - it may! One argument I've heard, is that those musically inclined
> pick it up quicker than others, yet I knew some who "were" musically
> inclined and claimed to have a hell of a time with it. Reason? I don't know.
> I can't get inside their head.

I used to teach Novice classes, and I always assumed that anyone could
learn the code if they really wanted to. I found that some people had
difficulty telling the difference between a dit from a dah unless it was
sent very slowy and the dah made a lot longer than the dit, but when
sending a character that contained several dits or dahs or combinations,
they simply could not tell one from the other. It wasn't that they
lacked the skill to learn the code, I could right out characters in dits
and dahs on the board and they could recoginize them, it was an
interpertation problem with the brain of telling the sound of a dit from
the sound of a dah. People with hearing aids often had a difficult time.

4512. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 02:26:41 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <BVE8e.8223$An2.3213@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106027

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:TpydndflOZWEPP_fRVn-og@comcast.com...

: However there is no need for others to repeat what
: he has already said or to throw in a multitude of posts
: that simply say "I agree."
:
: Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Hi Dee,

I agree.

73,
M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4513. Re: Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 02:38:13 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.62.0504180234500.135@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 106030

On Sat, 16 Apr 2005, K4YZ wrote:
>
> You keep claiming you're this "connected" ex-IRS agent, yet you're
> in here boo-hooing about the whole thing, also claiming you're going to
> "file charges".

1) I never claimed that I was "connected" to anything or anyone.

> In almost 3 years now, nothing's happened.

2) Impossible. I only raised the question about ARN's soliciatation policy last fall (2004). That's 6 months, not 3 years.

> I say you're a whining little putz with a chip on his shoulder.

3) Doesn't make it true. Only proves that you're the liar everyone else already knows that you are.

The rest of your drivel shall not even be dignified with a response.

4514. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 02:38:14 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <FbWdnd24Pe0NgP7fRVn-sg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106029

Mel A. Nomah wrote:

> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:TpydndflOZWEPP_fRVn-og@comcast.com...
>
> : However there is no need for others to repeat what
> : he has already said or to throw in a multitude of posts
> : that simply say "I agree."
> :
> : Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
> Hi Dee,
>
> I agree.
>
> 73,
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire
>

I agree with Mel A. Nomah

4515. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 02:38:42 UTC
From: twf <twf@twftruthrptorg.net>
Message-ID: <d3v6he$57m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106028
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.liberalism,soc.culture.usa,soc.retirement,alt.military.retired,alt.politics.religion,soc.jewish.culture

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.if401b1.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Sun, 17 Apr 2005 14:07:11 -0700, sam bicke wrote:
>
>>> GET YOUR FACTS STRAIGHT BEFORE YOU SHOOT YOUR
>>> IGNORANT UNINFORMED MOUTH OFF NEXT TIME!
>>
>>I worked for the NSA at Fort Meade. He's a liar.
>
> And I worked for the Israeli government in the COMMINT field before,
> during, and after the Six Day War, and do know what happened.
>
> More NSA cover-up. 'Nuff of this crap. I've broken the links.
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

And you are still a liar, by your own statements. You are parroting
Jew hearsay. You were not there. The eyewitnesses on board
the USS Liberty were there, being attacked. Israeli high-command
ordered the attack, full well knowing they were attacking an
American ship in International waters. Liberty intercept operators
recorded Israel high command orders to attack the *American*
ship. U.S. submarines in the area filmed the entire deliberate attack.
You are *still* a liar and you *still* don't have a clue as to what you are
talking about.

www.ussliberty.org read it fool, you might learn the truth!
Then read Jim Ennes's Book. Ennes *does* know what he is
talking about, unlike you, because Ennes is a USS Liberty
survivor.

And how about some FACTS, instead of your lame retort
"I was there."

Will you go toe to toe here with Liberty survivors, if I can get one
or more to come on board here?

4516. Re: K1MAN KING OF ALL DOUCHEBAGS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 02:45:24 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.62.0504180239520.135@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 106031

On Sat, 16 Apr 2005, Baxter Blows Monkeys wrote:
> First of all, if your name is Lloyd, Todd, Roger, or Glenn, or any of
> their other nyms, don't bother replying to this thread, because you are
> an asshole and you bring nothing to the party. Second, if you want to
> post about heaven, pleae go to rec.religious.bullshit and post there
> because the rest of us don't give a fuck about your spiritual opinions.
> ...

I am going to have to contest your declaration - only because there's another candidate who is clearly in the running: K4YZ, Steve Robeson.

You need to prove that K1MAN is the "King" by election. :-)

> Now, let's talk about Amateur policy....

Oh-my-god! Someone who actually wants a conversation on topic instead of someone's personal attack on someone else. Obviously, this isn't the place to have such a discussion (and for that reason, I shall also be dropping this group very shortly).

4517. Re: Morse gone by summer???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 02:53:00 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <liF8e.2578$ZQ1.2081@fe11.lga>
Source record: 106032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Barry OGrady" <not@this.add> wrote in message
news:nmr561h43fms0dchkdf0tjgdnc1qabt1qo@4ax.com...
> Morse gone by the beginning of last year.
>
> Barry

Sad that many folks will likely never give themselves the opportunity to bag some of that rare DX that seems to only show up on the bottom of the bands.

Just bagged HZ1EX on 7013 kHz. 99.999% CW op and luvin' it.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

4518. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 02:57:12 UTC
From: Ernie <nospam@radioerniesorg.net>
Message-ID: <d3v7k2$7da$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106033
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.liberalism,soc.culture.usa,soc.retirement,alt.military.retired,alt.politics.religion,soc.jewish.culture

"twf" <twf@twftruthrptorg.net> wrote in message
news:d3v6he$57m$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.if401b1.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>> On Sun, 17 Apr 2005 14:07:11 -0700, sam bicke wrote:
>>
>>>> GET YOUR FACTS STRAIGHT BEFORE YOU SHOOT YOUR
>>>> IGNORANT UNINFORMED MOUTH OFF NEXT TIME!
>>>
>>>I worked for the NSA at Fort Meade. He's a liar.
>>
>> And I worked for the Israeli government in the COMMINT field before,
>> during, and after the Six Day War, and do know what happened.
>>
>> More NSA cover-up. 'Nuff of this crap. I've broken the links.
>>
>> --
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>
>
>
> And you are still a liar, by your own statements. You are parroting
> Jew hearsay. You were not there. The eyewitnesses on board
> the USS Liberty were there, being attacked. Israeli high-command
> ordered the attack, full well knowing they were attacking an
> American ship in International waters. Liberty intercept operators
> recorded Israel high command orders to attack the *American*
> ship. U.S. submarines in the area filmed the entire deliberate attack.
> You are *still* a liar and you *still* don't have a clue as to what you
> are
> talking about.
>
> www.ussliberty.org read it fool, you might learn the truth!
> Then read Jim Ennes's Book. Ennes *does* know what he is
> talking about, unlike you, because Ennes is a USS Liberty
> survivor.
>
> And how about some FACTS, instead of your lame retort
> "I was there."
>
> Will you go toe to toe here with Liberty survivors, if I can get one

> or more to come on board here?
>

Oh my! Isralei government "COMMINT" (sic)?
I bet Jim Ennes would love to interview this guy. Incidentally, for anyone who does not already know, Jim Ennes is the author of
"Attack On The Liberty." He is also a Liberty survivor, who is still quite active in USS Liberty affairs.

www.ussliberty.org

4519. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 03:07:16 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <jMSdnf3J2rj5uf7fRVn-hA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cl wrote:
> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:lAz8e.11288$44.2433@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>> The biggest problem with most is "laziness". Maybe you never will use it
>>>again. There are many things you learn in life and may never use again,
>>>unless you plan to play on Jeopardy. Many people learned the skeletal
>>>system in health class, microorganisms in Biology class. It doesn't mean
>>>they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as they graduated.
>>
>>Guess that explains Creationism. They either forgot or just
>>never did get biology class. And get upset when science
>>contradicts a trivial off topic section of the Bible.
>>But there is hope that some people will "get it" and
>>be able to do something with it. Of course the school
>>or FCC has to pick and choose what the kids should try
>>to learn. Spending less time on European medieval kings and
>>more on Vietnam would make sense, as modern governments are
>>no longer kings sitting around in castles getting bored
>>and deciding to have wars for the fun of it. Well, today kings
>>are called "dictators" anyway. Now to bring this back to
>>ham radio, is requiring code worth the time prospective
>>hams would have to spend on it, or maybe more theory should
>>be asked for today?
>>
>>I seriously doubt that the FCC would increase code speed for
>>extras. The medical wavier issue would crop up again, and
>>the FCC found that to be a PITA. Besides it would be hard
>>for the FCC to tell old extras from newer extras as IIRC they
>>didn't keep track of who was who as old extras came up for
>>renewal.
>
>
> I'm not so sure "more" theory is the answer either. Used to be, you HAD to
> know electronics when you went for the exams. NO ONE told you what was on
> the exams. Then some lazy bastard got some political pull and they started
> to dumb down the theory and put "ALL" possible questions and answers in a
> book - for someone to read and recall.

Almost all standardized testing is done that way these days. Actually I
don't know of any that isn't

> That isn't teaching anyone -
> anything. Any idiot can learn that way, to the extent needed. It doesn't do

> anything to reinforce it in their heads as to what to do with it after. IF
> they make it more theory, then they'll just make the "idiot" books cover it,
> and again, you'll have a bunch of people who learned A, B, C or D, not the
> real meat and potatoes of Electronics.

I have never been able to see the difference between reading a book
that contains the answers to questions, and reading a question pool.
Both are entered into my memory the same way. Did you know the answers
are often scrambled, that is that the letter answer on the test is not
the letter answer in the pool?

> I've seen them come away and not know
> what a fuse does or some of simplest of schematic symbols they "should"
> know.

I've been in the field for a long time, and there are some things that
slip me once in a while. Do you help these folks when they make a newbie
mistake?

> Give me a break. Those books today teach them NOTHING. They're nothing
> more than the sugar coating of it all. Just enough to get by and HOPE they
> plan to pursue it further on their own, which MOST - DO NOT. Again, due to
> LAZINESS.

Wow! I've got a copy of the "Now You're Talking" book. A person would
have to work pretty hard do learn nothing from that.

> You're right about the History though, not to lay so much on the past, but
> work on current affairs. Past is good, but often TOO much time is spent on
> it. That stuff is building blocks to some extent, history does have a
> propensity to repeat itself, so you can't "ignore" it as a whole, but
> spending say a week learning about King Arthur just doesn't get it. I recall
> our teacher trying to drill shit in our heads about Genghis Khan (sp?). I
> could give a shit less what he did. What I DID come to ignore and have a
> need for later in life, was that stuff covered in Health class. I ended up
> using it a few years out of school.
> I wished then I had paid more attention to it. So, I had to "relearn" most
> of it. Some things DO have their uses.
>
> As to code, actually, it isn't so bad to know - really.

Morse code is VERY good to know. Good enough that it should continue to
be a part of the test.

> Think about it. You
> have sign language for deaf. IF you plan to talk to a person who is deaf,
> you better learn it real fast. If you plan to travel - you may need to learn
> some foreign language, even though most can speak English now. Code "can"
> have benefits. We had 9 miners trapped about a year ago. They communicated
> that there were nine, by 9 raps on the pole stuck in the ground. Had someone
> in the ground and above ground knew code, a more detailed description could
> have been issued. It could have helped. Before they got the elevator in to
> get them, they had no idea what "physical" shape the guys were in or any

> pending dangers under the ground. Maybe you won't use code again once
> learned, but at some point, it may save a life with the user's intervention.
> If you're in an auto accident, down in a gully, you have a radio. The mic is
> broken, so you can't talk. You could key the radio with a key or something
> and send a message. Hopefully someone knowing code would hear it and be able
> to let others know. There are many reasons people can give to "not" learn
> code, but there are just as many as to it's benefits. If it saves only one
> life, it is worth it.

Yup, one of so many reasons that Morse code is a good thing. Hams are
all about communication, and communications in all manner of situations.
I love the latest technology, but that technology is sometimes fragile.
Sometimes life and death, health and welfare might just come down to two
skilled operators who can make an old communications method on primitive
equipment sing its simple yet powerful song.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4520. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 03:15:13 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cl wrote:

> which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a few
> minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a test.

Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to get
to 5 wpm.

I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.

I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a
lot of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4521. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 03:33:03 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <AUF8e.63884$NC6.29488@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113788364.745073.206050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> cl wrote:

A whole bunch snipped.

>> Those who defy wanting to learn the code jump at any chance to
> perpetuate
>> rumors of code being eliminated.
>
> Similarly, those who promote Morse Code will latch on to any idea, no
> matter how wrong, to claim the Code Exam remains valid.
>

Leave it in, take it out, the riff raff is already invading the bands.

> You're right, it will take a while, even if
>> they were to decide to write a NPRM to do such. If these people
> jumped into
>> Alligator infested waters as fast as they do rumors, the population
> would
>> take a sudden drop. I'm not a "lover" of code, but I have hold a
> license
>> which required code.
>
> Ditto.
>
>> 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a few
>> minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
> test. 2
>> weeks is not long,
>
> It may be impossible for some. I learned it over a considerably longer
> period of time with frequent practice.
>
>> you probably drove longer on a permit before being
>> allowed to drive on your own. Probably studied the book longer too!
> It takes
>> little effort.
>
> I disagree. It took a great effort.
>

For some - it may! One argument I've heard, is that those musically inclined pick it up quicker than others, yet I knew some who "were" musically inclined and claimed to have a hell of a time with it. Reason? I don't know. I can't get inside their head.

>> The biggest problem with most is "laziness".
>
> Was that your problem? If you hadn't been so lazy you could have
> learned the code in under a week?
>

Eh - I had the code down in 2 weeks for the Novice exam. AND I'm now an Extra. Been licensed since the early 80s.
Yeah, I probably could have learned it in under a week, if I pushed myself. Most anyone will tell you - it isn't good to do such. Besides, at that time, I was chasing rug rats - so study time was premium. Most recommendations are 15 minutes to a half hour a day. That hardly makes it possible in a week. I used the words " "AT LEAST" 2 WEEKS". Some are faster learners than others, that is a given. BUT my point was, you have to get started to learn ANYTHING. You can't absorb it through osmosis. Back to the timing thing, I hope someone from the military can step in to tell us how much time they were given to get the code down. I think they had to "Cram".

> Maybe you never
>> will use it again.
>
> Perhaps. I've found little use for it so far. Maybe once I'm an old
> fart, have loads of time, and wax nostalgic for things that never were,
> I'll take it up and enjoy it, and demand that all learn it.
>

Probably the same age bracket as me. I do listen to call signs now and then on the scanner to pick out the services they represent - if I don't immediately know who the service is. I do listen some times to code on the H.F. Bands.

>> There are many things you learn in life and may never use
>> again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy. Many people learned the
> skeletal
>> system in health class, microorganisms in Biology class. It doesn't
> mean
>> they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as they graduated. But,
> it was
>> "required". It's not a big deal people. Once you get past the "do I
> have to"
>> and start doing it, you'll amaze yourself at how fast and easy it can
> be.
>
> Indeed. I never had the "do I have to?" attitude as there was no
> code-free license when I became a ham. Yet it took me about 9 weeks of
> daily practice.
>

And you stuck with it!!!!!!!! You didn't quit, and it got you where you wanted to be. OR had to be - for your class of license. 2 weeks, 9 weeks, so what... you did it. A milestone to be proud of. No one can fault you for that effort.

> I
>> DO use code now and then, but not daily like many others do. Everyone
> has
>> their own thing. Some are into Packet, RTTY, AMTOR, etc, I'm not...
> To each
>> his own. But we all had to learn "something" about those modes to
> pass an
>> exam.
>>
>> cl
>
> Use it all you want. I'm against the Code Exam as an unnecessary
> government requirement.
>

Funny thing is, we're all arguing pros and cons and in the end, it won't matter. WE do not have control. So, if we're going to debate the issues we have no control over, may as well keep it clean. Hardly any of us know the other and it isn't worth making enemies over. Certainly not worth name calling.... Whether I'm right or wrong, I do value opposing view points. Everyone has a right to his/her own opinion. It sure will be interesting to see how it all unfolds. I think in the end, we both know the answer to that. Pro or con, it is a matter of time. May be a year, may be 5, but it will come to pass.

cl

4522. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 03:52:16 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <AaG8e.63885$NC6.40936@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:jMSdnf3J2rj5uf7fRVn-hA@adelphia.com...
> cl wrote:
>> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
>> news:lAz8e.11288$44.2433@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>> The biggest problem with most is "laziness". Maybe you never will use
>>>> it again. There are many things you learn in life and may never use
>>>> again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy. Many people learned the
>>>> skeletal system in health class, microorganisms in Biology class. It
>>>> doesn't mean they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as they
>>>> graduated.
>>>
>>>Guess that explains Creationism. They either forgot or just
>>>never did get biology class. And get upset when science
>>>contradicts a trivial off topic section of the Bible.
>>>But there is hope that some people will "get it" and
>>>be able to do something with it. Of course the school
>>>or FCC has to pick and choose what the kids should try
>>>to learn. Spending less time on European medieval kings and
>>>more on Vietnam would make sense, as modern governments are
>>>no longer kings sitting around in castles getting bored
>>>and deciding to have wars for the fun of it. Well, today kings
>>>are called "dictators" anyway. Now to bring this back to
>>>ham radio, is requiring code worth the time prospective
>>>hams would have to spend on it, or maybe more theory should
>>>be asked for today?
>>>
>>>I seriously doubt that the FCC would increase code speed for
>>>extras. The medical wavier issue would crop up again, and
>>>the FCC found that to be a PITA. Besides it would be hard
>>>for the FCC to tell old extras from newer extras as IIRC they
>>>didn't keep track of who was who as old extras came up for
>>>renewal.
>>
>>
>> I'm not so sure "more" theory is the answer either. Used to be, you HAD
>> to know electronics when you went for the exams. NO ONE told you what
>> was on the exams. Then some lazy bastard got some political pull and they
>> started to dumb down the theory and put "ALL" possible questions and
>> answers in a book - for someone to read and recall.
>
> Almost all standardized testing is done that way these days. Actually I

> don't know of any that isn't
>
>
Testing is one thing, "studying"' is another. Most "tests" don't give you
the answers in a book. Rather it is a conglomeration of books which a person
has had to read to ascertain the knowledge. IF it is coming to that, then it
is no wonder this country is dumbing down.

>> That isn't teaching anyone - anything. Any idiot can learn that way, to
>> the extent needed. It doesn't do anything to reinforce it in their heads
>> as to what to do with it after. IF they make it more theory, then they'll
>> just make the "idiot" books cover it, and again, you'll have a bunch of
>> people who learned A, B, C or D, not the real meat and potatoes of
>> Electronics.
>
> I have never been able to see the difference between reading a book that
> contains the answers to questions, and reading a question pool. Both are
> entered into my memory the same way. Did you know the answers are often
> scrambled, that is that the letter answer on the test is not the letter
> answer in the pool?
>
>

Those books do not cover electronics in great detail. They gloss over
subjects. There was a time you had to "build" a working circuit - to pass.
Yes, I'm well aware that the answers are mixed up in the test pools as
opposed to the books. Back when Heathkit was in business, they had books for
each class of license. Those books had a similar pattern, but they drilled
stuff into your head. They seemed to explain things a lot better and in more
detail. Maybe I'm just too used to the "learning" methods of yesteryear.
>
>> I've seen them come away and not know what a fuse does or some of
>> simplest of schematic symbols they "should" know.
>
> I've been in the field for a long time, and there are some things that
> slip me once in a while. Do you help these folks when they make a newbie
> mistake?
>

I try to help! And yes, as we age, we do forget things. I used to have
several dozen frequencies memorized and as to service. I'm lucky if I can
recall 10 of them - now. I'm sure there are symbols people can forget. But
my example of the fuse, it is sad when you don't know what a fuse is for!
That is like the most basic principle.

>> Give me a break. Those books today teach them NOTHING. They're nothing
>> more than the sugar coating of it all. Just enough to get by and HOPE
>> they plan to pursue it further on their own, which MOST - DO NOT. Again,
>> due to LAZINESS.
>
> Wow! I've got a copy of the "Now You're Talking" book. A person would have

> to work pretty hard do learn nothing from that.
>

The Now Your Talking - Book, is probably one of if not "thee" only in depth books out there at this time. I was referring - and should have been a bit more specific, to the question and answer guides with something like a 2 sentence explanation of a procedure, theory, etc. In my opinion, they don't teach a thing. They just provide the questions and answers. Study it long enough, you'll get enough memorized to pass, yes... but then you're stuck because you know little "background". I believe it used to be, if a person had the minimum of an Advanced license, he/she could use that as somewhat of a credential for a job in electronics. Now, "I" wouldn't dare think of hiring anyone with just having used the Q/A books. That is my opinion - for what it is worth.

>> You're right about the History though, not to lay so much on the past,
>> but work on current affairs. Past is good, but often TOO much time is
>> spent on it. That stuff is building blocks to some extent, history does
>> have a propensity to repeat itself, so you can't "ignore" it as a whole,
>> but spending say a week learning about King Arthur just doesn't get it. I
>> recall our teacher trying to drill shit in our heads about Genghis Khan
>> (sp?). I could give a shit less what he did. What I DID come to ignore
>> and have a need for later in life, was that stuff covered in Health
>> class. I ended up using it a few years out of school.
>> I wished then I had paid more attention to it. So, I had to "relearn"
>> most of it. Some things DO have their uses.
>>
>> As to code, actually, it isn't so bad to know - really.
>
> Morse code is VERY good to know. Good enough that it should continue to be
> a part of the test.
>
>
>> Think about it. You have sign language for deaf. IF you plan to talk to a
>> person who is deaf, you better learn it real fast. If you plan to
>> travel - you may need to learn some foreign language, even though most
>> can speak English now. Code "can" have benefits. We had 9 miners trapped
>> about a year ago. They communicated that there were nine, by 9 raps on
>> the pole stuck in the ground. Had someone in the ground and above ground
>> knew code, a more detailed description could have been issued. It could
>> have helped. Before they got the elevator in to get them, they had no
>> idea what "physical" shape the guys were in or any pending dangers under
>> the ground. Maybe you won't use code again once learned, but at some
>> point, it may save a life with the user's intervention. If you're in an
>> auto accident, down in a gully, you have a radio. The mic is broken, so
>> you can't talk. You could key the radio with a key or something and send
>> a message. Hopefully someone knowing code would hear it and be able to
>> let others know. There are many reasons people can give to "not" learn
>> code, but there are just as many as to it's benefits. If it saves only
>> one life, it is worth it.
>

> Yup, one of so many reasons that Morse code is a good thing. Hams are all
> about communication, and communications in all manner of situations. I
> love the latest technology, but that technology is sometimes fragile.
> Sometimes life and death, health and welfare might just come down to two
> skilled operators who can make an old communications method on primitive
> equipment sing its simple yet powerful song.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

4523. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 03:58:37 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <NfG8e.7900$yq6.6251@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:jMSdnf3J2rj5uf7fRVn-hA@adelphia.com...
: cl wrote:

:
: I have never been able to see the difference between reading a book
: that contains the answers to questions, and reading a question pool.
: Both are entered into my memory the same way.
:

You can't be serious! (And here I was under the impression you made you
living in an educational environment.) No wonder "Johnny can't read"!

The purpose of the examination is to determine if the prospective licensee
understands some things about amateur rules, about elementary transmitter
and receiver functions, basic electricity, amateur communications procedure,
and safety.

Knowing ahead of time the VERBATIM questions and VERBATIM correct answer
reduces the test to a simple test of memory. The applicant need not
UNDERSTAND a damned thing, but only have normally developed memorization
skills.

I have no problem with Q&A study aids containing sample questions which
guide the student through the appropriate study material, but the actual
VERBATIM examination material should NOT be available to the student
(applicant), or there is no reason to UNDERSTAND the material --- just
memorize the test.

73,
M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4524. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 04:15:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113797734.073590.22000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106040

K4YZ SAID:

Todd is wrong on so many levels, his profanities, misunderstanding
of federal law, and open admissions of law-breaking notwithstanding.

Steve, Steve, Steve, your the moron that doesn't understand the FCC.
You can't grasp the idea tht my bulletins are legal and there's NOTHING
you can do about it.

Hows your plans of remove my blog going BWWWAAAAHHHAAAAAAAAAAA
LOSER!

Todd N9OGL

4525. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 04:30:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113798601.469442.263400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106041

OPS, I mean't to say :
Steve, Steve, Steve, your the moron that doesn't understand the FCC
rules.
You can't grasp the idea tht my bulletins are legal and there's NOTHING

you can do about it.

Hows your plans of remove my blog going BWWWAAAAHHHAAAAAAAAAAA
LOSER!

Todd N9OGL

4526. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 05:35:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113802524.777688.312080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106042

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun,Apr 17 2005 9:29 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat,Apr 16 2005 8:44 am

>> How does that tie in with the use of morse code in
>> museum windows?
>
>The same way your service at ADA ties in with amateur radio policy.

"My service at ADA" was NOT ever presented as any
form of "justification" about "amateur radio policy."

What I originally presented was factual information
based on personal experience in regards to USE OF
MORSE CODE by a large Army communications station.

Army station ADA (it still exists, by the way) USE OF
MORSE CODE MODE was nil, none, nada from 1953 onwards.

World War II ended in 1945.

Further, I stated that (based on Pacific Stars & Strips
published story of 1955) ADA relayed 220 thousand
messages a month in 1955. ADA (also known by the
TTY message identifier of "RUAP") was only the third
largest Army station in ACAN (Army Command and
Administrative Network). Such traffic operation took
place around the clock, every day ("24/7").

Further, I stated (correctly, from Army documents)
that the ONLY morse code operator training in the
1950s was for Field Radio Operator. Field Radio is
exemplified by operations of Regimental-level
AN/GRC-26 self-contained transmitter-receiver huts
on the bed of a 2 1/2 ton truck. "Angry-26s"
were in use at much lower traffic levels, by unit
command, and also used TTY much more than any morse
code...in Korea, in Japan, or anywhere else in the
Far East Command in the early 1950s. Field radio
did not normally communicate with Far East Command
Headquarters directly, but had the capability.
Such was never witnessed by myself, nor appeared
in any operations orders of the station.

The brunt of military messaging is done by the
(relatively, speaking in 1950s terms) high-speed

TTY that can carry message traffic 24/7. All of that constituted the NORMAL means of logistical communications...all of that necessary for troop movements, shipping of supplies, operational orders, etc., etc., etc. The total personnel and installations in the Far East Command then was akin to a small state, therefore the amount of communications was quite large. At NO TIME was any bank of morse code operators seen OR KNOWN serving either the FEC Hq or Army Central Command ("central" insofar as Japan). Did I "know" all that? Yes. It was part of my duty there to not only be at a part of the communications station but to make trips to nearby units. Do I have absolute proof of all of it by referencible documents? No. Only some. Am I "lying" in stating any of the above? No. There is NO reason for me to "lie" about anything there. There is no reason for N2JTV to say anything about it, yet Gene was there at the same time I was, the same station but on a different operating team. [Gene doesn't access this group]

The gist of all that is that: MORSE CODE WAS NOT IN USE FOR MAJOR COMMUNICATIONS TRAFFIC. Not in The Far East Command at the time. That Command included USAF and USN.

I've seen documents that stated the communications plans from 1948 onwards would handle ALL normal message traffic by TTY for the future. I do not have such a document to "prove" it but can state that, from 1953 onwards, it WAS TRUE by example, by all operational orders between 1953 and 1956, by various Army documents published since 1956, by various Signal Corps photographs (none of which show any morse code operators at work) in the Far East Command.

Was there ANY morse code used in the U.S. military? Of course. All in Battalion or smaller units for field radio in the Army...on board ship in what Hans Brakob describes as "small boys" such as DDs (destroyers) or lesser-tonnage vessels. Morse code skill was required by some airborne radio units (ASW and the like) and for aircraft on long, over-water flights...also for the (then) Distress and Safety (international) frequencies shared by everyone. I do not have any specific cites of morse code use by SAC units of the 50s or 60s, but TAC does not include it. Long over-water flights

my USAF military transports required morsemen on board. What you have to understand is that the cruiser or heavier class ships had carried RTTY since first starting with that in 1940. That was necessary to insure the secure "rotor machine" encryption terminals (on-line or off-line capable) for Command orders and responses. Regardless of nit-picking on the names of such systems or their absolute, exact nomenclature, their existance was acknowledged in at least two civilian books first published in the 1960s (David Kahn's "Codebreakers" was on the NYT non-fiction bestseller list for several months, a seminal text on history of cryptography).

Morse code use in small-unit radio decreased and decreased from the 1950s onward. All branches, even the USCG. TTY rates jumped from 60 WPM to 100 WPM, then morphed into "data" in various forms at rates up to 2400 WPM over HF radio links. By 1978 the USAF (one of the remaining strong users of HF) was shutting down HF as a spectrum component in favor of the new satellite relay and troposcatter, VHF and UHF (they'd had the 225-400 MHz "military aviation band" since shortly after WW2). By then the sole use of morse code was limited to emergency communications as a secondary. It MAY have been used for ALERT messaging of submarines but another (with actual experience of such communications) will have to give details. By the 1980s, the ALERT messaging to boomers and sharks was done by some form of encrypted DATA. As to the SAC messaging on "oil burner routes" or otherwise on loitering flights, I can't comment on those formats or content other than to say morse code was NOT used for those.

So, there has been a lessening NEED for any "trained morsemen" in the U.S. military over the past HALF CENTURY. It has VANISHED for use in actual communications in the military...since the International Distress and Safesty system was implemented a few years ago worldwide, the USCG has stopped monitoring 500 KHz. The military has had MILLIONS of U.S. citizens in service in all that time, still has a million-plus serving. Morse code use in the military is limited solely to INTELLIGENCE INTERCEPTS (one-way, "silent listening").

GONE is the NEED for "trained morsemen" of any kind by the United States government. There is NO NEED of any sort of "trained pool" of such morsemen for the national use. That lessening began about 57 years ago although it was already happening during WW2 when HF commercial SSB was carrying TTY messaging to Europe and Asia.

What is left is a lot of daydreaming by amateurs based on myths begun in WW2 of glorious use of morse "in battle zones" or as the valiant radio operators of B-17s and B-24s (actually more gunners than radio operators) and "fighting men" in ship radio rooms, etc. Generations of day-dreaming amateurs passed them on to succeeding generations until the mythos became almost palpable. The only radio service in the USA that requires morsemanship skills is Amateur Radio Service and that ONLY for privileges below 30 MHz.

When it comes to "handling traffic" on HF, *NO* amateur radio group or net can come even close to the amount handled by the third-largest radio communications station of the Army did a half century ago. Not even if you use mulltipliers to make up for the (usually specious) claim that amateurs "use only their own purchased equipment." Further, amateurs do NOT do it 24/7 for months on end, "CW" or not.

You are getting very tiresome on this petulant complaint about one other radio activity on HF or bitching about someone who was there. Put an end to it. All your petulant whining about the glory and efficacy of morse code is of NO value in the whole wide world of radio communications today. All you have left is the mythology of "greatness in morsemanship" to rationalize keeping the morse code test for a HOBBY use of radio by amateur radio hobbyists.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4527. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 05:37:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113802653.098445.297600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106043

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun,Apr 17 2005 9:29 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat,Apr 16 2005 8:44 am

>You're misquoting all over the place, Len. But it doesn't matter.
Here,
>I'll clear it up:

This is NOT a court of law and "exactness" of quoting
is NOT required...except by those who live for the
pitiful "word battle" and self-glorification.

>MARS and amateur radio aren't the same thing. But many radio amateurs
>are involved in MARS.

The MILITARY Affiliate Radio System is DIRECTED by the
Department of Defense. They function quite well by
sole use of military personnel. See the links to the
actual words of the DoD DIRECTIVE posted in here...see
the links to several of the "Grecian Firebolt" radio
exercises posted in here.

>That's my position. If Steve says different, argue with *him*.

Considering that James P. Miccolis is a "good buddie"
of that wonderful representative of a modern U.S.
Amateur Extra, that is a specious comment of yours. :-)
You HAVE supported him in the recent past and not long
ago disavowed any attempt to control his emotional
outbursts.

>> >> MARS always was and remains a MILITARY radio system.
>> >
>> >But most of the participants aren't in the military.
>>
>> How do YOU know?
>
>I have sources, Len.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. That is acceptible ONLY to reputable
journalistic practice. YOU are NOT a "reputable
journalist." You do NOT have the qualifications.
You are NOT INVOLVED in journalism. :-)

>Do you feel insulted by my posts, Len? It seems so - you seem to find
>insult in everything.

Not me. You are the one with daydreaming about the
"need" of morsemanship in amateur radio licensing
test.

>> Oh, yes, that ties right in with a Canadian museum
>> having morse code in its window...sure...
>
>Those windows really seem to bother you.

? I wash windows. I like Microsoft windows.

What "bothers" me is that a NON-SERVUNG (EVER) person
tried to make out like he was the "expert" on the
United States military use of radio.

You are NOT QUALIFIED for such a judgement. <shrug>

>What has that to do with your claim that:
>
>"If you ain't got the guts to tell the details, you AIN'T done it.
>Simple as that."
>
>That's what you wrote, Len. Does it only apply to Steve and not to
>Brian.

Simple. Brian has NOT insulted me personally, not even
many times over. Robeson HAS and continues to do it.

>> I'm just showing what a damn LIAR he is.
>
>What lie?
>
>The claim he has made is that he found someone who knew you from when
>you were allegedly at NADC. And that someone says you didn't do such a
>great job there.

That's the LIE you are referring to.

Why do you say "allegedly" there? If you don't believe I
was there (I was), then Robeson's claim is irrelevant.
Why do you feel you are INVOLVED with Robeson?
You've already disavowed any capability of controlling
his emotional tantrums in here.

>Now maybe it's true and maybe it isn't. But it's basically your word
>against that of some unknown person.

IMAGINARY person.

I can't "disprove" something that doesn't exist.

If you wish a reference to the fact that I WAS at NADC
or that I worked with NADC engineers in the 1970s, you
can verify that with KD6JG.

Jimmie boy, you are getting VERY tiring with all this "intellectual word gaming" in here. All you are doing is WASTING TIME of others. I have plenty of time but grow tired of your constant petulance. You have NO return on any investment. All you seem to do is follow your buddie's word and SUPPORT him. You have NO proof that this imaginary "reference" of Robeson exists, can NOT present it to anyone else. Why bother with all your foolish word games in here? Are you that hard up for something to do?

Bye. Off.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4528. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 05:38:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113802737.878468.84080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106044

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun,Apr 17 2005 9:29 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat,Apr 16 2005 8:44 am

>> Psycho Pstevie still hasn't come up with a SINGLE
>> detail of "proof" on his insult of my "fitrep" at
>> NADC.
>
>Nor have you proved him to be mistaken.

NO ONE can either "prove" or "disprove" the existance
of something that doesn't exist. :-)

PROVE Robeson is "telling the truth" on that "person."

PROVE that "person's" existance to the REST OF THE
NEWSGROUP.

>>
>> >And if K4YZ really did participate in seven hostile actions,
>> >then it happened regardless of whether details are given or
>> >not.
>>
>> HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT IS TRUTH? :-)>
>
>It's basic logic, Len. If K4YZ really did participate in seven hostile
>actions, then it happened regardless of whether details are given or
>not. That's objective reality.

Sorry, Jimmie, that's just plain BULLSNIT. :-)

The only thing that "happened" is that Robeson tried
to imply that he was an experienced combat veteran.

You have tried to make that an ABSOLUTE TRUTH. Can't
be done.

That's neither "objective" nor "reality."

What seems to be operative here is that you are a BUDDIE
or Robeson and will support him in whatever he says in
here. [do I have to elaborate on what "buddie" means?]

You have presented NO PROOF to anyone on this subject.

If Robeson had merely stated the WHERE and WHEN of those
"seven hostile actions," he MIGHT be believable. He has

not done that yet. Ergo, after months of claiming such
he can only be considered a simple braggart. HE has NO
PROOF at all. Just a simple brag.

Robeson has had ample time to embellish his BRAG or to
PRESENT PROOF to others. He has NOT done so.

Put this matter to rest. You have NOTHING on that subject.
You are wasting everyone's time manufacturing an "issue"
that doesn't exist.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4529. Re: K0HB of the Northpole...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 05:49:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113803342.314976.185220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106045

From: "bb" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 5:22 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> > > And the antagonist is the one who's here without a reason
TO be here.
>> >
>> > It would appear that everyone has a reason to be here. Even you.
You
>> > enjoy antagonizing others. You are an antagonist.
>>
>> I do not "antagonize" anyone.
>
>Lie #23.

That Robeson insults ANYONE who speaks negatively about Him is
evidenciary enough for anyone (but buddies of Pstevie). Google
has enough archives of his antagonistic postings to fill a few
volumes. :-)

His problem is exascerbated by all the LIES he has told about
others...in addition to or aligned with his personal insults
of others.

>> I DO hold you and Lennie, and lately Todd, up to your own
>> deceitful, mistruthful and erroneous assertions...That would NOT be
>> possible if what you were saying was true.
>
>My opinions stand on "thier" own. Like my opinion of amateur
>volunteers solving all communications emergencies. You can lie,
>twist-up, and try to change the rules of the game, but you failed
>miserably to show my opinion to be wrong.

Robeson FAILS (miserably) to show ANYONE's opinions to be
wrong. Still, he keeps on with the personal defamations
and insults. Tsk.

Note that his antagonistic and hateful manner MUST include
those of his "enemies" who, like myself, weren't a part of
a thread. That is extended antagonism, a desire to FIGHT
and much less than a discussion on a subject.

>> This week alone I've posted at least four other items that
>> patently undermined your allegations of the "overblown" ARES and how

>> they will never "respond" in a crisis.
>
>"Never respond?" Who said that??? Post it or accept Lie #24.

Robeson hasn't posted ANYTHING proving his point. His
content is all about himself and personal insults against
his "opponents." That's rather sick.

>> If you find that "antagonizing", Brian, I am sorry, but hey, it
>> was YOUR false assertion and running off at the mouth that brought
>> things to this stage.
>
>Indeed you follow your lies with antagonism. You even precede your
>lies with antagonism.

Quite true. But...the whole purpose of Robeson's messaging
in here is the constant, sociopathic insulting of others who
have written negative opinions of Robeson.

>> Don't like it? STOP RUNNING OFF AT THE MOUTH WITH EASILY
>> DISPROVEN ASSERTIONS, BRIAN! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
>Bricks and slashed tires?

So far, Robeson hasn't "easily disproven" anything. Such
"disproving" is merely his personal-insult commentary on
yourself (and others). Perhaps a new word needs to be
coined: "Diss Proof" a la Robeson. His "proof" is merely
an absolute statement that his opponent "LIES." He needs
nothing else, yet he needs MUCH more such as ACTUAL proof.

Robeson is an example of the modern U.S. Amateur Extra.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4530. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 05:51:29 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42634ADD.E16F4198@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cl wrote:
>
> Speaking of keyboards, that is a perfect example. MANY who are online now -
> otherwise would never know how to type. BUT to own a computer and/or get
> online, they "had" to learn - OR - at least they're in the process of
> learning. It becomes "automatic" after so many hours of use. Same with
> code.... All it takes is the application of it. Sure, just in computers,
> many may not become proficient in computer programming, etc (just like not
> "wanting" to use the code), but they're still learning at some point along
> the way.
>
> cl

I have used computers for over 20 years and I still can't touch
type. I wanted to take a typing class in high school bout "Boys"
weren't allowed to take the class back in the '60s at my high school. I
have to look at the keyboard while i type with two fingers. Carpal
tunnel and nerve damage in my wrists doesn't help the situation either.

I was interested in Amateur Radio back in the late '60s but quickly
lost interest in HF. I wanted to work 144, 432 and up, where code
wasn't used so I went into broadcast and CATV engineering, followed by
working for a company that manufactured microwave telemetry equipment.
I discovered I had more fun making equipment work than using it. Now
I'm 100% disabled and I plan to spend some time restoring the old
Amateur Radio receivers in my small collection.

My current project is a National NC183R. I may use it to listen to
some international broadcasts, but I'll probably sell it after I'm done
working on it. I lose interest in most equipment after I have it
working properly.
--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4531. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 05:54:37 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113803677.723581.128450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106047

From: "bb" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 4:37 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> bb wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > bb wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > bb wrote:
>> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > > bb wrote:
>> > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > > > > bb wrote:
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > So, is lie #16 the conversation with a former
colleague of Len's? Or
>> > > > > > > > > is lie #16 Steve's tenure on RRAP? Or is it actually
lies #16 and #17?
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > Neither, but nice try.
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > Steve, you lied. The only question is which time?
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > The conversation with a former colleague of Len's?
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > The length of tenure on RRAP?
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > Or both.
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > Fess up.
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > Well well...Looks like I made an error in math. My
bust.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > Well, well...it took you Quitesometime to fess up.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Now where is Len's apology?
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Right behind HIS apology to this NG for years of lying,
accusing,
>> > > > > deceiving and antagonism, Brian...Right behind!
>> > > >
>> > > > Just hold your breath and wait!
>> > > >
>> > > > Steve, K4YZ
>> > >
>> > Well, well. So much for your "strength of conviction."

>>
>> Lennie is YEARS BEHIND coming clean on his errors, lies and
>> deceit, Brian... Y E A R S ! ! ! !
>
>The old, "two wrongs makes a right" defense.

I have to disagree. Robeson is so caught up in his personal
hatred of me that he cannot possibly discuss anything
rationally...or even act rationally. He is the constant
antagonist of ANYONE who disagrees with him.

Case in point is others' opinions. Robeson labels those
as "LIES," perhaps even "deceit" even though those are
just personal opinions. Apparently, in Stevieworld,
only Stevie Robeson has the "truth." Ergo, in the logic
of Stevieworld, ALL contrary (to Robeson's) opinions
are "LIES" as well as "errors" and "deceit."

That's quite sick. Irrational. Opinions are just
opinions.

However, Robeson seems to take everything against his
opinions as a "personal attack." He then respond but
that response IS a personal attack on his perceived
attacker. He hasn't yet learned that such is NOT the
way discussions go in our society.

On the other hand, if Robeson has been so brainwashed
by the remaining amateur radio membership organization
that he believes ONLY what they say, then any negative
against him is held AS a personal attack. That is NOT
the fault of anyone voicing a negative opinion against
Robeson's, it is Robeson's fault.

>> > You won't do what you know to be right because someone else isn't
doing
>> > what you know to be right.
>>
>> I AM, repeat AM doing "what's right".
>
>Repeating something doesn't make it any more true or false. But in
>this case, it makes lies #19 and #20.

Quite true, but Robeson hasn't learned to communicate
with rational people yet. He simply drives home a
blunt point that HE IS RIGHT with no proof or other
reference that it IS right.

That's either a monstrous ego at work there or it can
be a curious mixture of the reasons I mentioned just
before. Either way, it is not right...to rational
people.

>> You and Leonard are lairs, Brian.

That's a falsehood, a LIE. Robeson's only justification
of that is that HE IS RIGHT. It is his antagonist style
which is so off-putting to so many...no justification,
just the personal insult and LIE combination.

>> You don't tell the truth. YOU
>> make glaring errors, and then when I make a simple one, all of a
sudden
>> you think your slates are wiped clean.

Robeson's simple LIE-insult in the first quoted sentence
is an absolute statement. It is without any presented
proof...therefore it is Robeson's OPINION. However, any
of Robeson's OPINIONS are - in his communications here -
perfectly "right." HE IS RIGHT in Stevieworld. Problem
is, everyone else is NOT in Stevieworld (wherever that is).

>Lessee? 30 hours before Len makes an appearance in one of your
>demented threads, you're chiding him for disapproving of what you
said.
>Then you have a conversation with a claimed colleague of Len's years
>and years before you ever heard of Len. Both cases were smear
>campaigns against Len, and you say you make simple errors???
>
>> Sorry...Doesn't work that way.
>
>That's right Steve, it doesn't work that way. What you said was an
>outright lie.

Robeson's HATRED and ANGER seem to be overriding any
rationality here. Robeson cannot prove the existance
of this "fitrep" determiner. He has compounded that
by numerous statements, none of which have any proof
of truth. [see my previous message on this...]

Actually, several years ago, Robeson tried the same
ploy and embellished that until nearly in the form it
was recently. That was unproveable then and his
claim is unproveable now.

None of this discussion has ANYTHING to do with amateur
radio policy. It is just the usual Stevie psychotic
activity in here, part of his general misuse of the
newsgroup as his own personal "battleground."

Robeson doesn't seem capable of acknowledging that he
ever does anything wrong. That seems to increase his
anger quotient and his personal insults grow to
incomprehensible blatherings of Hatred and Anger.

Robeson is an EXAMPLE of a modern U.S. Amateur Extra.

Other Amateur Extras seem unable to take any strong
action to stop his pollution of all threads in this
newsgroup. All threads eventually turn into Robeson's
insults of all his "opponents" in any discussion.
A few have made negative comments to his style, notably
Hans Brakob. Responses to those by Robeson were less
than civil and uncomplimentary.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4532. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 06:00:52 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42634D0B.DE212580@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cl wrote:
>
> Those books do not cover electronics in great detail. They gloss over
> subjects. There was a time you had to "build" a working circuit - to pass.
> Yes, I'm well aware that the answers are mixed up in the test pools as
> opposed to the books. Back when Heathkit was in business, they had books for
> each class of license. Those books had a similar pattern, but they drilled
> stuff into your head. They seemed to explain things a lot better and in more
> detail. Maybe I'm just too used to the "learning" methods of yesteryear.

http://www.heathkit.com/index.html is still in business, but its changed
from their old kit lineup.

> The Now Your Talking - Book, is probably one of if not "thee" only in depth
> books out there at this time. I was referring - and should have been a bit
> more specific, to the question and answer guides with something like a 2
> sentence explanation of a procedure, theory, etc. In my opinion, they don't
> teach a thing. They just provide the questions and answers. Study it long
> enough, you'll get enough memorized to pass, yes... but then you're stuck
> because you know little "background". I believe it used to be, if a person
> had the minimum of an Advanced license, he/she could use that as somewhat of
> a credential for a job in electronics. Now, "I" wouldn't dare think of
> hiring anyone with just having used the Q/A books. That is my opinion - for
> what it is worth.

The local ham club is looking for people to take classes with "Now
Your Talking" rather than try to find people with any electronics
background. I offered to help maintain their club equipment but they
brushed me off because I don't have a ham ticket. I still have a half
way decent RF bench, but nothing compared to the $1,000,000 plus benches
of test equipment I had at Microdyne.

I never had any formal electronics training, yet I ws a broadcast
engineer, and a engineering tech for some products at Microdyne. I
learned it because I wanted to. I went to work part time in a TV shop at
13 after school and on Saturdays. When I was drafted I was tested to
prove I didn't know electronics but it backfired. I not only passed the
MOS test for Broadcast Engineer at Ft Knox, I was told I had received
the highest score on record for the test. These are some of the reasons
for my sig file. :-)

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4533. Re: K1MAN KING OF ALL DOUCHEBAGS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 06:22:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113805335.571034.256420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106049

BB MONKEYS SAID:

First of all, if your name is Lloyd, Todd, Roger, or Glenn, or any of
their other nyms, don't bother replying to this thread, because you are

an asshole and you bring nothing to the party. Second, if you want to
post about heaven, pleae go to rec.religious.bullshit and post there
because the rest of us don't give a fuck about your spiritual opinions.

Sure you want people who will agree with you....and fuck all the other
people who disagree with you....
Todd N9OGL

4534. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 09:26:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113816388.966104.294300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106100

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 4:37 pm

> Case in point is others' opinions. Robeson labels those
> as "LIES," perhaps even "deceit" even though those are
> just personal opinions. Apparently, in Stevieworld,
> only Stevie Robeson has the "truth." Ergo, in the logic
> of Stevieworld, ALL contrary (to Robeson's) opinions
> are "LIES" as well as "errors" and "deceit."
>
> That's quite sick. Irrational. Opinions are just
> opinions.

Opinions are just opinions when they start off "My opinion on the subject is..." Or "I think it would be better if..." THOSE are opinions.

Lies and deceit are things like "The ARRL BoD is dishonest" or "ARES won't be able to respond becasue their members are too old and oover obligated

> Quite true, but Robeson hasn't learned to communicate
> with rational people yet. He simply drives home a
> blunt point that HE IS RIGHT with no proof or other
> reference that it IS right.

(A) Neither Leonard H Anderson or Brian P Burke are rational. They both make statements in public forum contrary to the presence of data that contradicts their assertions.

(B) Nice try on the "no proof" assertions, Lennie....And only MORE proof that I am correct in calling you a liar.

Your marionette is presently avoiding answering questions about his assertions of the viability of ARES in the face of NUMEROUS news releases to the contrary.

Huge snip of ususal obnxious verbosity to.....

> Other Amateur Extras seem unable to take any strong
> action to stop his pollution of all threads in this
> newsgroup. All threads eventually turn into Robeson's
> insults of all his "opponents" in any discussion.
> A few have made negative comments to his style, notably
> Hans Brakob. Responses to those by Robeson were less
> than civil and uncomplimentary.

While I have no doubt that not everyone approves of my "up in your face" methology of dealing with the two of you, I DO notice that NONE of them, other than to acknowledge your "First Amendment Right" to do so, "support" YOUR presence here, Lennie.

It dosen't take one of those "inquiring minds" to know why....

You are both liiars.

You don't do what you'll say you'll do.

They're tired of the same "Back in 1953...." war satories.

You'e deceitful.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

4535. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 09:39:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113817179.119226.116980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106101

bb wrote:
> Jamie wrote:
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:TpydndflOZWEPP_fRVn-og@comcast.com...

> > > More and more it looks like Steve stands alone. Wonder why that
> > > happened?
> > >
> >
> > He hardly stands alone. However there is no need for others to
> repeat what
> > he has already said or to throw in a multitude of posts that simply
> say "I
> > agree."
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > ....
> >
> > I agree...
>
> Another, "me, too." I never realized that NOT giving someone grief
> made them an antagonist. I think Orwell wrote on this very subject.

Well, Brian...Other than Lennie, I haven't seen a single post that
says anything like "Yeah, Brian...You tell him!" In the last 24 hours,
despite you claiming I "stand alone", N2EY, N8UZE, KC8GXW, K8MN, and at
least three of the anonymous hanger's on have made comments that would
seem to UNDERMINE your assessment.

So....once again it seems you're holding a busted hand....

Steve, K4YZ

4536. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 09:45:26 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113817526.089119.247190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106102

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun,Apr 17 2005 9:29 am
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat,Apr 16 2005 8:44 am
>
> >> Psycho Pstevie still hasn't come up with a SINGLE
> >> detail of "proof" on his insult of my "fitrep" at
> >> NADC.
> >
> >Nor have you proved him to be mistaken.
>
> NO ONE can either "prove" or "disprove" the existance
> of something that doesn't exist. :-)

You mean like problems caused by the licensing of people under the age of 14 years?

You told FCC that no one under that age should be allowed to get a ham license but you offer no proof of *any* problems caused by the lack of such a rule.

You don't do what you demand of others, so why should anyone meet your demands?

It's really just your word against his, Len.

He says somebody remembers you from a certain place and time. You say no such person exists. Neither one of you offers any solid "proof".
>
> PROVE Robeson is "telling the truth" on that "person."

"telling the truth on that person"? Perhaps you mean "telling the truth about that person".

It's not my claim so it's not my job to prove it.
>
> PROVE that "person's" existance to the REST OF THE
> NEWSGROUP.

Why? I'm not the one making the claim that such a person exists or does not exist.

> >>
> >> >And if K4YZ really did participate in seven hostile actions,
> >> >then it happened regardless of whether details are given or
> >> >not.

> >>
> >> HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT IS TRUTH? :-)>
> >
> >It's basic logic, Len. If K4YZ really did participate in seven >
>hostile
> >actions, then it happened regardless of whether details are
> >given or not. That's objective reality.
>
> Sorry, Jimmie, that's just plain BULLSNIT. :-)

No, it's not.

> The only thing that "happened" is that Robeson tried
> to imply that he was an experienced combat veteran.

Where? Being "involved in a hostile action" doesn't mean someone
actually fought in combat.

And maybe he *is* an experienced combat veteran.

> You have tried to make that an ABSOLUTE TRUTH.

Nope.

All I've said is that:

"if K4YZ really did participate in seven hostile actions,
then it happened regardless of whether details are given or
not."

See the first word of that statement? *IF*.

> Can't be done.
>
> That's neither "objective" nor "reality."

It's both.
>
> What seems to be operative here is that you are a BUDDIE
> or Robeson and will support him in whatever he says in
> here.

No, that's not true at all.

> [do I have to elaborate on what "buddie" means?]
>
I know it already.

> You have presented NO PROOF to anyone on this subject.

Sure I have. You just don't operate logically.
>
> If Robeson had merely stated the WHERE and WHEN of those
> "seven hostile actions," he MIGHT be believable.

Not to you. You'd make fun of him, like you always do.

> He has
> not done that yet. Ergo, after months of claiming such
> he can only be considered a simple braggart. HE has NO
> PROOF at all. Just a simple brag.

Apply that same standard to your buddy N0IMD...

> Robeson has had ample time to embellish his BRAG or to
> PRESENT PROOF to others. He has NOT done so.

Why should he tell you anything?
>
> Put this matter to rest.

Are you telling me to shut up?

> You have NOTHING on that subject.

Just logic.

"if K4YZ really did participate in seven hostile actions,
then it happened regardless of whether details are given or
not."

You've claimed that if someone doesn't give details then it didn't
happen. That's simply not objective reality.

> You are wasting everyone's time manufacturing an "issue"
> that doesn't exist.

If it doesn't exist, why are you so worked up about it?

Besides, you've "wasted everyone's time" on far more trivial things.
Like age limits for a ham license, with *no* proof that young amateurs
cause *any* problems in amateur radio. Or mistaken information about
USN encryption methods.

But I don't tell you to shut up.

Personally, I don't really care how good or bad your "fitrep" was X
years ago. Even if it was terrible - so what? Good or bad, it doesn't
make your behavior here or your flawed arguments any more acceptable.

4537. Re: Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 09:50:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113817818.777853.18140@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106103

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Sat, 16 Apr 2005, K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > You keep claiming you're this "connected" ex-IRS agent, yet
you're
> > in here boo-hooing about the whole thing, also claiming you're
going to
> > "file charges".
>
> 1) I never claimed that I was "connected" to anything or anyone.

Absolutely a lie, Dieter!

You've repeatedly told us about your former IRS employment and
suggested that you have the knowledge and friends to make your wishes
happen.

> > In almost 3 years now, nothing's happened.
>
> 2) Impossible. I only raised the question about ARN's soliciatation
policy
> last fall (2004). That's 6 months, not 3 years.

Nope...You've been going on and on about Bill Pasternak for almost
THREE YEARS now.

> > I say you're a whining little putz with a chip on his shoulder.
>
> 3) Doesn't make it true. Only proves that you're the liar everyone
else
> already knows that you are.

My "saying it" doesn't make it true...that's correct...

However YOUR conduct and YOUR whining DOES make it true.

> The rest of your drivel shall not even be dignified with a response.

Then I'll go right on ahead. You're a whining little putz with a
big chip on his shoulder. You've not even REMOTELY begun to "make your
case" about Bill Pasternak, and if you ever try to do so [ina a court
of law], there will be people lined up for blocks to get into court and
"tell the judge" just how wrong you are...

Not to mention that I will be there to provide copies of your
newsgroup attacks on him proving your PERSONAL vendetta against him.

Case closed.

Try again, Stussy.

Steve, K4YZ

4538. Re: K0HB of the Northpole...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 09:58:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113818291.593636.240270@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106104

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > And the antagonist is the one who's here without a reason
TO
> > be
> > > > here.
> > >
> > > It would appear that everyone has a reason to be here. Even you.
> > You
> > > enjoy antagonizing others. You are an antagonist.
> >
> > I do not "antagonize" anyone.
>
> Lie #23.
>
> > I DO hold you and Lennie, and lately Todd, up to your own
> > deceitful, mistruthful and erroneous assertions...That would NOT be
> > possible if what you were saying was true.
>
> My opinions stand on "thier" own. Like my opinion of amateur
> volunteers solving all communications emergencies. You can lie,
> twist-up, and try to change the rules of the game, but you failed
> miserably to show my opinion to be wrong.

It wasn't an opinion.

YOU stated that ARES was overblown and that ARES would not/could
not respond due to the aging demographic of the membership. You
continued to try and use me as an example of "overburdened" memebers.

> > This week alone I've posted at least four other items that
> > patently undermined your allegations of the "overblown" ARES and
how
> > they will never "respond" in a crisis.
>
> "Never respond?" Who said that??? Post it or accept Lie #24.

You said that and you still have yet to prove your alleged Lie #1.

> > If you find that "antagonizing", Brian, I am sorry, but hey,
it
> > was YOUR false assertion and running off at the mouth that brought
> > things to this stage.

>
> Indeed you follow your lies with antagonism. You even precede your
> lies with antagonism.
>
> > Don't like it? STOP RUNNING OFF AT THE MOUTH WITH EASILY
> > DISPROVEN ASSERTIONS, BRIAN! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Bricks and slashed tires?

What bricks? What slashed tires?

You CONTINUE to support my assertions of YOUR dishonesty by
continuing to make this suggestion of threats, yet you've not
substantiated a word of it, Brian.

Why do you continue to perpetuate this?

> You got fired as schedule writer for the exercise because you left
the
> first six hours of the exercise without support.

You've already been proven wrong three times.

You're going for four?

> Then you
> undersupported the entire remainder of the exercise. Hopefully you
> didn't learn that trick in the USMC.

It wasn't undersupported. All shift were covered, double coved
duing the peak hours of the day.

Here's ANOTHER assertion you continue to make despite having been
proven wrong. WHY do you embarrass yourself in public like this?

Steve, K4YZ

4539. Hey Brian. Here's A Guy On "Your Side" ! Add Them Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 10:06:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113818784.447539.209570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106105

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ SAID:
>
> Todd is wrong on so many levels, his profanities, misunderstanding
> of federal law, and open admissions of law-breaking notwithstanding.
>
> Steve, Steve, Steve, your the moron...(SNIP)

I always get a laugh when Todd mixes his poor grammar into a
sentence wherein he's trying to call another a "moron"...!!!!

> (UNSNIP)...that doesn't understand the FCC.
> You can't grasp the idea tht my bulletins are legal and there's
NOTHING
> you can do about it.

I understand theme better than you think. And yes, there ARE
things tob e done about you and your broadcasts.

> Hows your plans of remove my blog going BWWWAAAAHHHAAAAAAAAAAA
> LOSER!

They're going.

Hey Brian! There's another one "on your side" ! ! !

Todd, The Functionally Illiterate and Profane, Meet Brain, The
Chronically Dishonest and Cowardly.

You two will be quite a pair!

4540. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 10:21:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113819688.639437.163210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106106

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Lennie is YEARS BEHIND coming clean on his errors, lies and
> > deceit, Brian... Y E A R S ! ! ! !
>
> The old, "two wrongs makes a right" defense.

Other than getting my math wrong, there was no "wrong" commited,
Brain...And certainly not one that rates an "apology" to Lennie.

> > > You won't do what you know to be right because someone else isn't
> > doing
> > > what you know to be right.
> >
> > I AM, repeat AM doing "what's right".
>
> Repeating something doesn't make it any more true or false. But in
> this case, it makes lies #19 and #20.

STILL WAITING on you to produce some validation of your claims on
1 through 18, Brain.

You've not documented a one of them.

> > You and Leonard are lairs, Brian. You don't tell the truth.
YOU
> > make glaring errors, and then when I make a simple one, all of a
> sudden
> > you think your slates are wiped clean.
>
> Lessee? 30 hours before Len makes an appearance in one of your
> demented threads, you're chiding him for disapproving of what you
said.
> Then you have a conversation with a claimed colleague of Len's years
> and years before you ever heard of Len. Both cases were smear
> campaigns against Len, and you say you make simple errors???

A N D Y O U A R E S T I L L R E F U S I N G to read what
was said in the first place, Brian.

There's a lot of things I can help with, Brian, but arrogant isn't
one of them.

> > Sorry...Doesn't work that way.
>
> That's right Steve, it doesn't work that way. What you said was an

> outright lie.

Nope. Never was.

The O R I G I N A L comments addressed Lennie's history of doing
EXACTLY what I said then....

> > I already count two acknowledgements of errors I have made
this
> > week.
>
> Errors? Simple mistakes? Nobody thinks that, not even you.

Sure I do.

And Brain P Burke STILL has not acknowledged his errors about
ARES. Very clearly documented. Very clearly DISproven with MULTIPLE
news releases.

> > I haven't seen a single one from you acknowledging your ARES
> > errors alone...
>
> There's a profound reason that you haven't seen such an
acknowledgement
> from me...
>
> I made no error. You fudged the entire exercise (lied) so that you
> could "prove" me wrong, but nobody's buying it. You chalked up quite
a
> few lies in that little fiasco. Quit before you reach the point of
no
> return.

You say "no one", Brain, but so far YOU are the only one trying to
make a point about it.

And you DID make an error. You've made NUMEROUS errors, yet
refuse to acknowledge a one of them despite reams of documentation that
PROVE you to be in error.

> > > I'm just glad the world isn't full of
> > > people like you. Actually, I thank my lucky stars every night
that
> > the
> > > world isn't full of people like you.
> >
> > As well you should.
>
> And I do.

Good. It's your FIRST wise move.

> > It's got to be embarrassing getting your nose
> > rubbd in all the errors YOU make by just little ole me...You'd not

> > withstand more than one.
>
> #21.

Where's 1 through 20?

> > Here's your sign, Brian >>LOSER<<
>
> #22.

Where's 1 through 21?

> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Hey, you finally told a truth. See? You are capable of it.

So far I'd say I am ahead of you about 100 to 1 without fear of
contradiction. 10,000 to 1 if you count your refusal to sign your name
to your posts in an attempt to hide your identity.

Steve, K4YZ

4541. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 10:27:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113820056.167068.19840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106107

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > The only thing that "happened" is that Robeson tried
> > to imply that he was an experienced combat veteran.
>
> Where? Being "involved in a hostile action" doesn't mean someone
> actually fought in combat.
>
> And maybe he *is* an experienced combat veteran.

I never said I was a "combat veteran". I don't describe myself as
a combat veteran. I was, however, involved in seven different actions
while I was in the Marine Corps where I was directly involved in the
receipt of or exchange of hostile gunfire.

And no matter how many times Lennie tries to make fun of them, it
doesn't change any facts.

Lennie can just stew in his frustration of not being able to point
and click his way to superior intellect!

Steve, K4YZ

4542. Re: Where Did All These Anti-Sexy Female Prudes Come From?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 10:40:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113820802.789771.24810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:

> What about all of Steve's dares?

Good question.

So far, all of my "dares" have been for YOU to prove ANY of your assertions or "opinions" to be correct.

So far, not a one of them has met the test of seeing daylight, let alone being corroborated or "proven" as correct.

Which pretty much leaves you at the bottom og the barrel.

Steve, K4YZ

4543. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 10:40:37 UTC
From: Ernie <nospam@radioerniesorg.net>
Message-ID: <d402p8$5d4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.liberalism,soc.culture.usa,soc.retirement,alt.military.retired,alt.politics.religion,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,soc.culture.jewish

"sam bicke" <travisc@compton.net> wrote in message
news:ZcA8e.1918$Gq6.1449@fe02.lga...
>
> "anon" <anon@anontruthbetold.net> wrote in message
> news:d3ui2r$n79$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>> news:cuvyxnansvbet.if3oso0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
>> >
>> > As well as the NSA/USN brass who, when queried by the Israelis,
>> > adamantly denied that the Liberty was a U S Naval vessel even after
>> > being told that the vessel wiil be blown out of the water if it
>> > wasn't a US Naval vessel. The Israelis had every reason to believe
>> > that the Egyptians or their mentors, the Soviets, would fly the US
>> > flag to avoid destruction if they could get away with it.
>> >
>> > And the NSA kept denying...
>> >
>> > --
>> > 73 de K2ASP / 4X4UQ - Phil Kane
>> >
>>
>> YOU ARE A LIAR! You were not there. You have no information.
>> You are just repeating hearsay from some Jew. There are USS Liberty
>> survivors who have described what happened many times. The Jews
>> NEVER queried the U.S for the identity of the Liberty, but the Jews did
>> recon the Liberty for almost a day prior to the attack, during which time
>> the
>> Liberty was flying an oversized American flag, a holiday ensign. The
> Jews
>> had no reason to believe Egyptians or any other country would impersonate
> a
>> U.S. vessel. The attack was deliberate by the Jews. The Jews knew full
>> well that the Liberty was intercepting communication which would reveal
>> that Israel had committed war atrocities and was illegally in territory
> they
>> had promised not to enter. The Jews did want the U.S. or the world to
>> know the truth. Jew jets machine gunned innocent American sailors on
>> the decks of the U.S. Liberty, sailors who were frantically waving
>> American flags. Egypt and other enemies of the Jews did not have a
>> single
>> ship in their inventories which resembled the USS Liberty, and the Jews

>> knew this. Innocent American blood will always be on the hands of the
>> Jews, because of the USS Liberty incident.
>> GET YOUR FACTS STRAIGHT BEFORE YOU SHOOT YOUR
>> IGNORANT UNINFORMED MOUTH OFF NEXT TIME!
>
> I worked for the NSA at Fort Meade. He's a liar.
>

"I was never satisfied with the Israeli explanation. Through diplomatic channels we refused to accept their explanations. I didn't believe them then, and I don't believe them to this day. The attack was outrageous."
-U.S. Secretary of State Dean Rusk.

www.ussliberty.org

4544. "Chasing The Techs Away"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 11:32:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113823977.907587.179940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106110

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Caveat Lector wrote:
> > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1112498677.875748.151760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > >>CL Wrote
> > > >> With 100 Watts and a Mushcraft 1/2 wave vertical -- got all
but
> 2
> > > > states in
> > > >> a year (need KY and AR)
> > > >
> > > > BB sed
> > > > I might have been able to give you both this past week. About
> > where
> > > > are you located?
> > > >
> > > Ah thanks for the try -- but lent my antenna out to a friend so
he
> > could
> > > make new parts for his AR-6.
> > >
> > > I'm in So Calif
> > >
> > > But summer is sporadic E season for 6M-- so the best time would
be
> > May June
> > > July
> > > Will need double hop for KY
> > > Maybe single hop for AR.
> > >
> > > CL
> >
> > I did some work on 6 and 2 ssb when there were lots of active
Techs,
> > but it seems a lot slower now that they've been chased away.
>
> What Techs have been "chased away"?
>
> Who chased them away, if they were? Perhaps you simply meant
> "chased away by poor propagation"? I doubt it, but let's hear your
> rationale for this claim.
>
> What motive would any operator have for "chas(ing) away" any

other
> operator where the more opertors you work the more awards you can
> amass?

S T I L L wating for you to substantiate this claim and tell us
WHO is allegedly chasing the techs away from Amateur Radio.

I see their numbers are still pretty significant in the tally.

Steve, K4YZ

4545. Re: Hey Brian. Here's A Guy On "Your Side" ! Add Them Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 11:43:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113824631.581465.43100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106111

Little Stevey say:
>I understand theme better than you think. And yes, there ARE
>things tob e done about you and your broadcasts. "

Right.....well come on, belly up to the bar or get off the pot.

> Hows your plans of remove my blog going BWWWAAAAHHHAAAAAAAAAAA
> LOSER!

>They're going.

Right, Steve I think you full of it....

Todd N9OGL.

4546. Re: Morse gone by summer???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 11:46:40 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <A6N8e.182$%L1.129@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 106112

BUT, Bert, you are among those who do recognize that--for some--other than the public service role of amateur radio, the only reward they gain is just a real simple, real down-home QSO via phone. That's the pleasure of amateur radio; the many various ways in which people enjoy it.

Kim W5TIT

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message news:liF8e.2578$ZQ1.2081@fe11.lga...
> "Barry OGrady" <not@this.add> wrote in message
> news:nmr561h43fms0dchkdf0tjgdnc1qabt1qo@4ax.com...
> > Morse gone by the beginning of last year.
> >
> > Barry
>
> Sad that many folks will likely never give themselves the opportunity to bag
> some of that rare DX that seems to only show up on the bottom of the bands.
>
> Just bagged HZ1EX on 7013 kHz. 99.999% CW op and luvin' it.
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782
>
>

4547. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 11:50:53 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <xaN8e.183$%L1.60@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 106113

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
> cl wrote:
>
>
> > which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a
few
> > minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a test.
>
> Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to get
> to 5 wpm.
>
> I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
> understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>
> I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a
> lot of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

For some, Mike, the "code" (i.e., even just the connotation in the word
"code") is all they got.

Kim W5TIT

4548. Re: Hey Brian. Here's A Guy On "Your Side" ! Add Them Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 11:59:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113825573.785466.80800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106114

N9OGL wrote:
> Little Stevey say:
> >I understand theme better than you think. And yes, there ARE
> >things to be done about you and your broadcasts. "
>
> Right.....well come on, belly up to the bar or get off the pot.

(A) I don't drink.

(B) Even if I did, I'd be able to get a lot closer to the bar than YOU, based on YOUR belly, Todd.

> > Hows your plans of remove my blog going BWWWAAAAHHHAAAAAAAAAAA
> > LOSER!

I never said I was going to "remove" it. But you've never been real good on keeping details straight, have you, Todd...???

> >They're going.
>
> Right, Steve I think you full of it....

Of course you do. It's the only way a weak minded little man like you can justify being better than someone else, Todd.

Nice try. You must have sobered up a bit. You've cut the typos and grammatical abortions in half this morning.

Steve, K4YZ

4549. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 12:44:19 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <mZN8e.63892$NC6.25794@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
> cl wrote:
>
>
>> which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a
>> few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
>> test.
>
> Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to get to
> 5 wpm.
>
> I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
> understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>
> I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a lot
> of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Ok.... It took "me" 2 weeks, I know others who learned it quickly, but I can't provide a time frame. Yes, code "can" be harder for others to pick up. I don't doubt that for a minute. Point is, you have to put one foot in front of the other and stick with it, to get down the path to learn it. Many don't want to start, and whine about it without ever putting forth effort. Hell, I know people who bitched about having to look at the "basic" Q/A manual! One remark was "Do I "have" to learn all this?" Another - "Do I "have" to read all these questions?" But yet they want a license. Pure laziness. Licenses should be "earned" not given away. People are least likely to respect something "given" to them. The bands are already showing signs of deterioration from people who just don't care.

cl

4550. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 12:58:46 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <VaO8e.63893$NC6.60721@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:42634ADD.E16F4198@earthlink.net...
> cl wrote:
>>
>> Speaking of keyboards, that is a perfect example. MANY who are online
>> now -
>> otherwise would never know how to type. BUT to own a computer and/or get
>> online, they "had" to learn - OR - at least they're in the process of
>> learning. It becomes "automatic" after so many hours of use. Same with
>> code.... All it takes is the application of it. Sure, just in computers,
>> many may not become proficient in computer programming, etc (just like
>> not
>> "wanting" to use the code), but they're still learning at some point
>> along
>> the way.
>>
>> cl
>
> I have used computers for over 20 years and I still can't touch
> type. I wanted to take a typing class in high school bout "Boys"
> weren't allowed to take the class back in the '60s at my high school. I
> have to look at the keyboard while i type with two fingers. Carpal
> tunnel and nerve damage in my wrists doesn't help the situation either.
>
> I was interested in Amateur Radio back in the late '60s but quickly
> lost interest in HF. I wanted to work 144, 432 and up, where code
> wasn't used so I went into broadcast and CATV engineering, followed by
> working for a company that manufactured microwave telemetry equipment.
> I discovered I had more fun making equipment work than using it. Now
> I'm 100% disabled and I plan to spend some time restoring the old
> Amateur Radio receivers in my small collection.
>
> My current project is a National NC183R. I may use it to listen to
> some international broadcasts, but I'll probably sell it after I'm done
> working on it. I lose interest in most equipment after I have it
> working properly.
> --
> Former professional electron wrangler.
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida

I broke into computers with a Radio Shack computer. Model III - I think it was. Anyway, I typed using hunt and peck. Given the keyboard lay out, if I had stuck with it, I may have learned to type a lot sooner. I was getting used to it. But, life changed and I had to sell it. Then later on, I got a Commodore 64 and it was a pain in the ass - to me, with all those dumb commands and keyboard functions. Though I used it quite a bit, I despised it. Then I got a desktop 386. I was still using hunt and peck, but now I felt I was in the "real" world of computers. I found the keyboard rather easy to manipulate and understand. It took about a year - maybe a little less - of hunt and peck typing. I am in positions where I do a lot of typing of letters and such. One day I was typing a letter and it suddenly dawned on me, I hadn't looked at the keyboard the whole time I was typing. I was flabbergasted. At some point, it all kicked in. When I was in high school - 9th grade I believe, I took typing, I had no choice - it was given to all. I bombed it. I couldn't type to save my ass - of course, back then, I could care less - I was into girls and other attractions. Now I type pretty well. Not as good as say some secretaries I know, but pretty damned well for how it came to be. USE is 1/2 the battle. If you want it bad enough, give it a little effort, it will come to you.

cl

4551. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 13:59:44 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d40egg$12qq$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 106117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:jMSdnf3J2rj5uf7fRVn-hA@adelphia.com...
> : cl wrote:
>
> :
> : I have never been able to see the difference between reading a book
> : that contains the answers to questions, and reading a question pool.
> : Both are entered into my memory the same way.
> :
>
> You can't be serious! (And here I was under the impression you made you
> living in an educational environment.) No wonder "Johnny can't read"!

Yup, I do. And sorry, but I can read the book, or read the pool, and
get the same thing. The answers are just more verbose in the books.

> The purpose of the examination is to determine if the prospective licensee
> understands some things about amateur rules, about elementary transmitter
> and receiver functions, basic electricity, amateur communications procedure,
> and safety.

Sure.

> Knowing ahead of time the VERBATIM questions and VERBATIM correct answer
> reduces the test to a simple test of memory. The applicant need not
> UNDERSTAND a damned thing, but only have normally developed memorization
> skills.

The concept of "memorizing" the Q and A of say the Extra pool is
amusing. Especially when there is a little scrambling going on for the
answers.

Personally, I took the on-line tests, and those questions that I missed
were looked up. Mostly without reference to the question pool, except
for the ones for band edges. The band edges are rote memorization
anyhow. Then I went back and retook the tests until I aced them just
about every time. That was a lot easier than rote memorization of 800
(IIRC) some questions.

> I have no problem with Q&A study aids containing sample questions which
> guide the student through the appropriate study material, but the actual
> VERBATIM examination material should NOT be available to the student
> (applicant), or there is no reason to UNDERSTAND the material --- just
> memorize the test.

Well, whatchya gonna do? The tests themselves are only the beginning, be they the "super easy" tests administered today, or the "incredibly hard" tests administered under the steely glare of an F.C.C. field agent at the time when hams were real men and women.

I've looked at some of the older questions. The only thing about them that is difficult is that they tend to pertain to operating with equipment and different condition than today.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4552. William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 14:18:56 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <xaOdnf6ZA_BRXP7fRVn-3g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106118

After leaning about toady here and from his "don't abuse me but I'll abuse you" blog, I'm leaning more to the thought that the transistor wasn't the only great idea that William Shockley had!

4553. Re: Morse gone by summer???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 14:41:31 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1113835291.253735.225350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bert Craig wrote:
> "Barry OGrady" <not@this.add> wrote in message
> news:nmr561h43fms0dchkdf0tjgdnc1qabt1qo@4ax.com...
> > Morse gone by the beginning of last year.
> >
> > Barry
>
> Sad that many folks will likely never give themselves the opportunity
to bag
> some of that rare DX that seems to only show up on the bottom of the
bands.
>
> Just bagged HZ1EX on 7013 kHz. 99.999% CW op and luvin' it.

'Way to go Bert! That's a tough one, there aren't a whole lot of HZs on the air. "Back when" the only HZ on the air for years was HZ1HZ. He was only on 40M CW and usually only in the major DX contests so he was a real "catch". Problem with him was that he had about the worst bug swing most of us have ever heard, absolutely indecipherable. Thank God he wasn't a ragchewer. But that was OK because that swing was his immediate "identifier" and ya knew who it had to be even if ya could hardly copy him in the piles. I have no idea what their rules are today but back then only members of the royal family were allowed to get on the ham bands.

Serious HF dxers aren't serious unless they work both phone and CW, ya have to do both or else yer shooting yerself in the foot.

> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782

w3rv

4554. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 14:52:31 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1113835951.630863.41890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael Coslo wrote:
> Mel A. Nomah wrote:

>
> Well, whatchya gonna do? The tests themselves are only the
beginning,
> be they the "super easy" tests administered today, or the "incredibly

> hard" tests administered under the steely glare of an F.C.C. field
agent
> at the time when hams were real men and women.
>
> I've looked at some of the older questions. The only thing about
them
> that is difficult is that they tend to pertain to operating with
> equipment and different condition than today.

Hey, wait a minnit here . . we also had to walk uphill both ways to the
FCC offices in raging blizzards.

Ya WEENIES . . . grumble . .

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

4555. K1MAN - Answers Please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 15:00:15 UTC
From: Baxter Blows Monkeys <hamsandwich@myway.com>
Message-ID: <1113836415.233674.324620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106121

Can someone tell me why Glenn Baxter's license to sell insurance was
administratively cancelled? He used to be able to sell insurance in
Maine, but he can't do it anymore... What's up, is this a situation
like his FCC license, did he fuck up somehow or rip somone off?
Inquiring minds wanna know!

GLENN A BAXTER
INVESTORS FINANCIAL SERVICES
1 LONG POINT RD
BELGRADE LAKES, ME 04918
(207) 495-2215

License Number First Licensure Current Issue Expiration Date
Description
PRR34986 06/05/1992 03/01/2001 Cancelled PRODUCER RESIDENT

Authority Issue Date Termination Date
VARIABLE LIFE & ANNUITY 10/25/1993 10/01/1995
HEALTH 06/05/1992 11/21/1992
LIFE AND HEALTH 11/21/1992 03/01/2001
VARIABLE CONTRACTS 10/01/1995 03/01/2001

Code C: Cancelled by administrative agency order

4556. This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 15:54:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113839660.021629.45550@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106122

>From N9OGL's "BLOG", In response to FCC comments about the broadcasting of obscentiy and profanity:

THAT'S RIGHT BOYS AND GIRLS WE HAVE TO PROTECT THE CHILDREN BOO-HOO. THE BOTTOM LINE IS THE PARENTS SHOULD WATCH WHAT THEIR CHILDREN IS WATCHING ON TV, AND IF THOSE SONS OF ######## DON'T WANT TO CONTROL THEIR CHILDREN THEN THE DUMB ##### SHOULDN'T OF HAD CHILDREN, PLAIN AND SIMPLE. I'M SICK OF THESE ###HOLE PARENTS CRYING TO CONGRESS AND THE FCC TO CONTROL WHAT THEIR CHILDREN WATCH ON TV BECAUSE THESE ####ERS ARE TO DAM LAZY TO DO THEMSELVES. IT WAS THE SAME THING WITH THE INTERNET, SOME LAZY PARENTS COMPLAINED TO CONGRESS ABOUT THE PORN ON THE INTERNET AND CONGRESS IN AN ATTEMPT TO PROTECT CHILDREN PASSED THE COMMUNICATION DECENCY ACT (CDA), WHICH THE SUPREME COURT STRUCK DOWN AFTER IT WAS PASSED. NOW THESE CRY BABY, LAZY PARENTS HAVE THESE RIGHT WING, JOHN BIRTCH SOCIETY BIBLE BANGING ###HOLES TO BACK UP THEIR ####ING COMPLAINING. BTW, THE SUPREME COURT RULED INDECENT MATERIAL WAS LEGAL ON PUBLIC ACCESS, THANKS TO THE SUPREME COURT. BUT THESE ####ING BIBLE THUMPERS WANTS THE FCC TO REGULATE CABLE AND SATELLITE AND ARE KISSING CONGRESS ### TO HAVE THEM PUSH LAWS TO ALLOW THE FCC TO REGULATE IT. I CAN'T WAIT TO SEE THE ####ING SUPREME COURT THROW THAT OUT!!!

Todd's mommy and daddy let him do whatever it was HE wanted to do and look how HE turned out...!!!!

Sheeeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

4557. Re: This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 16:03:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113840232.349397.286240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106123

K4YZ wrote:
> >From N9OGL's "BLOG", In response to FCC comments about the
broadcasting
> of obscentiy and profanity:
>
> THAT'S RIGHT BOYS AND GIRLS WE HAVE TO PROTECT THE CHILDREN BOO-HOO.
> THE BOTTOM LINE IS THE PARENTS SHOULD WATCH WHAT THEIR CHILDREN IS
> WATCHING ON TV, AND IF THOSE SONS OF ######## DON'T WANT TO CONTROL
> THEIR CHILDREN THEN THE DUMB ##### SHOULDN'T OF HAD CHILDREN, PLAIN
AND
> SIMPLE.

Snip.

Here's some more.

BTW, THESE ####ING BIBLE BANGING ###HOLES FROM THE AFA AND THE PTC
DON'T GIVE A ### ABOUT "SERIOUS LITERARY, ARTISTIC, POLITICAL, OR
SCIENTIFIC VALUE" THAT'S THE MAIN PROBLEM WITH THESE RELIGIOUS
###HOLES.

Todd, what's "serious" about YOUR literary, artistic, political or
scientific" rantings?

If YOU represent "seriousness" in the arts or sciences, we're ALL
screwed. You're functionally illiterate, don't have a clue about
practical OR theoretical science, and if what you consider "art" is
represented by any of the foregoing, I can only imagine what it is...I
imagine that most of YOUR "art" is similar to the "picture" you tried
to pass off as me...

You're sick, Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

4558. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 16:24:28 UTC
From: mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Message-ID: <d40mvssed2@puck.litech.org>
Source record: 106124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <8ZydnQhpGLNvrv7fRVn-vw@gbronline.com> (rec.radio.amateur.misc), Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> I used to teach Novice classes, and I always assumed that anyone could
> learn the code if they really wanted to. I found that some people had
> difficulty telling the difference between a dit from a dah unless it was
> sent very slowy and the dah made a lot longer than the dit, but when
> sending a character that contained several dits or dahs or combinations,
> they simply could not tell one from the other. It wasn't that they
> lacked the skill to learn the code, I could right out characters in dits
> and dahs on the board and they could recoginize them, it was an
> interpertation problem with the brain of telling the sound of a dit from
> the sound of a dah. People with hearing aids often had a difficult time.

You hit that part right on the head. My XYL has a deep notch in her hearing response curve, from about 400 Hz to about 2 KHz, due to playing viola in a symphony orchestra for 15 years, sitting right in front of the brass section[1]. She's having the very devil of a time with Morse, mostly because she has problems distinguishing between dit and dah. She has learned not to trust her ears, and now she's trying to learn to read with them. The deep notch right where most people tune to read CW and where the various tapes, CDs, and tutor programs all put the tone, also makes it very difficult for her.

When she passes Element 1, I have to go learn American Sign Language and pass a proficiency test.

[1] I'll bet most people don't think much about hearing damage in people playing in symphony orchestras. It's fairly common.

--
Mike Andrews W5EGO 5WPM
mikea@mikea.ath.cx Extra
Tired old sysadmin working on his code speed

4559. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 16:28:47 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <3fR8e.10218$IP1.6731@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d40egg$12qq$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...

:
: I've looked at some of the older questions. The only thing about them
: that is difficult is that they tend to pertain to operating with
: equipment and different condition than today.
:

No, the only thing harder was that those were just samples, and you had to
actually understand the underlying material because the question on the
examination would be different.

From your description of "take the online test until I can pass it, then
rush down to the VE session", I expect that you'd be another Len Anderson
under those conditions, on the outside looking in.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4560. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 17:04:20 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bNR8e.63929$NC6.55482@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:3fR8e.10218$lP1.6731@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d40egg$12qq$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
> :
> : I've looked at some of the older questions. The only thing about them
> : that is difficult is that they tend to pertain to operating with
> : equipment and different condition than today.
> :
>
> No, the only thing harder was that those were just samples, and you had to
> actually understand the underlying material because the question on the
> examination would be different.
>
> From your description of "take the online test until I can pass it, then
> rush down to the VE session", I expect that you'd be another Len Anderson
> under those conditions, on the outside looking in.
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire
>
>

I have yet, a couple samples of the "FCC" study guides from days gone by. They told you what areas "may" be covered on their exams and a "typical" question. For the most part, for each class, they were one side - of a 8.5 x 11 sheet. Not looking at them, I think one or two may have been 2 sides. They did NOT divulge "actual" test content. You "had" to know electronics and any rules and regulations when you went there or else you wasted a trip, pure and simple. One class builds on the other, but back then, the tests got a hell of a lot harder as you climbed the ladder. They were in some cases, as tough as the Commercial exams. You couldn't get away with just memorizing a bunch of answers in a Q/A guide. You were only fooling yourself if you thought you could get away with that.

cl

4561. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 17:15:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113844537.727257.179070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106127

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun,Apr 17 2005 9:29 am
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat,Apr 16 2005 8:44 am

> >> How does that tie in with the use of morse code in
> >> museum windows?

> >The same way your service at ADA ties in with amateur radio policy.
>
> "My service at ADA" was NOT ever presented as any
> form of "justification" about "amateur radio policy."

So why tell us about it so many times? It was interesting
the first couple of dozen times, but not any more.

In fact, why tell us about it at all, since there's no
connection to amateur radio policy?

> What I originally presented was factual information
> based on personal experience in regards to USE OF
> MORSE CODE by a large Army communications station.

But why? That has nothing to do with amateur radio policy.
You said yourself that amateur radio isn't the US military.

> Army station ADA (it still exists, by the way) USE OF
> MORSE CODE MODE was nil, none, nada from 1953 onwards.

Even *if* that is true - so what?

> World War II ended in 1945.

And Morse Code was used by the US military in WW2, wasn't it?

> Further, I stated that (based on Pacific Stars & Strips
> published story of 1955) ADA relayed 220 thousand
> messages a month in 1955. ADA (also known by the
> TTY message identifier of "RUAP") was only the third
> largest Army station in ACAN (Army Command and
> Administrative Network). Such traffic operation took
> place around the clock, every day ("24/7").

But why?

> Further, I stated (correctly, from Army documents)
> that the ONLY morse code operator training in the
> 1950s was for Field Radio Operator.

Operator training and use aren't the same thing.

> Field Radio is
> exemplified by operations of Regimental-level
> AN/GRC-26 self-contained transmitter-receiver huts
> on the bed of a 2 1/2 ton truck. "Angry-26s"
> were in use at much lower traffic levels, by unit
> command, and also used TTY much more than any morse
> code...in Korea, in Japan, or anywhere else in the
> Far East Command in the early 1950s. Field radio
> did not normally communicate with Far East Command
> Headquarters directly, but had the capability.
> Such was never witnessed by myself, nor appeared
> in any operations orders of the station.

So you really are just going on the words of others.

> The brunt of military messaging is done by the
> (relatively, speaking in 1950s terms) high-speed
> TTY that can carry message traffic 24/7.

Morse can carry message traffic "24/7", Len. You can't.

> All of
> that constituted the NORMAL means of logistical
> communications...all of that necessary for troop
> movements, shipping of supplies, operational
> orders, etc., etc., etc.

Sure. And they used HF radio because other means
weren't available or were inadequate.

> The total personnel
> and installations in the Far East Command then
> was akin to a small state, therefore the amount
> of communications was quite large.

And the number of personnel assigned to the communications
was quite large, too, wasn't it? Not just you but more than
700 people at ADA alone, wasn't it?

> At NO TIME
> was any bank of morse code operators seen OR
> KNOWN serving either the FEC Hq or Army Central
> Command ("central" insofar as Japan).

"seen or known" by whom?

> Did I
> "know" all that? Yes. It was part of my duty
> there to not only be at a part of the
> communications station but to make trips to
> nearby units. Do I have absolute proof of all
> of it by referencible documents? No. Only some.

So you don't really know from personal experience. Besides,
as you have said, the non-existence of something cannot be
completely proved.

> Am I "lying" in stating any of the above? No.
> There is NO reason for me to "lie" about anything
> there.

Sure there's a reason. Several, actually. But I've never
accused anyone here of lying. Not even you. I've pointed
out mistakes, but that's a different thing entirely. A
lie is intentional, a mistake isn't.

> There is no reason for N2JTV to say
> anything about it, yet Gene was there at the same
> time I was, the same station but on a different
> operating team. [Gene doesn't access this group]

Do you call him "Genie" or some other insulting nickname,
Len? Or just "Gene" or "N2JTV"?

> The gist of all that is that: MORSE CODE WAS NOT
> IN USE FOR MAJOR COMMUNICATIONS TRAFFIC. Not in
> The Far East Command at the time. That Command
> included USAF and USN.

Even if that's true - so what? The Far East Command wasn't
amateur radio.

And it seems that you are hyper focused on "MAJOR COMMUNICATIONS
TRAFFIC" as if nothing else matters.

> I've seen documents that stated the communications
> plans from 1948 onwards would handle ALL normal
> message traffic by TTY for the future. I do not
> have such a document to "prove" it but can state
> that, from 1953 onwards, it WAS TRUE by example,
> by all operational orders between 1953 and 1956,
> by various Army documents published since 1956,
> by various Signal Corps photographs (none of
> which show any morse code operators at work) in
> the Far East Command.

Even if that's all true - and you could be mistaken about
it, but let's not go there right now - what possible connection
does that have to amateur radio policy in 2005?

> Was there ANY morse code used in the U.S. military?
> Of course.

FINALLY!!

Len admits the US military actually used Morse Code!

Next thing we'll see is the sun coming up in the west ;-)

> All in Battalion or smaller units for
> field radio in the Army...on board ship in what
> Hans Brakob describes as "small boys" such as
> DDs (destroyers) or lesser-tonnage vessels.

How about submarines?

Did you know that approximately half of all Japanese ships sunk
in WW2 were sunk by US submarines - a force that was only a very
small part of the US Navy at the time? Subs also sent back vital
information from Japanese-controlled areas (such as weather and
enemy task force movements). Also rescued downed airmen and aviators,
placed and retrieved covert operatives.

You can look all this up. I don't think US Navy submarines had teletype
aboard in WW2.

> Morse
> code skill was required by some airborne radio
> units (ASW and the like) and for aircraft on long,
> over-water flights...also for the (then) Distress
> and Safety (international) frequencies shared by
> everyone.

How about that!

> I do not have any specific cites of
> morse code use by SAC units of the 50s or 60s, but
> TAC does not include it. Long over-water flights
> my USAF military transports required morsemen on
> board.

You mean "radio operators skilled in the use of Morse Code"

> What you have to understand is that the
> cruiser or heavier class ships had carried RTTY
> since first starting with that in 1940.

All of them? Why do I "have to" understand that?

> That was
> necessary to insure the secure "rotor machine"
> encryption terminals (on-line or off-line capable)
> for Command orders and responses.

But other USN ships were able to communicate securely
without RTTY.

> Regardless of
> nit-picking on the names of such systems or their
> absolute, exact nomenclature,

You mean you were mistaken in your earlier posts, as
corrected by K0HB.

> their existance was
> acknowledged in at least two civilian books first
> published in the 1960s (David Kahn's "Codebreakers"
> was on the NYT non-fiction bestseller list for
> several months, a seminal text on history of
> cryptography).

Hams aren't allowed to encrypt their transmissions. Against
the rules. "Encrypt" meaning "to conceal the meaning".

> Morse code use in small-unit radio decreased and
> decreased from the 1950s onward. All branches,
> even the USCG.

Nobody disputes that. Yet even in the 1990s it was in use,
and there were maritime rescues dependent on it. SOLAS and
all that.

> TTY rates jumped from 60 WPM to
> 100 WPM,

Hardly a "jump", Len. More like a slide.

> then morphed into "data" in various
> forms at rates up to 2400 WPM over HF radio links.
> By 1978 the USAF (one of the remaining strong users
> of HF) was shutting down HF as a spectrum component
> in favor of the new satellite relay and
> troposcatter, VHF and UHF (they'd had the 225-400
> MHz "military aviation band" since shortly after
> WW2).

How does this have anything to do with amateur radio policy? All
amateur radio privileges above 30 MHz are available without a
code test.

> By then the sole use of morse code was
> limited to emergency communications as a secondary.

Even if true...so what?

> It MAY have been used for ALERT messaging of
> submarines but another (with actual experience of
> such communications) will have to give details.

Why, Len? You give all sorts of details on things you have
no experience with...

> By the 1980s, the ALERT messaging to boomers and
> sharks was done by some form of encrypted DATA.
> As to the SAC messaging on "oil burner routes" or
> otherwise on loitering flights, I can't comment
> on those formats or content other than to say
> morse code was NOT used for those.

Even if that's true.....
>
> So, there has been a lessening NEED for any
> "trained morsemen" in the U.S. military over the
> past HALF CENTURY.

Did the military call them "trained morsemen", Len? Or
something else, like "Radioman First Class"? (Rm1C)?

> It has VANISHED for use in
> actual communications in the military...since
> the International Distress and Safesty system
> was implemented a few years ago worldwide, the
> USCG has stopped monitoring 500 KHz.

Because they don't have to, anymore.

Did you know that a new Morse shore station was just licensed to
operate on 600 meters (500 kHz) and HF maritime frequencies? Call
is KSM.

> The military
> has had MILLIONS of U.S. citizens in service in
> all that time, still has a million-plus serving.
> Morse code use in the military is limited solely
> to INTELLIGENCE INTERCEPTS (one-way, "silent
> listening").

Even if that's true....

> GONE is the NEED for "trained morsemen" of any
> kind by the United States government.

Who ever said there was such a need in modern times, Len?
Not me.

> There is
> NO NEED of any sort of "trained pool" of such
> morsemen for the national use.

Who ever said there was?

> That lessening
> began about 57 years ago although it was already
> happening during WW2 when HF commercial SSB was
> carrying TTY messaging to Europe and Asia.

Here's a clue, Len:

The FCC, in Part 97, mentions the need for a pool of trained
skilled radio operators or some similar verbiage. You can
look up the exact words if you're so inclined. The key point
is that one of the Basis and Purpose of the Amateur Radio
Service is to have such a pool of radio operators. Doesn't say
anything about "morsemen". And it never has - the Basis and

Purpose were first put there in 1951, and the phrase has always
referred to "skilled radio operators" with no mention of Morse
Code.
>
> What is left is a lot of daydreaming by amateurs
> based on myths begun in WW2 of glorious use of
> morse "in battle zones" or as the valiant radio
> operators of B-17s and B-24s (actually more
> gunners than radio operators) and "fighting men"
> in ship radio rooms, etc.

What "myths", Len? Were you there?

Have you ever been in a B-17, B-24 or B-29?

> Generations of day-
> dreaming amateurs passed them on to succeeding
> generations until the mythos became almost
> palpable.

So you're saying Morse Code wasn't used in WW2 for
anything important, huh?

> The only radio service in the USA
> that requires morsemanship skills is Amateur
> Radio Service and that ONLY for privileges below
> 30 MHz.

And that's perfectly reasonable because hams *do* use
Morse Code - particularly below 30 MHz.

Seems to me your whole argument comes down to the idea
that since the US military doesn't use Morse Code much
if at all anymore, hams shouldn't use it either, nor
have a test for it.

All that verbiage of yours, summed up in one sentence.
>
> When it comes to "handling traffic" on HF, *NO*
> amateur radio group or net can come even close
> to the amount handled by the third-largest radio
> communications station of the Army did a half
> century ago.

Sure we can. 700 amateurs, each with PSK-31 or some other
modern data mode, 10 messages per day each. Do it for a month
and there's 220,000 messages.

But is size all that impresses you, Len? Seems like it.

> Not even if you use mulltipliers
> to make up for the (usually specious) claim that
> amateurs "use only their own purchased equipment."

What "specious claim", Len? It's a fact - almost all
hams have to buy/build and maintain their own equipment.
Not like the military, where Uncle pays for everything.

Sure, a few hams have access to club or other stations
funded by others. But they're the exception that proves
the rule.

> Further, amateurs do NOT do it 24/7 for months
> on end, "CW" or not.

Neither do you, Len. Nor did you, at ADA or anywhere
else. 700 plus personnel, remember?

> You are getting very tiresome on this petulant
> complaint about one other radio activity on
> HF or bitching about someone who was there.

The main petulance and bitching are yours, Len,
repeating the same story over and over and over, as
if it is somehow relevant. It isn't.

> Put an end to it.

Are you telling me to shut up, Len? Seems like it.

You rail on about the First Amendment but then tell others
to shut up. Double standard of the worst kind. I've never
told you or anyone else here to shut up...

> All your petulant whining
> about the glory and efficacy of morse code is
> of NO value in the whole wide world of radio
> communications today.

What "petulant whining", Len? Show us an example.

And the fact is, Morse Code is of great "value in
the whole wide world of radio communications today"
- because that includes Amateur Radio.

Or do you exclude Amateur Radio?

Aren't we hams big enough to count?

> All you have left is the
> mythology of "greatness in morsemanship" to
> rationalize keeping the morse code test for a
> HOBBY use of radio by amateur radio hobbyists.

No mythology, Len. Fact.

4562. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 17:27:30 UTC
From: see sea oh ecks at you aitch see dot comm <spammers@bugger.off.invalid>
Message-ID: <d40qm2pcv1@gabriel.uhc.com>
Source record: 106128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Michael A. Terrell <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>> Look at it this way......how many people do you know that can talk with
>> their fingers?
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI

> Anyone who can type a message on a keyboard?

Quite a few drivers!
--
Chris Cox, N0UK/G4JEC NIC Handle: CC345
If at first you don't succeed, skydiving is not for you.

4563. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 17:33:23 UTC
From: see sea oh ecks at you aitch see dot comm <spammers@bugger.off.invalid>
Message-ID: <d40r13pcv2@gabriel.uhc.com>
Source record: 106130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Michael A. Terrell <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:
> I was interested in Amateur Radio back in the late '60s but quickly
> lost interest in HF. I wanted to work 144, 432 and up, where code
> wasn't used so I went into broadcast and CATV engineering, followed by

Not used by whom? I frequently use CW on the VHF, UHF, and microwave bands -
perhaps moreso than SSB and certainly at least as much.
--
Chris Cox, N0UK/G4JEC NIC Handle: CC345
If at first you don't succeed, skydiving is not for you.

4564. Re: K1MAN - Answers Please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 17:34:38 UTC
From: Lloyd <daleks@mydaleksabc.org>
Message-ID: <d40r16$7jp$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106129

"Baxter Blows Monkeys" <hamsandwich@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1113836415.233674.324620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Can someone tell me why Glenn Baxter's license to sell insurance was
> administratively cancelled? He used to be able to sell insurance in
> Maine, but he can't do it anymore... What's up, is this a situation
> like his FCC license, did he fuck up somehow or rip somone off?
> Inquiring minds wanna know!
>
> GLENN A BAXTER
> INVESTORS FINANCIAL SERVICES
> 1 LONG POINT RD
> BELGRADE LAKES, ME 04918
> (207) 495-2215
>
> License Number First Licensure Current Issue Expiration Date
> Description
> PRR34986 06/05/1992 03/01/2001 Cancelled PRODUCER RESIDENT
>
> Authority Issue Date Termination Date
> VARIABLE LIFE & ANNUITY 10/25/1993 10/01/1995
> HEALTH 06/05/1992 11/21/1992
> LIFE AND HEALTH 11/21/1992 03/01/2001
> VARIABLE CONTRACTS 10/01/1995 03/01/2001
>
> Code C: Cancelled by administrative agency order
>

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

4565. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 17:38:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ZfS8e.10264$lP1.7500@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106131

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1113844537.727257.179070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

>
>> TTY rates jumped from 60 WPM to
>> 100 WPM,
>
> Hardly a "jump", Len. More like a slide.
>

I'll have to side with Len on this one. It was a JUMP, not a slide or a
slither.

When the USN fleet broadcasts shifted to JASON cover (100WPM) from black
uncovered (60WPM), estimates are that the TTY casualty rate approached 75%.

Machines which had been happily chugging along for years on 60WPM gears
literally self-destructed when 100WPM gears were installed.

73, de Hans, K0HB
Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

4566. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 17:45:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lnS8e.8121$yq6.6694@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106132

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1113802524.777688.312080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> That was necessary to insure the secure "rotor machine"
> encryption terminals (on-line or off-line capable)
> for Command orders and responses.

No, it wasn't necessary. The most popular "rotor machine" crypto system in us in ALL Navy ships was the off-line KL7 "ADONIS" system. It was incapable of on-line connection, and did not require TTY to transmit messages. Morse worked just fine, and 98% of all the "5-letter-coded-group" messages were generated on KL7 off-line machines.

73, de Hans, K0HB
Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

4567. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 17:46:03 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <vnS8e.28257$GJ.19351@attbi_s71>
Source record: 106133

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:TpydndflOZWEPP_fRVn-og@comcast.com...
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1113748725.209987.127770@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > bb wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >> > > > bb wrote:
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Michael Coslo wrote:
> >> > > >
> >> > > >> Oh well, Steve. I knew I was going to take my lumps from Len
> >> and
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > Brian
> >> > > >
> >> > > >>K. So be it. Reports of the Near-Space Ballooning project's
> >> > demise
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > are
> >> > > >
> >> > > >>greatly exxagerated......
> >> > > >
> >> > > > No lumps. There's still lots of things I'd like to do. Some
> >> > will
> >> > > > have
> >> > > > > to wait for retirement.
> >> > > >
> >> > > That's the spirit, Brian!
> >> > >
> >> > > Notice, Mike, that your comments about "taking lumps" were
> >> > > SPECIFICALLY directed at the OTHER Brian, yet Brian Burke jumped
> > in
> >> > to
> >> > > say "no lumps"...?!?!
> >> > >
> >> > > Maybe if he weren't always so prompt to be the antagonizer
> > he
> >> > > would have realized that you WEREN'T talking about HIM,
> >> Mike...?!?!!
> >> > >

> >> > > 73
> >> > >
> >> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> > Steve, you sure are one twisted up little man. How in the world
> > can
> >> > not giving someone lumps be classified as being "the antagonizer?"
> >> >
> >> > Nurse, heal thyself.
> >>
> >> I asked how not giving someone lumps can make them the antagonizer.
> >>
> >> Apparently K4YZ doesn't know.
> >>
> >> Can any of the K4YZ apologists help him with this?
> >
> > Hello? Hello? Anybody in there?
> >
> > What happened to all of the K4YZ apologists? Jim? Anybody?
> >
> > More and more it looks like Steve stands alone. Wonder why that
> > happened?
> >
>
> He hardly stands alone. However there is no need for others to repeat
what
> he has already said or to throw in a multitude of posts that simply say "I
> agree."
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

4568. Re: K1MAN KING OF ALL DOUCHEBAGS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 17:58:21 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <0zS8e.26906$xL4.26005@attbi_s72>
Source record: 106134

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.62.0504180239520.135@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
> On Sat, 16 Apr 2005, Baxter Blows Monkeys wrote:
> > First of all, if your name is Lloyd, Todd, Roger, or Glenn, or any of
> > their other nyms, don't bother replying to this thread, because you are
> > an asshole and you bring nothing to the party. Second, if you want to
> > post about heaven, pleae go to rec.religious.bullshit and post there
> > because the rest of us don't give a fuck about your spiritual opinions.
> > ...
>
> I am going to have to contest your declaration - only because there's
another
> candidate who is clearly in the running: K4YZ, Steve Robeson.
>
> You need to prove that K1MAN is the "King" by election. :-)
>
> > Now, let's talk about Amateur policy....

OK Dieter, what should be the Amateur policy regarding an amateur radio operator in this country declaring a national emergency for another country??? ak

Case in point:

Mr. E. Pitman,
Government Telecommunications Officer,
Department of Telecommunications,
Hamilton.

Dear Ted,

I am faxing a transcript of the broadcast put out by K1MAN last week. When you have read this I would like to have a meeting with you, maybe we could have lunch and discuss it then, to fill you in on what actually happened.

Your support with this would be appreciated. I have been to the American Consulate and will be writing a formal letter of complaint this week, requesting a public apology from K1MAN. He is advocating that I should lose my license for NOT passing third party traffic when the "world needed to know" and he had declared an emergency. He was doing this under the authority of the "FCC" according to him. I reminded him that we were not a State of the United States of America and that we were governed by the Department of Telecommunications and also the British arrangements for third party traffic. He could declare whatever he wanted to but until we had clearance, we would not pass it

No emergency had been declared and as far as we were concerned it was an unofficial net standing by in case we were needed should an emergency be declared. He did not like being informed that we were handling the situation ourselves very efficiently, without his help.

Please telephone me when it is convenient and I will explain more, or as I said, maybe we could have lunch.

Yours sincerely,
VP9HK

4569. Re: DAYTON !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 18:05:21 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113847521.526317.36980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.sport.golf,alt.gossip.celebrities,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ya know, if he's being honest, this definitely is mopey dopey posting.

4570. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 18:16:28 UTC
From: Lloyd <daleks@mydaleksabc.org>
Message-ID: <d40tfu$d45$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106136

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:lnS8e.8121$yq6.6694@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1113802524.777688.312080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>> That was necessary to insure the secure "rotor machine"
>> encryption terminals (on-line or off-line capable)
>> for Command orders and responses.
>
> No, it wasn't necessary. The most popular "rotor machine" crypto system
> in us in ALL Navy ships was the off-line KL7 "ADONIS" system. It was
> incapable of on-line connection, and did not require TTY to transmit
> messages. Morse worked just fine, and 98% of all the
> "5-letter-coded-group" messages were generated on KL7 off-line machines.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

How many other crypto systems were there in existence that you
did not have a "Need To Know" about?

73,

Lloyd

4571. Re: This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 18:51:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113850305.368016.202850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106137

Well Stevey, growing up in the 70's was a lot different then today. We didn't have tv with 100 channels or even the internet. Bottomline if you don't want to take the responsibility and watch what your childern are listening too or watching on TV then you shouldn't of had childeren, plain and simple.
One thing I hate most in the world are a bunch of right wing assholes from two religious groups telling me what I can and can't watch on TV. As for title of this thread THAT'S OK STEVE I CONTINUE TO RUN MY INFORMATION BULLETIN IN THE AMATEUR SERVICE. :)

Todd N9OGL

4572. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 19:03:01 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <426403c3$0$16805$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com>
Source record: 106138

In <1113433905.215142.38320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com> N2EY@AOL.COM writes:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Apr 12, 8:00 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm

snip!

>> >> Yet you make this BIG THING about morse code
>> >> in a window display...
>> >
>> >Is it wrong to mention an interesting architectural feature?
>>
>> Morse code is NOW an "interesting architectural feature?"

>The windows are an interesting architectural feature.

Way back in Usenet history(early 90's), there were posts signed by an author named "Serdar Argic" who repetitively posted about the Armenian murders of Turks in 1918:

http://www.jaedworks.com/shoebox/zumabot.html

(Reputable historians agree that the killing was the other way around.)

Some interesting similarities to Len:

- Serdar responded to each and every mention of "Turkey" or "Armenia," no matter the context, with long harangues about his interpretation of history.

- Len responds to each and every mention of "Morse Code," no matter the context, with long harangues about his interpretation of history.

- Any attempt to engage Serdar in debate resulted in him insulting others with invectives like "Gum Brain," "Wieneramus," "your Criminal Armenian Grandparents" (even others who were, say, ethnic Japanese), and "A mouthpiece for the fascist x-Soviet Armenian Government."

- Any attempt to engage Len in debate results in him insulting others with invectives like "Gunnery Nurse," "Katapult King," "Macho Morsemen," and "A believer in the Church of St. Hiram."

- While somewhat amusing at first, with posters able to easily rebut Serdar, even writing parodies mocking the overwrought style of the posts, the amusement turned to annoyance when the sheer volume of his

posts overwhelmed any on-topic discussion.

- While somewhat amusing at first, with posters able to easily rebut Len, even writing parodies mocking the overwrought style of the posts, the amusement turned to annoyance when the sheer volume of his posts overwhelmed any on-topic discussion.

- Serdar failed his Turing Test for human intelligence when it became clear that he could not distinguish between Turkey, the country, and Turkey, the meat. For example, his postings went up dramatically in the first two weeks preceding American Thanksgiving, strongly suggesting that the posts were written and posted by some kind of AI or "bot."

- Len's sentience, and ability to pass the Turing Test, is left as an exercise for the reader. As a first test, Len is invited to guess my positions on Morse Code.

--
73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

4573. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 19:11:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <VeKdnbqph8Qpv_nfRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106143

N9OGL wrote:
> OPS, I mean't to say :
> Steve, Steve, Steve, your the moron that doesn't understand the FCC
> rules.
> You can't grasp the idea tht my bulletins are legal and there's NOTHING
>
> you can do about it.
>
> Hows your plans of remove my blog going BWWWAAAAHHHAAAAAAAAAAA
> LOSER!
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

Or did you mean to say, *you're* as in your are. So who is the moron?

4574. Re: Morse gone by summer???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 19:17:29 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <dJT8e.3003$ZQ1.2902@fe11.lga>
Source record: 106139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1113835291.253735.225350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> 'Way to go Bert! That's a tough one, there aren't a whole lot of HZs on
> the air. "Back when" the only HZ on the air for years was HZ1HZ. He was
> only on 40M CW and usually only in the major DX contests so he was a
> real "catch". Problem with him was that he had about the worst bug
> swing most of us have ever heard, absolutely indecipherable. Thank God
> he wasn't a ragchewer. But that was OK because that swing was his
> immediate "identifier" and ya knew who it had to be even if ya could
> hardly copy him in the piles. I have no idea what their rules are today
> but back then only members of the royal family were allowed to get on
> the ham bands.
>
> Serious HF dxers aren't serious unless they work both phone and CW, ya
> have to do both or else yer shooting yerself in the foot.

Thanks Brian. I'm havin' gobs of fun and have broken out the K2/100 running approx. 70 Watts. I'm about a third of the way through toward DXCC and need an Asian contact for WAC.

I will heed your advice re. using both phone and CW. I do hop on 10 using phone while commuting to and from work, but in the shack, well... ;-)

To be honest, I suppose I just find the CW itself fun. I'm also a big WW II buff and was quite honored to work W5E over the weekend, who was operating from a Boeing B-17 bomber. The op was using the vintage onboard gear. It's humbling to think of the transmissions that have traveled through that gear. Tnx agn es hpe c u ota. Take care es...

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

4575. Re: K1MAN KING OF ALL DOUCHEBAGS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 19:20:37 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <K7ednTufI7mWuPnfRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106145

King Zulu wrote:
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> news:Pine.LNX.4.62.0504180239520.135@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
>
>>On Sat, 16 Apr 2005, Baxter Blows Monkeys wrote:
>>
>>>First of all, if your name is Lloyd, Todd, Roger, or Glenn, or any of
>>>their other nyms, don't bother replying to this thread, because you are
>>>an asshole and you bring nothing to the party. Second, if you want to
>>>post about heaven, pleae go to rec.religious.bullshit and post there
>>>because the rest of us don't give a fuck about your spiritual opinions.
>>>...
>>
>>I am going to have to contest your declaration - only because there's
>
> another
>
>>candidate who is clearly in the running: K4YZ, Steve Robeson.
>>
>>You need to prove that K1MAN is the "King" by election. :-)
>>
>>
>>>Now, let's talk about Amateur policy....
>
>
> OK Dieter, what should be the Amateur policy regarding an amateur radio
> operator in this country declaring a national emergency for another
> country??? ak
>
> Case in point:
>
> Mr. E. Pitman,
> Government Telecommunications Officer,
> Department of Telecommunications,
> Hamilton.
>
> Dear Ted,
>
> I am faxing a transcript of the broadcast put out by K1MAN last week. When
> you have read this I would like to have a meeting with you, maybe we could
> have lunch and discuss it then, to fill you in on what actually happened.
>
> Your support with this would be appreciated. I have been to the American
> Consulate and will be writing a formal letter of complaint this week,

> requesting a public apology from K1MAN. He is advocating that I should lose
> my license for NOT passing third party traffic when the "world needed to
> know" and he had declared an emergency. He was doing this under the
> authority of the "FCC" according to him. I reminded him that we were not a
> State of the United States of America and that we were governed by the
> Department of Telecommunications and also the British arrangements for third
> party traffic. He could declare whatever he wanted to but until we had
> clearance, we would not pass it
>
> No emergency had been declared and as far as we were concerned it was an
> unofficial net standing by in case we were needed should an emergency be
> declared. He did not like being informed that we were handling the situation
> ourselves very efficiently, without his help.
>
> Please telephone me when it is convenient and I will explain more, or as I
> said, maybe we could have lunch.
>
> Yours sincerely,
> VP9HK
>
>
>
MAN is a bigger idiot than lloydieboy and wogie...assuming that's possible.

4576. Re: This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 19:21:34 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <K7ednTqfI7nRuPnfRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106147

N9OGL wrote:
> As for title of this thread THAT'S OK STEVE I CONTINUE TO
> RUN MY INFORMATION BULLETIN IN THE AMATEUR SERVICE. :)

Which nobody listens to.

4577. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 19:32:03 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <42640aa7$0$16810$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com>
Source record: 106140

In <1113284464.892147.41950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com> LenAnderson@ieee.org writes:

snip!

> Paul Schleck (the extra who "signs" those welcome
> e-mails to new names in the newsgroup) is apparently
> long gone on some sabbatical or whatever. He doesn't
> answer any e-mails...at least to the web address on
> those "canned" welcome messages. ???

I prefer to read widely, but post judiciously, and only when I have something original to say.

I always respond to replies to my welcome message. In fact, the text of the message itself invites such replies:

'The author welcomes any and all constructive feedback. Please direct all such feedback to pschleck@novia.net and retain the original subject (e.g., "Re: WELCOME to rec.radio.amateur.*") in your reply.'

Just this month, I received and replied to messages from three correspondents about the welcome message. Most repliers express confusion over getting the message, as they didn't realize that they followed up to an article cross-posted to many newsgroups.

I invite anyone who didn't get a reply to try again, and post any bounce messages received. Failing that, if the reply isn't too personal, please post it here or on *.misc, and I will try to follow up with a considered reply.

The last time someone complained about bounces (someone named Andreas "Tekman"), it was due to a SPAM blacklist filtering out their message at the ISP level because they were posting from a site identified as a significant source of SPAM. His degree of good faith and sincerity in the matter (his followup posts included several schoolyard taunts and a death threat) was also strongly in question.

Len, if it was you that tried to reply, and didn't get an answer, does that mean that you now wish to have an E-mail conversion on newsgroup subjects? Your last message to me, on January 27th, 2004, said in no uncertain terms that you did not.

--
73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

4578. Re: This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 19:50:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113853852.787702.162270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106141

N9OGL wrote:
> Well Stevey, growing up in the 70's was a lot different then today.

How? Why? Is "decency" any more or less important today than 30 years ago? 100 years ago?

> We didn't have tv with 100 channels or even the internet. Bottomline if
> you don't want to take the responsibility and watch what your childern
> are listening too or watching on TV then you shouldn't of had
> childeren, plain and simple.

And please, Todd, tell me about YOUR children...What PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE in child rearing do YOU have, or are you just using this as an excuse to have "100 channels or even the internet" completely "adult oriented" for for your personal perversions...?!?!

> One thing I hate most in the world are a bunch of right wing
> ###holes from two religious groups telling me what I can and can't
> watch on TV.

Hot flash, hot rod...They are not telling you what you can or can't watch...they only want to be able to have family oriented television at a time that is, well, family oriented.

Just because YOU want to be able to watch "Hot Barely Legal Asian Teens" at 2 in the afternoon is NOT a defensible reason to have it on five network channels.

You'll ALSO note that the FCC's "obscenity" challenges have to do with COMMUNITY standards. Maybe where YOU live it's OK to use the "F" word in unmoderated public forums, but here they prefer to have a bit more responsible and mature conduct.

>As for title of this thread THAT'S OK STEVE I CONTINUE TO
> RUN MY INFORMATION BULLETIN IN THE AMATEUR SERVICE.

Not for long.

Steve, K4YZ

4579. Re: K1MAN KING OF ALL DOUCHEBAGS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 20:07:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113854852.853705.88610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106142

D. Stussy wrote:
> On Sat, 16 Apr 2005, Baxter Blows Monkeys wrote:
> > First of all, if your name is Lloyd, Todd, Roger, or Glenn, or any
of
> > their other nyms, don't bother replying to this thread, because you
are
> > an ###hole and you bring nothing to the party. Second, if you want
to
> > post about heaven, pleae go to rec.religious.bullshit and post
there
> > because the rest of us don't give a fuck about your spiritual
opinions.
> > ...
>
> I am going to have to contest your declaration - only because there's
another
> candidate who is clearly in the running: K4YZ, Steve Robeson.

Ahhhh! Not true, Miss Stussy! I bring plenty to the party! I
pull your strings and make you dance!

Of course YOU do the words..."Bill Pasternak's a crook! Bill
Pasternak's a crook!" You'll have to answer for those, Didi!

> > Now, let's talk about Amateur policy....
>
> Oh-my-god! Someone who actually wants a conversation on topic
instead of
> someone's personal attack on someone else. Obviously, this isn't the
place to
> have such a discussion (and for that reason, I shall also be dropping
this
> group very shortly).

"Shortly", Dieter?

Why not right now?

You've not added a single constructive thing here, nor are you
willing to do so without profanity or making false accusations of
wrongdoing.

As they say..."Don't let the door hit ya...." etc etc etc.

Steve, K4YZ

4580. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 21:18:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113859116.005128.136560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "cl" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 11:33 pm

>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1113788364.745073.206050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> cl wrote:

>>> The biggest problem with most is "laziness".
>>
>> Was that your problem? If you hadn't been so lazy you could have
>> learned the code in under a week?
>>
>
>Eh - I had the code down in 2 weeks for the Novice exam. AND I'm now
an
>Extra. Been licensed since the early 80s.
>Yeah, I probably could have learned it in under a week, if I pushed
myself.
>Most anyone will tell you - it isn't good to do such.

Sorry, according to many in here you have to approach it as
THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IN YOUR LIFE!!! :-)

>Besides, at that time,
>I was chasing rug rats - so study time was premium.

Excuses, excuses, excuses! :-)

>Most recommendations are
>15 minutes to a half hour a day. That hardly makes it possible in a
week. I
>used the words " "AT LEAST" 2 WEEKS". Some are faster learners than
others,
>that is a given. BUT my point was, you have to get started to learn
>ANYTHING. You can't absorb it through osmosis. Back to the timing
thing, I
>hope someone from the military can step in to tell us how much time
they
>were given to get the code down. I think they had to "Cram".

"Caveat," I was in the military, the United States Army,
voluntary enlistment beginning 13 March 1953. Went from
Basic to Signal School at Fort Monmouth, NJ. Amount of
Signal School time spent on morse code? ZERO! NO class,
NO "cramming."

At that time the ONLY military occupation specialty
in the Army requiring morsemanship was Field Radio.

Field Radio then required passing 20 WPM, was taught
at Camp Gordon (later Fort Gordon, now the home of the
Signal Corps). Drop-out rate was roughly a quarter of
all starting...that I know about. Those that didn't
make it, but had some apitude for electronics, got to
go to Inside Plant Telephone, Outside Plant Telephone,
Carrier, Teleprinter Operator, Field Wireman...or the
Infantry. :-)

My Signal School classes taught Microwave Radio Relay
(at a time when there was little of such operational).
Radar was also taught at Fort Monmouth, had the same
basic electronics as Microwave. I got assigned to a
Fixed Station Transmitter site in Japan. Got all of
about a day's worth of on-site "training" to operate
one of three dozen HF transmitters having a minimum of
1 KW output. NO MORSEMANSHIP NEEDED THERE. NO MORSE
USED at the third-largest station in the Army Command
and Administrative Network.

>>> Maybe you never will use it again.
>>
>> Perhaps. I've found little use for it so far. Maybe once I'm an
old
>> fart, have loads of time, and wax nostalgic for things that never
were,
>> I'll take it up and enjoy it, and demand that all learn it.
>
>Probably the same age bracket as me. I do listen to call signs now and
then
>on the scanner to pick out the services they represent - if I don't
>immediately know who the service is. I do listen some times to code on
the
>H.F. Bands.

...or what you think is morse. :-) There's very LITTLE
morse code on HF nowadays...EXCEPT inside the ham bands.

>>> There are many things you learn in life and may never use
>>> again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy.

Tell that to Ken Jennings! :-)

>>> Many people learned the skeletal
>>> system in health class, microorganisms in Biology class. It doesn't
mean
>>> they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as they graduated. But,
it was
>>> "required". It's not a big deal people. Once you get past the "do I
have to"

>>> and start doing it, you'll amaze yourself at how fast and easy it
can
>>> be.
>>
>> Indeed. I never had the "do I have to?" attitude as there was no
>> code-free license when I became a ham. Yet it took me about 9 weeks
of
>> daily practice.
>
>And you stuck with it!!!!!!!! You didn't quit, and it got you where
you
>wanted to be. OR had to be - for your class of license. 2 weeks, 9
weeks, so
>what... you did it. A milestone to be proud of. No one can fault you
for
>that effort.

Riiiight, Coach Lector. :-)

After my release from active duty in 1956, I thought it good to get a Commercial Radiotelephone License. Lots of job opportunities with that then. Couldn't find a Q&A book in town but I got a copy of the entire FCC regulations from a good guy at a local broadcast station, studied that and got my First 'Phone on the first sitting in Chicago, 90 miles away (didn't walk, rode the train, kept my shoes on even if there was no snow). Moved to L.A. at the end of '56, started at Art Center School of Design to become an illustrator. Worked during the day at Hughes Aircraft, found out that illustrators didn't make much money, liked electronics (already spent three years in Army communications) and switched to Electronics Engineering. Took me 15 years to complete that due to job requirements making me miss whole semesters. Got engineering responsibility, title, and pay before any "certificate" (suitable for framing) awarded (sheep did not sacrifice their skins for graduates, regardless of what is said).

In between semesters, I thought it a neat thing to learn this morse code stuff, get a fancy callsign to "sign after my name" (youth can be misleading on what is important). Got to roughly 8 WPM clean copy using practice tapes (magnetic, reel-to-reel, cassettes had not yet been invented in those 60s days). Stopped after that plateau, wondered "whatinhell am I doing spending all this time on morse?" I'd already spent three full years on Army communications at a major station (220 thousand messages a month in 1955), had become a supervisor, did finally work on microwave radio relay operations in the service, was now an employee of Ramo-Wooldridge Corp. in electronic warfare group, and the

Class D CBs had already started. I'd gotten the First 'Phone, worked on HF, was now working on more of the EM spectrum than any ham of today can use, already had a good home workshop and was coming along on professional design. I didn't "NEED MORSE" to GET ON THE AIR. I had already done that, perfectly legal, without fault.

I had tossed the idea of getting a "title" (the callsign) since there was MUCH MORE electronics coming along. The first of the ICs had already hit the market and some of us were tinkering with the first personal computers, rolling our own without benefit of MITs or Apple or SwTP kits (hadn't come out yet). PLENTY of fun and games in electronics AND radio to be interested in.

>>> I DO use code now and then, but not daily like many others do. Everyone has
>>> their own thing. Some are into Packet, RTTY, AMTOR, etc, I'm not...To each
>>> his own. But we all had to learn "something" about those modes to pass an
>>> exam.

Oooooo! "PASS THE (code) EXAM!"

Geez, poor babies, like an amateur exam is "Nobel Laureate" material? Like "rocket science?" Yeah... a "life accomplishment!" :-)

I used to "pass a test" every week...on payday. If I didn't KNOW what was needed on the job, to do the things my bosses had given me responsibility for, I wouldn't "pass that exam." No paycheck. Bye.

I never failed such an exam. I never failed any exam in college courses, either. I just kept on working in engineering design...and having to constantly keep on learning. The state of the electronics arts have NOT ceased to advance...not one iota of stopping.

>Funny thing is, we're all arguing pros and cons and in the end, it won't
>matter. WE do not have control.

NO NO NO!!! WRONG IN HERE!!!

The NO-CODE TEST ADVOCATE extras "HAVE CONTROL!" At least three have "forbidden" any non-amateur to EVER say anything about getting INTO amateur radio! Such folk are, as these gods of radio put it, "NOT INVOLVED!"

Damn the First Amendment (say those three). THEY "rule" on What Shall Be in U.S. amateur radio!

Their clubhouse door is CLOSED to "outsiders." [so are
their minds, BTASE...)

>So, if we're going to debate the issues we
>have no control over, may as well keep it clean.

What is "clean?" Anything done the way the ARRL says
is "clean?" Anything done to show "committment" and
"dedication" to amateurism is "clean?" Does "clean"
mean that ALL must obey the olde-fahrt amateur extras
who cuss at all the (evil) no-coders?

Does "clean" mean the usual Double Standard in this
newsgroup? All the PCTA extras can cuss at others
but everyone else has to be OH so polite, civil,
obediant, and respectful to their MIGHTY personal
accomplishments?

>Hardly any of us know the
>other and it isn't worth making enemies over.

Quite true, but that is NOT practiced in here. Look
at the labels of "PUTZ," "LIAR," "COWARD" that are
tossed out freely by these MIGHTY PCTA extras!

>Certainly not worth name calling....

It MUST be "worth it" to these stalwart, noble, good
and true MORSEMEN. They seem to thrive on it.

>Whether I'm right or wrong, I do value opposing view points.
>Everyone has a right to his/her own opinion.

Commendable and should be the operative ethic in here.

Unfortunately, it is NOT SO.

>Pro or con, it is a matter of time. May be a year, may be 5, but it
will
>come to pass.

Absolutely. But...that will be the END of the ARS
(Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person

4581. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 21:22:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113859347.602816.55740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "Michael A. Terrell" on Mon,Apr 18 2005 6:00 am

>cl wrote:
>

> The local ham club is looking for people to take classes with "Now
>Your Talking" rather than try to find people with any electronics
>background. I offered to help maintain their club equipment but they
>brushed me off because I don't have a ham ticket. I still have a
half
>way decent RF bench, but nothing compared to the $1,000,000 plus
benches
>of test equipment I had at Microdyne.
>
> I never had any formal electronics training, yet I ws a broadcast
>engineer, and a engineering tech for some products at Microdyne. I
>learned it because I wanted to. I went to work part time in a TV shop
at
>13 after school and on Saturdays. When I was drafted I was tested to
>prove I didn't know electronics but it backfired. I not only passed
the
>MOS test for Broadcast Engineer at Ft Knox, I was told I had received
>the highest score on record for the test. These are some of the
reasons
>for my sig file. :-)
>
>--
>Former professional electron wrangler.
>
>Michael A. Terrell
>Central Florida

Michael, be warned that you can now expect all sorts of
"hate mail" in public in response to what you've written.
:-)

Trust me on that if you haven't seen others' received
flak. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

Still a professional electron pusher (and long-time
electronics hobbyist) but one doesn't do it during
regular office hours. :-)

4582. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 21:31:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113859895.831311.186490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "cl" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 11:33 pm

> >Eh - I had the code down in 2 weeks for the Novice exam. AND I'm now
> an
> >Extra. Been licensed since the early 80s.
> >Yeah, I probably could have learned it in under a week, if I pushed
> myself.
> >Most anyone will tell you - it isn't good to do such.
>
> Sorry, according to many in here you have to approach it as
> THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IN YOUR LIFE!!!

Actually, Lennie, YOU are the only one making that assertion.

> Back to the timing
> thing, I
> >hope someone from the military can step in to tell us how much time
> they
> >were given to get the code down. I think they had to "Cram".
>
> "Caveat," I was in the military, the United States Army,
> voluntary enlistment beginning 13 March 1953. Went from
> Basic to Signal School at Fort Monmouth, NJ. Amount of
> Signal School time spent on morse code? ZERO! NO class,
> NO "cramming."

I guess it was too much to ask you to actually comment on
something you KNOW about, is it, Lennie...?!?!

HUUUUUUGGGGGGGEEEEEE snip of usual Lennie reliving his youth by
recounting his "good ole Army days..."...But still without really
answering the original correspondant's questions...

> >Pro or con, it is a matter of time. May be a year, may be 5, but it
> will
> >come to pass.
>
> Absolutely. But...that will be the END of the ARS
> (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society).
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenThePutzAnderson@ieee.com

> retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person

Retired from what he alledges to have been an engineering career...

Now full time newsgroup insulting.

Steve, K4YZ

4583. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 21:34:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113860068.078937.126200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106149

From: "K4YZ" on Mon,Apr 18 2005 2:26 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 4:37 pm
>
>> Case in point is others' opinions. Robeson labels those
>> as "LIES," perhaps even "deceit" even though those are
>> just personal opinions. Apparently, in Stevieworld,
>> only Stevie Robeson has the "truth." Ergo, in the logic
>> of Stevieworld, ALL contrary (to Robeson's) opinions
>> are "LIES" as well as "errors" and "deceit."
>>
>> That's quite sick. Irrational. Opinions are just
>> opinions.
>
> Opinions are just opinions when they start off "My opinion on the
>subject is..." Or "I think it would be better if..." THOSE are
>opinions.

Stop blabbering like a petulant child. Get with the program
in computer-modem communications. YOU DON'T DO WHAT YOU
YOU JUST COMMANDED.

You are NOT a "commander" of a damn thing in here. You can't
control yourself or your angry, petulant, emotional outbursts.

> Lies and deceit are things like "The ARRL BoD is dishonest" or
>"ARES won't be able to respond becasue their members are too old and
>oover obligated

"...oover obligated..." Finish what you write.

Those are OPINIONS. No "law" requires prefacing "every"
OPINION with your orders of the day. Kiss off.

>> Quite true, but Robeson hasn't learned to communicate
>> with rational people yet. He simply drives home a
>> blunt point that HE IS RIGHT with no proof or other
>> reference that it IS right.
>
> (A) Neither Leonard H Anderson or Brian P Burke are rational.
>They both make statements in public forum contrary to the presence of
>data that contradicts their assertions.

WHAT "data?" Robeson has presented NO "data."

> (B) Nice try on the "no proof" assertions, Lennie....And only
>MORE proof that I am correct in calling you a liar.

Robeson has NO "proof." All Robeson has is a seemingly
unending list of personal insults against all those who
disagree with him.

> Your marionette is presently avoiding answering questions about
>his assertions of the viability of ARES in the face of NUMEROUS news
>releases to the contrary.

NO ONE is any "marionette."

Your (usual) petulant outburst in another demonstrated
fit of anger shows you have little "proof" of anything
but your own anger and hatred of anyone saying the least
negative thing about your own opinions.

> Huge snip of ususal obnxious verbosity to.....
>
>> Other Amateur Extras seem unable to take any strong
>> action to stop his pollution of all threads in this
>> newsgroup. All threads eventually turn into Robeson's
>> insults of all his "opponents" in any discussion.
>> A few have made negative comments to his style, notably
>> Hans Brakob. Responses to those by Robeson were less
>> than civil and uncomplimentary.
>
> While I have no doubt that not everyone approves of my "up in
your
>face" methology of dealing with the two of you, I DO notice that NONE
>of them, other than to acknowledge your "First Amendment Right" to do
>so, "support" YOUR presence here, Lennie.

Tsk. Robeson should have all kinds of "doubt." :-)

Robeson not only acts like the sociopath but has a
monstrous EGO thinking that his "in your face" insult-
fest is "approved" by those that count. :-)

> It dosen't take one of those "inquiring minds" to know why....
>
> You are both liiars.

What is a "liiar?" List the "LIES" supposedly said in here.

> You don't do what you'll say you'll do.

Robeson has yet to "do what he says he will do." :-)

Namely PROVE the existance of this imaginary "fitrep"
person he says evaluated me at NADC 34 years ago. :-)

Robeson has yet to acknowledge that the Department of
Defense DOES DIRECT MARS...even when given the link to the

latest document issued by the DoD.

Robeson has yet to state the WHEN and WHERE of his mighty
"hostile action" experiences.

> They're tired of the same "Back in 1953...." war satories.

Well, regardless of the anger-induced typos ("satories"),
I did work big-time HF communications for three straight
years beginning in 1953. Robeson has NOT done anything
close to that. Robeson has NEVER worked in electronics
engineering as either civilian or military member, yet
presumes to be "knowledgeable" about engineering after a
very short-term job as a purchasing agent!

> You'e deceitful.

Present the "proof." Describe the WHY where ALL MUST
do exactly what they (allegedly) "promised" years ago.

Robeson does little else but hurl personal insults at
those who do not agree with him. That gets him the
attention he seems to be desperately seeking. Robeson
manages to turn EVERY thread in this newsgroup into his
own "FIGHT" with all those who disagree with him.

> It really is THAT simple.

Robeson IS "that simple."

Robeson is an EXAMPLE of a modern U.S. Amateur Extra.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4584. Re: K1MAN - Answers Please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 21:43:08 UTC
From: Baxter Blows Monkeys <hamsandwich@myway.com>
Message-ID: <1113860588.657364.217280@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106050

> ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

Thanks for your thoughts Roger. Two questions: Did your mother have any children that were _not_ congenitally brain damaged, and how long have you been slurping K1MAN's nutsack goo from his decrepit old scrotum?

4585. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 21:43:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113860638.554755.190440@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106051

From: "N9OGL" on Mon,Apr 18 2005 4:43 am

>Little Stevey say:
>>I understand theme better than you think. And yes, there ARE
>>things tob e done about you and your broadcasts. "
>
>Right.....well come on, belly up to the bar or get off the pot.

Todd, he is still "on the pot" trying to call every
other kettle "black." :-)

That's his whole activity in this newsgroup. "Hostile
action" stuff in FIGHTING with anyone he cares to.

Note his habit of making typos when angered, such as
"tob e done..." :-)

>>They're going.
>
>Right, Steve I think you full of it....
>
>Todd N9OGL.

Robeson is "full" of anger, resentment, and possibly
frustration over many things besides amateur radio.
He operates continually in a petulant, emotional
insult mode to anyone who disagrees with him in any
way. <shrug>

Don't try to discuss anything with him. Once the
first disagreement occurs (however slight), you
will find yourself on his "enemies" list and so
commented upon by him in here...regardless of what
you are talking about in any message. That's
almost a guarantee! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4586. Re: This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 21:46:05 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113860765.112941.286550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106052

Little Stevey said:
> How? Why? Is "decency" any more or less important today than 30
>years ago? 100 years ago?

Well Stevey, time changes and attitudes change.....I know for you and your little bible banging butt buddies at the AFA and PTC don't like that idea but it's the truth, We don't live in the 1950's anymore.

>Hot flash, hot rod...They are not telling you what you can or
>can't watch...they only want to be able to have family oriented
>television at a time that is, well, family oriented.
>Just because YOU want to be able to watch "Hot Barely Legal Asian
>Teens" at 2 in the afternoon is NOT a defensible reason to have it on
>five network channels.

First off I wouldn't watch some show called "Hot Barely Legal Asian Teens" What I was saying is some of the shit that these groups like the AFA (American Family Association) and PTC (Parent Television Council) are complaining about is really stupid stuff, and thanks to the FCC they've rejected a lot of their shit. Unforutnely, their trying to push congress to pass laws to allow the FCC to regulated content on cable and satellite. You see little stevey, I pay for that programming on satellite and if I want to watch something a little more adult in nature, that's my right, I'm paying for it. I don't need some fucking nazi bible banger tell me that I have to watch only "family oriented" programming.

>You'll ALSO note that the FCC's "obscenity" challenges have to do
>with COMMUNITY standards. Maybe where YOU live it's OK to use the "F"

>word in unmoderated public forums, but here they prefer to have a bit
>more responsible and mature conduct.

A public forum??? do you mean here???? well the Internet doesn't really follow the community standards that broadcasting does. Thanks to the Supreme Court those nazi at the AFA and PTC can't supress adult speech on the internet.

>As for title of this thread THAT'S OK STEVE I CONTINUE TO
> RUN MY INFORMATION BULLETIN IN THE AMATEUR SERVICE.

>Not for long.

Yeah right, I believe it when I see it, I'm starting to believe these guys on the context that your full of it.

4587. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 21:47:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113860857.619455.90710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Michael A. Terrell" on Mon,Apr 18 2005 6:00 am
>
> >cl wrote:
> > The local ham club is looking for people to take classes with
"Now
> >Your Talking" rather than try to find people with any electronics
> >background.

"Now You're Talking" is for folks with no prior background. The
idea being to introduce those who DON'T have that prior background.

> > I offered to help maintain their club equipment but they
> >brushed me off because I don't have a ham ticket. I still have a
> half
> >way decent RF bench, but nothing compared to the $1,000,000 plus
> benches
> >of test equipment I had at Microdyne.

I am sure the offer was appreicated, Mike, it it IS an "Amateur
Radio" club. Do you have an aversion to getting licensed?

> > I never had any formal electronics training, yet I ws a broadcast
> >engineer, and a engineering tech for some products at Microdyne. I
> >learned it because I wanted to. I went to work part time in a TV
shop
> at
> >13 after school and on Saturdays. When I was drafted I was tested
to
> >prove I didn't know electronics but it backfired. I not only passed
> the
> >MOS test for Broadcast Engineer at Ft Knox, I was told I had
received
> >the highest score on record for the test. These are some of the
> reasons
> >for my sig file. :-)
> >
> >--
> >Former professional electron wrangler.
> >
> >Michael A. Terrell
> >Central Florida
>
> Michael, be warned that you can now expect all sorts of

> "hate mail" in public in response to what you've written.

Actually, not really Mike.

Welcome to RRAP, wherein our resident
"used-to-be-an-engineer-and-know-everything-better-than-you"
representitive, Len "Lennie" Anderson endears himself and makes friends
by calling them Nazis, thugs, elitists, etc, then crying foul when
"called" on it.

> Trust me on that if you haven't seen others' received
> flak.

Trusting Lennie Anderson on ANYthing is like letting Jack
Kevorkian make your health care decisions for you.

Do a Google on "Lenover21@aol.com", "Lenof21@aol.com",
NOCWTEST@aol.com (before winter 2001, I believe...)

Lennie's "reputation" for honesty, trustworthiness and
dependability are less than adequate.

> Still a professional electron pusher (and long-time
> electronics hobbyist) but one doesn't do it during
> regular office hours.

You don't do it during OFF hours either, judging by your complete
lack of evidence on ANY "hobbyist" project other than listening to the
ATIS at LAX on your scanner.

Hope you'll get a ticket at one level or another, Mike...there's
a lot of fun to be had...If some club was rude to you, don't think it's
the whole tamale.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4588. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 21:53:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113861214.652284.205220@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106054

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1113844537.727257.179070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

> >> TTY rates jumped from 60 WPM to
> >> 100 WPM,
> > >
> > > Hardly a "jump", Len. More like a slide.
> >
> > I'll have to side with Len on this one. It was a JUMP, not a slide
or a
> > slither.

Poor choice of words on my part, Hans.

A better choice would be "step up" or "incremental increase".
I think of a "jump" as an order-of-magnitude increase, like
60 wpm to 600 wpm, etc.
>
> When the USN fleet broadcasts shifted to JASON cover (100WPM) from
black
> uncovered (60WPM), estimates are that the TTY casualty rate
approached 75%.

I can understand why!
>
> Machines which had been happily chugging along for years on 60WPM
gears
> literally self-destructed when 100WPM gears were installed.
>
didn't they test the machines at 100 before the changeover?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4589. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 22:05:38 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <CbW8e.64194$NC6.9900@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1113859116.005128.136560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: "cl" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 11:33 pm
>
>>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>news:1113788364.745073.206050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> cl wrote:
>
>
>
>>>> The biggest problem with most is "laziness".
>>>
>>> Was that your problem? If you hadn't been so lazy you could have
>>> learned the code in under a week?
>>>
>>
>>Eh - I had the code down in 2 weeks for the Novice exam. AND I'm now
> an
>>Extra. Been licensed since the early 80s.
>>Yeah, I probably could have learned it in under a week, if I pushed
> myself.
>>Most anyone will tell you - it isn't good to do such.
>
> Sorry, according to many in here you have to approach it as
> THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IN YOUR LIFE!!! :-)
>
>>Besides, at that time,
>>I was chasing rug rats - so study time was premium.
>
> Excuses, excuses, excuses! :-)
>
>>Most recommendations are
>>15 minutes to a half hour a day. That hardly makes it possible in a
> week. I
>>used the words " "AT LEAST" 2 WEEKS". Some are faster learners than
> others,
>>that is a given. BUT my point was, you have to get started to learn
>>ANYTHING. You can't absorb it through osmosis. Back to the timing
> thing, I
>>hope someone from the military can step in to tell us how much time
> they
>>were given to get the code down. I think they had to "Cram".

>
> "Caveat," I was in the military, the United States Army,
> voluntary enlistment beginning 13 March 1953. Went from
> Basic to Signal School at Fort Monmouth, NJ. Amount of
> Signal School time spent on morse code? ZERO! NO class,
> NO "cramming."
>
> At that time the ONLY military occupation specialty
> in the Army requiring morsemanship was Field Radio.
>
> Field Radio then required passing 20 WPM, was taught
> at Camp Gordon (later Fort Gordon, now the home of the
> Signal Corps). Drop-out rate was roughly a quarter of
> all starting...that I know about. Those that didn't
> make it, but had some apitude for electronics, got to
> go to Inside Plant Telephone, Outside Plant Telephone,
> Carrier, Teleprinter Operator, Field Wireman...or the
> Infantry. :-)
>
> My Signal School classes taught Microwave Radio Relay
> (at a time when there was little of such operational).
> Radar was also taught at Fort Monmouth, had the same
> basic electronics as Microwave. I got assigned to a
> Fixed Station Transmitter site in Japan. Got all of
> about a day's worth of on-site "training" to operate
> one of three dozen HF transmitters having a minimum of
> 1 KW output. NO MORSEMANSHIP NEEDED THERE. NO MORSE
> USED at the third-largest station in the Army Command
> and Administrative Network.
>
>
>>>> Maybe you never will use it again.
>>>
>>> Perhaps. I've found little use for it so far. Maybe once I'm an
> old
>>> fart, have loads of time, and wax nostalgic for things that never
> were,
>>> I'll take it up and enjoy it, and demand that all learn it.
>>
>>Probably the same age bracket as me. I do listen to call signs now and
> then
>>on the scanner to pick out the services they represent - if I don't
>>immediately know who the service is. I do listen some times to code on
> the
>>H.F. Bands.
>
> ...or what you think is morse. :-) There's very LITTLE
> morse code on HF nowadays...EXCEPT inside the ham bands.
>
>>>> There are many things you learn in life and may never use

>>>> again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy.
>
> Tell that to Ken Jennings! :-)
>
>>>> Many people learned the skeletal
>>>> system in health class, microorganisms in Biology class. It doesn't
> mean
>>>> they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as they graduated. But,
> it was
>>>> "required". It's not a big deal people. Once you get past the "do I
> have to"
>>>> and start doing it, you'll amaze yourself at how fast and easy it
> can
>>>> be.
>>>
>>> Indeed. I never had the "do I have to?" attitude as there was no
>>> code-free license when I became a ham. Yet it took me about 9 weeks
> of
>>> daily practice.
>>
>>And you stuck with it!!!!!!!! You didn't quit, and it got you where
> you
>>wanted to be. OR had to be - for your class of license. 2 weeks, 9
> weeks, so
>>what... you did it. A milestone to be proud of. No one can fault you
> for
>>that effort.
>
> Riiiight, Coach Lector. :-)
>
> After my release from active duty in 1956, I thought it
> good to get a Commercial Radiotelephone License. Lots of
> job opportunities with that then. Couldn't find a Q&A
> book in town but I got a copy of the entire FCC regulations
> from a good guy at a local broadcast station, studied that
> and got my First 'Phone on the first sitting in Chicago,
> 90 miles away (didn't walk, rode the train, kept my shoes
> on even if there was no snow). Moved to L.A. at the end of
> '56, started at Art Center School of Design to become an
> illustrator. Worked during the day at Hughes Aircraft,
> found out that illustrators didn't make much money, liked
> electronics (already spent three years in Army
> communications) and switched to Electronics Engineering.
> Took me 15 years to complete that due to job requirements
> making me miss whole semesters. Got engineering
> responsibility, title, and pay before any "certificate"
> (suitable for framing) awarded (sheep did not sacrifice
> their skins for graduates, regardless of what is said).
>
> In between semesters, I thought it a neat thing to learn

> this morse code stuff, get a fancy callsign to "sign
> after my name" (youth can be misleading on what is
> important). Got to roughly 8 WPM clean copy using
> practice tapes (magnetic, reel-to-reel, cassettes had
> not yet been invented in those 60s days). Stopped after
> that plateau, wondered "whatinhell am I doing spending
> all this time on morse?" I'd already spent three full
> years on Army communications at a major station (220
> thousand messages a month in 1955), had become a
> supervisor, did finally work on microwave radio relay
> operations in the service, was now an employee of Ramo-
> Wooldridge Corp. in electronic warfare group, and the
> Class D CBs had already started. I'd gotten the First
> 'Phone, worked on HF, was now working on more of the EM
> spectrum than any ham of today can use, already had a
> good home workshop and was coming along on professional
> design. I didn't "NEED MORSE" to GET ON THE AIR. I had
> already done that, perfectly legal, without fault.
>
> I had tossed the idea of getting a "title" (the callsign)
> since there was MUCH MORE electronics coming along. The
> first of the ICs had already hit the market and some of
> us were tinkering with the first personal computers,
> rolling our own without benefit of MITs or Apple or SwTP
> kits (hadn't come out yet). PLENTY of fun and games in
> electronics AND radio to be interested in.
>
>>>> I DO use code now and then, but not daily like many others do.
> Everyone has
>>>> their own thing. Some are into Packet, RTTY, AMTOR, etc, I'm
> not...To each
>>>> his own. But we all had to learn "something" about those modes to
> pass an
>>>> exam.
>
> Oooooo! "PASS THE (code) EXAM!"
>
> Geez, poor babies, like an amateur exam is "Nobel
> Laureate" material? Like "rocket science?" Yeah...
> a "life accomplishment!" :-)
>
> I used to "pass a test" every week...on payday. If
> I didn't KNOW what was needed on the job, to do the
> things my bosses had given me responsibility for, I
> wouldn't "pass that exam." No paycheck. Bye.
>
> I never failed such an exam. I never failed any exam
> in college courses, either. I just kept on working
> in engineering design...and having to constantly keep
> on learning. The state of the electronics arts have

> NOT ceased to advance...not one iota of stopping.
>
>
>>Funny thing is, we're all arguing pros and cons and in the end, it
> won't
>>matter. WE do not have control.
>
> NO NO NO!!! WRONG IN HERE!!!
>
> The NO-CODE TEST ADVOCATE extras "HAVE CONTROL!" At
> least three have "forbidden" any non-amateur to EVER
> say anything about getting INTO amateur radio! Such
> folk are, as these gods of radio put it, "NOT INVOLVED!"
>
> Damn the First Amendment (say those three). THEY "rule"
> on What Shall Be in U.S. amateur radio!
>
> Their clubhouse door is CLOSED to "outsiders." [so are
> their minds, BTASE...)
>
>>So, if we're going to debate the issues we
>>have no control over, may as well keep it clean.
>
> What is "clean?" Anything done the way the ARRL says
> is "clean?" Anything done to show "committment" and
> "dedication" to amateurism is "clean?" Does "clean"
> mean that ALL must obey the olde-fahrt amateur extras
> who cuss at all the (evil) no-coders?
>
> Does "clean" mean the usual Double Standard in this
> newsgroup? All the PCTA extras can cuss at others
> but everyone else has to be OH so polite, civil,
> obediant, and respectful to their MIGHTY personal
> accomplishments?
>
>>Hardly any of us know the
>>other and it isn't worth making enemies over.
>
> Quite true, but that is NOT practiced in here. Look
> at the labels of "PUTZ," "LIAR," "COWARD" that are
> tossed out freely by these MIGHTY PCTA extras!
>
>>Certainly not worth name calling....
>
> It MUST be "worth it" to these stalwart, noble, good
> and true MORSEMEN. They seem to thrive on it.
>
>>Whether I'm right or wrong, I do value opposing view points.
>>Everyone has a right to his/her own opinion.
>

> Commendable and should be the operative ethic in here.
>
> Unfortunately, it is NOT SO.
>
>>Pro or con, it is a matter of time. May be a year, may be 5, but it
> will
>>come to pass.
>
> Absolutely. But...that will be the END of the ARS
> (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society).
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person
>

Correction - I'm "not" Caveat Lector..... I use small case cl, he uses capitals. See my address within!

cl

4590. Re: This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 22:08:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113862105.571350.175390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106055

N9OGL wrote:
> Little Stevey said:
> > How? Why? Is "decency" any more or less important today than 30
> >years ago? 100 years ago?
>
> Well Stevey, time changes and attitudes change.....I know for you and
> your little bible banging butt buddies at the AFA and PTC don't like
> that idea but it's the truth, We don't live in the 1950's anymore.

Nor should we...But you didn't answer my questions about decency.

I don't have any "bible banging butt buddies" anywhere, Todd.

And what IS it with you and butt fetishes?

You really do have some seriously perverted issues, Todd.

> >Hot flash, hot rod...They are not telling you what you can or
> >can't watch...they only want to be able to have family oriented
> >television at a time that is, well, family oriented.
> >Just because YOU want to be able to watch "Hot Barely Legal Asian
> >Teens" at 2 in the afternoon is NOT a defensible reason to have it
on
> >five network channels.
>
> First off I wouldn't watch some show called "Hot Barely Legal Asian
> Teens"

Why not? Are you prejudiced against Asian women?

> What I was saying is some of the #### that these groups like the
> AFA (American Family Association) and PTC (Parent Television Council)
> are complaining about is really stupid stuff, and thanks to the FCC
> they've rejected a lot of their ####.

Stupid to YOU, but you're ignorant, profane, functionally
illiterate and just basically a fool. So who cares what you think?

> Unforutnely, their trying to push
> congress to pass laws to allow the FCC to regulated content on cable
> and satellite.

And at some level they should. There needs to be some sort of
control over the rampant promulgation of smut.

Your "bio" says you've been born and rasied in Taylorville, Todd.

Well, I've had a chance to travel...A LOT...I've worked in health care in little podunk communities and major cities. I've seen what our out-of-conrol "let it all hang out" 21st century attitudes have done to us. If you want a great appreciation of why a lot of people want to rein in some of that liberal behaviour, read "Rise And Fall of the Roman Empire" and see if you can't see some parallels

> You see little stevey, I pay for that programming on
> satellite and if I want to watch something a little more adult in
> nature, that's my right, I'm paying for it. I don't need some ####ing
> nazi bible banger tell me that I have to watch only "family oriented"
> programming.

Then get the adult channels.

Just becasue you pay for basic programming does NOT mean that you should have carte blanche access to all the kiddie porn and beastiality you think you "deserve".

> >You'll ALSO note that the FCC's "obscenity" challenges have to do
> >with COMMUNITY standards. Maybe where YOU live it's OK to use the
"F"
>
> >word in unmoderated public forums, but here they prefer to have a
bit
> >more responsible and mature conduct.
>
> A public forum??? do you mean here???? well the Internet doesn't
> really follow the community standards that broadcasting does. Thanks
> to the Supreme Court those nazi at the AFA and PTC can't supress
adult
> speech on the internet.

If you were acting like an adult, that might apply.

Your conduct here is "MEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEME", just like a three year old.

> >As for title of this thread THAT'S OK STEVE I CONTINUE TO
> > RUN MY INFORMATION BULLETIN IN THE AMATEUR SERVICE.
>
> >Not for long.
>
> Yeah right, I believe it when I see it, I'm starting to believe these
> guys on the context that your full of it.

Nothing happens in "a day", Todd. If your expecting to see someone knocking on your door today, tomorrow, or even a month from now, you'll be disappointed.

But it will happen.

Steve, K4YZ

4591. Todd Accepted Into Lennie's "Camp"...It Had To Happen ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 22:20:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113862824.599578.47860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106057

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "N9OGL" on Mon,Apr 18 2005 4:43 am
>
> >Little Stevey say:
> >>I understand theme better than you think. And yes, there ARE
> >>things tob e done about you and your broadcasts. "
> >
> >Right.....well come on, belly up to the bar or get off the pot.
>
> Todd, he is still "on the pot" trying to call every
> other kettle "black."

Oh, this is precious...

Snip to....

> Don't try to discuss anything with him. Once the
> first disagreement occurs (however slight), you
> will find yourself on his "enemies" list and so
> commented upon by him in here...regardless of what
> you are talking about in any message. That's
> almost a guarantee!

Guess that puts Todd, the functionally illiterate, profane, law breaking fetishist strongly in Lennie's "camp" where lying, deceivng and sending pictures of inappropriate content via e-mail is not only accepted, but practiced and condoned!

It's Official!

This is so precious! A wedding made in heaven!

Steve, K4YZ

4592. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 22:28:08 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113863288.485167.229420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106058

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun,Apr 17 2005 9:29 am

> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat,Apr 16 2005 8:44 am

> >You're misquoting all over the place, Len. But it doesn't matter.
> >Here, I'll clear it up:
>
> This is NOT a court of law and "exactness" of quoting
> is NOT required...

Are you afraid of exact quotes, Len? You seem to be.

> except by those who live for the
> pitiful "word battle" and self-glorification.

You mean like someone who needs to talk about his past
as a PROFESSIONAL over and over and over again?
>
> >MARS and amateur radio aren't the same thing. But many radio
amateurs
> >are involved in MARS.
>
> The MILITARY Affiliate Radio System is DIRECTED by the
> Department of Defense. They function quite well by
> sole use of military personnel.

No hams are involved in MARS?

> See the links to the
> actual words of the DoD DIRECTIVE posted in here...see
> the links to several of the "Grecian Firebolt" radio
> exercises posted in here.

Were you a part of that exercise, Len?
>
> >That's my position. If Steve says different, argue with *him*.
>
> Considering that James P. Miccolis is a "good buddie"
> of that wonderful representative of a modern U.S.
> Amateur Extra, that is a specious comment of yours. :-)

Not specious at all, Len. You hold me responsible for what someone
else does. Doesn't work like that, Len.

> You HAVE supported him in the recent past and not long
> ago disavowed any attempt to control his emotional
> outbursts.

What you're saying is that if I agree with him on something,
I'm somehow responsible for everything he does.

> >> >> MARS always was and remains a MILITARY radio system.
> >> >
> >> >But most of the participants aren't in the military.
> >>
> >> How do YOU know?
> >
> >I have sources, Len.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. That is acceptible

"acceptable", Len. A PROFESSIONAL writer doesn't make
such mistakes. ;-)

> ONLY to reputable
> journalistic practice. YOU are NOT a "reputable
> journalist." You do NOT have the qualifications.
> You are NOT INVOLVED in journalism. :-)

Neither are you, Len.

> >Do you feel insulted by my posts, Len? It seems so - you seem to
find
> >insult in everything.
>
> Not me.

Yes, you.

> You are the one with daydreaming about the
> "need" of morsemanship in amateur radio licensing
> test.

You really are unable to handle opposition and difference of
viewpoints, Len.

> >> Oh, yes, that ties right in with a Canadian museum
> >> having morse code in its window...sure...
> >
> >Those windows really seem to bother you.
>
> ? I wash windows. I like Microsoft windows.

I figured you for a Mac user, Len. ;-)

> What "bothers" me is that a NON-SERVUNG

"SERVUNG"?

What does that mean?

> (EVER) person
> tried to make out like he was the "expert" on the
> United States military use of radio.

I don't claim to be an expert on anything, Len. I think
the fact that I pointed out some of your mistakes really
burns your bacon.

> You are NOT QUALIFIED for such a judgement. <shrug>

Sure I am.

> >What has that to do with your claim that:
> >
> >"If you ain't got the guts to tell the details, you AIN'T done it.
> >Simple as that."
> >
> >That's what you wrote, Len. Does it only apply to Steve and not to
> >Brian.
>
> Simple. Brian has NOT insulted me personally, not even
> many times over. Robeson HAS and continues to do it.

Ah, I see.

If someone agrees with you and demonstrates the proper kudos,
you accept what they say as absolute fact, without any details or
supporting evidence.

But if someone disagrees with you, and maybe "returns your fire"
in the form of name calling and other jackass behavior, you
demand "proof" and deny the reality of their experience. In fact,
you claim that:

"If you ain't got the guts to tell the details, you AIN'T done it.
Simple as that."

Of course you've also demonstrated that no matter what proof is
presented, you won't accept it, and will attack the messenger.

So there's no reason to give you details or proof.

> >> I'm just showing what a damn LIAR he is.
> >
> >What lie?
> >
> >The claim he has made is that he found someone who knew you from
when
> >you were allegedly at NADC. And that someone says you didn't do such
a
> >great job there.
>
> That's the LIE you are referring to.

That he found someone, or what the person said?
>
> Why do you say "allegedly" there?

Because I don't know for sure. You've made mistakes before.

Why should I accept your words as fact when you don't accept mine?

> If you don't believe I
> was there (I was), then Robeson's claim is irrelevant.

No, it isn't.

Either you were there, or you weren't. That's objective reality.
Whether I believe it or not doesn't affect whether you were
there or not.

You claim without proof that no such person exists. So it's your
word against his.

> Why do you feel you are INVOLVED with Robeson?

What involvement? I'm simply pointing out some facts.

> You've already disavowed any capability of controlling
> his emotional tantrums in here.

So? *You* can't control his emotional tantrums "in here", either,
despite all your alleged knowledge of human nature and psychology, etc.

In fact, Len, you can't even control your own emotional tantrums "in
here" ;-)

> >Now maybe it's true and maybe it isn't. But it's basically your word
> >against that of some unknown person.
>
> IMAGINARY person.

The only way that could be proved true is if:

1) You were never at NADC, so nobody could know you from there.

2) Everyone who knew you there is now dead or otherwise unable to
communicate with Steve.

3) You have complete information that no one you knew there has
communicated with Steve.
>
> I can't "disprove" something that doesn't exist.

So it's your word against his. Nothing more or less.

> If you wish a reference to the fact that I WAS at NADC
> or that I worked with NADC engineers in the 1970s, you
> can verify that with KD6JG.

Why? Was he there with you? How do I know his information is reliable?

More important - how would it prove that Steve has not communicated
with someone who knew you there?
>
> Jimmie boy, you are getting VERY tiring with all this
> "intellectual word gaming" in here.

Now there you go, Len, trying to make me angry by calling me names.

The fact is you know you've painted yourself into a logical corner,
and you're trying to bluff and bluster your way out.

Can't you take "strong opposition"?

> All you are doing
> is WASTING TIME of others.

How? I'm simply pointing out some of your mistakes and holes in your
logic. Do you consider what you write to be a waste of time?

> I have plenty of time but
> grow tired of your constant petulance.

What "petulance", Len? I'm not calling you names.

> You have NO return on any investment.

That's not what my monthly statements say! ;-)

> All you seem to do is follow
> your buddie's word and SUPPORT him. You have NO proof
> that this imaginary "reference" of Robeson exists,
> can NOT present it to anyone else.

I'm not trying to prove it one way or the other. That's for
you and Steve to do. I'm just pointing out that your claims
are as unproven as his.

It's just your word against his. Nothing more.

> Why bother with
> all your foolish word games in here?

Typing practice. Spelling practice. Logic practice.

Plus it's mildly amusing.

> Are you that hard
> up for something to do?

Not me. Obviously *you* are, though...

> Bye. Off.
>
Sounds like a veiled command for me to shut up.

At least you won't call me a "feldwebel".

4593. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 22:39:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113863942.745104.91740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106059

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Mon,Apr 18 2005 2:26 am

> >> That's quite sick. Irrational. Opinions are just
> >> opinions.
> >
> > Opinions are just opinions when they start off "My opinion on
the
> >subject is..." Or "I think it would be better if..." THOSE are
> >opinions.
>
> Stop blabbering like a petulant child. Get with the program
> in computer-modem communications. YOU DON'T DO WHAT YOU
> YOU JUST COMMANDED.

I didn't "COMMAND" anything, Lennie.

> You are NOT a "commander" of a damn thing in here.

Whoa! Profantiy! I am so impressed, Lennie! You are so strong
when you start hurling profanity in a fitful rage!

> You can't
> control yourself or your angry, petulant, emotional outbursts.

You insist I am in an angry, petulant mode, Lennie, but from
what...?!?! "Expressing my opinion"...?!?!

That "NCTA" double standard sneaking in???

> > Lies and deceit are things like "The ARRL BoD is dishonest" or
> >"ARES won't be able to respond becasue their members are too old and
> >oover obligated
>
> "...oover obligated..." Finish what you write.

That was it, Lennie.

> Those are OPINIONS. No "law" requires prefacing "every"
> OPINION with your orders of the day. Kiss off.

OH! Now THAT was a COMMAND, Lennie! And one that even further
endears you to the ones you would seek to dominate and rule.

Too bad were on to you, eh,...?!?!

> > (A) Neither Leonard H Anderson or Brian P Burke are rational.
> >They both make statements in public forum contrary to the presence

of
> >data that contradicts their assertions.
>
> WHAT "data?" Robeson has presented NO "data."

Sure I have!

Brian insisted ARES's usefulness and ability to respond to calls
was "overblown".

In the last week alone I have provided no fewer than four
references that absolutely disprove his assertion, and all of those
from news releases within DAYS of his comments.

> > (B) Nice try on the "no proof" assertions, Lennie....And only
> >MORE proof that I am correct in calling you a liar.
>
> Robeson has NO "proof." All Robeson has is a seemingly
> unending list of personal insults against all those who
> disagree with him.

There's no "unending list of personal insults", Lennie.

All I have to do is recite what YOU have said and what YOU have
said you'd do then point out that you've not done it to make my point.

> > Your marionette is presently avoiding answering questions about
> >his assertions of the viability of ARES in the face of NUMEROUS news
> >releases to the contrary.
>
> NO ONE is any "marionette."

Brain P Burke is. He's yours, as a matter of fact.

But he may get jealous...You've started tossing affectionate
sounding conversation in Todd's direction...We may be ringside for a
cat fight soon!

> Your (usual) petulant outburst in another demonstrated
> fit of anger shows you have little "proof" of anything
> but your own anger and hatred of anyone saying the least
> negative thing about your own opinions.

No outburst. That's your imagination running amok, Lennie.

> > While I have no doubt that not everyone approves of my "up in your
> >face" methology of dealing with the two of you, I DO notice that
NONE
> >of them, other than to acknowledge your "First Amendment Right" to
do
> >so, "support" YOUR presence here, Lennie.
>
> Tsk. Robeson should have all kinds of "doubt."

But why?

> Robeson not only acts like the sociopath but has a
> monstrous EGO thinking that his "in your face" insult-
> fest is "approved" by those that count.

Lennie, you ARE the complete idiot I suggest you are.

In the very paragraph YOU quoted, I stated very clearly that I
"have no doubt" about who does or doesn't approve of my methodology of
dealing with you.

Why would you then make so assinine an assertion as to suggest
that I have some ego to suggest I believe it's "approved"...?!?!

Another snip of ususal pejorative laden rhetoric (that he claims
is only used AGAINST him...)....

> Robeson IS "that simple."

Not as "simple" as the alleged ex-radio engineer who makes
assinine assertions based upon quotes that clearly undermine it.

> Robeson is an EXAMPLE of a modern U.S. Amateur Extra.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

And the IEEE should sue to get you to stop using an IEEE address in
public. You're a humiliation to one and all.

Steve, K4YZ

4594. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 23:16:42 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <udX8e.10440$IP1.2903@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106060

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1113861214.652284.205220@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> didn't they test the machines at 100 before the changeover?

Ponder that question a minute! It's pretty hard to test a 60WPM machine at
100WPM until you convert it to 100WPM. Duh!!!

In some cases (CVA's, CL's, CA's, and flag-configured platforms) that was
possible with spare machines, but most hulls did not have that luxury. You just
swapped out the gearset and crossed your fingers. If it broke, you could always
send a CASREPT and shift to the FOX broadcast.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4595. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 23:39:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZJadnSgaVZOw2PnfRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106061

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> I figured you for a Mac user, Len. ;-)

Easy, Jim! I'm a Mac user. As well a PC user. But for my real work, its
a Mac.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4596. Re: This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-18 23:59:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113868758.522139.162270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106062

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Little Stevey said:
> > > How? Why? Is "decency" any more or less important today than 30
> > >years ago? 100 years ago?
> > >
> > Well Stevey, time changes and attitudes change.....I know for you
and
> > your little bible banging butt buddies at the AFA and PTC don't
like
> > that idea but it's the truth, We don't live in the 1950's anymore.
> >
> Nor should we...But you didn't answer my questions about
decency.

No I don't, my point was the times change and what was consider
indecent 30 to 40 years ago isn't today.

>
> I don't have any "bible banging butt buddies" anywhere, Todd.
>

really, you sound like one to me....I figured you were a life member of
the AFA.

> And what IS it with you and butt fetishes?
>
I have none.

> You really do have some seriously perverted issues, Todd.
>
> > >Hot flash, hot rod...They are not telling you what you can or
> > >can't watch...they only want to be able to have family oriented
> > >television at a time that is, well, family oriented.
> > >Just because YOU want to be able to watch "Hot Barely Legal Asian
> > >Teens" at 2 in the afternoon is NOT a defensible reason to have it
> on
> > >five network channels.
> > >
> > First off I wouldn't watch some show called "Hot Barely Legal Asian
> > Teens"
> >
> Why not? Are you prejudiced against Asian women?

it's not my thing and No, my girlfreind is Japanese.

> > What I was saying is some of the #### that these groups like the
> > AFA (American Family Association) and PTC (Parent Television
Council)
> > are complaining about is really stupid stuff, and thanks to the FCC
> > they've rejected a lot of their ####.
>
> Stupid to YOU, but you're ignorant, profane, functionally
> illiterate and just basically a fool. So who cares what you think?
>
> > Unforutnely, their trying to push
> > congress to pass laws to allow the FCC to regulated content on
cable
> > and satellite.
>
> And at some level they should. There needs to be some sort of
> control over the rampant promulgation of smut.

No, the FCC has rejected some of the AFA and PTC claims. this huge amount of "smut" that is out isn't really out there....if you want to see some really smutty television go to europe or the asian countries watch their tv channels.

> Your "bio" says you've been born and rasied in Taylorville,
Todd.
yes and your point is??? i've travelled all over the united states and europe.

>
> Well, I've had a chance to travel...A LOT...I've worked in
health
> care in little podunk communities and major cities. I've seen what
our
> out-of-conrol "let it all hang out" 21st century attitudes have done
to
> us. If you want a great appreciation of why a lot of people want to
> rein in some of that liberal behaviour, read "Rise And Fall of the
> Roman Empire" and see if you can't see some parallels
>
> > You see little stevey, I pay for that programming on
> > satellite and if I want to watch something a little more adult in
> > nature, that's my right, I'm paying for it. I don't need some
####ing
> > nazi bible banger tell me that I have to watch only "family
oriented"
> > programming.
>
> Then get the adult channels.
>

I'm not talking about porn channels

> Just becasue you pay for basic programming does NOT mean that
you

> should have carte blanche access to all the kiddie porn and
beastiality
> you think you "deserve".
>
> > >You'll ALSO note that the FCC's "obscenity" challenges have to do
> > >with COMMUNITY standards. Maybe where YOU live it's OK to use the
> "F"
> >
> > >word in unmoderated public forums, but here they prefer to have a
> bit
> > >more responsible and mature conduct.
> >
> > A public forum??? do you mean here???? well the Internet doesn't
> > really follow the community standards that broadcasting does.
Thanks
> > to the Supreme Court those nazi at the AFA and PTC can't supress
> adult
> > speech on the internet.
>
> If you were acting like an adult, that might apply.
>
> Your conduct here is "MEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEME", just like a three
year
> old.
>
> > >As for title of this thread THAT'S OK STEVE I CONTINUE TO
> > > RUN MY INFORMATION BULLETIN IN THE AMATEUR SERVICE.
> >
> > >Not for long.
> >
> > Yeah right, I believe it when I see it, I'm starting to believe
these
> > guys on the context that your full of it.
>
> Nothing happens in "a day", Todd. If your expecting to see
> someone knocking on your door today, tomorrow, or even a month from
> now, you'll be disappointed.
>
> But it will happen.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Todd N9OGL

4597. Re: This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 00:00:10 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <lLWdnQkYMcsm9fnfRVn-1A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106081

N9OGL wrote:

>
> A public forum??? do you mean here???? well the Internet doesn't
> really follow the community standards that broadcasting does. Thanks
> to the Supreme Court those nazi at the AFA and PTC can't supress adult
> speech on the internet.

Now if you could just manage some adult speech instead of vulgaritities.
Spewing fourth with strings of four letter profanities isn't adult in
case you haven't noticed toddyboy.

4598. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 00:02:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113866396.116790.297150@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106063

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sun,Apr 17 2005 9:29 am
>
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat,Apr 16 2005 8:44 am
>
> > >You're misquoting all over the place, Len. But it doesn't matter.
> > >Here, I'll clear it up:
> >
> > This is NOT a court of law and "exactness" of quoting
> > is NOT required...
>
> Are you afraid of exact quotes, Len? You seem to be.

Especially when they are FROM him...Because sure enough something
he said is going to come back to haunt him...

> > >MARS and amateur radio aren't the same thing. But many radio
> amateurs
> > >are involved in MARS.
> >
> > The MILITARY Affiliate Radio System is DIRECTED by the
> > Department of Defense. They function quite well by
> > sole use of military personnel.
>
> No hams are involved in MARS?

Sure they are, Jim. Lennie knows that.

And Lennie ALSO knows that his "sole use of military personnel" is
an absolute lie.

> > See the links to the
> > actual words of the DoD DIRECTIVE posted in here...see
> > the links to several of the "Grecian Firebolt" radio
> > exercises posted in here.
>
> Were you a part of that exercise, Len?

Of course not.

But Lennie COULD have been...Aafterall, HE said it's possible for
non-Amateurs to become "licensed" in MARS who are not otherwise part of
a relevent DoD program...

Still waiting for him to tell us of how he's now on MARS without a
Morse Code test....

> > >That's my position. If Steve says different, argue with *him*.
> >
> > Considering that James P. Miccolis is a "good buddie"
> > of that wonderful representative of a modern U.S.
> > Amateur Extra, that is a specious comment of yours.
>
> Not specious at all, Len. You hold me responsible for what someone
> else does. Doesn't work like that, Len.

Guess Lenie thinks that his sarcastic use of "wonderful
representitive" doesn't work for him too.

But it works just fine...

> > You HAVE supported him in the recent past and not long
> > ago disavowed any attempt to control his emotional
> > outbursts.
>
> What you're saying is that if I agree with him on something,
> I'm somehow responsible for everything he does.

As opposed to Lennie who's not even responsible for what Lennie
does!

> > >> >> MARS always was and remains a MILITARY radio system.
> > >> >
> > >> >But most of the participants aren't in the military.
> > >>
> > >> How do YOU know?
> > >
> > >I have sources, Len.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. That is acceptible
>
> "acceptable", Len. A PROFESSIONAL writer doesn't make
> such mistakes. ;-)

A TYPO! A TYPO! Lennie's MAD now Boys and Girls!

> > ONLY to reputable
> > journalistic practice. YOU are NOT a "reputable
> > journalist." You do NOT have the qualifications.
> > You are NOT INVOLVED in journalism.
>
> Neither are you, Len.

I've been paid for papers I've written. Had them published, too.
Guess that means I am "involved in journalism" too, eh...?!?!

> > >Do you feel insulted by my posts, Len? It seems so - you seem to
> find

> > >insult in everything.
> >
> > Not me.
>
> Yes, you.

ESPECIALLY him!

> > You are the one with daydreaming about the
> > "need" of morsemanship in amateur radio licensing
> > test.
>
> You really are unable to handle opposition and difference of
> viewpoints, Len.
>
> > >> Oh, yes, that ties right in with a Canadian museum
> > >> having morse code in its window...sure...
> > >
> > >Those windows really seem to bother you.
> >
> > ? I wash windows. I like Microsoft windows.
>
> I figured you for a Mac user, Len.
>
> > What "bothers" me is that a NON-SERVUNG
>
> "SERVUNG"?
>
> What does that mean?
>
> > (EVER) person
> > tried to make out like he was the "expert" on the
> > United States military use of radio.
>
> I don't claim to be an expert on anything, Len. I think
> the fact that I pointed out some of your mistakes really
> burns your bacon.

He's made TYPOS! Lennie's REALLY mad now!

> > You are NOT QUALIFIED for such a judgement. <shrug>
>
> Sure I am.

Lesseee......I LOVE it when Lennie claims that one MUST have
certain credentials in order to comment on military use of radio (which
Lennie has not participated in in almost 50 years himself...), yet when
any of us suggest that if he were a licensed Amateur with some
PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE in Amateur Radio policy and practice, all he can
tell us about is the licensure status of FCC commissioners and his Army
days in 53-56 while getting profane and demanding!

> > >What has that to do with your claim that:
> > >
> > >"If you ain't got the guts to tell the details, you AIN'T done it.
> > >Simple as that."
> > >
> > >That's what you wrote, Len. Does it only apply to Steve and not to
> > >Brian.
> >
> > Simple. Brian has NOT insulted me personally, not even
> > many times over. Robeson HAS and continues to do it.
>
> Ah, I see.
>
> If someone agrees with you and demonstrates the proper kudos,
> you accept what they say as absolute fact, without any details or
> supporting evidence.
>
> But if someone disagrees with you, and maybe "returns your fire"
> in the form of name calling and other jackass behavior, you
> demand "proof" and deny the reality of their experience. In fact,
> you claim that:
>
> "If you ain't got the guts to tell the details, you AIN'T done it.
> Simple as that."
>
> Of course you've also demonstrated that no matter what proof is
> presented, you won't accept it, and will attack the messenger.
>
> So there's no reason to give you details or proof.

Still wondering where Lennie's pictures of his home "radio hobby"
projects are...Of course he insults and

He insists he has some (projects), but never discusses them or
presents them. Has tons of time to insult others over theirs, though.

> > If you don't believe I
> > was there (I was), then Robeson's claim is irrelevant.
>
> No, it isn't.
>
> Either you were there, or you weren't. That's objective reality.
> Whether I believe it or not doesn't affect whether you were
> there or not.
>
> You claim without proof that no such person exists. So it's your
> word against his.
>
> > Why do you feel you are INVOLVED with Robeson?
>
> What involvement? I'm simply pointing out some facts.

That's what has Lennie confused. Facts are NOT his forte...

> > You've already disavowed any capability of controlling
> > his emotional tantrums in here.
>
> So? *You* can't control his emotional tantrums "in here", either,
> despite all your alleged knowledge of human nature and psychology,
etc.
>
> In fact, Len, you can't even control your own emotional tantrums "in
> here" ;-)

Extremely good point...especially with him making several posts
this day alone with out-and-out demands, multiple typos (his own "rule"
that typos are indicative of anger or "madness"), and frequent use of
profanity and antagonistic comments such as "kiss off".

> > >Now maybe it's true and maybe it isn't. But it's basically your
word
> > >against that of some unknown person.
> >
> > IMAGINARY person.
>
> The only way that could be proved true is if:
>
> 1) You were never at NADC, so nobody could know you from there.

Nope...Lennie was there.

> 2) Everyone who knew you there is now dead or otherwise unable to
> communicate with Steve.

Last I spoke with my contact there was 4 years ago. He may have
passed on since then, but he's in great shape...I really doubt it.

> 3) You have complete information that no one you knew there has
> communicated with Steve.

Ain't happened...Hence Lennie's frequent and lengthy tirades in
here in order to mask his retreat...Problem is all he's doing is
stompping that much harder!

> > I can't "disprove" something that doesn't exist.
>
> So it's your word against his. Nothing more or less.

A-yup. And it seems pretty evident from all teh rhetoric that I
hit a pretty sensitive chord.

He shudda kept his mouth shut instead of trying to show off!

> > If you wish a reference to the fact that I WAS at NADC
> > or that I worked with NADC engineers in the 1970s, you
> > can verify that with KD6JG.
>

> Why? Was he there with you? How do I know his information is
reliable?

And such corroboration would only further substantiate my
findings.

> More important - how would it prove that Steve has not communicated
> with someone who knew you there?

=)

> > Jimmie boy, you are getting VERY tiring with all this
> > "intellectual word gaming" in here.
>
> Now there you go, Len, trying to make me angry by calling me names.
>
> The fact is you know you've painted yourself into a logical corner,
> and you're trying to bluff and bluster your way out.
>
> Can't you take "strong opposition"?

Of course he can't, Jim.

That's why he's so frustrated. He came in here thinking (falsely)
that all those "amateurs" would just fall at his professional feet.

When we not only DIDN'T kneel before him but actually demonstrated
that, Yes, we CAN walk, chew gum AND talk on a radio at the same time,
he got down right indignant...!

> > All you are doing
> > is WASTING TIME of others.
>
> How? I'm simply pointing out some of your mistakes and holes in your
> logic. Do you consider what you write to be a waste of time?
>
> > I have plenty of time but
> > grow tired of your constant petulance.
>
> What "petulance", Len? I'm not calling you names.

I reiterate my suggestion of Lennie's perception as being better
than "us"...He sees all of us as errant children, and he thinks himself
the father about to administer corporal punishment.

> > You have NO return on any investment.
>
> That's not what my monthly statements say!

Seems to me all of us with an FCC Form 660 have an investment!

> > All you seem to do is follow
> > your buddie's word and SUPPORT him. You have NO proof
> > that this imaginary "reference" of Robeson exists,

> > can NOT present it to anyone else.
>
> I'm not trying to prove it one way or the other. That's for
> you and Steve to do. I'm just pointing out that your claims
> are as unproven as his.
>
> It's just your word against his. Nothing more.

Uh oh...that was rationality, Jim...You'll confuse the old man.

> > Why bother with
> > all your foolish word games in here?
>
> Typing practice. Spelling practice. Logic practice.
>
> Plus it's mildly amusing.

I kinda see it like watching a puppy chase his tail...Only I can't
figure out if Lennie is the puppy or the tail.

> > Are you that hard
> > up for something to do?
>
> Not me. Obviously *you* are, though...

Sounds to me like Lennie just wants everyone to shut up, get in
line behind him, and don't do anything HE doesn't do!

> > Bye. Off.
> >
> Sounds like a veiled command for me to shut up.
>
> At least you won't call me a "feldwebel".

I am sure Lennie wouldn't have known what it was if not for
"Stalag 17".

73

Steve, K4YZ

4599. Re: K1MAN - Answers Please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 00:25:26 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050419002526.6D3B21708B@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106073

```
In article <d40r16$7jp$0@pita.alt.net>
"FATASSED Lloyd" <dal...@mydaleksabc.org> wrote:
>
>
> "Baxter Blows Monkeys" <hamsandw...@myway.com> wrote in message
> news:1113836415.233674.324620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Can someone tell me why Glenn Baxter's license to sell
insurance was
> > administratively cancelled? He used to be able to sell
insurance in
> > Maine, but he can't do it anymore... What's up, is this a
situation
> > like his FCC license, did he fuck up somehow or rip somone
off?
> > Inquiring minds wanna know!
> >
> > GLENN A BAXTER
> > INVESTORS FINANCIAL SERVICES
> > 1 LONG POINT RD
> > BELGRADE LAKES, ME 04918
> > (207) 495-2215
> >
> > License Number First Licensure Current Issue Expiration Date
> > Description
> > PRR34986 06/05/1992 03/01/2001 Cancelled PRODUCER RESIDENT
> >
> > Authority Issue Date Termination Date
> > VARIABLE LIFE & ANNUITY 10/25/1993 10/01/1995
> > HEALTH 06/05/1992 11/21/1992
> > LIFE AND HEALTH 11/21/1992 03/01/2001
> > VARIABLE CONTRACTS 10/01/1995 03/01/2001
> >
> > Code C: Cancelled by administrative agency order
> >
>
>
> ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

Get all the sleep you can, FATASS. You are going to need it,
real soon.
```

4600. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 00:26:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113870415.231390.132510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106064

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Jamie wrote:
> > > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > > news:TpydndflOZWEPP_fRVn-og@comcast.com...
>
> > > > More and more it looks like Steve stands alone. Wonder why
that
> > > > happened?
> > > >
> > >
> > > He hardly stands alone. However there is no need for others to
> > repeat what
> > > he has already said or to throw in a multitude of posts that
simply
> > say "I
> > > agree."
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > > ....
> > >
> > > I agree...
> >
> > Another, "me, too." I never realized that NOT giving someone grief
> > made them an antagonist. I think Orwell wrote on this very
subject.
>
> Well, Brian...Other than Lennie, I haven't seen a single post
that
> says anything like "Yeah, Brian...You tell him!" In the last 24
hours,
> despite you claiming I "stand alone", N2EY, N8UZE, KC8GXW, K8MN, and
at
> least three of the anonymous hanger's on have made comments that
would
> seem to UNDERMINE your assessment.

It would appear that many on this group do not know right from wrong.
I'm just glad that you're here to represent the wrongness of your
convictions.

> So....once again it seems you're holding a busted hand....

I just figured that it was lonely at the top.

So anyway, Steve, how does not giving Coslo grief make me an antagonist?

4601. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 00:30:22 UTC
From: John <janorfolk@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113870622.673082.39310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Sorry, folks, k1man.com is back up.

4602. Re: This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 00:33:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113870799.451678.133940@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106066

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > Little Stevey said:
> > > > How? Why? Is "decency" any more or less important today than
30
> > > >years ago? 100 years ago?
> > >
> > > Well Stevey, time changes and attitudes change.....I know for you
> and
> > > your little bible banging butt buddies at the AFA and PTC don't
> like
> > > that idea but it's the truth, We don't live in the 1950's
anymore.
> >
> > Nor should we...But you didn't answer my questions about
> decency.
>
> No I don't, my point was the times change and what was consider
> indecent 30 to 40 years ago isn't today.

The "f" word, suggestions that people put razor blades in their
rectums, and other sexually descriptives words are obscene.

> > I don't have any "bible banging butt buddies" anywhere, Todd.

> really, you sound like one to me....I figured you were a life member
of
> the AFA.

I am sure that a lot of things sound strange to you, Todd. As a
matter of fact, I am sure that there are voices in your head at this
very moment telling you what to say.

> > And what IS it with you and butt fetishes?
> >
> I have none.

Then you are either a liar or you are in denial.

Which is it?

> > You really do have some seriously perverted issues, Todd.
> >
> > > >Hot flash, hot rod...They are not telling you what you can or

> > > >can't watch...they only want to be able to have family oriented
> > > >television at a time that is, well, family oriented.
> > > >Just because YOU want to be able to watch "Hot Barely Legal
Asian
> > > >Teens" at 2 in the afternoon is NOT a defensible reason to have
it
> > on
> > > >five network channels.
> > >
> > > First off I wouldn't watch some show called "Hot Barely Legal
Asian
> > > Teens"
> >
> > Why not? Are you prejudiced against Asian women?
>
> it's not my thing and No, my girlfreind is Japanese.

I seriously doubt that you have a "girlfriend" in any regularly
accepted use of the term, Todd. You may have female acquaintences, but
I have a hard time accepting that any concious, aware adult female
would allow your mouth to touch her ANYwhere.

> > > What I was saying is some of the #### that these groups like the
> > > AFA (American Family Association) and PTC (Parent Television
> Council)
> > > are complaining about is really stupid stuff, and thanks to the
FCC
> > > they've rejected a lot of their ####.
> >
> > Stupid to YOU, but you're ignorant, profane, functionally
> > illiterate and just basically a fool. So who cares what you think?
> >
> > > Unforutnely, their trying to push
> > > congress to pass laws to allow the FCC to regulated content on
> cable
> > > and satellite.
> >
> > And at some level they should. There needs to be some sort of
> > control over the rampant promulgation of smut.
>
> No, the FCC has rejected some of the AFA and PTC claims. this huge
> amount of "smut" that is out isn't really out there....if you want to
> see some really smutty television go to europe or the asian countries
> watch their tv channels.

Hey BLOCKHEAD...You and I don't live in Europe and the FCC doesn't
have jurisdiction there!

> > Your "bio" says you've been born and rasied in Taylorville,
> Todd.
> yes and your point is??? i've travelled all over the united states

and
> europe.

You've obviously not paid attention to the deterioration of our
society in the last half of the 20th Century.

> > Then get the adult channels.
>
> I'm not talking about porn channels

I didn't say "porn". I said "adult".

Todd, try to respond to what was written, OK?

And why did you snip out the part wherein I asked you about your
qualifications on child rearing? How many kids have you raised?

Steve, K4YZ

4603. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 00:37:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113871068.508732.114520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106067

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Jamie wrote:
> > > > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:TpydndflOZWEPP_fRVn-og@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > > > > More and more it looks like Steve stands alone. Wonder why
> that
> > > > > > happened?
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > He hardly stands alone. However there is no need for others to
> > > repeat what
> > > > > he has already said or to throw in a multitude of posts that
> simply
> > > say "I
> > > > > agree."
> > > > >
> > > > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > > > > .....
> > > > >
> > > > > I agree...
> > >
> > > Another, "me, too." I never realized that NOT giving someone
grief
> > > made them an antagonist. I think Orwell wrote on this very
> subject.
> >
> > Well, Brian...Other than Lennie, I haven't seen a single post
> that
> > says anything like "Yeah, Brian...You tell him!" In the last 24
> hours,
> > despite you claiming I "stand alone", N2EY, N8UZE, KC8GXW, K8MN,
and
> at
> > least three of the anonymous hanger's on have made comments that
> would
> > seem to UNDERMINE your assessment.
>
> It would appear that many on this group do not know right from wrong.
> I'm just glad that you're here to represent the wrongness of your
> convictions.

They're not wrong.

> > So....once again it seems you're holding a busted hand....
>
> I just figured that it was lonely at the top.

The top of the dunce stool, perhaps...
>
> So anyway, Steve, how does not giving Coslo grief make me an
antagonist?

I didn't say it did.

Now...How about those unlicensed devices and when is ARES going to
fold?

Steve, K4YZ

4604. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 00:45:34 UTC
From: manisheretostay <Fan@manisheretostayho.net>
Message-ID: <d41k95$pq0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"John" <janorfolk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1113870622.673082.39310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Sorry, folks, k1man.com is back up.
>

Much to chagrin of his detractors.

4605. Re: K4YZ Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 00:46:58 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <2a7234d65811366a84e294f81c845841@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106068

In article
<1113817179.119226.116980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> Well, Brian...Other than Lennie, I haven't seen a single
post that
> says anything like "Yeah, Brian...You tell him!"

That's because you have your head up your fat ass, Nursie.

> In the last 24 hours,
> despite you claiming I "stand alone", N2EY, N8UZE, KC8GXW,
K8MN, and at
> least three of the anonymous hanger's on have made comments
that would
> seem to UNDERMINE your assessment.

What is "hanger's on," Nursie?
You wouldn't mean "hangers-on," would you?
Get some education, nursie.

> So....once again it seems you're holding a busted hand....

And once again you are holding your busted empty head in your
hands, Nursie.

4606. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 00:53:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113871997.578451.57920@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106070

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Lennie is YEARS BEHIND coming clean on his errors, lies and
> > > deceit, Brian... Y E A R S ! ! ! !
> >
> > The old, "two wrongs makes a right" defense.
>
> Other than getting my math wrong, there was no "wrong" commited,
> Brain...And certainly not one that rates an "apology" to Lennie.

Two seperate lies in two seperate threads? I'd say that makes you
wrong!

> > > > You won't do what you know to be right because someone else
isn't
> > > doing
> > > > what you know to be right.
> > >
> > > I AM, repeat AM doing "what's right".
> >
> > Repeating something doesn't make it any more true or false. But in
> > this case, it makes lies #19 and #20.
>
> STILL WAITING on you to produce some validation of your claims
on
> 1 through 18, Brain.
>
> You've not documented a one of them.

You've got them archived in Google.

> > > You and Leonard are lairs, Brian. You don't tell the truth.
> YOU
> > > make glaring errors, and then when I make a simple one, all of a
> > sudden
> > > you think your slates are wiped clean.
> >
> > Lessee? 30 hours before Len makes an appearance in one of your
> > demented threads, you're chiding him for disapproving of what you
> said.
> > Then you have a conversation with a claimed colleague of Len's
years
> > and years before you ever heard of Len. Both cases were smear

> > campaigns against Len, and you say you make simple errors???
>
> A N D Y O U A R E S T I L L R E F U S I N G to read
what
> was said in the first place, Brian.
>
> There's a lot of things I can help with, Brian, but arrogant
isn't
> one of them.

That's why I've repeatedly asked you to seek professional help. You're not enough to solve your own problems.

> > > Sorry...Doesn't work that way.
> >
> > That's right Steve, it doesn't work that way. What you said was an
> > outright lie.
>
> Nope. Never was.
>
> The O R I G I N A L comments addressed Lennie's history of
doing
> EXACTLY what I said then....

I am PRESENTLY addressing your history of doing EXACTLY what you accuse Len of doing.

> > > I already count two acknowledgements of errors I have made
> this
> > > week.
> >
> > Errors? Simple mistakes? Nobody thinks that, not even you.
>
> Sure I do.

Self-deceit is easily accomplished when you have problems such as you have. Again, I recommend professional help.

> And Brain P Burke STILL has not acknowledged his errors about
> ARES. Very clearly documented. Very clearly DISproven with MULTIPLE
> news releases.

The only thing clearly documented is your inability to accept that the available resources were inadequate to cover the designed capability of the volunteer group. You manipulated the exercise to have the outcome that you desired. Unfortunately for you, I saw through the gaping holes instantly.

> > > I haven't seen a single one from you acknowledging your ARES
> > > errors alone...
> >
> > There's a profound reason that you haven't seen such an
> acknowledgement

> > from me...
> >
> > I made no error. You fudged the entire exercise (lied) so that you
> > could "prove" me wrong, but nobody's buying it. You chalked up
quite
> a
> > few lies in that little fiasco. Quit before you reach the point of
> no
> > return.
>
> You say "no one", Brain, but so far YOU are the only one trying
to
> make a point about it.

I don't mind.

> And you DID make an error. You've made NUMEROUS errors, yet
> refuse to acknowledge a one of them despite reams of documentation
that
> PROVE you to be in error.

So you say. Oh, well.

Meanwhile, I pointed out PRECISELY where you fudged the exercise in an
attempt to gain the desired outcome. Hi!

> > > > I'm just glad the world isn't full of
> > > > people like you. Actually, I thank my lucky stars every night
> that
> > > the
> > > > world isn't full of people like you.
> > >
> > > As well you should.
> >
> > And I do.
>
> Good. It's your FIRST wise move.

Nonsense statement.

> > > It's got to be embarrassing getting your nose
> > > rubbd in all the errors YOU make by just little ole me...You'd
not
> > > withstand more than one.
> >
> > #21.
>
> Where's 1 through 20?

In the past two weeks, #s 1 through 20 precede #21.

> > > Here's your sign, Brian >>LOSER<<
> >

> > #22.
>
> Where's 1 through 21?
>
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Hey, you finally told a truth. See? You are capable of it.
>
> So far I'd say I am ahead of you about 100 to 1 without fear of
> contradiction.

Sure, if you lie about it!

10,000 to 1 if you count your refusal to sign your name
> to your posts in an attempt to hide your identity.

That's #23. Hi!

4607. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 00:58:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <WZOdnVdSbM0hyvnfRVn-3Q@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d40egg$12qq$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
> :
> : I've looked at some of the older questions. The only thing about them
> : that is difficult is that they tend to pertain to operating with
> : equipment and different condition than today.
> :
>
> No, the only thing harder was that those were just samples, and you had to
> actually understand the underlying material because the question on the
> examination would be different.

But it wasn't harder, just different.

> From your description of "take the online test until I can pass it, then
> rush down to the VE session", I expect that you'd be another Len Anderson
> under those conditions, on the outside looking in.

Well then you'd be wrong.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4608. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 01:03:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <QdudnVwbhrFLxfnfRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106072

Kim wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>
>>cl wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a
>
> few
>
>>>minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a test.
>>
>>Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to get
>>to 5 wpm.
>>
>>I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
>>understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>>
>>I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a
>>lot of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> For some, Mike, the "code" (i.e., even just the connotation in the word
> "code") is all they got.

Well said, Kim.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4609. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 01:19:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <KsudnYO6lpYowfnfRVn-hw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cl wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>
>>cl wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a
>>>few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
>>>test.
>>
>>Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to get to
>>5 wpm.
>>
>>I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
>>understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>>
>>I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a lot
>>of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Ok.... It took "me" 2 weeks, I know others who learned it quickly, but I
> can't provide a time frame. Yes, code "can" be harder for others to pick up.
> I don't doubt that for a minute. Point is, you have to put one foot in front
> of the other and stick with it, to get down the path to learn it.

Yup. I must confess that I kind of drew you and some folks into this a bit, because I have some significant hearing defects. Several 60+ db notches,esp at the mid and higher frequencies and two separate tones of tinnitis, a different frequency for each ear. I haven't had a quiet moment for 30 years or more. When conversing with people, I read lips. I understand vey much the situation of the fellow whose wife has notches in her hearing.(conjecture alert) I would also say I suspect that the constant noise in my ears has turned of parts of my brain that process sound. And that is probably why I had such a hard time (conjecture alert off) All I can say for teh folks with hearing problems is that study, practice, and most importantly, relaxation during copying is the key.

Does 6 months of constant hard effort indicate the desire to "stick with it"?

> Many don't
> want to start, and whine about it without ever putting forth effort. Hell, I
> know people who bitched about having to look at the "basic" Q/A manual! One
> remark was "Do I "have" to learn all this?" Another - "Do I "have" to read
> all these questions?" But yet they want a license. Pure laziness. Licenses
> should be "earned" not given away. People are least likely to respect
> something "given" to them.

Most of what you say , I agree with. If a person doesn't want to study,
they shouldn't have a license

> The bands are already showing signs of
> deterioration from people who just don't care.

I've heard of some pretty wild times long before things were "dumbed down"!

- Mike KB3EIA -

4610. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 01:29:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113874189.721018.203090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106075

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1113861214.652284.205220@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> > didn't they test the machines at 100 before the changeover?
>
> Ponder that question a minute! It's pretty hard to test a
> 60WPM machine at
> 100WPM until you convert it to 100WPM. Duh!!!

You misunderstand - probably because I wasn't clear. Here's what I meant to say, but mangled:

"Didn't 'Those In Charge Back On Land'(1), who decided to change to 100 wpm, run some off-air, lab tests on the various types of teleprinters in use by the fleet before the changeover, to see how the machines would hold up?"

I guess not. They must have figured that if the teleprinter manufacturer said the machines would work at 100 wpm, and made 100 wpm gears, there would be no problem.
>
> In some cases (CVA's, CL's, CA's, and flag-configured
> platforms) that was
> possible with spare machines, but most hulls did not have that >
luxury. You just
> swapped out the gearset and crossed your fingers. If it broke, > you
could always
> send a CASREPT and shift to the FOX broadcast.
>
I know what FOX is and I assume CASREPT is a request for a repeat. But I could be mistaken.

73 es tnx de Jim, N2EY

(1) Civilian's made-up term that should be self-explanatory.

4611. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 01:30:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113874217.419991.26290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106076

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 4:37 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> bb wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > bb wrote:
> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > bb wrote:
> >> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > > > bb wrote:
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > So, is lie #16 the conversation with a former
> colleague of Len's? Or
> >> > > > > > > > is lie #16 Steve's tenure on RRAP? Or is it
actually
> lies #16 and #17?
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > Neither, but nice try.
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > Steve, you lied. The only question is which time?
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > The conversation with a former colleague of Len's?
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > The length of tenure on RRAP?
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > Or both.
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > Fess up.
> >> > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > Well well...Looks like I made an error in math. My
> bust.
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > Well, well...it took you Quitesometime to fess up.
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > Now where is Len's apology?
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > Right behind HIS apology to this NG for years of lying,
> accusing,
> >> > > > > deceiving and antagonism, Brian...Right behind!
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > Just hold your breath and wait!
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > Steve, K4YZ

> >> >
> >> > Well, well. So much for your "strength of conviction."
> >>
> >> Lennie is YEARS BEHIND coming clean on his errors, lies and
> >> deceit, Brian... Y E A R S ! ! ! !
> >
> >The old, "two wrongs makes a right" defense.
>
> I have to disagree.

I wasn't implying that you were wrong, only that Robeson views you as wrong, and thusly, he can be as wrong as he needs to be with complete immunity. In they typical liberal fashion, any means to achieve the desired end is "good." Like lying about what ARES needs.

Robeson is so caught up in his personal
> hatred of me that he cannot possibly discuss anything
> rationally...or even act rationally. He is the constant
> antagonist of ANYONE who disagrees with him.

Indeed. The moron even harangued me for not giving Coslo grief over his aborted near-space balloon project. I was characterized as an antagonist for not exhibiting antagonistic behavio[u]r. Hi. Hi, hi! What an idiot.

> Case in point is others' opinions. Robeson labels those
> as "LIES," perhaps even "deceit" even though those are
> just personal opinions.

Like the many other assertions that Robeson makes, they are simply wrong.

Apparently, in Stevieworld,
> only Stevie Robeson has the "truth." Ergo, in the logic
> of Stevieworld, ALL contrary (to Robeson's) opinions
> are "LIES" as well as "errors" and "deceit."

And so his sickness manifests itself.

> That's quite sick. Irrational. Opinions are just
> opinions.

Robeson is quite sick, irrational.

> However, Robeson seems to take everything against his
> opinions as a "personal attack." He then respond but
> that response IS a personal attack on his perceived
> attacker. He hasn't yet learned that such is NOT the
> way discussions go in our society.

He's still having problems figuring out what an antagonist is. Meanwhile, he's got a fan club from the rra.MISC egging him on.

> On the other hand, if Robeson has been so brainwashed
> by the remaining amateur radio membership organization
> that he believes ONLY what they say, then any negative
> against him is held AS a personal attack. That is NOT
> the fault of anyone voicing a negative opinion against
> Robeson's, it is Robeson's fault.

Robeson has many faults.

> >> > You won't do what you know to be right because someone else
isn't
> doing
> >> > what you know to be right.
> >>
> >> I AM, repeat AM doing "what's right".
> >
> >Repeating something doesn't make it any more true or false. But in
> >this case, it makes lies #19 and #20.
>
> Quite true, but Robeson hasn't learned to communicate
> with rational people yet. He simply drives home a
> blunt point that HE IS RIGHT with no proof or other
> reference that it IS right.
>
> That's either a monstrous ego at work there or it can
> be a curious mixture of the reasons I mentioned just
> before. Either way, it is not right...to rational
> people.

Nefarious Steve. He could be his own Rap Group.

> >> You and Leonard are lairs, Brian.
>
> That's a falsehood, a LIE. Robeson's only justification
> of that is that HE IS RIGHT. It is his antagonist style
> which is so off-putting to so many...no justification,
> just the personal insult and LIE combination.

Robeson is a liar.

> >> You don't tell the truth. YOU
> >> make glaring errors, and then when I make a simple one, all of a
> sudden
> >> you think your slates are wiped clean.
>
> Robeson's simple LIE-insult in the first quoted sentence
> is an absolute statement. It is without any presented
> proof...therefore it is Robeson's OPINION. However, any
> of Robeson's OPINIONS are - in his communications here -
> perfectly "right." HE IS RIGHT in Stevieworld. Problem
> is, everyone else is NOT in Stevieworld (wherever that is).

I'm just glad that Ed McMahon didn't knock on my door saying that I won
a vacation to Stevieworld.

> >Lessee? 30 hours before Len makes an appearance in one of your
> >demented threads, you're chiding him for disapproving of what you
> said.
> >Then you have a conversation with a claimed colleague of Len's years
> >and years before you ever heard of Len. Both cases were smear
> >campaigns against Len, and you say you make simple errors???
> >
> >> Sorry...Doesn't work that way.
> >
> >That's right Steve, it doesn't work that way. What you said was an
> >outright lie.
>
> Robeson's HATRED and ANGER seem to be overriding any
> rationality here. Robeson cannot prove the existance
> of this "fitrep" determiner. He has compounded that
> by numerous statements, none of which have any proof
> of truth. [see my previous message on this...]

He is an irrational being. Perhaps if he came clean on the seven
hostile actions, he could buy a couple of credibility points so sorely
needed.

> Actually, several years ago, Robeson tried the same
> ploy and embellished that until nearly in the form it
> was recently. That was unproveable then and his
> claim is unproveable now.

It's the seriousness of the accusation that's important, not the truth
in it (or lack thereof). Like his homosexual and pedophilia inuendo.

> None of this discussion has ANYTHING to do with amateur
> radio policy. It is just the usual Stevie psychotic
> activity in here, part of his general misuse of the
> newsgroup as his own personal "battleground."
>
> Robeson doesn't seem capable of acknowledging that he
> ever does anything wrong. That seems to increase his
> anger quotient and his personal insults grow to
> incomprehensible blatherings of Hatred and Anger.
>
> Robeson is an EXAMPLE of a modern U.S. Amateur Extra.

Unfortunately. Yet most other Extra's on RRAP are good with Robeson's
behavio[u]r. Steve farts in church and we're to believe it's incense.

> Other Amateur Extras seem unable to take any strong
> action to stop his pollution of all threads in this
> newsgroup. All threads eventually turn into Robeson's
> insults of all his "opponents" in any discussion.
> A few have made negative comments to his style, notably

> Hans Brakob. Responses to those by Robeson were less
> than civil and uncomplimentary.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

bb

4612. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 01:40:34 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113874834.462989.171510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106077

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "bb" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 4:37 pm
>
> > Case in point is others' opinions. Robeson labels those
> > as "LIES," perhaps even "deceit" even though those are
> > just personal opinions. Apparently, in Stevieworld,
> > only Stevie Robeson has the "truth." Ergo, in the logic
> > of Stevieworld, ALL contrary (to Robeson's) opinions
> > are "LIES" as well as "errors" and "deceit."
> >
> > That's quite sick. Irrational. Opinions are just
> > opinions.
>
> Opinions are just opinions when they start off "My opinion on
the
> subject is..."

Is that your official opinion?

> Lies and deceit are things like "The ARRL BoD is dishonest" or
> "ARES won't be able to respond becasue their members are too old and
> oover obligated
>
> > Quite true, but Robeson hasn't learned to communicate
> > with rational people yet. He simply drives home a
> > blunt point that HE IS RIGHT with no proof or other
> > reference that it IS right.
>
> (A) Neither Leonard H Anderson or Brian P Burke are rational.
> They both make statements in public forum contrary to the presence of
> data that contradicts their assertions.

Yet the data also contradict your assertions, and therein is where
one's opinion can be sourced. Even your own attempt at writing a
simple schedule for an ARES exercise refuted your very claims that ARES
alawys satisfies customers needs.

> (B) Nice try on the "no proof" assertions, Lennie....And only
> MORE proof that I am correct in calling you a liar.

I am correct in calling you a liar.

> Your marionette is presently avoiding answering questions about
> his assertions of the viability of ARES in the face of NUMEROUS news
> releases to the contrary.

Speak of avoiding...

You are free to try rewriting the duty roster for that ARES exercise.
But please do adhere to customers expectations.

> Huge snip of ususal obnxious verbosity to.....

That would be "usual."

> > Other Amateur Extras seem unable to take any strong
> > action to stop his pollution of all threads in this
> > newsgroup. All threads eventually turn into Robeson's
> > insults of all his "opponents" in any discussion.
> > A few have made negative comments to his style, notably
> > Hans Brakob. Responses to those by Robeson were less
> > than civil and uncomplimentary.
>
> While I have no doubt that not everyone approves of my "up in
your
> face" methology of dealing with the two of you, I DO notice that NONE
> of them, other than to acknowledge your "First Amendment Right" to do
> so, "support" YOUR presence here, Lennie.
>
> It dosen't take one of those "inquiring minds" to know why....
>
> You are both liiars.
>
> You don't do what you'll say you'll do.
>
> They're tired of the same "Back in 1953...." war satories.
>
> You'e deceitful.
>
> It really is THAT simple.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You've piled on the lies at an uprecedented rate in the past two weeks.
Why would we believe anything you might say today?

4613. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 01:45:58 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113875158.165389.190140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106078

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Mon,Apr 18 2005 2:26 am
>
> > Your marionette is presently avoiding answering questions about
> >his assertions of the viability of ARES in the face of NUMEROUS news
> >releases to the contrary.
>
> NO ONE is any "marionette."

Robeson is shamed that he could not write a valid schedule with fewer
than the required number of volunteers. He is angry that my opinion
turned out to be correct, as proved by his very own works.

4614. Re: K1MAN - Answers Please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 01:54:13 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <66094eeae252050e107acad316d00bc0@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106079

In article
<1113860588.657364.217280@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"Baxter Blows Monkeys" <hamsandw...@myway.com> wrote:
>
>
> > ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
>
> Thanks for your thoughts Ro[stomp!]

BWHAHAHAHA..Thanks for admitting you are Roger's BITCH! Oh, and
does your fat hog of a wife still give blacks blowjobs?

4615. Re: Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 01:57:02 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113875822.813223.280770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106080

K4YZ wrote:

> Absolutely a lie, Dieter!
>
> You've repeatedly told us about your former IRS employment and
> suggested that you have the knowledge and friends to make your wishes
> happen.

"Dialing..."

Hi, hi!

4616. Re: Where Did All These Anti-Sexy Female Prudes Come From?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:00:15 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <b35054b63a8d00f82776d678f5505932@paranoici.org>
Source record: 106151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <1113820802.789771.24810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> Good question.

And as usual, you dodge it, Nursie.

> So far, all of my "dares" have been for YOU to prove ANY
of your
> assertions or "opinions" to be correct.

Sort of like yours that youb constantly lie about, Nursie.

> So far, not a one of them has met the test of seeing
daylight, let
> alone being corroborated or "proven" as correct.

Sort of like how you couldn't prove I've never been to your
place of employment, Nursie.

> Which pretty much leaves you at the bottom og the barrel.

Learn to spell, Nursie. "Of" course, you'll make one of your
lying excuses for making the error.

4617. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:17:30 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42646A36.326AF5C5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
>
> "Now You're Talking" is for folks with no prior background. The
> idea being to introduce those who DON'T have that prior background.

They insisted that everyone had to buy the book, no matter their
background.

> > > I offered to help maintain their club equipment but they
> > >brushed me off because I don't have a ham ticket. I still have a
> > half
> > >way decent RF bench, but nothing compared to the $1,000,000 plus
> > benches
> > >of test equipment I had at Microdyne.
>
> I am sure the offer was appreicated, Mike, it it IS an "Amateur
> Radio" club. Do you have an aversion to getting licensed?

No, but If I had to send CW I would have to use a computer keyboard
because my hands are stiff and I have almost no feeling left, other than
a constant dull pain. The ability to do delicate work at any reasonable
speed is gone. I have severe tinitus and get migraines easily so
listening to the heterodynes and static quickly trigger headaches so I
can't even think about long hours of listening to HF signals like I did
as a kid.

> Welcome to RRAP, wherein our resident
> "used-to-be-an-engineer-and-know-everything-better-than-you"
> representitive, Len "Lennie" Anderson endears himself and makes friends
> by calling them Nazis, thugs, elitists, etc, then crying foul when
> "called" on it.

Thanks!

> > Trust me on that if you haven't seen others' received
> > flak.
>
> Trusting Lennie Anderson on ANYthing is like letting Jack
> Kevorkian make your health care decisions for you.
>
> Do a Google on "Lenover21@aol.com", "Lenof21@aol.com",
> NOCWTEST@aol.com (before winter 2001, I believe...)
>
> Lennie's "reputation" for honesty, trustworthiness and
> dependability are less than adequate.

>
> > Still a professional electron pusher (and long-time
> > electronics hobbyist) but one doesn't do it during
> > regular office hours.
>
> You don't do it during OFF hours either, judging by your complete
> lack of evidence on ANY "hobbyist" project other than listening to the
> ATIS at LAX on your scanner.
>
> Hope you'll get a ticket at one level or another, Mike...there's
> a lot of fun to be had...If some club was rude to you, don't think it's
> the whole tamale.

I have been around hams and radio clubs since the '60s. Some are the salt of the earth, and others are a pain in the ass just like any other large group of people. One club was strictly for the rich blowhards who did nothing but argue about who spent the most o their radios, had the biggest lightning farm in their back 40 but they never seemed to do anything but argue with each other. A few other small groups were interested in homebrewing a complete station, and had a good grounding in electronics. You could have conversations about equipment, design and operating the equipment and they knew what they were talking about. I helped start the ham radio club at my high school in the late '60s. The school system provided us with a small corner for the radios and a teacher for a couple hours a week so we could be in the school after hours. I got people to donate dead radios and TVs. I repaired them, sold them and raised close to $1000 to buy parts and equipment. As soon as the station was on the air the three licensed hams in the club spent all their time either on the air tying up all the equipment or arguing that it wasn't their fault no one else could use it because they didn't have a license, rather than do like they promised and help others learn the code and get their ticket. Not long after that I was drafted and spent a couple years in the Army. After that I did two way radio repair, broadcast and electronics manufacturing. These days the only mode i would enjoy would be VHF or higher, and I'd probably spend more time building radios than using them. BTW, the board of directors of the local club has a couple retired EEs who look down on everyone else who doesn't have a degree, or hasn't been on the air for teen years or more. I know a number of local hams who dropped out of the club and gave up on ham radio altogether because of club politics. The only thing they seem to do right is have their small hamfest at their club headquarters and not charge people for a ticket to enter to buy or browse. I went to the last one to try to find some old friends and came home with a full truckload of parts and damaged old equipment to repair or use for parts. I ran into a half dozen people I had lost contact with and had a little fun, till my legs gave out.

When I lived in Ohio I sold parts and reconditioned equipment at the Dayton and Cincinnati hamfests. I made a lot of friends and had a lot of repeat customers but I find very few hams in Florida who even know which end of a soldering iron to pick up. I had on guy yelling at me at

the last hamfest I sold at because I had computer cable and connectors, but they weren't assembled. He was yelling, The day I can't buy what I need already assembled, is the day i sell my station. I politely suggested he sell it right away and do other hams a big favor by getting off the air.

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4618. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:37:21 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <B9_8e.11966$44.3526@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cl wrote:

>
>
> Leave it in, take it out, the riff raff is already invading the bands.
>
>
Like the clowns that hung out at 14.313 back in the days
when hams who could operate there had to pass a
13WPM or higher code test?

>>I'm not a "lover" of code, but I have hold a
>>license
>>>which required code.
>>

Requirements are fine as long as they are sensible. Back
50 years ago it made sense to require code. Today...?

4619. Re: This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:38:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113878291.952977.102410@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106085

>The "f" word, suggestions that people put razor blades in their
>rectums, and other sexually descriptives words are obscene.

Perhaps in your small weak mind....it varies from person to person what
obscene is.

> I seriously doubt that you have a "girlfriend" in any regularly
>accepted use of the term, Todd. You may have female acquaintences,
but
>I have a hard time accepting that any concious, aware adult female
>would allow your mouth to touch her ANYwhere.

well stevey I wouldn't bet on it,

>Hey BLOCKHEAD...You and I don't live in Europe and the FCC doesn't
>have jurisdiction there!

No dipshit, my point is that in europe their television content is a
hell of lot worse then over here.

You've obviously not paid attention to the deterioration of our
society in the last half of the 20th Century.

That's a fucking crock, the only ones claiming that the US is morally
decaying are right wing bible bangers. they said that shit when rock
and roll came out back in the 50's and in the late 60's with the hippie
movement and if you believe that there is a deterioration of mortality
in our society then your just a fucking stupid as those fucking bible
banging nazi's

>I didn't say "porn". I said "adult".

When most think of adult or adult content they think of porn. Go to
google or yahoo and type in adult content and see how many porn sites
pop up.

>And why did you snip out the part wherein I asked you about your
>qualifications on child rearing? How many kids have you raised?

My girlfriend and I don't want kids, But the bottomline is that if you
don't want to keep tabs on what your childern are watching and or
listening too then you should have fucking kids. Stop relying on the
government to do the parents job.

Todd N9OGL

4620. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:39:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jb_8e.8677$An2.1353@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106086

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1113874189.721018.203090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> "Didn't 'Those In Charge Back On Land'(1), who decided
> to change to 100 wpm, run some off-air, lab tests on the
> various types of teleprinters in use by the fleet before the
> changeover, to see how the machines would hold up?"

The entire fleet was outfitted with AN/UGC-20 and AN/UGC-25 plus a scattering of AN/UGC-6 teleprinters. These are just different configurations of what civilians would call a "Model 28". The were designed to run at 45.5, 55, and 75 baud (60, 75, and 100 WPM) depending on the gearset installed. Properly maintained and adjusted, they were reliable workhorses at any designed speed.

But for years they'd been plodding along at 45.5-baud in the fleet and never been exercised at the higher speed rates. Ships didn't carry gearsets for speeds above 45.5, and even if they did, changing out the gears was not a trivial task, and besides, there were no higher speed signals available to test at those higher speed.

But maintenance was uneven, as was the skill level of the repairman. Many ships carried no trained repairman, and the machines only got professional attention during shipyard or tender availability periods (stand-downs for upkeep).

These machines have a host of springs/clutches/latches/pivots/adjustments which each of course have design tolerances. Now at 45.5-baud a machine can tolerate some level of "out of tolerance" parts and adjustments and still operate quite satisfactorily. But jump (there's that word again) to 75 baud and all that tolerance creep would result in an inoperative machine, either printing garbage or actually physically failing as out of tolerance parts literally "ran into each other", clutches froze, bearing seized, etc.

> I know what FOX is and I assume CASREPT is a request for a repeat.

CASREPT is "Casualty Report" --- a dreaded notice up the operational chain of command that your ship has some diminished capability to perform. Skippers don't like to send CASREPTs! Good sailors do some heroic things to protect their Skippers from such, including cumshawing a helicopter ride in the middle of the night to carry a sick AN/UGC-20 to the repair shop on a nearby Heavy. Almost as much as a good stewburner (cook) a Captain would do almost anything to get (and keep) a good teletype repairman.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4621. Re: Morse gone by summer???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:39:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FJqdnUGZKPL_8vnfRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 106084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:liF8e.2578$ZQ1.2081@fe11.lga...
> "Barry OGrady" <not@this.add> wrote in message
> news:nmr561h43fms0dchkdf0tjgdnc1qabt1qo@4ax.com...
>> Morse gone by the beginning of last year.
>>
>> Barry
>
> Sad that many folks will likely never give themselves the opportunity to
> bag some of that rare DX that seems to only show up on the bottom of the
> bands.
>
> Just bagged HZ1EX on 7013 kHz. 99.999% CW op and luvin' it.
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782
>

Know what you mean. I just received a card from the 2003 Burkina Faso
DXpedition and would not have bagged it without CW. And I'm only a so-so CW
op that just uses it for major contests.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
```

4622. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:41:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113878476.093679.33080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106088

AND YOUR K4YZ LITTLE BITCH

4623. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:41:32 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <wd_8e.11972$44.10053@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> Hey, wait a minnit here . . we also had to walk uphill both ways to the
> FCC offices in raging blizzards.
>
> Ya WEENIES . . . grumble . .
>

And that was in the summer! I had to do a code sending
test at 5wpm at the old FCC field office in New York City
(I've heard the place is now condos).

4624. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:44:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6g_8e.8679$An2.6608@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106089

"Lloyd" <daleks@mydaleksabc.org> wrote in message
news:d40tfu$d45$0@pita.alt.net...

>
> How many other crypto systems were there in existence that you
> did not have a "Need To Know" about?
>

Almost none. As a senior member of CINCLANTs communications staff, my job required me to be familiar with the secure communications capabilities and interoperability of all US Joint (Army, Navy, Air Force) and Allied Forces assigned to the OPCON of CINCLANT.

73, de Hans, K0HB
Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

4625. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:46:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113878772.709549.14920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106090

From: Paul W. Schleck <pschl...@novia.net> on Mon,Apr 18 2005 12:32 pm

In <1113284464.892147.41...@o13g2?000cwo.googlegroups.com>
LenAnder...@ieee.org writes:

>> Paul Schleck (the extra who "signs" those welcome
>> e-mails to new names in the newsgroup) is apparently
>> long gone on some sabbatical or whatever. He doesn't
>> answer any e-mails...at least to the web address on
>> those "canned" welcome messages. ???
>
>I prefer to read widely, but post judiciously, and only when I have
>something original to say.

Wise procedure from one who is not quite a moderator here.

However, those replies SEEM to begin as a result of
automatic comparison against a list of those who had
previously been sent such messages. That is based on
my use of the IEEE address instead of the AOL one (AOL
has dropped access to newsgroups) when using Google.

>I always respond to replies to my welcome message. In fact, the text
of
>the message itself invites such replies:
>
>'The author welcomes any and all constructive feedback. Please direct

>all such feedback to pschl...@novia.net and retain the original
subject
>(e.g., "Re: WELCOME to rec.radio.amateur.*") in your reply.'

I have NO problems with that. Please do not assume I do.

Automatically-generated messages are very common on the
Internet.

>The last time someone complained about bounces (someone named Andreas
>"Tekman"), it was due to a SPAM blacklist filtering out their message
at
>the ISP level because they were posting from a site identified as a
>significant source of SPAM.

I am NOT that person, have NO complaints about that in
this thread or any other.

>Len, if it was you that tried to reply, and didn't get an answer, does

>that mean that you now wish to have an E-mail conversion on newsgroup
>subjects? Your last message to me, on January 27th, 2004, said in no
>uncertain terms that you did not.

I am NOT interested in discussing any "policy matter" on amateur radio with anyone who is biased, coarse, cannot accept any viewpoint different from their own, or who becomes petulant and abusive when "not accepted," nor anyone who attempts to command anything when NOT in any position of authority to force such commands.

If this PUBLIC venue is insufficient to "discuss" matters about Rec.radio.amateur.policy, then it is not productive to consider that private correspondence is also "useful." I've received quite enough of those in the past. I've received some angry, petulant responses while engaged in Instant Messaging with my wife who was visiting up north while our niece was undergoing a risky corrective operation. I had neglected to set my AOL blocking controls fully and now have to allow only certain screen names through. I have received a couple of telephone messages from irate individuals. That was during a trip, were duly recorded along with their desitination number, all forwarded to telephone company security people and the LAPD Stalking unit (LAPD does not consider ANY form of stalking as minor or trivial). Neither do I live in any form of "fear" of anything...it is tiring to see so many wanting to "fight" via messages as if they could "accomplish" anything that way.

27 Jan 04 was nearly 15 months ago. That is VERY late to assume any sort of "remedial action from authority" communications. However, anyone is still "free" to send me any sort of e-mail. I have the perfect freedom to ignore such or to respond in any way I choose. I have not sent any messages to you since 27 Jan 04.

If you have ANY complaints about my personal e-mails then you can either exercise your newsgroup authority by stating so plainly in private e-mail. That should be clear enough...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4626. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:50:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113879001.266669.125020@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "Mel A. Nomah" on Mon,Apr 18 2005 4:28 pm

>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:d40egg$12qq$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>:
>: I've looked at some of the older questions. The only thing about
them
>: that is difficult is that they tend to pertain to operating with
>: equipment and different condition than today.
>:
>
>No, the only thing harder was that those were just samples, and you
had to
>actually understand the underlying material because the question on
the
>examination would be different.
>
>Fromyour description of "take the online test until I can pass it,
then
>rush down to the VE session", I expect that you'd be another Len
Anderson
>under those conditions, on the outside looking in.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, "Melanoma," I've been INSIDE the world of
electronics and radio for the last half century. Slightly
MORE than a half century. As a professional, that is.

Gave up trying to add an amateur radio license to the
old (1956 granted) First 'Phone license collection about
four decades ago (give or take). I thought it might be
nice to take it up again now in retirement, like for fun,
but U.S. amateur radio seems to have evolved into
SERIOUS BUSINESS! Everyone all uptight and demanding
absolute and instant obediance from all the Dill
Instructors swaggering about, trying to whack the
hobbyists into SHAPE for the SERVICE!!! :-)

I don't see where many are into ham radio for "fun" in
here. All are acting like RADDIO KOPS, complete with
shiny badges of RANK, etc. :-)

Good luck on your chemotherapy...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4627. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:51:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <caadnXYkpqio7_nfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 106092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:8ZydnQhpGLNvrv7fRVn-vw@gbronline.com...
> cl wrote:
>
>
>>
>> For some - it may! One argument I've heard, is that those musically
>> inclined pick it up quicker than others, yet I knew some who "were"
>> musically inclined and claimed to have a hell of a time with it. Reason?
>> I don't know. I can't get inside their head.
>
> I used to teach Novice classes, and I always assumed that anyone could
> learn the code if they really wanted to. I found that some people had
> difficulty telling the difference between a dit from a dah unless it was
> sent very slowy and the dah made a lot longer than the dit, but when
> sending a character that contained several dits or dahs or combinations,
> they simply could not tell one from the other. It wasn't that they lacked
> the skill to learn the code, I could right out characters in dits and dahs
> on the board and they could recoginize them, it was an interpertation
> problem with the brain of telling the sound of a dit from the sound of a
> dah. People with hearing aids often had a difficult time.

You can get special tests and use special accomodations (such as code with longer dahs than normal making for more of a distinction in dits and dahs) if their hearing is truly a problem. My ex had a 70% hearing loss in each ear AND constant ringing in the ears. However, he managed his 5wpm without special accomodations other than using headphones and a very loud volume. Other people might need a different pitch or need the dits and dahs separated by pitch either for their initial learning exercise or even for the test.

People with hearing aids will often have problems with many sounds not just code. The training and test material must be set to a pitch that they can hear.

The number one reason people have problem with code is unrealistic expectations on how quickly they can learn it. They see the "wunderkinds" who can do it in a few days and think they are failures if they can't duplicate it. In reality the average person needs TWO MONTHS OF DAILY PRACTICE FOR 30 MINUTES PER DAY. Until they have done that, they can't say whether they have a problem learning it or not. And of course they must use good training methods. After that period of time, even if they don't yet have it down, they will be seeing the light at the end of the tunnel.

The second biggest reason people have a problem with code is simply not committing to learning it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4628. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:55:07 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <y_udnS0xooyT7vnfRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 106094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
> cl wrote:
>
>
>> which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a
>> few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
>> test.
>
> Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to get to
> 5 wpm.
>
> I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
> understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>
> I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a lot
> of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I agree with you Mike. I hate it when I hear someone say "it only took me a
few days" as that raises unrealistic expectations on the part of the
students. It becomes very hard to convince them that they will probably
need more time than that and to keep them motivated to keep working on it.
The average person needs 30 hours of study (1/2 per EVERY day for 60 days)
to get there. Some will take longer such as yourself but at least once they
have put in the 30 hours, they will be able to tell that they are making
progress even if it takes longer for them.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4629. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:56:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113879362.668668.47440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106093

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:1113844537.727257.179070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

>>> TTY rates jumped from 60 WPM to
>>> 100 WPM,
>>
>> Hardly a "jump", Len. More like a slide.
>>
>
>I'll have to side with Len on this one. It was a JUMP, not a slide or
a
>slither.
>
>When the USN fleet broadcasts shifted to JASON cover (100WPM) from
black
>uncovered (60WPM), estimates are that the TTY casualty rate approached
75%.
>
>Machines which had been happily chugging along for years on 60WPM
gears
>literally self-destructed when 100WPM gears were installed.

In two experiments on a few circuits over RUAP (the
TTY network identifier of messages carried through
ADA) of 1955, the various teleprinters were modified
with "new gears" to operate at 75 WPM. After three
months the downtime on those modified machines
quadrupled. Another experiment was tried in 1956
(after I had been sent back to the states) but that
modified-existing-machine-type was also a negative-
result test. Note: Those circuits were running
24/7 and with backup possible on older 60 WPM stcck
machines.

The newer 100 WPM teleprinters began to come into
operational Army use in the 1960s. See the Model
33s from Teletype Corporation which served both
industry and the military quite well for the next
two decades.

The Army had tried both a punch-card and magnetic
card system for message relay in the late 1960s but
with mixed success. While higher rates were possible
without the strain on P-tape punchers-readers now
replaced by cards, those would evolve into the

all-electronic message relay systems. USAF did the same.

Sorry, can't think of any fancy names applied to any of the land-based systems. :-) Guess it isn't as romantic as what the Navy had with names like ADONIS or JASON (if you like horror that one might be considered "romantic" - :-]).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4630. Re: K0HB of the Northpole...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 02:58:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113879521.503817.82330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106095

>His problem is exascerbated by all the LIES he has told about
others...in addition to or aligned with >his personal insults of
others.

I'll agree with that..

> Robeson FAILS (miserably) to show ANYONE's opinions to be
> wrong. Still, he keeps on with the personal defamations
> and insults. Tsk.
> Note that his antagonistic and hateful manner MUST include
> those of his "enemies" who, like myself, weren't a part of
> a thread. That is extended antagonism, a desire to FIGHT
>and much less than a discussion on a subject

Again I'll agree with that.....Steve is someone who wants you to think
his way and only his way

>Robeson hasn't posted ANYTHING proving his point. His
>content is all about himself and personal insults against
> his "opponents." That's rather sick.

I agree Len, I've asked Steve repeative to prove where in the amateur
rules does it state that an information bulletin can't be opinionated,
but has yet to quote a rule or an FCC decision. Steve Robeson is a
pathalogical liar.

Todd N9OGL

4631. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 03:00:53 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42647460.D9F37F7F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> "Caveat," I was in the military, the United States Army,
> voluntary enlistment beginning 13 March 1953. Went from
> Basic to Signal School at Fort Monmouth, NJ. Amount of
> Signal School time spent on morse code? ZERO! NO class,
> NO "cramming."

Len, I was drafted in 1972. I was working in a TV shop and repairing ham radios on the side. They told me I would either drive a truck or be a cook. I raised hell. I was told by the draft board that if I didn't have a background in electronics they would not only not draft me, but they wouldn't even let me enlist because of my health. So a couple months later I'm yelling at an E8 and a Captain that I was not going to drive a truck, and I was not going to cook. I told them about the conversation with my draft board. The sergeant laughed and told me "I'm going to do you a favor and prove you don't know the first dam thing about electronics" He scheduled a 6 AM for me to take the 26T20 MOS test which was called" Television equipment repairman" It was the Army's equivalent of the FCC first class ticket. They pulled every trick in the book to make sure I failed, including ripping the last two pages from the test so only 88 of the 110 questions were there. I had 2 hours & 15 minutes to take the test. I turned it in 17 minutes later. The sergeant laughed and said, I see you've given up. I grinned and said, No, I'm done. He started grading it and his eyes kept getting larger. He got to the end ant went over it two more times then stuck out his hand and said, "Son, I apologize. You got 82 of the 88 questions right. That's the best score I've seen on this test, even when I had the full copy." I was awarded 26T20 as a civilian acquired skill that was a three year school at Ft. Monmoth. I worked in CATV, CARS, installed a nice PA system for the General's conference room at Ft Rucker, and did a little RADAR before I was sent to Alaska to the AFRTS radio & TV station to work as one of the engineers. I made E4 in 18 months and received a letter of commendation from the commanding general of the three Army bases in Alaska.

> After my release from active duty in 1956, I thought it
> good to get a Commercial Radiotelephone License. Lots of
> job opportunities with that then. Couldn't find a Q&A
> book in town but I got a copy of the entire FCC regulations
> from a good guy at a local broadcast station, studied that
> and got my First 'Phone on the first sitting in Chicago,
> 90 miles away (didn't walk, rode the train, kept my shoes
> on even if there was no snow). Moved to L.A. at the end of

> '56, started at Art Center School of Design to become an
> illustrator. Worked during the day at Hughes Aircraft,
> found out that illustrators didn't make much money, liked
> electronics (already spent three years in Army
> communications) and switched to Electronics Engineering.
> Took me 15 years to complete that due to job requirements
> making me miss whole semesters. Got engineering
> responsibility, title, and pay before any "certificate"
> (suitable for framing) awarded (sheep did not sacrifice
> their skins for graduates, regardless of what is said).

Just before I got out of the Army the FCC stopped allowing veterans to convert the 26T20 rating to a First phone without taking the test again. I was bored with broadcast anyway so I did commercial sound and industrial electronics. Later I did early personal computer and monitor repair.

Several engineers at Microdyne wanted to know why I didn't have an EE degree because I knew more about some of our older products than they did. They also knew they couldn't bullshit me when I limped into engineering with a handful of papers. I was there for results. I could walk into the engineering department and the place would go quiet as they looked for any excuse to grab something and leave through the other hallway. It didn't take them long to find out that I not only found a problem, I had found a least one valid solution. My supervisor laughed and told me, "You just won't take no for an answer, will you?" I shrugged and said, No, and I won't take "Yes" if I don't believe them.

> In between semesters, I thought it a neat thing to learn
> this morse code stuff, get a fancy callsign to "sign
> after my name" (youth can be misleading on what is
> important). Got to roughly 8 WPM clean copy using
> practice tapes (magnetic, reel-to-reel, cassettes had
> not yet been invented in those 60s days). Stopped after
> that plateau, wondered "whatinhell am I doing spending
> all this time on morse?" I'd already spent three full
> years on Army communications at a major station (220
> thousand messages a month in 1955), had become a
> supervisor, did finally work on microwave radio relay
> operations in the service, was now an employee of Ramo-
> Wooldridge Corp. in electronic warfare group, and the
> Class D CBs had already started. I'd gotten the First
> 'Phone, worked on HF, was now working on more of the EM
> spectrum than any ham of today can use, already had a
> good home workshop and was coming along on professional
> design. I didn't "NEED MORSE" to GET ON THE AIR. I had
> already done that, perfectly legal, without fault.
>
> I had tossed the idea of getting a "title" (the callsign)
> since there was MUCH MORE electronics coming along. The
> first of the ICs had already hit the market and some of

> us were tinkering with the first personal computers,
> rolling our own without benefit of MITs or Apple or SwTP
> kits (hadn't come out yet). PLENTY of fun and games in
> electronics AND radio to be interested in.
>
> I used to "pass a test" every week...on payday. If
> I didn't KNOW what was needed on the job, to do the
> things my bosses had given me responsibility for, I
> wouldn't "pass that exam." No paycheck. Bye.
>
> I never failed such an exam. I never failed any exam
> in college courses, either. I just kept on working
> in engineering design...and having to constantly keep
> on learning. The state of the electronics arts have
> NOT ceased to advance...not one iota of stopping.

After all the early problems with engineering my supervisor was asked to release me to them to be an EET on their newest DSP based telemetry system. They knew I would iron out a lot of problems before the radio hit the production floor. I redesigned several test fixtures and re-wrote most of the test procedures into a form the guys on the floor could follow. Then I went through all the BS of our becoming ISO 9001 certified. I told my bosses that they better keep the UL inspector away from my bench during their quarterly audits because I didn't mind calling an idiot an idiot to their face.

We had basic models that were customized to the customer's needs. I also did a lot of preliminary testing of new components, boards, and modules before they were released to production so I had a lot of data books and marked drawings on my bench. ISO 9001, as they set it up did not let the techs keep any notes or write anything on any drawing for future reference. I was no longer allowed to maintain test software I wrote for an automated test fixture and I didn't want a pencil pushing outsider in my way while I was working. I had a 350 MHz four channel scope on my bench, but if a test procedure specified a 20 Mhz scope the idiots insisted that you couldn't use the 20 Mhz filter in a better scope. Even worse, they sent someone new for every audit so we had to go through the same mess each time. One would insist a process was wrong. We would change it to suit him or her. The next one wanted it changed back.

BTW I worked on almost every board or module for a special broadband telemetry receiver we built for the International Space Station. These days I work on old ham receivers and test equipment when I feel well enough to spend a couple hours at the bench.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4632. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 03:02:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113879722.784987.320150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106097

From: "K4YZ" on Apr 18, 2:31 pm

> LenThePutzAnder...@ieee.com

There's NO such member at the IEEE. IEEE is a worldwide
professional association. I first joined them in 1973
and am a Life Member. You can check that in the annual
Membership Directory.

>> retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person
>
> Retired from what he alledges to have been an engineering
>career...

Feel free to query the Personnel departments of:
Rocketdyne Division of Boeing Aircraft (when it was
a Division of Rockwell International), Teledyne
Electronics (Newbury Park, CA), Hughes Aircraft
Corporation (now owned by Ford? see ElSegundo radar
and Canoga Park missle divisions), RCA Corporation
EASD (now owned by General Electric), Electro-
Optical Systems (Pasadena, a division of Xerox
Corporation).

That goes back 40 years. I didn't list Micro-
Radionics since they got purchased by Systron-
Donner which was later purchased by another
corporation with the original MRI group moved
from its Van Nuys, CA, building. Same with
Birtcher Corporation bailing out of a competitive
electronics market and into building management.

I left the RCA/GE Retirement Plan in favor of a
lump sum dispersal a couple years ago, worked out
well for me financially; still getting rebates
from our joint tax returns.

Mentioning the part-time job as Associate Editor
(as well as frequent contributor to Ham Radio
Magazine seems to be a sore point with you. Too
bad, but you can still get ALL the articles they
published over their 22-year life from CQ or ARRL
for $150 the set of three CDs (shipping extra if
ordered by mail). Since you don't do any computer
hobby activities, you wouldn't be interested in
my articles in BYTE, Micro, or CALL-A.P.P.L.E

publications; ELECTRONICS magazine, a bi-weekly published by McGraw-Hill changed into four separate marketing type periodicals. The old Hewlett-Packard (calculator) Software Library is long gone so you can't check there...although at least one program submittal was in the Top 10 requested programs for months.

> Now full time newsgroup insulting.

Tsk, tsk. You mean like calling others "PUTZ" or "LIAR" or "DECEITFUL?" I don't think I've done that. Seems to me that little STEVIE does that on a regular basis!

Sunnuvagun! (as Hans likes to say...)

Poor Stevie, you HAD to comment on "alledged." Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4633. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 03:04:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113879885.977835.280100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106098

From: Paul W. Schleck on Mon,Apr 18 2005 12:03 pm

>In <1113433905.215142.38320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com> N2EY@AOL.COM
writes:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Apr 12, 8:00 pm
>>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
>
>*snip!*
>
>>> >> Yet you make this BIG THING about morse code
>>> >> in a window display...
>>> >
>>> >Is it wrong to mention an interesting architectural feature?
>>>
>>> Morse code is NOW an "interesting architectural feature?"
>
>>The windows are an interesting architectural feature.
>
>
>Way back in Usenet history(early 90's), there were posts signed by an
>author named "Serdar Argic" who repetitively posted about the Armenian
>murders of Turks in 1918:
>
>http://www.jaedworks.com/shoebox/zumabot.html
>
>(Reputable historians agree that the killing was the other way
around.)
>
>Some interesting similarities to Len:

1. My ancestry is Scandinavian, not of the Middle East.

2. I have NO disputes on either Armenia or Turkey.

3. I have no "home page" on the Internet, nor am I a
registered domain user on same.

4. Why do you insist there are "similarities" to
anything in or near the Middle East? I have
NEVER used a screen name of "Serdar Argic."

>- Serdar responded to each and every mention of "Turkey" or "Armenia,"
> no matter the context, with long harangues about his interpretation

of
> history.
>
>- Len responds to each and every mention of "Morse Code," no matter
the
> context, with long harangues about his interpretation of history.

Explain my "interpretation." As one who was IN
communications for a long time, IN the electronics
industry for a long time, HAVE extensive backup
literature on communications methods, I'm not
"interpreting" anything.

>- Any attempt to engage Serdar in debate resulted in him insulting
> others with invectives like "Gum Brain," "Wieneramus," "your
> Criminal Armenian Grandparents" (even others who were, say, ethnic
> Japanese), and "A mouthpiece for the fascist x-Soviet Armenian
> Government."
>
>- Any attempt to engage Len in debate results in him insulting others
> with invectives like "Gunnery Nurse," "Katapult King," "Macho
> Morsemen," and "A believer in the Church of St. Hiram."

AFTER I had been personally insulted a number of ways,
i.e., called a "PUTZ," "LIAR," "deceitful," AND had
members of my family (alive or dead), especially my
wife, insulted in public...I decided to "return fire"
in the same way. Civility in opposition to anything
I wrote was not "discussion" but a series of personal
insults and harrassment actions, both on and off the
newsgroup.

>- While somewhat amusing at first, with posters able to easily rebut
> Serdar, even writing parodies mocking the overwrought style of the
> posts, the amusement turned to annoyance when the sheer volume of
his
> posts overwhelmed any on-topic discussion.
>
>- While somewhat amusing at first, with posters able to easily rebut
> Len, even writing parodies mocking the overwrought style of the
posts,
> the amusement turned to annoyance when the sheer volume of his posts
> overwhelmed any on-topic discussion.

"Overwrought?" :-) Merely responses in kind.

"Sheer volume of posts?" Have you examined the Google
statistics for individual messagers or actually
EXAMINED the CONTENT of the newsgroup postings?

Apparently NOT. Or, the "authority" is rather biased.

In the past, I've given what was thought to be some
examples of communications modes and methods that I
was a party to, knew about, or could double-check
through disinterested third parties. What I got in
response was the usual personal insults for NOT
adhering to the "authority" on amateur radio as
stated by the ARRL...or NOT adhering to the pet
desires of individuals whose personal views were
taken as "applying to ALL in amateur radio." [those
did not, but that was irrelevant to such posters]

>- Serdar failed his Turing Test for human intelligence when it became
> clear that he could not distinguish between Turkey, the country, and
> Turkey, the meat. For example, his postings went up dramatically in
> the first two weeks preceding American Thanksgiving, strongly
> suggesting that the posts were written and posted by some kind of AI
> or "bot."
>
>- Len's sentience, and ability to pass the Turing Test, is left as an
> exercise for the reader. As a first test, Len is invited to guess
my
> positions on Morse Code.

WHAT "Turing Tests" apply in here? Are you implying
(which is transparently obvious on reading) that I am
some kind of "Artificial Intelligence?" Is everyone
required to "pass a test" to perform as a robot and
mechanically utter all the quaint "standards" of
yesteryear? Are all robots in here "supposed" to
obey - without question - what the ARRL commands?

Alan Turing was considered a genius at cryptography
and methods of attack (solutions to cryptographic
problems). Alan Turing was also a homosexual and,
when exposed, took his own life. That was long ago.

Suddenly, for some odd reason, I am implied as
"failing" a "Turing Test" by NOT ACCEPTING old,
antiquated standards in an amateur radio hobby
activity!?! So be it. I am NOT a robot. I am
NOT artificial (all natural ingredients).

If there is any "failing" of a "Turing Test," then
I would judge the "authority of the newsgroup" to
be deficient in handling a public dispute by a
technique of mockery and attempts at humiliation.
As one who has been IN computer-modem communication
for 20 years - not counting sporadic viewing of the
old ARPANET and original USENET before that - and
as a former co-sysop of one BBS and a moderator on
two other BBSs...I find this "technique" of handling
certain individuals to be faulty in the extreme.

You should give careful thought, using whatever intelligence is there, artificial or not, to closing access from public to private. Select ONLY those who conform to Group Think, who say nice-nice to all the self-defined gurus, and respect the ENTIRETY of U.S. amateur radio customs and traditions, wishing to keep OLD standards forever. CLOSE this place off. Do NOT let any "riff-raff" in. Demand "dedication" and "committment" to old standards, ideas, and (especially) the mythology. Demand "involvement" FIRST...by licensing, something by which "to show papers." Do NOT, under any circumstances, allow the First Amendment Rights of Americans to operate in here. Think of that as the "Orwell Test." It doesn't have the mythological mystique of "Turing Test" but it is nonetheless as artificial.

I refuse to meet any "Orwell Test." Have a nice day, Mr. Asimov...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4634. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 03:05:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Ae-dnQRSAfbn6PnfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 106099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Andrews" <mikea@mikea.ath.cx> wrote in message
news:d40mvssed2@puck.litech.org...
> In <8ZydnQhpGLNvrv7fRVn-vw@gbronline.com> (rec.radio.amateur.misc), Cmd
> Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>> I used to teach Novice classes, and I always assumed that anyone could
>> learn the code if they really wanted to. I found that some people had
>> difficulty telling the difference between a dit from a dah unless it was
>> sent very slowy and the dah made a lot longer than the dit, but when
>> sending a character that contained several dits or dahs or combinations,
>> they simply could not tell one from the other. It wasn't that they
>> lacked the skill to learn the code, I could right out characters in dits
>> and dahs on the board and they could recoginize them, it was an
>> interpertation problem with the brain of telling the sound of a dit from
>> the sound of a dah. People with hearing aids often had a difficult time.
>
> You hit that part right on the head. My XYL has a deep notch in her
> hearing
> response curve, from about 400 Hz to about 2 KHz, due to playing viola in
> a symphony orchestra for 15 years, sitting right in front of the brass
> section[1]. She's having the very devil of a time with Morse, mostly
> because she has problems distinguishing between dit and dah. She has
> learned not to trust her ears, and now she's trying to learn to read with
> them. The deep notch right where most people tune to read CW and where the
> various tapes, CDs, and tutor programs all put the tone, also makes it
> very
> difficult for her.
>

Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of course
you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the test to
have one set up at that pitch for her testing.

Or just make sure that you can turn the volume WAY up just like my ex had to
(70% hearing loss in each ear and constant ringing of the ears).

> When she passes Element 1, I have to go learn American Sign Language and
> pass a proficiency test.
>

Sounds fair to me.

> [1] I'll bet most people don't think much about hearing damage in people
> playing in symphony orchestras. It's fairly common.
>

Being an amateur musician, I've read quite a bit about it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4635. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 03:07:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <N72dncbu-eKV6_nfRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 106150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:3fR8e.10218$lP1.6731@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d40egg$12qq$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
> :
> : I've looked at some of the older questions. The only thing about them
> : that is difficult is that they tend to pertain to operating with
> : equipment and different condition than today.
> :
>
> No, the only thing harder was that those were just samples, and you had to
> actually understand the underlying material because the question on the
> examination would be different.
>
> From your description of "take the online test until I can pass it, then
> rush down to the VE session", I expect that you'd be another Len Anderson
> under those conditions, on the outside looking in.
>
> M.A.N.
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire

The test pools are large enough that it's much easier to learn the material
than memorize all the questions and answers. The Extra class pool is
something like 800 questions even though only 50 appear on the test. I'd
much rather learn the equation and how to use it than try to memorize say 10
different question/answer combinations for the material covered by that
equation.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4636. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 03:22:34 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113880954.529135.115050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>>>come to pass.
>>
>> Absolutely. But...that will be the END of the ARS
>> (Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society).
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person
>>
>
>Correction - I'm "not" Caveat Lector........ I use small case cl, he
uses
>capitals. See my address within!
>
>cl

Sorry, Mr. Lowercase. Apologies redered. Correction
so noted.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4637. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 03:24:07 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1113881047.109559.48150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> cl wrote:

> The local ham club is looking for people to take classes with "Now
> Your Talking" rather than try to find people with any electronics
> background. I offered to help maintain their club equipment but they
> brushed me off because I don't have a ham ticket. I still have a
half
> way decent RF bench, but nothing compared to the $1,000,000 plus
benches
> of test equipment I had at Microdyne.

That's all well and good Mike and no doubt you're a quite competent RF tech, not even a discussion. But I think you're missing some critical points here. Rumors to the contrary ham radio is overwhelmingly a communications hobby, it is NOT an electronics hobby as such. Sure there are any number of high-end electronics gurus who also have ham tickets and exercise their skills on the bands, in the clubs and even in this funny-farm of a USENET group. But they're not the heart & soul of ham radio today for certain and I'm not particularly convinced they ever were. In general the average ham learns as much about electronics as he/she needs to satisfy their enjoyment of the hobby. Which on average ain't much in this day of cheap whizzy plug 'n play equipment. Beast on reality as you might but that's the way it is.

In this sense and given the obvious lack of interest in the arcane details of electronics amongst the average members of the average neighborhood ham radio club you should not have known that your offer to participate was a no-counter. They don't "maintain" their radios, they don't need your expertise, they simply ship their broken radios off to the repair shops to get fixed. In short "Now You're Talking" fits their agenda and your's simply does not. In another sense ham radio clubs are private entities conventionally for hams and prospective hams only. As an analogy what you did was show up at a bow-hunters club with a .45-70 powder-burner and expect any interest in an offer to "help".

> --
> Former professional electron wrangler.
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida

w3rv

4638. Re: public safety frequencies more important than Morse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 03:30:53 UTC
From: Mike Burch <mburch46@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <NX_8e.412$r53.383@attbi_s21>
Source record: 106154

Hi,

Are you the Dan Jacobson involved with the Rochester, Mi fire dept?

Thanks. Mike

4639. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 03:40:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <q4%8e.8705$An2.155@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106155

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1113879362.668668.47440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> Sorry, can't think of any fancy names applied to
> any of the land-based systems. :-) Guess it isn't
> as romantic as what the Navy had with names like
> ADONIS or JASON (if you like horror that one might
> be considered "romantic" - :-]).

Land based/sea based all have the same names. They were assigned by NSA (who ultimately controls all US Government crypto systems), and were based on names from Greek mythology, ie., ADONIS, BACCUS, JASON, NESTOR, ORESTES, PONTUS, etc. By convention they are always written in "all caps".

Sunuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB

4640. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 03:40:56 UTC
From: Mike <micoma@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113882056.213301.270900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106156

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> As well as the NSA/USN brass who, when queried by the Israelis,
> adamantly denied that the Liberty was a U S Naval vessel even after
> being told that the vessel wiil be blown out of the water if it
> wasn't a US Naval vessel.

Not even the Israelis (meaning the ACTUAL Israeli gov't) has ever made
such a claim.

>The Israelis had every reason to believe
> that the Egyptians or their mentors, the Soviets, would fly the US
> flag to avoid destruction if they could get away with it.

Not even the Israelis (meaning the ACTUAL Israeli gov't) have ever made
such a claim at stated here.

> And the NSA kept denying...

And the GOI has never made the above claim ...

Mike

4641. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 03:42:20 UTC
From: Mike <micoma@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113882140.248984.253830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106157

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> As well as the NSA/USN brass who, when queried by the Israelis,
> adamantly denied that the Liberty was a U S Naval vessel even after
> being told that the vessel wiil be blown out of the water if it
> wasn't a US Naval vessel.

Not even the Israelis (meaning the ACTUAL Israeli gov't) has ever made
such a claim.

>The Israelis had every reason to believe
> that the Egyptians or their mentors, the Soviets, would fly the US
> flag to avoid destruction if they could get away with it.

Not even the Israelis (meaning the ACTUAL Israeli gov't) have ever made
such a claim at stated here.

> And the NSA kept denying...

And the GOI has never made the above claim ...

Mike

4642. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 03:53:47 UTC
From: Mike <micoma@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113882826.847164.221790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,soc.culture.usa,alt.military.retired,soc.culture.jewish

anon wrote:
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message

> YOU ARE A LIAR! You were not there. You have no information.
> You are just repeating hearsay from some Jew. There are USS Liberty
> survivors who have described what happened many times.

And what follows is suppose to be that? Try instead:

http://libertyincident.com/USNcourt.htm

for the complete record; or here:

http://www.ussliberty.org/nci.txt

for just the sworn testimony transcript.

> The Jews

You mean Israelis of course. Must be a "slip on the tongue"

> NEVER queried the U.S for the identity of the Liberty, but the Jews
did
> recon the Liberty for almost a day prior to the attack, during which
time
> the
> Liberty was flying an oversized American flag, a holiday ensign.

What BS, even Ennes doesn't make that claim about the size of the flag!

"Like any Navy ship, we flew our standard five-foot by eight-foot
American flag."

And of course there's more:

<start>
☐LIBERTY flew a size 9 (approx 5 feet by 8 feet) U.S. ensign from her
foremast throughout the morning of 8 June and until it was shot down by
the Israeli air attack. At least 5 minutes prior to the torpedo attack
a size 7 ensign (approx 7 feet by 13 feet) was hoisted at the main
yardarm.
<end>

That comes from direct, sworn testimony by Liberty crewmembers.

Do bone up on the FACTS ...

Remaining nonsense snipped ...

Mike

4643. Re: K1MAN - Answers Please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 03:59:19 UTC
From: Baxter Blows Monkeys <hamsandwich@myway.com>
Message-ID: <1113883159.151620.209210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106159

> BWHAHAHAHA..Thanks for admitting you are Roger's BITCH! Oh, and
> does your fat hog of a wife still give blacks blowjobs?

YAWN and YAWN again Woger. Your threats are as empty as your ugly head. In all the years we've read your posts, one thing stands out. You are the world's biggest PUSSY. Your nickname is "Curtains" for good reason. You've been afraid all your life. The only one you ever successfully beat down was your own elderly step-mom, and that got you locked in the nut hatch, didn't it Curtains?

You are an Uber-impotent fuckwit of the lowest caliber. You're the .22 of fuckwits. Two-Test - known all over the east coast and the midwest as the laughingstock of ham radio. The township ordered you to remove your pathetic wire antennas; the Chief of Police nearly pissed himself laughing when you had some kind of fear-induced fit when he came to arrest you; Riley busted you for qrming; you've gotten TOSED from more networks than you have dollars in your purse; and you're under constant surveillance in your neighborhood.

The neighbors are positive you're a pedophile. Personally, I doubt you're a pedophile because children would run screaming from you, the four-eyed half-wit living in the sagging run-down shack you call home. You wouldn't have a chance to molest a child unless he was blind and couldn't run away upon seeing your deformed and girlish silhouette.

Even by West Vagina standards your hovel reeks of cheap assed beer, home-grown weed, and musty tarpaper. You're nothing but a sad joke on usenet and a sadder joke in person. You embarass West Vagina. That's how stupid you are Woger.

Take your SSI check, and go buy a gun and put a bullet in your empty skull. You're a useless and pathetic excuse for something your crack-addicted Mama shat out and should have flushed twice. You're damn lucky Marie adopted you. If I was your Pappy I would have stepped on your tail, broken it off and beaten you to death with it at the moment of birth, being afraid you would have grown up trying to fuck the neighborhood dogs.

Now piss off Curtains, you're nothing and you're nobody and as usual we're all laughing at YOU, the Pathetic Clown of ShitPail, West Vagina.

4644. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 04:00:23 UTC
From: Mike <micoma@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113883223.211474.116520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106160

"Admiral Kidd declared emphatically that there was 'no hard U.S.
evidence ...
absolutely nothing to support the conclusion that the attack was
intentional.' He declined to elaborate."

Baltimore Evening Sun
September 26, 1982

4645. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 04:01:30 UTC
From: Mike <micoma@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113881699.991615.20310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,soc.culture.usa,alt.military.retired

twf wrote:
☐> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
<snip>

> Jew hearsay. You were not there. The eyewitnesses on board
> the USS Liberty were there, being attacked.

Ah, that only means that they were victims, not that they have any
special knowledge as claimed by the following myth.

>Israeli high-command
> ordered the attack, full well knowing they were attacking an
> American ship in International waters. Liberty intercept operators
> recorded Israel high command orders to attack the *American*
> ship.

Wow! That's quite a piece of myth-saying; especially when, as a FACT,
there is:

<start>
Looking back on the Liberty incident, one perhaps should fault the
cryptologic organization for not assuring the presence on board of
qualified
Hebrew linguists for on-station examination of Israeli voice
communications uncovered in the intercept from the nonmorse search and
development mission and for not even having a token mission on Israeli
voice communications. It turned out that Hebrew language tapes produced
by USN-855 from the search and
development mission on the morning of 8 June did not contain
information on the forthcoming Israeli attack, but NSA did not learn
this until it had received these tapes and had processed them several
days after the event. For all NSA and USN-855 knew at the time,
information on Israeli intentions towards the ship might well have been
on those tapes.
<end>

Available to any SERIOUSLY INTERESTED individual at:

http://www.nsa.gov/liberty/index.cfm

all part of the NSA report on the incident.

>U.S. submarines in the area filmed the entire deliberate attack.

Wow!! "They" did? Just which U.S. subs would that be? They have names
you know, and of course then there's the film ...

IOW, what a bucnh of ...

> You are *still* a liar and you *still* don't have a clue as to what
you are
> talking about.

That's not really a claim you should be stating about others, you
think? ...

> www.ussliberty.org read it fool,

Read instead:

http://libertyincident.com/index.htm

>you might learn the truth!

Yeah, the truth just might be at:

http://libertyincident.com/index.htm

> Then read Jim Ennes's Book.

Nah, read instead:

http://libertyincident.com/book.htm

>Ennes *does* know what he is
> talking about, unlike you, because Ennes is a USS Liberty
> survivor.

And yet Ennes would appear to have many, many problems with his story
telling:

http://members.aol.com/libertyincident/JExxindex.html

> And how about some FACTS, instead of your lame retort
> "I was there."

Yeah, how about some FACTS indeed.

> Will you go toe to toe here with Liberty survivors, if I can get one
> or more to come on board here?

toe-to-toe? Must be a new dance step ...

Mike

4646. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 04:07:18 UTC
From: Mike <micoma@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113883638.711341.289410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106162

>From the viewpoint of one who was on board the Liberty at the time of
the attack, your account
leaves little doubt that the attack was the result of a series of
confused decisions, made in a war
setting. Error seems to compound error both on the part of the Israelis
and the U.S. Perhaps your
account will lay to rest the many conspiracy theories which have
plagued us all these last 30 off
years.

Commander Maurice Bennett, USN (Ret.)
Former USS Liberty crewmember

http://libertyincident.com/

Mike

4647. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 04:11:22 UTC
From: Mike <micoma@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113883882.535051.316370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106163

Oliver Kirby, a former deputy director of the National Security Agency
who took part in the investigation, said he needs more proof before he
can say that the attack was deliberate. The 83-year-old from
Greenville, Texas, said that he never understood the attack's intent.

AP reporter Jean Ortiz
Nebraska City, Neb., 5/22/2004 by-line

http://libertyincident.com/

Mike

4648. Re: This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 04:13:06 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kNOdnUGyd-DSGPnfRVn-jA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106164

N9OGL wrote:
<snip >
> My girlfriend and I don't want kids,

<SNIP>
> Todd N9OGL

Kind of hard to conceive children from an online romance! I can understand why no female would want children spawned from you. Thank God for that! Yep Bill Shockly was right.

4649. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 04:17:18 UTC
From: Mike <micoma@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113884238.204824.181720@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106165

The weight of the evidence is that the Israeli attacking force
originally believed their target was Egyptian.

The information thus far available does not reflect that the Israeli
high command made a premeditated attack on a ship known to be American.

Clark Clifford
Chairman, President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board

in his report to President Johnson
July 18, 1967

http://libertyincident.com/index.htm

Mike

4650. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 04:21:43 UTC
From: Mike <micoma@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113884503.072163.181630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106166

Yesterday, an NSA spokesperson questioned a point made in the book about the USS Liberty. "We do not comment on operational matters, alleged or otherwise; however, Mr. Bamford's claim that the NSA leadership was 'virtually unanimous in their belief that the attack was deliberate' is simply not true," the spokesperson said.

Baltimore Sun
April 24, 2001
by Scott Shane and Tom Bowman

Mike

4651. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 04:44:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113885890.662572.315220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106167

From: "bb" on Mon,Apr 18 2005 6:30 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 4:37 pm
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> bb wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > bb wrote:
>> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > > bb wrote:
>> >> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > > > > bb wrote:
>> >> > > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > > > > > > bb wrote:
>
>> >> >The old, "two wrongs makes a right" defense.
>> >>
>> >> I have to disagree.
>
>I wasn't implying that you were wrong, only that Robeson views you as
>wrong, and thusly, he can be as wrong as he needs to be with complete
>immunity.

I understand perfectly and agree with that.

> In they typical liberal fashion, any means to achieve the
>desired end is "good." Like lying about what ARES needs.

"Liberal" Robeson is NOT. :-)

>> Robeson is so caught up in his personal
>> hatred of me that he cannot possibly discuss anything
>> rationally...or even act rationally. He is the constant
>> antagonist of ANYONE who disagrees with him.
>
>Indeed. The moron even harangued me for not giving Coslo grief over
>his aborted near-space balloon project. I was characterized as an
>antagonist for not exhibiting antagonistic behavio[u]r. Hi. Hi, hi!
>What an idiot.

It matters not what the subject is, ANYONE disagreeing
with him (even in the slightest) becomes his "enemy"
and is dealt with in barbaric terms. See his treatment
of Dieter Stussy, Todd, even Hans who outranked him in
experience as well as rank in the Navy.

The interesting part is that he does the "mirror"
thing on people who respond to him more than once.
He turns around and accuses the accuser of doing
things he does. Such as (lately) being an
"antagonist." It's obvious that HE is the antagonist
but he will not, possibly can not accept that.

To me he has tried to be "on my case" even in
comments to others, having nothing to do with
Robeson. He seems to desperately seek attention
by trying to turn every thread into his own
battleground.

>And so his sickness manifests itself.
>
>> That's quite sick. Irrational. Opinions are just
>> opinions.
>
>Robeson is quite sick, irrational.

We fully agree on that!

>I'm just glad that Ed McMahon didn't knock on my door saying that I
won
>a vacation to Stevieworld.

:-)

>> Robeson is an EXAMPLE of a modern U.S. Amateur Extra.
>
>Unfortunately. Yet most other Extra's on RRAP are good with Robeson's
>behavio[u]r. Steve farts in church and we're to believe it's incense.

...and gets BLESSED! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4652. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 04:49:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113886182.583875.48410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: Michael A. Terrell on Apr 18, 8:00 pm

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>when I had the full copy." I was awarded 26T20 as a civilian acquired

>skill that was a three year school at Ft. Monmoth. I worked in CATV,
>CARS, installed a nice PA system for the General's conference room at
Ft
>Rucker, and did a little RADAR before I was sent to Alaska to the
AFRTS
>radio & TV station to work as one of the engineers. I made E4 in 18
>months and received a letter of commendation from the commanding
general
>of the three Army bases in Alaska.

Great! But Fort Monmouth changed considerably from
when I was there in '52 to when you were there 20
years later. :-)

A prime example was that there was NO CATV or any
TV courses available nor the curricula for same.
I'm not even sure where the AFRS (later AFRTS) guys
went to get electronics training for their broadcast
stations. AFRS was quite separate from regular Army
communications.

Also, in an odd quirk at the time, ALL rank promotions
were frozen while IN any school. Once one got out
(no "graduation ceremonies"), they started counting
time-in-grade. :-)

> Just before I got out of the Army the FCC stopped allowing veterans

>to convert the 26T20 rating to a First phone without taking the test
>again. I was bored with broadcast anyway so I did commercial sound
and
>industrial electronics. Later I did early personal computer and
monitor
>repair.

Sigh. I didn't know the Army had gotten so generous
with conversions of skills to civilian licenses. :-)

I lucked out on assignments after Signal School, even
though it was overseas. Couldn't have asked for a

better assignment except maybe in Europe as part of
ACAN.

> We had basic models that were customized to the customer's needs.
I
>also did a lot of preliminary testing of new components, boards, and
>modules before they were released to production so I had a lot of data

>books and marked drawings on my bench. ISO 9001, as they set it up
did
>not let the techs keep any notes or write anything on any drawing for
>future reference. I was no longer allowed to maintain test software I

>wrote for an automated test fixture and I didn't want a pencil pushing

>outsider in my way while I was working. I had a 350 MHz four channel
>scope on my bench, but if a test procedure specified a 20 Mhz scope
the
>idiots insisted that you couldn't use the 20 Mhz filter in a better
>scope. Even worse, they sent someone new for every audit so we had to

>go through the same mess each time. One would insist a process was
>wrong. We would change it to suit him or her. The next one wanted it

>changed back.

Heh heh heh...sounds all too familiar. While we may not
have been in the same place, we got T-shirts in the
same style! :-)

> BTW I worked on almost every board or module for a special
broadband
>telemetry receiver we built for the International Space Station.
These
>days I work on old ham receivers and test equipment when I feel well
>enough to spend a couple hours at the bench.

Outstanding that you are still active! My old office
cubicle buddy from RCA days (only a month younger than
myself) suffers from Parkinson's disease (kept down
from deleterious effects, thank God), yet he had
enough soup left that he fixed me up with an HP 608 and
HP 606 generator when I got married (again). He's
on 20 meters every Saturday after fixing up his old
tube clunker transceiver.

I'm still bopping around with only minor problems, none
worth mentioning. But, I come from a family of long
livers (oh...about three feet or so, some would say).
:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4653. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 04:52:10 UTC
From: Mike <micoma@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113886330.280353.301120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,soc.culture.usa,alt.military.retired,soc.culture.jewish

"In the case of the attack on the Liberty, it was the conclusion of the investigatory body headed by an admiral of the Navy in whom we have great confidence that the attack was not intentional. I read the record of the investigation, and I support that conclusion"

U.S. Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara

"[A]t eleven o?clock we learned that the ship had been attacked in error by Israeli gunboats and planes. ... This heartbreaking episode grieved the Israelis deeply, as it did us."

President Lyndon Johnson

>From Dean Rusk also (in the 1987 Thames TV production):

<start>
Dean Rusk: We did insist upon a full inquiry by the Israelis, and we had our own naval board of investigation but what do you do with it? The Israelis paid damages to the crew and their families.

Narrator: They [the LVA] say, Mr. Rusk, that there's been a cover up for 20 years on behalf of successive American administrations to examine and explore the affair.

Dean Rusk: Oh, I don't think so, I think it's simply that the feeling that once something like this has happened you have to continue to work toward constructive ends, and you don't allow an incident of this sort to poison the entire relationship.
<end>

http://libertyincident.com/

Mike

4654. Re: K0HB of the Northpole...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 04:53:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1113886424.587424.192060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106170

From: "N9OGL" on Mon,Apr 18 2005 7:58 pm

>>His problem is exascerbated by all the LIES he has told about
>others...in addition to or aligned with >his personal insults of
>others.
>
>I'll agree with that..

...and it is surely guaranteed that Robeson will pile
on more spiteful vitriol in here for your saying that.

>> Robeson FAILS (miserably) to show ANYONE's opinions to be
>> wrong. Still, he keeps on with the personal defamations
>> and insults. Tsk.
>> Note that his antagonistic and hateful manner MUST include
>> those of his "enemies" who, like myself, weren't a part of
>> a thread. That is extended antagonism, a desire to FIGHT
>>and much less than a discussion on a subject
>
>Again I'll agree with that.....Steve is someone who wants you to think
>his way and only his way

Well, also DO what he says... :-)

>>Robeson hasn't posted ANYTHING proving his point. His
>>content is all about himself and personal insults against
>> his "opponents." That's rather sick.
>
>I agree Len, I've asked Steve repeative to prove where in the amateur
>rules does it state that an information bulletin can't be opinionated,
>but has yet to quote a rule or an FCC decision. Steve Robeson is a
>pathalogical liar.

I don't know about the "pathology" part but, removing
that label leaves the rest of what you wrote as TRUE.
He has trouble communicating without emotion, strong
emotion. So strong that he is in extremes on nearly
everything.

His list of "enemies" keeps building up and his
attempts at communication in here wind up as flame
war ignitors as he tries to "battle his foes."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4655. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 04:55:13 UTC
From: Mike <micoma@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113886513.026300.188050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,soc.culture.usa,alt.military.retired,soc.culture.jewish

anon wrote:
> USS Liberty survivors quickly will set forth facts which they were
> *EYE WITNESS* to, ...

Already done. It's here:

http://libertyincident.com/USNcourt.htm

for the complete record, or for just a transcript, try:

http://www.ussliberty.org/nci.txt

Mike

4656. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 05:30:26 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42649770.D3E8EEA8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> That's all well and good Mike and no doubt you're a quite competent RF
> tech, not even a discussion. But I think you're missing some critical
> points here. Rumors to the contrary ham radio is overwhelmingly a
> communications hobby, it is NOT an electronics hobby as such. Sure
> there are any number of high-end electronics gurus who also have ham
> tickets and exercise their skills on the bands, in the clubs and even
> in this funny-farm of a USENET group. But they're not the heart & soul
> of ham radio today for certain and I'm not particularly convinced they
> ever were.

Most of the hams I knew as a kid were home brewers. They were not
designers but they could build a piece of equipment form a magazine
article or the handbook. I lived about an hour from Mendelson's in
dayton Ohio, and I ran into a lot of hams there, digging through the
unmarked bins of parts to build their latest project or repair one of
their projects.

> In general the average ham learns as much about electronics
> as he/she needs to satisfy their enjoyment of the hobby. Which on
> average ain't much in this day of cheap whizzy plug 'n play equipment.
> Beast on reality as you might but that's the way it is.

The whole idea of a rig that isn't intended to be serviced is what
kills my interest in the hobby. Too many custom parts made of
"Unobtaium" and refusing to release schematics and manuals really don't
inspire any confidence in imported whiz bang rigs. Not that I prefer
tube only rigs, but they were built to do their job for years. Maybe
the rest of the ham's life if he took decent care of his equipment. A
lot of newer rigs end up as parts units because some cheap component is
NLA. Do you think many of these all plastic LCD display radios will
still work when they are 20 years old?

I was told that a couple retired EEs in the local club did all their
repairs and I didn't know enough to be of any help. Then they told me
to "stuff" my offer of free electronic components to help them with
repairs.

> In this sense and given the obvious lack of interest in the arcane
> details of electronics amongst the average members of the average
> neighborhood ham radio club you should not have known that your offer
> to participate was a no-counter. They don't "maintain" their radios,
> they don't need your expertise, they simply ship their broken radios
> off to the repair shops to get fixed. In short "Now You're Talking"

> fits their agenda and your's simply does not. In another sense ham
> radio clubs are private entities conventionally for hams and
> prospective hams only. As an analogy what you did was show up at a
> bow-hunters club with a .45-70 powder-burner and expect any interest in
> an offer to "help".
>
> w3rv

Sorry, but that analogy just doesn't work. Several members told me they wanted to do minor repairs on their equipment but they didn't have access to the tools or equipment anymore. I offered them free use of my shop and to give them most of the components from my old repair business if I had what they needed and was laughed at. As far as weapons, I have used a bow but I prefer an M-72. ;-)

A lot of hams in Ohio were glad that I was willing to help out including an old ham in his late 70s that brought me a home brew receiver that a SK friend of his had built 20 years before. He had lost the hand drawn schematics and had a tear rolling down his cheek as he asked if there was any way I could possibly help him. He told me that he had been to every two way shop and ham equipment dealer to try to find someone to work on it and that one of them told him I was the only one in the county crazy enough to even attempt a repair. I tore it down and found a couple burnt resistors and shorted caps. I did a little math, dug around and found the parts. He was crying when the receiver came to life and kept thanking me. I charged him $10 and he hurried home to get back on the air. Helping someone like that is better than spending hours on the air to me. Different strokes?

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4657. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 06:05:03 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42649F8D.C83AEEDF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> Great! But Fort Monmouth changed considerably from
> when I was there in '52 to when you were there 20
> years later. :-)

I was born in '52. :-)

I was never at Ft Monmoth. I was tested at Ft Knox, Ky. I caught a lot of hell for it from other soldiers at my different duty stations because they didn't believe anyone could test out of a three year school. The station manager in Alaska told me "No civilian knows a damn thing about electronics". In fact, someone on another newsgroup was mailing me and calling me a liar because he insists that no one ever tested out of a tech school. I am requesting my full military records package from the archives to shut him up.

> A prime example was that there was NO CATV or any
> TV courses available nor the curricula for same.
> I'm not even sure where the AFRS (later AFRTS) guys
> went to get electronics training for their broadcast
> stations. AFRS was quite separate from regular Army
> communications.

The radio station at Ft Greely, Ak was built in 1948 as the first permanent "Armed Forces Radio Network" station. It was the first site built with commercial broadcast equipment instead of modified military gear used at some sites during WW II. The radio transmitter was a gates BC250 In the early '70s AFRTS claimed to be the only all tube network in the world.

I wish I had a photo copy of the FCC license for the two stations.

The radio station: frequency 980 KHz.
Power: 250 watts, or as deemed necessary.
Expiration date: Until no longer needed.

The TV station: Frequency Channel 8.
Power: 500 watts, or as deemed necessary.
Expiration date: Until no longer needed.

> Sigh. I didn't know the Army had gotten so generous
> with conversions of skills to civilian licenses. :-)

Actually, it was the FCC who no longer accepted the military records in lieu of testing.

There were a few other skills that were convertible. I know guys that worked high pressure steam who said they just walked into the state's licensing office with their military records, paid the fee and left with their state license. There were a couple EEs who worked at the Ft Greely power plant. That was where I first got my hands on the IEEE magazine. Of course, air traffic controllers were in demand in civilian airports. Ft Tucker trained helicopter pilots and Air traffic controllers at Carin Air field, a few miles from the Daleville gate. The airfield was Air Force, but the students were Army and Air Force, as well as some foreign militaries. My main job was to maintain two 12 channel CATV systems and 350 monitors used to distribute educational TV and weather data to student pilots.

> I lucked out on assignments after Signal School, even
> though it was overseas. Couldn't have asked for a
> better assignment except maybe in Europe as part of
> ACAN.
>
> > We had basic models that were customized to the customer's needs.
> I
> >also did a lot of preliminary testing of new components, boards, and
> >modules before they were released to production so I had a lot of data
>
> >books and marked drawings on my bench. ISO 9001, as they set it up
> did
> >not let the techs keep any notes or write anything on any drawing for
> >future reference. I was no longer allowed to maintain test software I
>
> >wrote for an automated test fixture and I didn't want a pencil pushing
>
> >outsider in my way while I was working. I had a 350 MHz four channel
> >scope on my bench, but if a test procedure specified a 20 Mhz scope
> the
> >idiots insisted that you couldn't use the 20 Mhz filter in a better
> >scope. Even worse, they sent someone new for every audit so we had to
>
> >go through the same mess each time. One would insist a process was
> >wrong. We would change it to suit him or her. The next one wanted it
>
> >changed back.
>
> Heh heh heh...sounds all too familiar. While we may not
> have been in the same place, we got T-shirts in the
> same style! :-)
>
> > BTW I worked on almost every board or module for a special
> broadband
> >telemetry receiver we built for the International Space Station.
> These
> >days I work on old ham receivers and test equipment when I feel well
> >enough to spend a couple hours at the bench.

>
> Outstanding that you are still active! My old office
> cubicle buddy from RCA days (only a month younger than
> myself) suffers from Parkinson's disease (kept down
> from deleterious effects, thank God), yet he had
> enough soup left that he fixed me up with an HP 608 and
> HP 606 generator when I got married (again). He's
> on 20 meters every Saturday after fixing up his old
> tube clunker transceiver.

<http://home.earthlink.net/~mike.terrell/Projects.html> has some of my test equipment listed. Its on the "Current Projects" page because I went two years that I couldn't do anything so I am in the process of checking out all my equipment before I start using it again.

>
> I'm still bopping around with only minor problems, none
> worth mentioning. But, I come from a family of long
> livers (oh...about three feet or so, some would say).
> :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

My retired dad lives next door. Other than some problems with his hip he's in better shape than I am. I'm 100% disabled due to a laundry list of "Little" problems. I still try to keep busy with some projects. I am trying to set up a program to collect old and dead computers, refurbish them and give them to disabled vets in my area. This part of Florida is full of retired and disabled vets trying to survive on a small pension or Social Security.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4658. K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 06:56:47 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113893807.913111.292480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106174

Ok, Mister Wizard, answer me this question, where in the FCC rules or a FCC decision does it state that an information bulletin can not be opinionated??? please quote it from rule or statement from the FCC.

You say I'm in violation of federal law so please enlighten me which laws am I in violation of?

Todd N9OGL

4659. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 06:59:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113893963.500439.59210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106175

I DIDN'T MAKE THAT STATEMENT DICKHEAD, SAID IF YOU CONNECT TO ICQ OR ECHOLINK TO TALK TO ME AND DO WHAT YOUR DOING ON HERE I'LL BAN YOUR SORRY ASS.

TODD N9OGL

4660. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 09:35:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1113903358.143514.25460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106176

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Paul W. Schleck on Mon,Apr 18 2005 12:03 pm
>
> >
> >- Any attempt to engage Len in debate results in him insulting
others
> > with invectives like "Gunnery Nurse," "Katapult King," "Macho
> > Morsemen," and "A believer in the Church of St. Hiram."
>
> AFTER I had been personally insulted a number of ways,
> i.e., called a "PUTZ," "LIAR," "deceitful," AND had
> members of my family (alive or dead), especially my
> wife, insulted in public...I decided to "return fire"
> in the same way.

The problem is that Len responds that same way to everyone
who disagrees with him - even if the disagreeing person
has done none of the things described above. He interprets
any disagreement or unflattering observation as an "insult".

The mere act of disagreeing with Len's position on Morse
Code testing, or pointing out errors in his facts or
arguments, will result in him insulting you.

> Civility in opposition to anything
> I wrote was not "discussion" but a series of personal
> insults and harrassment actions, both on and off the
> newsgroup.

But even if a person does none of those things, Len will
behave as if the person has. Len seems to think that all
who disagree with him are equally responsible for what any
who disagree have done.

4661. Re: This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 10:12:26 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113905546.901250.55760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106177

"Bible-banging butt-buddy Nazis" ??!!??

What a collection of contraditions you and your analogies
are, my friend.

If ever there were any groups bent on destroying
each other, those are the ones...

4662. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 10:48:05 UTC
From: Susan Cohen <flavia18@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <FI59e.36163$qO6.4488@trnddc05>
Source record: 106178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,soc.culture.usa,alt.military.retired,soc.culture.jewish

I don't know if I ever thanked you for your relentless and meticulous pursuit of the truth, but I am doing so now. You certainly bolster my faith in the more-than-just-basic decency of humanity.

Susan

"Mike" <micoma@aol.com> wrote in message news:1113882826.847164.221790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

anon wrote:
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message

> YOU ARE A LIAR! You were not there. You have no information.
> You are just repeating hearsay from some Jew. There are USS Liberty
> survivors who have described what happened many times.

And what follows is suppose to be that? Try instead:

http://libertyincident.com/USNcourt.htm

for the complete record; or here:

http://www.ussliberty.org/nci.txt

for just the sworn testimony transcript.

> The Jews

You mean Israelis of course. Must be a "slip on the tongue"

> NEVER queried the U.S for the identity of the Liberty, but the Jews did
> recon the Liberty for almost a day prior to the attack, during which time
> the
> Liberty was flying an oversized American flag, a holiday ensign.

What BS, even Ennes doesn't make that claim about the size of the flag!

"Like any Navy ship, we flew our standard five-foot by eight-foot American flag."

And of course there's more:

<start>
?LIBERTY flew a size 9 (approx 5 feet by 8 feet) U.S. ensign from her foremast throughout the morning of 8 June and until it was shot down by the Israeli air attack. At least 5 minutes prior to the torpedo attack

a size 7 ensign (approx 7 feet by 13 feet) was hoisted at the main
yardarm.
<end>

That comes from direct, sworn testimony by Liberty crewmembers.

Do bone up on the FACTS ...

Remaining nonsense snipped ...

Mike

4663. Re: This Is Why N9OGL Should Not Be Allowed To Have A Broadcasting License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 10:50:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113907845.602864.119530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106179

N9OGL wrote:
> >The "f" word, suggestions that people put razor blades in their
> >rectums, and other sexually descriptives words are obscene.
>
> Perhaps in your small weak mind....it varies from person to person
what
> obscene is.

No, Todd...that's why the obscenity test requires the test of
"COMMUNITY STANDARDS". I can assure you, with no fear of conradiction,
that people who talk and act LIKE YOU are NOT part of the standard.

You're the part that lowers the curve.

> > I seriously doubt that you have a "girlfriend" in any regularly
> >accepted use of the term, Todd. You may have female acquaintences,
> but
> >I have a hard time accepting that any concious, aware adult female
> >would allow your mouth to touch her ANYwhere.
>
> well stevey I wouldn't bet on it

I would.

> >Hey BLOCKHEAD...You and I don't live in Europe and the FCC doesn't
> >have jurisdiction there!
>
> No dip####, my point is that in europe their television content is a
> hell of lot worse then over here.

And we STILL don't live in Europe. It doesn't matter if they have
explicit sex on network TV at high noon.

>> You've obviously not paid attention to the deterioration of our
>> society in the last half of the 20th Century.
>
> That's a ####ing crock, the only ones claiming that the US is morally
> decaying are right wing bible bangers. they said that shit when rock
> and roll came out back in the 50's and in the late 60's with the
hippie
> movement and if you believe that there is a deterioration of
mortality
> in our society then your just a ####ing stupid as those ####ing bible
> banging nazi's

I'm not a "bible banger", Todd. As a matter of fact I don't
particularly care for ANY organized religion. But I can read.

Perhaps that's your problem...reading comprehension.

> >I didn't say "porn". I said "adult".
>
> When most think of adult or adult content they think of porn. Go to
> google or yahoo and type in adult content and see how many porn sites
> pop up.

Quite a few, I am sure...But there's still a difference.

> >And why did you snip out the part wherein I asked you about your
> >qualifications on child rearing? How many kids have you raised?
>
> My girlfriend and I don't want kids, But the bottomline is that if
you
> don't want to keep tabs on what your childern are watching and or
> listening too then you should have ####ing kids. Stop relying on the
> government to do the parents job.

I don't rely on anything. The point is that you're barking at the
moon about parents supervising kids watching TV, and the point, made
clear by your admission of no kids yet, is that you DON'T HAVE A CLUE
about what it's like to raise kids yet.

You and Lennie Anderson have a lot in common. HE has suggested
rules to the FCC about kids and HE doesn't have any experience in child
rearing either. And in both cases, your rhetoric matches your
expeience level.

Steve, K4YZ

4664. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 10:55:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113908127.334208.180450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106180

N9OGL wrote:
> Ok, Mister Wizard, answer me this question, where in the FCC rules or
a
> FCC decision does it state that an information bulletin can not be
> opinionated??? please quote it from rule or statement from the FCC.
>
> You say I'm in violation of federal law so please enlighten me which
> laws am I in violation of?

Once you have tainted "information" with individual opinion, it is
no longer information, but editorial.

FCC rules and regulations allow for the transmission of bulletins
of general interest to the Amateur service.

Your "bulletins", made up of YOUR "opinion", do not meet the test
of "general interest.

Read it yourself, Toiddie. You've requoted it over and over
enough.

Steve, K4YZ

4665. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 10:58:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113908334.837233.74970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106181

N9OGL wrote:
> I DIDN'T MAKE THAT STATEMENT ####HEAD, SAID IF YOU CONNECT TO ICQ OR
> ECHOLINK TO TALK TO ME AND DO WHAT YOUR DOING ON HERE I'LL BAN YOUR
> SORRY ASS.

Ohhhh! Toiddie! You'll "BAN" him...?!?! Geeeee...isn't that like CENSORSHIP...?!?!?!?

You creeps that jump up and down about "IT'S MY RIGHT! IT'S MY RIGHT!" are ALWAYS the FIRST ONES to resort to the very conduct you allegedly oppose!

Sheeeeesh!

Steve, K4YZ

4666. Re: Morse gone by summer???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 11:01:41 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1113908501.460635.297670@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bert Craig wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1113835291.253735.225350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >

> > Serious HF dxers aren't serious unless they work both phone and CW,
ya
> > have to do both or else yer shooting yerself in the foot.
>
> Thanks Brian. I'm havin' gobs of fun and have broken out the K2/100
running
> approx. 70 Watts. I'm about a third of the way through toward DXCC

Whoa, boy you're moving fast, good show! How much sleep are you getting
these days?

> and need
> an Asian contact for WAC.

Condx from here on the east coast to the north Pacific rim have been
somewhere between lousy and hideous for weeks. A JA or a UA0 will pop
into your sights eventually.

> I will heed your advice re. using both phone and CW.

If the name of your game is building your mixed-mode total, which is
usually the Holy Grail, you really don't have any choice. It's simple
statistics and the immutable math of the decibles. CW gets you an
automatic 10 dB advantage over ssb but if the rarie only runs ssb well
then the ten dB obviously don't mean squat, etc.

I haven't done any "card counting" for years but I suspect that if I
did I'd find that 25-30% of my total are phone contacts. Despite the
fact that I'm a terrible weak-signal phone op and spend 95% of my time
lurking in the lower 25s.

> I do hop on 10 using
> phone while commuting to and from work, but in the shack, well... ;-)

Heh. Keeping an eye on 10 from the vehicle is not a bad idea actually.
If ten opens up you know the lower bands are probably cooking.

> To be honest, I suppose I just find the CW itself fun. I'm also a big
WW II
> buff and was quite honored to work W5E over the weekend, who was
operating

> from a Boeing B-17 bomber. The op was using the vintage onboard gear.
It's
> humbling to think of the transmissions that have traveled through
that gear.

Hap and his Eighth; "Yankee Doodle went to . . BERLIN?!" Yee-haw! Only
those who were there will ever really know what it was like.

> Tnx agn es hpe c u ota. Take care es...

Later. Dit-dit.

> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782

w3rv

4667. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 11:04:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113908687.936886.293320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106183

N9OGL wrote:
> AND YOUR K4YZ LITTLE #####

See, Todd...this is why you shoudn't drink and type.

Did you mean to mean to call ME a "LITTLE #####", or were you
calling someone else >>MY<< "LITTLE #####"...?!?!

You refuse to follow netiquette and cite attributes, so in the
end, between that failure and your gross abuse of the English language,
who has ANY idea of what you mean...?!?! You're just a profane little
troll with a big mouth. A big DIRTY mouth.

Steve, K4YZ

4668. Re: K0HB of the Northpole...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 11:10:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113909031.773443.91540@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106184

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> His list of "enemies" keeps building up and his
> attempts at communication in here wind up as flame
> war ignitors as he tries to "battle his foes."

As I have said before, Lennie, I don't have any "foes" here. I do take you and Brain to task for you lying, though.

Now it looks like Todd's joining your family. I am sure he'll fit right in! He certainly has the mouth for it!

Steve, K4YZ

4669. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 11:16:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113909403.576202.254920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106185

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Apr 18, 2:31 pm
>
> > LenThePutzAnder...@ieee.com
>
> There's NO such member at the IEEE. IEEE is a worldwide
> professional association. I first joined them in 1973
> and am a Life Member. You can check that in the annual
> Membership Directory.

I am sure Lennie Anderson is on the rolls.

I am also sure he's a putz. He's proven it over and over.

> Tsk, tsk. You mean like calling others "PUTZ"
> or "LIAR" or "DECEITFUL?" I don't think I've
> done that.

Then you'[ve proven that you have a perception problem, Lennie.

I guess the reams of exchanges of others taking you to task for
your deceitful posts, mistruthful assertions, and blatant lies was not
clue?

> Seems to me that little STEVIE does that on a regular basis!

I am sure you see it that way.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenThePutzAnderson@ieee.com

Steve, K4YZ

4670. Re: Where Did All These Anti-Sexy Female Prudes Come From?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 11:21:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113909698.065262.72810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Anonymous wrote:

> Sort of like how you couldn't prove I've never been to your
> place of employment, Nursie.

You never have. It's not up to ME to prove...YOU already did
that.

Can't name the facility or the town it's in...report what shift I
work or who I work with, etc etc etc.

You're STILL an Anonymous Coward, and a lying one at that.

Steve, K4YZ

4671. Re: Where'd Ya Go, Dieter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 11:23:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113909814.166092.50000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106187

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Absolutely a lie, Dieter!
> >
> > You've repeatedly told us about your former IRS employment and
> > suggested that you have the knowledge and friends to make your
wishes
> > happen.
>
> "Dialing..."

Did dial.

You don't want to know the truth...that's OK. I do.

Steve, K4YZ

4672. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 11:31:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113910304.154510.46630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106188

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Paul W. Schleck <pschl...@novia.net> on Mon,Apr 18 2005 12:32
pm
>
> In <1113284464.892147.41...@o13g2?000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org writes:
>
>
> >> Paul Schleck (the extra who "signs" those welcome
> >> e-mails to new names in the newsgroup) is apparently
> >> long gone on some sabbatical or whatever. He doesn't
> >> answer any e-mails...at least to the web address on
> >> those "canned" welcome messages. ???
> >
> >I prefer to read widely, but post judiciously, and only when I have
> >something original to say.
>
> Wise procedure from one who is not quite a moderator here.

...from one who THINKS he's a moderator here!

> >Len, if it was you that tried to reply, and didn't get an answer,
does
> >that mean that you now wish to have an E-mail conversion on
newsgroup
> >subjects? Your last message to me, on January 27th, 2004, said in
no
> >uncertain terms that you did not.
>
> I am NOT interested in discussing any "policy matter" on
> amateur radio with anyone who is biased, coarse, cannot
> accept any viewpoint different from their own, or who
> becomes petulant and abusive when "not accepted," nor
> anyone who attempts to command anything when NOT in any
> position of authority to force such commands.

Gee, Lennie...ONCE AGAIN you have DESCRIBED YOURSELF to the
LETTER!

> If this PUBLIC venue is insufficient to "discuss"
> matters about Rec.radio.amateur.policy, then it is
> not productive to consider that private correspondence
> is also "useful." I've received quite enough of those
> in the past. I've received some angry, petulant
> responses while engaged in Instant Messaging with my

> wife who was visiting up north while our niece was
> undergoing a risky corrective operation.

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HA
! ! ! ! ! !

Lennie, you still trying to pass off that old story...?!?!

Losing your touch, are you...?!?! Can't maage to rip off a few new
ones...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAA!
! ! ! !

> I had neglected
> to set my AOL blocking controls fully and now have to
> allow only certain screen names through. I have
> received a couple of telephone messages from irate
> individuals. That was during a trip, were duly
> recorded along with their desitination number, all
> forwarded to telephone company security people and
> the LAPD Stalking unit (LAPD does not consider ANY
> form of stalking as minor or trivial). Neither do I
> live in any form of "fear" of anything...it is tiring
> to see so many wanting to "fight" via messages as if
> they could "accomplish" anything that way.

Sheesh, Lennie! Re-vamping the "victim" role, eh? Taking a page
from Mark Morgan...?!?!?!

> 27 Jan 04 was nearly 15 months ago. That is VERY late
> to assume any sort of "remedial action from authority"
> communications. However, anyone is still "free" to
> send me any sort of e-mail. I have the perfect
> freedom to ignore such or to respond in any way I
> choose. I have not sent any messages to you since
> 27 Jan 04.
>
> If you have ANY complaints about my personal e-mails
> then you can either exercise your newsgroup authority
> by stating so plainly in private e-mail. That should
> be clear enough...

Why, Lennie?

You never thought twice about violating people's private e mail
with inappropriate traffic before...I have absolutely no doubt that you
probably did Paul's, too!

Steve, K4YZ

4673. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 12:06:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113912400.415493.167520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106189

>Once you have tainted "information" with individual opinion, it is
>no longer information, but editorial.

what rule states that???

Todd N9OGL

4674. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 12:09:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113912547.205920.86220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106190

Steve,
Not at all, you can get on my blog and do that shit or on here, I
don't want you doing it to me on ICQ or Echolink.

4675. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 12:12:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113912771.317384.66750@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106191

SISSY BOY STEVE SAID:

> You refuse to follow netiquette and cite attributes, so in the
>end, between that failure and your gross abuse of the English
language,
>who has ANY idea of what you mean...?!?! You're just a profane little

>troll with a big mouth. A big DIRTY mouth.

AND YOU STEVE ARE A LITTLE LYING DICKHEAD THAT NOBODY LIKES

TODD N9OGL

4676. 2005 DOUCHE AWARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 12:20:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113913252.635043.90350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106192

THE FOLLOWING ARE THE WINNERS OF THE 2005 REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
DOUCHE AWARD. TO WIN THIS GRAND AWARD YOU MUST BE A TOTAL ASSHOLE ON
REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY

NUMBER NAME/OR CALLSIGN
6 DAVE HEIL
5 DAN W4NTI
4 BAXTER BLOWS MONKEYS
3 KC8GWX
2 CMD BUZZ COREY
1 STEVE K4YZ

IF YOUR ON THIS LIST CONGRADULATION !!!!! YOU ARE THE GIANT DOUCHE'S OF
2005!!!!

FROM N9OGL BLOG ~

4677. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 13:02:22 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <426501f9@x-privat.org>
Source record: 106193
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"KU2S" <ku2s@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:onv861112a0hca81snvckh49befnbntoie@4ax.com...
> Strictly speaking, only the General class license requires that the
> code test be taken.
>
Can I blow a VE instead? I hate those beeps.

4678. Re: 2005 DOUCHE AWARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 13:53:48 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <beWdncCk7cr1kPjfRVn-3A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106194

N9OGL wrote:

Everybody that doesn't agree with you must be wrong!

> FROM N9OGL BLOG ~

Toady, you state on you so called site
"N9OGL IS ON ECHOLINK NODE NUMBER IS 183805 ANYON WHO IS ABUSIVE TO
N9OGL WILL BE BANNED FROM THE SYSTEM. "

"N9OGL IS BACK ON ICQ FOR THOSE WHO WANT COMMUNICATE DIRECT WITH N9OGL
HIS ICQ NUMBER IS #233471237. PLEASE NOT ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR WILL NOT
TOLERATED AND N9OGL WILL BAN ANYONE WHO IS ABUSIVE TOWARD HIM."

It seems that you are on the defense alot? Do you think alot of people
abuse you?
You are attacking people here, you have initated this by this post, just
like every post you make! Anybody list you on a web page yet? Is the
abuse started by others or is it just in answer do all your name calling?
What do the voices in your little mind tell you toady? Do you have to
peak out from behind the curtins everytime you hear a car door slam?
Does your neck get soar from watching the rear view mirror, thinking you
are being followed? Everybody knows you make up lies about everything,
you twist everything to fit your purpois! Maybe you think it's all true
because the voices tell you so?
Get help soon toady, before it goes too far, get on the correct meds!
You are such a waste of O2.

4679. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 14:00:43 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <meydnSOZqa6bkvjfRVn-og@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106195

N9OGL wrote:

> I DIDN'T MAKE THAT STATEMENT DICKHEAD, SAID IF YOU CONNECT TO ICQ OR
> ECHOLINK TO TALK TO ME AND DO WHAT YOUR DOING ON HERE I'LL BAN YOUR
> SORRY ASS.
>
> TODD N9OGL

Hey DICKBREATH, what makes it ok for you to shout and call everybody
names and then you go hide and shake about your control freak privileges.
If you come to my house or call me on the phone or get on my echolink
node or icq or instant messenger, I'll do more then ban your gay face.
And stop talking about everybody's butt or ass, we know what you like,
you don't need to remind us. Do you even know anything about Bill Shockley?
>

4680. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 14:05:19 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <n92dnf1AeqqGjfjfRVn-iQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106196

N9OGL wrote:

> Steve,
> Not at all, you can get on my blog and do that shit or on here, I
> don't want you doing it to me on ICQ or Echolink.

Well DICKBREATH, when you make the rules of what, where and when, that's censorship! So I can go post on the front page of your blog about how you like to talk about butts and how you download gay porno, or all the other things that are so true of you?

4681. Re: 2005 DOUCHE AWARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 14:09:21 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <R9KdncLUbfiQjPjfRVn-ug@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106197

N9OGL wrote:
<who cares>

> FROM N9OGL BLOG ~
>
It seems that you are on the defense allot? Do you think allot of people abuse you?
You are attacking people here, you have initiated this by this post, just like every post you make! Anybody list you on a web page yet? Is the abuse started by others or is it just in answer do all your name calling?
What do the voices in your little mind tell you toady? Do you have to peak out from behind the curtains every time you hear a car door slam? Does your neck get soar from watching the rear view mirror, thinking you are being followed? Everybody knows you make up lies about everything, you twist everything to fit your purpose! Maybe you think it's all true because the voices tell you so?
Get help soon toady, before it goes too far, get on the correct meds!
You are such a waste of O2.

4682. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 14:28:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113920925.856452.319160@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106198

does your boyfriend steve know you get on my blog and pretend to be
him???

4683. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 14:53:02 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2Y89e.64220$NC6.5653@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:KsudnYO6lpYowfnfRVn-hw@adelphia.com...
> cl wrote:
>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>cl wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a
>>>>few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
>>>>test.
>>>
>>>Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to get
>>>to 5 wpm.
>>>
>>>I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
>>>understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>>>
>>>I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a lot
>>>of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>> Ok.... It took "me" 2 weeks, I know others who learned it quickly, but I
>> can't provide a time frame. Yes, code "can" be harder for others to pick
>> up. I don't doubt that for a minute. Point is, you have to put one foot
>> in front of the other and stick with it, to get down the path to learn
>> it.
>
> Yup. I must confess that I kind of drew you and some folks into this a
> bit, because I have some significant hearing defects. Several 60+ db
> notches,esp at the mid and higher frequencies and two separate tones of
> tinnitis, a different frequency for each ear. I haven't had a quiet moment
> for 30 years or more. When conversing with people, I read lips. I
> understand vey much the situation of the fellow whose wife has notches in
> her hearing.(conjecture alert) I would also say I suspect that the
> constant noise in my ears has turned of parts of my brain that process
> sound. And that is probably why I had such a hard time (conjecture alert
> off) All I can say for teh folks with hearing problems is that study,

> practice, and most importantly, relaxation during copying is the key.
>

I can only imagine what it must be like with a significant hearing deficit. I can not and will not put anyone down who has such a problem. As to how they can learn code, there are many ways, but I guess it comes down to whatever works best for that person. Not everyone's condition is the same. I've tested folks with some difficulties, I followed the guidelines as given by the VEC/FCC. There are ways to test folks with such problems, but getting them to be able to learn the code - is the first hurdle.

> Does 6 months of constant hard effort indicate the desire to "stick with
> it"?
>

Yes, I'd say it certainly does! You are to be commended for doing such. You're not a "quitter". And from the sounds of things, you didn't "whine" about it either.

>> Many don't want to start, and whine about it without ever putting forth
>> effort. Hell, I know people who bitched about having to look at the
>> "basic" Q/A manual! One remark was "Do I "have" to learn all this?"
>> Another - "Do I "have" to read all these questions?" But yet they want a
>> license. Pure laziness. Licenses should be "earned" not given away.
>> People are least likely to respect something "given" to them.
>
> Most of what you say , I agree with. If a person doesn't want to study,
> they shouldn't have a license
>
>> The bands are already showing signs of deterioration from people who just
>> don't care.
>
> I've heard of some pretty wild times long before things were "dumbed
> down"!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>

Yeah, I know the bands started going to hell before that. Used to be I bragged about Ham to people who wanted their kids to get into radio but didn't want the CB garbage. I said Ham is clean. Today, you couldn't pay me to advertise ham as being clean. It is NOT. That is sad..... It really is. The exams test for proficiency in code, theory, rules and regulations. They're not psychological tests to weed out all the riff raff. IF such tests existed for Ham and all the other fields, maybe we'd have a better world. There are people in every field, be it a hobby or profession - who ruin it or at the very least - make it look bad for the rest.

cl

4684. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 15:07:48 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Y999e.64221$NC6.55693@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:y_udnS0xooyT7vnfRVn-3w@comcast.com...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>> cl wrote:
>>
>>
>>> which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a
>>> few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
>>> test.
>>
>> Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to get
>> to 5 wpm.
>>
>> I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
>> understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>>
>> I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a lot
>> of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> I agree with you Mike. I hate it when I hear someone say "it only took me
> a few days" as that raises unrealistic expectations on the part of the
> students. It becomes very hard to convince them that they will probably
> need more time than that and to keep them motivated to keep working on it.
> The average person needs 30 hours of study (1/2 per EVERY day for 60 days)
> to get there. Some will take longer such as yourself but at least once
> they have put in the 30 hours, they will be able to tell that they are
> making progress even if it takes longer for them.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

NOT EVERYONE - can learn code in a few days or whatever. There are some
things I can't learn in a month where others picked it up right away.
Different strokes for different folks. Each person has to take whatever time
as needed to learn whatever it is they're needing/desiring to learn. Some
fields don't allow a lot of time to learn, while others - such as ham - do.
There is nothing saying that ANYONE has to learn code overnight or they're a
failure. Just because I was fortunate enough to get enough in - in that 2
weeks - to pass the exam, doesn't make me a "CW" king. I use code "rarely".

But, I had a chance once to teach some youngsters the code. One picked it up pretty quick, the others - were a bit slower. If anyone wants/needs to learn the code to get a license, they will - in whatever time it takes - IF they start on it and don't give up.
The last part is the key..... To get started and stick with it. As to time, when they get all the characters down, then they'll have learned the code. Be it 2 weeks or 2 years.

cl

4685. Re: This Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 16:36:28 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <0c3e82a86a87e86996ae7802359e2005@paranoici.org>
Source record: 106207

In article
<e789c6727147d8a1f279ae897ad9541f@melontraffickers.com>
A.Melon <j...@melontraffickers.com> wrote:
>
> this group full of asshole you all
> asshole from 14313 now roger here
> ORIS

Hi Lardass!

4686. Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 16:53:40 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <ola9e.8899$An2.7587@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106201

K4YZ laps the field two months successional

March rrap Top-10

[# posts] Poster

[304] K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
[143] N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
[87] Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
[86] bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
[59] Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
[51] Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
[46] Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
[42] N2EY <N2EY@AOL.COM>
[32] robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
[32] LenAnderson <LenAnderson@ieee.org>

April rrap Top-10 to-date

[247] K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
[129] N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
[99] bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
[56] Jim <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
[46] LenAnderson <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
[28] Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
[26] N2EY <N2EY@AOL.COM>
[22] Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
[22] Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
[21] Mel A. Nomah <Hightower@cosmos.net>

4687. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 17:08:37 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kKOdnRA24bSOpvjfRVn-1w@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106202

N9OGL wrote:
> does your boyfriend steve know you get on my blog and pretend to be
> him???
>
Toady, prove it or you are a liar!
If you know all about how to set up your page, you will have the IP's of any poster logged!
So here's your chance to prove you are a liar you little gay boy. Post the logging.
You make so many post about boyfriends and gay sex, child porn, rusty sex objects, did your daddy and uncle molest you as a little boy toy toad? Do they still do it today gay toady? Please get help with all these sick fantasies soon!
When you make these accusations, please be ready to back them up you gay racist perv.! I've seen your waste of bandwidth site but I never posted on it in my name nor anybody else's name, so your turn gay boy, PROVE IT OR YOU'RE A LIAR! :)

4688. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 17:29:53 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5fb9e.64231$NC6.36496@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Y999e.64221$NC6.55693@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:y_udnS0xooyT7vnfRVn-3w@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>>> cl wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a
>>>> few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
>>>> test.
>>>
>>> Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to get
>>> to 5 wpm.
>>>
>>> I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
>>> understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>>>
>>> I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a lot
>>> of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> I agree with you Mike. I hate it when I hear someone say "it only took
>> me a few days" as that raises unrealistic expectations on the part of the
>> students. It becomes very hard to convince them that they will probably
>> need more time than that and to keep them motivated to keep working on
>> it. The average person needs 30 hours of study (1/2 per EVERY day for 60
>> days) to get there. Some will take longer such as yourself but at least
>> once they have put in the 30 hours, they will be able to tell that they
>> are making progress even if it takes longer for them.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
> NOT EVERYONE - can learn code in a few days or whatever. There are some
> things I can't learn in a month where others picked it up right away.
> Different strokes for different folks. Each person has to take whatever
> time as needed to learn whatever it is they're needing/desiring to learn.
> Some fields don't allow a lot of time to learn, while others - such as
> ham - do.

> There is nothing saying that ANYONE has to learn code overnight or they're
> a failure. Just because I was fortunate enough to get enough in - in that
> 2 weeks - to pass the exam, doesn't make me a "CW" king. I use code
> "rarely". But, I had a chance once to teach some youngsters the code. One
> picked it up pretty quick, the others - were a bit slower. If anyone
> wants/needs to learn the code to get a license, they will - in whatever
> time it takes - IF they start on it and don't give up.
> The last part is the key..... To get started and stick with it. As to
> time, when they get all the characters down, then they'll have learned the
> code. Be it 2 weeks or 2 years.
>
> cl
>

Maybe someone would care to explain to me and to the rest of the world, how Gordon West's mini camps held on a "weekend" - were designed to work to get people ready to pass an exam by the end of the weekend. At least that is the understanding "I" got from reading the ads. I can't recall if some of those weekends were just for NO Code Tech OR if perhaps they also may have been for "code" as well. IF SO, and someone never had code, then how the hell did they do it in 3 days? Two weeks is a reasonable amount of time - as it took me. Three days? I don't know..... Is it possible? Even if it were just for the theory, most I know, can't learn all that in 3 days. You'd have live, eat, sleep, breathe and take the books to the can with you. IF anyone took one of those weekends, speak up. IF maybe "I" misunderstood the ad wordage, someone - anyone - feel free to correct me.

cl

4689. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 17:38:03 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <42654195$0$16763$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com>
Source record: 106204

In <1113879885.977835.280100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com> LenAnderson@ieee.org writes:

>From: Paul W. Schleck on Mon,Apr 18 2005 12:03 pm

>>In <1113433905.215142.38320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com> N2EY@AOL.COM
>writes:
>>
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Apr 12, 8:00 pm
>>>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> >> From: N2EY on Apr 12, 4:20 pm
>>
>>*snip!*
>>
>>>> >> Yet you make this BIG THING about morse code
>>>> >> in a window display...
>>>> >
>>>> >Is it wrong to mention an interesting architectural feature?
>>>>
>>>> Morse code is NOW an "interesting architectural feature?"
>>
>>>The windows are an interesting architectural feature.
>>
>>
>>Way back in Usenet history(early 90's), there were posts signed by an
>>author named "Serdar Argic" who repetitively posted about the Armenian
>>murders of Turks in 1918:
>>
>>http://www.jaedworks.com/shoebox/zumabot.html
>>
>>(Reputable historians agree that the killing was the other way
>around.)
>>
>>Some interesting similarities to Len:

> 1. My ancestry is Scandinavian, not of the Middle East.

> 2. I have NO disputes on either Armenia or Turkey.

> 3. I have no "home page" on the Internet, nor am I a
> registered domain user on same.

> 4. Why do you insist there are "similarities" to
> anything in or near the Middle East? I have
> NEVER used a screen name of "Serdar Argic."

By taking things too literally, you have missed the point (and also
further proving you have no sense of irony, humor, or self-deprecation,
all important examples of human emotional intelligence that, by the way,
could be detected by, oh, I don't know, a Turing Test maybe?).

I, of course, do not think that you are 100% Serdar Argic. I'm happy to
clarify that for you. Rather, you act very much like Serdar Argic in
many ways, and I thought the newsgroup readers would be amused by my
pointing that out. I figured that you would not be amused. I didn't
figure that you wouldn't understand why WE were amused.

>>- Serdar responded to each and every mention of "Turkey" or "Armenia,"
>> no matter the context, with long harangues about his interpretation
>of
>> history.
>>
>>- Len responds to each and every mention of "Morse Code," no matter
>the
>> context, with long harangues about his interpretation of history.

> Explain my "interpretation." As one who was IN
> communications for a long time, IN the electronics
> industry for a long time, HAVE extensive backup
> literature on communications methods, I'm not
> "interpreting" anything.

Here's just a few examples that I could find in the few minutes that I
searched in Google (try the query "wrong Len
group:rec.radio.amateur.policy" at http://groups.google.com):

- The MARS program does not rely on radio amateurs (it does)

- Dave Heil, K8MN, does not appear on any official list of staff at a
U.S. Embassy (he does)

- Steve Robeson, K4YZ, did not serve in Marine Corps, or if he did, he
did not serve honorably (he did serve, and serve honorably).

- Any claim of an amateur balloon going to 100,000 feet is suspect, as a
latex weather balloon will burst at 50,000 feet (Kaymont makes one
rated at 100,000 feet that is affordable to amateurs).

- All amateur radio licensees are perfectly legal to continue operating
in their grace period (only if they renewed before expiration).

- Collins Radio did very little innovation in SSB (What about the
Permeability Tuned Oscillator, PTO, or their 1930's patent for a
phasing transmitter, or just the fact that they were able to put it
all together into a rugged, compact, and reliable package that was
just what the USAF needed, and was available at just the right time,
not theoretically, or only in a patent application, or only on a
blueprint, or in a better implementation that wouldn't be available
until years in the future, and we only realize now after decades of
20/20 hindsight?).

I characterize these as interpretations either because they are wrong (can be rebutted by facts), or are expressions of opinions (usually sweeping, black-and-white assumptions that can be counter-argued into many shades of gray).

>>- Any attempt to engage Serdar in debate resulted in him insulting
>> others with invectives like "Gum Brain," "Wieneramus," "your
>> Criminal Armenian Grandparents" (even others who were, say, ethnic
>> Japanese), and "A mouthpiece for the fascist x-Soviet Armenian
>> Government."
>>
>>- Any attempt to engage Len in debate results in him insulting others
>> with invectives like "Gunnery Nurse," "Katapult King," "Macho
>> Morsemen," and "A believer in the Church of St. Hiram."

> AFTER I had been personally insulted a number of ways,
> i.e., called a "PUTZ," "LIAR," "deceitful," AND had
> members of my family (alive or dead), especially my
> wife, insulted in public...I decided to "return fire"
> in the same way. Civility in opposition to anything
> I wrote was not "discussion" but a series of personal
> insults and harrassment actions, both on and off the
> newsgroup.

What I have seen is something like Israel/Palestine, or Serbia/Bosnia, where the conflict has been ratcheted up incrementally until it becomes personal on both sides. You have certainly done your share of escalation, spoiling for fights, and picking new fights. You remind me of the type of person that the police regularly drag out of barroom brawls, or that school principals separate on the elementary school playground, and usually with both sides screaming, "But HE started it!!!!" At that point, it doesn't matter who started it, because you have what are called "unclean hands," and thus garner no sympathy from me, or others.

Your spoiling for a fight caused you to lash out at Jim, N2EY, in a situation where you were not directly attacked, your basic arguments about Morse Code were not explicitly contradicted, and you chose to reply (post #2, in response to his post #1 in the thread) in a manner that was completely off-topic and ad-hominem. I might have even given you a pass (by not replying) if you had argued something like, "Well, I don't think that Morse Code deserves such architectural worship in a World War II exhibit by implying that it has the same status as, say, Egyptian Hieroglyphics in an exhibit about Egyptology." Instead, you ripped into Jim with an accusation that he didn't have military service (an off-topic, ad-hominem attack that could only be replied with, "Yes/No/Maybe/So What?"), then tried to drag him into some silly argument over whether Morse Code could be an "interesting architectural feature." That's when I dropped in on the thread.

Jim and I have disagreed on many things, but I don't like to see a fellow ham attacked in that way. As you can see, the ham community will close ranks and will defend each other vigorously if that happens.

That's not a threat, merely a pragmatic observation that you are not winning friends or influencing people here. Whatever merit you may have originally had is now completely wasted, and falls on deaf ears.

>>- While somewhat amusing at first, with posters able to easily rebut
>> Serdar, even writing parodies mocking the overwrought style of the
>> posts, the amusement turned to annoyance when the sheer volume of
>his
>> posts overwhelmed any on-topic discussion.
>>
>>- While somewhat amusing at first, with posters able to easily rebut
>> Len, even writing parodies mocking the overwrought style of the
>posts,
>> the amusement turned to annoyance when the sheer volume of his posts
>> overwhelmed any on-topic discussion.

> "Overwrought?" :-) Merely responses in kind.

> "Sheer volume of posts?" Have you examined the Google
> statistics for individual messagers or actually
> EXAMINED the CONTENT of the newsgroup postings?

What a silly question. Of course, I have examined the record, that's why I have come to the conclusions that I have. According to Google Groups (search for "author:LenOver21@aol.com" at http://groups.google.ca, as the Beta version at groups.google.com still has a number of serious bugs), you have posted as "LenOver21@aol.com" about 6,230 times since December of 2000. That's an average of 3-4 posts *per day* for almost 5 years. Surely you must be repeating yourself. Not even John Updike or James Michener could generate that much output and still be original. I used the principal address you used over the years. If I threw in your recent switch to "LenAnderson@ieee.org" (probably due to AOL shutting down news service), and any other address you used earlier (you previously said you have been posting to the newsgroups for about 7 years), I'm sure the statistics would be even higher.

Furthermore, most recent threads show you replying, and counter-replying, and counter-counter-replying, and ..., doing more than your share of contributing to run-on arguments. In this most recent thread, you have made about 21 out of the 141 posts to date, and will probably make many more. Do you really need the last word that badly? ("But HE started it!" is not a defense.)

> Apparently NOT. Or, the "authority" is rather biased.

The following response of yours exhibiting your obvious persecution complex is a perfect example of your overwrought replies:

> In the past, I've given what was thought to be some
> examples of communications modes and methods that I
> was a party to, knew about, or could double-check
> through disinterested third parties. What I got in

> response was the usual personal insults for NOT
> adhering to the "authority" on amateur radio as
> stated by the ARRL...or NOT adhering to the pet
> desires of individuals whose personal views were
> taken as "applying to ALL in amateur radio." [those
> did not, but that was irrelevant to such posters]

>>- Serdar failed his Turing Test for human intelligence when it became
>> clear that he could not distinguish between Turkey, the country, and
>> Turkey, the meat. For example, his postings went up dramatically in
>> the first two weeks preceding American Thanksgiving, strongly
>> suggesting that the posts were written and posted by some kind of AI
>> or "bot."
>>
>>- Len's sentience, and ability to pass the Turing Test, is left as an
>> exercise for the reader. As a first test, Len is invited to guess
>my
>> positions on Morse Code.

The following response of yours about the "Turing Test" joke is a perfect
example of your overwrought replies:

> WHAT "Turing Tests" apply in here? Are you implying
> (which is transparently obvious on reading) that I am
> some kind of "Artificial Intelligence?" Is everyone
> required to "pass a test" to perform as a robot and
> mechanically utter all the quaint "standards" of
> yesteryear? Are all robots in here "supposed" to
> obey - without question - what the ARRL commands?

> Alan Turing was considered a genius at cryptography
> and methods of attack (solutions to cryptographic
> problems). Alan Turing was also a homosexual and,
> when exposed, took his own life. That was long ago.

Are you homosexual, Len? Don't get me wrong, I'm not judging you if so
("Not that there's anything wrong with that," as Seinfeld would say).
However, you did bring it up, and past persecution would explain the
persecution complex you display today. I, and others, might even feel
some degree of sympathy for you if you were. I figured you brought the
subject up either because you were homosexual, or wished to characterize
all hams as homophobic, or are even presuming to raise your persecution
to the degree that Turing suffered.

> Suddenly, for some odd reason, I am implied as
> "failing" a "Turing Test" by NOT ACCEPTING old,
> antiquated standards in an amateur radio hobby
> activity!?! So be it. I am NOT a robot. I am
> NOT artificial (all natural ingredients).

> If there is any "failing" of a "Turing Test," then
> I would judge the "authority of the newsgroup" to

> be deficient in handling a public dispute by a
> technique of mockery and attempts at humiliation.
> As one who has been IN computer-modem communication
> for 20 years - not counting sporadic viewing of the
> old ARPANET and original USENET before that - and
> as a former co-sysop of one BBS and a moderator on
> two other BBSs...I find this "technique" of handling
> certain individuals to be faulty in the extreme.

> You should give careful thought, using whatever
> intelligence is there, artificial or not, to closing
> access from public to private. Select ONLY those
> who conform to Group Think, who say nice-nice to all
> the self-defined gurus, and respect the ENTIRETY of
> U.S. amateur radio customs and traditions, wishing
> to keep OLD standards forever. CLOSE this place off.
> Do NOT let any "riff-raff" in. Demand "dedication"
> and "committment" to old standards, ideas, and
> (especially) the mythology. Demand "involvement"
> FIRST...by licensing, something by which "to show
> papers." Do NOT, under any circumstances, allow
> the First Amendment Rights of Americans to operate
> in here. Think of that as the "Orwell Test." It
> doesn't have the mythological mystique of "Turing
> Test" but it is nonetheless as artificial.

Speaking of tests, you didn't answer my challenge that you guess my positions on Morse Code. Either you don't know, or you realize that arguing with me isn't about Morse Code, it's about your desire to fight just for the sake of fighting, whether people could conceivably agree with you or not. I also recall that there was one specific circumstance that I agreed with you on another topic, and disagreed with every other poster, in a recent thread. Can you name the topic?

> I refuse to meet any "Orwell Test." Have a nice
> day, Mr. Asimov...

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

"Help! Help! I'm being repressed!"

- Monty Python, "The Holy Grail"

--
73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

4690. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 17:40:02 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <4265420f$0$16767$bb4e3ad8@newscene.com>
Source record: 106205

In <1113878772.709549.14920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com> LenAnderson@ieee.org writes:

>From: Paul W. Schleck <pschl...@novia.net> on Mon,Apr 18 2005 12:32 pm

>In <1113284464.892147.41...@o13g2?000cwo.googlegroups.com>
>LenAnder...@ieee.org writes:

>>> Paul Schleck (the extra who "signs" those welcome
>>> e-mails to new names in the newsgroup) is apparently
>>> long gone on some sabbatical or whatever. He doesn't
>>> answer any e-mails...at least to the web address on
>>> those "canned" welcome messages. ???
>>
>>I prefer to read widely, but post judiciously, and only when I have
>>something original to say.

> Wise procedure from one who is not quite a moderator here.

What an obviously self-evident thing to say. I would also post
judiciously about military matters, not being a General, about legal
matters, not being a member of the bar, and about technical matters, not
being a P.E. or PhD.

> However, those replies SEEM to begin as a result of
> automatic comparison against a list of those who had
> previously been sent such messages. That is based on
> my use of the IEEE address instead of the AOL one (AOL
> has dropped access to newsgroups) when using Google.

Yes, you described how the setup works fairly accurately. The Perl
script that is used can only distinguish users by E-mail address. If
you post from a different E-mail address, that it hasn't seen before,
you will get the welcome message. It's an admitted technical
limitation, but one that is probably not easily overcome, and doesn't
seem to bother most people too much.

>>I always respond to replies to my welcome message. In fact, the text
>of
>>the message itself invites such replies:
>>
>>'The author welcomes any and all constructive feedback. Please direct

>>all such feedback to pschl...@novia.net and retain the original
>subject
>>(e.g., "Re: WELCOME to rec.radio.amateur.*") in your reply.'

> I have NO problems with that. Please do not assume I do.

I didn't. I followed up to rebut your fairly plain statement above that 'He doesn't answer any e-mails...at least to the web address on those "canned" welcome messages.' Please don't assume that because I haven't posted recently, that I am not reading, or not in positive control of the welcome message service, or that I wouldn't respond to any E-mail replies.

> Automatically-generated messages are very common on the
> Internet.

>>The last time someone complained about bounces (someone named Andreas
>>"Tekman"), it was due to a SPAM blacklist filtering out their message
>at
>>the ISP level because they were posting from a site identified as a
>>significant source of SPAM.

> I am NOT that person, have NO complaints about that in
> this thread or any other.

I did wonder, however, on what basis you were making the statement about me not replying. Was it based on personal experience, as I asked below:

>>Len, if it was you that tried to reply, and didn't get an answer, does

or was it based on hearsay that you read on the newsgroups? The only hearsay I can recall is that of Andreas "Tekman," and I explained in my previously reply that his assertions are contradicted by evidence, and his behavior on the matter calls his reliability into question.

Since I have successfully rebutted your assertion (or hypothesis, or assumption, or whatever) that I do not respond to E-mail, would you now be willing to do the honorable thing, and retract your original statement? I really don't care very much either way, but I, and others, would recognize such a retraction as honorable.

>>that mean that you now wish to have an E-mail conversion on newsgroup
>>subjects? Your last message to me, on January 27th, 2004, said in no
>>uncertain terms that you did not.

> I am NOT interested in discussing any "policy matter" on
> amateur radio with anyone who is biased, coarse, cannot
> accept any viewpoint different from their own, or who
> becomes petulant and abusive when "not accepted," nor
> anyone who attempts to command anything when NOT in any
> position of authority to force such commands.

I don't see how that describes me. Even you have described my E-mail communications to you as suggestions or advice.

> If this PUBLIC venue is insufficient to "discuss"
> matters about Rec.radio.amateur.policy, then it is
> not productive to consider that private correspondence
> is also "useful." I've received quite enough of those
> in the past. I've received some angry, petulant
> responses while engaged in Instant Messaging with my

> wife who was visiting up north while our niece was
> undergoing a risky corrective operation. I had neglected
> to set my AOL blocking controls fully and now have to
> allow only certain screen names through. I have
> received a couple of telephone messages from irate
> individuals. That was during a trip, were duly
> recorded along with their desitination number, all
> forwarded to telephone company security people and
> the LAPD Stalking unit (LAPD does not consider ANY
> form of stalking as minor or trivial). Neither do I
> live in any form of "fear" of anything...it is tiring
> to see so many wanting to "fight" via messages as if
> they could "accomplish" anything that way.

That wasn't me. Just give your consent, and I can make public (on a web page, no need to annoy the newsgroup), our entire E-mail conversation and allow others to judge its content.

> 27 Jan 04 was nearly 15 months ago. That is VERY late
> to assume any sort of "remedial action from authority"
> communications. However, anyone is still "free" to
> send me any sort of e-mail. I have the perfect
> freedom to ignore such or to respond in any way I
> choose. I have not sent any messages to you since
> 27 Jan 04.

Nor have I to you, except for the automated welcome message. Did you wish to reply to my welcome message, did you have any questions about how it works and why you got the message, or did you want to resume having an E-mail conversation about other newsgroup subjects?

> If you have ANY complaints about my personal e-mails
> then you can either exercise your newsgroup authority
> by stating so plainly in private e-mail. That should
> be clear enough...

I have no rank, commission, or authority here, as you take pains to point out (except maybe as a peer-recognized "authority" on Usenet history, as well as on posting practices that have proven over time to foster effective communications, as opposed to non-productive arguments).

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

--
73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

4691. Mythology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 18:11:10 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <2Rb9e.10820$IP1.10139@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106206

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:q4%8e.8705$An2.155@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
:
: <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
: news:1113879362.668668.47440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
:
: > Sorry, can't think of any fancy names applied to
: > any of the land-based systems. :-) Guess it isn't
: > as romantic as what the Navy had with names like
: > ADONIS or JASON (if you like horror that one might
: > be considered "romantic" - :-]).
:
: Land based/sea based all have the same names. They were assigned by NSA
(who
: ultimately controls all US Government crypto systems), and were based on
names
: from Greek mythology, ie., ADONIS, BACCUS, JASON, NESTOR, ORESTES, PONTUS,
etc.
: By convention they are always written in "all caps".
:

Hans spreading greek myths again!

We thought you'd died.

4692. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 18:33:54 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.if79wi2.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 106211

On 18 Apr 2005 20:40:56 -0700, Mike wrote:

>> As well as the NSA/USN brass who, when queried by the Israelis,
>> adamantly denied that the Liberty was a U S Naval vessel even after
>> being told that the vessel wiil be blown out of the water if it
>> wasn't a US Naval vessel.
>
>Not even the Israelis (meaning the ACTUAL Israeli gov't) has ever made
>such a claim.
>
>>The Israelis had every reason to believe
>> that the Egyptians or their mentors, the Soviets, would fly the US
>> flag to avoid destruction if they could get away with it.
>
>Not even the Israelis (meaning the ACTUAL Israeli gov't) have ever made
>such a claim at stated here.
>
>> And the NSA kept denying...
>
>And the GOI has never made the above claim ...

Of course not, governments never reveal such stuff, but every
Israeli in "the business" (where I worked) knows that that was the
case.

I don't dishonor the memory of the sailors killed aboard the USS
Liberty. They didn't have to die. I dishonor their superiors who
never took responsibility for not preventing what happened.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4693. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 18:59:09 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.if7b2l3.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 106210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 19 Apr 2005 02:41:32 GMT, robert casey wrote:

>And that was in the summer! I had to do a code sending
>test at 5wpm at the old FCC field office in New York City
>(I've heard the place is now condos).

When the rats started to outnumber the people in that building,
something had to be done....

A shame - 641 Washington Street was a beautiful example of 1920s
Federal office buildings. A family friend who was my inspiration in
joining the Federal workforce worked there most of her career as a
secretary and then a claims examiner for the pre-OSHA Labor
Department - may she rest in peace.

I was in the FCC facilities there only six times - twice for amateur
exams, three times for commercial exams, and once to the office
(which was at the opposite end of the building from the exam rooms)
to pick up travel orders to my first duty post in San Francisco.

I still can't comprehend those old buildings as upscale condos....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4694. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 18:59:17 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050419185917.AAE7F1712A@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106208

In article <d40tfu$d45$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <dal...@mydaleksabc.org> wrote:
>
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:lnS8e.8121$yq6.6694@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > news:1113802524.777688.312080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >> That was necessary to insure the secure "rotor machine"
> >> encryption terminals (on-line or off-line capable)
> >> for Command orders and responses.
> >
> > No, it wasn't necessary. The most popular "rotor machine"
crypto system
> > in us in ALL Navy ships was the off-line KL7 "ADONIS"
system. It was
> > incapable of on-line connection, and did not require TTY to
transmit
> > messages. Morse worked just fine, and 98% of all the
> > "5-letter-coded-group" messages were generated on KL7 off-
line machines.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > Master Chief Radioman, US Navy
>
>
> How many other crypto systems were there in existence that you
> did not have a "Need To Know" about?

What the fuck do you care, Lardass? You never served in the
military, you chickenshit.
As usual, you blather your utterly useless opinion on something
you know absolutely nothing about.

4695. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 19:15:59 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.if7bun4.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 106209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 19 Apr 2005 06:05:03 GMT, Michael A. Terrell wrote:

> The radio station at Ft Greely, Ak was built in 1948 as the first
>permanent "Armed Forces Radio Network" station. It was the first site
>built with commercial broadcast equipment instead of modified military
>gear used at some sites during WW II. The radio transmitter was a gates
>BC250 In the early '70s AFRTS claimed to be the only all tube network
>in the world.

A former subordinate of mine at the FCC, Don Browne, was an EE and ROTC-trained AFRTS officer in the late 1960s and after his three years on active duty with the Signal Corps went Reserve and came to work for me. He spent several years at the field office and several more at headquarters. His reserve billet was abolished in an AFRTS reorganization (even though he was a MAJ) but when a vacancy on the civilian engineering staff of the AFRTS came up he transferred to that. He retired as the chief of engineering for AFRTS several years ago and still hangs around the broadcast business.

AFRTS >>> IS NOT <<< Amateur Radio

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4696. Re: K1MAN - Answers Please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 20:19:44 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <0Q4Z2QA138461.9303703704@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106212

In article
<1113883159.151620.209210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
"Baxter Blows Monkeys" <hamsandw...@myway.com> wrote:
>
> YAWN and YAWN again Woger. [same whiny shit he posted onNim
Busters flushed]

Hi Lardass Davies!

Afraid of you, Lardass? Hardly. You ran your fat mouth in the newsgroups and didn't show at Dalton hamfest. "Two-test?" Hell, Lardass you can't even get past the training wheel ham license. You are too stupid and lazy to learn the higher class radio theory or simple 5 wpm Morse code.
I don't live in a "township", dumbass, and they certainly didn't order me to remove my "antennas" since I have a DX-CC and the total length is 82 feet in an inverted vee configuration. I know you don't know what that is, since you are stuck in a filthy HUD apartment complex and have to use the shitty "Tiny Tenna". Never had any cardiac arrest, tubby, since unlike you I'm not morbidly obese. And Stenger never "arrested" me, you fucking imbecile. I haven't gotten TOSed from networks, dumbass, since networks and ISP's are quite different. If you'd have gotten a real degree instead of a good as toilet paper certificate, you'd know that. Riley didn't bust me for QRMing, since only one warning letter and a retest letter that indicates no such reason for retesting. I passed my retest and even upgraded. And you've been a no code training wheel ham for over 10 years???? HAHAHAHA Nobody is watching me either, retard. I get along quite well with my neighbors and the kids in the neighborhood are not afraid of me. Unlike the kids in the HUD apartment complex you live in who would kick your fucking ass. Not a run-down shack, Lardass, that would be the 200 square foot apartment you live in in the ghetto. I wouldn't look for a chance to molest a child, since I'm not a pedophile like you. Sorry, unlike you, my house is clean and neat. Only obese fat hogs like you live in a pig sty. And you really shouldn't steal Dicksucking Davey's little blatherings, since he is more of an embarrassment to West Virgina than I could ever be. I don't get SSI, either, career pizza BOY, and I know I have more money than you will ever have. And who said I was adopted? Boy, you sure are stupid. And quit projecting your own lousy childhood coming from a broken home on others. If I ever wanted a piece of you, you'd just shit all over yourself like when Oris visited you or when you refused that Federal Express package a few years ago. You make me shut

up, Lardass, I dare you. It will be extremely satisfying to break your fucking fat jaw for you.
There are no Daleks, retard. They only exist on television and in the Dr. Who books. Only mentally ill retards like you think they are real. You probably think Star Trek is real and dress up in your "uniform" when you watch it.

Want to bet I wouldn't break your jaw, tubby? Show up, that's all you have to do. I'll even let you throw the first flabby, ineffective punch. Stengert isn't about to send anybody to prison, bitch boy. But you might just end up there on drug charges. You are sick of it, do something about it, you whining cunt. But we all know you won't, like when you wouldn't show at Dalton, or come outside when Oris visited you, or sign for a Federal Express package.
Lardass Davies, still a training wheel ham who is too fucking stupid to upgrade.
Like I said, Lardass spends all his time thinking about Roger Wiseman, staying up late at night whining about him, and is the fist thing he posts about at 5-6 AM.

Lardass, the career pizza delivery boy, the best job he could ever get because he was too fucking stupid and lazy to get an education or a real job instead of a high school boy's job. Lardass is and has always been a total fuck up in his life, his employment and in every facet of his miserable life. He comes from a broken home, has mental illness and major depression and unchecked manic depression. Lardass the pig faced loser, who is so fucking unwanted he can't even get a date or a pity fuck from a woman. Not that he wants one. He has to be a fucking FAGGOT. He can't even get past the training wheel ham license, and everybody in ham radio knows it. He wants the requirements to be lowered because he is too lazy and stupid to pass the current tests. He will always live in a HUD apartment complex, get food stamps, and always be what he has been his entire life, NOTHING.

DANCE FATASS DAVIES MY BITCH BOY DANCE

4697. Re: K1MAN - Answers Please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 21:06:37 UTC
From: Baxter Blows Monkeys <hamsandwich@myway.com>
Message-ID: <1113944797.235444.43180@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106213

You see Lloyd everywhere don't you Wiseman?

Dance Woger, Dance!

4698. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 22:27:46 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6YGdne52AIB3GPjfRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 106214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5fb9e.64231$NC6.36496@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>
> "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Y999e.64221$NC6.55693@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:y_udnS0xooyT7vnfRVn-3w@comcast.com...
>>>
>>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>> news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>>>> cl wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a
>>>>> few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
>>>>> test.
>>>>
>>>> Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to get
>>>> to 5 wpm.
>>>>
>>>> I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
>>>> understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>>>>
>>>> I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a
>>>> lot of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>> I agree with you Mike. I hate it when I hear someone say "it only took
>>> me a few days" as that raises unrealistic expectations on the part of
>>> the students. It becomes very hard to convince them that they will
>>> probably need more time than that and to keep them motivated to keep
>>> working on it. The average person needs 30 hours of study (1/2 per EVERY
>>> day for 60 days) to get there. Some will take longer such as yourself
>>> but at least once they have put in the 30 hours, they will be able to
>>> tell that they are making progress even if it takes longer for them.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>
>> NOT EVERYONE - can learn code in a few days or whatever. There are some
>> things I can't learn in a month where others picked it up right away.
>> Different strokes for different folks. Each person has to take whatever
```

>> time as needed to learn whatever it is they're needing/desiring to learn.
>> Some fields don't allow a lot of time to learn, while others - such as
>> ham - do.
>> There is nothing saying that ANYONE has to learn code overnight or
>> they're a failure. Just because I was fortunate enough to get enough in -
>> in that 2 weeks - to pass the exam, doesn't make me a "CW" king. I use
>> code "rarely". But, I had a chance once to teach some youngsters the
>> code. One picked it up pretty quick, the others - were a bit slower. If
>> anyone wants/needs to learn the code to get a license, they will - in
>> whatever time it takes - IF they start on it and don't give up.
>> The last part is the key..... To get started and stick with it. As to
>> time, when they get all the characters down, then they'll have learned
>> the code. Be it 2 weeks or 2 years.
>>
>> cl
>>
>
> Maybe someone would care to explain to me and to the rest of the world,
> how Gordon West's mini camps held on a "weekend" - were designed to work
> to get people ready to pass an exam by the end of the weekend. At least
> that is the understanding "I" got from reading the ads. I can't recall if
> some of those weekends were just for NO Code Tech OR if perhaps they also
> may have been for "code" as well. IF SO, and someone never had code, then
> how the hell did they do it in 3 days? Two weeks is a reasonable amount of
> time - as it took me. Three days? I don't know..... Is it possible? Even
> if it were just for the theory, most I know, can't learn all that in 3
> days. You'd have live, eat, sleep, breathe and take the books to the can
> with you. IF anyone took one of those weekends, speak up. IF maybe "I"
> misunderstood the ad wordage, someone - anyone - feel free to correct me.
>
> cl

It was for no code Tech and students were expected to have read the book
once through before attending the weekend seminar.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4699. Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven which is described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain. (newsgroup-post 142)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 22:33:46 UTC
From: RonGrossi382715@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1113950025.960127.42130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106215
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven which is described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is to be saved so that we can live forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord and believe in your heart that God raised Him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and in three days come back to life

again and it happens then this person must be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God to save you. Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus

Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to
place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
may never get another chance to do so
because you do not know when you will die.

Jesus said "I am the way, the truth and
the life: no one can come to the
Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me."
(John 14:6)

This means that if you die without
trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
Saviour you will be forever separated from
the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
Only then will you have true peace in your
life knowing that no matter what happens you
are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

*Show this to your family and friends so
they can know that they have a choice
where they will spend eternity. Thanks!

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.browser.to/jesus-animation

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

4700. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 22:37:22 UTC
From: Mike <micoma@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113950242.180359.146040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106216

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 18 Apr 2005 20:40:56 -0700, Mike wrote:
>
> >> As well as the NSA/USN brass who, when queried by the Israelis,
> >> adamantly denied that the Liberty was a U S Naval vessel even
after
> >> being told that the vessel wiil be blown out of the water if it
> >> wasn't a US Naval vessel.
> >
> >Not even the Israelis (meaning the ACTUAL Israeli gov't) has ever
made
> >such a claim.
> >
> >>The Israelis had every reason to believe
> >> that the Egyptians or their mentors, the Soviets, would fly the
US
> >> flag to avoid destruction if they could get away with it.
> >
> >Not even the Israelis (meaning the ACTUAL Israeli gov't) have ever
made
> >such a claim at stated here.
> >
> >> And the NSA kept denying...
> >
> >And the GOI has never made the above claim ...
>
> Of course not, governments never reveal such stuff,

Ah, gov'ts are made up of people. Those people involved have never,
ever made the claim you are stating.

> but every
> Israeli in "the business" (where I worked) knows that that was the
> case.

That's quite some claim to be making. In all the years, not one peep
from those "in 'the business'" (as you call it) who would have been
part of (you guessed it) the GOI ...

> I don't dishonor the memory of the sailors killed aboard the USS
> Liberty. They didn't have to die.

Correct, they sure as hell didn't!

> I dishonor their superiors who
> never took responsibility for not preventing what happened.

Ah, there was no way any component of the US gov't was going to prevent the IDF from screwing up!

The popular term is "sh*t happens!", and during a war is can happen a lot ...

MW

4701. Re: 2005 DOUCHE AWARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 22:41:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <0Of9e.9461$sp3.6190@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106218

Everyone that disagreed, or argued with this loser is now a "douche".

Sounds like a compliment to me.

Dan/W4NTI

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113913252.635043.90350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> THE FOLLOWING ARE THE WINNERS OF THE 2005 REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
> DOUCHE AWARD. TO WIN THIS GRAND AWARD YOU MUST BE A TOTAL ASSHOLE ON
> REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
>
> NUMBER NAME/OR CALLSIGN
> 6 DAVE HEIL
> 5 DAN W4NTI
> 4 BAXTER BLOWS MONKEYS
> 3 KC8GWX
> 2 CMD BUZZ COREY
> 1 STEVE K4YZ
>
> IF YOUR ON THIS LIST CONGRADULATION !!!!! YOU ARE THE GIANT DOUCHE'S OF
> 2005!!!!
>
> FROM N9OGL BLOG ~
>

4702. Re: 2005 DOUCHE AWARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 22:42:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <kPf9e.9463$sp3.9245@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106217

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:beWdncCk7cr1kPjfRVn-3A@centurytel.net...
>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> Everybody that doesn't agree with you must be wrong!
>
>> FROM N9OGL BLOG ~
>
> Toady, you state on you so called site
> "N9OGL IS ON ECHOLINK NODE NUMBER IS 183805 ANYON WHO IS ABUSIVE TO N9OGL
> WILL BE BANNED FROM THE SYSTEM. "
>
> "N9OGL IS BACK ON ICQ FOR THOSE WHO WANT COMMUNICATE DIRECT WITH N9OGL HIS
> ICQ NUMBER IS #233471237. PLEASE NOT ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR WILL NOT TOLERATED
> AND N9OGL WILL BAN ANYONE WHO IS ABUSIVE TOWARD HIM."
>
> It seems that you are on the defense alot? Do you think alot of people
> abuse you?
> You are attacking people here, you have initated this by this post, just
> like every post you make! Anybody list you on a web page yet? Is the abuse
> started by others or is it just in answer do all your name calling?
> What do the voices in your little mind tell you toady? Do you have to peak
> out from behind the curtins everytime you hear a car door slam? Does your
> neck get soar from watching the rear view mirror, thinking you are being
> followed? Everybody knows you make up lies about everything, you twist
> everything to fit your purpois! Maybe you think it's all true because the
> voices tell you so?
> Get help soon toady, before it goes too far, get on the correct meds! You
> are such a waste of O2.
>

You don't think this guy is realy Roger W do you? Sure act a lot a like,
don't they?

Dan/W4NTI

4703. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 22:44:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <QQf9e.9464$sp3.1127@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106219

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113798601.469442.263400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> OPS, I mean't to say :
> Steve, Steve, Steve, your the moron that doesn't understand the FCC
> rules.
> You can't grasp the idea tht my bulletins are legal and there's NOTHING
>
> you can do about it.
>
> Hows your plans of remove my blog going BWWWAAAAHHHAAAAAAAAAAA
> LOSER!
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

If your doing everything so legal Toad, please explain to me why your
callsign is on the FCC Amateur Auxiliary monitor for list?

Dan/W4NTI

4704. Re: Todd Accepted Into Lennie's "Camp"...It Had To Happen ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 22:47:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <TTf9e.9466$sp3.16@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106220

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1113862824.599578.47860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "N9OGL" on Mon,Apr 18 2005 4:43 am
>>
>> >Little Stevey say:
>> >>I understand theme better than you think. And yes, there ARE
>> >>things tob e done about you and your broadcasts. "
>> >
>> >Right.....well come on, belly up to the bar or get off the pot.
>>
>> Todd, he is still "on the pot" trying to call every
>> other kettle "black."
>
> Oh, this is precious...
>
> Snip to....
>
>> Don't try to discuss anything with him. Once the
>> first disagreement occurs (however slight), you
>> will find yourself on his "enemies" list and so
>> commented upon by him in here...regardless of what
>> you are talking about in any message. That's
>> almost a guarantee!
>
> Guess that puts Todd, the functionally illiterate, profane, law
> breaking fetishist strongly in Lennie's "camp" where lying, deceivng
> and sending pictures of inappropriate content via e-mail is not only
> accepted, but practiced and condoned!
>
> It's Official!
>
> This is so precious! A wedding made in heaven!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

It had to be Steve. Just like Twinkle toes and the Wizard of OZ. A match
made in heaven.

Dan/W4NTI

4705. Re: K1MAN - Answers Please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 22:49:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ZVf9e.9469$sp3.727@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106221

"Baxter Blows Monkeys" <hamsandwich@myway.com> wrote in message
news:1113836415.233674.324620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Can someone tell me why Glenn Baxter's license to sell insurance was
> administratively cancelled? He used to be able to sell insurance in
> Maine, but he can't do it anymore... What's up, is this a situation
> like his FCC license, did he fuck up somehow or rip somone off?
> Inquiring minds wanna know!
>
> GLENN A BAXTER
> INVESTORS FINANCIAL SERVICES
> 1 LONG POINT RD
> BELGRADE LAKES, ME 04918
> (207) 495-2215
>
> License Number First Licensure Current Issue Expiration Date
> Description
> PRR34986 06/05/1992 03/01/2001 Cancelled PRODUCER RESIDENT
>
> Authority Issue Date Termination Date
> VARIABLE LIFE & ANNUITY 10/25/1993 10/01/1995
> HEALTH 06/05/1992 11/21/1992
> LIFE AND HEALTH 11/21/1992 03/01/2001
> VARIABLE CONTRACTS 10/01/1995 03/01/2001
>
> Code C: Cancelled by administrative agency order
>

I thought Glen Baxter was a lawyer and a rich one too boot. Why does he
need to sell Insurance? Have we been lied to, again?

Dan/W4NTI

4706. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 22:52:31 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42658BA6.B8CBF719@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> A former subordinate of mine at the FCC, Don Browne, was an EE and
> ROTC-trained AFRTS officer in the late 1960s and after his three
> years on active duty with the Signal Corps went Reserve and came to
> work for me. He spent several years at the field office and several
> more at headquarters. His reserve billet was abolished in an AFRTS
> reorganization (even though he was a MAJ) but when a vacancy on the
> civilian engineering staff of the AFRTS came up he transferred to
> that. He retired as the chief of engineering for AFRTS several
> years ago and still hangs around the broadcast business.

A lot of people got caught in RIFS. I worked with an E5 who was
riffed from Captain to E3 a few years before. he decided to stay in the
service so he took the reduction.

I spent more time in the TV end, but I had to take care of the radio
station as well.
>
> AFRTS >>> IS NOT <<< Amateur Radio

I know its not but those old stations were maintained like a lot of
homebrew ham stations. Jury rigged repairs to get back on the air,
running a very marginal signal because you weren't allowed to shut the
transmitter down till scheduled maintenance, which was once every six
months. Repairs done with used parts salvaged from old radios and TVs.
You could see the handiwork and creativity used by former staff and I
always wondered how many were hams. The station manager at Ft Greely
was, but he was a real lid. He truly believed in tuning for minimum
smoke rather than learn how a transmitter worked, but he was the only
one like that I met in the service. Instead of using the station
monitor, he would call his wife to ask how the picture was as he screwed
with the transmitter.

BTW, I was offered a civil service job while at Ft Rucker and turned
it down. I would have finished my active duty there while I filled the
slot, then the slot would become a civilian job again.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4707. Re: 2005 DOUCHE AWARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-19 23:43:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113954195.492415.68950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106223

I love it!

N9OGL wrote:

IF YOUR ON THIS LIST CONGRADULATION !!!!!

As always, Todd is the epitome of English language abortionists.

YOU ARE THE GIANT DOUCHE'S OF 2005!!!!

That being so, I will see the inside of the fun side of a female a
long time before you will!

BWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
! ! ! ! !

4708. Re: Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 00:19:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113956358.939750.87670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106224

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> K4YZ laps the field two months successional
>
> March rrap Top-10
>
> [# posts] Poster
>
> [304] K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
> [143] N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
> [87] Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> [86] bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
> [59] Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
> [51] Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
> [46] Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
> [42] N2EY <N2EY@AOL.COM>
> [32] robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
> [32] LenAnderson <LenAnderson@ieee.org>

Heil finally bests me at something.

4709. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 00:21:58 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113956517.958735.23440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106225

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Ok, Mister Wizard, answer me this question, where in the FCC rules
or
> a
> > FCC decision does it state that an information bulletin can not be
> > opinionated??? please quote it from rule or statement from the FCC.
> >
> > You say I'm in violation of federal law so please enlighten me
which
> > laws am I in violation of?
>
> Once you have tainted "information" with individual opinion, it
is
> no longer information, but editorial.

Tell it to Dan Rather.

> FCC rules and regulations allow for the transmission of bulletins
> of general interest to the Amateur service.
>
> Your "bulletins", made up of YOUR "opinion", do not meet the test
> of "general interest.

Explain, with citations.

4710. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 00:42:55 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113957775.962923.89600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cl wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1113788364.745073.206050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > cl wrote:
>
> A whole bunch snipped.
>
> >> Those who defy wanting to learn the code jump at any chance to
> > perpetuate
> >> rumors of code being eliminated.
> >
> > Similarly, those who promote Morse Code will latch on to any idea,
no
> > matter how wrong, to claim the Code Exam remains valid.
> >
>
> Leave it in, take it out, the riff raff is already invading the
bands.

My comments with respect to the NPRM were, "What I fear most about changing the Morse Code exam requirements is a lack of enforcement, and what I fear most about maintaining the status quo is a lack of enforcement."

> > You're right, it will take a while, even if
> >> they were to decide to write a NPRM to do such. If these people
> > jumped into
> >> Alligator infested waters as fast as they do rumors, the
population
> > would
> >> take a sudden drop. I'm not a "lover" of code, but I have hold a
> > license
> >> which required code.
> >
> > Ditto.
> >
> >> 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a few
> >> minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
> > test. 2
> >> weeks is not long,
> >
> > It may be impossible for some. I learned it over a considerably
longer

> > period of time with frequent practice.
> >
> >> you probably drove longer on a permit before being
> >> allowed to drive on your own. Probably studied the book longer
too!
> > It takes
> >> little effort.
> >
> > I disagree. It took a great effort.
>
> For some - it may! One argument I've heard, is that those musically
inclined
> pick it up quicker than others, yet I knew some who "were" musically
> inclined and claimed to have a hell of a time with it. Reason? I
don't know.
> I can't get inside their head.

Steve can. He can even have them incarcerated with a simple phone
calls.

> >> The biggest problem with most is "laziness".
> >
> > Was that your problem? If you hadn't been so lazy you could have
> > learned the code in under a week?
>
> Eh - I had the code down in 2 weeks for the Novice exam. AND I'm now
an
> Extra. Been licensed since the early 80s.
> Yeah, I probably could have learned it in under a week, if I pushed
myself.

That wasn't my point. My point is that everyone is different, and the
length of time it takes to learn 5WPM varies greatly. The time it
takes to learn 20WPM could be lifetimes. Not everyone is even capable
of 13WPM.

> Most anyone will tell you - it isn't good to do such. Besides, at
that time,
> I was chasing rug rats - so study time was premium.

I've been told that is absolutely no excuse. Nothing in your personal
or professional life can be more important than learning the code.

Most recommendations are
> 15 minutes to a half hour a day. That hardly makes it possible in a
week. I
> used the words " "AT LEAST" 2 WEEKS". Some are faster learners than
others,
> that is a given. BUT my point was, you have to get started to learn
> ANYTHING. You can't absorb it through osmosis. Back to the timing
thing, I
> hope someone from the military can step in to tell us how much time

they
> were given to get the code down. I think they had to "Cram".

They've finally left the group. They were paid to learn the code, and
they kept getting paid even if they failed. Of course, insteading of
doing intercept comms, they were peeling potatoes.

> > Maybe you never
> >> will use it again.
> >
> > Perhaps. I've found little use for it so far. Maybe once I'm an
old
> > fart, have loads of time, and wax nostalgic for things that never
were,
> > I'll take it up and enjoy it, and demand that all learn it.
>
> Probably the same age bracket as me. I do listen to call signs now
and then
> on the scanner to pick out the services they represent - if I don't
> immediately know who the service is. I do listen some times to code
on the
> H.F. Bands.

I don't dislike the code. It was difficult for me to make the few
QSO's that I did make as a novice. I'd like to pick it up again
someday.

> >> There are many things you learn in life and may never use
> >> again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy. Many people learned
the
> > skeletal
> >> system in health class, microorganisms in Biology class. It
doesn't
> > mean
> >> they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as they graduated.
But,
> > it was
> >> "required". It's not a big deal people. Once you get past the "do
I
> > have to"
> >> and start doing it, you'll amaze yourself at how fast and easy it
can
> > be.
> >
> > Indeed. I never had the "do I have to?" attitude as there was no
> > code-free license when I became a ham. Yet it took me about 9
weeks of
> > daily practice.
>
> And you stuck with it!!!!!!!! You didn't quit, and it got you where
you

> wanted to be. OR had to be - for your class of license. 2 weeks, 9
weeks, so
> what... you did it. A milestone to be proud of. No one can fault you
for
> that effort.

My ex-wife certainly can.

> > I
> >> DO use code now and then, but not daily like many others do.
Everyone
> > has
> >> their own thing. Some are into Packet, RTTY, AMTOR, etc, I'm
not...
> > To each
> >> his own. But we all had to learn "something" about those modes to
> > pass an
> >> exam.
> >>
> >> cl
> >
> > Use it all you want. I'm against the Code Exam as an unnecessary
> > government requirement.
>
> Funny thing is, we're all arguing pros and cons and in the end, it
won't
> matter. WE do not have control. So, if we're going to debate the
issues we
> have no control over, may as well keep it clean. Hardly any of us
know the
> other and it isn't worth making enemies over. Certainly not worth
name
> calling.... Whether I'm right or wrong, I do value opposing view
points.
> Everyone has a right to his/her own opinion. It sure will be
interesting to
> see how it all unfolds. I think in the end, we both know the answer
to that.
> Pro or con, it is a matter of time. May be a year, may be 5, but it
will
> come to pass.
>
> cl

I don't think that's 100% correct. You and me don't write the FCC
regulations, but the FCC looked to the ARRL to put forth modern exam
requirements. The ARRL movers and shakers wrung their hands and bit
"thier" knuckles and said that there was no concensus. So the FCC
plowed ahead with modernization, and the ARRL came up with reductions
in the Morse requirements lickety split. Amazing, all that.

So in the end, if more folks has been exposed to viewpoints other than the ARRL's, there just might have been a concensus.

Just my opinions, of course, but by tomorrow I'll probably be labeled a liar, a homosexual, and maybe even a horse thief.

4711. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 00:48:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113958126.278984.82670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:

> Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of course
> you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the test to
> have one set up at that pitch for her testing.

Dee, not everyone has a ham-husband to tell them all of the modifications that the VE may make to an examination

4712. Re: 2005 DOUCHE AWARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 00:55:46 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <BoKdnc_jweESNfjfRVn-og@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106228

K4YZ wrote:
> I love it!
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> IF YOUR ON THIS LIST CONGRADULATION !!!!!
>
> As always, Todd is the epitome of English language abortionists.
>
> YOU ARE THE GIANT DOUCHE'S OF 2005!!!!
>
> That being so, I will see the inside of the fun side of a female a
> long time before you will!
>
>
> BWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
> ! ! ! ! !
>

No CONGRADULATION (s) to me, I feel so left out, I'm not on the
illiterate idiots list!

4713. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 00:58:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0Ph9e.9213$An2.7917@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
news:AUF8e.63884$NC6.29488@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...

> I hope someone from the military can step in to tell us how
> much time they were given to get the code down. I think
> they had to "Cram".

Navy RM "A" School, the basic school which trained Navy Radiomen, was 13-weeks long. Morse practice was 3 hours per day, 4 days per week, but students could come in after-hours for additional practice. Graduation requirement was to be able to copy 5-letter coded groups at 18WPM for 10 minutes, with 3 uncorrected errors allowed.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4714. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:00:26 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <DJqdnfGVhLM1NPjfRVn-ig@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106230

KC8GXW wrote:

>
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> does your boyfriend steve know you get on my blog and pretend to be
>> him???
>>
> Toady, prove it or you are a liar!
> If you know all about how to set up your page, you will have the IP's of
> any poster logged!
> So here's your chance to prove you are a liar you little gay boy. Post
> the logging.
> You make so many post about boyfriends and gay sex, child porn, rusty
> sex objects, did your daddy and uncle molest you as a little boy toy
> toad? Do they still do it today gay toady? Please get help with all
> these sick fantasies soon!
> When you make these accusations, please be ready to back them up you gay
> racist perv.! I've seen your waste of bandwidth site but I never posted
> on it in my name nor anybody else's name, so your turn gay boy, PROVE IT
> OR YOU'RE A LIAR! :)
>

Well that proves it toad, you have had all day and no proof? So you
are a liar, your blog is all lies, your pathetic life has been and
always will be a lie.
BTW a blow-up doll made in China doesn't mean that your girlfriend is
Asian!

4715. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:06:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113959175.425603.67860@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "cl" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 11:33 pm
>
> >Eh - I had the code down in 2 weeks for the Novice exam. AND I'm now
> an
> >Extra. Been licensed since the early 80s.
> >Yeah, I probably could have learned it in under a week, if I pushed
> myself.
> >Most anyone will tell you - it isn't good to do such.
>
> Sorry, according to many in here you have to approach it as
> THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IN YOUR LIFE!!! :-)

I've heard that, too.

> >Besides, at that time,
> >I was chasing rug rats - so study time was premium.
>
> Excuses, excuses, excuses! :-)

I've heard that, too.

> >Most recommendations are
> >15 minutes to a half hour a day. That hardly makes it possible in a
> week. I
> >used the words " "AT LEAST" 2 WEEKS". Some are faster learners than
> others,
> >that is a given. BUT my point was, you have to get started to learn
> >ANYTHING. You can't absorb it through osmosis. Back to the timing
> thing, I
> >hope someone from the military can step in to tell us how much time
> they
> >were given to get the code down. I think they had to "Cram".
>
> "Caveat," I was in the military, the United States Army,
> voluntary enlistment beginning 13 March 1953. Went from
> Basic to Signal School at Fort Monmouth, NJ. Amount of
> Signal School time spent on morse code? ZERO! NO class,
> NO "cramming."

That can't be right. Why there's a war museum in Canada that has a
code key...

Hi, hi!

> At that time the ONLY military occupation specialty
> in the Army requiring morsemanship was Field Radio.

Just like Field Day, I'll bet.

> Field Radio then required passing 20 WPM, was taught
> at Camp Gordon (later Fort Gordon, now the home of the
> Signal Corps).

Fort Gordon? Where was Fort Farnsworth?

Drop-out rate was roughly a quarter of
> all starting...that I know about. Those that didn't
> make it, but had some apitude for electronics, got to
> go to Inside Plant Telephone, Outside Plant Telephone,
> Carrier, Teleprinter Operator, Field Wireman...or the
> Infantry. :-)

"Incoming!"

> My Signal School classes taught Microwave Radio Relay
> (at a time when there was little of such operational).
> Radar was also taught at Fort Monmouth, had the same
> basic electronics as Microwave. I got assigned to a
> Fixed Station Transmitter site in Japan. Got all of
> about a day's worth of on-site "training" to operate
> one of three dozen HF transmitters having a minimum of
> 1 KW output. NO MORSEMANSHIP NEEDED THERE.

Not even to open and close circuits?

NO MORSE
> USED at the third-largest station in the Army Command
> and Administrative Network.

That's when the US Army started it's downward slide and people now have
to go to Canadian war museums to get "thier" morse code fixes.

> >>> Maybe you never will use it again.
> >>
> >> Perhaps. I've found little use for it so far. Maybe once I'm an
> old
> >> fart, have loads of time, and wax nostalgic for things that never
> were,
> >> I'll take it up and enjoy it, and demand that all learn it.
> >>
> >Probably the same age bracket as me. I do listen to call signs now
and
> then
> >on the scanner to pick out the services they represent - if I don't
> >immediately know who the service is. I do listen some times to code
on
> the
> >H.F. Bands.

>
> ...or what you think is morse. :-) There's very LITTLE
> morse code on HF nowadays...EXCEPT inside the ham bands.
>
> >>> There are many things you learn in life and may never use
> >>> again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy.
>
> Tell that to Ken Jennings! :-)

That guy could probably copy psk31. He's a machine.

bb

4716. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:09:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113959351.138665.10210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "cl" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 11:33 pm
>
> > >Eh - I had the code down in 2 weeks for the Novice exam. AND I'm
now
> > an
> > >Extra. Been licensed since the early 80s.
> > >Yeah, I probably could have learned it in under a week, if I
pushed
> > myself.
> > >Most anyone will tell you - it isn't good to do such.
> >
> > Sorry, according to many in here you have to approach it as
> > THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IN YOUR LIFE!!!
>
> Actually, Lennie, YOU are the only one making that assertion.

Nope, in-between homosexual and pedophilia inuendo, you have made such
assertions. So that makes lie #25.

4717. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:16:07 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113959767.115835.174050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> AFRTS >>> IS NOT <<< Amateur Radio
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Hi! Awesome! Can I borrow that sometime?

4718. Re: K1MAN - Answers Please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:16:41 UTC
From: Baxter Blows Monkeys <hamsandwich@myway.com>
Message-ID: <1113959801.232103.119540@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106235

He's a bigger liar than Dick Whiten and Carol Madera combined Danny. His insurance license was 'administratively cancelled' which is quite a coincidence, since that is exactly what will happen to his ham ticket in October!

Not only did he lose the privilege of advertising in QST - which court records indicate was because QST staff said he overcharged and spent customers deposits before giving them a written estimate - but get this Dan - he's also been accused of fucking up customer's radios, more than once!

According to a source who contacted the IRS, his IARN charity didn't even make enough $$ to file taxes last year. You may well ask, what organization???

Combine that info with the FACT that he was fired from his job as station engineer for 95.3 FM in Augusta, and the FACT that he sued a little girls camp (and lost) over a petty grievance, and we begin to see the REAL picture of who the Belgrade Blowhard truly is...

4719. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:16:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113959812.577973.147370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106234

No dumbass I'ver been at school all day.

4720. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:20:59 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <B5GdnTWSDc3IM_jfRVn-vg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106236

N9OGL wrote:
> No dumbass I'ver been at school all day.
>

Well pecker lips you're here now, PROVE IT LIAR!

4721. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:21:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113960077.893423.286230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:AUF8e.63884$NC6.29488@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>
> > I hope someone from the military can step in to tell us how
> > much time they were given to get the code down. I think
> > they had to "Cram".
>
> Navy RM "A" School, the basic school which trained Navy Radiomen, was
13-weeks
> long. Morse practice was 3 hours per day, 4 days per week, but
students could
> come in after-hours for additional practice. Graduation requirement
was to be
> able to copy 5-letter coded groups at 18WPM for 10 minutes, with 3
uncorrected
> errors allowed.

And they had a choice of Morse Code or Farnsworth Code, and could vary
the pitch.

Hi!

What was the wash-out rate? What happened to the wash-outs?

4722. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:21:59 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <X8i9e.8883$yq6.2044@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106238

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113959812.577973.147370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
:
: No dumbass I'ver been at school all day.
:

Did you spelling teacher give you some homework?

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4723. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:24:21 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113960261.259374.88870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cl wrote:

Pure laziness. Licenses
> should be "earned" not given away. People are least likely to respect

> something "given" to them. The bands are already showing signs of
> deterioration from people who just don't care.
>
> cl

So how is Bruce?

4724. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:28:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1113960491.134371.184000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> I've heard of some pretty wild times long before things were "dumbed
down"!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike, I've been meaning to ask. Are you still sore at me for not
giving your grief about the balloon project? I've been stressing over
Steve's label of "antagonist" for not giving you grief for some time
now. I'm such a terrible person. Hi!

4725. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:32:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tii9e.8889$yq6.6568@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113960077.893423.286230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> What was the wash-out rate?

I don't have any statistics, but it was fairly small, probably on the order of 8-10%. Most washouts were for academic reasons. Very few failed because of the code.

> What happened to the wash-outs?

They were transferred to the fleet, where most of them were immediately snapped up by the Chief Radioman as undesignated strikers. Since we were chronically short of operators, any training at all was an asset, and a "second chance" is a great motivator. Usually these turned out to be above average sailors.

73, de Hans, K0HB
Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

4726. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:38:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113961128.614783.211530@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106242

If your doing everything so legal Toad, please explain to me why your
callsign is on the FCC Amateur Auxiliary monitor for list?
Dan/W4NTI

no I'm not.
Todd N9OGL

4727. Re: 2005 DOUCHE AWARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:42:45 UTC
From: Tanya Williams <Noemail@noemail>
Message-ID: <d44c0v$o1u$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106243

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:BoKdnc_jweESNfjfRVn-og@centurytel.net...

K4YZ wrote:
> I love it!
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> IF YOUR ON THIS LIST CONGRADULATION !!!!!
>
> As always, Todd is the epitome of English language abortionists.
>
> YOU ARE THE GIANT DOUCHE'S OF 2005!!!!
>
> That being so, I will see the inside of the fun side of a female a
> long time before you will!
>
>
> BWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
> ! ! ! ! !
>

No CONGRADULATION (s) to me, I feel so left out, I'm not on the
illiterate idiots list!

Give Todd a while. After a few pointers from him you, too, can speak and
write like an illiterate!
Not that you'd wish to do so, but Todd does provide more than his share of
humor. (laughing up sleeve)

4728. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 01:44:45 UTC
From: Bubba McCoy <NoBubba@noemail>
Message-ID: <d44c4n$o95$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106244

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113959812.577973.147370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
No dumbass I'ver been at school all day.

/ / /

That there mus be sum dandie skool!

4729. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 02:10:35 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <xPSdnajkyOGFJ_jfRVn-2g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106245

N9OGL wrote:
> No dumbass I'ver been at school all day.
>
Well where's the proof?

I see where you are correcting somebody else on sentence structure, now
there's a laugh!
Then at the bottom you say
" good lick in the contest"
"todd"
GOOD LICK IN THE CONTEST!!!!!! What the heck is your perverted mind on?
Now back to the question at hand razor blade boy, WHERE'S THE PROOF?

4730. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 02:27:13 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5v2dnZHUTMGcl_jfRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
cl wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:KsudnYO6lpYowfnfRVn-hw@adelphia.com...
>
>>cl wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>cl wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a
>>>>>few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
>>>>>test.
>>>>
>>>>Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to get
>>>>to 5 wpm.
>>>>
>>>>I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
>>>>understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>>>>
>>>>I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a lot
>>>>of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>>>>
>>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>Ok.... It took "me" 2 weeks, I know others who learned it quickly, but I
>>>can't provide a time frame. Yes, code "can" be harder for others to pick
>>>up. I don't doubt that for a minute. Point is, you have to put one foot
>>>in front of the other and stick with it, to get down the path to learn
>>>it.
>>
>>Yup. I must confess that I kind of drew you and some folks into this a
>>bit, because I have some significant hearing defects. Several 60+ db
>>notches,esp at the mid and higher frequencies and two separate tones of
>>tinnitis, a different frequency for each ear. I haven't had a quiet moment
>>for 30 years or more. When conversing with people, I read lips. I
```

>>understand vey much the situation of the fellow whose wife has notches in
>>her hearing.(conjecture alert) I would also say I suspect that the
>>constant noise in my ears has turned of parts of my brain that process
>>sound. And that is probably why I had such a hard time (conjecture alert
>>off) All I can say for teh folks with hearing problems is that study,
>>practice, and most importantly, relaxation during copying is the key.
>>
>
>
> I can only imagine what it must be like with a significant hearing deficit.
> I can not and will not put anyone down who has such a problem.

It really isn't so bad. In fact, it is sometimes hilarious, when I
badly misinterpret what someone says. My family usually tells people of
my "predicament" before I meet them, when they have the chance, so they
don't think I'm whacked when I give them some off the wall response! 8^)

Though there are some sleepless nights when the ears are really roaring....

So I just wear a headset to Op, and turn the sound up......

>As to how
> they can learn code, there are many ways, but I guess it comes down to
> whatever works best for that person. Not everyone's condition is the same.
> I've tested folks with some difficulties, I followed the guidelines as given
> by the VEC/FCC. There are ways to test folks with such problems, but getting
> them to be able to learn the code - is the first hurdle.
>
>
>>Does 6 months of constant hard effort indicate the desire to "stick with
>>it"?
>>
>
>
> Yes, I'd say it certainly does! You are to be commended for doing such.
> You're not a "quitter". And from the sounds of things, you didn't "whine"
> about it either.

Whining doesn't help anything. And I am proud of having learned Morse
code. Yes, that part was more difficult for me than some others.

Big deal - I'm not going to demand that everything be changed to suit
me. I fully support Morse code testing.

>
>>>Many don't want to start, and whine about it without ever putting forth
>>>effort. Hell, I know people who bitched about having to look at the
>>>"basic" Q/A manual! One remark was "Do I "have" to learn all this?"
>>>Another - "Do I "have" to read all these questions?" But yet they want a
>>>license. Pure laziness. Licenses should be "earned" not given away.
>>>People are least likely to respect something "given" to them.
>>

>>Most of what you say , I agree with. If a person doesn't want to study,
>>they shouldn't have a license
>>
>>
>>>The bands are already showing signs of deterioration from people who just
>>>don't care.
>>
>>I've heard of some pretty wild times long before things were "dumbed
>>down"!
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Yeah, I know the bands started going to hell before that. Used to be I
> bragged about Ham to people who wanted their kids to get into radio but
> didn't want the CB garbage. I said Ham is clean. Today, you couldn't pay me
> to advertise ham as being clean. It is NOT. That is sad..... It really is.
> The exams test for proficiency in code, theory, rules and regulations.
> They're not psychological tests to weed out all the riff raff. IF such tests
> existed for Ham and all the other fields, maybe we'd have a better world.
> There are people in every field, be it a hobby or profession - who ruin it
> or at the very least - make it look bad for the rest.

I don't know if you do PSK31 or not. But if you want to QSO with
gentlemen and gentlewomen, it is the place to go. I've yet to hear a
curse or even complaining gossip on that mode. The worst I ever heard
was one ham (deservedly) upbraiding another for a horribly overdriven
and powerful signal that was wreaking havoc with the rest of the
segment. But even that was tame by comparison with the rest of the
bands. 20 is great for DX, and 80 is the place to go to ragchew.

And on psk31, I have no hearing problems at all, haha!

- Mike KB3EIA -

4731. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 02:30:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5v2dnZDUTMFRI_jfRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:

> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>
>>Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of
>
> course
>
>>you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the
>
> test to
>
>>have one set up at that pitch for her testing.
>
>
> Dee, not everyone has a ham-husband to tell them all of the
> modifications that the VE may make to an examination

Brian, Dee is a VE.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

Hey, are you going to Dayton this year? I have a batch of what promises to be an awesome Red Ale which should be ready to drink about that time......

4732. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 02:33:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5v2dnZPUTMHhIvjfRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> I've heard of some pretty wild times long before things were "dumbed
>
> down"!
>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Mike, I've been meaning to ask. Are you still sore at me for not
> giving your grief about the balloon project?

HEH! Now you confused me Brian. But seriously, that you *didn't* give
me grief was duly noted!

I've been stressing over
> Steve's label of "antagonist" for not giving you grief for some time
> now. I'm such a terrible person. Hi!

Ohh, you know how newsgroups are.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

4733. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 02:42:06 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <r9CdnURHX-PgXPjfRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:

> "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
> news:3fR8e.10218$lP1.6731@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>>news:d40egg$12qq$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>:
>>: I've looked at some of the older questions. The only thing about them
>>: that is difficult is that they tend to pertain to operating with
>>: equipment and different condition than today.
>>:
>>
>>No, the only thing harder was that those were just samples, and you had to
>>actually understand the underlying material because the question on the
>>examination would be different.
>>
>>From your description of "take the online test until I can pass it, then
>>rush down to the VE session", I expect that you'd be another Len Anderson
>>under those conditions, on the outside looking in.
>>
>>M.A.N.
>>--
>>"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
>>make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
>> - Voltaire
>
>
>
> The test pools are large enough that it's much easier to learn the material
> than memorize all the questions and answers. The Extra class pool is
> something like 800 questions even though only 50 appear on the test. I'd
> much rather learn the equation and how to use it than try to memorize say 10
> different question/answer combinations for the material covered by that
> equation.

Exactly.

And as for my testing preparation method, it is effective. THe
questions I got correct, I had no need to go any further with. But the
ones I got wrong were corrected by a trip to the books, or internet
research. By the time I took the real test, I knew the material very
well indeed.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4734. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 02:51:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <S9-dnZ0ijYM2XvjfRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>
>>cl wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a
>>>few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
>>>test.
>>
>>Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to get to
>>5 wpm.
>>
>>I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
>>understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>>
>>I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a lot
>>of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> I agree with you Mike. I hate it when I hear someone say "it only took me a
> few days" as that raises unrealistic expectations on the part of the
> students. It becomes very hard to convince them that they will probably
> need more time than that and to keep them motivated to keep working on it.
> The average person needs 30 hours of study (1/2 per EVERY day for 60 days)
> to get there. Some will take longer such as yourself but at least once they
> have put in the 30 hours, they will be able to tell that they are making
> progress even if it takes longer for them.

Correct, Dee. Bless those who find it easy, but that isn't what I
needed to hear when I was learning.

But I'm glad I did it, and it was indeed worth it. I feel sorry for
those that have decided to wait for Morse to go away. they still wait......

- Mike KB3EIA -

4735. Re: 2005 DOUCHE AWARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 03:01:28 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <d5udnf-MIMinefjfRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106253

N9OGL wrote:
> THE FOLLOWING ARE THE WINNERS OF THE 2005 REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
> DOUCHE AWARD. TO WIN THIS GRAND AWARD YOU MUST BE A TOTAL ASSHOLE ON
> REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
>
> NUMBER NAME/OR CALLSIGN
> 6 DAVE HEIL
> 5 DAN W4NTI
> 4 BAXTER BLOWS MONKEYS
> 3 KC8GWX
> 2 CMD BUZZ COREY
> 1 STEVE K4YZ
>
> IF YOUR ON THIS LIST CONGRADULATION !!!!! YOU ARE THE GIANT DOUCHE'S OF
> 2005!!!!

Presented by the biggest douche bag of all....N9OGL!!!!

Grow up toddieboy!!!!!!

4736. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 03:05:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <F8adndTlp6B7W_jfRVn-sw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael A. Terrell wrote:

> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>>That's all well and good Mike and no doubt you're a quite competent RF
>>tech, not even a discussion. But I think you're missing some critical
>>points here. Rumors to the contrary ham radio is overwhelmingly a
>>communications hobby, it is NOT an electronics hobby as such. Sure
>>there are any number of high-end electronics gurus who also have ham
>>tickets and exercise their skills on the bands, in the clubs and even
>>in this funny-farm of a USENET group. But they're not the heart & soul
>>of ham radio today for certain and I'm not particularly convinced they
>>ever were.
>
>
>
> Most of the hams I knew as a kid were home brewers. They were not
> designers but they could build a piece of equipment form a magazine
> article or the handbook. I lived about an hour from Mendelson's in
> dayton Ohio, and I ran into a lot of hams there, digging through the
> unmarked bins of parts to build their latest project or repair one of
> their projects.
>
>
>
>>In general the average ham learns as much about electronics
>>as he/she needs to satisfy their enjoyment of the hobby. Which on
>>average ain't much in this day of cheap whizzy plug 'n play equipment.
>>Beast on reality as you might but that's the way it is.
>
>
>
> The whole idea of a rig that isn't intended to be serviced is what
> kills my interest in the hobby. Too many custom parts made of
> "Unobtaium" and refusing to release schematics and manuals really don't
> inspire any confidence in imported whiz bang rigs. Not that I prefer
> tube only rigs, but they were built to do their job for years.

I like the modern equipment, but hollow state equipment is simply cool.
Now that I have my main station set up, I'm going to start putting
together some old tube rigs. Oh yeah!

Maybe
> the rest of the ham's life if he took decent care of his equipment. A

> lot of newer rigs end up as parts units because some cheap component is
> NLA. Do you think many of these all plastic LCD display radios will
> still work when they are 20 years old?
>
>
> I was told that a couple retired EEs in the local club did all their
> repairs and I didn't know enough to be of any help. Then they told me
> to "stuff" my offer of free electronic components to help them with
> repairs.

Mike, if I may be blunt, that is a pretty shitty club. Pardon my French!

>
>
>>In this sense and given the obvious lack of interest in the arcane
>>details of electronics amongst the average members of the average
>>neighborhood ham radio club you should not have known that your offer
>>to participate was a no-counter. They don't "maintain" their radios,
>>they don't need your expertise, they simply ship their broken radios
>>off to the repair shops to get fixed. In short "Now You're Talking"
>>fits their agenda and your's simply does not. In another sense ham
>>radio clubs are private entities conventionally for hams and
>>prospective hams only. As an analogy what you did was show up at a
>>bow-hunters club with a .45-70 powder-burner and expect any interest in
>>an offer to "help".
>>
>>w3rv
>
>
> Sorry, but that analogy just doesn't work. Several members told me
> they wanted to do minor repairs on their equipment but they didn't have
> access to the tools or equipment anymore. I offered them free use of my
> shop and to give them most of the components from my old repair business
> if I had what they needed and was laughed at.

AS I said, a poor excuse for a club.....

> As far as weapons, I have
> used a bow but I prefer an M-72. ;-)
>
>
>
> A lot of hams in Ohio were glad that I was willing to help out
> including an old ham in his late 70s that brought me a home brew
> receiver that a SK friend of his had built 20 years before. He had lost
> the hand drawn schematics and had a tear rolling down his cheek as he
> asked if there was any way I could possibly help him. He told me that
> he had been to every two way shop and ham equipment dealer to try to
> find someone to work on it and that one of them told him I was the only
> one in the county crazy enough to even attempt a repair. I tore it down
> and found a couple burnt resistors and shorted caps. I did a little

> math, dug around and found the parts. He was crying when the receiver
> came to life and kept thanking me. I charged him $10 and he hurried
> home to get back on the air. Helping someone like that is better than
> spending hours on the air to me. Different strokes?

Different strokes indeed. The ARS has room for many different types. It sounds as if we would get along just fine.

I'll bet you felt just darn good when you got to help that fellow.

Sounds to me as if you *have* found your place in the hobby. Seems like a good one to me.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4737. FCC Licenses new CW coastal station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 04:48:20 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <oal9e.11056$IP1.8867@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106252

The FCC has granted a license for a new, common carrier, class 1A CW coast station, callsign KSM. This is the first time in many years that the FCC has granted a new license for this service. The hours of operation for the station have not yet been determined, but the call is KSM and frequencies of operation will be 426, 500, 6474 and 12993 kHz with an authorized output of 5kW on all frequencies.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

4738. Re: K1MAN - Answers Please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 05:53:37 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <ce718b776002949adc2674e49063a39d@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106254

In article <1113944797.235444.43180@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"Baxter Blows Monkeys" <hamsandw...@myway.com> wrote:
>
> You see Lloyd everywhere don't you Wiseman?

Just like you see Wiseman everywhere, Lardass! BTW, you left out
a comma, that's one of the things that gives you away every
time. I'll let you try to figure out where it should go, and not
up your fat ass like you usually place things.

Dance Lardass, Dance!

4739. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 06:43:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113979398.949275.75700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106255

N9OGL wrote:
> SISSY BOY STEVE SAID:

Who's "SISSY BOY STEVE"...?!?!

> > You refuse to follow netiquette and cite attributes, so in the
> >end, between that failure and your gross abuse of the English
> language,
> >who has ANY idea of what you mean...?!?! You're just a profane
little
> >troll with a big mouth. A big DIRTY mouth.

Wrong attributes, Todd. I said that...

Why did you initiate yet another lie?

> AND YOU STEVE ARE A LITTLE LYING ####HEAD THAT NOBODY LIKES

Todd, if you think for a moment that that was supposed to hurt my
feelings or make me rethink how I handle you, you're wrong.

Not to mention lying AGAIN since there have been posts to the
contrary just this week.

Why do you insist on posting things that are not truthful, Todd?

Steve, K4YZ

4740. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 06:48:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113979700.467537.214280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106256

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > Ok, Mister Wizard, answer me this question, where in the FCC
rules
> or
> > a
> > > FCC decision does it state that an information bulletin can not
be
> > > opinionated??? please quote it from rule or statement from the
FCC.
> > >
> > > You say I'm in violation of federal law so please enlighten me
> which
> > > laws am I in violation of?
> >
> > Once you have tainted "information" with individual opinion, it
> is
> > no longer information, but editorial.
>
> Tell it to Dan Rather.

Oh...You mean the SMAE Dan Rather that's humuiated himself lately
by "going live" with "stories" that were unsubstantiated and patently
false on the face of it?

> > FCC rules and regulations allow for the transmission of
bulletins
> > of general interest to the Amateur service.
> >
> > Your "bulletins", made up of YOUR "opinion", do not meet the
test
> > of "general interest.
>
> Explain, with citations.

No citation needed. Just spend a semester in a Freshman
journalism class.

Todd can broadcast his "shows" if he cares to, but just like
K1MAN, he gonna have to eventually face the federal music, Brian..
Don't take my word for it...A former FCC communications lawyer said so
himself in this forum.

There ya go.

Steve, K4YZ

4741. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 06:55:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113980139.778536.218200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106257

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve,
> Not at all, you can get on my blog and do that shit or on here, I
> don't want you doing it to me on ICQ or Echolink.

First of all, Todd, you write and post like an idiot on RRAP where you have TIME to stop, go back, and correct your typing and grammatical errors.

You obviously don't do it...So WHY would I try to communicate with you on ICQ where it's all short time if not real time keyboard communications...?!?!

And I guess you haven't heard, but ECHOLINK is not YOURS to decide who says what on....And if you thnk I'd connect to your repeater, you're sadly mistaken.

You've already expressed your willingness to violate, in no un certain terms, any federal law you care to....I ahve no doubt you talk on the air like you do on here...And I don't need it.

Steve, K4YZ

4742. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 06:59:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113980373.447668.24020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106259

N9OGL wrote:
> No dumb### I'ver been at school all day.

I bet the ONLY time you spend in a classroom is as a janitor.
Your grammar certainly belies your lack of education. If you ARE there
as a "student", it's just to help keep the grading curve a bit lower.

Steve, K4YZ

4743. Re: Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 07:01:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113980483.148521.15360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106258

bb wrote:
> Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> > K4YZ laps the field two months successional
> >
> > March rrap Top-10
> >
> > [# posts] Poster
> >
> > [304] K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
> > [143] N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
> > [87] Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > [86] bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
> > [59] Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
> > [51] Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
> > [46] Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
> > [42] N2EY <N2EY@AOL.COM>
> > [32] robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
> > [32] LenAnderson <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
>
> Heil finally bests me at something.

"Finally"...?!?!?

BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

4744. Re: A Special Reply to a Private E-Mail Threat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 07:16:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113981402.606859.207520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106260

Paul W. Schleck wrote:
> In <1113878772.709549.14920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
LenAnderson@ieee.org writes:
> >From: Paul W. Schleck <pschl...@novia.net> on Mon,Apr 18 2005 12:32
pm
> >In <1113284464.892147.41...@o13g2?000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org writes:

> > If this PUBLIC venue is insufficient to "discuss"
> > matters about Rec.radio.amateur.policy, then it is
> > not productive to consider that private correspondence
> > is also "useful." I've received quite enough of those
> > in the past. I've received some angry, petulant
> > responses while engaged in Instant Messaging with my
> > wife who was visiting up north while our niece was
> > undergoing a risky corrective operation. I had neglected
> > to set my AOL blocking controls fully and now have to
> > allow only certain screen names through. I have
> > received a couple of telephone messages from irate
> > individuals. That was during a trip, were duly
> > recorded along with their desitination number, all
> > forwarded to telephone company security people and
> > the LAPD Stalking unit (LAPD does not consider ANY
> > form of stalking as minor or trivial). Neither do I
> > live in any form of "fear" of anything...it is tiring
> > to see so many wanting to "fight" via messages as if
> > they could "accomplish" anything that way.
>
> That wasn't me. Just give your consent, and I can make public (on a
web
> page, no need to annoy the newsgroup), our entire E-mail conversation
> and allow others to judge its content.

Lennie's rantings were about me, Paul.

Many, MANY years ago, I "saw" Lennie on his "Lenover21" screen
name and tried to IM him...This was back when I still held the idea
that, newsgroup "spats" notwithstanding, men could still talk like men.

Nope. Not Lennie. I tried to say hello...then hello again after
no response and a few moments time. More than enough time to say "I'm
chatting with spouse who is with sick family member...Can't talk now",
or something similar.

He never responded. I "signed off" with "Guess you're too busy to
talk to me...Will see you in the newsgroup".

What we've been bombarded with eversince is this "He Beat Me Up In IM's!" rantings which were NEVER anything of the like...

But it did give him a chance to claim, just like he has on so many OTHER occassions, that things happened "one way" when nothing of the like did...

Good luck trying to rationalize with him. Like you said...He's fighting for fighting's sake.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4745. Re: Where Did All These Anti-Sexy Female Prudes Come From?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 07:30:05 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050420073005.B4165170BF@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <1113909698.065262.72810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> You never have. It's not up to ME to prove...YOU already
did
> that.

No, Nursie, you still haven't disproved it, because You say I
never did.

> Can't name the facility or the town it's in...report what
shift I
> work or who I work with, etc etc etc.

Try posting in English, Nursie.

>You're STILL an Anonymous Coward, and a lying one at that.

You're still a LIAR, Nursie. And a unmitigated blowhard.

4746. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 07:46:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113983162.517750.242590@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106262

N9OGL wrote:
> AND YOUR K4YZ LITTLE #####

Yesserie-Sir! I can see where Todd's gonna fit right in with
Lennie and Brian! And I see Lennie's moving quick to get him tucked in
under wing real quick!

The same little ducks, all quacking the same quack, right there
under Lennie's wing! How quaint!

Steve, K4YZ

4747. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 08:00:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113984021.782594.30580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106263

Steve,

you have to show me where me and Phil discussed information bulletins
because I saw was me and his discussion on low power FM.

Todd n9ogl

4748. Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 08:05:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113984352.923306.158060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> In article <1113909698.065262.72810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > You never have. It's not up to ME to prove...YOU already
> did
> > that.
>
> No, Nursie, you still haven't disproved it, because You say I
> never did.

You've neer been there...If you had, you'd be rubbing it in
everyone's nose.

You can't answer a single question because you don't know.

In short...you're LYING.

> > Can't name the facility or the town it's in...report what
> shift I
> > work or who I work with, etc etc etc.
>
> Try posting in English, Nursie.

It's just fine, Anonymous Lying Coward.

You STILL can't name the facility, the town it's in, report what
shift I work or whom I work with.

> >You're STILL an Anonymous Coward, and a lying one at that.
>
> You're still a LIAR, Nursie. And a unmitigated blowhard.

Nope.

Prove me wrong. Fill in the blanks, Anonymous Lying Coward.

STEVE WORKS AT:_____

STEVE STARTS AT: _____ AND HIS SHIFT ENDS AT:_____

His Shift Supervisor is:_____
(I'll give you the point if you can just look in the window and
describe him/her...)

HE WORKS ON: (DAYS OF WK):_____

So, Anonymnous Lying Coward...Yo gonna ante up or just make more "you're a liar and blowhard" statements?

I say your nads are in the vise.

Steve, K4YZ

4749. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 08:19:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113985184.489386.242700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106265

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve,
>
> you have to show me where me and Phil discussed information bulletins
> because I saw was me and his discussion on low power FM.

Oh NO, Todd....

You mean you WEREN'T PAYING ATTENTION...?!?!

Not, YOU..!!! I am so SHOCKED....(not!)

"...me and Phil..." Didn't discuss anything.

>>I<< asked Phil some very specific questions regarding your
alleged "bulletins", and he responded that indeed your actions were not
in compliance with Part 97. He further stated your statements
vis-a-vis made you ineligible for a broadcast license and could casue
your Amateur license to be reviewed before being routinely renewed next
time around...

Assuming, of course, you still HAVE an Amateur license TO
renew....

Steve, K4YZ

4750. N9OGL Provides Even More Evidence That He's A Punk Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 08:35:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113986155.165673.119280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106266

>From Toiddie's "Blog":

QUOTE:

Tuesday, April 19, 2005
K1MAN GETS NEW SUPPORTER
NEWS: K4YZ TO SUPPORT K1MAN!!!!

Steve Robeson K4YZ is to back and support Glenn Baxter K1MAN in a new
24 hour information Bulletin called HAMLINE....(SNIP)

UNQUOTE

Todd...why do you find it necessary to so blatantly lie when it's
so apparent that it IS a lie...????

Can you not tell the truth about ANYthing...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

4751. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 08:45:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113986735.194619.190790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106267

Steve, if that's the case and I find out I'm on some fucking list I'm not only going to sue your fucking ass but I'm going to sue that fat asshole Phil. Believe me you fucking piece of shit I fucking will. So you go tell that LYING fat fuck what I told you.

4752. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 09:04:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113987868.753765.89180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106268

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve, if that's the case and I find out I'm on some ####ing list I'm
> not only going to sue your ####ing ### but I'm going to sue that fat
> ###hole Phil. Believe me you ####ing piece of #### I ####ing will. So
> you go tell that LYING fat #### what I told you.

(1) Nothing Todd Daugherty, under ANY of his made up names, could scare or impress me.

(2) Sue me for what? Reporting to the FCC that YOU have acknowledged in a public forum that YOU have violated Federal law...?!?! Sue me for reporting your profanities and threats in a public forum...?!?! Have fun trying!

(3) Your profanity doesn't make you any bigger or badder. It only makes you more profane and more idiotic.

(4) You're ALREADY on a list.

Have fun THINKING you can "sue" everyone, Todd. It only makes you look more impotent (if that were possible) and more pathetic than you already are. You've been watching too many re-runs of Perry Mason and "L.A. Law".

Steve, K4YZ

4753. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 09:08:32 UTC
From: Phil Harmonic <NoToddie@nospam>
Message-ID: <d4568e$e2m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106269

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1113986735.194619.190790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
Steve, if that's the case and I find out I'm on some fucking list I'm
not only going to sue your fucking ass but I'm going to sue that fat
asshole Phil. Believe me you fucking piece of shit I fucking will. So
you go tell that LYING fat fuck what I told you.

.....
.....

Todd.
Step back three paces, take a deep breath and compose yourself.

First, no attorney you can afford to hire is going to "sue that fat asshole"
as you threaten.

Secondly, Todd...there is no "Believe me you fucking piece of shit I fucking
will".

You are blowing smoke and acting like the obtuse baby you are.

Please, Toddie, excuse me while I yawn, but make an effort to at least get
past your juvenile rants.

You are rather boring, Toddie.

Oh, Todd. The only "list" you are on so far?
That would be a Shit List, Todd.
You put yourself there with your own rants....

You have only yourself to blame.

4754. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 09:56:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113990997.338194.162140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106270

Phil Harmonic wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1113986735.194619.190790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Steve, if that's the case and I find out I'm on some ####ing list I'm
> not only going to sue your ####ing ### but I'm going to sue that fat
> ###hole Phil. Believe me you ###ing piece of #### I ####ing will. So
> you go tell that LYING fat #### what I told you.

> Todd.
> Step back three paces, take a deep breath and compose yourself.

Whoa, Phil...Being a bit presumptuous, aren't we?

Do we know that Todd can COUNT to three...?!?! =)

> First, no attorney you can afford to hire is going to "sue that fat
###hole"
> as you threaten.

These "I'M GOING TO SUE YOU" idiots are a dime a dozen. Weak
minded punks, one and all. There isn't a week goes by that a handful
of them don't pass through the ER...I've been an ER nurse for many
years now, and not a single one of them has come to fruition.

Todd doesn't scare me. He's too impotent.

> Secondly, Todd...there is no "Believe me you ####ing piece of shit I
####ing
> will".
>
> You are blowing smoke and acting like the obtuse baby you are.

Wasn't that supposed to be "...like the OBESE baby you
are..."...?!?!

(I know, it wasn't...but I couldn't help it!)

73

Steve, K4YZ

4755. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 09:59:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113991173.891407.253700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106271
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lee Scott wrote:
> "KU2S" <ku2s@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:onv861112a0hca81snvckh49befnbntoie@4ax.com...
> > Strictly speaking, only the General class license requires that the
> > code test be taken.
> >
> Can I blow a VE instead? I hate those beeps.

Sorry, Lee, but it will have to be THREE VE's...the FCC requires
no fewer than 3 on an examination team.

Bring your own Listerene.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4756. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 10:04:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113991448.787722.192880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106272

Steve,

>He further stated your statements
>vis-a-vis made you ineligible for a broadcast license

What do you mean by this?? My statements?? for your Information all
that shit I claimed I did was a while back and my license was recently
renewed....So I REALLY doubt anything will happen, Like I said there's
a lot more to it then you and your little bitch Phil knows about.
Todd N9OGL

4757. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 10:13:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113991996.306136.142050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106273

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve,
>
> >He further stated your statements
> >vis-a-vis made you ineligible for a broadcast license
>
> What do you mean by this?? My statements?? for your Information all
> that ##### I claimed I did was a while back and my license was
recently
> renewed....

Todd, WHY do you insist on making a fool out of yourself?

Try staying sober, little boy and you MAY be able to follow the
flow of conversation.

> So I REALLY doubt anything will happen, Like I said there's
> a lot more to it then you and your little ###### Phil knows about.

My little what? I don't have any "######" by ANY name, Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

4758. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 10:17:02 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113992222.792641.25860@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106274

>(1) Nothing Todd Daugherty, under ANY of his made up names, could
>scare or impress me.
FIRST there is no prohibiting using other names.

> (2) Sue me for what? Reporting to the FCC that YOU have
>acknowledged in a public forum that YOU have violated Federal
l>aw...?!?! Sue me for reporting your profanities and threats in a
>public forum...?!?! Have fun trying!

Violating what federal Law??? you have yet cited a rule or an FCC
decision.

First off this is a public fourm and you are NOT the moderator,
secondly you seem to be unable to grasp the concept that this is the
internet, Community standards that are forced on Broadcast and other
radio service (like the amateur radio service) doesn't apply to the
internet.

(4) You're ALREADY on a list.

Really, what list might that be???? the one W4NTI was talking about???
will se how long that last.

Todd N9OGL

4759. Re: N9OGL Provides Even More Evidence That He's A Punk Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 10:26:52 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113992812.325696.29640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106275

Can you not tell the truth about ANYthing...?!?!

Nope...

4760. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 10:32:23 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113993143.319141.151720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106276

>My little what? I don't have any "######" by ANY name, Todd.

In HIS world you do...

And that should give you some insight as to the nature of the
inhabitants thereof; real or imaginary.

4761. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 10:35:52 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113993352.595104.276090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> I say your nads are in the vise.

It doesn't have any.

Further, its sole existence seems to be geared towards harrassment of
others via computer newsgroups.

That should tell you a few things...

4762. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 10:41:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113993698.763506.158730@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106278

N9OGL wrote:
> >(1) Nothing Todd Daugherty, under ANY of his made up names, could
> >scare or impress me.
> FIRST there is no prohibiting using other names.
>
> > (2) Sue me for what? Reporting to the FCC that YOU have
> >acknowledged in a public forum that YOU have violated Federal
> law...?!?! Sue me for reporting your profanities and threats in a
> >public forum...?!?! Have fun trying!
>
> Violating what federal Law??? you have yet cited a rule or an FCC
> decision.

Operating a "pirate" radio and TV station, Todd...

Or did you forget already?

> First off this is a public fourm and you are NOT the moderator,
> secondly you seem to be unable to grasp the concept that this is the
> internet, Community standards that are forced on Broadcast and other
> radio service (like the amateur radio service) doesn't apply to the
> internet.

I haven't forgot that there's NO civilized forum where being
obnoxious and rude is acceptable.

> (4) You're ALREADY on a list.
>
> Really, what list might that be???? the one W4NTI was talking
about???
> will se how long that last.

And what are you going to do about it, little boy?

"Sue" me...?!?!

Impotent toad!

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! !

Nice try!

Steve, K4YZ

4763. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 10:50:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113994218.049121.242570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106279

Operating a "pirate" radio and TV station, Todd...
Or did you forget already?

my license has been renewed since that time, and like I said the FCC knew about so did the Subcommittee on Telecommunication and I'm still here, it seems that the FCC blew it off and /or don't want to bring it up because like said there's a lot more there then what you think, and the FCC doesn't want to touch it. Your best bet is to foget about it and get on with you life.

4764. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 10:51:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1113994308.223994.270120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106280

>And what are you going to do about it, little boy?
>"Sue" me...?!?!

No, but I'm working on it.
todd N9OGL

4765. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 11:06:26 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <EJq9e.64544$NC6.55476@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:6YGdne52AIB3GPjfRVn-qQ@comcast.com...
>
> "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:5fb9e.64231$NC6.36496@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>>
>> "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:Y999e.64221$NC6.55693@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>> news:y_udnS0xooyT7vnfRVn-3w@comcast.com...
>>>>
>>>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>>>>> cl wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes
>>>>>> a few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass
>>>>>> a test.
>>>>>
>>>>> Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to
>>>>> get to 5 wpm.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
>>>>> understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>>>>>
>>>>> I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a
>>>>> lot of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>>>>>
>>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>
>>>> I agree with you Mike. I hate it when I hear someone say "it only took
>>>> me a few days" as that raises unrealistic expectations on the part of
>>>> the students. It becomes very hard to convince them that they will
>>>> probably need more time than that and to keep them motivated to keep
>>>> working on it. The average person needs 30 hours of study (1/2 per
>>>> EVERY day for 60 days) to get there. Some will take longer such as
>>>> yourself but at least once they have put in the 30 hours, they will be
>>>> able to tell that they are making progress even if it takes longer for
>>>> them.
>>>>
>>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>>
```

>>>
>>> NOT EVERYONE - can learn code in a few days or whatever. There are some
>>> things I can't learn in a month where others picked it up right away.
>>> Different strokes for different folks. Each person has to take whatever
>>> time as needed to learn whatever it is they're needing/desiring to
>>> learn. Some fields don't allow a lot of time to learn, while others -
>>> such as ham - do.
>>> There is nothing saying that ANYONE has to learn code overnight or
>>> they're a failure. Just because I was fortunate enough to get enough
>>> in - in that 2 weeks - to pass the exam, doesn't make me a "CW" king. I
>>> use code "rarely". But, I had a chance once to teach some youngsters the
>>> code. One picked it up pretty quick, the others - were a bit slower. If
>>> anyone wants/needs to learn the code to get a license, they will - in
>>> whatever time it takes - IF they start on it and don't give up.
>>> The last part is the key..... To get started and stick with it. As to
>>> time, when they get all the characters down, then they'll have learned
>>> the code. Be it 2 weeks or 2 years.
>>>
>>> cl
>>>
>>
>> Maybe someone would care to explain to me and to the rest of the world,
>> how Gordon West's mini camps held on a "weekend" - were designed to work
>> to get people ready to pass an exam by the end of the weekend. At least
>> that is the understanding "I" got from reading the ads. I can't recall if
>> some of those weekends were just for NO Code Tech OR if perhaps they also
>> may have been for "code" as well. IF SO, and someone never had code, then
>> how the hell did they do it in 3 days? Two weeks is a reasonable amount
>> of time - as it took me. Three days? I don't know..... Is it possible?
>> Even if it were just for the theory, most I know, can't learn all that in
>> 3 days. You'd have live, eat, sleep, breathe and take the books to the
>> can with you. IF anyone took one of those weekends, speak up. IF maybe
>> "I" misunderstood the ad wordage, someone - anyone - feel free to correct
>> me.
>>
>> cl
>
> It was for no code Tech and students were expected to have read the book
> once through before attending the weekend seminar.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

ONCE??????? Many can't do it in 3..... I didn't see that disclaimer
as I'll call it - listed in the ad.

cl

4766. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 11:13:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050420071311.827$X1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 106282
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

truth@tellthetruth.com wrote:
> >
> > "KU2S" <ku2s@arrl.net> wrote in message
> > news:onv861112a0hca81snvckh49befnbntoie@4ax.com...
> > > Strictly speaking, only the General class license requires that the
> > > code test be taken.
> > >
> > Can I blow a VE instead? I hate those beeps.
> >
> >
>
> Angry freebander moparholic@hotmail.com
>
I'm just as happy as can be.
>
> forging as Leland Scott.
>
Why do you talk about yourself in the third person, Leland? I see you're
still posting late at night after you get good and drunk, Leland.

Go back to your other nym <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> maybe that
version of you can handle it's booze better, drunken KC8LDO.

4767. Why Does N9OGL Insist On Publically Humiliating Himself?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 11:32:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1113996778.387921.31680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106283

N9OGL wrote:
> >And what are you going to do about it, little boy?
> >"Sue" me...?!?!
>
> No, but I'm working on it.

You're working on nothing, Toiddie.

The sum total of your "actions" will be limited to lashing out on
your blog, making up even more an more ludicrous stories as you go.

You'll do nothing because you ARE nothing, Todd.

There's not a lawyer with any self respect who would humiliate
himself by trying to take your "case" into court, Todd. The only "case"
you have can be resolved with Penicillin.

And anything else you might try to do on your own will no doubt
get you incarcerated, institutionalized or hospitalized.

You're a joke, Toiddie, and we're all having a good laugh!

Steve, K4YZ

4768. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 11:47:17 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Zjr9e.64546$NC6.24311@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113957775.962923.89600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
<snipped>

>
> My comments with respect to the NPRM were, "What I fear most about
> changing the Morse Code exam requirements is a lack of enforcement, and
> what I fear most about maintaining the status quo is a lack of
> enforcement."
>

Everyone is entitled to their opinion. You may have a valid concern!

>> > You're right, it will take a while, even if
>> >> they were to decide to write a NPRM to do such. If these people
>> > jumped into
>> >> Alligator infested waters as fast as they do rumors, the
> population
>> > would
>> >> take a sudden drop. I'm not a "lover" of code, but I have hold a
>> > license
>> >> which required code.
>> >
>> > Ditto.
>> >
>> >> 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a few
>> >> minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
>> > test. 2
>> >> weeks is not long,
>> >
>> > It may be impossible for some. I learned it over a considerably
> longer
>> > period of time with frequent practice.
>> >
>> >> you probably drove longer on a permit before being
>> >> allowed to drive on your own. Probably studied the book longer
> too!
>> > It takes
>> >> little effort.
>> >
>> > I disagree. It took a great effort.
>>
>> For some - it may! One argument I've heard, is that those musically

> inclined
>> pick it up quicker than others, yet I knew some who "were" musically
>> inclined and claimed to have a hell of a time with it. Reason? I
> don't know.
>> I can't get inside their head.
>
> Steve can. He can even have them incarcerated with a simple phone
> calls.
>
>> >> The biggest problem with most is "laziness".
>> >
>> > Was that your problem? If you hadn't been so lazy you could have
>> > learned the code in under a week?
>>
>> Eh - I had the code down in 2 weeks for the Novice exam. AND I'm now
> an
>> Extra. Been licensed since the early 80s.
>> Yeah, I probably could have learned it in under a week, if I pushed
> myself.
>
> That wasn't my point. My point is that everyone is different, and the
> length of time it takes to learn 5WPM varies greatly. The time it
> takes to learn 20WPM could be lifetimes. Not everyone is even capable
> of 13WPM.
>

I agree. As I've said, I learned the code well enough in 2 weeks to pass the exam but it didn't / doesn't make me a "CW" king. Time isn't really the factor here. My main point was (and I do know others who learned code quickly), you "have to start". I've heard people spout out - I can't learn those dashes and dots. Problem is, they never even tried. Maybe as kids - they may have had a set of cheap walkie talkies with the code on them or perhaps seen some code characters listed or heard them somewhere on tv or so. Did they "really" try to learn it? Nine chances out of ten - I'm willing to bet - NO. You have to "try". If you can't do it alone, seek out the help of one who has or any of the courses available and give it a chance. I will admit, some of the courses I have heard - sucked. They tended to turn me away from the desire to listen. One reason, one of the course tapes had an "echo" to it. Try listening to that for a while. If you were ever in a sub and heard sonar for 8 hours a day or more, you'd have felt right at home. So, yes - some things "can" drive you away from wanting to learn it. If one method doesn't do it, find one that will. If you're interested enough, you'll do what it takes to get through it.

Time used to be a factor at one time as a license had to be upgraded in a certain time, so it sort of placed those who were lagging - in a bit of a turmoil. I guess that was the FCC's way of saying - you can do it if you put your mind to it. They kind of pushed you along or out of the way.

>> Most anyone will tell you - it isn't good to do such. Besides, at
> that time,

>> I was chasing rug rats - so study time was premium.
>
> I've been told that is absolutely no excuse. Nothing in your personal
> or professional life can be more important than learning the code.
>

Hmmmmmm..... the only other one I can think of more important, is eating!

> Most recommendations are
>> 15 minutes to a half hour a day. That hardly makes it possible in a
> week. I
>> used the words " "AT LEAST" 2 WEEKS". Some are faster learners than
> others,
>> that is a given. BUT my point was, you have to get started to learn
>> ANYTHING. You can't absorb it through osmosis. Back to the timing
> thing, I
>> hope someone from the military can step in to tell us how much time
> they
>> were given to get the code down. I think they had to "Cram".
>
> They've finally left the group. They were paid to learn the code, and
> they kept getting paid even if they failed. Of course, insteading of
> doing intercept comms, they were peeling potatoes.
>
>> > Maybe you never
>> >> will use it again.
>> >
>> > Perhaps. I've found little use for it so far. Maybe once I'm an
> old
>> > fart, have loads of time, and wax nostalgic for things that never
> were,
>> > I'll take it up and enjoy it, and demand that all learn it.
>>
>> Probably the same age bracket as me. I do listen to call signs now
> and then
>> on the scanner to pick out the services they represent - if I don't
>> immediately know who the service is. I do listen some times to code
> on the
>> H.F. Bands.
>
> I don't dislike the code. It was difficult for me to make the few
> QSO's that I did make as a novice. I'd like to pick it up again
> someday.
>
>> >> There are many things you learn in life and may never use
>> >> again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy. Many people learned
> the
>> > skeletal
>> >> system in health class, microorganisms in Biology class. It
> doesn't
>> > mean

>> >> they use it now. Probably forgot it as soon as they graduated.
> But,
>> > it was
>> >> "required". It's not a big deal people. Once you get past the "do
> I
>> > have to"
>> >> and start doing it, you'll amaze yourself at how fast and easy it
> can
>> > be.
>> >
>> > Indeed. I never had the "do I have to?" attitude as there was no
>> > code-free license when I became a ham. Yet it took me about 9
> weeks of
>> > daily practice.
>>
>> And you stuck with it!!!!!!!! You didn't quit, and it got you where
> you
>> wanted to be. OR had to be - for your class of license. 2 weeks, 9
> weeks, so
>> what... you did it. A milestone to be proud of. No one can fault you
> for
>> that effort.
>
> My ex-wife certainly can.
>

So could my ex wife, but then again, with her being divorced for the third time in few years, I could care less what her opinion was of me. I gave my 50% and thats all I was worried about. I have no guilt - there.

>> > I
>> >> DO use code now and then, but not daily like many others do.
> Everyone
>> > has
>> >> their own thing. Some are into Packet, RTTY, AMTOR, etc, I'm
> not...
>> > To each
>> >> his own. But we all had to learn "something" about those modes to
>> > pass an
>> >> exam.
>> >>
>> >> cl
>> >
>> > Use it all you want. I'm against the Code Exam as an unnecessary
>> > government requirement.
>>
>> Funny thing is, we're all arguing pros and cons and in the end, it
> won't
>> matter. WE do not have control. So, if we're going to debate the
> issues we
>> have no control over, may as well keep it clean. Hardly any of us

> know the
>> other and it isn't worth making enemies over. Certainly not worth
> name
>> calling.... Whether I'm right or wrong, I do value opposing view
> points.
>> Everyone has a right to his/her own opinion. It sure will be
> interesting to
>> see how it all unfolds. I think in the end, we both know the answer
> to that.
>> Pro or con, it is a matter of time. May be a year, may be 5, but it
> will
>> come to pass.
>>
>> cl
>
> I don't think that's 100% correct. You and me don't write the FCC
> regulations, but the FCC looked to the ARRL to put forth modern exam
> requirements. The ARRL movers and shakers wrung their hands and bit
> "thier" knuckles and said that there was no concensus. So the FCC
> plowed ahead with modernization, and the ARRL came up with reductions
> in the Morse requirements lickety split. Amazing, all that.
>
> So in the end, if more folks has been exposed to viewpoints other than
> the ARRL's, there just might have been a concensus.
>
> Just my opinions, of course, but by tomorrow I'll probably be labeled a
> liar, a homosexual, and maybe even a horse thief.
>

Not by me, I don't have a problem with opposing view points. You could be right, I could be wrong. It is good to debate.
Name calling doesn't get it done. That is what kills me, many do that because they can't do a good debate. So what if we keep opposing each new point made, who cares? Just makes more to chat about.

As to the ARRL, at one point - "I" thought and perhaps I read it somewhere - the FCC was getting fed up with the ARRL always trying to influence them. I'm not sure what is going on there anymore. The ARRL pissed me off a long time ago and ever since then, it didn't matter to me whether they sank or swam. The local representative was one of the most cocky - arrogant people to walk the face of the earth. He was "supposed" to be at the Hamfest to meet people and to discuss things. He blew off most of those who approached him. Instead - always turning to his friend to talk to him. Well excuse US for the interruption..... With representation like that, who needs them? That wasn't the only issue. Their arrogance got the best of me and some others I know - a few times. I don't follow them anymore - like a dog on a leash. Funny, my brother just got an invitation the other day - to join. First one he got in a long time. I said - must need some money! The ARRL doesn't speak for me. Actually, I don't think they speak for themselves anymore. In the end, unless we continue to get government protection, big business will win. Money talks and bull shit walks.

cl

4769. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 11:49:24 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Vlr9e.64547$NC6.11743@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113958126.278984.82670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of
> course
>> you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the
> test to
>> have one set up at that pitch for her testing.
>
> Dee, not everyone has a ham-husband to tell them all of the
> modifications that the VE may make to an examination
>

That's what you ask in here for! There are VEs in here, myself included -
who can give guidance to those who ask.

cl

4770. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 12:19:22 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0Or9e.64548$NC6.1798@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113958126.278984.82670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of
> course
>> you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the
> test to
>> have one set up at that pitch for her testing.
>
> Dee, not everyone has a ham-husband to tell them all of the
> modifications that the VE may make to an examination
>

VEs can accommodate you if special testing is needed - BUT - if "special equipment" is needed, it is up to the examinee to provide it - the VEs are not liable for that. All Handicapped applicants "should" call ahead to make special arrangements so that any extra VE team members if needed - can be there to assist, or whatever other needs - can be met. For example, if I have a blind applicant, which I had - I can't read his test to him in the sitting of others taking the exams. That would be distracting. We instead - gave this person - his exam - after the others were done. "We" did not have any "Braille" equipment. I'm not even sure if such equipment exists which would have read the text to him - if fed in. IF so, it would have been up to him to supply it. Another case required an hour or so of prior preparation of materials and equipment. We've had other cases where special needs had to be met, but again - it was by prior arrangement. There is no other way to do it. For them to just show up - we simply wouldn't have been able to accommodate them. I don't know of any VEs who would shy away from testing the handicapped, but you have to work with them too. They don't know your problems until you tell them. Then - they can work with you to get you through the exam process. Even if you failed, they will most likely - now knowing of you and your condition - offer to help you learn it for the next time. Maybe even help set up a station.

IF you have a tone problem as I've seen the example given, it could take some time and equipment to get "a" tone for you to be entirely comfortable with. IF for some reason - the computer can't do it, then perhaps a taped exam fed through a mixer to acquire the proper tone. OR maybe even a test - sent by a code practice oscillator set to a pitch - suitable. The code test may have to be broken down to allow the person to absorb the sound if they can't "hear" it properly. In this case, a CPO would work with a buzzer - to "feel" the characters - as an example. Perhaps - light flashing - if the

tones are not able to be heard at all. Any number of issues could be prevalent and though there are ways to deal with them, it would be hard pressed to try and do it with a "walk in" handicapped applicant. Walk in - in case your not aware - means unannounced - in regard to Ham exams.

cl

4771. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 12:20:34 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7Pr9e.64549$NC6.8878@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:0Ph9e.9213$An2.7917@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:AUF8e.63884$NC6.29488@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>
>> I hope someone from the military can step in to tell us how
>> much time they were given to get the code down. I think
>> they had to "Cram".
>
> Navy RM "A" School, the basic school which trained Navy Radiomen, was
> 13-weeks long. Morse practice was 3 hours per day, 4 days per week, but
> students could come in after-hours for additional practice. Graduation
> requirement was to be able to copy 5-letter coded groups at 18WPM for 10
> minutes, with 3 uncorrected errors allowed.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Thanks!

cl

4772. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 12:27:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114000075.662337.193890@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> > > Sorry, according to many in here you have to approach it as
> > > THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IN YOUR LIFE!!!
> >
> > Actually, Lennie, YOU are the only one making that assertion.
>
> Nope, in-between homosexual and pedophilia inuendo, you have made
such
> assertions. So that makes lie #25.

Then here's yet another chance for you to prove yourself, Brain,
and provide SOME sample of a post I have EVER made that asserts that
ANYthing having to to with Amateur Radio must be approched as the "MOST
IMPORTANT THING" in ANYone's life.

You said it exists. Let's see it.

Steve, K4YZ

4773. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 12:28:56 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <%Wr9e.64550$NC6.53958@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113960261.259374.88870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> cl wrote:
>
> Pure laziness. Licenses
>> should be "earned" not given away. People are least likely to respect
>
>> something "given" to them. The bands are already showing signs of
>> deterioration from people who just don't care.
>>
>> cl
>
> So how is Bruce?
>

Bruce? "I" don't know of any "Bruce"..... Being my message was
included, I have to think you were asking me.

cl

4774. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 12:32:54 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <J_r9e.64551$NC6.55740@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:5v2dnZHUTMGcI_jfRVn-qQ@adelphia.com...
> cl wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:KsudnYO6lpYowfnfRVn-hw@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>cl wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>cl wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a
>>>>>>few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
>>>>>>test.
>>>>>
>>>>>Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test to get
>>>>>to 5 wpm.
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show some
>>>>>understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>>>>>
>>>>>I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to a
>>>>>lot of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>>>>>
>>>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Ok.... It took "me" 2 weeks, I know others who learned it quickly, but I
>>>>can't provide a time frame. Yes, code "can" be harder for others to pick
>>>>up. I don't doubt that for a minute. Point is, you have to put one foot
>>>>in front of the other and stick with it, to get down the path to learn
>>>>it.
>>>
>>>Yup. I must confess that I kind of drew you and some folks into this a
>>>bit, because I have some significant hearing defects. Several 60+ db
>>>notches,esp at the mid and higher frequencies and two separate tones of

>>>tinnitis, a different frequency for each ear. I haven't had a quiet
>>>moment for 30 years or more. When conversing with people, I read lips. I
>>>understand vey much the situation of the fellow whose wife has notches
>>>in her hearing.(conjecture alert) I would also say I suspect that the
>>>constant noise in my ears has turned of parts of my brain that process
>>>sound. And that is probably why I had such a hard time (conjecture alert
>>>off) All I can say for teh folks with hearing problems is that study,
>>>practice, and most importantly, relaxation during copying is the key.
>>>
>>
>>
>> I can only imagine what it must be like with a significant hearing
>> deficit. I can not and will not put anyone down who has such a problem.
>
> It really isn't so bad. In fact, it is sometimes hilarious, when I badly
> misinterpret what someone says. My family usually tells people of my
> "predicament" before I meet them, when they have the chance, so they don't
> think I'm whacked when I give them some off the wall response! 8^)
>
> Though there are some sleepless nights when the ears are really
> roaring....
>
> So I just wear a headset to Op, and turn the sound up......
>
>>As to how they can learn code, there are many ways, but I guess it comes
>>down to whatever works best for that person. Not everyone's condition is
>>the same. I've tested folks with some difficulties, I followed the
>>guidelines as given by the VEC/FCC. There are ways to test folks with such
>>problems, but getting them to be able to learn the code - is the first
>>hurdle.
>>
>>
>>>Does 6 months of constant hard effort indicate the desire to "stick with
>>>it"?
>>>
>>
>>
>> Yes, I'd say it certainly does! You are to be commended for doing such.
>> You're not a "quitter". And from the sounds of things, you didn't "whine"
>> about it either.
>
> Whining doesn't help anything. And I am proud of having learned Morse
> code. Yes, that part was more difficult for me than some others.
>
> Big deal - I'm not going to demand that everything be changed to suit me.
> I fully support Morse code testing.
>
>>
>>>>Many don't want to start, and whine about it without ever putting forth
>>>>effort. Hell, I know people who bitched about having to look at the

>>>>"basic" Q/A manual! One remark was "Do I "have" to learn all this?"
>>>>Another - "Do I "have" to read all these questions?" But yet they want a
>>>>license. Pure laziness. Licenses should be "earned" not given away.
>>>>People are least likely to respect something "given" to them.
>>>
>>>Most of what you say , I agree with. If a person doesn't want to study,
>>>they shouldn't have a license
>>>
>>>
>>>>The bands are already showing signs of deterioration from people who
>>>>just don't care.
>>>
>>>I've heard of some pretty wild times long before things were "dumbed
>>>down"!
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> Yeah, I know the bands started going to hell before that. Used to be I
>> bragged about Ham to people who wanted their kids to get into radio but
>> didn't want the CB garbage. I said Ham is clean. Today, you couldn't pay
>> me to advertise ham as being clean. It is NOT. That is sad..... It really
>> is. The exams test for proficiency in code, theory, rules and
>> regulations. They're not psychological tests to weed out all the riff
>> raff. IF such tests existed for Ham and all the other fields, maybe we'd
>> have a better world. There are people in every field, be it a hobby or
>> profession - who ruin it or at the very least - make it look bad for the
>> rest.
>
> I don't know if you do PSK31 or not. But if you want to QSO with gentlemen
> and gentlewomen, it is the place to go. I've yet to hear a curse or even
> complaining gossip on that mode. The worst I ever heard was one ham
> (deservedly) upbraiding another for a horribly overdriven and powerful
> signal that was wreaking havoc with the rest of the segment. But even that
> was tame by comparison with the rest of the bands. 20 is great for DX, and
> 80 is the place to go to ragchew.
>
> And on psk31, I have no hearing problems at all, haha!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

No as a matter of fact, I am not into PSK31. I've not really been on the Ham bands for a while. Just recently I did start scanning them though. First time in about a year. I'm waiting to do some more phone and yes - maybe even a CW contact!!!!!

cl

4775. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 12:34:24 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Ic-dnfzAbInR0fvfRVn-uQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106290

N9OGL wrote:

> Steve,
>
> you have to show me where me and Phil discussed information bulletins
> because I saw was me and his discussion on low power FM.
>
> Todd n9ogl

I see you're back on your meds, I think the dose is a little too high
though.

4776. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 12:37:31 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <Ic-dnf_AbIma0PvfRVn-uQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106292

N9OGL wrote:

> Steve, if that's the case and I find out I'm on some fucking list I'm
> not only going to sue your fucking ass but I'm going to sue that fat
> asshole Phil. Believe me you fucking piece of shit I fucking will. So
> you go tell that LYING fat fuck what I told you.

Toady, you have people on list and we all know it's lies, aren't you afraid that if the law would let you sue for being on a list, then the law can do the same to your lying self?

4777. Re: William Shockley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 12:49:09 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <kqSdnUOB1Ypf0vvfRVn-tQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106293

The illiterate idiot wrote:

> No dumbass I'ver been at school all day.
>
Well here it is, almost 12 hours and still no proof to yet another lie
of yours!
I understand that you may have been busy putting patches on your blow-up
Asian girlfriend!
Have you realized yet that you have made derogatory statements on your
pathetic blog about people that have never even posted here?

4778. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 12:50:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114001441.679956.47660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >> I've heard of some pretty wild times long before things were
"dumbed
> >
> > down"!
> >
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Mike, I've been meaning to ask. Are you still sore at me for not
> > giving your grief about the balloon project?
>
> HEH! Now you confused me Brian. But seriously, that you *didn't*
give
> me grief was duly noted!
>
> I've been stressing over
> > Steve's label of "antagonist" for not giving you grief for some
time
> > now. I'm such a terrible person. Hi!
>
> Ohh, you know how newsgroups are.....

>>I<< know how they are, Mike!

They're populated by people who don't have the intestinal
fortitude to sign their names to their posts and they make up
allegations to try and hide behind.

Ask Brain where the Techs went. He insists that they were "chased
away", yet refuses to say who chased them away or where they went.
I've asked him repeatedly after he unequivocally stated they had.

Ask Brain where the "unlicensed devices" are. He insists that
"unlicensed devices" play a "major roll" in emergency communications.
He WON'T provide any evidence of it, but he insists it's true.

Brain has also recently asserted that ARES is "overblown", and
that it won't respond to "real disasters" due to the age of it's
membership. I've posted several news releases, all of them from within
the last 2 weeks, and Brain won't explain how it is ARES is "overblown"

when there's evidence to the contrary.

Those are just the recents ones...The Somalia horse is pretty dead...He could never make that horse trot no matter how colorful a jockey he put on it.

His "I've worked DXCC several times over" stories are cute, too...

Now his most current diversion is adding up non-existant "lies". It just makes him look more feeble, but hey, it keeps him warm.

Keeps me warm too....from laughing so hard!

Steve, K4YZ

4779. Re: K1MAN KING OF ALL DOUCHEBAGS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 12:58:37 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <8984627bb68b7a613c5f630b3cf1c027@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106295

In article <K7ednTufI7mWuPnfRVn-hg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> King Zulu wrote:
> > "D. Stussy" <kd6...@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> > news:Pine.LNX.4.62.0504180239520.135@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
> >
> >>On Sat, 16 Apr 2005, Baxter Blows Monkeys wrote:
> >>
> >>>First of all, if your name is Lloyd, Todd, Roger, or Glenn,
or any of
> >>>their other nyms, don't bother replying to this thread,
because you are
> >>>an asshole and you bring nothing to the party. Second, if
you want to
> >>>post about heaven, pleae go to rec.religious.bullshit and
post there
> >>>because the rest of us don't give a fuck about your
spiritual opinions.
> >>>...
> >>
> >>I am going to have to contest your declaration - only
because there's
> >
> > another
> >
> >>candidate who is clearly in the running: K4YZ, Steve
Robeson.
> >>
> >>You need to prove that K1MAN is the "King" by election. :-)
> >>
> >>
> >>>Now, let's talk about Amateur policy....
> >
> >
> > OK Dieter, what should be the Amateur policy regarding an
amateur radio
> > operator in this country declaring a national emergency for
another
> > country??? ak
> >
> > Case in point:
> >
> > Mr. E. Pitman,

> > Government Telecommunications Officer,
> > Department of Telecommunications,
> > Hamilton.
> >
> > Dear Ted,
> >
> > I am faxing a transcript of the broadcast put out by K1MAN
last week. When
> > you have read this I would like to have a meeting with you,
maybe we could
> > have lunch and discuss it then, to fill you in on what
actually happened.
> >
> > Your support with this would be appreciated. I have been to
the American
> > Consulate and will be writing a formal letter of complaint
this week,
> > requesting a public apology from K1MAN. He is advocating
that I should lose
> > my license for NOT passing third party traffic when the
"world needed to
> > know" and he had declared an emergency. He was doing this
under the
> > authority of the "FCC" according to him. I reminded him that
we were not a
> > State of the United States of America and that we were
governed by the
> > Department of Telecommunications and also the British
arrangements for third
> > party traffic. He could declare whatever he wanted to but
until we had
> > clearance, we would not pass it
> >
> > No emergency had been declared and as far as we were
concerned it was an
> > unofficial net standing by in case we were needed should an
emergency be
> > declared. He did not like being informed that we were
handling the situation
> > ourselves very efficiently, without his help.
> >
> > Please telephone me when it is convenient and I will explain
more, or as I
> > said, maybe we could have lunch.
> >
> > Yours sincerely,
> > VP9HK
> >
> >
> >

> MAN is a bigger idiot than lloydieboy and wogie...assuming
that's possible.

No, Douchbag, you fit that bill!

4780. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 13:04:10 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050420130410.62D301707A@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article
<1113993352.595104.276090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"Mr. Obvious" <obvious0...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> It doesn't have any.

Oh, the irony. Somebody who hides under "Mr. Obvious" casting
aspersions on others.

> Further, its sole existence seems to be geared towards
harrassment of
> others via computer newsgroups.

Says a moron who can't spell "it's"........

> That should tell you a few things...

NNTP-Posting-Host: 172.157.193.169
AC9DC1A9.ipt.aol.com (172.157.193.169)

172.128.0.0 - 172.191.255.255
America Online
22000 AOL Way
Dulles, VA
US
America Online, Inc.
domains@aol.net
+1-703-265-4670

Says the AOLer. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

4781. Re: Brian P Burke Tries Another Dodge...It Didn't Work Either...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 13:05:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114002333.854613.255710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106296

Dan,
prove it, I also would note that you have been on the FCC list for
sometime now.
Todd N9OGL

4782. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 13:07:47 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <69aee41962ac487a820907b3de6aef2d@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article
<1113984352.923306.158060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> You've neer been there...If you had, you'd be rubbing it
in
> everyone's nose.

What's "neer," Nursie?

> You can't answer a single question because you don't know.

Apparently you can't disproive it. But you are so cute when you
are mad, Nursie!

> In short...you're LYING.

Something you've been caught doing on here numerous times,
Nursie.

> It's just fine, Anonymous Lying Coward.

Nursie, you are deluding yourself.

> You STILL can't name the facility, the town it's in,
report what
> shift I work or whom I work with.

Poor Nursie, are you stealing and taking the patients' meds
again?

Are you ever going to be smart, Nursie?

> Nope.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

> Prove me wrong.

I already have, Nursie.

> So, Anonymnous Lying Coward...Yo gonna

"Yo gonna"???? Is Nursie in 'da hood??????

> ante up or just make more "you're a liar and blowhard"
statements?

So lying Nursie, are you going to admit you are a liar and an unmitigated blowhard?

> I say your nads are in the vise.

At least I have a set, Nursie.

4783. Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 13:24:49 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <39OdneQVGvqDxfvfRVn-ow@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106299

Toady lives at home with his mommy and daddy, now just how pathetic is that? Thirty Seven going on fifteen and still lives with his mommy. I wonder if they have seen his blog yet? You paint a very sad picture Toady.

4784. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 13:56:12 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns963E652003044elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 106300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com:

> cl wrote:
>
>
>> which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only takes a
>> few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass a
>> test.
>
> Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed test
> to get
> to 5 wpm.
>
> I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to show
> some
> understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
>
> I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by comparison to
> a
> lot of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

You have a good point Mike. I have seldom had any problems with written
exams, but passing a Morse test was hell. Those of us who have had trouble
learning Morse have taken a lot of abuse in this group. You hit the nail on
the head when you said you wouldn't do the same if someone had trouble with
the theory.

4785. Morse gone in a year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 14:06:03 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns963E66CBBCE12elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 106301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1113743129.236382.299700
@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Mel A. Nomah wrote:
>> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
>> news:V5b8e.1509$Bc7.4@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>>
>> : http://www.hamwave.com/cgi-bin/index.cgi?action=viewnews&id=689
>>
>> That link supposes that the fcc will delete the Morse requirement.
>>
>> Other insiders suggest fcc will NPRM will delete Morse only for
>> General license, and will INCREASE the test to 20WPM for renewed Extra
>> class, downgrading all current "Extra Lite" licenses to resurrected
>> Advanced license (the second time this license has risen from the
>> ashes). ARRL giveaway program will be denied.
>>
> All it really says is that the FCC is working on an NPRM that may be
> out as soon as next month or as late as July. That NPRM will obviously
> contain what FCC wants to do as a result of WRC 2003 and the 18
> restructuring petitions.
>
> Once the NPRM becomes public, there will be a comment period, then a
> reply comment period. Couple of months at least, maybe longer. Probably
> the end of 2005 before comments close.
>
> Then FCC will decide what to do and formulate a Report and Order. Last
> time they did this it took almost a year. Which translates to fall
> 2006. Then a couple months before the new rules become effective -
> maybe end of 2006.
>
> Of course it could take even longer, or maybe a bit less. But I
> wouldn't expect any changes before summer 2006 - and wouldn't be
> surprised if it were summer 2007.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

You're being a Jonah again, Jim. the announcement guesstimates all done
within a year, i.e. by spring '06. Sounds about right to me. Granted that
the comments about what the FCC might do (and the title of the post) were
all the poster's own, and not Hamwave's.

My own crystal ball guess is that the FCC will just delete the code test and rearrange some subbands around this time next year. That's still much longer than I originally thought.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

4786. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 14:32:51 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1114007571.113038.278370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >

> Most of the hams I knew as a kid were home brewers. They were not
> designers but they could build a piece of equipment form a magazine
> article or the handbook. I lived about an hour from Mendelson's in
> dayton Ohio, and I ran into a lot of hams there, digging through the
> unmarked bins of parts to build their latest project or repair one of
> their projects.

Been there, did that. I built my first SW receiver from junk parts I
picked up along Radio Row in Philly. Radio Merit Badge booklet in hand
circa 1950. Things have changed a bit since then though . . . (?!)

> > In general the average ham learns as much about electronics
> > as he/she needs to satisfy their enjoyment of the hobby. Which on
> > average ain't much in this day of cheap whizzy plug 'n play
equipment.
> > Beast on reality as you might but that's the way it is.
>
>
> The whole idea of a rig that isn't intended to be serviced is what
> kills my interest in the hobby. Too many custom parts made of
> "Unobtaium" and refusing to release schematics and manuals

Maybe it's happened but personally I've never heard of an instance of
anyone with a broken mainstream radio not being able to fix it or get
it fixed because the manuals and/or the schematics couldn't be located.
Parts are another story but that's SOP. Try to buy all the parts for a
1980 Ford from a dealer.

> really don't
> inspire any confidence in imported whiz bang rigs.

All commodity widgets have design lifetimes particularly when they are
based in the fast-moving field of electronics Japanese and otherwise.
But there are tens of thousands of 20-year-old pieces of complex ham
gear still regularly on the air. I can't imagine why you would expect
any more than that for the service life of chunks of entertainment
electronics.

> Not that I prefer
> tube only rigs, but they were built to do their job for years. Maybe
> the rest of the ham's life if he took decent care of his equipment.

A
> lot of newer rigs end up as parts units because some cheap component
is
> NLA. Do you think many of these all plastic LCD display radios will
> still work when they are 20 years old?

I have no idea but a buddy of mine has an IC-781 xcvr which cost him
over $6k 18 years ago and it's color LCD display still works as well as
it did out-of-the-box. Boeing is replacing the conventional instruments
in it's airliner panels with color LCD panels which better last 20
years and more or Boeing is gonna get spanked plenty.

> I was told that a couple retired EEs in the local club did all
their
> repairs and I didn't know enough to be of any help. Then they told
me
> to "stuff" my offer of free electronic components to help them with
> repairs.

Are you kidding? That's nuts. With all due respect I'd like to hear the
other side of that one.

> > In this sense and given the obvious lack of interest in the arcane
> > details of electronics amongst the average members of the average
> > neighborhood ham radio club you should not have known that your
offer
> > to participate was a no-counter. They don't "maintain" their
radios,
> > they don't need your expertise, they simply ship their broken
radios
> > off to the repair shops to get fixed. In short "Now You're Talking"
> > fits their agenda and your's simply does not. In another sense ham
> > radio clubs are private entities conventionally for hams and
> > prospective hams only. As an analogy what you did was show up at a
> > bow-hunters club with a .45-70 powder-burner and expect any
interest in
> > an offer to "help".
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Sorry, but that analogy just doesn't work. Several members told me
> they wanted to do minor repairs on their equipment but they didn't
have
> access to the tools or equipment anymore. I offered them free use of
my
> shop and to give them most of the components from my old repair
business
> if I had what they needed and was laughed at.

Makes no sense at all, I'd leap on an offer like that if I needed acess
to an RF bench because I'm really ill-equipped in this area. Must be
something in their water.

> As far as weapons, I have
> used a bow but I prefer an M-72. ;-)

Yeah, RIGHT . . ! Whoosh: Thud. So re-up, Rumsfeld is offering bonuses.

> A lot of hams in Ohio were glad that I was willing to help out
> including an old ham in his late 70s that brought me a home brew
> receiver that a SK friend of his had built 20 years before. He had
lost
> the hand drawn schematics and had a tear rolling down his cheek as he
> asked if there was any way I could possibly help him. He told me
that
> he had been to every two way shop and ham equipment dealer to try to
> find someone to work on it and that one of them told him I was the
only
> one in the county crazy enough to even attempt a repair. I tore it
down
> and found a couple burnt resistors and shorted caps. I did a little
> math, dug around and found the parts. He was crying when the
receiver
> came to life and kept thanking me. I charged him $10 and he hurried
> home to get back on the air. Helping someone like that is better
than
> spending hours on the air to me.

Nice job. Could not agree more.

In a contorted way it appears that thee and me are basically the same basic breed of radio alley cat. Bear with me here. It's a tale. I got into ham radio over a half century because I wanted to move up from SWLing and just reading National Geographic as a kid to get on the air and communicate with others in foreign places. I'm still at it and in my mind the magic of DXing the HF airwaves hasn't diminished a bit.

Here's part of the convergence: For the most part I simply can't stand most neighborhood radio clubs for all the reasons you've cited recently here and there in this NG. The poltics, the general clulessness, the rambling disorganization, the lack of interest in my particular hot buttons, etc. So I don't bother with 'em.

> Different strokes?

You bet. I found my "cure" for the local radio clubs many years ago. I'm a member of a wide-area regional ham club which is tightly focused of HF DXing and DX contesting, a bunch who have the same narrow interests I have. Works for me, maybe the format would work for you.

There's some huge number of electronics hobbyists across the country including hams who get their jollies from tube electronics. Since you're in what amounts to "geezer alley" in central Florida I expect that there is a higher concentration of "tube huggers"there than one would find elsewhere in the country. If I had your interests and lived where you do I'd seriously consider poking around the area for others of your ilk to clump with and form some sort of club centered on tube

radio, etc.

> --
> Former professional electron wrangler.
>
> Michael A. Terrell
> Central Florida

w3rv

4787. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 15:01:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1114009277.873572.9210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Tue, 19 Apr 2005 02:41:32 GMT, robert casey wrote:
>
> >And that was in the summer! I had to do a code sending
> >test at 5wpm at the old FCC field office in New York City
> >(I've heard the place is now condos).
>
> When the rats started to outnumber the people in that building,
> something had to be done....
>
> A shame - 641 Washington Street was a beautiful example of 1920s
> Federal office buildings. A family friend who was my inspiration
in
> joining the Federal workforce worked there most of her career as a
> secretary and then a claims examiner for the pre-OSHA Labor
> Department - may she rest in peace.
>
> I was in the FCC facilities there only six times - twice for
amateur
> exams, three times for commercial exams, and once to the office
> (which was at the opposite end of the building from the exam rooms)
> to pick up travel orders to my first duty post in San Francisco.

Maybe one of these days I'll get off my butt and see if I can find the
Philly Custom House where the FCC lived around here in it's glory days.

> I still can't comprehend those old buildings as upscale condos....

Philly is *loaded* with 'em Phil. You'd have to see it to believe it.
The really pricey versions are those which are converted multi-story
waterfront warehouses on piers poking out into the river. When I was a
kid you took yer life into your hands when you went into those
waterfront areas. No more. Another big source of conversion properties
in the city is the huge old 6-10 story light manufacturing buildings
staffed by sweat-shop immigrant labor in the early 1900s. Out here in
the 'burbs the hot ticket conversions are the surviving ancient
(1700s-1800s) textile mills along the creeks most of which have been
chopped up into big bucks office space.

> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

4788. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 15:45:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1114011943.243686.69870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:AUF8e.63884$NC6.29488@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>
> > I hope someone from the military can step in to tell us how
> > much time they were given to get the code down. I think
> > they had to "Cram".
>
> Navy RM "A" School, the basic school which trained Navy Radiomen, was
13-weeks
> long. Morse practice was 3 hours per day, 4 days per week, but
students could
> come in after-hours for additional practice. Graduation requirement
was to be
> able to copy 5-letter coded groups at 18WPM for 10 minutes, with 3
uncorrected
> errors allowed.

156 hours worth of in-class code parctice and a pretty stiff exam.
Sounds familaiar. I didn't clock it but the 156 hours total is probably
in the realm I needed to get from zero to 20WPM. Which in my case was
stretched out over years between the 5/13/20 WPM exams.

I've heard some weird tales about how the Signal Corps used Draconian
methods to quickly pound Morse into the heads of their WW2 radio ops.
Stories about eight-hours-per-day seven days per weeks drills for 2-4
weeks or some such, nasty punishments for those who "didn't get it",
etc. Have you ever heard any of these tales?

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

4789. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 15:46:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42667950.8DBCB362@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106305

N9OGL wrote:

> my license has been renewed since that time, and like I said the FCC
> knew about so did the Subcommittee on Telecommunication and I'm still
> here, it seems that the FCC blew it off and /or don't want to bring it
> up because like said there's a lot more there then what you think, and
> the FCC doesn't want to touch it.

There's no indication at all that "the FCC doesn't want to touch it", Todd. It may well be that no one at the FCC is aware of your background and activities.

> Your best bet is to foget about it
> and get on with you life.

There's no obligation on anyone's part to "foget" about it. Some might feel an obligation to bring a blatant scofflaw's activities to the attention of the proper authorities. Folks with ethics and principles might feel that is the right thing to do. They might feel that anyone with a disregard for broadcast regulations would likely have the same disregard for amateur radio regulations.

Dave Heil

4790. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 15:50:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42667A5B.AD541F1E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106306

N9OGL wrote:
>
> Steve, if that's the case and I find out I'm on some f--king list I'm
> not only going to sue your f--king ass but I'm going to sue that fat
> asshole Phil.

Sure, you will, Todd. ;-)

> Believe me you f--king piece of s--t I f--king will.

I don't believe you, Todd and I don't think there are many here who
believe you. You'll likely continue the same profane rants you've been
posting up to this point.

> So you go tell that LYING fat f--k what I told you.

Haven't you just informed him through your post?

Dave K8MN

4791. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 16:05:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42667DC9.F7E7C2B2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106307

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> "Liberal" Robeson is NOT. :-)

> It matters not what the subject is, ANYONE disagreeing
> with him (even in the slightest) becomes his "enemy"
> and is dealt with in barbaric terms.

That sounds an awfully lot like you, Leonard.

> See his treatment
> of Dieter Stussy, Todd...

Stussy is a guy with an ax to grind. Todd is a scofflaw and emotionally challenged.

> ...even Hans who outranked him in
> experience as well as rank in the Navy.

You've exchanged unpleasantries with Hans and used name-calling with him.
He outranks you in military experience and rank.

> The interesting part is that he does the "mirror"
> thing on people who respond to him more than once.
> He turns around and accuses the accuser of doing
> things he does. Such as (lately) being an
> "antagonist." It's obvious that HE is the antagonist
> but he will not, possibly can not accept that.

Again, this could be a page from the Len Anderson Song Book.

> To me he has tried to be "on my case" even in
> comments to others, having nothing to do with
> Robeson. He seems to desperately seek attention
> by trying to turn every thread into his own
> battleground.

You've often done precisely those things to others.

Dave K8MN

4792. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 16:18:40 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <426680CE.A5CB1747@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> Maybe it's happened but personally I've never heard of an instance of
> anyone with a broken mainstream radio not being able to fix it or get
> it fixed because the manuals and/or the schematics couldn't be located.
> Parts are another story but that's SOP.

It was a constant battle to find parts to manufacture several models
at Microdyne. Manufacturers would drop parts, whole product lines or
merge with another company and disappear. We had to stop building
several models because to cost to completely redesign the radio was more
than the expected sales. As a stopgap a few boards were converted to a
SMD and through hole mix, but that only bough a couple years.

> All commodity widgets have design lifetimes particularly when they are
> based in the fast-moving field of electronics Japanese and otherwise.
> But there are tens of thousands of 20-year-old pieces of complex ham
> gear still regularly on the air. I can't imagine why you would expect
> any more than that for the service life of chunks of entertainment
> electronics.

I don't run out and buy the latest and greatest toy of the week.
Most of the electronics i have are ten years old, or older. This
computer is over five years old but it still allows me to to do what I
have time for.

> I have no idea but a buddy of mine has an IC-781 xcvr which cost him
> over $6k 18 years ago and it's color LCD display still works as well as
> it did out-of-the-box. Boeing is replacing the conventional instruments
> in it's airliner panels with color LCD panels which better last 20
> years and more or Boeing is gonna get spanked plenty.

They would just replace it and the driver with newer designs. On the
other hand Avionics has to meet strict standards so it is in a state of
constant change. No airline will ground a plane because they need
$20,000 worth of new electronics. I saw a news release a while back that
some of the early 747s were being retrofitted with the latest control
systems. On the other hand, there is a limited number of years the
airframe can be used and there is a thriving business in used parts.

> > Then they told me
> > to "stuff" my offer of free electronic components to help them with
> > repairs.
>
> Are you kidding? That's nuts. With all due respect I'd like to hear the

> other side of that one.

They told me they only used parts they could trust and never used any
old stock. What do they think they get on small orders from small
distributors? The parts have been on the shelf, somewhere. Sure you
can get oxidation on leads if improperly stored, but its not like they
are in damp cardboard boxes in my back yard. I think the real issue was
that they retired from Lockheed-Martin, and I had worked for Microdyne.
There was a rivalry, even though Lockheed-Martin was one of our
customers.

> > As far as weapons, I have
> > used a bow but I prefer an M-72. ;-)
>
> Yeah, RIGHT . . ! Whoosh: Thud. So re-up, Rumsfeld is offering bonuses.

I'm over 50 and I'm disabled.

> > I charged him $10 and he hurried
> > home to get back on the air. Helping someone like that is better
> > than spending hours on the air to me.
>
> Nice job. Could not agree more.
>
> In a contorted way it appears that thee and me are basically the same
> basic breed of radio alley cat. Bear with me here. It's a tale. I got
> into ham radio over a half century because I wanted to move up from
> SWLing and just reading National Geographic as a kid to get on the air
> and communicate with others in foreign places. I'm still at it and in
> my mind the magic of DXing the HF airwaves hasn't diminished a bit.
>
> Here's part of the convergence: For the most part I simply can't stand
> most neighborhood radio clubs for all the reasons you've cited recently
> here and there in this NG. The poltics, the general clulessness, the
> rambling disorganization, the lack of interest in my particular hot
> buttons, etc. So I don't bother with 'em.
>
> > Different strokes?
>
> You bet. I found my "cure" for the local radio clubs many years ago.
> I'm a member of a wide-area regional ham club which is tightly focused
> of HF DXing and DX contesting, a bunch who have the same narrow
> interests I have. Works for me, maybe the format would work for you.
>
> There's some huge number of electronics hobbyists across the country
> including hams who get their jollies from tube electronics. Since
> you're in what amounts to "geezer alley" in central Florida I expect
> that there is a higher concentration of "tube huggers"there than one
> would find elsewhere in the country. If I had your interests and lived
> where you do I'd seriously consider poking around the area for others
> of your ilk to clump with and form some sort of club centered on tube

> radio, etc.

Most of the old timers around here have the attitude that they stopped fixing anything the day they retired. There is a HUGE retirement community near here that has spread into three counties. It is full of retirees who think any manual labor is beneath them. They all hire lawn services, they aren't allowed to change their car's oil on their deed restricted property and no antennas so the hams there all use handhelds. They are the most self centered people I have ever met. They also have the highest rate of STDs in senior citizens in the country. Not many electronics people around here. Its the "I built a computer" crowd who wouldn't know which end of a soldering iron to pick up till they smell burning flesh.

I was on the advisory board for the Lake County Vo-Tech Electronics program. It was the highest rated in the state but the school board shut it down. I haven't found anything here in Marion County. One high school had a similar program, but shut it down as well. its no wonder the few technology businesses are down sizing locally and shipping the jobs to other parts of the country.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4793. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 16:29:33 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4266835B.7F5E853C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> >
> > The whole idea of a rig that isn't intended to be serviced is what
> > kills my interest in the hobby. Too many custom parts made of
> > "Unobtaium" and refusing to release schematics and manuals really don't
> > inspire any confidence in imported whiz bang rigs. Not that I prefer
> > tube only rigs, but they were built to do their job for years.
>
> I like the modern equipment, but hollow state equipment is simply cool.
> Now that I have my main station set up, I'm going to start putting
> together some old tube rigs. Oh yeah!
>
> Maybe
> > the rest of the ham's life if he took decent care of his equipment. A
> > lot of newer rigs end up as parts units because some cheap component is
> > NLA. Do you think many of these all plastic LCD display radios will
> > still work when they are 20 years old?
> >
> >
> > I was told that a couple retired EEs in the local club did all their
> > repairs and I didn't know enough to be of any help. Then they told me
> > to "stuff" my offer of free electronic components to help them with
> > repairs.
>
> Mike, if I may be blunt, that is a pretty shitty club. Pardon my French!

I wasn't impressed by them.

> > Sorry, but that analogy just doesn't work. Several members told me
> > they wanted to do minor repairs on their equipment but they didn't have
> > access to the tools or equipment anymore. I offered them free use of my
> > shop and to give them most of the components from my old repair business
> > if I had what they needed and was laughed at.
>
> AS I said, a poor excuse for a club.....

I gave up on them and decide I don't want to join them. I offered to
help plan the next hamfest and maintain a E-mail list for them. They
asked if I had the money to pay the year's dues first, then told me,
"Real hams will know about it, anyway"

> > A lot of hams in Ohio were glad that I was willing to help out
> > including an old ham in his late 70s that brought me a home brew
> > receiver that a SK friend of his had built 20 years before. He had lost
> > the hand drawn schematics and had a tear rolling down his cheek as he
> > asked if there was any way I could possibly help him. He told me that
> > he had been to every two way shop and ham equipment dealer to try to
> > find someone to work on it and that one of them told him I was the only
> > one in the county crazy enough to even attempt a repair. I tore it down
> > and found a couple burnt resistors and shorted caps. I did a little
> > math, dug around and found the parts. He was crying when the receiver
> > came to life and kept thanking me. I charged him $10 and he hurried
> > home to get back on the air. Helping someone like that is better than
> > spending hours on the air to me. Different strokes?
>
> Different strokes indeed. The ARS has room for many different types. It
> sounds as if we would get along just fine.
>
> I'll bet you felt just darn good when you got to help that fellow.

Yes, it was a good day at the bench. Much better than some whining
CBer who bitched at paying $7.50 to put a plug on their third microphone
that month.

> Sounds to me as if you *have* found your place in the hobby. Seems like
> a good one to me.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Yes, I've always enjoyed the equipment more than using it, and I have
lost interest in getting a station on the air so I'll keep collecting
and repairing old gear as long as I'm able to do it. I have a couple
kids who are supposed to help me clean out my shop this summer so i can
see how much equipment I can salvage. I still have the benches wrapped
in plastic from last year's hurricanes. I pray they miss us this year.
Three in my area were just too much.

I have a couple websites, but no good pictures of the three shop
buildings to put up, yet. Maybe I can do it this fall.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

4794. Hams with hearing loss (Was: Morse gone by summer??? No.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 17:21:07 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d463e8$9sp$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 106310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:Ae-dnQRSAfbn6PnfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Mike Andrews" <mikea@mikea.ath.cx> wrote in message
> news:d40mvs$sed$2@puck.litech.org...
> > In <8ZydnQhpGLNvrv7fRVn-vw@gbronline.com> (rec.radio.amateur.misc), Cmd
> > Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >> I used to teach Novice classes, and I always assumed that anyone could
> >> learn the code if they really wanted to. I found that some people had
> >> difficulty telling the difference between a dit from a dah unless it
was
> >> sent very slowy and the dah made a lot longer than the dit, but when
> >> sending a character that contained several dits or dahs or
combinations,
> >> they simply could not tell one from the other. It wasn't that they
> >> lacked the skill to learn the code, I could right out characters in
dits
> >> and dahs on the board and they could recoginize them, it was an
> >> interpertation problem with the brain of telling the sound of a dit
from
> >> the sound of a dah. People with hearing aids often had a difficult
time.
> >
> > You hit that part right on the head. My XYL has a deep notch in her
> > hearing
> > response curve, from about 400 Hz to about 2 KHz, due to playing viola
in
> > a symphony orchestra for 15 years, sitting right in front of the brass
> > section[1]. She's having the very devil of a time with Morse, mostly
> > because she has problems distinguishing between dit and dah. She has
> > learned not to trust her ears, and now she's trying to learn to read
with
> > them. The deep notch right where most people tune to read CW and where
the
> > various tapes, CDs, and tutor programs all put the tone, also makes it
> > very
> > difficult for her.
> >
>
> Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of course
> you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the test to
> have one set up at that pitch for her testing.
```

>
> Or just make sure that you can turn the volume WAY up just like my ex had
to
> (70% hearing loss in each ear and constant ringing of the ears).
>
> > When she passes Element 1, I have to go learn American Sign Language and
> > pass a proficiency test.
> >
>
> Sounds fair to me.
>
> > [1] I'll bet most people don't think much about hearing damage in people
> > playing in symphony orchestras. It's fairly common.
> >
>
> Being an amateur musician, I've read quite a bit about it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

I've recently read somewhere (if only I could remember where) of a totally
deaf ham
who operates cw. He was a no-coder until he became deaf. He uses a homebrew
gizmo plugged into the headphone socket that flashes a light. Was allowed to
use it for the test without any problems.
His only problem is that from around 15wpm the light does not come on and go
out fast enough. IIRC his device uses standard tungsten filament bulb. What
would be the best alternative, i.e. "switches" faster, LED or neon bulb?
The article had a schematic of the sound-to-light converter - I remember it
could be adjusted to allow for signal strength, noise and variations in
output characteristics of different radios.

I suppose someone reasonably competent in electronic design, not me :(,
could "re-invent" such a thing without raising a sweat.

73
Roger ZR3RC

4795. The Toad BANNED from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 17:31:17 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <iK-dnQ_BtYJ_DPvfRVn-rA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106311

Toady has been banned from QRZ!

4796. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 17:36:01 UTC
From: Phil Harmonic <NoToddie@nospam>
Message-ID: <d463sbldr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> So, Anonymnous Lying Coward...Yo gonna

"Yo gonna"???? Is Nursie in 'da hood??????

> ante up or just make more "you're a liar and blowhard"
statements?

So lying Nursie, are you going to admit you are a liar and an
unmitigated blowhard?

> I say your nads are in the vise.

At least I have a set, Nursie.

//////////////////

Yeah, Rogie. You "have a set", alright.
Spit em' out.
Lloyd wants them back.

4797. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 17:37:13 UTC
From: Phil Harmonic <NoToddie@nospam>
Message-ID: <d463uilhs0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"yojimbo" <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote in message
news:vudnW7l5rKho_jcRn-rQ@gelded.not...
On Wed, 20 Apr 2005 15:04:10 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger wrote:
> In article
> <1113993352.595104.276090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > It doesn't have any.
>
> Oh, the irony. Somebody who hides under "Mr. Obvious" casting
> aspersions on others.
>
> > Further, its sole existence seems to be geared towards
> harrassment of
> > others via computer newsgroups.
>
> Says a moron who can't spell "it's"........

Says a moron who miscorrects the correct spelling of "its."

Hint: "it's" is a contraction of "it is," while "its" is the
possessive of "it."

Got it, Mr. Woger?
//////////////

He lurnt that at Devry.

--
"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling
complainant.)

4798. The Toad stepped in it this time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 17:38:12 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <AeudnfZdG_kcDvvfRVn-hg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106314

and was notified via telephone that his name was posted on toady's
blog and boy was he upset! He said his lawyer will contact the toad
about defamation charges, you might think it's ok to say what ever you
want to about anybody, and it's ok for you to censor but not for anybody
to censor you. Well toad you have stepped over the line as far as free
speech goes!
See ya, wouldn't want to be ya! :)

4799. The toad stepped in it this time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 17:40:09 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <0-CdnW8X9LhrDvvfRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106315

Rand was notified via telephone that his name was posted on toady's
blog and boy was he upset! He said his lawyer will contact the toad
about defamation charges, you might think it's ok to say what ever you
want to about anybody, and it's ok for you to censor but not for anybody
to censor you. Well toad you have stepped over the line as far as free
speech goes!
See ya, wouldn't want to be ya!

4800. Re: The toad stepped in it this time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 17:50:43 UTC
From: I Am Not Roger <MeNoJew@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d464pu$n3o$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106316

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:0-CdnW8X9LhrDvvfRVn-rg@centurytel.net...
Rand was notified via telephone that his name was posted on toady's
blog and boy was he upset! He said his lawyer will contact the toad
about defamation charges, you might think it's ok to say what ever you
want to about anybody, and it's ok for you to censor but not for anybody
to censor you. Well toad you have stepped over the line as far as free
speech goes!
See ya, wouldn't want to be ya!

I think I'll step out in the neighbor's yard, scoop up l a box full of dog
shit and send it to Todd.
He'll think it is the beginnings of a family reunion.

4801. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 17:55:41 UTC
From: I Am Not Roger <MeNoJew@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d4655mnta0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106317

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:39OdneQVGvqDxfvfRVn-ow@centurytel.net...
Toady lives at home with his mommy and daddy, now just how pathetic is
that? Thirty Seven going on fifteen and still lives with his mommy. I
wonder if they have seen his blog yet? You paint a very sad picture Toady.

AB8MQ, Wogie Wussyman has a spare bedroom in his mommy's hovel. Maybe Toadie
can move in with Wogie. After all, they are already two peas in the same pod
and both are social outcasts.
They ought to get along quite well.

Toad. Give Roger a call at 1-304-845-0109. He doesn't work so he's home
almost all the time.

I hear West Virginia is very nice this time of year.....

4802. Re: Hams with hearing loss (Was: Morse gone by summer??? No.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 18:09:48 UTC
From: Ed Jay <Medbj@aes-intl.com>
Message-ID: <ag6d615f5jd8hl3s61fu5rsg1p282iivdd@4ax.com>
Source record: 106318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:Ae-dnQRSAfbn6PnfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
>>
>> "Mike Andrews" <mikea@mikea.ath.cx> wrote in message
>> news:d40mvssed2@puck.litech.org...
>> > In <8ZydnQhpGLNvrv7fRVn-vw@gbronline.com> (rec.radio.amateur.misc), Cmd
>> > Buzz Corey wrote:
>> >
>> >> I used to teach Novice classes, and I always assumed that anyone could
>> >> learn the code if they really wanted to. I found that some people had
>> >> difficulty telling the difference between a dit from a dah unless it
>was
>> >> sent very slowy and the dah made a lot longer than the dit, but when
>> >> sending a character that contained several dits or dahs or
>combinations,
>> >> they simply could not tell one from the other. It wasn't that they
>> >> lacked the skill to learn the code, I could right out characters in
>dits
>> >> and dahs on the board and they could recoginize them, it was an
>> >> interpertation problem with the brain of telling the sound of a dit
>from
>> >> the sound of a dah. People with hearing aids often had a difficult
>time.
>> >
>> > You hit that part right on the head. My XYL has a deep notch in her
>> > hearing
>> > response curve, from about 400 Hz to about 2 KHz, due to playing viola
>in
>> > a symphony orchestra for 15 years, sitting right in front of the brass
>> > section[1]. She's having the very devil of a time with Morse, mostly
>> > because she has problems distinguishing between dit and dah. She has
>> > learned not to trust her ears, and now she's trying to learn to read
>with
>> > them. The deep notch right where most people tune to read CW and where
>the
>> > various tapes, CDs, and tutor programs all put the tone, also makes it
>> > very
>> > difficult for her.
>> >
>>

>> Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of course
>> you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the test to
>> have one set up at that pitch for her testing.
>>
>> Or just make sure that you can turn the volume WAY up just like my ex had
>to
>> (70% hearing loss in each ear and constant ringing of the ears).
>>
>> > When she passes Element 1, I have to go learn American Sign Language and
>> > pass a proficiency test.
>> >
>>
>> Sounds fair to me.
>>
>> > [1] I'll bet most people don't think much about hearing damage in people
>> > playing in symphony orchestras. It's fairly common.
>> >
>>
>> Being an amateur musician, I've read quite a bit about it.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>>
>
>I've recently read somewhere (if only I could remember where) of a totally
>deaf ham
>who operates cw. He was a no-coder until he became deaf. He uses a homebrew
>gizmo plugged into the headphone socket that flashes a light. Was allowed to
>use it for the test without any problems.
>His only problem is that from around 15wpm the light does not come on and go
>out fast enough. IIRC his device uses standard tungsten filament bulb. What
>would be the best alternative, i.e. "switches" faster, LED or neon bulb?
>The article had a schematic of the sound-to-light converter - I remember it
>could be adjusted to allow for signal strength, noise and variations in
>output characteristics of different radios.
>
>I suppose someone reasonably competent in electronic design, not me :(,
>could "re-invent" such a thing without raising a sweat.
>
An LED would seem the ideal solution.

I also have a significant hearing disability. My hearing chart shows my
hearing drops off at about 1.5 kHz and is down 40 dB at 10 kHz. CW
solution is to use RIT shifted so I hear about a 500 Hz tone.

Ed N6EJ
(No 'M' in my email addy)

4803. Re: FCC Licenses new CW coastal station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 18:26:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.if947k0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 106323

On Wed, 20 Apr 2005 04:48:20 GMT, Mel A. Nomah wrote:

>The FCC has granted a license for a new, common carrier, class 1A CW coast
>station, callsign KSM. This is the first time in many years that the FCC has
>granted a new license for this service. The hours of operation for the
>station have not yet been determined, but the call is KSM and frequencies of
>operation will be 426, 500, 6474 and 12993 kHz with an authorized output of
>5kW on all frequencies.

Note that the licensee is the Maritime Radio Historical Society,
which has been restoring the original Morse stations on several
WW-II-era vessels used as floating museums in the San Francisco Bay
and other West Coast areas and has made restoration of the coast
station (site and equipment owned by the Golden Gate National
Seashore) a prime goal in the last five years or so.

The site of KSM (and its equipment) is the old RCA marine coast
station KPH, transmitters in Bolinas, CA and receivers/control point
in Point Reyes, CA. The same station is used for the annual "KPH"
fire-up on July 12, the anniversary of the last commercial Morse
traffic in the US, and for frequent operation of the power-lowered
transmitters on the ham bands as K6KPH. Everyone concerned is a
volunteer.

I've done some work with them but I'm too far from San Francisco to
be one of the "regulars".

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4804. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 18:29:47 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.if94dn1.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 106322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 19 Apr 2005 18:16:07 -0700, bb wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>> AFRTS >>> IS NOT <<< Amateur Radio

>Hi! Awesome! Can I borrow that sometime?

It's in the public domain. Knock yourself out.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4805. Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 18:44:27 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.if95233.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 106325

On 19 Apr 2005 15:37:22 -0700, Mike wrote:

>> I don't dishonor the memory of the sailors killed aboard the USS
>> Liberty. They didn't have to die.
>
>Correct, they sure as hell didn't!
>
>> I dishonor their superiors who
>> never took responsibility for not preventing what happened.
>
>Ah, there was no way any component of the US gov't was going to prevent
>the IDF from screwing up!

If as eye-witnesses have reported the ship was overflown by Israeli aircraft the day before, did the brass aboard the Liberty get on the horn to Naples and report it? And did the brass in Naples report it to Norfolk? And did Norfolk report it to the Pentagon? And did the brass in the Pentagon get on the horn to the Kirya (Israel's "Pentagon") with whom they were in almost constant contact because the US was resupplying Israel with ammo (this I know for a fact because one of my buddies was aboard some of the resupply flights) and ask "why are you overflying OUR ship", thereby verifying that it was a US vessel? Or did they just sit and wait to see what would happen?

You are right - s**t happens, and when is the USN going to accept the responsibility for their part in the mis-handling of the situation? Not doing so dishonored the memory of the innocent sailors who were "caught in the middle", so to speak.

Peace be on the souls of the departed.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4806. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 18:52:33 UTC
From: Lloyd <radioclub@lloydsradioclub.net>
Message-ID: <d468b1toi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Harmonic" <NoToddie@nospam> wrote in message
news:d463uilhs0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "yojimbo" <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote in message
> news:vudnW7l5rKho_jcRn-rQ@gelded.not...
> On Wed, 20 Apr 2005 15:04:10 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger wrote:
>> In article
>> <1113993352.595104.276090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
>> "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> > It doesn't have any.
>>
>> Oh, the irony. Somebody who hides under "Mr. Obvious" casting
>> aspersions on others.
>>
>> > Further, its sole existence seems to be geared towards
>> harrassment of
>> > others via computer newsgroups.
>>
>> Says a moron who can't spell "it's"........
>
> Says a moron who miscorrects the correct spelling of "its."
>
> Hint: "it's" is a contraction of "it is," while "its" is the
> possessive of "it."
>
> Got it, Mr. Woger?
> ///////////////
>
> He lurnt that at Devry.
>
>
> --
> "It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling
> complainant.)
>

LOL, thats funny, but then Wogie always so funny.

73,

Lloyd

4807. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 18:53:25 UTC
From: Lloyd <radioclub@lloydsradioclub.net>
Message-ID: <d468cl$tr4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Harmonic" <NoToddie@nospam> wrote in message
news:d463sbldr0@pita.alt.net...
>
>
>> So, Anonymnous Lying Coward...Yo gonna
>
> "Yo gonna"???? Is Nursie in 'da hood??????
>
>> ante up or just make more "you're a liar and blowhard"
> statements?
>
> So lying Nursie, are you going to admit you are a liar and an
> unmitigated blowhard?
>
>> I say your nads are in the vise.
>
> At least I have a set, Nursie.
>
> ////////////////////
>
> Yeah, Rogie. You "have a set", alright.
> Spit em' out.
> Lloyd wants them back.
>

LOL, that is funnier yet, but then Woger always gets
funnier yet!

73,

Lloyd

4808. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 19:17:22 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050420191722.A68C717139@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d463uilhs0@pita.alt.net>
"Phil Harmonic" <NoToddie@nospam> wrote:

> He lurnt that at Devry.

"lurnt" - "Phil Harmonic" the coward neaver "learned" English,
that's why he works as a minimum wage slave.
Neither Roger nor I went to Devry, dumbass.(I graduated from
another college, and Roger graduated from yet another one) Looks
like you FUCKED UP AGAIN! Or As usual. And since you whine so
much about people's education, it's just too bad all you have is
a G.E.D. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

4809. Re: Hams with hearing loss (Was: Morse gone by summer??? No.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 19:21:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MYx9e.9527$An2.8845@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d463e8$9sp$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...

>
> I suppose someone reasonably competent in electronic design, not me :(,
> could "re-invent" such a thing without raising a sweat.
>

Contact Avery Finn at Handi-hams -----> avery.finn@courage.org

4810. Re: 2005 DOUCHE AWARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 19:56:16 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <dc8de43e777ac6b743ed27b9f1893af0@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106328

In article <1113954195.492415.68950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> I love it!

BWHAHAHAHAHA I love your FUCK UP below, Nursie.

> N9OGL wrote:
>
> IF YOUR ON THIS LIST CONGRADULATION !!!!!

"Congratulations," Toad! "You're" almost as bad as Nursie.

> As always, Todd is the epitome of English language
abortionists.

Oh the irony. "Congratulations," Nursie!

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HA !!!!!!

4811. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 20:03:28 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114027408.520077.126800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd wrote:
> LOL, that is funnier yet, but then Woger always gets
> funnier yet!

Mongolloyd makes good sentences! It's another fuck up in his 31 years
of fucking up!!

Mongolloyd queer for Roger.

--
Lardass admits he is not interested in dating women in
http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=8396:
"Dating is something for simpletons and losers!"

4812. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 20:14:17 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114028057.243873.287680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106327

I Am Not Roger wrote:
> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:39OdneQVGvqDxfvfRVn-ow@centurytel.net...
> Toady lives at home with his mommy and daddy, now just how pathetic
is
> that? Thirty Seven going on fifteen and still lives with his mommy. I
> wonder if they have seen his blog yet? You paint a very sad picture
Toady.

Hmm...Lardass Davies is a 6 ye6a6r old little girl in an obese 31 year
old boy's body. They could be the New Odd Couple!
>
> AB8MQ, Wogie Wussyman has a spare bedroom in his mommy's hovel.
> Maybe Toadie can move in with Wogie.

Hi Lardass! Why don't you offer him space in your 200 square foot
filthy HUD apartment in the ghetto section of Athens, AL?

> After all, they are already two peas in the same pod
> and both are social outcasts.
> They ought to get along quite well.

Yes, you should get along well, both of you can't ever get laid.

> Toad. Give Roger a call at 1-304-845-0109. He doesn't work so he's
home
> almost all the time.

He is? Wrong again, Lardass! But you have been wrong your entire life.
At least he isn't 31 years old and delivering pizzas for a living
because thaty is the ONLY job he is qualified for.

> I hear West Virginia is very nice this time of year.....

Why don't you put him up in your shithole apartment, then? Then you can
bitchslap each other, because you really can't do much of anything
else.

4813. Re: The toad stepped in it this time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 20:16:59 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114028219.832928.148180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106329

I Am Not Roger wrote:
> "KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:0-CdnW8X9LhrDvvfRVn-rg@centurytel.net...
> Rand was notified via telephone that his name was posted on toady's
> blog and boy was he upset! He said his lawyer will contact the toad
> about defamation charges, you might think it's ok to say what ever
you
> want to about anybody, and it's ok for you to censor but not for
anybody
> to censor you. Well toad you have stepped over the line as far as
free
> speech goes!
> See ya, wouldn't want to be ya!

Poor Dimmy in MiSHITigan, he still depends on mommy and daddy for
monetary support!

>
> I think I'll step out in the neighbor's yard, scoop up l a box full
of dog
> shit and send it to Todd.

Now, you shouldn't waste your food like that.
Didn't your crackwhore mommy teach you anything?

> He'll think it is the beginnings of a family reunion.

While you just fuck your sister when your family reunions occur, right?

4814. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 20:20:02 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114028402.414424.94080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

yojimbo wrote:
> On Wed, 20 Apr 2005 15:04:10 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger wrote:
> > In article
> > <1113993352.595104.276090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> > "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > It doesn't have any.
> >
> > Oh, the irony. Somebody who hides under "Mr. Obvious" casting
> > aspersions on others.
> >
> > > Further, its sole existence seems to be geared towards
> > harrassment of
> > > others via computer newsgroups.
> >
> > Says a moron who can't spell "it's"........
>
> Says a moron who miscorrects the correct spelling of "its."

Says the moron who still fucks up and leaves out the apostrophe and
thinks that is correct. Hi Lardass Davies!

>
> Hint: "i <excuses for incorrect useage>

Hint: You always fuck up like that, Davies.
It's because you flunked out of high school.

>
> Got it, Mr. Woger?

4815. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 20:22:07 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114028527.509723.148130@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d463sbldr0@pita.alt.net>
"Phil Harmonic" <NoToddie@nospam> wrote:
>
>
>
> > So, Anonymnous Lying Coward...Yo gonna
>
> "Yo gonna"???? Is Nursie in 'da hood??????
>
> > ante up or just make more "you're a liar and blowhard"
> statements?
>
> So lying Nursie, are you going to admit you are a liar and an
> unmitigated blowhard?
>
> > I say your nads are in the vise.
>
> At least I have a set, Nursie.
>
> ////////////////////
>
> Yeah, Rogie. You "have a set", alright.

Yeah, and you don't. That's why you hide behind "Phil Harmonic" through
Altopia.
Oh, the irony, Davies.

> Spit em' out.

Projecting your homosexuality, FAGGOT? Oh, and keep guessing.

> Lloyd wants them back.

Lardass Lloyd never had a set (like you, "Phil") to begin with.
Now whine for me, Bitch boy!

4816. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 20:25:56 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114028756.444505.93670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d468b1toi0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <radioc...@lloydsradioclub.net> answered himself in:

> LOL, thats funny, but then Wogie always so funny.

Not half as funny as your pig-like face, Davies the 31 year old VIRGIN.

LARDASS LLOYD AUTISM DAVIES' ENGLISH DICTIONARY

breaddead" - LLoyd hasn't got anything upstairs in the oven
"defenseive" - Lardass is "defensive" of his ignorance
"counter-offenseive" - When LARDASS LLoyds attack
"nights" - Athens ASSHOLE was referring to last "night's" masturbation
session
"I forget to set the playlist in Winamp to repeat!" - Mongolloyd also
"forgets" the use of past tense, maybe he forgot!
"Techincal" - Lloyd just hates those "technical" things
"Dalkes" - Lloyd's granddad remembers when movies became "talkies" too
bad LARDASS learned to Dalk <sic>
"That it!" - When Mongolloyds get real mad, they talk like Tor Johnson
"Woger" - LARDASS closely identifies with Elmer Fudd with his lack of
hair and all
"decide on weather or not" - It's always "stormy weather" with Lloyd
deciding "whether" or not to use correct English
"can not" - Mongolloyd is wondering how he "cannot" seem to get ahead
in life
"is'nt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he is mad?
"PAINFULL" - How LARDASS' bathroom scale feels when he steps on it in
the morning, real "painful"
"I wish for Roger Wisemand" - Lloyd liked thosed thingd ford Rogerd
"alot" - Davies dreams he can communicate in English a lot
"thier" - Lavender Lloyd sure does lisp after a night behind the
dumpster
"dont" - Lloyd says "dont", the rest of the world says "don't"
"Isreal" - Is FATASS Lloyd's retardation for real, or can he blame
Israel?
"juist jelous" "extermenate" - A three for one post Athens Asshole
axiom
"footting" - What LARDASS doesn't have, an equal "footing" anywhere
"Cant" - Emmanuel?
"th" - When Lloyd gets nervous, he stutte, er stutters
"enfighting" - Lloyd's two brain cells are infighting for control of
his stupidity
"YOU STUPID JEW!" - Wasn't Jesus Jewish, so called Christian LLoyd?

"Tonite" - There's nothing in Lloyd's brain "tonight" or any night
"segmant" - What Lloyd's communications are, only sentence "segments"
"I just listening" - Too bad he doesn't listen to his poor English
"buffon" - The Athens, Alabama "buffoon" strikes again!
"museium" - Where LARDASS LLoyd keeps his "muse"
"nastey" - How everybody describes LARDASS' body stench, especially in the ghetto
"loose" - Lloyd's description of his spinchter muscles...
"wont" - Lloyd refuses to learn English, it's Lloyd's "wont"
"awhile" - It will be "a while" before Davies gets a clue
"freinds" - Lloyd's conglomeration of "friends" and "fiends"
"thier" - "There" just isn't any hope for LARDASS Lloyd "ill-educated" Davies
"backstabers" - Lloyd's version of the OJays' hit
"becuase" - Home of the "Deliverance Extras" spelling by LARDASS Lloyd
"obselete" - What LARDASS thinking is to him, others see it as 'obsolete'.....
"belive" - What fools like LARDASS Lloyd "believes"
"thier" - Ill-educated Xtian LARDASS Lloyd shows off his language skills!
"marshalls" - Mongolloyd spell "marshals" real good!
Wisemand - LARDASSd fucksd upd againd
"compay" - Mongolloyd never gets any female "compay," while others do get "company"
" But when we are winning," DUMBFUCK, YOU have NEVER WON anything in your miserable life!
"and do the nasty!" You don't really know what that means, do you, 30 year old VIRGIN?
"It's does" - Mongolloyd use good English
"momnet" - LARDASS Lloyd Davies misses his dead "momnet" for a "moment"
"seriuosly" - Lavender Lad President Mongolloyd wants to be taken "seriously" instead of "seriuosly"
"annouced" - Mongolloyd "announces" his stupidity again
"tonite" - Davies never lets his lack of education stop him from announcing to the world he's a fucking imbecile!
"nite" - When LARDASS Lloyd molests those kids he makes them say "nite, nite" at "night"
"weldare" - Calling Dr. Weldare, LARDASS needs his head out of his FATASS....put it on his welfare bill
"so fuck of" - When Mongolloyds who have man tits talk tough......
"fukcing funney" - Alabama deliverance extra Davies speak
"pictrue" - "Picture" yourself as a Davies Mongolloyd
"isseus" - Davies has "issues" too many to list here
"resortjng" - Is Lloyd from Finland?
"attsxks" - When LARDASS Davies "attacks" he uses illiteracy
"basturds"- What mental illness patient LARDASS Lloyd calls his nurses
"shkt" - Mongolloyd Davies' "Skittles", he likes that "Hershey rainbow"
"law suit" - LARDASS never leaves his HUD apartment with out his law suit
"opinionn" - When LARDASSn postsn whiln drunkn

"intrenet harrasssmint" - Tries to make LARDASS Lloyd's rotting teeth feel fresh
"sneeky" - The teachers always "sneaked" Lloyd through the next grade
"that' wasnet" - LLoyd was out that year of school that they went over English grammar
idiout! - "Lloyid" <sic> strikes out again!
"speling" - Mongolloyd Davies the "spelling" bee champ of the retards!
"reas isseus instaed" - Davies talking out of that cheap beer again
"atttacking" - LARDASS Lloyd stutters when he gets upset.....ttttt.....
"challegne" - When Mongolloyds drink and post
"metnal" - Mongolloyd just doesn't have the "mental" ability to debate......
"debste" - What Lloyd's mommy did to him in the bathtub
"cahllenge" - Mongolloyd speak for "call" and "challenge"
"basturds" - LLoyd ,Amanda's illegitimate "bastard" sure does have a scat fetish
"morsd" - Whend Daviesd drinkd andd postd
"wont" - It is Mongolloyd's "wont" to be stupid, "won't " you let him?
"youd" - Oops, LARDASS did it again!
"poinion" - Must be an Athens Alabama "Deliverance" thing
"Woger Wiseman"- LARDASS Lloyd's got that cocksucker's lisp
"isnt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he slobbers?
"worfh" - Davies' cocksucking lisp has returned
"waset of spaceda" - When Lloyd's only friend Colt 45 malt liquor kicks in
"Oppulant" - The only thing "opulent" in LARDASS is that he is rich in ignorance
"obly" - LARDASS lisp from cocksucking shows when he tries to say his favorite fag artist "Moby"
"wood" - LARDASS LLOYD'S head "would" be made of "wood"
"dserve" - LARDASS lives in that ghetto where they say he sure does "dserve" those pizzas
"frum" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES wonders where his real father is "from"
"DISCUST" - Mongolloyd Davies' command of the English language is "disgusting"
"You worthless coward are scared of debating me." - Mongolloyd make good sentence
"jellous" - Most of LARDASS Lloyd's cellulite body is "jealous" of skinny, in shape people
"It just didn't wok out" - When LARDASS tried to stir fry those nutsacks for pizza topping, Pappa John's terminated him saying he didn't "work out".
"entact" - LARDASS' single brain cell isn't "intact" either.
"empahis" - Notice how LARDASS Lloyd's "emphasis" is always on his fucking stupidity.
"Fuck all fo you" - Christian LARDASS Lloyd showing that Jesus spirit.....
"bllod" - How LARDASS Lloyd spells "blood"
"did'nt" - LARDASS "didn't" do very well in school
"PLEASE by a clue" - LARDASS Lloyd's parents couldn't even "buy" him an

education
"extrodinare!" - LARDASS is a moron "Extraordinaire"
"unsubsribe" - Mongolloyd Davies "subscribes" to the theory that
ignorance is bliss...he must be in heaven
"Must've struck a cord" - LARDASS confuses his favorite sex fetish of
tying his penis with a light cord and masturbating to the word "chord".
"dumass" - Dumbass Davies trips and falls in shit again.
"Them two bigtime losers!" - Mongolloyd mentally ill Davies makes good
sentence!
"straming" - Lardass usually has to wash his sheets from the "stream"
of piss when he wets the bed or not given his filthy HUD apartment
"surpassinf" - LARDASS is always "surpassing" his previous levels of
stupidity.
"pleanty" - <guffaw!> "Plenty" of stupidity coming from LARDASS Lloyd.
"Cause i'll have law lock you up and throw away the key!" - Mongolloyd
talk tough!
"pome" - When LARDASS WADSWORTHLESS Stubbyfellow writes a "poem"
"Once she here's my show" - Most people hears a show, LARDASS "here's"
them!
"forgotton" - LARDASS has "forgotten" what he was taught in special Ed
"I want your seriousness" - LARDASS does his take on "I want your sex"
"I did'nt ask for your fucking grammer checking!" - LARDASS Lloyd
revels in his ignorance!
"agrument" - When the voices in LARDASS Lloyd's mentally ill head
collide they have an "argument"
"craetive" - Mongolloyd Davies isn't very "creative" with his
stupidity, however.
"You could have posted it, for we know!" - LARDASS make good sentence!
"bottem" - Mongolloyd likes those little boys' "bottoms" from hanging
with Steve Holsten
"James Wickstrom talked about tonite that out threre, thier Sherriff's
department" - Mongolloyd
shows off those Pulitzer prize writing skills.
"bring yer cents" - Mongolloyd show how he flunked out of school
because he had no "sense"
"You peeks out" - LARDASS Lloyd and stupidity a symbiotic relationship.
"Be thier" - LARDASS Lloyd make good sentence!
"If you pussys have balls you confront me!!! Deep down you are scared
of me. You our jellous
because I am the great almighty Time Lord!!!!
The Time Lord always wins in the end." - Does it really need to be said
about Mongolloyd Davies?
"yerself", "hisself" - LARDASS picked up his language skills or lack
thereof from the
niggers his mommy Amanda serviced.
"I lost TEN POUNDS on my diet simce first of the year!" - Too bad
LARDASS didn't gain any language skills
"The best Amatuer Radio talk show ever!" - Chosen 5 to 1 over literacy
by other ill-educated morons and Mongolloyd
"All of my Daleks have been dispached!" - Too bad some extra brain

neurons haven't, Mongolloyd.
"satillite" - When LARDASS met the space between his ears
"Tuseday" - LARDASS' usual day to wear his pink tutu
"I am 210 punds now!" - LARDASS lies and fucks up again!
"jelous" - LARDASS Lloyd is "jealous" of those who can spell, read, and write.
"peoples" - LARDASS Lloyd the "people's" choice of the Biggest L-O-S-E-R!
"curtians" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES uses those "curtains" in his filthy apartment as a masturbation rag
"depsised" - Mongolloyd Davies, who the entire real ham community has "despised" for his lack of ability
to pass a simple 5 wpm code test or General theory
"sicker" - When Lloyd Davies has a mouth full of cum he can't say "sticker"
"liceneses" - Mongolloyd Davies has one of those exclusive "licenses" on stupidity
"isseus" - LARDASS has "issues" with people of intelligence.
"conquor" - Mentally ill LARDASS Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his obesity and mental illness
"crakheads" - Retard Davies make good sentence!
"servent" - Roger Wiseman's willing "servant" makes another gaffe.
"lesibens" - When Benjamins take the wild side...the "lesbians" don't care, though!
"Los Angles" - Mongolloyd has the wrong "angle" about "Los Angeles"
"busses" - Do they take the motored "buses" or do they take the
"thier" - You say "their," Lardass says "thier".... to-may-to, to-mah-to.....
"eductional" - LARDASS Lloyd's writing is "educational" on how severe illiteracy is in his family.
"She sounded like she really whappy to here from me." - Mongolloyd get rejected again!
"This is morning I weigh at 207 pounds. This should prove to you, that I can succeed if I put my
mind to it. I know some your stupid critics can't see that, but I'm not here to please you." -
- LARDASS makes the "huh?" factor rise.
"This looks much more like sexy Lloyd" - Porky Pig lookalike stubby tubby Davies dreams
he looks like a skinny, tall Billy Zane
"I figured you the thought of me owning..." - Lardass dreams the impossible dream of thought
"I took you a long hour and a several minutes..." - Too bad LARDASS Lloyd didn't take and pass English
"I get a laugh over you!" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"did'nt" - "Didn't" Lardass ever pass any school subject?
"Proves to show" - Lavender Lloyd proves he is an imbecile
"It' paid off" - Alcoholic LARDASS Lloyd's bar and utility bills never are though.
"I wear if with" - Davies wears his stupidity well

"You need to get al ife and a job." Mongolloyd retardo Davies needs to get English lessons and
not dream of an "Al ife" with "Al Queda"
"I've never fucking pretending attorneys!" - LARDASSclown Davies never had to pretend to be stupid, either!
"...what your life must me like..." - Mongolloyd's life of pizza delivery and trashcan robots is really something
to want, too, isn't it?
"manor" - Lardassclown Davies' "manners" are like those of a hog....
"Christien" - Poor LARDASS Davies, he's shows why ignorance is bliss in the eyes of the Lord.
"reely" - Mongolloyd Davies is "really" stupid, isn't he?
"please don't imposter me more" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"distastful" - A description of LARDASSCLOWN DAVIES' eating habits.
"commnet" - Most people make "comments," ill-educated Lloyd Davies makes "commnets".
"None of you refuse to tell me who you are." - Huh, Mongolloyd?
"Millinium" - Even after a "millennium" LARDASS couldn't be smart!
"afriad" - LARDASSclown Davies isn't too smart, I'm "afraid"
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd Davies sure has a "powerful" command of the English language.
"conquor" - Lardass Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his idiocy.
"I always new that your stupidity would trip you up, Mr. Wiseman."
- I just "knew" Llardass Lloyd couldn't pose as "Christy
Davies" without fucking it up and outing himself.
"I have given it some though and decided to come back" - Mongolloyd has never given anything any "thought." (from another "I'm leaving" speech/lie)
"usaul" - As "usual" Lardass lloyd Davies fucks up!
"Secwet Woger " Llardass Llavender Llad Lloyd's been sucking so many cocks he's got a lisp!
"douters" - Even though he has his "doubters", it's
"doubtful" Llardass Lloyd has an I.Q. over 70.
"bypolar" - Mongolloyd Davies is a "bipolar bisexual".
"desperite" - Lardass Lloyd is so "desperate" to win at something, anything.
"situtation" - Most people get in "situations, " but not our total fuck-up Lloyd.
"aholt" - Lardass Lloyd usually gets "a hold" of himself on a Saturday night, since he has no date!
"mysteriuos" - Mongolloyd Davies, "mysterious" survivor of a coat hanger abortion attempt.
"I've did" - Most people say " I did"
"Woger" - When cocksucking, lisping fags try to say " Roger."
"for sometime" - Lardass has been a mentally ill retard "for some time" now.
"mommie's" - When Mongolloyds say "Mommy's" as in "Mommy's house."
"drop out" - Lloyd was a High school and college " dropout."
"backpedalling" - "Backpedaling" is what Lardass does a lot of.
"Maybe two years back" - Mongolloyd speak.

"outted" - Lardass Lloyd's illteracy at work.
"I've riddled Woger for years as Arf! Arf!" - Mongolloyd is Arf!Arf!, and his stupidity is no riddle.
"self esteme" - One thng is for sure, Lardass has it..."low self esteem," that is.
"short comings" - Lardass has many, many "shortcomings."
"yahoo" - Lardass always goes into the "Yahoo!" gay chat rooms.
"The truth hurts you see" - So does reading your mangling of the English language, Lardass.
"His stupidity can not be disguised for long." - Lardass' stupidity "cannot" be disquised at all.
"entireity" - Lardass is the embodiment of stupidity in its' "entirety."
"bothe" - Lardass is "both" stupid and retarded.
"got hime" - Lardass has got "him" a case of the dumbass!
"evelope" - Mongolloyd pushes the stupidity "envelope" to the max.
"chiicken" - Lardass Lloyd should eat more "chicken" instead of leftover pizzas then he wouldn't be obese.
"dont" - "Don't" ever improve your English skills, Lardass!
"likign" - Huh? More Mongolloyd Ebonics.
"you ignorant spawn of satin" - Lardass ironically confesses his love of wearing lace!
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd's brain cell isn't "powerful" enough to light a christmas light.
"heresay" - Mongolloyd is a fucking idot, and that's no "hearsay."
"glas" - Lardass Lloyd never uses a "glass" to drink his sticky protein.
"freind" - Dicksucking Davies hasn't got a "friend" in the world.
"yu" - Lardass, "you" really should've gotten a better education!
"Got Brother Stair tuned in here to listen to while sleeping tonite!" - Mongolloyd fucks up again!
"I am not a retrard" - Oh, yes, you are, Lardass!
"Got you in another lie!" - We've "caught you" in another fuckup, Dumbass Davies!
"I am not mentally challenged! I have some pontential." - Mongolloyd is in denial about his imbecility and has the "potential" to become an even bigger jackass!
"did'nt" - Mongolloyd "didn't ever pass Spelling.
"soon all your asses are belong to sherrif" - Mongolloyd fantazises about having sex with his local "sheriff"...
"shure" - We are "sure" you are a fucking moron, Llardass Lloyd!!
"proxie servers" "conecting" - Mongolloyd used "proxy servers" when he "connected" to spam Josh's board.
"enfrocement" - Mongolloyd also fucked up when he spoofed caller ID's and spammed boards, and now law "enforcement" is watching him.
"apoligize" - Mongolloyd's parents should "apologize" for ever siring such a mentally ill retard.
"meagre" - Mongolloyd's "meager" brain can't comprehend English.
"goten" - If only Mongolloyd would've "gotten" an education!
"Prepositioned" - Mongolloyd always gets "propositioned" by nouns and

pronouns!
"temting" - Mongolloyd is as "tempting" as a root canal.
"accept" - Mongolloyd use the wrong word in the wrong place again!
"normaly" - "Normally" Lardass would be passed out from Two bud lites
by now
"red head" - Little Red is shoving his big ole black dickhead in
Lardass' fat piehole.
"Aflack" - Mongolloyd fucks up yet again..www.aflac.com
"Dickionary" - Mongolloyd is almost as bad as his queer lover Holsten
in obsessing about dicks.
"Pnig" - Mongolloyd can "ping" an anvil off of his thick malformed
skull
"pratice" - Lardass has lots of "practice" fucking up.
"dont" - Dicksucking Davies is too stupid to use an apostrophe, isn't
he?
Mongolloyd thinks "Lent" and "lint" are pronounced the same.
"ancinet" - Mongolloyd will be past "ancient" before he gets any pussy.
"harrasment" - Lardass just loves when his fat asshole gets
"harassment" from the drunk black winos!
"persecute" - Mongolloyd "Christy Davies" Attorney-at-Law makes quite
the lapsis calami in his "prosecution".
"fulest" - Little Lloydie is "fullest" when he's done sucking down wino
nutsack goo!
"i'll" "wether" - Mongolloyd, "I'll" just bet you can't decide
"whether" or not to clean your shitty underpants or keep wearing them.
"authroities" - Mongolloyd's familiar with the "authorities" since his
brother has been carted away to jail more times than he can count.
"Perhaps I can Hal on my show too!" - Perhaps you should consider
remedial English, FATASS!
"cannonized" - Mongolloyd thinks somebody will have to be shot out of a
cannon to be "canonized"
"This board is alot better than all them N54 Boards!" - Mongolloyd is
sure overwhelmed, "then", by "all those" words!
"I dont reconnise you opinions" - Mongolloyd "doesn't recognize" his
stupidity, either.
" I donlt care" - Obviously, Lardass, obviously.
"Thats" "benifit" - "That's" one of Lardass' many fuckups. He doesn't
have the "benefit" of having a dictionary.
"I already notice Woger seeing my everywhere" - Drunken fat bastard
Lardass never notices when he fucks up.
"Look, crackhead, I am NOT degenerates!" - Mongolloyd isn't very
literate, either.
"URASE" - Mongolloyd's empty skull never had to be "ERASED" it never
contained anything to begin with.
"I reading the board tonite" - Mongolloyd can only read comic books.
"REMIDER" - Lardass never has to leave a "reminder" of his many fuck
ups.
"jsut" - Mongolloyd is "just" a mentally ill retard.
"Im" - "I'm" sure Lardass isn't getting any pussy.
"theere" - Mongolloyd isn't all "there".

"Activatiuons" - Lardass Mongolloyd always has his stupidity
"activations" ready to show themselves.
"steganographic" - Mongolloyd takes his "stenographic" duties as a
pizza order taker seriously.
Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp!
"dogy" - Mongolloyd likes it "doggy" style. Receiving, it, that is.
"Tonigjht" - Mongolloyd will be on here "tonight" because he can never
get a woman.
"1987 rqadio shack reciever" - Mongolloyd the cheapass makes good
spell!
"neber" - Mongolloyd will "NEVER" get laid!
"yourslelf" - Go fuck "yourself", Mongolloyd!
"You must really hate be because" - Lardassed Mongolloyd really hates
the English language, too!
"Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp again!
"Roger Wiseman better know as the" - Mongolloyd, better "known" as the
eternal virgin and mentally ill retard.
"Secwet" - Mongolloyd is queer and proud.
"turd in the punch bowel." - Mongolloyd knows about bowels, not
"bowls". Mongolloyd eats on the floor and in bowel cavities.
"he was refused by the Air Force in 1978" - Mongolloyd, how does one
get "refused" by any military? Especially when he was honorably
discharged a year earlier?
"was sent for a 90 observation period " Dumbfucking fuckhead, what is a
90 observation period???? BWHAHAHAHAHA
"shoudl" - Mongolloyd "should" really shut the fuck up.
"Here is" - Here "are" some more examples why Lardass is a fucking
imbecile.
"Woger" - Lardass is here and QUEER!
"Goddman that Lloyd is sexy!" - But that Mongolloyd is so sexy he is
still a VIRGIN. BWHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
"laugheds" - Mongolloyd was always "laughed" at.
"I have off this newsgroup for over 2 years!" - Mongolloyd should "off"
himself, instead of "being off".
"I have my own internet Talk show, what can be said about your useless
self." - Mongolloyd has no listeners or anything useful to say. He's so
"useless,"
"that's what can be said" about him.
"You are very useless on here, jon" - While Mongolloyd Davies is
useless on and offline.
"Even used up toilet paper has a use, you sure dont!" - Most people
flush "used up toilet paper, " Mongolloyd eats used up toilet paper,
"don't" he?
"I'll shot to kill" - Lardass, you couldn't handle a cap gun.
"Re,omder" - Lardass always leaves us a "reminder" that he is as smart
as a rock.

"resuce" - Mongolloyd can't "rescue" himself from his own stupidity!

"maocked" - Mongolloyd fucks up again, no wonder he is "mocked" for his
stupidity!!!

"tipe" - If Mongolloyd the Lardass would pull his malformed head out of his ass, he could "type" correctly.

"pregant" - With his looks, Lardass Davies never has to worry about getting a girl "pregnant."
"when thet saw" - And when "they" saw Lardass' whimpering, they knew he was a big fucking PUSSY.
"Mayeb" - "Maybe" somebody will feel sorry for you and give you a charity fuck, but it's doubtful, Mongolloyd!
"tmorrow" - Mongolloyd will never get a woman, "tomorrow" or any other day.
"Palastine" - Mongolloyd couldn't find "Palestine" on a fucking map or anyplace else.
"Woger the notsowiseman" - Mongolloyd the eternal idiot fucks up and shows off his cocksucking lisp again.
"I hope kills himself" - Mongolloyd wishes he had killed himself.
"agruement." - Mongolloyd is a fucking imbecile, no "argument."
"You're posts" - BWHAHAHAHAHA.."your" fucking stupidity stands out as well, you fucking idiotic fat fuck.
"Globin Woger" - Mongolloyd the 5'6 280 pound garden gnome makes good spell and IKYABWAI.
"Go aheah" - Mongolloyd never can get "ahead" of his stupidity.
"ssomething" - Mongolloyd "ssure" (his spelling) did fuck up again!
"pictures show email them" - Lardass "should" know better then to "show" his stupidity.
"mor" - Mongolloyd gets "more" stupid by the minute.
"celbrating" - Mongolloyd is "celebrating" his stupidity.
"palgues" - Lardass Davies is a "plague" that needs exterminated.
"have the nerver" - Lardassed Mongolloyd "has the nerve" to criticize others.
"Golen" - Mongolloyd's fuckups are "golden" ammunition against the dumb fat fuck.
"posts my closet" - Lardass "by" default fucks up again.
"Froce" - Mongolloyd tires to spell "force", what his drunk daddy did with his dick on Mongolloyd's asshole.
"AUTHROIXATION" - Mongolloyd Davies never needs "auhorization" to be idiotic.
"morrse" - Lardass can't pass 5 wpm "Morse" code.
"netwerk" - Mongolloyd is part of the idiot "network."
"characture" - Mongolloyd is a "character"..a villiage idiot.
"Learn to spelll" - Lardass fucks up again!
"describes to the T" - Mongolloyd is an IDIOT, that "describes him to a T."
"a peace of me" - We take a "piece" out of Lardass' ample ass on a daily basis.
"bus fair" - Lardass can't pay his own bills on time , much less anybody's "bus fare."
"waht" - "What" do you know, Lardass fucks up again!
"to pay for you" - Lardass you can't "pay for your" own expenses.
"HELLUVA ALOT" - Lardass' English skills are not "a Hell of a Lot" of help to him.

"mentionm" - Lardass never wants us to "mention" or point out his numerous fuck ups.
"your are" - Mongolloyd, "you are" one of the stupidest assholes on the internet.
"alone may to much " - Lardass's sentence construction "alone MAY be too much" to his tiny brain to handle!

You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

"Woger make false bad spell" - Lardass "makes" and ASS of himself, again.

""Mongolloyd". Isn't even word," - BWHAHAHAHA Mongolloyd is English-impaired.
"mentaly illed" - Mongolloyd knows all about being "mentally ill."

"Woger" "femine" - Mongolloyd shows his "feminine" side when he lusts for "Roger's" cock.
"Wheer" - Mongolloyd always wonders "where" his brain is.
"scooterr" - Mongolloyd never could have a "scooter" his fatass was too heavy for one, even if they had semi-truck shocks and springs.
"stal" - Mongolloyd's "brain" is permanently on "stall".
"aymore" - Mongolloyd never tries, he just whines "anymore".
"talkjing" - Lardass never has any women "talking" to him.
"cant" - Mongolloyd "can't" use correct punctuation.
"im" - Mongolloyd, "I'm" sure you will die a virgin, you dumbass!
"i" - Lardass, "I" know you are a fat whining cunt.
"may as wel" - Mongolloyd "may as well" kill himself.
"lefty alone" - Lardass masturbates with which hand, "his "left alone."
"NIM Busters has run its coarse" - Of "course," Mongolloyd fucks up his sentence!
"Wlardass" - "WMongolloyd" "wfucks" "wup" "Wagain"....

"Wheating" - Lardass has "cheated" on everyhting he's every tried to do, since he's so incompetent and inferior, and he still fucks up.

"Wabbi Woger" - Mongolloyd thinks any dick is a good dick to suck.
"Woger" - Mongolloyd's been sucking dicks again
"others" - Mongolloyd can't fathom "others'" hatred for his useless fat ass!

"You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
"imput" - Mongolloyd's brain has no "input"! Mongolloyd one stupid fat faggot.
"Hundred's" - Mongolloyd couldn't count to one much less "hundreds"
"dopesnt" - Mongolloyd "doesn't" have a command of the English language!
"Wait till" - Mongolloyd we will "wait until" you compose a coherent

sentence, but it will be a long wait.
"We wn't" - Lardass Davies, you should fuck off with your stupidity so "we won't" see it ever again.
"Woger is now awoke" - Mongolloyd is never "awake."
"is too stupid to under awoke" - oh the irony, Mongolloyd!
"I shits my pants" - Mongoolloyd sure does and eats it, too!
"treasing" - Mongolloyd likes "teasing" nigger assholes with his syphilitic tongue.
"It's being TOS even" -Mongolloyd the only thing you TOS is your tiny dick!
"peoples" - Mongolloyd always steals other "people's" lines!
"I really wish Steve wasn't suck a pussy and would come back to this board" - Mongolloyd makes good fucked up sentence! Mongolloyd made a Freudian slip when he used "suck" instead of "such".
"I think it is long since past time when you closed this shitty board!" -Mongolloyd smoke bad weed!
"wraped" - Mongolloyd has frequently "wrapped" his syphilitic tongue around a nigger's asshole!

Mongolloyd make good spells!

4817. Re: 2005 DOUCHE AWARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 20:39:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114029550.899409.174860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106333

there is a correnction number three should be KC8GXW not KC8GWX

4818. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 20:50:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114030204.146656.272670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106334

From: "bb" on Tues,Apr 19 2005 6:06 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "cl" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 11:33 pm
>>
>> >Eh - I had the code down in 2 weeks for the Novice exam. AND I'm
now an
>> >Extra. Been licensed since the early 80s.
>> >Yeah, I probably could have learned it in under a week, if I pushed
myself.
>> >Most anyone will tell you - it isn't good to do such.
>>
>> Sorry, according to many in here you have to approach it as
>> THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IN YOUR LIFE!!! :-)
>
>I've heard that, too.

Everybody wants to be Coach!! [I rode First Class...]

>> >Besides, at that time,
>> >I was chasing rug rats - so study time was premium.
>>
>> Excuses, excuses, excuses! :-)
>
>I've heard that, too.

Perhaps there was a lack of a medical certificate
presented to the VEs at the test showing a sufficient
sperm count to demonstrate "manhood." :-)

>> >Most recommendations are
>> >15 minutes to a half hour a day. That hardly makes it possible in a
week. I
>> >used the words " "AT LEAST" 2 WEEKS". Some are faster learners
than others,
>> >that is a given. BUT my point was, you have to get started to learn
>> >ANYTHING. You can't absorb it through osmosis. Back to the timing
thing, I
>> >hope someone from the military can step in to tell us how much time
they
>> >were given to get the code down. I think they had to "Cram".
>>
>> "Caveat," I was in the military, the United States Army,
>> voluntary enlistment beginning 13 March 1952. Went from
>> Basic to Signal School at Fort Monmouth, NJ. Amount of
>> Signal School time spent on morse code? ZERO! NO class,

>> NO "cramming."
>
>That can't be right. Why there's a war museum in Canada that has a
>code key...
>
>Hi, hi!

Mythology seems to be graven in stone images for some of
the morsemen zealots.

>> At that time the ONLY military occupation specialty
>> in the Army requiring morsemanship was Field Radio.
>
>Just like Field Day, I'll bet.

A picnic in da park it wasn't. Big HUT on the bed of
a deuce and a half, towing a PE-95 motor generator on a
trailer. Enough poles and wire under the single operator
bench (a low cabinet with "cushions" on it) to make a
small wire rhombic antenna. Smelly Model 19 TTY
clattering away on the bench-desk and the venerable
BC-610 400 Watt transmitter near the door. A couple
fans to "cool" everything so it was miserable in the
heat of summer and uncomformtable in winter. "5-packs"
of canned/dry rations instead of hot dogs and soda.
Nobody "kept score" in any competition...other than the
competition of not being destroyed (literally) by any
enemy. Field Radio circa 1950s, USA.

>> Field Radio then required passing 20 WPM, was taught
>> at Camp Gordon (later Fort Gordon, now the home of the
>> Signal Corps).
>
>Fort Gordon? Where was Fort Farnsworth?

Next to Camp Fessenden.

>> Drop-out rate was roughly a quarter of
>> all starting...that I know about. Those that didn't
>> make it, but had some apitude for electronics, got to
>> go to Inside Plant Telephone, Outside Plant Telephone,
>> Carrier, Teleprinter Operator, Field Wireman...or the
>> Infantry. :-)
>
>"Incoming!"

Well, infantry is better than adultery...

>> My Signal School classes taught Microwave Radio Relay
>> (at a time when there was little of such operational).
>> Radar was also taught at Fort Monmouth, had the same
>> basic electronics as Microwave. I got assigned to a
>> Fixed Station Transmitter site in Japan. Got all of

>> about a day's worth of on-site "training" to operate
>> one of three dozen HF transmitters having a minimum of
>> 1 KW output. NO MORSEMANSHIP NEEDED THERE.
>
>Not even to open and close circuits?

Nah...we were a close bunch but always open for suggestion.

>> NO MORSE
>> USED at the third-largest station in the Army Command
>> and Administrative Network.
>
>That's when the US Army started it's downward slide and people now
have
>to go to Canadian war museums to get "thier" morse code fixes.

I know. The "shame" of it all...

>> >Probably the same age bracket as me. I do listen to call signs now
and then
>> >on the scanner to pick out the services they represent - if I don't
>> >immediately know who the service is. I do listen some times to code
on
>> >the H.F. Bands.
>>
>> ...or what you think is morse. :-) There's very LITTLE
>> morse code on HF nowadays...EXCEPT inside the ham bands.

With the RF Gain on maximum and AGC disabled, BFO on,
one will eventually start "hearing morse code" on "the
bands." :-)

The discordant thrumming-whistling of old commercial muliti-
channel SSB is less now than it was a quarter century ago.
All kinds of OTHER weird sounds ARE there, but those are
various forms of data that very few hams use (or can use)
and ON HF but NOT in the ham bands. Once in a rare while
one might catch an ALE burst from one of the 2500 gubmint
radios of SHARES.

>> >>> There are many things you learn in life and may never use
>> >>> again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy.
>>
>> Tell that to Ken Jennings! :-)
>
>That guy could probably copy psk31. He's a machine.

Nah. He's just an ordinary programmer, a regular
young guy, a Mormon. He just happens to have
gunfighter reflexes in his brain...and about $2.5
million extra now. :-)

Jeopardy is now coming up on the FINALS in a sort of mental championship on ABC-TV. Fun programming to watch...and try to match wits with the various contestants and their amazing memories. My wife and I are regular viewers after supper...with a bit of friendly competition between us and the contestants.

Meanwhile, the cardinals are gathering in Newington to elect a new poop to lead the morsemen into the righteous path of the true hamreligion...via the "history" of radio as only they have sterilized it.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4819. Re: Hams with hearing loss (Was: Morse gone by summer??? No.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 20:52:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <aiz9e.10650$go4.3626@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Ed Jay" <Medbj@aes-intl.com> wrote in message
news:ag6d615f5jd8hl3s61fu5rsg1p282iivdd@4ax.com...
> "Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:Ae-dnQRSAfbn6PnfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
>>>
>>> "Mike Andrews" <mikea@mikea.ath.cx> wrote in message
>>> news:d40mvssed2@puck.litech.org...
>>> > In <8ZydnQhpGLNvrv7fRVn-vw@gbronline.com> (rec.radio.amateur.misc),
>>> > Cmd
>>> > Buzz Corey wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> I used to teach Novice classes, and I always assumed that anyone
>>> >> could
>>> >> learn the code if they really wanted to. I found that some people had
>>> >> difficulty telling the difference between a dit from a dah unless it
>>was
>>> >> sent very slowy and the dah made a lot longer than the dit, but when
>>> >> sending a character that contained several dits or dahs or
>>combinations,
>>> >> they simply could not tell one from the other. It wasn't that they
>>> >> lacked the skill to learn the code, I could right out characters in
>>dits
>>> >> and dahs on the board and they could recoginize them, it was an
>>> >> interpertation problem with the brain of telling the sound of a dit
>>from
>>> >> the sound of a dah. People with hearing aids often had a difficult
>>time.
>>> >
>>> > You hit that part right on the head. My XYL has a deep notch in her
>>> > hearing
>>> > response curve, from about 400 Hz to about 2 KHz, due to playing viola
>>in
>>> > a symphony orchestra for 15 years, sitting right in front of the brass
>>> > section[1]. She's having the very devil of a time with Morse, mostly
>>> > because she has problems distinguishing between dit and dah. She has
>>> > learned not to trust her ears, and now she's trying to learn to read
>>with
>>> > them. The deep notch right where most people tune to read CW and where
>>the
>>> > various tapes, CDs, and tutor programs all put the tone, also makes it

>>> > very
>>> > difficult for her.
>>> >
>>>
>>> Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of
>>> course
>>> you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the test
>>> to
>>> have one set up at that pitch for her testing.
>>>
>>> Or just make sure that you can turn the volume WAY up just like my ex
>>> had
>>to
>>> (70% hearing loss in each ear and constant ringing of the ears).
>>>
>>> > When she passes Element 1, I have to go learn American Sign Language
>>> > and
>>> > pass a proficiency test.
>>> >
>>>
>>> Sounds fair to me.
>>>
>>> > [1] I'll bet most people don't think much about hearing damage in
>>> > people
>>> > playing in symphony orchestras. It's fairly common.
>>> >
>>>
>>> Being an amateur musician, I've read quite a bit about it.
>>>
>>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>>
>>>
>>
>>I've recently read somewhere (if only I could remember where) of a totally
>>deaf ham
>>who operates cw. He was a no-coder until he became deaf. He uses a
>>homebrew
>>gizmo plugged into the headphone socket that flashes a light. Was allowed
>>to
>>use it for the test without any problems.
>>His only problem is that from around 15wpm the light does not come on and
>>go
>>out fast enough. IIRC his device uses standard tungsten filament bulb.
>>What
>>would be the best alternative, i.e. "switches" faster, LED or neon bulb?
>>The article had a schematic of the sound-to-light converter - I remember
>>it
>>could be adjusted to allow for signal strength, noise and variations in
>>output characteristics of different radios.
>>

>>I suppose someone reasonably competent in electronic design, not me :(,
>>could "re-invent" such a thing without raising a sweat.
>>
> An LED would seem the ideal solution.
>
> I also have a significant hearing disability. My hearing chart shows my
> hearing drops off at about 1.5 kHz and is down 40 dB at 10 kHz. CW
> solution is to use RIT shifted so I hear about a 500 Hz tone.
>
> Ed N6EJ
> (No 'M' in my email addy)

I have a homebrew electronic keyer that uses TTL devices. Years ago I added a simple led fed by a chip to drive that LED. I run some fast CW and the little LED keeps up just fine.

Dan/W4NTI

4820. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 20:54:48 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114030487.963854.11410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "bb" on Tues,Apr 19 2005 6:06 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "cl" on Sun,Apr 17 2005 11:33 pm
>>
>> >Eh - I had the code down in 2 weeks for the Novice exam. AND I'm
now an
>> >Extra. Been licensed since the early 80s.
>> >Yeah, I probably could have learned it in under a week, if I pushed
myself.
>> >Most anyone will tell you - it isn't good to do such.
>>
>> Sorry, according to many in here you have to approach it as
>> THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IN YOUR LIFE!!! :-)
>
>I've heard that, too.

Everybody wants to be Coach!! [I rode First Class...]

>> >Besides, at that time,
>> >I was chasing rug rats - so study time was premium.
>>
>> Excuses, excuses, excuses! :-)
>
>I've heard that, too.

Perhaps there was a lack of a medical certificate
presented to the VEs at the test showing a sufficient
sperm count to demonstrate "manhood." :-)

>> >Most recommendations are
>> >15 minutes to a half hour a day. That hardly makes it possible in a
week. I
>> >used the words " "AT LEAST" 2 WEEKS". Some are faster learners
than others,
>> >that is a given. BUT my point was, you have to get started to learn
>> >ANYTHING. You can't absorb it through osmosis. Back to the timing
thing, I
>> >hope someone from the military can step in to tell us how much time
they
>> >were given to get the code down. I think they had to "Cram".
>>
>> "Caveat," I was in the military, the United States Army,
>> voluntary enlistment beginning 13 March 1952. Went from
>> Basic to Signal School at Fort Monmouth, NJ. Amount of

>> Signal School time spent on morse code? ZERO! NO class,
>> NO "cramming."
>
>That can't be right. Why there's a war museum in Canada that has a
>code key...
>
>Hi, hi!

Mythology seems to be graven in stone images for some of
the morsemen zealots.

>> At that time the ONLY military occupation specialty
>> in the Army requiring morsemanship was Field Radio.
>
>Just like Field Day, I'll bet.

A picnic in da park it wasn't. Big HUT on the bed of
a deuce and a half, towing a PE-95 motor generator on a
trailer. Enough poles and wire under the single operator
bench (a low cabinet with "cushions" on it) to make a
small wire rhombic antenna. Smelly Model 19 TTY
clattering away on the bench-desk and the venerable
BC-610 400 Watt transmitter near the door. A couple
fans to "cool" everything so it was miserable in the
heat of summer and uncomformtable in winter. "5-packs"
of canned/dry rations instead of hot dogs and soda.
Nobody "kept score" in any competition...other than the
competition of not being destroyed (literally) by any
enemy. Field Radio circa 1950s, USA.

>> Field Radio then required passing 20 WPM, was taught
>> at Camp Gordon (later Fort Gordon, now the home of the
>> Signal Corps).
>
>Fort Gordon? Where was Fort Farnsworth?

Next to Camp Fessenden.

>> Drop-out rate was roughly a quarter of
>> all starting...that I know about. Those that didn't
>> make it, but had some apitude for electronics, got to
>> go to Inside Plant Telephone, Outside Plant Telephone,
>> Carrier, Teleprinter Operator, Field Wireman...or the
>> Infantry. :-)
>
>"Incoming!"

Well, infantry is better than adultery...

>> My Signal School classes taught Microwave Radio Relay
>> (at a time when there was little of such operational).
>> Radar was also taught at Fort Monmouth, had the same
>> basic electronics as Microwave. I got assigned to a

>> Fixed Station Transmitter site in Japan. Got all of
>> about a day's worth of on-site "training" to operate
>> one of three dozen HF transmitters having a minimum of
>> 1 KW output. NO MORSEMANSHIP NEEDED THERE.
>
>Not even to open and close circuits?

Nah...we were a close bunch but always open for suggestion.

>> NO MORSE
>> USED at the third-largest station in the Army Command
>> and Administrative Network.
>
>That's when the US Army started it's downward slide and people now
have
>to go to Canadian war museums to get "thier" morse code fixes.

I know. The "shame" of it all...

>> >Probably the same age bracket as me. I do listen to call signs now
and then
>> >on the scanner to pick out the services they represent - if I don't
>> >immediately know who the service is. I do listen some times to code
on
>> >the H.F. Bands.
>>
>> ...or what you think is morse. :-) There's very LITTLE
>> morse code on HF nowadays...EXCEPT inside the ham bands.

With the RF Gain on maximum and AGC disabled, BFO on,
one will eventually start "hearing morse code" on "the
bands." :-)

The discordant thrumming-whistling of old commercial muliti-
channel SSB is less now than it was a quarter century ago.
All kinds of OTHER weird sounds ARE there, but those are
various forms of data that very few hams use (or can use)
and ON HF but NOT in the ham bands. Once in a rare while
one might catch an ALE burst from one of the 2500 gubmint
radios of SHARES.

>> >>> There are many things you learn in life and may never use
>> >>> again, unless you plan to play on Jeopardy.
>>
>> Tell that to Ken Jennings! :-)
>
>That guy could probably copy psk31. He's a machine.

Nah. He's just an ordinary programmer, a regular
young guy, a Mormon. He just happens to have
gunfighter reflexes in his brain...and about $2.5
million extra now. :-)

Jeopardy is now coming up on the FINALS in a sort of mental championship on ABC-TV. Fun programming to watch...and try to match wits with the various contestants and their amazing memories. My wife and I are regular viewers after supper...with a bit of friendly competition between us and the contestants.

Meanwhile, the cardinals are gathering in Newington to elect a new poop to lead the morsemen into the righteous path of the true hamreligion...via the "history" of radio as only they have sterilized it.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4821. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 20:56:58 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114030618.002005.131160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "Phil Kane" on Tues,Apr 19 2005 12:15 pm

>On Tue, 19 Apr 2005 06:05:03 GMT, Michael A. Terrell wrote:
>
>> The radio station at Ft Greely, Ak was built in 1948 as the first
>>permanent "Armed Forces Radio Network" station. It was the first
site
>>built with commercial broadcast equipment instead of modified
military
>>gear used at some sites during WW II. The radio transmitter was a
gates
>>BC250 In the early '70s AFRTS claimed to be the only all tube
network
>>in the world.
>
> A former subordinate of mine at the FCC, Don Browne, was an EE and
> ROTC-trained AFRTS officer in the late 1960s and after his three
> years on active duty with the Signal Corps went Reserve and came to
> work for me. He spent several years at the field office and several
> more at headquarters. His reserve billet was abolished in an AFRTS
> reorganization (even though he was a MAJ) but when a vacancy on the
> civilian engineering staff of the AFRTS came up he transferred to
> that. He retired as the chief of engineering for AFRTS several
> years ago and still hangs around the broadcast business.

Wow. I'm in the presence of Nobility. I am humbled.

FWIW, AFRTS headquarters used to be just about a mile from my
house on a little jog of La Tuna Canyon Road, just before it
gets changed to Penrose. In some "economy move" of about 7
(or was it 6?) years ago, it was emptied out in Sun Valley,
CA, and all staff moved east about 40 miles to a
decommissioned USAF base somewhat close to Ontario, CA. The
old AFRTS buildings haven't been leased to anyone yet after
all this time (one can still read the name in smudges on the
exterior wall where the raised lettering was).

> AFRTS >>> IS NOT <<< Amateur Radio

It's >>SHOW BIZ<< !!!

AFRTS >>>> IS NOT <<<< COMMUNICATIONS per se. <shrug>

Did AFRS or AFRTS ever do morse code? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4822. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 20:58:05 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <psKdnSmmS_W3QvvfRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106349

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve, if that's the case and I find out I'm on some fucking list I'm
> not only going to sue your fucking ass but I'm going to sue that fat
> asshole Phil. Believe me you fucking piece of shit I fucking will. So
> you go tell that LYING fat fuck what I told you.
>

You need to grow up toddieboy!!

4823. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 21:00:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114030806.219124.224440@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "K□B" on Tues,Apr 19 2005 6:32 pm

>"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:1113960077.893423.286230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>> What was the wash-out rate?
>
>I don't have any statistics, but it was fairly small, probably on the
order of
>8-10%. Most washouts were for academic reasons. Very few failed
because of the
>code.

Riiiiiight. :-)

>> What happened to the wash-outs?
>
>They were transferred to the fleet, where most of them were
immediately snapped
>up by the Chief Radioman as undesignated strikers. Since we were
chronically
>short of operators, any training at all was an asset, and a "second
chance" is a
>great motivator.

Geez, yeah...times were TOUGH during the Second World War!

> Usually these turned out to be above average sailors.

Morsemen ALWAYS excell at anything they do. Just ask one.

>73, de Hans, K0HB
>Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

Dankie-shoe-in, 73, 88, and 103,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

ex-RA16408336, Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance
(MOS 281.6) SUPERVISOR (E-5), US ARMY.

4824. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 21:03:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114030997.092552.51680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106339

From: Paul W. Schleck on Tues,Apr 19 2005 12:38 pm

>In <1113879885.977835.280100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
LenAnderson@ieee.org writes:
>
>>From: Paul W. Schleck on Mon,Apr 18 2005 12:03 pm

>By taking things too literally, you have missed the point (and also
>further proving you have no sense of irony, humor, or
self-deprecation,
>all important examples of human emotional intelligence that, by the
way,
>could be detected by, oh, I don't know, a Turing Test maybe?).

OH, YES YES YES...A >>>FAILURE<<< ON THE HUMAN EMOTIONAL
INTELLIGENCE SCHLECK SCALE!!!!

Gotta love it. Judge Schleck comes out with some weird
character out of a dozen years ago, in another (UNNAMED)
venue SOMEWHERE on the usenet...and posts a lot of total
BULLSHIT that I am "similar!!!"

>I, of course, do not think that you are 100% Serdar Argic.

Riiiiight. [bullshit] I'm not even 0$ "close."

You might as well say "I'm just like 'Kasar Pane' or
'Balik Kavurmasi.'"

Why not? [it's Turkish] You can really do a snow job
on somebody with names like that.

> I'm happy to clarify that for you.

Stop sounding like a used car salesman or other pitchman.

> Rather, you act very much like Serdar Argic in
>many ways, and I thought the newsgroup readers would be amused by my
>pointing that out.

Riiiiight. You KNEW "Serdar Argic" and say "I'm NO Serdar
Argic..." :-)

Not to worry. I once met the REAL Arpad Somlyody.
[hope I spelled the name right after all these years]

> I figured that you would not be amused.

Whatever gave you THAT idea? :-)

> I didn't figure that you wouldn't understand why WE were amused.

Oy beggin' yer lardship's pardon...oy dint behave in
da presence of ya nobles wot got all yer WE-WEs itchy.

>Here's just a few examples that I could find in the few minutes that I
>searched in Google (try the query "wrong Len
>group:rec.radio.amateur.policy" at http://groups.google.com):

Tsk. Judge Schleck is carrying on like his real name
is Roy Bean and he be in the olde West and wanting to
hang anyone high...

So...have you queried (in just scant minutes) ANYONE ELSE'S
"mistakes" and evil, wicked, mean, and nasty comments
about ANYONE ELSE? Tsk. Haven't seen you in here for
months and suddenly you strap on them "six-shooters" and
go gunning for ONE individual...who "coincidentally" is
a pro not an amateur and who is against the morse test.

Uh-huh...you are "here" to nobly RIGHT WRONGS and do
some "avenging." [serving all you WE-WEs, no doubt]

"WE are not amused," you write. Have another piece of
cake, Marie...

- snip of alleged "offenses" committed before the
high court of the kangaroos -

>I characterize these as interpretations either because they are wrong
>(can be rebutted by facts), or are expressions of opinions (usually
>sweeping, black-and-white assumptions that can be counter-argued into
>many shades of gray).

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Judge Bean...er, Judge Judy...er, Judge Schleck.

Feel free to do a few "searches" on OTHERS who were here
BEFORE me as well as during. It will take you "only
minutes." :-)

>What I have seen is something like Israel/Palestine, or Serbia/Bosnia,
>where the conflict has been ratcheted up incrementally until it
becomes
>personal on both sides.

So...has your ratchet clicked up anything about me in regards
to those foreign places? Or did your ratchet get clogged with...
(I won't mention it).

> You have certainly done your share of
>escalation, spoiling for fights, and picking new fights.

Absolutely! But, one error...I haven't "picked new fights."
The old ones haven't ended yet as the walking wounded from
years ago in here are still suffering anquished pain and
demand retribution.

Tsk, tsk. Somebody calls me names and does the personal
insult bit and they get REPLIES. Oh, oh, oh, OH! One
CANNOT talk back to High Nobles of Amateurism!!!! No, no
nono NO! That just "isn't done!" :-)

> You remind me
>of the type of person that the police regularly drag out of barroom
>brawls, or that school principals separate on the elementary school
>playground, and usually with both sides screaming, "But HE started
>it!!!!"

Poor baby. YOU remind me of some haughty intellectual who
got his academic robes twisted when someone from the real
world (the one outside the campus) pointed out some really
real things.

> At that point, it doesn't matter who started it, because you
>have what are called "unclean hands," and thus garner no sympathy from
>me, or others.

Whoooooeeee. The caste system has been cast in concrete,
I see! [is there a "caste-ing couch" in here too?]

Tsk, tsk, tsk. I've been doing computer-modem communication
for almost 20 years and learned LONG ago NOT to "look for
love, respect, or admiration" via this medium. Don't start
with that bullshit "looking for sympathy" thing...doesn't
work with grown-ups, boy.

Case in point on hypocrisy: Look at what you wrote IN
CONTEXT. Seems like that is an exact depiction of what
you accuse me of doing! :-)

>Your spoiling for a fight caused you to lash out at Jim, N2EY, in a
>situation where you were not directly attacked, your basic arguments
>about Morse Code were not explicitly contradicted, and you chose to
>reply (post #2, in response to his post #1 in the thread) in a manner
>that was completely off-topic and ad-hominem.

In other words, my manner got YOU all pissed off. :-)

So...Miccolis (who never served) GETS A PASS because he
"is allowed" to previously act in the manner you described?
[do a search etc., will take you only minutes or something]

Not a problem. Your reaction was expected.

Say nasty to traditional methods, sass the WE-WEs and
one will be hung from the nearest J-pole. :-)

Meanwhile, all the NON-serving "historians" can strut
around as "experts" in a parody of a Monty Python
lumberjack. :-)

> I might have even given
>you a pass (by not replying) if you had argued something like, "Well,
I
>don't think that Morse Code deserves such architectural worship in a
>World War II exhibit by implying that it has the same status as, say,
>Egyptian Hieroglyphics in an exhibit about Egyptology." Instead, you
>ripped into Jim with an accusation that he didn't have military
service
>(an off-topic, ad-hominem attack that could only be replied with,
>"Yes/No/Maybe/So What?"), then tried to drag him into some silly
>argument over whether Morse Code could be an "interesting
architectural
>feature." That's when I dropped in on the thread.

In fewer words, you got pissed off!

Everone gotta be nice-nice to da extras and morsemen.
They RULE ham radio. Uh-huh. Got the picture. Got
whole billboards, posters, and regular ads to that
effect. Yawn. Been that way for years. Try to say
something new.

>Jim and I have disagreed on many things, but I don't like to see a
>fellow ham attacked in that way.

"Brothers in arms." :-)

> As you can see, the ham community will
>close ranks and will defend each other vigorously if that happens.

"As one." "An Army of ONE." "All united."

Tsk. Usual stuff. Each individual thinks ALL hams think
just like them. Not quite. :-)

>That's not a threat, merely a pragmatic observation that you are not
>winning friends or influencing people here.

AWWWWWW...."really?" :-) :-) :-)

I've never come in here to "win friends." Got them already,
NOT on-line or by "working contacts" on a raddio.

You are falling into a common personal trap in doing this
computer-modem comms thing...that of thinking you are "one
to one" with however you are messaging to in public. NOT
so. You can't see all the (silent) readers who never post.

I'm NEVER going to "convince" Morseman Miccolis of anything
and have never hoped to. He is of the type that just can't
be swayed from his set-in-concrete and armor-plated godlike
stubbornness about the efficacy of morsemanship. Neither
can I convince any of the other CLOSED minds who have been
thoroughly brainwashed into some weird groupthink. They
toss out their arrogant stuff by the bushels full on a

regular basis (sounding like Newington-south all the time)
and us little "we" are supposed to sit quietly by and say
"yes, sir, no, sir, can we have more, sir?"

Not likely, Judge Schleck. Bang your gavel all you want,
but there are MANY out here and there who DO NOT agree
with old ways, old traditions, forever keeping some
antiquated artificial standards operative until the end
of time. There's lots of us independent thinkers out
here and there and a few of us don't really give a shnit
about saying what's on our minds. [I know that's tough
to live with but it IS a fact of life and it's growing]

So, "close your ranks." Do close-order drill in formation
if you want (the morse beat will be the music you need to
keep in step?). Fly flags, have the post band play loud.
Vigilantes can even try to burn an ARRL diamond on my
front lawn. :-) Your "ranks" in that particular
"community" is having more and more deserters. Formations
are out of step more often than not. Hobby activities'
members don't all particularly care to be in some para-
military "service." Get used to it.

> Whatever merit you may have
>originally had is now completely wasted, and falls on deaf ears.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. [add overtones of "snide" to your being
pissed off]

>What a silly question. Of course, I have examined the record, that's
>why I have come to the conclusions that I have.

[aren't you rather underestimating the degree to which
you were pissed off? :-)]

>yourself. Not even John Updike or James Michener could generate that
>much output and still be original.

Thank you for putting me in with some literary best-sellers!

I wouldn't mind it if you put me in with J. D. Robb... :-)

> I used the principal address you
>used over the years. If I threw in your recent switch to
>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" (probably due to AOL shutting down news
service),
>and any other address you used earlier (you previously said you have
>been posting to the newsgroups for about 7 years), I'm sure the
>statistics would be even higher.

"Billions and billions served!" :-)

A saga worthy of a Sagan.

Consider it an astronomical number since I've been doing this computer-modem thingy for nearly 20 years and have a little experience at being a BBS co-sysop and a moderator on two other BBSs. Tsk, tsk, tsk. If you think some of these public egos are "large," you ought to see them in private! :-)

>Furthermore, most recent threads show you replying, and
>counter-replying, and counter-counter-replying, and ..., doing more than
>your share of contributing to run-on arguments. In this most recent
>thread, you have made about 21 out of the 141 posts to date, and will
>probably make many more. Do you really need the last word that badly?

No, now that you explain that others "DO deserve the last word!" :-)

Tsk. Am I to "keep to some (ordained) schedule" in here? Sunday afternoon I was speaking at Getty Center. Only posted a couple that day. Monday the Getty is closed and I didn't have any other things needed to do...posted several things. Tuesday it's "back to the usual" and I wasn't here. <shrug>

You really need to POST A ROSTER of who is PERMITTED and who is NOT PERMITTED to post! :-)

"Close ranks" ALL the way. As I've suggested before, just make access restricted to those who can "show papers" AND demonstrate a Group Mindset "worthy" of being in the newsgroup. NO major dissention allowed. Lock out any "undesireables." No problems. All make nice-nice and chant traditional mantra.

>The following response of yours exhibiting your obvious persecution
>complex is a perfect example of your overwrought replies:

Wow! "OVERWROUGHT!!!" Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-)

>The following response of yours about the "Turing Test" joke is a perfect
>example of your overwrought replies:

Tsk. Some of us "artificial intelligences" get SO "overwrought."

Remember what ol' Sam Morse tapped out, "What hath God overwrought?" :-)

>Are you homosexual, Len?

Call me a "lesbian." I prefer women. :-)

> Don't get me wrong, I'm not judging you if so
>("Not that there's anything wrong with that," as Seinfeld would say).

Perish the thought, JUDGE Schleck would NEVER "accuse"
anyone!!!" No, no, no, no NO...

>Speaking of tests, you didn't answer my challenge that you guess my
>positions on Morse Code.

I really don't give a flying fig about your "position." :-)

I've never HAD to use any morse code in my whole career
IN radio (a mere 52 years now)...which includes, but is
not limited to REAL HF communications. Anyone who says
I "MUST" take some federal test in order to be ON HF as
an amateur tends to get the Flying Fickle Finger of Fate
awarded in return. :-)

> Either you don't know, or you realize that
>arguing with me isn't about Morse Code, it's about your desire to
fight
>just for the sake of fighting, whether people could conceivably agree
>with you or not.

Tsk. It's also about my getting you all pissed off. :-)

That happens a lot all over the Internet.

Have a nice day...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4825. Re: 2005 DOUCHE AWARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 21:09:21 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <bISdndwuQ49qfPvfRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106402

N9OGL wrote:
> there is a correnction number three should be KC8GXW not KC8GWX
>

What is a 'correnction'?

4826. Re: This Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 21:19:09 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050420211909.9DE6A17107@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <yvudnW75rKho_jcRn-rQ@gelded.not>
Secret Lardass "yojimbo" <thom...@snotmail.net> wrote:

> Hey Wog <Lardass projecting his visit from Oris and losing
again>

This was also posted to usenet newsgroups:

http://www.network54.com/Forum/message?forumid=324004&messageid=
1103856160

This was e-mailed to me this morning by Oris Grim an old friend.

I happened to be traveling through Huntsville, Alabama early
this morning, I deceided to stop in Athens and see just how
tough Lloyd Davies was going to act when he saw me in person.

Many of you know that a few years ago, Lloyd got smart with
several people in the Deja Newsgroup (now Google) and I issued a
challenge to him. I told him I would be attending the Dalton,
Ga. Hamfest if he wanted to continue the conversation. He said
he would be there and he was going to kick my ass.

Well, Lloyd was a no-show and continued the verbal assault on
the newsgroups. He always talks tough behind his keyboard. And,
I understand he is now doing the same sort of thing on the NIM
Busters Board.

Well, about 8.35 this morning (December 23, 2004) I located his
apartment in a nasty looking section of town. I'm a pretty good
sized man, but I put my gun in my back waistband after exiting
the car. I located his apartment and knocked on the door. The
doorbell was missing and bare wires were sticking out of the
wall. I heard someone say I'm coming and shortly the door opened
with a safety chain lock still engaged. He asked what I wanted
and I introduced myself and asked if we could have a
conversation. He turned white as a sheet and attempted to slam
the door, but I already had a foot wedged in the opening. He
started shaking uncontrollably and was shouting leave me alone,
leave me alone! I told him he didn't seem so tough now face to
face and then noticed a putrid odor of urine and he was pissing
his pants and crying like a baby. Please leave me alone Oris he
said, I was just having fun and meant no harm, please leave,
please. I could see the filthy floor of this apartment and the
dirty floors and the stench of a grown man pissing on himself

were almost enough to make me want to vomit, and I don't have a queasy stomach. Upon telling him that this time he would escape a ass kicking and not to ever get a smart mouth with me again and a verbal yes from him, I departed. That apartment complex looked like a crackhouse, niggers everywhere, filthy grounds and stairwells. Junk cars everywhere and garbage overflowing the garbage cans.

This Lloyd is nothing more than white trash that delivers pizzas and could afford to take a trip out of town if the ticket cost $5.00.

I'm sending this to a friend who despises Lloyd Davies and he wanted to post it for everyone to see just what kind of chickenshit asshole this Davies really is.

Oris Grim

Posted on Dec 23, 2004, 9:42 PM

Poor Lardass Davies, he fucked up again!

4827. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 22:00:05 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <0b40a999d336a6a30ce50bd2997d52b8@dizum.com>
Source record: 106341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <vudnW7l5rKho_jcRn-rQ@gelded.not>
"yojimbo" <thom...@snotmail.net> wrote:
>
> On Wed, 20 Apr 2005 15:04:10 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger wrote:
> > In article
> > <1113993352.595104.276...@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> > "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > It doesn't have any.
> >
> > Oh, the irony. Somebody who hides under "Mr. Obvious" casting
> > aspersions on others.
> >
> > > Further, its sole existence seems to be geared towards
> > harrassment of
> > > others via computer newsgroups.
> >
> > Says a moron who can't spell "it's"........
>
> Says a moron who miscorrects the correct spelling of "its."

Says the moron who still fucks up and leaves out the apostrophe.
Hi Lardass!

>
> Hint: <excuses>

Hint: You always fuck up like that, Davies.
It's because you flunked out of high school.

4828. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 22:17:29 UTC
From: I Am Not Roger <MeNoJew@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d46ke4$p0g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> > >
> > > > Further, its sole existence seems to be geared towards
> > > harrassment of
> > > > > others via computer newsgroups.
> > >
> > > Says a moron who can't spell "it's"........
> >
> > Says a moron who miscorrects the correct spelling of "its."
>
> Says the moron who still fucks up and leaves out the apostrophe and
> thinks that is correct. Hi Lardass Davies!

Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHA! Woger makes more mistakes on top of other
mistake. Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

You can almost see the greasy strands of Roger's hair getting in his eyes as
he types furiously away, spouting his same old filth while pretending to be
somebody else.

Yes, the poor Social Outcast is at it again....

4829. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 22:25:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114035936.336756.234320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> In article
> <1113993352.595104.276090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > It doesn't have any.
>
> Oh, the irony. Somebody who hides under "Mr. Obvious" casting
> aspersions on others.

Doesn't matter WHAT he's hiding under. He's right.

You didn't answer the questions, confirming my conclusion that
"cypherpunks" is nothing BUT a punk.

C-YA, Anonymous LYING Coward!

Steve, K4YZ

4830. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 22:36:00 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <97ydnVexdZ_8RPvfRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 106344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113958126.278984.82670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of
> course
>> you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the
> test to
>> have one set up at that pitch for her testing.
>
> Dee, not everyone has a ham-husband to tell them all of the
> modifications that the VE may make to an examination
>

Class instructors should also pass this info to their students if they are any good at being instructors. Of course there are people who choose to "go it alone" in becoming hams, but the study guides do mention that accomodations are possible.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4831. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 22:39:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114036788.692984.129140@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106345

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Paul W. Schleck on Tues,Apr 19 2005 12:38 pm

> Oy beggin' yer lardship's pardon...(SNIP)

> Tsk. Judge Schleck is carrying on like his real name
> is Roy Bean and he be in the olde West and wanting to
> hang anyone high...(SNIP)

As usual, someone made the mistake of thinking they could engage Lennie in meaningful, adult "debate".

Lennie couldn't stand a toe-to-toe "debate", so he starts in on the cute "endearments" and making analogies that are no where near the mark.

And Lennie wonders why I call him a "putz" and no one renders to him the honors he feels he is due.

Thanks, Lennie. I never have to wait long for YOU to provide me with even MORE proof of all my assertions about you.

But don't worry...You've impressed Todd!

Steve, K4YZ

4832. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 22:46:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jZA9e.11355$IP1.140@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1114030806.219124.224440@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> Geez, yeah...times were TOUGH during the Second World War!

I'll take your word for it, Old Timer. My experience ran from the late 50's to
the early 80's.

> ex-RA16408336, Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance
> (MOS 281.6) SUPERVISOR (E-5), US ARMY.

73, de Hans, K0HB
Master Chief Radioman (E-9), US Navy

4833. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 22:51:48 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <raudnXE_RbCIQPvfRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 106347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113957775.962923.89600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> cl wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1113788364.745073.206050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > cl wrote:
>>

[snip]

>> > I disagree. It took a great effort.
>>

What is a great effort? Many times it is a matter of perspective. In other words what we expect versus reality may make something seem like a "great effort."

>> For some - it may! One argument I've heard, is that those musically
> inclined
>> pick it up quicker than others, yet I knew some who "were" musically
>> inclined and claimed to have a hell of a time with it. Reason? I
> don't know.
>> I can't get inside their head.
>
> Steve can. He can even have them incarcerated with a simple phone
> calls.
>
>> >> The biggest problem with most is "laziness".
>> >
>> > Was that your problem? If you hadn't been so lazy you could have
>> > learned the code in under a week?
>>
>> Eh - I had the code down in 2 weeks for the Novice exam. AND I'm now
> an
>> Extra. Been licensed since the early 80s.
>> Yeah, I probably could have learned it in under a week, if I pushed
> myself.
>
> That wasn't my point. My point is that everyone is different, and the
> length of time it takes to learn 5WPM varies greatly. The time it
> takes to learn 20WPM could be lifetimes. Not everyone is even capable
> of 13WPM.

>

Check out the book "The Art and Skill of Radiotelegraphy." Basically anyone without a handicap can master 20wpm with the proper training tools if they are truly motivated to do so. Keep in mind that we all talk faster than that. We even talk faster than that when we spell out words phonetically!

>> Most anyone will tell you - it isn't good to do such. Besides, at
> that time,
>> I was chasing rug rats - so study time was premium.
>
> I've been told that is absolutely no excuse. Nothing in your personal
> or professional life can be more important than learning the code.
>

No one has ever said that. We each must choose our activities based on our personal priorities. But do not whine and cry to change the requirements simply because it's not high enough on your priority list to put some time into it. Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes per day, you don't have time to study the theory either.

> Most recommendations are
>> 15 minutes to a half hour a day. That hardly makes it possible in a
> week. I
>> used the words " "AT LEAST" 2 WEEKS". Some are faster learners than
> others,
>> that is a given. BUT my point was, you have to get started to learn
>> ANYTHING.

The book "Morse Code: The Essential Language" states that the AVERAGE person is going to need 30 hours of study and practice to get to 5wpm. Natural there are the "wunderkind" like my daughter who got it in a couple of weeks and there are others who need many months.

As you said, a person must get started to learn anything.

[snip]

>
> I don't dislike the code. It was difficult for me to make the few
> QSO's that I did make as a novice. I'd like to pick it up again
> someday.
>

The first ones are difficult for all of us. Like anything else it takes time to get good.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4834. Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 23:01:00 UTC
From: I Am Not Roger <MeNoJew@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d46mvmtis0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106348

What would people call you as they pointed and laughed if your life were to go downhill. Or, if you are already past that step, let's assess your value as a SOCIAL OUTCAST!

Well Wogie, here is your chance to do a little self assessment.

http://selectsmart.com/FREE/select.php?client=Outcast

4835. Questionnaire for AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 23:09:47 UTC
From: I Am Not Roger <MeNoJew@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d46ng5$ue4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106400
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Do you spend a lot of time home alone on Friday nights wondering why no one does anything? (5 points)

Do you look forward to posting in news groups as a chance to be part of the "gang?" (3 points)

Do you find that social outings often end with you being subjected to a savage beating or "wedgie?" (2 points)

Were you a member of the band in high school? (6 points)

Were you a mathlete? (4 points for RMS voltage)

Was your last date an invitation to the roller rink? (3 points)

Have you failed to be invited to parties held at your house? (7 points)

Have you begun to believe that "the freak is here" is a term of endearment? (4 points)

Does the stripper really like you? (4 points)

Does your "network" include Lloyd? (3 points)

Does a big Thursday night involve you and the cast of The Lavender Lads? (6 points)

(And will you bet five dollars that Roger plagiarizes this and claims it as his own?)

4836. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 23:10:52 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <f944fe2bc0ffdf30fcc1de5e054c9856@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article
<1114035936.336756.234320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> Doesn't matter WHAT he's hiding under. He's right.

Oh, then quit whining about "Anonymous cowards," hypocrite
Nursie.
If it doesn't matter for him, then it doesn't matter for me or
anybody else who uses anonimity. Or are you admitting you employ
a double standard, one to people that agree with you and another
standard to those that don't.
And Lardass couldn't be right in a million years, (frankly
neither could a proven liar like you)and your numerous typos
prove you don't know what the fuck you are talking about, either.

> You didn't answer the questions, confirming my conclusion
that
> "cypherpunks" is nothing BUT a punk.

Aww, poor Nursie. The big bad "anonymous" didn't address the
known newsgroup liar's abckpedals.
Get over it, Nursie. And quit employing double standards, it
only makes you look more foolish than you normally do.

> C-YA, Anonymous LYING Coward!

Sooner rather than later, Obese Nursie.

4837. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 23:15:17 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <0d7908914ccc79e3da03161516d032e4@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106403

In article <42667A5B.AD541F1E@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > Steve, if that's the case and I find out I'm on some f--king
list I'm
> > not only going to sue your f--king ass but I'm going to sue
that fat
> > asshole Phil.
>
> Sure, you will, Todd. ;-)

Just like you say you'll sue people, Nazi.

> > Believe me you f--king piece of s--t I f--king will.
>
> I don't believe you, Todd and I don't think there are many
here who
> believe you. You'll likely continue the same profane rants
you've been
> posting up to this point.

Have you whined to his local police chief yet, pussy boy Nazi?

> > So you go tell that LYING fat f--k what I told you.
>
> Haven't you just informed him through your post?

It's akin to your uninformitive posts, Nazi.

4838. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 23:15:58 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <G_2dnV1ZssBVYvvfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Michael Gardner wrote:
> In article <d41k95$pq0$0@pita.alt.net>,
> "manisheretostay" <Fan@manisheretostayho.net> wrote:
>
>
>>"John" <janorfolk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:1113870622.673082.39310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Sorry, folks, k1man.com is back up.
>>>
>>
>>
>>Much to chagrin of his detractors.
>
>
> oh, I don't know.... its such a cheezy web site - its a lot like taking
> a "kick me" sign on his back only you don't have to sneak up on him to
> do it.

Those stupid animated goofy graphics really make it cheezy. Looks like
it was done by some 13 year old.

4839. Re: 2005 DOUCHE AWARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 23:22:24 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <IJKdnatVmYOwefvfRVn-1w@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106404

N9OGL wrote:

> there is a correnction number three should be KC8GXW not KC8GWX

What the heck is a "correnction", Can't you reach over and tap mommy on the shoulder and ask her to spell the word for you?

You only corrected it after the damage was done and I pointed it out to you, you illiterate idiot!

4840. Re: The toad stepped in it this time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 23:27:24 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <IJKdnapVmYPEePvfRVn-1w@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106405

Toad, it's too late to change it now! He has seen it and so have many
others, sucks to be you, or should that be you suck!

KC8GXW wrote:

> Rand was notified via telephone that his name was posted on toady's
> blog and boy was he upset! He said his lawyer will contact the toad
> about defamation charges, you might think it's ok to say what ever you
> want to about anybody, and it's ok for you to censor but not for anybody
> to censor you. Well toad you have stepped over the line as far as free
> speech goes!
> See ya, wouldn't want to be ya!
>

4841. Re: Hams with hearing loss (Was: Morse gone by summer??? No.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 23:29:44 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cZ6dnSNppvrbevvfRVn-1A@comcast.com>
Source record: 106406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Roger Conroy" <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:d463e8$9sp$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
>

[snip]

>
> I've recently read somewhere (if only I could remember where) of a totally
> deaf ham
> who operates cw. He was a no-coder until he became deaf. He uses a
> homebrew
> gizmo plugged into the headphone socket that flashes a light. Was allowed
> to
> use it for the test without any problems.
> His only problem is that from around 15wpm the light does not come on and
> go
> out fast enough. IIRC his device uses standard tungsten filament bulb.
> What
> would be the best alternative, i.e. "switches" faster, LED or neon bulb?
> The article had a schematic of the sound-to-light converter - I remember
> it
> could be adjusted to allow for signal strength, noise and variations in
> output characteristics of different radios.
>
> I suppose someone reasonably competent in electronic design, not me :(,
> could "re-invent" such a thing without raising a sweat.
>
> 73
> Roger ZR3RC
>

It might not be possible to go any faster with a flashing light anyway as
"persistence of vision" kicks in somewhere around there and it would look
like a single long light anyway. That's how movies work.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4842. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 23:41:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2NB9e.9544$yq6.7071@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:raudnXE_RbCIQPvfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...

> We each must choose our activities based on our personal priorities.

Thank you very much, Captain Obvious.

> But do not whine and cry to change the requirements simply because it's not
> high enough on your priority list
> to put some time into it.

I wouldn't characterize it as "whine and cry" (unless I wanted to prejudice the
audience). Seems more like "this is my opinion on the matter".

> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> per day, you don't have time to study the theory either.

Is that kinda like when you told your child "if you haven't got room for more
green beans, then you don't have room for dessert either"

> As you said, a person must get started to learn anything.
> The first ones are difficult for all of us. Like anything else it takes time
> to get good.

"Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before. He is
full of murderous resentment of people who are ignorant
without having come by their ignorance the hard way."
---Bokonon in "Cat's Cradle"

"Actually, what is being discussed is freedom of choice of modes
in a hobby in a free society. There is absolutely nothing prohibiting
someone who wants to take full advantage of CW's many
advantages from becoming skillful in the mode."
--- CAM in RRAP

Sunuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB

4843. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-20 23:43:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZZednSa9O4W6dPvfRVn-2w@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Mike Coslo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> I've heard of some pretty wild times long before things were
>
> "dumbed
>
>>>down"!
>>>
>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>>
>>>Mike, I've been meaning to ask. Are you still sore at me for not
>>>giving your grief about the balloon project?
>>
>> HEH! Now you confused me Brian. But seriously, that you *didn't*
>
> give
>
>>me grief was duly noted!
>>
>> I've been stressing over
>>
>>>Steve's label of "antagonist" for not giving you grief for some
>
> time
>
>>>now. I'm such a terrible person. Hi!
>>
>> Ohh, you know how newsgroups are.....
>
>
> >>I<< know how they are, Mike!
>
> They're populated by people who don't have the intestinal
> fortitude to sign their names to their posts and they make up

> allegations to try and hide behind.

Now that you mention it, I have taken amazing amounts of guff because I am "stupid enough" to use my own name and callsign. I've only been doing that since, oh..... the early '90's.

And yet, there is no doubt that the more anonymous the poster, the more outrageous and profane the posts. Odd how the "smart" people seem to need to hide themselves. Big deal! Its sooo easy to be a big man when you hide who you are.

Hey, are you going to be at Dayton this year?

- Mike - KB3EIA -

4844. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:02:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <y4C9e.9644$An2.372@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:1114011943.243686.69870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

> I've heard some weird tales about how the Signal Corps
> used Draconian methods to quickly pound Morse into
> the heads of their WW2 radio ops.Stories about
>eight-hours-per-day seven days per weeks drills for 2-4
> weeks or some such, nasty punishments for those who
>"didn't get it", etc. Have you ever heard any of these tales?

I think the operative word is "tale" (civilian "legend"). I don't go back that
far, but seems to me a draftee kid who drew Signal Corps billet would recognize
a cushy job when he saw it and such "motiviation" wouldn't be needed.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4845. Re: Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:05:38 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114041938.421605.45260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106410

```
K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> > > K4YZ laps the field two months successional
> > >
> > > March rrap Top-10
> > >
> > > [# posts] Poster
> > >
> > > [304] K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
> > > [143] N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
> > > [87] Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > [86] bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
> > > [59] Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
> > > [51] Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
> > > [46] Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
> > > [42] N2EY <N2EY@AOL.COM>
> > > [32] robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
> > > [32] LenAnderson <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
> >
> > Heil finally bests me at something.
>
> "Finally"...?!?!?
>
>
BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, you've said that you never laugh like that. Lie #26.
```

4846. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:06:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <WYGdne1xKbE-c_vfRVn-gg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:raudnXE_RbCIQPvfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
>
>
>>We each must choose our activities based on our personal priorities.
>
>
> Thank you very much, Captain Obvious.
>
>
>>But do not whine and cry to change the requirements simply because it's not
>>high enough on your priority list
>>to put some time into it.
>
>
> I wouldn't characterize it as "whine and cry" (unless I wanted to prejudice the
> audience). Seems more like "this is my opinion on the matter".
>
>
>>Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
>>per day, you don't have time to study the theory either.
>
>
> Is that kinda like when you told your child "if you haven't got room for more
> green beans, then you don't have room for dessert either"
>
>
>>As you said, a person must get started to learn anything.
>>The first ones are difficult for all of us. Like anything else it takes time
>>to get good.
>
>
> "Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
> learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before. He is
> full of murderous resentment of people who are ignorant
> without having come by their ignorance the hard way."
> ---Bokonon in "Cat's Cradle"

Wow, talk about prejudicing the audience! This assumes that those of us
who support Morse testing simply do it because we had to. With my
problems with it, that would make me the meanest SOB in the valley.

Perhaps, just perhaps, some of us believe that it is a good idea simply because it is a good idea, a mode that cant be performed by picking up a mic and talking, or typing on a keyboard, and needs to be learned?

> "Actually, what is being discussed is freedom of choice of modes
> in a hobby in a free society. There is absolutely nothing prohibiting
> someone who wants to take full advantage of CW's many
> advantages from becoming skillful in the mode."
> --- CAM in RRAP

Extended to all modes? Get rid of those darn satellite mode questions on the tests! I personally have no use for that! For a strict appliance operator who is going to buy everything they use and have someone else install it? No one should have to do anything they don't want to do! Ditch all those unneeded questions.

> Sunuvagun!

Huzzanga!

- Mike KB3EIA -

4847. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:10:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114042246.188656.46170@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106412

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > Ok, Mister Wizard, answer me this question, where in the FCC
> rules
> > or
> > > a
> > > > FCC decision does it state that an information bulletin can not
> be
> > > > opinionated??? please quote it from rule or statement from the
> FCC.
> > > >
> > > > You say I'm in violation of federal law so please enlighten me
> > which
> > > > laws am I in violation of?
> > >
> > > Once you have tainted "information" with individual opinion,
it
> > is
> > > no longer information, but editorial.
> >
> > Tell it to Dan Rather.
>
> Oh...You mean the SMAE Dan Rather that's humuiated himself
lately
> by "going live" with "stories" that were unsubstantiated and patently
> false on the face of it?
>
> > > FCC rules and regulations allow for the transmission of
> bulletins
> > > of general interest to the Amateur service.
> > >
> > > Your "bulletins", made up of YOUR "opinion", do not meet the
> test
> > > of "general interest.
> >
> > Explain, with citations.
>
> No citation needed. Just spend a semester in a Freshman
> journalism class.

Nope. Too many have done just that to ill effect.

Were all of the ARRL bulletins wrt BPL free of opinion?

4848. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:21:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114042901.585046.57470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of
> >
> > course
> >
> >>you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the
> >
> > test to
> >
> >>have one set up at that pitch for her testing.
> >
> >
> > Dee, not everyone has a ham-husband to tell them all of the
> > modifications that the VE may make to an examination
>
> Brian, Dee is a VE.....

Steve is a VA.

> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Hey, are you going to Dayton this year? I have a batch of what
promises
> to be an awesome Red Ale which should be ready to drink about that
> time......

Which day(s) are you going? I work Saturday.

4849. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:21:55 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6MWdnXjMIqWpb_vfRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 106414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:2NB9e.9544$yq6.7071@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:raudnXE_RbCIQPvfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
>
>> We each must choose our activities based on our personal priorities.
>
> Thank you very much, Captain Obvious.
>
>> But do not whine and cry to change the requirements simply because it's
>> not high enough on your priority list
>> to put some time into it.
>
> I wouldn't characterize it as "whine and cry" (unless I wanted to
> prejudice the audience). Seems more like "this is my opinion on the
> matter".
>

It's still whining and crying no matter how you sugar coat it.

>> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
>> per day, you don't have time to study the theory either.
>
> Is that kinda like when you told your child "if you haven't got room for
> more green beans, then you don't have room for dessert either"
>

If you don't have room for good food then you don't have room for junk.
However I did not have to tell my children this because I never served
dessert unless there was company and sometimes not even then. I eat too
much junk during the day at work so try to avoid compounding the problem at
home.

>> As you said, a person must get started to learn anything.
>> The first ones are difficult for all of us. Like anything else it takes
>> time to get good.
>
> "Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
> learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before. He is
> full of murderous resentment of people who are ignorant
> without having come by their ignorance the hard way."
> ---Bokonon in "Cat's Cradle"
>

I only object to those who wish to change the rules without having the experience to judge for themselves whether they should be changed. You and I do not agree on the code test but I respect your opinion as you have experience in the field (but do not agree with it). When and if the majority of experienced hams say it should go, then I have no problem with that. So far that doesn't happen to be the majority opinion among the experienced hams.

> "Actually, what is being discussed is freedom of choice of modes
> in a hobby in a free society. There is absolutely nothing prohibiting
> someone who wants to take full advantage of CW's many
> advantages from becoming skillful in the mode."
> --- CAM in RRAP
>
> Sunuvagun!
>

Actually people who are not required to learn something at a basic level too often bypass the activity altogether because they perceive it to be harder than it is. Therein lies the loss. We will be left with only 25+wpm CW people on the bands in that mode and everyone else will be too intimidated to get on. Only the extremely motivated will even bother to try. We will lose the "casual" CW operator who ragchews at the 13wpm level or so.

I'd like to see people learn a much wider range of "basics" in life not just ham radio than they do now. For example, personally I believe that everyone should have a year of art class and a year of a musical instrument, among other things, to be well rounded. Yet we insist on eliminating more and more basics in all areas. How is a person to have any idea what they want to do with their life when they have not had an opportunity to gain some basic skill in a wide range of areas? Similarly how is a person to have any real idea as to whether they might like code and wish to pursue it if they do not have a minimal, basic skill level?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4850. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:28:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114043332.887222.253540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cl wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1113958126.278984.82670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> >> Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of
> > course
> >> you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the
> > test to
> >> have one set up at that pitch for her testing.
> >
> > Dee, not everyone has a ham-husband to tell them all of the
> > modifications that the VE may make to an examination
> >
>
> That's what you ask in here for! There are VEs in here, myself
included -
> who can give guidance to those who ask.
>
> cl

"Here" is all knowing.

Part 97 doesn't define Morse Code, but specifies that it is to be tested at 5WPM. Part 97 is silent on Farnsworth Code. Part 97 doesn't say that the VE's must accomodate variations in testing.

Why does a person have to ask RRAP when they should be able to read it in the governing regulations???

4851. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:30:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ouC9e.9660$An2.8001@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:WYGdne1xKbE-c_vfRVn-gg@adelphia.com...

>> "Actually, what is being discussed is freedom of choice of modes
>> in a hobby in a free society. There is absolutely nothing prohibiting
>> someone who wants to take full advantage of CW's many
>> advantages from becoming skillful in the mode."
>> --- CAM in RRAP
>
> Extended to all modes? Get rid of those darn satellite mode questions on the
> tests! I personally have no use for that!

Good point, and I agree. If we are going to have incredibly difficult satellite
questions on the Extra written exam, like the following verbatim example.....

Q: Which of the following types of communications may space stations transmit?

A. Automatic retransmission of signals from Earth stations and other space
stations
B. One-way communications
C. Telemetry consisting of specially coded messages
D. All of these choices are correct

.....then there ought to be similarly incredibly difficult CW questions on the
Extra written exam, like:

Q: Which of the following alphanumeric characters corresponds to the Morse sound
"di-dah"

A. A
B. B
C. C
D. All of these choices are correct

After all, fair is fair, and the CW mode ought to have test questions just like
all other modes. Thanks for pointing that out.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4852. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:35:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114043728.078042.37730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > > Sorry, according to many in here you have to approach it as
> > > > THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IN YOUR LIFE!!!
> > >
> > > Actually, Lennie, YOU are the only one making that assertion.
> >
> > Nope, in-between homosexual and pedophilia inuendo, you have made
> such
> > assertions. So that makes lie #25.
>
> Then here's yet another chance for you to prove yourself, Brain,
> and provide SOME sample of a post I have EVER made that asserts that
> ANYthing having to to with Amateur Radio must be approched as the
"MOST
> IMPORTANT THING" in ANYone's life.

Good thing you didn't deny the homosexual and pedophilia inuendo. That
would have made Lies #27 and #28.

> You said it exists. Let's see it.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Sorry, Steve, but I don't have to show you anything. BTW, you're up to
Lie #26 with the "Bwhahaha..." laugh that you claimed you don't use.

4853. Re: The Toad BANNED from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:37:19 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114043839.230794.199550@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106418

How do you figure?

His bio is still there and there are no "Banned" posts with his
callsign attached.

4854. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:37:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <HaqdnaSLraF8aPvfRVn-qQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:

> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>bb wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of
>>>
>>>course
>>>
>>>
>>>>you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the
>>>
>>>test to
>>>
>>>
>>>>have one set up at that pitch for her testing.
>>>
>>>
>>>Dee, not everyone has a ham-husband to tell them all of the
>>>modifications that the VE may make to an examination
>>
>> Brian, Dee is a VE.....
>
>
> Steve is a VA.
>
>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> Hey, are you going to Dayton this year? I have a batch of what
>
> promises
>
>>to be an awesome Red Ale which should be ready to drink about that
>>time......
>
>

> Which day(s) are you going? I work Saturday.
>
I should be there al three days.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4855. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:40:13 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114044013.874269.148790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Phil Kane" on Tues,Apr 19 2005 12:15 pm
>
> >On Tue, 19 Apr 2005 06:05:03 GMT, Michael A. Terrell wrote:
> >
> >> The radio station at Ft Greely, Ak was built in 1948 as the
first
> >>permanent "Armed Forces Radio Network" station. It was the first
> site
> >>built with commercial broadcast equipment instead of modified
> military
> >>gear used at some sites during WW II. The radio transmitter was a
> gates
> >>BC250 In the early '70s AFRTS claimed to be the only all tube
> network
> >>in the world.
> >
> > A former subordinate of mine at the FCC, Don Browne, was an EE and
> > ROTC-trained AFRTS officer in the late 1960s and after his three
> > years on active duty with the Signal Corps went Reserve and came
to
> > work for me. He spent several years at the field office and
several
> > more at headquarters. His reserve billet was abolished in an
AFRTS
> > reorganization (even though he was a MAJ) but when a vacancy on
the
> > civilian engineering staff of the AFRTS came up he transferred to
> > that. He retired as the chief of engineering for AFRTS several
> > years ago and still hangs around the broadcast business.
>
> Wow. I'm in the presence of Nobility. I am humbled.
>
> FWIW, AFRTS headquarters used to be just about a mile from my
> house on a little jog of La Tuna Canyon Road, just before it
> gets changed to Penrose. In some "economy move" of about 7
> (or was it 6?) years ago, it was emptied out in Sun Valley,
> CA, and all staff moved east about 40 miles to a
> decommissioned USAF base somewhat close to Ontario, CA. The
> old AFRTS buildings haven't been leased to anyone yet after
> all this time (one can still read the name in smudges on the
> exterior wall where the raised lettering was).
>

> > AFRTS >>> IS NOT <<< Amateur Radio
>
> It's >>SHOW BIZ<< !!!
>
> AFRTS >>>> IS NOT <<<< COMMUNICATIONS per se. <shrug>
>
> Did AFRS or AFRTS ever do morse code? :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

"Sorry Hans, AFRTS >>>IS<<< NOT Amateur Radio!"

Hi, hi!

4856. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:40:47 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050421004047.82C0F1712D@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106424

In article <d4655mnta0@pita.alt.net>
"I Am Not Roger" <MeNo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:
>
>
> "KC8GXW" <KC8...@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:39OdneQVGvqDxfvfRVn-ow@centurytel.net...
> Toady lives at home with his mommy and daddy, now just how
pathetic is
> that? Thirty Seven going on fifteen and still lives with his
mommy. I
> wonder if they have seen his blog yet? You paint a very sad
picture Toady.
>
>
> AB8MQ, Wogie Wussyman has a spare bedroom in his mommy's
hovel. Maybe Toadie
> can move in with Wogie. After all, they are already two peas
in the same pod
> and both are social outcasts.
> They ought to get along quite well.

Hi Dimmy, why don't you and your fat whore give him a home in
your trailer?

> Toad. Give Roger a call at 1-304-845-0109. He doesn't work so
he's home
> almost all the time.

Sorry, shit for brains, wrong again! Oh, and why don't you try
getting a new routine, Pee Wee!

> I hear West Virginia is very nice this time of year.....

Why don't you put him up in your tin can then? Then you can
bitchslap each other, because you really can't do much of
anything else.

4857. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:42:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aGC9e.9565$yq6.8540@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:6MWdnXjMIqWpb_vfRVn-ug@comcast.com...

> Actually people who are not required to learn something at a basic level too
> often bypass the activity altogether because they perceive it to be harder
> than it is. Therein lies the loss.

I don't buy that line of reasoning at all.

I was never "required" to learn to set up a "Lindy Rig", but saw other fishermen doing it and it looked like fun, so decided it must not be too difficult, so I learned how.

I was never "required" to learn to swim, but saw other kids doing it and it looked like fun, so decided it must not be too difficult, so I learned how.

I was never "required" to learn how to kiss a girl, but saw Clark Gable doing it, and it looked like great fun, so I decided it must not be too difficult, so I learned how.

I was never "required" to learn Morse Code, but heard it on the Zenith and was curious about those beeps and boops, so I learned how (a decade before I decided to be a ham).

I was never "required" to learn RTTY, but saw other hams doing it, and it looked interesting, so I decided it must not be too difficula, so I learned how.

You probably get the drift.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4858. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:48:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <T-Wdnb93DL7EZfvfRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:WYGdne1xKbE-c_vfRVn-gg@adelphia.com...
>
>
>>>"Actually, what is being discussed is freedom of choice of modes
>>>in a hobby in a free society. There is absolutely nothing prohibiting
>>>someone who wants to take full advantage of CW's many
>>>advantages from becoming skillful in the mode."
>>> --- CAM in RRAP
>>
>>Extended to all modes? Get rid of those darn satellite mode questions on the
>>tests! I personally have no use for that!
>
>
> Good point, and I agree. If we are going to have incredibly difficult satellite
> questions on the Extra written exam, like the following verbatim example.....
>
> Q: Which of the following types of communications may space stations transmit?
>
> A. Automatic retransmission of signals from Earth stations and other space
> stations
> B. One-way communications
> C. Telemetry consisting of specially coded messages
> D. All of these choices are correct
>
> .....then there ought to be similarly incredibly difficult CW questions on the
> Extra written exam, like:
>
> Q: Which of the following alphanumeric characters corresponds to the Morse sound
> "di-dah"
>
> A. A
> B. B
> C. C
> D. All of these choices are correct
>
> After all, fair is fair, and the CW mode ought to have test questions just like
> all other modes. Thanks for pointing that out.

I'll bet you like those schools where the students determine the
curriculum!

Especially important is that those who do not know the material MUST determine what the material is that they must learn. That is always the smartest way to do things. The students must educate the teachers.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4859. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:48:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114044523.960074.128950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> Meanwhile, the cardinals are gathering in Newington
> to elect a new poop to lead the morsemen into the
> righteous path of the true hamreligion...via the
> "history" of radio as only they have sterilized it.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I almost read my last QST. Not enough pretty girls selling "SOMEthing"
other than radios.

4860. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:53:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nQC9e.9568$yq6.2807@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:T-Wdnb93DL7EZfvfRVn-rg@adelphia.com...

>>>>"Actually, what is being discussed is freedom of choice of modes
>>>>in a hobby in a free society. There is absolutely nothing prohibiting
>>>>someone who wants to take full advantage of CW's many
>>>>advantages from becoming skillful in the mode."
>>>> --- CAM in RRAP
>>>
>>>Extended to all modes? Get rid of those darn satellite mode questions on the
>>>tests! I personally have no use for that!
>>
>>
>> Good point, and I agree. If we are going to have incredibly difficult
>> satellite questions on the Extra written exam, like the following verbatim
>> example.....
>>
>> Q: Which of the following types of communications may space stations
>> transmit?
>>
>> A. Automatic retransmission of signals from Earth stations and other space
>> stations
>> B. One-way communications
>> C. Telemetry consisting of specially coded messages
>> D. All of these choices are correct
>>
>> .....then there ought to be similarly incredibly difficult CW questions on
>> the Extra written exam, like:
>>
>> Q: Which of the following alphanumeric characters corresponds to the Morse
>> sound "di-dah"
>>
>> A. A
>> B. B
>> C. C
>> D. All of these choices are correct
>>
>> After all, fair is fair, and the CW mode ought to have test questions just
>> like all other modes. Thanks for pointing that out.
>
> I'll bet you like those schools where the students determine the curriculum!

Not at all Mike. You've persuaded me that there ought to be test questions for
Morse just like there are test questions for each other mode. We seem to be in

complete agreement on the matter.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4861. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 00:58:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114045094.298153.45110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1113957775.962923.89600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > cl wrote:
> >> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1113788364.745073.206050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > cl wrote:
> >>
>
>
> [snip]
>
> >> > I disagree. It took a great effort.
> >>
>
> What is a great effort? Many times it is a matter of perspective.
In other
> words what we expect versus reality may make something seem like a
"great
> effort."

It took a big bite out of my life when I should have been paying
attention to other things. But I wanted it, so...

> >> For some - it may! One argument I've heard, is that those
musically
> > inclined
> >> pick it up quicker than others, yet I knew some who "were"
musically
> >> inclined and claimed to have a hell of a time with it. Reason? I
> > don't know.
> >> I can't get inside their head.
> >
> > Steve can. He can even have them incarcerated with a simple phone
> > calls.
> >
> >> >> The biggest problem with most is "laziness".
> >> >
> >> > Was that your problem? If you hadn't been so lazy you could
have
> >> > learned the code in under a week?
> >>
```

> >> Eh - I had the code down in 2 weeks for the Novice exam. AND I'm
now
> > an
> >> Extra. Been licensed since the early 80s.
> >> Yeah, I probably could have learned it in under a week, if I
pushed
> > myself.
> >
> > That wasn't my point. My point is that everyone is different, and
the
> > length of time it takes to learn 5WPM varies greatly. The time it
> > takes to learn 20WPM could be lifetimes. Not everyone is even
capable
> > of 13WPM.
> >
>
> Check out the book "The Art and Skill of Radiotelegraphy." Basically
anyone
> without a handicap can master 20wpm with the proper training tools if
they
> are truly motivated to do so. Keep in mind that we all talk faster
than
> that. We even talk faster than that when we spell out words
phonetically!

When the ARRL advocates telepathy, I'll pay attention. Communication
at the speed of thought!

> >> Most anyone will tell you - it isn't good to do such. Besides, at
> > that time,
> >> I was chasing rug rats - so study time was premium.
> >
> > I've been told that is absolutely no excuse. Nothing in your
personal
> > or professional life can be more important than learning the code.
> >
>
> No one has ever said that.

You're wrong. Larry Roll said that. Many of our regulars either
backed him up or were silent.

4862. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 01:00:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <aaqdndgLMduqZvvfRVn-jA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106427

Mike Coslo wrote:

> bb wrote:
>
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>>
>>> bb wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> course
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> test to
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> have one set up at that pitch for her testing.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dee, not everyone has a ham-husband to tell them all of the
>>>> modifications that the VE may make to an examination
>>>
>>>
>>> Brian, Dee is a VE.....
>>
>>
>>
>> Steve is a VA.
>>
>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>> Hey, are you going to Dayton this year? I have a batch of what
>>

>>
>> promises
>>
>>> to be an awesome Red Ale which should be ready to drink about that
>>> time......
>>
>>
>>
>> Which day(s) are you going? I work Saturday.
>>
> I should be there al three days.

Brian, I just sampled a bottle, and it is awesome IMHO. It is a big beer, has an excellent head and is pretty well balanced between malt and hops. Good carbonation. It is a little darker than I expected. The alcohol content is a bit more than I expected, so it isn't a session beer, but more like an after dinner beer. Another month and it might be worth entering in a competition...... Yumm

- Mike KB3EIA -

4863. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 01:01:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VXC9e.9571$yq6.1737@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1114045094.298153.45110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

>
> When the ARRL advocates telepathy, I'll pay attention. Communication
> at the speed of thought!
>

Start practicing now, Brian, 30 minutes a day, and you'll be up to 5 WPM in just
a few weeks!

73, de Hans, K0HB

4864. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 01:03:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114045395.776344.121800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:raudnXE_RbCIQPvfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
>
> > We each must choose our activities based on our personal
priorities.
>
> Thank you very much, Captain Obvious.

The "Miss Manners" agenda should be plied on Robeson, not wasted on me.

> > But do not whine and cry to change the requirements simply because
it's not
> > high enough on your priority list
> > to put some time into it.
>
> I wouldn't characterize it as "whine and cry" (unless I wanted to
prejudice the
> audience). Seems more like "this is my opinion on the matter".
>
> > Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> > per day, you don't have time to study the theory either.
>
> Is that kinda like when you told your child "if you haven't got room
for more
> green beans, then you don't have room for dessert either"

"You can't have your pudding if you don't eat your meat."

> > As you said, a person must get started to learn anything.
> > The first ones are difficult for all of us. Like anything else it
takes time
> > to get good.
>
> "Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
> learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before. He is
> full of murderous resentment of people who are ignorant
> without having come by their ignorance the hard way."
> ---Bokonon in "Cat's
Cradle"
>
> "Actually, what is being discussed is freedom of choice of modes
> in a hobby in a free society. There is absolutely nothing prohibiting
> someone who wants to take full advantage of CW's many

> advantages from becoming skillful in the mode."
> --- CAM in RRAP
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Actual discussion on Morse Testing? Boy does that bring back memories!

bb

4865. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 01:12:47 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114045967.870602.28950@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
>
> > "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:raudnXE_RbCIQPvfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
> >
> >
> > >>We each must choose our activities based on our personal
priorities.
> >
> >
> > Thank you very much, Captain Obvious.
> >
> >
> > >>But do not whine and cry to change the requirements simply because
it's not
> > >>high enough on your priority list
> > >>to put some time into it.
> >
> >
> > I wouldn't characterize it as "whine and cry" (unless I wanted to
prejudice the
> > audience). Seems more like "this is my opinion on the matter".
> >
> >
> > >>Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> > >>per day, you don't have time to study the theory either.
> >
> >
> > Is that kinda like when you told your child "if you haven't got
room for more
> > green beans, then you don't have room for dessert either"
> >
> >
> > >>As you said, a person must get started to learn anything.
> > >>The first ones are difficult for all of us. Like anything else it
takes time
> > >>to get good.
> >
> >
> > "Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
> > learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before. He is
> > full of murderous resentment of people who are ignorant

> > without having come by their ignorance the hard way."
> > ---Bokonon in "Cat's
Cradle"
>
> Wow, talk about prejudicing the audience! This assumes that those of
us
> who support Morse testing simply do it because we had to.

That is one conclusion.

With my
> problems with it, that would make me the meanest SOB in the valley.

The actuarial tables got you a promotion.

> Perhaps, just perhaps, some of us believe that it is a good idea
simply
> because it is a good idea, a mode that cant be performed by picking
up a
> mic and talking, or typing on a keyboard, and needs to be learned?

It the explaining of why it is a good idea where you run into trouble.

> > "Actually, what is being discussed is freedom of choice of modes
> > in a hobby in a free society. There is absolutely nothing
prohibiting
> > someone who wants to take full advantage of CW's many
> > advantages from becoming skillful in the mode."
> > --- CAM in RRAP
>
> Extended to all modes? Get rid of those darn satellite mode
questions
> on the tests! I personally have no use for that! For a strict
appliance
> operator who is going to buy everything they use and have someone
else
> install it? No one should have to do anything they don't want to do!
> Ditch all those unneeded questions.

The Miccolis argument goes farther. He's advocated dropping all
requirements testing. Why don't you go over the deep end, too?

> > Sunuvagun!
>
> Huzzanga!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

You can add all the CW quesstions, within reason, that you want. Let
CW stand with the other modes in the written test. And drop the code
test.

4866. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 01:17:54 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114046274.560381.281570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
>
> You probably get the drift.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Don't be so sure.

4867. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 01:19:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <2L-dnYYyYJgtYvvfRVn-oA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:T-Wdnb93DL7EZfvfRVn-rg@adelphia.com...
>
>
>>>>>"Actually, what is being discussed is freedom of choice of modes
>>>>>in a hobby in a free society. There is absolutely nothing prohibiting
>>>>>someone who wants to take full advantage of CW's many
>>>>>advantages from becoming skillful in the mode."
>>>>> --- CAM in RRAP
>>>>
>>>>Extended to all modes? Get rid of those darn satellite mode questions on the
>>>>tests! I personally have no use for that!
>>>
>>>
>>>Good point, and I agree. If we are going to have incredibly difficult
>>>satellite questions on the Extra written exam, like the following verbatim
>>>example.....
>>>
>>>Q: Which of the following types of communications may space stations
>>>transmit?
>>>
>>>A. Automatic retransmission of signals from Earth stations and other space
>>>stations
>>>B. One-way communications
>>>C. Telemetry consisting of specially coded messages
>>>D. All of these choices are correct
>>>
>>>.....then there ought to be similarly incredibly difficult CW questions on
>>>the Extra written exam, like:
>>>
>>>Q: Which of the following alphanumeric characters corresponds to the Morse
>>>sound "di-dah"
>>>
>>>A. A
>>>B. B
>>>C. C
>>>D. All of these choices are correct
>>>
>>>After all, fair is fair, and the CW mode ought to have test questions just
>>>like all other modes. Thanks for pointing that out.
>>

>>I'll bet you like those schools where the students determine the curriculum!
>
>
> Not at all Mike. You've persuaded me that there ought to be test questions for
> Morse just like there are test questions for each other mode. We seem to be in
> complete agreement on the matter.

Ahh, appearing to agree with me to discount my point!

I could ace the entire test if asked is dih-dah meant "A". But that
isn't the point.

You did of course leave out my point that you can argue yourself out of
any testing via your argument......

such as....

> Extended to all modes? Get rid of those darn satellite mode questions on the tests!
>I personally have no use for that! For a strict appliance operator who is going
>to buy everything they use and have someone else install it? No one should have
>to do anything they don't want to do! Ditch all those unneeded questions.

0 question test.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4868. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 01:20:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114046441.199955.150800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1114045094.298153.45110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> > > When the ARRL advocates telepathy, I'll pay attention.
Communication
> > at the speed of thought!
> >
>
> Start practicing now, Brian, 30 minutes a day, and you'll be up to 5
WPM in just
> a few weeks!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

I can already read Steve's mind at 20WPM. ;^)

4869. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 01:26:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114046810.529864.78650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in
> news:7uKdnb0rcYffu_7fRVn-pw@adelphia.com:
>
> > cl wrote:
> >
> >
> >> which required code. 5 WPM is not impossible to learn. It only
takes a
> >> few minutes a day and about 2 weeks at least to get enough to pass
a
> >> test.
> >
> > Took me 45 minutes a day for over 6 months, plus one failed
test
> > to get
> > to 5 wpm.
> >
> > I'm all in favor of Morse code testing, but you guys have to
show
> > some
> > understanding that it isn't that easy for a lot of people.
> >
> > I aced the writtens, without a whole lot of study by
comparison to
> > a
> > lot of people. I don't go around calling them retards or stupid.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> You have a good point Mike. I have seldom had any problems with
written
> exams, but passing a Morse test was hell. Those of us who have had
trouble
> learning Morse have taken a lot of abuse in this group.

Dee says otherwise.

You hit the nail on
> the head when you said you wouldn't do the same if someone had
trouble with
> the theory.

The only person I've given a hard time about the tests was Bruce/WA8ULX.

4870. Re: The Toad BANNED from QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 01:27:16 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <MYydnY4Tk6vtnPrfRVn-rQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106432

Mr. Obvious wrote:
> How do you figure?
>
> His bio is still there and there are no "Banned" posts with his
> callsign attached.
>
I'm just doing like toad does, make up a lie and post it and believe it
to be true! But he does live with his mommy and daddy still, this IS true.

4871. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 01:31:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114047099.938925.197340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > >> I've heard of some pretty wild times long before things were
> "dumbed
> > >
> > > down"!
> > >
> > >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > >
> > > Mike, I've been meaning to ask. Are you still sore at me for not
> > > giving your grief about the balloon project?
> >
> > HEH! Now you confused me Brian. But seriously, that you *didn't*
> give
> > me grief was duly noted!
> >
> > I've been stressing over
> > > Steve's label of "antagonist" for not giving you grief for some
> time
> > > now. I'm such a terrible person. Hi!
> >
> > Ohh, you know how newsgroups are.....
>
> >>I<< know how they are, Mike!
>
> They're populated by people who don't have the intestinal
> fortitude to sign their names to their posts and they make up
> allegations to try and hide behind.

Lie #27.

You refer to billybeeper as "Brain" and as "Brian." Mike just referred
to billybeeper as "Brian."

You just need somehting to piss and moan about.

4872. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 01:37:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114047443.218766.48080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Kane wrote:
> On 19 Apr 2005 18:16:07 -0700, bb wrote:
>
> >Phil Kane wrote:
> >>
> >> AFRTS >>> IS NOT <<< Amateur Radio
>
> >Hi! Awesome! Can I borrow that sometime?
>
> It's in the public domain. Knock yourself out.....
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Thanks, Phil. I'll juxtapose it with Steve's similar quote to
illustrate what a sane and what an insane person thinks.

4873. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 01:39:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1114047582.129021.251550@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106439

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> With the RF Gain on maximum and AGC disabled, BFO on,
> one will eventually start "hearing morse code" on "the
> bands." :-)

Wrong, Len. With the AGC disabled and BFO on, the *AF* gain should be
at maximum and the RF gain used for volume control.

For both SSB and CW/Morse reception

4874. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 02:05:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NTD9e.11438$IP1.9653@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1114046441.199955.150800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> I can already read Steve's mind at 20WPM. ;^)

Because you've memorized the answers.

dit dit

4875. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 02:21:28 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <t7E9e.65050$NC6.43323@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1114043332.887222.253540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> cl wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:1113958126.278984.82670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >
>> >> Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of
>> > course
>> >> you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the
>> > test to
>> >> have one set up at that pitch for her testing.
>> >
>> > Dee, not everyone has a ham-husband to tell them all of the
>> > modifications that the VE may make to an examination
>> >
>>
>> That's what you ask in here for! There are VEs in here, myself
> included -
>> who can give guidance to those who ask.
>>
>> cl
>
> "Here" is all knowing.
>
> Part 97 doesn't define Morse Code, but specifies that it is to be
> tested at 5WPM. Part 97 is silent on Farnsworth Code. Part 97 doesn't
> say that the VE's must accomodate variations in testing.
>
> Why does a person have to ask RRAP when they should be able to read it
> in the governing regulations???
>

Try reading Part 97.509 Section (h). It covers "administering the exam". I
think you'll find it DOES cover the fact that VEs must accommodate
handicapped applicants.

cl

4876. Re: Morse gone by the beginning of last year? Yes!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 02:27:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0NadnRp3SMHIkvrfRVn-ow@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106442

Barry OGrady wrote:

> I have the equivalent of a full call without doing any morse.

Congratulations! You must be proud! Wouldn't it be better to have the equivalent of a full call without having to do anything?

- Mike KB3EIA

4877. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 03:04:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FLKdnRJYmvyihfrfRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 106443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:aGC9e.9565$yq6.8540@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:6MWdnXjMIqWpb_vfRVn-ug@comcast.com...
>
>> Actually people who are not required to learn something at a basic level
>> too often bypass the activity altogether because they perceive it to be
>> harder than it is. Therein lies the loss.
>
> I don't buy that line of reasoning at all.
>
> I was never "required" to learn to set up a "Lindy Rig", but saw other
> fishermen doing it and it looked like fun, so decided it must not be too
> difficult, so I learned how.
>
> I was never "required" to learn to swim, but saw other kids doing it and
> it looked like fun, so decided it must not be too difficult, so I learned
> how.
>
> I was never "required" to learn how to kiss a girl, but saw Clark Gable
> doing it, and it looked like great fun, so I decided it must not be too
> difficult, so I learned how.
>
> I was never "required" to learn Morse Code, but heard it on the Zenith and
> was curious about those beeps and boops, so I learned how (a decade before
> I decided to be a ham).
>
> I was never "required" to learn RTTY, but saw other hams doing it, and it
> looked interesting, so I decided it must not be too difficula, so I
> learned how.
>
> You probably get the drift.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

But you left out all the things you chose not to do because it "didn't look
interesting" or because "it looked too hard."

Have you tried everything that you have seen others do? And on what basis
did you choose to try some things and not others? Simply because in your,
as yet inexperienced eyes in that arena, it looked interesting? Have you

never tried something because some one else with experience said you should give it a try? Have you never had the experience of finding something to be fun and interesting upon being required to do or coaxed to do something that you thought you wouldn't like?

The real question is not so much the Morse code test per se but what is the set of basics that all hams should be familiar with whether or not they personally use that knowledge? Those things should be required whether or not they are interesting or difficult.

By the way I happen to think that all should be required to learn to swim whether or not they think they may use it. I happen to consider it a basic skill in life that all should know.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4878. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No. - CORRECTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 03:04:35 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ULE9e.65051$NC6.26868@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
news:t7E9e.65050$NC6.43323@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1114043332.887222.253540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> cl wrote:
>>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1113958126.278984.82670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> Most of the computer programs let you select a pitch you like. Of
>>> > course
>>> >> you would have to arrange with the VE team well in advance of the
>>> > test to
>>> >> have one set up at that pitch for her testing.
>>> >
>>> > Dee, not everyone has a ham-husband to tell them all of the
>>> > modifications that the VE may make to an examination
>>> >
>>>
>>> That's what you ask in here for! There are VEs in here, myself
>> included -
>>> who can give guidance to those who ask.
>>>
>>> cl
>>
>> "Here" is all knowing.
>>
>> Part 97 doesn't define Morse Code, but specifies that it is to be
>> tested at 5WPM. Part 97 is silent on Farnsworth Code. Part 97 doesn't
>> say that the VE's must accomodate variations in testing.
>>
>> Why does a person have to ask RRAP when they should be able to read it
>> in the governing regulations???
>>
>
> Try reading Part 97.509 Section (h). It covers "administering the exam". I
> think you'll find it DOES cover the fact that VEs must accommodate
> handicapped applicants.
>
> cl

Ah, it is section (k) now........

(k) The administering VEs must accommodate an examinee whose physical disabilities require a special examination procedure. The administering VEs may require a physician's certification indicating the nature of the disability before determining which, if any, special procedures must be used.

cl
>

4879. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 03:09:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114052941.797317.224550@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "K□B" on Wed,Apr 20 2005 3:51 pm

>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:raudnXE_RbCIQPvfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
>
>> We each must choose our activities based on our personal priorities.
>
>Thank you very much, Captain Obvious.

[...or Captain Oblivious...]

[may the aphorisms be with Dee, said Obe-wan...]

>> But do not whine and cry to change the requirements simply because
it's not
>> high enough on your priority list
>> to put some time into it.
>
>I wouldn't characterize it as "whine and cry" (unless I wanted to
prejudice the
>audience). Seems more like "this is my opinion on the matter".

Nahhhhh...some REALLY believe in their conditioning,
that morse code testing must ALWAYS be required as
"necessary" to operate transmitters below 30 MHz!

U.S. hams have always had code testing to get a
license...and they MUST always have such a test.
It's engraved on their synapses or something...

>> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
>> per day, you don't have time to study the theory either.
>
>Is that kinda like when you told your child "if you haven't got room
for more
>green beans, then you don't have room for dessert either"

Sometimes the "mothering" bit gets extreme in here...

>> As you said, a person must get started to learn anything.
>> The first ones are difficult for all of us. Like anything else it
takes time
>> to get good.
>
>"Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
>learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before. He is

>full of murderous resentment of people who are ignorant
>without having come by their ignorance the hard way."
> ---Bokonon in "Cat's
Cradle"

...I'm beginning to appreciate that quote... :-)

The Righteous Wrath of the Deep Conditioned has
become the new fire and brimstone of the
morseatangelous.

>"Actually, what is being discussed is freedom of choice of modes
>in a hobby in a free society. There is absolutely nothing prohibiting
>someone who wants to take full advantage of CW's many
>advantages from becoming skillful in the mode."
> --- CAM in RRAP

Bless Cecil Moore's heart! :-)

However, according to some of the Deep Conditioned in
here, amateur radio is a SERVICE! "Nowhere in the FCC
regulations do they [FCC] say it is a 'hobby'!" and
other Righteous Wrath of the True Believers (making
like they are the subject of 'Bokonon's' observation).

>Sunuvagun!

Right! :-)

"Zere will be NO laughing in zis camp!" - Sessue
Hayakawa's line as the POW commandant in the film,
"Bridge on the River Quai."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4880. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 03:27:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <B4F9e.11475$lP1.3215@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:FLKdnRJYmvyihfrfRVn-3w@comcast.com...

>
> But you left out all the things you chose not to do because it "didn't look
> interesting" or because "it looked too hard."
>

Of course! Especially that part about "didn't look interesting".

> Have you tried everything that you have seen others do?

Nope. For instance, I never jumped out of a perfectly good airplane, and I've never tried to jump a motorcycle over 13 burning school buses, and I've never entered a pie eating contest, and I've never done a whole lot of other hobby things that didn't appeal to me. Lifes to short to dance with hobbies I don't like.

The use of Morse in amataur radio is entirely optional. All licensees, even those not tested, are free to chose to use it (or not). While I'd be perfectly happy to see written test questions about Morse, just as there are written test questions about other modes, there is no longer any legitimite argument for a skill demonstration, other than your "try it, you'll like it" argument.

> The real question is not so much the Morse code test per se but what is the
> set of basics that all hams should be familiar with whether or not they
> personally use that knowledge? Those things should be required whether or not
> they are interesting or difficult.

I agree entirely! Yes, I really do.

But "be familiar" and "demonstrate a skill" are not the same thing.

I am required (as I should be), for example, to "be familiar" with a wide variety of subject matter to obtain an Extra class license, but only in the case of Morse am I required to "demonstrate a skill". What's wrong with that picture? Why shouldn't we be required to "demonstrate the skill of safely measuring high voltage" or "demonstrate the skill of planning a good ground system" or "demonstrate the skill of tracking down the source of a TVI problem". All of those basic skills seem much more part of the "basic skill set" that all hams should possess, more so than requiring a demonstration of skill in only ONE of a growing list of communications methods and modes.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4881. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 03:42:54 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2jF9e.11480$IP1.1377@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:2L-dnYYyYJgtYvvfRVn-oA@adelphia.com...

>
> ..... my point that you can argue yourself out of any testing via your
> argument......
>

No you can't.

I fully support a Morse familiarity test. Cross my heart and hope to die!

I just don't support a "skill demonstration", unless you want to require a "skill demonstration" of every knowledge area on the test, and deny licenses to everyone who cannot demonstrate all required skills.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4882. Re: Morse gone by the beginning of last year? Yes!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 03:46:03 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <%lF9e.10167$sp3.691@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106448

Barry OGrady wrote:

> I have the equivalent of a full call without doing any morse.
>

What's a "full call"? A 2x3? That's what no code techs
get in districts where all the 1x3's have run out.

So, is a 1x2 or 2x1 not a "full call"?

I kept my old 2x3 when I upgraded to extra.

4883. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 03:53:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114055605.272117.10470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "bb" on Wed,Apr 20 2005 5:28 pm

>cl wrote:
>> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
>
>> That's what you ask in here for! There are VEs in here, myself
included -
>> who can give guidance to those who ask.
>>
>> cl
>
>"Here" is all knowing.

Oh YESSS do these hams KNOW things... :-)

>Part 97 doesn't define Morse Code, but specifies that it is to be
>tested at 5WPM. Part 97 is silent on Farnsworth Code. Part 97
doesn't
>say that the VE's must accomodate variations in testing.

Mama Dee would simply say "It's GOOD for you..." and
withold dessert. :-)

>Why does a person have to ask RRAP when they should be able to read it
>in the governing regulations???

I'm curious about the same thing. I've gotten all
these "interpretations" on it...which are simply
RATIONALIZATIONS anywhere else.

Part 97 is one of the shortest Parts in Title 47 C.F.R.

But, above all, MORSE must SHINE as the A-number-1
thing to DO!

Strange. 52 years ago the Army didn't require me
to know any morsemanship to operate and maintain
high-power HF transmitters (a mere 3 dozen). No
license, either. A year ago, nobody required me
to possess any license to operate a privately-
owned HF SSB transceiver on a boat. NO morse
needed to operate legally below 30 MHz then.

But, the ARS (Archaic RadioTELEGRAPHY Society)
stoutly maintains that *ALL* MUST test for morse
in order to have the "qualifications" to operate

below 30 MHz! FEDERAL Test! It's the LAW!!!

It's always been done that way...CANNOT be
changed. [been told that]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4884. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 04:45:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42672FEA.28D1C36A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106350

George Orwell wrote:
>
> In article <42667A5B.AD541F1E@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > >
> > > Steve, if that's the case and I find out I'm on some f--king
> list I'm
> > > not only going to sue your f--king ass but I'm going to sue
> that fat
> > > asshole Phil.
> >
> > Sure, you will, Todd. ;-)
>
> Just like you say you'll sue people, Nazi.

When have I done so, Roger/UnWiseman?

> > > Believe me you f--king piece of s--t I f--king will.
> >
> > I don't believe you, Todd and I don't think there are many
> here who
> > believe you. You'll likely continue the same profane rants
> you've been
> > posting up to this point.
>
> Have you whined to his local police chief yet, p---y boy Nazi?

Nope, just yours.

> > > So you go tell that LYING fat f--k what I told you.
> >
> > Haven't you just informed him through your post?
>
> It's akin to your uninformitive posts, Nazi.

Actually Roger/Not Roger, Todd's post more closely resemble your own.
The vocabulary is similarly limited. You both have emotional problems
and difficulty relating to society. Both of you issue threats of
violence which both of you are too timid to attempt to carry out. The
biggest difference between you is that Todd doesn't look like a Stephen
King troll doll.

Dave K8MN

4885. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 04:53:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <426731BF.534C71CE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106351

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:raudnXE_RbCIQPvfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
>
> > We each must choose our activities based on our personal priorities.
>
> Thank you very much, Captain Obvious.
>
> > But do not whine and cry to change the requirements simply because it's not
> > high enough on your priority list
> > to put some time into it.
>
> I wouldn't characterize it as "whine and cry" (unless I wanted to prejudice the
> audience). Seems more like "this is my opinion on the matter".

It doesn't seem that way to me, Hans. I think "whine and cry" is a
fairly accurate description. I have no sympathy for one who can't climb
the 5 wpm morse mountain in order enter the world of HF amateur radio.
None.

> > Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> > per day, you don't have time to study the theory either.
>
> Is that kinda like when you told your child "if you haven't got room for more
> green beans, then you don't have room for dessert either"

Was there anything incorrect or inaccurate in Dee's statement?

Dave K8MN

4886. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 05:04:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42673488.7C7B1CAD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106352

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > With the RF Gain on maximum and AGC disabled, BFO on,
> > one will eventually start "hearing morse code" on "the
> > bands." :-)
>
> Wrong, Len. With the AGC disabled and BFO on, the *AF* gain should be
> at maximum and the RF gain used for volume control.
>
> For both SSB and CW/Morse reception

...and for RTTY. I'd have thought the old boy would have known all that with his decades of experience. With the BFO injection of most old boatanchor receivers, he'd likely hear little or no beat note at all using the method he advocates.

Dave K8MN

4887. What day is it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 05:50:54 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <rbGdne3nrdGmovrfRVn-uQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106353

The illiterate idiot doesn't even know what day it is. It's April 21, 2005 not April 30, 2005 idiot!

4888. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 06:07:04 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1114063624.304448.14010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:1114011943.243686.69870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> > I've heard some weird tales about how the Signal Corps
> > used Draconian methods to quickly pound Morse into
> > the heads of their WW2 radio ops.Stories about
> >eight-hours-per-day seven days per weeks drills for 2-4
> > weeks or some such, nasty punishments for those who
> >"didn't get it", etc. Have you ever heard any of these tales?
>
> I think the operative word is "tale" (civilian "legend"). I don't go
back that
> far,

I realize that but you were "in the business" not too long after WW2 so
I thought maybe you'd heard some "insider's war stories" from that era.

> but seems to me a draftee kid who drew Signal Corps billet would
recognize
> a cushy job when he saw it and such "motiviation" wouldn't be needed.

Maybe for those who knew what was up then. But a helluva lot of
conscipts are historically cluless no matter what era and want out at
any cost and WW2 days were desperate times.

. . who knows . . ?

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

4889. Re: Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 09:37:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114076246.042344.310650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106355

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> > > > K4YZ laps the field two months successional
> > > >
> > > > March rrap Top-10
> > > >
> > > > [# posts] Poster
> > > >
> > > > [304] K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
> > > > [143] N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
> > > > [87] Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > > [86] bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
> > > > [59] Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
> > > > [51] Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
> > > > [46] Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
> > > > [42] N2EY <N2EY@AOL.COM>
> > > > [32] robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
> > > > [32] LenAnderson <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
> > >
> > > Heil finally bests me at something.
> >
> > "Finally"...?!?!?
> >
> >
>
BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, you've said that you never laugh like that. Lie #26.

And here's yet ANOTHER one that you're gonna have to prove, Brain!

I guess I am going to just have to sit down with you and teach you
some basic math and etiquette, Brain
I don't know why you insist on lying yourself and then trying to make
up stories about others lying.

But that's OK....Your kids will read these some day and they'll
see what an unethical toad you really are, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

4890. Why Does Brian P Burke Manufacture Lies And Mistruths?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 09:58:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114077533.910533.12950@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106356

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:

> > > Heil finally bests me at something.
> >
> > "Finally"...?!?!?
> >
BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, you've said that you never laugh like that. Lie #26.

Guess I will just have to keep a thread going with your proper
name in it, Brian, so your heirs can see just what an unethical toad
you really were.

Shall I go ahead and load them all into one thread and save them
some effort hunting, Brian, or shall we just let them wade through all
of the posts in RRAP so they can see how you proactively lied and
deceived?

BTW...You're challenged to provide the post wherein I allegedly
said "I don't laugh like that"...

Steve, K4YZ

4891. Re: Morse gone by the beginning of last year? Yes!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 10:01:08 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1114077668.551900.26860@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106357

robert casey wrote:
> Barry OGrady wrote:
>
> > I have the equivalent of a full call without doing any morse.
> >
>
> What's a "full call"? A 2x3?

No.

Barry's in Australia.

He means he's got a full-privileges license without
passing a code test.

> That's what no code techs
> get in districts where all the 1x3's have run out.

Actually FCC has the policy of going through the sequence once and then
on to the next. When they reached N3ZZZ, they went on to 2x3 calls even
though there were unassigned N3xxx calls available from hams who'd
dropped out or changed their calls.
>
> So, is a 1x2 or 2x1 not a "full call"?
>
> I kept my old 2x3 when I upgraded to extra.

I had a 2x3 for seven years as an Extra.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4892. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 10:12:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114078325.336466.155240@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106358

KC8GXW wrote:
> Toady lives at home with his mommy and daddy, now just how pathetic
is
> that? Thirty Seven going on fifteen and still lives with his mommy. I

> wonder if they have seen his blog yet? You paint a very sad picture
Toady.

Interesting.

Did a search on AOL White Pages just using Toiddie's last name
(his REAL last name) and QSL address. Dear Old Dad's name is there
along with the home phone number.

Maybe we ought to start running off some of Toiddie's rantings,
profanities and threats to and about federal officials, and other such
behaviour and mail them to Dear Old Dad???

Better yet, drop a call to the family while Toiddie is in class?
Mom and Dad ought to know what's going on before U. S. Marshals knock
on the door along with an FCC rep. They've got to be getting on up in
years and don't need that kind of stress.

Of course Toiddie wouldn't care what happened to Mom and Dad as a
result of HIS misbehaviour...As long as Toiddie get's what Toiddie
wants!

Steve, K4YZ

4893. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 11:17:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1114082267.387932.96430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106359

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > With the RF Gain on maximum and AGC disabled, BFO on,
> > > one will eventually start "hearing morse code" on "the
> > > bands." :-)
> >
> > Wrong, Len. With the AGC disabled and BFO on, the *AF* gain
> > should be
> > at maximum and the RF gain used for volume control.
> >
> > For both SSB and CW/Morse reception
>
> ...and for RTTY.

Well, that depends on the type of RTTY operation and equipment. While
we hams usually use "SSB" detection of RTTY signals, and then an audio
TU, there are other ways.

There was a QST article in the late 1950s or so, showing an adapter
that used 'real' FM demodulation of the IF signal. Built around a
BC-453 Command set. Had a 'scope built-in, IIRC. Complete receiving
setup in a rack mountable unit, just feed the IF signal (190-550 kHz)
from almost any superhet to it.

I'm sure there were manufactured equivalents.

> I'd have thought the old boy would have known all that
> with his decades of experience.

"It's a trap"...

> With the BFO injection of most old
> boatanchor receivers,

(like the R-70?)

> he'd likely hear little or no beat note
> at all using the method he advocates.
>
Maybe that's the point...

73 de Jim, N2EY

4894. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 12:07:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114085268.213172.4620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106360

Steve
've never threat any federal offical you asshole motherfucker. I Don't
think US marshalls will be coming to my door thank you very much

Todd N9OGL

4895. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 12:45:55 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <51bcd3ab718eec861f7e13ba153e67e6@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106361

In article <42672FEA.28D1C36A@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> When have I done so, Roger/UnWiseman?

You run your mouth all the time, Nazi. Oh, and no matter how many times you blather that lie, I'm still not Roger Wiseman, un or not. And you and your toadie Nursie Steve are the biggest liars on the group.

> Nope, just yours.

Nope. Not mine. Maybe Wiseman's. Thanks for aditting you did whine. Why don't you whine to Toad's police chief? Or you think you'd get told to fuck off and quit wasting valuable police resources, like before?
Or are you too fucking stupid to either ignore it or use a killfile?

> Actually <whines and backpedals from the Nazi with a fat gut and a bad comb-over>

Actually, you are uninformative. Poor Nazi. Soothe your oh-so-damaged-ego by tapping out some Morse Code.

4896. Re: Questions for Anonymous Lying Coward "Cypherpunks"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 13:00:14 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <43aa7b9d1334d80b676d5381de3fec3b@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d46ke4$p0g$0@pita.alt.net>
"I Am Not Roger" <MeNo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:
>
> Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHA! Woger

"Woger" - Lardass fucks up again! Lardass likes the world to
know he's a cocksucking queer!

> makes more mistakes on top of other mistake.

Bwhahahahaha! Lardass the high school dropout fucks up another
time.
You always fuck up the punctuation, Dumbass. Or shall I repost
your numerous English fuckups one more time?
And, know-nothing Davies, you are as ignorant about English as
you are ignorant of everything else.

To wit:
Lloyd Asswipe Davies<N0VFP@AOL.COMNOSPAM proves is as ignorant
of history and
politics as he is in everything else when he "wrote" in message
<19990823214439.01713.00000283@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
"There are those call Liberty People "Nazis" What does supposed
to mean?
I thought a Nazi was a communist."

> You can almost see th<bullshit flushed>

One can almost see the beads of sweat as they permeate the
dandruff and scabbed bald head of "I am not Roger" and drip down
onto his cheapassed cum-encrusted keyboard as he desperately
tries to cover his fat backside in yet another fuck up. Roger's
hair isn't long, nor is it greasy, tubby. You have a "thing" for
grease, since your drunk abusive daddy Ray sodomized you when he
came home drunk from the bars.

4897. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 13:27:46 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <962a7d4a9f3011838eedd5a8f0faeeba@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106365

In article <d46mvmtis0@pita.alt.net>
"I Am Not Roger" <MeNo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:
>
> What would people call you as they pointed and laughed if
your life were to
> go downhill. Or, if you are already past that step, let's
assess your value
> as a SOCIAL OUTCAST!
>
> Well Wogie, <IKYABWAI>

Well, Dave "Nazi" Heil, you must've been looking for the site to
take the assessment in the first place!
After all, you've done NOTHING for amateur radio or the world at
large.

4898. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 13:32:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114090331.719911.301290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106363

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> In article <42672FEA.28D1C36A@earthlink.net>

> And you and your toadie Nursie Steve are the biggest
> liars on the group.

The simple fact that you won't sign your name and take
resposibility for your own opinions make YOU and your other Anonymous
Lying Coward buddies that....Even ahead of Lennie, Brain and Todd.

Considering how easy it is to obtain Viagra, Cialis, etc, I'd
thing you little boys would have stopped this behaviour and gotten on
with your lives.

Oh well.

Steve, K4YZ

4899. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 13:38:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114090713.033643.41070@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106364

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve
> 've never threat any federal offical you ###hole mother####er. I
Don't
> think US marshalls will be coming to my door thank you very much.

Sure they will, Todd. Just keep screwing up like you are, and
sooner or later, they'll be there.

And no amount of your profanity...no amount of your hollow, inept
claims of "I'LL SUE!", and no amount of your childish, foot stomping
tantrums can stop it...

Steve, K4YZ

4900. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 14:37:10 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <9OEBSEBF38463.6924768519@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106367

In article <yvudaanW75rKho_jcRn-rQ@gelded.not>
"yojimbo" <thom...@snotmail.net> wrote:
>
> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 02:40:47 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous wrote:
> >
> > Why don't you put him up in your tin can then? Then you can
> > bitchslap each other, because you really can't do much of
> > anything else.
> >
>
> That isn't what you said <Lardass Lloyd's denial that Oris
came around to his HUD apartment and hid behind the front door
safety chain>

Wrong, Lardass. Here's the original post (and I'm not on AOL):

Lines: 52
X-Admin: n...@aol.com
From: w...@aol.com (George Ashley)
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
Date: 24 Dec 2004 13:40:09 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Merry Christmas Lloyd Davies
Message-ID: <20041224084009.10404.00001525@mb-m25.aol.com>

This was e-mailed to me this morning by Oris Grim an old friend.

I happened to be traveling through Huntsville, Alabama early
this morning, I
decided to stop in Athens and see just how tough Lloyd Davies
was going to act
when he saw me in person.

Many of you know that a few years ago, Lloyd got smart with
several people in
the Deja Newsgroup (now Google) and I issued a challenge to him.
I told him I
would be attending the Dalton, Ga. Hamfest if he wanted to
continue the
conversation. He said he would be there and he was going to kick
my ass.

Well, Lloyd was a no-show and continued the verbal assault on
the newsgroups.
He always talks tough behind his keyboard. And, I understand he

is now doing
the same sort of thing on the NIM Busters Board.

Well, about 8.35 this morning (December 23, 2004) I located his apartment in a
nasty looking section of town. I'm a pretty good sized man, but I put my gun in
my back waistband after exiting the car. I located his apartment and knocked on
the door. The doorbell was missing and bare wires were sticking out of the
wall. I heard someone say I'm coming and shortly the door opened with a safety
chain lock still engaged. He asked what I wanted and I introduced myself and
asked if we could have a conversation. He turned white as a sheet and attempted
to slam the door, but I already had a foot wedged in the opening. He started
shaking uncontrollably and was shouting leave me alone, leave me alone! I told
him he didn't seem so tough now face to face and then noticed a putrid odor of
urine and he was pissing his pants and crying like a baby. Please leave me
alone Oris he said, I was just having fun and meant no harm, please leave,
please. I could see the filthy floor of this apartment and the dirty floors and
the stench of a grown man pissing on himself were almost enough to make me want
to vomit, and I don't have a queasy stomach. Upon telling him that this time he
would escape a ass kicking and not to ever get a smart mouth with me again and
a verbal yes from him, I departed. That apartment complex looked like a
crackhouse, niggers everywhere, filthy grounds and stairwells. Junk cars
everywhere and garbage overflowing the garbage cans.

This Lloyd is nothing more than white trash that delivers pizzas and could
afford to take a trip out of town if the ticket cost $5.00.

I'm sending this to a friend who despises Lloyd Davies and he wanted to post it
for everyone to see just what kind of chickenshit asshole this Davies really
is.

Oris Grim

W4FQF
Master Harmonica Player
Colliersville, Tennessee

Google Home - Terms of Use - Privacy Policy - Jobs, Press, & Help

YOU LOSE AGAIN!

4901. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 14:45:00 UTC
From: groupk0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1114094700.210025.61190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:

>
> If you don't have room for good food then
> you don't have room for junk.
>

Dessert is junk???? Not when K0CKB puts it on the dining table! Maybe
you need some of her recipes, if your desserts are "junk"!

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

4902. Re: FCC Licenses new CW coastal station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 15:04:11 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns963F70ABD718Delektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 106368

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in
news:cuvyxnansvbet.if947k0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com:

> On Wed, 20 Apr 2005 04:48:20 GMT, Mel A. Nomah wrote:
>
>>The FCC has granted a license for a new, common carrier, class 1A CW
>>coast station, callsign KSM. This is the first time in many years that
>>the FCC has granted a new license for this service. The hours of
>>operation for the station have not yet been determined, but the call is
>>KSM and frequencies of operation will be 426, 500, 6474 and 12993 kHz
>>with an authorized output of 5kW on all frequencies.
>
> Note that the licensee is the Maritime Radio Historical Society,
> which has been restoring the original Morse stations on several
> WW-II-era vessels used as floating museums in the San Francisco Bay
> and other West Coast areas and has made restoration of the coast
> station (site and equipment owned by the Golden Gate National
> Seashore) a prime goal in the last five years or so.
>
> The site of KSM (and its equipment) is the old RCA marine coast
> station KPH, transmitters in Bolinas, CA and receivers/control point
> in Point Reyes, CA. The same station is used for the annual "KPH"
> fire-up on July 12, the anniversary of the last commercial Morse
> traffic in the US, and for frequent operation of the power-lowered
> transmitters on the ham bands as K6KPH. Everyone concerned is a
> volunteer.
>
> I've done some work with them but I'm too far from San Francisco to
> be one of the "regulars".
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>

Methinks the Op wanted us to think that the FCC was bringing back CW in the
maritime service! Well spotted, Phil.

4903. Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 15:08:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114096122.012492.170950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106369

According to his eHam profile, Toddie is an...

ARMY MARS MEMBER!

QUOTE

I am a member of the following ham radio clubs...
Army M.A.R.S. Christian County Amateur Radio Club (CCARC)

UNQUOTE

Good thing "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" doesn't apply to MARS, eh,
Toddie...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

4904. And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 15:23:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114097010.791115.86230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106370

QUOTE

Amateur Broadcasting -- Why Not? Reply
by N9OGL on December 5, 2004 Mail this to a friend!
Broadcasting in the amateur bands would not in reality a good idea.
Simply because there are too many people on the ham bands.

UNQUOTE

I guess that Todd forgot what he posted in December of 2004, eh?
Been on 20 ands 10 meters both.

QUOTE

I wouldn't however be objective on allowing half hour or hour
programming on those band that are dead or not in uses. For the purpose
of short range programming dealing with issues in the field of
electronics or radio.

UNQUOTE

That's why Todd is now broadcasting his show on 145.58 instead of
on his "repeater"...

Now...Half an hour per day per Amateur...

Doesn't take too long to see what happens after that Genie gets
out of that bottle!

QUOTE

Many Amateur Radio operators I've read talk about what content should
or shouldn't be allowed on the amateur radio bands because of the FCC
rules and regulations.

UNQUOTE

Yep...

QUOTE

But many amateur radio operators forget about Section 326 of the
Communication Act of 1934. Which states that the FCC may NOT control
any content of any station except for Obscene and indecent material.By
putting them on the bands that are not in uses would not only stop
interference but would prove that the amateurs are using those
frequencies

UNQUOTE

And Toddie forget's the STANDARDS that obscene and indecent speech must meet...One of them is that community standards must be met...And in the United States, most Amateurs DON'T want to hear graphic depictions of sex acts, the use of certain language, or "suggestions" of where to put razor-blade studded sex toys.

And the "inteference" would multiply exponentially...from all the carriers, QRM, cat calls, etc that would inevitibly follow...ESPECIALLY with creeps like N9OGL doing the "programming"....

Thank-God (or your diety of choice) that creeps like this only show up once in a very blue moon...

Steve, K4YZ

4905. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 15:28:07 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050421152807.67D36170C2@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106371

In article <yvudaanW75rKho_jcRn-rQ@gelded.not>
"yojimbo" <thom...@snotmail.net> wrote:
>
> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 02:40:47 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous wrote:
> >
> > Why don't you put him up in your tin can then? Then you can
> > bitchslap each other, because you really can't do much of
> > anything else.
> >
>
> That isn't what you said <Lardass Lloyd's denial that Oris
came around to his HUD apartment and hid behind the front door
safety chain>

Wrong, Lardass. Here's the original post (and I'm not on AOL):

Lines: 52
X-Admin: n...@aol.com
From: w...@aol.com (George Ashley)
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
Date: 24 Dec 2004 13:40:09 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Merry Christmas Lloyd Davies
Message-ID: <20041224084009.10404.00001525@mb-m25.aol.com>

This was e-mailed to me this morning by Oris Grim an old friend.

I happened to be traveling through Huntsville, Alabama early
this morning, I
decided to stop in Athens and see just how tough Lloyd Davies
was going to act
when he saw me in person.

Many of you know that a few years ago, Lloyd got smart with
several people in
the Deja Newsgroup (now Google) and I issued a challenge to him.
I told him I
would be attending the Dalton, Ga. Hamfest if he wanted to
continue the
conversation. He said he would be there and he was going to kick
my ass.

Well, Lloyd was a no-show and continued the verbal assault on
the newsgroups.
He always talks tough behind his keyboard. And, I understand he

is now doing
the same sort of thing on the NIM Busters Board.

Well, about 8.35 this morning (December 23, 2004) I located his apartment in a
nasty looking section of town. I'm a pretty good sized man, but I put my gun in
my back waistband after exiting the car. I located his apartment and knocked on
the door. The doorbell was missing and bare wires were sticking out of the
wall. I heard someone say I'm coming and shortly the door opened with a safety
chain lock still engaged. He asked what I wanted and I introduced myself and
asked if we could have a conversation. He turned white as a sheet and attempted
to slam the door, but I already had a foot wedged in the opening. He started
shaking uncontrollably and was shouting leave me alone, leave me alone! I told
him he didn't seem so tough now face to face and then noticed a putrid odor of
urine and he was pissing his pants and crying like a baby. Please leave me
alone Oris he said, I was just having fun and meant no harm, please leave,
please. I could see the filthy floor of this apartment and the dirty floors and
the stench of a grown man pissing on himself were almost enough to make me want
to vomit, and I don't have a queasy stomach. Upon telling him that this time he
would escape a ass kicking and not to ever get a smart mouth with me again and
a verbal yes from him, I departed. That apartment complex looked like a
crackhouse, niggers everywhere, filthy grounds and stairwells. Junk cars
everywhere and garbage overflowing the garbage cans.

This Lloyd is nothing more than white trash that delivers pizzas and could
afford to take a trip out of town if the ticket cost $5.00.

I'm sending this to a friend who despises Lloyd Davies and he wanted to post it
for everyone to see just what kind of chickenshit asshole this Davies really
is.

Oris Grim

W4FQF
Master Harmonica Player
Colliersville, Tennessee

Google Home - Terms of Use - Privacy Policy - Jobs, Press, & Help

YOU LOSE AGAIN!

4906. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 15:33:08 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050421153308.E1329170B5@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106373

In article <d46mvmtis0@pita.alt.net>
"I Am Not Roger" <MeNo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:
>
> What would people call you as they pointed and laughed if
your life were to
> go downhill. Or, if you are already past that step, let's
assess your value
> as a SOCIAL OUTCAST!
>
> Well Wogie, <IKYABWAI>

Well, Dave "Nazi" Heil, you must've been looking for the site to
take the assessment in the first place!
After all, you've done NOTHING for amateur radio or the world at
large.

4907. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 15:36:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114097799.786833.34630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106372

So dickhead???
> And Toddie forget's the STANDARDS that obscene and indecent speech
>must meet...One of them is that community standards must be met...And
>in the United States, most Amateurs DON'T want to hear graphic
>depictions of sex acts, the use of certain language, or "suggestions"
>of where to put razor-blade studded sex toys.

Hey dickhead, what part don't you understand?? this is the Internet, if
your so-called community standards applied on the internet all the porn
sites would be gone....but they are not.

I know little commies bible bangers like you want to push your
censorship onto people, but I don't play that game.

Todd N9OGL

4908. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 15:51:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114098711.785156.267460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106374

N9OGL wrote:
> So ####head???

Ooooooooooooooh! Come up with that ALL BY YOUR SELF...?!?!

> > And Toddie forget's the STANDARDS that obscene and indecent speech
> >must meet...One of them is that community standards must be
met...And
> >in the United States, most Amateurs DON'T want to hear graphic
> >depictions of sex acts, the use of certain language, or
"suggestions"
> >of where to put razor-blade studded sex toys.
>
> Hey ####head, what part don't you understand?? this is the Internet,
if
> your so-called community standards applied on the internet all the
porn
> sites would be gone....but they are not.

That wasn't ABOUT "The Internet", Blockhead.

It's about your illegal broadcasting on Amatuer frequencies...

What part of THAT don't YOU understand...?!?!

> I know little commies bible bangers like you want to push your
> censorship onto people, but I don't play that game.

Keeping foul mouthed punks like you from lowering the common
denominator of our society is hardly a game.

Steve, K4YZ

4909. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 16:00:49 UTC
From: Lloyd <radioclub@lloydsradioclub.net>
Message-ID: <d48ikv$i6c$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106375

"I Am Not Roger" <MeNoJew@NotRoger.com> wrote in message
news:d46mvmtis0@pita.alt.net...
> What would people call you as they pointed and laughed if your life were
> to
> go downhill. Or, if you are already past that step, let's assess your
> value
> as a SOCIAL OUTCAST!
>
> Well Wogie, here is your chance to do a little self assessment.
>
> http://selectsmart.com/FREE/select.php?client=Outcast
>
>

LOL! Wonder what Wogie will score?

73,

Lloyd

4910. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 16:36:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4267D6A3.EA2762C5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106376

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > > With the RF Gain on maximum and AGC disabled, BFO on,
> > > > one will eventually start "hearing morse code" on "the
> > > > bands." :-)
> > >
> > > Wrong, Len. With the AGC disabled and BFO on, the *AF* gain
> > > should be
> > > at maximum and the RF gain used for volume control.
> > >
> > > For both SSB and CW/Morse reception
> >
> > ...and for RTTY.
>
> Well, that depends on the type of RTTY operation and equipment. While
> we hams usually use "SSB" detection of RTTY signals, and then an audio
> TU, there are other ways.

While hams have used pure AFSK with FM at VHF, a BFO or product detector
in the SSB mode is the traditional of RTTY reception. It was also the
method used by USG agencies at HF.

> There was a QST article in the late 1950s or so, showing an adapter
> that used 'real' FM demodulation of the IF signal. Built around a
> BC-453 Command set. Had a 'scope built-in, IIRC. Complete receiving
> setup in a rack mountable unit, just feed the IF signal (190-550 kHz)
> from almost any superhet to it.
>
> I'm sure there were manufactured equivalents.
>
> > I'd have thought the old boy would have known all that
> > with his decades of experience.
>
> "It's a trap"...

I suppose we're doomed to another "I meant to do that".

> > With the BFO injection of most old
> > boatanchor receivers,
>

> (like the R-70?)

Naw, Len's relic has a good product detector. He could almost use the method he described except he would have no need to switch the AGC to the "off" position--just switch it to the "fast" position.

> > he'd likely hear little or no beat note
> > at all using the method he advocates.
> >
> Maybe that's the point...

If he couldn't copy it either way, what's the diff?

Dave K8MN

4911. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 16:55:51 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d48lun$p1s$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 106377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

groupk0hb@earthlink.net wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>
>>If you don't have room for good food then
>>you don't have room for junk.
>>
>
>
> Dessert is junk???? Not when K0CKB puts it on the dining table! Maybe
> you need some of her recipes, if your desserts are "junk"!

Refined sugar is indeed junk. No matter how good it tastes.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4912. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 16:56:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GWQ9e.9936$An2.2862@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:FLKdnRJYmvyihfrfRVn-3w@comcast.com...

>
> By the way I happen to think that all should be required to learn to swim
> whether or not they think they may use it. I happen to consider it a basic
> skill in life that all should know.
>

That's interesting. I tend to be more libertarian (small "l"), leaving such decisions up to the individual rather than a societal (government?) "all should be required" mandate. While it's easy to make the argument that swimming is a useful skill beyond it's recreational value (just as you might make a slightly weaker argument that Morse is a useful beyond it's recreational value), society really has no vested interest strong enough to dictate "all should be required" to develop the skill of swimming. If they did, then the next layer of busy-bodies would busy themselves deciding which swimming strokes ought to be required, which section of the beach we'd be allowed to use (depending on our tested swimming speed), and requiring that all non-swimmers live only in arid locations like Arizona and New Mexico.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4913. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 17:07:22 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d48mkb$p2c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 106379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:2L-dnYYyYJgtYvvfRVn-oA@adelphia.com...
>
>
>>..... my point that you can argue yourself out of any testing via your
>>argument......
>>
>
>
> No you can't.

Yes you can.

> I fully support a Morse familiarity test. Cross my heart and hope to die!
>
> I just don't support a "skill demonstration", unless you want to require a
> "skill demonstration" of every knowledge area on the test, and deny licenses to
> everyone who cannot demonstrate all required skills.

No other part of the testing is a skill. You can buy a Yeacomwood rig, have other people set up your antenna and setup. You can talk into a microphone, (assuming that people know to mash the PTT or adaptive device that performs the same function) and it will "work". I can buy or download and install the software that I use for PSK31. It's a major stretch to consider that a skill. More like knowledge.

But CW is a different matter. You aren't going to read a book and sit down at the key and start sending or receiving Morse. *Thst's* a skill.

Even with computer generated Morse and Receiving programs such as CWGet (all which do s so-so job of the mode) it is a valuable skill which can work with minimal equipment.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4914. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 17:24:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3lR9e.9955$An2.6487@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d48lun$p1s$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...

>
> Refined sugar is indeed junk. No matter how good it tastes.
>

How did "refined sugar" enter the conversation? Clearly you need to broaden
your culinary horizons!

Good luck on this one now!

73, de Hans, K0HB

4915. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 17:36:26 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uwR9e.9960$An2.3775@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d48mkb$p2c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...

> No other part of the testing is a skill.

My point EXACTLY, Mike.

While there are many skills associated with our hobby, only one of those skills is singled out for a required demonstration.

Interestingly, if that skill is so vital as to need a skill demonstration, it would seem that no-one who had not been tested would be allowed to use it on the air. Yet a basic Technician licensee is perfectly free to use Morse on the air without having passed a Morse test. So much for the need for a demonstration before a license grant!

73, de Hans, K0HB

4916. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 18:49:35 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1114109375.106574.52650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106382

> > Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> > per day, you don't have time to study the theory either.

> Was there anything incorrect or inaccurate in Dee's statement?

> Dave K8MN

No, and of course neither did I say there was.

It's a perfectly accurate statement, just like the following similar
statements:

Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
per day, you don't have time to take a shower either.

Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
per day, you don't have time to bathe the dog either.

Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
per day, you don't have time to mow the lawn either.

Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
per day, you don't have time to snuggle with your
spouse either.

Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
per day, you don't have time to eat dessert either.

Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
per day, you don't have time to play baseball with
the neighborhood kids either.

Just think of all the things you'd have time to do, if you'd just have
learned Morse code and gotten it out of the way! It's a wonder
everyone doesn't know Morse code, so they'd have time to to the things
they really WANTED to do!

73, de Hans, K0HB

.

4917. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 18:51:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ifb018d.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 106383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 12:55:51 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:

>>>If you don't have room for good food then
>>>you don't have room for junk.

>> Dessert is junk???? Not when K0CKB puts it on the dining table! Maybe
>> you need some of her recipes, if your desserts are "junk"!

>Refined sugar is indeed junk. No matter how good it tastes.

One of my wife's avocations is specialty dessert and cake catering
(she's taught that for years and at times has even made money doing
it commercially).

Her specialty is diabetic-safe products (I'm a diabetic) - low fat
and no refined (or unrefined) sugar. She duplicates about 95% of
what one can find on a fancy "sweet table" (marshmellow requires the
crystalline structure of "real" sugar) and I most certainly do not
suffer from a lack of "goodies" all year round.

Dessert is one of the basic food groups..... <ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4918. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 19:06:18 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <CEAOVXQN38463.879375@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106384

In article
<1114098711.785156.267460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> That wasn't ABOUT "The Internet", Blockhead.
>
> It's about your illegal broadcasting on Amatuer
frequencies...

It's "amateur", Nursie, you know, what you have been in every
facet in your entire life.

> What part of THAT don't YOU understand...?!?!

More lies from lying Nursie.

> Keeping foul mouthed punks like you from lowering the
common
> denominator of our society is hardly a game.

No, Nursie, it's your only life. You have little else.

4919. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 19:22:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <W3T9e.9865$yq6.4224@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> Dessert is one of the basic food groups..... <ggg>
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

If Mike and Dee say it's junk, then don't you be goin' messin' up their conservative minds with any such heresy. Besides, if you haven't time to learn Morse, then you ain't got no time to be eating no sweetened food. Clean up those green beans too, before you go study your code.

73, de Hans, K0HB
(My kids think I'm a real "mother"!)

4920. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 19:23:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114111379.967484.28120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106386

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> In article
> <1114098711.785156.267460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> > That wasn't ABOUT "The Internet", Blockhead.
> >
> > It's about your illegal broadcasting on Amatuer
> frequencies...
>
> It's "amateur", Nursie, you know, what you have been in every
> facet in your entire life.

I transposed two letters...Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh!

> > What part of THAT don't YOU understand...?!?!
>
> More lies from lying Nursie.

I'd ask you to specify what "lies", but you have the same problem
Brian P Burke has...No facts.

> > Keeping foul mouthed punks like you from lowering the
> common
> > denominator of our society is hardly a game.
>
> No, Nursie, it's your only life. You have little else.

Then there's a lie of yours, Anonymous Lying Coward. BIG lie.

Steve, K4YZ

4921. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 19:26:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114111598.873676.59900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106387

KØHB wrote:
> > > Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> > > per day, you don't have time to study the theory either.
>
> > Was there anything incorrect or inaccurate in Dee's statement?
>
> > Dave K8MN
>
> No, and of course neither did I say there was.
>
> It's a perfectly accurate statement, just like the following similar
> statements:
>
> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> per day, you don't have time to take a shower either.
>
> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> per day, you don't have time to bathe the dog either.
>
> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> per day, you don't have time to mow the lawn either.
>
> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> per day, you don't have time to snuggle with your
> spouse either.
>
> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> per day, you don't have time to eat dessert either.
>
> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> per day, you don't have time to play baseball with
> the neighborhood kids either.
>
> Just think of all the things you'd have time to do, if you'd just
have
> learned Morse code and gotten it out of the way! It's a wonder
> everyone doesn't know Morse code, so they'd have time to to the
things
> they really WANTED to do!

I suppose, Hans, it's ludicrous to point out that we were talking
about one set of requirements for an Amateur Radio license as opposed
to another set of requirements for the same license...???

Steve, K4YZ

4922. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 19:32:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114111949.582805.239710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106388

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:

> > > With the BFO injection of most old
> > > boatanchor receivers,
> > >
> > (like the R-70?)
> >
> Naw, Len's relic has a good product detector. He could almost use
the
> method he described except he would have no need to switch the AGC to
> the "off" position--just switch it to the "fast" position.

You'd think he'd be quick to put up some pics of "see...I've a
radio station too...", but no...Lennie doesn't seem to have mastered
getting pics onto AOL yet...

(At least not ones that don't show another man's bottom...)

Brag not got not, eh, Guys...???

Steve, K4YZ

4923. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 19:39:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qjT9e.11659$IP1.719@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106389

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114111598.873676.59900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

> I suppose, Hans, it's ludicrous to point out that
> we were talking about one set of requirements for
> an Amateur Radio license as opposed to another
> set of requirements for the same license...???

If you say it's ludicrous, then I certainly won't argue with you, so ......

"I agree, Steve. It's ludicrous to point that out. Thanks for bringing it up."

73, de Hans, K0HB

4924. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 19:54:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114113246.674233.231460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106390

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1114111598.873676.59900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> > I suppose, Hans, it's ludicrous to point out that
> > we were talking about one set of requirements for
> > an Amateur Radio license as opposed to another
> > set of requirements for the same license...???
>
> If you say it's ludicrous, then I certainly won't argue with you, so
......

Gee...they're right! You do get wiser with age!

> "I agree, Steve. It's ludicrous to point that out. Thanks for
bringing it up."

No problem. I realize that, at your age, maintaining focus may be
problematic from time to time. Always glad to help the aged and the
challenged to live a more fuller and productive lifestyle, Hans.

73 es my best to K0CKB.

Steve, K4YZ

4925. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 19:57:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1BT9e.11664$IP1.2129@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106391

> my best to K0CKB.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I'm sure your "best" would not be up to her standards.

With warmest personal regards,

Hans, K0HB

4926. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 20:01:23 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <nET9e.13335$44.12170@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
>
>>a cushy job when he saw it and such "motiviation" wouldn't be needed.
>
>
> Maybe for those who knew what was up then. But a helluva lot of
> conscipts are historically cluless no matter what era and want out at
> any cost and WW2 days were desperate times.
>

I had thought that WW2 was a popular war, in that most everyone
saw the need to do that war. Not like Vietnam, which seemed
to be a pointless quagmire, to be avoided at all costs.
```

4927. Re: Why Does Brian P Burke Manufacture Lies And Mistruths?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 20:05:52 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <AIT9e.13338$44.8870@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106394

Well, we have to manufacture something in the USA, as
auto and electronics manufacturing is all done in Asia
nowadays......

4928. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 20:07:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0KT9e.11665$IP1.1656@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:nET9e.13335$44.12170@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...

> I had thought that WW2 was a popular war, in that most everyone
> saw the need to do that war.

Every war is "popular" to a certain extent among those who aren't required to attend.

It becomes markedly less popular among those whose attendance is involuntary.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4929. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 20:17:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114114636.961447.104780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106395

KØHB wrote:
> > my best to K0CKB.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I'm sure your "best" would not be up to her standards.

Then you'd be wrong, Sir!

> With warmest personal regards,
>
> Hans, K0HB

Uh oh...no "73"...And it was looking so promising for a few moments
there...

73

Steve, K4YZ

4930. Re: Why Does Brian P Burke Manufacture Lies And Mistruths?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 20:21:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114114918.500471.157680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106396

robert casey wrote:
> Well, we have to manufacture something in the USA, as
> auto and electronics manufacturing is all done in Asia
> nowadays......

Robert, I had pretty much given the "RRAP Optimist" award to Mike,
KB3EIA...Guess I have to split it out!

Well done!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4931. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 20:22:36 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <c0079c093ee152bb2dbca53deea38f51@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106397

In article <d46mvmtis0@pita.alt.net>
"I Am Not Roger" <MeNo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:

>Social Outcase Assessment

"Outcase?" Don't you mean "outcast?"
MUWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!! You fucked up again!
If it spells like Mongolloyd, then it must be Mongolloyd.

4932. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 20:33:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <R6U9e.9879$yq6.3152@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106398

> Uh oh...no "73"...And it was looking so promising
> for a few moments there...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Nope, no "73". That went away when you saw fit to personalize the conversation with disparaging ad hominem remarks unrelated to the topic, and to bring uninvolved family members into the conversation.

Hans, K0HB

4933. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 20:42:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114116153.393255.320660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106399

KØHB wrote:
> > Uh oh...no "73"...And it was looking so promising
> > for a few moments there...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Nope, no "73". That went away when you saw fit to personalize the
conversation
> with disparaging ad hominem remarks unrelated to the topic, and to
bring
> uninvolved family members into the conversation.

Well...there YOU go stretching things for the sake of creating yet
another adverse thread, Hans...Guess it's useless trying to give that
which you feel others should take.

Nothing "ad hominem" was intended...Just some friendly ribbing and
a sincere "hello" to your other half since >YOU< have brought her up in
at least three other on-going threads, Hans.

But then I guess YOU are the only one who can be sincere,
Hans...?!?!

Sheeesh.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4934. Re: Morse gone in a year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 21:24:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1114118689.984407.281600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1113743129.236382.299700
> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> > Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> >> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
> >> news:V5b8e.1509$Bc7.4@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> >>
> >> : http://www.hamwave.com/cgi-bin/index.cgi?action=viewnews&id=689
> >>
> >> That link supposes that the fcc will delete the Morse requirement.
> >>
> >> Other insiders suggest fcc will NPRM will delete Morse only for
> >> General license, and will INCREASE the test to 20WPM for renewed
Extra
> >> class, downgrading all current "Extra Lite" licenses to
resurrected
> >> Advanced license (the second time this license has risen from the
> >> ashes). ARRL giveaway program will be denied.
> >>
> > All it really says is that the FCC is working on an NPRM that may
be
> > out as soon as next month or as late as July. That NPRM will
obviously
> > contain what FCC wants to do as a result of WRC 2003 and the 18
> > restructuring petitions.
> >
> > Once the NPRM becomes public, there will be a comment period, then
a
> > reply comment period. Couple of months at least, maybe longer.
Probably
> > the end of 2005 before comments close.

This is based on what FCC has done in the past.
> >
> > Then FCC will decide what to do and formulate a Report and Order.
Last
> > time they did this it took almost a year. Which translates to fall
> > 2006. Then a couple months before the new rules become effective -
> > maybe end of 2006.
> >
> > Of course it could take even longer, or maybe a bit less. But I
> > wouldn't expect any changes before summer 2006 - and wouldn't be
> > surprised if it were summer 2007.

> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> You're being a Jonah again, Jim.

Hello Alun,

I'm not sure what you mean by "being a Jonah".

Does it have anything to do with the bible story of Jonah and the whale - aka "You Can't Keep A Good Man Down"?

> the announcement guesstimates all done
> within a year, i.e. by spring '06.

So they meant *next* summer (2006), not *this* summer (2005).

> Sounds about right to me. Granted that
> the comments about what the FCC might do (and the title of the post) were
> all the poster's own, and not Hamwave's.

Exactly.

My time estimates are based on what FCC has done in the past on a number of issues. FCC doesn't seem to be in any big hurry to change the rules - heck, it's been over 5 years since the last restructure, over 21 months since WRC 2003 ended, and yet there's no NPRM on the street yet.

> My own crystal ball guess is that the FCC will just delete the code test
> and rearrange some subbands around this time next year. That's still much
> longer than I originally thought.

My guess is there will be some more-substantive changes, and that the code test deletion isn't a done deal - yet.

I base the above on the fact that FCC could have simply dumped Element 1 back in summer 2003, without an NPRM, comments, or any of the rest. They received at least two proposals to do just that. All it would take is for FCC to say, in effect: "This subject was discussed thoroughly back in 1998-1999, and we kept Element 1 only because of the treaty. Now the treaty's gone, so we're dropping Element 1." Or some such verbiage - the basic idea is still the same. There's a procedure for such changes.

Yet there have been no changes yet, just proposals *to* FCC, and comments.

Last time FCC did a restructure, the comment period was what - six-seven months or more? Then it took about 11 months for the Report

and Order, and another four months or so before the rules changed.
That's over 20 months from NPRM to new rules in effect. 21 months from
summer 2005 is spring 2007.

Maybe FCC will say something at Dayton. Maybe not.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4935. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 21:25:46 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <6OS8I50J38463.9762268519@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106451

In article <1114111379.967484.28120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> I transposed two letters...Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh!

And Lying Nursie lies some more.

> I'd ask you to specify what "lies", but you have the same
problem
> Brian P Burke has...No facts.

That's the pot calling the kettle black, lying hypocrite Nursie.
"What lies,?" all anybody has to do is Google your posts, Nursie.

> Then there's a lie of yours, Anonymous Lying Coward. BIG
lie.

Then you don't dispute that you are the rrap lying queen,nursie.
And still keeping that double standard again, I see.

4936. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 21:31:48 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <LvOdnfg2zc1EhvXfRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 106452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ifb018d.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 12:55:51 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>>>>If you don't have room for good food then
>>>>you don't have room for junk.
>
>>> Dessert is junk???? Not when K0CKB puts it on the dining table! Maybe
>>> you need some of her recipes, if your desserts are "junk"!
>
>>Refined sugar is indeed junk. No matter how good it tastes.
>
> One of my wife's avocations is specialty dessert and cake catering
> (she's taught that for years and at times has even made money doing
> it commercially).
>
> Her specialty is diabetic-safe products (I'm a diabetic) - low fat
> and no refined (or unrefined) sugar. She duplicates about 95% of
> what one can find on a fancy "sweet table" (marshmellow requires the
> crystalline structure of "real" sugar) and I most certainly do not
> suffer from a lack of "goodies" all year round.
>
> Dessert is one of the basic food groups..... <ggg>
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Well I've yet to find a "low fat" or "low/no sugar" food that tastes like
the real thing. It always tastes a little different. So my choice is
simply to bypass the desserts as much as I can discipline myself to do so
(sometimes I fail miserably).

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4937. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 21:33:23 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <GPKdnQcO_IGqgfXfRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 106453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:W3T9e.9865$yq6.4224@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
>> Dessert is one of the basic food groups..... <ggg>
>>
>> --
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>
>
> If Mike and Dee say it's junk, then don't you be goin' messin' up their
> conservative minds with any such heresy. Besides, if you haven't time to
> learn Morse, then you ain't got no time to be eating no sweetened food.
> Clean up those green beans too, before you go study your code.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> (My kids think I'm a real "mother"!)
>
>

It's self preservation actually. I have a real weakness for sweets and
can't stand the artificial stuff so my best bet is to bypass the stuff.
That's why I never keep this stuff at home.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4938. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 21:35:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1114119303.995100.267280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106455

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> > > > > With the RF Gain on maximum and AGC disabled, BFO on,
> > > > > one will eventually start "hearing morse code" on "the
> > > > > bands." :-)
> > > >
> > > > Wrong, Len. With the AGC disabled and BFO on, the *AF* gain
> > > > should be
> > > > at maximum and the RF gain used for volume control.
> > > >
> > > > For both SSB and CW/Morse reception
> > >
> > > ...and for RTTY.
> >
> > Well, that depends on the type of RTTY operation and equipment.
While
> > we hams usually use "SSB" detection of RTTY signals, and then an
audio
> > TU, there are other ways.
>
> While hams have used pure AFSK with FM at VHF, a BFO or product
detector
> in the SSB mode is the traditional of RTTY reception. It was also
the
> method used by USG agencies at HF.

Still, it could be done other ways.

> > There was a QST article in the late 1950s or so, showing an adapter
> > that used 'real' FM demodulation of the IF signal. Built around a
> > BC-453 Command set. Had a 'scope built-in, IIRC. Complete receiving
> > setup in a rack mountable unit, just feed the IF signal (190-550
kHz)
> > from almost any superhet to it.
> >
> > I'm sure there were manufactured equivalents.

A really good use for a Q5er, too. Selectivity was about right for the
850 shift that was common in those days. Plus it was a complete unit in
one package.

> > > I'd have thought the old boy would have known all that
> > > with his decades of experience.
> >
> > "It's a trap"...
>
> I suppose we're doomed to another "I meant to do that".

Yup.
>
> > > With the BFO injection of most old
> > > boatanchor receivers,
> >
> > (like the R-70?)
>
> Naw, Len's relic has a good product detector. He could almost use
the
> method he described except he would have no need to switch the AGC to
> the "off" position--just switch it to the "fast" position.

Point is, somebody who didn't know Len might read what he advised and
think it was the right way.

> > > he'd likely hear little or no beat note
> > > at all using the method he advocates.
> > >
> > Maybe that's the point...
>
> If he couldn't copy it either way, what's the diff?
>
Simple:

One of the arguments for keeping some sort of code test is that
hams do, indeed, use Morse Code. And on HF, they use it *a lot*.
Now whether that constitutes enough reason to keep the test is
purely a matter of opinion - but it *is* a reason.

As we have often seen, Len constantly minimizes and ignores the
role Morse Code plays and has played in radio communication. So
it's natural that he'd want to minimize reception of the mode..

Just a guess. But I don't see him thanking me for pointing out
his error, or even acknowledging it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4939. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 21:37:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42681D0C.A5614EF3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106454

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
>
> In article <42672FEA.28D1C36A@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > When have I done so, Roger/UnWiseman?
>
> You run your mouth all the time, Nazi.

You're hearing my voice? I'm only typing. I'm not affiliated with any National Socialist group anywhere on the planet. I can, however, understand how you might believe that anyone opposed to your vile postings would be considered to be a National Socialist. After all, there are any number of groups who would take offense at your output.

> Oh, and no matter how
> many times you blather that lie, I'm still not Roger Wiseman, un
> or not. And you and your toadie Nursie Steve are the biggest
> liars on the group.

It must be difficult for you, unable to come out as yourself, having to hide behind anonymous remailers because you fear the outcome if you reveal yourself. You're reduced to verbal sniping while hiding under a rock. You can only lose so many ISP's and you certainly don't want to give the authorities any easy evidence against you. If I were in your shoes, I'd deny being Wiseman too.

> > Nope, just yours.
>
> Nope. Not mine. Maybe Wiseman's. Thanks for aditting you did
> whine.

I'm sure that you consider anyone reporting vile comments about another, his wife, his children and his parents to be whining. You'll probably not understand why anyone would talk to the police after you'd issued posts about him in which you spew filth involving homosexual activities, pedophilia or incest.

> Why don't you whine to Toad's police chief?

Todd isn't local to me, hasn't posted anything about my wife, children or parents.

> Or you think
> you'd get told to f--k off and quit wasting valuable police
> resources, like before?

That certainly didn't happen the number of times I visited Glen Dale Police in your case, Roger/not Roger. They were familiar with you and asked that I forward e-mail and newsgroup posts. I've done so on a number of occasions. They certainly didn't view my visits as a waste of time or resources. They're concerned. You should be too.

> Or are you too f--king stupid to either ignore it or use a
> killfile?

I shouldn't have to put up with it at all. As far as a killfile is concerned, it'd work if you used one or two e-mail addresses but the material would still be there. If you'd use some self-control on your written form of Tourette's Syndrome, there'd be no need to killfile you.

> > Actually Actually Roger/Not Roger, Todd's post more closely resemble
> > your own. The vocabulary is similarly limited. You both have > > emotional problems and difficulty relating to society. Both of you
> > issue threats of violence which both of you are too timid to attempt
> > to carry out. The biggest difference between you is that Todd doesn't > > look like a Stephen King troll doll.

> <whines and backpedals from the Nazi with a fat gut
> and a bad comb-over>

Why, UnWiseman, are you fixated on my hair? Your powers of observation aren't very good and you're short. I have a full head of salt and pepper gray hair. Would you care for an 8 x 10 color glossy? Perhaps if you ever attend another Marshall County ARES meeting or a Field Day, I can arrange for a step stool.

> Actually, you are uninformative.

I can be quite informative, Roger/UnRoger. You need to turn your receiver on.

Poor Nazi.

You're 0 for 2. I'm neither poor nor a Nazi.

> Soothe your oh-so-damaged-ego by tapping out some Morse Code.

Well, there's a peculiar idea. Does that work for you?

Dave K8MN

4940. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 21:39:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <soWdncXOgKQmgPXfRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 106456

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114098711.785156.267460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> So ####head???
>
> Ooooooooooooooh! Come up with that ALL BY YOUR SELF...?!?!
>
>> > And Toddie forget's the STANDARDS that obscene and indecent speech
>> >must meet...One of them is that community standards must be
> met...And
>> >in the United States, most Amateurs DON'T want to hear graphic
>> >depictions of sex acts, the use of certain language, or
> "suggestions"
>> >of where to put razor-blade studded sex toys.
>>
>> Hey ####head, what part don't you understand?? this is the Internet,
> if
>> your so-called community standards applied on the internet all the
> porn
>> sites would be gone....but they are not.
>
> That wasn't ABOUT "The Internet", Blockhead.
>
> It's about your illegal broadcasting on Amatuer frequencies...
>
> What part of THAT don't YOU understand...?!?!
>
>> I know little commies bible bangers like you want to push your
>> censorship onto people, but I don't play that game.
>
> Keeping foul mouthed punks like you from lowering the common
> denominator of our society is hardly a game.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Perhaps we should adopt the practice of "shunning" to deal with such people. If they are treated as if they were invisible, they'll get tired of the game since they get no response and no one will talk to them between times either.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4941. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 21:46:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42681F41.841B113B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106457

"A.Melon" wrote:
>
> In article <d46mvmtis0@pita.alt.net>
> "I Am Not Roger" <MeNo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:
> >
> > What would people call you as they pointed and laughed if
> your life were to
> > go downhill. Or, if you are already past that step, let's
> assess your value
> > as a SOCIAL OUTCAST!
> >
> > Well Wogie, <IKYABWAI>
>
> Well, Dave "Nazi" Heil, you must've been looking for the site to
> take the assessment in the first place!
> After all, you've done NOTHING for amateur radio or the world at
> large.

You thought you were responding to me, Roger? I don't have any need to hide behind anonymous remailers.

You asked sometime ago what I'd done for amateur radio. I provided a number of items which are easily verified. How about you answering that same question? We know about your notorious interactions with the FCC. Why not provide us even a one-liner with the things you've done for and not to amateur radio? QRMing stations on 20m and getting involved in an SSB version of the stuff you post here don't count.

Would you care to astound us with your contributions to your community, county, state or nation? Maybe you could come up with commendations from some of your many friends. Perhaps you're involved with your church or a civic organization. Let us know.

Dave K8MN

4942. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 21:48:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42681FA0.C66684EE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106458

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>
> In article <yvudaanW75rKho_jcRn-rQ@gelded.not>
> "yojimbo" <thom...@snotmail.net> wrote:
> >
> > On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 02:40:47 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous wrote:
> > >
> > > Why don't you put him up in your tin can then? Then you can
> > > bitchslap each other, because you really can't do much of
> > > anything else.
> > >
> >
> > That isn't what you said <Lardass Lloyd's denial that Oris
> came around to his HUD apartment and hid behind the front door
> safety chain>
>
> Wrong, Lardass. Here's the original post (and I'm not on AOL):

Gosh, it looks like you're back to being Roger Wiseman! You keep tripping over your intellect, UnWiseman.

Dave K8MN

4943. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 21:50:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4268204A.1A21D228@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106459

Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
> > > Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> > > per day, you don't have time to study the theory either.
>
> > Was there anything incorrect or inaccurate in Dee's statement?
>
> > Dave K8MN
>
> No, and of course neither did I say there was.
>
> It's a perfectly accurate statement, just like the following similar
> statements:
>
> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> per day, you don't have time to take a shower either.
>
> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> per day, you don't have time to bathe the dog either.
>
> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> per day, you don't have time to mow the lawn either.
>
> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> per day, you don't have time to snuggle with your
> spouse either.
>
> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> per day, you don't have time to eat dessert either.
>
> Besides if you haven't time to study code 15 minutes
> per day, you don't have time to play baseball with
> the neighborhood kids either.
>
> Just think of all the things you'd have time to do, if you'd just have
> learned Morse code and gotten it out of the way! It's a wonder
> everyone doesn't know Morse code, so they'd have time to to the things
> they really WANTED to do!

Those would all be swell if the object wasn't to obtain an HF amateur
radio license.

Dave K8MN

4944. Re: K4YZ ANSWER MY QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 22:10:42 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <5556fa6fe10d5177594cbb7444f8f0d7@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106460

In article
<1114090331.719911.301290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> > In article <42672FEA.28D1C...@earthlink.net>
>
> > And you and your toadie Nursie Steve are the biggest
> > liars on the group.
>
> The simple fact that you won't sign your name and take
> resposibility for your own opinions make YOU and your other
Anonymous
> Lying Coward buddies that....Even ahead of Lennie, Brain and
Todd.

More of that double standard, Nursie? If I would have agreed
with you, like that "anonymous coward"
whose identity you said "didn't matter", then using anonimity
would be all right in your book. Or are you proclaiming your
hypocrisy in plain view?

> Considering how easy it is to obtain Viagra, Cialis, etc,

So are you advocating stealing prescription drugs, Nursie?
Most people have to have their Doctor prescribe those before
they can "get" them.

> I'd thing you

Nursie, you'd better get some remedial English, don't you
"think"?

> little boys would have stopped this behaviour and gotten on
> with your lives.

Oh, Nursie, you know you like dancing for us.

> Oh well.

That is sort of what your wife says when you beg her to have sex
with you, isn't it?

4945. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 22:32:38 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ifbaaej.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 106461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 17:31:48 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:

>Well I've yet to find a "low fat" or "low/no sugar" food that tastes like
>the real thing. It always tastes a little different. So my choice is
>simply to bypass the desserts as much as I can discipline myself to do so
>(sometimes I fail miserably).

As the old Ross Bagdasarian/Rosemary Cloony song of the late '40s
went: "C'mon a' my house, my house, I'm gonna' give you candy...."

That's why she's a specialist......the "store-bought" stuff can't
make it, and the sweetener used in most of those products (Sorbitol
or Manitol) is colloquialy known as "Laxitol".

Since the commercial sweetener Sucralose went "retail" under the
name of "Splenda" it's a lot easier to get the right taste.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4946. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 22:56:43 UTC
From: Jerry <n9lya@blueriver.net>
Message-ID: <116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 106462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

I am not a proponent of K1MAN.... but you guys are just jealous.. 2+2 = 4 in
this universe..

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:G_2dnV1ZssBVYvvfRVn-og@gbronline.com...
> Michael Gardner wrote:
>> In article <d41k95$pq0$0@pita.alt.net>,
>> "manisheretostay" <Fan@manisheretostayho.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"John" <janorfolk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1113870622.673082.39310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>>Sorry, folks, k1man.com is back up.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Much to chagrin of his detractors.
>>
>>
>> oh, I don't know.... its such a cheezy web site - its a lot like taking a
>> "kick me" sign on his back only you don't have to sneak up on him to do
>> it.
>
> Those stupid animated goofy graphics really make it cheezy. Looks like it
> was done by some 13 year old.

4947. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:14:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <dKednW0e0eQdzPXfRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106493

Dave Heil wrote:

>
> Would you care to astound us with your contributions to your community,
> county, state or nation? Maybe you could come up with commendations
> from some of your many friends. Perhaps you're involved with your
> church or a civic organization. Let us know.
>
> Dave K8MN

Wogie thinks having to retest for his ham license because he jammed on
20 meters is contributing to ham radio. Two-test wogie, poor dumb moron.

4948. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:41:28 UTC
From: "Joe S." <nobody@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <d49dn6020mp@news4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 106480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"John" <janorfolk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1113870622.673082.39310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Sorry, folks, k1man.com is back up.
>

Just surfed around his site. This guy is a dingbat. Worse, he takes
himself seriously.

4949. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:41:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <dcKdncJfVcW2p_XfRVn-iQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d48lun$p1s$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
>>Refined sugar is indeed junk. No matter how good it tastes.
>>
>
> How did "refined sugar" enter the conversation? Clearly you need to broaden
> your culinary horizons!

Tell me of your desserts?

- Mike KB3EIA -

4950. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:44:44 UTC
From: KHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MVW9e.10064$An2.3622@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:dcKdncJfVcW2p_XfRVn-iQ@adelphia.com...

>
> Tell me of your desserts?
>

Is your QRZ snail mail address OK? I'll send you some excellent recipes.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4951. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:45:59 UTC
From: I Am Not Roger <MeNoJew@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d49e01roh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106465

"Italy Anonymous Remailer" <nobody@See.Comments.Header> wrote in message
news:CEAOVXQN38463.879375@anonymous.poster...
In article
<1114098711.785156.267460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
> That wasn't ABOUT "The Internet", Blockhead.
>
> It's about your illegal broadcasting on Amatuer
frequencies...

It's "amateur", Nursie, you know, what you have been in every
facet in your entire life.

> What part of THAT don't YOU understand...?!?!

More lies from lying Nursie.

> Keeping foul mouthed punks like you from lowering the
common
> denominator of our society is hardly a game.

No, Nursie, it's your only life. You have little else.

...and you have Lloyd, Roger.

4952. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:46:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rXW9e.10066$An2.4273@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...

> 2+2 = 4 in this universe..

2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"

4953. Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·146

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:48:34 UTC
From: RonGrossi382769@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114122710.420846.178710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106467
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven which is described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is to be saved so that we can live forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord and believe in your heart that God raised Him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and in three days come back to life

again and it happens then this person must be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God to save you. Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus

Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to
place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
may never get another chance to do so
because you do not know when you will die.

Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and
the life: no one can come to the
Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me."
(John 14:6)

This means that if you die without
trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
Saviour you will be forever separated from
the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
Only then will you have true peace in your
life knowing that no matter what happens you
are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

*Show this to your family and friends so
they can know that they have a choice
where they will spend eternity. Thanks!

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

4954. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:49:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <LvqdnZlYCaCcofXfRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 12:55:51 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
>
>
>>>>If you don't have room for good food then
>>>>you don't have room for junk.
>
>
>>>Dessert is junk???? Not when K0CKB puts it on the dining table! Maybe
>>>you need some of her recipes, if your desserts are "junk"!
>
>
>>Refined sugar is indeed junk. No matter how good it tastes.
>
>
> One of my wife's avocations is specialty dessert and cake catering
> (she's taught that for years and at times has even made money doing
> it commercially).
>
> Her specialty is diabetic-safe products (I'm a diabetic) - low fat
> and no refined (or unrefined) sugar. She duplicates about 95% of
> what one can find on a fancy "sweet table" (marshmellow requires the
> crystalline structure of "real" sugar) and I most certainly do not
> suffer from a lack of "goodies" all year round.

Sounds awfully yummy, Phil. I'm not anti-sweet, just anti-sugar.

> Dessert is one of the basic food groups..... <ggg>

In my family, desserts were not really all that big a thing. Some
sweets around the holidays, but otherwise we were (are) big meat eaters.
Kinda like leftover hunter gatherers... 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

4955. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:50:24 UTC
From: I Am Not Roger <MeNoJew@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d49e89sch0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106469

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:42681F41.841B113B@earthlink.net...
"A.Melon" wrote:
>
> In article <d46mvmtis0@pita.alt.net>
> "I Am Not Roger" <MeNo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:
> >
> > What would people call you as they pointed and laughed if
> your life were to
> > go downhill. Or, if you are already past that step, let's
> assess your value
> > as a SOCIAL OUTCAST!
> >
> > Well Wogie, <IKYABWAI>
>
> Well, Dave "Nazi" Heil, you must've been looking for the site to
> take the assessment in the first place!
> After all, you've done NOTHING for amateur radio or the world at
> large.

You thought you were responding to me, Roger? I don't have any need to
hide behind anonymous remailers.

You asked sometime ago what I'd done for amateur radio. I provided a
number of items which are easily verified. How about you answering that
same question? We know about your notorious interactions with the FCC.
Why not provide us even a one-liner with the things you've done for and
not to amateur radio? QRMing stations on 20m and getting involved in an
SSB version of the stuff you post here don't count.

Would you care to astound us with your contributions to your community,
county, state or nation? Maybe you could come up with commendations
from some of your many friends. Perhaps you're involved with your
church or a civic organization. Let us know.

Dave K8MN

....

Weeeellll......Roger is the reason the local grade school hired a full time
policeman. I guess you could say that Roger helped create another job????

4956. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:50:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114121667.939249.212580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106470

K4YZ wrote:
> According to his eHam profile, Toddie is an...
>
> ARMY MARS MEMBER!
>
> QUOTE
>
> I am a member of the following ham radio clubs...
> Army M.A.R.S. Christian County Amateur Radio Club (CCARC)
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Good thing "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" doesn't apply to MARS, eh,
> Toddie...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, what's it to you? You have no understanding of MARS.

4957. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:52:19 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114122778.917342.237790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> >
> > Dessert is one of the basic food groups..... <ggg>
> >
> > --
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
>
> If Mike and Dee say it's junk, then don't you be goin' messin' up
their
> conservative minds with any such heresy. Besides, if you haven't
time to learn
> Morse, then you ain't got no time to be eating no sweetened food.
Clean up
> those green beans too, before you go study your code.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> (My kids think I'm a real "mother"!)

Or push back from the table a little earlier than usual before having a
photo taken in a flight suit.

4958. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:53:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114122931.551513.312950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106472

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1114111598.873676.59900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> > I suppose, Hans, it's ludicrous to point out that
> > we were talking about one set of requirements for
> > an Amateur Radio license as opposed to another
> > set of requirements for the same license...???
>
> If you say it's ludicrous, then I certainly won't argue with you, so
.....
>
> "I agree, Steve. It's ludicrous to point that out. Thanks for
bringing it up."
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Now that's telling him what he wants to hear. Hi!

4959. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:53:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421195334.773$W9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 106473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
>
> > 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
>
> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
>
Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)

4960. Third grade was awfully hard on Woger.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:54:48 UTC
From: I Ain't Roger Either <MeNotRoger@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d49egj$t7e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"aditting" Darn those typos!

"abckpedals" His greasy hair got in his eyes again

"shreeched" Wasn't he the guy in a sit com? Screech?

"stuid" Pot calling the kettle black?

"heared" That's West Virginia for heard.

"assuslted" Rog just makes em' up as he goes along.

"experieince" Oh, DUH!

"abouve" Is this a French word?

"Cosast" Some place by a body of water?

"mebership", Just a typo, right?

"retqards" Regards or Retards? Who can tell?

"coordinbator" Huh?

"Realy" Really?

"Nitoiced" Keyboard error or stupidity?

Becasue

Licence

Convienince

Myabe

"occurance" Say what?

"srtuggling"

"definatrely"

"delapidated" What a dufus.

"picutre"

"permenatly" Ebonics again?

"Arest" You need a rest, Wogie.

"Sentenceing" No comment.

"Narcotices" What?

"Undre" Is this a city in Germany?

"Surgeoun" And this would be?

"Commuications" Who is laughing at who?

"Ovre" Ovre and out!

"Wondreful" It's a "wondreful" day in the neighborhood?

"Derivitave" Still no spell-checker, eh?

"You lose, shit for brians." Woger showing who real loser is.

"Deisowned" Deis what?

"Deeppest" Roger strikes again.

"Dodgeing". Is he talking about a car?

"Staedy" Steady?

"Linme" Transmission line?

"Getr" Nobody knows.

"Experieince" Wogie is good, ain't he?

"Appropiate" What kind of opiate?

"Laywer" Lay what?

"Visitpors" You never have any, ergo you don't know how to spell it.

"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling complainant.)

"Irreevant" Good going, Wogie.

"Forwared" Forward HO!

"Glady" Glad Bags?

"Neighborhod" It's a wonderful day in Wogie's neighborhood.

"Mena" Mean? What you mean, Wogie?

"Notr" Not?

"Sassifyed" ALA Rolling Stones.No Sassifaction..

"Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman.

"Illarate" West BY GOD Virginny!

"Reveresed" Must be one of those off-brand keyboards.

"Soem".as in Some? What a loser.

"VIRIN".What Wogie was before he met the Lavender Lads.

"Minisule".he mussa meant miniscule. Like his IQ.

"Champoin".Whassup, Wiseman? No dictionaries in the mental ward?

"Anoinymous"...a cross between anonymous and annoying?

"Acident" Roger's mom got preggers by accident.

"Alreaedy" Alright, already!

"Amouints" Roger amounts to nothing.

"Aposterphe" This guy is a hoot, ain't he?

"Basios"...word Woger uses when he gets neeeerrrrvous.
"Behing"...a cross between being and behind?

"Coiward" As in peeking from behind his mommy's curtains.
"Feamle"...I think he meant female.
"Losser"...only loser Roger can do it better.
"Ignroant"...typical, considering the source.
"Sluprs"...only Rogie knows what this means.
"Travelled"...a Rogerism, replete with ignorance.
"Postss"...pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
"Defgenerate"...well, it's Roger's word...
"Faity"...he meant to say Fairy, but you know Roger.

"Ghet" Get a load of Curtain Boy!
"Distribiution"...Roger likes using phonics.
"Biotch"...he meant Bitch, but his phonics took over again.
"Methjadone"...a West Virginia substitute for Heroin.
"Sumbliminal"...one of Roger's favorites.
"Recepticle"...either of Roger's moist cavaties.
"Cociane"...a West Virginia drug of choice?
"Tiome"...Roger meant to say Time...but his fingers were numb.
"Beraking"...no definition. Could mean 'beraking' the leaves,
"Amny"...he meant 'any',
"Nlay"...a town in Maylaysia? Vermont?
"Al;ong"...only Wussman knows what this means.
"Becasu"...well, becasu Roger is not the brightest bulb on the tree.
"Seperation". Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate" from his mommie!
"Sisnce" He meant Since, but his pudgy little fingers slipped off the keys.
"gnetically" What?
"provce" (prove?)
"Piuzza" (West Virginia Pizza)
"Schol" (school?)
"strill" (still?)
"shoitgun" 12 ga or 20 ga?
"Snoip" (How they spell "snip" in Glen Dale)
"Maturbater". Wiseman does it all the time, he just can't spell it.(leaves a sticky residue on his right hand)
"Coskscuking". It's a Roger thing, as in Lavender Lads.

"Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale, eh?
"Spaeaking". Curtain Boy did this after his second retest.

" Stgop" Roger's version of Stop.

"Fahter's" He meant Father's Day.

"fserting"..would that be festering?

"poists". Roger meant "posts".

"snadwich" As in peanut butter and jelly?

"regualr" Glen Dale rendition of "regular".

"aftrer" After? Aftrer what?

"soory". Sorry Roger fucks up again.

"didnb't" He didn't?

"whoile". He ate the whoile thing?

"Woamn" He's hooked on West Virginia phonics, all right.

"prrof" And he kin prove it, too.

"beforfe" Was that before he lurned to spell?

"yoiuy" Roger's version of "y'all".

"illerate" Only illiterate Roger can spell like this.

"haeart" Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!

"valule" Roger has no values. No wonder he can't spell it.

"proch" Doesn't everyone have one on their house?

"inmstitution" Where Roger's mom put him in June 1999.

"timne" It's Howdy Doody time?

"whgo" What? Who dat?

"gnetically" Only Roger knows what this one means.

"crfy" Like, cry, Roger, cry.

"stutrtering" Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.

"Whjere" Where is Curtain Boy?

"Webiste" That's Website to the rest of us.

"Youire" Your? You're? Who knows.

"fiored" As in fired?

"Parety" Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.

"Bouynce" Bounce?

"Varification" Verifiable mental case.

"Probelms" Poor Rogie.has many problems of the mental sort.

"Partricipate" Oh, DUH!!!!

"Cryed" Gee, Wogie..cry me a river.you dolt!

"Stoick" What a dork. His old 386 has no spell check program.

"Partiall" More WV Phonics, I see.

"Obsucred" Either obscured or Rogie has "sucre" on his mind.

"Infinate" Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.

"Sustinance" As in Sustenance?

"Juvinile". This is the way Roger likes his boys.

"Piut" Put it where, Rogie?

"Toyus". "Toyus R Us?

"Diminuative". Roger's height.

"Definitely" Definitely

"Percriptions" Say what?

"Credibiliy" More Glen Dale intellect.

"Thsoe" Yet another Glen Dale gaffe.

4961. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:55:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114122346.443394.73010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d48mkb$p2c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
> > No other part of the testing is a skill.
>
> My point EXACTLY, Mike.
>
> While there are many skills associated with our hobby, only one of
those skills
> is singled out for a required demonstration.
>
> Interestingly, if that skill is so vital as to need a skill
demonstration, it
> would seem that no-one who had not been tested would be allowed to
use it on the
> air. Yet a basic Technician licensee is perfectly free to use Morse
on the air
> without having passed a Morse test. So much for the need for a
demonstration
> before a license grant!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

I wonder how Dee would accomodate the disabled examinees for the Tower Climbing pass/fail exam.

4962. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:56:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114122555.866565.213790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d48mkb$p2c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
> > No other part of the testing is a skill.
>
> My point EXACTLY, Mike.
>
> While there are many skills associated with our hobby, only one of
those skills
> is singled out for a required demonstration.
>
> Interestingly, if that skill is so vital as to need a skill
demonstration, it
> would seem that no-one who had not been tested would be allowed to
use it on the
> air. Yet a basic Technician licensee is perfectly free to use Morse
on the air
> without having passed a Morse test. So much for the need for a
demonstration
> before a license grant!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

I wonder how VE Dee would accomodate the disabled in the Tower Climbing pass/fail skill exam?

4963. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:56:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114123094.373884.188820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106476

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > > Uh oh...no "73"...And it was looking so promising
> > > for a few moments there...
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Nope, no "73". That went away when you saw fit to personalize the
> conversation
> > with disparaging ad hominem remarks unrelated to the topic, and to
> bring
> > uninvolved family members into the conversation.
>
> Well...there YOU go stretching things for the sake of creating
yet
> another adverse thread, Hans...

That was rich. We must still be on the dessert thread.

4964. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:56:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <odGdna5arP0-oPXfRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

>> Dessert is one of the basic food groups..... <ggg>
>>
>>--
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>
>
>
> If Mike and Dee say it's junk, then don't you be goin' messin' up their
> conservative minds with any such heresy.

I don't recall saying dessert was junk.

> Besides, if you haven't time to learn
> Morse, then you ain't got no time to be eating no sweetened food. Clean up
> those green beans too, before you go study your code.

I just finished up my dinner of Sausage and Green beans. Lots of green
beans. No dessert, and didn't miss it either. Might have bit of sugar
free gelatin later for a snack.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> (My kids think I'm a real "mother"!)

I think you're ok...

- Mike KB3EIA -

4965. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-21 23:59:49 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114123207.571419.95610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1114046441.199955.150800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> > I can already read Steve's mind at 20WPM. ;^)
>
> Because you've memorized the answers.
>
> dit dit

>From the entire Question Pool?

4966. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 00:02:25 UTC
From: Lloyd <radioclub@lloydsradioclub.net>
Message-ID: <d49esd$u65$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106481

"I Am Not Roger" <MeNoJew@NotRoger.com> wrote in message
news:d49e89sch0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:42681F41.841B113B@earthlink.net...
> "A.Melon" wrote:
>>
>> In article <d46mvmtis0@pita.alt.net>
>> "I Am Not Roger" <MeNo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > What would people call you as they pointed and laughed if
>> your life were to
>> > go downhill. Or, if you are already past that step, let's
>> assess your value
>> > as a SOCIAL OUTCAST!
>> >
>> > Well Wogie, <IKYABWAI>
>>
>> Well, Dave "Nazi" Heil, you must've been looking for the site to
>> take the assessment in the first place!
>> After all, you've done NOTHING for amateur radio or the world at
>> large.
>
> You thought you were responding to me, Roger? I don't have any need to
> hide behind anonymous remailers.
>
> You asked sometime ago what I'd done for amateur radio. I provided a
> number of items which are easily verified. How about you answering that
> same question? We know about your notorious interactions with the FCC.
> Why not provide us even a one-liner with the things you've done for and
> not to amateur radio? QRMing stations on 20m and getting involved in an
> SSB version of the stuff you post here don't count.
>
> Would you care to astound us with your contributions to your community,
> county, state or nation? Maybe you could come up with commendations
> from some of your many friends. Perhaps you're involved with your
> church or a civic organization. Let us know.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
> ....
>
> Weeeellll......Roger is the reason the local grade school hired a full
> time

> policeman. I guess you could say that Roger helped create another job????
>
>

LOL!

73,

Lloyd

4967. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 00:02:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114120940.716456.140960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106484

> And Toddie forget's the STANDARDS that obscene and indecent speech
>must meet...One of them is that community standards must be met...And
>in the United States, most Amateurs DON'T want to hear graphic
>depictions of sex acts, the use of certain language, or "suggestions"
>of where to put razor-blade studded sex toys.

Well STEVE, I've never used obscene and indecent material on the
radio....see if you would listen to my information bulletin you would
know that. but like the redneck CB operator your are you only put out
the half truths out. I'm sure you and your little boyfriend Phil has
also told only half truth's to the FCC Amateur Auxiliary and the FCC
like the little lying crybabies you really are.

>And the "inteference" would multiply exponentially...from all the
>carriers, QRM, cat calls, etc that would inevitibly
follow...ESPECIALLY
>with creeps like N9OGL doing the "programming"....

I'm sure you and your little crackwhore boyfriends on here will be the
ones causing the interference, cat calls and everything else, it sounds
like something you and these ARRL-assholes on here would do.

Todd N9OGL

4968. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 00:03:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114120859.151643.52300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106482

> And Toddie forget's the STANDARDS that obscene and indecent speech
>must meet...One of them is that community standards must be met...And
>in the United States, most Amateurs DON'T want to hear graphic
>depictions of sex acts, the use of certain language, or "suggestions"
>of where to put razor-blade studded sex toys.

Well STEVE, I've never used obscene and indecent material on the
radio....see if you would listen to my information bulletin you would
know that. but like the redneck CB operator your are you only put out
the half truths out. I'm sure you and your little boyfriend Phil has
also told only half truth's to the FCC Amateur Auxiliary and the FCC
like the little lying crybabies you really are.

>And the "inteference" would multiply exponentially...from all the
>carriers, QRM, cat calls, etc that would inevitibly
follow...ESPECIALLY
>with creeps like N9OGL doing the "programming"....

I'm sure you and your little crackwhore boyfriends on here will be the
ones causing the interference, cat calls and everything else, it sounds
like something you and these ARRL-assholes on here would do.

Todd N9OGL

4969. Re: Third grade was awfully hard on Woger.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 00:03:30 UTC
From: Lloyd <radioclub@lloydsradioclub.net>
Message-ID: <d49eug$ub5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106483

"I Ain't Roger Either" <MeNotRoger@NotRoger.com> wrote in message
news:d49egj$t7e$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>
>
>
> "aditting" Darn those typos!
>
> "abckpedals" His greasy hair got in his eyes again
>
> "shreeched" Wasn't he the guy in a sit com? Screech?
>
> "stuid" Pot calling the kettle black?
>
> "heared" That's West Virginia for heard.
>
> "assuslted" Rog just makes em' up as he goes along.
>
> "experieince" Oh, DUH!
>
> "abouve" Is this a French word?
>
> "Cosast" Some place by a body of water?
>
> "mebership", Just a typo, right?
>
> "retqards" Regards or Retards? Who can tell?
>
> "coordinbator" Huh?
>
> "Realy" Really?
>
> "Nitoiced" Keyboard error or stupidity?
>
> Becasue
>
> Licence
>
> Convienince
>
> Myabe
>
> "occurance" Say what?
>

> "srtuggling"
>
> "definatrely"
>
> "delapidated" What a dufus.
>
> "picutre"
>
> "permenatly" Ebonics again?
>
> "Arest" You need a rest, Wogie.
>
> "Sentenceing" No comment.
>
> "Narcotices" What?
>
> "Undre" Is this a city in Germany?
>
> "Surgeoun" And this would be?
>
> "Commuications" Who is laughing at who?
>
> "Ovre" Ovre and out!
>
> "Wondreful" It's a "wondreful" day in the neighborhood?
>
> "Derivitave" Still no spell-checker, eh?
>
> "You lose, shit for brians." Woger showing who real loser is.
>
> "Deisowned" Deis what?
>
> "Deeppest" Roger strikes again.
>
> "Dodgeing". Is he talking about a car?
>
> "Staedy" Steady?
>
> "Linme" Transmission line?
>
> "Getr" Nobody knows.
>
> "Experieince" Wogie is good, ain't he?
>
> "Appropiate" What kind of opiate?
>
> "Laywer" Lay what?
>
> "Visitpors" You never have any, ergo you don't know how to spell it.
>

> "It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling
> complainant.)
>
> "Irreevant" Good going, Wogie.
>
> "Forwared" Forward HO!
>
> "Glady" Glad Bags?
>
> "Neighborhod" It's a wonderful day in Wogie's neighborhood.
>
> "Mena" Mean? What you mean, Wogie?
>
> "Notr" Not?
>
> "Sassifyed" ALA Rolling Stones.No Sassifaction..
>
> "Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman.
>
> "Illarate" West BY GOD Virginny!
>
> "Reveresed" Must be one of those off-brand keyboards.
>
> "Soem".as in Some? What a loser.
>
> "VIRIN".What Wogie was before he met the Lavender Lads.
>
> "Minisule".he mussa meant miniscule. Like his IQ.
>
> "Champoin".Whassup, Wiseman? No dictionaries in the mental ward?
>
> "Anoinymous"...a cross between anonymous and annoying?
>
> "Acident" Roger's mom got preggers by accident.
>
> "Alreaedy" Alright, already!
>
> "Amouints" Roger amounts to nothing.
>
> "Aposterphe" This guy is a hoot, ain't he?
>
> "Basios"...word Woger uses when he gets neeeerrrrvous.
> "Behing"...a cross between being and behind?
>
> "Coiward" As in peeking from behind his mommy's curtains.
> "Feamle"...I think he meant female.
> "Losser"...only loser Roger can do it better.
> "Ignroant"...typical, considering the source.
> "Sluprs"...only Rogie knows what this means.
> "Travelled"...a Rogerism, replete with ignorance.

> "Postss"...pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
> "Defgenerate"...well, it's Roger's word...
> "Faity"...he meant to say Fairy, but you know Roger.
>
> "Ghet" Get a load of Curtain Boy!
> "Distribiution"...Roger likes using phonics.
> "Biotch"...he meant Bitch, but his phonics took over again.
> "Methjadone"...a West Virginia substitute for Heroin.
> "Sumbliminal"...one of Roger's favorites.
> "Recepticle"...either of Roger's moist cavaties.
> "Cociane"...a West Virginia drug of choice?
> "Tiome"...Roger meant to say Time...but his fingers were numb.
> "Beraking"...no definition. Could mean 'beraking' the leaves,
> "Amny"...he meant 'any',
> "Nlay"...a town in Maylaysia? Vermont?
> "Al;ong"...only Wussman knows what this means.
> "Becasu"...well, becasu Roger is not the brightest bulb on the tree.
> "Seperation". Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate" from his mommie!
> "Sisnce" He meant Since, but his pudgy little fingers slipped off the
> keys.
> "gnetically" What?
> "provce" (prove?)
> "Piuzza" (West Virginia Pizza)
> "Schol" (school?)
> "strill" (still?)
> "shoitgun" 12 ga or 20 ga?
> "Snoip" (How they spell "snip" in Glen Dale)
> "Maturbater". Wiseman does it all the time, he just can't spell it.(leaves
> a
> sticky residue on his right hand)
> "Coskscuking". It's a Roger thing, as in Lavender Lads.
> "Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale, eh?
> "Spaeaking". Curtain Boy did this after his second retest.
>
> " Stgop" Roger's version of Stop.
>
> "Fahter's" He meant Father's Day.
>
> "fserting"..would that be festering?
>
> "poists". Roger meant "posts".
>
> "snadwich" As in peanut butter and jelly?
>
> "regualr" Glen Dale rendition of "regular".
>
> "aftrer" After? Aftrer what?
>
> "soory". Sorry Roger fucks up again.
>

> "didnb't" He didn't?
>
> "whoile". He ate the whoile thing?
>
> "Woamn" He's hooked on West Virginia phonics, all right.
>
> "prrof" And he kin prove it, too.
>
> "beforfe" Was that before he lurned to spell?
>
> "yoiuy" Roger's version of "y'all".
>
> "illerate" Only illiterate Roger can spell like this.
>
> "haeart" Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!
>
> "valule" Roger has no values. No wonder he can't spell it.
>
> "proch" Doesn't everyone have one on their house?
>
> "inmstitution" Where Roger's mom put him in June 1999.
>
> "timne" It's Howdy Doody time?
>
> "whgo" What? Who dat?
>
> "gnetically" Only Roger knows what this one means.
>
> "crfy" Like, cry, Roger, cry.
>
> "stutrtering" Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.
>
> "Whjere" Where is Curtain Boy?
>
> "Webiste" That's Website to the rest of us.
>
> "Youire" Your? You're? Who knows.
>
> "fiored" As in fired?
>
> "Parety" Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.
>
> "Bouynce" Bounce?
>
> "Varification" Verifiable mental case.
>
> "Probelms" Poor Rogie.has many problems of the mental sort.
>
> "Partricipate" Oh, DUH!!!!
>

> "Cryed" Gee, Wogie..cry me a river.you dolt!
>
> "Stoick" What a dork. His old 386 has no spell check program.
>
> "Partiall" More WV Phonics, I see.
>
> "Obsucred" Either obscured or Rogie has "sucre" on his mind.
>
> "Infinate" Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.
>
> "Sustinance" As in Sustenance?
>
> "Juvinile". This is the way Roger likes his boys.
>
> "Piut" Put it where, Rogie?
>
> "Toyus". "Toyus R Us?
>
> "Diminuative". Roger's height.
>
> "Definitely" Definitely
>
> "Percriptions" Say what?
>
> "Credibiliy" More Glen Dale intellect.
>
> "Thsoe" Yet another Glen Dale gaffe.
>
>

LOL!

73,

Lloyd

4970. EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 00:06:17 UTC
From: G1LVN <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114124760.358841.117090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Today Europe lost an Amateur Radio Band to "licence-excempt"
time-limited use by automotive short range radar equipment.
see:
http://www.ofcom.org.uk/consult/condocs/24ghz/
(have your say before 23rd May !!!!!!!!!)

It's the first nail in the coffin for the UK Amateur Radio. The Funeral
is now set for 26th May 2005 when a consultaion on the future of UK
amateur radio licensing is published which will contain the question on
fully deregulating amateur radio in the United Kingdom of Great Britain
and Northern Ireland (UK):

http://www.ofcom.org.uk/radiocomms/ifi/licensing/classes/amateur/forthcoming

or (http://tinyurl.com/8pvxw)

"..For the avoidance of doubt, whilst the formal public consultation
will put forward a number of other options for the future, those
options are explicitly not recommended by Ofcom. Specifically, Ofcom
will not recommend any options to make the amateur radio service WT Act
licence-exempt; however, for transparency and completeness, this will
be put forward as an option in the public consultation in order to
gather the community's views ....."

Looks like 100 years plus of amateur radio history in the UK could soon
go the same way as the 24GHz band i.e. licence excempt - no exams, no
callsigns, just CB!

--73deG1LVN
www.dutchhousemob.co.uk

4971. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 00:06:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <dPednTS2KtBjovXfRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 17:31:48 -0400, Dee Flint wrote:
>
>
>>Well I've yet to find a "low fat" or "low/no sugar" food that tastes like
>>the real thing. It always tastes a little different. So my choice is
>>simply to bypass the desserts as much as I can discipline myself to do so
>>(sometimes I fail miserably).
>
>
> As the old Ross Bagdasarian/Rosemary Cloony song of the late '40s
> went: "C'mon a' my house, my house, I'm gonna' give you candy...."
>
> That's why she's a specialist......the "store-bought" stuff can't
> make it, and the sweetener used in most of those products (Sorbitol
> or Manitol) is colloquialy known as "Laxitol".
>
> Since the commercial sweetener Sucralose went "retail" under the
> name of "Splenda" it's a lot easier to get the right taste.

Splenda isn't too bad at all. In fact, I've used the artificial
sweeteners for so long that I dislike the taste of "real" sugar. Leaves
a unpleasnt after taste.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4972. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 00:13:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <dPednTe2KtA43PXfRVn-qg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 106487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:dcKdncJfVcW2p_XfRVn-iQ@adelphia.com...
>
>
>>Tell me of your desserts?
>>
>
>
> Is your QRZ snail mail address OK? I'll send you some excellent recipes.

Yup that works. Or my regular email addy.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4973. Re: Third grade was awfully hard on Woger.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 00:23:12 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <9ed11d71f6759fb90bb9efb7c7dfb1ad@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106488

In article <d49eug$ub5$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <radioc...@lloydsradioclub.net> wrote:

> LOL!

Answering yourself,tubby.....Loser!

4974. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 00:31:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <RJmdne4HN-SR2_XfRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 106489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:MVW9e.10064$An2.3622@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:dcKdncJfVcW2p_XfRVn-iQ@adelphia.com...
>
>>
>> Tell me of your desserts?
>>
>
> Is your QRZ snail mail address OK? I'll send you some excellent recipes.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Me too, PLEASE !! My husband is borderline diabetic so even if they don't suit me, I can still make use of them.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4975. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 00:37:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <JtmdnR1fUIfG2vXfRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 106490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:LvqdnZlYCaCcofXfRVn-rg@adelphia.com...
> Phil Kane wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 12:55:51 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>If you don't have room for good food then
>>>>>>you don't have room for junk.
>>
>>
>>>>>Dessert is junk???? Not when K0CKB puts it on the dining table! Maybe
>>>>>you need some of her recipes, if your desserts are "junk"!
>>
>>
>>>>Refined sugar is indeed junk. No matter how good it tastes.
>>
>>
>> One of my wife's avocations is specialty dessert and cake catering
>> (she's taught that for years and at times has even made money doing
>> it commercially).
>>
>> Her specialty is diabetic-safe products (I'm a diabetic) - low fat
>> and no refined (or unrefined) sugar. She duplicates about 95% of
>> what one can find on a fancy "sweet table" (marshmellow requires the
>> crystalline structure of "real" sugar) and I most certainly do not
>> suffer from a lack of "goodies" all year round.
>
> Sounds awfully yummy, Phil. I'm not anti-sweet, just anti-sugar.
>
>
>> Dessert is one of the basic food groups..... <ggg>
>
> In my family, desserts were not really all that big a thing. Some sweets
> around the holidays, but otherwise we were (are) big meat eaters. Kinda
> like leftover hunter gatherers... 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I understand the feeling. When I was growing up, we had "meat, potatoes,
and gravy" as our main staple. For a change, we had "potatoes, gravy, and
meat!"

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4976. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 00:40:48 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <2b7e239b062926f386112a89470acba9@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106491

In article <d49esd$u65$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <radioc...@lloydsradioclub.net> wrote:
> LOL!

Lardass answers himself! What a loser!

4977. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 01:00:34 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050422010034.28ED617118@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106495

In article <42681FA0.C66684EE@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Gosh, it looks like <whine>

You fucked up again, Nazi. Maybe you could post as "Stagger Lee"
again because, you lack the conviction to post such things under
you own name. Now go tap out some Morse code, imbecile.

4978. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 01:07:19 UTC
From: I Ain't Roger Either <MeNotRoger@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d49ioh$73b$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106492

"George Orwell" <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
news:2b7e239b062926f386112a89470acba9@mixmaster.it...
In article <d49esd$u65$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <radioc...@lloydsradioclub.net> wrote:
> LOL!

Lardass answers himself! What a loser!

You seem to be dancing to Lloyd's tune, Wascally Wogie...

4979. Re: L. Ron Hubbard BEAT WOMEN BLOODY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 01:31:47 UTC
From: horseshoe7 <horseshoe7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114133507.735100.22000@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106494
Cross-posted to: alt.religion.scientology,rec.arts.sf.written,rec.arts.sf.fandom,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dilbert Perkins wrote:
> L. Ron Hubbard: His Prices Are Insane
> L. Ron Hubbard: Never Gave a Sucker An Even Break
> L. Ron Hubbard: Wrestled a B'ar Before Breakfast
> L. Ron Hubbard: Went To Eleven
> L. Ron Hubbard: Chewed Nails and Spat Barbed Wire
> L. Ron Hubbard: Ruled the Sevagram
> L. Ron Hubbard: Thinks Babies Are Too Crunchy
> L. Ron Hubbard: Plays A Mean Guitar
> L. Ron Hubbard: L. Ron Hubbard: There, We Said It Twice
> L. Ron Hubbard: Agent of C.L.E.A.R.
> L. Ron Hubbard: And You're Not
> L. Ron Hubbard: The Loneliest Little Skiffy Writer In The World
> L. Ron Hubbard: Gave That Little Rand Be-Yotch The What-For
> L. Ron Hubbard: Off-Shore, Off-Line, and Out Of Control
> L. Ron Hubbard: The Typewriter That Killed
> L. Ron Hubbard: Master of Kung Fu
> L. Ron Hubbard: Jaywalker
> L. Ron Hubbard: Has Left the Building
> L. Ron Hubbard: Put his Pants on Two Legs at a Time
> L. Ron Hubbard: Choice of Discriminating Maggots Everywhere
> L. Ron Hubbard: Never Met a Clam he Didn't Like
> L. Ron Hubbard: Sleeps in R'lyeh
> L. Ron Hubbard: Once Bit his Own Nose Off
> L. Ron Hubbard: Hopelessly Envied Isaac Asimov
> L. Ron Hubbard: Cure for Cancer
> L. Ron Hubbard: Dotted his i's with Little Hearts
> L. Ron Hubbard: Whatever Happened to Him, Anyway?
> L. Ron Hubbard: Just Couldn't Make Things Clear
> L. Ron Hubbard: Had Elvis's Baby
> L. Ron Hubbard: Is Just Pinin' for the Fnords
> L. Ron Hubbard: Always Carried a Clean Hankie
> L. Ron Hubbard: Shaved with a Blowtorch
> L. Ron Hubbard: Was Reincarnated as a Moonie
> L. Ron Hubbard: Knew Where the Stumps Were
> L. Ron Hubbard: Heir of Hast{{~#~~~~NO CARRIER
> L. Ron Hubbard: Stronger Than Dirt
> L. Ron Hubbard: Shot A Man In Memphis Just To Watch Him Die
> L. Ron Hubbard: Once Ate Twenty Pies On A Bet
> L. Ron Hubbard: Never Lost At Blackjack
> L. Ron Hubbard: Wild And Free
> L. Ron Hubbard: Loved Clara Bow
> L. Ron Hubbard: Rug Appraiser

> L. Ron Hubbard: Could Whip His Weight In Wildcats
> L. Ron Hubbard: Dental Technician
> L. Ron Hubbard: Walked On His Hands
> L. Ron Hubbard: Scotch-Drinking Man
> L. Ron Hubbard: Always Hated Okra
> L. Ron Hubbard: Packers Fan
> L. Ron Hubbard: Lived In A Tree
> L. Ron Hubbard: Could Crack Stone By Whistling
> L. Ron Hubbard: Secretly Cried
> L. Ron Hubbard: Collected Tattoos
> L. Ron Hubbard: Died As He Lived

L. Ron Hubbard: Never DID Like That Dadburned Ham Radio

4980. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 02:05:37 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114135537.161170.20640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

G1LVN wrote:
>
> Looks like 100 years plus of amateur radio history in the UK could
soon
> go the same way as the 24GHz band i.e. licence excempt - no exams, no
> callsigns, just CB!
>
>
> --73deG1LVN
> www.dutchhousemob.co.uk

24GHz, huh? What's that?

4981. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 02:14:22 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114136062.730786.260510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:LvqdnZlYCaCcofXfRVn-rg@adelphia.com...
> > Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> >> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 12:55:51 -0400, Michael Coslo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>>If you don't have room for good food then
> >>>>>you don't have room for junk.
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>>Dessert is junk???? Not when K0CKB puts it on the dining table!
Maybe
> >>>>you need some of her recipes, if your desserts are "junk"!
> >>
> >>
> >>>>>Refined sugar is indeed junk. No matter how good it tastes.
> >>
> >>
> >> One of my wife's avocations is specialty dessert and cake
catering
> >> (she's taught that for years and at times has even made money
doing
> >> it commercially).
> >>
> >> Her specialty is diabetic-safe products (I'm a diabetic) - low
fat
> >> and no refined (or unrefined) sugar. She duplicates about 95%
of
> >> what one can find on a fancy "sweet table" (marshmellow requires
the
> >> crystalline structure of "real" sugar) and I most certainly do
not
> >> suffer from a lack of "goodies" all year round.
> >
> > Sounds awfully yummy, Phil. I'm not anti-sweet, just anti-sugar.
> >
> >
> >> Dessert is one of the basic food groups..... <ggg>
> >
> > In my family, desserts were not really all that big a thing. Some
sweets

> > around the holidays, but otherwise we were (are) big meat eaters.
Kinda
> > like leftover hunter gatherers... 8^)
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> I understand the feeling. When I was growing up, we had "meat,
potatoes,
> and gravy" as our main staple. For a change, we had "potatoes,
gravy, and
> meat!"
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

In the Army chow halls, you're actually allowed to tell them to "hold the gravy." Otherwise...

4982. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 02:38:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%sZ9e.10149$yq6.3458@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1114135537.161170.20640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

>
> 24GHz, huh? What's that?
>

The 1.2cm band --- see 97.301(a).

73, de Hans, K0HB

4983. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 02:45:41 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <0a0faa2dd39a56f3c8f523a0ddbd5d87@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106500

In article <42681F41.841B113B@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> You thought <whines>

You don't, Nazi. That's why your State Dept. loser job was cut short. You married a foreign national, so you flushed your "security clearance" down the toilet. Along with your wrench turner "job" in the Cashew capital of Africa. Since the State Dept. fired you.

You haven't done anything for your community or amateur radio.
You are a proven liar and imbecile.
That's why you think I am Roger Wiseman, too!

4984. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 02:49:51 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <7c9d52fddd65c99804109cc8f943688a@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106499

In article <d49e89sch0@pita.alt.net>
"I Am Not Roger" <MeNo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:

> Weeeellll......Roger is the reason

You whine so, and, Dicksucking Davy Nazi is why they hired
another policeman, he's a registered sex offender. Sort of like
Lloyd Davies.

4985. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 03:05:26 UTC
From: LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <cdqg61pskrnkb8os2q85ice983mp0hgsfj@4ax.com>
Source record: 106501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
>> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
>> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
>>
>> > 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
>>
>> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
>>
>Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)

Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...

I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...

4986. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 03:19:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 106502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
> >> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
> >>
> >> > 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
> >>
> >> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
> >>
> >>Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
>
> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
>
> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
>
Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

4987. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 03:23:03 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <r6_9e.3916$J12.1494@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 106503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com...
> LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
>> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
>> >> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
>> >>
>> >> > 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
>> >>
>> >> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
>> >>
>> >Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
>>
>> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
>>
>> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
>>
> Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?
>
> --
> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

LOL!!! I liked the one when uncle Jed bought himself a new
"fishin boat" He came down to the docks dressed as an admiral
and went on board a Destroyer.....

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

4988. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 03:27:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421232720.343$Qg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 106504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com...
> > LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
> >> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >> >> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> > 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
> >> >>
> >> >> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
> >> >>
> >> >Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
> >>
> >> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
> >>
> >> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
> >>
> > Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?
> >
> > --
> > Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription
> > leave.
>
> LOL!!! I liked the one when uncle Jed bought himself a new
> "fishin boat" He came down to the docks dressed as an admiral
> and went on board a Destroyer.....
>
> Landshark
>
Killer. The one where they fetched sum golf clubs and commenced to sum
golf hunting is pretty good too.

```
--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.
```

4989. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 03:51:22 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Ox_9e.65112$NC6.23121@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 106505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1114122555.866565.213790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

K�HB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d48mkb$p2c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
> > No other part of the testing is a skill.
>
> My point EXACTLY, Mike.
>
> While there are many skills associated with our hobby, only one of
those skills
> is singled out for a required demonstration.
>
> Interestingly, if that skill is so vital as to need a skill
demonstration, it
> would seem that no-one who had not been tested would be allowed to
use it on the
> air. Yet a basic Technician licensee is perfectly free to use Morse
on the air
> without having passed a Morse test. So much for the need for a
demonstration
> before a license grant!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

I wonder how VE Dee would accomodate the disabled in the Tower Climbing
pass/fail skill exam?

Not that Tower climbing will likely ever become an issue with the exam, but
if it were, then I'd suggest there would be questions relating to the proper
safety techniques of doing such a job, wherein "actual" "physical"
demonstration is not needed nor required. Given that - a wheel chair bound
person "could" pass those parts of an exam. I can't fathom tower climbing
becoming a major issue. There is a question or so relating to wearing a
"hard hat" when working "near" a tower in case someone drops a tool or other
item. So, there ya go. Perhaps you can consider that as a step in the
"tower" safety process. It would be only "questions" relating to such
things, what's the big deal about pass/fail? You either answer the question
correctly as you would be expected to with any other - or you don't!

We have to count the number of correct answers given and see it they add up
to a passing grade, if not - too bad! It won't matter if they're handicapped

or not. We can accommodate them as to taking the exam, we don't accommodate them as to giving them the "answers".

cl

4990. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 03:54:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4268757B.FED8FBF9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106506

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
>
> In article <42681FA0.C66684EE@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > Gosh, it looks like you're back to being Roger Wiseman! You keep
> > tripping over your intellect, UnWiseman.
>
> You f--ked up again, Nazi. Maybe you could post as "Stagger Lee"
> again because, you lack the conviction to post such things under
> you own name.

To post as Stagger Lee again I'd have had to post as Stagger Lee once.
I haven't. I lack no conviction to address you here under my own name
or, as you well know, face to face. You're still human sludge, Roger.

> Now go tap out some Morse code, imbecile.

Ask me with a "please" and I might do you bidding, UnWiseman.

Dave K8MN

4991. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 04:06:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42687865.69CBE423@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106507

"Not just A.Melon, but THE Melon" wrote:
>
> In article <42681F41.841B113B@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > You thought you were responding to me, Roger? I don't have any need > > to hide behind anonymous remailers.

> > You asked sometime ago what I'd done for amateur radio. I provided a
> > number of items which are easily verified. How about you answering > > that same question? We know about your notorious interactions with > > the FCC.

> > Why not provide us even a one-liner with the things you've done for > > and not to amateur radio? QRMing stations on 20m and getting involved
> > in an SSB version of the stuff you post here don't count.

> > Would you care to astound us with your contributions to your > > community, county, state or nation? Maybe you could come up with > > commendations from some of your many friends. Perhaps you're involved > > with your church or a civic organization. Let us know.

> You don't, Nazi. That's why your State Dept. loser job was cut
> short.

That is incorrect.

> You married a foreign national, so you flushed your
> "security clearance" down the toilet.

That's incorrect once again. My wife and I had five Foreign Service assignments after our marriage.

> Along with your wrench turner "job" in the Cashew capital of Africa.

That doesn't quite make it as a sentence or as fact. Care to tell us what you do for a living?

> Since the State Dept. fired you.

Again, you don't quite have a sentence or a fact.

> You haven't done anything for your community or amateur radio.

I've outlined what I've done for amateur radio. I'll be happy to outline what I've done in civic service when you provide a little info, Roger.

> You are a proven liar and imbecile.

That's incorrect yet again, Roger.

> That's why you think I am Roger Wiseman, too!

It is certainly one of you inhabiting his body. Which personality are you? Are you in communication with the others?

Dave K8MN

4992. Re: Morse gone in a year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 04:32:50 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96405A329658elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 106508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1114118689.984407.281600
@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1113743129.236382.299700
>> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>> > Mel A. Nomah wrote:
>> >> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:V5b8e.1509$Bc7.4@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
>> >>
>> >> : http://www.hamwave.com/cgi-bin/index.cgi?action=viewnews&id=689
>> >>
>> >> That link supposes that the fcc will delete the Morse requirement.
>> >>
>> >> Other insiders suggest fcc will NPRM will delete Morse only for
>> >> General license, and will INCREASE the test to 20WPM for renewed
>> >> Extra class, downgrading all current "Extra Lite" licenses to
>> >> resurrected Advanced license (the second time this license has
>> >> risen from the ashes). ARRL giveaway program will be denied.
>> >>
>> > All it really says is that the FCC is working on an NPRM that may be
>> > out as soon as next month or as late as July. That NPRM will
>> > obviously contain what FCC wants to do as a result of WRC 2003 and
>> > the 18 restructuring petitions.
>> >
>> > Once the NPRM becomes public, there will be a comment period, then a
>> > reply comment period. Couple of months at least, maybe longer.
>> > Probably the end of 2005 before comments close.
>
> This is based on what FCC has done in the past.
>> >
>> > Then FCC will decide what to do and formulate a Report and Order.
>> > Last time they did this it took almost a year. Which translates to
>> > fall 2006. Then a couple months before the new rules become
>> > effective - maybe end of 2006.
>> >
>> > Of course it could take even longer, or maybe a bit less. But I
>> > wouldn't expect any changes before summer 2006 - and wouldn't be
>> > surprised if it were summer 2007.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY

>> >
>>
>> You're being a Jonah again, Jim.
>
> Hello Alun,
>
> I'm not sure what you mean by "being a Jonah".
>
> Does it have anything to do with the bible story of Jonah and the whale
> - aka "You Can't Keep A Good Man Down"?

It means someone preaching doom

>
>> the announcement guesstimates all done within a year, i.e. by spring
>> '06.
>
> So they meant *next* summer (2006), not *this* summer (2005).
>
>> Sounds about right to me. Granted that the comments about what the FCC
>> might do (and the title of the post) were all the poster's own, and
>> not Hamwave's.
>
> Exactly.
>
> My time estimates are based on what FCC has done in the past on a
> number of issues. FCC doesn't seem to be in any big hurry to change the
> rules - heck, it's been over 5 years since the last restructure, over
> 21 months since WRC 2003 ended, and yet there's no NPRM on the street
> yet.
>
>> My own crystal ball guess is that the FCC will just delete the code
>> test and rearrange some subbands around this time next year. That's
>> still much longer than I originally thought.
>
> My guess is there will be some more-substantive changes, and that the
> code test deletion isn't a done deal - yet.
>
> I base the above on the fact that FCC could have simply dumped Element
> 1 back in summer 2003, without an NPRM, comments, or any of the rest.
> They received at least two proposals to do just that. All it would take
> is for FCC to say, in effect: "This subject was discussed thoroughly
> back in 1998-1999, and we kept Element 1 only because of the treaty.
> Now the treaty's gone, so we're dropping Element 1." Or some such
> verbiage - the basic idea is still the same. There's a procedure for
> such changes.
>
> Yet there have been no changes yet, just proposals *to* FCC, and
> comments.
>
> Last time FCC did a restructure, the comment period was what -

> six-seven months or more? Then it took about 11 months for the Report
> and Order, and another four months or so before the rules changed.
> That's over 20 months from NPRM to new rules in effect. 21 months from
> summer 2005 is spring 2007.
>
> Maybe FCC will say something at Dayton. Maybe not.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

4993. Re: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 06:46:49 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <d4a6kp$dg3$1@nwrdmz01.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 106509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

I expect it will be blamed on the War Against Terrorism.

4994. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 07:43:18 UTC
From: Brian <brian251@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5W1ae.998$CF.36838@news-1.opaltelecom.net>
Source record: 106510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1114135537.161170.20640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> G1LVN wrote:
> >
> > Looks like 100 years plus of amateur radio history in the UK could
> soon
> > go the same way as the 24GHz band i.e. licence excempt - no exams, no
> > callsigns, just CB!
> >
> >
> > --73deG1LVN
> > www.dutchhousemob.co.uk
>
> 24GHz, huh? What's that?
>
The thin end of the wedge my boy, thats what it is.
Brian

4995. Re: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 07:43:49 UTC
From: Woody <me@nospamthanks.com>
Message-ID: <d4aa1g$7qd$1@rdel.co.uk>
Source record: 106511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

> Specifically, Ofcom will NOT recommend any options to make the amateur
radio
> service WT Act licence-exempt; however, for transparency and completeness,
this will
> be put forward as an option in the public consultation in order to
> gather the community's views ....."

How the hell does the above translate into this...

> Looks like 100 years plus of amateur radio history in the UK could soon
> go the same way as the 24GHz band i.e. licence excempt - no exams, no
> callsigns, just CB!

Licence exempt - with 150W DC in (400w pep) - never in a million years..
I would think every single organisation with an interest in the radio
spectrum
would object.

Woody..

4996. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 08:43:21 UTC
From: Joe
Message-ID: <4268b85f.0@entanet>
Source record: 106512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1114135537.161170.20640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>

>
> 24GHz, huh? What's that?
>

Only a cber would ask a stupid question like that.

4997. Re: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 08:50:20 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@Solutions.invalid>
Message-ID: <dvdh61lmc9oai4fuohupv6lr3lqrv2e66c@4ax.com>
Source record: 106513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

On Fri, 22 Apr 2005 08:43:49 +0100, Woody wrote:

>> Specifically, Ofcom will NOT recommend any options to make
>> the amateur radio service WT Act licence-exempt; however, for
>> transparency and completeness, this will be put forward as an
>> option in the public consultation in order to gather the
>> community's views ....."
>
>How the hell does the above translate into this...
>
>> Looks like 100 years plus of amateur radio history in the UK could soon
>> go the same way as the 24GHz band i.e. licence excempt - no exams, no
>> callsigns, just CB!

The one translates into the other via the last part of the first item
you quoted, which, again, is "...however, for transparency and
completeness, this will be put forward as an option in the public
consultation in order to gather the community's views."

Although there can be little doubt about the nature of the special
pleading by NuRSGB in its meeting with OFCOM, the latter have well and
truly shafted the former by going ahead anyway. That the final wording
might say "specifically not recommended", the proposal is nevertheless
on the table for "the community" to comment on. That is, if someone
wants a deregulated Amateur Radio system in this country, they can
write in and ask for it. If OFCOM get enough of these, then they may
feel that that is enough authority on which to go ahead.

Stand by for yet more special pleading from NuRSGB, as they see the
concept of The Governing Body of Amateur Radio slipping from their
grasp. HTH
--
from
Aero Spike

4998. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 08:56:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114160185.808483.21870@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106514

N9OGL wrote:
> > And Toddie forget's the STANDARDS that obscene and indecent speech
> >must meet...One of them is that community standards must be
met...And
> >in the United States, most Amateurs DON'T want to hear graphic
> >depictions of sex acts, the use of certain language, or
"suggestions"
> >of where to put razor-blade studded sex toys.
>
> Well STEVE, I've never used obscene and indecent material on the
> radio....see if you would listen to my information bulletin you would
> know that. but like the redneck CB operator your are you only put out
> the half truths out. I'm sure you and your little boyfriend Phil has
> also told only half truth's to the FCC Amateur Auxiliary and the FCC
> like the little lying crybabies you really are.

And your broadcasts are still, in and of themselves inappropriate,
Todd. And I have no doubt that if left to your own devices, you will
no doubt find some rationalization for making those subjects pertinent
to Amateur Radio...

> >And the "inteference" would multiply exponentially...from all the
> >carriers, QRM, cat calls, etc that would inevitibly
> follow...ESPECIALLY
> >with creeps like N9OGL doing the "programming"....
>
> I'm sure you and your little crack##### boyfriends on here will be
the
> ones causing the interference, cat calls and everything else, it
sounds
> like something you and these ARRL-###holes on here would do.

Todd, I don't want you QRM'ed. Becasue I want EVERYone to hear
exactly how goofy you really are.

Steve, K4YZ

4999. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 09:01:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114160502.136511.309170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106515

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > According to his eHam profile, Toddie is an...
> >
> > ARMY MARS MEMBER!
> >
> > QUOTE
> >
> > I am a member of the following ham radio clubs...
> > Army M.A.R.S. Christian County Amateur Radio Club (CCARC)
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > Good thing "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" doesn't apply to MARS, eh,
> > Toddie...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, what's it to you? You have no understanding of MARS.

Why do you want to utter yet ANOTHER mistruth, Brian, when you
have so many others out here that you still have yet to answer to?

As noted previously, Brian, I've been a member of each MARS at one
time or another for different reasons, and have been in charge of an
island-wide system on Okinawa for six months.

My undersanding of the program, it's history, purpose and goals
far exceeds anything you or Lennie the Lame can cut-and-past from
internet URL's.

Steve, K4YZ

5000. Re: L. Ron Hubbard BEAT WOMEN BLOODY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 10:19:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114165178.374575.83420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106516
Cross-posted to: alt.religion.scientology,rec.arts.sf.written,rec.arts.sf.fandom,alt.conspiracy,rec.radio.amateur.policy

horseshoe7 wrote:

> L. Ron Hubbard: Never DID Like That Dadburned Ham Radio

Bet you ghost-wrote that one, Stew!

Bet you wrote the sequel "MURS to the Rescue!"

Steve, K4YZ

5001. Re: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 10:24:52 UTC
From: nowhere@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <1gvf3es.9vre612yeme0N%nowhere@nowhere.com>
Source record: 106517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Spike <Aero.Spike@Solutions.invalid> wrote:

> Although there can be little doubt about the nature of the special
> pleading by NuRSGB in its meeting with OFCOM, the latter have well and
> truly shafted the former by going ahead anyway. That the final wording
> might say "specifically not recommended", the proposal is nevertheless
> on the table for "the community" to comment on. That is, if someone
> wants a deregulated Amateur Radio system in this country, they can
> write in and ask for it. If OFCOM get enough of these, then they may
> feel that that is enough authority on which to go ahead.

Do I take it then that you would support the idea
of making Amateur Radio bands licence exempt?

5002. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 11:10:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114168239.176118.144330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

bb wrote:
> G1LVN wrote:
> >
> > Looks like 100 years plus of amateur radio history in the UK could
> soon
> > go the same way as the 24GHz band i.e. licence excempt - no exams,
no
> > callsigns, just CB!
> >
> >
> > --73deG1LVN
> > www.dutchhousemob.co.uk
>
> 24GHz, huh? What's that?

Why am I not surprised?

Steve, K4YZ

5003. Re: Morse gone in a year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 11:17:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1114168674.631832.289330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1114118689.984407.281600
> @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1113743129.236382.299700
> >> @l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> > Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> >> >> "Hamguy" <hamguy@arrl.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:V5b8e.1509$Bc7.4@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> :
http://www.hamwave.com/cgi-bin/index.cgi?action=viewnews&id=689
> >> >>
> >> >> That link supposes that the fcc will delete the Morse
requirement.
> >> >>
> >> >> Other insiders suggest fcc will NPRM will delete Morse only for
> >> >> General license, and will INCREASE the test to 20WPM for
renewed
> >> >> Extra class, downgrading all current "Extra Lite" licenses to
> >> >> resurrected Advanced license (the second time this license has
> >> >> risen from the ashes). ARRL giveaway program will be denied.
> >> >>
> >> > All it really says is that the FCC is working on an NPRM that
may be
> >> > out as soon as next month or as late as July. That NPRM will
> >> > obviously contain what FCC wants to do as a result of WRC 2003
and
> >> > the 18 restructuring petitions.
> >> >
> >> > Once the NPRM becomes public, there will be a comment period,
then a
> >> > reply comment period. Couple of months at least, maybe longer.
> >> > Probably the end of 2005 before comments close.
> >
> > This is based on what FCC has done in the past.
> >>
> >> > Then FCC will decide what to do and formulate a Report and
Order.
> >> > Last time they did this it took almost a year. Which translates
to

> >> > fall 2006. Then a couple months before the new rules become
> >> > effective - maybe end of 2006.
> >> >
> >> > Of course it could take even longer, or maybe a bit less. But I
> >> > wouldn't expect any changes before summer 2006 - and wouldn't be
> >> > surprised if it were summer 2007.
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >> >
> >>
> >> You're being a Jonah again, Jim.
> >
> > Hello Alun,
> >
> > I'm not sure what you mean by "being a Jonah".
> >
> > Does it have anything to do with the bible story of Jonah and the
whale
> > - aka "You Can't Keep A Good Man Down"?
>
> It means someone preaching doom
>

Well, I wasn't trying to do that!

But the way FCC has worked in the past, I wouldn't count on
fast changes. That's the reality of how the process works.

73 de Jim, N2EY

> >
> >> the announcement guesstimates all done within a year, i.e. by
spring
> >> '06.
> >
> > So they meant *next* summer (2006), not *this* summer (2005).
> >
> >> Sounds about right to me. Granted that the comments about what the
FCC
> >> might do (and the title of the post) were all the poster's own,
and
> >> not Hamwave's.
> >
> > Exactly.
> >
> > My time estimates are based on what FCC has done in the past on a
> > number of issues. FCC doesn't seem to be in any big hurry to change
the
> > rules - heck, it's been over 5 years since the last restructure,
over
> > 21 months since WRC 2003 ended, and yet there's no NPRM on the

street
> > yet.
> >
> >> My own crystal ball guess is that the FCC will just delete the
code
> >> test and rearrange some subbands around this time next year.
That's
> >> still much longer than I originally thought.
> >
> > My guess is there will be some more-substantive changes, and that
the
> > code test deletion isn't a done deal - yet.
> >
> > I base the above on the fact that FCC could have simply dumped
Element
> > 1 back in summer 2003, without an NPRM, comments, or any of the
rest.
> > They received at least two proposals to do just that. All it would
take
> > is for FCC to say, in effect: "This subject was discussed
thoroughly
> > back in 1998-1999, and we kept Element 1 only because of the
treaty.
> > Now the treaty's gone, so we're dropping Element 1." Or some such
> > verbiage - the basic idea is still the same. There's a procedure
for
> > such changes.
> >
> > Yet there have been no changes yet, just proposals *to* FCC, and
> > comments.
> >
> > Last time FCC did a restructure, the comment period was what -
> > six-seven months or more? Then it took about 11 months for the
Report
> > and Order, and another four months or so before the rules changed.
> > That's over 20 months from NPRM to new rules in effect. 21 months
from
> > summer 2005 is spring 2007.
> >
> > Maybe FCC will say something at Dayton. Maybe not.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

5004. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 11:26:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114169204.535184.91490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Brian wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1114135537.161170.20640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > G1LVN wrote:
> > >
> > > Looks like 100 years plus of amateur radio history in the UK
could
> > soon
> > > go the same way as the 24GHz band i.e. licence excempt - no
exams, no
> > > callsigns, just CB!
> > >
> > >
> > > --73deG1LVN
> > > www.dutchhousemob.co.uk
> >
> > 24GHz, huh? What's that?
> >
> The thin end of the wedge my boy, thats what it is.

That it is, Sir, that it is...However it's a rusty wedge, becasue
that's been the history of the Amateur Service (at least here in the
Colonies) since the inception of Radio.

Everytime it's been in the best COMMERCIAL interests to do so,
Amateurs were moverd higher and higher up the spectrum until those very
same commercial interests decided they were ready to populate those
same higher bands.

And truth be said, just how much use are we (Amateurs) making of
those bands? There's probably not even 100 guys here in the States
that make use of those bands, and even if the number is 10 times that,
it still doesn't warrant holding them back for development.

Oh, I certainly believe we need to hold some slices open for
Amateur and experimentals, but the bottom line is that it's unrealistic
to expect that that amount of "radio real estate" would be held for our
exclusive use.

73

Steve, K4YZ

> Brian

5005. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 11:36:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-eSdnbOPk6-tY_XfRVn-sw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106532

K4YZ wrote:

> Todd, I don't want you QRM'ed. Becasue I want EVERYone to hear
> exactly how goofy you really are.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
If the little twit toad would publish a schedule for his "broadcasts"
and transmit them on HF then someone might actually hear him.

5006. Re: phil kane troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 11:45:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114170309.241332.234210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106521

George Orwell wrote:
> phil kane newsgroup troll and resident
> ###hole

Coming from an anonmyous lying coward, this is pretty
insignificant on the low end of meaningless.

Steve, K4YZ

5007. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 11:51:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114170718.240900.48060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106522

A. Melon wrote:
> In article <42681F41.841B113B@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > You thought <whines>
>
> You don't, Nazi. That's why your State Dept. loser job was cut
> short. You married a foreign national, so you flushed your
> "security clearance" down the toilet.

Absolutely assinine.

I knew dozens of USMC officers marreid to "foreign nationals" who
not only kept their clearances, but went on to higher accomplishments.

> Along with your wrench
> turner "job" in the Cashew capital of Africa. Since the State
> Dept. fired you.

Guess they forgot to stop sending the checks every month, eh
Dave...?!?!~

> You haven't done anything for your community or amateur radio.
> You are a proven liar and imbecile.

Why do you make such idiotic, easily disproven statements and
accuse others of lying when the very accusation itself is a lie...?!?!

> That's why you think I am Roger Wiseman, too!

Even if you're not, one could forgive someone else for not being
able to "seperate" one foul scum from another.

Persoanlly, I'd bet my life on Dave's errors a lot quicker than I
would on your "facts".

Steve, K4YZ

5008. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 11:52:10 UTC
From: G1LVN <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114170730.334667.229750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

You'll be saying we have to give back the internet 44.x.x.x IP address range next, OM. We could probably get $billions for a Class A allocation like that. Yet we don't do we?

5009. Re: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 11:56:16 UTC
From: G1LVN <gareth.paley@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114170976.635482.129770@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

It's a question that is going to be asked in May's consultation.

5010. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 12:06:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114171590.151542.155650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

G1LVN wrote:
> You'll be saying we have to give back the internet 44.x.x.x IP
address
> range next, OM. We could probably get $billions for a Class A
> allocation like that. Yet we don't do we?

I'm not sure if that was meant for me (no attributes), but no Sir,
we certainly don't have to surrender an internet address....We can
create new addresses as the circumstances and technology allow.

The electromagnetic spectrum is a very finite resource, however,
and we'll be hard pressed to defend some of our allocations with as
little use as they are getting.

I can't speak for you fellows on that side of the Atlantic,
however I know that any use of the bands above 2.3Ghz on this side is
very rare. I think we will be lucky to maintain even sharing
arangements in the future, let alone keep exclusive allocations.

73

Steve, K4YZ
Winchester, TN

5011. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 12:07:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <zbCdnZthbreSm_TfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106535

George Orwell wrote:
> In article <dKednW0e0eQdzPXfRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>
>>Wogie thinks having to retest for his ham license because he
>
> jammed on
>
>>20 meters is contributing to ham radio. Two-test wogie, poor
>
> dumb moron.
>
>
> Then why didn't his retest letter say that was why he had to
> retest, Lardass????
> Poor Lardass, he's such a fucking moron.
>

You think think the FCC made him retest just for the fun of it? Poor
two-test wogie, dumb moron.

5012. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 12:07:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <zbCdnZphbrfem_TfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106536

George Orwell wrote:
> In article <dKednW0e0eQdzPXfRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>
>>Wogie thinks having to retest for his ham license because he
>
> jammed on
>
>>20 meters is contributing to ham radio. Two-test wogie, poor
>
> dumb moron.
>
>
> Then why didn't his retest letter say that was why he had to
> retest, Lardass????
> Poor Lardass, he's such a fucking moron.
>
Poor two-test wogie, the laughing stock of ham radio and the Internet.

5013. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 12:10:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114171844.626290.180080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Joe wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1114135537.161170.20640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
>
> >
> > 24GHz, huh? What's that?
> >
>
> Only a cber would ask a stupid question like that.

"billybeeper" is Brian, N0IMD, Joe. You'll please excuse him as he's prone to unfounded assertions and otherwise dumb expressions in public display. I doubt he was even aware he was responding to posts made in Eurpoe.

We keep trying to re-direct him, but there's only so much you can do with a child-like mind.

73

Steve, K4YZ

5014. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 12:52:42 UTC
From: Mark Richards <mr@bellnospamsources.net>
Message-ID: <d4as0lfbs0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106527

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:42687865.69CBE423@earthlink.net...
> "Not just A.Melon, but THE Melon" wrote:
>>
>> In article <42681F41.841B113B@earthlink.net>
>> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>
>> > You thought you were responding to me, Roger? I don't have any need >
>> > > to hide behind anonymous remailers.
>
>> > You asked sometime ago what I'd done for amateur radio. I provided a
>> > number of items which are easily verified. How about you answering > >
>> > that same question? We know about your notorious interactions with > >
>> > the FCC.
>
>> > Why not provide us even a one-liner with the things you've done for > >
>> > and not to amateur radio? QRMing stations on 20m and getting involved
>> > in an SSB version of the stuff you post here don't count.
>
>> > Would you care to astound us with your contributions to your > >
>> > community, county, state or nation? Maybe you could come up with > >
>> > commendations from some of your many friends. Perhaps you're involved
>> > > > with your church or a civic organization. Let us know.
>
>> You don't, Nazi. That's why your State Dept. loser job was cut
>> short.
>
> That is incorrect.
>
>> You married a foreign national, so you flushed your
>> "security clearance" down the toilet.
>
> That's incorrect once again. My wife and I had five Foreign Service
> assignments after our marriage.
>
>> Along with your wrench turner "job" in the Cashew capital of Africa.
>
> That doesn't quite make it as a sentence or as fact. Care to tell us
> what you do for a living?
>
>> Since the State Dept. fired you.
>
> Again, you don't quite have a sentence or a fact.
>

>> You haven't done anything for your community or amateur radio.
>
> I've outlined what I've done for amateur radio. I'll be happy to
> outline what I've done in civic service when you provide a little info,
> Roger.
>
>> You are a proven liar and imbecile.
>
> That's incorrect yet again, Roger.
>
>> That's why you think I am Roger Wiseman, too!
>
> It is certainly one of you inhabiting his body. Which personality are
> you? Are you in communication with the others?
>
> Dave K8MN

One wonders if Roger's various personalites attempt to
QRM each other, similar to the way Roger caused qrm on
20 meters? Perhaps Unroger is envious of Roger, or
visa versa?

Mark

5015. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 12:55:11 UTC
From: Brian <brian251@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vu6ae.1003$CF.36742@news-1.opaltelecom.net>
Source record: 106528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114169204.535184.91490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Brian wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1114135537.161170.20640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > G1LVN wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Looks like 100 years plus of amateur radio history in the UK
> could
> > > soon
> > > > go the same way as the 24GHz band i.e. licence excempt - no
> exams, no
> > > > callsigns, just CB!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --73deG1LVN
> > > > www.dutchhousemob.co.uk
> > >
> > > 24GHz, huh? What's that?
> > >
> > The thin end of the wedge my boy, thats what it is.
>
> That it is, Sir, that it is...However it's a rusty wedge, becasue
> that's been the history of the Amateur Service (at least here in the
> Colonies) since the inception of Radio.
>
> Everytime it's been in the best COMMERCIAL interests to do so,
> Amateurs were moverd higher and higher up the spectrum until those very
> same commercial interests decided they were ready to populate those
> same higher bands.
>
> And truth be said, just how much use are we (Amateurs) making of
> those bands? There's probably not even 100 guys here in the States
> that make use of those bands, and even if the number is 10 times that,
> it still doesn't warrant holding them back for development.
>
> Oh, I certainly believe we need to hold some slices open for
> Amateur and experimentals, but the bottom line is that it's unrealistic
> to expect that that amount of "radio real estate" would be held for our
> exclusive use.
>

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
Well history has revealed that radio amateurs were pushed further up the frequency scale simply because they (the authorities) thought it was of no use commercially. Look at Short Wave, it was the radio amateurs who discovered that one could work the world on short waves. Radio amateurs who discovered (or was it invented) SSB. Now of course there are fewer things for us radio amateurs to give the world (for free of course) so our existance is tolerated. However it looks like that tolerance (at least in the UK) is about to be curtailed.
Brian

5016. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 12:59:21 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BE8E6DB4.3B6C7%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 106529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

> From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> Organization: http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.
> cb
> Date: 22 Apr 2005 03:27:20 GMT
> Subject: Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR
>
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com...
>>> LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
>>>> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>>>> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
>>>>>>
>>>>> Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
>>>>
>>>> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
>>>>
>>>> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
>>>>
>>> Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?
>>>
>>> --
>>> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription
>>> leave.
>>
>> LOL!!! I liked the one when uncle Jed bought himself a new
>> "fishin boat" He came down to the docks dressed as an admiral
>> and went on board a Destroyer.....
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Killer. The one where they fetched sum golf clubs and commenced to sum
> golf hunting is pretty good too.
>

Did they ever figger out how to fix billyards to eat at the billyard table?

Greg

5017. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 13:08:43 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050422130843.56B0B17136@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106709

In article <42687865.69CBE423@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil the Nazi and liar <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> That is incorrect.

I'd say that marrying a foreign national was why they cut it
short.
That and the poor performance record.

> That's incorrect once again. My wife and I had five Foreign
Service
> assignments after our marriage.

Sure you did,liar.

> That doesn't quite make it as a sentence or as fact. Care to
tell us
> what you do for a living?

> Again, you don't quite have a sentence or a fact.

Again, your lies trip you up.

> I've outlined what I've done for amateur radio. I'll be happy
to
> outline what I've done in civic service when you provide a
little info,
> Roger.

More lies, Nazi? That's all you can do. And no matter how much
you say it, I'm still not Roger. You are one stupid bastard.

> That's incorrect yet again, Roger.

Wrong guess, and learn to punctuate your sentences.

> It is certainly one of you inhabiting his body. Which
personality are
> you? Are you in communication with the others?

Wrong again, nazi. But you are an expert at being wrong. Now go
tap out some Morse Code, monkey boy. Maybe you can do something
about your bad comb-over, too.

5018. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 13:13:39 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050422131339.AEB7917129@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106567

In article <d40tfu$d45$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <dal...@mydaleksabc.org> wrote:
>
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk...@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:lnS8e.8121$yq6.6694@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> > news:1113802524.777688.312080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >> That was necessary to insure the secure "rotor machine"
> >> encryption terminals (on-line or off-line capable)
> >> for Command orders and responses.
> >
> > No, it wasn't necessary. The most popular "rotor machine"
crypto system
> > in us in ALL Navy ships was the off-line KL7 "ADONIS"
system. It was
> > incapable of on-line connection, and did not require TTY to
transmit
> > messages. Morse worked just fine, and 98% of all the
> > "5-letter-coded-group" messages were generated on KL7 off-
line machines.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > Master Chief Radioman, US Navy
>
>
> How many other crypto systems were there in existence that you
> did not have a "Need To Know" about?

What the fuck do you care, Lardass? You never served in the
military, you chickenshit.
As usual, you blather your utterly useless opinion on something
you know absolutely nothing about.

5019. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 13:13:43 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050422131343.89C831713E@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106708

In article <4268757B.FED8FBF9@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> To post as Stagger Lee again I'd have had to post as Stagger
Lee once.

More lies from the lying expert Nazi.

> I haven't.

You have, and you post under some other names on here, as well.

> I lack no conviction to address you here under my own name
> or, as you well know, face to face.

Yes, you do, fatassed Nazi. And you prove it.

>You're still human sludge, Roger.

You are still wrong about who I am. Does Roger pay you for all
this advertizement, or are you his bitch?
The fact is, you are a lying asshole.

> > Now go tap out some Morse code, imbecile.
>
> Ask me with a "please" and I might do you bidding, UnWiseman.

You'd better learn how to post in English, first.

"I might do you bidding,UnWiseman"
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!! Oh, the irony.

5020. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 13:17:07 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <0d54ccf50e1adf13a975775bff6b8c96@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106530

In article <d49e01roh0@pita.alt.net>
"I Am Not Roger" <MeNo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:

> ...and you have Lloyd, Roger

And you have Roger, Nazi Heil.

5021. Re: Third grade was awfully hard on Woger.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 13:33:10 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114176790.951119.128200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106531
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<backpedals>

Lardass Lloydie dictionary:

"You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to
flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too,"
BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
"imput" - Mongolloyd's brain has no "input"! Mongolloyd one stupid fat
faggot.
"Hundred's" - Mongolloyd couldn't count to one much less "hundreds"
"dopesnt" - Mongolloyd "doesn't" have a command of the English
language!
"Wait till" - Mongolloyd we will "wait until" you compose a coherent
sentence, but it will be a long wait.
"We wn't" - Lardass Davies, you should fuck off with your stupidity so
"we won't" see it ever again.
"Woger is now awoke" - Mongolloyd is never "awake."
"is too stupid to under awoke" - oh the irony, Mongolloyd!
"I shits my pants" - Mongoolloyd sure does and eats it, too!
"treasing" - Mongolloyd likes "teasing" nigger assholes with his
syphilitic tongue.
"It's being TOS even" -Mongolloyd the only thing you TOS is your tiny
dick!
"peoples" - Mongolloyd always steals other "people's" lines!
"I really wish Steve wasn't suck a pussy and would come back to this
board" - Mongolloyd makes good fucked up sentence! Mongolloyd made a
Freudian slip when he used "suck" instead of "such".
"I think it is long since past time when you closed this shitty board!"
-Mongolloyd smoke bad weed!
"wraped" - Mongolloyd has frequently "wrapped" his syphilitic tongue
around a nigger's asshole!
"hig" Who knows what the Athens Asshole means?????
"teir" - Mongolloyd is Belle Plaine's "Forrest Gump," As to his
retarded peers, he's "their" Camp-We-Can-Do's star pupil.

Mongolloyd make good spells!

5022. Lardass couldn't even get through third grade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 13:43:38 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <4d9f9032c786906fb44cb819cf12d8d0@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106731
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d49egj$t7e$0@pita.alt.net>
"I Ain't Roger Either" <MeNotRo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:

<backpedals>

Lardass Lloydie dictionary:

"You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to
flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered,
"too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
"imput" - Mongolloyd's brain has no "input"! Mongolloyd one
stupid fat faggot.
"Hundred's" - Mongolloyd couldn't count to one much less
"hundreds"
"dopesnt" - Mongolloyd "doesn't" have a command of the English
language!
"Wait till" - Mongolloyd we will "wait until" you compose a
coherent sentence, but it will be a long wait.
"We wn't" - Lardass Davies, you should fuck off with your
stupidity so "we won't" see it ever again.
"Woger is now awoke" - Mongolloyd is never "awake."
"is too stupid to under awoke" - oh the irony, Mongolloyd!
"I shits my pants" - Mongoolloyd sure does and eats it, too!
"treasing" - Mongolloyd likes "teasing" nigger assholes with his
syphilitic tongue.
"It's being TOS even" -Mongolloyd the only thing you TOS is your
tiny dick!
"peoples" - Mongolloyd always steals other "people's" lines!
"I really wish Steve wasn't suck a pussy and would come back to
this board" - Mongolloyd makes good fucked up sentence!
Mongolloyd made a Freudian slip when he used "suck" instead of
"such".
"I think it is long since past time when you closed this shitty
board!" -Mongolloyd smoke bad weed!
"wraped" - Mongolloyd has frequently "wrapped" his syphilitic
tongue around a nigger's asshole!
"hig" Who knows what the Athens Asshole means?????
"teir" - Mongolloyd is Belle Plaine's "Forrest Gump," As to his
retarded peers, he's "their" Camp-We-Can-Do's star pupil.

Mongolloyd make good spells!

5023. sked qso on 20 for 2:00pm EST.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 13:49:07 UTC
From: Greg <greg.doughty@vbschools.com>
Message-ID: <1114177746.982179.38250@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106533

I am trying to find out if my ts 520 is getting out. For a couple of days now I have been calling cq with no success. Is anyone available for qso at the above time for today? Frequency will be 14.240 I am in Virginia Beach, Va.

thanks
greg
ki4bbl

5024. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 13:55:59 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <78055f0511d03b2c01cdf60088231547@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106534

In article <dKednW0e0eQdzPXfRVn-pQ@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Wogie thinks having to retest for his ham license because he
jammed on
> 20 meters is contributing to ham radio. Two-test wogie, poor
dumb moron.

Then why didn't his retest letter say that was why he had to
retest, Lardass????
Poor Lardass, he's such a fucking moron.

5025. Re: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 14:36:20 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d4b254$10o2$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 106537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Reg Edwards wrote:
> I expect it will be blamed on the War Against Terrorism.
>

Nope, its the liberals. Everything is the liberals........ ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

5026. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 14:39:24 UTC
From: Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu>
Message-ID: <d4b2as$10o2$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 106538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d48mkb$p2c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>
>>No other part of the testing is a skill.
>
>
> My point EXACTLY, Mike.
>
> While there are many skills associated with our hobby, only one of those skills
> is singled out for a required demonstration.
>
> Interestingly, if that skill is so vital as to need a skill demonstration, it
> would seem that no-one who had not been tested would be allowed to use it on the
> air. Yet a basic Technician licensee is perfectly free to use Morse on the air
> without having passed a Morse test. So much for the need for a demonstration
> before a license grant!

I see it as a license progression thing. The Morse code is the skill
demonstration, and HF access is the carrot.

I know someone who is going to bust my chops now!!! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

5027. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 15:19:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rC8ae.10350$An2.9042@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:d4b2as$10o2$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:d48mkb$p2c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>>
>>
>>>No other part of the testing is a skill.
>>
>>
>> My point EXACTLY, Mike.
>>
>> While there are many skills associated with our hobby, only one of those
>> skills is singled out for a required demonstration.
>>
>> Interestingly, if that skill is so vital as to need a skill demonstration, it
>> would seem that no-one who had not been tested would be allowed to use it on
>> the air. Yet a basic Technician licensee is perfectly free to use Morse on
>> the air without having passed a Morse test. So much for the need for a
>> demonstration before a license grant!
>
>
> I see it as a license progression thing. The Morse code is the skill
> demonstration, and HF access is the carrot.
>

But the skill demonstration should bear relationship to the privilege granted as a RESULT of that demonstration. In other words, USE of Morse should be restricted only to those who have successfully demonstrated their skill. Or to use your example, the USE of Morse should be the carrot offered as a result of passing a Morse test.

But by FCC regulation, a code-free Technician is allowed to use Morse on the air WITHOUT a successful Morse demonstration, and the "demonstrate BEFORE privilege" model is disconnected; ergo the demonstration is not vital to the successful use of the mode and serves no rational regulatory purpose.

73, de Hans, K0HB

5028. Re: sked qso on 20 for 2:00pm EST.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 15:47:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <c09ae.10276$yq6.8825@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106540

"Greg" <greg.doughty@vbschools.com> wrote in message
news:1114177746.982179.38250@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>I am trying to find out if my ts 520 is getting out. For a couple of
> days now I have been calling cq with no success. Is anyone available
> for qso at the above time for today? Frequency will be 14.240 I am in
> Virginia Beach, Va.

That's lunch time here. I'll try to meet you there.

73, de Hans, K0HB

5029. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 15:59:40 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <7df2c5aa01d4a3a136232c56f0c84708@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106706

In article <d48ikv$i6c$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" answers himself in<radioc...@lloydsradioclub.net> wrote:

> LOL! Wonder what Wogie will score?

At least "Roger" does score, unlike you 31 year old VIRGIN. And nice of you to admit your fuckup with "Social Outcase Assessment" which should be "Social Outcast Assessment," high school drop-out.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA....Lardass Lloyd loses again!

5030. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 16:09:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114186193.019892.149900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106541

From: "bb" on Thurs,Apr 21 2005 4:50 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> According to his eHam profile, Toddie is an...
>>
>> ARMY MARS MEMBER!
>>
>> QUOTE
>>
>> I am a member of the following ham radio clubs...
>> Army M.A.R.S. Christian County Amateur Radio Club (CCARC)
>>
>> UNQUOTE
>>
>> Good thing "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" doesn't apply to MARS, eh,
>> Toddie...?!?!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve, what's it to you? You have no understanding of MARS.

The planet, the candy bar, or MILITARY Affiliate Radio
System? :-)

"Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."

Poor Stevie still thinks that hams started it and
run it, too.

The United States ARMY started what would become
MARS before WW2. That was in an effort to "get
civilian radio amateurs interested in MILITARY
radio operations. The acronym was formed after WW2
when USAF joined the Army in the system. The last
branch to join MARS was the USN (and USMC by
extension).

MARS has performed well in the 60s to 70s as a
morale booster for the military personnel away from
home, courtesy of many civilian MARS operators (who
have amateur calls) placing telephone calls for them.

However, MARS is definitely still a MILITARY op and
the Department of Defense DIRECTS MARS. Stevie has
been shown the link to the DoD page but he won't
concede to going there and reading it. [he may think

it is a "trick" by others to lead him to a different website] The DoD may be reached by direct, independent search available on all ISPs.

Each military branch maintains their own MARS Hq. For example, the Army MARS headquarters is at Fort Huachuca, AZ. MARS is a regular participant in "Grecian Firebolt" radio networking exercises carried out by GOVERNMENT and MILITARY organizations having intrinsic radio networking ability. Exercises like those can and HAVE been done successfully WITHOUT any civilian volunteer participation. MARS is NOT part of the regular military communications scheme, only a sort of standby which exists primarily for inter-service morale boosting.

Stevie keeps enobling himself by implications of relationship of his amateur status to that of MARS. This is akin to his implications of "participation" in the famous "seven hostile actions." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5031. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 16:12:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114186347.799072.51710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106542

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,Apr 21 2005 2:35 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> > > > > With the RF Gain on maximum and AGC disabled, BFO on,
>> > > > > one will eventually start "hearing morse code" on "the
>> > > > > bands." :-)
>> > > >
>> > > > Wrong, Len. With the AGC disabled and BFO on, the *AF* gain
should be
>> > > > at maximum and the RF gain used for volume control.
>> > > >
>> > > > For both SSB and CW/Morse reception
>> > >
>> > > ...and for RTTY.

etc., :-)

Oh my how the LITERALISTS hop in with FALSE "corrections" in
order to attempt making nasty to "opponents."

Notice the little smiley I originally wrote? You two didn't?
Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk... :-)

With maximum gain, almost ANYTHING will be "heard" on a
radio with NO signals present. If someone WANTS to hear
morse, then, listening to noise, they eventually will. :-)

Note: Check one of Cecil Moore's early postings about
how he (in humor) said he "could hear morse from his
car tires when traveling on rough highways." :-)

Now let's turn back to the good old ARC-5 Command
receivers...which DID have BFOs and thus morse code
beeping capability in the audio output. Look again at
their circuitry. See any "A.F.gain" control in there?
What, couldn't find it? You couldn't, the thousands of
them were NEVER made with any "A.F. gain" or "volume"
control! Amazing!

Only ONE "volume" control, better known as an "R.F.gain"
that changed input amplifier bias. That even included
the original "Q-Fiver," the LF band version of the ARC-5

receiver.

>> >
>> > Well, that depends on the type of RTTY operation and equipment.
While
>> > we hams usually use "SSB" detection of RTTY signals, and then an
audio
>> > TU, there are other ways.
>>
>> While hams have used pure AFSK with FM at VHF, a BFO or product
detector
>> in the SSB mode is the traditional of RTTY reception. It was also
the
>> method used by USG agencies at HF.

Oh, dear, here comes the Department of State, equating
amateur radio with "U.S. Government agencies!" :-)

Tsk, tsk, then whatever you TWO know suddenly becomes
what "ALL hams" do!! Marvelous.

Commercial and Government users of TTY reception
NORMALLY use "converters" outboard of the receivers.
Those are specifically tailored to detect the FSK
(Frequency-Shift Keying) that is COMMON in RTTY
communications. Those converters (in the generic
sense, NOT as "what hams know" as "converters" to
down-frequency-convert VHF or UHF to HF) usually
have (in older days) some mild analog signal
processing to both clean up the demodulated TTY
Mark and Space for less distortion and to limit
interfering signal amplitude in between Mark and
Space as well as above and below them.

>One of the arguments for keeping some sort of code test is that
>hams do, indeed, use Morse Code. And on HF, they use it *a lot*.

Hams use morse code to sell real estate? :-)

>Now whether that constitutes enough reason to keep the test is
>purely a matter of opinion - but it *is* a reason.

A vapid "reason" considering that the government
does NOT *REQUIRE* any class ham to specifically
USE morse code over and above other OPTIONAL modes.

As Hans Brakob pointed out in another thread, ANY
U.S. class radio amateur CAN use morse code...but
they are NOT REQUIRED to do so.

>As we have often seen, Len constantly minimizes and ignores the
>role Morse Code plays and has played in radio communication.

Oh, oh! Jimmie done said a WRONG THING there!

I've repeatedly pointed out that On-Off Keying, as
by morse code, was THE ONLY METHOD OF USING EARLY
RADIO AS A COMMUNICATIONS MEDIUM!

As the ONLY way to communicate by early radio, I'd
say - and HAVE SAID - that the ONLY way is IT. As
such, it would intrinsically BE the "great part" of
early radio! :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk, I don't see (and hardly anyone else
"can see") Jimmie maximizing the early SPARK
transmissions as having been a "role" as great as
morse code...:-)

> So it's natural that he'd want to minimize reception of the mode..

Poor baby...can't understand simple HUMOR, can you?
You MUST be the LITERALIST, taking EVERYTHING EXACTLY
as its written!! No possibility of exaggeration as an
essential part of humor. To you two, all things ham
are SERIOUS BUSINESS (even if amateur means not to gain
monetarily from the activity). :-)

>Just a guess. But I don't see him thanking me for pointing out
>his error, or even acknowledging it.

Tsk, tsk, I "acknowledge" only that you wrote what you
THOUGHT was a "correction." It was NOT a "correction."
The "correction" (as it was portrayed with an example
of the original "Q-Fiver" out of an LF Command Set
receiver) was WRONG. There is NO "A.F.gain" on any of
those receivers. With NO such control it is impossible
to "set gain" of it. :-)

What "thanks" does Jimmie Noserve "deserve" in here?

Come back when you've learned to get along with non-
morsemen, general.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5032. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 17:02:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114189353.996747.210690@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106543

I have published the HF schedule many times and I will next week be posting the summer 05 schedule. but for right now I believe it's 20 meters @ 4.00 pm CST

5033. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 17:02:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114189373.886400.221830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106544

I have published the HF schedule many times and I will next week be posting the summer 05 schedule. but for right now I believe it's 20 meters @ 4.00 pm CST

Todd N9OGL

5034. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 17:07:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114189659.685637.255520@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106545

> And your broadcasts are still, in and of themselves inappropriate,
>Todd. And I have no doubt that if left to your own devices, you will
>no doubt find some rationalization for making those subjects pertinent

>to Amateur Radio...

In what way, because you don't like them doesn't mean there are others
out there that likes them. I assure all my programs and bulletins are
Amateur related.

>Todd, I don't want you QRM'ed. Becasue I want EVERYone to hear
>exactly how goofy you really are.

No, the only QRM that will be going on is from whine little babies like
you who think they know what the law is but in reality don't.

Todd N9OGL

5035. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 17:50:30 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <5d011305db9de76f944b5b26c5df181c@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106546

In article <today.i.am.dave@houston-hunks.com>
Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

How predictable...mention one of Davey's altar egos and "it"
magically appears.

> But you said (more lies flushed)

Hi Davey the Nazi! You certainly have saggy tits. And you like
blues, so it's not that hard to figure out.
Did you figure out that the Marshall County, Alabama ARES
website wasn't the one for Marshall County West Virginia, yet?
Or how about the "International Radio License?" When called on
it all you could offer up was "made you look."

Dance for me, BITCH!

5036. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 17:55:01 UTC
From: I Ain't Roger Either <MeNotRoger@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d4bdpvnhd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106547

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114189659.685637.255520@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> And your broadcasts are still, in and of themselves inappropriate,
>Todd. And I have no doubt that if left to your own devices, you will
>no doubt find some rationalization for making those subjects pertinent

>to Amateur Radio...

In what way, because you don't like them doesn't mean there are others
out there that likes them. I assure all my programs and bulletins are
Amateur related.

>Todd, I don't want you QRM'ed. Becasue I want EVERYone to hear
>exactly how goofy you really are.

No, the only QRM that will be going on is from whine little babies like
you who think they know what the law is but in reality don't.

Todd N9OGL

<<<<
<whisper>
(Pssssst! This from a guy who thinks MacDonalds is a supper club...)

5037. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 19:19:54 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.international.man.of.mystery@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 106548

On Fri, 22 Apr 2005 19:50:30 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger wrote:
: Or how about the "International Radio License?" When called on
: it all you could offer up was "made you look."

Hmmm. Now you answer to "Woger." First you are and then you aren't
Woger.

Well, NotWoger, have you ever taken a look at

http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/io/#cept

for further info about international operations? If you're going to
the Italian Riviera again this Summer, perhaps you need to study that
page. As an aside, I recommend staying in or near La Spezia, instead
of one of the Rivera towns. But then, an international man of mystery
like yourself probably knows all about La Spezia, don't you?

==========
"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't
mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id
<5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d00573c@mail.futureworlds.it>

"Roger hasn't recieved 'repeat visits with the chief of police'. You ar [sic]
a liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass." -- Secwet Woger in
message-id <b14c37cf833370abc4122a241ccf54c4@melontraffickers.com>

In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled
his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still
can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."

5038. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 19:25:08 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050422192508.72A8917095@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106724

In article <42681F41.841B113B@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> You thought you were responding to me, Roger? I don't have
any need to
> hide behind anonymous remailers.

No, Nazi, you kep seeing Roger behind every post. And you do
hide behind other accounts, like ones on Altopia.

> You asked sometime ago what I'd done for amateur radio. I
provided a
> number of items which are easily verified.

You provided lies.

> How about you answering that
> same question? We know about your notorious interactions with
the FCC.
> Why not provide us even a one-liner with the things you've
done for and
> not to amateur radio? QRMing stations on 20m and getting
involved in an
> SSB version of the stuff you post here don't count.

Roger will have to propvide it, retarded Nazi, he's probably
done more than you really have.
Fromthe official letter that was once posted at Hap's Rain
Report website, no reason was given for Roger's retest letter of
what, 5 years ago, now? And still nothing else heard from the
FCC on his so-called "actions" on 20 meters, if he is such a bad
"qrmer" as you claim, he'd be in the FCC enforcement letters all
the time or worse. Guess all you whining is just another lie by
the biggest liar on the newsgroup.

> Would you care to astound us with your contributions to your
community,
> county, state or nation? Maybe you could come up with
commendations
> from some of your many friends. Perhaps you're involved with
your
> church or a civic organization. Let us know.

Perhaps you'd like to ask somebody who give a fuck about what
you request. I don't have to qualify myself to you or anybody
else, Nazi. Nobody has to give information because you ask for
it, Nazi.

5039. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 19:28:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050422152859.115$le@newsreader.com>
Source record: 106549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com> wrote:
> > From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > Organization: http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
> > Newsgroups:
> > rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,
> > rec.radio. cb
> > Date: 22 Apr 2005 03:27:20 GMT
> > Subject: Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR
> >
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com...
> >>> LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
> >>>> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >>>>>> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
> >>>>>> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
> >>>>>>
> >>>>> Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
> >>>>
> >>>> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
> >>>>
> >>>> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
> >>>>
> >>> Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?
> >>>
> >>> --
> >>> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription
> >>> leave.
> >>
> >> LOL!!! I liked the one when uncle Jed bought himself a new
> >> "fishin boat" He came down to the docks dressed as an admiral
> >> and went on board a Destroyer.....
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > Killer. The one where they fetched sum golf clubs and commenced to sum

> > golf hunting is pretty good too.
> >
> Did they ever figger out how to fix billyards to eat at the billyard
> table?
>
> Greg
>
Gotta luv those pot-passers!

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

5040. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 19:43:37 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <e971be36c015e76dbf4a8f87d0fdace6@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106550

In article <d49ioh$73b$0@pita.alt.net>
"I Ain't Roger Either" <MeNotRo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:
>
>
> "George Orwell" <nob...@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
> news:2b7e239b062926f386112a89470acba9@mixmaster.it...
> In article <d49esd$u6...@pita.alt.net>
> "Lloyd" <radioc...@lloydsradioclub.net> wrote:
> > LOL!
>
> Lardass answers himself! What a loser!
>
>
> You seem to be dancing to Lloyd's tune, Wascally Wogie...

And you seem to be dancing to mine, Nazi Davey!

5041. At Last!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 19:54:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sDcae.10319$yq6.8047@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106551

http://tinyurl.com/upn9

5042. AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 20:08:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114200535.190619.94300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106552

Amateurs on the Usenet rec.radio.amater.policy are now using the Asshole Radio Relay League (ARRL) FCC Amateur Auxiliary to suppress my right to free speech over the air waves. The Asshole Radio Relay League FCC Amateur Auxiliary apparently has me on a list so their little monitoring stations can monitor my transmission to see if I'm running an informational bulletin so they can send the Gestapo to my house and suppress my right to free speech on the radio, just like the little NAZIS they really are. The reason for the list is because some little cry babies on the newgroup believe that information bulletins can be opinionated and by running a opinionated information bulletin it constitutes "broadcasting"

97.3(A)(25)
Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur service.

NO WHERE in that definition does it state an information has to be a NEWSCAST. But, there are asshole amateur's out there that are using their ASSHOLE radio Relay League to suppress FREE SPEECH. It just like the ARRL to SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH!!!

>From N9OGL'S BLOG
POSTED BY Todd N9OGL

5043. Re: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 20:14:43 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9640A5565DDC1elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 106553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Michael Coslo <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in news:d4b254$10o2$1
@f04n12.cac.psu.edu:

> Reg Edwards wrote:
>> I expect it will be blamed on the War Against Terrorism.
>>
>
> Nope, its the liberals. Everything is the liberals........ ;^)
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

Not in Europe - we are the liberals!

5044. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 20:28:38 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <W7dae.10524$An2.8231@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106554

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114200535.190619.94300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
:]The Asshole Radio Relay League FCC Amateur Auxiliary
: apparently has me on a list so their little monitoring stations
: can monitor my transmission to see if I'm running an informational
: bulletin so they can send the Gestapo to my house and
: suppress my right to free speech on the radio, just like the little
: NAZIS they really are.

Dear OM Tedd,

Where is this list kept? Have you observed the list in person? Is my
callletters on it? Maybe they haven't jurisprudence in my country?

Please to hasty respond.

73, Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte, RG8AU

5045. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 20:32:40 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <cf9d1b75b6a082a2f5d5a40be2e885f3@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106604

In article <4268757B.FED8FBF9@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> To post as Stagger Lee again I'd have had to post as Stagger
Lee once.

More lies from the lying expert Nazi.

> I haven't.

You have, and you post under some other names on here, as well.

> I lack no conviction to address you here under my own name
> or, as you well know, face to face.

Yes, you do, fatassed Nazi. And you prove it.

>You're still human sludge, Roger.

You are still wrong about who I am. Does Roger pay you for all
this advertizement, or are you his bitch?
The fact is, you are a lying asshole.

> > Now go tap out some Morse code, imbecile.
>
> Ask me with a "please" and I might do you bidding, UnWiseman.

You'd better learn how to post in English, first.

"I might do you bidding,UnWiseman"
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!! Oh, the irony.

5046. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 20:45:17 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <ba2ec40ee7fdd012b48e6d0613a3d8d0@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106555

In article <d4as0lfbs0@pita.alt.net>
"Mark aka Renee Richards" <m...@bellnospamsources.net> wrote:

> One wonders

One wonders if you are Renee Richards who had plastic suergery
to be a woman, but recently had it changed back so you could be
"Mark".

5047. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 20:47:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114202855.821457.98360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106556

>Where is this list kept?
Don't know, Although I've had a few people inform me that I was on this
"list"

>Is my callletters on it?
Don't know, I do know that your callsign isn't a vaild callsign.

Todd n9ogl

5048. Re: sked qso on 20 for 2:00pm EST.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 20:55:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vxdae.10535$An2.9022@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106557

Sorry, meeting lasted over the noon hour so I couldn't get to the radio. Do you want to try this evening, maybe on 40M?

73, de Hans, K0HB

5049. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:02:53 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <5371a9ea7bbcd8c7c53444951c4a15b0@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106719

In article <42681F41.841B113B@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> You thought you were responding to me, Roger? I don't have
any need to
> hide behind anonymous remailers.

No, Nazi, you keep seeing Roger behind every post. And you do
hide behind other accounts, like ones on Altopia.

> You asked sometime ago what I'd done for amateur radio. I
provided a
> number of items which are easily verified.

You provided lies.

> How about you answering that
> same question? We know about your notorious interactions with
the FCC.
> Why not provide us even a one-liner with the things you've
done for and
> not to amateur radio? QRMing stations on 20m and getting
involved in an
> SSB version of the stuff you post here don't count.

Roger will have to propvide it, retarded Nazi, he's probably
done more than you really have.
Fromthe official letter that was once posted at Hap's Rain
Report website, no reason was given for Roger's retest letter of
what, 5 years ago, now? And still nothing else heard from the
FCC on his so-called "actions" on 20 meters, if he is such a bad
"qrmer" as you claim, he'd be in the FCC enforcement letters all
the time or worse. Guess all you whining is just another lie by
the biggest liar on the newsgroup.

> Would you care to astound us with your contributions to your
community,
> county, state or nation? Maybe you could come up with
commendations
> from some of your many friends. Perhaps you're involved with
your
> church or a civic organization. Let us know.

Perhaps you'd like to ask somebody who give a fuck about what
you request. I don't have to qualify myself to you or anybody
else, Nazi. Nobody has to give information because you ask for
it, Nazi.

5050. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:03:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114203805.919906.256780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106558

N9OGL wrote:
> Amateurs on the Usenet rec.radio.amater.policy are now using the
> ###hole Radio Relay League (ARRL) FCC Amateur Auxiliary to suppress
my
> right to free speech over the air waves.

No one has suppressed anything, Todd. You're still an idiot and
acting like a fool, and we're still having a good time watching you!

> The ###hole Radio Relay League
> FCC Amateur Auxiliary apparently has me on a list so their little
> monitoring stations can monitor my transmission to see if I'm running
> an informational bulletin so they can send the Gestapo to my house
and
> suppress my right to free speech on the radio, just like the little
> NAZIS they really are.

The WHO, Todd? I did a search on that name and couldn't find any
hits. I think you're making it up. You're not LYING, are you?

> The reason for the list is because some little
> cry babies on the newgroup believe that information bulletins can be
> opinionated and by running a opinionated information bulletin it
> constitutes "broadcasting"

Whine, whine, whine, Todd.

> 97.3(A)(25)
> Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur operators
> consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur
> service.

The key phrase in there, Todd, is "solely of subject matter of
direct interest to the amateur service".

What could the ramblings of a functionally illiterate,
foul-mouthed wiener like you have of interest to the Amateur Radio
service?

> NO WHERE in that definition does it state an information has to be a
> NEWSCAST. But, there are ###hole amateur's out there that are using
> their ###HOLE radio Relay League to suppress FREE SPEECH. It just
like
> the ARRL to SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH!!!

Here you are making these aspurgences, Todd, and not a single shred of ANY evidence of ANY one supressing anything.

That makes you a LIAR on top of everything else that you already suffer from.

Way to go.

Steve, K4YZ

5051. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:10:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114204258.286214.199850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106559

and steve you still a fucking dickhead that no one likes.

5052. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:12:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114204345.405944.280120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106560

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,Apr 21 2005 2:35 pm
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> > > > > With the RF Gain on maximum and AGC disabled, BFO on,
> >> > > > > one will eventually start "hearing morse code" on "the
> >> > > > > bands." :-)
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Wrong, Len. With the AGC disabled and BFO on, the *AF* gain
> should be
> >> > > > at maximum and the RF gain used for volume control.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > For both SSB and CW/Morse reception
> >> > >
> >> > > ...and for RTTY.
> >
> etc., :-)
>
> Oh my how the LITERALISTS hop in with FALSE "corrections" in
> order to attempt making nasty to "opponents."
>
> Notice the little smiley I originally wrote? You two didn't?
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk...

Everything can be hidden behind a smiley with you, Lennie.

Jim and Dave were right...Here's your "I meant to say that"
excuse.

Big snip of usual "If I can baffle 'em with enuff BS, maybe I can
worm my way out of this" ramblings....

> >Just a guess. But I don't see him thanking me for pointing out
> >his error, or even acknowledging it.
>
> Tsk, tsk, I "acknowledge" only that you wrote what you
> THOUGHT was a "correction." It was NOT a "correction."
> The "correction" (as it was portrayed with an example
> of the original "Q-Fiver" out of an LF Command Set
> receiver) was WRONG. There is NO "A.F.gain" on any of

> those receivers. With NO such control it is impossible
> to "set gain" of it.

Uh huh....

Once again, lack of practical expereince in radio OPERATING has
caught you with your britches down.

> What "thanks" does Jimmie Noserve "deserve" in here?

Ahhhhhhhhhhhh....Now all participants in this forum MUST be
Veterans of the Armed Forces in order to talk to Lennie.

> Come back when you've learned to get along with non-
> morsemen, general.

YOU are a LOT of "non" things, Lennie.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenThePutzAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

5053. Be Sure To Get Toiddie's New Schedule N9OGL to QRM HF Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:19:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114204786.964049.45970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106561

N9OGL TO ANNOUNCE SUMMER SCHEDULE
N9OGL is going to announce the HF radio schedule for 2005 next week. It will consist of HF bands, Time and Day. No changes to the VHF schedule will be changed.

"No changes to the VHF schedule will be changed".

And he wonders why we're laughing our butt's off at his expense!

Hey Todd...I wonder why you insist on calling your "blog" "The Voice Of Amateur Radio" when you CLEARLY hate Amateur Radio and Amateur Radio opertors, other than yourself.

Almost everyhing you've "published" on your "blog" is mistruthful, deceitful, concocted or out and out obscene and threatening.

Your "blog" is NOT "The Voice of Amateur Radio" and the title is a blatant and transparent lie.

Steve, K4YZ

5054. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:23:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114205021.190274.309210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106562

N9OGL wrote:
> and steve you still a #####ing ###head that no one likes.

Oooooooooooooooooooooooooh....That hurt, Toiddie.

Bwah Bwah Bwah......NOT!

You're still a functionally illiterate liar that everyone else
(even those who don't like me) is laughing at!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

5055. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:24:13 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <S_WdnYqmc9OqE_TfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106592

N9OGL wrote:
> I have published the HF schedule many times and I will next week be
> posting the summer 05 schedule. but for right now I believe it's 20
> meters @ 4.00 pm CST
>

That doesn't tell me much. What day(s), around what frequency? Or am I
just supposed to guess?

5056. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:30:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114205441.049268.17730@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106563

> The key phrase in there, Todd, is "solely of subject matter of
>direct interest to the amateur service".
> What could the ramblings of a functionally illiterate,
>foul-mouthed wiener like you have of interest to the Amateur Radio

No the thing you should look at is, it is not up to YOU to determine
what subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur radio service.
You can't grasp the concept that it is up to the station transmitting
it....Not some moron redneck from Tennessee on some dumb newsgroup.

Todd N9OGL

5057. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:34:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114205679.304689.105830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106564

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on Thurs,Apr 21 2005 4:50 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> According to his eHam profile, Toddie is an...
> >>
> >> ARMY MARS MEMBER!
> >>
> >> QUOTE
> >>
> >> I am a member of the following ham radio clubs...
> >> Army M.A.R.S. Christian County Amateur Radio Club (CCARC)
> >>
> >> UNQUOTE
> >>
> >> Good thing "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" doesn't apply to MARS, eh,
> >> Toddie...?!?!
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >Steve, what's it to you? You have no understanding of MARS.
>
> The planet, the candy bar, or MILITARY Affiliate Radio
> System?
>
> "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."
>
> Poor Stevie still thinks that hams started it and
> run it, too.

And here's yet ANOTHER lie that Leonard H. Anderson ahs to account
for...

I never said they (Amateurs) started it. You are welcome to try
and locate the post wherein you insist I did.

I didn't say they "ran" it. You are welcome to try and locate the
post wherein you insist I did.

I DID say that without the Amateur Radio service, MARS would not
exist. This still remains true to this day.

> Stevie keeps enobling himself by implications of
> relationship of his amateur status to that of MARS.
> This is akin to his implications of "participation"
> in the famous "seven hostile actions."

I'm not "enobling" myself for having shown that I was a previous MARS member, Lennie. Those are facts. It validates My knowledge and experience in MARS that substantiates my assertion that without Amateur Radio, MARS would cease to exist.

You are welcome to list YOUR MARS callsigns, Lennie, and tell us all about YOUR experiences in the programs....

You have the callsigns and dates I was a member. You may verify my experiences at your leisure.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenTheINeverHadAMARSCallPutzAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

5058. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:35:16 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <68O3BL7V38464.9828240741@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106565

In article <42687865.69CBE423@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil the Nazi and liar <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> That is incorrect.

I'd say that marrying a foreign national was why they cut it
short.
That and the poor performance record. But the marriage to a
foreign nation precludes you maintaining a security clearance!

> That's incorrect once again. My wife and I had five Foreign
Service
> assignments after our marriage.

Sure you did,liar.

> That doesn't quite make it as a sentence or as fact. Care to
tell us
> what you do for a living?

> Again, you don't quite have a sentence or a fact.

Again, your lies trip you up.

> I've outlined what I've done for amateur radio. I'll be happy
to
> outline what I've done in civic service when you provide a
little info,
> Roger.

More lies, Nazi? That's all you can do. And no matter how much
you say it, I'm still not Roger. You are one stupid bastard.

> That's incorrect yet again, Roger.

Wrong guess, and learn to punctuate your sentences.

> It is certainly one of you inhabiting his body. Which
personality are
> you? Are you in communication with the others?

Wrong again, nazi. But you are an expert at being wrong. Now go
tap out some Morse Code, monkey boy. Maybe you can do something
about your bad comb-over, too.

5059. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:37:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114205856.233389.272510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106566

N9OGL wrote:
> > The key phrase in there, Todd, is "solely of subject matter of
> >direct interest to the amateur service".
> > What could the ramblings of a functionally illiterate,
> >foul-mouthed wiener like you have of interest to the Amateur Radio
>
>
> No the thing you should look at is, it is not up to YOU to determine
> what subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur radio
service.

I didn't say it was up to me, Toiddie.

> You can't grasp the concept that it is up to the station transmitting
> it....

No...It's up to the FCC. The same governmental agency that will
ultimately revoke your license.

> Not some moron redneck from Tennessee on some dumb newsgroup.

The moron is in Illinois, Toiddie.

And if it's as dumb as you insist, why do you keep coming back?

Steve, K4YZ

5060. Re: Be Sure To Get Toiddie's New Schedule N9OGL to QRM HF Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:41:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114206077.288444.110010@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106568

OOOOOOOHHHHH, what about your title on here:

"Be Sure To Get Toiddie's New Schedule N9OGL to QRM HF Again."

for your information you poor excuse for life, when I run my
Information bulletin I choose a unused frequency, but someone like you
who know's nothing of good amateur practice.
Todd N9OGL

5061. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:44:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114206284.368405.170050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106569

>No...It's up to the FCC. The same governmental agency that will
>ultimately revoke your license.

yeah right, will see about that HEHEHEHE

>And if it's as dumb as you insist, why do you keep coming back?

Like I said you fucking piece of shit this isn't YOUR NEWSGROUP, so
fuck you!!!

5062. Re: Be Sure To Get Toiddie's New Schedule N9OGL to QRM HF Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:48:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114206491.200912.121100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106570

N9OGL wrote:
> OOOOOOOHHHHH, what about your title on here:
>
> "Be Sure To Get Toiddie's New Schedule N9OGL to QRM HF Again."

It's absolutely correct. You'll be QRMing HF again.

> for your information you poor excuse for life, when I run my
> Information bulletin I choose a unused frequency, but someone like
you
> who know's nothing of good amateur practice.

But you're not running "information bulletins"...You're running a
show...You've said it yourself.

And I know a bit more about "good amateur practice" than you do,
Toiddie...Like not even starting in the first place.

Steve, K4YZ

5063. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:51:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114206662.886204.194980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106571

N9OGL wrote:
> >No...It's up to the FCC. The same governmental agency that will
> >ultimately revoke your license.
>
> yeah right, will see about that HEHEHEHE
>
> >And if it's as dumb as you insist, why do you keep coming back?
>
> Like I said you ####ing piece of #### this isn't YOUR NEWSGROUP, so
> #### you!!!

I never said it was mine, Toiddie.

YOU said it was a "dumb" newsgroup. I asked you why you keep coming back if you think it's so dumb.

So answer my original question, Toiddie...If it's so "dumb" (your words) why do YOU keep coming back...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

5064. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:58:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114207095.302034.237070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106572

I didn't say it was dumb, I said the people who use it (like you) are.

5065. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 21:58:27 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <L8HXPA3R38464.9989236111@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106573

In article <42687865.69CBE423@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil the Nazi and liar <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> That is incorrect.

I'd say that marrying a foreign national was why they cut it
short.
That and the poor performance record. But the marriage to a
foreign nation precludes you maintaining a security clearance!

> That's incorrect once again. My wife and I had five Foreign
Service
> assignments after our marriage.

Sure you did,liar.

> That doesn't quite make it as a sentence or as fact. Care to
tell us
> what you do for a living?

> Again, you don't quite have a sentence or a fact.

Again, your lies trip you up.

> I've outlined what I've done for amateur radio. I'll be happy
to
> outline what I've done in civic service when you provide a
little info,
> Roger.

More lies, Nazi? That's all you can do. And no matter how much
you say it, I'm still not Roger. You are one stupid bastard.

> That's incorrect yet again, Roger.

Wrong guess, and learn to punctuate your sentences.

> It is certainly one of you inhabiting his body. Which
personality are
> you? Are you in communication with the others?

Wrong again, nazi. But you are an expert at being wrong. Now go
tap out some Morse Code, monkey boy. Maybe you can do something
about your bad comb-over, too.

5066. Re: Be Sure To Get Toiddie's New Schedule N9OGL to QRM HF Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 22:04:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114207477.840401.193350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106574

It's absolutely correct. You'll be QRMing HF again.

To QRM mean to cause harmful Interference to radio stations, you can run an information bulletin without causing interference. if your so concerned with QRM why don't you get on the bandwagon to get rid of W1AW?
Todd N9OGL

5067. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 22:16:40 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <p7-dnaFB-oNW6vTfRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 106575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Ox_9e.65112$NC6.23121@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1114122555.866565.213790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>

[snip]

>
> I wonder how VE Dee would accomodate the disabled in the Tower Climbing
> pass/fail skill exam?
>

Tower climbing is not a basic skill so there is no need to test for it. One
can put up a wide variety of antennas without a tower. On the other hand,
I've often thought that a person (unless handicapped) should demonstrate
putting a simple PL-256 on coax.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5068. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 22:20:57 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <k-CdnaQzkKleAPTfRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106702

George Orwell wrote:
> In article <zbCdnZthbreSm_TfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>
>> You think think the FCC made him retest just for the fun of
>
> it? Poor
>
>>two-test wogie, dumb moron.
>
>
> What is "You think think?", tubby? Poor Lardass, aka "Butt
> Corey", he can't post in English. But you did flunk out of high
> school, didn't you?
>
> Why wasn't a reason for Roger's retest given in the retest
> letter, moron?
>

It doesn't matter if a reason was given or not, the fact is you, little wogie, had to retest. The FCC doesn't make you retest just for the fun of it. What is this AKA stuff? You don't have a clue who you are replying to. Typical of poor dumb wogie, the laughing stock of ham radio and the Internet.

5069. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 22:22:09 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <k-CdnaczkKmLA_TfRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106703

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey shit head! it not my fault your shitty call sign and his is close
> so you can go fuck you yourself or perhaps you should fuck asshole
> buddy Steve. Calling people names, like you and your little sluts on
> here has done to me, I would call "over the line" too so get over you
> fucking fat bastard! I never fake a nick you lying sack of shit so why
> don 't you take a razor blade dildo and shove it up your and your
> fucking slut steve ass. you fucking poor excuse of a fucking amateur
> operator. oh and eat shit and die you fucking fat bastard!!!!
>
> and by the way your little slut steve has posted shit off my blog (the
> complete article) which is copyrighted) again fuck yourselves up your
> asses with a razor blad dildo you fucking fat bastards
>

Grow up toddieboy!!

5070. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 22:24:55 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <k-CdnaYzkKIMA_TfRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106704

N9OGL wrote:
> Amateurs on the Usenet rec.radio.amater.policy are now using the
> Asshole Radio Relay League (ARRL) FCC Amateur Auxiliary to suppress my
> right to free speech over the air waves. The Asshole Radio Relay League
> FCC Amateur Auxiliary apparently has me on a list so their little
> monitoring stations can monitor my transmission to see if I'm running
> an informational bulletin so they can send the Gestapo to my house and
> suppress my right to free speech on the radio, just like the little
> NAZIS they really are. The reason for the list is because some little
> cry babies on the newgroup believe that information bulletins can be
> opinionated and by running a opinionated information bulletin it
> constitutes "broadcasting"
>
> 97.3(A)(25)
> Information bulletin. A message directed only to amateur operators
> consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to the amateur
> service.
>
> NO WHERE in that definition does it state an information has to be a
> NEWSCAST. But, there are asshole amateur's out there that are using
> their ASSHOLE radio Relay League to suppress FREE SPEECH. It just like
> the ARRL to SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH!!!
>
>>From N9OGL'S BLOG
> POSTED BY Todd N9OGL
>

If your 'bulletins' are in accordance with the FCC rules then what do
you care who monitors? Unless you have some doubts maybe.

5071. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 22:34:18 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <ba2ec40ee7fdd012b48e6d0613a3d8d0@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106576

In article <d4as0lfbs0@pita.alt.net>
"Mark aka Renee Richards" <m...@bellnospamsources.net> wrote:

> One wonders

One wonders if you are Renee Richards who had plastic suergery
to be a woman, but recently had it changed back so you could be
"Mark".

5072. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 22:42:56 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050422224256.10F6117145@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106603

In article <woger.international.man.of.mystery@houston-hunks.com>
Secret Davey aka Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
> On Fri, 22 Apr 2005 19:50:30 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger wrote:
> : Or how about the "International Radio License?" When called
on
> : it all you could offer up was "made you look."
>
> Hmm< (More lies and bullshit by Nazi Heil)

Now, Davey the Nazi, first you say you're not Saggy tits, now
you write just like when you do when you post under your own
name. And when LRod called you on your "International Radio
license," all you would offer up is "made you look." Sorry,
Davey the Nazi, you still have no credibility. Ever.
And I'm hardly answering to your cocksucking lisping bleat of
"Woger"..........

In fact, here is the post I was referring to:

From: StaggerLee@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman
Subject: Re: Get on the Marshall County ARES webpage......
Date: 4 Jul 2002 11:25:42 GMT
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <hungry.lrod.likes.trolls@houston-hunks.com>
References: <hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3sje22s@4ax.com>
<afvhaj$2r4$1@pita.alt.net> <afvsc2$6aa$1@pita.alt.net>
<ag052e$ep7$0@pita.alt.net>
<3d239130.198536522@netnews.worldnet.att.net>

On Thu, 04 Jul 2002 01:11:22 GMT, LRod <LRod-
removethispa...@pobox.com> wrote:
: On Wed, 3 Jul 2002 19:33:33 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
: <DoggieDaze@>Liberalz.com> wrote:
:
: Does it even occur to any of you pea brains that Marshall
County,
: ALABAMA, is not the same as Marshall County, WEST VIRGINIA?

Once again, LRod leaves the scene with the hook firmly embedded
in his
mouth.

I was hoping to hook Woger, but you'll do for now!

Still searching the UN web site for the International Radio
License?

Mua HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

[end repost]

And, as many times as you want to blather your lies, I'm still
not Roger Wiseman.

Subject: Re: Get on the Marshall County ARES webpage.....
Date: 3 Jul 2002 22:03:46 GMT
From: StaggerLee@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee)
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
MsgId: <afvsc2$6a...@pita.alt.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.coc��ksucker.roger-wiseman
References: <hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3s��j...@4ax.com>
<afvhaj$2r...@pita.alt.net>

Stagger Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> whined:

On Wed, 3 Jul 2002 14:18:35 -0400, AB8MQ <kc8...@alt.net> wrote:
: "Bob" <:@mchsi.com> wrote in message

: news:hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3sje22s@4ax.com...
: > and send them some of Rogers filth. I'm sure they would love
it.
:
: Dumbfuck, the Marshall County ARES doesn't have a webpage, try
again,

Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywa��rncanwarn/ doodle
brain? It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even
has a
place to send email.
[end repost]

The fact is, Davey, you should know what all you have to do when
you go to the "Italian Riviera" since you "claim" to be such a
big time DXer and all. But not a UN issued license that you are
trying to pass off. Pitiful, even for a closed minded, fat
gasbag like you.

--
Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit "Stagger Lee"
<StaggerLee@houston-hunks.com>
revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no
credibility by his
own definition in message <3C36FDCC.76EC1...@houston-hun�ks.com>
:
"Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own
anonymous
status makes the story even less credible."

5073. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 22:45:53 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <219105548d92cc28f63b7a38a0afc2d3@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106577

In article <zbCdnZthbreSm_TfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> You think think the FCC made him retest just for the fun of
it? Poor
> two-test wogie, dumb moron.

What is "You think think?", tubby? Poor Lardass, aka "Butt
Corey", he can't post in English. But you did flunk out of high
school, didn't you?

Why wasn't a reason for Roger's retest given in the retest
letter, moron?

5074. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 22:47:23 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1114210043.217840.153710@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:
> "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Ox_9e.65112$NC6.23121@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1114122555.866565.213790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
>
> [snip]
>
> >
> > I wonder how VE Dee would accomodate the disabled in the Tower
Climbing
> > pass/fail skill exam?
> >
>
> Tower climbing is not a basic skill so there is no need to test for
it. One
> can put up a wide variety of antennas without a tower. On the other
hand,
> I've often thought that a person (unless handicapped) should
demonstrate
> putting a simple PL-256 on coax.

Blasphemy! Off with your head!

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

w3rv

5075. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 22:48:53 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <bKWdnXELW9rK4vTfRVn-hw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106579

N9OGL wrote:
<GARBAGE DELETED>
>>From N9OGL'S BLOG
> POSTED BY Todd N9OGL
>
If anybody wanted to read your dog turd blog, then they would go there.
Why keep posting it here?
As far as free speech goes, accusing people of stuff they haven't done,
such as downloading kiddy porn or posting more crap on your turd site,
well pecker breath, you are stepping way over the line.
I see you took off your list of people that were k1man supporters,
well toady, too late, Rand already saw it and has a copy!
You make post then you fake others nicks and calls and post more
garbage, talk about censorship, you are the queen of that.
So why not just keep your crap on your blog and waste other peoples time
posting the garbage here.

5076. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 22:51:53 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <bKWdnXALW9qXHfTfRVn-hw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106580

Illiterate Idiot wrote:

>>Where is this list kept?
>
> Don't know, Although I've had a few people inform me that I was on this
> "list"

Well if you don't know, and you haven't seen it, shut up!

>
>>Is my callletters on it?
>
> Don't know, I do know that your callsign isn't a vaild callsign.

What a coax ur
> Todd Illiterate idiot
>

5077. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 22:53:10 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050422225310.9593B1713D@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106606

In article <d48ikv$i6c$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" answers himself in<radioc...@lloydsradioclub.net> wrote:

> LOL! Wonder what Wogie will score?

At least "Roger" does score, unlike you, 31 year old VIRGIN. And nice of you to admit your fuckup with "Social Outcase Assessment" which should be "Social Outcast Assessment," high school drop-out.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA....Lardass Lloyd loses again!

5078. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 22:53:46 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <bKWdnXMLW9rhHfTfRVn-hw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106581

K4YZ wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>and steve you still a ####ing ###head that no one likes.
>
>
> Ooooooooooooooooooooooooh....That hurt, Toiddie.
>
> Bwah Bwah Bwah......NOT!
>
> You're still a functionally illiterate liar that everyone else
> (even those who don't like me) is laughing at!
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !
>
> Steve, K4YZ

To be functionally illiterate you would have to function, or serve a function.

5079. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 23:10:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114211449.425034.96680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106582

Hey shit head! it not my fault your shitty call sign and his is close so you can go fuck you yourself or perhaps you should fuck asshole buddy Steve. Calling people names, like you and your little sluts on here has done to me, I would call "over the line" too so get over you fucking fat bastard! I never fake a nick you lying sack of shit so why don 't you take a razor blade dildo and shove it up your and your fucking slut steve ass. you fucking poor excuse of a fucking amateur operator. oh and eat shit and die you fucking fat bastard!!!!

and by the way your little slut steve has posted shit off my blog (the complete article) which is copyrighted) again fuck yourselves up your asses with a razor blad dildo you fucking fat bastards

5080. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 23:34:35 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114212875.295101.285520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106583

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > According to his eHam profile, Toddie is an...
> > >
> > > ARMY MARS MEMBER!
> > >
> > > QUOTE
> > >
> > > I am a member of the following ham radio clubs...
> > > Army M.A.R.S. Christian County Amateur Radio Club (CCARC)
> > >
> > > UNQUOTE
> > >
> > > Good thing "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" doesn't apply to MARS, eh,
> > > Toddie...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, what's it to you? You have no understanding of MARS.
>
> Why do you want to utter yet ANOTHER mistruth, Brian, when you
> have so many others out here that you still have yet to answer to?

No mistruth, Steve.

You are the one who claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
Hi, hi!

> As noted previously, Brian, I've been a member of each MARS at
one
> time or another for different reasons,

Wow, I didn't realize that there were different reasons for being a
member. I guess the different services have markedly different
purposes and goals.

and have been in charge of an
> island-wide system on Okinawa for six months.

That would have been funny to see. Can you give us some details of
your "In-Charge" time so that we can verify it? We'll Start with a
copy of your USN MARS license, and a letter from the installation
Commander assigning you such duties. Then we'll need corroborating
information from your customers and subordinates. This will be fun!

> My undersanding of the program, it's history, purpose and goals
> far exceeds anything you or Lennie the Lame can cut-and-past from
> internet URL's.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

We'll let you prove that with the above requested information.

And none of this "Seven Hostile Actions" stuff.

Best of Luck!

5081. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 23:34:47 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <Y9SWGQOA38465.0658217593@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106584

In article <42681F41.841B113B@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> You thought you were responding to me, Roger? I don't have
any need to
> hide behind anonymous remailers.

No, Nazi, you keep seeing Roger behind every post. And you do
hide behind other accounts, like ones on Altopia. So does Nursie.

> You asked sometime ago what I'd done for amateur radio. I
provided a
> number of items which are easily verified.

You provided lies.

> How about you answering that
> same question? We know about your notorious interactions with
the FCC.
> Why not provide us even a one-liner with the things you've
done for and
> not to amateur radio? QRMing stations on 20m and getting
involved in an
> SSB version of the stuff you post here don't count.

Roger will have to propvide it, retarded Nazi, he's probably
done more than you really have.
Fromthe official letter that was once posted at Hap's Rain
Report website, no reason was given for Roger's retest letter of
what, 5 years ago, now? And still nothing else heard from the
FCC on his so-called "actions" on 20 meters, if he is such a bad
"qrmer" as you claim, he'd be in the FCC enforcement letters all
the time or worse. Guess all you whining is just another lie by
the biggest liar on the newsgroup.

> Would you care to astound us with your contributions to your
community,
> county, state or nation? Maybe you could come up with
commendations
> from some of your many friends. Perhaps you're involved with
your
> church or a civic organization. Let us know.

Perhaps you'd like to ask somebody who give a fuck about what
you request. I don't have to qualify myself to you or anybody
else, Nazi. Nobody has to give information because you ask for
it, Nazi.

5082. Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·147

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 23:39:25 UTC
From: RonGrossi382822@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114213165.331304.63450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106585
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven which is described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is to be saved so that we can live forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord and believe in your heart that God raised Him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and in three days come back to life

again and it happens then this person must be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God to save you. Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus

Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to
place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
may never get another chance to do so
because you do not know when you will die.

Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and
the life: no one can come to the
Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me."
(John 14:6)

This means that if you die without
trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
Saviour you will be forever separated from
the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
Only then will you have true peace in your
life knowing that no matter what happens you
are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

*Show this to your family and friends so
they can know that they have a choice
where they will spend eternity. Thanks!

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

5083. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 23:40:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114213217.348231.232250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106587

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> > The planet, the candy bar, or MILITARY Affiliate Radio
> > System?

> You have the callsigns and dates I was a member. You may verify
> my experiences at your leisure.

Heh, heh, heh. Care to share all that "in-confidence" information with
the rest of us?

5084. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 23:40:20 UTC
From: Secwet Woger <kittens@love.me>
Message-ID: <yvudW7l5rKho_jcR-rQ@gelded.not>
Source record: 106586

On 22 Apr 2005 16:10:49 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:
> Hey shit head! it not my fault your shitty call sign and his is close
> so you can go fuck you yourself or perhaps you should fuck asshole
> buddy Steve. Calling people names, like you and your little sluts on
> here has done to me, I would call "over the line" too so get over you
> fucking fat bastard! I never fake a nick you lying sack of shit so why
> don 't you take a razor blade dildo and shove it up your and your
> fucking slut steve ass. you fucking poor excuse of a fucking amateur
> operator. oh and eat shit and die you fucking fat bastard!!!!
>
> and by the way your little slut steve has posted shit off my blog (the
> complete article) which is copyrighted) again fuck yourselves up your
> asses with a razor blad dildo you fucking fat bastards

Do you mind if I use some of that stuff in my own posts? You are a
breath of fresh air, and I want to tear up some people on alt.flame
with your cool way of writing. The dudes in
alt.alien.vampire.flonk.flonk.flonk will quiver in fear when I post
that stuff.

You da man!

5085. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 23:42:43 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <90037e1797be3ae319bbf54a2446632f@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106631

In article <4268757B.FED8FBF9@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> To post as Stagger Lee again I'd have had to post as Stagger
Lee once.

More lies from the lying expert, Nazi Davey.

> I haven't.

You have, and you post under some other names on here, as well.
Nursie posts under other names, too!

> I lack no conviction to address you here under my own name
> or, as you well know, face to face.

Yes, you do, fatassed Nazi. And you prove it.

>You're still human sludge, Roger.

You are still wrong about who I am. Does Roger pay you for all
this advertizement, or are you his bitch?
The fact is, you are a lying asshole. White trash is what you
are.

> > Now go tap out some Morse code, imbecile.
>
> Ask me with a "please" and I might do you bidding, UnWiseman.

You'd better learn how to post in English, first.

"I might do you bidding,UnWiseman"
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!! Oh, the irony.

5086. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 23:44:21 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114213461.363905.38090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

G1LVN wrote:
> You'll be saying we have to give back the internet 44.x.x.x IP
address
> range next, OM. We could probably get $billions for a Class A
> allocation like that. Yet we don't do we?

Are you active on 24GHz?

5087. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 23:46:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114213576.489553.206210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Joe wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1114135537.161170.20640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
>
> >
> > 24GHz, huh? What's that?
> >
>
> Only a cber would ask a stupid question like that.

Are you active on 24 GHz?

5088. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 23:47:41 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114213661.360950.114760@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > G1LVN wrote:
> > >
> > > Looks like 100 years plus of amateur radio history in the UK
could
> > soon
> > > go the same way as the 24GHz band i.e. licence excempt - no
exams,
> no
> > > callsigns, just CB!
> > >
> > >
> > > --73deG1LVN
> > > www.dutchhousemob.co.uk
> >
> > 24GHz, huh? What's that?
>
> Why am I not surprised?

Surprise me. Tell us about your 24 GHz set-up. Hi!

5089. Re: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 23:51:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114213910.719343.12340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

Woody wrote:
> > Specifically, Ofcom will NOT recommend any options to make the
amateur
> radio
> > service WT Act licence-exempt; however, for transparency and
completeness,
> this will
> > be put forward as an option in the public consultation in order to
> > gather the community's views ....."
>
> How the hell does the above translate into this...
>
> > Looks like 100 years plus of amateur radio history in the UK could
soon
> > go the same way as the 24GHz band i.e. licence excempt - no exams,
no
> > callsigns, just CB!
>
> Licence exempt - with 150W DC in (400w pep) - never in a million
years..
> I would think every single organisation with an interest in the radio
> spectrum
> would object.
>
> Woody..

Woody, tell us about your 24 GHz rig.

5090. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-22 23:55:41 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <b2117d1b6348bda147b0be0a6c502a40@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106593

In article <d48ikv$i6c$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" answers himself in<radioc...@lloydsradioclub.net> wrote:

> LOL! Wonder what Wogie will score?

At least "Roger" does score, unlike you, 31 year old VIRGIN. And nice of you to admit your fuckup with "Social Outcase Assessment" which should be "Social Outcast Assessment," high school drop-out.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA....Lardass Lloyd loses again!

5091. Re: FCC Licenses new CW coastal station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 00:00:32 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114214432.615658.176850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106594

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.if947k0.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com:
>
> > On Wed, 20 Apr 2005 04:48:20 GMT, Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> >
> >>The FCC has granted a license for a new, common carrier, class 1A
CW
> >>coast station, callsign KSM. This is the first time in many years
that
> >>the FCC has granted a new license for this service. The hours of
> >>operation for the station have not yet been determined, but the
call is
> >>KSM and frequencies of operation will be 426, 500, 6474 and 12993
kHz
> >>with an authorized output of 5kW on all frequencies.
> >
> > Note that the licensee is the Maritime Radio Historical Society,
> > which has been restoring the original Morse stations on several
> > WW-II-era vessels used as floating museums in the San Francisco
Bay
> > and other West Coast areas and has made restoration of the coast
> > station (site and equipment owned by the Golden Gate National
> > Seashore) a prime goal in the last five years or so.
> >
> > The site of KSM (and its equipment) is the old RCA marine coast
> > station KPH, transmitters in Bolinas, CA and receivers/control
point
> > in Point Reyes, CA. The same station is used for the annual
"KPH"
> > fire-up on July 12, the anniversary of the last commercial Morse
> > traffic in the US, and for frequent operation of the
power-lowered
> > transmitters on the ham bands as K6KPH. Everyone concerned is a
> > volunteer.
> >
> > I've done some work with them but I'm too far from San Francisco
to
> > be one of the "regulars".
> >
> > --
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> Methinks the Op wanted us to think that the FCC was bringing back CW

in the
> maritime service! Well spotted, Phil.

That's so sad.

5092. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 00:14:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114215248.162452.154600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106595

if you like that check out this site.

http://www.illwillpress.com/

Todd N9OGL

5093. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 00:15:58 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114215358.336569.289460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cl wrote:
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1114122555.866565.213790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> > news:d48mkb$p2c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> > >
> > > No other part of the testing is a skill.
> > >
> > My point EXACTLY, Mike.
> > >
> > > While there are many skills associated with our hobby, only one of
> those skills
> > > is singled out for a required demonstration.
> > >
> > > Interestingly, if that skill is so vital as to need a skill
> demonstration, it
> > > would seem that no-one who had not been tested would be allowed to
> use it on the
> > > air. Yet a basic Technician licensee is perfectly free to use Morse
> on the air
> > > without having passed a Morse test. So much for the need for a
> demonstration
> > > before a license grant!
> > >
> > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> I wonder how VE Dee would accomodate the disabled in the Tower
Climbing
> pass/fail skill exam?
>
>
> Not that Tower climbing will likely ever become an issue with the
exam,

I'm going to advocate it during the next NPRM.

but
> if it were, then I'd suggest there would be questions relating to the
proper
> safety techniques of doing such a job,

No questions. They need to climb a 60 foot tower, haul up the coax and an HF-tribander, fasten it, point it north, and make the connections.

wherein "actual" "physical"
> demonstration is not needed nor required.

But it is. Otherwise, how would we know they could do it?

Given that - a wheel chair bound
> person "could" pass those parts of an exam. I can't fathom tower climbing
> becoming a major issue.

Yet Morse Code has become a major issue. Just because not everyone is going to have a tower is no excuse for not being able to demonstrate the ability.

5094. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 00:20:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114215608.255651.187700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:d4b2as$10o2$2@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> > KØHB wrote:
> >
> >> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
> >> news:d48mkb$p2c$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> >>
> >>
> >>>No other part of the testing is a skill.
> >>
> >>
> >> My point EXACTLY, Mike.
> >>
> >> While there are many skills associated with our hobby, only one of
those
> >> skills is singled out for a required demonstration.
> >>
> >> Interestingly, if that skill is so vital as to need a skill
demonstration, it
> >> would seem that no-one who had not been tested would be allowed to
use it on
> >> the air. Yet a basic Technician licensee is perfectly free to use
Morse on
> >> the air without having passed a Morse test. So much for the need
for a
> >> demonstration before a license grant!
> >
> >
> > I see it as a license progression thing. The Morse code is the
skill
> > demonstration, and HF access is the carrot.
> >
>
> But the skill demonstration should bear relationship to the privilege
granted as
> a RESULT of that demonstration. In other words, USE of Morse should
be
> restricted only to those who have successfully demonstrated their
skill. Or to
> use your example, the USE of Morse should be the carrot offered as a
result of
> passing a Morse test.

>
> But by FCC regulation, a code-free Technician is allowed to use Morse
on the air
> WITHOUT a successful Morse demonstration, and the "demonstrate BEFORE
privilege"
> model is disconnected; ergo the demonstration is not vital to the
successful use
> of the mode and serves no rational regulatory purpose.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

We deserve logical regulations.

5095. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 00:21:07 UTC
From: Brad <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <426994fe$0$79461$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 106598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:p7-dnaFB-oNW6vTfRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
I've often thought that a person (unless handicapped) should demonstrate
> putting a simple PL-256 on coax.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Perhaps they could demonstrate a PL259 connector instead?

Brad VK2QQ

5096. Re: sked qso on 20 for 2:00pm EST.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 00:28:30 UTC
From: "G. Doughty" <ki4bbl@cox.net>
Message-ID: <REgae.5417$H53.552@lakeread05>
Source record: 106599

Hans,

Sorry, I too have been busy. I did cq and actually got a qso. I do really appreciate you willing to make a contact though. Thank you. BTW, I was 59 to Louisiana. 20m was crummy this afternoon too.

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:vxdae.10535$An2.9022@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> Sorry, meeting lasted over the noon hour so I couldn't get to the radio.
> Do you
> want to try this evening, maybe on 40M?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>

5097. Re: Toddie lives with mommy and daddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 00:40:22 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <a2a0085e705e452f1c012e1df3ac0374@dizum.com>
Source record: 106601

In article <42681FA0.C66684EE@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Gosh, it looks like <whine>

You fucked up again, Nazi. Maybe you could post as "Stagger Lee"
again because, you lack the conviction to post such things under
you own name. Now go tap out some Morse code, imbecile.

5098. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 00:45:03 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <a5511420af822286a5c489042b6317af@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106700

In article <zbCdnZphbrfem_TfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Poor two-test wogie, the laughing stock of ham radio and the
Internet.

Poor Lardass, he was so upset, he followed up twice. But at
least he didn't use think twice this time.
Lardass never thinks twice more than once.

--
CMD Butt Corer blathered in a drunken stupor in
<zbCdnZthbreSm_TfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>:
"You think think the FCC made him retest just for the fun of it?"

5099. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 00:46:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114217171.612661.144160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106701

On Saturday @ 4.00 PM CST on 20 meters

5100. Re: phil kane troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 01:27:44 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <9ad27d5780c8e0e73c38c11d4c09c167@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106705

In article
<1114170309.241332.234210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> George Orwell wrote:
> > phil kane newsgroup troll and resident
> > ###hole
>
> Coming from an anonmyous lying coward, this is pretty
> insignificant on the low end of meaningless.

More of that double standard, Nursie? Coming from a proven liar
and unmitigated blowhard, you and your statement are exceeding
your uselessness quota!

p.s. LEARN TO SPELL "asinine", you illiterate.

5101. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 01:34:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LChae.10674$An2.6893@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote

> I wonder how VE Dee would accomodate the
> disabled in the Tower Climbing pass/fail skill exam?

The Somolian judges gave this a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter,
but they were over-ruled by the umpires in instant replay, who
award it a 2.6

The Somolian judges lodged a formal protest!

It was sufficiently trollish, of course, but way too obvious.
It was poorly written, poorly executed, and was so incredibly
lame as to lack the true drawing power of a really masterful
troll. Maybe as high as a 3.3 for the intense stupidity of the
premise, but a 9.8? Never! The Somolian judges tear their
hair out, throw their balalaikas down in dismay, and perform
the traditional Somolian Dismay Chant! They demand a recount!

Recount denied.

5102. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 02:06:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1114222013.887038.83120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

> >
> Well history has revealed that radio amateurs were pushed further up
the
> frequency scale simply because they (the authorities) thought it was
of no
> use commercially. Look at Short Wave, it was the radio amateurs who
> discovered that one could work the world on short waves. Radio
amateurs who
> discovered (or was it invented) SSB.

I don't know who invented SSB but it was in use for a number of years
commercially before it first appeared in the amateur bands.

> Now of course there are fewer things
> for us radio amateurs to give the world (for free of course) so our
> existance is tolerated. However it looks like that tolerance (at
least in
> the UK) is about to be curtailed.
> Brian

There's an old adage out there which applies here, "Use it or lose it".
There's no point to complaining about losing allocations if we don't
use them. Which is the case with 24Ghz and we did it to ourselves.

w3rv

5103. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 02:25:17 UTC
From: I Ain't Roger Either <MeNotRoger@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d4cbmmdpl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106711

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:k-CdnaczkKmLA_TfRVn-ig@gbronline.com...
N9OGL wrote:
> Hey shit head! it not my fault your shitty call sign and his is close
> so you can go fuck you yourself or perhaps you should fuck asshole
> buddy Steve. Calling people names, like you and your little sluts on
> here has done to me, I would call "over the line" too so get over you
> fucking fat bastard! I never fake a nick you lying sack of shit so why
> don 't you take a razor blade dildo and shove it up your and your
> fucking slut steve ass. you fucking poor excuse of a fucking amateur
> operator. oh and eat shit and die you fucking fat bastard!!!!
>
> and by the way your little slut steve has posted shit off my blog (the
> complete article) which is copyrighted) again fuck yourselves up your
> asses with a razor blad dildo you fucking fat bastards
>

Grow up toddieboy!!

>
You are asking a LOT of Todd.
Puberty is a difficult thing to grow out of.

5104. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 02:27:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Eoiae.10684$An2.10212@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

>
> There's an old adage out there which applies here, "Use it or lose it".
> There's no point to complaining about losing allocations if we don't
> use them. Which is the case with 24Ghz and we did it to ourselves.
>

&#65533;97.1 Basis and purpose.

The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following principles:

. . . . . (b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art.

Which is a more valuable "Use" of spectrum.... 5,000 appliances operators on wall-to-wall Lumbago Nets on 75-meters, or 5 guys designing world-class antennas and low-noise preamps in order to work the EME path on 24-Ghz?

73, de Hans, K0HB

5105. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 02:36:23 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <4LudncFnBa00KfTfRVn-vA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106713

Illiterate idiot spewed:
> Hey BLEEP BLEEP! it not my fault your shitty call sign and his is close
> BLEEP BLEEP ect

It's not your fault that YOU posted somebody else's call? Then whose
fault is it?
Why cant you just answer a question without bringing up all your
dysfunctional families problems?

5106. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 02:39:19 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <4LudncBnBa3FKPTfRVn-vA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106714

N9OGL wrote:

> if you like that check out this site.
>
> http://www.illwillpress.com/

So there's the problem, toady is still into cartoons and cartoon porn.
It's no wonder the gayboy can't face reality!

5107. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 02:41:44 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <4LudncNnBa12KPTfRVn-vA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106715

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> I have published the HF schedule many times and I will next week be
>> posting the summer 05 schedule. but for right now I believe it's 20
>> meters @ 4.00 pm CST
>>
>
> That doesn't tell me much. What day(s), around what frequency? Or am I
> just supposed to guess?

Who really cares, but one thing remains the same, he won't be changing
the changes!

5108. Re: phil kane pest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 02:51:00 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <qKiae.8371$EX4.1467@fed1read01>
Source record: 106716

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

George Orwell wrote:

> every newsgroup has a cockroach
> here it is phil kane the troll

Hey Phil? you on? looks like you picked up a "fan boi".

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCabgVhomUgK3bdaYRArrMAJ0aNWJYF2xvtmqTpe0kPbTYxGdh/QCgoUHN
dgqq0hSpI6TtZ0LnYr4bhzE=
=OXlF
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

5109. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 02:59:23 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <7J6dneWpsN6QJ_TfRVn-3w@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106717

The drag queen wet his panties while trying to think:

Hey toady, I just made copies of some of your post and I am in the processes of printing them out. They will be in the mail to your mommy tomorrow. I bet you get spanked, maybe she'll tell your daddy and he'll make you bend over, I bet they wash your mouth out with soap! One question before you go off on some stupid rant, would you dare to talk to another grown man, face to face, the way you talk here? So go change your Betty Boop panties and think about the question before you answer!

5110. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 03:01:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114225311.416083.21950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106718

N9OGL wrote:
> > And your broadcasts are still, in and of themselves inappropriate,
> >Todd. And I have no doubt that if left to your own devices, you
will
> >no doubt find some rationalization for making those subjects
pertinent
> >to Amateur Radio...
>
> In what way, because you don't like them doesn't mean there are
others
> out there that likes them. I assure all my programs and bulletins are
> Amateur related.

Like who? Name me 5 people who not only listen to but LIKE your
shows. Your mommie and daddie and anonymous trolls don't count...Real
hams...real callsigns.

> >Todd, I don't want you QRM'ed. Becasue I want EVERYone to hear
> >exactly how goofy you really are.
>
> No, the only QRM that will be going on is from whine little babies
like
> you who think they know what the law is but in reality don't.

Sure I do. That's why I don't broadcast irrelevent radio shows on
the Amateur HF allocations...Like you do.

Steve, K4YZ

5111. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 03:46:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4269C50D.B45E0BE0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106720

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>
> In article <42687865.69CBE423@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil the Nazi and liar <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > That is incorrect.
>
> I'd say that marrying a foreign national was why they cut it
> short.

There is fact. Then there is what you'd say.

> That and the poor performance record. But the marriage to a
> foreign nation precludes you maintaining a security clearance!

That is totally incorrect. You are ignorant of fact.

> > That's incorrect once again. My wife and I had five Foreign
> Service
> > assignments after our marriage.
>
> Sure you did,liar.

Yep, you can check back issues of State Magazine, QST, CQ, The DX
Bulletin. Bank on it.

> > That doesn't quite make it as a sentence or as fact. Care to
> tell us
> > what you do for a living?
>
> > Again, you don't quite have a sentence or a fact.
>
> Again, your lies trip you up.

My lies about you putting together a sentence?

> > I've outlined what I've done for amateur radio. I'll be happy
> to
> > outline what I've done in civic service when you provide a
> little info,
> > Roger.
>
> More lies, Nazi? That's all you can do. And no matter how much
> you say it, I'm still not Roger. You are one stupid bastard.

You are one pathetic piece of human sludge, hiding behind an anonymous
remailer.

> > That's incorrect yet again, Roger.
>
> Wrong guess, and learn to punctuate your sentences.

Hint: My sentence (above) uses correct punctuation, professor.

> > It is certainly one of you inhabiting his body. Which
> personality are
> > you? Are you in communication with the others?
>
> Wrong again, nazi. But you are an expert at being wrong. Now go
> tap out some Morse Code, monkey boy. Maybe you can do something
> about your bad comb-over, too.

Awwww, shucks. The little troll gives orders. How nice for you.

Dave K8MN

5112. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 03:49:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4269C5B9.9D75EAE2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106721

N9OGL wrote:
>
> I didn't say it was dumb, I said the people who use it (like you) are.

"...Not some moron redneck from Tennessee on some dumb newsgroup."

--N9OGL on 22 April 2005

Oops! It looks like you did say so, Todd.

Dave K8MN

5113. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 03:49:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4269C5F1.87BA6532@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106722

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>
> In article <42687865.69CBE423@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil the Nazi and liar <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > That is incorrect.
>
> I'd say that marrying a foreign national was why they cut it
> short.
> That and the poor performance record. But the marriage to a
> foreign nation precludes you maintaining a security clearance!
>
> > That's incorrect once again. My wife and I had five Foreign
> Service
> > assignments after our marriage.
>
> Sure you did,liar.
>
> > That doesn't quite make it as a sentence or as fact. Care to
> tell us
> > what you do for a living?
>
> > Again, you don't quite have a sentence or a fact.
>
> Again, your lies trip you up.
>
> > I've outlined what I've done for amateur radio. I'll be happy
> to
> > outline what I've done in civic service when you provide a
> little info,
> > Roger.
>
> More lies, Nazi? That's all you can do. And no matter how much
> you say it, I'm still not Roger. You are one stupid bastard.
>
> > That's incorrect yet again, Roger.
>
> Wrong guess, and learn to punctuate your sentences.
>
> > It is certainly one of you inhabiting his body. Which
> personality are
> > you? Are you in communication with the others?
>
> Wrong again, nazi. But you are an expert at being wrong. Now go

> tap out some Morse Code, monkey boy. Maybe you can do something
> about your bad comb-over, too.

You seem to be having some problems in your home communications center.
I can help you straighten that out if you like.

Dave K8MN

5114. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 03:51:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4269C65A.80A111C4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106723

```
Userbeam Remailer wrote:
>
> In article <d4as0l$fbs$0@pita.alt.net>
> "Mark aka Renee Richards" <m...@bellnospamsources.net> wrote:
>
> > One wonders
>
> One wonders if you are Renee Richards who had plastic suergery
> to be a woman, but recently had it changed back so you could be
> "Mark".

...and you can be "Space".

Dave K8MN
```

5115. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 04:03:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4269C934.6CF057E1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106725

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>
> In article <42681F41.841B113B@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > You thought you were responding to me, Roger? I don't have
> any need to
> > hide behind anonymous remailers.
>
> No, Nazi, you keep seeing Roger behind every post.

I don't see Roger much at all. I saw him once and he bolted.

> And you do
> hide behind other accounts, like ones on Altopia. So does Nursie.

That is absolutely false. I post nowhere on the internet other than as
myself. You are the one who seems to see me everywhere.

> > You asked sometime ago what I'd done for amateur radio. I
> provided a
> > number of items which are easily verified.
>
> You provided lies.

As I said, my statements are easily verified. Here's a task for you,
anonymous one: Come up with verifiable proof to the contrary.

> > How about you answering that
> > same question? We know about your notorious interactions with
> the FCC.
> > Why not provide us even a one-liner with the things you've
> done for and
> > not to amateur radio? QRMing stations on 20m and getting
> involved in an
> > SSB version of the stuff you post here don't count.
>
> Roger will have to propvide it, retarded Nazi, he's probably
> done more than you really have.

Do an internet search on Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ or KC8JBO. That'll reveal
that Roger is known only for 1) being in hot water with the FCC and 2)
posting filthy rants in newsgroups. He's quite the asset to amateur
radio.

> Fromthe official letter that was once posted at Hap's Rain
> Report website, no reason was given for Roger's retest letter of

> what, 5 years ago, now? And still nothing else heard from the
> FCC on his so-called "actions" on 20 meters, if he is such a bad
> "qrmer" as you claim, he'd be in the FCC enforcement letters all
> the time or worse.

Roger now keeps a very, very, very low profile on the air--for good
reason.

> Guess all you whining is just another lie by
> the biggest liar on the newsgroup.

"You whining"? Your claim of lies rings quite hollow from one who must
hide behind anonymous remailers. Now if you had the courage of your
convictions, you'd use your name and stand behind your statements.
You can't do that.

> > Would you care to astound us with your contributions to your
> community,
> > county, state or nation? Maybe you could come up with
> commendations
> > from some of your many friends. Perhaps you're involved with
> your
> > church or a civic organization. Let us know.
>
> Perhaps you'd like to ask somebody who give a f--k about what
> you request. I don't have to qualify myself to you or anybody
> else, Nazi. Nobody has to give information because you ask for
> it, Nazi.

You're absolutely right. Nobody has to do so. You being a stand up
guy, I thought surely you'd want to let us know what you'd done with
your life. That you choose not to do so just makes your claims look like
sad, plaintive cries in the night. Off to the rest of the cockroaches
with you.

Dave K8MN

5116. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 04:20:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114230029.161384.48820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106726

On your sending my post, donm't bother i'm 37 years old and I old enough to say what I want. to answer you stupid question yeah, I would...if you where standing right in font of I would tell you to go fuck yourself right to you dumbass face...just like the dumbass CB operator you are.

5117. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 04:23:47 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <n5kae.10464$yq6.2478@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106727

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114200535.190619.94300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

: Amateurs on the Usenet rec.radio.amater.policy are now using the
: Asshole Radio Relay League (ARRL) FCC Amateur Auxiliary to suppress my
: right to free speech over the air waves.

Tedd,

You need to understand some definitions before you spue such nonsense,
especially the difference between "Free Speech" and "Lawful Speech".

QRM'ers - Those who by their own consensus reality, set
themselves apart from and superior and use
this illusory superiority to restrict or censor any others
attempts at communication through. Rarely identify
themselves.

Censorship - The attempt, successful or not, by an arbitrary set of
human beings to remove the ability of any other arbitrary
but disjoint set of human beings to perceive the intended
Message of a human being.

Community - Any arbitrary group of human beings who gather together
for one or more purposes. Synonym for Mob.

Control - Regulation of activity and content. That which should only
be applied to oneself. The need to control others is a
dishonorable symptom of the failed need to control oneself.

Ethics - Rules of conduct which appease and satisfy one's own true self.
Sometimes directly opposed to Morals.

Freedom - The ability of a person to execute any arbitrary action that
the person desires.

Free Speech - That speech which does not conflict with Ethics.

Lawful Speech - That speech which does not conflict with Morals.

Mob - Any arbitrary group of human beings who gather together for
one or more purposes. Synonym for Community.

Morals - Rules of conduct which appease and satisfy a Community.
Sometimes directly opposed to Ethics.

Obligation - Required action due to previous agreement or
condition. This is what most people call "responsibility".

Responsibility - A voluntary state of awareness, which results in
actions for the highest and best good of one's self.
Also, your ability to respond. Responsibility can
never be coerced or enforced, but must always be a
free choice.

5118. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 04:24:58 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <u6kae.4653$J12.1184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 106728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Greg" <gfight@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:BE8E6DB4.3B6C7%gfight@tampabay.rr.com...
>
>
>> From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>> Organization: http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>> Newsgroups:
>> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.
>> cb
>> Date: 22 Apr 2005 03:27:20 GMT
>> Subject: Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR
>>
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com...
>>>> LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>>>>> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
>>>>>
>>>>> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
>>>>>
>>>>> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
>>>>>
>>>> Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription
>>>> leave.
>>>
>>> LOL!!! I liked the one when uncle Jed bought himself a new
>>> "fishin boat" He came down to the docks dressed as an admiral
>>> and went on board a Destroyer.....
>>>

>>> Landshark
>>>
>> Killer. The one where they fetched sum golf clubs and commenced to sum
>> golf hunting is pretty good too.
>>
> Did they ever figger out how to fix billyards to eat at the billyard
> table?
>
> Greg

`Don't know, did they ever get the seement pond
drained?

Landshark

--



5119. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 04:28:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114230516.864279.201140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106729

Like I said on another thread steve, if your SOOOOOO concern about
information bulletins why don't you fet on the bandwagon to get rid of
W1AW????

5120. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 04:29:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114230575.312151.48720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106730

Like I said on another thread steve, if your SOOOOOO concern about
information bulletins why don't you GET on the bandwagon to get rid of
W1AW????

5121. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 04:44:44 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <0pkae.11778$go4.10592@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
>
> Perhaps they could demonstrate a PL259 connector instead?
>
Extra credit if they can do it without melting the
dielectric insulation of the coax. More points if they
can get the solder to actually flow onto the connector body
where the shield solder holes are located (helps if you
use a small file to remove the plating first).
And more points if they remember to put the shell on the
cable in the correct direction before doing any soldering ;-)
>
>

5122. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 04:46:56 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4rkae.11780$go4.10041@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> I see it as a license progression thing. The Morse code is the skill
> demonstration, and HF access is the carrot.

What does the FCC and the government get out of it today?
>
> I know someone who is going to bust my chops now!!! 8^)

This wouldn't be rrap otherwise ;-)

5123. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 04:47:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114231638.070283.313960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106734

N9OGL wrote:
> Like I said on another thread steve, if your SOOOOOO concern about
> information bulletins why don't you fet on the bandwagon to get rid
of
> W1AW????

You didn't "fet" my original question, Todd...Who listens to your shows anyway? Five folks, Todd. Can't name any?

And W1AW gives me information I can use. They are also the agency the FCC goes to when there are "communications emergencies" to be broadcast.

N9OGL is NOT the "agency" they go to in order to get that information out.

You do not possess the resources to do what W1AW does. You're a make believe broadcaster with a chip on his shoulder.

Steve, K4YZ

5124. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 04:48:14 UTC
From: Brad <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <4269d399$0$79457$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 106735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:0pkae.11778$go4.10592@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>
>>
>> Perhaps they could demonstrate a PL259 connector instead?
>>
> Extra credit if they can do it without melting the
> dielectric insulation of the coax. More points if they
> can get the solder to actually flow onto the connector body
> where the shield solder holes are located (helps if you
> use a small file to remove the plating first).
> And more points if they remember to put the shell on the
> cable in the correct direction before doing any soldering ;-)

Or they could demonstrate extra skills and just use crimp on PL259's like I do. These are much easier and more reliable. They are more expensive but their construction is superior.

My original comment was directed at Dee offering points for something called a "PL256".

Brad

5125. N9OGL Caught In Drunken Lie...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 04:56:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114232160.327792.182830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106736

N9OGL wrote:
> I didn't say it was dumb, I said the people who use it (like you)
are.

I just LOVE catching you in yet another of your drunken,
functioanlly illiterate idiot moments, Todd ! ! !

QUOTE:

N9OGL Apr 22, 2:30 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "N9OGL" <todd...@consolidated.net> - Find messages by this author

Date: 22 Apr 2005 14:30:41 -0700
Local: Fri,Apr 22 2005 2:30 pm
Subject: Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

> The key phrase in there, Todd, is "solely of subject matter of
>direct interest to the amateur service".
> What could the ramblings of a functionally illiterate,
>foul-mouthed wiener like you have of interest to the Amateur Radio

No the thing you should look at is, it is not up to YOU to determine
what subject matter is of direct interest to the amateur radio service.

You can't grasp the concept that it is up to the station transmitting
it....Not some moron redneck from Tennessee on some dumb newsgroup

UNQUOTE

You LIED Toddie! "...on some dumb newsgroup"

You're SUCH AN IDIOT, McDaugherty!

And I hate to point this out, but YOU are using the newsgroup too!

Sheeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

5126. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 04:58:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114232289.213566.152640@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

bb wrote:
> Joe wrote:
> > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1114135537.161170.20640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> >
> > >
> > > 24GHz, huh? What's that?
> > >
> >
> > Only a cber would ask a stupid question like that.
>
> Are you active on 24 GHz?

Does he need to be to point out that your question was stupid?

Steve, K4YZ

5127. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 05:00:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114232455.358184.104650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106738

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > G1LVN wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Looks like 100 years plus of amateur radio history in the UK
> could
> > > soon
> > > > go the same way as the 24GHz band i.e. licence excempt - no
> exams,
> > no
> > > > callsigns, just CB!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --73deG1LVN
> > > > www.dutchhousemob.co.uk
> > >
> > > 24GHz, huh? What's that?
> >
> > Why am I not surprised?
>
> Surprise me. Tell us about your 24 GHz set-up.

Where did I say I had one, Brian?

You asked an idiotic question, Brian. It deserved the prod.

Steve, K4YZ

5128. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 05:03:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114232589.949896.98200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106739

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > The planet, the candy bar, or MILITARY Affiliate Radio
> > > System?
>
> > You have the callsigns and dates I was a member. You may
verify
> > my experiences at your leisure.
>
> Heh, heh, heh. Care to share all that "in-confidence" information
with
> the rest of us?

Why?

Was the post I made in this forum only a day or two ago not
adequate for you too?

Don't tell me you WEREN'T PAYING ATTENTION again, were you,
Brain...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

5129. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 05:14:35 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4269D9AC.3BC6B0D7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

robert casey wrote:
>
> Extra credit if they can do it without melting the
> dielectric insulation of the coax. More points if they
> can get the solder to actually flow onto the connector body
> where the shield solder holes are located (helps if you
> use a small file to remove the plating first).
> And more points if they remember to put the shell on the
> cable in the correct direction before doing any soldering ;-)

I used to tin the braid then use a small tubing cutter to trim the shield to the right length. I used a drill to remove the plating from the holes and file all the chrome off between the holes. I tinned the center conductor and put a drop of liquid RMA flux on the center conductor and the braid before I put the coax into the plug, then soldered all four holes and the center pin. I didn't melt the dielectric, and you couldn't pull the coax out of the plug. I also used my Sprague TO-6 to put a couple hundred volts across the cable to make sure there were no loose strands waiting to short out. I had Hams and CBers tell me my cables were too expensive but they kept coming back to buy more to replace other bad cables, and they started sending other people to me, as well.

I had someone bring me a cable he bought somewhere else. He said it was "Kind of lossy" No wonder. It was a dead short at one end. He didn't want two new plugs so i walked out the door to my truck and raised the hood. I touched the center pin of one plug and the sleeve of the other plug across the battery. Smoke and flames came out of one plug. A true "Quick & Dirty" test that never fails to find the shorted plug.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

5130. Re: N9OGL Caught In Drunken Lie...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 05:16:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114233408.825091.17680@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106741

>McDaugherty!

hey bitchwhore I'm irish, not scottish, I'm fourth generation in this
country

Todd N9OGL

5131. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 05:19:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114233566.963999.9230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106742

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > According to his eHam profile, Toddie is an...
> > > >
> > > > ARMY MARS MEMBER!
> > > >
> > > > QUOTE
> > > >
> > > > I am a member of the following ham radio clubs...
> > > > Army M.A.R.S. Christian County Amateur Radio Club (CCARC)
> > > >
> > > > UNQUOTE
> > > >
> > > > Good thing "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" doesn't apply to MARS, eh,
> > > > Toddie...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > Steve, what's it to you? You have no understanding of MARS.
> > >
> > Why do you want to utter yet ANOTHER mistruth, Brian, when you
> > have so many others out here that you still have yet to answer to?
> >
> No mistruth, Steve.

COMPLETE mistruth, Brain.

> You are the one who claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
Radio!"
>
> > As noted previously, Brian, I've been a member of each MARS at
> one
> > time or another for different reasons,
> >
> Wow, I didn't realize that there were different reasons for being a
> member. I guess the different services have markedly different
> purposes and goals.

They do, to some degree. That's why you have USMC and USN units
with NMC MARS calls and not AFMARS calls, and vice-versa.

> and have been in charge of an
> > island-wide system on Okinawa for six months.

>
> That would have been funny to see. Can you give us some details of
> your "In-Charge" time so that we can verify it? We'll Start with a
> copy of your USN MARS license, and a letter from the installation
> Commander assigning you such duties. Then we'll need corroborating
> information from your customers and subordinates. This will be fun!

You need to wake up, Brain.

Callsigns, dates and locations were given in another thread just
within the last two days.

Feel free to research my duty assignments during those times.

> > My undersanding of the program, it's history, purpose and
goals
> > far exceeds anything you or Lennie the Lame can cut-and-past from
> > internet URL's.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> We'll let you prove that with the above requested information.

The "above requested information" was posted in RRAP this week.

> And none of this "Seven Hostile Actions" stuff.

That happened too. It's just not pertinent to this forum.

> Best of Luck!

No...best of luck to YOU...explaining how you didn't see those
callsigns, dates, locations I already gave...

You're such a putz, Brain.....

Steve, K4YZ

5132. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 05:22:15 UTC
From: Dignity Ain't Roger <Outcast@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d4cm2g$6a4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106743

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4269C5F1.87BA6532@earthlink.net...
Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>
> In article <42687865.69CBE423@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil the Nazi and liar <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > That is incorrect.
>
> I'd say that marrying a foreign national was why they cut it
> short.
> That and the poor performance record. But the marriage to a
> foreign nation precludes you maintaining a security clearance!
>
> > That's incorrect once again. My wife and I had five Foreign
> Service
> > assignments after our marriage.
>
> Sure you did,liar.
>
> > That doesn't quite make it as a sentence or as fact. Care to
> tell us
> > what you do for a living?
>
> > Again, you don't quite have a sentence or a fact.
>
> Again, your lies trip you up.
>
> > I've outlined what I've done for amateur radio. I'll be happy
> to
> > outline what I've done in civic service when you provide a
> little info,
> > Roger.
>
> More lies, Nazi? That's all you can do. And no matter how much
> you say it, I'm still not Roger. You are one stupid bastard.
>
> > That's incorrect yet again, Roger.
>
> Wrong guess, and learn to punctuate your sentences.
>
> > It is certainly one of you inhabiting his body. Which
> personality are
> > you? Are you in communication with the others?

>
> Wrong again, nazi. But you are an expert at being wrong. Now go
> tap out some Morse Code, monkey boy. Maybe you can do something
> about your bad comb-over, too.

You seem to be having some problems in your home communications center.
I can help you straighten that out if you like.

Dave K8MN

>

Maybe you could give Wogie a primer in Antenna Construction 101.
Show him plans that would help him keep his antennas in his own yard rather
than drooping over the sidewalks.

They didn't cover that at The Devry Mail Order Institute of Higher Learning
and Genuine Degrees.

5133. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 05:28:12 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <M1lae.11792$go4.8639@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> My original comment was directed at Dee offering points for something called
> a "PL256".
>

That a "digital" cable? :-)

sklee@ati.com

5134. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 05:29:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114234141.026170.193700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106745

> And W1AW gives me information I can use. They are also the agency
>the FCC goes to when there are "communications emergencies" to be
>broadcast.

Well i don't find their "information" of interest so perhaps we should
get rid of of it.

> N9OGL is NOT the "agency" they go to in order to get that
>information out.

steven, here you go again telling half truth's show me the rule that
states an "agency" or "club" is allowed only to run information
bulletins??

> You do not possess the resources to do what W1AW does. You're a
>make believe broadcaster with a chip on his shoulder.

you don't need a lot of money to run an information bulletin, but
steven, I'm not going to worry about it. Since you don't want to
complain except on here, I've sent some letters off two days ago and I
hope they will resolve this problem. So steven you stay on here and
whine like the little ARRL bitch you really are.

Todd N9OGL

5135. N9OGL has a dream.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 05:32:04 UTC
From: Dignity Ain't Roger <Outcast@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d4cmku$7ko$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106746

Brian has a dream. Yes, he does!

Once Brian can garner some backers he has a plan to open a fireworks stand right there on the outskirts of Taylorville.
Todd is well qualified, too. After all, he graduated from VisionWay Christian School and came away with all sorts of business acumen.
Besides. With a fireworks stand he won't have to worry about his grammar and once he makes a few dollars his daddy can tell Toddie-Boy to get out of Taylorville.

(Todd won't go, though. Apron strings are very hard to cut)

5136. Re: Lardass couldn't even get through third grade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 06:08:08 UTC
From: Wogie Wiseman <AB8MQ@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d4coohccn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106747
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
news:4d9f9032c786906fb44cb819cf12d8d0@melontraffickers.com...
In article <d49egj$t7e$0@pita.alt.net>
"I Ain't Roger Either" <MeNotRo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:

<backpedals>

<Roger plagiarizes>

And the chickenshit, psychopathic momma's boy from West Vagina spews yet
more filth.

Wogie, you are not worth the toilet paper it takes to clean Lloyd's
butthole.

Low-life scum such as yourself lead very lonely lives. You know what I mean.

You have nary a single friend in the whole world ("hole" as you spell it)
and your pathetic existence is punctuated by occasional malicious forays
into the newsgroups where the only attention you get is shameful.
You obviously lack the attention you wished you would have had as a child,
but being a 49 year old psychopath is not going to bring back the childhood
you missed.

Castigating others will not bring back your Father. He left you a long time
ago, and probably for good reason. Denigrating the families of others will
not bring back your wife and kids. They left you a long time ago, too. And
for good reason.

You, Curtains Boy, are a pathetic excuse for a 49 year old "man." Life is
very obviously lonely for you.

For whatever good it may do Wogie, we the undersigned will keep you in our
prayers.
May God have pity on your tormented soul.

Lloyd
Steveo
Von Blevins
Lloyd 2
Not Roger
Tunder
Todd
Arf! Arf!
Toad
Dimmy

David
Thomas
Regina
Dee
Tarapia 2
Secwet Woger
George Orwell
Buzz
Anonymous Cypherpunk
Len
Italy Anonymous
Les
Dave
Oris
Norm

...and last, but not the least, your adopotive momma, Marie.

5137. irlp ref 9258 and echolink NV-GATE 152566 crosslink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 06:09:21 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4269e670.3600098@aioe.cjb.net>
Source record: 106748

irlp ref 9258 and echolink NV-GATE 152566 crosslink

irlp reflector 9258 allows a cross link to
echolink conference room 152566

the link is run by kent w7aor

the crosslink is available 24x7 and anyone is welcome to use it

please avoid the 4 nets that run 3 times a week for about 1 hour each

73 Ian G3ZHI

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - many ham radio links

http://www.ukirlp.co.uk

G4NJI IRLP 5200 Echolink 135909
Rotherham simplex 145.2875mhz

Mobile 0783 338 0578

5138. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 06:12:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114236744.949053.147660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106749

From: "K4YZ" on Fri,Apr 22 2005 2:34 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "bb" on Thurs,Apr 21 2005 4:50 pm
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> According to his eHam profile, Toddie is an...
>> >>
>> >> ARMY MARS MEMBER!
>> >>
>> >> QUOTE
>> >>
>> >> I am a member of the following ham radio clubs...
>> >> Army M.A.R.S. Christian County Amateur Radio Club (CCARC)
>> >>
>> >> UNQUOTE
>> >>
>> >> Good thing "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" doesn't apply to MARS, eh,
>> >> Toddie...?!?!
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Steve, what's it to you? You have no understanding of MARS.
>>
>> The planet, the candy bar, or MILITARY Affiliate Radio
>> System?
>>
>> "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."
>>
>> Poor Stevie still thinks that hams started it and
>> run it, too.
>
> And here's yet ANOTHER lie that Leonard H. Anderson ahs to account
>for...

Tsk, tsk, tsk. The UNITED STATES ARMY said that THEY
started MARS. I just repeated it. No lie at all. :-)

Don't get too angry with those typos like "ahs."

Or is that some kind of TN accent?

> I never said they (Amateurs) started it. You are welcome to try
>and locate the post wherein you insist I did.

You ARE going to say that at the end of your "reply."

> I didn't say they "ran" it. You are welcome to try and locate
the
>post wherein you insist I did.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...YOU said "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."
Put that way, the only interpretation can be that
MARS is "all" about amateurs. It isn't.

> I DID say that without the Amateur Radio service, MARS would not
>exist. This still remains true to this day.

Untrue. MARS did NOT "begin" with amateurs but rather
the U.S. military. The ARMY to be exact.

MARS exists because the DoD says that MARS exists.
Each major branch of the U.S. military maintains
their own MARS headquarters. MARS continues to
function with or without any civilian volunteers.
That is referencible by documents outside of the
ARRL. [the ARRL does not run MARS]

> I'm not "enobling" myself for having shown that I was a previous
>MARS member, Lennie. Those are facts. It validates My knowledge and
>experience in MARS that substantiates my assertion that without
Amateur
>Radio, MARS would cease to exist.

MARS was formed by a few members of the U.S. Army
prior to World War II. The purpose of this small
Army function was to get civilian radio amateurs
interested in military communications and "possibly"
contribute to the state of the art of military
communications. That was a rather grandiose view of
the few in that first MARS organization (under another
name then). In the main, the remainder of the military
(wisely) considered themselves and their mission to be
of importance, especially in the growing hostilities
around the world in the 1930s.

There is enough referencible material on the history
of military radio to show that there was LITTLE
influence on military communications by the few
amateurs who had volunteered to be a part of this
Army experiment. The major part of the experiment
was focussed on Public Relations, NOT on the civilian
volunteers actually being any part of military radio
(the military had enough paid civilian employees for
that purpose).

After World War Two, and just after the split-off of
the Army Air Corps to form the United States Air
Force, the new USAF combined with the USA and changed
its name to the present MARS or MILITARY Affiliate
Radio System. Eventually the USN joined in that.

The role of MARS focussed now on the morale issues of the widespread military spread beyond our shores. The "phone patches" became a regular part of civilian volunteer efforts as well as the "radiograms" forwarded when voice telephone contact could not be made. That was an excellent service to our military at the time.

By the 1980s the needs for any "phone patches" were dwindling rapidly. One reason was improved means of communications for military personnel off-shore. By the mid-1990s the DSN had spread to U.S. bases off-shore and was growing at an amazing rate. The DSN or Digital Switched Network has been described as "the government's own Internet" and functions the same. A major difference is that the DSN can connect directly to the Internet and it is possible for individual service members in many locations to communicate directly with their family and friends back home. Computers have become a part of military operations.

The mission of MARS had shifted to become a sort of liason between the military and other government agencies. That served its original post-WW2 role as a sort of back-up system, an actual affiliate. An affiliate is NOT part of the day-to-day military communications system but can "work with it." That MARS can function on its own, without civilian volunteers, has been proven in the previous Operation Grecian Firebolt annual military-government exercises.

> You are welcome to list YOUR MARS callsigns, Lennie, and tell us
>all about YOUR experiences in the programs....

"Experience" is NOT a requirement. There is much history of MARS available to anyone who will bother to look beyond the PR of the ARRL. The Fort Huachuca, AZ, military website is a good place to investigate for information. Huachuca is mainly the Army's Military Intelligence School as well as a hub of military communications. Huachuca also trains UAV teams for unmanned aerial reconnaisance (what UAVs are designed and operated for) and other M.I. skills, including the center for the last morse code cognition (receiving only) schooling for the entire U.S. government. MARS (Army) Hq is a small part of the sum total of Fort Huachuca activities.

As to actually "working with MARS," station ADA had one 1 KW FSK RTTY transmitter on tertiary priority for the MARS facility at Far East Command Headquarters in Tokyo in the early 1950s. First priority was to the FEC Commander's aircraft should he be aloft. Secondary

was to back-up of either Anchorage or Seattle radio
circuits, depending on traffic needs. I don't recall
any time that the MARS facility at Pershing Heights
was actually granted use of that one transmitter between
1953 and 1954; they had asked often enough but Control
had the final say. By late 1955 the MARS facility was
moved to Hardy Barracks and they got their own tri-
bander and (perhaps) a better transmitter; Pershing
Heights was given back to the Japanese (it was their
old War Ministry) and the ADA transmitter site increased
to 43 transmitters from 36 and relocated to Camp
Tomlinson (a converted airfield) NE of Tokyo. MARS
messaging had to use regular TTY routings, again in
low priority, even being behind the Red Cross messages
carried over RUAP (the TTY node name used then).

> You have the callsigns and dates I was a member. You may verify
>my experiences at your leisure.

Irrelevant. MARS existed before Stevie existed. The
DoD DIRECTS MARS. The major service branches all have
their MARS Hqs and all supply information on their
activities. The Army Communicator (from Fort Gordon,
GA) and SIGNAL (from AFCEA, the military-civilian
Armed Forces Communications-Electronics Association)
both have published information in those periodicals.
[archives are available for viewing at their
respective websites] MARS is a *small* part of
military communications, not in the mainstream. The
AFRTS is much bigger and DOES have an extraordinary
number of civilian volunteers (primarily from the
entertainment industry). MARS works with SHARES, the
SHAred RESources of the government insofar as government
radio stations exist (2500 give or take, not part of
AFRTS and not part of regular military communications
nor part of regular civilian-government DSN, AUTOVON,
AUTODIN. et al networking).

As to "Mars," the planet, I've been privileged to view
real-time (in solar system time terms) data and video
arrive from the first successful Mars lander at JPL.
A super-tense time (years before the little rovers)
since that was a definite FIRST then.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5139. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 06:20:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1114237201.756073.305340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > There's an old adage out there which applies here, "Use it or lose
it".
> > There's no point to complaining about losing allocations if we
don't
> > use them. Which is the case with 24Ghz and we did it to ourselves.
> >
>
> §97.1 Basis and purpose.
>
> The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an
amateur radio
> service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following
principles:
>
> . . . . . (b) Continuation and extension of the amateur's proven
ability to
> contribute to the advancement of the radio art.

Nice warmy fuzzy regulatory platitude conjured up back when amateur radio was a major player in what was back then considered the DC to daylight RF spectrum. Which is obviously no longer the case. Back when ham radio actually made some noteworthy contributions to the state of the RF comms arts. When was the last time that happened? 1920?

> Which is a more valuable "Use" of spectrum.... 5,000 appliances
operators on
> wall-to-wall Lumbago Nets on 75-meters,

No counter, apples and oranges, has nothing to with "use or lose". The HF ham bands are not under any particular allocation threats today because (in the U.S) the Verizons, Nextels and (globally) the "public interest" no longer have big (if any) stakes in the HF spectrum so no sweat for the GeezerNet allocations. Let 'em roll, nobody cares including the regulators.

But as has been clearly demonstrated any number of times since WW2 the ham bands above 50 Mhz have been increasingly threatened species as the stakes have moved up the spectrum and have grown exponentially to the point where ham radio is now barely a bit player on frequencies above 470 Mhz. The dumbest strategy we could lean on to our preserve our

allocations is to depend on our long since worn out old 97.1b nonsense and it's equivalents in the US/UK/EU/ITU etc.

The only real defense we have today for retaining our high bands is occupancy, the potential for emergency ops and a lot licensed voters screaming at the regulatory agencies about screwing with our hobby. Screaming oddly enough seems to work to at least some extent as witnessed by the impact ham radio had on the recent brawl over BPL/PLC here in the States.

> or 5 guys designing world-class antennas
> and low-noise preamps in order to work the EME path on 24-Ghz?

EME has been around since 1947 and nobody has shown a bit of interest in commercializing it 24 Ghz and otherwise. As you well know nobody "needs" to use a half million mile bounce path to work down preamp noise figures on any frequency.

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

5140. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 06:33:51 UTC
From: Brad <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <4269ec5b$0$79462$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 106602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4269D9AC.3BC6B0D7@earthlink.net...
> robert casey wrote:
>
>
> I used to tin the braid then use a small tubing cutter to trim the
> shield to the right length. I used a drill to remove the plating from
> the holes and file all the chrome off between the holes. I tinned the
> center conductor and put a drop of liquid RMA flux on the center
> conductor and the braid before I put the coax into the plug, then
> soldered all four holes and the center pin. I didn't melt the
> dielectric, and you couldn't pull the coax out of the plug.

That's a hell of a lot of messing about and time consuming too. A crimp on PL259 for RG58 series or RG213 series cables would take about 2 minutes to install, no soldering, no chance of heat damage, no shorts and they can be fitted in the field.

Brad.

5141. Re: moron phil kane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 08:05:54 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114243554.622722.106240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106605

That's the truth!!!

5142. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 08:40:12 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <e971be36c015e76dbf4a8f87d0fdace6@dizum.com>
Source record: 106679

In article <d49ioh$73b$0@pita.alt.net>
"I Ain't Roger Either" <MeNotRo...@NotRoger.com> wrote:
>
>
> "George Orwell" <nob...@mixmaster.it> wrote in message
> news:2b7e239b062926f386112a89470acba9@mixmaster.it...
> In article <d49esd$u6...@pita.alt.net>
> "Lloyd" <radioc...@lloydsradioclub.net> wrote:
> > LOL!
>
> Lardass answers himself! What a loser!
>
>
> You seem to be dancing to Lloyd's tune, Wascally Wogie...

And you seem to be dancing to mine, Nazi Davey!

5143. Not Roger...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 09:22:37 UTC
From: Wogie Wiseman <AB8MQ@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d4d457$8h4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106607
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bed Time Story

By Roger Wiseman

Last night, Friday, I had a dream. It was not your every day dream so far as those go.

I was relaxing in my single wide bed while looking at the newsgroups for someone to harass. Darned if I didn't come across Lloyd Davies once again! (or at least someone who looked like him)

Of course I have been stalking Lloyd for years, and I was quite pleased to see him on the Newsgroups.

I popped up from my lonely mattress and immediately began pounding upon my Wal-Mart keyboard. Imagine my excitement! Here I was, Loser Roger, wasting a perfectly good evening when along came Lloyd! Imagine my excitement! I couldn't get off my crusty mattress quick enough!

I quickly logged on to the nearest anonymous email service and began furiously pounding away on my keyboard. I had so much to say and so little time..too many newsgroups and so little time.

I began by regurgitating the standard Roger Wiseman filth.you know the role. I cuss a lot but say little.

Then I spent a few minutes searching the Web for personal information to post about others.

When that failed I had little recourse but to fall by the standard retorts, such as calling Lloyd a "Lardass" and calling other posters "Nazis".

I can't help myself. Sometimes the voices in my head take control and I can' t stop it.

Yes, I sometimes poop on the floor but the voices tell me to do so. I only do what the voices tell me.

I used to take Thorazine. I really did. I pay about four dollars per pill. I found out that I can make a few bucks ...I sell the pills to the area kids and make enough to pay my utilities with a little left over.

Of course without my meds I am a Social Misfit.a psycho.a Cork Soaker..

And to top it off? I am not Roger.

5144. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 09:27:59 UTC
From: Wogie Wiseman <AB8MQ@NotRoger.com>
Message-ID: <d4d4f8$9dl$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106608

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114234141.026170.193700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> And W1AW gives me information I can use. They are also the agency
>the FCC goes to when there are "communications emergencies" to be
>broadcast.

Well i don't find their "information" of interest so perhaps we should
get rid of of it.

> N9OGL is NOT the "agency" they go to in order to get that
>information out.

steven, here you go again telling half truth's show me the rule that
states an "agency" or "club" is allowed only to run information
bulletins??

> You do not possess the resources to do what W1AW does. You're a
>make believe broadcaster with a chip on his shoulder.

you don't need a lot of money to run an information bulletin, but
steven, I'm not going to worry about it. Since you don't want to
complain except on here, I've sent some letters off two days ago and I
hope they will resolve this problem. So steven you stay on here and
whine like the little ARRL bitch you really are.

Todd N9OGL

.....

Aw, fer Chrissakes Toddie, will you grow some balls and shut up?

Go back to beddie bye. Yer daddy is holding the door open for you and it is
past yer bed time.

Get some sleep Todd

And come back another day when you can think staighter....

5145. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 11:35:29 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <1LOdnQW0uqaZrvffRVn-3g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106609

N9OGL wrote:
> On your sending my post, donm't bother i'm 37 years old and I old
> enough to say what I want. to answer you stupid question yeah, I
> would...if you where standing right in font of I would tell you to go
> fuck yourself right to you dumbass face...just like the dumbass CB
> operator you are.
>
It's not about age toady. You might be 37 years old, but you act like
you're 14 and how many mature 37 your olds still live at home with mommy
and daddy? You don't want to know what happened to the last gay boy that
called me a dumbass.
Speaking of a dumbass, how in the hell can anybody stand in FONT?
Now pecker breath, answer all the questions. HOW is it anybody's fault
but your own stupidity that you post the wrong call, or that you accuse
people of doing something that you yourself did, and why are you so
obsessed with Steve's rear end, that you mention it in every post?
You were/are a cb'er, you got a 5wpm general given to you, now you are
better then everybody with a lower class or a higher class license then
you? You don't see anything wrong with this picture perv boy? I can't
wait for them to drop the code, you'll have thousands of toadies on hf!

5146. Re: N9OGL has this stuff on his blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 11:48:50 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <StqdnYDdFci5q_ffRVn-ow@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106610

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:

> k4yz said...

<Toads fake post snipped>

You can see this is more of gayboys lies. You need a user name and password to post, K4YZ has posted there before. So K4YZ has a password, only somebody that knows that password could post that garbage using his username. The toad has that password, this looks like some perv garbage that the toad likes to talk about.
Are these a few of your fantasies toad?

5147. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 12:15:22 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <QQNTJT8638465.3440046296@anonymous>
Source record: 106683

In article <4269C5F1.87BA6532@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> You seem to be having some problems in your home
communications center.
> I can help you straighten that out if you like.

Doubtful, Nazi. You can't even figure out you post under other
names when everybody else has.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5148. Re: N9OGL has this stuff on his blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 12:57:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114261055.427937.10870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106611

KC8GXW wrote:
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>
> > k4yz said...
>
> <Toads fake post snipped>
>
> You can see this is more of gayboys lies. You need a user name and
> password to post, K4YZ has posted there before. So K4YZ has a
password,
> only somebody that knows that password could post that garbage using
his
> username. The toad has that password, this looks like some perv
garbage
> that the toad likes to talk about.

This is Toiddie's doing, no doubt.

It only lends more credence to the assertions I've made as to his
maturity (lack of, actually...)and responsibility (again, lack of...).

It's things like this that give very good arguments to the
suggestion that there must be limits on "free speech".

> Are these a few of your fantasies toad?

You missed the "vacuum cleaner" pic, Jim. This boy is even more
perverted than I would ahve given him credit for.

Steve, K4YZ

5149. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 12:58:31 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <81a6132b7c675199b9a4e63df7217de7@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106646

In article <4269C50D.B45E0BE0@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> There is fact. Then there is what you'd say.

Funny how that can be applied to you, as well, Nazi.

> That is totally incorrect. You are ignorant of fact.

Says you. But you are a well known liar.

> Yep, you can check back issues of State Magazine, QST, CQ, The
DX
> Bulletin. Bank on it.

Yeah, right. Maybe those are the articles published you refer to
when you post as "Stagger Lee."

> My lies about you putting together a sentence?

No, stupid, you lies in general. You put together quite a
mangled sentence up there.

> > More lies, Nazi? That's all you can do. And no matter how
much
> > you say it, I'm still not Roger. You are one stupid bastard.
>
> You are one pathetic piece of human sludge, hiding behind an
anonymous
> remailer.

Oh, heaven forbid that somebody has an opinion other than the
self-appointed newsgroup moderator/ham radio "elite", the self-
righteous, lying hypocrite who wants to supress freedom of
speech. Well, that was the Nazi way. Will you be calling the
Gestapo, or will you handle it yourself?

> > > That's incorrect yet again, Roger.
> >
> > Wrong guess, and learn to punctuate your sentences.
>
> Hint: My sentence (above) uses correct punctuation, professor.

Wrong, Nazi. "That's incorrect, yet again, Roger" would have
been the correct punctuation, dunce cap boy.

> Awwww, shucks. The little troll gives orders. How nice for
you.

Awww, jawohl, the Nazi issues orders about what can be said in
the newsgroup!Schullllllllllllllllllltzzzzzzzzzzz!!!!!!!!!

5150. Re: Not Roger...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:00:24 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <dea65945fc150a4180ecadccbf399470@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106612
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d4d457$8h4$0@pita.alt.net>
"Wogie Wiseman" <A...@NotRoger.com> wrote:
>
> Bed <plagiarized>

Poor Davey. He's back to posting under anonymous names. Poor baby, spends his Friday night thinking about another man.

Use this template for 'Clipboard|Followup...' operations. If your security requirements are demanding, add 2 more stars (remailers) to 'Chain' header. In that case, reliability _will_ suffer--you might want to increase copies.

5151. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:05:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114261515.607043.222720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106613

>you might be 37 years old, but you act like
>you're 14 and how many mature 37 your olds still live at home with
mommy
>and daddy?

Around these parts, a lot. the price for rent is extremely high and
this community has no low income housing.

>You don't want to know what happened to the last gay boy that
>called me a dumbass.

You probably did what you do your boyfriend stevey butt fuck up the
ass!

>HOW is it anybody's fault
>but your own stupidity that you post the wrong call

well see you fucking crack whore your callsign is KC8GXW and his is
KC8GWX Hmmmm it don't take a fucking rocket scientist to figure that
one out asshole

>or that you accuse
>people of doing something that you yourself did,

you lost me there.

>and why are you so
>obsessed with Steve's rear end

I'm not obsessed he is an ass just like you!!!

You were/are a cb'er, you got a 5wpm general given to you

No I did you ass, I took my 13WPM I got my general back in 1993. you
like you little butt buddy steve, you don't know what the fuck you are
talking about. oh, i never messed around with CB's I went from being a
shortwave radio listener to amateur radio. I got interested in
shortwave broadcast when i got out high school in 1987 and got my
novice license in 1991, my tech in 1992 and my general and my
commercial in 1993.
here's some code for you....
three dits, four dits, two dits, da, you eat it all the time RA RA
RA!!!

TODD N9OGL

5152. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:06:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114261608.383589.149800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106614

N9OGL wrote:
> Hey ##### head! it not my fault your shitty call sign and his is close
> so you can go #### you yourself or perhaps you should #### ###hole
> buddy Steve.

Yes, it IS "your fault"...Your fault that you didn't take the time
to make sure you had the right person.

So who's the ####head now, Toiddie...?!?!

> Calling people names, like you and your little ##### on
> here has done to me, I would call "over the line" too so get over
you
> ####ing fat #######!

Not once, Todd, have I ever called you A N Y T H I N G like you
have unleashed in this public forum...

> I never fake a nick you lying sack of #### so why
> don 't you take a razor blade dildo and shove it up your and your
> ####ing slut steve ###. you ####ing poor excuse of a ####ing amateur
> operator. oh and eat #### and die you ####ing fat #######!!!!

Gee...wasn't THAT impressive!

> and by the way your little #### steve has posted #### off my blog
(the
> complete article) which is copyrighted) again #### yourselves up your
> ###es with a razor blad dildo you ####ing fat bastards

Sorry, Todd...Your blog is not "copyrighted"...And even if it
were, you've been given complete and unequivical credit for anything
that was cited.

Good luck.

Steve, K4YZ

5153. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:10:21 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114261821.891039.160540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106615

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > According to his eHam profile, Toddie is an...
> > > > >
> > > > > ARMY MARS MEMBER!
> > > > >
> > > > > QUOTE
> > > > >
> > > > > I am a member of the following ham radio clubs...
> > > > > Army M.A.R.S. Christian County Amateur Radio Club (CCARC)
> > > > >
> > > > > UNQUOTE
> > > > >
> > > > > Good thing "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" doesn't apply to MARS, eh,
> > > > > Toddie...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Steve, what's it to you? You have no understanding of MARS.
> > >
> > > Why do you want to utter yet ANOTHER mistruth, Brian, when
you
> > > have so many others out here that you still have yet to answer
to?
> >
> > No mistruth, Steve.
>
> COMPLETE mistruth, Brain.

Complete lie. After all, you're the one who claimed that "Sorry Hans,
MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

> > You are the one who claimed, "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur
> Radio!"
> >
> > > As noted previously, Brian, I've been a member of each MARS
at
> > one
> > > time or another for different reasons,
> >
> > Wow, I didn't realize that there were different reasons for being a
> > member. I guess the different services have markedly different

> > purposes and goals.
>
> They do, to some degree. That's why you have USMC and USN units
> with NMC MARS calls and not AFMARS calls, and vice-versa.

That is such a thin, thin difference, but when you have nothing else, you'll say and do anything so as not to be wrong.

So what was the reason you were a MARS member the first time? The second time? Was there a third time?

> > and have been in charge of an
> > > island-wide system on Okinawa for six months.
> >
> > That would have been funny to see. Can you give us some details of
> > your "In-Charge" time so that we can verify it? We'll Start with a
> > copy of your USN MARS license, and a letter from the installation
> > Commander assigning you such duties. Then we'll need corroborating
> > information from your customers and subordinates. This will be
fun!
>
> You need to wake up, Brain.

Wide awake.

> Callsigns, dates and locations were given in another thread just
> within the last two days.

I don't hang on to your every post, Steve, especially the ones with your new rra.misc playmates.

> Feel free to research my duty assignments during those times.

Kindly repost them here.

> > > My undersanding of the program, it's history, purpose and
> goals
> > > far exceeds anything you or Lennie the Lame can cut-and-past from
> > > internet URL's.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > We'll let you prove that with the above requested information.
>
> The "above requested information" was posted in RRAP this week.

So you say.

> > And none of this "Seven Hostile Actions" stuff.
>
> That happened too. It's just not pertinent to this forum.

But Steve, you're the one constantly saying that if you can't post documentation, it didn't happen. Were you lying then or are you lying

now?

Hmmm? Which number lie would that be?

> > Best of Luck!
>
> No...best of luck to YOU...explaining how you didn't see those
> callsigns, dates, locations I already gave...

I try to avoid your record number of meaningful exchanges with your new playmates from rra.misc. Did you include the letter of appointment from your Commander?

> You're such a putz, Brain.....

Name calling, again? So much for meaningful Extra Class discourse. You do your fellow Extra Class amateurs and the ARS proud. ARRL member, too?

5154. Re: N9OGL has a dream.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:12:24 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <f1f64a645a8120a6d22ccb1c4a5aea23@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106616

In article <d4cmku$7ko$0@pita.alt.net>
"Dignity Ain't Roger" aka Secret Lardass<Outc...@NotRoger.com>
wrote:
>
> Brian has

Lardass, you don't have any dreams, do you, since they've all
fell through, career pizza delivery BOY.

5155. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:12:26 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <f07b8f4017f88b7de0fe76022d35b833@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106617

In article <4269C934.6CF057E1@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> I don't see Roger much at all. I saw him once and he bolted.

You are lying again. He left after the meeting ended. And "Lurch," you still need help with your bad comb-over, from what he described. Your brain processes seem to mirror that character's, if nbot your appearance.

> That is absolutely false. I post nowhere on the internet other than as
> myself. You are the one who seems to see me everywhere.

Now, you're still lying, but you lie every time you post, under your own name or not.

> As I said, my statements are easily verified. Here's a task for you,
> anonymous one: Come up with verifiable proof to the contrary.

Too bad nobody takes your "assignments," or any orders from you, Nazi.

> Do an internet search on Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ or KC8JBO. That'll reveal
> that Roger is known only for 1) being in hot water with the FCC and 2)
> posting filthy rants in newsgroups. He's quite the asset to amateur
> radio.

I can't be bothered. Since your "opinion" of him carries about as much weight with me as it does with evberybody else but your toadies. His "hot water with the FCC" consists of a warning letter from 1999, which may or may not indicate anything, a cautionary letter to him and another ham not to carry online arguments on the air again from 1999, and a retest letter which Roger passed from 2000, all from 5 years ago. Can't find anything recent, though, can you? Why is that? If he is such a "qrmer" as you assert, why hasn't he gotten any more letters? Your reasoning is flawed, like you.
And his "filthy" posts are not half as bad as your posts, or others. You sure do like haveing the old Nazi spirit alive, don't you?

> Roger now keeps a very, very, very low profile on the air--for
good
> reason.

Not so. You just can't find him on the air. But with work and other things, he probably has better things to do that stay on the radio 24/7. Isn't have a balance what is in your amateur code? You probably spend more time on the radio than with your family. I can't blame them for encouraging that.

> "You whining"?

Typo, Nazi. "Your whining." Is that better for your narrow mind?

> Your claim of lies rings quite hollow from one who must
> hide behind anonymous remailers. Now if you had the courage
of your
> convictions, you'd use your name and stand behind your
statements.
> You can't do that.

The only thing ringing hollow is your own pompousity echoing in your hollow skull. I stand by my convictions, and others who use anonimity who agree with you, you have no problem with them using "anonimity." Are you like Nursie, using a double standard?

> You're absolutely right.

I'm glad you can see that, finally.

5156. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:14:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114262045.512852.319860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106618

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > > The planet, the candy bar, or MILITARY Affiliate Radio
> > > > System?
> >
> > > You have the callsigns and dates I was a member. You may
> verify
> > > my experiences at your leisure.
> >
> > Heh, heh, heh. Care to share all that "in-confidence" information
> with
> > the rest of us?
>
> Why?

Just to see you go over the deep end, again.

> Was the post I made in this forum only a day or two ago not
> adequate for you too?

Dunno? I haven't seen it. If it points to a url where the documents
have been scanned in, especially the letter of appointment from your
commander...

> Don't tell me you WEREN'T PAYING ATTENTION again, were you,
> Brain...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Are you really worth paying attention to? Years of lying, name
calling, and SHOUTING?

5157. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:21:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114262489.257647.172290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106619

N9OGL wrote:
> >you might be 37 years old, but you act like
> >you're 14 and how many mature 37 your olds still live at home with
> mommy
> >and daddy?
>
> Around these parts, a lot. the price for rent is extremely high and
> this community has no low income housing.

You're 37 and you can't afford an apartment...?!?!

M A Y B E if you had a job?

> >You don't want to know what happened to the last gay boy that
> >called me a dumb###.
>
> You probably did what you do your boyfriend stevey butt #### up the
> ###!

Toiddie, you have some real issues to deal with.

> >HOW is it anybody's fault
> >but your own stupidity that you post the wrong call
>
> well see you ####ing crack whore your callsign is KC8GXW and his is
> KC8GWX Hmmmm it don't take a ####ing rocket scientist to figure that
> one out ###hole

The ###hole here was the drunk idiot that didn't take an extra 10
seconds to see if it was the right one.

> >or that you accuse
> >people of doing something that you yourself did,
>
> you lost me there.

That wasn't hard to do. You've been lost all your life.

That's why mommie and daddie are still breast feeding you at the
age of 37.

> >and why are you so
> >obsessed with Steve's rear end
>
> I'm not obsessed he is an ### just like you!!!

I am AMAZED at how you can be 37 years old, IN COLLEGE, and have
grammar that a first year EASL student would choke on.

> You were/are a cb'er, you got a 5wpm general given to you
>
> No I did you ass, I took my 13WPM I got my general back in 1993. you
> like you little butt buddy steve, you don't know what the #### you
are
> talking about.

Coming from YOU, Toiddie, that's hillarious! (*Hmmmmmmmmm...that
was four syllables...hope he understood it...)

> oh, i never messed around with CB's I went from being a
> shortwave radio listener to amateur radio. I got interested in
> shortwave broadcast when i got out high school in 1987 and got my
> novice license in 1991, my tech in 1992 and my general and my
> commercial in 1993.

And you've been getting your nose rubbed in your assinine rantings
all along...

> here's some code for you....
> three dits, four dits, two dits, da, you eat it all the time RA RA
> RA!!!

You really need to sober up before you post, Toiddie.

Steve, K4YZ

5158. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:25:11 UTC
From: Mark Richards <mr@bellnospamsources.net>
Message-ID: <d4di9g$9ce$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106620

"Dignity Ain't Roger" <Outcast@NotRoger.com> wrote in message
news:d4cm2g$6a4$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:4269C5F1.87BA6532@earthlink.net...
> Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
>>
>> In article <42687865.69CBE423@earthlink.net>
>> Dave Heil the Nazi and liar <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>
>> > That is incorrect.
>>
>> I'd say that marrying a foreign national was why they cut it
>> short.
>> That and the poor performance record. But the marriage to a
>> foreign nation precludes you maintaining a security clearance!
>>
>> > That's incorrect once again. My wife and I had five Foreign
>> Service
>> > assignments after our marriage.
>>
>> Sure you did,liar.
>>
>> > That doesn't quite make it as a sentence or as fact. Care to
>> tell us
>> > what you do for a living?
>>
>> > Again, you don't quite have a sentence or a fact.
>>
>> Again, your lies trip you up.
>>
>> > I've outlined what I've done for amateur radio. I'll be happy
>> to
>> > outline what I've done in civic service when you provide a
>> little info,
>> > Roger.
>>
>> More lies, Nazi? That's all you can do. And no matter how much
>> you say it, I'm still not Roger. You are one stupid bastard.
>>
>> > That's incorrect yet again, Roger.
>>
>> Wrong guess, and learn to punctuate your sentences.
>>

>> > It is certainly one of you inhabiting his body. Which
>> personality are
>> > you? Are you in communication with the others?
>>
>> Wrong again, nazi. But you are an expert at being wrong. Now go
>> tap out some Morse Code, monkey boy. Maybe you can do something
>> about your bad comb-over, too.
>
> You seem to be having some problems in your home communications center.
> I can help you straighten that out if you like.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
>>
>
> Maybe you could give Wogie a primer in Antenna Construction 101.
> Show him plans that would help him keep his antennas in his own yard
> rather
> than drooping over the sidewalks.
>
> They didn't cover that at The Devry Mail Order Institute of Higher
> Learning
> and Genuine Degrees.
>

That "graduate degree" Wogie earned never covered RMS voltage or
negative SWR either.
ROTFLMAO!

Mark

5159. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:27:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050423092731.663$kV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 106621

KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote:
> You don't want to know what happened to the last gay boy that
> called me a dumbass.
>
This? <eg>

http://www.n8wwm.4t.com/

5160. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:35:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114263317.349034.169990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106622

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Fri,Apr 22 2005 2:34 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "bb" on Thurs,Apr 21 2005 4:50 pm
> >>
> >> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> According to his eHam profile, Toddie is an...
> >> >>
> >> >> ARMY MARS MEMBER!
> >> >>
> >> >> QUOTE
> >> >>
> >> >> I am a member of the following ham radio clubs...
> >> >> Army M.A.R.S. Christian County Amateur Radio Club (CCARC)
> >> >>
> >> >> UNQUOTE
> >> >>
> >> >> Good thing "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" doesn't apply to MARS, eh,
> >> >> Toddie...?!?!
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >> >
> >> >Steve, what's it to you? You have no understanding of MARS.
> >>
> >> The planet, the candy bar, or MILITARY Affiliate Radio
> >> System?
> >>
> >> "Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."
> >>
> >> Poor Stevie still thinks that hams started it and
> >> run it, too.
> >
> > And here's yet ANOTHER lie that Leonard H. Anderson ahs to
account
> >for...
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. The UNITED STATES ARMY said that THEY
> started MARS. I just repeated it. No lie at all.

Yes, you have.

And you have lied when you have stated that I said Amateur Radio operators started MARS. I am still waiting for you to produce the post wherein you think I said otherwise.

> Don't get too angry with those typos like "ahs."
>
> Or is that some kind of TN accent?
>
> > I never said they (Amateurs) started it. You are welcome to
try
> >and locate the post wherein you insist I did.
>
> You ARE going to say that at the end of your "reply."

Still waiting, Lennie...Of course "we" know it won't be coming
since it never happened...

> > I didn't say they "ran" it. You are welcome to try and locate
> the
> >post wherein you insist I did.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...YOU said "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."
> Put that way, the only interpretation can be that
> MARS is "all" about amateurs. It isn't.

No, that's not "the only interpretation". That's YOUR
interpretation...it was wrong today, it was wrong yesterday, and it
will be wrong tomorrow.

> > I DID say that without the Amateur Radio service, MARS would
not
> >exist. This still remains true to this day.
>
> Untrue. MARS did NOT "begin" with amateurs but rather
> the U.S. military. The ARMY to be exact.

It doesn't matter HOW it STARTED...It's what it is today.

> MARS exists because the DoD says that MARS exists.
> Each major branch of the U.S. military maintains
> their own MARS headquarters. MARS continues to
> function with or without any civilian volunteers.
> That is referencible by documents outside of the
> ARRL. [the ARRL does not run MARS]

DoD's enabling documents allows MARS to exist as long as it
doesn't put any significant drain on funds or manpower.

At present, more than 90% of all MARS membership is civilian
Amateur licensees.

> > I'm not "enobling" myself for having shown that I was a previous
> >MARS member, Lennie. Those are facts. It validates My knowledge
and
> >experience in MARS that substantiates my assertion that without
> Amateur
> >Radio, MARS would cease to exist.
>

> MARS was formed by a few members of the U.S. Army
> prior to World War II...

Snip...

Yet another history lesson for you to duck behind does not change
current events, Lennie.

No Amateur Radio = No MARS.

> > You are welcome to list YOUR MARS callsigns, Lennie, and tell
us
> >all about YOUR experiences in the programs....
>
> "Experience" is NOT a requirement.

Sure it is, Lennie...

You must have "EXPERIENCED" getting an Amateur license before you
can be an enrolled member.

Your cut-and-paste history lessons keep you busy, Lennie, but AS
USUAL, you don't have all the FACTS.

> > You have the callsigns and dates I was a member. You may
verify
> >my experiences at your leisure.
>
> Irrelevant.

I always love it how YOU can say that actual, relevent experience
is IR-relevent, yet when anyone a day younger than you speaks up on any
historical item of radio, you nay-say it as false since we could not
have known...!

> MARS existed before Stevie existed.

MARS existed before Lennie existed, too.

The difference is that Stevie has several YEARS of experience in
MARS. Lennie does not.

More, "I'll dodge behind this" stuff snipped.

> As to "Mars," the planet...(SNIP)

Missing home?

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenIWillCutAndPasteAnotherRantPutzAnderson@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

5161. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:35:41 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BE8FC7B8.3B7EC%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 106623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

```
> From: "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> Organization: SBC http://yahoo.sbc.com
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.
> cb
> Date: Sat, 23 Apr 2005 04:24:58 GMT
> Subject: Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR
>
>
> "Greg" <gfight@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:BE8E6DB4.3B6C7%gfight@tampabay.rr.com...
>>
>>
>>> From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>> Organization: http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>>> Newsgroups:
>>> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radi
>>> o.
>>> cb
>>> Date: 22 Apr 2005 03:27:20 GMT
>>> Subject: Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR
>>>
>>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com...
>>>>> LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
>>>>>>
>>>>> Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?
```

>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription
>>>>> leave.
>>>>
>>>> LOL!!! I liked the one when uncle Jed bought himself a new
>>>> "fishin boat" He came down to the docks dressed as an admiral
>>>> and went on board a Destroyer.....
>>>>
>>>> Landshark
>>>>
>>> Killer. The one where they fetched sum golf clubs and commenced to sum
>>> golf hunting is pretty good too.
>>>
>> Did they ever figger out how to fix billyards to eat at the billyard
>> table?
>>
>> Greg
>
>
> `Don't know, did they ever get the seement pond
> drained?
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> __
> o /')
> /' (,
> __/') .' `;
> o _.-~~~~' ``---..__ .' ;
> _.--' b) LANDSHARK ``--...____. .'
> (_.)). `-._ <
> `\|\|\|\|)-.....___.- `-. __...--'-.'.
> `---......____...---`.___.'----... .' `.;
> `-` `
>
Ellie Mae trained a cat to swim in that cement pond. Very unnatural. But
every time I think of Ellie Mae, unnatural acts come to mind, naturally!

Greg

5162. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:44:24 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114263864.910887.103580@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106624

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > G1LVN wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Looks like 100 years plus of amateur radio history in the UK
> > could
> > > > soon
> > > > > go the same way as the 24GHz band i.e. licence excempt - no
> > exams,
> > > > no
> > > > > callsigns, just CB!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > --73deG1LVN
> > > > > www.dutchhousemob.co.uk
> > > >
> > > > 24GHz, huh? What's that?
> > >
> > > Why am I not surprised?
> >
> > Surprise me. Tell us about your 24 GHz set-up.
>
> Where did I say I had one, Brian?
>
> You asked an idiotic question, Brian. It deserved the prod.

So I ask another idiotic question: What is your 24 GHz set-up?

5163. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:44:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114263875.885785.229080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106625

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > No mistruth, Steve.
> > >
> > > COMPLETE mistruth, Brain.
> >
> > Complete lie. After all, you're the one who claimed that "Sorry
Hans,
> > MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Yes, I did.

And you're the one who keeps quoting it out of context as if it
were something like "...they chased all the Techs away" or something.

> > > Wow, I didn't realize that there were different reasons for being
a
> > > member. I guess the different services have markedly different
> > > purposes and goals.
> > >
> > > They do, to some degree. That's why you have USMC and USN units
> > > with NMC MARS calls and not AFMARS calls, and vice-versa.
> >
> > That is such a thin, thin difference, but when you have nothing else,
> > you'll say and do anything so as not to be wrong.

Hardly a "thin difference", Brain.

> So what was the reason you were a MARS member the first time? The
> second time? Was there a third time?

Did you read the other posts? Why don't you pay attention, Brain?

> > > and have been in charge of an
> > > > island-wide system on Okinawa for six months.
> > >
> > > That would have been funny to see. Can you give us some details
of
> > > your "In-Charge" time so that we can verify it? We'll Start with
a
> > > copy of your USN MARS license, and a letter from the installation
> > > Commander assigning you such duties. Then we'll need
corroborating
> > > information from your customers and subordinates. This will be
> > fun!
> > >

> > You need to wake up, Brain.
>
> Wide awake.

Obviously not. You not only missed or ignored the posts I was addressing earlier, you've done nothing to go back and fill yourself in.

> > Callsigns, dates and locations were given in another thread just
> > within the last two days.
>
> I don't hang on to your every post, Steve, especially the ones with
> your new rra.misc playmates.

Sure...You just ignore posts unless you think you can let a zinger of your own fly...

Of course, what you've done for THREE POSTS now is demonstrate that you're inept AND lazy.

> > Feel free to research my duty assignments during those times.
>
> Kindly repost them here.

Find them yourself, Brain. It's only been two days.

> > The "above requested information" was posted in RRAP this week.
>
> So you say.

So I did. Ask anyone else you care to ask, Brain.

> > > And none of this "Seven Hostile Actions" stuff.
> >
> > That happened too. It's just not pertinent to this forum.
>
> But Steve, you're the one constantly saying that if you can't post
> documentation, it didn't happen. Were you lying then or are you lying
> now?

Not lying then OR now.

It's here already...this week...Show some initiative and find it...

> Hmmm? Which number lie would that be?

Number none.
>
> > > Best of Luck!
> >

> > No...best of luck to YOU...explaining how you didn't see those
> > callsigns, dates, locations I already gave...
>
> I try to avoid your record number of meaningful exchanges with your
new
> playmates from rra.misc. Did you include the letter of appointment
> from your Commander?

Nope. But the dates, times, locations are there. You're welcome
to verify them on your own.
>
> > You're such a putz, Brain.....
>
> Name calling, again? So much for meaningful Extra Class discourse.
> You do your fellow Extra Class amateurs and the ARS proud. ARRL
> member, too?

It has nothing to do with any memberships or licensures, Brain.

It has to do with you being a demonstrated putz.

Now...about all those Techs that have been allegedly chased
away..by who and where...???

Steve, K4YZ

5164. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:46:05 UTC
From: Mark Richards <mr@bellnospamsources.net>
Message-ID: <d4djk8bnu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106626

"Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer" <nobody@cypherpunks.to> wrote
in message news:20050422192508.72A8917095@mail.cypherpunks.to...
> In article <42681F41.841B113B@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>> You thought you were responding to me, Roger? I don't have
> any need to
>> hide behind anonymous remailers.
>
> No, Nazi, you kep seeing Roger behind every post. And you do
^^^^ sp

> hide behind other accounts, like ones on Altopia.
^^^^ incorrect possessive
usage
of a pronoun

>
>
>> You asked sometime ago what I'd done for amateur radio. I
> provided a
>> number of items which are easily verified.
>
> You provided lies.
>
>> How about you answering that
>> same question? We know about your notorious interactions with
> the FCC.
>> Why not provide us even a one-liner with the things you've
> done for and
>> not to amateur radio? QRMing stations on 20m and getting
> involved in an
>> SSB version of the stuff you post here don't count.
>
> Roger will have to propvide it, retarded Nazi, he's probably
^^^^^^^^ ^^^
incorrect
conjuntive
usage

> done more than you really have.
> Fromthe official letter that was once posted at Hap's Rain
^^^^^^^

> Report website, no reason was given for Roger's retest letter of
> what, 5 years ago, now? And still nothing else heard from the
> FCC on his so-called "actions" on 20 meters, if he is such a bad
> "qrmer" as you claim, he'd be in the FCC enforcement letters all
> the time or worse. Guess all you whining is just another lie by
> the biggest liar on the newsgroup.
^^

paragraph weakly constructed, redo. numerous gramatical
errors

>
>> Would you care to astound us with your contributions to your
> community,
>> county, state or nation? Maybe you could come up with
> commendations
>> from some of your many friends. Perhaps you're involved with
> your
>> church or a civic organization. Let us know.
>
> Perhaps you'd like to ask somebody who give a fuck about what
^^^^^ ^^^^

weak connuction wrong verb form

> you request. I don't have to qualify myself to you or anybody
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

incorrect use of verb &
infinitive

> else, Nazi. Nobody has to give information because you ask for
> it, Nazi.
^^^^ excessive use of this word,
use alternative description

Instructor Comment: Suggest you obtain and study my
Elements of Style handbook for aspiring writers.

Good luck,

Mark

5165. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:46:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114264012.136268.16230@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Joe wrote:
> > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1114135537.161170.20640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > 24GHz, huh? What's that?
> > > >
> > >
> > > Only a cber would ask a stupid question like that.
> >
> > Are you active on 24 GHz?
>
> Does he need to be to point out that your question was stupid?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

"Woe is me, woe is me! I'm about to lose something that I've never
used and likely will never use."

So Steve, tell us about your 24 GHz rig.

5166. Re: N9OGL has a dream.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:52:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114264375.788662.29720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106628

Dignity Ain't Roger wrote:

> (Todd won't go, though. Apron strings are very hard to cut)

"Apron strings" be danged! I wonder what's strong enough to cut
that 37 year old umbilical cord hanging from Mommie's belly to Todds!

Steve, K4YZ

5167. Re: N9OGL Caught In Drunken Lie...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:58:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114264729.876210.89350@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106629

N9OGL wrote:
> >McDaugherty!
>
> hey bitch##### I'm irish, not scottish, I'm fourth generation in this
> country

OK, McDaugherty....If you're "fourth generation in this country",
you're neither Irish >>OR<< Scottish...You're American.

Or is being "American" something that is only convienient to you
when you want to use abusive language and you want to hide behind the
Constitution for your immaturity...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

5168. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 13:59:46 UTC
From: Mark Richards <mr@bellnospamsources.net>
Message-ID: <d4dkeq$d9i$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106630

"Tarapia Tapioco" <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it> wrote in message
news:f07b8f4017f88b7de0fe76022d35b833@firenze.linux.it...
> In article <4269C934.6CF057E1@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>> I don't see Roger much at all. I saw him once and he bolted.
>
> You are lying again. He left after the meeting ended. And
> "Lurch," you still need help with your bad comb-over, from what
> he described. Your brain processes seem to mirror that
> character's, if nbot your appearance.
>
>> That is absolutely false. I post nowhere on the internet
> other than as
>> myself. You are the one who seems to see me everywhere.
>
> Now, you're still lying, but you lie every time you post, under
> your own name or not.
>
>> As I said, my statements are easily verified. Here's a task
> for you,
>> anonymous one: Come up with verifiable proof to the contrary.
>
> Too bad nobody takes your "assignments," or any orders from you,
> Nazi.
>
>> Do an internet search on Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ or KC8JBO.
> That'll reveal
>> that Roger is known only for 1) being in hot water with the
> FCC and 2)
>> posting filthy rants in newsgroups. He's quite the asset to
> amateur
>> radio.
>
> I can't be bothered. Since your "opinion" of him carries about
> as much weight with me as it does with evberybody else but your
> toadies. His "hot water with the FCC" consists of a warning
> letter from 1999, which may or may not indicate anything, a
> cautionary letter to him and another ham not to carry online
> arguments on the air again from 1999, and a retest letter which
> Roger passed from 2000, all from 5 years ago. Can't find
> anything recent, though, can you? Why is that? If he is such a
> "qrmer" as you assert, why hasn't he gotten any more letters?
> Your reasoning is flawed, like you.

> And his "filthy" posts are not half as bad as your posts, or
> others. You sure do like haveing the old Nazi spirit alive,
> don't you?
>
>> Roger now keeps a very, very, very low profile on the air--for
> good
>> reason.
>
> Not so. You just can't find him on the air. But with work and
> other things, he probably has better things to do that stay on
> the radio 24/7. Isn't have a balance what is in your amateur
> code? You probably spend more time on the radio than with your
> family. I can't blame them for encouraging that.
>
>> "You whining"?
>
> Typo, Nazi. "Your whining." Is that better for your narrow mind?
>
>> Your claim of lies rings quite hollow from one who must
>> hide behind anonymous remailers. Now if you had the courage
> of your
>> convictions, you'd use your name and stand behind your
> statements.
>> You can't do that.
>
> The only thing ringing hollow is your own pompousity echoing in
^^^^^^^^^^
"pomposity" is the correct form Woger. At least learn the correct
form and usage of these advanced words, if you are going to continue
using them in your psycobabble.

> your hollow skull. I stand by my convictions, and others who use
> anonimity who agree with you, you have no problem with them
> using "anonimity." Are you like Nursie, using a double standard?
>
>> You're absolutely right.
>
> I'm glad you can see that, finally.
>

5169. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 14:03:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114265019.704973.166330@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > Joe wrote:
> > > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1114135537.161170.20640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > 24GHz, huh? What's that?
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Only a cber would ask a stupid question like that.
> > >
> > > Are you active on 24 GHz?
> >
> > Does he need to be to point out that your question was stupid?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> "Woe is me, woe is me! I'm about to lose something that I've never
> used and likely will never use."
>
> So Steve, tell us about your 24 GHz rig.

I never said I have one, Brain...

Or is that something else you missed today...?!?!

Sheeeesh....

Steve, K4YZ

5170. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 14:16:48 UTC
From: Da udder one ya dont know <no_one_you_know34474@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114265808.594902.311740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brad wrote:
> "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:4269D9AC.3BC6B0D7@earthlink.net...
> > robert casey wrote:
> >
> >
> > I used to tin the braid then use a small tubing cutter to trim
the
> > shield to the right length. I used a drill to remove the plating
from
> > the holes and file all the chrome off between the holes. I tinned
the
> > center conductor and put a drop of liquid RMA flux on the center
> > conductor and the braid before I put the coax into the plug, then
> > soldered all four holes and the center pin. I didn't melt the
> > dielectric, and you couldn't pull the coax out of the plug.
>
> That's a hell of a lot of messing about and time consuming too. A
crimp on
> PL259 for RG58 series or RG213 series cables would take about 2
minutes to
> install, no soldering, no chance of heat damage, no shorts and they
can be
> fitted in the field.
>
> Brad.

Solderless connectors for RF applications? A quick fix for sure but not a lasting one! The only crimped connector I've ever seen work is the cable TV connectors and the center conductor us used directly.

Hey Mike, Don't rule all Hams. I will agree that most look down their noses and anyone with a lesser class of ticket and none at all is even worse but there are some that are helpful and knowledgeable in their field. I would guess that most hams don't know sqat anymore. Store bought radios and cables and antennas really are the problem here. Everyone operates and yaks about the weather and their latest hemmroid attack but no one know how to fix a broken radio. Heck, I even saw a post from a Canadian Ham that wanted some one to align his Heath stuff, How easy can you get and yet he can't do it or won't even learn how to do it.

My mentor in the 60's in Ham radio is now long dead but when that man taught you something it stuck and we built some of the most God awful

transmitters and regen receivers but they worked and the knowledge stuck.
His motto was "Why buy it when you can build it" and he built everything he used on the air too. People looked down on him too as he held a Conditional class back then.

noyk in Ocala

5171. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 14:19:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114265987.043891.46040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106635

N9OGL wrote:
> > And W1AW gives me information I can use. They are also the agency
> >the FCC goes to when there are "communications emergencies" to be
> >broadcast.
>
> Well i don't find their "information" of interest so perhaps we
should
> get rid of of it.

But why, Todd?

It >>IS<< information directly related to the Amateur Radio
Service...So unless you've been lying to us all along, the atuff ARRL
transmits on it's (true) information bulletins should sound a lot like
yours...

Right...????

> > N9OGL is NOT the "agency" they go to in order to get that
> >information out.
>
> steven, here you go again telling half truth's show me the rule that
> states an "agency" or "club" is allowed only to run information
> bulletins??

That's not what I said, Todd.

I said the ARRL is the Agency that the FCC goes to when it needs
to get communication's emergency messages out.

That is absolutely true.

I did NOT state who could be that provider.

> > You do not possess the resources to do what W1AW does. You're a
> >make believe broadcaster with a chip on his shoulder.
>
> you don't need a lot of money to run an information bulletin, but
> steven, I'm not going to worry about it.

Of course you're not. You'll continue to offer your once-a-week
disc jockey wannabe "show" with information that is of no use to anyone
since it's probably already a week old.

W1AW, on the otherhand, provides THEIR bulletins several times a
day, on multiple bands and in multiple modes. You'll hear W1AW unless
the ionosphere is torn away or there is an EMP.

> Since you don't want to
> complain except on here, I've sent some letters off two days ago and
I
> hope they will resolve this problem.

I am sure you did send off some letters. Did you remember which
corner to get the stamp into, and did you use pen instead of crayon
this time?

> So steven you stay on here and
> whine like the little ARRL ##### you really are.

As opposed to the cowadrly, fouled mouth punk YOU are, Todd...?!?!

No problem!

BTW, Todd...Has it escaped your attention that I've NEVER stated
that I represent the ARRL...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

5172. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 14:19:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114265989.887949.100900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106634

N9OGL wrote:
> > And W1AW gives me information I can use. They are also the agency
> >the FCC goes to when there are "communications emergencies" to be
> >broadcast.
>
> Well i don't find their "information" of interest so perhaps we
should
> get rid of of it.

But why, Todd?

It >>IS<< information directly related to the Amateur Radio
Service...So unless you've been lying to us all along, the atuff ARRL
transmits on it's (true) information bulletins should sound a lot like
yours...

Right...????

> > N9OGL is NOT the "agency" they go to in order to get that
> >information out.
>
> steven, here you go again telling half truth's show me the rule that
> states an "agency" or "club" is allowed only to run information
> bulletins??

That's not what I said, Todd.

I said the ARRL is the Agency that the FCC goes to when it needs
to get communication's emergency messages out.

That is absolutely true.

I did NOT state who could be that provider.

> > You do not possess the resources to do what W1AW does. You're a
> >make believe broadcaster with a chip on his shoulder.
>
> you don't need a lot of money to run an information bulletin, but
> steven, I'm not going to worry about it.

Of course you're not. You'll continue to offer your once-a-week
disc jockey wannabe "show" with information that is of no use to anyone
since it's probably already a week old.

W1AW, on the otherhand, provides THEIR bulletins several times a
day, on multiple bands and in multiple modes. You'll hear W1AW unless
the ionosphere is torn away or there is an EMP.

> Since you don't want to
> complain except on here, I've sent some letters off two days ago and
I
> hope they will resolve this problem.

I am sure you did send off some letters. Did you remember which
corner to get the stamp into, and did you use pen instead of crayon
this time?

> So steven you stay on here and
> whine like the little ARRL ##### you really are.

As opposed to the cowadrly, fouled mouth punk YOU are, Todd...?!?!

No problem!

BTW, Todd...Has it escaped your attention that I've NEVER stated
that I represent the ARRL...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

5173. Re: Be Sure To Get Toiddie's New Schedule N9OGL to QRM HF Again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 14:24:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114266275.653292.252520@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106636

N9OGL wrote:
> It's absolutely correct. You'll be QRMing HF again.
>
> To QRM mean to cause harmful Interference to radio stations, you can
> run an information bulletin without causing interference.

Sure you can.

But what you've been describing is not an information bulletin,
but rather an opportunity for you to engage in one-way editorializing
on what ever spins your propellor.

> if your so
> concerned with QRM why don't you get on the bandwagon to get rid of
> W1AW?

Why would I wnat to do that...They DO have an information bulletin
program...

Steve, K4YZ

5174. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 14:40:01 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <f4WdnTjx6ajew_ffRVn-2A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106637

N9OGL wrote:
>>you might be 37 years old, but you act like
>>you're 14 and how many mature 37 your olds still live at home with
>
> mommy
>
>>and daddy?
>
>
> Around these parts, a lot. the price for rent is extremely high and
> this community has no low income housing.
>
>
>>You don't want to know what happened to the last gay boy that
>>called me a dumbass.
>
>
> You probably did what you do your boyfriend stevey butt fuck up the
> ass!

There you are, obsessed with somebody's butt again?
>
>>HOW is it anybody's fault
>>but your own stupidity that you post the wrong call
>
>
> well see you fucking crack whore your callsign is KC8GXW and his is
> KC8GWX Hmmmm it don't take a fucking rocket scientist to figure that
> one out asshole

And? That makes it not your fault? Should we call you n9log one day and
n9olg the next. It's still your illiterate fault!
Why do you know so much about crack whores, were you semi raised by one?
This would explain why you are the way you are gayboy!

>>or that you accuse
>>people of doing something that you yourself did,
>
>
> you lost me there.

You're lost all right perv. You post crap on your garbage blog under
Steve's call and then accuse others of making these post. Still lost
janitor?

>
>>and why are you so
>>obsessed with Steve's rear end
>
>
> I'm not obsessed he is an ass just like you!!!
>
> You were/are a cb'er, you got a 5wpm general given to you
>
> No I did you ass, I took my 13WPM I got my general back in 1993. you
> like you little butt buddy steve, you don't know what the fuck you are
> talking about. oh, i never messed around with CB's I went from being a
> shortwave radio listener to amateur radio.
Hit a sore spot there did we? You're still obsessed with Steve's butt,
why is this? Can you go one post without mentioning somebody's butt?

> here's some code for you....
> three dits, four dits, two dits, da, you eat it all the time RA RA
> RA!!!

And this would be what comes out of your mouth, you are what you eat
peckerbreath!

> toad N9GAY
>
Here's some code for you to decode while you eat your crap sandwich.

.... . .-. . .----. ... / ... --- -- . / -.-. --- -.. . / --. .- -.--
-... --- -.-- .-.-.- / .-- .... . .-. . / .- .-. . / -.-- --- ..- .-.
/ .. -. ...- . -. - .. --- -. ... ..--.. / .-- .... . .-. . / .- .-.
. / -.-- --- ..- .-. / .... --- -- . -... .-. . .-- / ... - .- - ..
--- -. ... ..--.. / .-- .... --- / .. ... / -.-- --- ..- .-. / ..-.
.- - .... . .-. --..-- / -.. --- . ... / -.-- --- ..- .-. / -.-. .-.
.- -.-. -.- / .-- .... --- .-. . / -- --- -- / .-. . .- .-.. .-..
-.-- / -.- -. --- .-- ..--.. / .---- ----- / ....- / --. --- --- -..
/ -... ..- -.. -.. -.-- .-.-.-

5175. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 15:22:02 UTC
From: Brad <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <426a6828$0$79458$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 106638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Da udder one ya dont know" <no_one_you_know34474@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:1114265808.594902.311740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> Solderless connectors for RF applications? A quick fix for sure but not
> a lasting one! The only crimped connector I've ever seen work is the
> cable TV connectors and the center conductor us used directly.

Crimp on RF connectors have been used for decades, BNC, TNC and N
connectors, good to 1.5GHz, UHF connectors to 500MHz. Used in most avionic
and military applications. (many of the connectors have a mil p/n) The crimp
forms a cold weld which is better than solder and more reliable considering
that the crimp tools are calibrated.

http://www.radiall.com/vdocportal/portal/template/application/app/radiallWebSite?pageId=03
0-00000q-002&categoryId=382831

Download the BNC catalog, go to Page 12 for a sample of BNC crimp on
connectors. The UHF's are similar.

Brad.

5176. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 15:39:47 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <95345afad630de6957a64d9b7ef587a6@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <p7-dnaFB-oNW6vTfRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
"Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
> "cl" <clfure...@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
>
news:Ox_9e.65112$NC6.23121@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> > "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1114122555.866565.213790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
>
> [snip]
>
> >
> > I wonder how VE Dee would accomodate the disabled in the
Tower Climbing
> > pass/fail skill exam?
> >
>
> Tower climbing is not a basic skill so there is no need to
test for it. One
> can put up a wide variety of antennas without a tower.

True!

> On the other hand,
> I've often thought that a person (unless handicapped) should
demonstrate
> putting a simple PL-256 on coax.

Oh, is that a new kind of connector? I like using PL-259's on
coax myself! :)

5177. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 15:44:13 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <e2abb570d3107ff5ff87253f22d6263c@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106640

In article <4269C5F1.87BA6532@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> You seem to be having some problems in your home
communications center.
> I can help you straighten that out if you like.

Doubtful, Nazi. You can't even figure out you post under other
names when everybody else has.

5178. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 15:48:53 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <eaa4a4ab4eed99b8f9c473599f8be794@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106641

In article <d4di9g$9ce$0@pita.alt.net>
"Mark Richards" Aka Secret Lardass<m...@bellnospamsources.net>
wrote:

> That "gradu<IKYABWAI>

That "degree" you never could claim, must not've included
English Composition, Renee.

5179. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 15:52:06 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <31351976d4076fa73ca4476a9501e30f@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 106642

```
In article <4269C65A.80A111C4@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> >
> > In article <d4as0l$fb...@pita.alt.net>
> > "Mark aka Renee Richards" <m...@bellnospamsources.net> wrote:
> >
> > > One wonders
> >
> > One wonders if you are Renee Richards who had plastic
suergery
> > to be a woman, but recently had it changed back so you could
be
> > "Mark".
>
> ...and you can be "Space".

...And you can be "RuPaul."
```

5180. Re: Not Roger...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 16:08:41 UTC
From: Lloyd <daleks@mynospamdaleks.net>
Message-ID: <d4drs0qmq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106643

"Wogie Wiseman" <AB8MQ@NotRoger.com> wrote in message
news:d4d457$8h4$0@pita.alt.net...
> Bed Time Story
>
>
>
> By Roger Wiseman
>
>
>
> Last night, Friday, I had a dream. It was not your every day dream so far
> as
> those go.
>
>
>
> I was relaxing in my single wide bed while looking at the newsgroups for
> someone to harass. Darned if I didn't come across Lloyd Davies once again!
> (or at least someone who looked like him)
>
>
>
> Of course I have been stalking Lloyd for years, and I was quite pleased to
> see him on the Newsgroups.
>
>
>
> I popped up from my lonely mattress and immediately began pounding upon my
> Wal-Mart keyboard. Imagine my excitement! Here I was, Loser Roger, wasting
> a
> perfectly good evening when along came Lloyd! Imagine my excitement! I
> couldn't get off my crusty mattress quick enough!
>
> I quickly logged on to the nearest anonymous email service and began
> furiously pounding away on my keyboard. I had so much to say and so little
> time..too many newsgroups and so little time.
>
>
>
> I began by regurgitating the standard Roger Wiseman filth.you know the
> role.
> I cuss a lot but say little.
>
> Then I spent a few minutes searching the Web for personal information to

> post about others.
>
> When that failed I had little recourse but to fall by the standard
> retorts,
> such as calling Lloyd a "Lardass" and calling other posters "Nazis".
>
>
>
> I can't help myself. Sometimes the voices in my head take control and I
> can'
> t stop it.
>
>
>
> Yes, I sometimes poop on the floor but the voices tell me to do so. I only
> do what the voices tell me.
>
>
>
> I used to take Thorazine. I really did. I pay about four dollars per pill.
> I
> found out that I can make a few bucks ...I sell the pills to the area
> kids
> and make enough to pay my utilities with a little left over.
>
>
>
> Of course without my meds I am a Social Misfit.a psycho.a Cork Soaker..
>
>
>
> And to top it off? I am not Roger.
>

LOL! Good Story,

73,

Lloyd

5181. Hello, I Am New here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 16:15:03 UTC
From: CW <cw@hispeedcwdits.net>
Message-ID: <d4ds7t$r9h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106644

Can someone fill me in on the players here?

Is this a ham radio flame group?

tnx, CW

5182. Re: Hello, I Am New here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 16:31:36 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Z7Gdnan2kurt5fffRVn-tA@crocker.com>
Source record: 106645

yes, welcome to the hams up in flames group. just start blacklisting the flamers and before long this group is almost empty.

"CW" <cw@hispeedcwdits.net> wrote in message news:d4ds7t$r9h$0@pita.alt.net...
> Can someone fill me in on the players here?
>
> Is this a ham radio flame group?
>
>
> tnx, CW
>
>
>
>

5183. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 16:48:23 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NYudnfx2rv3D4fffRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 106647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brad" <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:426994fe$0$79461$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:p7-dnaFB-oNW6vTfRVn-iQ@comcast.com...
> I've often thought that a person (unless handicapped) should demonstrate
>> putting a simple PL-256 on coax.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Perhaps they could demonstrate a PL259 connector instead?
>
> Brad VK2QQ

OOPS! Sometimes my typing just goes to pot.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5184. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 16:51:40 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_7idnX4ZZpK84PffRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 106648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brad" <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:4269d399$0$79457$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
>
> "robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:0pkae.11778$go4.10592@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Perhaps they could demonstrate a PL259 connector instead?
>>>
>> Extra credit if they can do it without melting the
>> dielectric insulation of the coax. More points if they
>> can get the solder to actually flow onto the connector body
>> where the shield solder holes are located (helps if you
>> use a small file to remove the plating first).
>> And more points if they remember to put the shell on the
>> cable in the correct direction before doing any soldering ;-)
>
> Or they could demonstrate extra skills and just use crimp on PL259's like
> I do. These are much easier and more reliable. They are more expensive but
> their construction is superior.
>
> My original comment was directed at Dee offering points for something
> called a "PL256".
>
> Brad

Yup, some days I can't type. The crimp on would also be an option since I
did (purposely) phrase it as install it. In either case, the pass/fail
criteria would be continuity with no shorts.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5185. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 16:53:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <5KednZBVK-8Z4PffRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 106649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brad" <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:4269ec5b$0$79462$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
>
> "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:4269D9AC.3BC6B0D7@earthlink.net...
>> robert casey wrote:
>>
>>
>> I used to tin the braid then use a small tubing cutter to trim the
>> shield to the right length. I used a drill to remove the plating from
>> the holes and file all the chrome off between the holes. I tinned the
>> center conductor and put a drop of liquid RMA flux on the center
>> conductor and the braid before I put the coax into the plug, then
>> soldered all four holes and the center pin. I didn't melt the
>> dielectric, and you couldn't pull the coax out of the plug.
>
> That's a hell of a lot of messing about and time consuming too. A crimp on
> PL259 for RG58 series or RG213 series cables would take about 2 minutes to
> install, no soldering, no chance of heat damage, no shorts and they can be
> fitted in the field.
>
> Brad.

As with anything else, there are both advantages and disadvantages. The
crimp on ones seem to be more prone to having a shorter life. Why, I don't
know.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5186. Re: phil kane pest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 16:55:10 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ifejzy1.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 106658

On Fri, 22 Apr 2005 19:51:00 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:

>Hey Phil? you on? looks like you picked up a "fan boi".

Takes a hell of a lot to penetrate the asbestos-lined teflon
skin that I've developed. I decline to play that game.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

5187. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 16:55:35 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ucOdnezKd4yR4_ffRVn-3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 106650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brad" <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:426a6828$0$79458$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
>
> "Da udder one ya dont know" <no_one_you_know34474@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:1114265808.594902.311740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>> Solderless connectors for RF applications? A quick fix for sure but not
>> a lasting one! The only crimped connector I've ever seen work is the
>> cable TV connectors and the center conductor us used directly.
>
> Crimp on RF connectors have been used for decades, BNC, TNC and N
> connectors, good to 1.5GHz, UHF connectors to 500MHz. Used in most avionic
> and military applications. (many of the connectors have a mil p/n) The
> crimp forms a cold weld which is better than solder and more reliable
> considering that the crimp tools are calibrated.
>
> http://www.radiall.com/vdocportal/portal/template/application/app/radiallWebSite?pageId=
030-00000q-002&categoryId=382831
>
> Download the BNC catalog, go to Page 12 for a sample of BNC crimp on
> connectors. The UHF's are similar.
>
> Brad.

Unfortunately too many of the installations are sub par. When I have
purchased antennas that came with crimp on connectors, such as many mobile
antennas, I've had to replace the connector in under a year.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5188. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 16:56:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NOKdnbRjcPmk4_ffRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 106651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Userbeam Remailer" <remailer@userbeam.net> wrote in message
news:95345afad630de6957a64d9b7ef587a6@userbeam.net...
> In article <p7-dnaFB-oNW6vTfRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflin...@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>> "cl" <clfure...@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
> news:Ox_9e.65112$NC6.23121@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>> > "bb" <billybee...@juno.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1114122555.866565.213790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>> >
>> > I wonder how VE Dee would accomodate the disabled in the
> Tower Climbing
>> > pass/fail skill exam?
>> >
>>
>> Tower climbing is not a basic skill so there is no need to
> test for it. One
>> can put up a wide variety of antennas without a tower.
>
> True!
>
>> On the other hand,
>> I've often thought that a person (unless handicapped) should
> demonstrate
>> putting a simple PL-256 on coax.
>
> Oh, is that a new kind of connector? I like using PL-259's on
> coax myself! :)
>

Ok, I accept the 50 lashes with a wet noodle.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5189. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 17:01:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <426A7F6E.7CCC0087@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106652

"A.Melon" wrote:
>
> In article <4269C50D.B45E0BE0@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > There is fact. Then there is what you'd say.
>
> Funny how that can be applied to you, as well, Nazi.

Sorry, that is incorrect.

> > That is totally incorrect. You are ignorant of fact.
>
> Says you. But you are a well known liar.

Let's see...there's you saying it. Are you a group of individuals?

> > Yep, you can check back issues of State Magazine, QST, CQ, The
> DX
> > Bulletin. Bank on it.
>
> Yeah, right. Maybe those are the articles published you refer to
> when you post as "Stagger Lee."

What articles would those be?

> > My lies about you putting together a sentence?
>
> No, stupid, you lies in general. You put together quite a
> mangled sentence up there.

"No, stupid, you lies?" Nice job.

> > You are one pathetic piece of human sludge, hiding behind an
> anonymous
> > remailer.
>
> Oh, heaven forbid that somebody has an opinion other than the
> self-appointed newsgroup moderator/ham radio "elite", the self-
> righteous, lying hypocrite who wants to supress freedom of
> speech. Well, that was the Nazi way. Will you be calling the
> Gestapo, or will you handle it yourself?

It boils down to the fact that you don't have the courage of your
convictions. You have to hide. Speak what you like but stand behind
what you say. Anyone can snipe from the cover of an anonymous remailer.

Dave K8MN

5190. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 17:12:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <426A820E.8CD10B22@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106653

N9OGL wrote:
>
> >you might be 37 years old, but you act like
> >you're 14 and how many mature 37 your olds still live at home with
> mommy
> >and daddy?
>
> Around these parts, a lot. the price for rent is extremely high and
> this community has no low income housing.

The answer for that is to nail yourself some higher income. Barring
that, here's a url where you can locate a roommate:

http://www.roommatenation.com/cities/IL_Taylorville_Illinois.asp

By the way, it lists the median rental cost in Taylorville as $418, not
"extremely high" by any means.

> >HOW is it anybody's fault
> >but your own stupidity that you post the wrong call
>
> well see you f--king crack whore your callsign is KC8GXW and his is
> KC8GWX Hmmmm it don't take a f--king rocket scientist to figure that
> one out a--hole

You weren't able to figure it out, Todd. Better stay away from
rocketry.

Dave K8MN

5191. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 17:18:36 UTC
From: herb <none@herbsnetnite.net>
Message-ID: <d4dvv4$2er$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106654

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:426A7F6E.7CCC0087@earthlink.net...
> "A.Melon" wrote:
>>
>> In article <4269C50D.B45E0BE0@earthlink.net>
>> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>
>> > There is fact. Then there is what you'd say.
>>
>> Funny how that can be applied to you, as well, Nazi.
>
> Sorry, that is incorrect.
>
>> > That is totally incorrect. You are ignorant of fact.
>>
>> Says you. But you are a well known liar.
>
> Let's see...there's you saying it. Are you a group of individuals?
>
>> > Yep, you can check back issues of State Magazine, QST, CQ, The
>> DX
>> > Bulletin. Bank on it.
>>
>> Yeah, right. Maybe those are the articles published you refer to
>> when you post as "Stagger Lee."
>
> What articles would those be?
>
>> > My lies about you putting together a sentence?
>>
>> No, stupid, you lies in general. You put together quite a
>> mangled sentence up there.
>
> "No, stupid, you lies?" Nice job.
>
>> > You are one pathetic piece of human sludge, hiding behind an
>> anonymous
>> > remailer.
>>
>> Oh, heaven forbid that somebody has an opinion other than the
>> self-appointed newsgroup moderator/ham radio "elite", the self-
>> righteous, lying hypocrite who wants to supress freedom of
>> speech. Well, that was the Nazi way. Will you be calling the
>> Gestapo, or will you handle it yourself?

>
> It boils down to the fact that you don't have the courage of your
> convictions. You have to hide. Speak what you like but stand behind
> what you say. Anyone can snipe from the cover of an anonymous remailer.
>
>
> Dave K8MN

Roger also likes to hide behind curtains.

5192. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 17:27:58 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <69Cdna45sqE-GPffRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 106655

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114263317.349034.169990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

[snip]

>> Untrue. MARS did NOT "begin" with amateurs but rather
>> the U.S. military. The ARMY to be exact.
>
> It doesn't matter HOW it STARTED...It's what it is today.
>

http://www.marsgateway.net/history.html

It certainly looks like MARS has always been centered around amateurs even from its inception if you read the brief history on the MARS website.

In addition, if a person bothers to look at all the initials, the second word is AFFILIATE. So when anyone homes in on the first word, they are missing the effect of the subsequent modifiers and thus the meaning of the phrase.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5193. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 17:32:49 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <7cfdca1bd75ab4f118085a1f1bbf8f2f@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106656

In article <d4dkeq$d9i$0@pita.alt.net>
"Mark Richards" <m...@bellnospamsources.net> wrote:
>
^^^^^^^^^^
> "pomposity" is the correct form Woger. At least learn the
correct

You missed a comma between, form and "Woger". But You misspelled
"Roger," or are you showing off your fellatio skills?

> form and usage of these advanced words, if you are going to
continue
> using them in your psycobabble.
^^

"Psychobabble" is the correct spelling, Renee. You really should
examine your *own* posts before you make yourself look even more
foolish than you do normally.

5194. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 17:34:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <426A8731.E8C6A1C5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106657

Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
>
> In article <4269C934.6CF057E1@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > I don't see Roger much at all. I saw him once and he bolted.
>
> You are lying again. He left after the meeting ended.

Sorry, that isn't correct. The meeting ended and a tour of the
communications van ensued. During that period, you bolted, never to be
seen again.

> And
> "Lurch," you still need help with your bad comb-over, from what
> he described.

He must have given one of your other personalities some bum info,
Wiseman/UnWiseman. I have a full head of hair. If you were taller,
you'd know. Why this fascination with my hair, Roger?

> Your brain processes seem to mirror that
> character's, if nbot your appearance.

Are you entering the big Stephen King look-alike contest this summer?
Nbot?

> > That is absolutely false. I post nowhere on the internet
> other than as
> > myself. You are the one who seems to see me everywhere.
>
> Now, you're still lying, but you lie every time you post, under
> your own name or not.

Offer up some proof.

> > As I said, my statements are easily verified. Here's a task
> for you,
> > anonymous one: Come up with verifiable proof to the contrary.
>
> Too bad nobody takes your "assignments," or any orders from you,
> Nazi.

You made a claim. Offer up some proof.

> > Do an internet search on Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ or KC8JBO.
> That'll reveal

> > that Roger is known only for 1) being in hot water with the
> FCC and 2)
> > posting filthy rants in newsgroups. He's quite the asset to
> amateur
> > radio.
>
> I can't be bothered.

Hint: I doubted you'd do so. You already know what would be found.

> Since your "opinion" of him carries about
> as much weight with me as it does with evberybody else but your
> toadies.

It has nothing to do with my opinion. It has to do with what a Google search reveals.

> His "hot water with the FCC" consists of a warning
> letter from 1999, which may or may not indicate anything...

May or may not reveal anything? Why do you believe you were sent a warning letter?

> ...a cautionary letter to him and another ham not to carry online
> arguments on the air again from 1999...

Why do you think that one was sent?

> and a retest letter which
> Roger passed from 2000, all from 5 years ago.

Why do you think the retest was mandated?

> Can't find
> anything recent, though, can you? Why is that? If he is such a
> "qrmer" as you assert, why hasn't he gotten any more letters?

I'd guess he'd have to be a bigger fool than is commonly supposed to tempt fate, don't you, Roger/UnRoger?

> Your reasoning is flawed, like you.

My reasoning in suggesting doing the Google search? Why not offer up a few of the positive things your good friend Roger has done with his life?

> And his "filthy" posts are not half as bad as your posts, or
> others.

Sorry, that is totally incorrect.

> You sure do like haveing the old Nazi spirit alive,
> don't you?

I have no affiliation with the National Socialists nor AARP.

> > Roger now keeps a very, very, very low profile on the air--for
> good
> > reason.
>
> Not so.

So.

> You just can't find him on the air.

One can't find what isn't there.

> But with work and
> other things, he probably has better things to do that stay on
> the radio 24/7.

I'm sure "he" is long on other things and short on work.

> Isn't have a balance what is in your amateur
> code?

"Have a balance"? No, I don't find that in "my" amateur code.
I have many interests, UnWiseman. Amateur radio is but one of them.

> You probably spend more time on the radio than with your
> family.

Then again, you'd have no way of knowing.

> I can't blame them for encouraging that.

Then again, you know nothing of them.

> > "You whining"?
>
> Typo, Nazi. "Your whining." Is that better for your narrow mind?

Just remember it the next time you go on about one of my errors.

> > Your claim of lies rings quite hollow from one who must
> > hide behind anonymous remailers. Now if you had the courage
> of your
> > convictions, you'd use your name and stand behind your
> statements.
> > You can't do that.
>
> The only thing ringing hollow is your own pompousity echoing in
> your hollow skull.

> I stand by my convictions, and others who use
> anonimity who agree with you, you have no problem with them
> using "anonimity." Are you like Nursie, using a double standard?

If you stood by your convictions, you'd have no need to hide behind
anonymous remailers. You previously used your call as part of your
email address and you got tossed from several ISP's as a result of your

warm and friendly manner.

Your statement (above) is one of the most convoluted pieces of work I've ever encountered. As to "anonimity", I'd let you slide but one doesn't often make a typo twice. The word is "anonymity".

> > You're absolutely right. Nobody has to do so. You being a stand
> > up guy, I thought surely you'd want to let us know what you'd done
> > with your life. That you choose not to do so just makes your claims
> > look like sad, plaintive cries in the night. Off to the rest of the > > cockroaches with you.

> I'm glad you can see that, finally.

I've seen that all along.

Dave K8MN

5195. Re: Hello, I Am New here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 17:37:04 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <pM2dndtgWKJYGvffRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 106659

"CW" <cw@hispeedcwdits.net> wrote in message
news:d4ds7t$r9h$0@pita.alt.net...
> Can someone fill me in on the players here?
>
> Is this a ham radio flame group?
>
>
> tnx, CW
>

It isn't supposed to be but unfortunately devolves into that all too often.

Its intended purpose is to debate issues such as Morse code testing, proposed regulation changes, etc. Or even topics was to what is or is not an information bulletin.

However, there are some childish people who must immediately resort to lies and/or vulgarity if challenged rather than being able to debate. When people are in the flame war mode, there are quite a few who choose to stay out of the conversations until people settle down again.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5196. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 17:39:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <426A8862.BF9A395F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106660

Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
>
> In article <4269C5F1.87BA6532@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > You seem to be having some problems in your home
> communications center.
> > I can help you straighten that out if you like.
>
> Doubtful, Nazi. You can't even figure out you post under other
> names when everybody else has.

Everyone else has posted under other names? Really?

Dave K8MN

5197. Re: Not Roger...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 18:30:54 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <a2255a2680900a6bb005427a46fddd03@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106661

In article <d4drs0qmq0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <dal...@mynospamdaleks.net> wrote:

> LOL! Good Story,

Foillowing up to yourself, tubby?

5198. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 18:46:20 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <a3ab6a08cd506ce9de67901541ba5925@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106663

In article <d4d4f8$9dl$0@pita.alt.net>
"Wogie Wiseman" <A...@NotRoger.com> wrote:
>
>
> "N9OGL" <todd...@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1114234141.026170.193700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > And W1AW gives me information I can use. They are also the
agency
> >the FCC goes to when there are "communications emergencies"
to be
> >broadcast.
>
> Well i don't find their "information" of interest so perhaps
we should
> get rid of of it.
>
>
> > N9OGL is NOT the "agency" they go to in order to get that
> >information out.
>
> steven, here you go again telling half truth's show me the
rule that
> states an "agency" or "club" is allowed only to run information
> bulletins??
>
> > You do not possess the resources to do what W1AW does.
You're a
> >make believe broadcaster with a chip on his shoulder.
>
> you don't need a lot of money to run an information bulletin,
but
> steven, I'm not going to worry about it. Since you don't want
to
> complain except on here, I've sent some letters off two days
ago and I
> hope they will resolve this problem. So steven you stay on
here and
> whine like the little ARRL bitch you really are.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
> ....
>

> Aw, fer Chrissakes Toddie, will you grow some balls and shut
up?

"Fer".... guffaw!

> Go back to beddie bye. Yer daddy is holding the door open for
you and it is
> past yer bed time.

"Yer" ....laugh!

> Get some

Learn English, tubby!

Hey Lardass, tell us why the Vice squad looked for pot in your
HUD hovel.

5199. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 18:46:22 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <20b3cdeed6deea76132f496cac2223b1@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106662

In article <426A7F6E.7CCC0087@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Sorry, that is incorrect.

Sorry, but you are in denial.

> Let's see...there's you saying it. Are you a group of
individuals?

Let's see, more than just one person has said it, but you must
have your head stuck up your ass, as usual. Try "GOOGLEing" it.

> What articles would those be?

"State Magaizine," "QST," "CQ," "The DX Bulletin", that you
claim to have atricles published. Try to keep up.

> "No, stupid, you lies?" Nice job.

"Your lies." Better, hypocrite?

> It boils down to the fact that (more whines)

It boils down to the fact that you and Nursie are ASSHOLES.
Period.

5200. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 18:47:38 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@its-as-easy-as-they-say-multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <426a9831.1148325@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 106664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:

:
: G1LVN wrote:
: > You'll be saying we have to give back the internet 44.x.x.x IP
: address
: > range next, OM. We could probably get $billions for a Class A
: > allocation like that. Yet we don't do we?
:
: Are you active on 24GHz?
:

maybe not yet...but he will /M soon enough :-)

5201. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 18:48:20 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <426A9851.2F64EBBE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> "Brad" <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com> wrote in message
> news:4269ec5b$0$79462$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
> >
> > "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:4269D9AC.3BC6B0D7@earthlink.net...
> >> robert casey wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> I used to tin the braid then use a small tubing cutter to trim the
> >> shield to the right length. I used a drill to remove the plating from
> >> the holes and file all the chrome off between the holes. I tinned the
> >> center conductor and put a drop of liquid RMA flux on the center
> >> conductor and the braid before I put the coax into the plug, then
> >> soldered all four holes and the center pin. I didn't melt the
> >> dielectric, and you couldn't pull the coax out of the plug.
> >
> > That's a hell of a lot of messing about and time consuming too. A crimp on
> > PL259 for RG58 series or RG213 series cables would take about 2 minutes to
> > install, no soldering, no chance of heat damage, no shorts and they can be
> > fitted in the field.
> >
> > Brad.
>
> As with anything else, there are both advantages and disadvantages. The
> crimp on ones seem to be more prone to having a shorter life. Why, I don't
> know.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Dee, I have worked in broadcast and manufacturing. 95% of the failed
RF connectors I've seen were crimped. I've never had a soldered PL259
come off after I started using the described method. The assemblers at
Microdyne were required to put completed crimped cables into a fixture
that applied the manufacturer's specified tension on each crimped
connector. Most of the SMA we used were still soldered, either on flex
or semi-rigid cable. In 30 years I have only seen a few cracked solder
joints on semirigid, and that was on N" connectors in Collins 4 GHz
Satellite TV receivers. Over half of the units I repaired for United
Video Cablevision had bad solder work on the RF input connectors.

I was at a hamfest a few years ago and bought a box full of PL259
connectors that were cut off bad cables. I used needle nose to pull the

insulation out and held the shell over a propane torch a few seconds and dropped it on the bench to remove the loose stands and old solder. After they had cooled I did the center pins that way. No damage to any of them and all were nicely tinned for re-use.
--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

5202. Who Am I?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 18:49:45 UTC
From: George Orwell <anon@orwellanonnospam.com>
Message-ID: <d4e5a7$bp4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106665

I flee ARES meetings in fear.

I cringe behind curtains when someone drives down my street.

I spew filth from behind anon remailers.

I am unwelcome at ham radio gatherings in my community.

I inherited my 800 sq ft tin roof hovel from my adoptive mother.

I survive on SSI but have this "job" which allows me to be on
Usenet 24/7.

Riley has cited me numerous times for malicious qrm on 20 meters.

I think Stagger Lee is seven, no eight different people.

I was cited by city code inspectors for blocking the public
sidewalk with antenna wires.

"Lloyd" is an imaginary person whom I call Tubby.

I have no friends.

A retired State Dept. ambassador in my community is the
cause of all my problems.

5203. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 18:55:50 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050423185550.1593A17187@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106791

In article <d4dvv4$2er$0@pita.alt.net>
"herb" <n...@herbsnetnite.net> wrote:

> Roger also likes to hide behind curtains.

And you like to hide behind door safety chains, Davies.

5204. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 18:56:05 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <VWYSZ8CX38465.8722800926@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106668

In article <d4djk8bnu0@pita.alt.net>
"Mark Richards" aka Secret Lardass<m...@bellnospamsources.net>
wrote:
>
>
> "Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer"
<nob...@cypherpunks.to> wrote
> in message
news:20050422192508.72A8917095@mail.cypherpunks.to...
> > In article <42681F41.841B1...@earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> >> You thought you were responding to me, Roger? I don't have
> > any need to
> >> hide behind anonymous remailers.
> >
> > No, Nazi, you kep seeing Roger behind every post. And you do
> ^^^^ sp

Looks like a typo, Renee.

"Keep" trying, you might actually win, one of these eons.

> > hide behind other accounts, like ones on Altopia.
> ^^^^
incorrect possessive
> usage
of
a pronoun

Learn to fix your crappy newsreader, Renee.

> > Report website, no reason was given for Roger's retest
letter of
> > what, 5 years ago, now? And still nothing else heard from the
> > FCC on his so-called "actions" on 20 meters, if he is such a
bad
> > "qrmer" as you claim, he'd be in the FCC enforcement letters
all
> > the time or worse. Guess all you whining is just another lie
by
> > the biggest liar on the newsgroup.
> ^^^
> paragraph weakly constructed, redo. numerous gramatical
^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> errors

Learn to forumulate complete sentences, Renee.

And it's spelled "grammatical," Renee.

> > Perhaps you'd like to ask somebody who give a fuck about what
> ^^^^^
^^^^
> weak connuction wrong verb
form
>
>
> > you request. I don't have to qualify myself to you or anybody
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> incorrect use of
verb &
> infinitive

Wrong again, Renee.

> > else, Nazi. Nobody has to give information because you ask
for
> > it, Nazi.
> ^^^^ excessive use of this word,
> use alternative description

Too bad, Renee, the word describes him perfectly.

> Instructor Comment: Suggest you obtain and study my
> Elements of Style handbook for aspiring writers.

Learn to spell "grammatical" first, Renee.

5205. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 18:56:07 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <YVIWK8KY38465.8723032407@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106667

In article <d4djk8bnu0@pita.alt.net>
"Mark Richards" aka Secret Lardass<m...@bellnospamsources.net>
wrote:
>
>
> "Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer"
<nob...@cypherpunks.to> wrote
> in message
news:20050422192508.72A8917095@mail.cypherpunks.to...
> > In article <42681F41.841B1...@earthlink.net>
> > Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> >> You thought you were responding to me, Roger? I don't have
> > any need to
> >> hide behind anonymous remailers.
> >
> > No, Nazi, you kep seeing Roger behind every post. And you do
> ^^^^ sp

Looks like a typo, Renee.

"Keep" trying, you might actually win, one of these eons.

> > hide behind other accounts, like ones on Altopia.
> ^^^^
incorrect possessive
> usage
of
a pronoun

Learn to fix your crappy newsreader, Renee.

> > Report website, no reason was given for Roger's retest
letter of
> > what, 5 years ago, now? And still nothing else heard from the
> > FCC on his so-called "actions" on 20 meters, if he is such a
bad
> > "qrmer" as you claim, he'd be in the FCC enforcement letters
all
> > the time or worse. Guess all you whining is just another lie
by
> > the biggest liar on the newsgroup.
> ^^^
> paragraph weakly constructed, redo. numerous gramatical
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> errors

Learn to forumulate complete sentences, Renee.

And it's spelled "grammatical," Renee.

> > Perhaps you'd like to ask somebody who give a fuck about what
> ^^^^^
^^^^
> weak connuction wrong verb
form
>
>
> > you request. I don't have to qualify myself to you or anybody
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> incorrect use of
verb &
> infinitive

Wrong again, Renee.

> > else, Nazi. Nobody has to give information because you ask
for
> > it, Nazi.
> ^^^^ excessive use of this word,
> use alternative description

Too bad, Renee, the word describes him perfectly.

> Instructor Comment: Suggest you obtain and study my
> Elements of Style handbook for aspiring writers.

Learn to spell "grammatical" first, Renee.

5206. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 19:11:21 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <426A9DC8.BF61836B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brad wrote:
>
> That's a hell of a lot of messing about and time consuming too. A crimp on
> PL259 for RG58 series or RG213 series cables would take about 2 minutes to
> install, no soldering, no chance of heat damage, no shorts and they can be
> fitted in the field.

I didn't make the cables one at a time. I would make dozens and it
went very fast because I did it like a small assembly line. Not only
that but people paid about $3 per connector I installed than anyone else
in the area wanted. I could make about a dozen cables in an hour, back
when I was in the RF business. I didn't make, or use RG58 cables. Only
RG-8 or 213. I have to find the right connectors for the teflon coax I
have in the shop. I think its two spools of RG-179 and a spool of
RG-400

Who says you can't get a shorted cable with crimp connectors? I've
seen plenty of shorted cables with crimped connectors.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

5207. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 19:22:54 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050423192254.60EB81713B@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106810

In article <426A7F6E.7CCC0087@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Sorry, that is incorrect.

Sorry, but you are in denial.

> Let's see...there's you saying it. Are you a group of
individuals?

Let's see, more than just one person has said it, but you must
have your head stuck up your ass, as usual. Try "GOOGLEing" it.

> What articles would those be?

"State Magaizine," "QST," "CQ," "The DX Bulletin", that you
claim to have atricles published. Try to keep up.

> "No, stupid, you lies?" Nice job.

"Your lies." Better, hypocrite?

> It boils down to the fact that (more whines)

It boils down to the fact that you and Nursie are ASSHOLES.
Period.

5208. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 19:25:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114284353.869106.300240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106670

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > No mistruth, Steve.
> > >
> > > COMPLETE mistruth, Brain.
> >
> > Complete lie. After all, you're the one who claimed that "Sorry
> Hans,
> > MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
>
> Yes, I did.
>
> And you're the one who keeps quoting it out of context as if it
> were something like "...they chased all the Techs away" or something.

There is no "out of context." That is exactly what you said, and
you've had a long, long time to correct yourself.

> > > > Wow, I didn't realize that there were different reasons for
being
> a
> > > > member. I guess the different services have markedly different
> > > > purposes and goals.
> > >
> > > They do, to some degree. That's why you have USMC and USN
units
> > > with NMC MARS calls and not AFMARS calls, and vice-versa.
> >
> > That is such a thin, thin difference, but when you have nothing
else,
> > you'll say and do anything so as not to be wrong.
>
> Hardly a "thin difference", Brain.

Anything to keep from being wrong.

> > So what was the reason you were a MARS member the first time? The
> > second time? Was there a third time?
>
> Did you read the other posts? Why don't you pay attention,
Brain?

So what was it?

> > > > and have been in charge of an
> > > > > island-wide system on Okinawa for six months.
> > > > >
> > > > That would have been funny to see. Can you give us some
details
> of
> > > > your "In-Charge" time so that we can verify it? We'll Start
with
> a
> > > > copy of your USN MARS license, and a letter from the
installation
> > > > Commander assigning you such duties. Then we'll need
> corroborating
> > > > information from your customers and subordinates. This will be
> > fun!
> > >
> > > You need to wake up, Brain.
> >
> > Wide awake.
>
> Obviously not. You not only missed or ignored the posts I was
> addressing earlier, you've done nothing to go back and fill yourself
> in.

OK. Which thread, of you all too numerous new threads, was it in? Who
were you replying to?

> > > Callsigns, dates and locations were given in another thread
> just
> > > within the last two days.
> >
> > I don't hang on to your every post, Steve, especially the ones with
> > your new rra.misc playmates.
>
> Sure...You just ignore posts unless you think you can let a
zinger
> of your own fly...

Huh? Your ignorance of MARS is well documented. No zinger, just a
well known and documented FACT!

> Of course, what you've done for THREE POSTS now is demonstrate
that
> you're inept AND lazy.

My accusations that you are ignorant of MARS is correct.

> > > Feel free to research my duty assignments during those
times.
> >
> > Kindly repost them here.
>
> Find them yourself, Brain. It's only been two days.

Earth days, or MARS days?

> > > The "above requested information" was posted in RRAP this
> week.
> >
> > So you say.
>
> So I did. Ask anyone else you care to ask, Brain.

Jim, is this true?

> > > > And none of this "Seven Hostile Actions" stuff.
> > >
> > > That happened too. It's just not pertinent to this forum.
> >
> > But Steve, you're the one constantly saying that if you can't post
> > documentation, it didn't happen. Were you lying then or are you
> lying
> > now?
>
> Not lying then OR now.

Has to be one or the other, Steve.

> It's here already...this week...Show some initiative and find
> it...

Documentation, Steve, documentation. Simply saying you did something
is not documentation. Scan in your license(s) and your appointment
letter.

> > Hmmm? Which number lie would that be?
>
> Number none.

No, no. You're up to about #27 or so.

> > > > Best of Luck!
> > >
> > > No...best of luck to YOU...explaining how you didn't see
those
> > > callsigns, dates, locations I already gave...
> >
> > I try to avoid your record number of meaningful exchanges with your
> new
> > playmates from rra.misc. Did you include the letter of appointment
> > from your Commander?
>
> Nope. But the dates, times, locations are there. You're
welcome
> to verify them on your own.

You need to scan in the DOCUMENTATION. Best of Luck.

> > > You're such a putz, Brain.....
> >
> > Name calling, again? So much for meaningful Extra Class discourse.
> > You do your fellow Extra Class amateurs and the ARS proud. ARRL
> > member, too?
>
> It has nothing to do with any memberships or licensures, Brain.

Actually, you're right. It has everything to do with your personality
defect.

Now post your documentation.

5209. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 19:30:58 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <MP15OEFL38465.8965046296@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106671

In article <d4d4f8$9dl$0@pita.alt.net>
"Wogie Wiseman" <A...@NotRoger.com> wrote:
>
>
> "N9OGL" <todd...@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1114234141.026170.193700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > And W1AW gives me information I can use. They are also the
agency
> >the FCC goes to when there are "communications emergencies"
to be
> >broadcast.
>
> Well i don't find their "information" of interest so perhaps
we should
> get rid of of it.
>
>
> > N9OGL is NOT the "agency" they go to in order to get that
> >information out.
>
> steven, here you go again telling half truth's show me the
rule that
> states an "agency" or "club" is allowed only to run information
> bulletins??
>
> > You do not possess the resources to do what W1AW does.
You're a
> >make believe broadcaster with a chip on his shoulder.
>
> you don't need a lot of money to run an information bulletin,
but
> steven, I'm not going to worry about it. Since you don't want
to
> complain except on here, I've sent some letters off two days
ago and I
> hope they will resolve this problem. So steven you stay on
here and
> whine like the little ARRL bitch you really are.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
> ....
>

> Aw, fer Chrissakes Toddie, will you grow some balls and shut
up?

"Fer".... guffaw!

> Go back to beddie bye. Yer daddy is holding the door open for
you and it is
> past yer bed time.

"Yer" ....laugh!

> Get some

Learn English, tubby!

Hey Lardass, tell us why the Vice squad looked for pot in your
HUD hovel.

5210. Re: Hello, I Am New here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 19:34:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114284892.973859.292440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106672

CW wrote:
> Can someone fill me in on the players here?

It would be best if you loitered for a while and formed your own
opinions.

> Is this a ham radio flame group?
>
>
> tnx, CW

No. This is a place for amateurs to reasonably discuss amateur policy,
particularly the Morse Code testing issue.

Welcome.

bb

5211. Re: Lardass couldn't even get through third grade!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 19:38:46 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050423193846.353821717D@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106781
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d4coohccn0@pita.alt.net>
"Wogie Wiseman" <A...@NotRoger.com> wrote:

> <backpedals>
>
> <Lardass Nazi plagiarizes>

Poor Davey the AssHeil, he's all upset. Now run along and maybe
you and Nursie can go play Morse Code together.

5212. Re: Who Am I?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 19:38:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050423153848.848$Gc@newsreader.com>
Source record: 106673

"George Orwell" <anon@orwellanonnospam.com> wrote:
> I flee ARES meetings in fear.
>
> I cringe behind curtains when someone drives down my street.
>
> I spew filth from behind anon remailers.
>
> I am unwelcome at ham radio gatherings in my community.
>
> I inherited my 800 sq ft tin roof hovel from my adoptive mother.
>
> I survive on SSI but have this "job" which allows me to be on
> Usenet 24/7.
>
> Riley has cited me numerous times for malicious qrm
>
N8WWM?

5213. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 19:45:40 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114285540.386043.46570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106674

KØHB wrote:
> > Uh oh...no "73"...And it was looking so promising
> > for a few moments there...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Nope, no "73". That went away when you saw fit to personalize the
conversation
> with disparaging ad hominem remarks unrelated to the topic, and to
bring
> uninvolved family members into the conversation.
>
> Hans, K0HB

He always wants to have conversations with other people's wifes and
children.

5214. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 19:54:56 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114286096.225716.305340@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > >
> > >> I've heard of some pretty wild times long before things were
> "dumbed
> > >
> > > down"!
> > >
> > >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > >
> > > Mike, I've been meaning to ask. Are you still sore at me for not
> > > giving your grief about the balloon project?
> >
> > HEH! Now you confused me Brian. But seriously, that you *didn't*
> give
> > me grief was duly noted!
> >
> > I've been stressing over
> > > Steve's label of "antagonist" for not giving you grief for some
> time
> > > now. I'm such a terrible person. Hi!
> >
> > Ohh, you know how newsgroups are.....
>
> >>I<< know how they are, Mike!

You sure know how to destroy one.

> They're populated by people who don't have the intestinal
> fortitude to sign their names to their posts and they make up
> allegations to try and hide behind.

And people who sign their names to every made up, false allegation.

> Ask Brain where the Techs went. He insists that they were
"chased
> away", yet refuses to say who chased them away or where they went.
> I've asked him repeatedly after he unequivocally stated they had.

Steve, it's old news. You're welcome to research it in the archives
unless you're just too lazy and/or inept.

> Ask Brain where the "unlicensed devices" are. He insists that
> "unlicensed devices" play a "major roll" in emergency communications.
> He WON'T provide any evidence of it, but he insists it's true.

It's true.

> Brain has also recently asserted that ARES is "overblown", and
> that it won't respond to "real disasters" due to the age of it's
> membership. I've posted several news releases, all of them from
within
> the last 2 weeks, and Brain won't explain how it is ARES is
"overblown"
> when there's evidence to the contrary.

You failed as shift writer. You failed miserably, and you proved my
point.

> Those are just the recents ones...The Somalia horse is pretty
> dead...He could never make that horse trot no matter how colorful a
> jockey he put on it.

I'd like to see the documentation that you were the IMD on Okinawa.

> His "I've worked DXCC several times over" stories are cute,
too...

Please retell those stories, Steve.

> Now his most current diversion is adding up non-existant "lies".
> It just makes him look more feeble, but hey, it keeps him warm.

They are well documented, and you just issued lie #27.

> Keeps me warm too....from laughing so hard!

Oh, yeh, the "bwhahaha" laugh that you claimed to not make.

5215. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 20:02:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114286535.233019.276590@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > Joe wrote:
> > > > > "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1114135537.161170.20640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 24GHz, huh? What's that?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Only a cber would ask a stupid question like that.
> > > > >
> > > > > Are you active on 24 GHz?
> > > >
> > > > Does he need to be to point out that your question was
stupid?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > "Woe is me, woe is me! I'm about to lose something that I've never
> > used and likely will never use."
> >
> > So Steve, tell us about your 24 GHz rig.
>
> I never said I have one, Brain...

Do you also call in "stolen vehicle" reports on cars you've never
owned? Missing persons on peole you've never known?

"Woe is me!"

5216. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 20:04:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114286692.777714.165220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106677

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > So ####head???
>
> Ooooooooooooooh! Come up with that ALL BY YOUR SELF...?!?!

I beleive it's the American translation of the Yiddish word, "Putz!"

5217. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 20:05:49 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050423200549.9078C1717D@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106698

In article <d4dvv4$2er$0@pita.alt.net>
"herb" <n...@herbsnetnite.net> wrote:

> Roger also likes to hide behind curtains.

And you like to hide behind door safety chains, Davies.

5218. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 20:06:54 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <eb451fd127cf70586457e8e271b15853@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106772

In article <k-CdnaQzkKleAPTfRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey aka Secret Lardass <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> It doesn't matter if a reason was given or not, the fact is
you, little
> wogie, had to retest.

No, Secret Lardass. I didn't, Roger did. And he still passed it.
You have yet to advance beyond the training wheel beginner's ham
license.

> The FCC doesn't make you retest just for the fun
> of it.

They can make you retest at any time, if you were first tested
under the VE system.
Why wasn't a reason given in the FCC letter. It was posted at
the Rain Report at one time, say 5 years ago when it happened?
And all you can think about is what happened 5 years ago, and
you call Roger obsessed?

What is "think think,?" above, you never did answer.

> What is this AKA stuff? You don't have a clue who you are
> replying to.

You don't have a clue, period, Lardass. You really shouldn't use
the same lines you use elsewhere.

> Typical of poor dumb wogie, the laughing stock of ham radio
> and the Internet.

Hi secret Lardass! How's it feel to know you can't make it past
the training wheel no code technician?

5219. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 20:07:06 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114286825.983508.132970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106678

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> Perhaps we should adopt the practice of "shunning" to deal with such
people.
> If they are treated as if they were invisible, they'll get tired of
the game
> since they get no response and no one will talk to them between times

> either.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Unfortunately, Steve and Dave have granted asylum to the refugees from
rra.misc.

Perhaps we could give them a paper award or something.

5220. A Social Outcast's Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 20:29:53 UTC
From: Rabbi Roger <LampShade@noforeskin.com>
Message-ID: <d4eb8b$n2r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106680
Cross-posted to:
alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't mean everybody else does, imbecile." Roger Wiseman

"pompousity" Oops! Darn that keyboard, anyway!

"suergery" That is Devry for ya.

"aditting" Darn those typos!

"abckpedals" His greasy hair got in his eyes again

"shreeched" Wasn't he the guy in a sit com? Screech?

"stuid" Pot calling the kettle black?

"heared" That's West Virginia for heard.

"assuslted" Rog just makes em' up as he goes along.

"experieince" Oh, DUH!

"abouve" Is this a French word?

"Cosast" Some place by a body of water?

"mebership", Just a typo, right?

"retqards" Regards or Retards? Who can tell?

"coordinbator" Huh?

"Realy" Really?

"Nitoiced" Keyboard error or stupidity?

"Becasue" Well...

"Licence" Roger had to test twice for his license.

"Convienince"

"Myabe" Or then again, maybe not.

"occurance" Say what?

"srtuggling"

"definatrely"

"delapidated" What a dufus.

"picutre"

"permenatly" Ebonics again?

"Arest" You need a rest, Wogie.

"Sentenceing" No comment.

"Narcotices" What?

"Undre" Is this a city in Germany?

"Surgeoun" And this would be?

"Commuications" Who is laughing at who?

"Ovre" Ovre and out!

"Wondreful" It's a "wondreful" day in the neighborhood?

"Derivitave" Still no spell-checker, eh?

"You lose, shit for brians." Woger showing who real loser is.

"Deisowned" Deis what?

"Deeppest" Roger strikes again.

"Dodgeing". Is he talking about a car?

"Staedy" Steady?

"Linme" Transmission line?

"Getr" Nobody knows.

"Experieince" Wogie is good, ain't he?

"Appropiate" What kind of opiate?

"Laywer" Lay what?

"Visitpors" You never have any, ergo you don't know how to spell it.

"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling complainant.)

"Irreevant" Good going, Wogie.

"Forwared" Forward HO!

"Glady" Glad Bags?

"Neighborhod" It's a wonderful day in Wogie's neighborhood.

"Mena" Mean? What you mean, Wogie?

"Notr" Not?

"Sassifyed" ALA Rolling Stones.No Sassifaction..

"Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman.

"Illarate" West BY GOD Virginny!

"Reveresed" Must be one of those off-brand keyboards.

"Soem".as in Some? What a loser.

"VIRIN".What Wogie was before he met the Lavender Lads.

"Minisule".he mussa meant miniscule. Like his IQ.

"Champoin".Whassup, Wiseman? No dictionaries in the mental ward?

"Anoinymous"...a cross between anonymous and annoying?

"Acident" Roger's mom got preggers by accident.

"Alreaedy" Alright, already!

"Amouints" Roger amounts to nothing.

"Aposterphe" This guy is a hoot, ain't he?

"Basios"...word Woger uses when he gets neeeerrrrvous.
"Behing"...a cross between being and behind?

"Coiward" As in peeking from behind his mommy's curtains.
"Feamle"...I think he meant female.
"Losser"...only loser Roger can do it better.
"Ignroant"...typical, considering the source.
"Sluprs"...only Rogie knows what this means.
"Travelled"...a Rogerism, replete with ignorance.
"Postss"...pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
"Defgenerate"...well, it's Roger's word...
"Faity"...he meant to say Fairy, but you know Roger.

"Ghet" Get a load of Curtain Boy!
"Distribiution"...Roger likes using phonics.
"Biotch"...he meant Bitch, but his phonics took over again.
"Methjadone"...a West Virginia substitute for Heroin.
"Sumbliminal"...one of Roger's favorites.
"Recepticle"...either of Roger's moist cavaties.
"Cociane"...a West Virginia drug of choice?
"Tiome"...Roger meant to say Time...but his fingers were numb.
"Beraking"...no definition. Could mean 'beraking' the leaves,
"Amny"...he meant 'any',
"Nlay"...a town in Maylaysia? Vermont?
"Al;ong"...only Wussman knows what this means.
"Becasu"...well, becasu Roger is not the brightest bulb on the tree.
"Seperation". Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate" from his mommie!
"Sisnce" He meant Since, but his pudgy little fingers slipped off the keys.
"gnetically" What?
"provce" (prove?)
"Piuzza" (West Virginia Pizza)
"Schol" (school?)

"strill" (still?)
"shoitgun" 12 ga or 20 ga?
"Snoip" (How they spell "snip" in Glen Dale)
"Maturbater". Wiseman does it all the time, he just can't spell it.(leaves a sticky residue on his right hand)
"Coskscuking". It's a Roger thing, as in Lavender Lads.
"Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale, eh?
"Spaeaking". Curtain Boy did this after his second retest.

" Stgop" Roger's version of Stop.

"Fahter's" He meant Father's Day.

"fserting"..would that be festering?

"poists". Roger meant "posts".

"snadwich" As in peanut butter and jelly?

"regualr" Glen Dale rendition of "regular".

"aftrer" After? Aftrer what?

"soory". Sorry Roger fucks up again.

"didnb't" He didn't?

"whoile". He ate the whoile thing?

"Woamn" He's hooked on West Virginia phonics, all right.

"prrof" And he kin prove it, too.

"beforfe" Was that before he lurned to spell?

"yoiuy" Roger's version of "y'all".

"illerate" Only illiterate Roger can spell like this.

"haeart" Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!

"valule" Roger has no values. No wonder he can't spell it.

"proch" Doesn't everyone have one on their house?

"inmstitution" Where Roger's mom put him in June 1999.

"timne" It's Howdy Doody time?

"whgo" What? Who dat?

"gnetically" Only Roger knows what this one means.

"crfy" Like, cry, Roger, cry.

"stutrtering" Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.

"Whjere" Where is Curtain Boy?

"Webiste" That's Website to the rest of us.

"Youire" Your? You're? Who knows.

"fiored" As in fired?

"Parety" Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.

"Bouynce" Bounce?

"Varification" Verifiable mental case.

"Probelms" Poor Rogie.has many problems of the mental sort.

"Partricipate" Oh, DUH!!!!

"Cryed" Gee, Wogie..cry me a river.you dolt!

"Stoick" What a dork. His old 386 has no spell check program.

"Partiall" More WV Phonics, I see.

"Obsucred" Either obscured or Rogie has "sucre" on his mind.

"Infinate" Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.

"Sustinance" As in Sustenance?

"Juvinile". This is the way Roger likes his boys.

"Piut" Put it where, Rogie?

"Toyus". "Toyus R Us?

"Diminuative". Roger's height.

"Definitely" Definitely

"Percriptions" Say what?

"Credibiliy" More Glen Dale intellect.

"Thsoe" Yet another Glen Dale gaffe.

5221. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 20:36:41 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <e401f3901a63ef34035886f9cbc845ac@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106681

In article <1114170718.240900.48060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> Absolutely assinine.

You certainly are "asinine," Nursie.
Learn to spell!

> I knew dozens of USMC officers marreid to "foreign
nationals" who
> not only kept their clearances, but went on to higher
accomplishments.

Sure you did, Nursie, sure you did. You and Nazi Davey must be
trying to see who is the biggest liar.

> Guess they forgot to stop sending the checks every month,
eh
> Dave...?!?!~

And Nursie, are you enjoying being Nazy Davey's catamite?

> Why do you make such idiotic, easily disproven statements
and
> accuse others of lying when the very accusation itself is a
lie...?!?!

You know all about that, Nursie, since every thing out of your
slobbering mouth is a lie.

> Even if you're not, one could forgive someone else for not
being
> able to "seperate" one foul scum from another.

Oh, Nursie, you are just plain white trash.

> Persoanlly, I'd bet my life on Dave's errors a lot
quicker than I
> would on your "facts".

I'm sure you would, Nursie, since he's as big a proven liar as
you are.

5222. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 20:47:42 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <30d36318cb4648a22397faa1f4762258@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 106682

In article <d4cm2g$6a4$0@pita.alt.net>
"Dignity Ain't Roger" Secret Lardass<Outc...@NotRoger.com> wrote:

> Maybe you could give Wogie a primer in Antenna Construction
101.

He doesn't need one, Lardass. Maybe if you lived someplace other
than a HUD apartment complex you'd be able to put up an antenna
other than the "tiny-tenna"..... BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!! But
you'd have to pass the General theory and that darned old,
nearly impossible for you 5 wpm Morse Code, eh?

> Show him plans that would help him keep his antennas in his
own yard rather
> than drooping over the sidewalks.

Since a Alpha Delta DX-CC is only 82 feet long, and Roger has it
in an inverted vee configuration, which shortens the space it
takes up quite a bit, none of his antenna goes "over the
sidewalk", dumbass! It never did.

> They didn't cover that at The Devry Mail Order Institute of
Higher Learning
> and Genuine Degrees.

Except, Lardass, Roger didn't get his degrees from Devry. Looks
like you fucked up, as usual. And do tell us why you couldn't
get a degree from Kirkwood Community College!

5223. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 21:04:06 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <1tidnSd7s8HcSPffRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106695

A.Melon wrote:
>
> Perhaps you'd like to ask somebody who give a fuck about what
> you request. I don't have to qualify myself to you or anybody
> else, Nazi. Nobody has to give information because you ask for
> it, Nazi.
>

Poor two-test wogie, had to retake the amateur exam. What a total joke.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!11

5224. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 21:06:41 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <1tidnSZ7s8FtSPffRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106696

N9OGL wrote:
> On your sending my post, donm't bother i'm 37 years old

And still an immature child. What is 'donm't"?

5225. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 21:36:43 UTC
From: George Orwell <anon@orwellanonnospam.com>
Message-ID: <d4ef3aveb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106684

"Italy Anonymous Remailer" <nobody@See.Comments.Header> wrote in message
news:L8HXPA3R38464.9989236111@anonymous.poster...
> In article <42687865.69CBE423@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil the Nazi and liar <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>> That is incorrect.
>
> I'd say that marrying a foreign national was why they cut it
> short.
> That and the poor performance record. But the marriage to a
> foreign nation precludes you maintaining a security clearance!

Unless you are in this person's supervisory chain-of-command,
you cannot gain access to his performance record. Meaning,
you are full of crap. Source please?

Marriage to a foreign national does not necessarily terminate
one's security clearance. Please cite the regulation you are
basing this statement on? Source please?

>> That's incorrect once again. My wife and I had five Foreign
> Service
>> assignments after our marriage.
>
> Sure you did,liar.
>
>> That doesn't quite make it as a sentence or as fact. Care to
> tell us
>> what you do for a living?
>
>> Again, you don't quite have a sentence or a fact.
>
> Again, your lies trip you up.
>
>> I've outlined what I've done for amateur radio. I'll be happy
> to
>> outline what I've done in civic service when you provide a
> little info,
>> Roger.
>
> More lies, Nazi? That's all you can do. And no matter how much
> you say it, I'm still not Roger. You are one stupid bastard.
>
>> That's incorrect yet again, Roger.
>

> Wrong guess, and learn to punctuate your sentences.
>
>> It is certainly one of you inhabiting his body. Which
> personality are
>> you? Are you in communication with the others?
>
> Wrong again, nazi. But you are an expert at being wrong. Now go
> tap out some Morse Code, monkey boy. Maybe you can do something
> about your bad comb-over, too.
>
>

5226. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 21:58:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114293533.236483.15170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106685

bb wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> > Perhaps we should adopt the practice of "shunning" to deal with
such
> people.
> > If they are treated as if they were invisible, they'll get tired of
> the game
> > since they get no response and no one will talk to them between
times
>
> > either.
> >
> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Unfortunately, Steve and Dave have granted asylum to the refugees
from
> rra.misc.

We "granted" nothing.

However we did already have you, Todd and Lennie, so what's the
difference?

Steve, K4YZ

5227. Potato of The Pope on e-Bay (not virgin mary on toast)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 22:03:52 UTC
From: Cardinal Sputnik III <hotfans40@doglaugh2.com>
Message-ID: <84adf$426ac645$d1cc7940$21359@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 106686
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.gene-scott,alt.fan.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Crucifix Revealed On Same Day New Pope Was Elected!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&Item=6172774744&Category=11669

"This sign and holy symbol of the Lord Jesus Christ appeared in this potato on the very same day that the new Catholic Pope Benedict XVI was elected"

(I wish I'd had this idea, although crosses don't fetch as much as virgin marys in pizza or toast you know......)

5228. Re: AMATEUR'S USE AMATEUR AUXILIARY TO SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 22:09:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114294169.964315.207760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106687

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > >you might be 37 years old, but you act like
> > >you're 14 and how many mature 37 your olds still live at home with
> > mommy
> > >and daddy?
> >
> > Around these parts, a lot. the price for rent is extremely high and
> > this community has no low income housing.
>
> The answer for that is to nail yourself some higher income. Barring
> that, here's a url where you can locate a roommate:
>
> http://www.roommatenation.com/cities/IL_Taylorville_Illinois.asp
>
> By the way, it lists the median rental cost in Taylorville as $418,
not
> "extremely high" by any means.

Ummmmmmmm...It's all "relative", Dave...When you've been mooching
off your "relatives" for free so long, ANY amount seems "extremely
high".

> > >HOW is it anybody's fault
> > >but your own stupidity that you post the wrong call
> >
> > well see you f--king crack whore your callsign is KC8GXW and his is
> > KC8GWX Hmmmm it don't take a f--king rocket scientist to figure
that
> > one out a--hole
>
> You weren't able to figure it out, Todd. Better stay away from
> rocketry.

I wonder how this idiot shaves in the morning without accidentally
committing suicide....

Steve, K4YZ

5229. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 22:13:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114294395.984977.89480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106688

bb wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > > Uh oh...no "73"...And it was looking so promising
> > > for a few moments there...
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Nope, no "73". That went away when you saw fit to personalize the
> conversation
> > with disparaging ad hominem remarks unrelated to the topic, and to
> bring
> > uninvolved family members into the conversation.
> >
> > Hans, K0HB
>
> He always wants to have conversations with other people's wifes and
> children.

No "conversation", Brian...Just make them aware of your conduct.

Steve, K4YZ

5230. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 22:25:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114295148.890860.322960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > Mike Coslo wrote:
> > > >
> > > >> I've heard of some pretty wild times long before things were
> > "dumbed
> > > >
> > > > down"!
> > > >
> > > >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Mike, I've been meaning to ask. Are you still sore at me for
not
> > > > giving your grief about the balloon project?
> > >
> > > HEH! Now you confused me Brian. But seriously, that you *didn't*
> > give
> > > me grief was duly noted!
> > >
> > > I've been stressing over
> > > > Steve's label of "antagonist" for not giving you grief for some
> > time
> > > > now. I'm such a terrible person. Hi!
> > >
> > > Ohh, you know how newsgroups are.....
> >
> > >>I<< know how they are, Mike!
>
> You sure know how to destroy one.

How? By making fun of persons who insist on lying in
public...such as yourself, Brian?

> > They're populated by people who don't have the intestinal
> > fortitude to sign their names to their posts and they make up
> > allegations to try and hide behind.
>
> And people who sign their names to every made up, false allegation.

Such as?

> > Ask Brain where the Techs went. He insists that they were
> "chased
> > away", yet refuses to say who chased them away or where they went.
> > I've asked him repeatedly after he unequivocally stated they had.
>
> Steve, it's old news. You're welcome to research it in the archives
> unless you're just too lazy and/or inept.

Oh no, Brain! This was YOUR assertion! YOU stated all the Techs
have allegedly been "chased" somewhere..

Let's see you pony-up that proof, Lying One.

> > Ask Brain where the "unlicensed devices" are. He insists that
> > "unlicensed devices" play a "major roll" in emergency
communications.
> > He WON'T provide any evidence of it, but he insists it's true.
>
> It's true.

If it was, where's your proof?

It was your assertion.

I say Brian P. Burke is was jsut caught up in the moment, tried to
bluff his way through, but got caught on a techniccality...The truth...

> > Brain has also recently asserted that ARES is "overblown", and
> > that it won't respond to "real disasters" due to the age of it's
> > membership. I've posted several news releases, all of them from
> within
> > the last 2 weeks, and Brain won't explain how it is ARES is
> "overblown"
> > when there's evidence to the contrary.
>
> You failed as shift writer. You failed miserably, and you proved my
> point.

You keep saying that but it's still not true.

Again, you are lying, Brian P Burke. Why do you insist on doing
that in the face of evidence to the contrary...?!?!

> > Those are just the recents ones...The Somalia horse is pretty
> > dead...He could never make that horse trot no matter how colorful a
> > jockey he put on it.
>
> I'd like to see the documentation that you were the IMD on Okinawa.

You've got the dates, callsigns and places, Brian.

It's more than enough to substantiate MY claims...Now...About
those techs and ARES claims...???

> > His "I've worked DXCC several times over" stories are cute,
> too...
>
> Please retell those stories, Steve.

They weren't my stories, Brian. They were yours.

> > Now his most current diversion is adding up non-existant
"lies".
> > It just makes him look more feeble, but hey, it keeps him warm.
>
> They are well documented, and you just issued lie #27.

Nope. Still at zero, Brain.

But you keep trying.

> > Keeps me warm too....from laughing so hard!
>
> Oh, yeh, the "bwhahaha" laugh that you claimed to not make.

I never made that claim, Brian.

I >>DID<< claim that I >>DON'T<< use your "Hi" in written
communication as alleged "laughter".

You are welcome to re-post the item wherein you think I said I
never use that "Bwwwhahahahahahaha...."

And if we stack up the lies, Brian P., we'll have quite an archive
to leave for your heirs...Faaaaaaaaaaaaaaaar in excess of the "27" you
alledge that I have authored.

Steve, K4YZ

5231. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 22:38:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114295893.913916.58440@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106690

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > No mistruth, Steve.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > COMPLETE mistruth, Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > Complete lie. After all, you're the one who claimed that "Sorry
> > Hans,
> > > > MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
> > >
> > Yes, I did.
> > >
> > And you're the one who keeps quoting it out of context as if it
> > were something like "...they chased all the Techs away" or
something.
>
> There is no "out of context." That is exactly what you said, and
> you've had a long, long time to correct yourself.

Correct what? In the fuller context of the article that it
appeared in, it was correct.

Sorry you don't agree.

> > > > > Wow, I didn't realize that there were different reasons for
> being
> > a
> > > > > > member. I guess the different services have markedly
different
> > > > > > purposes and goals.
> > > > >
> > > > > They do, to some degree. That's why you have USMC and USN
> units
> > > > > with NMC MARS calls and not AFMARS calls, and vice-versa.
> > > >
> > > > That is such a thin, thin difference, but when you have nothing
> else,
> > > > you'll say and do anything so as not to be wrong.
> > >
> > Hardly a "thin difference", Brain.
>
> Anything to keep from being wrong.

Nope. Each branch of MARS has both it's distinctive and it's
similar programs. Otherwise there'd only be "MARS"...Not AFMARS, Army
MARS, and NMC MARS.

> > > So what was the reason you were a MARS member the first time?
The
> > > second time? Was there a third time?
> >
> > Did you read the other posts? Why don't you pay attention,
> Brain?
>
> So what was it?

Sorry, Brain....I am not doing remedial post work for you just to
accomodate your inattention.

> > > > > and have been in charge of an
> > > > > > island-wide system on Okinawa for six months.
> > > > >
> > > > > That would have been funny to see. Can you give us some
> details
> > of
> > > > > your "In-Charge" time so that we can verify it? We'll Start
> with
> > a
> > > > > copy of your USN MARS license, and a letter from the
> installation
> > > > > Commander assigning you such duties. Then we'll need
> > corroborating
> > > > > information from your customers and subordinates. This will
be
> > > fun!
> > > >
> > > > You need to wake up, Brain.
> > >
> > > Wide awake.
> >
> > Obviously not. You not only missed or ignored the posts I was
> > addressing earlier, you've done nothing to go back and fill
yourself
> > in.
>
> OK. Which thread, of you all too numerous new threads, was it in?
Who
> were you replying to?

Ask your "mentor", Lennie the Lame.

> > > > Callsigns, dates and locations were given in another
thread
> > just
> > > > > within the last two days.
> > >

> > > I don't hang on to your every post, Steve, especially the ones
with
> > > your new rra.misc playmates.
> >
> > Sure...You just ignore posts unless you think you can let a
> zinger
> > of your own fly...
>
> Huh? Your ignorance of MARS is well documented. No zinger, just a
> well known and documented FACT!

Nope.

> > Of course, what you've done for THREE POSTS now is demonstrate
> that
> > you're inept AND lazy.
>
> My accusations that you are ignorant of MARS is correct.

Nope. However YOU have demonstrated a SIGNIFICANT ignorance of
the MARS programs in your suggestion that all three programs are the
same.

They are not.

> > > > Feel free to research my duty assignments during those
> times.
> > >
> > > Kindly repost them here.
> >
> > Find them yourself, Brain. It's only been two days.
>
> Earth days, or MARS days?

Does it matter? You'd still be lazy and inept.

> > > > The "above requested information" was posted in RRAP this
> > week.
> > >
> > > So you say.
> >
> > So I did. Ask anyone else you care to ask, Brain.
>
> Jim, is this true?

There's at least three Jims here, Brain...Ya ought to specify.

> > > > > And none of this "Seven Hostile Actions" stuff.
> > > >
> > > > That happened too. It's just not pertinent to this forum.
> > >
> > > But Steve, you're the one constantly saying that if you can't
post

> > > documentation, it didn't happen. Were you lying then or are you
> > lying
> > > now?
> >
> > Not lying then OR now.
>
> Has to be one or the other, Steve.

Nope. Wasn't lying then OR now.

> > It's here already...this week...Show some initiative and find
> > it...
>
> Documentation, Steve, documentation. Simply saying you did something
> is not documentation. Scan in your license(s) and your appointment
> letter.
>
> > > Hmmm? Which number lie would that be?
> >
> > Number none.
>
> No, no. You're up to about #27 or so.

And shall we start numbering yours? Shall we start at The Great
Somalia DX War Hero stories...?!?!

> > > > > Best of Luck!
> > > > >
> > > > No...best of luck to YOU...explaining how you didn't see
> those
> > > > callsigns, dates, locations I already gave...
> > > >
> > > I try to avoid your record number of meaningful exchanges with
your
> > new
> > > playmates from rra.misc. Did you include the letter of
appointment
> > > from your Commander?
> >
> > Nope. But the dates, times, locations are there. You're
> welcome
> > to verify them on your own.
>
> You need to scan in the DOCUMENTATION. Best of Luck.

Brain, we've been after you for YEARS to "scan in" YOUR
"documentation" on a NUMBER of your claims.

Haven't seen a one yet.

You remain one of the most prolific RRAP liars and deceivers,
second only to Lennie and an handfull of the anonymous lying cowards.

> > > > You're such a putz, Brain.....
> > >
> > > Name calling, again? So much for meaningful Extra Class
discourse.
> > > You do your fellow Extra Class amateurs and the ARS proud. ARRL
> > > member, too?
> >
> > It has nothing to do with any memberships or licensures,
Brain.
>
> Actually, you're right. It has everything to do with your
personality
> defect.

What personality defect, Brain...???

> Now post your documentation.

After you, Brain...You're already several YEARS behind...

Of course I've given you more than enough to make an independent
determination...You only make more and more assinine assertions.

As usual.

Steve, K4YZ

5232. Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 22:50:06 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <anon@hamanonspam.net>
Message-ID: <d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
You freebanders better knock it off.
The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
```

5233. Re: A Social Outcast's Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 22:51:30 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114296690.874661.176460@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106692
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Rabbi Roger wrote: <nothing but IKYABWAI>

"breaddead" - LLoyd hasn't got anything upstairs in the oven
"defenseive" - Lardass is "defensive" of his ignorance
"counter-offenseive" - When LARDASS LLoyds attack
"nights" - Athens ASSHOLE was referring to last "night's" masturbation session
"I forget to set the playlist in Winamp to repeat!" - Mongolloyd also "forgets" the use of past tense, maybe he forgot!
"Techincal" - Lloyd just hates those "technical" things
"Dalkes" - Lloyd's granddad remembers when movies became "talkies" too bad LARDASS learned to Dalk <sic>
"That it!" - When Mongolloyds get real mad, they talk like Tor Johnson
"Woger" - LARDASS closely identifies with Elmer Fudd with his lack of hair and all
"decide on weather or not" - It's always "stormy weather" with Lloyd deciding "whether" or not to use correct English
"can not" - Mongolloyd is wondering how he "cannot" seem to get ahead in life
"is'nt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he is mad?
"PAINFULL" - How LARDASS' bathroom scale feels when he steps on it in the morning, real "painful"
"I wish for Roger Wisemand" - Lloyd liked thosed thingd ford Rogerd
"alot" - Davies dreams he can communicate in English a lot
"thier" - Lavender Lloyd sure does lisp after a night behind the dumpster
"dont" - Lloyd says "dont", the rest of the world says "don't"
"Isreal" - Is FATASS Lloyd's retardation for real, or can he blame Israel?
"juist jelous" "extermenate" - A three for one post Athens Asshole axiom
"footting" - What LARDASS doesn't have, an equal "footing" anywhere
"Cant" - Emmanuel?
"th" - When Lloyd gets nervous, he stutte, er stutters
"enfighting" - Lloyd's two brain cells are infighting for control of his stupidity
"YOU STUPID JEW!" - Wasn't Jesus Jewish, so called Christian LLoyd?
"Tonite" - There's nothing in Lloyd's brain "tonight" or any night
"segmant" - What Lloyd's communications are, only sentence "segments"
"I just listening" - Too bad he doesn't listen to his poor English
"buffon" - The Athens, Alabama "buffoon" strikes again!
"museium" - Where LARDASS LLoyd keeps his "muse"

"nastey" - How everybody describes LARDASS' body stench, especially in the ghetto
"loose" - Lloyd's description of his spinchter muscles...
"wont" - Lloyd refuses to learn English, it's Lloyd's "wont"
"awhile" - It will be "a while" before Davies gets a clue
"freinds" - Lloyd's conglomeration of "friends" and "fiends"
"thier" - "There" just isn't any hope for LARDASS Lloyd "ill-educated" Davies
"backstabers" - Lloyd's version of the OJays' hit
"becuase" - Home of the "Deliverance Extras" spelling by LARDASS Lloyd
"obselete" - What LARDASS thinking is to him, others see it as 'obsolete'.....
"belive" - What fools like LARDASS Lloyd "believes"
"thier" - Ill-educated Xtian LARDASS Lloyd shows off his language skills!
"marshalls" - Mongolloyd spell "marshals" real good!
Wisemand - LARDASSd fucksd upd againd
"compay" - Mongolloyd never gets any female "compay," while others do get "company"
" But when we are winning," DUMBFUCK, YOU have NEVER WON anything in your miserable life!
"and do the nasty!" You don't really know what that means, do you, 30 year old VIRGIN?
"It's does" - Mongolloyd use good English
"momnet" - LARDASS Lloyd Davies misses his dead "momnet" for a "moment"
"seriuosly" - Lavender Lad President Mongolloyd wants to be taken "seriously" instead of "seriuosly"
"annouced" - Mongolloyd "announces" his stupidity again
"tonite" - Davies never lets his lack of education stop him from announcing to the world he's a fucking imbecile!
"nite" - When LARDASS Lloyd molests those kids he makes them say "nite, nite" at "night"
"weldare" - Calling Dr. Weldare, LARDASS needs his head out of his FATASS....put it on his welfare bill
"so fuck of" - When Mongolloyds who have man tits talk tough......
"fukcing funney" - Alabama deliverance extra Davies speak
"pictrue" - "Picture" yourself as a Davies Mongolloyd
"isseus" - Davies has "issues" too many to list here
"resortjng" - Is Lloyd from Finland?
"attsxks" - When LARDASS Davies "attacks" he uses illiteracy
"basturds"- What mental illness patient LARDASS Lloyd calls his nurses
"shkt" - Mongolloyd Davies' "Skittles", he likes that "Hershey rainbow"
"law suit" - LARDASS never leaves his HUD apartment with out his law suit
"opinionn" - When LARDASSn postsn whiln drunkn
"intrenet harrasssmint" - Tries to make LARDASS Lloyd's rotting teeth feel fresh
"sneeky" - The teachers always "sneaked" Lloyd through the next grade
"that' wasnet" - LLoyd was out that year of school that they went over English grammar

idiout! - "Lloyid" <sic> strikes out again!
"speling" - Mongolloyd Davies the "spelling" bee champ of the retards!
"reas isseus instaed" - Davies talking out of that cheap beer again
"atttacking" - LARDASS Lloyd stutters when he gets upset.....ttttt.....
"challegne" - When Mongolloyds drink and post
"metnal" - Mongolloyd just doesn't have the "mental" ability to
debate......
"debste" - What Lloyd's mommy did to him in the bathtub
"cahllenge" - Mongolloyd speak for "call" and "challenge"
"basturds" - LLoyd ,Amanda's illegitimate "bastard" sure does have a
scat fetish
"morsd" - Whend Daviesd drinkd andd postd
"wont" - It is Mongolloyd's "wont" to be stupid, "won't " you let him?
"youd" - Oops, LARDASS did it again!
"poinion" - Must be an Athens Alabama "Deliverance" thing
"Woger Wiseman"- LARDASS Lloyd's got that cocksucker's lisp
"isnt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he slobbers?
"worfh" - Davies' cocksucking lisp has returned
"waset of spaceda" - When Lloyd's only friend Colt 45 malt liquor kicks
in
"Oppulant" - The only thing "opulent" in LARDASS is that he is rich in
ignorance
"obly" - LARDASS lisp from cocksucking shows when he tries to say his
favorite fag artist "Moby"
"wood" - LARDASS LLOYD'S head "would" be made of "wood"
"dserve" - LARDASS lives in that ghetto where they say he sure does
"dserve" those pizzas
"frum" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES wonders where his real father is "from"
"DISCUST" - Mongolloyd Davies' command of the English language is
"disgusting"
"You worthless coward are scared of debating me." - Mongolloyd make
good sentence
"jellous" - Most of LARDASS Lloyd's cellulite body is "jealous" of
skinny, in shape people
"It just didn't wok out" - When LARDASS tried to stir fry those
nutsacks for pizza topping, Pappa John's terminated him saying he
didn't "work out".
"entact" - LARDASS' single brain cell isn't "intact" either.
"empahis" - Notice how LARDASS Lloyd's "emphasis" is always on his
fucking stupidity.
"Fuck all fo you" - Christian LARDASS Lloyd showing that Jesus
spirit.....
"bllod" - How LARDASS Lloyd spells "blood"
"did'nt" - LARDASS "didn't" do very well in school
"PLEASE by a clue" - LARDASS Lloyd's parents couldn't even "buy" him an
education
"extrodinare!" - LARDASS is a moron "Extraordinaire"
"unsubsribe" - Mongolloyd Davies "subscribes" to the theory that
ignorance is bliss...he must be in heaven
"Must've struck a cord" - LARDASS confuses his favorite sex fetish of

tying his penis with a light cord and masturbating to the word "chord".
"dumass" - Dumbass Davies trips and falls in shit again.
"Them two bigtime losers!" - Mongolloyd mentally ill Davies makes good sentence!
"straming" - Lardass usually has to wash his sheets from the "stream" of piss when he wets the bed or not given his filthy HUD apartment
"surpassinf" - LARDASS is always "surpassing" his previous levels of stupidity.
"pleanty" - <guffaw!> "Plenty" of stupidity coming from LARDASS Lloyd.
"Cause i'll have law lock you up and throw away the key!" - Mongolloyd talk tough!
"pome" - When LARDASS WADSWORTHLESS Stubbyfellow writes a "poem"
"Once she here's my show" - Most people hears a show, LARDASS "here's" them!
"forgotton" - LARDASS has "forgotten" what he was taught in special Ed
"I want your seriousness" - LARDASS does his take on "I want your sex"
"I did'nt ask for your fucking grammer checking!" - LARDASS Lloyd revels in his ignorance!
"agrument" - When the voices in LARDASS Lloyd's mentally ill head collide they have an "argument"
"craetive" - Mongolloyd Davies isn't very "creative" with his stupidity, however.
"You could have posted it, for we know!" - LARDASS make good sentence!
"bottem" - Mongolloyd likes those little boys' "bottoms" from hanging with Steve Holsten
"James Wickstrom talked about tonite that out threre, thier Sherriff's department" - Mongolloyd
shows off those Pulitzer prize writing skills.
"bring yer cents" - Mongolloyd show how he flunked out of school because he had no "sense"
"You peeks out" - LARDASS Lloyd and stupidity a symbiotic relationship.
"Be thier" - LARDASS Lloyd make good sentence!
"If you pussys have balls you confront me!!! Deep down you are scared of me. You our jellous
because I am the great almighty Time Lord!!!!
The Time Lord always wins in the end." - Does it really need to be said about Mongolloyd Davies?
"yerself", "hisself" - LARDASS picked up his language skills or lack thereof from the
niggers his mommy Amanda serviced.
"I lost TEN POUNDS on my diet simce first of the year!" - Too bad LARDASS didn't gain any language skills
"The best Amatuer Radio talk show ever!" - Chosen 5 to 1 over literacy by other ill-educated morons and Mongolloyd
"All of my Daleks have been dispached!" - Too bad some extra brain neurons haven't, Mongolloyd.
"satillite" - When LARDASS met the space between his ears
"Tuseday" - LARDASS' usual day to wear his pink tutu
"I am 210 punds now!" - LARDASS lies and fucks up again!
"jelous" - LARDASS Lloyd is "jealous" of those who can spell, read, and

write.
"peoples" - LARDASS Lloyd the "people's" choice of the Biggest
L-O-S-E-R!
"curtians" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES uses those "curtains" in his filthy
apartment as a masturbation rag
"depsised" - Mongolloyd Davies, who the entire real ham community has
"despised" for his lack of ability
to pass a simple 5 wpm code test or General theory
"sicker" - When Lloyd Davies has a mouth full of cum he can't say
"sticker"
"liceneses" - Mongolloyd Davies has one of those exclusive "licenses"
on stupidity
"isseus" - LARDASS has "issues" with people of intelligence.
"conquor" - Mentally ill LARDASS Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his obesity
and mental illness
"crakheads" - Retard Davies make good sentence!
"servent" - Roger Wiseman's willing "servant" makes another gaffe.
"lesibens" - When Benjamins take the wild side...the "lesbians" don't
care, though!
"Los Angles" - Mongolloyd has the wrong "angle" about "Los Angeles"
"busses" - Do they take the motored "buses" or do they take the
"thier" - You say "their," Lardass says "thier".... to-may-to,
to-mah-to.....
"eductional" - LARDASS Lloyd's writing is "educational" on how severe
illiteracy is in his family.
"She sounded like she really whappy to here from me." - Mongolloyd get
rejected again!
"This is morning I weigh at 207 pounds. This should prove to you, that
I can succeed if I put my
mind to it. I know some your stupid critics can't see that, but I'm not
here to please you." -
- LARDASS makes the "huh?" factor rise.
"This looks much more like sexy Lloyd" - Porky Pig lookalike stubby
tubby Davies dreams
he looks like a skinny, tall Billy Zane
"I figured you the thought of me owning..." - Lardass dreams the
impossible dream of thought
"I took you a long hour and a several minutes..." - Too bad LARDASS
Lloyd didn't take and pass English
"I get a laugh over you!" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"did'nt" - "Didn't" Lardass ever pass any school subject?
"Proves to show" - Lavender Lloyd proves he is an imbecile
"It' paid off" - Alcoholic LARDASS Lloyd's bar and utility bills never
are though.
"I wear if with" - Davies wears his stupidity well
"You need to get al ife and a job." Mongolloyd retardo Davies needs to
get English lessons and
not dream of an "Al ife" with "Al Queda"
"I've never fucking pretending attorneys!" - LARDASSclown Davies never
had to pretend to be stupid, either!

"...what your life must me like..." - Mongolloyd's life of pizza delivery and trashcan robots is really something to want, too, isn't it?
"manor" - Lardassclown Davies' "manners" are like those of a hog....
"Christien" - Poor LARDASS Davies, he's shows why ignorance is bliss in the eyes of the Lord.
"reely" - Mongolloyd Davies is "really" stupid, isn't he?
"please don't imposter me more" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"distastful" - A description of LARDASSCLOWN DAVIES' eating habits.
"commnet" - Most people make "comments," ill-educated Lloyd Davies makes "commnets".
"None of you refuse to tell me who you are." - Huh, Mongolloyd?
"Millinium" - Even after a "millennium" LARDASS couldn't be smart!
"afriad" - LARDASSclown Davies isn't too smart, I'm "afraid"
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd Davies sure has a "powerful" command of the English language.
"conquor" - Lardass Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his idiocy.
"I always new that your stupidity would trip you up, Mr. Wiseman." - I just "knew" Llardass Lloyd couldn't pose as "Christy Davies" without fucking it up and outing himself.
"I have given it some though and decided to come back" - Mongolloyd has never given anything any "thought." (from another "I'm leaving" speech/lie)
"usaul" - As "usual" Lardass lloyd Davies fucks up!
"Secwet Woger " Llardass Llavender Llad Lloyd's been sucking so many cocks he's got a lisp!
"douters" - Even though he has his "doubters", it's "doubtful" Llardass Lloyd has an I.Q. over 70.
"bypolar" - Mongolloyd Davies is a "bipolar bisexual".
"desperite" - Lardass Lloyd is so "desperate" to win at something, anything.
"situtation" - Most people get in "situations, " but not our total fuck-up Lloyd.
"aholt" - Lardass Lloyd usually gets "a hold" of himself on a Saturday night, since he has no date!
"mysteriuos" - Mongolloyd Davies, "mysterious" survivor of a coat hanger abortion attempt.
"I've did" - Most people say " I did"
"Woger" - When cocksucking, lisping fags try to say " Roger."
"for sometime" - Lardass has been a mentally ill retard "for some time" now.
"mommie's" - When Mongolloyds say "Mommy's" as in "Mommy's house."
"drop out" - Lloyd was a High school and college " dropout."
"backpedalling" - "Backpedaling" is what Lardass does a lot of.
"Maybe two years back" - Mongolloyd speak.
"outted" - Lardass Lloyd's illteracy at work.
"I've riddled Woger for years as Arf! Arf!" - Mongolloyd is Arf!Arf!, and his stupidity is no riddle.
"self esteme" - One thng is for sure, Lardass has it..."low self esteem," that is.

"short comings" - Lardass has many, many "shortcomings."
"yahoo" - Lardass always goes into the "Yahoo!" gay chat rooms.
"The truth hurts you see" - So does reading your mangling of the English language, Lardass.
"His stupidity can not be disguised for long." - Lardass' stupidity "cannot" be disquised at all.
"entireity" - Lardass is the embodiment of stupidity in its' "entirety."
"bothe" - Lardass is "both" stupid and retarded.
"got hime" - Lardass has got "him" a case of the dumbass!
"evelope" - Mongolloyd pushes the stupidity "envelope" to the max.
"chiicken" - Lardass Lloyd should eat more "chicken" instead of leftover pizzas then he wouldn't be obese.
"dont" - "Don't" ever improve your English skills, Lardass!
"likign" - Huh? More Mongolloyd Ebonics.
"you ignorant spawn of satin" - Lardass ironically confesses his love of wearing lace!
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd's brain cell isn't "powerful" enough to light a christmas light.
"heresay" - Mongolloyd is a fucking idot, and that's no "hearsay."
"glas" - Lardass Lloyd never uses a "glass" to drink his sticky protein.
"freind" - Dicksucking Davies hasn't got a "friend" in the world.
"yu" - Lardass, "you" really should've gotten a better education!
"Got Brother Stair tuned in here to listen to while sleeping tonite!" - Mongolloyd fucks up again!
"I am not a retrard" - Oh, yes, you are, Lardass!
"Got you in another lie!" - We've "caught you" in another fuckup, Dumbass Davies!
"I am not mentally challenged! I have some pontential." - Mongolloyd is in denial about his imbecility and has the "potential" to become an even bigger jackass!
"did'nt" - Mongolloyd "didn't ever pass Spelling.
"soon all your asses are belong to sherrif" - Mongolloyd fantazises about having sex with his local "sheriff"...
"shure" - We are "sure" you are a fucking moron, Llardass Lloyd!!
"proxie servers" "conecting" - Mongolloyd used "proxy servers" when he "connected" to spam Josh's board.
"enfrocement" - Mongolloyd also fucked up when he spoofed caller ID's and spammed boards, and now law "enforcement" is watching him.
"apoligize" - Mongolloyd's parents should "apologize" for ever siring such a mentally ill retard.
"meagre" - Mongolloyd's "meager" brain can't comprehend English.
"goten" - If only Mongolloyd would've "gotten" an education!
"Prepositioned" - Mongolloyd always gets "propositioned" by nouns and pronouns!
"temting" - Mongolloyd is as "tempting" as a root canal.
"accept" - Mongolloyd use the wrong word in the wrong place again!
"normaly" - "Normally" Lardass would be passed out from Two bud lites by now

"red head" - Little Red is shoving his big ole black dickhead in
Lardass' fat piehole.
"Aflack" - Mongolloyd fucks up yet again..www.aflac.com
"Dickionary" - Mongolloyd is almost as bad as his queer lover Holsten
in obsessing about dicks.
"Pnig" - Mongolloyd can "ping" an anvil off of his thick malformed
skull
"pratice" - Lardass has lots of "practice" fucking up.
"dont" - Dicksucking Davies is too stupid to use an apostrophe, isn't
he?
Mongolloyd thinks "Lent" and "lint" are pronounced the same.
"ancinet" - Mongolloyd will be past "ancient" before he gets any pussy.
"harrasment" - Lardass just loves when his fat asshole gets
"harassment" from the drunk black winos!
"persecute" - Mongolloyd "Christy Davies" Attorney-at-Law makes quite
the lapsis calami in his "prosecution".
"fulest" - Little Lloydie is "fullest" when he's done sucking down wino
nutsack goo!
"i'll" "wether" - Mongolloyd, "I'll" just bet you can't decide
"whether" or not to clean your shitty underpants or keep wearing them.
"authroities" - Mongolloyd's familiar with the "authorities" since his
brother has been carted away to jail more times than he can count.
"Perhaps I can Hal on my show too!" - Perhaps you should consider
remedial English, FATASS!
"cannonized" - Mongolloyd thinks somebody will have to be shot out of a
cannon to be "canonized"
"This board is alot better than all them N54 Boards!" - Mongolloyd is
sure overwhelmed, "then", by "all those" words!
"I dont reconnise you opinions" - Mongolloyd "doesn't recognize" his
stupidity, either.
" I donlt care" - Obviously, Lardass, obviously.
"Thats" "benifit" - "That's" one of Lardass' many fuckups. He doesn't
have the "benefit" of having a dictionary.
"I already notice Woger seeing my everywhere" - Drunken fat bastard
Lardass never notices when he fucks up.
"Look, crackhead, I am NOT degenerates!" - Mongolloyd isn't very
literate, either.
"URASE" - Mongolloyd's empty skull never had to be "ERASED" it never
contained anything to begin with.
"I reading the board tonite" - Mongolloyd can only read comic books.
"REMIDER" - Lardass never has to leave a "reminder" of his many fuck
ups.
"jsut" - Mongolloyd is "just" a mentally ill retard.
"Im" - "I'm" sure Lardass isn't getting any pussy.
"theere" - Mongolloyd isn't all "there".
"Activatiuons" - Lardass Mongolloyd always has his stupidity
"activations" ready to show themselves.
"steganographic" - Mongolloyd takes his "stenographic" duties as a
pizza order taker seriously.
Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp!

"dogy" - Mongolloyd likes it "doggy" style. Receiving, it, that is.
"Tonigjht" - Mongolloyd will be on here "tonight" because he can never get a woman.
"1987 rqadio shack reciever" - Mongolloyd the cheapass makes good spell!
"neber" - Mongolloyd will "NEVER" get laid!
"yourslelf" - Go fuck "yourself", Mongolloyd!
"You must really hate be because" - Lardassed Mongolloyd really hates the English language, too!
"Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp again!
"Roger Wiseman better know as the" - Mongolloyd, better "known" as the eternal virgin and mentally ill retard.
"Secwet" - Mongolloyd is queer and proud.
"turd in the punch bowel." - Mongolloyd knows about bowels, not "bowls". Mongolloyd eats on the floor and in bowel cavities.
"he was refused by the Air Force in 1978" - Mongolloyd, how does one get "refused" by any military? Especially when he was honorably discharged a year earlier?
"was sent for a 90 observation period " Dumbfucking fuckhead, what is a 90 observation period???? BWHAHAHAHAHA
"shoudl" - Mongolloyd "should" really shut the fuck up.
"Here is" - Here "are" some more examples why Lardass is a fucking imbecile.
"Woger" - Lardass is here and QUEER!
"Goddman that Lloyd is sexy!" - But that Mongolloyd is so sexy he is still a VIRGIN. BWHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
"laugheds" - Mongolloyd was always "laughed" at.
"I have off this newsgroup for over 2 years!" - Mongolloyd should "off" himself, instead of "being off".
"I have my own internet Talk show, what can be said about your useless self." - Mongolloyd has no listeners or anything useful to say. He's so "useless,"
"that's what can be said" about him.
"You are very useless on here, jon" - While Mongolloyd Davies is useless on and offline.
"Even used up toilet paper has a use, you sure dont!" - Most people flush "used up toilet paper, " Mongolloyd eats used up toilet paper, "don't" he?
"I'll shot to kill" - Lardass, you couldn't handle a cap gun.
"Re,omder" - Lardass always leaves us a "reminder" that he is as smart as a rock.

"resuce" - Mongolloyd can't "rescue" himself from his own stupidity!

"maocked" - Mongolloyd fucks up again, no wonder he is "mocked" for his stupidity!!!

"tipe" - If Mongolloyd the Lardass would pull his malformed head out of his ass, he could "type" correctly.

"pregant" - With his looks, Lardass Davies never has to worry about getting a girl "pregnant."
"when thet saw" - And when "they" saw Lardass' whimpering, they knew he was a big fucking PUSSY.
"Mayeb" - "Maybe" somebody will feel sorry for you and give you a charity fuck, but it's doubtful, Mongolloyd!
"tmorrow" - Mongolloyd will never get a woman, "tomorrow" or any other day.
"Palastine" - Mongolloyd couldn't find "Palestine" on a fucking map or anyplace else.
"Woger the notsowiseman" - Mongolloyd the eternal idiot fucks up and shows off his cocksucking lisp again.
"I hope kills himself" - Mongolloyd wishes he had killed himself.
"agruement." - Mongolloyd is a fucking imbecile, no "argument."
"You're posts" - BWHAHAHAHAHA.."your" fucking stupidity stands out as well, you fucking idiotic fat fuck.
"Globin Woger" - Mongolloyd the 5'6 280 pound garden gnome makes good spell and IKYABWAI.
"Go aheah" - Mongolloyd never can get "ahead" of his stupidity.
"ssomething" - Mongolloyd "ssure" (his spelling) did fuck up again!
"pictures show email them" - Lardass "should" know better then to "show" his stupidity.
"mor" - Mongolloyd gets "more" stupid by the minute.
"celbrating" - Mongolloyd is "celebrating" his stupidity.
"palgues" - Lardass Davies is a "plague" that needs exterminated.
"have the nerver" - Lardassed Mongolloyd "has the nerve" to criticize others.
"Golen" - Mongolloyd's fuckups are "golden" ammunition against the dumb fat fuck.
"posts my closet" - Lardass "by" default fucks up again.
"Froce" - Mongolloyd tires to spell "force", what his drunk daddy did with his dick on Mongolloyd's asshole.
"AUTHROIXATION" - Mongolloyd Davies never needs "auhorization" to be idiotic.
"morrse" - Lardass can't pass 5 wpm "Morse" code.
"netwerk" - Mongolloyd is part of the idiot "network."
"characture" - Mongolloyd is a "character"..a villiage idiot.
"Learn to spelll" - Lardass fucks up again!
"describes to the T" - Mongolloyd is an IDIOT, that "describes him to a T."
"a peace of me" - We take a "piece" out of Lardass' ample ass on a daily basis.
"bus fair" - Lardass can't pay his own bills on time , much less anybody's "bus fare."
"waht" - "What" do you know, Lardass fucks up again!
"to pay for you" - Lardass you can't "pay for your" own expenses.
"HELLUVA ALOT" - Lardass' English skills are not "a Hell of a Lot" of help to him.
"mentionm" - Lardass never wants us to "mention" or point out his numerous fuck ups.
"your are" - Mongolloyd, "you are" one of the stupidest assholes on the

internet.
"alone may to much " - Lardass's sentence construction "alone MAY be too much" to his tiny brain to handle!

You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

"Woger make false bad spell" - Lardass "makes" and ASS of himself, again.

""Mongolloyd". Isn't even word," - BWHAHAHAHA Mongolloyd is English-impaired.
"mentaly illed" - Mongolloyd knows all about being "mentally ill."

"Woger" "femine" - Mongolloyd shows his "feminine" side when he lusts for "Roger's" cock.
"Wheer" - Mongolloyd always wonders "where" his brain is.
"scooterr" - Mongolloyd never could have a "scooter" his fatass was too heavy for one, even if they had semi-truck shocks and springs.
"stal" - Mongolloyd's "brain" is permanently on "stall".
"aymore" - Mongolloyd never tries, he just whines "anymore".
"talkjing" - Lardass never has any women "talking" to him.
"cant" - Mongolloyd "can't" use correct punctuation.
"im" - Mongolloyd, "I'm" sure you will die a virgin, you dumbass!
"i" - Lardass, "I" know you are a fat whining cunt.
"may as wel" - Mongolloyd "may as well" kill himself.
"lefty alone" - Lardass masturbates with which hand, "his "left alone."
"NIM Busters has run its coarse" - Of "course," Mongolloyd fucks up his sentence!
"Wlardass" - "WMongolloyd" "wfucks" "wup" "Wagain"....

"Wheating" - Lardass has "cheated" on everyhting he's every tried to do, since he's so incompetent and inferior, and he still fucks up.

"Wabbi Woger" - Mongolloyd thinks any dick is a good dick to suck.
"Woger" - Mongolloyd's been sucking dicks again
"others" - Mongolloyd can't fathom "others'" hatred for his useless fat ass!

"You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
"imput" - Mongolloyd's brain has no "input"! Mongolloyd one stupid fat faggot.
"Hundred's" - Mongolloyd couldn't count to one much less "hundreds"
"dopesnt" - Mongolloyd "doesn't" have a command of the English language!
"Wait till" - Mongolloyd we will "wait until" you compose a coherent sentence, but it will be a long wait.
"We wn't" - Lardass Davies, you should fuck off with your stupidity so "we won't" see it ever again.

"Woger is now awoke" - Mongolloyd is never "awake."
"is too stupid to under awoke" - oh the irony, Mongolloyd!
"I shits my pants" - Mongoolloyd sure does and eats it, too!
"treasing" - Mongolloyd likes "teasing" nigger assholes with his syphilitic tongue.
"It's being TOS even" -Mongolloyd the only thing you TOS is your tiny dick!
"peoples" - Mongolloyd always steals other "people's" lines!
"I really wish Steve wasn't suck a pussy and would come back to this board" - Mongolloyd makes good fucked up sentence! Mongolloyd made a Freudian slip when he used "suck" instead of "such".
"I think it is long since past time when you closed this shitty board!" -Mongolloyd smoke bad weed!
"wraped" - Mongolloyd has frequently "wrapped" his syphilitic tongue around a nigger's asshole!
"hig" Who knows what the Athens Asshole means?????
"teir" - Mongolloyd is Belle Plaine's "Forrest Gump," As to his retarded peers, he's "their" Camp-We-Can-Do's star pupil.
"tribes" - Mongolloyd's "tribe's" name is Retardos!
"abou" - "About" this time, Lardass the alcoholic fucks up!
"till" - "Until" Mongolloyd learns English, he'll always be ridiculed.
"din't" - Mongolloyd "didn't" ever finish high school!
"stuipd" - Lardass Davies is really "stupid," isn't he?
"are we know" - Mongolloyd has a hard time posting in English. Being more stupid, "are we now," Lardass?

Mongolloyd make good spells!

Nazi Davey's Dictionary:

"Nbot" - Nazi Davey's "not" all there!

5234. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 22:52:58 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114296778.402688.36410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Nomen Nescio wrote:
> You freebanders better knock it off.
> The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
> already cited a bunch of Freebanders.

They are looking into no code techs like you who get on the General
portions of the bands, too, Davies.

5235. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 22:55:38 UTC
From: Johnny <wz751@hamenfnetspam.net>
Message-ID: <d4ejn3$8m4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net> wrote in message
news:d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net...
> You freebanders better knock it off.
> The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
> already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
>

I heard they are using hams as volunteers.

73 good dx,

Johnny

5236. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 23:49:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <O9Bae.11446$An2.9842@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106697

Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
> In article <426A7F6E.7CCC0087@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Sorry, that is incorrect.
>
>
> Sorry, but you are in denial.

Denial about what?

>
>>Let's see...there's you saying it. Are you a group of
>
> individuals?
>
> Let's see, more than just one person has said it, but you must
> have your head stuck up your ass, as usual. Try "GOOGLEing" it.

You know, by golly, you're right. Most of them seem to have names from
various anonymous remailers and are dated very recently. I think you're
on to something. You're a regular self-fulfilling prophecy.

>
>>What articles would those be?
>
>
> "State Magaizine," "QST," "CQ," "The DX Bulletin", that you
> claim to have atricles published. Try to keep up.

I didn't claim to have any "atricles" published.

>
>>"No, stupid, you lies?" Nice job.
>
>
> "Your lies." Better, hypocrite?

It is hardly hypocritical to do the very same thing which you do to me
and others. It is actually quite just.

>> It boils down to the fact that you don't have the courage of your
>> convictions. You have to hide. Speak what you like but stand behind
>> what you say. Anyone can snipe from the cover of an anonymous remailer.

> It boils down to the fact that you and Nursie are A--HOLES.
> Period.

...and you are an fearful cockroach, hiding under the baseboard. You can't come out unless the lights are off.

Dave K8MN

5237. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-23 23:52:06 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <eb451fd127cf70586457e8e271b15853@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 106699

In article <k-CdnaQzkKleAPTfRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey aka Secret Lardass <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> It doesn't matter if a reason was given or not, the fact is
you, little
> wogie, had to retest.

No, Secret Lardass. I didn't, Roger did. And he still passed it.
You have yet to advance beyond the training wheel beginner's ham
license.

> The FCC doesn't make you retest just for the fun
> of it.

They can make you retest at any time, if you were first tested
under the VE system.
Why wasn't a reason given in the FCC letter. It was posted at
the Rain Report at one time, say 5 years ago when it happened?
And all you can think about is what happened 5 years ago, and
you call Roger obsessed?

What is "think think,?" above, you never did answer.

> What is this AKA stuff? You don't have a clue who you are
> replying to.

You don't have a clue, period, Lardass. You really shouldn't use
the same lines you use elsewhere.

> Typical of poor dumb wogie, the laughing stock of ham radio
> and the Internet.

Hi secret Lardass! How's it feel to know you can't make it past
the training wheel no code technician?

5238. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 00:01:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OlBae.11469$An2.449@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106750

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> In article <d4cm2g$6a4$0@pita.alt.net>
> "Dignity Ain't Roger" Secret Lardass<Outc...@NotRoger.com> wrote:

>>Show him plans that would help him keep his antennas in his
>
> own yard rather
>
>>than drooping over the sidewalks.
>
>
> Since a Alpha Delta DX-CC is only 82 feet long, and Roger has it
> in an inverted vee configuration, which shortens the space it
> takes up quite a bit, none of his antenna goes "over the
> sidewalk", dumbass! It never did.

You know, for a guy who isn't Roger Wiseman, you certainly know a great deal about him. As to "it never did": there's a ham living just out the road from you who says differently. Two others confirmed it.

Playing cockroach won't work this time, Roger. A wire antenna is rather noticeable. One which runs out near the street is even more noticeable. I hear a couple of your antenna supports are bowed like a fishing rod with a Marlin on the end of the line. Can that be true?

Dave K8MN

5239. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 00:04:09 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <YpydnZFMkL3WnPbfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106765

Fritz Wuehler wrote:

>
>
> They can make you retest at any time, if you were first tested
> under the VE system.

Hate to dissappoint you, but I was licensed long before the VE system. I took my test at the FCC field office in front of an examiner for my Advanced ticket. Bet wogie can't say that. Well he could as he lies a lot.

> Why wasn't a reason given in the FCC letter. It was posted at
> the Rain Report at one time, say 5 years ago when it happened?
> And all you can think about is what happened 5 years ago, and
> you call Roger obsessed?

Poor widdle two-test wogie, had to take the exam all over at the request of the FCC. The FCC doesn't make someone retest just for the fun of it, there is a reason behind it, and it nearly always means the person being retested has violated the rules and got caught, like widdle wogie did.

5240. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 00:10:15 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114301415.399859.237640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106751

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > No mistruth, Steve.
> > > > >
> > > > > COMPLETE mistruth, Brain.
> > > >
> > > > Complete lie. After all, you're the one who claimed that
"Sorry
> > > Hans,
> > > > MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
> > >
> > > Yes, I did.
> > >
> > > And you're the one who keeps quoting it out of context as if
it
> > > were something like "...they chased all the Techs away" or
> something.
> >
> > There is no "out of context." That is exactly what you said, and
> > you've had a long, long time to correct yourself.
>
> Correct what? In the fuller context of the article that it
> appeared in, it was correct.

In the entire context of the article it was incorrect.

> > > > > > Wow, I didn't realize that there were different reasons for
> > being
> > > > a
> > > > > > member. I guess the different services have markedly
> different
> > > > > > purposes and goals.
> > > > >
> > > > > They do, to some degree. That's why you have USMC and USN
> > units
> > > > > with NMC MARS calls and not AFMARS calls, and vice-versa.
> > > >
> > > > That is such a thin, thin difference, but when you have nothing
> > else,
> > > > you'll say and do anything so as not to be wrong.
> > >

> > > Hardly a "thin difference", Brain.
> >
> > Anything to keep from being wrong.
>
> Nope. Each branch of MARS has both it's distinctive and it's
> similar programs. Otherwise there'd only be "MARS"...Not AFMARS,
Army
> MARS, and NMC MARS.

Do explain the significant differences of each service's MARS.

> > > > So what was the reason you were a MARS member the first time?
> The
> > > > second time? Was there a third time?
> > >
> > > Did you read the other posts? Why don't you pay attention,
> > Brain?
> >
> > So what was it?
>
> Sorry, Brain....I am not doing remedial post work for you just to
> accomodate your inattention.

So you "documentation" doesn't exist.

> > > > > > and have been in charge of an
> > > > > > > island-wide system on Okinawa for six months.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > That would have been funny to see. Can you give us some
> > details
> > > > of
> > > > > > your "In-Charge" time so that we can verify it? We'll
Start
> > with
> > > > a
> > > > > > copy of your USN MARS license, and a letter from the
> > installation
> > > > > > Commander assigning you such duties. Then we'll need
> > > corroborating
> > > > > > information from your customers and subordinates. This
will
> be
> > > > > fun!
> > > > > >
> > > > > You need to wake up, Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > Wide awake.
> > >
> > > Obviously not. You not only missed or ignored the posts I was
> > > addressing earlier, you've done nothing to go back and fill
> yourself

> > > in.
> >
> > OK. Which thread, of you all too numerous new threads, was it in?
> Who
> > were you replying to?
>
> Ask your "mentor", Lennie the Lame.

Why would he know? He doesn't hang on your every posting either.

> > > > > Callsigns, dates and locations were given in another
> thread
> > > just
> > > > > > within the last two days.
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't hang on to your every post, Steve, especially the ones
> with
> > > > > your new rra.misc playmates.
> > > >
> > > > Sure...You just ignore posts unless you think you can let a
> > > zinger
> > > > of your own fly...
> > >
> > > Huh? Your ignorance of MARS is well documented. No zinger, just a
> > > well known and documented FACT!
> >
> > Nope.

Yep.

> > > Of course, what you've done for THREE POSTS now is
demonstrate
> > > that
> > > > you're inept AND lazy.
> > >
> > > My accusations that you are ignorant of MARS is correct.
> >
> > Nope. However YOU have demonstrated a SIGNIFICANT ignorance of
> > the MARS programs in your suggestion that all three programs are the
> > same.
> >
> > They are not.

Yet I've never asserted that MARS is amateur radio, "Sorry Hans, MARS
>>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"

Hi, hi!

> > > > > Feel free to research my duty assignments during those
> > > times.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Kindly repost them here.

> > >
> > > Find them yourself, Brain. It's only been two days.
> >
> > Earth days, or MARS days?
>
> Does it matter? You'd still be lazy and inept.

Radio days?

> > > > > The "above requested information" was posted in RRAP
this
> > > week.
> > > >
> > > > So you say.
> > >
> > > So I did. Ask anyone else you care to ask, Brain.
> >
> > Jim, is this true?
>
> There's at least three Jims here, Brain...Ya ought to specify.

Miccolis.

> > > > > > And none of this "Seven Hostile Actions" stuff.
> > > > >
> > > > > That happened too. It's just not pertinent to this
forum.
> > > >
> > > > But Steve, you're the one constantly saying that if you can't
> post
> > > > documentation, it didn't happen. Were you lying then or are
you
> > > lying
> > > > now?
> > >
> > > Not lying then OR now.
> >
> > Has to be one or the other, Steve.
>
> Nope. Wasn't lying then OR now.

Both can't be true, so where is your documentation?

> > > It's here already...this week...Show some initiative and
find
> > > it...
> >
> > Documentation, Steve, documentation. Simply saying you did
something
> > is not documentation. Scan in your license(s) and your appointment
> > letter.
> >

> > > > Hmmm? Which number lie would that be?
> > >
> > > Number none.
> >
> > No, no. You're up to about #27 or so.
>
> And shall we start numbering yours? Shall we start at The Great
> Somalia DX War Hero stories...?!?!

What war hero stories? You must have me confused with Kelly shooting
Russian Bears.

> > > > > > Best of Luck!
> > > > >
> > > > > No...best of luck to YOU...explaining how you didn't see
> > those
> > > > > callsigns, dates, locations I already gave...
> > > >
> > > > I try to avoid your record number of meaningful exchanges with
> your
> > > new
> > > > playmates from rra.misc. Did you include the letter of
> appointment
> > > > from your Commander?
> > >
> > > Nope. But the dates, times, locations are there. You're
> > welcome
> > > to verify them on your own.
> >
> > You need to scan in the DOCUMENTATION. Best of Luck.
>
> Brain, we've been after you for YEARS to "scan in" YOUR
> "documentation" on a NUMBER of your claims.
>
> Haven't seen a one yet.
>
> You remain one of the most prolific RRAP liars and deceivers,
> second only to Lennie and an handfull of the anonymous lying cowards.

Your rules, Robeson. So, if you don't want to replace me, you'll post
the required documentation now. Otherwise it didn't happen and you're
lying about it.

> > > > > You're such a putz, Brain.....
> > > >
> > > > Name calling, again? So much for meaningful Extra Class
> discourse.
> > > > You do your fellow Extra Class amateurs and the ARS proud.
ARRL
> > > > member, too?
> > >

> > > It has nothing to do with any memberships or licensures,
> Brain.
> >
> > Actually, you're right. It has everything to do with your
> personality
> > defect.
>
> What personality defect, Brain...???

The one that makes up rules as he goes along, but is under no
obligation to follow them.

> > Now post your documentation.
>
> After you, Brain...You're already several YEARS behind...

Your rules, Robeson. Follow them or forever be known as a liar,
coward, and micreant. Best of Luck.

5241. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 00:13:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9xBae.11489$An2.10572@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106752

George Orwell wrote:
> "Italy Anonymous Remailer" <nobody@See.Comments.Header> wrote in message
> news:L8HXPA3R38464.9989236111@anonymous.poster...
>
>>In article <42687865.69CBE423@earthlink.net>
>>Dave Heil the Nazi and liar <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>That is incorrect.
>>
>>I'd say that marrying a foreign national was why they cut it
>>short.
>>That and the poor performance record. But the marriage to a
>>foreign nation precludes you maintaining a security clearance!
>
>
>
>
> Unless you are in this person's supervisory chain-of-command,
> you cannot gain access to his performance record. Meaning,
> you are full of crap. Source please?

Roger is flailing about, grasping for something--anything to hang on me.
He'll be disappointed.

> Marriage to a foreign national does not necessarily terminate
> one's security clearance. Please cite the regulation you are
> basing this statement on? Source please?

It happens all the time. A background check is done. The marriage is
"blessed" by the Bureau of Diplomatic Security.

At my last post alone, the Deputy Chief of Mission was married to a
Chilean, the General Services Officer was married to a Finn, the
Administrative Officer was married to a Chinese.

In Helsinki (first tour) the Ambassador, Consular Officer, one of my
coworkers and one Political Officer were married to Finns, the Deputy
Chief of Mission to a Chilean.

In Botswana the Deputy Chief of Mission was married to a Swede, the
Administrative Officer to a Portuguese, the Budge and Finance Officer to
a South African, my coworker to a Brit, the Consular Officer to a Thai.

In short, Roger hasn't the foggiest idea what he's talking about.

>>>That's incorrect once again. My wife and I had five Foreign
>>
>>Service
>>
>>>assignments after our marriage.
>>
>>Sure you did,liar.

Sure. We did.

Dave K8MN

5242. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 00:18:11 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114301891.242624.18010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106753

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > >
> > > Perhaps we should adopt the practice of "shunning" to deal with
> such
> > people.
> > > If they are treated as if they were invisible, they'll get tired
of
> > the game
> > > since they get no response and no one will talk to them between
> times
> >
> > > either.
> > >
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Unfortunately, Steve and Dave have granted asylum to the refugees
> from
> > rra.misc.
>
> We "granted" nothing.
>
> However we did already have you, Todd and Lennie, so what's the
> difference?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Todd is one of the refugees who you have found a home on rrap. Your
mistake.

5243. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 00:20:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114302026.252995.17080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106754

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > > Uh oh...no "73"...And it was looking so promising
> > > > for a few moments there...
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Nope, no "73". That went away when you saw fit to personalize
the
> > conversation
> > > with disparaging ad hominem remarks unrelated to the topic, and
to
> > bring
> > > uninvolved family members into the conversation.
> > >
> > > Hans, K0HB
> >
> > He always wants to have conversations with other people's wifes and
> > children.
>
> No "conversation", Brian...Just make them aware of your conduct.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You're lying again. That was lie #28 in just under 3 weeks.

5244. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 00:26:36 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <2aeaad26e47b2446d006b6374db64908@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106755

In article <426A8731.E8C6A1C5@earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Sorry, that isn't correct. The meeting ended and a tour of the
> communications van ensued.

Oh, so you admit you lied. You just admitted the meeting ended.
Before, you said he left before the meeting ended.

> During that period, you bolted, never to be seen again.

I did? When I've never been to one of your "meetings?"
Besides, you just got caught lying again. And how long would it
take to look over a van? Guess you don't get out much.

> He must have given one of your other personalities some bum
info,
> Wiseman/UnWiseman.

Now, Dave the ASSHeil, there you go thinking I'm Roger again.

> I have a full head of hair. If you were taller,
> you'd know. Why this fascination with my hair, Roger?

Now, Lurch the Nazi, you seem to see Roger everywhere. You have
a bad comb-over from what was described to me. And all grey
hair. Too bad you dye your eyebrows, but you probably are vain
like that.

> Are you entering the big Stephen King look-alike contest this
summer?

No, since I don't look like Steven King. You seem to have some
sort of animosity towards him. Is it because he is a successful
writer, and the only "writing" you have done besides your
newsgroup lies is the at the disinformation center in Texas?

> Nbot?

What's "Nbot"????

> Offer up some proof.

You first, liar.

> You made a claim. Offer up some proof.

Whine a little louder, must be the Nazi way.

> Hint: I doubted you'd do so. You already know what would be
found.

Hint: I couldn't be bothered. You must hang on his every word,
since you seem to want to rummage through his past posts.

Hint#2: You use the "Hint:" thing when you post as "Stagger Lee."

> It has nothing to do with my opinion. It has to do with what
a Google
> search reveals.

Yes, it does. That you are a proven liar and ASSheil, er,
asshole.

> > His "hot water with the FCC" consists of a warning
> > letter from 1999, which may or may not indicate anything...
>
> May or may not reveal anything? Why do you believe you were
sent a
> warning letter?

I wasn't. Roger was.

> > ...a cautionary letter to him and another ham not to carry
online
> > arguments on the air again from 1999...
>
> Why do you think that one was sent?

Mainly because Roger alerted the FCC to it.

> > and a retest letter which
> > Roger passed from 2000, all from 5 years ago.
>
> Why do you think the retest was mandated?

> I'd guess he'd have to be a bigger fool than is commonly
supposed to
> tempt fate, don't you, Roger/UnRoger?

I'd guess you are talking out of your lying ass again, Davey
Assheil.

> My reasoning in

Your reasoning is flawed.

> Sorry, that is totally incorrect.

Your posts are on Google for all to see.

> I have no affiliation with the National Socialists nor AARP.

Not "offically", but you sure do embrace the supression of
freedom of speech, Nazi.

> So.

Not so. I imagine Roger gets on the air. You just would try to
qrm him, wouldn't you?

You don't have a brain do you?

> One can't find what isn't there.

Exactly. You don't have a brain.

> I'm sure "he" is long on other things

Like intelligence, unlike you.

> and short on work.

Oh, do tell, canned from the State Dept. because you married a
foreign national, AssHeil boy!

> "Have a balance"? No, I don't find that in "my" amateur code.

I guess yours is closer to Mein Kampf.

I am referencing Paul Segal's "The Amateur's Code" written in
1928.

The Radio Amateur is...
{edit}
Balanced....radio is an avocation, never interfering with duties
owed to a family, job, school,orcommunity.

Like I said, yours is more like Mein Kampf.

> I have many interests, UnWiseman.

There you go thinking I'm Roger Wiseman again, Davey ASSHeil.

> Amateur radio is but one of them.

Not everybody is into your sick perversions.

> > You probably spend more time on the radio than with your
> > family.
>
> Then again, you'd have no way of knowing.

Then again, one can reference your posting times and air times.

> > I can't blame them for encouraging that.
>
> Then again, you know nothing of them.

Then again, you know nothing, period.

> Just remember it the next time you go on about one of my
errors.

Tsk, tsk, Nazi. You don't like your many errors poinmted out to
you, do you?

> If you stood by your (whaa whaa whaa whaa)

Would you like some cheese with all that whine? I never used "my
call" as part of my email address, Nazi. And I've never gotten
tossed from my ISP, either.

> Your statement (above) is one of the most convoluted pieces of
work I've
> ever encountered.

You should be on this end of your posts, then.

> As to "anonimity", I'd let you slide but one doesn't
> often make a typo twice. The word is "anonymity".

Sort of like your screw up of "That's incorrect yet again"...or
any number of errors.
Like "Nbot" above.

> > > You're absolutely right.
> > I'm glad you can see that, finally.
>
> I've seen that all along.

Glad you admit I'm right.

5245. The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 00:45:05 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <GPOdnQkVlf-JcfffRVn-3A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106756

The Illiterate idiot is paranoid and looking for backup from the government that he hates!

From his letter to Representative John Shimkus
House Subcommittee on Telecommunication

"But there are amateur radio operators who going after me because they think information bulletins should be not be opinionated. They, (the FCC Amateur Auxiliary) are also going after me for what we had discussed at our meeting here in Taylorville over the FCC licensing system. These amateur radio operators are digging up information, which is old, and none of their business. I consider that matter closed and should be left that way. I am hopeful that you can help in resolving this problem."

People are going after you toady. Lock the doors, keep an eye in the rear view mirror, and if you can't dance, just tumble!

5246. Re: Alternate Diagnosis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 00:57:12 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <XqSdnXjSLIo9k_bfRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106770

Baxter Blows Monkeys wrote:

>
> Roger also greatly values social and economic status, which he sorely
> lacks. His targets are frequently those who have more education, more
> wealth, and more popularity than he enjoys, or most likely, will ever
> enjoy.

Gee, that would be just about anyone, except maybe toddieboy.

5247. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 00:59:47 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <7cCae.10818$yq6.6096@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106757

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:GPOdnQkVlf-JcfffRVn-3A@centurytel.net...

:
: "But there are amateur radio operators who going after me because they
: think information bulletins should be not be opinionated. They, (the FCC
: Amateur Auxiliary) are also going after me for what we had discussed at
: our meeting here in Taylorville over the FCC licensing system. These
: amateur radio operators are digging up information, which is old, and
: none of their business. I consider that matter closed and should be left
: that way. I am hopeful that you can help in resolving this problem."
:

Not to worry. It's an obvious forgery. Todd didn't write it.

Note that the grammar and spelling are near 6th or 7th grade level (not
Todd's 3rd grade level), the tone is civil, and the entire paragraph is
completely devoid of toilet-mouth language.

73,

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

5248. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 01:03:13 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <916fcbfb06ebe55f3c5635d589e9ff75@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106758

In article <d4dvv4$2er$0@pita.alt.net>
"herb" <n...@herbsnetnite.net> wrote:

> Roger also likes to hide behind curtains.

And you like to hide behind door safety chains, Davies.

5249. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 01:06:16 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <e401f3901a63ef34035886f9cbc845ac@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 106759

In article <1114170718.240900.48060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> Absolutely assinine.

You certainly are "asinine," Nursie.
Learn to spell!

> I knew dozens of USMC officers marreid to "foreign
nationals" who
> not only kept their clearances, but went on to higher
accomplishments.

Sure you did, Nursie, sure you did. You and Nazi Davey must be
trying to see who is the biggest liar.

> Guess they forgot to stop sending the checks every month,
eh
> Dave...?!?!~

And Nursie, are you enjoying being Nazy Davey's catamite?

> Why do you make such idiotic, easily disproven statements
and
> accuse others of lying when the very accusation itself is a
lie...?!?!

You know all about that, Nursie, since every thing out of your
slobbering mouth is a lie.

> Even if you're not, one could forgive someone else for not
being
> able to "seperate" one foul scum from another.

Oh, Nursie, you are just plain white trash.

> Persoanlly, I'd bet my life on Dave's errors a lot
quicker than I
> would on your "facts".

I'm sure you would, Nursie, since he's as big a proven liar as
you are.

5250. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 01:10:49 UTC
From: George Orwell <dx@dxanonspamsno.net>
Message-ID: <d4erke$ou4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106760

"Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer" <nobody@cypherpunks.to> wrote
in message news:20050423200549.9078C1717D@mail.cypherpunks.to...
> In article <d4dvv4$2er$0@pita.alt.net>
> "herb" <n...@herbsnetnite.net> wrote:
>
>> Roger also likes to hide behind curtains.
>
> And you like to hide behind door safety chains, Davies.
>

NOTE: Poster responded to the name *Roger*

5251. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 01:11:25 UTC
From: George Orwell <dx@dxanonspamsno.net>
Message-ID: <d4erll$p1f$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106761

"Userbeam Remailer" <remailer@userbeam.net> wrote in message
news:916fcbfb06ebe55f3c5635d589e9ff75@userbeam.net...
> In article <d4dvv4$2er$0@pita.alt.net>
> "herb" <n...@herbsnetnite.net> wrote:
>
>> Roger also likes to hide behind curtains.
>
> And you like to hide behind door safety chains, Davies.
>

NOTE: Poster responded to the name *ROGER*

5252. Re: Marshall County ARC photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 01:22:26 UTC
From: George Orwell <dx@dxanonspamsno.net>
Message-ID: <d4esa8$qc6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106762

"Rabbi Roger" <LampShade@noforeskin.com> wrote in message
news:d4eqo4$n1q$0@pita.alt.net...
> No wonder Roger slunk from the meeting.
>

ROTFLMAO!

5253. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 01:31:47 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114306307.800989.52290@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Good F'n luck too the FCC. I'm gonna challange them at Backlash(r) to a no DQ match and the winner takes all, in other words if I win at Backlash(r) the FCC loses ALL CONTROL and ALL FREEBANDERS CAN USE ANY FREQUENCY WE WANT TO from 0 khz to 50 Ghz. If they win (The fcc) then us freebanders will cocede defeat. What about it FCC you up to the No DQ challange at Backlash(r). BTW Vinnie Mac already appoved becuase then if We freedbanders win then he can broadcast the way he wants to without restrictions from stupid useless censors and the goody-two shoes idiots.By the way, ECW ECW ECW ECW ECW ECW ECW ECW ECW

5254. Roger & Unroger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 01:32:33 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <DrHam@psyctohamshelp.org>
Message-ID: <d4est5rpr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106763

Roger & Unroger

SCHIZOPHRENIA: A major mental disorder of unk cause,
typically characterized by a separation between the thought processes
and the emotions, a distortion of reality accompanied by delusions and
hallucinations, a fragmentation of the personality, motor disturbances,
bizarre behavior, etc.

Note for Roger/Unroger- Strongly suggest you contact my office at
your earliest for an appointment.

73,

Dr Ham
Psychiatrist To Hams

5255. Re: Hello, I Am New here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 02:26:36 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <wtDae.11545$An2.5724@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106766

"CW" <cw@hispeedcwdits.net> wrote in message
news:d4ds7t$r9h$0@pita.alt.net...

:
: Can someone fill me in on the players here?
:

CW (Love your screen name),

Here are quick thumbnails of a few of the most-regular players:

First, the ying and yang of rrap, both vainglorious and pompous, but of opposite polarity --- Steve (K4YZ) and Len (not licensed). A perfectly matched push-pull circuit with 180-degree out of phase connections resulting in zero-useful-output.

The mindless gadfly, Brian (N0IMD). Like one of those annoying bugs who slips in on a hot summer night and disturbs your sleep. After awhile he becomes part of the background noise and you don't even notice him until suddenly he lands on your nose just as you were dozing off.

Then the self important but also completely innefective trio of Jim (N2EY), Dee (N8UZE), and Hansl (K0HB). These three are all well meaning dufus's who need to rent a clue about the real world.

Resident potty face, Tod (N9OGL). 37-years old, going on 8. Learned a bunch of naughty words during recess, and seems afraid of the bogey man, so he makes a lot of loud incoherent noise to scare away the ghost under the bed.

Everyone else you can pretty much ignore. Now that I think of it, you can ignore the ones listed above too.

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

5256. Re: Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·146

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 02:29:12 UTC
From: Cropsey <cropsey2056@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114309752.438760.164870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106767
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

would you please stop posting this. It is getting to be like spam. It
is annoying and I find it difficult to read any topics once I see it.

RonGrossi382769@yahoo.com wrote:
> The reason some people don't know for sure
> if they are going to Heaven when they die
> is because they just don't know.
>
> The good news is that you can know for
> sure that you are going to Heaven which is
> described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
> place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
> pain.
>
> God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple
> it is to be saved so that we can live
> forever with Him in Heaven.
>
> "For if you confess with your mouth Jesus
> is Lord and believe in your heart that God
> raised Him from the dead, you
> WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)
>
> Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven
> to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to
> shed His blood and die on a cross to pay
> our sin debt in full.
>
> Jesus Christ was born in Israel
> supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
> named Mary and lived a sinless life for
> thirty-three years.
>
> At the age of thirty-three Jesus was
> scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed
> onto His head then Jesus was crucified.
>

> Three days after Jesus died on a cross and
> was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the
> dead as Jesus said would happen before
> Jesus died.
>
> If someone tells you that they are going
> to die and in three days come back to life
> again and it happens then this person must
> be the real deal.
>
> Jesus Christ is the only person that ever
> lived a perfect sinless life.
>
> This is why Jesus is able to cover our
> sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because
> Jesus is sinless.
>
> The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we
> have redemption through His blood, the
> forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)
>
> If you would like God to forgive you of
> your past, present and future sins just
> ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and
> Saviour.
>
> It doesn't matter how old you are or how
> many bad things that you have done in
> your life including lying and stealing
> all the way up to murder.
>
> Just pray the prayer below with your
> mouth and mean it from your heart and
> God will hear you and save you.
>
> Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so
> that I can have a home in Heaven with You
> when I die. I agree with You that I am a
> sinner. I believe that You love me and want
> to save me. I believe that You bled and
> died on the cross to pay the penalty for my
> sins and that You rose from the dead.
> Please forgive my sins and come into my
> heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks
> Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and
> saving me through Your merciful grace.
> Amen.
>
> Welcome to the family of God if you just
> allowed God to save you. Now you are a real

> Christian and you can know for sure that
> you will live in Heaven forever when this
> life comes to an end.
>
> As a child of God we are to avoid
> sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the
> Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I
> write this to you so that you will not sin.
> But if anybody does sin, we have one who
> speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus
> Christ, the Righteous One."
>
> Those of you that have not yet decided to
> place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
> may never get another chance to do so
> because you do not know when you will die.
>
> Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and
> the life: no one can come to the
> Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me."
> (John 14:6)
>
> This means that if you die without
> trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
> Saviour you will be forever separated from
> the love of God in a place called Hell.
>
> The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
> eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
> for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.
>
> The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
> allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
> Only then will you have true peace in your
> life knowing that no matter what happens you
> are on your way to Heaven.
>
>
> Praise the Lord!
> Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
> Ronald L. Grossi
>
> *Show this to your family and friends so
> they can know that they have a choice
> where they will spend eternity. Thanks!
>
> ----------
>
>
>

> Got Questions?
> http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html
>
> Other Languages
> http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html
>
> Free Movie: To Hell and Back
> http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
>
> Animation
> http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html
>
> The Passion Of The Christ
> http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com
>
> Beware Of Cults
> http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm
>
> About Hell
> http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
>
> Is Jesus God?
> http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
>
> Free Online Bible
> http://www.biblegateway.com
>
>
> _____

5257. Alternate Diagnosis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 02:46:47 UTC
From: Baxter Blows Monkeys <hamsandwich@myway.com>
Message-ID: <1114310807.061750.24770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106768

Case Opinion #3,456

Sigmund Freud (another confused Jew) would have said that Roger is an obsessive compulsive and a repressed homosexual. Roger obsesses constantly, not only about that which he lacks, e.g., a male member (penis envy) but also about a place to stick it (Lloyd's rectum)(what Roger continually refers to as "Lardass").

Roger suffers from a case of unrequited homosexual love. His love object is quite obviously Lloyd. Roger is so obsessed with his love object that he sees Lloyd everywhere and is positively fixated on the fantasy of engaging in sexual congress with Lloyd Davies. Anyone who answers a post from a variety of Roger's nyms and anonymous remailer's is immediately labled "Lloyd."

Since Roger is homosexually repressed, he cannot come out and ask Lloyd for a date directly. The only way his primitive defenses can cope with his desire for Lloyd is to project onto Lloyd those fears of which he (Roger) himself is terrified.

Roger keeps in constant, daily, nearly hourly contact with Lloyd by fantasizing, and then posting his fantasies about Lloyd. Those familiar with this sad case of homosexual repression will recognize the hallmarks of this compulsion immediately.

In addition to the obsessive homosexual nature of this handicap, Roger has obviously been sexually abused on multiple occasions, most likely by a male member of his own family. His recurrent references to homosexual anal sex are replete with fantasies of male dominance and submission. His constant references to anal sex and the posting of pictures and links to hermaphrodites and she-males bear witness to Roger's gender identity confusion.

Roger also greatly values social and economic status, which he sorely lacks. His targets are frequently those who have more education, more wealth, and more popularity than he enjoys, or most likely, will ever enjoy.

Anyone can readily grasp the significance of Roger's poverty. His economic status is lower than low, and in fact he is economically deprived in one of the US's most impoverished States. Perhaps that is why he appears to be a vile racist ("nigger-dick sucking kike") as well as an ati-semite. People who share Roger's lack of social status usually focus equally on the members of both the lowest and highest rungs of economic status. His fear of being branded as a Jew stems from his surname, which is widely known to have its roots in European Jewry,

despite Roger's reliance on a fake website that preys on ignorant rubes in search of a valid coat of arms.

Roger's hatred of women is well documented, by his ex-wife and deceased caregiver whom he beat with some regularity. This is characteristic of homosexual rage, impotence, and general incompetence with the opposite sex.

The best way to handle a personality of this type is to beat him down, to illustrate his utter inferiority, lack of masculinity, and his generally defective lack of wit, charm, intelligence, and personality.

This subject could be analyzed further, but is not of sufficient interest except in a limited way to those interested in OCD as it relates to homosexual repression. Thank you for this most interesting consult and good luck with the court ordered castration.

Sincerely,

Geno Paris, M.D.

References: http://www.usenet-replayer.com/groups/alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman.html

Interviews:
Chief Norman Stenger, GlenDale PD
Lloyd Davies
Dave Heil
Owner, Cotse.com
FCC Enforcement Bureau
Numerous (former) ISPs

Writing samples:
N.I.M. Busters
rec.radio.amateur.policy
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
alt.flamenet
alt.alien.vampire.flonk.flonk.flonk

2005 (c) Geno Paris Enterprises

5258. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 03:00:59 UTC
From: mopathetic won't say where he is camping <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114311659.012343.297580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The law already wins automatically. Why should the FCC accept the terms of an idiot? You are already a loser from the jump, and have no hope.

5259. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 03:29:17 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114313357.327222.184070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LAWS DO NOT APPLY TO ME AS I AM ABOVE ALL YOUR PUNY LAWS YOU STUPID USELESS PATHETIC LITTLE HUMUNIOD EARTHLING. HUMUNS ARE IRRELEVANT! YOU WILL BE ASSIMILETED! WE ARE THE BORG!

5260. Re: Marshall County ARC photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 03:36:38 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <cc113daa33beaed2278050f46b6f85a4@paranoici.org>
Source record: 106896

In article <d4esa8$qc6$0@pita.alt.net>
"George Lardass" <d...@dxanonspamsno.net> wrote:
>
>
> "Secret Lardass" <LampSh...@noforeskin.com> wrote in message
> news:d4eqo4$n1q$0@pita.alt.net...
> > No wonder Roger slunk from the meeting.

Blank post, fatass!
>
> ROTFLMAO!

And answering yourself again, pathetic, Davies.

5261. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 03:39:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ExEae.10878$yq6.1381@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106773

George Orwell wrote:
> In article <426A8731.E8C6A1C5@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

>> During that period, you bolted, never to be seen again.

> I did? When I've never been to one of your "meetings?"

Sure, you have. You were the short fellow with the Stephen King mask.

> Besides, you just got caught lying again. And how long would it
> take to look over a van?

It took the rest of us some time. Your tour was shorter. You fled.

> Guess you don't get out much.

Are you asking me for a date?

>> I have a full head of hair. If you were taller,
>>you'd know. Why this fascination with my hair, Roger?

> Now, Lurch the Nazi, you seem to see Roger everywhere. You have
> a bad comb-over from what was described to me. And all grey
> hair. Too bad you dye your eyebrows, but you probably are vain
> like that.

It'd be strange for Roger Wiseman to have a fixation on my hair and
eyebrows. It would be far more peculiar for you, a person you claim not
to be Roger Wiseman to have such a fixation--especially when you worry
that I don't go out enough.

>>Are you entering the big Stephen King look-alike contest this
>
> summer?

> No, since I don't look like Steven King.

Don't be modest. You're a shoo-in for first prize.

>>Nbot?
>
>
> What's "Nbot"????

Don't you recognize it? You wrote it: "Your brain processes seem to
mirror that character's, if nbot your appearance."

>>Offer up some proof.

That was my response to:

"Now, you're still lying, but you lie every time you post, under
your own name or not."

> You first, liar.

Me first at what, UnWiseman?

>>>His "hot water with the FCC" consists of a warning
>>>letter from 1999, which may or may not indicate anything...
>>
>>May or may not reveal anything? Why do you believe you were
>
> sent a
>
>>warning letter?
>
>
> I wasn't. Roger was.

Oh, I see.

>>>...a cautionary letter to him and another ham not to carry
>
> online
>
>>>arguments on the air again from 1999...
>>
>>Why do you think that one was sent?

> Mainly because Roger alerted the FCC to it.

I'm beginning to see a pattern. "Roger" received a cautionary letter
because "Roger" alerted the FCC to it. That makes about as much sense
as anything else you've posted.

>>>and a retest letter which
>>>Roger passed from 2000, all from 5 years ago.
>>
>>Why do you think the retest was mandated?

Hmmmm?

>>My reasoning in suggesting doing the Google search? Why not offer up a
>>few of the positive things your good friend Roger has done with his
>>life?

Hmmmm?

>>Sorry, that is totally incorrect.

> Your posts are on Google for all to see.

They most certainly are. None of them has material consisting of vile,
vulgar references to someone's parents, children or spouse. None of

them depicts filthy acts of homosexuality, incest or pedophilia.
Yours, on the other hand...

>>I have no affiliation with the National Socialists nor AARP.
>
>
> Not "offically", but you sure do embrace the supression of
> freedom of speech, Nazi.

Your rights end where they infringe upon mine.

>>So.
>
>
> Not so. I imagine Roger gets on the air. You just would try to
> qrm him, wouldn't you?

You see--there's your problem. You assume that everyone thinks and acts
like you do. You're socially defective.

> I am referencing Paul Segal's "The Amateur's Code" written in
> 1928.
>
> The Radio Amateur is...
> {edit}
> Balanced....radio is an avocation, never interfering with duties
> owed to a family, job, school,orcommunity.

That's just lovely coming from the local filth monger. You're one of
the most unbalanced people I've run into in years, UnWiseman. You're a
problem to amateur radio and a problem to your community. You haven't a
family.

>>If you stood by your convictions, you'd have no need to hide behind
>>anonymous remailers. You previously used your call as part of your
>>email address and you got tossed from several ISP's as a result of your
>>warm and friendly manner.

> Would you like some cheese with all that whine? I never used "my
> call" as part of my email address, Nazi. And I've never gotten
> tossed from my ISP, either.

Sure, sure, Roger--and you've never had troubles with the FCC and never
had troubles with local law enforcement. You're a regular model citizen.

>>Your statement (above) is one of the most convoluted pieces of
>
> work I've
>
>>ever encountered.
> Sort of like your screw up of "That's incorrect yet again"...or
> any number of errors.
> Like "Nbot" above.

Just like "Nbot" above. You wrote it and even *you* didn't know what it meant.

>>>>You're absolutely right. Nobody has to do so. You being a stand
>>>>up guy, I thought surely you'd want to let us know what you'd done
>>>>with your life. That you choose not to do so just makes your claims
>>>>look like sad, plaintive cries in the night. Off to the rest of the
>>>>cockroaches with you.

Dave K8MN

5262. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 03:40:44 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050424034044.9524817194@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106879

In article <YpydnZFMkL3WnPbfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Secret Davey Nazi<m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Hate to dissappoint you,(whines)

But you were always a disspointment, weren't you? Even to your
parents.

Who cares how long you have been a ham. Nobody.

> Poor widdle two-t (poor lisping cocksucking fatass)

Poor little fatass Davey, he still can't prove the retest letter
had a reason other than:

"The FCC has the authority, pursuant to 97.519(d)(2) of the
rules to readminister any examination element previously
administered by a volunteer examiner."

And still no other reason was given in Roger's retest letter!

You should know, you or one of your cronies used an aonymous
remailer to post this:
Date: Thu, 8 Mar 2001 15:50:43 -0600
From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remai...@anon.xg.nu>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address
above.
It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
remailer administrator at <a...@anon.xg.nu>.
Subject: Where'd you retest Wiseman?
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
Message-ID: <692fe6db1f2598e67c54dd5d5cb3ca10@anon.xg.nu>
Mail-To-News-Contact: a...@dizum.com
Organization: mail2n...@dizum.com
Lines: 19

SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission’s
Rules, 47 C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the
authority to re-administer any examination element previously
administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE’s). The Commission
may either administer the examination itself, or under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
designated by the Commission.

Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class Amateur Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the supervision of an American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner Coordinator. This examination must be completed on or before September 11, 2000. The required code speed that you must demonstrate is 5 words per minute.

This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
http://anon.xg.nu

5263. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 03:46:07 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050424034607.A14DA17199@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106829

In article <OlBae.11469$An2.449@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> You know, for a guy who isn't Roger Wiseman, you certainly
know a great
> deal about him.

It's called "e-mailing," Nazi. Perhaps you should keep up.
But not, you, you'd rather be in the dark on a permanent basis.

> As to "it never did": there's a ham living just out
> the road from you who says differently. Two others confirmed
it.

More unsubstantiateed, outright lies.

> Playing cockroach won't work this time

I guess you know all baout that since you live in filth.

>, Roger.

Wrong, again.

> A wire antenna is rather noticeable.

So is your body odor.

> One which runs out near the street is even more noticeable.

So is your pointy head.

> I hear a couple of your antenna supports are bowed like a
fishing rod
> with a Marlin on the end of the line. Can that be true?

Hardly, since I don't have one. Roger has metal antenna
supports. Looks like you are talking out of your ass, as usual.

5264. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 04:04:44 UTC
From: Rabbi Roger <LampShade@noforeskin.com>
Message-ID: <d4f5t4$g4l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106774

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ExEae.10878$yq6.1381@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
George Orwell wrote:
> In article <426A8731.E8C6A1C5@earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

Poor Roger. The witless little 49 year old boy is being booted about like a
half-inflated football by all but one user in this group.

Wogie just doesn't get the all too obvious temperament of the posters, does
he? What will it take for Roger to see the significance?

I mean, what is next? Must Wogie be struck on and about his head before he
realizes that he is a persona-non-gratis?

The boy/man is clearly a slow learner.

Small wonder he has no famale companions save for the "pay per" types.

5265. Foot in mouth operation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 04:08:42 UTC
From: Rabbi Roger <LampShade@noforeskin.com>
Message-ID: <d4f64i$gj1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Roger had ankle surgery two weeks ago as a result of his "foot in mouth"
disease.

The doctor said he will recover and is expected to be back on his knees in
no time.

5266. Re: Alternate Diagnosis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 04:12:03 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050424041203.3038F171A5@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106880

In article <1114310807.061750.24770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"Baxter Blows Monkeys" Secret Lardass <hamsandw...@myway.com>
wrote:
>
> Case Opinion #3,456

And how about case #127605

Oh, here it is, the former Registered Nurse who lost his license!

From:
Oklahoma Department of Corrections

http://docapp8.doc.state.ok.us/servlet/page?_pageid=394&_dad=por
tal30&_schema=PORTAL30&doc_num=127605&offender_book_id=30762

DOC#:127605
Name: Paris, Paskell E
Race:White
Gender:Male
Hair Color:Brown
Eye Color:Brown
Height:5ft 8in
Weight:170
Birth Date:07-JAN-1962
FBI #:463278DA5
OSBI #:515550
Reception Date:08-JUN-1994
Contact Phone:Inactive Out
Current Facility: 127605
Discharge from prison date:31-MAY-1997

Alias Name Type Last Name First Name Middle Init
Alias Paris Paskell E

Sentence Details Crf Num Convict Date County Offense Term Prob
Rel Date
93-4237 23-MAY-1994 Oklahoma County False Pret Or Bogus Check
Over $50/Con G
5Y/0M/0D 07-JUN-1999
93-7215 23-MAY-1994 Oklahoma County Poss Of Credit Card
Belonging To Another
3Y/0M/0D 07-JUN-1997
93-7215 23-MAY-1994 Oklahoma County Misuse Of
Forged/Counterfeit/Suspended D
3Y/0M/0D 07-JUN-1997

93-7215 23-MAY-1994 Oklahoma County Forgery 2nd - Notes, Checks,
Bills, Draf
3Y/0M/0D 07-JUN-1997
82-51 26-APR-1985 Marshall County Rec/Poss/Conc Stolen Property
4Y/0M/0D
25-APR-1989

Parole Officer:
Chris Hudson
d6@off...@doc.state.ok.us
(405)681-4663

5267. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 04:22:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114316544.517652.190670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106776

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > No mistruth, Steve.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > COMPLETE mistruth, Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > > Complete lie. After all, you're the one who claimed that
> "Sorry
> > > Hans,
> > > > > MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
> > > >
> > > Yes, I did.
> > >
> > > And you're the one who keeps quoting it out of context as
if
> it
> > > > were something like "...they chased all the Techs away" or
> > something.
> > >
> > There is no "out of context." That is exactly what you said, and
> > you've had a long, long time to correct yourself.
> >
> > Correct what? In the fuller context of the article that it
> > appeared in, it was correct.
>
> In the entire context of the article it was incorrect.

No, it wasn't.

> > > > > > > Wow, I didn't realize that there were different reasons
for
> > being
> > > > > a
> > > > > > > member. I guess the different services have markedly
> > different
> > > > > > > purposes and goals.
> > > > >
> > > > > They do, to some degree. That's why you have USMC and
USN
> > units

> > > > > > with NMC MARS calls and not AFMARS calls, and vice-versa.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That is such a thin, thin difference, but when you have
nothing
> > > else,
> > > > > > you'll say and do anything so as not to be wrong.
> > > > >
> > > > > Hardly a "thin difference", Brain.
> > > >
> > > > Anything to keep from being wrong.
> > >
> > Nope. Each branch of MARS has both it's distinctive and it's
> > similar programs. Otherwise there'd only be "MARS"...Not AFMARS,
> Army
> > MARS, and NMC MARS.
>
> Do explain the significant differences of each service's MARS.
>
> > > > > > So what was the reason you were a MARS member the first time?
> > The
> > > > > > second time? Was there a third time?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Did you read the other posts? Why don't you pay
attention,
> > > Brain?
> > > >
> > > > So what was it?
> > >
> > Sorry, Brain....I am not doing remedial post work for you just
to
> > accomodate your inattention.
>
> So you "documentation" doesn't exist.

I repeat: I am not doing your remedial post work for you just to
accomodate your inattention.

Actually, "laziness" would be a better adjective.

> > > OK. Which thread, of you all too numerous new threads, was it
in?
> > Who
> > > > were you replying to?
> > >
> > Ask your "mentor", Lennie the Lame.
>
> Why would he know? He doesn't hang on your every posting either.

Nor should he...However he DID address my previous memberships and
callsigns, Brain.

I am sure you two can backchannel another rant plan.

That one didn't work.

> > > Has to be one or the other, Steve.
> >
> > Nope. Wasn't lying then OR now.
>
> Both can't be true, so where is your documentation?

It's in this forum.

Yuo won't provide requested answers to questions that have been
put to you for YEARS, Brain, so don't expect me to re-post something
for you that is only DAYS old, Brain.

> > You remain one of the most prolific RRAP liars and deceivers,
> > second only to Lennie and an handfull of the anonymous lying
cowards.
>
> Your rules, Robeson. So, if you don't want to replace me, you'll
post
> the required documentation now. Otherwise it didn't happen and
you're
> lying about it.

"Replace" you? What? Lobotomize Todd...??? I don't think he'd
hold still for that, Brain...

I've given yuo faaaaaaaaaaaaaaar more information about MY
previous MARS activities than you ever thought of, Brain.

Try another tack.

> > > > > > You're such a putz, Brain.....
> > > > >
> > > > > Name calling, again? So much for meaningful Extra Class
> > discourse.
> > > > > You do your fellow Extra Class amateurs and the ARS proud.
> ARRL
> > > > > member, too?
> > > >
> > > > It has nothing to do with any memberships or licensures,
> > Brain.
> > >
> > > Actually, you're right. It has everything to do with your
> > personality
> > > defect.
> >
> > What personality defect, Brain...???
>
> The one that makes up rules as he goes along, but is under no
> obligation to follow them.

Oh! Now RULES are important, eh, Brain...?!?!

Of course they N E V E R apply to you! Hence Y E A R S of overt
deceit, mistruths and baltant lying on YOUR part.

> > > Now post your documentation.
> >
> > After you, Brain...You're already several YEARS behind...
>
> Your rules, Robeson. Follow them or forever be known as a liar,
> coward, and micreant. Best of Luck.

We'll discuss your "coward" accusation in person, Brain.

As for the "liar" accusation, you're far and away the newsgroup
winner there.

But nice try.

Now...where did all those Techs go...???

Steve, K4YZ

5268. Re: Alternate Diagnosis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 04:42:34 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <3cbe6ba4068b077f245c734a1b47f7cd@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106777

In article <XqSdnXjSLIo9k_bfRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Secret Nursie <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Gee, that would be just about anyone, except maybe toddieboy.

Or you, Nursie.

5269. Re: To hell with this dumb group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 04:51:40 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114318300.334031.204770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame.jews,alt.politics.white-power

CB outlaw wrote:
> I'm goin' back to shootin' skip on the ol CB!You idiots can waste all
> the time you want to on here, I'm gonna go back to DX'n on the
freeband
> where we all belong. 10-4 GOOD BUDDY!

I want you inside my man-pus!

5270. Re: Marshall County ARC photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 05:01:02 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <53badd584f7b90a8401286ab636003f5@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106779

In article <d4esa8$qc6$0@pita.alt.net>
"George Lardass" <d...@dxanonspamsno.net> wrote:
>
>
> "Secret Lardass" <LampSh...@noforeskin.com> wrote in message
> news:d4eqo4$n1q$0@pita.alt.net...
> > No wonder Roger slunk from the meeting.

Blank post, fatass!

>
> ROTFLMAO!

And answering yourself again, pathetic, Davies.

5271. Re: Marshall County ARC photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 05:07:51 UTC
From: Todd <Comedy@nospam>
Message-ID: <d4f9jf$tv6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106780

"Userbeam Remailer" <remailer@userbeam.net> wrote in message
news:53badd584f7b90a8401286ab636003f5@userbeam.net...
In article <d4esa8$qc6$0@pita.alt.net>
"George Lardass" <d...@dxanonspamsno.net> wrote:
>
>
> "Secret Lardass" <LampSh...@noforeskin.com> wrote in message
> news:d4eqo4$n1q$0@pita.alt.net...
> > No wonder Roger slunk from the meeting.

Blank post, fatass!

>
> ROTFLMAO!

And answering yourself again, pathetic, Davies.

.

Odd. Everybody else could see it and you did too, Rookie Roger.

ROTFLMAO!

5272. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 05:13:14 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <691c980e97e1420a63721246b66fb3de@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106951

In article <d4erke$ou4$0@pita.alt.net>
"George Orwell" Secret LARDASS<d...@dxanonspamsno.net> wrote:

>
> NOTE: Poster responded (wrong again, fatass. I responded to
you, not to "Roger".)

Note: Poster responded to the name LARDASS!

You lose, Tubby.

5273. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 05:16:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <aZFae.939$z87.19621017@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 106798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hmmm, well, since we can count the hams on our toes and fingers.
And, since freebanders are EVERYWHERE and out number hams but a thousand
(and increasing daily) to one...
It will keep them busy with a un-ending fox hunt...

Regards,
John

"Johnny" <wz751@hamenfnetspam.net> wrote in message
news:d4ejn3$8m4$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net> wrote in message
> news:d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net...
>> You freebanders better knock it off.
>> The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
>> already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
>>
>
>
> I heard they are using hams as volunteers.
>
> 73 good dx,
>
> Johnny
>
>
>

5274. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 05:20:17 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <c2b51e3e033369b8103b83bfdf305a05@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106782

In article <OlBae.11469$An2.449@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> You know, for a guy who isn't Roger Wiseman, you certainly
know a great
> deal about him.

It's called "e-mailing," Nazi. Perhaps you should keep up.
But not, you, you'd rather be in the dark on a permanent basis.

> As to "it never did": there's a ham living just out
> the road from you who says differently. Two others confirmed
it.

More unsubstantiateed, outright lies.

> Playing cockroach won't work this time

I guess you know all baout that since you live in filth.

>, Roger.

Wrong, again.

> A wire antenna is rather noticeable.

So is your body odor.

> One which runs out near the street is even more noticeable.

So is your pointy head.

> I hear a couple of your antenna supports are bowed like a
fishing rod
> with a Marlin on the end of the line. Can that be true?

Hardly, since I don't have one. Roger has metal antenna
supports. Looks like you are talking out of your ass, as usual.

5275. Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 05:22:19 UTC
From: Todd <Comedy@nospam>
Message-ID: <d4faejvri0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Excrement.

Noun.

Human or animal waste from bowel movements.

Slang: "Shit", "Crap", "Turd".

Common descriptor often used in conjunction with Roger Wiseman.

5276. Re: Do DSPs (digital signal processors) really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 05:24:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <r4Gae.940$eR7.19836006@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 106801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Why not just download some DSP software, hook an audio out to the line in of
your computer sound card and try some processing for yourself?

Regards,
John

"straydog" <advocacy@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.NEB.4.61.0504110234040.1747@sdf.lonestar.org...
>
> A ham friend told me that he heard that DSPs really work at least a little
> bit. He doesn't have one and neither do I (although many of the newer and
> more expensive rigs have them, and there are one or two outboard DSPs that
> are available that can be added to older rigs in some ways). I don't know
> all that they can do (auto null heterodynes? auto noise reduction [what
> kind of noise reduction?]? audio enhancement [or audio bandpass shaping]
> of some kind?) but if static crashes can be really removed or attenuated,
> that would be of use to me. Auto null of heterodynes would be nice on
> those
> occassions when tuner-uppers decide to tune up on my frequency in which I
> am already in two way contact with others (on ssb phone). And, maybe there
> are some other useful characteristics I don't know about. But, are these
> really effective? For example, a 20 db heterodyne null is not much. Nulls
> have to be about 50-60 db to be useful.
>
> I'll take any kind of comments, suggestions, refernces to testing, or
> personal experience, pro & con recomendations, ...anything...
>
> Art, W4PON
>
>
>

5277. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 05:48:44 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <8K4OYUYL38466.0755092593@anonymous>
Source record: 106871

In article <YpydnZFMkL3WnPbfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Secret Davey Nazi<m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Hate to dissappoint you,(whines)

But you were always a disspointment, weren't you? Even to your
parents.

Who cares how long you have been a ham. Nobody.

> Poor widdle two-t (poor lisping cocksucking fatass)

Poor little fatass Davey, he still can't prove the retest letter
had a reason other than:

"The FCC has the authority, pursuant to 97.519(d)(2) of the
rules to readminister any examination element previously
administered by a volunteer examiner."

And still no other reason was given in Roger's retest letter!

You should know, you or one of your cronies used an aonymous
remailer to post this:
Date: Thu, 8 Mar 2001 15:50:43 -0600
From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remai...@anon.xg.nu>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address
above.
It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
remailer administrator at <a...@anon.xg.nu>.
Subject: Where'd you retest Wiseman?
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
Message-ID: <692fe6db1f2598e67c54dd5d5cb3ca10@anon.xg.nu>
Mail-To-News-Contact: a...@dizum.com
Organization: mail2n...@dizum.com
Lines: 19

SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO

Dear Mr. Wiseman:

Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission’s
Rules, 47 C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the
authority to re-administer any examination element previously
administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE’s). The Commission
may either administer the examination itself, or under the
supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
designated by the Commission.

Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class Amateur Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the supervision of an American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner Coordinator. This examination must be completed on or before September 11, 2000. The required code speed that you must demonstrate is 5 words per minute.

This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
http://anon.xg.nu

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5278. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 05:51:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ptGae.12679$lP1.1571@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106784

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> In article <OlBae.11469$An2.449@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>
>>You know, for a guy who isn't Roger Wiseman, you certainly
>
> know a great
>
>>deal about him.
>
>
> It's called "e-mailing," Nazi. Perhaps you should keep up.
> But not, you, you'd rather be in the dark on a permanent basis.

So you and Roger e-mail each other and he tells you about my hair and
eyebrows along with details of his antenna system along with details of
how it is situated on his tiny lot. Is that correct?

>
>>As to "it never did": there's a ham living just out
>>the road from you who says differently. Two others confirmed
>
> it.
>
> More unsubstantiateed, outright lies.

C'mon, Roger. You know there's only one ham out Echo Valley from you.
You know who I mean. He's a straight shooter.

>>Playing cockroach won't work this time
>
>
> I guess you know all baout that since you live in filth.

No, I live near Cameron.

>>, Roger.
>
>
> Wrong, again.

Tell him at shift change, when he takes over the body, will you?

>>A wire antenna is rather noticeable.
>>One which runs out near the street is even more noticeable.
>>I hear a couple of your antenna supports are bowed like a

>>fishing rod with a Marlin on the end of the line. Can that be true?

> Hardly, since I don't have one.

I feel badly for you.

Dave K8MN

5279. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 05:57:48 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <58e7c4cee475eb6dcba16293ada44ff0@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106983

In article <1tidnSd7s8HcSPffRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey aka Secret Lardass Davies <m...@that.moc> wrote:

>
> Poor two-test wogie, had to retake the amateur exam. What a
total joke.
> LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!11

Poor Lardass Lloyd Davies, has been a no code tech since 1992
and is too stupid and lazy to even get a higher class license or
pass a simple 5 wpm Morse Code exam.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

But Poor "Buzzy" says he's an Advanced, which means he wasn't
good enough to make Extra.

5280. Re: To hell with this dumb group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:01:21 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114322481.464293.110340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame.jews,alt.politics.white-power

GO TO HELL, YOU STUPID PATHETIC LITTLE MAN!

5281. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:08:18 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <8f419b8377ca697fa671fd26017880e4@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106786

In article
<ExEae.10878$yq6.1381@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Sure, you have. You were the short fellow with the Stephen
King mask.

No, I haven't.
There you go flaunting your jealousy of Steven King again. Guess
when you are just a disinformation blurb writer versus a best
selling author, you really can't help but have sour grapes at
what your life turned out to be against his.

> It took the rest of us some time.

I'm sure it did, as slow and dimwitted as you are.

> Your tour was shorter. You fled.

No, since I never have been there.

> > Guess you don't get out much.
>
> Are you asking me for a date?

No,I hear you only go out with Bertie.

> > Now, Lurch the Nazi, you seem to see Roger everywhere. You
have
> > a bad comb-over from what was described to me. And all grey
> > hair. Too bad you dye your eyebrows, but you probably are
vain
> > like that.
>
> It'd be strange for Roger Wiseman to have a fixation on my
hair and
> eyebrows. It would be far more peculiar for you, a person you
claim not
> to be Roger Wiseman to have such a fixation--especially when
you worry
> that I don't go out enough.

Well, you are strange. Too bad Roger described what you look
like. And the above is all true.

> >>Are you entering the big Stephen King look-alike contest this
> >
> > summer?

>
> > No, since I don't look like Steven King.
>
> Don't be modest. You're a shoo-in for first prize.

There you go showing your jealousy of Steven King again.

> Don't you recognize it?

Lying again. You wrote it.

> That was my response to:

No, you just keep lying. That's what you are good at.

> Me first at what, UnWiseman?

You first as an asshole, Davey ASSheil.

> Oh, I see.

That is highly doubtful, since you perpetually have your cranium
in contact with your prostate.

> I'm beginning to see a pattern.

Again, doubtful.
Your "mind" is about as wide open as an eye of a needle.

> Hmmmm?

Are you offering to give me a hummer?

> Hmmmm?

Guess you are.

> They most certainly are. None of them has material consisting
of vile,
> vulgar references to someone's parents, children or spouse.
None of
> them depicts filthy acts of homosexuality, incest or
pedophilia.
> Yours, on the other hand...

Are not any worse than your filthy posts.

> Your rights end where they infringe upon mine.

You keep that in mind, Nazi.

> You see--there's your problem. You assume that everyone
thinks and acts
> like you do. You're socially defective.

You're socailly inept. But that trait permeates your entier
life..ineptness. You would qrm Roger if you ran across him on

the air.

> That's just lovely coming from the local filth monger.

Oh, such self righeousness, coming fromsomebody who posts filth
like you do.

> You're one of
> the most unbalanced people I've run into in years, UnWiseman.

Since I'm not "UnWiseman" your opinion is valueless, like you
life. And exactly where did you get your Psychology degree...oh,
that's right you don't have one.

> You're a problem to amateur radio

I am? Since I'm not Roger Wiseman, how is that possible?

> and a problem to your community.

Hardly.

> You haven't a family.

Wrong as usual.

But, neither do you, at least your perverted daddy isn't
diddling the altar boys anymore.

> Sure, sure, Roger--and you've never had troubles with the FCC
and never
> had troubles with local law enforcement. You're a regular
model citizen.

No matter how many times you lie, I'm still not Roger.

And Roger's last "troubles" with the FCC was 5 years ago. Onthe
rest, all you offer is hearsay evidence.
And from a proven liar like you, well, nobody can believe a liar
like you.

> *Just like* (blah blah blah)

You wrote it, Shit for brains.
Deal with it.

5282. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:10:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114323017.699204.186030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106787

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:

> > > > Now post your documentation.
> > >
> > > After you, Brain...You're already several YEARS behind...
> >
> > Your rules, Robeson. Follow them or forever be known as a liar,
> > coward, and micreant. Best of Luck.

BTW, Brain...There are at least TWO other posts within the last 12 months where my dates of MARS service and callsigns were discussed DIRECTLY with YOU...

Guesss that feeble little remanant of a "brain" doesn't hold things like that in very well, does it...?!?!

No luck needed, Brain...Not with a "happy to be in second place" gadfly such as yourself.

Sheeesh........

Steve, K4YZ

5283. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:12:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114323159.057454.263870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106788

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > Unfortunately, Steve and Dave have granted asylum to the refugees
> > from
> > > > rra.misc.
> >
> > We "granted" nothing.
> >
> > However we did already have you, Todd and Lennie, so what's
the
> > difference?
>
> Todd is one of the refugees who you have found a home on rrap. Your
> mistake.

Wrong again, Brain. (WHY does Brian P Burke continue to utter
falsehoods in public?)

He made it here all on his own.

Steve, K4YZ

5284. Re: Roger & Unroger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:17:19 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <ec03ae6a2ba76d6974ce4a736f509ed8@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106789

In article <d4est5rpr0@pita.alt.net>
"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" Secret Davey<D...@psyctohamshelp.org>
wrote:
>
>
> Roger & Unroger

Secret Nazi Davey, does the WV Board of Medicine know you are
practicing Medicine without a license?

They will!

5285. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:19:04 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <0ea7ce23dcf1bbc7db4af05b9ab9f041@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d4faejvri0@pita.alt.net>
"Todd" <Comedy@nospam> wrote:

Fuckhead.

Noun.

Human shit that has a cranium the looks like a phallus.

Slang: "Toadie", "Lardass", "Daugherty".

Common descriptor often used in conjunction with Toad.

And can be verified by James E.

800 W MAIN CROSS
TAYLORVILLE IL 62568
(217) 824-3675

5286. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:20:11 UTC
From: Stephen King <GlendaleTroll@NotRoger>
Message-ID: <d4fdvt$7sb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106792

I guess you know all baout that since you live in filth.

>, Roger.

Wrong, again.

> A wire antenna is rather noticeable.

So is your body odor.

> One which runs out near the street is even more noticeable.

So is your pointy head.

> I hear a couple of your antenna supports are bowed like a
fishing rod
> with a Marlin on the end of the line. Can that be true?

Hardly, since I don't have one. Roger has metal antenna
supports. Looks like you are talking out of your ass, as usual.

'''

Hey, Not Roger. Referring to yourself in the third person is a lame ruse.
It is quite obvious to all that your 'third person" routine is but a
childish attempt to mislead those whom you hope are of a similar intellect
as yours. Unfortunately, Not Roger/Roger, your weak attempts are fruitless
and those of us who are you intellectual superiors spend several minutes of
each day laughing at you.

Not with you, Roger At you.

Dave was accurate when he described you as being a psychopath and social
misfit. You really do need help from an outside source.

5287. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:30:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114324256.301336.237850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

THAT AIN'T ME

5288. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:32:32 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <a311651bf72d07dd263983356972ca83@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106793

In article
<9xBae.11489$An2.10572@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Roger is flailing about, grasping for something--anything to
hang on me.
> He'll be disappointed.

Nazi Davey is flailing his flabby fat arms about, hoping that if
he lies that Roger is posting, everybody will beleive him
because he is the self-appointed newsgroup moderator.

> It happens all the time. A background check is done. The
marriage is
> "blessed" by the Bureau of Diplomatic Security.

But not in your case.

> At my last (blah blah blah)

More lies that cannot be substantiated. How typical of Nazi
ASSheil.

> Sure. We did.

And you are lying.

5289. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:34:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114324488.170618.6200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

HIS....

From: "Todd" <Comedy@nospam>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Dictionary
Date: Sun, 24 Apr 2005 00:22:19 -0500
Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - www.altopia.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <d4faejvri0@pita.alt.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1437
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1441

MINE....

From: "N9OGL" <todd...@consolidated.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Dictionary
Date: 23 Apr 2005 23:30:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 2
Message-ID: <1114324256.301336.237850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
References: <d4faejvri0@pita.alt.net>
<0ea7ce23dcf1bbc7db4af05b9ab9f041@mixmaster.it>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.176.81.228
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1114324260 25608 127.0.0.1 (24 Apr 2005
06:31:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 24 Apr 2005 06:31:00 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <0ea7ce23dcf1bbc7db4af05b9ab9f041@mixmaster.it>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=216.176.81.228;
posting-account=MFiwyQwAAADbKVtDPSGIX7t9ZX1DHG4j

I just just woke from my ten hour nap :)

Todd N9OGL

5290. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:37:41 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <c086bd0baec512db28f17b237a2409a7@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 106796

In article <YpydnZFMkL3WnPbfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Hate to dissappoint you, but I was licensed long before the VE
system. I
> took my test at the FCC field office in front of an examiner
for my
> Advanced ticket.

And you were never good or smart enough to get the Extra, were
you?

So you hold onto an obsolete license......HAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

5291. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:38:40 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <7cfdca1bd75ab4f118085a1f1bbf8f2f@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 106797

In article <d4dkeq$d9i$0@pita.alt.net>
"Mark Richards" <m...@bellnospamsources.net> wrote:
>
^^^^^^^^^^
> "pomposity" is the correct form Woger. At least learn the
correct

You missed a comma between, form and "Woger". But You misspelled
"Roger," or are you showing off your fellatio skills?

> form and usage of these advanced words, if you are going to
continue
> using them in your psycobabble.
^^

"Psychobabble" is the correct spelling, Renee. You really should
examine your *own* posts before you make yourself look even more
foolish than you do normally.

5292. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:46:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114325195.379869.271210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106799

No, i'm going to solve this Information Bulletin probelm once and for all.

Todd N9OGL

5293. Re: Marshall County ARC photo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:49:23 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <00303eb11236fa5eb3f7b0c6ce01fbaf@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106800

In article <d4f9jf$tv6$0@pita.alt.net>
"Todd" <Comedy@nospam> wrote:
>
>
> "Userbeam Remailer" <remai...@userbeam.net> wrote in message
> news:53badd584f7b90a8401286ab636003f5@userbeam.net...
> In article <d4esa8$qc...@pita.alt.net>
> "George Lardass" <d...@dxanonspamsno.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> > "Secret Lardass" <LampSh...@noforeskin.com> wrote in message
> > news:d4eqo4$n1q$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > No wonder Roger slunk from the meeting.
>
> Blank post, fatass!
>
> >
> > ROTFLMAO!
>
> And answering yourself again, pathetic, Davies.
>
> .
>
> Odd.

You certainly are, Toad.

> Eve (more lies)

No, Toad.
Dumbass!

> ROTFLMAO!

Get a glimpse of yourself in your computer screen?

5294. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 06:58:55 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d4fg3o$d57$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114324488.170618.6200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
HIS....

From: "Todd" <Comedy@nospam>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Dictionary
Date: Sun, 24 Apr 2005 00:22:19 -0500
Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - www.altopia.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <d4faejvri0@pita.alt.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1437
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1441

MINE....

From: "N9OGL" <todd...@consolidated.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Dictionary
Date: 23 Apr 2005 23:30:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 2
Message-ID: <1114324256.301336.237850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
References: <d4faejvri0@pita.alt.net>
<0ea7ce23dcf1bbc7db4af05b9ab9f041@mixmaster.it>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.176.81.228
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1114324260 25608 127.0.0.1 (24 Apr 2005
06:31:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 24 Apr 2005 06:31:00 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <0ea7ce23dcf1bbc7db4af05b9ab9f041@mixmaster.it>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=216.176.81.228;
posting-account=MFiwyQwAAADbKVtDPSGIX7t9ZX1DHG4j

I just just woke from my ten hour nap :)

Todd N9OGL

Sleep more. Your "nap" isn't going to help matters.

5295. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 07:12:15 UTC
From: Tara Kinney <Models@nospam>
Message-ID: <d4fgsoerl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106803

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114325195.379869.271210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
No, i'm going to solve this Information Bulletin probelm once and for
all.

Todd N9OGL

Todd. As has been said many, many times before...you could give more
credence to your posts if only you would learn to spell.
"probelm" is that you seem to be sounding out your words and not using any
of the free spell checkers.

You and Roger Wiseman are becoming more and more alike as the days go on.
What a shame.

Did the both of you avail yourselves of the Devry mail order classes?
Roger did, and look where that got him.

5296. Re: Un Roger opening a new Chinese restarant?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 07:15:44 UTC
From: Tara Kinney <Models@nospam>
Message-ID: <d4fh38$fa4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106804

Where IS this new restaurant?
When I called the phone number I got a message that said my call could not
be completed.

5297. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 07:18:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114327092.909899.66660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106805

The way my google system is setup here, i can't do spell check and I'm
not to take the time to go to another application to check my spelling.
Todd N9OGL

5298. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 07:19:54 UTC
From: Tara Kinney <Models@nospam>
Message-ID: <d4fhb2flj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106806

"Fritz Wuehler" <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net> wrote
in message
news:c086bd0baec512db28f17b237a2409a7@msgid.frell.theremailer.net...
In article <YpydnZFMkL3WnPbfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Hate to dissappoint you, but I was licensed long before the VE
system. I
> took my test at the FCC field office in front of an examiner
for my
> Advanced ticket.

And you were never good or smart enough to get the Extra, were
you?

So you hold onto an obsolete license......HAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
.....
....

And you got a new, five words per minute Extra license.
HAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

Of course you only had to test twice before Riley cut you off...

HAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

5299. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 07:27:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114327635.795265.320950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, I'm not going let that asshole KC8GXW to get on here and pretend
to be me, just like the fucking asshole he is.

5300. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 07:33:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114328018.813243.99470@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

WELL WELL WELL, ARF, ARF, i just looked at you coding and it's the same as ther person who posted the first message.....it would be wise not to pretend to be someone

5301. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 07:33:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114328022.569880.63080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

WELL WELL WELL, ARF, ARF, i just looked at you coding and it's the same as ther person who posted the first message.....it would be wise not to pretend to be someone

5302. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 08:20:53 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DogggieDaze@Lieralz.com>
Message-ID: <d4fktemrk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114328022.569880.63080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
WELL WELL WELL, ARF, ARF, i just looked at you coding and it's the same
as ther person who posted the first message.....it would be wise not to
pretend to be someone

Gosh, Toddie. It is past your bed time. Doesn't your Mommie cut the phone
line off at midnight?

You really should get some sleep. I hope my posts are not keeping you awake.

Oh, by the way. What, Toddie, is "you coding" ? And while I am asking, what
is "ther" supposed to mean?

Yes, Todd, "it would be wise not to pretend to be someone" but again, I have
no idea of what you tried to say.

At the risk of sounding 'snooty', Todd, may I suggest that you first learn
to use a spell checker?
May I secondly suggest, Todd, that you remove your bean head from the rectal
area it is apparently esconced in? While there is nothing condemnable about
having one's head implanted in a rectal cavity, it does inhibit one's
ability to see and hear..., at least for you.
There is at least one redeeming aspect of having your head firmly planted in
your rectum. You keep your ears warm and frostbite is out of the question.

5303. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 08:23:10 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DogggieDaze@Lieralz.com>
Message-ID: <d4fl1m$n3i$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106812

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114327092.909899.66660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
The way my google system is setup here, i can't do spell check and I'm
not to take the time to go to another application to check my spelling.
Todd N9OGL

Translation:
I am too stupid and too lazy to correct my mistakes.

5304. Re: Roger & Unroger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 08:28:16 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <ec03ae6a2ba76d6974ce4a736f509ed8@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 106813

In article <d4est5rpr0@pita.alt.net>
"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" Secret Davey<D...@psyctohamshelp.org>
wrote:
>
>
> Roger & Unroger

Secret Nazi Davey, does the WV Board of Medicine know you are
practicing Medicine without a license?

They will!

5305. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 08:41:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114332116.576654.253180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

here's a suggestion why don't you go fuck youself!!!

5306. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 09:09:17 UTC
From: Andy <NoSoamPlease@nospam>
Message-ID: <d4fno6spi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114332116.576654.253180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
here's a suggestion why don't you go fuck youself!!!

Oh, gee. How original.

Here's a suggestion for you.

How about you go to bed before I call your Daddie and tell him you are up
past your beddie time??
Didn't Mommie and Daddie tell you it was Lights Off at midnight?

5307. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 09:23:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114334613.916124.134880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

why don't you like all the other morons on this newsgroup eat shit and die. I'm not going to ARF ARF AKA Roger Wiseman pretend to be me on this newgroup.

Todd N9OGL

5308. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 09:30:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114335037.973444.274900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106817

N9OGL wrote:
> The way my google system is setup here, i can't do spell check and
I'm
> not to take the time to go to another application to check my
spelling.

Todd-to-English translation: "I'm too lazy to do it right the
first time, and even if the spellchecker flagged a word as incorrect,
I'd still not know how to do it right"

Steve, K4YZ

5309. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 09:33:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114335189.132656.317450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106818

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > Uh oh...no "73"...And it was looking so promising
> > > > > for a few moments there...
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Nope, no "73". That went away when you saw fit to personalize
> the
> > > conversation
> > > > with disparaging ad hominem remarks unrelated to the topic, and
> to
> > > bring
> > > > uninvolved family members into the conversation.
> > > >
> > > > Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > > He always wants to have conversations with other people's wifes
and
> > > children.
> >
> > No "conversation", Brian...Just make them aware of your
conduct.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You're lying again. That was lie #28 in just under 3 weeks.

You've still not proved 1 through 27. All that spinning keeping
you warm, Brain?

Steve, K4YZ

5310. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 09:37:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114335470.561065.232220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106819

KC8GXW wrote:
> The Illiterate idiot is paranoid and looking for backup from the
> government that he hates!
>
> From his letter to Representative John Shimkus
> House Subcommittee on Telecommunication
>
> "But there are amateur radio operators who going after me because
they
> think information bulletins should be not be opinionated. They, (the
FCC
> Amateur Auxiliary) are also going after me for what we had discussed
at
> our meeting here in Taylorville over the FCC licensing system. These
> amateur radio operators are digging up information, which is old, and

> none of their business. I consider that matter closed and should be
left
> that way. I am hopeful that you can help in resolving this problem."
>
> People are going after you toady. Lock the doors, keep an eye in the
> rear view mirror, and if you can't dance, just tumble!

Please don't tell me he REALLY wrote this grammatical monstrosity
to an elected representitve...?!?!?!

WoooHooo!

Wait till I make copes of all of his admissions of illegal
operating, telling the FCC and the government where (an how) to get
off, his threats, and perhaps even a copy of the letter from his ISP
warning him that if his misconduct persists, they'll can him!

This is gonna be FUN! Discredit the Idiot! All can play! Just
use his OWN WORDS against him!

This is gonna be tooooooooooooooo easy!

Steve, K4YZ

5311. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 09:39:38 UTC
From: Tunder <NoSpam@ornow>
Message-ID: <d4fph3ql0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114334613.916124.134880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
why don't you like all the other morons on this newsgroup eat shit and
die. I'm not going to ARF ARF AKA Roger Wiseman pretend to be me on
this newgroup.

Todd N9OGL

Read the posts, Todd. Then read them again.

I have no closeness to Roger Wiseman. Never did.

If you were to look closer you would see that Wiseman means little to me.

5312. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 09:40:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114335614.179258.71820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> why don't you like all the other morons on this newsgroup eat #####
and
> die. I'm not going to ARF ARF AKA Roger Wiseman pretend to be me on
> this newgroup.

Hot Flash Hot Rod...ArfArf ain't Roger.

And you SHOULD pretend to be someone else, Todd. You've made a
complete idiot out of you...Why not make a complete idiot out of some
other character...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

5313. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 09:44:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114335883.295483.32220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

HEY ASSHOLE STEVE,

I'VE NEVER PRETEND TO BE ANYONE YOU FUCKING NAZI!!!

5314. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 09:46:28 UTC
From: Tunder <NoSpam@ornow>
Message-ID: <d4fpts$1h3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114334613.916124.134880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
why don't you like all the other morons on this newsgroup eat shit and
die. I'm not going to ARF ARF AKA Roger Wiseman pretend to be me on
this newgroup.

Todd N9OGL

You, like Clueless Roger, are operating with a mental deficit.

Say Bye Bye, Todd.

5315. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 09:47:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114336028.714928.63750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106824

GO FOR IT YOU LITTLE BITCH

5316. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 09:50:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114336248.815226.206490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I HAVE MORE INTELLIGENTS IN MY DICK THEN YOU HAVE IN YOUR WHOLE BODY, I
WAS NOT THE ONE WHO POSTED THIS SHITTY THREAD .

5317. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 10:07:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114337273.932107.124880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106826

> Please don't tell me he REALLY wrote this grammatical monstrosity
>to an elected representitve...?!?!?!
>WoooHooo!
> Wait till I make copes of all of his admissions of illegal
>operating, telling the FCC and the government where (an how) to get
>off, his threats, and perhaps even a copy of the letter from his ISP
>warning him that if his misconduct persists, they'll can him!

Go right ahead steve the FCC has known about my past activities since 1999. I have been on the air illegal since 1999...So I really doubt they will do anything. As for telling them where to go, hey you fucking communist this is america I have the right to tell them what I think of them....go back to china you fucking commie. threats??? oh steve, you aren't lying again are you??? Because i've never threatened them except for the threat of law suits. My ISP only concern was about that picture and that was it.....Steve I've come to the conclusion you a crybaby litttle liar who thinks he better then anyone else. But hey steve if that's how you get your nuts off go for it, I dare you, you little shack of shit.

>This is gonna be FUN! Discredit the Idiot! All can play! Just
>use his OWN WORDS against him!

>This is gonna be tooooooooooooooo easy!

Steve, K4YZ

5318. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 10:10:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114337451.904345.263150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106827

correction
I haven't been on the air illegal since 1999

5319. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 10:16:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114337793.698900.3240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106828

and one more thing steve IMHO your a little TROLL on a newsgroup and nothing but talk. As for letter as I stated earlier I'm going to resolve this information bulletin problem. So go back and play with your little friends you little TROLL

todd N9OGL

5320. Re: N8WWM in Trouble again!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 10:55:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050424065558.989$nf_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 106830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > You freebanders better knock it off.
> > The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
> > already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
>
> They are looking into no code techs like you
>
They got N8WWM already.

If you see the fugly felon doug at Dayton ask him these questions.

(he will be attempting to sell some trash there if I didn't
scare him away again)

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

Here's what the felon looks like:

http://img258.echo.cx/img258/8190/fuglydogiecloseupgif7bt.jpg

and

http://img258.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly2nk.jpg

5321. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:10:50 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <DrHam@psyctohamshelp.org>
Message-ID: <d4g29vimr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106831

"Userbeam Remailer" <remailer@userbeam.net> wrote in message
news:c2b51e3e033369b8103b83bfdf305a05@userbeam.net...
> In article <OlBae.11469$An2.449@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>> You know, for a guy who isn't Roger Wiseman, you certainly
> know a great
>> deal about him.
>
> It's called "e-mailing," Nazi. Perhaps you should keep up.

So, Unroger emails Roger, and Notroger emails the other two?
Fascinating! With three personalities emailing each other, do
they have separate email accounts? Separate computers?
Which one, two, or all three are responsible for the bowed over
antenna support poles?

> But not, you, you'd rather be in the dark on a permanent basis.
>
>> As to "it never did": there's a ham living just out
>> the road from you who says differently. Two others confirmed
> it.
>
> More unsubstantiateed, outright lies.
>
>> Playing cockroach won't work this time
>
> I guess you know all baout that since you live in filth.
>
>>, Roger.
>
> Wrong, again.
>
>> A wire antenna is rather noticeable.
>
> So is your body odor.
>
>> One which runs out near the street is even more noticeable.
>
> So is your pointy head.
>
>> I hear a couple of your antenna supports are bowed like a
> fishing rod
>> with a Marlin on the end of the line. Can that be true?
>

>
> Hardly, since I don't have one. Roger has metal antenna
> supports. Looks like you are talking out of your ass, as usual.
>

5322. Re: Un Roger opening a new Chinese restarant?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:16:51 UTC
From: Lloyd <anon@anonclubradios.org>
Message-ID: <d4g2l8$j7g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106832

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
news:d4fedm$8r6$0@pita.alt.net...
> Of course the "un" Roger will claim again that this is an empty post.
>
> He is quite good at lying and fools only himself.
>
>

LOL!

73,

Lloyd

5323. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:32:19 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <AeOdndoPgc19DPbfRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 106833

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114325195.379869.271210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> No, i'm going to solve this Information Bulletin probelm once and for
> all.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

So why did you write the wrong person? You first need to start with whoever in the FCC interprets the rules not a House subcommittee. Secondly, you need to include a sample of one of your "information bulletins" so that this person can evaluate whether it is acceptable. Writing a member of the House subcommittee will simply get you a polite letter that one or another gives you a brush off since it is NOT their job to interpret the rules.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5324. Re: Not Roger...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:34:37 UTC
From: CW <cw@morsemeternets.net>
Message-ID: <d4g3mh$kv8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106834

How many times did Riley cite Roger for malicious intentional
QRM on 20 meters? The guys on 3825 say he was cited three
times, but some say Roger was cited four times?
How many times was he retested because of his qrm activities?

Tnx,

CW

5325. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:39:41 UTC
From: yojimbo <thomasp@snotmail.net>
Message-ID: <yvudnWl5rKho_jcRVn-Q@gelded.not>
Source record: 106835

On 24 Apr 2005 03:10:51 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote:
> correction
> I haven't been on the air illegal since 1999

so how long have you been on the air illegal?

5326. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:46:03 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <bYSdncOOa_CQCPbfRVn-sw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:

> HIS....
>
> From: "Todd" <Comedy@nospam>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: Dictionary
> Date: Sun, 24 Apr 2005 00:22:19 -0500
> Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - www.altopia.com
> Lines: 13
> Message-ID: <d4faejvri0@pita.alt.net>
> X-Priority: 3
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1437
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1441
>
> MINE....
>
> From: "N9OGL" <todd...@consolidated.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: Re: Dictionary
> Date: 23 Apr 2005 23:30:56 -0700
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Lines: 2
> Message-ID: <1114324256.301336.237850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
> References: <d4faejvri0@pita.alt.net>
> <0ea7ce23dcf1bbc7db4af05b9ab9f041@mixmaster.it>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.176.81.228
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
> X-Trace: posting.google.com 1114324260 25608 127.0.0.1 (24 Apr 2005
> 06:31:00 GMT)
> X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 24 Apr 2005 06:31:00 +0000 (UTC)
> In-Reply-To: <0ea7ce23dcf1bbc7db4af05b9ab9f041@mixmaster.it>
> User-Agent: G2/0.2
> Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> Injection-Info: o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com;
> posting-host=216.176.81.228;
> posting-account=MFiwyQwAAADbKVtDPSGIX7t9ZX1DHG4j
>
> I just just woke from my ten hour nap :)
>

> Todd N9OGL

It's not his fault toad. both the turd names are the same. It doesn't take rocket science to see why it looks like the illiterate idiot!

5327. Re: To hell with this dumb group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:47:05 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1114346825.377843.196320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame.jews,alt.politics.white-power

Hey, don't get mad... it isn't worth the effort here.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

CB outlaw wrote:
> GO TO HELL, YOU STUPID PATHETIC LITTLE MAN!

5328. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:48:09 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <bYSdncKOa_AWCPbfRVn-sw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:

> Well, I'm not going let that asshole KC8GXW to get on here and pretend
> to be me, just like the fucking asshole he is.

There you go turd breath, show where that is my post and not yours
gayboy! It looks more like yours tard , it has your name in it!

5329. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:49:21 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <bYSdnf2Oa_BOCPbfRVn-sw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:

> WELL WELL WELL, ARF, ARF, i just looked at you coding and it's the same
> as ther person who posted the first message.....it would be wise not to
> pretend to be someone

And it would be wise for you tard boy to stop blaming me!

5330. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:49:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114346961.475779.44730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> HEY ASSHOLE STEVE,
>
> I'VE NEVER PRETEND TO BE ANYONE YOU ####ING NAZI!!!

Then, like I said, you SHOULD be...You've made a big enough of a
laughing stock of this one...Maybe a "new" person could start over....

Steve, K4YZ

5331. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:52:06 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <bYSdnfyOa_DIC_bfRVn-sw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:

> why don't you like all the other morons on this newsgroup eat shit and
> die. I'm not going to ARF ARF AKA Roger Wiseman pretend to be me on
> this newgroup.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Paranoid again toady? How many people are you going to accuse of making
this one post?
Remember, if you can't dance then tumble.

5332. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:53:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114347217.203815.137040@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> I HAVE MORE INTELLIGENTS IN MY #### THEN YOU HAVE IN YOUR WHOLE BODY,
I
> WAS NOT THE ONE WHO POSTED THIS ####TY THREAD .

"INTELLIGENTS"....

BBBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
! ! ! ! ! ! !

YOU'RE THE EPITOME OF STUPID, TODD ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
! ! ! ! !

What an I D I O T ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

5333. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:56:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114347403.133967.195520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106843

The House Subcommittee on Telecommunication oversees the FCC, if you read the whole letter I inform him that there is I believe a form of abuse going on , He will then in turn ask the FCC to resolve the problem. as for blowing it off it's very doubtful, I keep bugging him about it until something is done about it.

Todd N9OGL

5334. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:57:28 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <CMadnejtH9wnCvbfRVn-jA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:

> HEY ASSHOLE STEVE,

Obsessed with Steve's butt again gayboy?
>
> I'VE NEVER PRETEND TO BE ANYONE **********!!!

You got that ɾight toady, You'ɾe not pretending to be anyone, and that
would make you a nobody. Yes we have no bananas.
Gee toady, you make at least one new friend a day.

5335. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 12:58:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114347509.676807.310920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106845

I was only on the air from 1998 to 1999, I went on the air after the
fcc returned my six low power Television license, with the waivers,

Todd N9OGL

5336. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:00:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114347635.165042.225820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

anyone can fake a fucking name on here you fucking piece of shit.

5337. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:02:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114347740.203955.153530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
WHAT A DICKHEAD, GO BACK TO BUTTFUCKING YOU LITTLE BITCH KC8GXW!!!

5338. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:04:10 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <HLudncscBczQBPbfRVn-hw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:

> I HAVE MORE INTELLIGENTS IN MY BLA BLA BLA THEN YOU HAVE IN YOUR WHOLE BODY
^^^^^^^^^^
Could you have meant intelligence? Boy does that make you look stupid
and illiterate!
, I
> WAS NOT THE ONE WHO POSTED THIS SHITTY THREAD .
>

Nor did I post it you idiot, use your tiny brain and do the right thing
toady, say you lied, say you were wrong again, heck, go the whole
shooting match, say you're sorry!

5339. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:04:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114347868.089641.23310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106848

N9OGL wrote:
> > Please don't tell me he REALLY wrote this grammatical monstrosity
> >to an elected representitve...?!?!?!
> >WoooHooo!
> > Wait till I make copes of all of his admissions of illegal
> >operating, telling the FCC and the government where (an how) to get
> >off, his threats, and perhaps even a copy of the letter from his ISP
> >warning him that if his misconduct persists, they'll can him!
>
> Go right ahead steve the FCC has known about my past activities since
> 1999. I have been on the air illegal since 1999...So I really doubt
> they will do anything.

Oh, they will, Todd...they will!

> As for telling them where to go, hey you ####ing
> communist this is america I have the right to tell them what I think
of
> them....

"America" is capitalized, but then I realize that idiotitis
prevents you from showing respect to the very country that allows you
to act this way.

> go back to china you ####ing commie.

I've never been "to" China in order to go "back" to it, Todd.

> threats??? oh steve, you
> aren't lying again are you??? Because i've never threatened them
except
> for the threat of law suits.

That's a threat, Todd. Better read up on case law as to what
constitutes a threat.

> My ISP only concern was about that picture
> and that was it.....

No, that's not "it", and the letter from your ISP that YOU quoted
said so..."Stop it, or else".

> Steve I've come to the conclusion you a crybaby

You would. Of course seen through the eyes of a guy that himself
stomps his feet, curses in public, and generally has no respect for
anyone, INCLUDING himself, this is not unexpected.

> litttle liar who thinks he better then anyone else.

Not "anyone" else, Todd, but DEFINITELY better than YOU!

> But hey steve if
> that's how you get your #### off go for it, I dare you, you little
> shack of ####.

Dare me to what? Prove to your Representitive what a foul,
misinformed, deceitful and untrustworthy putz you are...?!?!

That's no challenge!

Afterall, you provide me with everything I need!

Thanks!

Steve, K4YZ

5340. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:07:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114348057.897178.93370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

WHAT IS IT WITH YOU AND GAY PEOPLE??? ARE YOU UPSET THAT YOU HAVE TO BADMOUTH SOMEONE, FOR SOMETHING YOU ARE?? I SURE ALL OF US HERE CAN GATHER UP SOME CASH SO YOU CAN HAVE YOUR SEX CHANGE. I SURE WITH A LITTLE MORE CASH WE CAN GIVE YOUR BOYFRIEND STEVEN ROBBIESON THAT BOOB JOB LIKE IN THAT PICTURE HEHEHE. THE REALLY QUESTION I GUESS WE ALL WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHICH ONE OF YOU IS THE BUTCH AND WHICH ONE IS THE BITCH???

TODD N9OGL

5341. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:08:36 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114348116.812323.29890@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

WELL STEVE I CAN WRITE A LOT BETTER THEN YOUR DAUGHTER....OOPS SHE DEAD

5342. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:09:30 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114348170.012400.78070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106852

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > No mistruth, Steve.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > COMPLETE mistruth, Brain.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Complete lie. After all, you're the one who claimed that
> > "Sorry
> > > > > > Hans,
> > > > > > > MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes, I did.
> > > > > >
> > > > > And you're the one who keeps quoting it out of context as
> if
> > it
> > > > > were something like "...they chased all the Techs away" or
> > > something.
> > > > >
> > > > There is no "out of context." That is exactly what you said,
and
> > > > you've had a long, long time to correct yourself.
> > >
> > > Correct what? In the fuller context of the article that it
> > > appeared in, it was correct.
> >
> > In the entire context of the article it was incorrect.
>
> No, it wasn't.
>
> > > > > > > > Wow, I didn't realize that there were different reasons
> for
> > > > being
> > > > > > > > a
> > > > > > > > > member. I guess the different services have markedly
> > > different
> > > > > > > > purposes and goals.
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > > They do, to some degree. That's why you have USMC and
> USN
> > > > units
> > > > > > > with NMC MARS calls and not AFMARS calls, and vice-versa.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That is such a thin, thin difference, but when you have
> nothing
> > > > else,
> > > > > > you'll say and do anything so as not to be wrong.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Hardly a "thin difference", Brain.
> > > >
> > > Anything to keep from being wrong.
> > >
> > Nope. Each branch of MARS has both it's distinctive and
it's
> > similar programs. Otherwise there'd only be "MARS"...Not AFMARS,
> > Army
> > MARS, and NMC MARS.
> >
> Do explain the significant differences of each service's MARS.
> >
> > > > > So what was the reason you were a MARS member the first
time?
> > The
> > > > > second time? Was there a third time?
> > > > >
> > > > Did you read the other posts? Why don't you pay
> attention,
> > > > Brain?
> > > >
> > > So what was it?
> > >
> > Sorry, Brain....I am not doing remedial post work for you
just
> to
> > accomodate your inattention.
> >
> So you "documentation" doesn't exist.
>
> I repeat: I am not doing your remedial post work for you just
to
> accomodate your inattention.
>
> Actually, "laziness" would be a better adjective.
>
> > > > OK. Which thread, of you all too numerous new threads, was it
> in?
> > > Who
> > > > were you replying to?

> > >
> > > Ask your "mentor", Lennie the Lame.
> >
> > Why would he know? He doesn't hang on your every posting either.
>
> Nor should he...However he DID address my previous memberships
and
> callsigns, Brain.
>
> I am sure you two can backchannel another rant plan.
>
> That one didn't work.
>
> > > > Has to be one or the other, Steve.
> > >
> > > Nope. Wasn't lying then OR now.
> >
> > Both can't be true, so where is your documentation?
>
> It's in this forum.
>
> Yuo won't provide requested answers to questions that have been
> put to you for YEARS, Brain, so don't expect me to re-post something
> for you that is only DAYS old, Brain.
>
> > > You remain one of the most prolific RRAP liars and
deceivers,
> > > second only to Lennie and an handfull of the anonymous lying
> cowards.
> >
> > Your rules, Robeson. So, if you don't want to replace me, you'll
> post
> > the required documentation now. Otherwise it didn't happen and
> you're
> > lying about it.
>
> "Replace" you? What? Lobotomize Todd...??? I don't think he'd
> hold still for that, Brain...
>
> I've given yuo faaaaaaaaaaaaaaaar more information about MY
> previous MARS activities than you ever thought of, Brain.
>
> Try another tack.
>
> > > > > > > You're such a putz, Brain.....
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Name calling, again? So much for meaningful Extra Class
> > > discourse.
> > > > > > > You do your fellow Extra Class amateurs and the ARS proud.
> > ARRL

> > > > > > member, too?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It has nothing to do with any memberships or licensures,
> > > > Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > > Actually, you're right. It has everything to do with your
> > > > personality
> > > > > defect.
> > > > >
> > > > What personality defect, Brain...???
> > >
> > The one that makes up rules as he goes along, but is under no
> > obligation to follow them.
>
> Oh! Now RULES are important, eh, Brain...?!?!
>
> Of course they N E V E R apply to you! Hence Y E A R S of
overt
> deceit, mistruths and baltant lying on YOUR part.
>
> > > > Now post your documentation.
> > > >
> > > After you, Brain...You're already several YEARS behind...
> > >
> > Your rules, Robeson. Follow them or forever be known as a liar,
> > coward, and micreant. Best of Luck.
>
> We'll discuss your "coward" accusation in person, Brain.
>
> As for the "liar" accusation, you're far and away the newsgroup
> winner there.
>
> But nice try.
>
> Now...where did all those Techs go...???
>
> Steve, K4YZ

5343. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:11:52 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <S56dnTceuaGHBvbfRVn-2A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106854

N9OGL wrote:

> No, i'm going to solve this Information Bulletin probelm once and for
> all.
>
> Todd N9OGL

No it's just more lies toady, you claim that the FCC is going after you,
you write letters and ask for help. Look again at what you wrote.

"But there are amateur radio operators who going after me because they
think information bulletins should be not be opinionated. They, (the FCC
Amateur Auxiliary) are also going after me for what we had discussed "

This part makes no sense.

"bulletins should be not be opinionated"

I hope you didn't write this letter in crayon!
The only thing you have done, if they take you serious, is open a bigger
can of worms. If they look into this matter as you asked them to do,
they will see you as a paranoid nut case and then the ATF, DEA, FBI,
FCC, and many other three letter agencies will be watching you!

5344. Re: Hello, I Am New here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:12:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114348336.011522.104000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106853

yojimbo wrote:
> On 23 Apr 2005 12:34:53 -0700, bb <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
> >
> > CW wrote:
> > > Is this a ham radio flame group?
> >
> > No. This is a place for amateurs to reasonably discuss amateur
policy,
> > particularly the Morse Code testing issue.
>
> And, as you can see, we are all being reasonable on here and
> discussing only our ideas. We don't ever discuss each other or use
> profanity, and Woger Wiseman isn't hanging out here.
>
> And ducks don't have feathers.
>
> YES this is a de facto flame group.

No, it isn't. Go to rec.radio.amateur.misc to flame. And quit
cross-posting to policy.

5345. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:25:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114349113.180364.262900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106855

>No, that's not "it", and the letter from your ISP that YOU quoted
>said so..."Stop it, or else".

I suggest you read it again you liar. What they meant by stop they
meant with picture if you don't believe me write them, "they do not get
involved with individual disputes" their own words. If you soooo
concerned and think you have something then go for it, So far your
nothing but talk. a whine little CB operator who sits on a newsgroup
and bad mouth people because you a poor miserable little man who tries
to bring people down to your level.
Todd N9OGL

5346. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:30:41 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <CcidnYAmToMZAvbfRVn-gw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:

> anyone can fake a fucking name on here you fucking piece of shit.

Like you said, anyone, so show one post that I have lied in or where I faked a name? You have blamed four people of making that one post and by your own words, it could have been you.
You lie, as a matter of fact you are a pathological liar, you fake post on your blog. When is it going to end you idiot?

5347. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:31:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114349468.743948.252590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106857

"bulletins should be not be opinionated"
SHOW ME THE RULE! the rules and FCC has stated that a bulletin has to be directed to the amateur radio service consisting solely amateur radio material. no where in the rules does it say that a information bulletin cannot be opinionated.
Todd N9OGL

5348. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:34:03 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <CcidnYMmToPQPfbfRVn-gw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106858

N9OGL wrote:

>>No, that's not "it", and the letter from your ISP that YOU quoted
>>said so..."Stop it, or else".
>
>
> I suggest you read it again you liar. What they meant by stop they
> meant with picture if you don't believe me write them, "they do not get
> involved with individual disputes" their own words. If you soooo
> concerned and think you have something then go for it, So far your
> nothing but talk. a whine little CB operator who sits on a newsgroup
> and bad mouth people because you a poor miserable little man who tries
> to bring people down to your level.
> Todd N9OGL

Toad, didn't you just describe yourself? What an idiot!

5349. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:40:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114350048.638777.172830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

your a fucking dickhead asshole, I can get on here and fake your name and no one would know if it was me or you. I suggest you get you some books and read about the internet, because you and stevey ROBBIESON don't know shit about the Internet. it is kind of funny though that the person who posted the original post and Arf Arf have the same newsgroup path. and IP address.

the original post path:

From: "Todd" <Comedy@nospam>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Dictionary
Date: Sun, 24 Apr 2005 00:22:19 -0500
Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - www.altopia.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <d4faejvri0@pita.alt.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1437
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1441

ARF ARF'S path:

From: "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Dictionary
Date: Sun, 24 Apr 2005 01:58:55 -0500
Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - www.altopia.com
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <d4fg3o$d57$0@pita.alt.net>
References: <d4faejvri0@pita.alt.net>
<0ea7ce23dcf1bbc7db4af05b9ab9f041@mixmaster.it>
<1114324488.170618.6200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1437
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1441

So believe what you want you little crackwhore.

5350. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:51:17 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <r_idncSKc5fFOfbfRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> WHAT IS IT WITH YOU AND GAY PEOPLE??? ARE YOU UPSET THAT YOU HAVE TO
> BADMOUTH SOMEONE, FOR SOMETHING YOU ARE?? I SURE ALL OF US HERE CAN
> GATHER UP SOME CASH SO YOU CAN HAVE YOUR SEX CHANGE. I SURE WITH A
> LITTLE MORE CASH WE CAN GIVE YOUR BOYFRIEND STEVEN ROBBIESON THAT BOOB
> JOB LIKE IN THAT PICTURE HEHEHE. THE REALLY QUESTION I GUESS WE ALL
> WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHICH ONE OF YOU IS THE BUTCH AND WHICH ONE IS THE
> BITCH???
>
> TODD N9OGL
>

Just you gayboy. You keep posting about peoples butts! You're 37, live with mommy and daddy, look like you're gay, dress like you're gay and talk like a 10 year old that was raised in a whore house! I say that makes you gay.

5351. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:51:57 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xrCdnSK4LdcdOfbfRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 106861

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114347403.133967.195520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> The House Subcommittee on Telecommunication oversees the FCC, if you
> read the whole letter I inform him that there is I believe a form of
> abuse going on , He will then in turn ask the FCC to resolve the
> problem. as for blowing it off it's very doubtful, I keep bugging him
> about it until something is done about it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>

He will NOT do anything about it except perhaps to tell YOU to contact the FCC. The subcommittee job is to review proposed laws before being submitted to the floor. It is NOT to interpret law. You need to start in the right place and that is the FCC.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5352. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:52:37 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <r_idnceKc5c7OfbfRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:

> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> WHAT A DICKHEAD, GO BACK TO BUTTFUCKING YOU LITTLE BITCH KC8GXW!!!

There you go with more gaytalk you little gayboy!

5353. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 13:55:23 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <r_idncaKc5fROPbfRVn-rg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:

> WELL WELL WELL, ARF, ARF, i just looked at you coding and it's the same
> as ther person who posted the first message.....it would be wise not to
> pretend to be someone

Who posted it tard boy? ARF ARF or KC8GXW?

5354. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:01:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114351295.343027.166990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I CALL THAT SLANDER...

5355. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:01:45 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <aa-dnYxp9NZRO_bfRVn-og@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106865

N9OGL wrote:

> your a fucking dickhead asshole, I can get on here and fake your name
> and no one would know if it was me or you. I suggest you get you some
> books and read about the internet, because you and stevey ROBBIESON
> don't know shit about the Internet. it is kind of funny though that the
> person who posted the original post and Arf Arf have the same newsgroup
> path. and IP address.
>
> the original post path:
>
> From: "Todd" <Comedy@nospam>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: Dictionary
> Date: Sun, 24 Apr 2005 00:22:19 -0500
> Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - www.altopia.com
> Lines: 13
> Message-ID: <d4faejvri0@pita.alt.net>
> X-Priority: 3
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1437
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1441
>
> ARF ARF'S path:
>
> From: "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: Re: Dictionary
> Date: Sun, 24 Apr 2005 01:58:55 -0500
> Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - www.altopia.com
> Lines: 51
> Message-ID: <d4fg3o$d57$0@pita.alt.net>
> References: <d4faejvri0@pita.alt.net>
> <0ea7ce23dcf1bbc7db4af05b9ab9f041@mixmaster.it>
> <1114324488.170618.6200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
> X-Priority: 3
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1437
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1441
>
> So believe what you want you little crackwhore.

I believe you are an idiot. You say it wasn't you and the proof is you
showing the headers, then you say it was me, even though my headers
don't match, then you say it's ARFARF? You say I should read about the

internet? Get it straight you idiot, can you fake the headers or not? If you can, then the post could be yours, if you can't then the post isn't mine. Make up your mind fool. I guess you can't get it straight, you don't know what straight is!
If you can't dance, then tumble.

5356. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:03:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114351436.190990.203220@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I WOULD SAY ARF ARF, YOU CAN HIDE YOU NAME BUT YOU CAN'T HIDE YOUR PATH
OR YOUR IP ADDRESS..... I WOULD SUGGEST YOU GO LEARN SOMETHING ABOUT
THE INTERNET

TODD N9OGL

5357. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:06:05 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <OYCdnS-bsqhNOvbfRVn-2g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106868

N9OGL wrote:

> I CALL THAT SLANDER...

And the crap you just spewed wasn't? Saying someone downloads kiddy porn
isn't?
Make up you childish mind toad.
Why not just keep your rants in this group and lets stop crossposting!

5358. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:06:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114351588.210093.127410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106867

YOU CAN'T FAKE THE ROUTE PATH OR THE IP ADDRESS
TODD N9OGL

5359. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:09:17 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <OYCdnS6bsqgTNfbfRVn-2g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:

> I WOULD SAY ARF ARF, YOU CAN HIDE YOU NAME BUT YOU CAN'T HIDE YOUR PATH
> OR YOUR IP ADDRESS..... I WOULD SUGGEST YOU GO LEARN SOMETHING ABOUT
> THE INTERNET
>
> TODD N9OGL

I would suggest that you go learn, you accused me at first you idiot!
Apologize, say you were wrong, say you were sorry. You're just calling
yourself an idiot!

5360. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:10:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114351832.748329.315500@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106870

HEY, YOU WERE THE ONE THT ALL THIS BAD MOUTHING ME ON THIS NEWSGROUP.

5361. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:13:12 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <OYCdnSmbsqjkNPbfRVn-2g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106872

N9OGL wrote:

> YOU CAN'T FAKE THE ROUTE PATH OR THE IP ADDRESS
> TODD N9OGL

Ok, let me say this to you slowly and in small words.

If "YOU CAN'T FAKE THE ROUTE PATH OR THE IP ADDRESS"

Then why did you accuse me?

5362. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:14:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114352059.224415.323050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106873

N9OGL wrote:
> HEY, YOU WERE THE ONE THT ALL THIS BAD MOUTHING ME ON THIS NEWSGROUP.

Todd, any "badmouthing" of you in ANY group is a direct reaction to
YOUR misconduct.

Steve, K4YZ

5363. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:15:21 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <OYCdnSibsqhnNPbfRVn-2g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106874

N9OGL wrote:

> HEY, YOU WERE THE ONE THT ALL THIS BAD MOUTHING ME ON THIS NEWSGROUP.

Look at the sixth post in this thread idiot. Isn't that your post?

5364. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:16:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114352161.173482.204240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> WELL STEVE I CAN WRITE A LOT BETTER THEN YOUR DAUGHTER....OOPS SHE
DEAD

Wrong thing to say, Todd.

Steve, K4YZ

5365. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:19:37 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <OYCdnSubsqhnN_bfRVn-2g@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:

> N9OGL wrote:
>
>

>
> Wrong thing to say, Todd.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

He can't stoop any lower then that! toad you are a real waste of O2

5366. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:19:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114352390.507315.218400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106877

They have a little more power then you think, I was in a meeting with
him discusing the FCC licensing system and the first thing he said
before we even got start was "if there is a problem with the FCC we
need to know, and we will fix the problem." and that was before the
meeting. the subcommittee doesn't like the idea of the FCC "abusing" it
power and they said unless people tell them about these abuses they
can't help fix the problem. I remeber back in the 90's the subcommittee
was going to try to disband the FCC and run the whole thing themselves.

Todd N9OGL

5367. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:20:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114352438.289828.216640@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106878

They have a little more power then you think, I was in a meeting with him discusing the FCC licensing system and the first thing he said before we even got start was "if there is a problem with the FCC we need to know, and we will fix the problem." and that was before the meeting. the subcommittee doesn't like the idea of the FCC "abusing" it power and they said unless people tell them about these abuses they can't help fix the problem. I remember back in the 90's the subcommittee was going to try to disband the FCC and run the whole thing themselves.

Todd N9OGL

5368. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 14:47:18 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <rbCdnez4m6viLPbfRVn-2w@comcast.com>
Source record: 106881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:1114348116.812323.29890@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> WELL STEVE I CAN WRITE A LOT BETTER THEN YOUR DAUGHTER....OOPS SHE DEAD
>

I will hold no further discourse with a person who stoops to the inexcusable action of attempting to degrade a dead child in an attempt to hurt someone.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5369. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 15:01:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114354884.235755.41700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106882

N9OGL wrote:
> They have a little more power then you think, I was in a meeting with
> him discusing the FCC licensing system and the first thing he said
> before we even got start was "if there is a problem with the FCC we
> need to know, and we will fix the problem." and that was before the
> meeting. the subcommittee doesn't like the idea of the FCC "abusing"
it
> power and they said unless people tell them about these abuses they
> can't help fix the problem. I remeber back in the 90's the
subcommittee
> was going to try to disband the FCC and run the whole thing
themselves.

I am sure he thought he was being attentive to your "issues",
Todd, but I wonder what his take on you is going to be when he sees
what you've said, what you've done, and where you're "coming from"...

No politician is going to allow him/herself to go out on a limb
for someone who blatantly lies and brags about violating federal laws.

Good luck.

Steve, K4YZ

5370. Re: Hello, I Am New here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 15:10:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114355442.543729.189710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106883

bb wrote:
> yojimbo wrote:
> > On 23 Apr 2005 12:34:53 -0700, bb <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > CW wrote:
> > > > Is this a ham radio flame group?
> > >
> > > No. This is a place for amateurs to reasonably discuss amateur
> policy,
> > > particularly the Morse Code testing issue.
> >
> > And, as you can see, we are all being reasonable on here and
> > discussing only our ideas. We don't ever discuss each other or use
> > profanity, and Woger Wiseman isn't hanging out here.
> >
> > And ducks don't have feathers.
> >
> > YES this is a de facto flame group.
>
> No, it isn't. Go to rec.radio.amateur.misc to flame. And quit
> cross-posting to policy.

Our moderator and "Seventy-Years-Too-Late To Join The Hitler
Youth" wannabe has spoken.

Now do what N0IMD says or he'll start lying about you, too!

Steve, K4YZ

5371. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 15:15:18 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <9a903dc0e01b88114c626f87dc4a9729@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106884

In article <d4fdvt$7sb$0@pita.alt.net>
"Secret Gopher Face" <GlendaleTroll@NotRoger> wrote:

> Hey, Not <IKYABWAI>

Hey, Gopher Face, trying to pretend to be a successful writer
when you aren't is as lame as it gets.

5372. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 15:19:12 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <f6b7cc44adeb0318e3d8f491b0c21dab@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106885

In article
<ptGae.12679$lP1.1571@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> So you and Roger e-mail each other and he tells you about my
hair and
> eyebrows along with details of his antenna system along with
details of
> how it is situated on his tiny lot. Is that correct?

Among other things. Especially when the newsgroup starts
yammering on about him.

> C'mon, Roger. You know there's only one ham out Echo Valley
from you.

No, since I'm not Roger.

> You know who I mean.

No, I don't.

> He's a straight shooter.

Unlike you.

> > I guess you know all about that since you live in filth.
>
> No, I live near Cameron.

From what I've heard, yes, you live in filth, and in the butt of
Marshall county's jokes.
But, you said before you didn't live in Cameron. You said you
lived south of town. Are you lying as usual?

> Tell him at shift change, when he takes over the body, will
you?

Been watching too many viewings of "Invasion of the Body
Snatchers," Nazi?

> I feel badly for you.

You should feel badly for yourself, first.

5373. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 15:25:25 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <N6GKYG6338466.7259837963@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106886

In article
<O9Bae.11446$An2.9842@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Denial about what?

You are in denial about everything, even the fact you are a well-
known liar.

> You know, by golly, you're right.

That's three times you've said I was right, careful, you might
actually tell the truth for once.

> Most of them seem to have names from
> various anonymous remailers and are dated very recently. I
think you're
> on to something. You're a regular self-fulfilling prophecy.

Incorrect, as usual. But you never let the truth stand in the
way of your lies, do you?

> I didn't claim to have any "atricles" published.

No, you would say "Nbot" like you did in another post.

> It is hardly hypocritical to do the very same thing which you
do to me
> and others. It is actually quite just.

Keep that in mind, Nazi.

> ...and you are an fearful cockroach, hiding under the
baseboard. You
> can't come out unless the lights are off.

Sort of like your bedroom activities, eh? Make sure you keep
both bags on her and you.

5374. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 15:27:14 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <691c980e97e1420a63721246b66fb3de@paranoici.org>
Source record: 106904

In article <d4erke$ou4$0@pita.alt.net>
"George Orwell" Secret LARDASS<d...@dxanonspamsno.net> wrote:

>
> NOTE: Poster responded (wrong again, fatass. I responded to
you, not to "Roger".)

Note: Poster responded to the name LARDASS!

You lose, Tubby.

5375. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 15:34:11 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <1be00924cc37273ac9162a0c2dc5a9f2@paranoici.org>
Source record: 106971

In article <1tidnSd7s8HcSPffRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey aka Secret Lardass Davies <m...@that.moc> wrote:

>
> Poor two-test wogie, had to retake the amateur exam. What a
total joke.
> LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!11

Poor Lardass Lloyd Davies, has been a no code tech since 1992
and is too stupid and lazy to even get a higher class license or
pass a simple 5 wpm Morse Code exam.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

But Poor "Buzzy" the Gopher Face says he's an Advanced, which
means he wasn't good enough to make Extra.

5376. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 15:49:04 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <a4603469e5aaee63260645b324af70a7@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106892

In article <d4f5t4$g4l$0@pita.alt.net>
"Rabbi Roger" Secret Lardass<LampSh...@noforeskin.com> wrote:

> Poor (IKYABWAI)

Poor Lardass, 31 year old and can't even get a pity fuck.

5377. Re: N9OGL Caught In Drunken Lie...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 15:50:18 UTC
From: marika <marika5000@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114357818.067332.41420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106887
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.legends.lester-mosley,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:

>
> I just LOVE catching you in yet another of your drunken,
> functioanlly illiterate idiot moments, Todd ! ! !

Is that your job? Is that what you signed
up for? Or a recent change in your responsibilties.

Where was the renaissance?

mk5000

"The U.S. attorney's office cannot prosecute someone for murders that
could have been prevented by the [U.S.] government," -- one law
enforcement source.

5378. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 15:57:46 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <13406320af5b01d6dcda5da6f00aca72@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106888

In article <d4fhb2flj0@pita.alt.net>
"Tara Kinney" Secret Gohpher Face Tunder<Models@nospam> wrote:
>
>
> "Fritz Wuehler" <f...@spamexpire-
200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net> wrote
> in message
>
ne
ws
:c086bd0baec512db28f17b237a2409a7@msgid.frell.theremailer.net...
> In article <YpydnZFMkL3WnPbfRVn...@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> > Hate to dissappoint you, but I was licensed long before the
VE
> system. I
> > took my test at the FCC field office in front of an
examiner
> for my
> > Advanced ticket.
>
> And you were never good or smart enough to get the Extra, were
> you?
>
> So you hold onto an obsolete license......HAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
>
.
.
.
.
.
.
.....
> ....
>
> And you got a new, five words per minute Extra license.
> HAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

But he passed the 13 wpm
General.....before.....then the requirements
changed, that was out of his hands. You lose again!

> Of course you only had to test twice (lies flushed)

No, stupid, He only had to retest once. And he made sure you got
a letter from the FCC, Gopher face.
LOSER!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHA

5379. Gopher face, shouldn't you be jamming the Liberty Net like you always do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 16:17:43 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <LEO6G7BF38466.7623032407@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106889

```
In article <d4fh38$fa4$0@pita.alt.net>
"Tara Kinney" <Models@nospam> wrote:
>
> Where

Where you been hiding, Gopher face?
```

5380. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 16:29:16 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <8f419b8377ca697fa671fd26017880e4@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 106890

In article
<ExEae.10878$yq6.1381@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Sure, you have. You were the short fellow with the Stephen
King mask.

No, I haven't.
There you go flaunting your jealousy of Steven King again. Guess
when you are just a disinformation blurb writer versus a best
selling author, you really can't help but have sour grapes at
what your life turned out to be against his.

> It took the rest of us some time.

I'm sure it did, as slow and dimwitted as you are.

> Your tour was shorter. You fled.

No, since I never have been there.

> > Guess you don't get out much.
>
> Are you asking me for a date?

No,I hear you only go out with Bertie.

> > Now, Lurch the Nazi, you seem to see Roger everywhere. You
have
> > a bad comb-over from what was described to me. And all grey
> > hair. Too bad you dye your eyebrows, but you probably are
vain
> > like that.
>
> It'd be strange for Roger Wiseman to have a fixation on my
hair and
> eyebrows. It would be far more peculiar for you, a person you
claim not
> to be Roger Wiseman to have such a fixation--especially when
you worry
> that I don't go out enough.

Well, you are strange. Too bad Roger described what you look
like. And the above is all true.

> >>Are you entering the big Stephen King look-alike contest this
> >
> > summer?

>
> > No, since I don't look like Steven King.
>
> Don't be modest. You're a shoo-in for first prize.

There you go showing your jealousy of Steven King again.

> Don't you recognize it?

Lying again. You wrote it.

> That was my response to:

No, you just keep lying. That's what you are good at.

> Me first at what, UnWiseman?

You first as an asshole, Davey ASSheil.

> Oh, I see.

That is highly doubtful, since you perpetually have your cranium
in contact with your prostate.

> I'm beginning to see a pattern.

Again, doubtful.
Your "mind" is about as wide open as an eye of a needle.

> Hmmmm?

Are you offering to give me a hummer?

> Hmmmm?

Guess you are.

> They most certainly are. None of them has material consisting
of vile,
> vulgar references to someone's parents, children or spouse.
None of
> them depicts filthy acts of homosexuality, incest or
pedophilia.
> Yours, on the other hand...

Are not any worse than your filthy posts.

> Your rights end where they infringe upon mine.

You keep that in mind, Nazi.

> You see--there's your problem. You assume that everyone
thinks and acts
> like you do. You're socially defective.

You're socailly inept. But that trait permeates your entier
life..ineptness. You would qrm Roger if you ran across him on

the air.

> That's just lovely coming from the local filth monger.

Oh, such self righeousness, coming fromsomebody who posts filth
like you do.

> You're one of
> the most unbalanced people I've run into in years, UnWiseman.

Since I'm not "UnWiseman" your opinion is valueless, like you
life. And exactly where did you get your Psychology degree...oh,
that's right you don't have one.

> You're a problem to amateur radio

I am? Since I'm not Roger Wiseman, how is that possible?

> and a problem to your community.

Hardly.

> You haven't a family.

Wrong as usual.

But, neither do you, at least your perverted daddy isn't
diddling the altar boys anymore.

> Sure, sure, Roger--and you've never had troubles with the FCC
and never
> had troubles with local law enforcement. You're a regular
model citizen.

No matter how many times you lie, I'm still not Roger.

And Roger's last "troubles" with the FCC was 5 years ago. Onthe
rest, all you offer is hearsay evidence.
And from a proven liar like you, well, nobody can believe a liar
like you.

> *Just like* (blah blah blah)

You wrote it, Shit for brains.
Deal with it.

5381. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 17:15:34 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <e1a5fa37327104b00a6da8cf76f491d8@paranoici.org>
Source record: 106990

In article <d4g29vimr0@pita.alt.net>
"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" Secret Gopher Face
<D...@psyctohamshelp.org> wrote:

> So, Unro<babble>

So Gopher face, you still hide behind Altopia.

> Which one, two, or all three are responsible for the bowed over
> antenna support poles?

From what Roger has sent me (a picture), they aren't "bowed
over", Gopher face. You still living in that hole in the ground
and making meth? You already admitted you have pot growing
hydroponically in one of your hole in the ground "rooms."

5382. Re: Who Am I?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 17:15:35 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <cf21805cbd172d03cfba543d756f0763@paranoici.org>
Source record: 106917

In article <d4e5a7$bp4$0@pita.alt.net>
"George Orwell" <a...@orwellanonnospam.com> wrote:
>
> I (whines flushed)

It's Secret Davey ASSheil. He's a "an bass odor," that's why he
has to drench himself in right guard.

Perhpas Gopher Face, who's drunk daddy lost his phtog assginment
before WW2 ended since he couldn't stay sober long enough to do
anything of value.

5383. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 17:17:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3xQae.12801$IP1.6550@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106891

Anonymous wrote:
> In article <YpydnZFMkL3WnPbfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Secret Davey Nazi<m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>
>>Hate to dissappoint you,(whines)
>
>
> But you were always a disspointment, weren't you? Even to your
> parents.

> Poor little fatass Davey, he still can't prove the retest letter
> had a reason other than:

Sorry to interrupt a good rant, UnWiseman. You aren't replying to me.
As I've told you, I post as no one but myself. Your mistake is in
thinking that because you do something, all others do the same.

Keep taking your pills and get plenty of rest.

Dave K8MN

5384. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 17:35:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vNQae.12806$IP1.9649@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106893

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> In article
> <ExEae.10878$yq6.1381@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Sure, you have. You were the short fellow with the Stephen
>
> King mask.
>
> No, I haven't.

You haven't what?

>> Your tour was shorter. You fled.

> No, since I never have been there.

Oh! Have it your way: Roger fled.

>>>Guess you don't get out much.
>>
>>Are you asking me for a date?
>
>
> No,I hear you only go out with Bertie.

Are you jealous of Bert? Do you feel that he monopolizes my time?

>>>Now, Lurch the Nazi, you seem to see Roger everywhere. You
>
> have
>
>>>a bad comb-over from what was described to me. And all grey
>>>hair. Too bad you dye your eyebrows, but you probably are
>
> vain
>
>>>like that.
>>
>>It'd be strange for Roger Wiseman to have a fixation on my
>
> hair and
>
>>eyebrows. It would be far more peculiar for you, a person you
>

> claim not
>
>>to be Roger Wiseman to have such a fixation--especially when
>
> you worry
>
>>that I don't go out enough.
>
>
> Well, you are strange. Too bad Roger described what you look
> like. And the above is all true.

It'd be odd enough for Roger to be fixated on my hair and eyebrows. It is even more peculiar for a fellow who has never seen me to be fixated on them. Am I to gather that you and Roger spend a lot of time discussing my hair and eyebrows?

>>>>Are you entering the big Stephen King look-alike contest this
>>>
>>>summer?
>>
>>>No, since I don't look like Steven King.
>>
>>Don't be modest. You're a shoo-in for first prize.
>
>
> There you go showing your jealousy of Steven King again.

Oh, not at all. He's the Prince of Creepy and you're the King.

>>Don't you recognize it?
>
>
> Lying again. You wrote it.

It takes a foolish, foolish man to deny writing something easily seen by all. You're a foolish, foolish man.

>>They most certainly are. None of them has material consisting
>
> of vile,
>
>>vulgar references to someone's parents, children or spouse.
>
> None of
>
>>them depicts filthy acts of homosexuality, incest or
>
> pedophilia.
>
>>Yours, on the other hand...
>

>
> Are not any worse than your filthy posts.

That's been your problem all along. You fail to see the difference.
You're a sociopath.

>>Your rights end where they infringe upon mine.
>
>
> You keep that in mind, Nazi.

Why, I've kept that in mind all along.

>>You see--there's your problem. You assume that everyone
>
> thinks and acts
>
>>like you do. You're socially defective.
>
>
> You're socailly inept. But that trait permeates your entier
> life..ineptness. You would qrm Roger if you ran across him on
> the air.
>
>
>>That's just lovely coming from the local filth monger.
>
>
> Oh, such self righeousness, coming fromsomebody who posts filth
> like you do.

Proof?

>> You're one of
>>the most unbalanced people I've run into in years, UnWiseman.
>
>
>
> Since I'm not "UnWiseman" your opinion is valueless, like you
> life. And exactly where did you get your Psychology degree...oh,
> that's right you don't have one.
>
>
>>You're a problem to amateur radio
>
>
> I am? Since I'm not Roger Wiseman, how is that possible?

Oh, I forgot, *wink*, *wink*. If you just happened to be Roger Wiseman,
you're a problem to amateur radio.

>>and a problem to your community.

> Hardly.

Your local law enforcement personnel disagree.

>>You haven't a family.

> Wrong as usual.

Right. Roger Wiseman hasn't a family.

> But, neither do you, at least your perverted daddy isn't
> diddling the altar boys anymore.

See what I mean about your failure to see the difference? You're a
sociopath without a friend in the world. Is that where your anger at
others comes from, Roger?

>>Sure, sure, Roger--and you've never had troubles with the FCC
>
> and never
>
>>had troubles with local law enforcement. You're a regular
>
> model citizen.
>
> No matter how many times you lie, I'm still not Roger.

No matter how many times you repeat, "I'm still not Roger", you're lying.

> And Roger's last "troubles" with the FCC was 5 years ago. Onthe
> rest, all you offer is hearsay evidence.

Google isn't hearsay.

> And from a proven liar like you, well, nobody can believe a liar
> like you.

Your big on the accusation of lying but short on "proven".

>>*Just like* (blah blah blah)

> You wrote it, Shit for brains.
> Deal with it.

Nbot, UnWiseman.

Dave K8MN

5385. Re: Roger & Unroger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 17:37:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sPQae.12809$IP1.4659@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106894

Userbeam Remailer wrote:
> In article <d4est5rpr0@pita.alt.net>
> "Psychiatrist-To-Hams" Secret Davey<D...@psyctohamshelp.org>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>Roger & Unroger
>
>
> Secret Nazi Davey, does the WV Board of Medicine know you are
> practicing Medicine without a license?
>
> They will!

You seem bewildered, Roger. I'm not posting as anyone but myself.
Contact anyone you'd like. Have a blast.

Dave K8MN

5386. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 17:45:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fXQae.12816$lP1.753@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106895

Tarapia Tapioco wrote:
> In article
> <9xBae.11489$An2.10572@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Roger is flailing about, grasping for something--anything to
>
> hang on me.
>
>>He'll be disappointed.
>
>
> Nazi Davey is flailing his flabby fat arms about, hoping that if
> he lies that Roger is posting, everybody will beleive him
> because he is the self-appointed newsgroup moderator.

Why, no, Roger, I've never claimed to be any such thing. Everyone will believe me because there's no dirt on me. A Google search on me or my callsign reveals none of the stuff that one on you and your AB8MQ and KC8JBO incarnations reveals.

>>It happens all the time. A background check is done. The
>
> marriage is
>
>>"blessed" by the Bureau of Diplomatic Security.
>
>
> But not in your case.

Sure. Right. Uh-huh. OK. Those things happen for everyone else but not in my case. You're such a babbling moron.

>>At my last (blah blah blah)
>
>
> More lies that cannot be substantiated. How typical of Nazi
> A--heil.

Those statements are easily substantiated. Go substantiate. Make it your mission in life. Seek the truth.

Dave K8MN

5387. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 17:49:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1%Qae.12818$lP1.7326@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> I HAVE MORE INTELLIGENTS IN MY...

Priceless.

Dave K8MN

5388. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 17:51:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <L0Rae.12819$IP1.6215@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106898

N9OGL wrote:
> correction
> I haven't been on the air illegal since 1999
>

Priceless!

Dave K8MN

5389. Re: To hell with this dumb group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 18:03:43 UTC
From: "mopathetic is a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114365823.882852.20790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame.jews,alt.politics.white-power

mopathetic aint mad, he is just a ridiculous retard.

5390. Re: To hell with this dumb group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 18:04:17 UTC
From: "mopathetic is a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114365857.942990.71510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame.jews,alt.politics.white-power

we KNOW that's the real mopathetic now!

5391. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 18:22:48 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <4f6c4bf0c40d82e683edef567f2c52a8@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106915

In article <d4g29vimr0@pita.alt.net>
"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" Secret Gopher Face
<D...@psyctohamshelp.org> wrote:

> So, Unro<babble>

So Gopher face, you still hide behind Altopia.

> Which one, two, or all three are responsible for the bowed over
> antenna support poles?

From what Roger has sent me (a picture), they aren't "bowed
over", Gopher face. You still living in that hole in the ground
and making meth?

5392. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 18:24:49 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <xuKdndPlgdEbnPHfRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106960

Fritz Wuehler wrote:
> In article <YpydnZFMkL3WnPbfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>
>>Hate to dissappoint you, but I was licensed long before the VE
>
> system. I
>
>> took my test at the FCC field office in front of an examiner
>
> for my
>
>>Advanced ticket.
>
>
> And you were never good or smart enough to get the Extra, were
> you?

Have no need for the extra and I don't need it as some status symbol,
but I did take my exam in front of an FCC examiner at an FCC field
office, can you wogie say that? Didn't think so. Has the FCC ever ask me
to retest to keep my license? No...can you wogie say that? Didn't think
so. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
Poor two-test wogie, the FCC caught him red handed jamming on 20 meters
and made him retest. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> So you hold onto an obsolete license......HAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
>

Obsolete? Can you point out where the FCC has made the Advanced ticket
obsolete? It is still a valid license class until the FCC says
differently.........HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!! Stupid twit.

5393. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 18:26:55 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <xuKdndLlgdGBn_HfRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106961

N9OGL wrote:
> The way my google system is setup here, i can't do spell check and I'm
> not to take the time to go to another application to check my spelling.
> Todd N9OGL
>

How about going to second grade and learn a little spelling?

5394. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 18:32:22 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <xuKdnc3lgdHmnvHfRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> I HAVE MORE INTELLIGENTS IN MY DICK THEN YOU HAVE IN YOUR WHOLE BODY, I
> WAS NOT THE ONE WHO POSTED THIS SHITTY THREAD .
>

What is "intelligents"? If your "intelligents" is where you say it is,
that explains a lot. You're a real piece of work toddieboy, a major
moronic twit.

5395. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 18:38:56 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <xuKdnczlgdGpmPHfRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106963

Dee Flint wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1114347403.133967.195520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>>The House Subcommittee on Telecommunication oversees the FCC, if you
>>read the whole letter I inform him that there is I believe a form of
>>abuse going on , He will then in turn ask the FCC to resolve the
>>problem. as for blowing it off it's very doubtful, I keep bugging him
>>about it until something is done about it.
>>
>>Todd N9OGL
>>
>
>
> He will NOT do anything about it except perhaps to tell YOU to contact the
> FCC. The subcommittee job is to review proposed laws before being submitted
> to the floor. It is NOT to interpret law. You need to start in the right
> place and that is the FCC.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Toddieboy is a complete moron, I am sure that his letters go immediately
into file 13.

5396. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 18:44:30 UTC
From: Secwet Woger <anon@anonsecwetwoger.net>
Message-ID: <d4gpc0$sl3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106952

"A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
news:691c980e97e1420a63721246b66fb3de@melontraffickers.com...
> In article <d4erke$ou4$0@pita.alt.net>
> "George Orwell" Secret LARDASS<d...@dxanonspamsno.net> wrote:
>
>>
>> NOTE: Poster responded (wrong again, fatass. I responded to
> you, not to "Roger".)
>
> Note: Poster responded to the name LARDASS!
>
> You lose, Tubby.
>

The babbling half-deflated Glendale psycho continues struggling
to hold on to reality.
Take your Lithium Unroger. LOL

5397. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 18:47:13 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <m76dnZ-x45L-mvHfRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106965

Anonymous AKA two-test wogie wrote:
> In article <YpydnZFMkL3WnPbfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Secret Davey Nazi<m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>
>>Hate to dissappoint you,(whines)
>
>
> But you were always a disspointment, weren't you? Even to your
> parents.

Did you even have parents? Bet they never would admit it.
>
> Who cares how long you have been a ham. Nobody.

But a lot longer than you have, two-test wogie, and I took my exam in front of an FCC examiner at an FCC field office, not at some license give away VEC session. In all the years I have been licensed, the FCC has never had a reason to make me retest to keep my license. Can you say that two-test wogie? Didn't think so...HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>>Poor widdle two-t (poor lisping cocksucking fatass)

Poor potty mouth wogie.
>
>
> Poor little fatass Davey, he still can't prove the retest letter
> had a reason other than:
>
> "The FCC has the authority, pursuant to ï¿½97.519(d)(2) of the
> rules to readminister any examination element previously
> administered by a volunteer examiner."
>
> And still no other reason was given in Roger's retest letter!

Poor widdle two-test wogie, had to retake his amateur exam on orders of the FCC. The FCC does not make someone retest just for fun, it always involves some violation of the rules. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAA!!!!!!! Wogie still thinks I am Davey, Lloyd and a host of others, poor little twit, can't figure anything out.

5398. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 18:48:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <m76dnZ6x45JMmvHfRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106967

Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
> In article <YpydnZFMkL3WnPbfRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Secret Davey Nazi<m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>
>>Hate to dissappoint you,(whines)
>
>
> But you were always a disspointment, weren't you? Even to your
> parents.
>
> Who cares how long you have been a ham. Nobody.
>
>
>>Poor widdle two-t (poor lisping cocksucking fatass)
>
>
> Poor little fatass Davey, he still can't prove the retest letter
> had a reason other than:
>
> "The FCC has the authority, pursuant to ï¿½97.519(d)(2) of the
> rules to readminister any examination element previously
> administered by a volunteer examiner."
>
> And still no other reason was given in Roger's retest letter!
>
> You should know, you or one of your cronies used an aonymous
> remailer to post this:
> Date: Thu, 8 Mar 2001 15:50:43 -0600
> From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remai...@anon.xg.nu>
> Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address
> above.
> It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
> Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
> remailer administrator at <a...@anon.xg.nu>.
> Subject: Where'd you retest Wiseman?
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
> Message-ID: <692fe6db1f2598e67c54dd5d5cb3ca10@anon.xg.nu>
> Mail-To-News-Contact: a...@dizum.com
> Organization: mail2n...@dizum.com
> Lines: 19
>
> SUBJECT: Amateur Radio license KC8JBO
>

> Dear Mr. Wiseman:
>
> Pursuant to Section 97.519(d)(2) of the Commission’s
> Rules, 47 C.F.R. Section 97.519(d)(2), the Commission has the
> authority to re-administer any examination element previously
> administered by Volunteer Examiners (VE’s). The Commission
> may either administer the examination itself, or under the
> supervision of a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator (VEC) or VE
> designated by the Commission.
>
> Accordingly, we are requiring you to re-take the General Class
> Amateur Examination, (Elements 2, 3(A) and 3(B)) under the
> supervision of an American Radio Relay League Volunteer Examiner
> Coordinator. This examination must be completed on or before
> September 11, 2000. The required code speed that you must
> demonstrate is 5 words per minute.
>
> ---
> This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
> It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
> http://anon.xg.nu
> ---
>

So, two-test wogie, how many times under different ids do you have to
post the same thing? Can't you get it right the first time? Didn't think so.

5399. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 18:50:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <m76dnZmx45LLlfHfRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:1114348116.812323.29890@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>>WELL STEVE I CAN WRITE A LOT BETTER THEN YOUR DAUGHTER....OOPS SHE DEAD
>>
>
>
> I will hold no further discourse with a person who stoops to the inexcusable
> action of attempting to degrade a dead child in an attempt to hurt someone.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Everytime I think Todd can't sink any lower he continues to prove otherwise.

5400. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 18:58:01 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <8IGK6OFL38466.8736226852@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106954

In article <d4f5t4$g4l$0@pita.alt.net>
"Rabbi Roger" Secret Lardass<LampSh...@noforeskin.com> wrote:

> Poor (IKYABWAI)

Poor Lardass, 31 year old and can't even get a pity fuck.

5401. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 19:02:27 UTC
From: Scratch Dog Twice <ya@scrdotwices.org>
Message-ID: <d4gqdl$uh4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net> wrote in message
news:d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net...
> You freebanders better knock it off.
> The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
> already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
>

There were multiple coordinated busts in several cities last
night. Freebanders could be in for some big trouble from
Uncle Candy Pants. Tons of equipment seized. Ham radio
operators assisted in all raids.

5402. Re: Not Roger...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 19:03:47 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <79BOFORE38466.6276273148@anonymous>
Source record: 106981

In article <d4g3mh$kv8$0@pita.alt.net>
"CW" <c...@morsemeternets.net> wrote:
>
>
> How many times did Riley cite Roger for malicious intentional
> QRM on 20 meters? The guys on 3825 say he was cited three
> times, but some say Roger was cited four times?

Poor Gopher face, you can't count.

One warning letter.

> How many times was he retested because of his qrm activities?

Only once, but he still passed it and upgraded to Extra! Unlike
you.

You sure got a letter from Riley, huh, Gopher Face!

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5403. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 19:17:48 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <71041598d26658ef81167afac7b42b9e@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106955

In article
<vNQae.12806$lP1.9649@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> You haven't what?

Can't you keep up? Senility creeping in already?

> Oh! Have it your way: Roger fled.

Not from what he and others have said. But I'm sure you'll
continue to lie.

> Are you jealous of Bert? Do you feel that he monopolizes my
time?

Oh, so you do go out on dates with him.

> It'd be odd enough for Roger to be fixated on my hair and
eyebrows. It
> is even more peculiar for a fellow who has never seen me to be
fixated
> on them. Am I to gather that you and Roger spend a lot of time
> discussing my hair and eyebrows?

Sort of like the time you spend describing him as your hated
better, Steven King. It sure is odd that you like describing him
in such ways. Am I to gather that you like talking about other
men's features?
You sure don't like your numerous flaws pointed out back at you,
do you?

> Oh, not at all. He's the Prince of Creepy and you're the King.

That must make you the Queen, Lurch.

> It takes a foolish, foolish man to deny writing something
easily seen by
> all. You're a foolish, foolish man.

And you must enjoy being wrong and making up lies.

> That's been your problem all along. You fail to see the
difference.
> You're a sociopath.

No. And you fit the description of sociopath, seeing Roger
everywhere, wanting to chat about him so much. Are you stalking
him?

> Why, I've kept that in mind all along.

I suppose you have little else to keep it occupied since your
errand boy job to the Cashwew capital was short-lived.
> Proof?

Of?

> Oh, I forgot, *wink*, *wink*.

Homosexual advances?

> If you just happened to be Roger Wiseman,
> you're a problem to amateur radio.

No, but you apparently are.

> Your local law enforcement personnel disagree.

Oh, and they would be?

> Right. Roger Wiseman hasn't a family.

Oh, you know so little.

> See what I mean

Yes, you like to show off your ignorance.

> Google isn't hearsay.

Yes, it is.

> Your big on the accusation of lying but short on "proven".

"You're" not big on the correct spelling or complete sentrences,
though.

> Nbot, UnWiseman.

Showing off those less than stellar spelling skills, ASSheil?

--
Assheil flips his bad comb-over 180 degrees in
<vNQae.12806$lP1.9649@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Your big on the accusation of lying but short on "proven"."

5404. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 19:30:28 UTC
From: Schwartz <jewman@nospam>
Message-ID: <d4gs4rbd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> I WOULD SAY ARF ARF, YOU CAN HIDE YOU NAME BUT YOU CAN'T HIDE YOUR PATH
> OR YOUR IP ADDRESS..... I WOULD SUGGEST YOU GO LEARN SOMETHING ABOUT
> THE INTERNET
>
> TODD N9OGL
>

One could also suggest that you learn something, Todd.

You walk about acting like a childish fool and then you open your mouth
and remove all doubt.

Silence is golden, Todd. Try it.

5405. Re: N8WWM in Trouble again!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 19:31:56 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <426bf49d_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 106957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050424065558.989$nf_-_@newsreader.com...
> lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Nomen Nescio wrote:
>> > You freebanders better knock it off.
>> > The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
>> > already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
>>
>> They are looking into no code techs like you
>>
> They got N8WWM already.
>
> If you see the fugly felon doug at Dayton ask him these questions.
>
> (he will be attempting to sell some trash there if I didn't
> scare him away again)
>
>
I can answer these questions for you, I'm his felon common law wife.
>
>
> 1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?
>
Terrible, he is a bigger nut job now than ever!
>
> 2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?
>
Terrible, the dead beat daddy still lives off me unless he can swindle
someone with his
superskyhooks antenna scam!
>
> 3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?
>
Wage withholding? Would't he have to get a job for that to occur?
>
> 4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?
>
Probably, he's always bragging about cutting peoples coax and jamming
repeaters.
>
> 5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
> up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?
>

I doubt it. I have a hard time getting him to walk away from the window and
stop
shaking in fear after the last time someone came looking for him!
>
> Here's what the felon looks like:
>
> http://img258.echo.cx/img258/8190/fuglydogiecloseupgif7bt.jpg
>
> and
>
> http://img258.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly2nk.jpg
>
Yes, that's my little hog joweled felon. We are both felons so at
least we
have something besides living in the ghetto in common.

5406. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 19:39:24 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <d4c3495f1b351977a083b4bb46418f6f@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106999

In article <fXQae.12816$lP1.753@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Why, no, Roger,

There you go seeing Roger everywhere again.

> I've never claimed to be any such thing.

Yes, you have. And so have your actions on the newsgroup.

> Everyone will
> believe me because there's no dirt on me. A Google search on
me or my
> callsign reveals none of the stuff that one on you and your
AB8MQ and
> KC8JBO incarnations reveals.

Wrong as usual, Nazi ASSheil. A check of Google reveals your
filthy posts.

> Sure. Right. Uh-huh. OK. Those things happen for everyone
else but not
> in my case. You're such a babbling moron.

Mr. Kettle, meet Mr.Pot.
You sure are making up more lies about it.

> Those statements are easily substantiated. Go substantiate.

No, your lies and unsubstantiated remarks are easliy disproved.

> Make it your mission in life. Seek the truth.

One wouldn't ever find it from you.

5407. Re: Who Am I?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 19:39:38 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <58561f640b1adae6879716011216c9e8@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106912

In article <d4e5a7$bp4$0@pita.alt.net>
"George Orwell" <a...@orwellanonnospam.com> wrote:
>
> I (whines flushed)

It's Secret Davey ASSheil. He's a "an bass odor," that's why he
has to drench himself in right guard.

Perhaps Gopher Face, who's drunk daddy lost his photog
assginment before WW2 ended since he couldn't stay sober long
enough to do anything of value.

5408. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 19:44:57 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <7rWdnWnJ5c_ri_HfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106974

George Orwell wrote:
> In article <xuKdndPlgdEbnPHfRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey SECRET GOPHER FACE <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>>And you were never good or smart enough to get the Extra,
>
> were
>
>>>you?
>>
>>Have no need for the extra and I don't need it as some status
>
> symbol,
>
> Which translates to "Yes, I am too stupid and lazy to try to get
> it."
>

No, it translates to, 'with the limited operating I do keeping skeds with a few ham friends on 40 meters, I have no use for an extra class license, nor do I desire an extra class license and I do not need it as some silly status symbol as two-test wogie does."

>
>>but I did take my exam in front of an FCC examiner at an FCC
>
> field
>
>>office, can you wogie say that? Didn't think so.
>
>
> I'm not "Wogie",

There you are in denial again.

>But Roger took a commercial license exam in
> front of an FCC examiner a long time ago, (mid 1970's or so).

So did I for a First Class Phone, so what does that have to do with the fact that two-test wogie had to retake the test to keep his ham license because the FCC caught him red-handed violating FCC regulations? How long has two-test wogie held a ham license? How many times did wogie have to test to keep his license? Why did the FCC make wogie retake the test to keep his license? The FCC doesn't make someone retest just for the fun of it, it always involves a violation of the rules. I have been licensed for over forty years and never have I been asked by the FCC to

retest to keep my license. Can two-test wogie say that? Didn't think so.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!! Two-test wogie, the
laughing stock of ham radio and the Internet.
>
>
>>Has the FCC ever ask me to retest to keep my license? No...can
>
> you wogie say that? Didn't think
>
>>so. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> And it's a good thing, too, because you wouldn't even get a no
> code tech if you had to retest.

I haven't violate any FCC rules like two-test wogie so I don't have to
be retested.

>
>
>>Poor two-test wogie, the FCC caught him red handed jamming on
>
> 20 meters
>
>>and made him retest.
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Poor Gopher face, he got a letter from the FCC telling him not
> to jam Roger Wiseman on the air, too.
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Oh? Got some proof of that?
>
>
>>>So you hold onto an obsolete license......HAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
>>>
>>
>>Obsolete? Can you point out where the FCC has made the
>
> Advanced ticket
>
>>obsolete?
>
>
> They no longer issue them, except for renewal purposes.

Thus they are not obsolete, you need to educate yourself a bit.
>
>
>>It is still a valid license class until the FCC says

>>differently.........HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!! Stupid twit.
>
>
> That why they no longer issue them, except to ones that already
> have them?? Dumb fuck.

It is still a valid license until the FCC deems otherwise, moronic twit.
>

5409. Re: Who Am I?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 19:45:48 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <7rWdnWjJ5c8xi_HfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106975

Schwartz wrote:
> George Orwell wrote:
>
>> In article <d4e5a7$bp4$0@pita.alt.net>
>> "George Orwell" <a...@orwellanonnospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>> I (whines flushed)
>>
>>
>>
>> It's Secret Davey ASSheil. He's a "an bass odor," that's why he has to
>> drench himself in right guard.
>>
>> Perhaps Gopher Face, who's drunk daddy lost his photog assignment
>> before WW2 ended since he couldn't stay sober long enough to do
>> anything of value.
>>
>
> Hey, Wogie. Seen any burly strangers lurking in your neighborhood?
>
> You will.

He will hide behind the curtains. Marie isn't there to protect him anymore.

5410. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 19:52:08 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <e1a5fa37327104b00a6da8cf76f491d8@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106958

In article <d4g29vimr0@pita.alt.net>
"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" Secret Gopher Face
<D...@psyctohamshelp.org> wrote:

> So, Unro<babble>

So Gopher face, you still hide behind Altopia.

> Which one, two, or all three are responsible for the bowed over
> antenna support poles?

From what Roger has sent me (a picture), they aren't "bowed
over", Gopher face. You still living in that hole in the ground
and making meth? You already admitted you have pot growing
hydroponically in one of your hole in the ground "rooms."

5411. Lardass has head in ass operation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 19:55:19 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050424195519.16869171A9@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d4f64i$gj1$0@pita.alt.net>
"Rabbi Roger" SECRET LARDASS <LampSh...@noforeskin.com> wrote:
>
> Ro

Lardass has been plagiarizing again. He actually had an
operation so he could have a tube inserted to breathe while his
head was up his fat ass.

5412. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 20:22:23 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <6eff20f772eecc2e41eff13dec41637e@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106959

In article
<3xQae.12801$lP1.6550@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Sorry to interrupt a good rant, UnWiseman.

Yes, you certainly are a sorry excuse for a human being, ASSheil.

> You aren't replying to me.

Then who am I replying to? Can't you follow along ASSHeil?

> As I've told you, I post as no one but myself.

And you are lying.

> Your mistake is in thinking that because you do something, all
others do the same.

Your mistake in thinking Roger Wiseman has an exclusive hatred
of you.

> Keep taking your pills and get plenty of rest.

Keep taking your Rogaine for your receding hairline and
antacids.....maybe your ulcers will with any luck turn into
inoperable cancer.

5413. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 20:45:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1114375513.246877.109240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106964

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs,Apr 21 2005 2:35 pm
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > > > > With the RF Gain on maximum and AGC disabled, BFO > >>
> > > > on,
> >> > > > > one will eventually start "hearing morse code"
> >> > > > > on "the bands." :-)
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Wrong, Len. With the AGC disabled and BFO on, the *AF* > >>
> > > gain should be
> >> > > > at maximum and the RF gain used for volume control.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > For both SSB and CW/Morse reception
> >> > >
> >> > > ...and for RTTY.
>
> etc., :-)
>
> Oh my how the LITERALISTS hop in with FALSE "corrections" in
> order to attempt making nasty to "opponents."

No, Len, I just corrected your mistake. Deal with it.

> Notice the little smiley I originally wrote? You two didn't?
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk... :-)

You use so many smileys that they're meaningless noise.
>
> With maximum gain, almost ANYTHING will be "heard" on a
> radio with NO signals present. If someone WANTS to hear
> morse, then, listening to noise, they eventually will. :-)

Just as predicted, you try to tapdance out of a mistake.

> Note: Check one of Cecil Moore's early postings about
> how he (in humor) said he "could hear morse from his
> car tires when traveling on rough highways." :-)

You're not him.

> Now let's turn back to the good old ARC-5 Command
> receivers...which DID have BFOs and thus morse code

> beeping capability in the audio output. Look again at
> their circuitry. See any "A.F.gain" control in there?
> What, couldn't find it? You couldn't, the thousands of
> them were NEVER made with any "A.F. gain" or "volume"
> control! Amazing!

I never said the BC-453 or any other "Command Set" had an AF
gain control, Len.

> Only ONE "volume" control, better known as an "R.F.gain"
> that changed input amplifier bias. That even included
> the original "Q-Fiver," the LF band version of the ARC-5
> receiver.

I traced the circuitry of one more than 35 years ago. I probably
know more about them than you do, Len.

I never said the BC-453 or any other "Command Set" had an AF
gain control.

> >> > Well, that depends on the type of RTTY operation and
> >> > equipment. While
> >> > we hams usually use "SSB" detection of RTTY signals, and
> >> > then an audio TU, there are other ways.
> >>
> >> While hams have used pure AFSK with FM at VHF, a BFO or
> >> product detector
> >> in the SSB mode is the traditional of RTTY reception. It
> >> was also the
> >> method used by USG agencies at HF.
> >
> Oh, dear, here comes the Department of State, equating
> amateur radio with "U.S. Government agencies!" :-)

Ever work at a US Govt. RTTY *receiving* setup, Len?
>
> Tsk, tsk, then whatever you TWO know suddenly becomes
> what "ALL hams" do!! Marvelous.

Who said that?
>
> Commercial and Government users of TTY reception
> NORMALLY use "converters" outboard of the receivers.
> Those are specifically tailored to detect the FSK
> (Frequency-Shift Keying) that is COMMON in RTTY
> communications. Those converters (in the generic
> sense, NOT as "what hams know" as "converters" to
> down-frequency-convert VHF or UHF to HF) usually
> have (in older days) some mild analog signal
> processing to both clean up the demodulated TTY
> Mark and Space for less distortion and to limit
> interfering signal amplitude in between Mark and

> Space as well as above and below them.

So do they demodulate audio signals or IF signals, Len?

Or don't you know?
>
> >One of the arguments for keeping some sort of code test is that
> >hams do, indeed, use Morse Code. And on HF, they use it *a
> >lot*.
>
> Hams use morse code to sell real estate? :-)
>
> >Now whether that constitutes enough reason to keep the test is
> >purely a matter of opinion - but it *is* a reason.
>
> A vapid "reason" considering that the government
> does NOT *REQUIRE* any class ham to specifically
> USE morse code over and above other OPTIONAL modes.

So what?

> As Hans Brakob pointed out in another thread, ANY
> U.S. class radio amateur CAN use morse code...but
> they are NOT REQUIRED to do so.

So what?

Amateurs are not *required* to use any particular mode, frequency or band - but they *are* tested on what modes, bands and frequencies they are allowed to use.

> >As we have often seen, Len constantly minimizes and ignores the
> >role Morse Code plays and has played in radio communication.
>
> Oh, oh! Jimmie done said a WRONG THING there!

Not me.

> I've repeatedly pointed out that On-Off Keying, as
> by morse code, was THE ONLY METHOD OF USING EARLY
> RADIO AS A COMMUNICATIONS MEDIUM!

As a way of minimizing its use after the days of "EARLY RADIO"

And you do get upset when it is pointed out the Reginald Fessenden was using voice radio communications as early as 1900, and had established regular 2 way transatlantic *voice* communications by November of 1906.

> As the ONLY way to communicate by early radio, I'd
> say - and HAVE SAID - that the ONLY way is IT. As
> such, it would intrinsically BE the "great part" of
> early radio! :-)

And I would say you're full of it ;-) ;-) ;-)
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, I don't see (and hardly anyone else
> "can see") Jimmie maximizing the early SPARK
> transmissions as having been a "role" as great as
> morse code...:-)

The spark era was actually very short in the history of
radio - by the mid-1920s it was almost gone.

> > So it's natural that he'd want to minimize reception of the mode..
>
> Poor baby...can't understand simple HUMOR, can you?

Sure I can, Len. But your attempts at humor aren't funny.
They're just dumb.

> You MUST be the LITERALIST, taking EVERYTHING EXACTLY
> as its written!!

Putting a smiley at the end does not make a dumb statement funny.

> No possibility of exaggeration as an
> essential part of humor.

Here's a hint, Len: The *audience* decides what's funny and what
isn't.

> To you two, all things ham
> are SERIOUS BUSINESS (even if amateur means not to gain
> monetarily from the activity). :-)

I don't take *you* seriously, Len.

I don't think anyone does ;-)

> >Just a guess. But I don't see him thanking me for pointing out
> >his error, or even acknowledging it.
>
> Tsk, tsk, I "acknowledge" only that you wrote what you
> THOUGHT was a "correction." It was NOT a "correction."

Sure it was, Len. You don't know squat about Morse Code or its
reception ;-)

> The "correction" (as it was portrayed with an example
> of the original "Q-Fiver" out of an LF Command Set
> receiver) was WRONG. There is NO "A.F.gain" on any of
> those receivers. With NO such control it is impossible
> to "set gain" of it. :-)

I never said the BC-453 or any other "Command Set" had an AF
gain control.

5414. Gopher Face?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 20:50:17 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050424205017.71803170FE@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106986

In article <d4g2l8$j7g$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <a...@anonclubradios.org> wrote:
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" Secret Gopher Face <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote
in message
> news:d4fedm$8r6$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Of course

Of Course, Gopher Face hides like he did in that truck.

>
> LOL!

Lardass, drunk and alone on Saturday night? BWHAHAHAHAHA!

5415. Re: Who Am I?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 20:54:35 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <633b8ac065ca49d2709a77b6da5712b5@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106966

In article <d4e5a7$bp4$0@pita.alt.net>
"George Orwell" <a...@orwellanonnospam.com> wrote:
>
> I (whines flushed)

It's Secret Davey ASSheil. He's a "an bass odor," that's why he
has to drench himself in right guard.

Perhaps Gopher Face, who's drunk daddy lost his photog
assignment before WW2 ended since he couldn't stay sober long
enough to do anything of value.

5416. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 21:15:09 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <e025a9e743d6110547e28216cc90ac78@paranoici.org>
Source record: 106982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d4gs4rbd0@pita.alt.net>
Schwartz <jewman@nospam> wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > I WOULD SAY ARF ARF, YOU CAN HIDE YOU NAME BUT YOU CAN'T
HIDE YOUR PATH
> > OR YOUR IP ADDRESS..... I WOULD SUGGEST YOU GO LEARN
SOMETHING ABOUT
> > THE INTERNET
> >
> > TODD N9OGL
> >
>
> One could also suggest that you learn something, Todd.
>
> You walk about acting like a childish fool and then you open
your mouth
> and remove all doubt.
>
> Silence is golden, Todd. Try it.

Hi Lardass! or is the twat who lives at:

2855 EMANUEL CHURCH RD
WEST COLUMBIA, SC 29170
Phone 803-996-0956

5417. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 21:17:14 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114377433.974580.94410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106969

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Unfortunately, Steve and Dave have granted asylum to the
refugees
> > > from
> > > > rra.misc.
> > >
> > > We "granted" nothing.
> > >
> > > However we did already have you, Todd and Lennie, so what's
> the
> > > difference?
> >
> > Todd is one of the refugees who you have found a home on rrap.
Your
> > mistake.
>
>
> Wrong again, Brain. (WHY does Brian P Burke continue to utter
> falsehoods in public?)
>
> He made it here all on his own.

Why do you deny it? You and Dave Heil give him reason to come back.
Thanks. Just what we needed, another rectum.

5418. Re: Who Am I?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 21:24:39 UTC
From: Schwartz <jewman@nospam>
Message-ID: <d4h2qvcnq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106970

George Orwell wrote:
> In article <d4e5a7$bp4$0@pita.alt.net>
> "George Orwell" <a...@orwellanonnospam.com> wrote:
>
>>I (whines flushed)
>
>
> It's Secret Davey ASSheil. He's a "an bass odor," that's why he
> has to drench himself in right guard.
>
> Perhaps Gopher Face, who's drunk daddy lost his photog
> assignment before WW2 ended since he couldn't stay sober long
> enough to do anything of value.
>

Hey, Wogie. Seen any burly strangers lurking in your neighborhood?

You will.

5419. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 21:24:42 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <9b05341572c867e642dec7a4fefbecb9@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 106972

In article <xuKdndPlgdEbnPHfRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey SECRET GOPHER FACE <m...@that.moc> wrote:
> > And you were never good or smart enough to get the Extra,
were
> > you?
>
> Have no need for the extra and I don't need it as some status
symbol,

Which translates to "Yes, I am too stupid and lazy to try to get
it."

> but I did take my exam in front of an FCC examiner at an FCC
field
> office, can you wogie say that? Didn't think so.

I'm not "Wogie", But Roger took a commercial license exam in
front of an FCC examiner a long time ago, (mid 1970's or so).

> Has the FCC ever ask me to retest to keep my license? No...can
you wogie say that? Didn't think
> so. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!

And it's a good thing, too, because you wouldn't even get a no
code tech if you had to retest.

> Poor two-test wogie, the FCC caught him red handed jamming on
20 meters
> and made him retest.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Poor Gopher face, he got a letter from the FCC telling him not
to jam Roger Wiseman on the air, too.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> > So you hold onto an obsolete license......HAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
> >
>
> Obsolete? Can you point out where the FCC has made the
Advanced ticket
> obsolete?

They no longer issue them, except for renewal purposes.

>It is still a valid license class until the FCC says
> differently.........HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!! Stupid twit.

That why they no longer issue them, except to ones that already have them?? Dumb fuck.

5420. Re: Un Roger opening a new Chinese restarant?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 21:33:25 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050424213325.32D87171A7@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106913

In article <d4g2l8$j7g$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <a...@anonclubradios.org> wrote:
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" Secret Gopher Face <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote
in message
> news:d4fedm$8r6$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Of course

Of course, you'll hide like you did from your rusted out truck.

>
> LOL!

Indeed, hiding behind the door safety chain tubby.

5421. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 21:45:47 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114379147.426263.117870@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106973

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
>
> > > > > > Now post your documentation.
> > > > >
> > > > > After you, Brain...You're already several YEARS behind...
> > > >
> > > Your rules, Robeson. Follow them or forever be known as a liar,
> > > coward, and micreant. Best of Luck.
>
> BTW, Brain...There are at least TWO other posts within the last
12
> months where my dates of MARS service and callsigns were discussed
> DIRECTLY with YOU...
>
> Guesss that feeble little remanant of a "brain" doesn't hold
> things like that in very well, does it...?!?!
>
> No luck needed, Brain...Not with a "happy to be in second place"
> gadfly such as yourself.
>
> Sheeesh........
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Sorry, Steve, but if that's what you're calling documentation then you'll just have to be known as a liar. I gave you a chance to redeem yourself, but you blew it.

Steve serves up Lie #29 with undocumented claims of MARS service.

Wonder if he can get to #30 before the day's out? If so, he will have averaged 10 new lies per week.

5422. Gopher Face?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 21:55:38 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <TV4ZK0DY38466.7469675926@anonymous>
Source record: 106907

In article <d4g2l8$j7g$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <a...@anonclubradios.org> wrote:
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" Secret Gopher Face <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote
in message
> news:d4fedm$8r6$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Of course

Of Course, Gopher Face hides like he did in that truck.

>
> LOL!

Lardass, drunk and alone on Saturday night? BWHAHAHAHAHA!

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5423. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 22:18:04 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050424221804.C0896170D9@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106921

In article <fXQae.12816$lP1.753@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Why, no, Roger,

There you go, seeing Roger everywhere again.

> I've never claimed to be any such thing.

Yes, you have. And so have your actions on the newsgroup.

> Everyone will
> believe me because there's no dirt on me. A Google search on
me or my
> callsign reveals none of the stuff that one on you and your
AB8MQ and
> KC8JBO incarnations reveals.

Wrong as usual, Nazi ASSheil. A check of Google reveals your
filthy posts.

> Sure. Right. Uh-huh. OK. Those things happen for everyone
else but not
> in my case. You're such a babbling moron.

Mr. Kettle, meet Mr.Pot.
You sure are making up more lies about it.

> Those statements are easily substantiated. Go substantiate.

No, your lies and unsubstantiated remarks are easliy disproved.

> Make it your mission in life. Seek the truth.

One wouldn't ever find it from you.

5424. Re: Not Roger...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 22:19:51 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <MHFA52WS38467.0137847222@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106976

In article <d4g3mh$kv8$0@pita.alt.net>
"CW" <c...@morsemeternets.net> wrote:
>
>
> How many times did Riley cite Roger for malicious intentional
> QRM on 20 meters? The guys on 3825 say he was cited three
> times, but some say Roger was cited four times?

Poor Gopher face, you can't count.

One warning letter.

> How many times was he retested because of his qrm activities?

Only once, but he still passed it and upgraded to Extra! Unlike
you.

You sure got a letter from Riley, huh, Gopher Face!

5425. Re: Who Am I?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 22:24:14 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <GZMAWZT738466.7668287037@anonymous>
Source record: 106922

In article <7rWdnWjJ5c8xi_HfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> He will hide behind the curtains.

Sort of like how you hide from the fact you are too stupid to
get your Extra. Wait, didn't you hide in your running truck
outside of somebody's house and call them on your cell phone?

> Marie isn't there to protect him anymore.

Who's Marie?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5426. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 22:37:35 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <1be00924cc37273ac9162a0c2dc5a9f2@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106977

In article <1tidnSd7s8HcSPffRVn-qw@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey aka Secret Lardass Davies <m...@that.moc> wrote:

>
> Poor two-test wogie, had to retake the amateur exam. What a
total joke.
> LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!11

Poor Lardass Lloyd Davies, has been a no code tech since 1992
and is too stupid and lazy to even get a higher class license or
pass a simple 5 wpm Morse Code exam.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

But Poor "Buzzy" the Gopher Face says he's an Advanced, which
means he wasn't good enough to make Extra.

5427. Re: Hello, I Am New here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 22:54:10 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <msVae.12705$An2.8489@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106978

"Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
news:wtDae.11545$An2.5724@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...

> Here are quick thumbnails of a few of the most-regular players:

At least everyone you named has the grapes to identify themselves.

de Hans, K0HB

5428. Gopher Face?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 23:23:31 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <8ee033a46630d09f26b22ad6bc71dd96@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106979

In article <d4g2l8$j7g$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <a...@anonclubradios.org> wrote:
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" Secret Gopher Face <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote
in message
> news:d4fedm$8r6$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Of course

Of Course, Gopher Face hides like he did in that truck.

>
> LOL!

Lardass, drunk and alone on Saturday night? BWHAHAHAHAHA!

5429. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 23:26:57 UTC
From: Schwartz <jewman@nospam>
Message-ID: <d4ha09$r8s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>> news:1114348116.812323.29890@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>> WELL STEVE I CAN WRITE A LOT BETTER THEN YOUR DAUGHTER....OOPS SHE DEAD
>>>
>>
>>
>> I will hold no further discourse with a person who stoops to the
>> inexcusable action of attempting to degrade a dead child in an attempt
>> to hurt someone.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>
> Everytime I think Todd can't sink any lower he continues to prove
> otherwise.

It won't long before he becomes as slimy as Roger.

5430. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 23:27:51 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <f4ecbb7d1b05630d3731af07ebab31da@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106992

In article <fXQae.12816$lP1.753@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Why, no, Roger,

There you go, seeing Roger everywhere again.

> I've never claimed to be any such thing.

Yes, you have. And so have your actions on the newsgroup.

> Everyone will
> believe me because there's no dirt on me. A Google search on
me or my
> callsign reveals none of the stuff that one on you and your
AB8MQ and
> KC8JBO incarnations reveals.

Wrong as usual, Nazi ASSheil. A check of Google reveals your
filthy posts.

> Sure. Right. Uh-huh. OK. Those things happen for everyone
else but not
> in my case. You're such a babbling moron.

Mr. Kettle, meet Mr.Pot.
You sure are making up more lies about it.

> Those statements are easily substantiated. Go substantiate.

No, your lies and unsubstantiated remarks are easliy disproved.

> Make it your mission in life. Seek the truth.

One wouldn't ever find it from you.

5431. Lardass has head in ass operation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-24 23:53:19 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <4b2dcbb62e6080289b2ccddab210e2f2@paranoici.org>
Source record: 106927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d4f64i$gj1$0@pita.alt.net>
"Rabbi Roger" SECRET LARDASS <LampSh...@noforeskin.com> wrote:
>
> Ro

Lardass has been plagiarizing again. He actually had an
operation so he could have a tube inserted to breathe while his
head was up his fat ass.

5432. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 00:11:51 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050425001151.73BC71711E@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106928

In article
<9xBae.11489$An2.10572@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Roger is flailing about, grasping for something--anything to
hang on me.
> He'll be disappointed.

Nazi Davey is flailing his flabby fat arms about, hoping that if
he lies that Roger is posting, everybody will beleive him
because he is the self-appointed newsgroup moderator.

> It happens all the time. A background check is done. The
marriage is
> "blessed" by the Bureau of Diplomatic Security.

But not in your case.

> At my last (blah blah blah)

More lies that cannot be substantiated. How typical of Nazi
ASSheil.

> Sure. We did.

And you are lying.

5433. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 00:13:33 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <91067766e453098bd1530a811722917a@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106919

In article
<3xQae.12801$lP1.6550@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Sorry to interrupt a good rant, UnWiseman.

Yes, you certainly are a sorry excuse for a human being, ASSheil.

> You aren't replying to me.

Then who am I replying to? Can't you follow along, ASSHeil?

> As I've told you, I post as no one but myself.

And you are lying.

> Your mistake is in thinking that because you do something, all
others do the same.

Your mistake in thinking Roger Wiseman has an exclusive hatred
of you.

> Keep taking your pills and get plenty of rest.

Keep taking your Rogaine for your receding hairline and
antacids.....maybe your ulcers will with any luck turn into
inoperable cancer.

5434. Re: Roger & Unroger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 00:20:58 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <LD8JFPXC38466.8478935185@anonymous>
Source record: 106997

In article
<sPQae.12809$lP1.4659@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> You seem bewildered, Roger.

You seem to see Roger behind every post, Nazi Assheil.

> I'm not posting as anyone but myself.

Another lie, no surpise.

> Contact anyone you'd like. Have a blast.

We will.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5435. Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·149

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 01:52:39 UTC
From: RonGrossi382873@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114393959.550837.227720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106984
Cross-posted to:
autodesk.autocad.customization,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.infertility.parenting,uk.rec.cars.misc,alt.support.mult-sclerosis

This is the most important question of your life.

The question is: Are you saved?

It is not a question of how good you are,
nor if you are a church member, but are you saved?

Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?

The reason some people don't know for sure if they
are going to Heaven when they die is because they
just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that
you are going to Heaven.

The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful
place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is
to be saved so that we can live forever with Him
in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord
and believe in your heart that God raised Him from
the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth
in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood
and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to
a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was
placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus

said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and
then three days later come back to life and it
actually happens then this person must be the
real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have
redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of
sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
things that you have done in your life including
lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean
it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
to save you.

Now you are a real Christian and you can know for
sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this
life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing),
but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear
children, I write this to you so that you will not
sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks
to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ,
the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another

chance to do so because you do not know when you
will die.

Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life:
no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but
by me." (John 14:6)

This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus
Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will be forever
separated from the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
what happens you are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

*Show this to your family and friends so
they can know that they have a choice
where they will spend eternity. Thanks!

..·º°`°º.·..·º°`°º.·□_□_□.·`°º.`°º.·...·º°`°º.·.

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

..·º°`°º·...·º°`°º·.□_□_□.·`°º.`°º·....·º°`°º·.

5436. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 03:01:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_3Zae.13046$lP1.8359@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106985

"N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote

> As for letter as I stated earlier I'm going to
> resolve this information bulletin problem.

This would be a good time to learn to laugh at yourself. Or, develop multiple personalities! That way you won't be laughing at you, you'll be laughing with you.

73, de Hans, K0HB

5437. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 03:30:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3vZae.13056$lP1.6737@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106987

George Orwell wrote:
> In article
> <3xQae.12801$lP1.6550@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
> Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Sorry to interrupt a good rant, UnWiseman.

>>You aren't replying to me.
>
>
> Then who am I replying to? Can't you follow along ASSHeil?

It beats the hell out of me.

>>As I've told you, I post as no one but myself.
>
>
> And you are lying.

Yeah, sure. In your world, everyone but you is lying.

>>Your mistake is in thinking that because you do something, all
>
> others do the same.
>
> Your mistake in thinking Roger Wiseman has an exclusive hatred
> of you.

I don't believe that for a moment. All one has to do is read a few
Roger Post's and it becomes evident that Roger hates everyone, including
himself.

>>Keep taking your pills and get plenty of rest.
>
>
> Keep taking your Rogaine for your receding hairline and
> antacids.....

Rogaine counteracts antacids?

> ...maybe your ulcers will with any luck turn into
> inoperable cancer.

There's the old Roger, spreading goodwill throughout the world.

Dave K8MN

5438. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 03:38:13 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <9a29abca2da6d85d8e4baa88eee908d0@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 106988

In article <d4fgsoerl0@pita.alt.net>
"Tara Kinney" <Models@nospam> wrote:

> You and Roger Wiseman are becoming more and more alike as the
days go on.
> What a shame.

Funny, you and Lardass Lloyd Davies are sounding like twins.

> Did the both of you avail yourselves of the Devry mail order
classes?
> Roger did, and look where that got him.

He didn't go to Devry, Gopher Face, and yet, he still has his
Extra and you don't.

5439. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 03:45:35 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <ufudna63PbR_-vHfRVn-og@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106989

N9OGL wrote:
>>No, that's not "it", and the letter from your ISP that YOU quoted
>>said so..."Stop it, or else".
>
>
> I suggest you read it again you liar. What they meant by stop they
> meant with picture if you don't believe me write them, "they do not get
> involved with individual disputes" their own words. If you soooo
> concerned and think you have something then go for it, So far your
> nothing but talk. a whine little CB operator who sits on a newsgroup
> and bad mouth people because you a poor miserable little man who tries
> to bring people down to your level.
> Todd N9OGL
>
You know something todd, this man has served his country, he has raised
a family, has shown compassion to other humans, he even has a job. I
think Steve deserves some respect from a peon like you. You in turn,
live at home and bumm off your parents, you don't work, you have no
respect for the country you live in nor it's laws, you have no
compassion for anybody or anything, you lie about everything, there is
nothing lower then you todd, if Steve took you to his level it would
only be up.

5440. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 04:41:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114404116.264900.31940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106993

KC8GXW wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >>No, that's not "it", and the letter from your ISP that YOU quoted
> >>said so..."Stop it, or else".
> >
> >
> > I suggest you read it again you liar. What they meant by stop they
> > meant with picture if you don't believe me write them, "they do not
get
> > involved with individual disputes" their own words. If you soooo
> > concerned and think you have something then go for it, So far your
> > nothing but talk. a whine little CB operator who sits on a
newsgroup
> > and bad mouth people because you a poor miserable little man who
tries
> > to bring people down to your level.
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
> You know something todd, this man has served his country, he has
raised
> a family, has shown compassion to other humans, he even has a job. I
> think Steve deserves some respect from a peon like you. You in turn,
> live at home and bumm off your parents, you don't work, you have no
> respect for the country you live in nor it's laws, you have no
> compassion for anybody or anything, you lie about everything, there
is
> nothing lower then you todd, if Steve took you to his level it would
> only be up.

Thank-you, Kind Sir...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

5441. Why Does N0IMD, Brian P Burke, Make Such Silly Errors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 04:54:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114404851.871894.138500@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106994

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > Now post your documentation.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > After you, Brain...You're already several YEARS
behind...
> > > >
> > > > Your rules, Robeson. Follow them or forever be known as a
liar,
> > > > coward, and micreant. Best of Luck.
> >
> > BTW, Brain...There are at least TWO other posts within the
last
> 12
> > > months where my dates of MARS service and callsigns were discussed
> > > DIRECTLY with YOU...
> >
> > > Guesss that feeble little remanant of a "brain" doesn't hold
> > > things like that in very well, does it...?!?!
> >
> > No luck needed, Brain...Not with a "happy to be in second
place"
> > > gadfly such as yourself.
> >
> > > Sheeesh........
> >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Sorry, Steve, but if that's what you're calling documentation then
> you'll just have to be known as a liar. I gave you a chance to
redeem
> yourself, but you blew it.
>
> Steve serves up Lie #29 with undocumented claims of MARS service.
>
> Wonder if he can get to #30 before the day's out? If so, he will
have
> averaged 10 new lies per week.

Then you've just exposed YOURSELF as the idot that I've been
claiming you to be, Brain, since you've addressed my MARS service and

callsigns before.

Brain...Why do you do it...?!?! It's not like you're at gun point and have shoot before the other guy shoots you...You've got MORE than enough time to stop, see what the other person is talking about, and THEN post.

You claim >>I<< have a "mental defect", Brian, yet you claim I am a liar over data YOU already had in hand...And to top it off, I've been telling you for two days that RECENT information ir right in from of you...

Yet you go right on ahead and stick something that shouldn't be in a pencil sharpener right on in...

Silly you...That's why I sarcastically call you "Brain".

Wake up, Brian...You're NOT a "brain", and taking Lennie Anderson's lead has only made you look that more idiotic.

You claim that I am up to "29" lies this week, yet none have occured.

You "demand" documentation "right now", yet YOU have had quastions in from of you for YEARS without ANY "documentation" of YOUR claims.

You have CURRENT information at your fingertips, yet you ignore it and make rediculous, easily refuted allegations.

Sucks to be you, Brian...Sucks to be you.....

Steve, K4YZ

5442. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 04:57:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114405077.315463.299740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106995

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > Unfortunately, Steve and Dave have granted asylum to the
> refugees
> > > > > from
> > > > > > rra.misc.
> > > > >
> > > > > We "granted" nothing.
> > > > >
> > > > > However we did already have you, Todd and Lennie, so
what's
> > the
> > > > > difference?
> > > >
> > > > Todd is one of the refugees who you have found a home on rrap.
> Your
> > > > mistake.
> > >
> > >
> > > Wrong again, Brain. (WHY does Brian P Burke continue to utter
> > falsehoods in public?)
> >
> > He made it here all on his own.
>
> Why do you deny it? You and Dave Heil give him reason to come back.
> Thanks. Just what we needed, another rectum.

Well, it seems to me that you and Lennie need the "numbers",
Brain...The two of you make a pretty poor showing on your own...

Now Toddie's siding up to Lennie...they DO make a cute couple!

WAIT! I GET IT!!! YOU GUYS ARE ORTHODOX MORMON RRAPers!
Lennie's taken ANOTHER wife!

C O N G R A T U L A T I O N S Lennie, Todd and Brian!

Steve, K4YZ

5443. Re: Why Does Steven J. Robeson Manufacture Lies And Mistruths?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 05:09:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NX_ae.12824$An2.10567@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106996

Who "manufactures lies", Steve?

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote (in response to Len Anderson):

> You are once again challenged to produce even ONE quote that supports an
> assertion of yours...this one in particular, Your Supreme Lyiningness.
>
> "Q" signals are NOT used in MILITARY applications
>
> Something you LIED about, obviously.

Sorry, Steve, but "Q" signals (properly called Operating Signals) of course >>ARE<< used in MILITARY applications.

The governing document is ACP-131(E), an unclassified Allied Communications Publication (ACP) available on the web for your examination at http://www.dtic.mil/jcs/j6/cceb/acps/Acp131e.pdf

To save you the trouble of "checking me out", here is an excerpt from the table of contents:

CHAPTER 2 - OPERATING SIGNALS - DECODE

Q SIGNALS.....Page

QAA - QAZ.....2A1 - 2A3

QBA - QBZ. .....2A3 - 2A5

QCA - QCZ.....2A5 - 2A6

QDA - QDZ.....2A6 - 2A7

QEA - QEZ.....2A7 - 2A8

.....CLIP......

So who's lying in this case, Steve? You, or Len?

QBF?

This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are not offended by anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or otherwise guessed I might have possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course you are a pompous "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be insulted. In which case be my guest.

de Hans, K0HB

5444. Re: Why Does Steven J. Robeson Manufacture Lies And Mistruths?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 05:22:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114406522.977225.206770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106998

KØHB wrote:
> Who "manufactures lies", Steve?
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote (in response to Len Anderson):
>
> > You are once again challenged to produce even ONE quote that
supports an
> > assertion of yours...this one in particular, Your Supreme
Lyiningness.
> >
> > "Q" signals are NOT used in MILITARY applications
> >
> > Something you LIED about, obviously.
>
> Sorry, Steve, but "Q" signals (properly called Operating Signals) of
course
> >>ARE<< used in MILITARY applications.
>
> The governing document is ACP-131(E), an unclassified Allied
Communications
> Publication (ACP) available on the web for your examination at
> http://www.dtic.mil/jcs/j6/cceb/acps/Acp131e.pdf
>
> To save you the trouble of "checking me out", here is an excerpt from
the table
> of contents:
>
> CHAPTER 2 - OPERATING SIGNALS - DECODE
>
> Q SIGNALS.....Page
>
> QAA - QAZ.....2A1 - 2A3
>
> QBA - QBZ. .....2A3 - 2A5
>
> QCA - QCZ.....2A5 - 2A6
>
> QDA - QDZ.....2A6 - 2A7
>
> QEA - QEZ.....2A7 - 2A8
>
> .....CLIP.....
>
> So who's lying in this case, Steve? You, or Len?

>
> QBF?
>
> This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are not
offended by
> anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or otherwise guessed I
might have
> possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course you are a pompous
> "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be insulted. In which
case be my
> guest.

Hans, I'd be interested in seeing from what post you obtained
this, and from when...

Not that I'd think YOU would go out of your way to do anything so
cutesy as cut-and-paste your way to an insult!

Steve, K4YZ

5445. Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 05:59:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114408782.626138.280710@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106900

Never Mind, Hans, Found it Myself...

Headers quoted for refrence, stuff in between snipped, however the
inquiring mind can certainly follow the headers and verify it's
correctness.

QUOTE:

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) - Find messages by this author

Date: 22 Dec 2002 00:34:59 GMT
Local: Sat,Dec 21 2002 4:34 pm

>From: lenove...@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/21/02 2:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021221152616.10367.00000...@mb-cb.aol.com>

>In a couple of months from now I'll mark my 50th anniversary of
> first QSY...(SNIP)

Can't even get the "Q" signals correct for Amatuer application.
Besides,
"Q" signals are NOT used in MILITARY applications, either...

UNQUOTE

Congratulations, Hans! You had to go back three years to find
something you could hang on to, but nice job!

And in YOUR reference:

Sorry, Steve, but "Q" signals (properly called Operating Signals) of
course >>ARE<< used in MILITARY applications.

The governing document is ACP-131(E), an unclassified Allied
Communications
Publication (ACP) available on the web for your examination at
http://www.dtic.mil/jcs/j6/cceb/acps/Acp131e.pdf .

Yep...In "MILITARY" communications...Not specifically United
States "MILITARY"...

The document you cited, for the enlightenment of others reading,
is a multi-nation Allied document...

So for that inappropraite choice of language, I stand corrected...

However:

That SAME document goes on to say: (paraphrased...you may certainly investigate my comments more closely...)

(1) The Q codes (for decode only) are used between military stations and civil aeronautical or maritime stations.

(2) The Z codes are for military use only. Q signals MAY be used but are not REQUIRED for use by Allied stations.

Said codes are typically used for Morse or teleprinter communications. Z-codes are more prevelent in AMERICAN military communicaitons...

But thanks for pointing out s three year old error, Hans.

I don't suppose you ahve anything more contemporary to address, do you...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

5446. Never Mind, Hans...Unravelled You On My Own...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 06:01:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114408900.901391.176030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106901

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:

> > This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are
not
> offended by
> > anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or otherwise guessed
I
> might have
> > possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course you are a pompous
> > "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be insulted. In which
> case be my
> > guest.
>
> Hans, I'd be interested in seeing from what post you obtained
> this, and from when...
>
> Not that I'd think YOU would go out of your way to do anything
so
> cutesy as cut-and-paste your way to an insult!

From another thread I opened...:

Never Mind, Hans, Found it Myself...

Headers quoted for refrence, stuff in between snipped, however the
inquiring mind can certainly follow the headers and verify it's
correctness.

QUOTE:

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) - Find messages by this author

Date: 22 Dec 2002 00:34:59 GMT
Local: Sat,Dec 21 2002 4:34 pm

>From: lenove...@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/21/02 2:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021221152616.10367.00000...@mb-cb.aol.com>

>In a couple of months from now I'll mark my 50th anniversary of
> first QSY...(SNIP)

Can't even get the "Q" signals correct for Amatuer application.
Besides,
"Q" signals are NOT used in MILITARY applications, either...

UNQUOTE

Congratulations, Hans! You had to go back three years to find
something you could hang on to, but nice job!

And in YOUR reference:

Sorry, Steve, but "Q" signals (properly called Operating Signals) of
course >>ARE<< used in MILITARY applications.

The governing document is ACP-131(E), an unclassified Allied
Communications
Publication (ACP) available on the web for your examination at
http://www.dtic.mil/jcs/j6/cceb/acps/Acp131e.pdf .

Yep...In "MILITARY" communications...Not specifically United
States "MILITARY"...

The document you cited, for the enlightenment of others reading,
is a multi-nation Allied document...

So for that inappropraite choice of language, I stand corrected...

However:

That SAME document goes on to say: (paraphrased...you may
certainly investigate my comments more closely...)

(1) The Q codes (for decode only) are used between military
stations and civil aeronautical or maritime stations.

(2) The Z codes are for military use only. Q signals MAY be used
but are not REQUIRED for use by Allied stations.

Said codes are typically used for Morse or teleprinter
communications. Z-codes are more prevelent in AMERICAN military
communicaitons...

But thanks for pointing out s three year old error, Hans.

I don't suppose you have anything more contemporary to address, do
you...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

5447. Re: N9OGL Caught In Drunken Lie...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 06:11:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114409498.826035.194180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106902
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.legends.lester-mosley,rec.radio.amateur.policy

marika wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> >
> > I just LOVE catching you in yet another of your drunken,
> > functioanlly illiterate idiot moments, Todd ! ! !
>
> Is that your job? Is that what you signed
> up for? Or a recent change in your responsibilties.

It was just my rotten luck to be in the right place at the right time...That, and Toddie does it so frequently that there's so much to work with!

Steve, K4YZ

5448. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 06:19:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114409955.839990.106490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106903

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I never said I have one, Brain...
>
> Do you also call in "stolen vehicle" reports on cars you've never
> owned? Missing persons on peole you've never known?
>
> "Woe is me!"

You're still chasing the wrong tail, Brain...

Not that THAT is unusual....

Steve, K4YZ

5449. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 07:06:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <1114412790.135006.212340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106905

As soon as you get lips off steve's ass maybe, just maybe I'll talk to
you.

5450. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 07:11:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114413094.708675.238620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106906

N9OGL wrote:
> As soon as you get lips off steve's ### maybe, just maybe I'll talk
to
> you.

And again with the lack of attributes...We're left wondering who
you're talking to...

Sheeeesh....You're going to COLLEGE for computing
courses...right...????

Steve, K4YZ

5451. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 08:11:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114416703.812325.252860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106908

KC8GXW wrote:
> The Illiterate idiot is paranoid and looking for backup from the
> government that he hates!
>
> From his letter to Representative John Shimkus
> House Subcommittee on Telecommunication
>
> "But there are amateur radio operators who going after me.....(SNIP)

If Cngmn Shimkus' staff is on it's toes, that sentence right there will sink Todd faster than you can say "File 13".

Todd's previous "meetings" with Cngmn Shimkus obviously didn't get him what he wanted the first time...Why would Todd think that he'll fare any better with his semi-illiterate "they're out to get me" rantings...???

Sheeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

5452. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 08:25:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <JP1be.82$MT5.2596982@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 106909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Really? Give us a URL/address on the web so we can see for ourselves....
With all the problems this country has, I cannot believe we have the luxury
of paying feds to play hide-and-seek with freebanders and attempting to keep
the hobby bands free for the hams elite...

Regards,
John
"Scratch Dog Twice" <ya@scrdotwices.org> wrote in message
news:d4gqdl$uh4$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net> wrote in message
> news:d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net...
>> You freebanders better knock it off.
>> The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
>> already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
>>
>
>
> There were multiple coordinated busts in several cities last
> night. Freebanders could be in for some big trouble from
> Uncle Candy Pants. Tons of equipment seized. Ham radio
> operators assisted in all raids.
>
>
>

5453. Lardass has head in ass operation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 09:12:57 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <4b2dcbb62e6080289b2ccddab210e2f2@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 106910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d4f64i$gj1$0@pita.alt.net>
"Rabbi Roger" SECRET LARDASS <LampSh...@noforeskin.com> wrote:
>
> Ro

Lardass has been plagiarizing again. He actually had an
operation so he could have a tube inserted to breathe while his
head was up his fat ass.

5454. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 09:14:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425051432.352$uv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 106911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

High John.

Reckon it's just a coincidence that both "Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net>
and "Scratch Dog Twice" <ya@scrdotwices.org> 's posts come from Chris
Caputo's servers and contain this in the header? :D

@pita.alt.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2180
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2180

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> Really? Give us a URL/address on the web so we can see for ourselves....
> With all the problems this country has, I cannot believe we have the
> luxury of paying feds to play hide-and-seek with freebanders and
> attempting to keep the hobby bands free for the hams elite...
>
> Regards,
> John
> "Scratch Dog Twice" <ya@scrdotwices.org> wrote in message
> news:d4gqdl$uh4$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net> wrote in message
> > news:d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net...
> >> You freebanders better knock it off.
> >> The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
> >> already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
> >>
> >
> >
> > There were multiple coordinated busts in several cities last
> > night. Freebanders could be in for some big trouble from
> > Uncle Candy Pants. Tons of equipment seized. Ham radio
> > operators assisted in all raids.
> >
> >
> >

5455. Re: EU: Europe just lost a Primary Amateur Radio Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 10:42:14 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <426dbdba.169235637@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 106914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur

On 22 Apr 2005 05:06:30 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> I know that any use of the bands above 2.3Ghz on this side is
>very rare. I think we will be lucky to maintain even sharing
>arangements in the future, let alone keep exclusive allocations.

Not so! I've attended several Microwave Update conventions in the USA
and always come away thinking how keen and act

Check the websites of the varous US microwave groups and you'll see
that these bands have lots of activity ... especially up to 10GHz and
increasingly to 47GHz and above.

Try www.ntms.org as a starter!

Peter, G3PHO

5456. Re: Roger & Unroger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 11:05:22 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <c687a112a077e622efc6bbfbd1a776b9@paranoici.org>
Source record: 106929

In article
<sPQae.12809$lP1.4659@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> You seem bewildered, Roger.

You seem to see Roger behind every post, Nazi Assheil.

> I'm not posting as anyone but myself.

Another lie, no surpise.

> Contact anyone you'd like. Have a blast.

We will.

5457. Re: Un Roger opening a new Chinese restarant?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 11:50:23 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050425115023.3A453171A9@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106935

```
In article <d4g2l8$j7g$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <a...@anonclubradios.org> wrote:
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" Secret Gopher Face <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote
in message
> news:d4fedm$8r6$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Of course

Of course, you'll hide like you did from your rusted out truck.

>
> LOL!

Indeed, hiding behind the door safety chain tubby.
```

5458. Roger Wiseman sock puppet show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 12:02:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425080228.542$RX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 106916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sock puppet show, starring at least if not more than:

"Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net>

and

"Scratch Dog Twice" <ya@scrdotwices.org>

Courtesy of Chris Caputo's servers at Altopia.

Feel free to add more actors to the credit list.

5459. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 12:04:40 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <ZRJ6WKPX38467.5865740741@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 106918

In article <fXQae.12816$lP1.753@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Why, no, Roger,

There you go, seeing Roger everywhere again.

> I've never claimed to be any such thing.

Yes, you have. And so have your actions on the newsgroup.

> Everyone will
> believe me because there's no dirt on me. A Google search on
me or my
> callsign reveals none of the stuff that one on you and your
AB8MQ and
> KC8JBO incarnations reveals.

Wrong as usual, Nazi ASSheil. A check of Google reveals your
filthy posts.

> Sure. Right. Uh-huh. OK. Those things happen for everyone
else but not
> in my case. You're such a babbling moron.

Mr. Kettle, meet Mr.Pot.
You sure are making up more lies about it.

> Those statements are easily substantiated. Go substantiate.

No, your lies and unsubstantiated remarks are easliy disproved.

> Make it your mission in life. Seek the truth.

One wouldn't ever find it from you.

5460. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 12:58:04 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <12f5a1ea3f1e741387aae699c9a50dd3@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 106933

In article <7rWdnWnJ5c_ri_HfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> No, it translates to, 'with the limited operating I do keeping
skeds

No, it translates to "Yes, I am too stupid and lazy to try to
get it."

> with a few ham friends on 40 meters,

And they sure are "few". And when you do converse, it is usually
discussing the overthrow of our government, ot anti-semeticism.

> I have no use for an extra class license, nor do I (excuses)

Which means "Yes, I am too stupid and lazy to try to get it."

> There you are in denial again.

There you are, talking out of your ignorant ass again.

> >But Roger took a commercial license exam in
> > front of an FCC examiner a long time ago, (mid 1970's or so).
>
> So did I for a First Class Phone,

Sure you did, sure you did. You can't even pass the Extra exam.

Which means, "Yes, I am too stupid and lazy to try to get it."

> I haven't violate any FCC rules like two-test wogie so I don't
have to
> be retested.

You sure do violate many grammar rules, though, you illiterate
lazy bastard.

"I haven't violate" You mean "I haven't violated" You sure get
upset, Gopher face. Exspecially when reminded of the fact that
you can't pass the Extra. Which by the way, incorporates some of
the same questions as the old Advanced. Looks like your above
statement that the old Advanced pool was better than the current
Extra is based on your complete ignorance.

> Oh? Got some proof of that?

Hi, Gopher face! I knew it was you jamming Roger. Now get back
to cowering and making excuses why you can't get the Extra class

license.

> > They no longer issue them, except for renewal purposes.
>
> Thus they are not obsolete, you need to educate yourself a bit.

They still don't issue any of them as a new license. They are obsolete, like you, Gopher face.

> > That why they no longer issue them, except to ones that already
> > have them?? Dumb fuck.
>
> It is still a valid license until the FCC deems otherwise, moronic twit.

They still don't issue any new Advanced, now do they, excuse-maker.

Which means, "Yes, I am too stupid and lazy to try to get it."

5461. Re: Who Am I?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 13:00:27 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050425130027.91407170CE@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 106948

In article <d4h2qvcnq0@pita.alt.net>
Schwartz <jewman@nospam> wrote:

> Hey, Wogie. Seen any burly strangers lurking in your
neighborhood?

Hey Shitwartzie, you still trying to sell that termite ridden
hose?

> You will.

It sure won't be you, pussy.

5462. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 13:17:44 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <Q0ZW1MOQ38467.3873148148@anonymous>
Source record: 106943

In article <d4gpc0$sl3$0@pita.alt.net>
"Secwet Gopher Face Tunder" <a...@anonsecwetwoger.net> wrote:

> The babbling (IKYABWAI)

Looks like Gopher face is stealing from ASSheil. Or is ASSheil
posting under another name, like he claims he doesn't.

The babbling drunk Gopher Face Tunder must be off the cocaine
this morning. His babbling incoherent rants on the Liberty net
demonstrate how his warped mind triesto function. He must've
gotten the alcohol problem from his drunk daddy, who got canned
when he couldn't even shoot pictures anymore.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5463. Re: Who Am I?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 13:25:04 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050425132504.2ECEE170A1@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 107004

In article <d4h2qvcnq0@pita.alt.net>
Schwartz <jewman@nospam> wrote:

> Hey, Wogie. Seen any burly strangers lurking in your
neighborhood?

Hey Shitwartzie, you still trying to sell that termite ridden
hose?

> You will.

It sure won't be you, pussy.

5464. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 13:27:46 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <e36906510085a2536cd575293812c229@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106920

In article <m76dnZ-x45L-mvHfRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Did you even have parents? Bet they never would admit it.

I'm sure yours wishes they believed in abortion.

> > Who cares how long you have been a ham. Nobody.
>
> But a lot longer than you have,

But you still are too stupied and lazy to get past the obsolete
Advanced license.

> Poor potty mouth wogie.

Poor Gopher face, he lisps because he fellates so many cocks.

> >
> > Poor little fatass Davey, he still can't prove the retest
letter
> > had a reason other than:
> >
> > "The FCC has the authority, pursuant to §97.519(d)(2) of the
> > rules to readminister any examination element previously
> > administered by a volunteer examiner."
> >
> > And still no other reason was given in Roger's retest letter!
>
>
> Poor widdle

Poor Little Gopher face, he can't even pass the Extra exam.

5465. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 13:46:40 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <f4ecbb7d1b05630d3731af07ebab31da@userbeam.net>
Source record: 106923

In article <fXQae.12816$lP1.753@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

> Why, no, Roger,

There you go, seeing Roger everywhere again.

> I've never claimed to be any such thing.

Yes, you have. And so have your actions on the newsgroup.

> Everyone will
> believe me because there's no dirt on me. A Google search on
me or my
> callsign reveals none of the stuff that one on you and your
AB8MQ and
> KC8JBO incarnations reveals.

Wrong as usual, Nazi ASSheil. A check of Google reveals your
filthy posts.

> Sure. Right. Uh-huh. OK. Those things happen for everyone
else but not
> in my case. You're such a babbling moron.

Mr. Kettle, meet Mr.Pot.
You sure are making up more lies about it.

> Those statements are easily substantiated. Go substantiate.

No, your lies and unsubstantiated remarks are easliy disproved.

> Make it your mission in life. Seek the truth.

One wouldn't ever find it from you.

5466. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 14:45:28 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <VuednSxhiloUn_DfRVn-1A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106926

N9OGL wrote:

> As soon as you get lips off steve's ass maybe, just maybe I'll talk to
> you.

You still have this obsession with Steve's ass? You show gay tendencies
in every post you try to make!

5467. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 14:49:27 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <VaGdnWIF0czknvDfRVn-og@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106925

K4YZ wrote:

>
> Sheeeesh....You're going to COLLEGE for computing
> courses...right...????
>
> Steve, K4YZ

That's just another lie from the toad. He doesn't know the difference between coding and ISP headers, he still needs to apologize for accusing me of making a fake post just because he's illiterate! At least admit the fact that he was wrong, again!

5468. Re: Who Am I?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 14:53:58 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <6f283b76ebbaa611eb752396d3a3f37f@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 106924

In article <7rWdnWjJ5c8xi_HfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> He will hide behind the curtains.

Sort of like how you hide from the fact you are too stupid to
get your Extra. Wait, didn't you hide in your running truck
outside of somebody's house and call them on your cell phone?

> Marie isn't there to protect him anymore.

Who's Marie?

5469. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 16:09:37 UTC
From: see sea oh ecks at you aitch see dot comm <spammers@bugger.off.invalid>
Message-ID: <d4j4o1$iv4$1@gabriel.uhc.com>
Source record: 106930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Da udder one ya dont know <no_one_you_know34474@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Solderless connectors for RF applications? A quick fix for sure but not
> a lasting one! The only crimped connector I've ever seen work is the
> cable TV connectors and the center conductor us used directly.

Some would argue that SO-239/PL-259s are not RF connectors (I/F possibly
and DC for sure)...
--
Chris Cox, N0UK/G4JEC NIC Handle: CC345
If at first you don't succeed, skydiving is not for you.

5470. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson try to perpetuate his lie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 16:21:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fO8be.13283$lP1.11679@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106931

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114408900.901391.176030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

> Yep...In "MILITARY" communications...Not specifically United
> States "MILITARY"...
>
> The document you cited, for the enlightenment of others reading,
> is a multi-nation Allied document...

Nice bit of Andersonian-like misdirection to try to cover your ignorance (lie?), but welcome to another lesson in US Military Communications, a subject in which you tried to lecture Len, and now me.

ALL similar publications used by our AMERICAN military communicators are also used by our military allies. That's why they're call "ACP-xxx". ("ACP" is the acronym for "Allied Communications Publication")

ACP-113 (Call Sign Book for Ships), ACP-117 (Routing Indicators), ACP-120 (Security), ACP-121 (General Communications Instructions) ACP-124 (CW Procedures), ACP-125 (Voice Procedures), and many other ACP's are the standard documents used by United States Military communicators. (The publications cited are all unclassified, and probably on the web at the JCS site which contains the ACP-131 which you examined.)

> Z-codes are more prevelent in AMERICAN military communicaitons.

Another "error" (lie?). Q-codes are at least as prevelant in AMERICAN military communications, if not more-so, than "Z" signals. Been there, done that, got the medals.

For someone who professes to have been seriously involved in one strictly-AMERICAN military communcations system, NAVMARCORPSMARS, governed by the publication "NTP-8" ("NTP" being the acronym for Naval Telecommunications Publication), your ignorance (lie?) is especially odd, since NTP-8 reproduces an extensive list of "Q" signals taken directly from ACP-131. I would think you'd have known that you never saw a copy of NTP-8.

Oh, never mind. I forgot that NAVMARCORPSMARS is >>NOT<< a military communications system. "Sorry Hans, MARS >>IS<< "Amateur Radio"

> But thanks for pointing out s three year old lie, Hans.

You're welcome, Steve.

This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are not offended by anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or otherwise guessed I might have possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course you are a pompous "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be insulted. In which case be my

guest.

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

5471. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 16:23:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rQ8be.13284$lP1.3836@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 106932

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114408782.626138.280710@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> Yep...In "MILITARY" communications...Not specifically United
> States "MILITARY"...
>
> The document you cited, for the enlightenment of others reading,
> is a multi-nation Allied document...

Nice bit of Andersonian-like misdirection to try to cover your ignorance (lie?), but welcome to another lesson in US Military Communications, a subject in which you tried to lecture Len, and now me.

ALL similar publications used by our AMERICAN military communicators are also used by our military allies. That's why they're call "ACP-xxx". ("ACP" is the acronym for "Allied Communications Publication")

ACP-113 (Call Sign Book for Ships), ACP-117 (Routing Indicators), ACP-120 (Security), ACP-121 (General Communications Instructions) ACP-124 (CW Procedures), ACP-125 (Voice Procedures), and many other ACP's are the standard documents used by United States Military communicators. (The publications cited are all unclassified, and probably on the web at the JCS site which contains the ACP-131 which you examined.)

> Z-codes are more prevelent in AMERICAN military communicaitons.

Another "error" (lie?). Q-codes are at least as prevelant in AMERICAN military communications, if not more-so, than "Z" signals. Been there, done that, got the medals.

For someone who professes to have been seriously involved in one strictly-AMERICAN military communcations system, NAVMARCORPSMARS, governed by the publication "NTP-8" ("NTP" being the acronym for Naval Telecommunications Publication), your ignorance (lie?) is especially odd, since NTP-8 reproduces an extensive list of "Q" signals taken directly from ACP-131. I would think you'd have known that you never saw a copy of NTP-8.

Oh, never mind. I forgot that NAVMARCORPSMARS is >>NOT<< a military communications system. "Sorry Hans, MARS >>IS<< "Amateur Radio"

> But thanks for pointing out s three year old lie, Hans.

You're welcome, Steve.

This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are not offended by anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or otherwise guessed I might have

possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course you are a pompous "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be insulted. In which case be my guest.

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

5472. Re: Roger & Unroger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 17:11:39 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <twas.brillig@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 106934

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 13:05:22 +0200 (CEST), Anonymous <Secwet Woger> wrote:
: In article
: <sPQae.12809$lP1.4659@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
: Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:
: > Contact anyone you'd like. Have a blast.
:
: We will.

Was that the grand "we" as spoken by kings and popes, or are there
multiple personalities inhabiting your skull?

==========
In message <XLSKB3LR38448.4326273148@anonymous>, Secwet Woger dazzled
his readers with his logic skills by saying: "The fact is you still
can't disprove I've never been to your workplace."

5473. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 17:12:14 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <7MydnVeX7-5Y3_DfRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106936

```
A.Melon AKA two-test wogie wrote:
> In article <7rWdnWnJ5c_ri_HfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>
>>No, it translates to, 'with the limited operating I do keeping
>
> skeds
>
> No, it translates to "Yes, I am too stupid and lazy to try to
> get it."
>
>
>>with a few ham friends on 40 meters,
>
>
> And they sure are "few". And when you do converse, it is usually
> discussing the overthrow of our government, ot anti-semeticism.

Got some poof of that two-test? Let's hear a tape of such conversations
where I have been involved in discussing the overthrow of our government
or anti-semeticism. Either put up or shut up two-test. Just more of your
retarded ramblings. Amazing you claim to have heard this as you don't
even know my callsign.
>
>
>>I have no use for an extra class license, nor do I (excuses)
>
>
> Which means "Yes, I am too stupid and lazy to try to get it."

What ever you want to believe in your fantasy world that makes you happy
retard boy. Wogie couldn't have passed the test for Extra except he went
to one of those license give-away VE sessions. He couldn't pass a 20wpm
code test in front of an FCC examiner. In over forty years of being
licensed I have *never* been asked by the FCC to retest to keep my
license. Can wogie boy say that? Didn't think so. Lets see the hands of
all the hams on the group who have been asked by the FCC to retest to
keep their license. Oh, just wogie? What a suprise!!!
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!
The FCC doesn't make someone retest just for the fun of it, the
retesting always involves some violation of the FCC rules. Poor two-test
wogie, had to retest on orders of the FCC to keep his license.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
```

>
>
>>There you are in denial again.
>
>
> There you are, talking out of your ignorant ass again.

You seem to have a fetish for other peoples rear ends. Do that suggest
something about your sexual preferences?
>
>

>
>>I haven't violate any FCC rules like two-test wogie so I don't
>
> have to
>
>>be retested.
>
>
> You sure do violate many grammar rules, though, you illiterate
> lazy bastard.

But I didn't have to retake my amateur exam to keep my license like poor
retarded wogie did. HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!! The FCC isn't
concerned about my grammar, but they sure are concerned about wogie's
illegal operating habits, that's why they made him retest to keep his
license. LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>
> "I haven't violate" You mean "I haven't violated" You sure get
> upset, Gopher face. Exspecially when reminded of the fact that
> you can't pass the Extra.

That only seems to bother you wogie.

>Which by the way, incorporates some of
> the same questions as the old Advanced. Looks like your above
> statement that the old Advanced pool was better than the current
> Extra is based on your complete ignorance.

And the current Extra test contains questions from a question pool,
where the answers are available for any fool, like wogie, to just
memorize, no knowledge required to pass the test. The questions I
answered on my Advanced, which at the time was much harder than the
Extra, were not from any pool of questions with the answers available.
One had to have actual knowledge of the questions to correctly answer
them. Something wogie lacks. Ask wogie about negative SWR.
ROFLMAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>>Oh? Got some proof of that?

>
>
> Hi, Gopher face! I knew it was you jamming Roger. Now get back
> to cowering and making excuses why you can't get the Extra class
> license.

Like I said, got some proof you can show of that statement? Didn't think
so. I don't jam anyone on the ham bands like wogie did, that's why he
had to retest to keep his license. Poor two-test wogie.
>
>
>>>They no longer issue them, except for renewal purposes.
>>
>>Thus they are not obsolete, you need to educate yourself a bit.
>
>
> They still don't issue any of them as a new license. They are
> obsolete, like you, Gopher face.
>
>
>>>That why they no longer issue them, except to ones that
>
> already
>
>>>have them?? Dumb fuck.
>>
>>It is still a valid license until the FCC deems otherwise,
>
> moronic twit.
>
> They still don't issue any new Advanced, now do they, excuse-
> maker.

But it is still a valid license and the fact I obtained it in front of
an FCC examiner is proof I passed the required code test, and answered
questions that were not from a question pool in which the answers were
available for memorization, a test where one had to have actual
knowledge to answer the questions, which wogie can't do.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!! Wogie had to get his extra at a
license give-away VE session with test questions from a pool of
questions where the answers are available simply to be memorized, no
knowledge required. Ask wogie about negative swr and RMS voltage.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
Poor two-test wogie, had to retake his exam on orders of the FCC to
keep his license. Such action by the FCC is taken because of a violation
of the FCC rules.

I tire of this banter with the mental midget curtains boy of West VA,
it's like sparing with a chimpanzee.

So wogie and you, his altered ego, can believe about me whatever you want in your mental midget fantasy world, but I sit back and laugh my rear off every time you (wogie) post as it reminds me of how, because of wogie's illegal ham radio activities, the FCC caught wogie red-handed and made wogie retest to keep his license. Something I certainly have never had to do.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

By fool.

5474. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 18:16:17 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <y-adnSFt3Y8Uz_DfRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 106938

Wogie does indeed have a Master's degree. You see, he applied for a job down at the river at a fishing dock for the job of baiter, helping people who came to fish, bait their hooks. He started off as a baiter trainee, after six months moved up to associate baiter, then on to general baiter. Now after two years he has finally reached the lofty position of Master Baiter.

5475. Re: Roger & Unroger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 19:05:13 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <67PB40Y938467.6286226852@anonymous>
Source record: 107016

In article <twas.brillig@houston-hunks.com>
Stagger Lee Secret Nazi <S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

> Was that the

Ever find that Marshall County, WV, ARES website yet?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5476. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 19:34:28 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <0056a63c380bac444b44c96b739e2f5b@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 107018

In article <udnW734l5rKho_jciiRVn-rQ@gelded.not>
"yojimbo" <thom...@snotmail.net> wrote:

> Woger was desperately grateful to get his Welfare Extra. He
has no
> need to prove that his meager CW skills are anything better
than an
> old time Novice operator's skills. Same for his technical
"skills."

Except that Roger had to pass 13 wpm Morse Code to get his
General originally.

And he still has he extra while you only have a no code tech.

> (For example, Woger still doesn't grasp negative SWR or
inverse RMS
> voltage.)

While you only have a no code tech...poor baby.

> Welfare Extras only require a watered down written test and a
joke CW
> test. Real hams used to pass REAL tests. Only Advanced Class
holders
> have proof that they passed such tests. Extra Class licensees
all
> belong to the questionable pool now, because there's no way to
know if
> they are Welfare Extras or not.

And yet, you still couldn't pass it.

5477. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 19:49:36 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <e6b0f81430f96e4597e6916313a99d5a@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 107015

In article
<3vZae.13056$lP1.6737@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote:

When are you ever not going to be a fucking imbecile?

> It beats the hell out of me.

Obviously.

> Yeah, sure. In your world, everyone but you is lying.

But you are a documented liar. You and Nursie.

> I don't believe that for a moment. All one has to do is read
a few
> Roger Post's

"Roger Post's" HAHAHAHAHA..Do you mean "Roger posts?????". You
should read a textbook on writing Style.

> and it becomes evident that Roger hates everyone, including
> himself.

Oh, Not so. You hate Roger because he isn't afraid to tell you
what he thinks. And your behavior online makes him look like a
saint, Nazi.

> >>Keep taking your pills and get plenty of rest.
> >
> >
> > Keep taking your Rogaine for your receding hairline and
> > antacids.....
>
> Rogaine counteracts antacids?

I see your seniulity has affected your reading comprehension.

> > ...maybe your ulcers will with any luck turn into
> > inoperable cancer.
>
> There's the old Roger, spreading goodwill throughout the world.

Wrong, stupid. But you spread lots of hatred as well. Hypocrite.

5478. Re: Roger Wiseman sock puppet show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 19:52:51 UTC
From: "mopathetic is a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114458771.634567.171840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

OK, how about all of yours, wristflipper?

5479. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 21:33:48 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114464828.218929.57180@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106939

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > Unfortunately, Steve and Dave have granted asylum to the
> > refugees
> > > > > > from
> > > > > > rra.misc.
> > > > >
> > > > > We "granted" nothing.
> > > > >
> > > > > However we did already have you, Todd and Lennie, so
> what's
> > > the
> > > > > difference?
> > > >
> > > > Todd is one of the refugees who you have found a home on rrap.
> > Your
> > > > mistake.
> > >
> > >
> > > Wrong again, Brain. (WHY does Brian P Burke continue to
utter
> > > falsehoods in public?)
> > >
> > > He made it here all on his own.
> >
> > Why do you deny it? You and Dave Heil give him reason to come
back.
> > Thanks. Just what we needed, another rectum.
>
> Well, it seems to me that you and Lennie need the "numbers",
> Brain...

??? "Need the "numbers"" ???

What numbers? Are you into numerology now?

> The two of you make a pretty poor showing on your own...

Kindly remember that the next time you claim we're the most whatever or
the biggest whatever.

> Now Toddie's siding up to Lennie...they DO make a cute couple!

More homosexual inuendo?

> WAIT! I GET IT!!! YOU GUYS ARE ORTHODOX MORMON RRAPers!
> Lennie's taken ANOTHER wife!
>
> C O N G R A T U L A T I O N S Lennie, Todd and Brian!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yes, indeed. More homosexual inuendo. Why are you always thinking
about homosexual liaisons?

5480. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 21:37:29 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114465049.802873.176390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106940

KØHB wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote
>
> > As for letter as I stated earlier I'm going to
> > resolve this information bulletin problem.
>
> This would be a good time to learn to laugh at yourself. Or, develop
> multiple personalities! That way you won't be laughing at you,
you'll be
> laughing with you.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Todd et all should get with Steve et all and laugh up a ruckus.

5481. Re: Hello, I Am New here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 21:46:10 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114465570.424256.320490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106941

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > yojimbo wrote:
> > > On 23 Apr 2005 12:34:53 -0700, bb <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > CW wrote:
> > > > > Is this a ham radio flame group?
> > > > >
> > > > No. This is a place for amateurs to reasonably discuss amateur
> > policy,
> > > > particularly the Morse Code testing issue.
> > > >
> > > And, as you can see, we are all being reasonable on here and
> > > discussing only our ideas. We don't ever discuss each other or
use
> > > profanity, and Woger Wiseman isn't hanging out here.
> > >
> > > And ducks don't have feathers.
> > >
> > > YES this is a de facto flame group.
> >
> > No, it isn't. Go to rec.radio.amateur.misc to flame. And quit
> > cross-posting to policy.
>
> Our moderator and "Seventy-Years-Too-Late To Join The Hitler
> Youth" wannabe has spoken.

Hmmmm? What does Miccolis or Heil say when the "Nazi theme" comes up?

> Now do what N0IMD says or he'll start lying about you, too!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, I sure hope you don't feel put upon by my holding you up to your
own words, your own rules, and your own standards.

Welp, you just scraped by with merely 29 lies in three weeks. I
figured you for a shoe-in at 30 lies or the rate of 10 new lies per
week. You disappoint as usual.

It must really suck to be Steve Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS.

5482. Re: Hello, I Am New here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 21:48:57 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114465737.687525.73240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106942

KØHB wrote:
> "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in message
> news:wtDae.11545$An2.5724@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> > Here are quick thumbnails of a few of the most-regular players:
>
> At least everyone you named has the grapes to identify themselves.
>
> de Hans, K0HB

Everyone here knows who I am, except the deranged refugees from
rra.misc. You'll forgive me if I don't introduce myself to them.

bb

5483. Re: Why Does Steven J. Robeson Manufacture Lies And Mistruths?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 22:21:46 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114467706.961958.31470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106944

KØHB wrote:
> Who "manufactures lies", Steve?
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote (in response to Len Anderson):
>
> > You are once again challenged to produce even ONE quote that
supports an
> > assertion of yours...this one in particular, Your Supreme
Lyiningness.
> >
> > "Q" signals are NOT used in MILITARY applications
> >
> > Something you LIED about, obviously.
>
> Sorry, Steve, but "Q" signals (properly called Operating Signals) of
course
> >>ARE<< used in MILITARY applications.
>
> The governing document is ACP-131(E), an unclassified Allied
Communications
> Publication (ACP) available on the web for your examination at
> http://www.dtic.mil/jcs/j6/cceb/acps/Acp131e.pdf
>
> To save you the trouble of "checking me out", here is an excerpt from
the table
> of contents:
>
> CHAPTER 2 - OPERATING SIGNALS - DECODE
>
> Q SIGNALS.....Page
>
> QAA - QAZ.....2A1 - 2A3
>
> QBA - QBZ. .....2A3 - 2A5
>
> QCA - QCZ.....2A5 - 2A6
>
> QDA - QDZ.....2A6 - 2A7
>
> QEA - QEZ.....2A7 - 2A8
>
> .....CLIP.....
>
> So who's lying in this case, Steve? You, or Len?

>
> QBF?
>
> This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are not offended by
> anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or otherwise guessed I might have
> possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course you are a pompous
> "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be insulted. In which case be my
> guest.
>
> de Hans, K0HB

Hans, if only you had brought this up over the weekend Steve could have hit his goal of 10 lies per week! As it is, he's averaged only 9.666_ lies/wk, but I'm all for rounding up to help him make his goal.

>From the US Army MARS field manual that I am holding in my hands;

MARS (Army) TA2: QRK, QRQ, QRS, QRU, QRV, QRY, QRZ, QSA, QSG, QSV, QSZ, QTB, and lots of "Z" signals.

To the dismay of all the CW enthusiasts, Army MARS isn't using CW anymore. Nor is Air Force MARS.

So much for the knowledge of our resident MARS expert, Steve Robeson, K4YZ, K4CAP, K4MARS (hi!). You'd think he would have known better, but no.

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio!" Hi, hi!

5484. Re: Never Mind, Hans...Unravelled You On My Own...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 22:31:09 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114468269.512825.108600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 106945

K4YZ wrote:

> Said codes are typically used for Morse or teleprinter
> communications.

Oh, my! Q-Codes are used for Morse Code???

What will you think of next?

> But thanks for pointing out s three year old error, Hans.

A mere error?

Were you calling Len a liar, scumbag and/or putz over your "mistake"???

> I don't suppose you have anything more contemporary to address,
do
> you...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Documentation of your MARS service. Not just your heresay. Maybe you could sub your letter of appointment by competent authority of your IMD status on Okinawa. Sub it for your flight-suit photo on QRZ.

Best of Luck

5485. Re: Morse gone by summer??? No.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 22:46:06 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <426D732C.9EA44EEC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 106946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

see sea oh ecks at you aitch see dot comm wrote:
>
> Some would argue that SO-239/PL-259s are not RF connectors (I/F possibly
> and DC for sure)...
> --
> Chris Cox, N0UK/G4JEC

They are not constant impedance connectors, but they were designed
for RF. Look at what they replaced. ;-)

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

5486. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-25 23:12:05 UTC
From: Lloyd <MrLloyd@mrlloydsnospamdaleks.org>
Message-ID: <d4jtdh$1ki$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 106947

"Anonymous" <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net> wrote in message
news:Q0ZW1MOQ38467.3873148148@anonymous...
> In article <d4gpc0$sl3$0@pita.alt.net>
> "Secwet Gopher Face Tunder" <a...@anonsecwetwoger.net> wrote:
>
>> The babbling (IKYABWAI)
>
> Looks like Gopher face is stealing from ASSheil. Or is ASSheil
> posting under another name, like he claims he doesn't.
>
> The babbling drunk Gopher Face Tunder must be off the cocaine
> this morning. His babbling incoherent rants on the Liberty net

^^^^ capitalize

> demonstrate how his warped mind triesto function. He must've
^^^^^
^^^^^^
no such word no
such conjuntion

> gotten the alcohol problem from his drunk daddy, who got canned
> when he couldn't even shoot pictures anymore.
^^^^ delete

5487. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 00:58:18 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <jqmdnQEhGsO3D_DfRVn-2Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 106949

N9OGL wrote:
> As soon as you get lips off steve's ass maybe, just maybe I'll talk to
> you.

As soon as you get your lips off of your daddies pecker you might be
able to talk, but nobody will be listening to you pecker breath!

Learn to dance or tumble.

5488. Re: Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 02:20:48 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114482048.040683.263620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107000

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ laps the field two months successional
> > > > >
> > > > > March rrap Top-10
> > > > >
> > > > > [# posts] Poster
> > > > >
> > > > > [304] K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
> > > > > [143] N9OGL <toddaug@consolidated.net>
> > > > > [87] Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > > > [86] bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
> > > > > [59] Bathrooman <drlehto@cox.net>
> > > > > [51] Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
> > > > > [46] Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
> > > > > [42] N2EY <N2EY@AOL.COM>
> > > > > [32] robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
> > > > > [32] LenAnderson <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
> > > > >
> > > > Heil finally bests me at something.
> > >
> > > "Finally"...?!?!?
> > >
> > >
> >
>
BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, you've said that you never laugh like that. Lie #26.
>
> And here's yet ANOTHER one that you're gonna have to prove,
Brain!

Your lying goes way back and is well documented. Hans has showed that
your knowledge of military comms is miniscule all the while you claim
others are liars. I called you on your MARS IMD claims and you've yet
to show any documentation.

Your words, your rules, your "etiquette" makes you the liar.

> I guess I am going to just have to sit down with you and teach
you
> some basic math and etiquette, Brain

If you knew any.

> I don't know why you insist on lying yourself and then trying to make
> up stories about others lying.

Steve, make no mistake, you are a documented liar.

> But that's OK....Your kids will read these some day and they'll
> see what an unethical toad you really are, Brain.

I'm sure your children are proud of their daddy.

> Steve, K4YZ

Hans showed you to be a liar going back a long way. Today's lies are
just a continuation of the lying that you started a long, long time
ago...

5489. Re: Why Does N0IMD, Brian P Burke, Make Such Silly Errors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 02:36:16 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114482976.099763.21960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107001

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > Now post your documentation.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > After you, Brain...You're already several YEARS
> behind...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Your rules, Robeson. Follow them or forever be known as a
> liar,
> > > > > > > coward, and micreant. Best of Luck.
> > > >
> > > > BTW, Brain...There are at least TWO other posts within the
> last
> > 12
> > > > months where my dates of MARS service and callsigns were
discussed
> > > > DIRECTLY with YOU...
> > >
> > > Guesss that feeble little remanant of a "brain" doesn't hold
> > > things like that in very well, does it...?!?!
> > >
> > > No luck needed, Brain...Not with a "happy to be in second
> place"
> > > gadfly such as yourself.
> > >
> > > Sheeesh........
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Sorry, Steve, but if that's what you're calling documentation then
> > you'll just have to be known as a liar. I gave you a chance to
> redeem
> > yourself, but you blew it.
> >
> > Steve serves up Lie #29 with undocumented claims of MARS service.
> >
> > Wonder if he can get to #30 before the day's out? If so, he will
> have
> > averaged 10 new lies per week.
>

> Then you've just exposed YOURSELF as the idot that I've been
> claiming you to be, Brain, since you've addressed my MARS service and
> callsigns before.

No documentation, Steve. No documentation!

Must have documentation! Papers! Your papers! Show your papers!

Hi! Hi, hi!

Sorry, Steve, but when I apply your words, your rules, and your logic
to YOU, and that makes me an idiot(?)...

...it makes you the idiot I've claimed you to be for years. Hi!

Your karma just bit you on the backside. Sucks to be you.

Best of Luck.

5490. Enigma Message Special Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 02:37:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pPhbe.991$Oz2.523@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From OH/PA dx bulletin # 707 ...

SPECIAL EVENT (Enigma Machine To Be Reactivated After 60 Yrs)......

In September 1939, the radio receivers of all radio amateurs were ordered to be confiscated, but many amateurs volunteered to become "Voluntary Interceptors" (V.I.'s) in their own homes. These V.I.'s intercepted encrypted Enigma messages transmitted in Morse Code which were passed to the code breakers at Bletchley Park. Accuracy was crucial in order to assist decoding of the message, but many of the intercepted signals were weak or fading and atmospheric conditions were often poor with QRM from loud local stations. Searching through the bands was very painstaking, but it was a task that was carried out with great skill and dedication, providing a most valuable service to the Allies. As a tribute to the work of the V.I.'s sixty years ago, the Scarborough Special Events Group (SSEG) will be on the air as GB2HQ from GCHQ Scarborough over the weekend of May 7-8th. A souvenir QSL card showing an Enigma cipher machine and an HRO receiver will be issued to commemorate the occasion. GCHQ have provided a working Enigma machine for use by the SSEG and Ofcom and have given permission for transmission of an enciphered Enigma message to be sent in Morse Code on the amateur radio bands for this event only. The group will be active on SSB, PSK and CW. The CW station will operate around 7015 or 3515 KHz and the Enigma message will be transmitted in Morse Code at 1100z on Saturday, May 7th, at a speed of 15 wpm. The message will consist of a few five letter groups which will be repeated at 1300 and 1900z. GCHQ invites all licenced amateurs and listeners to submit a copy of this Enigma message and will award a certificate for a 100% accurate intercept. A copy of this message should be sent via club call G0OOO (Scarborough Special Events Group, 9 Green Island, Irton, Scarborough YO12 4RN) and can be enclosed with a QSL card. The cost of the certificate is =C2=A33.00 sterling, 5 Euro's, 5 USD, or 6 IRCs. (UK cheques should be made out to "SSEG"). All profits will be donated to GCHQ Scarborough Charities Fund. Full details will be published on their Web site at:

http://www.sseg.co.uk

Depending on your location, conditions may not be favorable for reception on the day. However, in this respect, listeners will be experiencing the same problems faced by the V.I.'s some sixty years ago. FISTS members are invited to take part in a practical demonstration of history brought to life through amateur radio. For more information about the Enigma machine, please visit the following Web pages:

http://www.codesandciphers.org.uk/enigma/
http://www.enigmahistory.org/enigma.html

5491. Re: Why Does N0IMD, Brian P Burke, Make Such Silly Errors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 02:51:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114483903.637848.63110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107003

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > > > Now post your documentation.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > After you, Brain...You're already several YEARS
> > behind...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Your rules, Robeson. Follow them or forever be known as a
> > liar,
> > > > > > > > coward, and micreant. Best of Luck.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > BTW, Brain...There are at least TWO other posts within the
> > last
> > > > > 12
> > > > > > months where my dates of MARS service and callsigns were
> discussed
> > > > > > DIRECTLY with YOU...
> > > > >
> > > > > Guesss that feeble little remanant of a "brain" doesn't
hold
> > > > > things like that in very well, does it...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > No luck needed, Brain...Not with a "happy to be in second
> > place"
> > > > > gadfly such as yourself.
> > > >
> > > > Sheeesh.....
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Sorry, Steve, but if that's what you're calling documentation
then
> > > you'll just have to be known as a liar. I gave you a chance to
> > redeem
> > > yourself, but you blew it.
> > >
> > > Steve serves up Lie #29 with undocumented claims of MARS service.
> > >
> > > Wonder if he can get to #30 before the day's out? If so, he will

> > have
> > > averaged 10 new lies per week.
> >
> > Then you've just exposed YOURSELF as the idot that I've been
> > claiming you to be, Brain, since you've addressed my MARS service
and
> > callsigns before.
>
> No documentation, Steve. No documentation!
>
> Must have documentation! Papers! Your papers! Show your papers!
>
> Hi! Hi, hi!
>
> Sorry, Steve, but when I apply your words, your rules, and your logic
> to YOU, and that makes me an idiot(?)...
>
> ...it makes you the idiot I've claimed you to be for years. Hi!
>
> Your karma just bit you on the backside. Sucks to be you.

Nope.

You're still a liar, and I HAVE provided you with the information
you wanted.

Now you slide out of acknowledging that information with this
silly spin.

It REALLY sucks to be you, Brain...

But nice try...

Steve, K4YZ

5492. Re: N9OGL Caught In Drunken Lie...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 04:00:26 UTC
From: marika <marika5000@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <1114488026.377923.11410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107005
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.legends.lester-mosley,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:

>
> It was just my rotten luck to be in the right place at the right
> time...That, and Toddie does it so frequently that there's so much to
> work with!
>

I am very pleased to see you are not avoiding your responsibilities

mk5000

"Okay, we may as well call it
a day. After I bandage up that hand, we'll put everything away
and start over tomorrow. May as we'll order dinner."
"Well dammit, Scully. The thing... just... whatever happened to
good-old hand-sanding?" --pattie

5493. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 04:36:34 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <PRIJQM6238468.2753935185@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 107006

In article <m76dnZ-x45L-mvHfRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Did you even have parents? Bet they never would admit it.

I'm sure yours wishes they believed in abortion.

> > Who cares how long you have been a ham. Nobody.
>
> But a lot longer than you have,

But you still are too stupied and lazy to get past the obsolete
Advanced license.

> Poor potty mouth wogie.

Poor Gopher face, he lisps because he fellates so many cocks.

> >
> > Poor little fatass Davey, he still can't prove the retest
letter
> > had a reason other than:
> >
> > "The FCC has the authority, pursuant to 97.519(d)(2) of the
> > rules to readminister any examination element previously
> > administered by a volunteer examiner."
> >
> > And still no other reason was given in Roger's retest letter!
>
>
> Poor widdle

Poor Little Gopher face, he can't even pass the Extra exam.

5494. Re: Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 05:43:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114494235.681259.132230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107007

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > Steve, you've said that you never laugh like that. Lie #26.
> > >
> > And here's yet ANOTHER one that you're gonna have to prove,
> Brain!
> >
> Your lying goes way back and is well documented.

And you ahve yet to show a single one, Brain.

> Hans has showed that
> your knowledge of military comms is miniscule...(SNIP)

One error out of seven years of this forum is "miniscule"...?!?!

That's stretching your luck, Lying One!

> (UNSNIP)....all the while you claim
> others are liars. I called you on your MARS IMD claims and you've
yet
> to show any documentation.

I gave you dates, places, callsigns, Brain. That's far more
information on ONE topic than YOU have ever presented on ALL the topics
YOU have been challenged on!

But nice try!

> Your words, your rules, your "etiquette" makes you the liar.

Nope. Information was requested...Information
provided...Actually, you ALREADY had the information in your hands...A
couple years ago, as a matter of fact, and it seemed to be quite
adequate for you then.

> > I guess I am going to just have to sit down with you and teach
> you
> > some basic math and etiquette, Brain
> >
> If you knew any.

We'll just have to sit down and I'll teach you, Brian. There's a
LOT for you to learn, though.

> > I don't know why you insist on lying yourself and then trying to
make

> > up stories about others lying.
>
> Steve, make no mistake, you are a documented liar.

There's no mistake, Brain...I'm not.

I have made mistakes...Owned up to them, acknowledged them. Hans' current item on the NON-US "military" use of Q signals for example. It was a long stretch, and he had to dig to find that one...

YOU, on the otherhand, have made COUNTLESS errors, and not only NOT acknowledged them, but tried to defend them as "valid". THAT goes from being an "error" to being a "LIE".

> > But that's OK....Your kids will read these some day and they'll
> > see what an unethical toad you really are, Brain.
>
> I'm sure your children are proud of their daddy.

Their daddy isn't lying repeatedly in a public forum.

> Hans showed you to be a liar going back a long way. Today's lies are
> just a continuation of the lying that you started a long, long time
> ago...

There's a difference. Hans found an error. Provided a reference. I acknowledged that and "stood corrected".

You, on the otherhand, still have not acknowledged your misdeeds going back a looooooooooooooooooong time before anything >>I<< wrote.

Try again, Brain. You've "proved" that you have the desire to continue your course of mistruths and deceit.

Brian P Burke IS a deceitful person. Deal with it.

Steve, K4YZ

5495. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 05:46:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114494412.765924.158900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107008

bb wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote
> >
> > > As for letter as I stated earlier I'm going to
> > > resolve this information bulletin problem.
> >
> > This would be a good time to learn to laugh at yourself. Or,
develop
> > multiple personalities! That way you won't be laughing at you,
> you'll be
> > laughing with you.
>
> Todd et all should get with Steve et all and laugh up a ruckus.

Brain...I know that "paying attention" is not one of your
virtures, however your "mentor" has taken Todd in as his new
handpuppet.

If I were you, I'd be feeling a bit jealous right about now...

Guess Lennie found someone with an even lower IQ than you, as hard
as that is to imagine....

Steve, K4YZ

5496. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson try to perpetuate his lie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 05:56:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114495016.951902.114690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107009

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1114408900.901391.176030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> > Yep...In "MILITARY" communications...Not specifically United
> > States "MILITARY"...
> >
> > The document you cited, for the enlightenment of others reading,
> > is a multi-nation Allied document...
>
> Nice bit of Andersonian-like misdirection to try to cover your
ignorance
> (lie?), but welcome to another lesson in US Military Communications,
a subject
> in which you tried to lecture Len, and now me.

No one is "lecturing" here except you, Hansl.

> ALL similar publications used by our AMERICAN military communicators
are also
> used by our military allies. That's why they're call "ACP-xxx".
("ACP" is the
> acronym for "Allied Communications Publication")

Thanks for the retelling of the obvious. That's very
"Andersonian" too.

> ACP-113 (Call Sign Book for Ships), ACP-117 (Routing Indicators),
ACP-120
> (Security), ACP-121 (General Communications Instructions) ACP-124 (CW

> Procedures), ACP-125 (Voice Procedures), and many other ACP's are the
standard
> documents used by United States Military communicators. (The
publications cited
> are all unclassified, and probably on the web at the JCS site which
contains the
> ACP-131 which you examined.)
>
> > Z-codes are more prevelent in AMERICAN military communicaitons.
>
> Another "error" (lie?). Q-codes are at least as prevelant in
AMERICAN military
> communications, if not more-so, than "Z" signals. Been there, done
that, got

> the medals.

I am sure you did....And you retired...when...???

Up until I left Uncle Sam's Gun Club i saw precious little "Q"
signals.

> For someone who professes to have been seriously involved in one
> strictly-AMERICAN military communcations system, NAVMARCORPSMARS,
governed by
> the publication "NTP-8" ("NTP" being the acronym for Naval
Telecommunications
> Publication), your ignorance (lie?) is especially odd, since NTP-8
reproduces an
> extensive list of "Q" signals taken directly from ACP-131. I would
think you'd
> have known that you never saw a copy of NTP-8.

I am very familiar with NTP-8.

I also know that in 6 months as ANCOIC on Oki and as a general
member for many other years, I didn't use Q-codes in MARS traffic
except where the text of a message was carried over from the Amateur
nets and the Amateur message had the Q-signal in it.

> Oh, never mind. I forgot that NAVMARCORPSMARS is >>NOT<< a military
> communications system.

Now you are believeing Lennie's rantings. I hope you didn't make
that silly assertion based upon something you "THINK" I said...

> "Sorry Hans, MARS >>IS<< "Amateur Radio"

And same out-of-context quoting as Brain...Guess the old mind is
slipping, eh?

> > But thanks for pointing out s three year old lie, Hans.
>
> You're welcome, Steve.
>
> This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are not
offended by
> anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or otherwise guessed I
might have
> possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course you are a pompous
> "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be insulted. In which
case be my
> guest.

I take great note, Hans, that you belittle persons for certain
behaviour and conduct yet then do it yourself.

Why?

Steve, K4YZ

5497. Re: Never Mind, Hans...Unravelled You On My Own...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 06:01:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114495280.847893.198130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107010

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Said codes are typically used for Morse or teleprinter
> > communications.
>
> Oh, my! Q-Codes are used for Morse Code???
>
> What will you think of next?
>
> > But thanks for pointing out s three year old error, Hans.
>
> A mere error?
>
> Were you calling Len a liar, scumbag and/or putz over your
"mistake"???

An "error" is something someone makes and then acknowledges and
corrects when shown that error.

I did that.

You and Lennie have been trying to defend out and out falsehoods
for years even after having been shown those errors over and over.

> > I don't suppose you have anything more contemporary to
address,
> do
> > you...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Documentation of your MARS service. Not just your heresay. Maybe
you
> could sub your letter of appointment by competent authority of your
IMD
> status on Okinawa. Sub it for your flight-suit photo on QRZ.

No heresay, Brain. I gave you dates, callsigns, locations. You
are more than welcome to verify them independently.

I've given you farrrrrrrrr more information on this one topic than
you've given on ANY topic in YEARS.

Now...time for YOU to ANTE UP!

Steve, K4YZ

5498. Re: And It Seems N9OGL Changed His Mind About Broadcasting On HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 06:04:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114495492.199838.211310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107011

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > Unfortunately, Steve and Dave have granted asylum to the
> > > refugees
> > > > > > > from
> > > > > > > rra.misc.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > We "granted" nothing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > However we did already have you, Todd and Lennie, so
> > what's
> > > > > the
> > > > > > difference?
> > > > >
> > > > > Todd is one of the refugees who you have found a home on
rrap.
> > > Your
> > > > > > mistake.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > > Wrong again, Brain. (WHY does Brian P Burke continue to
> utter
> > > > > falsehoods in public?)
> > > >
> > > > He made it here all on his own.
> > >
> > > Why do you deny it? You and Dave Heil give him reason to come
> back.
> > > Thanks. Just what we needed, another rectum.
> >
> > Well, it seems to me that you and Lennie need the "numbers",
> > Brain...
>
> ??? "Need the "numbers"" ???
>
> What numbers? Are you into numerology now?
>
> > The two of you make a pretty poor showing on your own...
>

> Kindly remember that the next time you claim we're the most whatever
or
> the biggest whatever.
>
> > Now Toddie's siding up to Lennie...they DO make a cute couple!
>
> More homosexual inuendo?
>
> > WAIT! I GET IT!!! YOU GUYS ARE ORTHODOX MORMON RRAPers!
> > Lennie's taken ANOTHER wife!
> >
> > C O N G R A T U L A T I O N S Lennie, Todd and Brian!
>
> Yes, indeed. More homosexual inuendo. Why are you always thinking
> about homosexual liaisons?

Brain, I think I need to address YOUR frequent reference to
homosexuality in almost everything YOU see to the Scouting council your
in.

You don't need to be "supervising" children.

Steve, K4YZ

5499. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 06:15:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114496121.924869.26570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107012

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > Now post your documentation.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > After you, Brain...You're already several YEARS
behind...
> > > >
> > > > Your rules, Robeson. Follow them or forever be known as a
liar,
> > > > coward, and micreant. Best of Luck.
> >
> > BTW, Brain...There are at least TWO other posts within the
last
> 12
> > months where my dates of MARS service and callsigns were discussed
> > DIRECTLY with YOU...
> >
> > Guesss that feeble little remanant of a "brain" doesn't hold
> > things like that in very well, does it...?!?!
> >
> > No luck needed, Brain...Not with a "happy to be in second
place"
> > gadfly such as yourself.
> >
> > Sheeesh........
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Sorry, Steve, but if that's what you're calling documentation then
> you'll just have to be known as a liar. I gave you a chance to
redeem
> yourself, but you blew it.

You, Brain, have no business suggesting that YOU are giving anyone
a chance to "redeem" themselves.

> Steve serves up Lie #29 with undocumented claims of MARS service.

So...Let's count Brain's lies up.

Each time he's alleged I've lied when indeed I hadn't: 29

Assertion of legal operation from Somalia: 30

"Unlicensed devices play major roll in Emer Comm" 31

"ARES/RACES is overblown and won't respond" 32

"All the Techs have been chased away" 33

"You've threatened slash tires, wives, etc..." 34

"I've operated the equivilent of DXCC from KH, HL, etc" 35

Sorry, Brain...You're waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay ahead, Old Boy!

> Wonder if he can get to #30 before the day's out? If so, he will
have
> averaged 10 new lies per week.

I'd have to get to "1" first...

Steve, K4YZ

5500. Re: Hello, I Am New here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 06:19:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114496358.254299.60050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107013

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > yojimbo wrote:
> > > > On 23 Apr 2005 12:34:53 -0700, bb <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > CW wrote:
> > > > > > Is this a ham radio flame group?
> > > > >
> > > > > No. This is a place for amateurs to reasonably discuss
amateur
> > > policy,
> > > > > particularly the Morse Code testing issue.
> > > >
> > > > And, as you can see, we are all being reasonable on here and
> > > > discussing only our ideas. We don't ever discuss each other or
> use
> > > > profanity, and Woger Wiseman isn't hanging out here.
> > > >
> > > > And ducks don't have feathers.
> > > >
> > > > YES this is a de facto flame group.
> > >
> > > No, it isn't. Go to rec.radio.amateur.misc to flame. And quit
> > > cross-posting to policy.
> >
> > Our moderator and "Seventy-Years-Too-Late To Join The Hitler
> > Youth" wannabe has spoken.
>
> Hmmmm? What does Miccolis or Heil say when the "Nazi theme" comes
up?
>
> > Now do what N0IMD says or he'll start lying about you, too!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, I sure hope you don't feel put upon by my holding you up to
your
> own words, your own rules, and your own standards.
>
> Welp, you just scraped by with merely 29 lies in three weeks. I
> figured you for a shoe-in at 30 lies or the rate of 10 new lies per
> week. You disappoint as usual.

I REALLY "disappoint" since you've not shown "1", let alone "29".

> It must really suck to be Steve Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS.

I'm not K4CAP and I never was K4MARS...Another manifestation of
your overwrought imagination, Brain...???

Why are you lying even more in the face of evidence to the
contrary, Brain???

Steve, K4YZ

5501. Re: Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 06:27:22 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <8a7c4a44eaaf3c8d8f46e78e236a7fdc@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 107014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <d4ha09$r8s$0@pita.alt.net>
Schwartz <jewman@nospam> wrote:

> It won't long before he becomes as slimy as Roger.

Or as slimy as you, Lardass since you never take a shower.

5502. N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 12:04:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114517089.552382.88030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107017

N9OGL wrote:
> and steve you still a ####ing ####head that no one likes.

Seems your ISP manager obviously thinks more of me than he does of
you, Todd...Perhaps swayed a bit by the EVIDENCE of your gross
profanities and threats.

From: robert.koester@consolidated.com
Subject: Abuse
Date: April 25, 2005 11:40:03 AM CST
To: toddaug@consolidated.net
Cc: abuse@consolidated.net
Mr. Daugerty,

We continue to receive complaints about your behavior online. This
activity will need to cease immediately or your service will be
terminated.

Gee, Todd...Guess it wasn't ONLY the picture they were talking
about, eh? You read THAT one wrong...

The information provided to us by members...(SNIP)

Note the PLURAL..."member"S"...

(UNSNIP)...of the rec.radio.amature.policy forum indicate that you are
violating the terms of Internet service with
us. The full text of our acceptable use/terms of service document may
be found at this link:

http://www.consolidated.com/docs/Internet_Terms.pdf.

Specifically, your actions can be considered violations of sections 2,
7, and 9 of the agreement.

As stated in the first paragraph of the agreement, if you choose not to
be bound by these terms please cease using the service immediately. If
you choose to continue to use the service and violate the terms - your
Internet service will be disconnected.

This document will also be copied to you through a certified letter via
the United States Postal Service.

Guess you didn't take them seriously enough, Todd...Too bad you
don't take hints easily, eh?

Robert Koester
Data Services Manager

Consolidated Communications

(2) Restrict or inhibit any other user from using and enjoying the Internet;

WELL WHAT ABOUT ME??? IT'S TO ME THAT CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS (WHICH IS THE LOCAL TELEPHONE COMPANY) WILL ALLOW THEM (THEY BOYS FROM REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY) TO BE ABUSIVE BUT WE SOMEONE TRIES TO FIGHT BACK, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THEY (THE PHONE COMPANY) WILL BELIEVE THEM (THE BOYS FROM REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY) AND SAY TO HELL YOUR THEIR HIGH PAYING CUSTOMER.

Because even for those of us that don't like each other and contrantly go toe-to-toe, Todd, don't use the kind of abusive and disgusting language you insist on using.

And you need to read the note from Dee....People who make light of deceased children aren't at the head of anyone's parade, Todd.

And "fight back" against WHAT, Todd?

Suggestions that you do something about your childish grammar?

Suggestions that your "shows" are not wanted, not needed, or violate, in spirit if not absolute letter of federal law?

Suggestions that we don't want to read your foul, bullying crap, here OR on your "blog"...?!?!

Suggestions that you'd likely get better response from the FCC if you'd MEET LICENSURE CRITERIA for the license you're applying for...?!?!

(7) Post or transmit any unlawful, threatening, abusive, libelous, defamatory, obscene, pornographic, profane, or otherwise objectionable information of any kind (as determined in Provider's sole discretion), including without limitation any transmissions constituting or encouraging conduct that would constitute a criminal offense, give rise to civil liability, or otherwise violate any local, state, national or international law, including without limitation the U.S. export control laws and regulations;

WELL I'VE NEVER THREATEN THEM, AND WELL WHILE I MAY A BEEN ABUSIVE, THEY HAVE THE ####ING BALLS TO CALL THE KETTLE BLACK.

I HOPE you didn't send that kind of language to the guy who just told you that your filthy mouth is about to get your ISP service cann'd, Todd!

And Todd...did you read the part about "...give rise to civil liabilty.." and "...(as detrmined in Provider's sole discretion"...?!?!

They are TELLING YOU that you DO NOT have the right to act in a way which could get THEM pulled into court!

What ever fee you're paying them a month is NOT worth them going to court over because of YOUR foul mouth, pornographic depictions, and your "suggestions" of shoving razor balde-studded dildos into people's rectums.

It's not the "speech" of a responsible adult, Todd.

KC8GXW CONTINUES ABUSE ME ABOUT MY SEXUAL PREFERENCE AND THEIR CONTINUOUS CLAIMS THAT I'M VIOLATING FEDERAL LAW.

Your "sexual preference" became fair game after YOUR "vacuum cleaner" pic (which YOU posted) and YOUR >>frequent<< references to the male genitalia, Todd.

We've been trying to gently point this out to you, but you just insist on running head first into that brick wall over and over. I'm not going to offer an Excedrin to someone who won't stop doing what it is that causes the pain!

AS FOR OBSCENE AND POROGRAPHIC MATERIAL AND PROFANE WORDS ALSO FALL INTO THAT CATEGORY, UNLIKE THOSE MORONS ON THAT NEWSGROUP AND PERHAPS THE PHONE COMPANY WAS ASLEEP THAT DAY BUT THE US SUPREME COURT RULED OBSCENE MATERIAL WAS LEGAL ON THE INTERNET WHEN IT STRUCK DOWN THE CDA BACK IN 1996.

The Supreme Courst ALSO stated that such material must be guarded from access by children, Todd.

This forum is NOT gated from access by minors.

THE PHONE COMPANY HAS THE BALLS TO ALLOW IT IN , ALONG WITH CHILD PORNOGRAPHY, BUT WILL NOT ALLOW IT OUT. TALK ABOUT A BUNCH OF CRAP!!

They can't control what sites start at some other ISP and YOU go looking for...but they CAN stop YOU from putting them at risk for civil penalty by misuse of your account which is in THEIR name!

MAYBE I SHOULD INFORM THE SERVICE PROVIDERS OF THOSE PEOPLE ON REC.RADIO.AMATEUR. POLICY, ALONG WITH GOOGLE ABOUT THOSE PEOPLES ABUSES BEHAVIOR INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO POSTED COPYRIGHT MATERIAL ON THE NEWSGROUP WITHOUT MY PERMISSION, AND THEIR ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR

What are you going to report, Todd? Show AOL that I've been telling YOU over and over that YOUR illegal use of the Amateur Radio service is wrong...?!?!

Are you going to tell them that I have repeatedly "called you" on your use of profanity, threats and use of YOUR service to promote illegal activity...???

Abusive behaviour? How we've been telling you we don't want your foul mouth in this forum?

And "COPYRIGHTED"...?!?! Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....

Not one word of your "COPYRIGHTED" material has been misquoted or quoted without giving YOU full credit as the author...So good luck on THAT one, too!

(9) Gain or attempt to gain unauthorized access to or otherwise disrupt or deface web sites, networks, systems or accounts owned by Provider or any third parties, including without limitation, through the use of worms, trojan horses, denial of service attacks or other computer hacking techniques, disrupt the Service or network connectivity of Provider or any third parties, misuse Provider or third party facilities or create fraudulent accounts. communications over a network.

THIS PART HERE I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE #### I'M SUPPOSE TO BE VIOLATING HERE I'VE NEVER HACKED A SITE IN MY LIFE, NOR HAVE I EVER PRETEND TO BE SOMEONE....I THINK THOSE CRYBABIES ON REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY IS SMOKING CRACK.

You would.

You've had third persons pretending to be "me", for example...even acknowledged that they were bogus, yet you leave their foul and misleading "comments" on your blog for all to see as if it was really me.

As far as >>I<< am concerned, even if it WASN'T you (which I believe it was...) that has pretended to be me on your blog, you KNOW it's NOT me, you let it continue, and that makes you as culpable as the person who posted it.

Now, here it is Todd...

I've tried to tell you about your behaviour being unacceptable in a public forum...

Dee's told you about your behavioulr...

"Cmd Buzz Corey" has told you...

Brian Burke has told you....

KC8GXW has told you...

Others, both named and anonymous have told you....

Now, WHETHER YOU LIKE IT OR NOT...certain behaviour, even by adults, IS NOT TOLERATED OR APPRECIATED IN CERTAIN FORUMS...Just becasue a forum is made up of mostly adults does NOT mean they want to hear your "adult" language!

TAKE THE HINT, TODD!

Now...go open that certified letter!

Steve, K4YZ

5503. Re: GREASY BSTARDS WILL LOSE THEIRS TOO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 16:50:47 UTC
From: CoyoteWaiting <coyote_waiting@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114534246.987008.300670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107019

Stop. Please. Stop.

5504. Lardass has already lost it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 17:00:16 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <8f518425502a7819bcd57b8e3b189d3f@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 107020

In article <6b8222cf710b070ba22bf55d8012e96b@mixmaster.it>
George Orwell Secret Lardass<nob...@mixmaster.it> wrote:
>
> you are

Hi Lardass! You have already lost, like you have your entire
life. BWHAHAHAHA!

5505. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson try to perpetuate his lie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 17:37:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3%ube.14572$An2.13207@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107021

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Up until I left Uncle Sam's Gun Club i saw precious little "Q"
> signals.

Unless you were trained and assigned duties as a Morse or TTY circuit operator, that doesn't surprise me. I expect you saw "precious little" Z-signals either. You see, "Q" and "Z" signals are OPERATING signals, exchanged between OPERATORS.

> I am very familiar with NTP-8.

Then I'm sure you've seen all the "Q" signals in Annex Charlie, and you also seem familiar with ACP-131. Nonetheless, when Len mentioned his "first QSY" you seek to discredit his statement with:

------>" "Q" signals are NOT used in MILITARY applications"

> I also know that in 6 months as ANCOIC on Oki and as a general
> member for many other years, I didn't use Q-codes in MARS traffic

Nothing surprising about that!

I've spent 21 years of Naval Communications and so far 34 years in NAVMARCORMARS (I was the first Area Coordinator on Guam, call sign N0GCM --- yes, way back when the calls were 1x3 --- and I hold NMOC certificate #1). I suppose that could be "added up" to 55 years in MILITARY communications, and in all that time I also never used Q-codes or Z-codes in message traffic.

There's a good reason for that! "Q" and "Z" signals are OPERATING signals and you don't normally use them in "traffic". To quote Annex Charlie again:

"C100. OPERATING SIGNALS ("Q" AND "Z")

a. Operating signals are concise codes designed primarily for use by communication personnel in exchanging information incident to the handling of messages or in establishing communications. Communication personnel also use them in service messages."

Sunuvagun!

Now to get back to Len's "50th Anniversary of his first QSY". It seems to me that was a particularly appropriate comment for him to make, when you responded with ""Q" signals are NOT used in MILITARY applications"

Len was assigned as a transmitter operator at a large MILITARY transmitter facility in Japan. Since I was Operations Chief at two such multi-megawatt facilities (NPN and NAM), I have a pretty good grasp of his duties at ADA. The transmitter facility would one part of a three-part communications station, the other two parts being a receiver facility and a tech-control/message center

facility both of which would be located several miles away from the transmitter facility to avoid self-QRM. All three facilities would be connected with a TTY-based orderwire system.

The tech-controllers are responsible to keep all the circuits up and functioning, coordinating between their local users and tech-control centers at the distant end of all their circuits (NPN, for example). This (obvious even to you) would require many frequency changes over the course of a day (and night) and those frequency change would be communicated over the orderwire out to the transmitter, receiver, and remote circuit-end sites by OPERATING SIGNALS. Unless you've discovered a hidden Z-signals unknown to me, those orders would go out like this ---

1) To the remote-end at NPN "QAP 10276". NPN would now listen for ADA on 10276.

2) To Len at the transmitter site: QSY NPN 10276". Len would shift frequency on the NPN transmitter to 10276.

In his full tour at the ADA transmitter site, "QSY" would be far and away the most often-copied OPERATING SIGNAL that Sgt. Anderson would see. Obviously it is going to still be familiar to him some 50-years later, and he may well remember his "first QSY".

What is your most often-copied OPERATING SIGNAL? I'm guessing it is "ZBM2" or "QBF?".

Disclaimer: This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are not offended by anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or otherwise guessed I might have possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course you are a pompous "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be insulted. In which case be my guest.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

5506. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson try to perpetuate his lie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 17:51:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fcvbe.1583$Oz2.309@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107022

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114495016.951902.114690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

>> This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are
>> not offended by anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed
>> or otherwise guessed I might have possibly meant as demeaning -
>> unless of course you are a pompous "full-of-yourself" individual
>> who WANTS to be insulted. In which case be my guest.

> I take great note, Hans, that you belittle persons for certain
> behaviour and conduct yet then do it yourself.

> Why?

It's only a disclaimer, Steve. If it doesn't apply to your person, please
ignore it.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

5507. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson try to perpetuate his lie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 17:58:42 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mjvbe.1586$Oz2.692@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107023

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> And you retired...when...???

The Certificate says "On the1st Day of May, Nineteen Hundred Eighty Eight"

Does that make a difference?

When did you retire?

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

5508. Lardass collects Food Stamps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 19:04:42 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <e93fed587e8c0c082499508dfa6ab95b@userbeam.net>
Source record: 107024

In article
<9f764872a7481e5d01f9af6a3b31bc0e@melontraffickers.com>
A.Melon <j...@melontraffickers.com> wrote:
>
> home again

Hey Lardass, how's your food stamps you have to get holding up?

And ask the real Polly why his wife divorced him. BWHAHAHAHA!

5509. Re: Enigma Message Special Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 19:16:10 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1114542970.529293.75070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KØHB wrote:
> From OH/PA dx bulletin # 707 ...
>

. . . . .

> Depending on your location, conditions may not be favorable for
reception
> on the day. However, in this respect, listeners will be experiencing
the
> same problems faced by the V.I.'s some sixty years ago. FISTS members
are
> invited to take part in a practical demonstration of history brought
to
> life through amateur radio. For more information about the Enigma
machine,
> please visit the following Web pages:
>
> http://www.codesandciphers.org.uk/enigma/
> http://www.enigmahistory.org/enigma.html

Interesting links, good reads. The test runs will be tough copy on this
side though, bad timing for EUs on 80/40.

w3rv

5510. HamPoll.Com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 21:26:33 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <dmybe.1300$461.531@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 107026

Opinionated? Introducing HamPoll.Com

http://www.hampoll.com

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

5511. Re: Why Does N0IMD, Brian P Burke, Make Such Silly Errors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 22:14:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114553657.620360.309550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107027

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Now post your documentation.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > After you, Brain...You're already several YEARS
> > > behind...
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Your rules, Robeson. Follow them or forever be known as
a
> > > liar,
> > > > > > > > > > > coward, and micreant. Best of Luck.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > BTW, Brain...There are at least TWO other posts within
the
> > > last
> > > > > > 12
> > > > > > > > > months where my dates of MARS service and callsigns were
> > discussed
> > > > > > > > DIRECTLY with YOU...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > Guesss that feeble little remanant of a "brain" doesn't
> hold
> > > > > > > things like that in very well, does it...?!?!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > No luck needed, Brain...Not with a "happy to be in
second
> > > place"
> > > > > > gadfly such as yourself.
> > > > > >
> > > > Sheeesh........
> > > > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > Sorry, Steve, but if that's what you're calling documentation
> then
> > > you'll just have to be known as a liar. I gave you a chance to
> > redeem
> > > yourself, but you blew it.

> > > >
> > > > Steve serves up Lie #29 with undocumented claims of MARS
service.
> > > >
> > > > Wonder if he can get to #30 before the day's out? If so, he
will
> > > have
> > > > averaged 10 new lies per week.
> > >
> > > Then you've just exposed YOURSELF as the idot that I've been
> > > claiming you to be, Brain, since you've addressed my MARS service
> and
> > > callsigns before.
> >
> > No documentation, Steve. No documentation!
> >
> > Must have documentation! Papers! Your papers! Show your papers!
> >
> > Hi! Hi, hi!
> >
> > Sorry, Steve, but when I apply your words, your rules, and your
logic
> > to YOU, and that makes me an idiot(?)...
> >
> > ...it makes you the idiot I've claimed you to be for years. Hi!
> >
> > Your karma just bit you on the backside. Sucks to be you.
>
> Nope.
>
> You're still a liar, and I HAVE provided you with the
information
> you wanted.
>
> Now you slide out of acknowledging that information with this
> silly spin.
>
> It REALLY sucks to be you, Brain...
>
> But nice try...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, using your words, your rules, and your logic, you failed the
test. The test that you wrote!

You are simply not to be trusted.

5512. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson try to perpetuate his lie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 22:27:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114554446.535869.36950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107028

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1114408900.901391.176030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > > Yep...In "MILITARY" communications...Not specifically United
> > > States "MILITARY"...
> > >
> > > The document you cited, for the enlightenment of others reading,
> > > is a multi-nation Allied document...
> >
> > Nice bit of Andersonian-like misdirection to try to cover your
> ignorance
> > (lie?), but welcome to another lesson in US Military
Communications,
> a subject
> > in which you tried to lecture Len, and now me.
>
> No one is "lecturing" here except you, Hansl.

Wrong. You lecture when you think you know something, you demand,
interrogate, and attack when you run into trouble.

> > ALL similar publications used by our AMERICAN military
communicators
> are also
> > used by our military allies. That's why they're call "ACP-xxx".
> ("ACP" is the
> > acronym for "Allied Communications Publication")
>
> Thanks for the retelling of the obvious. That's very
> "Andersonian" too.

But you were the one who pointed out that Q-codes were used for CW.
Hi!

> > ACP-113 (Call Sign Book for Ships), ACP-117 (Routing Indicators),
> ACP-120
> > (Security), ACP-121 (General Communications Instructions) ACP-124
(CW
>
> > Procedures), ACP-125 (Voice Procedures), and many other ACP's are
the
> standard
> > documents used by United States Military communicators. (The

> publications cited
> > are all unclassified, and probably on the web at the JCS site which
> contains the
> > ACP-131 which you examined.)
> >
> > > Z-codes are more prevelent in AMERICAN military communicaitons.
> >
> > Another "error" (lie?). Q-codes are at least as prevelant in
> AMERICAN military
> > communications, if not more-so, than "Z" signals. Been there, done
> that, got
> > the medals.
>
> I am sure you did....And you retired...when...???
>
> Up until I left Uncle Sam's Gun Club i saw precious little "Q"
> signals.

Prolly cause you never held a job in communications.

> > For someone who professes to have been seriously involved in one
> > strictly-AMERICAN military communcations system, NAVMARCORPSMARS,
> governed by
> > the publication "NTP-8" ("NTP" being the acronym for Naval
> Telecommunications
> > Publication), your ignorance (lie?) is especially odd, since NTP-8
> reproduces an
> > extensive list of "Q" signals taken directly from ACP-131. I would
> think you'd
> > have known that you never saw a copy of NTP-8.
>
> I am very familiar with NTP-8.

Apparently not. Just like your familiarity with MARS. Not!

> I also know that in 6 months as ANCOIC on Oki and as a general
> member for many other years, I didn't use Q-codes in MARS traffic
> except where the text of a message was carried over from the Amateur
> nets and the Amateur message had the Q-signal in it.

Ooooh. ANCOIC of MARS? Post the documentation if it ever existed.

> > Oh, never mind. I forgot that NAVMARCORPSMARS is >>NOT<< a
military
> > communications system.
>
> Now you are believeing Lennie's rantings. I hope you didn't
make
> that silly assertion based upon something you "THINK" I said...

Speaking of silly assertions, see next.

> > "Sorry Hans, MARS >>IS<< "Amateur Radio"
>
> And same out-of-context quoting as Brain...Guess the old mind is
> slipping, eh?

The mind that slipped is the one that originally came up with that gem.

> > > But thanks for pointing out s three year old lie, Hans.
> >
> > You're welcome, Steve.
> >
> > This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are
not
> offended by
> > anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or otherwise guessed
I
> might have
> > possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course you are a pompous
> > "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be insulted. In which
> case be my
> > guest.
>
> I take great note, Hans, that you belittle persons for certain
> behaviour and conduct yet then do it yourself.
>
> Why?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Kind of like how you set certain rules, then cannot live up to them
yourself. But you've never had to because you spend your time either
lecturing or attacking.

5513. Re: Why Does N0IMD, Brian P Burke, Make Such Silly Errors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 22:28:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114554522.047632.225990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107029

bb wrote:

> > Now you slide out of acknowledging that information with this
> > silly spin.
> >
> > It REALLY sucks to be you, Brain...
> >
> > But nice try...
>
> Steve, using your words, your rules, and your logic, you failed the
> test. The test that you wrote!
>
> You are simply not to be trusted.

What rules, Brian?

YOU have refused to answer any/all questions pertaining to ALL the
tems put to you, then you try and twist out of it with this dance.

Sorry...didn't work.

S T I L L waiting for answer to questions put to you over the
YEARS, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

5514. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson try to perpetuate his lie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 22:31:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114554695.470611.251690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107030

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I take great note, Hans, that you belittle persons for certain
> > behaviour and conduct yet then do it yourself.
> >
> > Why?
>
> Kind of like how you set certain rules, then cannot live up to them
> yourself. But you've never had to because you spend your time either
> lecturing or attacking.

What does it matter, either way, Brain?

YOU continue to make idiotic assertions that are JUST that, and
then you don't offer any substantiation or verification of your claims.

Been doing it for years. This is no different.

Nice try, Though.

Steve, K4YZ

5515. Re: Never Mind, Hans...Unravelled You On My Own...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 22:34:06 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114554846.208548.54470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107031

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > Said codes are typically used for Morse or teleprinter
> > > communications.
> >
> > Oh, my! Q-Codes are used for Morse Code???
> >
> > What will you think of next?
> >
> > > But thanks for pointing out s three year old error, Hans.
> >
> > A mere error?
> >
> > Were you calling Len a liar, scumbag and/or putz over your
> "mistake"???
>
> An "error" is something someone makes and then acknowledges and
> corrects when shown that error.
>
> I did that.
>
> You and Lennie have been trying to defend out and out
falsehoods
> for years even after having been shown those errors over and over.
>
> > > I don't suppose you have anything more contemporary to
> address,
> > do
> > > you...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Documentation of your MARS service. Not just your heresay. Maybe
> you
> > could sub your letter of appointment by competent authority of your
> IMD
> > status on Okinawa. Sub it for your flight-suit photo on QRZ.
>
> No heresay, Brain. I gave you dates, callsigns, locations. You
> are more than welcome to verify them independently.
>
> I've given you farrrrrrrrr more information on this one topic

than
> you've given on ANY topic in YEARS.
>
> Now...time for YOU to ANTE UP!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

The safe combination at Ft. Knox is R27 L41 R1 L19.

People can post whatever tripe they want on RRAP, and you certainly have piled it higher and deeper than anyone else.

Now show the DOCUMENTATION! (and get rid of that rediculous photo on QRZ)

5516. Re: GREASY BSTARDS WILL LOSE THEIRS TOO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 22:37:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114555059.464539.261120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107032

George Orwell wrote:
> ####ING ##### K4YZ HACK PLACES AND BLAME IT ON N9OGL WHICH IS
ILLEGLE.
> N9OGL AND ME WE CONTACT POLICE ABOUT PENUS BREATH STEVE AND HIS IN
> CRIMINATIONS OF OGL. SEE YOU IN JAIL ####ING BITCH STEVE. I HAVE
> MORE SMARTS ON THE TIP OF MY PENUS THAN YOU EVER DID YOU BITCH.

Guess we know who "George Orwell" is now, eh?

Steve, K4YZ

5517. Re: Why is Steven J Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS Unworthy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 22:37:57 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114555077.372267.130290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107033

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I take great note, Hans, that you belittle persons for
certain
> > > behaviour and conduct yet then do it yourself.
> > >
> > > Why?
> >
> > Kind of like how you set certain rules, then cannot live up to them
> > yourself. But you've never had to because you spend your time
either
> > lecturing or attacking.
>
> What does it matter, either way, Brain?

It matters because you are incapable of telling the truth, and you are incapable of living up to the standards that you set and demand everyone else meet. You are unworthy to keep our company on rrap. Sucks to be Robeson.

5518. Re: YOU LOOSERS WILL PAY BIGTIME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 22:40:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114555234.835718.106700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107034

Nomen Nescio wrote:
> YOU CAN BE TOSSED TO AND IT WILL BE DONE NOW THAT YOU DONE IT TO
> BE ### HOLES TO A OUTSIDER WHO LAUGHED AT YOUR CLICK AND YOU LOOSERS
> COULD'NT STAND IT AND SO TOOK IT OFF THE NET TO RAEL LIFE.
>
> I HAVE SPOKE.

The net "is" real life.

And the word is "clique", not "click".

Any one can "TOS" anyone else, but the resulting action taken is
dependent upon what the alleged TOSee did.

In your case, Todd, you were profane, morbid and threatening.

You lose.

Steve, K4YZ

5519. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 22:49:38 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114555777.964860.304880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107035

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > > > Now post your documentation.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > After you, Brain...You're already several YEARS
> behind...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Your rules, Robeson. Follow them or forever be known as a
> liar,
> > > > > > > > coward, and micreant. Best of Luck.
> > > >
> > > > BTW, Brain...There are at least TWO other posts within the
> last
> > 12
> > > > months where my dates of MARS service and callsigns were
discussed
> > > > DIRECTLY with YOU...
> > >
> > > Guesss that feeble little remanant of a "brain" doesn't hold
> > > things like that in very well, does it...?!?!
> > >
> > > No luck needed, Brain...Not with a "happy to be in second
> place"
> > > gadfly such as yourself.
> > >
> > > Sheeesh........
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Sorry, Steve, but if that's what you're calling documentation then
> > you'll just have to be known as a liar. I gave you a chance to
> redeem
> > yourself, but you blew it.
>
> You, Brain, have no business suggesting that YOU are giving
anyone
> a chance to "redeem" themselves.

I have appointed myself. Just as you have appointed yourself judge,
jury and executioner in so many RRAP disputes, most of which you

started yourself.

Get used to it - there's a new Sheriff in town and he is watching you.

And I'm recalling the extension I gave for you to post documentation of your alledged MARS service. You didn't make my deadline.

Sucks to be Robeson.

5520. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson try to perpetuate his lie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 23:01:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114554899.262020.250630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107037

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> In his full tour at the ADA transmitter site, "QSY" would be far and away the
> most often-copied OPERATING SIGNAL that Sgt. Anderson would see. Obviously it
> is going to still be familiar to him some 50-years later, and he may well
> remember his "first QSY".

"Sgt. Anderson" never saw those "operating signals" other than on paper, or overheard by the OPERATORS of ADA, Hans. "Sgt. Anderson" was a radio mechanic, not a station operator.

Nice try, though.

Steve, K4YZ

5521. Re: Never Mind, Hans...Unravelled You On My Own...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 23:02:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114555481.689350.93180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107036

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> Now show the DOCUMENTATION! (and get rid of that rediculous photo on
> QRZ)

That's your best shot?

Information YOU have had and accepted YEARS ago is no longer valid?

Hated finding out I was right, didn't you...?!?!

And my picture on QRZ is the best shot you've got...?!?!

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

Sheeeesh!

Steve, K4YZ

5522. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson keep digging in deeper?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-26 23:31:37 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1114558297.770260.142570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107038

K4YZ wrote:

>
> "Sgt. Anderson" never saw those "operating signals" other than on
> paper, or overheard by the OPERATORS of ADA, Hans. "Sgt.
> Anderson" was a radio mechanic, not a station operator.
>

You're in way over your head, Steve. There are no "station operators"
or "circuit operators" at a transmitter facility. The "station/circuit
operator" is at a message center, a tech control center, or a wire-room
many miles away. At the transmitter facility there are "transmitter
operators" whose job it is to keep the transmitters up and running, and
perform "QSY"'s when directed. I know that flies in the face of your
beliefs, but reality doesn't much care what you believe.

Now then, when did YOU retire?

DISCLAIMER:
This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are not
offended by anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or otherwise
guessed I might have possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course you
are a pompous "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be insulted.
In which case be my guest.

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

5523. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 00:02:16 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@this.ten>
Message-ID: <a86dnRIKs949afPfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107048

Italy Anonymous Remailer wrote:
> In article <m76dnZ-x45L-mvHfRVn-jw@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>
>>Did you even have parents? Bet they never would admit it.
>
>
> I'm sure yours wishes they believed in abortion.
>
>
>>>Who cares how long you have been a ham. Nobody.
>>
>>But a lot longer than you have,
>
>
> But you still are too stupied and lazy to get past the obsolete
> Advanced license.
>
>
>>Poor potty mouth wogie.
>
>
> Poor Gopher face, he lisps because he fellates so many cocks.
>
>
>>>Poor little fatass Davey, he still can't prove the retest
>
> letter
>
>>>had a reason other than:
>>>
>>>"The FCC has the authority, pursuant to ï¿½97.519(d)(2) of the
>>>rules to readminister any examination element previously
>>>administered by a volunteer examiner."
>>>
>>>And still no other reason was given in Roger's retest letter!
>>
>>
>>Poor widdle
>
>
> Poor Little Gopher face, he can't even pass the Extra exam.
>

>

Two-test wogie.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!
!!!!!

So long fool.

5524. Re: Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 00:11:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114560686.152240.111630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107039

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Steve, you've said that you never laugh like that. Lie #26.
> > >
> > > And here's yet ANOTHER one that you're gonna have to prove,
> > Brain!
> >
> > Your lying goes way back and is well documented.
>
> And you ahve yet to show a single one, Brain.

No need. You showed them.

> > Hans has showed that
> > your knowledge of military comms is miniscule...(SNIP)
>
> One error out of seven years of this forum is "miniscule"...?!?!

Reread. Your knowledge of military comms is miniscule.

But your errors cause you to call Len a liar, a miscreant, and a putz.
You misplace blame.

> That's stretching your luck, Lying One!

I need no luck when holding you to your own words, your own rules, and
your own logic. You are incapable of living up to your own standards.

> > (UNSNIP)....all the while you claim
> > others are liars. I called you on your MARS IMD claims and you've
> yet
> > to show any documentation.
>
> I gave you dates, places, callsigns, Brain. That's far more
> information on ONE topic than YOU have ever presented on ALL the
topics
> YOU have been challenged on!
>
> But nice try!

And I gave you the combination to the safe at Ft. Knox.

> > Your words, your rules, your "etiquette" makes you the liar.
>
> Nope. Information was requested...Information

> provided...Actually, you ALREADY had the information in your
hands...A
> couple years ago, as a matter of fact, and it seemed to be quite
> adequate for you then.

Your own "say so" isn't documentation. Scan the letter of appointment
for your "ANCOIC" of Okinawa MARS. Post the link for all to see.

> > > I guess I am going to just have to sit down with you and
teach
> > you
> > > some basic math and etiquette, Brain
> > >
> > If you knew any.
> >
> We'll just have to sit down and I'll teach you, Brian. There's
a
> LOT for you to learn, though.

You wish to be my mentor? Should I start calling you "Lying Putz!"

> > > I don't know why you insist on lying yourself and then trying to
> make
> > > up stories about others lying.
> > >
> > Steve, make no mistake, you are a documented liar.
> >
> There's no mistake, Brain...I'm not.

There must be. You've just lied again.

> I have made mistakes...Owned up to them, acknowledged them.
Hans'
> current item on the NON-US "military" use of Q signals for example.
It
> was a long stretch, and he had to dig to find that one...

Now wait a minute, Slippery Steve. If the manual is for "allied
forces" aren't we allied to them as well? Why would we have them
communicating with us using one set of protocols, and we to them with
another?

You've made more dodges recently than the Chrysler plant. Hi!

> YOU, on the otherhand, have made COUNTLESS errors, and not only
> NOT acknowledged them, but tried to defend them as "valid". THAT
goes
> from being an "error" to being a "LIE".

But we're discussing your errors, your accusations, your words, your
rules, your logic, and your lies!

It's pathetic that you can't live up to your own expectations of
others. You're not a straw boss anymore, just a strawman.

> > > But that's OK....Your kids will read these some day and
> they'll
> > > see what an unethical toad you really are, Brain.
> >
> > I'm sure your children are proud of their daddy.
>
> Their daddy isn't lying repeatedly in a public forum.

You aren't your childrens father?

> > Hans showed you to be a liar going back a long way. Today's lies
are
> > just a continuation of the lying that you started a long, long time
> > ago...
>
> There's a difference. Hans found an error. Provided a
reference.
> I acknowledged that and "stood corrected".

You dodged!

> You, on the otherhand, still have not acknowledged your misdeeds
> going back a looooooooooooooooooooong time before anything >>I<<
wrote.

Again, Slippery Steve, this is about you, your words, your rules, your
logic, and your expectations of others being applied to you.

You fail the test! Your own test! The test you wrote!

> Try again, Brain. You've "proved" that you have the desire to
> continue your course of mistruths and deceit.
>
> Brian P Burke IS a deceitful person. Deal with it.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Sucks to be Steven J Robeson/K4ZY/K4CAP/K4MARS (hi!)!

5525. Re: Why is Steven J Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS (hi!) Unworthy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 00:22:25 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114561345.629753.138860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107040

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I take great note, Hans, that you belittle persons for
certain
> > > behaviour and conduct yet then do it yourself.
> > >
> > > Why?
> >
> > Kind of like how you set certain rules, then cannot live up to them
> > yourself. But you've never had to because you spend your time
either
> > lecturing or attacking.
>
> What does it matter, either way, Brain?

Your lying accusations don't matter?

Your standards for others, the very standard that YOU cannot live up
to, don't matter?

You sure don't give yourself much credit, do you?

Steven J. Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS (hi!) is Unworthy.

Completely Unworthy!

Sucks to be Steven J. Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS (hi!)!!!

5526. Re: Never Mind, Hans...Unravelled You On My Own...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 00:31:27 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114561887.684331.173050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107041

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Now show the DOCUMENTATION! (and get rid of that rediculous photo
on
> > QRZ)
>
> That's your best shot?

Shot? This isn't marksmanship, Silly Gunny. Were you ever really a
Marine?

It was merely a DEMAND! Can you not live up to my repeating your own
demands? The demands that you place on others???

If you can't, then you fail your own test. Those are the rules that
you, Steven J. Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS put into place.

Do be a good little Extra and live up to your own expectations.

Post REAL Documentation of your MARS activities!

> Information YOU have had and accepted YEARS ago is no longer
valid?

I accept nothing that your lying lips have told me.

Now post REAL Documentation.

Your words, your rules, your expectations of others!!!

At this point, It Sucks to be Steven J. Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS
(hi!)!!!

5527. Godwin invoked on Robeson posting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 00:37:29 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114562249.471216.71280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107042

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > yojimbo wrote:
> > > > > On 23 Apr 2005 12:34:53 -0700, bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CW wrote:
> > > > > > > Is this a ham radio flame group?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > No. This is a place for amateurs to reasonably discuss
> amateur
> > > > policy,
> > > > > > particularly the Morse Code testing issue.
> > > > > >
> > > > > And, as you can see, we are all being reasonable on here and
> > > > > discussing only our ideas. We don't ever discuss each other
or
> > use
> > > > > profanity, and Woger Wiseman isn't hanging out here.
> > > > > >
> > > > > And ducks don't have feathers.
> > > > > >
> > > > > YES this is a de facto flame group.
> > > > >
> > > > No, it isn't. Go to rec.radio.amateur.misc to flame. And quit
> > > > cross-posting to policy.
> > >
> > > Our moderator and "Seventy-Years-Too-Late To Join The Hitler
> > > Youth" wannabe has spoken.
> >
> > Hmmmm? What does Miccolis or Heil say when the "Nazi theme" comes
> up?

Slippery Steve, trick question. What is invoked when the Nazi Theme
comes up???

You're your own worst enemy.

5528. Re: Hello, I Am New here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 00:46:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114562765.880679.104730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107043

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > yojimbo wrote:
> > > > > On 23 Apr 2005 12:34:53 -0700, bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CW wrote:
> > > > > > > Is this a ham radio flame group?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No. This is a place for amateurs to reasonably discuss
> amateur
> > > > policy,
> > > > > > particularly the Morse Code testing issue.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And, as you can see, we are all being reasonable on here and
> > > > > > discussing only our ideas. We don't ever discuss each other
or
> > use
> > > > > > profanity, and Woger Wiseman isn't hanging out here.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And ducks don't have feathers.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > YES this is a de facto flame group.
> > > > >
> > > > > No, it isn't. Go to rec.radio.amateur.misc to flame. And quit
> > > > > cross-posting to policy.
> > > >
> > > > Our moderator and "Seventy-Years-Too-Late To Join The Hitler
> > > > Youth" wannabe has spoken.
> > >
> > > Hmmmm? What does Miccolis or Heil say when the "Nazi theme" comes
> up?

Conveniently ignored. At least you didn't build another Dodge.

> > > Now do what N0IMD says or he'll start lying about you, too!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, I sure hope you don't feel put upon by my holding you up to
> your
> > own words, your own rules, and your own standards.
> >

> > Welp, you just scraped by with merely 29 lies in three weeks. I
> > figured you for a shoe-in at 30 lies or the rate of 10 new lies per
> > week. You disappoint as usual.
>
> I REALLY "disappoint" since you've not shown "1", let alone
"29".

No need. You've showed all 29 and more, plus a junkyard full of beat
up dodges.

> > It must really suck to be Steve Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS.
>
> I'm not K4CAP

You've pasted K4CAP to your tagline for years. What changed?

and I never was K4MARS...Another manifestation of
> your overwrought imagination, Brain...???

Idiot, there is no K4MARS amateur allocation. But I thought it was
cute. Unlike you sucking up the K4CAP callsign for no useful purpose.

> Why are you lying even more in the face of evidence to the
> contrary, Brain???

Steve, there is no evidence to the contrary. But please continue your
multi-state lying spree. One day Alec Baldwin will produce a movie
about you. He'll get Woody Haroldson to act the leading role, "NATURAL
BORN LIAR."

5529. Robeson's Fixation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 01:04:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114563875.966232.177030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107044

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:

> > > Now Toddie's siding up to Lennie...they DO make a cute
couple!
> >
> > More homosexual inuendo?
> >
> > > WAIT! I GET IT!!! YOU GUYS ARE ORTHODOX MORMON RRAPers!
> > > Lennie's taken ANOTHER wife!
> > >
> > > C O N G R A T U L A T I O N S Lennie, Todd and Brian!
> >
> > Yes, indeed. More homosexual inuendo. Why are you always thinking
> > about homosexual liaisons?

You give absolutely not thought to offending Mormons and homosexuals,
as long as you can offend your fellow amateurs. Way to go, Robeson.

> Brain, I think I need to address YOUR frequent reference to
> homosexuality in almost everything YOU see to the Scouting council
your
> in.

Assess your own need to frequently refer to the male genitalia, and
frequent reference to two males as "bunkbuddies," "couples," and
"wives."

There is no other conclusion. You are fixated with homosexual
thoughts. I merely point it out because it has no place here. Drop
it.

> You don't need to be "supervising" children.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You don't need to be in the medical profession where you have access to
narcotics. Your beyond vivid imagination, frequent untruthfulness,
unwarranted accusations, and often articulated desire to talk to other
peoples wives and children is just creepy.

Dialing....

bb

5530. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 01:07:50 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114564070.605470.189460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107045

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "N9OGL" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote
> > >
> > > > As for letter as I stated earlier I'm going to
> > > > resolve this information bulletin problem.
> > >
> > > This would be a good time to learn to laugh at yourself. Or,
> develop
> > > multiple personalities! That way you won't be laughing at you,
> > you'll be
> > > laughing with you.
> >
> > Todd et all should get with Steve et all and laugh up a ruckus.
>
> Brain...I know that "paying attention" is not one of your
> virtures, however your "mentor" has taken Todd in as his new
> handpuppet.

Meanwhile, I'm reviewing your application to be my new mentor. So far
it's not looking too good.

> If I were you, I'd be feeling a bit jealous right about now...

Len still has both hands, right?

> Guess Lennie found someone with an even lower IQ than you, as
hard
> as that is to imagine....

Dunno, what are your IQs?

5531. Suspicious Robeson/K4YZ Posting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 01:18:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114564688.910891.63330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107046

K4YZ wrote:

> Seems your ISP manager obviously thinks more of me than he does
of
> you, Todd...Perhaps swayed a bit by the EVIDENCE of your gross
> profanities and threats.
>
> From: robert.koester@consolidated.com
> Subject: Abuse
> Date: April 25, 2005 11:40:03 AM CST
> To: toddaug@consolidated.net
> Cc: abuse@consolidated.net
> Mr. Daugerty,

Interesting post, Steve.

Is this a forgery?

I don't see you addressed, cc'd, or info'd anywhere in the above
header.

5532. Robeson has NO Documentation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 01:25:35 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114565135.050973.255720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107047

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > > Now you slide out of acknowledging that information with
this
> > > silly spin.
> > >
> > > It REALLY sucks to be you, Brain...
> > >
> > > But nice try...
> >
> > Steve, using your words, your rules, and your logic, you failed the
> > test. The test that you wrote!
> >
> > You are simply not to be trusted.
>
> What rules, Brian?

Your words, your rules, your logic. You've demanded documentation from
others. If documentation isn't provided, then the event never happened
and that person is forever known as a liar. Your words, your rules,
your logic.

Now documentation is being demanded from you. MARS documentation.
Scan it. Post it.

Or you fail and will forever be known as a liar (you've got a good
headstart on that, though).

5533. Re: N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 02:44:48 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1114569888.028115.170790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107049

K4YZ wrote:

> Seems your ISP manager obviously thinks more of me than he does of
> you, Todd.

While I have no love for the potty-mouth antics on N9OGL, it's
control-freak tactics like THIS which force me to include the following
DISCLAIMER in many of my posts.

DISCLAIMER:
This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are not
offended by anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or otherwise
guessed I might have possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course you
are a pompous "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be insulted.
In which case be my guest.

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

5534. Re: N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 03:10:17 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <0I6dnbL-N44Gn_LfRVn-uA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107050

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
<SNIP>
> It's not the "speech" of a responsible adult, Todd.
>
> KC8GXW CONTINUES ABUSE ME ABOUT MY SEXUAL PREFERENCE AND THEIR
> CONTINUOUS CLAIMS THAT I'M VIOLATING FEDERAL LAW.
>
> Your "sexual preference" became fair game after YOUR "vacuum
> cleaner" pic (which YOU posted) and YOUR >>frequent<< references to the
> male genitalia, Todd.

Well that almost looks like an admission, but there was no abuse. I just pointed out that he looked and acted gay, and this was only after had made-up accusations that I downloaded kiddy porn and bent over for other men! So I guess it was/is ok for toad but not for anybody else? Kind of like I want my rights and I don't care if I violate your rights doing so! Dish it out but don't take it? I'm glad I wasn't left out of the blame for it not being his fault, or am I fully to blame? I'll tell you one thing I'm not toady, I'm not a snitch!

> Steve, K4YZ
>

5535. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson try to perpetuate his lie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 05:55:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114581335.970065.67210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107051

From: "K□B" on Tues,Apr 26 2005 10:37 am

>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> Up until I left Uncle Sam's Gun Club i saw precious little "Q"
>> signals.
>
>Unless you were trained and assigned duties as a Morse or TTY circuit
operator,
>that doesn't surprise me. I expect you saw "precious little"
Z-signals either.
>You see, "Q" and "Z" signals are OPERATING signals, exchanged between
OPERATORS.
>
>> I am very familiar with NTP-8.
>
>Then I'm sure you've seen all the "Q" signals in Annex Charlie, and
you also
>seem familiar with ACP-131. Nonetheless, when Len mentioned his
"first QSY"
>you seek to discredit his statement with:
>
>------>" "Q" signals are NOT used in MILITARY applications"

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

A mere technicality. Stevie is speaking from Stevieland
and there things are whatever he say they are. :-)

>> I also know that in 6 months as ANCOIC on Oki and as a general
>> member for many other years, I didn't use Q-codes in MARS traffic
>
>Nothing surprising about that!

What is an "ANCOIC?" I know that "NCOIC" is Non-Commissioned
Officer In Charge but have never seen that acronym with "A"
as a prefix. "Assistant?" "Auxilliary?" "Asshole?"

>I've spent 21 years of Naval Communications and so far 34 years in
NAVMARCORMARS
>(I was the first Area Coordinator on Guam, call sign N0GCM --- yes,
way back
>when the calls were 1x3 --- and I hold NMOC certificate #1). I
suppose that
>could be "added up" to 55 years in MILITARY communications, and in all
that time

>I also never used Q-codes or Z-codes in message traffic.
>
>There's a good reason for that! "Q" and "Z" signals are OPERATING
signals and
>you don't normally use them in "traffic". To quote Annex Charlie
again:
>
>"C100. OPERATING SIGNALS ("Q" AND "Z")
>
> a. Operating signals are concise codes designed primarily for
use by
>communication personnel in exchanging information incident to the
handling of
>messages or in establishing communications. Communication personnel
also use
>them in service messages."
>
>Sunuvagun!

Another technicality. :-)

>Now to get back to Len's "50th Anniversary of his first QSY". It
seems to me
>that was a particularly appropriate comment for him to make, when you
responded
>with ""Q" signals are NOT used in MILITARY applications"
>
>Len was assigned as a transmitter operator at a large MILITARY
transmitter
>facility in Japan. Since I was Operations Chief at two such
multi-megawatt
>facilities (NPN and NAM), I have a pretty good grasp of his duties at
ADA. The
>transmitter facility would one part of a three-part communications
station, the
>other two parts being a receiver facility and a tech-control/message
center
>facility both of which would be located several miles away from the
transmitter
>facility to avoid self-QRM. All three facilities would be connected
with a
>TTY-based orderwire system.
>
>The tech-controllers are responsible to keep all the circuits up and
>functioning, coordinating between their local users and tech-control
centers at
>the distant end of all their circuits (NPN, for example). This
(obvious even to
>you) would require many frequency changes over the course of a day
(and night)
>and those frequency change would be communicated over the orderwire

out to the
>transmitter, receiver, and remote circuit-end sites by OPERATING
SIGNALS.
>Unless you've discovered a hidden Z-signals unknown to me, those
orders would go
>out like this ---
>
>1) To the remote-end at NPN "QAP 10276". NPN would now listen for ADA
on 10276.
>
>2) To Len at the transmitter site: QSY NPN 10276". Len would shift
frequency on
>the NPN transmitter to 10276.
>
>In his full tour at the ADA transmitter site, "QSY" would be far and
away the
>most often-copied OPERATING SIGNAL that Sgt. Anderson would see.
Obviously it
>is going to still be familiar to him some 50-years later, and he may
well
>remember his "first QSY".

I don't remember that order-wire content, only the transmitter itself and getting a 1 KW tuned up, getting the keying, then watching a more senior (to a no-stripe PVT-2) guy set the spread (shift) and then watching Frequency Standards report on the Mark and Space frequencies, in or out of tolerance.

Later, with three-up and one down, I would be the first one on the order-wire and responsible for the whole operating team for that shift. Most of what each team did was QSYing. With a minimum of 30 transmitters ON at all times, all on HF, there would be at least 2 QSYs in any 24-hour period...or about 60 in all. That means an average of 20 QSYs on each shift.

Back in the ancient times a "frequency shift" was NOT the simple act of setting a frequency synthesizer. There were none. :-) Except for the pre-tuned SSB beasties (nice jobbers from WE, later bigger nice ones from Collins), each QSY needed at least two guys...one to change crystals in the FSK exciters, one to tune up the transmitter. A pair of guys at the receiver site, about 40 miles away, would be manning a General Radio frequency meter (not a direct read-out thing, but the two-rack thingy designed during early WW2) and would check out the Mark frequency first, then the "spread" or shift to Space (then it was standard to do 850 Hz). There would be an audio line from "Standards" that would send down the heterodyne (zero-beat on Mark) tone for Space (Fox Test was on the keying line, put there by Control) and the guy on the exciter would set the 850 cycle spread by zeroing that against a local 850 cycle tuning fork oscillator, both

being on a speaker. The Trick Chief would then notify Control
and Standards to go ahead and measure. If Standards thought
it out of tolerance, they would say so on the order-wire and
Transmitters would have to tweak it again. Standards would
report the circuit, frequency name (names used to avoid any
mistakes by typos on numbers), and measured tolerance. All
of it was written down on the order-wire which would begin
the process by "XMTRS QSY PUSAN BAKER TO SCHLITZ" (as an
example). "QSY" was the second 'word' and "XMTRS" was to
differentiate transmitters from Standards. [we used the
old ABLE-BAKER phonetics until fall of 1955 when the NATO
standard phonetic ALPHA-BRAVO started]

>What is your most often-copied OPERATING SIGNAL? I'm guessing it is
"ZBM2" or
>"QBF?".

Heh heh heh...with Stevie as "ANCOIC," I'd say it was simply
ZOE. :-)

No matter. What Stevie say is "truth" and all others who
disagree with him "LIE." :-)

>Disclaimer: This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that
you are
>not offended by anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or
otherwise
>guessed I might have possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course
you are a
>pompous "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be insulted. In
which case
>be my guest.

Several more things, since I wasn't here for the start
of this jolly "exchange."

The Director of J-6 at the JCS is Lt. Gen. Robert M. Shea,
USMC. I'm surprised Stevie didn't mention that while
popping-to and singing about the Halls of Montezuma...

Q signals QAA through QNZ inclusive are the responsiblity
of the ICAO (International Civil Aviation Organization)
but may be used by the militaries. Again, NOT noted by
the resident CAP Ace.

ACP124D, RadioTelegraph Procedures, is void, defunct,
obsolete and "included for reference purposes only."
I've been mentioning that for years, getting told it
was a "LIE" yet there it is, OBSOLETE, in public
access form, written by CCEB and approved by the JCS.
[the PCTAs don't want to admit that...:-)]

RadioTeleprinter procedures are indicated as "frozen"
and probably will be updated soon...or deleted,
possibly replaced by some name for "data" or whatever
the CCEB wants to call electronic text common today.

>dit dit

Da da, komrad. :-)

"QSY" was about the only Q code used on the operations
order-wires, used so often that we didn't have any big
reference paper for all the other Q codes or Z codes.
All the newbies were told what QSY meant and we all used
it like a word in speech.

By the time I got to my MOS on the microwave radio
relay terminals, there was so little traffic on that
order-wire TTY for them that all we did each shift
was to check in once...more to prove the order-wire
worked than anything else. :-) If one microwave
terminal went down for any reason, we would be busy
as heck in slamming in 23 patch cords to transfer
that many channels to the hot spare terminal jacks
on the local patch panel.* That happened only twice
in the last year I was in microwave. We would notify
Control by the voice order-wire first and then follow-
through on the TTY. No codes or abbreviations used
for that, just plain text. With some 60 to 80 radio
circuits affected by one terminal outage, every
minute it was out really added up. Everything ON
for 24/7 was something we strove for each day. In
retrospect, ADA/RUAP did pretty damn good on UP time.

* For the Control-Transmitters audio & keying lines
& a half-dozen ordinary telephone circuits, the
microwave terminals were the ONLY connection. That's
why there were two "hot spare" terminals running
alongside the regular two. The patch panel in the
microwave room was used because it was much easier
to patch around the normal-through connections there.
For Control to do it would take them away from their
operating task of both receiving and transmitting
control and the torn-tape TTY terminal room behind
them. We could do a full patch-over within 30
seconds. When that happened ALL of the radio
circuits got interrupted and TTY relay would have to
do repeats on half of the radio circuits, not
something they liked nor was the Duty Officer happy
at all that cumulative outage. TTY tape relay would
just have to pull off the tapes and reset them on the
tape transmitting distributors. For reliability, the
GE microwave terminals all had duplicate Rx and Tx
hot-spares with auto-switchover...with fault

indicators to let us know one had switched over (also very rare). Direct crystal control to 1.8 GHz for a 1950 design by GE using all vacuum tubes.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5536. Re: Never Mind, Hans...Unravelled You On My Own...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 06:14:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114582476.600574.43790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107052

From: "bb" on 25 Apr 2005 15:31:09 -0700

>K4YZ wrote:
>> Said codes are typically used for Morse or teleprinter
>> communications.
>
>Oh, my! Q-Codes are used for Morse Code???
>
>What will you think of next?

We are all in suspense on what comes next from him.

Yawn...

>> But thanks for pointing out s three year old error, Hans.
>
>A mere error?
>
>Were you calling Len a liar, scumbag and/or putz over your
"mistake"???

All of the above. Many times. :-)

Don't forget "radio mechanic," a title the Army NEVER
had. :-)

Not to mention "rear-area 'radio clerk'" and all the
other depreciatory shit he vomited in here...

>> I don't suppose you have anything more contemporary to address,

>> do you...?!?!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Documentation of your MARS service.

He "has it in his wallet!" :-)

> Not just your heresay. Maybe you
>could sub your letter of appointment by competent authority of your
IMD
>status on Okinawa. Sub it for your flight-suit photo on QRZ.

Add to that, his RECORD of those famous "seven hostile
actions!" :-)

[another item "in his wallet" as usual...]

Flight suits are natty...look very "official" with all
those patches and stuff, just the thing for an amateur
radio site. :-) Not to mention the fine smile where
he demonstrates good humor to all who look in... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5537. Re: Suspicious Robeson/K4YZ Posting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 06:28:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114583316.826046.153770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107053

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Seems your ISP manager obviously thinks more of me than he
does
> of
> > you, Todd...Perhaps swayed a bit by the EVIDENCE of your gross
> > profanities and threats.
> >
> > From: robert.koester@consolidated.com
> > Subject: Abuse
> > Date: April 25, 2005 11:40:03 AM CST
> > To: toddaug@consolidated.net
> > Cc: abuse@consolidated.net
> > Mr. Daugerty,
>
> Interesting post, Steve.
>
> Is this a forgery?
>
> I don't see you addressed, cc'd, or info'd anywhere in the above
> header.

I don't remmeber saying I was, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

5538. Re: N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 06:33:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114583589.823865.150460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107054

yojimbo wrote:

> No ISP should
> take it upon itself to tell a user what they can or can not post
> through an independent server like groups.google.com. That's the
sole
> perogative of Google. Todd should simply be placed in everyone's
kill
> file and be ignored - a place in which Steve is about to be
consigned.

Todd's problem stems from his misuse of his ISP. His Google
postings ID his point-of-origin as "Consolodated.net".

> Steve needs to go to news.answers and carefully read some of the FAQs
> which are posted there. He doesn't seem to know much about
netiquette
> or the history of the net, and he most certainly doesn't know about
or
> use kill files.

I know enough to know enough to know that lying mouths left to
their own devices soon become the ONLY voices. That's not acceptable.
That path has been taken before in history with disasterous results.

Steve, K4YZ

5539. Brian Has The Facts But Not The Cajones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 06:37:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114583844.971024.127000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107055

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> >
> > > > Now you slide out of acknowledging that information with
> this
> > > > silly spin.
> > > >
> > > > It REALLY sucks to be you, Brain...
> > > >
> > > > But nice try...
> > >
> > > Steve, using your words, your rules, and your logic, you failed
the
> > > test. The test that you wrote!
> > >
> > > You are simply not to be trusted.
> >
> > What rules, Brian?
>
> Your words, your rules, your logic. You've demanded documentation
from
> others. If documentation isn't provided, then the event never
happened
> and that person is forever known as a liar. Your words, your rules,
> your logic.
>
> Now documentation is being demanded from you. MARS documentation.
> Scan it. Post it.
>
> Or you fail and will forever be known as a liar (you've got a good
> headstart on that, though).

Sorry, Brain. Still no go on your re-direct.

We're still Y E A R S behind getting any answers from you.

They've been listed over and over and over.

>>I<< have given you dates, times, places and callsigns. More than
enough information to make an independent determination.

Stop lying. Stop cowering. Start acting like a man.

Steve, K4YZ

5540. Re: Robeson's Fixation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 06:44:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114584281.485889.310890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107056

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Now Toddie's siding up to Lennie...they DO make a cute
> couple!
> > >
> > > More homosexual inuendo?
> > >
> > > > WAIT! I GET IT!!! YOU GUYS ARE ORTHODOX MORMON
RRAPers!
> > > > Lennie's taken ANOTHER wife!
> > > >
> > > > C O N G R A T U L A T I O N S Lennie, Todd and Brian!
> > >
> > > Yes, indeed. More homosexual inuendo. Why are you always
thinking
> > > about homosexual liaisons?
>
> You give absolutely not thought to offending Mormons and homosexuals,
> as long as you can offend your fellow amateurs. Way to go, Robeson.
>
> > Brain, I think I need to address YOUR frequent reference to
> > homosexuality in almost everything YOU see to the Scouting council
> your
> > in.
>
> Assess your own need to frequently refer to the male genitalia, and
> frequent reference to two males as "bunkbuddies," "couples," and
> "wives."
>
> There is no other conclusion. You are fixated with homosexual
> thoughts. I merely point it out because it has no place here. Drop
> it.

And >>I<< point out that lying and deceit have no place, yet you
have been doing it for years.

> > You don't need to be "supervising" children.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> You don't need to be in the medical profession where you have access
to

> narcotics. Your beyond vivid imagination, frequent untruthfulness,
> unwarranted accusations, and often articulated desire to talk to
other
> peoples wives and children is just creepy.

Anytime you're in Tennssee you're welcome to stop by and I will
gladly let you watch them draw my blood and follow it through
pathology, Brain. Then there will be yet another unfounded assertion
of yours to climb out from behind.

And I am sure you find the idea that anyone might want to
communicate with your other family members "creepy"....

If I had the unsavory reputation that you do, Brain, I wouldn't
want my spouse to know about it either.

No doubt your kids will find out soon enough, eh...?!?!

> Dialing....

For help, I hope.

Steve, K4YZ

5541. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson keep digging in deeper?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 06:46:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114584393.071216.266250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107057

From: K□B on Apr 26, 4:31 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>
>> "Sgt. Anderson" never saw those "operating signals" other than on
>> paper, or overheard by the OPERATORS of ADA, Hans. "Sgt.
>> Anderson" was a radio mechanic, not a station operator.
>
>You're in way over your head, Steve.

...more like OUT of his head. :-)

> There are no "station operators"
>or "circuit operators" at a transmitter facility. The
"station/circuit
>operator" is at a message center, a tech control center, or a
wire-room
>many miles away. At the transmitter facility there are "transmitter
>operators" whose job it is to keep the transmitters up and running,
and
>perform "QSY"'s when directed. I know that flies in the face of your
>beliefs, but reality doesn't much care what you believe.

Quite true. Concise description.

The same was true at Camp Owada, the receiving site shared
by USA and USAF...said to be the largest receiving site
anywhere at the time. Nobody there was working the
airwaves listening for distant morsemen. :-)

In the 1950s, ADA Control (then referred to as "Chuo Kogyo"
the old warehouse-company it had been) directly managed a
whole heaping gob of circuits, most of them TTY. The only
direct handling of messages was by the torn-tape TTY relay
(over 200 machines) on one floor of the converted warehouse.
Message Centers from all over Central Command (Honshu, Japan)
including USN and USAF would receive from or transmit to
RUAP, the TTY node identifier which was "ADA." Some of
those message tapes were literally hanging around, waiting
(by priority) for being put on transmitting distributors to
go somewhere. Radiotelephone circuits were also there
through the Military's side of the Tokyo telephone office;
those were through the second voice channel of the 4-
channel SSB circuits to Okinawa, Honolulu, San Francisco,
Seattle, Manila. Some small stuff came in from the Red
Cross if it was intended for the Central area and some

of it was civilian wire-services relayed to places like the Pacific offices of Stars & Stripes newspaper or AFRS (AFRTS was not yet formed). Some of it was from State Department (heh heh) and a little bit was MARS (which had rather low priority on the ADA facilities). ADA even did some Facsimile...very little, once in a while sending some USAF weather maps if USAF's own FAX capability was down or overloaded. Lots of small facilities sent TTY through ADA since it was an AREA Hq Command facility.

>Now then, when did YOU retire?

The "ANCOIC" got a MEDICAL discharge. [I really don't want to think _how_ that "discharge" was done]

I'm going to retire tonight. I retired last night, the night before...even the Cavalier wagon will be retired before wife and I go to Beloit this year.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

No disclaimer. The 'ANCOIC' gets very angry at disclaimers...thinks they are all "insulting" him and are all "LIES!"

5542. Re: Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 07:05:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114585517.696914.229190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107058

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > I gave you dates, places, callsigns, Brain. That's far more
> > information on ONE topic than YOU have ever presented on ALL the
> topics
> > YOU have been challenged on!
> >
> > But nice try!
>
> And I gave you the combination to the safe at Ft. Knox.

You very well may have. But I ahve no desire to even see Ft Knox
from a distance.

On the otherhand, YOU have asked for evidence of my MARS
participation. You were given all the particulars. In 2003 they were
enough for you. In 2005 you can't seem to connect the dots. Your
problem, Brain.

> > > Your words, your rules, your "etiquette" makes you the liar.
> >
> > Nope. Information was requested...Information
> > provided...Actually, you ALREADY had the information in your
> hands...A
> > couple years ago, as a matter of fact, and it seemed to be quite
> > adequate for you then.
>
> Your own "say so" isn't documentation. Scan the letter of
appointment
> for your "ANCOIC" of Okinawa MARS. Post the link for all to see.

There is no "letter of appointment" for such assignments, Brain.
But you're certainly welcome to follow the information you were given
and see who the ranking and the next-ranking MARS NCO's were at the
times I gave you.

> > > > I guess I am going to just have to sit down with you and
> teach
> > > you
> > > > some basic math and etiquette, Brain
> > >
> > > If you knew any.
> >
> > We'll just have to sit down and I'll teach you, Brian.
There's

> a
> > LOT for you to learn, though.
>
> You wish to be my mentor? Should I start calling you "Lying Putz!"

Why? I am not about to change my name to "Leonard H. Anderson".

> > > > I don't know why you insist on lying yourself and then trying
to
> > make
> > > > up stories about others lying.
> > >
> > > Steve, make no mistake, you are a documented liar.
> >
> > There's no mistake, Brain...I'm not.
>
> There must be. You've just lied again.

Nope.

> > I have made mistakes...Owned up to them, acknowledged them.
> Hans'
> > current item on the NON-US "military" use of Q signals for example.
> It
> > was a long stretch, and he had to dig to find that one...
>
> Now wait a minute, Slippery Steve. If the manual is for "allied
> forces" aren't we allied to them as well? Why would we have them
> communicating with us using one set of protocols, and we to them with
> another?
>
> You've made more dodges recently than the Chrysler plant. Hi!
>
> > YOU, on the otherhand, have made COUNTLESS errors, and not
only
> > NOT acknowledged them, but tried to defend them as "valid". THAT
> goes
> > from being an "error" to being a "LIE".
>
> But we're discussing your errors, your accusations, your words, your
> rules, your logic, and your lies!

I haven't lied. A lie is an intentional effort to decieve. I have
made no intentional effort to deceive.

Where I have been proven wrong on issues, I have corrected the
error and acknowledged it.

On the other hand, we have you and Lennie and Y E A R S of
stories not only laid bare, but aggressively defended as "right" even
when PROVEN wrong. THAT is a lie.

And so far, you've not proven that intent.

> It's pathetic that you can't live up to your own expectations of
> others. You're not a straw boss anymore, just a strawman.

Nope. Sorry, Brain, but no matter how hard you try to shake this,
you've not proven a thing. No matter how loud yuo yell or how hard you
try to re-direct, you're still a looooooong way behind being truthful.

> > > > But that's OK....Your kids will read these some day and
> > they'll
> > > > > see what an unethical toad you really are, Brain.
> > > >
> > > > I'm sure your children are proud of their daddy.
> > >
> > Their daddy isn't lying repeatedly in a public forum.
> >
> You aren't your childrens father?

I am. And I am not lying in a public forum.

> > > Hans showed you to be a liar going back a long way. Today's lies
> are
> > > > just a continuation of the lying that you started a long, long
time
> > > > ago...
> > >
> > There's a difference. Hans found an error. Provided a
> reference.
> > I acknowledged that and "stood corrected".
> >
> You dodged!

Nope.

Dodging is trying to make excuses or redirect from the facts. I
admitted that I was wrong and his reference was right.

Just the opposite of when YOU said ARES was "overblown" and they'd
never respond in a true emergency. I provided media releases of
CURRENT events that disproved your assertion.

And just the opposite of when you insisted that "all the techs have
been chased away"... You've not yet answered "who" allegedly chased
them away and where they went.

> > You, on the otherhand, still have not acknowledged your
misdeeds
> > going back a looooooooooooooooooooong time before anything >>I<<
> wrote.
> >
> Again, Slippery Steve, this is about you, your words, your rules,
your
> logic, and your expectations of others being applied to you.

So far you've not answered a sngle question about ANY of your
assertions, claims or other fables, Brain.

On the otherhand I've given you exact information on
who/when/where.

I've MORE that filled in the blanks for you...You DID ask for
"callsigns" initially, and then when you GOT what you wanted, you tried
to change the game...Sorry.

> You fail the test! Your own test! The test you wrote!

I ahven't written any "test", brain, so here we have YOU in a fable
again...

> > Try again, Brain. You've "proved" that you have the desire to
> > continue your course of mistruths and deceit.
> >
> > Brian P Burke IS a deceitful person. Deal with it.
>
> Sucks to be Steven J Robeson/K4ZY/K4CAP/K4MARS

I've never been K4ZY, I haven't been K4CAP in 2 years, and I guess
we know what your "K4MARS" suggestion is, now don't we, Brain...???

Steve, K4YZ

5543. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 07:08:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114585731.786515.327150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107059

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > You, Brain, have no business suggesting that YOU are giving
> anyone
> > a chance to "redeem" themselves.
>
> I have appointed myself. Just as you have appointed yourself judge,
> jury and executioner in so many RRAP disputes, most of which you
> started yourself.

HArdly, but knock yourself out, brain.

> Get used to it - there's a new Sheriff in town and he is watching
you.

Can't be you, Brain. Yuo don't have the cajones to sign your name
to your posts. There's not enough testosterone under that shirt to
hold up a badge...even a "Jr Dick Tracy Detective" one....

> And I'm recalling the extension I gave for you to post documentation
of
> your alledged MARS service. You didn't make my deadline.

Nothing was alledged. You were given the information necessary to
make an informed decision.

You failed to do it. Not my problem.

> Sucks to be Robeson.

Not today it doesn't.

Steve, K4YZ

5544. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 08:54:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114592071.239757.121960@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107060

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Brain...I know that "paying attention" is not one of your
> > virtures, however your "mentor" has taken Todd in as his new
> > handpuppet.
>
> Meanwhile, I'm reviewing your application to be my new mentor. So
far
> it's not looking too good.

Sorry, Brain...Don't know who filed THAT application, however it
wasn't me. I prefer a job where there's actually a likelyhood that
there will be a positive outcome.

You work too ahrd to prevent it.

> > If I were you, I'd be feeling a bit jealous right about now...
>
> Len still has both hands, right?
>
> > Guess Lennie found someone with an even lower IQ than you, as
> hard
> > as that is to imagine....
>
> Dunno, what are your IQs?

GCT 146, according to Uncle. Yours?

Steve, K4YZ

5545. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson keep digging in deeper?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 10:44:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114598666.334434.106720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107061

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K□B on Apr 26, 4:31 pm
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >> "Sgt. Anderson" never saw those "operating signals" other than on
> >> paper, or overheard by the OPERATORS of ADA, Hans. "Sgt.
> >> Anderson" was a radio mechanic, not a station operator.
> >
> >You're in way over your head, Steve.
>
> ...more like OUT of his head.

Neither of which is correct...but since it makes you guys warm and
fuzzy...be my guest!

> > There are no "station operators"
> >or "circuit operators" at a transmitter facility. The
> "station/circuit
> >operator" is at a message center, a tech control center, or a
> wire-room
> >many miles away. At the transmitter facility there are "transmitter
> >operators" whose job it is to keep the transmitters up and running,
> and
> >perform "QSY"'s when directed. I know that flies in the face of
your
> >beliefs, but reality doesn't much care what you believe.
>
> Quite true. Concise description.

So...Lennie's been telling us all along that he was an "operator"
at ADA...Hans "descibes" a typical station with the "message center"
being some significant distance away.

Lennie provided us with MOS's for radio mechanic MOS's.

Lennie acknowledges Hans' description as "concise".

Which only further substantiates MY claim that Lennie was never a
military radio operator. Just a mechanic.

> The same was true at Camp Owada, the receiving site shared
> by USA and USAF...said to be the largest receiving site
> anywhere at the time. Nobody there was working the
> airwaves listening for distant morsemen.
>

> In the 1950s, ADA Control (then referred to as "Chuo Kogyo"
> the old warehouse-company it had been) directly managed a
> whole heaping gob of circuits, most of them TTY. The only
> direct handling of messages was by the torn-tape TTY relay
> (over 200 machines) on one floor of the converted warehouse.
> Message Centers from all over Central Command (Honshu, Japan)
> including USN and USAF would receive from or transmit to
> RUAP, the TTY node identifier which was "ADA." Some of
> those message tapes were literally hanging around, waiting
> (by priority) for being put on transmitting distributors to
> go somewhere. Radiotelephone circuits were also there
> through the Military's side of the Tokyo telephone office;
> those were through the second voice channel of the 4-
> channel SSB circuits to Okinawa, Honolulu, San Francisco,
> Seattle, Manila. Some small stuff came in from the Red
> Cross if it was intended for the Central area and some
> of it was civilian wire-services relayed to places like
> the Pacific offices of Stars & Stripes newspaper or AFRS
> (AFRTS was not yet formed). Some of it was from State
> Department (heh heh) and a little bit was MARS (which had
> rather low priority on the ADA facilities). ADA even did
> some Facsimile...very little, once in a while sending
> some USAF weather maps if USAF's own FAX capability was
> down or overloaded. Lots of small facilities sent TTY
> through ADA since it was an AREA Hq Command facility.

Thanks, lennie for the re-telling of the ADA story for the
ga-zillionth time. Still not pertinent to Amateur Radio, but it made
you warm and fuzzy thinking we cared...

> >Now then, when did YOU retire?

May 29th, 2018.

> The "ANCOIC" got a MEDICAL discharge. [I really don't
> want to think _how_ that "discharge" was done]
>
> I'm going to retire tonight. I retired last night,
> the night before...even the Cavalier wagon will be
> retired before wife and I go to Beloit this year.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> No disclaimer. The 'ANCOIC' gets very angry at
> disclaimers...thinks they are all "insulting" him
> and are all "LIES!"

Only your mistruths are lies, Lennie...the rest is just fantasy.

G'nite! Best to Mrs Lennie!

Steve, K4YZ

5546. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 13:19:59 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <32eb5516659296c41b213398763ed023@userbeam.net>
Source record: 107062

In article <d4jtdh$1ki$0@pita.alt.net>
"Lloyd" <MrLlardass@mrlloydsnospamdaleks.org> wrote:
<babbling and backpedals>

Fix your shitty cheapassed newsreader, Lardass!

5547. Re: Hello, I Am New here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 13:33:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114608810.414957.21120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107063

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > Our moderator and "Seventy-Years-Too-Late To Join The
Hitler
> > > > Youth" wannabe has spoken.
> > >
> > > Hmmmm? What does Miccolis or Heil say when the "Nazi theme"
comes
> > up?
>
> Conveniently ignored. At least you didn't build another Dodge.

I hate Dodges. I am a Ford man.

> > I REALLY "disappoint" since you've not shown "1", let alone
> "29".
>
> No need. You've showed all 29 and more, plus a junkyard full of beat
> up dodges.

No lies. No dodges.

You insist there's lies, yet when I ask you to prove them, you
just say "they're there"...So there's YOUR "dodge".

Hans had to go back three years to find it, but he found an error
I made.

I owned up to it, acknowledged I was wrong.

I sure am interested to see how you score that a "dodge".

> > > It must really suck to be Steve Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS.
> >
> > I'm not K4CAP
>
> You've pasted K4CAP to your tagline for years. What changed?

I'm not K4CAP. And it wasn't on my tag line "for years"...It was
only 1 year until I got the gumption to change my Google account.

So...here we have YOU in an "error"...Let's see how YOU handle it.

> and I never was K4MARS...Another manifestation of
> > your overwrought imagination, Brain...???
>
> Idiot, there is no K4MARS amateur allocation. But I thought it was

> cute. Unlike you sucking up the K4CAP callsign for no useful
purpose.

Ohhhhhh...>>>>>>I<<<<<<<< see....You do stuff like that, and it's
"cute"...

And the "purpose" of me getting the CAP callsign was because I
thought I'd like it. I didn't. As the saying goes, "I paid my money
and took my choice..." My choice was to go back to K4YZ.

And what's your interest in it, Brain? Other than making a
meaningless NG argument out of it, that is.....

> > Why are you lying even more in the face of evidence to the
> > contrary, Brain???
>
> Steve, there is no evidence to the contrary. But please continue
your
> multi-state lying spree.

Sure there is.

You've not substantiated a single one of the assertions you've
made and been called on. ARES...??? No answer. Somalia...??? No
answer. Part95/15 devices as "major role"...??? No answer. Who
chased the Techs away...??? No answer.

You are a liar, Brian. It's that simple.

> One day Alec Baldwin will produce a movie
> about you. He'll get Woody Haroldson to act the leading role,
"NATURAL
> BORN LIAR."

Say it loud...say it often...but it still won't be true, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

5548. Which Todd Do We Believe...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 14:24:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114611882.631963.38380@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107064

Which "Todd" do we believe?

This Todd?

"NO WHERE in that definition does it state an information has to be a NEWSCAST. But, there are ###hole amateur's out there that are using their ###HOLE radio Relay League to suppress FREE SPEECH. It just like the ARRL to SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH!!!"

Or this Todd...

>From the n9oglshow.blogspot.com "blog"

BEGIN QUOTE:

Tuesday, April 26, 2005
THIS WEEK ON THE N9OGL
THIS WEEKEND ON THE N9OGL SHOW.

Texas seems to ignore amateur concern over BPL and idea on how to improve amateur radio.

This weekend @ 4.00 pm CST on 20 meter

posted by Todd Daugherty @ 4:38 PM

END QUOTE

And where does he get his "SHOW" material from? Wanna guess...???

>From the ARRL Home Page: (WWW.ARRL.ORG)

QUOTE HEADLINE ONLY

Texas Lawmakers Ignoring Amateur Radio Concerns in BPL Bill, ARRL SM Says (Apr 26, 2005)

END QUOTE

5549. Re: Which Todd Do We Believe...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 14:31:06 UTC
From: anon <anon@nobodyanonham.com>
Message-ID: <d4o7knuuk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107065

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114611882.631963.38380@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Which "Todd" do we believe?
>
> This Todd?
>
> "NO WHERE in that definition does it state an information has to be a
> NEWSCAST. But, there are ###hole amateur's out there that are using
> their ###HOLE radio Relay League to suppress FREE SPEECH. It just like
> the ARRL to SUPPRESS FREE SPEECH!!!"
>
> Or this Todd...
>
>>From the n9oglshow.blogspot.com "blog"
>
> BEGIN QUOTE:
>
> Tuesday, April 26, 2005
> THIS WEEK ON THE N9OGL
> THIS WEEKEND ON THE N9OGL SHOW.
>
> Texas seems to ignore amateur concern over BPL and idea on how to
> improve amateur radio.
>
> This weekend @ 4.00 pm CST on 20 meter
>
> posted by Todd Daugherty @ 4:38 PM
>
> END QUOTE
>
> And where does he get his "SHOW" material from? Wanna guess...???
>
>>From the ARRL Home Page: (WWW.ARRL.ORG)
>
> QUOTE HEADLINE ONLY
>
> Texas Lawmakers Ignoring Amateur Radio Concerns in BPL Bill, ARRL SM
> Says (Apr 26, 2005)
>
> END QUOTE
>

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

5550. Re: YOU LOOSERS WILL PAY BIGTIME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 14:42:37 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <e6f98e563819ca165db06184fe7d21b7@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 107066

In article
<1114555234.835718.106700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> The net "is" real life.

How sad, Nursie. You don't have any other life besides the "net?"

5551. Re: YOU LOOSERS WILL PAY BIGTIME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 16:02:48 UTC
From: anon <anon@nobodyanonham.com>
Message-ID: <d4od0o$9ia$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107067

"Tarapia Tapioco" <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it> wrote in message
news:e6f98e563819ca165db06184fe7d21b7@firenze.linux.it...
> In article
> <1114555234.835718.106700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> The net "is" real life.
>
> How sad, Nursie. You don't have any other life besides the "net?"
>

so says the QRM retest boy, who gets his ass handed to
him daily by dave, nursie, & everyone else.
ROTFLMAO!

5552. Stevo fuck up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 17:22:59 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <BA8JA29Y38469.5576273148@anonymous>
Source record: 107068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <20050425080228.542$RX@newsreader.com>
Steveo <moparho...@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Sock (You should try changing yours more than one a month,
Fatass)

Still not paying your rent for your HUD living space on time,
Loser?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5553. Who's my Daddy Bitch? I need a boarder!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 17:47:16 UTC
From: AB8MQ <AB8MQ@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <btjv611rsqrf2s9m5q38kqpdspr1mm6llq@4ax.com>
Source record: 107069
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I, Roger Leo Wiseman, AB8MQ, being of unsound mind
have an offer to make to
others who are like me.

I live at 610 Glen Haven Avenue in Glendale, West
Virginia.

I have a two bedroom house that is situated in a
quiet and quaint part of
West Virginia. My house has 800 square feet of
floor space, has one bathroom
with hot and cold running water and a toilet that
works most of the time.

I am looking for a like-minded roommate who can
use the second bedroom,
which measures eight by ten feet.

The kitchen has a gas stove and a microwave, both
of which worked at the
time of this writing.

If you are interested in sharing my humble home
and can afford $400 per
month rent, let me know. I require only that you
pay cash up front at the
first of each month.

I will not ask for, nor will I look into your
criminal background since I
have one of my own.

Also, don't worry about the local Police. They all
know me so if you see a
squad car parked down the block during the day,
ignore them. The Chief often
stops by just to see how I am doing.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

5554. Davies is on lithium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 17:51:54 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <71768adfece522aedd0cea444cabafbf@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 107070

In article
<0771ec7841a741a83fbcc001fb755f4e@melontraffickers.com>
A.Melon Secret Lardass <j...@melontraffickers.com> wrote:
>
> get those antennas

Get that "tiny-tenna" off your window ledge before the HUD
apartment landlord throws you out, tubby!

5555. Re: YOU LOOSERS WILL PAY BIGTIME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 19:10:08 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <c7a3e2e359c1c2c311003ca648350ff8@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 107071

In article <d4od0o$9ia$0@pita.alt.net>
"anon" Secret Lardass <a...@nobodyanonham.com> wrote:

> so says the <IKYABWAI,Lardass!>

So says the 31 year old career pizza delivery BOY, who stays up
past 2 AM whining about Roger Wiseman every fucking night.

5556. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 19:12:15 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <MEZF6TIS38470.1335069444@reece.net.au>
Source record: 107072

In article <a86dnRIKs949afPfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@this.ten> wrote:

> Two-test (And he still passed BOTH)

Poor gopher face, he still can't pass the Extra exam, despite
the current Extra exam has incorporated some of the old Advanced
questions. Guess he'll always be stuck with that obsolete
license.

>so long

SPANK! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

5557. Re: Simple Free Logging Program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 19:15:44 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <30pv611lmvu460ktc7kp3f62cbia9kpr0b@4ax.com>
Source record: 107073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 12:52:58 +0100, John Hague
<john.hague@philips.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 06:10:05 -0400, Gary <gdn50 at usol dot com> wrote:
>
>> Anyone know of a simple logging program ? I don't need anything with
>> lots of bells and whistles so to speak. Free would be especially nice
>> :)
>>
>> Thanks in advance
>>
>> 73 Gary K8IQ
>
>LuxLog is pretty good. Not sure of the URL, but google should turn it up.
>
>73 John G4GOY
>

I've used that program and the only

Oh hang on a second....

GOD DAMNIT I JUST PISSED IN MY PANTS AGAIN!

I'll come back later after I dry out a bit.

Sorry for the interuption but I can't help it.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

5558. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson try to perpetuate his lie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 19:23:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114629782.136606.132790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107074

From: "K4YZ" on Tues,Apr 26 2005 4:01 pm

>K□B wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>> In his full tour at the ADA transmitter site, "QSY" would be far and
away the
>> most often-copied OPERATING SIGNAL that Sgt. Anderson would see.
Obviously it
>> is going to still be familiar to him some 50-years later, and he may
well
>> remember his "first QSY".
>
> "Sgt. Anderson" never saw those "operating signals" other than on
>paper, or overheard by the OPERATORS of ADA, Hans.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Poor Stevie is OUT of his head again.

To set the record straight, I have to describe the
operating setup at ADA in/around Tokyo, Japan, in
the early 1950s:

"Control" was just outside (old site) Camp Drake NW
of Tokyo. It's major task was as a "torn-tape" TTY
relay (manual) station serving hundreds of TTY circuits
to/from Far East Command Hq at Pershing Heights in
central Tokyo, Army Central Command Hq ("central" being
the center of Army facilities in central Honshu, the
main island of Japan, Camp Zama near Yokohama (a part
of the Central Command and also a large depot)...plus
circuits to USAF Far East near Tokyo (several AFBs)
and the USN base at Yokosuka (pronounce that as
"yo-kuss-kah" with the emphasis on "kuss"), AFRS and
Pacific Stars & Stripes (military newspaper) offices,
a couple of civilian wire services, the American Red
Cross offices. Some of those circuits were to
"message centers" for their respective commands. All
of that was by TTY and each TTY node also had telephone
order-wires which were used to alert the various nodes
(both ways). Control itself was a very small place of
about 20 x 25 feet at the end of the TTY Relay that
occupied one entire floor of the converted warehouse
familiarly known as "Chuo Kogyo." Control had a full
time staff of about 8 for each shift, at least one
Duty Officer with the rest enlisted of E-4 or above.

Control tied in ALL the TTY Relay at RUAP (the TTY node identifier) -and- the radio circuits over ADA -and- did the selection of radio frequencies used for HF. Control always had live voice order-wires in addition to live TTY order-wires to Okinawa, Manila, Honolulu, San Francisco (always referred to as "Frisco") and Seattle. There were handsets on the center console (stand-up telephone-type thing, about six racks wide) which any Control person could pick up and signal the counterpart at those other radio stations. The TTY order-wires had their bells available for signaling.

The Receivers site at Camp Owada, itself about 5 miles NW of Camp Drake, was shared with USAF and had over 400 acres of wire antennas for HF. Those receivers were ALL for RTTY or SSB, the SSB being the original civilian-military-format of 4 voice-grade channels in a 12 KHz bandwidth. Most of the receive radio circuits at Receivers were space-diversity (two antennas each with automatic selection of the best antenna) and the FSK receiving adapters had various types of AFC on their converters separate from the receivers themselves. All the outputs would go to Control at "CK" by wire or via microwave radio relay (backup) through Control and usually to the TTY Tape Relay directly for all TTY. Receivers also had Frequency Standards, a small sub-group which consisted of two working an old General Radio (heterodyne type) two-rack "standard" that both monitored whatever long-distance radio circuit there was and HAD to check the carrier and shift (of RTTY) for each QSY at transmitters. "Standards" were on the TTY order-wire between Control and Transmitters. Standards gave the FINAL approval on a new QSY frequency ordered at Transmittes by Control. That was procedure at each Army radio station at that time.

Transmitters at Camp Tomlinson, NE of Tokyo, (new site) was built on an old airfield and cohabited by two Japanese agricultural test stations and lots of small farms. The old runways had become "streets" for all to use. By agreement with the Japanese government, the U.S. had priority to land use (same at Receivers) but any changes to antennas were always negotiated on-site by a troika of one American representative plus two Japanese from two separate Japanese government agencies. The airfield was approximately 1 x 2 miles (give or take) and had primarily horizontal rhombic and delta-feed dipole wire antennas. ALL of the transmitter radio circuits at Tomlinson were RTTY or SSB, all getting there via microwave radio relay from Control.

The "Crypto" section for ADA/RUAP was also manned by personnel from my Signal Battalion and located in the sub-basement of FEC Hq main building at Pershing Heights in central Tokyo. Crypto folks were all specially-cleared (better than ASA personnel, I was told later) and NEVER "talked shop." I went past the separately-enclosed Crypto room in the sub-basement several times to the small wire terminal (our Battalion manned) but never saw the crypto machinery...the "rotor" machines that were never compromised by anyone until the Pueblo was captured. TTY wire circuits carrying in-clear TTY content were physically separate from "normal" wire lines, could only be "tapped" by physically digging into the soil and through all the concrete supports. The encrypted TTY content appeared as garbled, very garbled.

At Pershing Heights there was a distant blockhouse which contained two 1 KW RTTY transmitters, a PE-95 motor-generator set, and the usual O-5 Exciters and Model 19 TTY. This was one of the backup-in-case-of-total-destruction of the transmitter site. That was checked periodically on a bimonthly basis, never using more than "Fox test" TTY (THE QUICK BROWN FOX JUMPED OVER THE LAZY DOGS BACK, ADA TESTING 1234567890) supplied by Control's three Fox Test generators (mechanical cam-switched small marvels). In addition to that, ADA had about a half dozen AN/GRC-26 huts on trucks which were Field Radio stations with wire antenna kits and own motor-generator tow-behinds...left-overs from the Korean War now in a state of "permanent" Truce, but ready for worst-case emergencies. The LAST "emergency" link (1953 to 1954 only, abandoned after that) was an old AN/GRC-9 so-called portable 25 W output voice or CW set with hand-crank generator. On quarterly tests the "Angry-Nine" was never able to connect with the emergency test net from transmitters due to IM-overload from a quarter Megawatt RF field on HF all around it. :-)

None of the above is "cut-and-paste" from any website. I WAS THERE, saw what I described, did what I described. I was at several of the Central Command "Message Centers" for brief periods but I NEVER SAW ANY "OPERATORS" SITTING WITH RECEIVERS' HEADPHONES ON, WORKING ANY MORSE KEYS LIKE IN THE MOVIES, TV, OR IN OLD QST PICTURES FROM WW2. That includes all the USAF facilities, such as their big comm center at Fuchu or the shared transmitter sites at Funabashi and Tsukishima Island (old site for ADA Transmitters but used by the USAF 16th Communications Squadron). Not even at the Army MARS office at Pershing Heights (two personnel, both WACs). To be honest, the Army MARS office moved to Hardy Barracks by 1955 and had their own transmitter-receiver there with a tri-bander

beam...never saw the interior, only the antenna on its tower. MARS was never attached to my Signal Battalion but some kind of unusual Special Services thing in the organizational charts of the time...they were never integrated into any of the four-layered Emergency Communication plans/priorities I had to know about later as a Supervisory E-5 ("three up and one down" NCO, not a Specialist rank that had been introduced around 1954 in the Army).

The ONLY time I ever saw a "morse key" being used was ADA NCOIC Don Ross trying to use the Angry-Nine during the quarterly "last-ditch-net" tests. Don was an E-6 ("three up and two down"), had both an amateur Extra and commercial First 'Phone and First 'Telegraph license. Ross was generally an "unwarm" personality but was unusually grouchy after each failed Angry-Nine test. :-) That GRC-9 set was depot-stored in late '54 and dropped off the emergency planning. The GRC-26 huts all had manual keys packed with the equipment but those were never used...the TTYs on their internal bench was fired up for regular operational testing. An Angry-26 could do RTTY and voice communications simultaneously, via FSK on the built-in Exciter and AM through the legendary BC-610 transmitter (out of Hallicrafters).

Can YOU find any evidence of the antiquated, emotional portrayal of the Lone Morseman OPERATOR of the 1950s or later? Not in the BIG communication facilities. I was THERE, remember, and HAVE the references, the photos, have posted some of them, had them sent to the Pacific Stars & Stripes military newspaper offices with some published. I KNOW some of the people who were there at the same time I was...a civilian employee long retired, now living in California...N2JTV, Gene Rosenbaum, retired from the FAA who worked at ADA when I was there.

>"Sgt. Anderson" was a radio mechanic, not a station operator.

Nope. MOS 281.6, Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance Supervisor (the little decimal-six denoted that). I was assigned to Fixed Station Transmitter operations and maintenance due to a deferred installation of microwave facilities. IN service, one gets assigned and DOES THE JOB (or winds up way out in some unpleasant place if failure happens). I DID THE JOB.

NOWHERE on any TO&E (Table of Organization and Equipment) was there any "radio mechanic" specialty...not then, not later. NOWHERE in the Central Command OR in any military facility in the Kanto Plains area was there ANY "operator" at a single station making like the classic headphone-

wearing morseman beeping away at DX locations. NO HAM-STYLE "operators." This was a "Big Business" professional radio communications facility handling nearly a quarter million TTY messages a month just through ADA/RUAP...way back in 1955...fifty years ago.

Are there any references to all that? Absolutely yes, although obscure. There's no glamour, no romance, no emotional tie-in to the brave, noble single morseman saving the world or his ship or whatever as he is described in the let's-all-cheer-for-ham-radio a la QST and ARRL.

Were there any such "operators" using CW? Of course there were...on USN ships, on long-flying USAF aircraft, one or a few persons per ship/vehicle...even on long, over-water civilian air carriers and civilian ships...in 1955. That went AWAY. Air carriers dropped the navigator-morseman combo specialty when single-channel SSB voice was available (thanks to SAC and Collins Radio for showing how)...they've been long retired. Civilian shipping went for higher-speed data via different "TORs" and voice on HF SSB for the long runs (VHF voice in harbor, coastal areas), plus the adoption (worldwide) of GMDSS for international distress and safety alerting. The Army dropped any morseman training long ago for ANY communications...they run the single U.S. government facility involving morse cognition at Fort Huachuca, AZ... not only the Army Military Intelligence School and Hq but also the Hq of Army MARS.

> Nice try, though.

Awwww...you poor thing...you NEVER got the assignment opportunity to work REAL communications in the militry. So, you have to mean-mouth any and all who did. That's not patriotic, that's not even the Marines' Way. You don't want to accept ANY criticism of any kind. Tsk. Offhand, I'd call you - to your face - just a poor schmuck with too much Envy with resulting HATRED of all who DID SOMETHING.

Maybe that's in The Amateur's Code of 2005? Must be. You are a glaring portrayal of all that should NOT be in a hobby activity...rude, crude, blued...dissing all that dare speak back at you. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5559. Re: YOU LOOSERS WILL PAY BIGTIME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 19:37:43 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <2c1bec767d604b018f8b4f34ba5c881e@userbeam.net>
Source record: 107075

In article <itoape88.qoeqq_mqa@YOU.KNOW>
"Nomen Nescio" <NO....@YOU.KNOW> wrote:
>
> YOU CAN BE TOSSED TO AND IT WILL BE DONE NOW THAT YOU DONE IT
TO
> BE ASS HOLES TO A OUTSIDER WHO LAUGHED AT YOUR CLICK AND YOU
LOOSERS
> COULD'NT STAND IT AND SO TOOK IT OFF THE NET TO RAEL LIFE.
>
> I HAVE SPOKE.

Hi Toad or Lardass Lloydie!

5560. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 19:42:52 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050427194252.6DE3F17153@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 107076

In article
<1114592071.239757.121960@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> GCT 146, according to Uncle.

Too bad it was a NEGATIVE 146, Nursie.

5561. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 19:48:15 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <e7abbebdd4bf06e78f5e6b2ceccdf924@userbeam.net>
Source record: 107077

In article
<1114592071.239757.121960@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

> GCT 146, according to Uncle.

Too bad it was a NEGATIVE 146, Nursie.

5562. Lardass the minimum wage pizza BOY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 19:53:03 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050427195303.61EAE1716A@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 107078

In article
<cdd5ab5975a434f60ebd3cec854e3c35@melontraffickers.com>
A.Melon <j...@melontraffickers.com> wrote:
>
> go cash y (IKYABWAI)

Roger doesn't get SSI, tubby. Nor does his antennas bow. How's
life since your wife walked out on you, "polly"?
But in reality, you are just Lardass Davies, just hiding again.
Go cash your puny paycheck, Davies, and see if you can at least
pay your rent on time this month.

5563. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 20:18:19 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <JOqdnQhrNoXqje3fRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107081

Thrasher Remailer wrote:
> In article <a86dnRIKs949afPfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@this.ten> wrote:
>
>
>>Two-test (And he still passed BOTH)
>
>
>
> Poor gopher face, he still can't pass the Extra exam, despite
> the current Extra exam has incorporated some of the old Advanced
> questions. Guess he'll always be stuck with that obsolete
> license.
>
>
>>so long
>
>
> SPANK! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!
>
>

Poor two-test wogie, had to retake the test at the request of the FCC.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

The FCC spanked wogie real good.

5564. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson keep digging in deeper?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 20:31:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114633907.376151.16830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107079

From: K4YZ on Apr 27, 3:44 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K?B on Apr 26, 4:31 pm
>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>
>> >> "Sgt. Anderson" never saw those "operating signals" other than on

>> >> paper, or overheard by the OPERATORS of ADA, Hans. "Sgt.
>> >> Anderson" was a radio mechanic, not a station operator.
>
>> >You're in way over your head, Steve.
>
>> ...more like OUT of his head.
>
> Neither of which is correct...but since it makes you guys warm
and
>fuzzy...be my guest!

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Hans Brakob described the MILITARY
communications system quite correctly...as did I.

>> >perform "QSY"'s when directed. I know that flies in the face of
your
>> >beliefs, but reality doesn't much care what you believe.
>
>> Quite true. Concise description.
>
> So...Lennie's been telling us all along that he was an "operator"
>at ADA...Hans "descibes" a typical station with the "message center"
>being some significant distance away.

That was true, correct, how it was, and how it mostly is
now with the exception of HF *not* being used for long-haul
military communications. Now the "message center" in the
Army is set up alongside one of various Signal Corps ground
satellite terminals...or alongside one of various Signal
Corps Troposcatter terminals...or alongside one of the various
Signal Corps multi-channel data terminals. All of those
mobile and self-contained.

The morsemen in the military have long ago departed the
scene. There MIGHT be an M.I. Intercept specialist
listening in during an ELINT exercise but that is about it.

Boomers and Sharks (missle submarines and hunter-killer submarines to you landlubbers) get their Alerts via VLF which are transmitting specially-encrypted DATA. That is all automatic, enough to let the sub commander raise the boat to deploy sat antennas or whatever else they use for the REAL messages...which are still encrypted DATA.

What Hans described is concisely how the Army organized their communications...in Okinawa, in Seoul, in Pusan, in Manila, in the caves of Hawaii (AHA), outside of San Francisco (AGA), outside of Seattle, outside of Anchorage Alaska...to name a few. In documentation, I have the map of the 1960's TTY network worldwide with the locations marked clearly...and in PUBLIC disclosure BY the U.S. Army.

> Lennie provided us with MOS's for radio mechanic MOS's.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. A LIE.

I've lost track of Brian Burke's LIE Count on little Stevie but certainly that is one of Stevie's LIES.

I've described my MOS, that of Fixed Station Transmitter Operators-Maintainers, that of Field Radio Operators-Maintainers. None of them are "mechanics." I have given the links to the Army's own MOS web-page which gives concise descriptions of ALL Military Occupation Specialties. The only "mechanic" there seems to be on vehicles.

> Lennie acknowledges Hans' description as "concise".

Correct.

> Which only further substantiates MY claim that Lennie was never a
>military radio operator. Just a mechanic.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. There was NEVER any "radio mechanic" MOS given out in the U.S. Army since WW2.

The closest to that is the RAF's "artificer" title for avionics specialists.

Transmitters and receivers tuning HF are called "radios." Those must be kept operating when higher command says they must operate.

Message content at major and medium scale TTY communications nodes are NOT sent/received by individual "operators" but rather through MANY who operate the communications network.

When message traffic reaches a quarter million per month, it is just too much for dozens, hundreds of single operator handling and the delay in routing becomes intolerable. The Army found that out prior to WW2 at WAR (Washington Army

Radio) and increased the TTY network even before the USA
was in WW2. "Torn-tape" TTY relay using chadless p-tape
printing allows a TTY Relay operator to simply read the
message header and to physically move it to the destination
TTY reader and send it. Duplicate received tapes can be
punched as needed if for multiple destinations.

<snip>

> Only your mistruths are lies, Lennie...the rest is just fantasy.

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

You say Hans "lies." You say I "lie." By extension, you
must say the United States Army "lies" and the Pacific
Stars & Stripes military newspaper "lies." Everyone who
is not accepting Stevie Robeson "lies!"

"Fantasy?" I was THERE, doing what I said, even had my
picture taken doing some of it, have documentation from
Army sources, one of which has been posted on the web
(I've given the link in here). I have references in the
form of textbooks, one of which is the "Collins Sideband
Book" (familiar name) on the communications system. The
archives of the Army Communicator bulletins (at the Fort
Gordon website) show how communications was done, the
organization, the duties. Fort Gordon is the Signal
School home as well as the Signal Corps center. They
know what consitutes an "operator" of radio equipment.
Stevie Robeson doesn't agree on "operator." Stevie ought
to write the Army and "correct" them. Let Jimmie Noserve
"correct" Donnie Rumsfeld. :-)

Stevie Robeson NEVER did any BIG military communications.
Never experienced it, never worked in it, did only the
MARS thing with "operators" in his concept of "one radio,
one station, one message at a time" headphone-wearing,
key pounding morseman fashion? :-)

I did it for three years, brevet in at least three other
MOSs, all involving OPERATIONS...all the while RESPONSIBLE
for my part in GETTING THE MESSAGE THROUGH. I did.
We did. In a professional manner.

Hans Brakob did that and MORE for a longer time than you
could. Since he doesn't accept you as any "authority"
you call him a "LIAR."

Everyone "LIES" and "FANTASIES" except Stevie. Sigh.

That's a common mental deviation right there. Psych 101
teaches it. No doctorate required to know or recognize it.

Get some mental help. Get a good dentist, too...let
him fix up your teeth so you can actually smile in those
photos. Your medical plan cover that?

ZOE and QRT.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5565. Re: Shameful blog post by a local netKKKop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 22:06:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2MidnSGKOvaYkO3fRVn-2A@comcast.com>
Source record: 107080

"yojimbo" <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote in message
news:yvudoinnW7l5rKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not...
> This is shameful, Robeson:
>
> http://www.blogger.com/comment.g?blogID=10042172&postID=111449820335271446
>
> Shameful.

I suspect it's a forgery as I've never seen K4YZ speak in such a manner and
never seen him advocate illegal activities.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5566. Re: Never Mind, Hans...Unravelled You On My Own...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 22:28:12 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114640892.779202.277690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107082

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on 25 Apr 2005 15:31:09 -0700
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> Said codes are typically used for Morse or teleprinter
> >> communications.
> >
> >Oh, my! Q-Codes are used for Morse Code???
> >
> >What will you think of next?
>
> We are all in suspense on what comes next from him.
>
> Yawn...
>
> >> But thanks for pointing out s three year old error, Hans.
> >
> >A mere error?
> >
> >Were you calling Len a liar, scumbag and/or putz over your
> "mistake"???
>
> All of the above. Many times. :-)
>
> Don't forget "radio mechanic," a title the Army NEVER
> had. :-)
>
> Not to mention "rear-area 'radio clerk'" and all the
> other depreciatory shit he vomited in here...
>
> >> I don't suppose you have anything more contemporary to
address,
>
> >> do you...?!?!
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Documentation of your MARS service.
>
> He "has it in his wallet!" :-)
>
> > Not just your heresay. Maybe you
> >could sub your letter of appointment by competent authority of your
> IMD

> >status on Okinawa. Sub it for your flight-suit photo on QRZ.
>
> Add to that, his RECORD of those famous "seven hostile
> actions!" :-)
>
> [another item "in his wallet" as usual...]
>
> Flight suits are natty...look very "official" with all
> those patches and stuff, just the thing for an amateur
> radio site. :-) Not to mention the fine smile where
> he demonstrates good humor to all who look in... :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He's one sorry usedtobe.

5567. Re: Robeson's Fixation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 22:56:22 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114642582.334853.113220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107083

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > > Now Toddie's siding up to Lennie...they DO make a cute
> > couple!
> > > > >
> > > > > More homosexual inuendo?
> > > > >
> > > > > WAIT! I GET IT!!! YOU GUYS ARE ORTHODOX MORMON
> RRAPers!
> > > > > Lennie's taken ANOTHER wife!
> > > > >
> > > > > C O N G R A T U L A T I O N S Lennie, Todd and Brian!
> > > > >
> > > > Yes, indeed. More homosexual inuendo. Why are you always
> thinking
> > > > about homosexual liaisons?
> >
> > You give absolutely not thought to offending Mormons and
homosexuals,
> > as long as you can offend your fellow amateurs. Way to go,
Robeson.
> >
> > > Brain, I think I need to address YOUR frequent reference to
> > > homosexuality in almost everything YOU see to the Scouting
council
> > your
> > > in.
> >
> > Assess your own need to frequently refer to the male genitalia, and
> > frequent reference to two males as "bunkbuddies," "couples," and
> > "wives."
> >
> > There is no other conclusion. You are fixated with homosexual
> > thoughts. I merely point it out because it has no place here.
Drop
> > it.
>
> And >>I<< point out that lying and deceit have no place, yet you
> have been doing it for years.

Yet you are still here, lying and fixated on homosexual inuendo.

You can stop both at any time. I encourage you to do so.

Nurse, heal thyself.

> > > You don't need to be "supervising" children.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > You don't need to be in the medical profession where you have
access
> to
> > narcotics. Your beyond vivid imagination, frequent untruthfulness,
> > unwarranted accusations, and often articulated desire to talk to
> other
> > peoples wives and children is just creepy.
>
> Anytime you're in Tennssee you're welcome to stop by and I will
> gladly let you watch them draw my blood and follow it through
> pathology, Brain. Then there will be yet another unfounded assertion
> of yours to climb out from behind.

How generous. How do you propose running your blood through a
lie-detector test?

Wouldn't it be easier to post the required MARS documentation? The
required "7 hostile actions" documentation?

Guess not because there is no documentation, it didn't happen.

> And I am sure you find the idea that anyone might want to
> communicate with your other family members "creepy"....

All kinds of people communicate with my family. You are not one of
them.

I find you creepy. Stay away from my family.

> If I had the unsavory reputation that you do, Brain, I wouldn't
> want my spouse to know about it either.

So how do you keep it from your spouse?

> No doubt your kids will find out soon enough, eh...?!?!
>
> > Dialing....
>
> For help, I hope.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Stay away!

5568. Re: Enigma Message Special Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 23:41:04 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrnd708m4.nnq.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 107086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <1114542970.529293.75070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> From OH/PA dx bulletin # 707 ...
>>
>
> . . . . .
>
>> Depending on your location, conditions may not be favorable for
> reception
>> on the day. However, in this respect, listeners will be experiencing
> the
>> same problems faced by the V.I.'s some sixty years ago.

> Interesting links, good reads. The test runs will be tough copy on this
> side though, bad timing for EUs on 80/40.

Jews out there can not participate (for example, me) because
it's during the Sabbath. Seems like WWII got rid of the NAZI's but
Brittish antisematism still lives on as strong as ever.

Geoff.

--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
IL Voice: 972-2-679-6896 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
VoN Skype: mendelsonfamily

5569. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 23:44:14 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050427234414.64F9817154@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 107084

In article <JOqdnQhrNoXqje3fRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey Secret Gopher face<m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Thrasher Remailer wrote:
> > In article <a86dnRIKs949afPfRVn...@gbronline.com>
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@this.ten> wrote:
> >
> >
> > >>Two-test (And he still passed BOTH)
> >
> >
> >
> > > Poor gopher face, he still can't pass the Extra exam, despite
> > > the current Extra exam has incorporated some of the old
Advanced
> > > questions. Guess he'll always be stuck with that obsolete
> > > license.
> >
> >
> > >>so long
> >
> >
> > > SPANK! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
>
> Poor (SPANKED Gopher face whines)

Poor Gopher face, he always acknowledges he is too stupid to
pass the Extra exam. Of course, if he was able to do something
in life, he'd pass it because they used the same questions from
the old Advanced question pool on it. Roger took the retest, and
still upgraded to Extra, while you still havfe an obsolete
license. I bet you couldn't pass it if YOU had to retake your
tests...
So you think the Extra is a "status symbol?" hmm, I wonder how
the thousands of Extras licensed think of that opinion? Sounds
like "sour grapes" on your part, because you know deep down
inside you couldn't pass it.

Poor Lardass Davies, over 10 years a no code tech, he can't pass
simple 5 wpm code, nor can he pass the General theory exam.
I bet if you were intelligent enough to learn it, tubby, you be
the first one crying about someone
wanting to get rid of the requirement. Your just fat and lazy

and don't want to do the tough
things in life. That is why you are a 31 year old obese pizza
driver instead of doing something productive in your life. If
you can't even commit to learning morse, then you will always be
a fat pathetic loser. The fact is, you want affirmative action
for it, and so you wait to see if they downgrade the
requirements to no morse at all.

5570. Re: Never Mind, Hans...Unravelled You On My Own...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 23:50:23 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114640953.530261.185250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107085

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "bb" on 25 Apr 2005 15:31:09 -0700
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> Said codes are typically used for Morse or teleprinter
> >> communications.
> >
> >Oh, my! Q-Codes are used for Morse Code???
> >
> >What will you think of next?
>
> We are all in suspense on what comes next from him.
>
> Yawn...
>
> >> But thanks for pointing out s three year old error, Hans.
> >
> >A mere error?
> >
> >Were you calling Len a liar, scumbag and/or putz over your
> "mistake"???
>
> All of the above. Many times. :-)
>
> Don't forget "radio mechanic," a title the Army NEVER
> had. :-)
>
> Not to mention "rear-area 'radio clerk'" and all the
> other depreciatory shit he vomited in here...
>
> >> I don't suppose you have anything more contemporary to
address,
>
> >> do you...?!?!
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Documentation of your MARS service.
>
> He "has it in his wallet!" :-)
>
> > Not just your heresay. Maybe you
> >could sub your letter of appointment by competent authority of your
> IMD

> >status on Okinawa. Sub it for your flight-suit photo on QRZ.
>
> Add to that, his RECORD of those famous "seven hostile
> actions!" :-)
>
> [another item "in his wallet" as usual...]
>
> Flight suits are natty...look very "official" with all
> those patches and stuff, just the thing for an amateur
> radio site. :-) Not to mention the fine smile where
> he demonstrates good humor to all who look in... :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He's one sorry usedtobe.

5571. Re: Robeson's Fixation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 23:53:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114646014.852360.215560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107088

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > And >>I<< point out that lying and deceit have no place, yet
you
> > have been doing it for years.
>
> Yet you are still here, lying and fixated on homosexual inuendo.

YOU have the homosexual innuendo, Brain. That's why I worry about
you and small children who are not your own.

> You can stop both at any time. I encourage you to do so.

Stop yourself, Brain. You're the one who is always "fixated" on
"homosexual" themes

> Nurse, heal thyself.

Of what...???

> > Anytime you're in Tennssee you're welcome to stop by and I
will
> > gladly let you watch them draw my blood and follow it through
> > pathology, Brain. Then there will be yet another unfounded
assertion
> > of yours to climb out from behind.
>
> How generous. How do you propose running your blood through a
> lie-detector test?

You're the one making suggestions that I am diverting narcotics
for personal consumption, Brain. I am offering to let you watch them
go step by step through a drug screen test. That is, in a sense, a
"lie detector"...

> Wouldn't it be easier to post the required MARS documentation? The
> required "7 hostile actions" documentation?

You were gien more than enough information, Brain. If I had 20,
sometimes 30 year old documents to show you, I would, just becasue I
know how humiliating it would be to you.

But...You WERE given easily verified facts. You don't seem to
have adequate skills to put them together...

Sucks to be you.

> Guess not because there is no documentation, it didn't happen.

Did happen. You have the information in hand. Why do you insist
that you don't? Everyone here can see you lying, Brain. Why
perpetuate yet ANOTHER mistruth?

> > And I am sure you find the idea that anyone might want to
> > communicate with your other family members "creepy"....
>
> All kinds of people communicate with my family. You are not one of
> them.
>
> I find you creepy. Stay away from my family.

Your family will come to me, Brain...By way of reading what has
transpired in these exchanges. I don't ahve to go within 100,000 miles
of them...It's YOUR words and YOUR actions that they will see.

> > If I had the unsavory reputation that you do, Brain, I
wouldn't
> > want my spouse to know about it either.
>
> So how do you keep it from your spouse?

I don't. She looks over my shoulder from time to time and her
exact words are "Which one of those idiots are you arguing with
now...?!?!"

> > No doubt your kids will find out soon enough, eh...?!?!
> >
> > > Dialing....
> >
> > For help, I hope.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Stay away!

I keep telling you over and over, Brain...I DON'T HAVE TO GET NEAR
YOU! It's >>>YOUR<<< words and actions that your friends, family and
loved ones will see. Probably from YOUR computer in YOUR
house...eventually...

And then they will get to know the same Brain that we have come to
know and...Well..Make fun of...

Steve, K4YZ

5572. Re: Shameful blog post by a local netKKKop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 23:53:44 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114641214.283042.96240@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107090

Dee Flint wrote:
> "yojimbo" <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote in message
> news:yvudoinnW7l5rKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not...
> > This is shameful, Robeson:
> >
> >
http://www.blogger.com/comment.g?blogID=10042172&postID=111449820335271446
> >
> > Shameful.
>
> I suspect it's a forgery as I've never seen K4YZ speak in such a
manner and
> never seen him advocate illegal activities.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Agree. Steve places a "B" before his "haha's"

But this is the kind of neighbor that Steve has attracted to RRAP.

5573. Re: Any one recommend a group where they discuss policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 23:54:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ueGdnarVpPOBu-3fRVn-gw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107089

Ham wrote:
> I'm looking for one. Thanks

Start a thread and see where it goes. There are plenty of us here who would love to discuss policy. There just hasn't been much of that for a good while now.

- Mike KB3EIA -

5574. Re: Shameful blog post by a local netKKKop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 23:54:24 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114641238.941460.97920@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107091

Dee Flint wrote:
> "yojimbo" <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote in message
> news:yvudoinnW7l5rKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not...
> > This is shameful, Robeson:
> >
> >
http://www.blogger.com/comment.g?blogID=10042172&postID=111449820335271446
> >
> > Shameful.
>
> I suspect it's a forgery as I've never seen K4YZ speak in such a
manner and
> never seen him advocate illegal activities.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Agree. Steve places a "Bw" before his "haha's"

But this is the kind of neighbor that Steve has attracted to RRAP.

5575. Re: Who's my Daddy Bitch? I need a boarder!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 23:55:22 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <73a06d584248b1b0e3966b043871fdf2@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 107087
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <btjv611rsqrf2s9m5q38kqpdspr1mm6llq@4ax.com>
Secret Lardass <A...@arrl.net> wrote:
>
> I,

Poor Lardass, he must be behind again this month on his 200
square foot HUD section 8 public assistance apartment. He can't
pay his rent or his bills on time. Now he's so lame he has to
project hjis sad, uneventful life onto others.
Davies got a visit recently from the Athens, AL police narcotics
squad, since somebody must've told them about his pot use and
possession.

5576. Re: Any one recommend a group where they discuss policy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 23:55:59 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114641331.966822.104840@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107092

Ham wrote:
> I'm looking for one. Thanks
>
>
> 73

What policy would you care to discuss?

bb

5577. Re: Enigma Message Special Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 23:57:13 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0eqdnbOgVv1Ju-3fRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Geoffrey S. Mendelson wrote:

> In article <1114542970.529293.75070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>
>>>From OH/PA dx bulletin # 707 ...
>>>
>>
>>. . . . .
>>
>>
>>>Depending on your location, conditions may not be favorable for
>>
>>reception
>>
>>>on the day. However, in this respect, listeners will be experiencing
>>
>>the
>>
>>>same problems faced by the V.I.'s some sixty years ago.
>
>
>>Interesting links, good reads. The test runs will be tough copy on this
>>side though, bad timing for EUs on 80/40.
>
>
> Jews out there can not participate (for example, me) because
> it's during the Sabbath. Seems like WWII got rid of the NAZI's but
> Brittish antisematism still lives on as strong as ever.

What is the basis of the restriction, Geoffrey? It's not considered
work is it? That would put a crimp in a lot of contests!

- Mike KB3EIA -

5578. Re: Shameful blog post by a local netKKKop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-27 23:59:22 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114641281.088884.17730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107094

Dee Flint wrote:
> "yojimbo" <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote in message
> news:yvudoinnW7l5rKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not...
> > This is shameful, Robeson:
> >
> >
http://www.blogger.com/comment.g?blogID=10042172&postID=111449820335271446
> >
> > Shameful.
>
> I suspect it's a forgery as I've never seen K4YZ speak in such a
manner and
> never seen him advocate illegal activities.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Agree. Steve places a "Bw" before his "haha's"

But this is the kind of neighbor that Steve has attracted to RRAP.

5579. Re: Shameful blog post by a local netKKKop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 00:01:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114646510.880703.172060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107096

Dee Flint wrote:
> "yojimbo" <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote in message
> news:yvudoinnW7l5rKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not...
> > This is shameful, Robeson:
> >
> >
http://www.blogger.com/comment.g?blogID=10042172&postID=111449820335271446
> >
> > Shameful.
>
> I suspect it's a forgery as I've never seen K4YZ speak in such a
manner and
> never seen him advocate illegal activities.

And you won't, Dee.

Those forgeries are the acts of cowardly, frustrated losers who,
just like cockroaches, can't stand the light of day.

Oh well....

73

Steve, K4YZ

5580. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 00:03:22 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114642905.762631.95890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107099

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > You, Brain, have no business suggesting that YOU are giving
> > anyone
> > > a chance to "redeem" themselves.
> >
> > I have appointed myself. Just as you have appointed yourself
judge,
> > jury and executioner in so many RRAP disputes, most of which you
> > started yourself.
>
> HArdly, but knock yourself out, brain.

You're the #1 agitator.

> > Get used to it - there's a new Sheriff in town and he is watching
> you.
>
> Can't be you, Brain. Yuo don't have the cajones to sign your
name
> to your posts. There's not enough testosterone under that shirt to
> hold up a badge...even a "Jr Dick Tracy Detective" one....

You underestimate everyone but yourself.

> > And I'm recalling the extension I gave for you to post
documentation
> of
> > your alledged MARS service. You didn't make my deadline.
>
> Nothing was alledged. You were given the information necessary
to
> make an informed decision.

My decision is informed. You failed to post documentation, therefore
your MARS claims are alledged.

> > Sucks to be Robeson.
>
> Not today it doesn't.

Everyday until you become a truth teller and an apologizer for all of
your misdeeds and lies.

Your words, your rules, your logic. You fail your own tests.

5581. Re: Would You Believe N9OGL Is A Member Of.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 00:04:52 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114643341.526150.126840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107097

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > You, Brain, have no business suggesting that YOU are giving
> > anyone
> > > a chance to "redeem" themselves.
> >
> > I have appointed myself. Just as you have appointed yourself
judge,
> > jury and executioner in so many RRAP disputes, most of which you
> > started yourself.
>
> HArdly, but knock yourself out, brain.

On rra.CB, you'd be known as an "Agitator."

> > Get used to it - there's a new Sheriff in town and he is watching
> you.
>
> Can't be you, Brain. Yuo don't have the cajones to sign your
name
> to your posts. There's not enough testosterone under that shirt to
> hold up a badge...even a "Jr Dick Tracy Detective" one....

Why is it that you underestimate everyone but yourself?

I know the answer, I just want to hear your answer. Hi!

> > And I'm recalling the extension I gave for you to post
documentation
> of
> > your alledged MARS service. You didn't make my deadline.
>
> Nothing was alledged. You were given the information necessary
to
> make an informed decision.

I have made an informed decision. Until that time when you post
official documentation, it will remain "alledged."

> You failed to do it. Not my problem.

You failed to do what you demand from others. Your failed your own
test.

> > Sucks to be Robeson.
>
> Not today it doesn't.

Everyday that you fail to live up to your own words, your own rules,
and your own logic is a Suck Day for Robeson. Best of Luck.

5582. Re: Which Todd Do We Believe...???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 00:05:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114646708.413966.59050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107098

Fredrick Garvin wrote:
> On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 07:24:42 -0700, K4YZ expelled the following:
>
> > Which "Todd" do we believe?
>
>
> Todd Rundgren!

Hey! There ya go! That's a name I hadn't heard in a long time!

73

Steve, K4YZ

5583. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson keep digging in deeper?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 00:29:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <N7Wbe.430$BE3.283@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107100

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Lennie was never a military radio operator.

Sgt Anderson operated transmitters at the US Army radio transmitter site for ADA. Someone who operates transmitters is a "transmitter operator". A military transmitter is a military radio, ergo Sgt Anderson was a military radio operator.

It's >>THAT<< simple, Steve.

> "Q" signals are NOT used in MILITARY applications

Riiiiiiiiight!!!

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

DISCLAIMER:

This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are not offended by anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or otherwise guessed I might have possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course you are a pompous "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be insulted. In which case be my guest.

5584. Re: Who's my Daddy Bitch? I need a boarder!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 00:30:01 UTC
From: "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com>
Message-ID: <ec7f5fc62f8e2c9970b772353f192cef@melontraffickers.com>
Source record: 107095
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <btjv611rsqrf2s9m5q38kqpdspr1mm6llq@4ax.com>
Secret Lardass always unsure who his real father is
<A...@arrl.net> wrote:
>
> I,

Poor Lardass, he must be behind again this month on his 200
square foot HUD section 8 public assistance apartment. He can't
pay his rent or his bills on time. Now he's so lame he has to
project hjis sad, uneventful life onto others.
Davies got a visit recently from the Athens, AL police narcotics
squad, since somebody must've told them about his pot use and
possession.

5585. Re: YOU LOOSERS WILL PAY BIGTIME

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 00:40:05 UTC
From: lcs Mixmaster Remailer <mix@anon.lcs.mit.edu>
Message-ID: <20050428004005.4944.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 107101

In article <itoape88.qoeqq_mqa@YOU.KNOW>
"Nomen Nescio" <NO....@YOU.KNOW> wrote:
>
> YOU CAN BE TOSSED TO AND IT WILL BE DONE NOW THAT YOU DONE IT
TO
> BE ASS HOLES TO A OUTSIDER WHO LAUGHED AT YOUR CLICK AND YOU
LOOSERS
> COULD'NT STAND IT AND SO TOOK IT OFF THE NET TO RAEL LIFE.
>
> I HAVE SPOKE.

Hi Toad or Lardass Lloydie!

5586. Brian Couldn't Say It Once, Had To Try Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 00:46:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114649193.089921.136900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107102

Well, Brain, since you chose to respond "twice" to the same post
(couldn't make up your mind which insult to try...?!?!, I guess I'll
respond to both in one...

I left the other post intact at the bottom of this one for
comparison...What happened...?!?!? Just so impressed with yourself you
wanted to see more? Or realized that you failed the first time that
you took a second shot...?!?!

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > You, Brain, have no business suggesting that YOU are giving
> > > anyone
> > > > a chance to "redeem" themselves.
> > >
> > > I have appointed myself. Just as you have appointed yourself
> judge,
> > > jury and executioner in so many RRAP disputes, most of which you
> > > started yourself.
> >
> > HArdly, but knock yourself out, brain.
>
> You're the #1 agitator.

And your other "answer":

> On rra.CB, you'd be known as an "Agitator."

Perhaps. But being an "agitatior" does not mean being a liar.

You ARE known as a liar...On RRCB or RRAP, agitator or not.

> > > Get used to it - there's a new Sheriff in town and he is watching
> > you.
> >
> > Can't be you, Brain. Yuo don't have the cajones to sign your
> name
> > to your posts. There's not enough testosterone under that shirt to
> > hold up a badge...even a "Jr Dick Tracy Detective" one....
>
> You underestimate everyone but yourself.

And your other reply to the same comment:

> I know the answer, I just want to hear your answer. Hi!

I have enough testosterone to be able to put my picture up for
public display...And know your "flabby" and "obese" comments are put to
the lie they are by it.

Nice try, though...and the previously aired cheap shots at the
organization also duly noted...

> > > And I'm recalling the extension I gave for you to post
> documentation
> > of
> > > your alledged MARS service. You didn't make my deadline.
> >
> > Nothing was alledged. You were given the information
necessary
> to
> > make an informed decision.
>
> My decision is informed. You failed to post documentation, therefore
> your MARS claims are alledged.

And your other response:

> I have made an informed decision. Until that time when you post
> official documentation, it will remain "alledged."

No "alleged" to it, Brain.

You've been given dates, times and specifics. Any police
detective would be laughing all the way to court with that much
"evidence", but you can't seem to connect the dots. Need crayons?

> > > Sucks to be Robeson.
> >
> > Not today it doesn't.
>
> Everyday until you become a truth teller and an apologizer for all of
> your misdeeds and lies.
>
> Your words, your rules, your logic. You fail your own tests.

And your second reply to the same comments:

> Everyday that you fail to live up to your own words, your own rules,
> and your own logic is a Suck Day for Robeson. Best of Luck.

Brian...make no mistake...

I can hold my head up. I make mistakes, but I also acknowledge
them when I do and move on.

You do not. THAT is documented. Over and over and over.

You have the specifics of when I was in MARS, the callsigns, where
I was when I had those calls and what I was doing.

YOU, on the other hand, have left a trail of unsubstantiated
claims and assertions that you refuse to either back up with SOME sort
of "proof", admit were just "off the cuff, uninformed opinion", or were
blatantly untruthful.

Sucks to be you. No luck needed here.

Steve, K4YZ

The other post:

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:

> > > You, Brain, have no business suggesting that YOU are giving
> > anyone
> > > a chance to "redeem" themselves.
>
> > I have appointed myself. Just as you have appointed yourself
judge,
> > jury and executioner in so many RRAP disputes, most of which you
> > started yourself.
>
> HArdly, but knock yourself out, brain.

On rra.CB, you'd be known as an "Agitator."

> > Get used to it - there's a new Sheriff in town and he is watching
> you.

> Can't be you, Brain. Yuo don't have the cajones to sign your
name
> to your posts. There's not enough testosterone under that shirt to
> hold up a badge...even a "Jr Dick Tracy Detective" one....

Why is it that you underestimate everyone but yourself?

I know the answer, I just want to hear your answer. Hi!

> > And I'm recalling the extension I gave for you to post
documentation
> of
> > your alledged MARS service. You didn't make my deadline.

> Nothing was alledged. You were given the information necessary
to
> make an informed decision.

I have made an informed decision. Until that time when you post
official documentation, it will remain "alledged."

> You failed to do it. Not my problem.

You failed to do what you demand from others. Your failed your own
test.

> > Sucks to be Robeson.

> Not today it doesn't.

Everyday that you fail to live up to your own words, your own rules,
and your own logic is a Suck Day for Robeson. Best of Luck.

5587. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson keep digging in deeper?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 00:53:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114649616.200944.163000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107103

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > Lennie was never a military radio operator.
>
> Sgt Anderson operated transmitters at the US Army radio transmitter site for
> ADA. Someone who operates transmitters is a "transmitter operator". A military
> transmitter is a military radio, ergo Sgt Anderson was a military radio
> operator.
>
> It's >>THAT<< simple, Steve.

Lennie, keying the transmitter in the course of maintenance or testing, does not make him a "military radio operator".

I obtained a certification as a Suture Tech while doing volunteer EMS at Camp Lester, Okinawa.

Does the fact that I am certified to close wounds per sterile technique make me a surgeon?

> > "Q" signals are NOT used in MILITARY applications
>
> Riiiiiiiiight!!!

I guess my acknowledgement of my error wasn't good enough for you, Hans.

Why don't you send W2VU another letter and "explain" it to him...????

Steve, K4YZ

5588. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 01:36:13 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <SridneQpmZ3xx-3fRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107113

Anonymous AKA two-test wogie, via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
>>Poor (SPANKED Gopher face whines)
>
>
> Poor Gopher face, he always acknowledges he is too stupid to
> pass the Extra exam. Of course, if he was able to do something
> in life, he'd pass it because they used the same questions from
> the old Advanced question pool on it. Roger took the retest, and
> still upgraded to Extra, while you still havfe an obsolete
> license. I bet you couldn't pass it if YOU had to retake your
> tests...
> So you think the Extra is a "status symbol?" hmm, I wonder how
> the thousands of Extras licensed think of that opinion? Sounds
> like "sour grapes" on your part, because you know deep down
> inside you couldn't pass it.

Hi wogie, this is the FCC. Due to your violating FCC regulations, we
request you either retest or we will take away your license.
SPANK, SPANK

LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

5589. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 02:03:28 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <zIWdnbMudYj1_O3fRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107116

Anonymous AKA two-test wogie via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:

>
> Poor Gopher face, he always acknowledges he is too stupid to
> pass the Extra exam. Of course, if he was able to do something
> in life, he'd pass it because they used the same questions from
> the old Advanced question pool on it. Roger took the retest, and
> still upgraded to Extra, while you still havfe an obsolete
> license. I bet you couldn't pass it if YOU had to retake your
> tests...

What is "havfe"? The Advanced isn't obsolete, it is still a valid
license and it will be until the FCC says differently. Wrong again,
two-test.

5590. Robeson Out-Manufactures Chrysler Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 02:15:24 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114654524.311865.35810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > > Now you slide out of acknowledging that information with
> > this
> > > > > > > > silly spin.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It REALLY sucks to be you, Brain...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > But nice try...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, using your words, your rules, and your logic, you failed
> > the
> > > > > > > test. The test that you wrote!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You are simply not to be trusted.
> > > > >
> > > > > What rules, Brian?
> > >
> > > Your words, your rules, your logic. You've demanded documentation
> > from
> > > others. If documentation isn't provided, then the event never
> > happened
> > > and that person is forever known as a liar. Your words, your
rules,
> > > your logic.
> > >
> > > Now documentation is being demanded from you. MARS documentation.
> > > Scan it. Post it.
> > >
> > > Or you fail and will forever be known as a liar (you've got a good
> > > headstart on that, though).
> >
> > Sorry, Brain. Still no go on your re-direct.

You've made more dodges than the Chrysler Corporation!

And you've claimed that you were appointed to a MARS position on
Okinawa. That seems impossible to me because you know little to
nothing about MARS. Now back up your assertion with real
documentation.

Post your letter of appointment.

5591. Re: Suspicious Robeson/K4YZ Posting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 02:18:48 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114654728.403636.301990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107105

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > Seems your ISP manager obviously thinks more of me than he
> does
> > of
> > > you, Todd...Perhaps swayed a bit by the EVIDENCE of your gross
> > > profanities and threats.
> > >
> > > From: robert.koester@consolidated.com
> > > Subject: Abuse
> > > Date: April 25, 2005 11:40:03 AM CST
> > > To: toddaug@consolidated.net
> > > Cc: abuse@consolidated.net
> > > Mr. Daugerty,
> >
> > Interesting post, Steve.
> >
> > Is this a forgery?
> >
> > I don't see you addressed, cc'd, or info'd anywhere in the above
> > header.
>
> I don't remmeber saying I was, Brain.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Are you posting third party, confidential information?

5592. Re: N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 02:23:40 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114655020.332255.326560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107106

yojimbo wrote:
> On 26 Apr 2005 19:44:48 -0700, KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > Seems your ISP manager obviously thinks more of me than he does
of
> > > you, Todd.
> >
> > While I have no love for the potty-mouth antics on N9OGL, it's
> > control-freak tactics like THIS which force me to include the
following
> > DISCLAIMER in many of my posts.
> >
> > DISCLAIMER:
> > This lecture brought to you free by K0HB, who hopes that you are
not
> > offended by anything you read, inferred, assumed, presumed or
otherwise
> > guessed I might have possibly meant as demeaning - unless of course
you
> > are a pompous "full-of-yourself" individual who WANTS to be
insulted.
> > In which case be my guest.
>
> Indeed. I find K4YZ's netKKKopping to be unwholesome as well.

He is disturbed. Had he ever been in a hostile action, one would say
he was "shell-shocked."

> Steve needs to go to news.answers and carefully read some of the FAQs
> which are posted there. He doesn't seem to know much about
netiquette
> or the history of the net,

Nor does he know much about military communications or MARS.

5593. Re: N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 02:26:05 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114655165.444896.45240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107107

K4YZ wrote:

> I know enough to know enough to know that lying mouths left to
> their own devices soon become the ONLY voices. That's not
acceptable.
> That path has been taken before in history with disasterous results.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Probably why your lying mouth is the most prolific voice on RRAP.

5594. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson keep digging in deeper?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 02:37:38 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114655858.363952.247300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107108

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K□B on Apr 26, 4:31 pm
>
> >Now then, when did YOU retire?
>
> The "ANCOIC" got a MEDICAL discharge. [I really don't
> want to think _how_ that "discharge" was done]

```
Silly Gunny was probably medically retired for an accidental discharge
- shot hisself in the foot like he he does here every day.
```

5595. Re: Brian Couldn't Say It Once, Had To Try Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 03:04:20 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114657460.410272.114880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107109

K4YZ wrote:
> Well, Brain, since you chose to respond "twice" to the same post
> (couldn't make up your mind which insult to try...?!?!, I guess I'll
> respond to both in one...

Multitasking from a guy with multiple personalities? Should be a piece
of cake for you.

> I left the other post intact at the bottom of this one for
> comparison...What happened...?!?!? Just so impressed with yourself
you
> wanted to see more? Or realized that you failed the first time that
> you took a second shot...?!?!

Google was hiccupping earlier, so I replied a second time.

> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > You, Brain, have no business suggesting that YOU are
giving
> > > > anyone
> > > > > a chance to "redeem" themselves.
> > > >
> > > > I have appointed myself. Just as you have appointed yourself
> > judge,
> > > > jury and executioner in so many RRAP disputes, most of which
you
> > > > started yourself.
> > >
> > > HArdly, but knock yourself out, brain.
> >
> > You're the #1 agitator.
>
> And your other "answer":
>
> > On rra.CB, you'd be known as an "Agitator."
>
> Perhaps. But being an "agitatior" does not mean being a liar.

You're that, too. But I don't want people to call me Capt. Obvious, or
turn me in to the SPCA for beating a dead horse.

> You ARE known as a liar...On RRCB or RRAP, agitator or not.

Only you think that. Meanwhile, you have been proven to be a liar, and
make lying your daily bread.

> > > > Get used to it - there's a new Sheriff in town and he is
watching
> > > you.
> > >
> > > Can't be you, Brain. Yuo don't have the cajones to sign your
> > name
> > > to your posts. There's not enough testosterone under that shirt
to
> > > hold up a badge...even a "Jr Dick Tracy Detective" one....
> > >
> > You underestimate everyone but yourself.
>
> And your other reply to the same comment:
>
> > I know the answer, I just want to hear your answer. Hi!
>
> I have enough testosterone

Enough??? You think? You're like a powder keg. Maybe you ought to
have estrogen therapy.

to be able to put my picture up for
> public display...And know your "flabby" and "obese" comments are put
to
> the lie they are by it.
>
> Nice try, though...and the previously aired cheap shots at the
> organization also duly noted...

You failed to answer the question, so I'll ask it again: Why?

You underestimate everyone but yourself. Why?

I know the answer, I just want to hear your answer. Hi!

> > > > And I'm recalling the extension I gave for you to post
> > documentation
> > > > of
> > > > > your alledged MARS service. You didn't make my deadline.
> > > >
> > > > Nothing was alledged. You were given the information
> necessary
> > > to
> > > > make an informed decision.
> > >
> > > My decision is informed. You failed to post documentation,
therefore
> > > your MARS claims are alledged.
>
> And your other response:

>
> > I have made an informed decision. Until that time when you post
> > official documentation, it will remain "alledged."
>
> No "alleged" to it, Brain.

Alleged until you post authentic documentation.

> You've been given dates, times and specifics. Any police
> detective would be laughing all the way to court with that much
> "evidence", but you can't seem to connect the dots. Need crayons?

Need authentic letter of appointment. Got one?

> > > > Sucks to be Robeson.
> > >
> > > Not today it doesn't.
> >
> > Everyday until you become a truth teller and an apologizer for all
of
> > your misdeeds and lies.
> >
> > Your words, your rules, your logic. You fail your own tests.
>
> And your second reply to the same comments:
>
> > Everyday that you fail to live up to your own words, your own
rules,
> > and your own logic is a Suck Day for Robeson. Best of Luck.
>
> Brian...make no mistake...
>
> I can hold my head up.

Glad to hear your motor skills are adequate. No back or neck brace
noted in flight suit photo.

> I make mistakes, but I also acknowledge
> them when I do and move on.

Then why cannot you live up to what you DEMAND from others?

Were those demands mistakes? If so, do take the time to acknowledge
it, apologize for it. The day you start acting like a human being
instead of a complete jackass is the day you'll be treated as a human
being.

> You do not. THAT is documented. Over and over and over.

You do not, documented again and again, over and over. On the surface
it looks like you hold to a double-standard. But when I look deeper,
it gets much, much worse.

> You have the specifics of when I was in MARS, the callsigns,
where
> I was when I had those calls

Silly Gunny, I need to see an authentic letter of appointment. Got
one? (hint: look in that shoebox full of medals.)

> and what I was doing.

As far as what you were doing? Obviously not MARS communications or
you just might know a little something about MARS. Hi!

Now go find that letter of appointment and post it for all to see.

5596. Just what is a kook anyway? (kook'ology 101)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 03:24:11 UTC
From: Wm B <wmbunlv7@nosp.unlv.edu>
Message-ID: <e021$4270575b$d1cc7b75$12018@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 107110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

From
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/soc.men/msg/205a740332fcc849?oe=UTF-8&output=gplain

Just a refresher course for all of you!
Seemed like a timely time for it.

ps: I also not that many of the ham radio op's I know
seem to fall into one or more of the below categories! (grin)

Enjoy!

---- cut ----

http://www.kook-watch.net/mdavï¿½is/kooks/kooks.html

What is a kook?

First and foremost, kooks believe -really weird- stuff. But
simply holding weird beliefs isn't enough to make someone a kook.
It's the tactics they use to spread their beliefs that separates
kooks from the merely eccentric. Below is a list of common kook
distinguishing characteristics. The average Usenet kook will
possess at least several of these traits. ï¿½ber Kooks will possess
most or all of them.

a. Kooks make lists of their enemies. Anyone who maintains a list
of enemies is almost certainly a kook. Anyone who appears on one
or more kook enemy lists probably isn't a kook.

b. Kooks are control freaks. Kooks try to moderate the NG and try
to force people to only talk about certain subjects and/or
conduct themselves only in what the kooky wannabe moderator sees
as the correct manner. Kooks will even complain to the ISPs of
other NG posters whose views they don't agree with in an attempt
to have them removed from Usenet.

c. Kooks are paranoid. Most kooks are big believers in
conspiracies large and small. They seem to think everyone is out
to harm them or at least silence them (because they alone know
the truth, (see d). From the mighty New World Order, to various
three letter agencies of the Federal Government to anyone on the
NG who disagrees with them, kooks see enemies everywhere and make
lists of them (see a).

d. Kooks are always right. They have had the absolute "TRUTH"
bestowed upon them by god or the aliens or by the voices in their
heads or whatever. They are utterly convinced that they, and only

they, know the "TRUTH." No matter how many times their beliefs are proven wrong, they continue to cling to them like grim death and will never, EVER admit to being wrong about ANYTHING.

e. KOOKS LIKE TO SHOUT IN ALL CAPS. THEY SEE THEIR MESSAGES AS BEING SO IMPORTANT THAT THEY NEED TO SHOUT THEM OUT. THEY WANT TO MAKE SURE *EVERYONE* NOTICES WHAT THEY HAVE TO SAY.

f. Kooks are obsessed. They are obsessed with certain subjects and certain other users of the NG, even to the point of stalking them. But above all, they are obsessed with spreading their message and keeping it in discussion at all times on their favorite NGs. Kooks rarely let anyone else get in the last word in any discussion that touches even remotely on one of their obsessions.

g. Kooks will create sock puppets and use them to attack their enemies and/or post positive responses to the kook's own posts (since no one else will).

h. Kooks are incapable of intellectual debate. Kooks believe they are in possession of the absolute TRUTH on a given subject or subjects and they are here to share that truth, not debate it. Since kooks are always right and will never ever admit to being wrong (see d), they refuse to debate their beliefs and tolerate no skepticism toward them. To a kook, anyone who disagrees with them MUST be either ignorant, insane or a paid disinformation agent out to discredit them (see c).

i. Kooks don't have a sense of humor, especially in regards to the subjects they are obsessed with. They are always deadly serious about their pet issues and often berate other NG users for not taking them seriously enough. Kooks are perpetual straight men. Their lack of humor is ironically one of the kook's most amusing characteristics.

j. Kooks lie. Kooks lie about their backgrounds, their qualifications, their achievements, their level of education, their experiences, etc., etc. Kooks see their message as being so important that they are willing to do whatever it takes (especially lying) to get that message out and make it look like it came from a credible source, rather than from a mere kooky nobody who can be safely ignored. Kooks however aren't generally smart enough to lie well or be consistent in their lying, so they are easily caught and their lies are often exposed. However, even when presented with absolute proof of lying, a true kook will never admit to it (see 4) and usually either ignore the evidence or claim it was manufactured by some "conspiracy" that is out to discredit them (see c).

k. Kooks tend to be hypocrites. For example, they will rage against others for posting off topic material but still do it

themselves regularly, and they take great offense when someone else points out that they are off topic. When this is pointed out, they will go to great lengths to try to justify *their* off topic posts as being so important that topical considerations are irrelevant. Another example of kook hypocrisy is name calling. A kook will rage against others for name calling but be completely oblivious to their own continual name calling and ad hominem attacks on others. Kooks tend to live by the motto of "Do as I say, not as I do."

l. Kooks don't play well with others. Since kooks are paranoid, always think they are right on every issue, tolerate no skepticism or differing views and always want to be the one in control, kooks just don't get along with other people, not even other kooks. Every kook is an island unto itself of strange beliefs and weird psychoses. Kooks are very lonely people, and it shows.

ï¿½ Copyright 2001 Michael Davis Publishing
All rights reserved.

5597. Re: Robeson's Fixation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 03:26:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114658777.771857.29450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107111

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > And >>I<< point out that lying and deceit have no place, yet
> you
> > > have been doing it for years.
> >
> > Yet you are still here, lying and fixated on homosexual inuendo.
>
> YOU have the homosexual innuendo, Brain. That's why I worry
about
> you and small children who are not your own.

The homosexual comments are yours, Robeson. Recall your frequent
references to the male genitalia, and to two males as "bunkbuddies,"
"couples," and "wives."

Redirection is impossible. Your comments are archived.

> > You can stop both at any time. I encourage you to do so.
>
> Stop yourself, Brain. You're the one who is always "fixated" on
> "homosexual" themes

I do not make such comments. You do, and it's all archived.

I ask you to stop. Will you?

> > Nurse, heal thyself.
>
> Of what...???

Whatever ails you.

> > > Anytime you're in Tennssee you're welcome to stop by and I
> will
> > > gladly let you watch them draw my blood and follow it through
> > > pathology, Brain. Then there will be yet another unfounded
> assertion
> > > of yours to climb out from behind.
> >
> > How generous. How do you propose running your blood through a
> > lie-detector test?
>
> You're the one making suggestions that I am diverting narcotics
> for personal consumption, Brain.

No, I didn't. But I wouldn't want you around "sharps" either.

I am offering to let you watch them
> go step by step through a drug screen test. That is, in a sense, a
> "lie detector"...

Post authentic documentation of your claims.

> > Wouldn't it be easier to post the required MARS documentation? The
> > required "7 hostile actions" documentation?
>
> You were gien more than enough information, Brain. If I had 20,
> sometimes 30 year old documents to show you, I would, just becasue I
> know how humiliating it would be to you.

Then do so. We are waiting.

> > Guess not because there is no documentation, it didn't happen.
>
> Did happen.

But you've made the claim numerous times (of other people) that if
authentic documentation is not produced, then the action never
happened, and the person is forever a liar.

Is this Robeson Rule no longer valid? If not, why?

You have the information in hand. Why do you insist
> that you don't? Everyone here can see you lying, Brain. Why
> perpetuate yet ANOTHER mistruth?
>
> > > And I am sure you find the idea that anyone might want to
> > > communicate with your other family members "creepy"....
> >
> > All kinds of people communicate with my family. You are not one of
> > them.
> >
> > I find you creepy. Stay away from my family.
>
> Your family will come to me, Brain...By way of reading what has
> transpired in these exchanges. I don't ahve to go within 100,000
miles
> of them...It's YOUR words and YOUR actions that they will see.

I don't expect you to stay 100,000 miles away from my family.

> > > If I had the unsavory reputation that you do, Brain, I
> wouldn't
> > > want my spouse to know about it either.
> >
> > So how do you keep it from your spouse?
>
> I don't. She looks over my shoulder from time to time and her
> exact words are "Which one of those idiots are you arguing with

> now...?!?!"

Hehehe. Same here.

> > > No doubt your kids will find out soon enough, eh...?!?!
> > >
> > > > Dialing....
> > >
> > > For help, I hope.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Stay away!
>
> I keep telling you over and over, Brain...I DON'T HAVE TO GET
NEAR
> YOU! It's >>>YOUR<<< words and actions that your friends, family and
> loved ones will see. Probably from YOUR computer in YOUR
> house...eventually...

Fair enough.

> And then they will get to know the same Brain that we have come
to
> know and...Well..Make fun of...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I hope you've learned to laugh at yourself these past few weeks because
the rest of us sure have.

5598. Re: The Illiterate idiot is looking for backup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 03:30:39 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114659039.186368.72960@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107112

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Brain...I know that "paying attention" is not one of your
> > > virtures, however your "mentor" has taken Todd in as his new
> > > handpuppet.
> >
> > Meanwhile, I'm reviewing your application to be my new mentor. So
> far
> > it's not looking too good.
>
> Sorry, Brain...Don't know who filed THAT application, however it
> wasn't me. I prefer a job where there's actually a likelyhood that
> there will be a positive outcome.
>
> You work too ahrd to prevent it.

Your words. Why do you run away from your own words when confronted by
them?

You claimed that you wanted to sit down with me and teach me a few
things. If that isn't a desire to become my mentor, I don't know what
is.

> > > If I were you, I'd be feeling a bit jealous right about
now...
> >
> > Len still has both hands, right?
> >
> > > Guess Lennie found someone with an even lower IQ than you,
as
> > hard
> > > as that is to imagine....
> >
> > Dunno, what are your IQs?
>
> GCT 146, according to Uncle. Yours?

And the others?

5599. Re: Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 03:56:01 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114660560.974508.21160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107114

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > I gave you dates, places, callsigns, Brain. That's far more
> > > information on ONE topic than YOU have ever presented on ALL the
> > topics
> > > YOU have been challenged on!
> > >
> > > But nice try!
> >
> > And I gave you the combination to the safe at Ft. Knox.
>
> You very well may have. But I ahve no desire to even see Ft Knox
> from a distance.
>
> On the otherhand, YOU have asked for evidence of my MARS
> participation. You were given all the particulars. In 2003 they
were
> enough for you. In 2005 you can't seem to connect the dots. Your
> problem, Brain.

Your words, Robeson. Papers. Authentic Papers.

Post them as you have demanded others do.

> > > > Your words, your rules, your "etiquette" makes you the liar.
> > >
> > > Nope. Information was requested...Information
> > > provided...Actually, you ALREADY had the information in your
> > hands...A
> > > couple years ago, as a matter of fact, and it seemed to be quite
> > > adequate for you then.
> >
> > Your own "say so" isn't documentation. Scan the letter of
> appointment
> > for your "ANCOIC" of Okinawa MARS. Post the link for all to see.
>
> There is no "letter of appointment" for such assignments, Brain.

Hi! Hi, hi! You worked from "verbal orders?" No dice.

Must have papers! Authentic Papers! Got Papers???

> But you're certainly welcome to follow the information you were given
> and see who the ranking and the next-ranking MARS NCO's were at the

> times I gave you.

You're certainly welcome to paost papers, if such an appointment ever
happened. At this point, I see no evidence whatsoever.

> > > > > I guess I am going to just have to sit down with you and
> > teach
> > > > you
> > > > > some basic math and etiquette, Brain
> > > > >
> > > > If you knew any.
> > > >
> > > We'll just have to sit down and I'll teach you, Brian.
> There's
> > a
> > > LOT for you to learn, though.
> >
> > You wish to be my mentor? Should I start calling you "Lying Putz!"
>
> Why? I am not about to change my name to "Leonard H. Anderson".

But that's what I see you doing most, calling people "Lying Putz!"

> > > > > I don't know why you insist on lying yourself and then trying
> to
> > > make
> > > > > up stories about others lying.
> > > > >
> > > > Steve, make no mistake, you are a documented liar.
> > > >
> > > There's no mistake, Brain...I'm not.
> >
> > There must be. You've just lied again.
>
> Nope.
>
> > > I have made mistakes...Owned up to them, acknowledged them.
> > Hans'
> > > current item on the NON-US "military" use of Q signals for
example.
> > It
> > > was a long stretch, and he had to dig to find that one...
> >
> > Now wait a minute, Slippery Steve. If the manual is for "allied
> > forces" aren't we allied to them as well? Why would we have them
> > communicating with us using one set of protocols, and we to them
with
> > another?
> >
> > You've made more dodges recently than the Chrysler plant. Hi!
> >

> > > YOU, on the otherhand, have made COUNTLESS errors, and not
> only
> > > NOT acknowledged them, but tried to defend them as "valid". THAT
> > goes
> > > from being an "error" to being a "LIE".
> >
> > But we're discussing your errors, your accusations, your words,
your
> > rules, your logic, and your lies!
>
> I haven't lied. A lie is an intentional effort to decieve. I
have
> made no intentional effort to deceive.

Unless you can post authentic papers...

If only you had even demonstrated the smallest knowledge of MARS, I
might be able to take your word for it, but that is not the case.

So I must see your papers.

> Where I have been proven wrong on issues, I have corrected the
> error and acknowledged it.
>
> On the other hand, we have you and Lennie and Y E A R S of
> stories not only laid bare, but aggressively defended as "right" even
> when PROVEN wrong. THAT is a lie.

I see it differently.

> And so far, you've not proven that intent.

You claimed to have held a MARS position of authority on Okinawa, but
you demonstrate a huge lack of knowledge of MARS communications.

So what am I to believe?

Papers. Post your papers. Don't have 'em? Didn't happen. Your
words, your rules, your logic.

Are you ready to abandon your core values because they are inconvenient
for you?

> > It's pathetic that you can't live up to your own expectations of
> > others. You're not a straw boss anymore, just a strawman.
>
> Nope. Sorry, Brain, but no matter how hard you try to shake
this,
> you've not proven a thing. No matter how loud yuo yell or how hard
you
> try to re-direct, you're still a looooooong way behind being truthful.

No redirection. I've merely asked you to post documentation of your
claims to having held a position of authority in MARS communications on

Okinawa. That wouldn't be necessary if you had shown even the
slightest knowledge of MARS communications...

> > > > > But that's OK....Your kids will read these some day and
> > > they'll
> > > > > see what an unethical toad you really are, Brain.
> > > >
> > > > I'm sure your children are proud of their daddy.
> > >
> > > Their daddy isn't lying repeatedly in a public forum.
> >
> > You aren't your childrens father?
>
> I am. And I am not lying in a public forum.

Looks different from here.

Just post the papers and I'll believe you. Got papers?

> > > > Hans showed you to be a liar going back a long way. Today's
lies
> > are
> > > > > just a continuation of the lying that you started a long, long
> time
> > > > > ago...
> > >
> > > There's a difference. Hans found an error. Provided a
> > reference.
> > > > I acknowledged that and "stood corrected".
> >
> > You dodged!
>
> Nope.
>
> Dodging is trying to make excuses or redirect from the facts. I
> admitted that I was wrong and his reference was right.

Go back and reread your subsequent posts. I see a greasy old slant
six.

> Just the opposite of when YOU said ARES was "overblown" and
they'd
> never respond in a true emergency. I provided media releases of
> CURRENT events that disproved your assertion.

My opinion. And it was noted that you couldn't produce a single viable
schedule for the exercise with available volunteers. Too bad. That
kind of made my point.

> And just the opposite of when you insisted that "all the techs
have
> been chased away"... You've not yet answered "who" allegedly chased
> them away and where they went.

My opinion. Been discussed before.

What is not my opinion is your claims to having held a position of authority in MARS on Okinawa. Got papers?

> > > You, on the otherhand, still have not acknowledged your
> misdeeds
> > > going back a looooooooooooooooooong time before anything >>I<<
> > wrote.
> >
> > Again, Slippery Steve, this is about you, your words, your rules,
> your
> > logic, and your expectations of others being applied to you.
>
> So far you've not answered a sngle question about ANY of your
> assertions, claims or other fables, Brain.

It's your turn, Steve. You answer the questions surrounding your assertions. Start with MARS. Got Papers?

> > You fail the test! Your own test! The test you wrote!
>
> I ahven't written any "test", brain, so here we have YOU in a
fable
> again...

The test that you apply to everyone else. Why do you run away from your own demands when those demands are made of you? It would appear that there is a double-standard at work here.

> > > Try again, Brain. You've "proved" that you have the desire
to
> > > continue your course of mistruths and deceit.
> > >
> > > Brian P Burke IS a deceitful person. Deal with it.
> >
> > Sucks to be Steven J Robeson/K4ZY/K4CAP/K4MARS

Correction: Sucks to be Steven J Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS(hi!)

5600. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson keep digging in deeper?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 04:03:14 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1114660994.097037.263610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107115

K4YZ asked:

> Does the fact that I am certified to close wounds per sterile
> technique make me a surgeon?

I have no idea, Steve. I'm completely unqualified to make judgements about medical qualifications, just as you have demonstrated that you are completely unqualified to make judgements about military communications qualifications.

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

5601. Re: Why does Steven J Robeson keep digging in deeper?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 04:10:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5nZbe.452$HL2.175@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107117

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> ZOE .
>

ZUH

5602. Re: Just what is a kook anyway? (kook'ology 101)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 04:37:25 UTC
From: Hal Rosser <hmrosser@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <YJZbe.9074$lf2.2086@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 107118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Do kooks make lists of how to spot other kooks ?

"Wm B" <wmbunlv7@nosp.unlv.edu> wrote in message
news:e021$4270575b$d1cc7b75$12018@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> From
>
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/soc.men/msg/205a740332fcc849?oe=UTF-8&output=gplain
>
> Just a refresher course for all of you!
> Seemed like a timely time for it.
>
> ps: I also not that many of the ham radio op's I know
> seem to fall into one or more of the below categories! (grin)
>
> Enjoy!
>
> ---- cut ----
>
> http://www.kook-watch.net/mdav&#65533;is/kooks/kooks.html
>
> What is a kook?
>
> First and foremost, kooks believe -really weird- stuff. But
> simply holding weird beliefs isn't enough to make someone a kook.
> It's the tactics they use to spread their beliefs that separates
> kooks from the merely eccentric. Below is a list of common kook
> distinguishing characteristics. The average Usenet kook will
> possess at least several of these traits. &#65533;ber Kooks will possess
> most or all of them.
>
> a. Kooks make lists of their enemies. Anyone who maintains a list
> of enemies is almost certainly a kook. Anyone who appears on one
> or more kook enemy lists probably isn't a kook.
>
> b. Kooks are control freaks. Kooks try to moderate the NG and try
> to force people to only talk about certain subjects and/or
> conduct themselves only in what the kooky wannabe moderator sees
> as the correct manner. Kooks will even complain to the ISPs of
> other NG posters whose views they don't agree with in an attempt
> to have them removed from Usenet.
>
> c. Kooks are paranoid. Most kooks are big believers in
> conspiracies large and small. They seem to think everyone is out

> to harm them or at least silence them (because they alone know
> the truth, (see d). From the mighty New World Order, to various
> three letter agencies of the Federal Government to anyone on the
> NG who disagrees with them, kooks see enemies everywhere and make
> lists of them (see a).
>
> d. Kooks are always right. They have had the absolute "TRUTH"
> bestowed upon them by god or the aliens or by the voices in their
> heads or whatever. They are utterly convinced that they, and only
> they, know the "TRUTH." No matter how many times their beliefs
> are proven wrong, they continue to cling to them like grim death
> and will never, EVER admit to being wrong about ANYTHING.
>
> e. KOOKS LIKE TO SHOUT IN ALL CAPS. THEY SEE THEIR MESSAGES AS
> BEING SO IMPORTANT THAT THEY NEED TO SHOUT THEM OUT. THEY WANT TO
> MAKE SURE *EVERYONE* NOTICES WHAT THEY HAVE TO SAY.
>
> f. Kooks are obsessed. They are obsessed with certain subjects
> and certain other users of the NG, even to the point of stalking
> them. But above all, they are obsessed with spreading their
> message and keeping it in discussion at all times on their
> favorite NGs. Kooks rarely let anyone else get in the last word
> in any discussion that touches even remotely on one of their
> obsessions.
>
> g. Kooks will create sock puppets and use them to attack their
> enemies and/or post positive responses to the kook's own posts
> (since no one else will).
>
> h. Kooks are incapable of intellectual debate. Kooks believe they
> are in possession of the absolute TRUTH on a given subject or
> subjects and they are here to share that truth, not debate it.
> Since kooks are always right and will never ever admit to being
> wrong (see d), they refuse to debate their beliefs and tolerate
> no skepticism toward them. To a kook, anyone who disagrees with
> them MUST be either ignorant, insane or a paid disinformation
> agent out to discredit them (see c).
>
> i. Kooks don't have a sense of humor, especially in regards to
> the subjects they are obsessed with. They are always deadly
> serious about their pet issues and often berate other NG users
> for not taking them seriously enough. Kooks are perpetual
> straight men. Their lack of humor is ironically one of the kook's
> most amusing characteristics.
>
> j. Kooks lie. Kooks lie about their backgrounds, their
> qualifications, their achievements, their level of education,
> their experiences, etc., etc. Kooks see their message as being so
> important that they are willing to do whatever it takes

> (especially lying) to get that message out and make it look like
> it came from a credible source, rather than from a mere kooky
> nobody who can be safely ignored. Kooks however aren't generally
> smart enough to lie well or be consistent in their lying, so they
> are easily caught and their lies are often exposed. However, even
> when presented with absolute proof of lying, a true kook will
> never admit to it (see 4) and usually either ignore the evidence
> or claim it was manufactured by some "conspiracy" that is out to
> discredit them (see c).
>
> k. Kooks tend to be hypocrites. For example, they will rage
> against others for posting off topic material but still do it
> themselves regularly, and they take great offense when someone
> else points out that they are off topic. When this is pointed
> out, they will go to great lengths to try to justify *their* off
> topic posts as being so important that topical considerations are
> irrelevant. Another example of kook hypocrisy is name calling. A
> kook will rage against others for name calling but be completely
> oblivious to their own continual name calling and ad hominem
> attacks on others. Kooks tend to live by the motto of "Do as I
> say, not as I do."
>
> l. Kooks don't play well with others. Since kooks are paranoid,
> always think they are right on every issue, tolerate no
> skepticism or differing views and always want to be the one in
> control, kooks just don't get along with other people, not even
> other kooks. Every kook is an island unto itself of strange
> beliefs and weird psychoses. Kooks are very lonely people, and it
> shows.
>
> © Copyright 2001 Michael Davis Publishing
> All rights reserved.
>
>
>
>

5603. Re: N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 08:40:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114677608.397787.99820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107119

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > I know enough to know enough to know that lying mouths left to
> > their own devices soon become the ONLY voices. That's not
> acceptable.
> > That path has been taken before in history with disasterous
results.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Probably why your lying mouth is the most prolific voice on RRAP.

Prolific. Yes.

Lying. No.

Try again.

Steve, K4YZ

5604. Re: Robeson Out-Manufactures Chrysler Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 08:42:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114677770.272796.191740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > Now you slide out of acknowledging that information
with
> > > this
> > > > > > silly spin.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It REALLY sucks to be you, Brain...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But nice try...
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steve, using your words, your rules, and your logic, you
failed
> > the
> > > > > > test. The test that you wrote!
> > > > > >
> > > > > You are simply not to be trusted.
> > > > >
> > > > What rules, Brian?
> > > >
> > > Your words, your rules, your logic. You've demanded
documentation
> > from
> > > > others. If documentation isn't provided, then the event never
> > happened
> > > > and that person is forever known as a liar. Your words, your
> rules,
> > > > your logic.
> > > >
> > > Now documentation is being demanded from you. MARS
documentation.
> > > > Scan it. Post it.
> > > >
> > > Or you fail and will forever be known as a liar (you've got a
good
> > > > headstart on that, though).
> > >
> > Sorry, Brain. Still no go on your re-direct.
>

> You've made more dodges than the Chrysler Corporation!
>
> And you've claimed that you were appointed to a MARS position on
> Okinawa. That seems impossible to me because you know little to
> nothing about MARS. Now back up your assertion with real
> documentation.
>
> Post your letter of appointment.

Post the information I ahve been asking for you for YEARS, Brain.

And feel free to inquire of CG, 1st MAW, as to my duty assignment
on my 1981 tour on Oki...No matter what I post here you'd simply "diss"
as "doctored".

Steve, K4YZ

5605. Re: Robeson's Fixation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 09:00:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114678820.794600.107960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107121

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > You can stop both at any time. I encourage you to do so.
> > >
> > Stop yourself, Brain. You're the one who is always "fixated"
on
> > "homosexual" themes
>
> I do not make such comments. You do, and it's all archived.
>
> I ask you to stop. Will you?

YOU are the one who mentions homosexuality, Brain. If you infer
homosexuality becasue I suggest that your political intamacy with two
others here has a familial overtone, then that's YOUR interpretation...

> > > Nurse, heal thyself.
> > >
> > Of what...???
>
> Whatever ails you.

I feel fine.

> > You're the one making suggestions that I am diverting
narcotics
> > for personal consumption, Brain.
>
> No, I didn't.

Sure you did.

> But I wouldn't want you around "sharps" either.

I am around them all the time. Been doing direct patient care for
over 20 years and never once injured my self, a patient or a colleague
with a medical device of any kind.

> I am offering to let you watch them
> > go step by step through a drug screen test. That is, in a sense, a
> > "lie detector"...
>
> Post authentic documentation of your claims.

Post yours. The ones you've been making and have been challenged
on for Y E A R S....

> > > Wouldn't it be easier to post the required MARS documentation?
The
> > > required "7 hostile actions" documentation?
> >
> > You were gien more than enough information, Brain. If I had
20,
> > sometimes 30 year old documents to show you, I would, just becasue
I
> > know how humiliating it would be to you.
>
> Then do so. We are waiting.

And you will continue to wait. However you HAVE the information in
hand to make an independant verification of my claims.

Do so or admit cowardice.

Do you need the addresses for Navy and AF MARS? CG 1st MAW?

> > > Guess not because there is no documentation, it didn't happen.
> >
> > Did happen.
>
> But you've made the claim numerous times (of other people) that if
> authentic documentation is not produced, then the action never
> happened, and the person is forever a liar.

I've asked for information that verifies claims, Brain.

Like the name of the OIC who allegedly gave you permission to
operate in Somalia.

And where those Techs went...

And what substantiates your "ARES is overblown" statement in the
face of federal money being spent on them and "responses" being made
every day.

And what response plans include Part 15/95 devices as "major"
players.

> Is this Robeson Rule no longer valid? If not, why?

There was never a "rule", Brain...And if there was, you never
played by it anyway. You continue to make assinine assertions
unsubstantiated by any fact.

> You have the information in hand. Why do you insist
> > that you don't? Everyone here can see you lying, Brain. Why
> > perpetuate yet ANOTHER mistruth?
> >
> > > > And I am sure you find the idea that anyone might want to
> > > > communicate with your other family members "creepy"....
> > >
> > > All kinds of people communicate with my family. You are not one

of
> > > them.
> > >
> > > I find you creepy. Stay away from my family.
> >
> > Your family will come to me, Brain...By way of reading what
has
> > transpired in these exchanges. I don't ahve to go within 100,000
> miles
> > of them...It's YOUR words and YOUR actions that they will see.
>
> I don't expect you to stay 100,000 miles away from my family.

Good. Because I am only about 550 miles away. And even if I
COULD be 100K miles away, it will STILL be your words and your actions
that they see...

> > I don't. She looks over my shoulder from time to time and her
> > exact words are "Which one of those idiots are you arguing with
> > now...?!?!"
>
> Hehehe. Same here.

I have no doubt she see you tying, Brain. I have SERIOUS doubts
that she's read any of it.

> > > > No doubt your kids will find out soon enough, eh...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > > Dialing....
> > > >
> > > > For help, I hope.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Stay away!
> >
> > I keep telling you over and over, Brain...I DON'T HAVE TO GET
> NEAR
> > YOU! It's >>>YOUR<<< words and actions that your friends, family
and
> > loved ones will see. Probably from YOUR computer in YOUR
> > house...eventually...
>
> Fair enough.
>
> > And then they will get to know the same Brain that we have come
> to
> > know and...Well..Make fun of...
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>

> I hope you've learned to laugh at yourself these past few weeks
because
> the rest of us sure have.

Always, but not for the reasons you think, I am sure...

Steve, K4YZ

5606. Re: Count the lies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 09:26:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114680382.098313.246200@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107122

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Why? I am not about to change my name to "Leonard H.
Anderson".
>
> But that's what I see you doing most, calling people "Lying Putz!"

Actually, I pretty much leave that to Lennie, although you've
earned it yourself on a couple of occassions.

> > On the other hand, we have you and Lennie and Y E A R S of
> > stories not only laid bare, but aggressively defended as "right"
even
> > when PROVEN wrong. THAT is a lie.
>
> I see it differently.

I am sure you do...I am sure you'd like to see ANYTHING that
diverts attention away from your numerous errors in this forum over the
YEARS, Brain.

I made a mistake on "Q" signals in "military" communications.
You've latched on to it although I have already admitted my error.

The problem is you are trying to hide your ample errors behind a
sappling, and it isn't working.

> > And so far, you've not proven that intent.
>
> You claimed to have held a MARS position of authority on Okinawa, but
> you demonstrate a huge lack of knowledge of MARS communications.
>
> So what am I to believe?
>
> Papers. Post your papers. Don't have 'em? Didn't happen. Your
> words, your rules, your logic.
>
> Are you ready to abandon your core values because they are
inconvenient
> for you?

No "core values" abandoned.

I've asked you for details of assertions you've made for
years...ie: just some name of the alleged OIC who gave you "permission"
to operate in Somalia, or some reference that validated your

"unlicensed devices" claim.

You've never offered an iota of verification of ANY form.

And I've never said "Let me see your papers"...That's Lennie's
schtick...

> > > It's pathetic that you can't live up to your own expectations of
> > > others. You're not a straw boss anymore, just a strawman.
> >
> > Nope. Sorry, Brain, but no matter how hard you try to shake
> this,
> > you've not proven a thing. No matter how loud yuo yell or how hard
> you
> > try to re-direct, you're still a looooooong way behind being
truthful.
>
> No redirection. I've merely asked you to post documentation of your
> claims to having held a position of authority in MARS communications
on
> Okinawa. That wouldn't be necessary if you had shown even the
> slightest knowledge of MARS communications...

My error via "Q" signals does not negate teh rest of my
expereicnes in MARS, Brain.

You have the information.

Either act on it or admit you're a coward.

> Correction: Sucks to be Steven J Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS(hi!)

Still wrong, Brain. And still 66.6% wrong on callsigns, Amigo!

Not a very good record, now is it?

Steve, K4YZ

5607. RRAP suggestion: Let's all go back to 14.313 again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 13:35:05 UTC
From: dancin chicken <billyrimjobber@arrl.com>
Message-ID: <70a96$4270e69d$d1cc4eef$18724@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 107123

I been reading this amusing sandbox war
going on in rrap for a few weeks on and off now.
It's a safe be that everyone knows the protagonists
the prevaricators and the prickly pears by now.

While it is humorous to see a group of grown (??)
middle-aged, bespectacled, bulbous-beer-bellied
males with ham radio licenses acting like 8 year
olds in a sandbox...it does lack the spontaneity and
the humorous aspect of the great Radio Wars of
days gone by. Y'all know what I mean...

Tell me who in here at rrap could forget the nightly antics
of "The Mike and Judy Show", the ballads sung by
"The Red Panty Man", the endless hours of creative jamming
coming out of Western NC (...and the 'baby crying solution'
with the 'vox thingie' from down the street!) ;)

Remember the BARF Cannonball Song? ("now listen to the
jingle, the jangle and the roar...as-he psychobabbles all day...")
How about helping 'Bathroom Man' clean up the school
restrooms? Remember him? our friend from Phoenix?
And of course, the great one himself KV4FZ who made it
all possible. (Herbie on 313 was truly ham radio's answer
to TV preacher Robert Tilton)

Ahhhh....! Warm memories of days gone by, of a time when
real RADIO FUN ruled the airwaves.

So the question asks: "Why not take this ongoing sandbox war
in rrap (..and rrs) back to 14.313 where it belongs?" Don't you
guys reading this know that there are *hundreds* of ham OP's
out there who long for the humor of the old 14.313? You have
a FANTASTIC opportunity here to revitalize 14313 on the
weekends for the masses to once again to tune into and have a
couple hours of laughs LIVE and ON THE AIR.

Not pecking at a dammed keyboard, but LIVE COMEDY
comming out of the HF radio speakers like it was meant to be!

Think about it guys...your public is waiting and if you build
it they WILL come. (well, something like that anyway)

"cluck-cluck-clucl-buckety-buckety-buck
cock-a DOODLE-doooooo!!!!!"

5608. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 13:52:52 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <FPZCL2HJ38470.411712963@anonymous>
Source record: 107124

In article <zIWdnbMudYj1_O3fRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey AKA Gophger Face Tunder <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Anonymous the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
>
> >
> > Poor Gopher face, he always acknowledges he is too stupid to
> > pass the Extra exam. Of course, if he was able to do
something
> > in life, he'd pass it because they used the same questions
from
> > the old Advanced question pool on it. Roger took the retest,
and
> > still upgraded to Extra, while you still havfe an obsolete
> > license. I bet you couldn't pass it if YOU had to retake your
> > tests...
>
> What is "havfe"?

Looks like a typo. We noticed you dodged the issue, again,
though!
And, nice admission that you couldn't pass a retest if it was
administered to you today.

>The Advanced isn't obsolete, it is still a valid
> license and it will be until the FCC says differently.

Then why don't they issue NEW ones? It's obsolete, regardless if
they let underachievers like you hold on to them and all the
excuses in the world won't cover up the fact you are too lazy
and stupid to get the Extra. Even when they incorporate some of
the Advanced questions from the Advanced question pool on the
exam.

And, Roger still passed his retest and upgraded, while you
desperately cling to an obsolete license!
Sort of like how you clinbg to your obsolete moral code.

> Wrong again, two-test.

http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html

"The rules for earning an Amateur Radio license vary depending
on which country you live in. In the US, there are three license
levels, or "license classes" (Technician class, General class
and Extra Class). These licenses are granted by the Federal

Communications Commission (FCC)."

Wrong as usual, Gopher face.

From the FCC website
http://wireless.fcc.g
ov/services/amateur/about/operatorclass.html:
Grandfathered Operator Classes

No new Novice, Technician Plus, or Advanced Class amateur service operator licenses will be issued. These licenses, however, may be modified or renewed. Technician Plus class operator licenses will be converted to Technician Class licenses if renewed. Technician Plus class operator licenses will also be converted to Technician Class when modified to show a vanity call sign.

Novice

The Novice Class operator license is for persons who have passed the 5 wpm telegraphy examination, but only the first part of the written examination formerly required for the Technician Class. Privileges include four bands in the HF range, one band in the VHF range (30-300 MHz), and one band in the UHF range (300-3,000 MHz).

Technician Plus

The Technician Plus Class operator license was issued to Technician Class licensees, who, in addition to passing a written examination, also had passed at least a 5wpm telegraphy examination. A Technician Plus Class licensee is authorized the privileges of a Technician Class licensees plus the privileges of a Novice Class licensee.

Advanced

The privileges of an Advanced Class operator license include 275 kHz of additional spectrum in the HF bands.

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5609. Re: Just what is a kook anyway? (kook'ology 101)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 15:08:03 UTC
From: Al Patrick <arp@_NOSPAM_inet4u.com>
Message-ID: <1171v2em80cql5e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 107125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Some baby ducks are also called KOOKS! :-)

==========

Hal Rosser wrote:

> Do kooks make lists of how to spot other kooks ?
>
> "Wm B" <wmbunlv7@nosp.unlv.edu> wrote in message
> news:e021$4270575b$d1cc7b75$12018@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
>>From
>>
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/soc.men/msg/205a740332fcc849?oe=UTF-8&output=gplain
>
>>Just a refresher course for all of you!
>>Seemed like a timely time for it.
>>
>>ps: I also not that many of the ham radio op's I know
>>seem to fall into one or more of the below categories! (grin)
>>
>>Enjoy!
>>
>>---- cut ----
>>
>>http://www.kook-watch.net/mdaviï¿½is/kooks/kooks.html
>>
>>What is a kook?
>>
>>First and foremost, kooks believe -really weird- stuff. But
>>simply holding weird beliefs isn't enough to make someone a kook.
>>It's the tactics they use to spread their beliefs that separates
>>kooks from the merely eccentric. Below is a list of common kook
>>distinguishing characteristics. The average Usenet kook will
>>possess at least several of these traits. ï¿½ber Kooks will possess
>>most or all of them.
>>
>>a. Kooks make lists of their enemies. Anyone who maintains a list
>>of enemies is almost certainly a kook. Anyone who appears on one
>>or more kook enemy lists probably isn't a kook.
>>
>>b. Kooks are control freaks. Kooks try to moderate the NG and try
>>to force people to only talk about certain subjects and/or

>>conduct themselves only in what the kooky wannabe moderator sees
>>as the correct manner. Kooks will even complain to the ISPs of
>>other NG posters whose views they don't agree with in an attempt
>>to have them removed from Usenet.
>>
>>c. Kooks are paranoid. Most kooks are big believers in
>>conspiracies large and small. They seem to think everyone is out
>>to harm them or at least silence them (because they alone know
>>the truth, (see d). From the mighty New World Order, to various
>>three letter agencies of the Federal Government to anyone on the
>>NG who disagrees with them, kooks see enemies everywhere and make
>>lists of them (see a).
>>
>>d. Kooks are always right. They have had the absolute "TRUTH"
>>bestowed upon them by god or the aliens or by the voices in their
>>heads or whatever. They are utterly convinced that they, and only
>>they, know the "TRUTH." No matter how many times their beliefs
>>are proven wrong, they continue to cling to them like grim death
>>and will never, EVER admit to being wrong about ANYTHING.
>>
>>e. KOOKS LIKE TO SHOUT IN ALL CAPS. THEY SEE THEIR MESSAGES AS
>>BEING SO IMPORTANT THAT THEY NEED TO SHOUT THEM OUT. THEY WANT TO
>>MAKE SURE *EVERYONE* NOTICES WHAT THEY HAVE TO SAY.
>>
>>f. Kooks are obsessed. They are obsessed with certain subjects
>>and certain other users of the NG, even to the point of stalking
>>them. But above all, they are obsessed with spreading their
>>message and keeping it in discussion at all times on their
>>favorite NGs. Kooks rarely let anyone else get in the last word
>>in any discussion that touches even remotely on one of their
>>obsessions.
>>
>>g. Kooks will create sock puppets and use them to attack their
>>enemies and/or post positive responses to the kook's own posts
>>(since no one else will).
>>
>>h. Kooks are incapable of intellectual debate. Kooks believe they
>>are in possession of the absolute TRUTH on a given subject or
>>subjects and they are here to share that truth, not debate it.
>>Since kooks are always right and will never ever admit to being
>>wrong (see d), they refuse to debate their beliefs and tolerate
>>no skepticism toward them. To a kook, anyone who disagrees with
>>them MUST be either ignorant, insane or a paid disinformation
>>agent out to discredit them (see c).
>>
>>i. Kooks don't have a sense of humor, especially in regards to
>>the subjects they are obsessed with. They are always deadly
>>serious about their pet issues and often berate other NG users
>>for not taking them seriously enough. Kooks are perpetual
>>straight men. Their lack of humor is ironically one of the kook's

>>most amusing characteristics.
>>
>>j. Kooks lie. Kooks lie about their backgrounds, their
>>qualifications, their achievements, their level of education,
>>their experiences, etc., etc. Kooks see their message as being so
>>important that they are willing to do whatever it takes
>>(especially lying) to get that message out and make it look like
>>it came from a credible source, rather than from a mere kooky
>>nobody who can be safely ignored. Kooks however aren't generally
>>smart enough to lie well or be consistent in their lying, so they
>>are easily caught and their lies are often exposed. However, even
>>when presented with absolute proof of lying, a true kook will
>>never admit to it (see 4) and usually either ignore the evidence
>>or claim it was manufactured by some "conspiracy" that is out to
>>discredit them (see c).
>>
>>k. Kooks tend to be hypocrites. For example, they will rage
>>against others for posting off topic material but still do it
>>themselves regularly, and they take great offense when someone
>>else points out that they are off topic. When this is pointed
>>out, they will go to great lengths to try to justify *their* off
>>topic posts as being so important that topical considerations are
>>irrelevant. Another example of kook hypocrisy is name calling. A
>>kook will rage against others for name calling but be completely
>>oblivious to their own continual name calling and ad hominem
>>attacks on others. Kooks tend to live by the motto of "Do as I
>>say, not as I do."
>>
>>l. Kooks don't play well with others. Since kooks are paranoid,
>>always think they are right on every issue, tolerate no
>>skepticism or differing views and always want to be the one in
>>control, kooks just don't get along with other people, not even
>>other kooks. Every kook is an island unto itself of strange
>>beliefs and weird psychoses. Kooks are very lonely people, and it
>>shows.
>>
>>ï¿½ Copyright 2001 Michael Davis Publishing
>>All rights reserved.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

5610. Grundig Satellit 800 Forsale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 15:24:37 UTC
From: gopeder99@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1114701877.263455.198310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107126

this thing is in excellent condition, clean, and works well

comes with whip antenna and power supply

http://img.photobucket.com/albums/v178/gopeder99/grun.jpg

5611. Re: Morse gone by summer???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 16:25:39 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1114705539.675783.57310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107127

Kim wrote:
> BUT, Bert, you are among those who do recognize that--for some--other
than
> the public service role of amateur radio, the only reward they gain
is just
> a real simple, real down-home QSO via phone. That's the pleasure of
amateur
> radio; the many various ways in which people enjoy it.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:liF8e.2578$ZQ1.2081@fe11.lga...
> > "Barry OGrady" <not@this.add> wrote in message
> > news:nmr561h43fms0dchkdf0tjgdnc1qabt1qo@4ax.com...
> > > Morse gone by the beginning of last year.
> > >
> > > Barry
> >
> > Sad that many folks will likely never give themselves the
opportunity to
> bag
> > some of that rare DX that seems to only show up on the bottom of
the
> bands.
> >
> > Just bagged HZ1EX on 7013 kHz. 99.999% CW op and luvin' it.
> >
> > --
> > Vy 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
> > FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> > QRP ARCI #11782
> >
> >

Hi Kim,

I'm not sure how I missed this. I guess it's because I rarely check USENET anymore, I'm mostly OTA in my spare time. (Which, sadly, isn't much.)

I do agree that there are a multitude of ways folks can enjoy AR. I also believe that the code "impediment" was removed in 1991 (?)

when the No-code Technician class license was created, thus negating the infamous "barrier" argument. Some have said that this is insufficient without HF privies. From a relative newbie's perspective, this is kinda shameless. (I really don't mean to sound inflammatory, but...)

I suppose I'm "old school" but I think privileges are just that, privileges and not rights. I consider it a privilege to be able to access part of the HF spectrum where calls like 3D2NA (Fiji Isles) or 3Z1IARU (Sp. IARU commemorative station) literally "emerge from the ethers," work 'em and subsequently receive their QSL card. Is that for everybody, I suppose not. Many of these calls are not so prevalent on phone though.

I also believe it was a very good thing that the No-code Tech ticket opened AR to many who weren't quite ready to commit themselves to learning the Morse code. However, to ask that all three license classes be made "No-code" is somewhat akin to being given a nice juicy hamburger and then demanding that it be steak, hence kinda shameless.

My original statement stands. There are lots of folks that could be enjoying some serious DX who don't even know how much they'd enjoy it if they would simply focus their energies on rote memorizing 43 sounds rather than complaining about how unfair the code test requirement is.

Anyway, I don't believe it was ever really about the code test. Drop Element 1 completely AND do away with the published Q&A pools, THEN brace for some serious bellyaching. I think the FCC will recognize that too many present licensees support the retention of Element 1 to drop it completely. Look for it to remain for the Extra class ticket.

My $0.02. :-)

Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

5612. FISTS I got them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 16:38:37 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <ea4271pmjm70l60jnnrl5s926cjmupus65@4ax.com>
Source record: 107128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

http://tperkins.com/anal/images_anal/fisting/fvag_2hands.jpg

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

5613. Re: RRAP suggestion: Let's all go back to 14.313 again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 17:01:51 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <3G8ce.670$HL2.256@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107129

"dancin chicken" <billyrimjobber@arrl.com> wrote in message
news:70a96$4270e69d$d1cc4eef$18724@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...

:
: So the question asks: "Why not take this ongoing sandbox war
: in rrap (..and rrs) back to 14.313 where it belongs?"
:

Only 3 or 4 of the rrap inbreeds have licenses eligible to operate on .313
and even those few probably couldn't muster up enough field strength to be
heard beyond the city limits.

RRAP is natures way of keeping these (c)rrap-flingers off of the ham-bands.

73,

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
-Voltaire

5614. Re: FISTS I got them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 19:30:11 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050428193011.38B62170CE@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 107130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <ea4271pmjm70l60jnnrl5s926cjmupus65@4ax.com>
Lloyd Davies <A...@arrl.net> wrote:
>
> http://tper {poor Lloydie}

Poor Davies, his drunk daddy used to let his sister Amanda fist
him!

5615. Re: ab8mq nut case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 19:54:09 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <e252aa52308a3376a744ee98a2f2d2b2@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 107131

In article <TESK5TYA38470.5976157407@anonymous.poster>
Lardass Lloyd Davies aka Secret Luigi (Italy Anonymous Remailer)
wrote:
>
> restested twice <zzzzzzzzzzz!!!>

And passed both times, while you can't get past the training
wheel no code tech. BWHAHAHAHA!
Poor eternal fuck up Davies.

5616. Re: RRAP suggestion: Let's all go back to 14.313 again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 19:58:51 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <5bedb6a5e0853124a46cc23bdc41278d@userbeam.net>
Source record: 107132

In article
<70a96$4270e69d$d1cc4eef$18724@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
"dancin chicken" Secret Lardass! <billyrimjob...@arrl.com> wrote:
>
> I been reading this amusing sandbox war
> going on in rrap for

But Davies, N0VFP, you already get on there illegally!

5617. Re: Simple Free Logging Program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 20:37:13 UTC
From: Anonymous-Remailer@See.Comment.Header
Message-ID: <65BUOX1T38470.6925115741@anonymous>
Source record: 107133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <30pv611lmvu460ktc7kp3f62cbia9kpr0b@4ax.com>
Lardass Davies POSING AS Roger Wiseman <A...@arrl.net> wrote:

> I've used that program and the only (Davies regularly pisses
himself, say his Papa John's co-workers)

Lardass, you can't afford that program. You have those
cheapassed Radio Shack radios. And, you can never pay your bills
or rent on time.

The "Roger Wiseman" post was posted by:

Lloyd Davies
710 SOUTH CLINTON STREET #202
ATHENS, ALABAMA 35611
1-256-233-4396
1-256-233-5130
1-256-232-3000
lloyd_vfp@msn.com
n0vfp@bellsouth.net

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5618. Re: Enigma Message Special Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 21:52:50 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ifo742r.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 107134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 23:41:04 +0000 (UTC), Geoffrey S. Mendelson wrote:

>Jews out there can not participate (for example, me) because
>it's during the Sabbath. Seems like WWII got rid of the NAZI's but
>Brittish antisematism still lives on as strong as ever.

Some Jewish hams will not operate the radio on the Sabbath or other
holy days. Others will. It's up to the individual to make the
determination of what one will or will not do on those days. We
don't have a Pope or equivalent to make that determination for us.

I am an educated and practicing Jew (Conservative/Masorti Movement)
and I have no problem working ham radio during those hours where I
am not conducting or attending services in the synagogue or doing
other family-oriented things. It is, after all, the rest and
relaxation which is the object of the Sabbath. It's not "business".
Others may feel differently and that's OK for them as long as they
do not try to impose their interpretation on me.

As far as the British --- no argument from me !!

--
73 de K2ASP / 4X4UQ (inactive) - Phil Kane

5619. Re: Enigma Message Special Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 22:04:04 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <U_qdnbuR9oFHwOzfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 107135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ifo742r.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 23:41:04 +0000 (UTC), Geoffrey S. Mendelson wrote:
>
>>Jews out there can not participate (for example, me) because
>>it's during the Sabbath. Seems like WWII got rid of the NAZI's but
>>Brittish antisematism still lives on as strong as ever.
>
> Some Jewish hams will not operate the radio on the Sabbath or other
> holy days. Others will. It's up to the individual to make the
> determination of what one will or will not do on those days. We
> don't have a Pope or equivalent to make that determination for us.
>
> I am an educated and practicing Jew (Conservative/Masorti Movement)
> and I have no problem working ham radio during those hours where I
> am not conducting or attending services in the synagogue or doing
> other family-oriented things. It is, after all, the rest and
> relaxation which is the object of the Sabbath. It's not "business".
> Others may feel differently and that's OK for them as long as they
> do not try to impose their interpretation on me.
>
> As far as the British --- no argument from me !!
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP / 4X4UQ (inactive) - Phil Kane
>
>

Also keep in mind that if a sponsor tries to avoid all the holy days of all
the faiths they might have to forego the event completely.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

5620. Re: Enigma Message Special Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-28 22:15:54 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <ugdce.846$HL2.343@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Geoffrey S. Mendelson" <gsm@mendelson.com> wrote in message
news:slrnd708m4.nnq.gsm@cable.mendelson.com...
: In article <1114542970.529293.75070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
: kelly@dvol.com wrote:
:
: Jews out there can not participate (for example, me) because
: it's during the Sabbath. Seems like WWII got rid of the NAZI's but
: Brittish antisematism still lives on as strong as ever.
:
: Geoff.
:

Yes, and we British (learn to spell if you wish to insult us) got rid of the Nazi's for you.

It's just your turn to be persecuted. Get over it. Regardless which day of the week is chosen, some cult will chose that as their High Holy Day and they will be unable to attend.

Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte sends regards

5621. Re: Enigma Message Special Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 01:44:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <LYWdnTc1d8gQDOzfRVn-hw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 107137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Gri¿½mwi¿½tch thi¿½ i¿½nfli¿½ppi¿½bli¿½ wrote:

> "Geoffrey S. Mendelson" <gsm@mendelson.com> wrote in message
> news:slrnd708m4.nnq.gsm@cable.mendelson.com...
> : In article <1114542970.529293.75070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> : kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> :
> : Jews out there can not participate (for example, me) because
> : it's during the Sabbath. Seems like WWII got rid of the NAZI's but
> : Brittish antisematism still lives on as strong as ever.
> :
> : Geoff.
> :
>
> Yes, and we British (learn to spell if you wish to insult us) got rid of the
> Nazi's for you.

I read the news today oh boy....

The British army had just won the war......

- Mike KB3EIA -

5622. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 01:51:33 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <49qdnVlbNbYALezfRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107144

Anonymous wrote:
> In article <zIWdnbMudYj1_O3fRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey AKA Gophger Face Tunder <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>Anonymous the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Poor Gopher face, he always acknowledges he is too stupid to
>>>pass the Extra exam. Of course, if he was able to do
>
> something
>
>>>in life, he'd pass it because they used the same questions
>
> from
>
>>>the old Advanced question pool on it. Roger took the retest,
>
> and
>
>>>still upgraded to Extra, while you still havfe an obsolete
>>>license. I bet you couldn't pass it if YOU had to retake your
>>>tests...
>>
>>What is "havfe"?
>
>
> Looks like a typo. We noticed you dodged the issue, again,
> though!
> And, nice admission that you couldn't pass a retest if it was
> administered to you today.

Poor two-test wogie, spanked really good, twice by Riley at the FCC and
had to retest twice to keep his license because he violated the rules.
Poor two-test wogie.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

5623. Re: ab8mq nut case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 01:54:23 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <49qdnVhbNbbULOzfRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107145

Tapioca Pudding wrote:
> In article <TESK5TYA38470.5976157407@anonymous.poster>
> Lardass Lloyd Davies aka Secret Luigi (Italy Anonymous Remailer)
> wrote:
>
>>restested twice <zzzzzzzzzzz!!!>
>
>
> And passed both times, while you can't get past the training
> wheel no code tech. BWHAHAHAHA!
> Poor eternal fuck up Davies.
>
Poor dumg wogie wouldn't have had to retest at all if he had only obeyed
the rules. But Riley spanked him twice, really good.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!11

5624. Re: N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 02:12:30 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114740750.086424.272540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107138

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > I know enough to know enough to know that lying mouths left
to
> > > their own devices soon become the ONLY voices. That's not
> > acceptable.
> > > That path has been taken before in history with disasterous
> results.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Probably why your lying mouth is the most prolific voice on RRAP.
>
> Prolific. Yes.

Without PEER!

> Lying. No.

Prone to "mistakes" which you see as permission to call names and
accuse others of lying. You're a work of art.

5625. Re: RRAP suggestion: Let's all go back to 14.313 again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 02:15:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114740908.510473.13840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107139

dancin chicken wrote:
> I been reading this amusing sandbox war
> going on in rrap for a few weeks on and off now.
> It's a safe be that everyone knows the protagonists
> the prevaricators and the prickly pears by now.

Sayonara.

5626. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Show a Longterm Lying Trend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 02:23:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114741408.648192.313090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107140

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1114408782.626138.280710@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> > Yep...In "MILITARY" communications...Not specifically United
> > States "MILITARY"...
> >
> > The document you cited, for the enlightenment of others reading,
> > is a multi-nation Allied document...
>
> Nice bit of Andersonian-like misdirection to try to cover your
ignorance
> (lie?), but welcome to another lesson in US Military Communications,
a subject
> in which you tried to lecture Len, and now me.

And Steven J. Robeson K4YZ K4CAP K4MARS said that he admits his
mistakes.

Perhaps grudgingly, if at all.

5627. Re: Robeson Out-Manufactures Chrysler Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 02:31:19 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114741879.512055.189790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Now you slide out of acknowledging that information
> with
> > > > this
> > > > > > > silly spin.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > It REALLY sucks to be you, Brain...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > But nice try...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, using your words, your rules, and your logic, you
> failed
> > > the
> > > > > > > test. The test that you wrote!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You are simply not to be trusted.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > What rules, Brian?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Your words, your rules, your logic. You've demanded
> documentation
> > > from
> > > > > others. If documentation isn't provided, then the event never
> > > happened
> > > > > and that person is forever known as a liar. Your words, your
> > rules,
> > > > > your logic.
> > > > >
> > > > Now documentation is being demanded from you. MARS
> documentation.
> > > > Scan it. Post it.
> > > > >
> > > > Or you fail and will forever be known as a liar (you've got a
> good
> > > > headstart on that, though).
> > > >
> > > Sorry, Brain. Still no go on your re-direct.

> >
> > You've made more dodges than the Chrysler Corporation!
> >
> > And you've claimed that you were appointed to a MARS position on
> > Okinawa. That seems impossible to me because you know little to
> > nothing about MARS. Now back up your assertion with real
> > documentation.
> >
> > Post your letter of appointment.
>
> Post the information I ahve been asking for you for YEARS,
Brain.

And because I have not, you've been calling me a liar, a miscreant, a
homosexual, etc., for YEARS.

No Documentation = Never Happened = LIAR!

Now you will not provide authentic documentation for your claims of
MARS leadership.

Your words, your rules, and your logic says that you are a LIAR!

How could it be any other way?

> And feel free to inquire of CG, 1st MAW, as to my duty
assignment
> on my 1981 tour on Oki...

To hell with them, I'm asking you for your documentation. Got Papers?

> No matter what I post here you'd simply "diss"
> as "doctored".

You'll find that I am more of a man than you are. Make the effort,
Silly Gunny.

Now Post your Papers!

5628. Godwin Invoked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 02:55:42 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114743342.898202.317350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107142

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > > > Our moderator and "Seventy-Years-Too-Late To Join The
> Hitler
> > > > > > Youth" wannabe has spoken.
> > > > >
> > > > > Hmmmm? What does Miccolis or Heil say when the "Nazi theme"
> comes
> > > > up?
> > >
> > Conveniently ignored. At least you didn't build another Dodge.
>
> I hate Dodges. I am a Ford man.

So quit Dodging and start afFording us some answers.

You get all bent when anyone else brings up the swagger stick. So why
do you think you can start insulting people with your "Nazi" remarks???

> > > I REALLY "disappoint" since you've not shown "1", let alone
> > "29".
> >
> > No need. You've showed all 29 and more, plus a junkyard full of
beat
> > up dodges.
>
> No lies. No dodges.

You are not to be believed.

> You insist there's lies, yet when I ask you to prove them, you
> just say "they're there"...So there's YOUR "dodge".

Because you lied.

> Hans had to go back three years to find it, but he found an
error
> I made.

An simple error? No!

An error which you thought gave you permission to call another a liar,
a miscreant, a PUTZ!

> I owned up to it, acknowledged I was wrong.

Len acknowledged that you were wrong, too - three years ago when you
were calling him names.

> I sure am interested to see how you score that a "dodge".

You've got a long list of apologies to make. Get started.

> > > > It must really suck to be Steve Robeson/K4YZ/K4CAP/K4MARS.
> > > >
> > > > I'm not K4CAP
> > >
> > > You've pasted K4CAP to your tagline for years. What changed?
> >
> > I'm not K4CAP. And it wasn't on my tag line "for years"...It
> was
> > only 1 year until I got the gumption to change my Google account.
> >
> > So...here we have YOU in an "error"...Let's see how YOU handle
> it.

I'll handle it the same way you do: "You're a liar, a miscreant, and a
Putz!"

> > and I never was K4MARS...Another manifestation of
> > > your overwrought imagination, Brain...???
> > >
> > > Idiot, there is no K4MARS amateur allocation. But I thought it was
> > > cute. Unlike you sucking up the K4CAP callsign for no useful
> > purpose.
> >
> > Ohhhhhh...>>>>>>I<<<<<<<< see....You do stuff like that, and it's
> > "cute"...

Is it a valid amateur call? Does it have the letters "TIT" in it?
Hans is right, you choose to be offended. Typical lib.

> And the "purpose" of me getting the CAP callsign was because I
> thought I'd like it. I didn't. As the saying goes, "I paid my money
> and took my choice..." My choice was to go back to K4YZ.

What's not to like?

> And what's your interest in it, Brain? Other than making a
> meaningless NG argument out of it, that is.....

Another callsign is thrown away.

> > > Why are you lying even more in the face of evidence to the
> > > contrary, Brain???
> > >
> > > Steve, there is no evidence to the contrary. But please continue
> > your
> > > multi-state lying spree.
> >
> > Sure there is.

>
> You've not substantiated a single one of the assertions you've
> made and been called on. ARES...??? No answer. Somalia...??? No
> answer. Part95/15 devices as "major role"...??? No answer. Who
> chased the Techs away...??? No answer.
>
> You are a liar, Brian. It's that simple.

Post your MARS authentic documentation, or become the liar, miscreant and Putz that you declare others to be for not documenting opinions or claims.

Best of Luck, you natural born liar.

5629. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 03:35:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hYhce.1437$GQ5.1059@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107143

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> You had to go back three years to find
> something you could hang on to, but nice job!

Nope, less than 2-1/2 years.

Actually you're guilty of only a modest exaggeration, but if Brian or Len had exaggerated something by a similar ratio you'd have labled them "liars".

I wonder why that is?

de Hans, K0HB

5630. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 09:07:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114765640.582312.204810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107146

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > You had to go back three years to find
> > something you could hang on to, but nice job!
>
> Nope, less than 2-1/2 years.
>
> Actually you're guilty of only a modest exaggeration, but if Brian or
Len had
> exaggerated something by a similar ratio you'd have labled them
"liars".
>
> I wonder why that is?

If Brian or Lennie had such an error pointed out, there'd be a six month long rant on how licensing in Somalia or how Lennie single handedly passsed 1.2 million messages at ADA in 1953.

I just acknowledged the error when it was shown and moved on.

Do you wonder why THAT is...???

Steve, K4YZ

5631. Re: Godwin Invoked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 09:10:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114765804.781123.39100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107147

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> Post your MARS authentic documentation, or become the liar, miscreant
> and Putz that you declare others to be for not documenting opinions
or
> claims.

Sorry, Brain. You were given the particulars...Several times in
over a year.

> Best of Luck, you natural born liar.

No luck needed. The facts remain that you had the data a year ago,
you have it today.

Now...About Somalia, Part 95 and where ARE all the Techs,
Brain...???

Steve, K4YZ

5632. Re: N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 09:12:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114765925.818237.164660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107148

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > I know enough to know enough to know that lying mouths
left
> to
> > > > their own devices soon become the ONLY voices. That's not
> > > acceptable.
> > > > That path has been taken before in history with disasterous
> > results.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Probably why your lying mouth is the most prolific voice on RRAP.
> >
> > Prolific. Yes.
>
> Without PEER!
>
> > Lying. No.
>
> Prone to "mistakes" which you see as permission to call names and
> accuse others of lying. You're a work of art.

As opposed to being the Artful Dodger..??? I can live with that!

Now...About Somalia, Part 95, and where DID all the Techs go...???

Steve, K4YZ

5633. Re: Enigma Message Special Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 11:01:51 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <rphil@rshgctemplebig.org>
Message-ID: <d4t44b$i8q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ifo742r.pminews@news.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 23:41:04 +0000 (UTC), Geoffrey S. Mendelson wrote:
>
>>Jews out there can not participate (for example, me) because
>>it's during the Sabbath. Seems like WWII got rid of the NAZI's but
>>Brittish antisematism still lives on as strong as ever.
>
> Some Jewish hams will not operate the radio on the Sabbath or other
> holy days. Others will. It's up to the individual to make the
> determination of what one will or will not do on those days. We
> don't have a Pope or equivalent to make that determination for us.
>
> I am an educated and practicing Jew (Conservative/Masorti Movement)
> and I have no problem working ham radio during those hours where I
> am not conducting or attending services in the synagogue or doing
> other family-oriented things. It is, after all, the rest and
> relaxation which is the object of the Sabbath. It's not "business".
> Others may feel differently and that's OK for them as long as they
> do not try to impose their interpretation on me.
>
> As far as the British --- no argument from me !!
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP / 4X4UQ (inactive) - Phil Kane
>

We had this very subject come up not too long ago, and it caused such
acrimony that the item finally worked its way up to the ruling General
San Hedrin Council, of which I am the Chief Scribe. Being uneducated
and from a liberal blue state, I decided that ham radio operation was to
be permitted only by uncircumcised Jews on even days of the month and
then only if family-owned business had first been tended to. Now, if they
work for national corporations, then they were to follow the odd day
schedule, which was first promulgated by Jewish members of the ARRL
in 1934. The thrust of my ruling, which rode in on earlier rulings first
made during The Six Day War in 1967 was for most Hasdic Jews to
not feel as though they were under any particular pressure to operate
their ham radios, if the 31st day of the month fell on a Saturday. As for
me, I prefer freeband operation, where they accept my Jewish
persuasion. Anyway, like I told them at temple last Saturday, y'all just
have a good time. That's the whole point. Oh, and I just elmered
Moshe into ham radio and already he has dxcc. These extra lite hams

just don't appreciate the old days.

73,

Rabbi Phil
Chief, Ruling General San Hedrin Council
Lower Chicago, but sometimes upper right Manhattan

5634. Re: Robeson's Fixation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 11:08:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114772908.741950.305030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107150

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > You can stop both at any time. I encourage you to do so.
> > >
> > > Stop yourself, Brain. You're the one who is always
"fixated"
> on
> > > "homosexual" themes
> >
> > I do not make such comments. You do, and it's all archived.
> >
> > I ask you to stop. Will you?
>
> YOU are the one who mentions homosexuality, Brain. If you infer
> homosexuality becasue I suggest that your political intamacy with two
> others here has a familial overtone, then that's YOUR
interpretation...

You are the one who puts forth homosexual ideas and situations:

Two men sleeping together as "bunk buddies."

One man taking another man as his "wife."

Constant references to male genitalia in the yiddish word "putz."

You are the one who mentions homosexuality all too frequently. I
merely point it out and ask why.

> > > > Nurse, heal thyself.
> > >
> > > Of what...???
> >
> > Whatever ails you.
>
> I feel fine.
>
> > > You're the one making suggestions that I am diverting
> narcotics
> > > for personal consumption, Brain.
> >
> > No, I didn't.
>
> Sure you did.

You're a powder keg. I'm afraid that one day you'll find refuge in
that locked cabinet.

> > But I wouldn't want you around "sharps" either.
>
> I am around them all the time. Been doing direct patient care
for
> over 20 years and never once injured my self, a patient or a
colleague
> with a medical device of any kind.

That you're telling us about. You're so pure that you don't even carry
insurance.

> > I am offering to let you watch them
> > > go step by step through a drug screen test. That is, in a sense,
a
> > > "lie detector"...
> >
> > Post authentic documentation of your claims.
>
> Post yours. The ones you've been making and have been
challenged
> on for Y E A R S....

And for years you have called me a liar, a miscreant, and a homosexual
for not doing so.

Now you have been challenged to provide authentic documentation of your
claims and you have not done so. Are you now a liar??? Hi!

> > > > Wouldn't it be easier to post the required MARS documentation?
> The
> > > > > required "7 hostile actions" documentation?
> > >
> > > You were gien more than enough information, Brain. If I had
> 20,
> > > sometimes 30 year old documents to show you, I would, just
becasue
> I
> > > know how humiliating it would be to you.
> >
> > Then do so. We are waiting.
>
> And you will continue to wait. However you HAVE the information
in
> hand to make an independant verification of my claims.
>
> Do so or admit cowardice.
>
> Do you need the addresses for Navy and AF MARS? CG 1st MAW?

Not my yob! Post authentic documentation.

> > > > Guess not because there is no documentation, it didn't happen.
> > >
> > > Did happen.
> >
> > But you've made the claim numerous times (of other people) that if
> > authentic documentation is not produced, then the action never
> > happened, and the person is forever a liar.
>
> I've asked for information that verifies claims, Brain.

I've asked for information that verifies your >>>MARS<<< claims, Steve.

You have none, just heresay.

> > Is this Robeson Rule no longer valid? If not, why?
>
> There was never a "rule", Brain...And if there was, you never
> played by it anyway. You continue to make assinine assertions
> unsubstantiated by any fact.

And you continue to run around RRAP calling people liars and cowards
who don't bend to your will.

> > You have the information in hand. Why do you insist
> > > that you don't? Everyone here can see you lying, Brain. Why
> > > perpetuate yet ANOTHER mistruth?
> > >
> > > > > And I am sure you find the idea that anyone might want
to
> > > > > communicate with your other family members "creepy"....
> > > > >
> > > > > All kinds of people communicate with my family. You are not
one
> of
> > > > > them.
> > > > >
> > > > > I find you creepy. Stay away from my family.
> > > >
> > > > Your family will come to me, Brain...By way of reading what
> has
> > > > transpired in these exchanges. I don't ahve to go within 100,000
> > miles
> > > > of them...It's YOUR words and YOUR actions that they will see.
> > >
> > > I don't expect you to stay 100,000 miles away from my family.
>
> Good. Because I am only about 550 miles away. And even if I
> COULD be 100K miles away, it will STILL be your words and your
actions
> that they see...

Yup. And your words and your actions.

> > > I don't. She looks over my shoulder from time to time and
her
> > > exact words are "Which one of those idiots are you arguing with
> > > now...?!?!"
> >
> > Hehehe. Same here.
>
> I have no doubt she see you tying, Brain. I have SERIOUS doubts
> that she's read any of it.

I just told her that I'm holding you up to your own rules and that
you're wilting like a petunia.

> > > > > No doubt your kids will find out soon enough, eh...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > > Dialing....
> > > > > >
> > > > > > For help, I hope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > Stay away!
> > >
> > > I keep telling you over and over, Brain...I DON'T HAVE TO GET
> > NEAR
> > > YOU! It's >>>YOUR<<< words and actions that your friends, family
> and
> > > loved ones will see. Probably from YOUR computer in YOUR
> > > house...eventually...
> >
> > Fair enough.
> >
> > > And then they will get to know the same Brain that we have
come
> > to
> > > know and...Well..Make fun of...
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I hope you've learned to laugh at yourself these past few weeks
> because
> > the rest of us sure have.
>
> Always, but not for the reasons you think, I am sure...

Any reason is good enough. You're definitely worth a laugh.

Now about authentic documentation of your >>>MARS<<< claims...

Got Papers???

5635. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 13:44:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114782292.081541.244830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107151

George Orwell wrote:
> You think it is over but you are mistake. A ####ing usenet group
that
> has no real concept of freedom of speech rec.radio.amateur.policy
will
> not get away with their bully crap.

What you don't have a concept of, Todd, is that "majority rules",
and the majority here, whether they like ME or not, definately DON'T
like you. Sorry.

> Instead the whold fucking group
> will be canecled from the major use net servers by a hacker friend of
> mine who has already begin. It will take 10 to 15 days but pretty
soon
> there wont be no more posts showing up in here. The hacker has PGP
keys
> to do it and it is being done now.

Uh huh...Yeah...right.

> So goodbye you lousy mother####ing assholes. Just remmember when the
> group is gone and your clik has to post somewheres else that you did
it
> to yourselfs.

And don't forget, Todd, we know what you look like and where you
live.

> K4YZ EAT #### AND DIE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Todd McDaugherty is a coward.

Steve, K4YZ

5636. Re: Brian Couldn't Say It Once, Had To Try Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 14:02:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114783342.830272.153540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107152

bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Well, Brain, since you chose to respond "twice" to the same post
> > (couldn't make up your mind which insult to try...?!?!, I guess
I'll
> > respond to both in one...
>
> Multitasking from a guy with multiple personalities? Should be a
piece
> of cake for you.

What multiple personalities, Brain?

Exercising the priviledge of that Doctor license again?

> > I left the other post intact at the bottom of this one for
> > comparison...What happened...?!?!? Just so impressed with yourself
> you
> > wanted to see more? Or realized that you failed the first time
that
> > you took a second shot...?!?!
>
> Google was hiccupping earlier, so I replied a second time.

Uh huh.

I say you were just too impressed with yourself the first time and
had to go for another spin.

> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > You, Brain, have no business suggesting that YOU are
> giving
> > > > > anyone
> > > > > > a chance to "redeem" themselves.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I have appointed myself. Just as you have appointed yourself
> > > judge,
> > > > > jury and executioner in so many RRAP disputes, most of which
> you
> > > > > started yourself.
> > > >
> > > HArdly, but knock yourself out, brain.

> > >
> > > You're the #1 agitator.
> >
> > And your other "answer":
> >
> > > On rra.CB, you'd be known as an "Agitator."
> >
> > Perhaps. But being an "agitatior" does not mean being a liar.
>
> You're that, too. But I don't want people to call me Capt. Obvious,
or
> turn me in to the SPCA for beating a dead horse.

Why, Brain?

Your stories ARE "obvious" as are your useless attempts to snivvel
out from under your stupid assertions.

As for "dead horses", therin lays one of YOUR "double standards,
Brain...same "catch phrases" over and over again...

> > You ARE known as a liar...On RRCB or RRAP, agitator or not.
>
> Only you think that. Meanwhile, you have been proven to be a liar,
and
> make lying your daily bread.

No, I am NOT the only one who thinks that. But keep telling
yourself that if it makes you warm and snuggly.

> > > > > Get used to it - there's a new Sheriff in town and he is
> watching
> > > > > you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Can't be you, Brain. Yuo don't have the cajones to sign
your
> > > name
> > > > > to your posts. There's not enough testosterone under that
shirt
> to
> > > > > hold up a badge...even a "Jr Dick Tracy Detective" one....
> > >
> > > You underestimate everyone but yourself.
> >
> > And your other reply to the same comment:
> >
> > > I know the answer, I just want to hear your answer. Hi!
> >
> > I have enough testosterone
>
> Enough??? You think? You're like a powder keg. Maybe you ought to
> have estrogen therapy.

Why? I get all the estrogen expsoure I need at work.

> to be able to put my picture up for
> > public display...And know your "flabby" and "obese" comments are
put
> to
> > the lie they are by it.
> >
> > Nice try, though...and the previously aired cheap shots at the
> > organization also duly noted...
>
> You failed to answer the question, so I'll ask it again: Why?
>
> You underestimate everyone but yourself. Why?

I don't underestimate myself. I ahve OVERestimated you on
occassion, but you always snap back and remind us of your true natrue.

> I know the answer, I just want to hear your answer. Hi!

Hi yourself...Or are you announcing your toxicity due to illict
drug consumption...?!?!

> > > I have made an informed decision. Until that time when you post
> > > official documentation, it will remain "alledged."
> >
> > No "alleged" to it, Brain.
>
> Alleged until you post authentic documentation.

You were given the details, Brain.

Far more information than YOU have ever provided us.

> > You've been given dates, times and specifics. Any police
> > detective would be laughing all the way to court with that much
> > "evidence", but you can't seem to connect the dots. Need crayons?
>
> Need authentic letter of appointment. Got one?

Don't need one. Gave you the specifics.

But you don't have the cajones to find out for yourself.

> > I make mistakes, but I also acknowledge
> > them when I do and move on.
>
> Then why cannot you live up to what you DEMAND from others?

I gave you the DETAILS you asked for...then once you saw I was
willing to do that, you changed the parameters...

Sorry, Brain...You ahve the details...Now if there's more you
need, I will post it RIGHT AFTER you answer some of the questions I
ahve put to you for YEARS...

> Were those demands mistakes? If so, do take the time to acknowledge
> it, apologize for it. The day you start acting like a human being
> instead of a complete jack### is the day you'll be treated as a human
> being.

Ahhhhhhhhhhh...Getting into the AngryLennie mode...Out come the
profanities in lieu of any further "rational" discussion. That "civil
discourse" you always make allusion to in others, Brain...?!?!

Uh huh!

> > You do not. THAT is documented. Over and over and over.
>
> You do not, documented again and again, over and over. On the
surface
> it looks like you hold to a double-standard. But when I look deeper,
> it gets much, much worse.

Even if I DID have a "double standard", it would be two
"standards" more than you, Brain...

> > You have the specifics of when I was in MARS, the callsigns,
> where
> > I was when I had those calls
>
> Silly Gunny, I need to see an authentic letter of appointment. Got
> one? (hint: look in that shoebox full of medals.)

Sorry, Brain...

Like I said...RIGHT AFTER I start seeing some of the stuff that I
have been asking you about...Not even "documents"...Just some
verifyable details...

> > and what I was doing.
>
> As far as what you were doing? Obviously not MARS communications or
> you just might know a little something about MARS. Hi!
>
> Now go find that letter of appointment and post it for all to see.

Waiting on you, Brain...Waiting on you.....

Steve, K4YZ

5637. Todd's Really Lsoing It Now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 14:16:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114784167.277712.207600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107153

Ok, as most of you know I'm running an information bulletin on HF, and
it seems that there are some whinny..

"whiney"

little ###hole ham radio operators who are crying here on the internet.

The only oen crying is you, Todd.

They are crying about these bulletins because simply put they don't
have the balls to do anything about it except cry about
it.....booohooo!

No one's crying here, Todd. However if you crank up on HF 24/7,
you'll find out that 99.999% of your critics will be anyone >>BUT<<
RRAP participants.

It seems that I have learned from a few amateur radio operators that
I'm on the FCC Amateur Auxillary list.

Todd...You got your chain jerked and you did the absolutely most
predictable thing....went into knee jerk mode.

Yeah right, a ####ing group that has no real concept of freedom of
speech.

More of us have actually been responsible for GIVING you that
right, Todd...And you just abuse it and make a mockery of it.

Read some good civics books.

"Freedom of Speech" was NEVER intended to allow foul, profane,
mentally deranged persons such as yourself be a public nuisance.

"Freedom of Speech" was intended to (A) ensure the freedom of an
open and unencumbered media, and to allow the rank-and-file citizen to
participate in his/her chosen religion and form of government.

You make a mockery of it.

The reason I'm supposable...

"supposedly"

on this list is because of these whinny amateur operator (and we know
who they are, they can be found on rec.radio.amateur.policy) simply
because they live out it the bum#### outback hillsides and don't have
the mental capacity to read, expecially the FCC rules.

We, including me, read the rules just fine.

YOU don't like the idea that your "target audience" finds you to be illiterate, ill mannered, foul mouthed and bascially an annoying shadow of what an "Amateur" ought to be...

So for those ####ing hillybillies that can't read let me break it down for you.

If they can't read or write, how would they know what it is you're about to say...???

So go gather your wife/sister so she sit by and read it too you, since women from where you are are a hell of lot smarter and she can explain it to you! you ####ing inbred mother####er!!

Always with a sharp wit and silver tongue, eh, Todd...?!?!

So manly...so strong...NOT!

Steve, K4YZ

5638. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 14:17:12 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <340c04f5f4ee47e5ba2cb9f3795e3db8@userbeam.net>
Source record: 107154

In article <49qdnVlbNbYALezfRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey aka Gopher face <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Poor two-tes

Poor Gopher-Face, he can't pass a simple Extra exam, but will
make all kinds of excuses as to why he needs to keep his
obsolete license!

5639. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 14:22:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114784550.055453.231300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107155

So in other words it is up to the control operator to determine what goes through his or hir...

"her"...

radio, not some dumb###es on some newsgroup on the internet! It not my fault if some slimy little weasel want to use a national organization like the ###HOLE RADIO RELAY LEAGUE (ARRL) and the FCC to supress someone speech because he is a die hard communist.

Todd, you claim to be some champion of free speech, yet you are constantly railing agains the ARRL...An organization that has not said ONE word about you or your idiotic shows.

Not a ONE of the people, myeself included, has alleged to speak on behalf of the League.

So why do you keep insisting that the ARRL is somehow trying to suppress your "free speech", when it's so blatantly clear that the organization could care less about Todd McDaugherty...????

Steve, K4YZ

5640. Re: Todd's Really Lsoing It Now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 14:29:25 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <vPGdneNzzrdY2e_fRVn-hQ@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107156

K4YZ wrote:

> Ok, as most of you know I'm running an information bulletin on HF, and
> it seems that there are some whinny..
<snip>
> So go gather your wife/sister so she sit by and read it too you, since
> women from where you are are a hell of lot smarter and she can explain
> it to you! you ####ing inbred mother####er!!
>

> Steve, K4YZ

That looks like copyrighted material to me Steve. Not trying to censor you, butt (oops, sorry toad) you know how the toad feels about freedom of speech! Toad does seem to be an expert on internet porn and inbreeding, doesn't he?

5641. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 14:54:13 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <pUrce.1713$HL2.289@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107157

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114782292.081541.244830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

:
: And don't forget, Todd, we know what you look like and where you
: live.
:

And Steve wonders why people use screen names when posting here!

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

5642. Re: Steve's Really Losing It Now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 15:00:16 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <4_rce.1715$HL2.81@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107158

"yojimbo" <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote in message
news:yvudnW769rKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not...
: On 29 Apr 2005 07:16:07 -0700, K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
: [drivelsnip]
:
: Poor, poor Steve. He has Todd's ISP boot Todd off this group, and then
: he gets so lonely that he starts posting Todd's stuff himself so that he
: can "rebut" it.

Gee, and I thought I was the only one who noticed that curious fact.

:
: [Theme from "Twilight Zone" plays in the background.]
:

Probably more like the "Dueling Banjo" theme from Deliverance.

73,

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

5643. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 15:31:10 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-dqdnUChmupF8u_fRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107160

Userbeam Remailer AKA two-test wogie wrote:
> In article <49qdnVlbNbYALezfRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey aka Gopher face <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>
>>Poor two-tes
>
>
> Poor Gopher-Face, he can't pass a simple Extra exam, but will
> make all kinds of excuses as to why he needs to keep his
> obsolete license!
>

I never had to retake my exam because of violating the FCC rules to keep
my license like two-test wogie did.
Riley and the FCC spanked two-test wogie really good...twice.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

5644. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 15:51:34 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3nl471pmjm70l60jnnrl5s926cjmupust0@4ax.com>
Source record: 107159
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,rec.radio.amateur.policy

George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it> wrote:
> K4YZ EAT SHIT AND DIE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
Save some shit for me I use it for breath
freshener!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

5645. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 16:26:58 UTC
From: John Smith <johnsmith1234@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114987398.0119ae814b636ca1b10f5aa370a8e4ee@teranews>
Source record: 107299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was HAM radios, sent as
emergency communications... the CB's had a very secondary part...
now, if we could just teach CB'ers to speak english they might be of
more value <grin>

On Sun, 1 May 2005 13:55:30 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was CB radios, sent as
>emergency communications... the hams had a very secondary part... now, with
>all those CB's sitting down there, skip from that area should vastly
>improve--BOTH on 11 and 10 meters <grin>
>

5646. Re: Amateur Radio is MUCH more valuable than CB Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 16:55:29 UTC
From: John Smith <johnsmith1234@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114989096.9097ee76ec7229a0ed6d0b25e471121c@teranews>
Source record: 107301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

NO! Channel 9 was abandoned because childish CB'ers were to busy
trashing Channel 9 just like they have done to all the channels.

Damn dumbass childish CB'ers keep trying to rewrite history!!!!

On Sun, 1 May 2005 13:52:33 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>NO! Channel 9 was abandoned for the cell phone--but, I assume many cells
>will be down in an emergency--then you will see the object replaced the by
>the cell phone--re-emerge, and that is/was NOT ham radio, it WAS CB...
>Damn hams keep re-writing history!!!!
>
>Regards,
>John

5647. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 18:37:51 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <5306664544756748ce4ee29e5588d3c6@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 107161

In article <-dqdnUChmupF8u_fRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey aka Gopher Face <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> >
> >
>
> I never had to retake my exam (incoherent blatherings)

And it's a good thing, too, because you sure couldn't pass it if you had to retake it today. But you did get a letter from the FCC, didn't you, Gopher face? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

Poor Gopher-Face, he can't pass a simple Extra exam, but he will still make all kinds of excuses as to why he needs to keep his obsolete license!

5648. Re: ab8mq nut case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 19:29:03 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <460958efdfed53d39cf6e878fe497940@userbeam.net>
Source record: 107162

In article <49qdnVhbNbbULOzfRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey aka Gopher face <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Tapioca Pudding wrote:
> > In article <TESK5TYA38470.5976157...@anonymous.poster>
> > Lardass Lloyd Davies aka Secret Luigi (Italy Anonymous
Remailer)
> > wrote:
> >
> >>restested twice <zzzzzzzzzzz!!!>

What's "restested" ??????? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

> >
> > And passed both times, while you can't get past the training
> > wheel no code tech. BWHAHAHAHA!
> > Poor eternal fuck up Davies.
> >
> Poor dumg wogie wouldn't <IKYABWAI>

If poor chronic alcoholic and drug abuser Gopher face wouldn't
drink so much because he is womanless, he could spell
"retested", "dumb" and "Rogie" and could actually pass an Extra
license exam.

5649. Re: ab8mq nut case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 19:51:15 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <retested.dumb.rogie@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 107163

On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 21:29:03 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger wrote:
:
: If poor chronic alcoholic and drug abuser Gopher face wouldn't
: drink so much because he is womanless, he could spell
: "retested", "dumb" and "Rogie" and could actually pass an Extra
: license exam.

I see: Ever since your own retests, the FCC has decided to add the words "retested," "dumb," and "Rogie" to the Extra Class question pool.

Interesting. Sigged.

5650. Re: ab8mq nut case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 20:24:46 UTC
From: anon <guy@anonclubradioguy.net>
Message-ID: <d4u53m$86l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107164

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:retested.dumb.rogie@houston-hunks.com...
> On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 21:29:03 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger wrote:
> :
> : If poor chronic alcoholic and drug abuser Gopher face wouldn't
> : drink so much because he is womanless, he could spell
> : "retested", "dumb" and "Rogie" and could actually pass an Extra
> : license exam.
>
> I see: Ever since your own retests, the FCC has decided to add the words
> "retested," "dumb," and "Rogie" to the Extra Class question pool.
>
> Interesting. Sigged.

"twannnnnng, booooong, bounce, bounce,
psssssst.......fluhhhhhhhhhhh........"

(sound of Wogie being drop kicked, bounced, & the air squeezed out,
once again, courtesy of that wascal Stagger Lee)

Space below reserved for Woger's standard reply:
(reply started)
"Answering yourself again Tubby?
That cocksucker lisp.................ad nauseam........

5651. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 20:50:00 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <I7IQRGQ038472.2013888889@reece.net.au>
Source record: 107165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <3nl471pmjm70l60jnnrl5s926cjmupust0@4ax.com>
Lardass Davies admits when he wrote:

> Save some shit for me I use it for breath
> freshener!

BWHAHAHAHA..Lardass Davies who does shit himself on a daily
basis admits he eats it too. No wonder you are 290 pounds,
Davies.

5652. New news service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 21:05:58 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <VvKdnX4HfKkrPO_fRVn-og@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107166

Since I've acquired (2) Alinco DX-70 transceivers and (2) Ameritron AL-82 Amplifiers, I've decided to choose two frequencies on 20 meters (3 khz either side of toads show) and have a 24/7 news service warning other amateurs about the toad (freedom of speech). Since I hate the ARRL so much, I'll rebroadcast all of their bulletins for amateurs that may have missed when the ARRL first broadcast them. Frequencies pending on toads choice of frequency. I hope toad gets a female from Taylorville to help him/her/it run the show, they'd have to be smarter them him/her/it, you can't get much dumber!

5653. Re: N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 21:15:53 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1114809353.464864.9480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107167

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > bb wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > I know enough to know enough to know that lying mouths
> left
> > to
> > > > > their own devices soon become the ONLY voices. That's not
> > > > acceptable.
> > > > > That path has been taken before in history with disasterous
> > > results.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Probably why your lying mouth is the most prolific voice on
RRAP.
> > >
> > > Prolific. Yes.
> >
> > Without PEER!
> >
> > > Lying. No.
> >
> > Prone to "mistakes" which you see as permission to call names and
> > accuse others of lying. You're a work of art.
>
> As opposed to being the Artful Dodger..??? I can live with that!

You must. You live with both.

Can you tell us why you are the most prolific poster on RRAP?

5654. Re: ab8mq nut case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 21:44:14 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <O1BX70OV38471.7390509259@anonymous>
Source record: 107168

In article <retested.dumb.rogie@houston-hunks.com>
Stagger Lee SECRET NAZI<S...@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

> I see:

It's doubtful, Secret Nazi, you thought the Marshall County
Alabama ARES website was the one for Marshall County, West
Virginia. And that the U.N. issued a "International Radio
license". You have your head so far up your ass, you have a very
personal relationship with your prostate.

--
FUCKUP Lee" <StaggerLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and
imbecile fucks up
as usual when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama and Marshall
County, West Virginia are the same place in message
<afvsc2$6a...@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywa&#65533;rncanwarn/
doodle brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a
place to
send email."

Dave Heil, aspiring actor, yet English impaired, said
In article <425179BB.84722748@earthlink.net>:
"I didn't ask if you cold read."

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5655. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 22:33:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114814026.420284.185640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107169

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1114782292.081541.244830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> :
> : And don't forget, Todd, we know what you look like and where you
> : live.
> :
>
> And Steve wonders why people use screen names when posting here!

Nope.

It's just so the papers get serve to the right person.

You overesitmate your own worth to society if you think I'd
prejudice my freedom with an act of violence against "people" like you.

Steve, K4YZ

5656. Steve suggests violence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-29 23:58:14 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <qSzce.1926$GQ5.425@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107170

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114814026.420284.185640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
:
: You overesitmate your own worth to society if you think I'd
: prejudice my freedom with an act of violence
:
: Steve, K4YZ
:

Does anyone wonder why Stalker Steve introduces the idea of "violence" to this thread?

Nobody mentioned violence here, but here is Extra (heavy) Steve opening the topic. People should now be doubly cautious about revealing their identity in his environment.

Perhaps "papers" are destined for service at151 12TH AVE NW, WINCHESTER TN 37398 also?

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

5657. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 00:06:11 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <EYSdnRqCBeWSdO_fRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107173

George Orwell AKA two-test wogie wrote:
> In article <-dqdnUChmupF8u_fRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey aka Gopher Face <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>
>>>
>>I never had to retake my exam (incoherent blatherings)
>
>
> And it's a good thing, too, because you sure couldn't pass it if
> you had to retake it today. But you did get a letter from the
> FCC, didn't you, Gopher face? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

Yes, indeed I did wogie, back in about 1962. You see (this is sorta technical so you may need to get the 5th grader next door to explain it to you wogie), I did receive a letter from the FCC about interference to a television set across the street. At that time, some televisions used a 21 mhz intermediate frequency, and every time I operated on 15 meters (that is around 21 mhz in case you didn't know) I would get into this television's IF and cause interfernece. The owner filed a complaint and the FCC did send me a letter to respond in 10 days of the situation. I did respond and explained the problem, also stating I would not operate on 15 meters, as a courtesy to the set owner, during her favorite TV viewing hours. The FCC sent the set owner a letter stating the problem was hers, not mine and I did have the right to operate my station at any time and she should be appreciative of the fact I volunteered not to operate during certain hours. Only letter I ever received from the FCC, end or story.

Never had to retest like wogie because of violating the rules, not even once, much less twice.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Bet wogie couldn't pass even the General exam, much less the Advance or Extra if he had to take them when they were real exams, not at some license give-away VE session where he got his Extra-lite.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

5658. Re: ab8mq nut case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 00:49:56 UTC
From: Tunder <NoSpam@ornow>
Message-ID: <d4uknodpa0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107171

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:retested.dumb.rogie@houston-hunks.com...
On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 21:29:03 +0200 (CEST), Secwet Woger wrote:
:
: If poor chronic alcoholic and drug abuser Gopher face wouldn't
: drink so much because he is womanless, he could spell
: "retested", "dumb" and "Rogie" and could actually pass an Extra
: license exam.

I see: Ever since your own retests, the FCC has decided to add the words
"retested," "dumb," and "Rogie" to the Extra Class question pool.

Interesting. Sigged.

.

It sounds reasonable, though. After all, why not add a question to the pool
such as "What are the three major descriptors of poor Amateur Radio
operations?"

5659. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 01:06:40 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114823200.443993.137210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107172

From: "K4YZ" on Fri,Apr 29 2005 2:07 am

>K□B wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>>
>> > You had to go back three years to find
>> > something you could hang on to, but nice job!
>>
>> Nope, less than 2-1/2 years.
>>
>> Actually you're guilty of only a modest exaggeration, but if Brian
or Len had
>> exaggerated something by a similar ratio you'd have labled them
"liars".
>>
>> I wonder why that is?
>
> If Brian or Lennie had such an error pointed out, there'd be a
six
>month long rant on how licensing in Somalia or how Lennie single
>handedly passsed 1.2 million messages at ADA in 1953.

1. Brian had simply mentioned he did some ham radio
from Somalia when he was on active duty, assigned
there. I saw no "discussion of licensing IN Somalia."
I did see a lot of name-calling and general personal
insults directed ON Brian by yourself and Das Kolonel.
Both of you, plus Jimmie Noserve, had tried to pass
yourselves off as "experts" on amateur radio licensing
in trying to INFER that Brian was "breaking the law"
by "not having the 'authorization.'" You know NOT
of such "authorization" and none of the three of you
have ANY authority on that of any kind.

2. I've never claimed credit for "single-handedly passing
1.2 million" anything. What I stated was an
APPROXIMATION of the number of message I was
RESPONSIBLE FOR TRANSMISSION as an OPERATING TEAM
LEADER...not in 1953 but rather late 1954 when I had
the rank (E-5) to BE an Operating Team Leader. It is
obvious to any rational mind that NO ONE PERSON could
possibly transmit 220 thousand messages a month over
a single radio station. That takes teamwork and ALL

on the team MUST do their part.

3. Saying you can read the future is itself a LIE. You
are neither Saint nor Savant With Prescience. Ergo,
you CANNOT say such things as "there'd be a six
month rant" by anyone on anything, especially when
you cannot get your IMAGINED activities by others
factual.

> I just acknowledged the error when it was shown and moved on.

That is (probably) the BIGGEST LIE of Robeson. Robeson
tries and tries and tries and tries to turn around ANY
accusation by misdirection, personal insult of others, and
general accusation of misdeeds by his accusers.

1. As an example, when pointed out to Robeson that the
Department of Defense does indeed DIRECT MARS, was
given the exact web link to that DIRECTIVE, spent over
two weeks of messaging AVOIDING his mistake in saying
"MARS >>IS<< amateur radio." Robeson could have simply
acknoledged that DIRECTIVE's existance and shut up on
the subject. He did NOT. If Robeson ever said so, he
hid that "acknowledgement" in a smokescreen of verbiage
(mostly garbiage) which still attempted to misdirect
the subject AND managed to level personal insults on
his accusers. There was NO "moving on" but a long,
long, protracted (by the Angle of Dearth) message
exchange where his very real ERROR was NEVER admitted.

2. This newsgroup has been turned into a personal battle-
ground of Robeson's own doing. It has become a cess-
pool of insults, invective, rationalizations leveled
by Robeson in an attempt to show Robeson never, ever
made any mistake...all mistakes are (in Robeson's
output) done by OTHERS. One needs only to look at all
the message thread titles CREATED BY ROBESON where he
practices his ugly invective storm und rang on all
who will not agree with him.

> Do you wonder why THAT is...???

Nobody need "wonder" about Robeson's appearance in messages
here. He acts the textbook sociopath, fighting against all
who disagree with him in the slightest. Further, his output
of insults has the (again, textbook) flavor of "progression."
In "progression" he has tried to accuse his accusers of
doing the THE SAME MISDEEDS THAT ROBESON DOES. Robeson says
he "holds a mirror up to a person" but actually he is only
looking at himself in that mirror. Robeson describes
himself, not his accusers. [elementary Psychology 101 and
102 once required courses for a California EE degree]

Someday this newsgroup - if it survives - may actually discuss POLICY in amateur radio. This week there have been some ventures into that. However, in the main content of this cesspool cum newsgroup, most of the topics and the continuing battle of Robeson against everyone else. :-[

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5660. Re: Enigma Message Special Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 03:23:04 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1114831384.678775.326090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> "Geoffrey S. Mendelson" <gsm@mendelson.com> wrote in message
> news:slrnd708m4.nnq.gsm@cable.mendelson.com...
> : In article <1114542970.529293.75070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> : kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> :
> : Jews out there can not participate (for example, me) because
> : it's during the Sabbath. Seems like WWII got rid of the NAZI's but
> : Brittish antisematism still lives on as strong as ever.
> :
> : Geoff.
> :
>
> Yes, and we British (learn to spell if you wish to insult us) got rid
of the
> Nazi's for you.

At Dunkirk - right??

> It's just your turn to be persecuted. Get over it. Regardless which
day of
> the week is chosen, some cult will chose that as their High Holy Day
and
> they will be unable to attend.
>
> Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte sends regards

w3rv

5661. Re: Steve suggests violence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 04:41:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114836093.379763.287230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107175

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1114814026.420284.185640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> :
> : You overesitmate your own worth to society if you think I'd
> : prejudice my freedom with an act of violence
> :
> : Steve, K4YZ
> :
>
> Does anyone wonder why Stalker Steve introduces the idea of
"violence" to
> this thread?

I didn't introduce violence. I said that we know where and to
whom to deliver the papers.

> Nobody mentioned violence here, but here is Extra (heavy) Steve
opening the
> topic. People should now be doubly cautious about revealing their
identity
> in his environment.

No, YOU opened the topic.

> Perhaps "papers" are destined for service at 151 12TH AVE NW,
WINCHESTER TN
> 37398 also?

I doubt it. You'd have to identify yourself and I seriously doubt
you have the cajones to do so.

Steve, K4YZ

5662. Re: N9OGL Gets Chops Slapped By His ISP...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 04:44:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114836266.051565.288850@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107176

```
bb wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > bb wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > bb wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > I know enough to know enough to know that lying mouths
> > left
> > > to
> > > > > > their own devices soon become the ONLY voices. That's not
> > > > > acceptable.
> > > > > > That path has been taken before in history with disasterous
> > > > results.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > Probably why your lying mouth is the most prolific voice on
> RRAP.
> > > > >
> > > > Prolific. Yes.
> > > >
> > > Without PEER!
> > >
> > > Lying. No.
> > >
> > > Prone to "mistakes" which you see as permission to call names and
> > > accuse others of lying. You're a work of art.
> >
> > As opposed to being the Artful Dodger..??? I can live with
that!
>
> You must. You live with both.

Nope.

> Can you tell us why you are the most prolific poster on RRAP?

Does it matter? If I never posted to RRAP, SOMEone would have
"the most" posts here. At one time it was Cecil, W6RCA/W5DXP. At
another it was Lennie "Not Me" Anderson. Next month it may be you.

Steve, K4YZ
```

5663. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 04:48:41 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <J6Ece.1910$7F4.1363@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

>
>
> BWHAHAHAHA..Lardass Davies who does shit himself on a daily
> basis admits he eats it too.

Eat shjt, it's organic..... ;-)

5664. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 04:54:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114836859.551778.8960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107178

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" on Fri,Apr 29 2005 2:07 am
>
> >K□B wrote:
> >> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >>
> >> > You had to go back three years to find
> >> > something you could hang on to, but nice job!
> >>
> >> Nope, less than 2-1/2 years.
> >>
> >> Actually you're guilty of only a modest exaggeration, but if Brian
> or Len had
> >> exaggerated something by a similar ratio you'd have labled them
> "liars".
> >>
> >> I wonder why that is?
> >
> > If Brian or Lennie had such an error pointed out, there'd be a
> six
> >month long rant on how licensing in Somalia or how Lennie single
> >handedly passsed 1.2 million messages at ADA in 1953.
>
> 1. Brian had simply mentioned he did some ham radio
> from Somalia when he was on active duty, assigned
> there. I saw no "discussion of licensing IN Somalia."

I've never seen anyone ask about rear area Army radio stations in
the 50's, Lennie, but you sure do let us know about it.
have ANY authority on that of any kind.
=
> 2. I've never claimed credit for "single-handedly passing
> 1.2 million" anything.

Your INITIAL claim, before everyone with a grade school mathematics
education put the numbers back in your face was that YOU were
responsible for this feat.

You only changed your story to "team" after a week of being hit
over the head with your silliness.

> What I stated was an
> APPROXIMATION of the number of message I was

> RESPONSIBLE FOR TRANSMISSION as an OPERATING TEAM
> LEADER...not in 1953 but rather late 1954 when I had
> the rank (E-5) to BE an Operating Team Leader. It is
> obvious to any rational mind that NO ONE PERSON could
> possibly transmit 220 thousand messages a month over
> a single radio station. That takes teamwork and ALL
> on the team MUST do their part.

If could have been in ANY time era, Lennie...Your INITIAL "story"
was that YOU were responsible for this feat. "Teamwork" didn't enter
the picture until days later.

Nice try, though.

> 3. Saying you can read the future is itself a LIE.

I never said I could, Lennie.

> > I just acknowledged the error when it was shown and moved on.
>
> That is (probably) the BIGGEST LIE of Robeson. Robeson
> tries and tries and tries and tries to turn around ANY
> accusation by misdirection, personal insult of others, and
> general accusation of misdeeds by his accusers.

Ahhhhhhhhhh....I see....Saying that I realized I made a mistake and
accepting that fact is "misdirection"...

> 1. As an example, when pointed out to Robeson that the
> Department of Defense does indeed DIRECT MARS, was
> given the exact web link to that DIRECTIVE, spent over
> two weeks of messaging AVOIDING his mistake in saying
> "MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."

You're the one with the misdirection, Lennie.

I NEVER claimed that MARS was under anyone's direction other than
DoD.

I DID say that the same SPIRIT of AMATEUR RADIO was what made MARS
what it is.

> Robeson could have simply
> acknoledged that DIRECTIVE's existance and shut up on
> the subject. He did NOT. If Robeson ever said so, he
> hid that "acknowledgement" in a smokescreen of verbiage
> (mostly garbiage) which still attempted to misdirect
> the subject AND managed to level personal insults on
> his accusers.

I'll end this reply right here since THAT claim by Lennie is the
very essence OF Lennie.

Leonard H. Anderson >>IS<< a pathological liar!

And putz....

Steve, K4YZ

5665. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 05:49:28 UTC
From: Tunder <NoSpam@ornow>
Message-ID: <d4v69b$j5n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107179

"Thrasher Remailer" <thrasher@reece.net.au> wrote in message
news:I7IQRGQ038472.2013888889@reece.net.au...
In article <3nl471pmjm70l60jnnrl5s926cjmupust0@4ax.com>
Lardass Davies admits when he wrote:

> Save some shit for me I use it for breath
> freshener!

BWHAHAHAHA..Lardass Davies who does shit himself on a daily
basis admits he eats it too. No wonder you are 290 pounds,
Davies.

Look at all the anon remailers on this group.

Roger Wiseman has been busy indeed.

--
"Roger hasn't recieved "repeat visits with the chief of police". You ar a
liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass."

5666. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 06:54:29 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d4va39$r8a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107180

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:EYSdnRqCBeWSdO_fRVn-vQ@gbronline.com...
George Orwell AKA two-test wogie wrote:
> In article <-dqdnUChmupF8u_fRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey aka Gopher Face <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>
>>>
>>I never had to retake my exam (incoherent blatherings)
>
>
> And it's a good thing, too, because you sure couldn't pass it if
> you had to retake it today. But you did get a letter from the
> FCC, didn't you, Gopher face? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

Yes, indeed I did wogie, back in about 1962. You see (this is sorta technical so you may need to get the 5th grader next door to explain it to you wogie), I did receive a letter from the FCC about interference to a television set across the street. At that time, some televisions used a 21 mhz intermediate frequency, and every time I operated on 15 meters (that is around 21 mhz in case you didn't know) I would get into this television's IF and cause interfernece. The owner filed a complaint and the FCC did send me a letter to respond in 10 days of the situation. I did respond and explained the problem, also stating I would not operate on 15 meters, as a courtesy to the set owner, during her favorite TV viewing hours. The FCC sent the set owner a letter stating the problem was hers, not mine and I did have the right to operate my station at any time and she should be appreciative of the fact I volunteered not to operate during certain hours. Only letter I ever received from the FCC, end or story.

Never had to retest like wogie because of violating the rules, not even once, much less twice.
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!!!

Bet wogie couldn't pass even the General exam, much less the Advance or Extra if he had to take them when they were real exams, not at some license give-away VE session where he got his Extra-lite.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

The only exam Rogie ever passed with Magna Cum Numbnuts accolades was his rectal exam.
The examiner lauded Rogie in that despite years heaped upon years of abuse

of rectal intrusions by his buddies, Rogie's rectum is amazingly still functioning.
The Examiner commented that it is rather surprising that Rogie can sustain such a vast amount of "intake" while still being able to "output" from the same cavity.
This is, in short, proof positive that Rogie can "talk out his ass" while simultaneously "taking it in the ass."
This also explains Rogie's inability to spell well. It is nearly impossible to sound out words when the Lavender Lads are probing Rogie's Back Door. (it disrupts his concentration)

Bi Lingual.
1. able to speak two languages.
2. spoken in two languages.
3. Roger Wiseman. Able to speak from an oral and anal cavity simultaneously.

5667. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 09:55:38 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d4vkmvink0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107181

--
Learn to spell "Anonymous" first, Nursie. You get your panties
in a wad and you always screw up.

"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling
complainant.)

"Tunder" <NoSpam@ornow> wrote in message news:d4v69b$j5n$0@pita.alt.net...

"Thrasher Remailer" <thrasher@reece.net.au> wrote in message
news:I7IQRGQ038472.2013888889@reece.net.au...
In article <3nl471pmjm70l60jnnrl5s926cjmupust0@4ax.com>
Lardass Davies admits when he wrote:

> Save some shit for me I use it for breath
> freshener!

BWHAHAHAHA..Lardass Davies who does shit himself on a daily
basis admits he eats it too. No wonder you are 290 pounds,
Davies.

Look at all the anon remailers on this group.

Roger Wiseman has been busy indeed.

--
"Roger hasn't recieved "repeat visits with the chief of police". You ar a
liar. He isn't about to get another one either, dumbass."

...

comment.....

--
"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling
complainant.)

--
"The funny thing is, you don't know your fat ass from a whole in
the ground, shit-for-brains."

Good old Rogie...
49 years old and has not a clue....

5668. It Sucks being Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 10:17:29 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d4vlvslfu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107182

Life is at a low ebb when it comes to being Roger Wiseman.

Being a Bottom Feeder from West Virginia has to be the lowest of the low.

<chortle> <snort>

Open those curtains, boy.
All we see is an eyeball....

<derisive laughter>

5669. UPDATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 13:14:57 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <xvadnXuTz7VOGe7fRVn-vA@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107183

I just placed a HY-GAIN TH3 on both of my 50' towers placed 250' apart
and feeding them with 9914F cable. One station will be my call and the
other station will be my wifes call. I won't use rotors because both
antennas will be pointed South. I'll be doing some testing later today.

KC8GXW wrote:
> Since I've acquired (2) Alinco DX-70 transceivers and (2) Ameritron
> AL-82 Amplifiers, I've decided to choose two frequencies on 20 meters (3
> khz either side of toads show) and have a 24/7 news service warning
> other amateurs about the toad (freedom of speech). Since I hate the ARRL
> so much, I'll rebroadcast all of their bulletins for amateurs that may
> have missed when the ARRL first broadcast them. Frequencies pending on
> toads choice of frequency. I hope toad gets a female from Taylorville to
> help him/her/it run the show, they'd have to be smarter them him/her/it,
> you can't get much dumber!
>

5670. Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 13:35:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114868102.236810.254210@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107184

A couple of points, Todd...

QUOTE:

He gets my ISP to boot me the newsgroup, if he had a problem he should of went to google it their system not consolidated. It is also my humble opinion don't know @@@@ about free speech.....the little #####er don't understand that the real concept of free speech, no instead he gives us the nazi version of free speech.

UNQUOTE

Todd, >>MY<< free speech was to ask you over and over to stop being profane, obnoxious and abusive in public. NO LAW in the United States REQUIRES me to tolerate being abused in a public forum.

And please don't give me the "If you don't want to read it..." silliness. If people let themsleves be pushed around by every creep that thought that it was their "right" to be a bully or public potty mouth this would be a very sad place to live indeed.

Sorry you don't agree.

There is a very painful and delicate balance between the lattitude permitted by what we call "free speech" and where your "right" to be abusive in public stops.

Unfortunately, even in the United States, there has to be limits to ALL things if people are going to live in a productive and (relatively) peaceful society.

You just can't have this many people with disparate ideas living together unless there is a certain amount of tolerance AND a certain amount of restraint.

I asked you (others asked you) over and over to watch your mouth and tone it down. You didn't. You got what you deserved.

And I was serving the very same Constitution that you so flagrantly abuse before you reached puberty, Todd. I know a bit more about it than you.

QUOTE:

One thing more I would like to know from that ###hole what "wanton violation of federal regulation" am I suppose to be promoting??? then again coming from a ####ing liar.....

UNQUOTE

Todd, You've bragged about your "pirate" operations over and over
and have stated you'd do it again.

Operation of any type of RF radiator without a license that
doesn't fall within the few license-free categories (certain subparts
of Part 95 and Part 15) is a violation of federal law.

It really is THAT simple.

Enjoy life from the sidelines...There's nothing more to say to you
except see ya later...most likely under "enforcement actions".

Steve, K4YZ

5671. Re: UPDATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 14:53:20 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <vNGdnTdMGZd_Bu7fRVn-tg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 107185

KC8GXW wrote:
> I just placed a HY-GAIN TH3 on both of my 50' towers placed 250' apart
> and feeding them with 9914F cable. One station will be my call and the
> other station will be my wifes call. I won't use rotors because both
> antennas will be pointed South. I'll be doing some testing later today.
>

If you happen to hear my test on 20 meters later today, please note the time and frequency and also if you can copy both signals, they should be 6 khz apart. Please forward this information along with signal strength and your location to todd so that he can place this critical test information on his blog page. Forward any information, even that you didn't hear the test!
Thank you for your time.

5672. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY <- could this be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 15:09:02 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <ns-dnQWEgcMLAu7fRVn-sw@crocker.com>
Source record: 107186

"yojimbo" <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote in message
news:yvudnW290rKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not...
> I've only seen 10 new posts at my ISP during the past 24 hours. Could
> the anon poster have been telling the truth?

no.

5673. Re: ab8mq nut case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 15:12:08 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <DD8PSS9438472.7167592593@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 107187

In article <d4u53m$86l$0@pita.alt.net>
"anon" <g...@anonclubradioguy.net> wrote:

> "twannnnnng <IKYABWAI flushed>

Is that the sound of one of your buck teeth hitting your
aluminum dildo binky again, you slobbering fat fuck?
You are not the sharpest knife in the drawer. You prove it with
every screeching lame you wheeze out.
You are a boring, repetitious, maggot-infested, stool sample.
Kill yourself, you know you want to, and it's not like you've
never tried to do it before.

5674. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 15:14:54 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <YBFVUZIJ38472.4686805556@anonymous>
Source record: 107188

In article <d4vkmvink0@pita.alt.net>
"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote:

>Good old Rogie....

He's much braver than you, chickenshit.
Much smarter, too! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA to wit:

Arf! Arf! plagiarized a story "lame" in
<bratsfucs0@pita.alt.net>,
which was also found at Message-ID:
<SEnbb.73395$PD3.4767951@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Message-ID: <O5OuB2rqDHA.392@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl>
Message-ID: <imVza.171125$ja4.8649719@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>

Arf!Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> fucks up as usual ironically
calling somebody else autistic
when the poor thing blathers his autism in
<bsjcjf$ok2$0@pita.alt.net>:
"Symtoms of Autism"

Illiterate Baby Raper Arf!Arf! stepped in his own shit as
he whined in <ac71d5$5r2$0@pita.alt.net:
"Grow ya some balls fer oncet in yer life."

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksucker@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
champ whined
about his womanless status in <bqtdlubpn0@pita.alt.net>:
"Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
make some
anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."

Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5nl$0@pita.alt.net>:
""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."

Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
in <bm5ctb$5nl$0@pita.alt.net>:
"What a losser."

The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
in <bm5ctb$5nl$0@pita.alt.net>:
"either of Roger's moist cavaties."

"Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksucker@Liberal.comz> vomited the
following Ebonics in message

news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
"Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."

Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
him and posts in Ebonics,
the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
in <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
"Curtian Boy"

Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDicksucker@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
presented to him
in <9fpehvlih0@dosa.alt.net>:
"Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
pondered the question of
(corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
<bj98gq$1ie$0@pita.alt.net>
"Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"

Arf!Arf! the kiddie raper blathers another lie out of his stupid
fat ass
in <c8meq0$155$0@pita.alt.net>:
"I also get a half point for TOS'ing your bogus account with
Google...and got
you tossed from that, too."

NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
and ignorance about family names and their origins in
<bqs1i5$25v$0@pita.alt.net>:
"Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"

English imparied Arf! Arf! fatally blundered as he whined in
Message-ID: <cvbjv2gpk0@pita.alt.net>:
"Wogie plagiariazed again."

Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.comz> steps on
his dick again in his "posts" <sic>
(of "posit") in <bqjj2ndud0@pita.alt.net>:
"The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
anything, to dissuade or stop
the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".

Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
<bmch5m$l4m$0@pita.alt.net>:
"...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome
little face"

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5675. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 15:40:11 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <AYFURAVJ38472.9862384259@reece.net.au>
Source record: 107189

In article <d4v69b$j5n$0@pita.alt.net>
"Tunder" <NoSpam@ornow> wrote:

> Look at all the anon remailers on this group.
>
> Roger Wiseman has been busy indeed.

BWHAHAHAHA..Poor Gopher face, he sees Roger everywhere! Only
Tunder has been busy manufacturing and distributing kiddie porn.

5676. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 16:00:12 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <868c778feec6fd898dfa82a454c5ccb4@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 107190

In article <d4va39$r8a$0@pita.alt.net>
"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote:

> The only exam Rogie ever passed <homosexual IKYABWAI>

in amateur radio was his General and Extra, whil you are a
training wheel no code tech. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! In
other venues, he sure did surpass your poor academic
acheivements.

5677. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY <- could this be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 16:25:09 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <20050430162509.2DD9B17060@mail.cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 107191

In article <yvudnW290rKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not>
"yojimbo" <thom...@snotmail.net> wrote:
>
> I've only seen 10 new posts at my ISP during the past 24
hours. Could
> the anon poster have been telling the truth?

Could it be you have your head up your ass?

192.168.10.211

192.168.0.0 - 192.168.255.255
Internet Assigned Numbers Authority
4676 Admiralty Way, Suite 330
Marina del Rey, CA
US
Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Number
abuse@iana.org
+1-310-301-5820

Smells like Steve Silverwood.....

5678. How it must suck to be "Arf! arf!" so afraid to post under his real name!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 16:49:02 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <799063afd349682f039878a5ac59061c@paranoici.org>
Source record: 107192

In article <d4vlvslfu0@pita.alt.net>
"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> ironically wrote while
hiding from Roger:
>
> Life is at a low ebb when it comes to being Roger Wiseman.

But he isn't a 31 year old holding down a kid's pizza delivery
job, living in a 200 square foot HUD section 8 public assistance
apartment and getting food stamps like you.

Come out from your curtains, Arf! arf! I dare you.
<derisive laughter>

5679. Re: How it must suck to be "Arf! arf!" so afraid to post under his real name!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 17:22:38 UTC
From: anon <allanon@allanonteamradio.net>
Message-ID: <d50eqj$ea6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107193

"Anonymous" <nobody@paranoici.org> wrote in message
news:799063afd349682f039878a5ac59061c@paranoici.org...
> In article <d4vlvslfu0@pita.alt.net>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> ironically wrote while
> hiding from Roger:
>>
>> Life is at a low ebb when it comes to being Roger Wiseman.
>
> But he isn't a 31 year old holding down a kid's pizza delivery
> job, living in a 200 square foot HUD section 8 public assistance
> apartment and getting food stamps like you.
>
> Come out from your curtains, Arf! arf! I dare you.
> <derisive laughter>
>

If by way of the remark "kid's pizz delivery job," you are referring
to Mr Davies, info from a ham living near Mr Davies advises that
Lloyd was recently promoted, again. He is now Asst. District
Manager. Unpleasant news for you but great news for Lloyd.
And hardly a "kid's job." Congratulations Lloyd!

5680. Re: ab8mq nut case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 17:35:35 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <9BXEA33138472.8163773148@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 107194

In article <d4uknodpa0@pita.alt.net>
"Tunder" <NoSpam@ornow> wrote:

> It sounds reasonable, though. After all,

After all, you got a letter from the FCC, didn't you, Gopher face?

<guffaw!>

5681. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 18:09:08 UTC
From: Tarapia Tapioco <comesefosse@ntani.firenze.linux.it>
Message-ID: <3479695e328a3d5b0e6a4cff1e33e524@firenze.linux.it>
Source record: 107316

In article <EYSdnRqCBeWSdO_fRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey SECRET GOPHER FACE <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Yes, indeed I did <blatherings>

No, Gopher face, you got one in 1999.

>wogie

Still have that lisp from sucking dicks, don't you?

> Never had to retest

And it's a good thing, too, because you sure couldn't pass it if
you had to retake it today.

It looks like you've never had any instruction in English,
either.

> Bet wogie couldn't pass even the General exam, <blatherings>

He's already proven he could, shit for brains. And he was only
retested once, you alcoholic moron.
Unlike you, who can't even get the Extra exam.

5682. Re: phil kane returns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 18:15:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <vXPce.199$nF1.22@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 107195

"Userbeam Remailer" <remailer@userbeam.net> wrote in message
news:8d93cf2edcafceff2d12d13ba04222fa@userbeam.net...
> phil kane returns to rrap
> wonder how much hate he will spread
> this time

Phil has never spread any hate.

I've seen a few folks try and slam a few good amateurs (Phil, Hans, and a
few other come to mind), but generally I have seen that such folks that try
and slam the good folks generally think they are quite the wit.

I have found them only half right.

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

5683. Re: How it must suck to be "Arf! arf!" so afraid to post under his real name!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 18:41:51 UTC
From: nobody@See.Comments.Header
Message-ID: <AQMILRYH38472.8623958333@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 107196

In article <d50eqj$ea6$0@pita.alt.net>
"anon" Secret Lardass <alla...@allanonteamradio.net> wrote:

> If by way of the remark (More of Lardass' lies flushed)

Porky, you are just a pizza delivery BOY. Less than minimum
wage, no benefits, no future, etc. You have not been "promoted,"
unless you actually think being exclusively in charge of
cleaning the pizza store's bathrooms a "promotion." And you have
said yourself that you didn't want any "management" duties. You
have neither the education, temperment or abilities to do that
sort of work. Sucks to be you.

All which can be verified at:

http://www.papajohns.com/

CUSTOMER FEEDBACK
888-777-7272
(9 AM - 12 mid ET)

Papa John's International, Inc.
Consumer Services Team
P.O. Box 99900
Louisville, KY 40269-9990

Online complaint form:
http://www.papajohns.com/feedback/ele_feed.htm

5684. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 19:03:03 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <9938eaaf124746734bf907f0283dc79c@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 107197

In article <EYSdnRqCBeWSdO_fRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey SECRET GOPHER FACE <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Yes, indeed I did <blatherings>

No, Gopher face, you got one in 1999. You know, since you had to
explain why you got it on the so-called "Liberty net".

>wogie

Still have that lisp from sucking dicks, don't you?

> Never had to retest

And it's a good thing, too, because you sure couldn't pass it if
you had to retake it today.

It looks like you've never had any instruction in English,
either.

> Bet wogie couldn't pass even the General exam, <blatherings>

He's already proven he could, shit for brains. And he was only
retested once, you alcoholic moron.
Unlike you, who can't even get the Extra exam.

5685. Re: Steve suggests violence?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 19:23:14 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <CWQce.1877$pe3.1431@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107198

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114836093.379763.287230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

:
: I didn't introduce violence.
:

Where is Brian with his Liar-Meter?

We just got a big fat juicy one! There was no mention in this thread of violence until Nursie introduced it with his words [an act of violence against "people" like you].

:
: No, YOU opened the topic.
:

Wow! Instead of "acknowledging his mistake" (that's Nursie talk for "aw crap, they caught me lying again"), he puts on his Pinnochio suit and tells another big fat one!

The facts stand on their own, Nursie --- the first mention in this thread of violence is your words [an act of violence against "people" like you].

Give us a meter reading Brian. How many is that now?

73,

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

5686. Re: Hans Goes The Mile To Make A Point...But Doesn't Give ALL The Facts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 19:29:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1114889355.910892.255870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107199

From: "K4YZ" on Fri,Apr 29 2005 9:54 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" on Fri,Apr 29 2005 2:07 am
>>
>> >K0HB wrote:
>> >> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>> > If Brian or Lennie had such an error pointed out, there'd be a
six
>> >month long rant on how licensing in Somalia or how Lennie single
>> >handedly passsed 1.2 million messages at ADA in 1953.
>>
>> 1. Brian had simply mentioned he did some ham radio
>> from Somalia when he was on active duty, assigned
>> there. I saw no "discussion of licensing IN Somalia."
>
> I've never seen anyone ask about rear area Army radio stations in
>the 50's, Lennie, but you sure do let us know about it.

Someone has to do it...poor Stevie NEVER did anything
close to such HF communications...as an amateur or
anything else.

Stevie fails to get the point: A half century ago,
the MAJOR message load throughout the U.S. military
was by teleprinter, NOT morse code. Way higher than
90% of ALL message "traffic" in the military. A HALF
CENTURY AGO.

Perhaps nearly a quarter million messages a MONTH is
not enough traffic in your fevered imagination? Tsk.
That kind of traffic was done by the third-largest
Army station in ACAN (later STARCOM, later the DCS
or Defense Communications System)...using the old
60 WPM rate teleprinters. "WAR" (actually RUEP at
Fort Detrick) handled over a MILLION messages per
month.

>> 2. I've never claimed credit for "single-handedly passing
>> 1.2 million" anything.
>
> Your INITIAL claim, before everyone with a grade school
mathematics
>education put the numbers back in your face was that YOU were

>responsible for this feat.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. You still don't understand what the word APPROXIMATION means. :-)

Neither transmitters nor receivers personnel kept a count of the messages going and coming...we kept the radio equipment OPERATING...most circuits being 24/7. Traffic Analysis group at Control did the tallying, primarily for administrative purposes and to gauge the loading on the many and various radio paths to the rest of the network. No more than about four, two in Control (one being the Duty Officer on shift) and two in the TTY Relay section.

OK, let's do an APPROXIMATION of the numbers based on very brief data that appeared in the Pacific Stars & Stripes military newspaper of 1955 (exact issue not known, not that it matters except to certain pedantic literalist morsemen)...that being 220,000 messages a month average in 1955. Based on a 30 day month, that works out to about 7333 messages a day average... which is about 2444 messages (average) per 8-hour shift...or 306 messages an hour (average) or 5 messages a minute (average)...all day, all night, seven days a week, 52 weeks a year...continuous traffic...stopped only for about 3 hours during a solar storm some time in late 1955 (neither receivers nor transmitters shut down, were on-line and waiting but it gave the TTY Relay section a nice break time).

By the way, there's a nice mnemonic in "220" which is also the APPROXIMATE number of teleprinters in the TTY Relay section floor handling all the TTY in/out of RUEP (the node identifier for Tokyo at the time).

My active duty time in the 8235th Army Unit (the "other" identifier for my Signal Battalion) was three years. So, given that I was actively responsible for my part in handling all that traffic (none of us did it all on our own) then, IF and only IF the 220 thousand per month was an average for all three years, a total of message traffic through ADA/RUEP was APPROXIMATELY 7.92 MILLION! An average PER YEAR would be 2.64 million. Now, if I were only directly, intimately, hands-on like involved for 8 hours per day AVERAGE that works out to a "mere" 880 thousand per year... except I was there for three years so that AVERAGE APPROXIMATE ESTIMATE (give or take) jumps back to 2.64 MILLION. [I do hope I haven't taxed your 16 neurons excessively on this so far...]

As to this "1.2 million" figure, that would be WRONG
IF and only IF TAKEN LITERALLY...IT HAS TO BE MORE!
My AVERAGE APPROXIMATE ESTIMATE was being very kind
to your one-op, one-transceiver amateur minds in
taking that 2.6 million down to roughly half. There
were some duties that involved simple maintenance,
testing, administrative duties, a couple of quick
equipment courses, etc., etc., that did NOT involve
DIRECT, HANDS-ON RESPONSIBILITY for keeping the
motto of GETTING THE MESSAGE THROUGH "live." For
example, in a month of duty exchange spent in Control
(to relieve another E-5 who was sick) my ON-LINE
RESPONSIBILITY was for a GREATER NUMBER than the
ones I ESTIMATED.

An EXACT tally is impossible. None of that was a
requirement. The only requirement was ABSOLUTE:
GET THE MESSAGES THROUGH. WE did. All of us in
our unit.

> You only changed your story to "team" after a week of being hit
>over the head with your silliness.

I used the term "team" for understanding by those
who have NOT been in that kind of communications.
WE used the term "trick chief" to denote a team
leader for operations and maintenance on a shift.
ADA transmitters had four teams working in a curious
12-day cycle of three days on each shift followed
by three days off. "Trick chiefs" were usually
E-5s with an E-4 as Assistant "trick chief."

>> the rank (E-5) to BE an Operating Team Leader. It is
>> obvious to any rational mind that NO ONE PERSON could
>> possibly transmit 220 thousand messages a month over
>> a single radio station. That takes teamwork and ALL
>> on the team MUST do their part.
>
> If could have been in ANY time era, Lennie...Your INITIAL "story"
>was that YOU were responsible for this feat. "Teamwork" didn't enter
>the picture until days later.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. TEAMWORK was NECESSARY a half century
ago, always has been, still is (at least in USA and
USAF and USN military communications). The U.S.
Military is entirely PROFESSIONAL...not a bunch of
amateurs having fun in a hobby activity.

>> 3. Saying you can read the future is itself a LIE.
>
> I never said I could, Lennie.

Then why do you WRITE "what would be?" You don't
KNOW that your imaginings will take place.

>> > I just acknowledged the error when it was shown and moved on.
>>
>> That is (probably) the BIGGEST LIE of Robeson. Robeson
>> tries and tries and tries and tries to turn around ANY
>> accusation by misdirection, personal insult of others, and
>> general accusation of misdeeds by his accusers.
>
> Ahhhhhhhhhh....I see....Saying that I realized I made a mistake
and
>accepting that fact is "misdirection"...

Misdirection, personal insult of others, and a general
accusation of misdeeds by accusers. Google archives
are packed with your examples of NOT "acknowledging an
error and moving on!" :-)

> I NEVER claimed that MARS was under anyone's direction other than
>DoD.
>
> I DID say that the same SPIRIT of AMATEUR RADIO was what made
MARS
>what it is.

Bullshit squared. What Robeson wrote was -

"Sorry, Hans, MARS >>IS<< amateur radio."

The only "spirit" there is unwilling to come out in
the light of day. :-) MARS was "made what it is"
by the United States Army prior to World War 2...a
grandiose public relations thing "to get radio
amateurs [of the early 30s] involved with Army
radio communications." [from Army history as I
originally pointed out] After World War 2, the
USAF joined with USA and adopted the acronym of
MILITARY Affiliate Radio System. Note that
"amateur" does NOT appear in that name.

Civilian radio amateurs were NOT very active in
the pre-WW2 MARS (few volunteers who did not
contribute as much as the Army founders hoped).
Civilian radio amateurs were active in the post-
WW2 MARS, helping the morale effort of a generally
peacetime military scattered across the globe
through messages and "phone patches" stateside.
That dwindled after the "end" of the Vietnam War.
Today's military enjoys a much more DIRECT route
of communications through the DSN and Internet.

It's unfortunate (for the U.S. military) that
certain amateur radio publications have made

much more than reality of the "contributions"
to the "military" for so long...they have
brainwashed too many amateurs into thinking
they are the heart and soul of MARS. Amateurs
are NOT the heart and soul. Some volunteers
(not all) USE their volunteerism as a perverted
badge of herosim/patriotism as if they "serve"
the nation. The DoD still runs/directs/operates
MARS...and NOT ON the amateur radio bands.

> Leonard H. Anderson >>IS<
> And putz....

Poor baby. Robeson just can't get through a
few minutes without insulting an "opponent."

Robeson CANNOT acknowledge that some have much
more experience IN radio communications than
he ever had. Rather than find out anything of
the various communications systems or organizations
he winds up doing PERSONAL INSULTS in lieu of
discussion on a SUBJECT. That's Robeson's
sickness demonstrated in here against all of his
opponents.

Robeson is the role model of today's amateur
extra?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

5687. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 19:30:29 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <p1Rce.1881$pe3.1302@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107200

>
> And so it came to pass that Woger Wiseman was retested on a whim and
> not because of anything he himself may have done. In the process, he
> saved the FCC's Gettysburg office.
>
> All praise and honor be unto Woger Wiseman.
>
> Amen.

So did Woger Wiseman pass?

5688. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 19:48:21 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d50ne7$v7t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107201

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:p1Rce.1881$pe3.1302@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...

>
> And so it came to pass that Woger Wiseman was retested on a whim and
> not because of anything he himself may have done. In the process, he
> saved the FCC's Gettysburg office.
>
> All praise and honor be unto Woger Wiseman.
>
> Amen.

So did Woger Wiseman pass?

He claims he did.

So far the only thing he passes around here is gas...

5689. Re: How it must suck to be "Arf! arf!" so afraid to post under his real name!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 20:02:05 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <6d7346b42c0ccba6ac53501e21b977f6@paranoici.org>
Source record: 107202

In article <yvudnW445rKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not>
"yojimbo" Secret Lardass <thom...@snotmail.net> wrote:

> Along with that

Think up better lies, Porky. You are a less than minimum wage
pizza delivery BOY! That is all you are qualified to do.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!

5690. Re: ab8mq nut case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 20:05:49 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <11105db8b1d1461f2a1f93d15ea7ec5f@userbeam.net>
Source record: 107203

In article <d4uknodpa0@pita.alt.net>
"Tunder" <NoSpam@ornow> wrote:

> It sounds reasonable, though. After all,

After all, you got a letter from the FCC, didn't you, Gopher
face?

5691. I Need Help by Roger Wiseman, esq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 20:06:48 UTC
From: Two Test <NotRoger@TwoTest>
Message-ID: <d50ogr$1kh$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107204
Cross-posted to:
alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.flame,alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dear Doctor Phil:

I have been experiencing muscle twitching and spasms all over my body for a few years now. It started in my left eye and then my right. At first, I would notice it after I sent anonymous email, jammed 20 meters or thought about Lloyd Davies.

Then it started to do it on a more frequent basis. Then I began noticing small twitches in my arms, then my back, then in my face. By the end of the week I felt them going off every few seconds, randomly, from head to toe. I have gone to Doctor Stagger Lee, had EMG, and they found nothing! They said my head is empty.

I admit I suffer from anxiety over Lloyd Davies, so I decided to try Zoloft to see if this would stop the spasms.

So far it hasn't helped. I don't sleep well, and have other mental problems and ill feelings.

I am wondering if anyone else has had this mania or could give me the name of someone who can help me. I need help, and I need it now.

Roger Wiseman

AB8MQ, Twice Tested

Glendale, West Virginnie

5692. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 20:09:16 UTC
From: Two Test <NotRoger@TwoTest>
Message-ID: <d50olg$1tu$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107205

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:87017833.2458789@tarrnews.net...
And in those days it came to pass that the FCC warriors were sorely
bored by a long period of inactivity. Malaise and disinterest had
settled upon the Gettysburg office personnel like some great, grey
disease.

Fortunately, one of their number - known as Sir Riley of Hollingsworth
- was able to rally morale and bring life back to the office. He said
unto the others "Let us go out and retest. Let us retest Woger
Wiseman," and the others saw that it was good and rejoiced.

Boredom was dispelled, and the Gettysburg office returned to its
normal infighting, backbiting, and political intrigue.

And so it came to pass that Woger Wiseman was retested on a whim and
not because of anything he himself may have done. In the process, he
saved the FCC's Gettysburg office.

All praise and honor be unto Woger Wiseman.

Amen.

....

Good one! Of course I am LMAO at Roger's expense.
--
"The funny thing is, you don't know your fat ass from a whole in
the ground, shit-for-brains." Wabbi Wogie

5693. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 20:18:37 UTC
From: Two Test <NotRoger@TwoTest>
Message-ID: <d50p6v$31l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107206

.com> wrote:

>Good old Rogie....

He's much braver than you, chickenshit.
Much smarter, too! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA to wit:
-cut-

At the bottom of Roger's cut and paste post is the usual
"This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services."

After losing so many internet service providers over the years, poor Roger finds it necessary to post from various anonymous services because he is fast running out of local internet providers.

This is why it is necesary for Social Outcast to cover his tracks.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

5694. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 20:30:55 UTC
From: Fritz Wuehler <fritz@spamexpire-200504.rodent.frell.theremailer.net>
Message-ID: <997143bebdb7369ef0b3517f59d47c81@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 107207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

In article <J6Ece.1910$7F4.1363@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
robert casey <wa2...@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
>
> >
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHA..Lardass Davies who does shit himself on a daily
> > basis admits he eats it too.
>
> Eat shjt,

What's "shjt?"....do you mean, what you are, "SHIT?"

> it's organic..... ;-)

Speaking from personal experience?

Posting from Earthlink, I see, and using a netcom.com addy.

5695. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY <- could this be true?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 20:35:09 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@paranoici.org>
Message-ID: <7e21b2e234829657722e4fcf88da33e9@paranoici.org>
Source record: 107208

In article <yvudnW23srKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not>
"yojimbo" <thom...@snotmail.net> wrote:

> Whooo! Someone has figured out that IANA assigns all internet
names
> and numbers, and believes that he has had an epiphany.
>
> Smells like

Smells like you never take a bath, kiddie diddler.

5696. Re: It Sucks being Roger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 20:40:11 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <q5r7719i350tvcf72u9i23k3546d3ukv2t@4ax.com>
Source record: 107209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

i"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:
> Life is at a low ebb when it comes to being Roger Wiseman.
>
> Being a Bottom Feeder from West Virginia has to be the lowest of the low.
>
> <chortle> <snort>
>
> Open those curtains, boy.
> All we see is an eyeball....
>
> <derisive laughter>

am proud of my west virginia home!

http://www.rt66.com/~obfusa/33rd/RedNeckShack.JPG

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

5697. Virginity among Whores

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 20:48:54 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <WaSce.2343$HL2.1768@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107210

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1114809353.464864.9480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

:
: Can you tell us why you are the most prolific poster on RRAP?
:

This query is like inquest of virginity among Naples streetwhores. Looking
here at www.mailgate.org rrap "Top 25" since past four years we find:

Month Rank
(K4YZ) (N0IMD)
2002/01 22 N/A
/02 11 21
/03 9 4
/04 10 2
/05 7 1
/06 7 1
/07 7 1
/08 15 1
/09 1 N/A
/10 3 2
/11 3 2
/12 6 1
2003/01 1 8
/02 3 4
/03 4 1
/04 2 3
/05 1 12
/06 1 13
/07 17 4
/08 11 1
/09 20 1
/10 8 3
/11 10 7
/12 11 2
2004/01 6 3
/02 5 4
/03 2 1
/04 1 2
/05 1 2
/06 2 3
/07 1 3
/08 3 2
/09 3 1

/10 2 1
/11 1 2
/12 1 2
2005/01 1 3
/02 2 1
/03 1 4
/04 1 2

(K4YZ) (N0IMD)
Average Rank: 5.52 3.47
1st Place: 12 12
Top 5: 24 34
Head-to-head 17 23

To the observer casual it seems both a uncommon prolific, but the wreath must bestow to N0IMD.

Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte sends with compliments

5698. Re: Social Outcase Assessment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 20:57:32 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <qNmdnekHpbBhkOnfRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 107226

George Orwell AKA two-test wogie wrote:
> In article <EYSdnRqCBeWSdO_fRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey
>
>
>>Yes, indeed I did <blatherings>
>
>
> No, Gopher face, you got one in 1999. You know, since you had to
> explain why you got it on the so-called "Liberty net".

Sorry wogie, again you don't have a clue as to whom you are speaking of.
I have never listened to the so-called 'Liberty net', much less been on
it. Poor brain dead two-test wogie, just keeps wildly stabbing in the
dark and stabbing himself.
So why don't you provide proof of this alleged letter I received from
the FCC? Huh? Can't do so? Just what I thought.
>
>
>
> He's already proven he could, shit for brains. And he was only
> retested once, you alcoholic moron.
> Unlike you, who can't even get the Extra exam.

Poor two-test wogie, if he had to take the General, Advanced or Extra
when it was a real exam, in front of an FCC examiner, he would never
have passed. He had to get his Extra-lite at a license give-away VE
session. Riley and the FCC spanked wogie not once but twice and made him
retake the test for violating the rules. SPANK SPANK!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!
>

5699. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 21:02:18 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <WT6GF3T038472.7099305556@anonymous>
Source record: 107211

In article <d50p6v$31l$0@pita.alt.net>
"Two Test" <NotRoger@TwoTest> wrote:

> At the bottom of Roger's cut and paste post is the usual
> "This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing
services."

Oh, did you have somebody read it TO you?

> After (IKYABWAI)

Says the bald, obese chickenshit who posts through Altopia, Oh,
the IRONY!

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHABWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5700. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 21:20:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TESce.2351$HL2.341@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107212

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> Unfortunately, even in the United States, there has to be limits to
> ALL things if people are going to live in a productive and (relatively)
> peaceful society.
>

Spoken like a true control-freak.

Fortunately the US Constitution which we are blessed to live under places limits on very FEW things, as opposed to the "there has to be limits to ALL things" mindset often found in the "Constitutions" of petty dictators who have the need to "regulate" their society.

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

5701. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 21:49:34 UTC
From: Thrasher Remailer <thrasher@reece.net.au>
Message-ID: <KZTQRSZB38473.2427546296@reece.net.au>
Source record: 107213

In article <d50ne7$v7t$0@pita.alt.net>
"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote:

> He claims he did.

Funny how the FCC license databse says he did, as well.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..You lose, FUCK UP!

http://www.arrl.org/fcc/fcclook.php3?call=ab8mq&x=6&y=6

>The only (IKYABWAI)

Brush those rotten green and black teeth more than once every
two months, Porky, you won't have to go so often to the dentist
and have them pulled out.

5702. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 21:57:16 UTC
From: anon <anon@unflapnospamanon.com>
Message-ID: <d50ut3$d9r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107214

"Thrasher Remailer" <thrasher@reece.net.au> wrote in message
news:KZTQRSZB38473.2427546296@reece.net.au...
> In article <d50ne7$v7t$0@pita.alt.net>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> wrote:
>
>> He claims he did.
>
> Funny how the FCC license databse says he did, as well.
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..You lose, FUCK UP!
>
> http://www.arrl.org/fcc/fcclook.php3?call=ab8mq&x=6&y=6
>
>>The only (IKYABWAI)
>
> Brush those rotten green and black teeth more than once every
> two months, Porky, you won't have to go so often to the dentist
> and have them pulled out.
>

So, lets see, if he brushes nonexistent teeth which the dentist pulled
out, then he won't have to return to the dentist to have them pulled
out (again)? Is that what you are trying to say?

5703. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 21:58:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114898290.888614.52970@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107215

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > Unfortunately, even in the United States, there has to be limits to
> > ALL things if people are going to live in a productive and
(relatively)
> > peaceful society.
> >
>
> Spoken like a true control-freak.

Too bad you don't watch the news on occassion, Hans...Or spent
some time in an Emergency Room or Sheriff's Department booking
department where you gt to see the results of "freedom of speech" and
living in excess gone bezerk.

Life's a lot cozier when you set yourself off from it.

Isn't it...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

5704. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 21:58:13 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <LV1WMYHM38472.7487615741@anonymous>
Source record: 107217

In article <p1Rce.1881$pe3.1302@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
robert casey <wa2...@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> So did Woger Wiseman pass?

BWHAHAHAHA!!!! The dumbass Gopher face can't use a FCC license
database! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

5705. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 21:58:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <icTce.1770$BE3.679@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107216

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> There is a very painful and delicate balance between the lattitude
> permitted by what we call "free speech" and where your "right" to be
> abusive in public stops.
>

"As it is an ancient truth that freedom cannot be legislated into existence, so
it is no less obvious that freedom cannot be censored into existence."
-- Dwight David Eisenhower (1890-1969), 34th US President

"Our liberty depends on the freedom of speech and that cannot be limited without
being lost."
-- Thomas Jefferson (1743-1826), 3rd US President

The only valid limitation of free speech under our Constitution is your
individual right not to listen.

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

5706. It Sucks being Lardass Lloyd Davies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 22:25:01 UTC
From: Eternal_VIRGIN_ Lloydie <lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114899901.383088.25940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lardass Lloyd Davies wrote:

> am proud of my west virginia home!

You must be proud of your lack of English skills, too, Porky!

Notice how close the HUD officeis to the HUD apartments!
https://pic.hud.gov/pic/haprofiles/haprofilelist.asp
Records 1 to 1 of 1
HA Code HA Name HA City Program Type Low Rent Units
Activity status
AL067 ATHENS ATHENS Low-Rent 239 Active

Housing Authority: AL067 ATHENS
General HA Details
HA Program Type: Low-Rent
HA Fiscal Year End: 12/31
Last Update: 01/27/2005
HA Inventory Details
Low Rent Inventory Information
Status Developments Units
In Management 4 239
In Development 0 0
Total 4 239

fax: Fax Number: (256) 232 - 5430
Housing Authority: AL067 ATHENS
Address Information

Select Address Type: MailingPhysical

Address Line 1: 700 5TH Avenue
Address Line 2: Building J
City/Locality: ATHENS
County Name: LIMESTONE
State: AL
Zip Code: 35611 - 1565

Additional Address Information

Rural Route Code: Highway Contract Route Code: C004
County Code: 083 State Numeric Code: 01
Congressional District Code: 5 Locality Code: 2956
MSA Code: 3440 MCD Code: 90144
Census Tract Code: 020600 Block Code: 3
Centroid Match Code: Geo Match Code: 4

Entity Code: Class Code:
Latitude: 34.812366 Longitude: - 86.976378
Place Code: 02956

Callsign: N0VFP Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
Name: LLOYD A DAVIES
Addr1: 710 S CLINTON ST APT 202
Addr2: ATHENS, AL 35611
Country: USA
Effective: 11 Dec 2002 Expires: 26 Jan 2013
FRN: 0006069298 What's this?
FCC: ULS Listing
Lookups: 978
Update / Renew License

Coordinates: 34.796993 -86.968061
State: Alabama
County: Limestone
Grid: EM64mt
Area Code: 256
GMT Offset: -6
Time Zone: Central
Has DST?: Y
Birthday: 12 Sep 1973
Email: Show email address
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/n0vfp
QRZ Updated: 2003-03-17 14:43:08

5707. Re: I Need Help by Roger Wiseman, esq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 22:27:04 UTC
From: Eternal_VIRGIN_ Lloydie <lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114900024.240042.33490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107219
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.geno-paris,alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Two Test wrote:
> Dear (Poor Lardass, he's so OWNED)

Dear Lardass career pizza boy Davies: Kill yourself!

5708. Lardass confesses he has no money! Looks like his "promotion" was all a lie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 22:31:36 UTC
From: Eternal_VIRGIN_ Lloydie <lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114900296.589211.50880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=18232

Author: Lloyd Davies, a TimeLORD
In Reply To: Poor Lardass, he'll be home drunk and posting (whining)
here all night tonight! (posted by)
Subject: RE: Poor Lardass, he'll be home drunk and posting (whining)
here all night tonight!

Wrong crackhead.

I won't be drinking tonight because I am low on money this week plus
battle star gallactica will be on soon right after scooby doo.

BWHAHAHAHAHA..He watches "Battlestar Galactica" and "scooby doo
cartoons!" No wonder he can't get laid! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

5709. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 22:33:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rJTce.3186$GQ5.1382@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107221

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> Life's a lot cozier when you set yourself off from it.

If you say so, Steve.

Personally I live life and pursue freedom to the fullest. Sometimes it isn't cozy, but that's the price of liberty. If you want "cozy" you'll have to sacrifice your freedoms --- I'm not into that kind of sacrifice, and so far our beloved Constitution hasn't been repealed.

"Congress shall make no law.... abridging the freedom of speech...."
--Amendment I, US Constitution

ZBM2,

de Hans, K0HB

5710. Re: END OF REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY is here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 22:35:24 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114900524.296764.65380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107222

In article <87309017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
"Lloyd" Secret Lardass<l...@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>
> On 30 Apr 2005 21:02:18 -0000, Anonymous <Secwet Woger> wrote:

Showing off your cocksucking lisp again? Keep guessing, Porky!

> > Oh, did you have somebody read it TO you?
> >
> > > After (IKYABWAI)
> >
> > Says the bald, obese chickenshit who posts through Altopia, Oh,
> > the IRONY!
>
> Says the long-haired, greasy,

Roger doesn't have long hair, Porky. You lose again.
And what is the thing you have with grease? Did it start with your
drunken abusive daddy coming home drunk and sodomizing you? He greased
you up like a pig, didn't he?

> middle-aged dork who was kicked off of both Altopia and COTSE!

Says the 31 year old bald, obese fat fucking dork who can't even get a
pity fuck!
And who got kicked off off AOL of all places.

Oh, the IRONY!

--
Lardass admits he is not into dating women in
http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/forum/read.php?board=8&id=8396:
"Dating is something for simpletons and losers!"

5711. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 22:37:52 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114900672.431898.74070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107223

anon wrote:

> So, lets see, if he brushes nonexistent teeth

BWHAHAHAHA..Lardass admits he hasn't got all his teeth!

5712. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 22:41:30 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114900890.494447.3200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107224

Secret Lardass aka Two Test wrote:

> Good one! Of course I am LMAO

And you certainly DO have quite the wide, fat ass to laugh off, Porky!

5713. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 22:48:23 UTC
From: anon <anon@unflapnospamanon.com>
Message-ID: <d511stiqe0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 107225

<lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1114900672.431898.74070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> anon wrote:
>
>> So, lets see, if he brushes nonexistent teeth
>
> BWHAHAHAHA..Lardass admits he hasn't got all his teeth!
^^^^^

(no such conjunction, incorrect grammatical joining of a verb
and a negative)

5714. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 23:04:40 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114900799.397427.322160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 107227

Lloyd wrote:
> And in those days it came to pass

That the mentally unstable, ghastly pale porker, who thinks he controls an army of trash can robots, and fancies he is the king of knob jobs in Athens, Alabama. (The home of the Deliverance extras.) The king of knob jobs in Alabama dwells in a roach-infested HUD apartment and posts his filth and debauchery daily whilst naked and touching himself on his one inch clit and scribbling furiously with his purple crayon. All the while dreaming that he would actually get some pussy and have a real job.
But, it was not to be.....wake up and kill yourself, you fat cocksucker!

5715. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 23:13:40 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <x8WdnWJXCdazjOnfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 107228

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:icTce.1770$BE3.679@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>>
>> There is a very painful and delicate balance between the lattitude
>> permitted by what we call "free speech" and where your "right" to be
>> abusive in public stops.
>>
>
> "As it is an ancient truth that freedom cannot be legislated into
> existence, so it is no less obvious that freedom cannot be censored into
> existence."
> -- Dwight David Eisenhower (1890-1969), 34th US President
>
> "Our liberty depends on the freedom of speech and that cannot be limited
> without being lost."
> -- Thomas Jefferson (1743-1826), 3rd US President
>
> The only valid limitation of free speech under our Constitution is your
> individual right not to listen.
>
> ZBM2,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>

In a practical sense there are de facto limits. One is perfectly free to commit slander and libel. It's not illegal and one cannot go to jail for it. Yet people CAN be held finanicially liable for the effects of their slander, etc. This liability for the effects of one's "free speech" are a limit even though it is not censorship.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>

5716. Re: Here's Your Answer, Todd....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 23:21:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HpUce.3196$GQ5.2735@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 107229

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> This liability for the effects of one's "free speech" are a limit even though
> it is not censorship.
>

There can be a PRICE for exercising free speech, but there is no LIMIT.

"Congress shall make no law.... abridging the freedom of speech...."
--Amendment I, US Constitution

A limit is unconstitutional on it's face, and imposing a limit CAN put you in
jail.

73, de Hans, K0HB

5717. Re: Riley said "let us retest and be made whole again."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-04-30 23:25:08 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <a16dd5b9a9dd1ad13d091ea7d817b14c@userbeam.net>
Source record: 107230

In article <p1Rce.1881$pe3.1302@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
robert casey <wa2...@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> So did Woger Wiseman pass?

BWHAHAHAHA!!!! The dumbass Gopher face can't use a FCC license
database! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!